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## INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

The Court should stay execution of Supreme Court's Decision and Order After Non-Jury Trial of February 16, 2024, see Affirmation of Urgency of Clifford S. Robert ("Robert Urgency Aff.") Ex. A (the "Judgment"). The Attorney General's leading argument-that this Court lacks authority to waive or reduce appellate bond requirements under any circumstances-contradicts the plain language of CPLR § 5519(c), this Court's inherent authority, and a host of New York cases. "CPLR § 5519(c) clearly gives the Court discretion with respect to such automatic stays and also allows it to stay all proceedings to enforce a judgment or order appealed from in a case not provided for under subdivision (b)." Schaffer v. VSB Bancorp, Inc., 68 Misc. 3d 827, 834 (Sup. Ct. 2020) (emphasis added).

In deciding whether to enter a stay, the Court may consider "any relevant factor, including the presumptive merits of the appeal and any exigency or hardship confronting any party.'" Id. at 834. Here, Defendants' ongoing diligent efforts have proven that a bond in the judgment's full amount is "a practical impossibility." Affirmation of Gary Giulietti ("Giulietti Aff.") 『 18. These diligent efforts have included approaching about 30 surety companies through 4 separate brokers. Affirmation of Alan Garten ("Garten Aff."), || 5. A bond requirement of this enormous magnitude-effectively requiring cash reserves approaching \$1 billion, Giulietti Aff. \| 17-is unprecedented for a private company. Even when it comes to publicly traded companies, courts routinely waive or reduce the bond amount. Enforcing an impossible bond requirement as a condition of appeal would inflict manifest irreparable injury on Defendants, and "defeat or impair [this Court's] appellate jurisdiction." Schwartz v. New York City Hous. Auth., 219 A.D.2d 47, 48 (2d Dep't 1996). By contrast, waiving the bond requirement will impose no cognizable harm on
the Attorney General. The case involves no actual victims and no award of restitution, and she is fully protected by Defendants' real-estate holdings. This factor alone warrants a stay.

The manifold errors in Supreme Court's judgment further warrant a stay. Among other errors, Supreme Court disrespectfully disregarded this Court's previous ruling in this case that the statute of limitations applies and that " $[t]$ he continuing wrong doctrine does not delay or extend these periods." People by James v. Trump, 217 A.D.3d 609, 611-12 (1st Dep’t 2023). Moreover, Supreme Court ridiculously valued Mar-a-Lago, in Palm Beach, Florida, as being worth "between $\$ 18$ million and $\$ 27.6$ million," understating its actual value by about 50 to 100 times. Supreme Court imposed a massive disgorgement award in the absence of any evidence that the alleged misrepresentations caused the supposedly ill-gotten proceeds, in violation of the black-letter requirement that the disgorged amount must be causally connected to the violation. Supreme Court double- and triple-counted damages, and committed elementary errors in the process, such as conflating the proceeds of a sale with the profits from that sale. Such basic mistakes would have been prevented if this case had been allowed to be adjudicated in the Commercial Division, where it belonged.

These errors establish that the disgorgement award is unconstitutional. It is "grossly disproportional" in violation of the Excessive Fines Clause of the United States Constitution and a parallel clause of the New York Constitution, as well as basic principles of due process and selective prosecution. Because the judgment is unconstitutionally excessive, the bond requirement violates the Eighth Amendment as well, because it imposes an irrational, punitive sanction.

This case has no victims, no damages, and no actual financial losses. None of Defendants' sophisticated business partners testified that they would have changed any transaction in light of the alleged "misrepresentations," and all of these sophisticated parties, along with their law firms
and other service providers, were well aware of the ironclad disclaimers present in all of the financial statements at issue. The $\$ 464$ million penalty in this case has been aptly described as "using a Hellfire missile to annihilate an [alleged] shoplifter." The Editors, Trump's $\$ 355$ Million Civil Fraud Verdict, Wall St. J. (Feb. 17, 2024). "There was no real financial victim." Id. "This is choosing a target and then hunting for something to charge him with, which is an abuse of the law." Id. The judgment seeks to destroy a successful business that employs many hardworking New Yorkers, has contributed approximately $\$ 300$ million in taxes to public coffers just during the dates in question in this case, and has made historic contributions to the State and City of New York. The Court should stay the judgment pending appeal, and put the brakes on the Attorney General's overzealous litigation crusade. If oral argument would assist the Court in coming to that conclusion, we respectfully request an opportunity for such a hearing.

## ARGUMENT

## I. This Court Has Discretion to Stay the Disgorgement Award.

The Attorney General argues that, under CPLR § 5519(a)(2), this Court has no authority to stay execution on any condition other than an undertaking in the full amount of the judgment. See Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Motion for a Stay ("Opp. Brief") at 15-20. This argument is meritless, and is a continuation of the Attorney General's consistent lack of respect for the authority, jurisdiction, and impact of this Court. This Court has both express statutory authority and inherent authority to issue unsecured or partially secured stays of execution pending appeal under § 5519(a)(2).

As relevant here, §5519(a)(2), entitled "Stay without court order," provides that service upon an adverse party of the notice of appeal stays all proceedings to enforce the judgment pending appeal where an undertaking in the full amount of the judgment is given by the appealing party.
N.Y. CPLR § 5519(a)(2). Section 5519(c), entitled "Stay and limitation of stay by court order," provides that " $[t]$ he court ... to which an appeal is taken ... may grant a limited stay or may vacate, limit or modify any stay imposed by subdivision (a) ... or this subdivision." N.Y. CPLR § 5519(c) (emphasis added). As the emphasized language provides, § 5519(c) confers on this Court discretion both to grant unsecured stays in cases covered by (a)(2)-i.e., cases involving money judgments-and to "vacate, limit or modify" preexisting stays "imposed by subdivision (a)." Id. Thus, the appealing party may obtain an automatic stay under (a)(2) by posting an undertaking, but he or she may also petition the appellate court for a discretionary stay under § 5519(c).

This is the uniform understanding of § 5519(c) in New York courts. "While CPLR §5519(a) sets forth the conditions for entitlement to an automatic stay, CPLR § 5519(c) clearly gives the Court discretion with respect to such automatic stays and also allows it to stay all proceedings to enforce a judgment or order appealed from in a case not provided for under subdivision (b)." Schaffer, 68 Misc. 3d at 834 (emphasis added). "CPLR 5519(c) permits this Court ... to grant a discretionary stay of proceedings to enforce the order or judgment appealed from, or to vacate, limit or modify any automatic stay obtained pursuant to CPLR 5519 (a) or (b)." Schwartz, 219 A.D.2d at 48 (emphasis added). "The scope of the stay authorized by subdivision (c) is thus coextensive with the stay authorized by subdivision (a), namely, a stay of enforcement proceedings only...." Id. (emphasis added). Regarding the "discretionary stay ... under CPLR 5519(c)," "the scope of this discretionary stay is 'coextensive' with the automatic stay" under §5519(a), and "applies ... to provide non-governmental parties with the opportunity to stay proceedings to enforce the judgment or order appealed from pending the appeal." Tax Equity Now NY LLC v. City of New York, 173 A.D.3d 464, 465 (1st Dep't 2019) (emphasis added); see also CT Chemicals (U.S.A.) Inc. v. Vinmar Impex, Inc., 189 A.D.2d 727, 729 (1st Dep’t 1993) (holding that
a defendant seeking a stay of execution of a money judgment may opt "either [to] seek a discretionary stay pursuant to CPLR 5519(c) or to post a[n] ... undertaking" under 5519(a)); Pickwick Int'l, Inc. v. Tomato Music Co., 119 Misc. 2d 227, 232 (Sup. Ct. 1983) ("‘T]hese grounds fully warrant this Court to exercise its discretion and dispense with the posting of any bond pending the outcome of the appeal...").

In addition, this Court has inherent authority to issue equitable stays of judgments pending appeal. Schwartz held that, in addition to $\S 5519$, "[t]here is ... another broad source of authority for this Court, in the exercise of its appellate rather than original jurisdiction, to grant such a general stay of proceedings in an appropriate case." 219 A.D. 2 d at 48. Schwartz reaffirmed "this Court's inherent power to grant a stay of acts or proceedings, which, although not commanded or forbidden by the order appealed from, will disturb the status quo and tend to defeat or impair our appellate jurisdiction." Id. Likewise, in Tax Equity Now, this Court held that a stay of lower-court proceedings was not available under § 5519(a) or (c), yet the Court "exercise[d] [its] inherent authority to grant a discretionary stay of the proceeding pending appeal...." 173 A.D. 3 d at 465.

Moreover, CPLR § 5240 reinforces this broad equitable authority. It provides that " $[t]$ he court may at any time, on its own initiative or the motion of any interested person ... make an order denying, limiting, conditioning, regulating, extending or modifying the use of any enforcement procedure." N.Y. C.P.L.R. § 5240. The Court of Appeals describes § 5240 as a "general provision[] that permit[s] 'any interested person'-including a judgment debtor-to secure remedies for wrongs arising under the statutory scheme." Plymouth Venture Partners, II, L.P. v. GTR Source, LLC, 37 N.Y.3d 591, 600 (2021) (emphasis added). "Section 5240 ... lays out the court's power to, 'at any time, on its own initiative or the motion of any interested person, and upon such notice as it may require, make an order denying, limiting, conditioning, regulating,
extending or modifying the use of any enforcement procedure." Id. "CPLR 5240 grants the courts broad discretionary power to control and regulate the enforcement of a money judgment under article 52 to prevent 'unreasonable annoyance, expense, embarrassment, disadvantage, or other prejudice to any person or the courts'." Id. (quoting Guardian Loan Co. v. Early, 47 N.Y.2d 515, 519 (1979)). "[T]his provision 'centers in one place the pervasive judicial power to right, on a case by case basis, any wrong in connection with any of the numerous Article 52 procedures'." Id. at 601 (cleaned up) (quoting Siegel \& Connors, NY Prac § 522 at 993 (6th ed 2018)).

This authority matches the universal practice in other jurisdictions. Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 62 authorizes the federal courts to "stay enforcement of the district court's judgment, without the posting of a bond or other condition." In re Nassau Cnty. Strip Search Cases, 783 F.3d 414, 417 (2d Cir. 2015); see also Fed. Prescription Serv., Inc. v. Am. Pharm. Ass'n, 636 F.2d 755, 761 (D.C. Cir. 1980) (affirming the decision to require no bond on appeal); N. Indiana Pub. Serv. Co. v. Carbon Cnty. Coal Co., 799 F.2d 265, 281 (7th Cir. 1986) ("‘I]t is a misreading of Rule 62(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to suggest that an appellant who wants to stay execution pending appeal must post a bond."). Other states, likewise, universally recognize an appellate court's authority to waive or modify bond requirements. ${ }^{1}$

In fact, courts routinely exercise this authority to waive or reduce enormous, disproportional, and unjust bond requirements. See, e.g., Texaco Inc. v. Pennzoil Co., 784 F.2d

[^0]1133, 1157 (2d Cir. 1986), rev'd on other grounds, 481 U.S. 1 (1987) (reducing a $\$ 12$ billion bond obligation to $\$ 1$ billion); In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp., 361 B.R. 337, 351 (S.D.N.Y. 2007) (imposing a $\$ 1.3$ billion bond obligation to secure a judgment that required the distribution of " 111 million shares of freely tradeable" stock, "more than 9.4 billion" tradable interests, "and $\$ 7.136$ billion in cash"); Int'l Distribution Centers, Inc. v. Walsh Trucking Co., 62 B.R. 723, 732 (S.D.N.Y. 1986) (finding that defendants were not "likely to be capable of posting a bond in the full amount of the approximately $\$ 38$ million judgment," and reducing the bond requirement for each to $\$ 10,000$ ). The Attorney General's "full-undertaking-only" theory is inconsistent with these and many other cases. It would impair and defeat this Court's appellate jurisdiction in cases involving outrageous judgments-i.e., the very cases where appeal is most necessary.

For this reason, the Attorney General's position raises grave constitutional concerns. As the Second Circuit recognized in Texaco, where posting the full amount is impracticable, an "inflexible requirement [denying] a stay of execution unless a supersedeas bond in the full amount of the judgment is posted" is "irrational, unnecessary, and self-defeating, amounting to a confiscation of the judgment debtor's property without due process." Texaco, 784 F.2d at 1154, rev'd on other grounds, 481 U.S. 1 (1987). This is reason enough to reject the Attorney General's interpretation of § 5519. See, e.g., In re Jamie J., 30 N.Y.3d 275, 282 (2017) ("[W]e should construe the statute, if possible, to avoid the [constitutional] infirmity...").

## II. This Court Should Exercise Its Authority To Stay Execution of the Judgment.

This Court should stay execution of all portions of the Supreme Court's Decision and Order, including both disgorgement, and other forms of equitable relief. See Memorandum of Law in Support of Stay Pending Appeal Pursuant to CPLR 5519(c) ("Opening Brief") at 11-14.

## A. The Attorney General Misstates the Governing Standard.

The Attorney General argues that "any exercise of inherent power to grant a stay ... would require a showing of extraordinary circumstances." Opp. Brief at 17. That is misleading and incorrect. "Under CPLR § 5519(c), 'there is no single factor in determining whether to grant a stay, the court's discretion is the guide' and 'it will be influenced by any relevant factor, including the presumptive merits of the appeal and any exigency or hardship confronting any party.'" Schaffer, 68 Misc. 3d at 834 (cleaned up) (emphases added) (citing and quoting Deutsche Bank Natl. Trust Co. v. Royal Blue Realty Holdings, Inc., 2016 N.Y. Slip Op. 31510(U), 2016 WL 4194201 (Sup. Ct. 2016), and Richard C. Reilly, Practice Commentaries, McKinney's Cons Laws of NY, CPLR C:5519:4)); see also Navy Yard Hous. Dev. Fund, Inc. v. Carr, No. 33936/96, 2002 WL 1174711, at *2 (N.Y. Civ. Ct. May 23, 2002) (same) (quoting Siegel, Practice Commentaries, McKinney's Cons Laws of NY, Book 7B, CPLR C5519:4); Opening Brief at 10-11.

## B. The Exigency and Hardship to Defendants Warrant a Stay.

First, the Court may consider "any exigency or hardship confronting any party." Schaffer, 68 Misc.3d at 834. Here, this factor alone justifies a stay of the judgment.

## 1. Posting a Full Undertaking Is a Practical Impossibility.

In the Opening Brief, when their efforts to obtain such a bond were still ongoing, Defendants stated their expectation that it would be "impossible to secure and post a complete bond." Opening Brief at 15,25 . Diligent efforts since that time, including "countless hours negotiating with one of the largest insurance companies in the world," have proven that "obtaining an appeal bond in the full amount" of the Judgment "is not possible under the circumstances presented." Giuletti Aff. 9\|I 3, 9-10. The amount of the judgment, with interest, exceeds \$464 million, and very few bonding companies will consider a bond of anything approaching that
magnitude. Id. \| 12. The remaining handful will not "accept hard assets such as real estate as collateral," but "will only accept cash or cash equivalents (such as marketable securities)." Id. ๆ 13. Moreover, sureties would typically "require collateral of approximately $120 \%$ of the amount of the judgment," which "would require Defendants to hand over collateral in the form of cash or cash equivalents of approximately" $\$ 557$ million. Id. II 19. In addition, sureties would likely charge bond premiums of approximately 2 percent per year with two years in advance-an upfront cost over \$18 million. Id. ๆ 20.

In short, "a bond of this size is rarely, if ever, seen. In the unusual circumstance that a bond of this size is issued, it is provided to the largest public companies in the world, not to individuals or privately held businesses." Id. ๆ16. The actual amount of cash or cash equivalents required "to collateralize the bond and have sufficient capital to run the business and satisfy its other obligations" "approach[es] \$1 billion." Id. \|\| 17. As a result, "obtaining a bond for \$464 million is a practical impossibility." Id. ๆ 18.

The Attorney General claims that Defendants "fail to provide information about what steps (if any) they have taken to secure an undertaking." Opp. Brief at 18. In fact, those efforts were ongoing when Defendants' stay motion was filed, and they have since confirmed Defendants' expectation that a full undertaking is a "practical impossibility." Giuletti Aff. ๆ 18. The Attorney General speculates, without evidence and revealing her misunderstanding of basic business practices, that sureties might accept "an irrevocable letter of credit" as collateral. Opp. Brief at 18. But any such ILOC "would still typically have to be fully backed by cash or cash equivalents." Guiletti Aff. \| 15 . Obtaining such cash through a "fire sale" of real estate holdings would inevitably result in massive, irrecoverable losses-textbook irreparable injury.

The practical impossibility of obtaining a bond interferes with Defendants' right to appeal and threatens this Court's appellate jurisdiction. Schaffer, 68 Misc.3d at 834. For this reason, courts routinely waive or reduce bond requirements when securing the bond is not "practicable." Fed. Prescription Serv., 636 F.2d at 760. See, e.g., Cayuga Indian Nation of New York v. Pataki, 188 F. Supp. 2d 223, 256 (N.D.N.Y. 2002) (waiving the bond requirement for a $\$ 247$ million judgment where "it would be almost impossible to find a bonding agency willing and able to secure a judgment of this size," and "the posting of a supersedeas bond here would be far from practicable") (cleaned up); TWA, Inc. v. Hughes, 515 F.2d 173, 175 (2d Cir. 1975) (granting a substantial reduction of the bond amount where, "[b]ecause of the unprecedented size of the judgment, the obtaining of a supersedeas bond was impracticable"); Int'l Distribution Centers, 62 B.R. at 732 (finding that defendants were not "likely to be capable of posting a bond in the full amount of the approximately $\$ 38$ million judgment," and reducing the bond requirement for each to $\$ 10,000$ ); C. Albert Sauter Co. v. Richard S. Sauter Co., 368 F. Supp. 501, 520-21 (E.D. Pa. 1973) (allowing $\$ 100,000$ bond on $\$ 1.5$ million judgment).

Other features of the Judgment, moreover, threaten to dramatically compound these punitive financial hardships. See Opening Brief, Point II. The provisions preventing the individual Defendants from serving as officer and directors of businesses that they have successfully helmed for decades, and preventing them from seeking loans from any bank registered in New Yorkwhich encompasses most nationwide lending institutions-radically interfere with Defendants' ability to continue to conduct profit-making activities during the pendency of appeal. See id.

## 2. A Stay Will Impose No Cognizable Hardship on Plaintiff.

By contrast, there is no significant exigency or hardship to Plaintiff. First, there are no victims, as there were no damages and no financial losses. Second, Defendants' real estate
holdings-including iconic properties like 40 Wall Street, Doral Miami, and Mar-a-Lago, see Garten Aff. IT 10 -greatly exceed the amount of the judgment. Such assets are impossible to secrete or dispose of surreptitiously, leaving the plaintiff effectively secured during the pendency of an appeal. Cf. Klingenberg v. Vulcan Ladder USA, LLC, No. 15-CV-4012-KEM, 2017 WL 4836313, at *2 (N.D. Iowa Oct. 25, 2017). The Attorney General speculates that Defendants might try to "evade enforcement of the judgment," Opp. Brief at 20, but she does not explain how Defendants might surreptitiously conceal or sell off some of the world's most famous real estate holdings before the appeal is final. See Opening Brief at 11-14.

## C. The Court Should Maintain the Interim Stay as to Non-Monetary Relief.

The interim stay extends to the injunction against the individual Defendants "from serving in the financial control function of any New York corporation or similar business entity registered and/or licensed in New York State, and/or serving as an officer or director of any New York corporation or other legal entity in New York," and "which enjoined certain individual and corporate defendants from applying for loans from any financial institution chartered by or registered with the New York State Department of Financial Services for three (3) years." Interim Stay Order (NYSCEF No. 6) ("Stay Order") at 2. The Court should maintain these aspects of the stay pending appeal.

The Attorney General barely addresses these aspects of the interim stay. See Opp. Brief at 23. Her cursory argument has no merit. The Attorney General contends that "Defendants' financial interests" are supposedly outweighed by the need to protect the public interest." Id. But the only authority she cites is a case involving attorney disbarment, which is far afield from Executive Law § 63(12) See id. (citing Matter of Seiffert, 65 N.Y.2d 278, 280-81 (1985)). It is vital to be clear that Executive Law § 63(12) is inapplicable to the facts of this case in the first
place, under both the U.S. and the New York State Constitutions, and was wrongfully relied upon by both the Attorney General and Supreme Court, an issue that will be expanded on at length in the merits briefing of the Defendants' appeal. As explained in Defendants' opening brief, Opening Brief at 38, the stayed provisions of the injunction exceed Supreme Court's statutory authority under Executive Law § 63(12). That statute authorizes the court to enjoin only unlawful conductnot lawful, productive business practices. Section 63(12) provides: "Whenever any person shall engage in repeated fraudulent or illegal acts or otherwise demonstrate persistent fraud or illegality in the carrying on, conducting or transaction of business, the attorney general may apply, in the name of the people of the state of New York, to the supreme court of the state of New York, on notice of five days, for an order enjoining the continuance of such business activity or of any fraudulent or illegal acts...." N.Y. Exec. Law § 63(12). Under the statute's plain language, the "such business activity" that courts may enjoin is business activity pervaded by "persistent fraud or illegality," id.-not ordinary, lawful business activity such as running profitable companies and taking out loans. "In every case where a court has granted a permanent injunction pursuant to Executive Law § 63(12), courts have limited the relief to only enjoining the specific activity from which the fraud arose." Opening Brief at 39 (citing cases). The Attorney General has no answer to this point, and in fact, she does not cite any cases involving § 63(12). See Opp. Brief at 23.

## D. The Disgorgement Award Will Not Survive Appellate Review.

In deciding whether to stay execution, the Court may also consider "the presumptive merits of the appeal." Schaffer, 68 Misc. 3d at 834. Here, like the other provisions of the Judgment for which Defendants seek a stay, the disgorgement award will not survive appellate review.

## 1. The Judgment Contradicts This Court's Statute-of-Limitations Ruling.

 First, Supreme Court's judgment clearly failed to comply with this Court's June 2023 ruling on the statute of limitations. As this Court held, "[a]pplying the proper statute of limitations and the appropriate tolling, claims are time barred if they accrued-that is, the transactions were completed-before February 6, 2016." Trump, 217 A.D.3d at 611-12 (citing Boesky v. Levine, 193 A.D.3d 403, 405 (1st Dep’t 2021), and Rogal v. Wechsler, 135 A.D.2d 384, 385 (1st Dep’t 1987). "For defendants bound by the tolling agreement, claims are untimely if they accrued before July 13, 2014." Id. Critically, this Court held that "It]he continuing wrong doctrine does not delay or extend these periods." Id. (emphasis added) (citing CWCapital Cobalt VR Ltd. V. CWCapital Invs. LLC, 195 A.D.3d 12, 19-20 (1st Dep't 2021), and Henry v. Bank of Am., 147 A.D.3d 599, 601-602 (1st Dep't 2017)).The Attorney General wrongfully argues that new "transactions were completed," Opp. Brief at 31, every time Defendants submitted an annual statement relating to long-completed loan transactions. This argument directly and disrespectfully contradicts this Court's June 2023 ruling. First, as Boesky makes clear, such ongoing communications that relate to a prior completed transaction do not toll the statute of limitations for alleged fraud. In Boesky, this Court held that a fraud claim against an attorney relating to the erection of a tax shelter was time-barred, notwithstanding the fact that the defendant maintained an ongoing representation and series of communications with the plaintiff relating to the same tax shelter that extended into the limitations period. Boeksy, 193 A.D.3d at 405. The Attorney General dismisses Boesky as a supposedly "inapposite common-law fraud case" that "did not address §63(12) or its statute of limitations." Opp. Brief at 33. This argument is astonishing, given that this Court cited Boesky as its lead authority in holding that "claims are time barred if they accrued-that is, the transactions were
completed-before February 6, 2016." Trump, 217 A.D.3d at 611-12 (citing Boesky, 193 A.D.3d at 405). The principal case cited by this Court in its statute-of-limitations ruling is not "inapposite," Opp. Brief at 33-it is binding.

The Attorney General's argument also contradicts this Court's holding that " $[t]$ he continuing wrong doctrine does not delay or extend these periods." Trump, 217 A.D.3d at 612 (citing CWCapital, 195 A.D.3d at 19-20). CWCapital describes the "continuing wrong doctrine" as applying to a course of conduct where "defendants' activities amounted to a series of wrongs, each of which gave rise to its own limitations period." Id. at 16. Under the doctrine, "a new claim, with a new limitations period, $\ldots$ accrue[s]" each time the defendant engages in a new action within the challenged course of conduct. Id. at 18. Under the "continuing wrong doctrine," each act in the series constitutes "a distinct violation" subject to its own limitations period. Id. at 18-19.

Like Supreme Court's judgment, the Attorney General's argument merely reasserts the continuing wrong doctrine expounded in CWCapital and rejected by this Court in its ruling in this case. See Opp. Brief at 31. The Attorney General contends that new "transactions were completed" every time Defendants "submitted new [allegedly] fraudulent and illegal statements after July 2014 if the Statements were submitted in connection with a loan initiated prior to July 2014." Id. The Attorney General contends that "defendants' activities amounted to a series of wrongs, each of which gave rise to its own limitations period." CWCapital, 195 A.D.3d at 16. She incorrectly argues that "a new claim, with a new limitations period, ... accrued" for each new statement. Id. at 18. She contends that each act in the series constituted "a distinct violation" that re-starts the limitations period. Id. at 18-19; compare Opp. Brief at 31-32. This is a straightforward assertion of the continuing wrong doctrine, which this Court ruled does not apply in this case.

The other case that this Court cited in its ruling, Henry v. Bank of America, makes this conclusion equally clear. Henry describes the "continuing wrong doctrine" as applicable "where there is a series of continuing wrongs and serves to toll the running of a period of limitations to the date of the commission of the last wrongful act." 147 A.D.3d at 601 . Again, that is exactly what the Attorney General wrongfully contends-that the subsequent statements relating to the pre-July 2014 loans and insurance policies constitute "a series of continuing wrongs." Id. Henry emphasizes that the continuing wrong doctrine "may only be predicated on continuing unlawful acts and not on the continuing effects of earlier unlawful conduct. The distinction is between a single wrong that has continuing effects and a series of independent, distinct wrongs." Id. Again, that is what the Attorney General contends-that the subsequent statements constituted "continuing unlawful acts" and "a series of independent, distinct wrongs." Id.; compare Opp. Brief at 31-33.

By holding that the continuing wrong doctrine does not apply here, this Court has already considered and rejected the Attorney General's position. The Court held, correctly, that "plaintiff asserts a single breach" to which the subsequent statements were merely ancillary, and thus "the continuing wrong doctrine does not apply." Henry, 147 A.D.3d at 601-02.

The Attorney General's argument, therefore, is directly foreclosed by this Court's previous ruling, which held that "[t]he continuing wrong doctrine does not delay or extend these periods." Trump, 217 A.D. 3 d at 612 (emphasis added). This Court's holding on the statute of limitations is the law of the case, which "bind[s] a trial court (and subsequent appellate courts of coordinate jurisdiction) to follow the mandate of an appellate court...." Matter of Part 60 RMBS Put-Back Litig., 195 A.D.3d 40, 48 (1st Dep’t 2021); see also, e.g., Applehole v. Wyeth Ayerst Labs., 213
A.D.3d 611, 611 (1st Dep't 2023). "[T]here is no discretion involved; the lower court must apply the rule laid down by the appellate court." People v. Evans, 94 N.Y.2d 499, 503 (2000). ${ }^{2}$

The proper application of this Court's previous ruling forecloses over 75 percent of the judgment. Under that ruling, the only timely claims are those with respect to the Old Post Office Loan and 40 Wall Loan, and only for Defendants bound by the tolling agreement. All claims based on the following transactions are time barred:

- The Trump Doral loan closed on June 11, 2012, with a loan to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC. See Affirmation of Clifford S. Robert in Further Support of Stay Pending Appeal ("Robert Reply Aff.") Ex. CC, ๆ115.
- The Ferry Point contract was awarded on February 21, 2012. See Robert Reply Aff. Ex. DD.
- The loan for 401 North Wabash Venture LLC closed on November 9, 2012. See Robert Reply Aff. Ex. CC, © 131.
- Trump Old Post Office LLC was ultimately selected by the GSA in 2012 to redevelop the OPO property and signed a lease for that purpose on August 5, 2013. Id. \| 146 .

As set forth in Appellants' demonstrative exhibit, Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. X, this error alone accounts for about $\$ 351$ million of the overall award, since correctly applying the statute of limitations eliminates any damages associated with the Old Post Office Award, Ferry Point, the Doral Loan, and the Chicago Loan, eliminating $\$ 285$ million in damages before pre-judgment interest, and $\$ 351$ million including pre-judgment interest. See id.

[^1]
## 2. Disgorgement Requires a Showing of Causation.

There is no evidence, and no finding by Supreme Court, that the relevant lenders and insurers would not have given Defendants loans and policies on the same terms in the absence of the supposed "misrepresentations." Opening Brief at 30. The Attorney General argues that this glaring omission in the trial record makes no difference, because "[a]ctual reliance on the misrepresentations is not required under § 63(12) to establish fraud," Opp. Brief at 26, and she "does not need to demonstrate direct losses to victims," Opp. Brief at 27. This argument overlooks a basic principle of the law of disgorgement-the element of causation.

Disgorgement requires a showing of causation between the alleged misconduct and supposedly ill-gotten gains, neither of which exists here. Opening Brief at 30. "[T]he disgorged amount must be 'causally connected to the violation.'" J.P. Morgan Sec. Inc. v. Vigilant Ins. Co., 91 A.D.3d 226, 232-33 (1st Dep't 2011), rev'd on other grounds, 21 N.Y.3d 324 (2013) (emphasis added) (quoting SEC v. First Jersey Sec., Inc., 101 F.3d 1450, 1475 (2d Cir. 1996)). "The amount of disgorgement ordered" must "be a reasonable approximation of profits causally connected to the violation." First Jersey Securities, 101 F.3d at 1475; see also SEC v. First City Fin. Corp., 890 F.2d 1215, 1231 (D.C. Cir. 1989); SEC v. Patel, 61 F.3d 137, 139 (2d Cir. 1995). Thus, "disgorgement extends only to the amount with interest by which the defendant profited from his wrongdoing. Any further sum [constitutes] a penalty assessment." SEC v. Blatt, 583 F.2d 1325, 1336 (5th Cir. 1978); see also, e.g., SEC v. ETS Payphones, Inc., 408 F.3d 727, 735 (11th Cir. 2007) (same); SEC v. MacDonald, 699 F.2d 47, 54 (1st Cir. 1983) (same); Hateley v. SEC, 8 F.3d 653, 656 (9th Cir. 1993) (reversing so-called "disgorgement" exceeding actual gain from fraud); Litton Industries, Inc. v. Lehman Bros. Kuhn Loeb, Inc., 734 F. Supp. 1071, 1076 (S.D.N.Y. 1990). Disgorgement must be based on a showing of "gain causation"-i.e., proof that the amount to be
disgorged was caused by the (alleged) wrongdoing. See, e.g., SEC v. Razmilovic, 822 F. Supp. 2d 234, 260 n. 22 (E.D.N.Y. 2011) (government is "required to prove a causal connection between the fraud and [defendant's] ill-gotten gains for the purposes of disgorgement ... or, in essence, gain causation"); SEC v. Huff, 758 F. Supp. 2d 1288, 1355 (S.D. Fla. 2010) (disgorgement requires showing of "gain causation"); see also SEC v. Global Express Capital Real Estate Inv. Fund, 289 F. App'x 183, 190 (9th Cir. 2008) (disgorgement order must be limited to "the profits causally connected to the violation").

The Attorney General incorrectly argues that "reliance ... is not required" to demonstrate a violation of Executive Law $\S 63(12)$. Opp. Brief at 26. Even if reliance is not required to show a violation, which it is, causation is required to justify the particular remedy of disgorgement-i.e., evidence that the alleged misrepresentations actually induced the banks and insurers to agree to less favorable terms. Here, there is no evidence of that critical point. Indeed, not one witness testified that any bank or insurer would have altered the terms or pricing of any loan or policy due to any alleged misrepresentation in the SFCs. On the contrary, every witness agreed that their business decisions were based on their own analysis, thus defeating any showing of causation.

With respect to Deutsche Bank, Nicholas Haigh, head of risk management for the Americas Private Wealth Management business, testified that all decisions were made based on the bank's own internal analysis. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. Q, $1 \uparrow$ 1 31-74, citing PX-290; PX-291; PX-293; PX-294; PX-298; PX-300; PX302; PX-2960; PX-3137 (Robert Reply Aff. Exs. MM-UU). For example, for the Doral loan, Mr. Haigh testified that President Trump's financial strength was assessed on the basis of Deutsche Bank's own adjusted values, not the guarantor's self-reported estimates, especially as to liquidity and net worth. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. Q, 『| 39. David Williams, a current Deutsche Bank employee, similarly testified that an individual's reported net
worth is largely subjective or subject to the use of estimates and in underwriting a loan, the bank would make adjustments to client-reported numbers to account for this subjectivity. Id. $\mathbb{\|} 77,80$.

Likewise, Jack Weisselberg of Ladder Capital also testified that net worth was not a key factor in refinancing the 40 Wall Street loan. Id. $\llbracket 124$. He testified that, while the stated net worth on the SFC was something that Ladder Capital "would look at in the underwriting process," it was not a "key factor" in the ultimate underwriting decision. Robert Reply Aff. Ex. BB, 1877:11-24. Rather, liquidity played a primary role over the importance of certain contingent liabilities. See id. 1877:11-18.

Similarly, David Cerron of the New York City Parks Department stated that the License Agreement did not require that President Trump submit his SFCs to the Parks Department, and he personally never reviewed the SFC in connection with the Ferry Point agreements. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. Q, q\| 141-142. The Parks Department did not rely on President Trump's Statements of Financial Condition. First, in the award criteria for the concession, the financial capability of the offeror was weighted the lowest-it was only $10 \%$ of the selection criteria. See Robert Reply Aff. Exs. BB, 2819:2-23 and VV, PX-3290 (Request for Offers). Second, during the term of the license, the Parks Department never received any of President Trump's Statements of Financial Condition, which itself takes the Ferry Point agreements out of this case completely. See Robert Reply Aff. Ex. BB, 2844:15-21. Under the Guaranty Agreement, President Trump was required to submit No Material Adverse Change Letters ("No MAC Letters") which were to "reaffirm the initial financial statements that were shared with the city during the award process were in material respects the same." Id. 2804:20-2805:7. Mr. Cerron admitted that when he reviewed the No MAC Letters, he "was not reviewing them to determine whether President Trump had the financial capability to perform the contract." Id. 2844:22-25 (emphasis added). The
determination of whether someone has the financial capability to perform under the contract is made during the award process (which concluded in February of 2012). Id. 2845:1-13; Robert Reply Aff. Exs. EE, PX-3291; DD, DX-981. The sole remedy for failure to submit No MAC Letters under the Guaranty was to increase the security deposit to a maximum of $\$ 470,000$. See Robert Reply Aff. Ex. BB, 2832:18-21.

In sum, no witness ever stated that any business decision would have changed, given additional information about the SFCs. Accordingly, the alleged misstatements are not "causally connected to" the supposedly ill-gotten gains, J.P. Morgan Securities, 91 A.D.3d at 232-33; First Jersey Securities, 101 F.3d at 1475, and disgorgement is unavailable as a remedy. The Attorney General has no answer to this fundamental point. Nowhere does she cite any evidence that the SFCs caused the banks or insurers to enter into any transactions that they would not otherwise have entered into-especially not when confronted with ironclad disclaimers.

## 3. Supreme Court's Valuation of Mar-a-Lago Is Indefensible.

In its summary judgment order, Supreme Court relied on a tax assessment to value Mar-aLago at "between $\$ 18$ million and $\$ 27.6$ million," and thus accused Defendants of "an overvaluation of at least 2,300\%, compared with the [tax] assessor's appraisal." Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. L at 26 (italics in original). This reliance on a tax assessment "is based on a misunderstanding of basic real estate practice," and the Palm Beach County Appraiser's Office confirmed that its assessment does "not [reflect] the market value." ${ }^{3}$ The chief property appraiser for Palm Beach County stated that its assessments were "for tax purposes only and not for

[^2]financing or ... for a lending institution. ${ }^{, 4}$ Yet in its post-trial decision and order, Supreme Court doubled down on this erroneous determination, holding that Defendants overvalued Mar-a-Lago by "possibly a billion dollars or more." Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. R at 77. This holding is indefensible.

Supreme Court disregarded unrebutted evidence that Mar-a-Lago's market value was higher than the price listed on the supporting data to the SFC every year from 2011-2021. See Robert Reply Aff. Ex. BB, 6121:11-6126:9. Trial evidence established that Mar-A-Lago could have sold for $\$ 705$ million in 2011 to $\$ 1.215$ billion in 2021 (including membership sales), which greatly exceeds the values listed in the supporting data to the SFCs-which ranged from $\$ 347,761,431$ to $\$ 739,452,519$. See id., 6121:11-6126:9; Robert Reply Aff. Exs. WW-GGG, PX708, PX-719, PX-731, PX-742, PX-758, PX-774, PX-788, PX-793, PX-843, PX-857, PX-1501. Supreme Court also did not consider that Mar-A-Lago is a property in a league of its own because of its history, architecture, finishes, characteristics, as well as the prime and unique location in Palm Beach spanning from the lake, the intracoastal waterway, to the Atlantic Ocean, see Robert Reply Aff. Ex. BB, 6111:10-14. See id., 6106:18-6108:9, 6116:4-12, 6133:5-13, 6134:7-6135:1, 6135:21-6136:10, 6140:10-17. ${ }^{5}$

Supreme Court and the Attorney General contend that Mar-a-Lago should not have been valued as a private residence, but only as a club. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. R at 66-67, 78; Opp.

[^3]Brief at 5,25. That is their sole basis for arguing that Mar-a-Lago was overvalued. See id. This holding is hard to square with the fact that President Trump is currently using Mar-a-Lago as his permanent private residence, and has been doing so for years. In any event, the Attorney General disregards unrebutted evidence that no prohibition exists on Mar-A-Lago being used and valued as a single-family residence, or the fact that its use as a club adds to its value. See Robert Reply Aff. Exs. FF-LL, DX-478, DX-359, DX-360, PX-1013, DX-427, DX-429, DX-484. As explained by a preeminent Florida land use lawyer, when read together as they must be, the Deed of Conservation and Presentation Easement to the National Trust for Historic Preservation, dated March 26, 1995, the Deed of Development Rights, recorded on October 17, 2002, the Rules of The Mar-A-Lago Club, the Town of Palm Beach's zoning code, and the Town of Palm Beach's decision to allow President Trump to actually use Mar-A-Lago as a residence, all support the unrebutted conclusion that no prohibition exists on Mar-A-Lago being used and valued as a private residence. ${ }^{6}$ See id. Ex. BB, 6060:24-6061:3, 6061:16-21; 6062:14-24; 6066:12-17; 6068:7-13; 6068:14-10; 6075:10-6076:20; 6077:2-11; 6078:4-6082:8; 6083:6-19 and Exs. HH, JJ-KK, FF, LL, DX-360, DX-427, DX-429, DX-478; DX-484; see also Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. Q, q\| 570-572, citing DX.478, DX-359, DX-360, PX-1013, DX-427, DX-429, DX-484 (Robert Reply Aff. Exs. FF-LL).

## 4. Supreme Court Triple-Counted Damages on the Old Post Office Sale.

In 2012, the U.S. General Services Administration ("GSA") awarded the Trump Old Post Office, LLC ("OPO"), a contract to redevelop the Old Post Office property. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. Q, 『 6. On August 12, 2014, OPO closed on a loan with Deutsche Bank in connection with the Old Post Office. ("OPO Loan"). See id., \| 8 . On May 11, 2022, nearly ten years after the

[^4]original loan, President Trump sold the redeveloped Old Post Office for $\$ 375$ million and used $\$ 170$ million of those proceeds to repay the Deutsche Bank loan. See Robert Reply Aff. Ex. III, qT1 570-571. Supreme Court awarded disgorgement of the "profits" from the Old Post Office in the total amount of nearly $\$ 220$ million. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. R at 83. This award reflects three elementary errors.

## a. Supreme Court conflates proceeds with profits from sale.

First, with respect to the Old Post Office sale, Supreme Court conflated the proceeds of the sale with actual profits from the transaction. Supreme Court held that certain Defendants "are jointly and severally liable, in the amount of $\$ 126,828,600$, for the ill-gotten profits Donald Trump netted from the sale of the Old Post Office." Id. at 83. However, the testimony and exhibit the Attorney General cited make clear that that figure was the amount of proceeds from the transaction. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. P, 『l 221, citing 3626:1-24 and PX-1373 (Robert Reply Aff. Exs. BB, HHH). That figure is the sale proceeds distributed to President Trump and his children after repaying the mortgage and other associated costs, not the profit. To calculate profits from a sale, one must deduct equity from the proceeds of the sale, i.e., the value of the seller's cumulative investment in the property prior to the sale. Neither Supreme Court nor the Attorney General bothered to do so-nor presented any evidence of that figure. Thus, Supreme Court's conclusion that the nearly $\$ 135$ million proceeds of the Old Post Office sale constituted "net profits received on its sale" overstates the amount of disgorgement by at least tens of millions of dollars.

## b. Supreme Court double-counts disgorgement from OPO sale.

Second, Supreme Court punished Defendants for entering into the Old Post Office loan by awarding disgorgement in the sum of both (1) their purported interest-rate savings in obtaining that loan and (2) their alleged "profits" from the sale of Old Post Office. See Robert Urgency Aff.

Ex. A. This is manifest double-counting. If Appellants improperly "gained" interest-rate savings, which they did not, then the amount of the interest-rate differential alone would serve to make any purportedly aggrieved party whole. To also order disgorgement of "profits" for the sale of the same property, in addition to alleged interest-differential "gains," is duplicative, and thus purely, wrongfully punitive. The Attorney General is "not entitled to punitive damages or treble damages, or both, from respondent," as "Executive Law Section 63(12) does not provide for either of these extraordinary remedies and petitioner is limited to obtaining restitution or compensatory damages" alongside an injunction. See State by Abrams v. Solil Mgt. Corp., 128 Misc. 2d 767, 773 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cty. 1985), aff'd, 114 A.D.2d 1057 (1st Dep't 1985); see also State by Lefkowitz v. Hotel Waldorf-Astoria Corp., 67 Misc. 2d 90, 92 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cty. 1971). Any award of disgorgement in excess of actual damages caused by Appellants' alleged misconduct is impermissibly punitive. See People ex rel. Spitzer v. Direct Revenue, LLC, 19 Misc. 3d 1124(A) at *7-8 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cty. 2008) (finding disgorgement only available "in an amount related to the actual damages caused by the misconduct," since "[d]isgorgement of respondents' profits to the state would effectively constitute punitive damages not authorized by statute.").

## c. Courts do not disgorge income derived from ill-gotten proceeds.

Third, even in cases where disgorgement of the proceeds of fraud is applied, as it is not here, "a court cannot order disgorgement of income derived from the ill-gotten proceeds." SEC $v$. Govil, 86 F.4th 89, 107 (2d Cir. 2023) (emphasis added); SEC v. Hallam, 42 F.4th 316, 329 (5th Cir. 2022) ("disgorgement could not include 'income earned on ill-gotten profits""); SEC v. Manor Nursing Centers, Inc., 458 F.2d 1082, 1104 (2d Cir. 1972) (holding that the lower "court erred in ordering appellants to [disgorge] profits and income earned on such proceeds"). Here, included in the $\$ 464$ million judgment, was approximately $\$ 186$ million, plus interest, representing profits

Supreme Court found Defendants to have made from the sale of the Old Post Office and Ferry Point. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. R at 83-84. Thus, Supreme Court ordered Defendants not merely to disgorge allegedly ill-gotten gains from more favorable loan terms, but also to disgorge profits and income earned on the loan proceeds. This was improper. "[O]rdering the disgorging of profits and income earned on the proceeds is in fact a penalty assessment," not disgorgement. Manor Nursing Centers, 458 F.2d at 1104.

## 5. The Award Is Grossly Disproportionate and Unconstitutional.

Both the United States and New York Constitutions prohibit "excessive fines." U.S. Const. Amend. VIII; N.Y. Const. Art. I, § 5; see Opening Brief at 29-33. A fine is constitutionally excessive if it is "grossly disproportional to the gravity of [the defendant's] offense." United States v. Bajakajian, 524 U.S. 321, 324 (1998); County of Nassau v. Canavan, 1 N.Y.3d 134, 140 (2003).

Supreme Court's disgorgement award clearly qualifies as a "fine." The Excessive Fines Clause applies to all "payments, whether in cash or in kind," ordered to be paid to the state, including in "civil proceeding," if the payment is a "penalty" or assessed "in part" for a "punitive" purpose such as "deterrence." Austin v. United States, 509 U.S. 602, 609-610 (1993); see Canavan, 1 N.Y.3d at 139-40 (quoting Austin); United States v. Viloski, 814 F.3d 104, 108-09 (2d Cir. 2016). Here, Supreme Court explicitly stated that disgorgement under Exec. Law § 63(12) was intended "to deter wrongdoing." Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. R at 81 (quoting People v. Ernst \& Young, LLP, 114 A.D.3d 569 (1st Dep't 2014)). Indeed, Supreme Court went so far as to erroneously state that Ernst \& Young authorized disgorgement as a "penalty." Id. at 82. Moreover, in Kokesh v. SEC, 581 U.S. 455 (2017), the U.S. Supreme Court held that "disgorgement is imposed for punitive purposes" and that whenever "an individual is made to pay a noncompensatory sanction to the Government as a consequence of a legal violation, the payment operates as a penalty." Id. at 463-

64; see also SEC v. Metter, 706 F. App'x 699, 703 (2d Cir. 2017) (assuming that "in light of the Supreme Court's recent decision in Kokesh . . . disgorgement [is] essentially punitive in nature and thus [is] a fine within the meaning of the Excessive Fines Clause of the Eighth Amendment").

The $\$ 464$ million penalty is also "grossly disproportional." To determine whether an ordered payment is "grossly disproportionate to the gravity of the offense," both this Court and federal courts consider (1) the "essence" and "seriousness" of the (alleged) offense; (2) the "maximum . . . fine that could have been imposed" under penal statutes prohibiting the conduct at issue; and (3) the "severity of the harm" caused by the defendant's conduct. Canavan, 1 N.Y. 2 d at 140; Viloski, 814 F.3d at 110. All these factors dictate that the award is grossly disproportional.

The first factor-seriousness of the alleged offenses-overwhelmingly favors the Defendants. In its rulings on the Attorney General's Second through Seventh Causes of Action, Supreme Court identified the Penal Law provisions that Defendants allegedly violated. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. R at 77-81. Under these provisions, the offenses that Defendants supposedly committed are mere misdemeanors. See id. A $\$ 464$ million penalty for misdemeanor offenses is, on its face, grotesquely disproportionate. Indeed, this Court has struck down a fine of only \$2,000 as excessive punishment for a misdemeanor offense. See Prince v. City of New York, 108 A.D.3d 114 (1st Dept. 2013).

Second, the Penal Law provisions that the Attorney General incorrectly alleges that the Defendants violated authorize fines in the thousands of dollars, ranging from $\$ 1,000$ for the Class A misdemeanors, to $\$ 5,000$ for the Class B misdemeanors, to $\$ 10,000$ for corporate offensesthough fines up to "double the amount of the defendant's gain from the commission of the offense" N.Y. Penal Law §80.05(5), or "the corporation's gain," id. §80.10(1)(e), are allowed. On its face, a $\$ 464$ million sanction is wildly disproportional to these figures. See Bajakajian, 524 U.S. at

324 (forfeiture of $\$ 357,144$ violated the Eighth Amendment where maximum fine for offense at issue, misreporting how much currency defendant was taking out of country, was $\$ 5,000$ ).

The final factor-the "severity of the harm" caused by Defendants' conduct-also proves the unconstitutionality of the $\$ 464$ million order, because no actual harm was ever alleged or shown here. As Supreme Court acknowledged, Defendants made full and timely payments to the multi-billion-dollar financial institutions and insurance companies that eagerly extended credit and underwrote insurance policies for Defendants. See Robert Urgency Aff. Ex. R at 4 ("undisputed that defendants have made all required payments on time"). Indeed, Supreme Court expressly held that no "showing or allegation of direct losses" to any party, whether "consumers or the public" or the financial institutions involved, was required here. Id. at 81. Without any actual harm, an astronomical \$464 million forfeiture plainly violates the Excessive Fines Clause, of both the New York and federal Constitutions. Bajakajian, 524 U.S. at 324 (forfeiture of \$357,144 violated the Eighth Amendment where harm shown was "minimal").

In addition, a "grossly excessive" damages award also "violates the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment." BMW of N.A., Inc. v. Gore, 517 U.S. 559, 568 (1996); see Parker v. Time Warner Entm't Co., 331 F.3d 13, 22 (2d Cir. 2003) ("devastatingly large" damage award "out of all reasonable proportion to the actual harm suffered" violates due process); see also St. Louis, Iron Mountain \& S. Ry. Co. v. Williams, 251 U.S. 63, 66-67 (1919) (states cannot impose penalties "so severe and oppressive as to be wholly disproportioned to the offense and obviously unreasonable"). Although the U.S. Supreme Court has "decline[d] . . . to impose a bright-line ratio," it has indicated that a monetary sanction exceeding a "single digit" multiplier of actual, compensatory damages will in most cases violate Due Process. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Campbell, 538 U.S. 408, 425 (2003). Here, no actual, compensatory damage of any kind was
shown; the "actual, compensatory damages" are \$0.00. The $\$ 464$ million order, far from applying a "single digit" multiplier, applies an infinite multiplier and is hence on its face "grossly excessive."

For closely related reasons, the Attorney General's conduct is unconstitutional because it constitutes selective prosecution of the worst sort, as her conduct during her campaign, and after her election, unequivocally proves. Opening Brief at 22-24. In her campaign for Attorney General, Ms. James called then-President Trump "an illegitimate president" and pledged to deploy the full might of her Office against him if elected. ${ }^{7}$ In one campaign video, she described him as "illegitimate," "incompetent," "ill-equipped to serve in the highest office of the land," and someone who should "be indicted for criminal offenses" by "attorneys general across the land." In another campaign video, Ms. James promised "to take on President Donald Trump."9 In her election acceptance speech, she called President Trump someone who "stands as an affront to all that I believe in and all that this country and this state represents, and someone who we must keep in check by the long arm of the law." ${ }^{10}$ As the Washington Post put it, "On the night of her victory, she stood in front of supporters in Brooklyn and all but declared a war against Trump."11 The Attorney General has no convincing response to this point.

[^5]
## CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, this Court should stay the execution of the monetary portion of the judgment without requiring the posting of an undertaking, and maintain all other aspects of the interim stay granted on February 28,2024 . If the Court considers denying a stay on any issue, Defendants respectfully request that this Court schedule this stay motion for oral argument at a time of the Court's convenience. In the event that this Court declines to grant a stay, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant Defendants permission to appeal to the Court of Appeals and enter a temporary stay to allow them to seek relief from the Court of Appeals. ${ }^{12}$

[^6]Dated: New York, New York

March 17, 2024
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SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST DEPARTMENT
-------------------------------------------------------------------
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by LETITIA JAMES, Attorney General of the State of New York,

Plaintiff-Respondent, -against-

DONALD J. TRUMP, DONALD TRUMP, JR., ERIC TRUMP, ALLEN WEISSELBERG, JEFFREY MCCONNEY, THE DONALD J. TRUMP
REVOCABLE TRUST, THE TRUMP
ORGANIZATION, INC., TRUMP
ORGANIZATION LLC, DJT HOLDINGS LLC, DJT HOLDINGS MANAGING MEMBER, TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12 LLC, 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC, TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC, 40 WALL STREET LLC, and SEVEN SPRINGS LLC,

## Defendants-Appellants,

IVANKA TRUMP,

## Defendant.

I, GARY GIULIETTI, affirm this 15 th day of March, 2024, under the penalties of perjury under the laws of New York, which may include a fine or imprisonment, that the foregoing is true, and I understand that this document may be filed in an action or proceeding in a court of law:

1. I am the President of the Northeast for the Lockton Companies ("Lockton"), the largest privately held insurance brokerage firm in the world.
2. I have been engaged by defendants Donald J. Trump, Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump, The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, The Trump Organization, Inc., The Trump

Organization, LLC, DJT Holdings LLC, DJT Holdings Managing Member, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, and 40 Wall Street LLC (collectively, "Defendants") to assist them in obtaining a bond in connection with the abovecaptioned appeals.
3. Based upon my more than 50 years in the insurance industry as well as my actual experience over the past several weeks during which I have been in contact with some of the largest insurance carriers in the world in an effort to try and obtain a bond for Defendants, it is my opinion that obtaining an appeal bond for $\$ 464$ million (the "Judgment Amount") is not possible under the circumstances presented.

## Background

4. Lockton is the largest privately held insurance brokerage firm in the world with nearly 10,000 associates representing the interests of some 65,000 clients across more than 135 offices and annual revenues in excess of $\$ 3$ billion.
5. Prior to joining Lockton in August of 2000, I was the Vice-Chairman of Willis, one of the largest insurance brokers in the world. At Willis, I was responsible for managing the company's real estate and construction portfolio.
6. During the course of my career, I have worked closely with virtually every major insurance company on almost every type of insurance product.
7. I also have extensive surety risk experience, having placed surety for numerous Fortune 1000 companies as well as some of the largest private-equity funds and real-estate developers in the United States. This includes multi-billion-dollar construction and development projects, such as the "Big-Dig" in Boston and the Port Authority in New York. Indeed, Lockton
has an entire department devoted to surety and underwrites thousands of bonds a year, including numerous appeal bonds.
8. As a result, I have substantial personal knowledge of and experience with the underwriting process and criteria associated with the issuance of surety bonds in a wide variety of commercial contexts.

## Obtaining A Bond for \$464 Million Is Impossible Under the Circumstances

9. Over the last several weeks, my team and I, along with others engaged by Defendants, have been diligently working to obtain an appeal bond for Defendants for the Judgment Amount.
10. Among other things, these efforts, which began before the judgment was issued and have continued through the date of my Affirmation, have included reaching out to virtually every major surety in the market and spending countless hours negotiating with one of the largest insurance companies in the world.
11. Despite scouring the market, we have been unsuccessful in our effort to obtain a bond for the Judgment Amount for Defendants for the simple reason that obtaining an appeal bond for $\$ 464$ million is a practical impossibility under the circumstances presented.
12. As an initial matter, only a handful of sureties are approved by the U.S. Department of Treasury to underwrite bonds for a sum as high as the Judgment Amount. See https://fiscal.treasury.gov/surety-bonds/list-certified-companies.htmul. Of those sureties, many have internal policies which significantly limit the amount of a bond they will write for a single obligation; indeed, it is my understanding that they will generally only issue a single bond up to $\$ 100$ million.
13. Furthermore, none of these sureties will accept hard assets such as real estate as collateral. Instead, they will only accept cash or cash equivalents (such as marketable securities). Among the companies that will not accept real estate as collateral are AXA XL, Hartford, Nationwide, Sompo, Travelers, Berkshire Hathaway, CNA Casualty, Liberty Mutual and many others.
14. This is because sureties are generally (i) not in the business and therefore not equipped to manage, control, or dispose of real property; (ii) not willing to take the risk of having to sell off real estate quickly should a claim be made under a bond; and (iii) unable to offset the risk associated with underwriting a bond collateralized by real property because reinsurers are unwilling to insure such a bond.
15. While it is possible that Defendants could provide a surety with an irrevocable letter of credit ("ILOC") as collateral, that ILOC would still typically have to be fully backed by cash or cash equivalents.
16. Simply put, a bond of this size is rarely, if ever, seen. In the unusual circumstance that a bond of this size is issued, it is provided to the largest public companies in the world, not to individuals or privately held businesses.
17. In the surety world, a bond of $\$ 100$ million is considered large; an appeal bond of $\$ 464$ million is commercially unattainable for a privately owned company. Such would be the case even for a company with billions of dollars in real estate unless they have cash or cash equivalents approaching $\$ 1$ billion so as to collateralize the bond and have sufficient capital to run the business and satisfy its other obligations. While it is my understanding that the Trump Organization is in a strong liquidity position, it does not have $\$ 1$ billion in cash or cash equivalents.
18. As a result, for a company such as The Trump Organization, which has most of its assets invested in real estate, obtaining a bond for $\$ 464$ million is a practical impossibility.

## Even if it Were Possible, the Cost of Obtaining a Bond for the Entire Judgment Amount Would Be Punitive

19. Based on my experience, most sureties also require collateral of approximately $120 \%$ of the amount of the judgment. In this case, the Judgment Amount is $\$ 464,576,430.62$. As a result, even assuming there was a surety capable of writing a bond for the full Judgment Amount, that surety would require Defendants to hand over collateral in the form of cash or cash equivalents of approximately $\$ 557,491,716$.
20. Further, most sureties typically charge a premium in the range of $2 \%$ per year and require that the premium for the first two years be paid up front. This means that on a $\$ 464,576,430.62$ bond, the upfront premium would be approximately $\$ 18,583,057$, payable immediately upon issuance of the bond. In the event that the appeal process was to take longer than two years, an additional upfront premium would be due in the same amount of $\$ 18,583,057$.
21. As a result, even if it were possible to obtain a bond for the Judgment Amount (and based on my experience, it is not), the cost associated with obtaining such a bond would be so astronomical as to render it both crippling and punitive.

## Conclusion

22. Over the course of my career, during which I have been directly or indirectly involved in the issuance of thousands of bonds, I have never heard of nor seen an appeal bond of this size for a private company or individual. This is why, I understand, most states cap the amount that an appellant is required to post in order to obtain an appeal bond.
23. For all of the foregoing reasons and after substantial good-faith effort over the last several weeks, obtaining an appeal bond for the Judgment Amount of over $\$ 464$ million is just not possible under these circumstances.

Dated: Palm Beach Gardens, Florida
March 15, 2024


SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK APPELLATE DIVISION: FIRST DEPARTMENT

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, by
LETITIA JAMES, Attorney General of the State of New York,

Plaintiff-Respondent,
-against-
DONALD J. TRUMP, DONALD TRUMP, JR., ERIC TRUMP, ALLEN WEISSELBERG, JEFFREY MCCONNEY, THE DONALD J. TRUMP
REVOCABLE TRUST, THE TRUMP
ORGANIZATION, INC., TRUMP
ORGANIZATION LLC, DJT HOLDINGS LLC, DJT HOLDINGS MANAGING MEMBER, TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12 LLC, 401 NORTH
WABASH VENTURE LLC, TRUMP OLD
POST OFFICE LLC, 40 WALL STREET LLC, and SEVEN SPRINGS LLC,

Defendants-Appellants,
IVANKA TRUMP,

## Defendant.

ALAN GARTEN, an attorney duly admitted to practice law before the Courts of the State of New York, hereby affirms the following statements to be true under the penalty of perjury:

1. I am General Counsel to defendants-appellants The Trump Organization, Inc., Trump Organization LLC, DJT Holdings LLC, DJT Holdings Managing Member, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC and 40 Wall Street LLC ("Defendants").
2. I respectfully submit this Affirmation in further support of Defendants' motion requesting a discretionary stay pending appeal relieving Defendants of their obligation to secure
an appeal bond in the full amount of the judgment entered in this case by the Supreme Court on February 23, 2024 (the "Judgment").
3. The Judgment awards purported "disgorgement" damages, directing the Defendants to pay the unprecedented sum of $\$ 464,576,430.62$. While Defendants are financially stable companies and individuals with substantial assets, given the magnitude of the award and accrued interest, Defendants' only recourse is the utilization of their vast real estate holdings to collateralize a bond for the full amount of the Judgment.
4. Defendants have devoted a substantial amount of time, money, and effort toward obtaining a bond for the Judgment. Despite the foregoing, Defendants have faced what have proven to be insurmountable difficulties in obtaining an appeal bond for the full $\$ 464$ million. Critical among these challenges is not just the inability and reluctance of the vast majority of sureties to underwrite a bond for this unprecedented sum, but, even more significantly, the unwillingness of every surety bond provider approached by Defendants to accept real estate as collateral.
5. Defendants, through four separate brokers, including Lockton, the largest privately held insurance broker in the world, have approached more than 30 surety companies, proposing to pledge as collateral a combination of cash or cash equivalents and unencumbered real estate holdings. ${ }^{1}$
6. Unfortunately, we have been advised that there are only a handful of sureties in the market that have both the financial capability and willingness to underwrite a bond of this

[^7]magnitude. According to Defendants' brokers, the vast majority simply do not have the financial strength to handle a bond of this size. Of those that do, the vast majority are unwilling to accept the risk associated with such a large bond.
7. Of even greater import, we are advised that none of the sureties approached by Defendants' brokers are willing to accept hard assets such as real estate as collateral for appeal bonds.
8. While Defendants had been actively negotiating a bond collateralized by both liquid assets and real property with Chubb, one of the largest insurance companies in the world, within the past week, Chubb notified Defendants that it could not accept real property as collateral. Though disappointing, this decision was not surprising given that Chubb was the only surety willing to even consider accepting real estate as collateral.
9. For Defendants, this presents a major obstacle.
10. Defendants' primary business is the ownership, development, and management of commercial and residential real estate. Three of the Defendants, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC and 40 Wall Street LLC, are special purpose entities whose sole assets are real estate. ${ }^{2}$ Defendants DJT Holdings LLC and DJT Holdings Managing Member are primarily holding companies whose main assets are real estate. ${ }^{3}$
11. As a result, there is simply no way for Defendants to tap into the substantial equity in these properties needed to collateralize a bond for $\$ 464$ million without causing irreparable harm.

[^8]12. Even assuming sureties were willing to accept real estate (they are not), the requirement to post a bond for the full amount of the Judgment would be extremely costly and unfairly punitive, potentially impacting Defendants' ability to sustain their business, retain employees and satisfy their other financial obligations. As shown in the accompanying Affirmation of Gary Giulietti, President of the Northeast for Lockton, most sureties typically require $120 \%$ collateral and charge a premium in the range of $2 \%$ per year with the first two years paid up front.
13. This means that on a $\$ 464$ million bond, Defendants would have to post collateral in excess of $\$ 557$ million. It also means that the upfront premium for the bond would be more than $\$ 18$ million, payable immediately. In the event the appeal process went more than two years, I am advised that Defendants would then be obligated to pay an additional $\$ 18$ million upfront premium.
14. Given these exceptional circumstances, Defendants respectfully request that the Court exercise its discretion and relieve Defendants of their obligation to secure an appeal bond in the full amount of the Judgment.
15. For more than one year prior to the Judgment, Defendants have been prohibited from disposing, transferring or otherwise conveying material assets without the approval of the Independent Monitor. The Judgment directs that the Monitor will remain in her oversight role during the pendency of the appeal.
16. Though Defendants strongly disagree with the Judgment, they have not requested a stay of that aspect of the relief. As a result, there is simply no risk that any of Defendants' material assets will be disposed, transferred, or otherwise conveyed without the approval of the Independent Monitor during the pendency of the appeal.
17. Together with a reduced bond, the Attorney General thus has more than enough certainty that the status quo will be maintained during the pendency of the appeal and that any judgment affirmed would be satisfied.

Dated: New York, New York
March 17, 2024
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Appeal Nos: 2024-01134
2024-01135

Sup. Ct. New York County
Index No. 452564/2022
(Engoron, J.S.C.)

## AFFIRMATION OF CLIFFORD S. ROBERT IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF A STAY PENDING APPEAL

CLIFFORD S. ROBERT, an attorney duly admitted to practice law before the Courts of the State of New York, hereby affirms the following statements to be true under the penalties of perjury:

1. I am the principal of the law firm of Robert \& Robert PLLC, attorneys for Defendants-Appellants Donald J. Trump, Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump, The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, The Trump Organization, Inc., Trump Organization LLC, DJT Holdings LLC,

DJT Holdings Managing Member, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, 40 Wall Street LLC, and Seven Springs LLC. I am fully familiar with the facts and circumstances set forth herein based on the files and materials maintained by my firm.
2. This Affirmation is submitted in further support of the joint application of Defendants-Appellants Donald J. Trump, Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump, Allen Weisselberg, Jeffrey McConney, The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, The Trump Organization, Inc., Trump Organization LLC, DJT Holdings LLC, DJT Holdings Managing Member, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, 40 Wall Street LLC, and Seven Springs LLC (collectively, "Appellants") brought by Order to Show Cause pursuant to CPLR § 5519(c) for a stay pending appeal of the Decision and Order of the Honorable Arthur F. Engoron, J.S.C., dated February 16, 2024, duly entered by the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, on February 16, 2024, and reduced to Judgment on February 23, 2024 (the "Judgment").
3. On February 28, 2024, a Justice of this Court granted Appellants' motion for an interim stay of the Judgment, over Respondent's opposition, insofar as the Judgment enjoined (1) the individual Appellants from serving in the financial control function of any New York corporation or similar business entity registered and/or licensed in New York State, (2) the individual Appellants from serving as an officer or director of any New York corporation or other legal entity in New York, and (3) certain individual and corporate Appellants from applying for loans from any financial institution chartered by or registered with the New York State Department of Financial Services for three years. The branch of Appellants' application seeking an interim stay
of enforcement of the monetary judgment against them was denied. Appeal No. 2024-01134, NYSCEF Doc. No. 6.
4. As previously noted in the Affirmation of Urgency of the undersigned dated February 28,2024 , the parties commenced a highly publicized, three-month non-jury trial on October 2, 2023. Appeal No. 2024-01134, NYSCEF Doc. No. 3, Affirmation of Clifford S. Robert -| 26. Testimony concluded on December 13, 2023. Id. ๆ| 29. Annexed hereto as Exhibit BB are the consolidated trial transcripts for each day of trial between October 2, 2023, and December 13, 2023.
5. Annexed hereto as Exhibit CC is a copy of Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts, dated September 1, 2023.
6. Annexed hereto as Exhibit DD is a copy of the license agreement between Trump Ferry Point LLC and the City of New York Department of Parks and Recreation, dated February 21, 2012, marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 981.
7. Annexed hereto as Exhibit EE is a copy of the Recommendation for Award of Concession Agreement issued by the New York City Department of Parks \& Recreation to Trump Ferry Point LLC, dated February 7, 2012, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3291.
8. Annexed hereto as Exhibit FF is a copy of a document entitled "The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan," submitted to the Town of Palm Beach Building \& Zoning Department on or about March 12, 1993, marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 478.
9. Annexed hereto as Exhibit GG is a copy of the Declaration of Use Agreement by The Town of Palm Beach, The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc., and Donald J. Trump, dated August 10, 1993, marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 359.
10. Annexed hereto as Exhibit HH is a copy of the Deed of Conservation and Preservation Easement from Donald J. Trump to the National Trust For Historic Preservation in the United States, dated March 26, 1995, marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 360.
11. Annexed hereto as Exhibit II is a copy of the Deed of Development Rights applicable to Mar-a-Lago, recorded on October 17, 2002, in the County of Palm Beach, Florida, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1013.
12. Annexed hereto as Exhibit JJ is a copy of the Rules of the Mar-a-Lago Club, marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 427.
13. Annexed hereto as Exhibit KK is a copy of the Memorandum Re: Mar-a-Lago Trump Residency from the Town Attorney to the Mayor and City Council of the Town of Palm Beach, marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 429.
14. Annexed hereto as Exhibit $L L$ is a copy of the Minutes of the Town Council Meeting held on February 9, 2021, for the Town of Palm Beach, wherein the President of the Town Council stated that nothing would prohibit President Trump from residing at Mar-a-Lago, marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 484.
15. Annexed hereto as Exhibit MM is a copy of the Credit Report - CRE (Annual Review) prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with the loan extended to Appellant Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, dated July 24, 2013, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 290.
16. Annexed hereto as Exhibit NN is a copy of the Structured Credit Report prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with a loan extended to Appellant 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, dated October 24, 2012, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 291.
17. Annexed hereto as Exhibit $\mathbf{O O}$ is a copy of the Structured Credit Report prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with a loan to be extended to an "SPV acceptable to the Lender," dated December 20, 2011, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 293.
18. Annexed hereto as Exhibit PP is a copy of the Structured Credit Report prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with loans extended to Appellants Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, and Trump Old Post Office LLC, dated May 2, 2014, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 294.
19. Annexed hereto as Exhibit QQ is a copy of the Structured Credit Report prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with loans extended to Appellants Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, and Trump Old Post Office LLC, dated July 20, 2015, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 298.
20. Annexed hereto as Exhibit RR is a copy of the Structured Credit Report prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with loans extended to Appellants Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, and Trump Old Post Office LLC, dated July 20, 2016, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 300.
21. Annexed hereto as Exhibit SS is a copy of the Structured Credit Report prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with loans extended to Appellants Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, and Trump Old Post Office LLC, dated July 20, 2018, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 302.
22. Annexed hereto as Exhibit TT is a copy of the Structured Credit Report prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with a loan extended to Appellant Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, dated August 3, 2015, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2960.
23. Annexed hereto as Exhibit UU is a copy of the Structured Credit Report prepared by Deutsche Bank in connection with loans extended to Appellants Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, and Trump Old Post Office LLC, dated July 20, 2017, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3137.
24. Annexed hereto as Exhibit VV is a copy of the New York City Department of Parks \& Recreation's Request for Offers for the operation and maintenance of a golf course at Ferry Point Park in the Bronx, dated February 19, 2010, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3290.
25. Annexed hereto as Exhibit WW is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2013, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 708.
26. Annexed hereto as Exhibit $\mathbf{X X}$ is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2014, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 719.
27. Annexed hereto as Exhibit YY is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2015, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 731.
28. Annexed hereto as Exhibit $\mathbf{Z Z}$ is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2016, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 742.
29. Annexed hereto as Exhibit AAA is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 758.
30. Annexed hereto as Exhibit BBB is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2018, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 774.
31. Annexed hereto as Exhibit CCC is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2011, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 788.
32. Annexed hereto as Exhibit DDD is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2012, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 793.
33. Annexed hereto as Exhibit EEE is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2019, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 843.
34. Annexed hereto as Exhibit FFF is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2020, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 857.
35. Annexed hereto as Exhibit GGG is a copy of President Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2021, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1501.
36. Annexed hereto as Exhibit HHH is a copy of email correspondence and a document attachment showing a breakdown of the proceeds from the sale of the Old Post Office based on a closing of May 11, 2022, marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1373.
37. Annexed hereto as Exhibit III is a copy of Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Rule 202.8-g Statement of Material Facts, dated September 1, 2023.

Dated: Uniondale, New York
March 17, 2024
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THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. I'm Judge Arthur Engoron, and that is the correct pronunciation of my surname. En-gor-on, not Eng-o-ron or even worse En-gu-ron.

Before we start the actual trial in this matter, I'll hear from the attorney from the various news organizations, who, last Friday, filed an application for certain forms of press access.

MR. BALIN: Good morning, your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning.
MR. BALIN: Robert Balin of Davis Wright
Tremaine, LLP for 18 news organizations that made a press application for access on Friday.

Your Honor, I start by saying I want to thank the Court. I note the Administrative Judge of this section is here, as well as the Director of Public Information for the Court System; and, I'd like to thank you because you've granted, already, many of the requests that were in our Friday letter.

I have only two requests to make of your Honor this morning. First, is to permit a pool television camera person to televise the opening and closing statements of the defense counsel and the Attorney General.

I'd start by saying I've asked both sides their

## ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
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BY: CHRISTOPHER M. KISE, ESQ. LAZARO P. FIELDS, ESQ. JESUS M. SUAREZ, ESQ.

ROBERT \& ROBERT, PLLC
ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
526 RXR PLAZA
Uniondale, New York 11556
BY: CLIFFORD S. ROBERT, ESQ.
HABBA MADAIO \& ASSOCIATES, LLP
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1430 US Highway 296, Suite 240
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921
BY: ALINA HABBA, ESQ.
MORIAN LAW, PLLC
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60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4600
New York, New York 10165
BY: ARMEN MORIAN, ESQ.

LISA M. DE CRESCENZO,<br>LISA CASEY<br>OFFICIAL COUURT REPORTERS

positions. The New York Attorney General does not oppose. Defense counsel does not take any position and leaves it to your Honor. Your Honor, I believe if ever there was a case that justified the public understanding what their legal arguments are -- it's not about witnesses. What the legal arguments are in this case is of such great significance, this is that case.

We have a pool TV cameraman outside. His name is Tracey Reede. He's from NBC. He has a Whitehouse press pass, a State Department press pas and a Defense Department press pass. I would note, your Honor, that televising of opening and closing arguments was allowed by a Judge in Westchester County in People v. Olivo in 2008, three years after the Courtroom Television Network case.

We believe it is permitted, and, it is up to your Honor. It's within your discretion. There are various factors. One, is the positions of the parties. Two, is would it disturb the proceedings. Your Honor, I can bring the cameraman and his camera in, if you'd like.

Three, is the type of case. I can hardly overstate the significance of this case. Four, safety. Five is. Maintaining decorum. I believe all of those factors are met here.

So, your Honor, that is our first request. I

| Proceedings |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | know you have other things to do and I don't want to take |
| 2 | your time. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Give me a second. |
| 4 | (Brief pause.) |
| 5 | THE COURT: That's more difficult, a more |
| 6 | fraught request than you might expect or other people |
| 7 | would expect. I'm afraid I'm constrained to deny it. |
| 8 | MR. BALIN: Thank you, your Honor, for your time |
| 9 | on it. |
| 10 | The second request-- I thank the Court. You've |
| 11 | permitted laptop use, which, I believe, is surely |
| 12 | necessary in the courtroom for this type of proceeding. |
| 13 | The concern expressed to me by a number of members of the |
| 14 | press is that with so many members of the press using the |
| 15 | Wi-Fi in this courthouse, that it may either crash or it |
| 16 | may slow down. |
| 17 | The request that I have for the Court is to |
| 18 | allow what are called remote hotspots. A number of the |
| 19 | members of the press have them and to use cell phones |
| 20 | solely for the ability to get to the Internet and get to |
| 21 | their news rooms. That was the second request I have for |
| 22 | your Honor. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Granted. |
| 24 | MR. BALIN: All right. Your Honor, thank you |
| 25 | very much. |

THE COURT: You're welcome. I'm allowing five or so pool photographers. Are they waiting to come in now?
(Photographs taken.)
THE COURT: The name of the case that brings us here today is People of the State of New York by Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York, versus Donald J. Trump, et al., meaning, and others.

The index number, which is how we keep track of cases around here, and might help you to follow it on the New York State Court's electronic filing system, affectionately known by its acronym as NYSCEF is 452564/2022.

Early this morning, I received an application from plaintiff seeking to discuss some housekeeping matters. I will address those matters after opening statements. The trial is scheduled to last from today until December 22, 2023, the Friday before Christmas.

I intend to speak now for approximately five minutes. Call it my opening statement. Soon after which, counsel for each side will make their, no doubt, longer opening statements.

For the next three months after that, I hope that the only words I will utter are sustained, overruled, and let's take a ten-minute break and even as

1 to those, the less often the better.
The basic schedule to which we'll adhere is Monday through Thursday, 10 a.m. to 1 p.m. then a one-hour-and-15-minute lunch break, then 2:15 through 4:30 p.m.. on Fridays, we'll only have the morning session, 10:00 to 1:00.

Months ago, I declared that the trial would commence today, October 2, 2023, come hell or high water. Meteorologically speaking, we've had the high water but we're ready to go. I commend all counsel for adhering to my tight schedule and for their Herculean efforts to get us where we are today.

This case has esteemed counsel. On one side, we have an Attorney General. On the other side, we have a former Solicitor General. In the middle, we have me, a generalist, meaning that I know a little about a lot.

One thing I know a lot about is the legal definition of fraud. Common law, meaning law before we all came of age. Fraud, also known as misrepresentation, has five elements.

First, a material statement. Second, falsity of that statement. Third, scienter, lawyer talk meaning that the defendant knew that this statement was false. Four, the plaintiff's justifiable reliance, and five, damages.

Common-law fraud is not an easy case to prove. Material, according to whom? How do we know what the defendant knew? What reliance is justifiable? Then along came Jacob Javitz. Nowadays, he's best known for the convention center and for the federal office building directly across the street from us, which you can actually see out our windows, both named for him.

Before that, he was best known as the long-term United States Senator from New York. Before that, he was the Attorney General of the State of New York, the position that Ms. James now occupies.

In 1956, he convinced New York State to adopt what is now codified as Executive Law Section 63(12) which gives the Attorney General the authority to investigate and bring actions against any entities that allegedly engage in "repeated fraudulent or illegal acts or otherwise demonstrate persistent fraud or illegality the carrying on, conducting a transaction of business."

Subsequent case law has construed that to mean, or at least to include, false statements used in business. Incidentally, Javitz was a savvy politician. He was born on the lower eastside, so very near here. When he campaigned on his home turf, he called himself Jacob Javitz. When he campaigned on the posh upper eastside, he called himself John Javitz, and, when he

1 campaigned upstate, he called himself Jake Javitz. I
2 want to make sure Mr. Kise returns to Florida with some
3 New York history and political street smarts.
The complaint in this case consists of seven causes of action. There are no counterclaims, at least not in court. The first is known as the standard on Section 63(12) claim. The other six are claims that defendants violated Section 63(12) by violating various sections of New York's Penal Law.

As all, or most, of you know, six days ago, on Tuesday September 26th, I granted plaintiff summary judgment only on liability and only on the first cause of action. What's left to be tried and decided are, first, either liability or exoneration on the other six causes of action and, second, monetary damages or other relief.

Plaintiff is seeking disgorgement of alleged ill-gotten gains and to limit defendant's ability to conduct business in New York.

You have probably noticed or already read that this case has no jury. Neither side asked for one and, in any event, the remedies sought are all equitable in nature, mandating that the trial be a bench trial, one that a Judge alone decides. I promise to do my best, despite my lame attempts at humor.

As I said in an earlier phase of this
litigation, I take my job very seriously, and, I know
2 that counsel and the parties do, likewise. The acoustics 3 in this room are not too bad, as you can hear, I hope, 4 but I'm sure everybody will be hanging on to every word you say. So, I beseech counsel to speak slowly, loudly, clearly, and, as I'm doing, directly into the microphones so that everyone in the bleacher seats may hear you.

Now, let's listen to plaintiff's opening
statement.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, your Honor. Kevin Wallace for the Office of the Attorney General.

Some of what I heard, I'm just going to double up on what you just talked about. The first of which is that, obviously, the case today --

THE COURT: I hear feedback.
MR. WALLACE: Okay.
(Brief pause)
THE COURT: Please continue and we'll see how it sounds.

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, again, your Honor. As I mentioned, I may cover some of the ground that you already did.

First of all, the case today is, obviously, significantly different than it was just a week ago. At this point, the People have already proven that the

Statements of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump were false and misleading in each year from 2011 to 2021.

We have already proven that those statements were then repeatedly and persistently used in the conduct of business after July 13, 2014. We have also proven that each of the defendant's entities and individuals alike participated in that fraud. So, what remains for us to prove at trial?

First, the People will establish that each of these defendants further violated Executive Law 63(12) by engaging in repeated and persistent illegal acts in the conduct of business.

The second thing we will show is that those illegal acts, together with the fraud we've already established, entitles the People to all of the equitable relief that we're seeking, including a bar on new commercial real estate transactions, a bar on borrowing on New York banks, an industry bar for each of the individual defendants, removal of trustees, and an accurate GAAP compliance Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump.

Finally, the People will demonstrate that the Court should disgorge the financial benefits each of these defendants obtained from their illegal conduct. Now, what illegal acts do we intend to prove?

First, we will prove defendants illegally falsified business records in violation of New York Criminal Law. We will show the defendants committed this illegal act by making false entries in the records of Trump Organization and, by extension, the records of their accountants, the banks, and other counterparties that received the fraudulent Statements of Financial Condition.

We will show the defendants made these false entries with the intent to defraud. We'll also show that the defendants conspired to make these false entries in a separate criminal violation.

The next crime we will establish is issuing false financial statements. We will show that with intent to fraud, each defendant participated in, or have knowledge of the creation of a written instrument, here the Statement of Financial Condition that described Donald J. Trump's financial condition in a manner that was inaccurate in a material way.

We will further prove that the defendants also violated this law by representing, in writing, that the statements were true while knowing that they were materially inaccurate and, once again, we'll show the defendants conspired to create and use these false statements, a separate criminal violation.


Opening Statement - Plaintiff/Wallace

1 intent to fraud here on the part of the individual 2 defendants and entities they owned and operated is 3 self-evident.

The defendants knew that the statements were false. They then used them to obtain and maintain benefits they were not entitled to. That is the definition of intent. Now, most of the individual defendants have argued that they lack the requisite intent because they didn't know the statements were false.

With the exception of Mr. McConney, they claim they weren't involved in the valuation process and had nothing do with the preparation of statements. The Court will be able to judge for itself the credibility of those denials when defendants appear on the stand and offer them, but, if those denials are true, the defendants were lying year after year after year to Mazars, to the banks, to any reader of the statements, and, that's because the defendants made the exact opposite representation for years.

From 2011 to 2015, the Statement of Financial Condition represented that every estimate of current value was determined by Mr. Trump. That included individual properties like Trump Tower and 40 Wall Street.

From 2016 to 2021 the Statement of Financial Condition stated that it was Donald Trump, Jr. and Allen Weisselberg, the trustees from Mr. Trump's trust, who determined the estimates of current values; and, again, that included individual properties like Trump Tower and 40 Wall Street.

What about Eric Trump? For years, he served as a source of valuations for properties like Seven Springs shown here in 2012 and, again, 2014 and 2015. In 2021, Eric Trump personally oversaw the intention of Whitley Penn and their work on the statement.

What's more? Each of the defendants certified the banks, time and again, that statements were true and correct. Donald Trump, from 2011 through 2015, he certified the Deutsche Bank and statements presented of financial condition fairly in all material respects.

From 2016 to 2020 Donald Trump, Jr. certified the Deutsche Bank with the statements presented of his father's financial condition fairly in all material respects.

In 2021, Eric Trump certified the Deutsche Bank that the statements presented his father's financial condition fairly in all material respects. In multiple years, Allen Weisselberg certified to Ladder Capital that Donald Trump's net worth was true, correct, and complete,
and fairly presented his financial condition.
Even Jeff McConney got into the act of passing these statements off to lenders, including the lender on Seven Springs. When it came time to testify under oath, the defendants wanted nothing to do with these statements. They refuted each of these representations.

Eric Trump denied he had any knowledge of the statements whatsoever.
(Video played.)
Let's move on to the critical question of who's responsible for making sure that the statements complied with GAAP. None of the defendants would take responsibility. Let's start with Donald Trump, Jr., as trustee. He, together with Weisselberg, was responsible for GAAP presentation of the statements from 2016 until 2021. What did he say at his deposition?
(Video played.)
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, objection.
THE COURT: Hold on. Okay, go ahead.
MR. ROBERT: The examinations under oath are not part of this case. That's not from Mr. Trump's deposition. It's from his examination under oath. The Attorney General did not take his deposition in the instant case. This goes to the motions in limine we discussed last week.

| 1 | I object to the use of this EUO as part of the | 1 | None of that was true. Defendants never ordered |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Attorney General's opening statement and ask that it be | 2 | appraisals from Newmark, or Cushman, or anyone else when |
| 3 | stricken | 3 | they were preparing the Statement of Financial Condition, |
| 4 | THE COURT: Denied. I don't believe that's a | 4 | and your Honor has heard defendants tell you that they were |
| 5 | correct statement. | 5 | not obligated to use appraisals, even if they had them. |
| 6 | MR. WALLACE: Thank you, your Honor. Moving on. | 6 | So, not only was it a lie that they were ordering |
| 7 | What about the other trustee, Allen Weisselberg, the | 7 | appraisals for the statements, they were ignoring the ones |
| 8 | Chief Financial Officer of the company? What did he know | 8 | they had, and any claim of innocence or mistake may be most |
| 9 | about the GAAP? | 9 | clearly refuted by the inflation of the triplex apartment, |
| 10 | (Video played.) | 10 | Donald Trump's personal residence, from 11,000 square feet |
| 11 |  | 11 | to 30,000 square feet, nearly tripling the value. |
| 12 | (Continued on the following page..) | 12 | Your Honor is well acquainted with this story. |
| 13 |  | 13 | In March of 2017, while the 2016 Statement of Financial |
| 14 |  | 14 | Conditions was being prepared, the defendants received an |
| 15 |  | 15 | email inquiry from a reporter at Forbes. Alan Garten, |
| 16 |  | 16 | general counsel of the Trump Organization, then forwarded |
| 17 |  | 17 | that email to Eric Trump, Alan Weisselberg, and Donald |
| 18 |  | 18 | Trump, Jr. Among the questions, President Trump has told |
| 19 |  | 19 | Forbes in the past that his penthouse occupies 33,000 |
| 20 |  | 20 | square feet. If the 1994 declaration is accurate and |
| 21 |  | 21 | up-to-date, it shows President Trump's apartment is |
| 22 |  | 22 | 10,996.39 square feet. |
| 23 |  | 23 | Now, the answer to that question is yes, it was |
| 24 |  | 24 | accurate and up-to-date. It shows the size of the |
| 25 |  | 25 | apartment at 10,996.39 square feet, signed by Donald J. |
| Ope | ing Statement by Mr. Wallace Page 18 | Ope | ing Statement by Mr. Wallace Page 20 |
| 1 | MR. WALLACE: What about Donald Trump? Who did | 1 | Trump. |
| 2 | he rely upon to make sure that statements were prepared | 2 | What happens next? After working over the |
| 3 | according to G.A.A.P? | 3 | weekend on Monday, March 6th, Alan Garten writes to Amanda |
| 4 | (Video played.) | 4 | Miller, in the press office of the Trump Organization, "I |
| 5 | MR. WALLACE: Now, all of these denials put the | 5 | handled everything except Trump World Tower and Trump |
| 6 | defendants in an impossible bind. The Court has already | 6 | Tower." She responds, "Thank you, Alan. I spoke to Alan |
| 7 | found that statement to be false, based on the inflated | 7 | W.," Alan Weisselberg, "re: TWT and TT," Trump Tower. "We |
| 8 | violations, and while the defendants may hope that these | 8 | are going to leave those alone." They weren't going to |
| 9 | denials will help them to avoid liability for the | 9 | touch the value of the penthouse. |
| 10 | inflation, the denials, we believe, that defendants lied | 10 |  |
| 11 | about their involvement, certified the statements to banks |  |  |
| 12 | an insurers; lied about the oversight they provided; lied |  |  |
| 13 | about conforming with G.A.A.P.; and the defendants are left |  |  |
| 14 | to answer the classic question: Were you lying then, or |  |  |
| 15 | are you lying now? |  |  |
| 16 | But, even beyond these corrections and |  |  |
| 17 | implausible denials, |  |  |
|  |  | 18 | It's further aided by the fact that a few months |
| 19 | Alan Weisselberg told an insurance underwriter that the | 19 | later, on May 3rd, 2017, Forbes publishes its article. |
| 20 | fair value for the properties is appraised annually by a | 20 | Donald Trump has been lying about the size of his |
| 21 | professional firm. This year, it was done by Newmark | 21 | penthouse. This marks the end of the inflation of the |
| 22 | Group, and it's previously been done by Cushman \& | 22 | penthouse on the Statement of Financial Condition. The |
| 23 | Wakefield. The reason for the change is the individual at | 23 | inflation had been publicly disclosed, and they stopped |
| 24 | Cushman \& Wakefield with whom the organization had a | 24 | using it in their valuations. |
| 25 | long-standing relationship with, moved to work at Newmark. | 25 | Let's talk a little bit about conspiracy, now. |

1 Courts recognize that, because conspiracies are secretive
endeavors, they often have to be inferred from circumstantial evidence, and confirmed by overt acts. Well, that kind of evidence certainly exists in this case, but we also have direct evidence of the scheme to inflate Mr. Trump's net worth.

Michael Cohen testified to this, when he was deposed by defendants. Let's take a look at one portion of that testimony.
(Video played.)
MR. WALLACE: Now, the defendants may argue that you can't believe Mr. Cohen, but his testimony is corroborated by the other evidence in this case, and his testimony is consistent with that of a current Trump organization employee, Patrick Birney. Mr. Birney played a central role in preparing the Statements of Financial Condition starting in 2017. And what did he say?
"Alan Weisselberg told me, Donald like to see it go up."

He likes it to go up, and, "It," I don't think referred to assets. I think it refers to net worth.

So, we have direct testimony from the people involved in the scheme to inflate Donald Trump's net worth, but I would like to talk a little bit about the overt acts that prove this conspiracy, the evidence that demonstrates

1 Financial Condition, again, with Seven Springs valued at 2291 million, the triplex valued at 200 million, and Wall 3 Street, 40 Wall Street, valued at 660 million. The process 4 kicks off with Jeff McConney and Donald Bender, at Mazars.
5 This is the first of a number of emails with spreadsheets 6 for back-up for DJT's SOFC.
"This one has been marked up, the 6/30/2014 SOFC, before Alan's comments. I should be talking to him tomorrow morning."

Attached to this email we see Mr. McConney's hand-marked-up valuations; his indications of what the values will be, in 2015. He also marks up the text in the draft SOFC. So, let's see what he writes for Seven Springs.

The mansion at Seven Springs, you can see the value is set at $\$ 291$ million. You can see Mr. McConney's handwritten notation, "Okay." The value is going to stay at $\$ 291$ million, but by the time the statement is finalized in March of 2016, that valuation is no longer what they are going to use. Why? Because of that easement donation. In order to get the tax deduction from that donation, Mr. Trump had to obtain an appraisal from Cushman \& Wakefield. Cushman \& Wakefield valued the entire economic value of Seven Springs at $\$ 56$ million, even before placing the easement. That includes all the development rights the

Page 22
that these are not just innocent differences of opinion, or mistakes. To do that, let's take a look at how this valuation plays out, in practice. As the witnesses have explained, these are not just independent valuations, they are part of a scheme to keep Donald Trump's net worth as high as possible.

So, let's take a look at what happened in 2015. Three properties made big swings in that year. Seven Springs, 40 Wall, and the triplex penthouse. Those swings were connected, designed to prop up Donald Trump's net worth, and hit the target that Mr. Trump wanted to hit. The defendants engaged in a shell game.

The value of Seven Springs dropped by $\$ 235$ million, because of an appraisal done in connection with the conservation easement. To prop up Mr. Trump's overall net worth, Jeff McConney and Alan Weisselberg removed the individual disclosure of value from Seven Springs in the statement, and lumped it into a category with the triplex apartment. They then inflated the apartment by $\$ 127$ million. Separately, McConney and Mr. Weisselberg added $\$ 75$ million to the value of 40 Wall, reducing the gap to just 33 million, but each of these valuations was independently deceptive, and I'll walk you through some of the examples.

Now, the process for preparing the Statement of
defendants held on that property.
So, what happens? Seven Springs is no longer $\$ 291$ million. Instead, it gets crossed off as an independent valuation. It then gets buried in the Other Assets category. You can see it right here, $\$ 557$ million. How do we know that Seven Springs got included in that category? We have the final supporting data spreadsheet. As you can see, Seven Springs, per easement, $\$ 56$ million.

So where does the statement end? In 2014, Seven Springs is broken out as a separate property with its own valuation of $\$ 291$ million. In 2015, after the value was dropped, they removed the independent valuation. They move it into a new category, and lump it together with other assets. No one reading the statement would know that it dropped, year over year.

So, how do they cover it up? Well, the first step involves Mr. Trump's triplex apartment. The triplex begins the year valued at $\$ 200$ million. This is where it's been, for many years. You can already see it has the inflated 300,000 [sic] square feet, and it's priced at $\$ 6,700$ per square foot. To get the number for the penthouse up, the defendants find a new comp., and value it at $\$ 10,900$ per square foot, for a total value of $\$ 327,000$, a rise of $\$ 127$ million.

Now, we already know that that $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ square foot

1 number is inflated. The 10,900 number is also inflated.
2 Part of it is because there was a record-breaking sale at

157 that was in no way comparable to Mr. Trump's
40-year-old apartment. But, even the 10,900 number is wrong. That's not what an apartment sold for, at 157.
That's the square footage of the apartment that got sold. The actual price was below $\$ 10,000$, so about a thousand dollars less, meaning another 30,000 of this is just from not using the actual sales price of the square footage number. Again, inflating the overall value, and putting it in a category to hide the drop in Seven Springs.

So, on the statement, they eventually need to make up more of the difference with 40 Wall Street. 40 Wall Street starts the 2015 process valued at $\$ 660$ million. We can see, right here. It's handwritten in by Mr. McConney. Now, how did they get to that $\$ 660$ million? You can see. They actually disclose that there's a Cushman \& Wakefield appraisal done June 2015 for loan refinancing with Ladder Capital at $\$ 540$ million. This is the initial spreadsheet that goes to Mazars.

Mr. McConney, however, adds value. There's been additional rental activity in vacant spaces, including a retail space that gets leased to Dean \& Deluca that generates another $\$ 1.4$ million in a given year, and is part of the $\$ 120$ million evaluation they want to add to 40 Wall.

Wall, to the $\mathbf{4 0}$ Wall appraisal in the first draft. Through this process, Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg take a $\$ 235$ million drop in the value of the Seven Springs, and turn it into a $\$ 77$ million increase in Mr. Trump's net worth.

This was their process. This was their conspiracy.

So, why did defendants do this? Beyond just a place on the Forbes billionaires list, what does a net worth of over \$6 billion gain Donald Trump? The simple answer is, the defendant knew that a high-net-worth was necessary to obtain and maintain certain financial benefits. When he was first looking for financing on Doral, Donald and Ivanka Trump had a meeting with the CEO of Deutsche Bank. After the meeting, Donald sent a letter with his financial statement attached.
"I'm pleased to enclose the recently completed financial statement of Donald J. Trump. Hopefully, you will be impressed. I'm also including a letter that establishes my grant value, which is not included in my net worth statement."

Donald Trump knew exactly how the statement was prepared, and he was using it in search of a loan with a lower rate. Later that year, after negotiating with the commercial real estate group at Deutsche Bank, the Trump Organization began speaking to the private wealth

Opening Statement by Mr. Wallace
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Well, what's the problem with adding
Dean \& Deluca? It's already included in the appraisal that had been done by Cushman \& Wakefield, but the defendants and Mr. McConney only send Mazars an excerpt of that appraisal, the first 15 pages, so he does not see that includes a Dean \& Deluca lease, and it does not include the fact that they had a different cap rate, as compared to what Mr. McConney is using.

So, by the end, they use a different technique to inflate the value of 40 Wall Street, and add another $\$ 75$ million. They say that they have done their own analysis, and it's based on information provided by Douglas Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield in November of 2015, and February of 2016. Now, you can see, these are just general questions that they have asked him about various market activity. Now, who is Doug Larson? Doug Larson is the same appraiser who did the valuation of 40 Wall Street, and valued it at $\$ 540$ million. He never gave them grounds to increase the value like that.

So, where does this leave us, in the end? Seven Springs goes down. It gets combined with the triplex, which goes up, and 40 Wall goes up, as well. So, from December 2015 to March 2016, the defendants increase the value of the triplex and 40 Wall by 202 million, and that's on top of the 120 million they had already added to 40
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management group at Deutsche Bank, and the group offered significantly lower interest rates, but required the loan to be on recourse with a personal guarantee, and proof of guarantors' net worth, but those rates were worth it.

When the Trump Organization first got the offer from the private wealth group, Ivanka Trump told Alan Weisselberg and others, "It doesn't get any better than this." She didn't even want to negotiate on it. But their in-house lawyer, Jason Greenblatt, immediately saw a problem: The net worth requirement.

Now, the net worth requirement, the proposal from Deutsche Bank was $\$ 3$ billion. It may be surprising that a $\$ 3$ billion net worth covenant would be a problem for Mr. Trump, who claimed a fortune well north of that every year from 2011 to 2021, but nevertheless, Ivanka Trump tried to negotiate the number down to 2 billion, before agreeing to 2.5 billion.

So, year after year, on loan after loan, the defendants misrepresented Mr. Trump's net worth to obtain and maintain those favorable interest rates. In fact, the value of those low interest rates was so profound, they formed the primary basis for our disgorgement claims. As our banking expert, Michael McCarty, will demonstrate, the use of the false Statements of Financial Condition saved defendants tens of millions of dollars, and those savings
came in every year after the July 2014 statute of limitations date, set by the First Department.

That benefit is measured by the difference between the interest rates the Trump Organization could have obtained, if their loans were treated as regular commercial real estate loans, and the interest rates they actually obtained, using the false financial statements with the private wealth management groups, the spread was vast, and it lasted for years.

On Doral, after July 2014, the difference in the interest rates was often more than 8 percent, including 8.2 percent in 2022. On Chicago, the delta after July 2014 ranged from 3 to 5 percent, with the broadest spread in 2022, when it reached 5.45 percent. On OPO, the spread was off around 5 or 6 percent, and was at its widest in 2021.

When combined with the other loans and transactions entered into by defendants, the direct financial benefit was well north of $\$ 100$ million. With prejudgment interest, the total disgorgement amount is well north of $\$ 250$ million. We'll ask the Court to recoup that entire amount.

So, these are the nuts and the bolts of our case;
the how, what, why, and where of the defendants' fraud.
The defendants' challenge this proceeding is to question why the case even exists at all; to complain about the
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Attorney General reaching in private transactions; that the transactions were profitable; and that the banks and insurers, that those financial institutions were sophisticated parties.

But, this isn't business as usual, and this isn't how sophisticated parties deal with each other, and even if it was, there's a reason the legislature gave the Attorney General the authority, under Executive Law 6312, to step in and police fraud and illegality in the conduct of business in New York, because these are not victimless crimes. By hiding their true resources, defendants hid their weaknesses. They convinced they banks to take on hidden risk, risk to the tune of hundreds of millions of dollars.

Our financial system, both in this state and in this country, depend on honesty to ensure their safety and soundness. We have already seen the impacts to the banking system when financial institutions take on hidden risk in 2008, and again, just this past year. The defendant's claim they never missed a payment and never defaulted on a loan, but they looked to escape those deals. They sold the Old Post Office Hotel, a property they said they would hold for decades. They refinanced their Doral loan with a small lender in California, at a higher interest rate. Those are not the actions of a market participant who plays by the rules. The defendants got caught lying, and while it may
be one thing to exaggerate for Forbes magazine or a television audience, they cannot do it while conducting business in the State of New York.

For that reason, your Honor should bar them from further business in the State of New York, and reclaim their ill-gotten gains.

Thank you.
THE COURT: When Mr. Robert objected before, I said that I don't believe that's a correct statement. Something like that. I just want to make clear, I'm not doubting your facts. I assume these are completely correct. I just doubt the legal conclusion you reached.

Would defendants like to make an opening statement?

MR. KISE: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Please.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

MR. KISE: Can you here me now?
THE COURT: Yes. I hope every else can. As long as there's no feedback. I'm already getting enough feedback about this case.

MR. KISE: Certainly, your Honor. You can hear me your Honor, now?

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. KISE: Okay. Thank you. Sorry about that. As you know I'm not, technologically, the wizard.

So, I'm going to make an opening statement on behalf of most of the defendants. As we have done in the past, Mr. Robert is going to add a few comments on behalf of his clients.

So, you heard an interesting story from the government. Needless to say, we have a very different picture of the evidence. We think that the evidence is going to establish many things, and often we are looking at the same evidence, and often we are looking at different evidence, but in sum, we think it's going to establish many things very different than what the government has laid out.

At the outset, I also -- at the outset of the trial, your Honor, I do want to renew our objection on the limitations issues, and the disgorgement. That is, the introduction of evidence prior to the July 13, 2014 cutoff date. I think the 2011 loan discussions that Mr. Wallace just referenced would fall within ambit of that. I realize that opening statements are not evidentiary, but I want to just make sure it's clear, on the record. I don't mean to burden the Court with the objection, but I will, on that. Same with disgorgement. We just renew our objection with regard to the disgorgement evidence.

evidence that the government really points to is the evidence from a serial liar. A serial liar, an individual who has lied to everyone he has ever come in contact with, and he has lied to the courts; he has lied to congress; he has lied to anyone and everyone he has come in contact with, but the government hinges its proof on conspiracy, of agreement to conduct a conspiracy, on a serial liar. We think the evidence will ultimately demonstrate, that's a fallacy.

In sum, the evidence is going to demonstrate that there was no intent to defraud; there was no illegality; there was no default; there was no breach; there was no reliance by the banks; there were no unjust profits; and there were no victims.

So first, just to place this in context, I'm not going to go through every loan agreement, but as I mentioned, we are talking about specific transactions. We are talking about specific loan agreements that fall within the appropriate statute of limitations period, and as you can see just from this cover example, this is a specific agreement between specific parties. Here, it's Trump Old Post Office, LLC and Deutsche Bank Trust Company America. It's one of the most sophisticated international banks in the world.

Their agreement, the evidence is going to show,
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The statements complied with G.A.A.P, and the valuations were derived under what you heard so much about, and we are going to talk about, briefly, ASC 274. The statements had valid and obvious disclaimers negating intent. This goes to intent, materiality and reliance. I understand the judge's -- your ruling on summary judgment, but now we are talking about intent, we are talking about materiality, and we are talking about reliance, and those valid and obvious disclaimers, the evidence is going to establish, negate these elements.

The banks themselves conducted their own independent valuation analysis. The evidence is going to show that, as well. There's no credible evidence of agreement, a necessary element of conspiracy. The only
was negotiated by top tier counsel. It provided protection and remedies for the banks. It provided the banks certain rights. It also made certain representations on behalf of the borrower. But this document, and a similar document, the loan agreement between Ladder Capital Finance and 40 Wall Street, LLC - again, a complex private agreement between very specific parties, another sophisticated lending institution, negotiated by top tier counsel -these agreements are what have and should, as the evidence will show, govern the relationship between the parties.

There are specific representations that were made by specific parties, and they relate to, as you can see from the example -- this is an example from the May 2016 Statement of Financial Condition, the certification to Deutsche Bank.
(Continued on the next page.)


The evidence is going to show that personal financial statements shall present assets and their estimated current values and that ECV, estimated current value, is not the same as fair value or market value, that there is great latitude in valuation methodology. There is no one generally accepted procedure in determining the estimated current value. It does not require a specific method to be used and there are many ways to value assets and all are accurate and acceptable, even if they yield different results.

So, the statements fit within ASC 274. Now, we're talking about intent materiality, reliance. Those statements fit within ASC 274. There may be wide variations between different valuations, but, if comport with ASC 274 that alone we believe the evidence demonstrates intent. It certainly negates materiality.

In accordance with this wide latitude, specifically, the provision that I have up there ASC 274-10-556 provides one of the myriad available bases for determining the value of property and, as you can see, the evidence is going to show us that one of those ways is the net realizable value of the property placed on planned courses of action.

This evidence is going to speak directly to the Seven Springs example that Mr. Wallace spoke so much
about. You went between 2015 and 2016 from a period active development -- that's the plain course of action for the property -- to a different approach, which is a conservation easement. Those are fundamentally different ways to look at property.

One is active valuation for active development. The other is for purpose of conservation. There's all sorts of rules that govern how you calculate the value of the property for conservation easement versus how you value for active development.

So, while the government intends to introduce evidence, clearly from their opening, that that change was somehow sinister, that there was some nefarious intent, there was no nefarious intent. It simply reflects the change in a complex, sophisticated real estate development organization where you move from active development to a conservation easement approach. All acceptable under ASC 274, the evidence will show.

 274 will demonstrate no material inaccuracies.


That valuation is an opinion about price and is subjective, and, which valuation methodology to choose and which assumptions to apply, depends on many, many factors. So, estimated current value under ASC 274, you'll hear him testify, places very little weight on current market conditions.

That evidence is important, irrespective of the Court's decision on summary judgment, because it goes to

1 intent. It goes to materiality and, ultimately, we say 2 all of it goes to reliance. Again, compliance with ASC 3274 and GAAP negates essential elements and, the evidence 4 will show, negates essential elements of the People's 5 case.

21 nature of that valuation and presents that, in accordance
22 with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and ASC
23 274, there can be no intentional fraud. There can be no 24 material departure, and, there certainly is no reliance.

The Court will also hear from Professor Laposa, who will testify about the fact that disagreements, essentially, as to SOFC valuations does not establish any fraud. There are disparate but legitimate valuations of specific property that co-exist. The mere existence of these disparate valuations for a given property does not, in itself, establish any specific valuations as inaccurate, inflated or, as we would say, intentionally fraudulent.

This is the essence of the commercial real estate market place. Buyers have a view. Sellers have a view. Banks have a view. None of them are wrong. They're just different. When a seller or owner of a property takes the position and presents his or her opinion of the value of that property and discloses the

The statements, as you will hear Professor
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Bartov testify, are the beginning, not the end, of a complex and highly subjective valuation process that users, like banks, sophisticated banks, and insurance companies, engage in as they perform their own due diligence.


Materiality is an essential element, as the Court has recognized and the statutes make clear. Two examples of the statutes that are at issue here. The issuance of a false financial statement occurs when something is inaccurate in a material respect.

Insurance fraud occurs when there's some -- any statement containing the materially false information. We believe the evidence will show, based on what I've said, and beyond, that there is no material inaccuracy. There is no materially false statement.

The Compliance Certificate, as I observed earlier, using that example, also demonstrates the importance and significant centrality of materiality. The foregoing presents fairly, in all material respects. That material inaccuracy is the standard. That cannot be
read out of the certificate.
The guarantor made that representation. The guarantor did not say this is absolutely, positively inaccurate. This is in accordance with a specific appraiser's view of the property. This is in accordance with a specific objective for the property.

What the guarantor said in that certification is that the foregoing presents fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the guarantor of the period presented, and that is a holistic concept. That is not an asset-by-asset concept. GAAP also incorporates materiality and does apply material items.

You'll hear from Professor Bartov. None of the items on the statement identified by the Attorney General as statements or omissions were departures from GAAP. Any such items were, therefore, immaterial from the viewpoint of the sophisticated bank and underwriters who received the statements.

The Independent Accountant's Compilation Report and the statement notes are a unified presentation. This is the disclaimers that you've heard so much about. Here, again, they are fundamental to our concept of intent, materiality, and reliance.

Mr. Flemmons, a former SEC chief accountant will testify that AICPA standards dictate that the Independent

1 Accountant's Report and Statement of Financial Condition
are issued together and mutually dependent. Those statements are not relied upon in a vacuum. They must be reviewed in concert with the Accountant's Report, that the two are issued together, cross-reference each other and, therefore, could not reasonably have been viewed by users as separate documents that were not dependent on each other.

So, taking just the statement notes, setting aside the Independent Accountant's Compilation Report, just taking the statement notes. The evidence will show, among other disclaimers, there were clear, bright lines presented to the users, in this case, the sophisticated banks and insurance companies.

Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop the related estimates of current value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amount that could be realized upon the disposition of the assets or payment of the related liabilities. I'm going to come back to that in a minute.

The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated current values that you heard so much about. So, right here, in the statements, the statements
themselves, not even the Mazars compilation report-- I'll get to that but right here in the statement, the evidence is going to show the statements prepared by certain of the defendants place the user, any user, on notice that the amounts are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized upon the disposition of the assets, that the use of different market assumptions and estimation methodologies may have a material effect on estimated current value.

The bank will tell you, like in all transactions, this very standard in sophisticated commercial real estate transactions. The recipient of individual financial statements understand there's not an intentional defrauding. It's simply the opinion of the owner of the property and they are on notice to do their own due diligence.

The Independent Accountant's Compilation Report disclaimer-- this was the Mazars' letters that accompanies the statements which, as I said, the evidence will show, are read together. Users of this financial statement recognize that they might reach different conclusions about the financial condition of Donald J. Trump.

It's not possible to state it any more clearly; and, we think the evidence will show that the banks
the 2014 credit memo. This credit memo relates to the OPO loan that-- the Old Post Office loan from the original slide that I pointed out, the transaction that closed in August of 2014.

The evidence is going to show, clearly, and there are a number of these credit memos, but I'm using this as an example. The evidence will show, clearly, the level of detail that the bank conducted in its own underwriting analysis, that they took the numbers provided by the borrower and, as you can see clearly, they dissected those numbers and came up with their own estimates.

So, in the 2012 year, the client reported number was 4.5 billion as net worth. The bank adjusted number was 2.4 billion. In 2013, similar result. The client reported, the borrower reported was 4.9 billion net worth and the bank adjusted number was 2.6 billion, yet the bank proceeded with these loans. They conducted this sophisticated underwriting analysis. They determined there was a $\$ 2$ billion delta, $\$ 2$ billion difference between what the borrower was reporting and what their own valuation experts determined. Yet, they proceeded anyway. They conducted their own risk analysis.

As I said, this is the heart and sole of commercial real estate lending. This is what happens
understood it fully. The evidence will show that the disclaimers alone establish that there was no requisite intent, that there were no material departures, and there was no reliance. The disclaimer is important. We told the banks what was being provided and also, importantly, what wasn't being provided, meaning, we're not providing you with absolutes. We're not providing you with audited financial statements.

We're telling you these are our estimates of current value in accordance with ASC 274 but that you might reach different conclusions, that the assumptions we made may not be assumptions you make. So, they notify users of the statements like Deutsche Bank, like Zurich, that they need to conduct their own analysis.

As Professor Bartov will testify, the disclaimers put sophisticated users of the statements, like Deutsche Bank, on complete notice to perform their own due diligence which, as you will observe and the banks themselves will, a sophisticated user like Deutsche Bank would have performed anyhow in the absence of those disclaimers.

The evidence will show that the banks are required to conduct their own independent underwriting analysis, and, the evidence will show they, in fact, did perform that analysis. One example, if you look just as

1 every day in the city, and, these numbers that are 2 provided by the owner in accordance with GAAP and in 3 accordance with ASC 274 are presented as their evidence.

The bank did an even deeper dive on certain properties. As this page reflects, the bank conducted a much more in-depth analysis of what it described as four trophy properties. Again, others, other than the defendants, believe their properties are trophy properties. They are Trump Tower, Niketown, 40 Wall Street, and Trump Park Avenue.

As you can see, the bank conducted -- this is a summary of the analysis the bank conducted but the bank did its own detailed number analysis and right there on line four: The valuations for each of these properties were discussed with Deutsche Bank's Valuation Services Group.

The evidence will show that's the valuation group within Deutsche Bank that's responsible for conducting independent analyses of collateral, independent analyses of properties.

They advised on adjustments for each property. As the evidence will reflect, they conducted their own analysis. For example, on 40 Wall Street, the borrower had a valuation of $\$ 530$ million. Deutsche Bank's own independent underwriting analysis estimated the value at
$\$ 500$ million. Both of those numbers, the evidence will show, will differ from other appraisals and other valuations. That doesn't make the bank's number fraudulent any more than it makes the defendant's number fraudulent.

Everyone has a different opinion as to value. That is the sum and substance of the evidence; and, as long as those opinions are formulated in a way that comply with ASC 274 and GAAP and they're presented appropriately, there can be no intent. There can be no materiality; and, here, particularly with the disclaimers and with the evidence that will be-- the record will be replete with, of independent analyses done by the user, by Deutsche Bank, there can be no reliance; but, the Court not need take the defendant's word for this.

The evidence will include testimony from actual individuals involved in the loan decisions, the very decisions that the Attorney General is disputing, the very transactions that the Attorney General is focusing on.

These individuals are Thomas Sullivan, David Williams, Emily Pereless and Rosemary Vrablic, all of them were involved in the loan decisions. All of them were involved, in some shape or fashion, in the process by which Deutsche Bank engaged in conducting its own
to loan terms that they couldn't otherwise obtain; but, the testimony of the bank officers, themselves, involved in the transaction, will demonstrate that President Trump was overqualified for these loans, by far.

Their testimony also will reflect that President Trump didn't violate the loan agreements by making the certifications that President Trump did not default, that President Trump did not make any false statements, and, that the alleged valuation disparities in the statements did not impact the loan approval or pricing; and, the testimony of the Attorney General's expert is beyond speculative in that regard.

There's no actual connectivity between what the bank's independent sophisticated risk analysis was and the basis for the disgorgement. They're fundamentally disconnected, and, we believe the evidence will demonstrate there is a fundamental lack of proof on any of the disgorgement claims, particularly the evidence of their purported expert.

So, the summation of what the evidence will establish is, as you've heard me say repeatedly, the statements were materially accurate. They complied with GAAP, ASC 274. There's, therefore, no evidence of intent to defraud. The compliance with the acceptable governing standards and the adherence to those standards
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1 analysis and in reaching conclusions.

They will testify that Deutsche Bank conducted, as they're required to, their own independent risk analysis, that they did not rely on the statements. They will testify that the valuation disparities are not falsity or fraud, not evidence of any intent to defraud.

Indeed, their own independent analysis, as I noted, demonstrated a $\$ 2$ billion difference between the client reported and their own numbers, $\$ 2$ billion difference. Yet, they proceeded with the transaction. They were eager to proceed with the transaction, wisely so, because they made well over $\$ 100$ million in interest. They made a good risk/benefit calculation.

The evidence will show that is exactly why they did, what they did, and that is how they proceeded. They fully anticipated that the statements provided by the borrower were going to be different than their values, and, their testimony will demonstrate and reflect their view that the statements were not misleading.

The bank officers will also testify that
President Trump was overqualified for the sub-loans, that his net worth far exceeded the minimum required to be a private wealth customer. The Attorney General presents, as evidence that they intend to present, as evidence of motive, that somehow the defendants were seeking access
demonstrates that-- will demonstrate that the defendants had no intent to defraud.

There's also no evidence of any agreement, an essential element of conspiracy claim, other than, as I mentioned, from the serial liar, Mr. Cohen. The evidence will establish there was no breach, no late payments, no defaults. The banks profited minorly from their sophisticated risk-benefit analysis, that those sophisticated banks and insurers were never mislead about anything. Importantly, that the disclaimers notified the users to conduct their own independent underwriting analysis in clear terms, fully negating intent.

Anyone intending to defraud does not say to the other side, please conduct your own analysis. No, we put them on notice, fully on notice through those disclaimers. The language is unequivocal. The evidence will suggest there is no unjust benefits or profits of the defendants. They were fully overqualified for the loan.

The bank's independent underwriting analysis is what created the pricing and loan terms, not the Statements of Financial Condition and certainly not the certifications, which came long after, in most cases, the loans that the Attorney General seeks to render at issue here, despite the clear bar of the Statute of

## Limitations.

In sum, there was no illegality. There was no fraud. There are no victims; and, we believe the evidence will demonstrate the Attorney General has no case.

Thank you.
THE COURT: I just want to ask you two things. You said, I think twice, that the banks were required to do due diligence. Required by who or what?

MR. KISE: You'll hear from their experts. Mr. Unell will come in to testify about the applicable OCC regulations. That's testimony we think, frankly, should have been incorporated in the summary judgment process. Respectfully, I understand it wasn't but, certainly, that testimony is going to be very poignant and very significant here.

THE COURT: I think you suggested that under Executive Law Section 63(12) disgorgement is not available as a remedy.

Is that your position?
MR. KISE: I preserve that as an objection. I understand your Honor's ruling fully. I just didn't preserve that as an objection but yes. Given your Honor's ruling, we'll certainly speak to that evidence that the Attorney General intends to present. We'll

THE COURT: Tommy's announcements are probably pretty loud for you. If you are standing right next to him, it's deafening.

Can I see just the attorneys for 60 seconds? Come on up to the bench.
(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion took place at the bench.)

THE COURT: Do defendants have other opening statements from other clients?
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

MS. HABBA: No feedback?
THE COURT: No feedback.
MS. HABBA: I have a feeling my voice will boom anyway.

How are you, your Honor?
THE COURT: I'm good. How are you?
MS. HABBA: Well, we have been doing this for three years. I first want to say that I incorporate everything my colleague said, and Cliff Robert will speak after me, on behalf of the children. As you know, I represent other individuals in this case, Alan Weisselberg Jeff McConney, the President, and certain entities of the Trump Organization.

I will start by saying that I wasn't planning to
rebut that evidence directly.
THE COURT: I'm not talking about evidence. I'm talking about point of law and it's not a question as to what I ruled on. It's a question of what the First Department, maybe the Court of Appeals, has been saying.

There's case law that says disgorgement is an available remedy in a 63(12) case. Isn't that what the law says?

MR. KISE: We respectfully disagree with your Honor. We'll take that up with the Appellate Court. I'm not belaboring the point, and, I'm just making note that we had that legal objection, and, when the time comes, we'll make minor objections that we need to to preserve our Appellate record and we will move on.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. KISE: Thank you.
THE COURT: We've been going for an hour and 40 minutes. I believe a lot of you have been sitting here for a lot longer than that. I said one of the few things I'd say is, let's take a ten-minute break.
(Recess taken.)
(Continued on the following page..)
speak today until I saw what the attorney general said outside, and when I saw the presentation that was just put on by Mr. Wallace.

First, I want to say that this case was brought prior to the Attorney General sitting in office, as we know. That is part of this case, unfortunately, because it is a public case, as your Honor has astutely said. That's why we have press here. That's why we are all sitting here, right now, three years later. This case could have been brought before.

I would like to start with some -- I'm going to go through Mr. Wallace's testimony first, but before I do that, I just want to remind everyone that Ms. James said that she was going to come into the Attorney General's office, and she was going to get Trump. She was going to go to work, get Trump, and then go home. This is a case brought by the Attorney General, so it is part of this case.

Michael Cohen's testimony was played by Mr. Wallace.

Thank you for playing that, actually.
I look forward to having Mr. Cohen take the stand, because when he takes the stand, I'll play the rest of the testimony where Michael Cohen, who is a convicted felon, who is a liar, by the Southern District of New York,
said that the Statement of Financial Condition took three days, from start to finish. He said that the Statement of Financial Condition, he did with Alan Weisselberg alone, and that will be played, as well.

So, thank you for your part, but I will be putting on mine.

The Attorney General's office would like you to believe that Donald Trump and his family had a conspiracy. When they put in their Statement of Financial Condition, there were -- let's talk about their worth. If Donald Trump and his family wanted to put in a conspiracy, wanted to defraud banks, as the Attorney General's Office would have you believe, then there would have been brand. There would have been the rights to The Apprentice. There would have been the same brand that got that man elected for president.

Now, there's lot of people in this room that probably don't like that, and I believe that's why we are here. But, it's a fact. We are attacking a sitting president, and two of his children, and his employees, for a Statement of Financial Condition which is, frankly, worth less than what they are worth.

These are sophisticated lenders, your Honor. To prove their case, they have to show that there was intent to defraud lenders. These lenders are Deutsche Bank. They

1 to hear about this: When you have a home, and you ask for 2 your tax assessment, no one is giving that top dollar.
3 That's not accurate. The value is the value, and the value
of anything -- and they teach this, actually, at HBS, and at many other schools. They say, the value is what someone is willing to pay.

The Trump properties are Mona Lisa properties, your Honor. So, I'll submit that while you want to look at a tax document, we have experts, renowned experts, who have said that properties like Mar-A-Lago are worth over a billion dollars, $\$ 1.5$ billion, and I assure you that there is a person out there that would buy that property, that spectacular property, for way over a billion dollars. That is not fraud. That is real estate.

Further, I would like to talk about the one thing that the AG conveniently didn't bring up: WeiserMazars. Let's discuss Weiser. WeiserMazars is a very well-known accounting firm in the State of New York. WeiserMazars, in their own, in their own agreement with the Trump Organization stated, and I'm going to read it in, "Our record will include the following," I quote, "Because the significance and pervasiveness of the matters discussed above make it difficult to assess their impact on the Statement of Financial Condition, users of this personal financial statement should recognize that they may reach
are major. We have other lenders we will go through, and I'll let my colleague go through it in excruciating detail, but let me be clear: These lenders made money. They made money. They were not defrauded, and there is no American in this country that has ever given a value of their property to a bank for a loan, and had it be taken at face value. And frankly, if that ever is the case, then those banks should be investigated, not the individuals that valued their great companies, frankly, at less than what they are worth.

Let me give you a few examples, your Honor. You pointed out, in your summary judgment order, that Mar-A-Lago was worth $\$ 18$ million. How? How is that possible?

Now, I know Ms. Greenfield is probably writing you a note right now to say that that is a tax assessed value, so let me just get right to that. A tax assessment is different than the market value of the property, and I want the press to understand that, your Honor, and I want -- I hope that by the end of this hearing, I truly hope that you can have an open mind and hear that that is the case.

They are conflating issues. They are saying that when you go for a tax assessment -- and I ask your Honor, and I ask all the American people that are, frankly, going

1 different conclusions about the financial condition of
2 Donald J. Trump if they had access to a revised Statement
3 of Financial Condition prepared in conformity with 4 accounting principles generally accepted in the United 5 States of America."

I know what everyone is thinking. That's the retainer with Trump; okay? Let's talk about what was given to the banks. What was -- like, this Statement of Financial Condition. What was given? Here is the cover page. Page one after the cover page is one page with a disclaimer stating, "Because the significance and pervasiveness of the matters discussed above make it difficult to assess their impact on the Statement of Financial Conditions, users of this personal financial statement should recognize that they might reach different conclusions about the financial condition of Donald J. Trump if they had access to a revised Statement of Financial Condition prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America."

So, what happened? There was an investigation of Mazars, or the AG reaches out to Mazars and puts them under pressure, and we get a statement saying that they no longer can represent the Trump Organization. But that same statement that we received -- and I was there the day we
received it -- stated that they could not articulate any flaws that the Trump organization had done. And why is that? Because Donald Bender, who will take the stand as their first, first representative, their strongest guy,
Donald Bender will have you believe that, as the accountant who acted effectively as the in-house accountant for this organization, his hands are clean.

He had unfettered access, your Honor. We'll ask him what he did, and we'll prove that he had unfettered access to the Trump organization. He could come in, he could go out. He could get on the computers. We didn't even monitor when he was on, looking through the records. And your Honor, you and I went through, as you recall, back in the special proceeding, when I gave over thousands of documents, thousands, when we opened up the Trump organization, when we opened up his homes and we were willing to cooperate, and did, and there was nothing that turned up that was in direct conflict of what I'm saying now.

So, the Attorney General is going after kids, and I'll let Cliff, who represents the kids, go to that, but I will also say that their representation that a phone call with an accounting department asking for status of zoning, is not a conspiracy. It's doing business. Anybody with real estate understanding - and I personally come from a
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family of real estate, so I get this, but to the American people, to the people, maybe at the Attorney General's office, that aren't aware of how real estate companies operate, this is normal practice. The banks are aware, and the banks do their own values, and do their own investigations, and frankly, if they don't, then they are in violation themselves.

More examples of properties that were undervalued. Doral. It was stated on the Statement of Financial Condition for $\$ 350$ million, your Honor. Anyone who follows golf knows that Doral has one of the best golf courses in the country. I've had the pleasure of visiting these properties, sir. They are not normal properties. Doral would sell for at least a billion dollars.
Mar-A-Lago, at least a billion dollars. Look at OPO. OPO did sell for 100 million more than what was stated on the Statement of Financial Condition. Very point. Very point, sir. Ten times the value that was stated on the Statement of Financial Condition. Doral has 1500 units that have never been developed.

The list could go on and on, because real estate is malleable, your Honor. Real estate changes. The values change, but there was absolutely no fraud, no intent to defraud, no conspiracy.

I would just like to close by saying that I
believe -- well, first, before I do that, I do want to thank you, your Honor, for one thing you said when you opened up, which was explaining to the press, the jury issue, because I personally have been dealing with that myself, and 6312, and the fact that we don't have a jury, and I know my client did want a jury. I want to say that. But we have addressed that, and I appreciate that you clarified, for the Court. So thank you, for that, from me. THE COURT: You're welcome.
MS. HABBA: Well, these are sophisticated banks. These are sophisticated lenders. They made over $\$ 100$ million, and there was no intent to defraud. Period, the end. The disgorgement only came, which is what the Attorney General is asking for, after the Trumps sold the property that they undervalued for 250 more than was valued. That's when this case was brought, not two years ago. After the sale, and that's the number they picked.

Why? Because if you look at the president and his family's worth, if you look at the Trump Organization and all the 850 -plus people that work for that beautiful company, you will see that they have never overvalued. The Statement of Financial Condition was disclaimed. It was undervalued, in my opinion, and we'll prove that, through our experts, and the AG is setting a very dangerous precedent for all business owners in the State of New York.

The State of New York, they would have you believe, if you believe your property is worth a million dollars and you list it on Trulia for $\$ 1$ million, but it sells for 800,000 , then you, sir, should be here, and you should be disgorged of your profits, and that is a very dangerous time for our country, and this state.

Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Ms. Habba, as you referenced, we have been dealing with, to use a slang term, each other for years, and if it's okay with you, and because we don't have a jury, or at least a formal jury, I would like to just go over a few of the things that you said. Is that okay?

MS. HABBA: Of course, your Honor.
THE COURT: One, this is not a question, you referred to Mr. Wallace's presentation as testimony. Opening statements are not testimony.

MS. HABBA: Opening statement. Yes.
THE COURT: It's just what you intend to prove.
MS. HABBA: Yes. Well, I think part of it, your Honor, to be clear, was testimony. He played testimony from some of the witnesses.

THE COURT: You are right.
Next, you brought up the Attorney General's history, motivations, statements.

MS. HABBA: Yes.

THE COURT: You had moved to have the entire case dismissed because it's a, quote, witch hunt, which is what the press tends to call it, and I denied that, and I was affirmed by the First Department. So Ms. James' motivations are not an issue in this case any more; are they?

MS. HABBA: Well, your Honor, actually I brought a case that was separate from this case against Ms. James for what I believe is constitutionally improper, improper by her office, and I do believe her statements out of court prior to having office, and claiming that she knows what goes on in the Trump Organization, are very important to this case. Unfortunately, it's become the fabric of this country, right now, that these out-of-court statements are part of the case.

Ms. James sat on the court steps before coming in here. I didn't know she was going to do that, but that's part of the schtick, evidently, so I have to address it, and I do believe that that was improper on many levels. I withdrew that case. It was not dismissed.

THE COURT: All right. We can go back and forth. MS. HABBA: Sure. Of course, your Honor.
THE COURT: We have a few more points to discuss. The valuation of Mar-A-Lago, there were very significant easements on the ability to turn it into -- subdivide it

THE COURT: I held one of the defendants in contempt. I fined him $\$ 10,000$ a day. It was vacated after 11 days, and I was upheld on that, both the contempt finding, and the amount of the fine. So, I don't know why we are --

MS. HABBA: Well, I just wanted to bring up the point, your Honor, that after these ten days, despite the fine, which, we obviously went through our procedure, you did recall that after those days, and after my diligent searches and my certifications, we never did find anything that was not previously revealed.

THE COURT: Yes, that's right.
MS. HABBA: So my point is, that goes to the good faith and the intent, your Honor.

THE COURT: You never did find anything, but the contempt was because you didn't submit an affidavit that said who looked for what, when, where.

MS. HABBA: And then I did, many times.
THE COURT: And then you did. Well, but the defendant didn't. You did, I think. But, I don't think the defendant did.

MS. HABBA: He did, actually, your Honor.
THE COURT: I don't think he submitted an affidavit saying what he did, when, where, et cetera.

MS. HABBA: Right.
into residences, et cetera, and more importantly, I specifically said, in the summary judgment decision, I'm not valuing or evaluating properties. I believe that there was --

Well, I wouldn't telegraph that, but please, Press, stop saying that $I$ valued it at $\$ 18$ million. That was a tax assessment. Or, something in that range.

There would have been issues of fact as to what the value was.

MS. HABBA: Well, I think, your Honor -- if I may respond?

THE COURT: Yes.
MS. HABBA: I think that is an issue of fact, and the fact -- and I'll preserve, as my colleague said, everything on the Appellate Division, but the fact that you drafted a summary judgment motion saying that that was not an issue of fact is exactly what we are appealing, and we'll handle that on the Appellate Division.

THE COURT: Okay. I didn't draft a motion. I drafted a decision.

MS. HABBA: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: You referred, perhaps obliquely, or maybe more directly, to the million pages of documents that were turned over.

MS. HABBA: Yes.
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THE COURT: You said that at one point, just recently, values change. That's one of the big points in this case. You can't look at property ten years later and say, Well, now look what it's worth. These Statements of Financial Condition were current, current market value, so yes, values change, but the point of the Statements of Financial Condition is what were they worth then? What would a willing seller and willing buyer agree to?

MS. HABBA: And if I may respond?
THE COURT: Sure.
MS. HABBA: Saying that the value of Mar-A-Lago, then or now, would be worth that -- and I obviously, again, on appeal, so I'm not going to litigate our case between you and me, and give my adversaries the benefit of hearing all of my arguments, but I think that we'll prove that, through our experts. We'll prove that at that time, they were undervalued, in many instances. As I noted, some of these properties are heavy undervalued, and we are forgetting the disclaimer, and also the fact that the Trump brand is worth something.

And, I know that you addressed it in your summary judgment motion, and I'm not going to get into it now, but we cannot -- look, my point is this, your Honor, and I think that our case will show this: If this was a conspiracy, if we were trying to inflate his value, which

1 is worth billions and billions and billions of dollars more
than anything he ever took the loan out, and frankly, he was underleveraged, but if you were -- if they are trying to prove that, your Honor, that case falls flat on its face, just by looking at certain things that were not included.

If that was the intent for Forbes magazine, then why wouldn't President Trump say, Put in the brand, put in The Apprentice rights, put in the Miss America, or whatever it was, Universe. Put all that in. Let's inflate it. That didn't happen, your Honor.

THE COURT: The brand value, at least some of the Statements of Financial Condition specifically, expressly said, We are not including brand value. Is that the case? Right?

MS. HABBA: And my point is it was not included, and if he had wanted to inflate, why wouldn't we?

Never mind any of that, your Honor. Everything falls on its face when you look at the WeiserMazars disclaimer, and the sophisticated banks we are dealing with that did their own due diligence.

And I just submit, your Honor, I don't want to litigate my case with you, only because of the prejudice that that could cause to my client.

THE COURT: My final point is, the disclaimers,
compilations. And again, I go back to my Trulia example. No bank, no lender, takes somebody's value at a compilation, the lowest level. It's not audited. It doesn't proclaim to be. It doesn't proclaim to have been. It never did.

But, on top of the fact that it's a compilation, they put the waiver on the front. On top of that, these banks came in and did their own due diligence. It was not us that did it.

And, for what its worth, your Honor, we could go all day, I'm sure, and it would be great, but that is the crux of where I disagree with you.

THE COURT: All right. Is it not the case that, in a layperson's terms -- and we have a lot of lay people out there -- the Mazars disclaimer was basically, We are relying on the Trump Organization?

MS. HABBA: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: That's how I read it.
MS. HABBA: Well, frankly, the Trump Organization relied on Mazars to come in and do what they did, and they came in. Regardless, they are the accountants. They are the accountants, sir.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MS. HABBA: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: And we have at least one more opening
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which understandably, the defendants make a big deal about, first of all, I believe that was part of both the motion to dismiss, and the motion for preliminary injunction. I ruled against that, as a defense. I was upheld both times, but I would just like to explain, basically, what the disclaimers, which were separate from the worth statements, but just talking about the disclaimers, the Mazars disclaimers basically said, We are not sure about all this, look to the Trump Organization, that's where we are getting these figures. So, they were anything but, you know, disclaimers, and you can't even make a disclaimer on behalf of someone else, so I just don't see it.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I'm actually glad you brought that up. Did if I may?

THE COURT: Sure.
MS. HABBA: Again, my experts, my colleagues, who are incredibly bright, they will go into excruciating detail with every witness, and we will bore everyone in this room at length for three months, but if I can just give you the high level of where I disagree with you on that, sir?

THE COURT: Sure.
MS. HABBA: It's that, these are compilations.
We did not present the Statement of Financial Condition as an audited financial. Period, the end. They are
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(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

MR. ROBERT: Can you hear me, Judge?
THE COURT: Yes. That's pretty good, but keep your voice up.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. Good afternoon, sir. I'll be very brief.

First of all, I want to just say that I echo the statements of Mr. Kise, on behalf of my clients, Eric Trump and Donald Trump, Jr. We reserve all the rights and the objections that Mr. Kise has referenced, but what I want to spend my few moments here talking to you about, your Honor, is what I will respectfully submit that the evidence will show that my clients did in this case, but I actually think, more importantly, what the evidence will show that my clients did not do, in this case.

I don't think it's going to come as a surprise to anyone in this courtroom that I vigorously, on behalf of my clients, disagree with just about everything Mr. Wallace said this morning, but there are two things that I actually do agree with him on, and I actually flagged them, and I put them in red on my pad, because I was shocked that I actually agreed with him about something, and what I agreed with him on were two concepts.
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One, that in order for the Attorney General to win her case, she has to show repeated, persistent, illegal acts, and I respectfully submit to this Court, she will not be able to sustain that burden, especially as to Eric Trump and Donald Trump, Jr., and more importantly, what Mr. Wallace said is that you need to judge credibility. Mr. Wallace put it in the context of credibility of denial.

Well, your Honor, it's credibility, in and of itself. You will be the finder of fact. You will hear testimony from Eric Trump. You will hear testimony from Donald Trump, Jr. You will hear them be cross examined by able counsel for the Attorney General, and you will then make a decision as to their veracity.

In addition, you will hear testimony, as Mr. Kise set forth, from the witnesses of Deutsche Bank, and Deutsche Bank's testimony is going to be consistent in that there was never a material misrepresentation made by Eric Trump or Donald Trump, Jr. So, it's not going to just be the credibility of my clients. It's not just going to be the credibility of the president, when he testifies. It's going to be the credibility of people who were actually involved in the transactions, and I don't think that can be overstated.

It's interesting. You know, when we do an opening statement, I think the first rule we learn is, you

1 the 45th President of the United States in 2017, Eric and 2 Don then continued to grow the business, Eric in an 3 operational standpoint; Don expanding what the company was doing.

But, as you are going to hear, and as the testimony from Eric Trump's deposition -- and I'll read from it, page 32, lines 17 and 18:
"I was focused on pouring concrete, not accounting."

Now, let's be clear. Eric Trump and Donald Trump, Jr. are not walking away from the Statements of Financial Condition. Let's be clear. There were no material inaccuracies. All of the statements and certifications signed by Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump are clear that it talked about no material misrepresentations. This is not a situation where someone signs an affidavit, and they're attesting that every single fact in there is true.

I would ask your Honor to think about it in terms of, it's no different from an affidavit where the affiant says, I believe the following to be true, in all material respects.

That's what they did here, and it is clear, and our evidence will show, our experts will show, Mr. Kise went through, in painstaking detail, that they were not
want to show the best part of your case because, you know, especially if there was a jury here -- which again, I agree with Ms. Habba, we were entitled to under 6312 -- but very often I'll tell a jury, it's kind of like a road map. I want to tell you where I'm going, and what I think the evidence is going to prove.

Well, I think it's fascinating that in the Attorney General's opening, their major linchpin is Michael Cohen. Now, as the Court will hear, he is actually on their first -- I think the first six witnesses, maybe the first seven. So, when you talk about credibility, you are going to have a guy who lies to everyone; who is a convicted felon; who allocuted, very specifically in Judge Pauley's courtroom, which we can probably see if we look out the window, and he is a fixture of what their case is about.

Now, they played you a snippet of Eric Trump, which quite frankly I thought was in incredibly poor taste, and really misleading. That snippet has to do with Mr. Trump honestly asking if he recalls a 2021 conversation. What they didn't show is the rest of his testimony, where he goes through what exactly it is he does at the Trump Organization, and the fact that he and Donald Trump, Jr. spent their life working for their dad, learning from their dad. When their dad assumed the office of being
materially -- there was nothing materially wrong with them.
And again, you are not taking my word. You will take the word of an NYU Stem School of Business professor. You will take the word of a senior person who used to work at the SEC.
(Continued on the next page.)

| 1 | MR. ROBERT: But even though we're not running |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | away from these statements of financial condition, as I |
| 3 | started my opening, I want to submit to you what the |
| 4 | evidence will show my clients didn't do and there's no |
| 5 | refuting it. There's not any amount of snippets Mr. |
| 6 | Wallace can play, even taken out of context, that will |
| 7 | disprove, one, they were not involved in the creation and |
| 8 | preparation of the SOFC. |
| 9 | Two, they did not assemble the backup for the |
| 10 | SOFC. Three, they did not review the SOFC supporting |
| 11 | data. Four, they did not perform the calculations used |
| 12 | in the SOFC; and, five, they did not review those |
| 13 | calculations after they were done. Others created and |
| 14 | prepared the SOFC; and, for those years, when they signed |
| 15 | documents dealing with financial matters, they relied on |
| 16 | the work product of others, which is not anything that |
| 17 | should come as a surprise to anyone, especially the |
| 18 | People, when you're of a level of a company that |
| 19 | Mr. Trump were, they weren't the ones with the green |
| 20 | accounting hat ongoing through the mountain of documents |
| 21 | in the backup. |
| 22 | So, to suggest there is liability that can be |
| 23 | attached to them, first of all, from the first |
| 24 | foundational issue that there's nothing materially wrong |
| 25 | with the statements and, second, the fact that they acted |

MR. KISE: I think this is-- your hypothetical represents the fundamental problem we have, respectfully, with the summary judgment order. You haven't heard the evidence. You haven't heard from Professor Bartov in person. You haven't heard from Mr. Unell. You haven't heard from Mr. Flemmons and I think you owe it to the defendants to listen to this evidence and understand exactly what I was saying. ASC 274 --

THE COURT: The evidence, that would be argument. Mr. Bartov has evidence?

MR. KISE: He does have evidence. His opinion on what the governing accounting standards are. I'm not an expert on governing accounting standards. I don't think the Court is. Respectfully, I don't think you're an expert on governing accounting standards.

So, if you have an expert that comes in and tells you this is what the estimated current value is and that is how it's calculated. This is what is material or not, you can agree or disagree but at least give us an opportunity to have a trial where you hear this evidence and make those determinations, because, right now, we haven't gotten there and I would caution your Honor vigorously to -- I understand your hypothetical but I think under these circumstances there's a lot more to the story. There is a lot more layers to this.
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as appropriately as they should, both in their capacity as executive and in Donald Trump, Jr. as trustee.

Therefore, I respectfully submit that the claims brought by the New York State Attorney General against my client should be dismissed.

I thank you for your time.
THE COURT: Is it the position of all three defendant lawyers that there were no material-withdrawn. That there were no misstatements in any Statements of Financial Condition?

Is that your position?
MR. ROBERT: You made a ruling in your summary judgment motion. My position was there were no material misstatements because that is what the People must prove and, at least as it relates to my clients, those were the certifications they signed. The words in every single document they signed say the words "material representation."

I'll defer to Mr. Kise as to the others.
THE COURT: Let's talk about, very briefly, that word "material." Let's say there's a statement that $\$ 100$ million. At what point would you consider something material? Would $\$ 20,000$ be material?

MR. KISE: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Yes.

The Attorney General wants to make it simple. There is an error here and it's material because we say so. I think we have to at least give these witnesses an opportunity to take the stand and understand what this case is about. If you want to disagree later, that's fine, but, I would say that we've got testimony from folks that understand fully valuations. They understand fully accounting standards, and, it is relevant to intent. It is relevant to materiality.

If I prepare a statement and that statement is in accordance with the Governing Accounting Standards, I cannot see how, possibly, someone can say, even if they disagree with my valuations, that I had intended to defraud. So, maybe you disagree with my valuation but that is an entirely different question.

So, I'd ask the Court to allow us to present the evidence. For example, the differentiation in values, I mean, we have experts that you haven't heard from, and, I don't know we're going to hear from them now based on the way the case is structured.

I mean, the Attorney General has certainly opened the door, in my mind, to valuation issues, but, we don't have to decide that today. I think we need to make sure that the evidence comes into the courtroom in a fulsome way and you hear from witnesses who tell you no,

| Proceedings |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | that is not material. This value is not 18-- back to the |
| 2 | hypothetical. This value is not \$18 million, it's a |
| 3 | billion dollars, whatever the case may be, but, the Court |
| 4 | really needs-- and, frankly, I think from the |
| 5 | constitutional perspective, owes it to the defendants to |
| 6 | at least hear this evidence and allow them to defend |
| 7 | themselves. |
| 8 | You're talking about a trial, by the Attorney |
| 9 | General's own press conference, is designed to strip them |
| 10 | of their New York assets and drive them out of business |
| 11 | in New York State. That is a pretty serious thing. That |
| 12 | is a pretty serious charge to level against a business |
| 13 | that's been one of the most successful employers and one |
| 14 | of the most successful real estate developers in New York |
| 15 | City. |
| 16 | The message that sends is staggering to the real |
| 17 | estate community. That is my opinion, but, I know we're |
| 18 | not here to get into my opinions. The record will |
| 19 | demonstrate, what we say will demonstrate, and, I ask the |
| 20 | Court listen to all that evidence before making that |
| 21 | determination. |
| 22 | THE COURT: I intend to be very patient and |
| 23 | liberal listening to things. I think the specific |
| 24 | disagreement we seem to have is if Professor Bartov reads |
| 25 | a document and has a professional opinion about it, to |

THE COURT: That's why I didn't do it.
MR. KISE: You need to certainly hear when we're talking about criminal standards. We're talking about standards under criminal predicates. Those things must and should be adjudicated with the benefit of expert testimony. There's no way to do it otherwise. It's just not possible. We're all lay people when it comes to some of these things.

I am a lay person. I have an accounting degree but I wouldn't call myself an expert on AICPA standards.

THE COURT: Thank you. We have to break in about 15 minutes but let's hear the housekeeping issues that were raised this morning.

MR. AMER: Good afternoon. Andrew Amer on behalf of the People. We did send a letter this morning raising a couple of issues. I'll set it pretty quickly.

The first issue concerns trial subpoenas that were served literally on the eve of trial on Mazars and Ladder Capital. We think the Court should just quash these subpoenas.

First, they were never served on us, as they're required to be under 2303(a) CPLR 2303(a) but, importantly, as the cases we cite to in our letter demonstrate, you can't use the trial subpoena to reopen discovery.
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1 me, that's not evidence. That's testimony or expert 2 opinion but it's not bringing in new facts. Right?

MR. KISE: Respectfully, your Honor, expert opinion in testimony is evidence. That's what evidence is. It's not a document, but, their opinion is relevant. Again, unless the Court is going to be satisfied that it is an expert on accounting regulations, it is an expert on accounting principles and an expert on OCC regulations --

THE COURT: I think what-- is it stacking a dead horse? I intend to listen to all of this. Whether expert opinion is evidence, again, I just consider it opinion and testimony, but, I wouldn't call it evidence, but, there may be different demonstrations.

MR. KISE: Again, I don't know how to say it any more clearly. We, respectfully, disagree. We think an expert, for example, a valuation expert comes in and says Mar-A-Lago is worth a billion dollars and you have taken a position that the tax assessor, without a trial, taken a position that the tax assessor's valuation is more credible but --

THE COURT: I did not judge credibility. You can't do that.

MR. KISE: That is the whole point, your Honor. That is why --

We've had a note of issue. Discovery is over and these trial subpoenas, in large measure, seek documents that they already tried to obtain through subpoenas during fact discovery and, in any event, you just can't use a trial subpoena on an eve of trial to reopen discovery.

We shouldn't be dealing with these type of issue as we're trying to prepare each day to present evidence to the Court. So, we would ask that we not have to deal with these subpoenas because we'd ask that the Court quash them.

THE COURT: I believe the basic rule is in discovery you find out what there is and subpoenas are for, hey, bring it to court.

MR. AMER: Exactly. The second issue concerns Nicholas Haigh.

MR. KISE: Are we going to take these one at a time or is he going to do it --

THE COURT: I gather you'd like to speak now to number one?

MR. KISE: It may just get jumbled if we don't.
THE COURT: I understand. I don't know that I'm making a ruling now.

MR. KISE: I understand. I want to make some points and Mr. Robert may also have some points on the

1 first issue. Just for convenience, because we have the 2 remote microphone over there, which is challenging, I'll 3 just stand here, if that's all right with your Honor. 4 Thank you.

The trial subpoena asks-- Mr. Robert will speak to this. I don't think they were served improperly but to the extent that is a valid argument, we can just reserve them today. That's easy enough.

With respect to the substance, though, this is not information we sought in discovery. As you pointed out, there's things we identified during discovery and, for the trial, we asked for specific records. These are very narrow. They're specific records. They may not think they're narrow because they cover a broad time period but they're very specific records that speak, specifically, to particular witnesses that we now know are going to appear at trial and they go, potentially, to cross-examination of those witnesses.

We're certainly-- it's not discovery. We're entitled to, I want to see those records. It's up to us to go through them but it is not discovery. It's information we need for the trial. We didn't seek it in discovery. We identified it, perhaps, in discovery but, at this point, I don't see that they even have a basis to object to what it is that we want to go through in terms

Mr. Haigh, who was at Deutsche Bank. We understood, and have understood for quite sometime, that Mr. Haigh would be leaving the country starting on October 13th and would be unavailable.

It was our intent to call Mr. Haigh live on our case in chief before he left the country but then on September 26th, your Honor issued a ruling that changed the nature of our case. We actually read the ruling and we understood the ruling to mean that what the banks thought and did just didn't matter.

I don't know how more clearly your Honor could have stated it and how many times you could have stated it, and, I think this is a direct quote. "You can't lie on financial statement period." And so, as a result of your Honor's ruling, which we are taking heed of, we are not calling bank representatives because they're not part of our case anymore. We don't need to put on testimony from banks.

So, the issue we have, and, I think it's absolutely clear from the openings here, is that the defendants haven't changed the case that they intend to put on to incorporate many of the Court's rulings and they still intend to provide you with testimony from their experts, which we'll talk about at a later point in time, and, they still intend to provide testimony from
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| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | of using other -- locating impeachment material we think |
| 2 | is relevant. If it exists, give it to us. That's it. |
| 3 | It's pretty simple. |
| 4 | THE COURT: The point was raised just a few |
| 5 | hours ago. I'll have to look at the subpoenas. |
| 6 | MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I may, the section |
| 7 | of the CPLR Mr. Armen references were to properly notify |
| 8 | them before the return date. |
| 9 | It's my understanding, you referenced, when we |
| 10 | started today, about the rains of Thursday and Friday. |
| 11 | My understanding is the subpoenas were served throughout |
| 12 | the course of Thursday and Friday in due course. If they |
| 13 | don't have it already, we probably already e-mailed it to |
| 14 | them this morning. That's a nonissue. |
| 15 | I also note the people who were served are not |
| 16 | moving to quash. Usually, you have a meet and confer |
| 17 | with the person that received the subpoena. You discuss |
| 18 | whether or not it was too broad, what the relevance of it |
| 19 | is, why we needed it, and, it could be worked out. The |
| 20 | Attorney General is sending the letter, as a nonparty, to |
| 21 | the subpoena objecting to it. I think it's also |
| 22 | procedurally improper. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Let's go on to the second issue. |
| 24 | MR. AMER: The second concerns our need to take |
| 25 | a deposition of Mr. Haigh. Let me give you background of |

1 of using other -- locating impeachment material we think is relevant. If it exists, give it to us. That's it.
It's pretty simple.
THE COURT: The point was raised just a few hours ago. I'll have to look at the subpoenas.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I may, the section of the CPLR Mr. Armen references were to properly notify them before the return date.

It's my understanding, you referenced, when we started today, about the rains of Thursday and Friday. My understanding is the subpoenas were served throughout the course of Thursday and Friday in due course. If they don't have it already, we probably already e-mailed it to them this morning. That's a nonissue.

I also note the people who were served are not moving to quash. Usually, you have a meet and confer with the person that received the subpoena. You discuss whether or not it was too broad, what the relevance of it is, why we needed it, and, it could be worked out. The Attorney General is sending the letter, as a nonparty, to the subpoena objecting to it. I think it's also procedurally improper.

THE COURT: Let's go on to the second issue.
MR. AMER: The second concerns our need to take a deposition of Mr. Haigh. Let me give you background of
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## 1

bank employees, even though your Honor has said what the bank thought didn't matter.

THE COURT: Well, remember, I said that about the first cause of action. I'm not sure about the other six.

MR. AMER: That's why we may have a dispute of whether the bank employees can testify and what the scope of their testimony will be. We need to account for the fact that we may need to call Mr. Haigh in our rebuttal case; and, it's perfectly clear from his schedule that he will not be available to be called at trial on our rebuttal case.

So, we've asked to take his deposition to preserve his testimony for rebuttal and we have scheduled, with his counsel, to do that next week, and, the defendants are refusing to allow us to take his testimony to preserve it for rebuttal, and, we think we should certainly be allowed that right.

We didn't understand until September 26th that we were not going to call him in our case in chief; and, I think our decision not to call him in our case in chief is an appropriate decision, given your Honor's ruling, and, we would like the opportunity to take his deposition next week, and, I would note that your Honor has accommodated the defendants' request the other day to

| Proceedings |  |
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| 1 | take depositions of witnesses who we're going to put on |
| 2 | and we've made no objection to that. We're working with |
| 3 | them to schedule it. |
| 4 | So, we think Mr. Haigh's deposition should go |
| 5 | forward on the date Mr. Haigh's counsel agreed to make |
| 6 | him available next week. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Defendant, any quick reply? |
| 8 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, yes. First of all, |
| 9 | there's no need to take a deposition. If they want to |
| 10 | call a witness, they can call a witness and bring that |
| 11 | witness in the courtroom. Your Honor pointed out exactly |
| 12 | the key elements here. You determined in your ruling |
| 13 | that what the bank said or did or thought was irrelevant |
| 14 | to liability, to the decision you made but not to the |
| 15 | remainder of the case. That's the whole point. |
| 16 | So, we think it's highly relevant to |
| 17 | disgorgement, again, the extent I won't belabor but we |
| 18 | think it's highly relevant for disgorgement and, so, if |
| 19 | this witness is going to testify, if they're going to |
| 20 | establish their case then, he's here. We can take him |
| 21 | out of order and put him on the stand. There's no |
| 22 | problem with that. I just don't see any bases -- |
| 23 | Mr. Robert will speak again. |
| 24 | As you know, he's the CPLR expert, not me, but |
| 25 | what the banks thought and did does matter and, frankly, |

1 compressed nature of it but, regardless of that, your
order of June 9th said "trial depositions for all nonparty witnesses who are unavailable for trial, as set forth in CPLR 3117 shall be held by July 28, 2023.

We opposed it beforehand. If the Attorney General had any issues prior to that point, they needed to make an application. On July 31st they then filed a note of issue certifying "discovery known to be necessary was completed." Again, because this is a bench trial, because you have tremendous discretion as a result of it, if they're intent-- he may be a rebuttal witness, going back to what I said I agree with Mr. Wallace about, credibility is everything.

Let him come here. Let him take the stand. Let him be subject to the Attorney General's direct, our cross-examination, and this Court can hold that in abeyance, if they then want to use that for purposes of rebuttal, but, in the middle of the trial, you will allow him to take a deposition, not in open court, and not before you, I think is incredibly problematic.

MR. KISE: One other thing I'll add, Your Honor, not to be too pejorative here but it appears there may be a little slight of hand. I note on the Attorney General's initial witnesses on September 8th, just a few weeks ago, the trial was still going to start on
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| 1 | if they want Mr. Haigh to testify, then he needs to come, 90 |
| 2 | and, we're prepared to confront him in an open forum, not |
| 3 | at some deposition. |
| 4 | The deposition Mr. Amer is referencing are new |
| 5 | witnesses, people that no one knew about before. They |
| 6 | disclosed it the first time on a witness list less than a |
| 7 | week before the trial started. They never disclosed him |
| 8 | in interrogatories or on a witness list until now. |
| 9 | $\quad$ We had a right to depose them because we don't |
| 10 | know anything about their presence but Mr. Haigh, they |
| 11 | interviewed Mr. Haigh for three days or more during the |
| 12 | investigative process. They know full well what the |
| 13 | impact truly is, what he is, why he is. |
| 14 | We deposed Mr. Haigh during the course of |
| 15 | discovery. They didn't ask any questions. So, now, they |
| 16 | want to come along and insert a deposition of what will, |
| 17 | no doubt, be some key witness for them; and, in the |
| 18 | middle of a trial, it's wholly improper. There's no |
| 19 | procedure for it. |
| 20 | If Mr. Haigh is available, he is available until |
| 21 | October 13th, he can certainly come and present his |
| 22 | testimony. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Mr. Robert. |
| 24 | MR. ROBERT: You issued an order and there was a |
| 25 | lot of back and forth over the last year about the |

1 October 2nd. Mr. Haigh was listed as the 37th witness.

So, I doubt that they intended for Mr. Haigh to be called before the 13th on their initial list.

So, I don't know what's even changed between then and now. I don't think Mr. Haigh decided to leave the country for three or four weeks on a whim. So, I think they had their opportunity to raise to depose Mr. Haigh. They could have asked questions during our deposition, as Mr. Robert pointed out. Your order gave a specific time period and there's no basis for that.

We're entitled to confront these witnesses in an open courtroom where everyone can see and hear what they have to say.

THE COURT: Quickly.
MR. AMER: Certainly, there is no slight of hand. We didn't appreciate the full schedule of Mr. Haigh's travel and we were perfectly prepared to call him out of turn.

THE COURT: For reasons of time.
MR. AMER: Sure.
THE COURT: I'm going to allow the deposition. What's sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander. No prejudice. You have a right to confront him in court. If he testifies, you'll have a right to confront him in court. I don't think this is close.

What's the third issue? You can work out the schedule.

MR. AMER: Thank you. The third issue concerns a proposal we made. We just haven't gotten a response but I think it's one that will greatly aid the efficiency of the proceedings. We requested that all documents that were produced by any party during the investigative phase in response to our subpoenas be considered authentic to avoid the need to bring in --

MR. KISE: I think we agreed on this already on authenticity. I think we had an agreement on the phone last week on Friday. So, we haven't gotten back. We can work that out. Authenticity.

THE COURT: Authenticity, not necessarily admissibility.

MR. KISE: That's right. That is what we worked out.

THE COURT: I knew this would become a love fest eventually.

MR. AMER: One more procedural issue.
THE COURT: Quick.
MR. AMER: We now have a designated and counter-designated deposition transcript for a witness we are going to call by video, play her video deposition, and there are some objections that were lodged to certain

I'll have a ruling on the first issue by then. See you all then.
(Luncheon recess taken.)
(Continued on the following page..)
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| $\mathbf{1}$ | designations and we can't cut the video to play in court |
| 2 | without knowing how your Honor wants to work out ruling |
| 3 | on the objections and what process you want us to follow |
| 4 | to submit the designations and the objections, get your |
| 5 | ruling, and then we can cut the video and make sure, if |
| 6 | you sustain any objections, we leave those portions out. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Submit it with a statement of |
| 8 | objections in camera and I'll go over the whole thing. |
| 9 | Mr. Kise. |
| 10 | MR. KISE: Let us look at the specifics. |
| 11 | Mr. Amer is correct. How we intend to proceed is key to |
| 12 | how we go about resolving the issue. If I'm |
| 13 | understanding, you want us to separately -- first we have |
| 14 | to try and work out any objections. To the extent we |
| 15 | can't, separately submit those, and we'll do that off |
| 16 | trial time. |
| 17 | THE COURT: I mean, we'll do that separate. Of |
| 18 | course. |
| 19 | MR. KISE: Prior to the time that the witness's |
| 20 | testimony is going to be played? |
| 21 | THE COURT: Of course. |
| 22 | MR. AMER: You want a paper copy of the |
| 23 | transcript highlighted or you want a video? |
| 24 | THE COURT: Paper copy will do. I'm old school. |
| 25 | Have a great lunch. We'll resume at $2: 15$ and |

THE COURT: First things first. I would like to have a quick sidebar, or you might call it a front bar, with counsel. This will only take minute or two.
(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion took place at bench.)

THE COURT: I said I would address the first issue that the Attorney General raised, very recently. I'm quashing those subpoenas. It seems clear to me that they are being used as a discovery device. Let's see what we can find out; not, We want you to bring the report of April 1965, or whatever. So, that's that.

MR. AMER: Along these lines, your Honor, we did learn that Deutsche Bank was served with a trial subpoena this morning, so I think it makes sense to extend your order to that subpoena, as well.

THE COURT: Well, I would have to see the subpoena and the argument, so, without prejudice, withdrawn.

Okay. Are we ready for our first witness?
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, the People had one issue relating to the first witness, we just wanted to raise. The People's first witness is going to be Donald Bender, from the accounting firm Mazars. One of the primary reasons to have Mr. Bender come in is to bring in the various documents that relate to the Statement of

1 Financial Condition. There's four primary documents.

There's the Statement of Financial Condition; there is the supporting data spreadsheet; there's the engagement letter; and there's the representation letter. So, there's those four documents, for every single year.

Many of them are not objected to, by both
parties, and so we would propose that documents that are not subject to objection be entered into evidence, without necessarily having the witness go through each and every single document. For the ones that there are objections to, we'll go through them with the witness.

MR. KISE: I think, your Honor, the best approach -- I mean, again, as we said, we agree as to authenticity, but as to admissibility, I think we need to go document-by-document, because as you know, we have a different view of the timeline here, and it's important for our record to make sure that we preserve those objections.

MR. WALLACE: Let me be clear. We are talking about documents which are listed as, No objection, on the exhibit list.

MR. KISE: If we take it document-by-document, your Honor, it's not going to take very long. I mean, it's pretty simple. So, if we just -- I'm not even sure which four documents he is talking about right now. I'm not saying he is right or wrong. I just think it's much

1 every single document.
We are also presenting an electronic copy of the supporting data spreadsheet, because it's an Excel spreadsheet.
(Whereupon, documents were handed to the Court.)
MR. WALLACE: If we have a moment, Chris, I think we might raise one more issue.

Mr. Kise and I both made presentations, as part of our openings. Does your Honor want us to provide you with copies of those, or should we file it on the docket?

MR. KISE: We can provide copies, but as I'm sure the Court is aware, opening statements are not evidentiary. They have no --

THE COURT: I said that, earlier.
MR. KISE: Right.
MR. WALLACE: Understood.
THE COURT: But I'll take them. Sure. It would be the same thing as just getting a transcript, so.
(Whereupon, a document was handed to the Court.) D O N A L D BENDER, after having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
Please state your name and either home or business address, on the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Donald Bender, and I
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easier, and a much better flow, for us to just take it document-by-document, and if we waive objection, we waive objection.

MR. WALLACE: The objections have been waived already, so my request is that documents with no objection be moved into evidence.

THE COURT: I don't think we can do that, en masse, without seeing. I think Mr. Kise is correct. One-by-one, hopefully, if they have waived their objections. If they object, you will say, But you waived it, and they will say, Well, we did, or we didn't.

MR. WALLACE: Well, that's fine, but we'll go through each document for every one year, and we'll do that with Mr. Bender.

So, the people call Donald Bender.
Your Honor, I would also -- per our discussion at the pretrial conference, we prepared binders. Three copies for court, and staff, if Ms. Faherty can bring them up.

THE COURT: Sure. You can approach. Bring it up.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I'll say we have prepared, actually, two sets of binders for today. One would be for the Court to have a set of these Statements of Financial Condition, so we prepared a set of binders for that. We'll go through them. We are going to go through

1 live in Woodbury, New York.
THE COURT: I just ask you to keep your voice way up. Talk right into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: I'll try, your Honor.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, not to delay the proceedings, but while we are on the subject of objections, so, with Mr. Bender's testimony, we are going to do the same thing with each witness, if it's okay with your Honor.

As you know, we won an appellate victory in June of this year. We believe that it wiped out 80 percent of the case, based on the statute of limitations. At all events, we object to any testimony that will come in as to Statements of Financial Condition that were prepared, certifications that were made, any questions of this witness. We are going to do this in a blanket way, so we don't interrupt question-by-question, but we just want to state on the record, now, that the witness has been sworn; that we object to testimony coming in that is outside of the claims that should be addressed.

As you know, we have argued that, and we believe that, the Appellate Division ruling supports the fact that eight of the ten transactions, the loan transactions, are not at issue. Only two are, the two that were mentioned at the beginning, but we make a blanket objection to testimony in that regard. We won't interrupt the proceeding.

As to documents, and this is why I was a bit pedantic about document-by-document. We will object, on that basis, document-by-document. To the extent documents come in, because from the Appellate cases that we have reviewed, we have to do that, to preserve the record. We'll make the objection. We'll try and move the case along, but we have to make that objection.

And then, lastly, if we have agreement, as we have done throughout this case in the depositions, if we have agreement, an objection for one is an objection for all, so that you don't have people popping up, popping up and down, if that's all right with the People. If one of us objects to a document coming in, then we don't all have to stand up and object, to make that record.

THE COURT: Birds of a feather flock together. MR. KISE: Indeed.
THE COURT: Well, let's not get into a whole discussion about statute of limitations, et cetera. It's my position, and I hope it was clear from the summary judgment decision and order, that it's one thing if your client makes a statement, gets a loan, and the money is transferred, and that's it. It's another thing if the deal is, We'll loan you the money; we want to read your Statement of Financial Condition, and every year you are going to have to send us another Statement of Financial
course of conduct between the parties during a long-standing relationship can have relevance. The statute of limitations is a bar on claims, not on a bar on evidence. If it's too far gone, understood, it could be not relevant anymore, but I think we do this as we go through the case, and we may likely see this on the first document that I show to Mr. Bender.

So, why don't we get started.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If that's okay with your Honor. THE COURT: Please proceed.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Good afternoon, sir.
A Good afternoon.
THE COURT: A little louder. THE WITNESS: I'll try.
A Good afternoon.
THE COURT: Better.
Q Since there's been some colloquy, could you please state your name again, for the record?

A Donald Bender.
Q Mr. Bender, are you taking any medication that we should just be aware of, during the course of your testimony today?
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| 1 | Condition. If those later statements flow within the |
| 2 | statutory period, I certainly consider them relevant. |
| 3 | MR. KISE: I understand your Honor's position, |
| 4 | and I think you understand ours with respect to the |
| 5 | limitations. |
| 6 | $\quad$ With respect to that latter piece, if we are |
| 7 | talking about just certifications that occurred after 2014, |
| 8 | then that still would exclude any evidence about the |
| 9 | underlying loan transaction that predated the statute of |
| 10 | limitations. That's part of --- |
| 11 | THE COURT: I think that's right, but the |
| 12 | pre-statute of limitations dealings may be relevant for |
| 13 | injunctive relief, for their knowledge of things, but we'll |
| 14 | have to deal it with it one-by-one. |
| 15 | $\quad$ MR. KISE: We would object to that as well, your |
| 16 | Honor. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Okay. Objection overruled, but I |
| 18 | will ask the Attorney General to be cognizant of his |
| 19 | points, and if there's something, a discrete transaction, |
| 20 | obviously that occurred before the limitations period |
| 21 | began, then let's not have that in, because I'll exclude |
| 22 | it. I won't consider it, anyway. |
| 23 | MR. WALLACE: Fair enough, your Honor. I think |
| 24 | that -- I'm not going to speak for this witness, and every |
| 25 | witness that's going to come in. We certainly believe that |

Q If you need any breaks, you can --
A Okay. Thank you.
Q -- let Judge Engoron know.
Can you please describe, for me, your educational background?

A I graduated with a BA from Queens College in 1979.
Q Okay. And do you hold any professional licenses?
A I'm a New York State CPA.
Q And are you currently employed?
A No. I'm retired. I do a few hours of consulting, but I'm retired.

Q What was your last job, before you retired?
A I was a partner at Mazars USA.
Q And what is Mazars USA?
A It's an accounting and -- an accounting and consulting firm.

Q At the time of your retirement, how long had you been working for Mazars?

A With predecessor firms, approximately 41 years.
Q Okay. And at what point did you become a partner in the firm?

A About 22 years ago.
Q And what work did you perform as a partner at Mazars?

A I considered myself a generalist. I did a little bit of everything. Some test work, some tax work, sales tax. I came from a background of a multi-functional.

Q And when you say test work, what is that referring to?
A Test work means generally, today, audit review or compilation work.

Q Okay. And we'll get to those, in a minute. Was the defendant, Donald J. Trump, a client of yours at Mazars?

A Yes. He was a client of Mazars.
Q And what work did you do for him, as an individual?
A As an individual, I worked on his tax return. I worked on some certain tax exams, and I worked on the compilation of his Statement of Financial Condition for a number of years.

Q What is a tax exam?
A Some government authority, federal, state or city, or an agency thereof, is auditing him to see if the numbers are correct.
Q Okay. And are you familiar with an entity doing business as the Trump Organization?

A Well, the Trump Organization, in my time, were two different entities. There were two entities. The first entity was Trump Organization, Inc. The second entity was Trump Organization, LLC. In my point of view, those were basically inactive entities. The name of the Trump Organization, to me,

That doesn't include -- I don't have an analysis of non-billable time, so I don't know if that -- I don't think we should rely on those numbers today.

Q Okay. So, I understand you may have been doing work that isn't counted in the billable time?

A Yes.
Q You are describing -- that's what your concern was?
A Yes.
Q Understood.
Do you have a sense of what percentage of your time doing work for the Trump Organization was spent on the compilation of Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A Say that again, please?
Q Do you have a sense of how much of your time, how much of the work you are performing for the Trump Organization and Mr. Trump, what percentage was the work on the Statement of Financial Condition?

A I would say somewhere in the range 2 to 4 percent.
Q And do you have a rough sense of how many hours that would be, in a given year?

A It changed, over time, depending on who was helping me, not helping me or -- you know, but I would say 50 to 60 hours of my time wouldn't be unusual, in a year.

Q You were describing -- you mentioned that you did work for entities owned or controlled by Donald Trump and his
is like $a \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$. It's an umbrella entity that reflected all of the entities owned or controlled by Donald J. Trump, or his children. That's how I would think of it, today.

Q And did you do any accounting work for corporate entities owned or controlled by Donald Trump and his children?

A I did accounting work for those entities, corporate, partnerships, LLCs. Not just corporate.

Q And what work did you perform for them?
A Like I said, whole spectrum of work, from some audits, reviews, compilations, test work. Some tax returns. You know, a little bit of everything. Whatever needed to be. I was a generalist. I could help out, from time to time.

Q Do you have a sense of approximately how much of your time, let's say during the years 2011 through --

MR. WALLACE: Strike that question.
Q Do you have a sense of how much of your time, from the period of 2011 until your retirement, you were spending on engagements for Donald Trump and the Trump Organization?

A I don't have a good sense. If I had to guesstimate today -- it changed over the years - I would say approximately, anywhere between 45 or 55 percent. But that's just a guesstimate. I don't have the information in front of me, today.

Q Do you have a sense of how much of your time?
A Let me take that -- that's probably my billable time.
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children. You said you do an array of work. Could you describe for me what individuals you would work with, in the course of your engagement for the Trump Organization and Mr. Trump?

MR. KISE: Objection, your Honor. I've let this go a bit, but can we maybe confine this to year-by-year, or series of years? And are we asking for the entire ten-year period? I'm just -- there's no context, here, for this. I mean, in general terms, he can testify, but the questions need to be more precise. It couldn't be the same people, each year.

THE COURT: Well, what if we did this: If you are asking to average, generalize, just make it clear that that's what you are doing, but I'm not going to insist that he go year-by-year.
Q So Mr. Bender, generally, during the period of 2011 to the time of your retirement, who were some of the individuals you would deal with at the Trump Organization?

A I dealt with a large array of the executives, legal department, accounting department, and a lot of other people in between.
vice president he was, but he had a title, and he was Controller
for a number of those years. Before that, he was Assistant
Controller.

Q You mentioned that you were familiar with the entities
of the Trump Organization, Inc. and the Trump Organization, LLC.
I would like to ask if you are familiar with a few other
entities. Are you familiar with an entity called DJT Holdings,
LLC?

A Yes, I am.
Q And what do you understand that entity does?
A That was a holding entity for a number of Mr. Trump's investments, in the later years.

Q And did you do any accounting work for that entity?
A Mazars did accounting work, yes.
Q And what accounting work did Mazars do?
A We prepared the tax return, and we did certain accounting work papers.
Q Are you familiar with an entity called DJT Holdings Managing Member?

A I believe the name is DJT Holdings Managing Member, LLC, but you will have to confirm that for me. It's been a while. But yes, I am.
Q And what does that entity to?
A It also held a number of Mr. Trump's investments.
Q And did that entity -- did Mazars do accounting work
vice president he was, but he had a title, and he was Controller for a number of those years. Before that, he was Assistant Controller.

I would like to ask if you are familiar with a few other entities. Are you familiar with an entity called DJT Holdings, LC?
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for that entity?
A Yes, we did.
Q What accounting work did you do for that entity?
A We did the same kind of work. We filed tax returns and did certain accounting work papers.

Q Are you familiar with an entity called Trump Endeavor 12, LLC?

A Yes, I am.
Q What does that entity do?
A That is the property that owns the Doral, in Florida.
The Doral Golf Club.
Q Did Mazars do accounting work for that entity?
A Yes. Yes, we did.
Q And what accounting work did Mazars do for that entity?

A To the best of my recollection today, I believe we did compilations and we did tax returns, but I would have to check that.

Q Are you familiar with an entity called 401 North Wabash Venture, LLC?

A Yes, I am.
Q And what does that entity do?
A That is the sponsor for Trump International Hotel and Tower in Chicago.

Q And did Mazars do accounting work for that entity?

A Yes, we did.
Q And what accounting work did Mazars do for that entity?

A We did various levels of test work, depending on what year it was, and for certain years we did tax returns and we did accounting, and we did -- let me take that back. 401 North Wabash. 401 North Wabash doesn't file a tax return. To the best of my recollection, we did accounting work papers to assist for the preparation of other entities' tax returns.

Q Are you familiar with an entity called Trump Old Post Office, LLC?

A Yes, I did.
Q What did that entity do?
A That is the entity that operates the Old Post Office Hotel in Washington, D.C.

Q Did Mazars do accounting work for that entity?
A We did test work and we did tax returns, to the best of my recollection.

Q Are you familiar with the entity called 40 Wall Street, LLC?

A 40 Wall Street. Yes.
Q And what did that entity do?
A That is the property that -- that's the company that operated the Trump building at $\mathbf{4 0}$ Wall Street, in Manhattan.

Q And did Mazars do accounting work for that entity?
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A We did various levels of test work, depending on what year it was, and we did a tax return, amongst other things.

Q And are you familiar with an entity called Seven Springs, LLC?

A Yes, Iam.
Q And what does that entity do?
A That entity, to the best of my recollection, changed over the years. I think originally, if I recall, it was supposed to be a golf course, potentially. Later, it was -became a development project, and in later years it was a personal asset for Mr. Trump.

Q And did Mazars do accounting work for Seven Springs, LLC?

A Yes, we did.
Q What accounting work did Mazars do for Seven Springs, LLC?

A To the best of my recollection today, we did tax return -- tax returns and accounting work papers.

Q Okay. I would like to go back and focus on the Statement of Financial Condition you mentioned. Can you describe for me what that document is?

A Simplistically, I would say that is what people today would basically call a balance sheet. It's a balance sheet of Mr. Trump's assets and liabilities as of June 30th of the individual year we are talking about, prepared, you know, under
accounting principles.
Q When you say you prepared it under accounting principles --
A

level, during that time 2011 to 2020 , what the process was for getting the statement compiled.

A Well, it changed as technology changed, so I don't know what year exactly technology changed, but in the early years I would go to Trump Tower, some years, and I would sit with Jeff McConney, and he will give me paper backup for the information. He would give it to me in paper. Sometimes he might give it to -- I think they used DHL or FedEx. It would sometimes come by messenger. I would literally sit with him, and he would give me the numbers. He would give me the backup, or what was available as of that day. I would literally punch holes in the paper, and put it into a looseleaf binder.

As technology changed, they started emailing me more and more stuff, and at some point, for the last few years, I believe they went into a portal.

Q Okay. So let's focus on the years when you were getting the information by email. What would you do after you received the information from Mr. McConney?

A Me or a member of my team would insert it into what we call an engagement binder, whether it be an electronic binder, and we would put the information in that binder, and we would leave it in that binder until we were ready to start the engagement. So, if certain information came in and was superseded, we just used -- we would take the one that -- the latest one that came in, at the time we started, physically
started the work.
Q So you would not always immediately begin the work on the compilation when you received the information from Mr. McConney?

A Not necessarily. That's true.
Q Okay. And so, if information came in that superseded what you had already received, you would only look at the most recent information?

A In most cases, I would say that's fair. (Continued on the next page.)

Q So, once you start working on it, what does that look like? What are you doing?

A We would cross-- we would look at. Jeff or a member of his team. If it wasn't Jeffrey, it was somebody else. They'd send us a schedule with a control schedule. They would send us an early draft of the financial statement which they marked up.

They usually took the previous years's
statement, crossed out and made the changes and, again, that would be an early draft of the statement, and, they would send us backup schedules, and, we'd try to cross-reference the schedules to their supporting control sheet, to make sure the numbers agreed.
Q So, when you say cross-reference to make sure the numbers agreed, can you describe what that looks like?
A I tell a story that I used to say it's a full circle. Their control document was something I believe called Jeff Supporting Data. So, I would make sure the numbers, even if they sent me updates, the numbers on the Jeff Supporting Data available would tie out to the cash schedule, the accounts payable schedule, whatever schedule we were looking at.
Q So, you were making sure the numbers on the support they sent you match the numbers going into the spreadsheet?
A Yes and vice versa.
$1 \quad Q$ Were you doing any other analysis of the supporting information?

A I would look at it at high level. If I saw something that bothered me or something that didn't make sense to me, I'd ask him about it, generally.

Q Okay. So, you checked the schedules. You said they would send you a marked-up version of the statement-- of what is necessary to complete the statement?

A You know, if -- first of all, we'd have to get an engagement letter. We'd have to get an engagement letter from the client, outline the terms of the engagement. We would, you know, after we would type the statement, sometimes we'd type it in before. We would just type the first draft.

After Trump Organization had finalized their numbers and sent to us, you know, we'd send them a final draft. Typically, we'd send them multiple final drafts with red lines sometimes with changes. Red lines, black lines, whatever they call it. I'm not a lawyer. At the point when everybody was-- the Trump Organization was happy with the statement, the compilation, they would send a representation letter.

After they signed the representation letter and when the representation letter was signed, Mazars had done all the procedures, all that was required on our end, we would issue the compilation report.
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$1 \quad \mathbf{Q}$ Did that process generally remain the same throughout the period of 2011 through your retirement?

A To the point we stopped -- not to my retirement.
When we stopped compiling the financial statement.
Q That was in 2021;
A '20 or '21. I don't remember. You have to tell me.
Q You said it was the statement as of June 30, 2021?
A That's what I thought it was.
Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document premarked PX-200785. We'll also call it up on the screen here. Do you recognize this document?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, this would be one of those documents that we're going to object to on the Statute of Limitations. We don't think it should come in. It's 2011.

THE COURT: I agree with you we're trying to do this altogether. Hold on. Mr. Kise, I'm going to overrule you on what I think is going to be a continuing objection, and, I'll recognize the continuing objection.

As the Attorney General said earlier, and was in their papers, Statute of Limitations claims are not evidence. These can be relevant to just setting the stage for what happened later. It can be relevant for the equitable relief requested. I'm letting in it in but you can make a statement now.

MR. KISE: We just lodge our objection to the document, and, we're going to do that document by document.

THE COURT: Okay. You can make it quick for the other one?

MR. KISE: Yes.
THE COURT: It's admissible.
MR. WALLACE: We offer Plaintiff's Exhibit 75 in evidence, your Honor

THE COURT: It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, said exhibit was received in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 75.)
Q Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is it?
A It's the engagement letter that compiled the
Statement of Financial Condition to Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2011.
$Q$ Okay. If I could direct your attention to the top of the page here. It's addressed to Alan Weisselberg Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Organization.

Why is this letter being addressed to Mr.
Weisselberg?
A Mr. Weisselberg signed all the engagement letters.
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He was the one who ran the accounting department as Chief Financial Officer for the Trump Organization.

Q So, the Trump Organization and their accounting department were responsible for the engagements of you for Donald Trump's personal Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes. Yes, that is fair to say.
Q If I can direct your attention to the second and third paragraphs of this letter. I'll just highlight this for you.

Let's take a look at paragraphs two and three. "We will perform the following services. We will compile, from information you provide, the Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2011."

I think you touched on it when we were talking about high level. What does it mean that you would compile the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Compilation is to present, simplistically, to present, in the form of financial statement, the presented compiled information in the form of financial statement given to us by the client. Mazars would do compilation. Mazars would give no assurance on the work and we'd do no testing or analytical review as part of the compilation procedure.
$Q$ Your responsibility was for the form of the document?
A If I saw something that stuck out at me at high
level, I noticed something on that, I would go back to them
and ask them a question but I did not order or review procedure.
Q Could you just describe for me when you say "audit or review procedure?"

A Review procedure would include analytical procedure, analytical procedure and the accountant would give limited assurance. On order, we'd do analytical procedure and audit testing and give the assurance that the financial statement, simplistically -- I don't have the exact in front of me. The financial statement would be reasonably stated. Sorry.

Q In the context of the audit you're describing, what kind of analytical procedures were involved?

A Analytical procedure or review would be, you know, comparison from one year to another to determine what kind of procedure, what kind of items you would test.

Q Going back to compilation engagement, are you undertaking any steps to make sure the information you're looking at is true?
A No, I would just look at something. If something stuck at high level or came to my attention, I would bring it to the attention of the client.

Q Okay. Going back to the third sentence here, you write: "We will compile from information you provide." Speak clear. Who's the you that will provide information?
A The Trump Organization.
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$1 \quad Q \quad$ Who selected the as-of date of June 30, 2011?
2 A When I came around, it was already June 30th. I
think, historically, for a number of years, it was June 30th.
Q If we could direct your attention to the top of the next paragraph. It states: "We will compile the financial statements and issue an accountant's report thereon in accordance with statements on Standard For Accounting and
Review Services issued by the American Institute of Certified
Public Accountants."
Can you tell me what is an accountant's report?
A In this case, they're talking about the compilation report.

Q So, that is something Mazars would be drafting?
A It's a standard. It's, I think it's AICPA
promulgated and we would make certain changes, you know, if we had to, but it's historical.

Q What's the purpose of the accountant's report?
A To inform the reader that there is a compilation or
any exceptions to whatever standard of accounting the user, the financial statement being prepared under.

Q Can you tell me, what are the statements on standards for accounting review services issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants?

A SSARS is the standard used promulgated to define what rules would have been for a compilation or review.

Q Do you have an understanding of what the rules were provided for related to this letter in 2011?
A Yes, I do.
Q What would those rules have provided for?
A As I said, no analytical procedures, no testing and the numbers in the financial statement on the representation of management, simplistically.
Q Second sentence in this paragraph states: "The objective of a compilation is to present, in the form of financial statements, information that is the representation of management without undertaking to express any assurance on the financial statements." I think we've covered some of this, so I don't want to go through all of it, but, what does it mean it's the representation of management?
A As I just stated, your last question, the numbers are the representation of management of the client.

Q If we go down to the next paragraph, it states:
"Compilation differs significantly from a review or an audit of financial statements."

I want to understand, this is, essentially,
consistent with what you were telling me before about the difference between review, audit, and compilation?
A Yes, sir.
Q The next sentence states: "A compilation does not contemplate performing inquiry, analytical procedure, or other
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1 procedure performed in a review."

2

Is that, in fact, the nature of the engagement
that Mazars had with the Trump Organization in 2011 regarding --
A I want to make sure to clarify that it is a compilation that did not contemplate inquiry, analytical reviews, or other procedure.
Q Next sentence states: "Additionally, a compilation does not contemplate obtaining an understanding of the entity's internal control, assessing fraud risk, tests of accounting records by obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence through inspection, observation, confirmation, the examination of source documents, for example, cancelled checks or bank images or other procedures ordinarily performed in an audit."

So, it's a long sentence. Let's take it bit by bit. Looking at the first part of the sentence, what does it mean "a compilation does not contemplate obtaining an understanding of the entity's internal controls?"
A That Mazars would not -- Mazars would not, during compilation, would not obtain an understanding of the entity's internal control.
Q What internal control is at issue here?
A Example of internal control is who, the person who signs the checks person, who signs the checks wouldn't do the
bank reconciliations. You know, it would be some separation of duties.
Q With respect to this engagement, internal controls that are being described here relate to how the Statement of Financial Condition was prepared?

A No.
Q What would they relate to?
A If you do an audit.
Q So, this is saying this is something you're just not doing?

A Yes.
Q My question is do you have a sense of what internal controls would be relevant for the preparation of Statement of Financial Condition within the Trump Organization?

A Yes, I would wouldn't need to consider internal controls for an audit.

Q Do you recall if, at any point, you actually did obtain an understanding of Trump Organization's internal controls regarding the Statements of Financial Condition?

A No, I did not.
Q In some of your other work, did you look at internal controls the company had in other areas?
A We did some, what we call, walk-throughs, maybe. Yes. We took one transaction and followed it through to understand what was being done.
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Q Can you just give me an example of what one of those walk-throughs might have been?

A When an invoice comes in, the person -- somebody would approve the invoice that they would look and then another person would write the check and then somebody would sign off and review that the check was all ready to be done from start to finish to make sure there was some kind of control involved.

Q It mentions that in that compilation does not contemplate assessing fraud risk. Did you ever, in fact, assess fraud risk at the Trump Organization in connection with the Statements of Financial Condition?

A No, we did not.
Q Did you assess fraud risk for the Trump Organization in other contexts in audit engagements?

A Yes, we did.
Q What would it entail to assess fraud risk in those instances?

A We'd have -- just an example, we'd have, what do you call -- we would have meetings at the beginning of the audit. Brain storming sessions. Sorry. It's been a while.

We'd have brainstorming sessions, for example, and we'd discuss potential fraud risks as part of the audit engagement.

Q What were fraud risks for some of the entities you
were doing this work for?
A I don't have that. That potentially the CFO or somebody could override the controls and that would be an example of fraud risk.
Q Sentence also mentions "a compilation does not contemplate a test of accounting records," and goes on. What is a test of accounting records?
A An example of an accounting record would be a voucher bill to see that the bill on-- the amount on the bill agreed to the amount in the general ledger and whatever. We'd look at the bill.
$Q$ Did you ever, in fact, test accounting records in connection with the preparation of Statements of Financial Condition?
A No. No, I do not believe so.
Q The top sentence here states: "Our accountant's compilation report is expected to include references to the following departures from Generally Accepted Accounting Principals. First, what are Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?

## A

there particular rules -- are there particular
rules that apply to the preparation of Statements of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump?

A They would be under the normal capital rules.
Q Are you familiar with those rules?
A Some of them. I don't know anymore.
Q Do you know which rules govern preservation of financial statements?

A Again, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
Q I'm asking if there is a particular rule, by number or section, if you're aware, that covers the preparation of personal financial statement?

A Not right now, I don't know.
Q If there was a time in 2011 you had a question about what rules governed the preparation of financial statement, how would you find that out?
A I was kind of lucky. My managing partner in my office, he wrote-- he was a big contributor for SSARS, one, and, two, he was strong on GAAP. His office was directly next to me or one office away, depending on where our location was. I also had a quality control person with me who would be an independent quality control person who would review the work to make sure it met certain standards.

You know, we would, you know, speak to other partners if I had a question or I could speak to the director of quality control, whatever her department was called. The


21 . 1 states: "Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require 22 that in order to reflect amount to be received in the future 23 at estimated current values, the rights must be 24 nonforfeitable, fixed, and determinable and not require any 25 future services. Several of the values that will be expressed
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that you needed to add a GAAP departure to Donald J. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?

A I'm not sure of the year. I believe it was this period there was a GAAP exception pertaining to combining cash and not cash and Marketable Securities. That was the GAAP exception that was put in. There was a GAAP exception.
They were excluding certain assets, either in Vegas or Chicago, and those were, what do you call it - I think may have --have been during this period and that was the GAAP exception when Trump Organization decided to exclude those assets.

Q Okay. So, let's take those each in order. The first one you mentioned was GAAP exception for cash and Marketable Securities. I understand your recollection is you had to inform the Trump Organization that that practice needed to be identified as a GAAP exception?

A Yes.
Q But you don't remember what year?
A No.
Q The other exception you mentioned was Vegas and Chicago properties -- well --
A Vegas and/or. I think it changed over the years.
Q So, one of those properties was not being included in the Statements of Financial Condition and that was the GAAP exception that needed to be disclosed?
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will be based on future interests that, in some instances, are not for fixed or determinable amounts and, in some instances, are based on performance of future services."


MR. KISE: Your Honor, just, I'm assuming that these questions are all confined to 2011, not generally. He just hasn't asked that.

MR. WALLACE: Yes, we're looking at 2011 statement.
Q Let's move on to point numb three. "Generally Accepted Accounting Principles require the receipt of noninterest-bearing deposits in exchange for rights and privileges of the recorded present value of liability. The present value of the liability for noninterest-bearing deposits received as a condition of membership in club facilities will not be included in the accompanying Statement
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of Financial Condition."

A Not that I recall today.
(Continued on following page..)
the following for Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago, and Trump International Hotel and Tower Las Vegas:
"One. Real property-related assets.
"Two. Mortgages and loans payable.
Three. Guarantees which Donald J. Trump may have provided."

Is this the exception that we were talking about earlier, that you recall having?

A Yes.
Q So, who was responsible for including this G.A.A.P. exception?

A The Trump Organization.
Q Did you ever discuss, with the Trump Organization, why they didn't want to include Chicago and Las Vegas on the Statement of Financial Condition?

A That would be subject to a Kovel letter.
Q Just, so I understand, you were retained by tax
counsel for Mr. Trump, and that's how you learned about issues relating to Chicago and Las Vegas?

A Yes.


25 Q We are fine not going any further on that, then.


## Mr. McConney's attention?

A Yes.
Q Do you have any specific recollection of the errors you brought to their attention?

A There could have been an error -- one year, this was many years ago, it was on the cash schedule. They included Mr. Trump's foundation, and we took that off. A couple of years there were errors pertaining to -- there were errors pertaining to -- I'm losing it. Ivanka Trump had an option to buy certain apartments, or one apartment I knew about, on Trump Park Avenue, and the value they were using for that apartment on their schedule, valuing Trump Park Avenue, differed from what Ivanka was valuing the apartment, Ivanka's option for the apartment, was.

There were a couple of times pertaining to straight line receivables. I think they may have gone the wrong way on the financial statements. We would have to correct, adjust an asset for a straight line receivable.

There was some times, from time to time, when they were cross referencing cash on an account's payable schedule, to the lead sheet for cash or accounts payable. The number would be a little bit different than we had talked about it.
Sometimes it would be -- we would adjust it. Sometimes it would be a small amount, and the Trump Organization -- the Trump Organization would adjust it, or sometimes it would not -- it
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was not worth the material -- not material. It was necessarily to adjust.

Q Any other examples you remember?
A That's it, right now.
Q Okay. I'll just walk through this, a little bit.
On the last one you said there was an issue with cross referencing cash, or accounts payable. Would the number that you would see in the supporting spreadsheet, wouldn't match the number that was actually in the supporting data?

A Sometimes there would be an example in a cash account on the lead sheet for cash, and then there might be, for instance, a balance sheet for a golf course in Jeff
Supporting -- in his back up, Jeff Supporting Data, and there would be a cash number, and it could go either way, but we would bring it to the Trump Organization's attention, if we came across it.

Q Go either way, meaning it could be higher?
A Yes. Yes, or lower.
Q And if I'm understanding your testimony correctly, they would correct it if it was, but sometimes it -- they would often correct it, but sometimes it wasn't big enough to merit a correction?

A Or they didn't want to -- it may have been -- that's fair to say, yes.

Q Do you remember specifically, when you mentioned an
issue with the cash schedule, including money from Mr. Trump's foundation, do you remember when that occurred?

A Long time ago.
Q Okay. You mentioned the Ivanka Trump option to buy an apartment at Trump Park Avenue. Do you remember when that occurred?

A I don't remember the year, but I believe it was two years, I changed it. At least.

Q So the error was repeated again the following year?
A It may have not been the following year, but it was more than one year.

Q How did you come to know that Ivanka Trump had an option on an apartment on Trump Park Avenue?

A I don't recall.
Q Could you describe for me what you meant when you were talking about straight line receivables, for someone who may not deal with receivables on a regular basis?

A Oh, my God. You're in trouble, now.
Under G.A.A.P. -- it's more old G.A.A.P. and new G.A.A.P., but when you are doing Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, the income stream for a lease, simplistically, should be picked up pro rata, over the life of the lease. So for instance, if the first year has free rent, and -- let's say it's a ten-year lease, and the first year is free, and the next nine years equal up to a million dollars in total, so for the
total ten years, it's a million dollars. You would pick up a rent of $\$ 100,000$ every year, on that lease.

Q Okay. And you are saying that sometimes it went the wrong way?

A Certain schedules they would ask us for, and it was -sometimes, it went the wrong way.

Q And this was in connection with the preparation of the Statement of Financial Condition?

A The adjustment they were making on the Statement of Financial Condition did not always go the right way.

Q But you don't remember what year that occurred in?
A No, but again, multiple times. A couple times, I believe.

Q Why don't we take a look at the next paragraph, which, the single sentence, it states, "As part of our engagement, we will also perform other accounting services, as needed."

Do you know if other accounting services were needed, as part of this engagement in 2011?

A I don't recall.
Q Do you remember ever performing other accounting services in connection with preparation of a Statement of Financial Condition?

A Other accounting services might include, for instance, drafting a few words in a footnote, or helping with a footnote. Something like that could have occurred, over the years.

Q I'm sorry. Drafting -- I didn't hear.
A A note, changing something on a footnote. For instance the G.A.A.P. exceptions we talked about before, for cash, the wording for the -- in the compilation report, I keep calling them footnotes. The notes would have almost the exact same wording to the wording that went into the compilation report. That wording, I believe, would go into those financial statements.

Q So, if they were using your accounting work product, that's an additional accounting service, and it might be included in a report where you are just doing compilation?

A Yes, but that's a sentence I generally put in most of my engagement letters.

Q Okay. If we look at the next paragraph underneath that, it says, "You are responsible for making management decisions and performing management functions, and for designating an individual with suitable skill, knowledge or experience to oversee any other services we provide."

What falls into the category of management decisions and management functions?

A Determine the methodology for how the properties would be valued.

Q Okay. And so you didn't have any role in the methods of valuation in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A No, I didn't. No, I did not.
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Q For this engagement, do you know, in 2011, do you know who the individual was who was designated to supervise the services you provided?

A Alan Weisselberg, with the assistance of Jeff McConney.

Q And are you familiar with their relevant skill, knowledge and experience?

A Yes.
Q And could you describe for me, generally, the level of their skill, knowledge and experience?

A Alan --
MR. KISE: Objection. Is the witness going to provide his understanding? Is he opining as an expert on the level of knowledge and experience?

THE COURT: Well, he is not qualified as an expert. He is a fact witness; right?

MR. WALLACE: Correct. I'm asking what his understanding was of the -- of the skill, knowledge and expertise that Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney had, when they were receiving his service.

MR. KISE: I don't think there's any proper foundation laid for that. I don't see how he can ask this witness that question, other than his general guessing as to what their qualifications were. There hasn't been any testimony that he is familiar with those individuals, to
the extent he knows their educational background, knowledge or training.

MR. WALLACE: I will ask him some foundational questions.
Q So, let me back up. The suitable skill, knowledge and experience refers to the process of valuing properties. Is that correct?

A Amongst other things.
Q And are you familiar with the skill, knowledge or experience of, let's start with Mr. McConney, in valuing real estate?

A No, I'm not.
Q What about Mr. Weisselberg?
A No, I'm not.
Q So you don't have any familiarity with their skill, knowledge or experience.

Did you ever make a determination as to whether or not they had suitable skill, knowledge or experience?

MR. KISE: Objection.
MR. WALLACE: I'm asking if he made a
determination.
MR. KISE: How can he make a determination if he has no understanding?

MR. WALLACE: Then the answer can be no.
THE COURT: Overruled. Whether it was right or
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wrong, proper-improper determination, if he made a determination, he made a determination.
Q And the question is, did you make a determination?
A I made a determination they had the suitable skill, experience to oversee the services that we were providing.

Q Understood.
MR. WALLACE: If we turn to the next page of this engagement letter.

Sorry. If we can go to the bottom. Next page.
Q Do you recognize -- well, first let me ask you, underneath WeiserMazars, did you sign this agreement?

A WeiserMazars.
Q WeiserMazars?
A But some people use the French interpretation.
You are asking who signed?
Q Who signed that?
A That's either my signature, or an electronic signature of mine, which I would have given permission to use. But it's probably a real signature.

Q On behalf of the firm?
A Yes.
Q And do you recognize the signature underneath that one?

A That's Alan Weisselberg.
Q Okay. And did you have an understanding of whether or
not Mr. Weisselberg had the authority to retain Mazars for this engagement, based on his position as CFO of the Trump Organization?

A Yes, I did.
Q And what was the basis for that understanding?
A He was -- he signed all the engagement letters for the Trump Organization, for the entities that -- let me take that back. He signed all the engagement letters for the entities that were run out of 725 Fifth Avenue. I'm not sure he ran all the entities. And he was the go-to guy for all accounting matters for the Trump Organization.

Q Mr. Bender, do you recognize -- I've handed you a document that's been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 1893 -MR. WALLACE: Strike that.

Q Mr. Bender, I've handed you a document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 1873 for identification. Do you recognize this document?

A Yes, I do.
Q And what is it?
A This is a -- this is an email from Jeff McConney to me -- Doc is me. That's a nickname. That's the most asked question in deposition history -- where Jeff is sending us some information. It appears to be in Excel, for the June 30th, 2011 statement of the compilation of the June 30th, 2011 Statement of Financial Conditions for Donald J. Trump.
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MR. WALLACE: I believe the defendants have an objection.

MR. KISE: Thank you, Mr. Wallace.
Yes. Same objection, your Honor. Predates the July 13, 2014

THE COURT: Okay. Duly noted, and overruled, for the same reasons.
Q Do you want to explain why you're called Doc, on this?
A Jeff came from the predecessor firm, Spahr Lacher \& Berk, I won't go through all the -- and this was a nickname I had 35, 40 years ago, and it's only legend. I don't remember exactly what it is, and it still sticks around with one or two people, today.

Q So, do you have an understanding of, what are these attachments that he's sending to you, in this email?

A These are certain -- this is the schedule. It appears to be the schedule we previously discussed, which was their control schedule, or at least the first version of it, for the Jeff Supporting Data, and certain backup schedules, as shown under the attachment section.

Q Okay. And so, this would be at the beginning of the process of preparing the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Assuming that this is the first one. There could have been a predecessor one. I couldn't answer that, today.

Q You can see, there's a number of exhibits listed under
attachments, and the first one is Jeff Supporting Data. Can you just describe for me what you understand that exhibit to be?

A That's Jeff's control sheet on how he is coming up to the Statement of Financial Condition for Mr. Trump, as of June 30th, 2011, and if that's the control sheet, we would be using those numbers. At the end of the day, the numbers on the Jeff Supporting Data, when all is said and done, have to agree. Should agree. Nothing has to, in life. Should agree, to the assets and liabilities on the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: Can we pull up, I believe it's the native one of that attachment to this email. This is still Exhibit 1873. This is the native version, the Excel version of the attachment that we were just looking at. If we can just go up to the top.
Q Do you recognize this document, or this attachment?
A This is one, a version of the Jeff Supporting Data for the Statement of Financial Conditions for Mr. Trump, as of June 30, 2011.

MR. KISE: Same objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's part of the same exhibit, so --

MR. KISE: Well, that's not exactly clear. I think it is.

THE COURT: You are right. So I'll recognize the
objection, and overrule it.
MR. KISE: Thank you.
Q So, can you describe for me how this document works?
A Jeffrey, line-by-line, prepares -- he is saying that the cash, 207 million - yes. $\$ 207,488,027$, Jeff would have a back-up schedule in this case, for that amount. Sometimes he would do the computation, but this is his control, and his backup. In many ways, it would be like a trial balance. This is where Jeff is determining Jeff, or the Trump Organization, whoever is determining it, would -- this would be their control sheet.

Q And what would you do with this document, when you received it?

A Whatever version was the last version we would have received when we started our compilation work at Mazars, that would be our control. So, if Jeffrey -- and we would change. If Jeffrey would send updates afterwards, Jeff, or a member of his team, we would cut and paste that information into a new worksheet, because we do a lot of referencing back and forth, and we didn't want to put what we call tick marks, and I'm sure we'll see that the next few days. We wouldn't want to have to put all these references in 500 times, so we needed to take a version that was our control, and that would be the version when we started, really started to do the work.

Q Okay. So he might send you this, and you might not
work on it, but it might be the version you would use to control and put together your final work?

A The version that we received when we started our compilation procedures, started the real work, not the engagement, but honestly started the work, that was the one we would use, and any updates came in, we would just cut and paste the certain lines, as needed, to make it agree to the Trump Organization's version that they were sending us later.

Q If we go back to the original email, Exhibit 1873 -MR. KISE: Just for record purposes. Sorry. How are we keeping track? I know that the exhibit has a number on it, but the spreadsheet, do we have some number associated with it? I mean, how are we --

MR. WALLACE: That's incorporated with the exhibit list, I believe is how we prepared it, and there is a native file attachment. So, I think that's what we sent to you guys when we produced our exhibit list. I'm not sure. I'll confess, I don't remember how you guys structured your --

MR. KISE: I just want to make sure we have got some way of keeping track. We will sort that out later.

MR. WALLACE: Sure. I will, when I'm reading in the record, I did read -- this is native 01 , so we'll try to make that as clear as possible.

So, I would like to open one of the other
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$\mathbf{1}$
$\mathbf{1}$
2 $\quad$ exhibits. It's escrow balances 0611XLS. I'll say this is 154
exhibits. It's escrow balances 0611XLS. I'll say this is going to be Plaintiff's Exhibit 1873, native file 04. This was on the exhibit list, with a file name of September 23,

Q Do you recognize this document?
A I think you said Restricted Cash Flow. I think it's estricted Cash.

Restricted Cash. You are correct. Thank you for Do you recognize this document?
A It appears to be -- it appears to be a schedule Jeff McConney or the Trump Organization would have sent us, with the restricted cash and escrow balances for Donald J. Trump for June 20, 2011.
Q Do you have an understanding of who would have prepared it?
(Continued on the next page.)
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1 too. It's moving so quickly. I don't recall it but just
2 for the record
3 MR. WALLACE: We're happy to note -THE COURT: We'll accept the objection retroactively.

MR. WALLACE: We're happy to recognize that the defendant's have a standing objection to documents from before the Statute of Limitations.

Q So, I'm sorry. I don't know if it was asked and answered. What would you do when you received this?
A What would we do when we received it?
Q Yes.
A First, we would insert it in our binder. I would need to see our work papers, specifically, to see what we did. I presume that we would have footed it or cross-footed it. We'd have to check that and we would have made sure that the total on the bottom agrees to Jeff Supporting Data.
Q So, you mentioned footing and cross-footing. Is that how you're recording your work on Statements of Financial Condition?
A I record-- we didn't always do it but sometimes we just check the math. You go down Excel, boom, and make sure it adds.
Q Why don't we see if it's helpful. This is a Native
25 file document, so we just have a slip sheet for the document.
12
production number Mazars NYAG 00003154. Do you recognize thisdocument?
A It appears to be Mazars' version, final version of
the Jeff Supporting Data Statements of Financial Condition to
Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2011.
MR. KISE: Same objection.
THE COURT: Can we simplify this by calling it a standing objection?
MR. KISE: It's the objection on the Statute of Limitations. Perhaps, I should frame it that way. Statute of Limitations objection.
THE COURT: Standing objection to any document before 2014?
MR. KISE: July 13, 2014. Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. If I change my ruling on the objections, I'll let you know.
Q So, Mr. Bender, you mentioned this is the final version. How did you determine that?
A It appears to be the final version because it has
tick marks the blue. Red marks which would be the control version I previously discussed. We would have had the blue numbers cross-references to Mazars' work papers. So, it appears to be our version.
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1 Q Okay. So, let's walk through that. First, I'll walk up to the screen. The first one you mentioned, what are these red indications? I'll describe them for the record as two red arrows in the form of a circle.

What does that indicate?
A I'd have to go back and confirm to our software definition of tick marks but I believe it means the math was calculated.
Q To the extent folks can see, up in the C area here.
"=round ( $+\mathrm{E}-11$, *E-12, 0.)" What does the combination of red circles of the formula of that cell indicate?
A They are saying 535,714 multiplied by .0615 equals 32,946.

Q So, if I'm understanding correctly, the red circles
indicate someone from Mazars is confirming the math is being performed correctly on that?
A Yes.
Q You also mentioned the blue numbers. I'll describe it for the record. We're here at -- why don't we take a look at this one. I'll note for the record it's in row six, column H. There's a blue number 4100.01 . What does that indicate?

A I previously mentioned Mazars had a software package
23 which we call-- I think it's called edge game. We call it,
24 E-pace. In E-pace these work papers are inserted. That's our
25 file. It was paper in the olden days. This is done
electronically. The back of the schedule, I can't see what-the yellow sign is for the number but the 207 million and change is on schedule 4100.01 and if the software works correctly, and I clicked that 4100.01, it will open up that schedule and see the numbers are the same.

Q Okay. So, I'm going to ask if we can pull up the document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2613. This is another Native file document. So, we have handed up a slip sheet.

We're going to put the Excel version up on the screen here and I could ask you, do you recognize this document?

Actually, let me state for the record that on our exhibit list, this document is identified as a document listed as SLA\&E and it's saved as 4100. Cash balances as of 6/30/11, XLS XLS.

Do you recognize this document?
A It appears to be the schedule short-term cash and short-term investments under 50,000 for Mr. Trump and his entities as of June 30, 2011.

Q There's an earlier tab 6/30/11. What is that tab document?

A This is the schedule prepared by the Trump Organization of cash and short-term investments for Mr. Trump and his entities as of June 30, 2011.

Q What does the notation up on the top in red PBC
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indicate?
A That's -- it stands for prepared by client.
Software you can just click it and it goes on.
$Q$ This is indicating this is information you received
from the Trump Organization. It's not something generated by Mazars; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Is this a schedule that would pop up in your system?
A Yes.
Q When you click on number 41?
A It should.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, Statute of Limitations objection.

THE COURT: I don't think we have to do it for every document.

MR. KISE: I'm going to look again, but, my understanding of the case law is we actually do, unfortunately. That the Appellate Division won't accept a straight line objection. We can come up with a way to streamline this, I assure you.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, we only have a few more months.
Q So, if we could scroll down to the bottom here, row 42. The line reads: "Balances in US dollars at the exchange rate on $6 / 30 / 11$." it that's in small red letters, TB.

What does that indicate?
A That stands for trial balance. That was one of the ways we could reference it back to Jeff Supporting Data.
Q So, can you explain to me how that works? Is this another link?
A It's just placed on top PBC. Trial balance in most accounting closings is the control sheet. In many ways, I think I stated earlier today the Jeff Supporting Data is equivalent of trial balance for this purpose.

So, you might see a TB, you might see a reference to control sheet or whatever the reference is to Jeff Supporting Data.

Q This is an indication the number here matches the number in the Jeff Supporting Data?
A Yes.
Q If we look at the bottom, the number here, again, it's got the-- we'll describe as two red arrows and a circle. What is indicated by two red arrows and circle?
A I have to check the definition but I think it means math calculated.
Q Okay. That number there 207,488,026.58. Did Mazars undertake any audit procedures to confirm that number was correct?
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A No, he did not.
2 Q Did Mazars undertake any assurance procedures whatsoever with respect to that number?

A No, it did not.
Q So, I think we just follow the link 4100.01 to see the $\$ 207$ million number. Is that how it works?
A The little yield sign is -- -
Q That should be what it is?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So, that looks right?
A I think it was, yeah.
Q So, when Mazars would check that numbers, the 207,488,027 against the spreadsheet we were just looking at, are you performing any accounting procedure in doing that?

A No, I did a quick overview and I told you, one year I looked at the high level. The second, a member of the Mazars looked at it and one year I included the foundation and we took it up and we suggested-- we made the recommendation it should be taken out and the Trump Organization agreed with us and they took it out.
Q So, as you spot an issue, you bring it up. You weren't going out and looking for issues?
A If something bothered me and I noticed it, I would bring it to their attention, and we'd talk about it.
Q So, can I ask, if all of the blue numbers in this
document look the same way, they'd take you back to another file that would have information that sports the number in the spreadsheet?
A I believe that would be true, but, I'd have to look at the whole document to confirm that.

MR. WALLACE: Could we pull up Plaintiffs

## Exhibit 787?

Q I put a copy image up on the screen, Mr. Bender. Do you recognize this document?

THE COURT: Objection duly noted.
MR. KISE: Thank you.
A Yes, I do.
Q What is this document?
A This is the Statement of Financial Condition for
Mr. Trump as of June 30, 2011.
Q Is this the final version?
A Yes, it is.
Q How can you tell?
A It has my electronic signature on the second page of the Accountant's Compilation Report.

Q If we can turn to that page, the second page, Bates
number 3133. This is the electronic signature you're talking about?

A Could be by manual or electronic. I don't know.
Q So, what should the relationship be between this
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document and the Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet we were looking at?

A The numbers should be the same.
Q So, why don't we go to the top -- actually, let's go down a couple of pages here. So, cash and Marketable Securities, the number here is $258,900,000$. That should match the number in Jeff Supporting Data?

A Yes, it should.
Q You see the number 258,900,000 those are the numbers that should be matching?
A Yes.
Q That was the service Mazars is providing, translating those numbers into the form of the financial statement we were just looking at?



Q So, you're just typesetting the financial statement or is there some other --
A No, we'd-- all of those things, it's more of typesetting. We would discuss the kind of things we talked about before the cash, Ivanka's apartment, footing of the
schedule. We make sure they cross-reference but we would have typed final statements.

Q Okay. So, if we could go back to the statement which I believe is Exhibit 787, I want to walk through the various segments of the report. I believe this is the first page.
So, this page stating "Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition June 30, 2011."

What is this section of the Statements of

## Financial Condition?

A This page?
Q Yes.
A This page is the asset page.
Q Okay. What is reflected -- so, who is responsible for the numbers on this page?
A The Trump Organization.
Q I should have gone back a couple of pages. Can we go back. So, if we go to the top, actually. Independent
Accountant's Compilation Report. What is this section of the Statements of Financial Condition?
A As we talked about earlier this afternoon, this is the Accountant's Compilation Report. This is basically a AICPA promulgated form. We make some changes. In this case, the GAAP exceptions, for example, and this explains that, you know, our procedures are only compilation procedures.
They're not order review procedures, and we did not do review
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or audit testing or analytics, as we went through this afternoon.
Q So, why is this addressed to Donald J. Trump?
A Because the engagement-- it's assets of Donald J.
Trump and, in this case, the engagement letter was and the -the engagement letter is addressed to Donald J. Trump.
Q The first paragraph states --
A I take that back. It's not true. The engagement letter does not address Donald J. Trump but the engagement letter says we were engaged to prepare the financial letter statement for Donald J. Trump.

Q The engagement letter was to the Trump Organization signed by Allen Weisselberg to prepare, compile the Statements of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump?
A Yes. I apologize for the error.
Q First paragraph states: "We have compiled the
accompanying Statements of Financial Condition of Donald J.
Trump as of June 30, 2011. We have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statement and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or provide any assurance about whether the financial statement is in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America."

Is this statement accurate?
A Yes, it is.

Q Okay. Did this language have to be included with the Statements of Financial Condition?
A This letter had to be included in the Independent Accountant's Compilation Report.
Q Which attaches to the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
Q So, to make sure we're describing the full document
here as the Statements of Financial Condition but,
technically, that list of values, that's what is his statement and this is an attachment?
A That and the accompanying footnotes, notes.
Q Why don't we take -- why don't we take a quick look at those. I think they appear on page six -- no. Four. So, this says: "Notes to Statement of Financial Condition," and in parenthesis, "Independent Accountant's Compilation of Work."
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## Q So, is this information coming from Mazars or the

 Trump Organization?A This is coming from the Trump Organization. Mazars may have made some adjustments over the years or potentially put wording in, but, the original numbers come from the Trump Organization, and, any changes that would have been made at the end, would have been approved by the Trump Organization.

MR. WALLACE: Judge Engoron, I don't know what time we all need to be filtering out. I'm trying to structure my next sections.

THE COURT: I said this morning we'll go to 4:30. In two minutes, I would have given you the ten-minute warning.

What do you want to do?
MR. WALLACE: We're okay to stay until 4:30. THE COURT: We'll break at 4:30. How's that? MR. WALLACE: Got it. Understood.
Q We can move on from this in a moment. I want to ask you to turn to page 17. I want to direct your attention down at the bottom segment where it says: "Miss Universe Pageant," and it says "in 1996 Donald J. Trump and CBS acquired all of the assets together on the Miss Universe Pageants."

Do you remember this was an asset to be included in the DJT Statements of Financial Condition?
A I remember Mr. Trump for many years owned Miss

Universe, yes, or a portion of it.
Q This was included as one of the assets in the Statements of Financial Condition?

A In 2011, yes, it was.
Q Whose decision was it to include the Miss Universe
Pageants as an asset on Statements of Financial Condition?
A It was the Trump Organization's but it was required by GAAP anyway.

Q Mr. Bender, we've handed you a document marked as Plaintiffs Exhibit 786.

Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is this document?
A It's the representation letter for the June 30, 2011, compilation of Donald J. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition.

MR. KISE: We assert a Statute of Limitations objection on this document.

THE COURT: Noted.
Q How did this representation letter fit into the process of compiling a Statement of Financial Condition?

A It's something that would have been required for us to issue the Statements of Financial Condition.

Q Why was it required?
A Because I felt I wanted it.
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1

25

19 "We're providing this letter in connection with your
20 compilation of Statements of Financial Condition of Donald J.
21 Trump as of June 30, 2011 (the statement.) We confirm that we
22 are responsible for the fair presentation in the Statement of
23 Financial Condition in conformity with accounting principals
24 generally accepted in the United States of America."
Before I ask you about this, could we go to the
Q Why did you want it?
A To make sure that the client took responsibility for his-- for the Statements of Financial Condition.
Q Looks like this is dated the same day as the
Statements of Financial Condition; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Why is it dated the same day as Statements of Financial Condition?
A On a compilation, I believe, and I haven't done it
in I long time but I believe the letter on the statement is
the date of representation letter. That's when we completed
our compilation procedures and were ready to issue as soon as
we'd get the compilation -- as soon as we get the representation letter.
Q So, there's no gap between the Statements of Financial Condition and the representation?
A Not if I prepared the statement, no.
Q We'll look at the first paragraph. It states:
bottom of the document? Do you recognize the signature at the bottom?

A Yes, I do.
Q Whose signature is that?
A Allen Weisselberg's.
Q He's signing on behalf of the Trump Organization?
A Yes, he is.
Q Can we go back to the paragraph I just read into the record? This is the first paragraph of the letter.


Q We'll look at the next paragraphs. We'll read them to go. It states: "We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, the following: As of October 6, 2011, you have provided us with a copy of the statement that you have compiled. We've read that information. The data presented in the statement was provided to you by members of Mr. Trump's accounting department and you have compiled that information in an appropriate manner when preparing the statement." What's the significance of this language?
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A It's, you know, it's confirming that the Trump Organization has read the statement; and, the information included and the data presented in the statement was provided by the Trump Organization's accounting department.

Q So --
A And you've compiled the information in an appropriate manner. That we prepared it.

Q So, they've read the final statement, and they're signing off on it?

A And that the information was provided by them.
Q Okay. The next paragraph states: "The statement referred to above is fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All assets are presented at their estimated current value and all liabilities are presented at their estimated current amount which have been determined in accordance with the guidelines promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants except to the extent noted in the Accountant's Compilation Report which was annexed to this statement."

Would Mazars have issued a Statements of
Financial Condition if Alan Weisselberg did not make that representation on behalf of Mr. Trump?
A It says "to the statement," not to this statement.
25 No, we would have not.

Q Would Mazars have issued a Statements of Financial Condition if they learned this representation was not true?

A No, we would not have.
Q I'll go on to the next paragraph. It states: "There are no material transactions that have not been properly recorded in the accounting work papers underlying the statement, other than those exceptions from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America that are noted in the Accountant's Compilation Report."

So, first the Accountant's Compilation Report is
that section of the Statements of Financial Condition we were looking at before?

A That's the first two pages of the Accountant's
Compilation Report that bears my signature on the second page.

Q Focusing on the word "material". There are no material transactions that have not been properly recorded. Is that an accounting term of art or what is meant by the term "material"?
A In this case, the materiality is not a concept on compilation. Material means important, big. There's no state of art to it.
(Continued on following page..)


Q If I could direct you to the next paragraph, it states, "We have no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying amounts or classification of assets and liabilities, other than those noted in the accounting work papers underlying the statement."

Would Mazars have issued the Statement of Financial Condition if Alan Weisselberg did not make this representation on behalf of Mr. Trump?
A No, we would not have.
Q Would Mazars have issued the Statement of Financial Conditions if it learned this representation was not true?
A No, we would not have.
MR. WALLACE: If we could turn the last paragraph, here.
Q It states, "There are no material losses, such as from
purchase or sale commitments that have not been properly accrued or disclosed in the statement."

Would Mazars have issued the Statement of Financial Condition if Alan Weisselberg did not make this representation on behalf of Mr. Trump?

A No, we would not have.
Q Would Mazars have issued the Statement of Financial Condition if it learned this representation was not true?

A No, we would not have.
MR. WALLACE: If we could scroll onto the next
page. I'll just -- we can highlight this section?
Q That starts, "There are no," and it talks about violations or possible violations of regulations, other claims, other material liabilities.
 top of the page, now. There's a paragraph that states, "Mr. Trump has satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets, or has any asset
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been pledged as collateral, other than those noted in the statement."

Would Mazars have issued the Statement of Financial Condition if Alan Weisselberg did not make this representation on behalf of Mr. Trump?

A No, we would not have.
Q Would Mazars have issued the Statement of Financial Condition if it learned this representation was not true?

A No, we would not have.
THE COURT: Let me stop you there, because we only have one more minute.

I think the "or" in that paragraph should be "nor", but I'll let everybody else interpret it.

I just want to talk to both sides for a second.
Stay where you are.
Mr. Robert, you are very well versed in the CPLR; correct?

MR. ROBERT: You will know, after I answer your question.


MR. ROBERT: That is correct, sir.


MR. KISE: We certainly agree with that, Judge. MS. HABBA: Thank you.
THE COURT: I figured you would.
MR. WALLACE: As you said, it's turned into a love fest, I guess.

Your Honor, I think we saw, in Mr. Kise's opening, that there are credit reports from Deutsche Bank that include these earlier years that happen --

MR. KISE: No, your Honor. These credit reports related to the OPO loan that was closed in August of 2014, which postdates the statute of limitations. Those credit reports relate to that specific loan that, unfortunately for us, is within the limitations period. So, that's a very different scenario, then.

MR. WALLACE: I will explain my point, then. There are credit reports that consider things that happen in 2011, during the period that is under the statute of limitations.

MR. KISE: Not for any loans that are within the limitations period, your Honor, but we'll tie that up
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later. You told him he needs to tie it up.
THE COURT: I'm sure we'll address this many times, going forward.

And Mr. Robert, I hereby deem you an expert in the CPLR, and no doubt other aspects of New York law.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. Give me one second.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Okay. We are going to break for the day. I appreciate everybody's patience and stamina. We resume tomorrow on schedule, 10:00 in the morning. Have a good evening.

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, your Honor.
(Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned to Tuesday, October 3, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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Finally I want to emphasize that this trial is not an opportunity to relitigate what I have already decided, including my conclusions in the summary judgment decision. That's why we have appeals.

So, let's continue with the testimony of Donald Bender, a former principle of Mazars, and I will, over defendant's continuing objection, allow testimony about documents, dates and events that occurred in 2011 and beyond, subject to connection to later documents.

Speaking of continuing objections, although I appreciate Mr. Kise's diligence in attempting to preserve the record by continuing to object to the use of documents dated prior to 2014, the Court of Appeals, the highest Court in New York State, has made clear that a continuing objection makes it unnecessary to challenge other evidence, for the same reason. And that is Kulak, K-U-L-A-K, versus Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, 40 N.Y.2d 140 at page 145, 1976.

Obviously, I would not be commenting like this if a jury was present. It is not, so I've taken the liberty of attempting to clarify where the trial stands at this juncture.

And, now shall we continue with Mr. Bender?

And as yesterday -- and most of you know this -I'm allowing a group of pool photographers and one videographer to come in and show how good looking we all are.
(Photographs taken.)
THE COURT: Oh, the wages of fame.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, if I could just raise one procedural issue with the defendants concerning timing? I understand Mr. Bender was served with a trial subpoena when he left the courthouse yesterday, from the defendants, and so I just would want to know for us, in ordering our witnesses, are they planning to cross Mr. Bender, or are they doing taking him as a -- separately, during their case in chief?

MR. KISE: As of now, we are planning to cross Mr. Bender.

MR. WALLACE: Okay.
MR. KISE: One other point of clarification, your Honor. So, I have not yet -- I did receive a letter from the Attorney General early this morning. I have not yet looked at the cases, including the cases that you cite --

Usually no one has a problem hearing me.
-- including the cases that -- the case that you just cited, the Kulak case. I've not looked at them. I will state, again, that our appellate consultants, some of
whom I'm sure the Court would recognize, have told us differently. But, give us the opportunity to look at the case. I take it that your Honor is not precluding me from making the objection. I just don't now how to balance this, because I'm being told that I need to preserve these objections a certain way.

THE COURT: My mother always told me, Do what you're told. So, yes. Feel free, until you have had a chance to digest that case --

MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: -- to object to each document. Okay. Are we recalling Mr. Bender?
MR. WALLACE: The People are going to continue to the testimony of Mr. Bender.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: This is a big room, and apparently the isolation room is far away.

MR. WALLACE: I believe the words rabbit warren came to mind, as we were making our way through, yesterday. DONALD BENDER, having been previously sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT: I will remind the witness, as I remind every witness, that he is still under oath. THE WITNESS: Good morning.

Page 186

```
            THE COURT: Good morning.
            Counselor, please proceed.
CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. WALLACE:
    Q Good morning, Mr. Bender. Are you settled in?
    A I need two seconds.
    Q Okay.
```

            (Whereupon, there was a pause in the
    proceedings.)
    A Okay.
    Q Mr. Bender, when we closed yesterday, we talked in
    fairly extensive detail about the process and documents related
    to the preparation of the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition.
    Do you recall that testimony?
    A Yes, I do.
Q And we talked about, I believe there was an engagement letter, Jeff Supporting Data, a representation letter, and the reported -- the statement itself; is that correct?

A Yes. That's correct.
Q Did Mazars also compile a Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump as of June 30 for each year from 2012 to 2020 ?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. Were there any significant changes in the preparation process for those statements between the 2011 and
the 2020 statement?
MR. KISE: Objection, your Honor. I don't think that we can summarize. This case involves documents, as they have said, and so we can't just summarize. It's inappropriate to summarize a ten-year span and say the process is the same, everything is the same. Each year stands on its own. It's a very complicated process, and if the government intends to establish intent, materiality and reliance, then it needs, respectfully, to go year-by-year.

MR. WALLACE: What's the evidentiary objection?
MR. KISE: The nature of my objection is, it's calling for a narrative. The question calls for a narrative. It's an open-ended question, and it's introducing facts that are not in evidence. There's no foundation.

MR. WALLACE: Yesterday you said I needed to tie things together. The 2011 process continues. I'm allowed to ask this general question. If I want to go through the additional documents, I will. It's my exam.

MR. KISE: Okay. That's fine. If he's intending to through the additional documents year-by-year, that's fine. I just want to make that clear. If it's an initial summary question, then I'll withdraw my objection.

THE COURT: Was the question whether the - I think the question was whether the process that he used


A It was signed. I'm not sure if it was signed by Trump Organization, or the trustees, after Mr. Trump became president.

Q But in each year from 2011 to 2020, you required an engagement letter?

A Yes. Mr. Weisselberg would have signed, either way.
Q And do you know if, in fact, in each year from 2011 to 2020, you did, in fact, receive an engagement letter?

A Yes, we did.
Q Okay. For each Statement of Financial Condition engagement, from 2011 to 2020, did Mazars receive a supporting data spreadsheet from the Trump Organization?

A Yes. Jeff Supporting Data.
Q For your Statement of Financial Condition engagement from 2011 to 2020, did Mazars maintain a control version of the supporting data spreadsheet?

A Say that again, please?
Q Did you maintain, in each year from 2011 to 2020, a control version?
D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace
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changed, over time.
MR. WALLACE: That's correct, your Honor.
THE COURT: And has that question been answered?
MR. WALLACE: That question has not been answered.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. Let's hear the answer to that, and then we can discuss whether it's proper to group everything, or to what extent every year has to be examined individually.
A I think the process was pretty much the same. The only thing I could think of off the top of may head was that I think the December 2015 return -- return. Financial statement -- the trustees were in place by the time the statement got released in -- no. One year, the trustees took over. That would have been the 2016 statement, probably.

Q So that I'm understanding correctly, the process generally remained the same, but at some point the trustees of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust became responsible for the statement?

A They were the one that signed the engagement letter and the representation lever.

Q Can I ask, did the obligations of Mazars in compiling this financial statement change in any significant way, from 2011 to 2020?

A No, sir.
D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace
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A Yes, we did.
Q Okay. For each Statement of Financial Condition engagement from 2011 to 2020, would the final version of the supporting data spreadsheet tie out to the final Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes, it did.
Q And for each year for -- as of June 30 year, from 2011 to 2020, did Mazars require a signed representation letter from the Trump Organization in order to issue the Statement of Financial Condition?

A It would have been signed by Trump Organization. Like I said, it may have been signed by the trustees, in the later years.

Q Okay. But in each year, you would have required a representation letter in order to release the statement?

A Yes, we did.
Q And for each statement from 2011 to 2020, did Mazars receive a signed representation letter from the Trump Organization?

A Yes, we did. Or the trustees.
Q Or the trustees. We can go through the document in detail, now.

MR. WALLACE: So, could we please put up, on
the -- well no.
Would it be helpful if we actually put the

| D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace Page 191 | D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace Page 193 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 document up on the screen? I know typically we do it | 1 J. Trump for the year June 30th, 2012. In this case it looks |
| 2 before it's admitted, for you to see it. Is it helpful if | 2 like it was sent to the client by PDF, by email |
| 3 we put it up on the screen? | 3 MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations. |
| 4 MR. KISE: This is this one? | 4 THE COURT: Overrul |
| 5 MR. WALLACE: Yes. I handed you a copy. | $5 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ And is that a copy of the -- is that the final copy of |
| 6 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed | 6 the Statement of Financial Condition? |
| 7 to the witness.) | 7 A Yes, |
| 8 Q Mr. Bender, do you recognize the document you were | 8 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I'm not saying the |
| 9 just handed? | 9 words, We would ask to have this moved into evidence, but I |
| 10 A Y | 10 assume that once the objection is overruled, it comes in. |
| $11 \quad$ Q | 11 Or, would you like me to make that clear on the record? |
| 12 A This is the engagement letter, the Trump -- to prepare | 12 THE COURT: Well, why don't you make it clear, |
| 13 the Statement of Financial Conditions for Donald J. Trump as of | 13 and we'll see if there's an objection, other than what's |
| 14 June 30, 2012. | 14 already been objected to |
| 15 MR. KISE: Your Honor, we just assert our statute | 15 MR. KISE: Right. There won't be an additional |
| 16 of limitations | 16 objection, but it's up to Mr. Wallace to move them into |
| 17 THE COURT: I understand the objection. Same | 17 evidence, or for your Honor |
| 18 ruling, et cete | 18 THE COURT: Unless I hear an objection in the |
| 19 Q And does this appear to be the final engagement | 19 next three seconds, it is now admitted into evidence. |
| 20 letter? | 20 MR. WALLACE: Going forward, do the People want |
| 21 A Y | 21 me to -- should we be saying the magic words, We would like |
| 22 Q Okay. You | 22 to move this into evidence? We have had a number of |
| 23 MR. WALLACE: Can we put up PX793. Native. | 23 documents where I haven't always said it. |
| 24 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed | 24 MR. KISE: That's usually how trials work, your |
| 25 to the witness.) | 25 Honor, but |
| D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace Page 192 | D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace Page 194 |
| Q Mr. Bender, you are being handed what's a pull sheet | 1 MR. WALLACE: Trials work in many ways. |
| 2 for a document that's produced in an Excel format. We have put | 2 MR. KISE: That's been my experience, in the last |
| 3 up on the screen a copy of the Excel file that was attached at | $3 \quad 33$ years. |
| 4 that location. It's Plaintiff's Exhibit 793 | THE COURT: Yes. We might as well use the magic |
| 5 Based on what you see on the screen, do you recognize | 5 words. |
| 6 this document? | 6 MR. WALLACE: Is there any objection? |
| 7 A Yes. This is what we have been calling the Jeff | 7 MR. KISE: That's why I keep standing up and |
| 8 Supporting Data for the Statement of Financial Conditions for | 8 making that objection, because there's a process. |
| 9 Mr. Trump's financial statement, as of June 30, 2012. | 9 MR. WALLACE: There are plenty of bench trials |
| 10 MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations. | 10 where you don't say the magic words, but I will say the |
| 11 THE COURT: Overruled, but understood. | 11 magic words, and I will ask if we could let the record |
| 12 Q And again, what is indicated by the red letters, PBC? | 12 reflect that the exhibits that were shown to Mr. Bender |
| 13 A Prepared by client. | 13 yesterday, where the objections, or the ones that were |
| 14 Q Okay. | 14 objected to and were overruled, are admitted into evidence, |
| 15 MR. WALLACE: We can take that down. | 15 record-wise. |
| 16 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed | 16 MR. KISE: Other than the objections that have |
| 17 to the witness.) | 17 been raised and overruled, no further objections. |
| 18 Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that is | 18 THE COURT: All of yesterday's and today's |
| 19 marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 815. Do you recognize this | 19 documents are hereby officially admitted into evidence, |
| 20 document? | 20 over objection. |
| 21 A Give me a second, please. | 21 Q Mr. Bender, I've just handed you a document marked |
| 22 Q Sure. | 22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 792. Do you recognize this document? |
| 23 A Okay. Y | 23 A Yes, I do. |
| $24 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ And what is this document? | $24 \quad$ Q And what is this document? |
| 25 A It's the Statement of Financial Condition for Donald | 25 A This is the letter of representation for the Statement |



| D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace | Page 199 | D. B |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that the | 1 |
| 1 | document be entered into evidence. | 2 |
| 2 | THE COURT: Granted. It's now in evidence. | 3 |
| 3 | (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 706 was received | 4 |
| 4 | in evidence.) | 5 |
| 5 | Q If we go to the bottom on page three, do you recognize | 6 |
| 6 | that signature at the bottom of this page? | 7 |
| 7 | A $\quad$ Yes. It's Allen Weisselberg. | 8 |
| 9 | Q If we go back to the top, the first paragraph states, | 9 |
| 10 | "We are providing this letter in connection with your | 10 |
| 11 | compilation of the Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. | 11 |
| 12 | Trump as of June 30th, 2013. The statement. We confirm that we | 12 |
| 13 | are responsible for the fair presentation in the Statement of | 13 |
| 14 | Financial Condition, in conformity with accounting principles | 14 |
| 15 | generally accepted in the United States of America." | 15 |
| 16 | Would Mazars have issued the Statement of Financial | 16 |
| 17 | Condition if Mr. Weisselberg did not make the representations in | 17 |
| 18 | this letter, on behalf of Mr. Trump? | 18 |
| 19 | A $\quad$ No, we would not have. | 19 |
| 20 | Q Would Mazars have issued the Statement of Financial | 20 |
| 21 | Condition if it learned that any of the representations in the | 21 |
| 22 | letter were not true? | 22 |
| 23 | A No, we would not have. | 23 |
| 24 | (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed | 24 |
| 25 | to the witness.) | 25 |
|  |  |  |


|  | ender - Direct by Mr. Wallace Page 200 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that has |  |
| 2 | been marked has Plaintiff's Exhibit 717. You can take a moment |  |
| 3 | to look through it. |  |
| 4 | Do you recognize the document? |  |
| 5 | A Yes, I do. |  |
| 6 | $Q$ And what is it? |  |
| 7 | A It's the engagement letter to compile the financial |  |
|  | statements, Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump, as of June 30th, 2014. |  |
| 10 | MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations. |  |
| 11 | THE COURT: Overruled. |  |
| 12 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask this |  |
| 13 | document be entered into evidence. |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: Granted. It's now in evidence. | 14 |
| 15 | (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 717 was received |  |
| 16 | in evidence.) |  |
| 17 | (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed |  |
| 18 | to the witness.) |  |
| 19 | Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that has |  |
|  | been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 719. It's a pull sheet for a |  |
|  | document that was produced as an Excel file. The copy of that |  |
| 22 | file is up on the screen, in front of you. |  |
| 23 | Do you recognize this document? |  |
| 24 | A Yes, I do. |  |
| 25 | Q What is this document? |  |

A This is the Jeff Supporting Data for the Statement of Financial Conditions for Donald J. Trump, as of June 30, 2014. MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations. THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would ask that this document be entered into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 719 was received in evidence.)
(Continued on the next page.)

Q Mr. Bender, you've been handed an exhibit marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 730. I'll give you a minute to look through.

Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q This is a compilation of Donald J . Trump Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2014?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of Limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we have additional information that may be relevant to the objection. Can you go to the third page of document, please?
Q Mr. Bender, what is the date at the bottom near the signature?

A November 7, 2014.
Q What does that date indicate?
A That is the date we finalized the financial
statement and the date of representation.
MR. ROBERT: That doesn't change the objection. The objection is-- one is for all. Nonetheless, my clients don't believe they are --

Even though it's an objection for one, it's an objection for all. My clients, in particular, do not believe they're bound by the tolling agreement. So, to preserve their Appellate rights, we object to anything up


|  | Bender - DirectWallace Page 207 | D. Bender - Direct/Wallace Page 209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | p? | 1 Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2015. We confirm that we're |
|  | A Prepared | 2 responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the |
|  | Q Was this the final supporting data spreadsheet for | 3 Statements of Financial Condition in accordance with |
|  | 2015 Statements of Financial Condition? | 4 accounting principles generally accepted in the United States |
|  | A | 5 of America and the selection and application of accounting |
| 6 | it in first? | 6 principles." |
| 7 | R. WALLACE: I move, over your objection. | 7 Do you see that language? |
| 8 | MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of Limitations. | 8 A I do. |
| 9 | used the magic words this time. | 9 Q Would Mazars-- Mr. Bender, would Mazars have issued |
| $10$ | THE COURT: Overruled. Those are my magic words | 10 the 2015 Statement of Financial Condition if Allen Weisselberg |
|  | , | 11 did not make these representations in this letter on behalf of |
| $12$ | ALLACE: I ask this document be moved into | 12 Mr. Trump? |
| $13$ | nce. | 13 A No, it would not. |
| $14$ | THE COURT: Granted. It's now in evidence. | 14 Q Would Mazars -- |
| $15$ | r. Bender, you've been handed a document marked | 15 THE COURT: One minute. |
| $16$ | aintiff's Exhibit 729. I'll give you a minute to peruse it. | 16 MR. ROBERT: I actually am going to make a |
| $17$ | t? | 17 Statute of Limitations objection to this as well because |
|  | A | 18 it's cited to conduct in 2015. So, we renew the |
| $19$ | Q What is it? | 19 objections. |
| $20$ | A The compilation of Donald J. Trump's Statements of | 20 THE COURT: Understood. Overruled. |
| $21$ | Financial Condition as of June 30, | 21 Q Would Mazars have issued the 2015 Statements of |
| $22$ | Q | 22 Financial Condition if it learned any of these representations |
| 23 | A | 23 were not tru |
| 24 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I ask this be moved | 24 A No, it wou |
| 25 | into evidence | 25 Q Mr. Bender, you've been handed a document that has |
|  | ectWallace Page 208 | D. Bender - Direct/Wallace Page 210 |
| 1 | MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of Limitations. | 1 been marked plaintiff's Exhibit 740. I'll give you a minute |
| 2 | THE COURT: Overruled. It's now in evidence. | 2 to look through it. |
| 3 | Q Mr. Bender, you've been handed a document that's been | 3 Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document? |
|  | marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 728. Take a minute to look | 4 A Yes, I do. |
|  | through. | $5 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ What is it? |
| 6 | Do you recognize this document? | 6 A It's the engagement letter, compiled the Statements |
|  | A Yes, I do. | 7 of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, |
| 8 | Q What is this document? | 82016. |
| 9 | A This is the representation letter for compilation of | 9 MR. WALLACE: Can we go to last page, a |
|  | tatements of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump as of | 10 production number that ends 62? |
|  | ne 30, 201 | Q Do you recognize the signature here at the bottom of |
| 12 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I ask this document be | 12 the page? |
| 13 | red into | 13 A Yes, I do. |
| 14 | MR. ROBERT: I think we're past the February 6, | 14 Q Whose signature is that? |
| 15 | 2016. So, no Statute of Limitations as to this document. | 15 A Allen Weisselberg's. |
| 16 | Thank | 16 MR. WALLACE: We ask this document be entere |
| 17 | THE COURT: Granted. It's now in evidence. | 17 into evidence |
| 18 | MR. WALLACE: If you can just scroll down to the | 18 MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of Limitations. |
| 19 | bottom of this document. | 19 THE COURT: Isn't it past the Statute of |
| 20 | Q Do you recognize the signature at the bottom? | 20 Limitations? |
| 21 | A Yes. It's Allen Weisselberg's | 21 MR. ROBERT: No, your Honor, because, it's |
| 22 | MR. WALLACE: Can you go back to the top? | 22 certifying the material accuracy of the financial |
| 23 | Q First paragraph stat | 23 statement of June 30, 2016. Since our position is the |
| 24 | "We're providing this letter in connection with | 24 statute runs to February 6th of '16, we preserve the |
|  | your compilation of Statements of Financial Condition of | 25 objection. |

1 Thank you, sir.
Q If you look at the top, it says: Weisselberg. right there, okay.

A Yes.

THE COURT: Understood. Overruled.
"This letter correctly sets for the
understanding of the trustees on behalf of Donald J. Trump."
Do you know what trustees that's referring to?
A The trustees would have been Donald, Jr. and Mr.
Q What trusts were they the trustees of?
A Exact name, I think it's the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust as of 2014, but, I'm not sure. It says it

Q So, I'll, just for the record, underneath Mr.
Weisselberg's signature, it states: "Trustee, Donald J. Trump, Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended."

That's the trust you understood?

Q Do you have an understanding of why Mr. Weisselberg is now signing this on behalf of a trust?

A He's signing on behalf of both, Executive Vice President of Trump Organization and as trustee.
Q So, why is it now the trust that's involved?
A Because Mr. Trump was now President and a significant portion of his assets were put into holding companies that we discussed yesterday and were all
"position" in the highlight, should that have been condition or is there a reason that it's a different word being used?

THE WITNESS: I think it's a mistake but I'd have to check. Good catch.

THE COURT: You don't have to check. I think it's a mistake.

THE WITNESS: It's still a good catch.
Q We'll go through a little more of this. It might be easier to read up here. The next paragraph states: "We'll also provide other accounting services, as necessary, to obtain sufficient accounting records from which to prepare a statement. The performance of these additional accounting services does not alter the fact that you are responsible for the content of the financial statement, including the estimated current value of assets and"-- "the estimated current value of liabilities."

Do you have a recollection of what is being referred to in the "other accounting services, as necessary?"
A Anything-- the example I gave yesterday is we may assist with a few footnotes or something.

Q Any assistance you provided did not change the nature of the work that Mazars was responsible for; is that correct?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q If we scroll down to the number paragraphs below.
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## transferred all into the trust.

## Q

T
Q If we can go back to the top of this document?
A I would say the trust and the Trump Organization.
Q If we look at the second paragraph, it states: "We
will prepare the statement of financial position of Donald J.
Trump and the related notes to the financial statement and
perform compilation engagement with respect to this financial statement."

Do you have an understanding of why the language has changed in this engagement letter from prior years?

A I don't recall.
Q Does the nature of the work that you were performing on behalf -- strike that question.

Is the nature of the work you were preparingstrike that question.

Is the nature of the work you were performing in compiling Statements of Financial Condition changed in any way?
A No, except now some of the assets are in a trust but the statement included the assets of Donald J. Trump through his Revocable Trust.

THE COURT: I have a question. The word
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Paragraph number one states -- well, I'll read the introduction.
"The objective of our engagement is, one,
prepare a financial statement in accordance with accounting
principles generally accepted in the United States of America based on information provided by you."

Who, again, is the "you" in that sentence?
A The Trump Organization and the trustees.
Q Who is responsible for preparing the statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America?
A The Trump Organization and the trustees.
Q If you look at the next one, number two, it says: "Apply accounting and financial reporting expertise to assist you in the presentation of the financial statement without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statement in order for it to be in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America."

Is that consistent with the practice Mazars would have followed in prior years?

A I'd have to compare the two but, I believe, yes. It would be consistent with our practice.
Q So, separate and apart from the language, the

## D. Bender - Direct/Wallace

## practice would remain the same?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Please, scroll down to the bottom paragraph. It states: "We're not required to, and will not, verify the accuracy or completeness of the information you will provide to us for the engagement or otherwise gather evidence for the purpose of expressing an opinion or a conclusion.
Accordingly, we will not express an opinion or a conclusion nor provide any assurance on the financial statement."

Why would Mazars not verify the accuracy or completeness of any information provided?
A You don't do those types of procedure in a compilation. That is what we're being asked to perform.
Q If you look at the paragraph that it's in italics, underneath the statement "our accountant's compilation report is expected to include" -- I won't read it into the record. I'll give you a minute to just look at it.
A Just the first paragraph?
Q First two. Actually, the one that starts: "The accompanying Statement of Financial Condition." So, what's being reflected in these paragraphs?
A These are basically the GAAP exceptions we discussed yesterday and that GAAP is- the accompanying statement does not reflect above-noted items.
Q Who was responsible for determining what exceptions
to GAAP will be in the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Trump Organization.
Q If you look at the next paragraph, I will read that one into the record. It says: "Further, our report will include the following: Because the significance and pervasiveness of the matters discussed above make it difficult to assess their impact on the Statements of Financial Condition, users of this personal financial statement should recognize that they might reach different conclusions about the financial condition of Donald J. Trump if they had access to a revised Statement of Financial Condition prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America."

I'd like to direct your attention to the phrase "users of this personal financial statement should recognize that they might reach different conclusions." The reason for those different conclusions -- what's the basis for the different conclusions a user might reach?
A Because if you didn't have these GAAP exceptions, 20 these financial statements would be presented in a different 21 format.
22

in the beginning.
Q So, who is responsible for this paragraph?
A The Trump Organization and trustees.
Q Do you understand what is meant by inclusion of all informative disclosures?

A Generally, they include notes to financial statements.
Q So, the actual text of what's contained in that financial statement?
A Yes.
Q Look at item number 25. It states: "Compliance with the laws and regulations applicable to its activities." Do you know who the "its" is in this?
A The Trump Organization and the trustees.


Q Look at the next item number six. It states: "The accuracy and completeness of the records, documents, explanations, and other information, including significant judgments you provide us for the engagement."

Why is this item included?
A Because the parties we discussed are responsible for the accuracy of the compilation of records, documents, explanations, and other significant items and judgments.

MR. KISE: Just -- go ahead. Sorry. I just have a housekeeping question.

THE COURT: Overruled on Statute of Limitations. What's the housekeeping question?

MR. KISE: Maybe it's me. I want to make sure there's-- it looks like there's two copies of the Statement of Financial Condition, one redline and the other is very thick. So, I'm just.

MR. WALLACE: I can ask, maybe, Mr. Bender if he understands it and, if not, I can make a proffer on something.

MR. KISE: That's fine.
Q So, I believe your testimony was -- I think you said that this was information that was provided to you by the Trump Organization in connection with the preparation of Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct? A Yes.

Q Item seven states: "To provide us with access to all information of which you are aware is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements such as records, documentation, and other matters."

What's encompassed within information that is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements?
A Any information that they know or documents that they know pertaining to the financial statement.
Q If there was a document that related to the value of the asset but they weren't using it for their specific form valuation, would you still expect that to be shared?
A Yes, I would.
Q Mr. Bender, you've been handed a document that has been marked as plaintiff's Exhibit 3003. Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is it?
A This is certain data that was sent to us from the Trump Organization for the preparation of the June 30, 2016, compilation of Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we ask this document be entered into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of Limitations.


A Yes.
Q Did you understand that he reported Mr. McConney I?
A Yes, I believe he did.
Q So, I think we recognize the name of the first
attachment. What is that one?
A Jeff Supporting Data.
Q We can go through it, but, I believe there's two file
names separated by semicolons. Ill attempt to read them into the record.

The first one states: "SOFC 02-17-2017 VPB 2.17 .17 clean.doc," and the second file states: "SOFC 2.17. 2017 VPB 2.17.17.doc."

Do you have an understanding of what those two files are?
A I can guess. I'm not sure.
Q Based on your experience of working with Mr. Birney?
A I can't-- I can make a guess but not based on
working with Mr. Birney.
Q What was your guess?
A I'm guessing--
MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Not allowed to have speculation.
(Continued on following page..)

Q Do you have an understanding of what a clean document means?

A I'm thinking that it's a document that they want us to use for -- as their version of the typed financial statement. It appears they typed the financial statements themselves, this time.

Q And there are two files that are dot doc files, that are SOFC 21717.

If you take a look at the list underneath, Mr. Birney writes, "Bender, see attached. Item six is Word document, clean. Item seven is Word document, track changes."

Based on that language, do you have any understanding of what the files are, that are included?

A It just appears to me that one of them would be the document that we just spoke, and one of them would track changes, but I would have to review.

Q I'm happy to move on from this. It's not actually relevant to the questions I was asking about the --

MR. ROBERT: I just want to be clear, your Honor.
Are you moving in the attachments, too? Or just the covering email?

MR. WALLACE: The full document. The document comes with the attachments.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. So, then our objection was
including the attachments that you moved in, as one piece?
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MR. WALLACE: Let's pull up Exhibit 742.
Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that was marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 742. It's a pull sheet for a document that was produced as an Excel file. We have put a copy of that Excel file up on the screen, here. Let me ask, do you recognize this document?

A Yes, I do.
Q And what is this document?
A This is the file from Mazars' binder for the Statement of Financial Condition compilation of Donald J. Trump, as of June 30, 2016.

Q And what do the letters PBC stand for?
A Prepared by client.
$Q$ And what was the use of this table?
A This was our control to tie into the statement of -the compilation of the Statement of Financial Condition for Mr. Trump, as of June 30, 2016.

MR. WALLACE: If we could --
Q I'll just ask you this: The systems you described for preparing this document, did they operate the same way in 2016 as what we discussed in 2011?

I can clarify the question.
A At some point, they started putting stuff into a portal. I don't think it was this early, but the systems would have been the same, except for that.
which people at the Trump Organization would send you documents, the Statement of Financial Condition, changed, but there was no change to how you prepared this document?

A The last document you gave me was 2016, also.
Q Correct.
A So, yes. So, the stuff would have been -- the same process would have on the statement, as before.

MR. ROBERT: To the extent Mr. Wallace is going it move this document in, we object on statute of limitations, and I also object, it was a leading question.

THE COURT: Do you want to withdraw it?
MR. WALLACE: No, I don't want to withdraw the question. I was just going to say, this is the electronic version of an attachment of the document that was already entered into evidence, over Mr. Robert's objection.

MR. ROBERT: I thought, because you identified it as a separate exhibit number, it wasn't.

MR. WALLACE: No, I didn't. This was the same exhibit number.

MR. ROBERT: It's the same exhibit number?
MR. WALLACE: Different file name.
MR. ROBERT: Then I still have the objection to the leading question, your Honor.

MR. WALLACE: I would say that the leading

| D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | question was in response to a general response from the |
| 2 | witness, and I was clarifying his answer. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Oh. In all my years of objections |
| 4 | about leading questions, I never heard the defense that, |
| 5 | Well, he was just asking for a qualification. |
| 6 | MR. ROBERT: If that works, we'll use it all the |
| 7 | time. That's fine. |
| 8 | THE COURT: It seems, to me, a legitimate device |
| 9 | in the search for the truth. Overruled. |
| 10 | MS. HABBA: Your Honor, before we proceed, I just |
| 11 | wanted to let the court reporter know that this is actually |
| 12 | Mr. Robert, not Mr. Solomon. I want to make sure the |
| 13 | record is clear. |
| 14 | THE COURT: That would be a bad mistake to make. |
| 15 | MR. SOLOMON: Okay, your Honor. |
| 16 | THE COURT: That's Mr. Solomon. |
| 17 | MS. HABBA: That's Mr. Solomon. |
| 18 | Q $\quad$ So, we were discussing how this document operates |
| 19 | what's indicated by the numbers in blue, in row 84100.01? |
| 20 | A $\quad$ Those are the cross references to the underlying work |
| 21 | papers in the Mazars binder in that case, and an example, the |
| 22 | cash worksheet appears to be worksheet 4100.01. |
| 23 | (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to |
| 24 | the witness.) |
| 25 | Q Mr. Bender, I've handed you a document that's been |

question was in response to a general response from the witness, and I was clarifying his answer.

THE COURT: Oh. In all my years of objections about leading questions, I never heard the defense that,

MR. ROBERT: If that works, we'll use it all the
THE COURT: It seems, to me, a legitimate device
MS. HABBA: Your Honor, before we proceed, I just wanted to let the court reporter know that this is actually Mr. Robert, not Mr. Solomon. I want to make sure the THE COURT: That would be a bad mistake to make. MR. SOLOMON: Okay, your Honor. THE COURT: That's Mr. Solomon. MS. HABBA: That's Mr. Solomon.
Q So, we were discussing how this document operates A papers in the Mazars binder in that case, and an example, the cash worksheet appears to be worksheet 4100.01.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to

Q Mr. Bender, I've handed you a document that's been
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marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2587. This is a document that was produced as an Excel file, so l've put a copy of the Excel file that was produced up on the screen. Do you recognize this document - let me introduce -- this document has been produced with, and is listed on plaintiff's exhibit list, as a file name of 4100.01 Cash Balances as of 6/30/16 - final VPB 2.17.17.XLS.XLS.

Do you recognize this document?
A Yes. This is the Excel file for the cash and short-term investments for the compilation of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2016.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this
document be marked into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 2587 was received in evidence.)
Q Again, what does the red letters at the top say, PBC, what do those indicate?

A Prepared by client.
Q And what would you do with this table?
A It would -- the bottom total should hopefully tie into the Jeff Supporting Data.

Q Okay. So this is the support for the number on the document we were just looking at?

A Yes, sir.
Q If we look at the lettering in blue, on row one, it states, "Per discussion with J. McConney, 2.717, cash balances are from bank reconciliations and not individual balance sheets."

Can you just tell me, what does that mean?
A I'm not 100 percent sure, today.
Q Do you recall if Mr. McConney was still involved in the preparation of the Statement of Financial Condition, as of February 27, 2017?

A He was involved with the compilation for the Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30th, 2016. I don't know if you said '16 or '17.

Q So, just to clarify, this is a discussion that happened in 2017, but it's for the as-of-June 30, 2016 statement?

A It appears to be so, yes.
Q Do you have a recollection as to why work on the as-of-June 30, 2016 statement was happening in 2017?

A I have a general recollection.
Q What's your general recollection?
A I think things -- Mr. Trump became president, and things were a little crazy.

Q Okay.
A For the Trump Organization.
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Q If we go down to rows 69 through 72, I just want to direct your attention to -- there's two red arrows -- let me start by staying, we are on row 69. There's two red arrows. One going up, and one going down, and they each go into a red line. What is indicated by that symbol?

A I believe, and I would have to double check, I believe that it was footed. Added done.

Q So, someone has confirmed that the formula that's operating there, it had been prepared correctly?

A Somebody would have taken the --
Q Okay.
A - mouse, and would have went down with it, and made sure the math checks.

MR. WALLACE: I think we are done with this document, if that's a good time to break, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't allow breaks longer than
10 minutes, but we are going to have 15 minutes to have the
10 -minute break. So, please be back at 11:45, everyone. MR. WALLACE: Thank you.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
HE COURT: You know, for various reasons, I want to run a tight ship. It seems like I'm going to have to be a little firmer in these the timing of these breaks. I said -- another example of lame humor -- we'll take a

| 1 | 10-minute break, but you can have 15 minutes. Maybe you | 1 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | could push that to 20, but I'm starting in 20 minutes. If | 2 |
| 3 | I say we are talking a 10-minute break in 15 minutes, and | 3 |
| 4 | if you are not here, I'm starting anyway. So, please be | 4 |
| 5 | here. | 5 |
| 6 | I see Mr. Kise is nodding. You understand. | 6 |
| 7 | Mr. Robert. | 7 |
| 8 | Ms. Habba. | 8 |
| 9 | 10-minute break, at most 20 minutes. | 9 |
| 10 | All right. Let's continue. | 10 |
| 11 | Before we do, I looked at Kulak, the case that I | 11 |
| 12 | mentioned before, very closely. Kulak versus Nationwide, | 12 |
| 13 | 40 N.Y.2d 140, and at page $145--$ depends which version -- | 13 |
| 14 | the Court of Appeals makes clear, not just that continuing | 14 |
| 15 | objections can be made, but they don't even have to be | 15 |
| 16 | made. | 16 |
| 17 | Quote, "The objection, thus clearly made and | 17 |
| 18 | overruled, served as a continuing objection, and made it | 18 |
| 19 | unnecessary to challenge other improper evidence," close | 19 |
| 20 | quote. | 20 |
| 21 | The next sentence says, quote, "Although | 21 |
| 22 | objection was not made to every question during this line | 22 |
| 23 | of testimony, the objections were," internal quote, "Were | 23 |
| 24 | sufficient to cover the whole of the material accepted as | 24 |
| 25 | evidence." | 25 |
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So, I beg to differ with the advice that the defendants received. You can make continuing objections, and you can just object, and it's understood that that will cover the exact or similar, same situations.

MR. KISE: Just looking a this, your Honor, briefly, and I didn't get to look at it on the break, even though it was a long break, but just pulling it up now, I think this goes - and I've sent it off to our folks. I think this goes to what I said yesterday, the difference between testimony and documents. This case relates, I think, from what I'm seeing more, to testimony, and that's why with Mr. Bender, we have made one blanket objection as to testimony, which is sufficient. But, I don't know that that covers us with documents.

But, I can assure you, to the extent that I don't -- and Mr. Robert, so we don't have to keep standing up and down -- if we can come up with a way to satisfy our side, we would do that. I just think this is testimony and not documents, because it speaks to, defendant objected only to the first of three hypothetical questions. The last hypothetical question -- the first three hypothetical questions addressed to the first expert, it was not error to admit, into evidence, testimony as to the possibility of adequate representation. I think that's the distinction that we are drawing here, as I did yesterday, I mentioned
that. But we will continue to look into it, to save all of us the --

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I can, also, though -I think we have offered to -- the parties exchanged exhibit lists that contained the information. If they want to do a list that specifies the document to which they object, based on statute of limitations ground and timing, just that, and your Honor can rule on the list, regardless of whether or not -- and then they can preserve their objections that way, we would be happy to do something along those lines.

MR. KISE: We'll look at that as well, your Honor. Anything to expedite these process, I can assure you.

THE COURT: As I said much earlier, Mr. Kise and everyone at defense table, I'll let you do what you feel like you need to do.

MR. KISE: Understood and appreciated. But we'll streamline it, as much as we possibly can.

THE COURT: And by the way, I didn't read the exception into this that you are reading into it, but you know, reasonable minds can differ. Okay.

I hope he is closer, this time.
(Whereupon, the witness resumed the witness stand.)
D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace

THE COURT: Please proceed.

## CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. WALLACE:

Q We have three minutes of good morning left, Mr. Bender, so good morning, again.

MR. WALLACE: I would like to offer the witness a new document.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, you are being handed a document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 756. Can you just take a moment to look through this document?

THE COURT: And while he is looking, F 11 ask the court officer to hand a copy of the Kulak case to the defense table, for their convenience.

MR. KISE: Thank you.
Q Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document? A Yes, I do.
Q And what is this document?
A This is the compilation of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition, as of June 30, 2016.

Q And does this appear to be the final version? A Yes, it does.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we ask that this document be moved into evidence.

| 1 | MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | THE COURT: This, the date of this -- I'm |  |
| 3 | speaking to the expert here. The date of this document is |  |
| 4 | after the limitations period had started, if we can call it |  |
| 5 | that; right? |  |
| 6 | MR. ROBERT: Correct. The limitations period, in |  |
| 7 | our view, is from February 6, 2016, so what is contained in |  |
| 8 | here, and the work product that's within here, to protect |  |
| 9 | our record, we believe that that would be barred by the |  |
| 10 | statute of limitations as for those defendants not bound by | 1 |
| 11 | the tolling agreement. |  |
| 12 | THE COURT: And what if it was $2017 ?$ | 11 |
| 13 | MR. ROBERT: It gets a little more complicated | 13 |
| 14 | there, because sometimes, especially on the McConney | 14 |
| 15 | spreadsheets, you will see that they have two years' worth | 15 |
| 16 | of information on a spreadsheet the year before, in that | 16 |
| 17 | year. That's why we have to take them one document at a | 17 |
| 18 | time, just to make sure we are preserving our position and | 18 |
| 19 | not waiving that we feel, for those defendants not bound by | 19 |
| 20 | the tolling agreement: Anything that happened prior to | 20 |
| 21 | February 6th of 2016, is out. |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: Overruled. | 21 |
| 25 | operating advice. I will note that we don't believe that | 25 |

holding companies. These two holdings companies are owned by the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, dated April 7, 2014, as amended, (The Trust). Prior to Mr. Trump being sworn in as President of the United States of America on January 20, 2017, he transferred a significant portion of his remaining assets and liabilities, including certain entities, to either the trust, or entities owned by the trust."
"The accompanying Statements of Financial Condition consists of the assets and liabilities of Donald J. Trump, including the assets and liabilities of the trust."

So, just to reorient, this is the trust process that you speak about earlier this morning?

A I'm not sure what you mean by that?
Q Okay. You are not sure --
A What you mean by that question.
Q You said that at some point trustees took over the responsibility for the preparation of the Statement of Financial Condition. This is the trust that the trustees were operating on behalf of --

A Yes, it was.
Q Okay. So, if we look at the next full paragraph, it states that, "Assets are stated at their estimated current values, and liabilities at their estimated current amounts, using various valuation methods."

So who is responsible for making sure that assets are

1 the defendants are entitled to lie about events that
happened in 2014, in ' 17 and so that the statute of limitations isn't applicable, or the statute of limitations period certainly encompasses this document, but I will move on.

And so I take it this document has now been entered into evidence?

THE COURT: Yes. It's now in evidence.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 756 was received in evidence.)
Q Mr. Bender --
MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up page three of the document. It's the one that has the production number 1985.

Q Just please reorient us. What portion of the Statement of Financial Condition is this section?

A


Q And just to clarify, I'll leave the record here, under the heading, Basis of Presentation it states, "Prior to June 30, 2016, Donald J. Trump transferred certain of his assets and liabilities, including certain entities that he owned, to two
stated at their estimated current values?
A The Trump Organization, and the trustees.
Q Okay. So, if we could just flip to the page five of this report, it's the production number that ends 1987, if we look at the second paragraph, it states, "The estimated current value of 600 million -- $\$ 631$ million, is based on an evaluation by the trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals, applying a capitalization rate to the cash flow to be derived from building operations."

So, who is responsible for deciding to use this technique, to value Trump Tower?

A Trustees, in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals.

Q And did Mazars undertake any procedures to determine if that was an appropriate means of valuing Trump Tower?

A No, we did not.
Q And did Mazars undertake any accounting procedures to determine if the 631 million number was accurate?

A Say the question again, please?
Q Did Mazars undertake any accounting procedures to determine if the $\$ 631$ million number was accurate?

A Anything that -- I would have to see the Jeff Supporting schedule, to see if we footed any other, or if we did any other work.

Q But would you have undertaken any kind of audit or

| D. Be | ender - Direct by Mr. Wallace Page 239 | D. Bender - Direct/Wallace Page 241 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | review to check the number, the \$631 million number? | 1 Q In what capacity is he signing this letter? |
| 2 | A No, we would not have done that. | 2 A He signed it as Chief Financial Officer of the Trump |
| 3 | (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed | 3 Organization and as Trustee of Donald J. Trump Revocable |
| 4 | to the witness.) | 4 Trust. |
| 5 | Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that has | $5 \quad$ Q Do you recognize the signature on the right-hand side |
|  | been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 741. I'll give you a moment | 6 of the screen? |
|  | to look through it. | 7 A Yes, it's Don, Jr.'s signature. |
| 8 | Do you recognize this document, Mr. Bender? | 8 Q In what capacity is he signing this? |
| 9 | A Yes, I do. | 9 A As Executive Vice President of the Trump |
| 10 | Q And what is this document? | 10 Organization and Trustee of Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust. |
| 11 | A It's a representation letter for the personal -- for | 11 Q Would Mazars have issued, as of June 30, 2016, |
|  | the compilation of the financial statements of Donald J. Trump, | 12 Statements of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump if the |
|  | as of June 30, 2016. | 13 trustees had not signed this representation letter? |
| 14 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this | 14 A No, they would not. |
| 15 | document be moved into evidence. | 15 Q |
| 16 | MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. |  |
| 17 | THE COURT: Overruled. |  |
| 18 | (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 741 was received |  |
| 19 | in evidence.) |  |
| 20 | Q Mr. Bender, would Mazars have issued the Statement of |  |
|  | Financial Condition if the trustees -- |  |
| 22 | MR. WALLACE: Well, let's actually strike that |  |
| 23 | question. |  |
| 24 | Can we turn to the last page of this document? | 24 Q Would Mazars have issued the Statements of Financial |
| 25 | THE COURT: The correct term is withdrawn, not | 25 Condition if the trustees had not made that representation? |
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|  | strike. | 1 A No, it would not. |
| 2 | MR. WALLACE: Thank you, your Honor. | 2 Q After the date of this letter, which was signed -- |
| 3 | Q If we take a look at the bottom of this page, do you | 3 after the date of this letter on March 10, 2017, did you learn |
|  | recognize the signature on the left hand side of the screen? | 4 whether or not the Trump Organization had, in fact, not |
| 5 | A Yes. That's -- excuse me. That's Allen Weisselberg. | 5 provided you with all financial records and related data and |
| 6 | (Continued on the next page.) | 6 any additional information requested for the purpose of the |
| 7 |  | 7 compilation? |
| 8 |  | 8 A I'd have to double check 2016. I'm not sure of |
| 9 |  | 92016. |
| 10 |  | 10 Q So, after -- I'm trying to clarify. After this |
| 11 |  | 11 letter, did you learn this representation was not accurate? |
| 12 |  | 12 A I can't tell you the specific year, off the top of |
| 13 |  | 13 my head. |
| 14 |  | 14 Q At some point, did you learn this representation was |
| 15 |  | 15 inaccurate? |
| 16 |  | 16 A I'm not sure for the year June 30, 2016. I'd have |
| 17 |  | 17 to go back and look at that to answer the question. |
| 18 |  | 18 Q At some point, did you learn, during the course of -- |
| 19 |  | 19 withdrawn. |
| 20 |  | 20 |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  | 24 Q What did you learn -- |
| 25 |  | 25 A There were certain matters -- certain documents were |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 shown to us, appraisals, that were in their possession that | 1 Organization? |
| 2 we did not know about. | 2 A Yes. |
| 3 THE COURT: Please keep your voice nice and | 3 MR. KISE: Objection. Which year? |
| 4 loud. | 4 THE COURT: I may be second-guessing myself but |
| 5 THE WITNESS: I apologize. My throat is -- | 5 I think he can ask if it was done, and, you can |
| 6 THE COURT: That's because you're talking too | 6 cross-examination well, when was it done. |
| 7 much the last few days. | 7 MR. KISE: Okay, if he's asking generally, did |
| 8 THE WITNESS: That's because I'm retired. I'm | 8 he ever make inquiries about appraisals, that is one |
| 9 not used to talking. | 9 thing. He's asking, specifically, the representation |
| 10 Q So, Mr. Bender, how did you learn there were | 10 with respect, rather, to this particular year. I think |
| 11 appraisals you had not been provided? | 11 that's quite another. |
| 12 A I believe the first time I saw them were interviews | 12 THE COURT: I don't think he was but let's see |
| 13 with the District Attorney of the City of New York. | 13 if he'll clarify. |
| 14 Q Was that information you thought you should have | 14 MR. WALLACE: I appreciate Mr. Kise's evidence |
| 15 received in the course of preparing a compilation engagement? | 15 coming in but-- |
| 16 A Yes. | 16 Q Did you ask the Trump Organization, during the course |
| 17 Q Let's go down to another portion of this letter. If | 17 of your work on compilation reports, for appraisal reports? |
| 18 you look at item number 15, item 15 states: "We've responded | 18 A Yes, I think it started at the time-- first time, my |
| 19 fully and truthfully to all inquiries made to us by you during | 19 recollection of asking where the appraisals were, they gave |
| 20 your compilation." | 20 me certain appraisals for TIHT Commercial LLC of Trump Plaza, |
| 21 After the receipt of this letter, did you learn | 21 LLC, and, I think those questions I asked in most years of |
| 22 whether or not that representation was inaccurate? | 22 Jeff McConney. That was started, based on, I guess, |
| 23 A It was inaccurate for not-- I can't tell you for | 23 approximately, 2013 or other. |
| 24 this year but for various years in total, yes. <br> $25 \quad Q$ Based on your understanding, why was that | 24 Q Do you have a specific recollection of it starting in 25 2013? |
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| 1 presentation inaccurate? | 1 A I recently saw that we had those appraisals appear |
| 2 A Because they were not giving us all the documents |  |
| 3 that we needed, potentially, to compile the compilation. |  |
| 4 Q So, had you made inquiries for those documents? |  |
| 5 A In many, yes, I did. Yes. |  |
| 6 MR. KISE: Objection. Which documents? He's |  |
| 7 testified he's not sure which year it was. So, which |  |
| 8 documents are we talking about? |  |
| 9 THE COURT: Sustained. |  |
| 10 MR. KISE: What year? When? When - |  |
| 11 THE COURT: Sustained. I think we need to be a |  |
| 12 little more particular. |  |
| 13 Q Mr. Bender, you testified that the Trump Organization |  |
| 14 was not giving us all the documents that we needed to |  |
| 15 potentially compile the compilation. What documents are you |  |
| 16 referring to? |  |
| 17 A There were certain appraisals out there for a number | 17 Q Again, you don't remember exactly when that practice |
| 18 of years. | 18 started? |
| 19 Q Do you remember, specifically, which years? | 19 A No, but, I did see that the appraisal appeared |
| 20 A Not all years off the top of my head, no. | 20 around 2013. |
| 21 Q Do you remember some of the years, off the top of | 21 Q You've seen an appraisal dated 2013 that conforms to |
| 22 your head? | 22 what your memory is? |
| 23 A I think it was ' 15,16 , something like that but I'd | 23 A I saw appraisals in the 2013, the binder for the |
| 24 have to double check. | 24 compilation for the year, as of June 30, 2016. |
| 25 Q So, you made inquiries for appraisals to the Trump | 25 Q What did you do when you learned that there were |

## appraisals that had not been given to you?

A What did I do?
Q Yes.
MR. KISE: Objection. The timeframe. Back to timeframe. Objection.

MR. WALLACE: He identified the timeframe as during a meeting with the New York District Attorney's Office.

MR. KISE: Is that a timeframe? He said some timeframe but then it was unclear as to which --I just want the record to be clear.

THE COURT: We all do.
Q Do you remember when?
THE COURT: Hold on a second. Let's start with: Can you remember a specific time when you reacted to this realization.
Q Can you answer the question from the Judge?
A It was my first meeting with a Zoom meeting with the
District Attorney of the State of New York.
THE COURT: You remember, roughly, when that meeting was?

THE WITNESS: Not off the top of my head.
THE COURT: Can you approximate from point of view of more than speculation but less than certainty?

THE WITNESS: I would say about three years ago,
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during COVID. Yeah, it was during COVID but, yes, the late part. Wasn't the first year of COVID.
Q Did you do anything after you learned from that meeting with the District Attorney's Office that there were appraisals you'd not been given?
A I was not personally involved in any of those matters. It wasn't handled by me.
Q Were you still working on the compilation for Donald
J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition at the time you learned that information?
A No, we did not. So, it had to be after 2020. The date would have to be after June 30, 2020, was, I believe, the last compilation we did.
Q Did you have any conversations with Mr. McConney after you learned this information from the District Attorney's Office?
A Pertaining to that matter?
Q Correct.
A No, I did not.
Q You had conversations with him on other matters?
A Yes. Let me go back.
THE WITNESS: It would have been early part of '21, your Honor.

THE COURT: Just finish the sentence.
A Would be the early part of the ' 21 that we had the

Zoom meeting with DANY.
Q Meaning the District Attorney's Office of New York County?
A Somebody taught me this.
THE COURT: DANY.
THE WITNESS: Somebody taught me this. I'm sorry.
Q Mr. Bender, in any given year where you asked for appraisals you were not given -- let me withdraw that question.

THE COURT: He's a quick learner.
Q Mr. Bender, would Mazars have issued Statements of Financial Condition-- without reference to a specific year but, if Mazars learned that the Trump Organization had not provided all appraisals, would you have issued the Statement of Financial Condition?

MR. KISE: Objection. Back to which year?
THE COURT: Overruled. Again, I may be second-guessing myself but you can ask if it was ever done. I don't see a problem with that.

MR. KISE: Which years did he not receive the appraisals? Which years is the government asking him which statements wouldn't have been produced? I mean, there are some years where there might not have been any appraisals provided and some years were there were
appraisals provided but what the government is doing is mashing it all together and saying, in those years that you didn't get appraisals, would you have issued the statements, but, that doesn't speak to the direct point which they have to establish that it was a specific year or a specific appraisal not provided in connection with a specific statement.

Not generally, over a ten-year period, whether appraisals you didn't receive and did that then -- what the --

MR. WALLACE: How would the witness know if he didn't receive an appraisal, apart for the ones shown by DANY?

MR. KISE: According to his testimony, he was told by the District Attorney of New York when he didn't receive it. So, if the witness can recall which years that related to and he can recount what he would have done or not have done, based on information he would have received in that particular year, fine; but, if it's no, he can't just speculate generally about --

MR. WALLACE: You're arguing in front of the Judge now.

THE COURT: He is allowed to. Overruled.
MR. WALLACE: Can we go back to --
THE COURT: Subject to the cross-examination.



Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is this document?
A This is the engagement letter compiling the
Statements of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2018.

Q If you take a look at the bottom of this document, do you recognize that signature?

A Yes, I do.
Q Whose signature is it?
A Allen Weisselberg's.
Q In what capacity is Mr. Weisselberg signing this letter?

A Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization and Trustee of Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust.

MR. WALLACE: We ask this document be entered into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
Q Mr. Bender, you've been handed a document that's marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 774. It's a pull sheet for a document that was produced as an Excel file. We've put a copy of the Excel file on the screen here.

Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
$Q \quad$ What is this document?
A It's the Jeff Supporting Data for the Statements of
Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2018.
$Q$ The initials, again, PBC in red at the top, what do those mean?

A Prepared by client.
Q We haven't seen these symbols before, but, next to number $\$ 76$ million on line 12 are the letters PY. Do you know what the letters indicate?

A Prior year.
Q The next cel lists the number of $76,200,000$, says $F S$.
What does that indicate?
A Financial statement.
$Q$ What is being indicated by the fact that is the financial statement?

A It agrees to the financial statement.
$Q$ So, that you've confirmed that the number that is
marked there is $76,200,000$ is in the final Statement of Financial Condition?

A I don't like the word confirm. I'll say check, cross-reference.

Q You've cross-referenced?
A Yes.
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(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that is marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 773. I'll give you a few moments to look through the document.

Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is it?

A Yes, it does.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this document be moved into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 773 was received in evidence.)
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 772. Have you had a chance to look at this document?

A Yes, I have.
Q And what is this document?
A This is a letter of representation for the compilation
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 of the personal financial statement of Donald J. Trump, as of | 1 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this |
| 2 June 30, 2018. | 2 document be entered into evidence. |
| 3 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this | 3 THE COURT: We move a lot faster without all |
| 4 document be entered into evidence. | 4 those objections. |
| 5 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. | 5 Granted. It's in evidence. |
| 6 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 772 was received | 6 (Whereupon, Plaintiffs Exhibit 840 was received |
| 7 in evidence.) | 7 in evidence.) |
| 8 MR. WALLACE: If we could scroll down to the | 8 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed |
| 9 bottom of the last page of this document. | 9 to the witness.) |
| 10 Q Mr. Bender, do you recognize the signature on the left | 10 Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document marked as |
| 11 of the screen? | 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 843. It's a pull sheet for a document that |
| 12 A Yes, I do. | 12 was produced to us as an Excel file. We have put a copy of that |
| 13 Q | 13 Excel file up on the screen here. |
|  | 14 Do you recognize this document? |
|  | 15 A Yes, I do. |
|  | 16 Q And what is this document? |
|  | 17 A This is the Jeff Supporting Data for the Statement of |
|  | 18 Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2019. |
|  | 19 Q And what is indicated by the red letters on the top, |
|  | 20 PBC? |
|  | 21 A Prepared by client. |
|  | 22 Q And does this appear to be the final supporting data |
|  | 23 spreadsheet for the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition? |
| $24 \quad$ Q And in what capacity is Mr. Trump signing this letter? | 24 A Yes, it does. |
| 25 A Mr. Trump. Okay. Executive Vice President of the | 25 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this |
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| 1 Trump Organization, and Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable | 1 document be entered into evidence. |
| 2 Trust. | 2 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. |
| 3 Q Was there a confusion with Mr. Trump? Usually refers | 3 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 843 was received |
| 4 to Donald J. Trump? | 4 in evidence.) |
| 5 A It's just everybody is getting older. | 5 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed |
| 6 Q Okay. If we go up to the top, would Mazars have | 6 to the witness.) |
| 7 issued the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition if | 7 Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that's |
| 8 Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. Trump did not sign this representation | 8 been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 842. I'll give you a few |
| 9 letter? | 9 moments to look through the document. |
| 10 A No, we would not have. | 10 Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document? |
| 11 Q Would Mazars have issued the 2018 Statement of | 11 A Yes, I do. |
| 12 Financial Condition if it knew that any of the representations | 12 Q What is this document? |
| 13 in this letter were false? | 13 A |
| 14 A No, we would not have. |  |
| 15 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed |  |
| 16 to the witness.) |  |
| 17 Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document marked | 17 A Yes, it does. |
| 18 Plaintiff's Exhibit 840. Do you recognize this document? | 18 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this |
| 19 A Yes, I do. | 19 document be entered into evidence. |
| $20 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ And what is this document? | 20 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. |
| 21 A | 21 (Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 842 was received |
|  | 22 in evidence.) |
|  | 23 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed |
| 24 letter? | 24 to the witness.) |
| 25 A Yes, it does. | 25 Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a copy of the |

going to be as hoarse as his, eventually.
MR. WALLACE: Only a few more.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, I've handed you a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 857. It is a pull sheet for a document that was produced as an Excel file. We have put a copy of that Excel file up on the screen. Do you recognize this document?

A Yes, I do.
Q What is this document?
A It's Jeff Supporting Data for the -- for the compilation of the -- it's the Jeff Supporting Data for the Donald J. Trump compilation Statement of Financial Condition, as of June 30, 2020.

Q And does this appear to be the final version of the spreadsheet?

A Yes, it does.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this
be entered into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 857 was received in evidence.)
Q I'll just ask, before we take it down, what do the letters PBC mean, again?
D. Bender - Direct by Mr. Wallace

Page 264
A No, we would not have.
Q Would Mazars have issued the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition, if it knew that any of the representations contained in this letter were false?

A No, we would not have.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that is marked Plaintiffs Exhibit 854. I'll give you a moment to look through it.

Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is this document?
A This is the engagement letter to compile the Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump for the year ending June 30, 2020.

Q And does this appear to be the final version of the letter?

A Yes, it does.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this
document be entered into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 854 was received in evidence.)

THE COURT: If I keep saying that, my voice is
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A Prepared by client.
Q Thank you.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document that's been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 856. If you can, take a moment to look through this document.

Mr. Bender do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is this document?


MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would ask that this document be entered into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiffs Exhibit 856 was received in evidence.)

THE COURT: And, 10 -minute warning. MR. WALLACE: Understood.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, you have been handed a document marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 855. If you could, take a moment and look


A No, I did not.
Q After the time that you disengaged from the Trump engagement, did you have any personal contact with Donald J. Trump?

A No, I did not.
Q Before seeing him in the courtroom the last two days, when was the last time you saw Donald J. Trump in person?

A It was before Covid. It was December, 2019.
Q And do you remember in what context that was?
A Yes. Ms. Trump had invited by son to a
Christmas party for children, to make ornaments, and I had to get some papers signed by Mr. and Ms. Trump.

Q Since that meeting, did you have any conversations with Mr. Trump?
A No, I have not.
Q Did you have any conversations with Mr. Trump about the decision by Mazars to end the engagement with the Trump Organization?

A No, I did not.
Q Did you have any in-person meetings with Mr. Trump about the decision by Mazars to end to the relationship with the Trump Organization?

A No, I did not.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we reserve our right to
re-direct; or cross, if they go beyond the scope of his
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testimony. We have no more questions at this time, of Mr. Bender.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, do you want five minutes to cross exam?

MR. KISE: Do we want to -- just, probably better
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this document be entered into evidence?

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 855 was received in evidence.)
Q And Mr. Bender, would Mazars have issued the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition if Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. Trump did not offer these representations?

A No, we would not have.
Q Would Mazars have issued the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition if it knew that any representations contained in this letter were false?

A No, we would not have.
Q Mr. Bender, did you work on Statements of Financial Condition for Mr. Trump in any later years?

A No, we did not.
Q Why not?
A Mazars disengaged from the Trump Organization.
Q And did you have any involvement in the decision to disengage from the Trump engagement?
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THE COURT: I thought you would say that. Give me one second.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Okay. We are going to resume at 2:15. Have a good lunch, everybody.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT: Welcome back, everyone.
This morning, one of the defendants posted, to a social media account, a disparaging, untrue and personally identifying post about a member of my staff. Although I have since order the post deleted, and apparently it was, it was also emailed out to millions of other recipients. Personal attacks on members of my court staff are unacceptable, inappropriate, and I will not tolerate them, under any circumstances. Yesterday, off the record, I warned counsel of this, and this was disregarded. My warning was disregarded.

Consider this statement a gag order forbidding all parties from posting, emailing, or speaking publicly about any members of my staff. Any failure to abide by this directive will result in serious sanctions. I hope I've been very clear.

Okay. Let's get Mr. Bender back.
MR. KISE: While we're waiting, Judge, I'll just observe, this will be better for me because I don't have to stand up and object when there's a document, since it's cross examination.

THE COURT: Are we up to cross?
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'll take the
opportunity to introduce myself. My name is Jesus Suarez. Thank you for admitting me, pro hac vice. I practice with Mr. Kise, in Florida.

THE COURT: Of course. I remember the application.

MR. SUAREZ: I don't speak as nicely as he does.
THE COURT: Well, almost nobody does, so --
MR. SUAREZ: Is my mike on? Now my mike is on.
THE COURT: Is he as good in the office as he is in court?

MR. SUAREZ: He is certainly as charming in the office as he is in court, but he almost never picks up lunch. I don't know what that's about.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | That was a joke. Mr. Kise picks up lunch. |
| 2 | MR. KISE: You are forgetting all the dinners. |
| 3 | THE COURT: They don't laugh at mine, either, so. |
| 4 | (Whereupon, the witness resumed the witness |
| 5 | stand.) |
| 6 | THE COURT: I'll remind the witness, as usual, |
| 7 | is still under oath. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Counsel, please proceed. |
| 10 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 11 | BY MR. BENDER: |
| 12 | Q Mr. Bender, good afternoon. |
| 13 | A Good afternoon. |
| 14 | Q We have met before? |
| 15 | A Good afternoon. |
| 16 | THE COURT: That's a question. Have you met |
| 17 | before? |
| 18 | Q We have met before. We met in April of 2023, when I |
| $19$ | took your deposition on behalf of the defendants. Do you |
| $2$ |  |
|  |  |
| 22 | Q Okay. Mr. Bender, you have been up here testifying |
| 23 | for the last day about the Statements of Financial Condition of |
| 24 | the 45th President of the United States. Is that correct? |
| 25 | A Yes, sir. |

Q And Mr. Bender, preparing the president's Statements of Financial Condition, that was a big job; wasn't it, Mr. Bender?

A It wasn't a big job. It was part of my normal engagement.

Q Part of your normal engagement, I see. In 2011 alone, the first Statement of Financial Condition that the Attorney General had you talk about, the president had over $\$ 258$ million in cash, Mr. Bender. You don't think that's a significant engagement?

A No, sir.
Q Okay. The president had a company with a brand value of over $\$ 10-$, maybe even $\$ 20$ billion, Mr. Bender. That, for you, wasn't a significant engagement?

A No, sir.
Q Okay. Now, is that because you were the in-house accountant at the Trump Organization for over 30 years, Mr. Bender?

A I wasn't the in-house accountant.
Q Okay. So who was?
A The in-house accountant?
Q Yes.
A Mr. McConney, Mr. Weisselberg. They were the in-house accountants.

Q Mr. McConney. Mr. McConney worked with you at Spahr
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Lacher?
A Yes, he did.
Q May have been responsible for giving you the name Doc?
A He wasn't, but he kept it going.
Q Did they call you Doc because you were good at documented transactions? That was the Doc?

A No.
Q It's a cute nickname.
Was Mr. Weisselberg an accountant?
A He was an accountant.
Q Mr. Weisselberg is a CPA?
A No. He is not a CPA.
Q Mr. McConney is a CPA?
A No. Mr. McConney is not a CPA.
Q Okay. So who was the in-house accountant at the Trump Organization, Mr. Bender?

A Mr. Weisselberg, and his team.
Q All right. You did work for the Trump Organization for over 35 years; did you not, Mr. Bender?

A Excuse me?
Q You did work for the president and his company, the Trump Organization, for over 35 years?

A Approximately.
Q Approximately. In fact, you came to work with the Trump Organization through a gentlemen named Mr. Mitnick; didn't
you?
A Mr. Mitnick was my boss.
Q Mr. Mitnick your boss at Spahr Lacher, where you
started; right?
A Yes.
Q And ever since Spahr Lacher, more than 35 years ago,
you have been doing work for the Trump Organization; have you
not?
A Yes, sir.
Q Now, you have been a licensed certified public
accountant for over 40 years; have you not, Mr. Bender?
A Yes. That would be correct, yes.
Q Over 40 years as a certified public accountant;
correct?
Correct, Mr. Bender?
A Yes.
Q And as a certified public accountant, you have got
responsibilities to the public; right?
A I think that's fair.
Q If you put your signature on a document, that's a
document that you know is going to go out to the world; is it
not, Mr. Bender?
A Not necessarily.
Q All right. But as a certified public accountant, you
are certainly in a position to put together financial statements
D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez
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that go out to the world; right, Mr. Bender?
A Out to the world. I think in some cases.
Q In some cases. In some cases. And in fact, you not
only prepared financial statements for the president, you also
prepared his tax returns; did you not, Mr. Bender?
A Yes, I did.
Q You prepared the tax returns of the 45th President of
the United States?
A Yes, sir.
Q Possibly even the 47th President of the United States
Mr. Bender?
MR. WALLACE: Objection. Relevance.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q It's a very serious thing, Mr. Bender, to have that
responsibility, that level of trust, that you were the person
that, for over 35 years, prepared the tax returns?
THE COURT: Asked and answered. Let's move on.
Q You also prepared the tax returns of other members of
the Trump family; did you not?
A Yes, sir.
Q For over 35 years; did you not?
A No, sir.
Q For more than 30 years?
A No, sir.
Q For more than 20 years?
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that go out to the world; right, Mr. Bender?
A Out to the world. I think in some cases.
Q In some cases. In some cases. And in fact, you not only prepared financial statements for the president, you also prepared his tax returns; did you not, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I did.
Q You prepared the tax returns of the 45th President of the United States?

A Yes, sir.
Q Possibly even the 47th President of the United States Mr. Bender?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Relevance. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q It's a very serious thing, Mr. Bender, to have that responsibility, that level of trust, that you were the person that, for over 35 years, prepared the tax returns?

THE COURT: Asked and answered. Let's move on.
Q You also prepared the tax returns of other members of the Trump family; did you not?

A Yes, sir.
Q For over 35 years; did you not?
A No, sir.
Q For more than 30 years?
A No, sir.
Q For more than 20 years?

A I can't answer that.
Q You can't answer that. You can't remember the time when you started preparing tax returns for the first lady?

A The first lady?
Q The First Lady of United States, Ms. Trump?
A When they got married.
Q Oh, okay. When they got married.
And how about other members of the Trump family? Do you remember when you started preparing their tax returns?

A Not off the top of my head, no.
Q Did anyone other than you prepare President Trump's tax returns in the last 30 years, Mr. Bender?

A I'm not sure. I prepared them for 30 years. I would have to go back.

Q Do you have any issues with your memory, Mr. Bender?
A No, sir.
Q You also prepared tax returns for other entities that are ultimately owned by President Trump; did you not?

A Yes, sir.
Q Dozens of entities?
A More than that.
Q More than that. How many more than that, Mr. Bender?
A In a year, it could have been hundreds.
Q Hundreds. You prepared tax returns for hundreds of entities ultimately owned by Mr. Trump; did you not?
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A Yes, sir.
Q And in fact, you testified at your deposition, the last time we met, that many of those flowed up into President Trump's tax returns; did you not?

A Yes -- did I testify to that? I don't know if I testified to that.

Q Well, we can play the tape in a little while.
And isn't it the case, Mr. Bender, that you were a trusted adviser to the Trump Organization?

A I think that I was a trusted adviser. I would hope so.

Q That they consulted you whenever they opened a new entity?

A They did consult me quite often.
Q How often is quite often, Mr. Bender.
A They would usually check to see what type of entity it should be, a partnership or a corporation.

Q So they frequently consulted with you when they created a new entity?

A In that regard, yes.
Q And they frequently consulted with you as to where to put that entity in the corporate structure of the company; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And they also consulted with you, for example, to file
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1
a new entity's tax documents, to get their EIN; right?
2 A No, sir.
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A Yes, sir.
Q And in fact, President Trump and the Trump Organization were loyal clients of yours; were they not?

A I hope so.
Q In fact, they were so loyal to you, Mr. Bender, that in 2004, when the Securities and Exchange Commission censured Weiser, the Trump Organization let you keep their business; did they not?

A I have no knowledge about that.
Q You have no knowledge of the Securities and Exchange Commission censuring Weiser in 2004?

A I know there was something at one point. I don't remember when it was.

Q Do you recall that the SEC called Weiser's conduct highly unreasonable?

A I have no knowledge of that.
Q Do you recall if the SEC called Weiser's conduct improper professional conduct?

A I have no knowledge of that.
Q Do you recall if a gentlemen named Victor Wahba, at the time when he was at Weiser's, was also censured by the SEC?

A I don't remember exactly.
Q Who is Victor Wahba?
A He is the Chairman of Mazars.
Q Mazars?

A Yes. Mazars U.S.A.
Q And that's the accounting firm that you retired from recently; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And you later became a partner at Mazars; did you not?

A When the firm merged in. Yes, I did.
Q And the Trump Organization and President Trump had confidence in you to continue handling their business; did they not?

A When we merged?
Q Yes.
A I was not a partner in Mazars at that point.
Q When President Trump's business went to Mazars, you were no longer the partner in charge of his business?

A Say that again?
Q When President Trump transferred his business to the Mazars firm, you were no longer the partner in charge of his business?

A I was not the partner in charge at the time of the merger, no.

Q Who was the partner in charge?
A Jerry Rosenblum.
Q Mr. Wilson was the partner in charge of the relationship with the Trump Organization?
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A Rosenblum.
Q Rosenblum?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
A May he rest in piece.
THE COURT: I don't know how we got from Wilson to Rosenblum.

MR. SUAREZ: My hearing.
Q When Weiser merged into Mazars, you continued to handle work for the Trump Organization; did you not?

A I continued to be on the account, yes.
Q Okay. And as you testified today and yesterday, you were one of the parties that signed the Statements of Financial Condition compilation reports every year; were you not?

A For a number of years, yes.
Q Okay. According to your testimony from at least 2011 to 2020, was that not the case?

A Yes. That's the case.
Q And during that period of time, President Trump's business was at least half of your billable work at the firm; was it not?
A Close to it, I would say.
Q Close to it. He was your single biggest client, in fact?

A Yes.
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| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad$ Q And companies controlled by Mr. Trump were your single | 1 you adhered to the professional standards promulgated by the |
| 2 biggest client? | 2 American Institute of Certified Public Accountants when you |
| 3 A Yes. | 3 did your work, Mr. Bender; is that not correct? |
| 4 Q And the Trump Organization and President Trump, they | 4 A I tried. |
| 5 paid you a lot of money; didn't they, Mr. Bender? | 5 Q As a matter of fact, you were familiar with those |
| 6 A It was a significant amount. | 6 standards when you prepared the compilation reports, the |
| $7 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ In some years it was more than a million dollars? | 7 Statements of Financial Condition; were you not? |
| 8 A Yes. | 8 A No. |
| $9 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ In some years it was more than \$2 million? | 9 Q Why wasn't the case then, Mr. Bender, that when I |
| 10 A I can't specifically allocate it, but it's possible. | 10 asked you whether the Statements of Financial Condition were |
| 11 Q In fact, in 2015, the Trump Organization and related | 11 prepared in accordance with ASC 274 and I asked you what ASC |
| 12 entities paid Mazars over \$2.1 million; did they not? | 12274 was, you said, not off the top of my head? |
| 13 A I don't know, off the top of my head. | 13 MR. WALLACE: Objection. |
| 14 (Continued on the next page.) | 14 THE COURT: Can I have a read back? It was sort |
| 15 | 15 of a compound question. Read back? |
| 16 | 16 MR. SUAREZ: I can withdraw. |
| 17 | 17 Q |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | $20 \quad \text { A }$ |
| 21 | 21 Q You're familiar with ASC 274, correct? |
| 22 | 22 A I'm not an expert on it. I have some general |
| 23 | 23 knowledge. |
| 24 | 24 Q You have some general knowledge of ASC 274; is that |
| 25 | 25 correct? |
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| 1 Q In 2016, they paid Mazars over a million and a half | 1 A Yes. |
| 2 dollars; did they not? | 2 Q But you're not an expert? |
| 3 A I couldn't tell you. | 3 A I'm lucky enough to be in a firm where the guy next |
| 4 Q Could you tell me, off the top of your head, if in | 4 door to me was an expert on this stuff. So, I had a lot of |
| 52017 the Trump Organization paid Mazars more than | 5 subject matter specialists. We were a large firm and I could |
| 6 \$1.7 million? | 6 always pull resources when needed. |
| 7 A No, I could not. | 7 Q Did you, in fact, pull resources when you were |
| 8 Q Does that figure seem right? | 8 working on the President's Statement of Financial Condition? |
| 9 A I couldn't answer it off the top of my head. | 9 A When needed, yes. |
| 10 Q In 2018 are you aware the Trump Organization paid | 10 Q Did you conduct-- excuse me. Did you consult with |
| 11 Mazars over \$1.6 million? | 11 subject matter experts? |
| 12 A I counts answer that. | 12 A I consulted with quality control or subject matter |
| 13 Q In 2019 the last year you signed the compilation | 13 specialists when I felt I needed help, yes. |
| 14 report, the Trump Organization paid Mazars more than | 14 Q What was the name of the person that you consulted |
| 15 \$2.4 million? | 15 with in connection with preparing the President's Statements |
| 16 A I don't think 2019 was the last compilation report | 16 of Financial Condition? |
| 17 but I could be wrong. | 17 A It would be different people in different years. |
| 18 Q In fact in 2019, they paid you more than 72 percent | 18 Q Okay. Who did you consult within 2020? |
| 19 of the fees that you originated that year at Mazars? | 19 A I couldn't answer that off the top of my head. |
| 20 A I couldn't answer that. | 20 Q Who did you consult with in 2019? |
| 21 Q In all that time, Mr. Bender, I trust that you | 21 A I couldn't answer that. |
| 22 followed your professional responsibilities as a CPA when you | 22 Q Who did you consult with in 2017? |
| 23 performed work for the President; did you not? | 23 A It would be-- these people were the quality control |
| 24 A Yes, sir. | 24 people assigned to the engagement. |
| 25 Q And in all that time, I can assume, Mr. Bender, that | 25 Q What were their names? |

1 A I'd have to check the-- each individual year.
2 Q You can't answer the question?
3 A For any individual year, no, I can't.
4 Q Who did you consult with in 2016?
5 A Off the top of my head, I couldn't answer that question.

Q Who did you consult with in 2015?
A Without my work papers, I couldn't answer that.
Q Who did you consult with in 2014 ?
A I couldn't answer that off the top of my head.
Q Who did you consult with in 2013?
A I can't answer that off the top of my head.
Q Who did you consult with in 2013?
A I can't answer that off the top of my head.
Q Who did you consult with in 2011?
A I can't say that off the top of my head.
Q When you were preparing the-- excuse me. When you were preparing a compilation report for the Statements of Financial Condition for the President of the United States of America, you can't remember who you consulted with in preparing that compilation report?
A That's why binders have sign-off. If I save the sign-off, I can see who the QC person was in any of the reports we prepared.

Q How many compilation reports for Statement of

1 Q I don't know, Mr. Bender. You did the compilation report.

A I need to confirm that would be the last one.
Q Okay. In 2021, did you compile the President's Statement of Financial Condition in 2021?

A I do not believe so. I wouldn't know who we consulted with in 2020.

Q In 2020, when you prepared President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition or-- excuse me. The compilation report to President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition, what authoritative literature did you review?

A I know we had the subject matter specialist and we discussed the -- any issues that were out there.
Q My question was a little bit different. My question was: What literature did you review, in connection with preparing the compilation report to the Statement of Financial Condition, for the leader of the free world?

A We have required check lists and I went through the required check lists that's required by Mazars.

Q Did you check any AICPA guidelines?
A I do not recall.
Q Did you check any notes or commentary for the accounting standards?

A I do not recall.
Q Did you read any literature concerning the applicable
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Financial Condition did you prepare in $2017 ?$
A I couldn't answer that off the top of my head.
Q Did you prepare a compilation report for a Statement
of Financial Condition for any one other than President Trump in 2017?

A I don't know, off the top of my head.
Q Did you prepare a compilation report for anyone other than President Trump for a Statements of Financial Condition in 2018?

A I couldn't answer that off the top of my head.
Q Did you prepare a compilation report to a Statement of Financial Condition for anyone other than President Trump in 2019?

A I couldn't answer that off the top of my head.
Q Did you prepare a compilation report for anyone other than President Trump for a Statement of Financial Condition in 2020?

A I couldn't answer that off the top of my head.
Q In 2020, when President Trump was the leader of the free world and you were preparing the compilation report to his Statement of Financial Condition, you can't remember who you spoke with, or if you prepared a Statements of Financial Condition compilation report for anyone else? That's correct?

A No. 2020 is that the last compilation report we did?
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1 accounting standards?
2 A I'm sure I did but I don't recall.
3 Q During all this time, you held yourself out to 4 President Trump as someone who could ably compile the 5 Statement of Financial Condition?
6 A Yes, sir.
7 Q Was that an accurate representation you made?
A Absolutely.
Q Despite the fact that you just hold yourself out as a generalist?
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| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Yes. | 1 A I don't know about that. |
| 2 A Off the top of my head, he was involved with the | 2 Q They would give you an opportunity to review loan |
| 3 valuation of the property of 57th Street. | 3 agreements before they entered into them? |
| 4 Q What was he valuating? | 4 A Many years ago, they might have. Certain |
| 5 A He was involved with the method of discussions with | 5 paragraphs. |
| 6 the clients. | 6 Q Certain paragraphs? |
| $7 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Excuse me? | 7 A Yes. |
| 8 A He was involved with discussions with clients on the | 8 Q They wouldn't send you loan agreements and ask you, |
| 9 method of valuation. | 9 hey, Mr. Bender, can you review these for us and let us know |
| $10 \quad$ Q What was discussed? | 10 if this is something we can enter into? |
| 11 A At that point, the Nike lease was not renewed and | 11 A I don't think they sent full agreements. I do |
| 12 they were valuing it as being renewed and there were | 12 remember them sending certain paragraphs from time to time. |
| 13 discussions. | 13 Q Do you remember reviewing loan agreements and seeing |
| 14 Q How was that documented? | 14 whether the Trump Organization could comply with certain |
| 15 A I don't know if it was specifically documented. | 15 covenants? |
| 16 Q Anything else? | 16 A Reviewing them before the loan documents were |
| 17 A There were other things, but, I can't recall. | 17 signed? |
| 18 Q How about in 2019? | 18 Q Yes. |
| 19 A I can't tell you who I spoke to in 2019. | 19 A I do not recall at this moment. |
| 20 Q | 20 Q Can you recall reviewing loan agreements after they |
|  | 21 were entered into and giving advice as to whether certain loan |
|  | 22 covenants could be complied with? |
|  | 23 A I don't think they advised. They did, sometimes as |
|  | 24 an order, get a loan covenant. |
|  | 25 Q Provide calculations? |
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| 1 A Or I'd bring somebody in to help me when I couldn't | 1 A I don't know if we provided the calculations or they |
| 2 Q Who did you bring in to help you? | 2 provided the calculations, but, we had discussions at certain |
| 3 A Numerous people over the years. | 3 times. |
| 4 Q Who? | 4 Q So, they could have provided the calculations, if you |
| 5 A I can give you 20 or 30 names. | 5 told them it was correct or not? |
| 6 Q Give me one. | 6 A No, we would have audited. |
| 7 A Andy Cohen. |  |
| 8 Q What did you bring him in on? |  |
| 9 A He would discuss financial statement matters with me | 9 Q For which entries did you perform audit work for the |
| 10 and them. | 10 Trump Organization, Mr. Bender? |
| $11 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ | 11 A It varied over the years, but, it would have |
|  | 12 included Trump Tower Commercial, or its predecessor. In |
|  | 13 early years, Trump Fifth Avenue. It would have been 40 Wall <br> 14 Street, LLC for certain years, not all years. |
| 15 Q How often? | 15 Let's take that back. There was certiorari |
| 16 A I couldn't answer that off the top of my head. | 16 work also and it would have been 57th Street Management for a |
| 17 Q When The Trump Organization called and they had | 17 number of years. I'm sorry. 57th Street Associates LLC. |
| 18 questions about accounting transactions, you'd answer them, | 18 There would have been, I think, a couple of |
| 19 correct? | 19 years, 41 Wabash-- 401 and there might have been certiorari |
| 20 A If I could. | 20 work of certain properties, audit of certiorari work. I |
| 21 Q And, in fact, many times they consulted you before | 21 couldn't tell you which companies, off the top of my head. |
| 22 even engaging in transactions; did they not? | 22 Q In fact, you did audit work for Trump Post Office, |
| 23 A Sometimes. | 23 LLC -- |
| 24 Q They would run transactions by you and ask you to | 24 A Yes, I did. |
| 25 help them understand certain loan terms? | 25 Q -a defendant in this case. You performed audits of |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 another defendant in this case, 40 Wall Street, LLC; did you | 1 A That's all I can recall right this second. |
| 2 not? | 2 Q What was the purpose of visiting these properties? |
| 3 A Certain years yes. | 3 A Sometimes I did work. Sometimes I did Post Office. |
| 4 Q In other years, you performed reviews? | 4 I stayed there. I was there for the opening of Old Post |
| 5 A Yes, sir. | 5 Office. |
| 6 Q | 6 Q Old Post Office is the hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue? |
|  | 7 A Yes, sir. |
|  | 8 Q You were there on the opening of the Old Post Office |
|  | 9 Hotel on Pennsylvania Avenue? |
|  | 10 A Yes. |
|  | $11 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ For what purpose were you there? |
|  | 12 A The Trump Organization invited me to go. |
|  | 13 Q You were a guest of the Trump Organization? |
| You're familiar with the acronym? | 14 A For that night, yes. |
| 15 A Excuse me? | 15 Q |
| 16 Q You're familiar with the acronym? |  |
| 17 A Yes. |  |
| 18 Q You performed audits of 57th Street Associates, LLC? |  |
| 19 A For a number of years. |  |
| 20 Q You performed both audits and compilations of another |  |
| 21 defendant in this case 401 North Wabash, LLC? |  |
| 22 A Yes, we did. | 22 A It changed over the years. I believe, originally he |
| 23 Q You also performed compilations for another defendant | 23 was assistant comptroller then he became comptroller then he |
| 24 in this case, Trump Endeavor 12, LLC; did you not? 25 A Yes. | 24 hit different levels of vice president. I don't know what 25 was the prefix to his vice president was. |
| D. Bender - Defendant/Suarez Page 296 | D. Bender - Defendant/Suarez Page 298 |
| 1 Q What did Trump Endeavor, LLC own? | 1 Q You and Mr. McConney were close friends at one point; |
| 2 A The Doral Hotel and Golf Course in Florida. | 2 were you not? |
| $3 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Mr. Bender, you frequently visited the Trump | 3 A We didn't have a relationship outside of work but we |
| 4 Organization properties? | 4 got along well. |
| 5 A Pre-COVID, a few times a year. | $5 \quad$ Q You got along well? |
| 6 Q Pre-COVID? | 6 A I had tremendous respect for him. He is a very good |
| 7 A Yes. | 7 accountant. |
| 8 Q Those are the suite of offices at Trump Tower on | 8 Q |
| 9 Fifth Avenue; is that correct? |  |
| 10 A Yes. |  |
| 11 Q Did you visit any other Trump properties over the |  |
| 12 years? |  |
| 13 A Yes. |  |
| 14 Q Which ones? |  |
| 15 A I went once to Trump Chicago for a tax exam. I went |  |
| 16 to -- what else? I went to Trump National Golf Course. That |  |
| 17 was for charity events. I went to Lamington Farms a couple |  |
| 18 of times for a tax-- a sales tax exam. I went to Trump |  |
| 19 International Hotel and Tower Association. I was on site |  |
| 20 there. | 20 |
| 21 I guess you could say I was at the Nike |  |
| 22 building because it's connected to Trump Tower. I was at Old |  |
| 23 Post Office a number of times. That's all I can think of off | 23 Q You weren't a stranger to the Trump Organization, |
| 24 the top of my head. | 24 were you? |
| 25 Q Any other properties you can recall visiting? | 25 A No, sir. |

1 Q In fact, you were also invited to the Inaugural; were you not?

A I was invited after it was too late. They missed me. So, I was invited, so I couldn't-- I was not on the original list. I wasn't on the original list at the wedding. They missed me at the wedding. It was last minute.

Gerry Rosenblum, the predecessor partner, mentioned it to them. So, I was invited last minute to the wedding also.

MR. KISE: Can we ask the witness-- because I'm having a hard time hearing as well -- -maybe to just speak a little closer to the microphone, please.

THE COURT: Consider yourself so asked. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Being close to the microphone is really crucial and keeping your voice up.
Q The Trump Organization was always happy to accommodate you; was it not, Mr. Bender?

A What do you mean by accommodate?
Q If you needed information, they provided it to you?
A Until the end, yes.
Q If you needed to speak with people, they'd make them available?

A Yes.
Q If you came over to the offices, they'd give you a
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1 Q You were supplied general ledgers for each entity you were tasked with preparing taxes for?

A Not each entity had a general ledger.
Q To the extent, certainly, that an entity had a
general ledger, it was provided for you in connection with preparing tax returns?

A Yes, sir.
Q Because you need a general ledger to prepare a tax return; do you not?

A I think if the company has a general ledger, generally, you need a general ledger to prepare a tax return.

Q In the last 25 years that you worked with the Trump Organization, there wasn't any other accounting firm that was involved with preparing a Statement of Financial Condition compilation report, was there?

A There was. I don't know what year it was but Arthur Anderson was doing it for a number of years. I couldn't tell you what year.

Q Certainly, not between 2011 and 2020, right, Mr. Bender?
A No, sir.
Q During that period of time, was there any other accounting firm that worked with you on preparing President Trump's tax returns?

A There were other firms that did tax returns for
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## room to sit in?

A There was a conference room, usually.
Q They'd provide you laptops so you can go on and review whatever it was you needed to review?

A Certain members of my team were able to review information, for a few years, on laptops.

Q You were familiar with all the folks at the legal and accounting department of the Trump Organization?

A Significant portion, yes.
Q The People at the Trump Organization trusted you'd give them good advice; did they not?

A You'd have to ask them, but I'd hope so.
Q You'd hope so. In fact, you were often provided with an ability to give input on loan transactions even before they took place?

A That I don't recall right now, other than seeing some loan documents, certain pages of loan documents, as we previously stated.
Q Did you always read everything the folks over at the Trump Organization sent you?

A Everything the Trump Organization sent me, no.
Q In connection with preparing tax returns, you had access to ledgers, I trust?
A The Trump Organization supplied us with general ledgers.
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## Mr. Trump.

Q Which firms were those?
A McGladry or whatever they were called after they merged. There was a firm in California called Ludlow. Something like that. I don't know. A firm that did-- DH Properties Corp. in California. They had a number of firms that did golf courses over a number of years before we took them over.

A lot of them were McGladry over the years before we took them over and McGladry continued to do Mar-a-Lago. There was a gentleman who did some returns over the years, Matthew Dapolito and, obviously, some of the larger companies in the olden days. I don't remember timeframe. Arthur Anderson did some returns, and, Ian Wise did returns in the old days.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A That is either my signature, or an electronic copy |
| 2 | thereof. |
| 3 | Q Okay. And that's your letterhead down there, Mazars |
| 4 | USA, 60 Crossways Park Drive, in Woodbury, New York? |
| 5 | A Yes. |
| 6 | MR. SUAREZ: Can we turn to the next page of this |
| 7 | document? I think we skipped one. Come back. Next page. |
| 8 | Q All right. The first page is the cover, then you have |
| 9 | your independent accountant's compilation report. Is that |
|  | correct? |
| 11 | A Yes, sir. |
| 12 | MR. SUAREZ: And then, turn it over. |
| 13 | Q You have got the Statement of Financial Condition of |
| 14 | Donald J. Trump. |
| 15 | A That's the asset page. |
| 16 | Q And then there's reference there to the independent |
| 17 | accountant's compilation report. Do you see that? |
| 18 | A Yes, sir. |
| 19 | Q All right. And then, in the bottom it says, "The |
| 20 | accompanying notes are an integral part of this financial statement." |
| 22 | A Yes, sir. |
| 23 | MR. SUAREZ: Turn it over. Next page, please. |
| 24 | Q Then the following sheet are the liabilities and net |
|  | worth. Do you see that? | worth. Do you see that?
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A Yes, sir.
Q And you see there it says that there's a loan related to 40 Wall Street; do you not?

A Yes, sir.
Q You see it?
A Yes, sir.
Q And there's a loan related to Trump Park Avenue?
A Yes, sir.
Q And then there are other loans there related to the Trump International Hotel and Tower, and the Old Post Office?

A Yes, sir.
Q In preparing the Statement of Financial Condition, you would have done work to familiarize yourself with the loans that are on this document?

A The Trump Organization would have supplied us a loan statement for those individual loans, in most years.

Q A loan statement. And what would that loan statement contain, Mr. Bender?

A The loan balance as of the date of the --
Q Okay --
MR. SUAREZ: Let's zoom back out, and keep going.
Q And here it's got the notes for the Statement of Financial Condition, and again, a reference to the independent accountant's compilation report. That's your report; correct, Mr. Bender?
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A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: Now, keep going all the way to the end of the document. This is the second to last page. Flip it over. Keep going, to the end of the document. Q And this would be the back page of the document; correct?

A That would be the back cover.
Q The back cover of this document. So, this document would be presented from front cover to back cover?

A Yes.
Q And the back cover, it's got -- what does it say down there? Www.weisermazers.com?

A Yes, sir.
Q So it's presented as a single document with the front and the back page?

A So, I can't speak for this individual year. In the older days, they were bound statements, and they would be bound. There would be a cover and a cover, and there would be the white pages that you have just shown me, would be in between. In later years, I don't know. In 2016, they may only have been PDF-ed.

Q Now, so this would all be bound, in other words?
A In the earlier years.
Q And in the later years, when it was an electronic document like this one that you identified previously, it would
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start with the WeiserMazars logo on its front page, it would have your compliation report, it would go through the financial statement and the notes, and then it would have this page; correct.

A I can't speak to the fact that they might have gotten hard copies in those years.

Q But the digital copy -- you would agree with me that this is a true and correct copy of the documents; correct? I think that's what you testified to earlier?

A Yes.
Q Yes it starts with a Mazars page, and ends with a Mazars page?

A Starts with a cover, the bound the cover. The cover, the statement, and it ends with a cover of the statement.

Q The documents all travel together. All the pages in this document travel together.

A Yes.
Q Nothing is missing?
A No, sir.
Q Let's take a look at engagement letter for this Statement of Financial Condition, which was PX 74 -- excuse me. PX 740. Yes.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we put those up next to each other?
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed


```
MR. WALLACE: Objection, your Honor.
"Any of this," is unclear.
THE COURT: Thank you.
Sustained.
Q You were the only certified public accountant that was involved in determining which exceptions to G.A.A.P. existed.
MR. WALLACE: Objection, your Honor. That wasn't his testimony.
THE COURT: I'm sorry. Say again?
MR. WALLACE: Im saying that determining which exceptions to G.A.A.P. existed was not Mr. Bender's testimony.
Q You were the only certified public accountant that was involved in 2016 in connection with the presentation of this engagement letter in determining which exceptions to G.A.A.P. existed?
```


## MR. WALLACE: Same objection. <br> THE COURT: Overruled.

```
A I did not determine the G.A.A.P. exceptions. The Trump Organizations determined the G.A.A.P. --
Q The Trump Organization determined the G.A.A.P. exceptions, is your testimony, Mr. Bender?
A Yes.
Q Mr. Weisselberg? Is he the one who determined the G.A.A.P. exceptions?
```

A He is the ultimate one responsible.
Q Not my question. I didn't ask who is responsible. I asked who determined what G.A.A.P. exceptions applied?

A The Trump Organization.
Q What individual? The Trump Organization, we agree, is a corporate entity. It doesn't make determinations. It acts through people; right?

A Excuse me?
Q Trump Organization acts through people. Companies don't make decisions.

THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice that corporations and businesses generally have to act through their personnel.
 consulted with me.

Q You are a CPA?
A Yes, sir.
Q You were a CPA when you prepared this?
A Yes, sir.
Q Mr. Weisselberg was not a CPA?
A No, sir.

A He had the knowledge of speaking to us, if he had any questions.

Q And did you ever advise Mr. Weisselberg that there were any other disclosure that should have been included as departures from G.A.A.P.?

A Some of these ones, we did suggest to him.
Q You did? Which ones?
A We had discussions on, as I think I stated yesterday, about the G.A.A.P. exception for cash and marketable securities. When he made that change to the financial statement, we agreed it would have to be a G.A.A.P. exception.

Q You agreed that it was a G.A.A.P. exception?
A Yes, I did.
Q You recommended that it should be a G.A.A.P. exception?

Page 322
A I don't know if we recommended it. It could have been prepared, they prepared the statement without a G.A.A.P. exception.

Q It was changed to your satisfaction; was it not?
A The -- what was changed to my satisfaction?
Q The manner in which they presented it.
A With the G.A.A.P. exception, it met the -- it was appropriate.

wanted at the time -- there was a discussion --
MR. WALLACE: I would just like to note my objection, that if he could let the witness answer the questions before he starts the next question, we would appreciate it.

THE COURT: That is the proper way to proceed. MR. SUAREZ: I will try. I will do.
Q In fact, when you suggested other changes to the Trump Organization, when you had discussions about things that you believed were appropriate, they generally heeded your advice?

A I didn't suggest changes, but there was one other change that they -- they wanted -- they did, in the preparation of their financial statements that I recall, that would have required a G.A.A.P. exception, and there was a discussion about it.

Q There was a discussion about it?
A Yes.
Q So, when you raised an issue with the Trump Organization's compliance with G.A.A.P., there was a discussion about it?

A We talked to them about the G.A.A.P. exception. Yes, sir.

Q And it was resolved to your satisfaction?
A It was resolved to my satisfaction.
Q In fact, I believe yesterday you testified that


A We. Would not have issued a financial statement, if not resolved solved, to your satisfaction?
A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: Let's bring up the management representation letter from that same year, previously
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

Q Mr. Bender, who drafted this letter?
A Mazars.
Q Mazars prepared the management representation letter that's here on the screen?

A We drafted it. Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And you drafted it, because this is something that you wanted; correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q You wanted this piece of paper?
A Yes, sir.
Q And you are the one that put the words on the page here; correct?

A A member of Mazars. Yes.
Q A member of Mazars. And that would be a member of Mazars working under your supervision; would it not be?

A Yes.
Q And that member of Mazars working under your supervision, in the year 2017, would have typed out this letter?

A Somebody in typing would have typed it. Yes.
Q And it would have been sent over to the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q And you would have asked the Trump Organization to sign it?

A They would have reviewed it. If they had questions,

Page 326
they would have come back to us, and we would have discussed any issues on the draft that would be necessary, and if there was a change, we would discuss it.

Q Does this management representation letter that was prepared at your direction contain the word appraisal anywhere in it?

A I would have to read the whole letter.
Q Please read it. It was prepared at your direction.
THE COURT: Is there some way to short circuit
him reading three pages?
MR. SUAREZ: They're his words, your Honor, and I'm asking -- I know --
Q The word appraisal, I don't believe, appears anywhere here, Mr. Bender; does it?

A I have to read the letter.
THE COURT: Does anybody think that the word
appraisal appears in this letter?
Let's assume it doesn't.
Mr. Bender, are you comfortable with that?
THE WITNESS: I'm comfortable, your Honor.
THE COURT: We want you to be comfortable.
Q Nowhere in this letter does the Trump Organization warrant to you that it's given you every appraisal that they have.

A In item three, "We have made available to you all

| D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez Page 327 | D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez Page 329 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 financial records and related data, and any additional | $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ This was not your job, to explain to them, that's |
| 2 information you requested from us, for the purpose of this | 2 something that you wanted? |
| 3 compilation." | 3 A Mr. Weisselberg understood what -- accounting. |
| 4 Q Okay. | 4 Mr. McConney has a lot of expertise in -- |
| 5 A "We have not knowingly withheld from you any financial | 5 Q But they weren't certified public accountants, and you |
| 6 record or related data that, in our judgment, would be relevant | 6 never explained it to them, and you wrote this letter, not them. |
| 7 to your compilation." | 7 MR. WALLACE: Objection. Compound question. |
| 8 Q It doesn't say they have given you appraisals. | 8 THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 9 A It says they made available to us, "All financial | 9 And I hope that the reporter got that. Weren't, |
| 10 records and related data, for the purpose of compilation. We | 10 not, they were. |
| 11 have not knowingly withheld any financial records." | 11 MS. HABBA: She did. |
| 12 In my opinion, that includes appraisals. | 12 MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up the Jeff Supporting |
| 13 Q That, in your opinion, includes appraisals. You wrote | 13 Data for this particular year. That was PX 742. Is that |
| 14 this letter. Why didn't you use the word, appraisals? | 14 right, PX 742? Yes. PX 742. |
| 15 A This is pretty much standard language. | 15 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed |
| 16 Q It's standard language. And this letter was signed | 16 to the witness.) |
| 17 by? | 17 Q This was the Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet. This |
| 18 A Allen Weisselberg and Donald Trump, Jr. | 18 was what was sent to you; correct, Mr. Bender? |
| 19 Q Neither of which is a CPA? | 19 A This is the final version that we used for the |
| 20 A No, sir. | 20 compilation of Mr. Trump's 2016 Statement of Financial |
| 21 | 21 Condition. |
|  | 22 Q PBC. Prepared by client? |
|  | 23 A Yes. |
|  | $24 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ True or not true? |
| 25 A I think they should have understood that, yes. | 25 A Yes. |
| D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez Page 328 | D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez Page 330 |
|  | $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Okay. That's what you said that meant; right? |
|  | 2 A Yes, sir. |
|  | 3 Q All right. So, this is what they sent you? |
|  | 4 A This is -- they may have made changes, as I previously |
|  | 5 stated. They sent it to us, and then they would send us updated |
|  | 6 copies, and updated copies, and this is what their final version |
|  | 7 would have been. |
|  | 8 Q Okay. So this is the supporting data that you used to |
|  | 9 prepare your compilation report; correct? |
|  | 10 A This is the supporting data I used to compile the |
|  | 11 compilation. |
|  | 12 Q Okay. To compile the compilation? |
|  | 13 A Yes. |
|  | 14 Q Which is different, in your mind, from preparing the |
|  | 15 compilation report? |
|  | 16 A Yes. |
|  | $17 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ How is that different? |
| 18 Q So he should have relied on the two guys that weren't | 18 A A preparation is a different level of accounting |
| 19 CPA's? | 19 services than a compilation. I just want to make sure that I |
| 20 A He should have relied on his CFO and his controller, | 20 was using the right language. |
| 21 yes. | 21 Q Okay. |
| 22 Q Should he have relied on their outside accounting | 22 MR. SUAREZ: Now, scroll up here. Can we just |
| 23 firm? | 23 scroll through this compilation report, from top to bottom, |
| 24 A It was not my job to -- they -- in-house reviewed it, | 24 so we can see all the facts and figures that are included? |
| 25 and if they had any questions, they could have come back to us. | 25 Q You would agree, Mr. McConney, that you were required |



| D. Bender - Cross/Suarez Page 335 | D. Bender - Cross/Suarez Page 337 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 1 A I can't tell you what Mr. Trump wanted to know. <br> 2 Q Do you think that is something that President Trump, | 1 THE COURT: We only have four more minutes. <br> 2 Q It goes to the heart of the case. This was someone |
| 3 who relied on you, who relied on Mazars, who was loyal to you | 3 that -I am emotional about it because it's not funny because |
| 4 for all those years, would have wanted to know? | 4 the Leader of the Free World, who was keeping us safe, who was |
| 5 MR. WALLACE: Objection at this poi | 5 keeping this county safe, relied on you, Mr. Bender, not to |
| 6 Testifying about what Mr. Trump relied on and wanted. | 6 screw this up, and, you screwed it up? |
| 7 THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow it one more | 7 A No, I did not. |
| 8 time. Go ahead. You want to ask it again? | $8 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Okay. You just missed it? |
| 9 MR. SUAREZ: Yes | 9 A We were engaged to do a compilation and the |
| 10 THE COURT: Go ahead | 10 compilation, as we discussed earlier, does not require us to |
| 11 Q Do you think the Leader of the Free World would have | 11 outline the compilations and we met our compilation, what was |
| 12 wanted to know that you had been given information that his | 12 required for a compilation. |
| 13 triplex apartment had been stated at a different square | 13 Q You met all that was required for the compilation and |
| 14 footage and reduced by $2 / 3$ in the year 2017? | 14 that includes missing 20,000 square feet is something that was |
| 15 Do you think that is something that the man who | 15 not required for you to disclose to your client? |
| 16 paid you millions of dollars a year would have wanted to know? | 16 A If you-- we missed it. |
| 17 A I can't speak for Mr. Trump. | 17 Q You missed it. Now, he's sitting here today, his |
| 18 Q Okay. Let me ask you, if we go back to 753, | 18 company is going through hell. Tens of thousands of employees |
| 19 Exhibit 753. In Exhibit 753, you made a promise to Mr. Trump | 19 are going through hell because you missed it? |
| 20 in your engagement letter, were you promised that your | 20 MR. WALLACE: Objection. |
| 21 engagement cannot be relied upon to identify or disclose any | 21 A No, because they put the wrong number. They |
| 22 financial misstatements, including those caused by fraud or | 22 misrepresented the numbers on their da |
| 23 error, or to identify or disclose any wrongdoing or | 23 Q You never called and told him about |
| 24 noncompliance with laws and regulations. However, we will | 24 A We did no |
| 25 inform you of material errors and any evidence or information | 25 Q When you went to the White House -- you went to the |
| D. Bender - Cross/Suarez Page 336 | D. Bender - Cross/Suarez Page 338 |
| 1 that comes to our attention during the performance of our 2 procedures that fraud may have occurred. | 1 White House, right? He invited your son to the White House. <br> 2 You mentioned to him, hey, we missed it? |
| 3 Do you think that $2 / 3$ of his townhouse | 3 MR. WALLACE: At this point, can he please let |
| 4 disappearing, of the triplex disappearing, from 2017 to 2018 | 4 the witness answer the question he is asking? |
| 5 is not something that you should have said to the Leader of | 5 THE COURT: He's already answered it. So, I |
| 6 the Free World? | 6 don't know if that matters. Counselor, I think he's |
| 7 A Did not come to our attenti | 7 already said he did not tell them. So, you don't have to |
| 8 Q It did not come to your attention? Oops. Sorry, I | 8 ask him whether he did not tell them when he was at The |
| 9 screwed it up? | 9 White House. He said he didn't tell them. |
| 10 MR. WALLACE: Objection at this point. That's | 10 Q Was making this mistake the reason Mazars forced you |
| 11 not a question. | 11 to retire? |
| 12 Q Mr. Bender | 12 A No. |
| 13 THE COURT: Just questions, not commentary. | 13 Q You were planning to retire anyway? |
| 14 A We didn't screw it up. The Trump Organization made | 14 A The partnership agreement of Mazars requires you to |
| 15 a mistake, and, we didn't catch it | 15 retire at 65. |
| 16 Q You didn't catch it? | 16 Q That's why you went to the President mortified? |
| 17 A The Trump Organization | 17 That's why you went and apologized for having made this |
| 18 Q You didn't catch it in '18. You didn't catch it in | 18 mistake? |
| 19 '19. You didn't catch it in '20. All those years, you didn't | 19 MR. WALLACE: Objection. If he wants to include |
| 20 catch it, you never came to him and said, sorry, | 20 something about a conversation of Mr. Bender and the |
| 21 Mr. President. I made a mistake. I didn't catch it. | 21 President, he can ask a question about it. He cannot |
| 22 All of those years, millions of dollars in fees | 22 testify. |
| 23 later and you didn't catch it? | 23 THE COURT: Considering this is |
| 24 MR. WALLACE: Objection. We covered this ground | 24 cross-examination, obviously -- I'll leave it at that. |
| 25 multiple times now. | $25$ <br> MR. WALLACE: Can I at least raise a foundation |

objection?
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q So, Mr. Bender.
MR. SUAREZ: Yes, sir?
THE COURT: So, you'll ask it again or you'll --
MR. SUAREZ: It's been asked. Overruled. I
don't know. Does he have anything to say for himself?
MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: You can't ask him does he have
anything to say for himself.
MR.SUAREZ: In response to the last question,
you overruled the objection. He's sitting there.
Q You missed it.
A I never went to Mr. Trump mortified. I was never
mortified.
Q You lost your biggest client, the client that
literally made your entire professional reputation, Mr.
Bender. Your entire identity was being the big accountant for
the powerful Trump Organization for the President of the
United States and you blew it.
MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Let's get into 2017 then.
THE COURT: Two-minute warning.
Q PX-755 let's see what else. This is the compilation
report for 2017, Mr. Bender. Mazars, that's your logo on the
front page, right?
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. It's not the Trump Organization logo?
A No, sir.
Q They're not the ones taking credit for this, you are?
A Mazars compiled the financial statement.
Q You know, Mr. Bender, what I think bears repeating
for the Court. The Jeff spreadsheets weren't attached to this
document that went between the two Mazars logos, right?
A No, sir.
Q No. It was just the Statement of Financial Condition
and your compilation report, correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And the notes?
A Notes are considered part of the accountant's --
notes are considered part of the Statement of Financial
Condition.
Q The notes that are considered part of the Statement
of Financial Condition are also discussed in your accountant's
compilation report, correct?
A Repeat the question, please.
Q The notes that form part of a Statement of Financial
Condition are also referenced in your independent accounting
compilation report; are they not?
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THE COURT: If this was Broadway, we'd be having a long rum here. I think we're getting the photographers.
(Photos taken.)
THE COURT: They do this every day. I mean, they look the same.

Is the defense going to recall Donald Bender?
MR. SUAREZ: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: It's a little confusing. There are two Donald's. Okay, let's get him in.

MR. SUAREZ: Good morning, your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning.
MR. SUAREZ: I've taken heed to a request to speak more slowly today. I also understand that it's pronounced, in the great State of New York, triplex.

MR. KISE: In the south, it's just called apartment.

THE COURT: Chris, do you have triplexes down there?

MR. KISE: That's why it's called an apartment, no.

THE COURT: Welcome to New York City.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom.)
THE COURT: I'll remind the witness, as I always do, that he's still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Counselor, whenever you're ready, please proceed.
CROSS-EXAMINATION (Cont'd)
BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q Mr. Bender, good morning.
A Good morning.
Q Yesterday we were taking a look at Plaintiffs
Exhibit 758; and, I just wanted to thank you for acknowledging
the mistake, the change in value of the triplex from 327 million to 116 million.

MR. SUAREZ: If you can please pull up the 2016 and 2017 Statements of Financial Condition.
Q I just wanted to walk you, Mr. Bender, through both statements, the June 30, 2016 statement, Plaintiff's
Exhibit 756 with Mazars and WeiserMazars logo on the front page and then Plaintiff's Exhibit 755, which is the Statement of Financial Condition, Mazars USA logo on the bottom.

Mr. Bender, between 2016 and 2017, I take it,
Mazars stopped using the WeiserMazars and just started referring to Mazars?
A Yes, our name changed many times over the years.
Q If you can please go to the next page, on both documents. The 2016 Statement of Financial Condition was dated March 10, 2017; is that correct?
A Yes, sir.
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| :---: | :---: |
|  | Q Just a few months later, in October of 2017, the same |
| 2 | year, the 2017 Statement of Financial Condition was issued. |
| 3 | Is that your signature there on October 30, |
| 4 | 2017? |
| 5 | A It's either mine or electronic copy thereof. |
| 6 | Q Okay. This was a particularly interesting year |
|  | because President Trump assumed the Presidency on January of |
| 8 | 2017, correct? |
| 9 | A Yes, sir. |
| 10 | Q And for that reason, there was an understandable |
| 11 | delay producing the compilation report for the 2016 Statement |
| 12 | of Financial Condition? |
| 13 | A You'd have to confirm that with the Trump |
| 14 | Organization. It was just a guess yesterday. |
| 15 | Q You would agree the Trump Organization, on its own, |
| 16 | changed the value of the triplex. It wasn't prompted by |
| 17 | anybody to do it? |
| 18 | A It wasn't prompted by Mazars. |
| 19 | Q Okay. Moving on. Did you have an opportunity last |
| 20 | night to speak to anybody about your testimony? |
| 21 | A I spoke to counsel last night. |
| 22 | Q Did you speak to anyone from the New York Attorney |
| 23 | General's Office? |
| 24 | A No, sir. |
| 25 | Q Did your counsel speak to anyone from the New York |

agree.
MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we're providing the Attorney General's Office a copy of an exhibit we're going to use solely for impeachment. It bears the Bates labeled Mazars DANY GJS 0003106, and, I'd like to ask Mr. Bender if he recognizes this document.

THE WITNESS: Are we finished with this one?
MR. SUAREZ: You can set that down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you.
A Yes, I recognize it.
Q All right. You see this is a Weiser Engagement
Continuance Form?
A Yes, sir.
Q What does this document represent?
A This is a part of our client acceptance process,
client continuance process in this case.
Q This was for Statement of Financial Condition
prepared June 30, 2011. You see on the right-hand corner date of financial statement?

A I guess so, yes.
Q Okay. Do you see where it says "partner"?
A Yes.
Q Is that your name, Donald Bender?
A Yes.

```
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    Attorney General's Office?
    A You'd have to speak to them.
    Q Are you aware if your counsel spoke to anyone from
    the New York Attorney General's Office?
    A I don't recall any conversation with counsel last
    night.
    Q All right. Moving on. Yesterday --
        MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up the testimony from
        yesterday.
        Q We had a discussion about whether you were the
    relationship partner in charge of the Trump Organization when
    Weiser merged into Mazars, correct?
        A Yes, sir.
        Q You told me there was a gentleman named Gerry
    Rosenblum that was the partner in charge for a period of time?
    A For a number of years.
    Q Okay, for a number of years.
            MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up the 2011 engagement
        report.
            MR. KISE: Just to make a record observation.
        Sorry. I want to be clear, the fact they were pointing
        to documents that are in evidence now doesn't waive our
        objection as to the Statute of Limitations. I mean,
        we're working with the record as it exists.
            THE COURT: All right. I understand and I
```

D. Bender - Cross/Suarez

Page 349
Q Is Mr. Rosenblum's name on there? A No, sir.

MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up the 2012. Your Honor, I will move this document into evidence solely for impeachment purposes.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence solely for impeachment purposes.

MR. WALLACE: As we're evaluating whether this comes in for impeachment, what is the testimony this was impeaching? Can you give us a specific date?

THE COURT: And who was the partner?
MR. SUAREZ: At the time, Gerry Rosenblum.
MR. WALLACE: I wanted to see the date.
MR. SUAREZ: He said at the time of the Mazars transition and we'll move now to Mazar's years when Gerry Rosenblum --

MR. WALLACE: I'm not sure that it established how this impeaches his testimony.

MR. SUAREZ: Mr. Bender testified yesterday that at the time that Weiser merged into Mazars, Gerry Rosenblum was the partner in charge of the Trump Organization. The Engagement Continuance Reports showed that Mr. Bender is the only person listed as a partner in connection with the engagement in these reports for the Trump Organization.

MR. WALLACE: I guess I wasn't aware of when this merger was or what the date is and so how this is impeaching --

MR. SUAREZ: We're getting there. It's got Weiser. I'm showing 2012, which will show the dates that Mr. Bender is the only one on there. 2016 will show only Mr. Bender on there.

MR. WALLACE: I understand it's impeaching the statement at the time, I guess, of the merger. I'll confess for the record, I did not know when that merger occurred and why this document impeaches his prior testimony.

THE COURT: Just to give perspective on this, there's no jury here. I don't think it matters that much whether somebody was the lead partner or is not the lead partner, who signed it. I think it's easiest just to move on.

MR. WALLACE: I'm happy to move on. My objection is overruled, I guess, your Honor.

THE COURT: Take a hint.
MR. SUAREZ: This is now WeiserMazars engagement continuance report, your Honor; and, the Engagement Continuance Report that I would like to show the witness for impeachment purposes, relates to the financial statement dated June 30, 20127, and I'd like to ask the
witness if he recognizes this document.
THE COURT: You're being asked if you recognize that document.

THE WITNESS: I don't have the document in front of me.
(Handing.)
A Thank you. Yes, I do.
Q Do you see who the partner that's listed for this
engagement is?
A Yes.
Q You see where the client is?
A Yes, I do.
Q Who is the client?
A Donald J. Trump.
Q Who is the partner?
A Iam.
Q Okay. Does this refresh your recollection as to
whether you or Mr. Rosenblum were in charge of the account at the time that Weiser merged into Mazars?
A Okay. So, to make everybody understand, Weiser has had five different names. We merged about 22 to 25 years ago. I think about 23 years ago. Our original name-- excuse me if I miss any- was MRWeiser then I think we became Weiser LLP, then we became WeiserMazars, and then we did Mazars USA.

It's all the same firm. We just changed our
name and we merged about 23 years ago.
At that time we merged, I was a junior partner in the firm, and, Gerry Rosenblum was the senior partner at the firm.

Q At what time was Mr. Rosenblum the partner in charge of President Trump's account?
A I couldn't tell you -- it started, he was
probably -- he was. I was not senior partner of the firm until 13, 14 years ago. He was still more the senior than me in the firm, but, I would say I probably took over somewhere about 20 years ago as the lead partner from -- I was still considered the lead partner on the account but he was the senior partner, and I was still junior partner in the firm.
Q You were the lead partner in the account and Mr. Rosenblum was senior to you?
A Mr. Rosenblum, when we merged, was senior partner and in charge of the account. A number of years later, he transferred a lot of the work to me, but, he was still senior partner, and I was junior partner of the firm.
Q But you were the partner in charge?
A We shared it together for a number of years, but, it was, you know, we co-shared the work.

Q You co-shared the client, but, to be sure, in 2011, Mr. Rosenblum wasn't your copartner on this engagement?
A No, sir.
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Q Nor was he in 2012?
A No.
MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up 2016. Your Honor, I would move this into evidence for impeachment purposes solely.

THE COURT: The Attorney General just told me they have no objection.

MR. WALLACE: Certainly not on Statute of Limitations, your Honor.
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Bender?
A Can I see the original, please?
MR. SUAREZ: This document has been premarked by defendants as Exhibit 949.
Q The Mazars logo up top?
A Please let me read it.
Q Take your time.
A Thank you.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is this document?
A This is the Engagement Continuance Form for the
Trump Organization for 2016.
Q Okay. Who's the partner in charge here?
A Iam.
Q Who's the manager?

1 A Jennifer Safran.
2 Q Who's Jennifer Safran?
3 A My senior manager and later became partner.
4 Q Then she became your partner?
5 A Yes.
THE COURT: Counsels, let's have a real quick side-bar. As I like to call it, front bar.
(Side-bar discussion held off the record.)
Q Mr. Bender, in connection with this document, I'd
just like to give you an opportunity to refresh your
recollection. The page bearing Mazars DANY GKS 01201998. What you have in front of you.

Do you see that, 01998 ?
A Yes, sir.
MR. WALLACE: We want to note our objection is that he's refreshing his recollection for a document that he hasn't established that he doesn't have a recollection to be --

THE COURT: That is the rule. You can't refresh a recollection if the person doesn't recollect.
Q Mr. Bender, yesterday I asked you how many
engagements the Trump Organization-- you had done for the
Trump Organization over the years and you weren't quite sure if it was 11,000 or another number?

A Yes, sir.
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Q I'd like to run through the WeiserMazars LLP work in process realization, by client, for the Trump Organization from January 1, 2016, to December 31, 2016, which starts on page one of sixteen.

I'd like you, Mr. Bender, to note the number of entities, different entities controlled by the Trump
Organization that are listed here in the first page. I would ask you if these were all entities that you provided services for in the year 2016?

A These are all entities that Mazars provided services
for. Some of them on this page might be independent
condominium associations that were not controlled by the
Trump Organization but these are all entities that were work that Mazars worked on or somebody worked on in 2016.

Q These were all grouped here January 11, 2017 DJT-1, Donald J. Trump, Bender.

That grouping would mean that these were all the entities that you considered were part of the client group for President Trump, correct?
A These are all clients that we considered as one billing group for accounting purposes.

Q As one billing group. You'd agree with me on this
23 page there's at least-- and by no means do I want to spend the
24 Court's time counting each of these, but, there's at least two
25 dozen entities on this page?

1 A That's fair.
2 Q Then I'd like to scroll through so the Court can see and see if we can refresh your recollection, Mr. Bender.

Over the first 16 pages, there's about two dozen
entities on each page, maybe more. These were all entities that you and WeiserMazars provided accounting services for just in the year on 2016; is that correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q So, would you agree with me, roughly, that over, I don't know-- what's the math? Twenty-five, twenty-four entities per page, over 16 pages?
A Approximately.
Q Do you know what that math works out to?
A 203.
Q 203?
A Could be. I'm on my phone. Roughly, 200.
Q Roughly, 200 entities. All right, 200 entities in
one year that you provided engagements for; is that correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q You provided work for the Trump Organization for a period of 30 years?

A Yes, sir.
Q Does that refresh your recollection as to how many separate individual engagements you may have undertaken for the Trump Organization?
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A In the early years, I only worked on one engagement, Trump Equitable Fifth Avenue. I didn't work on these other engagements.

When Mr. Trump's business was strong, the number of entities that were being performed, I don't remember, somewhere between 50 to 100 entities a year.

So, I didn't work on earlier years. Earlier years most of these entities didn't exist.

Q So, in 2016 you did your work for over 200 entities --

MR. ROBERT: I'm having a difficult time hearing. I can't understand.

THE COURT: Mr. Bender, right into it. Slowly.
Q In the year 2016 there were, at least, over 200
entities that you were performing services for President Trump and the Trump Organization?
A Yes, sir. Including outside entities but he didn't control.
Q That were all grouped under --
A For billing purposes.
Q All right. We'll continue going through there all the way to page 16.

So, Mr. Bender, and the Court, can see all of the different entities that Mr. Bender provided work for grouped under President Trump's billing code. We can stop

see who prepared it.
MR. WALLACE: At this point, can we note an objection to relevance. I'm not sure how this relates to any issue that needs to be decided in this case.

THE COURT: Offer of proof. What is this showing?

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, this is showing that Mr. Bender, who signed all 12 however many Statements of Financial Condition that were introduced by the Attorney General on Monday may not have seen or done all the work.

Just because it has his signature on it, doesn't mean that he's actually the person that prepared it.

MR. WALLACE: I believe he's already testified to that. So, I'm not sure how this is impeachment. It sounds like maybe he is trying to refresh his recollection about the details of engagement but I still fail to see the relevance.

THE COURT: I'll be charitable and let-- give him some latitude but I see the plaintiff's point.

MR. SUAREZ: All right.
THE COURT: Let me just say, I think you said just because it says it's from him, doesn't mean it's from him.

That's not his testimony, as I understand it. Just because it says it's from him, he wasn't the only
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person that worked on it. Is that correct, Mr. Bender?
THE WITNESS: Yes, these forms would have been submitted in certain years to the Executive Committee. So, people could help me prepare. A partner doesn't normally do client acceptance continuance. There's staff that prepares these forms.

THE COURT: If it says it's from you, it's still from you?

THE WITNESS: The final responsibility is mine, your Honor.
$Q$ The final responsibility is yours?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So, the final responsibility is yours. We got that. Okay.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I would ask to move in D-949 in evidence.

THE COURT: There's no objection. So, yes. It's in evidence.

MR. SUAREZ: 2017. Pull 2017, the Engagement Continuance Form, if we have it. We'll come back to that later. That's fine. Okay, let's pull up premarked Defendant's 27.
Q Do you see here what this document is, Mr. Bender, and we'll certainly give the Attorney General a copy.
A This copy -- can I have a paper copy, please? Yes,
20
21
22
23
24
25

```
    sir.
sir.
```

    Q Do you recognize this document?
    A It appears to be an FASB.
    Q I think you would agree that the Statements of
    Financial Condition were prepared in accordance with ASC 274 ?
    A I would have to check with experts to see. I'm not
    an expert on these matters, so I would have to check.
    Somebody -- it's a matter that I'd reach out to inhouse
    experts on.
    Q So, whether ASC 274-- when determining whether ASC
    274 applies to the President's Statement of Financial
    Condition, that's a subject matter you'd have to check with an
    expert?
        A I'm not on top of these FASB's today in my
    retirement mode.
    Q Okay. If we can please move to page 10 of this
    document. Excuse me. Page 13.
        (Continued on following page..)
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Q Do you recognize this document?
A It appears to be an FASB.
Q I think you would agree that the Statements of
Financial Condition were prepared in accordance with ASC 274?
A I would have to check with experts to see. I'm not an expert on these matters, so I would have to check.
Somebody -- it's a matter that I'd reach out to inhouse experts on.
Q So, whether ASC 274-- when determining whether ASC 274 applies to the President's Statement of Financial Condition, that's a subject matter you'd have to check with an expert?
A I'm not on top of these FASB's today in my retirement mode.
Q Okay. If we can please move to page 10 of this document. Excuse me. Page 13.
(Continued on following page..)
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Q Do you see at the top where it says estimated current value, Mr. Bender. Is that a concept you are familiar with?

A Somewhat. Again, I'm not an expert on --
Q You are not an expert on estimated current value?
A Not today; no.
Q Were you ever an expert on estimated current value?
A I wouldn't say I was ever an expert on it, no.
Q Now, this section on estimated current value, this
lays out the implementation guidelines; correct?
A It appears so.
Q Can you read what 55-3 says?
A "In determining estimated current value of some
assets," parenthesis - thank you, "for example, works of art, jewelry, restricted security investments, and closely held company -- closely held businesses and real estate," close parenthesis, "the person may need to consult a specialist."

Q Okay. So in determining estimated current values, the implementation guidance considers that you may need to consult a specialist; correct?
A It's saying that you may need to consult a specialist, but this was a compilation that did not require me to -- I was not engaged to do that type of work.
Q And in connection with preparing a compilation report for a Statement of Financial Condition, did you need to have an understanding as to what estimated current value was?

A I had an understanding of what it was.
Q Did you have an understanding as to how estimated current value applied to real estate?

A I had somewhat of an understanding, yes.
Q Did you consult with specialists to inform your understanding as to how estimated current value applies to real estate?

A I may have discussed matters over the years with Andy Cohen. I don't recall.

Q Well yesterday, Mr. Bender, you said that you had discussed, with Andy Cohen, I believe it was the NikeTown lease.

A You are right. Among other things.
Q Okay. But at your deposition, when we asked you about whether you had ever consulted with a specialist on estimated current values, you said you did not recall.

A I don't -- I didn't recall at that time, and I didn't say -- I said I may have consulted with Andy Cohen, over the years.

Q Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, may I play a clip from his deposition?

THE COURT: Sure.
Q Do you recall your deposition on April 7, 2023?
A Yes, I do.
MR. SUAREZ: Can you please play the Andy Cohen?
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(Whereupon, a video was played.)
MR. WALLACE: I'm just going to object. That doesn't impeach. It's a different question than he was just asking.

THE COURT: The rule is, you can only refresh your recollection if the person doesn't recall. I think I said it the opposite, last time. So again, there's no jury. Tell me what the point is.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, he didn't recall at his deposition whether he had discussed estimated current values with Andy. Yesterday he agreed that he had consulted Andy Cohen for estimated current values. Today, he is not a specialist in determining what estimated current value is, despite the fact that ASC 274 requires him to consult with a specialist if he doesn't know it, and today he doesn't recall if he consulted with a specialist or not.

MR. WALLACE: The testimony that he showed was a question about whether he consulted with an expert on the difference between estimated current value and fair value. It was not on current estimated value, standing alone, which is the question he has been asking Mr. Bender this morning, so it's not impeachment. If he want to probe that area, we have no objection.

THE COURT: This is starting to sound like, how
many angels can dance on the head of a pin.
Objection overruled. Let's just keep moving.
Q Is there a difference between estimated current value and fair value?

A There is a difference. Yes.
Q And are you familiar with the differences?
A Estimated current value delineates -- there's five
standards, five or six methodologies, that are suggested here -MS. HABBA: I'm sorry. I have to say, I can't understand anything Mr. Bender says.
A There are five or six methodologies that are
delineated here that can be used to estimate a current value.
Q And are you an expert in those different areas?
A No, I'm not.
Q All right. Do you understand how estimated current value is different than fair value?

A I understand it, to a certain extent.
Q Okay. What is your understanding, to a certain extent, of what the difference is?

A Estimated current value would use these five, $A$
through E, items. Their value, fair market value, would be what a willing buyer or seller would use. That would be fair market value, and that's the main difference I can think of, right now.

Q So in your mind, there's a difference?
A Excuse me?
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Q In your mind, there is a difference?
A Between fair market value and estimated current value? Yes.

Q And is estimated current value more flexible than fair value?

A I would say so.
Q And is it correct that there's no one generally
accepted way to determine the estimated current value of an asset?

A I believe so.
Q And would you have consulted a specialist in determining whether it was appropriate to use any particular basis for establishing estimated current value of an asset?

A The 57th Street example I gave yesterday would be an example that I can think of today, that we consulted.

Q Any others?
A I'm just going through a balance sheet in my head.
Q That's President Trump's balance sheet?
A Statement of Financial Condition.
Not that I can think of, off the top of my head.
Q You have the statement, President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition in your head?

A No, but I saw parts of it yesterday. I know what assets he owned, generally.

Q All right. Let's turn to 55-6, on page 14.

Do you see where, 55-6b, it says, "Information that may be used in terminating -- in determining estimated current values of investments in real estate, including lease holds includes any of the following," and b says that, "Discounted amounts of projected cash receipts and payments relating to the property, or the net realizable value of the property, based on planned courses of action, including lease holds whose current rental value exceeds the rent in the lease."

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q Do you know what realizable value of the property, based on planned courses of actions, means?

A I would need to consult an expert, before I gave testimony.

Q In connection with preparing President Trump's
Statement of Financial Condition, did you ever consult an expert to understand what that meant?

A I don't recall, at this moment.
Q Okay. Moving on.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up page 293 from yesterday's testimony.
Q Do you see when I asked you yesterday, Mr. Bender: "They --" referring to the Trump
Organization "-- would give you an opportunity to review
loan agreements before they entered into them?"

And you responded:
"Many years ago they might have, certain paragraphs."
A Yes, sir.
Q And I said:
"Certain paragraphs?"
And you said:
"Yes."
And you responded -- and I continued to ask you:
"They wouldn't send you loan agreements and ask
you, Hey, Mr. Bender, can you review these for us and let us know if this is something you can enter into?"
A Yes.
Q And you responded:
"I don't think they sent full agreements. I do remember seeing certain paragraphs, from time to time."
A Yes.
Q All right. I would like to show you a document to see if it refreshes your recollection as to whether documents were sent to you by the Trump Organization while they were still being negotiated for your review, and we have marked, or we have -- and we'll offer, for impeachment, a document bearing
Bates label Mazars DANYGJS7O4 -- excuse me -- 01432223.
A Are we finished with this document?
Q You can set it aside.

|  | Page 370 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. WALLACE: I'm sorry. That was a production |
| 2 | number you were reading? You said Bates. I thought you |
| 3 | said documents -- |
| 4 | MR. SUAREZ: No. Just a Bates label. Mazars |
| 5 | DANYGJS. |
| 6 | (Whereupon, a document was handed to the |
| 7 | witness.) |
| 8 | Q Do you recognize this document? |
| 9 | A Not particularly. |
| 10 | Q Do you see where it says, "From Allen Weisselberg to |
| 11 | Donald Bender," at the top? |
| 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | Q Would Mr. Weisselberg send you emails? |
| 14 | A Excuse me? |
| 15 | Q Would Mr. Weisselberg send you emails? |
| 16 | A Yes, sir. |
| 17 | Q And they would have documents attached to them? |
| 18 | A Yes. From time to time. |
| 19 | Q Do you see here, "Forward OPO loan agreement?" |
| 20 | A Yes, sir. |
| 21 | Q Do you see where it says, "JDG notes on BL final Doral |
| 22 | loan agreement to OPO loan agreement?" |
| 23 | A Excuse me. Say that again, please? |
| 24 | Q Do you see where the attachments up top -- |
| 25 | A Yes. |
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Q - say, "GDG notes -- JDG notes on BL final Doral loan agreement to OPO loan agreement?"
A Yes.
Q Does this document refresh your recollection on being shown loan agreements prior to their being entered into?

And you can review the attachment to the document. We can pull it up on the screen and scroll through.

A I remember from time to time, looking at certain pages or paragraphs. I remember Jason Greenblatt, chief counsel for Trump, would drive me nuts. He would send me only two or three pages of a loan agreement. I never reviewed any full loan agreements, prior to them being signed, to the best of my recollection.

Q Okay. But after they were signed, did you have an opportunity to review the loan agreement?
A We would look at the loan agreement, if it would be required information for a footnote disclosure on a financial statement.

Q And what would you do with the loan agreement, when you had to review a footnote disclosure on a financial statement?

A Certain sections of the -- of the loan agreement would be looked at to discuss things like terms of a loan, payment, interest rates, information that we would need to write a footnote.

Q Okay. So you would look at the whole loan agreement, and it wasn't in your possession?

A Excuse me?
Q You would see the whole loan agreement, and it would be sent to you?

A I would have the whole loan agreement. I wouldn't review the whole loan agreement.

Q Okay. So, just because something was sent to you doesn't mean you reviewed it?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Yesterday, I asked you, the Trump
Organization -- if you were the in-house accountant at the Trump Organization.

A Yes, sir.
Q And I think we discussed whether you were the only certified public accountant.

A I'm not --
MR. WALLACE: Objection.
Q Whether you were the only certified public accountant that interacted with the folks that prepared the Statements of Financial Condition.

## THE COURT: Overruled.

A I believe you did ask me that, yesterday.
Q And we established that, in fact, I believe you were?
A No. In hindsight, I do realize that they may have had

Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez
Page 373
some CPAs in the Trump Organization who worked on portions of the financial statement, from year to year.

Q Do you recall who they were?
A I know some schedules were prepared by Mark Hawthorne from the Hotel Group, so they did have a CPA in house. At least, he did it, I think. He's all I remember ever discussing a schedule with.

MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up a document bearing Mazars DANYGJS01487514.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q And first, Mr. Bender, do you recognize this document?
A No, I do not.
Q From Mazars, DANYGJS01487514?
A Yes.
Q Is this a document that was sent to you?
A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Can you turn to the last page of this document?
Q Do you see where it says intraoffice memorandum?
A Yes.
Q Within the office?
A Yes.
Q And who is the first person there, that's listed?
A I guess the Trump Organization doesn't know I'm not on
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you produced the compilation report, did you see this?
A Yes, I did.
Q And what did you do with it?
A I -- me or a member of my team appears to have cross referenced it to worksheet 4800.01 and 4800.01a. It appears they also did some math checks.

Q Okay. So you did see it?
A Me or a member of my team. I would have to check the sign-offs.

Q Sitting here today, do you recall if you saw it?
A Did I see the schedule? I'm sure I saw the schedule.
Q Did you see the basis for valuing Trump Tower when you prepared the compilation report?

A I believe so.
Q Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: Scrolling down to the next asset.
Stop.
Q Do you see there, NikeTown, when you prepared the compilation report for NikeTown, did you see the basis for the asset value ascribed to NikeTown?

A I can't say if I saw every one, without looking at the sign-offs, but it -- I need to confirm the sign-offs, but I believe I would have seen it.

Q You believe you would have seen --
A I believe I would have seen it. I can't say I looked
at every line in this worksheet, no.
Q Okay. So you didn't look at every line of the spreadsheet, and you are not sure if you saw it.

A I would need to see the sign-offs in the binder to confirm who worked on it.

Q But you took responsibility for everything that was in the compilation report; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q All right. So either you or a member of your team would have seen this?

A Yes.
Q But you don't recall if you saw it?
A I don't recall if I saw it, in 2015, line-by-line.
No.
Q Okay. Line-by-line. Let's go to the next asset. Do you see where it lists the basis of valuation for 40 Wall Street in this document?

A Let me just adjust my chair, so everybody is happy. Yes, I do.
Q Did you see it at the time that you prepared the compilation report, in 2015?

A I can't say if I looked at every line of it, but I'm sure I saw a portion of it.

Q Okay. What portion of it might you have seen?
A I don't recall, today.
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Q Okay. Would you have seen where the asset value was calculated, based on an NOI?
A Yes, sir.
Q Would you have seen where a cap rate was applied?
A I presume so, but I would have to check the sign-offs on my work papers to confirm who worked on the work.

Q So you are not sure if you saw it or not?
A I would have to double check, but I believe I would have probably seen it.

Q You probably did see it. Okay.
Let's go to the next asset, Trump Park Avenue. Did you see that?

A Again, I would have to confirm who worked on it, and I would have to check the sign-offs in the binder.

Q So, you are not sure if you saw it in 2015?
A I can't be sure what lines I looked at on the worksheet, or what lines I did not look at on the worksheet.

Q How about the club facilities, there. I think the first one is the Mar-A-Lago club. Do you see that.

A I can't tell you which exact lines I looked at on this worksheet, and which lines I looked at as part of my compilation procedures.

Q So you might have looked at some, and not others?
A I may not have looked at every line in the worksheet.
Q You may not have looked at every line on the
worksheet. Okay.
Can you tell me how you would --
MR. SUAREZ: Withdrawn.
Q So, you may not have looked at every line on this worksheet for club facilities?

A No, sir.
Q All right. Let's keep going.
Would you have looked at every line on the worksheet for the Trump International Golf Club, Florida?

A I'm not sure which lines I would have looked at and didn't look at.

Q Okay. So you might have looked at some, and not others?

A Yes, sir.
Q And the Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff, do you recall which lines you saw and which you didn't?

A No, I do not recall, today, which lines I looked at and which lines I didn't.

Q So you may have looked at some, and not others?
A That is possible.
Q All right. And the Trump National Golf Club in
Bedminster, the next line, right there. Do you recall which of those you looked at, and which you didn't?

A No, sir. I do not.
Q So, it's possible you may have looked at some and not
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others?
A Yes, sir.
Q All right. The Trump National Golf Club, Colts Neck, do you recall which of those lines you looked and which you didn't?

A No, sir. I do not.
Q May have seen some, and not others?
A That's correct.
Q The Trump National Golf Club, Washington D.C. Do you see that?

A Yes, sir.
Q Do you recall which lines you looked at when you were compiling the Statement of Financial Condition in 2015?

A No, I do not.
Q Okay. The Trump National Golf Club, Philadelphia. Which of those would you have reviewed? Which lines of those would you have reviewed, in connection with preparing the compilation?

A I couldn't tell you which lines I looked at and which lines I didn't look at, today.

Q So you would have looked at some and not others?
A I'm not sure what I looked at, today, and what I
didn't look at.
THE COURT: Can we just agree that the questions will be the same, and the answers will be the same, for
each one of these? We have gone through, I don't know, eight, ten. It's always the same.

I would ask Mr. Bender, you are more familiar with this document than I am: Will your answers be the same, if the questions are the same, as to each particular property?

THE WITNESS: I believe so, your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Then, let's move on.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I could just have
30 seconds to talk to my colleague, your Honor?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, the witness spoke to the Court, off the record.)

THE COURT: You can get off the stand, but you can't talk about the case.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
Q Let's keep going through, here.
THE COURT: Not the same way.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, may I get through the spreadsheet, and I won't do it for every year. Just, I
would like to get through one spreadsheet, as an example. THE COURT: Fine.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you.
Q The Trump National Golf Club, Hudson Valley. Did you
different assets that are -- you would agree that the basis for valuation was disclosed to you?

A I would have to look at -- I would say their methodology was disclosed. To the best of my recollection, that would be true.

Q The methodology was disclosed. Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: If we can keep scrolling down.
Q The methodology with respect to these assets would have been disclosed to you in the Jeff Supporting Data, from year to year; correct?

A To the best of my recollection that -I believe that is true.

Q Okay. MR. SUAREZ: Keep scrolling. Keep scrolling. Stop.
Q Trump National Golf Club, Charlotte. Would you have seen this information?

A I don't recall, today, if I looked at every line in the worksheet.

Q All right. So it's not your testimony that you looked at every line on this worksheet?

A It is not my testimony that I looked at every line in this worksheet.
(Continued on the next page.)
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## review every line here?

A I couldn't tell you, today, if I looked at every line or I didn't look at every line.

Q For the assets that were on the Statement of Financial Condition, did you draw an understanding as to how they were valued?

A Generally. So, I may not have known every asset, but I would have known a significant portion of them.

Q Okay. And that was possible, without reviewing every line?

A Yeah, because it was a lot of -- it was -- let me take it back. The footnotes of the financial statement disclosed certain methodologies that are included, so to that extent, I would have known. I may not have recalled if I looked at every one or not, today.

Q And would you have looked at every one at the time?
A I can't recall, today, what I did in 2015. Or 2016.
Whenever the report was issued.
Q But you may have seen some, but not others?
A I don't recall today, but I would have definitely seen some, yes.

Q And there are some that you wouldn't have?
A I didn't say that. I don't recall, today, what I look at in 2015, or '16.

Q You don't recall. All right. So, for all of these
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1 Q Excellent.
2 A My testimony is that I don't recall.
3 Q You don't recall if you looked at it?
4 A I don't.
5 Q You may have looked at it and relied --
6 A I don't remember at this moment. I don't think I
looked at every line on this spreadsheet.
8 Q You don't think you looked at every line?
9 A No.
10 Q Would that be true of the Jeff Supporting Data for each of the different years, you wouldn't have looked at every line on the spreadsheet?

A I can't recall today if it would be true every year.
Q Was it your practice to look at every line on the spreadsheet?

A I don't recall if I looked at every line every year.
Q You may have looked at some lines and may not have looked at others?

A I don't recall today.
Q Did you ever express any disagreement-- did you ever bring up any disagreement on the basis of valuation of any asset?

A Yes.
Q Which assets?
25 A We discussed the Nike building yesterday. I don't
know of any others right now. That is the one that sticks out in my mind.

Q You would agree with me that the basis of valuation of the triplex was set forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?
A Yes, sir.
Q The basis valuation for 40 Wall Street was set forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?

A The method valuation, yes, sir.
Q The basis for the valuation of Trump Tower would have
been set forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?
A Trump Tower Commercial, yes, sir.
Q Basis for valuing Niketown would have been set forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?

A Their methodology for valuating was included in the Jeff Supporting Data.

Q The basis of valuing Trump Park Avenue would have been set forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?

A Their methodology for doing it would have been set forth in the Jeff Supporting Data.
Q Their methodology for valuing their interest in-- the
Trump Organization's interest in 1290 Avenue of the Americas would have been set forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?
A Mr. Trump's interests in 1290 --
Q Yes?
A --Avenue of the America would have been -- their

Page 387
methodology would have been set forth.
Q The method for valuing 555 California, President
Trump's interest in that entity, that would have been set
forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?
A Yes, I believe it would have.
Q The method for valuing Seven Springs would have been
set forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?
A I believe it would be yes, sir.
Q The method for valuing Mar-a-Lago would have been set
forth in the Jeff Supporting Data?
A I believe that would be true.
Q So, every year, you were presented with the basis for valuation of each of these assets in the Jeff Supporting Data? A I believe, off the top of my head, that would be true, sir.

Q Yesterday, I think you said you had tremendous respect for Jeff, for Mr. McConney; is that correct?

A He's one of the better accountants I ever worked with.
Q He passed along this information to you every year?
A Him and Patrick Birney.
Q The Jeff Supporting Data was what you reviewed when preparing your compilation report?

A Mazars would have looked at it. I don't know the word "reviewed".
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1 Q Mazars would have looked at it but not necessarily you?
A I may not have looked at every line of Mazars and -say the question again.

Q Mazars - someone from Mazars would have looked at all the Jeff Supporting Data but not necessarily you?

A I can't say somebody from Mazars looked at every line of the worksheet.

Q You can't tell me whether someone from Mazars looked at every line on the worksheet?

A I can't read somebody else's mind today.
Q You testified earlier you would responsible for all the work product that went into it?

A Absolutely.
Q You agree you were responsible to make sure somebody at Mazars looked at every line on that spreadsheet?

A I don't think a person at Mazars would need to look
at every line on that worksheet. I agree I was responsible for the compilation, if it's the right word. I was the partner in charge of the compilation procedure.
Q You were the partner in charge of the compilation procedure. The buck stopped with you?

A Absolutely.
THE COURT: Ten-minute warning.
Q If the buck stopped with you, Mr. Bender, would you

## D.

1

$$
3
$$ 5 require us to do the work. The financial statement is the Trump Organization. They were the experts in real estate. They were the experts in golf courses and it was them.

If something, as part of a high-level review, if I noticed something, like 57th Street, I'd bring it to-yeah, 57th Street.

If I brought something like 57th Street came to my attention, I'd do it, but, it was not our responsibility to. We were not engaged to discuss -- we were engaged to do method appraisals-- not appraisals. That was not part of our engagement.

Q Appraisals weren't part of your engagement?
A We were engaged to- to the best of my recollection, we were never engaged to do an appraisal for the Trump Organization.

Q Now, Mr. Bender, you told me that you were required to follow AICPA guidelines, correct?

A To the best of my ability.
Q And when you weren't, you'd consult a specialist?
A I would try to, if I something came to my attention.
Q Are you aware that the AICPA guidelines for the
compilation of personal financial statements require you, in connection with the compilation, to under ordinary-- excuse me. To "ordinarily, an accountant can compile personal financial statements based on the individual's representation of estimated current value of assets and the estimated current amount of liabilities."

You'd agree with that statement?
A Can you read it, again, please?
MR. WALLACE: Objection. If he's introducing language from a document, we'd like that to be on the record.

MR. SUAREZ: Sure. I'm happy to move into evidence what I believe was premarked and somebody will give me the number.
Q AICPA personal financial statements. Chapter Two. 2.03, compilation of financial statements.

Have you ever seen the AICPA guide for preparation of financial statements?
A Yes, sir.
Q All right. So, turn to page -- to chapter two, which governs a compilation of personal financial statements at Section 2.03. We've premarked this as exhibit D-950. It said: "Ordinarily, an accountant can compile personal financial statements based on the individual's representation of estimated current values of assets and the estimated

Q -- your involvement with that, okay.
You see in Section 2.07: "Reporting or compiling personal financial statements. If the accountant --
A Let me find it.
Q --believes --
THE COURT: It's on the screen.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q --"that the methods used to determine the estimated current value of assets and the estimated current amounts of liabilities are not in accordance with statement of position 82-1 accounting and financial reporting for personal financial statements, AICPA technical practice aids, or if he or she believes that the methods are not appropriate, in light of the nature of each asset and liability, he or she should consider whether modification of a standard report is adequate to disclosure the departure."

THE COURT: "To disclose."
Q "To disclose the departure."
"If the accountant determines that modification of the standard report is not adequate to indicate the deficiencies in the financial statements taken as a whole, the accountant should withdraw from the engagement and provide no other services with respect to those financial statements."

Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
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1 Q Did you ever, prior to 2020, withdraw from the engagement with the Trump Organization?

A No, I did not.
Q Did you ever, prior to 2020, give any indication to
the Trump Organization that you disagreed with the methods used to determine estimated current values?

A I don't believe it was required of me to do that per compilation procedure.
objection to the use of this document at this time. It's dated May 1, 2008. I believe that this guidance has been -- was superseded in 2009. So, it wouldn't have been relevant in 2011, but, if he wants to ask the question, we want to note --

THE COURT: Your objection is noted. I don't know how much it changed or didn't change from that year, but, I'll take that into consideration.
$Q$ Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Is that something you undertook with respect to the assets?

A I don't recall. As counsel said, if this happened at the time and I don't recall -- we definitely looked at -I don't recall today what we did.
Q You don't recall--
A No.
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current amounts of liabilities. At a minimum, however, the accountant should obtain an understanding of the methods by which the individual determined the estimated current values of significant assets and the estimated current amounts of significant liabilities and consider whether the methods were appropriate, in light of the nature of each asset or liability."

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we'd like to note an

15 A The only one I'm thinking of now.
16 Q When you brought up your disagreement with 57th 7 Street, what did the Trump Organization --

A We had Mazars saying-- Trump Organization spoke about it.

Q They revised it to your satisfaction?
A They revised it to our satisfaction, Mazars.
MR. SUAREZ: Okay. I think I'm at a good point to take a break.

THE COURT: Like yesterday, we'll take a ten-minute break. Take 15 minutes, but, unlike
yesterday, unless there's some personal emergency, l'll be very strict. We're starting again in 15 minutes, whether you're here or not.
(Recess taken.)
( Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Okay, counsel. Please, proceed.
Q Mr. Bender, please take a look at Section 2.05.
"Personal financial statement, compilation of personal
financial statements from the AICPA."
Do you see this?
A May I have the original document, please?
Q Premarked D-950. It says -- I'd invite you to read along with me, Mr. Bender.
A One second. Thank you.
Q "Before submission, the accountant should read the compiled personal financial statements and consider whether such financial statements appear to be appropriate in form and free from obvious material errors. In this context, the term error refers to mistakes in the compilation of financial statements, including arithmetical or clerical mistakes and mistakes in the application of accounting principles, including disclosure. Misstatements that may occur in personal financial statements prepared in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles include:

Number one: "The failure to record estimated
D. Bender - Cross/Suarez value of assets and the estimated current amounts of liabilities and their tax basis."

Two: "Failure to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts."

Three: "Failure to record accounts on the accrual basis" and, number four: "Presentation of an asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount."

Do you see that?




Q In connection with the 2014 compilation report, did anything come to your attention as the presentation of an asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

1 A Nothing came to my attention at that time.
2 Q Certainly, you didn't disclose to the Trump
3 Organization or President Trump that any assets presented at an obviously inappropriate value or not?
A No, sir.
Q In connection with the 2013 compilation report for Statement of Financial Condition, did you identify any asset that was presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?
A At the time, the compilation report was issued, I did not -- I did not inform the Trump Organization of the presentation of assets or liability in misappropriate value.
Q Did you determine whether there was a determination of an asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value?
A At the time we issued the financial statement, nothing came to my attention.
Q In 2013 when preparing your independent accounting compilation report, did anything come to your attention about a presentation of an asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?
A I think you already asked, 2013, but, I repeat, no.
Q How about 2012? In connection with your preparation of an Independent Accountant's Compilation Report, did any asset strike you as being presented at an obviously
5 inappropriate value or amount?

A No, sir.
2 Q Did any liability strike you as being presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A Not that I can recall at this time. No, sir.
Q In 2011, which you testified to on Monday, you were preparing your Independent Compilation Report, did any asset or liability strike you as being presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A No, sir.
Q How about 2016? In 2016 did any asset strike you as being presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A No, sir.
Q In 2017, when you prepared your Independent
Accountant Compilation Report, did any asset strike you as being presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?
A No, sir.
Q In 2018, when you were preparing your Independent Accounting Compilation Report, did any asset or liability strike you as being presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?
A No, sir.
Q In 2019, in connection with the preparation of your Independent Accounting Compilation Report, did any asset in the President's Statements of Financial Condition strike you as being presented at an obviously inappropriate value or


1 answer. So I asked counsel to ask for all the years and the answer is the same. Either he doesn't remember or he didn't. What's the difference? Jesus.

But I'll ask the Plaintiffs, do you want to go through every year or not? Because I could get reversed for not allowing him to ask for every individual year rather than lumping them all together.

What would you like me to do?
MR. WALLACE: Whatever your Honor wants.
THE COURT: I don't want to go through nonsense.
So, let's move on. Objection overruled.
MR. KISE: So, to be clear, we're precluded from asking year-by-year questions in the course of this proceeding?

MR. WALLACE: I object.
MR. KISE: What we're driving at here is who, at Mazars, was responsible for conforming with the AICPA standard each year. President Trump and the other defendants are accused of committing fraud in a repeated and persistent pattern, year by year by year each statement.

So, each statement, respectfully, your Honor, stands on its own. Each statement is a freestanding statement. Each certification is a freestanding certification. This is what your Honor has ruled, that
involves millions of pages of documents. I'm sorry, your Honor, but, I think we should be entitled to go year by year because that's their burden of proof. If we're going to lump it all together and all the organizations together --

THE COURT: We're not lumping it all together. We're asking if the answer is the same for every year. Same for 2020. Same for 2019. Same for 2018. He saidhe can ask whether the answer would be the same for every year. You can argue for every year. His answer of 2013, ' 15 or ' 11 or ' 12 would be the same. That's your record. I don't see any way around that.

Again, I'll ask Plaintiff, do you want to hear it for every year or risk my being reversed because I wouldn't let them put on a defense in a really big case. (Continued on the following page..)
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1 they're independent. So, it is crucial, we believe, for the government to establish that in each independent year, there are these infirmities and if they can't establish that, then they need to -- they've not met their burden of proof and I'll give you an example.

We're talking here about a presentation of an asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount. The government has introduced evidence of an alleged five or six hundred million dollar disparity on the Statement of Financial Condition for Mar-a-Lago. They've said it should be 18 to 27 instead of the six, seven, eight hundred that we have. That, to me -- I'm just --

THE COURT: You're talking to me or the press or the audience, Chris?

MR. KISE: I'm talking to you, your Honor. That, to me, you're asking why we have to go year by year.

THE COURT: You don't have to go year by year. You have to go every year and he said every year, the answer would be the same. We're wasting more time than if he just let him go through it but this is --

MR. KISE: We need to be able to put on our defense the way we think we should be able to put on a defense, year by year. They brought this case. It

MR. WALLACE: So the record is clear, we are happy to stipulate here that Mr. Benders' response will be the same from every year from 2011 to 2020.

THE COURT: That's my ruling. Objection overruled.

Q Mr. Bender, when you stay you don't believe so, do you know?

A Read the question again?
THE COURT: Do you want read back?
Read back, please.
By the way, I do this same technique to try to save time, whether a big case or a little case. It's the same thing.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: So, let's move on with the next question.
Q Mr. Bender, I do not believe so, does that mean no?
A Yes. That means no.
Q Thank you. And Mr. Bender, for each and every year that you prepared a Statement of Financial Condition and didn't notice a failure to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts, if you didn't notice a failure to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts, you certainly couldn't have
communicated that to the Trump Organization; correct?
MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: Correct. If he didn't notice, then he couldn't communicate it.

MR. SUAREZ: I just asked the question, and I got an objection. I just want to make sure we all understand it correctly, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. If you don't notice something, you can't communicate it to someone else. Can we agree on that, counselor?

MR. SUAREZ: Yes.
THE COURT: So, what's the point of asking the question?

MR. SUAREZ: I would like the witness to make that record, that if he didn't notice it, he didn't communicate it. The point is to establish that he didn't communicate it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. You did establish it, and he said he didn't notice it. But, I'll ask the witness to answer.

Did you communicate what you didn't notice?
THE WITNESS: The only change I would make is, he used the word, prepared. This was not a preparation. This was a compilation, so I just -- his question has to be adjusted.
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A If you say compilation, if I didn't notice it, I didn't communicate it on the compilation.

Q Mr. Bender, certainly when you prepared the 2015 Statement of Financial Condition --

MR. SUAREZ: I'm going to stop. I'm going to
withdraw the question. I'm going to speak more slowly.
Q Certainly, Mr. Bender, when you prepared the
independent accountant's compilation report for the 2015
Statement of Financial Condition, you didn't forget all of the things that you learned when you prepared the independent accountant's compilation report for the Statement of Financial Condition in 2014; did you?

A No -- say that again.
MR. SUAREZ: I'm trying to adhere to your Honor's direction not to go year-by-year.
Q But, I'm simply trying to establish the fact,
Mr. Bender, that, from year to year, you didn't forget what you learned the prior year in preparing a Statement of Financial Condition. Compilation report.

A Generally, that would be true. I'm not saying I would remember line-by-line from the year before, but generally, that would be true.
Q But material issues that you learned in one year, you would remember the following year?

A Generally, that would be true.

Q Or they would be reflected in your work papers?
A Generally, that would be true.
Q And the work papers were maintained by Mazars?
A Yes, sir.
Q Would you review those work papers each year, before picking up on the following year's independent accountant's compilation report?

A I don't recall if I didn't or I didn't.
Q You don't recall whether you, each year, before beginning the prior - the current year's independent accounting compilation report, review the prior year?

A I don't recall -- I don't recall.
Q Mr. Bender, at your deposition you testified that you spent 50 to 60 hours each year working on the Statement of Financial Condition compilation report; is that correct?

A That would be an estimate. Yes.
Q Okay. It's an estimate. It would change from year to year.

MR. SUAREZ: And I'm not going to ask year to year, because I understand the Court's order.
Q That would be an estimate from the period of time 2011 to 2020 , you spent about 50 to 60 hours a year?

A That would be an estimate.
Q And in your opinion, as the --
MR. SUAREZ: Withdrawn.

Q And as the partner in charge at Mazars, in charge of
preparing the independent accountant compilation report for the 45th President of the United States, 50 to 60 hours a year was a sufficient amount of time for you to spend on that assignment; correct?

A Yes, sir.
THE COURT: We are taking a very short break. (Whereupon, there was a pause in the
proceedings.)
THE COURT: Okay. Let's continue with where we left off.
Q And within those 50 to 60 hours a year, approximately, that you spent compiling the Statement of Financial Condition for the 45th President of the United States, that was a sufficient amount of time for you to satisfy yourself that the statements did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts?

A I did the best, based on my professional skills, to meet those standards.

Q I'm sorry. I can't --
A I did the best, based on my professional standards, to meet those.

Q You did the best, based on your professional standards?

A My professional skills.

Q And were those the professional standards for Donald Bender, or the professional standards of Mazars.

A I used my best professional skills to meet the
standards of Mazars. Of Mazars, and the AICPA.
Q All right. So you used your best professional skills. So, can we infer from your testimony that it was your best professional skills that the president had the benefit of, or can we infer from your skills that it was the benefit of -- from your statement that it was the benefit of Mazars' skills, that the president had the benefit of?

A I thought you asked about me -- I method your question was addressed about me.

Q Well, was 50 to 60 hours a year sufficient for you to supervise everyone at Mazars that worked on this engagement?

A Yes. I felt it was.
Q And to adequately make sure that you could represent to the president that his Statements of Financial Condition did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts?
A We did our best to try to meet those standards, yes.
Q You tried your best?
A Yes.
Q And you tried your best, in those 50 to 60 hours a year, to make sure that Mazars USA -- 2016, for example -- could represent to the president that all of the assets were
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presented, all of the assets or liabilities, were presented at an amount that was not inappropriately -- that was not obviously inappropriate?

MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: What's the ground?
MR. WALLACE: The question is completely unclear.
THE COURT: How about a rephrase? MR. SUAREZ: Sure.
Q The 50 to 60 hours a year that you worked on the Statement of Financial Condition, was that sufficient for you, Mr. Bender, to be comfortable signing the name, Mazars USA, to the Statement of Financial Condition certifying that the assets were not presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A I was comfortable signing the compilation. I did not need to certify anything. That was not an audit. This was a compilation. The standards for a compilation don't require us to certify anything.

Q Well, the standards for at compilation do require you to present a compilation report that notes whether any of the assets or liabilities are stated at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A Yes, sir.
Q And in 50 to $\mathbf{6 0}$ hours a year, you were able to satisfy yourself that the asset value that was stated -- and let's take
an example. Let's use a concrete example. Let's take 2013. Let's start with 2013. Fifty to 60 hours a year -- let's put up 2013.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q Mazars and WeiserMazars, both of those logos on are the page, at the top. It says WeiserMazars LLP.

MR. SUAREZ: Come on over. Next page.
Q Your signature, the assets.
Let's go through the assets. In 2013, in 50 to 60
hours a year, you were able to satisfy yourself that it was appropriate to list $\$ 339$ million in cash, $\$ 339$ million in cash, on the statement of assets in 2013, Mr. Bender?

A On the Statement of Financial Conditions? I met the professional standard for a compilation. Yes, sir.

Q So, to meet the professional standard for a compilation and conclude that an asset or liability is not stated at an obviously inappropriate value or amount, in 50 to 60 hours a year, you could do that, to determine, just go through them, $\$ 339$ million in cash and marketable securities?

A Yes, sir.
Q And in 50 to 60 hours a year, you were able to take Trump Tower at $\$ 526$ million, and determine that Trump Tower, at $\$ 526$ million, was not presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A Based on my compilation procedures, nothing came to my attention.

Q And in 50 to 60 hours a year, you were able to determine that Trump Tower being presented at $\$ 526,800,000$ did not fail the compilation -- did not -- I'm sorry, the Statements of Financial Condition, it did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current value of that asset?

A Say that again, please?
Q You were able, in 50 to 60 hours a year, to determine that the Statements of Financial Condition did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current value, estimated current value of that asset?

A Nothing came to my attention, as part of my compilation procedures.

Q And in 50 to 60 hours a year, you were able to determine that NikeTown, worth $\$ 287,600,000$ in 2013, was appropriately listed, and that the Statement of Financial Condition did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts?

A Nothing came to my attention, as part of my compilation procedures.

Q And in 50 hours a year, you were able to determine, 50 to 60 hours a year, approximately, you were able to determine that that asset was not presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A Nothing came to my attention, as part of my compilation procedures.

Q And in connection with 40 Wall Street, identified in 2013 at $\$ 530$ million a year, Mr. Bender, you were able to determine that the Statement of Financial Condition did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current value and amount of that asset?

A Nothing came to my attention, as part of my compilation procedures.

Q Okay. And in 50 to 60 hours a year, Mr. Bender, you were able to determine that 40 W all Street was not presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount; is that correct?

A Nothing came to my attention during my compilation procedures.

Q And in 50 to 60 hours a year, Mr. Bender, you were able to determine that Trump Park Avenue, listed in the Statement of Financial Condition at $\$ 346,100,000$, that the Statement of Financial Condition did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current value and amount for that asset?

A Nothing came to my attention, during my compilation procedures.

THE COURT: Counselor, can we lump this all
together? Again, using the same principles, you can ask
him, for each item, whether 50 or $\mathbf{6 0}$ hours was sufficient.

Plaza was disclosed in this financial statement?
A No.
Q And did anything come to your attention that would cause you to think that this asset was not presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A No, sir.
Q Did anything cause you to think that it was presented at an inappropriate value or amount?

A No, sir.
Q The Trump Plaza commercial and retained residential portions identified at $\$ 31$ million, in 50 to 60 hours a year, is that something that you were able to determine that the Jeff Supporting Data that then was reflected in the notes, Mr. Bender, did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts?

A Nothing came to my attention.
Q Nothing came to your attention.
So, this is all work that you did in 50 to 60 hours a year?

A My time would have been 50 to 60 hours a year.
Q Your time alone?
A My time alone.
Q Sufficient?
A On average.
Q On average?


I think that would make sense, but if you really want to do it, go ahead.

MR. SUAREZ: I'm doing my best to lump this together, but I can't lump it together, your Honor, because each separate asset on the spreadsheet presents the basis for valuation, and Mr. Bender's testimony, I believe, is that within 50 to 60 hours a year, he was able to look at all of those valuations and make sure that they were properly listed, or at a minimum, that they were properly disclosed in the Statement of Financial Condition, what the basis for that methodology was.

THE COURT: Yes. The key word you used is, all. Ask him if he would have the same answer to the same questions for each line. But all the lines --

MR. SUAREZ: May I try to truncate is by saying --
Q Would you have the same answer to the same questions concerning the club facilities and real estate in New York, Florida, New Jersey, California, Washington D.C., North Carolina, and Scotland, at $\$ 1,656,200,000$ ?

A Nothing came to my attention as part of my compilation procedures.

Q Nothing came to your attention. Did anything come to your attention concerning the manner in which estimated current value and amounts for the Trump World Tower at United Nations
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A Guesstimate.
Q I've been asked to bring them all together.
A Okay.
Q And in 50 to $\mathbf{6 0}$ hours a year, Mr. Bender, the Trump Palace, the Trump Parc, the Trump Parc East condominiums, those commercial portions, you were able to satisfy yourself that the Statement of Financial Condition did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current value and amounts for those three assets at $\$ 13,700,000$ ?

A Nothing came to my attention, as part of my compilation procedure.

THE COURT: Counselor, I don't talk just to hear myself. You seem to be doing the exact same thing, and I'm precluding you from doing it. You can lump together - I thought you were just going to list the different properties, but to ask the question again, I'm not going to let you to that.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, here is the concern that I have: Every single Statement of Financial Condition lists the property differently. Every single Statement of Financial Condition, from year to year, identifies the properties differently. Mr. Bender just told you, your Honor, that he or Mazars retained the knowledge as to all material assets that went from year to year, and how those assets changed, and in 50 to 60 hours a year, Mr. Bender


1 to materiality, and with respect to reliance, these concepts are very important, and so we'll attempt to streamline these as much as possible, but they gone back --

THE COURT: You haven't seen that, yet. I made a ruling, and he totally ignored it. I said, I'm not going to let you do property by property, and then he just went on, property by property.

MR. KISE: But we have to do property by property, because that's -- the devil is in the details. I'm sorry, but it is.

THE COURT: You can do property by property, and you can lump them all together. This is ridiculous.

To the reporters, I'm pounding the bench, again. This is ridiculous.
MS. HABBA: That's the one thing I agree with you, sir. This is absolutely ridiculous.

THE COURT: There's no point in going through each line, when the counsel can ask the witness if his answer would be the same for each line. Then you have got your record on appeal, and then you have got whatever post-trial brief you want to say. I'm just being logical, here.

MR. KISE: I'm not sure -- let me be sure I understand what you are saying. So, you are asking us to go line by line, but just ask the same question for each
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## line?

THE COURT: I'm asking --
MR. KISE: Would your answer be the same -- for example, would your answer be the same as to Trump International Hotel and Tower; would your answer be the same as to Mansion at Seven Springs; would your answer be the same as to partnerships and joint ventures? I mean, I've never had to negotiate how to ask questions, as a defense lawyer, but -- and I think it's highly irregular. I think it makes -- I think it makes a very poor record, frankly.

So, this is why I think we should be entitled to present our defense the way we want to. It is going to take some time, but they brought a case that spans a decade, and accuses --

MS. HABBA: Exactly.
MR. KISE: -- my client of massive and staggering fraud, they can't condense it all together, because they have a witness waiting, and it's inconvenient.

MS. HABBA: And your Honor, your order itself goes to, for instance, Mar-A-Lago, famous Mar-A-Lago, which we are clearly having a discrepancy for, on the value. How can you say that now we can't sit her and ask about the value of Mar-A-Lago?

THE COURT: All I'm asking is that you lump
things together, so we don't waste time. You can include Mar-A-Lago and any other property in whatever question it is, but let's not waste time. I'm just being logical, here. I'm not going to let you ask every single line, when you can just ask, For all the lines, would your answer be the same. You will have your record, you will have what I've heard, and let's just move ahead. You are not going to get away with this.

MR. KISE: For the record, I object to that procedure, your Honor.

MS. HABBA: As do I, your Honor.
MR. ROBERT: As do I.
THE COURT: Okay.
By the way, you don't even have to say every line on the page. You can say, Would your answer be the same for A, B, C, D, E, F. You can name them all, but you don't have to ask the question again.
Q For the questions that we have just run through Mr. Bender, I'm just going to --

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, Im being very delicate, to make sure I don't re-ask the question.
Q But, I'm going to go through, and I'm going to question you, for the remaining assets, whether 50 to 60 hours a year was sufficient for you, number one, to determine that the Statement of Financial Condition did not fail to disclose the
methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts; and number two, that the asset was presented at a value or amount that was not obviously inappropriate.

A I'm sorry. Nothing came to my attention, during my compilation procedures.

Q And that was true --
MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry, your Honor.
Q But my question, actually, Mr. Bender, was a little different. My question wasn't whether anything came to your attention. My question was whether 50 to 60 hours a year was sufficient for you to determine that the Statement of Financial Condition with respect to, take the Trump International Hotel and Tower, New York, New York, did not fail to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts, and presented the value or amount of that asset or liability, in a manner that was not obviously inappropriate.

A I was able to meet my standards for my compilation procedures.

MR. SUAREZ: The witness is being evasive, which is the reason we've got to go and try to pin him down. He testifies, 50 to 60 hours a year. I'm asking him, with respect to each asset, whether within those 50 to 60 hours a year, it was sufficient for him, the person who signed the accountant's compilation report, to determine whether two very basic and fundamental criteria had been met.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | That's the question. Was that enough time for you to make |
| 2 | that determination? If his answer is yes, I'll say, same |
| 3 | question. Same question for this asset. Same question for |
| 4 | this asset. If his answer is no, I'll ask him the same |
| 5 | question for each asset, same question for each asset, same |
| 6 | question for each asset. |
| 7 | THE COURT: I think that's what Im asking you to |
| 8 | do. |
| 9 | MR. SUAREZ: And I'm more than happy to do that, |
| 10 | your Honor. I'm certainly not intending to upset you or |
| 11 | not follow the Court's orders but -- |
| 12 | THE COURT: I have a thick skin, but it's really |
| 13 | being pierced, here. |
| 14 | MR. SUAREZ: But the answer that I'm getting from |
| 15 | the witness is not responsive, candidly. |
| 16 | Q The question is, Mr. Bender, in 50 to 60 hours a year, |
| 17 | were you able to determine whether the asset listed in this |
| 18 | Statement of Financial Condition, with respect to each asset |
| 19 | listed on the Statement of Financial Condition, the Statement of |
| 20 | Financial Condition did not, number one, fail to disclose the |
| 21 | methods used to determine estimated current values and amounts; |
| 22 | and number two, present an asset or liability at an obviously |
| 23 | inappropriate value or amount. |
| 24 | Was that enough time for to you make that |
| 25 | determination? |
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A It was enough time for me to make that determination, based on my compilation procedures.

Q Okay. Same question for Trump International Hotel and
Tower. Would you give me the same answer? Yes or no.
A Yes, sir.
Q And for Mansion at Seven Springs. If I asked you the same question, would you give me the same answer?

A Yes, sir.
Q With respect to the partnerships and joint ventures, the first one, 1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York; and 555 California Street, San Francisco, Califomia, would you give me the same answer, if I asked you the same questions?

A Yes, sir.
(Continued on the next page.)

1

7 A Yes, sir?
Q With respect to real estate licensing developments,
would you give me the same answer, if I asked you the same questions?
A Yes, sir.
Q With respect to the other assets, would you give me the same answer, if I asked you the same question?
A Yes, sir.
Q Now, the other assets, the other assets are disclosed at a value of $\$ 337$ million in this Statement of Financial Condition, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q You, Mr. Bender, made the determination it was
appropriate to present them as other assets, to lump them together in the category of other assets?

A It's the client's compilation. They prepared the
financial statement. Yeah, they prepared the financial statement.
Q You understood which assets were included within the
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value of the other assets. Was that part of your mathematical calculation?
A Yes, sir.
Q You went through all of the other assets and I, with your Honor's permission, I'd like to pull them up and walk through what other assets fold into other assets.

THE COURT: I'm not sure he understood the question before. I think isn't the question, do you know what the other assets were. I'll ask that question, all right.

Do you know what the other assets were?
THE WITNESS: I know a lot of them. I don't now them all for every year, off the top of my head.

THE COURT: Did you know them all for 2013?
THE WITNESS: I can't tell you today.
THE COURT: Ask him what you want.
MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up the Jeff Supporting Data for other assets. I would just note that part of the argument that we've heard is that assets were moved in or out of this category over the years, for one reason other another, and, whatever motivations the government ascribes to them, that we don't agree with, but, certainly, certainly, the point is the independent accountant that looked at these Jeff Supporting Data in 50 to 60 hours a year was able to determine that they
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| :---: | :---: |
|  | should all be lumped together in the category of other |
| 2 | assets and that that same independent accountant and his |
| 3 | knowledge of the AICPA guidelines carried that knowledge |
| 4 | over from year to year, your Honor, and how those assets |
| 5 | changed and what he looked at and whether those assets |
| 6 | were lumped together into the category of other assets |
| 7 | are the questions that I respectfully intend to ask the |
| 8 | witness. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Let's start and see how it goes. |
| 10 | MR. SUAREZ: Excellent. |
|  | Q Mr. Bender, other assets, The Apprentice. Did you |
|  | review the basis for including that asset into the category of |
|  | other assets? |
| 14 | A It wasn't a review but I was happy -- I was content |
|  | with the classification at that time. |
| 16 | Q It was okay with you to classify that as other asset? |
| 17 | A At that time, yes. |
| 18 | Q Okay. The Wollman Rink, were you able to classify |
|  | that as an asset in the Statement of Financial Condition? |
| 20 | A At that time, yes. |
| 21 | Q Is it still okay to call it an other asset? You keep |
|  | saying "at that time" as if you'd change your mind and would |
|  | call it another asset today. That would be okay with you? |
| 24 | A I would be fine. |
|  | Q You'd be perfectly fine with |
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asset. The President's family receivables, you'd be okay with calling that an other asset?
A Yes, sir.
Q Trump Model Management disclosed to you $\$ 2$ million.
You'd be okay with calling that an other asset?
A Yes, sir.
Q The Virginia Vineyards which, in 2013, were valued at
$\$ 15,439,000$, you'd be okay with calling that an other asset?
A Yes, sir.
Q You made the determination it was okay to include that in the other assets?

A The client made the determination. I did not have any problem at the time.

Q You had no problem in the manner in which it was disclosed in the financial statement?

A Not at that time, no, sir.
Q How about today, you think it was inappropriate to disclose that?
A As of today, I'm not on top of all standards as of today but I know of nothing --

Q When did you stop being on top of all standard?
A I stopped doing compilations about two or three
years ago.
24 Q Before that, you were on top of all the standards?
25 A No but I have subject matter specialists who would

1 2
assist me, and I would able to consult people.
Q Starrett City Associates, $\$ 10$ million. It was okay for you to lump that into other assets? You didn't have an issue with how that was disclosed, Mr. Bender?
A No, I did not have an issue.
Q Okay, the President's aircrafts which, in 2013, were disclosed at asset value of $\$ 52$ million. You can see there the manner in which those asset values were derived at $\$ 52$ million. You were okay lumping that into other assets?
A I was okay at that time.
Q Are you okay with it today? I'm trying to understand or qualify "at that time."
A Yes. Yes.
Q Okay. The triplex --
MR. SUAREZ: I think he I said it right this time, your Honor.
Q --listed there at $\$ 200$ million, it was okay with you to lump that in as an other asset?
A It was, yes.
Q Okay. The two homes in Palm Beach in 2013 listed as an asset of $\$ 9$ million and $\$ 2,750,000$, that was okay for you to list as an other asset?
A It was okay.
Q In Beverly Hills, California the residence in Beverly Hills, $\$ 15$ million asset, that was okay to lump it in?
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A It was okay at that time, yes.
Q The licensing deals, the management fees, and incentive fees, it was okay to lump those assets in as other assets?
A It was okay at that time. Yes, sir.
Q Same question for the accounts payable. Okay to lump them in as other assets?
A Those are the liabilities. I take it back. That is a liability on the other side and that's a --
Q Let's put a pause on that. So, in 2013 when you reviewed all of those assets, you were okay lumping them into other assets?
A Mazars compiled the financial statement. We had no problem at that time.
Q Do you have a problem with the fact in 2013 all of those assets were put together and included as other assets in the Statements of Financial Condition?
A We had no problem when we issued the statement.
Q Okay, at that time-- withdrawn. In connection with all the assets that we just discussed, the failure -- sorry.
You did not know, in connection with all the assets that we just discussed, as other assets, you did not know or notice a failure to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current value among any of those assets?
A Not that I recall at this time.
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1 Q And in your estimation, in the 50 to 60 hours you spent on this worksheet, you did not notice that any of these assets were presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount, did you?
A Nothing came to my attention when I compiled the 2013 --

2013?
A Yeah, it's not shown.
Q You would agree with me when you compiled 2013, you had in front of you the number used in ' 13 and the number that was used in 2012?

A Yes.
Q So, you can see, for example, when you go all the way to the top, that the President's cash position in June 30, 2013 increased to $\$ 339$ million from $\$ 169$ million the year before?
A His cash and marketable security balance.
Q Cash and Marketable Securities increased from \$339 million from 169 the year before?
A That's what it says. Yes, sir.
Q In the time that you spent reviewing that, you were
comfortable that the method used to determine the estimated current value of all of these assets on the spreadsheet were properly disclosed in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A When I was compiling it, not reviewing it. You said


1 Q If I asked you the same question for the note related to Trump Tower, you'd give me the same answer?

A Yes, sir.
Q If I asked you the same question for the secured
lease bond related to Niketown?
A Yes, sir.
7 Q The loan related to 40 Wall Street, you'd give me the same answer?
9 A Yes.
10 Q The loans related to club facilities and related real estate, you'd give me the same answer?

A Yes, sir.
13 Q The loan related to Trump Park Avenue, you'd give me the same answer?

A Yes.
Q So, in reviewing and preparing your Independent
Accountant's Compilation Report, you would have been apprised
of the fact that there was a loan on Trump Tower, correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q You would have been apprised to the fact that there was a loan related to 40 Wall Street?

A Yes, sir.
Q You would have been apprised of the fact that there was a loan related to club facilities and real estate?

A Yes, sir.

1 Q And the loans related to club facilities and real estate, that's also grouping, correct?
3 A Yes, sir. I believe so.
4 Q That reflects more than one loan?
5 A I'd have to check. I'm not familiar with the debt on the club facilities at the time.

Q You certainly would have determined that was an appropriate way to disclose that?
9 A Yes, sir.
10 Q You would have determined that loans related to Trump Park Avenue were appropriately disclosed?

A Yes.
Q The loans related to the commercial and retained residential portions of Trump Plaza were appropriately disclosed?
A Yes, sir.
Q Same question with the Trump International Hotel and Tower New York?
A Sorry?
Q The loan related to the Trump International Hotel and Tower New York?

A Nothing came to my attention.
23 Q The loan related to the mansion at Seven Springs, you would have determined that was appropriate to disclose at that time?

| 1 | A | Nothing came to my attention, so I agree. | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | Q | So, you agree. Same question for other mortgages and | 2 |
| 3 | loans payable? | 3 |  |
| 4 | A | Nothing came to my attention. | 4 |
| 5 | Q | Same question for commitments and contingencies? | 5 |
| 6 | A | Nothing came to my attention, your Honor. | 6 |
| 7 | Q | Same question for the net worth calculation for 2013? | 7 |
| 8 | A | That's math. A plus B should equal C. | 8 |
| 9 | Q | So, nothing came to your attention that the Statement | 9 |
| 10 | of Financial Condition in any way failed to disclose the | 10 |  |
| 11 | methods used to determine estimated current values with | 11 |  |
| 12 | respect to the statement of net worth, correct? | 12 |  |
| 13 | A | Statement of financial conditions not the -- you | 13 |
| 14 | used the statement of -- | 14 |  |
| 15 | Q | The statement of net worth. It says net worth there. | 15 |
| 16 | A | Okay. | 16 |
| 17 | Q | You described as a -- what you described as a | 17 |
| 18 | mathematical calculation of assets minus liabilities, correct? | 18 |  |
| 19 | A | Yes, sir. | 19 |
| 20 | Q | We take all of the assets that you said in the prior | 20 |
| 21 | page, you spent the time to determine there was no failure to | 21 |  |
| 22 | disclose the estimated current value of those assets, the | 22 |  |
| 23 | methods for determining the estimated current value of those | 23 |  |
| 24 | assets and you subtracted from them all of the liabilities for | 24 |  |
| 25 | the-- that are listed on this page and I think your testimony | 25 |  |

1 A Nothing came to my attention, so I agree.Q So, you agree. Same question for other mortgages and23456789res .11
12
A Statement of financial conditions not the -- youQ The statement of net worth. It says net worth there.1516
17 Q You described as a -- what you described as a18
A Yes, sir ..... 19
page, you spent the time to determine there was no failure to ..... 21222324
the-- that are listed on this page and I think your testimony25

THE COURT: Okay. Let's do what we said. Attorneys stay. Everybody else, 2:15. Thank you.
(Luncheon recess taken.)
(Continued on following page..)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 was, you determined that there was no failure to disclose the | 1 | AFTERNOONSESSION. |
| 2 methods used to determine the estimated amounts of those | 2 |  |
| 3 loans? | 3 | THE COURT: Counsel, we're going to accommodate |
| 4 A I believe | 4 | a witness. We're taking a witness out of order. Mr. |
| 5 Q So, if you were to subtract those two, you wouldn't | 5 | Bender will be testifying later after we finish this |
| 6 take issue with the computation of net worth either? | 6 | testimony. |
| 7 A Sorry? | 7 | Who are you calling? |
| 8 Q You would not take issue with the computation of net | 8 | MR. GABER: People call Camron Harris. Judge, |
| 9 worth? | 9 | I've been admonished to introduce myself to the Court. |
| 10 A Nothing came to my attention | 10 | THE COURT: Can't hear you. |
| 11 Q At 4.9 million? | 11 | MR. GABER: My name is Sherief Gaber. We spoke |
| 12 A Nothing came to my attention. | 12 | last week. Feels like last year's arguments. |
| 13 Q This is the review that you conducted in 50 to | 13 | THE COURT: Please keep your voice up. |
| 1460 hours? | 14 | Most of you don't realize this but this is a |
| 15 A This is a compilation that I prepared in 50 to | 15 | very important day. I believe -- correct me if I'm |
| 1660 hours. | 16 | wrong -- it's Kevin Wallace's birthday. |
| 17 Q If I were to ask you - | 17 | MR. WALLACE: Thank you, your Honor. This is a |
| 18 If I were to ask you the same questions with | 18 | living nightmare for me. |
| 19 respect to the presentation of an asset or liability at an | 19 | THE COURT: Happy birthday. Is this how you're |
| 20 obviously inappropriate value or amount, the 50 or 60 hours | 20 | celebrating? |
| 21 was sufficient for you to determine with respect to this | 21 | MR. WALLACE: Just happen to be surrounded by |
| 22 Statement of Financial Condition there was no presentation of | 22 | people I love. |
| 23 asset or liability as an obviously inappropriate value or | 23 | MR. ROBERT: Up to this line |
| 24 amount, you'd have the same answer? | 24 | (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom.) |
| 25 A Yes, sir. | 25 | CAMRON HARRIS, called as a witness, having |
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| :---: | :---: |
|  | been first duly sworn, was examined, and testified, as |
| 2 | follows: |
| 3 | THE COURT: State your name and home or business |
| 4 | address on the record. |
| 5 | THE WITNESS: I'm Camron Harris. Work address: |
| 6 | Whitley Penn. 8343 Douglas Avenue Dallas, Texas. |
| 7 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 8 | BY MR. GABER: |
| 9 | Q Good morning, Mr. Harris. |
| 10 | A Good afternoon. |
| 11 | Q You just gave us your name and place of business. |
| 12 | Can you just state your employer and title, for the record? |
| 13 | A Yeah. My employer is Whitley Penn. Title is audit |
| 14 | partner. |
| 15 | Q Mr. Harris, were you involved in the engagement |
| 16 | compiling of a 2021 Statement of Financial Condition of Donald |
| 17 | J. Trump? |
| 18 | A Yes, sir. |
| 19 | Q Mr. Harris, how long have you been employed there? |
| 20 | A Over 19 years. |
| 21 | Q Can you describe your educational background? |
| 22 | A I graduated from Texas Tech with an accounting and |
| 23 | management degree. |
| 24 | MR. KISE: Is his microphone on? |
| 25 | THE COURT: You have to speak right into it, |
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1 clearly.
2 A I graduated from Texas Tech with a management and accounting degree and I'm a CPA.

Q I believe that you said you were an audit partner, Mr. Harris. Can you describe that role?

A Yes, as an audit partner, I lead multiple teams that are in charge of doing attestation work for numerous clients.

Q As audit partner, did you have a role in any of
Whitley Penn's audit engagement for the Trump Organization?
A I only work on attestation engagements.
Q All of the attestation agreements?
A Correct.
Q So, Mr. Harris. I'll bring up a document that
Plaintiffs have marked PX-1498 for identification.
Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Harris?
A Yes, sir.
Q Can you describe what this document is?
A Yes. This is our client acceptance procedure memo.
This memo details out the work that we did, forbearance
proposal, and onboarding process and determining if this was
a client that we were going to take on.
Q When you say this was a client, can you clarify?
A Restate, please.
Q Who is this?
25 A The engagement that we do for the Trump

Organization.
Q Is this memo kept among the work papers for this engagement?

## A Correct.

MR. GABER: I'd like to move this into evidence, Judge.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
Q So, Mr. Harris, I'd like to direct your attention to the top of the second page of this document, if you would.

It says: "On August 17, 2021 Camron Harris, Randy Gregg, Jeff Lawlis held a call with Mark Hawthorn and Jeff McConney. During this call we went through all the prior years' audit and compilation records and asked various questions about the operation and each of the entities. We also discussed the clients acceptance inquiry outlined above. Management provided and answered all questions adequately and was forthright in providing all necessary information."

Was-- the meeting occurred as described here?
A Yes.
Q Who is Jeff McConney?
A Jeff McConney was the Vice President of Finance.
Q At the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q Who is Mark Hawthorn?
A Mark Hawthorn's role was CFO at the Trump
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## Organization over the resort properties.

Q Was the Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump one of the audits and compilations discussed on this call with Mr. Hawthorn and Mr. McConney?

A I believe so.
Q Do you know what or can you describe what role Jeff McConney played in the SOFC engagement?

A Yes, Jeff was one of our main points of contact as we were going through that compilation. He's reviewed a lot of the work prior to it being provided to us.
Q Same question for Mr. Hawthorn.
A Mr. Hawthorn was not involved in the Statement of Financial Condition.
Q Now, move down to the third to last paragraph on this document. It's right there above the section called "background check." It says that-- I'm sorry. It's the one starting on September 1, 2021. It says that "Camron Harris Randy Gregg and Jeff Lawlis held a call with Jeff McConney. During this call we discussed and asked questions regarding the DJT SOFC and reviewed the prior year report."

Is prior year review a requirement of compilation engagement?
A No.
Q Can you tell me what you recall of the discussion at that meeting?

1 A We would have gone through the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition that was provided by Jeff McConney to us and just read through it trying to get an understanding of what was included, what the process entailed, and how we were to obtain information and then we asked the client acceptance inquiries as well.
Q Prior year inquiries aren't required, as I believe you just testified. Why were you reviewing the previous year's SOFC?

A We all prefer to get the prior year papers, if we can, to obtain a better understanding of the work audit that was done in the prior year and to help us, aid us as we begin to plan for potentially doing this engagement.
Q Did you ultimately accept that engagement?
A Yes.
MR. GABER: Can I bring up 1497.
Q Mr. Harris, I've handed you a document marked
Plaintiffs Exhibit 1497 for identification. Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, sir.
Q Can you tell me what this document is?
A Overall compilation program. So, this program is
sort of built out into three sections. A planning section or work papers section and then a reporting section. This program is promulgated from the compilation guidance SSARS
which is made by the AICPA.
So, this is our guide whenever there is a
compilation that shows all the steps that we need to complete as part of the compilation.

Q And is this for the Statement of Financial Condition engagement, this particular compilation program?

A Correct.
MR. GABER: I'd like to move this into evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
Q Mr. Harris, take a step back. Can you explain your understanding of what a compilation is, in accounting terms?

A Sure. At a compilation, we're receiving information from the client. We're reviewing that for obvious errors.
We are then putting it together in a format and presentation that is the proper, in accordance with the applicable
framework, that we're doing that compilation in. We do not conclude or do not verify any of the accuracy on any of the information that is provided.

Q Is that different than a review or audit engagement?
A Yes.
Q In what way?
A Compilation, we're at lowest attestation, lowest
assurance in the attestation agreements. Review would be the next level of an attestation agreement, which would include review, year after year analytics, inquiries with management
and then an audit would be the top attestation engagement type where you're truly going into the underlying support documents.

Q You mentioned the standard governing compilation engagements. Do you know what those standards are? Can you describe them?

A SSARS 80. Yes. SSARS 80, that is the main guidance we file when we go through the compilation. It's a statement on standards for accounting and review services and that's what these programs are derived from that.

We followed that as our guide to complete all of the necessary and required steps and procedures.
Q At this time, I'd like for you to turn to page 4, on the screen here. Page four says that "Whitley Penn held a planning call with Jeff McConney and Patrick Birney in early October 2021."

## Were you on this call?

A Yes.
Q Early October 2021. Can you describe what was discussed at this call?
A We described it as our planning call. So, during the planning call, we'd going over introducing our team to the client. We'd also be introduced to the main points of contact that we'd be working with in regards to that engagement.
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We'd also go through a timeline of when we were going to do this work for them. We also, during that time, we'll ask for updates, things that are going on with the operations of entities, any changes in systems or internal controls and go through inquiries as well.
(Continued on following page..)
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| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ | 1 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1515. |
|  | 2 (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed |
|  | 3 to the witness.) |
|  | 4 Q So, this is a sort of series of emails, so we'll start |
|  | 5 from the bottom. |
|  | 6 MR. GABER: Not all the way. Sorry. Page two. |
|  | $7 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ So, page two here, this is an email -- or, do you |
|  | 8 recognize this document? |
|  | 9 A What I'm holding here is different than that. |
|  | 10 (Whereupon, there was a pause in the |
|  | 11 proceedings.) |
|  | 12 A This is different. Yeah. What I'm holding here is |
|  | 13 not the same thing as what I'm seeing on the screen. |
| 14 A I couldn't answer that. | 14 (Whereupon, there was a pause in the |
| 15 Q Okay. | 15 proceedings.) |
| 16 MR. GABER: So, if we scroll down to page 14. | 16 (Whereupon, a document was handed to the |
| 17 Q First, it says Patrick at the top of the page. Who is | 17 witness.) |
| 18 Patrick? | 18 Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Harris? |
| 19 A Patrick Birney. | 19 A Yes. |
| 20 Q Who is Patrick Birney? | 20 Q Can you -- is this your email address here, at the |
| 21 A I'm not positive of his title, but he was main point | 21 top? |
| 22 of contact that we had when we were completing Statements of | 22 A Yes, it is. |
| 23 Financial Condition, and prepared and provided a majority of the | 23 Q Okay. And did you send this email? |
| 24 work papers. | 24 A Yes. |
| 25 Q Okay. So it says, "Patrick explained that he is the | 25 MR. GABER: I would like to move Plaintiff's |
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| 1 primary preparer of the valuations. Patrick obtained all of the | 1 Exhibit 1515 into evidence. |
| 2 necessary information for the valuations from external and | 2 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. |
| 3 internal sources. He worked with other team members to pull | 3 (Whereupon, Plaintiffs Exhibit 1515 was received |
| 4 this information together, such as Ray Flores. Ray Flores | 4 in evidence.) |
| 5 performs the first review of Patrick's spreadsheet and financial | 5 Q So, "Mr. Harris, I have included everything, based on |
| 6 statements. Prior to issuance of the SOFC, an individual from | 6 the information I have, but need help on audit committee and RP |
| 7 upper management of the Trump Organization, and also one of the | 7 section below, before getting this over to Felix, to |
| 8 Trump family members, will read and review the financial | 8 distribute." |
| 9 statements." | 9 First, what is RP, in this context? |
| 10 Mr. Harris, do you know who the individual from upper | 10 A Related party. |
| 11 management of the Trump Organization was, referred to here? | 11 Q Okay. And Mr. Harris, looking at this document, what |
| 12 A Jeff McConney. | 12 are you asking for here, can you tell me? |
| 13 Q And Mr. Harris, do you know who the member of the | 13 A This email took place as I was preparing to send out |
| 14 Trump family who read and reviewed the 2021 financial statement | 14 our independence check. |
| 15 was? | 15 Q Is that a conflict check? |
| 16 A We received the representation letter signed by Donald | 16 A Yes. |
| 17 Trump, Jr., as the trustee. | 17 Q And if you go to page three of the document, |
| 18 Q It's your understanding that he reviewed the | 18 Mr. Harris, one of the lines, it says, "List the names of the |
| 19 statement? | 19 board of directors/trustees, including the chairman of the audit |
| 20 A We provided the final version to Jeff to provide to | 20 committee." |
| 21 him, and we got the representation letter returned to us, | 21 You were asking for that information, then. Do you |
| 22 signed, by Mr. Trump, Jr. | 22 have an understanding of who those individuals are, for the |
| 23 Q Thank you. We can move on. | 23 Trump Organization? |
| 24 Mr. Harris, I'm going to ask you to -- for | 24 A Yes. |
| 25 identification, I'm going to pass you a document that's marked | 25 Q Can you tell me? |

A Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump.
Q What do you understand Eric Trump's role to have been with respect to the board of directors/trustees, including the chairman of the audit committee?

A As the trustee, executive leadership, corporate governance, tone at the top.
Q And Donald Trump, Jr.?
A Same responsibilities.
Q Did either -- did Eric Trump play any roles as a
member of, or chairman of, the audit committee for the Trump Organization?
A They do not have an audit committee.
Q They do not have -- okay. What interactions did you have with Eric Trump in their role as --
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the
proceedings.)
Q Tone at the top?
A The person in charge, they set the tone at the top.
The leader.
Q And did you have meetings with Eric Trump, in this capacity?
A Is this in relation to the Statement of Financial
Condition?
Q Yes.
A No.

Q And I believe that we were at your counsel's office, we sat in the conference room, there was a stenographer there?

A I remember, yes. I remember this.
Q Do you remember what I asked you about the audit committee and the annual meetings at the time?

A Yeah.
Q Okay. And if I were to bring up your transcript, would that potentially refresh your recollection as to answers you gave during that meeting, or during that --

A I don't recall what I said.
Q Okay. And would it refresh your recollection if I showed you your testimony from that day?

A Yes.
MR. GABER: I would like to refresh his rec, so
if we look at page 76.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed.)
Q So, I asked you:
"So, in this capacity that we are describing
here, did Don, Jr. or Eric certify that there were any
internal audit controls, policies or procedures at the
Trump Organization that you are aware of?"
And you said:
"No."
And I asked you:
"You have described some of what you understand
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Q How about generally?
A Who?
Q Mr. Eric Trump. Did you have meetings with Mr. Eric
Trump regarding other engagements?
A Other engagements, yes.
Q But you had no other meetings that included you
discussing the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
Q I had asked you if you had had any meeting with Eric
Trump that discussed the Statement of Financial Condition.
A No, I did not.
Q Did you have an annual meeting with Mr. Eric Trump
related to the Trump Organization engagement?
A Correct.
Q Yes?
A Yes.
Q The Statements of Financial Condition have been discussed at any of these annual meetings?

A No, they were not.
Q You sure about that?
A Our first meeting took place subsequent to the issuance of the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Mr. Harris, do you remember giving a deposition in May of this year?

A Yes.
. Harris - Direct by Mr. Gaber
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Eric's role to be. You mentioned an annual meeting. You had mentioned that you/he is present at meetings between you and the managers of the hotels."

You said:
"Correct."
I asked:
"Any other interactions with Mr. Eric Trump in regards to his, like, role as either --"

I think the transcript is a little --
"-- in the capacity of an audit committee member, or --"

And then you said:
"Sure. On the audit side, those are primary discussions."

Let me just see.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
Q So then, if we skip ahead to 77, on line 11, I asked you:
"Just the high level, just the high level managerial operational stuff that you described?"

And then you said:
"Yup. Exactly right. That annual meeting we have goes through all the engagements which, the Statement of Financial Condition would be a part of that discussion."

So, does this refresh your recollection as to whether those annual meetings discussed the Statement of Financial Condition?

MR. ROBERT: Objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: And what's the ground of the objection?

MR. ROBERT: I think Mr. Gaber has to go up to the question and answer that's before that, to put that in context.

THE COURT: Well, let's do that, unless there's an objection.
Q Okay.
"Question: Did you have any meeting with either Don, Jr. or Eric, with respect to the SOFCs?"

You answered:
"Not specifically. Not specifically in relation to the, yeah, Statement of Financial Condition."

And then, the question that I just read out.
So, while not specifically, did you have any meetings in which the Statement of Financial Condition would be a part of the discussion?

A I did not have any meetings with Donald Trump, Jr. or Eric Trump in regards to the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q So, when you testified that at the annual meeting that you had that goes through all the engagements, which the

Q Okay. Mr. Harris, looking at the signature block, can you tell me why this engagement letter is addressed to Mr. Eric Trump?

A As the trustee of the revocable trust and most of the properties, assets, liabilities that are included on the Statement of Financial Condition fall under the revocable trust.

Q The signature block identifies Mr. Trump as president of the Trump Organization. Did you understand that to be his role at the time of this letter?

A Yes.
Q The letter then goes on to say:
"Mr. Trump -- Dear Mr. Trump, you have requested that we prepare the financial statements of Donald J. Trump, the company, which comprise your Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, G.A.A.P., and perform a compilation engagement with respect to these financial statements. We are pleased to confirm our acceptance and understanding of this engagement by means of this letter."

So, this is, Whitley Penn will prepare the financial statements and perform a compilation engagement with respect to these statements. Is preparation and performing of the compilation engagement different than compiling the Statement of Financial Condition?
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Statement of Financial Condition would be a part of that discussion -

A I think the question, lines seven and nine, or line nine, would be my answer, that I did not have any meetings in relation.

Q Did you discuss the Statement of Financial Condition at the annual meetings in any way?

A No.
Q That seems --
A Yes. It's contradictory, nine and fifteen are definitely contradictory, but during those annual meetings that we had in January, that's with Eric Trump and his accounting team and the property managers, and we go through the audit and other compilation engagements during that meeting.

Q Okay. Let's move on.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q So Mr. Harris, I'm handing you a document marked PX 2300 for identification. Do you recognize this document?

A Yes, sir.
Q Can you tell me what it is?
A Mazars engagement letter.
Q Engagement letter for what engagement?
A Engagement letter for the Statement of Financial
Condition.

A As part of the compilation engagement, what we were doing is taking the information provided by the client, reviewing that for any obvious errors, and then adjusting it for any presentation or format issues to make sure it's in accordance with that applicable guidance.

Q Does preparation include generating valuations of assets or determining the amounts of liabilities in the financial state?

A No. We do not verify anything, in a compilation.
Q So under, Our Responsibilities, it says, "The objective of our engagement is to prepare financial statements in accordance with G.A.A.P., based on information provided by you, and then B, apply accounting and financial reporting expertise to assist you in the presentation of financial statements, without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for them to be in accordance with G.A.A.P."

Can you describe what you mean, by without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance?

A Correct. As part of a compilation, we could not verify the accuracy of any of the information that is provided, and we do not provide an opinion, or any assurance or conclusion, in regards to the accuracy of the information on that compilation.

Q Okay. And then a moment ago we discussed the, I believe it's pronounced SSARSs?

A Correct.
Q And you had said you discussed them, but can you tell me what they provide in the context of a compilation engagement, including the ethical principles here?

A Yes. Under SSARSs, that is the guidance and the rules that we follow. It provides the guidelines that we must follow, and the procedures that we must do to be in compliance with the compilation guidance.

Q And then the paragraph below that, it says, "We are not required to, and will not, verify the accuracy or completeness of the information you will provide to us for the engagement, or otherwise gather evidence for the purpose of expressing an opinion or conclusion. Accordingly, we will not express an opinion or conclusion, nor provide any assurance on financial statements."

Why are you not required to verify accuracy or completeness of the information?

A That's the definition of a compilation.
Q So --
A Under -- not required, under a compilation, to do those procedures.
Q Okay. And assurance here, again, I think you described it, means what?
C. Harris - Direct by Mr. Gaber
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A We are not providing any opinion or providing any conclusions in regards to the information within the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q In the next paragraph it says, "Our engagement cannot be relied upon to identify or disclose any financial statement, misstatement, including those caused by fraud or error, or to identify or disclose any wrongdoing within the entity, or noncompliance with laws and regulations."

Is this also part of the compilation standards that you have discussed?

A Correct.
Q So, this is set by the AICPA for any compilation engagement?

A Correct.
Q Can I direct to you page two? Under the header, Your Responsibilities, it says, "This engagement is -- the engagement to be performed is conducted on the basis that you acknowledge and understand that our role is, to prepare financial statements in accordance with G.A.A.P., and assist you in the presentation of the financial statements in accordance with G.A.A.P. You have the following overall responsibilities that are fundamental to our undertaking the engagement, in accordance with SSARSs."

And then there's a list here of several items that fit that description. Can I just ask, as a general matter, for all of the responsibilities listed here in the A through G -- sorry,

A through H , is it important that a client acknowledge and understand their responsibilities, as described here?

A Yes.
Q Is it necessary for them to do so in order for Whitley Penn to take and engagement such as this one?

A Yes.
Q So, beneath the list of responsibilities, the document also says that the Trump Organization would be responsible for, quote, "Designating an individual with suitable skills, knowledge and experience to oversee our preparation of your financial statements."

To your knowledge, was somebody at the Trump Organization designated for this purpose, in fact?

A Jeff McConney.
Q Jeff McConney.
So, it also says, "You are also responsible for all management decisions and responsibilities --" Sorry. That's what I just read.

Okay. Later in the document, it says, "These responsibilities are fundamental to your undertaking the engagement."

I think that you already said that Whitley Penn would require the client to acknowledge all of these things before taking it, but why are they fundamental? Can you explain that?

A Under a compilation, we are not doing anything, you
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know, to verify the accuracy of that information, so that responsibility and accountability follows within the client to be doing those things so that the information is correct, because we didn't do anything to verify that it is correct.

Q And then the last sentence on this page, it says, "You are responsible for evaluating the adequacy and results of these services performed, and accepting responsibility for such services."

What does that mean to you, for a client to be responsible for those items?

A The client needs to make representations that the information that they are providing is accurate and complete, and in accordance with the framework that we are following.

Q Can we go down to the next page, then?
On page three of this, under, Our report, it says, "As part of our engagement, we'll issue a report on the compiled financial statements that will state that we did not audit or review the financial statements, and that accordingly, we do not express an opinion, a conclusion, or provide assurance on them."

I believe that you describe the assurance issue. Then it says, "Our report will disclose," and for the sake of time, I'll just say that there's a long list here of disclosures that the report will include. Are you able to summarize what these disclosures are?

A Under the compilation guidance, again, we, the
management, acknowledged that the framework was going to be under G.A.A.P., and so we reviewed all of the information that we were providing, reviewed the Statement of Financial Condition and the report to ensure that it's in that proper presentation and format that follows G.A.A.P., but then you must disclose any departures from G.A.A.P., and so these are the departures from G.A.A.P.

Q So, departures from G.A.A.P. are required disclosure in a compilation report?

A Correct.
Q And so, would each one of the disclosures here be necessary to disclose, before you were to issue your compilation report?

A Restate that question?
Q So, if there is a departure from G.A.A.P. in the financial statement, you would -- would you issue the compilation report, without disclosure of that departure?

A No.
Q Okay. At the bottom of this paragraph it says, "If these provisions were to be included in the financial statements," referring to the disclosures that we just discussed, "they might influence the user's conclusions about the entities' financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. Accordingly, the financial statements will not be designed for those who are not informed about such matters.
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There may be circumstances in which the report differs from the expected form and content."

Can you explain to me what it means here, that the provisions -- if the provisions were to be included, they might influence the user's conclusions? What does that mean?

A That if all this information was included, that the amounts could be different.

Q And what does it mean that the statements will not be designed for those who are not informed about such matters?

A Anybody that uses the statement should understand that the numbers have not been verified, we have not verified the accuracy of them, and we have not concluded or provided any sort of assurance on them, and that these departures could change the amounts that are being presented, on that statement of the financial condition. So, any user needs to be aware of those disclaimers.

Q Is that for the benefit of Whitley Penn, as the compiler?

You just described that it -- that you hadn't performed assurance on it, and so the user would need to be aware of that.

A I think part of it, yeah, it's for Whitley Penn. Yes.
Q Okay. So is the warning here, that users might reach a different conclusion about the financial condition of Donald J. Trump, is that wording based only on the G.A.A.P. exceptions
here, the disclosure of the G.A.A.P. exceptions, or is it just broadly, that's construed.

A I believe this paragraph, specifically, that we are referring to, is talking about the disclaimers and the differences, those departures and the differences, those could make.

Q So Mr. Harris, having gone through the statements that Whitley Penn has made in the engagement letter, and looking at the Trump's organizations responsibilities in the engagement, broadly speaking, what's the role of this mutual responsibility and obligation in the engagement letter?

A The majority of the responsibility falls upon the client to do A through G , or H , that was listed on that previous page, whereas part of our compilation procedures -- again, you're gathering information from a client, reviewing it for obvious errors, and putting it into a usable format that's in accordance with the framework.

MR. GABER: Can we go to the bottom of the document?
Q So, at the bottom it says, response, "This letter correctly sets forth our understanding, The Trump Organization, acknowledged and agreed on behalf of the Trump Organization by," and then do you recognize that signature, Mr. Harris?

A Yes, I do.
Q Whose signature is that?
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based on his position at the Trump Organization?

A I would presume the trustee and president would be able to make that decision.

Q Okay. And would Whitley Penn perform a compilation report without an engagement letter?

A No.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q So Mr. Harris, I'm handing you, for identification, a document that has been marked Plaintiffs Exhibit 1512.

Do you recognize this document Mr. Harris?
A Yes. I recognize this document.
Q Can you describe to me what it is?
A Yeah. Email from another engagement team member to me. He was trying to put the agenda together that we would provide the client, as we started to begin the planning and the compilation for the Statement of Financial Condition.
(Continued on the next page.)

1 that's the main part of what he was referring to.
$2 \quad Q \quad$ As beyond the scope of the compilation engagement?
3 A Not beyond the scope. Sorry. Restate your original question.

Q I had just asked you, I believe, and the last answer, you said that Mr. McKinnell was looking at the agenda and trying to make sure the items that were going to be discussed, that would put you in the position of providing too much assurance or getting rid of the audit scope of the compilation. I was trying to understand and identify any of the items here.
A Yes, number seven, the management inquiries. It's larger than the audit review agenda. There's more items.

Q Looking at this agenda, as you and Mr. McKinnell, as the engagement team, and two other client teams Jeff McConney, Raymond Flores and Patrick Birney, these were the individuals, the ones at this meeting?

A Correct.
Q It seems we don't have paper copies of this. Are you comfortable with just reviewing it on the screen, Mr. Harris?

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q Can you tell us what this is?
A Our Statement of Financial Condition, the final
report.
Q The Statement of Financial Condition, the final report. What are the components of this document?
A This document would be made up of our compilation report, which is our letter to the trustee that goes at the front of this report and then it's going to include the Statement of Financial Condition as well as the footnotes and disclosures.

MR. GABER: First, I'd like to admit this document in evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
Q You just said, Mr. Harris, you'd include our compilation report. Whitley Penn is responsible for the compilation report?
A When I say compilation report, I'm referencing just the one page that has our signature on it.

Q In addition to the compilation report, you mentioned the Statement of Financial Condition and the notes.

Who is responsible for the content of the

## Statements of Financial Condition?

A The client's responsible for that.
Q Who's responsible for the notes?
A The client is responsible for them.
Q So, at the top of this, this is the report that you were describing, right?
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## A Correct.

Q It says: "The trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014 as amended on behalf of Donald J. Trump, are responsible for the accompanying personal financial statement which comprises the Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30,221 and related notes to the financial statement in accordance with accounting principals generally accepted in the Untied States of America."

Then it says: "We had performed a compilation engagement in accordance with the statements on standards for accounting and review services promulgated by the Accounting and Review Services Committee of the AICPA. We did not audit or review the financial statement nor were we required to perform any procedure to verify the accuracy or the completeness of information provided by the trustee of Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended on behalf of Donald J. Trump. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion, a conclusion, nor provide any form of assurance on this personal financial statement."

Can you explain why this language is included in your report?

A It's going back through a lot of that compilation guidance that's stating that the client is ultimately responsible for this, as the accounting firm would be responsible for taking the information that the client
provided, reviewing it for obvious errors, and putting it into the format that would then be used by GAAP in this circumstance.
Q Who is this addressed to, this report?
A The trustee.
Q It says: "The effects of these departures. These departures above," being the GAAP disclosures that were referenced in the engagement letter. You can correct me if Im wrong. "From the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have not been determined."

Can you explain that?
A As part of the compilation guidance, the accountants are not responsible to understand what the effects of those departures from GAAP are that we've included within that disclaimer paragraph.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q It says: "Because of the significance and pervasiveness of the matters discussed above."

I'll first ask, what are the matters discussed
above? What do you understand that to be?
A From the previous page, it's discussing who's
responsible and that it's in GAAP, that it would not provide
our assurance or conclusion on it. It includes the departure from GAAP as well.
Q It says: "Makes it difficult to assess their impact
financial statement should recognize that they might reach
different conclusions about the financial condition of Donald
J. Trump if they had access to a revised Statement of
Financial Condition prepared in conformity with the accounting
principles generally accepted in the United States of
America."

Who are the users of this personal financial statement?
A That, as my understanding, this requirement came from a lender.

Q Do you know which lender?
A I believe Deutsche.
Q Does this paragraph only refer to the disclosed exceptions to GAAP listed on the previous page?

We can go up to that, if you want to see it?
A Yeah. I think it's referring exactly to those
departures from GAAP as well as this other paragraph in
regards to effects of COVID on the operations of the assets.
Q This here, this is, it's labeled The Notes to
Statement of Financial Condition.
Why does it say in parentheses Independent
Accountant's Compilation Report?
A That's a requirement in the disclosure checklist for compilation to include that wording in there. I think it's
in there so that the user will refer back to that compilation report we just went through that has those certain departures and some of that wording that provided no assurance on this information.

Q Right before we take a break, it says "assets are stated at their estimated current values and liabilities at their estimated current amount using various valuation methods."

Can you explain what that means?
A The client prepared calculations for the assets and liabilities-- well, for the assets in regards to -- they
prepared calculations to get to the fair market value, the current value, or selling price of these assets.

Q The client prepared them?
A Yes.
MR. GABER: Judge, we can take a break now. Okay?

THE COURT: Another ten-minute break. Take 15 minutes.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Let's get the witness.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom.)
THE COURT: Please proceed.
Q Mr. Harris, you said the client is responsible for calculating the assets and liability in the Statement of
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1 Financial Condition?
2 A Correct.
3 Q Did Whitley Penn determine any of the values presented in the Statements of Financial Condition?

A No, we did not verify the accuracy of the information.

Q Did Whitley Penn determine any valuation methods for the client to use?

A No, we did not determine any valuation methods.
Q Mr. Harris, I'm going to hand you an exhibit marked
PX 050101, slip-sheet for a document provided to us. Do you recognize the spreadsheet, Mr. Harris?
A Yes, sir.
Q Can you tell me what that is?
A This worksheet, the Excel worksheet was provided by the client. It included all of the asset amounts that feed, tie back into the Statement of Financial Condition.
Q Is that the final version that was used to tie back to your final compilation report?

A If it was pulled from our work papers, it would be the final version.
Q I can represent to you it was pulled from your work papers.

A Yes.
MR. GABER: I ask that this be admitted into
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1
$\qquad$

Q So, any asset or liability listed in this Statement of Financial Condition would be found in this document?
A The liabilities are not within this work paper.
Q Where do the liabilities come from?
A We have a separate detail for an accounts payable detail and notes payable detail that are included within our work papers that detail out all of those portions, the liability portion of Statement of Financial Condition.
Q Okay. You said that Whitley Penn would correct any obvious errors in this document; is that correct?
A Management or the clients would correct any errors that we noted. Any obvious errors, we would not make the corrections.
Q Did you perform any analysis or analytic procedure on the assets listed here?
A No, we do not.
Q I'm going to present you an exhibit now marked Plaintiffs Exhibit 1502 for identification.

Do you recognize this document, Mr. Harris?
A Yes, sir.
Q Can you tell me what it is?
A This is our representation letter. This letter is
prepared by us but we provide it to the client to review and sign and return to us. It is the last work paper that we receive prior to issuing our final compilation report and it
includes representations that management is making, that representations are stating that the information that the client has provided is accurate, complete, and in accordance with applicable framework.

Q The second paragraph here says: "Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered material, regardless of size, if they involve an omission or misstatement of accounting information such that in the light of surrounding circumstances there is a substantial likelihood that individually or in the aggregate, they would significantly influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the SOFC."

I just want to ask you, what does it mean if the items are considered material, regardless of size?

A On the compilation we did prepare materiality calculation but, yeah, if anything can be misconstrued by the user, regardless of that materiality that we use, yeah, it could significantly influence or change your judgment.
Q It says: "We acknowledge our responsibility and have fulfilled our responsibilities for the preparation and fair presentation of the SOFC in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America except for the following items:" Then there's, I believe, a list, we've grown very familiar with, of GAAP exceptions but
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would Whitley Penn have issued the SOFC if the client did not make this representation about the GAAP exceptions?
A No.
Q Now, in the interest of time, I won't read through
all the representations but there's a number of representations in this document that are being made.

Would Whitley Penn issue the compilation report
without these representations from management?
A We would not issue a report without getting the signed representation letter.
$Q$ In this form?
A In?
Q Signed representation. Would Whitley Penn have issued the 2021 SOFC without a signed representation letter in this form?

A This form?
Q This letter. Not any letter. A letter that lists
out everything here?
A Correct.
MR. GABER: Apparently, I have not moved to admit this into evidence. I'd like to do so.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Can we ask Mr. Sherief to speak a little more into the microphone.

MR. GABER: I'll try that. Can we scroll to the



Q Who would have read and comprehended the documents that were uploaded by the Trump Organization in the Mazars portal?

A Whichever staff member would have been assigned to work on an engagement would have done whatever procedures were required for the engagement they were working on.

Q Okay. And in connection with the 2016 compilations report for the Statement of Financial Condition, who would have read and reviewed the documents that were uploaded in the Mazars portal by the Trump Organization?

A I'm not sure it went into the portal. I'm just saying, it's a possibility.

Q So, the Trump Organization, it's possible, could have been uploading documents into the portal, but you don't know who would have read those documents?

A Any person who would have signed off on the document, or on the binder -- any staff member who would have worked on the, prepared the work papers, an individual entity, would sign off on the work papers that they worked on.
Q But you don't know who that was?
A On which occasion?
Q In the connection with the 2016 compilation report for the Statement of Financial Condition.

A You need to look in the binder and see who signed off on the binder.
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Q Are these physical binders?
A Electronic binders.
Q They are electronic binders. Did you access to the electronic binders?

A Yes.
Q And in connection with preparing your compilation report for the Statement of Financial Condition, did you read and understand the documents that were in the electronic binders?

A I did not read -- all I did not necessarily read all of the documents.

Q Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: If we can please turn to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 740, and if we can zoom into the section that says, Our responsibilities.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
A Excuse me. Which paragraph?
Q We are looking here at, Our responsibilities, and you would agree, Mr. Bender, that this was the engagement letter prepared by Mazars in connection with the 2016 compilation report for the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. Do you see in paragraph two, where it says, "The objective of our engagement is to apply accounting and
financial reporting expertise to assist you in the presentation of the financial statement, without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statement in order for it to be in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States."

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q All right. What accounting and financial reporting expertise did you apply to assist the Trump Organization in the presentation of the financial statement?

A I applied compilation procedures, as deemed necessary to do this engagement.

Q Any others?
A I can't tell you what I did for the June 30, 2016
statement without my binder to see if I did anything else that I could find.

Q So, paragraph one of this document says, "The objective of our engagement is to prepare the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepting in the United States of America, based on information provided by you."

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q So, "you," is the Trump Organization, in this case?
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A Yes, sir.
Q They would provide, you, Mazars, through Mr. Bender, information; correct?

A They provided us information, that we do compilation procedures.

Q Okay. And then you, Mazars, undertook the responsibility to apply accounting and financial reporting expertise. In the second paragraph. Do you see, in the second paragraph --

A Yes, sir.
Q -- it says, "Apply accounting and financial reporting expertise?"

A To assist.
Q To assist. So, you undertook, you promised the Trump Organization that you were going to apply Mazars allegedly considerable accounting and financial reporting expertise to assist the Trump Organization.

A We were engaged to compile financial statements.
Q But that's not what this says. This doesn't say that, We agree that it is our responsibility to apply compilation procedures, Mr. Bender. This goes a step further. It says that you are going to assist them in the presentation of the financial statements.

A Without undertaking or obtaining or providing any assurance that there are no material modifications that should
be made.
Q And granted, it says that, but what meaning do you ascribe to the concept that there was expertise that you were going to apply to assist your client in preparing those financial statements?

A If you would need a further definition, I would have to reach out. We would need to get somebody who is an expert in compilations.

Q So, you are not sure of what additional expertise was applied to the Trump Organization.

A I would want to get expert help.
Q And is that something that we would be able to
determine, from your time records? Did you log this in your time entries?

A Did I do the --
Q Yes.
A Did I --
Q Did you maintain time entries? All the lawyers in private practice are still traumatized by time entries.

A I did time sheets.
Q You do time sheets.
A Yes.
Q And you maintain time sheets in connection with your work on the compilation reports for the Statements of Financial Condition?
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A Yes, sir.
Q And would those time sheets adequately describe the accounting and financial reporting expertise that you applied to assist in the presentation of the financial statements?

A I don't think -- you would have to check to see if there were descriptions.

Q All right. And how were those time sheets kept?
A Electronically.
Q Are they in the binders?
A No, sir.
Q Where are they kept, in the Mazars universe?
A You would have to speak to somebody in internal accounting.

Q The last time -- you retired from Mazars in what year, Mr. Bender?

A '22.
Q In 2022? And at that time, if you needed to pull up your accounting records to see your time sheets and your time entries, how would you do that?
A I would have the ability to pull up most of those, that information, yes.
Q You would have had the ability?
A Yes.
Q Affirmatively had the ability to do?
A Yes.

Q And if you needed to do it, how would you do it?
A I would load my time entry program.
MR. WALLACE: Objection, at this point. I interrupted the witness. I apologize.
MR. SUAREZ: We'll move on.
Next page.
Q Do you see this disclaimer language here, Mr. Bender, where it says that your own report will include the following and, then it has a disclaimer?

A Yes, sir.
Q And if I were to pull up the compilation report for 2016, Plaintiff's Exhibit 742 -- excuse me, Plaintiff's
Exhibit 756, Plaintiff's Exhibit 756, that would have the same disclaimer?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Im not sure the record it clear what Plaintiff's Exhibit 756 is.

THE WITNESS: That's what I want to make sure. (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
A Yes, sir.
Q We are going to blow up 756, and it will show the disclaimer, and that disclaimer appears there, on the second paragraph of 756.

A No. That's the last paragraph.
Q Last paragraph?
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A Not the second paragraph.
Q Significance and pervasiveness of the matters discussed above?

A Yes, sir.
Q And the engagement letter was a prerequisite to you getting the engagement to prepare the compilation report; correct?

A We might have started the procedures before we received the physical engagement letter.

Q So, you did not need an engagement letter to start the procedure; is that your testimony?

A We might have started it without the -- without the --
Q My question is a little different. Did you need the engagement letter to start the procedures?

A I did not need the engagement letter to start the procedures.

Q Do the accounting, the accounting, the CPA accounting guidelines require you to have an engagement letter before you perform a compilation report?

A I would have to check. I'm not sure, off the top of my head.

THE COURT: Ten-minute warning.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you, sir.
Q If the engagement letter, in theory, predates the compilation report, and in fact predates the compilation report,

| D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez Page 498 | D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez Page 500 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 how would you know which disclosures were appropriate to include | 1 Q Correct? |
| 2 in your accountant's compilation report, if you had not even | 2 MR. SUAREZ: I'm going to adopt your Honor's |
| 3 begun the engagement, Mr. Bender? | 3 order and direction, to say the same question with respect |
| 4 A Well, can you clarify what you mean by disclosure? | 4 to each asset. I just want to make sure that l've got the |
| 5 Q Sure. How would you know that this clause was | 5 question down right. |
| 6 required in your compilation report, ahead of time? | 6 Q With respect to the escrow reserve, deposits and |
| 7 A If the Trump Organization would have informed us that | 7 prepaid expenses identified as $\$ 28,010,000$, were you able to |
| 8 they wanted to change the level of work we had done before. | 8 determine that there was no failure to disclose the methods used |
| 9 They had not informed us of such a change. | 9 to determine estimated current values and amounts for that |
| 10 Q But this clause isn't standard operating procedure in | 10 asset? |
| 11 a compilation report; correct? | 11 A Yes. I believe so. |
| 12 A No, sir. | 12 Q Is that yes? |
| 13 Q You would have to become familiar with the accounting | 13 A Yes. |
| 14 and financial reporting of the organization in order to | 14 Q Yes. Same question for Trump Tower? |
| 15 determine which clause you needed to include as a disclaimer; | 15 A Just reword the question one more time? Or reread the |
| 16 correct? | 16 question? |
| 17 A Yes, sir. | 17 THE COURT: Do you want to repeat? |
| 18 Q And you would do that, in theory, prior to preparing a | 18 MR. SUAREZ: Please. |
| 19 compilation report? | 19 THE COURT: Okay. |
| 20 A Yes, sir. | 20 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the |
| 21 Q Okay. And the next page, as to cash and marketable | 21 proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) |
| 22 securities and hedge funds, escrow reserve, deposits and, | 22 A Yes, I was. |
| 3 pre-paid taxes -- | 23 Q Same question for Trump Tower? |
| 24 MR. WALLACE: Objection. It says expenses, not | 24 A Yes, sir. |
| 25 taxes. | 25 Q Same answer? |
| D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez Page 499 | D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez Page 501 |
| MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry. Thank you. It's late. | 1 A Yes, sir. |
| 2 Q As to cash, marketable securities and hedge funds, | 2 Q Same question for NikeTown on East 57th Street? Same |
| 3 escrow reserve, deposits, and prepaid expenses, those are two | 3 question, same answer? |
| 4 separate lines in this Statement of Financial Condition; | 4 A Yes, |
| 5 correct? | 5 THE COURT: You don't have to say, Same question, |
| 6 A Yes, sir. | 6 same answer. You can just list each property. |
| 7 Q All right. So, as to cash, marketable securities and | 7 Q And that applies, as well, to 40 Wall Street? |
| 8 hedge funds, which are listed as $\$ 114,400,000$, would you agree | 8 A Yes, sir. |
| 9 that you had the ability to determine that there was no failure | $9 \quad$ Q And that applies, as well, to the Trump Park Avenue? |
| 10 to disclose the methods used to determine estimated current | 10 A Yes, sir. |
| 11 value and amount of that asset? | 11 Q And applies as well to the club facilities and related |
| 12 A What's the last word? I'm sorry. Just last word. I | 12 real estate in New York, Florida, New Jersey, California, |
| 13 didn't hear. | 13 Washington D.C., North Carolina, Scotland and Ireland? |
| 14 Q Of that asset? | 14 A Yes, sir. |
| 15 A Okay. Thank you. | 15 Q And those are identified at 2,107,800,000? |
| 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Just, ask the whole | 16 A Our compilation procedures, we were able to satisfy, |
| 17 question again. | 17 as part of our compilation procedures. |
| 18 MR. SUAREZ: Sure | 18 Q And the same question for the Trump World Tower at |
| 19 Q In connection with your preparation of the independent | 19 United Nations Plaza, Mr. Bender? |
| 20 account and compilation report, were you able to determine that | 20 A Yes. We were able to satisfy, as part of our |
| 21 there was no failure to disclose the method used to determine | 21 compilation procedures. |
| 22 estimated current values and amounts for that asset? | 22 Q And the same question for 100 Central Park South? |
| A I believe there were. | 23 A Yes. We were able to satisfy, as part of our |
| 24 Q You believe there were no failures? | 24 compilation procedures. |
| 25 A No failures. | 25 Q And the same question for Trump Plaza, commercial and |

residential portions, in New York, New York?
A Yes. We were able to satisfy, as part of our compilation procedures at that time.

Q You say, at the time. Is there anything that's changed now?

A I don't recall. I can't tell you what's going on today.

Q With respect to the Statement of Financial Condition in 2016?

A At the time we prepared, there was no issue.
Q Okay. With respect to the Trump Palace, Trump Parc, and Trump Parc East condominiums, the commercial portions, same question Mr. Bender.

A There were no issues at that time. We had no trouble satisfying ourselves.

Q The same question for the Trump International Hotel and Tower in New York, New York, Mr. Bender?

A At that time, we had no problem satisfying ourselves.
Q And the same question for the Trump Old Post Office in Washington D.C.?

A At that time, we had no problem satisfying ourselves.
Q And would you give me the same answer if I asked about
1290 Avenue of the Americas, and 555 California Street?
A Yes, sir.
Q And the same question for the Trump International

Q This document would have been done separately?
A Yes.
Q And where would the values on this document have been divined were?

A They would have come from Jeff Supporting Data.
THE COURT: Okay. Are we through with this? MR. SUAREZ: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. We are going to break in a minute. My Principal Law Clerk, Allison G., has questions for the attorneys about scheduling; okay?

MS. GREENFIELD: So we are starting off tomorrow morning, continuing with the cross of Mr. Bender? Is that correct?

MR. SUAREZ: That's correct.
MS. GREENFIELD: How long do you anticipate you have, remaining?

MR. SUAREZ: Depending on the answers, I will hope to be able to conclude.

MS. GREENFIELD: By the morning?
MR. SUAREZ: Tomorrow.
THE COURT: In the afternoon?
MR. SUAREZ: I think we got into pretty good rhythm. If we can keep that going --

MR. WALLACE: We are prepared to stipulate that each year Mazars issued Statements of Financial Condition.

```
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    Hotel and Tower in Las Vegas?
    A Yes, sir.
    Q Same question for real estate licensing developments?
    A Yes, sir.
    Q And when you say, Yes, sir, Mr. Bender I'm
    understanding that to mean that if I asked you the same
    question, you would give me the same answer?
        A Yes, sir.
        Q And the same for, other assets?
        A Yes, sir.
        Q And that mathematically footed to the 6,300,000,000 --
        MR. SUAREZ: Please move that up.
            Q And that footed to the $6,388,510,000 in total assets?
            A I believe so.
            Q And that's the math that you would have carried over
        from the supporting data which was provided to you?
            A The math would have been done separately, on the
    sheet.
            Q It would have been a separate document?
            A This would have been added up separately.
            Q The math to get here, the numbers that are reflected
        in this statement of assets.
            A I can't tell you if it was done on Excel or in Word,
        without seeing the line documents, but the math would have been
        done -- this document is separate. Would be done separately.
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I'm not sure that we need to go through every line, to get repetitive answers. We are prepared to enter into stipulations to shorten this.

MR. KISE: I think we are back to the future. We had this discussion, earlier. We'll make every effort, overnight, to streamline it, so that we can be done as rapidly as possible.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. Do you want to plan to have another witness, just in case?

So, who is next?
MR. WALLACE: Jeffrey McConney is the next witness.

MS. GREENFIELD: I imagine we are not going any further than that tomorrow; correct?

MR. KISE: That's probably right.
MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. Thank you.
THE COURT: Counselors, come up, one minute.
(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion took place at the bench.)
* * * * *
(Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned to Thursday, October 5, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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\section*{D. Bender - Cross by Mr. Suarez}

THE COURT: SO, I understand some members of the press are coming in. Photographers. We are ready.

Get your best smile.
(Photographs taken.)
THE COURT: Okay. Let's start, but I'm going to stay off the record for a minute.
(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion took place.)

D O NALD BENDER, after having been previously duly sworn, was examined and continued his testimony as follows:

THE COURT: All right counselor whenever you are ready please proceed.

MR. SUAREZ: Thank you your Honor.
CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q Mr. Bender, good morning.
A Good morning.
Q Did you discuss your testimony with anyone since you
left the stand yesterday?
A No, sir.
Q Mr. Bender, I would like to show you a document that was previously identified as Exhibit D 950.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q It's an audit guide for personal financial statements.
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Do you recognize that document?
MR. SUAREZ: Can we turn to the second page?
Q It's an audit and accounting guide; right?
A Second page?
Q Yes. Do you see where it says, Personal financial statement audit guide?

A Okay. This copy doesn't -- it's on the third page. Yes. Yes, sir.

Q Is this a document that you would have reviewed, prior to preparing a compilation report for the Statements of Financial Condition for President Donald Trump?

A I may not have reviewed it every year, but I probably have seen it, in my career.

Q You have probably seen it?
A Yes.
Q And the audit guide for personal financial statements published by the American Institute of Certified Public accountants is this something that you would have endeavored to make yourself familiar with, prior to preparing compilation reports for President Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?

A I was familiar with standard, at the time I prepared -- I compiled Mr. Trump's personal financial statements.

Q Sitting here today, do you have a recollection of having reviewed this audit guide prior to preparing compilation
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reports for the president's Statement of Financial Condition?
A I have no independent memory of when \(I\)-- if I did it, at that time.

MR. WALLACE: We would like to just renew our objection to this exhibit, which, the first time I objected to this coming in -- it's been superseded. It was not in place at the time of 2011, or any engagement going forward.

THE COURT: Well, I have a more fundamental issue. It says, blah, blah, blah, Audit guide. There was no audit here.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'm more than happy to respond with two comments. Number one, I'm simply trying to establish if Mr. Bender has looked at it or relied upon it; and number two is, it does, in fact, address the compilation standards within the guide. A compilation is a form of attestation, like an auditor review. I know your Honor --

THE COURT: Okay. You have answered my objection. I'll overrule the plaintiff's objection.

MR. SUAREZ: Thank you.
I would like to move Exhibit D 950 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 950 was received in evidence.)

Q Mr. Bender, is this a document that you looked at,
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prior to doing the compilation reports? Yes or no?
A I looked at it, but I may not have looked at it every year.

Q You may not have looked at it every year. Did you look at it prior to 2011?

A I don't have any independent memory of which years I looked at it.

Q Okay. Would you have relied on the audit guide?
A It would have been something that we would have -- we would have, with help of members of Mazars, we would have used to rely on to compile the personal financial statements, as applicable.

Q This is something that would have been from Mazars' library?

A I don't know if we had libraries in those days, anymore, but it would have been available online.

Q In the online collection of reference materials, Mr. Bender?

A We would have to check that, specifically.
Q You wouldn't be sure?
A I don't remember how things worked, back then.
Q Okay. Mr. Bender, if you could please turn to page 9 of this document? And that's 9 of the compilation guide. It might not be the ninth page that's right in front of you.

MR. SUAREZ: Keep going.
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Q Chapter two. Do you see where this document reads, compilation of financial statements?

A Yes, sir.
Q All right. Do you see, in section 2.03 , in the second line, where it says, "At a minimum, however the accountant should obtain an understanding of the methods by which the individual determined estimated current values of significant assets, and the estimated current amounts of significant liabilities, and consider whether the methods are appropriate, in light of the nature of each asset or liability?"

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: I would like to pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 786.
I'm sorry. 787.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed.)
Q Mr. Bender, you would agree that this is a Statement of Financial Condition for the year ending June 30, 2011?

A I'm just waiting for him to give it to me.
(Whereupon, a document was handed to the
witness.)
A Yes, sir.
Q Did the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition disclose the method used to determine the value of each asset?

A I believe it did.
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and asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount. Mr. Bender produced the compilation report.

THE COURT: I agree with you. Okay.
So, do you want to read back; you want to just ask it one more time? Up to you.

Q Mr. Bender, did the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A Not at the time we issued the compilation report.
Q Did the compilation report change, after you issued it?

A No, sir.
Q Mr. Bender, if you could, please turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 815?

A Are we finished with these?
Q You can set it aside.
A Thank you.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition is on the screen; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the value of each asset; correct?
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A Yes, sir. I believe so.
Q And the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the amount of each liability correct; sir?

A Yes. Yes, I believe so.
Q And the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition did not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount; correct, Mr. Bender?

A That is correct as of the date, at the time we issued the compilation report. Report. Yes. Report.

Q And the 2012 compilation report did not change, after it was issued; correct.

A We did not change the report.
Q And the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition did not change after it was issued; correct?

A Mazars did not recall the statement -- let me take that back. The report did not change.

Q Did the statement change?
A The statement did not change.
Q Because the report traveled with the statement; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q All right. Let's turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 707.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
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Q Mr. Bender, is this the Statement of Financial Condition for the year ending June 30, 2013, of President Donald J. Trump?

A This is the compilation of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2013.

Q The first page includes your compilation report; correct, Mr. Bender.

A The first page?
Q Second page, after the cover. The first page of the document.

A Includes Mazars independent accountant's compilation report. Yes, sir.

Q Okay. And the 2013 Statement of Financial Condition, Mr. Bender, disclosed the methods used to determine the value of each asset; correct?

A I believe so.
Q You believe that?
A Yes. I'm sorry. I left the word "yes" out.
Q And the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition, Mr. Bender, disclosed the method used to determine the amount of each liability; correct?

A Yes. I believe so.
MR. WALLACE: I'm just going to note an objection
to that question, because he said 2012.
I'm also just going to note an objection to this
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as cumulative. We covered this ground yesterday, and if we are just going to go through, year-by-year, to get the same answer, this is exactly the issue we objected to yesterday. THE COURT: And I'm not going to change the ruling I made in the sidebar, but I accept the premise of it.

Mr. Suarez, how long do you think you will need, to finish cross examining this witness?

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I've formulated, following your Honor's direction, last night --

THE COURT: All I did was ask you how long. How long?

MR. SUAREZ: I believe \(I\) can be done within the next hour and a half.

THE COURT: Plaintiff?
MR. WALLACE: I would like it to be faster, but if that is a hard cap, \(I\) would just as soon move along. THE COURT: All right. In my discretion, you have an hour and a half. Until noon, basically. And then, whatever it is. And, if you want, you can finish earlier. MR. SUAREZ: Understood.

MR. ROBERT: As we discussed yesterday, your
Honor, I have about ten minutes or so.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you for clarifying.
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MR. AMER: I am also suggesting that if there's some way that we can say, If I asked you the same questions with respect to the statements from 2013 to 2020 that I just asked you on the statements for 2011 and 2012, would your answers be the same, I mean maybe we can get there a little sooner.

THE COURT: That's sustained, as a suggestion. Yes.

MR. SUAREZ: And I did follow your direction. I'm down to three or four questions, per statement. I'm moving quickly.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q Mr. Bender, with respect to the 2013 Statement of Financial Condition, is it correct that it disclosed the method used to determine the amount of each liability?

A Yes. I believe it is so.
Q Mr. Bender the 2013 Statement of Financial Condition did not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount; correct?

A Not as of the date that we issued the compilation report, independent compilation report.

Q And Exhibit 707 did not change after it was issued; correct?

A It did not change, sir.
Q Moving to Exhibit 730, Mr. Bender.
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(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

Q Is this the Statement of Financial Condition dated June 30, 2014, of Donald J. Trump?

A Give me a second, please. Yes, sir. It is.

Q And Mr. Bender, the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the value of each asset; correct?

A Yes. I believe so.
Q The 2014 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the amount of each liability; correct?

A Yes. I believe so.
Q The 2014 Statement of Financial Condition did not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount; correct?

A Not as of the date we issued our independent compilation report.

Q And Mr. Bender, Exhibit 730 did not change after it was issued; correct?

A No, sir.
Q Moving on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 729.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
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Q Mr. Bender, is this the Statement of Financial Condition of President Donald J. Trump for the year ending June 30, 2015?

A This is the compilation of the Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition, as of June 30, 2015.

Q The 2015 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the value of each asset; correct?

A Yes. I believe so.
Q The 2015 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the amount of each liability; correct?

A Yes. I believe so.
Q The 2015 Statement of Financial Condition did not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount; correct?

A Not as of the date the independent compilation report was issued.

Q Did Exhibit -- did Plaintiff's Exhibit 729 change, after it was issued?

A No, sir.
Q Thank you. Moving on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 756. (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q Mr. Bender, do you recognize Plaintiff's 756 as the Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump for the year
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ending --
MR. WALLACE: Objection, at this point. A disclaimer. Mr. Bender viewed and identified it previously, and it was entered into evidence. I think we can just say what the document is that he has identified, and ask questions. I don't know that he needs to review it and identify it again.

THE COURT: No need to reinvent the wheel.
MR. SUAREZ: If there's an easier way to do it, I'm happy to do it, your Honor. Just for the witness's benefit.

MR. AMER: I thought I suggested an easier way that the judge sustained as a suggestion. Why can't we do that?

THE COURT: I'm torn. On the one hand, it is deja vu all over again. On the other hand, I gave him an hour and a half. So, let's try not to go over the same ground that we have already gone over, and any way you can think to save time, please do it.

MR. SUAREZ: We are already halfway through, your Honor.
(Continued on the next page.)
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THE COURT: Objection. Overruled without prejudice.

A Yes, sir. What was your question?
Q I believe my question was whether it was a Statement of Financial Condition of President Donald J. Trump for the year ending June 30, 2016?

A This is the accountant's compilation of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2016.

Q The 2016 Statements of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the value of each asset, correct, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q The 2016 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the amount of each liability, correct?

A Yes.
Q The 2016 Statement of Financial Condition did not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate amount right, Mr. Bender? Correct?

A Not as of the date the Independent Compilation Report was issued.

Q Plaintiff's Exhibit 756 did not change after it was issued, Mr. Bender?

A No, sir. It did not.
Q Moving on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 755. Do you
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recognize this document, Mr. Bender?
A Yes, sir.
Q Is this the 2017 Statements of Financial Condition of President Donald John Trump?

A This is the compilation of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017.

Q The 2017 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the value of each asset, correct, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q The 2017 Statement of Financial Condition disclosed the method used to determine the amount of each liability, correct, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q The 2017 Statement of Financial Condition did not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount, correct, Mr. Bender?

A Not as of the date the Independent Compilation Report was issued.

Q Did Plaintiff's Exhibit 755 change after it was issued?

A No, sir.
Q Moving on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 773. Do you recognize this document, Mr . Bender?

A Yes, sir.
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Q Is this the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition of President Donald John Trump?

A This is the Independent Compilation Report for Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2018.

Q Does the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition disclose the method used to determine the value of each asset, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q Does the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition disclose the method used to determine the amount of each liability, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q Does the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A As of the date the Independent Compilation Report was issued, that is correct.

Q And Plaintiff's Exhibit 773 has not changed since it was issued, correct?

A No, sir.
Q Moving on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 842. Do you recognize this document, Mr . Bender?

A Yes, I do.
Q Is it the Statement of Financial Condition for Donald

\section*{D. Bender - Cross/Suarez}
J. Trump for the year ending June 30, 2019?

A The first question is yes, I do. What was the second question?

Q Is this the Statement of Financial Condition for President Donald J. Trump for the year ending June 30, 2019?

A This is the compilation of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2019.

Q Does the 2019 disclose-- excuse me. Withdrawn.
Does the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition disclose the method used to determine the value of each asset, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q Does the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition disclose the method used to determine the amount of each liability, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q Does the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A As of the date of the Independent Compilation Report was issued, yes, that is correct.

Q Yes, it's correct that it does not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount correct?

A As of the date of the Independent Compilation
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Report.
Q Plaintiff's Exhibit 842 did not change after it was issued?

A No, sir. It did not.
Q Okay. Moving on to 2020. Plaintiff's Exhibit 856. Do you recognize this document, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, sir.
Q Is this the Statement of Financial Condition for President Donald J. Trump for the year ending June 30, 2020?

A This is the Compilation Report of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2020.

Q Does the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition disclose the method used to determine the value of each asset, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q Does the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition disclose the method used to determine the amount of each liability, Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q Does the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition not present any asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A As of the date the Independent Compilation Report was issued, that is correct.

Q Exhibit -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 856 did not change
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after it was issued, correct?
A No, sir, it did not.
Q Mr. Bender, you never spoke with Eric Trump about his father's Statement of Financial Condition, correct?

A I may have had -- nothing with the actual compilation of the report.

Q What does that mean?
A I may have had a side conversation with him once about something. I don't recall.

Q You don't recall?
A Yes.
Q Is there any conversation you do recall having with Eric Trump about his father's Statement of Financial Condition?

A There was one conversation in a conference room about one asset once but \(I\) don't recall what it was. Many years ago, I believe.

Q How many years ago, Mr. Bender?
A I know within the last ten years.
Q Within the last ten years?
A Yes.
Q All right. Can we bring up-- do you recall having a discussion with me about this at your deposition, Mr. Bender?

A I do not recall that.
Q Would it be helpful to refresh your recollection if I
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showed you a clip from your deposition transcript?
A You can show me a clip.
MR. SUAREZ: Please play transcript 237, page
237 line 7 to 238 line 18.
(Video played.)
Q Why is it that you have any better recollection today, Mr. Bender?

A I remember one conversation maybe when he asked me a question in the conference room once about an asset but I don't remember which asset it was. It wasn't the actual preparation, but, \(I\) do remember one question one time.

Q Mr. Eric Trump asked you a question?
A There was one conversation for a minute or two. I don't remember what it was about.

Q You responded to Mr. Eric Trump's question?
A The issue was resolved. I don't remember what the issue was.

Q Whatever the issue was, it was resolved to your satisfaction?

A Yes, sir.
Q An issue that you can't remember what it was?
A Yes, sir.
Q Mr. Bender, did you ever speak with Donald Trump, Jr. about his Statement of Financial Condition?

A Not that I recall.
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Q Mr. Bender, you never spoke with Ivanka Trump about her father's Statement of Financial Condition, correct, Mr. Bender?

A Not that I recall.
Q Mr. Bender, in fact, with respect to Ms. Trump, are you aware she invested over \(\$ 7.5\) million under the terms of a lease into penthouse 20 at the Trump Park Avenue?

A Say that again, please.
Q Are you aware of Ms. Trump, Ms. Ivanka Trump ever invested \(\$ 7.5\) million under the terms of the lease into penthouse 20 at the Trump Park Avenue?

MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: Ground?
MR. WALLACE: No foundation for that question.
THE COURT: I don't think the way it's worded needs a foundation. Let's just get an answer. Go ahead.

A I don't recall.
Q Mr. Bender, you described Mr. McConney, several times, as someone for whom you have a tremendous amount of respect?

A I said he was a good accountant.
Q Do you have a tremendous amount of respect for Mr . Bender?

THE COURT: For Mr.?
MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry.
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Q For Mr. McConney?
A Not really, no.
Q Do you recall your testimony from Monday?
A I do not.
MR. SUAREZ: I'd like to bring that up, if I may use it to refresh the witness's recollection, your Honor. Your Honor, may I have a second?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Brief pause. )
MR. SUAREZ: I apologize. We're having technical difficulties.

THE COURT: These things happen but it's part of your hour and a half.

MR. SUAREZ: I understand.
Q I apologize. October 3rd, it was Tuesday. You say, Mr. Bender, where I asked you:
"You and Mr. McConney were close friends at one point; were you not."

You said: "We didn't have a relationship outside of work but we get long well."

I said: "You got along well?"
And you said: "I had a tremendous respect for
him. He is a very good accountant."
A That's past tense. You asked me if I have
tremendous respect today.
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Q You lost your respect for Mr. McConney?
A It's a little different today than it was back when we were younger.

Q When you were younger?
A When I had hair and he had hair too, and, his hair was blond back then, not white.

Q Is that Mr., Bender, because-- withdrawn.
Did you ask Mr. McConney to provide you everything that he had relied upon in coming up with the supporting data for the Statements of Financial Condition?

A He was supposed to get us all information that he had to -- he was giving us a full package of what he thought was necessary.

Q Of what he thought was necessary?
A He gave us all his supporting data.
Q His supporting data for things he used to prepare the Statement of Financial Condition supporting data, correct?

A Say that again, please.
Q Information that he used to prepare the supporting data spreadsheets?

A Yes, and, I'd ask for supplemental things, like appraisals, that we previously discussed, if any.

Q Did he ask you to provide you things that he didn't use in preparing the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Say that again, please.
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Q Did he-- withdrawn.
Did you ask Mr. McConney to provide you with things that he didn't use in preparing his supporting data spreadsheets?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Asked and answered.
THE COURT: It's a strange question. Can you
read back that question? Maybe I missed something.
(Read back.)
THE COURT: Well, again, I'm not an accountant but I don't know why you'd ask for things he didn't use. You'd ask for things he did use.

MR. SUAREZ: If it's that he didn't ask him, then he didn't ask him to give him things he didn't use.

THE COURT: I'm overruling the objection. I'm just telling you how I see things.

A I did ask him for things like appraisals that he did not use.

Q You asked him?
A From-- not in every year, as I previously testified. I did ask a number of years for appraisals.

Q The only thing you asked him to produce to you, to send you, were appraisals that he didn't use in preparing the Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?

A That is the only thing I can recall at this time.
Q That is the only thing you can recall. The only
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Q Did you send him an email saying, I never asked, please send me every appraisal you didn't use in preparing your supporting data?

A No, sir.
Q Did you send him a text message asking him to send you every appraisal he didn't use in preparing his supporting data?

A I don't use text that much. No, sir.
Q Did you ever send him an email asking him to send you any appraisals?

A I don't recall.
Q Did you ever ask him to provide you with appraisals in the presence of anyone else?

A I don't recall.
Q Did you ever ask him to send you any appraisals in writing?

A Can you clarify that question, please?
Q Did you ever ask Jeff McConney to send you any appraisals in writing?

A In writing?
Q Did you ever write Mr. McConney and say, Please send me any appraisals?

A Not that I recall.
Q Not that you recall.
Did you ever have this discussion with Mr. McConney asking him to provide you with appraisals he didn't use, while
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anyone else was present?
A I don't recall.
Q You knew that the Trump Organization had appraisals in their possession; correct?

A Not necessarily.
Q You had no idea that the Trump Organization had appraisals in his possession?

A I knew there were certain appraisals that had been given. I don't recall any other appraisals that I --

Q Are you familiar with the real estate industry?
A Somewhat.
Q Somewhat. You are not an expert in real estate; right?

A I'm a real estate accountant.
Q A real estate accountant?
A Amongst other areas.
Q And a real estate accountant couldn't be expected to know that a company that owns massive amounts of real estate would have appraisals in its files?

A I asked for, if they have any appraisals.
Q You asked?
A Yes.
Q In passing?
A As a question.
Q Did you ever make that request, in writing?
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A No, sir.
Q Was that an important thing for you to look at?
A Say that again, please.
Q Were appraisals an important thing for you to look at?
A I would have liked to have had all information available.

Q Okay. You knew that the Trump Organization had loans on its books; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And you knew that those loans were backed by real estate, right; Mr. Bender?

A Many of them.
Q Many of them. And you knew that you typically, in real estate, can't get a loan backed by real estate if there's no appraisal that the bank orders; right?

A That is sometimes correct, yes.
Q Okay. So you would have known that the Trump Organization had appraisals in its records?

A I didn't know that the Trump Organization had access to any appraisals the banks had.

Q It's not a staggering concept to understand that a company that owns insane amounts of real estate all over the world had appraisals in its books?

A I didn't know the Trump Organization had any access to appraisals they did not give me.
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Q Okay. And how many appraisals did the Trump Organization give you, Mr. Bender?

A I don't recall.
Q You don't recall. Do you remember on Monday when you talked about learning that there were appraisals that you didn't know about after meeting with the District Attorney of New York?

A Say that again, please?
Q Do you recall on Monday what you testified in response to a question from Mr. Wallace about first learning that the Trump Organization had appraisals that you didn't know about, when you met with the District Attorney of New York?

A Not particularly. That's what I said.
Q I'm sorry?
A Not particularly.
Q You don't recall your testimony, or you don't recall learning that from the District Attorney of New York?

A I don't remember my exact testimony.
Q You don't recall your exact testimony --
MR. SUAREZ: This is what happens when I try to go fast.

Q And you generally remember testifying on Monday that in your meeting with the District Attorney of New York, you learned, or you testified that that was when you learned, that the Trump Organization had appraisals that you hadn't seen?

A Yes. That, I do recall.
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Q Okay. And that, just so I understand your testimony Mr. Bender, that was the first time you learned that the Trump Organization had appraisals that it hadn't given you?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And you couldn't really remember what year that meeting took place; is that right?

A The first meeting with the District Attorney?
Q Yeah.
A I believe of was in the spring of -- that was 20 -the last time -- I believe it was in the spring of ' 21.

Q Okay. And you remembered having a Zoom meeting with him, and that's when he showed you these appraisals?

A It was. It was a Zoom -- we were on Zoom with the District Attorney, so this is the first time -- I don't know if we were saw them physically, but this was the first time I was informed of it.

Q And then all of a sudden, you remember having made a request, back in 2013, that appraisals -- that you had made a request for appraisals from Mr. McConney; is that right, Mr. Bender?

A No, sir. I remember it because I requested it.
Q You remembered that, over a number of years. Did you ask in 2013?

A I don't remember specifically which years, but I know I asked the question numerous sometimes.
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Q Oh you asked the question numerous times?
A Most of my years I did ask, yes. And starting around, I believe -- I thought I testified yesterday -- when we -- the appraisals first appeared for TIHT Commercial, LLC or Trump Plaza, LLC, I asked -- that's when I starting asking, Do you have any other appraisals.

Q And that's when you first learned that the Trump Organization had access to appraisals?

A Say that again, please?
Q That is what you first learned that the Trump Organization had access to appraisals?

A That's the first time that it came to my attention, during the compilation procedure, that I asked the question.

Q You told me at one point that you were the head of the Long Island Real Estate Group for Mazars; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q The Long Island Real Estate Group for a big fancy accounting firm and you didn't know that a real estate developer would have appraisals on its real estate available to them?

A I asked the client the question. They made a representation. They gave it to me, and they told me they didn't have any other appraisals.

Q You asked -- well, your testimony is that you made a request to Mr . McConney that was never documented.

A I asked them for appraisals -- let me finish. I
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asked. The representation letter said that they gave me all relevant -- whatever we pulled. I don't want to say what the representation letter said, off the top of my head. We can bring one up. But they represented they gave me everything I needed.

Q The representation letter that you drafted, and didn't request appraisals?

A The representation letter asked for all relevant data. We can get the exact language, if you would like to.

Q And do you think, Mr. Bender, that all relevant data includes things that people don't rely on, in preparing documents? Is that relevant data?

A I felt -- yes, I do.
Q Okay. So, the head of the Long Island Real Estate Practice Group at Mazars, the big fancy accounting firm that the president hired to compile their Statements of Financial Condition, thinks that it's relevant to review appraisals that weren't relied on in preparing spreadsheets, but never puts it in writing, doesn't put it as part of his representation letter that he drafted for his client of 30 years to sign, and all of a sudden this becomes an issue when you are in front of the District Attorney?

A No, sir. I asked them, at the time.
Q Oh. At the time. Never followed up. Never said to Weisselberg, Hey, send your appraisals. Never sent the
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president an email saying, Hey, I don't have a single appraisal for your massive, giant real estate holding company that we have done over 280 engagements for, that you prepare tax returns, that you know have books and records and loans, it never crossed your mind that is an entity that could have appraisals?

MR. WALLACE: Objection to form.
THE COURT: That's not what he's testifying to. Objection sustained.

Q Mr. Bender, did the District Attorney of New York threaten you with prosecution?

A No, they did not.
Q Did they threaten Mazars with prosecution?
A Not to my knowledge.
Q Do you know that Mr. Pomerantz, the gentlemen that you met with when you met with the district attorney, put in his book that Mazars sent you there to meet without your own lawyer?

A You informed me of that.
Q Yes. And is that the case, that Mazars sent you in there to meet with the District Attorney of New York without your own lawyer?

A I had counsel with me.
Q Counsel from Mazars?
A I believe they were my counsel.
Q You thought Mazars lawyers were your lawyers?
A I had my own counsel.
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Q Okay. From Mazars?
A Mazars and I had counsel.
Q So is Mr. Pomerantz lying, in his book?
A I never saw Mr. Pomerantz's book, but --
Q Okay.
A Let me finish. I had counsel with me, and I was satisfied that I had appropriate counsel at all times.

Q And was that when Mr. Pomerantz informed Mazars of the precarious legal position that they were in, if they didn't play ball?

A I have no knowledge of that.
Q You have no knowledge of that. The lawyers Mazars provided you when they met with the District Attorney told you nothing about that?

A I have no knowledge of that.
Q Okay. And it was in this meeting when, all of a sudden, it dawns on you that you had made a request for appraisals?

A No, sir. I knew I had made the request, beforehand.
Q Right. And you never got it?
A Never received it. Requesting additional -- when the question came up, Do you have any official appraisals to give me, they never supplied me with any additional appraisals.

Q Mr. Bender, in the last three days, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, you testified, "I don't know," or, "I don't
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remember," over 89 times in response to questions, both from me and Mr. Wallace.

A Mr. who?
Q Wallace.
A Okay. Sorry. My apologies.
THE COURT: It was his birthday yesterday.
THE WITNESS: Mr. Wallace's birthday?
MR. WALLACE: The nightmare continues.
THE WITNESS: Happy birthday.
Q But that, you do remember?
A What was the question again, please?
Q You do remember requesting appraisals from Mr. McConney?

A Numerous times. Yes, sir.
Q Numerous times. Numerous times, and you never received them?

A I never received any -- I did not receive any additional appraisals, that they didn't supply as part of his package.

Q And you said, Oh, okay. The Trump Organization must not have appraisals.

THE COURT: That's not his testimony.
Q Did you conclude that the Trump Organization didn't have appraisals?

A I didn't need to make a conclusion. I asked the
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question; they gave me an answer; and they made representations that they gave me all relevant data.

Q Okay. And in your --
A I don't know the exact words. We can pull it up on the screen.

Q We are happy to pull them up.
In your mind, relevant data is documents that you didn't rely on?

A We can pull it up.
Q Okay. Mr. Bender, I have to ask you the question, are there any mental health reasons that you can't testify here today?

A No, sir.
MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q Let me show you Defendant's Exhibit 729.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
A Do you want me to read this?
Q Have you seen it before?
A I have to read it.
Q Okay.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the
proceedings.)
Q I can draw your attention to the specific part of the
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document I intend to ask you about. On page two of the document, a document prepared by Mazars and sent to the Trump Organization concerning the transition away from Mazars to a new accounting firm.

MR. WALLACE: Just, objection. What is the basis for that? I'm just not familiar with the basis for the statement that this was drafted on Mazars and to the Trump Organization.

THE COURT: Well, I'll give counsel a little leeway.

So, where we are going?
Q It talks about Mazars' transition being contingent on the physical and mental health of Donald Bender.

A I see that.
Q Okay. Did you disclose to Mazars that you had a mental health condition that precluded them from terminating -from continuing their transition to a new accounting entity?

A No, sir.
Q Then why would Mazars imply to us, to the Trump Organization, that your mental health was a consideration in whether they could continue to transition and comply with their professional obligations in assisting to transitioning to a new accounting firm?

MR. WALLACE: Objection to the implication part.
THE COURT: Overruled.
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A You would have to ask Mazars.
Q Okay. Was there ever a physical or mental condition that should have been disclosed to the Trump Organization?

A Any health issues I had, they were aware of.
Q The Trump Organization was aware?
A Mr. Weisselberg knew I had certain health issues, over the years, but nothing serious.

Q Okay. So you discussed your personal health issues with Mr. Weisselberg?

A We had some common health issues over the years.
Q Okay. But you never said to Mr. Weisselberg, someone that you could talk to about your health issues, you never said to him, Let me see your appraisals?

A I don't recall if I ever asked Mr. Weisselberg for his appraisals.

Q All right. I'm sorry?
A I don't recall if I spoke to Mr. Weisselberg about appraisals.

Q Okay. You don't recall, you don't recall, you don't recall.

So, for specific questions you don't recall, other than you do remember, you do recall, asking Jeff McConney for an appraisal, but everyone else, you don't recall anything else -MR. SUAREZ: Withdrawn.

Q Is there any reason here, based on this document that
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we received from Mazars, that your testimony shouldn't be relied on because of your mental or physical health?

A This memo was drafted by Mazars, no, I have no physical or mental health issues that you have to be worried about, today.

Q You disclosed no physical or mental health issues to Mazars?

A I have had some health issues, and Mazars is aware of them, and nothing serious?

Q Did any of them impair your ability to do your job for the Trump Organization, Mr. Bender?

A My physical issues have been the last few months, and they were resolved within two weeks.

Q And were there any mental health issues that challenged your memory when you conducted your engagements for the Trump Organization?

A No, sir.
Q Okay. That, you do recall; correct?
A I have no mental health issues.
MR. SUAREZ: No further questions on cross
examination, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Will there be --
MR. ROBERT: Me, sir.
THE COURT: That's right. You wanted ten
minutes, I believe.
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> MR. ROBERT: May I proceed, your Honor? THE COURT: Please proceed.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERT:
Q Good morning, Mr. Bender. It's still morning?
A Good morning.
Q So, in order to-- when I was sitting there yesterday I had a little bit of difficulty hearing you. So, what I'm going to ask you to do is speak into the mic, and, following the Judge's admonition, or statement, a while ago, I'm going to ask you to give me yes or no answers to my questions.

To the extent you feel you can't, I know the Judge said you can say it's not able to be answered. So, ask me, and, I'll be happy to rephrase the question so we can have a dialogue and it's easier for the reporter to write it down. Fair enough, sir?

A We'll do our best.
Q So, over the last couple of days where Mr. Suarez left off --

THE COURT: Wait a minute. That was supposed to be a yes or no question.

MR. ROBERT: I'm starting out that way. It's going to wind up with a yes or no, I promise.

Q In Mr. Suarez's last few minutes of his questioning of you, he brought to your attention, correct, that over the
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last few days you answered "I don't know" and "I don't recall" approximately 90 times.

Do you remember that?
A I believe he said 89, but you can check the court record.

Q So, that you remember, and I'm glad you do. You're an accountant, and I'm a lawyer. So, you're good with numbers. So, about 89 times, right?

A I believe that's what he said.
Q Okay. Do you recall a couple of days ago, actually Wednesday morning, which would have been yesterday morning, Mr. Suarez asked you the following:
"Question: Did your counsel speak to anyone from the New York Attorney General's Office?"

You said:
"Answer: You have to speak with them."
Then the question was:
"Are you aware if your counsel spoke to anyone
from the New York Attorney General's Office?
"Answer: I don't recall any conversation with counsel last night.

So, is your testimony that when you were here yesterday morning testifying, you don't remember if you had a conversation with your counsel the night before?

A Say that again, please.
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Q Yesterday morning you testified that you couldn't recall if you had a conversation with your counsel Tuesday night.

So, you don't remember yesterday morning whether your lawyer spoke with the Attorney General Tuesday night?

A I don't remember Wednesday morning if I had a consult Tuesday night? I do not know what conversations my client -- my counsel had -- my counsel had with the Attorney General.

Q Did you speak to your lawyer Tuesday night, yes or no? I don't want to know the contents of it, but, did you speak with your lawyer Tuesday night?

A I believe I did.
Q As you sit here today, on Thursday morning, you don't remember if, on Tuesday night, after your first day of testimony, you spoke could your lawyer; is that your testimony?

A Say that again, please.
Q Is it your testimony, as you sit here today, on Thursday morning, that you don't remember if on Tuesday night you spoke to your lawyer or not?

A I spoke to my lawyer because they wanted --
Q Don't tell me what you spoke about, please.
A You're right.
Q Now, you remember you spoke to him Tuesday night?
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A Yes.
Q Did you speak to him last night?
A Yes.
Q Do you know if he spoke to the Attorney General last night?

A I do not know.
Q During the course of Mr. Suarez's examination of you, you said that during the course of your engagement for the Trump Organization there were two or three times that you had a question about how they were handling certain GAAP issues in the Statement of Financial Condition.

Do you remember that?
A No, I do not remember that being my testimony. I believe, if we're talking about the same matter, I believe there were two or three times where we discussed GAAP exceptions.

Q There were two or three times, during the course of your engagement, where you discussed GAAP exceptions, correct? Yes or no?

A There were two or three times where we discussed it, newer GAAP exceptions. I believe my testimony was two times, not three times.

Q So, there were two times you talked to the Trump Organization about issues of GAAP exceptions, correct?

A That's all I recall at this moment.
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Q That is all you recall at this moment, that there were two times, correct?

A That is all I can recall right now.
Q Will you agree with me GAAP exceptions are an important part of a Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes, sir.
Q As a matter of fact, at the beginning of the Statement of Financial Condition is where it's listed what those GAAP exceptions are, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And I know you testified you're --
A Let's take it back. There are two or three times where I discussed new GAAP exceptions with him.

Q New GAAP exceptions and every time you had a conversation, the Trump Organization agreed with what your assessment was and either-- it changed the GAAP exceptions to reflect something that you and Mazars were comfortable with, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q So, every time you, as the accountant, go to the Trump Organization, you have a discussion about a concern you have about GAAP, they comply with what you're suggesting, correct?
It's a simple question, sir. Please.

A We came to a --
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MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, please. THE COURT: I believe it's capable of a yes or no.

A Yes.
Q Yes. Then the period of those times, you were the partner in charge of the Statement of Financial Condition project, correct?

A I was a partner in charge of the compilation, yes.
Q Okay. We talked about, or Mr. Suarez talked to you the other day about ASC 274. You remember that?

A I don't remember the exact questions, no, sir.
Q Do you remember that the words ASC 274 were discussed over the last few days?

A Yes.
Q I'll be honest with you, before this trial, I would have thought it was a motor oil. I wouldn't have known it has anything to do with accounting, but, you know ASC 274 has to do with accounting, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q I think your testimony was if you had specific questions about it, you'd go next door or talk to somebody else at Mazars, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q Can we at least agree you have the requisite knowledge and experience and training to know that ASC 274 is
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the governing principles behind what needs to be in a Statement of Financial Condition?

Would you agree with that?
A At the time I was doing the engagement, I had the appropriate knowledge, correct.

Q So --
THE COURT: Wait a minute. That didn't answer the question.

MR. ROBERT: Can we have the question read back?
THE COURT: Please read back and listen carefully.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
(Read back. )
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. During the time that you were in charge of the Statement of Financial Condition assignment from-- on behalf of Mazars for the Trump Organization, you had that knowledge, correct?

A Yes.
Q To the extent you had specific questions about it, you would go to other people within Mazars to ask specific questions, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q That you remember, correct?
A Yes, sir.
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Q Okay. But as you sit here today, you also remember now that you asked Jeff McConney every year for appraisals; is that what your testimony is?

A I said most years.
Q So --
A Started in, approximately, I believe, you can check my testimony. I thought I said something like 2013.

Q Can you speak into the microphone?
A Can't get much closer without kissing it.
Q I don't think it wants that. Don't worry.
A It's the first time I've had one without a cover since I've testified in the last few years. I believe my testimony is, I asked most years. I could have missed one but I did ask most years and I believe my testimony was 2013. I believe, but, we can pull that up, if you'd like to confirm that.

Q So, your testimony today, under oath, is that you, specifically, recall, on one or more occasions, Jeff McConney to give you every appraisal that he had. Is that your testimony?

A My testimony is --
Q Yes or no, sir, please.
A My testimony is that I asked for -- do you have any other appraisals.

Q That is not my question, sir. Did you or did you
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not, as you sit here today under oath, ask Jeff McConney, on one or more occasions, give me all the appraisals you have. Yes or no?

A That's not the words I used. My words were, do you have any other appraisals.

Q So, is your testimony then that it was only on a year that you got one appraisal, you'd ask him if he had more appraisals? Yes or no, sir?

A No. My testimony is that when the Trump Plaza LLC, Trump Plaza LLC, and TIHT Commercial LLC appraisal appeared, I asked: Do you have any-- that's when I started asking: Do you have more appraisals.

Q Mr. Bender, please. You keep saying when you started. I'm trying to make it clear for the record because in a little while, Mr. McConney will raise his right hand and will be asked these very same questions by Mr. Wallace and his colleagues, because I want to tee this up now because I, respectfully submit, there is going to be a difference. So, let's be clear.

So, is it your testimony now that on specific years, you told Jeff McConney: Give me all the appraisals you have. Yes or no?

A My --
Q Please, sir. Mr. Wallace can --
THE COURT: Yes or no. If you want it read
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back, read it back or --
THE WITNESS: Read it back.
THE COURT: Let's have a read back.
(Read back.)
A I would submit that's the word "ask" not "told" but the answer would be yes.

THE COURT: Is that sufficient?
MR. ROBERT: I'm going to probe a little, sir.
Q So, you asked Jeff McConney every year to give you appraisal's to the extent he had any appraisals. That's your testimony under oath?

A Say that question again, please.
Q Your testimony under oath today is that every year you asked Jeff McConney to provide you with any appraisal he may have had; yes or no, sir?

A I never said every year.
Q You don't recall. Let's take a step back.
On the years that you did, is that question-- is that the way you phrased it to Mr. McConney?

A The way I phrased it to Mr. McConney is: Do you have any other appraisals.

Q Mr. Bender, we're having a disconnect here, because, when you say: Do you have any others, that presupposes there was one. So, since you don't remember what year it was, I was trying to help jog your memory.
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So, is it your testimony then that only on the years when you got one appraisal, you said: Hey, Jeff, do you have any more?

A My testimony is when I got THIT Commercial and Trump Plaza Commercial's appraisals, I said: Are there any more appraisals.

Q So, that is one time?
A No, that is when it started, and, I asked most years.

Q You asked-- so, on a year that there were no other appraisals given to you as backup for the SOFC, you said: Hey, Jeff, do you have any other appraisals?

A I asked him: Do you have appraisals, at the time the first ones appeared.

Q So, your testimony is, after the first one appeared in the year, you don't know every year, you would say to Jeff McConney, do you have any other appraisals, even if he didn't give you any appraisals for that year? Yes or no, sir? Please.

A Say that, again, please.
MR. ROBERT: Can I have it read back, please? THE COURT: Read back.

A Yeah.
MR. WALLACE: I'm going to object at this point.
His testimony has been clear. Mr. Robert keeps
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rephrasing it in different forms.
THE COURT: Overruled. I hope we don't need another read back. Let me see.

MR. ROBERT: I'm trying to do it in as tight a way I can, Judge.

THE COURT: You seem to be asking if there weren't any, would he ask for any others, and, logically, you can't say are there any others, if there weren't any.

MR. ROBERT: That is what I'm going to get at. I respectfully summit you're going to here diametrically opposed testimony, either today or tomorrow, from Mr . McConney, because, in my opening, I told it's all about credibility, and, I stand before you today saying the same thing.

So, I want to figure out exactly what it is you say you said because that is not the way we see things.

MR. WALLACE: I will object to the representation about what other people will testify to that have not appeared in court.

THE COURT: Sustained. You should not do that. I think I have it fairly simple.

MR. ROBERT: Please try, Judge.
THE COURT: If you didn't receive any appraisals for a given year, would you actually say: Do you have any others?
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THE WITNESS: Do you have any appraisals. Do you have any others. I apologize to the court if I had the wrong word, but, starting at the point when he started giving me appraisals, I started asking: Do you have any other appraisals or you have any appraisals -or have any appraisals. I started asking for appraisals at the point when the first appraisal appeared.

Q That is different from what you've been saying. So, let's be clear.

Are you saying that you would either say to him: Now, do you have any appraisals, or, do you have any other appraisals, or, to you, does it mean the same thing?

A To me, it's the same thing.
Q So, you don't remember exactly what it is you said to Mr . McConney on the years you don't remember what the years were?

A I don't remember word for word but I know I asked for appraisals.

Q You know you asked Jeff McConney for appraisals. You don't remember the words you used?

A I --
Q Correct? Yes or no?
A No, I do not remember the exact words.
Q No one was witnessing when you asked for these, correct?
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A I don't recall.
Q You didn't send him any e-mails about it?
A No, I don't recall.
Q You didn't send him any text messages about it?
A I don't text, generally.
Q You don't recall texts. You don't recall e-mails. You don't recall if anyone is in the room, but, you have a specific recollection today that you asked him for it, but, you still don't remember if you even spoke to your lawyer two nights ago, right?

A I said I did speak to my lawyer two nights ago.
Q You don't really know what you asked Jeff McConney for. Do you, sir?

A Yes, I do.
Q Sir, you're aware of the accepted standards of practice for an accountant, correct? Generally speaking?

A Generally speaking, yes, sir.
Q You know that if you depart from generally accepted accounting standards, liability can be imposed, correct?

A Yes.
Q It can be liability in terms of accounting malpractice, correct?

A I believe so.
Q And there can be regulatory and criminal obligations that flow from it, correct?
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A Yes, sir.
Q You know, as you sit here today, that, to the extent you give any admission that shows you departed from general and accepted accounting standards, that potentiality you and Mazars will be sued by our clients, correct?

A I never really thought about that.
Q You never thought about the fact that there was liability? Perhaps, that our client would sue Mazars or you?

A It wasn't my biggest concern, no, sir.
Q You just went from it wasn't a concern to it wasn't your biggest concern. Can we at least agree it was a concern of yours?

A Not really sir, no. I wasn't worry about it.
Q You didn't worry about that. You're not worried your testimony today will be scrutinized by regulator, or are you?

A Never thought about it.
Q What about the authorities?
A Which authorities?
Q The District Attorney's Office, US Attorney. You're not concerned your testimony will be scrutinized by them?

A No, sir.
Q Okay. That, you're certain of?
A Yes, sir.
Q You know, another thing you weren't too sure about over the last few days is how big a client our clients were to
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Do you remember, generally, that testimony?
A I don't remember the exact revenue stream, if that's what you're talking about.

Q I think we established pretty clearly that in any given year for the last ten years, the fees generated by Mazars was over a million dollars?

A Yes.
Q Some years, as high as 2.6 million?
A I don't recall the exact number.
Q Can we at least agree they were your biggest client?
A My biggest client, yes.
Q Can we agree they were a cache client to have?
A Me, personally?
Q Yes.
A I wasn't really into-- it wasn't a cache thing for me.

Q Do you think, as you sit here today, you would have been made a partner at a large firm like Mazars but for the fact the Trump Organization and affiliated members were your clients?

A I can't speak to what Mazars would have done or didn't do.

Q How much of your business, percentage-wise, is not Trump related-- withdrawn.
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From 2010 to 2020, on average --
MR. ROBERT: And I'm not going year by year,
Judge. I promise.
Q For those ten years, on average, the Trump Organization was your largest clients, right?

A Yes, sir.
Q More than 50 percent of your revenue was generated by them, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q If we added up all the other clients you had, it was going to be less than the amount of money the Trump Organization generated for fees for you, correct, in any given year? True?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. You know, there was also some testimony about your-- and I'm going to use the word, and then I'll change it. Your review of the McConney spreadsheets. You remember?

A I don't remember using the word "review".
Q There was a whole back and for you and Mr. Suarez using the word "review." It dawned on me, to accountants, review means something different than it means to the rest of us, right?

A Yes.
Q We have three levels to review. Financials, right? We have an audit?
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A You're using the word "review" inappropriately, but, I understand where you're going.

Q That's great because during the break, since we were allowed to bring in our iPads, I was looking for a synonym for review so \(I\) can use a word we both agree on.

Can we use the word inspect?
A Look at.
Q Well, it's funny. You have a different-- I looked at the definition of look because you had a different definition of "look" yesterday. When you said "look" is kind of skimming. You remember that?

A No.
Q When you look at something. When you look at something are you comprehending what's in there?

THE COURT: This is starting to sound like the
interrogation of Bill Clinton. It depends upon what the definition of "is" is.

Q I want to ask some questions about the McConney spreadsheets and I know if I used the word "review," the answer will be I didn't, and, I appreciate it wasn't a review, and, I can't say did you compilate the spreadsheet. So, I'm trying to come to a term we can agree on.

THE COURT: He's suggested looked at and inspect.

A Worked on.
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Q Worked on? Okay.
A Use. Use. Whatever you prefer.
Q So, you worked on?
A Used, I like but go ahead.
Q Used is a better word?
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. So, my English will be a little bad for the record because I'll use the word "use". You used the McConney spreadsheets?

A Mazars used the McConney spreadsheets, yes.
Q So did you?
A I'm part of Mazars. Yes, sir. I was part of
Mazars.
Q When this was discussed the other day, the Judge took judicial notice the other day that companies act through people.

So, can we agree that Mazars acted through you as the primary liaison with the defendants in this case? Fair enough?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay.
A For a number of years.
Q I'm sorry?
A For the last --
Q Last ten years. Before 2020. 2010 to 2020?
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A Yes, sir.
Q We can agree on that? Okay.
When you used the McConney spreadsheets, you made a very big point of saying you didn't go through them line by line. Remember that?

A Yes, sir.
Q I want to be clear. I'm not suggesting -- we can take a step back.

Do you think you had an obligation to go through them line by line?

A No, sir.
Q We can agree, in a world of levels of seniority in an organization, you may not be looking line by line, like I might not be looking at citations in a brief. Somebody else may be doing it but I'm signing my name to it, like you're signing your name to Statements of Financial Condition?

A Yes, sir.
Q I don't want to waste the Court's time putting it on the screen, but, in addition to their being a line-by-line Excel spreadsheet, there was descriptions under each category of how Mr. McConney came to his valuations, correct?

A I don't know if there's each category.
Q Sorry?
A I'm not sure if there were descriptions in each category.
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Q Well, sir, again in trying to do this quickly, can we agree as to the main assets held by the Trump Organization when we come to a value, whether it was Trump Tower, seven Springs, one of the other-- 40 Wall. On the McConney spreadsheet, he would explain how he came to the valuation? We can put it on the screen but I want to save time.

A There is a detailed cross-reference, but, for instance, cash-- I don't know if there is a description.

Q I didn't ask about cash. Did you call the bank to verify how much money was in the bank?

A No, sir.
Q But I'm not asking you about cash. I'm asking you about the major real estate assets. Let's take three, for example. 40 wall, Trump Tower, and Seven Springs. You're familiar with the three assets owned by the defendants?

A Yes, sir.
Q Can we at least agree that underneath those, on the McConney spreadsheet, it explained the way Jeff came up with the value?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. In your use of the McConney spreadsheets, did you use that information? Did you look at that?

A Personally?
Q Yes.
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A I don't know if I looked at it every year.
Q So, you didn't personally even look at the highlights that show how a valuation was come from -- where a valuation came from?

A I don't recall if \(I\) looked at every line, if that's what you're asking.

Q I'm not asking about every line. I'm trying to make it simple. I don't think everyone in the courtroom thinks you'd be looking at every line of a 40 -page spreadsheet.

What I'm asking is: Did you, as a partner in charge of this multimillion-dollar account, your biggest client at Mazars, did you at least look at the valuation methods that were used by the Trump Organization for its largest assets?

A I looked at those assets, yes, sir.
Q You looked at those assets and you looked at the description as to how the valuation was determined, correct?

A I believe --
Q Yes or no, sir?
A I believe I did, yes.
Q Okay, you did. You didn't have a problem --
THE COURT: He said: "I believe I did." You can't say, oh, then you did.

MR. ROBERT: Fair enough.
Q So, you don't know, one way or the other, whether you
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looked the it?
A To the best of my recollection, I did.
Q Let me ask this. When we talk about generally accepted-- acceptable accounting standards, do you think it would have been appropriate, yes or no, for Mazars to sign off on the Statement of Financial Condition and you, as the primary partner in charge of the account, to have not looked at how the valuations came, how the valuations came about before you signed off on it?

A So, I did look at the largest assets. You're correct, sir.

Q Okay. You didn't have a problem with them?
A I had no problem with them. At the time we issued the compilation report, I had no problem with it. No, sir.

Q At the time you issued the compilation report, you had no problem in the methods Mr. McConney used in coming to the valuations, correct?

A I had no problem with the methodology the Trump Organization came up with. What's the rest of the sentence? To-- substitute the Trump Organization for Mr. McConney.

Q Fair enough, sir. It wasn't until the time that after you sat down with the New York County District Attorney's Office that you then had a change of this opinion; yes or no? Please, sir. Yes or no?

A I do not --
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Q Yes or no, please. It's a very simple question?
A I can't answer that question.
Q So, you can't answer the question?
A I can't answer it yes or no.
Q You can't answer the question yes or no that it-- let me ask -- I'll withdraw the question.

Prior to your meeting with the New York County District Attorney's Office, you did not notify, you, The Trump Organization that you had any problem with that, did you?

A Say that again, please.
Q Prior -- withdrawn.
You testified earlier today --
MR. ROBERT: And this is my last point. I'm not going to belabor it, Judge.

Q You testified earlier today that it wasn't until you sat down in the Manhattan District Attorney's Office, with Mazars' lawyers by your side, up until that point in time, you were not aware of these additional appraisals, correct?

A That's not what I testified.
Q You didn't testify that you-- that this was-- your first foray into knowing there were these additional appraisals was when you met with the Manhattan District Attorneys by Zoom?

A That is a different question. You said when I was in the Manhattan District Attorney's Office.

Q That-- you seem to be very precise, right? That's a compliment, sir. You can take it.

A I'm not very precise but I'm trying to listen to your questions and give you honest answers.

Q And I appreciate that, sir. So, being precise. So, now we just spent a few minutes and thank you.

So, it was not until the Zoom meeting with the Manhattan District Attorney's Office that you first had any concern about the work you had done for the Trump Organization, correct?

A That is correct.
MR. ROBERT: I have no further questions.
Judge. Thank you.
THE COURT: I think it's time for a break. As usual, we'll have a ten-minute break but take 15 minutes, and I will be strict about that.
(Continued on the following page..)

\section*{D. Bender - Re-Direct by Mr. Wallace}

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace and your team, any re-direct of the previous witness?

MR. WALLACE: I have exceedingly brief re-direct. It will just be a couple minutes. So, if we could get Mr. Bender back.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
(Whereupon, the witness resumed the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Okay please proceed with re-direct.

\section*{RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Welcome back, Mr. Bender. I assure you, I will try to be exceedingly brief.

MR. WALLACE: Could we please pull up Defendant's Exhibit 950 .
(Whereupon, a document was displayed.)
Q Mr. Bender, up on the screen we have defendant's Exhibit 950. It's a document entitled Personal Financial Statements Guide with Conforming Changes as of May 1, 2008, audit and accounting guide. Do you remember discussing this document with Mr. Suarez?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. I'm just going to note that this document comes from the University of Mississippi, and over here we can see
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that it's from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, AICPA, Historical collection. Do you know why this document came from the historical collection?

A Not off the top of my head.
Q Do you recall that in 2009, U.S. G.A.A.P. was replaced by the FASB, with the release of the FASB Accounting Standards Collection?

A I don't remember the date, but that's approximately correct. Yes, sir.

Q Okay. Let me show you a document, see if I can refresh you on the date.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q It's a long document. I'm not going to ask you to look at the whole thing. I would just like to direct your attention to the header at the top of the page which states -- I will represent to you, this is a copy of the same document we were just looking, at that Mr. Suarez shared with you, but this version states at the top, "Notice to Readers, Executive Summary, U.S. G.A.A.P. was replaced by the FASB with the release of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification on July 1, 2009. The codification is effective for financial statements for interim or financial reporting periods ending after September 15, 2009. While the accounting guidance in this audit and accounting guide may be useful, only guidance that was
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incorporated into the certification is considered authoritative."

THE COURT: Codification. Not certification. MR. WALLACE: Codification. Thank you, your

Honor.
Q Does this refresh your recollection as to the dates that U.S. G.A.A.P. was replaced by the FASB?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. So, the guidance that you were shown by Mr. Suarez was no longer authoritative as of 2011?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And if you were consulting with your colleague Mr. Cohen about what standards governed your work from the period of 2011 forward, it would not have been in reference to this guidance; is that correct?

A It would have been with the superseded guidance.
Mr. Cohen, or anybody else I consulted with.
Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: If we can go back to Defendant's Exhibit 950, and go to D-950, 22.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

MR. WALLACE: And if we can blow up item 2.05, please. I'll just read it into the record. This is section 2.05 .
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Q I believe you discussed this with Mr. Suarez, and it states, "Before commission, the accountant should read the compiled personal financial statements and consider whether such financial statements appear to be appropriate in form and free from obvious material errors. In this context, the term error refers to mistakes in the compilation of financial statements including arithmetical or clerical mistakes, and mistakes in the application of accounting principles, including inadequate disclosure. Misstatements that might occur in personal financial statements prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, G.A.A.P., include," and then there's series of four bullet points?

A Yes, sir. I see that.
Q Do you remember Mr. Suarez taking you through these bullet points?

A Yes, sir.
Q And I believe that earlier today he asked you a number of questions about whether the statements in each year complied with the bullet point at the bottom here which states, "Presentation of an asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount."

Do you recall that testimony?
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: Could we put this up?
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Actually, let's just pull up Defendant's Exhibit 25.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

A Are we done with these?
Q You can just put that to the side, for now.
So Mr. Bender, I'll direct your attention to the top
of the screen. It states, "AR-80, Compilation of Financial
Statements." It states, "Issue date --"
A AR section.
Q AR section. Thank you?
A Okay.
Q "Compilation of Financial Statements. Issue date, unless otherwise indicated, December 2009. See section 9080 for interpretations of this section."

Do you know if this is the section that replaced the guidance you had been looking at?

A Yes, sir.
Q So, this was in effect from 2009, forward?
A I believe so. Yes, sir.
Q And just to keep this moving along, I'll represent to you that this guidance was superseded, as of December 15, 2015, but I would like to take you to page 4 of this document, and if we could look at the item under, Reading Financial Statements, and this states, "Reading Financial Statements, before
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submission, the accountant should read the financial statements and consider whether such financial statements appear to be appropriate in form, and free from obvious material errors. In this context, the term error refers to mistakes in the preparation of financial statements, including arithmetical or clerical mistakes, and mistakes in the application of accounting principles, including inadequate disclosure."

Do you see that language?
A Yes, sir.
MR. WALLACE: I would like to put this up next to the language we looked at in Defendant's Exhibit 950-22, if we could.

So, can we put that side by side, with the stuff we just looked at? Oh they're on top of each other.

Q So you can see, the first two sentences are pretty close; correct? It states, especially in the second sentence -well, they're pretty close?

A One refers to compilation.
Q Right, but they say that before submission, it should consider whether such financial statements appear to be appropriate in form, and free from obvious material errors. They both state, in this context, "the term error refers to mistakes in the compilation of financial statements, including arithmetical or clerical mistakes and mistakes in the application of accounting principles, including inadequate
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disclosure." But the version on the top that comes in at 209, it no longer has those bullet points does it?

A No, but there's a little difference in wording.
Q Right.
A You said the same. They refer to -- the bottom one refers to compilation, I believe.

Q Right. So this bullet, though, about the presentation of assets or liabilities, and obviously inappropriate value, or amount, had been removed from the guidance?

A It appears so.
Q Okay.
A What you are showing me.
Q So those would not have been authoritative guidance at the time you were discussing, with Mr. Cohen, what standards apply to the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition of Mr . Trump; is that correct?

A I will have to confirm that with somebody in my technical group.

Q Okay.
A And it could have been Mr. Cohen, or somebody else in my firm.

Q But the bullet point would not have governed your work on its financial statements for the leader of the free world; is that correct?

A No, sir.
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Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: Nothing more.
THE COURT: Any re-cross?
No. Okay. The witness is excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: And we need a five-minute break for technical reasons. I advise everybody to stay where you are.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused from the courtroom.)
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Plaintiff, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. AMER: Yes, your Honor. We call Jeffrey McConney.

THE COURT: And where is Mr. McConney?
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Because Mr. McConney is a party, he has an absolute right to be sitting here the whole time. Maybe just he didn't think it would be exciting enough.

MR. ROBERT: That must be what it is.
J E F F R E Y M C C O N N E Y, after having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
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THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
Please state your name and business or home address on the record.

THE WITNESS: Jeffrey McConney, 390 First Avenue, New York, New York.

THE COURT: You seem to have a nice, loud voice, but I will ask you to speak right into the microphone, nice and loud, slow, clear.

THE WITNESS: I'll try. Thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. AMER:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. McConney. My name is Andrew Amer. We have not met before. I'm with the Attorney General's office. Am I correct that you graduated with a Bachelor's of Business Administration from Baruch College in 1978?

A Yes, sir.
Q And your degree was in accounting; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And after you graduated, you worked for a small real estate company, and then went to an accounting firm; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q You worked at the accounting firm for about nine years before joining the Trump Organization in about April of 1987; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q And am I also correct that when you were at the accounting firm, that's where you first met and worked with Donald Bender?

A Correct.
Q And when you started with the Trump Organization, you started as an assistant controller?

A Correct.
Q And at that time, Mr. Weisselberg, Allen Weisselberg, he was the controller of the organization; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And it's when he was promoted to Chief Financial Officer that you stepped into his role as Controller of the organization; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And as controller, you reported to Mr. Weisselberg; correct?

A Correct.
Q And during the entire time that you were controller, he was your boss; correct?

A Correct.
Q Is it also correct that you basically had the same job and same responsibilities when you your title changed from Assistant Controller to Controller? Is that fair?

A That's correct.

Q And your responsibilities included making sure interest payments were made on loans; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And you dealt with the general ledger; correct?
A Yes.
Q And you oversaw accounts payable and accounts receivable; correct?

A Yes.
Q Are you still employed by the Trump Organization?
A No.
Q And when did you leave the Trump Organization?
A I retired February 25th. February of this year.
Q And did you receive a severance package when you left?
A Yes, I did.
Q And how much that was severance package.
A The total package was \(\$ 500,000\).
Q And have you received all of your severance, as we sit here today?

A As of today, no.
Q How much have you received, and how much is left to go?

A 375,000 has been received. 125,000 is the last payment.

Q And is that contingent on anything?
A No. Other than me being alive, no.

Q Let's talk about the Statements of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump. You became involved in the preparation of Mr. Trump's annual Statement of Financial Condition and eventually took over the whole processes, likely sometime in the 90s. Is that fair?

A Sometime in the 90 s , I believe. Yes.
Q And you were primarily responsible for preparing Mr. Trump's statement between 2011 and 2017; is that fair?

A We didn't -- we, being the Trump Organization, didn't prepare the statement. Mazars, or whatever their name was at that time, prepared the statement. I prepared the backup for the statement.

Q And you prepared the valuations that went into the statement; is that fair?

A Yes.
Q And you were primarily responsible for preparing the valuations between 2011 and 2017; is that right?

A Yeah. I don't remember if it was the June of '16 or the June of '17 statement when I walked away, but that's correct.

Q And starting in around 2016 or 2017, you received assistance from an employee named Patrick Birney, in the preparation of the valuations; correct?

A Correct.
Q And is it fair to say that starting in 2017, after

Mr. Birney came on board, you played less of a role in preparing the valuations that went into the statement; is that correct?

A Whenever that handoff was, I had little to very little to do with the financial statement.

Q Around the time that Mr. Birney took over for you?
A Right. Once Mr. Birney took over, I had little to do with the statement.

Q But you still reviewed Mr. Birney's work with him; didn't you?

A I believe the first time we went through it, I'm not too sure, or I don't remember, how much interaction I had with him, after that.

MR. AMER: I'm going to put up, your Honor -- we prepared a demonstrative. We labeled it PDX-2, and it shows the sources, which I believe are all already in evidence.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed.)
MR. AMER: I don't know your preference on demonstratives. Do you want me to admit it into evidence, or not?

THE COURT: Yes. I would like that.
MR. AMER: Okay. So, I would ask that this be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: As a demonstrative exhibit, obviously. Not -- I'm not used to having a
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demonstrative -- I'm used to having a demonstrative document be marked, but not moved into evidence, so I would object that a demonstrative document be put into evidence. THE COURT: Well, \(I\) don't know that it matters, in a bench trial, but would plaintiff be willing to just have it marked as an exhibit, rather than it admitted into evidence, as of now?

MR. AMER: I was asking your Honor how you would like to do it, and I'm fine either way. I would say we admit it -- I'm fine to say we are admitting it solely as a demonstrative, if that helps Mr. Robert's objection.

MR. ROBERT: Let's mark it as demonstrative.
We'll talk over the break. I think we are saying the same thing. We'll work it out.

MR. AMER: Does your Honor want a copy?
THE COURT: Yes.
(Whereupon, a document was handed to the Court and to the witness.)

THE COURT: It's on the screen, but I like souvenirs.

Q This just puts in chart form, on a single page, the as-of date of the statements, and the actual date that the statement was issued, and as this indicates -- and you can confirm Mr. McConney -- the statements were all done as of June 30th of the year that they covered; correct?
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A Yes.
MR. ROBERT: Do they have a hard copy to give us?
I see something was handed to the witness.
(Whereupon, a document was handed to counsel.)
Q And it looks as though, for all but three years, the statement is issued in October or November of the year that the statement covers; correct?

A Yes.
Q And for '15 and '16, it looks as though the statement was not issued until March of the year after the year that it covers. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And am I correct that in 2015, the statement issue date was delayed because Mr. Trump was campaigning for office? Is that right?

A That's correct.
Q And in 2016, the statement was delayed until March because of the transition to the oval office; correct?

A Yes.
Q There's one other year where the statement was delayed by a few months, and that's 2020. The judge alluded to this in his opinion, but I would like to confirm, was that due to the Covid pandemic?

A I really don't remember. I didn't have much to do with --

Q Okay.
A -- the June 30 of 2020 statement.
Q Generally speaking, unless there were extenuating circumstances, the annual statement was due in October; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And that's because Mr. Trump's lenders required submission of an annual financial statement as part of the covenants in the terms of the loans that were made to Mr. Trump and the Trump Organization; is that right?

A Yes.
Q As a general matter, the due dates for those statements on those loans were October 31 of each year; is that right?

A Yes.
Q You knew every year that once June 30 passed, you would need to start working on the statement as soon as you could; right?

A Yes.
Q And you would start working on the statement in July or August; right?

A Depending on the year, but yes.
Q And the statements take a long period of time to work up and there's a lot of work involved in doing it; correct?

A That's correct.
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Q And to start the process of preparing the annual Statement of Financial Condition, you began with the years' prior Excel spreadsheet; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And that spreadsheet is something that was called, internally, Jeff Supporting Data; is that right?

A Jeff supporting something. I don't remember the exact name.

Q And the Jeff was you; correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And the Excel spreadsheet would include two years' worth of information; is that right?

A Correct.
Q And the information in that spreadsheet included the valuation methodology and prior valuations for each of the assets valued in the statement; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And you would also go through the backup for assets, and determine what information you needed to prepare the next statement; correct?

A Yes.
Q You would gather that information and input the new information into the Jeff Supporting spreadsheet; right?

A Yes.
Q And different assets on the statement were valued
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differently; correct?
A Yes.
Q And so the valuation methodology dictated what new information you needed in each year; correct?

A Yes.
Q And is it correct that the meat and potatoes of the financial statement, in terms of preparing valuations and making decisions with respect to those valuations, would be done by Mr. Weisselberg and you, prior to Mr. Birney's involvement, and then you, Mr. Weisselberg, and Mr. Birney, once he started to be involved?

A And then just Mr. Birney and Mr. Weisselberg.
Q Can you put a year on it, when it became just Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. Birney?

A It's been a while. It could have been the June of '17 statement, the June of '18 statement. But it was a fairly quick process.

MR. AMER: I would like to put up PX-717, which
is the 2014 engagement letter, which has already been admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

Q Mr. McConney, you are familiar with this document; right?

A Yes, sir.
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Q This is a letter dated January 2nd, 2014 from Mazars to the Trump Organization; right?

A Yes.
Q And it's signed by Mr. Weisselberg; correct?
A Yes.
Q He has acknowledged the document by his signature, and accepted it; correct?

A Yes.
Q You reviewed this document before Mr. Weisselberg signed it; correct?

A Yes.
Q And is it correct to say that you continued to review these engagement letters, even after Patrick Birney started taking over your role in the preparation of the valuations?

A I believe that's correct. Yes.
Q And you understood the terms of the compilation engagement set forth in this letter, at the time that you reviewed this letter; correct?

A Say that again, please? Can you ask that again?
Q Sure. You understood the terms of this compilation engagement letter at the time that you reviewed it; correct?

A Yes.
Q If we go to page three of the letter, you will see there's a section entitled, Management Responsibilities; right?

A Yes.
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Q You understood that, pursuant to this engagement letter, Mr. Trump had an obligation to comply with these responsibilities set forth in \(A\) through \(F\); right?

A Yes.
Q And I just want to highlight, in particular, item \(F\), which says that, "It's management's responsibility for making all financial records and all related information available to us."

Us being Mazars; correct?
A Yes.
Q And for the accuracy and completeness of that information; right?

A Yes.
Q So you understood at the time that this language placed the responsibility for providing complete and accurate information squarely on Mr. Trump's shoulders; correct?

A Yes.
Q And for 2016 through 2021, you understood, based on the engagement letters for those years, that the management responsibilities listed were the obligations that rested with the trustees of the revocable trust; correct?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Grounds?
MR. ROBERT: Rested? I guess if the witness understands it, I withdraw the objection.
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THE COURT: Okay.
A I believe that's correct.
Q And can we agree that your personal and professional relationship with Mr. Bender, going back decades, did not in any way relieve Mr. Trump or his trustees from having to comply with any aspect of these management responsibilities; correct?

A Correct.
Q You provided Mazars with financial information, in the form of the supporting data spreadsheets that we discussed, for use in compiling Mr. Trump's annual Statement of Financial Condition; correct?

A The information we used to prepare my spreadsheet, yes.

Q And you provided that information in order to comply with the obligation in item \(F\) of the engagement letter; correct?

A Correct.
Q And this letter explains that Mazars is performing a compilation, and not an audit or a review; correct?

A Yes.
Q And you understood the significance of that terminology; correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: And why don't we go to the compilation procedures paragraph, which is on page one.

Q And this says, in the second paragraph, "A compilation
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differs significantly from a review or an audit of financial statements."

You certainly understood that at the time; correct?
A Yes.
Q A compilation does not contemplate performing inquiry, analytical procedures, or other procedures performed in a review. You understood that; right?

A Yes.
Q So you had no expectation that Mazars was going to perform inquiry, analytical procedures, or other procedures like those an accounting firm performs in a review; correct?

A I wouldn't say that.
Q You understand, though, that this was a compilation, and that the engagement letter sets forth that a compilation does not contemplate that the accounting firm would perform inquiry, analytical procedures, or procedures performed in a review; right?

A I understood that.
Q Okay. And additionally this says, "A compilation does not contemplate obtaining an understanding of the company's internal control;" correct?

A Yes.
Q And it does not contemplate the accounting firm assessing fraud risk; correct?

A Correct.

Q And it does not contemplate the accounting firm testing accounting records by obtaining sufficient appropriate audit evidence through inspection, observation, confirmation or the examination of source documents; correct?

A Correct.
Q And source documents would be the documents you just testified would go to Mazars, along with your supporting data spreadsheet; correct?

A Yes. I believe that's correct.
Q And it does not contemplate the accounting firm conducting any other procedures ordinarily performed in an audit; correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, you would send the supporting data spreadsheet and the backup material to Mazars on an annual basis; is that right?

A If they didn't come to the office, yes, I would send it to them.

Q And let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 03054.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
(Continued on the next page.)
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Q So, Mr. McConney, this first page is an e-mail from you to Mr. Bender, dated October 23, 2014, where you tell him: "Call me when you want to go over this," and this is sending Mr. Bender the prior year's Statement of Financial Condition that's been marked up. Is that right?

A That's correct.
MR. AMER: Okay, your Honor. I'd move this into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
Q So, this you were sending in 2014 and the statement that you're attaching, if we can go to the second page. This was the statement for 2013 that you've marked up, correct?

A Correct.
Q And is all the handwriting in this document yours?
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, while the witness is reviewing, you've already ruled, but, I'm going to object on the Statute of Limitations ground just to continue preserving our objections.

THE COURT: Agreed. Understood.
A Can you ask me the question one more time?
Q Sure. Is all the handwritten notations in the document yours?

A Yes.
Q If we turn to the assets page, which is page four, you've taken the prior year's statement asset sheet and you've
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marked it up for 2014; is that right?
A For June of '14, yes.
Q Was this the normal procedure that you followed each year that you were the one handling the preparation of valuations?

A I would say there was no normal procedure. If I had time, I would mark the statement up, I guess. If I didn't have time, I would just provide the spreadsheet to Mazars and they'd provide the updated statement.

Q If we could just go back to the second page of the document, page two. I just want to ask you about the note you've written on the upper right-hand corner that says: "DJT to get final review."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q That's your handwriting, yes?
A Yes.
Q DJT refers to Donald J. Trump, correct?
A Correct.
Q So, you're making a notation that you understood Mr. Trump was to get final review of the Statement of Financial Condition for 2014; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Was that also the procedure that you understood was followed in each year that you had responsibility for
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preparing the statement, that Mr. Trump would get final review?

A I would get to the point where Allen Weisselberg and I would review the statement and then I would get an okay from Allen to let Mazars know if it was okay to finalize the statement.

I don't want to assume what happened in between the time I gave it to Allen Weisselberg and the time Allen gave me the approval.

Q My question is a little different. You testified your handwritten notation confirms your understanding that Mr. Trump was to get final review for this 2014 statement?

A Correct.
Q My question is simply whether you had the same understanding that Mr. Trump was to get final review for each financial statement that you were primarily responsible for preparing?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you have-- continue to have an understanding that Mr. Trump would get final review of the statements that Mr . Birney was primarily responsible for handling?

A Once Mr. Trump became President Trump, I don't know what the process was on getting approvals. I think it was just the trustees, but, again, I didn't handle that or
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remember that.
Q You provided copies of the statements to lenders to comply with the loan covenants, correct?

A Yes.
Q You provided a copy of Mr. Trump's personal financial statement to, specifically, Royal Bank of America; is that right?

A I don't remember. It's possible. I don't remember.
Q Let me see if I can refresh your recollection. Let's look at PX-99.

Do you recognize this letter as the letter you signed going to Royal Bank of America?

A Yes, for the June 30, 2015 Statement of Financial Condition. Yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: It's admitted into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Same objection as to Statute of Limitations.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll consider that a standing objection to anything before the relevant dates in 2014 and 2016.

MS. HABBA: To make the record clear. Again, also anything that incorporates anything prior to that date, meaning the backup, et cetera. That was my prior
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objection.
THE COURT: I'm overruling that because that is not the law.

MS. HABBA: No. No. I'm saying, your Honor, we actually agreed on this before. I put on the record -- I put on the record prior, and I'll reiterate it moving forward, so I don't have to object, as well, that if there's a document that references anything prior to February 2016, those items, as his objection stands, same line of questioning. I'm just putting an objection on the record.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry.
MS. HABBA: Yes. It's okay.
THE COURT: Also a continuing objection on behalf of your clients.

MS. HABBA: Yes. Thank you.
THE COURT: Sorry for the misunderstanding.
Q Mr. McConney, this is the letter you sent to
Christopher Drimack at Royal Bank of America relating to the seven Springs loan, correct?

A Yes.
Q You say in your letter that you are providing the June 30, 2015 Statement of Financial Condition pursuant to the promissory note on that loan, right?

A Yes.
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Q So, this was something you were sending to the bank to comply with loan obligations, correct?

A Yes.
Q Let's go ahead and mark-- or I'll share with you PX-100.

Mr. McConney, this is another letter you're sending to Mr. Drimack at Royal Bank of America attaching the June 30, 2016 Statement of Financial Condition, correct?

A That's correct.
Q That's your signature, correct, on the first page? A Yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move this into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Same objection, sir.
THE COURT: Their standing objections. You don't have to keep saying them. I recognize standing objections. The Court of Appeals recognizes the standing objections. You don't even have to call them a standing objection. It's in evidence. Yes.

Q As you did the year before, Mr. McConney, you are sending to the bank, Mr. Trump's June 30, 2016 Statement of Financial Condition in order to satisfy obligations under the promissory note, correct?

A Correct.
Q And Mr. McConney, you are aware that others within
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the organization were provided with Mr. Trump's annual Statement of Financial Condition to disclose to entities outside the Trump Organization, correct?

A Yes.
Q In-
THE COURT: Ten-minute warning. I need to break at 12:50.

Q In particular, you're aware that Michael Cohen was given a copy for one or two meetings, right?

A He was given a copy. I don't remember which year or for how many meetings.

Q Would it refresh your recollection to share with you some of your deposition testimony on the screen? If we can put it up. This is page 124, lines 9 to 25.

Do you do remember that you sat for an interview where you gave testimony under oath, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. If we look at line 124, 9 to 25:
"Question: Are you aware of anyone else in the Trump Organization who provided Mr. Trump's annual Statement of Financial Condition for any year to anyone outside the Trump Organization?"

You asked to hear it read again.
Then you say: "Yes."
"Question: Who is that?
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"Answer: I know Michael Cohen was given a copy for one or two meetings."

You see that.
A Yes, sir.
Q Does that refresh your recollection that it was one or two meetings?

A It does not refresh my memory, but, if it is, it was one or two meetings.

Q Your testimony was truthful when you gave it during the interview?

A I believe, so. Yes.
Q You also know that Mr. Weisselberg provided the statement to insurance companies, right?

A I believe he let them look at the statements, yes.
Q Let's put up PX-294. I'll see if I can do this in time before the break.

MR. AMER: So, your Honor, PX-294 is the DB credit memo that Mr. Kise showed during part of his opening. So, I'm going to ask it be admitted into evidence. I'm hoping there's no objection.

THE COURT: If he showed it, I'm admitting it into evidence.

MR. AMER: Thank you, your Honor.
Q Let's go ahead and turn to page 14 of this document. This was actually a page that Mr . Kise put up during his
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opening, and, you'll see that there are various columns of information in this memo which refer to the client reported values of various financial statements in columns that are headed DJT 6/30/2011. Donald J. Trump 6/30/2012, client reported, and then two columns over, DJT 6/30/2013, client reported.

So, what I'd like to do is go through with you, if we can confirm where some of these numbers are coming from. If we could split the screen and put up the 2011 statement, which is Plaintiff's 787 in evidence.

If we can go to page four, the assets page. You can see, Mr. McConney, that the cash and Marketable Securities figure on the assets page, the 258.9 million matches the figure for cash and Marketable Securities in the first column headed DJT 6/30/2011. You see that?

A Yes.
Q So, you would agree with me that that figure is pulled from the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition, correct?

A Yes.
Q Then if we put up the 2012 statement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 815 and go to page five. We're looking at the assets page. You'd agree with me that the cash and Marketable Securities amount of 169.7 million ties into the figure that's listed in the Deutsche Bank credit memo for
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2012, client reported, right?
A Yes.
Q We'll do 2013 which is Exhibit 707 in evidence. Go to page four. You'll agree with me that the figure for cash and Marketable Securities of 339.1 million ties into that fourth column figure for DJT 6/30/2013, client reported, correct?

A Yes.
Q In the credit memo, if we could turn to the next page and leave the statement up and let's look at the top column, the top chart. You'll see that there are figures for four properties. They're referred to as four trophy properties. You see that?

I'm sorry. I'll wait for you to get there.
A Trophy properties, okay.
Q If you go to 2013 statement, you'll see that the figures for Trump Tower, Niketown, 40 Wall Street, and Trump Park Avenue all match what's in the credit memo. You see that?

A Yes.
Q So, you would agree with me that the numbers in this chart and the credit memo are pulled from the 2013 statement, correct?

A In the DJT valuation column, yes.
Q Okay. You'll see there's a figure under club
facilities in the credit memo of 1656.2. Do you see that? A Yes.

Q That ties into the dollar amount for club facilities in the June 30, 2013 statement, correct? A That's correct.

MR. AMER: As the Court will recall, the credit memo relates to the OPO loan which closed in August of 2014. I think this is a good point to break, your Honor, if you gave me the ten-minute warning.

THE COURT: I'm sure everybody will be happy to break now. We'll be back at 2:15 as usual. Have a great lunch.
(Luncheon recess taken.)
(Continued on the following page..)
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THE COURT: Mr. Amer, do you have a statement of some sort to make?

MR. AMER: Yes, your Honor. Just a point to raise, on the record. It concerns the defendant's application to the Appellate Division that they're going to present tomorrow morning, for a stay.

We still do not know, although we have requested multiple times, what the scope of the stay is going to be. Is it going to be a stay just of the equitable relief in your summary judgment decision, or will it be a stay of this trial? Which, obviously, you know, required tremendous resources to move forward with.

We believe that there is an obligation to have given us notice of the specific request for relief that they are going to seek, 24 -hours notice. The papers have Mr. Robert's firm name on them. We would ask that he just say, in open court, on the record, what the scope of the stay is going to be, and if he refuses to say that, then at least we have that on the record, that we can take to the Appellate Division.

THE COURT: Well, he can't say what the stay is going to be. He can say what they are requesting.

MR. AMER: That's what I'm requesting. What is the scope of the relief that they are seeking, so that we understand what the nature of the application is tomorrow
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morning.
MR. KISE: So -- and I may have to defer, your Honor, to the expert, the acknowledged expert on the CPLR, but as I understand it -- and I just responded to Ms. Vale over the lunch break, a few minutes ago. As I understand it, 22 NYCRR, whatever that is, 1250.4 (b) (2) requires that the party seeking relief, as provided in this subdivision, shall give reasonable notice to his or her adversary of the day and time when, and the location where -- we have got all that covered -- the application or order to show cause will be presented, and the relief, including interim relief, being requested.

So, my appellate people are telling me we have done that. We have told them we are seeking a stay.

THE COURT: Of what?
MR. KISE: Interim relief -- well, that's the thing. Until we file it, tomorrow -- hold on -- I'm not sure they're entitled to ask these questions in a trial court proceeding. It seems irregular that we are even having a conversation in a trial court proceeding over an issue that is going to go to the First Department, but we are seeking a stay pending appeal, pursuant to the notice of appeal filed on Wednesday.

I've offered to have a conversation with Ms. Vale tonight. To the extent our appellate people can
communicate with them in between that time and sort out what's required and what isn't, but you know, until we file tomorrow morning -- we actually are filing tomorrow morning, and so it's possible, I don't know, it's possible we may change our mind. We may decide to seek, you know, door number one or door number two. I don't think that that's actually required, that we -- until we present our papers to the First Department. That's the Court that we are responding to. I mean, this is -- again, I'm not the expert here, but this is how it has worked in all the times I've gone up on interlocutory appeals, is you present your papers in the morning, and we go to court.

THE COURT: I disagree. They asked for the relief requested. That's the point of this notice, so they are not blindsided.

Also, beyond all this, \(I\) would just think it's a matter of common courtesy, you would just explain what you're planning to request, or are requesting.

MR. KISE: I mean will they be satisfied if I tell them -- what I'm concerned with is if I say it's A, and it winds up being \(B\) tomorrow morning, then I'm going hear a lot of static from the Attorney General.

Again, \(I\) think it's highly irregular that we are having this conversation.

THE COURT: I don't think it's irregular, at all.
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MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I actually have not even reviewed -- and I can say that, because I'm sitting here on trial with you. I haven't had an opportunity to review the papers, so I most certainly would like that opportunity, before we discuss anything with the AG. I'm sitting here representing certain clients, and I'm not going to allow him to represent anything that would be prejudicial either. So, can we at least agree that, once it's final -- I mean, but I don't see why we would do this, ever. I have never done this.

MR. KISE: Why don't we do this. Why don't we do this. As you know, Judge I'm a pragmatist. Why don't we do this. Why don't we let him go ahead, and I will just say, let's assume it's both, for right now; okay? In that, it's a stay of the summary judgment, and the trial.

THE COURT: But --
MR. KISE: And if it's not, then they will be over-prepared, but they won't be under-prepared. But, I can't commit, on behalf of several lawyers, several clients that haven't even spoken to, exactly what it's going to be. It's fair for \(u\) s to say that it's a stay, and if they want me to commit to, it's both, then okay, I'll do that, but I don't know that tomorrow morning -- if it winds up being less, I just don't want to hear any complaint that it's going to be less.
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THE COURT: Well, I assume they can express that, that they don't want to be over-prepared, in terms of getting people to the courthouse here, and all.

MR. KISE: It's the same person, Judge. It's Ms. Vale. I mean, it's the same, and we have been communicating about this. Or, our office has been.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, this is simple. We are entitled to -- the rules require 24 -hours notice. It's not notice to say, We are doing something, but we don't know what we are doing. That's not notice. If they haven't decided what they are doing yet --

MR. KISE: No.
MR. AMER: -- then they should switch it back to Tuesday morning.

THE COURT: And by the way, I'm not the Appellate Division, but if I were, I would deny your request on the ground you didn't give notice, because they didn't know what they were up against.

MR. KISE: Then let's do that, just to satisfy Mr. Amer and the Government. Again, I think it's highly irregular. We will be seeking a stay of both the summary judgment and the trial.

MR. AMER: We have our record, and --
MR. KISE: Does that satisfy you?
MR. AMER: It's not a question of satisfying me.
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It's a question of satisfying the rules. You have to give us 24 -hours notice. You just put it on the record, and we'll have our appellate group deal with it tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: I think we can move ahead.
MR. ROBERT: And I'm confident our notice this morning satisfies all the requirements to make a stay application before the Appellate Division. So, we can leave it at that?

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear the start of that.

MR. ROBERT: I just wanted it to be clear that it is our position that the notice that we gave the Attorney General's Office -- including their appellate lawyers, knowing that all the trial lawyers are here in court today, including all of us -- of our intention to seek a stay tomorrow, at 10:00 tomorrow morning. So, they're aware of it.

THE COURT: Well, are they aware of it because of what's in the notice, or because of what you said two minutes ago?

MR. ROBERT: Our notice says that we are seeking a stay.

THE COURT: Well, that's clearly not sufficient.
MR. ROBERT: Well, I respectfully disagree with
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the Court, but you have additional notice, and if it turns out to be less, it turns out to be less.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. KISE: But you know, again, I don't want to, by stating what I stated on the record, to withdraw from the position that our notice was sufficient as given, this morning. Let me be clear on that.

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. KISE: To be clear on that. But, they are asking. They have obviously, again, engaged in what I think is a highly irregular procedure, to have a conversation about an appeal in front of the Court that we are appealing from, but at all events --

THE COURT: Well, you said that five times, and I've disagreed with you five times.

MR. KISE: I know you have.
THE COURT: Chris and I understand each other. We don't always agree, but we understand each other.

All right. Now, are we ready to recall the witness? Let's get the witness back.
(Whereupon, the witness resumed the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Let's continue.
You are still under oath. You know?
THE WITNESS: Okay.
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CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. AMER:
Q Welcome back.
A Thank you.
Q I would like to turn to the cash asset category in the Statement of Financial Condition, and I would like to start by showing you the Statement of Financial Condition for 2016. It's Exhibit 756. It's already in evidence.

MR. AMER: If we could pull up page three.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q You will see that the first item on the assets page is cash, marketable securities and hedge funds, in the amount of \(\$ 114.4\) million. Do you see that?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay.
A Yes, sir.
Q And there are notes to this statement that explain aspects of each asset category; correct?

A Yes.
Q And if we look at page six, note two is the one that discusses this asset category; cash, marketable securities, and hedge funds; right?

A Yes.
Q And it says, "Cash, marketable securities and hedge
funds represents amounts held by Mr. Trump and amounts in operating entities."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And we can agree operating entities, as used in this note, means entities within the Trump Organization umbrella; correct?

A And then some. Yes.
Q It's all operating entities that are within the Trump Organization?

A It's the entities that are in the Trump Organization, and entities where Mr. Trump is a partner in those entities.

Q Is it intended to include entities over which Mr. Trump has no control?

A Yes.
Q And what about this language do you think would explain to a user that operating entities includes cash, marketable securities, and hedge funds within entities that Mr. Trump doesn't control?

A I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
Q Sure. What is it in this language that is highlighted on this screen that you believe discloses to a user of this financial statement that this asset category includes cash, marketable securities, and hedge funds within entities that Mr. Trump does not control?

A Well, the word operating entities is there. I don't know how individuals would interpret operating entities, but it doesn't specifically state entities that he does not control.

Q Well, it doesn't say operating entities that he has a minority interest in, either; does it?

A It doesn't state, only entities that he owns 100 percent, or that he controls.

Q So, it's your testimony that this asset category includes cash that Mr. Trump does not have control over. Is that your testimony?

A Yes.
Q And that was your intent in valuing this asset, that you were going to include cash which Mr. Trump could not control and dispense? Is that your testimony?

A Yes.
Q And so, this is not, in your view, an asset category that represents Mr. Trump's liquidity. Is that what you are telling us?

A The majority of it is his liquidity.
Q I didn't say majority.
A \(\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}\).
Q I'm asking you, are you telling us that this asset category, in your view, is intended to represent cash, marketable securities, and hedge funds, which do not reflect Mr. Trump's liquidity?
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A I'm not sure that question makes any sense to me.
Q Okay. You have an accounting background; right?
A Yes.
Q You understand the concept of liquidity; correct?
A Yes.
Q And in order for this category to reflect Mr. Trump's liquidity, would you agree that he would need to have control over all of the assets that are in this category?

A Yes.
Q With respect to assets that he doesn't have control over, those are not his liquid assets; right?

A That's not the way we looked at it, but yes.
Q Okay. And you're telling us that it was your intent to include, within this category, assets that do not reflect Mr. Trump's liquidity, because you have just told us you are including assets held by entities in which he owns a minority stake. Is that correct?

A Yes. The same way we have included accounts payable in the same entities.

Q Why don't we pull up PX-2587, the native.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q Focusing on -- well, let me first ask you about this document. This is backup that you provided to Mazars for this asset category for 2016; right?

A Can you just scroll down to the bottom, with the total? Because I believe the answer is yes, but --

Q Okay.
MR. AMER: Scroll down to the total, for the witness.

A Just up a little bit. Up to the total of the cash.
Q We'll get there. Hang on a second.
A Okay.
Okay. I'm sorry. Could you ask the question again?
Q Yes. This is the back up for the cash, marketable securities category we were just looking at for the 2016 statement; correct?

A It's the spreadsheet that summarized the backup. Yes.
Q That's what you sent to Mazars, along with your Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet; correct?

A Correct.
Q You would consider this spreadsheet to be a business record; wouldn't you?

A Yes.
Q And if we focus on rows 11 through 16, you will see that each of those entities, 11, and then 16 through 19, all have, in parentheses, 30 percent of cash on B/S -- which I presume is balance sheet?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And am I correct that each of these entities
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Q He owns a minority percentage, correct?
A Yes.
Q He has no ability to liquidate the amounts that are in-- that are ascribed to those entities, correct?

A That's correct.
Q If we scroll down to the -- by the way, you've indicated here in the spreadsheet it's 30 percent. So, actually, if you looked at the balance sheet of the entity, you'd see the 100 percent number and you've taken 30 percent of it and put it on this balance sheet?

A Correct. The same way we reflected the payables.
Q Is it okay if we refer to this shorthand as Vornado cash?

A Fine with me.
Q Just so we're clear, Vornado cash is not cash that Mr. Trump has access to on his own, correct?

A Correct.
Q He cannot withdraw the funds from the accounts where they sit, correct?

A Correct.
Q Let's come back to -- let's go to PX-3041.
MR. AMER: PX-3041 is the defendant's response to the 202 statement and I'm going to ask if we can go to page 142 at paragraph 403 and just blow it up. If we could just do the chart and the response below.
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Q This is a response that was filed by you in this case, as a defendant, Mr. McConney, and you stated that it's undisputed that the values listed are those reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition and the amounts attributable to the Vornado operating entities are as stated.

MR. AMER: I don't know if your preference is for something like this, your Honor, that we admit it into evidence or because it's on NYSCEF that's sufficient?

THE COURT: Let's consider this -- deem this admitted into evidence, even though it's already on NYSCEF, which is a whole different thing.

Q So, Mr. McConney, it is agreed then that for each year from 2013 to 2021, the Vornado cash is as stated in the second column. The statement year in the first column. The second column has the Vornado cash.

The third column has the total cash reported on the statement, and, the fourth column is just math, taking the percentage of that column two represent as to column three. So, that is stipulated fact.

Let's go and let's just confirm that your answer is the same with respect to all these years, that the Vornado cash, that has been agreed to, is cash that Mr. Trump has no control over, correct?

A Correct.
Q Let's go back to PX-2587, the native. Am I correct
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that this spreadsheet then breaks out where these funds are located in different accounts, correct?

A I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
Q Yes. The columns, for example, column D says Cash Allied Irish Bank. So, that is an account that exists, right?

A Right. That's the bank or brokerage company or whatever that holds that cash.

Q Okay. And if we could-- and, by the way, the cash, Vornado cash is -- sits in a bank account controlled by the Vornado Partnerships, right?

A Correct.
Q Can we collapse columns D through N? Mr. McConney, you list the Vornado cash in a Capital One account that you list cash for other entities that are controlled by Mr. Trump; isn't that true?

A Yes.
Q So, you've got 40 Wall Street, LLC is in the Capital One account. That's clearly something Mr. Trump controls, right?

A Yes.
Q Isn't it the case then that this document represents that the Vornado cash is in the same Capital One account that Mr. Trump's cash resides for those entities that he does control?

A It doesn't represent that it's in the same bank
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account. It represent it's in the same bank.
Q Do you know if the Vornado cash is all in a Capital One account?

A Based on this, I would have to make that assumption, but, without looking at my backup, I can't make that statement here.

Q So, you have multiple Capital One accounts here. You have one called cash in Column 0 . You have one called cash in Column P. You have one called OS and E in Column Q.

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q Isn't it correct, Mr. McConney, that anyone looking at this spreadsheet would conclude that all of the cash in Column O sits in the same Capital One account?

A People can make assumptions in any way they want to. The users of the spreadsheet know that it's not one bank account.

Q It was your intent, when you created this spreadsheet, to put all of these cash amounts in Column 0 ; and, that means that accounts that Mr. Trump controls and the accounts that hold the Vornado cash are in the same column under this same Capital One account, and, you intended that to be the case; isn't that right?

A I did not intend it to be one account. This spreadsheet is not through Column V. If you keep going out,
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it's a number of columns. At some point in time, we had to realize we couldn't keep adding columns for every single bank or brokerage company. Not account but bank or brokerage company that was involved.

If Hudson Waterfront or Vornado had their cash at Capitol One, it means that's the bank, not an account, but the bank that the money is in.

Q Mr. -
THE COURT: One second. We need to have a quick
technical break of some sort.
(Brief pause.)
THE COURT: Continue.
Q Mr. McConney, if you wanted to list the five Vornado cash entities in a separate section, you'd only have to add one more column to the spreadsheet; isn't that right?

A That's correct.
Q This is the spreadsheet that you sent to Donald Bender, correct?

A Yes.
Q So, when he looks at this spreadsheet, he sees all of this cash in all of these entities, including ones that Mr. Trump controls, and the Vornado cash, in a single column labeled Cash Capitol One, correct?

A Can you start that again, because I think the beginning of the question, \(I\) don't agree with.
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MR. AMER: Can you read back?
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Read back.)
A Yes, he sees a listing of numbers in a column that says Cash Capital One. He knows it's not in one bank account.

MR. AMER: I'll move to strike that last part of the answer.

THE COURT: He said it many times. I'm not sure -- because it's not responsive to the question.

MR. AMER: And because he's testifying about what Mr. Bender knows without having any foundation for that. It's hearsay. He can't get into Mr. Bender's mind.

MR. ROBERT: All of the questions were elicited to what Mr. Bender understood from the spreadsheet Mr. McConney sent. So, to me, it's a fair answer to a fair question.

THE COURT: Simple point is he doesn't know what Mr. Bender is going to think. So, I'll strike the last sentence or clause.

Q It wasn't a mistake, on your part, to include the Vornado cash in the same Column \(O\) as the other entity cash; that wasn't your intent, correct?

A No. My premise is based on looking at this now is
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that's where Vornado has their cash.
Q Let me go back to my question. My question was simply that it wasn't a mistake on your part to put the Vornado cash in Column 0 ; it was your intent do so, correct?

A The preparer of this statement, I'm assuming, knew where the funds were that Vornado had. So, the intent was to put it in the column with the bank where the funds were.

Based on the way this schedule is supposed to be prepared, we had put the Vornado cash in the column where the cash was maintained at Capital One.

Q I'm just going to try, again, to see if \(I\) can get a responsive answer. It's very simple. Including the Vornado cash in Column \(O\) was not a mistake, it was something you intend to do?

MR. KISE: Objection. This has been asked and answered about a dozen times. He's given the same answer. It wasn't his intent, and, Mr. Amer wants to testify, but, the witness has already answered and said exactly why he put it where he put it. That's what he said multiple times.

THE COURT: Overruled. He hasn't answered the question yet. It's a very simple question. I can't state it any better than Mr. Amer did.

Were those numbers in Column \(O\) the way you wanted them to be or were they a mistake?
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THE WITNESS: Without looking at the backup, your Honor, knowing where Vornado has their cash, if their cash was in a different bank, it was a mistake. If it was in Capital One, we put it in the column where all the other entities had their cash at Capital One.

Without the backup and looking at the actual source document, I can't answer the question.

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, what do you want to do?
MR. AMER: One more followup.
Q So, as I understand your testimony, you're saying as long as the Vornado cash was in an account somewhere within Capital One Bank, even though it was not a Capital One Bank that Mr. Trump controlled, then this was not a mistake? This was something you intended to do?

A That's correct.
Q Let's switch now to a different asset. Let's talk about Mr. Trump's triplex.

MR. KISE: Apartment.
Q I'd like to discuss your valuation of that property. So, let's pull up the 2014 statement, PX-730, which is in evidence, and, let me first focus you on page six where it says: "Basis of presentation."

This would have been a statement that you reviewed, correct?

A Yes.
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Q It says: "The accompanying Statement of Financial Condition consists of assets and liabilities of Donald J. Trump. Assets are stated at their estimated current values. You understood, during the entire time that you were preparing the valuations from 2011 through 2016, that the valuations you were working on were intended to state the assets at their estimated current values, correct?

A Correct.
Q Let's go back to Plaintiff's 3041. The defendant's response to Plaintiff's 202.8G statement. Let's look at paragraph 31.

Again, this is something that you filed in this case, and, you do not dispute that GAAP defines estimated current value as "the amount at which the item could be exchanged between a buyer and seller, each of whom is well informed and willing and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell."

You understood, when you were working on the valuations, that the values had to be estimated current values and that estimated current values were this definition on the screen, correct?

MR. KISE: Objection. No foundation.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A When we were preparing the Statement of Financial Condition, estimated current value, to me, has a wide range

\section*{J. McConney - Direct/Amer}
of interpretation. There are a number of definitions. We may not have listed them all here, but, this is one of them, I believe.

THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry to interrupt. You said it's undisputed. You're not disputing the statement that's highlighted in yellow. So, what are we talking about?

MR. KISE: He didn't say that. That's a response.

MR. AMER: He filed --
THE COURT: He's a defendant.
MR. KISE: But, your Honor. So, this is one piece of the puzzle. What's undisputed is that was the definition under that one section, but, there's 20 more pieces to the puzzle after that, and, that's in our statement of facts.

They just want to ignore the rest of it. So, yes, GAAP has those words in it, but, GAAP has another paragraph that tells you how you compute estimated value.

MR. AMER: I'm concerned when we argue about objections that we end up with testimony.

MR. KISE: It's not fair --
MR. AMER: Excuse me. Let me finish. We have a witness on the stand, and, you're making a very lengthy speaking objection in the presence of the witness, and, I
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think that's objectionable. So, if we can just have an objection and get the Court's ruling without a whole explanation that educates the witness that is here in the room on the stand --

MR. KISE: I'm not trying to educate the witness. You asked me a question, your Honor, and I'm trying to explain why it says what we said. That's what you asked. If you want to do side-bar --

THE COURT: I didn't ask a question, I remember.
MR. KISE: You said it's undisputed. What is the issue and I'm explaining what the issue is.

THE COURT: I didn't ask you. I was asking the witness. In any event, you can cross-examine.

MR. KISE: Correct.
THE COURT: I don't think there was a foundation need or any explanation needed. You want to ask it again?

MR. AMER: Sure.
Q Let me back up a bit. You understand that the Statement of Financial Condition had to be GAAP compliant unless there was a specific departure from GAAP indicated, correct?

A I'm not a GAAP expert.
Q Not my question, Mr. McConney. I'm not asking you about GAAP regulations. I'm asking you a broader question,
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which is, you understood that the Statement of Financial Condition had to be GAAP compliant, whatever that ment, they had to be GAAP compliant unless there was a departure from GAAP specifically noted; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q You agreed, in this filing, that GAAP defines estimated current value exactly as quoted here.

MR. AMER: That's a stipulated fact, your Honor, which I ask the Court to take judicial notice of.

THE COURT: Well, I'd rather he said it; but, we can get to judicial notice, if necessary. I think sometimes the questions are a little longer than they need to be.

Did you understand that, pursuant to GAAP, the definition of estimated current value is as indicated up there?

THE WITNESS: That's part of the definition. That are many ways to come up with estimated current value. If we didn't list them, I'm sorry.

Q Let me see if I can parse this. You say many ways to come up with estimated current value and I'm asking you what estimated current value is, however you come up with it, okay.

So, there's methodology and then there's the result. Did you understand that the result of whatever methodology you used had to be, at the end of the day, the
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amount at which the item could be exchanged between a buyer and seller, each of whom is well informed and willing and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Let's look at the 2012 Jeff Supporting Data and that's in evidence. We'll put it up on screen, the native.

Do you recognize this as your Jeff Supporting
Data that was provided to Mazars?
A Looks like it, yes.
Q We've had testimony from Mr. Bender that this was the control version because it has PBC, provide by client, and it has the various tick marks numbers, the blue numbers. Does that sound right to you?

A That sounds right, yes.
Q Let's go to row 833. This is the section that discusses the triplex, correct?

A Line 833 and line 834, yes.
Q Okay. There's a value in \(G\) and there's a value in \(H\) and it covers -- it gives you two years, the current year and the prior year, correct?

A Can you just scroll up so I can see which is the current year and which is the prior year?

Q Sure.
A It should be at the top of these -- used to be a
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Q So, if we go back, you will see that for 2011 it's \(\$ 80\) million, and 2012, it increases by \(\$ 100\) million to 180 ; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And let's -- and you say, underneath triplex, "Per email from Kevin Sneddon," and that's the explanation for the jump of 100 million to 180 in 2012; correct?

A Yes.
Q And you provided backup for this; correct?
A Yes.
Q So why don't we look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1052.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

Q Mr. McConney, these are a series of emails between you and individuals employed at the Trump Realty -- Trump International Realty; is that right?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I offer this into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection.
THE COURT: It's a continuing objection. I don't really care, but it's a waste.

MR. ROBERT: We still feel that we need to preserve the record, your Honor.

THE COURT: Despite what the Appellate Division
said? I mean, Court of Appeals? Because --

\section*{J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer}

MR. ROBERT: My understanding of that decision is that had to do with testimony, and the trial judge had to be given an opportunity to correct it at the trial level, to be available, and that's why we bring it up. I may be reading it incorrectly, but from my reading, it was testimony.

THE COURT: I don't want to tell you what to do. So, you can object every time.

Anyway, granted and it's in evidence, over objection.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1052 was received in evidence.)

Q Mr. McConney, if you can turn to page two of the exhibit, I want to focus you on your email to Kathy Kaye dated September 19th, 2012. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Am I correct that this is your effort to reach out to Ms. Kaye to get information for you to value the triplex?

A Yes.
Q And Ms. Kaye is one of the top people at Trump International Realty; correct?

A Yes.
Q And is it okay if \(I\) refer to that entity as TIR?
A Yes. That's the way I do it.
Q Okay. And TIR is an in-house real estate broker;
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A Yes.
Q And you say in your email to Ms. Kaye, "Justin told me about the apartment he is going to video on the west side. I'm trying to value DJT's triplex," and then ask you a series of questions. What building is the unit in; what's the asking price for the unit; how many square feet is the unit; correct?

A Yes.
Q You are looking for a comp for Mr. Trump's triplex; correct?

A Correct.
Q Is Justin somebody who works at TIR?
A Justin, I believe, worked for the Trump Organization. I don't think he worked for TIR.

Q And you understood he was going to video the apartment to put it up for a listing; correct?

A Yes.
Q And then the top email, Ms. Kaye emails Mr. Sneddon and copies you and says, "Kevin, please provide Jeff with the prince's details. I'm not near a computer. Thanks;" right?

A Yes.
Q And Mr. Sneddon is another top person at TIR?
A Yes.
Q Just so we are not confused by the prince's details, did you understand that that apartment that was going to be
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listed was owned by a Saudi prince?
A I don't know about Saudi, but I think, I have some remembrance, that it was owned by a prince, or some royalty of some sort.

Q So, it's not a typo. She's really asking for the prince's details?

A That's what I remember.
Q Okay. Then Mr. Sneddon responds and he says, and you're copied, "I already valued DJT's triplex for Alan."

You understood that to be Allen Weisselberg; right?
A Yes.
Q And he goes on to say, "Our 75 million-dollar triplex lifting is in 240 RSB."

Is that 240 Riverside Boulevard?
A Yes.
Q And that's a Trump-developed property?
A At the time, yes.
Q Okay. And he says, "Total square footage is 14 and a half thousand, including main residence, guest residence, and staff residence. Ask is 5000 -plus per foot."

Did you understand that to be per square foot?
A Yes. \$5,000 per square foot.
Q So, he provided you with some of the information that you asked for; correct?

A Yes.

Q And then you ask him to send you the email he sent to Mr. Weisselberg; right?

A Yes.
Q And then he responds, "At 30,000 square feet, DJT's triplex is worth 4,000 to 6,000 per foot, or 120 million to 180 million;" right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And this is a point in time where you're still using 30,000 square feet for the valuation of Mr. Trump's triplex; right?

A I don't know if it's the point in time, or that's when we started. I don't remember exactly when we started using 30,000 square feet.

Q Okay. And this is the information that you then use to put a value on the apartment for 2012 of \(\$ 180\) million?

A Right.
Q It's fair to say you took the upper end of the range that Mr. Sneddon provided; correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, there are two components to the formula that you used to determine the value. One was the square footage. There's been a lot read and argued about that, but the other component is the price per square foot; correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And so here, the price per square foot that you
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used for the 2012 valuation was 6,000 per square foot; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And you base that off of the information you received about the listing at 240 Riverside Boulevard; right?

A Sorry. Can you say that again?
Q Sure. You're basing that off of the listing for the apartment, the comp that was at 240 Riverside Boulevard, that you were communicating with Ms. Kaye and Mr. Sneddon about; right?

A Well, I'm basing it on the email from Kevin, the 30,000 square feet, and the 4,000 to 6,000 per square foot. I think the question about the apartment for sale was the starting point, and this is, basically, where we ended up.

Q Well, Ms. Kaye's email said that the listing was going to be at 5,000 per square foot, and you picked -- and Mr. Sneddon gave you a range of 4,000 to 6,000 , so isn't it correct that that range was based on the listing price for the comp at 240 Riverside Boulevard?

A I don't know what Kevin's thought process was. It could be based on this, if you can draw that conclusion, but I don't know if that's what Kevin was basing it on.

Q Well, it's the mid point of the range that he gave you; right?

A Yeah. Mathematically, yes.
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Q And your inquiry was about that apartment, and his response was in response to your inquiry; right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And you probably talked to Mr. Weisselberg about this valuation; correct?

A We may have, at some point in time. I don't remember a specific conversation.

Q Well, do you recall that Mr. Weisselberg felt that going with the high end of the range at \(\$ 180 \mathrm{million}\) was appropriate, and represented the value of Mr . Trump's triplex, based on what Mr. Sneddon told you?

A Can you say that again? I was kind of --
Q Sure. Is it correct that you reviewed this with Mr. Weisselberg, and he felt going with the high end of the range \(\$ 180\) million, represented the value of Mr. Trump's triplex, based on what Mr. Sneddon had provided to you?

A That would be my assumption. Yes.
Q Well, I'm asking you for your best recollection. Is that fair?

A Yes.
Q And you would agree that for purposes of valuing a property for the Statement of Financial Condition in order to get to an estimated current value, that it is better to use an actual sale price, rather than an asking price? Correct?

MR. KISE: Objection. Foundation.
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THE COURT: Combination. Foundation.
MR. KISE: Foundation. He already testified he is not a G.A.A.P. expert. He has his understanding of what estimated current value is. I'll stop there.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A So, can you ask the question again, please?
MR. AMER: Your Honor, can I get a read back.
THE COURT: That's the way to do it.
Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)

MR. ROBERT: Same objection.
THE COURT: Same ruling. Overruled.
A I don't think that's -- I think -- there are many ways to value assets in a Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Let me just go right to your testimony, from the interview. I'm going to put up page 307, line 13 to 307 -- to 308?

THE COURT: When you say interview, do you mean deposition?

MR. AMER: This is his interview under oath during our investigation. So, we don't call it a deposition. It's an investigatory interview, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Q "Question: Why use an asking price, and not an
actual sale?
"Answer: We asked ourselves the same thing a few years ago, and we stopped using asking prices, and did sale prices. I don't know why we used asking prices at that time, but we realized it was probably better to get an actual selling price.
"And why is that?
"Answer: Because you can ask anything you want to. Like, we can ask for 291 million for houses on Seven Springs. It doesn't mean you want to pay that. But, that's what our asking price, if you want to buy it. So, based on that theory, we thought it was a good determining factor at the time, and when we thought about it some more, we figured out, it's better to use selling prices."

That was your sworn testimony; correct?
A Yes.
Q And isn't it correct that when you are valuing a property based on comps using actual sale prices as opposed to asking prices, it's not just the better practice, it's the right way to do it?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Grounds?
MR. KISE: Again, foundation. It's the same question, the same problem. It's the same disconnect. I'm loathe, because they are going to throw their pens in the
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air, I'm loathe to explain it, but it's the same principle. He has already said there's multiple ways to get there. Mr. Amer is now asking if that's the right way. This is the whole point of the case, there is no one right way. So, he is mischaracterizing the evidence in the record. I'll put it that way.

And, this witness isn't a G.A.A.P. expert, so he can't testify to what's the absolutely right way. He has already said his view, multiple times. There are many ways.

THE COURT: I think any high school student knows the right way. I'm overruling the objection.

Q I'll ask the question again. You would agree that when you are valuing a property based on comps, using actual sale prices, as opposed to asking prices, is not just the better practice, but it's the right way to do it; isn't it?

MR. KISE: Same objection.
A No.
Q You think it's okay to use asking prices when you are looking for comps to value a property at it's estimated current value? Is that your testimony?

A Yes, sir.
Q Do you know whether this comp at 240 Riverside Boulevard, the prince's apartment, sold? And for how much?

A I do not.
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MR. AMER: I would like to introduce Exhibit 3037.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this exhibit for grounds of notice, that it was public record that the sale occurred.

THE COURT: But do we know that they knew about it? And are you arguing constructive notice?

MR. AMER: We are arguing that it was something that was in the public domain, that he could have learned about from this article.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor?
THE COURT: Go ahead. Sorry.
MR. ROBERT: It's a 2018 article. We are talking about 2012 valuation. Its hearsay, and we are not accepting what the New York Times says is accurate.

THE COURT: I believe that some or all of the defendants had actual notice of this. They asked about it, and were told.

But, Mr. Amer, can you resolve this issue?
MR. AMER: The question for -- so, the witness thinks it's appropriate to use asking prices. The fact
that this apartment sold --
THE COURT: I think he said they are just
different ways. He didn't say asking was the only way, or the correct way. He said it was one way, I think.

MS. HABBA: Thank you.
MR. AMER: He said it was an acceptable way.
THE COURT: Acceptable. That's not the way.
That's an acceptable way.
MR. AMER: I meant to convey something different.
I'm sorry.
He is taking that position now, on the stand, and the fact that it was in the public domain, that this apartment sold for \(\$ 30\) million --

THE COURT: Public domain doesn't do it. I think there was a lot more than public domain.

Q Do you know, Mr. McConney, whether your colleagues in TIR were aware of the sale of this apartment when it happened, many years later, at a much lower price than the asking price?

A I have no idea what they knew.
MR. AMER: We'll come back to this, your Honor.
THE COURT: Fine.
Q Let's look at the 2013 value, and let's put up the 2014 JSD, because that will give us both the 2013 value and the 2014 value; correct?

A Yes, sir.
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Q Two hundred million in both years, and in 2013, it's based on Kathy Kaye.

Is that an e-mail from her, August 2013?
A I'd have to look at the backup but, yes, it's an e-mail from her.

MR. AMER: Okay. Let's go ahead and mark 714.
THE COURT: Ten-minute warning, everybody.
Q This is an e-mail exchange you had with Ms. Kaye, correct?

A Yes, sir.
MR. AMER: I move this into evidence, your Honor.

MR. ROBERT: Same objection. Statute of Limitations.

THE COURT: Same ruling. Granted. It's in evidence.

Q And the top e-mail from Ms. Kaye to you is the e-mail that you're referencing in the spreadsheet for your \(\$ 200\) million value; is that right?

A I believe August 19, 2013 was the date on the spreadsheet. Can I look at the spreadsheet before I say yes or no?

Q Sure. Going back to 719?
A August, yes. That is the e-mail we based it on.
Q So, let's go back to the e-mail. She says at the
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top: "Doing the list now. As far as DJT's." Did you understand that to mean Mr. Trump's triplex?

A Yes, sir.
Q "One unit just sold for over 5,000 a foot. However, another just came on the market at over 11,000 per square foot." You see that?

A Yes, sir.
Q Then she says: "Which is not necessarily indicative of the market," right?

A Yes, sir.
Q You understood that what she was saying was the asking price of over 11,000 per square foot didn't necessarily indicate what the market would actually pay for that apartment, correct?

A I took the whole, the two sentences before. "One just sold for 5,000 a foot. One just came on the market for 11,000 a square foot. It's not necessarily indicative of the market." I took both of those sentences.

Q In any event, she was telling you the information she was sharing with you was not necessarily indicative of the market, right?

A Yes.
Q Then she says: "Based on the activity in the luxury market and given how unique the apartment is, as well as tied to celebrity, I don't see how one would list below 8,000 a
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square foot at this point which brings us to @ 240 million." Then she says: " 200 million is a safe estimate," correct?

A Yes.
Q So, you go with what she claims is a safe estimate?
A Yes.
Q We can agree that the item that she says is 11,000 per square foot is just a listing price and not an actual sale price, correct?

A I believe that to be correct, yes.
Q That's what you understood at the time, right?
A Yes.
Q She's obviously using the 30,000 square foot figure because she's saying 8,000 per square foot, right?

A Yes.
Q Do you know if she is the person at TIR who decided to list the Prince's triplex at \(\$ 75\) million?

A I have no idea.
Q Okay. I'm correct that this one e-mail from Ms. Kaye, that we've just reviewed, is the sole basis for your valuing the apartment in 2013 at 200 million; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q If we look at the spreadsheet again for the 2014 value, you indicate there that it's based on comps at 157. Do you see that?

A Yes.
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Q That's referring to a property on West 57th Street which is a very, very tall apartment building, correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Exhibit 3044.
Q Mr. McConney, is this your backup for getting to the \(\$ 200\) million value for 2014 based on comps at 157?

A I believe so, yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of Limitations objection. THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. In evidence.

Q What you're doing here, Mr. McConney, is you're taking all of the units on floors 50 and above that sold in 2014 at the building 157 and you're calculating, based on those sales, a price per square foot of 6,733 ; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Then you take that number and you multiply it by 30,000 to get to the value of 201,990 which you round, for purposes of the statement value, to 200 million; is that right?

A Yes.
Q The second and third pages are printed from StreetEasy.com listing the sales; is that right?

A I believe that's correct, yes.
Q Did you consider StreetEasy.com to be a reliable
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source for real estate apartment sales information? A Yes.

Q You relied on it, correct?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: I'm going to mark as Exhibit 3035. This, your Honor, is something we printed outlast week from the StreetEasy.com website for building 157 and I'd ask that this be admitted into evidence based on the witness's testimony that he relied on this site and considered it to be reliable.

MR. ROBERT: Objection, your Honor. The witness relied on what's already in evidence. This is just hearsay. This is something he printed out on October 1, 2023. He didn't rely on this document about 157.

MR. AMER: His testimony was that the site was reliable, not that the specific printout that he was looking at was the only thing that was reliable.

MR. ROBERT: In the year that you're questioning him about.

THE COURT: How about if we just mark it as an exhibit?

MR. ROBERT: For identification?
THE COURT: Yes, for identification.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: Okay.
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Q Mr. McConney, you'll see that the StreetEasy.com site that you said was reliable, indicates that this building was built in 2013, correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark as
Exhibit 3036 for identification a StreetEasy.com listing for Trump Tower, the building in which Mr. Trump's
triplex is located.
Q You'll see, that according to StreetEasy.com, the site you testified was reliable, Trump Tower was built in 1983. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Do you have any reason to disagree with either of those dates?

A No.
Q So, Trump Tower is 30 years older than 157, correct?
A Yes.
Q If you look at the second page of the exhibit, let's look at 3035, page two, you'll see there's a section that says: "Building facts."

You see that?
A Yes.
Q It says under "sales listings: 245 previous sales at 5,369 per square foot average." Do you see that?

A Yes.
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Q So, that's the average price per square foot for apartments in 157 , correct?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Ground?
MR. ROBERT: It's hearsay.
THE COURT: By the way, in one of these
listings, I forgot which, the first amenity was elevator. I would hope so.

It's an out-of-court statement being introduced to prove the truth of its contents, isn't it? So, I'm not sure what exception you want to try to put this under.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, again, this is a website that the witness has, himself, relied on for his own valuations. So, I think it is appropriate based on his own testimony that this exhibit be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: I don't think that alone does it.
Q Mr. McConney, did you look at StreetEasy.com to determine whether apartments, on average, were selling for a price per square foot in Trump Tower at a price that was anywhere equal to what apartments were selling for in a building that was built 30 years later, 157, when you decided to use 157 as a comp for Trump Tower?

A No.
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THE COURT: Last question then we'll take a break.

MR. AMER: Okay.
THE COURT: Last question or short series of questions. Lawyers do that all the time. They say one question and we get ten.

Q When you decided to use 157 as a comp for Mr. Trump's triplex in Trump Tower, did you look at any of the factors such as building amenities, age of the building, or any other type of factor when deciding whether you might need to adjust pricing up or down to make it comparable to Mr. Trump's triplex?

A Not that I remember, no.
MR. AMER: Okay. I think this is a good spot.
THE COURT: The usual ten-minute break over the course of 15 minutes.
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: Okay. Let's pick up where we left off.

Q I have one more valuation on the triplex that would go to a different asset. So, let's put up the 2016 Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet, Exhibit 742. It's already in evidence. And row 913 is where the triplex section starts.

Again, it's the same value but goes up to 347 million for 2015 and 2016. Do you see that?
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A Can you scroll to where it has the June 30th dates again?

Q Sure. There they are.
A Yes. June of '15 and June of '16, yes.
Q Okay. If we go back to '13, you'll see it says: "Triplex based on comps from TIR sales office 30,000 square feet at 10,900 per square foot."

MR. AMER: By the way, I have one more
demonstrative to hand out which is charts that show all
the values for properties on one chart. So, this is PBS
3.1 through 3.11 .

Q So, there's a different chart for each asset and the first page is the triplex. I just put this up because it's an easy way to appreciate that the values in 2015 and 2016 are the highest values for the triplex in this 11-year period. Correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Let's look at Exhibit 1037.
MR. AMER: Why don't we give this to the
witness. We'll put it on the screen. It's a one-page document.

Q Do you see that this is an e-mail from Naomi Muramatsu to Mr. Weisselberg which he then forwards on to you, correct?

A Yes.
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MR. AMER: I'd ask that this be admitted into evidence, your Honor.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
Q Since Mr. Weisselberg's e-mail-- and, by the way, based on the date of this e-mail, this is being sent to you for purposes of preparation of the valuation for 2015 which was still happening in March of 2016, correct?

A I believe that's correct, yes.
Q Mr. Weisselberg has forwarded you this e-mail from Ms. Muramatsu. Again, she's in TIR, correct?

A I believe she was running TIR at the time.
Q Okay. She says to Mr. Weisselberg: "There are very limited number of buildings that sell premium price, especially larger units and I just looked at the closed sales data for the following building, which most likely to be the highest." She lists 15 WPW. That's Central Park west, right?

A I believe so.
Q So, 157, the building you used for comps before, correct?

A Yes.
Q 432 Park Avenue, right?
A Yes.
Q Was the instruction that was given to TIR that they should find comps for the triplex by looking at buildings with closed sales most likely to be the highest?
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A I don't know what Allen's instruction to Naomi was, Ms. Muramatsu.

Q When you communicated, in prior years, to TIR, was that the marching orders to find buildings with the highest price per square foot?

A I don't remember telling that to anybody. I tried or I asked them to find comps.

Q At any rate, her e-mail to Mr. Weisselberg indicates that she was trying to find buildings that were most likely to be the highest, correct?

A Yes.
Q Then the next paragraph she says: "Highest was 9,390 per square foot, correct?

A Yes.
Q That's at 15 Central Park West only 2761 square feet for 29995, correct?

A Yes.
Q Then she says: "Highest among the larger units." So she's commenting that this unit at 15 Central Park West was a smaller unit, correct?

A Yes.
Q Then she says: "Highest among the larger unit was 9,198 per square foot at 157, Unit 90." You see that?

A Yes.
Q Then it says: " 10,923 square feet for \(100,471,453\).
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\section*{Correct?}

A Yes.
Q Now, if we put the this next to the spreadsheet just so we can compare it. Spreadsheet is 742. You see in the spreadsheet you indicated 30,000 at \(\$ 10,900\) per square foot? Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Can we agree that your price per square foot is based on the 10,923 number. Right?

A Yes.
Q And that was a mistake, wasn't it?
A Yes, it was. I picked up the square foot, not the dollar amount per square foot.

Q Right. So, you used the square footage of the apartment instead of the price per square foot, which was 9,198. Right?

A Yes.
Q We can do some court math, but, if you'll allow me to round up 9,198 to 9,200, the difference between the figure you used of 10,900 and the actual price per square foot rounded to 9,200 is 1,700 ; and, if you multiply that by 30,000 , it comes to \(\$ 51\) million.

Does that sound about right?
A I'll have to take your word for it.
Q 1700 times 30 is 51 and, so, this is a \(\$ 51\) million
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Q Was it your intention at the time to choose a price per square foot that would give you the highest value for the triplex?

A We were looking to get a comp for -- so, we were looking for the price per square foot that would give us what we thought Mr. Trump's apartment was worth, compared to what was sold out there. I'm not sure that answers your question, or not.

Q It doesn't, so let me try again.
Looking at this now, and seeing that the 15 Central Park West per square foot was actually higher than the one, the price per square foot at 157, had you known that at the time, would you have picked 15 Central Park West as the comp, because you were looking to get the highest number you could for Mr. Trump's triplex?

A No. We weren't always looking for the highest price. This had 10,900 square feet, so it was closer in size to what we thought the square footage was to Mr. Trump's triplex at the time.

Q Let's turn our attention to 40 Wall Street.
MR. AMER: Let's put up the 2012 Jeff Supporting
Data spreadsheet. It's 793.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

Q If we go to row 110, that's the beginning of the
section for 40 Wall Street.
A I'm sorry, could you just do me a favor and scroll up, so I know what year?

I guess its only one column, so it's only 2011.
2012. Okay. All right. So this is all 2012. Thank you. Sorry.

Q And so rows 131 to 133 provide the note that indicates how you came up with your valuation that landed at 527,200,000; correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And your note says, information provided by Doug Larson at Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc., which reflect cap rates of 4.23 percent and 4.39 percent for similar-sized office buildings at 14 Wall Street and 4 New York Plaza; correct?

A Yes.
Q So, let's just go over some background for this type of valuation. This valuation takes net operating income, divided by capitalization rate, to get to a building value; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And the lower the cap rate, the higher the value, because the formula uses the cap rate as the denominator; correct?

A Yes.
Q Now, Doug Larson is an appraiser with Cushman \&

\section*{J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer}

Wakefield; correct?
A Yes.
Q And he is person that you indicate in the note you got information from; right?

A That's correct.
Q I'm correct that the Trump Organization had not retained Mr. Larson or Cushman to conduct an appraisal of 40 Wall Street; right?

A That's correct.
Q And the Trump Organization had not retained Mr. Larson or Cushman \& Wakefield to provide you with a cap rate that was applicable for 40 Wall Street; correct?

A That's correct.
Q In this note, what you are referring to Mr. Larson having provided you with is a generic market report from Cushman \& Wakefield; right?

A Yes.
Q And that generic market report is not a report that Cushman prepared specifically for the Trump Organization; right?

A That's correct.
Q That is a generic marketing report that Cushman mails out, or emails out, to a large customer base as a service that it provides; correct?

A I have no idea what they do with it.
Q At any rate, it's not anything that you paid for;
correct?
A That's correct.
MR. AMER: Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 794. (Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

Q Mr. McConney, is this back-up material that you provided to Mr. Bender in connection with your supporting spreadsheet?

A The documents, yes. The computation in the middle, I don't think that's mine.

THE COURT: You need to talk in the microphone.
Sorry?
THE WITNESS: It's like an adding machine tape of some sort, in the middle, I don't believe I did. But, the documents are mine.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection.
THE COURT: Objection overruled. Request
granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 794 was received
in evidence.)
Q I would like to focus you on the second page of the exhibit.

MR. AMER: If we could put up the spreadsheet
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that we were looking at, lines 131 to 133, now. The Jeff Supporting spreadsheet 793.

Q And just focusing on the note in 131 to 133 , does the page from Exhibit 794 on the left appear to be the backup for your note in the spreadsheet about how you got to the 4.31 cap rate average?

A Yes.
Q You took two cap rates, one for 4 New York Plaza, one for 14 Wall Street, from the generic market report; you averaged them to come up with 4.31 ; right?

A Yes.
Q And the next two pages are the backup material. That is, 794 are pages from that generic market report; right?

A That's correct.
Q And it contains a description of the two properties you used for the average. It's the second and third properties on the list; correct?

A Yes.
Q And the cap rates are listed under the column, \(O A R\), which stance for overall rate of return; right?

A I think so. Yes.
Q At any rate --
A I use that as my cap rate.
Q Understood. That was the column that would have the cap rate that you used; right?

A That's correct.
Q And again, because the cap rate is the denominator in the equation, the lower the cap rate, the higher the property value. The higher the cap rate, the lower the property value; right?

A Yes.
Q There are a total of eight properties listed in this generic market report. The two you selected and averaged were the second and third lowest cap rates; right?

A Yes.
Q If we look at the next property after 14 Wall Street, it's 33 Maiden Lane; correct?

A Yes.
Q If we just look at the description it says, This is a Class A office building. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And 4 New York Plaza, which is a building you selected to use, is also a Class A office property; correct?

A Yes.
Q 33 Maiden Lane has a higher cap rate of 4.74 ; right?
A Yes.
Q If you had included that in your average, it would have drove the cap rate up; right?

A The cap rate would have gone up, yes.
Q And you did not use 33 Maiden Lane; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And the next building is 199 -- sorry 195 Broadway, and that's a landmark Class A office building; correct?

A Yes.
Q And 14 Wall Street is also a landmarked Class A, but it's a Class A-minus office building; correct?

A Yes.
Q And 195 Broadway has a higher cap rate of 6.3 percent. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q If you had used that in your average, it would have driven the cap rate up; correct?

A Yes.
Q You did not include that building in your cap rate equation; correct?

A That's correct.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, this is just math, so the
Court can take judicial notice of it, but I'll represent to
you that if you include those additional two cap rates and
you took the average from all four, the cap rate would be
4.915 percent, and it would lower the value by \(\$ 65\) million.

THE COURT: Well, subject to the math being
correct, I'll take judicial notice of that. Yes.
MR. AMER: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: It sounds about right.
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MR. AMER: Let's look at 2014 Jeff Supporting Data, Exhibit 719.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

Q And 40 Wall Street starts at row 118.
A I'm sorry, which? Looking at the 2014 column? Column H?

Q We are going to look at column \(G\) first, which is 2013. A Okay.

MR. AMER: And go to row 118.
Q That's 40 Wall Street; correct?
A Is that a question for me? Yes.
Q Yes. Okay?
A Yes.
Q And you used the same 4.31 cap rate as in the prior year that we have just discussed; right?

A Yes.
Q It's based on the same backup we just went through; correct?

A Without looking at my backup, it's just an assumption. I didn't have a note here, for some reason.

Q And if we look at column \(H\), it shows the valuation for 2014 at rows 138 to 141; right?

A Right. Can you scroll up a little bit, because there may be some notes there. I'm sorry, the page up, or I guess
looking down. Right. There's the -- my notes. Okay. Sorry. Can \(I\) just read the notes now?

Q Sure.
A Okay. So the answer to your last question about 2013 was yes. We used the same, 4.31.

Q Just so the record is clear, you are now looking at rows 155 to 158, and you can confirm that it's the same description for your valuation of 2012 and 2013; right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And then rows 148 through 152 is your note for the valuation for 2014; correct?

A Correct.
Q And you used a cap rate there. Again, it's information provided by Doug Larson; right?

A Correct.
Q And it's the same type of generic market report that we have been talking about for Mr. Larson; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And the cap rate of 3.04 percent for 100 -- sorry. I just caught myself. So, you used the cap rate for 110 William Street of 4.97 percent, and then another building, 61 Broadway, was 4.46 percent. You then say, according to Doug, the spread between Class A and Class B buildings is typically 150 basis point. To be conservative, you reduced the cap rate by 75 basis points to 3.71 percent, and then you averaged that with the
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4.97 percent. Is that right?

A Yes.
Q And am I correct, Mr. McConney, that during the period we have been discussing, covering the 2012 to 2016 Statements of Financial Condition, while you were receiving and using these Cushman generic market reports that you were getting from Mr. Larson to calculate your cap rates, you were aware that Mr. Larson was preparing formal appraisals for 40 Wall Street for the bank that had the loan on the property; right?

A I'm not sure I knew at the time that Doug was doing those appraisals.

Q As you sit here right now, you are not sure that he was doing those appraisals?

A At that time, I know at one point in time we were -we were refinancing 40 Wall Street, I don't remember what year it was, and I know he was doing an appraisal because I was heavily involved in it. I'm not sure every single appraisal that Doug did for that period of time, I was aware of.

Q Mr. McConney, weren't you the conduit for information from Mr. Larson, for purposes of him doing his bank appraisals for 40 Wall Street?

A I remember -- again, I remember the one loan that we were doing. I don't remember all the appraisals that he was doing. I could be -- again, I don't remember being the conduit.

Q My question is very simple.
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A \(\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}\).
Q Isn't it a fact that you, Mr. McConney, were the conduit for information on behalf of the Trump Organization to Mr. Larson in connection with his bank appraisal, bank appraisals that he was doing during the period 2012 to 2016 that we have just been discussing?

A You are saying -- I'm saying I'm not sure \(I\) was the conduit for every single appraisal.

Q And I'm not asking you if you were the conduit for every single appraisal, but you were the conduit for information for Mr. Larson for bank appraisals he was doing for 40 Wall Street. Let's leave it at that. Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. AMER: Let's look at Exhibit 3047.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q These are emails -- this is an email exchange you have with Mr. Larson; correct?

A Yes, sir.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this exhibit be admitted.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection.
THE COURT: Objection overruled. Granted. It's
in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3047 was received in evidence.)

Q Let's look at the bottom of the email. This is from Mr. Larson to you, and it's dated October 13, 2011. "Jeffrey, we have been engaged by Capital One Bank to prepare an appraisal of 40 Wall Street. Attached please find a list of information we need to prepare the appraisal."

He gives you this phone number, and tells you you can call him.
"Hope all is well, thank you, Doug;" right?
A Yes, sir.
Q So you knew, as of October 2011, that Mr. Larson was preparing an appraisal for 40 Wall for Capital One Bank which, at the time, was the bank that had the loan on 40 Wall Street; correct?

A Yes. Thank you for jogging my memory.
Q Happy to oblige.
Now, let's look at the top email, and this is from you to Mr. Larson. "Doug, a couple of quick questions. You are asking for lease abstracts for the most recent leases. How far back should I go? The last appraisal was done in August 2010."

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q So you know, in October of 2011, he is doing -- he is currently doing an appraisal, and you also knew that he had done
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one the prior year; right?
A It looks that way, yes.
Q Okay.
MR. AMER: Let's look at Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3046.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q This is an email from Mr. Larson to you dated
October 15, 2012; right?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection.
THE COURT: Occasion overruled. Granted. It's
in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3046 was received
in evidence.)
Q Mr. Larson says, "Jeff, we have been engaged by
Capital One Bank to prepare an appraisal of the above property," which is 40 Wall Street; right?

A Yes.
Q "The following information is requested in order for us to prepare the appraisal," and he lists a bunch of information; right?

A Yes.
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Q So a year later, Mr. Larson is doing another appraisal, and you are the person he contacts and tells he is doing it, and requests information; right?

A That's correct.
Q So you knew in October of 2012 that Mr. Larson was doing, now, his third appraisal for 40 Wall Street; correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Exhibit 3048.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q This is an exchange, an email exchange between you and Mr. Larson in May of 2015; correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this exhibit be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection.
THE COURT: Objection overruled. Request granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3048 was received in evidence.)

Q The bottom email is yet another email from Mr . Larson to you, telling you he has is been engaged by Ladder Capital. That's the bank that refinanced the loan; right?

A This is the one I remember. Yes.
Q Okay.

A Yeah.
Q I'm glad to hear you remember this one, but there are three others before this one.

A Yes. I just didn't remember those at the time. This is the one \(I\) do remember.

Q Okay. And once again, he is telling you he has been engaged to do an appraisal of 40 Wall Street, and he is asking you for information; right?

A Correct.
Q You write to him in response and you say, Doug -- this is the second line.

A Okay.
Q "Doug, Steve Lafiosca, copied on this email, can help with you the inspection. Based on the appraisals you have done in the past, do you have any of the below information in your files," right?

A Yes.
Q So you are basically wondering, since he keeps coming to you year after year asking you for all this information, why he doesn't have it already, since he has been doing all these appraisals before; right?

A I just asked him if he had it, yes, and if he could use it.

Q Well, you are asking him if he had it in his files, because you were aware of all these appraisals he has been
doing; right?
A Seven years ago, yes.
Q Seven years ago. We were looking at 2012. That's three years ago; right?

A No. In 2015, I knew that. That was eight years ago, from today. At that point in time, I probably knew it. I don't remember it now.

Q I understand what you are saying. So, we can agree then, having now had your recollection refreshed by looking at all these emails, that you, during the period that you were working on preparing the Statements of Financial Condition from 2012 through 2016, you were simultaneously acting as the conduit for information for Mr. Larson, so that he can prepare formal appraisals for 40 Wall Street? Right?

A Yes.
Q And while you were acting as the conduit to provide information to Mr . Larson, I'm correct that you never sought to use any of the Cushman appraised values for 40 Wall Street in the Statement of Financial Condition; correct?

A That's correct.
(Continued on the next page.)
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Q And during the period of time that you were acting as the conduit of information for Mr. Larson for his appraisals at 40 Wall Street and you were using his generic market report for your cap rate calculations, you never asked Mr. Larson if the cap rates you were selecting were appropriate. Isn't that right?

A No. I mean, it's correct. Yes.
Q During this period of time when you were acting as the conduit to provide information to Mr. Larson so that he could do his formal appraisals, you never asked Mr. Larson what cap rates he was using for any of the Cushman appraisals at 40 Wall Street; isn't that right?

A I believe that's correct, yes.
MR. AMER: Can we put back up the Jeff
Supporting Data spreadsheet at 742 , the native?
Q I want to go back to your note at row 153 that explains the basis for the cap rate. This is your note reflecting that you chose a cap rate of 3.04 percent for 100 Wall Street, correct?

A Yes.
Q You say it's based on a telephone conversation with Doug Larson. You see that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. The cap rate of 3.04 percent for 100 Wall Street was selected by you from the generic marketing report
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you got from Cushman; is that right?
A Yes. I believe so, yes.
MR. AMER: Why don't we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 868.

Q Mr. McConney, this is an e-mail from you to Mr.
Bender which encloses an attachment, correct?
A Correct.
Q The attachment is an excerpt from the 2015 Cushman appraisal of 40 Wall Street, right?

A Yes.
Q So, it's clear, you did have a copy of the Cushman 2015 appraisal when you forwarded this e-mail to Mr. Bender, right?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: I ask that this be admitted into evidence, your Honor.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of Limitations objection.
THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in
evidence.
Q Let's look at the 11 th page of the exhibit. Now, Mr . McConney, the highlighting is not anything we're putting up there. This is in the exhibit, correct?

A Yes.
Q You're sending this to Mr . Bender. Did you highlight this so he could see where you were getting the cap rate from?
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A I believe so, yes.
Q Okay. Now, we do have, and this is what you sent to him, but, the appraisal itself is a much larger document, correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q What you sent him was what you picked out of the full appraisal to share with him, correct?

A I don't remember picking out certain pages but I sent it to him.

Q Okay. You sent him only a portion of the appraisal report, right?

A Yes.
Q What you sent him was what you intended to send him? A Yes.

MR. AMER: Okay. Let's go ahead and mark the full appraisal report.

THE COURT: Ten-minute warning.
MR. AMER: Just when I hand up a very large
document. It's going to be a challenge.
THE COURT: I hope you can finish the document
before we leave.
MR. AMER: I think we'll be okay.
Q This is starting at page eight of the document. You'll see the cover page for the appraisal.

Do you see that?
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A Yes.
Q It's the same 2015 appraisal that you sent an excerpt of to Mr. Bender, correct?

A Can I have that last exhibit back, please? Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask Exhibit 118 be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of Limitations objection.
THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in
evidence.
Q Let's turn to page 15 of the appraisal report.
Again, this is something you had in your file and you have looked at?

A I had or had not?
Q You had looked at it because you sent a portion of it to Mr. Bender, correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Let's look at the cap rate that Mr. Larson used based on his professional appraisal, 4.25. Right?

A There are a number of cap rates here. It's one of them that he used, yes, that is.

Q That is a different and much higher cap rate than the one you used in the same year of 3.04 percent, correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go to -- I will represent to you another math point, your Honor, that if you use Mr.
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Larson's cap rate of 4.25 , instead of Mr. McConney's cap rate of 3.04 , the new value is \(\$ 227\) million lower than the value that Mr. McConney calculated.

THE COURT: Those cap rates are really
important, but, I'll take judicial notice of that, subject to checking the math.

MR. AMER: Just so you have it, the new value is 569 million which is \(\$ 227\) million lower than the value in the Statement of Financial Condition. Let's go to page 100 in the appraisal report.

Q Mr. McConney, this is a description of comps that is in the appraisal report; and, in fact, Mr. Larson looked at 100 Wall Street which was the basis for your choosing 3.04 percent, right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Let's go to page 105 and that begins the discussion of the comparables in his appraisal and he discusses 100 Wall Street. You see that? It's the very first comparable sale.

A Yes.
Q Okay. If we look at the last paragraph in this section, Mr. Larson says: "In comparison with the subject property, a downward adjustment was required for property rights conveyed. A downward adjustment was required for the size under the premise that smaller properties sell for more
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per square foot than larger properties."
Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So, Mr. Larson a professional -- he's a professional appraiser, right?

A Yes, he is.
Q He looked at the same property that you used as the basis for your cap rate, and, he did two downward adjustments, and, he ended up choosing a cap rate that was higher than the one you chose.

He chose 4.25 percent, right?
A He chose 4.25 on that prior page you looked at. I don't know where that 4.25 came from.

Q He looked at the same property, 100 Wall Street, that was the basis for your cap rate, right?

A Yes.
Q He did two downward adjustments, correct?
A Yes.
Q You didn't share this page with Mr. Bender when you sent him the excerpts from the appraisal, did you?

A I'd have to go back and look.
Q I'll represent to you, you did not.
A I believe you.
Q Okay. So, Mr. Bender was not aware that Mr. Larson had reviewed and downwardly adjusted the very property that
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you used as the basis for your cap rate, correct?
MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: What's the objection?
MR. ROBERT: Before Mr. Amer talked about what Mr. Bender knew. It wasn't part of what he said. We don't know what Mr. Bender knew.

MR. AMER: I can rephrase it.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Mr. Bender would not have known, based on what you sent him, that Mr. Larson had looked at and downwardly adjusted the very property that you relied on for your cap rate. Isn't that fair?

A That's correct but he could have asked for the whole appraisal, also.

Q I'm just asking you based on what you sent to him. You would agree that this process of making adjustments to comp sales, in order to compare them to the subject property, is what appraisers routinely do so that the comps better reflect the value of the subject property; isn't that right?

A I don't know what appraisers do. I don't know how they make these adjustments. I see on this one. I don't know what they do as a general practical matter.

Q At no point when you were selecting cap rates from the generic market reports, did you undertake any efforts to make adjustments to the compensation so that they better
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reflected the value of 40 Wall Street; isn't that correct? A That's correct.

MR. AMER: I'm at a good point, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. We need to talk to the attorneys about scheduling, but I promised to Chris Kise to teach him some New York history.

Does anybody know how Maiden Lane got its name? If you ever see it, you'll see it's sort of a depression in the area. Mr. Kise, because there was a stream. Back in colonial times, young women would take their wash to the stream to wash it, and they were called maidens. That is how it got to be called Maiden Lane.

THE COURT: Now, Allison has some business to conduct.

MS. GREENFIELD: Thank you, Judge. So, I assume we're going to be continuing for with Mr. McConney tomorrow. How long do we anticipate cross? Is there going to be cross tomorrow?

MR. KISE: No.
MS. GREENFIELD: How much more direct?
MR. AMER: I'm going to say I'm half way through.

MS. GREENFIELD: Who is after Mr. McConney?
MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Weisselberg.
MS. GREENFIELD: That will be a full day, I
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predict.
MR. ROBERT: Tomorrow is only a half day.
MS. GREENFIELD: Do we expect Mr. Weisselberg tomorrow or Tuesday? Monday is a holiday.

MR. AMER: What \(I\) would say if \(I\) were to finish before the end of the session, it's going to be very close to the end of the session. So, we want to avoid having to inconvenience Mr . Weisselberg. We can say I'll go to whenever we stop and we'll be done.

MS. GREENFIELD: If we're beginning with Weisselberg Tuesday morning, who is after Weisselberg, if we know yet?

MR. ROBERT: They have Birney.
MR. WALLACE: The next Trump employee will be Patrick Birney but we took Mr. Kise's position to heart and are going to call Nick Haigh to appear in person rather than do a deposition while the trial is going on.

He will appear on the 11th. He has the 11th and the 12 th he leaves the country. So, our expectation is we're going to work cooperatively to get through Mr. Weisselberg, depending on when he ends. Could be Mr. Haigh or Mr. Birney but those will be the next two witnesses.

MS. GREENFIELD: We'll revisit it tomorrow before we adjourn.

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \$ & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 535:19,24;538:8, } \\
\text { 11;619:16 } \\
\text { accompanying (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
623: 14 \\
\text { added (1) } \\
563: 10
\end{gathered}
\] & 597:25;598:23; 601:23;608:9,23; 610:20;612:4,10; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { allowed (1) } \\
& 564: 4 \\
& \text { alluded (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$10,900 (1) & 627:1 & adding (2) & 614:20;617:9;621:3; & \[
586: 21
\] \\
\hline 657:5 & according (2) & 623:2;662:13 & 623:24;625:11; & alone (1) \\
\hline \$100 (1) & 651:9;667:22 & addition (1) & 627:12;629:17 & 652:18 \\
\hline 633:2 & a & \[
566: 1
\] & 638:6;639:12;640:6; & along (5) \\
\hline \[
\$ 114.4 \text { (1) }
\]
\[
613: 14
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 568:11;569:7; } \\
& \text { 621:5,9,13,18,22; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { additional (7) } \\
& 541: 21,23 ; 542: 18 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 641:23;642:13; } \\
& \text { 648:22;652:13; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 516:17;529:21; } \\
& \text { 576:21;594:7;617:14 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$180 (3) & 622:1,3,14,17,22,24; & \(570: 18,21 ; 612: 1\)
\(665: 19\) & 653:24;654:2;
\(655 \cdot 10 \cdot 659 \cdot 9 \cdot 664 \cdot 2\). & although (1) \\
\hline 637:15;639:9,15 & 623:3,6;624:6; & 665:19 & 655:10;659:9;664:2; & 606:7 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 200(2) \\
646: 19 ;
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 626:11 } \\
& \text { accountant (12) }
\end{aligned}
\] & additionally ( 593:19 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 667:13;668:22,24; } \\
& \text { 673:6;678:11 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { always (2) } \\
& 612: 18 ; 659: 16
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$227 (2) & 511:5;528:21; & address (2) & against (1) & amenities (1) \\
\hline 679:2,8 & 529:23;531:9; & 509:14;580:3 & 610:18 & 653:9 \\
\hline \$30 (1) & 534:14,15,17;548:7; & adjourn (1) & age (1) & amenity (1) \\
\hline 644:13 & 551:20;560:16; & 683:25 & 653:9 & 652:7 \\
\hline \$5,000 (1) & 575:2;577:1 & adjust (1) & ago (13) & AMER (97) \\
\hline 636:22 & accountants (3) & 653:10 & 526:17,18;547:10 & 517:1;520:12 \\
\hline \$500,000 (1) & 508:18;563:20; & adjusted (2) & 548:10;560:10,11; & 579:15;580:11,12; \\
\hline 582:16 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 573:2 } \\
& \text { accountant's (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 680:25;681:11 } \\
\text { adjustment (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 607:5;611:21;641:3; 674:2,3,4,5 & 584:13,18,22;585:8, 15;589:18;592:23; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 51 \text { (2) } \\
& 657: 22,25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { accountant's (2) } \\
515: 11 ; 521: 7
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { adjustment (2) } \\
679: 23,24
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
674:2,3,4,5 \\
agree (31)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 15;589:18;592:23; } \\
& \text { 595:7;598:15; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$65 (1) & accounting (32) & adjustments (5) & 511:17;513:3 & 600:12;602:17,23; \\
\hline 665:21 & 508:3;538:18; & 680:8,17;681:16, & 551:4;552:24;553:3; & 605:6;606:1,3,23; \\
\hline \$7.5 (2) & 539:15;544:4,17,23; & 21,25 & 561:11;562:11,13; & 610:7,13,20,23,25; \\
\hline 528:6,10 & 552:17,18;560:19,21; & Administration (1) & 564:5,22;565:17; & 613:2,9;617:4; \\
\hline \$75 (1) & 561:4;569:4;572:21;
573:6,21,24,25; & \[
580: 15
\] & \[
566: 2,12 ; 567: 2,18 ;
\] & \[
619: 22 ; 620: 6 ; 624: 1,
\] \\
\hline 648:16 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 573:6,21,24,25; } \\
& \text { 575:8,11;577:6,25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { admission (1) } \\
& 561: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 592:3;603:17,23; } \\
& \text { 604:4,21;609:8; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 7,11;625:17,23; } \\
& \text { 626:8,9;628:10,20, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 80(1) \\
633: 2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 575: 8,11 ; 577: 6,25 ; \\
& \text { 580:17,20,23;581:3; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
561: 3 \\
\text { admit (4) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 612:18;614:5;616:7; & 23;629:18;630:8; \\
\hline \$9,198 (1) & 593:11,15,23;594:1, & 584:19;585:10 & 623:25;639:21; & 633:17;640:7,21; \\
\hline 658:5 & 2,10;616:2 & 620:7;643:5 & 642:13;648:6;657:8; & 642:3;643:1,5,10,23, \\
\hline \$9,390 (1) & accounts (8) & admitted (17) & 674:8;681:16 & 24;644:6,9,20;645:2; \\
\hline 658:7 & 582:6,6;616:18; & 584:23;585:6 & agreed (6) & 646:6,11;649:4,8; \\
\hline A & \[
20,21
\] & \[
602: 19 ; 620: 10
\] & \[
599: 5 ; 620: 12,22
\] & 652:13;653:3,14; \\
\hline & accuracy (1) & :9;650:8;652:16; & 630: & 654:8,19;655:1; \\
\hline ability (2) & 591:11 & 655:1;662:17; & ahead (12) & 659:21;662:3,16,25; \\
\hline 546:10;619:3 & accurate (2) & 669:22;671:12;
672:15;676:15;678.6 & 528:16;565:4; & 665:17,24;666:1,10; \\
\hline able (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
591:15;643:19 \\
acknowledged (2)
\end{tabular} & 672:15;676:15;678:6 & 600:4;602:24; 609:13:611.5. & 669:15,21;671:4,11;
\[
672: 8,14 ; 675: 14
\] \\
\hline \[
547: 13
\] & acknowledged (2)
590:6;607:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { admitting (2) } \\
& \text { 585:10;602:21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 609:13;611:5; } \\
& \text { 643:16;646:6;649:4; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 672:8,14;675:14; } \\
& \text { 676:3,15;677:15,18, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline above (2)
649:13;671:19 & \[
\operatorname{act}(1)
\] & admonition (1) & 651:5;672:8;677:15 & 22;678:5,24;679:7; \\
\hline absolute (1) & 565:15 & 547:10 & AICPA (1) & 681:4,7;682:3,21; \\
\hline 579:21 & acted (1) & adversary (1) & 573:2 & 683:5 \\
\hline absolutely (1) & 565:17 & 607:8 & air (1) & America (4) \\
\hline 642:8 & acting (4) & advise (1) & \[
642: 1
\] & 598:6,12;599:19; \\
\hline abstracts (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 674:12,16;675:1,8 } \\
& \text { activity (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
579:7 \\
affiliated
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Alan (1) } \\
636: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 600:7 } \\
\text { American (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 670:20 } \\
\text { accept (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 647:23 & 562:20 & alive (1) & 508:17;573:1 \\
\hline 516:5 & actual (12) & afternoon (1) & 582:25 & A-minus (1) \\
\hline acceptable (5) & 526:5;527:10, & 580:12 & Allen (6) & 665:6 \\
\hline 512:14;569:4; & 585:22;626:6; & AG (1) & 581:9;597:3,5,8,8;
636.10 & among (2) \\
\hline 644:6,7,8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
639:24;641:1,6,18 \\
642.14.643.21.
\end{tabular} & 609: & 636:10 & 656:18,22 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
accepted (5) \\
560:15,18;5
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 642: 14 ; 643: 21 \\
& 648: 7 ; 657: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
520: 7,16 ; 528: 8
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Allen's } \\
656: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Amongst (1) } \\
534: 16
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 575:11;590:7 & actually (12) & 530:18,25;531:9; & Allied (1) & amount (35) \\
\hline accepted-(1) & 548:10;558:24; & 535:3;536:7;538:9; & 621:5 & 512:7,18;513:2,8; \\
\hline 569:4 & 576:1;599:5;602:25; & 542:11;548:25; & Allison (1) & 514:3,8;515:20; \\
\hline accepting (1) & 608:3,7;609:1;619:8; & 549:18;556:12 & 682:13 & 517:15,19;518:12,17; \\
\hline 643:19 & 645:6;647:13;659:11 & 557:20;567:1;
\(570: 10 \cdot 590: 19\), & allow (2) & 519:10,15;521:14,19; \\
\hline access (5) & add (1) & 570:10;590:19,19; & 609:6;657:18 & 522:12,17;523:11,16; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 524:14,19,23;525:17, & application (7) & 580:24 & 553:16 & 538:19;591:7;634:4 \\
\hline 22;528:19,22; & 75:8;577:6,25; & AR (2) & assistance (1) & Avenue (5) \\
\hline 563:11;575:21; & 66:5,25;607:10; & 576:10,11 & 583:22 & 528:7,11;580: \\
\hline 578:9;603:24;605:3; & 611:8 & AR-80 (1) & assistant (2) & 4:18;655:21 \\
\hline 613:13;627:14; & apply & 576:8 & 581:7,24 & average (10) \\
\hline 631:1;657:13 & 578 & area (1) & assisting (1) & 563:1,4;651:24 \\
\hline amounts (8) & appraisal (44) & 682:9 & 544:22 & 2:1,20;663:6,16; \\
\hline 511:8;534:18; & 532:5,7;533:2,6 & 534 & assume (4) & \[
664: 22 ; 665: 11,20
\] \\
\hline 535:22;614:1,1; & 535:15;540:1; & 534:16 & 597:7;609:14 & averaged (3) \\
\hline 619:3;620:4;622:19 & 545:23;554:19, & argue (1) & 0:1;682 & 663:9;664:8; \\
\hline analytical (3) & 555:7,10;556:14; & 628:20 & assuming (1) & 667:25 \\
\hline 593:6,10,16 & 557:2;559:7;661:7 & ar & 625: & avoid (1) \\
\hline Andrew (1) & 668:16,17;669:4,8, & 37:22 & assumption (3) & 683:7 \\
\hline 580:12 & 10;670:5,7,13,21,25; & arguing (2) & 622:4;639:17; & aware (19) \\
\hline annual (8) & 671:19,23;672:2,6; & 643:9,10 & 666:20 & 512:20;528:6, \\
\hline 583:3;587:4,8; & 673:7;676:9,12; & arithmetical (3) & assumptions (1) & 545:4,5;546:8 \\
\hline 588:1;592:10; & 677:3,7,10,16,24; & 575:7;577:5,24 & 622:15 & 548:18;560:15; \\
\hline 594:15;601:1,2 & 678:2,10,18;679:10, & around (3) & assure (1) & 70:18;600:2 \\
\hline answered (7) & 12,17;680:20;681:14 & 538:2;583:21 & 572:13 & 601:8,19;611:17,19; \\
\hline 509:18;531:5; & appraisals (93) & 84: & Attached (1) & 644:17;668:7 \\
\hline 547:13;548:1; & 530:22;531:16,20, & article (2) & 670:6 & 673:25;680:24 \\
\hline 625:16,18,21 & 22;533:9,11,14,18, & 643:12,17 & attaching & away (2) \\
\hline anticipate (1) & 21,25;534:3,7,8,9,19, & ASC (4) & 95:11 & 544:3;583:19 \\
\hline any & 20;535:4,18,20,23, & 552:10,12, & attachment & \\
\hline any- (1) & 25;536:1,5,10,24; & ascribed (1) & 676:6,8 & B \\
\hline 555:11 & 537:3,12,18, & 19:4 & attention (0) & \\
\hline nymore & 538:4,6,8,11,19,22 & & 38:12;543:25; & \\
\hline Apartmen & 540:5;541:18,22,23 & d- & 576:7;659:20 & or' \\
\hline 626:18;635:4, & 542:12,18,21,24; & 557:10 & attestation (1) & 580:14 \\
\hline 25;637:15;638:8,13; & 545:13,15,18;554:2, & as-of (1) & 509:16 & back (55) \\
\hline 639:1;642:24;644:1, & 24;555:2,5,8,12,21; & 585:22 & Attorney (20) & \[
510: 21 ; 513: 4
\] \\
\hline 13,17;647:14,24; & 556:10,21;557:5,6, & aspect (1) & 536:6,11,16,22 & \[
514: 17 ; 530: 2,6
\] \\
\hline 648:20;649:2;650:1; & 11,12,13,17,18; & 592:6 & 537:7,14;539:22; & 531:7,8;537:18; \\
\hline 657:15;659:6 & 558:23;559:1,4,5,5,6, & aspects & 540:9,15,19;541:13; & 551:12;553:9,10,13; \\
\hline apartments (3) & 6,11,12,18,19; & 613:1 & 548:14,19;549:5,8; & \[
556: 1,1,2,3,4,17 ;
\] \\
\hline \[
652: 2,20,22
\] & 570:18,22;668:8,11, & assessing (1) & 550:4;561:19; & 557:21,22;558:3; \\
\hline apologies (1) & 13,20,23;669:5,11; & 593:24 & 580:13;608:22; & 563:19;566:8;572:5, \\
\hline 542:5 & 673:14,21,25;674:14; & assessment (1) & 611:13 & 13;574:19;592:4; \\
\hline apologize (3) & 675:2,10,11 & 551:16 & Attorneys (2) & 596:10;605:11; \\
\hline 529:10,15;559:2 & appraisal's (1) & asset (41) & 570:23;682:5 & 610:13;612:20; \\
\hline appeal (3) & \[
556: 10
\] & 511:10,24;512:17; & Attorney's (6) & 613:3;617:10; \\
\hline \[
607: 22,23 ; 612: 12
\] & appraised (1) & \[
513: 1,7,24 ; 514: 7
\] & \[
561: 19 ; 569: 2
\] & 619:21;620:25; \\
\hline appealing (1)
\[
612: 13
\] & 674:18 & 515:15;517:18; & 570:8,16,25;571:8 & \[
624: 1,2,3 ; 625: 2
\] \\
\hline 612:1 & app & 518:9,16;519:7,14; & attributable ( & 627:9;629:19;633:1; \\
\hline 600:17;608:11 & appraisers (2) & 523:7,15;524:10,18, & audit (15) & 646:23,25;654:5; \\
\hline 633:25 & 681:18,20 & 22;525:13,21; & 07:25;50 & 670:21;675:14,16 \\
\hline appear (6) & appreciate (3) & 526:16;527:9,10 & 25;509:9,10;510:8; & 678:4;680:21;682:9 \\
\hline 575:4;577:2 & 564:20;571:5 & 575:20;595:25; & 563:25;572:21; & backed (2) \\
\hline 663:4;683:16,18 & 654:14 & 613:5,19,22;614:23; & 573:24;592:18; & 535:10,14 \\
\hline appeared (6) & appropriate (12) & 615:8,12,16,22; & 593:1;594:3,12 & background (2) \\
\hline 538:4;555:10; & 511:9;541:7;553:5; & 616:25;626:16; & auditor (1) & 616:2;660:16 \\
\hline 557:14,15;558:19; & 569:5;575:4;577:3, & 653:21;654:12 & 509:16 & backup (17) \\
\hline 559:7 & 21;594:2;639:10; & assets (26) & August (6) & 557:11;583:11; \\
\hline appears (1) & 643:25;652:15;675:5 & 511:8;567:2,14,16; & 587:21;605:7; & 588:18;594:15; \\
\hline 578:10 & approval (1) & 568:14,15,16;569:10; & 646:3,20,24;670:21 & 598:25;616:24; \\
\hline Appellate & \[
597: 9
\] & 578:8;588:16,18,25; & authoritative (3) & 617:13;622:5;626:1, \\
\hline 606:5,20;607:13, & approvals (1) & 595:24;603:11,13,23; & \[
574: 2,10 ; 578: 13
\] & 6;633:9;646:4;649:5; \\
\hline 25;610:15;611:3,8, & 597:24 & 613:12;616:8,10,11, & authorities (2) & 663:4,12;666:18,20 \\
\hline 14;633:24 & approximately (3) & 14,16;627:2,3,6; & 561:17,18 & back-up (1) \\
\hline applicable (2) & 548:2;554:6;573:8 & 640:15 & available (5) & 662:6 \\
\hline 510:12;661:12 & April (1) & assignment (1) & 510:16;535:6; & bad (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 565:7 & 553: & 542:6,7,9 & & 566:2,7,12;567:1,6, \\
\hline & & bit (4) & 4:15,17;665:2,3,6, & 73,1.2,572.25 \\
\hline 7:23;619:8 & 570:14 & (47 & 4;667 & 73.10.574.19,23 \\
\hline ball (1) & & 629:19;666:24 & & 576:6;577:13,15 \\
\hline 541: & .25, & & 13,24,656: & 58.23,589.13 \\
\hline b & - & & 14;667:23 & 590:19;592:3 \\
\hline & & & - & 595 \\
\hline & 585: & 608:15 & 651:3,10;652: & 601:13;602:15; \\
\hline & & & bullet (6) & 62:607,25,609:2,8; \\
\hline 621:5,6,9,25;622:1 & :16,21; & 30: & 575:12,15,19 & 22;607:25;609:2, \\
\hline 16;623:2,3,6,7;624:5; & 25;510 & & \[
578: 2,7,2
\] & 610:1;611:5,8;614:5, \\
\hline \[
625: 7 ; 626: 3,12,12
\] & \[
1: 17 ; 512: 1,18
\] & 574:23;619:2 & bunch (1) & 20;617:1;619:23; \\
\hline 668:9,20;669:4,4,11 & 513:2,6,13,20;514:8; & & 67 & 621:3,12;622:15; \\
\hline 1.671:19 &  & & & 623 \\
\hline 672:23 & - & bo & 562:24;580:2,1 & 625:11;629:1,13 \\
\hline ban & 20;519:1,24;520:3; & & 617:17;682: & 630:11,20;631:22,22; \\
\hline 535:20 & 521:11,19,23;522:1, & bo & buy & \[
634: 8,13 ; 638: 6,21 ;
\] \\
\hline Baruch ( & 9,13,17,24;523:8,12, & :16;541 & 16;63 & 639:12;640:6,7; \\
\hline & 23;524:11,15;525:6, & & & 641:8,9;643:23 \\
\hline base & 14,18;526:3,18,23; & 535:8,2 & buyer (2) & 646:21;648:6;654:1 \\
\hline \[
6
\] & 5 & bo & \[
627: 15 ; 631: 1
\] & 657:4,8,18;665:18; \\
\hline b & & & & 670 \\
\hline 545:25;5 & 535:11:536:2;537:2, & bo & C & 670:8;673:13;674:8, \\
\hline & 20;539:10;540: & & & 13;675:14;677:20; \\
\hline 638:18,21;639:11,16; & 41:24-543:10 & 577:22;609:14,22 & cac & 678:4;681:7;683:8 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
641:12 \\
\(646 \cdot 2\)
\end{tabular} & & & \[
562: 13,16
\] & cap (47) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 646: 2, \\
& 648: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
56: 22 ; 572: 5,1
\] & bo &  & \[
22 ; 661: 11 ; 663: 5,8 \text {, }
\] \\
\hline & 576:7;581:4;592 & & calculated (1) & 3,4,9 \\
\hline 655:5;657:8;666:18; & 95:2,4;623 & 617:1;670:3;672:21 & , & 20,23,24;665:8,12, \\
\hline & 624:12,16,20;631:11; & Boul & calculating (1) & 14,19,20;666:15; \\
\hline 678:18, & , & 6:14;638:5,8,1 & & 667 \\
\hline basically (4) & 678:3,15;680:19,24; & \(2 \cdot 24\) & calculations (1) & 668:7;675:4,5,1 \\
\hline  & 681:5,6,9 & & 675:4 & 18,24;676:25;678:17 \\
\hline 638:14;673 & & 564:3;571:14,15; & call & 19,21;679:1,1,4 \\
\hline & & 3;601:6; & \[
7: 10 ; 579: 13
\] & 680:8,9,15;6 \\
\hline 638:7,11 & ben & 16;605:8,11; & \[
95: 3 ; 600: 18 ;
\] & 23 \\
\hline basis (12) & 520:11 & 607:5;623:10;653:2, & 640:22;670:9;683:16 & capable ( \\
\hline \[
5
\] & be & \[
15
\] & called (6) & 552:2 \\
\hline \[
626: 22
\] & 507:3 & breaks & 588:5;622 & Capital (17) \\
\hline 667:23,24;675:17; & 547:17;569:2;639:18 & 621:1 & 682:11,12 & 621:13,17 \\
\hline 679:13;680:8,15; & & & came &  \\
\hline 681:1 & & & 5 & 25:10;626:4,5,12, \\
\hline became & \[
625: 23 ; 639: 23
\] & 572:3,14;623:1 & \[
51: 25 ; 566: 2
\] & 12;670:5,13;671:19; \\
\hline 583:2; & 641:5,14,19;642 & bring (5) & 67:5,19;568: & \[
672: 22
\] \\
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\hline handling & helps (1) & 11;652:13;655:2; & 614:13;615:13; & 17;675:2,9 \\
\hline 550:10;596:4; & 585:11 & 662:16;665:17,24; & 616:14;624:22; & informed (5) \\
\hline 597:22 & Hey (4) & 669:21;671:11; & 665:14,19 & 537:16;540:17; \\
\hline handoff (1) & 539:25;540:1; & 672:14;676:16; & included (4) & 541:8;627:16;631:2 \\
\hline 584:3 & 557:2,12 & 678:5,25;682:3 & 582:1;588:14 & in-house (1) \\
\hline handwriting (2) & high (4) & Honor's (1) & . 616:18;664:22 & . 634:25 \\
\hline 595:14;596:16 & 562:9;639:9,14 & \[
516: 10
\] & includes (6) & input (1) \\
\hline handwritten (2) & 642:11 & hope (4) & 515:6,11;539:11; & \[
588: 22
\] \\
\hline 595:21;597:11 & higher & 558:2;652:8; & 614:17,23;615:9 & inquiry (5) \\
\hline Hang (1) & 658:6;659:11; & 670:10;677:20 & including (13) & 593:5,10,16;639:1, \\
\hline 617:7 & 660:21;664:3,4,20; & hoping (1) & 575:7,8;577:5,7,23, & 2 \\
\hline happen (1) & 665:8;678:21;680:9 & 602:20 & 25;607:11;611:14, & insane (1) \\
\hline 529:12 & highest (1) & hour ( & 16;616:16;623:21; & \[
535: 22
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { happened (2) } \\
& 597: 7 ; 644: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 654:15;655:16,25; } \\
& 656: 4,10,12,18,22 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 516: 14,19 ; 52 \\
& 529: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 625:12;636: } \\
& \text { income (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { inspect (2) } \\
564: 6,24
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline happening (1) & 659:2,14,16 & houses & 660:17 & inspection (2) \\
\hline 655:7 & highlight ( & \[
641: 9
\] & inconvenience (1) & 594:3;673:14 \\
\hline happens (1) & 591:5;676:24 & Hudson ( & 683:8 & instance (1) \\
\hline 536:19 & highlighted (2) & 623:5 & incorporated (1) & 567:9 \\
\hline happy (7) & 614:21;628:6 & hundred (1) & 574:1 & instead (3) \\
\hline 509:11;520:10; & highlighting (1) & 646:1 & incorporates (1) & 657:15;658:5; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 542:9;543:6;547:14; } \\
& \text { 605:10;670:17 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
676: 21
\] \\
highlights
\end{tabular} & I & \begin{tabular}{l}
598:24 \\
correctly
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
679:1 \\
Institute (2)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline hard (2) & 568:2 & & \[
634: 5
\] & 508:17;573:1 \\
\hline 516:17;586:2 & highly (3) & idea (4) & increases (1) & instruction (2) \\
\hline have- (1) & 608:23;610:20; & 534:6;644:19 & 633:2 & 655:23;656:1 \\
\hline 597:19 & 612:11 & 648:17;661:24 & independent (13) & instructions (1) \\
\hline he- (1) & himself (1) & identification (3) & 509:2;510:6; & 512:22 \\
\hline 531:1 & 652:14 & 650:22,23;651:6 & 515:11;517:21; & insurance (1) \\
\hline head (4) & hired (1) & identified (3) & 518:18;519:16; & 602:13 \\
\hline 538:14;539:3,14; & 539:16 & 507:22;520:3,5 & \[
521: 20 ; 522: 18
\] & intend (3) \\
\hline 573:4 & Historical (2) & identify (1) & 523:3,17;524:20,25; & 544:1;622:24; \\
\hline headed (2) & 573:2,3 & 520:7 & 525:23 & 625:14 \\
\hline 603:4,15 & history (1) & ignore (1) & indicate (3) & intended (6) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 614:13;615:23; & 640 & 10;581:22 & 638:11,22 & 677:3;680 \\
\hline 2:2,626.14 & & & in's & rgest (3) \\
\hline 627:6;677:13 & & & & 563.5 .5 \\
\hline intent (7) & 589:11;623:4;668:17 & joggin & kind (2) & 569:10 \\
\hline 615:12; & involvement (1) & 670 & 564:10;6 & Larson (35) \\
\hline 622:18;624:2 & & & & 660:12,25;661:7, \\
\hline 625:4,6,17 & & & 602:18,25;607:2, & 10,14;667:14 \\
\hline intention (2) & 564: & & 16;608:19;609:11, & 668:7,8,20;6 \\
\hline & Irish (1) & & 10:4,12,19,2 &  \\
\hline interaction (1) & 621:5 & Jr & ,9,16;625:15 & 671:8,18;672:1,5,12, \\
\hline 584:11 & , & 527:23 & 27: & 21;674:13,17;675:2, \\
\hline inter & 608 & & 8,12,22;629:5, & 4,9,10,22;678:17; \\
\hline interim (3) & Island & judge (13) & 23;642:17 & 681:10 \\
\hline 573:23;6 & 38:15, & 13 & 2:5,9,19 & Larson's \\
\hline interlocut & & -1 & is & 679 \\
\hline 608:11 & 509:9;516:3 & 51:1395:21 & 683:15 & last (28) \\
\hline internal & \[
16,17,18,21
\] & 1:13;586:21; & kissing (1) & 516:10;526:19, \\
\hline \[
593: 21
\] & 9:21;576:9,13 & 99:12;610:4;63 & \[
554: 9
\] & 537:10;541:24; \\
\hline internally & 6:13;607:21; & 82:15 & kn & 546:12;547:18,24; \\
\hline 5 & 629:11,11;643:2 & & , & 548:1,21;550:2,4; \\
\hline Internationa & issued (20) & 547:10 & 41:19;5 & 552:13;554:12; \\
\hline 633:16;634 & 513:9,10 & ju & 16;625:5;643:8; & 561:25;562:6; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { interpret (1) } \\
615: 2
\end{gathered}
\] & 8:18, & 606:10;609 & 8:1 & \[
65: 24,25 ; 570: 1
\] \\
\hline interpret & 23:18, & ju & 674:5,6;681:5, & 53:1, \\
\hline 628:1 & :3,24; & 565:15;630:9,1 & knowing (3) & 670:21;678:4;679:21 \\
\hline int & 15 & 679 & 0:21;611:1 & later (3) \\
\hline int & 58 & J & & 4:18;652:23 \\
\hline int & & 573:21;587:20
jump (1) & kne & \[
672: 1
\] \\
\hline interr & 6:4,6,8,12,14,19; & & 15;552:25;553: & 599:3 \\
\hline 512:10;628 & 550:10,24 & Juı & known (4) & lawyer (11) \\
\hline interview (0) & it & \[
1: 18 ; 51
\] & \[
535: 17 ; 552
\] & \[
540: 16,20 ; 548: 7
\] \\
\hline \[
6
\] & \(570: 5\)
item (8) & 18:4;519:3,5;521:6, & 659:12;681 & \[
549: 5,10,12,16,21,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 640:17, } \\
\text { into (43) }
\end{gathered}
\] & it & & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { knows (3) } \\
624: 5,12 ; 642: 11
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
22 ; 560: 9, \\
\text { lawyers (8) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline & 591:5 & ; & & ,24;54 \\
\hline \[
528: 7,1
\] & \[
613: 1
\] &  & L & 70:17;609:1 \\
\hline 55 & i & & & (1) \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline & & & & leader \\
\hline 5 & Ivan & \[
\mathbf{J}
\] & Ladder & learned (6) \\
\hline 589 & 528:1 & 635:3,12,13 & 72:22 & -23 \\
\hline & J & K & Lafiosca & \[
538: 7,10 ; 643: 11
\] \\
\hline :7, & & & landed ( & 536:5,9,16 \\
\hline & & & & lease (3) \\
\hline 646:11;649:9;650:8; & & & 1 & 528:7,10;670:20 \\
\hline 652:16; & Jeff & Kaye (10) & & leases (1) \\
\hline 662:17;671:12 & 533:17;545: & \[
14,18
\] & landmark & 670:20 \\
\hline 672:15;676:15;678:6 & \[
554: 2,18 ; 555: 1,2
\] & 635:3,18;638:9; & 665:5 & least (7) \\
\hline & 556:9,14;557:2,12 & 646:2,8,17;648:19 & Lane (5) & 552:24 \\
\hline 562:16 & 16;559:19;560:12; & Kaye's (1) & 64:12, & 562:11;567:18; \\
\hline introduce & 567:19;588:6,7,9,23; & 638:15 & \[
682: 7,1
\] & \[
568: 12 ; 606: 19
\] \\
\hline 643:1 & 617:14;631:5,8 & Кеер (0) & language (6) & leave (5) \\
\hline introduced & \[
635: 19 ; 653: 21 ;
\] & \[
510: 25 ; 555: 13
\] & 539:9;577:8,11; & 582:11;604:10; \\
\hline 652:9 & 659:21;663:1;666:1; & 576:21;600:16; & \[
591: 14 ; 614: 16,21
\] & 611:9;669:12;677:21 \\
\hline invested & 671:18;675:14 & 622:25 & large (3) & leaves (1) \\
\hline 528:6,10 & Jeffrey & keep & 2:19:661:2 & 683:19 \\
\hline investigation (1) & \[
579: 15 ; 580: 4
\] & \[
557: 25 ; 673: 18
\] & \[
677: 18
\] & leaving (1) \\
\hline \[
640: 22
\] & 670:4 & Kevin (4) & larger (5) & \[
684: 1
\] \\
\hline investigatory (1) & job (2) & 633:6;635:19; & 655:14;656:18,22; & ledger (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 582:4 & 511:5;527:4,4 & 587:23;626:11; & luxury (1) & 663:9,13;664:8; \\
\hline leeway (1) & 566:5,5,10,10,13,13; & 82:17 & 647 & 析:16, \\
\hline 544:10 & 68:5,7,9;599:10; & longer & lyin & 681:24 \\
\hline left (7) & 601:18;631:18,18 & 574:10;578:2 & 541:3 & Marketable (11) \\
\hline 507:19;515:18 & 640:17;673:11 & 30:12 & & 603:12,14,24; \\
\hline 547:19;582:13,20 & line-by-line & 10 & M & 604:5;613:13,22,25; \\
\hline 53:18; & & & & 4:18,24;61 \\
\hline legal (1) & lines (2) & 564:7,9,10,10,13,13; & machine (1) & 617: \\
\hline & 601:14;6 & 567:23;568:2,12 & & ting (2) \\
\hline lenders ( & liquid (1) & 569:10;573:15; & M & 661:21;675:25 \\
\hline & & 576:24;594:19 & 12,20,2 & arks (1) \\
\hline lengthy (1) & liquidate & 598:10;601:18; & 2:7,12 & 631:13 \\
\hline 628:24 & 619:3 & 602:14;604:10; & maidens & massive (2) \\
\hline less (6) & liquidit & 613:21;627:10; & 11 & 534:18;5 \\
\hline 563:11 & 615:17,19, & 631:5;633:11; & mails & match (1) \\
\hline 609:24,25;612 & 616:4,7,15 & 644:22;646:4,2 & & 604:18 \\
\hline letter (20) & list (9) & 648:22;651:18,1 & main (2) & matches (1) \\
\hline 539:1,3, & 1:13, & 652:19;653:8; & \[
567: 2 ; 636
\] & 603:13 \\
\hline 589:19;590:1,17,18, & 630:19; & 654:18;662:3 & maintained & material (6) \\
\hline 21,23;591:2;592:15, & 648:16;663:17;670:6 & 664:11,14;666 & 625:10 & 575:5;577:3 \\
\hline 17;593:14;598:11, & listed (8) & 22;669:15;670:3,18 & major (1) & 594:15;662:6;663:12 \\
\hline 11;599:18,22;600:6 & 1:8;5 & 671:4;676:20; & 7:14 & materials (1) \\
\hline letters (2) & 603:25;620:3;62 & 678:17;679:21 & majority & 510:17 \\
\hline 590:13;59 & 636:1;663:19;664:7 & 680:2 & (1) & math (6) \\
\hline level (1) & listen (2) & looked & makes (1) & 620:17;6 \\
\hline 634:3 & 553:10;5 & 13,25;510:2,2 & 16 & 65:17, \\
\hline levels & listing (9) & 4,7;564:8,23;568:1,5, & making ( & 679:6 \\
\hline 563:24; & 624:4;63 & 15,16,16;569:1,7; & 582:1;58 & Mathematically (1) \\
\hline liabilities & 638:5,7,15,18 & 577:11,14;616:12; & :20;628:2 & 638:25 \\
\hline 511:9;578:8 & 6. \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & 678 & 681:16 & matter (5) \\
\hline liability (29) & listings (2) & 678:12,14;679:12; & malpracti & \[
550: 14 ; 551: 7
\] \\
\hline 511:10;512:7, & 651:23;6 & 680:7,12,14;681:10 & \[
560: 22
\] & 587:12;608:17 \\
\hline 513:1,7;514:4 & lists (2) & looking (29) & Management (3) & 681:22 \\
\hline 515:21;517:15,18; & 655:16;6 & 564:4;566:13,14 & 0:24;591:19 & matters \\
\hline 518:12,16;519:10,14; & little (15) & 568:9;573:18; & 592:6 & 585:4 \\
\hline 521:14,18;522:12,16; & 547:8,555:15:556:80, & 603:22 & management's & may (21) \\
\hline 523:12,15;524:15,18, & 547:8;555:15;556:8; & 617:11;622:5,12; & 591:6 & 508:12;510: \\
\hline 23;525:18,21;560:19, & 565:7;578:3;584:3,3, & 624:25;626:1,6; & Manhatta & 526:5,8;529:5, \\
\hline 21;561:8;575:20 & 6;597:10;617:6; & 635:9.642.20. & 570:16,22,25 & 47:1;556:15; \\
\hline liaison (1) & 630:12;666:24 & 650:17;655:24 & 571:8 & 566:13,15;572:20 \\
\hline 565:18 & LLC (6) & 59:4,5,10,14,16; & Many (13) & 73:25;607:2;608: \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{|c} 
libraries ( \\
\(510 \cdot 15\)
\end{tabular} & 38:4,5;555:9,10, & 663:1;666:6,20; & \[
526: 16,1
\] & 5;628:2;634:4;639:6 \\
\hline 510:15 & (10) & 667.1,6,674.3,9 & 13;536:1;601:11; & 666:25;672:12 \\
\hline library (1) & loan (10) & looks (5) & 24:9;630:18,20; & maybe (4) \\
\hline 510:14 & 5:14; & 86:5,9;623:2 & 635:7;640:14;642:9; & 517:5;527:8 \\
\hline lifting (1) & 20,24;600 & 631:10;671:2 & 644:18 & 579:22 \\
\hline 636:13 & 605:7;668:9,22; & & March & Mazars (52) \\
\hline light (1) & 670:14;672:23 & 530: & 586:10,17 & 510:10;514:16 \\
\hline 511:10 & loans (6) & \(\operatorname{lot}(4)\) & marching (1) & 15:11;538:15; \\
\hline liked (1) & 5.7 & 587 & 656:4 & 539:15;540:12,16,18 \\
\hline 535:5 & 582:2;587:9,1 & 637:22;644:15 & mark & 22,24;541:1,2,8,12; \\
\hline likely (4) & & & & 44-2, 3, 15,19. \\
\hline 583:4;6 & 641:25;64 & I & 51-5;672:8 & 45:1;546:1,3,7, \\
\hline 656:9 & located & lo & 651:5;672:8 & 51:17;552:22 \\
\hline Limitations (11) & 621:2;651 & 44:18 & 677:15 & 553:17,21;561:5,8; \\
\hline 595:17;598:19; & location & 64:3,4;665:21; & mar & 562:7,19,22;565:10 \\
\hline 633:19;646:14; & & 679, & 600:4 & 12,13,17;568:12 \\
\hline 649:10;662:18; & logicall & & marked (5) & 569:5;583:10;590:1; \\
\hline 669:23;671:13; & 55 & & 585:2,6;595:5,12 & 591:9;592:8,17; \\
\hline 672:16;676:17;678:7 & long (11) & lunc & 596 & 593: \\
\hline limited (1) & 516:7,11,12 & 605:12;607:5 & market (10) & 596:8;597:5;616 \\
\hline 655:13 & 529:20;538:15,1 & Luncheon (1) & \[
647: 5,9,13,16,18 \text {, }
\] & 617:14;631:9 \\
\hline line (18) & 539:14;573:14; & 605:13 & 21,24;661:15,18; & Mazars' (3) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 510:13;544:12; & \[
546: 15 ; 556: 25
\] & minimum (1) & motor (1) & 630:13;633:22; \\
\hline McConney (78) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 602:7;670:16 } \\
& \text { ment (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\mathbf{m}
\] & \[
\mathbf{m}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 653:10;662:11; } \\
& \text { 670:7;682:4;684:4 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 528:18;529:1,17; & 630:2 & 615:5;616:16; & 509:21;516:17; & needed (4) \\
\hline 530:1,8;531:2;532:2, & mental (10) & 618:7;619:1 & 595:7;600:12; & 539:5;588:19; \\
\hline 4;533:17,20,24; & 543:11;544:13,16, & minute (4) & 606:12;611:5;624:7; & 589:4;629:16 \\
\hline 537:19;538:24; & \[
20 ; 545: 2 ; 546: 2,4,6
\] & 507:6;527:13; & 643:5;646:11 & needs (3) \\
\hline 542:13;545:22; & 14,19 & 547:20;553:7 & moved (1) & 520:6;528:16; \\
\hline 554:2,18;555:1,15, & message (1) & minutes (9) & 585:2 & 553:1 \\
\hline 21;556:9,14,19,20; & 533:5 & 516:23;546:25; & moving (10) & neither (2) \\
\hline 557:17;558:12; & messages (1) & 547:24;571:6,15; & 517:11,25;518:23 & 627:16;631:3 \\
\hline 559:15,19;560:12; & 560:4 & 572:4;607:5;611:21; & 519:21;521:25 & net (1) \\
\hline 563:17;564:18; & met (7) & 653:16 & 522:23;523:22; & 660:17 \\
\hline 565:8,10;566:3,21; & 536:11;540:15,15; & mischaracterizing (1) & 525:5;576:21;599:6 & New (26) \\
\hline 567:4,19,22;569:16, & 541:13;570:22; & 642:5 & much (14) & 536:6,11,16,22; \\
\hline 20;579:16,17,20; & 580:13;581:3 & missed (2) & 533:7;554:9 & 540:9,19;544:3,17, \\
\hline 580:4,12;585:24; & method (20) & 531:7;554:1 & 562:24;567:1 & 22;548:14,19;551:13, \\
\hline 589:23;595:1; & 511:24;512:7; & Mississippi ( & 582:15,20,20;584:11; & 14;569:22;570:7; \\
\hline 599:18;600:6,20,25; & 513:24;514:3 & 572:25 & 586:24;642:24; & 580:5,5;588:22; \\
\hline 603:12;620:2,12; & 515:20;517:14 & Misstatements (1) & 644:18;677:3 & 589:3;643:19; \\
\hline 621:12;622:12; & 518:8,12;519:7,10; & 575:9 & 678:21;682:20 & 660:14;663:8; \\
\hline 623:13;624:17; & 521:10,14;522:8,12; & mistake (8) & multimillion-dollar (1) & 664:17;679:2,7; \\
\hline 629:24;633:14; & 523:7,11;524:10,14; & 624:22;625:3,13 & 568:11 & 682:6 \\
\hline 634:13;644:16; & 525:13,17 & 25;626:3,13;657:11; & multiple (5) & newer (1) \\
\hline 649:5,12;651:1; & methodology (5) & 658:1 & 606:8;622:7 & 550:21 \\
\hline 652:19;658:3;662:6; & 569:18;588:15; & mistakes (9) & 625:20;642:2,9 & next (18) \\
\hline 668:3,19;669:2; & 589:3;630:23,25 & 575:6,7,7;577:4,6 & multiply (2) & 516:14;520:22; \\
\hline 676:5,21;679:3,11; & methods (5) & 6,23,24,24 & 649:17;657:21 & 552:21;577:10; \\
\hline 682:16,23 & 511:6,9;515:14; & misunderstanding (1) & Muramatsu (3) & 579:14;588:19; \\
\hline McConney's (1) & 568:13;569:16 & 599:17 & 654:23;655:10; & 594:22;604:9; \\
\hline 679:1 & mic (1) & Mm-hmm (2) & 656:2 & 618:10;645:10; \\
\hline mean (12) & 547:9 & 615:21;669:1 & must (2) & 656:12;657:3; \\
\hline 517:5;526:7; & Michael (2) & moment (2) & \[
542: 20 ; 579: 23
\] & 663:12;664:11; \\
\hline 559:12;608:9,19; & 601:8;602:1 & 550:25;551:1 & myself (1) & 665:2;674:21; \\
\hline 609:8;610:5;633:25; & microphone (3) & Monday (6) & 667:20 & 683:14,22 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 640:19;641:10; } \\
& \text { 647:2;675:7 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 554:8;580:7;
662.11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 529: 3 ; 536: 4,8,21 ; \\
& 541: 24 ; 683: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & N & nice (2) 580:6,7 \\
\hline 647:2;675:7 & &  & N &  \\
\hline 598:25 & 638:23 & \[
563: 11 ; 567: 11
\] & & 683:16 \\
\hline means (5) & middle (2) & \[
623: 7
\] & \[
566: 15,16 ; 580: 2,
\] & night (13) \\
\hline 563:21,21;614:6; & 662:9,14 & months (2) & 12;583:10;588:8; & 516:10;548:21,24 \\
\hline 622:20;623:6 & might (4) & 546:12;586:2 & 606:16;682:7 & 549:3,5,7,10,12,15, \\
\hline meant (1) & 510:24;566:14 & more (21) & named (1) & 20,25;550:2,5 \\
\hline 644:9 & 575:9;653:10 & 509:8,11;513: & 583:22 & nightmare (1) \\
\hline meat (1) & million (33) & 554:18;555:2,7,12; & Naomi (2) & 542:8 \\
\hline 589:6 & 528:6,10;562:7,9; & 557:3,5;563:7;579:2; & 654:22;656:1 & nights (2) \\
\hline meet (2) & 603:13,24;604:5; & 595:20;623:15; & native (5) & 560:10,11 \\
\hline 540:16,19 & 613:14;633:2,2,7; & 626:9;628:14; & 616:20;620:25 & Niketown (1) \\
\hline meeting (8) & 637:5,6,15;639:9,15; & 641:13;644:15; & 631:7;645:3;675:15 & 604:17 \\
\hline 536:6,22;537:6,7, & 641:9;644:13;646:1, & 653:20;654:8; & nature (2) & nine (1) \\
\hline 11;541:16;570:7; & 19;648:1,2,16,20; & 679:25;682:20 & 511:10;606:25 & 580:23 \\
\hline 571:7 & 649:6,19;653:25; & morning (27) & near (1) & ninth (1) \\
\hline meetings (5) & 657:22,25;665:21; & 507:16,17;547:5, & 635:20 & 510:24 \\
\hline 601:9,11;602:2,6,8 & 679:2,8,8 & 6;548:11,11,23; & necessarily (5) & noon (1) \\
\hline members (3) & million-dollar (1) & 549:1,4,6,14,20; & 534:5;647:8,12,17, & 516:19 \\
\hline 507:1;510:10; & 636:12 & 606:6;607:1;608:3,4, & 20 & normal (2) \\
\hline 562:20 & mind (4) & 12,21;609:23; & necessary (3) & 596:3,6 \\
\hline memo (9) & 540:5;543:7;608:5; & 610:14;611:4,7,17; & 530:13,14;630:11 & notation (2) \\
\hline 546:3;602:18; & 624:14 & 612:7;683:11;684:8 & need (17) & 596:20;597:11 \\
\hline 603:2,25;604:9,18, & mindful (1) & Most (10) & 516:7;520:8; & notations (1) \\
\hline 22;605:1,7 & 512:22 & 538:2;554:4,13,14; & 542:25;558:2;579:6; & 595:21 \\
\hline memory (6) & mine (2) & 557:8;609:4;655:15, & 587:17;601:6;616:7; & note (18) \\
\hline 509:2;510:6; & 662:10,15 & 25;656:9;670:20 & 623:9;629:16; & 515:23,25;572:24; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 596:11;599:24; & 600:14,19;602:20; & offered (1) & 555:6;557:1;573:25; & 622:25;634:17; \\
\hline 600:23;613:21; & 625:15;627:22; & 607.24 & 615:6;623:14;644:3; & 641:14;650:13; \\
\hline 614:6;660:7,11; & 628:25;629:2; & Office (20) & 650:17;656:15; & 654:9;659:7;661:22, \\
\hline 661:3,14;663:3,5; & 633:19,20;634:10; & 548:14,19;561:19; & 660:4,4;677:10; & 22;677:6,8 \\
\hline 666:21;667:10; & 639:25;640:12; & 569:23;570:8,16,25; & 683:2 & outlast (1) \\
\hline 675:16,17 & 641:21;642:12,17; & 571:8;580:13; & op & 650 \\
\hline noted (1) & 646:13;649:10; & 586:14,18;594:17 & 606:1 & out-of-court (1) \\
\hline 630:4 & 650:11;652:3; & 610:6;611:14;654:6; & opening (3) & 652:9 \\
\hline notes (4) & 662:18,19;669:23,24; & 660:13;664:15,18; & 558:12;602:19 & outside (3) \\
\hline 613:18;666:25; & 671:13;672:16,17; & 665:3,6 & 603:1 & 529:20;601:3,22 \\
\hline 667:1,2 & 676:17;678:7;681:2, & OFFICER & operating (9) & oval (1) \\
\hline notice (26) & 3 & 580:1;581:13 & 614:2,5,9,17;615: & 586:18 \\
\hline 565:15;573:19; & objectionable (1) & official (1) & 2,4;620:5;660:17 & over (36) \\
\hline 606:14,15;607:8,22; & 629:1 & 541:22 & opinion (2) & 520:16,1 \\
\hline 608:14;610:8,9,10, & objections (5) & off-the-record (1) & 569:23;586:2 & 528:6;535:2 \\
\hline 17,611:2,6,13,20,22; & 595:18;600:15,17, & 507:7 & OPO (1) & 537:22;540:3;542:1; \\
\hline 612:1,6;630:9,11; & 18;628:21 & often (1) & 605:7 & 545:6,10;547:18,25; \\
\hline 643:6,9,21;665:18, & obligation (4) & 512:11 & opportunity (3) & 552:13;561:25; \\
\hline 23;679:5 & 566:9;591:2; & oil (1) & 609:3,4;634:3 & 562:7;572:25;583:4; \\
\hline notify (1) & 592:15;606:13 & 552:16 & opposed (3) & 584:5,6;585:13; \\
\hline 570:8 & obligations (5) & older (1) & 558:11;641:18; & 590:14;595:3;603:5; \\
\hline November (1) & 544:22;560:24 & 651:16 & 642:15 & 607:5,20;614:13; \\
\hline 586:6 & 591:20;600:2,22 & once (9) & order (8) & 615:9;616:8,11; \\
\hline Number (18) & oblige (1) & \[
526: 8,16 ; 527: 9
\] & 547:7;592:14; & 620:23;634:9;647:4, \\
\hline 509:12,14;531:20; & 670:17 & 584:6;587:16; & 600:22;607:10; & \[
5,12 ; 653: 15 ; 660: 16
\] \\
\hline 537:22;562:10; & observation (1) & 589:10;597:23; & 616:6;639:22; & overall (1) \\
\hline 565:22;575:17;
\(608.66 \cdot 619.9 .623\). & 594:3 & 609:8;673:6 & 671:22;681:17 & 663:20 \\
\hline 608:6,6;619:9;623 & obtain (1) & one (95) & orders (2) & over-prepared \\
\hline 628:1;649:17; & 511:6 & 509:12;513:5; & 535:15;656:4 & 609:18;610:2 \\
\hline 655:13;657:9; & obtaining (2) & 520:15;526:15,16; & ordinarily (1) & overrule (1) \\
\hline 659:14;670:8;678:19 & 593:20;594:2 & 527:8,11,11,13; & 594:11 & 509:19 \\
\hline numbers (7) & obvious (3) & 529:17;538:14; & Organization (57) & Overruled (15) \\
\hline 548:8;603:8; & 575:5;577:3,21 & 539:4;554:11,13,18; & 534:3,6;535:7,18, & 521:1;543:15; \\
\hline 604:21;624:4; & obviously (19) & 555:2,7;556:24; & 19,24;536:2,10,24; & 544:25;558:2; \\
\hline 625:24;631:13,13 & 512:17;513:1,7 & 557:2,7,15;559:2 & 537:3;538:8,11; & 625:21;627:23; \\
\hline numerous (5) & 514:7;517:18; & 567:4;568:25; & 542:20,23;544:3,8, & 640:5,13;649:11; \\
\hline 537:25;538:1; & 518:16;519:14; & 577:18;578:5; & 20;545:3,5;546:11, & 662:19;669:24; \\
\hline 542:14,15,15 & 521:18;522:16; & 586:20;592:24; & 16;550:9,24;551:15, & 671:14;672:17; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { NYSCEF (2) } \\
620: 8,11
\end{gathered}
\] & 523:15;524:18,23;
525:21;575:20; & 595:20;596:4;601:9; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 21;553:17;562:20; } \\
& \text { 563:5,12;566:13; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 676:18;678:8 \\
\hline 620:8,11 & 525:21;575:20 &  &  & \\
\hline 0 & 606:11;612:10; & 622:3,7,8,8,9,14,16, & 569:19,20;570:9; & \[
642: 12
\] \\
\hline OAR & Occasion (1) & 624:5,5;625:10; & 581:6,10,14;582:9, & 582:6 \\
\hline 663:19 & 671:14 & 626:4,5,9,12,12; & 11;583:9;587:10; & own (6) \\
\hline oath (7) & occasions (2) & \[
628: 2,12,14 ; 634: 20
\] & 590:2;601:1,3,20,22; & \[
540: 16,20,25
\] \\
\hline 554:17;555:1; & 554:18;555:2 & \[
637: 21 ; 642: 4 ; 644: 4
\] & 614:6,10,11;635:13; & 619:16;652:14,16 \\
\hline 556:11,13;601:16; & occur (1) & 647:4,15,16,25; & 661:6,10,19;669:3 & owned (3) \\
\hline 612:24;640:21 & 575:9 & 648:18;652:6;653:5, & OS (1) & 567:16;636:1 \\
\hline object (6) & occurred (1) & 20;654:8,10;659:11; & 622:9 & owns (7) \\
\hline 557:24;558:17;
585.3.595:16.599.7 & 643:7 & 660:4;663:8,8; & other- (1) & 534:18;535:22; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 585:3;595:16;599:7; } \\
& 634: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|r|}
\hline \text { October (12) } \\
529: 15: 586:
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 668:14,22;670:5,13; } \\
& \text { 671:1,19;672:24; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(567: 4\)
others (7) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 615:6;616:16;618:9, } \\
& 9 ; 619: 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline bjected & \[
587: 4,13 ; 595:
\] & \[
673: 2,3,5 ; 678: 19,22
\] & 556:23;5 & 9,619.1 \\
\hline 509:5;516:3 & 650:13;670:4,12,24; & 680:10;681:21 & 559:2;600:25;673:3 & P \\
\hline objection (54) & 671:9;672:5;684:12 & one-page (1) & otherwise (1) & \\
\hline \[
509: 5,19,19
\] & off (9) & 654:20 & 576:14 & package (5) \\
\hline \[
515: 23,25 ; 520: 2
\] & 507:6;539:3;
547-19.569.5,9. & ones (2) & ourselves (1) & 530:12;542:19; \\
\hline 521:1;528:12;531:5, & 547:19;569:5,9; & 557:14;623:21 & 641:2 & 582:13,15,16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14;540:6,8;543:14; } \\
& \text { 544:5,24;585:11; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 573:4;638:4,7;
653:19 & online (2) 510:16, & \begin{tabular}{l}
out (18) \\
515:18;547:2
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
page (65) \\
508:2, 7. \(7 \cdot 10 \cdot 2\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
591: 22,25 ; 598: 18,21
\] & offer (1) & only (16) & \[
558: 15 ; 585: 1
\] & \[
24 ; 515: 6,8,9
\] \\
\hline 599:1,9,10,14; & 633:17 & 531:21,24,25,25; & 608:1;612:2,2;621:1; & 520:22;527:3;532:9; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 544:1;571:18; & 579:20;607:7 & perform (2) & 518:23;519:18,21,24; & 4,7 \\
\hline 573:16;576:23; & passed (1) & 593:10,15 & 521:22,25;522:20,23; & possible (3) \\
\hline 585:21;590:23; & 587:16 & performed (3) & 523:19,22;525:2,5, & 598:8;608:4,4 \\
\hline 592:24;594:22; & passing (1) & 593:6,16;594:1 & 25;594:19;603:10 & potatoes (1) \\
\hline 595:1,11,24,24; & 34:23 & performing (2) & 22;627:9,10;633:11; & 589 \\
\hline 596:10,11;600:10; & past (2) & 592:17;593: & 634:11;662:3,21; & potential (1) \\
\hline 601:14;602:24,25; & 529:24;673:15 & performs (1) & 670:1;671:4,16; & 512:20 \\
\hline 603:11,11,13,22,23; & Patrick (3) & 593:11 & 672:19;676:3 & potentiality (1) \\
\hline 604:4,9;605:15; & 583:22;590:13 & Perhaps ( & planning (1) & 561 \\
\hline 613:9,12,21;618:10; & 3.15 & 561 & 608:18 & practical \\
\hline 619:24;626:21; & pause (7) & period (10) & play (2) & 681:22 \\
\hline 632:1,5;634:13; & 29:9;543:23 & 552:5;574:14 & 527:3;541: & Practice (4) \\
\hline 640:17;645:10; & 572:6;579:11,18; & 87:23;654:15 & played (2) & 539:15;560:16; \\
\hline 651:18,19;654:13; & 623:11;643:13 & 68:3,18;669:5; & 527:5;584 & 641:19;642:16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 658:11;662:23; } \\
& \text { 663:4;666:25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & pay (2) 641:10 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 674:10;675:1,8 } \\
& \text { periods (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Plaza (7) 538:5;5 & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { pragmatist (1) } \\
609: 12
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 674:21;676:20; & payable (2) & 573:23 & 557:5;660:14;663:8; & precarious (1) \\
\hline 677:23,24;678:10; & 582:6;616:18 & person (6) & 664:17 & 541:9 \\
\hline 679:9,16;680:12,19 & payables (1) & 610:4;635:22 & please (40) & precise (3) \\
\hline pages (4) & 619:11 & 648:15;661:3;672:2; & 507:12;510:22 & 571:1,3,5 \\
\hline 649:22;663:12,13; & payment (1) & 683:16 & 513:13;518:5; & precluded (1) \\
\hline 677:8 & 2:23 & perso & 520:19;527:3;528:8; & 544:16 \\
\hline paid (1) & payments (1) & 507:25;508:5 & 530:18,25;533:2,16, & predict (1) \\
\hline 661:25 & 582:2 & 22;510:11;545:8; & 20;535:3;536:7; & 683:1 \\
\hline pandemic (1) & PB & 72:19;575:3,9; & 538:9;542:11;547:2; & prefer (1) \\
\hline 586:23 & 631:12 & 592:3;598:5 & 548:25;549:18,23; & 565:2 \\
\hline papers (4) & PBS (1) & personally (3) & 551:24;552:1; & preference (2) \\
\hline 606:15;608:8,12; & 654:10 & 562:14;567:24; & 553:10;554:22 & 584:18;620:6 \\
\hline 609:4 & PDX-2 (1) & 568:2 & 555:13,24;556:12 & prejudice (1) \\
\hline paragraph (7) & 4:14 & phone (1) & 557:19,20,21;558:22; & 521:2 \\
\hline 592:24,25;619:24; & pending (1) & 670:8 & 569:24;570:1,10; & prejudicial (1) \\
\hline 627:11;628:19; & 607:22 & Photographers (1) & 572:10,15;574:24 & 609:7 \\
\hline 656:12;679:21 & pens (1) & 507:2 & 580:1,2;590:19 & premise (3) \\
\hline parentheses (1) & \[
641: 25
\] & Photographs (1) 507:4 & 624:2;635:19;640:6, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 516: 5 ; 624: 25 ; \\
& 679: 25
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline parenthetical (1) & \[
528: 7,11
\] & phrased (2) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 9;670:6; } \\
\text { plural (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & premium (1) \\
\hline 618:1 & people (9) & \[
556: 19,20
\] & 618:4 & \[
655: 13
\] \\
\hline Park (10) & 539:11;553:21; & physical (6) & point (30) & preparation (7) \\
\hline 528:7,11;604:18; & \[
558: 18 ; 565: 16
\] & 544:13;545:2; & \[
520: 2 ; 529: 18
\] & 527:11;577:5; \\
\hline \[
655: 16,21 ; 656: 15,19
\] & \[
607: 13,25 ; 610: 3
\] & \[
546: 2,4,6,12
\] & \[
538: 14 ; 557: 24
\] & \[
583: 2,23 ; 590: 14
\] \\
\hline 658:6;659:11,13 & 622:15;634:20 & physically & 559:3,7;566:4; & 596:4;655:6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { parse (1) } \\
630: 20
\end{array}
\] & \[
517: 10 ; 633: 5
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
537: 15 \\
\text { pick (2) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 570:13,17;575:19; } \\
& \text { 578:22;597:3;605:8; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { prepare (11) } \\
530: 16,19 ; 540: 3 ;
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline part (16) & 636:20,21,22;637:5, & 653:18;684:7 & 606:3;608:14;623:1; & 583:10;588:19; \\
\hline 529:12;539:19; & 23,25;638:1,12,16; & picked (4) & 624:19;637:8,11; & 592:12;670:5,7; \\
\hline 542:18;543:25; & 647:5,12;648:7,13; & 638:16;657:12 & 638:14,23;639:6; & 671:19,23;674:13 \\
\hline 544:24;551:5; & 649:15;651:24; & 659:13;677:6 & 642:4;648:1;667:24; & prepared (9) \\
\hline 565:12,1 & 652:1,21;654:7 & picking (1) & 668:14;674:6; & 508:22;544:2; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 602:18;618:2;624:7, } \\
& \text { 22;625:3;630:17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 656:5,13,23;657:5,8, } \\
& \text { 13,15,20;658:4,6; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
677: 8 \\
\text { piece (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 678:25;681:23;682: } \\
& \text { points (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 575: 10 ; 583: 11,11,13 \\
& 584: 14 ; 625: 9 ; 661: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 681:5 & 659:2,5,11,12;680:1 & 628:13 & 575:12,15;578:2 & preparer (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { particular (2) } \\
591: 5: 601: 8
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { percent (24) } \\
& 563: 7 ; 615: 7
\end{aligned}
\] & pieces (1) & \[
667: 25
\] & \[
625: 5
\] \\
\hline particularly (2) & 617:22;618:1,7,9,9 & place (3) & Pomerant (3)
540:14;541:3,8 & \[
508: 10,19,25
\] \\
\hline 536:12,14 & 619:7,9,9;660:13,13; & 507:8;509:7;537:6 & Pomerantz's (1) & 530:24;531:3,22; \\
\hline partner (6) & 665:8,21;667:19,21, & placed (1) & 541:4 & 533:2,6;539:11,18; \\
\hline 552:6,8;562:19; & 22,25;668:1;675:18, & 591:15 & portion (3) & 583:7,16;584:1; \\
\hline 568:10;569:7;614:12 & 24;678:22;679:14; & Plaintiff (3) & 640:10;677:10 & 588:1;589:7;597:1, \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { partnership (2) } \\
618: 2,6
\end{gathered}
\] & 680:11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 516:15;579:13 } \\
& 585: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
678:14 \\
position (5)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17;627:4,24;668:8; } \\
& \text { 670:13;674:11 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline partnerships (3) & 619:1;620:18 & plaintiff's (31) & 541:9;611:13; & presence (2) \\
\hline \[
618: 3,4 ; 621: 10
\] & percentage-wise (1) & 509:19;511:13; & 612:6;644:11;683:15 & \[
533: 12 ; 628: 25
\] \\
\hline party (2) & & 513:13;514:23; & possession (2) & present (16) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5 & principle (1) & 58 & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline 518:16;519:14 & & , & , & \\
\hline 521:18;522:16 & 3:1;575:8, & 663:15 & 17;642:6 & 679:4;681:23 \\
\hline 523:15;524:18, & 7.7, & :25;680 & & \\
\hline 525:21;534:1;606:6 & pr & pr & 653:21;654:13,20; & 585:6;630:10 \\
\hline & & & & 639:24;683: \\
\hline Presentation (3) & & & 662:25;675:14 & \\
\hline 575:20;578:7; & 50:16 & 0;649 & puts (2) & 634:17 \\
\hline 626:22 & & , & 539:18;585:21 & \\
\hline presented & 508:9,19,25;510:1, & 19;679:23,23; & puttin & 512:2;5 \\
\hline 607:11 & 7,11;588:3 & 7,14,25;681:1 & 566:18;599 & 8;543:19,21;553:9 \\
\hline presents & 589.9.595.4 25. & & 676:21 & 10,13;555:25;556:1, \\
\hline 512:25 & 598:24,25;599:6, & prosecution & p & 2,3,4;557:21,22 \\
\hline pr & & & 628:13 & 8:3;574:24;575:2; \\
\hline 3:23 & 6:15;671:1;680:12 & & PX-100 (1) & 577:1;601:23;624:1, \\
\hline preserving & pro & 652:10 &  & 2,3;637:22;640:7,9, \\
\hline 595:18 & 508:12,14;639:4 & prov & PX-2587 & \[
11 ; 667: 2
\] \\
\hline President & 1:5;674:6 & 53 & 6:20;620:25 & Readers (1) \\
\hline  & &  & PX & 573:19 \\
\hline 519:2 & 6:8 & :12; & 7 & Reading (4) \\
\hline 523:2;524:5;525:9; & pr & 660:7; & PX-3041 (2) & 576:24,25;634:5,5 \\
\hline 539:16;540:1;597:23 & :25 & 661:11;674:16;675:9 & 1,22 & reads (1) \\
\hline president's (1) & :12,13,14,16,18; & provided (19) & PX-717 (1) & 511:1 \\
\hline 509:1 & 570:9;641:24 & 541:13;592: & & ready (3) \\
\hline pr & & & P & 07:2,1 \\
\hline 5 & 538:13;596:3 & 7. & 626:20 & real (20) \\
\hline presume & 11 & 616:24;631:9;633:9; & PX-99 (1) & 34:10, \\
\hline 617.23 & & & 598:10 & 7,18:535 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { presuppos } \\
556: 23
\end{gathered}
\] & :6,6,10,10,16,16; & 661:15;662:7;667:14 & Q & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2 ; 538: 15,17,18,19 \\
& 39: 14 ; 540: 2 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline pr & 4-11 & & & 14;580:1 \\
\hline 56 & & & & 634:25;650:1 \\
\hline prev & 507:12; & & - & realize (1) \\
\hline 572:2;651 & & 591:15;599 & question- (1) & 623:2 \\
\hline prev & & Pub & 556:18 & realized (2) \\
\hline \[
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THE COURT: A court officer, very
well-intentioned, nice guy. They all are. One or two times that my cell phone went off, he didn't realize that. So, he tells the audience, you should turn off those cell phones. It was my fault.

Okay. We're back to Mr. McConney, right? MR. AMER: Yes.
THE COURT: Let's get the witness.
JEFF MCCONNEY, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the witness stand and continued to testify as follows:

THE COURT: Let's continue.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION (cont'd)}

MR. AMER:
Q Good morning, Mr. McConney. I want to clean up one issue from yesterday and then we'll move forward.

You recall we were discussing Vornado cash and the various accounts that are listed in the spreadsheet we looked at yesterday?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put that spreadsheet up. It's 2587 Native.
Q Just to recall your testimony--
MR. AMER: And can we collapse the columns that we collapsed before? I think it was \(D\) through \(N\).

\section*{cConney - Direct/Amer}
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Q I believe it was your testimony, Mr. McConney, that it was your intent to represent that the Vornado cash accounts, those accounts that referenced 30 percent were held in Capital One accounts but they were different accounts than the Capital One accounts for the various Trump-controlled cash; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Am I correct that from time to time, the Trump
Organization received distribution of Vornado cash at the discretion of the general partner for the Vornado Partnerships; is that right?

\section*{A That's correct.}

MR. AMER: If we could look at Exhibit 3106.
Your Honor, this is a bank record that was
produced to us by Vornado Realty Trust in response to a investigative subpoena. I ask it be admitted in as a business record.

MR. SUAREZ: We object on the basis of Statute of Limitations. It is dated March 15, 2013.

THE COURT: Overruled. Request granted. It's in evidence.
Q You can confirm that the debit account name Hudson
Waterfront Associates IIII is one of the Vornado cash partnerships, correct?
A Correct.

1 Q It's in the spreadsheet we were looking at before, correct?

A Correct.
Q And this shows that the money being distributed by this Vornado cash account is actually coming from Bank of America, not Capital One, correct?

A That's correct.
Q Does this refresh your recollection that the Vornado
cash accounts were actually held at Bank of America and not Capital One?
A This -- yes, this transfer came from Bank of America.
Q That wasn't my question. My question was whether it refreshes your recollection that all of the Vornado cash accounts were actually sitting in Bank of America, not Capital One?

A I don't know where all-- where Vornado puts all of their money. Without looking at our specific backup for that statement, I can't say all their accounts were at Bank of America. This transfer did come from Bank of America.
\(Q\) Just pick up the exhibit again. Page two is a different transfer from a different Vornado cash account. That's Hudson Waterfront Associates V, right?

A Yes, sir.
25 Q That is from Bank of America, correct?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I would move this exhibit with the native files into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted it's in evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Objection. Statute of Limitations if the documents are from 2015.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. It's in evidence.
Q Do you see on the attachment line of this e-mail that the first attachment is named 1-Jeff Supporting Data and it's an XL file.

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q So, this was your process for providing Mr. Bender with copies of your Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet, correct?
A Yes.
Q If we pull up native one, we'll see this is, in fact, your supporting data spreadsheet which appears to be a preliminary valuation spreadsheet that you're sending to him, correct?

A I don't remember where we were in the process at this point in time.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I'm going to do it one time for you today and only one time. I'll object to any backup data that is before the February 2016 date that we believe, per my objection that's been standing for the
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\section*{past four days.}

THE COURT: Okay. Objection duly noted. We'll call it a standing objection to anything before the magic day.

\section*{MS. HABBA: Thank you. \\ THE COURT: Overruled.}

Q Let's go ahead and go to row 117, and this is the row that starts the analysis of 40 Wall Street in this valuation that you provide to Mr. Bender in December, correct? A Yes.
Q Now, on line 125 and 126, you state: Cushman \& Wakefield appraisal done June 2015 for lien refinancing with Ladder Capital, and you put a value of \(\$ 540\) million.

So, at this point in time, you were using the Cushman 2015 appraisal as part of the valuation, correct?

A Yes.
Q And rows 118 through 123, if I'm reading this correctly, is a calculation of an additional value of slightly over \(\$ 120\) million that you then add to the Cushman appraisal value of 540 to get to a valuation of 660.3 , correct?
A Yes.
Q This additional value is made up of additional income from two spaces that you indicate are new lease signings after Ladder Capital refinancing was completed; is that right? A Yes.

Q So, if I'm understanding this correctly, you are adding additional value based on additional net operating income from leases that you note were signed after the Ladder Capital refinancing, which, I take it to mean, you believe were not included within the Cushman appraisal; is that right? A Yes.
Q Because they weren't, in your understanding, part of the Cushman appraisal. It's appropriate in your view to add that net operating income in as value by then dividing that income by the 3.04 cap rate, that we've talked about before, that you got from the generic market records, correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q So, just to close the loop on the calculation.
You've got 2.25 million of income from a Dean \& DeLuca lease.
You add that up, you divide it by 3.04, and you get the 120 million and change in additional value; is that right?

\section*{A Yes.}

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I'm going to object and ask that counsel ask direct questions instead of testifying. This isn't cross. Just please ask and let him testify to what he did.

THE COURT: I believe your objection is that the questions are leading. Does that sound right?

MS. HABBA: Correct, your Honor. It's not only
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
J. McConney - Direct/Amer \\
1 & leading, it's as if he's testifying himself. \\
2 & MR. SUAREZ: And they're also compound, Judge. \\
3 & THE COURT: Sorry? \\
4 & MR. SUAREZ: Compound. \\
5 & MR. AMER: Your Honor, this is a defendant. He \\
6 & is a hostile witness. I should be able to lead. \\
7 & MS. HABBA: He's not a hostile witness. \\
8 & THE COURT: He's a defendant. \\
9 & MS. HABBA: He is. He's a defendant, but he-- \\
10 & yes, but -- your Honor, my objection-- I noted my \\
11 & objection. I'm sure you'll overrule it, but, I would \\
12 & prefer if counsel would just ask him a direct question \\
13 & and let him testify to what he did on the backup, just as \\
14 & he preferred that Chris not testify in any objections \\
15 & yesterday. \\
16 & THE COURT: He is a defendant. Im considering \\
17 & him a hostile witness. Objection on the ground of \\
18 & leading is overruled. \\
19 & As for the compound question objection, yeah, \\
20 & there's a rule you shouldn't be asking compound \\
21 & questions. So, try to keep it discrete points. \\
22 & Should we have that read? \\
23 & MR. AMER: I think there is an answer, though. \\
24 & THE COURT: I think there was, also. He \\
25 & answered.
\end{tabular}

A Okay. Got it.
Q This chart appears on that page, correct?
A Yes.
Q You'll see under Wall Street frontage, it says:
"Dean \& DeLuca 18,500 square feet contract rent 1.4 million. Contract rents per square foot 75.68 . You see that?
A Yes.
Q So, in fact, this Cushman appraisal does include the income from the Dean \& DeLuca lease, right?
A I can't make that statement. I didn't track this schedule back to the appraisal. So, I can't say yes or no.

Q Did you see this chart and make note of it when you reviewed the appraisal?
A No.
Q Let's go to page 115. I'll wait for you to get
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\section*{there.}

A I'm there.
Q Second chart says: "Retail tenants." See on line 17 it says: "Dean \& DeLuca." See that?

A Yes.
Q Doesn't that indicate that this appraisal includes
the operating income from the Dean \& DeLuca lease?
A Again, I didn't go through this appraisal and try everything out. So, I can't answer the question.

Q Well, you did a valuation on the assumption, as we just agreed, that the Cushman appraisal didn't include the Dean \& DeLuca lease, right?

A That's correct.
Q This page shows that there's a reference to the Dean \& DeLuca lease, right?

A There is a reference to the Dean \& DeLuca lease, yes.

Q Did you make note of this chart when you were reviewing the 2015 appraisal?

A No.
Q Let's go to page 117. Let's do -- so, the bottom paragraph carrying over to the next page: "In addition to office space, the subject property is leased to four retail tenants that include Dean \& DeLuca."

You see that?

1 A Yes.
\(2 \quad\) Q It goes on to say that "Dean \& DeLuca leases 15,500 square feet on the grade." You see that?

A Yes.
Q So, this appraisal includes the income on the Dean \& DeLuca lease, doesn't it?

A Again, I didn't go through and track all of this. I can't make the statement yes or no.

Q Okay, but, you did a valuation in 2015 that was based on the assumption that the Cushman appraisal did not include the Dean \& DeLuca lease?

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Asked and answered.
THE COURT: Was it asked and answered?
MR. AMER: I'll withdraw the question.
Q You missed this reference in the Cushman appraisal; is that what you're saying?

A I'm saying -- yes.
Q Let's go to page 136. See the paragraph that says: "Market rental rate retail space? This-- "The subject property is leased to four retail tenants that include Dean \& DeLuca." See that?

A Yes.
Q Did you miss that, as well?
A Yes.
Q Can we agree, Mr. McConney, that by adding the income
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counted the Dean \& DeLuca lease income. Isn't that the practical effect of what you did in your valuation?

A Without looking at all this information and see how it tracks from point A to point B within the appraisal, I can't tell you if it's included or not.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, again, on math you can check but I will just represent that if you back out the \(\$ 1,406,000\) from the additional value that's then divided by the 3.04 percent cap rate.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Let him finish.
MR. AMER: It equals 46,250,000.
MS. HABBA: Your Honor, objection. Counsel is testifying.

THE COURT: Well, again, as often in this case, there's no jury. So, there's no prejudice. I'll take judicial notice of that, subject to a careful calculation again.
Q Mr. McConney, if you want to confirm this by looking at Exhibit 868, which was your e-mail to Mr. Bender with excerpts from the 2015 Cushman appraisal, none of the four pages that we just looked at that mention the Dean \& DeLuca lease from the Cushman appraisal are included in what you sent Mr. Bender, correct?

A Can you please give me those page numbers again?
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from the lease from Dean \& DeLuca into your valuation that you double counted the Dean \& DeLuca income in your valuation? MR. SUAREZ: Objection, your Honor. Mr. McConney is not a valuation expert. He's not offered as a valuation expert.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A Sorry. Can you ask me the question again?
Q Can we agree that by including the Dean \& DeLuca
lease in your additional value that you added to the 540 from the Cushman appraisal, that you, effectively, double counted the Dean \& DeLuca lease income in your valuation? A Okay. I will say again that I didn't track all the information in the appraisal. So, I can't answer that question.
Q Well, I think you can answer the question, Mr. McConney. So, I'm going to ask you to please answer it. The question is: Based on what we just looked at, wouldn't you agree that by including in your additional value the Dean \& DeLuca lease that you double counted the income from that lease?
A I did not track the information on this appraisal from A to B to C. I can't answer that question.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, he's not answering the question I'm asking him. It's a simple question.
Q Based on what we've just looked at, you double

Q Sure. They are page 137.
A What page of the appraisal? Sorry. 110?
Q It's not that many pages. You can just flip through it and confirm that the chart is not there?
A I understand that, but, I'm saying on the bottom of page 136 -- when I sent it to Mr. Bender, page 136 of 219 was not on the document I sent to Mr. Bender.
Q 137 is Bates number 9451 ?
A That is CW. This is New York AG. On the upper right-hand corner, you have page number page 111. That's what I'm looking for.

Q Let's do it more simply. Let's flip through the exhibit.

A I am.
Q And confirm -- okay.
MS. HABBA: Counsel, I think he has a point. If you look at the top right, Mr. Amer, if you can just give him the number.

MR. AMER: I've asked my question. Thanks. MS. HABBA: I'm just trying to help.
A Can you ask the question one more time?
Q Can you confirm that none of the four pages that reference the Dean \& DeLuca lease in the Cushman appraisal were included in the excerpts you sent to Mr. Bender in Exhibit 868 ?

\section*{A Yes.}
(Continued on following page...)

\section*{Q Thank you. That wasn't that hard.}

Okay. Let's -- let me ask you, just, we have discussed a couple of mistakes and oversights. We talked about the 30000 -square-foot-triplex mistake; correct?

A Yes.
Q And we talked about your mistake in pulling the square footage for a comp at 157 , instead of the price per square foot. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Objection, your Honor. He did not
testify to having made a mistake.
THE COURT: He just said he did.
MR. SUAREZ: No. He just -- it's in his
question. It wasn't in his testimony from yesterday. He is mischaracterizing the testimony from yesterday.

MR. AMER: I think yesterday he said it was a mistake. He pulled the wrong number from the email.

THE COURT: He just said he did. Or am I hearing things?
Q So, you have that second mistake, and then you have just testified that you missed seeing the Dean \& DeLuca reference in the Cushman appraisal; right?

A Yes.
Q I may have missed it, but do you recall making any
mistakes that caused the valuing to go down instead of up?

\section*{J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer}

A In general, over the 20 years, or --
Q During the period that you were working on the valuations.

A Yes.
Q What mistake did you make that caused the valuation to go down?

A I recall one on the cash sheet, or the cash spreadsheet we looked at with the Vornado cash on it. One year I included Mr. Trump's foundation cash, Mr. Trump's foundation's cash on that spreadsheet. Donald Bender said I can't do that, it's not controlled by Mr. Trump, it's controlled by the foundation, so I took that off.

I believe on Trump Tower, once, we were valuing vacant space at a dollar amount, and Mr. Bender pointed out that we used a different dollar amount on a certiorari proceeding, and so we reduced the amount of my spreadsheet to the amount that he indicated on the certiorari proceeding? Can I just go back to the first mistake.

Q You said Donald Bender said you can't include cash from Mr. Trump's foundation because it's not controlled by him. Is that your testimony?
A I don't remember the exact words, but he had us -- he told me to take the cash from the foundation off that spreadsheet.

Q And he told you it was because Mr. Trump didn't
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control that cash; right?
A He gave me - I believed it to be, Mr. Trump really didn't control that cash anymore.

Q But you continued to list the Vornado cash; right?
A Yes.
Q Why don't we turn to Park Avenue, Trump Park Avenue.
MR. AMER: And let's put up the 2012 Jeff
Supporting Data, which is Exhibit 793 in evidence.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
MR. AMER: Let's go to row 166.
Q Now, this shows, Mr. McConney, a value for the unsold units at Trump Park Avenue; correct?

A Yes. Well, except for the super's apartment. The super's apartment was sold.

Q And for 2011 and 2012, it's the same value. It's a little over \$293 million; correct?

A Correct. Excuse me. Correct.
MR. AMER: Let's pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1073. It's an email that has native files attached to it.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I won't raise objections to documents that have already been admitted over our objection. Obviously, you would not be happy with me if I did that. But, we do object to this document that's being
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & ey - Direct by Mr. Amer Page 705 \\
\hline & introduced right now, on the basis of statute of \\
\hline 2 & limitations. \\
\hline 3 & AMER: And I'll move it into evidence, so \\
\hline 4 & there's something he can object to. \\
\hline 5 & THE COURT: Objection overruled. It's in \\
\hline 6 & ence. The statute of limitations bars claims, not \\
\hline 7 & idence. Of course, they have to be connected, and we'll \\
\hline 8 & see whether Mr. Amer connected the dots or not, and his \\
\hline 9 & ea \\
\hline 10 & (Whereupon, the exhibit was received in \\
\hline 11 & dence.) \\
\hline 12 & Q Mr. McConney, this email from you forwards an \\
\hline 13 & attachment that's entitled sponsor unit valuation, September 20, \\
\hline 14 & 2012, Park Avenue; correct? \\
\hline 15 & MR. AMER: We'll put up the attachments in a \\
\hline 6 & minute. \\
\hline 17 & A Oh. There it is. Yes. The attachments. I was \\
\hline 18 & looking at the subject. Sorry. \\
\hline 19 & Q Okay. Is that correct? \\
\hline 20 & A I'm sorry. Could you ask again? \\
\hline 21 & Q Sure. The top email from you attaches a sponsor unit \\
\hline 22 & valuation; correct? \\
\hline 23 & A Yes. \\
\hline 24 & Q Okay. And let's go ahead and pull up the attachment. \\
\hline & Do you recognize this as the backup for your valuation of \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{correct?}

A Correct.
Q And if we put this -- so --
MR. AMER: Withdrawn.
Q The offering plan price is roughly \(\$ 57\) million higher than the market value total; correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, let's go to Plaintiff's 796.
(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. McConney, do you recognize this as backup that you sent to Donald Bender in support of the supporting data spreadsheet?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move this into evidence, please.

MR. SUAREZ: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: I thought someone said you are only going to do that once.

MR. SUAREZ: No. I said I wouldn't do it for exhibits that have been moved into evidence, but they are bringing up with new witnesses.

THE COURT: All right. Duly noted. Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was received in
J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer
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unsold apartments?
A Yes.
Q And 502 Park Avenue, that's Trump Park Avenue; correct?

A Yes.
Q And there are three columns in this backup. There's
Unit, which is the unit number of the apartment; is that right?
A Yes.
Q There's an offering plan price; correct?
A Yes.
Q And there's a current market value price column; correct?

A Yes.
Q We can agree that the value that you used in your supporting data spreadsheet that we were just looking at is the 293 figure from the offering plan price column; correct?

A Correct.
Q And that was what you used for both 2011 and 2012; correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q And is this spreadsheet prepared by the TIR sales office in order to give you valuations for the unsold units?

A Yes.
Q This is information that you asked TIR to provide you for preparing your valuation of Park Avenue for the statements;
J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer
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evidence.)
Q Mr. McConney, looking at what you sent Mr. Bender. It appears that you sent him a column that shows the unit number and column that shows the offering plan price, but you have cut off the column that shows the market value; right?

A Yes.
Q So this was not a mistake. You intentionally removed that third column from the material you forwarded to Mr. Bender, on purpose; right?

A Yes.
Q And you removed that column because you didn't want him to see the values that were labeled, current market values; correct?
A No.
THE COURT: That was a yes or no? THE WITNESS: No.
Q The effect of your removing that column was that he didn't see it; right?
A Correct.
MR. AMER: I'm going to put up, from
Exhibit 3041 -- which is the defendants' 202 response -paragraph 344, and if we can do it side by side, eventually, with the exhibit that we were just looking at.

So, let's go to paragraph 344 , which is page 126 , I believe.
J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer Page 709
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q Paragraph 344, which defendants have admitted is that, "In 2013, the following 11 units were stabilized," and then you see there's a list of units; correct?

A Yes.
Q And we can agree that if a unit was rent stabilized in 2011, it was rent stabilized in 2012 and 20 - I'm sorry. If it was rent stabilized in 2013, it would have been rent stabilized in 2012 and 2011; correct?

MR. SUAREZ: Objection, your Honor. That's a legal conclusion. I don't know that Mr. McConney is a lawyer.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, is there some other way that we can figure out what was rent stabilized and what wasn't?

MR. AMER: There's a paragraph above it. Why don't we use that paragraph. Defendants, in response to an assertion of the units that were rent stabilized in 2011 and 2012, asserted that the following 12 units were rent stabilized as of September 2011, and then there's a list of units. So, if we can take judicial notice of that as an admitted fact; your Honor?
J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer
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THE COURT: Yes. That was admitted by this defendant; right?

MR. AMER: Yes, your Honor.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, the response to 343, actually, is disputed.

MR. AMER: I was reading what comes after, disputed.

MR. SUAREZ: Okay.
THE COURT: All right. So 343 is disputed, but what comes after it is undisputed.

MR. AMER: It's what they assert.
THE COURT: Well -- oh, sorry. That's how those things work. That's an assertion by this defendant.

MR. AMER: Yes.
MS. HABBA: I'm sorry. Just to be clear for the record, are we putting in the entire document, or just this section? I would submit the same response, that we should be putting in the entire document, if that is what --

MR. AMER: I thought I moved the whole document into evidence.

MS. HABBA: It has been moved in? Then I have no objection.

THE COURT: Well --
MS. HABBA: The entire document.
THE COURT: - is it in evidence, the entire
J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer
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document?
MR. AMER: I moved it into evidence. I believe your Honor said yes.

THE COURT: Yes. It's in.
MS. HABBA: Okay. Good.
THE COURT: So, it's already in evidence.
Q Mr. McConney, you were aware that Park Avenue had these rent-stabilized units when you prepared the valuations; correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And if we just tick off, in the sponsor unit spreadsheet for 2012, these 12 units. For 4A, 4A, 6B, 7A, 7B, \(7 \mathrm{D}, 7 \mathrm{E}, 7 \mathrm{G}, 8 \mathrm{E}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 12 \mathrm{E}, 15 \mathrm{AB}\), so all of these units you knew, at the time that you were doing the valuations for this year, were rent stabilized; correct?

A Correct.
Q And you valued them without any regard to their rent-stabilized status; is that correct?

A I didn't value them. TIR valued them. But, I believe that's correct.

Q You put them into your spreadsheet that is goes to Donald Bender; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And the values you relied on when you put them in your spreadsheet and sent them to Donald Bender were the offering

McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer
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plan price values, knowing that these were rent-stabilized units; correct?

A Correct.
Q And you followed that same approach for every statement you reviewed; correct?

A Correct.
Q And it was intentional; correct?
A Yes.
Q And it was something you discussed with
Mr. Weisselberg; correct?
A I believe so, yes.
Q And he agreed that that was the way that you were going to do it; correct?

A Yes.
Q Let's turn to Seven Springs.
MR. AMER: I'm going to put up the 2012 Jeff
Supporting Data, 793, which is in evidence.
Q Row 669, that starts the section on Seven Springs; correct? Well, we'll get there in a second.

That's where it starts; correct?
A 669. Line 669, yes.
Q Okay. And did you understand at the time that the property was in three different localities, New Castle, North Castle and Bedford?

A Yes.

Q Row 683 indicates that there were seven mansions approved to be developed in the Bedford portion; right?

A Yes.
Q Those are valued in the spreadsheet at 23 million per mansion, times seven, for value of 161 million in each year; correct?

A In 2011 and 2012, yes.
Q And row 674 mentions a September 16, 2011 telephone call with someone named Hal Goldman; correct?

A Correct.
Q That was a call you had with Mr. Goldman; right?
A Yes.
Q He was an independent contractor that the company had hired to get through the planning and approval process for the development; correct?

A Yes.
Q And row 679 referenced a call that you had with Eric Trump on September 24, 2012; correct?

A Correct.
Q And the information about the seven mansions being
approved in Bedford was information that was provided to you by Hal Goldman in 2011; right?

A Correct.
Q And it was confirmed to you by Eric Trump in 2012; correct?
J. McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer
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A Correct.
Q And that was conveyed to you in both of those calls; correct?

A I'm sorry. Ask you ask that question again?
Q Sure. The information from Mr. Goldman was conveyed to you in that phone call that is reflected here with him; correct?

A Correct.
Q And the information from Eric Trump was conveyed to you during the phone call with him that's reflected here; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And you were trying to value Seven Springs for the 2012 statement, and you knew Eric Trump was involved with the property, so you asked him if he could give you -- if he could give you a valuation for the statement, or provide some insight into the value; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And Eric Trump confirmed, during the call in September of 2012, that all necessary approvals had been obtained to build the seven homes in Bedford; correct?

A Never asked him that question. So, I guess the answer is no.

Q Okay. Had you heard from Hal Goldman during the call at 2011 that all necessary approvals had been obtained to build
he seven homes in Bedford?
A No.
Q Were you operating under the assumption, in doing this valuation, that the approval for the seven homes had been obtained?

A Sorry. Can you ask that again?
Q Were you operating under the assumption when doing this valuation in these two years that approvals had been obtained, all necessarily approvals had been obtained for these seven homes in Bedford?
A Looking at this now, yes.
Q And did you get that information from Mr. Goldman?
A I would have to go back and say -- and correct myself. He , yes Hal probably gave me that information.

Q And that was confirmed again by Mr. Trump, Eric Trump, in the call the later in the next year?

A I don't remember if I asked Eric that or not.
Q It's fair to say that you didn't learn anything between the 2011 valuation and the 2012 valuation that lead you to conclude differently concerning the approval necessary for those seven homes; right?

A That's correct.
Q Now, as the controller of a large company,
Mr. McConney, with a finance background, you do understand the concept of time value of money; correct?

\section*{McConney - Direct by Mr. Amer}
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A I do not have a finance background. I have an accounting background.

Q As the controller of a large company with an accounting background, you do understand the concept of time value of money; right?

A Yes.
Q The concept is essentially that a dollar paid today is worth more than a dollar paid, say, five years from now, based on inflation; right?

A Yes. Excuse me. Yes.
Q And if you wanted to know how much the dollar that gets paid five years from now would be worth today, you apply a discount factor to determine the present value; correct?

A I'm not a finance guy, so I can't really answer that question.

Q So that concept is not something you understand?
A I understand the concept. I don't know the computation, to get to that point.

Q I'm asking you a much simpler question. As a general matter, if you want to know how much a future payment is going to be worth today, you do something called, apply a discount factor, to get to the present value. Is that something you have an understanding of?

A Not really. I know you have to discount it. I just don't know the exact computation, or where you get the

\section*{percentages from.}

Q Okay. My question wasn't going beyond just the simple concept of having to discount it. So, we agree you have to discount it in order to get to present value; correct?

A Yes.
Q And the concept of discounting future income to present value, that is a very standard accounting practice; isn't it?

A It could be a standard finance practice. I never use it, really.

Q Different question. My question was whether you would agree that it's a standard accounting practice. Yes or no.

A No.
Q It's not. Okay. Am I correct that the value of \(\$ 161\) million for the seven homes in Bedford does not apply any discount factor to take in account how long it would take to construct the infrastructure, build the homes, and then sell the home for \$35 million each?

A That's correct.
Q You didn't factor into the valuation whether the homes were going to be built today, tomorrow, or in a number of years; correct?

A Correct.
Q And if it took five years to complete the homes, and they were sold two years after that, your valuation doesn't
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account for that at all; correct?
A Correct.
Q Can we agree that this \(\$ 161\) million attributable to these seven homes is, by necessity, going to be future income, because the homes don't exist as of the date of these valuations?

A Correct.
Q You have treated, for purposes of this valuation, the profit of \(\$ 23\) million per home for all seven homes as if it were realized immediately, as of June 30 in each year; correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go to the 2014 Jeff Supporting
Data, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 719.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
to the witness.)
Q And row 655, again, the section on Seven Springs -- as soon as we get there.

MR. AMER: I think we have to shift it over to
the left. Great.
(Continued on the next page.)
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Trump on that date, September 2014, where he confirmed you should continue to value the seven mansions in Bedford for the Statement of Financial Condition, the same as you did for 2013, right?
A Yes.
Q As with the prior years, we just discussed your valuation of the seven homes did not factor in whether the homes were built today, tomorrow, or in a number of years in the future, correct?

A Correct.
MR. AMER: Let's go to Jeff Supporting Data for 2015 and let's go to row 699.
Q Now, Mr. McConney for 2014, it has the same valuation calculation for the seven mansions that we spoke about before, correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And there is a reference for the June 30, 2014 value, per telephone conversation with Eric Trump, September 12, 2014, correct?
A Correct.
Q And that note reflects that you had a phone call.
That's the same phone call we just spoke about, correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay. I noticed that there's no value for 2015 and that's per the note that says: "Conservation easement
property moved to other assets." You see that?
A Yes.
Q So, if we want to find the valuation for Seven
Springs for 2015, we have to go to the section of the
spreadsheet that addresses other assets, correct?
A Correct.
Q Let's go to row 895. Row 895, we can see Seven
Springs per easement and the value now drops down to 56 million, correct?
A Yes.
Q That's pursuant to the easement donation?
A Yes.
Q Let me ask you a few questions to get a basic understanding of a conservation easement donation. You understood, at the time, that a conservation easement donation is where the owner of the property agrees to donate rights to develop the land, and the value of those rights is then taken as a tax deduction; is that right?
A I've got a peripheral knowledge of easement. So, yes, I know the land is donated; and, yes, I know there's a charitable contribution taken on the tax returns.

Q When you say the "land is donated," isn't it that the development rights on the land are donated?
A I have a limited knowledge of it, so I don't know exactly what's donated.
J. McConney - Direct/Amer
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THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice, the land is not donated, just certain rights.
Q In order to get the tax deduction for a conservation easement donation, you need an appraisal that values the property before and after the donation.

Is that consistent with your understanding?
A I don't know that.
Q Are you aware that Seven Springs obtained an appraisal for the purpose of making the easement donation?

A Yes.
Q That's the appraisal value, the before value of the property. That is before the donation at the \(\$ 56\) million figure that you put in your valuation, correct?

A Correct.
Q You used the before value as opposed to the after donation value because as of the valuation date, the donation had not yet been made, correct?

A I believe that's correct, yes.
Q So, the \(\$ 56\) million is actually the value put on the property by the appraiser before the donation of development rights, correct?

A Before the donation, yes. I don't know what they donated.
Q So, before donating anything, the appraiser valued it as 56 million, whereas, you have been valuing it, before any

\section*{donation, at 161 million, correct?}

A Correct.
Q You understood it was correct to use the before value rather than the after value because, as of this valuation date, the development rights had not yet been donated, correct?

\section*{A I believe that's correct.}

MR. AMER: If we can just put up the Seven
Springs demonstrative PDX-3.9, just to look at the values.
Q So, we have the statement values and, by the way, the 161 million, just to clarify, that was just the value of the seven homes in Bedford, correct?

A Seven homes. I don't remember in which of the three areas they're located.
Q The statement values here are much higher than 161 from 2011 through 2014 because they include other parts of the property, correct?

A Correct.
Q Then the value drops to 56 million in 2015 because you now have the appraisal in your hand that gives you that as the before appraised value, correct?

A Correct.
Q So, you deemed it appropriate to use an appraised value, correct?
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1 A Yes.
2 Q And just so we're clear, the additional value in the 3 prior years come from the mansions on the property, right?

A Yes.
Q And the next year, 2016, that is now after the
conservation easement donation, correct?
A Correct.
Q So, you then use 35.4 million because that's the after appraised value, correct?

A After the donation, yes.
Q So, the value of the development rights, according to the appraiser, is the difference between 56 million and 35.4 million, correct?

A I don't know. Development rights, I don't remember exactly what the difference is. I can tell you the difference is \(\$ 21\) million before and after the donation.
\(Q\) Okay. So, the value of the donation is the
\$21 million, correct?
A Correct.
Q What's being donated are the development rights, correct?
A I'll take your word for that.
Q Okay. It's not 161 million, is it?
A What isn't 161 million?
Q The value of the development rights. The appraiser
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline & did not value the development rights at any amount more than \\
\hline 2 & \$21 million; isn't that right? \\
\hline 3 & A That's correct. \\
\hline 4 & MR. AMER: I'm ready to move on to the golf \\
\hline 5 & clubs. I don't know where we are in terms of when we \\
\hline 6 & want to take a break. I'll keep going. I'm just going \\
\hline 7 & to a new subject. \\
\hline 8 & THE COURT: We can go until 11:30. \\
\hline 9 & MR. AMER: Okay. \\
\hline 10 & Q Let's talk about the golf club valuations. Why don't \\
\hline 11 & we go ahead and look at the 2011 Jeff Supporting Data \\
\hline 12 & spreadsheet which is Exhibit 788; and, let's go to line 264. \\
\hline 13 & This is the valuation for Briarcliff Manor, \\
\hline 14 & correct? \\
\hline 15 & A It's-- that's the line that's highlighted, yes. \\
\hline 16 & Q This is where that begins, correct? \\
\hline 17 & A That's correct. \\
\hline 18 & Q One component of the Briarcliff value, based on your \\
\hline 19 & valuation, is comprised of nonrefundable membership fees; is \\
\hline 20 & that right? \\
\hline 21 & A That's correct. \\
\hline 22 & Q And column G includes your numbers to value \\
\hline 23 & Briarcliff for 2011, right? \\
\hline 24 & A Yes. \\
\hline 25 & Q The first set of numbers, which are in rows 280 to \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

284, that reflects the value of nonrefundable memberships for 2011, right?

A Can you ask that question again?
Q Sure. Rows 280 to 284 have the value that you calculated for the nonrefundable memberships for 2011, right?

A Correct.
Q Line 266 states that there were 67 memberships available as of June 30, 2011; is that right?

A That is correct.
Q You get this information from the golf clubs. They send you back this information, right?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q Line 268, your note states: "Current membership asking price 150,000 . Getting \(150,000\). Correct?

A Yes.
Q That's also information you're getting from people who work at the golf course, correct?
A I believe that's correct, yes.
Q But when you value the memberships available for sale, as I read this, you only value 20 of the 67 memberships at 150,000 . Right?
A That's correct.
Q And you value another 47 memberships at prices ranging from \(\$ 175,000\) all the way up to \(\$ 250,000\), correct? A Correct.

Q Was the use of the higher price for memberships from 175,000 to 250,000 just your speculation as to what amount a membership might get in the future?
A There was a-- based on a conversation I had with Allen Weisselberg, who was more familiar with the golf courses, and these were his estimates.
Q It wasn't based on anything you heard from the people who worked at the golf course, right?
A I believe that's correct, yes.
Q The nonrefundable membership fees are amounts you're expecting someone to pay in the future, right?

A Yes.
Q Line 275 shows that for 2010, the prior year, there were 69 memberships, correct?
A Memberships available for sale, yes.
Q So, between 2010 and 2011, there were only two memberships that were sold, correct?
A Correct.
Q And would you agree with me that at that pace, it would take about 30 years to sell all these memberships?

A Using that pace, yes.
Q Now, when you listed the number of memberships to be sold at each price point, you did not do any estimate as to how far out it would take into the future to actually sell all those memberships; isn't that right?
J. McConney - Direct/Amer
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A That's correct.
2

Q You did not perform any discounted analysis to determine the present value of the income that you would -that the company would receive from the sale of these memberships in the future, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Let's look at the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition, which is Exhibit 787 in evidence. Let's go to page ten. This provides, under club facilities and related real estate, a description -- this club facilities and related real estate, this relates to the golf clubs, right?

A The golf clubs and Mar-a-Lago, yes.
Q There's a description that appears that says:
"Entities wholly owned by Mr. Trump have acquired certain properties for the purposes of developing"-- "for the purpose of developing them into club facilities. Several of these clubs will also contain residential units that they will sell.
The estimated current value of \(1,314,600,000\) is based on an
assessment of the cash flow that is expected to be derived from club options. The sale of residential units after subtracting the estimated costs to be incurred or recent sales of properties in a similar location."

You see that? It says that?
A Yes.
Q That assessment was prepared by Mr. Trump working in
J. McConney - Direct/Amer
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1
conjunction with his associates and outside professionals?
2 \(\quad\) A \(\quad\) Yes.

correct?
A Correct.
Q What you used is a method that includes just income from selling memberships, right?

A It's a little more than that. You had the income from the memberships. You had the sale of the mid-rise units. You had the value for the clubhouse. If you could scroll up, please.

There were receivables on membership deposits and from operations from the food, dues, whatever. There were a few other items included.

Q Let me see if I can shortcut this. You disclosed in the statement three different alternative methods for valuing clubs, correct?

A Correct.
Q We agree the third method is not relevant. You didn't use it, correct?

A Correct.
Q You used the second method based on the 31 units, right?

A Correct.
Q There is no attempt at the first method, which is cash flow from operations, and, instead, you use a different method that just includes income from selling memberships, right?
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\section*{A Correct.}
(Continued on following page...)

Q So, anybody reading this statement and this description would have no understanding that estimated current value includes, as a component, selling memberships, without a more complete assessment of cash flow; right?

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Speculating as to what
other people reading the statement would know.
THE COURT: Do you want to phrase it slightly
differently?
MR. AMER: Sure.
Q There's nothing in this paragraph containing the three alternate methods disclosed that indicates you have based the valuation on simply taking membership sales and including that in the value; right?

A Not specifically, no.
Q And an assessment of cash flow from operations would include looking at things such as payroll; right?

A Yes.
Q And taxes; right?
A Yes.
Q And repairs and upkeep and maintenance of the golf course; right?

A Yes.
Q And that's nowhere in your valuation analysis; right?
A For this property, no.
Q Let's look at how you value Briarcliff in 2012.
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MR. AMER: And we can pull up the 2012 Jeff
Supporting Data, Plaintiff's 793, and let's go to line 258 [sic].
Q And line 287 [sic] shows your valuation of Briarcliff jumped from 68.7 million in 2011 to 99.5 million, roughly, in 2012; correct?

A Yes.
Q And that's an increase of almost \$31 million; right?
A Yes.
Q And line 285 shows that your projected profit from the sale of the future residential units remained the same from the prior year; correct?

A Yes.
Q And so, all of your responses about how you calculated that would be the same for this year, correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And lines 273 to 275 show very little change in additional value from 2011 to 2012, based on listed membership receivables; right?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q So, almost the entire increase, close to \(\$ 30\) million, is attributable to your change in methodology, to using something called fixed assets; right?

A Correct.
Q Let's look at 2013, and that's Jeff Supporting Data

708, and let's look at lines 253 to 270 ?
THE COURT: Ten-minute warning.
Q Mr. McConney, in 2013, for the development of the units, the value jumped from 25.1 million to 101 million and change; correct?

A Yes.
Q And you increased the value of the Briarcliff development in that amount based on a September 25th, 2013, telephone conversation with Eric Trump; correct?

A Correct.
Q And this increase was based on the sale of 71 midrise units, approved but put on hold; correct?

A Correct.
Q So the number of units increased from 31 to 71; is that right?

A I'm not too sure. If you show me the other spreadsheet with the number -- I just don't remember.

Q Okay. We don't have to go back. But at any rate, it's based on an increase in the number of units to 71; correct?

A To 71 .
Q To, T-O, 71; correct?
A Yes.
Q And your information about the number of units came from Eric Trump in that call; right?

A Yes.

Q And he also, by the way, told you that the project was put on hold; right?

A Yes.
Q But you're still accounting for the profit from those 71 midrise units that were put on hold, as if it's immediately realized as of June 30,2013 ; correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Now, let's look at Exhibit 205.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)

MR. AMER: Your Honor, this is an appraisal by Cushman \& Wakefield of the Briarcliff club, and I move that this be admitted me into.

MR. SUAREZ: We have an objection, both based on the statute of limitations and on hearsay.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, this was an appraisal that the Trump Organization obtained.

THE COURT: It comes in, not for the truth of its contents, but for what the Trump Organization knew or thought, or whatever information they had.

MR. AMER: It's also an admission against interests, your Honor. It's their appraisal. Their agent. They hired Cushman to do the appraisal for them, and therefore it's their appraisal.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, it was sent to Sheri
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline 1 & Dillon at Bingham McCutchen. It's a Cushman \& Wakefield \\
\hline 2 & Bates stamped out of Trump Organization Bates documents. \\
\hline 3 & There's certainly no testimony that Mr. McConney has seen \\
\hline 4 & it yet. Cushman is not here. \\
\hline 5 & THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that part, \\
\hline 6 & yet. \\
\hline 7 & MR. AMER: Just so you know, your Honor, just so \\
\hline 8 & you are aware, Bingham McCutchen were counsel to the Trump \\
\hline 9 & Organization. Their lawyers. \\
\hline 10 & THE COURT: And that makes it an admission \\
\hline 11 & against interests? \\
\hline 12 & MR. AMER: Yes. It's a document created by their \\
\hline 13 & agent. \\
\hline 14 & THE COURT: The point of the hearsay exception \\
\hline 15 & for an admission against interests is, you won't say \\
\hline 16 & something that will hurt you. When this was drafted, put \\
\hline 17 & together, whatever you call it, it wasn't necessarily going \\
\hline 18 & to hurt them. So, I'm only letting it in to show what \\
\hline 19 & the -- and I think that's all you need, unless I'm missing \\
\hline 20 & something -- to show what the defendants knew or had access \\
\hline 21 & to. There's nothing about the appraisal itself that hurts \\
\hline 22 & them. But, you can tell me otherwise. Go ahead. Why? \\
\hline 23 & MR. AMER: Because it comes up with a value \\
\hline 24 & that's much lower than the value that they used. \\
\hline 25 & THE COURT: Well, that's my point. You want to \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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show that it's lower than the value that they used, but the fact that internally - I'm sorry. I just still don't see it, but it's in for the purpose that I think you need.

MR. AMER: Okay.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was received in evidence.)
Q 2014, the Trump Organization sought to value a potential easement over the five-acre development site. That was the site for the 71 housing units; correct?

A I have no knowledge of that.
Q Were you unaware of the appraisal?
A I knew there was an appraisal, but I don't know what it was for. I -- sorry. I knew it was for a conservation easement, but I don't know the details of the appraisal.

Q Are you aware of the value that the appraisal came to for the 71-unit development?

A I don't believe so.
Q If we turn to page two of the exhibit, this is the cover letter that the appraiser sends to Bingham McCutchen. Did you understand that they were the Trump Organization's counsel for purposes of this easement donation?

A Yes.
Q If we turn to the third page, you see it says the value of the easement donations is \(43.4-43.3\) million; correct?

A I see its value of 43.3.
Q It says market value of conservation easement; correct?

A Correct.
Q And as we discussed before, these type of appraisals, you have a before value and after value, and you subtract the two, and that's the value of the development rights being donated?

THE COURT: Subtract one from the other. You don't subtract the two.

MR. AMER: Yes. One from the other, your Honor.
Thank you.
Q Correct?
A Correct.
Q And so, the value of the development rights related to the 71 units, according to this professionally-done appraisal, is \(\$ 43.3\) million; correct?

A Again, I don't know what this appraisal was for, so it's hard for me to comment.

Q I thought you were aware. So, you were not aware that there was an appraisal done for an easement donation?

A I know there was easement donation. I am pretty sure I knew there was an appraisal, but I don't think I was involved in this.
Q And in 2014, according to the Jeff Supporting

\section*{spreadsheet, which is Exhibit 719 --}

MR. AMER: Can we put that up?
Q You continue to value the --
A This is Westchester.
Q Yes.
A I'm sorry.
Q Yes. We need to go back to Briarcliff. Sorry.
You continue to value the Briarcliff 71 midrise units
in 2014 at \(\$ 101\) million, even though there an appraisal that the company has that says 43.3 ; correct.
A Again, the appraisal is appraising -- I'm not too sure what it appraises. We did complete the same 101 million valuation for the 71 midrise units.

Q Well, let's look at page two of the appraisal, and let's look at the third paragraph. You will see it says the owner of the subject golf club is planning on donating a conservation easement over approximately 5 acres of the property. This is a development site entitled with 71 attached housing units. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q So, that's what this appraisal relates to; right? The 71 units that you value in your spreadsheet?

THE COURT: Hold on.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, again, there's no
foundation. I believe the ruling from the Court was that
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THE COURT: Let's pick up from that interesting issue from where we left off. I want to first make sure I understand the context of the dispute.

The Trump Organization, through agent, through a third party, commissioned appraisal. The plaintiff wants the appraisal in, both for the truth of its contents and to show notice. I already said I'm allowing to show whatever notice there is but not for the truth of its content because that's what hearsay is for. Hearsay rule.

However, if plaintiff wants to pursue this, wants to admit it for the truth of its contents, pursuant to some hearsay exception, if you say you want to do this, I'll ask both sides whether you want to submit two-page memos by, two-page memos by next week or Tuesday or something. Monday is a holiday.

MR. AMER: Let me confer with my colleagues to see if we need it for the truth of the matter asserted or notice is sufficient. If we want it for the truth of the matter asserted, we'll submit it.

THE COURT: And the other side can oppose or -when will you consult with your colleagues?

MR. AMER: Later today.
MR. SUAREZ: I don't want to keep popping up during the witness's testimony but if the witness is

1 it was not admitted for the truth of the matter asserted.

\section*{Saved by the bell. We'll address it on the way back.}

As usual, we are going to take a ten-minute break that will take 15 minutes.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
change, correct?
A Yes.
Q So, we're all oriented, the appraisal we were looking at, that's Exhibit 205 admitted for purposes of notice, was from the letter, cover letter to Ms. Dillon -- if you want to put it up -- is dated April 18, 2014, correct?

A Correct.
Q So, certainly, by the time you're doing your
valuation for June 30, 2015, this appraisal was done, right?
A Yes.
Q You were aware there was an appraisal, correct?
A Correct.
Q And is it your testimony you didn't ask to see the appraisal?

A Yes.
Q It didn't matter to you for purposes of your valuation; is that what you're saying?

A Yes.
Q The original -- the value for 2014 was based on the note in 272, row 272 of the spreadsheet. This September 25, 2013 telephone conversation, you had with Eric Trump, correct?
A I'm sorry. I was reading something. Can you just ask that again?

Q Sure. The value in 2014, that you put on your spreadsheet, was based on a telephone conversation with Eric
\begin{tabular}{l|l|l|}
\hline J. McConney - Driect/Amer \\
1 & Page 745 \\
1 & Trump on September 25, 2013, as indicated in line 272, right? \\
2 & A & That's correct. \\
3 & Q & The value remaining the same in 2015 was based on a \\
4 & telephone conversation that you had with Eric Trump in \\
5 & November 17th - on November 17, 2015, where Eric Trump said \\
6 & to you, "leave value as is," correct? \\
7 & A \(\quad\) Correct. \\
8 & Q & So, notwithstanding the fact that there was this \\
9 & appraisal, Eric Trump told you to leave the value as is, \\
10 & correct? \\
11 & A \(\quad\) Correct. \\
12 & Q I'd like to see if we could shorten this. So, I'll \\
13 & just make a statement to you; and, if you're uncomfortable, we \\
14 & can go through all of the spreadsheets; but, the spreadsheets \\
15 & for 2016, 2017, and 2018 continue to use the same \$101 million \\
16 & value for the mid-rise units and all attributed to this phone \\
17 & call with Mr. Trump that you should leave the value as is. \\
18 & Is that consistent with your recollection? \\
\hline 19 & MR. SUAREZ: We object to the grouping together \\
\hline 20 & of different years for purposes of testimony. If there's \\
21 & a specific question about specific years in specific \\
22 & spreadsheets, that should be the question. Not lumping \\
23 & them altogether. \\
24 & THE COURT: Im allowing the lumping together. \\
25 & Objection overruled. Let's be clear. He's asking about
\end{tabular}

1
million and change and it's attributable, in your note on line 312, to your conversation with Eric Trump, leave value as is, correct?

A Yes, but, I'd like to make the statement that between June of '16 and June of '17, Patrick Birney was taking over the Statement of Financial Condition. I don't think I was involved in the June of ' 18 statement.

Q Okay.
A So --
Q Looking at the control spreadsheet, though, that is what is being indicated as the source for keeping the value the same in 2018, correct?

A That's correct.
Q It's your phone call, right? It's your phone call with Eric Trump?

A I had the phone call back in 2015, yes.
Q Okay. I want to switch topics with respect to golf courses. It is correct that you included in your valuation of some of the golf clubs starting in 2013 a 30 percent grand premium, correct?

A Yes.
Q The inclusion of that grand premium was something that you and Allen Weisselberg decided to do, right?

A Yes.
Q At some point after adopting that 30 percent premium,
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you changed it to a 15 percent premium, but, it was still a premium that was added to the same golf clubs, correct?

A Yes.
Q Was that change to 15 percent also something that you and Mr. Weisselberg decided to do together?

A Yes.
Q If we could pull up the 2013 statement, it's Exhibit 707 in evidence -- 708.

Exhibit 707 in evidence, the Statement of
Financial Condition, if we go to page six where it says "basis of presentation."

The bottom paragraph that begins: "Pursuant to GAAP." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q The last sentence of that paragraph says: "The goodwill attached to the Trump name has significant financial value that has not been reflected in the preparation of this financial statement."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q That sentence is in every one of the statements under "basis of presentation" from 2011 to 2021; isn't that right?

A I can -- I believe up until 2016/2017 it was there. I really wasn't involved after that.

Q Okay. So, you can confirm that, at least from 2011
to 2016 or ' 17 , this sentence appears in the "basis of presentation" section, correct?
A Yes.
Q And every year that you were, from 2013 forward, that
you were adding the 30 percent premium or the 15 percent
premium, you were aware that this sentence was contained in
the "basis of presentation" section of the statement, correct?
A Correct.
Q Am I correct that the Trump Organization in 2012
shifted away from valuing golf clubs based on projected income from future membership sales, like we saw with Briarcliff, and started to use something known as a fixed assets approach?
A We changed at a point in time. All the golf courses that were using that valuation method changed at the same time. I'm getting to it.

So, if the statement, the spreadsheet we were looking at before --I don't remember the years when we switched. So, if you look at Briarcliff when we switched, I can give you an answer.
Q I think it's just enough to get your confirmation that at some point you switched from using an approach that valued the sale of memberships to a fixed assets approach, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Every year that you use the fixed assets approach for
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a particular golf club, you'd ask the controller at each golf club for the value of the club's fixed assets and then you'd enter that in your spreadsheet, correct?
A Correct.
Q I'd like to look at the valuation for Jupiter, and, that's a course that the Trump Organization purchased in 2013; is that right?
A It purchased it. I'm not sure -- I don't remember the exact year.
Q Let's go ahead and put up the 2013 supporting spreadsheet in native, I think it's 708 . It's in evidence.

If we go to row 462, this is the row that starts the valuation for Jupiter, correct?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q You'll see it has on line 465, value of fixed assets, correct?
A Correct.
Q So, for Jupiter, at least in 2013, you're using the fixed assets approach, right?
A Yes.
Q And am I correct that under the fixed assets
approach, you included, in the purchase price of the club, that you then included, as part of the value of the fixed assets, the amount of liability associated with refundable membership deposits?
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Jupiter and the attachment is Jupiter purchase. You see that? A Yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this exhibit be admitted with the attachment.

MR. SUAREZ: We object on the basis of the Statute of limitations.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, said exhibit was received in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3055)
Q Let's pull up the attachment, which is Exhibit 3055, native one, and, you'll see it says: "Jupiter Golf Club, LLC allocation of purchase price."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q This is the backup for calculating the purchase price for purposes of using it in the fixed assets valuation for this club, correct?

A This is the journal entry that was booked to show however the purchase price was allocated. So, it could be
fixed assets, goodwill. It could be allocated to a number of places.

Q But you're using this for purposes of doing your valuation for the fixed assets basis for the Jupiter Club, correct?
25
A I'm sorry. Can you ask that question one more time?
MR. AMER: Sure. Actually, let's back up.
Q We looked at membership sales and those were nonrefundable memberships, correct?
A Correct.
Q There was something different called refundable membership deposits, right?
A At one time, yes, and, I guess, they still exist, to some extent.
Q Right. Those are deposits that members make that at some point in the future they may be entitled to get back; is that right?
A That's correct.
Q And am I correct that the refundable membership deposits are different from the nonrefundable membership fees, that those refundable membership deposits were for Jupiter included in the purchase price of the club for purposes of valuing the fixed assets number?
A Part of them, yes.
Q Let's take a look at how that worked, and I'm going to put up plaintiff's Exhibit 3055.

Mr. McConney, the first page is an e-mail. The second page is a slip sheet for the attachment that we're going to pull up in a minute, and, this is an e-mail that you sent to a gentleman by the name of Frank Lucas. It's about
        A Yes.

Q What this shows is, on line five, cash of \(\$ 5\) million. You see that?

A Yes.
Q That was the actual amount of money that was paid to purchase Jupiter?
A I don't remember, off the top of my head, but, I believe so.

Q Then there's a line item on line six called Member
Deposit Liability Assumed, and it's over \$41 million, correct?
A Correct.
Q Then there are closing costs that are included and you get a total purchase price of over \(\$ 46\) million, correct?

A Correct.
Q So, let me just understand what's happening here in calculating this purchase price. You have \(\$ 5\) million in cash and you're assuming a liability to repay to members their deposits of over \(\$ 41\) million and then you add closing costs and you get to the purchase price; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q So, this calculation of the purchase price, assumes that the member deposit liability will have to be repaid at face value, correct?
A Correct. Can I clarify one thing? Face value being the amount that the member gave the club, right.

Q Correct?
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A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, if we could go to-- and this purchase price, by the way, including the member deposit liability at face value, is what you plug into your fixed assets
calculation for the valuation of Jupiter in the statement, right?

A Can you ask that again?
Q Sure. The purchase price that we're looking at of over 46 million, is what you include for the purchase price in the fixed assets calculation for the statement, right?

\section*{A Yes.}
(Continued on following page...)

Q Now, let's go to the 2013 statement, which is Exhibit 707, and let's go to page 15.

And this is the description for Jupiter; right?
MR. AMER: If you just scroll down, so we can see the heading.
Q See Jupiter?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So, let's look at that second paragraph.
A Wait, something -- I'm looking at Doral. Sorry.
Q That's okay. Are you there?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Second paragraph says, "One condition of membership was the contribution of a non-interest-bearing deposit that does not require repayment until certain terms are met, and then only upon the member's resignation. The fact that Mr. Trump will have the use of these funds for that period, without cost, and that the source of repayment will most likely be a replacement membership, has lead him to value this liability at zero."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q It goes on to say, through 9/30/2013, these deposits amounted to \(\$ 41\) million; correct?

A Correct.
Q So if I understand what's happening here, you are
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including the value of the membership deposits as a liability that increases the purchase price of the club to the tune of \(\$ 41\) million; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And at the same time, you are representing, or the statement is representing, that those liabilities are being valued at zero; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And there is no entry on the liability side of the statement that would indicate any obligation to repay these membership deposits; is that right?

A The liability side of which statement?
Q The Statement of Financial Condition has assets and liabilities; right?

A Correct.
Q And there's no entry on the liability side on the statement that indicates any obligation to repay these membership deposits; correct?

A There's no amount reflected. That's correct. THE COURT: Let me jump in a second. I'm the one that has to understand everything.

Estimated current value, what would it sell for, basically, willing buyer, willing seller, the fixed assets approach, I think, is how much did it cost us to buy this thing and fix it up, develop it, whatever, and then the

1 assumption is, well, what you pay for it is what it's worth; is that correct?

MR. AMER: Yes, and it assumes that the liability is an obligation of the owner who buys it, so if I pay -let me see if \(I\) have a hypothetical that may clarify it. If I buy a piece of property for a million dollars, but it comes with an obligation to pay a million dollars, then it's really costing me \(\$ 2\) million. It's costing me the million dollars I pay to buy it, and then I have an obligation to pay another million because there's some IOU on the property, let's say. So, it costs me \(\$ 2\) million, but the point I'm making --

THE COURT: But you have got something that's only worth \(\$ 1\) million. Is that --

MR. AMER: It is, but I've indicated in the statement that I've valued the liability that I'm assuming at zero, so that's the --
Q The issue here is, you have valued the liability at face value for calculating the purchase price that you use; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And you simultaneously represent in the statement that you're valuing the liability at zero; correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And with respect to the membership liability
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deposits, even if they do have to be repaid at some point in time, that's way out into the future; correct?

A Depends when the member joined, but it's sometime in the future.

Q And you don't do any discount factor analysis to figure out, for the purpose of the fixed-asset approach, what the present value is, of that liability; correct?

A Correct.
Q And you include it as though it's a hundred percent due on the date of the valuation of the statement; correct? The valuation date of the statement?

A Correct.
Q Okay.
MR. AMER: I intend to move on, unless the Court
has more questions to clarify, at this point.
THE COURT: Please just move on.
MR. AMER: Thank you.
Q I want to talk about Mar-A-Lago.
MR. AMER: Let's look at the 2012 Jeff Supporting
Data spreadsheet. It's Plaintiff's 93, and it starts at row 187.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed to the witness.)
Q Now, row 188 says, Value if sold to an individual. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And that applies to both of these years, 2011 and 2012; correct?

A Correct.
Q And the entire basis of the valuation rests on the premise that Mar-A-Lago can be sold as a private residence to an individual; right?

A Yes.
Q If we put up demonstrative exhibit 3.10, this lists the value of Mar-A-Lago in each year, from 2011 to 2021. Mr. McConney, is it correct that every year, the value of Mar-A-Lago is based on the premise that the property can be sold as a private residence?

A That's correct.
Q And as of the date that you began preparing --
A Sorry.
Q Go ahead.
A The year I was involved in preparing the statement. Again, June of '16, June of '17, I'm pretty sure. But I didn't work on June of '18 forward, so.

Q So let's just clarify your testimony. Your testimony is that from every year from 2011 to 2016/2017, you were aware that the premise of the valuation was based on the fact that the property can be sold as a private residence; right?

A That's correct.

Q And you are not sure, one way or the other, what the premise was for the valuation in the years from 2018 through 2021; correct?

A Correct.
Q And as of 2011, when you were preparing the valuation for Mar-A-Lago, you were aware, were you not, that there was a deed of development rights that Mr. Trump had entered into with the National Trust for Historic Preservation?

A When the club initially opened, I know there were some documents relating to the deed. I don't know exactly what they were, but I know there was some rules you had to live by.

Q Okay. Let me be more specific, because there are a number of deeds. You were aware, as of 2011, that there was a 2002 deed of development rights with the National Trust for Historic Preservation; isn't that right?

A I know there was something with the National Trust. I don't know what the exact title.

Q Are you saying -- well, did you see the deed of development rights, prior to 2011?

A I could have seen it when we first -- the club first opened. I just don't remember that far back.

Q When you say, when the club first opened, when was that?

A I'm thinking 1995.
Q Did you see a deed of development rights around --
later than that, in the 2000s, with the National Trust for Historic Preservation?

A No.
Q Are you sure?
A I'm pretty sure.
Q Okay. And were you aware that Mr. Trump had deeded away his right to use the club for any purpose other than a social club?

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Speculation. The witness testified he didn't see the deed. How could he be aware?

THE COURT: How could he be aware? Somebody told him, maybe?

MR. FIELDS: Then objection to hearsay.
MR. AMER: I'm entitled to --
THE COURT: -- introduce it for the truth of its contents, its notice, I think.

But Mr. Amer, see if you can get us through this tangled web.

MR. AMER: I don't know what's tangled about this web, your Honor, but I'll try.
Q You are doing valuations from 2011 forward; right?
A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding as you are doing these valuations, based on the premise that you can sell this property
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as a private residence, that there was -- that Mr. Trump had deeded away his rights to use the property for any purpose other than a social club? Yes or no, or were you aware?

MR. SUAREZ: Objection. It also assume facts not in evidences, mischaracterizing the trust and the deed that was referenced. It's just not the case, and there's no evidence in the record that that is the case.

MR. AMER: I honestly didn't ask him, your Honor, how he knew. I just want to know if he is aware.

THE COURT: Absolutely overruled.
A Sorry. Could you ask the question again, please?
MR. AMER: Can I get a read back, your Honor?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: Please answer.

\section*{A I was not aware.}

Q Now, the valuation that you prepared for Mar-A-Lago, that's largely based on comps; is that right?

A Correct.
Q Let's go ahead and look at the -- well, actually, let me see if I can do it this way. Let's go ahead and put up the -- oh, let's leave up the 2012. Sorry.

Okay. Back to where we were. You have listed comps for 2012 and 2011; correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, I notice for 2012, you have two comps and they're based on asking price; correct?

A Correct.
Q And the two comps for 2011, also based on asking price; correct?

A Correct.
Q So these are not prices that a willing buyer actually paid; right?

A I don't know what -- if these were purchased, I don't know what they were -- what the purchaser paid for these properties.

Q Right. But as of the date that you used these prices, they were asking prices and not prices for properties that had actually sold; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And I notice that what you do is, you take the asking price and you divide it by the number of acres to come up with a number per acre; correct?

A Correct.
Q And then you then multiply that value per acre by the acreage of Mar-A-Lago, on line 214; correct?
A That's correct.
Q So, if I'm understanding this correctly, the actual square footage of the house that's being put up for sale is not
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relevant to your calculation?
A I don't -- I'm not too sure I understand that question.

Q Okay. You are taking the asking price, and you are dividing it by the acres that the property sits on, without any factoring in how large the house is; right?

A But it does include a house, or some swimming pool, cabana. It does include something. It's not just the value of the land.

Q Let me ask a different way. You are not calculating a price per square foot to do this comp; correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. So, if you have two identical homes asking the identical selling price, and one is on a two-acre lot and the other is on a four-acre lot, the one on the 2 -acre lot will have a value, for your purposes, that's twice as large as the house on the four-acre lot; correct?

A Mathematically, yes. That's correct.
Q Isn't the method you are using, which is to calculate a value per acre, the method one would use to value vacant land, as opposed to a house?

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Speculation. Lack of foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A Can you ask it again, please?

Q Isn't the method that you are using, where you take the asking price and divide it by the number of acres, a valuation method used for valuing and comparing vacant land, as opposed to a private residence?

A I guess you could do it that way. We took the whole selling price, including the house, and divide it by the number of acres. I guess you could use it for vacant land, but these comps included whatever was being sold.

Q And the size of the house didn't matter in your calculation, because you don't use it in the calculation; right?

A It was not used in the calculation. Correct.
Q Then, by the way, you add, if you can scroll down, you add, on line 216, a premium for completed facility of 30 percent; right?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q Did you -- so, you just take whatever number you get from the comp and you gross it out by 30 percent, because it's a completed facility; right?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q But you are valuing it as if you are selling it as a private residence; right?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q Am I correct that when you started doing the valuation for the following year, 2013, you realized that it was better to use actual sale prices, rather than asking prices? Correct?

A Somebody made that decision, yes. It was either Allen or myself.

Q Okay. So some combination of you and Mr. Weisselberg decided, in 2013, that it's better to use selling prices rather than asking prices; correct?

A At some point in time we changed, yes.
Q Let's go ahead and look at 2014 Jeff Supporting Data, Exhibit 719.

MR. AMER: And I may want you to put it up, side by side, if we can, and let's go to row 207, where the value began, where the Mar-A-Lago value begins. If you could, just lift up the one on the left.
Q So, in 2013, you have, again, two comps.
MR. AMER: I just need to see a little below.
Q You have two comps; correct?
A Can we just scroll?
Q Yes.
MR. AMER: You have to go down, more. There we
go.
Q I'm sorry. Just one comp for 2013; correct?
A Can we just to be 100 percent sure, scroll over to column G? I believe that's correct. I just want to make sure. Yes. That's correct. Only one comp. (Continued on the next page.)
    3 right
    A Yes.
        Q If you scroll down on the other one, other way.
    Sorry. It's the same comp that you used the prior year,
    right? 1220 South Ocean, Palm Beach, correct?
        A Yes.
        Q In 2012 -- we can go up a little more so I see the
    price. In 2012, you're using the asking for the 2020 South
    Ocean, Palm Beach of \(\$ 74\) million?

A Yes.
Q That's the asking price and then the next year you
realized that the same property sold for \(\$ 42\) million, correct?
A Correct.
Q In your description on line 224?
A Which? 1314?
Q On 1314.
A Yes.
Q You say actual selling price, not just selling price but actual selling price, correct?
A Correct.
Q And on line 212, you just say selling price for the other comp on line 212?
A On the same spreadsheet?
Q Same spreadsheet.

McConney - Direct/Amer
Page 768
A Yes.
Q The reason why you inserted that word "actual" is because you realized you had used the same comp the year before and you used the asking price and now it was an actual selling price, correct?

A I don't know if I realized what you said but there was a reason for it. It's the actual price, is the actual price.

Q But using the word "actual" you're kind of
acknowledging you used the same comp from the year before but used the selling price?

A I'm not acknowledging the same comp from the year before. The actual comp we used is the selling price. I don't remember --

Q Was this the reason you decided it was better to use selling prices than asking prices because it dawned on you
that a property that you valued with an asking price of \(\$ 74\)
million actually ended up selling a year later for only \(\$ 42\) million?

A I don't remember that being the case, one way or the other.

Q By the way, I noticed in 2012 the number of acres for this property is 2.5 acres, correct?

A Correct.
Q A year later, the property is only 2.11 acres,

\section*{correct?}

A Correct.
Q So, the acreage changed?
A We'd have to look at the source documents each year.
Q The effect of changing the acreage from 2.5 to 2.11
increases the value per acre, correct?
A In 2013?
Q Yeah.
A Yes.
Q Let's go to PX-2374. Mr. McConney, is Exhibit 2374 the backup that you sent for the comps to Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I believe so. For Mar-a-Lago, I believe so, yes.

Q It shows the 1220 South Ocean Boulevard house selling for \(\$ 42\) million, correct?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
MR. SUAREZ: We only object if it's being offered for the truth of the matter asserted because it would be hearsay. The fact that, to the extent that he testified that he sent it to Mr. Bender, that's a different story.

THE COURT: Is that agreeable just for the fact

1 A Yes.
2 Q You knew about that appraisal, right?
3 A Yes.
4 Q You had a copy of that appraisal, correct?
A I believe so, yes.
Q You relied on the page we saw from this appraisal for purposes of valuing Mar-a-Lago, correct?

A Yes.
Q That was an appraisal done in contemplation of a conservation easement donation over Mar-a-Lago back in 2007, right?

A I don't know what the purpose was, off the top of my head. It says "conservation easement" here on the bottom of page 506. So, I believe that's what it was for.

MR. AMER: I'm going to ask to hand the witness Exhibit 1444.
Q Mr. McConney, this is the full appraisal of Mar-a-Lago, 169 pages. You had a copy of it, correct?

A I don't remember but it's possible.
Q Well, you attached the cover page to the backup you sent to Mr. Bender, right?

A Right.
MR. AMER: I ask that this be moved into evidence, your Honor.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we have an objection
J. McConney - Direct/Amer
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first on the basis of the Statute of Limitations. Second with the appraisals, the fact that the appraisal exists, the fact it was in the file, the fact he may have looked at it, that certainly whatever his testimony is, it is, but, we object to the appraisal to the extent it's being offered for the truth of the matter asserted within it.

There are, obviously, issues in the appraisal, limitations, whatnot. This wasn't prepared for a party in this case. It wasn't prepared by a party in this case. The appraisal company is not here. That would be the extent of our objection to the extent it's being offered for the truth of the matter asserted.

It's an out-of-court statement as well.
THE COURT: Maybe three-and-a-half-page memos.
MR. AMER: First of all, your Honor, the statement that it wasn't prepared for the Trump Organization is wrong. It's prepared for Mar-a-Lago Club, LLC.
Q That's a Trump Organization, right, Mr. McConney? A The --

THE COURT: I'll ask the witness to answer.
THE WITNESS: I don't know who goes first. The
Mar-a-Lago Club LLC was owned by, ultimately, the President, yes.

MR. SUAREZ: My client is-- it's not a defendant
in this case. It's not a party.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. AMER: I'm going to do this quickly. We're stopping when, your Honor? Just so I know.

THE COURT: Fifty.
Q If we look at page two, this report says in the second sentence: "This report has been prepared for our client, Mar-a-Lago Club, LLC. The intended use was to assist and establish market value of a conservation of easement for possible donation." You see that?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q In the second paragraph, just going to go down to the middle of the paragraph, it says: "The value of the property, before considering the terms of the easement, is compared to the value of the property after considering the easement. The difference in value, if any, is the value of the easement."

It's an easement related to the development of 11 single family lots. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q To your knowledge, was there ever any approval given for the development of any single family lots on the Mar-a-Lago property?
A I have no idea.
Q Why don't you turn to page 88. There's a section of
this appraisal entitled "Deed of Development Rights." "Deed
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of conservation easement and exhibits."
You see that?
A Yes.
(Continued on following page...)

Q And the next page, the next three pages, are the deed of development that was entered into in 2002, between Donald Trump and the National Trust. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And on page two of this deed it says that Mr. Trump is conveying his rights to develop the property for any usage other than club usage. Do you see that? That's the paragraph above the signature block. Next page, last line, above the, In witness whereof. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q You knew about this appraisal, and you had a copy of it in your possession, prior to 2011; right?

A Correct.
Q And so you had a copy of this deed of development; correct?
A Yes.
Q And notwithstanding the fact that you had, in your possession, this deed, every year from 2011 through 2016 or '17, you valued the property as if it could be sold for private residence; right?

A That's correct.
Q Now, Mr. McConney, you testified that during the period of time when you were the controller, Allen Weisselberg was your boss; correct?

A Correct.
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Q And am I correct that during the period of time when Allen Weisselberg was your boss, he asked you, on more than one occasion, to assist him in committing fraud? Isn't that right?

A No.
Q You gave testimony in the criminal trial of the Trump Organization brought by the District Attorney of New York; correct?

A Yes.
Q Isn't it the case that you testified in that trial that Mr. Weisselberg asked you, on more than one occasion, to assist him in committing tax fraud?

A Yes.
Q And at his request you helped him cheat on his tax returns; isn't that right?

A I had nothing to do preparing his tax returns.
MS. HABBA: Objection. I'm just going to ask
what the relevance of this is.
MR. AMER: I think it's highly relevant, your
Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q Am I correct that you assisted him in receiving tax-free personal expenses, and then backed the value of those expenses out of his salary? Right?
A That's correct.
Q And Mr. Weisselberg directed you to process a payroll
check to his wife for \(\$ 6,000\) so that she could qualify for
Social Security benefits, even though you knew she was not an employee of the Trump Organization; correct?

A I'm not too sure the dollar amount, but the rest of the statement is correct.

\section*{Q So, I'll rephrase it.}

Mr. Weisselberg directed you to process a payroll
check to his wife so that she could qualify for Social Security
benefits, even though you knew she was not an employee of the Trump Organization?

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Improper impeachment.
Relevance.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q Mr. McConney, when you processed that payroll check for Mr. Weisselberg's wife, you knew it was illegal; correct?

A I did not know it was illegal.
Q You did not?
A I did not.
Q Can we put up, from Mr. McConney's testimony during the criminal trial, page 1407 , line 5.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed.)
Q "Question: Did you testify on cross examination
that the purpose of this was to have her qualified for Social Security?
"Answer: Yes. Something to do with Social
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\section*{Security benefits.}
"Question: Did you think it was legal to pay her for a job she did not perform, so that she would qualify for a benefit to which she was not entitled?
"Answer: No.
"Question: So you knew that that was illegal?
"Answer: Yes. Yes. You can say that. Yes. Yes."

Four yeses. That was your sworn testimony during the criminal trial; correct?

A Yes.
Q And even though you knew that these activities that you engaged in at Mr. Weisselberg's request was illegal, you continued to engage in them; isn't that right?

A Continued in what way?
Q You kept doing it; correct?
A Yes.
Q And you engaged in this illegal conduct because Mr. Weisselberg was your boss, and you were afraid that if you refused his requests, you would probably lose your job; right? A Yes.

MR. AMER: That's all I have, your Honor?
THE COURT: I guess we'll break for the day.
Monday is a holiday, and we'll resume Tuesday at 10:00.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, because the witness is
still on the stand, can we have an admonition that he not consult with his attorneys about his testimony?

THE COURT: Yes. I so direct him.
MS. GREENFIELD: Just one scheduling question,
Mr. Amer. How much more, how much longer do you think you are going to be with Mr. McConney before we move on to Mr. Weisselberg on Tuesday?

MR. AMER: Maybe I wasn't clear, but I finished my examination.

MS. GREENFIELD: Oh, sorry. I wasn't clear on that. Sorry.

MR. AMER: It seemed like a good point to end.
THE COURT: So, we are starting just with Weisselberg?

MS. FAHERTY: Unless they have cross.
MS. HABBA: Can we reserve the right - I don't think we do. Think we are going to let him go.

You know, I'm not going to cross. Just --
THE COURT: So we are starting with Weisselberg?
MS. HABBA: We are starting Weisselberg on Tuesday morning.

MS. FAHERTY: Tuesday morning, Weisselberg.
THE COURT: Tuesday at 10:00.
MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Weisselberg.
THE COURT: Have a great three-day weekend.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, Colleen Faherty, on behalf of the People, and I can speak separately with counsel just to double check, but there were some corrections to the transcript that I do think require us to put on the record, because I don't think we can back-date the corrections to exhibits as they are contained in the record, so I'll speak with counsel, and I can even just submit it in a letter to the Court, if that works.

What is your preference?
THE COURT: Sure. My preference is whatever your preference is. Whatever you can work out with the other side. How long would it take if we did it right now?

MS. FAHERTY: I have an email right now that I can read from that identifies the corrections, and then if counsel has an issue, we can respond.

THE COURT: All right. Let's do it.
MS. FAHERTY: Okay. So at the trial transcript, at page 119, lines 11 through 12, Plaintiff's Exhibit 75 was admitted, and is currently in the record as PX75. It needs to be corrected to 785.

THE COURT: Any objection or --
MS. FAHERTY: Typographic.
MR. SUAREZ: No.
MS. HABBA: No objection.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you. The second is

1 Plaintiff's Exhibit 788 was admitted into evidence, but identified on the record by Bates number as Mazars-NYAG-00003154. The exhibit number needs to be added. It is from the trial transcript at 157 , line's 2 through 11, and so the exhibit number that we would add to the record is Plaintiff's Exhibit 788. MS. HABBA: No objection.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Ms. Habba.
The third issue, your Honor, is Plaintiffs
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Ms. Habba.
The third issue, your Honor, is Plaintiffs
Exhibit 791 was admitted into evidence on October 3rd, but the exhibit number is not in the record. Plaintiff's Exhibit 791 needs to be added. That's at the trial transcript at 191, lines 5 through 18. It was admitted into evidence, but the number was not -MS. HABBA: No objection.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I have two more, apparently. Trial transcript at 471, 19 through 472, 11, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1354 was admitted into evidence on October 4, but the exhibit number was not on the record. So, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1354 needs to be added, please.

MS. HABBA: No objection.
MS. FAHERTY: And the last one is at trial transcript page 477, lines 10 through 11, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1501 was admitted on October 4, but was identified on the record, or in the record, as Plaintiff's

Exhibit 50101 and it just needs to be corrected to say Plaintiff's Exhibit 1501.
5
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MS. HABBA: No objection.
I just want to make the record clear and state that obviously I have no objection to these administerial changes, but if we had any prior to objections to the exhibits themselves, that they still stand.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, your Honor.
Okay. Now, have a good three-day weekend.

*     *         *             *                 * 

(Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned to Tuesday, October 10, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
MS. HABBA: No objection.
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { 23,24;737:21;738:11, } \\
& \text { 12,14,15;739:16,18,21, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$21 (3)
724:16,18;725:2 & \begin{tabular}{l}
736:4 \\
accounts (9)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { admonition (1) } \\
& 779: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 694:5,23;695:5; } \\
& \text { 697:14;698:23;699:6, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12,14,15;739:16,18,21, } \\
& \text { 23;740:9,11,14,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$23 (1) & 687:18;688:3,3,4,4, & adopting (1) & 12;700:17,19;702:16; & 741:3,3;742:5,6;744:3, \\
\hline 718:9 & 5;689:9,15,19 & 747:25 & 704:7,11,19;705:3,8, & 9,11,14;745:9;770:24; \\
\hline \$25.1 (1) & acknowledging (2) 768:10,12 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { afraid (1) } \\
778: 19
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15 ; 707: 4,15 ; 708: 20 ; \\
& 709: 16,19 ; 710: 3,6,11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 771: 2,4,6,9,17 ; 772: 2,5 \\
& 7,10 ; 773: 25 ; 775: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
729: 25
\] & 768:10,12 acquired (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 778: 19 \\
& \text { AG (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 709:16,19;710:3,6,11, } \\
& \text { 14,19;711:2;712:16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 7,10;773:25;775:11 } \\
& \text { appraisals (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
726: 24
\] & 728:14 & 700:9 & 718:12,18;720:11; & 739:5;772:2 \\
\hline \$293 (1) & acre (5) & again (27) & 723:8;725:4,9;733:9; & appraised (3) \\
\hline 704:17 & 763:19,21;764:20; & 689:21;696:8;697:7 & 734:1;736:8,11,16,21; & 723:22,24;724:9 \\
\hline \$30 (1) & 769:6;770:16 & 698:7,12;699:6,15,18, & 737:7,12,23;738:4; & appraiser (5) \\
\hline 734:21 & acreage (6) & 25;705:20;714:4; & 739:11;740:2;741:7; & 722:20,24;724:12, \\
\hline \$31 (1) & 763:22;769:3,5; & 715:6,15;718:16; & 742:17,23;743:9,11,22; & 25;738:19 \\
\hline 734:8 & 770:12,16,20 & 719:3,4;726:3;739:18; & 746:14;751:2;752:3; & appraises (1) \\
\hline \$35 (1) & acres (8) & 740:11,24;744:23; & 755:4;757:3,15; & 740:12 \\
\hline 717:18 & 740:17;763:18; & 746:25;754:7;759:19; & 758:14,17,19;761:15, & appraising (1) \\
\hline \$41 (4) & 764:5;765:2,7;768:22, & 762:11;764:25;766:13 & 18,20;762:8,12;766:9, & \[
740: 11
\] \\
\hline 753:9,17;755:23; & \[
\begin{gathered}
23,25 \\
\text { activities (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & ```
against (3)
    736:21;737:11,15
``` & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14,18;769:17;770:4,9; } \\
& \text { 771:15,23;772:15; }
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
approach (9)
    712:4;749:12,21,22,
``` \\
\hline 756:3 & \begin{tabular}{l}
activities (1) \\
778:12
\end{tabular} & 736:21;737:11,15
agent (3) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 771: 15,23 ; 772: 15 ; \\
& 773: 3 ; 776: 18 ; 778: 22,
\end{aligned}
\] & 25;750:19,22;756:24; \\
\hline \[
767: 13 ; 768: 19
\] & actual (11) & 736:22;737:13;742:4 & 25;779:5,8,12 & 758:6 \\
\hline 769:15 & 753:4;763:24; & agree (11) & America (8) & appropriate (2) \\
\hline \$43.3 (2) & 765:25;767:19,20; & 697:25;698:8,18; & 689:6,9,12,15,20,20, & 693:8;723:24 \\
\hline 729:19;739:17 & 768:2,4,7,7,9,13 & 706:14;709:7;717:3, & 25;690:3 & approval (4) \\
\hline \$46 (1) & actually (11) & 12;718:3;727:19; & amount (12) & 713:14;715:4,20; \\
\hline 753:12 & 689:5,9,15;710:5; & 729:13;731:16 & 703:14,15,16,16; & 773:20 \\
\hline \$5 (2) & \(722: 19 ; 727: 24 ; 751: 2 ;\)
\(762 \cdot 21 ; 763 \cdot 8.15\) & \(\underset{769.25}{\text { agreeable (1) }}\) & 725:1;727:2;735:8; & approvals (4) \\
\hline 753:1,15 & 762:21;763:8,15; & 769:25 & 750:24;753:4,24; & 714:20,25;715:8,9 \\
\hline \$540 (1) & 768:18 & agreed (2) & 756:19;777:4 & approved (4) \\
\hline 692:13 & add (7) & 696:11;712:12 & amounted (1) & 713:2,21;729:18; \\
\hline \$56 (2) & 692:19;693:8,15; & agrees (1) & 755:23 & 735:12 \\
\hline 722:12,19 & 753:17;765:12,13; & 721:16 & amounts (2) & approximately (1) \\
\hline \$57 (1) & 781:5 & ahead (10) & 727:10;730:11 & \(740: 17\)
April (1) \\
\hline 707:5 & added (6) & 687:21;692:7; & analysis (6) & April (1) \\
\hline \$6,000 (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 698:9;729:24;748:2; } \\
& 781: 4,12,20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 705: 24 ; 725: 11 ; 737: 22 \\
& 750: 10 ; 759: 17 ; 762: 21,
\end{aligned}
\] & 692:8;728:2;730:10,
17;733:23;758:5 & \[
\begin{gathered}
744: 6 \\
\text { areas (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
777: 1 \\
\$ 74(2)
\end{gathered}
\] & adding (3) & 22;766:7 & answered (3) & \[
723: 15
\] \\
\hline 767:10;768:17 & 693:2;697:25;749:5 & all- (1) & 694:25;697:12,13 & around (1) \\
\hline & addion & 689:17 & anymore & 760:25 \\
\hline [ & 696:22 & Allen (5) & 704:3 & assert (1) \\
\hline & additional (12) & 727:5;747:23;766:1; & apartment (3) & 710:11 \\
\hline [sic] (2) & 692:18,22,22;693:2, & 775:23;776:2 & 704:14,15;706:7 & \begin{tabular}{l}
asserted (8) \\
709:22:741:1;
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 734:3,4 & 2,16;698:9,18;699:8;
724:2;730:15;734:18 & \begin{tabular}{l}
allocated (2) \\
752:19,20
\end{tabular} & apartments (1) & 709:22;741:1; \\
\hline & 724:2;730:15;734:18 &  &  & 742:18,20;743:3; \\
\hline A & & & & assertion (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ;710:13 & aware & begin & bui & 777.19.778.7.779.1 \\
\hline asses & 8;737:8 & 748:12 & ,25;717:17 & \\
\hline 728:19,25;729:4 & 8:15;739:20,20; & 766:11 & 730:7 & cap (2) \\
\hline 33:4,15 & 11;749:6;759:22; & , & & 3:10;699 \\
\hline assets (19) & 6:6,13;761:6,11,12; & 780:2 & , & Capital (8) \\
\hline 721:1,5;734 & 62:3,9,17 & bell (1) & business ( & 688:4,5;689:6,10,1 \\
\hline :12,22,25;750:2,15, & (3) & & 688 & 92:13,24;693:4 \\
\hline ,21,24;751:18; & 749:10;761:7;762:2 & be & buy & careful (1) \\
\hline \[
6,20,23 ; 754: 4,10
\] & B & 766: & 6:24;757:6, & 699:17 \\
\hline 3) & B & & & 696:22 \\
\hline 773:8;776 & & , & buys & case (8) \\
\hline assisted (1) & & 4;700:6,7,24;703:10, & 757:4 & 699:15;762:6,7; \\
\hline 776:21 & 715:13;726:11; & 08:2,8; & & 8:20;772:9,10; \\
\hline associated & 5:18;740:7;741:10; & 11:22,25;769:11,23; & C & 73:1;776:9 \\
\hline 750:24 & 7-16 & & & sh (29) \\
\hline Associate & 0:21;762:12,15 & be & cabana (1) & 687:17;688 \\
\hline 688:23;689:23; & 771:10 & 778:4 & & 689:5,9,14,22;703:7,7, \\
\hline :2;729:1 & back-dat & be & calculate (1) & 8,9,10,19,23;704:1,3,4; \\
\hline assume (1) & 80.5 & 7:2,9 & 4:19 & 728:19;729:5;730:10, \\
\hline 762:4 & backed & & calculated & 17,22;731:23;733:4, \\
\hline Assumed & 76:22 & :24;766:4;768: & 5;734: & 15;753:1,15 \\
\hline 753:9 & background & beyond (1) & calculating (4) & Castle (2) \\
\hline assumes & 715:24;716:1,2 & 717.2 & 752:15;753:1 & 712:23,24 \\
\hline 753:20;7 & back & B & 757:19;764:10 & caused (2) \\
\hline assuming ( & 689:18;69 & 7:1,8;738:1 & calculation (13) & 702:25;703: \\
\hline 753:16;757:16 & 694:13;705:25;706:6; & Birney (1) & 93:13 & cell (2) \\
\hline assumption (5) & 707:11;752:15;769:11; & 47 & 17;719:2,7 & 687:3,5 \\
\hline 696:10;697:10 & 770:5;771:20 & bl & 00:14;753:20;754:5, & certain (3) \\
\hline 715:3,7;757:1 & bank & 0:19 & 10;764:1;765:10,10,11 & 722:2;728:14;755:14 \\
\hline attached (4) & 688:14;689:5,9,11, & bl & call (20) & certainly (3) \\
\hline 704:20;740: & 15,19,20,25;690: & 775:8 & 692:3;713:9,11,17; & 737:3;744:8;772:4 \\
\hline 748:16;771:20 & bars (1) & booked & 714:6,10,19,24;715:16; & certiorari (2) \\
\hline attaches (1) & 705: & 52:18 & 719:16,22,25;720:21, & 703:15,17 \\
\hline 705:21 & ba & & 22;735:24;737:17; & change (7) \\
\hline attachmen & 693:2;697:9;698: & 775:24 & 745:17;747:14,14,16 & 693:16;734 \\
\hline 6 & 25;716:8;725:18; & both (8) & called (4) & 5:5;744:1;747:1; \\
\hline 751:23;752:1,4,10 & 727:4,7;7 & (18) & 716:21;734:23 & 48:4 \\
\hline attachments (2) & 73 & 736:14;742:6,1 & 751:6;753:8 & changed (5) \\
\hline 705: & 734:18;735:8,11,1 & 746:8,22;759: & calls ( & 8:1;749:13, \\
\hline attempt (2) & 736:14;744:19,25; & bottom (4) & 714: & 766:6;769:3 \\
\hline 730:6;731:22 & 745:3;746:11;749:10 & & came ( & changes (1) \\
\hline attention (1) & 759:12,23;761:25; & 748:12;771:1 & 689:11;735:2 & 782:6 \\
\hline 743:4 & 762:19;763:3,5 & & 738:15 & changing ( \\
\hline Attorney & basic (1) & 67:1;769:14;770:1 & can (71) & 769:5 \\
\hline 776: & 721:13 & & - & charitable (1) \\
\hline attorneys & basically & 725:6;741:9,1 &  & 721:21 \\
\hline 779:2 & \[
756: 23
\] & \[
778: 23
\] & 699:6,25;700:3,17,2 & chart (6) \\
\hline attributable (3) & basis (10) & Briarcliff & 22;703:17;705:4; & 695:7,12,22;696: \\
\hline 718:3;734:22;
attributed (1) & 688.18 & 3 & 706:14;708:22;709:7 & ;700:4 \\
\hline attrib & 752.5,23.759.5.772. & 14,25;730:17,25 & 17,24;715:6;718:3; & cheat (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
74 \\
\text { attril }
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
752:5,23;759:5;772: \\
Bates (4)
\end{tabular} & 25;734:4;735:7 & 719:3;721:7;723:8; & \[
76: 13
\] \\
\hline 746:8 & 700:8;737:2,2;781: & 743:21;746:25;749:11, & 729:13;731:12;734:1; & 9:7;77 \\
\hline audience & Beach (2) & 18 & 737:22;740:2;742:21; & 780:3 \\
\hline 687:4 & 767:6,10 & bring & 44:22; & Chris (1) \\
\hline August & Bedford (10) & 730:10;743: & 745:14;746:2;748:23, & 694:14 \\
\hline 719:14,17 & 712:24;713:2,21; & bringing (1) & 25;749:19;751:1; & claims (1) \\
\hline available (3) & 714:21;715:1,10; & 707:22 & 753:23;754:7;755:4; & 705:6 \\
\hline 726:8,19;727:15 & 717:15;719:18;720:2; & broke (1) & 759:6,12,24;761:18,25; & clarify (5) \\
\hline Avenue (8) & 723:13 & 690:6 & 762:12,22;764:25; & 723:12;753:23; \\
\hline 704:6,6,13;705:14; & began & brought (1) & 765:12;766:10,16,21 & 757:5;758:15;759:21 \\
\hline 706:3,3,25;711:7 & 759:15;766:11 & 776:6 & 767:8;770:3,23; & clean (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 687:15 & comparing (1) & contain (1) & 757:8,8 & 698:10;699:21,23; \\
\hline clear (7) & 765:3 & 728.17 & costs ( \({ }^{\text {( }}\) & 700:23;702:22;736:12, \\
\hline 710:15;724:2; & compensation (1) & contained (2) & 728:21;729:8,21 & 23;737:1,4 \\
\hline 745:25;746:16;779:8, & & 6,780.6 & 17;757:1 & ut (1) \\
\hline 10;782:4 & complete (4) & containing (1) & counsel (9) & 708:4 \\
\hline client (2) & 695:1;717:24;733:4 & 733:10 & 693:20;69 & W (1) \\
\hline 772:25;773:8 & 740:12 & cont'd (1) & 699:13;700:16;737:8; & 700:9 \\
\hline close (2) & completed (3) & 687:13 & 738:20;780:3,7,15 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 693:13;734:21 } \\
& \text { closing }(2)
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
692:24;765:13,18 \\
component (2)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { contemplation (1) } \\
771: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & counted (4) 698:2,10, & D \\
\hline 753:11,17 & 725:18;733:3 & content & couple (1) & Data (16) \\
\hline club (28) & compound (4) & 742:9 & 702:3 & 691:9,14 \\
\hline 725:10;728:9,10,16, & 694:2,4,19,20 & con & course (6) & 04:8;706:15;707:12; \\
\hline 20;7 & comp & 736:19;74 & 705:7;72 & 12:17;718:13;720:11; \\
\hline 736:1 & 725:19 & 761:17;770:2, & 733:21;750:6;782 & 725:11;734:2,25; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2,2 \\
& 23
\end{aligned}
\] & & \[
\mathbf{C O}
\] & \[
\mathbf{c o}
\] & 743:22;758:20;7 \\
\hline 760:9,20,22;761:7 & 765:8;766:13,15; & continue (7) & COURT (82) & 690:15,23;691:24; \\
\hline 762:3;772:18,23; & 69:11 & 77:12;719:18 & 687:1,1,8,12;688:2 & 718:5;719:17;720:1 \\
\hline 773:8;775:7 & computation (2) & 0:2;740:3,8;743:20; & 691:3,6;692:2,6; & 722:16;723:5;758:10, \\
\hline clubhouse & 716:18,25 & 5:15 & 693:23;694:3,8,16,24; & 11;759:15;763:13 \\
\hline 731.7 & concept (7) & co & 697:13;698:6;699:11, & dated (2) \\
\hline clubs (10) & 715:25;716:4,7,16 & 87:11;701:2;704 & 15;702:12,18;705:5; & 688:19;744:6 \\
\hline 725:5;72 & ;717:3,6 & 18:20;732:2;754:12; & 7:18,23;708:15; & dawned (1) \\
\hline 728:11,12,17;729:4; & concerning (1) & 66:24;774:4;778:14, & 709:16;710:1,9,12,23, & 768:16 \\
\hline 731:14;747:19;748:2; & 715:20 & 15 & 25;711:4,6;722:1 & day (2) \\
\hline 749:10 & conclude (1) & contrac & 725:8;733:7;735:2; & 692:4;778:23 \\
\hline club's (1) & 715:20 & 695:8,15,1 & 736:18;737:5,10,14,25; & days (1) \\
\hline 750:2 & conclusion (1) & contractor (1) & 739:9;740:23,25; & 692:1 \\
\hline collapse & 709:12 & 713:13 & 741:9;742:1,21; & Dean (22) \\
\hline 687:24 & Condition & contribution ( & 743:17;745:24;752:7; & 693:14;695:15,19; \\
\hline collapsed (1) 687:25 & 719:19;720:3;728:8; & \[
721: 21 ; 755: 13
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 756: 20 ; 757: 13 ; 758: 14 \\
& \text { 16;761:12,16;762:10, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 696:4,7,12,14,16,24; } \\
& \text { 697:2,5,11,20;698:1,2 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline colleagues (2) & 748:10;755:12;756:13 & 704:1,3;747:10 & 13,15,16;764:24 & 8,11,18;699:1,22; \\
\hline 742:17,22 & conduct (1) & controlled (3) & 769:19,25;770:8,10 & 700:23;702:21 \\
\hline Colleen (1) & 778:18 & 703:11,11,20 & 772:14,21;773:2,5; & debit (1) \\
\hline 780:1 & confer (1) & controller (4) & 776:20;777:13;778:23; & 688:22 \\
\hline column ( & 742:17 & 715:23;716:3;750:1 & 9:3,13,19,23,25; & December (3) \\
\hline 706:11,1 & confirm & 775:23 & 780:8,10,16,21;782:8 & 690:15,23;692:9 \\
\hline 8,11,17;725:22;766:22 & 688:22;699:19; & conversation (10) & Court's (1) & decided (4) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { columis (2) } \\
& 687: 24 ; 706:
\end{aligned}
\] & 700:4,15,22;748:25
confirmation (1) & \(719: 13 ; 720: 18 ;\)
\(727 \cdot 4 \cdot 735: 9 \cdot 744: 21\), & 743:4 & 747:23;748:5;766:4; \\
\hline 687:24;706:6 & confirmation (1) 749:20 & :9;744:21, & cover (4) & 768:15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { combination (1) } \\
& 766: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & & 45:4;746:12,20; & 690:19;738:19 & decision (1) \\
\hline  &  & conveyed (3) &  & 766:1 \\
\hline 689:5 & 715:15;719:17;720:1 & \[
714: 2,5,9
\] & \[
737: 12
\] & \[
721: 18 ; 722: 3
\] \\
\hline comment (1) & conjunction (1) & conveying (1) & criminal (3) & deed (13) \\
\hline 739:19 & 729:1 & 775:6 & 776:5;777:20;778:1 & 760:7,10,14 \\
\hline commissioned (1) & connected & copies (1) & cross (4) & 761:10;762:5;773:25, \\
\hline 742:5 & 705:7,8 & 691:14 & 693:21;777:2 & 25;775:1,5,14,18 \\
\hline committing (2) & Conservation (12) & copy (4) & 779:15,18 & deeded (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 776:3,11 } \\
\operatorname{comp}(12)
\end{gathered}
\] & 720:25;721:14,15; & 771:4,18;775:11,1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cross-examination (2) } \\
& 743: 12,17
\end{aligned}
\] & 761:6;762:2 \\
\hline 702:7;76 & 739:2;740:17;771:10, & 700:10 & current (7) & 760:13 \\
\hline 765:17;766:20,23; & 13;773:9;774:1 & corrected (2) & 706:11;70 & deemed (1) \\
\hline 767:5,23;768:3,10,12, & considering (3) & 780:20;782 & 726:13;728:18;730:21; & 723:24 \\
\hline 13;770:13 & 694:16;773:14,15 & corrections (3) & 733:2;756:22 & defendant (7) \\
\hline company (6) & consistent (2) & 780:4,6,14 & currently (1) & 694:5,8,9,16;710:2, \\
\hline 713:13;715:23; & 722:6;745:18 & correctly (3) & C & 13;772:25 \\
\hline 716:3;728:4;740:10; & construct & 692:18;693:1;763:24 & Cushman (20) & defendants (3) \\
\hline 772:10 & 717:17 & cost & 690:12;692:11,15, & 709:3,20;737:20 \\
\hline compared (1) & consult (2) & 755:17;756:24 & 19;693:5,8;695:1,18; & defendants' (1) \\
\hline 773:14 & 742:22;779:2 & costing (2) & 696:11;697:10,15; & 708:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline DeLuca (22) & 776:25;777:7 & 724:20;739:8 & 691:8;699:20;751:22, & 28:8;738:6;748:8,9; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 693:14;695:15,19; } \\
& \text { 696:4,7,12,15,16,24; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
disclosed (3) \\
730:2;731:12;733:11
\end{tabular} & donating (2) 722:24;740:16 & \[
24
\] & 50:11;752:9;762:7; \\
\hline \[
697: 2,6,11,21 ; 698: 1,2
\] & discount (7) & donation (21) & \[
777: 3,9
\] & \[
10,14,18
\] \\
\hline 8,11,19;699:1,22; & 716:13,21,24;717:3, & 721:11,14,15;722:4, & end (1) & evidences (1) \\
\hline 700:23;702:21 & 4,16;758:5 & 12,16,16,20,22 & 779:12 & 762:5 \\
\hline demonstrative (2) & discounted & 1;724:6,10,16,17; & ended (1) & xact (4) \\
\hline 723:9;759:9 & 728:2;730:10 & 38:21;739:21,22; & 768:18 & 703:22;716:25 \\
\hline denotes (1) & discounting (1) & 771:10;773:10 & engage (1) & 750:9;760:17 \\
\hline 690:20 & 717:6 & donations (1) & 778:14 & exactly (4) \\
\hline Depends (1) & discrete (1) & 738:24 & engaged (2) & 721:25;724:15 \\
\hline 758:3 & 94:21 & done (6) & 778:13,1 & 743:19;760:10 \\
\hline Deposit (4) & discretion (1) & 692:12;739:21 & enough (1) & EXAMINATION (4) \\
\hline 753:9,21;7 & 688:10 & 743:13;744:9;770:24; & 749:20 & 687:13;743:13; \\
\hline 755:14 & dis & 71 & ent & 77:22;779:9 \\
\hline depo & 702:3;712:9 & Doral (1) & & except (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 731: 9 ; 750: 25 ; 75 \\
& 10,15,16 ; 753: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & di & & en & \begin{tabular}{l}
704:14 \\
exception
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 755:22;756:1,11,18; & 687:17;743 & 705: & entire (6) & 737:14;742:13 \\
\hline 758:1 & displayed & double (5) & 710:16,18,24,2 & excerpts (4) \\
\hline derived (3) & 704:9;709:1;718:14 & 698:2,10,19,25 & 734:21;759:5 & 690:12;695: \\
\hline 728:19;729:5;730:23 & 6:9;758:22;777:21 & 80:3 & Entities (1) & 99:21;700:24 \\
\hline described (2) & dispute (1) & do & & Excuse (2) \\
\hline  & & 702:25;703:6;721:8; & en & 04:18;716:1 \\
\hline 728:10,13;733:2 & \[
710: 5,7,9
\] & \[
767: 4 ; 773: 12
\] & \[
751: 11 ; 761: 15 ; 773: 25
\] & 688:13;689:21; \\
\hline 755:3;767:15 & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { distributed (1) } \\
689: 4
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { draft (1) } \\
743: 16
\end{array}
\] & 778:4 & 690:10,18;691:1; \\
\hline 738:14 & distribution (1) & drafted (1) & 752:18;756:9,16 & 5;704:8,20;705:10; \\
\hline determine & 688:9 & 737:16 & equally (1) & 707:25;708:21,23; \\
\hline 716:13;728:3;730:6 & District (1) & drops (2) & 719:10 & 718:13;725:12;728:8; \\
\hline develop (3) & 776:6 & 721:8;723:20 & equals (1) & 736:8;738:5,18;740:1; \\
\hline 721:17;756:25;775:6 & divide (4) & due (1) & 699:12 & 743:11;744:4;746:16, \\
\hline developed (1) & 3:15;763:18 & 58:1 & Eric (23) & 24;748:8,9;751:21; \\
\hline 713:2. & 765:2,6 & dues & 713:17, & 752:3,8,9,10;755:2; \\
\hline developing (1) & divided (1) & 731:1 & 19;715:15,17;719:13, & 759:9;766:8;769:10; \\
\hline 728:16 & 699:8 & duly (3) & 16,23,25;720:18;735:9, & 771:16;780:18;781:1, \\
\hline developing- (1) & divi & 687:10;692:2;707:23 & 24;744:21,25;745:4,5,
9.746:9 & 3,5,6,10,11,12,18,19, \\
\hline 728:15 &  & Durin & 9;746:9,12;747:2, & 20,24;782:1,2 \\
\hline \[
713: 15 ; 721: 23 ;
\] & docum &  & essentially 716:7 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { exhibits (4) } \\
& 707: 21 ; 774: 1 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 722:20;723:5;724:11, & 707:9;709:1;710:16, & 777:19;778:9 & establish (1) & 782:7 \\
\hline 14,20,25;725:1; & 18,19,24;711:1; & & 773:9 & exist (2) \\
\hline 729:22;735:3,8;738:8, & 718:14;736:9;737:12; & E & esta & 718:5;751:8 \\
\hline 16;739:7,15;740:18; & 743:4,6,13,16;758:22; & & 728:10, & exists (1) \\
\hline 760:7,14,19,25;773:17, & 770:20;777:21 & easem & estimate (1) & 772:2 \\
\hline 21,25;775:2,14 & docum & & 727:2 & expected (3) \\
\hline difference (4) & 691:5;704:23;737:2; & 15,19;722:4,9;724:6; & estimated (6) & 728:19;729:5;730:22 \\
\hline 724:12,15,16;773:16 & 760:10;769:4 & 738:8,14,21,24;739:2, & 28:18,21;72 & expecting (1) \\
\hline different (15) & dollar (6) & 21,22;740:17;771:10, & 730:21;733:2;756:22 & 727:11 \\
\hline 688:4;689:22,22 & 703:14,15;716:7,8, & 13;773:9,14,15,16,17; & estimates (1) & expenses (2) \\
\hline 703:15;712:23;717:11; & 11;777:4 & 774:1 & 727:6 & 776:22,23 \\
\hline 719:22;731:13,23 & dollars (3) & effect (3) & even (7) & expert (2) \\
\hline 743:7;745:20;751:6, & 757:6,7,9 & 699:2;708:17;769:5 & 740:9;743:16;758:1 & 698:4,5 \\
\hline 15;764:10;769:24 & Donald (7) & effectively (1) & 12;780 & extent (5) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lifferently (2) } \\
& 715: 20 ; 733: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 690:22;703:10,19; } \\
& 707: 12: 711: 22.25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
698: 10 \\
\text { either (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { eventuall } \\
708: 23
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 751: 9 ; 769: 22 ; 772: \\
& 11,11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Dillon (2) & 775:2 & 766:1 & evidence (33) & \\
\hline 737:1;744:5 & donate (1) & email (5) & \[
688: 21 ; 690: 10
\] & F \\
\hline DIRECT (4) & 721:16 & 702:17;704:20; & 691:2,3,7;695:6;704:8; & \\
\hline 687:13;693 & do & 705:12,21;780:13 & 705:3,6,7,11;707:15, & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 694:12;779:3 } \\
& \text { directed (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 721:20,22,23,25; } \\
& \text { 722:2,23;723:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { e-mail (8) } \\
& 690: 12,19,22,23 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 21,24;708:1;710:20, } \\
& \text { 25;711:2,6;712:17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 753: 22,23 ; 754 \\
& 757: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline facilities (3) & fine (1) & 778:9 & Great (2) & 725:15 \\
\hline 728:9,10,16 & 770:4 & four-acre (2) & 718:19;779:25 & highlighting (1) \\
\hline facility (2) & finish (2) & 764:15,17 & GREENFIELD (2) & 770:19 \\
\hline 765:13,18 & 699:11;743:10 & Frank (1) & 779:4,10 & highly (1) \\
\hline fact (14) & finished (1) & 751:25 & gross (1) & 776:18 \\
\hline 691:16;695:18; & 779:8 & fraud (2) & 765:17 & himself (1) \\
\hline 709:25;738:2;743:2; & first (16) & 776:3,11 & ground (1) & 694:1 \\
\hline 745:8;755:15;759:23; & 691:9;703:18; & front (1) & 694:17 & hired (2) \\
\hline 769:22,25;772:2,3,3; & 725:25;730:17,19,20, & 743:11 & grouping (1) & 713:14;736:23 \\
\hline 775:17 & 21;731:22;742:2; & frontage (1) & 745:19 & Historic (3) \\
\hline factor (6) & 751:22;760:20,20,22; & 695:14 & guess (5) & 760:8,15;761:2 \\
\hline 716:13,22;717:16, & 772:1,15,22 & full (2) & 714:22;751:8;765:5, & hold (5) \\
\hline 20;720:7;758:5 & five (5) & 695:3;771:1 & 7;778:23 & 729:18;735:12; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { factoring (1) } \\
764 \cdot 6
\end{gathered}
\] & \(716: 8,12 ; 717: 24 ;\)
\(753: 1 ; 770 \cdot 19\) & funds (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
guy (2) \\
687.2.716:14
\end{tabular} & 736:2,5;740:23 \\
\hline 764:6 &  & fu & 687:2;716:14 & holiday (2) \\
\hline 762:4 & 738:8 & 716:20;717:6;718:4 & H & home (2) \\
\hline FAHERTY (12) & fix (1) & \[
720: 9 ; 727: 3,11,24
\] & & 717:18;718 \\
\hline 779:15,22;780:1,1, & 756:25 & 728:5;730:5,6;734:11; & HABBA (23) & homes (18) \\
\hline 13,17,22,25;781:8,16, & fixed (16) & 749:11;751:11;758:2,4 & 691:22;692:5; & 714:21;715:1,4,10, \\
\hline 22;782:9 & 734:23;749:12,22, & & 693:19,25;694:7,9; & 21;717:15,17,20,24; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fair (1) } \\
& 715: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 25;750:2,15,19,21,23; } \\
& \text { 751:18;752:16,20,23; }
\end{aligned}
\] & G & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 699:10,13;700:16,20; } \\
& \text { 710:15,21,24;711:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 718:4,5,9;720:7,8; } \\
& 723: 13,14 ; 730: 7 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline familiar (1) & 754:4,10;756:23 & GAAP (1) & 76:16;779:16,20; & 764:13 \\
\hline 727:5 & fixed-asset (1) & 748:13 & 780:24;781:7,8,15,21; & honestly (1) \\
\hline family (2) & 758:6 & gave (4) & 782:3 & 762:8 \\
\hline 773:18,21 & flip (2) & 704:2;715:14; & Hal (4) & Honor (45) \\
\hline far (2) & 700:3,12 & 753:24;776:5 & \[
713: 9,22 ; 714: 24 ;
\] & 688:14;691:1,22; \\
\hline 727:24;760:21 & flow (8) & general (3) & \[
715: 14
\] & 693:19,25;694:5,10; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { fault (1) } \\
687: 5
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 728: 19 ; 729: 5 ; \\
& 730: 10,17,22 ; 731:
\end{aligned}
\] & 688:10;703:1;716:19 & hand (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 698:3,23;699:6,10,13; } \\
& 702: 10 ; 704: 22 ; 707: 15 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline February (1) & \[
733: 4,15
\] & 693:11 & handed (6) & \[
709: 11,25 ; 710: 3,4
\] \\
\hline 691:24 & focus (1) & gentleman (1) & 704:9;707:9;709:1; & \[
711: 3 ; 736: 11,16,22,25
\] \\
\hline fees (3) & 690:9 & 751:25 & 718:14;736:9;758:22 & 737:7;739:11;740:24; \\
\hline 725:19;727:10; & followed (1) & gets (1) & happening (2) & 741:7;752:3;761:21; \\
\hline 751:15 & 712:4 & \[
716: 12
\] & \[
753: 14 ; 755: 25
\] & \[
762: 8,12 ; 769: 17
\] \\
\hline feet (2) & following (7) & given (1) & happy (1) & 770:4;771:24,25; \\
\hline 695:15;697:3 & 701:2;709:4,22; & 773:20 & \[
704: 24
\] & 772:15;773:4;776:19; \\
\hline few (2) & 732:2;754:12;765:24; & gives (1) & hard (2) & 778:22,25;780:1; \\
\hline 721:13;731:11 & 774:4 & 723:21 & 702:1;739:19 & 781:9,16;782:9 \\
\hline FIELDS (7) & follows (1) & goes (4) & he- (1) & hostile (3) \\
\hline 691:4;697:12;733:5; & 687:11 & 697:2;711:21 & 694:9 & 694:6,7,17 \\
\hline 761:9,14;764:22; & food (1) & 755:22;772:22 & head (2) & house (8) \\
\hline 777:11 & 731:10 & Goldman (6) & 753:6;771:1 & 763:25;764:6,7,16, \\
\hline Fifty (1) & foot (3) & 713:9,11,22;714:5, & heading (1) & 21;765:6,9;769:14 \\
\hline 773:5 & 695:16;702:7;764:11 & 24;715:12 & 755:5 & housing (2) \\
\hline figure (6) & footage (2) & golf (18) & heard (3) & 738:9;740:19 \\
\hline \[
706: 16 ; 709: 17
\] & \[
702: 7 ; 763: 25
\] & \[
725: 4,10 ; 726: 10,17 ;
\] & \[
714: 24 ; 719: 3 ; 727: 7
\] & Hudson (3) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 722: 13 ; 758: 6 ; 770: 13, \\
& 21
\end{aligned}
\] & forward (4) 687:16:749-4 & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
727: 5,8 ; 728: 11,12
\] \\
733.20:740-16:747.17
\end{tabular} & hearing (1) & 688:22;689:23;690:2 \\
\hline 21
file (2) & 687:16;749:4 & 733:20;740:16;747:17, & 702:18 & hundred (1)
\[
758: 9
\] \\
\hline 691:10;772:3 & forwarded (2) & \[
750: 1,1 ; 752: 11
\] & \[
736: 15 ; 737: 14
\] & hurt (2) \\
\hline files (3) & 690:13;708:8 & Good (4) & \[
742: 9,9,13 ; 761: 14 ;
\] & 737:16,18 \\
\hline 690:20;691:2;704:20 & forwards (1) & 687:15;711:5; & \[
769: 22
\] & hurts (1) \\
\hline finance (4) & 705:12 & 779:12;782:10 & held (2) & 737:21 \\
\hline \(715: 24 ; 716: 1,14\)
\(717 \cdot 9\) & foundation (7) & goodwill (2) & 688:3;689:9 & hypothetical (1) \\
\hline 717:9 & \(703: 9,12,20,23 ;\)
\(740 \cdot 25 \cdot 741 \cdot 6 \cdot 764.23\) & 748:16;752:20 & help (1) & 757:5 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Financial (10) } \\
& 719: 19 ; 720: 3 ; 728: 7 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 740:25;741:6;764:23
foundation's (1) & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { grade (1) } \\
697: 3
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
700: 20 \\
\text { helped (1) }
\end{array}
\] & I \\
\hline 730:3,14;747:6; & 703:9 & grand (2) & 776:13 & \\
\hline 748:10,16,18;756:13 & four (6) & 747:19,22 & higher (3) & idea (1) \\
\hline find (1) & 692:1;696:23; & granted (3) & 707:5;723:16;727:1 & 773:23 \\
\hline 721:3 & 697:20;699:21;700:22; & 688:20;691:3;769:19 & highlighted (1) & identical (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 764:13,14 & inflation (1) & 753:8 & & 25;758:7 \\
\hline identified (2) & 716:9 & items (2) & L & lien (1) \\
\hline  & & & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { identifies (2) } \\
& 729: 3 ; 780: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 698:13,21;699:3; } \\
& 706: 24 ; 713: 20,21 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { It's- (1) } \\
725: 15
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { labeled (1) } \\
708: 12
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lift (1) } \\
& 766: 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { III (1) } \\
& 690: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & 714:5,9;715:12,14; & J & Lack (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
likely (1) \\
755:17
\end{tabular} \\
\hline IIII (1) & 735:23;736:20 & & Ladder (3) & Limitations (11) \\
\hline 688:23 & infrastructure (1) & Jeff (11) & 692:13,24;693:3 & 688:19;691:4;705:2, \\
\hline illegal (5) & 717:17 & 691:14;704:7; & land (9) & 6;707:17;736:15; \\
\hline 777:15,16;778:6,13, 18 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { initially (1) } \\
760: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 712:16;718:12;720:11; } \\
& 725: 11 ; 734: 1,25 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 721:17,20,22,23; } \\
& \text { 722:1;764:9,20;765:3, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
743:5,7;752:6;772:1,8 \\
limited (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline immediately (2) & inserted (1) & 739:25;758:19;766:7 & 7 7, & 721:24 \\
\hline 718:10;73 & 768:2 & job (2) & large (4) & line (31) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { impeachment (1) } \\
& 777: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & insight (1)
\(714: 16\) & 778:3,2 & \[
715: 23 ; 716: 3 ; 764: 6,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 691:8;692:11;696:3; } \\
& 712: 21 ; 725: 12,15 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline improper (2) & instead (4) & 758: & largely (1) & 726:7,13;727:13; \\
\hline 743:9;777:1 & 693:20;702:7,25 & journal (1) & \[
762: 19
\] & \[
730: 16 ; 734: 2,4,10
\] \\
\hline include & 731:23 & 52:18 & last (3) & 745:1;746:5,15,17,20, \\
\hline 6 & int & Ju & 748:15;775:8;781:22 & 24;747:1;750:15; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 69 \\
& 72
\end{aligned}
\] & & & later (5) & 53: \\
\hline 743:7;754:9;758:9; & 773:8 & 699:17;709:24;722:1 & \[
761: 1 ; 768: 18,25
\] & 775:8;777:20 \\
\hline 764:7,8 & intent (1) & jump (1) & lawyer (1) & lines (8) \\
\hline included & 688:2 & \[
756: 20
\] & \[
709: 13
\] & 729:16;734:17; \\
\hline 693:5;699:5,23 & intentional (1) & jumped (2) & lawyers ( & 735:1;743:23;746:16; \\
\hline 700:24;703:9;731:11 & 712:7 & 734:5;735: & 737:9 & 780:18;781:13,23 \\
\hline \(747: 18 ; 750: 22,23 ;\)
\(751 \cdot 17 \cdot 753 \cdot 11 \cdot 765.8\) & intentionally (1) & June (14)
692.12.718.10. & lead (3) & line's (1)
\[
781: 4
\] \\
\hline 751:17;753:11;765:8 & 708:7 & 692:12;718:10; & 694:6;715:19;755:18 & 781:4 \\
\hline clu & in & 9:12,13;720:17 & leading (3) & list (3) \\
\hline 725.22-731.3.24.733:3 & & 26:8;736:6;744:9 & 693:24;694:1,1 & 704:4;709:5,23 \\
\hline 725:22;731:3,24;733:3 & interests & 747:5,5,7;759:19,19,20 & learn (1) & listed (5) \\
\hline including (6) & 736:22;737:11,15 & Jupiter (12) & \[
715: 18
\] & 687:18;727:22; \\
\hline 698:8,18;733:12;
\(754 \cdot 3 \cdot 756 \cdot 1 \cdot 765: 6\) & internally (1) & 750:5,13,18;751:16; & lease (17) & 729:17;734:18;762:24 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 754:3;756:1;765:6 } \\
& \text { aclusion (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 738:2 & 752:1,1,11,23;753:5; & 692:23;693:14; & lists (1) \\
\hline \[
747: 22
\] & into (25) & 754:5;755:3, & 695:19;696:7,12,15,16; &  \\
\hline income & 705:3;70 & 699:16 & \[
3
\] & 704:17;731: \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 692:22;693:3,9,10, } \\
& \text { 14;695:19;696:7; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 710: 20 ; 711: 2,21 \\
& 714: 17 ; 717: 20 ; 727: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & & leased (2) & \begin{tabular}{l}
734:17;766:14;767:8 \\
live (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14;695:19;696:7; } \\
& \text { 697:5,25;698:2,11, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 714:17;717:20;727:24; } \\
& \text { 728:16;730:6;736:13; }
\end{aligned}
\] & K & \[
696: 23 ; 697: 20
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { live (1) } \\
& 760: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 699:1;717:6;718:4; & 754:4;758:2;760:7; & keep (3) & 693:3;697: & LLC (4) \\
\hline 728:3;730:5;731:3,5, & 769:18;771:23;775:2; & 694:21;725:6;742:24 & least (3) & 752:11;772:18,23; \\
\hline 24;749:10 & 781:1,10,14,18 & keeping (1) & 730:14;748:2 & 773:8 \\
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755: 15
\] \\
\hline 729:17;734:10; & 743:22;744:6,24; & 688:9;705:10; & \[
746: 11
\] & respect (3) \\
\hline \[
749: 10
\] & 750:10;751:21;759:9; & 707:25;738:5;752:8 & remaining (1) & 730:15;747:17; \\
\hline properties (5) & \[
762: 22 ; 763: 25 ; 766: 9
\] & receiving (1) & 745:3 & \[
757: 25
\] \\
\hline \[
728: 15,22 ; 729: 10 ;
\] & \[
777: 19 ; 780: 5
\] & \[
776: 21
\] & \[
\underset{746.7}{\text { remains }}(1)
\] & respond (1) \\
\hline 763:12,14 & puts (1) & recent (2) & 746:7 & \[
780: 15
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { property (29) } \\
696: 23 ; 697:
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
689: 1 \\
\text { putting }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 728: 21 ; 729: 1 \\
& \text { recess (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & remember (13) & response (5) 688:15;708 \\
\hline 712:23;714:15;721:1, & 710:16,18 & 741:1 & 715:17;723:14;724:14; & 709:20;710:4,17 \\
\hline 16;722:5,12,20; & PX-2374 (1) & recognize (2) & 735:17;749:17;750:8; & responses (1) \\
\hline 723:18;724:3;733:24; & 769:10 & 705:25;707:11 & 753:6;760:21;768:14, & 734:14 \\
\hline 740:18;757:6,11; & PX75 (1) & recollection (3) & 20;771:19 & rest (1) \\
\hline 759:12,24;761:25; & 780:19 & 689:8,14;745:18 & removed (2) & 777:4 \\
\hline 762:2;764:5;767:13; & & record (15) & 708:7,11 & rests (1)
\[
759: 5
\] \\
\hline \[
15,22 ; 775: 6,19
\] & Q & \[
746: 15 ; 762: 7 ; 780: 5,7,
\] & 708:17 & resume (1) \\
\hline provide (3) & & 19;781:2,6,11,19,25, & rent (10) & 778:24 \\
\hline 692:9;706:24;714:16 & \[
777: 23
\] & 25;782:4 & 695:8,15;709:7,8,9,9, & resumed (1) \\
\hline provided (1) & qualify (3) & records (1) & 17,21,22;711:15 & 687:10 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
713: 21
\] \\
provides (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 777:1,8;778:3 } \\
& \text { quickly (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
693: 11
\] \\
reduced
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|r}
\mid r e n t a l ~(1) ~ \\
697: 19
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Retail (5) } \\
& \mathbf{6 9 5 : 7 ; 6 9 6 : ~}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
728: 9
\] & \[
773: 3
\] & 703:16 & rents (1) & 697:19,20 \\
\hline \[
\underset{691: 13}{\text { providing (1) }}
\] & & reference (6) & \[
695: 16
\] & returns (3) \\
\hline 691:13 & \(\mathbf{R}\) & 696:14,16;697:15; & rent-stabilized (3) & 721:21;776:14,15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pull (9) } \\
& \quad 690: 18 ; 691: 16 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & raise (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 700:23;702:22;720:17 } \\
& \text { referenced (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 711:8,18;712:1 } \\
& \text { repaid (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { reviewed (2) } \\
& 695: 23 ; 712: 5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline reviewing (1) & sale (12) & sellout (1) & 742:14 & sought (1) \\
\hline 696:19 & 726:20;727:15; & 729:20 & signature (1) & 738:7 \\
\hline right (115) & 728:4,20;729:7,17; & send & 775:8 & sound (1) \\
\hline 687:6;688:11; & 731:6;734:11;735:11; & 26: & signed (1) & 693:24 \\
\hline 689:23;692:24;693:5, & 749:22;763:25;765:25 & sending (1) & 693:3 & source (3) \\
\hline 17,24;695:19;696:12, & sales (6) & 691:18 & significant (1) & 747:11;755:17;769:4 \\
\hline 15;700:17;702:22; & 706:21;728:21; & sends (1) & 748:16 & South (5) \\
\hline 704:1,4;705:1;706:7; & 729:10;733:12;749:11; & 738:19 & signings (1) & 767:1,6,9;769:14; \\
\hline 707:23;708:5,9,18; & 751:3 & sent (17) & 692:23 & \[
770: 13
\] \\
\hline 710:2,9;713:2,11,22; & same (28) & 695:2;699:23;700:6 & similar (2) & space (3) \\
\hline \[
715: 21 ; 716: 5,9 ; 719: 7,
\] & 690:22;704:16 & \[
7,24 ; 707: 12 ; 708: 2,3
\] & \[
728: 22 ; 729: 11
\] & 696:23;697:19; \\
\hline 20;720:4;721:18; & 710:17;712:4;719:2,6, & 711:25;736:25;741:3, & simple (2) & 703:14 \\
\hline \[
724: 3 ; 725: 2,20,23
\] & 19;720:3,13,22;734:11, & 4;751:25;769:11,23; & 698:24;717:2 & spaces (1) \\
\hline \[
726: 2,5,8,11,21 ; 727: 8
\] & 15;740:12;745:3,15; & 770:1;771:21 & simpler (1) & 692:23 \\
\hline 11,25;728:11;729:8,11, & 746:8,25;747:12; & sentence (5) & 716:19 & speak (2) \\
\hline 14,22;731:4,20,25; & 748:2;749:14;756:5; & 748:15,21;749:1,6 & simply (2) & 780:2,7 \\
\hline 733:4,13,16,18,21,23; & 767:5,13,24,25;768:3, & 773:7 & 700:12;733:12 & speaking (1) \\
\hline 734:8,19,23;735:15,24; & 10,12 & separately (1) & simultaneously (1) & 741:8 \\
\hline 736:2;740:21;741:9; & Saved (1) & 80 & 757:22 & specific (5) \\
\hline 744:9;745:1;747:14, & 741:10 & September (11) & single (2) & 689:18;745:21,21, \\
\hline 23;748:22;750:7,19; & saw (4) & 705:13;709:23; & 773:18,21 & 21;760:12 \\
\hline 751:7,10,12;753:18,24; & 719:7;741:2;749:11 & 713:8,18;714:19; & site (3) & specifically (1) \\
\hline 754:6,10;755:3; & 771:6 & 719:23;720:1,18; & 738:8,9;740:1 & 733:14 \\
\hline 756:11,14;759:7,24 & saying (6) & 735:8;744:20;745: & sits (1) & Speculating (1) \\
\hline 760:15;761:7,22; & 697:16,17;700:5 & set (1) & 764: & 733:5 \\
\hline 762:19;763:9,13; & 744:17;746:9;760:18 & 725:2 & sitting (1) & speculation (3) \\
\hline 764:6;765:10,14,18,21; & schedule (1) & Seven ( & 689:15 & 727:2;761:9;764:22 \\
\hline 767:2,6;770:6;771:2, & 695:21 & 712:15,18;713:1,5 & six (2) & split (1) \\
\hline 11,21,22;772:19; & scheduling & 20;714:13,21;715:1,4, & 748:10;753 & 729:16 \\
\hline 775:12,20;776:3,14,23; & 779:4 & 10,21;717:15;718:4,9, & size (1) & spoke (2) \\
\hline 778:14,20;779:16; & screen (1) & 16;719:5,5,18;720:2,7, & 765:9 & 720:14,22 \\
\hline 780:12,13,16 & 729:16 & 14;721:3,7;722:8; & slightly (2) & sponsor (3) \\
\hline right-hand (1) & scroll (6) & 723:8,13,14 & 692:18;733:7 & 705:13,21;711:11 \\
\hline \[
700: 10
\] & 731:8;755:4;765:12 & Several (1) & slip (1) & spreadsheet (32) \\
\hline rights (20) & 766:16,21;767:4 & 728:16 & 751:2 & 687:18,22;689:1 \\
\hline \[
721: 16,17,23 ; 722: 2,
\] & & sheet (2) & social (6) & 691:14,17,18;703:8,10, \\
\hline 21;723:5;724:11,14,20, & 696:3;702:20; & \[
703: 7 ; 751: 23
\] & 761:8;762:3;777:2,8, & \[
16,24 ; 706: 15,21
\] \\
\hline 25;725:1;739:7,15; & 712:19;729:7;730:2, & sheets (1) & \[
24,25
\] & 707:13;711:12,21,25; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 760: 7,14,19,25 ; 762: 2 \\
& 773: 25 ; 775: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & 15;7 & & sold & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 713:4;721:5;725:1 } \\
& 735: 17 ; 740: 1,22 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline roughly (2) & 772:1;773:7,12;780:25 & 736:25 & 727:17,23;758:2 & 743:23;744:20,25 \\
\hline 707:5;734:5 & section (7) & shift (1) & 759:6,12,24;763:15; & 747:10;749:16;750:3, \\
\hline row (21) & 710:17;712:18; & 718:18 & 765:8;767:13;775:19 & 11;758:20;767:24,25 \\
\hline 692:7,7;704:11; & 718:16;721:4;749:2,7; & shifted (1) & SOLOMON (1) & spreadsheets (3) \\
\hline 712:18;713:1,8,17; & 773:24 & 749:10 & 779:24 & 745:14,14,22 \\
\hline 718:16;719:12,22; & Security & shortcut & Son & Springs (9) \\
\hline 720:12;721:7,7; & 777:2,8,24;778: & 731:12 & 761:12;766: & 712:15,18;7 \\
\hline 744:20;746:18,19 & seeing (1) & shorten (1) & someone (3) & 718:16;719:6;721:4,8; \\
\hline 750:12,12;758:21,24; & 702:21 & 745:12 & 707:18;713:9;727:11 & 722:8;723:9 \\
\hline 766:10 & seemed (1) & show (8) & sometime (1) & square (7) \\
\hline rows (3) & 779:12 & 734:17 & 758:3 & 695:15,16;697:3; \\
\hline 692:17;725:25;726:4 & sell (7) & 737:18,20;738:1 & soon (1) & 702:6,7;763:25;764:11 \\
\hline rule (2) & 717:17;727:20,24 & 742:7,7;752:18 & 718:17 & stabilized (9) \\
\hline 694:20;742:10 & 728:17;730:7;756:22; & shows (11) & Sorry (26) & 709:4,7,8,9,9,17,21, \\
\hline rules (1) & 761:25 & 689:4;696 & 694:3;695:9;698:7 & 23;711:15 \\
\hline 760:11 & seller (1) & 704:12;708:3,4,5 & 700:2;705:18,20; & stamped (1) \\
\hline ruling (1) & 756:23 & 727:13;734:4,10; & 709:8;710:12,15; & 737:2 \\
\hline 740:25 & selling (1 & 753:1;769:14 & 714:4;715:6;719:3 & stand (4) \\
\hline S & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 731: 4,24 ; 733: 3 \\
& 764: 14 ; 765: 6,2
\end{aligned}
\] & side (9) & \[
738: 2,13 ; 740: 6,7
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 687:10;741:8;779:1; } \\
& 782: 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & 766:4;767:19,19,20,22; & 756:9,12,16;766:9,10; & 759:16;762:11,23; & standard (3) \\
\hline salary (1) & 768:5,11,13,16,18; & 780:12 & 766:20;767:5;779:10, & 717:7,9,12 \\
\hline 776:23 & 769:14 & sides (1) & 11 & standing (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 691:25;692:3 & subpoena (1) & 720:18;735:9;744:21, & 687:3;713:5 & Trump-controlled (1) \\
\hline started (2) & 688:16 & 25;745:4;746:12 & TIR (3) & 68 \\
\hline 749:12;765:23 & subtract (3) & tells (1) & 6:21,24;711:1 & Trump's (3) \\
\hline starting (4) & 739:6,9,10 & 687:4 & title (1) & 703:9,9,20 \\
\hline 747:19;779:13,19,20 & subtracted (1) & ten ( & 760:1 & Trust (7) \\
\hline starts (6) & 729:21 & 728: & to- (2) & 688:15;760:8,14,16; \\
\hline 692:8;712:18,20; & subtracting (2) & te & 690:5 & 761:1;762:5;775 \\
\hline 746:25;750:12;758:20 & 728:21;729:8 & ,2 & T-O (1) & truth (14) \\
\hline state (2) & sufficient (2) & Ten-minute (2) & 735: & 736:18;741:1;742:6, \\
\hline 692:11;782:4 & 742:19;770:8 & 735:2;741:11 & today (7) & 8,12,18,19;743: \\
\hline statement (42) & summary (1) & terms (3) & 691:23;716:7,12,21 & 761:16;769:21;770:1, \\
\hline 689:19;695:20; & 695:8 & 725:5;755:14;773:14 & 717:21;720:8;742:23 & 10;772:6,12 \\
\hline 697:8;712:5;714:14, & super' & testified (6) & together (5) & try (3) \\
\hline 16;719:19;720:3; & 704:14,1 & 702:21;741:2 & 737:17;745:19,24 & 694:21;696:8;761:21 \\
\hline 723:11,16;728:7 & support (1) & 61:10;769:23;775:22; & 746:1;748:5 & trying (2) \\
\hline 730:3,13,20;731:13; & 707:12 & 776:9 & told (5) & 700:20;714:13 \\
\hline 733:1,6;745:13;747:4, & Supporting (17) & testify (8) & 703:23,25;736:1 & Tuesday (7) \\
\hline 6,7;748:7,9,18;749:7, & 691:9,14,17;704:8; & 687:11;693:22 & \[
745: 9 ; 761: 12
\] & 742:15;778: \\
\hline 16;754:5,10;755:1; & 706:15;707:12;712:17; & 694:13,14;702:11 & tomorrow (2) & 779:7,21,22,23;782:13 \\
\hline 756:6,10,12,13,17; & 718:12;720:11;725:11; & 741:6;743:1;777:22 & 717:21;720:8 & tune (1) \\
\hline 757:16,22;758:10,11; & 734:2,25;739:25; & testifying (3) & took (3) & 756:2 \\
\hline 759:18;772:13,16; & 743:22;750:10;758:19; & 693:21;694:1;699:14 & 703:12;717:24;765:5 & turn (8) \\
\hline 777:5 & 766:7 & testimony (16) & top (4) & 687:4;704:6;712:15; \\
\hline statements (2) 706:25:748:21 & \begin{tabular}{l}
sure (28) \\
694:11:695:10.
\end{tabular} & \[
688: 1 ; 702: 14,15
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 700: 17 ; 705: 21 \\
& 753: 6 ; 771: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
738: 18,23 ; 770: 15,23
\] \\
\hline 706:25;748:21 & 694:11;695:10; & 703:21;737:3;742:25; & 753:6;771:12 & 773:24 \\
\hline states (4)
\(719: 12,12 ; 726: 7,13\) & 726:4;733:9;735:16; & 759:21,21;772:4; & topics (1)
\(747: 17\) & \[
764: 16
\] \\
\hline status (1) & 739:22;740:11;741:2, & 776:5;777:19;778:9 & total (2) & two (18) \\
\hline 711:18 & 5;742:2;744:24;746:3; & 779:2 & 707:6;753:1 & 687:2;689:21; \\
\hline Statute (8) & 750:8;751:2;754:8; & testimony- (1) & Tower (1) & 692:23;715:8;717:25; \\
\hline 688:18;691:4;705:1, & 759:19;760:1;761:4,5; & 687:23 & 703:13 & 727:16;738:18;739:7, \\
\hline 6;707:17;736:15; & 762:13;764:2;766:21, & Thanks (1) & track (4) & 10;740:14;763:2,5; \\
\hline 752:6;772:1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
22;777:4;780:10 \\
swimming (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
700: 19
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 695:20;697: } \\
& 698: 12,21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
764: 13 ; 766: 13,15
\] \\
\hline 746:25 & 764:7 & \[
736: 24
\] & tracks (1) & two-acre (1) \\
\hline still (6) & switch (1) & thinking (1) & 699:4 & 764:14 \\
\hline 736:4;738:2;748:1; & 747:17 & 760:24 & transcript (6) & two-page (3) \\
\hline 751:8;779:1;782:7 & switched & third (11) & 780:4,17;781:4,13 & 742:15,15;770:2 \\
\hline stopping (1) & 749:18,18,21 & 690:2;708:8;729:10. & \[
17,23
\] & type (1) \\
\hline \[
773: 4
\] & sworn (2) & 13;730:13;731:16; & transfer (3) & \[
739: 5
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { story (1) } \\
769: 24
\end{array}
\] & 687:10;778:9 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 738: 23 ; 740: 15 ; 742: 5 \\
& 770: 12 ; 781: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
689: 11,20,22
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Typographic (1) } \\
& 780: 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { straighten (1) } \\
746: 17
\end{gathered}
\] & T & \[
\begin{array}{|r|}
\text { This- (1) } \\
697: 19
\end{array}
\] & \[
718: 8
\] & U \\
\hline Street (3) & & though (7) & 776:5,9;777:20; & \\
\hline SUAREZ (25) & ta &  & 778:10;780:17;781:4,
\[
12.17 .22
\] & \[
772: 23
\] \\
\hline 688:18;694:2, & 693:10;702:3,6 & 778:12 & Trump (58) & unaware (1) \\
\hline 698:3;702:10,13; & talking (2) & thought (4) & 688:8;703:11,13,25; & 738:11 \\
\hline 704:22;707:17,20; & 690:5;743:2 & 707:18;710:19 & 704:2,6,13;706:3; & uncomfortable (1) \\
\hline 709:11;710:4,8; & tangled (2) & 736:20;739:20 & 713:18,24;714:9,14,19; & 745:13 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 736: 14,25 ; 740: 24 \\
& 742: 24 ; 743: 10,15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 761: 19,20 \\
& \operatorname{tax}(6)
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
three (11) \\
706:6:712:23
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 715:15,15;719:13,17, } \\
& \text { 23,23;720:1,18;728:14, }
\end{aligned}
\] & under (6) 695:14:715-37 \\
\hline \[
745: 19 ; 752: 5 ; 762: 4
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tax}(6) \\
& 721: 18,21 ; 722: 3
\end{aligned}
\] &  & 23,23;720:1,18;728:14,
25:735:9,24:736:17. & 695:14;715:3,7;
728:9;748:21;750: \\
\hline 769:20;771:25;772:25; & 776:11,13,15 & 733:10;743:6;746:1,2; & 19;737:2,8;738:7,20; & understood (2) \\
\hline 780:23 & taxes (1) & 770:3;775:1 & 741:4;742:4;744:21; & 721:15;723:3 \\
\hline subject (6) & 733:18 & three-and-a-half-page (1) & 745:1,4,5,9,17;746:9, & undisputed (1) \\
\hline 696:23;697:19; & tax-free (1) & 772:14 & 12,20;747:2,15; & 710:10 \\
\hline 699:17;705:18;725:7; & 776:22 & three-day (2) & 748:16;749:9;750:6; & unit (7) \\
\hline 740:16 & team (1) & 779:25;782:10 & \[
755: 16 ; 760: 7 ; 761: 6
\] & \[
705: 13,21 ; 706: 7,7
\] \\
\hline submit (4) & 705:9 & tick (1) & \[
762: 1 ; 772: 16,19
\] & 708:3;709:7;711:11 \\
\hline \(710: 17 ; 742: 14,20 ;\)
\(780: 8\) & telephone (8)
713.8 .719 .13. & \[
711: 11
\] & 775:3,5;776:5;777:3, & \begin{tabular}{l}
units (34) \\
704-13.706.22.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 780:8 & 713:8;719:13; & & & 704:13;706:22; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

709:4,5,21,22,24;
711:8,12,13;712:2;
728:17,20;729:7,18; 730:7,15;731:7,19;
734:11;735:4,12,14,19,
23;736:5;738:9;
739:16;740:8,13,19,22;
743:24;745:16
unless (3)
737:19;758:14;
779:15
unsold (3)
704:12;706:1,22
up (47)
687:15,22;689:21;
690:10,18,21;691:16;
692:22;693:15;695:5;
702:25;704:7,19;
705:15,24;707:22;
708:20;712:16;723:8; 726:24;729:16;731:8; 734:1;737:23;740:2; 742:1,24;743:22; 744:6;748:7,23;
750:10;751:2,21,24;
752:10;756:25;759:9;
762:22,23;763:18,25;
766:9,12;767:8;
768:18;777:19
upkeep (1)
733:20
upon (1)
755:15
upper (1)
700:9
usage (2)
775:6,7
use (23)
709:20;717:9;723:3, 24;724:8;727:1; 731:17,23;745:15; 749:12,25;755:16; 757:19;761:7;762:2; 764:20;765:7,10,25; 766:4;768:15;770:21; 773:8
used (21)
703:15;706:14,18; 722:15;729:14;730:15; 731:3,19;737:24; 738:1;743:7,8;763:13; 765:3,11;767:5;768:3, 4,10,11,13
using (13)
692:14;727:21;
730:25;734:22;749:14, 21;750:18;752:16,22; 764:19;765:1;767:9; 768:9
usual (1) 741:11
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & \\
\hline V & \[
708: 12,12 ; 711: 24
\] & \[
721: 25 ; 724: 20
\] & \(\mathbf{X}\) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{```
vacant (4)
    703:13;764:20;
    765:3,7
valuation (64)
```} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 11,16;746:11 } \\
\text { valuing (11) } \\
702: 25 ; 703: 13 ;
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { whereas (1) } \\
722: 25 \\
\text { whereof (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { XL (1) } \\
& \quad 691: 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & 722:25;729:4;731:13; & 775:9 & Y \\
\hline & 9:10;751:18;757:23; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Whereupon (15) 704:9;705:10;707:} & \\
\hline 15,20;696:10;697:9; & 765:3,20;771:7 & & year (27) \\
\hline 698:1,2,4,5,11;699:2; & various (2) & \[
25 ; 709: 1,14 ; 718: 14 ;
\] & 703:8;711:15;713:5; \\
\hline 703:5;705:13,22,25; & 68 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 736: 9 ; 738: 5 ; 741: 13 \\
& 752: 8 ; 758: 22 ; 762: 14 \\
& 777: 21 ; 782: 12
\end{aligned}
\]} & 715:16;718:10;719:20; \\
\hline 706:25;714:16;715:4, & view (1) & & 724:5;727:13;734:12, \\
\hline 8,19,19;717:20,25; & \begin{tabular}{l}
693:8 \\
Vornado (14)
\end{tabular} & 777:21;782:12 & 15;749:4,25;750:9; \\
\hline 0:7 & 67:17:688: & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{|c|l}
\hline whole (2) & 759:10,11,18,22; \\
\(710: 19 ; 765: 5\) & \(765: 24 ; 767: 5,12 ;\) \\
wholly (1) & \(768: 3,10,12,18,25 ;\) \\
\(728: 14\) & \(769: 4 ; 775: 18\)
\end{tabular}} & \[
759: 10,11,18,22 ;
\] \\
\hline \[
723: 4 ; 725: 13,19
\] & 15,23;689:5,8,14,17, & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 765: 24 ; 767: 5,12 ; \\
& 768: 3,10,12,18,25 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 729:25;733:12,23; & 22;690:3;703:8;704:4 & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 768: 3,10,12,18,25 ; \\
& 769: 4 ; 775: 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 734:4;740:13;743:20, & W & wife (3) \({ }_{\text {777:1,8,15 }}\) & years (18) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 24;744:9,17;747:18; } \\
& \text { 749:14;750:5,13; }
\end{aligned}
\] & W & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { 777:1,8,15 } \\
\text { willing (3) }
\end{array}
\] & 703:1;715:8;716:8, \\
\hline 752:16,23;754:5 & wait (2) & 756:23,23;763: & 8;724:3;727:20; \\
\hline 758:10,11;759:5,23; & 5:25;755:9 & withdraw (1) & 745:20,21;746:1,2; \\
\hline 760:2,5;762:18;765:3, & iver (1) & 697:14 & 749:17;759:2;760:2 \\
\hline 23;770:6,17,21 & 782:8 & Withdrawn & yeses (1) \\
\hline valuations (10) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Wakefield (3) \\
692.12.736.12.737.1
\end{tabular} &  & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
778:9 \\
yesterday (9)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 703:3;706:22;711:8, & 692:12;736:12;737:1 & within (3) & \\
\hline 14;718:6;719:10; & Wall (3) & 693:5;699 & 687:16,19;690:6,11; \\
\hline 725:10;730:5;761:22, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 690:5;692:8;695:1 } \\
& \text { wants (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Without (6) & 694:15;695:1;702:14, \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
25 \\
\text { value }
\end{gathered}
\] & wants (4)
\(742: 5,11,12 ; 743: 1\) & 711:17;733:3;755:17; & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 15,16 } \\
\text { York (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 692:13,18, & arning (1) & 764:5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { York (2) } \\
& 700: 9 ; 776: 6
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 693:2,9,16;698:9,18 & 735:2 & witness (22) & \\
\hline 699:8;704:12,16; & Waterfront (3) 688:23:689:23:690:2 & \begin{tabular}{l}
687:8,10;694:6,7,17; \\
704:10;707:10:708:16.
\end{tabular} & \(\mathbf{Z}\) \\
\hline 706:11,14;707:6; & 688:23;689:23;690:2 way (17) & 709:2;718:15;736:10; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline  & 709:16;712:12 & 741:8;742:25;743:12, & \\
\hline 716:5,13,22;717:4,7, & 723:11;726:24;736:1; & 15;758:23;761:10; & 757:17,23 \\
\hline 14;719:18;720:2,17, & 741:10;754:3;758:2; & 771:15;772:21,22; & \\
\hline 24;721:8,17;722:11,11, & 760:1;762:22;764:10; & 775:9;778:25 & 1 \\
\hline 15,16,19;723:3,4,12, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 765: 5,12 ; 767: 4 ; \\
& 768: 20,22 ; 778: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { witnesses (1) } \\
707: 22
\end{gathered}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline 20,22,25;724:2,9,11, & \begin{tabular}{l}
768:20,22;778:1 \\
web (2)
\end{tabular} & 707:22 witness's & \\
\hline 17,25;725:1,18,22; & web (2)
\[
761: 19,2
\] & witness's
\(742: 25\) & \[
728: 18
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 726:1,4,19,20,23; } \\
& \text { 728:3,18;730:11,21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & week (1) & word (3) & \[
1.4 \text { (1) }
\] \\
\hline 731:7;733:3,13,25 & 42:1 & 24:22;768:2, & 10 (2) \\
\hline 734:18;735:4,7; & weekend (2) & words (1) & 781:23;782:13 \\
\hline 737:23,24;738:1,7,15 & 779:25;782:10 & 703:22 & 10:00 (3) \\
\hline 24;739:1,2,6,6,7,15; & Weisselberg (17) & work (4) & 778:24;779:23; \\
\hline 740:3,8,22;744:19,24; & 712:10;727:5; & 710:13;726:17 & 782:13 \\
\hline 745:3,6,9,16,17;746:7, & 747:23;748:5;766:3; & 759:20;780:11 & 100 \\
\hline 9;747:2,11;748:17; & 775:23;776:2,10,25; & worked (2) & 766:21 \\
\hline 750:2,15,23;753:22,23; & 777:7;778:19;779:7, & 727:8;751:20 & 101 (5) \\
\hline 754:4;755:18;756:1, & 14,19,20,22,2 & working (2) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 735: 4 ; 740: 12 ; \\
& 743: 25 ; 746: 19,25
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 22;757:19;758:7,24; & Weisselberg's (2) & 703:2;728:25 & \\
\hline 759:10,11;763:21; & 777:15;778:13 & works (1) & 1073 (1) \\
\hline 764:8,16,20,20;766:11, & well-intentioned (1) & 780:8 & 704:20 \\
\hline 11;769:6;773:9,13,15, & 687:2 & worth (5) & 10E \\
\hline 16,16;776:22 & weren't & 716:8,12,21;757:2, & 711:13 \\
\hline valued (10) & 693:7 & 14 & 11 (6) \\
\hline 711:17,19;713:4; & Westchester (1) & wrong (2) & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 709:4;773:18; } \\
& \text { 780:18;781:5,17,23 } \\
& 11: 30(1) \\
& 725: 8
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline 722:24;749:22;756:7; & 40:4 & 702:17;772:17 & \\
\hline 757:16,18;768:17; & whatnot (1) & & \\
\hline 775:19 & 772:8 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 110 (1) & 17 (7) & 715:19;719:7,9; & 769:10 & 726:8;727:20;736:6; \\
\hline 700:2 & 696:3;745:5;746:8; & 733:25;734:1,6,18; & 24 (1) & 744:9;747:19,25; \\
\hline 111 (1) & 747:5;749:1;759:19; & 749:9;758:19;759:3; & 713:18 & 749:5;765:14,17 \\
\hline 700:10 & 775:18 & 762:23,25;763:2; & 25 (2) & 30000-square-foot-triplex (1) \\
\hline 115 (1) & 175,000 (1) & 767:8,9;768:22 & 744:20;745:1 & 702:4 \\
\hline 695:25 & 727:2 & 2013 (28) & 25.1 (1) & 3004 (1) \\
\hline 117 (2) & 17th (1) & 688:19;709:4,9; & 735:4 & 690:18 \\
\hline 692:7;696:21 & 745:5 & 719:1,6,12,13,14,17; & 250,000 (1) & 301 (1) \\
\hline 118 (2) & 18 (4) & 720:4;734:25;735:3,8; & 727:2 & 746:20 \\
\hline 692:17;695:5 & 744:6;747:7;759:20; & 736:6;744:21;745:1; & 253 (1) & 3041 (1) \\
\hline 119 (1) & 781:13 & 747:19;748:7;749:4; & 735:1 & 708:21 \\
\hline 780:18 & 18,500 (1) & 750:6,10,18;755:1; & 255 (1) & 3055 (3) \\
\hline 12 (5) & 695:15 & 765:24;766:4,13,20; & 743:23 & 751:21;752:9,10 \\
\hline 709:22;711:12; & 187 (1) & 769:7 & 258 (1) & 31 (2) \\
\hline 719:23;720:18;780:18 & 758:21 & 2014 (17) & 734:2 & 731:19;735:14 \\
\hline 120 (1) & 188 (1) & 718:12;719:1,6,23; & 2587 (1) & 3106 (1) \\
\hline 693:16 & 758:24 & 720:1,13,17,19;723:17; & 687:22 & 688:13 \\
\hline 1220 (4) & 19 (1) & 738:7;739:25;740:9; & 25th (1) & 312 (1) \\
\hline 767:1,6;769:14; & 781:17 & 743:25;744:6,19,24; & 735:8 & 747:2 \\
\hline 770:13 & 191 (1) & 766:7 & 264 (1) & 343 (2) \\
\hline 123 (1) & 781:13 & 2015 (20) & 725:12 & 710:4,9 \\
\hline 692:17 & 1995 (1) & 690:9,12,15,23; & 266 (1) & 344 (3) \\
\hline 125 (1) & 760:24 & 691:5;692:12,15; & 726:7 & 708:22,24;709:3 \\
\hline 692:11 & 1-Jeff (1) & 696:19;697:9;699:21; & 268 (1) & 35.4 (2) \\
\hline 126 (2) & 691:9 & 720:12,24;721:4; & 726:13 & 724:8,12 \\
\hline 692:11;708:24
12E (1) & 2 & \(723: 20 ; 743: 21,24 ;\)
\(744 \cdot 0 \cdot 745 \cdot 3 \cdot 547 \cdot 16\) & 270 (1) & 3rd (1)
\[
781: 10
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12E (1) } \\
711: 13
\end{gathered}
\] & 2 & \[
2016(7)
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
735: 1 \\
272(3)
\end{array}
\] & 781:10 \\
\hline 1314 (2) & 2 (1) & 691:24;724:5; & 744:20,20;745:1 & 4 \\
\hline 767:16,17 & 781:4 & 745:15;746:5,22; & 273 (1) & \\
\hline 1354 (2) & 2.11 (2) & 749:1;775:18 & 734:17 & 4 (2) \\
\hline 781:18,20 & 768:25;769:5 & 2016/2017 (2) & 275 (2) & 781:19,24 \\
\hline 136 (3) & 2.25 (1) & 748:23;759:22 & 727:13;734:17 & 40 (2) \\
\hline 697:18;700:6,6 & 693:14 & 2017 (4) & 278 (2) & 690:5;692:8 \\
\hline 137 (4) & 2.5 (2) & 745:15;746:4,5,22 & 746:6,17 & 43.3 (4) \\
\hline 695:6,10;700:1,8 & 768:23;769:5 & 2018 (6) & 280 (2) & 729:20;738:24; \\
\hline 1407 (1) & 20 (5) & 745:15;746:14,24, & 725:25;726:4 & 739:1;740:10 \\
\hline 777:20 & 703:1;705:13;709:8; & 25;747:12;760:2 & 284 (2) & 43.4 (1) \\
\hline 1444 (1) & 719:14;726:20 & 202 (1) & 726:1,4 & 738:24 \\
\hline 771:16 & 2000s (1) & 708:21 & 285 (1) & 46 (1) \\
\hline 15 (6) & 761:1 & 2020 (1) & 734:10 & 754:9 \\
\hline 688:19;741:12; & 2002 (2) & 767:9 & 287 (1) & 46,250,000 (1) \\
\hline 748:1,4;749:5;755:2 & 760:14;775:2 & 2021 (3) & 734:4 & 699:12 \\
\hline 15,500 (1) & 2007 (2) & 748:22;759:10;760:3 & 29 (2) & 462 (1) \\
\hline 697:3 & 770:25;771:10 & 2023 (1) & 690:15,23 & 750:12 \\
\hline 150,000 (3) & 2010 (2) & 782:13 & 293 (1) & 465 (1) \\
\hline 726:14,14,21 & 727:13,16 & 205 (2) & 706:16 & 750:15 \\
\hline 1501 (2) & 2011 (36) & 736:8;744:4 & 295 (3) & 47 (1) \\
\hline 781:24;782:2 & 704:16;706:18; & 207 (1) & 729:16;746:15,24 & 726:23 \\
\hline 157 (2) & 709:8,10,21,23;713:7, & 766:10 & 298 (2) & 471 (1) \\
\hline 702:7;781:4 & 8,22;714:25;715:19; & 212 (2) & 729:17;746:19 & 781:17 \\
\hline 15AB (1) & 719:7,9;723:17; & 767:22,23 & 2-acre (1) & 472 (1) \\
\hline 711:13 & 725:11,23;726:2,5,8; & 214 (1) & 764:15 & 781:17 \\
\hline 16 (4) 713:8:746:8;747:5; & 727:16;728:7;734:5,
18:748:22:25:759:2 & 763:22
216(1) & 3 & 477 (1)
\(781: 23\) \\
\hline 713:8;746:8;747:5;
\[
759: 19
\] & 18;748:22,25;759:2,10, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 216(1) \\
& 765.12
\end{aligned}
\] & 3 & 781:23 \\
\hline 161 (6) & 761:22;762:25;763:5; & 219 (1) & 3.04 (3) & 711:12,12 \\
\hline 713:5;723:1,12,16; & 775:12,18 & 700:6 & 693:10,15;699:9 & \\
\hline 724:23,24 & 2012 (30) & 224 (1) & 3.10 (1) & 5 \\
\hline 166 (1) & 704:7,16;705:14; & 767:15 & \(759: 9\) & \\
\hline 704:11 & 706:18;709:8,10,22; & 23 (1) & 30 (14) & 5 (3) \\
\hline 169 (1) & 711:12;712:16;713:7, & 713:4 & 688:3;718:10; & 740:17;777:20; \\
\hline 771:18 & 18,24;714:14,20; & 2374 (1) & 719:12,13;720:17; & 781:13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & EISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 787 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 789 \\
\hline & THE WITNESS: I do & 1 bit the last few years as you all know, and thinking back to \\
\hline 2 & THE CLERK: Please take a seat. Please state your & 2 2011, I know he worked for a CPA firm. I hired him from that \\
\hline 3 & name and either home or business address on the record, as & 3 firm at the time. His level of knowledge of GAAP, I don't know. \\
\hline & close to the mic phone as you can & 4 Q Did you ever come to have an understanding as to Mr. \\
\hline 5 & THE WITNESS: Allen Weisselberg, 6554 Piemonte & 5 McConney's level of knowledge of GAAP? \\
\hline 6 & Drive, Boynton Beach, Florida. & 6 A Not that I could reca \\
\hline 7 & THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask the witness, as he is & 7 Q Let's talk about your work history. \\
\hline & doing already, to speak loudly, right into the microphone. & 8 Did there come a time that you began working with Fred \\
\hline 9 & THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. & 9 Trump? \\
\hline 10 & THE COURT: Clearly and slowly, et cetera. Okay. & 10 A I began my career with the Trump family, Fred Trump in \\
\hline & Please proceed. & 11 particular, in 1973. \\
\hline & MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, your Honor. & 12 Q And did Mr. Fred Trump's business involve real estate \\
\hline & IRECT EXAMINATION & 13 in New York \\
\hline & Y MR. SOLOMON: & 14 A Yes. \\
\hline & Q Good morning, sir. & 15 Q Is it fair to say, sir, that you have been involved in \\
\hline & A Good morning. & 16 real estate in New York for almost 50 years? \\
\hline & Q I would like to begin with some questions about your & 17 A Yes. \\
\hline & background. Did you receive a degree from Pace University in or & 18 Q Did there come a time that you began working with \\
\hline & out 1971? & 19 Donald Trump \\
\hline & A It was actually Pace College at that time. & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline & Q And was that in business administration? & 21 Q -- was in or about 1986? \\
\hline & A Yes. & 22 A That's correct. \\
\hline & Q Were you ever a CPA? & 23 Q And when you began working with Donald J. Trump, did \\
\hline & A No. & 24 you have a title? \\
\hline & Q Do you have any formal or informal accounting training & 25 A I came into his office to work as the controller. \\
\hline & SSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 788 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 790 \\
\hline & since graduating from Pace? & 1 Q And were you the controller for the Trump Organization? \\
\hline & A I worked for a short time in accounting, but not very & 2 A Yes. \\
\hline 3 & long. & 3 Q Did you eventually become the CFO, Chief Financial \\
\hline & Q That was prior to about 1976, correct? & 4 Officer, of the Trump Organization? \\
\hline 5 & A I would say so, yes. & 5 A Yes. \\
\hline 6 & Q Are you aware of any accounting standards that apply to & 6 Q Do you recall if that was approximately in 2002? \\
\hline 7 & the preparation of a personal financial statement? & 7 A Sounds about right, yes. \\
\hline 8 & A I'm sorry. I don't quite understand. Am I aware of & 8 Q Did you report to Donald Trump at that time? \\
\hline 9 & them? & 9 A I did. \\
\hline 10 & Q Yes. & 10 Q Did you report to Donald Trump between that time and \\
\hline & A Not being a CPA, the answer is I know of GAAP. I've & 11 when you left the company? \\
\hline & heard the term used before, but I -- that's about it. & 12 A Well, when he became president and he was no longer \\
\hline 13 & Q And when you say GAAP, you're referring to the & 13 there, the reporting was more little more informal and I dealt \\
\hline 14 & Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States, & 14 mostly with Eric Trump. \\
\hline & correct? & 15 Q When Mr. Trump became president, did you also deal with \\
\hline & A I've heard that term, yes & 16 Donald J. Trump, Jr.? \\
\hline & Q Yes. & 17 A Periodically. \\
\hline 18 & Do you know any of the components of GAAP? & 18 Q Did you also deal with Ivanka Trump at that time? \\
\hline 19 & A No. & 19 A No, she had already left and was in Washington, D.C. \\
\hline & Q Do you know Jeffrey McConney? & 20 Q Had you dealt with her prior to Mr. Trump becoming \\
\hline 21 & A Ido. & 21 president? \\
\hline 22 & Q Do you know his level -- withdrawn. & 22 A To a degree, yes. \\
\hline 23 & Did you know his level of familiarity with GAAP in or & 23 Q In connection with various loans that the Trump \\
\hline 24 & about 2011? & 24 Organization was soliciting from lenders? \\
\hline 25 & A It's quite some time ago. I've been through quite a & 25 A Correct. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & ISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 795 \\
\hline & A Yes. \\
\hline 2 & Q On this page, is that your signature? \\
\hline 3 & A Yes. \\
\hline 4 & MR. SOLOMON: We offer PX 769 into evidence. \\
\hline 5 & THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. \\
\hline 6 & (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as \\
\hline 7 & People's Exhibit 769.) \\
\hline 8 & Q Sir, did you resign as a trustee in or about January of \\
\hline 9 & 2021? \\
\hline 10 & A I don't recall the date. If you can show me something \\
\hline 11 & to refresh my memory, that would be helpful. \\
\hline 12 & MR. SOLOMON: Put up PX 1016, please. Scroll down. \\
\hline 13 & Q You will see there is a whereas clause; by removal of \\
\hline 14 & trustee executed prior to this appointment and acceptance of \\
\hline & trustee, settle or removed Allen Weisselberg as trustee under \\
\hline 16 & the agreement of trust. \\
\hline 17 & MR. SOLOMON: Go back to the top. \\
\hline 18 & Q This is dated January -- as of January -- I'm sorry. \\
\hline 19 & The last amendment was January 15, 2021. Do you see that? \\
\hline 20 & A Yes, I do. \\
\hline 21 & Q Does that refresh your recollection that it was in or \\
\hline 22 & about January of 2021? \\
\hline 23 & A It does. \\
\hline 24 & Q Does the trustee -- withdrawn. \\
\hline 25 & Does the trust hold title to Mr. Trump's assets? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON)

Page 796
A I'm not familiar with the -- with the way ownership of properties is handled. The legal department of the organization, they have all that information. I don't recall exactly the ownership structure.
Q So you are a trustee of the trust, but you don't know the legal ownership structure of the assets of the trust?
A That's correct.
Q During your time as a trustee, what work, if any, did you do on behalf or for the benefit of the trust?

A I continued my daily routine that I had all the years that I was the CFO. If there came a point in time where Eric Trump needed to discuss something with me or something he was thinking about, we would sit down and go over it, bounce some ideas back and forth; but other than that, my routine really hadn't changed.
Q So when you became - is it your testimony, sir, that when you became a trustee, your routine, your daily routine hadn't really changed?

A No, it hadn't really changed.
Q Do you currently work for the Trump Organization, sir?
A I do not.
Q When did that employment relationship end?
A As I mentioned before, so many things have happened over the last number of years with me and my family and it's taken its toll, I don't recall exact dates. If you have
something you can show me to refresh my memory again, that would be helpful.
Q Sure. I will in a moment, but I would like to know do you have an understanding as to why the employment relationship ended?

A I assume when I was convicted of felony. I guess at that point.

Q What is the basis for your understanding that was the reason for the termination of your employment relationship?

A I don't -- I don't have a basis for it.
Q Did you execute a severance agreement, sir?
A I did.
MR. SOLOMON: Can we please mark for identification PX 01751.
Q Let me know when you have had an opportunity to review the document, sir.
(Witness reviewing document.)
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, while the witness is reviewing that document, we would move PX 1016 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as People's Exhibit 1016.)
A I am not going to read the entire document, but I'm familiar with the document.

NEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON)
Page 798
Q Does that appear to be your severance agreement or separation agreement, sir?

A Yes.
Q Can you turn to page 7 of 8, on the bottom, if you see the number?

A Yes.
Q Is that your signature?
A It is.
Q Did you sign it on or about January 9, 2023?
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize the signature on behalf of the Trump Corporation?
A I'm trying to figure it out. It looks like Eric's or Eric Trump.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, the People offer PX 01751 in evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as People's Exhibit 01751.)
Q Mr. Weisselberg, if you perform your obligations under the separation agreement, how much money will you receive?

A \(\$ 2\) million.
Q That's payable over two years, correct?
A Correct.
25 Q Let's talk about Mr. Trump's triplex at Trump Tower.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) P & A. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Are you familiar with that argument? \\
A Yeah, I know of the apartment; sure. \\
Q It was one of the assets that was included in the \\
Statement of Financial Condition as of June 11th. Excuse me, as of June 2011? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And it was on the Statement of Financial Condition as of June 2012, correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q In fact, the triplex is included in every Statement of \\
Financial Condition between at least 2011 and your departure from the Trump Organization, correct? \\
A I mean, I could ask to see a statement to look at it, but -- \\
Q Is it your understanding that it is one of the assets reflected on the Statement of Financial Condition, sir? \\
A Yes. Yes. \\
Q In 2012, the value of the triplex was determined by \\
multiplying the number of the square feet of the apartment by a \\
price per square foot; is that correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q In fact, multiplying the square footage by a price per \\
23 square foot was how the value of the triplex was determined \\
24 every year in the Statements of Financial Condition between \\
25 June 2012 and June 2016, correct?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
triplex, the assumption made by the Trump Organization in 2012 was wrong? \\
A I -- when the report was completed, I did not go \\
through every work paper of Jeffrey McConney and look at all his calculation. \\
Q Sir, that wasn't my question. \\
In 2012, an assumption was made as to the number of square feet for the triplex, right? \\
A Jeffrey McConney had at his disposal. \\
Q The answer to my question is yes? \\
A Yes. \\
Q At some point, you learned that the assumption was wrong? \\
A Subsequently, that's correct. \\
Q In 2013, there was an assumption made as to the number of square feet of the triplex, correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q You subsequently learned that assumption was wrong? \\
A Correct. \\
Q 2014, an assumption was made, correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q And you learned that assumption was wrong? \\
A Correct. \\
Q 2015, an assumption was made and you learned it was wrong?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) \\
A I'm not sure that's correct. I don't know whether it was some years either comps that we used without the -- there were times when it was not used as the method. I believe it may have been, and this is from memory, some years where there was a comp that we were able to use to valuate. \\
Q Wasn't the comps used to determine the square foot? \\
A I don't recall that. That's a question Jeffrey \\
McConney would have to answer. I don't have the work papers. \\
If you would like to show me his work papers, I would be happy to look at it. \\
Q We will go through it. \\
In 2012, do you recall the size of the triplex was \\
assumed to be 30,000 square feet? \\
A I do not know the -- I don't walk around knowing the size of square footages of the apartments in the building including Mr. Trump's. \\
Q Sir, you do recall that there was an issue raised with respect to the size of the triplex, don't you? \\
A I found it out later on, years later. \\
Q Years later. And at some point in time, you knew that the number for the -- excuse me. \\
You knew that the square footage number that was assumed by the Trump Organization was wrong, didn't you? \\
24 A In 2011? \\
25 Q No. I'm asking if you recall that the size of the
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) \\
A Correct. \\
Q 2016, an assumption was made, correct? \\
A I don't believe that in 2016 we used the same number. \\
I think something -- I think we got a notification from Forbes \\
looking into it and that at that point, we went back - I had a \\
young lady in our office actually check our -- excuse me. Do you want me to continue? \\
Q Please. \\
A Okay. No, we had to check the offering plan to go back and check the amount of square footage that Mr. Trump owned and \\
11 we came up with a number that was different and once we did \\
12 that, we immediately changed the Statement of Financial \\
13 Condition for the year 2016. \\
14 Q Are you sure it was 2016 and not 2017? \\
15 A I'm pretty sure it was 2016 that the change was made, yeah. \\
Q And are you certain, sir, that this was done as soon as you looked back at the offering plan? \\
A It was -- well, we were in the process -- when we \\
20 prepared the June 30th statement, which started probably in \\
21 July, at that point in time when -- when Jeffrey McConney was \\
22 going through the assets -- if you had his work papers -- I \\
23 don't have the benefit of those work papers. I'd like to see to \\
24 confirm what I am saying is correct, but I believe at that point \\
25 in time, he made -- he made a change to what the square footage
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 803 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 805 \\
\hline 1 sh & 1 people offer PX 0632 in evidenc \\
\hline 2 Q In fact, sir -- well, prior to making the change in the & 2 THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. \\
\hline 3 Statements of Financial Condition, you were put on notice that & 3 (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as \\
\hline 4 the 30,000-square foot number was wrong, weren't you? & 4 People's Exhibit 0632.) \\
\hline 5 A I don't -- I don't recall that & 5 MR. SOLOMON: Will you, please, pull up PX 0633. \\
\hline 6 Q Let's look at, please, PX 0632 & 6 Q We marked as PX 0633 another e-mail from Ms. Hwang to \\
\hline 7 At the moment, I'm just going to ask you to foc & 7 the same group of people. Is that right, sir? Do you see that? \\
\hline 8 the cover e-mail, not the attachments to this. Who is -- for & 8 A \\
\hline 9 the record, this is Sharon -- from Sharon Hwang on February 2, & \(9 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) It is also on February 2nd? \\
\hline 102012 at 6:44 p.m. It is to several people at DLA Piper with & 10 A Ye \\
\hline 11 cc's to Jack Weisselberg. That's your son, correct? & 11 Q This is at 6:47 p.m., about three minutes aft \\
\hline 12 A Correct & 12 first one, rig \\
\hline 13 Q He was at Ladder Capital at the time? & 13 A Cor \\
\hline 14 A Correct & 14 Q And she writes, and I'm reading from her e-mail, "As \\
\hline 15 Q And to you, the Trump Organization, correct? & 15 indicated in the previous e-mail, attached please find a Trump \\
\hline 16 A Correct. & 16 Tower Condominium declaration amendments 1-13." Do you see \\
\hline 17 Q And Jason Greenblatt at the Trump Organization? & 17 that? \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & 18 A I \\
\hline 19 Q And Bradley Cox at the Trump Organization? & 19 Q Did you receive this email February 2, 2012? \\
\hline 20 A Correct. & 20 A I received a cover e-mail. I don't recall receiving \\
\hline 21 Q And the subject is Trump Tower organizational & 21 any attachments with it since all of those attachments, again, \\
\hline 22 documents, structure chart and contact list. Do you see that? & 22 is -- were already part and parcel of our offering plan and was \\
\hline 23 A Correct. & 23 sitting in our office. \\
\hline 24 Q Did you receive this e-mail on or about February 2, & 24 Q In fact, sir, you previously testified that you didn't \\
\hline 25 2012? & 25 believe you received it because all those documents were in the \\
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 804 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 806 \\
\hline 1 A I recall receiving the cover e-mail. I don't recal & 1 office all the time, what would I do with them, I have no need \\
\hline 2 seeing any attachments with it since they were already -- these & 2 for them. Does that sound about right? \\
\hline 3 documents were already in our office. So there would be no need & 3 A Meaning they were already in the office. \\
\hline 4 to send all the attachments to go with it. & 4 Q Righ \\
\hline 5 Q Let's look at the next document. Withdrawn & 5 A I wouldn't need to get them a second time. They were \\
\hline 6 Just so we can set the stage here, at this point in & 6 already there. \\
\hline 7 time, the Trump Organization was in discussions with Ladder & 7 Q Let's look at one of the documents attached to thi \\
\hline 8 Capital about potential loan for Trump Tower? & 8 shall we, one of the documents you said you didn't need. \\
\hline 9 A Refinancing, ye & 9 A Because -- again, because they were already in our \\
\hline 10 Q It would be secured by Trump Tower? The Trump & 10 office. \\
\hline 11 Organization's assets at Trump Tower, correct? & 11 \\
\hline 12 A The asset -- when you say Trump Tower, are you & 12 (Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.) \\
\hline 13 referring to the commercial side, not the residential side? & 13 Q Mr. Weisselberg, let's assume that your prior testimony \\
\hline 14 Q Either. & 14 under oath, your testimony today here was accurate that you had \\
\hline 15 A The residential side would not be part of the & 15 these attachments in your office, okay. Let's look at one \\
\hline 16 collateral, & 16 specifically. It is also one that you were shown in your prior \\
\hline 17 Q It would only be the commercial side? & 17 testimony. Page 13 of the exhibit, please. This document is \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & 18 titled "First Amendment to the Declaration of Trump Tower \\
\hline 19 Q But in order to make a determination, Ladder Capital & 19 Condominium". Do you see that? \\
\hline 20 wanted information, due diligence as they say the parlance, & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline 21 correct? & 21 Q That's a document you had in your office, right? \\
\hline 22 A They wanted that information to understand the & 22 A Not in my office, in the office generally. \\
\hline 23 deprivation of how the residential and commercial sides of the & 23 Q You had access to it, though, if you wanted it? \\
\hline 24 building operated. & 24 A If I needed to go to it for some purpose, I would do \\
\hline 25 MR. SOLOMON: Let's pull up -- withdrawn. The & 25 that. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & ISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 807 & A \\
\hline 1 & Q Do you see there is a stamp on the top right, Reel 2159 & \\
\hline 2 & PG333. Do you see that? & \\
\hline 3 & A Yes. & \\
\hline 4 & Q You've been in New York you told us for approximately & \\
\hline 5 & 50 years. Do you know what that stamp means? & \\
\hline 6 & A That it has been recorded someplace. & \\
\hline 7 & Q Right. Reel and page, does that sound familiar, sir? & \\
\hline 8 & A I don't know what this really means. & \\
\hline 9 & Q But you understand that it is being recorded someplace? & \\
\hline 10 & A Yes. & \\
\hline 11 & Q And that it is publicly available, right? & \\
\hline 12 & A Okay. & \\
\hline 13 & Q We established this was in the Trump Organization's & \\
\hline 14 & offices and it was publicly available. & \\
\hline 15 & MR. SOLOMON: Scroll down, please. & \\
\hline 16 & Q Under "unit designation," see that on the left? & \\
\hline 17 & A Yes. & \\
\hline 18 & Q Unit designation. Then there is tax number. Do you & \\
\hline 19 & see that? & \\
\hline 20 & A Yes. & \\
\hline 21 & Q And then there is a column "approx" for the approximate & \\
\hline 22 & area in square feet. Do you see that? & \\
\hline 23 & A Yes. & \\
\hline 24 & Q 10,996.39, do you see that, sir? & \\
\hline 25 & A Correct. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular} footage of Mr. Trump's triplex, right?
A Okay. Well, based on what you're showing me, yes.
Q So in the document you testified had been "in the
office all the time," since at least prior to February 2012, right?
A Yes.
Q The square footage is disclosed as 10996.39 square feet?

A Yes.
Q It is your testimony -- withdrawn.
MR. SOLOMON: Can we go to page 15 of the exhibit.
That's the first amendment. Scroll down, please. Okay.
Right there.
Q Do you see that this was executed October 11, 1994 by the residential board of Trump Tower Condominium, right?

A Correct.
Q Let's look at the consent now, the 20th page of this exhibit.

MR. SOLOMON: Scroll down.
Q There is a consent to the first declaration. Do you see that document in front of you, sir? You can take a look at the 20th page of the exhibit.
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize the signature?

A Donald J. Trump.
Q That was executed in or about October of 1994, correct?
A Right.
Q So as far as back as October 1, 1994, Mr. Trump
executed a consent to the first amendment to the declaration of the condominium that reflected the triplex was 10996.39 square feet, correct?
A Correct.
Q Notwithstanding the fact that this goes back to 1994, you are aware, sir, that in 2012, 2013, 2014, the triplex is
identified as 30,000 square feet on the Statements of Financial Condition, right?
A No. That's where I was trying to explain before. These -- the triplex was part of the other assets of Mr.
Trump's including other things that he owned, airplane, whatever, and they were all lumped together in one number. I never focused on that number when renewing Mr. McConney's draft or the Mazars draft of the financial statement. I wasn't aware at that time of the -- I didn't go through the details of that apartment. I was looking at -- what I was looking at is Statement of Financial Condition. There were much, much larger items on there that I was more concerned about, things like commercial buildings or golf courses and things of that nature that I was focused on.

The apartment itself, relatively speaking when you look
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at the value of that apartment relative to his net worth is non-material. It is not a material adverse type change. The number of 200 million or whatever difference may have been in dollars, that in and itself is a large number; but when you compare it to the net worth of the statement itself, 6 billion, it is less than one percent or about one percent.

We were always taught -- our accounting firm always told us if it is less than five percent, five percent or less, that's considered non-material. So I didn't have a reason to focus on this apartment at that time.
(Continued on the next page.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 811 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 813 \\
\hline 1 EXAMINATION BY & 1 Q Okay. Do you recognize the series of e-mail chain that \\
\hline 2 MR. SOLOMON & 2 you had with Luisa Kroll in or about August and September of -- \\
\hline 3 Q There was several parts to that answer, sir. & 3 A I recall it vaguely. \\
\hline 4 Respectfully, I don't think any of them answered my question, & 4 Q And that's your e-mail address at the Trump \\
\hline 5 which was the Statements of Financial Condition contained & 5 Organization? \\
\hline 6 inaccurate square footage for the triplex in 2012, 2013, 2014 & 6 A I'm sorry? \\
\hline 7 and 2015; right? I'm not asking if you knew it. I'm not asking & 7 Q That's your e-mail address at the Trump Organization? \\
\hline 8 what you did to confirm it. Yes or no, was it inaccurate in & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 those years? & 9 MR. SOLOMON: We move this into evidenc \\
\hline 10 A I'll say it again. Without seeing -- without being & 10 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evide \\
\hline 11 argumentative, without seeing the work papers as part of our & 11 Q Let's turn to page four of eight of the exhibit, Issue \\
\hline 12 assets, I won't say yes or no. If you'd like to show me the & 12 Number 6. Do you see Ms. Kroll is raising a question about who \\
\hline 13 work papers of Mr. McConney, I'll be happy to respond. & 13 actually owns certain units at Trump Tower? Do you see that? \\
\hline 14 Q Okay. We will get to the work papers later in the & 14 A I do. \\
\hline 15 testimony and we will go back over those, but in your answer you & 15 Q Sir, did you do anything in response to Ms. Kroll's \\
\hline 16 gave a couple of points to be mad. & 16 question or Issue Number Six? \\
\hline 17 Anything -- according to what you understood, anything & 17 A I don't recall. \\
\hline 18 less than five percent wasn't material? & 18 Q Did you contact in-house counsel at the Trump \\
\hline 19 A According to our accounting firms that we spoke with, & 19 Organization? \\
\hline 20 they always felt that five percent was the number that we can & 20 A I don't reca \\
\hline 21 use -- that should be utilized to determine materiality. & 21 Q Did you direct anyone to look into this issue? \\
\hline 22 Q And is it fair to say anything over five percent would & 22 A I think at this point in time I don't recall. \\
\hline 23 be material? & 23 Q If you scroll up on the e-mail chain you'll see that \\
\hline 24 A I would & 24 you did respond to certain other issues; right? \\
\hline 25 Q You mentioned the apartment was one percent on a & 25 Sir, you can look at the document in front of you? \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 812 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 814 \\
\hline 1 \$6 billion n & 1 Do you see she responds "thanks for getting back to \\
\hline 2 A In the year that we made the change. & 2 me?" \\
\hline 3 Q Are you saying one percent was the change or one & 3 A Yes, I do recall I may have asked her about -- it may \\
\hline 4 percent was the value of the apartment? & 4 have been a million things. At one point she was discussing -- \\
\hline 5 A Change. & 5 I don't recall. I don't recall what it was specifically that I \\
\hline 6 Q Do you recall what the change was? & 6 got back to her with. \\
\hline 7 A I don't recall. & 7 Q But you got back to her on other issues? \\
\hline 8 Q We'll get to that when we get to the SOFCs and the & 8 A On some things. I don't know what they were again. \\
\hline 9 backup data. & 9 Q So someone from Forbes reaches out to you, questions \\
\hline 10 Do you recall in August and September of 2016 a & 10 whether your boss, Donald J. Trump, actually owns the units in \\
\hline 11 reporter from Forbes reached out to you directly for comment & 11 the condo he claims to own and it's your testimony you don't \\
\hline 12 about Mr. Trump's net worth? & 12 remember what, if anything, you did? \\
\hline 13 A I don't recall & 13 A At this point in time I don't recall. \\
\hline 14 Q Let's mark PX -- withdrawn. & 14 Q Do you recall in February 2017 another reporter from \\
\hline 15 MR. SOLOMON: Before I do that, Your Honor, we move & 15 Forbes reaching out to you directly for comment about \\
\hline 16 PX 0063 into evidence. & 16 Mr. Trump's net worth? \\
\hline 17 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. & 17 A Reporters from Forbes contacted us every year in doing \\
\hline 18 MR. SOLOMON: Can we please mark PX 1280 for & 18 their list. It reached a point in time where we would -- when I \\
\hline 19 identification? & 19 say "we," I'm talking about myself, at one point and then Jeff \\
\hline 20 Q Please take a moment, sir. These are a number of & 20 McConney would take it over and then when Patrick Birney, he \\
\hline 21 e-mails with Luisa Kroll, who appears to be at Forbes. & 21 took it over and they would have a list of all of our assets and \\
\hline 22 And as you note in the e-mails the last page is the & 22 the value they placed on him and we spent inordinate amount of \\
\hline 23 oldest e-mail and it works its way more recent. You may want to & 23 time going back and forth with them and it got to a point in \\
\hline 24 look at it in that direction. & 24 time where Forbes would listen and never make any changes. They \\
\hline 25 A Okay. & 25 would periodically early on. Then that stopped and at this \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 819 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 821 \\
\hline 1 is what he did, what he directed someone to do and why. & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 Those are all different questions, respectfully, but I will & 2 Q And he asks if that is accurate and up to date. \\
\hline 3 move 0 & 3 Do you see that \\
\hline 4 THE COURT: Before you do, there was a famous & 4 A \\
\hline 5 semi-famous Colombian philosopher named Sidney Morgenbesser. & 5 Q And he tells you -- he tells the Trump Organization -- \\
\hline 6 One day a student asked him, "Professor Morgenbesser, can a & 6 excuse me -- in this e-mail that it shows President Trump's \\
\hline 7 statement be true and false at the same time?" And his & 7 apartment is \(10,996.39\) square feet, that same number we saw \\
\hline 8 answer was "yes and no." Let's move on & 8 earlier in the dec; righ \\
\hline 9 Q Sir, with respect to the communications from Forbes & 9 A Corre \\
\hline 10 that we were just looking at in 2016, 2017, did you ever go back & 10 Q Did there come a time that you had a conversation with \\
\hline 11 and check the first amendment to the condo dec that was in your & 11 Amanda Miller about how to respond to the report from Forbes? \\
\hline 12 offices? & 12 A I -- no. I think at that point I may have had a brief \\
\hline 13 A I never did, no. & 13 conversation regarding Trump Tower as a commercial which he \\
\hline 14 Q Did it ever cross your mind to do that? & 14 reiterated to me she asked about Trump World Tower and Trump \\
\hline 15 A No. & 15 Tower. I was working at Trump Tower in a commercial part of the \\
\hline 16 Q Did you ever discuss that with anyone? & 16 building which is a big asset that we focussed on and Trump \\
\hline 17 A Again, again -- & 17 World Tower we only had one apartment that we owned in that \\
\hline 18 THE COURT: Did you ever discuss it with him? That & 18 building at that point and It think a small shopping area and a \\
\hline 19 should be a yes or no. & 19 garage, so there was nothing really for me to even discuss with \\
\hline 20 THE WITNESS: No. & 20 her. I said just leave it alone then. Trump Tower is fine and \\
\hline 21 MR. SOLOMON: Can we please look at PX 1345 for & 21 Trump World Tower is fine. I wasn't focused on this e-mail \\
\hline 22 identification? & 22 behind it. I'm not even sure I remember seeing it, to be \\
\hline 23 Q 1345 is an e-mail chain. Do you see that? & 23 hon \\
\hline 24 A Ido. & 24 Q So, sir, just so I understand, is it your testimony \\
\hline 25 Q And if you'll see the latest was from Amanda Miller to & 25 that you recall having a conversation with Amanda Miller about \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 820 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 822 \\
\hline 1 Alan Gartner, A-l-a-n, at the Trump Org and Matthew Hilson at & 1 Trump Tower? \\
\hline 2 the Trump Org. Do you see that? & 2 A Trump Tower -- again, looking at it from the commercial \\
\hline 3 A Yes, I do. & 3 side o \\
\hline 4 Q Who is Ms. Miller? & 4 THE COURT: Again, it's a yes-or-no question. \\
\hline 5 A She worked in our office dealing with press inquiries, & 5 Did you have a discussion with -- \\
\hline 6 things of that nature. & 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. \\
\hline 7 Q If you take a look and go further down the chain from & 7 THE COURT: Okay. \\
\hline 8 the beginning of the third page of this exhibit, Mr. Kirsch & \(8 \quad Q\) And is it your testimony that in that discussion the \\
\hline 9 identifies himself as a reporter from Forbes. Do you see that? & 9 issue of your boss's apartment never came up? \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 A With Amanda Miller, I don't recall that -- discussing \\
\hline 11 Q And then if you go further up, Mr. Hirsch has certain & 11 that with her. I don't recall. \\
\hline 12 questions? & 12 Q And you don't recall whether you told her, Amanda \\
\hline 13 A Yes. & 13 Miller, to leave it alone with respect to the size of the \\
\hline 14 Q And on page four, one of those questions again relates & 14 apartment? \\
\hline 15 to the Trump Tower penthouse; right, page four? & 15 A Again, I -- when I talked to Amanda Miller, my thinking \\
\hline 16 A Yes, I see it. & 16 of Trump Tower was always about the commercial side of the \\
\hline 17 Q And now he specifically refers to the "1994 Amended & 17 building. I never focused on the apartment Mr. Trump owned, \\
\hline 18 Condo Declaration." Do you see that? & 18 even though it was on the list of many other things, including \\
\hline 19 A Yes. & 19 Puerto Rico and Toronto and Panama. There are many, many pages \\
\hline 20 Q Dated October 11, & 20 here. As I said before, we began - we sort of stopped dealing \\
\hline 21 Do you see that third line of number one? & 21 with Forbes, so I wasn't going to read the entire e-mail. \\
\hline 22 A Yes. You're referring to a portions of -- & 22 Q Well, you actually didn't stop dealing with Forbes at \\
\hline 23 Q Sure. Trump Tower penthouse. Do you see that, sir? & 23 that point in time because you spoke to Amanda Miller about it \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & 24 before I said it; right? \\
\hline 25 Q Second to third line, "October 11, 1994?" & 25 A I didn't get the request. Amanda Miller got the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}





Q Let's turn to the terms of the document. Did you understand that pursuant to this agreement, WeiserMazars was going to provide a compilation of a Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2011?
A Okay.
Q Did you understand that?
Yes. Yes.
Q If you look at the fifth paragraph on the first page, dffur

A Yes.
Q You understood, sir, didn't you, that a compilation as compared to a review or an audit was the lowest level of independent confirmation by the outside accountants of the nformation provided by the Trump Organization, right?

Q Do you know who made the decision to have only a compilation instead of a review or audit done for the 2011 tatement of Financial Condition?
A I don't.
Q Do you know who made the decision to have only a compilation done for any of the statements of financial ondition of Donald J. Trump instead of a review or an audit?

Q We'll come back later to how the Statement of Financial

Condition itself is prepared, but once that's done, can we please look at PX 786 which \(I\) believe is already in evidence. correct?

Yes.

A On the back, yeah.
Q Is this what we were referring to -- you were

A Yes.
Q So by this letter, it is your understanding the Trump
Organization was making certain representations to WeiserMazars, right?
A Correct.
Q Did you understand that WeiserMazars was relying on
those representations in issuing the June 30, 2011 Statement of
inancial Condition?
A Yes.
Q You also understood, sir, didn't you, that WeiserMazars
would not have issued the June 30, 2011 Statement of Financial
Condition without this rep letter, right?
A Correct.
Q Let's look at the substance of this. In the first two paragraphs, you use the word "we." You see that?
A Yes.

Q Do you intend by using "we" to refer to the Trump Organization?

Yes
presented in the statement was provided to you by members of \(\mathbf{M r}\).
Trump's accounting department. Is that an accurate statement?
A Yes
goes on and you, referring to WeiserMazars, have preparing the statement. Was that an accurate statement?

A Correct.
Q Next paragraph continues, the statement referred to above is fairly presented in conformity with accounting
principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Is that reference to GAAP?
A Yes.
Q You told us earlier you were not familiar with the components of GAAP, didn't you?
A Correct.
Q Yet, you are comfortable making a representation to the accountant to induce them to issue the Statement of Financial right? It is a yes or no question, sir.
A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 839
1
1 A \(\quad\) There are some questions that are not black and white.
A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 840
1
1
2 estimated current values and all liabilities were presented at

1 Uses the term "estimated current value." Do you know what that term means?
A No.
Q Have you ever heard it referred to as "for an asset, the amount at which an item could be exchanged between a buyer and seller, each of whom is well-informed and willing and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell"?

A Okay.
9 Q Are you familiar with that definition?
10 A I am now that you mention it.
11 Q Had you ever heard it before today?
12 A I don't recall.
13 Q Sir, you refer in your rep letter to estimated current 14 value.
15 A Okay.
16 Q And you told me you knew there was a difference between
17 personal financial statements and those for corporations, right?
A Correct.
19 Q Did you use a different definition for estimated current value in your representation letter?

A I think -- I don't recall exactly what we thought at the time, but there are many, many ways of valuing assets that might fall under the category of estimated current value if that's your question.

Q That wasn't my question, but --
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 843 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 845 \\
\hline 1 A If you would like to repeat it -- & 1 represented to Mazars -- \\
\hline 2 Q Let's see if we can parse that down, though. & 2 A Or third parties were utilized to get information that \\
\hline 3 You have estimated current value, right? & 3 then our in-house folks would then take and prepare -- be able \\
\hline 4 A Correct. & 4 to arrive at a valuation. \\
\hline 5 Q And it is your testimony that there are many ways to & 5 Q You told me a second ago that "I'm referring to the \\
\hline 6 get to it. I can come at it from the left, the middle, the & 6 people at the Trump Organization." It is now larger? \\
\hline 7 center, many different ways to get to it, right? & 7 A It grows. It grows. \\
\hline 8 A Correct. & 8 Q At the Trump Organization, you relied on Mr. McConney, \\
\hline 9 Q But at some point, I have to get to estimated current & 9 right? \\
\hline 10 value, right? & 10 A That's correct. \\
\hline 11 A Correct. & 11 Q At some point in time, you relied on Mr. Birney? \\
\hline 12 Q And that's what you represented in the letter to Mazars & 12 A That's correct. \\
\hline 13 to induce them to issue the Statement of Financial Condition was & 13 Q Did you rely on Eric Trump? \\
\hline 14 how you value the assets, correct? & 14 A No. \\
\hline 15 A Correct. & 15 Q Not at all for any of the information contained in the \\
\hline 16 Q And you don't know if you used the definition that I & 16 Statements of Financial Condition? \\
\hline 17 just read to you, right? & 17 A Not me personally, no. \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & 18 Q Do you know if anyone else relied on him up the chain \\
\hline 19 Q Did you, sir, in connection with the 2011 Statement of & 19 to give you information? \\
\hline 20 Financial Condition do any independent work to determine whether & 20 A It's possible. I just don't recall. \\
\hline 21 the values reflected for the assets were presented at their & 21 Q Donald J. Trump, Jr.? \\
\hline 22 estimated current value except where noted? & 22 A I don't recall. \\
\hline 23 A Did you say did I? & 23 Q Donald Trump? \\
\hline 24 Q Did you? & 24 A Not that I can recall. \\
\hline 25 A No. & 25 Q Who do you recall beyond those people that I just \\
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 844 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 846 \\
\hline 1 Q So you made a representation to Mazars to induce them & 1 identified that provided information either directly to you or \\
\hline 2 to issue the Statement of Financial Condition without doing any & 2 up the chain that you used and relied upon in making the \\
\hline 3 independent work, right? & 3 representation to Mazars? \\
\hline 4 A Again, I relied on others to do that for me, but I did & 4 A So -- I think it might be a good idea to go through a \\
\hline 5 not personally. & 5 little bit of the process of how we got to the Statement of \\
\hline 6 Q Do you still have that letter in front of you, sir? & 6 Financial Condition. \\
\hline 7 A No. Yes. & 7 Q We'll get there later, but if you want to sort of give \\
\hline 8 Q The management rep letter, 786. & 8 me highlights now, please feel free. \\
\hline 9 A I have it. & 9 A I think it might be helpful. \\
\hline 10 Q You have it in front of you? & 10 Q Please. \\
\hline 11 A Yes. & 11 A So Jeffrey McConney used Patrick Birney to work with \\
\hline 12 Q Can you point out where in that letter you told Mazars & 12 and then others in the accounting department would get pieces of \\
\hline 13 that you were relying on others for your representation to that? & 13 or numbers that were necessary to go on to the statement, cash \\
\hline 14 A Where we say Trump Organization, that encompasses a & 14 balances, mortgage balances. So others besides Patrick Birney, \\
\hline 15 group. & 15 Jeffrey McConney would get those numbers for him. Once all that \\
\hline 16 Q So now you have the entirety of the Trump Organization & 16 data was put together, then the work can first begin to assemble \\
\hline 17 doing the work & 17 the statement. Hope that clarifies something. Does that \\
\hline 18 A Not the -- I'm sorry. You want to finish -- & 18 clarify for you the process? \\
\hline 19 Q Please. & 19 Q I understand the process, sir. Thank you. \\
\hline 20 A I am not saying the entire organization including & 20 A Okay. \\
\hline 21 secretarial staff. I'm not saying the entire organization was & 21 Q Let's assume for a moment that you've given all the \\
\hline 22 involved in the preparation of these numbers, but there were & 22 information to WeiserMazars also known as Mazars at one point in \\
\hline 23 people within the Trump Organization that were involved in & 23 time, just a name change, right? \\
\hline 24 getting those numbers. & 24 A Yes. \\
\hline 25 Q And you took the information they provided and then you & 25 Q Assume for the moment, say, 2016 we looked at a little \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 847 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 849 \\
\hline 1 earlier before the break. & 1 responsibility of Mazars? \\
\hline 2 A Okay. & 2 A \\
\hline 3 Q That you had information in your files that & 3 Q Turn to the second page at the bottom. There's a \\
\hline 4 contradicted information provided to Mazars in connection with & 4 section beginning "your responsibilities." Do you see that? \\
\hline 5 compilation. I'm not asking whether you actually relied on that & 5 A I \\
\hline 6 information, but assume you had it in your files. Can you do & 6 Q Did you understand that since this letter was addressed \\
\hline 7 that? & 7 to the Trump Organization to be the Trump Organization's \\
\hline 8 A & 8 responsibilities? \\
\hline 9 Q The Trump Organization had it in its files. & 9 A Y \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 Q The last sentence on that paragraph, "you have the \\
\hline 11 Q Fair enough? & 11 following "and "you" being the Trump Organization, right? \\
\hline 12 A Yes. & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 Q And again, I want to be clear, not whether you relied & 13 Q "You have the following overall responsibilities that \\
\hline 14 upon it, but it was in the files and it contradicted & 14 are fundamental to our undertaking the engagement in accordance \\
\hline 15 information. Say, for example, you had a first amendment to the & 15 with SSARS. Is that pronounced SSARS? \\
\hline 16 condo dec that contradicted information that was provided to & 16 A I don't know what that mean \\
\hline 17 Mazars, okay. & 17 Q But you understand that used the word "fundamental;" \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & 18 here. Mazars used the word "fundamental" here. You understood \\
\hline 19 Q Do you believe you were obligated to advise Mazars of & 19 the letter before you signed it. You told us you wouldn't sign \\
\hline 20 the existence of this contradictory information? & 20 a document you didn't understand. \\
\hline 21 A As long as the people -- & 21 A Okay. \\
\hline 22 Q It is a yes or no question, sir & 22 Q Okay. So these are fundamental responsibilities of the \\
\hline 23 A The answe & 23 Trump Organization. The first one, the selection of accounting \\
\hline 24 MR. SOLOMON: Can we, please, pull up PX 740 which 25 is in evidence. & 24 principles generally accepted in the United States of America as 25 the financial reporting framework to be applied in the \\
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 848 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 850 \\
\hline 1 Your Honor, I am cognizant of your admonition not & 1 preparation of the financial statement, do you see that? \\
\hline 2 to go through each one, one by one, but where there are & 2 A Yes. \\
\hline 3 changes between one year and another, I would like to spend & 3 Q Did you understand that to be a responsibility of the \\
\hline 4 a few minutes discussing that. & 4 Trump Organization? \\
\hline 5 THE COURT: Sure. & 5 A Yes. \\
\hline 6 MR. SOLOMON: Okay. & 6 Q And did they fulfill that responsibility? \\
\hline 7 Q Sir, it has been put in front of you and it is up on & 7 A This is referring to GAAP, I assume, accounting \\
\hline 8 the board. PX 740, does that appear to be the engagement letter & 8 principles. \\
\hline 9 between Mazars and the Trump Organization dated January 21, & 9 Q Do you understand that to be referring to GAAP? \\
\hline 10 2017? & 10 A Yes. \\
\hline 11 A Correct & 11 Q So let's assume it refers to GAAP. Did the Trump \\
\hline 12 Q Is that for the Statement of Financial Condition for & 12 Organization fulfill this fundamental responsibility? It is a \\
\hline 13 the year ending June 30, 2016 ? & 13 yes or no question. \\
\hline 14 A Correct. & 14 A Can you be a little more specific? I mean as far as -- \\
\hline 15 Q Could you, please, turn to the fifth page of the & 15 a -- I see the language. \\
\hline 16 document. Is that your signature, sir? & \[
16 \quad Q \quad \text { Yes. }
\] \\
\hline 17 A Yes. & 17 A Are you referring to an asset or the statement in -- \\
\hline 18 Q In addition to signing as an executive vice-president & 18 Q I'm referring -- \\
\hline 19 and chief financial officer of the Trump Organization, you also & 19 A -- in totality? \\
\hline 20 sign as the trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated & 20 Q Are you done? \\
\hline 21 April 7, 2014 as amended, correct? & 21 A Yes. \\
\hline 22 A Correct. & 22 Q I didn't want to interrupt. Pardon me. I'm referring \\
\hline 23 Q Compared to what we saw earlier, I would like to focus & 23 to "The selection of accounting principles under GAAP as you \\
\hline 24 your attention to the first page, our responsibilities. Did you & 24 said, as the financial reporting framework to be applied in the \\
\hline 25 understand that since this letter was from Mazars to be the & 25 preparation of a financial statement." We have established \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Peititioner - direct (Solomon) Page 855 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 857 \\
\hline 1 A Ido. & 1 compilation." Do you see that? \\
\hline 2 Q Okay. And then there is a paragraph that lists the & 2 A Yes. \\
\hline 3 exception; right? & 3 Q This is for the 2016? \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 A Correct. \\
\hline 5 Q Those are the exceptions to GAAP; right? & 5 Q And you signed it March of '17; right? \\
\hline 6 A Yes. & 6 A Correct. \\
\hline 7 Q Okay. Did Mazars ever tell you you can only have one & 7 Q Four days after the conversation with Forbes; right? \\
\hline 8 paragraph of exceptions? & 8 A Correct. \\
\hline 9 A I'm sorry? & 9 Q And you also had in the files the first amendment to \\
\hline 10 Q You were free -- the Trump Organization was free to put & 10 the condo dec; right? \\
\hline 11 in as many exceptions to GAAP as they believe appeared in the & 11 A Correct. \\
\hline 12 SOFCs; right? & 12 Q Did you tell Mazars you had that? \\
\hline 13 A Sorry. Say it again. & 13 A Mazars \\
\hline 14 Q Sure. Let's take a step back. & 14 Q Yes or no did you tell Mazars that you had the condo \\
\hline 15 This paragraph lists certain exceptions to GAAP; right? & 15 dec showing the square footage of the triplex was 10,996 square \\
\hline 16 A Correct. & 16 feet? \\
\hline 17 Q And those are the ones that the Trump Organization & 17 A Again, I didn't deal with Jeff McConney directly, so I \\
\hline 18 believed appeared in the SOFC for June 30, 2016; right? & 18 don't know what Jeff McConney told Mazars about that. \\
\hline 19 A Correct. & 19 Q As far as you know? \\
\hline 20 Q If the Trump Organization believed there were & 20 A As far as I know I don't know what Jeff McConney told \\
\hline 21 additional exceptions to GAAP that appeared in the 2016 SOFC & 21 them. \\
\hline 22 they were free to add them to this paragraph; right? & 22 Q Do you think Mazars would have signed off on that \\
\hline 23 A Correct. & 23 financial statement in March of '17 for June 30, 2016 if they \\
\hline 24 Q Okay & 24 were aware that there was contradictory information? \\
\hline 25 A Can I answer that or is that a yes or no? & 25 A I don't know the answer to that question. I can't \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 856 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 858 \\
\hline 1 Q It's a yes or no. & 1 speak for Mazars what they would do. \\
\hline 2 Were you free to do it? Yes. Mazars never said you & 2 Q So in your experience -- \\
\hline 3 can't have anymore; right? & 3 A Only because of the words -- if you read this in number \\
\hline 4 A We relied upon the Mazars firm to understand GAAP and & 4 three, it says "all material transactions." Again, going back \\
\hline 5 to understand what we couldn't adopt in there. & 5 to the word "material." \\
\hline 6 Q Sir, I'm glad you mentioned that. & 6 Q Good point. That's in number three, "material \\
\hline 7 Is it your testimony that in making a representation to & 7 transactions." You're absolutely right. \\
\hline 8 Mazars you were relying on Mazars in making the representation & 8 Does the word "material" show up in number two? \\
\hline 9 back to them? & 9 A I look -- \\
\hline 10 A But they didn't - & 10 Q It's yes or no. It's right there, number two. We've \\
\hline 11 Q Yes or no? You just told me you were relying on & 11 highlighted it all. "All financial records." It doesn't say \\
\hline 12 Mazars. Is it now your testimony that in making this & 12 "all material financial records," does it; yes or no? \\
\hline 13 representation in the rep letter you were relying -- "you" being & 13 A No, it doesn't. \\
\hline 14 the Trump Organization were relying on Mazars to make a & 14 Q It doesn't say "and material-related data," does it? \\
\hline 15 representation back to Mazars? Is that your testimony; yes or & 15 A No. \\
\hline 16 no? & 16 Q And then the second sentence "we have not knowingly \\
\hline 17 A Not-n no & 17 withheld from you any financial records or related data in our \\
\hline 18 Q Okay, okay. So you weren't relying on Mazars in making & 18 judgment that would be relevant to your compilation." Doesn't \\
\hline 19 a representation back to Mazars? Okay, let's move on. & 19 use the word "material;" right? \\
\hline 20 Number two. Can you scroll down to the next page? & 20 A Correct. \\
\hline 21 "We have made available to you all financial records & 21 Q But if you wanted to use the word "material" such as in \\
\hline 22 and related data and any additional information you requested & 22 number three you knew where to put it; right? \\
\hline 23 from us for the purpose of the compilation. We have not & 23 A I just happened to see it there. \\
\hline 24 knowingly withheld from you any financial records or related & 24 Q Right, but you didn't put it in number two? \\
\hline 25 data that in our judgment would be relevant to your & 25 A We didn't -- \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 859 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 861 \\
\hline 1 Q Yes or no it's not qualified by material, is it? & 1 A I don't recal \\
\hline 2 A No. & 2 Q Okay. You don't recall a discussion from Mr. McConney \\
\hline 3 Q Turn to Number 14, please & 3 the materiality applies to the entirety of this letter, every \\
\hline 4 A & 4 senten \\
\hline 5 Q On that same document, please & 5 A I don't recal \\
\hline 6 Here you're representing on behalf of the Trump & 6 Q Okay. But we can agree that the word "material" does \\
\hline 7 Organization that no events have occurred subsequent to the date & 7 not appear in 14 at all \\
\hline 8 of the Statement of Financial Condition and through the date of & 8 A That's corre \\
\hline 9 this letter that would require adjustments to or disclosure in & 9 Q But you wouldn't have told Mazars that the numbers y \\
\hline 10 personal financial statemen & 10 gave them were wrong because you didn't think that one \\
\hline 11 If you had known that the triplex was approximately a & 11 particular entry for the triplex was material; right? \\
\hline 12 third of the size would you have adjusted the SOFC to reflect & 12 A Sorry? \\
\hline 13 that change? & 13 Q \\
\hline 14 A Yes-or-no & 14 You -- it's your testimony as you sit here today that \\
\hline 15 Q It's a yes or no. & 15 you would not have told Mazars about the error in the size of \\
\hline 16 Would you have adjusted the SOFC to reflect that change & 16 the triplex because you didn't think it was material \\
\hline 17 if you were aware that the square footage was recorded & 17 notwithstanding the representation that no events have occurred \\
\hline 18 incorrectly? & 18 subsequent to the date of the Statements of Financial Condition \\
\hline 19 A No. & 19 and the date of this letter that would require adjustments to or \\
\hline 20 Q And is that because you don't think it was material? & 20 disclosure in financial star \\
\hline 21 A That's correct. & 21 A Yes, I don't know what I would have done at the time. \\
\hline 22 Q Does the word "material" appear anywhere in Number 14, & 22 I don't recall -- I don't \\
\hline 23 sir? & 23 Q So before you told me you wouldn't have told them \\
\hline 24 A It appeared in Number 3. I carried that throughout the & 24 because it wasn't materia \\
\hline 25 whole document. & 25 A What I'm saying to you is that based on materiality I \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 860 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 862 \\
\hline 1 Q So when there are 16 things listed the fact that the & 1 would have. If I was sitting in that seat and someone walked \\
\hline 2 word "material" appears in Number 3, you wanted to apply to all & 2 into my office and said we found this, we should change it, I \\
\hline \(316 ?\) & 3 would probably go ahead and do it and make the change. \\
\hline 4 A It's not what I wanted to do. It's how & \(4 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) So you would make the change before signing the letter; \\
\hline 5 interpreted & 5 right? \\
\hline 6 Q By whom, by you & 6 A Yes. If I was focused on it and somebody told me to do \\
\hline 7 A No. By whoever it was the one who told me it was okay & 7 it, yes. \\
\hline 8 to sign this. & 8 THE COURT: Bearing in mind both participants, \\
\hline 9 Q How do you know that's how they interpreted it? You & 9 please one at a time. We only have one reporter and she is \\
\hline 10 had a conversation with -- you told me it was Mr. McConney who & 10 nodding her head yes. Don't talk over each other. \\
\hline 11 reviewed this; right? & 11 MR. SOLOMON: I apologize, Your Honor. \\
\hline 12 A If they did. & 12 Q Sir, you mentioned earlier the process of creating a \\
\hline 13 Q Yes or no did Mr. McConney review this before you & 13 SOFC, you wanted a moment to describe it. Do you recall that? \\
\hline 14 signed it? & \[
14 \text { A Yes, I do. }
\] \\
\hline 15 A Yes. & 15 Q Let's talk about that process. \\
\hline 16 Q Did you and Mr. McConney discuss whether the word & 16 Were you ever involved in selecting the methodologies \\
\hline 17 "material" appearing in Number 3 applies to all 16 of those & 17 used to determine the estimated current value of an asset that \\
\hline 18 listed? & 18 appeared on the SOFC? \\
\hline 19 A Not that I can recall & 19 A Was I ever involved \\
\hline 20 Q Okay. But you're assuming that's how he intended it? & 20 Q Were you ever involved in it? \\
\hline 21 A I don't know what was in his thinking on how he got to & 21 A I would say yes. \\
\hline 22 that conclusion. I got to that conclusion by reading the & 22 Q For some of the assets you used comparable sales, comps \\
\hline 23 documents today. & 23 as they're referred to; right? \\
\hline 24 Q Okay. Not asking what you're reading today. I'm & 24 A Amongst other things. \\
\hline 25 asking what was intended when this was signed in 2017. & 25 Q Well, let's talk about those other things. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 863 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 865 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
For others you might have used the operating income times a capitalization rate; is that right? \\
A Correct. \\
Q Others might be as we saw with the triplex the size of the asset times a price per square foot; is that right? \\
A That's one method. \\
Q Okay. And the method used to value an asset was \\
consistent year over year from one SOFC to the next, so from '14 to ' 15 was consistent; right? \\
A Not every year. It could have been a change in methodology for one reason or another and -- yes. \\
Q When there was a change in methodology was that change in methodology disclosed in the SOFC? \\
A It was disclosed in the work papers that was provided to Mazars, if I remember correctly. I haven't been at the company for a couple years. The spreadsheets that provided by Mr. McConney were comparative. I could be wrong, but if there was a change, he may have noted it. That's something you'd have to -- sorry -- that's something you would have to go back and look at the documents of the spreadsheet or wait for Mr. McConney. I don't know if he disclosed it. He may have. Q My question was a little different. \\
Is it disclosed in the subsequent year's Statement of Financial Condition? \\
A I don't know. I don't recall.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Q Is it your understanding that for each year \\
Mr. McConney or someone at his direction would contact outside persons for cap rates, comps, things of that nature, you just described? \\
A That's my understanding, yes. \\
Q And he did that for each year? \\
A As far as I know. \\
Q Are you aware of any situation in which Mr. McConney, \\
let's say, took a conversation that he had in 2013 and used it to apply information to the 2015 SOFC? \\
A I wouldn't know that. \\
Q But you certified that those SOFCs were all in compliance with GAAP; right? \\
A I did. \\
Q And you don't know whether Mr. McConney used current or past information in the calculations; is that right? \\
A Mr. McConney was with me for a long time. As you mentioned earlier, he had knowledge of what we were doing every year and I relied on him for all, sure. \\
Q But you don't know if he used information from a telephone conversation that he had two years earlier in doing financial statements? \\
A I don't know. I don't know, no. \\
Q So let's focus on the time period precisely before \\
Mr. McConney starts this process, okay?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) \\
\(1 \quad\) Q Do you know if there is any requirement under GAAP to disclose a change in methodology with a subsequent Statement of 3 Financial Condition? \\
A I'm not familiar with GAAP. I don't know. \\
Q So in creating a following year's Statements of \\
Financial Condition, let's say, '15, is the first step \\
Mr. McConney takes 2014 and marks it up? \\
A It's possible. I don't recall exactly what he did year to year. \\
Q Is that one of the things you're aware that he did? \\
A He may have. I don't recall. \\
Q Do you have any understanding how the first step in creating the next year's SOFC is undertaken? \\
A From what I remember the way it was done we have some young ladies in our office who begin to gather data that Jeff would need to do the SOFC; cash balances, mortgage balances. I mentioned that before. I believe that next step Jeff would probably contact appraisers or brokers to try to ascertain from them some reports that might have on it some comps, cap rate, things that he can use as source material to be able to value \\
21 whether it was commercial property or a VTL site, things of that \\
22 nature. And that would take sometime to gather that data and he \\
23 would begin, I guess, the process. But again, I can't speak for \\
24 Jeff what he did on a day-to-day basis. This took months to \\
25 prepare this. This was not a simple task.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
A. Weisselberg - Peitioner - direct (Solomon) \\
A Okay. \\
Q Let's focus on that for each year. \\
At that point in time have you met or discussed in any \\
way with Donald Trump the Statement of Financial Condition or \\
his views or expectations for his net worth for that year? \\
A Not that I can recall. \\
Q You don't recall that ever happening? \\
A I didn't say never. I was there. \\
Q I said you don't recall that ever happening; is that right? \\
A I said -- I thought you said "ever," that it never \\
happened. I was there for 20,30 years, 30 years or more. I \\
don't recall ever discussing his SOFC prior to Jeff working on it. \\
Q Do you recall discussing it with him while Jeff was working on it? \\
A I don't recall that. I don't recall that. \\
Q Did you ever meet with Donald Trump and Michael Cohen \\
where there was any discussion of a Statement of Financial \\
Condition before it was finalized? \\
A Not that I can recall. \\
Q Are you saying it could have happened and you just don't recall or are you saying it never happened? \\
A I don't recall. I don't recall it happening. \\
Q Maybe I'm missing it and I'm not clear.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 867 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 869 \\
\hline 1 You say you don't recall it happening. Is it possible & 1 THE WITNESS: I hear you, Your Honor. I would say \\
\hline 2 it happened and you don't recall it or are you saying it did not & 2 it did not happen. Did that answer your question? \\
\hline 3 happen? & 3 THE COURT: It did not happen -- all right. That \\
\hline 4 A I'm saying I don't recall it happening & 4 answers the question. Tha \\
\hline 5 Q But it's possible it did & 5 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. \\
\hline 6 A I mean, if he would have walked in Donald's office when & 6 Q After Mr. McConney in the years that he was there had a \\
\hline 7 I was there and he walked in for a second and walked out, it's & 7 draft of the SOFC put together, did he give it to you for \\
\hline 8 possible. People would walk in and out of Donald's office all & 8 rev \\
\hline 9 day long, whether he was one of those people that walked in -- & 9 A He \\
\hline 10 are you asking me whether it was a longwinded discussion about & 10 Q And did you review it? \\
\hline 11 the statement? Is that what you're asking? & 11 A I reviewed -- I reviewed it from a 30,000 foot level, \\
\hline 12 Q I don't believe I ever used the word "longwinded." & 12 meaning just to make sure our liabilities would be shown \\
\hline 13 I've been called longwinded, but I don't think I ever used it. & 13 properly, to make sure the assets -- that all the assets that we \\
\hline 14 So let's take a step back. I'm asking any discussion & 14 have was still on the statement, but I spent a lot of time \\
\hline 15 at all concerning the SOFC before it was finalized; you, & 15 reading the notes to his statement. \\
\hline 16 Mr. Trump and Mr. Cohen? & 16 The notes were a very important part for Mr. Trump. \\
\hline 17 A & 17 Besides this being a Statement of Financial Condition, it was \\
\hline 18 Q No, it never happened? & 18 also sort of a piece -- a little bit of a marketing piece for \\
\hline 19 A I don't believe it ever happened, no. I don't believe & 19 banks to read about our properties and how well they're taken \\
\hline 20 it happened, no. & 20 care of and how they're first-class properties, world-renowned \\
\hline 21 Q Did you ever meet with Donald Trump and Michael Cohen & 21 properties throughout the world. So those notes were kind of \\
\hline 22 where there was any discussion at all about Mr. Trump's \(n\) & 22 important to \\
\hline 23 worth prior to finalizing an SOFC? & 23 Q Are notes to a financial statement an integral part of \\
\hline 24 A Not that I ca & 24 that statement? \\
\hline 25 Q Again, let's go back to this. & 25 A I believe if you read the statement you read it \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 868 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 870 \\
\hline Are you saying it never happened or you don't recall it & 1 together, y \\
\hline 2 might happen? & 2 Q Did you also from time to time give Mr. McConney \\
\hline 3 A Well, I don't believe it happened & 3 suggestions on how to value a particular asset? \\
\hline 4 Q Did you ever meet with Donald Trump and Michael Cohen & 4 A What would happen is we would sit down in an office -- \\
\hline 5 where Mr. Trump's net worth was discussed? & 5 Q It's a yes-or-no question, \\
\hline 6 A Not that I can recall. Again -- & 6 Did you from time to time give Mr. McConney suggestions \\
\hline 7 Q Same question: Is it not that you recall it could have & 7 on how to value an asset? \\
\hline 8 happened or it did not happen? & 8 A Suggestions, yes. \\
\hline 9 A You're asking me to go back a number of years. & 9 Q Now tell me. You would sit down in an office? \\
\hline 10 Q Yes, I am. & 10 A And he would show me, for argument's sake, with comps, \\
\hline 11 A And my memory doesn't -- again, I don't remember every & 11 with cap rates and I might not always agree with him one he \\
\hline 12 meeting that ever took place in that office. As I sit here & 12 chose to use for one reason or another. What that reason is \\
\hline 13 today, I don't believe it happened, no. & 13 today, I don't know. And I would suggest maybe you ought to \\
\hline 14 THE COURT: That doesn't answer the question, does & 14 consider doing a different building as a comp; it's closer to \\
\hline 15 it? The question is could it have -- could it have happened & 15 what our building is like. \\
\hline 16 and you just don't remember? & 16 For example, you could have a commercial building \\
\hline 17 MR. KISE: Your Honor, I'm not sure that was the & 17 without a retail component where we do have a retail component. \\
\hline 18 question, respectfully. & 18 He may have overlooked that. So it's not a fair comparison to \\
\hline 19 THE COURT: Well, I'm asking him. I think that was & 19 take a building without a retail component and compare it to a \\
\hline 20 the question, maybe not in so many words & 20 building with a retail component, so I would make that change. \\
\hline 21 MR. KISE: I mean, anything is possible. I think & 21 Q So Mr. McConney is someone you relied upon; right? \\
\hline 22 he's asked and answered that question, respectfully. & 22 A I relied upon, yes. \\
\hline 23 THE COURT: I disagree because he keeps saying I & 23 Q Someone whose work you relied upon in signing \\
\hline 24 don't remember it happened, but he doesn't say no, it could & 24 representation letters? \\
\hline 25 not have happened. So that's what we're trying to get at. & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 875 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 877 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
valuing those assets. \\
Q At one point in time in valuations for golf course assets do you recall using fixed asset methods for the valuation? \\
A I do recall that. \\
Q And do you recall directing Mr. McConney to add a \\
30 percent premium to the fixed assets to reflect an operating \\
A I do recall that. \\
Q Was that disclosed anywhere in the Statement of Financial Condition? \\
A It was disclosed in the notes -- I'm sorry. It was disclosed in the work papers that were given to Mazars. \\
Q Sir, I don't want to cut you off and interrupt you. \\
That wasn't my question. I asked if it was disclosed in the Statement of Financial Conditions, not in the work papers to Mazars, not in conversations you might have had with someone. Was it disclosed in the Statement of Financial Conditions. It's a yes-or-no question. \\
A What was the question? Was the 30 percent -Q Was the 30 percent premium that you directed \\
Mr. McConney to add to the fixed assets disclosed in the SOFC; yes or no? \\
A No. \\
Whereupon, the transcript continues on the
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Q You also solicited information as to valuation of golf courses from people outside of the organization from the Statements of Financial Condition, right? \\
A I believe we may have used one or two people. \\
MR. SOLOMON: Can we, please, mark PX 3116 for identification. \\
Q We marked PX 3116 for identification. It is a cover e-mail and a letter that you were attaching. Do you see that? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Is that an e-mail that you sent to E. Carlyle at \\
Forbes? \\
A Yes. \\
Q So actually -- \\
A I don't understand. It says first administrative \\
group. What is that after my name on top? I don't know what \\
that means. That's not my e-mail address. I don't know why that's there. \\
Q That's actually not an e-mail address. \\
A I don't know why that's there. \\
Q If we can scroll down to the bottom of the page, you see it has a TT00 Bates number at the bottom. \\
A I see that, but I don't know what administrative group is, first admitted. I don't know who that is. \\
24 Q I'm not saying you put that on there, but this is an \\
25 e-mail, though, that you sent to Ms. Carlyle, right?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Page 876 & \begin{tabular}{l}
A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) \\
A Yeah. Again, it's from me. I just don't know what -- I wouldn't -- that would not be part of my e-mail, typical e-mail. I don't know where -- \\
THE COURT: I don't think you were asked that. \\
THE WITNESS: The answer is yes, it is my e-mail. \\
THE COURT: It is your e-mail. That's all we need to know. \\
Q The second page, you attach a letter that you had received from Steven M. Ekovich. Do you see that? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Do you recall receiving that letter from Mr. Ekovich in or about July of 2013? \\
A I remember it now. I just don't recall -- it was ten years ago. I don't recall. \\
Q Do you recall receiving some communication from Mr. \\
Ekovich -- please let me finish -- in which he told you what an \\
appropriate gross review multiplier would have been for assets in the Trump golf portfolio? \\
A Yes. That was his undertaking of what he felt it should be. \\
MR. SOLOMON: We offer PX 3116 in evidence. \\
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. \\
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as \\
People's Exhibit 3116.) \\
Q So this, according to what Mr. Ekovich is describing in
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 879 \\
1 & his letter to you, is a different way of calculating value for \\
2 & golf course assets, right? \\
3 & A \\
4 & It is another methodology. \\
5 & right? \\
6 & Another methodology. That takes gross revenue; is that \\
7 & including in gross revenue. That's a big -- that's a big \\
8 & difference. \\
9 & Q Understood. He's actually using it as a capitalized \\
10 & term gross revenue multiplier? \\
11 & A \(\quad\) It is not defined anywhere. \\
12 & Q Understood. You take gross revenue and you multiply it \\
13 & by an appropriate multiplier, whatever the revenue may be and \\
14 & however it is calculated; is that right? \\
15 & A \(\quad\) That's one method. \\
16 & Q That's one method. \\
17 & \(\quad\) Now, if we go back to the first page, you'll see that \\
18 & you are telling someone at Forbes that this person is a top golf \\
19 & course advisor on buying and selling of courses, right? \\
20 & A \(\quad\) Correct. \\
21 & Q \(\quad\) Did you believe that to be true when you sent this to \\
22 & E. Carlyle? \\
23 & A I don't know him. I never met him. I was told by \\
24 & somebody else in the organization that he was, so I relied upon \\
25 & that person; but I don't know the person.
\end{tabular}
A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON)
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Q Your earlier letter was addressed directly to you?
A Yes, because the person I asked to help me on this told him to send it to me.

Q So you took this person's word? You took this person's advice or comment that this person was a top golf course advisor on buying and selling courses? You accepted that as true?
A I did.
Q Okay. And then you conveyed it on to Forbes, right?
A Correct.
Q And was that because you wanted Forbes to value the
Trump Organization's golf courses in a particular methodology?
A I don't -- I don't remember why I gave it to them. I don't -- I don't know the context of the conversation we had with Ms. Carlyle, what her questions were. It is sort of being taken out of context.
Q I'm not trying to take it out of context.
A Not this. Not this, why I gave her this letter.
That's the point. I'm not quite putting together with giving
her the letter as to what was she asking and why did I give it to her.

Q Did you tell Mr. McConney that this was a method that he should use to value the Trump Organization's golf courses?

A I don't recall. I don't recall.
Q Do you know if the Trump Organization ever used this method to value its golf courses?

A Again, I don't recall.
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you ever give it to Mr. McConney?
A I don't recall. I may have. I just don't remember.
You're going back a long time ago. I just don't recall.
Q Understood. So did you ever provide it to Mazars?
A I dealt very little with Mazars on these statements.
Everything went through Jeffrey McConney, so if I gave it to him, hopefully he would have given it to them or maybe not; but I don't recall giving it to Jeffrey McConney sitting here today.

Q But personally, you never gave it to Mazars, right?
A Not that I can remember, no.
Q Did you also send -- withdrawn.
I believe you indicated earlier that you sent comps to Mr. McConney?

A I sent comps.
Q Or you provided comps to Mr. McConney?
A No, I didn't. Mr. McConney would contact third parties, appraisers and request from them these reports that would give him the comps, cap rates and any other material that might be helpful in determining valuation.

Q Did you give comps to Mr. Birney?
A Again, this was -- all the work that was done to get valuations was all done by Jeffrey McConney, by himself for a while and then with Patrick Birney subsequently.

MR. SOLOMON: Could we, please, mark as PX 1040.
Q Sir, have you ever seen PX 1040 or any of the pages in
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 883 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 885 \\
\hline 1 it before today? & 1 estate. I was thinking of Manhattan real estate, commercial \\
\hline 2 A Has to be as of the time when these things happened, & 2 buildings. That was in my mind. That was my mindset. \\
\hline 3 but yeah. & 3 Q If I asked you the question comps for any asset on the \\
\hline 4 Q Do you recall one way or the other? & 4 Statement of Financial Condition, you did send information about \\
\hline 5 A No. I said possibly when I - when it first happened, & 5 what you believe to be comparables to Mr. Birney, right? \\
\hline 6 the event itself that's on here. & 6 A The answer is y \\
\hline 7 Q You said possibly. So -- do you recall one way or the & 7 Q Same question for Mr. McConney now. \\
\hline 8 other? & 8 A When he was doing it himself, the answer would \\
\hline 9 A I & 9 be -- the answer is yes. \\
\hline 10 publication it came from because there's some publications & 10 Q Let's look at the next page 4 of 20. \\
\hline 11 I -- that would help me. & 11 A What number? \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. If you see on your first page of this exhibit, & 12 Q Page 4 of \(20-\) \\
\hline 13 there appears to be -- is that an adding machine tape, sir? & 13 A Okay. \\
\hline 14 A Yes, that's not my handwriting. & 14 Q -- on the exhibit. Again, an e-mail from you to \\
\hline 15 Q That's what I was going to ask. That's not your & 15 Patrick Birney, right? \\
\hline 16 handwriting? & 16 A Okay. \\
\hline 17 THE COURT: Ten-minute warning. Time goes fast & 17 Q You see that? \\
\hline 18 when we're having fun & 18 A Yes. \\
\hline 19 Q If you look at the second page, this is an e-mail from & 19 Q If you look at the next page, is that your handwriting \\
\hline 20 you to Patrick Birney? & 20 on that little yellow Post-It note there? \\
\hline 21 A Okay. & 21 A On what? The -- on that tape? \\
\hline 22 Q Forwarding and it is blank what's being forwarded, but & 22 Q Not on the tape. On the little yellow Post-It. If you \\
\hline 23 you indicated it is more info on the house purchase in PB. & 23 look on the screen. \\
\hline 24 That's Palm Be & 24 A \\
\hline 25 A Yes. & 25 Q All right. And I assume that's not your handwriting on \\
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 884 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 886 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 Q So you're sending him information about a sale in Palm \\
2 Beach, right?
\end{tabular} & 1 the tape because you told me that handwriting earlier wasn't 2 yours? \\
\hline 3 A Correct. & 3 A No. That's why too neat. \\
\hline 4 Q And are you giving that in connection with his & 4 Q If you turn to the sixth page of this exhibit. \\
\hline 5 valuation of a Trump asset? & 5 A Page six? \\
\hline 6 A Yes. & 6 Q Yes. There is highlighted. Do you see that? \\
\hline 7 Q Which one? & 7 A Yes. \\
\hline 8 A Probably Mar-A-Lago & 8 Q Did you put that highlighting on it before you sent to \\
\hline 9 Q Okay. So contrary to what you mentioned before, you & 9 Mr. Birney? \\
\hline 10 actually did send Patrick Birney comps? & 10 A I don't recall if I did or not. \\
\hline 11 A Well, no. What I said -- no, what I said -- what I & 11 Q This exhibit continues on with additional information \\
\hline 12 said to Patrick Birney -- you can call them comps. I said & 12 about other properties in that area, right? \\
\hline 13 during the course of the year. You stated this was done in & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 September? & 14 Q And if you look at page 9 of 20 -- \\
\hline 15 Q Yes. & 15 A Yes. \\
\hline 16 A Whenever I found something in a newspaper article, I & 16 Q -- there's a larger yellow Post-It. Do you see that? \\
\hline 17 would just throw it in a file, when you work on Mr. Trump's & 17 A Yes. \\
\hline 18 financial statement, just have it as a reference point because & 18 Q I assume that's not your handwriting, right? \\
\hline 19 we really had no one in Florida or I don't think he had anyone & 19 A No, it's not. \\
\hline 20 in Florida. You can call, but when I found it myself, I said & 20 Q Do you recognize whose handwriting that is? \\
\hline 21 you know what. Look at this. It may work. It may not work. & 21 A I don't. \\
\hline 22 See what it was per acre, the sale. Maybe you could extrapolate & 22 Q Turn to the next page. \\
\hline 23 it. We may have things at our property that they don't have at & 23 A Okay. \\
\hline 24 their property. We could add to it. But as far as when you & 24 Q You'll see that Ed Raymundo, if I'm pronouncing that \\
\hline 25 mentioned comps before, I was referring to Manhattan real & 25 correctly. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 887 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 889 \\
\hline 1 A Yes. & 1 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Weisselberg. \\
\hline 2 Q Is sending an e-mail to Patrick Birney with the phrase & 2 A Good aft \\
\hline 3 "comparison is attached." Do you see that? & 3 MR. SOLOMON: One housekeeping matter my colleagues \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 have reminded me. I failed to move PX 1040 into evidence. \\
\hline 5 Q Then you'll see two pages later, there's an article & 5 I would like to do that now. \\
\hline 6 that is cut out of -- it appears to be cut out of a good & 6 MR. ROBERT: No objection, your Honor. I just want \\
\hline 7 old-fashioned newspaper. Do you see that? & 7 to be clear this is from a McConney backup. Just because it \\
\hline 8 A Yes & 8 is so many different pages, I want to describe it as what it \\
\hline 9 Q That's on page 12 of 20? & 9 is, as it is a series of documents, so we can agree this is \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 part of the McConney backup. \\
\hline 11 Q Underneath that, there is a Post-It? & 11 MR. SOLOMON: I'm comfortable with a backup. \\
\hline 12 A That's my handwriting. & 12 MR. ROBERT: Fair enough. \\
\hline 13 Q I was about to say have we gotten to your handwriting, & 13 MR. SOLOMON: Sufficient? \\
\hline 14 sir? & 14 MR. ROBERT: Sufficient. \\
\hline 15 A You got it. That's it. & 15 THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. \\
\hline 16 Q You instructed Patrick to take this Palm Beach estate & 16 (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as \\
\hline 17 asking price of 135 million -- & 17 People's Exhibit 1040.) \\
\hline 18 A Yes, and the note says hold it for next year DJT & 18 Q Mr. Weisselberg, before we broke for lunch, we were \\
\hline 19 financial statement. Let's see what it ends up selling for & 19 talking about Mr. Steven Ekovich, if I'm pronouncing that \\
\hline 20 because we don't know -- that's -- that's the asking price. & 20 correctly? \\
\hline 21 Q Why does it matter what it sells for? & 21 A I think so, yes. \\
\hline 22 A Because anybody can sell anything for a dollar amount. & 22 Q Do you recall him, sir? \\
\hline 23 It doesn't necessarily mean it is going to sell. We want to be & 23 A Yes. \\
\hline 24 able to get a comparison that is the final number. He's asking 25 135. Maybe it will sell for 100 million. I will use 100 & 24 Q I think you testified that you had some familiarity 25 with him, right? \\
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 888 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 890 \\
\hline million. & 1 A I never met him. I know the name through someone else \\
\hline 2 Q So it is the selling price that's relevant in the & 2 in our office who is part of our golf division and that's the \\
\hline 3 comparison, not the asking price? & 3 extent of my knowledge of him. \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 Q Well, you actually had some direct communication with \\
\hline 5 MR. SOLOMON: This might be a good time for a lunch & 5 him? \\
\hline 6 break. & 6 A He may have e-mailed me. I don't think we ever met \\
\hline 7 THE COURT OFFICER: Remain seated. & 7 face to face is what I'm saying. I don't believe so. \\
\hline 8 (Witness exits the stand.) & 8 Q Have you finished? \\
\hline 9 (Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken.) & 9 A Yes. \\
\hline 10 AFTERNOON SESSION & 10 Q But you did, in fact, have several communications with \\
\hline 11 & 11 him about valuations of golf courses, right? \\
\hline 12 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in & 12 A Several. I know one you showed me earlier today. \\
\hline 13 session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. & 13 MR. SOLOMON: Can we, please, identify PX 3143 for \\
\hline 14 Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Laptops and & 14 identification. \\
\hline 15 cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the & 15 Q Sir, if you look at what's been marked as 3143 \\
\hline 16 press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of & 16 excluding the top e-mail, if you look at the second one, it is \\
\hline 17 any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now, be seated and come & 17 from you to Mr. Ekovich from August of 2015. Do you see that? \\
\hline 18 to order. & 18 A Yes. \\
\hline 19 THE COURT: You all look happy. I guess that's & 19 Q And you're asking him how much you would be able to \\
\hline 20 because you had an hour and-a-half, not just an hour and & 20 increase that value due to brand and intrinsic value. Do you \\
\hline 2115 minutes. & 21 see that? \\
\hline 22 (Witness resumes the stand.) & 22 A Yes. \\
\hline 23 THE COURT: We're back on the record. Let's & 23 Q In fact, you're asking him how to quantify the premium, \\
\hline 24 continue with the direct examination of Mr. Weisselberg. & 24 right? \\
\hline 25 BY MR. SOLOMON: & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & VEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 891 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 893 \\
\hline & Q Now, if you go down one further, Mr. Ekovich had & 1 (Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.) \\
\hline & actually written to you telling you golf valuations are an art, & 2 THE COURT: I'm, of course, trying to be fair. \\
\hline & not a scien & 3 don't know how far we are going to extend this, but go \\
\hline 4 & A & 4 \\
\hline 5 & Q And he provides certain gross revenue multip & 5 MR. SOLOMON: I would also just note this is being \\
\hline 6 & sixth line down .6-1 time gross revenue. Do you see that? & 6 used for impeachment purposes. The witness testified he \\
\hline 7 & A & 7 didn't really remember Mr. Ekovich and may have only spoken \\
\hline 8 & Q And then the next line, if the EBIT is below 400 & 8 to him on that one occasion. So this is obviously being \\
\hline 9 & 500,000, do & 9 \\
\hline 10 & A Yes. & 10 THE COURT: I think that's totally acceptable. \\
\hline 11 & Q Do you have an understanding as to what EBIT is? & 11 MR. KISE: Again, I'm just pointing out that it is \\
\hline 12 & A Yes & 12 a new exhibit. I hear what he is saying, but just making \\
\hline 13 & Q What & 13 that record. I'm not objecting to the introduction of it. \\
\hline 14 & A Earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation. & 14 THE COURT: Duly noted and it is in evidence. \\
\hline 15 & Q So you're communicating with Mr. Ekovich in 2015 with & 15 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, your Honor. Can we, \\
\hline 16 & respect to golf course valuations, right? & 16 please, show the witness what we've marked as PX 3144 for \\
\hline 17 & A Yes. & 17 \\
\hline 18 & R. SOLOMON: I offer this in evidence as PX 3143 & 18 Q Mr. Weisselberg, have you seen this document before \\
\hline 19 & E COURT: Granted. It is in evidence & 19 that's been marked PX 31 \\
\hline 20 & (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as & 20 A It was certainly sent to me. I just don't remember it \\
\hline 21 & People's Exhibit 3143.) & 21 but \(I\) see it in front of me now, \\
\hline 22 & MR. KISE: One thing. So did they -- was this & 22 Q If you see on the e-mail chain, there is a discussion \\
\hline 23 & duced to us? What day? Was that one of the ones over & 23 \\
\hline 24 & the weekend? & 24 A You don't mean the first one, do you, with that \\
\hline 25 & MR. SOLOMON: No, this is actually being used to & 25 language in there? \\
\hline & SSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 892 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 894 \\
\hline 1 & refr & \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) We can pass upon that language. \\
\hline 2 & MR. KISE: I'm just trying to figure out. & 2 A I just saw that. It caught my eye. \\
\hline 3 & R. SOLOMON: What's the question? & 3 Q Understood, but if you look at the bottom of the first \\
\hline 4 & MR. KISE: I'm trying to figure out when we got & 4 page, Mr. Ekovich is telling you typical cap rates are 11 to 14. \\
\hline 5 & docume & 5 I would say you are in the 8 to 10 range. Do you see that? \\
\hline 6 & MR. SOLOMON: Just now & 6 A Yes, I do see that. \\
\hline 7 & MR. KISE: 3143, you're not introducing it into & 7 Q Then he asks were you able to send the comps and \\
\hline 8 & dence? & 8 supporting e-mail to the reporter. Do you see that? \\
\hline 9 & MR. SOLOMON: I am introducing it into evidence. & 9 A I see that. \\
\hline 10 & You were given it just now because we pulled this. It was & 10 Q Do you understand that to be the reporter from Forbes? \\
\hline 11 & not on our list before, but we pulled this to refresh the & 11 A I would imagine so, yes. \\
\hline 12 & ss' recollection. He had several other conversations & 12 Q And you told him, thank you. Yes, they were sent and \\
\hline 13 & Mr. & 13 we received a slight bump. Appreciate your help. From you to \\
\hline 14 & MR. KISE: Okay & 14 Mr. Ekovich, September 20, 4:18 p.m. \\
\hline 15 & ore; yes, no? I just don't recognize is my question. & 15 A Can you just read back the last -- you know why? \\
\hline 16 & MR. SOLOMON: No, it was not & 16 is on the back of the page \\
\hline 17 & MR. KISE: I'm not -- your Honor, I'm not fully & 17 Q No problem. On the very first pag. \\
\hline 18 & cting. I want to make sure that when it comes time, if & 18 A I was flipping pages. \\
\hline 19 & omes time, we are extended the same courtesy. This & 19 Q On the very first page, if you see a highlighted in \\
\hline 20 & wasn't on their list. I'm not necessarily objecting to it. & 20 yellow on the screen. Thank you -- \\
\hline 21 & I just want to be sure that what's good for the goose is -- & 21 A That I see, yes. \\
\hline 22 & THE COURT: Yes. & 22 Q So, in fact, you relied upon information from Mr \\
\hline 23 & MR. KISE: That's all I'm saying because I don't & 23 Ekovich and you provided that to, who Forbes, right? \\
\hline 24 & ognize it & 24 A Well, it was one of many -- we didn't use that as the \\
\hline 25 & THE COURT: Give me a second. & 25 only source of material to value golf courses. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as People's Exhibit 3144.)
Q Let's go back to the process for creating the
Statements of Financial Condition in any given year, if we can.
Just so we're clear, between 2011 and your departure
from the Trump Organization, did you perform any valuations for any of the assets on the Statements of Financial Condition?

A When you saw my personally.
Q Yes?
A I don't recall doing anything like that.
Q Do you recall testifying at one of the investigatory
sessions we had "I certainly a.m. not one to value a property. I have no idea what properties are worth?

A I would rely on experts to do that.
Q But do you recall that's something you had said at one of the motions?
A I either do -- I don't recall it but certainly possible.
Q You're not disputing it, correct?
A I'm not disputing it.
Q Is it still your position, sir, that you have no idea what properties are worth?

A I have no idea -- no. I personally -- if I looked at
a building, I couldn't tell you what it's worth. I would have
to go to an expert to help me to do that.
THE COURT: Move the microphone closer. You might --

THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yeah.
THE COURT: They are really quirky microphones.
You could be right there and you have to talk right into it. THE WITNESS: Second time.
Q Was it your testimony earlier today that between
roughly 2011 and your departure, you were involved in reviewing the notes to the Statements of Financial Condition before they were finalized?

A The notes -- the notes, yes, which describe our properties, discuss any liabilities that are associated with the properties, things of that nature. Those notes is what I'm referring to.

Q Was that because you understood that Mr. Trump wanted the assets described in the best possible light?

A Yeah. Well -- yeah, he has his own way of writing. I might use a word that is -- he may use a different word than I might use, but it is his statement, so I gave him the opportunity to correct a word here or there that he didn't like. By the way, a lot of these notes were written by the Mazars firm. I would come back and alter it a little bit and then the final draft came out and I would give it to Mr. Trump to review. He might say don't use the word "beautiful." Use the words

\section*{WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) \\ Page 898}
"magnificent" or something like that because it was not just a Statement of Financial Condition, but it was also a way of telling anybody who read it how our properties are, not typical properties, premier properties, and he wanted to be in that vein because you put a lot of effort and money over the years into making these properties exactly that.
Q Do I understand correctly then for each year from 2011 and your departure, before the Statement of Financial Condition was finalized, you would give it to Mr. Trump?

A Yes.
Q And did you understand that he would re- --
A No. No. Well, well, once he became president --
Q Certainly. I'll rephrase my question.
Between 2011 and when Mr. Trump became president, did you give him a Statement of Financial Condition prior to the time it was finalized?

A Yes, I did.
Q Did he have an opportunity to review it?
A Yes.
Q To your knowledge, did he review it?
A Again, once I gave it to him and I left his office, what he did with it, I wouldn't know.
Q Did you ever receive comments on a Statement of Financial Condition from Mr. Trump before he became president? A Periodically.

Q Once Mr. Trump became president, to whom, if anyone, did you give the Statement of Financial Condition for review before it was finalized?

A I don't remember. I was -- I don't remember whether I gave it to Eric Trump and/or Donald Trump. I just don't recall. I may have. I just don't remember.

Q When you say Donald Trump, you mean Donald Trump, Jr.? A Yes.
(Continued on the next page.) Trump, Jr.?
3 A Yes. additional comments to the SOFC?
A Are you talking about the years blend in one after the other? I don't remember if he said those words to me exactly as you're putting them.

Q That's why I asked in form or substance.
You had an understanding at some point in time between 2011 when he became president that he was okay with the SOFCs; right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Prior to gaining that understanding that he was okay with the SOFC, did you ever send it to Mazars between 2011 and when Mr. Trump became president as a final version before Mr. Trump signed off on it?

A Not that I can remember, no.
Q Let's talk about Seven Springs.
Are you familiar with the property known as Seven
Springs located in the towns of Bedford, New Castle and North Castle, New York?

A As one of our assets, I was there once many, many, many years ago to tour the place, but that's probably the extent and
we have -- yes, that's pretty much the extent.
Q So you are familiar with it?
A I am familiar with it -- I realize that. I'm sorry.
Q I didn't want to cut you off, sir.
A No. No, l'm sorry.
THE COURT: I guess "familiar" could be interpreted different ways. I think Mr. Weisselberg was partly saying to be familiar with it you have to be there, but I think
Mr. Solomon's meaning was just did you know if it exists. THE WITNESS: I understand that now.
THE COURT: So you know it exists and you know you were there a long time ago?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Just give me one second. (Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Thanks. Go ahead.

\section*{CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. SOLOMON:
Q Seven Springs is one of properties that appears as an asset on the Statements of Financial Condition; correct?

A Correct.
MR. SOLOMON: Can we pull up the 2014 SOFC, PX 730 ?
Q Do you recognize this document, sir?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?

Weisselberg - Peititioner - direct (Solomon)
Page 902
A Statement of Financial Condition of Donald Trump dated June 30, 2014.

MR. SOLOMON: Could we please turn to page 20 of 26 on the exhibit?
Q Do you see across the bottom, "mansion at Seven Springs." Do you see that?
A Yes.
\(Q\) "The property is zoned for nine luxurious homes. It has been valued at \(\$ 291\) million based on an assessment made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow which he would derive as those units are constructed and sold and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other buildings." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Now, in doing this calculation to get to \(\$ 291\) million was any discount to the cash flow applied to account for the time it would take to obtain all necessary approvals, build the homes and then sell the homes?

A I don't know. I don't know.
Q Do you know if a -- have you ever heard the term "present value of money?"
A I've heard that. I've heard that term.
Q Are you familiar with it?
A To a degree.
Q You understand that \(\$ 10\) ten years from now is not the
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 903 & A. Weisselberg - Peitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 905 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
same as \(\$ 10\) today; right? \\
A Yes, and I believe these financial statements were what we felt the property was worth on that date we did the financial statements. \\
Q So but as you sit here today, you don't know if any discount of present value was applied to the \(\$ 291\) million projected net cash flow, do you? \\
A No, I don't. \\
Q Now, beyond just Seven Springs do you know if for any of the valuations on any Statement of Financial Condition between 2011 and your departure there was an application to determine the present value of a future income stream? \\
A I don't know whether that was done or not. I didn't work on the calculations, but I believe that the values were based on what we felt we could settle for on that date, on the date of the financial statement. That was valued -- for example, if you take a building, a commercial building, you have leases in place and we took the current values of those leases that day and did our -- and went from there. That became our NOI with the cap rate. In ten years later those leases that were \(\$ 20,000\) square foot, could be \(\$ 90\) a square foot. So we didn't work with that \(\$ 90\). We worked with \(\$ 20\) as of today to come up with our NOI. \\
Q Is that what you understand this cap to present value mean?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 Trump Organization was thinking about putting a golf course there; right? Do you recall that? \\
A There was discussion about that, yes. \\
Q But you couldn't get the proper zoning for that; right? \\
A Correct. \\
Q So you had to give that up? \\
A I believe so. \\
Q Okay. So then it became a concept of building mansions \\
on the property; right? \\
A Correct. \\
Q So on the day of this financial statement as of June 30, 2014 ? \\
A Okay. \\
Q Okay. The value of the development rights for this property was not discounted to present value, was it? \\
A The -- can I answer it other than yes or no? \\
Q Can you answer it yes or no? \\
THE COURT: Seems like a yes-or-no question. \\
A Well, the question is when the zoning is arrived at or was obtained or when the zones were built assuming you weren't just selling land. This could be your land sales, nine -- it has -- it's zoned for nines homes. Doesn't mean we're going to build the homes. We could sell the land that day for those numbers. They could go out and get their zoning. \\
Q Did you think you could sell the land that day for
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) \\
A Yes, we were using the values as of that date. \\
Q That's a snapshot, sir, of the valuation, isn't it? \\
A I don't know how you describe -- \\
Q You didn't assume that ten years of rent you had it on \\
the day of the valuation, did it -- did you? \\
A No. The way the valuation -- sorry. \\
Q Yes-or-no question. \\
A Finish the question. \\
Q You didn't assume you had all that money on day one; right? \\
A We weren't -- \\
Q Yes or no? For the lease, the lease you just \\
described, did you assume that you had the ten years of rent \\
payments on June 30th of any given year? \\
A And that's what -- why we consider it. We didn't have it. \\
Q You didn't have it, so you didn't consider it? \\
A Correct. \\
Q So let's talk about projects under development. That's \\
a category in the financial statements; right? \\
A Correct. \\
Q Mentioned at Seven Springs, that's a project under development for a period of time; right? \\
24 A Correct. \\
25 Q In fact, at one point in time ten, 20 years ago, the
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) \\
\(\$ 291\) million? \\
A I didn't have a backup to those numbers. I don't know the answer to that question. \\
Q Is that how you read that, though? \\
A I read that the property is zoned for nine luxurious homes. It has been valued on this date. When -- \\
Q Based on? \\
A -- in conjunction with his associates based on projected cash flow. \\
Q Projected net cash flow? \\
A Correct. \\
Q That's not a dollar you have on June 30, 2014; right, projected? Is that right? \\
A Yes. Projection could have been in a week later. It's a possibility he could have -- \\
Q Nine luxurious homes build, get zoning for them, build them and sell them a day later. Yes or no, sir? Is that what your testimony is? \\
A I'm trying to read the question -- I mean, I'm trying to read the paragraph. \\
Q Go ahead. \\
A The property is zoned for nine luxurious homes. It doesn't mean the homes are being sold for 291 million. I don't see that. \\
25 Q I'm not saying the homes are being sold for 291, sir.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Peititioner - direct (Solomon) Page 907 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 909 \\
\hline 1 What I'm asking you is it's the projected net cash flow he would & 1 testimony? \\
\hline 2 derive as those units are constructed and sold and the estimated & 2 A Sorry? \\
\hline 3 fair value of the existing mansions. As they are constructed & 3 Q Are you certain of that last answer as you are the rest \\
\hline 4 and sold, futur & 4 of your te \\
\hline 5 A Correc & 5 A As it pertains to this property \\
\hline 6 Q They're not doing that the next day; right? & 6 MR. SOLOMON: Can we mark PX 3114 for \\
\hline 7 A Okay & 7 identifi \\
\hline 8 Q You agree with & 8 THE COURT: Please don't talk over each other and \\
\hline 9 A Yes, I & 9 I'm not sure that that point was ever really made because \\
\hline 10 Q Okay. You're familiar with Seven Springs. You told me & 10 you went on all sorts of tangents. \\
\hline 11 that before. You've been up there? & 11 I think, Mr. Weisselberg, what Mr. Solomon was \\
\hline 12 A Yes. & 12 trying to get you to do, you can do it or not, I think what \\
\hline 13 Q Barren land, right, except for the existing buildings? & 13 he was trying to get you to do was to acknowledge that since \\
\hline 14 A Except it was a mansion on the property, one mansion. & 14 the number up there was based on future events for planning, \\
\hline 15 Q Right, so there had to be some period of time to get & 15 the building, for selling, that the net eventual proceeds \\
\hline 16 the proper zoning, some of it for nine homes was there. It had & 16 needed to be discounted to take into account that that would \\
\hline 17 to be sometime to break the land; right? Had to be sometime to & 17 not occur right away. Am I describing that correctly? \\
\hline 18 build the homes; right? & 18 THE WITNESS: You are. I don't know on the GAAP \\
\hline 19 A Correct. & 19 what the requirements are to value something that may have \\
\hline 20 Q Sometime to market and sell them; right? & 20 future value. I'm not a GAAP expert. I think if the Mazars \\
\hline 21 A Could have done it in a year. I don't know the time & 21 firm who's part and parcel of producing this statement \\
\hline 22 period. & 22 realizes that GAAP does not permit that they would have said \\
\hline 23 Q But this doesn't account for anytime period, does it? & 23 something to us evidently knowing this is a future \\
\hline 24 A Nor do I know whether Mr. Trump would go out and try to & 24 development. They allowed the number on the statement the \\
\hline 25 market the property while the zoning was going on, sign & 25 way it is. \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Peititioner - direct (Solomon) Page 908 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 910 \\
\hline 1 contracts while the zoning was going on subject to getting that & 1 THE COURT: Just before we move on to this next \\
\hline 2 zoning. A lot of things could have been going on. He could & 2 document, Mr. Solomon, I've advised for you, for all the \\
\hline 3 have been building the models. I don't know what he has in his & 3 lawyers, for everybody in the room, this is a particular pet \\
\hline 4 mind with that property. I wasn't really involved in the & 4 peeve of mine and it will make life simpler of everyone. \\
\hline 5 development of the property, but I -- & 5 Don't ask questions in the negative. For example, the \\
\hline 6 Q Are you sure about the time? & 6 questions are often "you didn't do that, did you?" And then \\
\hline 7 A That I was involved in the -- & 7 well, there is a no answer, meaning "no, I didn't do it" or \\
\hline 8 Q That you were not involved in the development of the & 8 "no, I" -- just ask if you did it, okay? Don't start with \\
\hline 9 property? & 9 "this doesn't mean." Just ask in the positive, "did you do \\
\hline 10 A I didn't -- I'm not a developer. I wouldn't know where & 10 it." I pass that off for what it's worth. \\
\hline 11 to start. & 11 Q Sir, do you recognize PX 3114 for identification? \\
\hline 12 Q But the development of that property -- you were not & 12 A Okay. \\
\hline 13 involved in the development of that property. Is that your & 13 Q Do you recognize it? \\
\hline 14 testimony? & 14 A I acknowledge this is an e-mail from me. \\
\hline 15 A No, I don't understand your question what you're saying & 15 Q Do you recall seeing it before today? \\
\hline 16 to me. The development, meaning working with people to get & 16 A I'm sure if I typed that the answer is yes. \\
\hline 17 information to help them get zoning, that numbers, things of & 17 Q The subject on the first e-mail is "easement analysis." \\
\hline 18 that nature & 18 Do you see that? \\
\hline 19 Q Or working with people to determine how the property & 19 A Yes. \\
\hline 20 would be developed if at all? & 20 Q And it's from Sheri Dillon to you, Eric Trump and \\
\hline 21 A I don't recall -- I mean, I recall people working on it & 21 Donald Bender? \\
\hline 22 specifically. They may have called me for certain information, & 22 A Ye \\
\hline 23 but I don't recall developing or where to begin developing a & 23 Q And you turn to the next page and -- I'm sorry -- the \\
\hline 24 property. & 24 date on this is September 23, 2014; right? \\
\hline 25 Q Are you certain of that as you are the rest of your & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & sselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 915 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon)} & 917 \\
\hline & A I & & n & ave to \\
\hline & Q And did you direct them to do anything with regards to & 2 & discu & \\
\hline & a potential valuation of that easement estimated to be in excess & & e & of \\
\hline & of \$30 million? & & ter to quote Mr. Kise, if we prod & e night \\
\hline 5 & A I did & 5 & e we're not going to sta & n't \\
\hline 6 & Q So you did nothing and didn't direct anyone to compare & 6 & hours. And I appreciate the & \\
\hline & that valuation on the chart on 9/23/2014 and any valuation that & & ers. I just wanted to preserv & \\
\hline & ght have appeared on the SOFC, did you do anything or direct & 8 & THE COURT: And Mr. So & \\
\hline & \(m\) to do anything? & 9 & SOLOMON: Do I nee & d as \\
\hline & A No. & & was just putting it on there & sition \\
\hline & THE COURT: That's much better than didn't you do & & and when they intend to introd & \\
\hline & & & THE COURT: Well, we're & the \\
\hline 13 & MR. SOLOMON: I am guided by the Court's direction. & & thir & \\
\hline & Q Can we look at the Jeff Supporting Data, PX 7198, & 14 & MR. SOLOMON: The third, & Honor, \\
\hline & specifically lines 668 through \(673 ?\) & & e using it to impeach the wit & not \\
\hline 16 & MR. SOLOMON: And, Your Honor, while we're & 16 & lved in the development or & vit \\
\hline 17 & that, I move to admit PX 317. & 17 & respect to the Seven Springs pro & \\
\hline 18 & MR. ROBERT: Objection, Your Honor. I have several & 18 & THE COURT: And the docum & he was? \\
\hline 19 & grounds for the objection. The first is that it's from & 19 & MR. SOLOMON: We belie & Honor. \\
\hline 20 & 2014, so we have a statute of limitations defense. & 20 & R. ROBERT: Again, You & ion was \\
\hline 21 & Secondly, I know during the course of the spe & 21 & ut the development and it wa & ement. \\
\hline 22 & ceeding, which we weren't involved with, the Court made & 22 & olomon's earlier question & use the \\
\hline 23 & ain rulings as to attorney/client privileged documents, & 23 & cument and then they -- it was gorn & lely for \\
\hline 24 & will note that not all the parties were defendants in & 24 & peachment purposes they wouldn & g they \\
\hline & this case were parties to the special proceeding, so we & & intend to use it today because un & on and \\
\hline & sselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 916 & & sselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) & age 918 \\
\hline & ld st & & with you & have to \\
\hline 2 & under attorney/client privilege inasmuch as the document is & 2 & exchanged. & \\
\hline 3 & marked subject to attorney/client privilege. & 3 & So, again, the fact that they & \\
\hline 4 & Third, this was a document that was just produced & & sterday, I'm just making the po & same \\
\hline 5 & us by the defendants -- by the plaintiffs, rather, for & 5 & ing. And as far as the rest of & the \\
\hline 6 & their use today over the weekend and the order requires one & 6 & Court's ruling. We're just preser & \\
\hline 7 & business day. I know Mr. Solomon said it was being used for & 7 & THE COURT: It's in eviden & ections \\
\hline 8 & impeachment. As I heard the testimony, it's not & 8 & one half objectio & \\
\hline 9 & inconsistent. The question was was Mr. Weisselberg involved & 9 & MR. SOLOMON: Thank & \\
\hline 10 & in the development and he was more focused on the financial & & Sir, if you take a look at this, & 668 \\
\hline 11 & side than the development side. So for those three bases we & & through 680. Do you see that & \\
\hline 12 & would object to those being introduced into evidence. & & A 668? & \\
\hline 13 & THE COURT: Should we discuss them one at a time? & & Q 668, yes. Do you see where & cross? \\
\hline 14 & statute of limitations objection is a standing & & A Yes & \\
\hline 15 & ction? & & Q Okay. "Bedford seven man & \\
\hline 16 & & & A In Bedford, yes. & \\
\hline 17 & ied & & Q If you go up to 666, apolog & er, but \\
\hline 18 & erms of the attorney/client privilege, I think & & at's where it is on the spreadsheet. & land to \\
\hline 19 & e it's out there in the world, you don't get to object on & & used as part of main mansion." & \\
\hline 20 & attorney/client privilege anymore. I understand that you & 20 & Was that the land you were tal & the \\
\hline 21 & uld have if you had been part of the investigation. & & xisting buildings were on? & \\
\hline 22 & In any event we looked at it and it's not his & & A I don't know the towns they & vas a \\
\hline 23 & iness, it's not attorney/client privilege. You can't & & ansion already on the property. & \\
\hline 24 & ege something just by claiming it's attomey/client & & Q And if you go up one more to 6 & lan \\
\hline 25 & privilege. & & be donated?" Do you see that? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 919 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 921 \\
\hline 1 A I see that. & 1 Q You signed the management rep letters; right? \\
\hline 2 Q Okay. Now, the Bedford talks about seven mansions & 2 A Yes, yes. \\
\hline 3 approved; right? & 3 Q And you don't recall if you knew there was an appraisal \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 showing a difference of somewhere in the area of \$230 million in \\
\hline 5 Q Do you recall the SOFC talked about nine? & 5 the valuation of an asset? \\
\hline 6 A Yes. I see that there was numbers. I don't know if & 6 A Correct. I did not see that appraisal. I don't recall \\
\hline 7 they're included in the main mansion as being nine, but I see & 7 seeing that appraisal, no. \\
\hline 8 seven here. So you're saying we undervalued the -- we should & 8 Q And it wouldn't matter to you because that's less than \\
\hline 9 have added two more to this? & 9 five percent of the net worth of 6 billion; correct? \\
\hline 10 Q I'm asking. & 10 A That wouldn't come into play. I don't recall seeing \\
\hline 11 A I don't -- again, I didn't do this. I did not do this. & 11 the appraisal. \\
\hline 12 This was Jeff McConney's spreadsheet, so I don't know what -- & 12 Q The question is a little different. \\
\hline 13 why you count seven, I don't know. & 13 Even if you hadn't seen the appraisal it wouldn't \\
\hline 14 Q I was trying to understand if you could explain the & 14 matter to you because \$230 million difference is less than five \\
\hline 15 apparent discrepancy between the seven and the nine. It sounds & 15 percent of a net worth of \$6 billion? \\
\hline 16 as if the answer to that is no? & 16 A Well, I didn't look at the net worth that year. \\
\hline 17 A I don't know. Maybe it shouldn't have been nine. He & 17 Q So it was 6 billion -- if you saw a difference of \\
\hline 18 should have raised it. I don't know. & 18 \$230 million you wouldn't tell Mazars, would you? \\
\hline 19 Q Should have raised it -- sir, do you recall that there & 19 It's a yes-or-no question, sir. Would you tell Mazars \\
\hline 20 was actually an appraisal done in connection with the donation & 20 if you saw the appraisal for an asset that you had previously \\
\hline 21 of a conservation of Seven Springs? & 21 identified at 291 million come in \(\$ 230\) million less? \\
\hline 22 A I believe I was involved in that exhausting the fee & 22 A I don't know how to answer the question. The reason \\
\hline 23 that was going to be charged by Cushman \& Wakefield may have & 23 why I don't because -- \\
\hline 24 done an appraisal for Sheri Dillon that she was going to use for & 24 THE COURT: You don't know how to answer the \\
\hline 25 the conservation easement. Yes, I remember that. & 25 question yes or no? Would you have told them if he was \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 920 & Page 922 \\
\hline 1 Q Okay. Do you recall the appraised -- withdrawn. & 1 seeing -- \\
\hline 2 Do you recall that Cushman \& Wakefield performed that & 2 THE WITNESS: No. The answer is no. \\
\hline 3 appraisal? & 3 Whereupon, the transcript continues on the \\
\hline 4 A I believe so. & 4 following page.... \\
\hline 5 Q Cushman \& Wakefield is a respected firm? & 5 \\
\hline 6 A Correct. & 6 \\
\hline 7 Q Do you recall the evaluation they came up with for the & 7 \\
\hline 8 entirety of the Seven Springs property, the portion in Bedford, & 8 \\
\hline 9 the portion in New Castle, the portion in North Castle? & 9 \\
\hline 10 A No, I don't know. & 10 \\
\hline 11 Q Would it surprise you to learn that it was in the area & 11 \\
\hline 12 of 56 million for everything? & 12 \\
\hline 13 A Yes. Again, I have no way to react to that. & 13 \\
\hline 14 Q There is no way to react? It's 291 million on this? & 14 \\
\hline 15 A Correct. & 15 \\
\hline 16 Q And I've -- there is an appraisal for the entirety of & 16 \\
\hline 17 the property, including the mansions of 56, 56 and a half & 17 \\
\hline 18 million. You have no way to react? & 18 \\
\hline 19 A I don't know what the appraisal with everyone & 19 \\
\hline 20 including. Were they including mansions, were they including & 20 \\
\hline 21 this land. I don't know. I may have seen the appraisal, so for & 21 \\
\hline 22 me to comment on that would be unfair. & 22 \\
\hline 23 Q You're the CFO -- you were the CFO, excuse me, at this & 23 \\
\hline 24 time? & 24 \\
\hline 25 A Correct. & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & NEISSELBERG - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON) Page 923 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON) Page 925 \\
\hline & Q Once you became a trustee, were you responsible for & 1 A 39 is the Haroula e-mail. \\
\hline 2 & providing certifications to lending institutions as to the & 2 Q Is the cover, "Please see the attached report required \\
\hline 3 & Statement of Financial Condition of the Trump Organization? & 3 for per our loan documents"? \\
\hline 4 & A I believe so, yes. & 4 A Right. \\
\hline 5 & Q Or the trust, I should say. & 5 Q Did you send it or did you cause someone to send it to \\
\hline 6 & A Yes. & 6 Wells Fargo to comply with obligations and loan documents. \\
\hline 7 & MR. SOLOMON: Could we mark as PX 1386, this is a & 7 A I would say so, yes. \\
\hline 8 & family of exhibits. & 8 Q Did you understand that there would be a default under \\
\hline 9 & Q Sir, I will direct your attention specifically to page & 9 these loan documents if you failed to provide this \\
\hline 10 & 39 of this exhibit. & 10 certification? \\
\hline & A Okay. & 11 A I don't recall the covenants of the loan off the top of \\
\hline & Q Are you there, sir? & 12 my head, whether they were cure rights or things of that nature \\
\hline 13 & A I have it. & 13 that we could cure if there was an issue. I don't know the \\
\hline 14 & Q Cover e-mail from Haroula Zapantis, do you see that? & 14 answer to the question. \\
\hline 15 & A Yes. & 15 Q Did you understand it would be a breach of a covenant \\
\hline 16 & Q Is that person a senior accountant at the Trump & 16 under the loan documents if you didn't send this certification? \\
\hline 17 & Organization in or about 2017 or was that person? & 17 A I would imagine so, yes. \\
\hline 18 & A Yes. & 18 Q Now, you certified that the information is true, \\
\hline 19 & Q It says, "Please see attached report required per our & 19 correct and complete and fairly presents the financial condition \\
\hline 20 & loan documents for the above-referenced loan." Do you see that? & 20 of Donald J. Trump? \\
\hline 21 & A Yes. & 21 A Correct. \\
\hline 22 & Q And the above reference loan is 40 Wall Street, loan & 22 Q You told me this information came from the Statement of \\
\hline 23 & number 28000723. Do you see that? & 23 Financial Condition, right? \\
\hline 24 & A Yes. & 24 A Correct. \\
\hline 25 & Q Turn to the next page. Donald J. Trump summary of net & 25 Q You also told us earlier today that the notes to a \\
\hline & ISSELBERG - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON) Page 924 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON) Page 926 \\
\hline 1 & worth as of June 30, 2017, do you see that? A Ido. & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 Statement of Financial Condition are an integral part of that \\
2 statement, right?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3 & Q Go to the bottom. I, Allen Weisselberg, certify that & 3 A Correct. \\
\hline 4 & the above information is true, correct and complete and fairly & 4 Q You didn't send the notes, did you? \\
\hline 5 & presents the financial condition of Donald J. Trump and it is & 5 A I don't know what was required in the loan document, \\
\hline 6 & signed by you as trustee; is that right? & 6 whether they said we had to send the actual statement. We could \\
\hline 7 & A Correct. & 7 have just done that easily. I don't know what the covenant said \\
\hline 8 & Q That's your signature? & 8 in the loan document. \\
\hline 9 & A Yes. & 9 Q Let me see if I can do this in the affirmative way. \\
\hline 10 & Q The numbers here are derived from the Statements of & 10 Yes or no, did you send the notes to the financial \\
\hline 11 & Financial Condition, right? & 11 statement? \\
\hline 12 & A I believe so, yes. & 12 A No. \\
\hline 13 & Q Well, you certify that it is true -- & 13 Q Please turn to page 41. I believe that's the same \\
\hline 14 & A Yes. The answer is yes. & 14 certification; is that right? \\
\hline 15 & Q Why did you issue a certification? & 15 A Yes. \\
\hline 16 & A I'm sorry. I don't understand the question. & 16 Q And page 42 is a new document from the same person on \\
\hline 17 & Q Sure. You certified that this information was correct? & 17 the same -- on November 7, 2017, right? \\
\hline 18 & A Okay. & 18 A Yes. \\
\hline 19 & Q And it was then sent on to Wells Fargo, right? & 19 Q On page 43 is another certification by you? \\
\hline 20 & A Okay. & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline 21 & Q Is that right? Look at the cover page of the e-mail. & 21 Q Correct? \\
\hline 22 & A What page is that? & 22 A Yes. \\
\hline 23 & Q One page before, 39 of 230. & 23 Q That's being sent to the lender, correct? \\
\hline 24 & A I'm sorry. & 24 Well, withdrawn. \\
\hline 25 & Q Take a moment. & 25 That's being sent to Wells Fargo; is that right? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 A Is Wells Fargo the servicer of -- I want to give you an answer that I think will help you. The servicer, Wells Fargo, I don't know what loan they're servicing and every servicer requires different things to be sent to them. The loan documents say that as well. If a loan document said just send us a summary, then we complied with that covenant in the mortgage. If it says send us the Statement of Financial Condition, they would have gotten that.

Evidently, this loan indicated that they wanted a summary of a net worth, not the Statement of Financial Condition. Without seeing the document, I'm doing this purely from logic and memory, so if you had the loan document here and you could point me to what the covenant indicated, I would be able to answer the question better for you.

Q I think we can do it even faster without having you go through all the loan documents. You were sending this to Wells Fargo to comply with what you believed to be the obligations under the loan agreements, right?

A Correct.
Q If you look at pages 44 and 45, similarly same date, being sent to Wells Fargo again, correct?

A Same document over and over again. Same e-mail over and over again, all dated November 17th.

Q Actually, it is not. If you look at the subject, the
25 loan numbers are different. On the first one, the loan number
\(1 \quad\) Q 92, yes, please. We now have October 25, 2018.
2 A I'm sorry. I'm not there yet.
3 Q Sure.
4 A I'm looking at the hard copy.
5 Q Yes. Do you have it?
6 A Yes, I'm flipping through it now.
7 Q This is again referencing loan 28000723, but this is
for October 2018. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Did you provide the -- withdrawn.
Did you certify that the above information on page 93 is true, correct and complete and fairly presents the financial condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2018?

A This is 40 Wall Street. Yes.
Q Did you understand that this certification was a requirement under the loan documents?

A Yes.
Q And that a failure to provide this certification would be a breach of the Trump Organization's obligations under that loan?

A Yes.
THE COURT: Seven-minute warning. You only have seven this time.
Q Please turn to page 95 and 96. You'll see again it is a cover e-mail, but this time, it's for loan 0920 and you
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is 723 . On the second one, it is 920 . And on the third one, it's 213. Do you see that now, sir?

A I do, and that's very helpful because each one of those loans, each one of those loans are, I believe, Ladder Capital and they required a summary of Mr. Trump's net worth. So, therefore, based upon what I believed to be the covenant, we provided the summary and not the Statement of Financial Condition with the notes.
Q And did you believe you were required to provide this
information to comply with the obligations under the loan agreements?

A That's correct.
Q Let's turn to the next one at pages -- at page 86.
Excuse me.
A 86, did you say?
Q 86 of 230 , yes. The page before, document withheld for privilege, but we have a summary of net worth as of June 30, 2018. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Were you certifying as a trustee that the above
information is true, correct and complete and fairly presents the financial condition of Donald J. Trump?
A Yes.
Q Turn to page 92, please.
A You said 92?
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1 certify again same thing, correct?
2 A Correct.
3 Q And did you understand that you were providing this to
4 Wells Fargo to comply with Trump Organization's obligations
5 under the reference loan agreement?
6 A Correct.
7 Q Did you understand that if you failed to provide it,
8 the Trump Organization would be in breach of its obligations
under the loan agreement?
A Correct.
Q Next document, page 97 to 98. Again, we have a cover e-mail, the last four digits of the reference loan is 0123 . Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Same certification, sir?
A Yes.
Q And did you understand that you were providing it in compliance with the Trump Organization's obligations under the reference loan?

A Correct.
Q And did you understand that if you failed to provide it or Trump Organization failed to provide this, that it would be a breach of its obligations under the loan?

A Correct.
25 Q Please turn to page 131. Just to confirm, on all of





\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 951 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 953 \\
\hline 1 A Yes & 1 never did appraisals for us. We don't have any appraisals from \\
\hline 2 Q The largest property is Trump Tower at 732. Do you see & 2 h \\
\hline 3 that? & Q Did you tell Ms. Markarian that you were using someone \\
\hline 4 A & 4 at Cus \\
\hline 5 Q And on the Statement of Financial Condition Trump Tower & 5 A No. I -- it may have been Jeff. It may have been Jeff \\
\hline 6 first entry 732. So her notes are all consistent with the & 6 who is sitting in the same meeting or Patrick Birney that they \\
\hline 7 Statements of Financial Condition; right? & 7 were reaching out to Cushman, speak to Doug Larson to get this \\
\hline 8 A She is copying numbers from here, so she should be & 8 report every year. So we can take that report and be able to \\
\hline 9 accurat & 9 take it and to be able to value up partial proper \\
\hline 10 Q She is right about everything; right? & 10 Q And Ms. Markarian was correct that the Trump \\
\hline 11 A About that. & 11 Organization's person had moved from Cushman to Newmark; right? \\
\hline 12 Q About that, ok & 12 A Whoever dealt with her at the time, whether it was Jeff \\
\hline 13 Now, she also states in the fourth dash, second & 13 or Patrick Birney, probably told her that, sure. \\
\hline 14 sentence: "The fair value of the properties is determined by & 14 Q You don't recall ever telling her that? \\
\hline 15 professional firms (such as Cushman \& Wakefield) using cap rates & 15 A I don't recall telling her that, \\
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\hline 18 Q It's not correct? & 18 my purpose in those meetings if you read her notes carefully it \\
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\hline 21 Q So she is right about everything else except that? & 21 had with her company, but when it came to discussing asset \\
\hline 22 A Well, it's easy to copy numbers, but where she got that & 22 valuations, that I left to Jeff and to Patrick since they work \\
\hline 23 from, I don't know & 23 on those. I never discussed that with her, \\
\hline 24 MR. SOLOMON: Let's mark as PX 1561 for & 24 Q Were you present for all the conversations Jeff and \\
\hline 25 identification, please & 25 Patrick had with Ms. Markaria \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 952 & A. Weisselberg - Petitioner - direct (Solomon) Page 954 \\
\hline \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Now, this is another meeting note report from & A It was all purpose of the same meeting. \\
\hline 2 Ms. Markarian; correct? & 2 Q So were you there when they described to her how the \\
\hline 3 A I happened to glance down to the same area you & 3 assets were valued \\
\hline 4 mentioned before & 4 A Yes, I was at the meeting and they told her that they \\
\hline 5 Q Okay & 5 called Doug Larson and Larson provided a summary of comps for \\
\hline 6 A -- with the appraisals. & 6 downtown Manhattan or midtown Manhattan and he would take those \\
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\hline 25 able to do comps. That's what we got from Doug Larson and he & 25 told them -- they told Ms. Markarian they had valuations? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


1 Ms. Markarian that you had those appraisals?

A Not that I can remember. When she was there we talked about a specific year. If it was a yes-or-no answer, it doesn't fit into the category. I'm sorry.

I don't mean to be disrespectful to the Court, but if he's talking about 2019 did I have an appraisal in 2018 or 2019, I don't think we did. If we're going back to an earlier year, maybe we're discussing 2019 right now. Are you referring to a different time period?
Q I'm asking from 2011 to your departure.
A Did we ever have an appraisal? We may have an appraisal a year after it was issued, possibly. Banks don't have to give it to you; it's their property. They -- we pay for it, but it belongs to them.

Whereupon, the transcript continues on the
following page....

Q Let's talk about Mar-A-Lago for a moment. Are you aware of any deed restrictions relating to the Mar-A-Lago property?
A I'm not familiar with the deed regarding Mar-A-Lago. It happened many, many years ago. I don't -- I don't recall reading the deed. I may have had it in my file -- in my office. I never even looked at it. It's more a legal document that the lawyers in our office might have, but I never del- -- I never really delved into it, no.

Q Do you know if Mr. McConney was aware of any deed restrictions relating to Mar-A-Lago?

A I don't know if he did or did not. I don't know.
Q Do you know if Mr. McConney considered any deed restrictions with respect to Mar-A-Lago when determining the value of the property for the Statements of Financial Condition?

A I don't know.
Q But you testified earlier you relied upon Mr. McConney?
A I did.
Q For the Statements of Financial Condition, correct?
A Correct.
Q I'm going to go back to the Statements of Financial
Condition for a moment. Once you became a trustee and Mr. Trump became president, who, if anyone, had final signoff authority for the SOFC's?

A Good question.

Q Thank you.
A You're more than welcome. I don't recall how -- I
think once the statement was complied, whether we went to Eric
Trump and just showed it to him, I just don't remember what
happened. It was a lot going on because the company changed how
we do business because of Donald not being there. It was easier
for me to walk into his office. I don't recall whether anyone had a final signoff on the documents. I don't recall.

Q At some point before you sent it to Mazars, you had to
have a comfort level that it was complete and accurate, right?
A That it was -- yes.
Q And who made the final call that it was complete and accurate?

A I don't remember. I don't remember when we -- whether Jeff went down to Eric and spoke to him or not. I just don't remember.

Q Donald Trump, Jr. was also a trustee, correct?
A Correct.
Q For the statement of financial condition after Mr.
Trump became president, those were statements that were done by the trustees; is that correct?

A Statements done by the trustees?
Q It says the trustees have -- to the effect of the valuations have been done by the trustees in consultation. Do you recall that?
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1 A No.
2 Q Let's open that up, PX 773. Do you recognize this to 3 be the Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition for year ending June 30,2018 ?
5 A Yes.
\(6 \quad Q \quad\) Turn to the second page.
7 A Okay.
\(8 \quad Q\) Very first line, the trustees of the Donald J. Trump
9 Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014 as amended on behalf of
10 Donald J. Trump are responsible for the accompanying Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2018 and the related notes to the financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, do you see that?

A Yes.
Q If you can turn to under real and operating properties, Trump Park Avenue. Do you see that?

A Sorry? I'm looking at the hard copy.
\(Q\) Sure. Page seven, page 9 of 24 of the exhibit.
A Yes.
Q You will see the estimated -- just for Trump Park
Avenue as an example, the estimated current value of \(175,700,000\) is based upon an evaluation made by the trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals of the amount that will be earned as a result of the sale of the remaining
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON) Page 963 \\
1 & condominium units as well as applying a capitalization rate to \\
2 & the stabilized net operating income to be derived from the \\
3 & commercial space. \\
4 & A \\
5 & Yes. \\
6 & Q \(\quad\) Does that refresh your recollection that the trustees \\
7 & others made a determination as to the evaluation that appears on \\
8 & the Statement of Financial Condition? \\
9 & A \(\quad\) Those words took the place of Donald J. Trump. \\
10 & Q So did the trustees do anything or did they just use \\
11 & the prior numbers? \\
12 & A Prior numbers? \\
13 & Q In other words, it says the trustees made an \\
14 & evaluation, right? \\
15 & A The statement was prepared the same way every year if \\
16 & that's your question. But now, instead of Donald J. Trump and \\
17 & his associates and outside professionals, it now says trustees \\
18 & instead. \\
19 & Q What, if anything, did you as a trustee do to determine \\
20 & the estimated current value of \(175,700,000\) as reflected in the \\
21 & document that we're looking at? \\
22 & A Same thing I did before. The CFO Jeffrey McConney and \\
23 & Patrick Birney arrived at values and I reviewed them. \\
24 & Q Other than reviewing someone else's work, did you do \\
25 & any independent work?
\end{tabular}
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A No. I'm not a valuation person. I relied upon the people that I relied upon in the prior 25 years.

Q I believe you told me earlier Donald Trump, Jr. was also trustee at this point in time?
A Yes.
Q What, if anything, did he do, to your knowledge, to determine the estimated current value?
A I don't believe he did anything. It was -- it was done by the same people that did it for 25 years.
\(Q\) And those are people you relied upon?
THE COURT: Ten-minute warning.
A Yes.
Q Did Donald J. Trump have any familiarity with these people, to your knowledge?

A People meaning --
Q Meaning the ones you relied upon.
A Jeffrey McConney and Patrick Birney?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q Do you know if he relied upon the same people you relied upon?

A I believe he did, yes.
Q Are those the associates that are referred to in this description in the notes?

A Along with others that may have worked with Jeff and

Patrick. There were people that got other information as I mentioned earlier this morning. Different parts of the statements were done by different people, so not just Jeff and Patrick, but it could have been some other people that submit information that Jeff and Patrick needed in order to finish the statement such as cash balances and things of that nature.
Q Did you discuss the Statement of Financial Condition before it was finalized with Donald Trump, Jr. for the years when you were both trustees?

A I don't remember. I don't remember.
Q Do you know if Mr. McConney or anyone in his direction ever explained to Donald Trump, Jr. during the years he was a trustee how the values were calculated on the Statement of Financial Condition?

A That is something you would have to ask him if he did it. I don't know.

Q Was it -- was that explanation ever given or description ever given in your presence?

A Not in my presence, no.
Q Did you tell Donald Trump, Jr. during the time you were both trustees how the values were calculated?

A Not that I can remember.
Q Do you know if Mr. McConney ever provided a copy of his supporting data spreadsheet to Donald Trump, Jr. when Mr. Trump was a trustee?
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A If he did, I wouldn't know about it. I wasn't there to see it.
Q Sir, we put back up and I asked you to look at the third page of the exhibit. That's the asset page. We were talking generally about the Vornado cash earlier. Do you recall that?

\section*{A I do.}

Q This was amounts that were held in the partnership
accounts for the partnerships between the Trump Organization and Vornado, right?
A Correct.
Q That's what we were talking about.
Just so we're clear, does that \(\$ 76,200,000\) number include any of the cash that's held in the Vornado accounts?
A Again, if you can show me the spreadsheet that Mr.
McConney put together, that might answer the question. It would help me.
Q As you sit here today, do you know --
A I think it does, but I would like to be reassured by looking at the spreadsheet if you don't mind.
Q We can go back to that.
For the club facilities and related real estate, do you see that entry?
A Yes.
Q 2.3499. To your knowledge, does that include future


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline apologize (2) & approximate (1) & 842:22;843:14,21; & aviation (1) & 957:15 \\
\hline 841:25;862:11 & 07: & 862:22;869:13,13; & 3:2 & basis (8) \\
\hline apparent (1) & approximately (5) & 872:6;874:18,20,21; & avoid (1) & 797:8,10;841:7; \\
\hline 919:15 & 790:6;791:25;807:4; & 875:1,3,7,22;878:17; & 938:4 & 864:24;871:11,19; \\
\hline appear (6) & 859:11;936:11 & 879:2;895:13;896:8; & aware (20) & 945:23;953:19 \\
\hline 798:1;815:7;848:8; & April (2) & 897:17;900:24;948:18, & 788:6,8;792:25; & Bates (1) \\
\hline 859:22;861:7;874:18 & 48:21;96 & 22;949:7,13;950:10, & 809:10,18;840:18,20; & 877:21 \\
\hline Appearances (1) & arbitrarily (1) & 17;954:3;967:23 & 851:23;853:2;857:24; & Bath (1) \\
\hline 783:24.5 & 955:13 & associated (1) & 859:17;864:10;865:8; & 873:25 \\
\hline appear & area (6) & 897: & 912:5;913:21;914:5,8; & Beach (4) \\
\hline 855:11,18,21; & 807:22;821:18; & ASSOCIATES (7) & 943:9;960:2,10 & 787:6;883:24;884:2; \\
\hline 859:24;862:18;881:11 & 6:12;920:11;921:4; & 784:9;902:10;906:8 & away (1) & 887:16 \\
\hline 15:8 & 2:3 & 962:24;963:6,17; & 9:17 & Bearing (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { appearing (1 } \\
860: 17
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{areas}(1) \\
946: 18
\end{array}
\] & assume (1 & B & \begin{tabular}{l}
862:8 \\
beautiful (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline appears (7) & argument (1) & 797:6;806 & & 897:25 \\
\hline 812:21;86 & 799:1 & 825:22;835:23;846:21, & back (63) & became (22) \\
\hline 883:13;887:6;901:19; & argumentative (1) & 25;847:6;850:7,11; & 789:1;793:23; & 790:12,15;796:16, \\
\hline 933:19;963:7 & 11:11 & 851:12;885:25;886:18; & 795:17;796:14;802:5, & 17;833:2;834:15; \\
\hline application (1) & argumen & 904:4,9,13 & 9,18;809:4,9;811:15; & 898:12,14,24;899:1; \\
\hline 903:11 & 870:10;871:7 & assumed (2) & 814:1,6,7,23;817:6; & 900:4,12,17;903:19; \\
\hline applied (4) & ARMEN (1) & 800:13,23 & 818:17;819:10;829:3, & 905:8;913:21;923:1; \\
\hline 849:25;85 & 784:14 & assuming (2) & 5,8,12,14;830:21; & 957:21;960:22,23; \\
\hline 902:16;903:6 & around (2) & 860:20;905:20 & 833:12,15,18;836:25; & 961:20;968:12 \\
\hline applies (2) & 800:14;936: & assumption (9) & 837:7;851:10;855:14; & become (2) \\
\hline 860:17;86 & arrive (1) & 7,12,15,18,2 & 856:9,15,19;858:4; & 790:3;794:8 \\
\hline apply (3) & &  & 863:19;867:14,25 & becoming (2) \\
\hline applying (1) & 905:19;963:23 & 878:8 & 888:12,23;894:15,16; & Bed (1) \\
\hline \[
963: 1
\] & art (3) & attached (7) & 896:4;897:23;913:7; & 873:25 \\
\hline appointment (1) & 891:2;957:16, & 805:15;806:7;887:3; & 931:2,5;935:24; & Bedford (5) \\
\hline 795:14 & ARTHUR (3) & 923:19;925:2;931:16; & 936:15,18;938:15 & 900:22;918:15,16; \\
\hline appraisal (26) & 783:16;785:2;888:1 & 935:2 & 941:25;943:22;954:13, & 919:2;920:8 \\
\hline \[
919: 20,24 ; 920: 3,16,
\] & article (8) & attaching (2) & 16;958:11;959:7; & Bedminster (1) \\
\hline 19,21;921:3,6,7,11,13, & 833:5,21,24;834:5,6 & \[
\text { 877:8;933:1\} }
\] & \[
960: 21 ; 966: 3,21 ;
\] & 784:10.5 \\
\hline 20;955:16,18;956:2,3, & 14;884:16;887:5 & attachments (6) & 969:23 & began (12) \\
\hline 16;957:1,4,9,13,16,17; & ASC (1) & 803:8;804:2,4; & background (1) & 789:8,10,18,23 \\
\hline 959:6,11,12 & 840:24 & 805:21,21;806:1 & 787:18 & 791:4,8;792:2,15,21; \\
\hline apprai & ascertain & atte & backup (6) & 822:20;833:7;873:15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 793: } \\
& 948:
\end{aligned}
\] & 864:18 & 945:21;947 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 812:9;824:22;889: } \\
& 10,11 ; 906: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { begin (7) } \\
786: 7 ; 787: 17 ;
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 952:6;953:1,1;954:11; & 946:4 & 828:21;848:24;923:9 & balances (5) & 791:21;846:16;864:15, \\
\hline 955:10,25;956:1,12,14, & assemble (1) & ATTORNEY (3) & 846:14,14;864:16 & 23;908:23 \\
\hline 15,17,20,21,24,25; & 846:16 & 783:3,19;817:5 & 16;965:6 & beginning (2) \\
\hline 957:15;958:5,6,22; & asserted (1) & attorney/client (7) & ball (1) & 820:8;849:4 \\
\hline 959:1 & 834:9 & 915:23;916:2,3,18, & 912:1 & behalf (9) \\
\hline appraise (1) & assessment (1) & 20,23,24 & bank (3) & 786:20;796:9 \\
\hline 955:14 & 902:9 & Attorneys (5) & 939:24;955:11;958:6 & 798:11;840:9;859:6; \\
\hline appraised (2) 920:1;952:13 & asset (23) 804:1 & 783:20;784:2.5,6.5,
\(9.5,12.5\) & bankruptcy (1)
\(873: 19\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 944:25;950:1;962:9; } \\
& 968: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline appraisers (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 804:12;821:16; } \\
& \text { 823:19;826:8,9;842: }
\end{aligned}
\] & audit (4) & banks (4) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 968:11 } \\
\text { behind (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 864:18;882:17 & 850:17;862:17;863:5, & 836:10,13,18,23 & 869:19;942:4 & 821:22;895:4 \\
\hline Appreciate (2) & 7;870:3,7;872:13; & August (3) & 959:12 & belief (1) \\
\hline 894:13;917:6 & 875:3;884:5;885:3; & 812:10;813:2;890:17 & Barren (1) & 854:12 \\
\hline appropriate (4) & 901:20;921:5,20; & authority (1) & 907:13 & believes (1) \\
\hline 838:9;852:9;878:17; & 941:13;953:21;966:4; & 960:23 & based (11) & 815:19 \\
\hline 879:13 & 967:6 & availability (1) & 808:3;861:25;902: & belongs (1) \\
\hline approvals (1) & assets (45) & 943: & 903:15;906:7,8; & 959:14 \\
\hline 902:17 & 795:25;7 & available (3) & 909:14;928:6;940:20; & below (3) \\
\hline approved (2) 918:15;919:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 15;802:22;804:11; } \\
& \text { 809:14;811:12;814:21; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
807:11,14;856:21 \\
Avenue (4)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 962:23;967:22 } \\
& \text { bases (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
826:17,20;891:8 \\
Bender (5)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\operatorname{approx}(1)
\] & 817:24;825:8,10,12,12,
14:839:25:841:15. & \[
793: 10 ; 939: 19
\] & \[
916: 11
\] & \[
910: 21 ; 913: 23 ;
\] \\
\hline 807:21 & 14;839:25;841:15; & 962:17,22 & basically (1) & 914:2;967:18;968:3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline benefit (2) & 823:14 & \[
894: 13 ; 895: 1,5,6
\] & 905:16,17;909:6,12; & center (1) \\
\hline 796:9;802:23 & bottom (7) & business (9) & 913:7;915:14;926:9 & 843: \\
\hline besides (2) & 798:4;849:3;877:20, & 787:3,21;789:12 & 927:15;932:18;936:3; & Central (2) \\
\hline 846:14;869:17 & 21;894:3;902:5;924:3 & 833:18;873:24;916:7, & 937:1;938:9,9;944:5; & 936:2,6 \\
\hline best (5) & bought (2) & 23;955:16;961:6 & 946:21;948:20;949:14; & Centre (1) \\
\hline 786:15;854:11; & 873:14;947: & buy (1) & 953:8;954:13;957:19; & 783:13 \\
\hline 897:17;945:8,8 & bounce (1) & 842 & 959:2;962:16;965:22; & ceremony (1) \\
\hline better (5) & 796:13 & buyer (1) & \[
966: 15,21
\] & 917:5 \\
\hline \[
871: 10 ; 872: 16,20 ;
\] & Boynton (1) & 842:5 & cap (10) & certain (14) \\
\hline \[
915: 11 ; 927: 14
\] & \[
787: 6
\] & buying (4) & \[
864: 19 ; 865: 3
\] & 802:17;813: \\
\hline beyond (3) & Bradley (1)
803.19 & 879:19;880:6;946:2, & 870:11;882:18;894:4; & 820:11;835:17;836:9; \\
\hline 845:25;873:25;903:9 & 803:19 & & 903:20,24;951:15; & 837:12;855:15;891:5; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { bids (1) } \\
944: 22
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { brand (1) } \\
890: 20
\end{array}
\] & C & \[
952: 24 ; 954: 8
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 908:22,25;909:3; } \\
& 915: 23 ; 937: 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline big (3) & brea & & 803:13;804:8,1 & Certainly (9) \\
\hline 821:16;87 & 925:15;929:19; & cabinet (4) & 928:4;936:5;937: & 785:14;881:22; \\
\hline bigger (1) & 930:8,23;932:8;933:7; & 793:16,20,22;794:1 & 939:14 & 893:20;896:13,18; \\
\hline \[
817: 22
\] & 934:6,21;935:13; & cabinets (1) & capitalization (2) & 898:13;937:10;939:1; \\
\hline billion (19) & 937:23 & 793:17 & 863:2;963:1 & 941:15 \\
\hline \[
810: 5 ; 812: 1 ; 825: 18
\] & breaching (4) & calculated (3) & capitalized (1) & certification (19) \\
\hline 18,22,22,24;826:9,23, & \[
938: 4,13,17 ; 939: 11
\] & \[
879: 14 ; 965: 13,2
\] & \[
879: 9
\] & \[
924: 15 ; 925: 10,16 ;
\] \\
\hline 23,24;827:2,6,16; & break (9) & calculating (1) & capped (1) & 926:14,19;929:15,18; \\
\hline 921:9,15,17;950:17,24 & 833:11,20;83 & 879:1 & 873:9 & 930:15;932:3,13,24; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { binding (1) } \\
\text { 835:16 }
\end{gathered}
\] & 847:1;888:6;907:17
935:16,19;970:1 & calculation (2)
\(801: 5 ; 902: 15\) & care (2) 824:22;8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 933:3;934:7,12,18,22; } \\
& 935: 3,9,13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Birney (31) & brief (1) & calculations (2) & career (1) & certifications (3) \\
\hline \[
786: 1 ; 791: 17 ; 792: 7
\] & 821:12 & 865:16;903:14 & 789:10 & 923:2;931:1;935:3 \\
\hline \[
10,11,18,23 ; 793: 1 ;
\] & bring (2) & California (2) & carefully (4) & Certified (7) \\
\hline 814:20;845:11;846:11, & 830:12,14 & 939:22,22 & 817:9;835:19 & 840:4,13;865:12; \\
\hline 14;881:7;882:20,23; & broad (2) & call (6) & 953:18;954:14 & 924:17;925:18;933:24; \\
\hline 883:20;884:10,12; & 946:6;953:1 & 786:12,17,2 & Carlyle (4) & 968:13 \\
\hline 885:5,15;886:9;887:2 & broke (1) & 884:12,20;961:1 & 877:10,25;879:2 & certify (7) \\
\hline 931:11,16;932:20; & 889:18 & called (3) & 880:14 & 823:23;924:3,13; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 933:15;934:24;953:6, } \\
& \text { 13;963:23;964:17 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { broker (1) } \\
944: 19
\end{array}
\] & 867:13;908:22;954:
came (19) & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline \text { carried (1 } \\
859: 24
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 929: 11 ; 930: 1 ; 968: 16, \\
& 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline bit (6) & brokerage (1) & 789:25;792:12,12 & case (3) & certifying (4) \\
\hline 789:1;846:5;854:8; & 792:13 & 796:11;802:11;822:9; & 915:25;948:8;970:3 & 831:20,23;928:20; \\
\hline 869:18;897:23;943:10 & brokers (3) & 872:13;881:20;883:10; & cash (21) & 931:23 \\
\hline black (2) & 864:18;949:5,1 & 897:24;920:7;925:22; & 846:13;864:1 & cetera (2) \\
\hline 839:1,13 & brought (1) & 944:10;945:12;948:13; & 902:11,16;903:7 & 787:10;941:20 \\
\hline Blackman (1) & 828:21 & 949:17;953:21;956:18; & 906:9,10;907:1; & CFO (7) \\
\hline 944:25 & bucks (1) & 958:7 & 937:17,22;939:6 & 790:3;791:11; \\
\hline blank (1) & 944:6 & can (92) & 940:4,7,9,9;950:6,11; & 796:11;920:23,23; \\
\hline 883:22 & build (5) & 785:25;787:4 & 952:7;965:6;966:5,14 & 943:12;963:22 \\
\hline blend (1) & 902:17;905:23; & \[
795: 10 ; 797: 1,13
\] & Castle (6) & chain (7) \\
\hline \[
900: 7
\] & 906:16,16;907:18 & 798:4;804:6;808:12, & \[
900: 22,23 ; 918: 18,
\] & 813:1,23;819:23; \\
\hline board (2) & building (26) & 22;811:20;812:18; & 24;920:9,9 & 820:7;845:18;846:2; \\
\hline 808:16;848:8 & 800:15;804:24 & 813:25;817:2,3,4,4,8,9; & casualty (1) & 893:22 \\
\hline bond (1) & 821:16,18;822:17; & 818:20,23;819:6,21; & 946:19 & chance (1) \\
\hline 944:6 & 870:14,15,16,19,20; & 824:13;825:14;827:6, & categorize (1) & 835:3 \\
\hline bookcase (1) & 871:2,8,9,10,14,15,17; & 7;829:12;833:3,3,17; & 827:14 & change (24) \\
\hline 793:15 & 872:20,24,25;896:25; & 835:23;837:1;841:1; & category (3) & 802:15,25;803:2; \\
\hline bookcases (1) & 903:17,17;905:8; & 843:2,6;844:12; & 842:23;904:20;959:4 & 810:2;812:2,3,5,6; \\
\hline 793:17 & 908:3;909:15 & 845:24;846:16;847:6, & caught (1) & 829:1;846:23;859:13, \\
\hline bookshelf (1) & buildings (8) & 24;850:14;851:12; & 894:2 & 16;862:2,3,4;863:10, \\
\hline 793:15 & 809:23;873:8;885:2; & 854:1;855:7,25; & cause (1) & 12,12,18;864:2; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { boss (1) } \\
& 814: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & 902:13;907:13;913:3;
918:21;939:19 & \(856: 20 ; 860: 19 ; 861: 6 ;\)
\(864 \cdot 20 \cdot 866: 621\). & 925:5 & 870:20;871:17;873:23; \\
\hline \(814: 10\)
boss's (1) & 918:21;939:19
built (1) & 864:20;866:6,21; & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { caused (1) } \\
939: 12
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
952:16 \\
changed
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 822:9 & 905:20 & 20;882:10;884:12,20; & cc's & 796:15,18,19 \\
\hline both (3) & bullet (1) & 887:22;889:9;890:13; & 803 & 802:12;873:15;874:2; \\
\hline 862:8;965:9,21 & 853:1 & 893:15;894:1,15; & cellphones (4) & 949:25;961:5;967:9 \\
\hline bothered (1) & bump (4) & 896:5;900:19;901:22; & 785:3,4;888:14,15 & changes (3) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 814:24;848:3;872:3 & 783:22 & 836:13;848:23 & 802:13;803:3;809:12, & consistent (3) \\
\hline charge (1) & College (1) & comparison (7) & \[
21 ; 811: 5 ; 823: 20 ;
\] & 863:8,9;951:6 \\
\hline 943:17 & 787:20 & 870:18;871:11,19 & 824:20;825:16;827:10; & constructed (3) \\
\hline charged (1) & Colombian (1) & 872:11;887:3,24;888:3 & 831:7,12;836:4,19,23; & 902:12;907:2,3 \\
\hline 919:23 & 819:5 & compelled (1) & 837:1,17,21;838:24; & consultation (1) \\
\hline Charitable (3) & column (2) & 842:7 & 839:6,20;843:13,20 & 961:24 \\
\hline 911:2;912:12;913:21 & 807:21;911:6 & compilation (12) & 844:2;845:16;846:6; & contact (5) \\
\hline chart (2) & combination (1) & 824:8;836:3,10,12 & 848:12;853:11;859:8; & 803:22;813:18; \\
\hline 803:22;915:7 & \[
895: 21
\] & \[
18,22 ; 840: 5 ; 847: 6
\] & 861:18;863:24;864:3, & \[
864: 18 ; 865: 2 ; 882: 16
\] \\
\hline check (11) & comfort (1) & 4:16;856:23;857:1; & 6;866:4,20;869:17; & contacted (1) \\
\hline 802:6,9,10;819:11; & \[
961: 10
\] & 858:18 & 875:11;877:3;881:11; & 814:17 \\
\hline 829:3,5,8;830:8,9,23; & comfortable (5) & compiled (1) & 885:4;896:5,8;897:10; & contained (3) \\
\hline 931:5 & 831:20,23;838:2 & 838:9 & 898:2,8,15,24;899:2; & 811:5;845:15;935:4 \\
\hline Chief (2) & 839:18;889:11 & complete (10) & \[
901: 20 ; 902: 1 ; 903: 10 ;
\] & contents (1) \\
\hline \[
790: 3 ; 848: 19
\] & coming (2) & 924:4;925:19 & 923:3;924:5,11; & 834:11 \\
\hline chose (3) & 872 & 29:12;931:24; & 925:19,23;926: & context (3) \\
\hline 870:12;871:15;939:6 & comment (4) & 933:25;934:15;935:6; & 927:8,11;928:8,22 & 880:13,15,16 \\
\hline CHRISTOPHER (1) & \[
812: 11 ; 814: 15
\] & \[
961: 10,12
\] & 929:13;931:25;933:25; & CONTINENTAL (1) \\
\hline \[
784: 4
\] & 880:5;920:22 & completed (2) & 934:15;935:7;940:8, & \[
784: 2
\] \\
\hline CIVIL (1) & comments (2) & 785:20;801:3 & 18,22;947:8,25;948:6, & continue (8) \\
\hline 783:1.5 & 898:23;900:6 & compliance (2) & 7,11,14,19,23;949:7, & \[
785: 20,22 ; 791: 1
\] \\
\hline claiming (1) & commercial (19) & 865:13;930:1 & 13;950:4,10,24;951:5, & 802:7;832:1;839:25; \\
\hline 916:24 & 804:13,17,23; & complied (9) & 7;960:15,19,22; & 888:24;936:1 \\
\hline claims & 809:23;821:13,15 & 838:24;851:10 & 961:19;962:3,11 & continued (9) \\
\hline 814:11;946: & 822:2,16;823:16,17; & 927:6;940:18,22; & 963:8;965:7,14 & 783:24.5;793:4; \\
\hline clarifies (1) & 864:21;870:16;871:7; & 961:3;968:13,24;969:4 & Conditions (2) & 796:10;810:11;853:13; \\
\hline 846:17 & 872:19,20;885:1; & comply (5) & 875:16,18 & 899:9;901:17;942:6; \\
\hline clarify (1) & 903:17;936:6;963:3 & 925:6;927:17; & condo (6) & 952:20 \\
\hline 846:18 & commitments (1) & 928:10;930:4;969 & 814:11;819:11; & continues (5) \\
\hline Claudia (1) & 835:17 & complying (1) & 820:18;847:16;857:10, & \(838: 12 ; 875: 25 ;\)
\(886 \cdot 11 \cdot 922 \cdot 3 \cdot 959.15\) \\
\hline 949:24 & committed (1) & 968:16 & 14 & 886:11;922:3;959:15 \\
\hline clause (1)
\(795: 13\) & 945:7 & compo & Condominium (0) & contracted (1) \\
\hline 795:13 & communicate & \[
0: 17,17,19,2
\] & 805:16;806:19; & 938:25 \\
\hline  & 948:9 &  & 8:1 & contracts (1) \\
\hline 847:13;866:25;889:7; & 941:3;948:8 & 788:18;838:20 & confirm (6) & contradicted (3) \\
\hline 895:22;896:6;939:10; & communicating (1) & comprised (1) & 802:24;811:8 & 847:4,14,16 \\
\hline 966:13 & 891:15 & 11 & 816:13,16;854:1 & contradictory (2) \\
\hline Clearly (1) & communication (4) & comprises & 930:25 & 847:20;857:24 \\
\hline 787:10 & 878:15;890:4; & 826:8 & confirmation (1) & contrary (1) \\
\hline CLERK (1) & 941:12,19 & comps (19) & 836:14 & 884:9 \\
\hline 787:2 & communications (7) & 800:2,6;862:22 & conformity (3) & Contribution (3) \\
\hline CLIFFORD (1) & 819:9;828:17; & 864:19;865:3;870:10; & 838:13;839:6,1 & \[
911: 2 ; 912: 12 ; 913: 21
\] \\
\hline 784:8 & 890:10;895:7,18,22; & 882:12,14,15,18,20; & confusing (1) & controller (2) \\
\hline close (2) & 937:8 & 884:10,12,25;885:3; & 958:14 & 789:25;790:1 \\
\hline 787:4;937:13 & comp ( & 894:7;952:25;954:5,7 & conjunction ( & conversation (12) \\
\hline closed (1) & 800:5;870:14 & computation (1) & 902:10;906: & 821:10,13,25;857 \\
\hline 938:25 & companies (1) & 954:8 & 962:23;963:6 & 860:10;865:9,21; \\
\hline closer & 947:1 & concept (1) & connection (8) & 880:13;941:14,18,19; \\
\hline 870:14;89
club (1) & compa & 905 & 790:23;816:11; & 954:12 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { club (1) } \\
966: 22
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 790:11;792:12; } \\
& \text { 863:16;873:7,14,22 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { concern (7) } \\
823: 21 ; 937: 2
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 817:11;843:19;847:4 } \\
& 884: 4 ; 919: 20 ; 932: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { conversations (3) } \\
875: 17 ; 892: 12 ;
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline cognizant & 946:1;947:17;953:19, & 938:13,17;939:2,5,11 & consent (4) & 953:24 \\
\hline 848:1 & 20,21;955:21;961:5 & concerned (2) & 808:18,21;809:5; & convey (1) \\
\hline Cohen (6) & comparable (1) & 809:22;955:9 & 940:5 & 900:5 \\
\hline 786:7;866:18; & 862:22 & concerning (3) & conservation (6) & conveyed (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 867:16,21;868:4; } \\
& 944: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { comparables (1) } \\
& 885: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 867: 15 ; 895: 18 \\
& 941: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 911:13,24;912:3; } \\
& 919: 21,25 ; 957: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
880:8 \\
convicted (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline collateral (1) & comparative (1) & conclusion (2) & consider (4) & 797:6 \\
\hline 804:16 & 863:17 & 860:22,22 & 841:17;870:14; & copied (4) \\
\hline colleagues (1) & compare (3) & Condition (100) & 904:15, & 911:25;912:1; \\
\hline 889:3 & 810:5;870:19;915:6 & 792:19,23;793:2; & considered (2) & 913:23;952:10 \\
\hline COLLEEN (1) & compared (2) & 799:4,7,11,16,24; & 810:9;960:13 & copies (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 932:15 & 830:14,18,21;833:11, & 874:1 & dates (1) & 840:19 \\
\hline copy & 13,15,17;834:10; & cr & 79 & definition (4) \\
\hline 929:4;947:16,17; & 839:10;848:5;851:12; & 819:14 & day (16) & 841:21;842:9,19; \\
\hline 951:22;952:8;957:8, & 862:8;868:14,19,23; & cross-examine (1) & 785:17;819:6;829:9 & 843:16 \\
\hline 13;962:18;965:23 & 869:3;874:8,10;878:4, & 785:24 & 67:9;873:21,21; & degree (3) \\
\hline copying (2) & 6,22;883:17;888:7,12, & CRR & 891:23;903:19;904:5, & 787:18;790:22; \\
\hline 951:8;952:8 & 19,23;889:15;891:19; & 784:22 & 9;905:11,23,25; & 902:24 \\
\hline corporate (2) & 892:22,25;893:2,10,14; & crystal (1) & 906:17;907:6;916:7 & del- (1) \\
\hline 841:4;967:1 & 895:15;896:1;897:2,5; & 939:10 & days (7) & 960:9 \\
\hline Corporation (1) & 901:6,11,14,16;905:18; & CSR (1) & 786:13;828:20 & delivering (1) \\
\hline 798:12 & 909:8;910:1;912:20; & 784:22 & 829:9,10,10;831:17; & 945:7 \\
\hline corporations (1) & 914:18;915:11,22; & Culimary (1) & 857:7 & delivery (1) \\
\hline 842:17 & 916:13,17;917:8,12,18; & 944:16 & day-to-day & 932:22 \\
\hline corporation's (1) & 918:7;921:24;929:22; & cure (2) & 864:24;945:2 & DeLuca (3) \\
\hline \[
840: 22
\] & 935:16,19;936:1; & \[
925: 12,1
\] & DC (1) & 873:10,13,17 \\
\hline corrected (1) & 941:18;946:23;954:10, & curious (1) & 790:19 & delve (1) \\
\hline 834:16 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13,16,17;956:9; } \\
& 957: 24 ; 958: 2,12
\end{aligned}
\] & 946:16
current (2) & \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { de (5) } \\
817: 2
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
829: 21
\] \\
delved (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
817: 12
\] & 959:5;964:11;968:21; & \[
786: 8 ; 840: 1,2,19
\] & \[
825: 20,21
\] & \[
960: 9
\] \\
\hline correctly (6) & 969:20;970:2 & 841:17,22,24;842:1,13, & deal (5) & denied (1) \\
\hline 863:15;886 & courtesy (1) & 20,23;843:3,9,22; & 790:15,18;857:1 & 916:17 \\
\hline 889:20;898:7;909:17; & 892:19 & 862:17;865:15;903:18; & 941:17;945:21 & department (3) \\
\hline 2:10 & Courthouse & 4;962:22; & dealing (4) & 796:2;838:6;846 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { orrelate } \\
823: 17
\end{gathered}
\] & & 963:20;964:7
currently (1) & \[
; 822: 20,2
\] & dep \\
\hline correlated (2) & 785:7;888:17 & \[
796: 20
\] & dealt (8) & 799:11;896:6;897:9; \\
\hline \[
828: 25 ; 829: 2
\] & Court's (4) & Cushman (14) & \[
790: 13,20 ; 815: 2
\] & 898:8;903:11;940:2,9; \\
\hline cost (1) & 915:13;917:6;918:6; & 919:23;920:2,5 & 882:5;937:6;943:17; & 943:13;959:10 \\
\hline 841:18 & 935:17 & 941:7;942:1;943:1; & 945:22;953:12 & depreciation (1) \\
\hline Counsel (3) & covenant (12) & 951:15;952:15,16; & Dean (3) & \[
891: 14
\] \\
\hline 785:10;813:18;970:1 & 925:15;926:7,927:6, & 953:4,7,11;954:20; & 873:10,13,17 & deprivation (1) \\
\hline Counselor (3) & 13;928:6;932:10,11; & 955:6 & debt (1) & 804:23 \\
\hline 818:23;833:19;851:6 & 933:9,23;934:6,22; & cut (4) & 953:20 & derive (2) \\
\hline count (1) & 935:13 & 875:14;887:6,6; & \[
\operatorname{dec}(5)
\] & 902:11;907:2 \\
\hline 919:13 & covenants & 901:4 & 819:11;821: & derived (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { country (1) } \\
785: 21
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 925:11;933:7,11; } \\
& 937: 23 ; 938: 5,13
\end{aligned}
\] & D & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 847: 16 ; 857: 10,15 \\
& \text { decision (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 924:10;963:2 } \\
& \text { describe (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline COUNTY (1) & cover (11) & & 836:17,21;841:1 & 862:13;889:8; \\
\hline 783:1.5 & 803:8;804:1;805:20; & & 943:24 & 897:12;904:3 \\
\hline couple (3) & 877:7;923:14;924:21; & \[
796: 10,17
\] & decisions (2) & described (4) \\
\hline 811:16;863:16;950:1 & 925:2;929:25;930:11; & Dan (1) & 946:2,9 & 865:4;897:17; \\
\hline course (11) & 931:10;934:9 & 815:7 & declaration ( & 904:13;954:2 \\
\hline 875:2;879 & coverage (6) & dash (4) & 805:16;806:18; & describing (4) \\
\hline 880:5;881:15;884:13; & 943:11,15;946:2,5,9; & 950:7,18;951:13 & 808:21;809:5;820:18 & 836:9;878:25; \\
\hline 891:16;893:2;905:1; & 947:9 & 952:11 & decline (1) & 909:17;935:4 \\
\hline 915:21;944:6 & coverages (2) & data (15) & 948:2 & description (2) \\
\hline courses (13) & 943:21,21 & 812:9;824:14,19; & deed (5) & 964:24;965:18 \\
\hline 793:24;809:23; & covered (2) & 830:1;838:4;846:16; & 960:2,4,6,10,1 & designation (2) \\
\hline 877:2;879:19;880:6, & 914:13;933:11 & 856:22,25;858:14,17; & deeper (1) & \[
807: 16,18
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11,22,25;881:10; } \\
& \text { 890:11;894:25;895:11, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { covers (1) } \\
841: 11
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 864:15,22;915:14; } \\
& 952: 22 ; 965: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
829: 21
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { details (1) } \\
809: 19
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 19 & Cox (1) & date (22) & 925 & determination (3) \\
\hline COURT (113) & 803:19 & 791:23;792:24 & Defendant (2) & 804:19;881:21;963:7 \\
\hline 783:1,16.5;784: & CPA (4) & 795:10;821:2;828:19; & 784:6.5;786:10 & determine (9) \\
\hline 785:1,8;786:9,12,17, & 787:23;788:11 & 831:6,7,10,12,21; & Defendants (8) & 800:6;811:21; \\
\hline 21,22;787:7,10;791:2; & 789:2;967:19 & 859:7,8;861:18,19; & 783:11.5;784:2.5,9.5, & 843:20;862:17;903:12; \\
\hline 795:5;797:21;798:17; & creating (4) & 903:3,15,16;904:1; & 12.5;785:13,24; & 908:19;914:12;963:19; \\
\hline 805:2;806:11;812:17; & 862:12;864:5,13 & 906:6;910:24,927:20; & 915:24;916:5 & 964:7 \\
\hline 813:10;815:12;816:25; & 896:4 & 949:22 & defense (1) & determined (4) \\
\hline 817:15;818:14,23; & creditworthiness (4) & dated (9) & - & 799:18,23;840:2; \\
\hline 819:4,18;822:4,7; & 872:17,21;873:3,21 & 795:18;820:20; & defined (1) & 951:14 \\
\hline 824:17;827:5,13,19; & creditworthy (5) & 828:13;837:3;848:9, & 879:11 & determining (2) \\
\hline 829:12,14,15,20,25; & 871:15,16;873:4,22; & 20;902:1;927:23;962:9 & defines (1) & 882:19;960:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline develop & 23;875:10,12,13,15,18, & 803:22;804:3 & downtown (1) & 813:11;826:24; \\
\hline 911:16;912:11 & 22 & 805:25;806:7,8 & 954.6 & 829:10 \\
\hline developed (1) & disclosure & 860:23;863:20;889:9; & draft (4) & either (16) \\
\hline 908:20 & 831:8;859:9;861:20 & 913:18;915:23;918:1; & 809:17,18;869:7 & 785:22;787:3;800:2; \\
\hline developer (2) & disclosures (1) & 923:20;925:3,6,9,16; & 897:24 & 804:14;817:16;818:14; \\
\hline 826:16;908:10 & 852:8 & 927:5,16;929:16; & Driv & 846:1;892:1;896:18; \\
\hline developing & 902:16; & 931:17;932:5,9;933:4; & 787 & 936:5;938:12;943:14; \\
\hline 908:23,23 & 902:16;903:6 & 961:8 & due (3) & 947:8;948:16;958:12, \\
\hline development (18) & di & do & 804:20;818:9;890:20 & 13 \\
\hline 874:19;904:19,23; & 905:15;909:16;967:4 & 887:22;906 & Duly (1) & either/or (1) \\
\hline 905:14;908:5,8,12, & discrepancy (1) & do & 893:14 & 792:17 \\
\hline 16;909:24;911:20; & 19: & 810:4;955:13, & Durin & kovich (18) \\
\hline 912:24;916:10,11; & dis & Don & 796:8;854:16; & 878:9,11,16,25 \\
\hline 917:16,21;967:1,3 & 796:12;819:16,18; & 900:1, & 4:13;915:21;943:12; & 889:19;890:17;891:1, \\
\hline developments (1) & 3; & D & 965:12,20;970:1 & 15;892:13;893:7,23; \\
\hline & 9 &  & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { dynamics } \\
873: 15
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 894:4,14,23;895:7,1 } \\
& 18,23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
810: 3 ; 826: 4 ; 829: 7 \text {; }
\] & discussed (4) & 20;809:1;814:10; & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
18,23 \\
\text { else (9) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 841:2,4;842:16;879:8; & 866:3;868:5 & 823:19;833:24;836:4, & E & 818:3;84 \\
\hline 921:4,14,17 & 67:12 & 23;845:21,23;848:20; & & 879:24;890:1;937:7; \\
\hline differences (3) & dis & 866:4,18;867:21; & earlier (31) & 949:2;951:21;955:17; \\
\hline 836:10;840:20 & 814:4;822:10;848: & 868:4;899:5,7,7;902:1; & \[
821: 8 ; 824: 21 ;
\] & \[
969: 22
\] \\
\hline different (23) & 866:13,15;953:21; & 910:21;914:2;923:25; & 26:11,14;827:1; & else's (1) \\
\hline 802:11;818:22, & 959:8 & 924:5;925:20;928:22; & 834:20;837:9;838:19; & 963:24 \\
\hline 819:2;823:11;826:7; & discussion (11) & 929:13;961:6,17; & 847:1;848:23;854:9; & email (1) \\
\hline 841:25;842:19;843:7; & 806:12;822:5,8; & 962:3,8,10;963:9,16; & 862:12;865:18,21; & 805:19 \\
\hline 854:8;863:22;870:14; & 861:2;866:19;867:10, & 964:3,13;965:8,12,20, & 880:1;882:12;886:1; & e-mail (54) \\
\hline 879:1;889:8;897:19; & 14,22;881:19;893:22; & 24;967:18 & 890:12;897:8;912:17; & 803:8,24;804: \\
\hline 901:7,921:12;927:4, & 905:3 & Donald's (4) & 917:22;925:25;936:18; & 805:6,14,15,20;812:23; \\
\hline 25;946:8;959:9;965:2, & discussio & 817:23;823:18 & 940:17;943:16;952:23; & 813:1,4,7,23;815:7,13, \\
\hline 3 & 804:7;941:1 & 867:6,8 & 959:7;960:17;964:3; & 20,20,23;819:23;821:6, \\
\hline differenti & disposal (1) & donate (1) & 965:2;966: & 21;822:21;877:8,10,16, \\
\hline 826:15 & 801:9 & 912:12 & early (3) & 18,25;878:2,3,5,6; \\
\hline digits (1) & disputing (2) & donated ( & 793:24;814:2 & 883:19;885:14;887:2; \\
\hline 930:12 & 896:20,21 & 918:25 & \[
828: 18
\] & 890:16;893:22;894:8; \\
\hline diligence (1) & disrespectful (1) & donation (9) & carned (1) & 910:14,17;911:25; \\
\hline \[
804: 20
\] & 959:5 & 911:10,20;912:6, & 962:25 & 913:23;914:1;923:14; \\
\hline Dillon (4) & division (1) & 913:2;914:6,9,14 & Earnings (1) & 924:21;925:1;927:22; \\
\hline 910:20;911:23 & 890:2 & 919:20 & 891:14 & 929:25;930:12;931:10; \\
\hline 913:5;919:24 & DJT (1) & done (28) & easement (15) & 934:9;941:5,12,20,22; \\
\hline DIRECT (12) & 887:18 & 793:23;802:17 & 910:17;911:10,13 & 944:25 \\
\hline \[
787: 13 ; 813:
\] & DLA & 829:10;836:18,22 & 24;912:3;913:2;914:6, & e-mailed (1) \\
\hline 816:4;817:1;888:24; & 803:10 & 837:1;850:20;861:2 & 8,14,23;915:3;917:16, & 890:6 \\
\hline 890:4;901:17;914:20; & document (50 & 864:14;882:21,22; & 21;919:25;957:5 & e-mails (3) \\
\hline 915:2,6,8;923:9 & 794:15;795:6 & 884:13;903:13;907:21; & easier (1) & 812:21,22;895:14 \\
\hline directed (5) & 797:16,17,19,22,24,25; & 919:20,24;926:7; & 961.6 & employee (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 816:18,22;817:11; } \\
& \text { 819:1;875:21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 798: 18 ; 804: 5 ; 805: 3 \\
& \text { 806:17,21;808:4,22; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 952:14,15,15;957:5,9;
958:6;961:20,22,24; & easily (1)
\[
926: 7
\] & \[
786: 2,4
\] \\
\hline directing (1) & \[
813: 25 ; 825: 14 ; 828: 9
\] & 964:9;965:3 & East & \[
791: 21 ; 796: 22 ;
\] \\
\hline 875:6 & 834:12;835:9,11,13,16, & Donna (1) & 784:13 & 797:4,9 \\
\hline direction (4) & 24;836:1;848:16; & 786:4 & easy (1) & encompasses (1) \\
\hline 812:24;865 & 849:20;854:3;859:5 & Doug (5) & 951:22 & 844:14 \\
\hline 915:13;965:11 & 25;878:23;889:16; & 940:25;952:23,25 & EBIT (2) & encrypt (1) \\
\hline directly (7) & 891:20;892:5;893:18; & 953:7;954:5 & 891:8,11 & 931:20 \\
\hline 812:11;814:15 & 896:2;901:23;910:2 & down (22) & economy ( & end (3) \\
\hline 846:1;857:17;880:1; & 913:11;916:1,2,4; & 795:12;79 & 881:24 & 786:6;796:22;912:2 \\
\hline 941:4;948:17 & 917:18,23;926:5,8,16 & 807:15;808:13,20 & Ed (1) & ENDEAVOR (1) \\
\hline disagree (1) & 927:5,11,12,22;928:16; & 820:7;825:12,13; & 886:24 & 783:9.5 \\
\hline 868:23 & 930:11;932:11;960:7; & 833:13;838:4;839:2; & effect (2) & ended (1) \\
\hline disclose (1) & 963:21 & 843:2;856:20;870:4,9 & 825:12;961:2 & 797:5 \\
\hline 864:2 & documentation (2) & 877:20;891:1,6; & effort (1) & ending (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { disclosed (11) } \\
& \text { 808:8;863:13,14,21, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 825:6;853:4 } \\
\text { documents (24) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 941: 25 ; 950: 6 ; 952: 3 \text {; } \\
& 961: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
898: 5 \\
\text { eight (3) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 848:13;962:4 } \\
& \text { ends (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
887: 19 \\
\text { engaged (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
970: 1 \\
\text { event }(2)
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
890: 16 \\
\text { Excuse (8) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 965:17 } \\
\text { explanations (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 901:2,3,6,8;902:23; } \\
& 907: 10 ; 938: 7,8,12 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 792:23 & 883:6;916:22 & 799:4;800:21;802:6; & 829:18 & 939:16;940:15;960:4 \\
\hline engagement (5) & events (4) & 821:6;826:3;920:23; & expression (1) & familiarity (3) \\
\hline 824:12;834:20; & 831:6;859:7;861:17; & 928:14;947:16 & 944:9 & 788:23;889:24; \\
\hline 835:5;848:8;849:14 & 909:14 & excused (1) & extend (2) & 964:13 \\
\hline ENGORON (3) & eventual (1) & 970 & 785:17;893 & family (3) \\
\hline 783:16;785:2;888:13 & 909:15 & execute (1) & extended (1) & 789:10;796:24;923:8 \\
\hline enough (3) & eventually (2) & 797:11 & 892:19 & famous (1) \\
\hline 847:11;873:6;889:12 & 790:3;943:5 & executed (4) & extent (4) & 819:4 \\
\hline entered (3) & everybody (1) & 795:14;808:15 & 840:4;890:3;900:25; & far (10) \\
\hline 936:21,21;938:14 & 910:3 & \[
809: 2,5
\] & \[
901: 1
\] & 786:8;809:4;850:14; \\
\hline entire (9) & everyone (2) & executive (1) & extrapolate (1) & 857:19,20;865:7; \\
\hline 793:12;797:24 & 910:4;920:19 & 848:18 & 884:22 & 884:24;893:3;918:5; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 822:21;844:20,21; } \\
& \text { 873:15;911:15;912:8, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { evidence (50) } \\
& 795: 4,5,6 ; 797: 20,21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { exhausting (1 } \\
& 919: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { eye (1) } \\
894: 2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 955:9 } \\
\text { Fargo (10) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 13 & \[
22 ; 798: 16,17,18 ; 805: 1,
\] & Exhibit (27) & & 924:19;925:6; \\
\hline entirety (4) & 2,3;812:16,17;813:9, & 795:7;797:23 & F & 926:25;927:1,3,17,21; \\
\hline 844:16;861:3;920:8, & 10;815:2,11,12;824:15, & 798:19;805:4;806:17; & & 930:4;933:16;934:25 \\
\hline 16 & 16,17;828:8;834:7,10, & 808:12,19,23;813:11; & face (4) & fast (1) \\
\hline entities (1) & 12,24;837:2;847:25; & 820:8;834:4,13; & 890:7,7;941:19,20 & 883:17 \\
\hline 939:24 & 854:2;878:21,22,23; & 878:24;881:25;883:12; & facilities (1) & faster (1) \\
\hline entry (3) & 889:4,15,16;891:18,19, & 885:14;886:4,11; & 966:22 & 927:15 \\
\hline 861:11;951:6;966:23 & 20;892:8,9;893:14; & 889:17;891:21;893:12; & fact (22) & February (12) \\
\hline ERIC (13) & 895:25;896:1,2; & 896:3;902:4;923:10; & 792:18;799:10,22; & 803:9,24;805:9,19; \\
\hline 783:7,23;790 & 916:12;918:7;946:21, & 946:18;962:19;966:4 & 803:2;805:24;809:9; & 808:5;814:14;815:9, \\
\hline 794:24;796:11;798:14; & 23;949:17 & exhibits (1) & 816:11;823:2;833:24; & 18;817:13,18;818:4; \\
\hline 845:13;899:5;910:20; & evidently (2) & 923:8 & 839:22;860:1;871:1,6; & 945:5 \\
\hline 911:23;913:5;961:3,15 & 909:23;927:9 & existence (2) & 890:10,23;894:22; & fee (1) \\
\hline Eric's (1) & exact (4) & 847:20;948:2 & 904:25;911:19;918:3 & 919:22 \\
\hline 798:13 & 791:23;796:25 & existing (10) & 937:4;947:8;951:19 & feed (1) \\
\hline error (1) & 808:1;826:23 & 902:13;907:3,13 & factors (1) & 833:12 \\
\hline 861:15 & exactly (10) & 912:25;913:3;918:21; & 951:16 & feel (1) \\
\hline escrow (1) & 791:6;796:4;842:21 & 937:23;939:12;943:14; & FAHERTY (1) & 846:8 \\
\hline 950:13 & 864:8;898:6;900:8; & 946:24 & 783:22 & feet (18) \\
\hline ESQ (11) & 912:2;936:25;938:19; & exists (2) & fail (1) & 799:19;800:13; \\
\hline 783:21.5,22,22.5,23, & 950:11 & 901:9,11 & 818:3 & 801:8,16;807:22; \\
\hline 23.5;784:4,4.5,5,8,11, & exam (2) & exits (2) & failed (8) & 808:9;809:7,11; \\
\hline 14 & 785:25;786 & 888:8;935:21 & 889:4;925:9;930: & 815:19;817:19;818:5; \\
\hline established (2) & EXAMINATION (4) & expand (1) & 21,22;932:7;933:6; & 821:7;826:6,14,15; \\
\hline 807:13;850:25 & 787:13;811:1; & 895:17 & 934:22 & 830:2;831:3;857:16 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
estate (8) \\
789:12,16;885:1,1;
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
888:24;901:17 \\
example (11)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { expanding (1) } \\
& 874: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { failure (2) } \\
& 929: 18 ; 935: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & felony (1) 797:6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 789:12,16;885:1,1; } \\
& \text { 887:16;955:15,22; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { example (11) } \\
& 825: 9 ; 847: 15 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
874: 11 \\
\text { expect (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 929:18;935:12 } \\
& \text { fair (18) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 797:6 } \\
& \text { felt (5) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 966:22 & 870:16;871:18,21,22; & 786:4 & 789:15;811:2 & 811:20;815:1 \\
\hline estimated (24) & 872:12;903:17;910:5; & expectation (1) & 847:11;852:5;853:2 & 878:19;903:3,15 \\
\hline 840:1,2,19;841:17, & 962:22;968:21 & 785:16 & 854:22;870:18;871:25; & few (4) \\
\hline 22,24;842:1,13,19,23; & Excel (1) & expectations (1) & 872:8,8,10;889:12; & 789:1;791:23;848:4; \\
\hline 843:3,9,22;862:17; & 830:3 & 866:5 & 893:2;902:12;907:3 & 944:6 \\
\hline 902:12;907:2;911:7; & except (8) & experience ( & 945:19;951:14;952:13 & FIELDS (1) \\
\hline 914:9,14;915:3; & 840:4;843:22 & 858:2 & fairly (10) & 784:4.5 \\
\hline 962:21,22;963:20; & 854:25;907:13,14; & expert (2) & 824:1;838:13;924:4; & Fifth (5) \\
\hline 964:7 & 940:18,23;951:21 & 897:1;909:2 & 925:19;928:21;929:12; & 793:10;835:8;836:8; \\
\hline et (2) & exception (1) & experts (4) & 931:25;933:25;934:15; & 848:15;952:11 \\
\hline 787:10;941:20 & 855:3 & 895:20;896:15 & 935:6 & figure (3) \\
\hline evaluation (4) & exceptions (5) & 967:19;969:1 & fall (1) & 798:13;892:2,4 \\
\hline 920:7;962:23;963:7, & 855:5,8,11,15,21 & explain (5) & 842:23 & file (2) \\
\hline 14 & excess (6) & 809:13;817:3;895:3; & false (1) & 884:17;960:6 \\
\hline even (8) & 911:7,11;912:6; & 912:20;919:14 & 819:7 & filed (1) \\
\hline 821:19,22;822:18; & 914:9,14;915:3 & explained (1) & familiar (21) & 873:19 \\
\hline 823:21;921:13;927:15; & exchanged (2) & 965:12 & 796:1;797:25;799:1; & files (6) \\
\hline 958:1;960:7 & 842:5;918:2 & explanation (4) & 807:7;838:19;841:2; & 847:3,6,8,9,14;857:9 \\
\hline evening (1) & excluding (1) & 839:8,11;851:9; & 842:9;864:4;900:21; & filing (5) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 793: 16,17,20,22 ; \\
& 794: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 904:8;954:10;965:5 } \\
& \text { finished (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
79
\] & \[
10,10,22
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 861:10;871:22;880:12, } \\
& \text { 17;882:6,9;895:4,6; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline final & & :5;869:11;903:21 & & \\
\hline 887:24;897:24 & fir & 21 & \[
3: 2
\] & 918:3;968:5;969:12 \\
\hline 900:17;960:23;961:8, & & fo & , & GENERAL (4) \\
\hline 12 & 810.7,856.4,897 & & :6,10;848:7;874:5; & 783:3,19;841:7 \\
\hline fina & 9:21;920:5;952:14 & 25 & :21;913:11;937:25; & 938:22 \\
\hline & 1 & & 950:3;968:2,3 & Generally (10) \\
\hline 897:11;898:9 & firms (2) & 830:6;834:16 & fulf & 788:14;806:22; \\
\hline 899:3;965:8 & 811:19; & 17 & 6,12; & 38:14;849:24 \\
\hline finalizing (1) & first & footages & 2:1 & ;854:24;938:2 \\
\hline 867:23 & 785:15; & 800:15 & fulfilled & 962:13;966:5 \\
\hline finance & 20;805:12;806:18; & F & 852:20 & Gentlemen (1) \\
\hline 792:15 & 808:13,21;809:5; & 802:4;812 & 3:9;854:21 & 945:7 \\
\hline financ & 81 & 814:9,15,17,24;81 & fur & iven (10) \\
\hline 788:7;7 & 841:14;846:16;847:15; & 18,21;816:3,4,7,10 & 826:3 & 825:1,7;846:2 \\
\hline 19,23;793:2;799:4,7, & 848:24;849:23;853:1; & 817:12;818:4,6;819:9; & & 875:13;882:7;892:10; \\
\hline 11,16,24;802 & 857:9;864:6 & 820:9;821:11;822:21, & 892:1 & 896:5;904:14;965:17, \\
\hline 803:3;8 & 877: & 22;823:3,4;829:2; & fu & 18 \\
\hline 811:5;823:20;824:20 & 88 & 830:5,24;831:2, & 3: & iving (3) \\
\hline 825:16;827:10;829:1 & 894:3,17,19;910:17; & 83.4 21:857.7 & & 880:18;882 \\
\hline 831:7,9,12;836:3,19, & 915:19;927:25;931:10; & 877:11;879:18;880:8 & 936: & glad (1) \\
\hline 22,25;837:17,20; & 948:25;951:6;962:8 & 10;894:10,23;895:2 & fu & 856:6 \\
\hline 838:23;839:5 & first-clas & force (1) & 18,22 & glance (1) \\
\hline 840: & 869:20 & 8:20 & 1:1,5,16,22; & 952:3 \\
\hline 16;842:17;843:13,20 & & forced (1) & 852:3,13,17 & glossary (2) \\
\hline 844:2;845:16;846:6 & 9: & 39:9 & fu & 840:19;841:22 \\
\hline 848:1 & five (16) & foregoin & & goes (3) \\
\hline 850:1, & 810:8, & 933:24;935 & fu & 809:9;838:8;883:17 \\
\hline 853: & 22;825:23;826:9,17,20, & forgot (1) & 13; & golf (23) \\
\hline 856:21,24;857:23; & 22,25;827:7,10,15; & 830:14 & further (4) & 93:2 \\
\hline 858:11,12,17;859:8 & 921:9,14 & & 820:7,11;891: & 73:16 \\
\hline 861:18,20;863:24; & fixed & 0:5, & & \(78: 18\) \\
\hline 864:3,6;865:22;866: & 833:12, & formal ( & fu & 880:5,11,22,25;881:10, \\
\hline 19;869:17,23;873:1; & 22 & 7:2 & 874:3,15,19,20,2 & 14;890:2,11;891:2,16; \\
\hline 874:19;875:11,16,18; & & format & & 894:25;895:11,19; \\
\hline 877:3;881:11;884:18; & 894:18;92 & 854:8 & 909:14,20,23;957:22; & 905:1;944:6 \\
\hline 885:4;887:19;896:5,8; & Florida (5) & forth & 966:25;967:3,6,7,20, & Good (17) \\
\hline 897:10;898:2,8,15,24; & 784:3 5 & 785:12 & 22,23,25;968:8 & 785:8,9;7 \\
\hline \[
89
\] & 884:19,20;957:1 & 814:23 & & \[
2 ; 787: 15,16 ; 846:
\] \\
\hline 905:11;916:10;923:3 & fle & 883:22 & & 89:1,2;892:21;952:8; \\
\hline 924:5,11;925:19,23; & 906:9,10 & F & & 955:16;960:25 \\
\hline 926:1,10;927:7,10; & focu & 3:2 & & goose (1) \\
\hline 928:7,22;929:12; & 817:25 & fo & ,5;838:17,20,24; & 892:21 \\
\hline 931:25;933:25;934:15; & 817:25;841:14;848:23; & :19;86 & 9:2,6,15,17;840:21; & graduating (1) \\
\hline 935:7;940:8,13,18,22; & 865:24;866:2 & 884:16,20 & 850:7,9,11,23;851:10; & 788:1 \\
\hline 946:25;947:6,18,25; & focused (12) & four (19) & 855:5,11,15,21;856:4; & Granted (15) \\
\hline 948:6,11,13,18,23; & 809:17,24;817 & 813:11 & 84:1,4;865:13; & 795:5;797:21 \\
\hline \[
949: 7,13 ; 950: 4,9,23
\] & 23;818:1;821:21 & 828:20;829:9;831:1 & 09:18,20,22;940:14, & 798:17;805:2;812:17 \\
\hline \[
951: 5,7 ; 960: 15,19,21 ;
\] & 822:17;823:15,18; & 857:7;918:10;930:12; & 15,18,22;967:5,11,12, & 813:10;815:12;824:17; \\
\hline 961:19;962:3,11,12; & 862:6;916:10;946:11 & 933:19;943:18;944:9, & 14,15,19,20;968:14,17, & 834:10;878:22;889:15; \\
\hline 963:8;965:7,14;967:24 & focussed (1) & 13;945:11,15,19,20,24; & 24;969:1,1,4 & 891:19;896:1;946:23 \\
\hline financials (1) & 21:16 & 946:3 & gaining (1) & 958:2 \\
\hline 968:24 & & & 00: & gray (1) \\
\hline nancing & & 838:4;951:13 & 821.19 & 918:13 \\
\hline 955:11 & followed & fr & 21:19 & Greenblatt (1) \\
\hline find (1) & 786:4 & fram & Gartner & 803:17 \\
\hline 805:15 & following & framework & 20 & 0ss (7) \\
\hline fine (3) & 832:2;849: & 849:25;850:24 & g & 878:17;879:4,7,10, \\
\hline 786:9;8 & 854:15,25;864:5; & Fred (3) & 864:15, & 12;891:5,6 \\
\hline finish (5) & 876:1;922:4;959:16 & 789:8,10,12 & gave (17) & grounds (1) \\
\hline 844:18;878:16; & foot (10) & free (5) & 811:16;827:1;829:8; & 915:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline group (8) & 923:14;925:1 & honest (2) & impeachment (5) & 884:1;885:4;886:11; \\
\hline 805:7;844:15; & Hawthorn (2) & 817:21;821:23 & 893:6,9;916:8; & 894:22;908:17,22 \\
\hline 877:15,22;943:18; & 786:3,5 & honestly (1) & 917:24;918:1 & 924:4,17;925:18,22; \\
\hline 944:8,14;952:14 & head (2) & 938:18 & important (3) & 928:10,21;929:1 \\
\hline grows (2) & 862:10;925:12 & Honor (35) & 825:18;869:16,22 & 931:24;933:24;934:14; \\
\hline 845:7,7 & hear (4) & 785:14;786:11,19; & inaccurate (5) & 935:4;965:1,5 \\
\hline guarantor (6) & 5:13;86 & 12;797:18;798:15; & 811:6,8;816:1,5 & informative (1) \\
\hline 932:1;933:18;934:1, & 893:12;944:10 & 812:15;818:7,9,19,24; & inasmuch (1) & 852:8 \\
\hline 16;935:5,7 & heard (12) & 824:15,16;827:4,17,18; & 916:2 & in-house (2) \\
\hline guarantor's (1) & 788:12,16;840:16 & 828:8;834:7;848:1; & INC (1) & 813:18;845:3 \\
\hline 932:25 & 7;842:4,11;902:20,22, & 862:11;868:17;869:1; & 783:8.5 & initial (1) \\
\hline guess (5) & 22;916:8;944:9;945:15 & 889:6;892:17;893:15; & include (6) & 895:7 \\
\hline 797:6;864 & held (4) & 915:16,18;917:14,19, & 912:25;913:2;940:9 & initially (1) \\
\hline 888:19;901:6; & 806:12;940:9;966:8 & ;918:9;941:21; & 958:8;966:14,25 & 914:1 \\
\hline guided (2) & 14 & 957:25;969:19,24 & included (4) & inordinate (1) \\
\hline 915:13;935 & hello & HONORABLE (3) & 799:3,10;914: & 814:22 \\
\hline guideline (1) & 941:15 & 783:16;785:2;888:1 & 919:7 & inquiries (1) \\
\hline 840:18 & help (7) & Норе (2) & including (10) & 820:5 \\
\hline guidelines (1) & 880:2;883:11 & 785:8;846:1 & 800:16;809:15 & insisted (1) \\
\hline & 894:13;897:1;908:17; & hopefully (2) & 822:18;844:20;879:7; & 947:9 \\
\hline & 927:2;966:17
helpful (5) & 833:12;882:7 & 920:17,20,20,20;958:6 & instead (4) \\
\hline H & he & hour & 852:8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
836:18,23;963:16,18 \\
Institute (2)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline HABB & 882:19;928:3 & hours & income (5) & 840:3,13 \\
\hline 784:9,11;816:23 & Hence (1) & 917:6 & 863:1;903:1 & institutions (1) \\
\hline 818:7,19;827:4 & 952:20 & house (1) & 951:16;963:2;968: & 923:2 \\
\hline Haigh (1) & high (1) & 883:23 & inconsistent (1) & instructed (1) \\
\hline 785:19 & 881:21 & housekeeping (1) & 916:9 & 887:16 \\
\hline half (2) & higher (2) & 889:3 & incorrectly (1) & instruction (1) \\
\hline 918:8;920:17 & 872:6;881:10 & hundreds & 859:18 & \[
969: 25
\] \\
\hline hand (6) & highlighted (4) & \[
955: 13,13
\] & increase (1) & insurance (16) \\
\hline \[
786: 23 ; 937: 17,22
\] & 858:11;886:6; & Hwang (2) & \[
890: 20
\] & \[
792: 13,14 ; 943: 11,
\] \\
\hline 950:6,11;952:7 & 894:19;950:21 & 803:9;805:6 & independent (4) & 14,15,17,23,23;945:24; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { handled (2) } \\
& 792: 13 ; 796: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { highlighting (1) } \\
& 886: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & I & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 836: 14 ; 843: 20 ; \\
& 844: 3 ; 963: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 946:4;947:1,2,2; } \\
& 949: 21 ; 955: 15,21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline hands (1) & highlights & & Index (1) & insurers (4) \\
\hline 941:17 & \[
846: 8
\] & idea & 783:5.5 & 944:22;946:24; \\
\hline handwriting (10) & Highway & 816:21;846:4; & indicated (10) & 949:5,11 \\
\hline \[
828: 11 ; 883: 14,16
\] & 784:10 & 896:14,22,24;943:3 & 805:15;816:2 & integral (2) \\
\hline 885:19,25;886:1,18,20; & Hilson (1) & ideas (1) & 882:12;883:23;927: & 869:23;926:1 \\
\hline 887:12,13 & 820:1 & 796:14 & 13;946:13;952:23; & intend (3) \\
\hline happen (8) & himself (3) & identification (12) & 953:19;968:7 & 838:1;917:11,2 \\
\hline 830:10;867:3;868:2, & 820:9;882:22;885:8 & 797:13;812:19; & indicates (1) & intended (2) \\
\hline 8;869:2,3;870:4;874:3 & hire (1) & 815:6;819:22;834:4; & 932:20 & 860:20,25 \\
\hline happened (24) & 956:13 & 877:6,7;890:14; & individual (2) & interest (1) \\
\hline 796:23;858:23; & hired (1) & 893:17;909:7;910:11; & 825:10;952:16 & 891:14 \\
\hline 866:12,22,23;867:2,18, & 789:2 & 951:25 & induce (5) & interface (1) \\
\hline 19,20;868:1,3,8,13,15, & Hirsch (1) & identified (9) & 831:24;838:23; & 946:1 \\
\hline 24,25;871:5;873:23; & 820:11 & 809:11;824:19; & 839:19;843:13;844 & interpreted \\
\hline 883:2,5;914:24;952:3; & historical & 826:10;846:1;921:21; & info (1) & 860:5,9;901:6 \\
\hline 960:5;961:5 & 841:18 & 940:7;946:8,18;948:18 & 883:23 & interrupt (2) \\
\hline happening (5) & history (2) & identifies (1) & informal (2) & 850:22;875:14 \\
\hline 866:7,9,24;867:1,4 & 789:7;957:18 & 820:9 & 787:25;790:13 & into (35) \\
\hline happens (2) & hold (2) & identify (1) & information (54) & 785:17;786:6;787:8 \\
\hline 808:1;874:2 & 795:25;887:18 & \[
890: 13
\] & 796:3;804:20,22; & 789:25;795:4;797:19; \\
\hline happy (4) & HOLDINGS (2) & ignore (1) & 823:24,25;824:7,22; & 802:5;812:16;813:9, \\
\hline 800:9;811:13;839:9; & 783:9,9 & 815:3 & 825:1;834:15;836:15 & 21;824:7;829:3,21; \\
\hline 888:19 & home (1) & imagine (3) & 838:9,24;841:17,19; & 830:12;862:2;872:23; \\
\hline hard (3) & 787:3 & 894:11;925:17;943:1 & 844:25;845:2,15,19; & 889:4;892:7,9;897:6; \\
\hline 817:7;929:4;962:18 & homes (12) & immediately (3) & 846:1,22;847:3,4,6,15, & 898:5;909:16;916:12; \\
\hline HAREN (1) & 902:8,18,18;905:22, & 802:12;829:6,11 & 16,20;853:1;856:22; & 921:10;936:21,21; \\
\hline 783:23 & 23;906:6,16,22,23,25; & impeach (1) & 857:24;865:10,16,20; & 938:14;946:21;947:19; \\
\hline Haroula (2) & 907:16,18 & 917:15 & 873:7;877:1;881:13; & 949:17;956:18;958:7; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 959:4;960:9;961:7 & 824:13,18,19,22;830:1, & Kidder (2) & 951:2 & 926:23;957:9,12; \\
\hline intrinsic (1) & 11;857:17,18,20; & 786:4,5 & Larson (7) & 958:23 \\
\hline 890:20 & 864:15,17,24;866:13, & kind (4) & 942:1;952:23,25; & lenders (2) \\
\hline introduce (1) & 15;873:2;874:25; & 785:6;869:21;873:2 & 953:7;954:5,5;955:6 & 790:24;937:24 \\
\hline 917:11 & 914:16;915:14;919:12; & 888:17 & Larson's (1) & lending (1) \\
\hline introduced (1) & 941:4,17;953:5,5,12, & Kirsch (1) & 943:8 & 923:2 \\
\hline 916:12 & 17,22,24;954:7; & 820: & last (12) & less (8) \\
\hline introducing (2) & 961:15;964:25;965:3, & KISE (16) & 789:1;795:19 & 810:6,8,8;811:18; \\
\hline 892:7,9 & 5;968:5,6 & 784:4;786:1 & 796:24;812:22;825:15, & 921:8,14,21;936:13 \\
\hline introduction (1) & JEFFREY (16) & 868:17,21;891:22 & 16;828:15;849:10; & LETITIA (2) \\
\hline 893:13 & 783:7.5;788:20; & 892:2,4,7,14,17,23; & 894:15;909:3;930:12; & 783:3,19.5 \\
\hline investigation (2) & 792:16,22;800:7 & 893:11;917:4;956:7, & 954:13 & letter (47) \\
\hline 833:8;916:21 & 801:4,9;802:21; & 10;958:13 & late (1) & 827:22,24,25;828:3, \\
\hline investigatory (1) & 835:18;846:11,15; & knew (13) & 793:24 & 12,24;829:2,2,16; \\
\hline 896:12 & 882:6,8,22;963:22; & 800:20,22;811:7 & later (17) & 830:9,24;831:8;835:5; \\
\hline involve (1) & 964:17 & 817:19;818:5,13; & 800:19,19,20; & 837:3,9,9,11,21; \\
\hline 789:12 & Jeff's (1) & 842:16;858:22;871:13; & 811:14;829:9,9,10,10, & 842:13,20;843:12; \\
\hline involved (24) & 817:22 & 912:4;921:3;969:14,16 & 11;836:25;846:7; & 844:6,8,12;848:8,25; \\
\hline 789:15;844:22,23; & Jersey (1) & knowing (2) & 873:14;887:5;903:20; & 849:6,19;854:5; \\
\hline 862:16,19,20;897:9; & 784:10.5 & 800:14;909:2 & 906:14,17;954:21 & 856:13;859:9;861:3, \\
\hline 908:4,7,8,13;911:14, & JESUS (1) & knowingly (2) & latest (1) & 19;862:4;877:8;878:8, \\
\hline 23;915:22;916:9; & 784:5 & 856:24;858:16 & 819:25 & 11;879:1;880:1,17,19; \\
\hline 917:16;919:22;945:20; & job (1) & knowledge (20) & Latops (1) & 881:25;913:8,20; \\
\hline 946:2,4,13,16,17,19 & 952:8 & 789:3,5;793:4;794:1; & 785:3 & 914:12;969:5,7 \\
\hline issue (17) & JR (11) & 851:20;854:12;865:18; & LAW (1) & letterhead (1) \\
\hline 800:17;813:11,16, & 783:7;790:16; & 890:3;898:20;937:21; & 784:12 & 828:13 \\
\hline 21;822:9;827:23; & 845:21;899:7,900:2; & 939:25;940:7;941:8; & lawyers (2) & letters (6) \\
\hline 828:20;831:24;833:10; & 961:17;964:3;965:8, & 942:3;943:7;955:22; & 910:3;960: & 824:12;830:10; \\
\hline 838:23;839:19;843:13; & 12,20,24 & 964:6,14;966:25; & LAZARO (1) & 834:20,20;870:24; \\
\hline 844:2;924:15;925:13; & Jr's (1) & 967:14 & 784:4.5 & 921:1 \\
\hline 938:13;941:13 & 794:20 & known (4) & learn (4) & level (7) \\
\hline issued (3) & JSD (1) & 846:22;859:11; & 872:23;920:11; & \[
788: 22,23 ; 789: 3,5
\] \\
\hline 837:20;959:12; & 824:19 & 871:12;900:21 & 948:21;949:2 & 836:13;869:11;961:10 \\
\hline 969:14 & Judge (3) & knows (1) & learned (5) & liabilities (4) \\
\hline issues (2) & 785:2;851:7;888:13 & 955:20 & 801:12,18,22,24; & 840:1;841:16; \\
\hline 813:24;814:7 & judgment (2) & Kroll (3) & 948:24 & 869:12;897:13 \\
\hline issuing (1) & 856:25;858:18 & 812:21;813:2,12 & lease (5) & liability (2) \\
\hline 837:16 & July (2) & Kroll's (1) & 871:13;872:22; & 943:23;947:2 \\
\hline item (4) & 802:21;878:12 & 813:15 & 904:12,12;957:12 & Liberty (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 825:15,16;842 } \\
& \text { 872:5 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
829: 11
\] & L & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { leases (4) } \\
873: 5 ; 903: 18,18,20
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
783:20.5 \\
Lieberman (4)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline items (4) & June (26) & & leasing (1) & 792:8,10,13,14 \\
\hline 809:22;823:5; & 799:4,5,8,25,25 & Ladder (9) & 873:3 & life (1) \\
\hline 825:10;854:25 & 802:20;831:10,13; & 803:13;804:7,19; & least (3) & 910:4 \\
\hline IVANKA (2) & 836:4;837:16,20; & 928:4;936:5;937:2,6; & 799:11;808:5;933:13 & light (1) \\
\hline 783:7.5;790:18 & 848:13;855:18;857:23; & 938:23;939:13 & leave (4) & 897:17 \\
\hline & 902:2;904:14;905:12; & ladies (1) & 821:20;822:1 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { limit (1) } \\
958: 19
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline & 929:13;933:1;935:6; & lady (2) & leaving (1) & limitations (2) \\
\hline Jack (3) & 950:4;962:4,11 & 802:6;829: & 785:21 & 915:20;916:14 \\
\hline 803:11;937:5,8 & Justice (1) & land (10) & left (15) & limited (8) \\
\hline JAMES (2) & 783:16.5 & 905:21,21,23,25; & 790:11,19;791:14; & 931:25;932:25; \\
\hline 783:3,19.5
JANELLE (1) & K & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 907:13,17;918:18,20, } \\
& \text { 24:920:21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 792: 9 ; 807: 16 ; 839: 22 ; \\
& \text { 843:6;898:21;913:5, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 933:18;934:1,15; } \\
& \text { 935:5,7;967:14 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 784:22.5 & K & language (3) & \[
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\hline 0 & 8 & \(1: 23 ; 947: 1 ; 964:\) & \[
94
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\hline & 78 & 840 & 958:5,20,21,22;966:9; & owns (2) \\
\hline oath (1) & 793:7,12,16,19;802:6; & one-word (1) & 968:11,23;969:8 & 813:13;814:10 \\
\hline 806 & 804:3;805:23;806:1,3, & 874:12 & organizational (1) & \\
\hline object (4) & 10,15,21,22,22;808:5; & only (20) & 803:21 & P \\
\hline \[
818: 8 ; 916: 1
\] & 820:5;829:5;830:12; & 785:4;804:17; & Organization's (14) & \\
\hline objecting (3) & 862:2;864:15;867:6,8; & 815:19;817:19;818:5; & 804:11;807:13; & \\
\hline 892:18,20;893:13 & 868:12;870:4,9;890:2; & 821:17;823:15;831:2; & 849:7;880:11,22; & \[
787: 18,20 ; 788: 1
\] \\
\hline Objection (11) & 898:21;947:19;956:25; & 834:14;835:11;836:17, & 929:19;930:4,18; & page (85) \\
\hline 816:23;889:6; & \[
960: 7,8 ; 961: 7
\] & \[
21 ; 855: 7 ; 858: 3 ; 862: 9
\] & 932:4;945:16;953:11; & \[
783: 24.5 ; 794: 20,23,
\] \\
\hline \[
915: 18,19 ; 916: 14,15
\] & OFFICER (9) & 871:18;888:15;893:7; & 956:3;958:7;968:24 & \[
24 ; 795: 2 ; 798: 4
\] \\
\hline 917:1,3;918:6,8; & 785:1;786 & 894:25;929:22 & others (11) & 806:17;807:7;808:12, \\
\hline 958:14 & \[
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835: 13,15 ; 844: 4,13
\] & \[
18,23 ; 810: 11 ; 812: 22
\] \\
\hline objections (1) & 888:7,12;935:24 & 962:2 & \[
846: 12,14 ; 863: 1,4
\] & \[
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\] \\
\hline 918:7 & offices (3) & operate (1) & 917:7;963:7;964:25 & \[
14,15 ; 828: 15 ; 832: 2 ;
\] \\
\hline objective (1) & \(807: 14 ; 819: 12\)
\(948 \cdot 13\) & 873:2 & Otherwise (1) & 835:8;836:8;837:6; \\
\hline 816:11 & 948:13 & \[
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804: 24
\end{array}
\] & 967: & 848:15,24;849:3; \\
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\(910: 6\) & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { ought ( } 1 \\
870: 1
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\hline obligation (9) & OLD (1) & 863:1;875:7;951:16 & out (37) & 881:25;883:12,19; \\
\hline 851:1,16,22;852:14, & 783:10 & 962:16;963:2 & 785:18;798:13; & \[
885: 10,12,19 ; 886: 4,5,
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\hline 17,20,22,23;853:10 & oldest (1) & opportunity (6) & 800:19;812:11;814:9, & 14,22;887:9;894:4,16, \\
\hline obligations (12) & 812:23 & 797:15;897:21; & 15;815:25;816:4,7; & 17,19;899:9;902:3; \\
\hline 798:20;852:1;925:6; & old-fashioned (1) & 898:18;947:5;948:3, & 826:24;830:5;831:2; & 910:23;911:1;922:4; \\
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\hline \[
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\] & 802:11;837:1 & 804:19;833:16;888:18; & 16;881:9;887:6,6; & 16,24;929:11,24; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 902:17;937:13; } \\
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846:15;898:12,21; \\
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\] & \[
930: 11,25 ; 931: 6,23 ;
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932:13.18.20.24 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
939:13;943:14 \\
obtained (1)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
899:1;900:24;916:19; \\
923:1;960:22;961:3
\end{tabular} & \[
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& \text { 937:13;938:4,23; } \\
& 965: 5 ; 967: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
897:24;905:24;907:24; \\
916:19;953:7:954:22,
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 932:13,18,20,24; } \\
& \text { 933:15,20;934:9,24; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 905:20 & one (108) & ordered (3) & \[
24 ; 955: 4,12,17
\] & 942:6;950:10;959:16; \\
\hline obtaining (1) & 785:18;78 & 956:16,18;958:22 & outside (8) & 962:6,19,19;966:4,4 \\
\hline 957:8 & 792:22;793:18;794:5; & Org (2) & 793:16,18;836:14 & pages (11) \\
\hline obtains (1) & 799:3,15;805:12; & 820:1,2 & 865:2;877:2;962:24; & 822:19;825:5; \\
\hline 943:11 & 806:7,8,15,16;809:16; & ORGANIZATION (124) & 963:6,17 & 841:10;882:25;887:5; \\
\hline obviously (2) & 810:6,6;811:25;812:3, & 783:8.5,8.5;786:2,4; & over (29) & 889:8;894:18;927:20; \\
\hline 785:14;893:8 & 3;814:4,19;817:8; & 790:1,4,24;791:5,9,15, & 792:15;796:13,24; & 928:13;935:2,4 \\
\hline occasion (1) & 818:25;819:6;820:14, & 19,22;792:2,9,20; & \[
798: 23 ; 811: 15,22
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869: 18,18
\] & 821:12,18;822:23; \\
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\hline 873:2;878:2;888:12; & 823:8;828:21;830:2 & 865:3 & 812:18,20;815:6; & possible (28) \\
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\hline 944:13;945:25 & 798:15;803:10;805:1, & 909:5 & 844:19;846:8,10 & 897:17;937:10;938:20; \\
\hline partial (1) & 7;829:20;844:23; & pet (1) & 847:24;848:15;854:1 & 939:1,4,9,11,15;941:5, \\
\hline 953:9 & 845:6,25;847:21; & 910:3 & 859:3,5;862:9;877:5; & 15,23;949:9;954:22, \\
\hline participants (1) & 867:8,9;877:2,4; & PG333 & 878:16;882:24;890:13; & 24;955:8;956:16;957:3 \\
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\hline partnership (5) & per (7) & photography (2) & 784:2,6,1 & 804:8;911:1,9;912:6; \\
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859: 1
\] \\
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788: 8,25,25 ; 880: 18
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\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
13;959:13;960:3,15 \\
protection (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
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\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
reaching (2) \\
814-15.953
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 898:12,14,24;899:1; & Produced (23) & 934:7,22;935:12 & 812:14,16,18;815:5,11; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 814:15;953: } \\
& \text { react (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 900:4,12,17;960:23; & producing (1) & 957:12 & 819:21;824:14,16; & 920:13,14,18 \\
\hline 961:20;968:12 & pror & prov & 828:7,12;834:23,25 & read (26) \\
\hline presiding (2) & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 823: 24 ; 824: 1, \\
& 836: 15: 838: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
83
\] & 797:24;822:21; \\
\hline 785:2;888:13 &  & 836:15;838:5;844:25; 846:1.847.4 16 & 847:24;848:8;854:1; & 828:10;829:12,14 \\
\hline \[
785: 5 ; 820: 5 ; 888: 16
\] & 962:24;963:6,17 & 863:14,16;882:15; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 877: 5,7 ; 878: 21 ; \\
& \text { 882:24,25;889:4; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 830:21;834:6;835:12, } \\
& \text { 13,19;839:7;843:17; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline pretty (3) & Professor (1) & 894:23;928:7;954:5; & 890:13;891:18;893:16, & \[
858: 3 ; 869: 19,25,25
\] \\
\hline 802:15;901:1;941:16 & 819:6 & 965:23 & 19;895:25;901:22; & 894:15;898:3;906:4,5, \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { previous (1) } \\
\text { 805:15 }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { program (2) } \\
943: 22 ; 945: 24
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { provides (1) } \\
891: 5
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 909:6;910:11;913:8; } \\
& \text { 915:14,17;923:7; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 19,20;953:18;954:13, } \\
& \text { 16;955:24 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline previously (5) & project (1) & providing (9) & 941:24;946:21;949:15, & reading (5) \\
\hline 805:24;824:19; & 904:22 & 830:9;923:2;930:3, & 19;950:3;951:24;962:2 & 805:14:860:22,24. \\
\hline 852:10;921:20;952:15 & projected (7) & 17;932:3;933:3; & & 869:15;960:6 \\
\hline price (7) & 902:10;903:7;906:9, & & & real (9) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 818:3,6,18;821:11; & 820:15;821:8;822:24; & role (3) & 891:3;957:16,23 & 900:16;925:5,5,16; \\
\hline 917:9 & 823:3,6,9,11,12,16; & 829:17,17;830:14 & scratch (1) & 926:4,6,10;927:5,7 \\
\hline responding (1) & 824:8,23;825:2,4; & roles (1) & & sending (3) \\
\hline 823:2 & 826:10;827:16,23; & 946:8 & screen ( & 884:1;887:2;927:16 \\
\hline responds & 828:18,24;830:2,5,6,8, & Ron (9) & 885:23;894:20; & Senior (2) \\
\hline 814:1 & 9,25;831:2,3,10,13,16, & 792:8,13,14;943:16 & 913:25;950:20 & 784:23;923:16 \\
\hline response (3) & 18,22,24;834:17; & 944:15;945:22,25; & Scroll (7) & sense (2) \\
\hline 813:15;823:15; & 835:12,24;836:15; & 947:12;948:9 & 795:12;807:1 & 872:16,20 \\
\hline 828:22 & 837:13,21;838:25; & room (1) & 8:13,20;813:23; & sent (17) \\
\hline responsibilities (6) & 839:3,7,7,20,23;840:7; & 10:3 & 856:20;877:20 & 877:10,25;87 \\
\hline 848:24;849:4,8,13, & 841:12;842:17;843:3, & roughly (1) & seat (2) & 882:12,14;886:8 \\
\hline 22;854:22 & 7,10,17;844:3;845:9; & 897:9 & 787:2;86 & 893:20;894:12;924:19; \\
\hline responsibility & 846:23;849:11;852:1, & round (2) & seated (5) & 926:23,25;927:4,21; \\
\hline 849:1;850:3,6,12; & 3,24;853:7;854:13,19; & 827:3;950:2 & 785:7;833:16;888:7, & 932:16;941:5;944:25; \\
\hline 851:5;853:10;854:21 & 855:3,5,12,15,18,22; & routine (4) & 17;935:25 & 961:9 \\
\hline responsible (3) & 856:3;857:5,7,10; & 796:10,14,17,17 & second (21) & sentence (6) \\
\hline 824:6;923:1;962:10 & 858:7,10,19,22,24; & rules (2) & 806:5;815:13 & 841:14;849:10; \\
\hline rest (4) & 860:11;861:11;862:5, & 943:20;967:20 & \[
820: 25 ; 837: 6 ; 845: 5 ;
\] & 858:16;861:4;951:1 \\
\hline 785:16;908:25; & 23;863:2,5,9;865:13, & ruling (2) & 849:3;858:16;867:7; & 952:11 \\
\hline 909:3;918:5 & 16;866:10;869:3; & 76;9 & 878:8;881:25;883:19; & separation (2) \\
\hline restrictions (3) & 870:21;871:21,22; & rulings (1) & 890:16;892:25;897:7; & 798:2,21 \\
\hline 960:2,11,14 & 872:4,18;874:4,7,16; & 915:23 & 901:14;928:1;949:2; & September (10) \\
\hline result (1) & 877:3,25;879:2,5,14, & RXR (1) & 951:13;952:11;955:8; & 812:10;813:2; \\
\hline 962:25 & 19;880:8;882:9; & 784:7 & 962:6 & 831:15;884:14;894:14; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { results (1) } \\
945: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & 883:24;884:2;885:5,
15,25;886:12,18; & \(\mathbf{S}\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Secondly (1) } \\
915: 21
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 910:24;911:11;913:16; } \\
& 914: 11,21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline resumes (2) & 889:25;890:11,24; & S & secreta & series (2) \\
\hline 888:22;935:23 & 891:16;894:23;895:2, & sak & 844:21 & 813:1;889:9 \\
\hline retail (7) & 7,23;897:6,6;900:13; & \(70: 10\) & section (2) & service (1) \\
\hline 870:17,17,19,20 & 903:1;904:10,20,23; & sale (3) & 841:11;849 & 945:8 \\
\hline 871:2,17;872:17 & 905:2,4,9;906:12,13; & 884:1,22;962:25 & secured (2) & servicer (3) \\
\hline retaining (1) & 907:4,6,13,15,17,18, & sales (3) & 804:10;936 & 927:1,2,4 \\
\hline 947:8 & 20;909:17;910:24; & 862:22;905:21 & seeing (12) & services (2) \\
\hline revenue (8) & 912:18,22;913:16,22; & 937:12 & 804:2;811:10, & 942:3;943:8 \\
\hline 874:23;879:4,7,10 & 919:3;921:1;924:6,11, & same (32) & 821:22;824:11,11 & servicing (2) \\
\hline 12,13;891:5,6 & 19,21;925:4,23;926:2, & 793:12;802:3;805: & 910:15;921:7,10; & 927:3;931:14 \\
\hline review (23) & 14,17,25;927:18; & 818:21,21;819:7; & 922:1;927:11;968:9 & session (4) \\
\hline \[
797: 15 ; 825: 5,7,10 ;
\] & 931:1;934:1,7;935:18; & 821:7;859:5;868:7 & Seems (3) & 785:2;833:16; \\
\hline 830:11;836:10,13,18, & 936:12;937:2,15; & 885:7;892:19;903:1; & 905:18;946:17 & 888:13;935:25 \\
\hline 23;860:13;869:8,10; & 939:5,20,22;940:19; & 914:4;918:4;926:13, & 955:23 & sessions (1) \\
\hline 878:17;897:24;898:18, & 945:3,9,11;946:12; & 16,17;927:20,22,22; & selecting (1) & 896:13 \\
\hline 20;899:2;947:6,14,20, & 947:9,21;949:18; & 930:1,15;932:15; & 862:16 & set (2) \\
\hline 24;948:6,13 & 950:11,24;951:7,10,10, & 952:3,21,22;953:6 & selection (2) & 785:12;804:6 \\
\hline reviewed (6) & 21;952:9,9;953:11; & 954:1;963:15,22; & 849:23;850:23 & settle (2) \\
\hline 825:4,9;860:11; & 955:25;959:8;961:10; & 964:9,20 & sell (9) & 795:15;903:15 \\
\hline 869:11,11;963:23 & 963:14;966:10;968:1, & saw (16) & \[
842: 7 ; 887: 22,23,2
\] & settlement (2) \\
\hline reviewing (4) & 14,969:4,8,15,18 & 821:7;830:1,3; & 902:18;905:23,25; & 936:23;938:23 \\
\hline 797:17,19;897:9; & rights (4) & 848:23;863:4;894:2; & 906:17;907:20 & SEVEN (27) \\
\hline 963:24 & 905:14;911:20; & 896:9;913:16;921:17, & seller (1) & 783:10.5;818:22; \\
\hline REVOCABLE (5) & 912:24;925:12 & 20;940:17;967:7,7,21, & 842:6 & 829:10;852:23;900:20, \\
\hline 783:8;794:9,19; & rise (4) & 25;968:4 & selling (6) & 21;901:19;902:5; \\
\hline 848:20;962:9 & 785:1;833:15; & saying (22) & 879:19;880:6 & 903:9;904:22;907:10 \\
\hline Rico (1) & 888:12;935:24 & 802:24;812:3; & 887:19;888:2;905:21; & 911:4,6,10,21;913:22; \\
\hline 822:19 & risk (1) & 844:20,21;861:25 & \[
909: 15
\] & 917:17;918:15;919:2, \\
\hline right (225) & 946:20 & 866:22,23;867:2,4 & sells (1) & 8,13,15,21;920:8; \\
\hline 786:12,23;787:8 & RMR (1) & 868:1,23;871:1; & 887:21 & 929:23;957:4;962:19 \\
\hline 790:7;792:1;793:18; & 784:22.5 & 877:24;890:7;892:23; & semicolon (1) & Seven-minute (1) \\
\hline 801:8;805:7,12;806:2, & ROBERT (10) & 893:12;901:7;906:25; & 851:25 & 929:22 \\
\hline 4,21;807:1,7,11;808:2, & 784:6,6,8;889:6,12, & 908:15;917:24;919:8; & semi-famous ( & several (10) \\
\hline 6,14,16;809:3,12;
\(811 \cdot 7 \cdot 813 \cdot 24 \cdot 815\) & 14;915:18;916:16; & 955:21 & 819 & 803:10;811: \\
\hline 19;816:13,16,22; & ROBINSO & scheduling (1) &  &  \\
\hline 817:8;818:15,16; & 784:22 & science (3) & 884:10;885:4;894:7; & 935:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline severance (2) & 785:3;888:14 & 907:2,4;938:25;952:24 & 802:17 & spoken (1) \\
\hline 797:11;798:1 & similarly (1) & solely (2) & sorry (29) & 893:7 \\
\hline shall (2) & 927:20 & 834:10;917:2 & 788:8;795:18;813: & sponsor (1) \\
\hline 806:8;825:21 & simple & solemnly (1) & 818:7;828:9,9;835: & 873:16 \\
\hline Sharon (2) & 818:3,13;826:21 & 786:23 & 844:18;855:9,13; & spreadsheet (13) \\
\hline 803:9,9 & 827:14,18;864:25; & solicit (1) & 861:12;863:19;869:5; & 830:3;863:20; \\
\hline Sheri (4) & 874:12;954:17 & 944:22 & 874:9,14;875:12; & 918:18;919:12;965:24; \\
\hline 910:20;911:23 & simpler (1) & solicited ( & 901:3,5;904:6;909:2; & 966:15,20;967:21; \\
\hline 913:5;919:2 & 10:4 & 877:1 & 910:23;924:16,24; & 968:1,2,4,5,10 \\
\hline shopping (1) & simply (1) & soliciting ( \({ }^{790}\) & 929:2;944:2;950:1 & spreadsheets (5) \\
\hline 821:18 & 917:14 & 790:2 & 20;959:4;962:18 & 817:22,23;863:16; \\
\hline short (1) & sit (10) & SOLOMO & sort (4) & 967:7;968:7 \\
\hline \[
788: 2^{\prime}
\] & 796:13;816:21 & 783:23.5;786:19,20 & 822:20;846:7 & SPRINGS (17) \\
\hline short-term (4) & 817:10;861:14;868:12; & \[
787: 12,14 ; 791: 3
\] & 869:18;880:1 & \[
783: 10.5 ; 900: 20,22
\] \\
\hline 936:13;938:3,23 & 870:4,9;872:12;903:5; & 794:23;795:4,12,17 & sorts (1) & 901:19;902:6;903:9; \\
\hline 939:13 & 966:18 & 797:13,18;798:15; & 909:10 & 904:22;907:10;911:4 \\
\hline show (8) & site (1) & 804:25;805:5;807:15; & sought (2) & 6,10,21;913:22; \\
\hline 795:10;797:1;800:9; & 864:2 & 808:12,20;811:2; & 936:5;938:3 & 917:17;919:21;920:8; \\
\hline 811:12;858:8;870:10; & sitting (6) & 812:15,18;813:9 & sound (3) & 957:4 \\
\hline 893:16;966:15 & 805:23;862:1;882:8 & 815:5,11;818:9,16,24; & 806:2;807:7;938:7 & square (41) \\
\hline showed (5) & 953:6;954:22;955:7 & 819:21;824:13;827:17; & sounded (1) & 799:19,20,22,23; \\
\hline 815:2;890:12;914:1; & situation (2) & 828:7;830:21;834:3,7, & 917:9 & 800:6,13,15,22;801:8, \\
\hline 961:4;967:22 & 865:8;938:1 & 23;847:24;848:6; & sounds (7) & 16;802:10,25;807:22; \\
\hline showing (4) & situations (1) & 854:1;862:11;874:9 & 786:11;790:7;792: & 808:1,8,8;809:6,11; \\
\hline 808:3;857:15;872:8; & 825:11 & 11;877:5;878:21; & 919:15;936:12;937:15; & 811:6;815:19;816:1, \\
\hline 921:4 & six (6) & 882:24;888:5,25; & 938:8 & 12;817:12,19;818:5; \\
\hline shown (4) & 791:24 & 889:3,11,13;890:13, & source (2) & 821:7;823:17;826:6, \\
\hline 794:14;806:16 & 826:23,24;886:5 & 891:18,25;892:3,6,9, & 864:20;894:25 & 14,15,16;830:2,6; \\
\hline 825:15;869:12 & 936:25 & 16;893:5,15;895:16, & South (2) & 831:3;834:16;857:15, \\
\hline shows (2) & sixth (2) & 25;901:18,22;902:3 & 936:3,6 & 15;859:17;863:5; \\
\hline 821:6;917:18 & 886:4;891: & 909:6,11;910:2; & space (4) & 903:21,21 \\
\hline side (11) & size (12) & 915:13,16;916:7 & 872:17;873:10,1 & SSARS (3) \\
\hline 804:13,13,15,17; & 800:12,15,18,25 & 917:8,9,14,19;918:9 & 963:3 & 849:15,15;851:25 \\
\hline \[
822: 3,16 ; 913: 7,25
\] & 822:13;828:20;831:18; & 923:7;935:17;941:24; & spaces (1) & stabilized (1) \\
\hline 916:11,11;937:4 & 833:5,25;859:12; & 946:21;949:17;951:24; & 872:18 & 963:2 \\
\hline sides (2) & 861:15;863:4 & 956:11;957:25;968:22; & speak (6) & staff (1) \\
\hline 804:23;833:1 & size-wise (1) & 969:19,21,24 & 787:8;815:21 & 844:21 \\
\hline Sidney (1) & 818:2 & Solomon's (3) & 851:17;858:1;864:23; & stage (1) \\
\hline 819:5 & slight ( & 829:17;901:9;917:22 & 953:7 & 804:6 \\
\hline sign (17) & 894:13;895: & somebody (5) & speaking (4) & stamp (2) \\
\hline 798:9;827:22;828:3; & slowly (1) & & 809:25;815:3;816:2; & 807:1,5 \\
\hline 829:23;830:12,13; & \[
787: 10
\] & \[
879: 24 ; 912: 21 ; 937: 7
\] & 941:16 & stand (5) \\
\hline 835:11,13,14,15,20; & small (3) & someone (16) & special (2) & 888:8,22;917:5; \\
\hline 848:20;849:19;860:8; & 821:18;825:19;944:5 & \[
814: 9 ; 819: 1 ; 862:
\] & 915:21,25 & 935:21,23 \\
\hline 907:25;957:12;969:6 & snapshot (1) & 865:2;870:21,23; & specific (3) & standards (1) \\
\hline signature (11) & \[
904: 2
\] & 875:17;879:18;890: & 850:14;872:12;959:3 & 788:6 \\
\hline 794:21,25;795:2; & SOFC (26) & 925:5;931:12;944:11 & specifically (9) & standing (1) \\
\hline 798:7,11;808:25; & 824:14;827 & 949:20;953:3,16; & 806:16;814:5; & 916:14 \\
\hline 828:15;835:8;837:6; & 828:4;831:24;855:18, & 963:24 & 820:17;823:8;908:22; & start (4) \\
\hline 848:16;924:8 & 21;859:12,16;862:13, & someplace ( & 915:15;923:9;938:19, & 785:19;851:18; \\
\hline gned (16) & 18;863:8,13;864:13, & sometime (5) & \[
21
\] & 908:11;910:8 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
828:24;829:15; \\
831:17;835:21,23;
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 16;865:10;866:13; } \\
& \text { 867:15,23;869:7; }
\end{aligned}
\] & sometime (5) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { speech ( } \\
& 958: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { started (3) } \\
& \quad 793: 1 ; 802: 20 ; 917: 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 849:19;857:5,22; & 875:22;900:6,16; & 907:17,17,20 & speeches (2) & starting (1) \\
\hline 860:14,25;900:18; & 901:22;913:9;915:8; & sometimes (1) & 829:18;830:1 & 833:20 \\
\hline 914:12;921:1;924:6; & 919:5 & 872:9 & spend (3) & starts (1) \\
\hline 931:1;969:10 & SOFCs & somewhat & 848:3;947:19;955:13 & 865:25 \\
\hline gning (5) & 812:8;855:12; & & spent (2) & startups (1) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
830:24;848:18; \\
862:4:870:23.931-3
\end{tabular} & 865:12;900:12
SOFC's (2) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { somewhere (2) } \\
791: 7 ; 921: 4
\end{gathered}
\] & 814:22;869:14 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 873:8 } \\
\text { STATE (5) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline signoff (2) &  & \[
\begin{array}{r}
7 \\
\text { son }
\end{array}
\] &  &  \\
\hline 960:23;961:8 & sold (7) & 803:11;937:5,7 & 895:10,14,21;939:8; & 787:2 \\
\hline silent (2) & 902:12;906:23,25; & soon (1) & 953:19;961:15 & stated (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 884:13 & 830:2;844:6;869:14; & Supporting (8) & taught (1) & ;970:4 \\
\hline statement (124) & 22,91 & 824:13,18,19;825:6; & 7 & thanks (4) \\
\hline 788:7;792:22;799:4, & stipulation (1) & 30:1;894:8;915:14; & \(\operatorname{tax}(1)\) & 814:1;869:4;901:16; \\
\hline 7,10,13,16;802:12,20; & 917:25 & 965:2 & 807:18 & 936:1 \\
\hline 809:18,21;810:5; & stop (1) & SUPREME & & therefore (1) \\
\hline 819:7;823:20;824:20; & 822:22 & 783:1,12.5,16. & 891:14 & 928:6 \\
\hline 825:16;829:1;831:7,9, & stopped (5) & sure (42) & team (4) & therein (1) \\
\hline 10,11,21;836:3,19,25; & 814:25;815:1,3 & 785:3;797:3;799:2 & 944:9;945:11,15,19 & 834:9 \\
\hline 837:16,20;838:5,6,10, & 816:2;822:20 & 800:1;802:14,15; & telephone (2) & thinking (6) \\
\hline 10,12,23;839:5,6,14, & stream (1) & 820:23;821:22;830:15; & 865:21;941:20 & 789:1;796:1 \\
\hline 19;840:6,21,22,22; & 903:12 & 833:4;848:5;855:14; & telling (9) & 822:15;860:21;885:1; \\
\hline 843:13,19;844:2 & streams (2) & 861:13;865:19;868:17; & 815:19;879:18 & 905:1 \\
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\hline 884:18;885:4;887:19; & strike (1) & 962:19 & 904:4,13,25;969:23 & 822:18;826:7;843:2; \\
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\hline totals (2) & 828:12,21;830:24; & truth (5) & 962:16 & 20,21;967:6 \\
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\hline 16;895:19;896:7; & 915:16;937:12 & 803:4;856:18 & 922:2;935:21,23; & wrong (12) \\
\hline 903:10;949:12;952:21; & Wakefield (7) & 904:11;905:20;912:11; & 941:21;954:18;956:11, & 800:23;801:2,13,18, \\
\hline 953:22;954:7,25; & 919:23;920:2,5; & 915:22 & 13;969:25;970:5,6 & 22,25;803:4;816:10; \\
\hline 961:24 & 941:7;951:15;952:15, & what's (8) & witness' (2) & 828:9,10;861:10; \\
\hline value & 17 & 4:14:825 & 892:1,12 & 863:17 \\
\hline 812:4;814:22;826:3; & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { walk (3) } \\
800: 14 ; 867: 8 ; 961: 7
\end{array}
\] & 890:15;892:3,21 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { witnesses (2) } \\
786: 8 ; 817: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & Y \\
\hline 840:19;841:17,22,24; & walked (5) & Whenever (1) & word (17) & \\
\hline 842:1,14,20,23;843:3, & 862:1;867:6,7,7,9 & 884:16 & 837:24;849:17,18 & year (45) \\
\hline 10,14,22;862:17; & WALL (8) & whereas (1) & 858:5,8,19,21;859:22; & 799:24;802:13; \\
\hline 863:7;864:20;870:3,7; & 783:10;871:6; & 795:13 & 860:2,16;861:6; & 812:2;814:17;823:23, \\
\hline 872:9,13;879:1; & 873:10;923:22;929:14; & Whereupon (23) & 867:12;880:4;897:19 & 25;824:10;827:23; \\
\hline 880:10,22,25;881:10; & 956:2,4,957:2 & 786:16;795:6; & 19,21,25 & 839:22;848:3,13; \\
\hline 890:20,20;894:25; & WALLACE (2) & 797:22;798:18;805:3; & words (7) & 863:8,8,10;864:8,9; \\
\hline 896:13;902:12,21; & 783:21.5;785:14 & 806:12;829:13;832:1; & 858:3;868:2 & 865:1,6,19;866:2,5; \\
\hline 903:6,12,24;905:14,15; & warning (3) & 833:14;834:12;875:25; & 897:25;900:8;963:9, & 872:24;881:23;884:13; \\
\hline 907:3;909:19,20; & 883:17;929:22 & 878:23;888:9;889:16; & 13,968:10 & 887:18;896:5;898:7; \\
\hline 911:20;951:14;952:13; & 964:11 & 891:20;893:1;896:2; & work (49) & 904:14;907:21;921:16; \\
\hline 953:9;960:15;962:22; & Washington & 901:15;922:3;935:22; & 789:7,25;791:1 & 936:9,13;938:25; \\
\hline 963:20;964:7;967:3,4, & 790:19 & 954:15;959:15;970:7 & 792:2,15,18,21,23; & 939:2;947:10,24; \\
\hline 6,6,8,16,22,23,23 & way (25) & white (2) & 793:4;796:8,20;800:8, & 952:14;953:8;956:7,8; \\
\hline valued (6) & 794:5;796:1;812:23; & 839:1,13 & 9;801:4;802:22,23 & 959:3,7,12;962:3; \\
\hline 872:6,7;902:9; & 864:14;866:4;879:1; & whole (3) & 811:11,13,14;843:20; & 963:15 \\
\hline 903:16;906:6;954:3 & 881:17;883:4,7; & 786:24;859:25;958:2 & 844:3,17;846:11,16; & years (40) \\
\hline values (11) & 897:18,22;898:2; & who's (2) & 863:14;870:23;871:4, & 789:1,16;791:24,24; \\
\hline 840:1;843:21; 903:14.18:904-1. & 904:6;909:25;912:10; & \[
785: 21 ; 909: 2
\] & 13,25;872:3,5;873:12, & \[
796: 10,24 ; 798: 23
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 903:14,18;904:1; } \\
& \text { 957:20;963:23;965:13, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 920:13,14,18;926:9; } \\
& \text { 938:2;940:13,14; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { whose (3) } \\
& 870: 23 ; 886: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 25;874:5;875:13,16; } \\
& \text { 882:21;884:17,21,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 800: 2,4,19,20 ; 807: 5 ; \\
& 811: 9 ; 863: 16 ; 865: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 21;967:20,25 & 943:20;963:15;967:6 & 943:16 & 903:14,22;944:5; & 866:12,12;868:9; \\
\hline valuing (2) & ways (5) & willing (1) & 952:18,20,22;953:22; & 869:6;872:14;873:15; \\
\hline 842:22;875:1 & 818:22;842:22; & 842: & 963:24,25 & 878:14;898:5;900:7, \\
\hline various (1) & 843:5,7;901:7 & wish (1) & worked (9) & 25;902:25;903:20; \\
\hline 790:23 & week (4) & 883:9 & 788:2;789:2;820:5 & 904:4,13,25;936:25; \\
\hline vein (1) & 786:6;829:10; & withdrawn (14) & 826:24;871:13;903:22; & 941:15;950:1;957:19; \\
\hline 898:4 & 906:14;913:15 & 788:22;791:1,2,3; & 911:23;955:21;964:25 & 958:16;960:5;964:2,9; \\
\hline VENTURE (1) & weekend (2) & 795:24;804:5,25; & working (12) & 965:8,12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline year's (4) & 1 (1) & 923:7 & 886:14;887:9;894:14; & 795:9,19,22 \\
\hline 863:23;864:5,13; & 809:4 & 14 (7) & 902:3;904:25 & 2023 (3) \\
\hline 936:24 & 10 (4) & 831:5;859:3,22; & 200 (1) & 783:14;798:9;970:8 \\
\hline yellow (4) & 783:14;828:13; & 861:7;863:8;894:4; & 810:3 & 20th (2) \\
\hline 885:20,22;886:16; & 854:15;894:5 & 945:5 & 2002 (2) & 808:18,23 \\
\hline 894:20 & 10,996 (8) & 142 (1) & 790:6;791:11 & 21 (1) \\
\hline yes-or-no (15) & 815:19,22;816:1; & 932:18 & 2011 (30) & 848:9 \\
\hline \[
816: 15 ; 817: 2,14
\] & 817:19;818:5;829:8; & \[
1430 \text { (1) }
\] & 788:24;789:2;793:7, & 213 (1) \\
\hline 822:4;827:7;831:22; & 831:3;857:15 & 784:10 & 13;799:5,11;800:24; & 928:2 \\
\hline 859:14;870:5;875:19; & 10,996.39 (2) & 146 (1) & 823:25;836:4,18; & 2159 (1) \\
\hline 904:7;905:18;921:19; & 807:24;821:7 & 932:24 & 837:3,16,20;843:19; & 807:1 \\
\hline 956:6,22;959:3 & 10:00 (1) & 148 (1) & 853:10;896:6;897:9; & 22.7 (1) \\
\hline yesterday (1) & 970:8 & 933:15 & 898:7,14;900:4,12,16; & 950:13 \\
\hline 918:4 & 100 (2) & 149 (1) & 903:11;938:16;940:2, & 23 (3) \\
\hline YORK (17) & 887:25,25 & 933:20 & 8;943:12;956:8; & 910:24;914:11,21 \\
\hline 783:1,1.5,2.5,3.5, & 10005 (1) & 15 (7) & 958:19;959:10 & 230 (2) \\
\hline 13.5,13.5,19.5,21,21; & 783:21 & 795:19;808:12; & 2012 (12) & 924:23;928:16 \\
\hline 784:7.5,13.5,13.5; & 101 (1) & 863:9;864:6;881:15; & 799:8,18,25;800:12; & 23rd (1) \\
\hline 789:13,16;807:4; & 784:3 & 888:21;935:20 & 801:1,7;803:10,25; & 913:16 \\
\hline 900:23;939:20 & 1016 (3) & 150 (1) & 805:19;808:5;809:10; & 24 (2) \\
\hline young (3) & 795:12;797:19,23 & 934.9 & 811:6 & 917:6;962:19 \\
\hline 802:6;829:5;864:15 & 10165 (1) & 151 (1) & 2013 (6) & 240 (1) \\
\hline Z & 784:13.5 & \(934: 12\)
152 (1) & 801:15;809:10; & 784:10 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{Z}\) & 1040 (4)
882:24,25;889:4,17 & \[
934: 24
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 811: ; ; 865: 9 ; 878: 12 ; \\
& 945: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
929: 1 ; 964: 2,9
\] \\
\hline Zapantis (1) & 10996.39 (2) & 1552 (2) & 2014 (19) & 26 (1) \\
\hline 923:14 & 808:8;809:6 & 949:15,19 & 801:20;809:10; & 902:3 \\
\hline zoned (4) & 10-minute (1) & 1561 (1) & 811:6;848:21;864:7; & 274-10 (1) \\
\hline 902:8;905:22;906:5, & 935:19 & 951:24 & 901:22;902:2;905:12; & 840:24 \\
\hline 22 & 11 (6) & 16 (4) & 906:12;910:24;911:12; & 274-10-05 (1) \\
\hline zones (1) & 808:15;815:14; & 831:15;860:1,3,17 & 913:8,13;914:5,11,12, & 841:7 \\
\hline 905:20 & 820:20,25;894:4;970:7 & 1605 (2) & 21;915:20;962:9 & 2757 (1) \\
\hline zoning (9) & 11,000 (2) & 834:4,13 & 2015 (5) & 841:1 \\
\hline 905:4,19,24;906:16; & 826:6,15 & 17 (2) & 801:24;811:7; & 28 (1) \\
\hline 907:16,25;908:1,2,17 & 11/28/2018 (1) & 857:5,23 & 865:10;890:17;891:15 & 783:20.5 \\
\hline Zurich (2) & 950:6 & 175,700,000 (2) & 2016 (19) & 28000723 (2) \\
\hline 949:20;950:1 & 11:30 (1) & 962:22;963:20 & 799:25;802:2,3,13, & 923:23;929:7 \\
\hline 0 & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 17th (1 } \\
\text { 027 }
\end{gathered}
\] & 5;812:10;819:10; & \[
291 \text { (4) }
\] \\
\hline 0 & 805:16 & \[
1971 \text { (1) }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 824: 14,19 ; 828: 4 ; \\
& \text { 831:10,13;846:25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 906: 23,25 ; 920: \\
& 921: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 0063 (1) & 11556 (1) & 787:19 & 848:13;855:18,21; & 2nd (1) \\
\hline 812:16 & 784:7.5 & 1973 (1) & 857:3,23 & 805:9 \\
\hline 00754 (1) & 11th (1) & 789:11 & 2016/2017 (1) & \\
\hline 828:7 & 799:4 & 1976 (1) & 791:25 & 3 \\
\hline 0123 (1) & 12 (2) & 788:4 & 2017 (25) & \\
\hline 930:12 & 783:9.5;887:9 & 1986 (1) & 792:19;794:11; & 3 (3) \\
\hline 01751 (3) & 1280 (1) & 789:21 & 802:14;814:14;815:9, & 859:24;860:2,17 \\
\hline 797:14;798:15,19 & 812:18 & 1987 (1) & 18;817:13,19;818:4, & 3,300 (1) \\
\hline 030308089 (1) & 1290th (1) & 791:7 & \[
17 ; 819: 10 ; 828: 13,18
\] & \[
825: 5
\] \\
\hline 932:25 & 939:19 & 1990s (1) & 18;831:17;833:4,21; & 3:20 (1) \\
\hline 0632 (3) & 13 (2) & 793:24 & 848:10;854:15;860:25; & 935:18 \\
\hline 803:6;805:1,4 & 806:17;944:18 & \[
1994 \text { (7) }
\] & 923:17;924:1;926:17; & 30 (30) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0633(2) \\
& 805: 5,6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
131 \text { (2) } \\
930 \cdot 2
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 808: 15 ; 809: 2,4,9 ; \\
& 820: 17,20,25
\end{aligned}
\] & 936:8;939:1
2018 (9) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 831: 10,13 ; 836: 4 ; \\
& 837: 16,20 ; 848: 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 805: 5,6 \\
& 0742 \text { (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
930: 2 \\
132(1)
\end{gathered}
\] & 820:17,20,25 & 928:18;929:1,8,13; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 837: 16,20 ; 848: 13 ; \\
& \text { 855:18;857:23;866: }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 824:14 & 931:23 & 2 & 949:21;950:4;959:6; & 12;875:7,20,21;881:14, \\
\hline 07921 (1) & 1324 (2) & & 962:4,11 & 20;902:2;905:12; \\
\hline 784:10.5 & 815:5,11 & & \[
2019 \text { (8) }
\] & 906:12;911:7;912:6; \\
\hline 0920 (1) & 1345 (3) & 803:9,24;805:19 & 931:8;933:1;935:6; & 914:9;924:1;928:17; \\
\hline 929:25 & 819:21,23;824:16 & 2.3499 (1) & 958:15,18;959:6,6,8 & 929:13;933:1;935:6; \\
\hline 1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 135 \text { (2) } \\
& 887: 17,25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 966: 25 \\
& 20(8)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|r|}
\hline 2020(1) \\
823: 25
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 950:4;958:16;962:4,11 } \\
& \mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0 ( 7 )}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & \[
1386 \text { (1) }
\] & 866:12;885:10,12; & 2021 (3) & 800:13;809:11; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding.

Make sure all cell phones are silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Tommy, do you want some hot tea after that?

Thanks for being here. Of course I don't read the papers and go online and look to read about this case, but there is some issue that keeps coming up: there is no jury. It's not just because we need a box for the screen there. As the lawyers know and the lay people may not, there is law and then there is equity.

In law, generally legal cases are about money damages; equitable cases are about injunctive relief, telling someone you can and can't do something. And here's how it's determined whether there is a jury or not. When -either side, any party can file a Note of Issue saying that disclosure is finished and the case is basically ready for trial, the person who files that Note of Issue checks off a box, says they want a jury trial or they don't want a jury trial. That's assumed to be the case, but the other side has I think it's 15 days or so to object and say no, we

\section*{in federal practice.}

MS. HABBA: Thank you. And we did discuss it on March 3rd and we discussed this exact topic, but thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate it.

THE COURT: Sure. We're all learning.
MS. HABBA: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. I understand that there is an attorney that would like to address the Court and everyone else about the next witness?

MR. ZENSKY: David Zensky for the record.
I'll be brief. Good morning, Justice Engoron.
David Zensky of Akin Gump Straus Hauer \& Feld, and we are counsel for Deutsche Bank.

As the Court knows, today's witness is being called to testify about events that occurred while employed at Deutsche Bank in the credit risk management area. I appeared in court today for the limited and almost certainly unnecessary purpose of protecting against the disclosure of Deutsche Bank's -- information protected by Deutsche Bank's attorney/client or work product privileges and/or to prevent the disclosure of information that is confidential, supervisory information which the bank is obligated to keep secret under federal and state regulations.

I've consulted with counsel for all parties and no one has an objection to being heard on an issue should it
arise, but no one believes that such an issue will arise, so I'd simply ask the Court for permission to be recognized of need be.

THE COURT: Okay. How should we do this logistically? Do you want to sit towards the front and raise your hand if there is an issue?

MR. ZENSKY: Whatever suits the Court. I'm happy to sit where I was. Again, it's unlikely to occur, but whatever the Court believes is appropriate.

THE COURT: Well, you can be on the 30 -yard line and the 50 -yard line. Why don't you sit in the front bench, if that's okay?

MR. ZENSKY: Okay, that's fine. I do likely like the 50 -yard line, though.

THE COURT: That's what I say.
MR. ZENSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate it.

THE COURT: Plaintiff, are you ready to call your next witness?

MR. WALLACE: Certainly. The next witness People call is Nicholas Haigh.

THE COURT: I control a lot but not the music coming from the plaza out there.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and
approaches the witness stand.)
THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand.
Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
State your name and either business or home address on the record.

THE WITNESS: My full name is James Nicholas Haigh. My home address is 712 Seney Avenue, Mamaroneck, New York, and I am currently retired.

THE COURT: And I'll ask the witness to speak loudly, slowly, clearly. And these microphones are very particular; you have to be really close to them.

\section*{THE WITNESS: I apologize.}

THE COURT: Please proceed, Mr. Wallace.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Good morning, Mr. Haigh. I believe we met at your deposition, but my name is Kevin Wallace. I'm an attorney with the New York Attorney General's Office.

Just at the start, can you describe your educational background for the Court?
A Yes. So I grew up in Brittain high school. I went to
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university or college at the University of Manchester between 1975 and 1978. Then I trained as a chartered surveyor and qualified as a chartered surveyor I think in about 1981.

Q And what does it mean to be a chartered surveyor?
A It's a professional qualification in the UK and some of the other commonwealth countries around real estate.
Q Okay. And what kind of tasks with someone who is a chartered surveyor undertake in relation to real estate?
A So I was a general practice chartered surveyor. So we did all sorts of things from landlord and tenant negotiation, acquisition of real estate, purchase, looking at appraisals, doing appraisals or valuations that they tend to be called over there.

I worked for a government, local government for awhile and -- actually, no. It's for the national government but in a local area. And for one of the utility companies and then I worked for an investment fund running a pension fund, acquiring real estate assets for their pension fund. And then I moved to a U.S. bank and gave advice to some of their clients in real estate in Europe -- in the UK.

Q I believe you just stated that you're currently retired; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q What was your prior job before you retired?
A The previous four years I have worked for Bank of
    America as a -- what they called a credit officer, but I was
    making loans to private clients and their private clients'
    business.
    Q And what sort of work would you do as a credit officer
at Bank of America?
    A -- Bank of America.
    It involved talking with clients about the bank's
    various credit products; it involved looking at clients'
    financial positions to assess whether they qualified and were
    suitable for those credit products; it involved negotiating with
    the bank's internal risk approval people and underwriters around
    how to underwrite a client document and their financial
    position, negotiate loan terms, if necessary, and so that was
    mostly what it was.
    Q I believe you said working -- sorry. Underwrite loans
    and helping work through them documenting their financial
    position. Could you just describe what that entails?
    A Bank of America had an underwriting process where it
    would analyze the financial condition of its potential and
    actual borrowers and it involved looking at the client's
    financial information capturing that in a very standardized way
    and analyzing that information to then use all that information
    in order to derive a risk rated score for its client's
    probability default.
    Q And that would help govern the terms of the loan they
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
Page 980
    might be eligible for and able to obtain?
    A Yes, so that would -- indeed it would perfect all of
    those matters.
    Q So before you began at Bank of America what was the
    position you held prior to that?
    A So immediately prior to that I was employed on a
    part-time basis by a consulting firm who provided services to
    Deutsche Bank and I was working for that firm part time for
    about four months or three and a half months within Deutsche
    Bank's private wealth management business line -- not business
    line but with supporting their group.
    Q Just so l'm understanding that the consulting firm you
    were doing work for you in the process of providing consulting
    services to the Deutsche Bank private wealth management group;
    am I understanding that correctly?
    A Correct.
    Q And so before that consulting position what position
    did you hold?
    A So prior to that I had been the risk officer for the
    head of risk for Deutsche Bank's risk group covering their
    private wealth management business.
    Q And what was your title in that position?
    A I was a managing director of the bank.
    Q And how long did you hold that role?
    A I held that role from mid 2008 until the end of 2018.

1 Q And was mid 2018 the time you left Deutsche Bank?
2 A End of 2018 I was -- I left Deutsche bank.
3 Q And why did you leave Deutsche Bank in the end of 2018?
4 A My -- my employment was terminated.
5 Q Do you know why it was terminated?
6 A I believe it was for cutting -- cost cutting reasons.
7 Q Were you told anything about the reason in particular, 8 though?

A No other than just generally the cost cutting
10 environment that the bank was in.
Q At the time of your departure from Deutsche Bank how long had you been working with the company?

A So I had been working for Deutsche Bank or for Banker's
14 Trust which Deutsche Bank bought. I joined banker's Trust I
15 think in 1990 and I had taken one year off but apart from that
16 one year off the whole rest of the time I've been working for
17 either Banker's Trust until 1999 and then Deutsche Bank until 2018.

Q So going back to your role at credit risk management. If I could just focus on the period from 2011 through mid 2018, what were your responsibilities as the head of credit risk management?
A So I was the head of credit risk management covering 24 the private wealth management business under whatever name it was going by at the time and I ran a relatively small team of
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
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1 people. Our job was to do the policy work and the credit
2 approval work around any credit exposures that the credit wealth
3 management group was taking.
Q You mentioned the private wealth management business. What is that business? Could you describe it for us?

A It's the division of Deutsche Bank that was serving high net worth individuals and providing various products to them, including credit products.

Q And so you mentioned it was your job to examine the credit exposure. Could you just describe for us the sort of -what are you doing when you're looking at that exposure?
A So in very broad terms we're assessing the risk profile of a client in order to come up with an accurate risk rating, risk assessment of that client and also we are looking at the entire proposal for that -- the entire credit proposal to make sure it fits within the desired risk profile of the bank.
Q And so are you approving the credit decisions?
A Yes. We have the final -- the final approval on all credit decisions for those clients.

Q So I'd just like to go through a few of those. How would you go about assessing the risk profile of the client?
A We based our assessment of the risk profile of the client on their financial position, so we would look at the financial data that we had on the client typically from some sort of statement of financial condition, supplement it with
things such as validated and verified statements of -- from banks, from brokerage accounts. So we'd capture information about their financial position in a spreadsheet format and that information would then be used in our risk rating methodology to assess certain characteristics of that borrower -- financial characteristics mostly, but some other characteristics as well -- to assess those characteristics and that -- that was a mathematical model that generated a risk score that mapped to a probability default for that borrower.

Q And the - that probability default that would generate what kind of loan the customer would be able to obtain from the bank?

A Not specifically the type of loan that a client could obtain, but it would inform whether we were willing to do a loan at all and it would have an impact on the size of loan we were willing to do for that client and also the terms of the loan that we were willing to do for that client.
Q Okay. I believe you also mentioned that you prepared a proposal for credit. Is that similar to the type of terms that might be available to the customer?

A So the bank would have a credit memorandum and that memorandum would endeavor to capture all the relevant information that you need to make a decision about that credit. So it would cover things from short summary of who the client is, why they want the money, what the terms are of the
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transaction and then it would also summarize their financial condition and attach to that credit memorandum would be our assessment of the risk rating of the client.

Q And so that memorandum would spell out the terms of proposed transactions?
A Yes, yes.
Q And what are some of the factors in how a transaction would be structured that would affect the credit assessment that you and your team were making?

A I'm pausing because it's kind of a broad question.
There are -- I mean, many factors could affect it. So the first sort of given would be that the client was a client of the private wealth management business under their profile fitted what the bank wanted to do.

And secondly, would be the question of does the credit they want fit what the bank is comfortable in doing. So is it, for example, a real estate loan we're comfortable with.

And then maybe other client-specific factors driven by their financial condition or reputational matters or other matters that might gather the specific terms of the transaction.
Q You mentioned that one example of a loan that might be a real estate loan. Would those loans include, for example, collateral, those things might be apart of the assessment obtained?
A Yes, many of our loans have collateral.

Q And how would that affect the credit analysis that your team is performing at a high level?
A So we would -- part of the analysis would include an analysis of the collateral. So what's it worth today? And do we like it in the sense of is it good collateral that will sustain its value in the future.
Q And what would constitute good collateral that would sustain its value in the future?
A I mean, there were a number of different types of collateral a bank frequently took; commercial real estate, residential real estate, fine art, marketable securities, airplanes.
Q And how would you assess the -- what's good collateral versus some other kind of collateral?
A Well, in general terms -- in general terms, the point of collateral is to have a legal entitlement over an asset that if the loan defaults the bank can obtain title to that asset and effectively sell it one way or another. So that really drives what's good collateral and that is is there a market for that asset? Can it be sold? Are there any legal impediments? Can we deal with those and what's likely to happen to the value of the asset between the day when we make the loan and at some point in the future when we might need to take that asset and sell it.
Q And so how easy it is to take that asset and sell it is
. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
Page 986
what would make one piece of collateral superior to another one is that the --

A That's part of --
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained. Stricken.
Q So how would the factors you were discussing affect your view as to whether or not collateral is good?
A So first of all, I think I mentioned whether we could sell the collateral so that do -- you know, is there a deep market for that type of collateral? Do many people buy it or is it a specialist type of collateral, which only a few people would buy and it might have a much thinner market.

Secondly is a question of the volatility of the value of that collateral. Some types of collateral go up and down in value more than others and that would be a -- of importance to us.

Q So going back to your time in your credit risk at Deutsche Bank could you walk us through what the process was for how a client would obtain a loan through the private wealth management group?

A Sure. So the client would have a marketing officer called a relationship manager within the private wealth management business. They would interface with the client. Within the private wealth management business there was also a group of product specialists that we called lending officers and
their focus was on loans. So when a client wanted a loan, a relationship manager would interface would speak with the lending officer about what kind of loan the bank normally does and when they realize it was business to be done that there was a loan a bank would do, the lending officer was comfortable, it would fit into their portfolio of assets, they would document the proposed terms of the loan in a credit memorandum and that would come to my team for final approval. There may have been a bit of an iterative process prior to that where the lending officer spoke with members of my team about specific aspects of the transaction so that they would want to know that we at risk management were comfortable with those specific issues. But the memo would be prepared, it would come to my team for approval and then if we'd signed off on it, the loan would then move to be documented and finally being booked by the bank.

Whereupon, the transcript continues on the next
page....

Q You mentioned that lending officers would make the decision as to whether the loan fitted with their portfolio aspects. What is entailed in that analysis? What are they looking at when they're making a loan?
A Well, a lending -- I mean, the lending group is part of a business line that they're there to make money. They have a whole a number of products that they typically -- typically that's sold, if you like; loans, let's say for example, commercial real estate loans or residential real estate loans. So they had a number of products that they would typically sell and they wanted to make sure that they were taking the right risk profile for that business because they would carry any losses and they wanted to make sure that they were appropriately profitable because their business line was charged for the capital that they were using.

Q And a loss would be that if a loan doesn't pay, the loss would be on their books?

A Yes. MR. ROBERT: Objection. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q So whose books would the loss go on?
A So the profit and the loss account belonged to the business line. In this case, private wealth management.

Q So in the process, you were describing your group, the credit risk group, would be the last one to sign off on the

\section*{proposal; is that correct?}

A Yes.
Q Did credit risk management need to sign off on the
final loan terms before a loan could be originated?
MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Did you have --
THE COURT: Let me just mention this whole objection/sustained. You can't ask leading questions, "leading," questions that suggest an answer. There is an exception for introductory material, but now we are getting into the weeds. You can basically ask him how does it all work or how does this particular thing work or that particular thing. You can't put words in his moth and then say is that the way it works.
Q So what did your group need to do before a loan could be originated?

A So my group needed to sign off on the credit memorandum that I mentioned earlier. So we needed to be comfortable with the terms that were contained in the credit memorandum, so we were willing to sign off and approve it. And without our approval, the loan operations area would not book any loan and typically the credit memorandum would be sent to our legal counsel, external legal counsel who were documenting any loan. Q And who within the credit risk group would do that,
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provide authorization?
A There are a number of credit officers in my group and each one had different levels of credit authority delegated to it by the bank's chief risk officer. It was a process of delegating credit authority. There were limits on that credit authority that reflected the size of the loan and the risk rating of the counterparty.
\(Q\) And so did you have credit authority?
A I did.
Q And what was the level of your credit authority?
A Well, it varied depending on how risky the client was, so for the least risky client, I seem to remember it was 500 million euro, but that fell rapidly when you -- when we got down to clients who were non-investment grade and I think the low investment grade was more like 15 million euro. I don't remember precisely.

Q Mr. Haigh we're going to hand you a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 293.

Mr. Haigh, would you just take a moment to familiarize yourself with this exhibit.
A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A This is a credit memorandum for the transaction
covering golf course resort hotel in Miami.
Q And if we just look at the top corner here under beneficial owner, it says Donald J. Trump. Do you recognize him as the -- what is his role in that transaction?

A So he is the beneficial owner of the legal vehicle that owned golf course, so resort. And he was also a guarantor, a personal guarantor on the transaction.

MR. WALLACE: If we could just turn quickly to page
five.
Q Do you recognize your signature on the far right-hand page here?

A Yes, that's my signature.
Q What is indicated by your signature there?
A My approval of the transaction terms contained in the memorandum.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we'd ask that this document be entered into evidence.

MR. ROBERT:
THE COURT: Overruled. I will consider it a
standing objection. Overruled. Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
People's Exhibit 293.)
Q Is there any significance to your signature being on the far right?
N. HAIGH - DIRECT (MR. WALLACE)
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1 A I think the convention of Deutsche Bank was to arrange the business on the left, credit officers on the right, and then I think in the States, we went from left to right in terms of seniority, but that wasn't written down anywhere that it had to be done that way.

Q So you were the most senior credit officer signing this document?

A That's correct.
Q And does that mean you are the credit officer approving this document?

A Well, Sean Harrigan is the other risk management officer and we together were able to approve the document.

Q If we go back to the first page, I just would like to
walk through some of the top. Can we see it says PWM regional and there is a checkmark there. What's indicated by that notation?
A I think it means that it needed to be approved the PWM regional level wherein the United States.

Q And that was -- is that the region you were working in?

A Yes, I was in the United States. Yes.
Q Down below, there is a checkbox next to new request. What's indicated by that?
A A new credit request. We didn't already have a credit for this -- for this borrower.

Q And is that based on the borrower or the loan that's being paid?

\section*{A You know,}
 10 acceptable to the lender end bracket]." What does that indicate?

A So it stood for "to be decided." Then in parenthesis, special purpose vehicle acceptable to the lender.
Q Is there a reason there is not a specific borrower designated yet?
A I think the reason would be that either we did not know 17 the specific legal name of the legal vehicle that it would be or 18 maybe that vehicle still needed to be set up and yet didn't exist.

A Yes, we did.
23 Q If we go back to the box that has Donald Trump as the 24 beneficial owner at the top, there is a line or two below, it 25 says, "REL manager. It states, "Vrablic/Scalzi." What is
N. HAIGH - DIRECT (MR. WALLACE)
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indicated by this line?
A It stands for relationship manager and the chief relationship manager for this relationship was Rosemary Vrablic and her partner is Dominick Scalzi.

Q And I think you described it at a general level, but what role did they play in this transaction?

A They were responsible for handling communications with the client, providing services to the client of all the services that the bank offered.

Q If we go two lines below, which states AC manager, the name Sullivan after it, what's indicated on this line?
A I think it stands for account manager. Tom Sullivan was the lending -- the senior lending officer from the business line on this relationship.
Q And you described it at a high level. What role did Mr. Sullivan play in this transaction?
A So his group was responsible -- it was their credit portfolio. He was responsible for making sure that they made loans on terms that they were comfortable with.

Q And you had mentioned, I think, that it went on -- the profit and loss went on someone's balance sheet.

Is Mr. Sullivan then the person that had the profit and loss on his balance sheet?

A He wasn't the head of that group, but he was part of that group.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Objection to leading, your Honor.

THE COURT: Can you rephrase the question, so it is not leading.

MR. WALLACE: Sure, your Honor.
Q Was there anything significant -- I'll just withdraw the question.

The next line states "supporting" and lists the name
Stafford. What role -- what is that identifying? Or actually, can I just clarify on the document? Is it supporting lenders and there are two names? Is that how this should be read?

A That's right.
Q So the line states "supporting lenders." It says
Stafford/Schroeder. What's indicated by that line?
A Those two officers worked for Tom Sullivan and they were part of the lending group. They were lending officers within the bank.

MR. WALLACE: If we could scroll down on this document a little bit.
Q The box here states collateral. The first paragraph reads, "A first mortgage lien and a first priority security interest in the Doral Golf Resort and Spa located in Miami, Florida, (the resort), including the borrower's fee, simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all other related assets."

\section*{N. HAIGH - DIRECT (MR. WALLACE)}
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What's being indicated in this section here?
A So it's right at the start of this credit memo. It is giving us an idea of the collateral that will be provided for the loan.
Q If we look at the next paragraph in the middle, there is a sentence that begins, "Based on the \(\$ 150\) million cost, our initial LTV will be 83.3 percent. However, borrowing under the facility will be equal to the lesser of; \(i, 125\) million; and \(i i\), up to 85 percent of the appraised value subject to the satisfactory review of DB's Valuation Services Group. I'll stop right there. Just ask you to identify what's meant by a few words. What's LTV?

A LTV means loan to value.
Q So I think loan is the value of what?
A The \(\$ 150\) million. So 83.3 percent, our loan would be a loan up to \(\$ 125\) million would be 83.3 percent of \(\$ 150\) million.

Q And where it says "the facility," what is that referring to?
A The credit facility.
Q And so ii states that it is subject to the appraised
value -- actually, let me rephrase that. Up to 85 percent of the appraised value subject to the satisfactory review of DB's Valuation Group Services. Why is there a requirement to obtain an appraised value?
A This is a loan collateralized with commercial real
estate, so there is a requirement from the bank to get an appraisal -- an independent appraisal on behalf of the bank of the value of the collateral real estate.
Q So because the real estate is the collateral for this loan, the bank has an obligation to get an appraisal? Am I stating that correctly?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: We are getting to the point of the witness says something and the attorney is asking is that what you were meaning.

MR. ROBERT: He's trying to clarify it, so Mr. Wallace is testifying. This witness is certainly capable of answering questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, is there another way around this?

MR. WALLACE: I think it was an appropriate clarifying question. I did not suggest what the answer would be to the witness.

THE COURT: Well, you suggested what you think he was really trying to say, right or something that like a simplified version of that.
Q So why is the appraisal necessary? Could you, please, restate that?

A All loans secured on real estate require an independent appraisal commissioned by the bank.
N. HAIGH - DIRECT (MR. WALLACE)
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Q And are appraisals required on loans that are not secured by real estate?
A For loans that are not secured by real estate, appraisals are not required by regulation in all cases; but sometimes by bank policy, they are required.
Q Go to the bottom of this section, it states, that "the facility will also be supported by a full and unconditional guarantee provided by DJT of little one, principal and interest due under the facility; and two, operating shortfalls of the resort. It being understood that borrower shall be permitted to utilize all revenues from the resort to operate the resort to reduce the amount of such shortfall."

First, who is DJT has referenced there?
A Donald Trump.
Q Can you explain for me what Mr. Trump is guaranteeing here?
A He's guaranteeing that he will repay our loan, all the
monies due under the loan under Roman one; and under Roman two,
he's also guaranteeing that if the resort is not -- is losing
money, he will pay the cost of that shortfall.
Q If you look at the sentence just below, it states, "for collateral monitoring purposes, the facility is being underwritten as other secured." What does that mean?
A It's a categorization of a type of collateral that we 5 have.
\(1 \quad Q\) And what does it mean that it is other secured? 2 A So it means that it is secured in that we have collateral and the other box is because -- or the other descriptor is because the type of collateral didn't fit cleanly into the typical types of collateral that the bank took.
Q Mr. Haigh, when you say the bank, are you talking about your group or Deutsche Bank writ large?
A I'm talking about the private wealth management business.
Q Why isn't the Doral Golf Resort \& Spa collateral that the bank typically took?
A Although the collateral was commercial real estate in the largest sense of those words, the specific use of the collateral as a golf resort and spa is a specialist use that was not a typical type of collateral that the wealth management group took for its loans.
Q And did that fact have any impact on how you analyzed this potential credit?
A Yes, it's relevant to how -- to the analysis of the credit.
Q And how would it be relevant?
A It's relevant because it affects the ability of the
bank in extremis to sell the collateral. A golf course, golf resorts are relatively uncommon compared to other types of commercial real estate and the number of buyers for those

\section*{N. HAIGH - DIRECT (MR. WALLACE)}
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resorts is more limited, and so it would most likely be harder for the bank to sell should it have to foreclose on the collateral.
Q You mentioned earlier, you said something about the bank acting in extremis. What did you mean by that?
A I meant by -- in extremis, I meant should the bank face a default where it had to try and foreclose or in some other way take ownership of collateral.

MR. WALLACE: We can take down this segment, but if we could flip to the third page.
Q There is a box up top that states, "repayment sources, key risks and mitigants." It lists a primary source of repayment, a secondary source of repayment and a tertiary source of repayment.

I would like to direct your attention to this section, tertiary source of repayment. It says, "full and unconditional guarantee of DJT which eliminates any shortfall associated with operating and liquidating collateral."

What does it mean that the guarantee was a tertiary source of repayment?
A So when a bank is making a loan or Deutsche Bank is going to make a loan, it wanted to identify the likely ways it was going to get repaid and the third one, the tertiary one in this case, was a way of the bank getting repaid that they are not relying on the collateral itself as the source of that
repayment.


A No.
Q Is the fact that a tertiary source of repayment, does that mean it is less important than the other source of repayment that's listed?
A No, it is not less important.
Q If we look down at the next box, it states, "recommendation" and it reads, "The facility is being recommended for approval based on financial strength of the guarantor. The financial profile of the guarantor includes on an adjusted basis, 135 million in unencumbered liquidity, 2.4 billion in net worth and approximately 48 million in adjusted recurring net cash flow."

Is there any significance to this being a first basis for approval in this segment?
A I think that indicates that it is significant -- those are significant facts in the analysis of the risk.
Q The next item listed, it states, "Nature of the guarantee. The nature of the guarantee which includes both principal and interest along with operating expenses of the resort."

What was it about the nature of the guarantee that made it a basis for recommending the loan?
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A The guarantee ensured that we had a legal obligation from an individual with the financial wherewithal to repay us if necessary.


Q If you could turn to page five of this document and go to the section entitled, "financial analysis." It's below your signature. At a high level, can you tell me what is reflected in this segment of the report?
A So this section of the report is a summary of the client's financial profile. It is broken down on this table by the kind of assets, the kind of liabilities that they have, and it's got some key ratios from our risk management around cash flow, leverage, liquidity.
(Continued on the next page.)
\(1 \quad\) Q So where are those key ratios reflected on here just so I'm looking in the right place?

A Towards the bottom of the table, the bottom four rows and the heading immediately above it.

Q So it's the -- on this section, "key ratios on secured lending guidelines?"

A That's correct.
Q And why are those key ratios for the bank?
A Those are key ratios because they are ratios that we commonly use to measure and compare one client financial profiling against another and our documents and the lending guidelines would refer to those key ratios and then assessment of whether or not a client met or -- met somewhat all of those key ratios.

Q Go back to the top of this section. It states:
"Guarantor's function summary. Although facility is being extended to an SPV for the purposes of financing the purchase of the resort, the credit exposure is being recommended primarily based on the financial profile of the guarantor."

Why is the financial profile of the guarantor the primary basis for recommending this loan?
A The wealth management business at Deutsche Bank would not make loans secured just on collateral without a strong financial guarantee or personal guarantee from a financially strong person. Given that this was unusual collateral as a golf
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
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resort and spa, we would not really want to have to foreclose on that collateral and so we would most likely first look to the guarantor to remedy any default -- payment default on the loan.

THE COURT: Ten-minute warning.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q If we look at the next part of that paragraph it
states: "As part of this underwriting we have met with several members of the family office to conduct due diligence on the client reported financial information as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm."

I'll pause there just for a moment to say what is the family office that's being referred to here?

A I don't know specifically. I think it's referring to people that work for Mr. Trump in handling his personal -personal matters.

Q Do you know who -- it says that "we have met with the office and conducted underwriting." Who is the "we" that have been doing the due diligence?

A So this memo was prepared by lending officers. I imagine in this case it would have been Tom Sullivan and Kirk Stafford and Emily Schroeder who are the lending officers on this transaction. I'm not sure whether all of them met with the family office or whether it was just some of those people.
Q And are you familiar with what due diligence they did that's being described in this memo?

A Not in detail.
Q We'll look at the next sentence. It states:
"Based on results of this due diligence, we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values."

What is the nature of the adjustments that they are making to the reported values?

A So the DB adjusted numbers on the right hand column of that table and they are marking down asset values, not liabilities but asset values in various different asset categories.
Q And do you have an understanding what the basis was for marking down those asset values?

A So the reason for marking down the asset values is that the bank wants to be comfortable that in a -- in a stressed scenario with a client the individual is under financial pressure, asset values have fallen, the bank wants to know that it can still have a strong guarantor as its potential source of employment. Specifically how they did it in each specific case, I think I'd have to read further into the credit memo to determine.

Q If we look at the last sentence in this first paragraph, it states:
"Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional details are included in the

Page 1006
guarantor's financial statements which is attached at exhibits" -- I think that's Roman Numeral II.

5 A Yes, I did.
Q Mr. Haigh, you've been handed a document that has been designated Plaintiff's Exhibit 330. I will just note that at the bottom it has a Deutsche Bank production number, DB-NYAG 011946 . Do you recognize this document?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And what is it?
A
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would ask that this be entered into evidence.

MR. ROBERT
THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 330 in evidence.)
Q Mr. Haigh, is this the document you would have reviewed in connection with the credit report we were just looking at?

A Yes.
Q Do you recall what you were looking for when you reviewed this report?
A
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\section*{term "estimated current values?"}

A My understanding of that term would be that it is estimated in the sense of they don't necessarily have appraisals or market evidence for the value of all of those assets, but it's estimating what they're worth as of the date of the financial statement, June 30, 2011.

Q Just to look at the next paragraph below. It states:
"Such valuation methods include but are not limited to the use of appraisals, capitalization of anticipated earnings, recent sales and offers, and estimates of current values as determined by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and in some instances, outside professionals."

I just want to direct your attention to the list where it states "use of appraisals, capitalization of anticipated earnings, recent sales and offers and estimates of current values as determined by Mr. Trump."

Are you familiar with those valuation techniques for the assets that are listed on Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A So getting appraisals by independent appraisals is a common valuation appraisal method. Capitalizing anticipated earnings is offered on a lot of the methods that goes into developing an appraisal. It has also comparisons with recent sales and potentially offers for assets.

The piece about the estimates of current values as
determined by Mr. Trump, to some extent I'm familiar with in the sense that whenever a statement is made that is not prepared by auditors it contains estimates of value, they're informed by the management typically and so that's not uncommon to see something of that sort in a cover letter.

THE COURT: All right. Let's take a 15 -minute
break in ten minutes - no, it's the opposite. We're taking a ten-minute break in 15 minutes. See you all at a quarter of.
(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Notice it always feels like it's only ten minutes, but it really was 15 minutes.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Let's continue.

\section*{CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. WALLACE:}

Q Mr. Haigh, when we broke we were talking about your review of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition. I just want to know when you reviewed that statement did you make any assumptions as to the accuracy of the representations it contained?

A I assumed that the representations of value of the assets and liabilities were broadly accurate.

MR. WALLACE: If we could go back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 293. If we could go back to page six again.
\(Q\) If we zoom at the top, this is the continuation of the financial analysis. I just want to highlight for you this segment, which says: "Real estate net equity."
"The following table summarizes the guarantor's total real estate portfolio as of \(6 / 31 / 11\), which reflects the guarantor's four fully owned trophy properties, the portfolio of ten wholly-owned club facilities, other major property interests, and properties currently under development."

I'll just pause there. I assume \(6 / 31\) is a typographical error. June didn't have 31 days in \(2011 ?\)

A I think if my memory serves me correctly --
THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice that June has 30 days, not 31 .
Q Do you have an understanding of -- well, first of all, what is meant by the term "trophy properties?"

A That was a phrase that was used within private wealth management lending group and credit risk management to identify four significantly sized assets that we believed we could get a better understanding by ourselves of their potential market value.
Q So the term "trophy properties" is specific to this
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\hline 1 THE COURT: Sustained. I don't see the technique & 1 some of the words here. What is renovation period? \\
\hline 2 there. I see the conclusion. & 2 A So there was a -- the first part of this loan, this \\
\hline 3 Q Do you know why for purposes of the analysis in the & 3 piece of real estate was undergoing renovation or improvements \\
\hline 4 credit report, the \(\$ 1.3\) billion in reported value of the club & 4 and so its cash flow would have been lower at that time. \\
\hline 5 facilities was reduced by 50 percent for deriving an adjusted & 5 Q Can you just explain to me what is meant by LIBOR plus \\
\hline 6 value? & 62.25 percent? \\
\hline 7 A The value & 7 A So LIBOR stands for London Interbank Offering Rate and \\
\hline & 8 here it represents loosely the cost of the bank funding itself. \\
\hline & 9 So it was a cost the bank has to pay for having monies that it \\
\hline & 10 then lends out to all the clients. Loosely. \\
\hline ve were in bad market & 11 And the 2.25 percent on top of that LIBOR index \\
\hline 12 conditions and we needed to seek repayment from the client and & 12 represents, loosely, income for the bank and together they \\
\hline 13 the client's assets had fallen in value, 50 percent represented & 13 represent the interest rate that the client pays for whatever \\
\hline 14 a number which would be significant and yet we could still look & 14 interest period that the client chooses. \\
\hline 15 at those assets and see whether there was any equity in those & 15 The transcript continues on the following page.... \\
\hline 16 assets, meaning -- & 16 \\
\hline 17 MR. WALLACE: We can take this down. & 17 \\
\hline 18 Q Can we take a look at page two, Exhibit 293? And if we & 18 \\
\hline 19 look at the segment entitled "collateral." Just zoom on that & 19 \\
\hline 20 it's up a little. And the section marked "guaranteed" -- can & 20 \\
\hline 21 you zoom on that just a little? & 21 \\
\hline 22 This section states: "A first mortgage and a first & 22 \\
\hline 23 priority security interest in the resort, including the & 23 \\
\hline 24 borrower's fee, simple estates, all personal property, leases, & 24 \\
\hline 25 rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves, and all other & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(1 \quad Q\) Look at the next line, the post renovation period. I think the explanation of that is implied by your description of what the renovation period was.

What was the post renovation period?
A So post renovation period is that period after the renovations have been completed or largely completed. At which point, the bank anticipates that the income from the real estate would be higher than previously.

Q So why is there a difference between the renovation period rate and the post renovation period rate?


MR. WALLACE: If we can just back out of this for a moment and just look at the whole page.
Q This section is headed "facility details." Is there an interaction between each of those terms and your decision on whether or not to approve this loan?

MR. KISE: Objection, interaction.
THE COURT: As to the wording interaction?
MR. KISE: I'm not sure I even understand the question.

MR. WALLACE: The question is whether the witness understands.

THE COURT: Right. I was going to say.
I am going to ask the witness do you understand the
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question enough to answer it or no?
THE WITNESS: I believe so.
THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.
A So the contractual terms of the loan in the broadest sense that are captured on this page, they are part of the risk profile of that loan facility, and so they are part of -- those are the items that I am approving with my approval, so it is important to understand what those terms are.

THE COURT: Did that answer the question?
MR. WALLACE: I was going to try and rephrase it a little.

MR. KISE: I'm not sure it did.
THE COURT: You deem that withdrawn, stricken and try again?

MR. WALLACE: Maybe I'll use it later. Can we keep it on the record? I'm happy -- we can withdraw it. I will rephrase the question. I would be happy for it to be withdrawn.

THE COURT: Then the answer is stricken.
Q Does the existence of the guarantee have any impact on the interest rate in these terms?
A Yes, it does.
Q And what is the nature of the impact of the guarantee on the interest rate terms?

A So there is a given that there will be a guarantee in
the first place because wealth management did not do business without personal guarantees. In addition, the strength under which that guarantee will be reflected in the pricing of the loan, the spread to the bank in other words. Also, in the terms of the loan including its size.

Q When you said spread and pricing, that's the
2.5 percent over -- we were talking about 2.25 ?

A The spread is at 2.25 percent number.
MR. WALLACE: If we could just turn to page 11 of this document.
Q If we take a look at the box at the top of the page, it is entitled "covenants." Were these covenants relevant to your decision to approve the loan?
A Yes.
Q What is the significance of the covenants to your decision to approve the loan?
A Loan covenants inside the loan documentation provide protection to the bank against deterioration in either the real estate itself or in the guarantor's financial position.
Q If we look at the top under the heading "guarantor," it states -- there's two for guarantor. First one states, "business financial statement, guarantor to provide a financial statement annually dated within 90 days of \(12 / 31\)." The next segment for guarantor states "personal financial statement."

I just want to understand, is there a difference

HAIGH - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON)
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between a business financial statement and a personal financial statement listed here?

A I think in this case, the business financial statement was an illusion to the financial statements of the legal entity that would be on the loan and the personal financial statement is Donald Trump's entire personal financial statement.

Q Looking at the second bullet, then it states, "guarantor, personal financial statement. Guarantor to provide a financial statement annually dated within 90 days of \(12 / 31\)."

Why did the guarantor have to provide an annual financial statement?

A The bank wants to be sure that the client's financial strength is being maintained and also the bank wants to be able to test its covenants periodically.
Q So I'll just read into the record the next point below that. It says, "compliance certificate, guarantor to provide a compliance certificate annually dated within 90 days of \(12 / 31\) and lender will have right to confirm required liquidity through review of account statements at the offices of the guarantor."

Is that what you were referring to in being able to test?
A Yes, that's how the bank would test its covenants. That's how it would get the information to test its covenants, but to be clear, the compliance statement is a statement by the client that they are in compliance with all of those financial
covenants. The bank would use the financial information that they provided to test itself to try and ensure that the client is in compliance with those covenants.
Q Okay. So the -- there's a requirement that the guarantor make a certification, but then there is a requirement to provide information that the bank can use to confirm the accuracy of the certification? Am I understanding that correctly?

A That is correct.
Q If we look down at the financial covenants, it states -- and this is during renovation period -- "required only until post renovation period begins. Liquidity, guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquidity at all times (defined as unrestricted cash or marketable securities convertible to cash within five business days that is not pledged to support any obligation of at least 50 million with at least 20 million to be maintained with the lender.) This cash will not be pledged as collateral."

Why did the guarantor have to maintain unencumbered liquidity of \(\$ 50\) million during the renovation period?
A The liquidity covenant would be the loan documents was intended to ensure that the client always had sufficient cash on hand to meet the obligations under this loan in terms of ongoing interest or potential pay-downs or partial pay-downs of the loan principal.

\section*{AIGH - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON)}
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Q If you look two lists down, it states, "net worth guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of 2.5 billion excluding any value related to the guarantor's brand value (as such minimum net worth is reflected in guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition prepared by guarantor in substantially the form prepared by guarantor as of the date of this term sheet, a copy of which will be delivered to lender.)"

So why is the bank requiring the guarantor to maintain a minimum net worth of \(\$ 2.5\) billion?
A In order to ensure that the financial guarantor
remained strong with enough wherewithal to repay our loan should we need to be repaid.

Q That net worth is to be used to determine by guarantor Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q How is that \(\$ 2.5\) million net worth to be established?
A The loan documents --
MR. KISE: Objection, foundation.
THE COURT: Excuse me?
MR. KISE: Objection, foundation. I don't know that this witness knows.

MR. WALLACE: At some point, he is reading the document. He said he prepared the document.

MR. KISE: He did not say he prepared the document.

Let's be clear.

18 Q Did you have to understand the terms that are being laid out in this credit report in order to undertake your responsibility to approve the loan?
A Yes, I needed to understand those terms.
Q Did you have any input in the decision to set a minimum net worth of \(\$ 2.5\) billion?

A Yes.
Q Why did you determine to set -- what was your role in

\section*{HAIGH - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON)}
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helping to determine the \(\$ 2.5\) billion number?
A As part of a credit risk management team, the ultimate signer, I need to be comfortable with the terms of the loan including the covenants to protect the bank. That \(\$ 2.5\) billion minimum net worth was one of those covenants and it was set in order to make sure that the bank would be fully protected under adverse market conditions.
 of the credit exposure the bank is undertaking, and the other of which is the client's starting point, their initial net worth because the bank would want to set a covenant at a level that allowed for the client's assets to fall in value somewhat, but would still be triggered should that their assets fall substantially such that their financial risk profile would be changing.
Q Just to clarify, if we look under the next header, "post renovation period," it says, 'in lieu of renovation period covenants." The liquidity requirement is not -- does not appear in that section; is that correct?
A I don't -- I don't see on this page.
MR. WALLACE: Scroll down, so we can see the whole states, "events of default and cure periods". It states just at the top, "usual and customary for facilities of this size, type and purpose, including but not limited to A , payment default. B , breach of representation and warranties. C , violation of covenants."

What would a payment default consist of?
A A payment default would be a failure to make some payment due to the bank lender's loan documentation on time.

Q And what would a breach of representation or warranties consist of?
A It would be literally a breach of the representations of warranties that are made in the loan documents at the time they are signed.
Q And what would a violation of covenants consist of?
25 A It would be a failure with regard to those financial
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covenants to maintain the ratios or numbers that are set out in those covenants.
Q So does it only relate to the financial covenants?
A No, it would relate to any covenant. So a loan has many, many covenants not all financial and it would cover all the covenants of a loan.

Q What would happen on a loan in the event of a default?
A If there is a default on a loan, a default on a loan
covenant, the -- so if there is a default on the loan covenant, there's been a breach of the - it is a contractual arrangement between the bank and the borrower. The bank has various remedies for that which it can pursue like waiving the breach, which it might do for an inconsequential breach; negotiating some variation of the terms of the loan, or potentially accelerating the loan and ask for repayment.

MR. WALLACE: I think we can put that document aside for now.
Q Mr. Haigh, you've been handed a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 291. Could you just take a moment to review this document.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
N. HAIGH - DIRECT (MR. SOLOMON)
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remind us again what is indicated by the fact that it is a new request?
A It is a new loan asset for the bank.
Q And do you remember generally the terms of this loan or
the -- let me -- may I withdraw that question, your Honor? THE COURT: Withdrawn.
Q Can you describe for us generally what is the nature of the loan that's reflected in this credit report?

A It's a credit facility secured on commercial real estate.

Q And at a high level, would the review or analysis that you and your team conducted be the same for this credit facility as the one we were just looking at?

A Yes, it would.
Q If we could turn to -- if you look at the bottom of this box, it states, 'the facilities will also be further supported by a full and unconditional guarantee provided by DJT of little I, principal and interest due under the facility. And two, operating shortfalls of the collateral property as defined herein."

Does this guarantee operate in the same manner as the guarantee that we saw on the earlier loan document, on the earlier report that you were testifying about?

A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we can go to page five of this

\section*{document.}

2 Q If we go down to the section marked "recommendation," I 3 will first ask who is making the recommendation that's contained in this box?
A The lending officers that prepared the memo.
6 Q And who is the recommendation to?
7 A Credit risk management, my team.
Q If we look at the top of this segment, it states, "the
facility is being recommended for approval based on quality of
10 the collateral and LTV. The property is in the form of a luxury
11 hotel and condominium building located in Chicago based on
12 recent as is values provided in appraisals as discussed herein
13 and performed for purposes of underlying needs of the
14 facilities. The residential component is valued at 113 million
15
16
17
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regulation, the bank was required to obtain appraisals on real estate.
Q If we look at the next bullet point, it states,
"financial strength of the guarantor, the financial profile of
the guarantor includes on an adjusted basis 146 million in unencumbered liquidity, 2.4 billion in net worth, and
approximately 13 million in adjusted excess recurring net cash flow."

Why was the financial strength of the guarantor one of the grounds for recommending this loan?
A Because having a guarantee was a requirement for private wealth manager to do business and having a strong financial guarantor was a requirement for this transaction.
Q I guess does that have any implication for the third
bullet point we are seeing here, the nature of the guarantee? Let me rephrase that question.

What was the relevance and nature of the guarantee to the recommendation to approve this loan?
A It reflects -- the nature of the guarantee reflects the
20 fact that we had a full guarantee with no limitations on that 21 guarantee.
22 Q If we could turn to page seven of this document. There 23 is a segment again that's headed "financial analysis, guarantor.
24 You see at the top, it states, "guarantors-financial statements.
25 Although facilities are secured by the collateral, given its

1 unique nature, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the guarantor."

Do you have an understanding what is meant by the unique nature of the collateral?

A So unique is probably a bit of a hyperbole because it is unusual for the private wealth management business to have hotels as collateral and it is unique for private wealth management business to have a condo inventory as collateral, unsold condo units.

Q If we look at the table, we see that there's financial summary segment. One row -- sorry. One column states, "DJT 6/30/2011 client reported. Next column states "DJT, 6/30/2011 DB adjusted." Next column states, "DJT 6/30/12 client reported." And the final column states, DJT 6/30/2012 DB adjusted."

First question, why are the years 2011 and 2012 both broken out in this chart?
A We had financial information of two years at least and the aim was to show or to identify whether there's any trend in changes between -- from one year to the other.
Q We see that both the 2011 and 2012 years, there are DJT adjusted numbers. Did the adjustment process that's reflected in this table operate in the same way as the adjustment process we saw on the prior exhibit?

A Yes, it did.

MR. WALLACE: If we could just scroll down to -- actually, page -- can you show side by side page eight of this exhibit and page six of PX 293. Just zoom in on the table, please.
Q So if we look at the two tables, it would appear that for 2011 and for 2012, the valuations and the analysis for three of the Niketown, 40 Wall, and Trump Park Avenue remain the same. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q But for Trump Towers, there's a change. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do see that.
Q And if we can just pull up exhibit -- we can drop it now for 291, please, and just go down to the section on Trump Tower. That's on page eight. So there's another line segment that states -- underlying section that states, "the loan is non-recourse." I'm going to focus on the sentence that's after that.
"The loan is non-recourse and matures in 2022." It says, "a recent appraisal performed in conjunction with the refinance valued the property at \(\mathbf{4 8 0}\) million resulting in a roughly 21 percent of LTV. Although, we did not adjust for the additional liquidity distributed to the guarantor, we are adjusting the property value to reflect the recent appraisal and new debt."
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Page 1035 \\
Can I ask so why did Deutsche Bank incorporate this new appraisal into its analysis? \\
A \(\square\) \\
felt that it had an independent view on the value of that asset. \\
Q \(\qquad\) \\
A Correct. \\
Q Mr. Haigh, you've been handed a document that's been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 470. Can you take a moment to familiarize yourself with this document. \\
A Okay. \\
Q Do you recognize this document? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And what is it? \\
A It's a memo prepared by my colleague Sean Harrigan, amended by me, addressed to my boss, Thomas Eggenschweiler. (Continued on the next page.)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace) \\
their -- decided their credits. \\
Q The first sentence in this segment states: \\
"CIB terms for the condos" and continues -- before we \\
go there, I would just ask what is CIB? \\
A Stands for commercial and investment bank. They had a \\
commercial real estate lending group that would make loans on commercial real estate. \\
THE COURT: Ten-minute warning. \\
Q And that is separate and apart from your private wealth management group? \\
A That is a different business line and a different profit and loss line. \\
Q Memo states: \\
"CIB terms for the condos for the lesser of 75 MIO or \\
55 percent LTV; two year (one year extension option required \\
amortization and reserve account on a nonrecourse basis at \(L\) \\
plus 800 BP CIB as indicated to PWM if they are comfortable with this LTV given (i) their long history with the property and (ii) the momentum in condo sales that has been demonstrated for the last two years." \\
Just ask you to define some of the terms that are contained in there. What is L plus 800 BP ? \\
A Stands for LIBOR plus 800 basis points and there are 100 basis points and one percent, so that means LIBOR plus eight \\
25 percent.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
```

N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace) <br>
Q And just to clarify for the record, the memos andNone

```
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace) \\
Q And why are you discussing the CIB terms? \\
A Two business divisions at Deutsche Bank were making \\
proposals on the same potential loan and as -- we wanted to be \\
sure that they made sense with regard to each other so the bank didn't look foolish in front of the client with two completely different sets of term sheets that bore no relation to each other. \\
Q And why is nonrecourse basis involved here? \\
A The commercial and investment bank business covered real estate. It would not normally take full recourse to an individual. Their principal source of repayment would be the real estate itself and only the real estate, although there may be some small guarantees around certain behaviors and the pricing reflects that risk profile that they were taking on. \\
Q And if we look at the next paragraph, it states: \\
"PWM is proposing terms on a full recourse facility to \\
provide up to the same level of proceeds as CIB with the same two-year term for pricing in the range of L plus four percent. \\
19 The spread differential is based on a full guarantee of Donald \\
20 Trump. See credits below." \\
21 So you said that the bank didn't want to look foolish in front of the client and was comparing terms? \\
A Correct. \\
Q And so this is the comparison that you're making between the two divisions of the bank?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A That's correct. The difference between a nonrecourse facility and a full recourse facility and their different pricing.
Q And by pricing that would be the difference between LIBOR plus 800 and LIBOR plus four percent?

A That's correct, the spread over LIBOR.
Q And so the difference between LIBOR plus eight percent and LIBOR plus five percent is based on full guarantee of Donald J. Trump?

A Yes.
Q I'm going to correct the record. I apparently read it incorrectly.

So the difference between LIBOR plus 800 basis points and LIBOR plus four percent is based on the full guarantee of Donald J. Trump. Is that what's reflected in this memo?

A That math is correct and that is the understanding of why there is a difference in price.

THE COURT: Several of us caught you on that one.
MR. WALLACE: I'm glad I have all of you here to be
--
Your Honor, I'm going to move on to a new year and a new loan. I don't know if you want to take our break now. THE COURT: Up to you. You have five minutes. MR. WALLACE: Sure. Why don't we at least introduce the document.
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
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THE COURT: You know what, on second thought, let's all have a nice long lunch. See you at 2:15.

THE COURT OFFICER: Everyone remain seated. (Whereupon, there is a luncheon recess in the proceedings.)

\section*{AFTERNOON SESSION}

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Let's get the witness back.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(The witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I'll remind the witness that he's still under oath as I remind all witnesses during lunch breaks. And let's proceed or continue.

\section*{CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. WALLACE:}

Q Good afternoon, Mr. Haigh. I just wanted to cover something I missed concerning Exhibit 293, it's the 2011 credit report. If we could go to page six and look at the bottom.

At the bottom here there is a segment that reads:
"Properties under Development."
It states: "Consists primarily of the property known as The Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford, New York, which

1

A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What is this?
A It's a credit report covering a new transaction and the renewal of the existing transactions and an increase on the third transaction all captured in one credit report for this group, this relationship.

MR. WALLACE: I'm sorry to interrupt you. If we can just turn quickly to the page 11 of this document.
Q I can show you on the screen, Mr. Haigh.
Is that your signature on the far right of the screen?
A Yes, it is.
Q And what is indicated by your signature on this document?

A My approval of the terms in the document.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask that this exhibit be moved into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in evidence. (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 293 in evidence.)
Q While we're here we have the signature of
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace) \\
1 & Mr. Eggenschweiler. Have I pronounced it correctly? \\
2 & Why is he signing this report? \\
3 & A \(\quad\) The combined exposure to the group was above my \\
4 & authority level given the rating of the facilities. \\
5 & \(\quad\) MR. WALLACE: Okay. If we can go back to the first \\
6 & page? \\
7 & Q There is a box towards the top entitled, "Reason for \\
8 & Presentation." Is this what you were referring to when you were \\
9 & describing the purpose of this credit report? \\
10 & A Yes. \\
11 & Q So the first Item A states: "Annual review of Trump \\
12 & Endeavor 12 LLC loan facility." Says "request to change annual \\
13 & review date to 4/30/15." \\
14 & What is encompassed in an annual review of the Trump \\
15 & Endeavor 12 LLC facility? \\
16 & A We do an annual review of every loan facility that we \\
17 & have to see whether anything has changed. We refresh the \\
18 & financial information, we look at the operating performance of \\
19 & the collateral and there is a request here to change the date I \\
20 & think for administrative reasons to make it more convenient \\
21 & along with the other facilities that existed for this group. \\
22 & Q And so I think you referred to the -- what's being \\
23 & reviewed as part of the annual review? \\
24 & A We're reviewing the credit exposure that we have, so \\
25 & has it performed in accordance with the terms of its \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
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documentation.
Q And what information are you using to make that review?
A We're using updated financial information on the
guarantor, we're using updated financial information provided by
the borrower, the owner of the collateral as to performance of their business.

Q The next Item B states: "Increase in annual review of 401 North Wabash Ventura LLC loan facility request to increase
Tranche B facility by 54 million to a maximum of 73 million with a new maturity date of ten years from closing of the extension" and also a request to change the annual review date.

What are you looking at when you're looking at an increase and an annual review for the 401 North Wabash Venture loan facility?

A So this is an increased loan exposure which requires us to re-underwrite all of the factors that went into the original credit, both the lateral and the guarantor, locate all of those factors to see that it supports a new role that's gone up to \(\$ 73\) million.

Q And does the analysis you would conduct for an
extension the same as the analysis we discussed for the prior two credit reports that we looked at?
A Yes.
Q If we look at Item C it states: "Approval of a new
\(25 \$ 170\) million first mortgage facility to the borrower Trump Old

Post Office LLC to be used for the development of the Old Post Office property in Washington, D.C."

Is this approval process the same as the approval process we saw on the earlier two statements of the Financial Condition?

A Yes, with the added caveat that we needed a higher level of approval authority within credit risk management to approve the transaction.
Q If we could look down below a little bit of the page. There is a box entitled -- it's this box on the left that says "currency" at the top. There is a series of boxes "new limit usage previous limit." The column on the left says "Loan A Tranche A, new limit, 106 million."

Below that, "Loan A, Tranche B, 19 million."
"Loan B, 73 million."
"Loan C, 170 million."
"Loan C, swap threshold amount, 10.25."
"Related exposure, blank."
And then it says "total exposure, 378.25."
What is indicated in this portion of the chart?
A Those are the credit exposures that Deutsche Bank was taking on each of those facilities.
Q And Loan C, does that conform to Item \(C\) that we were looking at, the new loan for the Trump Old Post Office building?

A I believe so, yes.

Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
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Q So the total exposure is listed at 378 . I'm going to assume that's million?
A Correct.
Q Is that the credit limit that required
Mr. Eggenschweiler to also sign off on the credit report?
A Yes, Eggenschweiler.
Q And why does he need to sign off at that document level?

A Because my credit authority was governed by the size of the overall relationship and also by the rating of the weakest facility in that overall relationship which in this case was a triple \(B\) rating which is in the section just above where you're looking at on the screen.
Q So if we could scroll down a little bit.
A Yes, there you go. Says "FPD, dBBB" at the top.
Q I'm just going to point to it. Is this the segment you were talking about?
A That is the correct segment, yes.
Q So I'll just -- this is the segment under the heading "risk rating current." It states: "Loan A, Tranche A, CPD, IA, FPD." And then it says "dBBB." That's the rating we're talking about?

A That's correct.
Q Do you recall the purpose of this new facility?
A Yes.
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Q And what was that purpose?
A It was to provide financing for a substantial
renovation of this Old Post Office building, which was going to be converted into a hotel.
Q If we could turn to page nine of this document and pull up the box that says "guaranty."

I'll just state this for the record. It states:
"Guarantee. Donald J. Trump, will provide a full and unconditional guarantee of (i), principal and interest due under the facility; (ii), swap brokerage costs; three, operating shortfalls of the property until the end of the shortfall coverage period; and four, a completion guarantee, guaranteeing the lien-free completion of the project acceptable to the lender as evidenced, by among other things, one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalent, architect, certificate and appropriate lien waivers, each responsibly acceptable to the lender."

So similar to the loans we looked at before this loan is also supported by a guarantee by Mr. Trump; is that correct?
A That is correct.
Q And did this guarantee operate similar to the guarantees we were looking at earlier for Doral and for the Chicago property?
A Yes, it does.
Q If we look at the box that was just below that it
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
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states: "Guarantor covenants. During the redevelopment period the guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquidity at all times of at least \(\$ 50\) million with at least 20 million to be maintained with the lender."

Why did the guarantor have to maintain unencumbered liquidity of \(\$ 50\) million?

A The bank wanted to know -- to be covenanted to have that protection that the client would always have a substantial amount of cash on hand, that he could use to either meet the obligations under the bank's loan or potentially pay down the loan.

Q And how would that liquidity be demonstrated?
A Well, the 20 million that was to be held with the bank would be clearly visible to the bank at all times because we would have access to seeing that in the bank systems. The balance will be demonstrated through proving brokerage accounts or bank account statements that showed where that cash or those securities were held.

Q Anything else that can be used to demonstrate the liquidity?

A No, from recollection it was cash and securities that are convertible to cash within five days.

Q If we look down below it states:
"At all times during the term of the facility, guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of 2.5 billion
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
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excluding the value related to guarantor's brand value."
Why was the guarantor required to maintain a minimum net worth of 2.5 billion?

A The bank wanted to be sure that in an adverse market scenario the client would always have enough financial resources to be able to pay off our loan.
\(Q\) Q


Q And just to confirm, the guarantor here is Donald J. Trump?

A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page 14.
Q If you look at the top again. It states -- there is a header at the top.


And just to confirm, DJT, who is that referring to?
A Donald Trump.
Q And what is indicated by \(6 / 30\) FYE?
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)

Page 1050
A So the financial yearend, FYE, is June 30th.
2 Q And go back to the first page. I'll represent to you 3 the date of this credit report is May 2, 2014. Would that explain why this note was included?

A This memo is 11 months after the last financial yearend for which we already had financial statements.
 9 unique nature of the credit apart from anything we've discussed?

Q If we look down at the next section it states:
"Guarantor's financial summary."
"Although all three facilities are secured by collateral given the unique nature of these credits, the credit exposure is being recommended based upon the financial profile of the guarantor."

I asked a similar question before, but what was the
normally do loans that involved substantial reconstruction on its collateral.
Q This section continues: "As part of this underwriting we have met with several members of the family's office to update our due diligence on client reported financial information as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm with the due diligence process described here having been conducted in the same manner as the due diligence for the earlier reports that we reviewed."
A Yes.
Q States: "Next based on the results of this due
diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in
adjustments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows."

What you see there is a table similar to what we've seen in the similar reports. Did the adjustment process operate in the same way for this credit report as it did on the earlier credit reports that we were looking at?
A Yes.
Q The last sentence states: "Additional details are
included in the guarantor's financial statements, which are attached as Exhibit 5."

Do you recall if you reviewed the financial statements in connection with this extension of credit?
A I can't recall whether I reviewed them on this specific
N. Haigh - Petitioner - direct (Wallace)
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extension of credit. I will be surprised if I hadn't, but I
can't physically remember or accurately remember picking the pieces of paper and looking at them.
Q Okay. Again, we see that the financial summary table refers to DJT, 6/30/2011; DJT, 6/30/2012. Both say "client reported."

Next column states: "DJT, \(6 / 30 / 120\) to be adjusted."
Next column: "6/30/2013, client reported."
Final column: "DJT, 6/30/2013 DB adjusted."
Why is the bank looking as numbers for each of these years, 2011 through 2013?
A It's trying to identify any trends in the change of values reported.

Q And what was looking for trends and change of value
have -- how would that have been used by the bank in its review of this credit?
A It's a fairly standard banking process to look at history of financial performance over the previous few years and it's used to identify what's changing and then try and figure out why.
Q And so that would influence your assessment of whether or not to approve this credit -- this credit extension?
A Yes.
Q If we can just flip back to page two of this document
and focus on this table at the bottom the header for this is
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compliance for that covenant or whether we wanted to waive it or amend the documentation or potentially default the transaction.
Q So by signing off on this credit report does this
reflect the determination by you that each of the loan covenants had been met?

A So this report is prepared by the lending group. I personally had not checked that those loan covenants had all been met. I was relying on their statement here that we were in compliance and I was then - my approval was conditioned on that fact being correct and accurate.

Q Understood.
Mr. Haigh, you've been hand a document that's been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 298. Just take a moment to look through this document.

A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A It's an annual review credit memoranda.
Transcript continues on the following page....

1 Q If we look at the top, it says the date is July 20, 2015; is that right?

A Correct.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would ask that this document be entered into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as People's Exhibit 298.)
Q If I could direct you to page seven of this document. We will pull it up on the screen.

Before the language lessons, we were looking at this section of the 2015 credit report. Do you recognize the signature on the right-hand side of the screen?

A Yes, that's my signature.
Q And what is indicated by your signature?
A Approval of this annual credit review.
Q If you can take a look up I think at the top page one. At the top, it says, "purpose of presentation A, annual review of Trump Endeavor 12, L.L.C. loan review; annual review of 401
North Wabash Venture, L.L.C. and C, annual review of Trump Old Post Office.

Do I understand this credit report is covering annual review for all three loans?

A Yes.
N. HAIGH - DIRECT ( MR. SOLOMON)
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Q Would the annual review process for this year 2015 and for these three properties operate the same as the annual review process we discussed previously?
A Yes.
Q If you can go down to page two. Again, there is a
segment marked covenants and checkbox is showing whether or not they are in compliance. What role did this information play in annual review of the three loan facilities?
A It documented the client's status with regard to those covenants.

Q And do you know what the source of information would be for the information contained in this chart?
A It would be the latest information provided by the client. It would be reviewed for compliance with the covenants.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I apparently have neglected to ask that Exhibit 298 be moved into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. They are in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as People's Exhibit 298.)
Q If you can go down to page ten just briefly for a moment.

MR. ROBERT: I will keep my standing objection on that.

THE COURT: I was waiting for that.
MR. ROBERT: I knew you were.
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1 Q If we look down at the bottom of page ten under the heading "liquidity," this section states,


From this information, do you have an understanding of what the source was for the information on Mr. Trump's liquidity?

A Yes.
Q And what was the source of this year for his liquidity information?
A Source would be combination of what was on his personal financial certificate or statement which would have been validated or verified to some extent by looking at the actual brokerage accounts or bank accounts showing that actual liquidity in existence.

Q Do you know if that verification actually happened in this year?
A So I did not do that myself. It was done by lending officers, so -- but if they say that they -- I rely on my lending officers to do their job and to tell me the truth.

Q But this doesn't -- this language doesn't refer to any

\section*{HAIGH - DIRECT ( MR. SOLOMON)}
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additional due diligence performed by the lending officers who prepared the report?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Well, it is leading. Rephrase it. If it doesn't, not doesn't it.
Q Does -- is there any reference to lending officers actually checking the level of funds in this report?

A I don't see a specific reference to that. It was part of the private wealth management businesses standard operating process to validate liquidity.

MR. WALLACE: If we could move on to page ten, please.
Q Again, this section says guarantor's financial summary. Although all three facilities are secured by collateral, given the unique nature of these credits, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the guarantor.

What does that mean in the context of annual review that the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the guarantor?
A It means that the guarantor continues to have a substantial financial strengths and that our loans are good quality loans based on that personal guaranty that we have from him.

And so does that necessitate any action by the bank or --

\section*{A No.}

2 Q Again, if we look at the top row, it says, "financial summary." You see reporting for 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, both client reported and adjusted.

Why is Deutsche Bank looking at Mr. Trump's financial condition from 2011 through 2014 in this report?

A Looking to see if there is any trend that is identifiable.
Q And this information about any trend that might be identifiable is something that the bank is using in its analysis of -- in the annual review process?
A Potentially if the bank identified a trend, if it was either worrying or improving, that would be a call-out in the write up.

Q Mr. Haigh, you've been handed a document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 300. If you can just take a moment to familiarize yourself with this document.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Yes, I see it.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A It is another annual review this time for 2016.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would ask that Exhibit 300 be moved into evidence.

\section*{N. HAIGH - DIRECT ( MR. SOLOMON)}
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17 Q Who is Mr. Joseph?
18 A He was the chief credit officer of the U.S. entities of
19 Deutsche Bank.
20 Q And you're copied on this e-mail, but underneath it 21 says, Mr. Allegre writes: "Dear Joern, following up on our 22 conversation Tuesday, please find attached the annual review for 23 Mr. Trump, the credit report has been approved by Thomas 24 Eggenschweiler by e-mail (see attached) and me. In Nick's
25 absence, would you please review and provide local A-1 authority
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
People's Exhibit 300.)
Q We'll walk through some of this in just a moment. If
we could flip to page 9 of 27 . At the bottom, your signature is missing from this document.

Do you have a recollection of why you didn't sign this document?
A No specific recollection. It is possible I was on vacation at the time.
Q Okay. If you turn to page 13 and we look, there is an e-mail from Gaston Allegre to -- is it Joern Joseph?
A Yes, Joern Joseph.
Q Who is Mr. Allegre?
A Gaston Allegre was a credit officer that worked for me on my team.
N. HAIGH - DIRECT ( MR. SOLOMON)
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1 approval".
2

A Yes. this chart?

Does this refresh your recollection as to why you
didn't sign this particular credit report?
A Well, it appears that I was absent, so I
guess -- again, I'm guessing vacation.
Q And obtaining approval from Mr. Joseph was another means of approving the credit report?

Q Just to confirm, this document was approved by Deutsche
Bank in the credit report? Is that fair to say?
A I believe so. I believe there was an e-mail
confirmation from Joern Joseph and Thomas Eggenschweiler that they supported the -- this document.
Q If we go to page two of this document, you see at the bottom of this page, again, there is another table reporting the nature of the loan covenants and compliance.

What was the basis for the information reflected in
A The information would have started with the client, would have come from the client and then would have been analyzed by the support group within private wealth management. Q If you could go down to page 15 . If we look again, it is a summary chart. I ask was the adjustment process, the haircut process in this year the same as the process we've described in the prior years?
N. HAIGH - DIRECT ( MR. SOLOMON)
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A Yes.
Q If we look here, we see that this covers the year 2011 through 2015. Again, why is Deutsche Bank looking at the performance across all of those years?

A We're looking to see for trends in the change in value of assets or liabilities.
Q And was the change in trends relevant to the -- to your review of this credit report?
A Yes.
Q We can go back to page three. There is a heading "guarantee type." This section states, "Guarantee level analysis is based on a 32.7 LTV. The guarantor has requested to retain a 10 percent guarantee level although terms of the previously approved tranche A note allow for no personal guarantee if the LTV is below 35 percent. As outlined below, the guarantee level at or below 35 percent LTV was originally contemplated to be zero percent at which time pricing was scheduled to increase to L plus 2.00 percent retaining a 10 percent guarantee level clearly strengthens the credit."

Can you explain to us what is happening with the guarantee? What's happened with the guarantor's request to remain at ten percent guarantee level?

A This particular facility had a step-down feature under which as the loan to value of our loan gotten lower and lower, so in turn, the amount of our principal plus interest that was
guaranteed stepped down. And the 35 percent loan to value, we were willing to allow there to be no personal guarantee whatsoever. But in fact, as a consequence of that, the pricing would have increased, the spread, the L plus 2.00 number. Instead, the client -- his people said to us we would prefer to keep it 10 percent and take advantage of the lower pricing that goes along with the 10 percent guarantee.

Q Thank you.
You've been handed a document that has been marked
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3137. Can you take a moment to familiarize yourself with this?
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A It is an annual review of all three credit facilities the bank had with Donald Trump.

Q And is that indicated by the box marked "reasons for presentation?"

A That together with the checked box at the top left which says "review."

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would ask that
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3137 be entered into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.

\section*{N. HAIGH - DIRECT ( MR. SOLOMON)}

Page 1064
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3137.)
Q If we could flip to page ten, at the bottom, do you recognize the signature on the right-hand side of the screen?
A Yes, that's my signature.
Q What is indicated by your signature?
A That I approved the annual review.
Q Can you explain what's meant as the notation I will read as "for DBTCA as regional head WM risk"?
A So I was signing -- I was being clear that I was
signing on behalf of Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas as the regional head of the wealth management risk group effectively meant that my signature was sufficient for that -- for the approval of this annual review.

Q If we could turn to page eight of this document, focus on the section that states "recommendation." It says, Doral facility; B, Chicago facility; C, OPO. Underneath that, it states, "all facilities financial strength of the guarantor, the financial profile of the guarantor includes a stated net worth of 5.7 billion which remains well above his \(\$ 2.5\) billion minimum requirement even on an adjusted basis. Guarantor maintains a strong liquidity position, \(\$ 114\) million in unencumbered liquidity. A decrease of \(\$ 60\) million from the prior year due to political campaign costs."

1

Q And once again, we see that there is information contained here about Donald J. Trump financial condition. I should say his financial summary from 2011 through 2016.

Why, again, is the bank looking at his financial profile from 2011 to 2016 ?
A Again, it is to enable trends to be identified.
Q And being able to identify trends was relevant to your analysis of the credit report?

A Yes.
Q And was that relevant to your ultimate approval of this credit report for this year?

A Yes.
Q Mr. Haigh, you've been handed a document that's been marked Plaintiffs Exhibit 302. Take a moment to look through the document.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A It's an annual review this time for July 2018 for three credit exposures we had with Donald Trump.
Q If we could turn to page ten of this. Do you recognize the signature on the far right-hand side of the screen?
A That's my signature.
\(1 \quad Q \quad\) What is indicated by your signature on this document?
2 A Approval of the annual review.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would ask that
Plaintiff's Exhibit 302 be entered into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as People's Exhibit 302.)
Q Mr. Haigh, was the process for this annual review the same as the prior annual reviews we have been discussing this afternoon?

A Yes.
Q If we could turn to page eight.
MR. KISE: For the record, I think the signature is on page nine. I saw it came up at ten.

THE COURT: Anybody object to his signature being on page nine? I hereby deem it to be page nine. Ten-minute warning.
Q Mr. Haigh, we see another chart showing the analysis of financial analysis. Was the process conducted in this financial analysis the same as we discussed for prior years?
A Yes.
Q Again, we see that the analysis contains the financial summary for Mr. Trump stretching from 2011 to what I believe is 2017. It looks like it is kind of cut off at this point, maybe 2018.
N. HAIGH - DIRECT ( MR. SOLOMON)
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2 condition across all of these years?
3

8 Q And was it relevant to your signing off on this credit report?

A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: I'll try to finish at the same time
that we are breaking. So we're close.
Q Mr. Haigh, I handed you a document that's been marked as Plaintiffs Exhibit 466. If you could take a moment to look through this document.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A It's a set of e-mails, an e-mail chain between myself and Marzio Hug who was the senior credit officer in London.

Q Who is the senior credit officer?
A He was the senior credit officer in London. He was Thomas Eggenschweiler's boss at the time.
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A Yes.
Q It states at the top, "Dear Donald. You have asked
Deutsche Bank to provide a letter in connection with your bid for the acquisition of the Buffalo Bills of the National Football League and in connection therewith, you provided Deutsche Bank with a recent certification of your financial statement which includes liquidity and asset value. A copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A (the DB letter)."

If we go back to your cover e-mail, why did you want an acknowledgment from Mr. Trump that this was not a commitment?

A Deutsche Bank was not prepared or willing to increase its credit exposure to Mr. Trump at that time.

Q And so do you have an understanding of what the purpose was of writing the letter to Morgan Stanley?

A Morgan Stanley were looking for some kind of confirmation that Mr. Trump would be able to perform under any purchase agreement that he had to buy the Buffalo Bills.

Q If we look at the last paragraph of your e-mail, it states, "We got a certification of his financial status which documents the liquidity that was shown to us through statements, addresses, changes in his assets, liabilities and leverage ratio."

Just go to the last page in this document. Is this document the certification that you are referring to in your e-mail?

\section*{A Yes.}

2 Q Do you know who Jeffrey McConney is?
A No.
4 Q It states at the top, "I, Jeffrey McConney, controller, do hereby certify to Deutsche Bank the accuracy of the following with respect to Mr. Donald J. Trump (DT). DT's current
liquidity excluding any encumbered liquid assets, but including cash on hand as of June 30,2014 is approximately \(\$ 310\) million."

Why did Deutsche Bank want this certification as to his liquidity?
A We had loan agreements with Mr. Trump that had
covenants requiring him to maintain liquidity and we wanted him to certify that he would be in compliance with those covenants.

Q Do you know if Deutsche Bank did any independent testing to confirm the 310 million number recommended here by Mr. McConney?

A I do not know whether we did.
Q Item B states, "There have been no material decrease (in excess of ten percent) in the aggregate value of his
illiquid assets since the financials were reported to Deutsche
Bank as of June 30, 2013 that were certified by DT on
October 30, 2013.
Why did Deutsche Bank want this certification from Mr. Trump?

A Again, to be comforted, to receive confirmation that
independent procedures to verify this representation in paragraph D from the Trump Organization?

A Not to my knowledge.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, at this time, we have nothing further of Mr. Haigh.

THE COURT: Nothing further at this time. Okay. Perfect break time.

After the break, you'll cross-examine? Okay. See you in 15 minutes.
(Witness excused.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
(Continued on following page.)
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there was no material change in his net worth and attesting to the value of the illiquid assets would be part of how the bank would be comfortable with that.
Q Did Deutsche Bank take any independent procedures to verify the accuracy of the representation contained in paragraph B?
A No, not that I am aware of.
Q Same question. Why did Deutsche Bank want this
representation as to any material decrease or -- why did
Deutsche Bank want this representation \(C\) as to whether or not
there were any material increase in excess of five percent in liabilities?
A Again, it is a component of his net worth.
Q And, to your knowledge, did Deutsche Bank undertake any independent procedures to confirm the accuracy of the representation contained in paragraph C?
A I have no knowledge of us doing -- Deutsche Bank doing that.
Q For paragraph D, it states, "The ratio of total assets to total liabilities remains greater than 15X."

What -- could you explain what is meant by the ratio of assets to liabilities?
A If one divides the total asset values by the total
liabilities, you would end up with a number that's more than 15.
Q To your knowledge, did Deutsche Bank undertake any
N. Haigh - Petitioner - cross (Suarez)

Page 1074 THE COURT OFFICER: Come to order. All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order. Witness entering.
(The witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, are you ready to cross examine the witness?

MR. SUAREZ: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Proceed.

\section*{CROSS EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. SUAREZ:}

Q Mr. Haigh, good afternoon.
A Good afternoon.
Q I'm Jesus Suarez. We met a number of months ago at your deposition.

A Yes.
Q It's very nice to see you.
Mr. Haigh, you would agree with me that banks make money by lending money; correct?

A That's one way of making one.
Q And a goal of credit risk management is to make good risk decisions; correct?

A Yes.
Q And in doing so in analyzing risk, credit risk
management considers a number of factors; correct?
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analysis.
2 Q And is the long-term appreciation of the collateral 1083 (her
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in the section "Recommendation," says, "The facility is being recommended for approval based on" and then it lists the basis for approval; correct?

A Yes, it lists those four bullet points.
Q And those are the grounds upon which approval of the transaction is being recommended?

A Yes. I mean, those are a summary, if you'd like, of the key points in favor of doing this transaction.

Q These are the important ones?
A From the point of view of the lending officer who wrote the memo, yes.

Q When it describes the financial strength of the
guarantor, you would agree with me that the financial profile of
the guarantor it says there "includes, on an adjusted basis, 135 million in unencumbered liquidity;" correct?

A Correct.
Q 2.4 billion in net worth; correct?
A Correct.
Q And approximately 48 million in adjusted recurring net cash flow; correct?

A Correct.
THE COURT: I just want to make sure because the wording was a little strange. You're just asking him if that's what it says; right?

MR. SUAREZ: Correct. In building on the fact that
this was a key point in their decision ultimately to support the transaction.
Q And I just want to confirm that those are the numbers
that the bank based its recommendation for approving the transaction?
A Those are -- okay. Those are numbers after the bank had made adjustments, I think. I'm not sure about the 2.4 billion net worth. I'd have to read the memo again because we say adjusted liquidity of 135 million, but with the financial profile like that it was a recommendation to support this transaction.

Q And that was the financial profile that the bank determined reflected the financial strength of the guarantor; correct?
A Yes.
Q So I just want to draw your attention it was the
adjusted basis that formed the basis of the recommendation, the adjusted basis of 135 million in unencumbered liquidity,
2.4 billion in net worth and 48 million in adjusted recurring net cash flow?

A I mean, I see that language. I need to read through the memorandum itself to see whether the 2.4 billion in net worth is also adjusted, but I see the language there is just the position of the covenant, so.
Q Let's take a look at the next page of the document.
N. Haigh - Petitioner - cross (Suarez)
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1
2
3

\section*{24}

25

18 Q So that's the number ported over the basis for the 19 recommendation?
20 A Correct.
21 Q And in fact, if we scroll down to the section on
22 liquidity it would tell you why Deutsche Bank made that
23 adjustment; correct? And we can walk through it. Do you see
Do you see here where in the middle of the page it lists financial analysis and the second column reflects the client reported financial summary? And the second column includes the DB adjusted column for the year ending 6/30/2011?
A Yes, I see that.
Q The second column would reflect what the client reported from the bank; correct?
A Yes.
Q And the second column being Deutsche Bank's adjusted --
THE COURT: That's the third column.
Q The third column?
A That's after Deutsche Bank had made adjustments.
Q After Deutsche Bank had made adjustments.
And in the first instance you've got cash marketable
securities reported at 258.9 million and Deutsche Bank adjusted that to 135.8 million?
A I see that. where it says "liquidity?"
A Ido, yes.
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1

Q "On December 20, 2011, a structured lending team of Dave Williams and Emily Schroeder visited the offices of the guarantor and reviewed bank and brokerage statements that confirmed 178 million in cash balances and 51.8 million marketable securities, totaling 229.9 million held in the name of the guarantor. In addition, they also reviewed statements for operating companies that were wholly owned by the guarantor which evidenced another 29 million cash and marketable securities." Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Are you aware that Dave Williams and Emily Schroeder visited the client's offices?
A That's what he claims I assume they did.
Q You assume they did you assume.
They had the opportunity to ask questions?
A Correct.
Q Review documents?
A Yes.
Q And then report back in the credit memo their findings?
A Yes.
Q And if you go on to the next paragraph, it says, "The guarantor's personal liquidity is primarily" -- excuse me -"has been primarily generated through ongoing distributions from his diversified portfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the cash flow section below."

Haigh - Petitioner - cross (Suarez)
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Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q "Such distributions include cash distributions from the guarantor's portfolio of premier private clubs, which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of membership deposits."

And we'll have to scroll two more pages over because this page repeats. And the memorandum it keeps going up here. This is the part I really wanted to draw your attention to, and it says:
"In accordance with industry standards, premier golf clubs require new members to post a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are nonrefundable for 30 years without condition, after which the member may request the refund for such deposits, often contingent on being replaced by at least one new member.

As of June 30, 2011, the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the ten clubs owned by the guarantor was \(\$ 188\) million. Given the lack of any conditional rights by member, such" -- "by the member," excuse me, "such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of the taxable


1 Q They're folks whose job includes not only providing I think what's been described as sanity checks on real estate numbers?
A Most of the time their job is reviewing actual appraisals, criticizing those appraisals, signing off on the end product at the end of the day. This was more of I think the phrase we used might have been a sanity check on the numbers. Transcript continues on the following page....

2 Q In fact, there is an indication there of property level 3 debt, right?

A Yes.
5 Q The property level debt would be debt from other banks 6 that attached to the respective properties?
7 A Yes.
8 Q You would understand that, right?
9 A We would be relying on information the client had reported to us that this debt existed.

Q And the total outcome of the valuation of these four trophy properties was that the client reported number of
\(1,590,000,000\) was reduced to \(1,221,100--\) excuse me was reduced to \(1,221,500,000\).

A Correct.
Q Which produced net equity from 1.326 .4 million to 957.9 million?

A That's also correct.
Q In order to adjust the valuation, so that from the
bank's perspective it had a valuation that appears here, you consulted with the folks at Deutsche Bank evaluation?

A On those four assets.
Q I believe that that's reflected lower in this document under the four trophy properties. It is goes through for these four assets how the values were adjusted, correct?

\section*{N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ)}

Q It was Deutsche Bank's opinion of what the value of those assets should be, correct?
A It was Deutsche Bank's opinion of what the value of those assets might be.

Q Might be because, of course, we understand there could be a broad range of outcomes when you value an asset?

A Also, we didn't have -- we, the bank, including its valuation services group, hadn't done all the due diligence that one would do in order to form an opinion of value in the sense of the opinion of value you see in an appraisal.

Q And, of course, I understand an opinion of value in the valuation world has a very specific definition.
A Correct. Right.
Q You, sir, are a surveyor?
A Yes.
Q Which I understand across the pond in the United Kingdom is very similar to our appraiser?

A Correct.
Q In fact, a RICS surveyor; is that correct?
A That's the institution that certifies.
Q The Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors?
A Correct.
Q And here, each one of these properties that was
evaluated, the trophy assets was not pledged as collateral to the bank, correct?
N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ)
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1 A Correct.
2 Q Specifically with respect to Trump Tower, Deutsche Bank
3 Valuation Services Group suggested a more appropriate -- what it
4 believed a more appropriate cap rate in order to reach a value,
5 correct?
6 A Yes.
7 Q That formed the basis of Deutsche Bank's adjustment?
8 A Correct.
9 Q And in the Niketown, I don't believe the particular
methodology was reported on the credit memo, but the result was
that based on these reports square footage, Deutsche Bank
Valuation Services Group indicated an adjusted value of 197; is that correct?
A That's what's in the credit report. Like you, I'm not exactly sure how they reached that conclusion.

Q But that ended up being the value that was listed as a DB adjusted value?

A Yes.
Q Then 40 Wall Street, the Deutsche Bank Valuation Services Group looked at that property and determined that a six percent aggregate was appropriate for purposes of adjusted value, correct?

A Correct.
Q Based on that, it reduced the value of the property for purposes of Deutsche Bank's consideration to 1 --

A Doesn't say on there.
2 Q Doesn't say it here, but if you look back up on the chart, it says it on the chart. It reduced to 438 billion which that was an equity of 278 million for purposes of the adjusted net equity, correct?

A That's correct.
Q Again, the same adjustment took place with respect to the Trump Park Avenue; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And in the Trump Park Avenue, there were discussions with Deutsche Bank Valuation Services Group that aren't disclosed here, but there were all of those discussions. There was a 35 percent haircut taken on the value?

A That's correct.
Q And in this instance, it appears from reading the credit report that Deutsche Bank had an opportunity to review the asset with the Deutsche Bank Valuation Services Group and apply an appropriate amount of a reduction?

A As I said before, this was not a full appraisal. It
was not based on due diligence, presuming it was based on information provided by the client on the size of the real estate, the leases and the rents.

Q But for Deutsche Bank's purposes, that was the number that you used?

A Yes.
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Q Let's back out of here. Zoom back into the club facilities. In the chart under real estate, you see a similar reduction was taken from the client report value of \(1,315,000,000\).
A Looks to me the bank took a 50 percent reduction in the value and did not consult with the Valuation Services Group over that.

Q That's because the club facilities I believe I heard you earlier testify were hard to value?

A I believe they are harder to value than of those other four assets that were individually looked at.

Q And in a -- I think -- in extremis values produced could be very different, correct?
A So in a -- in a loose stream market, whether it is a extreme market, the value could be generated from his club facilities to be very different from the value that he was carrying them at as the value there.
Q For that reason, the values were just halved?
A Yes.
Q They were ball-parked?
A Ball-parked, indeed.
Q And the bank ballpark, those at having an estimate
23 of -- an estimated net equity to did he 633 million, correct?
24 A Yes.
25 Q And in fact, they did something similar with the
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1 properties under development 273.2 million and because
2 properties under development may yield very different sale, the
3 bank ball-parked it and said we are just going to consider 75 percent for the value of the net worth?
A 75 percent haircut which is a 25 percent of their value considered.
Q So you chopped off 75 percent of what the client reported about?
A That's correct.
Q That's what went into the DB adjusted net equity?
A Correct.
THE COURT: Ten-minute warning.
Q And this was with respect to the real estate, how the client reported a valuation of \(3,299.4\) million was reduced to 2,040.4 million and after subtraction resulted in an adjusted net equity of \(1,737.9\) million?
A That's the math, yes.
Q Then this is the number, the DB adjusted net equity of the real estate level that then carries over to the financial analysis on page four. Then produces the client reported value for real estate net equity to the DB adjusted value for real estate net equity, correct?

A Correct.
Q And in fact, the bank undertook a similar process of reaching its own judgment on the different asset value
N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ)
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categories for partnerships and joint ventures, correct?
A Yes, it looks like a ballpark 50 percent reduction.
Q The bank reduced partnerships and joint ventures from
720 million to 360 million, correct?
A Correct.
Q And real estate licensing was reduced from 89.3 to 44.6?

A Correct.
Q Other assets were reduced from 199.2 to 99.6 , correct?
A Correct.
Q And after having the opportunity to consider the client reported assets, the bank reached a judgment for DB adjusted. Those assets would be reflected at a value of \(\$ 2,377,900,000\), correct?
A Correct.
Q Ultimately, that math after the subtraction of other liabilities results in a net worth of \(2,365,800,000\) as adjusted by Deutsche Bank?
A Yes.
Q This amount, it was that Deutsche Bank adjusted net worth, not the client reported net worth, that formed the basis of the statement in page three?
A Which was --
Q Page three. That the financial strength of the guarantor included on an adjusted basis 135 million in
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\hline exposure (16) & 1084:6,15;1085:19; & 1011:8;1035:7 & 1060:22 & 1013:21;1015:19; \\
\hline 982:10,11;1003:18; & 1086:25;1088:21; & few (5) & findings (1) & 1034:17;1036:17; \\
\hline 1026:13;1033:1; & 1091:21;1092:4,8; & 982:20;986:11 & 1089:19 & 1052:25;1053:12; \\
\hline 1043:3,24;1044:15; & 1096:19;1097:2; & 996:11;1052:18; & fine (3) & 1064:15 \\
\hline 1045:18,19;1046:1; & 1100:25;1101:24; & 1105:14 & 976:13;985:11; & folks (6) \\
\hline 1050:16;1058:15,18; & 1103:19,19;1104:15,21 & fewer (1) & 1106:22 & 1079:25;1081:9; \\
\hline 1065:17;1070:12 & factor (1) & 1057:7 & finish (3) & 1094:17,23;1095:1; \\
\hline exposures (3) & 1103:22 & field (2) & 1068:11;1105:17; & 1097:21 \\
\hline 982:2;1045:21; & factors (11) & 1077:18;1081:9 & 1106:12 & follow (1) \\
\hline 1066:22 & 984:7,11,18;986:6; & FIELDS (1) & finished (1) & 1103:17 \\
\hline extended (1) & 1026:8,12;1044:16,18; & 972:4 & 973:21 & following (9) \\
\hline 1003:17 & 1074:25;1075:5; & figure ( & finishing (1) & 1010:8;1018:15; \\
\hline extension (6) & 1077:15 & 52:1 & 1106:10 & 1054:20;1060:21; \\
\hline 1037:15;1044:10,21; & facts (3) & file (1) & firm (7) & 1071:5;1073:12; \\
\hline 1051:24;1052:1,22 & 1001:19;1084:4; & 973:20 & 980:7,8,12;1004:10; & 1094:12,13;1095:8 \\
\hline extensive (2) & 1085:16 & files (1) & 1051:7;1081:20; & follows (2) \\
\hline 1103:10,20 & FAHERTY (1) & 973:22 & 1082:2 & 1005:25;1051:14 \\
\hline extent (6) & 971:21.5 & final (8) & first (34) & foolish (2) \\
\hline 1009:1;1013:4; & failure (2) & 982:18,18;987:8 & 984:11;986:8 & 1038:5,21 \\
\hline 1057:17;1065:4; & 1027:17,25 & 989:4;1033:14; & 992:13;995:20,21,21; & footage (1) \\
\hline 1083:8;1091:14 & fair (1) & 1047:15;1052:9 & 998:13;1001:16; & 1098:11 \\
\hline external (1) & 1061:10 & 1065:15 & 1004:2;1005:22; & Football (1) \\
\hline 989:24 & fairly (1) & finally (1) & 1007:18;1010:18; & 1070:5 \\
\hline extreme (1) & 1052:17 & 987:15 & 1011:24;1013:24; & foreclose (3) \\
\hline 1100:15 & fall (2) & financial (12 & 1016:22,22;1018:2; & 1000:2,7;1004:1 \\
\hline extremis (4)
\(999 \cdot 23 \cdot 1000 \cdot 5.6\) & 1026:16,17 & 979:9,12,16,19,21; & 1021:1,21;1029:24; & forget (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 999:23;1000:5,6; } \\
& 1100: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & fallen (2) & 982:23,24,25;983:3,5; & 1031:3,19,20;1033:16;
1036:21;1037:2; & 974:16 \\
\hline & familiar (5) & 1002:2,4,8,12;1003:10, & 1041:6;1043:5,11; & 974:8 \\
\hline & 04:24;1008 & & 044:25;1050:2 & form (5) \\
\hline face (1) & 1009:1;1025:15;
1029:6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1005:16;1006:1,4,12; } \\
& \text { 1007:2,20;1008:6,18 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1069:13;1088:14;
1093:15 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1024:6;1031:10; } \\
& \text { 1041:8;1091:10; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1000:6 & familiarize (5) & 1009:22;1010:6; & Firstly (1) & 1096:9 \\
\hline facilities (21) & 990:19;1035:13; & 1013:14,14,15,17; & 1069:20 & format (1) \\
\hline 1010:11;1011:9,10; & 1042:1;1059:17; & 1016:8;1017:17; & fit (3) & 983:3 \\
\hline 1015:15;1016:5; & 1063:10 & 1021:19,22,22,24; & 984:16;987:6;999:4 & formed (4) \\
\hline 1027:12;1030:16; & family (5) & 1022:1,1,3,4,5,6,8,9,11, & fits (1) & 1078:8;1087:17; \\
\hline 1031:14;1032:25; & 1004:8,12,23 & 12,25;1023:1,10; & 982:16 & 1098:7;1102:21 \\
\hline 1043:4,21;1045:22; & 1012:6,16 & 1024:5,10,14;1026:18; & fitted (2) & forward (1) \\
\hline 1050:14;1056:8; & family's (1) & 1027:25;1028:3,6; & 984:13;988: & 1104:18 \\
\hline 1064:19;1065:16; & far (5) & 1033:2,10,18;1043:18; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { five (9) } \\
& 991: 9 ; 1002: 7 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { foundation (7) } \\
& \text { 1012:19;1024:19,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1100:2,8,16 & 991:10,25;1014:22; & 1044:3,4;1045:4; & 1012:10;1023:15; & 1025:7,8,10,12 \\
\hline facility (49) & 1042:13;1066:24 & 1049:5,9,22;1050:1,5, & 1030:25;1039:8,23; & four (23) \\
\hline 996:8,17,19;998:7,9, & favor (1) & 6,9,13,16;1051:5,21, & 1048:22;1072:11 & 978:25;980:9; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 22;1001:10;1003:16; } \\
& \text { 1017:8;1019:15; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1086:8 \\
feature (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 23;1052:4,18;1053:15, } \\
& \text { 17,19;1057:16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & flip (5) 1000:10;1036:16; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1003:3;1010:10,22; } \\
& \text { 1011:4;1014:18,20,25; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1017.8,1019.15, & feature (1) & 17,19,1057.16, & 1000.10,1036.16, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1038:18;1039:5,14; & generate (1) & 996:10,23;999:7,16; & guarantors-financial (1) & harder (2) \\
\hline 1041:13,14,1047:12; & 83:10 & 1010:21;1013:25; & 1032: & 1000:1;1100:10 \\
\hline 1086:4;1093:12; & generated (5) & 1014:2,5,5,9,10; & guaranty (2) & HAREN (1) \\
\hline 1097:11,22,24,25; & 983:8;1089:23 & 1015:4;1037:6,1 & 1047:6;1058:22 & 971:22.5 \\
\hline 1100:11;1101:20 & 1090:4;1092:4 & 1041:14;1042:9 & guess (3) & Harrigan (3) \\
\hline fourth (4) & 1100:15 & 1043:3,21;1050:24 & 1011:16;1032:1 & 992:11;1035:18; \\
\hline 1076:17,18;1094:8; & gets (1) & 1054:6;1061:21; & 1061 & 1036:2 \\
\hline 1103:25 & 1075:3 & 1064:12;1078:3,5,7,9, & guessing (1) & Hauer (1) \\
\hline FPD (2) & given (12) & 23;1079:2;1080:1; & 1061:5 & 975:12 \\
\hline 1046:1 & 984:12;1003:25; & 1085:4;1094:18,20; & guidelines (2) & head (6) \\
\hline frequently (1) & 1020:25;1032:25 & 1096:8;1098:3,12,20; & 1003:6,12 & 980:20;981:21,23; \\
\hline 985:10 & 1037:18;1043:4; & 1099:11,17;1100:6; & Gump (1) & 994:24;1064:9,12 \\
\hline front (4) & 1050:15;1058:14; & 1104:17 & & headed (3) \\
\hline 976:5,11;1038:5,22 & 1065:16;1090:20; & group's (1) & & 1019:15;1032:23; \\
\hline frontline (1) & 1091:23;1106:18 & 1015:9 & H & 1036:19 \\
\hline 1078:10 & gives (1) & guarantee (48) & & header (3) \\
\hline full (14) & 17:18 & 998:8;1000:17, & HABBA (5) & 1026:20;1049:17; \\
\hline 977:10;9 & giving (1) & 1001:21,21,24;1002:1, & 972:9.5,11.5;974:14; & 1052:25 \\
\hline 1000:16;1017:7 & 996:3 & 4;1003:24,24;1017:6,8, & 975:2,6 & heading (5) \\
\hline 1030:17;1032:20; & glad & 13,15,17,18;1020:20, & Haigh (27) & 1003:4;1021:20; \\
\hline 1038:10,16,19;1039:2, & 1039:19 & 23,25;1021:3;1030:17, & 976:21;977:10,20 & 1046:19;1057:2; \\
\hline 8,14;1047:8;1099:19 & goal & 21,22;1032:11,15,17, & 990:17,19;999:6 & 1062:10 \\
\hline fully (4) & 074: & 19,20,21;1038:19; & 006:6,20;1009:2 & hear (1) \\
\hline 1010:10;1019:12; & goes (5) & 1039:8,14;1047:8,9,12, & 1013:10;1028:19; & 974:16 \\
\hline 1026:6;1091:20 & 008:22;1063 & 19,21;1062:11,11,13, & 1035:11;1036:9; & heard (4) \\
\hline function (1) & 1075:10;1097:24 & 15,16,19,21,22;1063:2, & 1040:19;1041:24; & 975:25;1025:4; \\
\hline 1003:16 & 1103:13 & 7;1104:25 & 1042:12;1054:12; & 1076:15;1100:8 \\
\hline fund (3) & golf (15) & guaranteed & 1059:15;1066:13; & held (5) \\
\hline 978:17,17,18 & 991:1,6;995:22 & 1016:20;1063:1 & 1067:8,18;1068:13 & 980:5,25;1048:13, \\
\hline fundamental (1) & 999:10,14,23,23; & guaranteeing (4) & 1069:10;1073:5; & 18;1089:5 \\
\hline 1078:19 & 1003:25;1007:7,10,12, & 998:15,17,19; & 1074:12,18;1104:6 & help (1) \\
\hline funding & 15;1041:15;1090:11; & 1047:12 & haircut (10) & 979:25 \\
\hline 1018:8;1084:19 & 1092:1 & guarantees (3) & 1041:4,6,7,19 & helped (1) \\
\hline funds (2) & Good (14) & 1021:2;1038:13; & 1061:24;1091:21,22; & 974:8 \\
\hline 1057:3;1058:7 & 975:11;977:20; & 1047:22 & 1092:2;1099:13; & helping (2) \\
\hline further (6) & 985:5,7,13,19;986:7; & guarantor (69) & 1101:5 & 979:16;1026:1 \\
\hline 1005:20;1015 & 1007:9;1040:19; & 991:6,7;1001:12 & half (1) & hereby (2) \\
\hline 1017:19;1030:16; & 1058:21;1074:12,13, & 1003:19,20;1004:3; & 980:9 & 1067:16;1071:5 \\
\hline 1073:5,6 & 21;1105:2 & 1005:18;1011:22; & halved (1) & herein (2) \\
\hline future (4) & govern (1) & 1012:10;1017:7; & 1100:18 & 1030:20;1031:12 \\
\hline 985:6,8,23;1091:23 & 979:25 & 1021:20,21,22,24; & hand (8) & here's (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FYE (3) } \\
& 1049: 19,25 ; 1050: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { governed (1) } \\
1046.9
\end{gathered}
\] & 1022:8,8,10,16,19; & 976:6;977:2;990:17; & 973:18 \\
\hline & governm & 6,8,10,13;1032:4,5,9 & 54:12; & 1070:8 \\
\hline G & 978:14,14,1 & 13,23;1033:2;1034:23; & 1071:8 & high (9) \\
\hline & grad & 1044:4,17;1048:1,2,5, & handed (8) & 977:25;982:7;985:2; \\
\hline Gaston & 990:14,15 & 25;1049:2,12,18; & 1006:6;1028:19; & 994:15;1002:9; \\
\hline 1060:12,15 & Granted (8) & 1050:17;1057:2,7; & 1035:11;1041:24; & 1030:11;1085:8,9,20 \\
\hline gather (1) & 991:20;1006:16 & 1058:16,19,20; & 1059:15;1063:9; & higher (2) \\
\hline 984:20 & 1042:21;1056:17 & 1062:12;1064:19,20, & 1066:13;1068:13 & 1019:8;1045:6 \\
\hline gave (1) & 1060:1;1063:25; & 22;1065:14,15,18,19; & handling (2) & highlight (2) \\
\hline 978:19 & 1067:5;1069:6 & 1077:4;1086:13,14; & 994:7;1004:1 & 1010:6;1084:23 \\
\hline GENERAL (13) & greater (1) & 1087:13;1089:3,6,7; & happen (2) & highlighted (1) \\
\hline 971:3,18.5;974:5; & 1072:20 & 1090:20,23;1102:25 & 985:21;1028:8 & 1089:25 \\
\hline 978:9;985:15,15; & grew (1) & Guarantor's (20) & happened (3) & highly (1) \\
\hline 994:5;1006:25;1007:6; & 977:25 & 1003:16;1006:1,4; & 974:4;1057:20; & 1082:2 \\
\hline 1013:10;1077:10; & grounds (2) & 1010:8,10;1021:19; & 1062:21 & Highway (1) \\
\hline 1105:7;1106:20 & 1032:10;108 & 1024:3,4;1049:1,21; & happening ( & 972:10.5 \\
\hline generally (4) & group (57) & 1050:9,13;1051:21; & 1062:20 & Hills (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 973:16;981:9; } \\
& 1030: 4,7
\end{aligned}
\] & 980:11,14,20;982:3 & 1058:13;1062:21;
1076:8;1077:2; & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { happy (3) } \\
976: 7: 102
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1041:3 \\
history (3)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline General's (2) & 989:16,18,25;990:2; & 1089:22;1090:4; & hard (2) & 1037:18;1052:1 \\
\hline 977:22;1079:21 & 994:17,24,25;995:16; & 1091:9 & 1011:10;1100:9 & 1075:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hold (5) & 996:8,20;1006:2; & 1035:8 & 1081:10;1091:15; & 1022:15;1026:8; \\
\hline 980:18,24;1082:16; & 1017:9;1037:18; & incorrectly (1) & 1097:9;1099:21 & 1029:19;1035:2,9; \\
\hline 1083:10,21 & 1047:10 & 1039:12 & informed (2) & 1036:6;1042:19; \\
\hline holding (1) & illiquid (2) & increase (10) & 1009:3;1083:10 & 1044:16;1047:4; \\
\hline 1084:18 & 1071:20;1072:2 & 993:5;1042:7; & initial (2) & 1055:5;1056:16; \\
\hline HOLDINGS (4) & illusion (1) & 1044:7,8,13;1062:18; & 996:7;1026:14 & 1059:25;1063:24; \\
\hline 971:9,9;1091:7; & 1022:4 & 1070:11;1072:11; & initials (1) & 1067:4;1069:4;1078:5; \\
\hline 1093:5 & imagine (1) & 1104:10,25 & 1011:24 & 1081:9;1082:15; \\
\hline home (3) & 1004:20 & increased (3) & injunctive (1) & 1085:4;1100:1; \\
\hline 977:8,11;1104:5 & immediately (2) & 1044:15;1063:4 & 973:17 & 1101:10;1105:13 \\
\hline homes (1) & 980:6;1003:4 & 1065:20 & input (3) & introduce (1) \\
\hline 1041:2 & impact (4) & indeed (3) & 1025:22;1041:14; & 1039:25 \\
\hline Honor (26) & 983:15;999:17; & 980:2;1076:17; & 1078:13 & introduced (1) \\
\hline 974:15,18;975:4; & 1020:20,23 & 1100:21 & inputs (1) & 1079:11 \\
\hline 976:16;991:16;995:2, & impediments (1) & independent (11) & 1077:21 & introductory (1) \\
\hline 5;1004:5;1006:13; & 985:20 & 997:2,24;1004:10; & inside (1) & 989:11 \\
\hline 1012:17;1025:14; & implication (1) & 1008:20;1035:7,9 & 1021:17 & inventory (1) \\
\hline 1029:18;1030:5; & 1032:14 & 1051:6;1071:14; & instance (2) & 1033:8 \\
\hline 1036:5;1039:21; & implied (1) & 1072:4,15;1073:1 & 1088:14;1099:15 & invest (1) \\
\hline 1042:18;1055:4; & 1019:2 & Index (2) & instances (1) & 1104:8 \\
\hline 1056:15;1059:24; & importance (1) & 971:5.5;1018:11 & 1008:12 & investment (6) \\
\hline 1063:23;1067:3; & 986:15 & indicate (1) & Instead (2) & 978:17;990:15; \\
\hline 1073:4;1074:8;1105:3, & important (4) & 993:11 & 1014:8;1063 & 1037:5;1038:9; \\
\hline 9;1106:24 & 1001:6,8;1020:8; & indicated (19) & Institute (1) & 1085:11;1092:1 \\
\hline HONORABLE (3) & 1086:9 & 991:13;992:15,23; & 1096:21 & involved (7) \\
\hline 971:15.5;973:2; & improve (2) & 994:1,11;995:14; & institution (1) & 979:7,8,10,20; \\
\hline 1040:8 & 1083:9;1104:15 & 996:1;1029:16;1030:1; & 1096:20 & 1038:8;1051:1; \\
\hline hot (1) & improvements (3) & 1037:17;1042:15; & integral (1) & 1104:25 \\
\hline 973:8 & 1018:3;1084:20; & 1045:20;1049:25; & 1082:24 & involvement (1) \\
\hline hotel (3) & 1104:9 & 1055:16;1063:19; & intended (1) & 1025:7 \\
\hline 991:1;1031:11; & improving (1) & 1064:6;1067:1; & 1023:22 & involving (1) \\
\hline 1047:4 & 1059:13 & 1090:23;1098:12 & intending (1) & 1036:13 \\
\hline hotels (1) & INC (1) & indicates (1) & 1106:12 & issue (7) \\
\hline 1033:7 & 971:8.5 & 1001:18 & intends (1) & 973:12,20,22; \\
\hline hour (1) & include (7) & indication (2) & 1082:16 & 975:25;976:1,6;1065:7 \\
\hline 1106:11 & 984:22;985:3; & 1015:2;1097:2 & interaction (3) & issued (1) \\
\hline Hug (1) & 1008:8;1078:2;1090:3, & individual (4) & 1019:16,18,19 & 1069:14 \\
\hline 1068:22 & . 14;1091:2 & 1002:2;1005:16; & Interbank (1) & issues (1) \\
\hline hundred (1) & included (7) & 1038:11;1080:18 & 1018:7 & 987:12 \\
\hline 1013:5 & 1005:24,25;1050:4; & individually (1) & interest (14) & item (12) \\
\hline hyperbole (1) & 1051:14,21;1090:25; & 1100:11 & 995:22;998:8; & 1001:20;1006:17; \\
\hline 1033:5 & 1102:25 & individuals (3) & 1001:22;1016:23; & 1031:20;1036:17,18, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hypotheticals (1) } \\
& \text { 1084:10 }
\end{aligned}
\] & includes (12) & 982:7;1085:9,10 & 1017:8,20;1018:13,14; & 19;1042:22;1043:11; \\
\hline & 1041:3;1064:20; & 1075:19,22, & 030:18;1047:9 & 1071:18 \\
\hline I & 1069:15;1070:7; & 1076:3;1090:11 & 1062:25 & items (1) \\
\hline & 1077:21;1086:14; & influence (1) & interests (2) & 1020:7 \\
\hline & 1088:4;1095:1; & 1052:21 & 1010:12;1011:12 & iterative (1) \\
\hline 1046:20 & 1103:14 & inform (1) & interface (2) & 987:9 \\
\hline idea (2) & including (8) & 983 & 986:23;987: & IVANKA (1) \\
\hline 996:3;1011:8 & 982:8;995:23; & information (48) & internal (3) & 971:7.5 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
identifiable (2) \\
1059:8,10
\end{tabular} & 1016:23;1021:5; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 975:19,21,22; } \\
& \text { 979:21.22.22;983:2.4 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 979:11;1011:13; } \\
& \text { 1094:21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & J \\
\hline identified (2) & 1071:7;1096:7 & \[
23 ; 1004: 9 ; 1012: 2,5,13,
\] & internally & J \\
\hline 1059:12;1066:6 & income (7) & 25;1013:2,11,19; & 1065:10 & JAMES (3) \\
\hline identify (8) & 1011:25;1012:2; & 1014:10;1022:23; & International (1) & 971:3,19;977:10 \\
\hline 996:11;1000:22; & 1013:18;1018:12; & 1023:1,6;1033:18; & 1036:14 & JANELLE (1) \\
\hline 1010:21;1033:19; & 1019:7,12;1091:1 & 1043:18;1044:2,3,4; & interrupt (1) & 972:24.5 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1052:12,19;1066:7; } \\
& \text { 1068:3 }
\end{aligned}
\] & inconsequential (1)
1028-14 & 1051:6;1056:7,11,12,
13.1057.9 10,14. & \[
\begin{gathered}
1042: 10 \\
\text { into }(29)
\end{gathered}
\] & JEFFREY (3) 971:7 5•1071:24 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1068:3 \\
identifying (1)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
1028:14 \\
incorporate (1)
\end{tabular} & \(13 ; 1057: 9,10,14 ;\)
1059:9;1061:17,19; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { into (29) } \\
& \quad 987: 6 ; 989: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
971:7.5;1071:2,4 \\
Jersey (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 995:9 & incorporate (1)
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\hline 1052:17;1053:22,25; & 1082:17;1083:17,21; & 1013:22;1015:20 & reached & recently (1) \\
\hline 1056:1,3;1058:10; & 1084:15,17;1093:19; & 1016:3;1031:13; & 1098:15;1102:1 & 1035:4 \\
\hline 1059:11;1061:23,24 & 1094:8,10,10;1097:2,5; & 41:3;1091:1,8; & reaching (4) & recess (3) \\
\hline 24;1067:8,19;1101:24 & 1098:20,24 & 33:19,23;1098:21, & 077:25;1093:19,2 & 09:10;1040 \\
\hline produced (2) & & 99: & 1:2 & 73:11 \\
\hline 1097:16;1100 & 982:15,15;983 & & read (10) & recognize (15) \\
\hline produces (1) & 989:1;1029:17;1078:8 & 1028 & \[
73: 10,11 ; 995: 1
\] & \[
990: 22 ; 991: 3,10 ;
\] \\
\hline 1101:20 & proposals (1) & put & 1005:20;1022:15 & 1006:9;1028:24; \\
\hline producing & 1038:3 & 23 & 1039:11;1064:9; & 1035:15;1042:3; \\
\hline 10 & & 1041:23 & 1084:12;1087:8,2 & 054:16;1055:13 \\
\hline prod & 984:5;987:7 & P & reading (2) & 59:20;1063:1 \\
\hline \[
97
\] & proposing (1) & 992:14,17;1036:17, & 1024:23;1099: & 1064:4;1066:18,23 \\
\hline 1029:3;1095:6 & 1038:16 & \[
103
\] & reads (3) & 1068:18 \\
\hline production (1) & & 16 & :21;1001:1 & recognized (1) \\
\hline 1006:8 & 1026: & PX & 40:22 & 976:2 \\
\hline products & & 1034:3 & read & recollection (5) \\
\hline 988:7,10;1085:11 & 975:19;1026 & & 1074:6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1048:21;1060:7, } \\
& \text { 1061:2;1065:6 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
988:7,10;1085:11, \\
professional (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { protecting (1 } \\
975: 18
\end{gathered}
\] & & 1074: & 1061:2;1065:6 recommendation \\
\hline 978:5 & & & \[
978: 6,8,11,18,19
\] & 1001:10 \\
\hline professiona & 21 & & 984:17,2 & 20;1032:18;106 \\
\hline 1008:12 & 10 & & 988:9,9;996:25;997:3, & 17;1086:1;1087:4,10, \\
\hline rofile (30) & & \[
978
\] &  & 17;1088:19 \\
\hline 982:12,16, & 10 & quality (4) & \[
25 ; 1007: 7 ; 1010: 7,9
\] & recommended (12) \\
\hline 984:13; & pro & :9,19 & 1016:9;1018:3;1019:7; & 1001:11;1003:1 \\
\hline 1001:12;1002:12; & 990:1;1017:7; & 1075 & 1021:18;1030:9; & 1031:9;1033:1; \\
\hline 1003:19,20;1007:2; & 1021:17,22;1022:8,10, & quarter (1) & 1031:25;1032:1; & 1050:16;1058:16,18; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1065:17;1071:15; & 1035:5 & 10,16,22 & report (41) & 1090:12 \\
\hline 1086:2,6;1104:2 & reflect (5) & relied (1) & 1002:10,11;1006:21, & required (11) \\
\hline recommending (7) & 1034:24;1041:5; & 1081:1 & 24;1016:4;1025:16,19; & 998:1,4,5;1022:18; \\
\hline 1001:25;1003:21; & 1054:4;1088:6;1091:6 & relief (3) & 1030:8,23;1036:10; & 1023:11;1032:1; \\
\hline 1032:10;1103:6,22,25; & flected (18) & 973:17;974:7,22 & 1040:21;1042:6,8; & 1037:15;1046:4; \\
\hline 1104:14 & 990:6;1002:9; & rely (4) & 1043:2,9;1046:5; & 1049:2,19;1090:25 \\
\hline reconstruction (1) & 1003:1;1015:6;1021:3; & 1050:10;1057:23; & 1050:3;1051:17; & requirement (12) \\
\hline 1051:1 & 1024:4;1030:8; & 1065:19;1079:8 & 1053:20;1054:3,6; & 996:23;997:1; \\
\hline record (11) & 1033:22;1039:15 & relying (4) & 1055:13,23;1058:2,7 & 1023:4,5;1025:5; \\
\hline 975:10;977:9 & 1061:17;1065:2; & \[
1000: 25 ; 1054: 8 ;
\] & 1059:6;1060:23; & 1026:22;1027:2; \\
\hline 1011:16;1020:16; & \[
1078: 23 ; 1080: 15
\] & 1091:14;1097:9 & \[
1061: 3,7,10 ; 1062: 8 ;
\] & 1032:11,13;1064:22; \\
\hline 1022:15;1036:1; & 1087:13;1092:10 & remain (5) & 1066:8,11;1068:6,9 & 1080:23;1090:13 \\
\hline 1039:11;1047:7; & 1093:5;1097:23; & 1027:2,7;1034:7 & 1085:17;1089:19; & requirements (1) \\
\hline 1067:13;1069:1; & 1102:13 & 1040:3;1062:22 & 1093:6;1098:14; & 1015:17 \\
\hline 1076:2 & reflects (8) & remained (2) & 1099:16;1100:3 & requires (1) \\
\hline recorded (1) & 993:7;1010:9 & 1024:11;1057: & reported (40) & 1044:15 \\
\hline 1090:22 & 1015:7;1032:19,19 & remains (2) & 1004:9;1005:5,7; & requiring (2) \\
\hline recording (1) & 1038:14;1085:12; & 1064:21;1072:20 & 1011:23;1012:11,14; & 1024:8;1071:12 \\
\hline 973:5 & 1088:2 & remedies (1) & 1015:21;1016:4,7; & research (3) \\
\hline recourse (3) & refresh (2) & 1028:13 & 1033:12,14;1051:5,13; & 974:6,6;1077:20 \\
\hline \[
1038: 10,16 ; 1039: 2
\] & 1043:17;1061:2 & remedy (1) & 1052:6,8,13;1057:5; & reserve (4) \\
\hline recurring (5) & refund (1) & 1004: & 1059:4;1071:20; & 1037:16;1091:2,17; \\
\hline 1001:15;1032:7; & 1090:16 & remember (9) & 1079:2;1088:3,7,15; & 1092:18 \\
\hline 1086:19;1087:19; & regard (6) & 990:12,16;993:3; & 1091:4;1092:19,23; & reserves (2) \\
\hline 1103:3 & 1007:12,13;1027:25 & 1007:4;1030:4;1052:2, & 1093:17,21;1094:12, & 995:25;1016:25 \\
\hline redevelopment (1) & 1038:4;1056:9;1080:8 & 2;1065:10;1069:10 & 14;1097:10,12; & residential (4) \\
\hline 1048:1 & region (1) & remind (3) & 1098:10;1101:8,14,20; & 985:11;988:9; \\
\hline re-direct (2) & 992: & 1030:1;1040:14,1 & 1102:12,21;1103:14,25 & 1011:19;1031:14 \\
\hline 1105:8;110 & regional (4) & renew (1) & Reporters (1) & residents (1) \\
\hline reduce (2) & 992:14,18;1064:9,12 & 1014:6 & 972:25 & 1011:19 \\
\hline 998:12;1017: & regulation (2) & renewal ( & reporting (4) & resort (21) \\
\hline reduced (15) & 998:4;1032:1 & 1042:7 & 1053:14,18;1059:3; & 991:1,6;995:22,23; \\
\hline 1015:21;1016:5; & regulations (1) & Renovation (19) & 1061:15 & 998:10,11,11,19; \\
\hline 1092:15,19;1093:18, & \[
975: 23
\] & 1017:21,23;1018:1, & reports (8) & 999:10,14;1001:23; \\
\hline 22;1094:1;1097:13,13; & REL & 3;1019:1,3,4,5,9,10,12; & 1013:12;1044:22 & 1003:18;1004:1; \\
\hline 1098:24;1099:3; & 993:25 & 1023:11,12,20; & 1051:9,16,18;1057:2; & 1016:23;1017:9,11,11; \\
\hline 1101:14,1102:3,6,9 & relate (4) & 1026:21,21;1027:3,7; & 1098:11;1104:23 & 1079:16;1104:3,4,5 \\
\hline reduces (1) & 1028:3,5;1036:9 & 1047:3 & represent (2) & resorts (2) \\
\hline 1041:8 & 1091:18 & renovations (1) & \[
1018: 13 ; 1050: 2
\] & 999:24;1000:1 \\
\hline reduction (5) & related (4) & 1019:6 & representation (7) & resources (2) \\
\hline 1094:4;1099:18; & \[
995: 25 ; 102
\] & rents (3) & 1027:14,19;1072:5, & 1011:13;1049:5 \\
\hline 1100:3,5;1102:2 & 1045:18;1049:1 & 995:24;1016:25 & 9,10,16;1073:1 & respect (4) \\
\hline refer (2) & relates (1) & 1099:22 & representations (4) & 1071:6;1098:2; \\
\hline 1003:12;1057:25 & 1079:13 & repaid (4) & 1009:24;1010:1; & 1099:7;1101:13 \\
\hline reference (2) & relation (2) & 1000:23,24;1024:12; & 1027:21;1050:10 & respective (1) \\
\hline 1058:6,8 & 978:8;1038:6 & 1075:3 & represented (3) & 1097:6 \\
\hline referenced (1) & relationship (17) & repay (3) & 1011:7;1016:13; & responsibilities (1) \\
\hline 998:13 & 986:22;987:2;994:2, & 998:17;1002:2 & 1082:6 & 981:21 \\
\hline referred (4) & 3,3,14;1042:9;1046:10, & 1024:11 & representing (1) & responsibility (1) \\
\hline 1004:12;1006:17; & 11;1078:9,11,12,13; & repayment (17) & 1091:3 & 1025:20 \\
\hline 1042:22;1043:22 & 1079:22;1080:12; & 1000:11,13,13,14,16, & represents (2) & responsible (3) \\
\hline referring (8) 996:18:1004:13 & 1085:20,23 & 20;1001:1,3,5,7; & 1018:8,12 & 994:7,17,18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 996:18;1004 } \\
& \text { 1014:17;102 }
\end{aligned}
\] & relationships (1) & 1016:12; & reputational (1) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { responsibly (1) } \\
\text { 1047:16 }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1043:8;1049:23; & relatively (2) & \[
15,19
\] & request (10) & rest (1) \\
\hline 1069:25;1070:24 & 981:25;999:24 & repeats (1) & \[
992: 22,24 ; 1029: 25 ;
\] & \[
981: 16
\] \\
\hline refers (1) & relevance (1) & 1090:8 & 1030:2;1043:12,19; & restate (1) \\
\hline 1052:5 & 1032:17 & rephrase (6) & 1044:8,11;1062:21; & 997:23 \\
\hline refinance (1) & relevant (18) & 995:3;996:2 & 1090:16 & result (2) \\
\hline 1034:21 & 983:22;999: & 1020:10,17;1032:16; & requested (2) & 1098:10;1104:9 \\
\hline refinanced (1) & 22;1021:12;1062:7; & 1058:4 & 974:7;1062:1 & resulted (3) \\
\hline 1035:3 & 1066:7,10;1068:5,8; & replaced (2) & require (3) & 1005:4;1051:12; \\
\hline refinancing (1) & 1075:23,25;1076:3,4,6, & 1090:17;1091:25 & 997:24;1011:13; & 1101:15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { resulting (1) } \\
\text { 1034:21 }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 1104:6 } \\
\text { right-hand (4) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline results (3) & 991:10;1055:14; \\
\hline 1005:3;1051:11; & 1064:4;1066:24 \\
\hline 1102:17 & rights (2) \\
\hline retail (1) & 1069:19;1090:21 \\
\hline 1011:21 & rise (4) \\
\hline retain (1) & 973:1;1009:11; \\
\hline 1062:13 & 1040:7;1074:1 \\
\hline retaining (1) & risk (53) \\
\hline 1062:18 & 975:16;979:11,23; \\
\hline retired (3) & 980:19,20,20;981:19, \\
\hline 977:12;978:22,24 & 21,23;982:12,13,14,16, \\
\hline re-underwrite (1) & 21,22;983:4,8;984:3; \\
\hline 1044:16 & 986:17;987:11;988:12, \\
\hline revenue (2) & 25;989:3,25;990:4,6; \\
\hline 995:24;1016:25 & 992:11;1001:19; \\
\hline revenues (2) & 1002:14;1010:21; \\
\hline 998:11;1017:11 & 1019:11;1020:5; \\
\hline review (48) & 1026:2,18;1031:7; \\
\hline 993:5,7;996:10,22; & 1038:14;1045:7; \\
\hline 1007:5;1009:22; & 1046:20;1064:9,12; \\
\hline 1022:19;1028:21; & 1074:21,22,24,24; \\
\hline 1030:11;1043:11,13, & 1076:11;1077:13,16; \\
\hline 14,16,23;1044:2,7,11, & 1078:5,17,20;1081:16; \\
\hline 13;1052:15;1054:19; & 1082:22;1083:3 \\
\hline 1055:17,19,20,20,21, & risks (1) \\
\hline 24;1056:1,2,8; & 1000:12 \\
\hline 1058:17;1059:11,23; & risky (2) \\
\hline 1060:22,25;1062:8; & 990:11,12 \\
\hline 1063:17,22;1064:7,14, & RMR (1) \\
\hline 17;1066:21;1067:2,8; & 972:24.5 \\
\hline 1079:4;1089:17; & ROBERT (24) \\
\hline 1091:7;1093:5; & 972:6,6,8;986:4; \\
\hline 1099:16 & 988:19;989:5;991:18; \\
\hline reviewed (15) & 995:1;997:7,11; \\
\hline 1006:4,20,24; & 1006:15;1024:15; \\
\hline 1009:23;1025:2,3; & 1029:20;1036:7; \\
\hline 1043:23;1051:9,23,25; & 1042:20;1055:6; \\
\hline 1056:14;1082:14,14; & 1056:22,25;1058:3; \\
\hline 1089:3,6 & 1069:5;1105:14; \\
\hline reviewing (8) & 1106:16,20;1107:1 \\
\hline 1028:22;1036:11; & ROBINSON (1) \\
\hline 1043:24;1059:18; & 972:24 \\
\hline 1063:12;1066:16; & role (11) \\
\hline 1068:16;1095:4 & 980:24,25;981:19; \\
\hline reviews (1) & 991:4;994:6,15;995:9; \\
\hline 1067:9 & 1025:25;1044:18; \\
\hline REVOCABLE (1) & 1056:7;1078:7 \\
\hline 971:8 & Roman (3) \\
\hline RICS (1) & 998:18,18,1006:2 \\
\hline 1096:19 & Rosemary (1) \\
\hline right (26) & 994:3 \\
\hline 977:2;988:11; & roughly (1) \\
\hline 991:25;992:2,3; & 1034:22 \\
\hline 995:12;996:2,11; & row (3) \\
\hline 997:20;1003:2;1005:8; & 1033:11;1053:15; \\
\hline 1009:6;1019:24; & 1059:2 \\
\hline 1022:18;1042:13; & rows (1) \\
\hline 1055:2;1080:7,7; & 1003:3 \\
\hline 1085:2;1086:24; & Royal (1) \\
\hline 1093:15;1094:9; & 1096:21 \\
\hline 1096:13;1097:3,8; & rules (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
993: 3 \\
\text { running (1) } \\
978: 17 \\
\text { RXR (1) } \\
972: 7
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { seated (5) } \\
& 973: 6 ; 1009: 12 ; \\
& 1040: 3,9 ; 1074: 2 \\
& \text { second (8) } \\
& 1022: 7 ; 1040: 1 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 994:13;1068:22,23,24 } \\
& \text { seniority (1) } \\
& 992: 4 \\
& \text { sense (9) } \\
& 985: 5 ; 999: 13 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline S & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1082:13,16;1088:2,3,6, } \\
& 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1008: 3 ; 1009: 2 \\
& \text { 1016:10;1020:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & & 1038:4;1082:23 \\
\hline & 1000:13;1076 & 1096:9 \\
\hline & secondly (2) & sent (1) \\
\hline sales (4) & 984:15;986:13 & 989:23 \\
\hline 1008:10,15,24 & secret (1) & sentence (10) \\
\hline 037:19 & 975 & 996:6;998:2 \\
\hline salient (1) & section (32) & 1005:2,22;1013:22; \\
\hline 1082:15 & 996:1;998:6 & 1015:20;1034:17; \\
\hline same (26) & 1000:15;1002:8,11; & 1036:21;1037:2; \\
\hline 1030:12,21;1033:23; & 1003:5,15;1011:18; & 1051:20 \\
\hline 1034:7;1036:9;1038:3, & 1015:12;1016:20,22 & separate (2) \\
\hline 17,17;1044:21;1045:3; & 1017:19;1019:15; & 1037:9;1091:12 \\
\hline 1051:8,17;1056:2; & 1026:23;1027:1; & series (3) \\
\hline 1061:24;1067:9,20; & 1031:2;1034:14,16 & 1015:15;1045:11; \\
\hline 1068:11;1072:8; & 1046:12;1050:12; & 1053:1 \\
\hline 1080:4,5;1081:7; & 1051:3;1055:13; & serves (1) \\
\hline 1084:13;1093:21; & 1057:2;1058:13; & 1010:15 \\
\hline 1094:9;1099:7; & 1062:11;1064:16; & services (22) \\
\hline 1103:17 & 1065:2;1082:13; & 980:7,14;994:8,8; \\
\hline sanity (2) & 1084:23;1086:1; & 996:10,23;1013:25; \\
\hline 1095:2,7 & 1088:21;1089:25 & 1014:2,5,9;1015:3,9; \\
\hline satisfactory (2) & secured (13) & 1041:14;1094:18,20; \\
\hline 996:10,22 & 997:24;998:2,3,2 & 1096:8;1098:3,12,20; \\
\hline saw (4) & 999:1,2;1003:5,23; & 1099:11,17;1100:6 \\
\hline 1030:22;1033:24 & 1030:9;1032:25; & serving (1) \\
\hline 1045:4;1067:14 & 1050:14;1058:14 & 982:6 \\
\hline saying (2) & 1065:16 & session (4) \\
\hline 973:20;1084:21 & securities (7) & 973:2;1009:12; \\
\hline Scalzi (2) & 985:11;1023:14; & 1040:8;1074:2 \\
\hline 994:4;1079 & 1048:18,21;1088:1 & set (7) \\
\hline scenario (2) & 1089:5,9 & 993:18;1025:22,25; \\
\hline 1005:16;1049 & security (2) & 1026:5,15;1028: \\
\hline scheduled (1) & 995:21;1016:23 & 1068:21 \\
\hline 1062:18 & seeing (2) & sets (1) \\
\hline school (1) & 1032:15;1048:1 & 1038:6 \\
\hline 977:25 & seek (1) & setting (1) \\
\hline Schroeder (4) & 1016:12 & 1025:9 \\
\hline 1004:21;1081:8; & seem (1) & SEVEN (4) \\
\hline 1089:2,11 & 990:12 & 971:10.5;1032:22; \\
\hline score (2) & segment (19) & 1040:25;1055:10 \\
\hline 979:23;98 & 1000:9;1001:17; & several (3) \\
\hline screen (9) & 1002:10;1010:7; & 1004:7;1039:18; \\
\hline 973:13;1042:12,13 & 1011:15;1015:15 & 1051:4 \\
\hline 1046:13;1055:11,14; & 1016:19;1017:6; & shall (6) \\
\hline 1064:4;1066:24; & 1021:24;1031:8; & 998:10;1017:10; \\
\hline 1079:13 & 1032:23;1033:11; & 1023:12;1024:2; \\
\hline scroll (9) & 1034:15;1037:2; & 1048:2,25 \\
\hline 995:18;1026:25; & 1040:22;1046:16, & Shaun (1) \\
\hline 1027:10;1034:1; & 19;1056:6 & 1036:2 \\
\hline 1046:14;1065:12; & sell (7) & sheet (5) \\
\hline 1088:21;1090:7; & 985:18,24,25;98 & 994:21,23;1007:13; \\
\hline 1093:9 & 988:10;999:23;1000:2 & 1011:14;1024:6 \\
\hline Sean (2) & Seney (1) & sheets (1) \\
\hline 992:11;1035:18 & 977:11 & 1038:6 \\
\hline seat (1) & Senior (6) & short (1) \\
\hline 977:7 & 972:25;992:6; & 983:24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline shortfall (5) & 997:21 & 15;1076:10,13,15,19 & standing (2) & 1062:11;1064:16,19; \\
\hline 998:12,20;1000:17; & simply (2) & sources (1) & 991:20;105 & 1069:14;1070:2,19; \\
\hline 17:12;1047:11 & 976:2;1084:6 & 1000:11 & stands (7) & 1071:4,18;1072:19; \\
\hline shortfalls (4) & sit (3) & Spa & 994:2,12;1018 & 1091:24 \\
\hline 998:9;1017:9 & 976:5,8 & 995:22;999:10,14 & 037:5,23;1085:2,14 & stating (2) \\
\hline 1030:19;1047: & sitting (1) & 004:1 & Stanley (3) & 997:6;1053:17 \\
\hline shorthand (1) & & space & 1069:14;1070:14,1 & status (2) \\
\hline 1076:19 & situation (2) & 1011:20,21;1012: & start (3) & 1056:9;1070:19 \\
\hline show (4) & 1041:10;1068: & speak (2) & 977:23;99 & statute (7) \\
\hline 1033:19;1034:2; & six (7) & 977:13;9 & 1065:13 & 991:18;1006:15; \\
\hline 1042:12;1084:9 & 1010:4;1015:14; & speaking (1) & started (1) & 1029:20;1036:7; \\
\hline showed (1) & 029:13;1034:3; & 1012:16 & 1061:19 & 1042:20;1055:6; \\
\hline 1048:17 & 1040:21;1093:8; & special (1) & starting (1) & 1069:5 \\
\hline showing (3) & 098:20 & 993:13 & 1026:14 & stayed (1) \\
\hline 1056:6;1057:18 & size (7) & specialist & STATE (8) & 1094:9 \\
\hline 1067:18 & 983:15;990: & 986:11;999:1 & 971:1,2.5,3.5,19; & step (1) \\
\hline shown (1) & 21:5;1026:12; & specialists (1) & 74:24;975:23;977:8; & 1011:14 \\
\hline 1070:20 & 1027:12;1046:9; & 986:25 & 1047:7 & step-down (1) \\
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\hline 1034:24;1035:7,9; & visible (1) & 1027:14,19,2 & whole (6) & worked (11) \\
\hline 1041:4,8,22;1049:1,1; & 1048:14 & Washington (1) & 977:4;981:16;988:7 & 978:14,17,25 \\
\hline 1052:14;1062:5,24; & visited (2) & 1045:2 & 989:8;1019:14; & 995:15;1036:24 \\
\hline 1063:1;1065:20; & 1089:2,1 & (16) & 1026: & 1060:15;1079:25; \\
\hline 1070:7;1071:19; & volatility (1) & 974:22;979:21 & wholly (1) & 1080:4,5,15;1082: \\
\hline 1072:2;1075:12; & 986:13 & 985:18;989:15;992:5; & 1089:7 & working (7) \\
\hline 1083:9,13,14;1084:19; & Vrablic (2) & 997:14;1000:7,24; & wholly-owned (1) & 979:15;980:8 \\
\hline 1091:8;1092:23; & 994:3;1079:2 & 1013:19;1033:23 & 1010:11 & 981:12,13,16;992:19; \\
\hline 1093:17,19,21,23; & Vrablic/Scalzi (1) & 1041:7;1051:17; & whose (2) & 1105:9 \\
\hline 1094:4;1096:1,3,6,9 & 993:25 & 1074:20;1076:17,18,20 & 988:21;1095: & works (1) \\
\hline 10,11;1098:4,12,16,17, & & ways (1) & Williams (2) & 989:15 \\
\hline 22,24;1099:13;1100:3, & W & 1000:2 & 1089:2,11 & world (4) \\
\hline 6,9,10,15,16,17; & & weakest (1) & willing (7) & 1096:12;110 \\
\hline 1101:4,5,20,21,25; & WABASH & 1046:10 & 983:14,16,1 & 1106:9 \\
\hline 1102:13;1103:14,15 & & wealth (31) & 989:21;1063:2 & worrying (1) \\
\hline 1104:1,10,16,24 & 1044:8,13;1055:2 & 980:10,14,2 & 1070:11;1083:1 & 1059:13 \\
\hline valued (3) & & 981:24;982:2,4 & withdraw (4) & worse (1) \\
\hline 1031:14,16;1034:21 & 1056:24;110 & 984:13;986:19,22,24 & 995:6;1020:1 & 1106:9 \\
\hline values (20) & waive (3) & 988:23;999:8,15; & 1030:5;1036:21 & worth (47) \\
\hline 1005:5,7,9,10,13,14, & 1054:1;1069:16,1 & 1003:22;1010:20 & withdrawn (3) & 982:7;985: \\
\hline 17;1007:22;1008:1,10, & waivers (1) & 1014:4,8;1021:1; & 1020:13,18;1030:6 & 1001:14;1008: \\
\hline 16,25;1031:12,23; & 1047:16 & 1032:12;1033:6,7 & within (15) & 1011:11;1015:10 \\
\hline 1051:13;1052:13; & waiving (1) & 1036:23;1037:9; & 980:9;982: & 1016:11;1024:1,2,4,9, \\
\hline 1072:23;1097:25; & 1028:13 & 1050:24,25;1058:9 & 986:22,24;989:25 & 13,17;1025:5,23; \\
\hline 1100:12,18 & walk (4) & 1061:21;1064:12; & 995:17;1010:20; & 1026:5,10,11,14; \\
\hline valuing (2) & 986:18;99 & 1079:25;1085:3,1 & 1021:23;1022:9,17 & 1027:6,7;1032:6; \\
\hline 1041:5;1094:23 & 1060:4;1088:23 & weeds (1) & 1023:15;1045:7; & 1041:9;1048:25; \\
\hline variation (1) & WALL (5) & 9:12 & 1048:22;1049:19 & 1049:3,7;1064:21 \\
\hline 1028:15 & 971:10;1012:7; & weigh (1) & 1061:21 & 1072:1,13;1083:17,20; \\
\hline varied (1) & 1034:7;1093:25 & 77:15 & without (7) & 1084:9,15,21;1085:8,9, \\
\hline 990:11 & 1098:19 & weight (2) & 989:21;993:2 & 20;1086:17,1087:8,19, \\
\hline various (7) & WALLACE (61) & 974:20;1081:18 & 1003:23;1015:10; & 23;1093:1;1101:4; \\
\hline 979:8;982 & 971:21;974:18; & WeiserMazars (2) & 1021:2;1090:15; & 1102:17,21,21;1103:1 \\
\hline 1005:10;1007:23; & 976:20;977:17,19,2 & 1004:10;1051:6 & 1106:7 & writ (1) \\
\hline 1014:10;1028:12; & 991:8,16;995:5,18; & WEISSELBERG (4) & witness (43) & 999:7 \\
\hline 1057:4 & 997:12,14,16;1000:9; & 971:7.5;1105:22; & 975:9,14;976:19,20 & write (1) \\
\hline vehicle (5) & 1004:5;1006:13; & 1106:5,10 & 24,25;977:1,6,10,13, & 1059:14 \\
\hline 991:5;993:13,17,18, & 1009:20;1010:3; & what's (21) & 16;997:9,12,18; & writes (1) \\
\hline 21 & 1013:7;1016:17; & 985:4,13,19,21 & 1009:15,16,17; & 1060:21 \\
\hline Ventura (1) & 1019:13,22;1020:10, & 992:15,23;994:11; & 1012:24;1019:22,25; & writing (1) \\
\hline 1044:8 & 15;1021:9;1024:23; & 995:14;996:1,11,12 & 1020:2;1024:22; & 1070:14 \\
\hline VENTURE (4) & 1025:2,12,13;1026:25; & 1036:17;1039:15; & 1025:6,8;1028:22; & written (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 971:9.5;1029:5; } \\
& \text { 1044:13;1055:21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1028:17;1029:18; } \\
& \text { 1030:25;1034:1; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1043:22;1052:19; } \\
& \text { 1062:21:1064:8: }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1040:10,11,12,13,14; } \\
& \text { 1059:18;1063:12; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 992:4
wrote (1) \\
\hline ventures (2) & 1036:5,16;1039:19,24; & 1079:8;1084:3;1095:2; & 1066:16;1068:16; & 1086:10 \\
\hline 1102:1,3 & 1040:18;1042:10,18; & 1098:14 & 1069:23;1073:10;
1074:3, 57.1106 .7 & \\
\hline verification ( & 1043:5;1049:15; & whatsocver ( & 1074:3,4,5,7;1106:7, & Y \\
\hline 1057:20 & 1055:4;1056:15; & 1063:3 & 12,13 & \\
\hline verified (2) & 1058:11;1059:24; & whenever ( & witnesses (1) & \\
\hline 983:1;1057:17 & 1063:23;1065:12; & 1009:2 & 1040:15 & \[
981: 15,16 ; 1033: 20 ;
\]
\[
1037 \cdot 15 \cdot 15 \cdot 1039 \cdot 21
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { verify (2) } \\
& \text { 1072:5;1073:1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1067:3;1068:11; & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { wherein (1) } \\
992: 18
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { WM (1) } \\
& 1064: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1037:15,15;1039:21; } \\
& \text { 1056:1;1057:6,13,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline version (1) & 1083:19,23;1084:2,4,8; & Whercupon (17) & wording (2) & 1061:24;1062:2; \\
\hline 997:21 & 1105:11;1106:3,24 & 976:25;987:16; & 1019:19;1086:23 & 1064:25;1066:11; \\
\hline versus (1) & Wall's (1) & 991:22;1006:17; & words (7) & 1088:4;1104:5 \\
\hline 985:14 & 1012:13 & 1009:10,16;1029:22; & 989:14;996:12 & yearend (2) \\
\hline view (8) & wants (4) & 1040:4;1042:22; & 999:13;1013:5;1018:1; & 1050:1,5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline years (16) & 1045:17 & 17.1 (1) & 1034:6;1040:20; & 293 (8) \\
\hline 978:25;1033:16,18, & 10:00 (1) & 1011:21 & 1052:11;1059:3,6; & 990:18;991:23; \\
\hline 21;1037:20;1044:10; & 1107:3 & 170 (1) & 1062:2;1066:3,5; & 1010:4;1016:18; \\
\hline 1052:11,18;1061:25; & 100 (1) & 1045:16 & 1067:23;1079:11; & 1034:3;1040:20; \\
\hline 1062:4;1067:20; & 1037:24 & 178 (1) & 1089:1;1090:18 & 1042:23;1079:12 \\
\hline 1068:2;1080:3;1082:4; & 100,000 (2) & 1089:4 & 2012 (5) & 294 (1) \\
\hline 1090:15;1091:25 & 1085:14,15 & 178,000 (1) & 1033:16,21;1034:6; & 1041:25 \\
\hline yield (1) & 10005 (1) & 1011:20 & \[
1036: 3 ; 1059: 3
\] & 298 (4) \\
\hline 1101:2 & 971:20.5 & 188 (1) & 2013 (4) & 1054:13;1055:9; \\
\hline YORK (16) & 10013 (1) & 1091:3 & 1052:11;1059:3; & 1056:16,19 \\
\hline 971:1,1.5,2.5,3.5,13, & 971:13 & 19 (1) & 1071:21,22 & \\
\hline 13,19,20.5,20.5; & 100M (1) & 1045:14 & 2014 (5) & 3 \\
\hline 972:7.5,14.5,14.5; & 1085:12 & 1962 (1) & 1050:3;1059:3,6; & \\
\hline 974:19;977:11,22; & 101 (1) & 1104:6 & 1069:3;1071:8 & 3 (1) \\
\hline 1040:25 & 972:2.5 & 197 (2) & 2015 (4) & 1036:16 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{Z}\) & 10165 (1) & 1093:22;1098:12 & 1055:2,13;1056:1; & 3,299.4 (1) \\
\hline 2 & 106 (1) & \(1975(1)\)
\(978: 2\) &  & 1101: \\
\hline Zensky (7) & 1045:13 & 1978 (1) & 1059:23;1066:3,5 & 1012:3;1014:12 \\
\hline 972:20;975:10,10, & 107 (1) & 978:2 & 2017 (1) & 3.50 (1) \\
\hline 12;976:7,13,16 & 1031:18 & 1981 (1) & 1067:24 & 1011:22 \\
\hline zero (1) & 11 (4) & 978:3 & 2018 (8) & 30 (10) \\
\hline 1062:17 & 971:13.5;1021:9; & 199.2 (1) & 980:25;981:1,2,3,18, & 1008:6;1010:17; \\
\hline zoned (1) & 1042:11;1050:5 & 1102:9 & 20;1066:21;1067:25 & 1069:3;1071:8,21,22; \\
\hline 1041:2 & 113 (1) & 1990 (1) & 2022 (1) & 1090:15,18;1091:25; \\
\hline zoom (5) & 1031:14 & 981:15 & 1034:19 & 1106:11 \\
\hline 1010:5;1016:19,21; & 114,000 (1) & \[
1999 \text { (1) }
\] & \[
2023 \text { (2) }
\] & \[
300(3)
\] \\
\hline 1034:3;1100:1 & 1011:20 & \[
981: 17
\] & 971:13.5;1107:3 & 1059:16,25;1060:3 \\
\hline 0 & \(11556 ~(1) ~\)
972.7 & 2 & 206.3 (1) & 302 (4) \\
\hline & \[
12(5)
\] & 2 & 20MM (1) & 1067:4,7 \\
\hline 0 (1) & 971:9.5;1043:12,15; & 2 (3) & 1085:1 & 30th (1) \\
\hline 1092:19 & 1055:20;1107:2 & 1036:17;1050:3; & 21 (1) & 1050:1 \\
\hline 011946 (1) & 12/31 (3) & 1092:23 & 1034:22 & 30-yard (1) \\
\hline 1006:9 & 1021:23;1022:9,17 & 2,040.4 (1) & 229.9 (1) & 976:10 \\
\hline 07921 (1) & 120 (1) & 1101:15 & 1089:5 & 30-year (1) \\
\hline 972:11 & 1049:19 & 2,365,800,000 (1) & 22nd (1) & 1090:12 \\
\hline & 125 (1) & 1102:17 & 1025:14 & 31 (2) \\
\hline 1 & 996:8 & 2.00 (2) & 23 (1) & 1010:14,17 \\
\hline & 126.3 (1) & 1062:18;1063:4 & 1036:2 & 310 (1) \\
\hline 1 (1) & 1031:16 & 2.25 (5) & 240 (1) & 1071:15 \\
\hline 1098:25 & 13 (2) & 1017:22;1018:6,11; & 972:10.5 & 311 (1) \\
\hline 1,000,737,900,000 (1) & 1032:7;1060:11 & 1021:7,8 & 25 (2) & 1094:6 \\
\hline 1093:2 & 135 (5) & 2.4 (7) & 1017:24;1101:5 & 3137 (3) \\
\hline 1,221,100 (1) & 1001:13;1086:14; & 1001:14;1032:6; & 258.9 (2) & 1063:10,24;1064:2 \\
\hline 1097:13 & 1087:9,18;1102:25 & 1086:17;1087:8,19,22; & 1088:15;1092:15 & 32.7 (1) \\
\hline 1,221,500,000 (1) & 135.8 (2) & 1103:1 & 26.2 (1) & 1062:12 \\
\hline 1097:14 & 1088:16;1092:15 & 2.5 (6) & 1012:9 & 32302 (1) \\
\hline 1,315,000,000 (1) & 14 (2) & 1021:7;1024:2; & 263.7 (1) & 972:3 \\
\hline 1100:4 & 1049:15;1104:19 & 1025:5;1027:7; & 1093:22 & 330 (2) \\
\hline 1,590,000,000 (1) & 1430 (1) & 1048:25;1049:3 & 27 (1) & 1006:7,18 \\
\hline 1097:13 & 972:10.5 & 2:15 (1) & 1060:5 & 35 (4) \\
\hline 1,737.9 (1) & 146 (1) & 1040:2 & 273.2 (1) & 1062:15,16;1063:1; \\
\hline 1101:16 & 1032:5 & 20 (6) & 1101:1 & 1099:13 \\
\hline 1.3 (3) & 15 (8) & 1023:16;1048:3,13; & 278 (1) & 36 (1) \\
\hline 1012:8;1015:21; & 973:25;990:15; & 1055:1;1085:2;1089:1 & 1099:4 & 1031:17 \\
\hline 1103:14 & 1009:8,14;1061:22; & 200 (1) & 28 (1) & 360 (1) \\
\hline 1.326.4 (1) & 1072:24;1073:9; & 1041:1 & 971:20 & 1102:4 \\
\hline 1097:16 & 1106:4 & 2008 (1) & 29 (1) & 37 (5) \\
\hline 10 (6) & 15-minute (1) & 980:25 & 1089:8 & 971:1.5;973:1; \\
\hline 1062:13,19;1063:6, & 1009:6 & 2011 (17) & 291 (4) & 1009:11;1040:7; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 7;1103:14;1106:3 } \\
& 10.25(1)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 15 X(1) \\
1072: 20
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 981:20;1008:6; } \\
& \text { 1010:14;1033:16,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1028:20;1029:19,23; } \\
& \text { 1034:14 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1074: 2 \\
& 378(1)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \\
\({ }_{2}\) COUNTY OF NEW YORK: CIVL TERM: PART 37 \\
2 PEOPLE OFTHE STATEOFNEW YORK, BY \\
3 LETITAA JAMES ATTORNEY GENERAL'OF \\
4 THE STATE OF NEW YORK, \\
5 \\
Plaintiff, \\
Index No. \\
6 mosainst- Index \({ }^{5}\) No. \\
DONALD J. TRUMP; DONALD TRUMP, JR.; ERIC TRUMP; \\
IVANKA TRUMP ALLEN WEISSELBERG; JEFEREY MCCONNEY; \\
ORGANIZATION, INC. TRUMP ORGANIZATION, LLC \\
9 DJT HOLDNGS, LLC; DJTT HOLDINGS MANAGING MEMBER; \\
TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12 LLC; 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE, \\
11 \\
LLC.; AND SEVEN SPRINGS, LLC, \\
BEFOREE HONORABLE ARTHUR F. ENGORON, \\
Justice, Supreme Court \\
APPEARANCES: \\
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL \\
OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK - LETITIA JAMES \\
20 Attorneys for Plaintiff \\
28 Liberty Street \\
21 New York New York 10005 \\
BY KEVNN WALLACE, ESO. \\
22 COLLEEN KAEAAHERTY, ESQ. \\
23 ERICHAREN, ESOO. \\
24 (Appearances continued on the next page.)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Laptops and cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now, please be seated and come to order. \\
THE COURT: Tommy, you're getting better and better at that. \\
Okay. Supposedly, the witnesses are going to be brought earlier, so we have less time to wait. Can we get Mr. Haigh? \\
Counselors, anything to say, housekeeping? \\
MR. WALLACE: Plaintiff is prepared to continue. \\
THE COURT: Please. \\
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you. \\
THE COURT: For those of you who weren't here yesterday or didn't hear about it, we are resuming the cross-examination of Mr. Haigh formerly of Deutsche Bank. THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. \\
THE COURT: I will remind the witness as I always do that he is still under oath. \\
Mr. Suarez, would you like to continue? \\
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you, your Honor.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 APPEARANCES: \\
2 CONTINENTAL, PLLC Attorneys for Defendants \\
3101 North Monroe Street - Suite 750 \\
Tallahassee, Florida 32302 \\
4 BY: CHRISTOPHER M. KISE, ESQ. \\
BY: LAZARO P. FIELDS, ESQ. \\
JESUS M. SUAREZ, ESQ. \\
6 ROBERT \& ROBERT, PLLC \\
Attorneys for Defendant \\
7526 RXR Plaza \\
Uniondale, New York 11556 \\
BY: CLIFFORD S. ROBERT, ESQ. \\
9 HABBA MADAIO \& ASSOCIATES, LLP Attorneys for Defendants \\
101430 US Highway - Suite 240 \\
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921 \\
11 BY: ALINȦ HABBA, ESQ. \\
12 MORIAN LAW, PLLC \\
Attorneys for Defendants \\
1360 East 42nd Street - Suite 4600 \\
New York, New York 10165 \\
14 BY: ARMEN MORIAN, ESQ. \\
15 THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION \\
725 Fifth Avenue \\
16 New York, New York 10022 \\
BY: ALAN G. GARTEN, ESQ. \\
17 \\
18 \\
19 \\
20 \\
21 \\
23 \\
NICOLE C. ROBINSON, CSR JANELLE LONDON, RMR, CRR
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ) \\
CROSS-EXAMINATION. \\
BY MR. SUAREZ: (CONT'D) \\
Q Mr. Haigh, good morning. \\
A Good morning. \\
Q Turning back yesterday to Plaintiff's 293, we were \\
reviewing on page three the basis for recommendation of the \\
Doral facility and I just wanted to close the loop on something here. \\
When we talk about the financial strength of the \\
guarantor being based on the 48 million in part, the 48 million \\
in adjusted recurring cash flow, do you see that number there? \\
A Yes. \\
Q I wanted to make sure that that tied out to the 48 \\
million in recurring net cash flow on page seven of this \\
document which reflects in the second column the DB adjusted value for recurring net cash flow of 48.8 million, correct? \\
A That's correct. \\
Q And with respect to the expected enhanced value due to \\
CapEx, again mentioned on page three of this document, as a basis for recommending the facility? \\
A So I see that. \\
Q The second sentence, "As DJT expects to invest \\
approximately 50 million on capital improvements, it is expected that the value of our collateral will increase significantly \\
25 over the term of the facility." Do you see that?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{A I see that.}

Q Again, on page nine of this document, that reflects the project overview in the third line of the second paragraph, "Upon acquisition, the guarantor intends to invest an additional 50 million to renovate and reposition the record to its previous world class standard."

Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And that's the basis of the statement on page three
that the recommendation was made in part based on the anticipated investment by the guarantor into the property?

A I see that, yes.
Q And in fact, the guarantor ultimately invested more than the \(\$ 50\) million in the property?
A I don't recall how much he ultimately vested.
Q Okay. We can get to that. Turning to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 291, this is another document that you reviewed yesterday in your testimony, Mr. Haigh. And I believe it's the originating memo for the facility on the Trump Chicago the 401 North Wabash?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q The borrower in this transaction was an entity called 401 North Kabash Venture, L.L.C. correct?
A Correct.
25 Q The lender was Deutsche Bank Trust Company America,

\section*{N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ)}

Page 1113

\section*{correct?}

A Correct.
Q And the guarantor is Donald J. Trump, correct?
A Yes.
Q Eric Trump is not a party to this loan agreement, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Neither is Donald J. Trump, Jr. correct?
A That is correct.
Q Let me say that better. Donald Trump, Jr. is not a party to this loan agreement; is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q DJT Holdings, L.L.C. is not a party to this loan agreement, correct?

A So I'd have to look at the loan documentation itself to see who the ultimate parties ended up being. It is possible there were some changes between this approval and the final set of entities that signed the loan documentation.

Q At the time of the approval, there were no other parties to the agreement other than the Deutsche Bank trust company as the lender, Donald J. Trump as the guarantor and 401 North Wabash?
A That was certainly the intention at the time this memorandum was approved.
25 Q DJT Holdings Managing Member, L.L.C. was not a party to
N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ)
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1 this agreement?

2

A Like I said, I would have to look at the actual loan documents to see what entities became a party to the agreement.
Q Was the Trump Organization Inc. a party to this loan agreement?
A As I said, I'd have to look at the loan documentation.
Q As part of the underwriting reflected in the credit
report, the Trump Organization Inc. was not a party to the transaction, correct?
A That's correct. Per the credit report, the Trump
Organization was -- as an entity was not one of the either the borrower or the guarantor.
Q And pursuant to this credit report at least, DJT
Holdings, L.L.C. was neither the borrower or the guarantor?
A I agree with you, yes.
Q The same for DJT Managing, L.L.C.?
A It is not in the credit report.
Q The same for the Trump Organization, L.L.C.?
A It is not on the credit report.
Q The same for 40 Wall Street, L.L.C.?
A It is not on the credit report.
THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, why don't you just ask if there are any other parties instead of asking ten different entities whether they are a party? Wouldn't that work?

MR. SUAREZ: I think the first one, if I may, Your

Honor, may take me a little bit longer and then on the following ones, I have a script to cut it down.

THE COURT: I also suggest, again, not to ask negative questions. He didn't do it, did he, and then you don't know whether yes or no. Just did he do it? No. Okay.
Q Per the credit report, Trump Endeavor, 12, L.L.C was not a party to this loan agreement?
A Per the credit report, it is not a party.
Q Trump Old Post Office is not a party to this transaction, correct?
A Per the credit report, it is not a party to this transaction.
Q Okay. The 401 North Wabash transaction, which we referred to as the Chicago transaction, had two components, correct?
A Yes.
Q Facility A, we can scroll down. The collateral for facility A was a first mortgage lien and a first priority security interest in the residential component of the building known as the Trump Chicago?
A Yes.
Q And that included residential condominium units that were not sold, correct?
A That's correct.

analysis at page ten of this report, correct?
A There it is. 13.4 million on page ten.
Q 13.4 million which was the DB adjusted net excess cash
flow, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Going back to page five, another factor for supporting the facility was the operator's experience in operating this property type, correct?
A That is correct.
Q And that's a reference to Mr. Trump, correct?
A Yes.
Q It says that he's a well-known and experienced operator of properties of this type, correct?
A That is correct.
Q Then again, another factor was increasing Deutsche Bank's relationship with Mr. Trump and his affiliates, correct?

A Yes.
Q In page seven, I'd like to draw your attention to,
again, analysis of the guarantor's balance sheet and below it, it states that on October 19, 2012, Tom Sullivan and Emily Schroeder visited the offices of the guarantor, correct?

A Correct.
Q You were not present at that meeting, correct?
A I was not present at that meeting.
Q But you are aware that based on the result of that
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meeting, DB made certain adjustments to the resulted -- excuse m-e. To the reported values on the credit report, correct?
A Yes.
Q Then turning over to page eight, we again see a valuation of the four trophy properties that included the Deutsche Bank Valuation Services Group analysis that we discussed yesterday, correct?

A Correct.
Q And Deutsche Bank Valuation Services Group performed the same type of analysis for these properties as that which we discussed yesterday, correct?
A I believe so.
Q That is what's reflected in this document, correct?
A Yes.
Q And you signed this document, correct?
A Idid.
Q Page ten of this document, there are certain key ratios that are discussed here at the bottom and it states, "To demonstrate the strength of the guarantor, we have applied the unsecured lending guidelines assuming repayment of the obligation by the guarantor using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and the net cash flow," correct?
A That's correct.
Q That means that when the key ratios were computed, the
inputs that were put in were the DB adjusted values, correct?
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1 A Correct. The DB adjusted values were the inputs.
2 Q Turning over to Plaintiff's Exhibit 290, this is the
32013 credit report, correct?
4 A Yes, it is.
5 Q A document that you signed, correct?
6 A Yes, I believe so.
7 Q And the reason for this document was to modify the
8 Trump Endeavor 12, L.L.C. loan facility, correct?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Proven interest rate swap?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Review the Trump Endeavor 12, L.L.C. loan facility?
13 A Annual review, that's correct.
14 Annual review and to request a change of the annual 5 review date to July 30, 2014, correct?

A Yes.
Q And at this time, Trump Endeavor 12, L.L.C. had been substituted as the borrower in the Doral transaction, correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q I should say by this time. When we reviewed it in 2011, it said to be determined?

A Right.
Q And by now, we know that the borrower in the Doral transaction was Trump Endeavor 12, L.L.C., correct?

A That is the actual legal entity.

Q So with respect to the Doral transaction, you would 2 agree with me that the borrower was Trump Endeavor 12, L.L.C.?
3 A That was the borrower, yes.
4 Q And the lender was Deutsche Bank Trust Company 5 Americas?
6 A Yes.
7 Q And the guarantor was Donald J. Trump?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And no other party was a borrower, a guarantor or a 10 lender?

17 A Most likely, yes.
18 Q This credit report dated July 24, 2013, you would agree 19 with me, reflects that the Doral loan was performing?
20 A Yes, I believe so. Yes.
21 Q There were no missed payments correct?
22 A Not to my recollection.
23 Q No late payments?
24 A Not to my recollection.
25 Q That's something you would expect to see in the credit
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ) Page 1124 & N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ) Page 1126 \\
\hline 1 report? & 1 worth covenant, correct? \\
\hline 2 A Yes. & 2 A Corre \\
\hline 3 Q And we would agree that the credit report doesn't & 3 Q A little further down, page five, again, we see that \\
\hline 4 identify any missed payments or late payments, correct? & 4 the modification to the facility is being recommended for \\
\hline 5 A That's correct. & 5 approval based on we see the financial profile of the guarantor \\
\hline 6 Q If you could turn to page four of the credit report, & 6 which includes on an adjusted basis 146 million in unencumbered \\
\hline 7 please. The credit report provides for a modification of the & 7 liquidity, correct? \\
\hline 8 Doral loan transaction, correct? & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 A Yes. & 9 Q And that's the DB adjusted value, correct? \\
\hline 10 Q And it indicates that the maximum loan to value would & 10 A Yes. \\
\hline 11 determine the guaranty level going forward, correct? & 11 Q And that's the DB adjusted value that's carried over \\
\hline 12 A Yes. & 12 from page seven of the credit report, correct? \\
\hline 13 Q So when the collateral appraises over a certain loan to & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 value, the guaranty level goes stepping down, correct? & 14 Q And we see 2.4 billion in net worth again is a \\
\hline 15 A That is correct. & 15 basis -- as a basis for the recommendation, correct? \\
\hline 16 Q Stated differently, as the loan to value ratio is & 16 A That's correct. \\
\hline 17 reduced with respect to the Doral loan, there is a corresponding & 17 Q And that \$2.4 billion figure is the DB adjusted value, \\
\hline 18 reduction in the amount of the guarantee, correct? & 18 correct? \\
\hline 19 A Correct. & 19 A That is correct. \\
\hline 20 Q For example, when the loan to value is below & 20 Q And that's the DB adjusted value that's reflected in \\
\hline 2165 percent, the guaranty level drops to 40 percent, correct? & 21 page seven of this credit report, correct? \\
\hline 22 A That's correct. & 22 A Yes. \\
\hline 23 Q When the loan to value is below 55 percent, the & 23 Q And again, with respect to the 13.4 million in adjusted \\
\hline 24 guaranty level drops to 20 percent, correct? & 24 recurring net cash flow, that's also based on DB's own analysis \\
\hline 25 A Yes. & 25 at page nine of this credit report, correct? \\
\hline N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ) Page 1125 & N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ) Page 1127 \\
\hline \(1 \quad Q \quad\) When the loan to value is below 45 percent, the & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 guaranty level drops to ten percent, correct? & 2 Q An additional factor for recommendation here is also an \\
\hline 3 A Yes. & 3 equity injection by the guarantee, correct? \\
\hline 4 Q And when the loan to value is below 35 percent, the & 4 A Yes. \\
\hline 5 guaranty level is zero, correct? & 5 Q It states that while the initial injection of the \\
\hline 6 A Correct. & 6 guarantor was estimated at 50 million, we have been told that \\
\hline 7 Q On page five of this credit memo, we also see approval & 7 the estimate has been increased to anywhere from 150 to 160 \\
\hline 8 of a change in the net worth covenant, correct? & 8 million with approximately 30 million already invested, correct? \\
\hline 9 A Yes, that's correct. & 9 A That's correct. \\
\hline 10 Q And in fact, it provides that as the guaranty level is & 10 Q And again, on the following page of this credit memo, \\
\hline 11 reduced, the net worth covenant is also reduced, correct? & 11 yet another basis for recommendation is that the LTV levels \\
\hline 12 A That appears to be correct, yes. & 12 required and it says "to for." I think it probably should say \\
\hline 13 Q So that when the guaranty level is at 100 percent, the & 13 the LTV is required for a decrease in the guaranty levels are \\
\hline 14 net worth covenant is 2 and-a-half billion, correct? & 14 low enough to ensure that the is more than adequately \\
\hline 15 A Yes. & 15 collateralized; right? \\
\hline 16 Q When the guaranty level drops to 40 percent, the net & 16 A Correct. \\
\hline 17 worth covenant is only 1 billion, correct? & 17 Q Again, initial bases are the operating experience of \\
\hline 18 A Yes. & 18 the operator and the enhanced expected value due to capital \\
\hline 19 Q When the guaranty level is at 20 percent, the net worth & 19 improvements, correct? \\
\hline 20 covenant is only 500 million, correct? & 20 A Yes \\
\hline 21 A Yes. & 21 Q And with respect to increasing the relationship between \\
\hline 22 Q When the guaranty level is at 10 percent, the net worth & 22 Deutsche Bank and President Trump and his relationship, that was \\
\hline 23 covenant is 250 million, correct? & 23 something that was important to the private wealth management \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & 24 group, correct? \\
\hline 25 Q And when the guaranty level is at zero, there is no net & 25 A That was something that was? I didn't hear. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 1130 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Q Increasing the relationship with President Trump and his group, correct? \\
A It was important? Was that your question? \\
Q Right. \\
A Yes, it was one of the aims of the private wealth \\
management business to increase its business relationship with all of its clients. That was not President Trump at the time, but -- \\
Q Correct. With Mr. Trump later President Trump? \\
A Yeah. \\
Q And one of those metrics that was important to the bank \\
was an increase in the assets under management, correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And here, in the first page of this document, we see \\
that the assets under management have gone up from 20 million to \\
29.7 million, correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And again, this credit report reflects on page seven \\
that there was an October 19, 2012 due diligence meeting that took place, correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And that based on that meeting, DB adjusted values in the credit report, correct? \\
A Yes. \\
(Continued on the next page.)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
to because the Court has allowed other evidence in and this is just evidence to meet -- the evidence that the government has presented. But this is in no way a waiver of our statute of limitations objection. \\
THE COURT: Of course. Understood. \\
MR. KISE: Thank you. \\
THE COURT: And it's in evidence. \\
MR. KISE: Thank you. \\
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 290 in evidence.) \\
Q Turning to PX 294. \\
Mr. Haigh, you'd agree with me this is an annual review of the Trump Endeavor 12 LLC loan facility? \\
A That's one of the reasons. \\
Q And another reason is an annual review of the Chicago \\
loan facility with the borrower is 401 North Wabash Venture LLC? \\
A Together with an increase in that facility. \\
Q And then there is also an approval of the \(\$ 170\) million facility for the borrower Trump Old Post Office LLC? \\
A That's correct. \\
Q The annual review of the Doral loan reflects that there are no missed payments; correct? \\
A I believe that's correct. \\
Q That there are no late payments; correct?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) \\
Q And when I refer to "DB," I'm obviously referring to Deutsche Bank. That's understood? \\
A Thank you. \\
Q And again on page eight, we see the same analysis of -provided by the Deutsche Bank Valuation Services Group as we see in prior credit reports? \\
A That's correct. \\
Q And on page nine we see a similar analysis of the free cash flow prepared by Deutsche Bank; correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And on page ten we see that the DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and the net cash flow were used to compute the key ratios by Deutsche Bank; correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q Turning to PX 294. \\
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I apologize \\
for interrupting. We entered Exhibit 290 into evidence. I don't know if they don't want to because of statute of limitation parameters, but given the discussion with Mr. Haigh, we would ask that it be entered into evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? \\
MR. KISE: No, there is no objection. The only thing I want to note for the record because of the anomaly because we are introducing something prior to the statute of limitations is we are doing this basically because we have
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) \\
A I believe that's correct. \\
Q And that the Doral loan is a performing loan at this \\
point; correct? \\
A I believe so, yes, yes. \\
Q The same for the Chicago loan facility. The review \\
reflects that there were no missed payments; correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q No late payments; correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q And that it is a performing loan; correct? \\
A That's right. \\
Q With respect to the now third facility, this is \\
\(\$ 170\) million first mortgage to the borrower Trump Old Post \\
Office LLC that is to be used for the development of the Old \\
Post Office property in Washington, D.C.; correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q The borrower in that transaction is Trump Old Post \\
Office LLC; correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And the lender is Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas; correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And the guarantor is Donald J . Trump; correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q This credit report suggests that there are no other
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 1132 & N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 1134 \\
\hline 1 parties to that transaction; correct? & \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) With respect to Facility A, we again see there is an \\
\hline 2 A If that's what the credit report suggests it's probably & 2 equity injection by the guarantor that was originally required \\
\hline 3 correct. & 3 to be 50 million but ended up being budgeted to increase to 150 \\
\hline 4 Q And we can see further down page one in the review of & 4 to 160 million with approximately 30 million already invested; \\
\hline 5 each loans that the Doral facility reflects a loan to value of & 5 correc \\
\hline 685 percent; correct? & 6 A Yes. \\
\hline 7 A Ye & 7 Q And that must enhance Deutsche Bank's collateral; \\
\hline 8 Q That the Trump International Hotel and Tower reflects a & 8 correct? \\
\hline 9 loan to value of 51 percent; correct? & 9 A One would expect so. \\
\hline 10 A Ye & 10 Q And that in fact is what the credit report says that it \\
\hline 11 Q And that the Old Post Office reflects a loan to value & 11 was expected to enhance the collateral due to the capital \\
\hline 12 of 80 percent; correct? & 12 investment; correct? \\
\hline 13 A That's correct. & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 Q Turning to page ten of this document we again see the & 14 Q Page 13 again reveals that in 2013 there was a meeting \\
\hline 15 basis for recommendation for the approval of the annual reviews & 15 at -- page 14. I'm sorry. \\
\hline 16 and the origination of the Old Post Office facility; correct? & 16 At page 14 there was a meeting on October 21, 2013. \\
\hline 17 A Yes. & 17 Tom Sullivan and Emily Schroeder visited the offices of the \\
\hline 18 Q And with respect to the financial strength of the & 18 guarantor; correct? \\
\hline 19 guarantor now under year 2014 this again is based off of the DB & 19 A Yes. \\
\hline 20 adjusted values for net worth of 2.6 billion; correct? & 20 Q You were not present at that meeting; correct? \\
\hline 21 A Yes. & 21 A I was not there. \\
\hline 22 Q And 154.5 million in unencumbered liquidity per the DB & 22 Q And based on that meeting asset values were adjusted on \\
\hline 23 adjusted values; correct? & 23 this credit report; correct? \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & 24 A That's correct. \\
\hline 25 Q And those DB adjusted values are reflected again in the & 25 Q Page 15 of this document again shows the analysis of \\
\hline N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 1133 & N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 1135 \\
\hline 1 credit report. First on page 14 of this document you'll see & 1 the trophy properties with input from the Deutsche Bank \\
\hline 2 there the DB adjustment to the guarantor's net worth reflects an & 2 Valuation Services Group; correct? \\
\hline 3 adjustment from the reported -- client reported value of four & 3 A Yes. \\
\hline 4 billion nine hundred seventy-eight million to a DB adjusted & 4 Q And that analysis was used to adjust asset values by \\
\hline 5 value of two billion six hundred forty-five million; correct? & 5 Deutsche Bank; correct? \\
\hline 6 A Yes. & 6 A Yes. \\
\hline 7 Q And that's the number that then carries over as a basis & 7 Q And on page 16 we again see that Deutsche Bank \\
\hline 8 for the recommendation at page ten of this document; correct? & 8 performed its own net cash flow analysis; correct? \\
\hline 9 A Yes. & 9 A So DB adjusted the cash flow the client had reported, \\
\hline 10 Q And the same is true of the 154.5 million in liquidity. & 10 that's right. \\
\hline 11 That is a number that carries over from page 14 of this document & 11 Q Okay. And they do that every year as the credit memos \\
\hline 12 into the basis of recommendation at page ten; correct? & 12 go on? \\
\hline 13 A Yes. & 13 A I think that's correct. \\
\hline 14 Q And again, a basis for recommendation of both the new & 14 Q On page 17 we again see that the key ratios are \\
\hline 15 facility and the approval of the annual review was Mr. Trump's & 15 computed this year based on the -- the Deutsche Bank adjusted \\
\hline 16 extensive experience in operating private wealth and country & 16 balances to both the guarantor's balance sheet and net cash \\
\hline 17 clubs; correct? & 17 flow; correct? \\
\hline 18 A That was relevant in particular to the Doral facility. & 18 A Yes. \\
\hline 19 Q And again, it reflects that the portfolio of 13 such & 19 Q On page 21 of this document with respect to the Old \\
\hline 20 clubs had a reported value of 1.66 billion but DB had adjusted & 20 Post Office transaction, a feature of the loan facility, \\
\hline 21 that value to 680.6 million; correct? & 21 page 21, the fourth paragraph, it says: \\
\hline 22 A Yes. & 22 "Per the borrower, the full renovation budget is \\
\hline 23 Q And the DB adjusted value was the number that DB relied & \(23 \$ 215\) million with the borrower's 20 percent being deployed prior \\
\hline 24 upon to approve the facility; correct? & 24 to the lender's fund." \\
\hline 25 A Yes. & 25 That's the defined equity amount; correct? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 A Yes.
2 Q And ultimately this request was approved; correct?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And the date of this document is August 3, 2015; correct?
A Yes.
Q And with respect to the Doral loan as a result in the change of the guaranty level from 100 percent to ten percent, the net worth covenant on the Doral loan is now \(\$ 250\) million; correct?
A Sorry. Would you repeat the question?
Q Yes. As a result of the approval of the reduction in the personal guaranty level from 100 percent to ten percent based on the most recent appraised value in overall loan to value percentage, the net worth covenant on the Doral loan is now \(\$ 250\) million; is that correct?

A We're not changing the net worth level by making that
amendment. We're changing the amount of the guaranty that would -- that would cover us.
Q Correct, but if we go back to the stepdowns that we went through earlier?
A Okay. That's what you're referring to?
Q That's what I'm referring to.
A Then --
Q And we can pull it up again, if you'd like?
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A I'll agree that that's the case, yes.
2 Q But you would agree that once the stepdown to a ten percent guaranty level was approved the net worth covenant followed with it and went down to 250 million; correct?
A Yes.
Q And then I'd also like to draw your attention in this document to the "assets under management" in page two of this document. Do you see where it says "assets under management?" And it reflects now again that the relationship between
President Trump and Deutsche Bank continues to grow.
Donald J. Trump, President Trump, has 31.295 million in cash deposits; correct?

A Yes.
Q And entities associated with him have now deposited over 86.49 million in cash deposits; correct?
A Yes.
Q So all in all, President Trump and entities associated with him at this point have deposited over \(\$ 100\) million in Deutsche Bank; correct?
A Yes.
Q And those are assets under management by the private wealth group; correct?
A Those are deposits at Deutsche Bank, yes.
Q Which the credit report reflects as assets under management; correct?

A They're reflected in that section that says "assets under management," yes.

Q Going back to page one of Plaintiff's Exhibit 2960.
We see the market value of the Doral Golf Resort \& Spa has increased to 366 million based on a Cushman \& Wakefield appraisal dated July 29, 2015; correct?
A Yes.
Q And that would be an appraisal that Deutsche Bank ordered for its own purposes; correct?

A I'm not sure that it did. I think there is a note in
here that says Deutsche Bank did not order that appraisal for some purposes in the italics above this section.

Q But it would have been an appraisal that Deutsche Bank received; correct?

A I imagine Deutsche Bank did actually receive that appraisal.

Transcript continues on the following page.....

Q It would have been --
MR. SUAREZ: I withdraw the question.
Q And the result of the appraisal, the loan to value was reduced to 34 percent, correct?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Ten-minute warning.
Q If we turn to page three of this document, we see in the recommendations, "approval based on "and then at the bottom, "equity injection of the guarantor" and it says, "the estimated equity injection was nearly \(\$ 250\) million or a three-year construction period," correct?
A Correct.
Q And "expected enhanced value due to CapEx," correct?
A Yes.
Q And that second sentence says, "As noted, DJT has
invested nearly 250 million on capitol improvements since 2012
and the result has been an increase in collateral value to \(\$ 366\) million," correct?
A Yes.
Q And this again proved correct the 2011 credit memo that said that the asset value of the collateral was expected to increase based on the investment by the guarantor, correct? A Yes.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'm ready to move to a new exhibit. I can start that exhibit or we can take the break.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ) Page 1152 \\
\hline 1 & THE COURT: Let's start. \\
\hline 2 & MR. SUAREZ: Okay. \\
\hline 3 & Q Let's take Plaintiff's Exhibit 300. This is the 2016 \\
\hline & credit report, correct, Mr. Haigh? \\
\hline 5 & A Yes. \\
\hline 6 & Q And again, it reviews the loans to Trump Endeavor 12, \\
\hline & L.L.C., 401 North Wabash Venture, L.L.C. and Trump Old Post \\
\hline 8 & Office, L.L.C., correct? \\
\hline & A Yes. \\
\hline 10 & Q And it does not note that there are any missed \\
\hline & payments, correct? \\
\hline & A Correct. \\
\hline 13 & Q Stated differently, the report indicates that there are \\
\hline & no missed payments, correct? \\
\hline 15 & A Yes. \\
\hline 16 & Q And there are no late payments indicated in the report \\
\hline & either, correct? \\
\hline & A Right. \\
\hline 19 & Q And in fact, the three loans at this point are \\
\hline 20 & performing loans, correct? \\
\hline 21 & A Yes. \\
\hline 22 & Q Again, in the lower section of the page, we see an \\
\hline & increase to the market value of the Doral property to \\
\hline 24 & \$382 million, correct? \\
\hline 25 & A Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

11 Q And with respect to the Trump International Hotel \&

23 Q With a loan value of 45 million, correct?
THE COURT: Maybe the " D " stands for department.
Q And here, it says, "LW hospitality advisors appraisal dated March 25, 2016 ordered and reviewed by CRM READ, Phil Ribolow," correct?
A That's correct.
Q That would be Deutsche Bank Credit Risk Management?
A That's the new name, what was previously called the
Valuation Services Group.
Q So Valuation Services Group is now operating as CRM READ?

A That's correct.

Tower Chicago, 401 North Wabash, the market value is identified as 133 million which is a value consistent as per guidance from CRM -- how do you pronounce that acronym, READ?
A Just as good as any.
Q From the new Deutsche Bank Valuation Services Group, Phil Ribolow as of July 2016, correct?
A That's correct. I think it stands for real estate
advisory something, but I don't remember what the D stood for.
Q Understood. That marks the value at 3 -- loan to value at 34 percent?
A Correct.

Is that possible?
property twice over the last 12 months," correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q Those would be the lending and banking teams of the Deutsche Bank management group?

A Yes.
Q And they are the individuals that would have prepared this document which you approved, correct?

A That's right.
Q And in 2016, the basis for recommendation of the annual report again reflects that construction -- on page three, "That construction/redevelopment of the Doral Resort in Florida has been completed with a substantial increase in property value, \(\$ 382\) million corroborated by a bank ordered appraisal dated 25 March 2016 resulting in a 32.7 percent LTV", correct?
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1 A Yes.
2 Q And then the last paragraph states that the
3 relationship has been reviewed and supported from a management
4 board perspective in connection with the guarantor's candidacy
for president of the United States. Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Who is the management board?
A I'm not exactly sure who was on it. It says
senior -- Deutsche Bank had a management board of executives who took care of very high level decisions.

Q Suffice it to say that the relationship with President Trump and companies associated with him was reviewed at the highest levels of management of Deutsche Bank Trust Company?

A Yes, because Mr. Trump was at the time a candidate for the presidency, it had been escalated to a fairly senior level.

Q Okay. And moving on to page seven of this document, you have the basis for approval of the annual review for the three facilities. And again, I just want to confirm as we did in prior years that the financial profile of the guarantor on an adjusted basis means the DB adjusted values for the guarantor's net worth of 2.8 million?

A Yes.
Q That checkmark, that's your handwriting, Mr. Haigh?
A I think those checkmarks are more likely to be Mr.
Allegra's handwriting if he signed it.
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ) \\
1 & Q \\
2 & But you would agree with me that the 2.8 billion of net \\
3 & worth is the Deutsche Bank adjusted value as reflected on page \\
4 & A this document for the year ending June 30, 2015? \\
5 & MR. WALLACE: The objection was because he had \\
6 & already answered the question about the 2.8 billion. \\
7 & THE COURT: Well, it doesn't really matter if I \\
8 & sustain it because he already answered it. \\
9 & MR. WALLACE: Events overtook my objection. \\
10 & MR. SUAREZ: I'm trying to move along. \\
11 & THE COURT: Well, after the break. We are coming \\
12 & back at a quarter to 12:00. \\
13 & (Witness exits the witness stand.) \\
14 & (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) \\
15 & THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in \\
16 & session. Please be seated and come to order. \\
17 & THE COURT: Ever noticed how I bound up to the \\
18 & steps to the bench here? Any history of this trial should \\
19 & include that. Bounds up the steps. Okay. Let's continue. \\
20 & We have a new system. The witness is going to be quicker \\
21 & than previously. \\
22 & Right, Officer? Right there. \\
23 & THE COURT OFFICER: Fast, not faster. \\
24 & THE COURT: Everything in life is relative. \\
25 & THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Q But you would agree with me that the 2.8 billion of net worth is the Deutsche Bank adjusted value as reflected on page 15 of this document for the year ending June 30, 2015?

MR. WALLACE: The objection was because he had already answered the question about the 2.8 billion.

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't really matter if I sustain it because he already answered it.

MR. WALLACE: Events overtook my objection.
MR. SUAREZ: I'm trying to move along.
at a quarter to 12:00.
(Witness exits the witness stand.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in

THE COURT: Ever noticed how I bound up to the steps to the bench here? Any history of this trial should include that. Bounds up the steps. Okay. Let's continue. We have a new system. The witness is going to be quicker Right, Officer? Right there. THE COURT OFFICER: Fast, not faster. THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.

Page 1157

1

\section*{THE COURT: Just like I said.} (Witness resumes the stand.)
THE COURT: Okay. Let's continue.
Q Mr. Haigh, picking up on where we left off, the basis for recommendation in the 2016 credit report again reflects the operating experience of the guarantor; in this case, President Trump? Well, not yet President Trump, but Mr. Trump, "Extensive experience in operating private golf and country clubs."

Again, it reflects that the overall equity position in various commercial real estate interests was adjusted to 2.195 on an adjusted basis. That's a DB's adjustment to the commercial real estate interest, correct?
A Yes, that 2.195 million number is the DB adjusted one.
Q And then again, we can see in page 15 of the credit report the various adjustments that are made to the guarantor's holdings, correct?
A Yes.
Q And in page 16, we see that the Valuation Services Group, which is still referred to as DBVSG here, also provided input into the trophy properties, correct?
A Yes.
Q And page 18, we can see that the key ratios again are computed based on the DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and the net cash flow, correct?
A Yes, I believe so.

Q That is what the credit report reflects, correct?
A Yes.
Q Turning to PX 3137, we have here the 2017 credit report, correct?
A Correct.
Q And by July 20, 2017, the guarantor Donald J. Trump is the president of the United States, correct?
A That's right.
Q And this credit report reflects that with respect to all three loan facilities, Doral, Chicago and the Old Post Office in Washington, D.C., the loans are performing, correct?
A That's correct.
Q The credit report does not note missed payments, correct?

A Doesn't note any missed payments that I'm aware of.
Q And it doesn't note any late payments?
A Similarly, I don't see any reference to late payments.
Q And with respect to the bank's collateral on page two
for the Trump Old Post Office, the credit report states, "That additional commentary can be referenced in the appraisal, but the general conclusion is that the hotel, now operational for a few months, has already become one of the most elite hospitality establishments in Washington, D.C., correct?
A That's what it states, yes.
Q And at this point, we see that the hotel is also open
. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ)
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\section*{for business, correct?}

A Yes.
Q And that the loan has performed how the bank anticipated it to, correct?

A That's correct.
Q In page eight, we see again, "The financial strength of the guarantor reflecting a stated net worth of 5.7 billion which is well above the net worth requirement even on an adjusted basis," correct?

A Yes.
Q And that reference to adjusted basis is the reference that Deutsche Bank's adjusted basis of the guarantor's net worth, correct?
A Yes, it is.
Q And moving on to page nine, we again see that "The relationship continues to be monitored at the highest levels of senior management within the firm and any issues arising from the guarantor's status as president of the United States are immediately addressed, taken to the appropriate reputational risk committee and discussed with appropriate legal counsel." Do you see that?

A I see that.
Q Would you agree with me that the relationship with now President Trump and the three loan transactions associated with him are being monitored by the bank at their highest levels?

\section*{N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ)}
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A Certainly the highest levels of senior management were aware of the facilities in general terms. Monitoring in the sense of specifically monitoring the terms of this loan agreement, that did not happen. That continued to be managed by private wealth management.
Q That continued -- and you continued to be the head of credit risk management at private wealth management?
A In the Americas, yes.
Q In the Americas?
A Yes.
Q And that was the group that was responsible for those three loan transactions, correct?

A Yes.
Q And the commentary here in the credit report that you signed, I believe, was all positive, correct?
A Sorry. I didn't hear.
Q The commentary was positive?
A Positive? Yes.
Q And you would agree with me that again in page 12, the trophy properties reflect the bank's own analysis including with input with what is still referred to as the Deutsche Bank
Valuation Services Group as to those specific asset values, correct?
A That's correct. I'm not sure as of which date they consulted with that group, but that's what it says.
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\section*{N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. SUAREZ)}

Q And the key ratios that are applied to test the loans are again on page 14. Those key ratios are again --

A Sorry. Can you highlight which --
Q Sure. See where it says "key ratios"?
A Yes.
Q They are again utilized using Deutsche Bank's adjusted
balances as to both the balance sheet and the net cash flow, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Deutsche Bank used its own numbers to perform the key ratio analysis?

A Yes, Deutsche Bank used its adjusted numbers to perform -- calculate those ratios.

Q And moving on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 302, this was the last year that you participated in the credit review of the -- three Trump-related loans, correct?

A That's correct.
Q The relationship now with President Trump?
A Correct.
Q Moving on to the Old Post Office facility on page two again, we see at the top that, "The LTV has come down based on a January 1, 2017 appraisal that was ordered and reviewed and approved by CRM READ Phil Ribolow," correct?

A Yes.
25 Q And we again see in the basis for recommendation on
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\section*{DBVSG, correct?}

A That's what it states.
Q Again on page 12, we see that, "The key ratios applied by Deutsche Bank to the guarantee are applied using Deutsche
Bank's own adjusted balances to both the guarantor's balance sheet and net cash flow," correct?

A That's correct.
MR. SUAREZ: I have no further questions on cross for this witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Robert, would you like to go next?
MR. ROBERT: I will, and I will be exceedingly brief.

May I proceed, sir.
THE COURT: You may proceed.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION.}

BY MR. ROBERT:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Haigh. I know you are a very precise man. That's why I checked. We are two minutes into the afternoon. I just have a few questions for you this afternoon.

The first one is something that is very important to my client Donald Trump, Jr., so I want to bring it to your attention.

MR. ROBERT: If we could pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 290, which is the 2013 credit report.
Q Mr. Haigh you remember being asked some questions about


25 Q So would you agree with me that it is a fair statement

N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. ROBERT)
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\section*{Condition}

MR. ROBERT: And if you can enlarge the second full paragraph, please, Nate.
Q Yesterday when Mr. Wallace was questioning you, Mr.
Haigh, he took you up to the line where it says, "outside professionals." Do you see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q Would you, please, read into the record what the rest of that paragraph says on page four of the Statement of Financial Condition?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. These aren't his words. I don't know why the witness would read it into the record as opposed to counsel.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. No problem. Withdrawn. I will do it the easier way.

THE COURT: Withdrawn.
Q So when you and your staff were reviewing it, the language was "Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret the market data and develop the related estimates of current value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized upon the disposition of the assets or payment of the related liabilities. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated current value amounts." Do you see that, Mr. Haigh?
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\section*{A Ido.}

Q And that's the language that's contained in the 2011
Statement of Financial Condition that you spoke of yesterday that you reviewed in general terms correct, sir?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Throughout the course of the testimony over the last day and-a-half and even in response to Your Honor's questions, we can agree, sir, that under the loans with Deutsche
Bank, every obligation under the loans was met, correct, as far as you know?

MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: Well, it is as far as he knows.
MR. ROBERT: As far as he knows. The witness was very careful to say it was only based on his knowledge and I don't want him to go beyond that. It is what Mr. Haigh knows as the chief risk officer at the bank at the time.

THE COURT: So overruled.
A So far as I recall, the loans were performing.
Q And all the expectations that were required of the borrower were met, as far as you know?

A So far as I know, yes.
Q Okay. And we've already spoken -- Your Honor was clear about it. I'm going to be very brief. There were no late payments that you are aware of, correct?

A I'm not aware of any late payments.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. ROBERT) Page 1168 & Page 1170 \\
\hline 1 Q You were not aware of any missed payments, correct? & 1 he was not -- \\
\hline 2 A I'm not aware of any missed payments. & MR. WALLACE: That was not the nature of the \\
\hline 3 Q And as a matter of fact, on two of the three loans, & 3 question. \\
\hline 4 during the time you were at Deutsche Bank, the guarantees were & 4 MR. ROBERT: If I could have the question read back \\
\hline 5 either reduced or eliminated, correct? & 5 and I will modify it. \\
\hline 6 A That's -- that's right. & THE COURT: Please read back. \\
\hline 7 Q And you had testified yesterday that the purpose of & MR. ROBERT: Thank you. \\
\hline 8 your department or the credit exercise is to make sure that the & 8 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was \\
\hline 9 bank gets paid, correct? & 9 read back.) \\
\hline 10 A That's one of the purposes of my group. & 10 (Continued on the next page.) \\
\hline 11 Q One of the more important reasons that you have a group & 11 \\
\hline 12 such as yours, correct, sir? & 12 \\
\hline 13 A I guess I'd agree with that. & 13 \\
\hline 14 Q Okay. And would you agree with me that you and your & 14 \\
\hline 15 team conducted a thorough analysis of these loans, correct, sir? & 15 \\
\hline 16 A I think we conducted an appropriate analysis of those & 16 \\
\hline 17 loans, yes. & 17 \\
\hline 18 Q And it was a thorough analysis? I mean, you take pride & 18 \\
\hline 19 in your work. You had the position you achieved at the bank. I & 19 \\
\hline 20 think you can agree with me that it was a thorough analysis. I & 20 \\
\hline 21 mean, we see the depth and I'm not going to go through all the & 21 \\
\hline 22 credit memos, but we see the depth of these memos that you & 22 \\
\hline 23 signed off on, correct, sir? & 23 \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & 24 \\
\hline 25 Q And as a matter of fact, in your testimony, it was & 25 \\
\hline N. HAIGH - CROSS (MR. ROBERT) Page 1169 & N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) Page 1171 \\
\hline 1 yesterday morning, you said you were the final line for most of & 1 Q Through 2018, which is when you left the bank; correct, \\
\hline 2 these that you -- it needed your approval for this to go & 2 sir? \\
\hline 3 through, correct, sir? & 3 MR. WALLACE: I'll just note an objection that I \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 believe the loans were being paid on interest, so I don't \\
\hline 5 Q And as a result, you and your team conducted a thorough & 5 know the bank repaid its principles. \\
\hline 6 analysis, fair? & 6 MR. ROBERT: I don't appreciate you putting words \\
\hline 7 MR. WALLACE: Objection. I think he asked and & 7 in the witness's mouth. The witness can answer the \\
\hline 8 answered that. & 8 question. \\
\hline 9 THE COURT: I'm not sure it is about the exact & 9 THE COURT: Overruled. Please answer the question. \\
\hline 10 same -- & 10 A So to the extent that we had been repaid with some \\
\hline 11 MR. ROBERT: I'll rephrase it. & 11 partial paydowns, yes the bank got repaid on those elements. \\
\hline 12 Q You and your team did a good job didn't you? & 12 Q And up until the time you left in 2018, Deutsche Bank \\
\hline 13 A Based on the information we had at the time, I think we & 13 received millions of dollars in interest; correct? \\
\hline 14 did a reasonably thorough analysis of the information. & 14 A I presume so. \\
\hline 15 Q Well, you did a good job in that your goal of making & 15 Q And at the end of the day, you and your team made a \\
\hline 16 sure the bank was repaid was achieved, correct? & 16 good credit decision by these loans being made in this case; \\
\hline 17 MR. WALLACE: Objection. & 17 correct? \\
\hline 18 MR. KISE: What's the objection? & 18 A That's a more subjective question. \\
\hline 19 THE COURT: What's the objection? & 19 Q Well, sir, when you were there you were in charge of \\
\hline 20 MR. KISE: You don't like the question? & 20 risk; correct? From 2011 to 2018; correct? \\
\hline 21 MR. WALLACE: I don't know that he knows -- he & 21 A Yes. \\
\hline 22 left the bank in 2018. The loans were outstanding. I don't & 22 Q And it was your final approval that allowed these loans \\
\hline 23 know that he has a foundation to answer the question the way & 23 to go into existence; correct? \\
\hline 24 that Mr. Robert asked it. & 24 A That's correct. \\
\hline 25 MR. KISE: He's only asking about 2011 to 2017 when & 25 Q And the fact that when you left in 2018 and these loans \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) Page 1172 & N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) Page 1174 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
were performing is a credit to the fact that you and your team made a good credit decision; correct? \\
A I think based on the information. \\
Q Yes or no sir, please? \\
THE COURT: Well, you know I favor yes-or-no \\
answers to yes-or-no questions, but if he needs to explain it, he can explain it, if you need to. \\
MR. KISE: That's certainly not the standard that applied to other witnesses, Your Honor. The other day you insisted that other witnesses responded to the government's questions with yes-or-no answers. That was a yes-or-no question. And respectfully, Your Honor, I think it's a different standard. \\
THE COURT: I respectfully disagree that I'm applying a different standard. Look, I've been doing this job for 20 years now. If a question can be answered yes or no without an explanation, then do that, but sometimes an explanation is necessary, but we understand each other. \\
Q Do you want the question read back with the Court's permission? \\
THE COURT: Please read back. \\
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the \\
proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) \\
A I will agree with that. \\
Q You did a good job; right?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Q And you were asked by Mr. Robert if you had done a good job. I take your answer is you did a good job with the information you had? \\
A Correct. \\
Q You have no way of knowing whether there was \\
information that wasn't provided to you that might have been \\
relevant to your analysis; is that correct? \\
A That's correct. \\
MR. WALLACE: No further questions, Your Honor. \\
THE COURT: Any recross? \\
MR. ROBERT: No, Your Honor. Your Honor, thank you. \\
THE COURT: Okay. The witness is excused everybody; right? Okay. \\
THE COURT OFFICER: The audience remain seated, please. \\
(Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness stand.) \\
THE COURT: Do we need to discuss anything, resolve any issues before Mr. Weisselberg returns? \\
MR. WALLACE: Not that I'm aware of. \\
MR. ROBERT: We wanted to talk scheduling for a second. \\
(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion is held between Counsel.)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
N. Haigh - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) \\
MR. ROBERT: That's a yes or no, Your Honor. \\
A I'd say yes to that. \\
Q Very good. \\
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Your Honor. I have no further questions. \\
THE COURT: Thank you. \\
And any redirect by the plaintiff? \\
MR. WALLACE: Mr. Haigh, I'll try to be brief. \\
REDIRECT EXAMINATION \\
BY MR. WALLACE: \\
Q Is whether or not a loan gets repaid the same question as whether or not the bank has accurately rated the risk in a loan? \\
A No. \\
Q What is the difference? \\
A So getting repaid is just one element of what the \\
bank's trying to achieve. The risk rating goes to assessing the risk and from that we set aside capital to reserve it against \\
the loan -- potential loan losses and the amount of capital that we set aside is driven by the risk rating and by the collateral. And in order to get a fair return on our capital, in other words, charge the right interest rate, we have to have the correct risk rating. So just getting repaid on the principal doesn't address at all whether we got properly recompensed for the risk we were taking.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon) \\
THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, are you continuing the direct examination of Mr. Weisselberg? \\
MR. SOLOMON: I am, Your Honor. \\
THE COURT: Okay. Let's get Mr. Weisselberg up here. \\
CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION \\
BY MR. SOLOMON: \\
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Weisselberg. \\
A Good afternoon. \\
Q May I ask to have Exhibit PX 1386 put back in front of you? \\
THE COURT: And I'll remind the witness, as I always do, that he's still under oath. \\
CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION \\
BY MR. SOLOMON: \\
Q Do you have that in front of you, sir? \\
A Ido. \\
Q Do you recall or recognize this as comprising the \\
certifications for various loans that we talked about on \\
Tuesday? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Okay. \\
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we move the family of documents in evidence as PX 1386. \\
THE COURT: Granted. They're in evidence.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon) Page 1176 & A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon) Page 1178 \\
\hline 1 (Whereupon, the items previously referred to are & 1 under a cate \\
\hline 2 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number PX 1386 in & 2 Q And could we look at lines 23 and 24, please? Do you \\
\hline 3 evidence.) & 3 see tha \\
\hline 4 Q I'd lik & 4 A Id \\
\hline 5 Sir, PX 1157 for identification is an e-mail from & 5 \\
\hline 6 Patrick & 6 of the \\
\hline 7 February 1, 2016. Do you see that, sir? & 7 A \\
\hline 8 A I & 8 Q And the next line 24, "555 California Street" with a \\
\hline 9 Q & 9 "(b)." Do you see that? \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 A Ye \\
\hline \[
11 \quad Q
\] & 11 Q Those are the two properties that were owned by the \\
\hline 12 A Just an e-mail from Patrick Birney to Donna Kidder & 12 partnership between the Trump Organization and Vornado; correct? \\
\hline 13 regarding a corporate cash flow that he was going to put into a & 13 A Correct. \\
\hline 14 -- I assume the word "shell" means pro forma, without numbers. & 14 Q Can we scroll down, please, to Note B? \\
\hline 15 Q Okay & 15 And I will read into the record "Note B: Although \\
\hline 16 MR. SOLOMON: The People move PX 1157 into & 16 there could be operating profits, distributions are at the \\
\hline 17 evidenc & 17 discretion of Vornado." Do you see that, sir? \\
\hline 18 MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and & 18 A \\
\hline 19 as to the prior submission by Mr. Solomon because it was so & 19 Q Did you direct Mr. Birney to add Note B to the \\
\hline 20 thick to & 20 spreadsheet or shell? \\
\hline 21 limitations objection, we just raise those with the Court. & 21 A I don't remember doing that. I think Mr. Birney knew \\
\hline 22 & 22 the parameters of the Vornado Partnership regarding \\
\hline 23 THE COURT: Understood. Overruled. They're in & 23 distributi \\
\hline 24 ev & 24 THE COURT: Does anyone know is it Vornado or \\
\hline 25 Q Sir, & 25 Vornado? Anybody work for them or something? Invest money \\
\hline A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon) Page 1177 & A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon) Page 1179 \\
\hline 1 Excel spr & 1 in them? \\
\hline 2 A I see the word "attachments" where it says "T & 2 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I'm going with Vornado. \\
\hline 3 Organization cash flow" -- "corporate cash flow." & 3 THE COURT: We're all on the same page. \\
\hline 4 Q Yes. Do you recognize at the end the .xlsx to refer to & 4 MR. SOLOMON: All right. May I ask for a read back \\
\hline 5 an Excel spr & 5 of the witness's last answer? \\
\hline 6 A Is th & 6 THE COURT: Please \\
\hline 7 Q I'm asking if you know, & 7 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the \\
\hline 8 A I don't know what it means, no. I'm sorry. & 8 proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) \\
\hline 9 Q That's & 9 Q What is the basis, sir, for that answer that you \\
\hline 10 Could we please have 1157 native put up & 10 believe Mr. Birney knew the parameters? \\
\hline 11 You mentioned the corporate cash flow spreadsheet. Do & 11 A Mr. Birney had been working on this -- on our financial \\
\hline 12 you see it up on the screen? & 12 statements I believe at that point. I don't know when he \\
\hline 13 A Yes. & 13 arrived at the company. Maybe he wasn't, but the limited \\
\hline 14 Q Is that & 14 partnership calls for being given distributions only when \\
\hline 15 answer, sir? & 15 Vornado distributes money as a whole. In other words, if they \\
\hline 6 A & 16 don't distribute money themselves, we don't receive anything. \\
\hline 17 Q You see there is a column for "business segment" at the & 17 If they receive a dollar, we get \$0.30 based upon the \\
\hline 18 top? He'll highlight it for you. Top left? & 1830 percent. I don't know if Mr. Birney knew that because I told \\
\hline 19 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I approach the screen & 19 him to do that or whether or not he knew it from some other \\
\hline 20 and point it to the witness? & 20 source. \\
\hline 21 A Yes, I see it. & 21 Q Okay. Actually, sir, not to quibble, but numbers are \\
\hline 22 Q Thank you. & 22 quite important in this case. \\
\hline 23 And what do you understand "business segment" to refer & 23 If they got a dollar, you got \$0.30? Isn't it actually \\
\hline 24 to there? & 24 if they got \(\$ 0.70\), you got \(\$ 0.30\) ? \\
\hline 25 A I would imagine that's the type of business that falls & 25 A Yes -- well, out of the dollar we should have gotten \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\(\$ 0.30\). Out of the dollar --
2 Q Out of the dollar, but out of that dollar they got --
A \$0.30, yes.
Q But as you sit here today, you do not know how
Mr. Birney came to learn that distribution -- excuse me --
operating profits, distributions are at the discretion of
Vornado?
A I don't remember. I don't remember.
MR. SOLOMON: All right. Can we mark PX -- I'm sorry. Can we move into evidence the native version of PX 1157?

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
MR. SOLOMON: Okay.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number PX 1157 in evidence.)

MR. SOLOMON: Can we please mark PX 1293 for identification?
Q Sir, is PX 1293 for identification another e-mail from
Patrick Birney to you this time with a cc to Mr. McConney?
A Yes.
Q Dated February 25, 2016 ?
A Yes.
A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)
1
2 \(\quad\) MR. SOLOMON: The People offer it into evidence. 1181
A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)
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1 A Correct.
2 Q That would be Donald Trump, Jr.?
3 A Correct.
4 Q Eric Trump?
5 A Correct.
6 Q And Ivanka Trump?
7 A Correct.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we please mark PX 1293 native for identification?
Q Sir, looking at the document on the screen entitled "Corporate Operating Financial Summary 2015," does that refresh your recollection as to what was attached to the cover e-mail we were just talking about?
A Yes. That's what I thought was in there, yes.
Q So is this the shell you were referring to?
A No, this is not a shell. A shell would have no numbers on it. It's what you showed me initially. This is no longer a shell. This is now numbers being put into that shell.
Q And that is based on the performance of the various entities under the Trump Organization umbrella; correct?
A I don't know all the sources how Mr. Birney got all his numbers together. I assume it's a combination of things.
Q Was that your -- was that your understanding of what it was intended to show?
A Yes. The -- I have a question, if you don't mind.

1 Was this a historical -- I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Well, I often tell witnesses you're there to answer questions, not ask them, but.

THE WITNESS: I understand.
THE COURT: If Mr. Solomon --
A I want to help you, give you a correct answer.
Q Mr. Weisselberg, I will take all the assistance you're willing to offer.

THE COURT: I think he said he has a question.
A No. Was this given historically or prospectively, this statement? I don't know the dates.

MR. SOLOMON: Scroll down.
Q Does it help you to look at the totals of the bottom line to see if those were historical results or projected future results?

A No.
Q Maybe I can do this another way. Look at the cover e-mail for a moment, please. It should be in front of you. Oh, did we take that back?

A Yes.
Q PX 1293.
A Based upon the date of \(2 / 25 / 16\), I would -- thank you.
Q Please. Does that -- does that assist you, sir?
A That would make it historical.
Q Historical.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & isselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon) Page 1184 \\
\hline & And in fact, if you look at the subject, "Financial \\
\hline 2 & Summary, Corporate Operating Financial Summary" and the next \\
\hline 3 & line, the attachment is the financial summary for 2015? \\
\hline 4 & A Now -- yes. \\
\hline 5 & Q So -- \\
\hline & A To answer your question, it's historical. \\
\hline & Q Thank you. \\
\hline 8 & A You're welcome. \\
\hline 9 & MR. KISE: Your Honor, real quickly. This realtime \\
\hline 10 & has stopped. It stopped realtiming. I'm not sure why. \\
\hline 11 & It's going but it's not scrolling. \\
\hline 12 & (Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) \\
\hline 13 & Q Did Mr. Birney undertake on his own to put together \\
\hline 14 & this Operating Financial Summary for 2015? \\
\hline 15 & A When you say did he undertake himself, meaning just out \\
\hline & of the clear blue sky decided one day to do that or was he \\
\hline & directed to do it? Is that the question? \\
\hline 18 & Q Was he directed to do it to your knowledge? \\
\hline 19 & A I believe somebody asked him to put together some \\
\hline & information. I don't recall who specifically asked for that \\
\hline 21 & information, but I believe he was asked to do it. \\
\hline 22 & Q Was there a point in time that any of the three adult \\
\hline 23 & children asked for that information? \\
\hline 24 & A I don't remember who it was specifically. \\
\hline 25 & Q You don't recall yourself asking Mr. Birney to put \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)
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together this information, do you?
A It's a possibility one of the three children may have asked me to do it then I in tum may have asked Mr. Birney to do it. I just don't recall which child it was.
Q To your knowledge when all of the communications between or were from any of the three adult children, did Mr. Birney have to go through you?

A Not necessarily.
Q They could have spoken to him directly?
A They could have, yes.
Q Do you have any understanding as to why Mr. Birney was leaving a copy on Jeff's desk?

A Just for information purposes to have in case somebody asked him a question.

Q Do you understand or did you understand at the time that the purpose of this creating and distributing the Trump Organization Operating Financial Summary 2015 to the adult children was an effort to keep them informed of the performance of the business?

A That sounds about right. I would agree with that.
Q Were you at anytime either requested or directed to keep them advised of the information contained on that spreadsheet we just saw?

A I don't believe I was -- it was more of a request to do
25 this as opposed to a direction. Maybe they mean the same thing,
A. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)

Page 1186

\section*{I don't know.}

Q My question is --
A Yes, I don't want to mince words. It's a chance
because they were just getting involved in running the business as Mr. Trump had now become president. They wanted to be knowledgeable about the running of the business.

So 2016, he was in the process of running for president and they wanted to get up to speed on how the business was operating.
Q Okay. The third page of PX 1293 after the slip page, which says "document provided natively."
A Yes.
Q Let's take a look at that. It was produced to us I will tell you in this format.

Is this document part of the first two pages or is it a separate document, to your knowledge?
A I don't remember. It's -- I don't remember if it was separate or part and parcel of the original package.

Q Okay. Do you recall in or about February of 2016
forwarding on this summary information to Donald J. Trump, Jr., Ivanka Trump and Eric Trump?
A I imagine I did.
Q Yet you have no reason to doubt that this is what you did at that time?
A Correct. to provide a copy to the insurers to take with them; correct?

A I was only told to when I was given direction to meet with them. No one asked me to give it to them, take with them. So I just on my own decided here it is, you can read it, look at it. If they wanted a copy, I certainly would have had them sign an NDA and probably given it to them if they asked. But year after year they were satisfied coming in, sitting there, taking their time for the entire day reviewing it.

Q To your knowledge did any of the insurers or sureties ever ask to take a copy of the financial statement with them?

A Not that I can remember.
Q To your knowledge were the insurers or sureties required to sign a Non-Disclosure Agreement before being provided access to the then-current Statement of Financial
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Veisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon) Page 1188 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 1190 \\
\hline 1 & Condition? & 1 Q And you came to our offices, correct? \\
\hline 2 & A I don't remember. & 2 A Correct. \\
\hline 3 & Q Is it possible you just don't know one way or the & 3 Q You were accompanied by counsel? \\
\hline 4 & other? & 4 A Yes. \\
\hline 5 & A No, I don't know if our attorneys ever requested it. I & 5 Q That deposition was recorded by stenographic means? \\
\hline 6 & don't know. & 6 A Yes. \\
\hline 7 & Q Okay. Now, sir, on Tuesday in asking you questions & 7 Q It was also recorded by video, correct? \\
\hline 8 & about this meeting with the insurance company, and I'm going to & 8 A I believe so, yes. \\
\hline 9 & put that up on the screen, you were asked, starting on page 953 & 9 Q Mr. Weisselberg, I'm going to ask my colleague to play \\
\hline 10 & -- excuse me -- 953, line 24: & 10 the video of certain particular questions and answers you gave \\
\hline 11 & "QUESTION: Were you present for all the & 11 on the same subject back then and that's beginning on the \\
\hline 12 & conversations Jeff and Patrick had with Ms. Markarian? & 12 transcript page 224, line 22 through 225, line 19. \\
\hline 13 & "ANSWER: It was all purpose of the same meeting. & 13 (Whereupon, the video was played.) \\
\hline 14 & "QUESTION: So were you there when they described & 14 Q Sir, that was your testimony back in May, right? \\
\hline 15 & to her how the assets were valued? & 15 A Did I recall what exactly -- \\
\hline 16 & "ANSWER: Yes, I was at the meeting and they told & 16 Q Sir, yes or no, that was your testimony back in May? \\
\hline 17 & her that they called Doug Larson and Larson provided a & 17 THE COURT: Yes or no. \\
\hline 18 & summary of comps for downtown Manhattan or midtown Manhattan & 18 A Yes. \\
\hline 19 & and he would take those -- Jeff would take those comps or & 19 Q That was your testimony -- \\
\hline 20 & Patrick would, valuations and cap rates and so on and then & 20 THE COURT: He said yes. You got to listen to his \\
\hline 21 & he would do his computation, but to say that we -- & 21 answer. \\
\hline 22 & "THE COURT: Finish, please. & 22 Q It is just coincidence that's exactly five months to \\
\hline 23 & "ANSWER: To say that we got appraisals from them, & 23 the day, right? Today is October 12th. \\
\hline 24 & that was -- I don't recall that conversation at all." & 24 A Okay. \\
\hline 25 & And then there is a read back and an answer. & 25 Q Let's talk about the Seven Springs payoff, shall we? \\
\hline & Page 1189 & A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON) Page 1191 \\
\hline 1 & Q Now, Mr. Weisselberg, do you recall sitting for your & 1 Do you recall a time when -- \\
\hline 2 & deposition in May of this year? & 2 A Seven Springs loan payoff? \\
\hline 3 & A Yes. & 3 Q Yes. \\
\hline 4 & Transcript continues on the following page.... & 4 A Okay. \\
\hline 5 & & 5 Q Are you familiar with any discussions about a desire to \\
\hline 6 & & 6 pay off the Seven Springs loan before it came due? \\
\hline 7 & & 7 A I -- I do recall talking to Eric about paying off a \\
\hline 8 & & 8 number of loans that I felt we should pay off at that time. It \\
\hline 9 & & 9 wasn't just Seven Springs. There were other loans that we \\
\hline 10 & & 10 talked about as well. \\
\hline 11 & & 11 THE COURT: Ten-minute warning. \\
\hline 12 & & 12 MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. \\
\hline 13 & & 13 Q The conversations -- withdrawn. \\
\hline 14 & & 14 Did you have conversations with Eric Trump in or about \\
\hline 15 & & 152015 about paying off the Seven Springs loan? \\
\hline 16 & & 16 A It's certainly possible. I just don't remember \\
\hline 17 & & 17 exactly. \\
\hline 18 & & 18 Q Do you recall telling Eric Trump that he should wait \\
\hline 19 & & 19 until after June 30th to pay off the loan, so the cash position \\
\hline 20 & & 20 on Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition would not be \\
\hline 21 & & 21 decreased by the payoff amount? \\
\hline 22 & & 22 A That's certainly possible. That's certainly possible, \\
\hline 23 & & 23 yes. \\
\hline 24 & & 24 MR. SOLOMON: Can we, please, mark PX 1112 for \\
\hline 25 & & 25 identification. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Q Sir, PX 1112 for identification has been put in front of you. Do you recognize it?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A An e-mail to Eric Trump suggesting to him that if we are going to pay off the loan, to do it subject post June 30th.
Q In order to keep his cash balance as high as possible, right?
A Right.
MR. SOLOMON: The People offer PX 1112 in evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiffs Exhibit 1112.)
Q In each year, the efforts to start preparing the
Statement of Financial Condition occurred after June 30th; is that right?
A Correct.
Q So here, we have a situation back in April even before the people in the Trump Organization are starting any work on the Statement of Financial Condition for that year where you're trying to accommodate the cash balance position for the statement that's coming up, right?
A Correct.
Q Mr. Weisselberg, I would like to talk to you about the
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crimes to which you pled guilty on August 18, 2022. Do you recall you were charged with 15 separate counts?
A Yes.
Q Including several counts of falsification of business records?
A Whatever is in my -- I forgot the name of the legal document.
Q Okay. And you pled guilty under oath to all 15 counts, correct?

A I did.
Q And you were required to pay back taxes penalties and interest in the amount of \(\$ 1.9\) million; is that correct?

A That sounds about right.
Q And with interest and other costs, it came out to be about \(\$ 2\) million. Do you recall that?

A Sounds about right.
Q And you were incarcerated as a result of that guilty plea?
A Sorry?
Q You were incarcerated as a result of that guilty plea, correct?

A I was.
Q Now, after pleading guilty to all of these crimes, the years long scheme of talks fraud you and the Trump Organization entered into that separation agreement that we discussed on

\section*{Tuesday, right?}

A Correct.
Q What did you have to agree to do or not to do in
exchange for the severance package?
A If you have the document.
Q Let's pull it up.
MR. SOLOMON: Please pull up PX 01751 already in evidence. Paragraph three, subsection " \(\mathrm{D}^{\prime}\) as in dog. Can we go to the top just for the introduction, please.
Q It starts with "employee promises, in exchange for the benefits provided in paragraph two, employee promises." Do you see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q And paragraph D, "That except for acts or testimony directly compelled by subpoena or other lawful process issued by a court of competent jurisdiction, he will not one, communicate with, provide information to, or otherwise cooperate in any way with any other person or entity" and then that goes on. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q Sir, did you understand that this subsection precluded you from voluntarily cooperating with anyone adverse to the Trump Organization including the Attorney General's office?

A I -- I didn't give it a lot of thought to be honest.
Q But you agreed to it?

WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON)
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A I agreed -- if it was -- if I was ordered to meet
with a court or some lawful person or a subpoena, then I would meet with them, yes.

Q You would not voluntarily cooperate, right?
A That's what it says, yes.
Q Now, sir, let's be clear. This is a yes-or-no question. There is no misunderstanding. Is it just a
coincidence that under this severance agreement, that you are being paid \(\$ 2\) million which is almost the same exact amount that you had to pay in connection with your guilty plea?

A Coincidence.
Q Pure coincidence?
A Coincidence.
MR. SOLOMON: We have nothing further at this time, Your Honor. Excuse me one moment.

I apologize, Your Honor. We are not complete. May I have a moment?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
MR. SOLOMON: I apologize for the confusion. We might have more after lunch. We would like to take a few minutes. I think you gave me the warning. We have two minutes. If we could break for lunch and we will let the defendants know if we need Mr. Weisselberg to come back or not.

THE COURT: Okay. I just want to point out not to be such a stickler, but one of the documents that Mr. Robert objected to on statute of limitations grounds was dated February 24th or so, 2016. That's after statute of limitations period.

MR. ROBERT: Understood, but it had to do with information that was from '15. That's what we talked about last week and it is part of the Statement of Financial Condition. I understand what Your Honor is saying, but that's why we preserved the objection.

THE COURT: I see. Okay. Understood. All right. So let's break for lunch.

MR. SOLOMON: I apologize for the confusion.
THE COURT: We come back at the usual \(2: 15\), right?
MR. SOLOMON: Yes.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken.)
AFTERNOON SESSION

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Laptops and cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now, please be seated
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1 and come to order.
THE COURT: I understand there is a request for a front bar. It may eventually have to be made public, but for right now, it is okay.
(Whereupon, an off-the-record sidebar discussion was held.)

THE COURT: I think we have an agreement. The plaintiff will not be questioning Mr. Weisselberg anymore at this particular time. You reserve the right to recall him later. The defendants have the right to call him. And I'm instructing him -- I'm lifting the prohibition on discussing the case with counsel or with anyone else. And is there more to it than that at this point.

MR. WALLACE: Nothing from the plaintiff, Your Honor.

MR. ROBERT: Nothing from defendants, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Let's move on to the next witness.
MR. HAREN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eric Haren on behalf of the People. The People call Patrick Birney.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
PATRICK BIRNEY, a witness called by the Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, took the witness stand and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: State your full name and either
A. WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON)

\section*{P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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THE WITNESS: Patrick Birney. 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York.

THE COURT: You have to be really close to the microphone. Speak right into it, slowly, loudly, clearly. And let's start the examination.

MR. HAREN: Thank you, Your Honor.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. HAREN:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Birney, where are you currently employed?

A Trump Organization.
Q And how long have you worked at the Trump Organization?
A Since 2015.
Q Approximately when in 2015 did you start working at the Trump Organization?

A January 2015.
Q What was your title when you started with the Trump Organization?

A Senior financial analyst.
Q Can you walk us through the titles you have held at the Trump Organization since you started there in 2015?

A Yes.
Q Please do.
A Senior financial analyst, associate assistant
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Q Do you still have that license today?
A I really don't know. I can't imagine that I do.
Q Okay. Do you hold any licenses as an appraiser?
A No.
Q Do you hold a designation as a member in the Appraisal Institute?
A No.
Q Do you hold any other licenses or associations relating to appraisers?

A No.
Q Have you ever been a certified public accountant?
A No.
Q During your tenure at Aon, did you work on Trump
Organization insurance accounts?
A Yes.
25 Q Can you describe your work on those accounts, please?
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A Sure. Similar to the other clients that I managed, again, schedules of insurance, invoice management, certificates of insurance requests, auto I.D. cards, I was the -- I was one of the main contacts that if somebody from Trump needed something, they would reach out to me.
Q During your work at Aon or at the Trump Organization, did you ever come across the phrase "team of four"?
A Yes.
Q What did you understand that phrase to refer to?
A The team of four from the Trump Organization who worked on the insurance program.

Q Was it called "team of four" because there were four members of the team?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Who were the four members of the team?
A Ron Lieberman, Allen Weisselberg, mat calendar Marie and Mike Cohen.
Q Thank you. You said that you joined the Trump Organization in January of 2015. Who offered you a job at the Trump Organization?
A Allen Weisselberg and Ron Lieberman.
Q And where did they offer you the job?
A In Allen Weisselberg's office.
Q Thank you. You said your title when you joined the
Trump Organization was senior financial analyst.

\section*{BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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\section*{information?}

A I would share it with my direct boss Ron Lieberman first and then we would review it together and then I would share it with Larry Glick, Allen Weisselberg, Ron Lieberman and Eric Trump.

Q Thank you.
During your time at the Trump Organization, who did you understand were your supervisors?

A Ron Lieberman, and then I did work for a lot of other people above me. So Ron Lieberman would be my direct boss, but I would consider Allen Weisselberg, Jeffrey McConney, Mat Calamari to be my superiors. Larry Glick, too.

Q And is it fair to say that for matters that you worked on with Jeffrey McConney and Allen Weisselberg, you viewed them as your superiors?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Let's mark for identification
Plaintiffs Exhibit 1162, please.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A An e-mail from Jeff McConney to myself.
Q And what is the date on the e-mail?
A November 16, 2016.
Q And what is the subject of the e-mail?
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\section*{A DJT SOFC.}

2 Q What did you understand that subject to refer to?
3 A The Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump.

5

17 Q What do you understand it to refer to?
A The main backup spreadsheet that supported the numbers on the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q And the word "Jeff," who does that refer to? A Jeff McConney.

MR. HAREN: I would like to pull up on the screen a native file that is a component of Exhibit 1162.
Q Mr. Birney, it is the attachment to the e-mail that you
were just looking at. Do you recognize this document?
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1 A Yes.
2 Qhat do you recognize it to be?
3 A A draft of the Statement of Financial Condition for the year June 30, 2016.
Q When you say, "draft of the Statement of Financial Condition," do you mean a draft of the supporting data for the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes. Sorry.
Q Okay.
MR. HAREN: I would like to move this native file into evidence?

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
Q What is indicated to you by the information in cell H 1 ?
A That things highlighted in yellow are open.
Q And what do you understand the phrase "open items" to mean?
A I understand it to be these items need to be updated or finalized.

Q So is it fair to say that an open item in the context
of this spreadsheet is not an item that's been finalized?
A That's fair to say.
MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1167, please.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
25 A Yes.

1 Q What do you recognize this document to be?
2 A An e-mail from Jeff McConney to myself on November 16, 32016.

4 Q That's the same date as the prior e-mail, correct?
5 A I believe so.
6 Q What is the subject of this e-mail?
7 A 2105 DJT Statement of Financial Condition.
MR. HAREN: I would like to move this exhibit into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1167.)
Q What did you understand the subject of the e-mail to refer to?

A The Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J.
Trump for the year 2015.
Q Does the e-mail have an attachment?
A Yes.
Q Do you see --
MR. HAREN: Back to the e-mail, please.
Q Do you see the information at the bottom of the e-mail that states "scanemai1@trumporg.com?" Do you see that?

A Yes, sir.
Q What is the e-mail at the bottom of this message that begins "from scanemail@trumporg.com" indicate to you?

\section*{BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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1 A It indicates that it was scanned in on the 26th floor copier machine by the pantry and then forwarded by Jeff to me.

Q Let's look at the attachment starting on page two of the exhibit. Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?

A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A The cover page to the 2015 Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump.

Q If you could just flip through the exhibit, you should see that on page 27 there's the rear page of Statement of Financial Condition. Do you see that?
A Yes. If that's page 27, yes.
Q So if you could flip through from page 2 to page 27 and just confirm that the full Statement of Financial Condition for 2015 was forwarded to you on November 16, \(2016 ?\)

A It appears to be the full statement.
Q So, Mr. McConney sent you a supporting data for the Statement of Financial Condition and a copy of the 2015
Statement of Financial Condition on November 16, 2016; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Did you at some point in time become involved in the process of preparing Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?
25 A Yes.
\(1 \quad Q \quad\) When was that?
2 A Shortly after this e-mail.
3 Q So in or about November 2016?
A Yes.
Q And for which years had you been involved in the process of preparing Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?
A From 2016 to 2021.
Q So each of the years in that range?
A Yes.
Q Did you understand that working on Mr. Trump's
Statements of Financial Condition was part of your job at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q What did you understand the Statements of Financial Condition for the years that you worked on them to show?

A Mr. Trump's net worth.
Q And is that as of a particular date?
A As of June 30th of each year.
Q Do you have an understanding of the phrase "back up" in the context of Mr. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
Q What do you understand that phrase to refer to?
A The backup to the supporting data spreadsheet. So
anything that was used to -- wherever the numbers came from, I
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would usually refer to that as the backup.
Q Let me see if I understand correctly. Supporting data spreadsheet contains number and the backup is additional information supporting those numbers. Is that fair?

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Let's mark for identification
Plaintiffs Exhibit 756. Excuse me. This one is in evidence already.
Q Mr. Birney, the document on the screen, Plaintiff's
Exhibit 756, is in evidence as Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2016. I just want to direct you to page two. Do you see at the bottom of the independent accountant's compilation report on this page that there is a date?
A Yes.
Q And do you see that the date is March 10, 2017 ?
A Yes.
Q And that date is after you began working on Mr. Trump's
Statement of Financial Condition in November of \(2016 ?\)
A Yes.
Q So is the Statement of Financial Condition for the year ending June 30, 2016 the first statement of Statement of Financial Condition that you worked on for Mr. Trump?
A Yes, I think so.
(Continued on the next page.)
P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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1 Q Did somebody assign you to work on Mr. Trump's annual 2 Statement of Financial Condition in November 2016?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Who assigned you to work on that project?
5 A I don't know who -- I don't know who assigned me. It 6 was either Jeff McConney or Allen Weisselberg.
7 Q Do you have, you know, any indication of which of those 8 people is a more probable answer?
9 A Probably Jeff McConney.
10 Q With respect to preparing Mr. Trump's Statement of
11 Financial Condition, did you ever receive any training documents 12 in writing?
13 A For the preparation of the Statement of Financial 14 Condition?
15 Q Yes.
16 A I don't believe there are any official training
17 documents in writing.
18 Q And speaking generally are you aware that asset
19 evaluations in the Supporting Data for Mr. Trump's Statement of
20 Financial Condition are something called cap rates?
21 A Yes.
22 Q Before working on Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial
23 Condition had you ever valued a property using a cap rate?
24 A I don't think so.
25 Q When did you complete your work on the 2021 Statement
    A In late 2021.
    Q Let's --
    A I think.
    Q So prior to the completion of your work on the 2021
    Statement of Financial Condition, had you had any professional
    training in applying accounting principles generally accepted in
    the United States of America also known as GAAP?
    A I don't think so.
10 Q Prior to the completion of your work on the 2021
11 Statement of Financial Condition, did you know what the
12 Financial Accounting Standards Board was?
13 A I don't think so.
14 Q Prior to the completion of your work on the 2021
15 Statement of Financial Condition, did you understand the phrase
16 "accounting standards codification?"
17 A No.
18 Q Prior to the completion of your work on the 2021
19 Statement of Financial Condition, were you familiar with
20 Accounting Standards Codification 274 governing personal
21 financial statements?
22 A No.
23 Q Prior to the completion of your work on the 2021
24 Statement of Financial Condition, did you have a general
25 understanding of what Accounting Standards Codification 274

P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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statement from some entity or something like that. I would go to Jeff and ask for it.
Q I meant did you in the time period I referenced, 2016
to 2019, review drafts of the Supporting Data with Mr. McConney, as well?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you have an understanding as to whether you were the final decisionmaker on any valuation in the Statements of Financial Condition from 2016 to 2019?
A Can you repeat the question, please?
Q Did you have an understanding as to whether you were the final decisionmaker on any valuation in the Statements of Financial Condition from 2016 to 2019 ?
A Yes.
Q What was your understanding?
A That I was not the final decisionmaker.
Q And did you have an understanding as to who the final decisionmaker was?
A Yes.
Q And what was your understanding?
A Allen Weisselberg.
Q Okay. Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 742.
Mr. Birney, do you see on the screen an Excel
spreadsheet entitled, "Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2016?"
P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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\section*{A Yes.}

Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A A draft of the Supporting Data spreadsheet.
MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I think this document has been reviewed with other witnesses and the magic words may not have been said, so I'd just like to move it into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: I'm trying to keep order so we don't have the same document going around twice. To the extent it's not, statute of limitations objection.

THE COURT: Okay. To the extent it's not. It's now in over objection.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 756 in evidence.)
Q Who was the principal drafter of the spreadsheet on the screen?
A What do you mean?
Q The spreadsheet itself as opposed to any decisions that may have led to numbers being included it in it. Did you prepare the spreadsheet?

A I probably worked on the spreadsheet.
Q Did the process of preparing the Supporting Data for
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the 2016 Statement of Financial Condition involve more than one draft?
A Yes.
Q How many approximately?
A I don't know.
Q How many drafts in a typical year then would you go through?

A Could be 20, could be 30 . I really don't know.
Q All right. Will you -- were you the decisionmaker on which valuation methods to choose -- excuse me.

Were you the decisionmaker on which valuation methods were used in the spreadsheet?

A No.
Q Who was?
A Either Jeff McConney or Allen Weisselberg.
Q All right. Can you be -- is it that one side on some and one side on others? Can you kind of explain your answer?

A Sure. This was 2016 so this would have been the first year I was working on it so I would have just asked Jeff a lot of questions. I don't know if I even asked Allen questions about this year if it even got to that point. It was probably just me and Jeff for things that I worked on for this year, so I don't know who made the ultimate decisions.
Q All right. Did you follow a process to go from draft
25 versions of the Supporting Data to the final version of the
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\section*{Supporting Data in 2016?}

A Can you repeat the question, please?
Q Did you follow a process to go from draft versions of the Supporting Data to the final version of the Supporting Data in 2016?

A I don't really know.
Q Let's pull up some of your testimony to see if it refreshes your recollection.

MR. HAREN: Let's go to page 103 of Mr. Birney's testimony. Well, first let's go to the first page. Could you go to the first page, please?
Q Mr. Birney, do you recall testifying under oath on June 25, 2020 ?

A Not that specific date, but I do recall in 2020, yes.
MR. HAREN: All right. And let's go to page 103. Q Just if you take a look at page 103, starting at line six. It states:
"QUESTION: You mentioned earlier that you have meetings with Jeff McConney and Allen Weisselberg to go over drafts of the spreadsheet -- sporting spreadsheet; right?
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: Between the first draft and the final draft, approximately how many meetings would there be in a typical year?
"ANSWER: I don't know. It depends on the specific
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year. Anywhere from -- I'm just -- it depends. It varies.
"QUESTION: You'd have at least one meeting a year; right?
"ANSWER: Yes, there would be at least one meeting.
"QUESTION: Would there be only one meeting?
"ANSWER: Probably not.
"QUESTION: So just try to give me an
approximation; five meetings, between five and ten?
"ANSWER: Between five and ten."
Now, does that testimony refresh your recollection as to any process you may have had in terms of going from the draft version of the Supporting Data to the final version of the Supporting Data in 2016?
A Yes.
Q And so what is your recollection?
A Sorry. The reason I'm getting -- I don't know if that -- what we just looked at was specific to 2016, was it? Q I think it was a general question with a general answer.
A Giving -- I can give you a general answer.
Q Sure. Give me a general answer.
A Sure. In 2016, we would -- I worked together with
23 Jeff, but in general I would work on drafts of the spreadsheet.
24 There would be meetings with Jeff McConney and Allen
25 Weisselberg. It could have been, yes, five to ten meetings to
P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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1 finalize it and then we would send it over to Mazars along with
all of our backup and we would work with Mazars on the Statement of Financial Condition until we were done.

Q And so were Jeff McConney and Allen Weisselberg involved in finalizing the 2016 Statement of Financial Condition?

A Sorry. One more time?
Q Were Jeff McConney and Allen Weisselberg involved in
finalizing the 2016 Statement of Financial Condition?
A I imagine they were, yes.
Q You imagine or they were?
A I know Jeff McConney was involved. I can't remember if Allen was. He probably was involved.
Q All right. And during the course of preparing the 2016 Statement of Financial Condition did you understand that Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney were your superiors? A Yes.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, not to interrupt, but it's a question regarding an exhibit that I think was just introduced, PX 1167. And it took me a minute because I'm a little slow, but it's got 35 pages attached, but the native version these -- this appears to be two different documents I guess is my question. And I just want to clear that up.

I'm sure, far be it for me to accuse the government of doing anything intentional, I am sure that, if anything,
it's inadvertent or it could be that I'm mistaken. Often I am, but from what we can see of the native version of this e-mail that is the cover of Plaintiff's Exhibit 1167, the remaining pages that start with this part, with the handwriting on it were not part of the original attachment to the e-mail. The only thing attached to the e-mail was the Statement of Financial Condition and these remaining pages appear to be a different exhibit. I just didn't know if that was introduced. And we don't have to take up courtroom time right now. I just wanted to place this on the record before we go farther. If they are not going to use this exhibit for the rest of the day, we can clear this up later, but I just didn't want to go any further without at least noting it.

THE COURT: Okay. Any response?
MR. HAREN: Yes, I think we'll look at it at a break we. Can take a look at it and see if there was an inadvertent error.

MR. KISE: Thank you.
Q And back to the exhibit that was on the screen, Exhibit 742. Mr. Birney, in this Supporting Data spreadsheet are there capitalization rates used to value assets? If it would help you to scroll through the document, I'm happy to direct you.
A Please.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1220 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1222 \\
\hline 1 MR. HAREN: Could we go to 147, please? & 1 Q Okay. Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 758. \\
\hline 2 Q So is there a cap rate reflected in Row 47? & 2 Mr. Birney, the document on the screen, Plaintiff's \\
\hline 3 A Y & 3 Exhibit 758 is in evidence as the final 2017 Supporting Data \\
\hline 4 MR. HAREN: Could we go to Row 104, please? & 4 spreadsheet. Do you recognize it as such? \\
\hline 5 & 5 A \\
\hline 6 A & 6 Q Do you recognize it as a Supporting Data spreadsheet \\
\hline 7 Q Now, did you have an understanding when working & 7 for Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, \\
\hline 82016 Statement of Financial Condition as to who was the & 8 2017? \\
\hline 9 decisionmaker about which capitalization rates to use? & 9 A \\
\hline 10 A I really don't remember & 10 Q Did you prepare this spreadsheet? \\
\hline 11 Q Do you have a general recollection of yourself ever & 11 A Probably \\
\hline 12 being the final decisionmaker about a cap rate to be used in the & 12 Q And earlier you gave me an answer about a number of \\
\hline 13 Supporting Data for Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial & 13 drafts that you would go through in a typical year about -- \\
\hline 14 Condition? & 14 number of drafts of the Supporting Data spreadsheet. Do you \\
\hline 15 A I don't believe I was ever the final decisionmaker. & 15 recall \\
\hline 16 Q And do you have a general understanding of who was th & 16 A \\
\hline 17 final decisionmaker about capitalization rates and Mr & 17 Q Did that -- would that same answer apply to the 2017 \\
\hline 18 Statements of Financial Condition? & 18 year? \\
\hline 19 A & 19 A P \\
\hline 20 Q And what's your understanding? & 20 Q Were you the \\
\hline 21 A Allen Weisselberg & 21 in the spreadsheet? \\
\hline 22 Q I'd like to just have pull up on the screen your prior & 22 A \\
\hline 23 testimony at page 191 begi & 23 Q \\
\hline 24 "QUESTION: So when you say that your role is just & 24 A Either Jeff McConney or Allen Weisselb \\
\hline 25 & 25 Q And can you, you know, give anymore information about \\
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1221 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1223 \\
\hline ? & 1 which of those two is, you know, more probable? \\
\hline 2 "ANSWER: I'm not the one making the choice & 2 A Probably Allen Weisselberg. \\
\hline "QUESTION: So who is the one making the choice? & 3 Q And you earlier described a process of reviewing the \\
\hline NSWER: Either Jeff McConney or Allen Weisselberg & 4 draft Supporting Data with Mr. McConney and Mr. Weiss \\
\hline 5 on any & 5 you recall that? \\
\hline 6 I think in the answer you just gave to my question & 6 A Yes. \\
\hline e your answer was Allen Weisselberg, so I just want to & 7 Q Is that a process that you followed in 2017 as well? \\
\hline 8 clarify what was Jeff McConney's role in choosing & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 capitalization rates speaking generally from Mr. Trump's & 9 Q And are there capitalization rates used to value assets \\
\hline 10 Statement of Financial Condition? & 10 in this spreadsheet? \\
\hline 11 A & 11 A Prob \\
\hline 12 Q And can you describe his involvement, please? & 12 Q Did you have an understanding when working on the 2017 \\
\hline 13 A Sure. Jeff would forward me e-mails from third parties & 13 Statement of Financial Condition as to who was the ultimate \\
\hline 14 that have lists of recent sales in the area. Those lists would & 14 decisionmaker about capitalization rates to use? \\
\hline 15 have cap rates on them. I would then usually discuss that with & 15 A Pro \\
\hline 16 Jeff or Allen. It depends -- it really depends on the asset. & 16 Q Did you have an understanding? \\
\hline 17 Q You said "it really depends on the asset." Can you be & 17 A I don't know if \(I\) had an understanding. \\
\hline 18 -- give any additional recollection you have about that? & 18 Q So you worked on this project in 2017; right? \\
\hline 19 A Yes. I've talked on the phone with Jeff, a third party & 19 A Yes. \\
\hline 20 before asking about storefronts and cap rates to be used for & 20 Q And there are capitalization rates reflected in the \\
\hline 21 storefronts in New York City. I think most of that is in the & 21 spreadsheet? \\
\hline 22 Supporting Data spreadsheets. But Jeff was involved in that and & 22 A Can you scroll down to -- \\
\hline 23 those are typically smaller than larger properties. I don't & 23 Q Sure. Let's go to Row 68 as an example. \\
\hline 24 know if Allen would have been 100 percent involved in those & 24 A Yes. \\
\hline 25 decisions. & 25 Q Were you the final decisionmaker about capitalization \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1228 \\
\hline & A Yes. \\
\hline 2 & Q Did you draft the supporting data for the 2019 \\
\hline 3 & Statement of Financial Condition? \\
\hline 4 & A In general, yes. \\
\hline 5 & Q And you gave an answer concerning a number of drafts \\
\hline 6 & that you would go through on a typical year would that answer \\
\hline 7 & apply to the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition? \\
\hline 8 & A Yes. \\
\hline 9 & Q And were you the decisionmaker about which valuation \\
\hline 10 & methods to use in the spreadsheet? \\
\hline 11 & A No. \\
\hline 12 & Q Who was? \\
\hline 13 & A Either Allenes Weisselberg or Jeff McConney. \\
\hline 14 & Q And can you give an indication as to which of those two \\
\hline 15 & people was more probable? \\
\hline 16 & A Allen Weisselberg. \\
\hline 17 & Q And the process that you mentioned of going through \\
\hline 18 & drafts of the supporting data with Mr. McConney and \\
\hline 19 & Mr. Weisselberg, was that a process you filed in 2019? \\
\hline 20 & A Yes. \\
\hline 21 & Q And let's take a look at Row 66, please. \\
\hline 22 & Mr. Birney, do you see that there is a cap rate there \\
\hline 23 & of 2.67 percent? \\
\hline 24 & A Yes. \\
\hline 25 & Q Let's go to Row 100 , please. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
25 Q Let's go to Row 100 , please.
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    1 Do you see there there is a cap rate of 2.42 percent?
    2 A Yes.
    3 Q Were you the ultimate decisionmaker regarding the
    capitalization rates to use in the 2019 Statement of Financial
    Condition?
    A No.
    7 Q Who was?
    8 A Same as before, Allen and Jeff.
    9 Q And you mean Allen Weisselberg and Jeff McConney?
    10 A Yes.
11 Q All right. Let's --
20 as the Supporting Data for the 2020 Statement of Financial
21 Condition. Do you recognize this document?
22 A Yes.
23 Q Was the process of preparing the Supporting Data for
24 the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition in any way different
25 than the process from 2016 to 2019?
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Do you see there there is a cap rate of 2.42 percent?
A Yes.
Q Were you the ultimate decisionmaker regarding the
capitalization rates to use in the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition?
A No.
Q Who was?
A Same as before, Allen and Jeff.
Q And you mean Allen Weisselberg and Jeff McConney?
Q All right. Let's --
MR. HAREN: I guess how close am I to the ten minutes?

THE COURT: You have another three or four if you want.

MR. HAREN: It's a fortune.
Let's pull up on the screen Plaintiff's
Exhibit 857.
Q Mr. Birney, the document on the screen is in evidence as the Supporting Data for the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition. Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q Was the process of preparing the Supporting Data for the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition in any way different than the process from 2016 to \(2019 ?\)
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1 A Yes.
2 Q How is it different?
3 A There was more input from more people.
4 Q And when you say "more people," who else was involved 5 in -- who else was involved?

A Myself, Allen Weisselberg Jeff McConney, Ray Flores, Jody Whitsec, Adam Rosen, Alan Garten.

Q And at the time Allen Weisselberg was still the chief
financial officer of the Trump Organization?
A I think so.
Q What was Jeff McConney's position at the time?
A I think he was controller.
Q And how about Ray Flores?
A Vice president development and acquisitions or something like that.

Q And can you just give us a general idea of Mr. Flores' role in the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition?

A Ray gave input on backup and certain cap rates, certain properties.

Q And just so I have the list right, Mr. Garten was the chief legal officer at the time?

A I think so.
Q And Mr. Rosen worked under Mr. Garten; is that right?
A He worked in the legal department.
Q So Mr. Garten was the senior most legal person involved
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in the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
Q And Mr. Weisselberg was the senior most accounting person involved in the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: All right.
THE COURT: Before you go, let's revisit the important question of the warning. The ten-minute warning seems to make you all nervous and you're looking at the clock, you want to know how long - I have a better idea. How about a five-minute warning and a one-minute warning? Anybody object to that?

MR. WALLACE: No objection.
MR. HAREN: We could use a little red light.
THE COURT: Or a sign or hold up my hands. All right, 15-minute break. See you soon.
THE COURT OFFICER: Remain seated in the audience.
(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings and the transcript continues on the following page.)
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back 24 session.

MR. KISE: While we are waiting for the witness, I think over the break, we reached an understanding and Ms. Faherty can state.

MS. FAHERTY: Our PTG folks were able to resolve the issue. It seems it was a technological family coupling issue. Our goal to have a corrected exhibit in the morning. Some additional pages were added. That's all, Your Honor. I apologize for the mistake. We will correct it immediately.

THE COURT: I'm glad Mr. Kise caught you on it.
MS. FAHERTY: Well, you know, I do try to sneak things in apparently.

MR. KISE: I'm a little slow, but I read things.
THE COURT: We are getting the witness, yes?
THE COURT OFFICER: The witness is here.
(Witness resumes the stand.)
THE COURT: Let's continue.
Q Welcome back, Mr. Birney.
A Hi.
MR. HAREN: Let's call up Plaintiffs Exhibit 1501.
Q Mr. Birney, this document is in evidence as the
supporting data spreadsheet for the 2021 Statement of Financial
Condition. Do you recognize it?
the notes.
Q By notes, you mean the information Excel E4 that refers to WP?
A Yes.
Q But apart from those notes, do you recognize it to be a 2021 supporting data spreadsheet for Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
Q Can describe just in general terms the process of
preparing the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes. It was very similar to the 2020 statement.
Wherever we pulled information from from 2020, I think why
pulled it from 2021. There was one change for valuations that I can recall right now and that was the golf courses.
Q Just speaking about process, can you say who was involved in the process of preparing the 2021 statement?
A Yes. It was the team that I had mentioned for 2020
except I do not believe Allen Weisselberg was involved and Jeff McConney was barely involved.
Q All right. Let's pull up on the screen a document that is Plaintiff's Exhibit 758.

Mr. Birney, this document is in evidence as the
supporting data for Mr. Trump's 2017 Statement of Financial Condition.

MR. HAREN: Could we scroll down to row 969, please.
\(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) What is indicated to you by the information in columns \(B, C\) and \(H\) in row 969 ?

A The triplex valuation for the previous year I believe is column \(H\) based on columns from Trump International Realty at 5 30,000 square feet multiplied by \(\$ 10,900\) per square feet.

Q I'll just note for the record the Court has reached an 7 official understanding about the pronunciation. Triplex.
8 A What did I say?
\(9 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Triplex. It's very important here in this courtroom.
A Sorry.
Q I would like to direct your attention to row 971. What is indicated to you by the information in columns B and C and G in that row?

A The valuation for the triplex based on comp for 432
Park, \(10,996.39\) square feet multiplied by \(\$ 10,625\) per
square-foot.
Q And based on the information in columns \(B\) and \(C\) in row
969 and row 971, there was a change in the square footage used
for Mr. Trump's triplex apartment between the 2016 and 2017
Statements of Financial Condition, right?
A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of any events that led to that change in square footage?

A Yes.
25 Q What's your understanding?
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A My understanding is there was an article written that stated that Mr. Trump's triplex apartment was actually \(\mathbf{1 0 , 9 0 0}\) or so square feet and then we used that -- we verified that square footage internally and then we used that for the 2017 Statement of Financial Condition.

Q You say "we verified that internally." Who was involved in that verification?

A Allen Weisselberg, probably Jeff McConney and I believe Stephanie Lennig.

Q How do you spell her name?
A L-E-N-N-I-G.
Q Thank you.
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1605 unless there was a different version of this marked yesterday. I understand this document is in evidence already.
Q Mr. Birney, do you see that the title of the article is "Donald Trump has been lying about the size of his penthouse"?

A Yes.
Q Does the article have a date?
A May 3, 2017.
Q Is this the article that prompted the change in square footage reported in the supporting data to Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A Probably.
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\(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Is it the article or was there some other article?
2 A I don't know.
MR. HAREN: Let's turn back to the 2016,
Plaintiff's Exhibit 756.
Q Do you remember that we agreed there is a date on the independent accountant's compilation report on page two. The date is March 10, 2017, right?

A Yes.
Q All right. Let's move to plaintiff's 01324.
Mr. Birney, this document is in evidence. Does it appear to be an e-mail from Dan Alexander at Forbes to Allen Weisselberg and Jeff McConney on February 22, 2017?

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: And could you scroll down just generally through the e-mail, so Mr. Birney can look at it.
Q Mr. Birney, do you see on the second page of the
exhibit, there's an item entitled, "Trump Tower Penthouse"?
A Yes.
Q Does it state a square footage?
A Yes.
Q What is the square footage that is stated?
A 10,996 .
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1327.
We apparently have a version of this that's already in evidence, so I would like to use that one instead of this
notified by e-mail from Forbes that Mr. Trump's triplex
apartment was 10,996 square feet?
A Just to be clear, you said 2016?
Q Yes.
A I don't think he did.
Q Did Jeff McConney ever inform you before the 2016
Statement of Financial Condition was finalized that he had been notified by e-mail from Forbes that Mr. Trump's triplex apartment was 10,996 square feet?
A I don't -- I don't know. I don't think he did.
Q Let's go back to the 2017 supporting data, Plaintiffs
Exhibit 758. Let's look at again row 969 actually look at row
971. Do you see where it states "triplex-based on comp from 432 Park"?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 767.
Q Mr. Birney, could you look through the document?
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize it?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A The backup to the triplex valued for the 2017.
MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence.
MR. KISE: For what purpose? It's hearsay. What's it being admitted for?
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1 one. Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1345.
2

16 Q Do you see where the e-mail states under the heading

24 Q Did Allen Weisselberg ever inform you before the 2016
25 Statement of Financial Condition was finalized that he had been
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THE COURT: It is hearsay. Depends on -- actually, it depends upon what they're trying to admit it for. What's the purpose of admitting it?

MR. HAREN: The witness just testified that this was the backup to the 2017 valuation of Mr. Trump's triplex.

THE COURT: Well, it's in for that so --
MR. KISE: It is in for the fact that it is a backup, but not --

THE COURT: But not for the truth --
MR. KISE: Right.
THE COURT: Contents.
MR. KISE: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay.
Q Mr. Birney, there's information contained on the first page that is in handwriting. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q What does that information represent?
A The square footage of Mr. Trump's triplex.
\(Q\) Do you have an understanding as to whose handwriting is on this page?

A I think is Stephanie Lennig. I don't know for sure.
Q That was the person you mentioned who was involved in verifying the square footage of Mr. Trump's triplex after the article came out?
A Yes.

1 Q Let's turn to page two of the exhibit. Do you have an understanding what is reflected in the mathematical computations on the right side of this page?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And what is your understanding?
6 A It's the dollar per square-foot.
7 Q The dollar per square-foot of what?
8 A Of this unit in 432 Park.
9 Q And the dollar per square-foot that's calculated here
was then used to value Mr. Trump's triplex in the 2017 Statement of Financial Condition; is that right?

A Can you show me the previous exhibit?
Q Sure.
MR. HAREN: Let's go back to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 758 and down to row 971.
A Probably. It's not the same number, but it's very
close to that number.
Q It says 432 Park in the spreadsheet, doesn't it?
A Yes.
Q And can you look at Exhibit 767 on the second page.
Does that also reference 432 Park?
MR. HAREN: Could you zoom -- yeah.
23 A Yes.
24 So does the information on this page represent support
25 for the \(\$ 10,625\) per square-foot number that was used to value
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Mr. Trump's triplex for the 2107 statement?
A It does. I just don't know why we wouldn't have used 10,624 instead of 625 . It is very particular.

Q Might be a dollar off?
A Sorry?
Q So it might be a dollar off?
A Yes.
Q Let's look at page -- continuing on page two of the exhibit. Do you see at the bottom there is an Internet address https://www.alux.com and then it continues?

A Yes.
Q What do you understand that to refer to?
A I understand that would be the website that this came from.

Q So it is a website entitled alux.com?
A That is my understanding.
Q Did you go to alux.com to find a property to use to
value Mr. Trump's triplex for the 2017 Statement of Financial Condition?
A I think I did.
Q And tell us what you did when you did that. In other words, did you follow a process? How did you identify this process as something that should be used?

A I re -- I think I Google-searched recent sales and/or
25 like penthouse sales in Manhattan.

1 Q And you happened upon an article entitled, "Own the Most Expensive Penthouse in U.S. Now!"?
A Yes.
Q For this property to be used to value Mr. Trump's
triplex for the 2017 Statement of Financial Condition, did you discuss it with anybody?

A Yes.
Q Who did you discuss it with?
A Allen Weisselberg or Jeff McConney.
Q Did either of them direct you to use this comparable as opposed to any others that you had identified?

A I don't remember.
Q In 2017, would you have chosen a comparable for Mr.
Trump's triplex apartment without being told to do so by Jeff
McConney or Allen Weisselberg?
A No.
MR. HAREN: Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 843, please. Could we go down to row 1011.
Q Mr. Birney, do you have an understanding of the
information contained in columns \(B\) and \(C\) in row 1011?
A Yes.
Q And what is your understanding?
A So description of the valuation for Mr. Trump's triplex in 2019.
Q What does it indicate to you about what information was

\section*{BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}

Page 1243
used in that valuation?
A It indicates there was a comp used from 220 Central
Park South that my understanding is that there was a \(\$ 10,348\) per square-foot number on that 220 Central Park South property.
Q And so the 10,348 per square-foot from 220 Central Park
South was used to value Mr. Trump's triplex in the June 30, 2019
Statement of Financial Condition?
A I think so.
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 2530.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Not really. I recognize the first page.
Q What is contained on the first page?
A First page looks like the same thing as the last year, the square footage for Mr. Trump's triplex.

Q It appears to be the same handwriting that was used in the 2017 version we looked at a few minutes ago?

A Yes.
Q Do you see on the second page there is an article from therealdeal.com?

A Yes.
Q Do you see at the top there is a date of September 25, 2019?
A Yes.
Q Do you see there's also something at the top that says,
25 "Ken Griffin, 220 Central Park South Priciest U.S. Home Sale.'

A Yes.
2 Q Do you see in the article there is a highlighted price of where it says record shattering 238 million?
A Yes.
5 Q Do you see in the paragraph that follows, "A 23,000
square-foot quadruplex"?
A Yes.
8 Q Do you see that 23,000 square-foot is highlighted?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Do you see that below there is a strip of what I'll refer to as adding machine math?

A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of what is computed by that adding machine math?
A The price per square-foot for this unit.
Q What is the price per square-foot that's computed?
A \(\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 3 4 7 . 8 2}\).
MR. HAREN: Can we go back to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 843.
Q Does that number match the 10,348 per square-foot
that's used in row 1011 of this spreadsheet?
A Yes.
Q Having now seen that information, do you recognize
Plaintiff's Exhibit 2530 as the backup or the valuation of Mr.
Trump's triplex in 2019?
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\section*{A Yes.}

MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I move this document into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2530.)

MR. KISE: Same objection, Your Honor, as to the purpose that it is being moved into evidence for. Also, I just have a general objection. You were talking before about moving things along. What is the relevance of all of this? I mean, I know we have a dispute over whether or not the square footage was right or wrong, should have been right or wrong, but this doesn't really go to that. This just goes to the price per square-foot in 2019 -- after the square footage number was corrected. So I'm just not sure what relevance this exhibit has or the other one frankly.

THE COURT: Well, let's hear the explanation.
MR. HAREN: I would just say the price per square-foot is another variable in the valuation and its choice could be evidence to matters that are relevant to issues being tried.

MR. KISE: What issue is being tried on that point, as to that point?

MR. HAREN: There are additional elements of the other counts that haven't been decided on summary judgment
for which a choice of a price per square-foot that is record-shattering could be relevant, and I would rather not discuss that in front of the witness.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. It's relevant to the whole process it seems to me evaluating these properties.
(Continued on the next page.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1248 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1250 \\
\hline 1 And just in general what's your understanding of the & 1 A I think they are. \\
\hline 2 information contained in those rows and ending at Column H? & 2 Q And just speaking generally do you have an \\
\hline 3 A Which rows one more time & 3 understanding that the properties identified as comparable \\
\hline 4 Q 214 to 245 & 4 properties in the valuations of the Mar-A-Lago Club from 2016 to \\
\hline 5 A It's the valuation of the Mar-A-Lago club. & 52021 were private residences? \\
\hline 6 Q And Row 215 says "value if sold to an individual." Do & 6 A I think they were private residences. \\
\hline 7 you see that? & 7 Q All right. Who had the authority, if you know, to \\
\hline 8 A Yes. & 8 approve or reject a particular sale as a comparable for \\
\hline 9 Q What does that mean? & 9 Mar-A-Lago in the 2017 statement? \\
\hline 10 MR. KISE: Objection. Other than what it says? & 10 A I don't -- I don't really remember, but it's -- Jeff \\
\hline 11 Q Do you have an understanding of what that means other & 11 McConney or Allen Weisselberg. \\
\hline 12 than what it says? & 12 Q And who had the authority to approve or reject a \\
\hline 13 A I don't know if -- I don't -- I don't think I -- I & 13 particular sale as a comparable for Mar-A-Lago under the 2016 \\
\hline 14 don't think I wrote that. I don't know if I have a & 14 statemen \\
\hline 15 understanding of it. & 15 A I don't remember 2016. I don't know if that -- those \\
\hline 16 Q Okay. & 16 sales were already filled in or not by the time Jeff e-mailed \\
\hline 17 THE COURT: Objection -- well, it's -- my usual or & 17 me . \\
\hline 18 often response, let's see if he can answer it. He says he & 18 Q Were you ever the person who had authority to approve \\
\hline 19 can't answer it, so & 19 or reject a particular sale as a comparable for Mar-A-Lago in \\
\hline 20 Q So Mr. Birney, do you see that there are items & 20 any of Statements of Financial Condition that you worked on? \\
\hline 21 identified as comparable properties under Row 217 ? & 21 A Can you please repeat the question? \\
\hline 22 A Can you repeat the question, please? & 22 MR. HAREN: Could I have a read back, please? \\
\hline 23 MR. HAREN: Could I have a read back? & 23 THE COURT: Pleas \\
\hline 24 THE COURT: Okay. Read back, please. & 24 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the \\
\hline 25 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the & 25 proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) \\
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1249 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1251 \\
\hline 1 proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) & 1 A Ultimately, no. \\
\hline 2 A Yes. & 2 Q Now, can you just describe in general in this document \\
\hline 3 Q And do you have an understanding of what the phrase & 3 how the value of Mar-A-Lago is calculated? \\
\hline 4 "comparable properties" as used in Cell C 217 means? & 4 A Yes. \\
\hline 5 A Yes. & 5 Q If you need us to move to particular cells, feel free \\
\hline 6 Q And what's your understanding? & 6 to direct us. \\
\hline 7 A The properties that were used to obtain looks like a & 7 A Yes, there were three properties used where their price \\
\hline 8 value per acre. & 8 was divided by the acreage to get a value per acre. That value \\
\hline 9 Q But just in terms of the -- I understand that the & 9 per acre was averaged it appears. \\
\hline 10 phrase refers to the properties that follow it, right. In other & 10 Q And just so the record is clear, which cells are you \\
\hline 11 words, there are properties listed under Row 217. Do you see & 11 referring to? \\
\hline 12 that? & 12 A For the numbers D 219 down to E 233 \\
\hline 13 A Yes. & 13 Q Okay. Thank you. \\
\hline 14 Q I just want to get an understanding of whether you & 14 A From there the average value per acre was multiplied by \\
\hline 15 understand the phrase "comparable properties" to mean anything & 15 the acreage of Mar-A-Lago of 18.81 to get a value, then there \\
\hline 16 other than those properties that are listed. & 16 were certain things added and subtracted to that figure to get \\
\hline 17 Let me withdraw the question. & 17 the ultimate value. \\
\hline 18 Just the phrase "comparable properties" as used here, & 18 Q And can you just direct us to where the average price \\
\hline 19 do you have an understanding of what it means? & 19 per acre is multiplied by the acreage. Where does that happen? \\
\hline 20 A My understanding is it means the properties that were & 20 A So cell G 235 and cell H 235. \\
\hline 21 used to valuate the value of Mar-A-Lago in 2017 and '16. & 21 Q And G is for 2017 and H is for 2016; is that right? \\
\hline 22 Q Okay. Now, do you see where it says "89 Little Road, & 22 A Yes. \\
\hline 231695 Drive North Ocean Way and 540 South Ocean Boulevard?" & 23 Q Okay. And the adjustments that you mentioned, where \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & 24 did those occur? \\
\hline 25 Q Are those all private residences? & 25 A Cells G and H of -- I think that's 237 row, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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22.9 million and 22.5 million added for the construction of grand ballroom and beach cabanas adjusted for inflation. If I remember there is a calculation off to the -- it's either below or up above to the right for that, so it says "see below," so it's probably below.

MR. HAREN: You can scroll down a little bit.
Q So what you're describing is happening starting at Row 248; is that right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And just so I understand the average value per acre and the multiplication to get essentially a value of the total acreage is done based on the private residences that are identified as comparables; is that right?
A The private residences that are what?
Q Identified as comparables?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And -- but then there is additional value added for construction of a grand ballroom and beach cabanas that are club facilities; is that right?
A I don't know how to answer that.
Q Is the grand ballroom used at the club?
A At Mar-A-Lago, yes.
Q And are the beach cabanas used at the club?
A Yes.
25 Q So there is -- some of the valuation is done based on
P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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residential comparables and acres, but components of the club are added to it; is that right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And is the method that you described in terms of the value per acre identified from the comparables and then the multiplication across the acreage of Mar-A-Lago, is that used on the statements from 2016 to 2021?

A Can you repeat the question, please?
MR. HAREN: Can I have a read back, please? THE COURT: Please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the
proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A No. I believe it was used in 2016 through 2019 and
then I didn't value it in 2020 and 2021. I think that was valued by Jody Whitsec (ph).
Q Is the method of taking a price per acre from
comparables and then multiplying it across the acreage of Mar-A-Lago, is that used in 2020 and 2021?
A I don't remember.
Q All right. Mr. Birney, let's look at Exhibit 1013.
A Can we go back to clarify something?
Q Sure.
A Just can you pull the last one up?
Q Sure. So for the record we're looking again at Plaintiffs Exhibit 758.

A Can you scroll up to the beginning of Mar-A-Lago? No. Sorry, just -- so as we were going through the valuation I remember why I have an understanding of why that first part was written because we added things back to the location that a private residence wouldn't have.
Q Can you direct us to the particular cell that you're referring to?

A Yes.
Q So let's go down to Row 214 is where it starts. So which information are you referring to?
A So you asked me if \(I\) had an understanding of value if sold to an individual.

Q Sure.
A I have an understanding after looking through the
valuation. I believe they -- we valued it based on residential properties but then added things that weren't in private residences and then removed things that clubs had that private residences didn't have like the membership deposits refundable. I think it's just a clarifying sentence. Again, I don't think I wrote that, but that's the understanding I have of that now.

Q And do you have an understanding of whether that phrase is contained in copies of the Jeff Supporting Data before you began to work on the Statement of Financial Condition?

A I don't know. We can look if you want.
Q But if it had been contained and it was just carried
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forward would you have just carried it forward?
A Yes. And it looks like the 2016 information was used in 2017, so that's a possibility.

MR. HAREN: Okay. And before we move on to Exhibit 1013, can we call up Exhibit -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 857? And can you scroll down? Keep scrolling. Keep scrolling. And a little bit more, like three more rows.
Q Okay. Mr. Birney, this is the 2020 Supporting Data for Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition. Do you see that at the top?

A Yes.
Q And are there still private residences used as
comparables to generate an average price per acre?
A Can you scroll up just a few rows? Yes.
Q And can we scroll down just a little bit more?
And do you see in Rows 235 to 246 that the average price per acre is multiplied by the acreage?

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's call up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1501.
Q As we talked about earlier this is the Supporting Data spreadsheet for the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition.

MR. HAREN: Could we scroll down to around the same place?

foundation. You just asked him whether he'd ever seen it
to the witness? He's an employee of the -- thank you, Your
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Honor.
THE COURT: Please do not -- all right. I direct you not to speak to anybody about this case while you're still a witness and you still are a witness at least until tomorrow.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
(Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness stand and the trial proceedings is adjourned to October 13, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.) before, that's all.

THE COURT: Well, I think that's -- well, I don't want to say what the point is.

By the way, Mr. Kise, just to clear up a misunderstanding between us, maybe what happened before was you said something about we have an issue with the square footage. I was taking that to mean how many square feet. You meant it more in terms of the use, the history, the knowledge, the notice, etc.

MR. KISE: Understood.
THE COURT: Yes. All right. So just this one page? I don't know what else.

MR. HAREN: The document is a two-page or three-page document. It contains the first page of the deed, a signed page, page two, what appears to be a notarization on page three and then in Exhibit A describing the property. And I just note that this document was produced by the defendants in discovery in this case.

THE COURT: If there is any objection to any or all of it, they're overruled. Clearly relevant.

Okay. Tomorrow at ten o'clock as usual. See you then.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, can we have an admonition
\(\qquad\)
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\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \$1.9(1) & \[
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\end{aligned}
\] & 1120:16 afternoon (7) & \[
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\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
24;1222:24;1223:2; \\
1224:4,17,19;1225:1;
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\end{aligned}
\] & \[
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\hline 1135:23 & acquisition (1) & 1120:3;1121:21,25; & 1155:18;1157:5,9,14, & 1235:8;1236:11; \\
\hline \$238(1) & 1112:4 & 1122:1;1126:6,9,11,17, & 22,1159:6,15;1160:19; & 1237:24;1242:9,15; \\
\hline 1247:13 & acquisitions (1) & 20,23;1128:22; & 1161:2,2,6,21,25; & 1250:11;1258:22 \\
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\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
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1172:24;1185:20; \\
1194:3
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\hline 1135:4,8;1146:20; & appl & 1214:11;1255:24 & atte & 09:1,3;12 \\
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\hline 1120:25;1126:5,24; & better (5) & 1249:23 & call (8) & 1221:9;1223:9,14,20, \\
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\hline 1111:6,20 & \begin{tabular}{l}
1207:4;1209:9; \\
1213:23:1216:12
\end{tabular} & 1199:24 & 1132:4;1138:20; & carries (2) \\
\hline \[
25 ; 1119: 19,21 ; 1126: 6
\] & 1219:21;1222:2; & \[
1200: 2
\] & 1151:25,25;1154:7 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 1133:7, } \\
\text { carry (2) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 15,15;1127:11; & 1225:7,19;1227:9,25; & broker's & 1157:14,22;1158:2 & 1224:22,24 \\
\hline 1132:15;1133:7,12,14; & 1228:22;1229:19; & 1200:8 & 1161:3;1164:21; & case (10) \\
\hline 1144:2;1154:20; & 1232:20,23;1233:22; & brought (3) & 1165:19;1166:2; & 1139:19;1149:1; \\
\hline 1155:17,20;1157:4,11; & 1235:17;1236:10,15, & 1110:11;1118:1 & 1167:8;1168:20; & 1157:6;1171:16; \\
\hline 1159:9,11,12;1161:25; & 16;1237:2,7;1238:17; & 1212:13 & 1171:7;1172:7,16; & 1179:22;1185:13; \\
\hline 1162:4,6;1179:9; & 1239:14;1242:19; & budget (3) & 1178:14;1180:9,10,19; & 1197:12;1259:24; \\
\hline 1202:10;1247:20 & 1243:10;1247:1,10; & 1135:22;1202:19,20 & 1183:17;1187:15,22; & 1260:19;1261:3 \\
\hline beach (3) & 1248:20;1253:20; & budgeted (1) & 1191:24;1194:8; & cash (27) \\
\hline 1252:2,18,23 & 1255:9;1256:1;1258:1 & 1134:3 & 1198:21;1199:3; & 1111:11,14 \\
\hline bears (1) & Birney's (1) & budgets (1) & 1200:25;1202:1,14; & 1119:6,24;1120:3; \\
\hline 1147:6 & 1216:9 & 1202:9 & 1212:8,14,21;1213:10; & 1121:22;1126:24; \\
\hline became (2) & bit (5) & building (3) & 1215:16,17;1216:2; & 1129:9,12;1135:8,9,16; \\
\hline 1114:3;1116:22 & 1115:1;1252:6; & 1115:20;1118:15 & 1217:20;1219:2,12,17; & 1136:3;1149:12,15; \\
\hline become (5) & 1255:7,16;1256:5 & 1154:6 & 1221:12,17;1222:25; & 1157:24;1161:7; \\
\hline 1154:9;1158:22; & blow (1) & bullet (3) & 1223:22;1225:5; & 1163:6;1164:6; \\
\hline 1186:5;1207:22; & 1164:5 & 1143:16;1147:1 & 1226:19;1228:14; & 1176:13;1177:3,3,11; \\
\hline 1259:8 & blue (2) & 1162:10 & 1230:16;1232:5; & 1191:19;1192:7,22 \\
\hline Bedminster (1) & 1184:16;1226:4 & business (13) & 1233:9,14,15;1236:15; & category (1) \\
\hline 1109:10.5 & board (4) & 1116:21;1128:6,6; & 1240:12,20;1244:18; & \[
1178: 1
\] \\
\hline Beg (1) & 1155:4,7,9;1211:12 & 1159:1;1177:17,23,25; & 1248:18,22;1250:21; & caught (1) \\
\hline 1154:1 & borrower (17) & 1185:19,1186:4,6,8; & 1251:2,18;1252:6; & \[
1232: 12
\] \\
\hline began (3) & 1112:22;1114:12,14; & 1193:4;1198:1 & 1253:8,9,21,23;1254:1, & cc (1) \\
\hline 1145:19;1209:18 & 1116:1,12;1122:18,23; & & 6,24;1255:5,6,15,16; & 1180:22 \\
\hline \[
1254: 23
\] & 1123:2,3,9;1130:17,20; & \[
1247: 14
\] & \[
1256: 15 ; 1260: 24
\] & cell (5) \\
\hline beginning (5) & 1131:13,17,1135:22; & & candidacy (1) & 1205:13;1249:4; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1190: 11 ; 1220: 23 ; \\
& \text { 1254:1;1256:1;12 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1136:2;1167:20
borrower's (1) & C & 1155:4
candidate (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
1251:20,20;1254:6 \\
cellphones (4)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline begins (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { borrower's } \\
& 1135: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & caba & \[
1155: 14
\] & celiphones (4)
\(1110: 3,4 ; 1196: 22,23\) \\
\hline 1206:25 & boss (2) & 1252:2,18,23 & cap (15) & cells (3) \\
\hline behalf (1) & 1203:2,10 & Calamari (1) & 1188:20;1210:20,23 & 1251:5,10,25 \\
\hline 1197:20 & both (9) & 203:12 & 1220:2,5,12;1221:1,15, & Central (4) \\
\hline below (17) & 1117:20;1121:21; & calculate (1) & 20;1224:25;1227:10, & 1243:2,4,5,25 \\
\hline 1120:19;1124:20,23; & 1129:12;1133:14; & 1161:13 & 12;1228:22;1229:1; & Centre (1) \\
\hline 1125:1,4;1137:1,5,9, & 1135:16;1157:23; & calculated (2) & 1230:18 & 1108:13 \\
\hline 13,15;1138:10;1142:2; & 1161:7;1163:5; & 1240:9;1251:3 & CapEx (2) & Certain (8) \\
\hline 1144:21;1244:10; & 1176:24 & calculation (1) & 1111:19;1151:1 & 1116:19;1121:1,17; \\
\hline 1252:3,4,5 & bottom (7) & 1252:3 & capital (6) & 1124:13;1190:10; \\
\hline bench (1) & 1121:18;1151:8; & calendar (1) & \[
1111: 23 ; 1127: 18
\] & 1230:18,18;1251:16 \\
\hline 1156:18 & 1183:13;1206:21,24; & 1201:16 & 1134:11;1173:18,19,21 & certainly (12) \\
\hline benefits (1) & 1209:12;1241:9 & California (1) & capitalization (12) & 1113:23;1118:21; \\
\hline 1194:11 & Boulevard (1) & 1178:8 & \[
1219: 22 ; 1220: 9,17
\] & 1137:20;1139:8; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1140:18;1142:12 & City (1) & , & 3;1236: & 1258:19,23 \\
\hline 172:8; & & , & complaints ( & condo (4) \\
\hline 1187:16;1191:16,22,22 & C & 1151:17,21;1154:7 & 1141:10 & 1116 \\
\hline certificates (2) & 8:1. & 1158:18;1173:20 & complet & 1137:17 \\
\hline 1200.4-1201:2 & & & 1195:16; & condominium (2) \\
\hline certificatio & & & & 1115:23;1116 \\
\hline 1175:19 & clarif & colleague & completed (4) & conducted (3) \\
\hline cer & 122 & & 1142.3.11 & 1168:15,16; \\
\hline 200:20 & :21;1256 & COLLEEN & 1154:8,23 & conference (1) \\
\hline ch & clarifyin & 1108:22 & com & 1187:6 \\
\hline & & column (10) & & nfirm (4) \\
\hline cha & cla & & com & 1145:10;1155:18 \\
\hline & 1112: & 18,19 & ,10,14 & , \\
\hline chang & clauses ( & ,20;1225:25;1234:4; & \[
212: 3
\] & confirms (1) \\
\hline \[
11
\] & 1258:5 & 1248:2 & com & 1145:17 \\
\hline 1147:23; & cl & colv & \[
11
\] & confusion \\
\hline 1224:13 & 1139:3 & 1234:1,4,12 & com & 1195:20;1196: \\
\hline 1234:18,2 & & 20 & & ion \\
\hline changes (2) & 195 & combinatio & comprising & 4;11 \\
\hline \[
1
\] & 1195:6;1218:23; & & 18;1237: & Conservation (2) \\
\hline ch & & coming (3) & comps (2) & 1258:14;1259:8 \\
\hline & \(1: 10 ; 1260: 5\) & 11;1187: & 1188:18,19 & consider (1) \\
\hline charge (2) & cle & 1192:23 & computation & 1203:11 \\
\hline 1171:19;1173:22 & 1116:20;1141: & com & 1188:2 & Considerable (1) \\
\hline charged (1) & 1198:5;1260:2 & 58:2 & computatio & 1166:18 \\
\hline & Cler & & & onsiderati \\
\hline 1163.18 & & com & & 258 \\
\hline  & & 1116:8;1117:2 & 1129:13;1145 & con \\
\hline 1237:1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1119:13,16;1 } \\
& 1135: 9 ; 1140
\end{aligned}
\] & com & \[
135
\] & consolidate \\
\hline checkmark & 1146:14,116 & & 1157:23;1244:13, & 1202:9 \\
\hline 1155:23 & clien & communica & conce & construction \\
\hline checkmark & 1128:7;1200:3 & 94-16 & cos & 1143:23;115 \\
\hline 1155:24 & & communic & con & 1154:21;12 \\
\hline Chicago (22) & CLIFFO & 185.5 & 1145:21 & construction/redevelop \\
\hline \[
11
\] & 1109:8 & co & conc & 1154:22 \\
\hline \[
11
\] & 1231:10 & \[
43:
\] & Con & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { onsultatio } \\
1162: 25
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1136:17,22,25;1137:4, & clo & com & 87 & consulted (1) \\
\hline 8,12;1138:2,12; & 1: & 1155:12 & 87:7;1188:1; & 1160:25 \\
\hline 11 & 1229:12;1240 & C & 21 & contacts (1) \\
\hline 1144:24;1153:12; & closi & 1112:25;1113:2 & 11 & 1201:4 \\
\hline 1158:10 & 1 & \(1: 2\) & 7,15; & contained (9) \\
\hline chief (3) & club & 88:8,1199:22 & 18,19,24; & 64:22;1167: \\
\hline 1167:16; & 1248:5 & 1188:8;1199:22 & 12,16,21; & 1185:22;1239:1 \\
\hline child (1) & 1252:19,21,23 & comparable (10) & 1209:10,19,21,23 & 1242:20;1243:1 \\
\hline 1185:4 & 1256:2;1258:9, & 1242:10,13;1248:21; & 1210:2,11,14,20,23; & 1248:2;1254:22, \\
\hline children & club & 49:4,15,18;1250:3,8, & 19,24; & contains (2) \\
\hline 1181:25; & \[
1133
\] & \[
13,19
\] & \[
213: 9,13,
\] & 1209:3;1260: \\
\hline 1185:2,6, & 1157:8;1202:4,7,8,17; & compara & 3,6,9, & CONT'D (1) \\
\hline choice (6) & 1254:17 & \[
12
\] & 15;1219:7;1220:8,14, & 1111:2 \\
\hline 1221:1,2,3;1227 & codification (4) & \[
5,17 ; 1255: 14 ; 125
\] & 18;1221:10;1222:7; & contemplated \\
\hline 1245:20;124 & 1211:16,20,25 & comparatively (1) & 1223.13.1224 & 1147:23 \\
\hline choose (1) & 12 & & & Contents (1) \\
\hline 1215:10 & & co & 21,24; & 1239:11 \\
\hline choosing & coin & & & context (2) \\
\hline 1221:8 & coincidence (5) & comparison & 1232:25;1233:7,10,24; & 1205:19;1208:21 \\
\hline chose (1) & 1190:22;1195:8,11, & 16 & 1234:20;1235:5,24; & CONTINENTAL \\
\hline 1224:7 & 12 & co & & 1109:2 \\
\hline chosen (1) & colla & & 1240:11;1241:19; & continue (9) \\
\hline 1242:13 & 1111:24;111 & com & 1242:5;1243: & \[
1110: 14,23
\] \\
\hline CHRISTOPHER (1) & 1116:6,17,21,22; & 1194: & 1247:22;1250:20 & 1141:19;1156:19; \\
\hline 1109:4 & \[
1117: 13,13,19
\] & compilation (2) & 1254:23;1255:10,23; & 1157:3;1232:19; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1237:5,5 & 1233 & creating (1) & 1225:10,22;1226:11, & 1215:9,11;1220:9,12, \\
\hline continued (10) & COURT (140) & & 8:2,18; & 15, \\
\hline 1108:24.5;11 & 1108.116.5; & credit (75) & 2-2 & 12 \\
\hline 6;1170:10 & 111 & & & 1227:14;1228:9 \\
\hline 5:6,14;1209:25; & 17,20,21;1114:22 & 11 & 1254:22 & 1229:3 \\
\hline 1246:7 & & & 1255:9,22 & (6) \\
\hline cont & 1130:1,5,7;1138: & 1,16,18, & date & 5:10;12 \\
\hline & 1139:11,22;1140: & 1125:7. & 1118:3,6 & 21 \\
\hline 1159:16;1189:4; & 1143:15, \({ }^{\text {a }} 1147\) & 1126:12,21,25; & \[
1: 20 ;
\] & 1221:25 \\
\hline 1231:19;1241:10 & 11 & 1127:10;1128:18,23; & 160:24;1183:22 & declaration \\
\hline co & 14;1151:6;1152: & & & 1237:20 \\
\hline 1175:1;1241:8 & 1153:24;1154:2; & 1132:2;1133:1; & 09:14 & declined (1) \\
\hline controller (1) & 1156:7,11,15,17,23,24, & & 216:14;1235:2 & 1187:11 \\
\hline \[
1230: 1
\] & 25;1157:1,3;1163:10, & 140:4,10, & \[
1236: 5,7 ; 1243: 21
\] & decrease (2) \\
\hline con & 14;1165:23;1166:16; & ;1142:7,13,16,17,19; & dated (7) & 1127:13;114 \\
\hline 1164:13 & 1167:12,17;1169:9,19; & 5:12; & 1123:18;1150:6; & sed ( \\
\hline conversati & 1170:6;1171:9;1172:5, & 46:23;1147:5; & 53:2;1154:2 & 1191:21 \\
\hline 1188.12.1 & 14,21,23;1173:6; & 49:24;1151:2 & 76:6;1180:2 & Deed (6) \\
\hline co & 1174:10,13,15,19 & 157:5, & 96:3 & 58:2,14 \\
\hline 11 & 1175:1,4,12,25 & ,9,13,19; & d & ;1260:16 \\
\hline coo & & 161:15; & 1183:11 & efaults (2) \\
\hline 1194:17 & 1179:3,6,8;1180:14 & 20; & day (1 & 1141:2,5 \\
\hline cooperating & 1181:3;1183:2,5,9; & 164:21,22; & 1138:24;113 & Defendant \\
\hline 1194:22 & 1188:22;1190:17,2 & 68:8,22;1171:16; & 67:7;1171:1 & \[
1109: 6.5 ; 1256:
\] \\
\hline co & 1191:11;1192:12; & 172:1,2 & 72:9:1184:1 & Defendants (8) \\
\hline & 1194:16;1195:2,1 & & ):2 & 1108:11.5;1109 \\
\hline co & & (4) & 19:12; & 5.12 5.1195: \\
\hline 1181:10, & 1197:2,7,18,21,23, & CRM (4) & 1259:15 & 1197:10,16;1260:19 \\
\hline copy (6) & 1198:4;1204:7; & 1153:2,8,14;1161:23 & & efined (1) \\
\hline 1 & 1205:12;1206:10 & & 1140:16 & 1135:25 \\
\hline 1187:12, & 1214:13,1219:15 & 1163:8 & D & efinitely (1) \\
\hline corporate (6) & 1225:3,15;1226:1 & cross-examina & 1111:15;1119:16,18; & 1139:19 \\
\hline 1176:13;1177 & 1229:14;1231:7, & &  & degree (1) \\
\hline \[
1182: 11 ; 1184: 2
\] & 1232:1,12,16,17,19 & \[
163: 15
\] & \[
1122: 1 ; 1126: 9,11,17
\] & 1199:17 \\
\hline 1202:9 & 1234:6;1239:1,6,9,11, & & 20;1128:22;1129:1,11; & demonstrat \\
\hline corrected & 13;1245:4,17;1246:4; & CSR & 1132:19,22,25;1133:2, & 1121:19 \\
\hline 1232:8;124 & 1247:9;1248:17,24; & CSR & \[
4,20,23,23 ; 1135: 9
\] & department (4) \\
\hline & 1249:1;1250:23,25 & 09 & 1146:7,1155:20; & 1153:24;1154 \\
\hline 1164:21;1 & \[
125
\] & curre & 1157:13,23;116 & 1168:8;1230 \\
\hline correspondi & 22;1257:2,6,9,17,2 & 1144:1 & \[
11
\] & depending (2) \\
\hline 1124:1 & 25;1259:17;1260:3,12 & cu & & 1224:4,6 \\
\hline corroborat
1154:24 & \[
20 ; 1261:
\] &  & 1119:11,25;1126:2 & epends \\
\hline cos & 8 & & \[
62
\] & 1217:1;1221:16,16 \\
\hline 1193:14 & cour & cut & D & 1224:10;1239:1,2 \\
\hline cou & 1219:10,123 & 1115:2 & 1157:19;1163 & deployed ( \\
\hline  & 1219:10:1234:9 & & & \[
1135: 23
\] \\
\hline 1197:12 & 1172:19 & & \[
58: 11
\] & 1149:14,18 \\
\hline Counselors & co & & & deposition (2) \\
\hline & , & & 1140: & 1189:2;1190 \\
\hline co & 23;1126:1;1137:24; & & & eposits (5) \\
\hline 1133:16; & 1138:3,7,10,13; & 1236:1 & 1118:13;1200:2, & \(1149 \cdot 12\) \\
\hline coun & 1139:18;1148:9,15; & data (4 & 1247:19 & 1164:7,1254 \\
\hline 1193:2,4,8;1245:25 & 1149:3 & 1166 & d & depth (2) \\
\hline COUNTY & co & 1205: & 84:16;1187 & \[
1168: 21,2
\] \\
\hline 1108:1.5 & 11 & 1208:24;1209:2 & 1245:25 & describe (8) \\
\hline coupling (1) & cover & 1210:19;1212:1 & & 199.14-1 \\
\hline 1232:7 & 1182.19,118.25, & 1213:4;1214:5,2 & 141:1;1171:1 & 202:1;1212:8 \\
\hline course (5) & 1182:12;1183:17; & 1215:25;1216:1,4,4; & 1172:2;1224:1 & 221:12;1233:9; \\
\hline \[
1130: 5
\] & 1207:7;1219:3 & 1217:12,13;1219:21; & \[
24
\] & 1251:2 \\
\hline 1202:15,23;1218 & CPS (1) & 1220:13;1221:22; & decisionmaker (17) & described \\
\hline courses (1) & 1247:14 & 1222:3,6,14;1223:4; & 1213:8,12,16,18; & 1143:13,14;1188:14; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \(v\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1223:3;1253:4 & directed (3) & 1194:5;1202:16 & \[
1
\] & 1222:12;1223:3; \\
\hline describing (3) & 1184:17,18;1185:21 & \[
3: 19 ; 1204: 8 \text {, }
\] & \[
15,21 ; 1149
\] & 21;1255:22; \\
\hline 1247:19;1252:7 & direction (2) & 1205:24;1206:1,11 & 61:21;1164:4; & 1257:11 \\
\hline 1260:17 & 85:25,1 & 1207:4;1209: & \[
8: 14 ; 1183: 1
\] & riy (1) \\
\hline de & directly (2) & 1212:25;1214:2,6,11; & \[
23: 22 ; 1233: 2
\] & 1139:13 \\
\hline d & d & 1219:23;1222: & 4, & ment (2) \\
\hline de & & & & 258:14;1259 \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline de & discovery (1)
1260:19 & 1233:20,22;1235:15; & 255:6,16,24; & 1165:21;1166:15 \\
\hline de & dis & 1243:10;1245:2,5; & downtown ( & 1109:13 \\
\hline 1185:12 & 1178:17;118 & 1251:2;1258:2,1 & 1188:18 & economics \\
\hline d & & 1259:2;1260:14,15,18 & draft (15) & 1199:17 \\
\hline 1181:1 & 19 & documentation (9) & :3,5,6;12 & educational \\
\hline determine & 1242:6,8 & 13:15,18;1114: & 216:3,22, & 1199:15 \\
\hline 1124:11 & di & 1123:12,13,15; & 12;1223: & effect (1) \\
\hline determined & 1121:7,11,18; & 1142:12;1165:3 & 5:10,19;1226:23; & 1166:24 \\
\hline 1122:21 & 1159:20;1193 & documents (11) & 1228:2 & effort (1) \\
\hline Deuts & discussing (1) & 1114:3;1139:7,13 & drafter (1) & 1185:18 \\
\hline 1110 & 1197:12 & 4;1164:20; & 1214:18 & efforts (1) \\
\hline 1113:20;1116:15,1 & di & 7218 & dra & 1192:15 \\
\hline 17,1924-1117.3. & 9;1174 & 1210:11,17;1218: & 12:11,12,14 & ght (3) \\
\hline 1119:8;1120:15; & 1197 & dog (1) & 17;1213:4;1215:6; & 1121:4;1129:4; \\
\hline 1121:6,9;1123:4; & disc & d & \[
20 ; 1217: 23
\] & 1159:6 \\
\hline 1127:22;1129:2,5, & 1187:2;1191 & doll & 13,14;1225:22; & either (15) \\
\hline 1131:20;1134:7; & disposition (1) & 1136:4;1179 & 1228:5,18 & 1114:11;1152:17 \\
\hline 1135:1,5,7,15;11 & 166:22 & ;1180:1,2,2;1240:6, & draw (5) & 1168:5;1185:21; \\
\hline 1145:9;1146:3,19; & & 241:4,6 & 20:18 & 197:25 \\
\hline 1149:10,19,23;1150:8, & 15 & 11;1171 & \[
\mathbf{d r}
\] & 222:24 \\
\hline 11,13,15;1153:5,16; & distribute & DONALD & 1145:2 & 1226:4,18;1228:13; \\
\hline 1154:3,15;1155:9,13; & 1179:16 & 1108:7,7,8;11 & Driv & 1242:10;1252:3 \\
\hline 1156:2;1159:12; & distribute & 10,21;1123:7,1131:23; & 49 & element (1) \\
\hline 1160:21;1161:6,10,12; & 79:15 & 1141:25;1149:11; & driven (1) & 1173:16 \\
\hline 1162:25;1163:4,4; & distributin & 1158:6;1163:21; & 1173:2 & elements (2) \\
\hline 1167:8;1168:4; & & 1164:6,11;1181:2 & dr & 1171:11;1245: \\
\hline 1171:12 & dis & & \[
1139: 18
\] & eliminated (4) \\
\hline  & & 1204 & & 1142:1;1144 \\
\hline develop & 16,23;11 & 1213:24 &  & elite \\
\hline 1131:14 & 1180:6 & 1235 & due & 1154:9;11 \\
\hline 1258:3;1259:7,16 & divide & don & 18 & else (4) \\
\hline difference (1) & &  & 1127:18;1128:19; & 1197:12;1230 \\
\hline 1173:15 & DJT (10) & 1218:3;1252:12,2 & 1134:11;1138:23; & 1260:13 \\
\hline different & 1108:9,9;1111:22 & Donna (2) & 2:1;1146:13; & e-mail (33) \\
\hline 1114:23;1144:? & 1113:13,25;1114:13, & 1176:6,1 & 1151:13;1191:6 & 1176:5,12, \\
\hline 1166:23;1172:13,15; & 16;1151:15;1204:1; & Doral (25) & duly & \[
1180: 21 ; 1181: 7,1
\] \\
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session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Tommy, do they pay you by the word also?

I understand Counsel want to have a little discussion up here, what I call front bar?
(Whereupon, there is an off-the-record discussion held.)

THE COURT: On the record.
Before we get back with the witnesses, we have some housekeeping to do. Maybe I'll ask plaintiff, just I'd like to go from left to right. They want to go first.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, just to clean up an issue that arose yesterday during one of Mr. Birney's testimony, there was an exhibit that was admitted into the record, specifically it was Plaintiff's PX 1167.

Counsel stood up, raised the question because there appeared to be additional pages at the end of that particular exhibit. Full disclosure, I've had our technology group run down the issue and it appears when we received documents sent over to us in their original form


that the date particularly matters. We know who it's from certainly.

MR. ROBERT: If he can connect it up in a little while as to what the date is, I have no objection to giving him the room to do that so long as we preserve the objection as to the hearsay portion of the article. We're not disputing this is Mr. Weisselberg's name - signature on the PostIt.

THE COURT: Yes. It's not coming in for the truth of its contents. So to that extent, objection sustained.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir.
Q So just looking at the language of the article, Mr. Birney.

THE COURT: You want a clarification?
MR. SOLOMON: Yes. I do want a clarification because I want -- the article is not being admitted for the truth, but the PostIt is different. The PostIt has been established is a note from Mr. Weisselberg to Patrick directing him to do something.

MR. ROBERT: I'm not disputing that's
Mr. Weisselberg's note. I'm just saying I don't know what year it's from or what backup this is supposed to be part of. That's all.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Solomon is correct and I should have been more specific. I just meant the article
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itself is not coming in for the truth. As for the note, I think it comes in for various reasons.

MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Q Mr. Birney, what is the news clipping in this document about?

A A property for sale.
Q And just focusing on the first paragraph of the
article, do you see where it states "Broadway producer and Palm Beach socialite Terry Allen Kramer is listing La Follia, her chateau-like Palm Beach compound for \$135 million?"

A Yes.
Q And so this is the potential sale that Mr. Weisselberg directed you to hold for next year; is that right?

A If the sticky was on the newspaper article.
Q They were produced to us in this form together as one document. Do you have a direction of keeping these two pieces of information in your files and saving them for a subsequent year?

A I probably kept it, yes.
MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's turn to the Plaintiff's Exhibit 843, please. It's the 2019 Supporting Data.

And could we scroll to Rows 218? And scroll down to 259. Scroll down a little bit more, please.
Q So Mr. Birney, can you describe in general terms the
P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)

Page 1272
1 valuation contained between Rows 218 and 259? What is it a 2 valuation of?
3 A It's a valuation of Mar-A-Lago.
4 Q Okay. And I just want to focus you on Rows 222 to
5 Row 245. There is a comparable property - excuse me. 222 to
6 225. I misspoke.
7 There is a comparable property identified there called 860 Blossom Way. Do you see that?
9 A Yes.
\(10 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And do you have an understanding of the computation occurring in cells D 223 to D 225?

A Yes.
Q What's your understanding of that computation?
A It's the selling price divided by the number of acres to get a value per acre.
\(Q\) And same question for the computation that occurs between Rows 228 and 230 in Column D.

A Same answer.
Q So there is a price \(78,291,000\) divided by 2.61 acres to yield \(\$ 29,996,552\) per acre?

A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of how the price per acre figures in column \(D\) in the portion of the spreadsheet that we're looking at factor into the valuation of Mar-A-Lago in this year?

A Can you repeat the question?
P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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Q I'll ask it in a more direct way.
Price per acre that's computed in Column D, that yields a result in cell \(D\) 248; is that right?

A Yes, it appears to be an average value per acre.
\(\mathbf{Q}\) And then that's multiplied by the acreage in Column \(G\); right?
A In Column G, yes. G 50 is a multiplication of the acreage.
\(Q\) And the information?
THE COURT: Wait. Hold on one second. It's difficult to hear you, especially out there. Either move the mic up. And I think you tend to swallow your words a little bit. Try to be a little slower, a little louder.

MR. HAREN: I will do my best.
THE COURT: That's better.
Q Mr. Birney, I just want to clarify one thing. The value per acre in Cell D 230 comes from the property 1295 South Ocean. Am I reading that correctly?

A That's what it looks like.
MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's call up Plaintiff's Exhibit 3026.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A I recognize it to be an e-mail.
Q Is it an e-mail from Allen Weisselberg to you on
25 September 10, 2019 ?

\section*{A Yes.}

2 Q And in the e-mail does Mr. Weisselberg forward you a news article pertaining to a \(\$ 99\) million Florida property transaction?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: I'd like to move this document into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Same objection as to the hearsay in the news article.

THE COURT: And the same ruling. The news article is not coming in for the truth of its contents, but for whatever notice it might provide or other information.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 3026 in evidence.)
Q And Mr. Birney, do you see what Mr. Weisselberg wrote to you, quote, "For the SOFC?"

A Yes.
Q What -- did you have an understanding of what he meant by that?

A Yes.
Q And what was your understanding?
A Let's look at this for the Statement of Financial Condition.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & (Haren) Page 1275 \\
\hline 1 & MR. HAREN: All right. Let's call up Plaintiff's \\
\hline 2 & Exhibit 1040, and this document is already in evidence. \\
\hline 3 & Q Mr. Birney, this is a 20-page document, so I would \\
\hline & appreciate if you took a moment to look through it. \\
\hline 5 & Have you had sufficient time to review the document? \\
\hline & A I'm through about half. \\
\hline & Q Okay. \\
\hline & A Okay. \\
\hline 9 & Q Thank you. \\
\hline 10 & MR. HAREN: Please turn to page four of the \\
\hline 11 & exhibit. \\
\hline 12 & Q Is this the same e-mail that we saw in Plaintiff's \\
\hline 13 & Exhibit 3026? \\
\hline 14 & A It looks like it. \\
\hline 15 & Q And it contains a communication from Mr. Weisselberg to \\
\hline 16 & you that states, quote, "For the SOFC." \\
\hline 17 & Did you retain his e-mail and include it in the \\
\hline & document that is Plaintiffs Exhibit 1040? \\
\hline 19 & A Can you repeat the question? \\
\hline 20 & Q Did you retain Mr. Weisselberg's e-mail that states \\
\hline 21 & "For the SOFC" and then include it in the document that is \\
\hline 22 & Plaintiff's Exhibit \(140-\mathrm{1040}\) ? \\
\hline 23 & A Is this -- is that what this means, Plaintiff's \\
\hline 24 & Exhibit? \\
\hline & Q Yes, excuse me. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 A Okay. I probably retained this.
2 Q So you followed the instruction that Mr. Weisselberg
3 gave you to keep that information for the SOFC?
A I don't know that he said keep it for the SOFC, I
think, but I kept it.
Q For the SOFC?
7 A For the SOFC, yes.
8 Q All right. Let's look at the second page of the
9 document. Do you see in the e-mail -- excuse me -- in the
10 document there is an e-mail from Mr. Weisselberg to you dated
September 11, 2019 that states, quote, "More info on the house purchase in PB?"

A Yes.
Q What did you understand Mr. Weisselberg to mean by that?
A He is providing me additional information on a Palm Beach house purchase.
Q And then the first page of the document contains -- or can you describe to me what is contained on the first page of the document?

A Yes, it looks like a newspaper clipping that shows and 22 appears to be a transaction for 60 Blossom Way in Palm Beach. 3 There is a calculation on it that gives a price breaker, 4 probably similar to what we saw on the Supporting Data 5 spreadsheet if I had to guess.

Pimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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\(1 \quad Q\) Do you see that 60 Blossom Way 99.1 million and
3.5 acres are highlighted?

3 A Yes.
4 Q Did you highlight that text?
5 A Maybe.
6 Q Do you have an understanding of what the highlighting
7 indicates?
8 A Yes.
\(9 \quad\) Q And what is your understanding?
10 A The information is highlighted that went in the calculation on the adding machine tape in front of us.

Q And by "adding machine tape," are you referring to the portion of the document in white that states " 60 Blossom Way price per acre?"

A Yes.
16 Q And is that your handwriting on the adding machine tape?

A Yes.
19 Q Do you see on the document below the 60 Blossom Way 20 sale there is another transaction referenced?

A Yes.
Q And do you see that it states "1290 South Ocean
23 Boulevard, price 85 million" and then under "details" it states 24 "about eight gorgeous acres?"
25 A Yes.

1 Q Did Mr. Weisselberg ask you to incorporate a price per acre from the 1290 South Ocean Boulevard transaction into the 2019 Mar-A-Lago valuation?
A I don't remember.
5 Q Do you have an understanding as to whether
Mr. Weisselberg sent you the news clipping that is on the first page of this document?
A He may have.
9 Q Let's see if we could refresh your recollection and have some of your prior testimony put up on the screen.

Page 421 at line 12.
MR. HAREN: Can you zoom out a little bit, please? And can you scroll down a little bit more?
Q So Mr. Birney, do you see in -- we're looking at pages 421 to 422 of your prior testimony, and I ask you the following question:

So is it your understanding whether Mr. Weisselberg sent you the first photograph that we looked at or he sent you this photograph that Mr. Weisselberg provided you with the comp that you used at 60 Blossom Way and then you asked "Is the next page an e-mail from -- usually I'll include where I got the information?"
"QUESTION: We can scroll down to page four.
"ANSWER: Yes, so I probably got both of those from
Allen Weisselberg."
P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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Does seeing that testimony refresh your recollection as to where you obtained this information on the document?
A Not really. I have no reason to believe my prior testimony was inaccurate. I think I just said "probably got them from Allen Weisselberg." What did I say before maybe?

Q I'm looking at page 422, eight to nine:
"Yes, I probably got both of those from Allen
Weisselberg."
It's fine we can just move on.
MR. HAREN: Could you turn to page 12 of the
document, please?
Q Mr. Birney, does page 12 of the document contain the same news article and note, Plaintiffs Exhibit 3030?
A They appear to be the same.
Q So you did save Mr. Weisselberg's note and the news
clipping as his note indicated you should do; right?
A Probably.
Q Did you prepare the backup for the Mar-A-Lago valuation in 2019?
A Yes.
Q And the note that Mr. Weisselberg sent you is contained in the backup file, isn't it?

A Can you represent that this 20-page document is the --
25 is one PDF?
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Q It was produced as one document, yes?
A Okay. Then yes.
Q All right. Let's turn to page eight of the document, please.

A Which page?
Q Page eight.
Transcript continues on following page....

Q Mr. Birney, do you see that a title on this page from a news article states, "Former Broadway producer Terry Allen Kramer's Estate Sells for the Record Breaking 105 Million in Palm Beach"?
A Yes.
Q And do you see that above the paragraph and below the photograph, there is handwriting that states, "1259 S. Ocean." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q What do you understand that handwriting to refer to?
A I understand that refers to the address at this location.

Q And there's some adding machine math on the right side of the page. Do you see what that computes?

A I believe it computes in value per acre for the sale. It starts with 105 million which is indicated as the sale price and it divides it by 4.72 and I just can't seem to find where the 4.72, which I believe are acres, came from on this page.

Q Do you see that there's a note above the adding machine math that states, "For valuation purposes, we are excluding the pure vacant land (second parcel) that includes 2.1 acres because no structures."

A Yes.
Q Did the substance of that note come from Mr.
25 Weisselberg?

\section*{A Probably.}

2 Q Let's see if we can refresh your recollection and pull 3 up page 428 of your prior testimony. Do you see page on 428, lines three to six -- excuse me, three to seven.
"Does the note here that you read about for valuation purposes for Statement of Financial Condition, does that reflect an instruction you received from Allen Weisselberg?
"ANSWER: I believe so. Yes."
Does that refresh your recollection as to who the substance of the note on page eight came from Mr. Weisselberg?
A No, but I have no reason to doubt that it -- that it did.

Q Okay. So fair to say that there is a news article that describes this transaction at 1259 S . Ocean Boulevard as a record-breaking 105 million sale and the price per acre was derived by excluding portions of the acreage from the price per acre?
A That's what the note says. I can't verify the math of it right now.
Q Did Allen Weisselberg direct you to use the comparable properties that were used to value Mar-A-Lago in the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
Q Did anyone at the Trump Organization between 2015 and
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P. BIRNEY - DIRECT(MR. HAREN)

2021 ever make you aware of any appraisal that appraised rent-stabilized units at Trump Park Avenue?

A Can you please repeat the question?
MR. HAREN: Can I have a readback, please.
THE COURT: Please. Thank you.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A I don't think so.
Q Okay. Mr. Birney, let's move on to the topic of Mr.
Trump's partnerships with the Vornado Realty Trust. I would like to pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 856, 2020 Statement of Financial Condition, and turn to page 20, please.

Sorry. Wrong page. Scroll up, please. There. One more page.

Mr. Birney, do you see where it states that, "Mr. Trump and Vornado Realty Trust are partners in two properties? I'll just read from the document. It states, "In May 2007, Mr. Trump and Vornado Realty Trust became partners in two properties." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And do you see three paragraphs down where it states, "Mr. Trump owns 30 percent of these properties as a limited partner"?
A Yes.
Q I'd like to advise you that in this litigation,
defendants do not dispute the following fact: "Mr. Trump's limited partnership interests are held through a series of entities named Hudson Waterfront Associates with substantially similar terms."

And I would like to mark or pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1213.

Mr. Birney, do you see on the first page of this exhibit, there is an e-mail with the subject "RE: Vornado? A Yes.
Q Do you see that substance of the e-mail from Maria Lagani to Ivanka Trump, Allen Weisselberg and Alan Garten states, "Hi, Ivanka. Attached are the Decision on Motion, the Appellate Decision and Agreement of Partnership - this is one of six identical agreements." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Let's turn to page two of the document. It is a
106-page document entitled, "Agreement of Limited Partnership of Hudson Waterfront Associates I, LP."

During your work at the Trump Organization, did anyone ever show you any of the agreements governing Mr. Trump's partnerships with the Vornado Realty Trust?

A I don't believe so.
Q During your work at the Trump Organization, were you ever provided a summary of any partnership agreement governing Mr. Trump's partnerships with Vornado Realty Trust?
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A I don't believe so.
Q Mr. Birney, do you generally recall testimony yesterday regarding Mr. Trump's triplex apartment?

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Let's call up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1196, please. It is a native file and I'll state that the file name is "1-jeffsupportingdata6.2017vpb10.05.17.xlsx."

What does that file name indicate based on your file saving practices?
A Can you repeat the file name, please?
Q Sure. "1-jeffsupportingdata6.2017vpb10.05.17"?
A That's typically how I save documents. I'll save it in the file name as of the date that I'm working on it. So I would understand that to be the file that I worked on on October 5, 2017.

MR. HAREN: Can we scroll down to row 913, column G and \(H\). And just I neglected to say the magic words on Exhibit 1213 , so if we could move that into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1213.)
Q Mr. Birney, do you have --
MR. ROBERT: Objection. I just looked at the screen. I thought he was trying to move this in. There is no foundation as to 1213 . The witness never saw this

1 agreement before.
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magic words.
Q Looking at Exhibit 1196 that is on the screen, do you see the information in row 913?
A Yes.
Q And what do you understand is contained in columns B and \(C\) and \(G\) and \(H\) in that row?
A A description for the valuation of the Trump Tower triplex for these two years that we're looking at.
Q So this is a draft of the supporting data for 2017 and as of the date of this document, the 30,000 square-foot number is still used, correct?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: All right. Let's call up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1198. This is another native file.
Q Sir, Mr. Birney, the file name on this document is "1-sofcsupportingdata6.2017vpb10.06.17".

What does that file name indicate to you based on your practices?
A It indicates that it is a draft from the next day.
Q And by the next day, you mean October 6, 2017?
A Yes.
Q Let's scroll down to rows 939 through 941, please.
MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I neglected to say the magic words about Exhibit 1196, the native file just before this one, so I move that into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1196.)

MR. HAREN: Since this one is on the screen as well, can I move this one into evidence, Exhibit 1198 ?

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1198.)
Q So, Mr. Birney, looking at rows 939 through 942, can you describe what is reflected there?
A Can you scroll up a couple of rows, please, so we can get a year on these columns?
Q Sure. Just scroll up until we see what year column G reflects.

A Okay. Thank you. So row 939 I think is the same thing we just looked at, which indicates the valuation for 2016 for the triplex apartment. And then 941 and 942 are new for 2017, but indicate the triplex was valued based on a comp at 432 Park for 10,996 square feet at \(\$ 10,625\) per square-foot. D 941 is probably just a calculation of those two, a multiplication of those two figures. And then 942 line 942 indicates there is a 35 percent premium for ex-president's house. I would imagine D 942 is some sort of formula that multiplies D 941 times 35 percent.

Q And then going down to row 950, beginning at -- in

\section*{BIRNEY - DIRECT(MR. HAREN)}
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column D, there's a question written there, " 35 percent premium ex-president, question mark, would give 27 million." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q What does that language with the yellow highlighter that fills those cells indicate to you?
A Can you please scroll down just a couple of rows?
Thank you. That indicates an internal note that probably
multiplies rows -- sorry, G950, the sum of G950 all the way
down to G966 and multiplies that sum by 35 percent probably equals 27 million.

Q And is the general idea that applying a 35 percent ex-president premium to those property values would yield an extra 27 million in value?
A If that's how I read it? If you want to pull up a calculator, we can do that.

Q I just want to get your understanding. Is that your understanding?
A That is my understanding.
Q Was applying a president premium to a series of assets in the supporting data something you would have done on your own without being directed to do so?

A No.
Q Who directed you?
A I don't really remember, but probably Allen

Weisselberg.
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1200.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document as a draft
supporting spreadsheet for the 2017 Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: I move this document in evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiffs Exhibit 1200.)
Q Mr. Birney, the file name is "1-sofcsupporting
data6.2017vpb10.10.17." What does that file name indicate to you based on your practices?

A It indicates that I saved this draft on October 10, 2017.

Q Okay. Thank you.
Do you have an understanding of the information
contained in column C and D , row 959 ?
A Yes.
Q What's your understanding?
A 25 percent premium for presidential personal residence
and D is probably a calculation. That's 25 percent of D 958.
Q And the result of the calculation is \(29,209,161 ?\)
A Yes.
25 Q And can you describe what's happening in row 968?
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT(MR. HAREN)
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1 A Yes.
2 Q Please do.
3 A 15 percent premium for presidential property is applied to the figure in G967.
\(5 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) What's the result of the calculation?
6 A 1,759,500.
7 Q Same question for row 970. If you can just describe what's happening and give the result of the calculation, that would be helpful.

A 15 percent premium for presidential property was applied to the figure in \(\mathbf{G} 969\) resulting in a number of 500,250 .

Q Row 977?
A 15 percent premium for presidential property applied to the figure in G976 resulting in \(5,310,000\).

Q Row 982?
A 15 percent premium for presidential property applied to the figure in G981 resulting in 2,250,000.

Q And 986?
A 15 percent premium for presidential property applied to the figure in G985 resulting in 133,125.
Q 990?
A 15 percent premium for presidential property applied to 23 the figure in G989 resulting in 83,438.
24 Q And then the last -- ^ I apologize for the 2 row 234.
25 A 15 percent premium for presidential winter residence
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A Between 2017 and 2016.
Q So the -- so I understand, the figure at M1004 of
\(125,050,000\) in parenthesis, does that mean according to this draft of the spreadsheet, the asset values were down by
\(\$ 125,050,000\) as compared to what was in the 2016 spreadsheet?
A Yes.
Q So removing 144 million plus in presidential premiums would make the number negative 269 million?
A Yes.
Q And then looking at cell M1014, can you describe can you describe the information in row 1014 between columns \(\mathbf{J}\) and M?

A Similar to the above, but this is for net worth comparing 2016 total to the taking the difference both in percentage and dollars from the 2017 figure.

Q Just so I understand, cell M1014 where it says \(24,360,000\), does that mean that comparing this draft of the supporting data spreadsheet for 2017 to the 2016 Statement of Financial Condition, the difference is that net worth is higher by \(24,360,000\) ?

A Yes.
Q So removing 144 million in presidential premiums would push that number into negative territory?

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1212.

1 Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
2 A Yes.
3 Q What do you recognize it to be?
4 A A spreadsheet tracking changes made between at least 5 two different versions of the supporting data spreadsheet.

Q Did you prepare this document?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiffs Exhibit 1212.)
Q The cell where it states "Statement of Financial Condition changes," what does that refer to?
A Changes to the Statement of Financial Condition.
Q And where it says, "10.26.13," is that an indication that these are changes made as of the draft of that date?

A It indicates that this version of this spreadsheet was on October 26, 2017.

Q Did you typically keep documents entitled, "Statement of Financial Condition changes"?

A There are probably multiple drafts of this.
Q Did you have a practice of keeping documents with the title, "Statement of Financial Condition changes." That's my question.
25 A I think so.

A It's a -- it's a difference calculation both in percentage and dollars between I5 and K5. Sorry. I -- 14 and K4.
Q Meaning the net worth as of the October 10, 2017 draft and the net worth from 2016?
A Yes.
Q Could you scroll down to row 39, please. Can you explain row 39 and how it relates to row 4 ?
A Yes. Row 39 is the net worth from an October 26, 2017 draft of supporting spreadsheet, so it is just a later draft.

Q And is the -- is row 39 reflective of the information that's described between row 4 and row 39 ?
A Can you please repeat the question?
Q Sure. Let's do it a different way.
MR. HAREN: Could we zoom out a little bit.
Q So Mr. Birney, do you see that there is information in columns B and C such as row 20 and 21 where it states, "Trump Park Avenue reduction in PH 20 per contract with IT."

Do you have an understanding of what that refers to?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And what does that refer to?
A The value of penthouse 20 at 502 Park Avenue was reduced by 18.7 million because Ivanka Trump has a lease with a purchase option in that lease that was \(\$ 18.7\) million less than the sponsor unit analysis report we received.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1298 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1300 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And does the fact that that information is contained in \\
2 Rows \(B\) and \(C\) and then there is a numerical difference in Column
\end{tabular} & 1 was obtained by increasing the EBITDA by free rent and reduction 2 of the straight line rent. \\
\hline 3 E indicate that the change was made between October 10th and & 3 Q So as of October 26, 2017, the value of a penthouse at \\
\hline 4 October 26th? & 4 Trump Park Avenue was reduced, presidential premiums were \\
\hline 5 A Yes & 5 removed by 267.8 million, was added to the 1290 Avenue of the \\
\hline 6 Q So the items listed from Row 7 down to Row 36, those & 6 Americas' valuation? \\
\hline 7 are changes to the SOFC made between October 10th and & 7 A Ye \\
\hline 8 October 26th? & 8 THE COURT: Five-minute warning. \\
\hline 9 A Yes & 9 Q Let's call up Plaintiff's Exhibit 3034. \\
\hline 10 Q And so then going back to Row 39, can you describe -- & 10 MR. HAREN: Your Honor, this is actually a pretty \\
\hline 11 well, is it fair that those numbers in Row 39 reflected the & 11 good time if you want to do it earlier. \\
\hline 12 product of the changes made between Rows 6 and 36? & 12 THE COURT: Yes, sure. Within that five minutes \\
\hline 13 A Yes. & 13 you have discretion. \\
\hline 14 Q All right. Do you have an understanding of the & 14 I think we have a question or a comment here. \\
\hline 15 information in Row 36? & 15 Allison? \\
\hline 16 A Yes. & 16 MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. I just wanted to go back \\
\hline 17 Q What's your understanding? & 17 and clarify on the deposition designations. You are \\
\hline 18 A That 144.7 million was reduced from the Statement of & 18 correct. There was a mistake in the court \\
\hline 19 Financial Condition for the premium celebrity status. & 19 Mr. Kise, you are correct there is a mistake in the \\
\hline 20 Q And the number in Column \(E\) in Row 36 is 144,680,600? & 20 court e-mail. So the only part of that designation that is \\
\hline 21 A Yes. & 21 inadmissible is the part that plaintiff objected to which is \\
\hline 22 Q The number that is \$1 off from the sum of the & 22 page 120, line 21 to page 122, line 22. \\
\hline 23 presidential premiums in the earlier spreadsheet? & 23 MR. KISE: No, 103. I have 103, lines 12 to 18. \\
\hline 24 A I don't remember the sum that you stated before. & 24 MS. GREENFIELD: That's admissible. So if the \\
\hline 25 Q It was 144,680,601? & 25 e-mail said otherwise -- \\
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1299 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1301 \\
\hline 1 A Okay. & 1 MR. KISE: It is admissible? \\
\hline 2 Q So did you have an understanding as of October 26, 2017 & 2 MS. GREENFIELD: It is admissible. The only thing \\
\hline 3 that the presidential premiums were to come out of the Statement & 3 inadmissible is 120 , line 21 to 122, line 22. \\
\hline 4 of Financial Condition for that year? & 4 MR. KISE: Hold on. I don't think I have that \\
\hline 5 A Can you repeat the question, please? & 5 That may not be an issue at all. Let me just -- \\
\hline 6 MR. HAREN: Sure. Could I have a read back? & 6 MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. \\
\hline 7 THE COURT: Please & 7 MR. KISE: But I'll come back to you if it is. So \\
\hline 8 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the & 8 then the ten pages is in and the 120 just make sure I have \\
\hline 9 proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) & 9 it. Yes, okay. Understood. Thank you. \\
\hline 10 A I had an understanding that they were taken out as of & 10 THE COURT: We went over those pretty carefully. \\
\hline 11 October 26, 2017. & 11 I'm careful, too, you see. \\
\hline 12 Q And then looking at Rows 23 and 24, do you see in Row B & 12 (Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.) \\
\hline 13 it states, "1290 Avenue?" & 13 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in \\
\hline 14 A Yes. & 14 session. Please be seated and come to order. \\
\hline 15 Q What does that refer to? & 15 THE COURT: Mr. Kise? \\
\hline 16 A The commercial office building located at 1290 Avenue & 16 MR. KISE: I just want to put on the record. So \\
\hline 17 of the Americ & 17 just so I'm clear, so you're reversing the ruling from \\
\hline 18 Q And that's part of the Vornado Partnership Interest & 18 yesterday that said that that testimony was admissible, \\
\hline 19 that we discussed earlier; right? & 19 right? Because yesterday's ruling said pages 1, lines 25 \\
\hline 20 A Yes. & 20 through 136, line 23 was admissible. That would include \\
\hline 21 Q Do you have an understanding of the numerical figure in & 21 120, line 21 through 122, line 22, so. \\
\hline 22 Cell E 24? & 22 MS. GREENFIELD: Yes, that's correct. That was a \\
\hline 23 A Yes. & 23 mistake in the e-mail. So the ruling from - the only thing \\
\hline 24 Q And what is your understanding? & 24 that's inadmissible out of everything that was submitted was \\
\hline 25 A My understanding is that is the increase in value that & 25 what was relayed right before the break. Everything else \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 that was submitted or designated is admissible with the

2 exception of those lines I read right before the break and the things that were withdrawn I think there is still agreement there?

THE COURT: I'll assume if they withdraw them you haven't said anything.

MR. AMER: They're withdrawn.
MR. KISE: But I don't -- I guess we'll have to come back to you. I haven't had a chance over the break to look at it. I don't see any distinction between the testimony that was excluded and the rest of the testimony and all of it relates to the same thing which is this witness's understanding of her own notes and just like we're doing with this witness here asking him question after question after question.

In fact, it's a little different here because we're asking him questions that aren't in his notes. We're just asking him what's his understanding, so that testimony was designed to get at this witness's understanding of what she wrote in her own notes, but I can do this in a more detailed way if -- I don't want to take up the time while we have a witness.

THE COURT: Right. So this is now a substantive disagreement, not a correction?

MR. KISE: Yes, Your Honor. Because yesterday we
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had just taken that ruling is including all of that, but \(I\) just don't see a distinction between -- I can come back to you on that.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll address it.
MR. KISE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes, I remember looking at that carefully since that was the exception. There was a compound question or questions. There was no reason to believe that that particular witness would know the answer. These witnesses try to -- if you didn't object or I let it in anyway, there was a reason to believe that they wouldn't be able to answer.

MR. KISE: No reason to believe that the witness wouldn't understand her own notes? The question relates to her own notes, so there is certainly a reason to believe that she would understand her own notes. We could ask her a question. If she says I don't know, then she says I don't know. But if we're asking her about what is in her own notes and the basis for what's in her own notes, then she can either say I don't know what I looked at or I don't know why I wrote that or I don't know or any other combination or she can explain it.

THE COURT: I totally agree with everything you just said.

Now let's hear what the AG has to say.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I'm not arguing the merits of this. The Court has already addressed that.

THE COURT: Well, I addressed it, but not as a continuing objection, which we'll have to hash out.

MR. SOLOMON: But one thing I did want to raise, Your Honor, is this may affect the ability to have the video properly edited for Monday.

THE COURT: Yes, so we'll finish it today.
MR. KISE: Either today or we can get it to you over the weekend.

THE COURT: There is no reason not to do it today.
MR. KISE: I just don't know if we have -- I'll have to go back and see if we have all the transcript materials.

THE COURT: It can't be that complicated if it's the way you said, then --

MR. KISE: It seems very simple to me. It's not. Apparently it is more complicated which is why we have to address it, but I certainly don't think in a -- particularly in a bench trial, issues relative to minor nits and questions, it's a bench trial. There is no risk of confusion of a jury. So you can certainly sort out what you think is relevant or not relevant, but to exclude it altogether when this witness isn't even available to us at
this point is a big step. That's why I'm making such an issue of it.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, Mr. Amer will deal with the merits of the issue.

MR. AMER: Well, only to say I don't have the transcript in front of me.

THE COURT: Is your microphone on?
MR. AMER: I don't have the transcript in front of me, so if I could just have time to look at the transcript and address Mr. Kiser's comment maybe before we break at the end of the day.

THE COURT: Or before or after lunch. I don't care. We're not coming back after lunch. Is today Friday or something?

MR. KISE: I will point out that we're talking about what amounts to about 25 lines of testimony that are important to the presentation of our defense and so for that reason alone, I mean, I don't see -- there doesn't seem to be any critical issue with the testimony itself, so I would just on that basis alone to short circuit this and make it available for them to do the video, I would ask the Court to reconsider just on that basis. It's a bench trial.

THE COURT: I am reconsidering and we'll address it, but last words quickly?

MR. AMER: We'll look at the testimony and I'll get
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline 1 back to you when we're done for the day with the substantive & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 response. & 2 Q Who is Ms. Miller around the time of this e-mail? \\
\hline 3 THE COURT: Okay. I'm sure with all this & 3 A Who? \\
\hline 4 brainpower here we can work it out somehow. & 4 Q Yes \\
\hline 5 MR. KISE: Thank y & 5 A Amanda was the communications person. \\
\hline 6 THE COURT OFFICER: Ready for the witness, Judge? & 6 Q And also in the "to" line is Alan Garten; correct? \\
\hline 7 THE COURT: Yes, thanks. & 7 A Ye \\
\hline 8 THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. & 8 Q And he was the chief legal officer? \\
\hline 9 (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and & 9 A I don't know what Allan's title was in 2018. \\
\hline 10 approaches the witness stand.) & 10 Q The date of the e-mail is May 29, 2018 and it states: \\
\hline 11 THE COURT: Okay, let's continue. & 11 "It's that special time of year where we update the President's \\
\hline 12 Q Welcome back, Mr. Birney. I'll do my best to keep my & 12 net worth summary for the Bloomberg billionaires index. I've \\
\hline 13 voice up. & 13 been working on the update this year with Shahein cc'd." \\
\hline 14 MR. HAREN: Could we look at Plaintiff's & 14 And then what follows below the e-mail signature is a \\
\hline 15 Exhibit 3031, please? & 15 chart of assets and valuations. Do you see that? \\
\hline 16 Q Mr. Birney, do you see the document on the screen & 16 A Yes. \\
\hline 17 entitled "Bloomberg Analysis 5.29.18?" & 17 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to why you may \\
\hline 18 A Yes. & 18 have been preparing a spreadsheet entitled "Bloomberg Analysis \\
\hline 19 Q Did you prepare this document? & 19 5.29.18?" \\
\hline 20 A Yes. & 20 A The timing makes sense. \\
\hline 21 Q What does it appear to you to be? & 21 Q Does it refresh your recollection? \\
\hline 22 A A spreadsheet comparing certain asset, classes, & 22 A Not really, but I don't have a reason to doubt this \\
\hline 23 requests, multiple years, and multiple reports. & 23 e-mail in that spreadsheet are connected. \\
\hline 24 Q And the first Bloomberg Analysis, what does that refer & 24 MR. HAREN: Let's turn back to Exhibit 3031. \\
\hline 25 to? & 25 Q Did anyone direct you to prepare this document? \\
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1307 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1309 \\
\hline A Analysis of something related to Bloomberg. & 1 A Probably. \\
\hline 2 Q Is there any information communicated from Bloomberg & 2 Q Did Allen Weisselberg direct you to prepare this \\
\hline 3 that caused you to prepare this spreadsheet? & 3 document? \\
\hline 4 A Probably. & 4 A Probably \\
\hline 5 Q Let's see if we can refresh your recollection. & 5 Q Did Ms. Miller ask you to prepare any information in \\
\hline 6 MR. HAREN: Can we pull up Plaintiff's & 6 response to the Bloomberg inquiry on May 29, 2018? \\
\hline 7 Exhibit 3034? & 7 A I don't know. \\
\hline 8 And before we do that, I'll move 3031 into & 8 MR. HAREN: All right. Let's move to Plaintiff's \\
\hline 9 evidence. & 9 Exhibit 3033. \\
\hline 10 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. & 10 Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document? \\
\hline 11 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is & 11 A I recognize it as an e-mail. \\
\hline 12 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3031 in & 12 Q Is it a series of e-mails on which you are copied? \\
\hline 13 evidence.) & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 Q Mr. Birney, do you see the document on the screen, an & 14 MR. HAREN: Move this document into evidence. \\
\hline 15 e-mail from someone called Caleb Melby at Bloomberg? & 15 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. \\
\hline 16 A Yes. & 16 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 17 Q And do you see that the e-mail is directed to & 17 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3033 in \\
\hline 18 AWeisselberg@Trumporg.com? & 18 evidence.) \\
\hline 19 A Yes. & 19 Q If you could turn to page two and look in the middle of \\
\hline 20 Q Whose e-mail address is that? & 20 the page. Do you see where Ms. Miller writes to Mr. Melby and \\
\hline 21 A It's probably Allen Weisselberg's. I know his e-mail & 21 others "thanks Caleb -- hoping you can speak with Patrick on \\
\hline 22 address is Weisselberg@Trumporg.com. I don't know if that & 22 background only and not for attribution." Do you see that? \\
\hline 23 matters. & 23 A Yes. \\
\hline 24 Q Okay. And also on the "to" line is someone named & 24 Q And Mr. Melby follows further up on page two stating \\
\hline 25 Amanda Miller. Do you see that? & 25 "happy to talk to Patrick right now." Do you see that? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1310 & P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1312 \\
\hline 1 A Yes. & 1 A I understand it to contain talking points for the \\
\hline 2 Q And then on page one of the exhibit, Ms. Miller writes & 2 Bloomberg call. \\
\hline 3 to Mr. Melby, "We will give you a ring in about ten minutes." & 3 Q And do you sometimes prepare talking points in draft \\
\hline 4 And Mr. Melby responds, "Let's do it." Do you see that? & 4 form in Outlook and not send them in \\
\hline 5 A Y & 5 A It's possible. \\
\hline 6 Q And then at the very top of page one, Mr. Melby writes: & 6 Q All right. Just directing your attention to some of \\
\hline 7 "Thanks again for the call. My editor is wondering when we'll & 7 the information in \\
\hline 8 get comment." Do y & 8 Well, let me ask you first, did you work with anybody \\
\hline 9 A & 9 else to prepare these talking points? \\
\hline 10 Q Did you have a call with Ms. Miller and Mr. Melby on & 10 A Ye \\
\hline 11 the aftern & 11 Q Who did you work with to prepare these talking points? \\
\hline 12 A I had a call with Ms. Miller and Bloomberg. I don't & 12 A Allen Weisselberg. \\
\hline 13 know or remember the date. It probably was on May 30th, yes. & 13 Q And where it states at the top of the Document 4.8 \\
\hline 14 Q Can you descr & 14 indicates, "A stabilized situation depending on cash flow, \\
\hline 15 A Yes. & 15 upside, rent roll, credit tenants, RCA may be including \\
\hline 16 Q Please do. & 16 properties that are Class A but may include tenants that are of \\
\hline 17 A I think I just discussed Bloomberg's valuations and I & 17 lower credit." Do you see that? \\
\hline 18 don't really remember the main points of the call. I think they & 18 A Yes \\
\hline 19 had something to do with they use of the citywide cap rate. I & 19 Q What does 4.8 refer to there? \\
\hline 20 remember that. Points from the spreadsheet that I prepared were & 20 A Cap rate. \\
\hline 21 probably dis & 21 Q And did Mr. Weisselberg provide this portion of the \\
\hline 22 MR. HAREN: All right. Let's move to Plaintiffs & 22 talking points document to you? \\
\hline 23 Exhibit 3028 & 23 A Probably. \\
\hline 24 Q Mr. Birney, do you see on this exhibit that the subject & 24 MR. HAREN: Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 3027. \\
\hline 25 is "Bloon & 25 Excuse me, Your Honor. \\
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 131 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1313 \\
\hline A Y & 1 (Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) \\
\hline 2 Q And there is a sent time of "May 30, 2018 at & 2 MR. HAREN: Your Honor, just so I can have clarity \\
\hline 3 2:38 p.m.?' & hibit 3028 in evidence now that the witness has \\
\hline 4 A Y & 4 testified that he prepared it as a set of talking points? \\
\hline 5 Q And in the "from" field there is a lot of wh & 5 MR. ROBERT: No problem with that. \\
\hline 6 just describe as Internet gobbledygook, for lack of a better & THE COURT: It's in evidence. \\
\hline 7 description, but in the middle of all that it says, "P. Birney" & R. HAREN: Thank you. \\
\hline 8 on the right side on the top line? Do you & 8 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 9 A Yes & 9 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3027 in \\
\hline 10 Q Did you prepare this document? & 10 evidence.) \\
\hline 11 A It appe & 11 Q Mr. Birney, Exhibit 3027. Do you recognize it? \\
\hline 12 MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. & 13 Q What do you recognize it to be? \\
\hline 14 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is & 14 A An e-mail from Michael Papagianopoulos from Cushman \\
\hline 15 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3028 in & 15 Wakefield. \\
\hline 16 evidence.) & 16 Q Is it to you? \\
\hline 17 MR. ROBERT: Just for the fact I guess it's a -- & 17 A The e-mail is to me, cc's Jeff McConney and two other \\
\hline 18 something that the witness attributes to himself because I & 18 people from Cushman \& Wakefield. \\
\hline 19 can't tell who it's sent to. It just says & 19 MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. \\
\hline 20 this an e-mail or it's just like a memo that was done? & 20 THE COURT: Was that a motion to admit it into \\
\hline 21 MR. HAREN: If we can ask the witness? & 21 evidence? \\
\hline 22 MR. ROBERT: Okay. & 22 MR. HAREN: Yes. \\
\hline 23 Q Mr. Birney, you stated that you prepared this; correct? & 23 THE COURT: Got to keep your voice up. \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & 24 Granted. It's in evidenc \\
\hline 25 Q What do you understand this document to contain? & 25 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1314 & \\
\hline 1 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3027 in & 1 \\
\hline 2 evidence.) & 2 \\
\hline 3 MR. HAREN: Thank you. & 3 \\
\hline 4 Q Mr. Birney, I'm looking at the middle of or the bottom & 4 \\
\hline 5 of page one. There is a request made to Mr. Papagianopoulos and & 5 \\
\hline 6 it states: "Can you please update the attached comps for & 6 \\
\hline 7 Manhattan Class A. Can you send this today." Do you see that? & 7 \\
\hline 8 A Yes. & 8 \\
\hline 9 Q Why did you make that request to Mr. Papagianopoulos? & 9 \\
\hline 10 A Probably because it had something to do with the & 10 \\
\hline 11 Bloomberg call. It was that same day. & 11 \\
\hline 12 Q And in the e-mail from you to Mr. Papagianopoulos at & 12 \\
\hline 13 12:46 p.m., you stated, "Also confirming our conversation that & 13 \\
\hline 141290 Avenue of Americas could probably be estimated at a mid & 14 \\
\hline 15 four cap rate at stabilization low four if there is upside." & 15 \\
\hline 16 Do you see that? & 16 \\
\hline 17 A Yes. & 17 \\
\hline 18 Q Did Mr. Weisselberg or Mr. McConney dictate that & 18 \\
\hline 19 language to you? & 19 \\
\hline 20 A I don't know. & 20 \\
\hline 21 Q Let's see if we can refresh your recollection. & 21 \\
\hline 22 MR. HAREN: Let's pull up on the screen some of & 22 \\
\hline 23 your prior testimony at page 385. & 23 \\
\hline 24 Q And looking at page 385, lines two through ten, you & 24 \\
\hline 25 were asked: "I guess I'm asking what does it mean to have a mid & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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four cap rate at stabilization low four if there is upside?
"ANSWER: I'm obviously referring to mean four cap rate meaning four mid or mid four percent cap rate. I don't really know what at stabilization or upside really mean." That's your testimony?
A Yes.
Q And then let's look at 394 beginning at 920:
"QUESTION: Did somebody dictate the language to you to put in this e-mail?
"ANSWER: Possibly."
It continues, "is that part of your current
practice that somebody dictates e-mails for you to send out?
"ANSWER: Sometimes.
"QUESTION: Who dictates messages for you to send out?
"ANSWER: Any of my bosses.
"QUESTION: So that would be specifically whom?
"ANSWER: I would say -- so Ron Leiberman, Allen Weisselberg and Jeff McConney.
"QUESTION: And to your recollection, did Ron Leiberman direct you to draft this particular message?
"ANSWER: No.
"QUESTION: Did Jeff McConney dictate this particular message for you?
"ANSWER: Maybe.
"QUESTION: Did Allen Weisselberg dictate this particular message for you?
"ANSWER: Maybe.
"QUESTION: Did anybody else possibly dictate this message to you?
"ANSWER: Probably not. That was your testimony? THE WITNESS: Yes.
Transcript continues on the following page....

Q Does seeing that testimony refresh your recollection as to whether anybody dictated to you the language in this e-mail which states " 1290 Ave of Americas could probably be estimated at mid 4 cap rate at stabilization low 4 if there is upside"?
A I'm writing the e-mail to Michael from Cushman \& Wakefield saying, "Confirming our conversation," so I could have written that down based on a phone call or it could have been told to me by -- or dictated to me by Allen Weisselberg or Jeff McConney.
Q By the time you sent the e-mail, you didn't know what at stabilization or upside really meant?

A Correct.
Q Looking back at Exhibit 3027, the e-mail on May 30, 2018 at 12:46 p.m., you copied Jeff McConney on the e-mail. Why was he copied on the e-mail? I'll ask it in a more specific way.

Was Mr. McConney assisting you in gathering market information to respond to the Bloomberg inquiry?
A Yes.
Q In Exhibit 3027, at the top of page one, there is a response from Mr. Papagianopoulos that states, "While I cannot opine on 1290 AOA , as I do not know the actual financials, current market environment for class A MT properties is mid 4 s ", that's the number four with an " S ", "for stabilized and below that for properties with upside." Do you see that?

\section*{A Yes.}

2 Q What do you understand MT to refer to there?
3 A I understand it to be midtown.
MR. HAREN: Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1159.
Q Mr. Birney, in Exhibit 1159, do you see on the first page an e-mail from you to Mr. Papagianopoulos stating in part,
"I need both the midtown and downtown sales for an urgent matter." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What was the urgent matter?
A I have to believe it was the Bloomberg article/phone call.

MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1159.)
Q Mr. Birney, do you see on page two of the document, we have the same two e-mails that indicate an exchange with Mr. Papagianopoulos about a mid 4 cap rate at stabilization that we looked at a minute ago?
A Yes.
Q And on page one of this exhibit, you forwarded the e-mail chain to Allen Weisselberg. Do you see that?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up the 2018 supporting data
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spreadsheet Plaintiff's Exhibit 774. Could we go to cells C832 and 833.
Q So, Mr. Birney, does the information in cells C832 and C833 pertain to the 2018 valuation of 1290 Avenue of the Americas?
A Yes.
Q And do you see that it states, "06/30/2018-based on information provided by Michael Papagianopoulos of Cushman \& Wakefield which reflects a cap rate for 2.67 percent for a comparable office building"?
A Yes.
Q And that's the same Michael Papagianopoulos whose name we saw from the e-mails from May 30, 2018 ?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up the 2018 Statement of
Financial Condition, Plaintiff's Exhibit 773 and go to page 19, please.
Q Mr. Birney, do you see the heading, "partnerships and joint ventures"?

A Yes.
Q In the paragraph beginning with -- scroll down,
please. In the paragraph beginning with "the estimated current value," do you see where it states, "This valuation was arrived at by applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income and taking into consideration any debt."

1 A Yes.
2 Q And does it state the estimated current value of the 3 real property net of debt of \(1,211,900,000\) is based on an evaluation made by the trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals?

A Yes.
Q Did Jeff McConney or Allen Weisselberg ever direct you to use a capitalization rate in the mid 4 percent range in the 2018 valuation of 1290 Avenue of the Americas based on the properties stabilized net operating income?

A Can you please repeat the question?
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

THE COURT: That is a mouthful by the way. If you can make the questions a little shorter and simpler and maybe more direct.
A No.
Q If either of them had given you such a direction, would you have followed it?

A Probably.
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 781, please.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
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Q What do you recognize it to be?
A I recognize it to be the backup to the 1290 Avenue of the Americas valuation -- I can't really tell the year.

Q Do you see that it has in the left-hand column on the first page actual fourth quarter 2017 results?
A Yes.
Q Does that indicate to you that it is the backup for the 2018 valuation?
A Probably, yes.
MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 773.)
Q And Mr. Birney, when it says "1290" in handwriting at the top of the first page, does that refer to 1290 Avenue of the Americas?

A Yes.
Q All right. What do you understand to be shown on page eight of this document?

A This is a list of recent sales at that time for midtown Class A buildings.

Q Is it a portion of a generic report of sales from Cushman \& Wakefield?

A I can't tell who it's from.
Q But that appears to you to be a generic list of sales?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q All right. There is a sale that is highlighted 666
Fifth Avenue. Do you see that?
4 A Yes.
\(5 \quad Q\) And is that your highlighting?
6 A Probably.
\(7 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And what is indicated by the fact that 2.67 percent is highlighted?
9 A That is the capitalization rate that was used.
10 Q Do you see in the entry next to transaction type it states "contract."

A Yes.
Q You understand that -- do you understand that to mean the transaction is not closed, but it is in contract?

A Ido.
Q All right.
MR. HAREN: Could we scroll down, please, to the paragraph below the numbers.
Q Do you see at the bottom of the paragraph it states,
"If the sale occurs, the property would be purchased on an overall capitalization rate of 2.67 percent. The stabilized capitalization rate is projected to increase to 4.45 percent in year 3."
24 A Yes.
25 Q Were you ever directed to use the 4.45 percent rate
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rather than the 2.67 percent rate in valuing 1290 Avenue of the Americas in 2018?
A No.
MR. HAREN: Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 842,
the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition and turn to page 19.

Q Mr. Birney, do you see the heading "partnerships and joint ventures"?
A Yes.
Q In the paragraph beginning with, "The estimated current value," do you see that it states, "This valuation was arrived at by applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income and taking into consideration any debt"? A Yes.

MR. HAREN: Let's move to the supporting data Plaintiff's Exhibit 843 and turn to cells G834 to 835. Q Mr. Birney, do you see in cell G835 there is a reference to a 2.67 percent cap rate?
A Yes.
Q And then looking over at cells \(\mathbf{G}\) or \(\mathbf{C 8 6 3}\) to 864, do you see that it states, "06/30/2019-1290 based on information provided by Michael Papagianopoulos of Cushman \& Wakefield which reflects a cap rate of 2.67 percent for a comparable office building." You see that?

A Yes.

MR. HAREN: Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 850.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A The backup to the 1290 Avenue of the Americas 2019 asset valuation.

MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 850.)
Q Mr. Birney, could you look at page five of the document, please.

MR. HAREN: Could you zoom in for the entry for sale number one with the highlighter.
Q Mr. Birney, you see where the 2.67 percent
capitalization rate is highlighted?
A Yes.
Q What is indicated by that?
A Capitalization rate of 2.67 was used.
Q And were you ever --
MR. HAREN: Scroll down, please, to the paragraph below.
Q Do you see at the bottom of the paragraph, it states, "The property was purchased based on an overall capitalization rate of 2.67 percent which is projected to increase to
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\subsection*{4.45 percent upon stabilization in year 3?"}

A Yes.
Q Were you ever directed to use the 4.45 percent number instead of the 2.67 percent number?

A No.
MR. HAREN: Can we zoom out to see more of the exhibit, please.
Q Do you see in pages five through seven of the exhibit
there are some entries identified by handwritten notes such as
east, west, south and too small?
A No.
Q So do you see on page five that there are notations in
handwriting that state east, west, east. Do you see those?
A Yes.
Q And then on page six, do you see that there are
additional notations such as south, east, west and too small?
A Yes.
Q Are those entries in your handwriting?
A Yes.
Q And what do those notations indicate?
A They indicate why these properties weren't used.
Q Whose decision was it not to use the properties
identified by those notations?
A Allen Weisselberg.
Q We're going to move on to Trump Tower and go back to
the 2018 supporting data Exhibit 774. Let's look at rows 36 to 49, please.

Mr. Birney, just speaking generally, can you describe
the information in column \(C\) from rows 32 to 42 ?
A Columns B and C?
Q Yes. Sorry.
A Yes.
Q Please, go ahead.
A It's a calculation of the net operating income and the different line items of description for that calculation.

Q And so there's an income number in row 32 and then there are adjustments made below that; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And looking at cell H47, what's conveyed there?
A I couldn't really hear you.
Q H47.
THE COURT: You mean line 47, don't you?
MR. HAREN: Right, line 47, and the number that is contained in cell H47.
A Sorry. I couldn't really hear the question. What's the question?
Q What is conveyed there?
A Cap rate used in 2018 for Trump Tower of 2.86 percent.
Q And do you see the -- if you look at cell C81 to 83,
there's a notation that states, "06/30/2018 based on information
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provided by Michael Papagianopoulos of Cushman \& Wakefield which reflect cap rates for comparable office buildings of 2.67 percent and 3.05 percent for an average cap rate of 2.86 percent"?

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 776, please.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
\(Q \quad\) What do you recognize it to be?
A The backup for the Trump Tower valuation in 2018. MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. THE COURT: All right. Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 776.)
Q Mr. Birney, on the first page, there is a figure of \(\$ 1,009,488\) on the line for "management fees." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q What does it mean there that the figure is circled?
A Probably means it was removed in the
calculation -- oh, I'm sorry. The -- one second. Yes. It was removed from the calculation.

Q So management fees were removed from expenses when calculating net operating income?

1 A Can you repeat the question?
\(2 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) So management fees were removed from expenses when 3 calculating net operating income?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And whose decision was it to remove management fees
6 from the expenses when calculating the net operating income of
7 Trump Tower?
8 A Either Jeff McConney or Allen Weisselberg.
9 Q If you take a look at page nine, do you see an e-mail
from Doug Larson at Newmark Knight Frank?
A Yes.
Q It is dated July 29, 2018 and the only person on the "to" line is Doug Larson. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q So did you have an understanding of, I guess, e-mail culture that when a person sends an e-mail to themselves and it ends up as you can see from the next e-mail of Jeff McConney that it was a broad e-mail blast?

A General understanding, yes.
Q All right. And then Mr. McConney forwards that e-mail to you as you can see at the top of the page nine. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q He states in his e-mail to you, "Does this answer number three on the Statement of Financial Condition needs
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\section*{list"?}

Do you have an understanding of what "Statements of Financial Condition needs list" refers to?
A Yes.
\(Q\) And what does it refer to?
A I think it refers to an e-mail that I sent this year listing out the things that I needed in order to put together the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q And Mr. McConney was assisting you for gathering things for the Statement of Financial Condition needs list?

A Yes.
Q Turning to page seven of the document, do you see the adding machine math in the middle of the page?

A Yes.
\(Q\) And what do you understand it to convey?
A An average cap rate from 666 Fifth Avenue and 693 Fifth Avenue.
\(Q\) And looking at the entry on the page for 666 Fifth Avenue, do you see at the bottom of the paragraph entry the same reference to a stabilized capitalization rate of 4.45 percent that we saw in the similar report in the backup for the 1290 valuation?

A Yes.
Q Were you ever directed to use the 4.45 percent number 5 rather than the 2.67 percent number in the 2018 Trump Tower
valuation?
A No.
Q Let's move to Plaintiffs Exhibit 1100. So it is a
long document. I can assure you we're going to go through only a very small amount of it.

Mr. Birney, do you see at the top of the first page an e-mail from Jeff McConney to you stating, "Does this answer number three on the Statement of Financial Condition needs list"?

A Yes.
Q And do you see that the attachments to the e-mail include file names such as "nycofficemarketoverview.pdf,"
"officebuildingsales.pdf," "officemarketoverview.xlsx" and then there are several others?

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize this e-mail that Mr. McConney sent to you?

A I recognize it as an e-mail, yes.
MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1100.)
Q I'd like to turn to page 39 of the exhibit. So in the
packet of material that's contained in Exhibit 1100, you can see
on this page sale number one, 666 Fifth Avenue. And then on
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 1331 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)} \\
\hline & page 42 of the exhibit, sale number 14, 693 Fifth Avenue. And & & MR. HAREN: I mo \\
\hline 2 & I'll, for the sake of time, just indicate to you that there are & 2 & THE COURT: Gra \\
\hline 3 & 24 different sales reflected in this generic report and that & 3 & (Whereupon, the it \\
\hline 4 & many of them have capitalization rates higher than four percent. & & received and marked Pla \\
\hline 5 & My question for you is just did you decide -- were you & & evidence.) \\
\hline 6 & the person who decided not to use any properties other than 666 & & Q Direct your attention \\
\hline 7 & Fifth Avenue and 693 Fifth Avenue as comparable to Trump Tower & & states next to "\$1,061,233 pa \\
\hline 8 & for the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition? & & A Yes. \\
\hline 9 & A No. & & Q And is that manageme \\
\hline 10 & (Continued on the next page.) & & calculation of net operating \\
\hline 11 & & & A It's removed from the \\
\hline 12 & & 12 & Q And the operating exp \\
\hline 13 & & & of that operating income; ri \\
\hline 14 & & & A Yes. \\
\hline 15 & & 15 & MR. HAREN: Let' \\
\hline 16 & & & exhibit. \\
\hline 17 & & & Q Do you see the highlig \\
\hline 18 & & 18 & Avenue? \\
\hline 19 & & 19 & A Yes. \\
\hline 20 & & 20 & Q And the capitalizatio \\
\hline 21 & & & highlighted? \\
\hline 22 & & 22 & A Yes. \\
\hline 23 & & 23 & Q What does that indic \\
\hline 24 & & & A That the cap rate of 2 \\
\hline 25 & & 25 & Q Used in what? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 A The valuation of Trump Tower.
2 Q And do you see the note at the bottom of the paragraph
that reads "the property was purchased based on an overall
capitalization rate of 2.67 percent which is projected to
increase to 4.5 percent upon stabilization in year three?"
A Yes.
Q Were you ever directed in connection with the valuation of Trump Tower in 2019 to use the 4.45 percent number reflected here?
A No.
MR. HAREN: Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1227.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A A draft of the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition
Supporting Data spreadsheet.
MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1227 in
evidence.)
Q The file name is "1-SOFC Supporting Data 6.2019 VPD
072219.XLSX." What does that file name indicate to you based on your practices?

A It indicates that this version was the draft as of
P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)

Page 1335
July 22, 2019.
Q And I'd just like to direct you down to Row 65 through 67.

MR. HAREN: And can you scroll up a little bit to
show the witness the property to which those entries
pertain?
Q So Mr. Birney, do you see in Row 30 there is an entry,
"Trump Tower?"
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Okay. Now could we scroll down, please, to Row 65? There it is.
Q Mr. Birney, do you see in these entries there are two different valuations of Trump Tower that are computed?
A Yes.
Q And value one is 524 million and change?
A Yes.
Q And value two is 571 million and change?
A Yes.
Q And value one is based on a cap rate of 1.0 percent?
A Yes.
Q And value two is based on a cap rate of 3.67 percent?
A Yes.
Q And just looking down at Rows 84 through 90 and focusing first on Rows 84 to 86, do you see that the four
percent number is based on an average of \(4.65,4.68\) and 2.67 ?
P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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1 A Yes.
2 Q And do you see that the 3.67 percent number in value two is based on an average of 4.68 and 2.67?

A Yes.
Q You recall that we saw in the 2019 Supporting Data that only 2.67 percent was used in the final valuation of Trump Tower in 2019?

A I think so.
9 Q Did you alone make the decision to use the 2.67 percent
rate rather than the higher rates reflected in this exhibit?
A No.
Q Did any person direct you to use only the 2.67 percent rate from the 666 Fifth Avenue sale for the 2019 valuation of Trump Tower?

A Yes.
Q Who was that person?
A Allen Weisselberg.
Q Do you recall any conversation with Mr. Weisselberg in which he conveyed that instruction?

A Yes.
Q What do you recall about that conversation?
A I recall that I discussed it with Allen Weisselberg. I think I took the position that -- I think he said just use 2.67 percent and I said -- I'm -- like, I'm fine using that cap rate, but I'm worried that if we only use 2.67 if the building
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was sold, like, next year we're going to have a -- next year there might not be a cap rate that is as low as 2.67 , so there would be a difference between next year and this year.
\(Q\) So you had a concern that the following year you might not still be able to support a cap rate as low as 2.67 ?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
MR. HAREN: Your Honor, this witness is an employee of the defendants. He's identified with an adverse party. There is a little bit of leading under the rules. I'm just trying to clarify his answer.

THE COURT: We haven't addressed the issue I don't think whether he's a hostile witness.

MR. ROBERT: He is not a hostile witness. I think it's evidence in the testimony this afternoon and this morning.

MR. HAREN: I would just say that under the rules leading is permitted for an adverse party regardless of whether he's hostile, but I was just trying to clarify his answer.

THE COURT: That was the only thing I was thinking. You're just trying to clarify what he said. I'll overrule the objection on multiple grounds.
Q Mr. Birney, could you explain --
MR. HAREN: Actually, the objection was overruled, so could I have a read back, please?

received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1722 in evidence.)

MR. HAREN: And I could be done for the day. THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERT: Just object to the last document as to relevance, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Subject to connection?
MR. HAREN: It reflects the fact that the actual sale of 711 Fifth Avenue occurred at the end of August of 2019 despite the representation and the backup about the last sale in the last two years in the Plaza district. I think its relevance is pretty clear.

THE COURT: It seems to me that it's relevant on that basis.

Okay. Counselors, don't leave.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, we did have the issue of the objection.

THE COURT: Yes, but let the witness go.
See you Monday, ten o'clock. Thank you.
MR. AMER: And can we get an admonition to the witness again?

THE COURT: Mr. Birney, I hereby admonish you not to discuss the case with anybody, any aspect of the case with anybody, okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Thank you.

(Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness
 stand.)

THE COURT: We'll address the objection. We're pulling it up and look at it, see if it says what some people say it says.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, if it's helpful, we can put it up on the screen.

THE COURT: That would be great.
MR. AMER: And is it okay if I present an argument on why this should be inadmissible?

THE COURT: Page 129, 21 right through 122, line 22.

MR. AMER: We'll put up the third page after we -Your Honor, the first question on page 120 starting at line 21 about how would Cushman or Newmark know something clearly lacks foundation, calls for speculation. This witness is not an employee of either Cushman or Newmark, so that question should not be allowed and the answer is inadmissible.

THE COURT: Well, let's do one at a time.
Mr. Kise?
MR. KISE: Well, Judge, I mean, I don't know that we can go one by one because his point here demonstrates the problem. It's like you have to understand the context
within which this conversation is taking place.
You're looking -- Mr. Amer is asking you about one particular question, but you have to look back at the testimony, so I know that context is very difficult. We don't have a lot of witness. It's much easier when you have a lot of witnesses and you are following the testimony, but the questioning relates to her annual reviews. Those annual reviews are documents that I believe were shown to
Mr. Weisselberg and what they are is -- and I can direct you to testimony that says this. You don't have to take my word, but essentially what these annual reviews are are these are Ms. Mouradian's notes that she takes in realtime and she converts it into an annual review and she says that essentially on page 123 , line 17 to 22.

So the questions all relate to what she wrote. So the issue that is the subject of these particular questions is the data set that are used for valuations.

She acknowledges previously on page 117, lines 15 -- line 15 through 118, line two, that there are two sets of data that go into this and this, by the way, is reflected -I don't have the exhibit to pull up, but this is reflected in these exhibits. There is cap rates and there is what's called NOI, net operating income, right. And I think they went over that fully with Mr. Weisselberg.

So the questions that you're looking at, this one
in particular, is part of the sequence who provided the data. So if you look at page 118, line three and you start following until you get here, that's what all these questions relate.

So okay, where did you get the data? So we're just asking her in her notes -- she understands there is three data sets, where would this data come from. And so the question that is presented that Mr. Amer has identified here is about who would be in a better position and this is all -- by the way, this is all based on her understanding. I mean, she doesn't know. I think the answer to this question is she says I don't know.

Transcript continues on the following page....

MR. KISE: So this is all based on her understanding. We're asking her about her notes. We're asking her to explain her understanding of what's in her notes and asking her what is the source of the data, the cap rates and the NOI. If she doesn't know, then she can simply say -- which I think she does repeatedly in this context. She says, "I don't know." But I don't see that that's a basis for it to be inadmissible.

We're not asking her as an expert. We're not asking her as an employee of Newmark. She was already familiar with those two entities because she was asked about them. Cushman \& Wakefield, this is page 118 , lines 20 through 24:
"You mentioned that in your annual review -- you mentioned in your annual reviews companies such as Cushman \& Wakefield and Newmark and counsel", meaning Mr. Amer, "I believe has asked you about those as they were appraisal firms. Is that your understanding of what they were?"

And this is now page 119:
"ANSWER: That's my understanding."
So then we start asking about questions about where would this data come from and that operating income and the cap rates and the question particularly relates to - - in a series of questions relate again to her understanding of who would have that data. And so this begins on page 120, line
have to be an employee and if she doesn't know, just as we've done many times in this courtroom, if she doesn't know, then she --

THE COURT: She can say she doesn't know. We have like negative three minutes. Let me just try this approach, okay. We've got a three-month trial. We have thousands, tens of thousands, hundreds -- millions of pages of documents. Is one side or the other willing to just say "big deal"?

MR. AMER: So, Your Honor, I think this is important because they're trying to suggest that Ms. Mouradian misunderstood something she was told despite the fact that she took very careful notes. They could ask her about what her notes say and what the basis was for the information in her notes, but to start asking her how would Cushman or Newmark know things is inappropriate.

The next question, "Would you agree with me that the Trump Organization would be in the best position to know how much income they're generating from a particular property," there's no foundation for her to know that. How does she know if Vornado General Partnership has better or worse information?

THE COURT: Hold on one second.
MR. AMER: This is important and I think the Court correctly ruled that this is inadmissible.

121 and then continues over to page 122 where we get to the
21 and then continues over to page 122 where we get to the operative question after --

MR. AMER: I thought we were taking these one at a time.

MR. KISE: There is no way to take them one at a time.

THE COURT: He wants to take them holistically.
MR. KISE: It is not really possible because if you take them one at a time, you're taking them in the abstract.

THE COURT: Keep going.
MR. KISE: Thank you, Your Honor. So on page 142, line two, at the top, the question is asked, "Would it be consistent with your understanding" as follows. And this relates to who was providing the cap rates and who was providing the net operating income. So all this series of back and forth is designed to get at is where did -- what's her understanding of where the information came from, which is perfectly legitimate.

We're not asking her -- we don't need a foundation to ask her about her own notes. You don't need a foundation about why she put something in her notes. The foundation is there. It is her notes and what is her understanding. So it goes to her state of mind. We're not asking her and I don't think the question calls for an answer about what Newmark or Cushman \& Wakefield actually did. She doesn't

MR. KISE: What Mr. Amer is trying to do is make your decision for you. You are the one that's going to decide whether she knew or not. We're entitled to present our evidence as to what was in her mind and present it to you and you look -- you take the evidence in and make a decision as to whether or not it's acceptable or not.

What they want you to do is just exclude it altogether and you never get to hear it and this is not a witness now who is capable of being called live, so that we can clarify things, so we can adjust our questions and answers like we've done with many witnesses in court. So there's really no basis to exclude it. There's no jury and you're perfectly capable of weighing the questions and the answers.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Give me one minute or less.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: Basically for the reasons that Mr. Kise has argued, I'm going to let it in. There is no jury here. I'll decide ultimately what's going on here anyway. So not to denigrate Mr. Amer's argument, but it is in.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, we need two minutes at the side-bar on a scheduling issue that came up within the last 45 minutes.

THE COURT: Hurry up.
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(Whereupon, an off-the-record sidebar discussion was held.)
(Whereupon the trial was adjourned to October 16, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.)
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\hline 1334:3;1340:11,13 & 1340:16 & 1289:1,10,12;1290:21, & 1319:1,16;1320:22; & practice (2) \\
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\hline 1337:21 & 283:21 & 22,25;1292:3;1315:3; & 1324:1,10;1327:6,16; & practices (4) \\
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\hline 1347:20 & 1283:2; & 1332:9;1333:20; & 1286:18 & 13,16,19,22,25;1292:3; \\
\hline Owns (1) & 1296:18,22;1300:4 & 1334:4,5,8;1335:19,21, & Plaza (4) & 1298:19 \\
\hline 1283:22 & PART (11) & 25;1336:2,6,9,12,24; & 1263:7;1338:22; & premiums (6) \\
\hline P & 1262:1.5;1264:1; & 1338:8;1340:18 & 1339:19;1342:11 & 1292:6;1293:7,22; \\
\hline & 1300:20,21;1301:13; & 1292:24;1293:15 & 1271:22,24;1275:10; & 1300:4 \\
\hline packet & 1315:11;1318:6; & 1296:2 & 12;1279:12; & prepare (11) \\
\hline 1330:24 & 1333:12;1345:1 & perfectly & 1280:4;1283:3,4,5,12, & 1279:19;1294:6; \\
\hline page (80) & particular (10) & 1347:18;1349:1 & 13;1285:6,10;1287:22; & 1306:19;1307:3; \\
\hline 1262:24;1266:6,18; & 1264:23;1286:10 & permitted (2) & 1288:11;1289:7; & 1308:25;1309:2,5; \\
\hline 1275:10;1276:8,18,19; & 1303:10;1315:21,24; & 1264:4;1337:1 & 1291:2;1295:25; & 1311:10;1312:3,9,11 \\
\hline 1278:7,11,21,23; & 1316:2;1344:3,16; & person (6) & 1296:7,13;1299:5,7; & prepared (4) \\
\hline 1279:7,11,13;1280:3,5, & 1345:1;1348:19 & 1308:5;1328:12,16 & 1301:14;1306:15; & 1295:2;1310:20; \\
\hline 6,7;1281:1,14,18; & particularly (3) & 1331:6;1336:12,16 & 1310:16;1314:6; & 1311:23;1313:4 \\
\hline 1282:3,3,10;1283:12, & 1270:1;1304:20; & personal (1) & 1319:17,22;1320:11, & preparing (1) \\
\hline 13,14;1284:7,16; & 3 & 1290 & 2,23;1322:17; & 1308:18 \\
\hline 1297:1;1300:22,22 & partner (1) & & 12,21;1325:7 & present (3) \\
\hline 1309:19,20,24;1310:2, & 128 & 1319:4;1335 & 1326:2,8;1327:7; & 1343:10;1349:3, \\
\hline 6;1314:5,23,24; & partner & rtaining ( & 1332:7;1335:11; & presentation (1) \\
\hline 1316:8;1317:20; & 1283:16,18 & 1274:3 & 1337:25;1338:1; & 1305:17 \\
\hline 1318:6,17,22;1319:16; & partnership (6) & PH (1) & 1339:24 & presented (1) \\
\hline 1321:5,15,18;1323:5; & 1284:2,13,17,2 & 1296:18 & PLLC (3) & 1345:8 \\
\hline 1324:11;1325:12,15; & 1299:18;1348:21 & phone (1) & 1263:1.5,6,1 & preserve (1) \\
\hline 1327:17;1328:9,21; & partnerships (5) & 1317:7 & plus (1) & 1270:5 \\
\hline 1329:12,13,18;1330:6, & 1283:10;1284:21,25; & phon & 293: & president (1) \\
\hline 23,25;1331:1,10; & 1319:18;1323:7 & 1264:3,4 &  & 1289:20 \\
\hline 1332:12,12,13,14; & party (2) & photograph (3) & 1311:3;1314:13; & presidential (15) \\
\hline 1333:6,15;1338:15; & 337:8,1 & 1278:18,19;1281:7 & 1317:14 & 1290:21;1291:3,10, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1339:9,11;1341:17; } \\
& \text { 1343:12.14.15: }
\end{aligned}
\] & past & photography ( 1264.5 & \begin{tabular}{l}
point (3) \\
1305:1,15-1343.24
\end{tabular} & 13,16,19,22,25;1292:3, \\
\hline \[
1344: 14,18 ; 1345: 2,13 ;
\] & Patrick (4) & phrase (1) & points (8) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6 ; 1293: 7,22 ; 12 \\
& 1299: 3 ; 1300: 4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1346:12,19,25;1347:1, & 1269:4;1270:18 & 339 & 1310:18,20;1312:1, & President's (1) \\
\hline 11 & 1309:21,25 & pie & 3,9,11,22;1313:4 & 1308:11 \\
\hline pages (11) & pause (2) & 1271:17 & portion (10) & presiding (1) \\
\hline 1264:22;1265:4 & 1313:1;1349:1 & place & \[
1265: 5 ; 1270: 6
\] & 1264:2 \\
\hline 1266:9;1267:19,20; & pay (1) & 1344:1 & 1272:23;1277:13; & press (1) \\
\hline 1278:14;1301:8,19; & 1264:7 & Plaintiff (5) & 1283:6;1299:8; & 1264:4 \\
\hline 1325:8;1348:7 & PB (1) & 1262:4.5,19.5 & 1312:21;1320:13; & pretty (3) \\
\hline paid (1) & 1276:12 & 1264:15;1265:19 & 1321:22;1338:2 & 1300:10;1301:10; \\
\hline 1333:7 & PDF (1) & 1300:21 & portions (1) & 1342:12 \\
\hline Palm (5) & 1279:25 & Plaintiff's (60) & 1282:17 & previously (10) \\
\hline 1271:9,11;1276:16, & penthouse & 1264:20;126 & position (3) & 1274:14;1307:11; \\
\hline 22;1281:4 & 1296:22;1300:3 & 1266:2,5;1268:16; & 1336:23;1345:9; & 1309:16;1311:14; \\
\hline Papagianopoulos (11) & PEOPLE (5) & 1271:21;1273:20; & 1348:18 & 1313:8,25;1333:3; \\
\hline 1313:14;1314:5,9, & 1262:2.5;12 & 1274:15;1275:1,12,18, & possible (2) & 1334:19;1341:25; \\
\hline 12;1317:21;1318:6,19; & 1286:23;1313:18; & 22,23;1279:14; & 1312:5;1347: & 1344:18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1319:8,12;1323:22; } \\
& \text { 1327:1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1343:6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1283:11;1284:5; } \\
& \text { 1285:5,21;1287:13; }
\end{aligned}
\] & Possibly (2) & price (12) \\
\hline 1327:1 & per (13) & 1285:5,21;1287:13; & 1315:10;1316:4 & 1272:14,19,22; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1273:2;1276:23; & 1341:23 & 1335:19,21;1336:10, & recess (1) & 1331:3;1334:8; \\
\hline 1277:14,23;1278:1; & pull (13) & 13,25;1337:2,5; & 1301:12 & 1336:10;1344:20,21 \\
\hline 1281:16;1282:16,17; & 1282:2;1283:11; & 1338:8;1340:11,14,17 & recognize (24) & reflective (1) \\
\hline 1339:21 & 1284:5;1289:15; & rates (7) & 1268:17,19;1273:22, & 1296:11 \\
\hline prior (5) & 1290:2;1307:6; & 1327:2;1331:4 & 23;1290:3;1294:1,3; & reflects (4) \\
\hline 1278:10,15;1279:4; & 1314:22;1318:25; & 1336:10;1344:22 & 1309:10,11;1313:11, & 1288:14;1319:9; \\
\hline 1282:3;1314:23 & 1319:15;1320:22; & 1346:5,23;1347:14 & 13;1320:24;1321:1,2; & 1323:23;1342:8 \\
\hline Probably (34) & 1327:6;1341:2; & rather (3) & 1324:2,4;1327:8,10; & refresh (9) \\
\hline 1266:16;1269:2,4; & 1344:21 & 1323:1;1329:25 & 1330:16,18;1332:22, & 1278:9;1279:1; \\
\hline 1271:20;1276:1,24; & pulling (1) & 1336:10 & 24;1334:12,14 & 1282:2,9;1307:5; \\
\hline 1278:24;1279:5,8,18; & 1343:5 & RCA (1) & recollection (10) & 1308:17,21;1314:21; \\
\hline 1282:1;1288:20; & purchase (3) & 1312:1 & 1278:9;1279:2; & 1317:1 \\
\hline 1289:8,10,25;1290:22; & 1276:12,17;1296:24 & RE (1) & 1282:2,9;1307:5; & regarding (1) \\
\hline 1294:21;1295:18; & purchased (3) & 284: & 1308:17,21;1314:21; & 1285:3 \\
\hline 1307:4,21;1309:1,4; & 1322:20;1324:24 & read (16) & 1315:20;1317:1 & regardless (1) \\
\hline 1310:13,21;1312:23; & 1334:3 & 1265:25;126 & reconsider (1) & 1337:17 \\
\hline 1314:10,14;1316:6; & pure (1) & 1282:5;1283:7,17 & 1305:22 & Register (2) \\
\hline 1317:3;1320:21; & 1281:2 & 1289:15;1292:6; & reconsidering (1) & 1341:6,19 \\
\hline 1321:9;1322:6; & purpose (2) & 1299:6,9;1302:2; & 1305:23 & relate (3) \\
\hline 1327:21;1340:10 & 1295:1,3 & 1320:12,14;1337:25; & record (11) & 1344:15;1345:4; \\
\hline problem (2) & purposes (2) & 1338:1,3,23 & 1264:13,19;1269:1; & 1346:24 \\
\hline 1313:5;1343:25 & 1281:20;1282:6 & readback (1) & 1281:3;1283:6;1286:5, & related (1) \\
\hline proceedings (5) & push (1) & 1283:4 & 22;1292:5;1301:16; & 1307:1 \\
\hline 1299:9;1301:12; & 1293:23 & reading (1) & 1320:13;1341:23 & relates (6) \\
\hline 1313:1;1338:3; & put (9) & 1273:18 & record-breaking (1) & 1296:8;1302:12; \\
\hline 1349:17 & 1265:2;1269 & reads (1) & 1282:16 & 1303:15;1344:7; \\
\hline produced (3) & 1278:10;1301:16; & 1334:3 & recorded (1) & 1346:23;1347:14 \\
\hline 1271:16;1280:1; & 1315:9;1329:7;1343:7, & Ready (2) & 1341:18 & relative (1) \\
\hline 1286:2 & 14;1347:21 & 1268:3;1306:6 & recorded/filed (1) & 1304:21 \\
\hline producer (2) & PX (1) & real (1) & 1341:19 & relayed (1) \\
\hline 1271:9;1281:2 & 1264:20 & 1320:3 & recording (1) & 1301:25 \\
\hline product (1) & & really (12) & 1264: & relevance (2) \\
\hline 1298:12 & Q & 1279:4;1289:25; & reduced (3) & 1342:6,12 \\
\hline professionals (2) & & 1308:2;;1310:18; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1296:23;1298:18; } \\
& 1300: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { relevant (3) } \\
1304: 24,24
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline projected (3) & 1321:5 & \[
1321: 3 ; 1326: 15,20
\] & reduction (2) & remember (7) \\
\hline 1322:22;1324:25; & quick (1) & 1347:8;1349:12 & 1296:18;1300:1 & 1278:4;1289:25; \\
\hline 1334:4 & 1267:6 & realtime (1) & refer (10) & 1298:24;1303:7; \\
\hline properly (1) & quickly (1) & 1344:12 & 1269:8;1281:10; & 1310:13,18,20 \\
\hline 1304:8 & \[
1305: 24
\] & Realty (5) & 1294:13;1296:21; & remind (1) \\
\hline properties (11) & quote (4) & 1283:10,16,18 & 1299:15;1306:24; & 1268:8 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1282:22;1283:16,18, } \\
& \text { 22:1312:16:1317:23 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1274:18;1275:16; } \\
& \text { 1276:11:1338:21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1284:21,25 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1312:19;1318:2; } \\
& \text { 1321:15;1329:5 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { remove (1) } \\
1328.5
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \[
25 ; 1320: 10 ; 1325: 21,
\] & 1276:11;133 & \[
1279: 4 ; 1282: 1
\] & reference (3) & removed (8) \\
\hline 22;1331:6 & \(\mathbf{R}\) & 1303:9,12,14,16; & 1295:20;1323:18; & 1265:9;1300:5; \\
\hline property (19) & & 1304:12;1305:18; & 1329:20 & 1327:21,23,24;1328:2; \\
\hline 1271:7;1272:5,7; & raise (1) & 1308:22 & referenced (1) & 1333:9,11 \\
\hline 1273:17;1274:3; & 1304:6 & reasons (2) & 1277:20 & removing (2) \\
\hline 1289:13;1291:3,10,13, & raised (1) & 1271:2;1349:18 & referred (9) & 1293:7,22 \\
\hline 16,19,22;1320:3; & 1264:21 & recalculation (1) & 1274:14;1307:11; & rent (3) \\
\hline 1322:20;1324:24; & range (1) & 1292:16 & 1309:16;1311:14; & 1300:1,2;1312:15 \\
\hline 1334:3;1335:5; & 1320:8 & recall (5) & 1313:8,25;1333:3; & rent-stabilized (1) \\
\hline 1341:14;1348:20 & rate (42) & 1285:2;1336:5,18, & 1334:19;1341:25 & 1283:2 \\
\hline provide (3) & 1310:19;1312:20; & \[
21,22
\] & referring (3) & repeat (8) \\
\hline 1274:12;1295:12; & 1314:15;1315:1,3,3; & received (13) & 1266:18;1277:12; & 1272:25;1275:19; \\
\hline 1312:21 & 1317:4;1318:19; & 1264:25;1265:4; & 1315:2 & 1283:3;1285:10; \\
\hline provided (6) & \[
1319: 9,24 ; 1320: 8
\] & 1274:15;1282:7; & refers (4) & 1296:13;1299:5; \\
\hline 1278:19;1284:24; & 1322:9,21,22,25; & 1296:25;1307:12; & 1281:11;1296:19; & 1320:11;1328:1 \\
\hline 1319:8;1323:22; & 1323:1,12,18,23; & 1309:17;1311:15; & 1329:3,6 & repeatedly (1) \\
\hline 1327:1;1345:1 & 1324:16,19,25; & 1313:9;1314:1;1333:4; & reflect (2) & \[
1346: 6
\] \\
\hline providing (3) & 1326:23;1327:3; & 1334:20;1342:1 & 1282:6;1327:2 & report (4) \\
\hline 1276:16;1347:14,15 & \[
1329: 16,20 ; 1332: 8,18
\] & recent (1) & reflected (7) & 1296:25;1321:22; \\
\hline public (1) & 1333:20,24;1334:4; & 1321:20 & 1288:10;1298:11; & 1329:21;1331:3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { reporter (2) } \\
& 1299: 9 ; 1338: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1309:8,25;1310:22; } \\
& \text { 1311:8;1312:6; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Reporters (1) & 1321:18;1322:2,16; \\
\hline 1263:23 & 1326:12,18;1327:13; \\
\hline reports (1) & 1328:20;1333:13; \\
\hline 1306:23 & 1339:8;1340:6; \\
\hline represent (1) & 1343:12;1344:23 \\
\hline 1279:24 & ring (1) \\
\hline representation (1) & 1310:3 \\
\hline 1342:10 & rise (2) \\
\hline request (2) & 1264:1;1301:13 \\
\hline 1314:5,9 & risk (1) \\
\hline requested (4) & 1304:22 \\
\hline 1283:6;1299:8; & RMR (1) \\
\hline 1320:13;1338:2 & 1263:22.5 \\
\hline requests (1) & ROBERT (22) \\
\hline 1306:23 & 1263:6,6,8;1269:13; \\
\hline residence (3) & 1270:3,11,20;1274:8, \\
\hline 1290:21;1291:25; & 13;1285:23;1286:6,14; \\
\hline 1292:3 & 1292:10,14,18; \\
\hline resolve (1) & 1295:10;1311:17,22; \\
\hline 1267:23 & 1313:5;1337:6,13; \\
\hline respond (1) & 1342:5 \\
\hline 1317:18 & Robinson (1) \\
\hline responds (1) & 1263:22 \\
\hline 1310:4 & role (1) \\
\hline response (3) & 1310:14 \\
\hline 1306:2;1309:6; & roll (1) \\
\hline 1317:21 & 1312:15 \\
\hline rest (1) & Ron (2) \\
\hline 1302:11 & 1315:18,20 \\
\hline restroom (1) & room (1) \\
\hline 1338:12 & 1270:5 \\
\hline result (4) & Row (33) \\
\hline 1273:3;1290:23; & 1272:5;1285:16; \\
\hline 1291:5,8 & 1287:3,6;1288:15,25; \\
\hline resulting (7) & 1290:18,25;1291:7,12, \\
\hline 1291:11,14,17,20,23; & 15,24;1292:21; \\
\hline 1292:1,4 & 1293:11;1296:7,8,8,9, \\
\hline results (1) & 11,12,12,17;1298:6,6, \\
\hline 1321:5 & 10,11,15,20;1299:12; \\
\hline retain (2) & 1326:11;1335:2,7,11 \\
\hline 1275:17,20 & Rows (19) \\
\hline retained (1) & 1271:23;1272:1,4, \\
\hline 1276:1 & 17;1287:22;1288:9,11; \\
\hline reversing (1) & 1289:7,9;1298:2,12; \\
\hline 1301:17 & 1299:12;1326:1,4; \\
\hline review (3) & 1332:3,5,7;1335:23,24 \\
\hline 1275:5;1344:13; & ruled (1) \\
\hline 1346:14 & 1348:25 \\
\hline reviews (4) & rules (3) \\
\hline 1344:7,8,11;1346:15 & 1337:9,16;1338:25 \\
\hline REVOCABLE (1) & ruling (11) \\
\hline 1262:8 & 1265:21;1266:8,8, \\
\hline right (36) & 13,20;1267:14; \\
\hline 1264:16;1265:18; & 1274:10;1301:17,19, \\
\hline 1271:14;1273:3,6; & 23;1303:1 \\
\hline 1275:1;1276:8; & run (1) \\
\hline 1279:17;1280:3; & 1264:24 \\
\hline 1281:13;1282:20; & RXR (1) \\
\hline 1286:22;1287:13; & 1263:7 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1298:14;1299:19; } \\
& \text { 1301:19,25;1302:2,23; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { seems (2) } \\
& \text { 1304:18;1342:13 } \\
& \text { selling (2) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
1308: 14 \\
\text { silent (1) } \\
1264: 3
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline S & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline sake (1) & 269:5;1272:14 & similar (4) \\
\hline 1331:2 & Sells (1) & 1276:24;1284:4; \\
\hline sale (16) & 1281:3 & 1293:13;1329:21 \\
\hline 1271:7,13;1277:20; & send (4) & simple (2) \\
\hline 1281:15,16;1282:16; & 1312:4;1314:7 & 1267:11;1304:18 \\
\hline 1322:2,20;1324:14; & 1315:12,14 & simpler (1) \\
\hline 1330:25;1331:1; & sends (1) & 1320:16 \\
\hline 1336:13;1338:16,22; & 1328:16 & simply (1) \\
\hline 1342:9,11 & Senior (1)
1263:23 & sit (1) \\
\hline 1318:7;1321:20,22, & sense (1) & 1266:25 \\
\hline 25;1331:3;1339:20 & 1308:20 & situation (1) \\
\hline same (14) & sent (10) & 1312:14 \\
\hline 1272:16,18;1274:8, & 1264:25;1278:6,18, & six (9) \\
\hline 10;1275:12;1279:14, & 18;1279:22;1311:2,19; & 1266:9,14,15,17; \\
\hline 15;1288:15;1291:7; & 1317:10;1329:6; & 1267:12;1282:4; \\
\hline 1302:12;1314:11; & 1330:16 & 1284:14;1325:15; \\
\hline 1318:18;1319:12; & separated (1) &  \\
\hline 1329:19 & 1265:3 & siower (1) \\
\hline save (3) & \begin{tabular}{l}
September (2) \\
1273.25.127
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
1273:13 \\
small (3)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1279:16;1285:12,12 & & smail (3)
1325:10,16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline \text { saved (1) } \\
1290: 14
\end{array}
\] & 1345:1 & socialite (1) \\
\hline saving (2) & series (5) & 1271:10 \\
\hline 1271:18;1285:9 & 1284:2;1289:20; & SOFC (8) \\
\hline saw (6) & 1309:12;1346:24; & 1274:18;1275:16,21; \\
\hline 1275:12;1276:24; & 1347:15 & 1276:3,4,6,7;1298:7 \\
\hline 1285:25;1319:13; & session (2) & sold (1) \\
\hline 1329:21;1336:5 & 1264:2;1301:14 & 1337:1 \\
\hline saying (3) & set (2) & SOLOMON (8) \\
\hline 1267:13;1270:21; & 1313:4;1344:17 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1262: 23 ; 1270: 15,24 ; \\
& 1271 \cdot 3 \cdot 1303 \cdot 6 \cdot 1304 \cdot 2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1317:6
scheduling (1) &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1271:3;1303:6;1304:2, } \\
& \text { 6;1305:3 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline scheduling (
1349:23 & SEVEN (6) & somebody (2) \\
\hline screen & 1262:10.5;1282:4; & 1315:8,12 \\
\hline 1278:10;1285:24 & 1325:8;1329:12; & somehow (1) \\
\hline 1287:2;1288:4; & 1333:15;1338:15 & 1306:4 \\
\hline 1306:16;1307:14; & several (1) & someone (3) \\
\hline 1314:22;1341:4; & 1330:14 & 1295:7;1307:15,24 \\
\hline 1343:8 & Shahein (1) & sometimes (2) \\
\hline scroll (20) & 1308:13 & 1312:3;1315:13 \\
\hline 1271:23,23,24; & short (1) & somewhat (1) \\
\hline 1278:13,23;1283:13; & 305:20 & 1265:25 \\
\hline 1285:16;1287:22; & shorter (1) & sorry (8) \\
\hline 1288:11,13;1289:7; & 1320:16 & 1266:19;1283:13; \\
\hline 1295:4;1296:7; & show (2) 1284:20;1335.5 & 1289:9;1296:2;1326:6, \\
\hline 1319:21;1322:17; & \begin{tabular}{l}
1284:20;1335:5 \\
shown (2)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 20;1327:22;1340:1 } \\
& \text { sort (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1324:21;1332:7; & shown (2)
1321:18;1344:8 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { sort (2) } \\
1288: 23 ; 1304: 23
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline seated (2) & shows (1) & source (1) \\
\hline 1264:6;1301:14 & 1276:21 & 1346:4 \\
\hline second (7) & side (4) & South (5) \\
\hline 1266:23;1267:1; & 1281:13;1311:8; & 1273:17,1277:22; \\
\hline 1273:10;1276:8; & 1339:11;1348:8 & 1278:2;1325:10,16 \\
\hline 1281:21;1327:22; & sidebar (1) & speak (2) \\
\hline 1348:23 & 1350:1 & 1292:10;1309:21 \\
\hline seeing (2) & side-bar (1) & speaking (2) \\
\hline 1279:1;1317:1 & 1349:23 & 1266:4;1326:3 \\
\hline seem (2) & signature (3) & special (1) \\
\hline 1281:17;1305:18 & 1270:7;1286:3; & 1308:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline specific (2) & Statements (1) & \[
1263: 2.5,10.5,14
\] & territory (1) & total (1) 1293:14 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1270:25;1317:15 \\
specifically (5)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 1329:2 } \\
\text { states } \mathbf{( 3 6}
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
sum (5) \\
1289:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 1293:23 } \\
\text { Terry (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1293: 14 \\
\text { totally (1) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1264:20;1266:4; & 1268:25;1271:9; & 1298:22,24 & 1271:10;1281:2 & 1303:24 \\
\hline 1315:17;1332:3; & 1275:16,20;1276:11; & summarize (1) & testified (1) & toward (1) \\
\hline 1339:10 & 1277:13,22,23;1281:2, & 1295:3 & 1313:4 & 1341:9 \\
\hline speculation (1) & 7,20;1283:15,17,21; & summary (3) & testimony (23) & Tower (18) \\
\hline 1343:17 & 1284:12;1294:12; & 1284:24;1286:4 & 1264:18;1265:4; & 1287:7;1325:25; \\
\hline sponsor (1) & 1296:17;1299:13; & 1308:12 & 1278:10,15;1279:1,5; & 1326:23;1327:11; \\
\hline 1296:25 & 1308:10;1312:13; & summer (1) & 1282:3;1285:2; & 1328:7;1329:25; \\
\hline spreadsheet (20) & 1314:6;1317:3,21; & 1292:3 & 1301:18;1302:11,11, & 1331:7;1332:6,14,15, \\
\hline 1272:23;1276:25; & 1319:7,23;1322:11,19; & support (1) & 18;1305:16,19,25; & 25;1334:1,8;1335:8, \\
\hline 1290:4;1293:4,5,18; & 1323:11,21;1324:23; & 1337:5 & 1314:23;1315:5; & 13;1336:6,14;1338:12 \\
\hline 1294:4,5,17;1295:5,9; & 1326:25;1328:24; & Supporting (15) & 1316:6;1317:1; & tracking (1) \\
\hline 1296:10;1298:23; & 1332:15;1333:7; & 1271:22;1276:24; & 1337:14;1344:4,6,10 & 1294:4 \\
\hline 1306:22;1307:3; & 1338:21;1340:17 & 1287:9;1289:21; & thanks (3) & transaction (9) \\
\hline 1308:18,23;1310:20; & stating (4) & 1290:4;1293:18; & 1306:7;1309:21; & 1274:4;1276:22; \\
\hline 1319:1;1334:16 & 1309:24;1318:6; & 1294:5;1296:10; & 1310:7 & 1277:20;1278:2; \\
\hline SPRINGS (1) & 1330:7;1341:4 & 1318:25;1323:15; & thinking (1) & 1282:15;1322:10,14; \\
\hline 1262:10.5 & status (1) & 1326:1;1332:2; & 1337:20 & 1340:4;1341:23 \\
\hline square (1) & 1298:19 & 1334:16,22;1336:5 & third ( & Transcript (7) \\
\hline 1288:19 & step (1) & supposed (1) & 1343:1 & 1280:7;1304:1 \\
\hline square-foot (2) & 1305:1 & 1270:22 & thought (4) & 1305:6,8,9;1316:8; \\
\hline 1287:10;1288:19 & sticky (1) & SUPREME (2) & 1266:11;1268:1; & 1345:13 \\
\hline stabilization (8) & 1271:15 & 1262:1,16 & 1285:24;1347:3 & trial (5) \\
\hline 1314:15;1315:1,4; & still (4) & sure (11) & thousand-row (1) & 1304:21,22;1305:22; \\
\hline 1317:4,11;1318:19; & 1268:9;1287:11; & 1264:3;1267:5,25; & 1295:8 & 1348:6;1350:3 \\
\hline 1325:1;1334:5 & 1302:3;1337:5 & 1268:1;1285:11; & thousands (2) & triplex (4) \\
\hline stabilized (8) & stood (1) & 1288:13;1296:14; & 1348:6,7 & 1285:3;1287:8; \\
\hline 1312:14;1317:24; & 1264:21 & 1299:6;1300:12; & three (9) & 1288:17,18 \\
\hline 1319:24;1320:10; & straight (1) & 1301:8;1306:3 & 1282:4,4;1283:21; & TRUMP (41) \\
\hline 1322:21;1323:12; & 1300:2 & sustained (2) & 1328:25;1330:8; & 1262:7,7,7,7.5,8,8, \\
\hline 1329:20;1332:18 & STREET (5) & 1270:10;1295:1 & 1334:5;1345:2,6; & 8.5,9.5,10;1282:25; \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
stand (3) \\
1268:7;1306:10
\end{tabular} & \(1262: 10,12.5,20 ;\)
\(1263: 2,14\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { swallow (1) } \\
1273: 12
\end{gathered}
\] & 1348:5 & 1283:2,15,17,22; \\
\hline 1268:7;1306: &  & 1273:12 & three-month
1348:6 & \begin{tabular}{l}
1284:11,19,23;1286:2; \\
1287:7.1296.1723.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline start (4) & 1281:22 & T & times (2) & 1300:4;1325:25; \\
\hline 1269:19;1345:2; & SUAREZ (1) & & 1288:23;1348:2 & 1326:23;1327:11; \\
\hline 1346:21;1348:15 & 1263:4.5 & ta & timing (1) & 1328:7;1329:25; \\
\hline starting (1) & subject (5) & 09:25 & 8:2 & 1331:7;1332:6,14,15, \\
\hline 1343:15 & 1284:8;1292:15; & talking (7) & title (3) & 25;1333:7;1334:1,8; \\
\hline starts (1) & 1310:24;1342:7; & 1305:15;1312:1,3,9, & 1281:1;1294:23; & 1335:8,13;1336:6,14; \\
\hline 1281:16 & 1344:16 & 11,22;1313:4 & 1308:9 & 1338:12;1348:18 \\
\hline STATE (9) & submarket (2) & Tallahassee (1) & today (5) & Trump's (7) \\
\hline 1262:1,2.5,3.5,19; & 1339:15,18 & 1263:3 & 1304:9,10,12 & 1269:9;1283:10; \\
\hline 1285:6;1320:2; & submitted (2) & tape (3) & 1305:13;1314:7 & 1284:1,20,25;1285:3; \\
\hline 1325:13;1332:11; & 1301:24;1302:1 & 1277:11,12,1 & together (2) & 1286:3 \\
\hline 1347:23 & subsequent (2) & technology (1) & 1271:16;1329:7 & TRUST (6) \\
\hline stated (3) & 1269:22;1271:18 & 1264:24 & told (2) & 1262:8;1283:10,16, \\
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\begin{gathered}
1292: 1 \\
864(1)
\end{gathered}
\] & \\
\hline 1308:24 3033 (2) & \multirow{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 428 \text { (2) } \\
& 1282: 3,3
\end{aligned}
\]} & 1322:2;1329:16,18;
1330:25;1331:6; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 864 \text { (1) } \\
& 1323: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline 3033 (2)
\(1309: 9,17\) & & 1333:17;1336:13; & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3034 \text { (2) } \\
& 1300: 9 ; 1307: 7
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { 1338:16,21 } \\
67(1)
\end{array}
\]} & 9 & \\
\hline & \[
1263: 14
\] & & & \\
\hline 30-page (1) & 432 (1)
\(1288: 18\) & 1335:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 90 \text { (1) } \\
& 1335: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline 30th (1) & 45 (1) & 68 (2) \(1332: 3,5\) & 913 (2) & \\
\hline 1310:13 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
1349: 24 \\
452564 / 2022(1)
\end{gathered}
\]} & 693 (3) & 1285:16;1287:3 & \\
\hline 31 (1) & & 1329:16;1331:1,7 & 920 (1) & \\
\hline 1340:9 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1262: 6 \\
& 4600(1)
\end{aligned}
\]} & 1329:16,1331:1,7 & 1315:7 & \\
\hline 311 (1) & & 7 & \[
939 \text { (3) }
\] & \\
\hline 1292:2 & 1263:14 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1287: 22 ; 1288: 9,15 \\
& 941(4)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{32 (2)} & 47 (2) & 7 (1) & & \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1326:17,18} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1298:6} & 1287:22;1288:17,19, & \\
\hline 32302 (1) & & & 23 & \\
\hline 1263:3 & 1326:2 & 1339:10,12;1341:15, & 942 (5) & \\
\hline 35 (5) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{4s (1)} & \[
23 ; 1342: 9
\] & \[
1288: 9,17,21,21,23
\] & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1288:22,24;1289:1, } \\
& 10,12
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \[
750 \text { (1) }
\] & \[
950(1)
\] & \\
\hline & 1317:23 & 1263:2.5 & 1288:25 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{A Yes.}

Q What's your understanding?
A It shows the value of the fixed assets on the balance sheet of Trump National Golf Club Colts Neck as of June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016. The 2016 number was increased by 15 percent premium for a fully operational branded facility.

Q And let's scroll down to row 339. Same question for rows 339 to 340 .
A Yes. It shows the value of fixed assets on the balance sheet for Trump National Golf Club Washington, D.C. as of June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016. The 2016 figure was increased by 15 percent for a premium for fully operational branded facility.

Q And --
THE COURT: And I'll ask both the interrogator and interrogatee to talk louder. The interrogator just basically has to keep his voice up because his microphone is where it is. The witness -- it's a skill you have to learn how to talk into the mic while reading something, but you have to talk into the mic, so it is heard. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q Same question for rows 362 to 363.
A Yes. It shows the value of fixed assets on balance
sheet of Trump National Golf Club Philadelphia for June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2016. The 2016 value is increased by 15 percent
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)
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National Golf Club Charlotte as of June 30, 2016 and a 15 percent premium is added for a fully operational branded facility.

MR. HAREN: So could we go down to row 462, please.
Q Same question for rows 462 to 463.
A This shows the value of the fixed assets for Trump
National Golf Club Jupiter as of 201 -- as of June 30, 2015 and
June 30, 2016. 15 percent premium was added on to the 2016 number for fully operational branded facility.

Q So the general approach that we have gone through in this spreadsheet, the value of fixed assets in some cases with a 15 percent premium, was that a applied to Trump Organization golf clubs for the Statement of Financial Condition from 2016 to 2020?

A It was applied to some of the Trump Organization golf clubs in 2016 and I'm pretty sure it was in '17 through '20.

MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's pull up on the screen the 2020 supporting data. Just show one example.
Q So Mr. Birney, we're looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 857 and you can see on the screen there is in row 367 to 369 in column H that reflects the same basic approach we just discussed in 2015 -- or \(2016 ?\)

A Yes.
Q So there was a -- I'm just trying to establish that there was a general consistency from 2016 to 2020 in terms of
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for a premium for fully operational branded facility.
MR. HAREN: Just have a few more of those and I'll
try to do them all for a series of years, Your Honor, just to move a little bit more quickly.

THE COURT: Great.
Q Same question for rows 382 to 383.
A The rows show the value of the fixed assets for Trump National Golf Club Hudson Valley as of June 30, 2015 and as of June 30, 2016. There was a 15 percent premium added to the 2016 figure for a fully operational branded facility.

MR. HAREN: And could we scroll down to row 403, please.
Q Mr. Birney, we're looking at the entry for Trump
National Golf Club LA. Do you see the information in row 403 down to 405 in column H ?
A Yes.
Q And what is your understanding of that information?
A My understanding is that shows the value of the fixed assets as of June 30, 2016 and there's a - for Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles and there is a 15 percent premium added for fully operational branded facility.

MR. HAREN: Let's move down to row 442.
Q And what is your understanding of the information in rows 441 to 442 in column \(H\) ?
A It shows the value of the fixed assets for Trump
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using the fixed assets and in some instances adding a premium. Is that fair to say?
3 A Yes.
4 Q All right. Do the methods of valuing golf courses on
Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition change in 2021?
A Yes.
Q Tell us what you recall about that change.
A There was a phone call with a golf course company called Marcus \& Millichap where we asked them how they value golf courses and they gave us two different methods depending on how much money the golf course was making. The first method was for a golf course that consistently makes money. They used a multiple of eight to ten times EBITDA for that golf course and for golf courses that do not consistently make money, they used a -- I think it was two to three times gross revenue multiplier.

Q When you say consistently make money, what do you mean by that?

A The net income of the golf course positive or negative.
Q If it makes money, the negative becomes a positive?
A Yes.
Q Who was on the call that you just described with the golf course company?

A I was on the call, Larry Glick was on the call. I
can't remember the Marcus \& Millichap person's name. That's who
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\section*{I am sure of right now.}

Q Okay. Let's see if we can refresh your recollection and pull up your prior testimony beginning at page 865 . So, Mr. Birney, beginning at line 11, and this is your testimony:
"So then there was a call in 2021 with Marcus \&
Millichap. Present on our side that I can remember was
myself, Ray Flores, Allen Weisselberg, maybe Larry Glick
where we asked them how they value golf courses."
Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Does that refresh your recollection as to who was on the call you described with Marcus \& Millichap?
A Yes.
Q So who was on the call with Marcus \& Millichap?
A Myself, Ray Flores, Allen Weisselberg, the Marcus \& Millichap person and Larry Glick.
Q And if I were to say the name Steve Ekovich, would that refresh your recollection as to the name of the Marcus \& Millichap person?
A Yes.
Q And what is your recollection of the name of the Marcus \& Millichap person?

A Steve Ekovich.
Q Thank you.
MR. HAREN: Let's go to the to 21 supporting data
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)
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\section*{P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN) \\ Page 1360}

A In that sentence, yes.
Q Thank you.
Do you have an understanding of cells G311 to 313?
A Yes.
Q What's your understanding?
A It shows a valuation for Trump National Golf Club
Briarcliff with a gross revenue from July of 2020 through June
of ' 21 of \(\$ 7.3\) million using a three times multiplier.
Q Do you have an understanding of cell G309?
A Yes.
Q What is your understanding?
A That is the value of the net fixed assets per Trump
National Golf Club Briarcliff was of June 30, 2021.
Q What is your understanding of cell G315?
A It's the average of the gross revenue multiplier and
25 the net fixed assets.

Q So just so I understand correctly, the \(\$ 21.9\) million valuation was computed by doing a gross revenue multiplier, but the result was then averaged with the \(\$ 46\) million fixed asset number?
A Yes.
Q Back to the description of the fixed assets in cell C309, you see it says, "value of net fixed assets"?
A Yes.
Q In cell H308 for the prior year, it says, "value of
fixed assets." Do you have an understanding of the difference
between value of fixed assets in 2020 and value of net fixed assets in 2021?
A Yes.
Q What is the difference?
A The difference is depreciation being removed.
Q I'm sorry. What was that?
A Depreciation being removed from the fixed assets.
Q Okay. Thank you. There is some descriptions in this sheet of net fixed assets and net book value.

Would your answer be the same for those figures?
A Can you repeat the question, please?
Q There are other descriptions in this sheet of the phrase net fixed assets or net book value, and I just want to know if your understanding of the word "net" in those instances is the same?
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A Can you show me an example?
Q Sure.
MR. HAREN: Just Ctrl-F for the word "net," "net
fixed."
Q So here is an example, cell C417, the phrase "value of net fixed assets is used." But in cell C413, there is a reference to value of fixed assets is used in the prior year. I'm just trying to gain an understanding of the difference between fixed assets and net fixed assets.

A The depreciation is removed from fixed assets to get to net fixed assets.
Q Okay.
MR. HAREN: Search for the phrase, "net book value," please.
Q Mr. Birney, this cell C640.
MR. HAREN: In cell \(C\), if you can scroll up, so the witness can see what property this pertains to.
Q So we have cell C, B and C608 says, "Trump Turnberry." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And if we scroll down to cell C640, it states -- I'll just read the end of the sentence. "So we used net book value." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of the phrase "net book
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```

value"?

```

2 A Yes.
3 Q And what is your understanding?
4 A My understanding based on this example is it means the same thing as net fixed assets.

Q In other words, what is netted out is depreciation?
A That is my understanding.
MR. HAREN: Let's go to cell C357, please.
Q Do you have an understanding of the information contained in cell G356? Do you want us to scroll up?

A Yes, please.
MR. HAREN: Could you scroll up, please, to where the property begins.
Q So Mr. Birney, you can see cell B and C, row 343 says, "Trump National Golf Club-Bedminster."

So having seen that, do you have an understanding of the information in cell G356?
A Yes.
Q What is your understanding?
A My understanding is cell G356 shows the value of Trump
National Golf Club Bedminster in June 30, 2021.
Q And that value is about \(\$ 80\) million down from the prior year; is that right?

A Yes.
Q How was the 2021 value computed?
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)
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A The EBITDA was used from July 2020 through June 2021 including capital leases and was multiplied by ten. Then the receivables from members for food, dues, et cetera, and the receivables from members for refundable and/or non-refundable deposits were added to that figure.
Q And in cell C357, it states, "6/30/21-upon the advice and guidance of Marcus \& Millichap, golf course industry experts, a normal golf course with a consistently positive EBITDA trades at six to eight times, but the last few purchases have been 9 to 10 times." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And in the valuation that we saw at cell G356, the ten times number was used; is that right?
A I believe so.
Q And that's the highest number that was reflected in the advice provided by Marcus \& Millichap?
A Yes.
Q Mr. Birney, take a look at cell C640. We looked at this a minute ago just to gain and understanding of the phrase "net book value," but I want to focus you on the other part of the note that reads, "6/30/21-location has not had" -- "has not consistently had a positive EBITDA and resorts are not usually valued on gross revenue multipliers, so we used net book value."

What does it mean that this property "has not had
consistent" -- excuse me, "has not consistently had a positive
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)
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\section*{1 EBITDA?"}

A It means that Turnberry has not consistently had a positive EBITDA.

Q And just for a layperson who doesn't fully understand the term "EBITDA," can you explain that?

A Sure. It means Earnings Before Interest Taxes
Depreciation and Amortization. So it is similar to net income before all those things.
Q Is it a way of conveying that the property or at least in this cell the property has not consistently had positive net income?

A I would have to see the financials to confirm that.
Q So your understanding of the word "EBITDA" is earnings before the other thing I said earlier, the acronym?

A Yes.
Q Did you ever do a calculation of a Turnberry valuation for 2021 using a gross income multiplier?

A I don't remember.
Q Did you ever discuss with anybody whether to use a gross income multiplier to value Turnberry in 2021?

A Yes.
Q Tell us the substance of the discussion.
A I think I discussed with Ray Flores the question, probably Alan Garten.

Q Without getting into the substance of any legal advice,
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can you describe whether any calculation of valuation based on gross revenue was discussed in that conversation?

A I really don't remember.
MR. HAREN: All right. Let's just pull up on the screen Plaintiff's Exhibit 2270.
Q I just want to see if that refreshes your recollection.
MR. HAREN: I assure the Court we will not be going through this entire document.

Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A The income statement for Trump Turnberry.
MR. HAREN: I would like to move this document into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2270.)
Q Mr. Birney, do you see on the first page there is a line about ten lines down that says "total revenues"?
A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of the figure in that line in the column that is entitled, "Y-T-D actual"?

A Yes.
Q What's your understanding?
A That is the year-to-date actual total revenue for Trump

Turnberry through December 2020.
\(2 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) The number is 6.6 million and change?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And then the next column over, what's your understanding of the 21.6 million that's reflected there?

A That is the total budgeted revenue for 2020.
Q Presumably, it is a COVID year, so the revenue brought in was a lot less than the revenue expected. Is that fair?
A Yes.
Q All right. And where it says in the next column over to the right "Y-T-D last year," in the context of this statement, does that refer to 2019?

A Yes.
Q So what is your understanding of the figure in the total revenues line in that column?

A The figure is the 2019 revenue.
Q So the budgeted total revenue for 2020 was 21.6. The actual revenue for 2019 was 19.5 ; is that fair?

A Can you repeat the question?
MR. HAREN: Sure. Could I have a readback, please? THE COURT: Please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A Yes.
Q Did you ever look at these total revenue figures in the
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context of deciding whether to use a gross revenue multiplier to value Trump Turnberry for the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?

A I don't remember. It's possible. (Continued on the next page.)
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Q What do you recognize it to be?
A A spreadsheet that I created.
Q Can you describe for the Court the contents between Column B and Column O?

A Sure. It lists the financial results for each golf club for various periods ultimately using the last 12 months since June of 2021. Asset \(\mathbf{T} 12\) it means the past 12 months. It shows increases over the last two years and then it shows what multiplier may be used in Columns N and O .

Q So the figure in Columns N are those calculated values?
A Yes.
Q And are they based on the method that's then listed in Column \(O\) in the same row? Let me see if \(I\) can do it in a less generic way. So Column O Row 6 states: " 10 X EBITDA." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Is that the method that's used to compute the numbers that is in the same row in Column N?
A Yes.
Q And so just so that we can understand the nature of the document is that true all the way down in those columns to the bottom of the sheet? In other words, there is a method listed in Column O and then there is a number computed based on that number in Column N?
A That appears to be with -- what's on the screen right
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & mey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1371 \\
\hline & now. \\
\hline 2 & Q And what circumstances led you to create this \\
\hline & spreadsheet? \\
\hline & A The call with Marcus \& Millichap. \\
\hline 5 & Q So can you just tell us the call happened and then what \\
\hline 6 & were the steps that you took to prepare this spreadsheet? \\
\hline 7 & A I gathered the income statements and balance sheets for \\
\hline & the golf clubs for these periods and put them into the \\
\hline & spreadsheet. \\
\hline 10 & MR. HAREN: I'd like to move this document into \\
\hline 11 & evidence. \\
\hline 12 & THE COURT: Granted. It's in. \\
\hline 13 & (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 14 & received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1501 in \\
\hline 15 & evidence.) \\
\hline 16 & Q I want to focus your attention on Columns Q through U . \\
\hline 17 & Do you have an understanding of the phrase "net book value" in \\
\hline 18 & Q3? \\
\hline 19 & A Yes. \\
\hline 20 & Q And what is your understanding? \\
\hline 21 & A That is the net fixed assets on the balance sheet. \\
\hline 22 & Q And by "net" you mean taking out depreciation as you \\
\hline 23 & said earlier? \\
\hline 24 & A I believe so. \\
\hline 25 & Q And then in Column S it says "gross book value" in Cel \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

25 Q And then in Column S it says "gross book value" in Cell
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S3. Do you have an understanding of what that refers to?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And what's your understanding?
4 A The total fixed assets for that period.
\(5 \quad\) Q And why is it gross as opposed to net?
6 A Because it does not include depreciation.
7 Q And do you have an understanding of Cell U 3?
8 A Yes.
\(9 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And what is your understanding?
10 A That is the method that was used in the past, however many years using the total fixed assets with a 15 percent premium added onto the light blue cells.

Q And because it says "gross book value," does that mean depreciation was not removed or the 15 percent was added? A Yes.

MR. HAREN: I'd like to scroll down a little bit more, please. And I think you'll have to spread Column Q out and Column \(S\) out to see the numbers on the bottom. Q So Mr. Birney, we're looking at Row 48 Columns N through \(U\). I just want to see if \(I\) understand these figures correct. So figure in Column U 48, that's a total of what the gross book value with 15 percent method yields?
A Yes, it says some of the cells above in that column.
Q And just to get a comparison between U 48 and \(N 48\), I
think you'll remember that \(\mathbf{N} 48\) is the number computed based on
P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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1 the various multipliers; right?
A Yes.
Q And so comparing Cell N 48 to \(U 48\), you can see the difference of about \(\$ 230\) million give or take between using a gross book value with 15 percent and using revenue multipliers. Is that fair?

A Revenue and EBITDA multipliers, yes.
MR. HAREN: All right. Let's go to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 344, please.
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A An e-mail from myself to Caitlin Carey and Jeff McConney.

MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 344 in evidence.)
Q Just want to direct you to page two. Do you have an understanding of who Caitlin Carey is?

A Yes.
Q And what's your understanding of who she is?
A She works -- or she worked at the time of this e-mail at Deutsche Bank.
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Q And do you see in her e-mail of November 10, 2017 to Jeff McConney copying you, she writes: "Hi, Jeff. I have a somewhat of an annoying but necessary request. We received the covenant package while you were out, thank you Patrick, and the guarantor certificate only references endeavor. Since he also provides a guaranty for OPO as well, we would need a compliance certificate referencing this separate facility. Since covenants are the same for both loans we can combine for next year but for 2017, can you provide the separate form. We just want to make sure all the Is and Ts are dotted and crossed. Thank you."

And you'll see following that e-mail there is a response from Mr. McConney that says: "Hi, Caitlin. No problem. Can I get it to you next week? Jeff."

And following those exchanges there is an e-mail on the first page from you to Caitlin Carey, copies Jeff McConney stating: "Caitlin, as discussed, see attached compliance certificate for Trump Old Post Office LLC. Let us know if you need anything further. Thank you. Pat."

And the attachment to the e-mail begins on page four of the exhibit and it pertains among other things to -- and this is in the middle of the page, guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017. It's item number one in the first bullet. And then on page five of the document there is a signature that says underneath the signature line, "Donald J. Trump by Donald J. Trump, Jr. as attorney in fact." Do you see
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1375 & P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1377 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { that? } \\
& 2 \quad \text { A Yes. }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 Appraisal that's Plaintiff's Exhibit 1450 when you were working \\
2 on Mr. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3 Q Do you have an understanding of how Donald J. Trump, & 3 A I don't think so. \\
\hline 4 Jr. came to sign this document? & 4 MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1317. \\
\hline 5 A Not really & 5 Q Mr. Birney, do you see that the document on the first \\
\hline 6 Q Did you do anything to get his signature? & 6 page is entitled, "Planning Statement for Trump International \\
\hline 7 A I don't remember. & 7 Golf Links Scotland?" \\
\hline 8 Q And the provision of a signed compliance certificate & 8 A Ye \\
\hline 9 pertaining to Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition is & 9 Q And do you see that it states below that "in respect of \\
\hline 10 that something you could have sent to a bank without having your & 10 development comprising up to 550 dwellings (up to 500 \\
\hline 11 action approved by someone else? & 11 residential units and a minimum of 50 leisure/resort units?" \\
\hline 12 A No. & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 Q Whose approval would you have required to take that & 13 Q And do you see that it is dated 24 July 2018? \\
\hline 14 step? & 14 A Ye \\
\hline 15 A Based on this example probably Jeff McConney. & 15 Q As they like to write dates across the pond. \\
\hline 16 MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1450. & 16 Take a look at page three of the exhibit, Paragraph 2.1 \\
\hline 17 Q Mr. Birney, do you see on the first page the document & 17 where it states: "The development is the next phase of the \\
\hline 18 states: "It is a development appraisal with a date of July 14, & 18 continued investment in and development of the Trump Estate. It \\
\hline 20 A Yes. & 20 of the OPP which is no longer economically viable." Do you see \\
\hline 21 Q And it states "Ryden," R-y-d-e-n on the bottom left & 21 that? \\
\hline 22 side. Do you have an understanding of what Ryden is? & 22 A Yes. \\
\hline 23 A I think they're a company based in Scotland. I don't & 23 Q And on page five of the exhibit in Paragraph 3.3, it \\
\hline 24 really know too much about what they do. & 24 states: "The description of the development is now as follows: \\
\hline 25 Q Do you see on page two of the document -- and this is & 25550 dwellings (up to 500 residential units and a minimum of 50 \\
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1376 & P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1378 \\
\hline 1 in Item 1.4, second sentence, it states: "As agreed with Sarah & 1 leisure/resort units." Do you see that? \\
\hline 2 Malone of Trump International, we have prepared appraisals that & 2 A Yes. \\
\hline 3 reflect a development scenario." & 3 Q Were you ever provided a copy of this document when \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 working on Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition? \\
\hline 5 Q Do you have an understanding of who Sarah Malone is? & 5 A I don't think so. \\
\hline 6 A Yes. & 6 MR. HAREN: I'll move this document into evidence, \\
\hline 7 Q Who is Sarah Malone? & 7 Your Honor. \\
\hline 8 A The general manager of Trump International Golf Club & 8 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. \\
\hline 9 Scotland. & 9 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 10 Q Is that the property located in Aberdeen? & 10 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1317 in \\
\hline 11 A Yes. & 11 evidence.) \\
\hline 12 Q Have you worked with Ms. Malone before? & 12 MR. HAREN: Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1319. \\
\hline 13 A Yes. & 13 Q Mr. Birney, do you see that the document has a heading \\
\hline 14 Q Tell us about your work with Ms. Malone. & 14 entitled "Aberdeenshire Council?" \\
\hline 15 A She's the GM of one of our golf clubs, so I've done a & 15 A Yes. \\
\hline 16 lot of work with Sarah Malone. & 16 Q Do you see that it states on page two, item four \\
\hline 17 Q In her capacity as general manager of the Trump & 17 "Planning application-APP/2018/1814-erection of 550 dwelling \\
\hline 18 International Golf Club Scotland? & 18 houses (up to 500 residential units and a minimum of 50 \\
\hline 19 A Yes. & 19 leisure/resort units." Do you see that? \\
\hline 20 MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline 21 THE COURT: Granted. It's in & 21 Q And then on page five of the document at the top it \\
\hline 22 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is & 22 states: "The development hereby granted shall be begun before \\
\hline 23 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1450 in & 23 the expiration of 15 years from the final approval of the \\
\hline 24 evidence.) & 24 matters specified in conditions or in the case of approval on \\
\hline 25 Q Were you ever provided a copy of the Ryden Development & 25 different dates. The final approval of the last such matters to \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
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\hline & Q And what do you understand that to refer to? & 1 Q Just speaking generally, what was the origin of the \\
\hline & A The Trump kids, the Trump children. & 2 project that began with the February 1st shell and either that \\
\hline 3 & Q Can you give us their names? & 3 was ongoing with Exhibit 1239 that was on the screen now? \\
\hline 4 & A Yes, Don, Jr., Eric and Ivanka. & 4 A I don't remember. \\
\hline 5 & Q And when you were preparing the spreadsheet that we saw & 5 Q You don't have any recollection of being instructed in \\
\hline & that started as a shell on February 1st did you have an & 6 or around February 1st of 2016, we should get this corporation \\
\hline & understanding that it would be provided to Donald Trump, Jr., & 7 cash flow shell together and we should fill it in with \\
\hline & Eric Trump and Ivanka Trump? & 8 information? Do you have a recollection of that generally? \\
\hline 9 & A Can you ask the question, please, again? & 9 A I was probably asked to do it. I may have brought it \\
\hline 10 & THE COURT: Read back, please. & 10 up. I really don't remember. \\
\hline 11 & (Whereupon, the requested portion of the & 11 Q Let's -- looking at the spreadsheet, looking at cell \\
\hline & proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) & 12 J 21 , can you tell us what that indicates? \\
\hline 13 & A I mean, I probably did because of this e-mail. & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 & Q Okay. Why did you send this e-mail to Jeff McConney? & 14 Q And what does it indicate? \\
\hline 15 & A I don't remember. & 15 A The adjusted net cash flow before distributions for the \\
\hline 16 & Q What was Mr. McConney's involvement in developing the & 16 clubs in 2015. \\
\hline & corporate cash flow spreadsheet? & 17 Q When you say "the clubs," what are you referring to? \\
\hline & A Can you toggle back to the spreadsheet, please? & 18 A The golf clubs, Mar-A-Lago, Trump Briarcliff Manor \\
\hline 19 & MR. HAREN: Sure. Let's pull up Exhibit 1293 & 19 Development. \\
\hline 20 & native. & 20 Q And so just all of those properties, according to this \\
\hline & A Jeff provided me information on this spreadsheet. & 21 spreadsheet, had an adjusted net cash flow before distributions \\
\hline 22 & Q Do you have a recollection of what information he & 22 of 5.6 million; is that right? \\
\hline 23 & provided you? & 23 A According to this spreadsheet, yes. \\
\hline 24 & A Yes, I believe he provided me the corporate payroll and & 24 Q Looking at J31, what does that cell indicate? \\
\hline 25 & overhead information. & 25 A The adjusted net cash flow before distributions for the \\
\hline & Page 1384 & P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN) Page 1386 \\
\hline 1 & Transcript continues on the following page.... & 1 commercial properties in 2015. \\
\hline 2 & & 2 Q What do you mean by "commercial properties?" \\
\hline 3 & & 3 A Trump Tower, 40 Wall and Niketown. \\
\hline 4 & & 4 Q What is the figure that's in the adjusted net cash flow \\
\hline 5 & & 5 before distributions column for those assets? \\
\hline 6 & & 6 A 2.2 million. \\
\hline 7 & & 7 THE COURT: Five-minute warning. \\
\hline 8 & & 8 Q Cell J91, just want to get your understanding looking \\
\hline 9 & & 9 in column B, starting at row 86, there is an item entitled \\
\hline 10 & & 10 "Trump Corporation." Do you see that? \\
\hline 11 & & 11 A Yes. \\
\hline 12 & & 12 Q And then below Trump Corporation, there are a series of \\
\hline 13 & & 13 unallocated costs. Do you see that? \\
\hline 14 & & 14 A Yes. \\
\hline 15 & & 15 Q Do you have an understanding of cell B87? \\
\hline 16 & & 16 A Which cell? Sorry. \\
\hline 17 & & 17 Q B87. \\
\hline 18 & & 18 A Yes. \\
\hline 19 & & 19 Q And what's your understanding? \\
\hline 20 & & 20 A It is the unallocated corporation payroll for property \\
\hline 21 & & 21 development. \\
\hline 22 & & 22 Q Is that B87 or B88? \\
\hline 23 & & 23 A I'm sorry. That was B88. B87 is unallocated corporate \\
\hline 24 & & 24 payroll general or generic. \\
\hline 25 & & 25 Q And cell B88? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 A One more time.
2 Q Cell B88, what's your understanding?
3 A Unallocated corporate payroll for property development.
4 Q And B89?
5 A Unallocated net medical benefits.
6 Q B90?
7 A Unallocated corporate expenses.
Q In cell J91, those expenses total to \(\mathbf{1 2 . 6}\) million. Is that what the document indicates?

A Yes.
Q Are any of -- when it says "unallocated," does that mean that these expenses are not allocated to the assets listed higher up in the spreadsheet?

A Can you, please, scroll up slowly? Higher, please.
Yes. I think it means it doesn't include any corporate payroll or overhead that's already listed in the rows within the business segments.

MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's go back to the e-mail, Exhibit 1293, and scroll down page two or three where the attachment is.
Q So Mr. Birney, this is the other attachment, the cover memorandum. Do you recognize that?

A Yes.
Q Did you prepare this document?
A Yes.
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)
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1 Q It states in the first line, "As per your request," and
you see that the memorandum is from Allen Weisselberg to Don,
Jr. Ivanka and Eric.
Do you have an understanding of what "as per your request" as it is used in this document means?
A My understanding is they requested to see the financial performance based on this memo.

Q And by they, do you mean the people in the "to" ine in the memo?

A It's possible. I mean, it's probable.
Q You prepared the memorandum, right?
A Yes.
Q Did you have an understanding when you prepared it of who it was for?

A Yes.
Q What was your understanding?
A It was for Don, Jr., Ivanka and Eric.
Q It states at the bottom of the memo --
THE COURT: One minute.
Q "After reviewing the enclosed, let's get together to discuss at your convenience."

Are you aware of any discussion among Allen
Weisselberg, Donald Trump, Jr., Ivanka Trump and Eric Trump regarding this memorandum?

A Any discussion after this memo was sent?

Q Either one, before or after. Let's start with before.
A I don't know. I -- I don't remember any of these meetings. I may have been invited. I may not have been invited.

Q Do you have a recollection sitting here today as to whether this memorandum or the native spreadsheet Exhibit 1293 were discussed in the meeting with Allen Weisselberg, Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump and Ivanka Trump?

A I don't remember any meetings.
MR. HAREN: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a 10-minute break. I will see you back here in 15 minutes.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: The witness, please.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Witness resumes the stand.)
THE COURT: Let's continue.
Q Mr. Birney we are going to switch topics to did there come a point in 2021 when you participated in a virtual meeting about the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
Q Did that meeting occur over a service called Google
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\section*{Meet?}

A Probably.
Q Can you describe what Google Meet is?
A Google Meet is a video call service through Google.
Q In a Google Meeting, you can speak to people about
video and share documents; is that right?
A Yes.
Q The meeting that I asked you about, how many
participants were in the meeting?
A I worked remote for 2021, so there were a lot of these calls. So can we be more specific about which meeting you're referring to?

Q Sure. Specifically a meeting over Google Meet about the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition.

A There were multiple meetings in 2021 as I was remote.
Q Were there any meetings about the 2021 Statement of
Financial Condition in which Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump
participated?
A Yes.
Q So about -- speaking about that meeting, how many
other participants were there other than those two people?
A Myself, Alan Garten, Ray Flores, Jeff McConney.
Q Allen Weisselberg was not in the meeting?
A I don't think Allen Weisselberg was in that meeting.
Q Approximately when did this Google Meeting occur?
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\(5 \quad\) Q What did you share?
6 A I shared documents on the screen.
7 Q And what were those documents?
8 A I believe I toggled between a few documents during the
9 call. One I think was a Statement of Financial Condition
10 summary. One was the supporting data spreadsheet. And we may it.

A Yeah, I'm sure it had a title.
Q Would the title have included the phrase SOFC?
A I don't -- probably.
Q I'm only asking these questions because I'm not aware that we have a copy of this particular summary, so I'm trying to get an understanding of how it appears or what words are used in

Would the phrase "Statement of Financial Condition" or "SOFC" likely appear in the summary spreadsheet you're describing?
A Likely.
Q Focusing back on this Google Meeting, were any
valuation methodologies discussed on the call?
MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: What are the grounds?
MR. ROBERT: This is a meeting with Alan Garten who is general counsel of the Trump Organization, so whatever discussions they had at this meeting is subject to Trump Organization privilege as well as my client's privilege.

MR. HAREN: I would state Mr. Birney has already testified about this in his transcript. This issue has been out in the public for many, many months.

MR. ROBERT: Yes. So Mr. Birney testified for a period of five days before the Attorney General in the examination under oath stage and the issues that Mr. Haren
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)

Page 1393

Page 1392 have seen the Word document which would have been the -- a draft of the final Statement of Financial Condition.
Q You mentioned a Statement of Financial Condition summary. What did you mean by that?
A I think I put together a one-pager, like a one-page spreadsheet that showed the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition versus the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition with some sort of a difference in probably dollars and percentage between the two.
Q Can you describe visually how the spreadsheet looked?
A From what I remember, it was a fairly simple one-page spreadsheet with asset or asset classes on the left column and 2020 or 2021 on the next column, and then the other year on the column after that and then a difference column.
Q Did the spreadsheet have a title?
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1 is about to get into didn't happen on the first two days and 2 it was only the first two days that the Trump Organization 3 was allowed to have counsel in the room. Mr. Garten was in the room as well as the attorney for the Trump Organization.

On the subsequent three days, it is my understanding the Attorney General specifically prohibited the Trump Organization from having an attorney there. So to the extent Mr. Birney did testify about it at that point, we were not in the room to be able to object to it that this was a privileged conversation. That's why we are now making the objection that it is privileged.

MR. HAREN: The Court ruled in September of 2020 this is about documents in the possession of Morgan Lewis \& Bockius that all documents related to preliminary valuations of the Seven Springs easement as documents related to a preliminary valuation are of a business and not legal nature. The question posed to Mr. Birney during his examinations, which I will just say again, you have had transcripts of for ten months and have been out in the public pertain to valuation and valuation discussions, not legal advice.

MR. ROBERT: Those documents, as far as I know, are not the public domain. And to your point, Mr. Haren, if you're talking about a court ruling in September of 2020, my notes show that the three days of the examinations when this
issued came up were in 2022. So whatever ruling the Court had then may have been documents maybe appropriate to Ms. Dillon's testimony. Right now, we are talking about what Mr. Birney knows, what took place in that conversation. I think you've established in that Google Meeting virtually that there were people in the room including my clients and the general counsel. So our view is that meeting is absolutely privileged.

MR. HAREN: So I direct you to plaintiff's statement of undisputed facts, Exhibit 138, NYSCEF 946 containing Mr. Birney's testimony from pages 1183 through 1197 currently sitting on the docket of the court, discussed at summary judgment. It is part of the record. It is in public with no objection from your clients discussing the content of this meeting.

MR. ROBERT: Right now for the first time, you're asking a witness under oath to discuss the substance and consents of the meeting. To the extent whatever is out there is out there, but right now, this is the first opportunity we have to object to this fact, that this was a privileged meeting.

MR. HAREN: You had the opportunity to make that objection since you received the transcripts of investigative testimony back in 2022 and you could have made the same objection in the summary judgment papers.

MR. ROBERT: I am not a aware of a procedure whereby something that happened in the past, we are under an obligation until you have a witness on the stand that you are now inquiring about the substance of that conversation. As I said, the Court had, as far as I understand, extensive briefing during the special proceeding. Obviously, Mr. Kise and I were not part that of that, but in my reading of it, Your Honor made certain with third parties and whatnot. Notwithstanding any of that, Mr. Birney's testimony, as far I understand, was not part of what this Court's ruling was.

MR. HAREN: I will just say in line with the Court's prior rulings, that valuation is of a business and not a legal nature, I plan to keep my questions specifically to the issue of valuation and Mr. Birney's prior testimony, which in any event is admissible as an admission of a party because he is a Trump Organization employee.

MR. ROBERT: But you can't have an admission of a party when there is a conversation with counsel for the party while there's already an investigation that's underway. I don't remember the date that you started your investigation, but clearly, these meetings in March of 2022 is when it's, as far as I know, very active especially in this court with regard to enforcement of subpoenas and your investigatory practice. So there is no question that during this time, whatever conversations happened with counsel for

\section*{P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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1 ruling which related to communications that took place, I 2 believe, with Ms. Dillon in the 2015, 2016, 2017 time 3 period. Historical conversations, I will call it. That's a 4 very different thing than a conversation during the course 5 of an ongoing Attorney General investigation where a lawyer 6 is present and the subject matter of the investigation is 7 the subject matter of what they're talking about. So it's 8 no longer strictly business.

I mean, now you're talking about conversations that are taking place while there is an active investigation about that very subject. That's very different than the Court's prior rulings because the Court's prior rulings again related to historic conversations before that predate as far as I know even any investigation. So now you've got -- and there are no third parties involved in this particular conversation.

This isn't a situation where you have outside counsel or outside parties. This is all self-contained within the corporation. They're discussing the Statement of Financial Condition. Those very Statements of Financial Condition including the 2021 statement are the subject of the Attorney General's investigation and now case. So I think to say that there's been any waiver or that these would not be privileged in some way because they relate in part to business doesn't take into account the context of

1 the Trump Organization is a privilege of the Trump

Organization.

THE COURT: All right. Give me a moment.
MR. ROBERT: Yes, sir.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: I'm not going to try to untangle the whole history of what was waived or not. However, the fact that there was a lawyer in the room doesn't mean that there was any attorney-client information being passed back and forth. The client asking or the attorney responding, this was all business. And my next point, basically, this is all -- this was all business related and that's what the earlier rulings were.

I understand that counsel -- current counsel for defendants weren't there, but when I looked at this extensively and finally, I don't know what the questions are. Nobody knows what the questions are except the questioner, so I'll overrule -- there is a general objection because a lawyer was in the room is the attorney-client privilege, but if there is a specific question that addresses legal advice, we can revisit it as opposed to business advice.

Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. So I think we have to draw a significant distinction between the prior
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)
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the conversations because these are no longer -- at this point, they're no longer business conversations. At this point, these are corporate employees and officers with the general counsel of the corporation discussing actively -- discussing a subject that is actively under Attorney General investigation.

And so I don't see how the privilege -- the privilege would be at its peak under those circumstances respectfully, Judge, because that's where the privilege would apply the most is when the corporation is discussing internally the very subject matter that is at the core of the investigation.

THE COURT: Cliff, have you been teaching New York law to Cliff here?

MR. ROBERT: He's doing great.
THE COURT: He's pretty good at that. I think that's a wonderful distinction. However, just the fact that a lawyer is present in the, room we don't know that he said anything or that they asked him anything. Overruled without prejudice based on the particular testimony we hear.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I apologize for interrupting my colleague, but I guess this raises one issue which Mr. Haren mentioned during the exam which is we don't have any documents or the underlying documents presented during this meeting. If those were withheld because of

1 privilege, but haven't been logged, we would appreciate
identification of those documents that were withheld during this period for privilege if there are -- if that is the reason we don't have some of these materials and we're happy to put in a letter, so that it is reflected; but I think we would like to make that request.

THE COURT: Exactly. You'll have to really specify where we are in this. I just want to also return to what Mr. Kise said. I specifically said I'm not claiming -- I'm not ruling based on waiver because that would take a lot of unpacking at this point. So objection is overruled. You are not prejudiced based on the specific testimony and let's continue.
Q Did you answer the question were any valuation methodologies discussed on the call?

THE COURT: Repeat that louder.
Q Were any valuation methodologies discussed in the Google Meeting?

THE COURT: Please answer.
A Yes.
Q Which valuation methodologies were discussed during the Google Meeting that we are discussing now?
A The golf course valuations.
Q And specific, did you discuss any information learned from Marcus \& Millichap during the Google Meeting?

\section*{P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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1 would object to its introduction.
The New York Evidence guide which is only in line, you apparently don't have that statute, but provides at Section 4.19 that evidence measures taken after an event, that if taken before the event would have made injury or damage less likely to result in civil proceedings is not admissible when offered to prove culpable conduct in connection with the event. So I realize that this comes up as in most experiences -- this issues comes up with respect to negligence cases --

THE COURT: Exactly.
MR. KISE: -- whatnot, but based on the plain language of 4.19, it is not limited to that. The only case so far that I can find, I know you're not going to like this, but the only case so far that I can find that makes this point is the Domino's case where the court there pointed out that the notion that a company would react to a government investigation in which it clearly was a target by taking such steps meaning -- I am skipping the long form -taking steps, meaning remedial steps is hardly surprising. Indeed, to the extent they might be considered "subsequent remedial measures," which should be encouraged rather than discouraged, their admissibility is doubtful.

So based on the plain language of 4.19 , anything that relates to any kind of subsequent remedial measure that

A Yes.
Q Did you convey any information about the impact of that advice on the golf course valuations in the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
Q What did you convey about that? Keep your answer specifically to valuation.
A I believe I said the only thing that really changed
from last year was the golf courses. The reason we changed the golf course valuations is because we had a call with Marcus \& Millichap and they advised similar to what I testified
previously that they -- they value golf courses using eight to ten time EBITDA or two to three time revenue multiplier.

Q And you would agree it was a fairly significant change
in valuation method from how the golf courses had been valued in the previous years?
A It was different.
Q Did you want to make Eric Trump and Donald Trump, Jr. aware of the change?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, objection. So I'm not exactly sure where's he going with this, but I also want to put another objection on the record. To the extent the question is calling for evidence of subsequent remedial measures, changes that were made during the course of time that relate to perhaps a reaction to the investigation, we
P. BIRNEY - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)

Page 1402
was taken during the course of the investigation, we think is inadmissible for that reason, that it is evidence that's consequent to remedial measure.

The other thing I will point out --
MR. HAREN: Am I able to respond to the first point before you go to the second point?

MR. KISE: It is all connected.
THE COURT: Let Chris continue.
MR. KISE: I want to make sure the record is clear that the objection on privilege is a continuing objection, not that we have to object on each question.

THE COURT: Yes, that's understood. Let me also go back to that. I used to -- in another case, I've done a lot of research on the attorney-client privilege and the one thing that's very clear is you can't take a non-privileged document, give it to an attorney and say now it is privilege, which seems to me somewhat similar. You can't put an attorney in the room. There is a meeting about accounting matters, valuation matters. I don't know what Mr. Garten's knowledge of accounting and valuations is, but just the fact that he is there, I'm just adding that I'm not arguing your point.

First, threshold question. Does anyone know if the subsequent remedial measure rule applies in non-jury cases?

MR. KISE: I have never heard of a non-jury

\section*{exception to it.}

THE COURT: Nor have I, but I'm just wondering.
MR. KISE: I have never heard of a non-jury exception.

MR. HAREN: Certainly, at lunchtime, research topic.

THE COURT: Let's hear the general response to the subsequent remedial measure objection.

MR. HAREN: Sure. So I'm not asking the question for the purpose of showing a subsequent remedial measure that was or wasn't taken. There is an issue in this case as who as the top executives of the Trump Organization from 2017 to 2021 had authority to take certain steps. And I'm asking the question to establish the role of certain people in approving a change in valuation methodology during the relevant period. That is the reason for the question, not to establish or use any remedial measure that was taken to show culpability.

MR. KISE: So to the extent it is not designed to show culpable, than obviously the objection wouldn't apply; but I stopped because I wasn't sure of that. So if that's the representation that they're not seeking to introduce this, that's fine.

With respect to the privilege, one more thing, though, and that is the change in methodology. What hasn't

\section*{Page 1404}
been established is whether or not that change in methodology came about as a result of the question by counsel or a recommendation by counsel. That's the danger of getting into all of this, is that there should be some recommendation by counsel that hey, you guys should do "X" or you guys should do "Y."

This is why we think that these conversations are inherently privileged and Your Honor is right, not simply because an attorney is in the room. It is not converting an otherwise non-privileged conversation, but to the extent that these changes are discussed in the context of an ongoing investigation and methodologies are changed as a result of advice from counsel, and I don't know that this witness or any other witness is necessarily equipped to draw those fine distinction, which is why we are raising.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q So would it refresh your recollection if we pulled up some of your prior testimony, pages 1194 to 1195 ?
A Sure.
Q Starting at line 17:
"QUESTION: So I'm trying to understand, the change is discussed about golf club valuation method. You described it as a consensus decision among you, Mr. Garten and Mr. Flores; correct?
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: Does that decision need to be approved by someone else at the company who is superior to the three of you after it's made in your group consensus?
"ANSWER: I don't know if there was an official approval. I think that's why it brought up the change in methodology in that call with Don and Eric."

And I just said "okay" and you continued your answer: "So that they were at least aware."

Does that refresh your recollection as to whether you wanted to advise Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump about the change in golf course methodology that occurred in 2021?
A I discussed it. I don't know if I wanted to discuss
it. Can you ask the question in a different way maybe?
Q Sure. We can look at your testimony. It says "I think that's why it brought up the change in methodology in that call with Don and Eric so that they were at least aware."
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1407 & P. Bimey - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1409 \\
\hline 1 Why did you want to make them at least aware of that & 1 in Row 1004 right there from J to M? \\
\hline 2 change? & 2 A There is a whole formula in -- there is a 1 \\
\hline 3 A Because it was a, as you put it, significant change to & 3 numbers in Column K and a lot of numbers in Column O that track \\
\hline 4 the year before. & 4 differences. \\
\hline 5 MR. HAREN: All right. Let's pull up Plaintiff's & 5 \\
\hline 6 Exhibit & 6 practice of maintaining a cell to track the overall total of \\
\hline 7 Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document & 7 asset values from one year to the next? \\
\hline 8 A Yes. & 8 A I think s \\
\hline 9 Q What & 9 Q Did you have an understanding when you were working at \\
\hline 10 A An e-mail from myself to Jeff McCon & 10 the Trump Organization that you might be asked how asset values \\
\hline 112017 & 11 were shaping up as compared to the prior year? \\
\hline 12 Q And do you have an understanding as to what the & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 attachments are? & 13 Q Did Mr. Weisselberg generally ask you about asset \\
\hline 14 A Y & 14 values from one year to the nex \\
\hline 15 Q And & 15 A \\
\hline 16 A The drafts of the spre & 16 Q Did he ever tell you that Mr. Trump wanted his net \\
\hline 17 annual Stat & 17 worth on the Statement of Financial Condition to go up? \\
\hline 18 MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's -- I'd like to move this & 18 A Can you repeat the question, please? \\
\hline 19 document into evidence & 19 Q Did Allen Weisselberg ever tell you that Mr. Trump \\
\hline 20 THE COURT: Gran & 20 wanted his net worth on the Statement of Financial Condition to \\
\hline 21 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is & 21 go up? \\
\hline 22 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1086 in & 22 A Y \\
\hline 23 eviden & 23 Q Where did that occur, your conversation with \\
\hline 24 MR.H & 24 Mr. Weisselberg? \\
\hline 25 Exhibit 1086_n & 25 A I think that happened in Allen's office, Allen \\
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1408 & P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 1410 \\
\hline 1 Q Mr. Birney, this is an attachment to the e-mail we were & 1 Weisselberg's \\
\hline 2 just looking at. Do you recog & 2 Q And approximately when did that occur? \\
\hline A Yes. & 3 A Between 2017 and 2019 \\
\hline 4 Q What & 4 Q Okay. \\
\hline 5 A This was attached to this e-mai & 5 MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I have no further questions \\
\hline 6 Q Yes. & 6 for this witness. \\
\hline 7 A This is the October 10, 2017 draft of the Supporting & 7 MR. KISE: Just on that, I just want to be sure the \\
\hline 8 Data spreadsheet. & 8 record is clear if that question-and-answer series is being \\
\hline 9 MR. HAREN: I'll move the native document on the & 9 offered to establish what Mr. Weisselberg told Mr. Birney, \\
\hline 10 screen & 10 that's one thing, but not for the truth of the matter. In \\
\hline 11 THE COURT: Granted. It's in & 11 other words -- \\
\hline 12 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is & 12 THE COURT: I think that's righ \\
\hline 13 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1086_native01 & 13 Would the Attorney General agree? \\
\hline 14 in evidence.) & 14 MR. HAREN: It think there are a number many reasons \\
\hline 15 MR. HAREN: Can we scroll down to Row 1004, please? & 15 why that statement is admissible. It's admissible to prove \\
\hline 16 Q Mr. Birney, the information contained in Row 1004 from & 16 Mr. Weisselberg's state of mind or the admission by \\
\hline 17 Column J to Column M, we talked about this in a different & 17 Mr. Weisselberg was a Trump Organization agent. It's \\
\hline 18 spreadsheet, but just to get your understanding again does that & 18 admissible to show the existence of a conspiracy. We can \\
\hline 9 information track asset values between the -- this draft and the & 19 argue admissibility later, but it's certainly admissible for \\
\hline 0 prior year? & 20 a number of reasons. \\
\hline A Yes. & 21 THE COURT: Yes, but the question is is it \\
\hline 22 Q Did you have a practice of maintaining a cell to track & 22 admissible to show the truth of the statement. \\
\hline overall asset value changes? & 23 MR. KISE: Right. I don't think it's admissible to \\
\hline 24 A Multip & 24 show the truth of the -- that would be the truth of th \\
\hline 25 Q And by "multiple cells" are you referring to the items & 25 statement itself. The fact that Mr. Weisselberg told \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|rl|}
\hline P. Birney - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) \\
\(\mathbf{1}\) & Mr. Birney that and whatever Mr. Birney's state of mind \\
2 & resulting from that, that's fine. But to establish the \\
3 & underlying truth of the matter therein that there is some \\
4 & underlying conspiracy, I don't see how that would be \\
5 & admissible for that purpose. \\
6 & MR. WALLACE: Just to clarify is it -- the question \\
7 & is from Trump to Weisselberg. That's hearsay. Weisselberg \\
8 & to Birney for all the reasons Mr. Haren explained is \\
9 & admissible. \\
10 & THE COURT: I think we all agree. \\
11 & \(\quad\) MR. KISE: For all the reasons except proof of a \\
12 & conspiracy, the fact that Mr. Weisselberg said anything to \\
13 & Mr. Birney, Mr. Birney is not a coconspirator, he's not \\
14 & alleged to be a coconspirator. So whatever Mr. Weisselberg \\
15 & may have told Mr. Birney isn't evidence of any conspiracy. \\
16 & It doesn't form any evidence of an agreement or \\
17 & conspiratorial conduct. In order for it to be evidence of a \\
18 & conspiracy, you would then have to go to the truth of the \\
19 & matter. In other words, is it true what Mr. Trump told \\
20 & Mr. Weisselberg about increasing the statement. Exactly \\
21 & what Mr. Wallace just said they weren't admitting it for. \\
22 & MR. HAREN: I would just state there is case law, \\
23 & including People versus Caban, 5 NY3d 143. "The acceptance \\
24 & of an invitation to take an act can be a verbal act that is \\
25 & an element of a conspiracy."
\end{tabular}

Mr. Birney that and whatever Mr. Birney's state of mind resulting from that, that's fine. But to establish the underlying truth of the matter therein that there is some underlying conspiracy, I don't see how that would be admissible for that purpose.

MR. WALLACE: Just to clarify is it -- the question is from Trump to Weisselberg. That's hearsay. Weisselberg to Birney for all the reasons Mr. Haren explained is

THE COURT: I think we all agree.
MR. KISE: For all the reasons except proof of a conspiracy, the fact that Mr. Weisselberg said anything to Mr. Birney, Mr. Birney is not a coconspirator, he's not alleged to be a coconspirator. So whatever Mr. Weisselberg may have told Mr. Birney isn't evidence of any conspiracy. It doesn't form any evidence of an agreement or conspiratorial conduct. In order for it to be evidence of a conspiracy, you would then have to go to the truth of the matter. In other words, is it true what Mr. Trump told Mr. Weisselberg about increasing the statement. Exactly

MR HAREN I would jus including People versus Caban, 5 NY3d 143. "The acceptance an element of a conspiracy."
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There is case law about statements like this that indicate they tend to show the existence of an agreement and they can be admitted for that purpose. So we're happy to put in a letter on that if there is some need to further specify the purpose for which the statement can be used, but there is -- I don't think there is any doubt that the evidence itself is admissible for the reasons Mr. Wallace mentioned.

MR. KISE: I think we might because again the case law I believe Mr. Haren is referring to are case law about statements between coconspirators, not between some alleged conspirator and some third party about what some other alleged co-conspirator said. That's the heart of hearsay. So while statements between coconspirators might be admitted for that purpose for demonstrating an agreement, the having - they're mixing concepts which is not infrequent. They're mixing hearsay and they're mixing, you know, the center, but we can brief all this. We don't have to spend all day --

THE COURT: Okay. By tomorrow, two-page minimum?
MR. KISE: We would need to see -- yes, yes. We need to see what their case law is, but yes.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HAREN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Will there be any cross examination of this witness at this time?
M. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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MR. KISE: No, not at this time.
THE COURT: Plaintiff, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. AMER: Yes, Your Honor. The People call Mark Hawthorn.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
MARK HAWTHORN, called by and on
behalf of the People, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
State your full name and either business or home address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Mark Hawthorn. My business address is 725 Fifth Avenue, New York.

THE COURT: And please speak right into the microphone, directly like this, loudly, clearly, slowly.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. AMER:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Hawthorn. It's good to see you

Page 1414
again. Just for the record, my name is Andrew Amer on behalf of the People. Are currently employed?

A Yes.
Q And where are you employed?
A The Trump Organization.
Q And what is your current title?
A Chief operating officer of Trump Hotels.
Q We'll circle back to your employment responsibilities
in a minute, but can you summarize for us your educational background after high school?

A After high school I attended the University of Florida. I studied accounting, got a bachelor's and a master's degree in accounting, graduated in the year 2000.
Q And since graduating have you received any professional certifications or licenses?
A Yes, the CPA license in the state of Florida.
Q And what did you have to do generally speaking to obtain your CPA license?
A You need to meet the required school credits to sit for the CPA exam and then you have to sit for the CPA exam to be awarded the license and you have to upkeep your license by taking continuing professional education.
Q Can you describe for us your employment history after graduating from University of Florida with your bachelor's and master's degrees in accounting?

1 A Yes. After graduating, I was employed in the public accounting industry. So first, for Arthur Anderson from 2000 to 2002 then at Ernst \& Young, 2002 to 2004.
Q And what type of work generally speaking did you do when you were employed by those accounting firms?
A I did audit engagements on behalf of the accounting firm, for both public and private companies.

Q And when you say "private companies," is that similar to the Trump Organization?

A It would be a company that doesn't have public stock or public debt versus an SEC registrant being a public company.

Q Just to circle back to my question, that's similar to the Trump Organization; correct?

A Correct, the Trump Organization does not have stock or public debt.

Q And where did you next go after your employment with those two accounting firms?
A Then I worked in an industry at a organization called Kerzner International. I joined there in 2004 as a financial reporting manager. That's a hospitality firm that owned and operated luxury resorts. I continued to work there until 2016 in various levels of responsibility in finance and accounting and treasury.

Q Did you do audit work there as well?
A I did not. I was a client -- I was the actual client.
M. Hawthorn - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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I worked with the auditors of the company, but I was a
representative the company, so I wasn't necessarily auditing. I was preparing the information.

Q And just so I understand you were preparing information
that you were providing to the outside accounting firm who was the auditor; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q And what did you understand your responsibility to be
in terms of providing information to the outside accounting firm?

A To be responsible, diligent, provide truthful information that's accurate.

Q Can you -- and then am I correct you went to the Trump Organization in 2016?

A In February of 2016, I was hired as the chief accounting officer of Trump Hotels and I've remained in employment there with varying degrees of promotion, currently in my role as chief operating officer of Trump Hotels.

Q Why don't we go through the various titles that you have held at the Trump Organization. You mentioned chief accounting officer, which is the -- that's the position you started at?
A Correct.
Q And who did you report to in that position?
A I reported to a gentleman named Eric Danzinger who at
M. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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the time was the CEO of Trump Hotels.
Q And what was your next position after chief accounting officer?

A I really just continued in that role. In that role I was the highest financial responsibility person in the hotel division, so simply in I believe January of 2020, my title was just updated to chief financial officer, although my duties were pretty much similar, still reporting to the CEO.

And then the CEO departed the company in May of 2022 and I was promoted again to chief operating officer overseeing the operations aspects of the hotel division.

Q Has anybody assumed Mr. Danzinger's position as CEO of the hotel's division?

A No. There is no CEO, but effectively I now oversee the hotel brand operations.

Q So are you the most senior executive person within the hotel's division of the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q And can you just explain to us how the hotel's division fits within the overall corporate structure of the Trump Organization?
A Everything related to the hotel properties that we own and/or manage. So the organization as you may be familiar owns commercial real estate, operates golf courses and has other holdings, so the hotel division operates specifically to the
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owned and managed hotels in the hotel portfolio.
Q Are there other divisions that are at the same level as the hotel division that deal with the other aspects of the business that you've just mentioned?

A I would say, you know, there is the golf -- private golf club and public golf clubs, which is part of hospitality. Then you have real estate realty division. Those are the two that come to mind that I might interact with the most.

Q For a golf course that also has a hotel, how does that fit in?

A It's both -- right. It's a golf resort, let's say. So we have several properties that have overnight accommodation, right, for a hotel where guests can stay. Then those hotels may also have golf courses situated on them. So the consolidated reporting would be under the hotel division auspices, but I would work with individuals in the golf division as well.
Q Now, in terms of going further up the chain where does the hotel division report into?

A So currently I report to Eric Trump.
Q And what is Mr. Trump's position?
A I believe he's EVP of the Trump Organization.
Q And just for the record, executive vice president?
A Yes, I believe that's right.
Q And who has been the direct report to the head of the hotel's division since you joined in 2016 through today?
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A So if I understand your question correctly, so the CEO of Trump hotels, my former boss, Mr. Danzinger, reported to Eric Trump prior to his departing and now that I'm in that effective role, I report to him.
Q So just to summarize for the entire time that you've been with the Trump Organization the hotel's division has reported directly into Eric Trump; is that right?

A I think that's fair.
Q You spoke about the accounting function within the
hotel division. How does that relate to the accounting function over which Mr. Weisselberg presided as chief financial officer while he was at the company?

A So in my role I would oversee the accounting and
finance for the hotel properties and I would provide information as requested from time to time from, let's say, the corporate accounting function, which was led by Mr. Weisselberg at that time when he was employed there.
Q And you are getting directly to my inquiry. There is a separate corporate accounting group that's separate and apart from the hotel's division. That was the group that
Mr. Weisselberg was the head of while he was at the company; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And who did you interact with in corporate accounting?
A From time to time Mr. Weisselberg, Jeff McConney, Donna
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Kidder. Those are probably the three individuals most interacted with.
Q And by the way, where is your office located in relation to the corporate accounting group?
A Different floor. So I'm on the 25th floor in Trump
Tower; that group is on the 26th floor.
Q And can you just describe to us what your working relationship was with Mr. Weisselberg during the period of time that you both overlapped at the company?

A More like a helpful business partner. If information was required, I would provide information.

Q Was there any direct or dotted line reporting relationship between you and Mr. Weisselberg?

A I was -- perceived him to be more senior than me, so if there is an aspect he required my help, I would certainly provide him information, but my direct report was to Mr. Danzinger.

Q And can you also describe your working relationship with Mr. McConney during the period of time that you overlapped with him?
A Similar to as I described.
Q Did you work at all with Patrick Birney?
A Yes.
Q And what was your working relationship with Mr. Birney
during that period of time since you started at the company in
M. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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A Similar interactions. If there was information that in his role he required from the hotel division, then it would be my responsibility to provide that information.

Q And you mentioned that you currently report in to Eric Trump; correct?
A Yes.
Q And have you reported directly into Mr. Eric Trump since you -- since Mr. Danzinger left?

A Correct, since May, I guess, March of 2022.
Q And during the time that you've been employed with the
Trump Organization since 2016, what has been your understanding of Eric Trump's role at the company?

A He is, you know, the leader of the company along with his brother Don, Jr.. I would say Eric is mostly involved in the operations whereas Don oversees development.

Q In connection with operations would you consider Eric Trump to be the chief decisionmaker at the company?

A Yes.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, you testified that you are a certified public accountant; correct?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States commonly referred to as GAAP?

A Yes.

Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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Q And were you required to become familiar with GAAP for purposes of obtaining your CPA license?
A Yes.
Q And do you continue to keep up with developments concerning GAAP as part of your continuing education that you testified to as a requirement for maintaining your CPA license? A Yes.
Q What is your understanding of what GAAP is broadly speaking?

A GAAP is a set of principles that oversees the accounting function, the books and records of how they're kept at a company that follows GAAP. So GAAP is an accrual basis method of accounting that is widely recognized and followed for private and public companies that are required to report under GAAP.
Q Are you familiar with something known as a compilation engagement?

A Yes.
Q What is your understanding of a compilation engagement?
A Generally speaking a compilation engagement is an engagement where an accounting or audit firm would engage -would be engaged by a company to put together a financial statement and it would be the lowest level of assurance as opposed to an audit.
25 Q When you say "lowest level of assurance" and you
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mentioned "audit," are there other types of engagements that would come between an audit and a compilation in terms of level of assurance?

A The three I'm most familiar with would be compilation, agreed-upon procedures and an audit.
Q And what do you mean when you say "lowest level of assurance?" What does that mean?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, objection. Has this witness been called as an expert? I'm not sure what relevance this has. He's here as a fact witness and I've given Mr. Amer some latitude, but he's asking what appears to be expert testimony about GAAP.

MR. AMER: This is about his professional experience in connection with what he will soon testify is his involvement in the Statements of Financial Condition.

MR. KISE: Subject to that connection.
THE COURT: Objection sustained. I agree. I have a somewhat strict view of fact witnesses; what did you see, what did you hear, what did you do, and this seems to be to be asking more for expert testimony and you said you're about to get to what he does, so let's get to what he does. Q Since joining Trump Hotels have you had any responsibility for compilation engagements?
A Yes.
Q Which Trump entities have you worked on compilations
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for?
A 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, which is commonly known as the Trump Chicago property, Trump Endeavor 12. That's the legal entity of the ownership of Trump International Doral.
Q And which accounting firms have you worked with on those compilation engagements for the Trump Organization?
A I've worked with Mazars and I've worked with Whitley Penn.

Q And at Mazars who was the engagement partner you worked with on those compilations?

A That was Donald Bender. Transcript continues on the following page....

Q And do you have an understanding as to why these two entities had to prepare compilations?
A They were required under property loans for those properties, so that they would have to be submitted to the lender.

Q And can you generally describe your role in connection with working on these compilation engagements for the Trump Organization?

A Sure. I had responsibility of oversight of those reports.

Q And what does that entail, oversight?
A So if I may explain in appropriate context, so each
property has its own financial controller. It maintains its own general ledger, data. So those properties would report
those -- the general ledger data in their financial statements that I would review and oversee, and I would work with the accounting firm to get them the information they require in order to prepare the compilation report.
Q And did you have an understanding as to what your obligation was as the client in providing information to Mazars and Whitley Penn as the outside accounting firm?
A Yes.
Q And what was your understanding?
A I provided the general ledger and oversaw that the report was provided to them was from our system and was what
they needed to prepare the statement.
Q Did you have any understanding as to whether you had an obligation to provide truthful and accurate information to Mazars and Whitley Penn?
A I certainly did.
Q What was your understanding?
A To provide such information that was truthful and accurate.

Q We have been talking about compilations that you worked on with Mazars and Whitley Penn for Doral and Chicago.

Did you become aware at some point in time that there was a compilation prepared for Donald J. Trump that was his personal Statement of Financial Condition.
A I would say in 2021.
Q In 2021, you did become aware of such a document, correct?

A Yes.
Q What were the circumstances under which you first
became aware that there was a Donald J. Trump personal Statement of Financial Condition?
A I was aware of it through the media reports of it at that time and this ongoing litigation. My personal involvement with it was very limited. In addition to the compilation reports that we discussed, I was also tasked with overseeing audits of other entities that required financial audits. So
there's entities that we have in our ownership that the company has in its ownership in Scotland. So we have -- there is a Hotel Trump Turnberry. There's also Trump Aberdeen.

In working with the outside accounting firm for those audits, there was a situation that that they had required additional information about the parent company and led me to seek out some information from that statement to help them for that specific purpose.

MR. AMER: I would like to go ahead and mark as
Exhibit 1380 Plaintiff's 1380, a series of e-mails.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, you'll see this consists of some e-mails back and forth and then on page nine of this exhibit, there is a memo from you to Barry Masson and Fiona Kenneth.

Do you recognize this as a memo that you prepared and sent to them?

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize the e-mails that are at the front of
this exhibit as being e-mail exchanges between you, them and an individual known as Camron Harris at Whitley Penn?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1380.)
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Q The subject of the e-mails is audit update. What is that?
A That is, as I was describing previously, the audits
of the legal entity SLC Turnberry Limited and Trump
International Golf Links Scotland Limited which is commonly known as Trump Aberdeen.
Q And just to clarify, is this audit update one of the ways in which you first learn that there was a Donald J. Trump personal financial statement?

A It was probably around that time in addition to the media scrutiny of it.

Q Can you just explain for us who the two recipients of the top e-mail and also your memo are, Barry Masson and Fiona Kenneth?
A Those are the respective audit partners from the firm Johnston Carmichael. So in the context of this audit is
Johnston Carmichael was working through the audits of the two entities I described in Scotland and they were requiring some additional information for their audit procedures.
Q Why were you the individual who was tasked with addressing their issues?

A Because those fall into the hotel division and so as I described earlier, my oversight of audits and compilation work in the hotel division dealt to me.
Q Had you done this type of audit update with them in
M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)
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1 prior years?
A This year was a year where they had indicated they
3 needed more information because their audit procedures had
become more rigorous and they had standards that had changed since a previous year. And effectively, what they were doing is for the narrow approach of testing the financial viability of those Scotland entities, they had asked for information about the parent company and the parent company's financial wherewithal in the event that these Scotland entities needed financial support.

In the past, this audit evidence was satisfied by some internal communication and letters effectively from the company vouching that we have the ability and the intents to hold this asset, to fund this asset if necessary. That in the past satisfied their requirement. In this particular year, they kept asking for more information and more documented information about the financial wherewithal of the parent company.
Q And just so I understand what you're explaining, that was due to a rule change in Scotland?
A That's what they explained to me. Not a rule change in Scotland, but they described it. It was probably some e-mails about it, about their auditing standards being more rigorous in the U.K.
Q Let me ask you to turn to page four of this exhibit. Just focus on Ms. Kenneth's e-mail to you and just read some
M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)
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portions of it and I'll ask you some questions. The paragraph that begins "while we have received, she writes, "While we have received stress tested forecasts for each of the entities, we have not yet received any information from you in relation to going concern points four to six of my e-mail of August 6th."

So let me just stop there and say, what was your understanding of the going concern points that she is referring to?
A There was probably a separate attachment or another e-mail of the information that she required for her audit testing. I don't recall off the top of my head, but effectively in what they were trying to again accomplish is provide some evidence or comfort for the reader of those financials that -that those entities have financial backing from a parent in the event they may need it.
Q She goes on to say, "Obtaining evidence of both intention and ability to support is critical to us being able to provide an unmodified audit opinion."

Is unmodified audit opinion the same as we refer here to an unqualified audit opinion?
A I believe so, yes.
Q She then says, "In relation to this, it might help us if we are able to understand more about where the funds that would be used to support the U.K. businesses would be coming from." Is that what you refer to as needing more information
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about the parent?
A Correct.
Q And by the way, if we go to page -- we will come back to this, but if we go to page six, you see the bottom paragraph, she has a heading that says "going concern." Is that your understanding of what she was referring to by going concern?

A I'm just reading through it. Yes, so similar to in the U.S., an entity to be a going concern that the financial statement and the opinion associated with it determines that there is another evidence that the entity will exist beyond 12 months. You think about the context of the time of this ask, this is the 2020 audit. If you recall, 2020 was a year when the world shut down to travel and tourism because of the COVID pandemic, so the financial statements on their own for these entities were not as robust as in past years because people couldn't travel to the resorts and the resort couldn't operate.

So if someone is looking at this financial statement in the U.K. in a vacuum, without any other information, they might say to themselves this entity is not having a great financial year. It might be not a going concern because it may not have enough of revenue to generate it for the next 12 months. The case that the auditor is looking for is trying to understand okay, if this entity can't exist over the next 12 months, if it doesn't have enough revenue, is there support available from its parent company to sustain it. So that's the nature and the
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purpose of this line of questioning.
Q Let's go back to page four. I just want to focus you again on the same paragraph we were looking at. The last sentence of that paragraph states, "In the absence of obtaining a set of "group accounts for the Trump Organization," we do need to find other means of corroborating the position."

What did you understand Ms. Kenneth to mean when she refers to corroborating the position?

A So they're trying to satisfy their audit requirement if a probably other clients that they have have a parent company that has publicly traded financial statement, audited financial statements, holding company financial statements, those would be readily available and exist that a company to provide. In the case of the Trump Organization, it has no requirement to do "group accounts" or a roll-up set of historic financial statements because there's never been a need to do so. So for her to corroborate that information, she needed additional information which is why I wrote this memo and provided the exhibits to satisfy her requirements.
Q Let's go ahead and look at your memo which is -- starts at page nine of the exhibit, and you write in the first paragraph, "In connection with the audits of golf recreation, Scotland Limited SLC, Turnberry Limited and Trump International Golf Links Scotland Limited, which you define as the Scotland entities, for the year ended December 31, 2020, we
M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)
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1 are providing certain financial information of ownership to demonstrate the financial viability and ability to fund the operations of the Scotland entities, if required," correct?

A Yes.
Q Again, which golf courses does this relate to?
A So SLC Turnberry Limited is Trump Turnberry and Ayrshire, Scotland. West coast of Scotland is Turnberry. East Coast of Northern Scotland is Aberdeen, which is the other entity Trump International Golf Link Scotland Limited.

Q And you go on to say the ultimate ownership of the Scotland entities is the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, the trust has ownership of significant cash and cash equivalents, real estate, management and license agreements and other assets (the group assets) as well as accounts payable and long-term loan obligations the (group liabilities), the long-term loans are collateralized and serviced from cash flows generated by the underlying real estate assets."

So were you familiar with the revocable trust as of the date of this memo?

A Yes. The ownership entity of the ultimate parent of the Scotland entities.

Q Was that something you were aware of beginning with your employment with the Trump Organization soon thereafter in 2016?

A Probably as soon as I encountered a question where in
operations, one of the hotels needed to report who their owner was, so I learned over time what the org chart looked like and ultimately that the trust was the ultimate owning parent entity of the various hotels that I worked on.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q You go on to say, "The trust does not prepare a
consolidated financial statement on a regular basis. However, a recent accounting compilation as of June 30, 2021 prepared by the trusts accounting firm Whitley Penn provides for the following at their estimated current values."

First of all, where did you get the information about the trust not preparing a consolidated financial statement, but having a compilation as of June 30,2021 ?
A Right. So this is when I became aware of the Statement of Financial Condition being the most available type of document that is like a group consolidated financial statement. So I knew it existed.
Q I'm just trying to get more specific. Where did the -- did this information come from for you to put it in this memo?
A The dollar amounts or the words?
Q The paragraph above the first bullet. The fact that there was this compilation, the date of the compilation, and that it was prepared by Whitley Penn? Where did you get that information from?
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A In my position, I certainly know what -- that the company does not have a consolidated financial statement like you just can't go on scc.gov and get the Trump Organization financials. So I was aware, like I said, through media reports and knowing that this other document is prepared and out there, that that would be some level of description of assets that could satisfy the scowled auditor's request for this matter.

Q You say very specifically that the compilation provides for the following at their estimated current values. That phrase "estimated current values," where did you come to learn that the compilation provides for estimated current values?

A So for me to write this memo and fill in the numbers provided there, I had to get that from Patrick Birney. I didn't get a copy of the statement, but I understood how much cash -- how much cash does the organization have, what's the holdings that they have, so that I could write this memo on behalf of this request.
Q Was there a specific reason why in your memo to the Johnston Carmichael accountants that you mentioned that the compilation set forth estimated current values?

A My understanding of the statement is it is not a
typical historical cost GAAP financial statement; that it is a
statement that provides an indication of value and that was the purpose of this statement.
Q Did you at the time that you wrote this memo have a

A No.

Q Did you have any understanding as to why it might be -- well, was there an issue with you getting your own copy of the statement?
A I just knew it was a very sensitive document. It was already in the press and scrutinized and I didn't need to be any

MR. AMER: Now is a good time, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We will see you all at 2:15. Have a good lunch.

MR. AMER: Can I just ask that the witness be admonished not to discuss his testimony with counsel?

THE COURT: I hereby admonish you not to discuss your testimony with anybody. Thank you. Have a good lunch.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken.)
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    A Sure.
    Q What was your understanding?
    A The fair value of the assets at a point in time.
    Q And the point of time of this particular compilation,
    did you understand it was as of June 30, 2021?
    A Yes.
        THE COURT: One-minute warning.
    Q For purposes of preparing this memo, did you obtain a
    copy of the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J.
    Trump.
    A I did not obtain a copy. I had a phone conversation
    with Patrick Birney to get the limited information and then what
    I did in a conversation with Fiona and Barry, the auditors from
    Scotland, I suggested that we could organize a virtual Zoom
    meeting, in effect a Google Meet with Camron Harris because
    Camron Harris was the audit partner that issued the compilation
    with Whitley Penn, and so they -- they were keen to that idea,
    so that way, I could stay out of it. It is not really my area
    and so we organized a Google Meet. Camron Harris --
    Q You're getting ahead of me. We will get to the Google
        Meet, but let me just ask one more question.
        Did you ask to get a copy of the 2021 Statement of
        Financial Condition?

Did you ask to get a copy of the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding.

Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted but only to the members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Counselors, are we going to put the schedule of witnesses for the week on the record at this point?

MR. WALLACE: Certainly, Your Honor. I will say for the People we are going to continue with Mr. Hawthorne's testimony this afternoon. The next witness will be Donna Kidder.

THE COURT: When do we expect to start her?
MR. WALLACE: I expect that will begin this
afternoon. I don't know that that would be completed this afternoon, though.

Following witness would be Doug Larson, a former Cushman \& Wakefield employee followed by Dave McArdle, also Cushman \& Wakefield employee. After that, our next witness would be Ray Flores, a former Trump Organization employee; Jack Weisselberg, an employee of Ladder Capital; Craig Robertson, also an employee of Ladder Capital; and then later in the week Dave Orowitz, a former Trump Organization
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I would just add that exactly how we proceed through that it do appears on which precise day it may depend a little bit on which of the witnesses the defendants choose to cross examine.

MS. GREENFIELD: Just one clarification about earlier this morning I thought you mentioned Allen Weisselberg being recalled.

MR. WALLACE: No, sorry. That was Jack Weisselberg, his son.

MR. ROBERT: Jack is not tomorrow.
MR. WALLACE: Jack is not available tomorrow.
MR. KISE: Mr. Cohen is not coming this week.
THE COURT: The earliest that he will testify, apparently subject to my receiving the all-important doctor's note, is a week from today, Monday. So he will not be here this week.

MR. KISE: This is a key witness for the government. Of all the witnesses in the case they chose to play him on the video in their opening. So we just want to be sure that we have some understanding of that schedule. And I know Your Honor is doing what you need to do there and everyone else, including the government is moving around Mr. Cohen's schedule now. So I would ask to the extent that becomes an issue on our side that we would have the same
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\section*{Proceedings}

1 accommodation. I don't think it will, but if there becomes
2 a scheduling conflict of some kind, we would want the same
accommodation.

THE COURT: Sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander. That's the theme of this trial.

MR. WALLACE: And I would note we tried to be cooperative and scheduled Mr. Cohen later because of counsel's schedule, so I think we could continue to cooperate on these issues and appreciate everyone's flexibility to the degree necessary.

I will also add, though, we did play testimony from Eric Trump, Donald Trump, Jr., Donald Trump, the father, and Allen Weisselberg during my opening.

THE COURT: Yes, but they're all defendants.
MR. WALLACE: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Right. And just to repeat, the earliest Michael Cohen will testify apparently subject to a doctor's note, which I hope to receive later today, would be a week from today, Monday the 23rd, but even that day is not definite.

\section*{Yes?}

MR. KISE: I just also want to note for the record because I would be remiss if I didn't. He does continue to be active -- for whatever this is worth to the Court, he does continue to be active on social media. I know you can
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1 do that from a hospital bed, but he does - I'm not a social 2 media person, but I see he does continue to be active in his 3 pursuit of my client on a fairly frequent basis on social 4 media so he doesn't appear to be that infirmed.
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290 million and restricted cash and escrow deposits in excess of 28 million. I think we established when you wrote this memo you did not have in your possession a copy of the 2021 statement; correct?
A Correct.
Q So where did you get the figures that you used for this first bullet point?

A So I had a conversation with Patrick Birney who is in possession of the document.

Q And if we can pull up the 2021 statement. It's Exhibit 1354 and maybe we can put it next to that paragraph in your memo. If we can go to page four of the statement, which is the assets page, you'll see that this statement lists cash and cash equivalents at 293.8 million and escrow reserve deposits, restricted cash and prepaid expenses at 28.3 million. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And does that correlate to what you have in your first bullet?
A Yes.
Q When you obtained this financial information from Mr. Birney, which you then forwarded on to the Johnston Carmichael accountants to address their audit needs, were you comfortable passing along the information without having seen the statement for yourself?
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\section*{A Yes.}

Q And would you have passed along the financial information in this first bullet if you had any doubt about the accuracy of the information?
A The point of the memo is to just evidence that the parent has sufficient liquidity and a lot of holdings so I really was just trying to evidence that the parent has its financial wherewithal. I would have had no issue sending forward the statement if it was in my possession, but I didn't need to have it or have it and I felt it was a better way to have the auditors speak to each other and that satisfied my requirement. I had a singular focus in this memo and that was purely getting the audit of the Scotland entities completed.
Q I think my question was a little simpler, so let me try again.

Would you have passed along the financial information in this first bullet which you received from Mr. Birney if you had any reason to doubt the accuracy of the information? It's just yes or no?

A If I doubted the accuracy would I have passed it along? I would not have passed it along unless I had some evidence or some comfort that the information was generally accurate, right.

Q And I draw attention in the first bullet to the amount of unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of -- in excess of 290 million. Why call out that figure?
M. Hawthorn - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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A That's the most relevant to the Scotland auditors. I mean, they care about available working capital that would fund the Scotland operations. So if I'm just thinking about telling these auditors hey, the parent has a lot of liquidity, can I show you a bank statement, hence why I attached the bank statement to evidence, there is significant amounts of cash as well as, you know, it's not -- it's -- it's stated in this way to evidence that the parent has financial wherewithal to support these entities.

Q Did you have an understanding when you sent this memo to the accountants at Johnston Carmichael about who controlled the unrestricted cash and cash equivalents amounts in excess of 290 million?

A I understood that it was controlled by the trust.
Q Would you have knowingly provided to the Johnston Carmichael accountants a figure for cash and cash equivalents that included amounts not within the control of the trust?
A If I knew that I wouldn't have probably provided that.
Q Why not?
A Again, you're trying to evidence the amount that the trust has to satisfy any potential cash needs of the Scotland operation.
Q And in order to satisfy that requirement would the cash and cash equivalents have to be within the control of the trust?

A Right. Hence why I sent them a bank statement of 133
M. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1 million.
Q Did you have an understanding -- well, let me ask you about the 28 million because you also call out that amount which is restricted cash and escrow deposits. Why did you call out that?
A It's just, again, further evidence that this is a very
liquid company with sufficient holdings that is able to support the needs of its properties if required.
Q Now, in terms of the assets on the list, is the escrow -- the restricted cash and escrow deposits sort of the next level of available liquidity?

A Correct.
Q And did you have an understanding when you sent this memo to the accountants at Johnston Carmichael about who controlled the restricted cash and escrow deposits?

A I understood it was controlled by the trust.
Q Now, Mr. Hawthorn, are you aware that Mr. Trump has a 30 percent minority interest in something known as the Vornado Partnership Interests?

A Vaguely familiar.
Q I'm going to put up on the screen Plaintiff 3041, which is defendant's response to Plaintiff's 202 statement and specifically the response to paragraph 403.

Now, there is a chart that you'll see that has statement year, amount included based on 30 percent share in
. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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Vornado Property Interests, total cash liquidity reported and then Vornado Property Interests cash as a percent of total cash. And I just want to draw your attention to the line for 2021. You'll see it has "total cash liquidity reported." That's the 293.8 million figure that was in the statement for 2021 and that's the number you then reported forward to the Johnston Carmichael accountants; correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And the defendant's response is that it's undisputed that the values listed are those reflected in the SOFCs and the amounts attributable to the Vornado operating entities are as stated. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q So I'm going to ask you to accept that as being undisputed.

Now, during Mr. McConney's testimony we've been referring to that \(\mathbf{3 0}\) percent interest as Vornado cash, so I'm going to use that reference in my questions as well; okay?
A Okay.
Q As the chart shows for 2021, the total cash is 293.8 and of that figure, 32 percent or 93 million and change is Vornado cash. Do you see that?
A I see it.
Q Let me show you some testimony from Mr. McConney in this trial. It's at page 619 of the transcript and it's line 15
\begin{tabular}{|l|l}
\hline M. Hawthorn - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) \\
\(\mathbf{1}\) & to \(20:\) \\
2 & "QUESTION: Just so we're clear, Vornado cash is \\
3 & not cash that Mr. Trump has access to on his own; correct? \\
4 & "ANSWER: Correct. \\
5 & "QUESTION: He cannot withdraw the funds from the \\
6 & accounts where they sit; correct? \\
7 & "ANSWER: Correct." \\
8 & So were you aware that 32 percent of the 293 \\
9 & million of cash and cash equivalents was Vornado cash? \\
10 & A \(\quad\) No. \\
11 & Q \(\quad\) Were you further aware that Vornado cash was not cash \\
12 & that was within the trust's control? \\
13 & A \(\quad\) No. \\
14 & Q Mr. Hawthorn, if you had known at the time you prepared \\
15 & your memo in November of 2021 that 32 percent of the \\
16 & \$293 million figure reported in the 2021 statement as cash and \\
17 & cash equivalents was actually cash that was not within \\
18 & Mr. Trump's control, would you have included that amount in your \\
19 & memo as you did? \\
20 & A Probably not and it would have made no impact on the \\
21 & memo and its conclusions. \\
22 & Q My question was just simple but you would have included \\
23 & it or not? \\
24 & THE COURT: Yes, I'll direct the witness as I often \\
25 & do, just answer the question. No speeches, no commentary.
\end{tabular}
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The other side can ask you questions also.
So the first half of what you said was answered;
the question the second half didn't.
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
MR. AMER: Can I get the second half stricken then,
Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes. It was nonresponsive.
MR. AMER: Thank you.
Q Now, let me show you Exhibit 3146.
Mr. Hawthorn, these are a series of e-mails between you and the Johnston Carmichael accountants as well as Mr. Harris at Whitley Penn that all postdate your November memo; correct? A Yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'd ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 3146 in evidence.)
Q And this e-mail exchange relates to setting up the Google Meet call; correct?
A Yes.
Q Let's go to page three. I just want to start with the e-mail that's in the middle of the page from you to Mr. Masson and Ms. Kenneth. You write to them and this is actually the
M. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1 e-mail we looked at that attaches your November memo. Isn't 2 that right?

A Correct.
Q And the second sentence says, "I would also welcome a follow-up conversation if you can be available sometime Wednesday, November 24th." Done the British way; correct?
A Correct.
Q Okay. And did they express a need in the discussion
you reference here to have a follow-up conversation after receiving your November memo?
A I don't remember exactly, but I remember probably some phone conversations to make sure that they were satisfied in the information they required. So it culminated in the Google Meet that you had mentioned.
Q Well, is it correct then that they were not satisfied just with the memo and that they needed an actual call?

A They were interested for their audit work papers to document that they had more information than just my memo.
Q And in the e-mail above, which is actually an e-mail you sent to Mr. Harris on December 7, 2021, you enlist his assistants with a joint Google Meet virtual call with the Johnston Carmichael accountants to discuss the financial condition; correct?
A Correct.
Q Was it your understanding that the Johnston and
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Carmichael accountants needed to put eyes on the Statement of Financial Condition?

A They expressed an interest of that, so I helped to organize this to accomplish that.

Q And you enlisted Mr. Harris because he had the statement and you didn't; is that right?

A Correct.
Q Okay.
A And it was helpful for auditors to speak to each other because they talk the same language and understand you like Camron also understands their objective of trying to solely meet an impairment test for their individual audit.
Q You spoke their language too, didn't you?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Now, if you look at the first page of the exhibit, the bottom e-mail is from Mr. Masson to you also copying Ms. Kenneth and he indicates in the second paragraph "will we be able to have sight of the compilation report during the call?"

A Yes.
Q So that was something they needed to do for their audit procedures; is that right?

A They requested it, yes.
Q And then your e-mail at the top confirming that you will arrange and plan to have a sight of the compilation report
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline M. Hawthorn - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) Page 1451 & M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER) Page 1453 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
for both he and Ms. Kenneth to view; correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Was there any reason why you didn't just ask for a copy of the statement so you could have this call with them on your own without having to involve Mr. Harris? \\
A Like I mentioned before there is no need for me to have a copy and it gives the auditors better comfort hearing from the Whitley Penn auditor because he's most familiar with the statement that he could take them through it versus me. \\
Q And you did in fact have this Google Meet virtual call with the Johnston Carmichael auditors and Mr. Harris of Whitley Penn; correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Were there any other people on this Google virtual call other than the four of you? \\
A No, four of us. \\
Q Was the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition shared \\
with the Johnston Carmichael accountants during this Google Meet virtual call? \\
A Yes, Camron Harris put it on his screen. \\
Q Did he put the entire document up on the screen and go through every page or only portions of it? Do you remember? \\
A I believe he scrolled through it and answered any questions that they had. I was more of invited to the call but didn't say much on the call. I let them -- I produced both
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Q For purposes of this call, did you have a copy of the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition? \\
A No. \\
Q So, as of the time of this call, you still didn't have in your possession the 2021 statement, correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q To your knowledge, were the Johnston Carmichael accountants ever sent a copy of the 2021 statement either during or after this Google Meet virtual call? \\
A No. \\
Q Do you know why not? \\
A I think as we indicated, they just needed to see it, so that's all their audit needed. They had a sight of it because Camron Harris showed it. They had questions and he explained the answers to the questions and that was the end of it. \\
Q Was this Google Meet virtual call the first time that you saw any portion of the 2021 statement? \\
A I believe yes. \\
Q Was it the first time you saw any portion of any annual Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Do you recall any discussions with anybody within the \\
Trump Organization about whether you should or should not have \\
24 access to an actual copy of the 2021 statement? \\
25 A No.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
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parties and let them have their discussions. \\
Transcript continues on the following page....
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER) \\
1 Q Do you recall how long the call lasted? \\
A The Google Meet? \\
Q Yes. \\
A 20 minutes. \\
Q To your recollection, were the Johnston Carmichael \\
accountants satisfied with the information as it was shared with \\
them on the call about the 2021 statement? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Mr. Hawthorn, if you had learned just prior to this \\
call that 32 percent of the \(\$ 293\) million figure reported in the \\
2021 statement as cash and cash equivalents was actually Vornado \\
cash that was not within Mr. Trump's control, would you as a \\
matter of professional ethics have advised the Johnston \\
Carmichael accountants of that fact? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And why is that? \\
A Just to be transparent of what it is and knowing that the cash still there is satisfying their requirement. \\
Q Based on the chart that we looked at, Mr. McConney's testimony and your involvement on the call, do you think reporting the 293 million figure to the Johnston Carmichael accountants was a misrepresentation? \\
A It appears to have been overstated. \\
Q Okay. My question was very specific, though. Do you think it was a misrepresentation?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER) \\
1 & MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. That's calling \\
2 & for a legal conclusion. \\
3 & MR. AMER: I think accountants are capable of \\
4 & determining whether something is a misrepresentation and it \\
5 & is not within the purview of only lawyers to assess that. \\
6 & MR. KISE: He is a fact witness, Your Honor. He \\
7 & hasn't been called as an expert. \\
8 & THE COURT: I will sustain the objection to the \\
9 & extent of asking Mr. Amer to explain what he means by a \\
10 & misrepresentation. \\
11 & Q A representation to the accountants that was \\
12 & inaccurate. \\
13 & THE COURT: I will allow that. \\
14 & Q \(\quad\) Do you need the question again? \\
15 & A \(\quad\) Maybe just say it one more time, so we are clear. \\
16 & Q \(\quad\) Based on the chart that we looked at, Mr. McConney's \\
17 & testimony and your involvement on the call, do you think that \\
18 & reporting the \$293 million figure as a cash and cash equivalent \\
19 & figure was a misrepresentation? \\
20 & THE COURT: No. We said "incorrect." \\
21 & MR. AMER: I'm sorry. I thought given my \\
22 & definition, I could ask it that way, but I will rephrase it. \\
23 & THE COURT: Rephrase it. \\
24 & MR. AMER: Okay. \\
25 & Q \(\quad\) Based on the chart, Mr. McConney's testimony and your
\end{tabular}
figure to the Johnston Carmichael accountants as a cash and cash
equivalent figure was inaccurate?
    A I think yes.
    Q And do you think -- I think you also mentioned in your
prior answer you thought it was an overstatement, correct?
    A Correct.
    Q Was that overstatement which the chart indicated was
32 percent Vornado cash, was that material?
    A Not for the purposes of this analysis.
    Q Well, just the 32 percent overstatement. You don't
    think that's material?
    A Not for the purposes of this analysis.
    Q Is it material in terms of accounting materiality?
            MR. KISE: Objection. It is calling for an expert
    conclusion again. He already answered the question.
        THE COURT: Sustained.
    Q Do you know, to your knowledge, if the Johnston
    Carmichael accountants were ever advised subsequent to the call
    that 32 percent of the \(\$ 293\) million figure was Vornado cash not
    within the control of Mr. Trump or the trust?
    A I don't believe so.
    Q Now, I'd like to turn to another topic, hotel
management valuation. Let's go ahead and mark Exhibit 1308.
25 You'll see the bottom e-mail is an e-mail from Mr. Birney to you
asking you to -- if you could update the THC management company valuation spreadsheet as of June 30, 2021, and then the e-mail above that is your response to him.

A Yes.
MR. AMER: There is a blank page, second page which is the native version which is the attachment to the e-mail. I'd ask that this be admitted into evidence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1308.)
Q What is the spreadsheet that's referenced in this e-mail?

A This is a listing of Trump hotels properties.
Q Is this the spreadsheet -- do you recognize this as
the spreadsheet that you're attaching in the top e-mail that you then send back to Mr. Birney?

A I do.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'd ask that we admit the native spreadsheet.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit.)
Q Now, your e-mail to him, if we could just go back to that, indicates that it's reflective of the most current 2021
forecasts and 2022 budget projections and follows methodology as
. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)
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applied in previous years. Do you see that?
A Yes.
3 Q Was this something that you provided to Mr. Birney in previous years?
A Yes.
Q And for how many years had you been providing Mr. Birney with updates of this spreadsheet?

A I don't recall exactly. Maybe since 2017 or something like that.

Q Did you have an understanding when you received the request from Mr. Birney to send an updated spreadsheet why he was asking for it?

A No.
Q Did you have any understanding as to what use he was going to put the spreadsheet to?

A No.
Q Let's go to the spreadsheet, so I can ask you some questions about it. You'll see it has two tabs to the spreadsheet where on the summary tab and then there is a cash flow projection tab. Let me start with the summary tab. What properties is this summarizing?
A Trump hotels managed and owned properties.
Q Are these are hotels that are part of the hotel
division that you were in charge of?
A Yes.
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1 Q In charge of?
2 A Yes.
3 Q What does management mean under agreement type?
4 A It means that Trump hotels is the manager and has an HMA or hotel management agreement for that property.

Q Now, under the column C, initial term, some properties say "owned." What does that signify?
A That means it is the manager and the owner.
Q And in accounting terminology, is there some phrase used to discuss -- to reference this type of business
arrangement between where the same properties are both owned and managed by the same entity? I can rephrase it.

You mentioned that for these owned properties, the Trump Organization is both the owner of the hotel and the entity that manages; is that correct?
A Correct.
Q Is it an affiliate of the hotel owner that's managing the property?

A There is a separate legal entity that's established to be the management company, so that the hotel can operate under the Trump hotel's brand and have a similar-looking feel like every other hotel in the brand regardless of who the owner is.
Q So just to go back to my question, I am correct that it would be an affiliate that would be the managing entity -A Other entity owned by the trust.

\section*{M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)}

Page 1460
Q And is this type of business arrangement something that accountants call a related party transaction?
A That could be a common term for it, yes.
Q And then for the other hotels where it doesn't say
"owned," but has a number of years, what does that signify?
A That would signify the term of the management
agreement, how long it -- and it says initial term, so I guess
the initial -- most hotel management agreements are long-term from 10 to 15 to 30 years, or they have extension rights that could be at the manager's option, so I think this is just trying to categorize in a summary cell the nature of the HMA or the hotel management agreement.

Q I guess what I'm wondering is for those properties
where there's a number of years under initial term instead of owned, does that mean it is owned by somebody else?

A Say that again. I'm sorry.
Q Sure. For those properties where it is indicated under initial term a number of years instead of the word "owned," does that mean that that property is owned by an entity outside the Trump Organization?
A Correct.
Q So those would not be related party transactions, correct?

A They would be an owner outside the trust. There's a
25 little more complication to this, but I'll just try to answer
M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)
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\section*{yes or no.}

THE COURT: If you can without misrepresenting or being inaccurate.
Q Just to make sure we're on the same page, where the initial term says "owned," it means both the hotel property and the entity that manages the property are both Trump Organization entities and it is a related party transaction, correct?
A Yes.
Q And for those properties where instead of saying
"owned," it is a number of years under column C, that would be a situation where the Trump entity manages the property, but the property is owned by another company?

A I'm going to say yes. I just see one example on there where there is co-ownership, but I understand what you're saying.

Q On row 16, it says, "Value of existing portfolio and then there is a figure of almost 119 million for the value of existing" -- does that total for the value of existing
portfolio include the value of management agreements for the related party transactions?
A So you would have to go to the second tab and you could see that is a projection for all the hotels and all the hotels because there is a management agreement assigned -- there is a management agreement contemplated and assigned I believe that rolls up to the top sheet.

Q So is the answer to my question yes?
A Can you say your question one more time?
Q Sure. The figure of 1 -- almost 119 million for existing value of existing portfolios includes the related party transactions as well as the hotels that are either entirely owned by some other entity or there's some co-ownership?

MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. It is a compound question. He's asking him two different things. He's already answered the first part of the question. He already explained what the 118 figure is derived from by reference to the cash flow projection, but now Mr. Amer is asking him a different question that's compound which is trying to combine the cash flow with the value of the hotel either owned or on the other hand entirely owned or some other entity. That's a very different question.

MR. AMER: I think I'm asking him the same question and just asking to summarize the upshot of what he just already said.

MR. KISE: Then it has been asked and answered. There is no reason to summarize it. He already answered about where the 118 came from. He told you exactly where it came from. I know what Mr. Amer is trying to do and I am not going to say it in front of the witness and Mr. Amer knows exactly what he's trying to do.

THE COURT: Mr. Amer I will either ask for a
readback. We, can all kind of decide whether it is compound question, or you can just ask differently. Up to you.

MR. AMER: I'll try it more clearly.
Q Because you've looked --
THE COURT: You deem that withdrawn?
MR. AMER: We can deem that withdrawn.
Q You looked at the second tab before in order to answer the prior question and now we are back to the summary tab. And I just want to confirm that the value of almost 119 million which is listed as the value for existing portfolio includes all of the properties on the summary page that is above that row; is that right?

MR. KISE: Same objection.
THE COURT: Asked and answered?
MR. KISE: Yes.
THE COURT: Overruled. I generally don't like that objection. You know, let him ask it again.

MR. KISE: He is asking a very different question and he knows exactly why.

THE COURT: If it is a different question, then it is not asked and answered.

MR. KISE: It is a misleading. If he is looking for clarification, then the clarification question is does the 118 million that's on that page, is that the sum of what's on --
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Page 1464
MR. AMER: This is a speaking objection and it is not misleading at all. The witness can either understand it and answer it or tell me he can't understand it.

MR. KISE: He's asking if it includes a value of the properties themselves. That's what he's asking.

MR. AMER: No, I don't think I asked that. I asked if it includes the existing portfolio for the properties above. I think it's fairly straightforward, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Just ask it again, but I don't see anything objectionable about it.

MR. AMER: Can I get a readback on this one, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. AMER: Thank you.
THE COURT: We will all listen carefully.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

MR. KISE: Same objection.
THE COURT: There is no objection or it is overruled. Please answer.
A Yes.
MR. AMER: That wasn't so hard, was it?
Q In each year from 2016 through 2021 that you provided Mr. Birney with an updated spreadsheet, did you include the value of management agreements between Trump Organization
M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)
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affiliates as part of the value of the existing portfolio?
THE WITNESS: Is this one I can answer in a non-yes or no?

THE COURT: I think so.
THE WITNESS: Just in the nature of how this works? THE COURT: I think you can answer yes, no.
Mr. Amer, are you looking for a yes-or-no answer? MR. AMER: I am.
THE COURT: To me, it is a yes-or-no question. So, please, answer yes or no.
A Can you restate the question again?
Q In each year from 2016 through 2021 -- actually, I'll revise that because I think you said you only did it back to 2017. In each year from 2017 through 2021 that you provided Mr.

Birney with an updated spreadsheet, did you include the value of management agreements between Trump Organization affiliates as part of the value of the existing portfolio, yes or no?

A Yes.
Q And so you did include related party transactions in each of those years then?

A There's value to those transactions, yes.
Q And let's pull up the 2021 Jeff supporting data which is Exhibit 1352 in evidence. Let's go to row 1117. You'll see under ^ /HR-PBGS deals in this row, it says, "management company valuation" and for the June 30, 2021 column, there's a
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value of 118.9 million. Does that appear to you to track your spreadsheet value?
A Yes. I'm not familiar with this sheet. However, that appears to be the same number.
Q So this appears to be the number you provided to Mr.
Birney in your update, the spreadsheet under total value of existing portfolio, correct?

A Yes.
Q Now, if we could go back to your spreadsheet, the other exhibit, sorry, which is 1308 native. You see row 18 says, "Value of future portfolio, N/A." What does that mean?
A That's none or non-applicable.
Q Was there a decision made to remove the value of future portfolios from this spreadsheet?

A I think as of this point in time, there was nothing in the pipeline that was to be future developed as compared to previous years when there were future developments on the horizon and evidenced by HMAs.

Q Well, let me just understand because row 18 doesn't have a zero value. It has an N/A. So was it -- to your
knowledge, was it a determination made that you no longer needed to provide a value for future portfolio as opposed to --

A No.
Q Let me just finish my question -- as opposed to there being zero value to future portfolio?
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A In this example from 2021, there is no future portfolio to value.
Q Why is that?
A Because there is no future other developments or hotel developments from this point going forward.
Q So the Trump Organization ceased developing new hotel projects?
A No, but the frequency was less than in previous years.
Q Did Mr. Birney tell you that you didn't need to put any
value in for future portfolio, if you recall?
A I don't recall.
Q Let's look at the second tab which says, "cash flow
projection." Can you describe generally what's reflected under this tab?
A This is a summarization for each property by year of a calculated management fee.
Q Is this management fee based on the management agreement?

A I believe in most cases, yes.
Q Let's look at rows 141 to 145 . So it says, " 141 Trump cash flows from hotel operations "and then there is a series of numbers. What does that reflect?
A I have to click on the formula to refresh my memory of this.
Q Why don't we click in B141. I don't know if that's
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going to help you.
A I don't see anything. There is a formula up there. Do you see the formula bar. It just says equals B138. So then can we go to B138.
Q Does row 141 reflect the cash flows from the managing agreements, the management agreements?

A I'd have to work through the formulas and see what's in B138.
Q Why don't we click on B138 and the witness can see the formula?

A Okay. So 133 would be the summarization of revenue list. B136 which is an approximation of management company expense. So if you go to B133, could we just see that that's a summarization of the numbers above \(i t\).

Q What is 142 , row 142 ? What does that reflect?
A Time value of money.
Q Why do that calculation?
A Because a dollar today is worth more than a dollar in the future.
Q And how are you adjusting the cash flows for inflation as it is indicated here in row 142 ?
A Looks like three percent.
Q What is reflected in -- well, so is row 143 then the
24 calculation that takes the number from 141 and discounts it for 25 inflation?
M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)
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1 A Correct.
\(2 \quad Q\) And then what does row 144 do?
3 A It appears to apply a discount factor.
4 Q Why is a discount factor being applied?
5 A I didn't set up the template, so I'm not familiar; but
6 generally speaking, it is to apply some factor of the risk of
7 not all the management fees being collectible or realizable.
Q Is row 145 then a product of taking 143 and applying
your discount factor in row 144 ?
A Yeah. If we can click in B145, you could probably see that.

Q And that confirms it?
A Yes.
Q What does the present value of the Trump cash flows represent?

A That appears to be the gross number adjusted for the discount factor and the inflation factor.

Q And why does this spreadsheet calculate the present value of the Trump cash flows?

A Again, I don't know the purpose, end purpose of this spreadsheet; but from my doing it over several years and putting in the inputs, my understanding is, again, if you're cash
flowing out into a future period, if you want to get a value as of this point in time, you need to apply present value concept to get a value as of the point in time of the analysis.
M. HAWTHORN - DIRECT (MR. AMER)
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Q Is applying an inflation factor and discount factor to get a present value for future cash throw something that you knew how to do at least as far back as 2016 when you joined the Trump Organization?

A I'm familiar with the concept.
THE COURT: That didn't answer the question.
Q Right. My question is a little different. It's more specific. Was applying an inflation factor and discount factor to get a present value for future cash throw something you knew how to do at least as far back as 2016 when you joined the Trump Organization?

MR. KISE: Objection.
A Yes.
MR. KISE: Inflation and discount are two different things.

THE COURT: I think the question acknowledged --
MR. KISE: He's asking about the discount factor, that's fine; but inflation throws a different element into it. Inflation -- accounting for inflation is very different for inflation for a discount.

THE COURT: That doesn't negate the question, so -
MR. KISE: It is a compound question. It is asking him two different questions.

THE COURT: No, he is not. He's asking one question about two factors combined.
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A Then go back there. Yes, sir.
Q I would like to look at an earlier spreadsheet you sent to Mr. Birney. Let's pull up Exhibit 1218 -- the native.
Actually, let's go to the document first and then --
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, do you recognize this as an e-mail
exchange you had with Mr. Birney where you're providing him with the updated spreadsheet in \(2018 ?\)
A Yes.
MR. AMER: I'd ask that this be admitted into evidence, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 1218.)
Q The bottom e-mail from you to Mr. Birney, down a little bit more, the bottom of the first page. You indicate, "Soho was in last year's file, not in this year's." Can you explain that?
A Trump Soho is a hotel under management that the owner bought out the management agreement in 2017. So if in a previous version there was a value probably ascribed in the management contract, it would no longer be in a future year because the cash was already realized from a sales transaction under the HMA.
(Continued on the next page.)

\section*{Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)}
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Q And then in the e-mail response from Mr. Birney he says, "okay, great. Please send worksheet that's synonymous with the spreadsheet we've been looking at;" is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And then he says, "Allen is okay with the figures." Do you know who he was referring to?
A It appears to be Allen Weisselberg.
Q Do you have an understanding how Mr. Weisselberg could be in a position to be okay with the figures before you send your spreadsheet?
A No.
Q Was there any, you know, high level of summary of the numbers that was provided in advance of sending the spreadsheet to your recollection?
A No.
Q So sitting here today you don't have any understanding
as to how Mr. Weisselberg could be okay with the figures without
having gotten your spreadsheet; right?
A Yes. I can't make any context comment of that. All I did was put in the numbers in the spreadsheet for Patrick and send them to him.
Q And then, of course, the top e-mail is your forwarding the spreadsheet; correct?
A Yes.
Q Just at the risk of wanting to clarify, am I correct
. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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that you did not have any understanding when you received this request and then sent the worksheet why Mr. Birney was asking for it; correct?

A Correct.
Q And you did not know how he was going to use the spreadsheet; right?

A Correct.
Q My next questions are on the worksheet, so if we want to take our break now, does that make sense?

THE COURT: Yes. But just before you go, I want to address Mr. Kise's objection on the compound question.

If the question is was the building made of brick and was the temperature cold, that's a compound question, requires two answers. If you ask are you able to do \(A\) and \(B\), only if you can do A and B, should you answer yes. If you can't do either, you can only do one, you shouldn't answer no. So that's why I regard it as a single question. But I see your point.

Okay. Let's take our usual ten-minute break over the course of 15 minutes.
(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

MR. KISE: Just a quick housekeeping, Your Honor. I'll let Mr. Amer answer the question about of how long he's
\begin{tabular}{|cc}
\hline M. Hawthorn - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) \\
\(\mathbf{1}\) & going to go. We just wanted to know if he's going to be -- \\
2 & can we let Ms. Kidder go and start her for 15 minutes? It's \\
3 & up to you. \\
4 & THE COURT: What do you think we should do? \\
5 & MR. AMER: I think I probably have a half an hour. \\
6 & I'm fine if the Court is okay to not start a witness for \\
7 & 15 minutes if that's where we end up, but that's entirely \\
8 & Your Honor's -- \\
9 & THE COURT: I like to use every available moment, \\
10 & but a half hour, let's start her, if that's okay. \\
11 & I assume no cross examination of this witness at \\
12 & this time? \\
13 & MR. KISE: No. \\
14 & THE COURT: I shouldn't have asked in the negative. \\
15 & I should have asked you were you planning on any cross \\
16 & examination. Hoist by my own petard. \\
17 & MR. AMER: I should mention my time estimate did \\
18 & not include any objections from Mr. Kise. \\
19 & THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. \\
20 & (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and \\
21 & approaches the witness stand.) \\
22 & THE COURT: Would Mr. Kise ever object? Let's see \\
23 & if we can move things along rapidly. \\
24 & Okay, let's continue the examination of \\
25 & Mr. Hawthorn.
\end{tabular}
M. Hawthorn - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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Q Mr. Hawthorn, we were discussing Exhibit 1218, your e-mail where you forward the spreadsheet in September of 2018.
So let's go ahead and pull up this native Plaintiff's 1218, which is the spreadsheet.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this spreadsheet be admitted.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1218 in evidence.)
Q Do you recognize this as the updated spreadsheets you sent to Mr. Birney in September of 2018?

A Yes.
Q Now, I notice the first two tabs "summary in cash flow projection" are the same as the 2021 spreadsheet we saw; correct?
A Yes.
Q And let's look at that first tab, the summary tab.
For this spreadsheet there is a value of 45.2 million roughly on Row 25 for a value of future portfolio. Do you see that?
A Yes.
23 Q So that's different from what we saw in 2021 where it 24 said N/A; correct?
25 A Yes.

\section*{to an LOI?}

A I don't know unless we look specifically.
Q Okay.
A In that aspect I would defer to my boss at the time, Mr. Eric Danzinger, the CEO of the group.

Q Now, in addition to those first two tabs that we looked at, there are additional tabs that follow existing AI
projections, future deals, fee projections, new openings, and new signings. Do those all relate to the future portfolio?
A Not the word "existing," but the one that says "future" would.

Q Okay. Do you know why there was no existing AI projections tab in the 2021 spreadsheet?

A I think it was at that time that it was concluded that that brand would no longer move forward whereas in 2018 it was very much a real thing.
Q And why don't we discuss that tab "existing AI projections." What is that?

A This was a concept for an American Idea hotel brand, which is a franchise hotel brand concept that was brought to market by the Trump Hotels team in 2016 and a concept that would have a different level of service versus a luxury Trump Hotels product.
Q And is it your understanding then that this -- the information on this tab would flow into the existing portfolio?

1 A I don't know. We'd have to see where it links, but existing AI projections I can tell you that these hotels did not open ultimately, but at the time there were signed hotel management agreements. There was a lot of work done by the hotel team to gather up this brand franchise concept and so it was very much a real thing during 2018.
Q I guess it's just a simpler question as to whether it's part of the existing portfolio or the future portfolio, if you know?

A I would -- I would presume future because it's not open. My understanding is that existing is that there is a hotel that's operating versus future which is to open.

Q Let's look at rows -- under -- let's look at the next tab, "future deals fee projections," Rows 23 to 28 . Can you tell me what those reflect?

A I guess they were projections at the time this was prepared of future hotels. If you -- I did go -- if you scroll up.

So you see in Row 5 there is another place called Scion. That was a lifestyle brand of hotel division that the brand was also launching at the time of American idea. So you had three brands. You have the Trump Hotels luxury brand, upper at the high end of the market Scion, more of a lifestyle hotel four star and American Idea being a franchise model hotel.
Q And I guess my question's more specific to Rows 23 to
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28 which ends with Row 28 being present value of Trump cash flow. So is this similar to what we saw before where you're doing a calculation to include inflation and then a discount factor to get to present value?
A Yes.
Q And does doing this type of calculation have any relationship to getting to an estimated current value for the management contracts?

A Yes.
Q In what way?
A So conceptually it looks like it's taking the expected cash flow streams from management fees and future years and discounting them back to current time of this evaluation.

Q And that gets you to estimated current value?
A Yes.
Q Let's look at the new openings and new signings tabs. So what are new openings?
A This I believe is a tab to estimate the opening dates of new properties and the year they would open.
Q And starting in Row 12 through Row 28, you'll see it says "TBD" under "property." What does that stand for?

A That would probably just be a placeholder, to be determined.
Q And in some rows the number of keys is zero, but in others it's 250 . Why is there that difference?
M. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1 A Can you show me the 250?
So this could have been based on the pipeline and trend of new development, the expected opening of hotels with 250 keys in those particular years.
\(Q\) Is number of keys synonymous with number of rooms in the hotel?
A Yes.
Q And let's look at the new signings tab. Again, you'll see that starting in Row 9 all the way through the rest of the entries under "property" it says "TBD" again. What does that signify for this tab?
A It's a placeholder again. Same thing, to be determined.

Q And again, in some rows the number of keys is zero and in other rows it's 250 . Same answer as a prior tab?

A So, again, a presumption that the average number of keys of a new hotel development would be 250 that the brand would sign these developments in these years and they would open thereafter after a period of preopening.

Again, these based off of signed hotel management agreements, signed letters of intent and/or updates from the CEO of Trump Hotels and the work that was being done by the development team of future properties.
Q And just the last part of your answer where you say
25 "updates from the CEO of Trump Hotels" that's Mr. Danzinger?
M. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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A Yes.
2 Q And by that do you mean to include discussions that he's had with property owners?
A He would have had those discussions but we would have asked his input on this projection.

Q I'm just trying to identify the bases for including him in the spreadsheet. You said signed hotel management agreements, signed letters of intent and then you describe this third category of updates from the CEO. So are the updates something different than LOIs and management agreements?

A I can't speak to it because he was closest to the information, but, like, just as an example, if you are going to open a new hotel with one owner and you have a signed HMA, that hotel owner may say I want to do three more, five more, ten more hotels with you and these are all ready to go. So that could be an example. But in this particular case from 2018 it's hard to remember or know.

Q At least your understanding is that some of these TBD deals are management agreements, some are LOIs and some may be something else. Is that fair?

A I think that's fair.
Q And the something else would be not anything in the form of a signed agreement; correct?

A It could be -- again, I defer to the hotel group CEO at
25 the time who had the connections, who had the wherewithal to
 formula signifies as a matter of using an Excel spreadsheet. This formula means that you're pulling the number from the new signings tab at D 34, doesn't it?

A Sure. Can we see what it is?
Q Sure.
A New signings D 34.
MR. AMER: 34, not 24 .
A Okay.
Q So this D 34 is the sum of E 34 to L 34 ; correct?
A Yes.
Q And then the new openings tab is pulling the number from this tab into that tab; right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So let's go back to new openings.
Now, if we click on the next two, Row 38 D, same
formula; right? You have to answer.
A Yes.
Q And the one below that?
A Yes.
Q So -- and I've looked at all of these in this column
and every single one of these formulas is pulling the number from the new signings tab. Does that sound right to you?

A Okay, yes.
Q So all of the number of keys information in the new
M. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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openings tab is just being pulled from the new signings tab; correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, let's go to the future deals projections tab. And in the Scion -- is it pronounced Scion?
A Yes.
Q The Scion section. Let's go to Row 7.
See it says "total keys?" In Column G for 2022 it says "1250." So what does that represent?

A That would be the room count of the openings of hotel in 2022 projected from 2018.

Q Okay. And if we look at the formula for that cell it says it's F 7, which is 2021 plus the sum of new openings H 6 to H 81. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. But we've already established that all of the figures in the new openings tab are being pulled from the new signings tab; correct?

A Right. You have to sign a hotel deal before you open it.

Q Okay. I'm just trying to understand the relationship between these three tabs and the future deals fee projections for the number of keys in Row 7 is being pulled from the new openings tab which in turn gets pulled from the new signings tab; correct?
. Hawthom - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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A Correct.
Q Okay. Can you walk us through the calculation in
Column \(G\) to explain how the number of keys is being used, if at all, to generate the total revenue figure?
A Let's go to room revenue in G 12 and see how that's derived. Right so you would take the total number of rooms times the number of days in the year and you would assume an occupancy rate that is how full the hotel is with guests.

So if you took 1250 times 365 you get 465,000 .
Presuming there is 70 percent occupancy you'd have an occupied
room nights of 319,000 times an average rate of \(\$ 450\) is the room revenue in this file.

Q And again, the total keys includes potentially new signings that are at the moment either could be a letter of intent or something else?

A No. It only includes new openings, right. That's what the formula says.

Q Okay. But we already discussed that new openings is pulling the number from the new signings tab; correct?

A It is, but --
Q Okay. And that Column G calculation then feeds into the summary tab for future portfolio; correct?
A It should I guess if we follow the formula through.
Q Well, just conceptually that's what you're doing; right? This is part of --

DONNA KIDDER, a witness called by the Plaintiff,
after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court,
took the witness stand and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: State your full name and home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Donna Kidder. 721 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022.

THE COURT: Now, that's a nice, loud voice, right.
Keep at that level and please commence the direct examination.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. HAREN:
Q Good afternoon, Ms. Kidder. Good to see you again. Can you, please, describe your educational background after high school.
A Some college.
Q Do you work at the Trump Organization?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is your title there?
A Assistant controller.
Q When did you join the Trump Organization?
A March 2007.
Q And what was your position when you joined the Trump
Organization?

\section*{D. KIDDER - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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A Senior accountant.
2 Q Who was the chief financial officer of the Trump
Organization when you joined the Trump Organization?
4 A Allen Weisselberg.
5 Q And who was the controller of the Trump Organization
when you joined the Trump Organization?
A Jeffrey McConney.
Q What was the assistant controller when you joined the
Trump Organization?
A Eric Sacher.
Q And who did you report to when you started at the Trump Organization?

A Eric Sacher, Jeffrey McConney and Allen Weisselberg.
Q Did there come a time when Eric Sacher left the Trump
Organization?
A Yes, there did.
Q At some point after Mr. Sacher left the Trump
Organization, did you become the assistant controller?
A A few years after that.
Q Did that occur approximately in 2010?
A Approximately.
Q Can you describe in general terms what your
responsibilities have been as assistant controller?
A Cash management, overseeing a staff of approximately
six at any different time, cash flow reports, condominium cash
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Birney.
Q And you say "at the time." Did there come a time when Mr. Weisselberg stopped being involved in that process?
A When he pled guilty to tax fraud.
Q Okay. In your role as assistant controller, do you
think of some entities as having their accounting work done in-house?

A Yes.
Q What is the phrase "in-house" refer to in the context of that work?

A The corporate office and it would be billing, accounts payable, budgets.

Q And which entities have their accounting work done in-house as you understand that term?

A 40 Wall Street, Trump Tower Commercial, the various owned retail and residences, Trump Park Avenue.

Q When you say "owned retail," what are you referring to?
A In condominium building such as Trump Park Avenue,
L.L.C. There are sponsor-owned units that are retail, so we would maintain the books and records there, the leasing.

Q And I think you described these as outside entities a minute ago, but were there entities that did not have their accounting work performed in-house?

A Yes.
25 Q And which entities were those?
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1 A All of the hotels such as the Doral, Trump Old Post Office, the hotels and golf courses overseas, and all of the golf courses that we owned, Colts Neck, DC, The Vineyard, their books and records were kept outside.
\(5 \quad\) Q And did those entities have their own controllers?
6 A Yes, they did.
7 Q Did those entities have a procedure for providing their cash position to you regularly?

A Yes, they did.
Q How often did they provide their cash position to you?
A They were instructed to provide it every week whether Friday by 5:00 or Monday morning.

Q You say "were instructed." Instructed by whom?
A Byme.
Q And for the entities that did not have their accounting work performed in-house, did their cash position reports ever request cash?
A Occasionally, they did.
Q And was there an approval process for those cash requests?

A Yes, there was.
Q And whose approval was needed for a cash request to be granted?

A Allen Weisselberg at the time.
Q And can you describe the process by which his approval
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was obtained?
A The e-mail would be sent while he is still working in the accounting department. I would compile the report and we would discuss who needed financing and for what purposes. He would okay it. I would then fund the golf course or the hotel, what have you, each entity.
Q And where would the funding typically come from?
A From an owned entity. Prior to Donald Trump becoming president, it would have come from him directly. After that, the structure was the trust, Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust.

Q And did an entity called DJT Holdings, L.L.C. play any role in that process?

A Yes, the structure was the trust, DJT Holdings, L.L.C. and occasionally, there was a third entity after the Holdings called DTTM, L.L.C.
Q And for entities that did not have their accounting
work performed in-house, did they ever distribute cash higher up in the hierarchy of Trump Organization entities?
A Yes.
Q Can you describe the process by which that occurred?
A Well, they would have a profit and they would get
approval to distribute cash to the owned entity.
Q And prior to Mr. Trump becoming President of the United States, would that cash be distributed up to him?
A There might have been an entity in between, but
ultimately, he was the only owning beneficiary.
Q Subsequent to him becoming the President of the United
States, was there an ultimate endpoint for those cash distributions?

A The trust.
MR. HAREN: Let's put up on the screen Plaintiff's Exhibit 1306.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A It appears to be the budget projection for 2015 for 40 Wall Street.

MR. HAREN: I would move this document into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. THE COURT: Understood. Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1306.)
Q Let's move to PX 1306 native one.
Ms. Kidder, the document on the screen, do you recognize it?

A That's the spreadsheet for the projected budget for 40 Wall Street. MR. HAREN: I move this native file into evidence. MR. ROBERT: Same objection. I thought it was all

\section*{part of the one exhibit anyway.}

THE COURT: I thought so, too, but it is all in. It is all in evidence.

MR. HAREN: All right. We have had a practice of doing the native files separately, so I just want to make sure that if there is a - if someone moves in evidence an e-mail that has native files attached, that the whole exhibit will come in. Is that correct?

MR. ROBERT: Why don't we just take it as it comes, but at this point, I thought it was all coming in as to this exhibit; but my same objection, Your Honor has already ruled is coming in.

> THE COURT: Right. We will do it ad hoc. MR. HAREN: Okay.

Q Ms. Kidder, before asking you about the document, just can you describe Mr. Weisselberg's involvement in the 40 Wall Street budget process?

A Yes. He would have the final review after everything was compiled. The operational expenses were compiled by Matthew Calamari who is the COO and the building manager, I believe, in 2015 was Steve LaFiosca (ph). By operating expenses, I mean anything that was relevant to operating the building. For example, I want to say messenger and delivery because that's all I see, but also payroll. If you go down, contracts, anything like that. For real estate taxes, we would have reached out to
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Marcus Pollack (ph) for projection and he would have provided that. For the ground rent, there's actually an agreement. For the income, I would have prepared an income projection by any lease that was already in place. There are no assumptions in this. He would have -- Allen Weisselberg would have reviewed everything as well as Matthew Calamari would have reviewed everything and they would have tweaked or questioned or changed any numbers that they felt were too high or too low operationally. For the income, it was what it is. So it was what it was, I should say. So if there was a lease, it was in the income.

Q So just speaking generally, the time period from 2011 to 2021, what was Mr. Weisselberg's involvement in the 40 Wall Street budget process at a very high level?

A Final approval.
Q Do you have an understanding of the figure in cell Q151 down to 153 ?
A 15 -- so 151 ?
Q Excuse me. It is \(\mathbf{O}\) from 151 to 153.
A So 0151 to 153 . Would you move it over a little, so I could see the titles --

MR. HAREN: Zoom out a little bit. It is a very wide spreadsheet.
A Yes, I know, unfortunately. All right. So there are a few different totals here. You would have the NOI before debt
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service, after debt service in Capex. The final number is -- in some months, it is a profit and in others it is a loss, but ultimately for that year, it was projected a loss of \(\$ 4.1\) million.
Q And that's the -- scroll back to the left.
That's a total NOI after debt service and Capex?
A Yes.
Q And Capex refers to capital expenditures?
A Capital improvements, tenant improvements, lease, any leasing commissions. It looks here -- it is just a whole number. It is just one building improvement number. The capital improvement is just one line and that's for building improvement. That's what that code is.

Q So speaking in reference to the negative \(\$ 4.17\) million number, in your experience, if a business within the Trump Organization has negative income after debt over the course of a year, does someone have to advance money to the business?

A Yes.
Q Who does that?
A The owning entity.
Q So does that mean prior to Mr. Trump becoming president, he would advance the money?

A That's correct.
Q After Mr. Trump became president, the trust would advance the money?

\section*{KIDDER - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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A That is correct.
MR. HAREN: Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1078.
Q Ms. Kidder, I'll direct your attention to the bottom of the first page continuing on to the second page. There is an e-mail from you to a person named Joseph Piantedosi copying Brian Lynch and Jeff McConney with the subject "re TNGC Westchester cash position." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A This is the first time it appears that Jeff instructed a controller to pay a management fee and have it hit the books instead of just a journal entry.

MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1078.)
Q Looking at the e-mail on December 23rd of 2016, the e-mail states -- it has a subject of, TNGC Westchester cash position and it says, "Hi, Donna. I have attached the cash position for the club. We are positive we will be able to meet the payroll for next week with the existing funds." Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.

Q Is this e-mail an example of what you called an outside entity sending you a cash position update?

A Yes, it is.
Q This is the time of e-mail you would receive weekly from those outside entities?
A Yes.
Q Is it typical to sweep funding from operating entities
within the Trump Organization?
A Yes, it is.
Q Why does that occur?
A Because we don't like to keep too much excess funds at entity level. Instead, we sweep it up to the owning entity for investment.

Q And can you just describe in a little bit more detail what you mean by "sweep up to the owning entity"?
A Well, if the golf course here had excess operational funds, he would issue a distribution to the owning entity.

Q And ultimately, I think you described the process, but for some entities or -- excuse me. Before Mr. Trump became president, the distribution would ultimately go up to him; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q And after he became president, the distributions would go up to the trust?

A Correct.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & DER - DIRECT (MR. HAREN) Page 1503 \\
\hline & Q I'm looking on page one on to page two of Plaintiff's \\
\hline & Exhibit 1078. I think you mentioned this already. It \\
\hline & states -- excuse me. It is the top of page two. It states, "I \\
\hline & will be sending 405,388.38 which in turn you need to send right \\
\hline & back as a 2015 management fee". Do you see that? \\
\hline 6 & A Ido. \\
\hline & Q And was there a reason that before the end of the year \\
\hline & in 2016 there needed to be a monetary transfer of an amount for \\
\hline & a management fee from the TNGC Westchester? \\
\hline & A Sorry. Can you just repeat that question? I didn't \\
\hline & hear the first part. \\
\hline 12 & MR. HAREN: Sure. Can I have a readback, please, \\
\hline 13 & Your Honor. \\
\hline 14 & THE COURT: Read back, please. \\
\hline 15 & (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was \\
\hline & read back.) \\
\hline 17 & A They didn't have enough operating cash left over at the \\
\hline & end of the year. \\
\hline 19 & Q So you needed to send them \$405,000 and change and have \\
\hline & them send it right back as a management fee? \\
\hline 21 & A Correct. \\
\hline 22 & Q Did you have an understanding how 405,388 was \\
\hline & calculated? \\
\hline 24 & A Mazars calculated the management fee based on the gross \\
\hline & profits at four and-a-half percent. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
25 profits at four and-a-half percent.

\section*{D. KIDDER - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}

Q Was it four and-a-half percent of gross revenue or four and-a-half percent of gross profit?

A Revenue.
Q Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1133. Ms. Kidder, in reviewing this document, if you could turn to page three and review up from there. That might be easier.

A It looks to be a couple of documents in one, but yes, I recognize it.

MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: I want to make sure this is one chain of e-mails. The witness said it looks like it is several together.

MR. HAREN: It was produced to us like this.
MR. ROBERT: Sorry? In hard form or electronic form?

MR. HAREN: In the form in which it exists here.
MR. ROBERT: So that means it is one e-mail exchange.

MR. HAREN: It means it is a series of e-mails and one chain that has the items on the last page. They kind of stack up.

MR. ROBERT: Okay.
THE COURT: So we treat it as one document. Is that agreed?

MR. ROBERT: I just wanted to make sure that it is

Page 1505
one exchange in the e-mail because of the witness' answer.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1133.)
Q Ms. Kidder, directing you to page three of the document, in the middle of the page, there is an e-mail from Jennifer Minton to you with the subject "Charlotte funding request 12.23.16." Do you see that right there in the middle of the page?

A Yes, I do.
Q Who is Jennifer Minton?
A She is the controller for the golf course in Charlotte.
Q Do you understand the subject "Charlotte funding
request" to refer to the sort of weekly cash reports that you mentioned earlier?

A Yes, I do.
Q On page three, you asked her "Out of the 531 K , can any of that be considered as a distribution to DJT"?

A Yes, I see that.
Q What did you understand that to refer to at the time?
A In this e-mail, there would have been an attachment which would have been her cash position. I asked if any of her cash balance could be considered a distribution.

Q And so that refers to the process you described earlier of seeing if there is excess cash left in an operating entity?

\section*{IIDDER - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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A Yes.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q Ms. Minton, the subsequent e-mail at the top of page three and starting from page two, she writes, "We have never done this transfer for the management fee before, so I guess it wouldn't matter what we consider it." Do you see that?

A Which -- I'm sorry. Which one?
Q It is at the top of page two of the document. It
begins with, "I had something come up unexpected yesterday and
I'm out of the office today. I wanted to reply, sorry, but I am confused." Then it says, "We have never done this transfer for the management fee before, so I guess it wouldn't matter what we consider it."

My question is, did you understand Ms. Minton to have been asking you how to classify a management fee?
A Yes.
Q And your response on page two states, "It is a
management fee. Allen would like it below the line." Do you see that?

A Yes, I did.
Q Is that Allen Weisselberg?
A Yes.
Q Allen Weisselberg gave direction as to how Trump Organization golf clubs classified their management fees?

A Correct.
\(1 \quad Q\) And what did you understand below the line to mean?
2 A After all of the operating expenses and the -- in
the -- the first NOI, just as I showed you in -- on 40 Wall
Street, they would have a report and it would have different NOIs before management fees, before Capex such as that. So that's what he means by below the line.
Q There would be a net operating income generated before the management fee was subtracted?
A The NOI would be before the management fee, it would be higher and then after the management fee, it would be less.

Q In the e-mail, again, in the middle of page two, you stated to Ms. Minton, "He would like it recorded below the line. He also suggests that Ed already knows how to show it on the reports that are provided to AW/Ron/Patrick, so he can advise." What did you understand that sentence to refer to?

A Ed Raymundo is the controller at the Florida properties in Mar-A-Lago and he has been reporting this for years before Jennifer Minton was hired and she had never seen an entry like this on her books, so he was just going to show her how to do it on the golf reports.
Q And what did you mean reports that are provided to AW/Ron/Patrick?
A Those are the monthly reports from the golf courses, profit and loss, income and expense, balance sheets.
25 Q So those monthly reports that you're describing, can

\section*{D. KIDDER - DIRECT (MR. HAREN)}
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you be more specific about what they contain?
A From what \(I\) have seen, it is a profit and loss statement, an income and expense statement, a balance sheet. There might or might not be management fees attached. They usually went direct to Allen, Ron and Patrick.
Q And these monthly reports contain income and expense information for each of the golf clubs in the Trump Organization?
A Just the one they were responsible for. Each controller would submit their own reports.

Q So each of the controllers would submit a report with the income and expenses for their property?

A Correct.
Q And did you have an understanding that Patrick Birney -- you are referring to Patrick Birney, right?

A Correct.
Q Did you have an understanding that Mr. Birney would then consolidate that information into a single report?
A I don't know what he did with all of the reports.
Q Looking at page one of Exhibit 1133 and at the bottom
of the page, you wrote "I sent you a separate wire yesterday so you can pay the management fee. (It also happens to be 531,903.42). Do you see that?
A Yes.
25 Q Is this another instance in which you sent funding to a
club for it to immediately send it back as a management fee? A Yes, it is.

MR. HAREN: I think that's a good place to stop for the day, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. As usual, ten o'clock tomorrow. Have a good evening.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, can you give an admonition to the witness before she departs not to discuss her testimony with anyone.

THE COURT: I hereby admonish you not to discuss your testimony with anyone.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, the trial was adjourned to October 17, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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\] & 1439:2;1449:11; & 1383:19;1385:3; & 1365:5;1417:19; & 1470:5 \\
\hline 1410:9;1411:2 & 1458:8;1462:21,24; & 1387:19;1389:6; & 1425:12;1428:12; & far (9) \\
\hline established (7) & 1463:19 & 1394:10;1405:1; & 1455:9;1472:17; & 1393:22;1395:5,9, \\
\hline 1394:5;1404:1; & exam (3) & 1407:6,22,25;1408:13; & 1486:3 & 22;1397:14;1401:14, \\
\hline 1442:2;1459:19; & 1398:23;1414:20,20 & 1427:10,12,18,25; & explained (4) & 15;1470:3,10 \\
\hline 1485:16;1487:4,7 & examination (12) & 1429:24;1432:21; & 1411:8;1429:20; & father (1) \\
\hline Estate (6) & 1353:20,25;1392:25; & 1441:19;1442:11; & 1453:14;1462:10 & 1440:12 \\
\hline 1377:18;1417:24; & 1412:25;1413:23; & 1448:9,18;1450:16; & explaining (1) & February (5) \\
\hline 1418:7;1433:13,17; & 1441:14,16;1475:11, & 1456:24;1457:10,22; & 1429:18 & 1381:24;1383:6; \\
\hline 1498: & 16,24;1491:11,12 & 14 & express (2) & 1385:2,6;1416:15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { stimate (4) } \\
& 1475: 17 ; 1480
\end{aligned}
\] & examinations &  & \[
\begin{array}{r}
1379: 15 ; 14 \\
\text { expressed (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fee (19) } \\
& 1467: 16
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1488:20;1489:8 & examine (1) & 1501:2,18;1503:2; & 1450 & 1479:14;1485:22 \\
\hline estimated (12) & 1439:5 & 1504:4;1505:4; & extension (1) & 1501:13,1503:5,9,20, \\
\hline 1434:10;1435:9,10, & examined (1) & 1508:20 & 1460:9 & 24;1506:5,12,15,18; \\
\hline 11,20;1436:1;1441:23; & 1413:13 & exhibits (1) & extensive (1) & 1507:8,9,10;1508:22; \\
\hline 1480:7,14;1487:15,24; & example (12) & 1432:19 & 1395:5 & 1509:1 \\
\hline 1488:6 & 1357:18;1362:1,5; & exist (3) & extensively (1) & feeds (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1385:1,8;1409:13; & goose (2) & guys (2) & 1447:14;1448:10; & 1396:7;1414:23 \\
\hline 1414:17;1415:4; & 1440:4;1441:5 & 1404:5,6 & 1454:9;1472:6; & hit (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1421:23;1422:20; } \\
& \text { 1425:6;1443:22; }
\end{aligned}
\] & government (3)
1401:18;1439:19,23 & H & \begin{tabular}{l}
1475:25;1476:1 \\
Hawthorne's (1)
\end{tabular} & 1501:13
HMA (5) \\
\hline 1463:16;1467:13; & graduated (1) & H & 1438:12 & \[
1459: 5 ; 1460: 11
\] \\
\hline 1469:6;1499:12 & 14 & H308 (1) & head (3) & 1472:23;1477:18; \\
\hline General's (1) & graduating (3) & 1361:9 & 1418:24;1419:21; & 1482:13 \\
\hline 1397:22 & 1414:14,24;1415:1 & HABBA (2) & 1430:11 & HMAs (2) \\
\hline generate (2) & grant (1) & 1352:9,11 & heading (2) & 1466:18;1477:4 \\
\hline 1431:21;1486:4 & 1379:15 & half (5) & 1378:13;1431 & hoc (1) \\
\hline generated (2) & Granted (20) & 1448:2,3,5;1475:5, & hear (5) & 1498:13 \\
\hline 1433:17;1507:7 & 1366:15;1371:12; & 10 & 1353:16;1398:20; & Hoist (1) \\
\hline generic (2) & 1373:16;1376:21; & hand (2) & 1403:7;1423:19; & 1475:16 \\
\hline 1370:14;1386:24 & 1378:8,22;1379:6,7,25; & 1413:8;1462:14 & 1503:11 & hold (2) \\
\hline gentleman (1) & 1407:20;1408:11; & handful (1) & heard (3) & 1429:13;1509:5 \\
\hline 1416:25 & 1427:23;1448:16; & 1487:3 & 1355:20;1402:25; & holding (1) \\
\hline gets (2) & 1457:8,20;1472:12; & happen (1) & 1403:3 & 1432:12 \\
\hline 1480:14;1485:24 & 1476:7,1495:23; & 1393:1 & hearing (1) & HOLDINGS (10) \\
\hline given (2) & 1501:16;1505:2 & happened (4) & 1451:7 & 1351:9,9;1417:25; \\
\hline 1423:10;1455:21 & Great (4) & 1371:5;1395:2,25; & hearsay (3) & 1435:16;1443:6; \\
\hline gives (1) & 1356:5;1398:15; & 1409:25 & 1411:7;1412:13,17 & 1445:7;1493:20; \\
\hline 1451:7 & 1431:19;1473:2 & happens (1) & heart (1) & 1496:11,13,14 \\
\hline Glick (3) & GREENFIELD (1) & 1508:22 & 1412:13 & holiday (1) \\
\hline 1358:24;1359:7,16 & 1439:6 & happy (2) & held (1) & 1379:12 \\
\hline GM (1) & gross (23) & \[
1399: 4 ; 1412: 3
\] & 1416:20 & home (2) \\
\hline 1376:15 & 1358:15;1360:6,16, & hard (3) & help (4) & 1413:17;1491:5 \\
\hline goes (1) & 24;1361:2;1364:23; & 1464:22;1482:16; & 1420:15;1427:7; & honest (1) \\
\hline 1430:16 & 1365:17,20;1366:2; & 1504:14 & 1430:22;1468:1 & 1471:16 \\
\hline golf (77) & 1368:1;1369:5,8,17,19; & hardly (1) & helped (1) & Honor (34) \\
\hline 1354:4,14,18,21; & 1371:25;1372:5,13,22; & 1401:20 & \[
1450: 3
\] & 1356:3;1378:7; \\
\hline \[
1355: 4,10,24 ; 1356: 8
\] & 1373:5;1469:16; & HAREN (73) & helpful (2) & 1395:8;1396:24; \\
\hline 14,20;1357:1,7,13,15; & 1503:24;1504:1,2 & 1351:23;1354:1; & 1420:10;1450:9 & 1398:21;1400:20; \\
\hline 1358:4,8,10,11,12,13, & ground (1) & 1356:2,11,22;1357:4, & hence (2) & 1404:8;1410:5; \\
\hline 14,19,23;1359:8; & 1499:2 & 17;1359:25;1362:3,13, & 1444:5,25 & 1412:23;1413:4; \\
\hline 1360:5,5,15,22; & grounds (1) & 16;1363:8,12;1366:4,7, & hereby (6) & 1423:8;1427:21; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1363:15,21;1364:7,8; } \\
& \text { 1369:6,13;1370:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(1392: 15\)
group (14) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13;1367:20;1369:1,23; } \\
& \text { 1371:10;1372:16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1378:22;1379:6,7, } \\
& \text { 11;1437:13;1509:10 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1437:8;1438:11; } \\
& \text { 1439:22;1440:15; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1371:8;1376:8,15,18; & 1406:12;1419:19,20; & 1373:8,15;1375:16; & hey (2) & 1448:6,14;1455:1,6; \\
\hline 1377:7;1379:11; & 1420:4,6;1432:5,15; & 1376:20;1377:4; & 1404:5;1444:4 & 1457:7,18;1462:7; \\
\hline 1385:18;1399:23; & 1433:14,15;1434:16; & 1378:6,12;1379:21; & Hi (3) & 1464:8,12;1472:11; \\
\hline 1400:3,9,10,12,15; & 1441:24,24;1478:5; & 1380:15;1381:22; & 1374:2,12;1501:21 & 1474:24;1476:5; \\
\hline 1405:2,21;1406:6,20; & 1482:24 & 1383:19;1387:18; & hierarchy (1) & 1489:21;1498:11; \\
\hline 1417:24;1418:5,6,6,9, & guarantor (1) & 1389:10;1392:20,25; & 1496:18 & 1503:13;1509:4,7,12 \\
\hline 11,14,16;1428:5; & 1374:5 & 1393:12,23;1394:9,22; & high (7) & HONORABLE (3) \\
\hline 1432:22,24,1433:5,9; & guarantor's (1) & 1395:11;1398:23; & 1414:10,11;1473:12; & 1351:16;1353:2; \\
\hline 1493:9,11,25;1495:2,3; & 1374:21 & 1402:5;1403:5,9; & 1479:23;1491:16; & 1438:2 \\
\hline 1496:5;1502:16; & guaranty (1) & 1405:1,16;1407:5,18, & 1499:8,14 & Honor's (1) \\
\hline 1505:12;1506:24; & 1374:6 & 24;1408:9,15;1410:5, & higher (4) & 1475:8 \\
\hline 1507:20,23;1508:7 & guess (10) & 14;1411:8,22;1412:10, & 1387:13,14;1496:17; & hope (1) \\
\hline Good (11) & 1398:22;1421:10; & 23;1491:13;1497:6,13, & 1507:10 & 1440:18 \\
\hline 1354:2;1398:16; & 1460:7,13;1479:7,16, & 24;1498:4,14;1499:22; & highest (2) & horizon (1) \\
\hline 1413:25,25;1437:8,10, & 25;1486:23;1506:5,12 & 1501:2,15;1503:12; & 1364:15;1417:5 & 1466:18 \\
\hline 14;1491:14,14;1509:3, & guests (2) & 1504:9,13,16,19; & highlighted (1) & hospital (1) \\
\hline 6 & 1418:13;1486:8 & 1509:3 & 1471:13 & 1441:1 \\
\hline Google (25) & guidance (3) & Harris (11) & Highway (1) & hospitality (2) \\
\hline 1389:25;1390:3,4,4, & 1360:4;1364:7; & 1427:19;1436:17,18, & 1352:10 & 1415:20;1418:6 \\
\hline 5,13,25;1391:2; & 1405:4 & 21;1448:11;1449:20; & hired (2) & hotel (54) \\
\hline 1392:12;1394:5; & guide (1) & 1450:5;1451:5,11,20; & 1416:15;1507:18 & \[
1417: 5,11,15,22,25
\] \\
\hline 1399:18,22,25; & 1401:2 & 1453:14 & historic (2) & 1418:1,3,9,13,15,18; \\
\hline 1436:17,21,22; & guilty (1) & Hawthorn (13) & 1397:13;1432:15 & 1419:10,14;1421:3; \\
\hline 1448:21;1449:13,21; & 1494:4 & 1413:5,19,25; & Historical (2) & 1427:3;1428:22,24; \\
\hline 1451:10,14,18;1453:9, & guy (1) & 1421:20;1427:11; & 1397:3;1435:22 & 1456:23;1458:23; \\
\hline 16;1454:2 & 1483:3 & 1441:14;1445:17; & history (2) & 1459:5,14,17,20,22; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1460:8,12;1461:5; & 1387:15;1461:19; & 1354:10,24;1356:14, & intents (1) & 1395:24 \\
\hline 1462:13;1467:4,6,21; & 1464:24;1465:15,19; & 17,23;1360:9;1363:9, & 1429:13 & investment (2) \\
\hline 1472:18;1477:8,13,25; & 1475:18;1480:3; & 17;1369:4;1381:2,20; & interact (2) & 1377:18;1502:13 \\
\hline 1478:19,20;1479:3,5, & 1482:2 & 1383:21,22,25;1385:8; & 1418:8;1419:24 & invitation (1) \\
\hline 12,20,23,24;1481:6,17, & included (6) & 1391:3;1396:9; & interacted (1) & 1411:24 \\
\hline 20;1482:7,13,14,24; & 1392:2;1444:17; & 1399:24;1400:2; & 1420:2 & invited (3) \\
\hline 1485:10,19;1486:8; & 1445:25;1447:18,22; & 1408:16,19;1416:3,4,9, & interactions (1) & 1389:3,4;1451:24 \\
\hline 1496:5 & 1477:9 & 12;1419:14;1420:10, & 1421:2 & involve (1) \\
\hline Hotels (34) & includes (6) & 11,16;1421:2,4; & Interest (5) & 1451:5 \\
\hline 1414:7,1416:16,18; & 1462:4;1463:10; & 1425:17,20;1426:3,7; & 1365:6;1445:18; & involved (5) \\
\hline 1417:1;1418:1,13; & 1464:4,7;1486:13,16 & 1427:6,7;1428:19; & 1446:17;1450:3; & 1397:15;1421:15; \\
\hline 1419:2;1423:22; & including (8) & 1429:3,7,16,16;1430:4, & 1477:19 & 1483:6,7;1494:3 \\
\hline 1434:1,4;1457:13 & 1364:2;1379:14; & 10,25;1431:18; & interested (1) & involvement (8) \\
\hline 1458:22,23;1459:4; & 1394:6;1397:21; & 1432:17,18;1433:1; & 1449:17 & 1383:16;1423:15; \\
\hline 1460:4;1461:22,22; & 1411:23;1439:23 & 1434:11,19,25; & Interests (3) & 1426:22;1454:20; \\
\hline 1462:5;1477:4,5; & 1441:25;1482:6 & 1436:14;1442:21,24; & 1445:19;1446:1,2 & 1455:17;1456:1; \\
\hline 1478:21,22;1479:2,17, & income (17) & 1443:3,4,16,18,22; & interlude (1) & 1498:16;1499:13 \\
\hline 22;1481:3,22,25; & 1358:19;1365:7, & 1449:13,18;1454:6; & 1405:17 & issue (8) \\
\hline 1482:15;1483:1; & 17,20;1366:12;1371:7; & 1478:25;1482:12; & internal (1) & 1392:21;1395:14; \\
\hline 1493:9,12;1495:1,2 & 1499:3,3,9,11;1500:16; & 1484:25;1508:7,18 & 1429:12 & 1398:22;1403:11; \\
\hline hotel's (7) & 1507:7,24;1508:3,6,12 & infrequent (1) & internally (1) & 1437:3;1439:25; \\
\hline 1417:13,17,19; & incorrect (1) & 1412:16 & 1398:11 & 1443:8;1502:17 \\
\hline 1418:25;1419:6,20; & 1455:20 & inherently (1) & International (11) & issued (2) \\
\hline 1459:21 & increased (3) & 1404:8 & 1369:6,13;1376:2,8 & 1394:1;1436:18 \\
\hline hour (2) & 1355:5,11,25 & inherited (1) & 18;1377:6;1415:19; & issues (5) \\
\hline 1475:5,10 & increases (1) & 1471:16 & 1424:4;1428:5; & 1392:25;1401:9; \\
\hline housekeeping (1) & 1370:8 & in-house (6) & 1432:24;1433:9 & 1428:21;1440:9; \\
\hline 1474:24 & increasing (1) & 1494:7,9,14,23 & interrogatee (1) & 1489:13 \\
\hline houses (4) & 1411:20 & 1495:16;1496:17 & 1355:16 & item (13) \\
\hline 1378:18;1379:5,7,8 & Indeed (1) & initial (6) & interrogator (2) & 1371:13;1373:17; \\
\hline Hudson (1) & 1401:21 & 1459:6;1460:7,8,14, & 1355:15,16 & 1374:22;1376:1,22; \\
\hline \[
1356: 8
\] & Index (1) & 18;1461:5 & interrupting (1) & 1378:9,16;1380:1; \\
\hline & \(1351: 5.5\)
indicate (6) & injury (1) & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
1398: 22 \\
\text { into (37) }
\end{array}
\] & 1386:9;1407:21; \\
\hline I & indicate (6)
1382:6;13 & & into (3' & \[
1408: 12 ; 1448: 1
\]
1476:8 \\
\hline idea (4) & 1412:2;1441:22; & 1405:9;1482:5 & 1366:13;1371:8,10; & items (2) \\
\hline 1436:19;1478:19; & 1472:16 & 1483:3 & 1373:15;1376:20; & 1408:25;1504:20 \\
\hline \[
1479: 21,24
\] & indicated (5) & inputs (1) & 1378:6;1379:23; & IVANKA (7) \\
\hline identification (1) & 1429:2;1453:12; & 1469:22 & 1381:9;1393:1; & 1351:7.5;1383:4,8; \\
\hline 1399:2 & 1456:8;1460:17; & inquiring (1) & 1397:25;1404:4; & \[
1388: 3,17,23 ; 1389: 8
\] \\
\hline identified (1) & 1468:21 & \[
1395: 4
\] & 1405:9;1407:19; & \\
\hline 1381:13
identify (1) & indicates (4) 1385:12;1387:9 & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { inquiry (1) } \\
\text { 1419:18 }
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1408:10;1413:21; \\
1418:18;1419:7;
\end{tabular} & J \\
\hline 1482:6 & 1450:17;1457:24 & inserted (1) & 1421:8;1427:22; & J21 (1) \\
\hline immediately (1) & indication (1) & 1381:9 & 1428:22;1448:15; & 1385:12 \\
\hline 1509:1 & 1435:23 & instance (1) & 1457:7;1469:23; & J31 (1) \\
\hline impact (2) & individual (3) & 1508:25 & 1470:18;1471:19; & 1385:24 \\
\hline 1400:2;1447:20 & 1427:19;1428:20; & instances (2) & 1472:10;1478:25; & J91 (2) \\
\hline impairment (1) & 1450:12 & \[
1358: 1 ; 1361: 2
\] & 1484:13;1486:21; & 1386:8;1387:8 \\
\hline 1450:12 & individuals (2) & instead (5) & 1497:13,24;1501:15; & Jack (4) \\
\hline improvement (3) 1500:11 12 13 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1418:16;1420:1 } \\
& \text { industry (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1460:14,18;1461:9; } \\
& \text { 1501:14;1502:12 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1504:9;1508:18 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1438: 23 ; 1439: 9,11, \\
& 12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
1500: 11,12,13 \\
\text { improvements (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { industry (4) } \\
1360: 5 ; 1364
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1501:14;1502:12 \\
instruct (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline \text { introduce (1) } \\
1403: 22
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
12 \\
\text { JAMES (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1500:9,9 & 1415:2,18 & 1381:20 & introduction (1) & 1351:3,19.5 \\
\hline inaccurate (3) & ine (1) & instructed (5) & 1401:1 & JANELLE (1) \\
\hline 1455:12;1456:3; & 1388:8 & 1385:5;1495:11,13, & investigation (13) & 1352:24.5 \\
\hline 1461:3 & infirmed (1) & 13;1501:12 & 1395:19,21;1397:5, & January (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { nadmissible (1) } \\
& 1402: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & 1441:4 & intent (7)
1477:5,12;1481:21; & \begin{tabular}{l}
6,10,14,22;1398:6,12; \\
1400:25;1401:18;
\end{tabular} & 1417:6 \\
\hline 1402:2
INC (1) & inflation (11) & 1477:5,12;1481:21;
1482:8;1483:6,7; & 1400:25;1401:18;
1402:1;1404:12 & \[
\begin{array}{|r}
\text { Jeff (17) } \\
1373: 1
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1351:8.5 & 1470:1,8,14,18,19,19, & 1486:15 & investigative (1) & \[
13,15 ; 1375: 15 ; 1380: 6 ;
\] \\
\hline include (10) & 20;1480:3 & intention (1) & . 1394:24 & \[
1381: 25 ; 1382: 2
\] \\
\hline 1372:6;1381:20; & information (68) & 1430:17 & investigatory (1) & 1383:14,21;1390:22; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1407:10;1419:25; } \\
& \text { 1465:22;1501:6,12 } \\
& \text { JEFFREY (3) } \\
& \text { 1351:7.5;1492:7,13 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow{3}{*}{K} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1435:5;1454:17 \\
knowingly (1)
1444:15
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { LAZARO (1) } \\
1352: 4.5
\end{array}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
1415: 22 \\
\text { Lewis (1) }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline & ke & & 1421:14 & liabilities (1) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & & 1402:20;1453:7; & learn (3) & 1433:15 \\
\hline & keep ( \({ }^{\text {d }}\) & 1456:18;1466:21; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1355:18;1428:8; } \\
& 1435: 10
\end{aligned}
\]} & Liberty (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1505:7,11;1507:18 } \\
& \text { Jersey (1) } \\
& 1352: 10.5
\end{aligned}
\] & 1355:17;139 & 488:15,22,25; & & \\
\hline \[
1352: 10.5
\] & 1400:6;1422:4; & 1489:15 & learned (3) & license (7) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { JESUS (1) } \\
1352: 5
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1491:10;1502:11} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { known (6) } \\
& \text { 1422:16;1424:2; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1399: 24 ; 1434: 2 ; \\
& 1454: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1414:16,18,21,21; } \\
& 1422: 2,6 ; 1433: 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
1422:16;1424:2; \\
1427:19:1428:6;
\end{tabular} & lease (3) & 1422:2,6;1433:13 \\
\hline 1428:16,17;1435:19; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kenneth (6) } \\
& \text { 1427:13;1428:14; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1445:18;1447:14 \\
knows (5)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1499:4,10;1500:9 } \\
& \text { leases (1) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \begin{tabular}{l}
licenses (1) \\
1414:15
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1442:22;1444:11,15; & 1432:7;1448:25; & & & Lieberman (1) \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1429: 25 \\
& \text { kept (3) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 1394:4;1396:17; & 1364:2 & ifestyle (2) \\
\hline 1451:11,18;145 & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1462:24;1463:19; } \\
& \text { 1507:13 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { leasing (2) } \\
& 1494: 20 ; 1500: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & 1479:20,23 \\
\hline 1454:5,13,21;1456:2, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kept (3) } \\
& \text { 1422:11;1429:15; } \\
& 1495: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { least (8) } \\
& \text { 1365:9;1406:17,25; } \\
& 1407: 1 ; 1470: 3,10 ; \\
& 1482: 18 ; 1483: 5
\end{aligned}
\]} & light (1) \\
\hline join (1) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Kerzner (1)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & & ikely (4) \\
\hline 1491 & & & & 353:11;1392:9,11; \\
\hline J & KEVIN & 1356:14 & led (3) & 1401:6 \\
\hline 1415 & & Ladder (2) & 1371:2;1419:1 & limitations \\
\hline & & & 427 & 1497:15 \\
\hline & 1439:18 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { LaFiosca (1) } \\
1408.71
\end{gathered}
\] & & 1401:13.1426.23. \\
\hline 14 & keys (11) & \[
\begin{gathered}
1498: 21 \\
\text { language (4) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1425: 14,15,24 \\
& \text { left (9) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1401:13;1426:23; } \\
& \text { 1428:4,5;1432:23,23, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline joint (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1480:24;1481:3,5, } \\
& \text { 14,17;1483:11; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
1401: 13,24 ; 1450: 10
\] & 1375:21;1391:22; & 24;1433:6,9;1436:14 \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1484:25;1485:8,23 } \\
& 1486: 3,13
\end{aligned}
\] & Lapto & \[
1492: 14,17 ; 1500: 5
\] & (18) \\
\hline 1501:5 & Kidder (12) & 1353:3;1438 & 1503:17;1505 & 1367:15;1374:2 \\
\hline journal (1) & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1380: 6 ; 1420: 1 ; \\
& \text { 1438:14;1475:2; } \\
& \text { 1491:7,14;1497:8,20; } \\
& \text { 1498:15;1501:3; } \\
& 1504: 4 ; 1505: 5
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Larry (3)
1358:24;1359:7,16} & legal (9) & 1388:1;1395:11 \\
\hline 1501:14 & & & 1365:25;1393:16,21; & 1401:2;1406:4; \\
\hline JR (14) & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1358:24;1359:7,16 } \\
& \text { Larson (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1395: 13 ; 1396: 21 ; \\
& 1424: 4 ; 1428: 4 ; 1455: 2 ; \\
& 1459: 19
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1420:12;1432:1; \\
1446:3,25;1500:12; \\
1506:18;1507:1,6,12
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 1351:7 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Larson (1) } \\
& \text { 1438:19 } \\
& \text { last (12) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \\
\hline 1375 & & & & \\
\hline 1390 & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kids (3) } \\
& \text { 1382:1,23;1383:2 } \\
& \text { kind (7) } \\
& 1353: 5 ; 1401: 25 ; \\
& 1438: 6 ; 1440: 2 ; 1463: 1 ; \\
& 1477: 19 ; 1504: 20
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
1364: 9 ; 1367: 11
\] & leisure/resort (4) & lines (1) \\
\hline 13 & & 1370:6,8;1378:25; & 1377:11;1378:1,19; & 1366:19 \\
\hline 1406:19;1421:15 & & 1400:9;1432:3; & 1379:11 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Link (1) } \\
1433: 9
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline 1440: & & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1472:17;1481:24; } \\
& \text { 1487:19;1488:2; } \\
& 1504: 20
\end{aligned}
\]} & lender & \\
\hline Judge (2) & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Links (4) } \\
\text { 1377:7; }
\end{array}
\]} \\
\hline 1398:9 & & & & \\
\hline -13 & & lasted ( & 1401:6,1467:8 & 1432:24;147 \\
\hline 1394:13 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1352:4;1353:15; } \\
& \text { 1395:6;1396:23,2 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{later (5)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1401:6;1467:8; } \\
& \text { 1507:10 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & liquid (1) \\
\hline July (5) & & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1445:7 \\
liquidity (5)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline 1360:1 & 1399:9;1400:20; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1381:9;1410:19; } \\
& 1438: 25 ; 1440: 7,18
\end{aligned}
\]} & LETITIA & \\
\hline 1369: & 1401:12;1402:7 & & 1351:3, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1443: 6 ; 1444: 4 ; \\
& 1445: 11 ; 1446: 1,4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline une (31) & 1403:3,19,1405:6; & latitud & & \\
\hline 1354 & 1412:9,20;1413:1; & launched (1) & 1412:4;1483:7; & (2) \\
\hline 22;1355:4, & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1423:8,16;1439:13,18;} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
1477:8 \\
launching (1)
\end{tabular}} & 1486:14 & listed (7)
1370:12,22:1381:14 \\
\hline 25;1356:8,9,19;1357:1, & & & lett & \\
\hline & 1455:1,6;1456:15; & \multirow[t]{5}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1479: 21 \\
& \text { LAW (7) } \\
& 1352: 12 ; 1398: 14 ; \\
& 1411: 22 ; 1412: 1,10,10 \\
& 21
\end{aligned}
\]} & 1429:12;1477:5,11; & 1387:12,16;1446:10; \\
\hline 13 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1462:7,19;1463:13,15, } \\
& \text { 18,22;1464:4,18; }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1481:21;1482
1483:6} & 1463:10 \\
\hline 1369 & & & & listen (1) \\
\hline 13 & 1 & & & 1464:15 \\
\hline 14 & & & & 157:13 \\
\hline 1465:25 & 22;1487 & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1396:8,19;1397:5; } \\
& 1398: 18
\end{aligned}
\]} & el (18) & 1457:13 \\
\hline pi & Kise's (1) & & & lists (3) \\
\hline 13 & & & & 1370.5 \\
\hline 1351:16. & knew (5) & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lawyers (1) } \\
& \text { 1455:5 } \\
& \text { layperson (1) } \\
& \text { 1365:4 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \\
\hline & & & & , \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1426:22 & 1501:19;1503:1; & 1433:13;1456:24; & materiality (1) & 1459:4,8;1461:5; \\
\hline little (10) & 1508:20 & 1457:1;1459:3,5,20; & 1456:14 & 1484:3;1504:17,19; \\
\hline 1356:4;1372:16; & Looks (5) & 1460:6,8,12;1461:19, & materials (1) & 1507:6 \\
\hline 1439:4;1443:14; & 1468:22;1480:11; & 23,24;1464:25 & 1399 & meant (1) \\
\hline 1460:25;1470:7; & 1500:10;1504:7,11 & 1465:16,24;1467:16, & matter (11) & 1436:2 \\
\hline 1472:15;1499:20,22; & \[
\operatorname{Los}(1)
\] & 17,17;1468:6,12; & 1397:6,7;1398:11; & measure (6) \\
\hline 1502:14 & 1356:20 & 1469:7;1472:18,19,21; & 1410:10;1411:3,19 & 1401:25;1402:3,24; \\
\hline LLC (13) & loss (4) & 1477:14,15,22;1479:4; & 1435:7;1454:13; & 1403:8,10,17 \\
\hline 1351:8.5,9,9.5,10,10, & 1500:3,3;1507:24 & 1480:8,12;1481:20; & 1484:2;1506:6,12 & measures (3) \\
\hline 10.5,10.5;1374:17; & 1508:2 & 1482:7,10,19;1483:7; & matters (4) & 1400:24;1401:4,22 \\
\hline 1424:2;1494:19; & \(\boldsymbol{l o t}(11)\) & 1492:24;1493:2; & 1378:24,25;1402:19, & media (6) \\
\hline 1496:11,13,15 & 1367:8;1376:16 & 1501:13;1503:5,9,20, & 19 & 1426:21;1428:1 \\
\hline LLP (1) & 1390:10;1399:10; & 24;1506:5,12,15,18,24; & Matthew (2) & 1435:4;1440:25; \\
\hline 1352:9 & 1402:14;1409:2,3; & 1507:5,8,9,10;1508:4, & 1498:19;1499:6 & 1441:2,4 \\
\hline loan (1) & 1443:6;1444:4;1477:3; & 22;1509:1 & may (19) & medical (2) \\
\hline 1433:15 & 1479:4 & manager (6) & 1353:10;1370 & 1387:5;1441:7 \\
\hline loans (3) & loud (1) & 1376:8,17;1415:20; & 1385:9;1389:3,3 & Meet (18) \\
\hline 1374:8;1425:3; & 1491:9 & 1459:4,8;1498:20 & 1391:10;1394:2; & 1390:1,3,4,13; \\
\hline 1433:16 & louder (2) & manager's (1) & 1411:15;1417:9,23 & 1414:19;1436:17,21, \\
\hline located (2) & 1355:16;1399:16 & 1460:10 & 1418:13;1421:10; & 23;1448:21;1449:13, \\
\hline 1376:10;1420:3 & loudly (1) & manages (3) & 1425:12;1430:15; & \[
21 ; 1450: 11 ; 1451: 10,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { logged (1) } \\
1399: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1413:22 \\
LOUIS (1)
\end{tabular} & 1459:15;1461:6,1
MANAGING (4) & 1431:20;1439:3; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 18;1453:9,16;1454:2; } \\
& 1501: 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline LOI (1) & 1351:23.5 & MANAG1:9;1459:17,24; & maybe (6) & meeting (28) \\
\hline 1478:1 & low (1) & 1468:5 & 1359:7;139 & 1389:7,22,25; \\
\hline LOIs (5) & 1499:8 & Manor (2) & 1406:22;1442:11; & 1390:5,8,9,11,13,20,23, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1477: 5,11,21 \\
& 1482: 10,19
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
lowest (3) \\
1422.23
\end{tabular} & 1354:18;1385:18 & 1455:15;1458:8 & \[
24,25 ; 1391: 2 ; 1392: 12,
\] \\
\hline LONDON (1) & lunch (2) & many (1372:11;1390:8,20 & Vazars (0)
1424:7,9;1425:2 & 16,18,1394:5,1,15,18, \\
\hline 1352:24.5 & 1437:10, & 1392:22,22;1410:14; & 1426:4,10;1503:24 & 22,25;1402:18;1405:7; \\
\hline long (5) & luncheon (1) & 1458:6 & McArdle (1) & 1436:17 \\
\hline 1401:19;1405 & 437 & Mar-A-Lago (2) & 1438.20 & meetings (5) \\
\hline 1454:1;1460:7 & lunchtime & 1385:18;1507:17 & MCCONNEY (22) & 1389:3,9;1390:15, \\
\hline 1474:25 & 1353:10;1403 & March (3) & 1351:7.5;1373:14; & 16;1395:21 \\
\hline longer (7) & luxury (3) & 1395:21;1421:10; & 1374:2,12,15;1375:15; & MEMBER (1) \\
\hline 1377:20;13 & 1415:21;1478:22; & 1491:23 & 1380:6;1381:25; & 1351:9 \\
\hline 1398:1,2;1466:21; & 1479:22 & Marcus (16) & 1383:14;1390:22; & members (4) \\
\hline 1472:21;1478:15 & Lynch (1) & 1358:9,25;1359:5 & 1407:10;1419:25; & 1353:4;1364:3,4; \\
\hline long-term (3) & 1501:6 & 12,14,15,18,21;1360:4, & 1420:19;1446:24; & 1438:4 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1433:15,16;1460:8 } \\
& \text { look (20) }
\end{aligned}
\] & M & 9;1364:7,16;1371:4; & \begin{tabular}{l}
1488:10,18;1489:4,12, \\
18;1492:7,13;1501:6
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { memberships (1) } \\
& 1405: 3
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1364:18;1367:25; & & 1499: & McConney's (5) & memo (35) \\
\hline 1377:16;1382: & MADAIO & Mark (5) & 1383:16;1446:16; & 1382:8,12,13; \\
\hline 1405:2;1406:23 & 1352:9 & 1413:4,19;1427:9; & 1454:19;1455:16,2 & 1388:7,9,18,25; \\
\hline 1432:20;1450:15 & maintain ( & 1483:16 & mean (30) & 1427:13,14;1428:13; \\
\hline 1467:12,20;1472:2; & maintaining (3) & marked (17) & 1358:17;1364:24; & 1432:18,20;1433:19; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1476:18;1478:2; } \\
& \text { 1479:13,13;1480:16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
maintaining (3) \\
1408:22;1409:6
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1366:16;1371:14; } \\
& \text { 1373:18;1376:23; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1371:22;1372:13; 1380:22.1383:13 & 1434:20;1435:12,16, \\
\hline 1479:13,13;1480:16; 1481:8;1483:11,13; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1408:22;1409:6; } \\
& \text { 1422:6 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1373:18;1376:23; } \\
& \text { 1378:10;1380:2; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1380:22;1383:13; } \\
& \text { 1386:2;1387:12; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
18,25;1436:10; \\
1441:19,20;1442:2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1485:12 & maintains (1) & 1407:22;1408:13; & 1388:8,10;1391:14; & 1443:5,12;1444:10; \\
\hline looked (11) & 1425:13 & 1427:24;1448:18; & 1396:8;1397:9;1423:6, & 1445:14;1447:15,19, \\
\hline 1364:18;1391:20; & makes (3) & 1457:9,21;1472:13; & 7;1432:7;1444:2; & 21;1448:12;1449:1,10, \\
\hline 1396:15;1434:2; & 1358:12,20;1401:15 & 1476:9;1497:17; & 1459:3;1460:15,19 & 16,18 \\
\hline 1449:1;1454:19; & making (2) & 1501:17;1505:3 & 1466:11;1482:2; & memorandum (5) \\
\hline 1455:16;1463:4,7; & 1358:11;1393:1 & market (2) & 1483:18;1493:2,18 & 1387:22;1388:2,11, \\
\hline 1478:6;1484:21 & Malone (6) & 1478:21;1479:23 & 1498:21;1500:21; & 24;1389:6 \\
\hline looking (18) & 1376:2,5,7,12,14,16 & Masson (4) & 1502:15;1507:1,21 & memory (1) \\
\hline 1356:13;1357:19; & manage (1) & 1427:13;1428:13; & meaning (3) & 1467:23 \\
\hline 1372:19;1385:11,1 & 1417:23 & 1448:24;1450:16 & 1401:19,20;1483:20 & mention (1) \\
\hline 24;1386:8;1408:2; & managed (3) & m & means (15) & 1475:17 \\
\hline 1431:17,22;1432:3; & 1418:1;1458:22 & 1414:12,25 & 1363:4;1365:2,6; & mentioned (16) \\
\hline 1463:22;1465:7; & 1459:12 & material (3) & 1370:7;1387:15; & 1391:13;1398:23; \\
\hline 1471:23;1473:3; & management (54) & \[
1456: 9,12,14
\] & 1388:5;1432:6;1455:9; & 1412:8;1416:20; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 22,25;1467:1,10; & 1380:11 & 1362:7;1363:22; & profit (5) & provided (23) \\
\hline 1476:20;1477:1; & prepare & 1379:14;1395:12,14; & 1496:21;1500:2 & 1364:16;1376:25; \\
\hline 1478:9,25;1479:8,8; & 1371:6;1381:1 & 1396:25;1397:12,12; & 1504:2;1507:24 & 1378:3;1379:18; \\
\hline 1486:22 & 1382:13;1387:24 & 1406:2;1408:20; & 1508:2 & 1382:22;1383:7,21,23, \\
\hline portfolios (2) & 1425:2,18;1426:1 & 1409:11;1419:3; & profits (1) & 24;1425:24,25; \\
\hline 1462:4;1466:14 & 1434:6;1493:11 & 1429:1;1454:9;1456:6; & 1503:25 & 1432:18;1435:13; \\
\hline portion (7) & prepared (11) & 1463:8;1481:15; & prohibited ( & 1444:15,18;1458:3; \\
\hline 1367:22;13 & 1376:2;1388:11,13 & 1496:8,23;1500:21 & 1393:6 & 1464:23;1465:14; \\
\hline 1405:19;1453:17,19; & 1426:12;1427:14; & private (5) & project (1) & 1466:5;1473:13; \\
\hline 1464:16;1503:15 & 1434:8,24;1435:5; & 1379:8;1415:7,8 & 1385:2 & 1499:1;1507:14,21 \\
\hline portions (2) & 1447:14;1479:17; & 1418:5;1422:14 & projected (3) & provides (7) \\
\hline 1430:1;1451:22 & 1499:3 & & 1485:11;1497:22; & 1374:6;1401:3; \\
\hline posed (1) & preparing (9) & \[
1392: 19,19 ; 1396
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1500: 3
\] & 1434:9;1435:8,11,23; \\
\hline 1393:17 & 1382:18,21;1383:5
1416.3 \(4 \cdot 1434 \cdot 12\). & 20;1398:7,8,9;1399:1, & projection (9) & 1441:22 \\
\hline position (20) 1416:21,24;1417:2, & \[
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& 1416: 3,4 ; 1434: 12 ; \\
& 1436: 10 ; 1488: 11,14
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
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& 3 ; 1402: 10,14,17 \\
& 1403: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
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& \text { 1458:20;1461:22; } \\
& \text { 1462:11;1467:13 }
\end{aligned}
\] & providing (7)
1416:5:9:1425:20; \\
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1416:21,24;1417:2, \\
12;1418:20;1432:6,8;
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
1436:10;1488:11,14 \\
Present (14)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 1403:24 } \\
\text { privileged (6) }
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\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1462:11;1467:13; } \\
& \text { 1476:15;1482:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
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& 1416: 5,9 ; 1425: 20 ; \\
& 1433: 1 ; 1458: 6 ; 1472: 7 ;
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\] \\
\hline 1435:1;1473:9; & 1359:6;1397:6 & 1393:10,11;1394:8, & 1497:11;1499:1,3 & 1495:7 \\
\hline 1491:24;1493:5,11; & 1398:18;1405:8; & 21;1397:24;1404:8 & projections (10) & provision (1) \\
\hline 1495:8,10,16;1501:7, & 1469:14,18,24;1470:2, & probable (1) & 1457:25;1478:8,8, & 1375:8 \\
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\hline positive (8) & 1488:19;1489:7 & probably (23) & 1485:4,22 & 1392:22;1393:20,23; \\
\hline 1358:19,20;1364:8, & presented (1) & 1365:24;1375:15; & projects (1) & 1394:14;1415:1,7,10, \\
\hline 22,25;1365:3,10; & 1398:24 & 1382:15;1383:13; & 1467:7 & 11,11,15;1418:6; \\
\hline 1501:22 & presided (1) & 1385:9;1390:2;1391:1, & promoted (1) & 1421:21;1422:14 \\
\hline possession (5) & 1419:11 & 18;1392:3;1420:1; & 1417:10 & publicly (1) \\
\hline 1393:13;1442:3,9; & president (8) & 1428:10;1429:21; & promotion & 1432:11 \\
\hline 1443:9;1453:5 & 1418:22;1496:9,23; & 1430:9;1432:10; & 1416:17 & pull (15) \\
\hline possibility (1) & 1497:2;1500:22,24; & 1433:25;1444:18; & pronounced (1) & 1354:6;1357:17; \\
\hline 1483:1 & 1502:20,23 & 1447:20;1449:11; & 1485:5 & 1359:3;1366:4; \\
\hline possible (2) & presiding (2) & 1469:10;1472:20; & proof (1) & 1369:23;1375:16; \\
\hline 1368:4;1388:10 & 1353:2;1438:2 & 1475:5;1477:17; & 1411:11 & 1377:4;1380:15; \\
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\hline 1351:10;1374:17; & 1353:4,8;1437:6; & problem (1) & 1385:20;1386:1,2 & 1407:5;1442:10; \\
\hline 1495:1 & 1438:5 & 1374:13 & 1417:22;1418:12; & 1465:22;1472:3; \\
\hline postdate (1) & Presumably (1) & procedure (2) & 1419:14,1425:4,14; & 1476:3 \\
\hline 1448:12 & 1367:7 & 1395:1;1495:7 & 1445:8;1457:13; & pulled (6) \\
\hline potential (1) & presume (1) & procedures (4) & 1458:21,22;1459:6,11, & 1406:1;1483:18; \\
\hline 1444:21 & 1479:10 & 1423:5;1428:19; & 13;1460:13,17;1461:9; & 1485:1,17,23,24 \\
\hline \[
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1486: 13
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\] & 1429:3;1450:22 & 1463:11;1464:5,7; & \[
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1493: 1,3,7 ; 1507: 16
\] & \[
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\hline 1403:10;1405:22; & Ray (5) & 1358:7;1430:1 & 1432:23 & 1495:8 \\
\hline 1411:5;1412:3,5,15; & 1359:7,15;1365:23; & 1431:12;1453:22 & refer (8) & relate (7) \\
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\hline 1451:20,21,1458:1 & 1464:11;1471:4 & 1439:15;1449:1 & 1408:12;1421:2 & relevant (3) \\
\hline 1467:9;1473:20; & 1503:12 & recent (1) & 1448:17;1476:8 & 1403:16;1444:1; \\
\hline 1497:6 & reader (1) & 1434:8 & referring (11) & 1498:22 \\
\hline putting & 1430:13 & recess & 1385:17;1390:1 & remained (1) \\
\hline 1469:21 & readily (1) & 89:14;1437:1 & 1408:25;1412:10; & 1416:16 \\
\hline PX (2) & 1432:13 & \(74: 21\) & 1430:7;1431:6; & remedial (9) \\
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\hline Q & 1355:19;1395:7 & 1428:12 & 1493:8;1494:1 & 25;1402:3,24;1403:8, \\
\hline & rea & 1369:2 & refe & remember (19) \\
\hline Q151 & 1364:21 & 1370:1;1373:10,1 & 1372:1;1432 & 1358:25;1359:6; \\
\hline 1499:16 & ready & 1382:6;1387:22; & 1500:8;1505:2 & 1365:18;1366:3; \\
\hline Q3 (1) & 1482:15 & 1407:7,9;1408:2, & reflect (6) & 1368:4;1372:25; \\
\hline 1371:18 & real (7) & 1427:14,17;1457:14; & 1376:3;1467:22 & 1375:7;1382:17; \\
\hline qualified (1) & 1417:24;1418:7; & 1472:6;1476:11; & 1468:5,15;1471:7; & 1383:15;1385:4,10; \\
\hline 1489:1 & 1433:13,17;1478:16; & 1497:8,10,21;1501:9, & 1479:15 & 1389:2,9;1391:21; \\
\hline question-and-answer (1) & 1479:6;1498:25 & 11;1504:8 & reflected (8) & 1395:20;1449:11,11; \\
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\hline 1396:18 & realizable & recollection (14) & 1467:13;1468:23; & 1353:18;1441:14 \\
\hline question's (1) & 69:7 & 1359:2,11,18,21; & 1477:2,25 & remiss (1) \\
\hline 1479:25 & realize (1) & 1366:6;1383:22; & reflective (1) & 1440:23 \\
\hline quick & \[
1401: 8
\] & 1385:5,8;1389:5; & 1457:24 & remote (2) \\
\hline 1474:24 & realized (1) & 1406:1,18;1454:5; & reflects (1) & 1390:10,15 \\
\hline quickly & 1472:22 & 1473:14;1483:9 & 1357:21 & remove (1) \\
\hline 1356:4 & really (10) & recommendation (2) & refresh (6) & 1466:13 \\
\hline quote (2) & 1366:3;1375:5,24; & 1404:3,5 & 1359:2,11,18; & removed (4) \\
\hline 1483:15,16 & 1385:10;1399:7; & record (13) \({ }^{\text {d }}\) (367.22.1394.13. & 1406:1,18;1467:23 & 1361:15,17;1362:10; \\
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& \text { 1400:8;1405:24; } \\
& \text { 1417:4;1436:20; }
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& \text { 1367:22;1394:13; } \\
& \text { 1400:22;1402:9; }
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\hline rate (2) & reasons (5) & records (3) & 1415:11 & report (22) \\
\hline
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\hline 1407:24;1408:10 & 1388:25;1427:15 & show (17) & 1484:1 & 1439:10 \\
\hline 1445:21;1451:20,21; & 1444:10,25;1445:1 & 1356:7, & sim & soon (3) \\
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\hline 1354:7;13 & 1476:12;1496:2 & 22,24;1412:2;1444:5; & 1404:8;1417 & 1361:16;1386:16,23; \\
\hline 1356:11; & 1508:21,25 & 46:24;1448:9; & single (3) & 1405:10;1439:9; \\
\hline 1362:16,21;1363:10, & sentence (6) & 1471:9;1481:1 & 1474:17;1484:22; & 1455:21;1460:16; \\
\hline 12;1372:16;1381:12, & 1360:10;1362:2 & 1507:13,19 & 1508:18 & 1466:10;1503:10; \\
\hline 16;1387:14,19; & 1376:1;1432:4;1449:4; & showed (3) & singular (1) & 1504:14;1506:7,10 \\
\hline 1408:15;1479:17; & 1507:15 & 1391:16;1453:1 & 1443:12 & sort (3) \\
\hline 1500:5 & separate (8) & 07:3 & sit & 1391:18;1445:10; \\
\hline scrolled (1) & 1374:7,9; & sh & 1414:19,20;1447:6 & 1505:14 \\
\hline 1451:23 & 1419:19,19;1430:9; & 03:10 & sits (2) & sound (1) \\
\hline scrutinized & 1459:19;1508:21 & shows (15) & 1493:20,2 & \[
1484: 23
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\hline 1437:6 & separately (1) & 1354:13, & sitting (3) & speak (6) \\
\hline scrutiny (1) & 1498:5 & 3,9,23;1356:18, & 1389:5;1394:1 & 1390:5;1413:21; \\
\hline 1428:11 & September (4) & 25;1357:6;1360:15; & 1473:16 & 1443:11;1450:9; \\
\hline Search (1) & 1393:12,24;1476:2, & 1363:20;1369:5; & situated (1) & 1477:4;1482:11 \\
\hline 1362:13 & 12 & 1370:8,8;1446:2 & 1418:14 & speaking (10) \\
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\hline 1413:16 & 1356:3;138 & 1431:13 & 1397:17;1427: & 1414:17;1415:4; \\
\hline seated (4) & 1410:8;1427:10 & side (4) & 1461:11 & 1422:9,20;1464:1; \\
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\hline 1415:11 & 1389:25;139 & 1450:18,25;1453: & 1492:25 & 1395:6 \\
\hline second (11) & 1478:22;1500:1,1,6 & sign (3) & skill & specific (12) \\
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\hline 1401:4;1485:7 & 1422:10;1432:5,15; & 1479:3;1481:20,21; & slowly (2) & Specifically (9) \\
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\(1 \quad Q\) Do you have a practice of preparing spreadsheets like this at the Trump Organization?

A I prepare it every week.
Q And what is the purpose of this spreadsheet?
A To show the cash positions of the entities that are
holding accounts are owned entities, owning entities.
Q And prior to Mr. Trump becoming president of the United
States was the purpose of this document to allow Allen
Weisselberg to give Mr. Trump an update on the cash position of the entities contained in the spreadsheet?

A Correct.
Q And was that the -- can you describe the purpose of these spreadsheets after Mr. Trump became president?

A It's still the same practice except it doesn't go to
President Trump directly.
Q Okay. Thank you.
MR. HAREN: Now, focusing on the e-mail, Exhibit 1300, and the spreadsheet, Exhibit 1300 native, I'd like to move those documents into evidence, please.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to are received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Numbers 1300 and 1300 native in evidence.)
Q Ms. Kidder, focusing on the spreadsheet, I'd like to -there is a tab at the bottom titled "cash."
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1 What -- actually, let me go back to a different question.

When were you first assigned the task of preparing weekly cash spreadsheets of this sort?

A When Eric Sacher resigned and I was assigned this task.
Q And that was in about 2008 as we discussed yesterday?
A Correct.
Q Okay. I'd like to walk through Rows 11 through 26.
Can you -- do you have an understanding of the
information contained in those rows?
A Yes.
\(Q\) And what's your understanding?
A The first line is a checking account at Capital One,
the second is a money market account at Capital One. If you scroll over I could see if there is another column that says the name.

I believe that was personal. The other way. The other way. Okay.

So this was 2016 prior to President Trump becoming the president, so everything was still in his name as far as the Capital One account. It was not yet in the trust. That's his personal account. Capital One Money Market is his personal account.
Q Just -- without speaking specifically about the particular accounts, just as a general matter what is the

1 information reflected in Rows 11 through 126 ?
2 A Cash position as of that day.
\(3 \quad Q\) And what is the relationship between that information
and what you described yesterday as owner entities?
A He's the owning entity.
\(Q\) And so the cash flows up?
7 A That is correct.
\(8 \quad Q\) In Columns \(Q\) and \(S\) in Row 80, I'd like to direct your
9 attention to some figures. Do you have an understanding of the information contained in Cell Q 80?

A Yes.
\(Q\) And what's your understanding?
A It's the cash total of the lines above it.
Q And how about R 80?
A That's the restricted cash or investments.
Q And S 80?
A The available cash and investments.
Q So is the idea that there is a total sum as restricted and taking out restricted, what's in \(S 80\) is what remains?

A That is correct.
Q Okay. Can we turn to the tab of the spreadsheet
entitled "AW REC." And first, do you have an understanding of the phrase AW REC?

A Yes. This was a spreadsheet Allen Weisselberg created 5 to fill in, so it's Allen Weisselberg's reconciliation and as

\section*{Proceedings}

Page 1517
1 the title states it's funding for the TU settlement.
\(2 \quad Q \quad\) And what does TU settlement refer to?
3 A Trump University.
4 Q Directing your attention to Row 36. Do you see the
5 figure in G 36 of \(16,567,203\) ?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Does that come from the cash tab that we looked at as 8 the portion of the cash that was not restricted?
9 A It should.
10 Q And then I'd like to scroll or just look at Row 37
where it states "see A and see above" and there is a figure of 5.6 million. Do you see that?

A I see that.
Q Why are those figures subtracted here?
A Would you be able to scroll up?
Q Sure.
A I don't recall. This was awhile ago I did this spreadsheet.

Q Sure. We can take a look through.
Do you see that Item \(A\) is 3.1 million in current cash?
A Yes.
Q And Item C is 2.5 million in MAL/TIGC?
A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of what MAL/TIGC refers to?

1 A That I do recall or understand. MAL is Mar-A-Lago, TIGC is the golf course in Florida and that would anticipate a distribution of that amount.
Q And there is a heading of this section that states
"funding for TU settlement" and there is a total in Cell G 10 of 25 million. Do you see that?
A Yes.
3 And do you have an understanding that the figures above
25 million are the sums of money that are funding for TU
settlement?
A Yes.
Q And do you have an understanding of the Cell G 8?
A Yes.
Q And what's your understanding?
A That was a Ladder Capital loan Allen Weisselberg took
out. I believe it was for Trump Plaza to add to the cash required to pay the Trump University settlement.
Q And I'd like to go back to -- go down to Cell I 42.
Do you see in Row 42 it states "projected cash
liquidity" with an asterisk and there is a figure of \(8,087,203\) ?
A Ido.
Q And in Row 45 there is an addition of \(1,667,000\) for PH 28 sale net?
A Ido.
25 Q Do you have an understanding of what PH 28 sale net
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refers to?
A That was the anticipated sale of penthouse 28, the net profit at Trump Park Avenue LLC.

Q So there is a projected cash liquidity in Cell I 42 and then the net from the sale of PH 28 of 1.667 and that sums to cell -- the number in I 46; is that right?
A That's how it should add, yes.
Q Okay. Ms. Kidder, I'm going to shift to a different topic, 40 Wall Street budgets.

We spoke yesterday about the budget process for 40 Wall
Street. How did that process work for other entities that you considered in house?

A The only other entity that we actually prepared a
budget for was Trump Tower commercial and it was exactly the same process.
Q And can you explain more what you mean by "exactly the same process?"
A Sure. So for the income based on the leases in place I would prepare the income projection for the budget year. For the expenses it would be Matthew Calamari. He would put that together if there were any capital improvements to the building. I would speak with Michael Calamari. Leasing commissions, whatever attorney was working on leases, if they had a number I would add that. We would work as a team and put the numbers together and then the budget, the projected budget, would go to

1 Allen Weisselberg for approval and review.
Q And that process was the same from 2011 to 2021? A Yes.
Q And do you have an awareness of how the budget process works for club properties in the Trump Organization?

A Not really, no.
MR. HAREN: Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1295.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A It says it's the 40 Wall Street 2011 Operating/Capital Budget.
Q And do you recognize it?
A It looks like something I would have prepared, yes. MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1295 in evidence.)
Q So I just want to start at the bottom in Cell R 108 and work up from there.

Do you have, Ms. Kidder, an understanding of the information in Cell R 108?
A It's a loss for the year.
Q And what's the amount of the loss?
A \(\$ 4,851,994\).
Q I'd like to scroll up in the spreadsheet to the item
for interest expenses. Do you see in Row 22 it states "interest on mortgage?"

A Yes, I do.
Q And do you see that the amount is \(9,288,267\) ?
A Yes.
Q And elsewhere in the spreadsheet there is an expense
for building improvements of 1.8 million. I think it's at the
bottom. Do you see that in Row 106?
A I do.
Q So I'm going to represent to you that if you start at a loss of \(4,851,994\) and you add back in the interest expense in the building improvements expense you get to a figure of 6,236,273.

MR. HAREN: And I think as in prior instances the Court can take judicial notice of the mathematical calculation.

And I'd like to pull up on the screen Plaintiff's Exhibit 1573.
Q And Ms. Kidder, I'm not going to ask you any questions about this document, but I just want to point out that some figures match.

MR. HAREN: So could we go to page 138, please?
Q Ms. Kidder, do you see that under operating expenses --
MR. ROBERT: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. HAREN: Im going to move into evidence now the -- not the appraisal, but the budget Ms. Kidder prepared because I haven't done that yet. I'd like to move --

MR. ROBERT: As to that in the previous one the statute of limitations, objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I understand that as a standing objection on the record and -- but the document is in evidence. Granted.

\section*{MR. HAREN: Thank you.}

Can we pull up Plaintiffs Exhibit 1296, please?
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A One of the assumption reports Allen Weisselberg would request that I prepare periodically.

MR. HAREN: I move Plaintiff's Exhibit 1296 native into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
It's a standing objection, but if you feel the need, you can say it every time.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1296 in evidence.)
Q Ms. Kidder, do you see at the top of the page reads:

1 "40 Wall Street LLC six-year budget projection?"
2 A Yes, I do.
3 Q And you prepared this document?
4 A I did.
5 Q Did anybody give you instruction as to how to prepare
this document?
A Yes.
\(8 \quad \mathbf{Q}\) Who did?
9 A Allen Weisselberg.
10 Q Did Mr. Weisselberg direct you to prepare this document?

A Yes, he did.
Q Does this document contain assumptions?
A Yes.
Q Did Allen Weisselberg direct you to make the
assumptions contained in this document?
A Yes, he did.
Q I'd like to look down at Column \(R\).
Do you see the information in Cell R 10 that states "assumed full lease up 1/2013?"

A Yes, I do.
Q What does that indicate?
A It means that whatever vacant space at the time was to be assumed as fully leased up as of \(1 / 2013\).

Q And is that one of the assumptions Mr. Weisselberg
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directed you to make?
A Yes.
Q And the next row down where it states on the left side in Column C and D "pending lease assumption." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What does that indicate?
A That's also another line item Allen had me assume that there were at least leases that were currently out and pending signing, so he wanted to break that out on a separate line.

Q And is the assumption that the pending leases would generate the figures in Cells \(J\) through \(Q\) an assumption that Mr. Weisselberg directed you to make?

A Correct.
MR. HAREN: Can we zoom out a little bit?
Q Ms. Kidder, if we could scroll down through general expenses and administrative expenses all the way to the bottom.

Are there in this six-year budget projection any line items for leasing commissions?
A I don't see any.
Q Tenant improvements?
A I don't see any on the spreadsheet.
Q How about capital expenditures?
A There aren't any on this report.
Q Did Mr. Weisselberg ever convey anything to you about what this document would be used for?

A No, he did not.
MR. HAREN: Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1032.
Q Ms. Kidder, this document is very large. I'm not going to show you a ton of it, but I will just state it was produced in this form and I'd like to direct you to page three.

Does this page of the document appear to be a scan of the six-year budget projection that you prepared that we just looked at as Exhibit 1296?
A I don't know if it's the same one, but it's definitely a six-year budget projection that I prepared.

Q And you can see on the right side it contains the same language "assumed full lease up \(1 / 2013\)," do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Included in vacancy assumption calculation as pending lease assumption, does it appear to you to be the same or similar to the exhibit that was number 1296 ?
A It is the same or similar.
Q And did Mr. Weisselberg direct you to prepare this document?
A Yes.
Q And did Mr. Weisselberg direct you to make the assumptions that are contained in this document?
A Yes.
Q I'd like to direct your attention lower on the page to where there is some handwriting.
Proceedings
1 \(\quad\) Ms. Kidder, do you see on the right side of the page
the Figure \(131,171,910\) when divided by five is \(26,234,382\).
And I'd like to switch to Plaintiff's Exhibit 788, the 2011 Supporting Data and look at Rows 112 to 119.
Q Ms. Kidder --
THE COURT: Normally you pause when you say you'd like me to take judicial notice so.

MR. HAREN: My apologies, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I created my own pause. I will take judicial notice of the math, assuming it's correct.

MR. HAREN: Thank you.
THE COURT: I'm sure you checked it five times.
MR. HAREN: I probably had done the adding and subtracting many times at this point. I appreciate that.
Q Ms. Kidder, in Cell G 119, do you see a net operating income of \(26,234,400\) ?
A I see that, yes.
Q And do you see that the document states "average income for the five-year period 2013 to 2017" in Cell B 114 ?

A I'm sorry, which cell?
Q It crosses over into B and C, but it's Row 114.
A The number that's 47819 ?
Q Yes.
A I see that, yes.
Q And do you see that in Row 116 it states "average
expenses for the five-year period 2013 to 2017 in the amount of
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\section*{21,585,000?"}

A I see that.
Q Did you ever when preparing the six-year cash flow projection in Exhibit 1296 have an understanding that it would be used to value 40 Wall Street for the purposes of a Statement of Financial Condition for Mr. Trump?

A No, I did not.
Q Moving to a new topic of management fees.
Ms. Kidder, I want to just talk about the refinancing of 40 Wall Street in 2015 with Ladder Capital Finance. Do you generally recall that that occurred? A Yes.

MR. HAREN: I'd like to mark Plaintiff's Exhibit 652.

THE COURT: It's marked. Are you looking to introduce it into evidence?
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A Allen Weisselberg instructed Haroula Zapantis to provide the trailing 12 as of \(3 / 31 / 15\). So she prepared it, sent it to me and I forwarded it on to Allen Weisselberg who then forwarded it on apparently to Jack Weisselberg.
Q Just to clarify, when you say "trailing 12," what are you referring to?
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A Yes.
Transcript continues on the following page.....

Q Who is Haroula Zapantis?
A She is a senior accountant at the Trump Organization.
Q Did Jeff McConney and Allen Weisselberg generally supervise her work?

A Yes.
Q And I would like to take a look at the attachment beginning on page two. I think you actually answered my question about this document, so I'll just move to admit it.

MR. ROBERT: Objection; statute of limitations.
And is it with the attachment, too, so we make sure it is one document?

MR. HAREN: Yes, it is one document. The attachment is not needed.

MR. ROBERT: Same objection.
THE COURT: Same ruling. Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 652.)
Q Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 636. Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this e-mail and its attachment?
A Yes, Ido.
Q What do you recognize them to be?
A The year-end cash flows for the periods requested for 40 Wall Street year ending December 31, 2012, 2013 and 2014.

MR. HAREN: I move to admit this document into
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evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Same objection.
THE COURT: Same ruling. Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 636.)
Q Ms. Kidder, focusing on the e-mail on the first page, do you see a request from Jack Weisselberg?

A I do.
Q And what is Mr. Weisselberg, being in this case Jack Weisselberg, asking for?
A The attached information.
Q And when you say "attached information" -- well, he states in his e-mail, "Please send me the 2012-2014 year-end cash flow statements for 40 Wall." Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q You understood him to mean -- what did you understand that to mean at the time?
A The year ending December 31st and corresponding year cash flow statements. That's what is attached.

Q And before you sent him the attachment, did you obtain approval to do so?

A Yes, I did.
23 Q From whom?
24 A Allen Weisselberg.
25 Q I'd like to direct your attention to page three of the
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & KIDDER - DIRECT(MR. HAREN) Page 1534 & D. KIDDER - DIRECT(MR. HAREN) Page 1536 \\
\hline & document. And speaking generally, what is the information & \(1 \quad\) Q What is manual transaction mean? \\
\hline & contained on this page? & 2 A This would have been an internal transfer from 40 Wall \\
\hline & A Cash basis income, cash basis expenses. & 3 Street, L.L.C. to the entity, the Trump Corporation for payment \\
\hline & Q And is that for a particular year? & 4 of the 2010 management fee and below it the 2011 management fee. \\
\hline & A I'm sorry? & 5 MR. HAREN: Could we scroll down to cell C19779. \\
\hline & Q Is that for a particular year? & 6 Actually, S. Excuse me. \\
\hline & A December 31, 2014 -- year ending December 31, 2014. & 7 Q Is the figure in S19779 a running total of management \\
\hline & Q And the subsequent page, page four, same question? & 8 fees for 2012? \\
\hline & A Same information, year ending December 31, 2013. & 9 A I don't see 19,000. I'm -- \\
\hline & Q And same question for page five? & 10 Q Oh. There's a figure in -- it says on the far right \\
\hline & A Same information for year ending December 31, 2012. & 11 column 1,508,904. You see that? \\
\hline & Q Okay. Focusing your attention on page five under & 12 A Ye \\
\hline & "administrative expenses "about ten lines down, there's an item & 13 Q Is that in your understanding a running total of \\
\hline & entitled, "management fees and exp & 14 management fees in 2012? \\
\hline & A Yes. & 15 A That's the running total of management fees paid in \\
\hline & Q And the amount identified is \$99,999. Do you see that? & 162012 cash basis. \\
\hline & A I do. & 17 Q Understand. Do you have an understanding as to why the \\
\hline & Q And do you see a similar entry on page four for 2013? & 181.5 million in management fees paid in calendar year 2012 was \\
\hline \[
19
\] & A Ido. & 19 not included in the year end cash flow report for 2012 that was \\
\hline 20 & Q And do you see a similar entry on page three for 2014 & 20 provided to Ladder Capital? \\
\hline & in the amount of 100,000 exactly? & 21 A Allen Weisselberg said that since they were affiliated \\
\hline & A Yes. & 22 entities, management fees such as those could be omitted from \\
\hline \[
23
\] & Q Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1024. Ms. Kidder, do & 23 the statement for this purpose. \\
\hline & you recognize this document? & 24 Q Let's look at plaintiff's exhibit -- \\
\hline & A It's a detailed general ledger for 40 Wall Street & 25 MR. HAREN: Actually, could I move this exhibit \\
\hline & KIDDER - DIRECT(MR. HAREN) Page 1535 & D. KIDDER - DIRECT(MR. HAREN) Page 1537 \\
\hline & L.L.C. & 1 first into evidence to the extent I have not already done \\
\hline & Q Is it for a particular year? & 2 so? \\
\hline 3 & A 2012. & 3 MR. ROBERT: Same objection. \\
\hline 4 & MR. HAREN: Could we scroll down to account number & 4 THE COURT: Same ruling. Granted. It is in \\
\hline 5 & 51511 in row 19739. & 5 evidence. \\
\hline 6 & Q Ms. Kidder, do you see in this detailed general ledger & 6 (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as \\
\hline & an account 51511 for management fees and expenses? & 7 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1024.) \\
\hline 8 & A Ido. & 8 MR. HAREN: Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1025. \\
\hline 9 & Q Do you see that in each month in 2012, there's a fee of & 9 Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document? \\
\hline 10 & \$8,333.33? & 10 A It is a general ledger, detailed general ledger. \\
\hline 11 & A Ido. & 11 Q For which property? \\
\hline 12 & Q Do you see in row 19761 -- excuse me. 19,757 and & 12 A 40 Wall Street, L.L.C. for calendar year 2013. \\
\hline 13 & 19,759, there are -- & 13 MR. HAREN: Could we scroll down to row 19130. \\
\hline 14 & A Yes. & 14 Q Ms. Kidder, beginning in row 19129, do you see an \\
\hline 15 & Q There are -- there's one fee amount specified of & 15 account 51511 for management fees and expenses? \\
\hline 16 & \$788,218.76? & 16 A Yes, I do. \\
\hline 17 & A Ido. & 17 Q And do you see a similar pattern of \$8,333.33 per \\
\hline 18 & Q And another in two rows down from that of \$620,685.77? & 18 month? \\
\hline 19 & A Yes, I see that. & 19 A Yes, I do. \\
\hline 20 & Q In the entries, there is a notation of "DM." Do you & 20 Q And do you have an understanding of the information in \\
\hline 21 & have an understanding of what "DM" means? & 21 row 19144 and just 19144? Do you see that information? \\
\hline 22 & A It is a debit memo. & 22 A It's the Mazars calculated management fee for calendar \\
\hline 23 & Q Does the phrase "manual transaction" relate to the & 23 year ending 2012. \\
\hline 24 & abbreviation DM? & 24 Q And that amount is paid in 2013 according to this \\
\hline 25 & A Correct. & 25 general ledger, right? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A Correct.
2 Q And it is identified by "DM." Does that again refer to manual transaction?

A That does.
Q And do you have an understanding as to why only -- why
this figure was omitted from the cash flow report provided to
Ladder Capital?
A Again, Allen Weisselberg said it was a payment to an
affiliated company and didn't need to be included for that purpose.

Q When he said "a payment to an affiliated company," did he have a phrase that he used?

A That's the best of my recollection.
Q Understood.
THE COURT: That wasn't the phrase, though, right?
That wasn't the phrase he used. He's looking for certain words.
Q Would it refresh your recollection if I told you that in your testimony, you said one pocket to another?

A Okay. One pocket to another.
MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's move to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1026.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A Detailed general ledger 40 Wall Street, L.L.P. calendar year 2014.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{D. KIDDER - DIRECT(MR. HAREN) Page 1539} \\
\hline 1 & MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. \\
\hline 2 & THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. \\
\hline 3 & (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as \\
\hline 4 & Plaintiff's Exhibit 1026.) \\
\hline 5 & MR. HAREN: I would like to scroll down to the rows \\
\hline 6 & beginning 17,629. \\
\hline 7 & Q Ms. Kidder, do you see again an account 51511 for \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{8 management fees and expenses?} \\
\hline 9 & A Ido. \\
\hline 10 & Q And do you see a similar pattern of \$8,333.33 per \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{11 month?} \\
\hline & A Ido. \\
\hline 13 & Q And do you see an entry for a management fee paid on \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{14 June 12, 2014 in the amount of \$907,988?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{15 A Ido.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{16 Q And do you have an understanding as to why that fig} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{17 is not reflected on the cash flow report provided to Ladder} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{18 Capital?} \\
\hline 19 & A The same explanation as the last questions. One pocket \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{20 to another.} \\
\hline 21 & Q Thank you. \\
\hline 22 & MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence. \\
\hline 23 & MR. ROBERT: Same objection. \\
\hline 24 & THE COURT: Granted. It is in. \\
\hline 25 & (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Plaintiff's Exhibit 1026.)}

MR. HAREN: Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 1063.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A It appears to be another trailing cash flow that was prepared for Jack Weisselberg.

Q On page two of the document, I want to direct you to the far right-hand column. And the print on this document is very small, so we're going to have to zoom in.

Under administrative expenses, do you see that there's a row for management fees and expenses in the amount of \(\$ 100,000\) ?

A Yes, I do.
MR. HAREN: Could we put on the screen with this image the same image from the version sent in Exhibit 652. Q So, Ms. Kidder, on the right, you'll see that there's a list of administrative expenses. Next to management fees and expenses, it states \(\$ 1,007,988\) and that was in the version you sent on April 10, 2015 in Exhibit 652. And then here in Exhibit 1063, the figure has been reduced to 100,000 in the version sent on April 21st of 2015.

Do you have an understanding as to how the management fee number came to be reduced to 100,000 ?
A Allen Weisselberg instructed me to make the change.
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MR. HAREN: I move Exhibit 1063 into evidence. MR. ROBERT: Same objection.
THE COURT: Same ruling and granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1063.)

MR. HAREN: Let's move to Exhibit 1061. This is related to the transactions that we've been looking at.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A It seems to be a chain of questions relating to the finance.

MR. HAREN: I move this document into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Same objection.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1061.)
Q In the e-mail on page one is a question from Jack Weisselberg to you and it reads, "I have some questions on the historicals (some of which related to potential one-time costs)."

Do you have an understanding as to what Jack Weisselberg was referring to there?

A He's asking questions about what we tagged as one-time costs on the information that was sent to him previously.

Q And looking further into the items in the e-mail that he sent to you, there is a question there about real estate taxes. Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q And in number two, "There's a question about one-time items that need to be removed, but the G\&A operating expenses drop significantly from 2012 and 2013 to 2014 and the T-12."

Do you have an understanding as to what that refers to?
A The T-12 means trailing 12.
Q And do you have an understanding of what Mr.
Weisselberg meant by "G\&A operating expenses drop significantly from 2012 and 2013 to 2014 and the T-12"?
A He's asking why the expenses in those categories dropped in those years significantly.

Q And he concludes by asking, "Am I missing any other non-recurring costs." Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Do you have an understanding as to why Jack Weisselberg was asking about non-recurring costs?
A Because if it is an extraordinary expense, it wouldn't be factored into financing. For example, if you have a legal invoice for a tenant that you're evicting that's \(\$ 750,000\) in one year, you wouldn't expect to see the same number the next.

Q In looking at page one at the top, there is an e-mail from you to Allen Weisselberg.

\section*{D. KIDDER - DIRECT(MR. HAREN)}
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Why did you forward Jack Weisselberg's e-mail to Allen Weisselberg?
A That was standard practice and item number one, I wouldn't know how to answer. That's why there's a question mark. I wasn't involved in any tertiary filings with Marcus \& Pollack. Number two I did answer because I knew that the operational team and Allen Weisselberg were cutting the expenses as much as they could.
Q And there's a reasons in item two to the test required at one point by the bank. Does that refer to a debt service cover ratio?
A That is correct.
Q What's your understanding of what debt service ratio means?

A Minimal. I just -- Jeffrey McConney prepared that calculation.
Q Moving to a new topic, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1282. Ms. Kidder, we're going to spend a little bit of time talking about some penthouses at Trump Park Avenue.
A Okay.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A I used to track all of the deals and agreements for
Ivanka Trump, so I prepared a spreadsheet.
D. KIDDER - DIRECT(MR. HAREN)
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Q So this spreadsheet that's attached to the e-mail is something you prepared?
A Yes.
Q And it was part of your practice to prepare
spreadsheets of that type?
A For Ivanka, yes.
7 Q Can you give us a time period as to when that was part of your responsibilities?
9 A I believe it was in 2010 it started up until June of a 10 couple of years ago.

Q Okay. Does 2017 sound about right?
A Yes.
Q Who is Lizabeth Kyprislidis?
A She works for the Trump Organization, trademarks, licensing.

MR. HAREN: Actually, can I move the e-mail into evidence?

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Understood.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1282.)

MR. HAREN: Let's pull up 1282 native.
Q Ms. Kidder, the spreadsheet on the screen reflects the document you prepared?
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A Yes, it does.
MR. HAREN: Could we go to the PH28 tab, please. I move the native file into evidence.

THE COURT: It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit.)
Q Do you see at the top of the page it reads, "502 Park Avenue Penthouse 28"?
9 A Yes, I do.
10 Q What's your understanding of that phrase?
11 A It was an agreement between Ivanka Trump and Jared
Kushner and Trump Park Avenue, L.L.C. to rent Penthouse 28 at 502 Park Avenue.

Q And do you see next to agreement date it reads, "January 6, 2011"?

A Yes.
Q Next to the word "terms," it indicates a start date of
January 1, 2011?
A Yes.
Q And next to the word "conditions," it reads "Tenant shall have exclusive right during the term of this lease to purchase the unit at the price of \(\$ 8,500,000\) "?
A Yes, I see that.
Q Did you obtain the information in this tab concerning a lease of Penthouse 28 from somewhere?

1 A From whichever attorney worked on the lease. I don't recall the name.
3 Q But did you obtain the information from the lease?
4 A Yes, I did.
\(5 \quad\) MR. HAREN: Let's move to Exhibit 1278.
6 Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this e-mail?
7 A I recognize the e-mail.
8 Q And what do you recognize it to be?
9 A That I sent Jeff McConney an attachment of the agreements for 2012 for Ivanka deals.

Q Just so I understand, you understand the attachment to be a similar spreadsheet to the one we looked at a minute ago?
A Yes.
Q And you were sending Mr. McConney a copy of it on November 28, 2012?

A Apparently so, yes.
MR. HAREN: I move the e-mail into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1278.)
MR. HAREN: Can we move to 1278 native, please.
Q Ms. Kidder, this is the attachment to the e-mail?
MR. HAREN: And if we could again click on the tab PH28.
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\section*{THE COURT: Granted.}

Q Ms. Kidder, does the information on this tab reflect
the lease between Trump Park Avenue, L.L.C. and Ivanka Trump and
Jared Kushner for a lease Penthouse 28 at 502 Park Avenue with
an option to purchase of \(\$ 8.5\) million?
A Yes.
Q So you provided that information to Jeffrey McConney in the spreadsheet on November 28th of 2012?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: I move the native file into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit.)

MR. ROBERT: Same objection.
MR. HAREN: Could we move to Plaintiffs 618,
please. For the record, this is a 14-page document produced in this action by Ivanka Trump.
Q Ms. Kidder, I would like to direct your attention to page 14. Do you recognize this e-mail?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A It is an e-mail from Sonja Talesnik regarding the modification of Penthouse 20 and 28.
Q And who is Sonja Talesnik?
25 A She is an attorney at the Trump Organization.

Q And can you speak just in general terms to her areas of work at the Trump Organization?
A Well, usually, she just deals with the management of the condominiums, but apparently in this instance, she assisted in the preparation of these two documents.
Q When you say she works on matters relating to condominiums, what do you mean?
A She works with the management team for the homeowners associations that we manage.
Q In looking at the e-mail on the page, do you see that there is a line at the top that reads, "Please see amendment to the lease that provides that the right to purchase the PH 28 has been surrendered"?
A Ido.
Q And do you have an understanding as to what that means?
A That means that Ivanka Trump and Jared Kushner no longer would be exercising the right in the lease to purchase Penthouse 28.
Q And then it follows with "re-PH20 "and it states,
"Attached to the lease for PH 20 , which will commence November 1, 2015 "and it continues, and then item D states to the far right, "Tenant shall have the exclusive right which is not assignable during the term of this lease "-- let me read that again because I missed the parenthesis.
"Tenant shall have the exclusive right (which right is
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not assignable) during the term of this lease to purchase the unit at the price of \(\$ 14,264,000\), notwithstanding the filing of any amendment to the offering plan that may increase the price of the unit."

Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Did you have an understanding on or about the date of this e-mail that Ms. Trump had an option to purchase this penthouse unit for \(\$ 14,264,000\) ?

A Yes.
Q Where did you gain that understanding?
A From this e-mail and the attachments.
MR. HAREN: Okay. Let's move to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1157, which is already in evidence.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you see in Exhibit 1157 an e-mail from Patrick Birney to you copying Allen Weisselberg and Jeffrey McConney?

A Yes, I do.
Q And the subject is 2015 Trump Organization corporate cash flow.
A Yes.
Q And in the e-mail, Patrick writes, "Donna, see attached 2015 Trump Organization corporate cash flow shell."

A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of the word "shell" in
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this e-mail?
2 A An un-filled out Excel spreadsheet.
3 Q Pulling up the native document that's also already in evidence, do you have an understanding as to who created this Trump Organization corporate cash flow shell?
6 A Patrick Birney at the direction of Allen Weisselberg.
7 Q Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney are copied on Mr.
Birney's e-mail that's Exhibit 1157. Do you have an
understanding as to their involvement in the generation of the
10 Trump Organization corporate cash flow spreadsheet?
A They would have created the parameters and instructed Patrick and myself from the parts that I was responsible for what to include.
Q Thank you.
MR. HAREN: I'm going to move to a different topic, licensing and incentive fees, and I'll turn to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3169.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize that to be?
A It is a report that I was instructed by Jeffrey
McConney to prepare every year at this time; at this time being usually for that range, July to June.
Q And speaking generally about this report that you
prepared every year, what does it contain?
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A It contains the projected amount of license fees and/or incentive fees that would be received through the license deals from that date through the ending date.

MR. HAREN: I move this e-mail into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3169.)

MR. HAREN: Could we, please, pull up Exhibit 3169 in native form.
Q Ms. Kidder, do you recognize the spreadsheet on the screen?
A Yes.
Q And what do you recognize it to be?
A The projection. Some of the numbers are actual if it was prepared in September and then started in July and the rest would be a projection.
Q The information that is in column B, how did you obtain that information?
A So per the instructions given to me by Jeffrey
McConney, I was to take the -- and you could see the
explanation based on projected sell-out of remaining units to -- as if they would all sell out in that timeframe.
Q And how did you go about obtaining the information to do the analysis?
A From the licensees. They would have a projected
sell-out or the selling price for all of the remaining units.
Q And can you walk us through what -- just how far the numbers are calculated from column \(B\) over to column \(G\), not about the numbers themselves, but generally what the columns reflect?
A We will start with A27. That seems to be easiest because that's actually the entire amount is based on a project asked sell-out. That's a report provided by the licensee of all the units that they have for sale, Trump-branded units. That is the fee based on whatever the percentage is of royalty in the agreement. That's the total fee.

Then the next column is zero. And the column E is TTT. That was the entity that received I want to say 21 percent or a piece depending on what the agreement said of the license fees.
The net is to DJT, that's to Donald Trump, which is the license fees less the fees payable to TTT.
Q And just so I understand, column \(B\) is the total fee. Then there is some amount taken out for less TTT which might be zero. And then there's some amount taken out for TTT. And then what remains in column \(G\) is the net?
A Correct.
Q And what does TTT refer to?
A TTT Consulting, L.L.C.
Q And what is TTT Consulting, L.L.C.?
A It was an agreement with Eric Trump, Donald Trump, Jr. and Ivanka Trump to receive a percentage of fees generated by
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certain license deals.
Q Are there any assumptions built into the information contained in column \(B\) regarding sell-out timing?
A Well, the calculation in and of itself is an assumption if column B was an assumption because they would only get fees if we received column \(B\).
(Continued on the next page.)


1 approaches the witness stand.)
THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand.
Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
Please state your full name and either home or business address on the record.

THE WITNESS: Douglas Larson. 125 Park Avenue, New York, New York.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, please proceed.
Mr. Larson, sounds like you have a nice loud voice. Just remember to speak right into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY LADOV:
Q Good morning, Mr. Larson. Where do you currently work?
A I work at Newmark.
Q And what is Newmark?
A Newmark is a full-service real estate company.
Q And what is your title there?
A Executive vice president.
Q What is the scope of your work at Newmark?
A Valuation advisor, appraiser.
D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov)
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\(Q\) So are you a professional appraiser?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Do you hold any professional licenses related to your work?

A Yes. I'm a certified New York real estate appraiser.
6 Q And within that New York real estate appraisal work do
you have any specializations?
A Yes, office and retail.
THE COURT: Witness please be a little closer to the microphone like this.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
Q And maybe you can just explain for us briefly what's the difference between office and retail property?

A Retail properties are, you know, occupied or leased to merchandisers to sell goods and office space is occupied by office users.
Q How long have you been with Newmark?
A Six years.
Q And where did you work prior to Newmark?
A Cushman \& Wakefield.
Q And what is Cushman \& Wakefield?
A It's also a full-service real estate company.
Q How long were you at Cushman?
A Almost 25 years.
Q What title did you hold when you left Cushman?

A I'm trying to remember. Senior director. I can't recall exactly.
Q And so was the work you did at Cushman the same as the work you're doing now at Newmark?

A Yes.
Q And have you spent your entire career as a professional appraiser?

A Yes.
Q So Mr. Larson, I'd like to show you a copy of an appraisal that has already been entered into evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 118, and I'm going to walk through this document with you for a couple of minutes just to understand a little bit about what your appraisal practice entails. So if you can turn to page eight of this document.

Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Larson?
A Yes. This is an appraisal prepared by Cushman \& Wakefield for Ladder Capital.

Q And what's the property being appraised here?
A It's 40 Wall Street.
Q And you can see it says an "Appraisal report as of June 1, 2015." So what does that signify?

A That is the effective date of value.
Q And if you turn, I guess, like, three more pages to
page 11 of this document, is that your signature, Mr. Larson?
A Yes, it is.
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\(1 \quad Q\) And does your signature signify that this is the final version of this appraisal report?
A Yes.
Q And there is two other names listed here. Can you just tell me who those people are?
A Naoum, Mike Papagianopoulos and Robert Nardella.
Q And what was Mr. Papagianopoulos's role in working on this appraisal with you?

A He worked on our team appraising office properties.
Q And what was Mr. Nardella's role?
A Easy area leader.
Q And Mr. Larson, does this report accurately describe the information that you received along with your colleagues to perform this appraisal?

A Yes, it does.
Q And this appraisal report, does it accurately describe your analysis of that information?

A Yes, it does.
Q So does this appraisal report accurately state your
opinion of the value of 40 Wall Street as of June 1, 2015 ?
A Yes.
Q I'd like to turn to page 118 of the document and you'll see here there is an addendum that reads "Engagement Letter."

Can you just tell me generally speaking what is the
importance of an engagement letter to an appraisal engagement?

1 A Every appraisal requires an engagement and it states the terms of the agreement between client and appraiser.

Q So let's look at the letter here just to understand what some of those terms are.

MR. LADOV: Can we scroll down to page 188 ?
Q And we can see that this letter describes the anticipated scope of work.

MR. LADOV: Can you scroll down a little bit more?
So the first header there is "USPAP Compliance."
\(Q\) Do you understand what USPAP refers to?
A Yes, it's the Uniformed Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
Q And, again, at a high level what does USPAP compliance mean?

A Every appraisal needs to be USPAP compliant and it states the scope of work, the process of preparing an appraisal.

Q And does USPAP apply to any opinion of value that you may work on as an appraiser?

A Can you restate that?
Q I guess what I'm asking is if you were doing -- so we know that this full 2,300-page appraisal report, you need to comply with USPAP to perform this work, but if somebody came to you and said "I don't need a full appraisal report, I just need you to help me value my property over here for a specific asset, I want to figure out what it's worth," do you need to comply
D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov)
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1 statistics, surveys, data collected from public resources in discussion with other real estate professionals in prior appraisals.

Q And this is all your standard practice in the regular course of business; is that correct?
A Yes, absolutely.
Q And then below that it reads, quote, "Consider and develop those approaches relevant and applicable to the appraisal problem. We anticipate developing the following valuation of approaches."

And there is two approaches listed, the income capitalization approach and the sales comparison approach.

So just taking those one by one, can you explain to us what the income capitalization approach means?
A Yes. It's -- there are two components of it; the direct capitalization and a discount cash flow analysis. The requirement in New York City and complicated assets, the primary method of value is discount cash flow. And it looks and reviews of income less expenses and operations and occupancy and rents of the property.
Q And then once you've determined that income when you're using that method what do you do next to try to determine the value of the property?

A You apply investment rates.
Q And are investment rates similar to cap rates?
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with USPAP there as well?
A Yes, every appraisal needs to be USPAP compliant.
Q So from your answer I understand that there is no difference to you as an appraiser between an appraisal, meaning
this formal report and any opinion of value that you might offer for a specific real estate property?
A It would need to be USPAP compliant, correct.
Q So looking down here where it says "general scope of
work," the first item listed is "inspect the property to the
extent necessary to adequately identify the real estate."
Did you inspect this property as part of your appraisal?
A Yes.
Q And why do you do that?
A Well, we look at the condition of the property, we look at the condition of the space, the tenancy fit outs, mechanical system. So it's a complete inspection.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q You just got here.
So the second item here says "research relevant market data in terms of quantity, quality and geographic comparability to the extent necessary to produce credible appraisal results."

Again, can you just tell us generally what you did to comply with that requirement?
A Well, we look at, you know, market trends, market
D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov)
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1 A Yes, it's one of the investment rates that's used.
2 Q Sir, what exactly is a cap rate?
3 A A cap rate is -- it's net operating income divided by a purchase price and it's a return on and of an investment.
Q And does the cap rate come into play with the direct capitalization method as well?
7 A Yes.
8 Q Okay. And can you just explain for us how that works?
9 A So a net operating income needs to be calculated and
10 the capitalization rate, that overall capitalization rate is
11 applied and produces a value by direct capitalization.
Q And what factors go into determining a cap rate when you're doing that kind of an analysis?

A You typically look at sales and extract those
capitalization rates, you discuss with investment sale brokers and professionals, look at investor surveys and extract it from the market.
Q And sorry, you said singles in your answer. What do you mean by singles there?

A I couldn't hear you.
Q I think one of the first things that you said was you look at singles. Maybe I misunderstood you?

A No. I don't think I said singles.
Q Okay. Sorry. So you also -- sorry. Why don't you 25 explain?

that we were just looking at with the Trump Organization or any of its affiliated entities as a client?

A I have not.
Q Has the Trump Organization ever paid you for appraisal services?
A They have not.
Q I know this question is going to be redundant, but has the Trump Organization ever paid you for any other kind of valuation services?
A No, they have not.
Q As we saw from that last report, you have appraised properties owned by the Trump Organization, correct?


14

22 A Yes. This is an e-mail blast that I send out regarding
23 market information and also there is an -- looks like there is

\section*{24}
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you sent out for now.
A Okay.
Q You said "e-mail blast." What does that mean to you?
A They send out marketing information to a wide variety of real estate professionals for marketing purposes.
Q And when you say "marketing purposes," what do you mean by that?
A It's -- it's a way to get a company's information out
so -- and a way to get your name out to clients for potential work.

Q And do you have an understanding of how large your mailing list was for these e-mail blasts?
A It's very large.
Q And this e-mail was coming from your Newmark account, but did you do similar e-mail blasts when you were at Cushman \& Wakefield?
A Yes.
Q I would like to scroll up now and in the forward of
this e-mail, you can see some of the different attachments. Can you just describe generally what those documents are?
A Yes. The attachments read, New York City office market overview, office building sales, office market
overview, another office market overview, trends in the market, some leasing information, so --
Q So these are market reports that you're sending out to
your clients based on recent sales data; is that right?
A Yeah. This is -- this is recent information that, you
know, we would have put together to send out, yes.
Q So I would like to take a couple of moments to look at the second document on this list labeled
"officebuildingsales.dot.pdf and it begins on page 39 of the
document. So again, when you were talking earlier about
deriving cap rates from properties or dollars per square-foot
from properties, is this the kind of information that you used in your practice?

A Yes.
Q And then in addition, this document - you can scroll down. You'll see it.

It includes about 20 different sales comps. You would share this with your client, so they could get an understanding of market conditions; is that correct?
A That is correct.
Q Just turning back to the e-mail looking back at page one of the document, I think you started to say this before, but at the top, you can see that this is a forward from Jeff
McConney to somebody else at the Trump Organization dated September 6, 2018. Do you know Jeff McConney?

A Yes.
Q How do you know him?
A Through appraisal appraisals that we did.
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Q So he was your contact at the Trump Organization for some of those appraisals; is that correct?

A Yes. His name would have been provided by the lender as the property contact.

Q So I would like to turn now to look at one of the properties that you did not appraise for the Trump Organization, a property called Niketown.

MR. LADOV: Can we turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 707 and turn to page 8 of 25.
Q If you are okay with the screen, I could kind of keep going.
A Yeah, I can see the screen.
Q All right. So I just want to read the first couple of sentences under Niketown. It says, "Mr. Trump is currently the owner of 100 percent of the equity interests in the entities that are the lessees with respect to two long-term ground leasehold estates relating to the land and buildings located between Fifth and Madison Avenues and principally on 57th Street in New York City. On December 8, 1994, the premises were leased to Nike Retail Services, Inc."

So based on that description, how would you characterize the Niketown property?
A This is a retail property.
Q As compared to an office property?
A Correct.

1 Q I would next like to turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 708. So Mr. Larson, this is a supporting data spreadsheet that Jeff McConney of the Trump Organization provided to an outside accounting firm named Mazars. This spreadsheet along with other documents was used to support Mr. McConney's evaluations of Trump Organization assets and then those values were included in the annual Statements of Financial Condition that we just looked at and the reason I'm showing you this is because this document shows certain conversations involving you that I would like to ask you about.

MR. LADOV: So if we could scroll down to line 62.
Q Again, you'll -- you can see that this is the
information used to value Niketown, the property we were just talking about. And I think you can also see that this is an analysis that valued Niketown at \(\$ 287,600,000\).

If I direct you to lines 80 and 81, do you see that the support shows that the value of the Niketown was derived by taking an NOI, a net operating income, of \(\$ 8,456,103\) and applying a cap rate of 2.940 percent. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Is that calculation what you described earlier as the direct capitalization method?
A Yes. Applying a cap rate to net operating income is a direct cap approach.
Q Sir, I would like to scroll down and direct you to the
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1
2
    notes at line -- at lines 92 to 97 . It says there under "cap
    rate," "9/17/13, per telephone conversation with Doug Larson of
    Cushman \& Wakefield, cap rates for retail properties in upscale
    areas like Times Square and the Fifth Avenue area are usually
    almost 60 basis points lower than office space. To be
    conservative, we reduced the cap rate used on Trump Tower by 50
    basis points to arrive at the cap rate used by Niketown."
    So Mr. Larson, do you have any specific recollection of
    a phone call with Jeff McConney on September 17, 2013?
    A No.

Q Did you know back in 2013 that Mr. McConney was citing you as a source for his property valuations in this document that he was sending outside the Trump Organization?
A No, I wasn't aware.
Q Did you advise Mr. McConney to value Niketown by reducing the cap rate used on Trump Tower by 50 basis points and then using that cap rate to reach a value?
A Well, you would probably want to apply retail sales cap rates to look at rather than adjusting office cap rates which are a different property type. It doesn't make sense.
Q So if it doesn't make sense to you, is it your opinion that you would not have offered this advice to Mr. McConney back in 2013?
A I don't recall doing that.
Q And you mentioned that you would use retail comps for a
property like Niketown; is that right?
A Yes, you would look at retail comps.
Q We looked a moment ago at an e-mail blast that you sent out sales information to clients. Would some of that sales information include retail comps?
A I don't know. Could you show me again?
Q Well, I'm not thinking of that specific e-mail, but generally speaking, did you at -- when you were at Cushman \&
Wakefield have retail comps that you shared with clients who were seeking that kind of information?
A Yes, we have retail comps.
Q So does this 2013 valuation of Niketown reflect your opinion of value for this property?

A No, it does not.
Q So now I would like to put these notes side by side with PX 707, the Statement of Financial Condition, and page eight. And you can see at the bottom there, it says, "The current value of \(\$ 287,600,000\) reflects the net proceeds which Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals expect to be derived from rental activities pursuant to the lease described above as well as the residual value of the property."

So Mr. Larson, you can see that the value in the Statement of Financial Condition ties back to the number reached in the supporting data for Niketown. Do you see that?

A You would have to scroll over a little bit. Yes, it does.
Q So to the extent that you are the outside professional listed in the Statement of Financial Condition, do you believe it would be accurate to say that the value of about \(\$ 287\) million was determined by Mr. Trump in conjunction with you?
A No, it is incorrect.
Q You are the only outside professional listed here, is that right, in the support notes?

A Yes.
Q So since the Niketown valuation support referred back to Trump Tower, I would like to take a look at the supporting notes for Trump Tower in 2013 as well and that can be found on lines 30 through 60.

MR. LADOV: You can take down the statement, too.
Q So if I can direct you to lines 39 and 40 , this
document shows that Trump Tower is being valued -- this document shows that Trump Tower is being valued by applying a 3.44 percent cap rate to an NOI of a little bit over \(\$ 18\) million. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And then if I direct your attention to the bottom of the screen, and we can blow this up, there is a note for June 30th of 2013. And it states, "Information provided by Doug Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc. which reflects cap rates of
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\hline 3 used the average rate for these three properties." Do you see & 3 the third cap rate used in the supporting data spreadsheet; is \\
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\hline 3 Q I would like to open the & 3 kinds of adjustments that you talked about earlier? \\
\hline mail. It is in the record as PX 3184 native 01. I would & 4 A \\
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\hline 6 the 2013 spreadsheet for the valuation of Trump & 6 Exhibit 707, the Statement of Financial Condition, on page five. \\
\hline MR. LADOV: Can we put up Trump Tower PX 708, lines & 7 Sorry. I believe it is page seven actually, for Trump Tower. \\
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native one. Let's go down to line 72.
So again, you can see that this is Niketown which we were talking about a couple of minutes ago. I just want to direct you to lines 103 to 105. Again, you can see there, there is a similar calculation. This time, the NOI is a little bit
over \(\$ 9.6\) million. The cap rate is 2.48 percent. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And that's used to reach a value of \(\$ 389,600,000\). Is
that -- do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
MR. LADOV: Let's go look at the cap rate note for 2016 here. And actually, can we put this note side by side with PX 708 native one, lines 92 to 97 . So that would be the 2016 note and the 2013 note. Great.
Q So Mr. Larson, if you can take a moment to look at these two notes. On the left, we have 2013. On the right, we have 2016. But these two notes are describing an identical valuation method. Do you see that?
20 A Yes, I do.



THE COURT: I'll ask the witness again to speak in the microphone. It's a skill. You have to look to your left, but talk straight.
Q So I would like to now jump ahead to 2017 and this is PX 758. We can put that side by side. If we could leave up PX 742. Again, I just want to focus on the cap rate notes. So it would be line 124 in the 2017 spreadsheet. So on the right-hand side is the document we just looked at. On the left-hand side is the 2017 supporting data spreadsheet.

Do you see that the note in 2017, referring back to the 2016 analysis, has now changed, the date of the phone call with you from September 17, 2016 back to September 17, 2013?

Q And then the note for 2017 also refers to this 2013 phone call. Do you see that?

A Repeat that. Show me.
Q So if you look here at 2017, can you see that both of these notes are referring to a September 17, 2013 phone call with you in order to derive the method used to value Niketown?

A Yes, I see that.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q And, again, were you aware in 2017 that Mr. McConney
was reporting in his work papers to outside parties that he was valuing Niketown based on a 2014 conversation with you?

A No, I was not.
Q As an appraiser, would you use a --
A Also, I was at Newmark in June 2017.
Q So you were no longer at Cushman \& Wakefield in 2017 when that note was written?
A Yes.



A There would have been most likely more current sales to look at.

THE COURT: You can answer that yes or no.
THE WITNESS: Yes. Could you repeat the question?
THE COURT: I think you better repeat the question.
MR. LADOV: Can we get a read back on the question,
Your Honor?
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A The answer is no.
25 Q And actually, just to correct my question, I said
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
office building, but Niketown wasn't an office building, was it? \\
A No. It's a retail property. \\
Q Thank you. \\
And so I'd like to go to one more valuation from \\
Niketown and this time from 2018. And I'm going to show you a document in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 774. \\
MR. LADOV: And we can scroll down to line 91 -sorry. 774 native one? If we can scroll down to the notes about the cap rate for Niketown in 2018 ? \\
Q \(\square\) \\
 \\
\(\square\) \\
\(\square\) \(\square\) \\
20 A Yes, that's correct. \\
21 Q And then in addition, the note for 2017 relies on the \\
222013 telephone conversation. We've talked about the note for \\
232018 is now relying on a September 14, 2018 conversation with \\
24 Doug Larson correctly identified as Doug Larson of Newmark. \\
25 Mr. Larson, do you recall having a conversation on
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Q And the date on this appraisal is as of November 1, 2012; is that correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And if you flip to page six, is that your signature, Mr. Larson? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And does this appraisal report accurately describe the \\
information that you and your colleagues use to value 1290 \\
Avenue of the Americas? \\
A Yes, it does. \\
Q And does this report accurately state your opinion of \\
the value of 1290 Avenue of the Americas as of November 1, 2012? \\
A Yes, it does. \\
MR. LADOV: Your Honor, I would move this into evidence. \\
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. \\
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence. \\
Q So if we can flip to page four of the document, can you \\
tell us what your opinion of the market value of 1290 Avenue of \\
the Americas on November 1, 2012 was? \\
MR. LADOV: I think we need to scroll down a little bit more. \\
A It was \(\$ 2\) billion. \\
MR. LADOV: And then can we turn to page 11? \\
Q I want to show you some information from the summary.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) \\
1 September 14, 2018 where you advised anyone at the Trump \\
Organization to value Niketown in this manner? \\
A I don't recall that, no. \\
Q And, again, were you aware in 2018 that the Trump \\
Organization was stating that they were relying on you as a valuation professional in order to reach these values? \\
A I was not aware. \\
Q \(\square\) \\
And why do you say that? \\
A Because it should have been - I should have been told and, you know, an appraisal should have been ordered. \\
Q And you can't provide the kind of information to value a specific asset without ordering an appraisal and that kind of a formal engagement; correct? \\
A Yes, you need a formal engagement. \\
Q So I want to move on to another property. We're going to look at a property called -- sorry. Excuse me. 1290 Avenue of the Americas and l'd like to show you a document marked as Plaintiffs Exhibit 1824 for identification. \\
A Okay. \\
Q Mr. Larson, are you familiar with this document? \\
A Yes, it's an appraisal of 1290 Sixth Avenue prepared for Deutsche Bank.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) \\
1 Again, there is some information here?
\(\square\)
\(\square\)
\(\square\)
\(\square\)
\(\square\) \\
A Yes, I do. \\
Q So your appraisal of 1290 was of the value for the property as a whole; is that correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Do you value minority interests in your practice as an appraiser? \\
A No. We have specialists who do that in the appraisal group. \\
Q Why do you need a specialist? \\
A Because there is a lot of variables to consider. \\
Q So it would be -- well -- strike that.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 And does Cushman employ other appraisers besides yourself who specialize in valuing minority interests?

A Yes, I do.
Q And do you have any understanding of what they do to adjust the value based on those kinds of factors?

A I have very little understanding of that.
Q Then I won't ask you anymore further questions about it.

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18

21 Q So if we scroll down further in the page to line 704,
22 we can see the cap rate note for 2013. And here it says:
23
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and 52nd Streets?" Is 3.12 percent the cap rate that you used to value this same property just a few months earlier?
A No. No, it's not.
Q Did you advise Jeff McConney or anyone else at the
Trump Organization to value this property in June -- as of
June 2013 using a cap rate of 3.12 percent?
A No, I did not.
Q And is this valuation consistent with your opinion of value from the 2012 appraisal of the same property?

A It is not.
MR. LADOV: And actually, I just want to scroll up a little bit in the notes.
Q So that first note reads for 555 California. That's a property we weren't talking about, but it says " 555 based on e-mail from Robert Farwell of Cushman \& Wakefield in San Francisco, which also states a similar office building selling for \(\$ 750\) per square foot which equals \(\$ 1,125,000,000\) for 555 ."

Mr. Larson, do you know Robert Farwell?
A Yes.
Q And who he is?
A He's an appraiser in San Francisco.
Q And similarly, did you have any response to seeing his name listed in this document?

MR. KISE: Objection. Response same.
THE COURT: I'll ask for something more specific

\section*{turn to the bottom of page 19 and the top of page 20.}

And, again, it says Mr. Trump owns 30 percent of these properties. And then scrolling down it says for 1290 Avenue of the Americas and 555 California Street, quote, "The estimated current value net of debt of \(\$ 745,800,000\) is based on an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals. This valuation was arrived at by applying a capitalization rate to the net operating income and taking into consideration any debt and return of capital."

Mr. Larson, you already testified that you do not value minority property interests; is that correct?

A I do not.
Q And again, to the extent that you are one of the outside professionals listed here, do you think it was accurate for this document to state that the estimated current value of Mr. Trump's minority interests in 1290 Avenue of the Americas was based on an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with you?

MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. Mischaracterizes the evidence and this witness has no foundation to testify about this statement in the SOFC. It doesn't mention his name, it doesn't mention anything to do with Cushman \& Wakefield. We don't know if that -- he's the outside professional or if it's any outside professional.

MR. WALLACE: I'm going to renew my objections to
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1594 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1596 \\
\hline 1 speaking objections, which are seemingly instructing -- to & 1 Mr. Larson, do you recognize this document? \\
\hline 2 Mr. Kise's view of the evidence. If he has an objection to & 2 A Yes. This is an appraisal of 40 Wall Street as of \\
\hline 3 foundation, he should say "objection, foundation." & 3 October 1, 2010. \\
\hline 4 MR. KISE: I'm just explaining that it's a bench & 4 Q And I think you said October 1st, but is it correct \\
\hline 5 trial. I'm just explaining it, Judge. & 5 that -- \\
\hline 6 MR. WALLACE: Im not worried about the judge. Im & 6 A August 1st. I misread. \\
\hline 7 worried about the witness. & 7 Q And if we turn to page five of this document. Is that \\
\hline 8 MR. KISE: I'm not worried about either one. & 8 your signature, Mr. Larson? \\
\hline 9 THE COURT: I appreciate the bode of confidence. & 9 A Yes. \\
\hline 10 Well, the easiest way to rule on this is we haven't & 10 Q Does this appraisal report accurately describe the \\
\hline 11 established he's the outside professional being referred to, & 11 information that you and your colleagues received to value 40 \\
\hline 12 so I'll sustain it on that and we'll rule on the other & 12 Wall Street in 2010? \\
\hline 13 objections. & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 Q So let me ask you a different question, Mr. Larson: & 14 Q And does this report accurately state your opinion of \\
\hline 15 & 15 the value of 40 Wall Street as of August 1, 2010? \\
\hline & 16 A Yes. \\
\hline & 17 MR. LADOV: So, Your Honor, Id move this document \\
\hline & 18 into evidence. \\
\hline & 19 \\
\hline & \\
\hline 21 MR. LADOV: I'm asking whether he did work in & \\
\hline 22 conjunction with Mr. McConney. & \\
\hline 23 THE COURT: Overruled. If the witness knows the & \\
\hline 24 answer to the question. & \\
\hline 25 MR. KISE: That wasn't a question, though, Your & \\
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1595 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1597 \\
\hline 1 Honor. The question was did he do something. It wasn't did & 1 irrelevant. \\
\hline 2 he work with Mr. Larson. I can read it right there. & 2 MR. LADOV: So, Your Honor, I would just say you \\
\hline 3 THE COURT: Well -- & 3 have repeatedly explained that there is no statute of \\
\hline 4 MR. KISE: The question was did Mr. McConney do X, & 4 limitations bar on evidence. We will tie this evidence to \\
\hline 5 not did Mr. McConney work with Mr. Larson to do X. Big & 5 the relevant claims in this case within the statute of \\
\hline 6 difference. & 6 limitations, but there is absolutely no basis for barring \\
\hline 7 MR. LADOV: I think it's for the witness to & 7 this admission. \\
\hline 8 determine whether he understands the question or not. & 8 \\
\hline 9 And again, I don't think Mr. Kise should be having & \\
\hline 10 these speaking objections in front of the witness. & \\
\hline 11 THE COURT: Let's either -- I rather you just & \\
\hline 12 rephrase the question. So without prejudice, I'll ask the & \\
\hline 13 witness to -- the attorney to rephrase the question. & 13 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I'm apologizing for \\
\hline \[
14 \quad Q
\] & 14 speaking over my colleague, but I will say we have already \\
\hline & 15 established that the 2011 Statements of Financial Condition \\
\hline & 16 are considered by Deutsche Bank throughout the entire period \\
\hline 17 A No, I did not. & 17 that the Deutsche Bank loans were open -- information from \\
\hline 18 THE COURT: All right. Remember to keep your voice & 182010 was in the files of the Trump Organization. This \\
\hline 19 up. The answer was no, I did not? & 19 information was available to the Trump Organization at the \\
\hline 20 THE WITNESS: Correct, I did not. & 20 time they were making representations. \\
\hline 21 Q So let's move forward. I have a couple of documents to & 21 They are free to argue that the evidence should not \\
\hline 22 show you for the purpose of putting them into evidence. & 22 be granted much weight, that it doesn't matter, but the idea \\
\hline 23 So the first one I want to show you is a document & 23 that it's not relevant is simply not accurate and the fact \\
\hline 24 marked for identification as Plaintiff's Exhibit 238. And if & 24 that this appraisal was prepared at the time and was in the \\
\hline 25 you could scroll down to page two of this document. & 25 files of the Trump Organization is part of the record and is \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1598 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1600 \\
\hline 1 an appropriate part of the record. & 1 evidence for all purposes. \\
\hline 2 & 2 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence for all \\
\hline & 3 purposes. \\
\hline & 4 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline & 5 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1573 in \\
\hline & 6 evidence.) \\
\hline & 7 Q And I can take this document down and show you one more \\
\hline & 8 appraisal. It's -- I'm going to show you a document marked as \\
\hline 9 MR. WALLACE: This is a closing argument, not a & 9 Plaintiff's Exhibit 121, and can we turn to page two of this \\
\hline 10 factual objection. & 10 document. \\
\hline 11 MR. ROBERT: You're making a whole speech here. I & 11 Mr. Larson, do you recognize this document? \\
\hline 12 started out with a simple objection then you added & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 something, Mr. Wallace. I'm just putting context to why we & 13 Q And what is it? \\
\hline 14 think this is relevant and improper. & 14 A This is an appraisal of 40 Wall Street prepared for \\
\hline 15 MR. WALLACE: I think the record will show how & 15 Capital One Bank as of November 1, 2012. \\
\hline 16 simple the objection is. & 16 Q And if we turn to page six, can you tell me if that's \\
\hline 17 MR. ROBERT: I'll let the record speak for itself. & 17 your signature? \\
\hline 18 THE & 18 A Yes, it is. \\
\hline & 19 Q And does this appraisal report accurately describe the \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
20 information you and your colleagues use to value 40 Wall Street \\
21 in 2012?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 22 Ask again, read back? & 22 A Yes, it does. \\
\hline 23 MR. LADOV: I -- well, I think we moved it into & 23 Q And does this report accurately state your opinion of \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
24 evidence, Your Honor, by overruling the objection. \\
25 THE COURT: I'm sorry. It's in evidence.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
24 the value of 40 Wall Street as of November 1, 2012? \\
25 A Yes, it does.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & \\
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1599 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1601 \\
\hline & 1 MR. LADOV: Your Honor, I would move this document \\
\hline & 2 into evidence \\
\hline & 3 MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations. \\
\hline & 4 THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence. \\
\hline 5 Q So Mr. Larson, I'm actually going to take this document & 5 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 6 down and show you a document. It was actually introduced & 6 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 121 in \\
\hline 7 earlier this morning for identification as Plaintiffs & 7 evidence.) \\
\hline 8 Exhibit 1573, so I believe that defendants should have a copy of & 8 Q So we can take this down and we'll take a couple more \\
\hline 9 it from this morning. & 9 minutes, Mr. Larson, with one more document that was already \\
\hline 10 THE COURT: It will be on the screen anyway. & 10 introduced as Plaintiff's Exhibit 118. And we had looked at \\
\hline 11 Q So Mr. Larson, do you recognize this document? & 11 this earlier. It's the 2015 appraisal of 40 Wall Street. \\
\hline 12 A Yes. It is an appraisal of 40 Wall Street prepared for & 12 MR. LADOV \\
\hline 13 Capital One bank as of November 1, 2011. & \\
\hline 14 Q And if we scroll to page five, Mr. Larson, is that your & \\
\hline 15 signature? & \\
\hline 16 A Yes, it is. & 16 Q Yes, so it says here "market rental rate retail space" \\
\hline 17 Q And does this appraisal report accurately describe the & 17 and the first sentence reads, quote, "The subject property is \\
\hline 18 information that you and your colleagues received to value 40 & 18 leased to four retail tenants that include Dean \& DeLuca and \\
\hline 19 Wall Street in 2011? & 19 Neapolitan Express along the Wall Street side of the building. \\
\hline 20 A Yes. & 20 Neapolitan Express leases 550 square feet on the grade while \\
\hline 21 Q And does this report accurately state your opinion of & 21 Dean \& DeLuca leases 18,500 square feet on the grade." \\
\hline 22 the value of 40 Wall Street as of August 1, 2011? & 22 And then if you go down a little bit down here you can \\
\hline 23 A Yes. & 23 see mention of the Dean \& DeLuca lease with a contract rent of \\
\hline 24 MR. LADOV: So, Your Honor, I believe that this was & 24 \$1.4 million. Do you see all of that? \\
\hline 25 introduced earlier, but I would at this point move it into & \[
25 \text { A Yes, I do. }
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(1 \quad\) Q And this is part of a section of your appraisal report entitled, "Income Capitalization Approach." And you talked about that earlier; correct?

A Yes.
Q So do you know whether this \(\$ 1.4\) million lease with Dean \& DeLuca was included in your valuation of the property's income in this 2015 appraisal?

A Yes, it would have been.
Q And if we can turn to page 15 and look at the bottom of the page -- and this is the direct capitalization analysis.

So, again, just to confirm that net operating income number that includes the income from the Dean \& DeLuca lease; is that right?
A That is correct.
Q And then you applied a 4.25 percent capitalization rate to that net operating income; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And you did a couple of adjustments, but the final value of \(\$ 540\) million that was consistent with your opinion of the value of 40 Wall Street at that time; is that right?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q So next I'd like to show you a document that has been admitted as Exhibit 3004 native 01 and it's a spreadsheet similar to the ones that we were looking at.

I would like to scroll down to lines 117 through 136.
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And I can show you here there is a reference here in the 2015
line to a \(\$ 540\) million Cushman \& Wakefield appraisal done June 2015 for loan refinancing of Ladder. That's the appraisal we've been looking at; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And then you can also see in addition to that
\(\$ 540\) million there is additional value listed of \(\$ 120,348\). Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And part of that additional value is derived by taking the vacant retail space lease with Dean \& DeLuca of \(\$ 1.4\) million and applying a 3.04 percent cap rate to it. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q So you already included the Dean \& DeLuca lease in your \(\$ 540\) million valuation; correct?

A That is correct.
Q So is this \(\$ 660\) million valuation, is that double counting the Dean \& DeLuca lease?
A I'm not sure, you know, what they did. I know my -- in
20 the -- in my June 2015 appraisal Dean \& DeLuca was included in 21 the valuation.

Q So that's fine. And then I guess the other question I
23 would ask they applied -- whoever did this analysis applied a
24 cap rate of 3.04 percent. Is that the same cap rate that you
25 used to determine the value of 40 Wall Street in 2015 ?

A No, it's not.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. LADOV: I think that's fine, Your Honor. I think I actually have one more document to show the witness.

Can we turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 731 native?
Q And this is another version of the Statement of
Financial Condition Supporting Data for 2015. And looking at actually, sorry.

MR. LADOV: Can we scroll down to line 117 ?
Q And so this is another analysis of the value of 40 Wall Street in 2015. And do you see here that the value reached in this document is now \(\$ 735\) million?
A Yes, 735,400,000?
Q Correct.
A Yes.
Q
\(\square\)

Q And again, 3.29 percent, is that the cap rate that you used in your appraisal in 2015 ?

A It is not.
Q And looking at the note there at the bottom and it says
D. Larson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov)
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for June 30, 2015, quote, "Based on information provided by
Douglas Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield on 11/23/2015, which reflects a 3.04 percent for 100 Wall Street," and then it says "based on a telephone conversation with Doug Larson on 2/1/2016 since the ground lease still has about 190 years left, the effect on the cap rate is minimal to be conservative. We increased the cap rate from .25 percent to 3.29 percent."

So, again, Mr. Larson, did you work with Mr. McConney or anyone else at the Trump Organization to value 40 Wall Street in this manner for the 2015 Statement of Financial Condition?

A No, I did not.
Q And the cap rate that's described here is not the cap
rate that you used in your appraisal in 2015; is that correct?
A Just repeat that again.
Q Sure. The cap rate here of 3.29 percent, that's a
different cap rate than the 4.25 percent cap rate that you used in your appraisal; is that right?

A Yes, that is correct.
Q And then finally, I'd like to turn to the Statement of Financial Condition of Mr. Trump as of June 30, 2015. This is Document 729. And I'd like to turn to the top of page nine.

And Mr. Larson, you can see it says, quote, "The estimated current value of \(\$ 735,400,000^{\prime \prime}\)--

MR. KISE: Your Honor, this is going to be the same objection.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline D. L & (arson - Plaintiff - direct (Ladov) Page 1606 & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. FIELDS)} & Page 1608 \\
\hline 1 & MR. LADOV: I haven't even finished -- & 1 & AFTERNOON & SESSION & \\
\hline 2 & MR. KISE: I know, but I can read it. Let's just & 2 & * * * & * & \\
\hline 3 & save ourselves the time. & 3 & THE COURT OFF & ER: All rise. Pa & 7 is back in \\
\hline 4 & THE COURT: No, let him read it and we can discuss. & 4 & session. The Honorable J & ge Arthur Engo & presiding. \\
\hline 5 & We have two minutes. & 5 & Please be seated and com & to order. & \\
\hline 6 & So you want to re-read the whole thing? & 6 & THE COURT: Welc & e back, everybod & ome of you. \\
\hline 7 & MR. LADOV: I'll start again, Your Honor. & 7 & I understand we have some & ross-examinatio & y counsel. \\
\hline 8 & Q So the text at the top of this page says, quote, "The & 8 & MR. FIELDS: Yes, & our Honor. May & please the \\
\hline & estimated current value of \$735,400,000 is based upon an & 9 & Court, Mr. Lazaro Fields for & resident Trump & he defense. \\
\hline & evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates & 10 & THE COURT OFFI & ER: Witness e & ring. \\
\hline & and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are & 11 & (Witness resumes th & stand.) & \\
\hline & currently the subject of negotiation and a capitalization rate & & THE COURT: Okay & Welcome book. & begin with \\
\hline & applied to the resulting cash flow to be derived from the & & the cross-examination of & r. Larson. & \\
\hline & building's operations." & 14 & MR. FIELDS: Than & you, your Honor & \\
\hline 15 & & & CROSS-EXAMINATION & & \\
\hline & & & BY MR. FIELDS: & & \\
\hline & & & Q Good afternoon, Mr. & rson. & \\
\hline & & & A Hello. & & \\
\hline & & & Q My name is Lazaro Fi & ds. I'm going & ask you a \\
\hline & & & couple of questions, okay? & & \\
\hline & & & A Okay. & & \\
\hline & & & Q Mr. Larson, on direct ex & mination, you & e asked by \\
\hline 23 & MR. LADOV: I have no further questions, Your & & counsel about valuations and a & praisals. Do yo & call those \\
\hline 24 & Honor. & & lines of questions? & & \\
\hline 25 & THE COURT: Finished with this witness? & & A Yes. & & \\
\hline & Page 1607 & & ARSON - CROSS(MR. FIELDS) & & Page 1609 \\
\hline 1 & MR. LADOV: Yes. & & Q And you understand th & ere is a differ & between a \\
\hline 2 & THE COURT: Any cross examination of this witness & 2 & valuation and appraisal; is th & correct? & \\
\hline 3 & at this time? & & A They are the same thin & & \\
\hline 4 & MR. FIELDS: Yes, Your Honor. & 4 & Q A valuation and an app & risal is the same & ing; is that \\
\hline 5 & THE COURT: So after lunch break. & 5 & your testimony? & & \\
\hline 6 & Okay. We'll be back at \(2: 15\) everyone. Have a good & & A But the words can be & ed interchang & \\
\hline 7 & lunch. & & Q Isn't it true, Mr. Larso & that a real esta & developer \\
\hline 8 & (Whereupon, there is a luncheon recess in the & & can value their properties, co & rect? & \\
\hline 9 & proceedings.) & & A Yes. & & \\
\hline 10 & Transcript continues on the following page.... & & Q That doesn't mean that & real estate dev & oper is \\
\hline 11 & & & conducting an appraisal of th & ir property, co & \\
\hline 12 & & & A Yes, that would be cor & ct. & \\
\hline 13 & & & Q Because a real estate d & veloper, unles & ey are a \\
\hline 14 & & & certified appraiser, cannot co & duct an apprai & is that \\
\hline 15 & & & correct? & & \\
\hline 16 & & & A Yes, that would be -- & hat would mak & nse. \\
\hline 17 & & & Q So now would you ag & e with me, Mr. & arson, that \\
\hline 18 & & & appraisals and valuations are & different? & \\
\hline 19 & & & A An appraisal is a very & tailed, organiz & appraisal, \\
\hline 20 & & & yes. It's an - sometimes the t & ms are used loos & y together. \\
\hline 21 & & & Q But an appraisal -- stri & ke that. Withd & \\
\hline 22 & & & A valuation is not nec & sarily an appra & , correct? \\
\hline 23 & & & A Like I said, the terms & e used interch & eably \\
\hline 24 & & & together, but an appraisal is a & rm that's used b & appraisers \\
\hline 25 & & & in compliance with USPAP. & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(1 \quad Q\) An appraisal is a term of art; is that correct?
2 A Appraisal is an -- is an estimate of a market value.
3 Q Is it a term of art?
4 A It's a -- it would really be -- I don't know if you call it a term of art. I'm not sure.

Q Okay. But you would agree with me that if I said that I, as a property developer, valued my property, that does not necessarily mean that I conducted an appraisal of my property, correct?

A Unless you're a certified appraiser, it would not be an appraisal. It would not be an appraisal, you know, a formal appraisal, no.

Q But it would be a valuation, correct?
A I guess you could say that.
Q And there's nothing that prohibits President Trump as a real estate developer from valuing his own properties; is that correct.
A I wouldn't know any -- I don't know.
Q I want to be very clear, Mr. Larson. My question is there's nothing from prohibiting President Trump from valuing his own properties, correct?
A Not that I know of.
Q All right. Do you remember being interviewed by the Attorney General's office on October 29th of 2019?

A Yes.
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\(1 \quad Q\) And there was a record taken of your testimony that day; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Have you looked at your testimony since that day?
A I've looked at it once, yes.
Q When did you look at it?
A Weeks ago.
Q I'm going to direct you to page 207, line five that will be pulled up on the screen here.

A Okay.
Q Do you recall being asked:
"QUESTION: Is that something you would have found significant or remembered? Do you remember it?
"ANSWER: No, I don't remember this, and I'm sure owners value their own properties, so it is nothing that stands out."

That was your testimony, correct?
A Yes.
Q I'll direct you to page 166, line seven:
"QUESTION: So you would say this valuation is inaccurate?
"ANSWER: It's not my valuation. Yes, it could be his valuation or Trump's valuation, but it's not my valuation."

So you would agree nothing prohibits President

Trump as a real estate developer from valuing his property, correct?
A Yes. I guess anybody can do their own valuation.
Q Just because President Trump or any of his designees
value their own properties doesn't necessarily mean that he's
conducting an appraisal of his own property; is that correct?
A To prepare an appraisal by an appraiser, professional appraisal, you need to be licensed and meet the requirements of USPAP.

Q So would the answer to my question be no?
A Just repeat the question again, so I don't get lost.
MR. FIELDS: May I have a readback, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes, read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A So could you ask it another way, so I understand? I'm sorry. Just want to make sure I'm clear.
Q So Mr. Larson, we've established that valuations and appraisals are two different concepts, correct?

MR. LADOV: Objection.
THE COURT: Yes and no. I don't know that I would let that stand because he said they're interchangeable, but they're also different. Look, I think the term "valuation," it can mean an appraisal, but the fact that somebody owns property or even doesn't own it, they could put a value on
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it in their head or on paper. So I don't know that I would totally agree with the premise of your question. I didn't hear the question. I only heard the premise.

MR. FIELDS: So let me back up.


A I'm not aware.
Q In terms of the manner in which you value property and I want to use the word "value" deliberately. When you value property, do you apply one of the three valuation methods generally?
A Correct.
Q And those methods are the cost method, the income method and the sales comparable method; is that right?
A Yes.
Q Now, when you conduct an appraisal, do you also use those same three methods to appraise the value of a property?
A The market will dictate what appraisal methodology you 24 use or what -- whether or not you use the cost approach, sales
25 approach or income capitalization approach. The market will
dictate that.
Q But you would agree with me that when you appraise a property, you use one of those three methods?
A One or two typically in New York. Cost approach is typically not applied.
Q Let me ask it another way. Is there another method other than the three that we've just discussed that you would employ to appraise the value of a property?
A No.
Q Okay. So then we can agree that the universe of
methods available to you or to anyone to value or appraise a property are the three that we've just discussed; namely, the cost approach, the income approach or the sales comparable approach?
A Yes.
Q And your testimony a minute ago was that the cost approach is generally disfavored in New York City; is that correct?
A It's typically not used.
Q And why is that?
A Because there's a lot of depreciation, older buildings in New York which make it less reliable.

Q For both commercial and residential?
24 A I -- I can only speak to office, in commercial.
25 Q The income and sales comp approaches are the methods
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that are most often used to appraise the value of property, specifically commercial property in New York City; would that be fair?
A Yes.
Q Would you be able to speak to the method used to value properties outside of New York City?
A The methods would be the same outside New York City.
Q So the methods that one would use in Miami, for
instance, for a commercial property would be the same methods that would be employed in New York City; is that right?

A Yes, an appraiser would use the cost approach, income approach or sales comparison approach.

Q And to be clear, those are approaches would be the same regardless of whether you're valuing a property or appraising a property?
A It's -- the names of valuation or the term "valuation" or "appraisal," you know, are used interchangeably. When an appraiser does an appraisal, it's an appraisal and we identify it as appraisers as an appraisal report.
Q But when President Trump values his property, it is not an appraisal, correct?

A Anybody valuing their properties can call it whatever 23 they want.
24 Q But when President Trump values his properties, it is 25 not an appraisal, correct?

MR. LADOV: Objection; asked and answered.
THE COURT: I'm generally very liberal on asked and answered.

MR. FIELDS: It is a yes-or-no question.
THE COURT: Sorry, what?
MR. FIELDS: It was a yes-or-no question.
THE COURT: Is it a question or is it just something you're trying to tell us?

MR. FIELDS: It is, Your Honor. The reason why is on direct examination, the witness testified and used the terms interchangeably and he has just testified that the two are different, that an appraisal is something that only an appraiser can do and when President Trump has financial statements that have values, that doesn't necessarily mean they are appraised numbers which this witness testified earlier that he had hoped they were.

MR. LADOV: Objection. It misstates the prior testimony.

THE COURT: Maybe we can all agree. We all know what an appraisal is. It is kind of official. It is formal. You have to be an appraiser, et cetera. The term "value," "valuation" is much looser. Somebody could use valuation to mean an appraisal and that's what the witness said, they're interchangeable. Somebody else could just say, well, the value of my property is X dollars. So I
is to say.

Mr. Kise, do you agree or disagree?
MR. KISE: I don't disagree. At least you and I don't disagree. I don't think Mr. Fields and I disagree. The problem is the witness won't say it. For whatever reasons, he's being evasive.

MR. LADOV: Objection.
MR. KISE: You can object all you want. It is pretty obvious only appraisers can do appraisals. They are the only licensed people that can do appraisals. That's it. It's a real simple answer. It is a yes-or-no question. He has refused to give yes-or-no answers and I would ask the Court to admonish the witness like every witness that has come here and say, "If you can answer it yes or no, answer it yes or no." But he is clearly being evasive. I don't know why because it is such a basic question.

MR. LADOV: They are badgering the witness and they are misstating the testimony.

THE COURT: What if we did this? Try to write on a clean slate somewhat. Ask the question. If it is yes or no, and I think it can be answered yes or no, I'll direct the witness to answer it yes or no unless he says he can't.

MR. FIELDS: I apologize, but may I have a readback of my last question?

Q And generally, what is the discounted cash flow analysis?

A Discounted cash flow analysis is typically a
lease-by-lease analysis with assumptions for tenants on rollover. So it is a very detailed exercise.
Q And what are you trying to discount or what are you trying to solve for?
A You solve for a value of the property.
Q Present or future?
A It could be at a point. It could be a current value or a perspective value.

Q And when you say "current value," you say -- do you mean a current market value?

A Yes.
Q That is different from a current investment value; is that right?
A Yes.
Q You're aware of what an investment value is?
A Yes.
Q What's the difference between an investment value and a market value?
A A market value has a definition that state under
24 certain conditions - I'd have to look at the definition again.
25 And an investment value are typically -- again, look -- without
looking at the definition, it would be under certain assumptions
that would be provided to you as an appraiser.
Q And you've been an appraiser for how many years, Mr. Larson?
A 30 years.
Q Could you give me based on your 30 years experience your best understanding of what current market value is?
A So it is a market value that's unbiased. A market
value would be as of a certain date, you know, taking into
account market conditions of the time. So I would have to look at a definition to be more exact.

Q Would you agree with me that a market value is akin to a value today?

A Right.
Q And an investment value is a value upon a future date, correct?

A It doesn't have to be.
Q But it could be?
A Could be.
Q And as far as you are aware -- or withdrawn.
Can an appraiser do an investment value appraisal?
A Yes.
Q Have you done those yourself?
A I don't recall. I don't remember doing one.
Q It's not something that you have done regularly; is

\section*{D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. FIELDS)}

Page 1621

\section*{that fair?}

A Yes, it is not a regular practice.
Q Are there appraisers that specialize in doing
investment value appraisals?
A There could be. I don't -- I'm not sure.
Q But you are aware that investment value appraisals are a thing for lack of a better term?

A It -- yes. It's -- that -- that could happen.
Q And would you agree with me that real estate developers generally request investment value appraisals to determine what the future value of their investment could be?
A Just repeat that again, so I can answer it accurately.
Q Would you agree with me that real estate appraisers often request investment value appraisals to determine what the value of their investment in the future will be?
A So you're -- you're asking me if appraisers request investment value appraisals or the clients?
18 Q Real estate developers.
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assumptions into the future; is that fair?
 lender appraisals?

A The majority of our work is for lender appraisals, yes.
Q When a lender -- withdrawn.
When a lender retains you to conduct an appraisal on their behalf, your analysis is retrospective; is that fair?
A We define, you know, the date of the appraisal, the
date of the value, and it could be retrospective. It could be a market value as is or it could be a perspective value.
Q The data that you rely on is data that you obtain from the past, right?

A Yes, but we ask for the most current information.
Q Isn't the general understanding in the industry that
lender-ordered appraisals are intended to provide the bank with what the liquidation value of a particular property would be?

A A liquidation value is a different definition.
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Q Do you understand what that means?
A Yeah. I'd have to look at the definition again to be clear about it, but there is a value called liquidation value which has certain assumptions regarding marketing period and time to sell.
Q What is your understanding of what a liquidation value is based on your 30 years of experience in the industry?
A A liquidation value is potentially for a quick sale
and, you know -- but the value would need to be defined and, you know, the marketing period would need to be addressed, the time of sale.
Q So back to my original question, would you agree with me that it's commonly known in the industry that lender-ordered appraisals -- in lender-ordered appraisals, the lender is generally looking at liquidation value to know what they will get for the property in a quick sale?
A They could be and they would request that value.
Q But you as an appraiser know that when you're
conducting an appraisal for a lender, that the lender is looking for a liquidation value, correct?

MR. LADOV: Objection; asked and answered.
THE COURT: Asked and answered. But again, not my favorite objection. So if there is a different answer, there is a different answer. Same answer, it is the same answer. You can ask it again without prejudice, not more
than five or six times, okay.
MR. FIELDS: I certainly won't.
THE COURT: Can you ask it again or do you need a readback?

MR. FIELDS: At the risk of annoying the court reporter, I'm going to ask for a readback with Your Honor's indulgence. THE COURT: They have a lot of patience.

Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

(Continued on the next page.)

Q Whether the lender asks you for a liquidation value appraisal as an appraiser based on your experience, though, do you employ a concert of assumptions to assure the lender of a value they could get in a quick sale situation?

A We would have to be asked to provide that analysis.
Q Were -- to the best of your recollection, were you
asked to provide that analysis for the 2011, 2012 and 201540
Wall Street appraisals?
A I would have to look at the appraisals and see what was requested and what values were provided.

MR. FIELDS: All right. Let's turn to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1573 that's been admitted, I believe.
Q Mr. Larson, does this look like the appraisal that you conducted for 40 Wall Street dated November 1, 2011 ?
A Yes.
Q And on the cover page it says it's prepared for Capital One Bank; correct?
A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: And if we can go to page three?
Q Is this the transmittal letter?
A That is correct.
Q And this would be coming from you and directed to Capital One Bank; is that right?

A Yes.
25 Q If you look at the second full paragraph beginning with
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1626 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1628 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 this report, it says, "This report was prepared for Capital One \\
2 Bank and is intended only for its specified use. It may be \\
3 distributed to the client's attorneys, accountants, advisors, \\
investors, lenders, potential mortgage participants, and rating \\
5 agencies. It may not be distributed to or relied upon by other \\
persons or entities without written permission of Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc." \\
Do you see in the second line where it says "the client?" "The client's attorneys?"
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 Q And in making a forecast you would agree with me that an appraiser is -- is applying his or her professional judgment to available data to arrive at a present or future value; is that right? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And the -- and there is a wealth of available data that appraisers can look at to value a particular property -withdrawn. \\
9 And there is a wealth of available data appraisers can
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 look at to appraise a property on a present or future date; is \\
\hline 11 Q Who is the client? & 11 that right? \\
\hline 12 A Capital One Bank & 12 A Yes. The -- we're -- as appraisers you are projecting \\
\hline 13 Q So to be clear, this appraisal that you conducted was & 13 a prospective value in the future certain assumptions need to be \\
\hline 14 prepared for Capital One Bank and that was your client; right? & 14 made and -- but you need to start with the assumptions that you \\
\hline 15 A Yes. & 15 know today and the market information about this -- about a \\
\hline 16 Q And the appraisal explicitly notes that it's only & 16 building today; the rent roll and expenses and other \\
\hline 17 intended for Capital One Bank's specified use; is that right? & 17 information. \\
\hline 18 A As well as -- yes, that's correct. & 18 Q But even in terms of arriving at a present value an \\
\hline 19 Q And I think you were going to say as well as Capital & 19 appraiser has to make certain determinations based on available \\
\hline 20 One Bank's attorneys, accountants, advisors, investors, lenders & 20 data; right? \\
\hline 21 potential mortgage participants, and rating agencies? & 21 A Correct. \\
\hline 22 A Yes. & 22 Q And the available data -- withdrawn. \\
\hline 23 Q None of those individuals or entities that are & 23 Are these generally known as subjective factors that \\
\hline 24 mentioned right there are the Trump Organization; correct? & 24 appraisers look to to arrive at a present or future value? \\
\hline 25 A I don't see their name in th & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1627 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1629 \\
\hline 1 Q My question is based on this disclaimer would the Trump & \(1 \quad\) Q And what are some of those subjective factors? \\
\hline 2 Organization fall into any of these specified individuals or & 2 A Growth rates for income and expenses where changes in \\
\hline 3 entities? & 3 cap rates and there is a variety of things you could -- you \\
\hline 4 A It doesn't appear to be, & 4 would have to look a \\
\hline 5 Q And then at the bottom of page three you've identified & 5 Q Sales comparables as well? \\
\hline 6 a "Market Value As Is" as of November 1, 2011 of \$200 million; & 6 A The sales comparables you know what's transacting as of \\
\hline 7 is that right? & 7 your most current information \\
\hline 8 A Yes. & 8 Q But it's a factor? The recent sales -- \\
\hline 9 MR. FIELDS: And if we can flip to the next page, & 9 A Factor to look at sales, yes. \\
\hline 10 page four? & 10 Q Selection of markets is also a factor; correct? \\
\hline 11 Q Here you identify a prospective market value of & 11 A Yes. \\
\hline 12 \$270 million as of November 1, 2014; is that right? & 12 Q Discount rates as well? \\
\hline 13 A Yes. & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 Q And it says at the top "based on the agreed to scope of & 14 Q Determining the highest and best use of a particular \\
\hline 15 work and as outlined in this report" -- excuse me -- "the & 15 property as well? \\
\hline 16 report, we have developed an opinion that the prospective market & 16 A Correct. \\
\hline 17 value of a leasehold estate of a referenced property subject to & 17 Q Real estate cycles? \\
\hline 18 the assumptions, limit conditions, certifications and & 18 A Yes \\
\hline 19 definitions on November 1, 2014 will be \$270 million?" & 19 Q So would you agree with me then that appraisals are an \\
\hline 20 A Yes. & 20 art not a science? \\
\hline 21 Q And what are the assumptions that appraisers generally & 21 A That term has been used before, but it's -- it's a -- \\
\hline 22 employ to obtain a future value like this prospective value? & 22 it's an estimate of market value by the appraiser based on \\
\hline 23 A It's -- using a prospective value is using today's & 23 experience, knowledge of the market and their best estimate at \\
\hline 24 assumption -- today's information about the property in making & 24 the time they're doing the apprais \\
\hline 25 forecasts. & 25 Q But do you agree that an appraisal is an art not a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
science?
A I've heard that term before. I would probably agree with it, yes.
Q Okay. And to give you an example, we're standing in
this courthouse. Could two appraisers equally qualified
appraise the value of this property they were standing in today
based on the same exact data at two different numbers?
A Yes.
Q And is that because one appraiser may give a little bit more weight to some factors that the other appraiser may give a little less weight to?
A Yes.
\(Q\) And vice versa?
A Correct.
MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could flip to page five of the 2011 report, please?
Q Could we establish, Mr. Larson, that your signature and the signature of Mr. Nardella and Mr. Papagianopoulos appear on the report; correct?
A Yes.
Q And Mr. Nardella at the time of this report was your supervisor; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And you worked closely with Mr. Nardella?
A Yes.

\section*{A Yes.}

MR. FIELDS: And if we could move to page 20, please?
Q In the middle of the page it says "intended use and users of the appraisal."

Under "intended use," it says, "this appraisal is intended to provide an opinion of the market value of the leasehold interest in the property for loan underwriting and/or 9 credit decisions. All other uses are unintended, unless specifically stated in the letter of transmittal."

So would you agree with me that unless otherwise stated in the letter of transmittal that we just looked at at page three that the appraisal can only be used for loan underwriting and/or credit decisions?

A Yes, that's what it says.
Q But you would agree with me that what it says is that it can only be used for those two things; right?

A Yes.
Q And we just looked at the letter transmittal and we agree that the letter transmittal it didn't say anything about any other entity other than the lender or some of its affiliates from being able to use the appraisal; correct?

A Yes.
Q Under "intended user," it says "this appraisal report was prepared for the exclusive use of Capital One Bank and/or JCL
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\section*{D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields)}
affiliates. The intended function is for loan underwriting and/or credit decisions by Capital One Bank and/or participants. It may be distributed to the client's attorneys, accountants, advisors, and investors. All other uses and users are unintended. It may be distributed to the alient's attorneys, accountants, advisors and investors."

After reading this do you have a different opinion or
the same opinion as to who this appraisal is intended for and whether entities not affiliated with Capital One Bank could rely on it and use it?

A The same.
\(Q\) If we can move to page 38, please. The second paragraph beginning on the first line where it says "overall asking rents."
"Overall asking rents have fallen \(\$ 0.28\) per square foot to end the quarter at \(\$ 39.10\) per square foot."

Why is asking rents relevant to your analysis in this appraisal?

A We look at both asking rents and taking rents.
Q I'm sorry?
A We look at both asking rents and taking rents.
\(Q\) Taking?
A Taking rents or leases that are actually signed.
9 And what do you use the asking rent figure for as part of the appraisal?
D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields)

A It's additional data to look at.
Q Did you rely on the \(\$ 39.10\) per square foot asking rent as part of this appraisal?

A It was part of the information we reviewed at the time of the appraisal.
\(Q \quad\) But my question is did you rely on it?
A Yes, we relied on it.
\(Q\) And did the asking rents inform you when you project what a future value might be for that same property?

A Not necessarily.
o So what information do you use when you project a future prospective value with an appraisal?

A We'll estimate market rents today based on infornation of asking rents, signed leases, interviews with brokers and surveys, and a variety of information and then inflate that to a prospective date.
\(9 \quad\) okay. So you said you estimate market rents today.
In this specific appraisal was the estimate of market rents today \(\$ 39.10\) per square foot?

A That was the asking rent as of the third quarter.
\(Q\) And \(m_{y}\) question is is that the figure that you used in determining the market rent for this appraisal?

A Yes.
9 And then when you value the property or appraise the property into the future the \(\mathbf{\$ 3 9 . 1 0}\) per square foot was your
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\section*{D. Larson - plaintiff - cross (Fields)}
base and you use that to then predict future value?
\(A\) As I said, the \(\$ 39.10\) is an asking rent. We look at other things and then estimate what the market rent is based on all of this information in the appraisal, including the asking rents in the market.

9 But I think we just established that the market rent at this time was \(\$ 39.10\), right?

A No, that's not correct.
\(Q\) Okay. So could you look at the appraisal then, please, and tell me what the market rent was at this time that you used in this appraisal?

A Sure. The market rents for office space on floors three through 22 is \(\$ 32\) a foot or floors 23 through 33 was \(\$ 34\) a foot. For floors 34 to 49, \(\$ 40\) a foot and for floors 50 to 63 , \$44 a foot.

0 And where are you reading from? what page on the bottom?

A 124 of 191 .
Q Is it common for you to aggregate those numbers to determine what the entire -- what the market rent would be for the whole property or do you have to do it floor by floor?

A It's better to do it floor by floor because rents change in the building with height.

MR. FIETDS: All right. If we can move to page 43, please?
D. Larson - plaintiff - cross (Fields)
\(Q\) First sentence under "Conclusion" you write, 'Downtown's recovery will continue in 2011 with positive job numbers and rising demand."

Would it be fair to say that in 2008 that the housing -- withdrawn.

Would it be fair to say in 2008 the commercial real estate market collapsed in New York?

A Yes.
Q And at this time in 2011 there was more positivity in the commercial real estate market; is that right?

A Yes.
Q In the last sentence of this paragraph you urite: nDowntown is on pace to have a record year in leasing activity with high profile deals like Conde Mast's lease at One Forld Trade." Hould it be fair to say, Mr. Larson, at the time you were conducting this appraisal that was a positive trend in the commercial real estate market?

A Yes, that's what that essentially says.
\(Q\) Things were looking up, not down; right?
A Correct.
MR. FTETDS: If we could turn to page 59?
9 In the middle of the page under the heading "Assessment Information" you note that in 2011, 2012, the total assessed value for this property was \(\$ 71\) million; is that right? A Yes.
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> D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields)
\(Q\) And to be clear, you did not rely on the assessed value of the property in determining your appraisal number?

A We do rely on this as value.
9 But your appraisal figure was north of -- or 200 million; right?

A Right.
Q Not 71 million?
A Right. Those are two different things.
\(Q\) Completely different things?
A Correct.
MR. FIETDS: If we could move forward to page 104.
9 Onder the heading "Occupancy status" at the bottom. First sentence, "The property 40 wall street is currently 72.88 percent leased to 50 office tenants and four retail tenants." So at this time 40 wall street has an occupancy rate of approximately between 72 and 73 percent; correct?

\section*{A Yes.}

0 And on page 108 you note in the middle of the page under "leese structure of the subject property," first sentence, that "the property is leased to nine major office tenants (defined as tenant spaces greater than 20,000 square foot.)" So at this time there is nine major office tenants; correct?

A Yes.
9 And then if we back out to the third paragraph that
D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) begins with "the nine major office tenants." "The nine major office tenants previously mentioned?"

A Yes, I see it.
Q One moment, if you don't mind.
So the first sentence says "the nine major office tenants previously mentioned represent over 40 percent of the property's total rentable area; correct?

A Yes.
0 So at this point there is nine major tenants and those nine tenants represent 40 percent of the total rentable area of this property?

A Yes.
Q Now we can move forward to page 125 under heading "Office Market Rental Growth Rate."

You write: nBased on our recent survey, buyers' expectations in the downtown Manhattan real estate market anticipate rents to increase at CPI.n

\section*{Is CPI the consumer price inder?}

A Yes.
9 "These changes in market conditions result in caw's leasing brokerage group in downtown manhattan to forecast the following rent growths."

And you state that in 2011 the rent growth is zero percent and thereafter it's three percent. You also note below that "we have assumed a growth rate of three percent
D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields)

Q How did you arrive at this growth rate?
A How did 1 -- can you repeat the question?
Q How did you come up with this growth rate?
A Well, it's explained based on recent surveys, undorwriting by buyers and leasing brokers. The assumption for growth rate was based on those factors.

Q But you used your independent professional judgment to arrive at that three percent growth rate; correct?

A You have to look at the data sources to make an estimate.

Q My question is did you use your independent professional judgment to arrive at that growth rate?

A Yes.
 property value for those future years; correct?

A Yes, possibly.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could go to лсL

\section*{D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields)}
beginning in 2012." What does this three percent growth rate represent?

A The growth and office market rents.
0 So would this represent a three percent growth beginning in 2012 of the asking rent in this jurisdiction?

A It's the rent growth assumption for market rent.
Q And --
A Not asking rents.
9 So the market rent figure would be the one that you read five minutes or so ago; is that right?

A Yes.
Q So you are assuming a three percent growth of that figure -- forgive me. Do you remember exactly what it was?

A I'd have to look again.
0 If you don't mind. Page 124, floors three through 22 is 32, floors 23 through 33 are \(\$ 34\) a foot. Floors 34 to 49 are \(\$ 40\) a foot and floors 50 to 63 are \(\$ 44\) a foot.

So you're assuming a three percent growth rate from those figures to project future value; is that right? A Yes.
9 And this growth rate would not start until the end of 2012 beginning of 2013; is that right?

A Yes.
9 So for 2011 and 2012, the growth rate would be zero?
A Yes.
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page 140, please?
\(Q\) The lower third of the page there is nnet operating income" in bold on the left. Do you see where it says "net operating income budgeted for 2011 total with \(\$ 6,236,273\) ?" A Yes.
\(Q\) And this is the net operating income that's used to divide by a capitalization rate to ultimately arrive at a property value; correct?

A Yes.
\(Q\) Not operating income and net income are two different concepts; correct?

A I know what net operating incone is; net incone, I'm not sure of that term.

Q So you don't know that you add interest and depreciation to net income to arrive at a net operating income?

A That sounds like more of an accounting, you know, calculation.

Q My question is are you familiar with that general terminology?

A Yes, 1 -- it's more of an accounting thing. We don't take into account, you know, net income or depreciation or appraisals.

9 But by saying that it's more of an accounting thing it seems like you understand generally what the concept is; correct?

\section*{D. Larson - plaintiff - cross (Fields)}

A I've heard of it before.
9 Okay. So you would agree then just to be clear that net operating income and net income are two different concepts; right?

A Yes, based on your definition of net income they're two different things.

Q Well, no. Not based on my definition. Based on what you've known for 30 years of appraising and known what accountants do?

A Yes, I'm not an accountant. I'm an appraiser and we utilize net operating income.

MR. FIELDS: If we go to page 173?
0 The fourth bullet point that begins with "The report." It says, "The report is to be used in whole and not in part."

Do you understand that to mean that you can't take out pages or subsections of a report? You must use the whole report?

A Yes.
9 "No part of the report shall be used in conjunction with any other analyses. Publication of the report or any portion thereof without the prior written consent of C\&w is prohibited. "
we discussed earlier, Mr. Larson, that the client for this engagement was Capital One Bank; correct?

A Yes .
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D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) THE COURT: One minute.
Q Therefore, would you agree that the publication of this report or any portion thereof by Capital One Bank without the prior written consent of Cushman \& Wakefield is prohibited?

A Yes.
Q And a couple of lines down you see in the middle where it says "No part of the report?"

A Yes.
Q NHO part of the report shall be conveyed to the public through advertising or used in any sales, promotion, offering or SEC material without CGW's prior written consent." This is what you wrote; correct?

A Yes. This is language that the company provides to put in our appraisal in that section of the report.

9 Because the company doesn't want their appraisal information to be handed out to the public; is that right?

A Yes. thir CoURT: Okay. Break time. Ten minutes. He'll
see you in 15, but I'd like the attorneys to hold back and come on up here.
(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.)
Transcript continues on the following page....

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
THE COURT: Let's continue with the cross-examination.

MR. FIELDS: Thank you, your Honor.
Q Good afternoon again, Mr. Larson. I would like to move forward a year to November 2012 when you finalized an appraisal for 40 Wall Street and I believe it's -- it is not in front of you. It will be hopefully in a moment. It is Exhibit 121 that's been admitted in evidence.

MR. FIELDS: For the record, that's Plaintiff's 121.

Q Do you recall being asked this morning by counsel for the government about this appraisal, Plaintiff's Exhibit 121?

A Repeat that again.
Q Do you recall having a discussion with counsel for the government earlier in the day about this appraisal that you finalized in November of 2012 or thereabouts?

A Yes.
Q This is your appraisal, right? If we can go to page six. This is your signature on page six as well as the signatures of Mr. Papagianopoulos and Mr. Nardella?
A Yes.
Q And for this appraisal in -- if we go to page four,
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you identified or concluded that the market value as is as of November 1, 2012 was \(\$ 200,000,000\), correct?

A Yes.
Q And then on page five, you identified or concluded
perspective market value as of November 1, 2015 for \(\$ 240\) million.

A Yes.
Q Is this the final appraisal that you submitted to the client in November of 2012 or thereabouts?

A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: Let's bring up Plaintiffs 1435 that has not been admitted in evidence. If we could go to page five.
Q Is this your signature here, Mr. Larson, and also the signatures of your colleagues?
A Yes.
Q And back to the first page, the cover page, again, this is an appraisal for 40 Wall Street as of November 1, 2012 prepared for Capital One Bank and at the bottom, it is prepared by Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc., correct?

A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: If we could go to page three.
Q Is this an appraisal that you conducted for 40 Wall
Street in or about November of 2012?
A Repeat that. I couldn't hear you.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. LARSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS) Page 1646 & D. LARSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS) Page 1648 \\
\hline 1 Q Is this an appraisal that you did for 40 Wall Street in & 1 Q \\
\hline 2 or about November of 2012? & \\
\hline 3 A Yes. The date of value is November 1, 2012, submitted & \\
\hline 4 October 25, 2012. & \\
\hline 5 MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, I move to admit & \\
\hline 6 Plaintiff's 1435 in evidence. & 6 Q So it is possible that the bank was unhappy with the \\
\hline 7 THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. & 7 value and asked you for a new one, correct? \\
\hline 8 (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as & 8 A I don't know. It could have been some assumptions that \\
\hline 9 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1435.) & 9 were used or something in the appraisal that was caught during \\
\hline 10 MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could put & 10 the review. I don't know. \\
\hline 11 Plaintiffs Exhibit 1435 and Plaintiff's 121 side by side. & 11 Q Well, do you know if you sent the bank the one with \\
\hline 12 Q We are looking at the cover pages of both of these & \(12 \$ 220\) million first or the one with \(\$ 200\) million first? \\
\hline 13 appraisals and they are both dated November 1, 2012, correct? & 13 A I don't know. \\
\hline 14 A Yes. & 14 Q \\
\hline 15 Q They're both for the same property? & \\
\hline 16 A Yes. & 16 A It doesn't say anything about liquidation value here. \\
\hline 17 Q And prepared for the same client, Capital One Bank? & 17 Q Well, is it possible that the bank was looking for a \\
\hline 18 A Yes. & 18 lower figure to know what they could liquidate the property in a \\
\hline 19 Q Finally they, are both prepared by Cushman \& Wakefield? & 19 worse case scenario? \\
\hline 20 A Correct. & 20 A If we were to provide a liquidation value, we would \\
\hline 21 Q If we can go to page three on both of the documents and & 21 identify that in the appraisal as a liquidation value. \\
\hline 22 again, this is a transmittal letter for both of these & 22 Q \\
\hline 23 appraisals, correct? & \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & 24 A No, I don't know. \\
\hline 25 Q And it is dated October 25, 2012? & 25 Q All right. Mr. Larson, we're going to move through \\
\hline D. LARSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS) Page 1647 & D. LARSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS) Page 1649 \\
\hline 1 A Yes. & 1 this appraisal a little quicker than we did like the first one \\
\hline 2 Q And again, it's directed to Capital One Bank? & 2 because we've already discussed some of these topics, but I do \\
\hline 3 A Yes. & 3 want to highlight a couple of things for you. \\
\hline 4 Q And this letter is coming from you to the client, & 4 MR. FIELDS: So in Plaintiff's 1435, if we can go \\
\hline 5 Capital One Bank, correct? & 5 just full screen to 1435. \\
\hline 6 A Yes. & 6 Q As we've discussed, page three, you arrived at a \\
\hline 7 Q At the bottom of Plaintiff's 121, the market value as & 7 November 1, 2012 as is value of \$220 million? \\
\hline 8 is as of November 1, 2012 is \$200 million, correct? & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 A Yes. & 9 Q And on the next page, page four, your perspective \\
\hline 10 Q You testified a minute ago that that was the final & 10 market value for the property as of November 1, 2015 is \$260 \\
\hline 11 appraisal that you transmitted to Capital One Bank, correct? & 11 million? \\
\hline 12 A Yes. Those have our signatures on it, yes. & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 Q And then if we look at Plaintiff's 1435, the market & 13 MR. FIELDS: And just for purposes of the record, \\
\hline 14 value as is as of November 1, 2012 is \$220 million, correct? & 14 in Plaintiff's 121, if we can put that side by side for a \\
\hline 15 A Yes. & 15 second. \\
\hline 16 Q Those are two different numbers, the same appraisal of & 16 Q On page five, your perspective market value in \\
\hline 17 the same property as of the same date, correct? & 17 Plaintiff's 121 is \$20 million less at \$240 million? \\
\hline 18 A Yes. & 18 A Yes. In terms of which one is the final, you'd have to \\
\hline 19 Q Why is that? & 19 ask the bank. \\
\hline 20 A There could have been an adjustment that was made and & 20 Q Sir, you're the appraiser that signed this property. \\
\hline 21 we're always working on copies. So I'm not sure which one was & 21 Aren't you supposed to know what the final appraised value is? \\
\hline 22 submitted to the bank as a final. & 22 A This report is from 2012. Can't -- I can't recall. I \\
\hline 23 Q Is it possible both of these were submitted to the bank & 23 would have to -- \\
\hline 24 as a final? & 24 Q Just so the record is clear -- I'm sorry. \\
\hline 25 A I don't know. & 25 A I said you'd have to ask the bank. I can't recall. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(1 \quad\) Q But just so the record is clear, you are an appraiser that signed an appraisal and there's two competing appraisals for the same property as of the same dates and you cannot testify here under oath which is the correct one?
A Capital One Bank requirement is to provide final appraisals. It could be subject to a review.

THE COURT: Let me just say are you asking for the
correct one, what you just said, or the final, official one
which is what I think you probably really mean?
MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, either of the two.
THE COURT: Well, let's distinguish between them.
Q As you sit here today, Mr. Larson, can you testify if Plaintiff's 121 or Plaintiff's 1435 is the correct or final appraisal for this year?

A No, not sitting here I cannot.
Q Is it possible that you made an error in finalizing the appraisal for this year for this property?

A I don't know. It -- we see value changes before the final frequently and then this is why we have so many reviewers going through these appraisals, to make sure that the very final is accurate, so I wouldn't know.
Q Wouldn't know if you made an error where you had two competing appraisals for the same date; is that your testimony?

A I don't remember the six.
25 Q But is it possible you made an error?
D. LARSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS)
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A It's possible. percent for this property? one? second?
A Not sitting here, I don't. correct?
A Yes, I remember that.

Q If we could go to page ten in the bottom half of the page, there's direct capitalization in bold letters. Do you recall that in 2011 you used the capitalization rate of seven

A Which -- which -- this is -- what appraisal is this?
Q This is 2012. My question was do you recall that in
the one that we looked at half-hour or so ago from 2011, that the capitalization rate was seven percent?

A Which appraisal from 2012? The first one or the second

Q I suppose that's a question only you can answer
respectfully, Mr. Larson. Do you know if it is the first or the

Q Okay. My question was as of 2011, at the appraisal we looked at earlier, the capitalization rate was seven percent,

MR. FIELDS: If we can pull up side by side
Plaintiff's 1435 and Plaintiff's 121. 1435, we can keep where it is. Plaintiff's 121 , let's look at page 11.
Q Can you see both the competing appraisals on the screen
here?
A Yes.
Q And in Plaintiffs 121, which is on the right, you see that there's a capitalization rate of seven percent, correct?

A Yes.
Q And Plaintiffs 1435, there's also a capitalization
rate of seven percent, right?
A Yes.
9 Q So you kept the capitalization rate the same from 2011
to 2012, correct?
A Yes.
Q Plaintiff's 1435, page 20. We looked at this earlier,
Mr. Larson, in 2011, but this is standard language that was used
in the prior appraisal relating to intended use and intended user, correct?
A Yes.
Q You have any reason to believe that this has changed from the one we looked at earlier in 2011 to this one?

A No, it looks pretty much the same.
Q If we could move to page 39 of Plaintiff's 1435, you
see at the first line, it says, "Overall asking rents have
fallen 12 percent per square foot to end the quarter at \(\$ 40.06\) per square-foot? You see that?

A Yes.
Q And do you recall from our discussion in 2011 that the
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asking rent then was \(\$ 39.10\) per square-foot?
A I can't remember the exact number.
Q Do you have reason to doubt the number or would you
like me to confirm it with you? I'm happy to.
MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up Plaintiff's 140, page 38.
Q So in 2011, overall asking rents have fallen \(\$ 0.28\) per
square-foot, ten and a quarter at \(\$ 39.10\) per square-foot?
A Yes, I see it.
Q So the asking rents have come up a little bit, correct?
A Yes.
Q Approximately a dollar or so?
A Yes.
Q But the next sentence in Plaintiff's 1435, we can keep it side by side, says, "Overall asking rents should remain over \(\$ 40\) per square-foot this year with strong demand. It was feared that after the financial crisis, the downtown market would languish for several years as the financial tenants with the largest footprint in the area suffered during the fallout. As mentioned earlier, media and technology tenants have been the biggest dealmakers recently and have been the biggest drivers of midtown south's improvement. As Midtown South's vacancy declines, tenants have expanded their search to midtown and downtown. The overflow from midtown south should add healthy demand."


1 Is this your -- withdrawn. Are you indicating here that there's positive sentiment in the market for the downtown area at this time?

A Yes, looks like it's starting to get better.
Q So there's a potential trend that's showing an upward trend; is that fair?
A Yes. In comparison with the prior appraisal or rent of 39.10 a foot versus 40.06 . It's very moderate between that period of time.

Q At the bottom of page 39 on 1435, under the heading, "leasing activity," you write that, "Leasing activity is down from a year ago. Downtown had its best year in a decade in 2011 in terms of leasing activity, so it is hard to compare."

Would it be fair to say that 2011 was a record year for leases in downtown New York City?
A It says downtown as best year in a decade since 2011.
Q And you continue. "However, there have been many renewals this year and these do not count towards statistics. Morgan Stanley alone signed a 1.2 million square-foot renewal in the second quarter. It is this sort of activity that has kept the market healthy this year. As tenants look to downtown with midtown south filling up, demand for space will grow. Downtown will not match last year's totals, but the market will continue to improve in 2012 because of greater demand."

Again, just to be clear, this is indicating that there

\section*{D. LARSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS)}
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is a positive sentiment in the market at this time, correct?
A Yes.
Q How does a positive market sentiment affect your analysis?
A We would view the trends in the market and we would
look at changes in market rents, changes in assumptions. We
look at all of the assumptions between these two appraisals.
Q Positive market sentiment could affect a capitalization rate, correct?
A Yes, it could.
Q And we've established that you kept the same
capitalization rate from 2011 to 2012, correct?
A Yes.
Q A similarly situated, reasonable appraiser with your experience could have arrived at a different capitalization rate, correct?
A Yes.
Q In fact, it could have -- he or she could have arrived at a lower capitalization rate, correct?

A It's possible.
Q I mean, a similarly situated appraiser could have put more stock into the positive sentiment in the market at this time to rely upon a lower capitalization rate?
A It's possible. That's possible an appraiser could be higher or lower.

Q And if an appraisal is -- withdrawn.
If a capitalization rate is lower than seven percent, that means the property value would go up, correct?

A Yes, unless there's additional capital or some other change in the property that would offset that.

Q Keeping everything equal, eliminating those variables, if all of that is the same, all the information is the same except a different capitalization rate, namely a lower one, that would result in a higher property value?

A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: Page 107 on the 1435, if we could go
to page 107 on the 1435 and if we could put side by side Plaintiff's 1573, page 104.
Q So on the right, Mr. Larson, we have 1573 which is the 2011 appraisal and on the left, we have 1435 which is one of the 2012 appraisals. Does that seem accurate?

A You are telling me 2011 -- just repeat that, please.
Q So 2011 is on the right and one of the 2012s is on the left.

A Okay.
Q Okay. In 2011, the occupancy status was 72.88 percent, correct?

A Yes.
Q And in 2012, in 1435, the occupancy status was 81.65 percent, correct?
D. LARSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS)
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A Yes.
Q So the occupancy status in one year has gone up almost ten percent, correct?

A Yes. It looks like the addition of four new office tenants.

Q I'm sorry. I didn't hear that last part.
A It looks like the addition of four new office tenants, 50 to 54.

Q Right. So there's an additional four office tenants in the 2012 appraisal. The occupancy rate also affects your analysis in arriving at an appraised value, correct?
A Yes.
Q And the occupancy rate can affect both the net operating income for a property, correct?

A Yes.
Q And it also could affect the capitalization rate for a property, correct?

A Can you repeat that?
Q The occupancy status of a property -- withdrawn.
The capitalization rate applied to an appraisal could go up or down depending on the occupancy -- occupancy status of that property, correct?
A That could be one of the variables or it could be market conditions, but we would determine that based on research.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 1659 \\
\hline 1 appraisal. & \\
\hline 2 (Continued on the next page.) & \\
\hline 3 & \\
\hline 4 & \\
\hline 5 & \\
\hline 6 & \\
\hline 7 & \\
\hline 8 & \\
\hline 9 & \\
\hline 10 & \\
\hline 11 & \\
\hline 12 & \\
\hline 13 & \\
\hline 14 & \\
\hline 15 & \\
\hline 16 & \\
\hline 17 & \\
\hline 18 & \\
\hline 19 & \\
\hline 20 & \\
\hline 21 & \\
\hline 22 & \\
\hline 23 & \\
\hline 24 & \\
\hline 25 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Q And a discount rate you would presume is lower where a building is more occupied than less occupied, correct?
A Yes, but there's other factors and risks that you have to look at, all of the information on the property, and how it's operating. So there are a variety of things that we look at other than just occupancy.

Q Agreed. But Mr. Larson, there are a whole host of subjectivities that an appraiser looks at when arriving at his or her appraised value, right?
A Yes.
Q And these that we are discussing now, cap rate discount rate and the like, are just a number of these subjectivities that you're relying on as an appraiser, right?

A These are all components or part of a valuation or

Q But different appraisers exercising their judgment can arrive at different conclusions based on these same subjectivities; correct?
A Just repeat that one more time.
Q Different appraisers, similarly situated appraisers relying on their independent judgment could arrive at different conclusions based on the same data or subjectivities available to them?
A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: All right. Let's move to 1435, page
111. And put next to it, please, 1573, page 108.

Q All right. So, again, on the left it's 2012; on the right, 2011. Under the heading "lease structure of the subject property," let's start with 2011. "The property is leased to nine major office tenants" in the first sentence; correct?
A Yes.
Q And if we could then back out and look at the third paragraph beginning with the nine major office tenants.

And the nine major office tenants represent over 40 percent of the property's total rentable area; right?

A Yes.
Q And if we look now at the 2012 appraisal, the number of office tenants has gone up to 11 which now represents 50 percent of the property's total rentable area; correct?
A Yes.
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Q So there's been two additional tenants in the last -major tenants in the last year and the percentage has gone up from 40 to 50 percent of the total rentable area?
A Correct.
Q Again, this is a factor a subjectivity that as an appraiser you look at to determine your appraisal value; correct?

A Yes, this is one of the variables we would look at.
MR. FIELDS: If we could go to 127 on 1435 and put that next to 125 of 1573.
Q Okay. Mr. Larson, so here the heading is "Office Market Rentable Growth Rate" and you've identified again the rent growth at three percent in both years; correct?
A Yes.
Q On the right in 2011, the growth rate begins in 2012 and we agreed earlier that's at the beginning of the 2013 year; right?
A Yes.
Q And then if we look at the left -- excuse me -- the
rent growth rate is three percent and that begins in 2012?
A Yes, that's what it says.
Q And both instances that would be beginning in the 2013 calendar year; right?
A No. It says we assumed growth in 2012 on both, both
25 appraisals. So -- so the growth rate would begin in 2012 for
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1662 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1664 \\
\hline 1 both appraisals & 1 Q I'm sorry? \\
\hline 2 Q But does it begin at the end of 2012 or the beginning & 2 A Can you show me what the seven percent represents? \\
\hline 3 of 2013? & 3 Q S \\
\hline 4 A With the growth rate assumptions applied & 4 MR. FIELDS: If we could go to Plaintiff's 1435, \\
\hline 5 & \\
\hline 6 & 6 THE COURT: Mr. Fields, any chance of finishing \\
\hline \(7 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) So as -- but my question is as you look at these two & 7 with this witness today? You have 15 minutes basically. \\
\hline 8 does it begin in the beginning of 2013 or the beginning of 2012? & 8 I'll give them one minute for redirect. \\
\hline 9 A I know what it says. It says three percent beginning & 9 MR. FIELDS: Unlikely, Your Honor. \\
\hline 10 in 2012. & 10 MR. KISE: Mr. Roberts, and I have a question or \\
\hline \(11 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) & \\
\hline 12 major tena & 12 THE COURT: All right. I hope you move things \\
\hline 13 two additional major tenants. The occupancy as a whole has gone & 13 along a \\
\hline 14 up approximately ten percent to about 81 percent, but you're & 14 Q So Plaintiff's 1435, page ten, this is a direct \\
\hline 15 still relying & 15 capitalization rate that you applied; correc \\
\hline 16 A Yes, & 16 A Yes, this is a going in capitalization rate. \\
\hline 17 Q And you would agree with me the s & 17 Q Okay. And if we back out this, zoom in and if we go a \\
\hline 18 appraiser & 18 little bit higher to the middle of the page where it says \\
\hline 19 correct? & 19 "terminal capitalization rate six percent;" correct \\
\hline 20 A Yes, another appraiser can come up with their own & 20 A Ye \\
\hline 21 assumptions. & 21 Q So then if we go back to page 163, the terminal \\
\hline 22 MR. & 22 capitalization rate that you applied was the highest, six \\
\hline 23 Plaint & 23 percent from \\
\hline 24 Q All right. On Plaintiff & 24 A Yes, it was higher than the average, correct \\
\hline & 25 THE COURT: Highest is always going to be higher \\
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1663 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Pag \\
\hline 1 & 1 than the average, right, or should I go back to high school? \\
\hline 2 Q We've established, Mr. Larson, that you applied & 2 MR. FIELDS: Well, Your Honor, that's why I went to \\
\hline 3 percent cap rate in this appraisal; right? & 3 law school because I'm very bad with \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 Q It's higher than the average and higher than the \\
\hline 5 Q And you see at the top that it has "downtown Manhattan & 5 highest value there; correct -- sorry \\
\hline 6 office building sales terminal capitalization rate summary." & 6 It equals the highest value and it's higher than the \\
\hline 7 Are these capitalization rates that you derived from & 7 average; right? \\
\hline 8 these properties based on recent sales? & 8 A So we selected the six percent terminally future \\
\hline 9 A Yes. & 9 terminalization cap rate. So these are the statistics from the \\
\hline 10 Q So, for instance, the 180 Water Street was a six & 10 sales. So they show your highlighted six percent high and \\
\hline 11 percent capitalization rate; right? & 11 average of 5.81 percent. \\
\hline 12 A Yes. Terminal capitalization rate to clarify. & 12 Q And the value you chose is higher than the average; \\
\hline 13 Q And the -- so -- w & 13 correct, the terminal capitalization rate that you chose for \\
\hline 14 The average capitalization rate based on these & 14 this property in this appraisal was higher than the average of \\
\hline 15 comparables that you identify was 5.81 percent; correct? & 155.81 percent? \\
\hline 16 A & 16 A Yes, we selected six \\
\hline 17 Q And the high capitalization rate was six percent? The & 17 MR. FIELDS: If we could go to Plaintiff's 1435, \\
\hline 18 highest one in the list? & 18 page 172? \\
\hline 19 A Yes. & 19 Q All right. Mr. Larson, is this now a direct \\
\hline 20 Q And you applied a seven percent capitalization rate; & 20 capitalization method being employed based on comparables? \\
\hline 21 correct? & 21 A Yes, this is the direct capitalization method \\
\hline 22 A Like I said, these are terminal capitalization rates. & 22 stabilized as of November 1, 2015 and we cite downtown overal \\
\hline 23 So in the future on a discounted cash flow what you showed me & 23 capitalization rates. \\
\hline 24 before -- can you correct me and show me what you showed me & 24 Q The overall capitalization rates that you cite here are \\
\hline 25 before? & 25 from between 3.62 to 6.74 percent; correct? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1666 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1668 \\
\hline 1 A Yes. & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 Q And the capitalization rate that you use was seven & 2 Q And in comparison to the 2012 appraisal or appraisals, \\
\hline 3 percent; correct? & 3 do you recall that the values were approximately 200 million or \\
\hline 4 A Yes & 4220 million, depending on which appraisal was the final one? \\
\hline 5 Q So the capitalization rate that you used was above the & 5 A Yes. \\
\hline 6 average and above the highest of the comparables that you & 6 Q And so would it be fair to say that from 2012 to 2015 \\
\hline 7 selected; correct? & 7 the appraised value of this property has gone up considerably? \\
\hline 8 A Yes. & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 Q And finally if we could go to page 176 fourth bullet & 9 MR. FIELDS: Page Roman Numeral VI top. \\
\hline 10 point. I won't read it again, but do you have any reason to & 10 Q Okay. Mr. Larson, so in the middle of the page the \\
\hline 11 believe that this language is any different than the language we & 11 terminal capitalization rate that you use now is five and a \\
\hline 12 looked at in the 2011 appraisal about limitations about the & 12 quarter; correct? \\
\hline 13 appraisal's use? & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 A No, it looks similar. & 14 Q And do you recall within the 2012 that you used a six \\
\hline 15 Q Therefore, the same restrictions on the use of the 2012 & 15 percent terminal capitalization rate? \\
\hline 16 appraisal as the ones that apply would be the same as the ones & 16 A That's correct. \\
\hline 17 that apply to the 2011 appraisal; correct? & 17 Q And if we can back that out and at the bottom of the \\
\hline 18 A Yes. & 18 page under the "direct capitalization" heading, the \\
\hline 19 MR. FIELDS: All right. Let's move to & 19 capitalization rate that you used here was 4.25 percent; right? \\
\hline 20 Plaintiff's 118, which has been admitted in evidence. It's & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline 21 the 2015 appraisal of 40 Wall Street. Page two is where the & 21 Q And as I recall in 2012, you used a seven percent \\
\hline 22 appraisal -- & 22 direct capitalization rate; right? \\
\hline 23 Your Honor, may I have a moment? Judge, may I have & 23 A Right. \\
\hline 24 a moment, please? & 24 Q So it's considerably lower? \\
\hline 25 (Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1667 & D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1669 \\
\hline \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) All right. Mr. Larson, on the screen is & 1 Q The net operating income under the direct cap method is \\
\hline 2 Plaintiff's 118, page 8. This is the 2015 appraisal for 40 Wall & 2 approximately \(\$ 23,203,000\); correct? \\
\hline 3 Street that you did; correct? & 3 A Yes, with adjustments for free rent. \\
\hline 4 A Yes, this is the appraisal prepared for Ladder Capital & \(4 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) I'm sorry? \\
\hline 5 prepared by Cushman \& Wakefield. & 5 A With adjustments for free rent. \\
\hline 6 Q And if we could go to the page and the Bates 2006 -- & 6 Q Right. And so the indicated value is approximately \\
\hline 7 well, I have a different version so let's go to the transmittal & 7 \$538 million that you rounded to \$540 million; right? \\
\hline 8 page, please. & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 Again, this is the transmittal letter from you to & 9 MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could go to page 87 \\
\hline 10 Ladder Capital Finance; correct? & 10 at the top under the heading "Occupancy Status." \\
\hline 11 A Yes. & 11 Q This is still 2015. You write that the property 40 \\
\hline 12 Q Dated June 25, 2015? & 12 Wall Street is currently 95.02 percent leased to 72 office \\
\hline 13 A Yes. & 13 tenants and four retail tenants. \\
\hline 14 Q And in the second paragraph the -- we see the same or & 14 Do you recall from the 2012 appraisal that the \\
\hline 15 very similar language regarding the use of this appraisal being & 15 occupancy rate was approximately 82 percent, give or take? \\
\hline 16 limited to Ladder Capital Finance; correct? & 16 A Yes, it increased dramatically. \\
\hline 17 A Yes. & 17 Q I'm sorry? \\
\hline 18 MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could go to the next & 18 A It increased dramatically. \\
\hline 19 page. & 19 Q Are you familiar with the term "rent stabilization?" \\
\hline 20 Q Again, this was signed by you, Mr. Larson, and & 20 A That's a residential term. \\
\hline 21 similarly signed by Mr. Papagianopoulos and Mr. Nardella? & 21 Q Can -- is it -- can a commercial property be \\
\hline 22 A Yes. & 22 stabilized? Have you ever heard that term used? \\
\hline 23 Q Let's go to page Roman Numeral IV, top Roman Numeral & 23 A A commercial -- no. You'd have to clarify that with \\
\hline 24 IV. In the middle here, Mr. Larson, we see that you arrived at & 24 me . \\
\hline 25 an as is value of \(\$ 540\) million? & 25 Q Is it not true that a property that is occupied over \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


1 continuation of Mr. Larson, Mr. Weisselberg and then

Rolodex and we'll let everyone know if we can accommodate
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that.
THE COURT: I'd appreciate it, of course.
MR. KISE: And one other thing, Your Honor. Again, we've worked these things out, but I was informed this morning that President Trump has conflicts, pretty significant ones, right before November 7th is Election Day so I know we're off then, but the 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 8th, I know we were talking about -- and that may work out. It still may work out fine, there is no problem but I just want to note that that he may have significant conflicts the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 8th.

MR. WALLACE: I want to make sure I have those dates correct. It was November.

MR. KISE: 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 8th. We're off the 7th, Election Day and not the 6th.

MS. GREENFIELD: We're also off the 10th. We're also off the 10th.

MR. KISE: I know we're off the 10th. Mr. Roberts would like to have the whole week off personally. That's a whole other issue.

THE COURT: I'm sure he's not the only one.
MR. KISE: Well, okay. Ten o'clock tomorrow.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Proceedings are adjourned to Wednesday, October 18th, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.
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\hline 1620:20;1621:6 & 1628:19;1629:22; & 1550 & building (15) & 1546:22;1548:1; \\
\hline awareness (1) & 1630:7;1657:24; & bit (15) & 1519:21;1521:7,12; & 1552:2;1554:3,13; \\
\hline 1520:4 & 1658:10;1660:2,7; & 1525:14;1543:18; & 1571:22;1580:12; & 1555:3;1559:12; \\
\hline away (1) & 1663:8,14;1665:20; & 1560:13;1562:8; & 1581:12;1585:12; & 1560:14,20;1561:4,24; \\
\hline 1569:24 & 1670:3 & 1577:1,19;1582:5 & 1586:1,1;1590:25; & 1562:5,6,8,19;1563:23; \\
\hline awhile (1) & basic (1) & 1588:22;1589:3; & 1591:16;1601:19; & 1564:13,1565:8; \\
\hline 1517:17 & 1617:17 & 1591:12;1601:14,22; & 1628:16;1658:13; & 1568:17;1570:20; \\
\hline B & basically (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1630:9;1653:10; } \\
& \text { 1664:18 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 1663:6 } \\
\text { buildings }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1571:19,19;1572:12, } \\
& 20 ; 1573: 8,12 ; 1574: 12,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & basis (11) & blast (3) & 1573:17; & 14;1576:17,23; \\
\hline back (45) & 1530:12,14;153 & 1570:22;1571:3 & 1614:21;1670:11 & 1577:13,15,16,23; \\
\hline 1515:1;1518:18; & 3;1536:16;1575:5,7, & 1576:3 & building's (1) & 1579:7,8,20;1581:8,25; \\
\hline 1521:11;1557:15; & 16;1586:17,17;1597:6 & blasts (3) & \[
1606: 14
\] & 1582:2,4,13,16; \\
\hline 1567:1;1568:1;1569:4; & Bates (1) & 1571:12,15;1579:15 & built (1) & 1583:17;1584:4; \\
\hline 1572:18,18;1575:11, & 1667:6 & blow (1) & \[
1553: 2
\] & \[
1585: 16,19 ; 1586: 7,8
\] \\
\hline \[
22 ; 1576: 24 ; 1577: 11 ;
\] & became (1) & 1577:23 & bullet (1) & 10;1588:18,18,24; \\
\hline 1581:5,22,23;1583:22, & 1514:13 & bode (1) & 1666:9 & 1590:15,22;1592:11, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 20;1595:2;1599:2; & 23;1656:2,8;1657:16, & cetera (1) & 1647:4 & company's (1) \\
\hline 1600:7,9,16;1601:8,12, & 20;1658:3;1663:6,7,11, & 1616:21 & clients (7) & 1571:8 \\
\hline 13,22;1602:9;1603:1, & 12,14,17,20,22; & chain ( & 1570:14;1571:9; & comparability (1) \\
\hline 6;1604:5,9;1605:22; & 1664:15,16,19,22 & 1541:11 & 572:1;1576:4,9; & 1563:21 \\
\hline 1606:2,4;1609:6,8; & 1665:13,20,21,23,24; & chance (1) & 1579:15;1621:17 & Comparable (5) \\
\hline 1612:3,24;1613:8; & 1666:2,5;1668:11,15, & 1664:6 & client's (2) & 1580:12;1590:25; \\
\hline 1614:10,24;1615:22; & 18,19,22 & change (2) & 1626:3,9 & 1613:19;1614:13; \\
\hline 1616:13,19;1617:9,10, & career (1) & 1540:25;1656:5 & CLIFFORD (1) & 1618:19 \\
\hline 11,15,22;1618:4,5; & 1560:6 & changed (2) & 1511:8.5 & comparables (6) \\
\hline 1620:21;1621:12; & careful (1) & 1583:23;1652:17 & close (3) & 1629:5,6;1663:15; \\
\hline 1623:25;1624:3; & 1671:3 & changes (4) & \[
1586: 14,19 ; 1670: 11
\] & 1665:20;1666:6; \\
\hline 1625:19;1627:9; & Carro (1) & 1629:2;1650:18; & closely (1) & 1670:4 \\
\hline 1628:7,9;1632:.5,.5; & 1674:19 & 1655:6,6 & 1630:24 & compare (2) \\
\hline 1644:21;1646:21; & carry (1) & characteristics (1) & closer (1) & 1654:13;1670:10 \\
\hline 1649:4,14;1650:12 & 1673:23 & 1569:3 & 1559:9 & compared (1) \\
\hline 1651:14,22,23,25; & case (9) & characterize (1) & closing (1) & 1573:24 \\
\hline 1653:14;1657:13,18; & 1512:12;1533:9 & 1573:22 & 1598:9 & comparison (6) \\
\hline 1658:7,9;1660:1; & 1579:17;1596:22 & chart (3) & club (1) & 1564:12;1568:18,20; \\
\hline 1662:20,25;1663:24; & 1597:5;1622:4; & 1579:5;1580:12,20 & 1520:5 & 1615:12;1654:7; \\
\hline 1664:2;1668:17; & 1648:19;1672:18; & check (1) & Cohen (1) & 1668:2 \\
\hline 1669:21,21;1671:13; & 1674:20 & 631:22 & 674:2 & competing (3) \\
\hline 1673:22;1674:23,25 & cash (51) & checked (1) & Cohen's (1) & 1650:2,23;1651:25 \\
\hline cap (58) & 1513:16 & 28:11 & 674:12 & compiled (1) \\
\hline 1564:25;1565:2,3,5, & 1514:5,9,25;1515:4 & checking (1) & colleague (1) & 1579:13 \\
\hline 12;1566:1,7,12,14; & 1516:2,6,13,15,17; & 1515:13 & 1597:14 & complaint (1) \\
\hline 1572:8;1574:19,23,24; & 1517:7,8,20;1518:16 & choose (1) & colleagues (0) & 1597:11 \\
\hline 1575:1,3,6,7,16,17,18, & 19;1519:4;1527:11; & 1580:19 & 1561:13;1588:8 & complete (1) \\
\hline 19;1577:19,25; & 1529:3;1530:15; & chose (3) & 1596:11;1599:18 & 1563:17 \\
\hline 1579:20;1580:3,7,20; & 1532:23;1533:14,19; & 1631:24,1665:12,13 & 1600:20;1645:15 & Compliance (3) \\
\hline 1582:6,12;1583:4,18; & 1534:3,3;1536:16,19; & CHRISTOPHER (1) & collected (1) & 1562:9,13;1609:25 \\
\hline 1586:9,16;1589:5; & 1538:6;1539:17; & 1511:4 & 1564:1 & compliant (3) \\
\hline 1590:18,22,24;1591:1, & 1540:6;1549:20,23; & cite (2) & COLLEEN (1) & 1562:15;1563:2,7 \\
\hline 6;1594:17;1595:16; & 1550:5,10;1564:16,18; & 1665:22,2 & 1510:21.5 & complicated (2) \\
\hline 1603:12,24,24; & 1566:19,22,23; & citing (2) & column (16) & 1564:17;1566:24 \\
\hline 1604:19,22;1605:6,7, & 1581:11;1606:13; & 1575:11;1580:16 & 1515:15;1522:22 & comply (3) \\
\hline 12,12,15,16,16;1629:3; & 1618:23;1619:2,4; & City (9) & 1524:18;1525:4; & 1562:22,25;1563:24 \\
\hline 1658:22;1663:3; & 1631:7,9,17,22; & 1564:17,1571:21 & 1536:11;1540:9; & components (2) \\
\hline 1665:9;1669:1 & 1663:23 & 1573:19;1614:17; & 1551:17,1552:3,3,11, & 1564:15;1658:25 \\
\hline Capital (33) & categories (1) & 1615:2,6,7,10;1654:15 & 11,16,19;1553:3,5,6 & comps (11) \\
\hline 1515:13,14,21,22; & 1542:13 & CIVIL (1) & Columns (2) & 1572:14;1575:25; \\
\hline 1518:15;1519:21; & caught (1) & 1510:1.5 & 1516:8;1552: & \[
1576: 2,5,9,11 ; 1580: 13,
\] \\
\hline 1525:22;1529:10; & 1648:9 & claims (2) & coming (4) & 23,23;1583:3,11 \\
\hline 1536:20;1538:7; & cell (15) & 1597:5;1599 & 1522:7;1571:1 & concepts (1) \\
\hline 1539:18;1560:17; & 1512:3,3;1516:10; & clarify (3) & 1625:22;1647 & 1612:19 \\
\hline 1593:9;1599:13; & 1518:5,12,18;1519:4,6; & 1529:24;1663:12 & commence (1) & concerning (1) \\
\hline 1600:15;1625:16,23; & 1520:18,21;1524:19; & 1669:23 & 1548:20 & 1545:24 \\
\hline 1626:1,12,14,17,19; & 1528:14,18,19;1536:5 & clean (1) & comments (1) & concert (1) \\
\hline 1632:.5;1645:19; & Cells (1) & 1617:21 & 1648:5 & 1625:3 \\
\hline 1646:17;1647:2,5,11; & 1525:11 & clear (12) & commercial (8) & concluded (2) \\
\hline 1650:5;1656:4;1667:4, & Centre (1) & 1598:2,5;1610:19 & 1519:14;1569:1' & 1645:1,4 \\
\hline 10,16 & 1510:12.5 & 1612:17;1615:13; & 1614:23,24;1615:2,9; & concludes (1) \\
\hline capitalization (73) & certain (9) & 1622:3;1623:3; & 1669:21,23 & 1542:15 \\
\hline 1564:12,14,16; & 1538:16;1553:1; & 1626:13;1649:24 & commissions (2) & conclusion (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1565:6,10,10,11,15; } \\
& \text { 1566:2.5,18,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1574:9;1619:24; } \\
& \text { 1620:1,9;1623:4; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1650:1;1654:25; } \\
& 1667.11
\end{aligned}
\] & 1519:22;1525:18 & 1658:7 \\
\hline 1568:17;1574:22; & 1628:13,19 & clearly (1) & 1622:10 & \[
1660: 2,7
\] \\
\hline 1580:10;1581:11; & certainly (1) & 1617:16 & commonly (2) & Condition (16) \\
\hline 1589:4,6,7;1593:8; & 1624:2 & click ( & 1623:13;1631:23 & 1529:6;1563:15,16; \\
\hline 1602:2,10,15;1606:12, & certifications (1) & 1546:24 & comp (2) & 1569:2;1574:7; \\
\hline 20;1613:25;1618:24; & 1627:18 & clien & 1580:20;1614:25 & 1576:16,24;1577:2 \\
\hline 1631:7,13,14,21,24 & certified (3) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 15 \\
& 15
\end{aligned}
\] & company (4) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1581:6;1592:25; } \\
& 1597: 15 ; 1598: 4,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6,9;1655:8,12,15,19, & 1610:10 & & & 1604:7;1605:10,20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline conditions (7) & context (2) & 1544:10;1560:12; & crosses (1) & dates (3) \\
\hline 1545:20;1555:2 & & & & 1 \\
\hline 6;1619:24; & CONTINENTAL (1) & & (4) & 1675:13 \\
\hline 1620:10;1627:18; & 1511:2 & 1595:21;1601:8 & 1608:7,13,15;1644:5 & day (8) \\
\hline 7:24 & contin & 02:18;1608:20 & crossing & 15 \\
\hline condominiums (2) & 1674:1 & 1649:3 & & 644:18;1673:11,13; \\
\hline 1548:4,7 & & & CRR (1) & 1675:6,15 \\
\hline conduct (3) & & & & dealmakers (1) \\
\hline 1609:14;1613:21 & :4;1654:17,23 & 1599:2;1618:1;1675:2 & CS & 1653:21 \\
\hline 1622:14 & -15 & OURT (120 & & deals (5) \\
\hline conducted (6) & continued & 1510:1,16;1511 & current (10) & 1543:24 \\
\hline 1610:8;1618:8,17 & 1510:24.5;1512:23 & 1512:1,7,11,17,20; & \[
1517: 20 ; 1576: 1
\] & \[
1548: 3 ; 1551: 2 ; 1553: 1
\] \\
\hline 1625:14;1626:13; & 13:7;1553:7;1584:8; & 1513:4;1514:20; & 1581:9;1585:14; & Dean (9) \\
\hline 1645:23 & 624:18;1659:2 & 1520:14;1521:15,25 & 93:5,15;1605:2 & 1601:18,21 \\
\hline cond & cont & -13.1523.619 & 606:9,15;1619:11,13, & 602:6,12;1603:11,14, \\
\hline 1609:11;161 & 531:2;1548 & 1527:25;1528:5,8,1 & 14,16;1620:7;1622:21; & 18,20 \\
\hline 23:19 & 1567:5;1607:10 & 1529:15;1532:15; & 1629:7 & debit (1) \\
\hline confidenc & co & 1533:3;1537:4; & curre & 1535:22 \\
\hline 1594:9 & 1512:13;167 & 538:15;1539:2,2 & 1525:8;1558:1 & debt (4) \\
\hline confi & co & 1541:3,15;1544:18,20; & 73:14;1606:1 & 1543:10,13;1593:5,9 \\
\hline 1602:11; & 1566:14;160 & 1545:4;1546:19; & 1669:12 & decade (2) \\
\hline conflicts (2 & conversati & ;1551:5 & Cushman & 1654:12,16 \\
\hline \[
1675: 5,10
\] & 1575:2;1583: & 1555:5;1556:1,19,22 & 1559:20,21 & December (8) \\
\hline confusion (1) & 85:3;1586:22,23,25; & 1557:5,7,8,14,19,24; & 60:3,16;1571:1 & 1513:24;1532:2 \\
\hline 1674:4 & 1605:4 & 1558:2,7,12;1559:9 & \[
75: 3 ; 15
\] & 1533:18;1534:7,7,9,11; \\
\hline conjunction & conversat & \[
1563: 18 ; 1566: 15,2
\] & \[
77: 25 ; 1579
\] & 1573:19 \\
\hline 1576:19;1577:6; & 1574:9 & 1568:3,9,13,14; & ,24 & decisions (2) \\
\hline \[
1581: 10,20 ; 1593: 6,17
\] & convey (1) & \[
1578: 24 ; 1583: 13
\] & \[
1: 15 ; 1593: 2
\] & \[
1632: .5,9
\] \\
\hline 1594:18,22;1606:10 & 1525:24 & 1585:16,18,21,23 & 03:2;1605:2;1626:6; & declines (1) \\
\hline connection (3) & co & 1:25 & 1645:20;1646:19; & 1653:23 \\
\hline 1522:11;155 & 1550:7 & 1592:13,17,22;1594:9, & 1667:5 & Defendant (1) \\
\hline 1598:19 & cop & \[
23 ; 1595: 3,11,18 ;
\] & cutting (1) & 1511:7 \\
\hline conservative & 1647:21 & 1598:18,25;1599:10 & 1543:7 & Defendants (5) \\
\hline 1575:6;1605:6 & copy (4) & 1600:2;1601:4;1604:2; & C & 1510:11.5;1511:2.5, \\
\hline Consider (3) & \[
1546: 14 ; 1560:
\] & 1606:4,25;1607:2,5; & & 10.5,14;1599:8 \\
\hline 1564:7;1589: & 1599:8;1648:2 & 1608:3,6,9,10,12; & cycles (1) & defense (1) \\
\hline 1670:7 & copy & 1612:13,21;1616:2,5,7, & 1629:17 & 1608:9 \\
\hline considerably & 1530:4;1549 & 19;1617:14,20;1618:1; & & define (1) \\
\hline \[
1668: 7,24
\] & corporat & 1623:22;1624:3,5,8; & D & 1622:16 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
consideration \\
1593:9
\end{tabular} & 1549:19,23;1550:2 & 1646:7. & & defined (1) \\
\hline considered & Corpora & \[
2
\] & 1528:3;1 & definitely (1) \\
\hline 1519:12;1597 & 1536:3 & 1672:10,17;1674:3,13, & \[
1564: 1 ; 1569: 1
\] & \[
1526: 9
\] \\
\hline 1670:1 & corr & 16,23;1675:2,21 & & definition (7) \\
\hline consistent & 1566:18;15 & courthouse (1) & \[
1576: 25 ; 1579: 11
\] & \[
1619: 23,24 ; 1620: 1
\] \\
\hline 1591:8;1602 & corresponding & 1630: & 1580:3-1581-22. & 11;1622:25;1623:2; \\
\hline 1606:16 & 1533:18 & courtroom (3) & 21;1590:9 & 1670:3 \\
\hline Consulting & cost (6) & 1512:6,18;1557:25 & 04:7,1622:19,1 & definitions ( \\
\hline 1552:22,23;1 & 3: & & 0,22 & 1627:19 \\
\hline & \[
\begin{array}{r}
13,16 ; \\
\operatorname{costs}(4)
\end{array}
\] & & \[
60:
\] & deliberatel \\
\hline contain (2) & \[
1541: 21,25 ; 1542: 16
\] & created (4) & 1545:14,17;1549: & Delivery (1) \\
\hline 1524:13;1550:25 & 19 & 1516:24;1528:8; & 1551:3,3;1560:22; & \[
1569: 14
\] \\
\hline contained (11) & Couns & 1550:4,11 & 1569:8;1583:23 & DeLuca (9) \\
\hline \[
1514: 10 ; 1515
\] & \[
1558: 12 ; 1608: 7,23
\] & credible (1) & 1588:1;1596:22; & 1601:18,21, \\
\hline 1516:10;1522:12; & 1644:14,17 & \[
1563: 22
\] & \[
1620: 9,15 ; 1622: 16,17
\] & 1602:6,12;1603:11,14, \\
\hline 1524:16;1526:22; & coun & credit (2) & 1628:10;1646:3; & 18,20 \\
\hline 1527:23;1534:2; & 1522:23; & 1632:.5 & 1647:17;1650:23 & demand (4) \\
\hline 1553:3;1556:8;1598:4 & counti & crisi & 1662:5 & 1653:16,25;1654:22, \\
\hline contains (2) & 1603:18 & 1653:17 & dated (7) & 24 \\
\hline 1526:11;1551:1 & COUNTY (1) & cross (4) & \[
1513: 23 ; 1572: 2
\] & demonstrate (1) \\
\hline contents (1) & \[
1510: 1.5
\] & 1556:19;1607:2; & 1578:16;1625:14; & 1522:9 \\
\hline 1522:14 & couple (11) & 1672:12;1673:2 & 1646:13,25;1667:12 & department (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1648:3 & 1564:9 & 22 & 1578:7,8,9,21;1579:1; & drop (2) \\
\hline depending (3) & development (1) & discounted (11) & 1581:5;1583:20; & 1542:7,11 \\
\hline 1552:13;1657:21; & 1621:25 & 1566:19,22,23; & 586:6;1587:20,23 & dropped (1) \\
\hline 1668:4 & dictate (2) & 18:23;1619:2,4 & 1588:18;1591:23 & 1542:14 \\
\hline depreciation (1) & 1613:23;1614:1 & 1631:7,9,17,22; & 1592:3,6,9;1593:15 & DT (1) \\
\hline 1614:21 & difference (8) & 663: & 1595:23,25;1596:1,7 & 1554:6 \\
\hline derive (2) & 1559:13;1563:4; & discuss (5) & 17;1599:5,6,11;1600:7, & Dubai (1) \\
\hline 1580:9;1584:6 & 1582:21;1586:15; & 1565:15;1606:4 & 8,10,11;1601:1,9; & 1554:2 \\
\hline derived (7) & 1595:6;1609:1; & \[
1672: 16,18 ; 1673: 22
\] & 1602:22;1604:4,12,16; & during (0) \\
\hline 1566:5;1574:17 & 1619:21;1624:15 & discussed (6) & 1605:21;1646:8 & 1545:21;1548:23; \\
\hline 1576:20;1581:12; & differences (1) & 1515:6;1614:7,12 & documents (7) & 1549:1;1648:9; \\
\hline 1603:10;1606:13; & 1586:16 & 1618:18;1649:2,6 & 1514:19;1548:5; & 1653:19;1658:5 \\
\hline 1663:7 & different (37) & discussing (1) & 1571:20;1574:5; & E \\
\hline deriving &  & & 1646:21 & L \\
\hline describe (8) & 1571:19;1572:14; & 1564:2;1644:17 & dollar (2) & earlier (18) \\
\hline 1514:12;1561:12,16; & 1575:20;1594:14; & 1652:25 & 1522:24;1653:12 & 1572:7;1574:21; \\
\hline 1571:20;1588:7; & 1605:16;1609:18; & disfavored (1) & dollars (2) & 1578:19;1580:10; \\
\hline 1596:10;1599:17; & 1612:19,23;1616:12 & 1614:17 & 1572:8;1616:2 & 1581:3;1591:2; \\
\hline 1600:19 & 1618:22;1619:16; & disposable (1) & DONALD (6) & 1596:23;1599:7,25; \\
\hline described (6) & 1621:20,22;1622:25; & 1513:20 & 1510:7,7,8;1513:23 & 1601:11;1602:3; \\
\hline 1516:4;1555:10; & 1623:23,24;1624:16; & dispute (1) & 1552:14,24 & 1616:16;1644:18; \\
\hline 1574:21;1576:21; & 1630:7;1647:16; & 1596:24 & done (9) & 1651:19;1652:12,18; \\
\hline 1586:12;1605:12 & 1655:15;1656:8; & distinguish (1) & 1523:3;1528:12; & 1653:20;1661:16 \\
\hline describes (1) & 1660:1,2,5,6;1662:18; & 1650:11 & 1537:1;1570:14,16; & earliest (1) \\
\hline describing (1) & 1671:1,2,2,5,6 & 1626:3,5 & 1671:24 & cars (1) \\
\hline 1582:18 & direct (51) & distribution (1) & Donna (2) & 1512:11 \\
\hline description (1) & 1512:22,23;1513:7; & \[
1518: 3
\] & \[
1549: 22 ; 1555: 20
\] & easiest (2) \\
\hline 1573:21 & 1516:8;1524:10,15; & divided (2) & double (2) & 1552:5;1594:10 \\
\hline designees (1) & 1526:5,18,21,24; & 1528:1;1565:3 & 1603:17;1631:21 & East (1) \\
\hline 1612:4 & 1533:25;1540:8 & Division (1) & doubt (1) & 1511:14.5 \\
\hline detailed (6) & 1547:18;1558:16; & 20 & & Easy (1) \\
\hline 1534:25;1535:6; & 1564:16;1565:5,11; & DJT (4) & Doug (7) & 1561:11 \\
\hline 1537:10;1538:24; & 1566:18,21;1574:16, & 1510:9,9;1552:14 & 1557:4;1575:2; & effect (1) \\
\hline 1609:19;1619:6 & 22,24,25;1577:16,22; & 1556:5 & 1577:24;1586:24,24; & 1605:6 \\
\hline details (1) & 1580:10;1582:4; & DM (4) & 1590:23;1605:4 & effective (3) \\
\hline 1580:24 & 1589:4,6,9;1590:15 & 1535:20,21,24 & Douglas (2) & 1527:21;1560:22; \\
\hline determinations (1) & 1602:10;1608:22; & 1538:2 & 1558:10;1605:2 & 1662:5 \\
\hline 1628:19 & 1611:8,19;1616:10 & docto & dow & eight (3) \\
\hline determine & 1617:22;1618:7,24
\[
1631: 13,14,21 ; 165
\] & docu & 1513:12;1518:18 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1560:14;1576:1 } \\
& \text { 1631:5 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1594:17;1595:8,15; & 1664:14,1665:19,21; & \[
1514: 8 ; 1520: 8,13
\] & 1534:13;1535:4,18; & either (5) \\
\hline 1603:25;1606:20; & 1668:18,22;1669:1; & 1521:20;1522:1,3,12; & 1536:5;1537:13; & 1558:8;1594: \\
\hline 1621:10,14;1657:24; & 1671:16;1673:14 & 1523:7,11;1524:3,6,11, & 1539:5;1562:5,8; & 1595:11;1624:13; \\
\hline 1661:6 & directed (5) & 13,16;1525:25;1526:3, & 1563:8;1569:4,14; & 1650:10 \\
\hline determined (2) & 1525:1,12;1530:7; & 6,19,22;1527:23; & 1570:19;1572:13; & Election (2) \\
\hline 1564:21;1577:6
determining (2) & \begin{tabular}{l}
1625:22;1647:2 \\
Directing (1)
\end{tabular} & 1528:17 & 1574:11,25;1577:15; & 1675:6,15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { determining (2) } \\
& 1565: 12 ; 1629: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Directing } \\
1517: 4
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1530: 2,7,15,21,23 ; \\
& 1532: 8,11,12,17,25 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1579:8;1582:1;1586:7, } \\
& \text { 8;1588:21;1589:3,10; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { eliminating } \\
\text { 1656:6 }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Deutsche (8) & direction (1) & 1533:4;1534:1,24; & 1590:21;1593:3; & else (8) \\
\hline 1587:25;1597:16,17; & 1550:6 & 1537:6,9;1538:23; & 1595:25;1599:6; & 1572:21;1591:4; \\
\hline 1598:2,4,5,6,21 & directly (2) & 1539:1,3,22,25;1540:3, & 1600:7,1601:8,13,22 & 1594:16;1596:25; \\
\hline develop (1) 1564:8 & 1514:15;1579:17 & 8,9;1541:4,8,13,16; & 22;1602:25;1604:9; & 1605:9;1606:19; \\
\hline developed (1) & 1560:1 & 1545:5;1546:20; & downtown (12) & elsewhere (1) \\
\hline 1627:16 & disagree (4) & 1547:12,16;1550:3,18; & 1653:17,24;1654:2 & 1521:6 \\
\hline developer (0) & 1617:3,4,5,5 & 1551:6;1555:24; & 12,15,16,21,22;1663:5; & e-mail (45) \\
\hline 1609:7,10,13; & disclaimer (1) & \[
1560: 12,14,15,24
\] & \[
1665: 22 ; 1671: 15,17
\] & 1513:9;1514:17; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1610:7,16;1612:1 } \\
& \text { developers (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 1627:1 (8) } \\
\text { discount }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1561: 22 ; 1568: 11 ; \\
& \text { 1569:24;1570:17,20; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { dramatically (2) } \\
1669: 16,18
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1530:2,3,9;1532:20; } \\
& \text { 1533:6,13;1541:18; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1621:9,18,19 & 1564:16,18;1619:7; & 1572:5,7,12,19;1574:8; & drivers (1) & 1542:1,24;1543:1; \\
\hline developing (1) & 1629:12;1658:9,10,12, & 1575:12;1577:17,18; & 1653:21 & 1544:1,16;1546:6,7,17, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 23;1547:19,22; & equal (1) & 1529:16;1532:16,17; & 14;1532:18,19;1533:5; & Express (2) \\
\hline 1548:10;1549:8,12,15, & 1656:6 & 1533:1,4;1537:1,5,6; & 1534:23;1536:24,25; & 1601:19,20 \\
\hline 22;1550:1,8;1551:4; & equally (1) & 1539:1,2,3,22,25; & 1537:7,8;1538:22; & extensive (1) \\
\hline 1570:22,24,25;1571:3, & 1630:5 & 1541:1,4,13,15,16 & 1539:4,1540:1,2,16,20, & 1674:16 \\
\hline 12,14,15,19;1572:18; & equals (2) & 1544:17,21;1545:3,4,5; & 21;1541:1,5,6,17; & extent (9) \\
\hline 1576:3,7;1578:10,11, & 1591:17;1665:6 & 1546:17,20;1547:10, & 1543:17;1544:22; & 1537:1;1554:17 \\
\hline 18;1579:4,15;1591:15 & equity (1) & 12;1549:14;1550:4; & 1545:6;1546:5,21; & 1555:7;1563:10,22; \\
\hline employ (4) & 1573:15 & 1551:4,6;1555:25; & 1547:13;1549:14,15; & 1577:3;1581:13,1 \\
\hline 1590:1;1614:8; & ERIC (4) & 1556:4;1557:19,23; & 1550:8,17,1551:7,8; & 1593:13 \\
\hline 1625:3;1627:22 & 1510:7,22.5;1515: & 1560:10;1568:6,8,10, & 1555:13;1556:3,9,11, & extract (2) \\
\hline employed (2) & 1552:24 & 11;1578:22,25;1579:1; & 13;1557:12,22; & 1565:14,16 \\
\hline 1615:10;1665:20 & & 1586:6;1588:15,17; & 1560:11;1568:12; & extracted (1) \\
\hline end (3) & 0:16 & 1593:20;1594:2; & 1569:5;1570:18; & 1568:22 \\
\hline 1536:19;1652:22; & ESQ (12) & 1595:22;1596:18; & 1573:8;1574:1;1578:8; & extraordinary (1) \\
\hline 1662:2 & 1510:21,21.5,22, & \[
1597: 4,4,9,21 ; 1598: 24
\] & 1579:2;1581:6;1586:6; & 1542:20 \\
\hline ENDEAVOR & 22.5,23,23.5;1511:4, & 25;1599:2,3;1600:1,2, & 1587:21;1590:10; & eyes (2) \\
\hline 1510:9.5 & 4.5,5,8.5,12,15.5 & 6;1601:2,4,7;1644:11; & 1592:24;1595:24; & 1512:11,12 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
ended (1) \\
1557:10
\end{tabular} & establish (1)
1630:17 & 1645:12;1646:6,7,8;
1666:20 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1599:8;1600:5,9; } \\
& \text { 1601:6,10;1602:23; }
\end{aligned}
\] & F \\
\hline ending (7) & established & exact (4) & 1604:5;1625:12; & \\
\hline 1532:24;1533 & 1594:11;1597:15 & 1618:13;1620:11 & 1644:10,15;1646:9,11 & fact (5) \\
\hline 1534:7,9,11;1537:23; & 1599:4;1612:18; & 1630:7;1653:2 & expand (1) & 1522:8;1597:23; \\
\hline 1551:3 & 1613:5;1655:11; & exactly (5) & \[
1554: 3
\] & \[
1598: 20 ; 1612: 24
\] \\
\hline ends (1) & 1663:2 & 1519:14,16;1534:21; & expanded (1) & 1655:18 \\
\hline 1554:6 & estate (20) & 1560:2;1565:2 & 1653:23 & factor (4) \\
\hline Engagement (12) & 1542:2;1558:21 & examination (10) & expect (2) & 1629:8,9,10;1661:5 \\
\hline \[
1561: 23,25,25
\] & 1559:5,6,22;1563:6,10; & 1512:22,23;1513:7 & 1542:23;1576:20 & factored (1) \\
\hline \[
1562: 1 ; 1569: 6,7,15,22
\] & 1564:2;1571:5;1609:7, & 1556:20;1558:16; & expectation (1) & \[
1542: 21
\] \\
\hline 25;1587:16,17; & 10,13;1610:16;1612:1; & 1568:15;1607:2; & 1673:25 & factors (7) \\
\hline 1624:15 & 1621:9,13,18,19; & 1608:22;1616:10; & expenditures (1) & 1565:12;1566:10; \\
\hline ENGORON (3) & 1627:17;1629:17 & 1618:7 & 1525:22 & 1590:5;1628:23; \\
\hline 1510:15.5;1512:2; & estates (1) & examine (1) & expense (5) & 1629:1;1630:10; \\
\hline 1608:4 & 1573:17 & 1658:5 & 1521:6,11,12; & 1658:14 \\
\hline entails (1) & estimate (3) & examined (1) & 1530:1;1542:20 & factual (1) \\
\hline 1560:13 & 1610:2;1629:22,23 & 1618:8 & \begin{tabular}{l}
expenses (27) \\
1519:20.1521:1.23;
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1598:10 } \\
& \text { FAHERTY (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
entered (3) \\
1560:10;1
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { estimated (6) } \\
& 1581: 9 ; 1593
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { example (3) } \\
& 1542: 21 ; 1554:
\end{aligned}
\] & 1519:20;1521:1,23; & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { FAHERTY (1) } \\
1510: 21.5
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 1570:18 & \[
1605: 23 ; 1606: 9,15
\] & 1630:4 & 1525:16,16;1528:25; & fair (12) \\
\hline entering (4) & et (1) & Excel (1) & 1534:3,13,14;1535:7; & 1530:23;1566:20 \\
\hline 1512:17;1557:2 & 1616:21 & \[
1550: 2
\] & 1537:15;1539:8; & 1615:3;1621:1;1622:1, \\
\hline 1608:10;1644:3 & evaluation (4) & except (2) & \[
1540: 11,12,18,19
\] & 15;1654:6,14;1658:1; \\
\hline enters (2) & 1581:9;1593:6,17 & 1514:14;1656:8 & 1542:6,11,13;1543:7; & 1668:6;1670:14,15 \\
\hline 1512:18;1557:25 & 1606:10 & exclusive (4) & 1564:19;1569:6; & fairly (1) \\
\hline entire (3) & evaluations (1) & 1545:21;1548:22,25 & 1628:16;1629:2 & 1674:16 \\
\hline 1552:6;1560:6 & 1574:5 & 1632:.5 & experience (5) & fall (1) \\
\hline 1597:16 & evasive (2) & excuse (7) & 1620:6;1623:7; & 1627:2 \\
\hline entities (14) & 1617:7,16 & 1527:18;1535:12 & 1625:2;1629:23; & fallen (2) \\
\hline 1513:19;1514:5,6,6, & even (7) & 1536:6;1555:12; & 1655:15 & 1652:22;1653:7 \\
\hline 10;1516:4;1519:11; & 1522:11;1592:13; & 1587:19;1627:15; & explain (7) & fallout (1) \\
\hline 1536:22;1570:2; & 1596:21,23;1606:1 & 1661:19 & 1513:17,22;1519:16 & 1653:19 \\
\hline 1573:15;1622:7; & 1612:25;1628:18 & excused (3) & 1559:12;1564:13; & familiar (4) \\
\hline 1626:6,23;1627:3 & eventually (1) & 1556:22,25;1672:20 & 1565:8,25 & 1560:15;1587:23; \\
\hline entitled (4) & 1512:16 & Executive (1) & explained (1) & 1669:19;1670:24 \\
\hline 1516:22;1534:14
1569:6;1602:2 & everybody (2) & & explaining & far (8) \\
\hline 1569:6;1602:2 & 1599:1;1608:6
everyone (2) & exer & explaining (3) & 1515:20;1536:10; \\
\hline 1516:5;1519:13; & 1607:6;1674:2 & exercising (2) & explains (1) & 1552:2;1596:24; \\
\hline 1536:3;1552:12; & evicting (1) & 1548:17;1660: & 1589:12 & 1613:9;1620:20 \\
\hline 1632:.5 & 1542:22 & Exhibit (80) & explanation (3) & Farwell (2) \\
\hline entries (1) & evidence (82) & \[
1513: 3 ; 1514: 18,18
\] & 1539:19;1551:21; & \[
1591: 15,18
\] \\
\hline 1535:20 & 1514:19,23;1520:13, & \[
22 ; 1520: 7,16 ; 1521: 18
\] & 1568:18 & Farwell's (3) \\
\hline  & 14,17;1522:1,3,4; & 1523:10,16,23;1526:2,
\(8,16: 1528: 2 \cdot 1529: 4\) & explicitly (1) & 1592:3,6,8 \\
\hline 1534:18,20;1539:13 & 1523:1,8,17,24; & 8,16;1528:2;1529:4, & 1626:16 & favorite (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1623:23 & 1543:5 & 1528:1,11;1534:10, & forwarded (2) & 1563:8;1622:22 \\
\hline feared (1) & fill (1) & 12;1581:6;1596:7; & 1529:22,23 & generality (1) \\
\hline 1653:16 & 1516:25 & 1599:14;1611:8; & found (2) & 1592:1 \\
\hline fee (14) & filling (1) & 1624:1;1630:16; & 1577:13;1611:12 & generally (18) \\
\hline 1535:9,15;1536:4,4; & 1654:22 & 1645:4,13;1649:16; & foundation (3) & 1529:11;1532:3; \\
\hline 1537:22;1539:13; & final (15) & 1668:11 & 1593:20;1594:3 & 1534:1;1550:24; \\
\hline 1540:24;1552:9,10,16; & 1561:1;1602:18; & five-minute (4) & four (12) & 1552:4;1561:24; \\
\hline 1556:12;1569:6,20,22 & 1645:8;1647:10,22,24; & 1557:9;1563:18; & 1534:8,18;1585:12 & 1563:23;1566:17; \\
\hline feel (1) & 1648:23;1649:18,21; & 1604:2;1670:16 & 1588:18;1601:18; & 1571:20;1576:8; \\
\hline 1523:20 & 1650:5,8,13,19,20; & five-year (2) & 27:10;1644:25; & 1613:16;1614:17; \\
\hline fees (21) & 1668:4 & 1528:18,25 & 649:9,1657:4,7,9 & 1616:2;1619:2; \\
\hline 1529:8;1534:14; & finalized (2) & flip (5) & 1669:13 & 1621:10;1623:15; \\
\hline 1535:7;1536:8,14,15, & 1644:8,19 & 1588:4,18;1627: & fourth (1) & 1627:21;1628:23 \\
\hline 18,22;1537:15;1539:8; & finalizing (1) & 1630:15;1631:4 & 1666:9 & General's (2) \\
\hline 1540:12,18;1550:16; & 1650:16 & Flores (1) & frame (2) & 1596:21;1610:24 \\
\hline 1551:1,2;1552:13,15, & finally (4) & 6 & 1554:10,1 & generate (2) \\
\hline 15,25;1553:5;1556:6 & 1569:20;1605:19 & Florida (3) & Francisco (2) & 1525:11;1606:21 \\
\hline feet (2) & 1646:19;1666:9 & 1511:3.5;1518:2 & 1591:16,2 & generated (1) \\
\hline 1601:20,21 & Finance (4) & 1671:1 & free (4) & 1552:25 \\
\hline few (2) & 1529:10;1541:12; & flow (28) & 1597:21;1669:3,5 & generation (1) \\
\hline 1512:8;1591:2 & 1667:10,16 & 1527:11;1529:3; & 1670:6 & 1550:9 \\
\hline FIELDS (54) & Financial (20) & 1530:15;1533:14,19; & frequently (1) & geographic (1) \\
\hline 1511:4.5;1594:19; & 1529:6;1530:21,23; & 1536:19;1538:6; & 1650:19 & 1563:21 \\
\hline 1601:3;1607:4;1608:8, & 1555:2;1574:7; & 1539:17;1540:6; & Friday (1) & given (2) \\
\hline 9,14,16,19;1612:12; & 1576:16,24;1577:4 & 1549:20,23;1550:5,10; & 1674:8 & 1551:19;1583:7 \\
\hline 1613:4;1616:4,6,9; & 1581:6;1592:25; & 1564:16,18;1566:19, & front (2) & goes (1) \\
\hline 1617:5,24;1624:2,5; & 1597:15;1598:3,7 & 22,23;1581:11; & 1595:10;1644:9 & 1596:23 \\
\hline 1625:11,19;1627:9; & 1604:7;1605:10,20; & 1606:13;1618:23; & full (14) & golf (1) \\
\hline 1630:15;1631:4; & 1616:13;1622:8; & 1619:2,4;1631:7,9,17, & 1524:20;1526:12; & 1518:2 \\
\hline 1632:2;1644:6,12; & 1653:17,18 & 22;1663:23 & 1527:14,18,19;1558:8; & Good (7) \\
\hline 1645:11,22;1646:5,10; & financially (1) & flows (2) & 1562:21,23;1569:17; & 1512:25;1513:1; \\
\hline 1649:4,13;1650:10; & 1670:5 & 1516:6;1532:23 & 1625:25;1649:5; & 1558:18;1607:6; \\
\hline 1651:22;1653:5; & financing (1) & focus (2) & 1662:25;1673:11,13 & 1608:17;1644:7; \\
\hline 1656:11;1660:10; & 1542:2 & 1570:25;1583:18 & full-service (2) & 1674:18 \\
\hline 1661:9;1662:22,25; & find (2) & focusing (4) & 1558:21;1559:22 & goods (1) \\
\hline 1664:4,6,9;1665:2,17; & 1554:19;1583:4 & 1514:17,24;1533:6 & fully (1) & 1559:15 \\
\hline 1666:19;1667:18; & finding (1) & 1534:12 & 1524:2 & government (2) \\
\hline 1668:9;1669:9; & 1587:9 & followed (1) & funding ( & 1644:15,18 \\
\hline 1670:17;1671:3,13; & fine (6) & 16 & 1517:1;1518:5 & grade (2) \\
\hline 1672:9,14 & 1557:18;1592:12,20; & following (4) & further (7) & 1601:20,21 \\
\hline Fifth (3) & 1603:22;1604:3; & 1531:2;1564:9 & 1542:1;1555:5 & Granted (23) \\
\hline 1573:18;1575:4; & 1675:9 & 1567:5;1607:10 & 1556:17;1590:7,2 & 1514:20;1520:14; \\
\hline 1590:25 & finish (1) & follows (1) & 1606:23;1674:1 & 1523:8,19;1532:15; \\
\hline figure (19) & 1672:23 & 1548:19 & future (12) & 1533:3;1537:4;1539:2, \\
\hline 1517:5,11;1518:20; & finished (2) & foot (7) & 1619:10;1620:15 & 24;1541:3,15;1544:18; \\
\hline 1521:12;1522:24,25; & 1606:1,25 & 1568:22;1591:17 & \[
1621: 11,15 ; 1622: 1
\] & 1546:19;1547:1,11; \\
\hline 1527:4,11;1528:1; & finishing (1) & 1652:22;1654:8; & 1627:22;1628:3,10,13, & 1551:5;1556:1; \\
\hline 1536:7,10;1538:6; & 1664:6 & 1671:16,18,21 & 24;1663:23;1665:8 & 1557:19;1568:10; \\
\hline 1539:16;1540:21; & firm (1) & footprint (1) & & 1578:24;1597:22; \\
\hline 1554:8,8;1556:8; & 574:4 & 1653:19 & G & 1600:2;1646:7 \\
\hline 1562:25;1648:18 & first (26) & forecast (1)
\(1628: 1\) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
granular (2) \\
1554:19;155
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1516:9;1517:14; & 1533:6;1537:1;1562:9; & forecasts (1) & 1542:6,11 & Great (1) \\
\hline 1518:8;1521:21; & 1563:9;1565:21; & 1627:25 & gain (1) & 1582:15 \\
\hline 1522:9;1525:11; & 1573:13,1579:3,21; & form (2) & 1549:11 & greater (1) \\
\hline 1527:14,22;1554:22 & 1591:13;1592:2,5,6; & 1526:5;1551:9 & gallery (1) & 1654:24 \\
\hline file (2) & 1595:23;1601:17; & formal (5) & 1674:4 & GREENFIELD (6) \\
\hline 1545:3;1547:10 & 1645:17;1648:12,12, & 1563:5;1587:16,17; & GENERAL (13) & 1672:22;1673:1,10, \\
\hline files (2) & 14;1649:1;1651:10,15; & 1610:11;1616:21 & 1510:3,18.5; & 15,18;1675:16 \\
\hline 1597:18,25 & 1652:21;1660:15 & forward (7) & 1515:25;1525:15; & ground (2) \\
\hline filing (1) & fit (1) & 1543:1;1571:18; & 1534:25;1535:6; & 1573:16;1605:5 \\
\hline 1549:2 & 1563:16 & 1572:20;1592:11,21; & 1537:10,10,25; & group (2) \\
\hline filings (1) & five (14) & 1595:21;1644:8 & 1538:24;1548:1; & 1589:22;1598:7 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline grow (1) & 1668:18;1669:10 & 1605:24;1606:7,24; & inappropriate (1) & 1533:11,12;1534:1,9, \\
\hline 1654:22 & healthy (2) & 1607:4;1608:8,14; & 1587:10 & 11;1537:20,21; \\
\hline Growth (10) & 1653:24;1654:21 & 1612:12;1616:9; & INC (5) & 1541:25;1545:24; \\
\hline 1629:2;1661:12,13, & hear (6) & 1644:6;1646:5 & 1510:8.5;1573:20 & 1546:3;1547:2,7; \\
\hline 15,20,24,25;1662:4,15, & 1565:20;1592:14 & 1650:10;1664:9,11; & 1577:25;1626:7; & 1551:17,18,23;1553:2; \\
\hline 18 & 1597:8;1613:3 & 1665:2;1666:23; & 1645:20 & 1556:8;1561:13,17; \\
\hline guess (9) & 1645:25;1657 & 1672:9,14;1674:18; & incentive (2) & 1570:23;1571:4,8,24; \\
\hline 1554:5;1560:23; & heard (3) & 1675:3,23 & 1550:16;1551: & 1572:2,9;1574:13; \\
\hline \[
1562: 20 ; 1586: 15
\] & 1613:3;1630:2 & HONORABLE (3) & include (3) & 1576:4,5,10;1577:24; \\
\hline 1603:22;1610:14; & 1669:22 & 1510:15.5;1512:2; & 1550:13;1576: & 1579:18;1580:17; \\
\hline \[
1612: 3 ; 1622: 2
\] & Hearing (1) & 1608:4 & 1601:18 & 1585:11;1587:14; \\
\hline & 1568:9 & Honor's (1) & Included (7) & 1588:8,25;1589:1; \\
\hline & hearsay (3)
\(1522: 2,4\), & 1624:6 & 1526:14;1536:1 & 1590:23;1596:11; \\
\hline & - & \[
\mathbf{h}_{1}
\] & 538:9;1574:6;1602:6; & 597:17,19;1599:1 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { HABBA (3) } \\
& \text { 1511:10,12;1674:18 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 1608:18 & hope & includes (3) & 1622:21;1627:24; \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
1562: 24
\]} & 1616:16 & 1572:14;1590:1 & \[
1628: 15,17 ; 1629: 7
\] \\
\hline half (1) & & hopefully (1) & 1602:12 & 1656:7;1658:15 \\
\hline & hereby (1) & 1644:10 & including (1) & formed (1) \\
\hline half-hour (1) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1672:17} & host & 1569:22 & 1675:4 \\
\hline \[
1651: 8
\] & & 1658:18 & income (35) & initial (1) \\
\hline hand (1) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1562:13;1568:18; } \\
& \text { 1663:17;1665:1,10 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & house (1) & 1519:18,19;1522:24 & 1648:2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1558:2} & & 1519:12 & 1528:15,17;1530:1; & initiate (1) \\
\hline & higher (10) & housekeeping (1) & 1534:3;1564:11,14,19, & 1569:9 \\
\hline handed (1) & \[
1655: 25 ; 1656: 9
\] & \[
1568: 4
\] & 21;1565:3,9;1566:3; & inspect (2) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{handwriting (5) 1526.25.1527.7.} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 1664: 18,24,25 ; 1665: 4 \\
& 4,6,12,14
\end{aligned}
\]} & & 1568:17;1574:18,23; & \[
1563: 9,11
\] \\
\hline & & I & 1593:8;1602:2,7,11,12, & inspection (1) \\
\hline 1555:15,19,22 & highest (9) & & 16;1613:18,25; & \[
1563: 17
\] \\
\hline \[
1621: 8,19
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1580:9;1629:14; } \\
& \text { 1663:18;1664:22,25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & id & 1614:13,25;1615:1 & instance (3) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { happened (1) } \\
1554: 10
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1665:5,6;1666:6; } \\
& 1671: 18
\end{aligned}
\]} & identical (2) & 1631:6,20;1657:14; & 1663:10 \\
\hline & & \[
1582: 18,2
\] & 1669:1 & instances (2) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { happy (1) } \\
1653: 4
\end{gathered}
\]} & 1671:18 & identification (3) & incorrect & 1521:14;1661:22 \\
\hline & 1649:3 & 1587:21;1595:24; & 1577:7;1581:2 & institutions (1) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{hard (1)
\(1654: 13\)} & highlighted (1) & 1599:7 & increase (4) & 1622:8 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Highway (1)} & identified (9) & 1549:3;1671:16 & instructed (4) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 1654:13 } \\
\text { HAREN (61) }
\end{gathered}
\] & & 1534:16;1538:2; & 1672:6,8 & 1529:20;1540:2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1510:22.5;1512:24; } \\
& \text { 1513:2,6,8,12;1514:17; }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 1511:11 & 1586:24,1592:3; & increased (3) & 1550:11,21 \\
\hline & hired (2) & 1624:15;1627:5; & 1605:7;1669:16,1 & instructing (1) \\
\hline 1520:7,13;1521:14,22; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
1622: 7,10
\] \\
historicals (1)
\end{tabular}} & 1645:1,4;1661:12 & independent (1) & \[
1594: 1
\] \\
\hline 1522:6,16,21;1523:1,9, & & identify (5) & \[
1660: 6
\] & instruction (1) \\
\hline 16;1525:14;1526:2; & 1541:20 & 1563:10;1615:18; & Index (1) & \[
1524: 5
\] \\
\hline 1527:25;1528:7,10,12; & hold (3) & 1627:11;1648:21; & 1510:5.5 & instructions (1) \\
\hline 1529:13;1532:12,25; & 1559:3,25;1592:16 & 1663:15 & indicate (2) & 1551:19 \\
\hline 1535:4;1536:5,25; & holding (1) & illegal (1) & 1524:22;1525 & intended (9) \\
\hline 1537:8,13,1538:21; & 1514:6 & 1613:9 & indicated (1) & 1622:23;1626:2,17; \\
\hline 1539:1,5,22;1540:2,15; & HOLDINGS (2) & image (3) & 1669:6 & 1632:.5,4,6,7;1652:14, \\
\hline 1541:1,6,13;1544:16, & 1510:9,9 & 1513:12;1540:16,16 & indicates (1) & 14 \\
\hline 23;1545:2;1546:5,17,
22,24:1547:10,15; & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { home (1) } \\
1558: 8
\end{array}
\] & importance (1) & 1545 & interchangeable (2)
1612:22;1616:24 \\
\hline 1549:13;1550:15; & homeowners (1) & improper (1) & 1654:1,25 & interchangeably (0) \\
\hline 1551:4,8;1554:3,13,22; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1548:8} & 1598:14 & indications ( & 1609:6,23;1615:17; \\
\hline 1555:12,24;1556:17; & & improve (1) & 1631:19 & 1616:11;1618:4,6 \\
\hline 1557:10,11 & \[
1513: 6 ; 1521: 24
\] & 1654:24 & individuals & interest (7) \\
\hline Haroula (2) & 1522:16;1523:5; & improveme & 1626:23;1627 & 1521:1,1,11; \\
\hline 1529:20;1532:1 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1528:7;1554:18; } \\
& \text { 1556:17;1557:2,11,18; }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 1653:22 & indulgence (1) & 1589:11,13;1590:12; \\
\hline head (1) & & improvements (4) & 1624:7 & 1632:8 \\
\hline 1613:1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1556:17;1557:2,11,18; } \\
& \text { 1578:21;1585:20; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1519:21;1521:7,12; & industry (4) & interests (5) \\
\hline header (1) & 1588:14;1592:11,20; & 1525:20 & 1622:10,22;1623:7, & 1573:15;1589:19; \\
\hline 1562:9 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1588:14;1592:11,20; } \\
& \text { 1593:19;1595:1; }
\end{aligned}
\] & inaccurate (2) & 13 & 1590:2;1593:11,16 \\
\hline heading (0) & 1596:17,19;1597:2,8, & 1587:10;1611:21 & information (57) & internal (1) \\
\hline 1518:4;1654:10; & 13;1598:2,24;1599:24; & inadmissible (1) & 1515:10;1516:1,3, & \[
1536: 2
\] \\
\hline 1660:13;1661:11; & 1601:1;1604:3; & & 10;1520:21;1524:19; & internally (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1648:4 & items (3) & 1550:23;1554:13,15; & 1543:6 & 1577:25;1578:9; \\
\hline interrupt (1) & 1525:18;1542:1,6 & 1560:21;1561:20 & knowledge (1) & 1579:10;1581:13; \\
\hline 1673:24 & IV (2) & 1577:24;1585:6; & 1629:23 & 1582:16;1583:2; \\
\hline interviewed (1) & 1667:23,24 & 1591:5,6;1603:3,20; & known & 1586:11,24,24,25; \\
\hline 1610:23 & ANKA & 1605:1,20;1606:17; & 1623:13;1628:23 & 1587:23;1588:5; \\
\hline into (41) & 1510:7.5;1543:25; & 1667:12 & knows (1) & 1590:23;1591:18; \\
\hline 1514:19;1520:13; & \[
1544: 6 ; 1545: 11
\] & jurisdiction (1) & 1594:23 & 1593:10;1594:14; \\
\hline 1522:4;1523:1,17; & 1546:10;1547:3,17; & 1673:22 & Kushner (3) & 1595:2,5,14;1596:1,8; \\
\hline 1528:20;1529:16; & 1548:16;1552:25 & Justice (1) & 1545:12;1547: & 1599:5,11,14;1600:11; \\
\hline 1532:25;1537:1; & & 0:16 & 548:16 & 1601:9;1605:2,4,8,22; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1539:1,22;1541:1,13; } \\
& \text { 1542:1,21;1544:16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & J & K & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kyprislidis (1) } \\
& 1544: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1606:15;1608:13,17, } \\
& \text { 22;1609:7,17;1610:19; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1545:3;1546:17; & Jack (15) & & & 1612:18;1613:5; \\
\hline 1547:10;1551:4; & 1529:23;1530:4,8, & keep (5) & L & 1618:7;1620:4; \\
\hline 1553:2;1555:24; & 11;1533:7,9;1540:7; & 1513:5;1573:10; & & 1625:13;1630:17; \\
\hline 1558:14;1560:10; & 1541:18,22;1542:18; & 1595:18;1651:23; & labeled (5) & 1631:6;1644:7; \\
\hline \[
1565: 5,12 ; 1568: 6,8
\] & 1543:1;1673:6;1674:3, & 1653:14 & 1527:4,5,12,15; & 1645:14;1648:25; \\
\hline 1569:25;1578:22; & 5,6 & Keeping (1) & 1572:5 & 1650:12;1651:15; \\
\hline 1588:14;1593:9; & JAMES (2) & 1656:6 & lack (1) & 1652:13;1656:14; \\
\hline 1595:22;1596:18; & 1510:3,19 & kept (3) & 1621:7 & 1658:18;1661:11; \\
\hline 1598:23;1599:25; & JANELLE (1) & 1652:9;1654:20; & Ladder (10) & 1663:2;1665:19; \\
\hline 1601:2;1620:9;1622:1; & 1511:23.5 & 1655:11 & 1518:15;1529:10; & 1667:1,20,24;1668:10; \\
\hline 1627:2;1655:22 & January (2) & KEVIN (1) & 1536:20;1538:7; & 1671:7,20;1672:18,23; \\
\hline introduce (1) & \[
1545: 15,18
\] & 1510:21 & 1539:17;1560:17; & 1673:1,3,7;1674:1 \\
\hline 1529:16 & Jared (3) & Kidder (43) & 1603:3;1667:4,10,16 & Larson's (1) \\
\hline introduced (4) & 1545:11;1547:4 & 1512:14,25;1513:9; & LADOV (46) & 1568:7 \\
\hline 1522:14;1599:6,25; & 1548:16 & \[
1514: 24 ; 1519: 8
\] & 1510:23.5;1557:2,2; & last (9) \\
\hline 1601:10 & JCL (1) & 1520:8,20;1521:19,23; & 1558:17;1562:5,8; & 1539:19;1570:11; \\
\hline investment (18) & 1632:.5 & 1522:17;1523:2,11,25; & 1566:16;1568:4; & 1580:2;1617:25; \\
\hline 1564:24,25;1565:1, & Jeff (11) & 1525:15;1526:3; & 1569:4;1573:8; & 1654:23;1657:6; \\
\hline 4,15;1619:16,19,21,25; & 1532:3;1546:9; & 1527:1;1528:4,14; & 1574:11;1577:15; & 1661:1,2;1671:14 \\
\hline 1620:15,21;1621:4,6, & 1570:24;1572:20,22; & 1529:9,17;1532:19 & 1578:21;1579:7; & later (3) \\
\hline 10,11,14,15,17 & 1574:2;1575:9; & 1533:6;1534:23; & 1582:12;1585:19 & 1522:8,11;1585:12 \\
\hline investments (2) & 1578:13;1579:17; & 1535:6;1537:9,14 & 1586:7;1588:14,21,24; & LAW (3) \\
\hline 1516:15,17 & 1583:3;1591:4 & 1538:23;1539:7; & 1589:2;1591:11; & 1511:13.5;1665:3; \\
\hline investor (1) & JEFFREY (7) & 1540:3,17;1541:8; & 1592:11,15,20,23; & 1671:2 \\
\hline 1565:16 & 1510:7.5;1543:15; & 1543:18,21;1544:24; & 1594:21;1595:7; & LAZARO (3) \\
\hline investors (2) & 1547:7;1549:16; & 1546:6,23;1547:2,18; & 1596:17;1597:2; & 1511:4.5;1608:9,19 \\
\hline 1626:4,20 & 1550:21;1551:19; & 1549:15;1550:18; & 1598:23;1599:24; & leader (1) \\
\hline invoice (1) & 1555:23 & 1551:10;1555:7,15 & 1601:1,12;1604:3,9; & 1561:11 \\
\hline \[
1542: 22
\] & Jersey (1) & kind (12) & 1606:1,7,23;1607:1; & lease (25) \\
\hline involved (1) & 1511:11.5 & \[
1512: 5 ; 1565: 13
\] & 1612:20;1616:1,17; & \[
1524: 20 ; 1525: 4
\] \\
\hline 1543:5 & JESUS (1) & 1568:25;1570:8; & 1617:8,18;1623:21 & 1526:12,15;1545:21, \\
\hline involvement (1) & 1511:5 & 1572:9;1573:10; & land (1) & 25;1546:1,3;1547:3,4; \\
\hline 1550:9 & JR (2) & 1576:10;1578:19; & 1573:17 & 1548:12,17,20,23; \\
\hline involves (1) & 1510:7;1552:24 & 1587:14,15;1616:20; & language (5) & 1549:1;1576:21; \\
\hline 1568:19 & Judge (6) & 1617:1 & 1526:12;1652:13; & 1601:23;1602:5,12; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { involving (1) } \\
1574: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1512:2;1568:13; } \\
& \text { 1594:5,6;1608:4; }
\end{aligned}
\] & kinds (3) 1566:11;1581:3; & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
1666: 11,11 ; 1667: 15
\] \\
languish (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1603: 11,14,18 ; 1605: 5 ; \\
& 1660: 13 ; 1670: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline irrelevant (5) & 1666:23 & 1590:5 & 1653:18 & lease-by-lease (1) \\
\hline 1597:1,9;1598:19, & judgment (4) & KISE (28) & Laptops (1) & 1619:5 \\
\hline 19,1599:3 & 1628:2;1631:2 & 1511:4;1554:18,2 & 1512:3 & leased (9) \\
\hline issue (3) & 1660:1,6 & 1555:1;1556:21; & large (3) & 1524:24;1559:14; \\
\hline 1568:4;1596:22;
\(1675: 20\) & judicial (4) \({ }_{\text {1521.15.1527.25. }}\) & 1591:24;1592:10;
1593:19:1594:4,8,25; & 1526:3;1571:11,13 & 1573:19;1601:18; \\
\hline 1675:20 & 1521:15;1527:25; & 1593:19,1594:4,8,25; & largest (1) & 1658:2,3;1660:14; \\
\hline em (17) & 1528:6,9 & 1595:4,9;1605:24; & 1653:19 & 1669:12;1670:20 \\
\hline 1514:21;1517:20,22; & July (3) & 1606:2;1617:3,4,9; & Larson (86) & leasehold (3) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1520: 15,25 ; 1523 \\
& 1525: 7 ; 1534: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1550: 23 ; 1551: 15 ; \\
& 1554: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
1674: 8,11,15 ; 1675: 3,
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1557: 4,5,6,20 ; \\
& 1558: 10,13,18 ; 1560: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1573:17;1627:17; } \\
& \text { 1632:8 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1543:3,9;1548:21; & jump (2) & 14,18,22 & 15,24;1561:12; & leases (8) \\
\hline 1556:2;1557:21; & 1583:16;1671:4 & Kise's (1) & 1568:16;1569:8,25; & 1519:18,23;1525:8, \\
\hline 1563:9,20;1600:4; & June (17) & 1594:2 & 1570:21;1574:2; & 10;1601:20,21; \\
\hline 1601:5 & 1539:14;1544:9; & knew (1) & 1575:2,8;1576:23; & 1606:11;1654:15 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Leasing (6) } \\
& \text { 1519:22;1525:18; } \\
& 1571: 24 ; 1654: 11,11,13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { licensee (1) } \\
& 1552: 7 \\
& \text { licensees (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1562:8;1577:1,19; } \\
& \text { 1582:5;1588:21; } \\
& \text { 1590:6;1591:12; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1601:10;1611:4,5; } \\
& \text { 1632:.5,.5;1651:8,19; } \\
& \text { 1652:12,18;1666:12 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
1571: 12 \\
\text { major }(9) \\
1660: 15,18,19
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline least (3) & 1551:25 & 1592:18;1601:14,22; & looking (31) & 1661:2;1662:12,13; \\
\hline 1512:12;1525:8; & licenses (1) & 1630:9,11;1649:1; & 1529:15;1530: & 1670:21;1671:7,8 \\
\hline 1617:4 & 1559:3 & 1653:10;1664:18 & 1538:16;1541:7; & majority (1) \\
\hline leave (1) & licensing (3) & lives (1) & 1542:1,24;1548:10 & 1622:12 \\
\hline 1583:17 & 1544:15;1550:16; & 673:21 & 1554:6;1557:6;1563:8; & makes (1) \\
\hline ledger (6) & 1556:12 & Lizabeth (1) & 1566:1,8;1568:4; & 1568:22 \\
\hline 1534:25;1535:6; & Lieutenant & 1544:13 & 1570:1;1572:18; & making (5) \\
\hline 1537:10,10,25;1538:24 & 1557:6 & LLC (16) & 1578:19;1580:6,15; & 1566:10;1597:20; \\
\hline leeway (1) & likely (2) & 1510:8.5,9,9.5,10,10, & 1590:13;1594:15; & 1598:11;1627:24; \\
\hline 1555:5 & 1585:14;1658:2 & 10.5,10.5;1519:3; & 1602:24;1603:4; & 1628:1 \\
\hline left (10) & limit (1) & 1524:1;1535:1;1536:3; & 1604:7,16,25;1620:1; & MAL (1) \\
\hline 1525:3; & 1627:18 & 1537:12;1545:12; & 1623:15,19;1646:12; & 1518:1 \\
\hline 1559:25;1582:17 & limitation ( & 1547:3;1552:22,23 & 1648:17;1670:7 & MAL/TIGC (2) \\
\hline 1583:15;1605:5; & 1597:9 & LLP (2) & looks (11) & 1517:22,24 \\
\hline 1656:15,19;1660:12; & limitations & 1511:10;1538:2 & 1520:12;1564:18; & manage (1) \\
\hline 1661:19 & 1523:5,18;1532:9 & Ioan (4) & 1570:23;1621:20; & 1548:9 \\
\hline left-hand (2) & 1544:19;1546:18; & 1518:15;1603:3 & 1652:19;1654:4; & management (19) \\
\hline 1582:21;1583:20 & 1557:17;1578:23; & 1632:.5,8 & 1657:4,7;1658:19; & 1529:8;1534:14; \\
\hline legal (1) & 1588:16;1597:4,6,12 & loans (1) & 1662:16;1666:14 & 1535:7,1536:4,4,7,14, \\
\hline 1542:21 & 1599:2;1601:3; & 1597:17 & loosely (1) & 15,18,22;1537:15,22; \\
\hline lender (11) & 1666:12 & located (1) & 1609:20 & 1539:8,13;1540:12,18, \\
\hline 1573:3;1622:11, & limited (1) & 73:17 & looser (1) & 23;1548:3,8 \\
\hline 13,14;1623:14,19,19; & 1667:16 & location (1) & 1616:22 & MANAGING (1) \\
\hline 1625:1,3;1632:.5 & line (22) & 1569:3 & losers (1) & 1510:9 \\
\hline lender-ordered (3) & 1515:13;1525:7,9, & LONDON (1) & 1592:18 & Manhattan (2) \\
\hline 1622:23;1623:13,14 & 17;1527:10;1548:11; & 1511:23.5 & loss (3) & 1585:12;1663:5 \\
\hline lenders (4) & 1554:2;1556:5; & long (2) & 1520:22,23;1521:11 & manner (3) \\
\hline 1570:16;1622:9; & 1574:11;1575:1; & 1559:17,23 & lost (1) & 1587:2;1605:10; \\
\hline 1626:4,20 & 1582:1;1583:19; & longer (3) & 1612:11 & 1613:13 \\
\hline less (7) & 1586:7;1590:11,15,21; & 1548:17;1585:7 & \(\operatorname{lot}\) (4) & manual (3) \\
\hline 1552:15,17,1564:19; & 1603:2;1604:9;1611:8, & 1673:21 & 1589:24;1614:21; & 1535:23;1536:1; \\
\hline 1614:22;1630:11; & 19;1626:8;1652:21 & long-term (1) & 1624:8;1670:6 & 1538:3 \\
\hline 1649:17;1658:13 & lines (14) & 1573:16 & loud (1) & many (4) \\
\hline lessees (1) & 1516:13;1534:13; & look (61) & 1558:13 & 1528:13;1620:3; \\
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\hline Orowitz (1) & 1570:12;1589:13 & pape & 7:4,23;1548:18; & 1619:12;1622:1 \\
\hline & owner (2) & 1613:1 & 1549:9 & 5:5;1649: \\
\hline otherwise & - & papers (2) & pentho & PH (3) \\
\hline 1632:. & & 1580:17;1585:2 & 154 & 1518:22,25;1519:5 \\
\hline ourselve & & p & PEO & H20 (1) \\
\hline 1606:3 & O & 1597:11;162 & 1510:2.5;1557:3 & 1548:20 \\
\hline t & & 667 & 1561:5;1617:11 & H28 (3) \\
\hline 1516:1 & 0 & 1671:14 & per (16) & 1545:2;15 \\
\hline 1521:20;1525:8,9 & & p & 1537:17;1539:10; & 48: \\
\hline 1550:2;1551:22; & own & & 1551:19;1568:2 & hone (0) \\
\hline 1552:17,18;1554: & 1589:11;1593:2; & p & 1572:8;1575:2; & 575:9;1582:23,24; \\
\hline 1562:25;1570:22; & & & 1591:17;1652:22,23; & 1583:23; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 157 \\
& 157
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\mathbf{P}\) &  & \[
6
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { phones (2) } \\
1512: 3,4
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline & & P & percei & hhotographers (1) \\
\hline 161 & p & & 70 & 12:8 \\
\hline 1664:17; & & 3;1547:3,4; & p & rap \\
\hline 1670:23;167 & 1522:21;1 & :10:1578:2,2; & 1552:12;1573:15; & 512:5 \\
\hline 1675:4,8 & & 1579:21 & & phrase (6) \\
\hline outlined (1) & 1531:2;1532:7;1533:6, & PART & ; & 16:23; \\
\hline 1627:15 & 25;1534:2,8,8,10,12, & 1510:1.5;1512:1 & 89:7, & 1538:12,15,16;1545:10 \\
\hline outs & 18,20;1540:8;1541:18 & 1544:4,7;1563:11; & 11;1590:24;1591:1,6; & physical (1) \\
\hline 1563: & 1542:24;1545:7; & 1568:1;1597:10,25; & 1593:2;1602:15; & 1569:3 \\
\hline outside (2 & 1547:19;1548:10 & 1598:1;1602:1; & 1603:12,24;160 & jiece (2) \\
\hline 1512:8;1 & 1553:7;1554:11,12 & 1603:10;1608:3 & 1605:3,7,7,15,1 & 1552:13;1621: \\
\hline 1574:3;15? & 1555:16,16,20; & 1644:1;1657:6; & 1631:25;1651:5,9,19; & place (2) \\
\hline 1576:19;1577:3, & 1560:14,24;1561:22 & 1658:25 & 1652:4,7,22;1656:2,21, & 1519:18;1527:10 \\
\hline 1581:10,14,18;1585:2; & 1562:5;1567:5;1569:4 & participants & 25;1657:3;1658:2,2; & Plaintiff (4) \\
\hline 1593:7,14,23,24; & 1572:6,18;1573:9; & 1513:4;1626:4 & \[
1660: 20,23 ; 1661: 3,13
\] & \[
1510: 4.5,19
\] \\
\hline 1594:11;1596:20; & 1576:16;1581:6,7, & particular & \[
20 ; 1662: 9,12,14,14
\] & 1555:12;1556:23 \\
\hline 1606:11;1615:6,7 & 1584:8;1588:4,18, & 25;1534: & 1663:3,11,15,17,20; & Plaintiff's (90) \\
\hline er (15) & 1589:9;1590:21; & 1535:2;1622:2 & 1664:2,19,23;1665:8, & 1513:3 \\
\hline 1515:15; & 1593:1,1;1595:2 & 1628:7;1629:14 & 10,11,15,16,25;1666:3; & 1520:7,16;1521:17; \\
\hline 1552:3;1562 & 1596:7;1599:14; & part & 668:15,19,21; & 1523:10,16,23;1526:2; \\
\hline 1577:1,19;1582:6 & 1600:9,16;1601:12,1 & 1585 & 69:12,15;1670:1,9, & 1528:2;1529:13; \\
\hline 1597:14;1653:15; & 1602:9,10;1605:21; & Partnershi & 25;1671:17;1672:5 & 1532:18,19;1533:5 \\
\hline 1660:19;1669:25; & 1606:8;1607:10; & 0:12 & & 1534:23;1536:24; \\
\hline 1671:4;1672:10,19; & 1611:8,19;1624 & p & 9,25;1661 & 1537:7,8;1538:21; \\
\hline 1673:23 & 1625:16,19;1627:5,9 & 0:12 & Perfect (1) & 1539:4;1540:1,2; \\
\hline overall (8) & 10;1630:15;1631:5,12, & part & & 1541:5,17;1543:1 \\
\hline 1565:10; & 13;1632:.5,2,4; & 1530:17 & perform (2) & 1544:22;1545:6; \\
\hline 1652:21;1653:7, & 1644:21,22,25;164 & past (1) & 61:14;156 & 1546:21;1547:13,1 \\
\hline 1665:22,24;1671:17 & 12,17,17,22;1646:21; & 1622:20 & performed (1) & 1549:13;1550:16; \\
\hline overfiow (1) & 1649:6,9,9,16;1651:2, & patience & 1604:17 & 1551:7;1555:13; \\
\hline 1653:24 & 3,24;1652:12,20; & 1624:8 & period (7) & 1556:3,9,11,13; \\
\hline overrule (1) & 1653:6;1654:10; & Patrick (4) & 1528:18,25;1544:7; & 1557:12,22;1560:11 \\
\hline 1568:10 & 1656:11,12,13;1659:2 & 1549:16,22;1550:6 & 1597:16;1623:4,10; & \[
1568: 5,12 ; 1570: 18
\] \\
\hline verruled & 1660:10,11;1662:23; & 12 & 1654:9 & 1573:8;1574:1;1578 \\
\hline 1522:13;1546:19; & 1664:5,14,18,21; & pattern (2) & periodically (1) & 1579:2;1586:6; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1587:21;1592:24; & 17;1650:16,25;1651:1; & press (1) & 1612.7 & 1649:10,20;1650:3,17; \\
\hline 4-1599.7. & 1655:20,24,24;1658:5, & 512: & -1612.7 & 1651:5;1656:3,5,9; \\
\hline 1600:5,9;1601:6,10 & 8,10;1674:22 & pr & 162 & 1657:14,17,19,22; \\
\hline 604:5;1625:11; & POST (1) & 12 & professionals (8) & 1658:2,15;1660:1 \\
\hline 1644:12,15;1645: & 1510:10 & pretty (4) & 1564:2;1565:1 & 1665:14;1668:7; \\
\hline 1646:6,9,11,11;1647:7, & potential & 10;16 & , & 1669:11,21,25;1670: \\
\hline 13;1649:4,14,17; & 1541:20;1571 & 1672:8;1675:5 & 81:11;1593:7,14 & 20,20 \\
\hline 1650:13,13;1651:23, & 1626:4,21;1654: & previous & 606 & roperty's (3) \\
\hline 23,24;1652:3,6,12,20; & potentially & 1523:4 & pro & 1602:6;1660:20,2 \\
\hline 1653:5,14;1656:13; & 159621 & & & prospective (5) \\
\hline 1662:23,24;1664:4,14; & 1648:14 & 22 1541 & 1613.5 & 1627:11,16,22,2 \\
\hline 1665:17;1666:20; & practice (13) & :22;1541:25 & 1613.5 & 1628:13 \\
\hline 1667:2 & 1514:1,14;1543:3 & & pro & prove (1) \\
\hline plan (1) & 1544:4;1560:13 & 1568:6;1570:18 & 1610:20 & 1522:14 \\
\hline & 1562:12;1564:4 & 1600:4;1601:5 & pro & provide (10) \\
\hline pla & 1566:22;1572:10 & price (5) & 1610:15;1611:2 & 1529:21;1569:21; \\
\hline 1554:23; & 1581:1;1583:12; & 1545:22;1549:2 & 1613:6 & 1587:14;1622:23; \\
\hline Plaza (2) & 1589:19;1621:2 & 2:1;1565:4 & pr & 1625:5,7;1632:7; \\
\hline 1511:7.5;1518:16 & prefer (1) & prices (1) & 1552:6 & 1648:20;1650:5; \\
\hline please (31) & 1674:21 & 1568:22 & pro & 1674:15 \\
\hline 1514:19;1521:22; & & p & 1518:19;1519:4,25; & provided (13) \\
\hline 1522:21;1523:10; & 1595:12;1 & 4: & 1551:1,21,25;1554 & 1522:19;1536:20 \\
\hline 1533:13;1545:2; & pren & principall & projectin & 1538:6;1539:17 \\
\hline 1546:22;1547:16; & 1613 & 73:18 & \[
1628: 1
\] & 1547:7;1552:7;1573:3; \\
\hline 1548:11;1551:8; & premises (1) & pr & projecti & 1574:3;1577:24; \\
\hline 1558:2,7,8,12;155 & 1573:19 & 1540 & 9 & 590:23;1605:1; \\
\hline 1568:15;1585:21; & preparatio & pr & 52 & 1620:2;1625:10 \\
\hline 1589:3;1608:5,8; & & 4:7; & 1529:4;1551:14, & provides (1) \\
\hline 1612:13;1624:9; & prep & 21:14;1530:9 & properties (21) & 1548:12 \\
\hline 1630:16;1631:5; & 1514:3;1519:1 & 1559-19-1564 2 & 1 & public (2) \\
\hline 1632:3;1644:2 & 1523:15;1524:5,1 & 16:17;1652:14 & 1561:9;1570:12 & 1512:12;1564:1 \\
\hline 1656 & 1526:18;1530:7; & 1654:7;1671:23 & 73 & pull (9) \\
\hline 1666:24;1667:8; & 1544:4;1550:2 & prob & 1575:3;1578:3; & 1513:13;1521:1 \\
\hline 16 & 1569:17;1612 & 仡 & & 1523:10;1544:23; \\
\hline PLLC & prepared (35) & 1569:22;1575:1 & :16,2 & 1551:8;1555:13; \\
\hline 1511:2,6. & 1519:13;1520: & 0:2;1650:9; & 1611:15;1612:5; & 1586:10;1651:2 \\
\hline pocket (3) & 1522:8,25;1523:2 & 1670:11 & 1615:6,22,24,1663: & 1653:5 \\
\hline 1538:19,20 & 1524:3;1526:7,10 & pr & 1671 & pulled (1) \\
\hline point (11) & 1529:21;1540:7; & 64:9;1617: & proper & 1611:9 \\
\hline 1521:20; & 1543:15,25;1544:2,25; & 1675:9 & 37:11;1559:13 & Pulling (1) \\
\hline 1528:13;1543:10; & 1550:25;1551:15; & proceed (1) & 1560:18;1562:2 & 1550:3 \\
\hline 1555:3;1589:8;1592:5; & 1554:25;1555:8,17 & 58:12 & 1563:6,9,11,15; & purchase (7) \\
\hline 1599:25;1619:11; & 1556:14;1560:16; & pr & 1564:20,23;1566:6,7,8; & 1545:22;1547 \\
\hline 1666:10;1670:23 & 1587:24;1597:24; & 10;1567: & 1568:21, 24-1569:10 & 1548:12,17;1549:1,8 \\
\hline points (5) & 1599:12;1600:14; & 23;1607: & 17,1573:4,7,22,23,24; & 1565:4 \\
\hline 1575:5, & 1625:16;1626:1,1 & 1666:25;1675:2 & 1574:13;1575:12,20; & urpose (6) \\
\hline 1586:17,18 & 1632:.5;1645:19,19 & proceeds (1) & 1576:1,13,22;1581:19; & 514:4,8,12 \\
\hline Pollack (1) & 1646:17,19;1667:4,5 & 1576:18 & 1586:2;1587:18,19; & 1536:23;1538:1 \\
\hline 15 & prep & proc & 1589:11,12,17;1591:2, & 1595:22 \\
\hline portion & 1514:1;1515:3 & 1519:10,11,15,17 & \[
5,9,14 ; 1593:
\] & purposes (8) \\
\hline 1517:8;1585: & 1529:3;1562:16 & 1520:2,4;1562:16; & 1594:18;1595:16 & 1529:5;1568:6,8 \\
\hline 1612:14;1618:2; & Pres & 1569:9,11 & 1601:17-1609.11 & 571:5,6;1600:1 \\
\hline 1624:10 & 1619:10;1628:3 & pr & 1610:7,7,8;1612:1, & 1649:13 \\
\hline position & & & 25;1613:7,8,10,13,15, & pursuant (1) \\
\hline 1513:20;151 & pres & & 22;1614:3,8,12;1615:1, & 1576:21 \\
\hline 1516:2 & 1514:7,13,15; & 1556:1156 & 2,9,14,15,20;1616:25; & put (17) \\
\hline positions & 1515:19,20;15 & 1556:11;1566 & 1618:11;1619:9; & 1513:2;1519:20,2 \\
\hline 1514:5 & 1608:9;1610:15,20 & p & 1621:21;1622:2 & 1540:15;15 \\
\hline positive ( & 1611:25;1612:4 & 1565:11 & 1623:16;1627:17,24 & 1576:15;1579:5,7; \\
\hline 1654:2;16 & 1613:6,6;1615:20,24; & professiona & 1628:7,10;1629:15; & 1582:13;1583:17; \\
\hline 22 & 1616:13;1675:5 & 1559:1,3;1560:6 & 1630:6;1631:10,15,20; & 1612:25;1646:10; \\
\hline possible & presiding (2) & 1562:11;1577:3,8; & 1632:8;1646:15; & 1649:14;1655:21; \\
\hline 1647:23;1648:1,3,6, & 1512:2;1608:4 & 1581:14,18;1585:10; & 1647:17;1648:18; & 1656:12;1660:11; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1661:9 & 1665:9,13;1666:2,5; & reasonable (1) & 1672:11 & 1541:7,20;1559:3; \\
\hline putting (3) & 1668:11,15,19,22; & 1655:14 & recorded (1) & 1631:18 \\
\hline 1555:15;1595:22; & 1669:15;1670:9 & reasons (2) & 1671:19 & relating (4) \\
\hline 1598:13 & rates (22) & 1543:9;1617:7 & recording (1) & 1541:11;1548:6; \\
\hline PX (7) & 1564:24,25,25; & REC (2) & 1512:5 & 1573:17;1652:14 \\
\hline 1513:13;1576:16; & 1565:1,15;1566:1,5; & 1516:22,23 & redirect (2) & elationship (1) \\
\hline 1579:4,7;1582:14; & 1572:8;1575:3,19,19; & recall (28) & 1664:8;1672:24 & 1516:3 \\
\hline 1583:17,17 & 1577:25;1580:7,20; & 1517:17;1518:1; & reduced (3) & relevance (2) \\
\hline Q & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1586: 16 ; 1629: 2,3,12 \\
& 1663: 7,22 ; 1665: 23,24
\end{aligned}
\] & 1529:11;1546:2; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1540: 21,24 ; 1575: 6 \\
& \text { reducing (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1554:20;1597:12 \\
\hline & rather (2) & 1583:2,5;1586:25; & 1575:16 & 1598:18 \\
\hline qualified (1) & 1575:19;1595:1 & 1587:3;1592:2,5; & redundant (1) & relevant (5) \\
\hline 1630:5 & rating (2) & 1608:23;1611:11; & 1570:7 & 1563:20;1564:8; \\
\hline quality (1) & 1626:4,21 & 1620:24;1644:14,17; & refer (4) & 1597:5,23;1598:14 \\
\hline 1563:21 & ratio (2) & 1649:22,25;1651:4,7; & 1517:2;1538:2; & reliable (1) \\
\hline quantity (1) & 1543:11,13 & 1652:25;1668:3,14,21; & 1543:10;1552:21 & 1614:22 \\
\hline 1563:21 & reach (3) & 1669:14;1671:8; & reference (3) & relied (2) \\
\hline quarter (4) & 1575:17;1582:9; & 1672:1 & 1582:22,24;1603:1 & 1598:5;1626:5 \\
\hline 1652:22;1653:8; & 1587:6 & receipt (3) & referenced (1) & relies (1) \\
\hline 1654:20;1668:12 & reached (6) & 1569:9,10,1 & 1627:17 & 1586:21 \\
\hline quarterly (1) & 1576:24;1604:11,19; & receive (2) & referred (9) & rely (4) \\
\hline 1671:18 & 1670:13;1671:18,21 & 1552:25;1585:11 & 1514:21;1520:15; & 1598:3,21;1622:19; \\
\hline quick (3) & reaction (3) & received (13) & 1523:22;1556:2; & 1655:23 \\
\hline 1623:8,16;1625:4 & 1587:8;1592:8,10 & 1514:22;1520:16; & 1557:21;1577:11; & relying (5) \\
\hline quicker (1) & read (17) & 1523:23;1551:2; & 1594:11;1600:4; & 1586:23;1587:5; \\
\hline 1649:1 & 1548:23;1571:21; & 1552:12;1553:6; & 1601:5 & 1658:24;1660:6; \\
\hline quickly (2) & 1573:13;1585:19,21, & 1556:3;1557:22; & referring (5) & 1662:15 \\
\hline 1554:9;1672:22 & 23;1586:10;1595:2; & 1561:13;1596:11; & 1529:25;1541:23; & remain (1) \\
\hline quote (7) & 1598:22;1606:2,4; & 1599:18;1600:5; & 1581:2;1583:22; & 1653:15 \\
\hline 1564:7;1590:18; & 1612:13,15;1618:3; & 1601:6 & 1584:5 & remaining (3) \\
\hline 1593:4;1601:17; & 1624:9,11;1666:10 & recent (4) & refers (5) & 1551:21;1552:1; \\
\hline 1605:1,22;1606:8 & readback (4) & 1572:1,2;1629:8; & \[
1517: 24 ; 1519: 1 ;
\] & 1589:11 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{R}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1612:12;1617:24; } \\
& 1624: 4,6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 1663:8 } \\
\text { recently (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1542:8;1562:10; } \\
& \text { 1584:1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { remains (2) } \\
& 1516: 19 ; 1552: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & reading (1) & 1653:21 & refinancing (2) & remember (11) \\
\hline raise (1) & 1522:3 & recess (2) & 1529:9;1603:3 & 1558:14;1560:1; \\
\hline 1558:2 & reads (11) & 1567:4;1607:8 & reflect (3) & 1595:18;1610:23; \\
\hline range (1) & 1522:17;1523:25; & recognize (38) & 1547:2;1552:4; & 1611:13,14;1618:9; \\
\hline 1550:23 & 1541:19;1545:7,14,20; & 1513:9,10,14,15; & 1576:12 & 1620:24;1650:24; \\
\hline rate (100) & 1548:11;1561:23; & 1520:8,11;1523:11,13; & reflected (4) & 1651:21;1653:2 \\
\hline \[
1565: 2,3,5,10,10,12
\] & 1564:7;1591:13; & 1527:7;1529:17,19; & 1516:1;1539:17; & remembered (1) \\
\hline \[
1566: 2,7,12,14
\] & 1601:17 & 1532:20,22;1534:24; & 1555:8;1556:13 & 1611:13 \\
\hline 1574:19,23;1575:2,6,7, & ready (2) & 1537:9;1538:23; & reflects (5) & remind (1) \\
\hline \[
16,17 ; 1577: 19 ; 1578: 3
\] & 1557:20;1568:13 & 1540:3,5;1541:8,10; & 1544:24;1576:18; & 1512:20 \\
\hline 1579:21;1580:3; & real (21) & 1543:21,23;1546:6,7,8; & 1577:25;1590:24; & removed (1) \\
\hline 1581:11;1582:6,12; & 1542:2;1558:21; & 1547:19,21;1550:18, & 1605:3 & 1542:6 \\
\hline 1583:4,18;1586:9; & 1559:5,6,22;1563:6,10; & 20;1551:10,13; & refresh (1) & renew (2) \\
\hline 1589:5,7;1590:19,22, & 1564:2;1571:5; & 1555:16,22;1570:20; & 1538:18 & 1593:25;1597:11 \\
\hline 24;1591:1,6;1593:8; & 1586:15;1609:7,10,13; & 1578:9;1596:1; & refused (1) & renewal (1) \\
\hline 1594:17;1595:16; & 1610:16;1612:1; & 1599:11;1600:11 & 1617:13 & 1654:19 \\
\hline 1601:16;1602:15; & 1617:12;1621:9,13,18, & recollection (4) & regarding (5) & renewals (1) \\
\hline 1603:12,24,24; & 19;1629:17 & 1538:13,18;1575:8; & 1547:22;1553:3; & 1654:18 \\
\hline 1604:20,22;1605:6,7, & really (6) & 1625:6 & 1570:22;1623:4; & rent (16) \\
\hline 12,13,15,16,16; & 1520:6;1580:25; & reconciliation (1) & 1667:15 & 1545:12;1601:23; \\
\hline 1606:12,20;1631:24; & 1610:4;1650:9;1671:3; & 1516:25 & regardless (1) & 1628:16;1653:1; \\
\hline 1651:4,9,19;1652:4,7, & 1672:22 & record (18) & 1615:14 & 1654:7,1661:13,20; \\
\hline 9;1655:9,12,16,19,23; & Realty (1) & 1523:7;1547:16; & regular (2) & 1662:18;1669:3,5,19; \\
\hline 1656:2,8;1657:10,13, & 1589:13 & 1558:9;1579:4; & 1564:4;1621:2 & 1670:6,24;1671:3,21; \\
\hline 16,20;1658:3,9,9,10, & reason (7) & 1597:25;1598:1,15,17; & regularly (1) & 1672:2 \\
\hline 12,22,23;1661:12,15, & 1574:8;1616:9; & 1611:1;1612:14; & 1620:25 & rentable (4) \\
\hline 20,25;1662:4,15,18; & 1631:1;1652:17; & 1618:2;1624:10; & relate (1) & 1660:20,24;1661:3, \\
\hline 1663:3,6,11,12,14,17, & 1653:3;1666:10; & 1644:12;1649:13,24; & 1535:23 & 12 \\
\hline 20;1664:15,16,19,22; & 1673:24 & 1650:1;1654:14; & related (4) & rental (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1576:20;1601:16 & requirements (1) & reviewed (2) & 32:9,14; & 1564:12;1565:14; \\
\hline rents & . 8 & 1530:16,18 & 1533:2;1537:3; & 1566:6;1568:18,20,22 \\
\hline 156 & re & r & -1541 & 1571.22.1572.1 \({ }^{\text {14.}}\) \\
\hline 2:21;1653:7,10,15; & 1562:1 & & 1544:19;1546:18 & 1575.18.1576.4 \\
\hline 5:6;1671:15,16,17 & re-re & reviewing (1) & 1557:13, & 1579:11,12;1580:13, \\
\hline repeat (13) & 60 & 1670 & -1578.23 & 20,24.158 \\
\hline 1581:16;1 & research & re & 888:16;1591:15,1 & 1613:19,24;1614:13, \\
\hline 17 & 1563.2 & 1564:18 & 92:6;1596:19; & 25;1615:12;1618:18; \\
\hline 1605:14;1612:11; & & revise (1) & 1597:8;1598:2,1 & 1629:5,6,8,9;1663:6,8 \\
\hline 1621:12;1644:16; & 23;1669:20 & 1648:1 & Roberts (2) & 1665:10 \\
\hline 25;1656:17 & 1670:25 & REVOCABLE (1) & 10, & me (64) \\
\hline 1657:18;1660:4 & re & 510:8 & Robertson ( & 1514:14;1519:15,17; \\
\hline repeatedly (1) & 1576.21 & ri & 1674 & 1520:2;1526:9,11,15, \\
\hline \[
1597: 3
\] & resigned & 1519:6;1526: & ROBINSON & 17;1532:14,15;1533:2 \\
\hline re-P & 1515:5 & 1527:1;1536:10 & 1511:23 & 3;1534:8,9,10,11; \\
\hline & \(\mathbf{r}\) & 538:15 & rol & 1537:3,4;1539:19,23; \\
\hline re & 564:1 & 1540:17;1544:11 & 1554:23;1561 & 1540:16;1541:2,3,14; \\
\hline 1595:12, & & 1545:21;1548:12 & roll & 1542:23;1547:14; \\
\hline report (40) & & 21,22,25,25;1555:16 & 1628:16 & 1554:5;1555:9;1560:3; \\
\hline 1513:16, & respectfull & 1558:2,14;1569:22; & ro & 1578:19;1586:13; \\
\hline 1536:19; & & & 19 & 1591:2,9,24;1603:24; \\
\hline 1539:17;1550:21 & resp & 1573:13;1576:1; & Rolodex & 1605:24;1609:3,4; \\
\hline 1552:7;1560:20; & 1579:18 & 1577:9;1578:14; & 674:2 & 1613:22;1615:7,9,13; \\
\hline 15 & re & 582 & Roman & 1623:24,24;1630:7; \\
\hline 15 & 544:8 & 1592:13;1595:2,18; & 1667:23,23; & 1646:15,17;1647:16, \\
\hline 15 & responsib & 1602:13,16,20;1603:4; & rose & 17,17;1650:3,3,23; \\
\hline 1588:7,11;1596:10,14; & 50:12 & 1605:17;1610:23; & 1671 & 1652:9,19;1655:11; \\
\hline 1599:17,21;1600:19, & res & 1611:2;1613:7,19 & rounde & 1656:7,7;1660:2,7; \\
\hline 23;1601:1 & 1:15 & 1615:10;1618:7,15 & 1669 & 662:15;1666:15,16; \\
\hline 1615:19; & & 1619:17;1620:14; & R & 1667:14 \\
\hline 1627:15,16;1630:16, & & 1621:21;1622:5,8, & 1516:8;1517:4,10; & San (2) \\
\hline 19,21;16 & restricted & 1625:11,23;1626: & 518:19,22;1521:1, & 1591:15,21 \\
\hline 1649:22 & 6:15 & 17,24-1627.7,12. & -3.1528.20 24 & save (1) \\
\hline porter & & 1628:4,11,20;1630:15, & 35:5,12;1537:13,14, & 1606:3 \\
\hline 1585:23;1624:6 & restriction & 22;1631:4,7,10,15,25; & 21;1540:12;1554:3,5,5 & saw (3) \\
\hline Reporters (1) & 666:15 & 1632:.5;1644:21; & Rows (6) & 1570:11;1587: \\
\hline 15 & result & 1646:10;1648:25 & 1515:8,10;1516:1 & \[
1592: 2
\] \\
\hline reporting (2) & - & \[
1652: 3,7 ; 1656: 14,1
\] & 1528:3;1535:18; & scan (1) \\
\hline \[
1513: 21 ; 15
\] & re & 1657:9;1658:20,24; & \[
1539: 5
\] & 1526:6 \\
\hline reports (4) & 1606:13 & 1660:10,12,13,20; & ro & scenario (1) \\
\hline 1523:14; & res & \[
1661: 15,17,23
\] & 1552:9 & 648:19 \\
\hline 1578:19;1631:7 & 22 & 1662:24;1663:3 & rul & scheduling (1) \\
\hline represent (2) & & 1664:12;1665:1,7,19 & 1594:1 & 1672:12 \\
\hline 1521:10;1660:19 & 8:11 & 1666:19;1667:1,18; & rulin & school (2) \\
\hline representation (1) & retai & 1668:19,22,23;1669:6, & & 1665:1,3 \\
\hline \[
1581: 15
\] & 1559:8,13 & 7,9;1670:17;1671:9,10, & 1537:4;1541:3 & science (2) \\
\hline representations & 1573:20,23;1575:3,18, & 11,24;1672:2,3,7; & run & 1629:20;1630:1 \\
\hline 1597:20 & 25;1576:2,5,9,11; & 1675:6 & :7,13, & scope (6) \\
\hline represents & 1583:10;1586:2; & right-hand (3) & RXR (1) & 1558:24;1562:7, \\
\hline 1660:23;166 & 1601:16,18;1603:11 & 1540.9.1582.23 & & 1563:8;1596:21; \\
\hline \[
\mathbf{r c}
\] & & & S &  \\
\hline 1579:18;1621:10 & & 1512:1;1568 & & 1513:2,9;1521:17; \\
\hline 16;1623:17;1672:15 & ret & 1608:3;1644:1 & & 1540:15;1544:24; \\
\hline requested (7) & & & & 1551:11;1570:19; \\
\hline 1532:23;1585: & ret & 1 & Sach & 1573:10,12;1577:23; \\
\hline 1612:14;1618:2; & 1622:15 & & 15 & 1599:10;1611:9; \\
\hline 1624:10,13;1625:10 & return (3) & 1658:1 & sale (12) & 1649:5;1651:25; \\
\hline required (2) & 1565:4;1 & RMR (1) & 1518:23 & 1662:25;1667:1 \\
\hline 1518:17;1543:9 & 1673:22 & 1511:23. & 5;1552:8 & scroll (30) \\
\hline requirement (3) & review (5) & ROBERT (31) & \[
1566: 13 ; 1569: 2
\] & \[
1515: 15 ; 1517: 10
\] \\
\hline 1563:24;1564:17; & 1520:1;1 & 1511:6.5,6.5, & 1623:8,11,16;1625:4 & 1520:25;1525:15; \\
\hline 1650:5 & 1648:3,10;1650:6 & 1521:24;1522:1,10; & sales (31) & 1535:4;1536:5; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1537:13;1539:5; & 1579:14 & 1549:23,25;1550:5 & 1546:12;1564:25; & 1545:25 \\
\hline 1554:13;1562:5,8; & sending (3) & shift (1) & 1568:18;1571:15; & Sonja (2) \\
\hline 1569:4;1571:18; & 1546:14;1571:25; & 1519: & 1578:20;1582:5; & 1547:22,24 \\
\hline 1572:12;1574:11,25; & 1575:13 & show & 1591:16;1602:24 & sorry (20) \\
\hline 1577:1;1579:8;1586:7, & Senior (3) & 1514:5;1522:9 & 1666:14;1667:15 & 1528:19;1530:13; \\
\hline 8;1588:21;1589:2; & 1511:24;1532:2; & 1526:4;1560:9 & similarly (6) & 1534:5;1565:18,24,24; \\
\hline 1590:21;1591:11; & 1560:1 & 1570:17;1576: & 1591:22;1655:14,21; & 1581:7;1586:8; \\
\hline 1595:25;1599:14; & sense (3) & 1578:6,7;1584:3 & 1660:5;1662:17; & 1587:19;1598:25; \\
\hline 1601:13;1602:25; & 1575:20,21;1609:16 & 1586:5;1587:20; & 1667:21 & 1604:8;1612:17; \\
\hline 1604:9 & sent (18) & 1588:25;1595:22,23; & simple (3) & 1616:5;1649:24; \\
\hline scrolling (2) & 1529:21;1530:8,8, & 1598:15;1599:6; & 1598:12,16;1617:12 & 1657:6;1664:1;1665:5; \\
\hline 1570:19;1593:3 & 10;1533:20;1540:16, & 1600:7,8;1602:22 & simpler (1) & 1669:4,17;1673:5 \\
\hline search (1) & 20,22;1541:25;1542:2; & 1603:1;1604:4; & 1566:19 & sort (6) \\
\hline 1653:23 & 1546:9;1571:1;1576:3; & 1663:24;1664:2 & simply (1) & 1515:4;1566:18; \\
\hline seat (1) & 1578:13;1579:17; & 1665:10 & 1597:23 & 1569:16,21;1654:20; \\
\hline 1558:7 & 1648:2,11,14 & showed (2) & singles (4) & 1672:10 \\
\hline seated (4) & sentence (4) & 1663:23,2 & 1565:18,19,22,23 & sound (4) \\
\hline 1512:6;1568:2; & 1589:10;1601:17 & showing (2) & sit (2) & 1544:11;1618:15; \\
\hline 1608:5;1644:2 & 1653:14;1660:15 & 1574:8;1654: & 1648:22;1650:1 & 1671:9;1672:2 \\
\hline second (11) & sentences (1) & shown (1) & sitting (2) & sounds (4) \\
\hline 1515:14;1563:20; & 1573:14 & 1592:7 & 1650:15;1651:1 & 1558:13;1671:10; \\
\hline 1570:24;1572:5; & sentiment (5) & shows (4) & situated (4) & 1672:3,7 \\
\hline 1625:25;1626:8; & 1654:2;1655:1,3,8 & 1574:9,17;1577:17 & 1655:14,21;1660: & source (2) \\
\hline 1649:15;1651:10,16; & 22 & 18 & 1662:17 & 1575:12;1580:17 \\
\hline 1654:20;1667:14 & separate & side (27) & situation (1) & sources (1) \\
\hline secondary (1) & 1525:9;1631:17 & 1525:3;1526:11 & 1625:4 & 1566:1 \\
\hline 1631:23 & September (11) & 1527:1;1555:16; & Six (15) & south (2) \\
\hline section (3) & 1551:15;1572:22 & 1576:15,15;1579:5,5; & 1559:18;1588: & 1653:24;1654:22 \\
\hline 1518:4;1569:5 & 1575:9;1582:22,24; & 1582:13,13,21,23; & 1600:16;1624:1; & south's (2) \\
\hline 1602:1 & 1583:2,24,24;1584:5; & 1583:17,17,20,20; & 1644:22,22;1650:24; & 1653:22,22 \\
\hline seeing (4) & 1586:23;1587:1 & 1601:19;1646:11,11; & 1663:10,17;1664:19, & space (8) \\
\hline 1591:22;1592:5,6,8 & sequestered (1) & 1649:14,14;1651:22, & 22;1665:8,10,16; & 1524:23;1555:9; \\
\hline seek (1) & 1512:16 & 22;1653:15,15; & 1668:14 & 1559:15;1563:16; \\
\hline 1568:7 & service (2) & 1656:12,12 & Sixth (3) & 1575:5;1601:16; \\
\hline seeking (1) & 1543:10,13 & sidebar (1) & 1587:24;1590:13,16 & 1603:11;1654:22 \\
\hline 1576:10 & services (4) & 1673:23 & six-year (5) & speak (7) \\
\hline seem (1) & 1570:5,9;1573:20; & signature (10) & 1524:1;1525:17 & 1519:22;1548:1; \\
\hline 1656:16 & 1598:6 & 1560:24;1561:1 & 1526:7,10;1529:3 & 1558:14;1583:13; \\
\hline seemingly (1) & session (4) & 1588:4;1596:8; & skill (1) & 1598:17;1614:24; \\
\hline 1594:1 & 1512:2;1568:2 & 1599:15;1600:17; & 1583:14 & 1615:5 \\
\hline seems (2) & 1608:4;1644:2 & 1630:17,18;1644:22; & slate (1) & speaking (9) \\
\hline 1541:11;1552:5 & set (1) & 1645:14 & 1617:21 & 1515:24,1534:1; \\
\hline select (1) & 1580:25 & signatures (3) & slightly (1) & 1550:24;1561:24; \\
\hline 1580:23 & settlement (5) & 1644:23;1645:15 & 1590:17 & 1566:17,1576:8; \\
\hline selected (3) & 1517:1,2;1518:5,10, & 1647:12 & small & 1594:1;1595:10; \\
\hline 1665:8,16;1666:7 & 17 & signed (10) & 1540:10 & 1597:14 \\
\hline Selection (1) & SEVEN (16) & 1569:9,12,15; & SOFC (1) & specialist (1) \\
\hline 1629:10 & 1510:10.5;1556:24; & 1606:11;1622:3; & 1593:21 & 1589:23 \\
\hline sell (3) & 1581:7;1611:19; & 1649:20;1650:2; & solemnly (1) & specialists (1) \\
\hline 1551:22;1559:15;
1623.5 & \(1631: 24,1651: 4,9,19 ;\)
\(1652 \cdot 4 \cdot 1656 \cdot 2\). & 1654:19;1667:20,21 & 1558:3 & 1589:21 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
1623: 5 \\
\text { selling (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
1652:4,7;1656:2; \\
1663:2,20;1664:2;
\end{tabular} & ```
significant (3)
    1611:13;1675:6,10
``` & SOLOMON & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { specializations (1) } \\
& 1559: 7
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1552:1;1591:16 & 1666:2;1668:21 & significantly (3) & solve (2) & specialize (2) \\
\hline sellout (4) & several (3) & 1542:7,11,14 & 1619:8,9 & 1590:2;1621:3 \\
\hline 1554:7,9,14;1555:9 & 1566:1;1618:8 & signify (2) & somebody (6) & specific (8) \\
\hline sell-out (4) & 1653:18 & 1560:21;1561 & 1562:22;1572 & 1562:24;1563:6; \\
\hline 1551:21;1552:1,7; & shall (3) & signing (1) & 1612:24;1616:22,24; & 1569:10;1575:8; \\
\hline 1553:3 & 1545:21;1548:22,25 & 1525:9 & 1674:4 & 1576:7;1587:15; \\
\hline sellouts (1) & share (1) & silent (1) & sometimes (1) & 1591:25;1592:18 \\
\hline 1555:7 & 1572:15 & 1512:3 & 1609:20 & specifically (3) \\
\hline send (5) & shared (1) & similar (16) & somewhat (1) & 1515:24;1615:2; \\
\hline 1533:13;1570:22; & 1576:9 & 1526:16,17;1534:18, & 1617:21 & 1632:9.5 \\
\hline 1571:4;1572:3; & shell (3) & 20;1537:17;1539:10; & somewhere (1) & specified (4) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1535:15;1626:2,17; & 1520:18;1521:10; & 1605:5;1662:15; & 1528:13 & T-12 (3) \\
\hline 1627:2 & 1545:17,1552:5; & :11;1674:8,13; & suffered (1) & 1542:7,9,12 \\
\hline Speculation (1) & 1569:8,11;1570:19; & 1675:9 & 1653:19 & tab (7) \\
\hline 1594:19 & 1606:7;1628:14; & stock (1) & suffice (1) & 1514:25;1516:21; \\
\hline speech (1) & 1660:14;1673:7 & 1655:2 & 1648:22 & 1517:7;1545:2,24; \\
\hline 1598:11 & started (4) & stop & suggest (1) & 1546:24;1547:2 \\
\hline spend (1) & 1544:9;1551:15; & 1592:1 & 1674:3 & table (3) \\
\hline 1543:18 & 1572:19;1598:12 & straight (1) & Suite (3) & 1522:22;1580:6,7 \\
\hline spent (1) & starting (3) & 1583:15 & 1511:3,11,14.5 & tagged (1) \\
\hline 1560:6 & 1567:2;1585:10; & STR & sum (2) & 41:24 \\
\hline spoke (2) & 1654:4 & 1510:10,12.5,20 & 1516:18;1527:22 & Talesnik (2) \\
\hline 1513:20;1519:10 & STATE (14) & 1511:3,14.5;1519:9,11; & summary (5) & 1547:22,24 \\
\hline spreadsheet (34) & 1510:1,2.5,3.5,1 & 1520:9;1524:1;1529:5, & 1579:12,13;1580:13; & talk (2) \\
\hline 1513:22;1514:4,10, & 1526:4;1557:3;1558:8; & 10;1530:1;1532:24; & 1588:25;1663:6 & 1529:9;1583:15 \\
\hline 18,24;1516:21,24; & 1561:19;1588:11; & 1534:25;1536:3; & sums (3) & talked (3) \\
\hline 1517:18;1520:25; & 1593:15;1596:14; & 1537:12;1538:24; & 1518:9;1519:5; & 1581:3;1586:22; \\
\hline 1521:6;1525:21; & 1599:21;1600:23; & 1560:19;1561:20; & 1617:1 & 1602:2 \\
\hline 1543:25;1544:1,24; & 1619:23 & 1568:5;1573:18; & supervise (1) & talking (11) \\
\hline 1546:12;1547:8; & stated (3) & 1590:14;1593:4; & 1532:4 & 1543:18;1569:1; \\
\hline 1550:2,10;1551:10; & 1566:2;1632:.5,9.5 & 1596:2,12,15;1599:12, & supervisor (1) & 1572:7;1574:14; \\
\hline \[
1554: 25 ; 1555: 8,17
\] & statement (16) & 19,22;1600:14,20,24; & \[
1630: 22
\] & 1581:24;1582:3; \\
\hline 1556:14;1574:2,4; & 1522:12;1529:5; & 1601:11,19;1602:20; & support (6) & 1589:4;1591:14; \\
\hline 1579:6,11,22;1580:3; & 1530:22;1536:23; & 1603:25;1604:11; & 1566:21;1574:5,17; & 1670:19;1674:5; \\
\hline 1581:23;1583:19,21; & 1576:16,24;1577:4,15; & 1605:3,9;1606:17; & 1577:9,11;1578:6 & 1675:8 \\
\hline 1590:10;1602:23 & 1581:6,14;1592:25; & 1618:9,17;1622:4; & Supporting (11) & Tallahassee (1) \\
\hline spreadsheets (5) & 1593:21;1598:7; & 1625:8,14;1644:9; & 1528:3;1574:2; & \[
1511: 3.5
\] \\
\hline 1514:1,13;1515:4; & 1604:6;1605:10,19 & 1645:18,24;1646:1; & 1576:25;1577:12; & task (2) \\
\hline 1544:5;1555:17 & statements (8) & 1663:10;1666:21; & 1579:11,22;1580:3 & 1515:3,5 \\
\hline SPRINGS (1) & 1533:14,19;1554:23; & 1667:3;1669:12; & 1581:22;1583:21; & taxes (1) \\
\hline 1510:10.5 & 1555:2;1574:7; & 1670:8 & 1590:9;1604:7 & 1542:3 \\
\hline Square (8) & 1597:15;1598:3; & Streets (1) & suppose (1) & team (4) \\
\hline 1575:4;1591:17; & 1616:14 & 1591:1 & 1651:14 & 1519:24;1543:7; \\
\hline 1601:20,21;1652:22; & states (21) & strike (2) & supposed (1) & 1548:8;1561:9 \\
\hline 1671:16,18,21 & 1513:23;1514:8; & 1589:25;1609:21 & 1649:21 & technique (1) \\
\hline square-foot (7) & 1517:1,11;1518:4,19; & strong (1) & SUPREME (2) & 1568:21 \\
\hline \[
1572: 8 ; 1652: 23 ;
\] & 1521:1;1522:23; & 1653:16 & 1510:1,16 & technology (1) \\
\hline 1653:1,8,8,16;1654:19 & 1524:19;1525:3; & structure (2) & sure (25) & 1653:20 \\
\hline stabilization (7) & 1528:17,24;1533:13; & 1660:13;1670:19 & 1512:3;1513:11,12; & telephone (3) \\
\hline 1669:19;1670:3,12 & 1540:19;1548:19,21; & SUAREZ (1) & 1517:16,19;1519:18; & 1575:2;1586:22; \\
\hline 13,24;1671:4,5 & 1554:6;1562:1,16; & 1511:5 & 1528:11;1532:10; & 1605:4 \\
\hline Stabilize (1) & 1577:24;1591:16 & sub-approaches (1) & 1554:20;1557:15; & telling (1) \\
\hline 1670:3 & stating (1) & 1618:22 & 1558:15;1603:19; & 1656:17 \\
\hline stabilized (7) & statistics & subject (11) & 1605:15;1610:5; & \[
\operatorname{ten}(9)
\] \\
\hline 1665:22;1669:22; & statistics (3) & 1522:11;1549:19; & 1611:14;1612:17; & 1534:13;1631:12; \\
\hline 1670:1,5,7,8,11 & 1564:1;1654:18 & 1555:6;1568:23; & 1621:5;1647:21; & 1651:2;1653:8;1657:3; \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
stage (1) \\
1522.7
\end{tabular} & \(1665: 9\)
status (7) & 1598:18;1601:17; & 1648:23;1650:20; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1662: 14 ; 1664: 5,14 ; \\
& 1675: 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline stand (6) & status (7)
1656:21,24;1657:2, & 1650:6;1660:13; & 1675:12,21 & tenancy (1) \\
\hline 1512:19;1557:1; & 19,21;1669:10; & 1670:20 & surrendered (1) & 1563:16 \\
\hline 1558:1;1608:11; & 1674:12 & subjective (2) & 1548:13 & Tenant (5) \\
\hline 1612:22;1672:21 & statute (13) & 1628:23;1629:1 & surveys (2) & \[
1525: 20 ; 1542: 2
\] \\
\hline standard (3) & 1523:5,18;1532:9; & subjectivities (4) & 1564:1;1565:16 & 1545:20;1548:22,25 \\
\hline 1543:3;1564:4; & 1544:19;1546:18; & 1658:19,23;1660:3,7 & sustain (1) & tenants (22) \\
\hline 1652:13 & 1557:17;1578:23; & subjectivity (1) & 1594:12 & 1601:18;1619:5; \\
\hline Standards (1) & 1588:16;1597:3,5,12; & 1661:5 & swear (1) & 1653:18,20,23; \\
\hline 1562:11 & 1599:1;1601:3 & submitted (4) & 1558:3 & 1654:21;1657:5,7,9; \\
\hline standing (4) & stay (1) & 1645:8;1646:3 & switch (1) & 1660:15,18,19,23; \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1523:6,20;1630:4,6 \\
stands (1)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
1672:11 \\
stepping (
\end{tabular} & 1647:22,23 & \[
1528: 2
\] & 1661:1,2;1662:12,13; \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { stands (1) } \\
1611: 16
\end{array}
\] & \[
1569: 24
\] &  & 1563:17;1671:1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1669: 13,1 \\
& 1671: 7,9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Stanley (1) & still (11) & subtracted (2) & & ten-minute (1) \\
\hline 1654:19 & 1512:21;1514:14; & 1517:14;1527:19 & T & 1567:1 \\
\hline start (11) & 1515:20;1522:10,12; & subtracting (1) & & TERM (18) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1510:1.5;1545:21; & 1632:.5;1645:22; & topics (1) & 1520:5;1529:6;1532:2; & 1586:16;1590:12; \\
\hline 1548:23;1549:1; & 1646:21;1649:6; & 1649:2 & 1536:3;1543:19,25; & 1595:25;1600:9; \\
\hline 1609:24;1610:1,3,5; & 1661:13,20;1662:9; & total (16) & 1544:14;1545:11,12 & 1606:5;1612:19; \\
\hline 1612:23;1615:16; & 1671:25;1672:6; & 1516:13,18;1518:5; & 1547:3,3,17,25;1548:2, & 1614:4;1616:11; \\
\hline 1616:21;1621:7; & 1673:18 & 1527:14,18,19;1536:7, & 16;1549:8,19,23; & 1618:21;1630:5,7; \\
\hline 1629:21;1630:2; & throughout (1) & 13,15;1552:10,16 & 1550:5,10;1552:14,24, & 1632:.5;1647:16; \\
\hline 1669:19,20,22;1670:24 & 1597:16 & 1556:5,12;1660:20,24; & 24,25;1570:1,4,8,12, & 1648:23;1650:2,10,22; \\
\hline terminal (8) & tie (3) & 1661 & 15;1572:21;1573:1,6, & 1655:7;1661:1;1662:7, \\
\hline 1663:6,12,22 & 1555:3;1579:10 & totally (1) & 14,1574:3,6;1575:6,13, & 13;1664:11;1666:21 \\
\hline 1664:19,21;1665 & 1597:4 & 1613:2 & 16;1576:19;1577:6,12, & type (2) \\
\hline 1668:11,15 & ties (2) & to & 13,17,18;1578:13; & 1544:5;1575:20 \\
\hline terminalization (1) & 1576:24;1580:2 & 1654:2 & 1579:6,7;1580:20; & types (1) \\
\hline 1665:9 & TIGC (1) & towards (1) & 1581:7,10,19,19; & 1624:17 \\
\hline terminally (1) & 1518:2 & 1654:18 & 1587:1,4;1589:10; & typical (2) \\
\hline 1665:8 & timeframe (2) & Tower (12) & 1590:12;1591:5; & \[
1569: 16,19
\] \\
\hline terminology (2) & \[
1551: 22 ; 1569: 1
\] & 1519:14;1575:6,1 & 1593:2,6,17;1594:16; & typically (7) \\
\hline 1618:22;1671:2 & times (4) & \[
1577: 12,13,17,1
\] & 1597:18,19,25;1605:9, & \[
1565: 14 ; 1614: 4,5
\] \\
\hline terms (17) & 1528:11,13;1575:4 & 1579:6,7;1580:21 & 20;1606:10,20;1608:9; & 19;1619:4,25;1648:4 \\
\hline 1545:17;1548:1; & \[
1624: 1
\] & 1581:7,19 & \[
1610: 15,20 ; 1612: 1,4
\] & \\
\hline 1562:2,4;1563:21 & timing (1) & track (1) & 1613:6;1615:20,24; & U \\
\hline 1566:10;1569:7; & 1553:3 & 1543:2 & 1616:13;1626:24; & \\
\hline 1592:17;1598:18; & tiny (1) & trademarks ( & 1627:1;1675:5 & unbiased (1) \\
\hline 1609:20,23;1613:13; & 1589:3 & 1544:14 & Trump-branded (1) & 1620:8 \\
\hline 1616:11;1618:4; & title (3) & trail & 1552:8 & under (19) \\
\hline 1628:18;1649:18; & 1517:1;1558:22 & 1530:9 & Trump's (2) & 1512:21;1521:23; \\
\hline 1654:13 & 1559:25 & trailing (6) & 1593:16;1611:23 & 1522:17;1534:12; \\
\hline tertiary (1) & titled (1) & 1529:21,24;1530:15 & TRUST (3) & 1540:11;1556:5; \\
\hline 1543:5 & 1514:25 & 21;1540:6;1542:9 & 1510:8;1515:21; & 1573:14;1575:1; \\
\hline test (1) & today (8) & transacting (1) & 1589:13 & 1619:23;1620:1; \\
\hline 1543:9 & 1620:13;1621:21; & 1629:6 & truth (5) & 1632:.5,6;1650:4; \\
\hline testified (6) & 1628:15,16;1630:6 & transaction (3) & 1522:6,14;1558:4,4 & 1654:10;1660:13; \\
\hline 1583:6;1593:10; & 1648:22;1650:12; & 1535:23;1536:1 & \[
5
\] & 1668:18;1669:1,10; \\
\hline 1616:10,11,15;1647:10 & 1664:7 & 1538:3 & try (2) & 1674:13 \\
\hline testify (3) & today's (3) & transactions (1) & 1564:22;1617:20 & understands (1) \\
\hline 1593:20;1650:4,12 & 1621:23;1627:23,24 & 1541:7 & trying (6) & 1595:8 \\
\hline testimony (17) & together (5) & Transcript (3) & \[
1554: 1,19 ; 1560:
\] & understood (4) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1512: 13 ; 1538: 19 \\
& 1558 \cdot 1566 \cdot 17
\end{aligned}
\] & 1519:21,25;1572:3 & \[
1531: 2 ; 1567: 5
\] & 1616:8;1619:7,8 & 1533:16;1538:14; \\
\hline 1558:3;1566:17; & 1609:20,24 & 1607:10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
TTT (9) \\
1552.11,15,17,1821
\end{tabular} & 1544:20;1566:17 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1568:7;1598:5;1609:5; \\
1611:1,4,17;1614:16;
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { told (2) } \\
1538: 1
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { transfer (1) } \\
1536: 2
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1552: 11,15,17,18,21 \\
& 22,23 ; 1554: 20 ; 1555: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & underwriting (2) 1632:.5,8 \\
\hline 1616:18;1617:19; & tomorrow (9) & transmittal (8) & TU (4) & unethical (1) \\
\hline 1650:23;1672:16,19; & 1672:13,19,23 & 1625:20;1632:.5,.5, & 1517:1,2;1518:5,9 & 1613:10 \\
\hline 1673:24 & 1673:2,11,19;1674:2,7; & .5,9.5;1646:22;1667:7, & Tuesday (1) & un-filled (1) \\
\hline thereabouts (2) & 1675:22 & \[
9
\] & 1674:23 & 1550:2 \\
\hline 1644:19;1645:9 & ton (1) & transmitted (1) & turn (24) & unhappy (1) \\
\hline Therefore (1) & 1526: & 1647:11 & 1516:21;1550:16 & 1648:6 \\
\hline 1666:15 & took (1) & trend & 1560:14,23;1561:22; & Uniformed (1) \\
\hline therein (1) & 1518:15 & 1654:5,6 & 1573:5,8,9;1574:1; & 1562:11 \\
\hline 1598:4 & top (24) & trends (3) & 1581:5,22;1588:24 & unintended (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { thinking (2) } \\
& \text { 1576:7;1586:18 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1513:22;1523:25 & \(1563: 25 ; 1571: 23\)
1655.5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1590:9;1592:24; } \\
& \text { 1593:1:1596:7:1600.9. }
\end{aligned}
\] & 1632:9 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1576:7;1586:18 \\
third (2)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1542:24;1545:7; } \\
& \text { 1548:11;1554:10,12; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
165
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1593:1;1596:7;1600:9, } \\
& \text { 16;1601:12;1602:9; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Uniondale (1) } \\
\text { 1511:8 }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 1580:3;1660:17 & 1555:15,19;1569:20; & 1594:5 & 1604:5;1605:19,21; & unit (4) \\
\hline though (3) & 1572:20;1593:1; & trier (1) & 1625:11 & 1545:22;1549:2,4,9 \\
\hline 1538:15;1594:25; & 1596:25;1601:14; & 1598:20 & turning (1) & United (1) \\
\hline 1625:2 & 1605:21;1606:8; & true (2) & 1572:18 & 1514:7 \\
\hline three (20) & 1627:14;1631:6; & 1609:7;1669:25 & two (38) & units (4) \\
\hline 1526:5;1533:25 & 1663:5;1667:23; & TRUMP (101) & 1522:9;1532:7 & 1551:21;1552:1,8,8 \\
\hline 1534:20;1560:23 & 1668:9;1669:10; & 1510:7,7,7,7.5,8,8 & 1535:18;1540:8 & universe (1) \\
\hline 1569:14;1578:3; & 1670:17;1671:13 & 8.5,9.5,10;1513:23 & 1542:5;1543:6,9; & 1614:10 \\
\hline 1580:6,19,23;1613:15, & topic (4) & 1514:2,7,9,13,15 & 1548:5;1561:4 & University (2) \\
\hline 1625:19;1627:5; & 1543:17;1550:15 & 1518:16,17;1519:3,14; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1564: 11,15 ; 1569: 14 ; \\
& 1573: 16 ; 1582: 17,18
\end{aligned}
\] & unless (6) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline win (1) & 1647:21 & 1670:24 & 1534:23;1537:7 & 1539:14;1542:9; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
1592: 15 \\
\text { winners (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { works (5) } \\
& 1520: 5 ; 1544: 14 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\mathbf{Z}\) & \[
1537: 8
\] & \[
121 \text { (13) }
\] \\
\hline 1592:17 & 1548:6,8;1565:8 & & 1026 (3) & 1600:9;1601:6; \\
\hline withdraw (2) & worksheets (1) & Zapantis (5) & 1538:22;1539:4; & 1644:10,13,15; \\
\hline 1592:12;1595:14 & 1556:10 & 1529:20;1530:3,7,7; & 1540:1 & 1646:11;1647:7; \\
\hline withdrawn (11) & worried (3) & 1532:1 & 103 (1) & 1649:14,17;1650:13; \\
\hline 1592:22,23;1609:21 & 1594:6,7, & zero (2) & 1582 & 1651:23,24;1652:3 \\
\hline 1620:20;1622:13; & worse (1) & 1552:11,18 & 1032 (4) & 124 (1) \\
\hline 1628:8,22;1654:1; & 1648:19 & zoom (3) & 1526:2;1557:12,14, & 1583:19 \\
\hline 1656:1;1657:19; & worth (1) & 1525:14;1540:10; & 22 & 125 (2) \\
\hline 1663:13 & 1562:25 & 1664:17 & 104 (1) & 1558:10;1661:10 \\
\hline within (3) \({ }^{\text {a }}\) ( 559.6 & write (4) & & 1656:1 & 126 (1) \\
\hline 1559:6;1597:5; & 1617:20;1654:11; & 0 & 105 (1) & 1516:1 \\
\hline 1668:14 & 1669:11;1671:15 & & 1582:4 & 127 (1) \\
\hline without (11) & writes (1) & 01 (2) & 106 (1) & 1661:9 \\
\hline 1515:24;1569:21; & \[
1549: 22
\] & 1579:4;1602:23 & 1521:8 & 1278 (3) \\
\hline 1587:15;1595:12; & written (2) & \[
07921 \text { (1) }
\] & 1061 (2) & 1546:5,21,22 \\
\hline 1613:7,10;1619:25; & 1585:8;1626:6 & 1511:11.5 & 1541:6,17 & 1282 (3) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1623:25;1626:6; } \\
& \text { 1670:12;1672:7 }
\end{aligned}
\] & Y & 1 & \[
1063 \text { (4) }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1543: 17 ; 1544: 22,23 \\
& 1290 \text { (13) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Witness (53) & & & \[
107 \text { (2) }
\] & 1587:19,24;1588:8, \\
\hline 1512:17,18,19,20; & year (37) & 1 (28) & 1656:11,12 & \[
12,19 ; 1589: 12,16
\] \\
\hline 1522:7;1554:25; & 1519:19;1520:22; & 1545:18;1548:20; & 108 (3) & 1590:13,16,23;1593:3, \\
\hline 1556:18,20,22,23,25, & 1532:24;1533:18,18; & 1554:15;1560:21; & 1520:18,21;1660:11 & 16;1594:15 \\
\hline 25;1557:4,24,25; & \[
1534: 4,6,7,9,11
\] & 1561:20;1588:1,12,20; & 10th (3) & 1295 (2) \\
\hline 1558:1,6,10,15;1559:9, & 1535:2;1536:18,19; & 1596:3,15;1599:13,22; & 1675:16,17,18 & 1520:7,16 \\
\hline \[
11 ; 1568: 3 ; 1583: 13
\] & 1537:12,23;1538:25; & 1600:15,24;1625:14; & 11 (9) & 1296 (6) \\
\hline 1585:17;1593:20; & 1542:23;1550:22,25; & 1627:6,12,19;1645:2,5, & 1510:13.5;1515:8; & 1523:10,16,23; \\
\hline 1594:7,23;1595:7,10, & 1566:3;1631:10; & 18;1646:3,13;1647:8, & 1516:1;1560:24; & 1526:8,16;1529:4 \\
\hline 13,20;1604:4;1606:25; & 1644:8;1650:14,17; & 14;1649:7,10;1665:22 & 1588:24;1651:24; & 1300 (5) \\
\hline 1607:2;1608:10,11; & 1653:16;1654:12,12, & 1,508,904 (1) & 1660:23;1671:8,11 & 1513:3;1514:18,18, \\
\hline 1616:10,15,23;1617:6, & 14,16,18,21;1657:2; & 1536:11 & 11/23/2015 (1) & 22,23 \\
\hline 14,14,18,23;1644:3; & 1661:2,16,23;1670:8; & 1,667,000 (1) & 1605:2 & 1300_native (1) \\
\hline 1664:7,1672:15,17,20, & 1671:17 & 1518:22 & 11:30 (1) & 1513:13 \\
\hline 20;1673:6,7;1674:6 & year-end (2) & 1.2 (1) & 1557:8 & 131,171,910 (1) \\
\hline witnesses (2) & 1532:23;1533:13 & 1654:19 & 11:45 (2) & 1528:1 \\
\hline \[
1512: 15 ; 1673: 18
\] & years (17) & 1.5 (1) & 1567:2,2 & 136 (3) \\
\hline word (4) & 1542:14;1544:10; & 1536:18 & 1100 (1) & 1601:12,14,1602:25 \\
\hline 1545:17,20;1549:25; & 1559:18,24;1581:25; & 1.667 (1) & 1570:18 & 137 (1) \\
\hline 1613:14 & 1585:12;1596:20; & 1519:5 & 111 (1) & 1601:15 \\
\hline words (3) & 1597:9;1605:5;1620:3, & 1.8 (1) & 1660:11 & \[
138 \text { (1) }
\] \\
\hline 1538:17;1557:12; & 5,6;1623:7;1653:18; & 1521:7 & 112 (1) & 1521:22 \\
\hline 1609:6 & 1661:13;1671:25; & 1/2013 (3) & 1528:3 & 14 (5) \\
\hline WORI (1) & 1672:6 & 1524:20,24;1526:12 & 114 (2) & \[
1547: 19 ; 1586: 23 ;
\] \\
\hline 1554:6 & year's (1) & 10 (3) & 1528:18,20 & 1587:1;1596:20; \\
\hline work (33) & 1654:23 & 1518:5;1524:19; & 11556 (1) & 1597:9 \\
\hline 1519:11,24;1520:19; & yes-or-no (4) & 1540:20 & 1511:8 & 140 (2) \\
\hline 1532:4;1548:2; & 1616:4,6;1617:12,13 & 10:00 (1) & 1157 (3) & 1522:21;1653:5 \\
\hline 1558:18,19,24;1559:4, & yesterday (5) & 1675:25 & 1549:14,15;1550:8 & 141,057,720 (1) \\
\hline 6,19;1560:3,4;1562:7, & 1513:21;1515:6; & 100 (2) & 116 (1) & 1527:14 \\
\hline 16,18,22;1563:9; & 1516:4;1519:10; & 1573:15;1605:3 & 1528:24 & 1430 (1) \\
\hline 1571:10;1580:17; & 1592:7 & 100,000 (3) & 117 (2) & 1511:11 \\
\hline 1585:2;1594:21; & YORK (29) & 1534:21;1540:21,24 & 1602:25;1604:9 & 1435 (27) \\
\hline 1595:2,5,15;1605:8; & 1510:1,1.5,2.5,3.5, & 10005 (1) & 118 (7) & 1645:11;1646:6,9, \\
\hline 1606:19;1622:12; & 13,13,19,20.5,20.5; & 1510:20.5 & 1560:11;1561:22; & 11;1647:13;1649:4,5; \\
\hline 1627:15;1631:22; & 1511:8,15,15;1557:3; & 10013 (1) & 1568:5,12;1601:10; & 1650:13;1651:23,23; \\
\hline 1674:24;1675:8,9 & 1558:11,11;1559:5,6; & \(1510: 13\) & \(11966: 20 ; 1667: 2\) & 1652:6,12,20;1653:14; \\
\hline 1546:1;1561:9; & 1573:19;1614:4,17,22; & \(101(1)\)
\(1511: 3\) & 1528:3,14 & 15,24;1660:10;1661:9; \\
\hline 1630:24;1675:4 & 1615:2,6,7,10;1654:15; & 10165 (1) & 12 (9) & 1662:23,24,25;1664:4, \\
\hline working (3) & 1671:4 & 1511:15 & 1510:9.5;1529:21, & 14;1665:17 \\
\hline 1519:23;1561:7; & Yorkers (1) & 1024 (2) & 24;1530:1,15,21; & 14-page (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1547:16 & & 1672:1 & 21st (1) & 1529:21 \\
\hline 15 (3) & 2 & 2012-2014 (1) & 1540:22 & 30 (9) \\
\hline 1567:1;1602:9; & & 1533:13 & 22 (1) & 1577:14;1579:8; \\
\hline 1664:7 & 2,300-page (1) & 2012s (1) & 1521: & 1589:11;1593:2; \\
\hline 1573 (8) & 1562:21 & 1656:18 & 220 (1) & 1605:1,20;1620:5,6; \\
\hline 1521:18;1599:8; & 2.48 (1) & 2013 (38) & 1668:4 & 1623:7 \\
\hline 1600:5;1625:12; & 1582:6 & 1527:22;1528:18,25; & 238 (1) & 300 (1) \\
\hline 1656:13,14;1660:11; & 2.5 (1) & 1532:24;1534:9,18; & 1595:24 & 1597:10 \\
\hline 1661:10 & 1517:22 & 1537:12,24;1542:7,12; & 24 (3) & 3004 (1) \\
\hline 16,567,203 (1) & 2.940 (1) & 1575:9,11,23;1576:12; & 1670:20;1671:7,11 & 1602:23 \\
\hline 1517:5 & 1574:19 & 1577:13,24;1578:16; & 240 (1) & 30th (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 163 \text { (2) } \\
& 1662: 23 ; 1664: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & 2/1/2016 (1) & 1579:6;1580:16; & 1511:11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1577: 24 \\
& 1(5)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 166 (1) & 2:15 (1) & 1583:7,24;1584:1,5 & 1518:6,9;1554:3; & 1532:24;1534:7,7,9, \\
\hline 1611:19 & 1607:6 & 1585:11;1586:22; & 1559:24;1573:9; & \[
11
\] \\
\hline 17 (8) & 20 (5) & 1590:17,22;1591:6; & 1605:7;1646:4,25; & 3168 (4) \\
\hline 1575:9;1582:22,24; & 1547:23;1572:14; & 1594:15;1595:15; &  & 1555:13;1556:3,11, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1583: 2,24,24 ; 1584: 5 ; \\
& 1671: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & 1593:1;1632:2; & \[
1661: 16,22 ; 1662: 3,8
\] & 25,785,639 (2) & \[
13
\] \\
\hline 1671:17 & 1652:12 & \[
2014 \text { (12) }
\] & 1556:6,10 & \begin{tabular}{l}
3169 (4) \\
1550:17:1551:7
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1539:6 & 200 (1) & 20;1538:25;1539:14; & 1515:8 & 1556:9 \\
\hline 172 (1) & 2006 (1) & 1542:7,12;1585:3; & 26,234,382 (1) & 3184 (3) \\
\hline 1665:18 & 1667:6 & \[
1596: 23 ; 1627: 12,19
\] & 1528:1 & 1578:8;1579:2,4 \\
\hline 176 (1) & 2008 (2) & 2015 (33) & 26,234,400 (1) & 31st (1) \\
\hline 1666:9 & 1515:6;1522:19 & 1529:10;1540:20,22; & 1528:15 & 1533:18 \\
\hline 18,500 (1) & \[
2009 \text { (1) }
\] & 1548:21;1549:19,23; & 27 (2) & 32302 (1) \\
\hline 1601:21 & 1522:19 & 1560:21;1561:20; & 1554:5;1589:9 & 1511:3.5 \\
\hline 180 (1) & 2010 (8) & 1601:11;1602:7; & 28 (12) & 36 (2) \\
\hline 1663:10 & 1522:19;1536:4; & 1603:1,3,20,25;1604:7, & 1510:20;1518:23,25; & \[
1517: 4,5
\] \\
\hline \[
1824 \text { (1) }
\] & 1544:9;1596:3,12,15, & \[
11,23 ; 1605: 1,10,13,20 ;
\] & 1519:2,5;1545:8,12,25; & \[
37 \text { (6) }
\] \\
\hline 1587:21 & \[
25 ; 1597: 18
\] & 1606:17;1618:11; & 1546:15;1547:4,23; & 1510:1.5;1512:1; \\
\hline \[
188 \text { (1) }
\] & 2011 (38) & 1625:7;1645:5; & 1548:18 & 1517:10;1568:1; \\
\hline 1562:5 & \[
1520: 2,9 ; 1522: 19
\] & 1649:10;1665:22; & 28th (1) & 1608:3;1644:1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
189 \text { (1) } \\
1569 \cdot 5
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
23 ; 1528: 3 ; 1536: 4
\] & 1666:21;1667:2,12; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1547: 8 \\
& 29(1)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
38 \text { (1) }
\] \\
\hline 18th (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1545 \\
& 1599
\end{aligned}
\] & 1671:8 & 1513:24 & 39 (4) \\
\hline 1675:25 & 1618:11;1625:7,14; & 2016 (11) & 29th (1) & 1572:6;1577:16; \\
\hline 19 (1) & 1627:6;1630:16; & 1513:24;1515:19; & \[
1610: 24
\] & 1652:20;1654:10 \\
\hline \(1593: 1\) & 1651:4,8,18;1652:9,13, & 1582:13,15,18,24; & 2nd (3) & 39.10 (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
19,000(1) \\
1536: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & 18,25;1653:7;1654:12, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1583: 2,9,23,24 ; \\
& 1596: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & 1675:7,11,14 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1654:8 } \\
& \text { 3rd (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 19,757 (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14,16;1655:12; } \\
& \text { 1656:15,17,18,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 2017 (17) & 3 & 1675:7,11,14 \\
\hline 1535:12 & 1660:13,14;1661:15; & 1527:22;1528:18,25; & & \\
\hline 19,759 (1) & 1666:12,17 & 1544:11;1554:15; & 3.04 (3) & 4 \\
\hline \(1535: 13\) & 2012 (67) & 1583:16,19,21,22; & 1603:12,24;1605:3 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 190(1) \\
& 1605: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
1532: 24 ; 1534: 11 ;
\] & 1584:1,4;1585:1,6,7, & \[
3.1 \text { (1) }
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4,851,994 (1) } \\
& 1521 \cdot 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 1605:5 } \\
19129 \text { (1) }
\end{array}
\] & 1535:3,9;1536:8,14,16, & 13;1586:12,21 &  & 1521:11 \\
\hline \(19129(1)\)
\(1537: 14\) & 18,19;1537:23;1542:7, & 2018 (10) \(1554: 13,15 ; 1572: 22 ;\) & 3.12 (3)
\(1590: 24 ; 1591: 1,6\) & \(4.25(3)\)
1602:15;1605:16; \\
\hline 19130 (1) & 1588:2,12,20;1591:9; & \[
1586: 5,9,12,23,23
\] & 3.22 (2) & 1668:19 \\
\hline 1537:13 & 1600:15,21,24 & \[
\underset{\text { nn10(1) }}{1587: 1,4}
\] & 1578:1;1579:20 & 4.5 (1) \\
\hline 19144 (2) & 1618:11;1625:7; & 2019 (1) & 3.29 (4) & 1589:7 \\
\hline 1537:21,21 & 1644:8,19;1645:2,9,18, & 1610:24 & 1604:19,22;1605:7, & 40 (49) \\
\hline 19739 (1) & 24;1646:2,3,4,13,25; & 2021 (1) & 15 & 1510:10;1519:9,10; \\
\hline 1535:5 & 1647:8,14;1649:7,22; & 1520:2 & \[
3.44 \text { (1) }
\] & 1520:9;1524:1;1529:5, \\
\hline 19761 (1) & 1651:7,10;1652:10; & 2023 (2) & 1577:19 & 10;1530:1;1532:24; \\
\hline 1535:12 & 1654:24;1655:12; & 1510:13.5;1675:25 & \[
3.54 \text { (2) }
\] & 1533:14;1534:25; \\
\hline \[
1994 \text { (1) }
\] & 1656:16,24;1657:10; & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
207(1) \\
1611: 8
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 1578: 1 ; 1579: 24 \\
& 3.56(2)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1536:2;1537:12; } \\
& \text { 1538:24;1560:19; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 1st (5) & 1660:12,22;1661:15, & 21 (1) & 3.56 (2)
\(1578: 1,1580: 2\) & 1538:24;1560:19; \\
\hline 1596:4,6;1675:7,10, & 11;1666:15;1668:2,6, & 1552:12 & 3.62 (1) & 1577:16;1596:2,11,15; \\
\hline 14 & 14,21;1669:14; & 21,585,000 (1) & 1665:25 & 1599:12,18,22; \\
\hline & 1670:13;1671:8,24; & 1529:1 & 3/31/15 (1) & 1600:14,20,24; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 1601:11;1602:20; & 1590:14;1591:13,14, & 742 (2) \\
\hline 1603:25;1604:10; & 17;1593:4 & 1581:25;1583:18 \\
\hline 1605:9;1606:16; & 57th (1) & 750 (1) \\
\hline 1618:8,17;1622:4; & 1573:18 & 1511:3 \\
\hline 1625:7,14;1644:9; & 58 (1) & 758 (1) \\
\hline 1645:18,23;1646:1; & 1579:9 & 1583:17 \\
\hline 1660:19;1661:3; & & 774 (2) \\
\hline 1662:12;1666:21; & 6 & 1586:6,8 \\
\hline 1667:2;1669:11; & & 788 (1) \\
\hline 1670:8 & 6 (2) & 1528:2 \\
\hline 40.06 (1) & 1545:15;1572:22 & 7th (2) \\
\hline 1654:8 & 6,167,301 (1) & 1675:6,15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 401 \text { (1) } \\
& 1510: 9.5
\end{aligned}
\] & 1554:7 & 8 \\
\hline 42 (3) & 1521:13 & \\
\hline 1518:18,19;1519:4 & 6.74 (1) & 8 (4) \\
\hline 42nd (1) & 1665:25 & 1518:12;1573:9,19; \\
\hline 1511:14.5 & 60 (7) & 1667:2 \\
\hline 44 (1) & 1510:12.5; & 8,087,203 (1) \\
\hline 1672:5 & 1511:14.5;1575:5; & 1518:20 \\
\hline 45 (1) & 1577:14;1579:9; & 80 (6) \\
\hline 1518:22 & 1586:16,17 & 1516:8,10,14,16,19; \\
\hline 450 (2) & 618 (1) & 1574:16 \\
\hline 1578:2;1579:21 & 1547:15 & 81 (3) \\
\hline 452564/2022 (1) & 62 (1) & 1574:16;1658:2; \\
\hline 1510:6 & 1574:11 & 1662:14 \\
\hline 46 (1) & 636 (2) & 81.65 (1) \\
\hline 1519:6 & 1532:19;1533:5 & 1656:25 \\
\hline 4600 (1) & 650 (2) & 82 (1) \\
\hline 1511:14.5 & 1578:2;1579:24 & 1669:15 \\
\hline 47819 (1) & 652 (4) & 87 (1) \\
\hline 1528:21 & 1529:14;1532:18; & 1669:9 \\
\hline 499 (1) & 1540:16,20 & 8th (3) \\
\hline 1578:2 & 669 (1) & 1675:7,11,14 \\
\hline 5 & 678 (1) & 9 \\
\hline 5 (1) & 679 (1) & 9,288,267 (1) \\
\hline 1578:16 & 1590:15 & 1521:4 \\
\hline 5.6 (1) & 6th (1) & 9/17/13 (1) \\
\hline 1517:12 & 1675:15 & 1575:2 \\
\hline 5.81 (3) \(1663: 15 ; 1665: 11,15\) & 7 & 91 (2) \(1586: 7 ; 1670: 17\) \\
\hline 50 (7) & & 92 (3) \\
\hline 1575:6,16;1586:16; & 704 (1) & 1575:1;1582:14; \\
\hline 1657:8;1660:23; & 1590:21 & 1670:1 \\
\hline 1661:3;1662:12 & 707 (4) & 93 (1) \\
\hline 502 (3) & 1573:8;1576:16; & 1670:1 \\
\hline 1545:7,13;1547:4 & 1581:6;1592:24 & 95.02 (2) \\
\hline 51511 (4) & 708 (4) & 1669:12;1670:9 \\
\hline 1535:5,7;1537:15;
1539:7 & 1574:1;1579:7; & 96 (1) \\
\hline 1539:7 & 1582:14;1590:10 & 1671:14 \\
\hline 51st (1) & 72 (3) & 97 (2) \\
\hline 1590:25 & 1582:1;1658:2; & 1575:1;1582:14 \\
\hline 526 (1) & 1669:12 & 9885810 (2) \\
\hline 1511:7.5 & 72.88 (1) & 1527:11,19 \\
\hline 52nd (1) & 1656:21 & 99 (1) \\
\hline 1591:1 & 729 (1) & 1670:25 \\
\hline 54 (1) & 1605:21 & \\
\hline 1657:8 & 731 (1) & \\
\hline 550 (1) & 1604:5 & \\
\hline 1601:20 & 735,400,000 (2) & \\
\hline 555 (5) & 1604:13;1606:16 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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    SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
    #PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY
    THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
        Plaintiff,
    -against- 452564/2022
    DONALLD J. TRUMP; DONALD TRUMP, JR.; ERIC TRUMP;
    IVANKA TRUMP; ALLEN WEISSELBERG; JEFFREY MCCONNEY;
    THE DONALD J. TRUMP REVOCABLE TRUST; THE TRUMP
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    DJT HOLDINGS, LLC; DJT HOLDINGS MANAGING MEMBER
    TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC;401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE,
    LLC; TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC; }40\mathrm{ WALL STREET,
    LLC.; AND SEVEN SPRINGS, LLC,
    HOFOR
    HONORABLE ARTHUR F. ENGORON,
            Justice, Supreme Court
    ```
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MARK H. LADOV, ESQ.
MARK H. LADOV, ESQ.
(Appearances continued on the next page.)
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    ```
```

THE COUR Senior Court Reporters ness 37 is now in session the Honorable Arthur Engoron presiding. Make surf ail cellphones are on sient. Laptops and cellphones wil be permpitted but only to members of the press. ableres in the courtroom angw, be seated and come to order. in the THE COORT: Okay Ready or not, we're going to let in the photographers and the videographer

Ther counk there was a pause in the proceedings.) Yesterday, received a letter from the attrongy General about an evidentiary guestion, and will the defendants be submitting sometting? And if so, can we have that by tomorran at Ron:

Yes, Ypur Honor Can we say tomorrow
ME COERY Y Yere, for trial
MRE CSERThanky An OA, do you have another housekeepingmatter 9 : some sorrt? you have another to clean up the record a Good morning Yes, Your Honor Just
Haren inttoduced an Exibit stergy my colleague 618 . testimony of Donna Kidder. Ms. Kidder did testify that the recognized the document. She, identified it as an e-mail she recognized trom Sonma talesnik regarding page 20 and 28 modifications. Unfortuately apolgores we dia not move to admit but we whyld like oo do. so now. Obviously, with our standig objection on statute of limitations we wave yo proofem. Thank you.

THE COURT: I is in with the statute of
limitations. FAHEction noted. Thank you, your Honor
Plainthhereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
THE COORT: DFE's get the witness back.
DOUGLAS LARSON, avitness called by the plaintiff, after having heen previously duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, took the whtiess stand and testitied as follows; do, that he's still under wath remind the witness, as I always And Mr. Fields, would you like to continue the cross-examandighs: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

<br><br><br>$\qquad$<br>


$\qquad$
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Tallahassee, Florida 32302
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ROBERT \& ROBERT, PLLC
Attorneys for Defendant
526 RXR Plaza
Uniondale, New York 11556
BY: CLIFFORD S. ROBERT, ESQ.
HABBA MADAIO \& ASSOCIATES, LLP
Attorneys for Defendants
1430 US Highway - Suite 240
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921
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13 Attorneys for Defendants
60 East 42 nd Street - Suite 4600
New York, New York 10165
BY: ARMEN MORIAN, ESQ.
THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION
725 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10022
BY: ALAN G. GARTEN, ESQ.
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New York, New York 10001
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## \& Good morning, Mr. Larson.

Hou recall ysterday we were discussing competing appraisals from 2012 for 40 Wall Street, correct?
fir. FIELDS: Okay. I'm going to ask that we hand up to the wifpess what has not been admitted, but has been marked as Plantiffs 3208.
was you are unsure which of the two appraisals was the final was you ary
of 3208 kay. I'd like, if you could, please look at page two you First of all, do you recognize this as an e-mail that you sent to Mr. Carias?
Q And this is dated November 13, 2012 ?
The subject line is 40 Wall Street?
Correct. .
find the final appraisal". And to $\mathrm{Mr}_{\text {if }}$ Carias, "Attached, please one, Mr. Carias responds the next day at one oclock if the atternoon, "llease call me when you haye a moment to discuss athis report." So you recall this e-mail a moment cariscuss Carias to myserf
Carias to myseff. conversation with him to discuss the report?
Q If we could go higher up on the e-mail, you respond November 14, 2012, approximately 24 hours later with the final revised appraisal, correct?
 Larson the transmittal letter is October 25, 2012? $\$ 220$ million and on the next page, the as is market ${ }^{-15}$ I'm $\$ 260$ milf sorry. The appraisal as of November $1,2015 \mathrm{was}$
subject ine court: Ithink you added a word to this subject line that says, "Please find the revised appraisal". Q doesnt say final where youwere directing,
Could see the e-mail again?
A Yes. It says, "Please find the revised appraisal."

Street. Are you aware of any other appraisals other than the two we discussed here in court?
O. And just so the record is clear, this exhibit that I'm showing you how, 3208 , and if we could 50 to page six shows that this is the tina value for 2012 as of foverner 1st that you came up with that Yqu appraised for 40 araistre

## RRSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS)
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to Mr Carias on 9 about November 14, 2017 based on this e-mail in Plaintiffs 3208 ?

Ses.
bor son this transmittal. date and this email, you gackdated an apprasa ar an adationa 25 that mot the real transmittal date of the tinal apprasal 25th was
of you failed to typonge the transmittal date; fair?
the date of that that the appraisa s sent out, that should be
Q. Just to askport. Mr. Larson, with regard to this
appraisal, $s$ it farr to say that Mr, Carias probably asked you to revise the tigure in the applaisalth the appraisal
representatives at the banks to review assumptions or to make ${ }^{0}$ And
discussiond it is standard practice for yop to have those if needed, correct? neede, correct?


Yes. The reyiewer does at the bank.
so you would agree, then, that it is a collaborative
a it's our value, but we aflow opportunities to review our work.

And to collaborate on your work correct?
A m not sure 1 would call it collaboration because it
is gur yaue the client can have input on what your ultimate value is, correct?

My question is car appraisals. hey ing input as to what the
ultumate value is 12 your appraisai? a
 ultimate value in the appraisal is?
\& Aes. there's nothing sinister about that, correct?
Q AlinghtiELDS; Excuse me. I move into evidence PlaintifiE COUCT T: Granted. It's in
Plaintiffs Exhibit 3208 . .
Q laintif. Larson, we left 0 ff yesterday discussing a 2015
few final questions about that.
e could pull up Plaintiff's 118 You recall, Mr. Larson, we discussed yesterday that the growth rate that you used for the 11 and 12 appraisals was three percent, correct?
percent, And in '15, you're using the same growth rate, three
M MR.
O At the top of the page, if we could pull up page 171
plus year one free rent and the you see thare is $23,203,919$ ?
direct canitalization merating income that you used for the direct capitalization method in this appraisal for 2015 ,

MR. FIELDS: In the 2012 appraisal that we just looked at, we can puil it up side by side, Plaintiffs 1435 , Bage 173 , Could we go to 172. I'm sorry. If we can go to o 72 Mr. Larson, on the right is your 2012 appraisal for 40 Wall Street and at the top g gu see where it says , direct occupancy as of November 1, 2015 . You see that?
A Okay, Sa if we could go to the next page 173 , there's a net operating income of approximately $\$ 18,000,334,523$,
orrect Could you repeat what I'm looking at --
So so I can follow?
and we ust looked at the fide you got your 2012.appraisal and we just looked at the fact that this is your projected for 2015, projected net operating income for 2015 , the $\$ 18$ million figure, Yes.
future as of November in 2012, you are projecting into the stabilization that the net operating income will be 18 million and change?
int thes. The 18 million is also based on the cash flow int 8 the future based on assumptions as of the date of value. is a projected figure into the future, correct?
118 , Plakay ff's And if we can look on the left at Plaintiff's figure. But would you agree with me that this is the actual net
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## capitalization method?

Q. So M'm not going to -- I'll give you the round numbers and if you would fike o do the calculations yoursef, you can do them But 11 represent to you that if ou subiract 23 million, the figure there, rrom ot $\$ 4.8$ million, $4,869,396$. Does in 2012 , the dityerence is about 4.
that generaly look about right - what is the date of the 2015 NOI that has been adjusted for free rent so it is ctear?

A Oo you have Plaintiffs 118 in front of you?
A lf you look at page on the bottom, page 170 to 171 is the relevant section for the direct capitalization method. So that net operating income of $23,203,000$ is as of 2015.
8 Correct.
O So back to my priginal question, if you subtracted the two values, the actual versus the projected, it gets to about 4.8 mulion, correct?

0 So there was a $\$ 4.8$ million difference. between what you projected and what the actual net operating income was in the
year that you propected? for the $\$ 23.2$ million, the net operating ancome was not stapilized which it looks like because of some free rent associated with leasing, so it needed to be ad.usted.
occapancy was not stabilized?
A There was free rent associated with the leasing that
had been done. haye to take out the free rent in order to determine a haye toization rafe?
stabilization rade? apply a market cap rate to a stabilized net operating ne that as it may, the difference between these two figures is about 4.8 correct?

O And in 2015, you used a four and a quarter capital zation rate, córrect?
O. So if yoy divided the four and a quarter capitalization rat from the $\$ 4.8$ million, that gets you to about $\$ 114.5$ milion difference in value does that seem
what MR. FIELDSS: Farir enough, So oust for the.record
$\$ 486,930,096$ divided by four and a quarter capitalization judicial notice of that mathematics, yes nat you used in your 2012 appraisal?

Youdid not what? the propections that we knew as of 2012 .
© And when you would have used thăt number, correct?
a We would have.
it hasnt been asked.
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THE COURT: Seeing no objections, I will take Mr. Larsen, would it be fair to say, then, that you ungervalued 40 Wall Street to the tune of approximately 114 and-a-half million dollars based on the conservative figures

A We did not undervalue the -- this property based on what -- based on the assumptions that were used here.
A We apply those projections were wrong, right of
$\$ 18,300,000$ is a projected number and the 23,000,200 was an as is number as of the current date. So the best we can do is use
$\$ 4.8$ milit my conestion is, your projection was off by about property, you short-changed according to thal valual data in 2015 , the property value by approximately $\$ 14.5$ million, A. No. We pased, again our assumptions on what we knew at the time and the profections that we knew. So if the performance of the buifing changes, then we recogrjze that the actual net operating income would have been 23,203,919, you

號 approximately $\$ 144$ million? street was wrong to the tune of approximatelyes were not wrong, no. The values were not wrong 1 t's what we knew at the tuo. Street in 2012 for a projected of November 1, 2015 at $\$ 260$ million, correct?
o Ses if in fact you undervalued 40 Wall Street by 114 and-a-half million dollars the actual yalue that you should have had in yur appraisal in November of 2012 as of November 1 15 would have been approximately $\$ 374$ million, correct? don't like this, but this question has been asked and
answere iwd
MHE COURT: Twice a ready.
D. LARSON - CROSS (MR. FIELDS) Page 1686

THE COURT: I don't -- I wouldn't stop them from
talking to each other sootly, so that no one else can hear.
What ofy $W$ ALCALE: Thelieve it's exultations that are audible over on this side of the courtroom as well as the witness is answering questions.
while the witness is. testifying, particularly if it's me quiet
while the witness is testifying, particularly if it's meant
to influence the testimony.
able to send me a current report similar tinues, "Would you be midtown class A buildings and also one to the one attached for buildings tike 40 walis street). Thanks jo andvance, Jeff." market information from you, correct?
o Yes.mation rom you, correct?
\& Sqecifically relating to 40 Wall Street, correct?
Q And this e-main $i n 2013$ is atter. you'ye done an appraisal in 2011 , an appraisal in 2012 for 40 Wall Street, cofrect?
Q If we
approximately ${ }^{2}$ to the response e-mail above, sapex. hope all is well. Thanks, Doug. Cdrrect?
A yos.
market informnt say "Mr. Mcconney, why do yoy need this appraisals, Thirect?
market information. .
you to provide them market information, correct? you to provide them market information, correct?

And there's nothing sinister about that, is there?
No.
knew that he was yalumg the Trump properties, didn't you?
And inst tal that.
page And of the for purposes of the record if we could go to page five of the exhbit is it fair to say that you attached geftain spreagsheets to this exhibit that if You have it in correct fhat is the exhibit,yes.
Q Wel, you have it in 'ront of you 3184. You see that you attached spreadsheets and sent them to Mr. McConney and that A Yes.
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and put on the screen Plaintiffs 109. It has not yet been admitted in evidence.
Q Mr. Larson, does this appear to be another e-mail chain between you and Mr. McConney and others?
A Yes.
Q I move to admit Plaintiff's 109.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 109.)
(Continued on the next page.)





| D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1704 | D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1706 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 submission date of this document is November 7, 2014, okay? | 1 conjunction with his associates; correct? |
| 2 A Yes. | 2 A That's what it appears to say, yes. |
| 3 Q Do you see that? So this spreadsheet corresponds -- | 3 Q It continues: "Considerable judgment is necessary to |
| 4 I'm sorry -- withdrawn. | 4 interpret market data and develop the related estimates of |
| 5 This Statement of Financial Condition corresponds to | 5 current value. Would you agree with me that there is |
| 6 the supporting spreadsheet that we just looked at a minute ago, | 6 considerable judgment necessary to value a property? |
| 7 okay? | 7 A Ye |
| 8 A Okay. | 8 Q Because appraisers can come up with different values |
| 9 MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could go to page | 9 and appraise different numbers for the same property on the same |
| 10 four and if we could highlight the first two paragraphs. | 10 day; correct? |
| 11 I'm sorry. Page six. | 11 A Yes. |
| 12 Q You see under the subheading or the heading "Basis of | 12 Q "Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not |
| 13 Presentation." Do you see that? | 13 necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized |
| 14 A Yes. | 14 upon the disposition of the assets or payment of the related |
| 15 Q | 15 liabilities. The use of different market assumptions and/or |
|  | 16 estimation methodologies may have been a material effect on the |
|  | 17 estimated current value amounts." |
|  | 18 Had you read this disclaimer clause? Had the |
|  | 19 government ever showed you this disclaimer clause? |
| 20 A Yes. | 20 MR. LADOV: Objection. |
| 21 Q They're not your assets and liabilities or Cushman \& | 21 MR. FIELDS: I'll withdraw it. |
| 22 Wakefield's; correct? | 22 Q Had the government ever shown you this disclaimer |
| 23 A Yes. | 23 clause? |
| 24 Q It says, "Assets are stated at their estimated current | 24 MR. LADOV: Objection. |
| 25 values and liabilities at their estimated current amounts using | 25 THE COURT: Ground? |
| D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1705 | D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Fields) Page 1707 |
| 1 various valuation methods. Such valuation methods include, but | 1 MR. LADOV: This isn't a disclaimer clause. |
| 2 are not limited to, the use of appraisals, capitalization of | 2 THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 3 anticipated earnings, recent sales and offers, and estimates of | 3 Q Withdrawn. Very good point. |
| 4 current values as determined by Mr. Trump in conjunction with | 4 Had government ever shown you you this clause? |
| 5 his associates and, in some instances, outside professionals." | 5 A Yes. |
| 6 Do you see that? | 6 Q They had shown it to you? |
| 7 A Yes. | 7 A I've seen this, yes. |
| 8 Q Okay. You see the use of the appraisals; correct? | 8 Q Where have you seen it? |
| 9 A Yes. | 9 A I saw it -- I saw it on Monday. |
| 10 Q You also see the use of capitalization of anticipated | 10 Q Where did you see it on Monday? |
| 11 earnings, that's the direct cap method; right? | 11 A At the AG's office. |
| 12 A Yes. | 12 Q You met with the Attorney General on Monday? |
| 13 Q You see recent sales and offers, that would be a sales | 13 A Yes. |
| 14 comp method; correct? | 14 Q The attorney -- the deputy attorney generals, the |
| 15 A Yes, it would. | 15 assistant attorney generals? |
| 16 Q And estimated current -- sorry. | 16 A Yes. |
| 17 And "estimates of current values as determined by | 17 Q Did you meet with the Attorney General herself? |
| 18 Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and, in some | 18 A No. |
| 19 instances, outside professionals." | 19 Q What did you discuss with at the Attorney General's |
| 20 Do you understand this to mean that in not in every | 20 Office on Monday? |
| 21 instance do they have to use valuations in conjunction with his | 21 A We reviewed or was reviewed to me discussions about the |
| 22 associates? | 22 information. |
| 23 A That's what it appears to read. | 23 Q Is it your understanding from your discussions with the |
| 24 Q So in some valuations it could be in conjunction with | 24 government that the government's theory is that your valuations |
| 25 his associates and in other valuations it could not be in | 25 are the ones represented in the statements of financial |

condition and the supporting spreadsheets?
A Just repeat that again.
Q Isn't it true that your understanding of what the government's theory is is that your numbers are reflected in these supporting spreadsheets and these statements of financial condition. Isn't that true?

MR. LADOV: Objection. I just want to -- I'm sorry. I just want to make clear that -- just with the additional "isn't that true," I just want to make clear that the question is to Mr. Larson's understanding, not to the government's theory.

MR. FIELDS: It's his understanding of the government's theory based on his meeting on the government on Monday and prior meetings and prior interviews with the government.

THE COURT: I'll let the witness answer if he can answer.

## A

Q So please tell me where you see your name there?
A I don't see my name.
Q Okay. You're not stated there; correct?
A No.
Q And it says that in some instances they were allowing
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outside professionals; correct?
A Yes.
Q Not all the time?
A I don't know.
Q Well, it says "in some instances," so that means not all the time; correct?
A That's what that would mean, correct.
Q So you are making the assumption that you included in this paragraph; correct?

A Yes.
Q But be that as it may, whether you're included in this paragraph or not, the values and the statements of financial condition and the supporting spreadsheets are Mr. McConney's values, not yours; correct?
A That is correct.
MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up Plaintiff's 115 that has not been admitted.
Q I'm showing you what's been marked, Mr. Larson, as Plaintiff's 115. Do you recognize this to be another e-mail chain between you and Mr. McConney?
A Yes.
Q And on Tuesday, February 28, 2017 -- withdrawn.
MR. FIELDS: If you can go to page two of the exhibit, please?
Q Mr. McConney writes to you on February 27th of 2017:

1 "Hi, Doug. I hope you are well. Would you be able to send me current reports for midtown and downtown Class A office buildings;" correct?
A Yes.
Q And you responded on the next page within a few hours on the bottom, "please see attached. Let me know if you need anything else;" correct?

A Yes.
9 Q So you, again, sent him market information and reports and this is 2017; right?

A Yes.
Q Then Mr. McConney responds on the 28th: "Doug, I'm looking at the information for 1221 Avenue of the Americas, which has a cap rate of 2.9 percent. The building is in the process of being modernized. Building 1290 was recently modernized for a comp. I'd like to use the cap rate of 1221 , but since we are fully modernized can we reduce the cap rate of 2.9 percent?"

Transcript continues on the following page....
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Q Do you have any reason to despite that you actually had the call?

A I do not.
MR. FIELDS: All right. If we pull up -- sorry,
Your Honor. May I move into evidence Plaintiff's $115 ?$
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 115.)

MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up Plaintiff's 742. Go all the way to the top.
Q So this is the supporting spreadsheet as of June 30, 2016 and I'll represent to you that the Statement of Financial Condition for 2016 was finalized on March 10th of 2017, okay. So that would have been about nine days after or thereabouts your phone call with Mr. McConney, okay.

A Okay.
THE COURT: We don't know it was a phone call. He said he didn't remember it. He said it might have happened.

MR. FIELDS: He said that he didn't dispute that it happened.

THE COURT: I stand corrected. We still don't really know that it happened, but he is not disputing it. So let's assume it happened.

MR. FIELDS: Fair enough.
Q It was common practice for you and Mr. McConney
whenever -- it was common -- was it common practice for you and Mr. McConney to speak over the phone when he requested information from you and you responded please call me?

A I can't recall, but sometimes there were phone calls after, yes.
Q Okay. So if we could go to lines 801 and 802, please. It says, "June 30, 2016, 1290 based on information provided by Doug Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield which reflects a cap rate of 2.9 percent for a comparable office building". All right. So if you have plaintiff's -- if we could keep this on the screen, please, but if you have Plaintiffs 115 in front of you, Mr. Larson, it is a two-page exhibit. You see in the middle that Mr. McConney asks you if he can reduce the capitalization rate because 1290 is fully modernized. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Then you have -- you ask him to have a discussion with him and you don't recall exactly if this discussion happened, but in the actual supporting spreadsheet at line 801, he uses a capitalization rate of 2.9 percent, correct?

A Yes.
Q So the capitalization rate was not lower as he was initially hoping, correct?
A You'd have to refresh me. What was the prior --
Q Sure. So Plaintiff's 115, he said he was looking for a cap rate lower than 2.9 percent, correct?
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## A Yes.

Q And here, he used a 2.9 percent cap rate?
A Okay. Yes.
Q So isn't it possible that you and Mr. McConney
discussed over the phone that a 2.9 percent cap rate was the better cap rate to use?

MR. LADOV: Objection.
MR. KISE: What's the objection?
MR. LADOV: The witness has already testified that he doesn't recall a phone conversation much less the contents of that phone conversation.

MR. KISE: He testified to a lot of things and now he is recalling a lot more today.

MR. FIELDS: The question was was it possible, Your Honor.

MR. LADOV: The objection stands.
THE COURT: It is speculation, I guess, but I'll overrule the objection. Don't worry. Not that you would ever worry. Do you need the question read back? Do you remember it? Do you want --
Q Is it possible that you and Mr. McConney discussed on the phone that the 2.9 percent cap rate was the better cap rate to go with and not a lower cap rate like Mr. McConney wanted? A It's typically not my practice to, you know, provide a point estimate for a cap rate. It's, you know -- you would

1 have or suggest, make a suggestion like that. We do usually 2 talk in ranges. These are, you know, similar conversations that
3 we had with, you know, over professionals, so when discussing certain sales or whatever. So I don't remember the conversation. So I don't -- I don't remember suggesting a certain cap rate on this building. I don't remember a conversation like that, but he could have used whatever he wanted to.

Q Fair enough. Is it possible that you provided him a range and he chose within that range or chose outside that range?

A Yes.
Q You could have said between 2.8 and 3 is the good range and he chose the middle number? That's possible, correct?
A Sure, but it -- it would be in rounded figures. 25
base points or something like. It wouldn't be that exact typically.
Q And just so we're clear, Mr. Larson, you did an appraisal that we discussed. I think you discussed with government counsel yesterday Plaintiff's 1824 which has been admitted in evidence. I'm not going to ask you a lot of details about it, but you did an appraisal for 1290 Avenue of the Americas prepared for Deutsche Bank as an agent, correct?
A Yes.
Q And that was as of November 1, 2012, correct?
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## A Yes.

Q And we would just -- we were just having a discussion about information that you were providing to Mr. Larson in approximately 2016 or 2017, correct, about 1290 Avenue of the Americas?
A Yes.
Q So this would be approximately four, give or take, five
years after you did an appraisal for that same property?
A Just repeat that, so I understand the dates.
Q Sure. So the discussion that we were just having about the supporting spreadsheet from June 30th of 2016 was approximately four years after the appraisal. You did for that same property in 2012?
A Yes.
Q And at no point did you advise Mr. McConney that the correct capitalization rate to use was the one that you actually used in your appraisal, correct?
A I don't remember having that discussion.
Q But there would have been no need for you to have that discussion because you can use whatever capitalization rate he sees fit, right?
A That is correct.
Q All right. Just the record is clear, this 1290 Avenue of the Americas appraisal contains the same or -- withdrawn. If you can go to page 189 of this report, please. You


1 A They were not all there.
2 Q You at least had one lawyer there, correct?
3 A Yes.
4 Q And sir, prior to you meeting with them on Monday, when was the last time you met with them?

A At deposition.
Q Between the deposition and the time you met with them two days ago, isn't it true that your lawyers have had
conversations with members of the Attorney General staff; yes or no?
A Yes, there's been some communication.
Q And sir, as part of the stuff that they showed you and I'll use the word "stuff," they showed you those massive spreadsheets that you testified about at length yesterday to Mr. Ladov's questions, correct?

A Yes, I saw those spreadsheets.
Q And they showed you specifically where your name was referenced every time Jeff McConney said in words or substance he consulted and got information from you, correct?
A Yes, I saw some of that information.
Q The same type of information that Mr. Ladov questioned you about yesterday, about where your name would be there and it will be about a conversation that you had with Jeff McConney, correct? Yes or no, sir?
25 A I don't recall all of the topics.
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23 correct?
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colleague spoke to you about this morning about the conversations you had with Jeffrey McConney, did they?

A No, I haven't seen those.
Q All I want is a yes or no, sir. If I want more, I'll
ask you, or I'll ask His Honor to ask you to give me more. The answer is they didn't show it to you, did they?

A No.
Q They spent time --
THE COURT: Wait. Wait. I advise all attorneys not to ask questions in the negative. "They didn't do that, did they?" No or yes could be interpreted either way. it's -- I'm changing what I said before. It is somewhat ambiguous.

MR. ROBERT: I will rephrase the question.
THE COURT: Ask questions in the positive, if possible.
Q Sir, when you met with members of the Attorney
General's office on Monday, were you shown the documents that Mr. Fields showed you this morning, specifically Plaintiff's
Exhibit 109 and 3184, which show the back and forth between you and Jeff McConney?

A No.
Q Because the first time you saw them was today?
A Correct.
Q So with all of the preparation with the Attorney
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General's office, they never decided to show you these two documents, did they?

A No, I did not see those documents.
Q So in all of their prep about your interaction with Mr.
McConney, they never attempted to refresh your recollection with these documents, did they; yes or no?
A No.


MR. LADOV: Objection.
THE COURT: Can we get a readback on that one?
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

THE COURT: That's cross-examination. I'll allow it.
Q Answer the question, sir, please.
MR. ROBERT: Do you want it read back with Your Honor's permission? We will read it back to the witness.

THE COURT: We will have it read back one more time.

MR ROBERT: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A What do you mean by "illusion"?

| D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1724 | D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1726 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ | 1 didn't show you on Monday. Let's go to page two, please. |
|  | 2 "Jeff" -- you see that, sir? |
|  | 3 A Yes. |
|  | 4 Q "Attached are the New York City sales. Please reach |
|  | 5 out to Robert Farwell for San Francisco cap rates." Do you see |
| 6 MR. LADOV: Objection. | 6 that? |
| 7 THE COURT: | 7 A Yes. |
| 8 A But I did - | 8 Q You were specifically giving Mr. McConney the name of |
| 9 THE COURT: Is that withdrawn or not? | 9 Mr. Farwell, so that Mr. McConney could obtain cap rates for the |
| 10 MR. LADOV: I object because it misstates the | 10 San Francisco property, true? |
| 11 testimony. | 11 A Yes. |
| 12 MR. ROBERT: You can fix it however best you can. | 12 Q You know, this morning you were also questioned about |
| 13 THE COURT: I can't hear you. | 13 differences between your 2012 appraisals and your 2015 |
| 14 MR. LADOV: There is no foundation for the | 14 appraisal. Do you remember that, sir? |
| 15 question, Your Honor. | 15 A Yes. |
| 16 MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, it is cross-examination. | 16 Q Okay. |
| 17 MR. LADOV: I understand that it is | 17 MR. ROBERT: And let's call up Plaintiff's |
| 18 cross-examination, but that's my objection. | 18 Exhibit 3208 for a second, please. Let's turn to page 6 of |
| 19 MR. ROBERT: They don't like where this is going. | 19215. |
| 20 I want to do it as quickly, which is what you said, try to | 20 Q I want to make sure I understood something correct. |
| 21 be efficient as possible. I think he remembers what | 21 When Mr. Fields asked you if you backdated this document, your |
| 22 happened an hour ago. I certainly hope he does. | 22 answer was what? |
| 23 THE COURT: Please read back the question. | 23 A It would have been a typo. |
| 24 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was | 24 Q Let's answer my question. Was it backdated; yes or no? |
| 25 read back.) | 25 A That would have been very unlikely because -- |
| D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1725 | D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1727 |
| 1 THE COURT: Objection overruled. | 1 Q That's not my question, sir, please. |
| 2 A You want me to answer? | 2 MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, it is a yes-or-no |
| 3 Q Yes. | 3 question. |
| 4 THE COURT: Yes. | 4 Q Did you backdate it; yes or no? |
| 5 Q I want you to answer truthfully. | 5 A No. |
| 6 A Yes. | 6 Q So you didn't backdate it and your answer today is, |
| 7 Q Yes. When you testified yesterday for the Attorney | 7 "Well, I must have just made a mistake," correct; yes or no? |
| 8 General, the question was asked by Mr. Ladov when the name on | 8 A The -- |
| 9 one of the McConney spreadsheets said Robert Farwell. Do you | $9 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Yes or no, sir? |
| 10 remember that? | 10 THE COURT: If he can't answer it yes or no. |
| 11 A Yes. | 11 A I cannot. |
| 12 Q And there was a question that was asked of you what was | 12 Q Do you have a problem understanding what I'm saying, |
| 13 your reaction when you saw that. Do you remember that? | 13 sir? |
| 14 A Yes. | 14 A What I'm telling you -- |
| 15 Q Isn't it a fact that you gave Robert Farwell's name to | 15 Q Yes or no? |
| 16 Jeff McConney? | 16 A No. |
| 17 A Most likely. | 17 Q So your position is that this was a typo, right, yes or |
| 18 Q So there was no surprise when you saw the name, right? | 18 no, under oath? |
| 19 A No, I know him. | 19 A It most likely would have been a typo, yes. |
| 20 Q You know him because you gave the name to Mr. McConney, | 20 Q Was it also a typo that you changed the number by |
| 21 correct? | 21 \$23 million in a 24 -hour period? |
| 22 A No. I know him I've worked with him for many years. | 22 A No. |
| 23 MR. ROBERT: Can we recall Plaintiff's | 23 Q That was intentional, right? |
| 24 Exhibit 3184. If you could hand it to the witness, please. | 24 A Yes. And to make it clear because -- |
| 25 Q This is one of these two documents the Attorney General | 25 Q Sir, it was intentional, correct? They're going to get |


| D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1728 | D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1730 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 a chance to get up and ask you whatever questions they want. | $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ It is an iconic building, correct? |
| 2 Answer my question. It was intentional, true? You did it | 2 A How do you define "iconic"? |
| 3 deliberately, true? It wasn't a typo, true? | 3 Q We're not talking about Maiden Lane. Wall Street is a |
| 4 A Not that I can recall, no. | 4 known street in the world; fair statement? |
| $5 \quad Q \quad$ So it was intentional. I'm not ascribing ill motive | 5 A Yes. |
| 6 yet, but you went and changed it, correct? | 6 Q And a building on Wall Street is something the world |
| 7 A The date of -- | 7 knows it is a building on Wall Street, correct? |
| 8 Q Yes or no -- | 8 A Yes. |
| 9 A Deliberately needs to coincide with the date -- | 9 Q Let's go back to the exhibit. Do you have it in front |
| 10 Q Sir, that's not my question and we are off the dates | 10 of you now, sir? Is it up on the screen? |
| 11 sir. I'm talking about the money. You changed it by | 11 MR. ROBERT: No. We want the whole page, please. |
| 12 \$20 million, correct? | 12 THE COURT: Chris, I told you you'd learn about New |
| 13 A Yes. | 13 York. |
| 14 Q You did that after speaking to your client, correct? | 14 MR. KISE: Very good. |
| 15 A Yes. | 15 MR. ROBERT: He's learning it from me, not the |
| 16 Q | 16 witness, but that's okay. |
|  | 17 Q You see that, sir, at the bottom? |
|  | 18 MR. ROBERT: Keep going down, please. |
|  | 19 Q 240 million, do you see that? |
|  | 20 A Yes. That's -- |
|  | 21 Q Yes or no? |
|  | 22 THE COURT: It is a yes or no. |
|  | 23 A Yes. |
|  | 24 Q And then in the subsequent appraisal after you changed |
|  | 25 the \$20 million after talking to your client, the lender, that |
| D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1729 | D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1731 |
| 1 Q In 2012 you had prepared two appraisals, the ones we're | 1 number increased to $\$ 260$ million, correct? And I can show it to |
| 2 talking about and at that time, you have had made a projection | 2 you. |
| 3 as to what the income capitalization conclusion would be three | 3 A Please, do. Thank you. |
| 4 years later for 2015. Do you remember that, sir? | 4 Q Sure. |
| 5 A Yes. | 5 MR. ROBERT: If we can show the witness Plaintiffs |
| 6 Q And back in 2012 on your two appraisals, on one of | 6 1435, page 173. |
| 7 them, you had a conclusion that it would be worth, and this is | $7 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ It is 260 million, correct? |
| 840 Wall Street, \$240 million, correct? | 8 A Yes, correct. |
| 9 A Could you show me again, so I -- | 9 Q |
| 10 Q Sure. |  |
| 11 MR. ROBERT: If we could show the witness |  |
| 12 Plaintiff's Exhibit 121, page 174, please. |  |
| 13 Q While these are coming up, sir, 40 Wall is a beautiful |  |
| 14 building, is it not? |  |
| 15 A Yes. | 15 A Yes. |
| 16 Q At one point, it was the tallest building in New York, | 16 Q That's the amount the Attorney General is seeking from |
| 17 wasn't it? | 17 my client, right? |
| 18 A I'm not sure. | 18 A I don't know anything about the case. |
| 19 Q Well, it was -- it went from there to the Chrysler | 19 Q Sir, you were off by a quarter of a billion dollars, |
| 20 Building to the Empire State Building. You're not aware of the | 20 correct? |
| 21 progression of the tallest building in New York? | 21 A No. |
| 22 A I know of the tallest. | 22 Q |
| 23 Q Can you agree with me that it is right next to the New |  |
| 24 York Stock Exchange in lower Manhattan? |  |
| 25 A It is. |  |


| D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1732 | D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1734 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ | 1 A That is correct. |
|  | 2 Q And the fact that your number is eight times greater |
| 3 A Yes. Can I elaborate? | 3 than the assessed value is no evidence of fraud by you, correct? |
| 4 Q No. I'm sure they're going to have a lot of questions | 4 THE COURT: Calls for a legal conclusion, I |
| 5 on this. | 5 believe. |
| 6 But at the end of the day, let me ask you this | 6 A Could you repeat that? |
| 7 question. Did the market in lower Manhattan on Wall Street | 7 MR. ROBERT: I withdraw the question. |
| 8 increase by 100 percent between 2012 and 2015? | 8 Q |
| 9 A I can't speak to Wall Street, but I can tell you there |  |
| 10 are -- |  |
| 11 Q Do you -- sir, is there any market in New York |  |
| 12 City -- |  |
| 13 MR. ROBERT: Sorry, Your Honor. Okay. I tried to |  |
| 14 get a yes or no. I will withdraw the question. I'll ask it |  |
| 15 a different way. |  |
| 16 THE COURT: Okay. |  |
| 17 Q Are you aware of any market in New York City |  |
| 18 commercially that increased 100 percent in value from 2012 to |  |
| 19 2015; yes or no? |  |
| 20 A Yes. |  |
| 21 Q And is this one of those? |  |
| 22 A I don't know. |  |
| 23 Q Nonetheless, we are a quarter of a billion dollars off, |  |
| 24 correct? | 24 A Yes. |
| 25 A Yes. | 25 Q They look prospectively as to what they could do with a |
| D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1733 | D. LARSON - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 1735 |
| 1 Q Sir, even in 2015, your number was \$540 million, | 1 property, correct? |
| 2 correct? I can show it to you if you want. | 2 A Yes. |
| 3 A Yes. I remember. | 3 Q And that's different from a lender, correct? |
| 4 Q Okay. And you also remember Mr. Fields showing you | 4 A It could be. |
| 5 that the taxable value by the New York City Tax Assessor was 65 | 5 Q Well, sir, you testified yesterday that most of your |
| 6 million. Do you remember that? | 6 business comes from working for lenders, correct? |
| 7 A I don't remember the exact number. | 7 A Yes. |
| 8 Q Okay. So let's help refresh your recollection. | 8 Q And to be clear, you're not a builder, correct? |
| 9 MR. ROBERT: If we could pull up Plaintiff's | 9 A No. |
| 10 Exhibit 118, number 63 of 213. If I could walk over to show | 10 Q You're not a developer? |
| 11 them the page. | 11 A No. |
| 12 THE COURT: Yes. | 12 Q You're not an accountant either, right? |
| 13 Q I'm actually going to show it to you as Plaintiff's | 13 A No. |
| 14 Exhibit 1177. It is the same document. Is that the page you're | 14 Q You're an appraiser? |
| 15 looking at, sir? | 15 A Correct. |
| 16 A This must be a different document. | 16 Q As an appraiser, most of your business comes in from |
| 17 Q I'll ask you to look at the one on the screen, sir. Do | 17 working for banks, correct? |
| 18 you see that the assessed taxable value is $\$ 65$ million? | 18 A Yes. |
| 19 A Yes. | 19 Q Would you agree with me, sir, that banks tend to be |
| 20 Q And you testified yesterday that the tax assessed value | 20 very conservative in their values; yes or no, sir? |
| 21 and the appraised value are two different things, correct? | 21 A Not always. |
| 22 A Yes. | 22 Q Well, sir, when a bank is appraising a property, the |
| 23 Q And the fact that your number is eight times greater | 23 bank is appraising the property to make sure that in an |
| 24 than that doesn't mean that you attempted to mislead anyone, | 24 emergency, they can get out, right? |
| 25 correct? | 25 A Yes. |

Q They don't have the same view that my clients do when they're looking at a property of what can I turn this into in five or ten or fifteen years, right?
A Yes.
Q What's my exit strategy tomorrow if there is a default and I have to get out, right?

A Yes. (Continued on the next page.)

aware of it. I don't know what you guys talked about in your meetings.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A Could you repeat the question?
MR. ROBERT: Could I have it read back, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Read back, please.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the
proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A No.
Q But it doesn't surprise you that an asset was sold for higher than an appraised value; correct?
A Does not surprise me.
Q Because certain assets can be valued in different ways; correct?

A Could you be more specific?
Q Well, when you're evaluating a particular asset there
are many factors you need to look at, particularly in real estate; correct?
A Yes.
Q So yesterday, when Mr. Ladov was questioning you, you were asked questions about Niketown. Do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q Did you know that Niketown is situated on one side by Tiffany \& Co? Did you know that?
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A I did.
Q And on the other side it's IBM. Did you know that?
A Yes.
Q So would you agree with me that both of those stores are landlocked and Niketown is what is in between them?

A Yes.
Q And sir, when a business is landlocked it and wants to
expand isn't it true in many instances even if the value is high
they will overpay by exponential numbers to be able to acquire the land?

A It all depends.
Q Well, sir, there is only one 57th Street and Fifth
Avenue in the world; true?
A Yes.
Q That's truly the Tiffany location, no pun intended,
because Trump Tower is there and Tiffany \& Co is there; right?
A Yes.
Q And the mere fact that you have a marquee location adds value, doesn't it?
A Typically, yes.
Q The mere signage on Trump Tower that says "Trump Tower" has value on it, doesn't it?
A Could you repeat that?
Q The mere presence of the name Trump Tower in those big letters in front of the building has a value, doesn't it?

1 A Naming rights have value.
2 Q They do.
And sir, there -- when you have a unique property such as Niketown at 57th and Fifth, there is no cookie cutter valuation method, is there; yes or no?

A Please repeat.
Q When you have a marquee --
THE COURT: Wait. Ask it in the positive, though.
You keep asking negative questions.
Q Would you agree with me, sir, that a unique, unicorn
trophy property, whatever you want to name it, there are unique characteristics to the property, valuation is subjective; true?

A Correct.
Q You were asked questions about the statements of
financial condition and my colleague, Mr. Fields, held -- showed one to you earlier today and Mr. Ladov showed one to you yesterday. Do you remember that?
A Yes.
Q And you had said that you had remember having seen it; correct?
A The best of my recollection.
Q Was that one of the documents that the Attorney
General's Office showed you on Monday?
A Yes.
MR. ROBERT: Can we call up Plaintiff's
D. Larson - Plaintiff - cross (Robert)
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Exhibit 729, please? And go to page four? I'm sorry, page six of 26 . I'm looking at the -- and let's go to the second paragraph.
Q And this morning Mr. Fields was drawing your attention to the third line -- let's start from the beginning. You see where it says, "Such valuation methods include?" The beginning, sir?

A Yes.
Q And then we go down three lines and it says "and in some instances outside professionals?"

A Yes.
Q But if you could read the last sentence into the record, sir.

MR. WALLACE: Objection. These aren't his words.
Counsel should be reading it into the record.
THE COURT: I'm not sure my colleagues would agree
with me, but I don't think you have an absolute right to
make him read something. You can read it if you want.
MR. ROBERT: I'm happy to read it.
Q Are you able to read the words, sir?
A Yes.
Q Would you please read them with Your Honor's
permission?
THE COURT: If he wants to read them, he can read them.

Q Aloud, sir.
A "The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material impact" -THE COURT: That doesn't say "impact."
A "Effect on the estimated current value amounts."
MR. ROBERT: May I should have read it. I should have listened to Your Honor's admonition before.
Q So sir, what it says is the use of different -withdrawn.

This is something that is contained in President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition; correct?

A Yes.
Q And the language says "the use of different market
assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material
effect on the estimated current value amounts." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q You agree with that, sir, don't you? Yes or no?
A I agree on what it says.
Q And you agree that the use of different market
assumptions or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on estimated current values, don't you? Yes or no?
A Can you clarify the question? Are you asking -Q

.


A I would need to elaborate to answer.
Q I'm sure Mr. Ladov is going to have plenty of questions for you, sir, but what I'm asking you there is a delta of a quarter of a billion dollars?

A There was a delta of that.
Q And through your testimony you have said that different market assumptions can make differences in valuations; correct?

A Yes.
Q Just like a different cap rate can affect the value of property; correct?

A Yes.
Q Mr. Fields this morning took you through an analysis of how I think it was like a hundred million dollar difference because based on what the cap rate would be based on the net operating income. Do you remember that?
A Yes.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | highlighted language on the left hand side from your prior |  | THE COURT: Yes. |  |
|  | timony and the highlighted language on the right hand side |  | Q So page 57 at the bo |  |
|  | om the e-mail that you looked at earlier are the same? | 3 | THE COURT: Giv |  |
|  | A Yes. | 4 | MR. LADOV: Ye |  |
| 5 | Q So this is -- so during that testimony you were being | 5 | OU |  |
| 6 | asked about this same e-mail; correct? | 6 | erruption. Read whate | as there |
| 7 | A Y |  | question. |  |
|  | Q Okay. So I |  | MR. LADOV: The |  |
|  | testimony there. |  | Q So on line 25 of pag |  |
| 10 | OB | 10 | UE | paring |
| 11 | OURT: Groun | 11 | uations that his accou | iew? |
| 12 | MR. ROBERT: The EUO is inadmissible and can only | 12 | "ANSWER: I wou |  |
|  | used for impeachment purposes, not for bolster | 13 | "QUESTION: You | about |
|  | oses. | 14 | luations that Mr. McC |  |
| 15 | uled. | 15 | ANSWER: No. | I wouldn't |
| 16 | Q So the next testimony says, "do you remember reading | 16 | ow anything about that |  |
|  | at? | 17 | "QUESTION: You | on how |
| 18 | "ANSWER: I reme | 18 | to make certain valuatio |  |
|  | nember back then. I don't remember this e-mail." | 19 | "ANSWER: We ge | nts asking |
| 20 | ay | 20 | out cap rates, market in | a ground |
| $21$ | "QUESTION: Were you aware of McConney prepa | 21 | lease, you know, what the | would be. |
| $22$ | uations that his accountants would then review? | 22 | this is very common. | ith that |
| 23 | NSWER: I would | 23 | formation is up to the |  |
|  | "QUESTION: You don't know anything about | 24 | So my question, M | stimony |
|  | valuations that Mr. McConney" -- |  | that you gave in --sworn te | tent with |
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| 1 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, objection. He's just simply |  | the testimony that you g |  |
| 2 | testifying at this point. He's just reading something into | 2 | THE COURT: We | 11 have to |
| 3 | the record something that's clearly hearsay and | 3 | eak for itself whether |  |
| 4 | inadmissible. That's not refreshing the witness' | 4 | IR. KISE: Again | into the |
| 5 | recollection. Refreshing the witness's recollection | 5 | cord in an attempt -- now | gs for the |
| 6 | showing it to him and saying do you recall this. This is | 6 | press, right? He's just rea | cord so |
| 7 | not rehabilitation. He's simply reading in something from | 7 | that he can attempt to bo | timony. |
| 8 | years ago that's clearly hearsay. He's just reading hearsay | 8 | His testimony was here in | re here in |
|  | into the record. There is no question here at all. He's | 9 | ourt. We don't read hear | a regular |
| 10 | just reading questions and answers. This is extraordinarily | 10 | asis. This is extraordina | question |
| 11 | improper. Extraordinarily improper. |  | at the end was a complet | h , is that |
| 12 | THE COURT: Could you finish reading? | 12 | right? Is that what you sa | That's |
| 13 | R. LADOV: I have not finished reading. | 13 | completely improper. |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: Were you going to ask a question at the | 14 | MR. LADOV: Your | ce Rule 8.31 |
| 15 | of the reading? | 15 | says that "prior consistent | ble when |
| 16 | MR. LADOV: I was going to ask a question | 16 | offered to rebut an expre | recent |
| 17 | E COURT: Overruled |  | fabrication." I would say | I I know |
| 18 | to be do you | 18 | Mr. Kise disagrees and sa | it clearly |
| 19 | mber this from three years ago now that I've read it | 19 | applies here. |  |
| 20 | into the record. That's improper. That's not refreshing | 20 | THE COURT: The | rruled or |
| 21 | recollection. | 21 | overruled again. |  |
| 22 | COURT: It's fair game. It's rehabilitation. | 22 | MR. LADOV | question, |
| 23 | erruled. | 23 | Your Honor? |  |
| 24 | I would like to start again | 24 | THE COURT: |  |
|  | without interruption, please. | 25 | MR. LADOV: Just the |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ago the light was green and today I made a mistake and now I | 1 just overruling your objections. |
| 2 | want to rehabilitate him saying four years ago the light was | 2 MS. FAHERTY: Sorry. |
| 3 | green. That's simple. It's not, like, pages and pages of | 3 THE COURT: Again, maybe other judges will do this. |
| 4 | their question-and-answer series. They're bringing in | 4 I tend to be somewhat liberal. We can go back and forth and |
| 5 | hearsay. They're bringing in a hearsay transcript which | 5 back and forth and I'll do the best can I can. |
| 6 | Your Honor ruled was not admissible. Other than for | 6 Okay, go ahead. |
| 7 | impeachment, I gather they're not impeaching their own | 7 MR. LADOV: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 8 | witness, and at this point, at this point if they want to | 8 Q I believe, Mr. Larson, the question was was this your |
| 9 | rehabilitate him with his own statements, that's one thing. | 9 prior testimony? |
| 10 | But here they're just reading their questions into the | 10 A Yes. This is what I could remember four years ago -- |
| 11 | record and they're creating it -- and the only question at | 11 Q Okay. I -- I'm sorry. |
| 12 | the end is "is that right." | 12 A - at that time, yes. |
| 13 | MR. LADOV: Can I brief -- | 13 Q I think the only other question I had you had been |
| 14 | THE COURT: Don't we need to hear the questions so | 14 asked questions about 40 Wall Street earlier. |
| 15 | that we know the context of the answers? | 15 Do you know one way or the other whether Mr. Trump |
| 16 | MR. KISE: We did. We're still on that one | 16 currently retains the legal right to convert 40 Wall Street into |
| 17 | question where he read a page or so of transcript and then | 17 condos? |
| 18 | said "isn't this what you said a few years ago." I mean, | 18 A I don't know. |
| 19 | that's preposterous. | 19 MR. LADOV: No further questions, Your Honor. |
| 20 | THE COURT: I don't think it's preposterous. | 20 THE COURT: Any recross? |
| 21 | Objection overruled. | 21 MS. HABBA: One second. |
| 22 | MR. KISE: Prior consistent statements are one at a | 22 (Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) |
| 23 | time "did you say this on this date, did you say this on | 23 Hash. |
| 24 | this date" but not all of this question-and-answer series. | 24 MS. HABBA: Your Honor, we'll be brief. |
| 25 | THE COURT: Everybody just give me a moment. | 25 THE COURT: Okay. |
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| 1 | (Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) | 1 MR. ROBERT: May I proceed, Your Honor? |
| 2 | THE COURT: I'm looking at 8.31, Prior Consistent | 2 THE COURT: Please proceed with the recross. |
| 3 | Statement in Fact. I'll read it, if Mr. Kise won't object. | 3 Q Just to be clear, after that whole back and forth we |
| 4 | MR. KISE: No. | 4 had here the fact still remains during your prep session on |
| 5 | THE COURT: "A statement of a witness made before | 5 Monday, the Attorney General did not show you these two |
| 6 | the witness's testimony at a time when there was no motive | 6 documents; correct? Yes or no? |
| 7 | to fabricate" how do we decide that "and which is consistent | 7 A No, I don't recall. |
| 8 | with that testimony is admissible to aid in establishing the | 8 Q And they didn't discuss them with you either because if |
| 9 | witness's credibility when a party creates the inference of | 9 you had you would have committed perjury yesterday; right -- |
| 10 | or directly characterizes the testimony of a witness as a | 10 withdrawn |
| 11 | recent fabrication. That's set forth," blah, blah, blah. | 11 MR. LADOV: Objection. |
| 12 | Objection overruled. You can ask what you want. Read what | 12 THE COURT: Next witness? I assume there is no |
| 13 | you want, ask what you want, but I'll be following | 13 re-redirect? |
| 14 | carefully. | 14 MR. LADOV: We are done, Your Honor. Thank you. |
| 15 | MR. KISE: Again, I just want to be on the record, | 15 THE COURT: We have about 20 minutes left. Let's |
| 16 | Your Honor, his statements are what are referenced in the | 16 get the next witness. |
| 17 | questions. So it's just a continuing objection? | 17 Transcript continues on the following page.... |
| 18 | MR. LADOV: Can you please admonish Mr. Kise to | 18 |
| 19 | stop speechifying for the press or whatever it is he's | 19 |
| 20 | doing? | 20 |
| 21 | MR. KISE: No, I'm not. I'm just trying to stop | 21 |
| 22 | them from muddling the record. It's pretty simple and they | 22 |
| 23 | don't like it and it's obvious they're accusing me of all | 23 |
| 24 | sorts of bad things. | 24 |
| 25 | THE COURT: I'm not accusing of you anything. I'm | 25 |

MS. FAHERTY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Colleen Faherty here for the People. We call Jack Weisselberg to the stand, please.

THE COURT: Let's get him ASAP.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
JACK WEISSELBERG, a witness called by the
Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of
the Court, took the witness stand and testified as follows:
THE COURT OFFICER: Please state your full name and either home or business address on the record.

THE WITNESS: Jack Weisselberg. 9079 Dye Cabins, Drive, Park City, Utah.

THE COURT: I'm going to ask the witness, like I ask everybody else, to speak directly into the microphone as close as you can.

Let's proceed with the direct examination.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, your Honor.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. FAHERTY:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Weisselberg. I'm going to ask you a few introductory questions first, okay.

So can you, please, just describe your educational background for me?

A Sure. I went to Penn State University; graduated in
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2000.

Q And which campus did you graduate from, sir?
A University Park.
Q Did you have any concentrations or majors from
Pennsylvania State University?
A Yes, in real estate.
Q Any other concentration or particular focuses of study?
A Focused on finance.
Q Anything else?
A No.
Q Do you have any postgraduate degrees beyond college?
A No.
Q Do you hold any professional certifications or licenses?

A No.
Q After 2000, once you graduated from Penn State
University, can you, please, generally describe for me your work experience?
A I began working at UBS Investment Bank in 2000, May of 2000. Then in 2005, we left UBS, stayed within UBS, but started a hedge fund called Dillon Read Capital Management which was a subsidiary of UBS. In 2007 when the subprime crisis happened, we went back to UBS and I was there for a year until 2008 and then I began working at Ladder Capital in 2008 and I've been there since.

Q So starting with the time when you were at the first position at Dillon Read, what title did you hold there?
A Well, my first position was at UBS and I was an associate director.
Q Did you hold any other titles when you were at UBS?
A I don't recall if I became director at UBS or it was at Dillon Read, but it was around that time.
Q Okay. At any point, were you identified as an analyst in your job positions?
A Yes. When I was an associate, I was an analyst.
That's where I began.
Q And what are your responsibilities as an analyst?
A Well, I was an analyst within with the origination
group, so I supported four originators that were bringing loans into UBS.
Q Can you describe for me what that means?
A So when packages would come in, I would enter the data into an underwriting model, look at pictures, go through
property, market information, and you know, figure out if a deal would -- could make sense for us to do.
Q When you say "packages would come in," what does that reference to the word "packages"?
A So when somebody wants a new loan, they'll send us an offering memorandum which is just a book that contains all the information necessary to find out if they can get a loan which
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1 will include pictures, it will include rent rolls, operating
2 statements, some market information, and sometimes some sponsoring information as well.
Q So you're gathering information to size up loans; is that a fair characterization?

## A Yes.

Q Okay. And you and I both use the same word "size" or "sizing." Can you explain for me what that means, please?

A Sure. It is an underwriting Excel spreadsheet and it is we're analyzing the cash flow of the property for the property and analyzing the numbers to see if they make sense.
Q Okay. And we've also used the term "origination" or "originators." Can you describe for me what an originator is?

A Sure. A loan originator is someone who is out looking for deals and trying to bring in new loans for the company to do.
Q Okay. And the general responsibilities of a loan originator, I heard you just say bring in new deals, but can you drill down a little bit more on what those responsibilities are?

A Yes. It's finding new business and then the client relationship is really through the originator. And then when a loan is actually signed up, they're managing the process and making sure everything is going smoothly.

Q Almost like on the sales end of the business?
A Yeah, you could say that.

1 Q Okay. At any point in your career, did you hold the title of an originator?

A Yes.
Q When did that occur?
A I was a junior originator at UBS when I was an analyst and I was able to bring in my own deals. Took a few years for that to occur, but probably 2004/2005 I started to bring in my own deals.
Q And for how long did you serve as a junior originator? I know you said it started in 2004 at UBS.

A My entire time at UBS including Dillon Read.
Q When did you leave UBS?
A May of 2008.
Q You maintained the same job title that whole time
between 2004 and May 2008, right?
A I'm not exactly sure when I was promoted to director, but somewhere around there. Until 2008, yes.

Q Still the same originator responsibilities, though?
A Originator, yes.
Q Okay, great. In 2008, remind me where did you go after that?
A In October of 2008, I joined Ladder Capital.
Q Okay. Any particular reason for the gap between May
2008 and October 2008 between your job at UBS and joining Ladder Capital?
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A Yes. There were layoffs at UBS and across the entire industry in 2008 and I raised my hand for the next round. I just had a child and figured I would have a few months to spend with her before Ladder Capital was set up and ready to go.
Q Okay. And any significance attached to that particular date of October 2008 when you joined Ladder Capital?
A That's when Ladder Capital was started.
Q Okay. And who started Ladder Capital?
A Brian Harris is our CEO along with a few other people.
Q Who were those other individuals?
A Greta Guggenheim (ph), Pamela McCormack (ph) and Robert Pearlman (ph).
Q And did you know all four of those individuals?
A Yes.
Q How did you know those four individuals?
A We all worked together at UBS.
Q Is it a fair characterization that they brought you from UBS along with them to join Ladder Capital?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Anybody in particular bring you along with them to join the Ladder Capital group in 2008?

A I mean, Greta Guggenheim is who I was a junior originator for when we were at Dillon Read, so she was probably the spearhead, but I think all of them.
Q So you reported to Greta Guggenheim at your prior
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1
position before Ladder, fair?
A Well, no, because when I went back into UBS for that one year, she had left to begin the process of starting Ladder Capital. So there was a one-year gap in there, but yes, I reported to her prior.
Q Could you remind me about the time period when you returned back to UBS when was that?
A That was in 2007.
Q And why in 2007 did you return back to UBS?
A Because of the subprime crisis, we decided to shut down UBS decided to shut down Dillon Read Capital Management.
Q What was it about you in particular, if you
know, was the reason you were able to return to UBS?
A At the time, they gave an option to a lot of us, not
everybody, and I was one of the people that was offered the opportunity to come back into UBS, so I took it.
Q Did you have any particular relationships or loans that you were working on that made it a reasonable offer to bring you back into the fold at UBS?
A I'm not sure that was the reason for it. I was working on loans, but I don't think that was the reason why they brought me back in.
Q Were you organizing on any loans with an entity named or associated with the title "The Trump Organization" in or around 2007?
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A Yes.
Q And was there a particular Trump Organization loan in 2007 that was included in the transactions that you worked on when you returned to UBS in 2007?

A Yes.
Q Which loan was that?
A That was for Trump Park Avenue.
Q I want to go back to just our discussion a moment ago about sizing a loan and help me as to the basics go down here.
Can you give me the factors that you would include on sizing a loan?
A Well, we look at a rent roll.
Q Okay.
A We look at operating statements, historical, including a trailing 12 statement as well as a pro forma budget, and then we will look at pictures in the property and anything else that's pertinent to the deal.
Q Okay. Anything else?
A If there's a ground lease, we will look at a ground
lease. We may look at leases if there are major leases that we
are concerned about or we want to make sure we understand pretty well. That's most of what we'll look at.

Q What is the point of that? Why are you trying to understand those factors that you just mentioned?

A When we write a loan, we're looking as if we're going
to own the property in case because we write non-recourse loans.
So the bar could give us back the keys, so we look at every loan as if we're going to own the property and we want to understand how it operates and what the cash flow would look like if we took it over.

Q Is there a particular reason why is there a cost to you, to Ladder?

A A cost?
Q Yes.
A I'm not sure I follow.
Q Well, you used the word "non-recourse." Let's start there. Can you define that term for us, please?

A So non-recourse loan is where we cannot go after them personally. If something goes wrong and they default and we foreclose, we take back the property. But other than any recourse obligations that are on that particular loan, it's just the property. We can't go after them personally.

Q So you basically own the property; is that fair?
A We would own the property if they fell down the loan and we took it over, yes.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, your Honor.
Q Now, we've just spoken of the word "non-recourse." Is "recourse" a term of art in the banking industry where you work? A Yes.
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Q Can you describe for me what "recourse" means?
A So "recourse" means that you're personally obligated to repay the loan if it is a full recourse loan. Or if there are recourse obligations, you would be personally liable for that specific obligation.
Q Can you provide an example of what it means to be personally obligated?
A It means that we need to be made whole and that you're required to pay it.

Q How would you guarantee with regards to a recourse loan
that the bank would be made whole again? Is there anything that you would put into place --

MS. FAHERTY: I apologize, Your Honor. This is a
complex question. I'll reask it.
Q How would you guarantee with regards to a recourse loan that the bank would be made whole again?

A We have net worth liquidity requirements that need to stay in place throughout the term of the loan.

Q And if they don't?
A It is a default.
Q Is there an agreement that the bank signs with the
borrower to ensure that those terms are in place and defined?
A Yes, it is in the loan documents.
Q Okay. I want to focus your attention on your current position with Ladder Capital.
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When you joined in 2008, what was your title?
A Director.
Q What is your title currently?
A Executive director.
Q Do you recall when -- withdrawn.
When did you receive that title to executive director?
A I can't recall.
Q Approximately how long have you served as an executive director?

A Five or six years, maybe.
Q So approximately five or six years you received the title executive director?
A Approximately, but I'm not exactly sure.
Q Thank you for giving me the approximation.
Did you hold any other titles between that time period from when you served as a director to now with your title as executive director?

A No.
Q Okay. Thank you.
As it concerns your current position as an executive director, are you an originator?
A Yes.
Q How about the phrase "underwriter"? Are you familiar with the term "underwriter" in the banking industry?
A Iam.

Q Describe for me your understanding of what an underwriter is?

A Underwriter on the loan is who gathers all the diligence on every transaction, sets up the third-party reports and analyzes the numbers to decide if it is a loan that makes sense for us.

Q What purpose does that serve in the context of your work?

A Every loan has to go through the underwriting process to make sure that it is a deal that works for us, and they're responsible for putting it altogether and presenting it to a committee of why a deal might make sense or not.
Q Do they assign a value to a particular deal?
A No.
Q Do they -- what type of insights other than the
diligence we just described -- what type of insights are they presenting to the bank?

A Well, we're not a bank, but the committee on a loan, they're presenting what the numbers look like and whether it is a deal that makes sense for us.
Q And when you say "what the numbers look like," can you anchor that? And, for example, the collateral that's being used or the deal that you're trying to perform, describe for me what you're referencing.

A They hire an appraiser to get a value. They hire an
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you wear both hats as an underwriter and an originator or just one?

A My main priority was underwriting, but I was able to service a few of my clients that I had a good relationship with, so I did originate a few loans during that time period.

MS. FAHERTY: Okay. I think now is a good time for a break, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Have a good lunch, everyone. See you at 2:15. Thank you.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken.)
AFTERNOON SESSION

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Latops and cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the public, members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: If only the witnesses would talk like that.

Tommy, you would make a good witness.
I have a statement first to make on the record.
Attorneys are not allowed to make press statements or give
press conferences in the building no matter what time of day, okay.

Okay. Let's get the witness.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
THE COURT: I'll remind the witness, as I always do, that he is still under oath, and let's continue with the direct examination of Jack Weisselberg.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Welcome back, Mr. Weisselberg.
At the break, we talked about how you had the roles of both an originator and underwriter. I want to focus your attention on your current employer, Ladder's, practices.

Can you broadly describe the relationship of the originator and the underwriter performing work?
A Sure. It is completely separate groups and the originator will discuss a new deal with the underwriters to make sure that it is a deal that could work for us. So upfront before the deal is actually signed up, we will have conversations to just go through the numbers and make sure we understand it pretty well.

After that, once we actually sign a loan up, then underwriting takes care over with regard to diligence and getting, gathering all the information together and completing their process, and the originator is in constant contact with them. Anything that they may need from the borrower, they'll

A Yes. We communicate. We work together, but at the end of the day, the underwriter's job is to underwrite the job/ loan and understand the risk and they will communicate with the originator; but it is a separate group that takes care of all the underwriting.

Q You made a reference to assisting in the diligence process. Is it the originator's role to communicate with the borrower to keep the underwriter separate from the borrower?
A No, the underwriter will speak with them directly. Typically, they'll cc the originator on an e-mail to make sure they are aware of everything and the originator should be aware of all conversations that take place. Most of the time, the underwriter will speak directly with the borrower to get the information.
Q That was the general practice that you have observed in your time at Ladder, right?

A Correct.
Q Can you just broadly describe to me the type of financing Ladder Capital offers?

A We lend on commercial real estate. We write first
mortgages. Sometimes we'll add mezzanine loans to a first mortgage that we write. We also do balance sheet loans that are shorter term that cover all aspects of commercial real estate.

Q And when you say a "balance loan," what does that mean? Where does that -- where is that balance held?

A A balance sheet loan is a loan that we hold on our books, on Ladder's books.

Q Where else can you hold the loans that you have at Ladder Capital aside from the ones that are maintained on Ladder's own internal books?

A The ones that we don't maintain, we securitize.
Q Can you describe for me what that means?
A We pool together loans and sell them to the broader market in a bond offering.

Q Can we break that apart? You said "we pool together loans." Is that pull or pool together, P-O-O-L?
A P-O-O-L.
Q What does that mean when you're pooling together the loans and you're selling them to the broader market? How does that look?

A So we take anywhere from 30 to 50 loans, maybe less, and we put them altogether into one pool and then we sell it in tranches where somebody will buy the most senior piece and somebody will buy the most junior piece and that's what we do with fixed rate loans.
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Q And are there -- what does it mean to be a senior loan that you pool together or a senior tranche?

A The senior tranche is the most secure piece. It's the last lost piece, so that's typically set aside for people that are looking for safer bet and a lower yield.
Q How about junior? What does it mean to be a junior tranche?
A The junior means the first lost piece, so if anything suffers a loss on that loan or in the pool, that's the guy that takes the hit first and he usually gets a higher yield.
Q Do you know who are the purchasers of these two types -- two tranche types of pooled securities?
A I don't know specifically. The junior piece groups that this is what they do. They own --

Q Investors interested in the potentially higher risk, higher rewards?
A Yes.
Q How about the senior tranche? Do you have an understanding about the types of investors in the senior tranche loans?
A It's typically insurance companies or pension funds that are looking for a safer risk lower risk and lower yield.

Q When you say "pension fund," would that be something like I used to be in education. I used to be a teacher. A teacher's unions?
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A It could be.
Q Or we have court officers here, like a PBA in some way.
Are those the type of pension funds that you're referring to, those types of groups?
A It could be. I don't -- I don't work on the
securitization side, so I am not selling the bonds, so I don't know who specifically the investors are.
Q Not impossible, but those could be the type of pension funds that would invest?

MR. KISE: Objection. Foundation.
THE COURT: Can you read back the question?
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

MR. KISE: My objection is he just testified that he doesn't work on the securitization side, so I am not selling the bonds, so I don't know. So he has no foundation to answer that question.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q But generally speaking, if I heard you correctly, but please correct me if I misheard, it would be pension fund as a potential investor, right?
A It could be.
Q Thank you.
MR. KISE: Same objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. He says he doesn't know
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## so...

MS. FAHERTY: He offered the testimony, Your Honor, but I understand. I will withdraw.
Q Are you familiar with the phrase "bad boy guaranty?
A Yes.
Q What is a bad boy guaranty?
A The non-recourse carve-out. So every non-recourse loan that we do, we have "bad boy carve-outs" that are intended for bad acts created by the borrower which would turn the loan into being a recourse loan.

Q What are the types of bad acts that would constitute a bad act for a bad boy guaranty?

A I don't recall all of them offhand.
Q Do you know any types of bad acts that would constitute a bad act for a bad boy guaranty?
A Yes. If you bring on environment waste to a property, fraud. Those are the two that I can think of off the top of my head.

Q And does Ladder Capital have bad boy guaranties that it incorporates into the transactions it engages in?
A Every non-recourse loan has them, yes.
Q At Ladder Capital?
A At Ladder Capital.
Q Okay. We were talking a little bit before about how
25 that there are balance loans that Ladder Capital might have. Is
it fair to say Ladder does in fact have short-term loans that it maintains that debt on its books?

A Yes, balance sheet loans.
Q Balance sheet loans. Thank you for the correction. And is the answer still yes?
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
THE COURT: You can't just nod your head. You have to say yes or no.

THE WITNESS: Sorry?
THE COURT: You can't just nod your head. You have to say yes or no for the reporter.

THE WITNESS: I said yes.
THE COURT: I didn't hear the answer. I just saw the head.

THE WITNESS: It's okay.
Q Do you recall how many loans you've worked on since you've been at Ladder that have involved either Donald J. Trump or an entity affiliated with the name the Trump Organization?

A I believe five.
Q Five?
A Yes.
Q Which ones?
A We did Trump Tower. We did 40 Wall Street. We did
Trump International Hotel \& Tower Commercial. We did Trump
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Plaza Apartments Commercial and then Trump Central Park South.
Q And Trump Tower, do you recall what year that was?
A I believe it was 2012.
4 Q How about 40 Wall? What year was that one?
5 A That one, I believe, was 2015.
6 Q Trump Plaza Commercial, do you remember what year that was?

A I don't recall.
Q Okay. Trump CPS or Central Park South, do you recall what year that was?

A It was either 2016 or 2017. I'm not 100 percent.
Q Trump International Hotel \& Tower in Chicago, do you recall when that was?

A That was in New York.
Q Oh, in New York. Got it. What year was that?
A I don't recall.
Q Focusing on the loan relationship you had with the
Trump Organization, can you describe broadly the process for
financing that Ladder provided and that's how I'm going to focus your brain on my next line of questions. I want to start with the discussions of those loan relationships. Just broadly, would you have any particular discussions with anyone for those loan relationships with the organization?
A On the Trump side or on the Ladder side?
Q Trump side.

A That would be my father.
Q Who is that?
A Allen Weisselberg.
Q Anybody else at the Trump Organization that you would work with in particular at the beginning of these loan discussions?
A After my father and I discussed the deals, then typically Jeff McConney and Donna Kidder would send over diligence.
(Continued on the next page.)
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Q All right. So what would you and your father do in these initial discussions?

A Depending upon the deal, I may have approached him or he may have approached me, just to discuss their current financing and what their current loan looked like to see if there is any way that we could refinance that with the new mortgage.

Q So would it be the case if they didn't have a loan with you, you would discuss with your father, if at all possible, Ladder could offer a different financing arrangement. Does that sound about accurate?
A If they did or they didn't there were some loans that we had done eight or nine years prior that we had securitized, so I would call and say this loan is coming due soon, what are your plans, let's chat.

Q Got it. With regards to Jeff McConney, who is he?
A Jeff McConney?
Q Yes.
A He was a senior accountant, maybe the controller at the time.

Q And the nature of your relationship with Jeff McConney and those discussions concerning the Ladder relationship?
A He was more making sure that I received accurate information. So he would send over rent rolls, operating statements, and if I had questions I would give him a call and
ask him about it.

Q So fair to say was Jeff McConney your contact to help with the diligence aspect of the loan underwriting or the finance underwriting?
A I wasn't the underwriter on those loans, but in the initial conversation to size the loan it would be Jeff and Donna.
Q Okay. And Donna Kidder, I think you mentioned her name, who is she?

A I'm not sure what her role is. I know she works with accounting.
$Q$ She works in the accounting department?
A I believe.
Q Okay. And describe for me the nature of your interactions with Ms. Kidder.
A Same as Jeff, but on a more sending us the information, questions that I would typically ask to Jeff, sometimes to
Donna, as well, but very similar to the relationship I had with Jeff.
Q Any particular properties or just broadly you would reach out to Ms. Kidder?
A That would only be after we were discussing a
particular property.
Q And are there any particular properties for which you would have conversations with Ms. Kidder?
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A I don't recall which ones specifically, but probably most of them.
Q Okay. All right.
MS. FAHERTY: Can you please pull up PX 00630 ?
Your Honor, I'm marking for identification
Plaintiff's Exhibit 630 that I'd like to show the witness, please.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q Do you have that document in front of you,
Mr. Weisselberg?
A Yes.
Q Looking at this document, very top message, do you recognize - is that your e-mail address at the top there in the "to" line?
A Yes.
Q And is this an e-mail from Allen Weisselberg at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q That's your father, right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And in this message, it's dated 2011. I
recognize Counsel might have an objection in a moment, but it states:
"As discussed, we are looking for a forward commitment in the amount of 65 million, and a term of ten years to take
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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effect in August 2012. Thanks. Talk to you soon."
Do you see that there?
A I do.
Q And it appears that this is a forward of a message from
Donna Kidder; right? Am I reading that correctly?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'll tie it in, but I'd like to move this into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection, Your Honor. I know we have our standing objection to statute of limitations. This is going back to 2011. By anyone's account, even the account that we disagree with the government with the statute is 2014, so I would object and say this is irrelevant and it's also barred by the statute of limitations and therefore should not come into evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
Q Is this the type of initial outreach you would have with your father when exploring loan deals like we just discussed a moment ago?
A Yes. Typically he mentioned "as discussed." We
probably had phone conversations and if Donna sent me an e-mail prior to this then this was a little bit further along, but yes, this is the initial sizing time period.

Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
Page 1787
Q Okay. And it says in the message "looking for a forward commitment in the amount of $\$ 65$ million;" right? A Yes.
Q And a term of ten years to take effect in August 2012; right?
A Correct.
Q What is your understanding of what that message is conveying here?
A He's telling us that he would like us to close the loan
in August 2012 and being that it was October of 2011, they were
looking for a forward commitment.
Q Okay. And the subject line here, it's a forward:
"TTCOML" and then "October 2011 rentroll.five-year projection?"
Do you have any understanding as to what TTCOML is a reference to?

A Yes.
Q What is it?
A Trump Tower Commercial.
Q Is this a discussion you're having with your father with regards to the Trump Tower commercial loan?
A Correct.
Q Did you, in fact, do a loan on Trump Tower in 2012?
A We did.
Q Okay. Thank you. You can put that to the side -- oh, 25 before you do.

1 If I said the phrase to you, "rate lock," do you have any understanding of what that phrase "rate lock" means?
A Yes.
Q Is this e-mail a reference to a rate lock?
A Well, it's in reference to a forward commitment, which would include a rate lock.

Q Okay. And the forward commitment that's in here, can you describe for me what a rate lock would be?
A A rate lock is when you lock in the interest rate for your loan.

Q Got it.
MS. FAHERTY: Okay. We can put that to the side,
please.
Q So once you have a discussion with your father, you're exploring the potential loan deal, here it appears that you and your father were talking more of the terms.

Was there any diligence you would do in connection with those initial conversations with Mr. Weisselberg?
A Well, typically I would ask for a rent roll and
operating statements would be the initial conversation so we could start looking at the numbers.
Q Okay. And why would you want a rent roll?
A I'm sorry?
Q Why would you want a rent roll?
A Oh , so we could understand the tenants that are in the
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## A Yes.

Q Okay. And in this message, the subject, "Trump Tower," Mr. Weisselberg, it's a little -- Mr. Allen Weisselberg says to you, "please note the numbers reflected in the projection includes a license fee payment from the producers of The Apprentice, (Reilly Worldwide ) is shown as $\$ 500,000$. However, the real value of the two floors is approximately" or "approx $\$ 100 \mathrm{PSF}$," per square foot "or 2,798,100 or an additional," "add'l 2,298,100 to the bottom line.

Additionally, the Trump Organization occupies approx 37,225 square feet at approximately 75 PSF , equates to an additional 2,791,875 to the bottom line as well."

Do you see that there?
A Yes.
Q And there appears to be an attachment. It's identified by - in 001, I presume. Do you have an understanding why your father -- why Mr. Weisselberg, Allen, is giving you this information about The Apprentice and the square footage of the floor?
A Yes. The -- with The Apprentice space, as well as the Trump Organization space, there was no rent associated with the rent roll, so I probably asked what was going on with this space and why is there no rent associated with it. So we included the $\$ 500,000$ that was being paid by The Apprentice as a license fee and he was just suggesting that the real value is probably much
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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building, the rent that they're paying when their lease began, when their lease expires, if they have lease termination options, things like that.
Q Are you trying to understand if the property is
generating income, for example?
A Trying to figure out what income the property is generating.
Q Okay. And a rent roll would give you that information; yes?

A That would give us what the rent would be.
Q Okay.
A Yes.
Q It would be a piece of the puzzle?
A Exactly.
Q Great.
MS. FAHERTY: Can you put up PX 631, please?
Thank you.
Your Honor, I'd mark for identification Plaintiffs
Exhibit PX-00631.
Q Mr. Weisselberg, we've put it on the screen, but it's
in front of you as well. This is another e-mail between you and Mr. Allen Weisselberg at the Trump Organization; right?
A Yes.
Q The date on this message is October 20, 2011, the next day from the message we were just looking at?
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higher if we vacated the space and found a third-party tenant.
Q Okay. So the actual value received for the particular space for The Apprentice would have been 500,000 based on this message, yes?

A That was what they were paying.
Q And Mr. Allen Weisselberg is providing for you
additional information of what they could have received; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And this is the type of information that your father -- Mr. Allen Weisselberg and you have engaged in before to try and assess whether a deal is possible; is that right?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Would information like this help you do
back-of-the-envelope calculations as you're deciding whether
there is a deal to be made with regards to this transaction?
A Not really.
Q Have you ever performed back-of-the-envelope
calculations about a potential loan deal with regards to the
Trump Organization?
A On my own?
Q Yes.
A Sure.
Q Do you recall if you did one on Trump Tower?
A I don't recall.

1 O Okay. When you yourself perform back-of-the-envelope calculations, do you incorporate cap rates into those calculations that you're doing?
A Yes.
Q Do you talk cap rates with Mr. Weisselberg, Mr. Allen Weisselberg?
A Sure.
Q When you're discussing the various deals for the Trump Organization, have you talked about cap rates that are possible cap rates to be used on those valuations?

A When we send out an application for a new loan, one of the stipulations -- excuse me -- is loan to value, so we'll try to figure out what we think the appraiser is going to come back at and put an LTV there, a loan to value number, that would make sense. So typically, we'll have those discussions.

Q What is a loan to value?
A It's the percentage of the loan based on compared to the ratio to the value of the property.
Q The value of the asset?
A Yes.
Q Okay, great. Thank you.
Just a broad question, has your father ever called you to discuss cap rates in the market?
A I don't recall, but probably.
25 Q Okay. And just broadly speaking, discuss cap rates and
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he asked you for advice on a particular real estate asset in a part of Manhattan, would you be able to provide that cap rate type information?
A Not accurately.
Q So you would tell your father no, I'm not providing you a cap rate?

A I may tell him what I think, but that he should probably call an appraiser.

Q Okay. Other than your conversation with Mr. Allen
Weisselberg broadly about possible deals with Ladder, and you reference Ms. Kidder and Mr. McConney, before a deal is consummated are you aware of other individuals at the Trump Organization to your knowledge who would speak with members of the Trump Organization -- Ladder with the members of the Trump Organization?

A Sorry. Could you repeat the question?
Q Yes, that was a poorly worded question.
Other than the names we've already discussed, to your knowledge, are you aware of other members of the Trump Organization reaching out and speaking to members of Ladder Capital about a deal before the loan transaction and the financing transaction is consummated?

MR. KISE: Objection. Are we talking about 2011 or anytime?

MS. FAHERTY: I'm talking generally.
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A Not often, but maybe once or twice.
Q What are the two examples you're thinking of?
A I believe that Mr. Trump would speak to the CEO of my company, Brian Harris, occasionally before a loan closed or was signed up.
Q Can you give me an example of when that occurred?
A Think with the Trump Tower loan that occurred -- it may have happened with 40 Wall, as well, but I don't recall.
Q And what is your understanding as to why Mr. Trump
would speak with Brian Harris about a loan deal with Ladder Capital?
A They had a relationship that goes back many years and before a loan closes sometimes they'll have a chat.
$Q$ Do you know the substance of those chats?
A I don't.
Q Okay. You recall that Mr. Harris and Mr. Trump did speak in connection with the Trump Tower deal in 2012?
A I believe so, yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Can we pull up PX 649? Thank you. And, Your Honor, I neglected to move the prior exhibit in. I'd like to move that in subject to I'm aware Counsel have their standing objection.

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
Q Okay. Mr. Weisselberg, you've been handed a document
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that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 649. Do you recognize that e-mail address at the top in the "from" bar? Is that your e-mail address at Ladder Capital?

A Yes.
Q It's dated January 8, 2012; right?
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize the e-mail address
sportsalley@aol.com?
A Yes.
10 Q What is sportsalley@aol.com?
A That's my father's, Allen Weisselberg's, personal e-mail address.

Q It appears you're forwarding Mr. Allen Weisselberg a message between you and Brian Harris; right?

A Correct.
MS. FAHERTY: Okay. Can we scroll down further?
We're going to go to the underlying bottom e-mail.
Okay, there we go.
Q Looking at the bottom of this first page do you see on January 7, 2012, 10:50 p.m. there appears to be a message to Brian Harris. My understanding is it's from you, but the e-mail header is gone and it says, "quick FYI," dot, dot, dot, "I spoke to DT when he landed in Florida on Friday night and he was congratulating me on getting such a prize. Seems like he's done as far as he knows. Could not have been nicer to me or more
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 complimen | 1 spoke with Donald Trump in Florida |
| 2 MR. FAHERTY: Actually, if we go back one message | 2 A Reading this e-mail, now I do. |
| 3 it will give us our context. Go further underneath. Sorry, | 3 Q Thank you. Okay, we can move on. Thank you. |
| 4 Ashley. Further. Great | 4 And do you recall that as a result of that exchange you |
| 5 Q Okay. A message from you, do you see at the very back? | 5 and Brian Harris were of the understanding that the Trump Tower |
| 6 A | 6 deal was going |
| 7 Q To Brian Harris, Pamela McCormack, Greta Guggenheim, | 7 A That was our understanding. |
| 8 Michael Pasadon, Ed Peterson, Micah Goodman, and David Traitel. | 8 Q |
| 9 Those are all employees at Ladder Capital? | 9 Do you recall how you were aware that Donald Trump was |
| 10 A At the time | 10 going to be calling Brian Harris such that you were able to |
| 11 Q | 11 e-ma |
| 122012 | 12 A |
| 13 A | 13 Q Would Mr. Trump have informed you that he was going to |
| 14 Q And within the body of this message you're discussing | 14 be calling Brian Harris? |
| 15 the subject "Trump Tower." It says, "They're not ready to rate | 15 A No. |
| 16 lock today." We ju | 16 Q Is that something you would have learned from possibly |
| A | 17 Allen Weis |
| 18 Q And further into the message it says, "Also, Brian, | 18 A Prob |
| 19 Donald may call you. Not sure if he did already. FYI, he will | 19 Q He would have informed you of such things possibly? |
| 20 probably elect to take a lower loan amount if we need him to, | 20 A Ye |
| $21$ | 21 MS. FAHERTY: I'm going to move on to 65 |
| 22 Are you informing Brian Harris in this message that | 22 Your Honor, I'd like to move that prior exhibit |
| 23 Donald | 23 MR. ROBERT: I would object first on the statute of |
| 24 MR. ROBERT: Objection. It's hearsay. It's coming | 24 limitations; and secondly, it's hears |
| 25 from an e-mail from someone that's not on the stand relaying | 25 THE COURT: Statute of limitations objection |
| J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page | J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 1799 |
| 1 somethin | uled. Hearsay objection, it's not to be -- I won't |
| 2 It's not for the truth of the matter asserted. I think | 2 consider it for the truth of its contents, just for notice. |
| 3 they're trying to establish the truth of matter asserted. | 3 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Hono |
| 4 Q Did you in fact send an e-mail | hereupon, the item previously referred to is |
| 5 THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. Are you withdrawing | 5 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 649 in |
| 6 that question? | 6 evidence.) |
| 7 MS. FAHERTY: I can withdraw the question. | 7 Q Mr. Weisselberg, you testified that you were aware that |
| 8 THE COURT: Okay. | 8 in fact you did at that time know you were going to have - |
| 9 Q Did you, in fact, send this e-mail that stated Donald | 9 secure the Trump Tower deal between Ladder and the Trump |
| 10 may call Brian | 10 Organization; right? |
| 11 A Yes. | 11 A We were -- the understanding was we were going to win |
| 12 Q Any reason to believe you didn't send this message? | 12 the deal. |
| 13 A No. | 13 Q Did you have an understanding at that time that |
| 14 Q Any reason to believe you didn't have those thoughts in | 14 Mr. Trump was the necessary approval for that transaction to go |
| 5 your mind that Donald J. Trump might be calling Brian Harris | 15 forward? |
| 6 when you sent this message | 16 A |
| A No. | 17 Q Is it your general understanding that |
| 18 Q And is it your understanding Donald J. Trump did in | 18 Mr. Donald J. Trump had to approve every transaction that the |
| 19 fact call Brian Harris? | 19 Trump Organization did with Ladder? |
| 20 A T | 20 A I don't recall the smaller ones. I know on the larger |
| 21 MS. FAHERTY: Let's scroll up further to the | 21 ones, yes. I'm not sure about all of them. |
| 22 earlier exchange. Right there. | 22 Q Which smaller ones are you referring to? |
| 23 Q January 7, 10:50. Brian Harris writes: "I spoke to DT | 23 A The Trump International Hotel and Tower Commercial and |
| 24 when he landed in Florida on Friday night." | 24 the Trump Plaza Commercial. |
| 25 You did in fact have an understanding that Brian Harris | 25 Q So is it your testimony right now as you're sitting |


| J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 1800 | J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) <br> Page 1802 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 here today that you're unaware that you do not know Mr. Trump | 1 statements are generally correct. |
| 2 was required to approve every loan transaction? | 2 Now, what are we doing her |
| 3 A I don't recall | 3 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I can withdraw. I can |
| 4 Q A | thdraw the 9 |
| 5 | 5 THE COURT: Okay |
| 6 She is leading the witness. He's appearing here as their | MS. FAHERTY: I |
| 7 | 7 Q I'm going to hand up to the witness an exhibit |
| 8 MS | 8 identified a |
| 9 answer. | 9 MS. FAHERTY: Can we pull that back? I think I |
| 10 MR. ROBERT: No, that was a cross examinatio | 10 handed you the wrong -- thank you, Your Hono |
| 11 | 11 Q All right. We have 650 up on the screen. You have 650 |
| 12 | 12 in front of y |
| 13 Mr. Robert. I think it was a clarifying question for his | 13 A Yes. |
| 14 particular | 14 Q This is an e-mail between you and Greta Guggenheim |
| 15 THE COURT: I generally allow clarifications, | 15 dated January 10, 2012? |
| 16 overruled, but be car | 16 A |
| 17 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I appreciate that. | 17 Q And I'll draw your attention to the underlying message |
| 18 Q | 18 from you to Greta at 11:27 p.m.. Do you recall -- well, I'll |
| 19 A No. I think I understand it. I don't recal | 19 read it. "We should have their comments to app and rate lock |
| 20 specifically if he had to sign off on every loan. | 20 agreement tomorrow, but they are skittish on a CMBS loan." |
| 21 Q Okay | 21 What is a CMBS loan? |
| 22 | 22 A It's a commercial mortgage back security, so that's a |
| all meeting with my office previously where a | 23 securitiza |
| 24 member of the Attorney General, the Attorney General's Office <br> 25 asked you some questions, there was a court reporter there, you | 24 Q So this is an example of a loan that has been 25 securitized, yes? |
| J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 1801 | J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 1803 |
| S | 1 A That's going to be securitized. That's our |
| 2 occurring? | 2 Q Got it. And then it goes on: "Ivanka (with Jared |
| A No. | 3 Kushner's input) has made him rethink things. I tried to |
| Q You don't recall meeting with my office before? | 4 explain the differences $\mathrm{BW}^{\prime \prime}$-- is that between? |
| 5 A Not in your office. We did it over Zo | 5 A |
| 6 Q Over Zoom, sorry. I should | 6 Q "Between a low leverage CMBS loan and a 100 percent |
| Do you recall giving testimony to members of my office? | 7 financing CMBS loan where one overpays. He gets it, but he is |
| 8 A Yes. | 8 deciding. He is also nervous about Gucci's rent becoming public |
| $9 \quad$ Q And it was sworn testimony | 9 knowledge, as he tends to embellish from time to time. Anyway, |
| 10 A Yes | 10 they're going to negotiate the app and rate lock agreement to be |
| Q You raised your hand, you gave an oath | 11 ready, but are on the fence right now." |
| would testify truthfully | 12 Do you recall sending that message to Greta Guggenheim |
| 13 MR. ROBERT: Ob | 13 on January 12, 2012? |
| Y: I'm just following your model. | 14 MR. KISE: Your Honor, we've been going quite a |
| MR. ROBERT: He's their own witness in their case | 15 while. I just want to raise the significant relevance |
| ief | 16 objection here. This is 2012. What does this have to do |
| ement which is where I think she is going, even though I | 17 with anything? Are they going to tie this up somehow? |
| 18 don't know what it is. They can't impeach their own | 18 These loans aren't even at issue in any shape, form or |
| ness. They called them in their case as a direct | 19 fashion in the case by any |
| 20 witness. | 20 THE COURT: Well, you know me, I tend to give some |
| ng to refresh the witness's | 21 leeway. I hope there will be a connection and, as I've said |
| llection. I first want to make sure -- | 22 many times, the statute of limitations limits claims, not |
| MR. KISE: That's clearly not what she's doing, | 23 evidence. |
| clearly. | 24 Also, I think these -- this testimony may be |
| 25 THE COURT: I mean, I think the defendants' | 25 relevant to the requested equitable relief, so I'm going to |

1 allow it for now.

MR. KISE: Let me just pause there and clarify that, Your Honor. How could conduct that's outside the statute of limitations be relevant to any relief in the case? The Court can't consider things -- then you're going to consider things from 1990, 1995, 2000, 2005? I mean, it becomes limitless at that point.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to set a particular time limit, but 6312 refers to a pattern and $I$-- the acts would now be subject to a claim, but the pattern I think who would be? We could brief that, we could discuss that.

MR. KISE: I mean, respectfully, Your Honor, I would disagree. The pattern that has to be established is a pattern within the limitations period. Otherwise, 6312 could reach back to 1985 . I mean, it becomes an arbitrary limit which I think is the precise reason we have limitations periods, but if we want to take that up at a different time, that's fine. My only objection now is it's seemingly very irrelevant.

THE COURT: Well, out of an abundance of caution, I'll let her continue. Yes, it's an interesting question to what extent pre-limitations actions are -- could be encompassed with an examination of, quote, "persistent fraud." I think, again, it's the question of the claim. When you look -- I don't know. We'll have to talk about

What is that a reference to being nervous about Gucci's rent becoming public knowledge? Is that in any way connected to a CMBS loan?

A Gucci was the major tenant at Trump Tower.
Q Okay. And what does it mean in this message about becoming nervous to Gucci's rent becoming public knowledge?
A I don't recall specifically what I was referring to in this e-mail.
Q Is there something about a CMBS loan that would make financial information like rent from a tenant in a building becoming public knowledge?
A Yes.
Q And what is that?
A It's a public offering, so the documents for all large
loans, usually the top ten, sometimes the top 20 are released publicly.
Q So information about rent in a building would
potentially be publicly available in connection with the CMBS loan?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Thank you.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this exhibit into evidence, please?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
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1 that. I'll allow this for now with the objection on the

20 CMBS loan and "they are skittish." Is it "they are skittish" a

23 Q And later on you make a statement "he's also nervous
23 Q And later on you make a statement "he's also nervous
24 about Gucci's rent becoming public knowledge. As he tends to 25 embellish from time to time."

MS. FAHERTY: And I'll try to move faster, Your Honor. I appreciate what we're all trying to get through here.
Q Just, again, turning your attention to -- I don't know if there was a question pending. I lost it.

The question was do you recall sending that message to Greta Guggenheim on January 22, 2012?
A Reading it now clearly, I did, but I don't recall the e-mail.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: Apparently there are cases that are -or least a case that specifically held that examining conduct pursuant to 6312 can include pre-limitations behavior, and I can get that cite at some point. Sorry to interrupt.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q So in the body of this message you're referring to a reference to the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
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(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 650 in evidence.)

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you. You can put that down. Q Was that your general understanding that the Trump Organization had concerns about financial information becoming public in connection with any of the Ladder loan transactions?

MR. KISE: Objection. At what time period? I mean, anytime period?

MS. FAHERTY: General understanding.
A Yes, I recall it being public was a concern.
Q Was that your understanding throughout the time period that you've been working on the Trump Organization loans?

A Not sure.
Transcript continues on the following page....

| 1 | THE COURT: So let's agree with if there's no |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | indication of a time period, it refers to all the time or |
| 3 | just generally. |
| 4 | MR. KISE: So again, at any point without any |
| 5 | specificity? Just okay. I think it's objectionable, but |
| 6 | you're the judge. |
| 7 | THE COURT: I think commonsensically it is limited |
| 8 | by the time period in issue which may be a long time period |
| 9 | I grant. |
| 10 | Q We spoke earlier about a loan on Central Park South in |
| 11 | or about 2017. You didn't recall the time period, right? |
| 12 | A Correct. |
| 13 | Q Okay. Tell me what you recall about that particular |
| 14 | loan? |
| 15 | A It was a short-term balance sheet loan and they had a |
| 16 | property that was unencumbered meaning it had no debt on it and |
| 17 | they came to us for a loan. |
| 18 | Q Which property was that? |
| 19 | A This was located at -- on Central Park South, on Sixth |
| 20 | Avenue. |
| 21 | Q It was the property located on Central Park South, on |
| 22 | Sixth Avenue? |
| 23 | A Yes. |
| 24 | Q So if I say "CPS," would you agree that that's our |
|  | parlance for that same property, yes? |
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A Sure. Yes.
2 Q Do you recall how much that loan was for?
3 A It was 25 million.
4 Q 25 million?
5 A Yeah.
6 Q What is your understanding as to the need for that loan?
A My understanding at the time was that they had a
settlement that they needed to pay, so they took an unencumbered asset and we gave them 25 million for it.

Q You said it was a short-term loan. How long was the term of that loan?

A 12 months.
Q 12 months?
A Yes.
Q What is your understanding as to why it was a
short-term loan?
A At the time, they were waiting for two apartment units to sell at another property and they thought they would have closed in time for the settlement and when they realized it could not or may not work, they just came to us us. So they knew those two units were going to sell shortly, so they needed a short-term bridge loan.
Q When you say "short-term bridge loan," is that to free 25 up cash?

A It was to provide cash.
Q Provide cash, okay.
Did you say what settlement that was for?
A I did not say.
Q Do you have any understanding as to what settlement that money was going towards?

A I believe it was Trump University.
Q Thank you.
Did you have any understanding at this time that there were cash issues related to their liquidity?

A The understanding that we had was that they had recourse obligations to another lender that limited the amount of cash that they could access. They had to maintain a liquidity requirement and so that was the main thing that was holding them up as well as these two units that had not sold yet.

Q Do you recall who that lender was?
A I believe it was Deutsche Bank.
Q What specifically was the cash issue at Deutsche Bank that you understood?

A As I just mentioned they had a liquidity requirement with debt that they had with them meaning they had to maintain a certain liquidity level. I never knew what that number was, but if they had dipped into cash there was a chance that they could triplex a default on that loan and that they didn't want to do
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## that.

Q So in order to get the bridge loan, they avoided triggering a default on their liquidity requirement at that time?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. It is another one of the leading questions that they want to have a summary of something. They can ask a non-leading question and get an answer, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Rephrase it, so that there's no issue about whether it's leading or not.

MS. FAHERTY: I was just rephrasing his words. So I can withdraw, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. FAHERTY: I think the point is made. Thank you.

THE COURT: Withdrawn.
Q Let's turn your attention to 40 Wall Street and that loan transaction, please.

A Okay.
Q Do you recall how that loan came to you?
A I don't recall if I approached my father, if he
approached me, but we had a conversation.
Q So you don't know who brought it to whom, but there was a conversation between you and Mr. Allen Weisselberg; fair to say?

## A Correct.

Q Do you remember what broadly those initial discussions entailed?
A We had discussed the current loan that they had and the maturity date and certain dates that were in important on that loan and, you know, I mentioned that I think we could provide a loan here.
Q Did you have an understanding at that time what that "then current loan" was that you were discussing?
A Yes. They had a loan with Capital One.
Q What do you recall about the particulars of that
Capital One loan that prompted the discussion with Mr. Allen
Weisselberg about what, if any, financing or refinancing Ladder could provide?
A The loan they had at Capital One had a unique feature of amortization paydown of $\$ 5$ million and we were -- they wanted to decide whether it was better for them to just make that payment and stay with their current loan or maybe get a new loan with a lower rate.

Q Did you discuss the ground lease at all with regards to 40 Wall in those initial conversations?
A I don't think initially.
Q Are you aware that there is a ground lease?
A Eventually we got there, yes.
Q What do you know about the ground lease at 40 Wall?

Q Do you recall looking at any initial projections with regards to that initial discussion?
A Yes.
Q What projections do you recall looking at?
A I don't recall the specifics, but typically we would receive a rent roll and operating statements.

MS. FAHERTY: Let's pull up 1290. Did we keep it or did you give it back to me? No. You gave it back to me. Thank you.

Your Honor, I marked for identification Plaintiff's Exhibit PX-10290.
Q I put that on your screen in front of you as well, Mr.
Weisselberg. Appears to be a message from Allen Weisselberg, your father, right?
A Yes.
Q To you on April 9, 2015, yes?
A Yes.
Q And this is a forward with the subject Trump Mar31, 2015, March 31, 2015, yes?
A Yes.
Q "Derivatives valuation report from Chatham Financial." Do you see that there?
A I do.
Q And there is an attachment with a title
"Trump31-Mar-2015valuation.xls". Do you see it?
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A The ground lease was owned by a third party, I believe it was a family in Germany, and there was a rent reset that comes due in, I believe, 2032 and it is based on the fair market value of the ground lease and six percent of that would be the payment. So we knew that the current ground rent that had contractual increases until then would go up to a number that we couldn't determine for sure at the time of the loan.
Q And sorry. I should ask this clarifying question.
Does the Trump Organization or Mr. Donald J. Trump own 40 Wall in fee simple?
A It's a lease fee.
Q And it is this ground lease that controls that ownership arrangement?
A Correct. They own the building and somebody else owns the dirt.
Q Thank you.
Do you remember the initial general terms that you and Mr. Allen Weisselberg were initially discussing when you first contemplated a re-fi of the Capital One loan with Ladder?
A We were talking about a ten-year CMBS loan. I believe it was 160 million. That's all that I recall in the initial conversations.
Q Do you recall possibly discussing the rating if you had securitized the loan, what those ratings might be?
A Initially, no. But eventually, yes.
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A Yes.
Q This is your father forwarding you a message from Chatham Financial, correct?

A Correct.
Q Who is Chatham Financial?
A Chatham Financial is a third-party provider that borrowers and lenders use for a few different devices. One is to buy rate caps and in this case to figure out what prepayment penalty would cost.

Q And what is the point of sending this message regarding what a prepayment penalty might be?

A So when we -- when they were deciding when the Trump Organization was deciding whether to make the amortization payment or to get a new loan, the process of getting a new loan, they would have to make a prepayment penalty to Capital One. So they hired Chatham to find out what that prepayment penalty would look like.

Q And this is just Mr. Weisselberg Allan forwarding it to you, so that you could have a further discussion about it, yes?

A Correct.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and also I'm not sure if there is an attachment because there is

| 1 | a second document that says "document provided natively" and |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2 | whatever is coming from valuation reports would be hearsay. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | MS. FAHERTY: I'm not putting it in for the truth |
| 4 | of the matter asserted. I'm putting it in for notice that |
| 5 | this was information the Trump Organization had and |
| 6 | forwarded to Mr. Jack Weisselberg. |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. Statute of limitations overruled |
| 8 | and hearsay. Granted except to the extent that it is being |
| 9 | introduced to prove notice. |
| 10 | MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I can have our consultant |
| 11 | put the street which is the attachment to this document on |
| 12 | the screen. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Let's see that. |
| 14 | MS. FAHERTY: So we can see it. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Granted. It is in. |
| 16 | (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as |
| 17 | Plaintiff's Exhibit 1290.) |
| 18 | Q Do you recognize that this is the Excel spreadsheet? |
| 19 | It says, "Chatham Financial" here? |
| 20 | A $\quad$ It says "Chatham Financial," yes. |
| 21 | Q Any reason to believe that this is not the attachment |
| 22 | that was included to this message? |
| 23 | A No. |
| 24 | Q Okay. |
| 25 | MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit subject |
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to all of the objections I imagine.
THE COURT: It is in. MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
THE COURT: Including the attachment.
MR. ROBERT: It is for notice only correct.
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Yes. Probably a good moment for a break at this point, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. 15 minutes. We'll take a
10-minute-break.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
THE COURT: So let's continue with the direct examination of Mr. Jack Weisselberg.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Weisselberg, during your discussions of the 40 Wall loan transaction, do you recall there came a point in time when you discussed with Jeff McConney information related to the ground lease?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall at any point reviewing formal, potentially legal documents?

A I remember we reviewed the actual ground lease.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I marked for
identification the plaintiff's next Exhibit PX-00635.
Q I put it on the screen, Mr. Weisselberg, plaintiff's
exhibit. Does this appear to be a message from Jeff McConney at the Trump Organization to you on April 10, 2015?

A Yes.
Q Is there also a copy on this message to Allen
Weisselberg at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q The subject is "forward:40 Wall Street ground lease" and there appears to be an attachment, yes?
A Yes.
Q The attachment is 20 -- well, I don't have to
represent to you. It is on the screen.
"20100720103907533.tif," do you see that?
A Yes.
Q In this message, Jeff McConney appears to be writing you, "Jack, attached is the 4th loan modification along with summary which talk about how to compute the FMV rent a few years from now." Then it looks like there is an emoticon smiley face, right?

A Yes.
Q What is FMV rent?
A Fair market value rent.
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Q Okay. And did you have any understanding as to what a 4th loan modification along with a summary was?
A It was actually a typo. It is 4th lease modification.
Q 4th lease modification, okay.
Did you understand that this message about 4th lease modification related to the 40 W all Street ground lease?

A Yes.
Q And then I'll ask you to turn to the next page in your document in front of you, plaintiff's exhibit. Do you recognize that page right there?
A Yes.
Q What do you recognize that to be?
A This is the fourth amendment to the ground lease discussing the rent reset.
Q And this is a document you reviewed that Jeff McConney sent to you with regards to the 40 Wall Street?

A I perused it, but the underwriting team reviewed it.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move this exhibit into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: On the ground?
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations. THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 635.)
$1 Q$ Turning your attention to this summary page, you agree with me there is a note under the title, "Resume of 4th
Modification of Ground Lease on 40 Wall Street New York City"?
A Yes.
Q The note says, "This resume represents a brief summary of any of the important provisions of the 4th modification of the lease," yes?

A Yes.
Q And the date of the loan modification -- the lease modification appears is December 31, 2007 ?

A Correct.
Q The landlord is identified?
A Yes.
Q Is the tenant identified?
A Yes.
Q The tenant is 40 Wall Street, L.L.C.?
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize that tenant, 40 Wall Street, L.L.C.?
A Yes.
Q Who do you recognize 40 Wall Street, L.L.C. to be?
A That was the owner of the building.
Q Is the 40 Wall Street, L.L.C. affiliated with the Trump
Organization?
A Yes.
$25 \quad Q$ Do you see further down on the page there is a topic
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header, "Provisions of the Fourth Modification"?
A Y88es.
Q Do you see at point two, it says, "Revised Section
2.05A to provide for rent valuation as of January 1, 2033 at the greater of $a$, six percent the then value of the land considered as vacant and unimproved, but with the right to construct a 900,000 square-foot building for $X$, office purposes, and $Y$, ground floor retail space or other retail space in the building which existed after January 1, 2028 or B, 85 percent" -- it says " $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$, but would it be a fair interpretation that it should be "of"?

A I believe so.
$Q$ "The then rental." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Was this provision relevant to you in the underwriting of the 40 Wall transaction?

A Yes.
Q Turn to the next page. Do you see that second provision there that says, "condominium conversion right"?

A Yes.
Q "Paragraph four eliminates the tenant's condominium conversion rights which it had under Section 27.09." Do you see that there?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: If we move to -- it is identified as
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5 Q Which states, "In consideration of the elimination of 6 Section 27.09 of the lease, landlord and tenant agree that if in 7 the future tenant is of the opinion that the area and times 8 favor the construction of residential units which may be 9 condominium units and tenant desires to create a residential 10 condominium for the sale of units to the public, tenant may propose revision of the lease to permit the conversion to condominium ownership for the sale of such residential units provided, however, that in no event shall landlord have any obligation to agree with or take any action to effectuate such condominium conversion and landlord's determination to permit any such conversion shall be at landlord's sole and unfettered discretion." That was included in this document, yes?
A Yes.
Q Then one more page forward, do you recognize
the -- the signature at the very bottom of this document?
A Yes.
Q Whose signature do you recognize that to be?
A That's Donald J. Trump's.
Q Donald J. Trump, the man sitting over at that table there?

## A No.

Q He was there, right?
A At some point.
Q That signature for Donald J. Trump is associated with 40 Wall Street, L.L.C., yes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. We can put that down. I handed up to you a document marked as Plaintiffs Exhibit P X-01 109. Let me know when you see that in front of you.

A Yeah.
Q I'm going to ignore a lot of the bottom of this e-mail --

## A Thank you.

Q -- because I think it is just irrelevant, personal
information, personal communications, but looking at the message
16 from you to Allen Weisselberg dated April 16, 2015 at
17 10:29 a.m., that's you e-mailing your father, Mr. Allen
18 Weisselberg, yes?
A Correct.
Q And then you have -- he responds to you, "Not too shabby. Let's get the rate and answer on the ground lease, so we could move the process," yes?
A Yes.
Q And then further up in the chain, you inform Mr. Allen
25 Weisselberg that you're "sitting down tomorrow with our head of
large loans and then probably Brian and Mazzei on Monday," yes?
A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: For the court reporter, that's
M-A-Z-Z-E-I.
Q The Brian referenced here, who is that?
A That's Brian Harris.
7 Q Mr. Mazzei or Mrs. Mazzei referenced here, who is that?
8 A That's Mike Mazzei.
9 Q There is reference here to the head of large loans.
Who was that?
A I don't know exactly. I'm not sure. We actually had a head of large loans. It was probably David Henschke who was our head of securitization.

Q Thank you. So possibly discussing the securitization of the 40 Wall Street loan? Is that what that's a reference to?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And the reference in the underlying message from Allen Weisselberg, "Let's get the rate and the answer on the ground lease." What is that a reference to, to your understanding?
A With the rate, we wanted to know what their interest rate would be based on the cash-free loan and our understanding of the loan. And the answer on the ground lease is making sure it was financeable, securitizeable and figuring out what we thought the rent was going to be when it reset.
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Q And can you talk a little bit more about that, why would that be a concern?
A Well, the ground lease is part of the operating
expenses for the property, so it affected the net cash flow and in this case as I had mentioned earlier, the ground lease was resetting to a number that we thought would be much higher. So it was important to figure out what we figured it would be 17 or 18 years later.
Q Does that mean 40 Wall Street, L.L.C. once the ground lease reset would potentially have higher rent exposure that it would have to pay at the time of that reset; is that right?

A The ground rent could potentially be higher, yes.
Q And that was a concern to you for what reason?
A It would affect the net cash flow and our loan dollars are based on the net cash flow of the property.

Q Would it also impact the loan beyond the current contemplated financing project?
A It would only affect that, but because of that, it affects our loan.

Q Understood. Thank you. You could put that to the side.

Just to clarify as well, does that ground lease reset also impact the rating agency's LTVs?
A Yes.
25 Q In what way? and that cash flow includes what the ground rent payment will be.
(Continued on the next page.)

And would you agree with me this appears to be another group of individuals who all work at Ladder Capital at that time?

A Yes.
Q And the subject is 40 Wall Street?
A Correct.
Q And looking at the names included on the cc line, do you have any understanding as to who that group of individuals includes?

A Yes.
Q Who are they?
A Craig Robertson was the underwriter on the deal, Mike Mazzei was our president at the time. David Henschke was our head of securitization, Ed Peterson was our head trader, Greta Guggenheim was our CIO at that time, Pamela McCormack was our
general counsel at that time, and Matthew Jacobs was our head of CMBS underwriting at that time.

Q And this grouping of individuals, are they a particular group at Ladder? Would there be a reason for writing to this group?

A I believe that most of them made up the committee that would approve the loan, not all of them.

Q And is there a name for that committee?
A For this one it would be Conduit Committee.
Q Conduit?
A Conduit.
Q Okay, great. Thank you.
So in this message, and you don't have any reason to doubt this, is a message authored by you; correct?

A Correct.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'm going to ask
questions about this, so I want to avoid missing it. Move to admit this document.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence. (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 647 in evidence.)

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q So turning your attention to the first paragraph,
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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1

Mr. Weisselberg. You mentioned how you're going to discuss the 40 Wall Street transaction for Trump.
"We sat down today to discuss the 40 Wall Street transaction for Trump. The proposed loan of $\$ 160$ million ( 137 dollars per SF" or square foot, "excluding the ground lease, $\$ 369$ dollars per square foot, including the ground lease) with a real LTV of approximately 35 to 40 percent and a DSCR of 2.32X at closing and a debt yield of 14.02 percent at closing, will take out the current $\$ 160$ million seven-year IO loan that they currently have with Capital One."

So is this what we talked about earlier, the financial arrangement that the Trump Organization currently had in place that Mr. Allen Weisselberg was seeking to refinance with Ladder?

A Last part of that sentence, yes.
Q Sure. And DSCR, what does DSCR stand for?
A That's debt service coverage ratio.
Q What is a debt service coverage ratio?
A That is when you take a loan constant which is using
the interest rate and the amortization schedule and you divide it by the net cash flow of the property to figure out what the coverage is, meaning how much cash flow there is from the property to pay the debt service.

Q And IO, what is IO?
A Interest only.
Q Okay. All right. And it goes on further to state the
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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1 loan has acquired $\$ 5$ million amortization payment in November of 2015, right?

A Yes.
Q And the reference to that loan, am I reading it
correctly, is it your understanding that that loan is a reference to the Capital One loan, yes?

A Correct.
Q Okay. The sentence continues, "alternatively for Trump
they can make that same $\$ 5$ million payment to break the (swap prepayment penalty,) which would be a tax deductible payment. So a new loan would reduce his rate from 5.71 percent to a low to mid 3's rate, extend his loan for ten years and allow him to recover his prepayment penalty in a little more than one year. Of note, he will be coming out of pocket for the prepayment penalty."

What is that reference to, that grouping of sentences I just read, the "alternatively for Trump?"

A So this was mentioning why our loan would make sense for them and that if they decided not to make the amortization payment to Capital One and keep that loan outstanding that they would come to us for a new loan and this would be the alternative where they would lower their rate and the prepayment penalty they would be able to recover that just in saving on debt service over what I said was a little more than a year.

Q And do you recall -- how did you form that
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understanding about what alternatives that they had that they were exploring?

A We did a pre-sizing, sort of underwriting to figure out what the loan payment would be assuming our rate was in the lowto mid-three percent and figured out how long it would take them to recover that prepayment penalty.

Q Got it. And the e-mail goes on. The next paragraph begins, "the rub on the deal is the ground lease." What did you mean by that?

A Every deal that we do has a risk, if not more, and in this situation the biggest risk or something that made it unique was the ground lease reset.

Q For all the reasons we are just discussing a moment ago, yes?
A Correct.
$Q$ Great. And the very end of that paragraph it begins with the sentence "we can get into the details if you want to call, if you would like, but we have gotten comfortable with that risk and David and Craig believe we can get a BBB rating on the loan with the majority of the rating agencies."

What is that a reference to, the BBB rating with the majority of the rating agencies?
$A$ So that would be a triple $B$ rating, which is investment grade. So we would be just letting him know that we thought that our worst case would be having the lowest end of an
investment grade loan which would be a triple $B$ rating and the agencies that we use at the time I believe were Standard \& Poor's and Fitch. So we did an analysis of how we thought they would look at our cash flow and we were able to back into at worst case a triple B.

Q So still contemplating whether you could securitize the loan and if so, what it would look like?

A Well, we knew we could securitize the loan. It was a question of whether we could get investment grade treating and this was saying we believe that we would.
Q Great, thank you.
And then you state "please let us know your thoughts or if you would like to have a conversation later today. I was planning on sending them a one-page term sheet after we finalize our quote and we could go from there."

Is it a fair reading that at this point you were
prepared to issue a term sheet on the 40 Wall Street loan but had not yet done it?

A We were preparing but we needed Brian sign off before we did that. So this was the initial e-mail to let him know how we felt and if he wanted to have a discussion about it, then we could discuss it and we were hoping to be able to issue a term sheet.
Q Do you recall if there was any further discussion after this message?
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A I'm sure there was.
Q Okay. You can put that to the side.
MS. FAHERTY: Okay. I've marked for identification plaintiff's next exhibit, PX-00648. I put it on the screen as well. And I'll turn your attention to very bottom second page of this e-mail. You can zoom out a little bit.
A You mean the top? The top of the second page?
Q I'm just drawing your attention to the bottom message on that second page and I'll just ask does this look like the message we were just looking at a moment ago?

A Yes.
Q Yes, okay.
A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: So zooming out from there and you can now go to the front page.
Q Does this e-mail thread appear to be a continuation of overview you were providing to Brian Harris in order to be able to issue the term sheet on the 40 Wall Street loan?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And Mr. Harris did in fact have some questions, yes?

A He said okay with me. And then he just mentioned that he thinks that they're going to want to rate lock.
Q But otherwise you -- Mr. Harris indicated to you it would be okay for you to issue the term sheet, yes?
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1 A Yes.
2 Q Okay. And very top message of this thread is an e-mail from Mike Mazzei to you, yes?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And Brian Harris, yes?
6 A I don't believe he was on this e-mail -- oh, yes, he's cc'd. Apologies. Yes, yes.

Q And he says "did we possibly get something done today. Okie doke;" right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Looking at your message, the one right below the okeydoke, you wrote a message to Michael Harris and Michael Mazzei at 4:54 p.m., same day, April 23rd. Do you see that there?

A Yes.
Q In that message you write, "Okay, they would prefer to close in July instead of November."

Is that a reference to the Trump Organization?
A Correct.
Q Do you recall as you sit here today that the Trump
Organization was interested in closing the 40 Wall Street transaction in July instead of November?

A I don't. I recall reading the e-mail, but I don't recall that part of this.

Q Any reason to doubt that at the time that you wrote
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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this e-mail that that was your understanding?
A No.
Q And your message continues, "And not increase the spread by ten BPS" or BPS, right?

A Basis points, yes.
Q Basis points, yes. Thank you.
"In July, their prepayment penalty is 6 million as
opposed to 5 million in November, so we quoted 161 million,
giving them the difference in loan proceeds;" right? You wrote that?

A Yes.
Q And then next it says, "Donald is on board and ready to go." Is that Donald J. Trump?

A Yes.
Q And then it says, "Ivanka and Don, Jr. are back on
Monday and will be notified then."
Who is the Ivanka referenced here?
A Donald J. Trump's daughter.
Q Is that Ivanka Trump?
A Yes.
Q And the Don, Jr. Who is that a reference to?
A That's Donald Trump, Jr., Donald's son.
Q So this statement, "Ivanka and Don, Jr. are back on
Monday and will be notified then," is that a reference to Ivanka
and Don, Jr. will be notify of the information contained in this
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 particular paragraph here about the 40 Wall transaction? | 1 Is it your understanding that the borrower was 40 W |
| 2 A I don't recall specifically, but reading it, that's the | 2 Street LLC? |
| 3 assumption I would make, but I'm not sure. | 3 A Correct. |
| 4 Q You don't have any reason to doubt the words that you | 4 Q Mr. Weisselberg's letter continues: |
| 5 wrote that these individuals will be notified then, do you? | 5 "Please be reminded that as we agreed, the lender will |
| 6 A No. | 6 not incur any third-party fees and expenses until I advise you |
| 7 MS. FAHERTY: Okay. We can move on from that | 7 to go ahead with third-party reports." Do you see that there? |
| 8 document, Your Honor. | 8 A Yes. |
| 9 Your Honor, I move to admit, please. | 9 Q What is your understanding of that sentence "to not |
| 10 THE COURT: It's in | 10 incur any third-party fees and expenses until I advise you to go |
| 11 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor. | 11 ahead with third-party reports?" |
| 12 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is | 12 A Oftentimes a sponsor will sign an application and have |
| 13 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 648 in | 13 us get started on our underwriting but not incur legal fees or |
| 14 evidence.) | 14 hiring an appraiser or other third-party reports until they give |
| 15 MR. ROBERT: I just want my objection on the | 15 us the go ahead. |
| 16 record. | 16 Q Okay. And did you say appraisal fees? |
| 17 THE COURT: My only objection is the pronunciation | 17 A Yes. |
| 18 of o-k-i-e. | 18 Q So basically don't hire an appraiser to do anything |
| 19 I can't vouch for the spelling, but the expression. | 19 until I say it's okay? |
| 20 MS. FAHERTY: I do say okeydoke. | 20 A Correct. |
| 21 THE COURT: Where are you from? | 21 Q Got it. And it's signed, "very truly yours." Do you |
| 22 Q I've marked as Plaintiff's next exhibit PX-00626 and | 22 recognize the signature? |
| 23 handed it forward to the witness, so I'll give you a minute. | 23 A I |
| 24 We put it on the screen, Mr. Weisselberg. Let me know | 24 Q Whose signature is that? |
| 25 when you see it there. | 25 A Allen Weisselberg. |
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| 1 A Yes, got it. | 1 Q And at the very bottom it says there is an enclosure, |
| 2 Q Okay. Do you recognize this document on the screen? | 2 yes? |
| 3 A Yes. | 3 A Yes. |
| 4 Q What do you recognize it this to be? | 4 MS. FAHERTY: And turn the page. |
| 5 A This was the cover letter to the signed application I | 5 Q And then I'll just invite you to confirm whether you |
| 6 believe for the 40 Wall Street loan. | 6 recognize the enclosure attached to this letter? |
| 7 Q And this document is on 40 Wall Street LLC letterhead, | 7 A Yes. |
| 8 yes? | 8 Q What do you recognize this enclosure to be? |
| 9 A Correct. | 9 A This is our standard form application that was |
| 10 Q Did you have an understanding that the address for 40 | 10 personalized to this transaction. |
| 11 Wall Street LLC was at 725 Fifth Avenue, the 26th floor in New | 11 Q Okay. Is that also referred to as a term sheet? |
| 12 York, New York with the ZIP code 10022? | 12 A Yes. |
| 13 A Yes. | 13 Q Okay. |
| 14 Q And the name associated with 40 Wall Street LLC, is | 14 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this into |
| 15 that Allen Weisselberg as the executive vice president? | 15 evidence. |
| 16 A Correct. | 16 MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. |
| 17 Q And it's addressed to Ladder Capital Finance LLC. | 17 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. |
| 18 That's where you work; right? | 18 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is |
| 19 A Correct. | 19 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 626 in |
| 20 Q And the message is a proposed 161 million refinancing | 20 evidence.) |
| 21 of 40 Wall Street, New York, New York, yes? | 21 Q And turning your attention to the PDF page six? |
| 22 A Correct. | 22 MS. FAHERTY: It's 9517. |
| 23 Q And Mr. Allen Weisselberg writes to you: | 23 Q Do you recognize the signature at the bottom of that |
| 24 "Dear, Jack. Enclosed please find the term sheet for | 24 page? |
| 25 the above-referenced loan executed by the borrower." | 25 A Yes. |

$1 \quad$ Q Who do you recognize that signature to be?
2 A That's Donald J. Trump.
Q Okay. And Donald J. Trump on behalf of 40 Wall Street LLC?

A Correct.
Q As president?
A Yes.
Q And I'll draw your attention to the prior page, so ending in the number 9516, drawing your attention to the paragraph that is titled "Reserves." Do you see that there?

A Yes.
Q And was a term included within this term sheet under the "Reserves" paragraph that "the borrower will be required to establish at closing reserves for material, deferred maintenance and initial deposits into tax reserves. In addition, ongoing reserves will be collected for taxes and such other purposes as lender may be determine" -- "may determine to be necessary as a result of its due diligence review and underwriting.

In lieu of reserves for insurance, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, capital expenditures and ground lease payments, Donald J. Trump may provide a personal guaranty.

In lieu of reserves for free rent periods (at closing
only), Donald J. Trump will guaranty all outstanding free rent, which will burn off on a lease by lease basis when the respective tenant begins to pay full, unabated rent."
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Do you see that there?
A Yes.
Q Did you also have that understanding that as termed within this term sheet that Donald J. Trump was going to provide a personal guaranty with regards to free rent periods?
A Yes.
Q And was he also going to provide a personal guaranty in lieu of reserves for insurance, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, capital expenditures, and ground lease payments?

A Yes.
Q I'll just turn your attention to the Exhibit A, Closing Conditions document page.

A Okay.
Q And drawing your attention to paragraph 8. I'll read it and then I'll ask you a question.

Paragraph 8 states: "As a closing condition, the delivery of financial statements (including tax returns) from borrower and any guarantor, WeiserMazars LLP, will be acceptable to lender in connection with any accounting or reporting obligation in the loan documents requiring an acceptable accounting firm. The loan documents will provide that borrower's annual financial statement shall be audited by an acceptable accounting firm (i.e., WeiserMazars LLP.)" 4. Do you see that there?
A Yes.
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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Q And were they in fact a condition included in this term sheet at Exhibit 8 that the delivery of financial statements was apart of a closing condition? That's a bad question.

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you. Okay. We can move on from that document.
Q With regards to the guaranty is it fair to say based on what we were just looking at in that term sheet that the guaranty would be sufficient in lieu of reserves?

A Yes.
Q Any conditions on that guaranty? Was there
requirements about a certain amount of that guaranty for the -that to be in lieu of the reserves?

A The guaranty was going to be a specific number that they were guaranteeing.
Q And why is that?
A We were going to during diligence figure out exactly what those reserves would have been had it not been guaranteed and actually posted and have him guarantee that and typically we go a little bit higher because cash is always better than a guaranty, so the guaranty we typically make a little bit higher.

Q Great. Thank you. Appreciate that.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I've marked as plaintiff's next exhibit PX-01396. I've handed it up to the witness.

Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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1 Q Mr. Weisselberg, let me know when you have it in front of you.

A I do.
Q Okay. Does this appear to be an e-mail from you dated
May 19, 2015 at 11:46 a.m. to Allen Weisselberg and David Cohen?
6 A Yes.
7 Q And there is a copy to several names on this e-mail.
8 Mark Ableman. Who is Mark Ableman?
9 A He was our in-house transaction manager.
10 Q He worked at Ladder?
A At Ladder, correct.
Q Craig Robertson. We heard his name earlier. He was an underwriter at Ladder?

A Correct.
Q Was he the specific underwriter at the 40 Wall
transaction?
A He was the underwriter on the loan.
Q Michael Bette. Who is that?
A He was the junior underwriter on the loan.
Q Scott Weinberg?
A He was our outside counsel.
Q John Bauco?
A Bauco, yes. He was also outside counsel.
Q And Sarah S. Gochberg?
A Sarah is our closer on the loan.
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$1 \quad Q$ And the subject is 40 Wall; right?
2 A Correct.
3 Q And David Cohen. Is David Cohen somebody who works for Ladder Capital?

A No, he works for the Trump Organization.
Q And what is your understanding of who David Cohen is at the Trump Organization?

A He was in-house counsel.
Q And your message to Allen and David Cohen, it reads: "Allen/David, please confirm the following: One, at closing, Trump Corporation or an affiliate would sign a 15 -year master lease for the currently vacant 20,000 square foot retail space at a rent of 1.4 million in the event that a lease with Balducci or an acceptable replacement tenant has not been signed.

Upon the execution of the Balducci (or replacement) lease, the master lease will be terminated" -- "will terminated." You would agree with me there probably is a typo there?
A There is a couple typos there.
Q I'm trying.
"Additionally, Donald J. Trump will personally guarantee the lease until a new lease is signed."

Do you see that there?
A Yes.
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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Q Okay. What is a 15-year master lease for the vacant 20,000 square feet retail space?
A So a master lease is something we will use with sponsors if a lease is not signed yet for a vacant space, we will have the sponsor sign a master lease for that so that we can include that rent and as soon as the tenant actually takes the space and signs the lease, then that master lease will go away. And if it doesn't, then the master lease stays in place for the life of the loan. And in this case, five years beyond.
Q And explain to me what's a master lease.
A A master lease is when a sponsor signs a lease for a vacant space at their property.

Q So they're undertaking the obligation to make the payments on that space, is that fair?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And is this e-mail a reflection that on May 19, 2015, Ladder Capital, including you, had an understanding that Balducci was potentially going to be leasing the 20,000 square feet retail space referenced here?
A Potentially, yes.
Q Okay. And then there is a reference to a personal guaranty for the lease. Is that different than the master lease itself?
A The master lease is not always guaranteed. They'll
sign it and they'll be obligated to make those payments. We
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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1 went a step further and made them personally guarantee that he would pay the rent on that lease.

Q Got it. Thank you.
And then Point 2, it says: "You will send an
additional good-faith deposit of $\$ 75,000 ; "$ right?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall if you did in fact receive that $\$ 75,000$ ?
A I don't recall if we received it, but typically when
the legal bill starts to go above the deposit we have we ask
sponsors to put up more money to make sure we can cover it, so I assume they did pay it, but I don't recall.

Q And then number three you asked that they confirm that "we have your permission to move forward, without restriction, towards the closing of the loan (legal searches, insurance, etc.)" Do you see that there?

A Yes.
Q And why did you need that confirmation for permission to move forward without restriction toward the closing of the loan?
A This was in response to the cover letter on the
application that was signed that told us to wait on spending any
money until a certain point and we were confirming we were going to hire lawyers now, we'll need money.

Q And maybe appraisers, too?
A It doesn't mention it parenthetically here, but I would

Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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1 assume.
2 Q Okay. And then you write: "Please reply to this 3 e-mail with your confirmation that we are all on the same page 4 and will move towards a July 2, 2015 closing." You see that there?
A Yes.
7 Q Was it your understanding that you were moving towards
a July 2,2015 closing for the 40 Wall transaction?
A At that time, yes.
Q Do you see that black Sharpie notation in the middle section of the page towards the right side?

A Yes.
Q Do you recognize that black Sharpie marking?
A I do not.
Q Did you write "okay" on this document?
A No. This -- I actually never saw this document prior to prep because this was Allen Weisselberg's e-mail that was printed, so I would have received a response to this with
approval. That looks like it's an internal approval from the Trump side.

Q And I'll just ask you to turn to the second page of this document.

A Okay.
Q And reference you into the middle of this document.
25 This appears to be a wire transfer for about $\$ 75,000$; right?

| Page 1848 | JACK WEISSELBERG - DIR |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A Yes. <br> 2  Transcript continues on the following page.... <br> 3   <br> 4   <br> 5   <br> 6   <br> 7   <br> 8   <br> 9   <br> 10   <br> 11   <br> 12   <br> 13   <br> 14   <br> 15   <br> 16   <br> 17   <br> 18   <br> 19   <br> 21   <br> 23   <br> 24   <br> 25   | A Are you referring to the operating statement financials for the property or personal financials? <br> Q Personal financials. <br> A It came in hard copy. It was not e-mailed to us. <br> Q Did it include a cover page that had a title, <br> "Statement of Financial Condition"? <br> A I couldn't recall. <br> Q If I showed you an example of a Statement of Financial <br> Condition for Donald J. Trump, would you -- do you think you <br> would recognize it as something you've seen before? <br> A Possibly. It's been a while. <br> Q You made reference to receiving the personal financials and hard copy. Can you describe for me a little bit more about that? <br> A For a few of the transactions, if not all, they would messenger over the personal tax returns for Donald J. Trump as well as his personal financial statement. <br> MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I've marked as plaintiff's next exhibit PX-01059. I will just put it on the screen. <br> Q Mr. Weisselberg, drawing your attention, this is an e-mail from Jeff McConney. He is from the Trump Organization, right? <br> A Correct. <br> Q He provided you information, if you requested it, |
| Q And the originator info for it is 40 Wall Street <br> L.L.C., correct? <br> A Yes. <br> Q No reason to doubt that you did, in fact, receive your <br> $\$ 75,000$ good-faith deposit, right? <br> A Correct. <br> Q And no reason to doubt that this document does -- was, <br> in fact, confirmed to you at some subsequent point, right? <br> A This wire confirmation? <br> Q That you received the confirmation on the first cover page. <br> A Yes, no reason to doubt. <br> Q Okay. We can put that to the side. Thank you. <br> MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit the prior <br> exhibit, that exhibit. <br> MR. SUAREZ: Objection. Statute of limitations. <br> THE COURT: Overruled. It is in. <br> MS. FAHERTY: PX 1396. <br> (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as <br> Plaintiff's Exhibit 1396.) <br> Q Mr. Weisselberg, are you aware that in connection with <br> the 40 Wall transaction, you did, in fact, receive financials? <br> 23 A Yes. <br> 24 Q Can you describe broadly the form of the financials you <br> 25 received in connection with the loan transaction? | certain information from the Trump Organization, right? You said that before? <br> A Correct. <br> Q And dated May 22, 2014 to you. That's your e-mail address, right? <br> A Yes. <br> Q The subject is "DJT." Is that a reference to Donald J. <br> Trump? <br> A I believe so. <br> Q It says, "Jack, FYI, I'm sending over DJT'S tax returns and F/S to you today." Do you see that? <br> A Yes. <br> Q What was your understanding of what this message was conveying? <br> A That he was going to messenger over Donald J. Trump tax returns and financial statement to me that day. <br> Q And when you say "messenger over," is that a hard copy transmittal to you? <br> A Yes. <br> Q Did he personally deliver it or was there a courier <br> service they would use? <br> A I believe they would use an employee, but it was not Jeff McConney. <br> 24 Q A Trump Organization employee. <br> 25 A Yes. |
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## Q Was the Balducci's lease ever signed?

A I don't believe it was signed.
Q Do you have any understanding as whether -- as to
whether there was a different tenant that they were seeking to place in that same space as identified for Balducci's?
A Yes they were looking at Dean \& DeLuca.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I marked for identification Plaintiff's Exhibit P X-1307 and put it on the screen. Your Honor, I neglected to move the last exhibit in. I move to admit that prior exhibit.

MR. SUAREZ: Objection. Statute of limitations. Relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled and overruled.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1059.)
Q Mr. Weisselberg, drawing your attention to the very top message in the e-mail thread, this appears to be a message from Donna Kidder, the employee from the Trump Organization, dated June 24, 2015, 10:04 a.m., yes?
A Yes.
Q To Allen Weisselberg and David Cohen, right?
A Yes.
Q The e-mail here says, "Lawyer said they didn't have a term sheet." You didn't receive this particular message, did
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## you?

A It doesn't appear that way.
Q This message appears to be in response to a thread that you are on. Do you see the message right below from you, Jack Weisselberg, dated June 24, 2015 to Donna Kidder, David Cohen and Allen Weisselberg with the subject "re Dean \& DeLuca"? Do you see that there?

A Yes, but the time is a little confusing.
Q I completely agree with you. Sometimes when these documents get printed from the discovery process, time works differently in metadata.
A But yes, I do recognize that.
Q Any reason to believe that time would have gone backwards for that uppermost message?
A No.
Q No. Same here. Okay. So in the message that Donna appears to have forwarded on, you are writing with regards to Dean \& DeLuca, that you would like to see the term sheet that they are expecting to sign prior to the lease since the lease won't be signed prior to closing. Thanks, Donna.

And I'll give you a moment to look through this full thread if you want to refresh your recollection, but do you have any understanding as to why you were writing to Donna Kidder on June 24, 2015 about Dean \& DeLuca and a term sheet that they are expecting to sign prior to the lease?

JACK WEISSELBERG - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY)
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## A No.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. FAHERTY: So I'll -- we'll go further on to the bottom of this first page if this helps. Keep going. Right there. Thank you, Ashley.
Q So at 11:00 a.m., this appears to be an e-mail from you to David Cohen, Donna Kidder, Allen Weisselberg, all at the Trump Organization, right?

A Correct.
Q The message is just Dean \& DeLuca, no "re"?
A No forward, right. Correct.
Q And your message says, "Do we have an unsigned LOI/term sheet for Dean \& DeLuca." What is an LOI/term?
A Letter of intent. Many tenants sign a letter of intent with the basic terms and then they negotiate the lease after. So I was trying to see if we could get the preliminary document prior to the full lease being executed.
Q Okay. And then you write, "I need that within the hour, if possible. Trying to get the appraiser in the right spot but at least need that for now. Has one been drawn up yet." Do you see that there?
A Ido.
Q What does it mean that you're trying to get the appraiser in the right spot?
A I don't recall.

Q Was this information you were seeking to provide to an appraiser with regards to the 40 Wall Street transaction?
A I was probably trying to get it to the underwriter who was getting this request from the appraiser prior to finalizing the report, but I don't recall the context of this e-mail.

Q Is that your specific recollection or just as you sit here today, you -- your surmise?

A After reading it, I'm surmising that that's what it
was. I did not have direct contact with the appraiser.
Q And the word "appraiser," is that interchangeable for underwriter at Ladder Capital?

A No.
Q But as you're sitting here, that word "appraiser"
signifies for you the underwriter could be the appraiser; is that your testimony?

A No. I'm saying that the underwriter requested
something for the appraiser, so I'm letting the sponsor know
that the appraiser is requesting a document.
Q Okay. Thank you.
A Sure.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this document into evidence.

MR. SUAREZ: Objection. Statute of limitations.
Relevance.
THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It is in.
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MS. FAHERTY: I have two more and I will be zippity-doo-dah, Your Honor, for today.

THE COURT: Understood.
MS. FAHERTY: Can I have the next document, please.
Your Honor, I'm introducing an exhibit identified by PX
1249, okay.
Q This appears to be a message from David Cohen at the
Trump Organization to you, right?
A Yes.
Q June 24, 2015 at 9:24 p.m., yeah?
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize that additional Trump Organization e-mail address Tess Carathansis?

A It looks familiar, but I don't recall having too much interaction with her.

Q And the subject of this message is, "forward: Dean \& DeLuca draft term sheet," yes?
A Yes.
Q And there is an attachment that I'll represent to you is the document included here. So if you could just take a moment to look at the next page. You see that there?
A Yes.
Q And it is a one-, two-, three-, four-page document.
24 And if we go to the very last page of that four-page attachment,
25 you see that it's two signature blocks unfilled in, right?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: And, Ashley, turning to the first page of that, the term sheet, so the attachment.
Q Do you see it says here, "[copy and paste on Trump letterhead]"?

A Yes.
Q Dated June 24, 2015?
A Yes.
Q It says, "Proposal for Dean \& DeLuca"?
A Yes.
Q And then it continues, "for the space at 40 Wall
Street," yes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And then turning to the e-mail, the transmitted document, David Cohen says to you, "See attached. The final won't necessarily be in this form. I didn't put it on the letterhead. Is that okay." Do you see that there?

A Yes.
Q Any reason to doubt that you didn't receive in e-mail?
A No.
Q Do you recall receiving this e-mail and the attachment?
A No.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this exhibit the e-mail and cover -- and attachment.

THE COURT:
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MR. SUAREZ: Objection. Statute of limitations. Relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1249.)

MS. FAHERTY: The next one will be two seconds. THE COURT: Go ahead.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, there is PX 1428.
Q Okay. This is an e-mail from David Cohen to you on
June 24, 2015 a few minutes later at 9:40 p.m. The subject is 40 Wall and there's an attachment.

A Yes.
Q And at the bottom, there's a scan e-mail "@trumporg forward." It appears that there was a document forwarded that was ultimately forwarded to you and I'll ask you to turn to the attachment to this message.
A Okay.
Q Do you see that there is a letterhead, " 40 Wall Street, L.L.C."?

A Yes.
Q From 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York?
A Yes.
Q Dated June 24, 2015, yes?
A Yes.
25 Q And again, proposal for Dean \& DeLuca at the 40 Wall

## Street space, yes?

A Yes.
Q And if you just quickly look at the very last page of this attachment, you see two signature blocks, yes?

A Yes.
Q Unsigned?
A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit --
Q Any reason to doubt you didn't receive this message?
A No.
MS. FAHERTY: Okay. Your Honor. I move to admit.
MR. SUAREZ: Objection. Statute of limitations, relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
MS. FAHERTY: We can rest for this afternoon.
We'll continue tomorrow with this witness.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll direct the witness not to discuss this case or your testimony with anybody until you're all through with your testimony. You're excused from the witness stand for now.
(Witness exited the stand.)
THE COURT: I understand we have some housekeeping matters to discuss. You want to approach?
(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)
(Whereupon, the trial was adjourned to October 19,
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## 25023 at 10:00 a.m.)

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK $v$. DONALD J. TRUMP, et al

|  | 1859:13 | 1757:23,25 | 1806:22;1815:22; | :7; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ |  | achieving (1) | 1816:25;1828:18; | 1704:6;1720:8; |
|  |  |  | $18$ | 1724:22;1744:21; |
| \$100 (1) | $\begin{array}{r} {[\operatorname{copy}(1)} \\ 1858: 4 \end{array}$ | $1739: 9$ | 1856:21;1858:23; | 1751:12;1754:12; |
| \$114 (2) |  | acquired (1) | 1860:8,11 | 1756:1,2,18;1758:10; |
| 1684:16.5;1685:3 | A |  | admitted (5) | 1767:8;1786:21; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 114.5(2) \\ & 1683: 22.5 ; 1684: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  | across (1) | 1679:4;1685:16; | 1831:14;1833:10 |
|  |  | 1765:1 | 1687:1.5;1709:17; | agree (23) <br> 1681:13:1682.25. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 160(2) \\ & 1829: 4,9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { able (13) } \\ & \text { 1686:6;1710:1; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \operatorname{act}(2) \\ 1779: 12,15 \end{array}$ | 1714:21 <br> admonish (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1681:13;1682:25; } \\ & \text { 1702:16;1706:5; } \end{aligned}$ |
| \$18(2) | 1739:9;1741:20; | action (1) | 1757:18 | 1729:23;1731:13; |
| 1682:18;1683:3.5 | 1764:6;1766:13; | 1822:14 | admonition (1) | 1735:19;1737:4; |
| \$18,000,334,523 (1) | 1773:3;1793:2; | actions (1) | 1742:7 | 1739:4;1740:10; |
| 1682:14.5 | 1798:10;1830:23; | 1804:22 | advance (1) | 1741:16;1742:18,19, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 18,300,000(1) \\ & 1684: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 1832:4,22;1833:1 <br> Ableman (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{acts}(4) \\ & 1779: 9,11,14 ; 1804: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1686:7 } \\ \text { advice (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20;1747:15;1808:1,24; } \\ & \text { 1820:1;1822:6,14; } \end{aligned}$ |
| \$20 (9) | 1843:8,8 | actual (11) | 1793:1 | 1827:11;1844:18; |
| 1680:5.5,7.5,9,10,20; | above (2) | 1682:25;1683:9.5, | advise (4) | 1854:9 |
| 1681:3;1728:12,19; | 1686:12;1846:9 | 11.5;1684:10,10.5,14, | 1715:15;1722:9 | agreed (1) |
| 1730:25 | above-referenced | 0;1712:18;1737:8; | 1838:6,10 | : 5 |
| \$200 (2) | 1837:25 | 1791:2;1818:1 | advised (2) | agreement (4) |
| 1680:22.5,24 | absolute (1) | actually (15) | 1691:4;1750:1 | 1682:22.5;1769:21; |
| \$220 (1) | 1741:17 | 1693:16;1701:24; <br> 1711:1:1715:16; |  | 1802:20;1803:10 |
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received from the Trump Organization?
A It looks familiar. I can't guarantee this is what is I saw.

Q But no specific recollection of this document, but a general recollection. Is that fair?

## A Correct.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 504 in evidence.)
Q And I want to turn your attention to a couple of pages in this document, so I'll ask that you turn to the third page of your document. And if you need help, we'll put it on the screen, as well, okay?

So you'll see that this is the independent accountant's compilation report. And we'll scroll down to the bottom of this note. We'll go to the date.

And just confirming, Mr. Weisselberg, you see that this is the Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump for June 30th -- as of June 30, 2014, yes?
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A Yes.
Q Okay. And the accountant's compilation report is signed on November 7, 201. Do you see that there?
A Yes.
Q I'll represent to you that this is the date that this
document was compiled and issued to be finalized, okay?
A Okay.
Q Bearing that date in mind, do you - would you agree
with me that this is probably the financials that you would have
received in connection with the 40 Wall Street loan transaction?
A I can't confirm, but probably.
Q Okay. And we'll go over some dates as to when the loan transaction closed, but I'll represent to you the 2015 Statement of Financial Condition was not issued as of the end of the 40 Wall transaction, okay?

A Okay.
Q Okay. All right. Now, let's flip to the next page.
You'll see there is a page titled "Assets." Do you see it there?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Looking at the line it states: "Cash and marketable securities." Can you read the amount that's listed there?
A 302,300,000.
25 Q Do you have any understanding as to what that figure
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1 represents? Is that liquid assets?
A That would be liquidity.
Q Liquidity?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Let's turn to the next page. I'll draw your attention to the line that states "net worth." Can you read that figure there?

A $5,777,540,000$.
Q Roughly 5.8 billion, is that what that number reflects?
A Just short of 5.8 billion.
Q Okay, great. Thank you. And we can put that aside.
Just a broader question. Is it a fair understanding that Ladder relied on the statements of financial condition's submissions as part of the underlying of their 40 Wall loan?
A For the 40 Wall loan liquidity is what we were really
paying attention to because we had some contingent liabilities, recourse obligations. So we wanted to make sure there was enough liquidity to cover the obligations.

Q And I don't think that quite fully responds to my
question. My question was that you relied upon the Statement of Financial Condition, so would that be a yes?

A The net worth statement is one of many things that we look at in the underwriting process. I wouldn't say it was a key factor.
Q It was a factor that you relied upon?
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A It was a factor.
Q Thank you, okay.
Were there other documents you reviewed to determine that Mr. Trump had the specific net worth?

A Liquidity, no.
Q Okay, thank you.
All right. I'm going to hand up a document, and while I'm doing that I'll just ask if you're familiar with an acronym, an "RUC memo?"

A Yes.
Q What is an RUC memo?
A RUC stands for Risk and Underwriting Committee and that is what we do for all large loans or unique loans.

Q Okay. Are you -- the RUC memo, that's something that Ladder Capital has as part of its business practices; correct?

A Right.
Q So I'm going to have my trial vendor put up on the screen the document I just passed forward to you. It's --

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I've marked for identification plaintiff's next exhibit. It's marked PX-00645 and I'll put that up on your screen.
Q This is an e-mail from Craig Robertson at Ladder Capital dated June 29, 2015, 10:47 a.m. and it's addressed to the Conduit Committee at Ladder Capital.

Did we discuss that committee yesterday, the Conduit
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## Committee?

A We did.
Q And the Conduit Committee, they're reviewing the terms on the -- the loan deal; right?
A Yes.
Q And the names that are listed in this e-mail you'll see them all up on your screen. Do you recognize that all of the names included in the bcc line? Were those all -- excuse me -members of the Conduit Committee?
A No.
Q Who are the names listed in that bcc entry there?
A They're Ladder employees that may have been involved in the transaction at some level, so they were included on this to know when the committee meeting was.

Q Okay. And the Conduit Committee, that was the e-mail addressed list we saw yesterday; right?

A It may have included -- some of them may have excluded some of them. I'm not sure at this time what Conduit Committee covered.

Q But there was a generic e-mail mailbox designated for the Conduit Committee as indicated here on this message; right?

A Correct.
Q And so sending an e-mail to the Conduit Committee at LadderCapital.com ensured that the members of the Conduit Committee receives this e-mail; right?
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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A Correct.
Q Okay, great.
And the subject of this message is the RUC memo: 40 Wall Street; right?

A Correct.
Q And then there appears to be an attachment, one is an image and the other is $\mathbf{4 0}$ Wall Street RUC memo.PDF and I'll represent to you, Mr. Weisselberg, I attached specific attachments so can you take a moment to look through the attachment that l've included in this exhibit and just confirm for me that that looks like the RUC memo for the 40 Wall Street transaction?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence, please.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, just two things: One, statute of limitations; the other is I want to point out a bit of New York history. This document does confirm that the property at 40 Wall was in fact the tallest building --

MS. FAHERTY: You are stealing my thunder. I was going to highlight --

MR. KISE: I wasn't sure you were going to say --
THE WITNESS: I believe it was for a month or two. I think it was the tallest building for a month.

MS. FAHERTY: Only for a month.
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THE COURT: Overruled on statute of limitations grounds.
Q So let's focus on the first cover e-mail, please.
Were you there at the -- is there an RUC committee presentation when you have the RUC memo?
A Yes.
Q And were you in attendance at that committee presentation?
A I believe at the time it was in person, so yes, I would have been the one presenting.
Q Okay. So you were apart of this process, fair to say?
A Yes.
Q Okay, great.
In the very first paragraph Craig states that this is the RUC memo for 40 Wall Street and it includes some of the terms -- it includes the terms for the loan deal that we've been talking about since yesterday; right?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Turning to the second paragraph it says that "the loan proceeds will be utilized to refinance an existing mortgage originated by Capital One Bank (the prior loan which featured a principal balance of 160 million (137.31/SF)."

This is a reflection of Ladder Capital's understanding of the Capital One loan that they were going to be refinancing, yes?
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A Correct.
Q Okay. And then the next paragraph begins, "As of June 18, 2015, Cushman \& Wakefield appraised the property at a value of 540 million ( 463.44 per SF)."

Is it a fair assessment of this line in this e-mail that as of June 18, 2015 Ladder Capital had received an appraisal for the 40 W all Street property at a value of $\$ 540$ million?
A I believe we received the report shortly after, but the value was as of that date.

Q Okay. So Ladder was aware of that value as of that date, yes?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And then it includes information that a loan amount will be 160 million with a 3.665 percent interest rate; right?

A Correct.
Q And then there is a 25-year amortization on the loan.
Do you recall that that 25 -year amortization rate contemplated the reset on the ground lease?
A There were various factors. I believe that was one of the driving forces was to make sure that the principal balance of the loan had ammed down to a level that we would be comfortable with the rent -- the ground rent reset.

Q And just to confirm, you made a reference to "ammed
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down." That means to amortize down, yes?
A Correct. The principal balance of the loan amortized down.
Q Okay, thank you.
And the next sentence, does this refresh your
recollection that the loan did in fact close in or about
July 2nd of 2015?
A It was scheduled to close July 2nd, according to this. I believe it was around that date.

Q Let's turn to the memo itself.
And on that very first cover sheet there is a picture
of 40 Wall Street --
MS. FAHERTY: We missed a page. Next line.
Thanks, Ashley.
Q Can you just tell me what that acronym under the picture of the building says, the address and then there is a loan number: "OWL-150430-03." Does that number have any particular significance or meaning to the combination of letters and numbers?

A The letters represent outstanding whole loan and the numbers represent the date that the application was signed. So in this case it was April 30, 2015 and the 03 at the end means that it was the third loan that we had an application signed that day. So on April 30th of 2015, three loans or at least three loans were signed up and this was the third one.
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Q And that's applications at Ladder Capital, not applications with the Trump Organization; right?

A Correct.
Q Okay, great.
Let's turn to the next page. And I'll just ask you broadly with regards to the RUC memo is it a fair characterization that they're organized in a certain manner? For example, we're looking at a page right now that states "executive summary." So is the organization broken into sections of this memo?
A Yes. At this time this was the format for all committee memos.

Q Okay, great.
And so this first section we're going into is the executive summary section of the RUC memo; correct?
A Correct.
Q Okay. So looking at this section in the middle of the page, you'll see that there is a number one with some words printed there. Do you see that section?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And this point, number one states: "The
physical occupancy presented above includes 118,500 square foot retail space with respect to the sponsor is in negotiations with Dean \& DeLuca for a 15 (number 15) year lease at a rental rate of $1,400,000$ ( $\$ 75.68$ per square foot). Such lease is expected
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1 to be executed shortly after closing. At closing, an entity controlled by Donald J. Trump will sign a 12 (12) year master lease to occupy the suite at substantially similar economics, which lease will be guaranteed by Donald J. Trump.

Mr. Trump will be required to make monthly payments under the master lease for the term of the loan until the space is leased to a tenant acceptable to lender when substantially similar economics to the Dean \& DeLuca lease and such tenant takes physical possession of the space and commences payment of full unabated rents." Do you so he that there?

A I do.
Q And is this paragraph acknowledging in the RUC memo that there is a master lease to be included as part of the deal terms; right?
A Yes.
Q And that Donald J. Trump will execute that master lease; right?
A Yes.
Q And that there will be a guarantee that Donald J. Trump executes; right?

A Yes.
Q And in this particular report there is a reference to Dean \& DeLuca. It's no longer Balducci's, yes?

A That's correct.
Q All right. Let's turn to the next page.
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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Looking at the top paragraph, it's still the "Executive Summary" section. This section is titled -- subsection is titled "Reserve Comments." And I'll draw your attention to the bottom two paragraphs of this section. It states:
"In lieu of ongoing reserves for insurance, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, capital expenditures and ground lease payments, Donald J. Trump will provide a personal guaranty." Similar discussion as we had yesterday, this is recognizing the Donald J. Trump guaranty in connection with those reserves, yes?
A Yes.
Q And the next sentence, the "TI/LC" is that tenant improvements and lease commissions?
A Yes.
Q "And free rent reserves outstanding at closing are presented below," and that's a reference to this number - this chart on this particular page, yes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. "And in lieu of any -- an upfront reserve for these items, Donald J. Trump will provide a personal guaranty for such amounts outstanding," yes?
A Yes.
Q So, again, the reflection of the guaranty has been included in the executive summary; yes?
A Yes.

Q Okay. Let's turn to the next page, still within the executive summary. Third paragraph. This is again acknowledging the Capital One deal that it was going to be refinanced by the Ladder deal, yes?

A Yes.
Q And again, there is a reference to the $\$ 540$ million Cushman appraised value. That was the value Ladder had in its possession as of the time of this RUC memo, yes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. We'll go to the next page, please.
And in this portion of the RUC memo, Executive Summary section, there appears to be an analysis of the ground lease, yes?

## A Yes.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. We've held back with all the leading questions, but I think at some point it has to be more of a direct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: As usual, I see both sides. You can't testify -- you know, you can't suggest an answer. If you want to clarify something, okay, but just be cautious in that regard.

MS. FAHERTY: Sure, Your Honor.
Q And this was included in the RUC memo because it was relevant to the loan deal. Is that a fair characterization?

A Yes.
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MS. FAHERTY: Turn to the next page, please.
Q This is the final page of the Executive Summary section. There is a paragraph in there subtitled "Sponsor."

Do you recall who the sponsor was of this deal?
A Yes.
Q Who was that?
A Donald J. Trump.
Q So is this section included in here to describe who
Donald J. Trump is?
A Yes.
Q And I'd like you to look at the very last paragraph contained in this section of the sponsor on the executive summary page.

Do you recall -- I'm drawing your attention to the very last sentence, which reads: "As of June 30, 2014, Mr. Trump reported a net worth of nearly $\$ 5.8$ billion and a liquidity in excess of $\$ 300$ million."

Do you recall where that information came from to be able to be included in the Executive Summary page?
A The underwriter wrote this and reviewed the financial statement. Typically this would come from a personal financial statement, but I didn't write this.
Q Would you agree with me that this information looks substantially similar to what we just reviewed in the prior exhibit, which was the Statement of Financial Condition of
J. Weisselberg - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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1

Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2014 ?
A Yes.
Q Okay, great.
THE COURT: Just I want to address all the attorneys, okay. I could have made this comment at any point. It's a pet peeve of mine when the lawyer questioning a witness comments on the answers. I don't mind "thank you," but "okay, great." No, don't do that for at least two reasons: One, it could possibly influence the testimony -following testimony; and two, I don't know whether the reporters take this down or not. So ask a question, get an answer, ask the next question, but don't comment on the answer you just heard. Thank you.
Q We'll turn to the next page. Turning your attention this is now, a section identified as "Deal Strengths," yes?
A Yes.
Q Drawing your attention to paragraph four subtitled "Conservative Loan Structure."

Is this a reflection -- well, what is your
understanding of the second bullet under this particular subparagraph, "The loan features a warm body carve out guarantor, Donald J. Trump. As of June 30, 2014, Mr. Trump reported a net worth of nearly 5.8 billion and liquidity in excess of 300 million.
A Sorry, what is the question?
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Q What is your understanding of what that is a reflection of?
A You're showing that it's a strong sponsor.
Q Does it say the word "strong" in there?
A The reflection is that it's a strong sponsor. You asked me about the reflection. This is saying that we have a strong sponsor that has a large net worth and a lot of liquidity.

## Q Understood.

And this is a similar information that we saw from the prior exhibit with a net worth of 5.8 billion and liquidity in excess of 300 million; right?
A Nearly 5.8 billion, yes.
Q Information you would have received from the sponsor?
A Correct.
Q Yes. And then I'll just draw your attention to one more page. It's 13 in the document, a section titled, "Sponsorship."

A Okay.
Q And drawing your attention to that second box that states, "Financial Statement-Donald J. Trump." And then there is a box of information. It says, "Statement type: Personal financial statement, financial statement date: June 30, 2014." And then there are a series of numbers, it looks like monetary amounts. Is this information that Ladder independently put

## together?

A This is a result of what we received from the sponsor.
Q Okay, great. We can put that aside.
THE COURT: Remember, don't say "great."
MS. FAHERTY: I did it. Sorry. I'm saying it to myself, but you're right, Your Honor. I apologize.

THE COURT: I just want to comment on one other part of the examination. You read a sentence and then asked him for his reflection. Was that the word?

THE WITNESS: I believe so.
THE COURT: And then he said it reflects that there is a strong sponsor and you said "do you see the word 'sponsor' there." You asked him what it meant to him. We all know the word "sponsor" wasn't there, but so I'll leave it at that.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I've marked as
plaintiff's next exhibit, PX-00640, which I've handed up to the witness.
Q Mr. Robertson -- Mr. Weisselberg, I've also put PX 00640 on the screen for you.

Does this appear to be an e-mail from Donna Kidder at the Trump Organization addressed to Craig Robertson at Ladder Capital dated June 24, 2015, 9:10 a.m.?

A Yes.
Q And there are ccs on this e-mail, Allen Weisselberg,
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## you at Ladder Capital and Jeff McConney?

A Correct.
Q And the subject is "re: 40 Wall Budget Questions;" right?

A Yes.
Q And it appears that there is an attachment?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Let's go to -- further into this e-mail. It is
the third page of this PDF document and there is an e-mail on
Tuesday, June 23, 2015 at 10:28 a.m. from Craig Robertson at
Ladder Capital to Donna Kidder with Allen Weisselberg and you as
ccs on the message and the underlying subject is " 40 Wall budget questions;" right?
A Yes.
Q And remind me, Craig Robertson, he was the underwriter on the 40 Wall Street loan deal?
A He was the senior underwriter.
Q The senior underwriter?
A Yes.
Q Were you always copied on messages that Craig Robertson, Senior Underwriter, sent to the client?
A I can't confirm always, but typically the originator is cc'd on e-mails between the underwriter and the client.
Q So the general practice would be that you should be or would be copied, but you can't confirm that it was every single
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## message you were copied?

A Correct.
Q And this message titled "40 Wall budget questions, do you have any understanding as to why Craig Robertson would have sent an e-mail to the client, the Trump Organization, about budget questions related to 40 Wall Street?

A Yes.
Q What is your understanding?
A On all deals there is a back and forth between the underwriter and the borrower with questions on the financials. Sometimes included in this e-mail there are one-time costs that would go what we call "below the line" to not property cash flow, but once every ten years, something like that.

Other times, the number may jump a lot in one year or it may go down a lot in one year, which is not typical, so we'll have questions so we can get some clarity on that and that's what this e-mail was.
Q And what is your understanding for the need for those questions? Does it result in any change in the budget or in any change in underwriting the loan?

A Yes, yes. Typically we'll be able to figure out why it happened and either the budget may change or we'll have a footnote that we can add to our underwriting about why there was such a difference in the number.
Q And when you say "the budget may change," is that the
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underlying client's budget or are you referring to something that Ladder Capital maintains?

A It could be both.
Q Okay. And you made reference to a one-time expense, and I'll turn your attention to page -- the page we're still on.

In Craig's 10/28 e-mail to Donna with a copy to you and Allen, middle of the -- the actual words printed on this page, Craig writes: "R\&M expenses increased by $400 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{4} 400,000$ ?
A Correct.
Q "Appears to include one-time expenses (highlighted differences below.)"

Is that an example of what you were just talking about, a one-time expense that the underwriter may have addressed with the client?
A It could be, yes.
Transcript continues on the following page....
$1 \quad Q$ Then there appears to be a response from the client, right?

A Yes.
Q Any understanding what occurred with regards to this
particular exchange between Robertson and Ms. Kidder that you
were copied on on June 24th and June 23, 2015?
A I'm sorry. What exactly are you asking?
Q I asked if you had any understanding as to what
occurred as a result of this exchange.
A I don't know what the result was.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this exhibit into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 640.)

MS. FAHERTY: I have another exhibit to pass up.
It's -- Your Honor, I marked them, the next document, as Plaintiff's PX-00636. I've handed it up to the witness.
Q Mr. Weisselberg, I've also put this document on the screen in case you want to review it there.
A Okay.
Q This top e-mail appears to be a message from Donna
Kidder dated June 10, 2015 addressed to you at Ladder Capital,
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Jeff McConney at the Trump Organization, Allen Weisselberg at the Trump Organization. The subject is, "re: Quick questions.
And there appears to be an attachment memo to
"accounting-rosenberggreenbergblahallc-partof32ndfloor40wa... pdf". You see this e-mail in front of you?

A Yes.
Q This is early June, right, June 10th?
A Yes.
MR FAHERTY: All right. Can we go to the bottom of this message up a little higher. Not the attachment. Okay. Sorry.
Q So the underlying e-mail is from you to Donna, Jeff and Allan at the Trump Organization, subject, "Quick questions." You're asking, "Can you guys help me with these questions? Craig is out today and may know these answers, but I need to get an answer back today." The reference to Craig here, is that Craig Robertson?
A Yes.
Q And you're asking about "Murphy \& O'Connell's lease expired at the end of April 2015. Have they vacated the property."

What is your recollection as to who Murray and O'Connell's is or their lease?
A This is a tenant at $\mathbf{4 0} \mathbf{W}$ all.
Q And the next entry is, "LaRocca Hornik, Rosen,

Greenberg \& Blaha, L.L.P.'s lease expired at the end of February 2015. Have they vacated the property."

What is your understanding as to who LaRocca Hornik Rosen Greenberg \& Blaha is?
A A tenant at 40 Wall Street.
Q So you're inquiring about tenants at 40 Wall Street in this message; is that correct?
A Yes. A lot of times when we close a loan, the lease expiration is right around the time we close or shortly after, so we're just getting an update on some of the tenants that were around the date that we didn't have the information when we either closed or are about to close.

Q And your understanding is that this information was necessary for Craig?

A Well, this is the kind of question that would typically come from an underwriter and for some reason, the question was coming up within the office at Ladder, so I was asking it.

Q Was this information at all relevant for the appraisal at 40 Wall Street at this time, to your understanding?
A It was prior to the date of the value, so it would be. I don't recall the size of the tenants, if it would have had a material impact; but yes, any tenant at the property would have an impact on the appraisal.

Q Okay. And you write in this message, "But I need to get an answer back today."
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Do you recall why you needed an answer so promptly on June 10, 2015 ?

A I do not.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: "Okay" isn't as bad as "great," but try not to do it anyway.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this document into evidence, please.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection, sir.
THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 636.)

MS. FAHERTY: I marked as plaintiff's next exhibit P X-625. Handed it up to the witness.
Q Mr. Weisselberg, please just take a quick look at this document and tell me whether you recognize it.
A I don't recognize the specifics of this, but it is a typical form, so I do recognize the form.

Q And do you recognize the form that this is a
guaranty -- do you recognize that this document is a guaranty of recourse obligations?

A Yes.
Q And this document is executed as of July 2, 2015 by Donald J. Trump according to the second page of this document. 5 Do you see that there?

1 A I don't see it on the second page.
2 Q First page. You were right.
3 A I don't see that it was executed by him on the first page.

Q It is the very first page. Do you see that this is a document entitled, "Guaranty of Recourse Obligations."
A It needs to be signed to be executed. I don't see a signature.
Q Let's go to the very last page of this document.
A I recognize that this is an executed recourse obligation.
Q Do you recognize that signature?
A I do.
Q Whose signature do you recognize that to be?
A That's Donald J. Trump signature.
Q Is this consistent with the guaranty of recourse
obligations that all of the documents we've been discussing prior to this document contemplated?
A I would have to go through to look at the numbers to see if they match the numbers; but yes, this would be the loan document signed by him.
Q No reason to doubt that it is?
A I don't know if it is consistent with the memo. A lot of times, things change after the memo, so I couldn't say without going through in-depth to know if it was the same.
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Ladder Capital with a subject of "re, 40 Wall." Do you see that there?
A Yes.
Q We will go to the third page of this document and the underlying message appears to be from josh. -- I'm not going to say it. I'm going to spell it. M-R-O-Z-I-N-S-K-I @
crenews.com, sent on Tuesday August 4, 2015, addressed to Hope Hicks. The subject is " 40 Wall."

Do you see that document there?
A Yes.
Q It appears in sum and substance that "I'm a reporter from Commercial Real Estate Direct" and he states, Hope Hicks was listed as a press contact on a recently -- released recently issue by the Trump Organization and Trump owns 40 Wall Street in Manhattan. There is a reference to "Ladder Capital has provided $\$ 160$ million of debt against the property." The individual states he had several questions and you can see within the body of this message there are several questions, right?
A Yes.
Q Is this the message you recall your father forwarding to you to get some input on how to respond?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Let's turn to the next message in the chain. If we can scroll up just a little bit.
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Q Fair to say that this signed executed version is a final version of the terms of the recourse guaranty in connection with the 40 Wall Street deal, right?

A Yes, fair to say that.
Q You can put that to the side, please.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'd like to move that into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. Grant the. It's in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 625.)
Q Mr. Weisselberg, in connection with the 40 Wall Street deal, do you recall whether you received an inquiry from your father to respond to certain press questions about the deal?

A He was asking for my input on questions from the press.
THE COURT: You don't have to take down -- if it is now, we can move on, but sometimes it sounds like "I agree" or something, so --

MS. FAHERTY: It is a tic. We marked as the next
Exhibit PX-1062. We'll put it up on the screen.
Q The very top e-mail in this thread on Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1062 is an e-mail from Weisselberg at trumporg.com. Is that Allen Weisselberg?
A Yes.
Q Dated August 4, 2015, 7:35 p.m., addressed to you at
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Q It appears that Hope Hicks at trumporg.com or
hhicks@trumporg.com forwarded this message on August 4, 2015 to
Amanda Miller or amiller@trumporg.com. Do you know who Amanda
Miller is?
A Yes.
Q Who is Amanda Miller?
A An employee at the Trump Organization at the time.
$Q$ Do you know what her position is at the organization?
A I do not.
Q It is also addressed to Donald Trump Jr. or
djtjr@trumporg.com. Do you see that there?
A Yes.
Q Then the next address on this e-mail address is Allen Weisselberg. That's your father, right?
A Yes.
Q And Ms. Hicks writes, "Please see below and let me know what guidance you would like to provide, if any," right?
A Yes.
Q And then from there, is that when your father forwarded you the message at $3: 12$ p.m.?

A It would appear that way.
Q And your father, Mr. Allen Weisselberg's, message to you in sum and substance says, "Anything you want me to add when I call the writer back? See below," right?
25 A Yes.
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Q If we go to your message at 3:26 p.m., there appears to be a pretty fulsome response. Is this the inputs you -- are the inputs you provided to your father included in the body of this message?
A They could be, but I couldn't be sure.
Q I didn't hear you the answer.
A I couldn't be sure if it was. I believe so.
Q And the very first entry, it states, " $\$ 540$ million
value, so 29.6 percent."
Any understanding as to what that particular line entry references, the $\$ 540$ million value?

A His initial question was what was the LTV on the deal, so this was the loan to value.

Q And what does the $\$ 540$ million represent?
A It says the $\$ 540$ million value, so the $\mathbf{2 9 . 6}$ percent is our loan to that value.

Q And is that also the appraised value of what the 40 Wall Street asset?
A It is.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you. I will move onto the next exhibit before I move to admit it, Your Honor.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations objection.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you Your Honor.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
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Plaintiff's Exhibit 1062.)
Q I know we discussed it, but just confirming the 40 Wall Street deal was ultimately securitized?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: I've handed to the witness the document I've marked as PX-1070. Putting it on your screen here.
Q This is a message from you dated August 4, 2016
addressed to Allen Weisselberg. Subject, "Forward, here's the note from Trepp and an excerpt from Moody's."

Do you see that forward there?
A I'm sorry. Which part are you referring to, the e-mail from me to him or --
Q Just confirming you forwarded this message to Allen Weisselberg, your father, on August 4, 2016, right?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Question for the witness. Are there any other Allen Weisselbergs in this universe that you know of?

THE WITNESS: I don't think so.
THE COURT: All right. So why don't we just call him "Allen Weisselberg," not "your father," not "your father Allen Weisselberg," et cetera. So any reference to Allen Weisselberg is Allen Weisselberg.

MS. FAHERTY: Appreciate the direction, Your Honor.
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THE COURT: Okay.
MR. KISE: To the extent we can, I know we're moving this along and we are not trying to press, but a lot of these questions are leading questions. They're just -- they're not framed in the direct, the normal sense --

THE COURT: Right, without agreeing or disagreeing with you.

Ms. Faherty --
MR. KISE: Not really officially objecting.
THE COURT: I'm not officially ruling, but we take your point.
Q What do you recall that this message is forwarding here, Mr. Weisselberg?
A Are you referring to the message that I forwarded to Allen? I was forwarding the response to a question that he had asked us from our head of securitization.
Q And for what reason were you forwarding that response?
A They had e-mailed and asked the question about why they were on the "watch list." So this was the response from our head of securitization.
Q So if you scroll down to the second page of this document, is this what you're referring to, that there's a message between emily.flitter@thomsonreuters.com, dated August 4, 2016, to Allen Weisselberg with the subject, "Here's
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the note from Trepp and an excerpt from Moody's"?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q What is Trepp?
A Trepp is a service that publicly distributes
information about securitized loans as well as other loans just
regarding the rate that the lender/ servicer -- it gives you
all -- all public information about the loan.
Q And the reference to an excerpt from Moody's, what is Moody's?
A Moody's is a rating agency.
Q And the - the underlying message from Emily Flitter includes a box and one of the boxes, there is a title, "Watch
List Commentary." Do you have any understanding what that is a reference to, the watch list commentary as of July 2016 ?

A Yes. Part of what Trepp does, they describe the loan and if there are any issues on the loan, they'll put it on a watch list that they're concerned about the loan, and then they'll track it every month until it changes to either going towards a default or the issue was resolved.
Q Do you recall what the issue was that was triggering this watch list commentary particularly as it related to the 40 Wall Street Street loan?

A On this one because of the guaranties, mainly the 5 master lease as well as free rent, when the servicer ran their
cash flow, it was tripping the DSCR test that we had. But in reality, which is what we found out through our research, it didn't actually triplex it, but the servicer thought that it had been tripped or it could be tripped which is why it was on the watch list and not actually going into default.
Q And the forward that you passed along to Allen
Weisselberg is your internal review of what was being triggered in the watch list and what explanation you could provide? Is that a fair reading of this e-mail?

A Yes. I wanted confirmation of what $I$ assumed of what was happening from our head of securitization, so he replied by agreeing with me.

MS. FAHERTY: One second, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
MS. FAHERTY: I have no further questions, Your Honor.

Thank you, Mr. Weisselberg.
Oh, I do have to move this document into evidence, please.

THE COURT: They may have to object.
MS. FAHERTY: They do. They don't need to.
MR. ROBERT: August of 2016. No, no objection.
THE COURT: Oh, that's right. It is after --
well, you could still object to the extent that --
MR. ROBERT: This one, I'm okay with. Thank you,
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## sir.

(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1070.)

MR. ROBERT: We reserve to call him back during our case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So let's break now. The witness is excused, right?

Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
THE COURT: See you in 15 minutes.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in order. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: You may have noticed I don't run up the stairs anymore. It is not because I've run out of energy. It is that people told me that it is enough. Okay. Would the plaintiff like to call it's/her next witness?

MR. GABER: Yes, Your Honor. The people call David McArdle.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
D A VID MCARDLE, a witness called by the Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, took the witness stand and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and business address or home address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is David McArdle. My corporate address is 1290 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York.

THE COURT: Let's begin the direct examination of Mr. McArdle.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. GABER:
Q Good morning, Mr. McArdle. Can you please state your employer and title for the record, please?

A I'm the senior managing director at Cushman \& Wakefield here in New York City.

Q Mr. McArdle, you worked on several valuation and appraisal engagements for the Trump Organization, that's correct?
A That is correct.
Q Before we discuss some of that work, Mr. McArdle, could you, please, give us a high level view of your professional background?

A Certainly. I've been in the real estate business over 40 years. 35 years of which involves commercial real estate appraisal also with experience in residential, different forms of residential valuation. For the last 30 years, I've been employed with Cushman \& Wakefield here in New York City appraising general commercial properties.

Q Do you have any licenses or relevant professional
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qualifications that you employ in your work?
A I do. I hold the MAI designation which is considered the, you know, premier designation in our industry. It is awarded by the Appraisal Institute and widely recognized as the top designation. I'm also registered in the State of New York as a New York certified general operator. Again, that is the broadest and highest license that you can achieve in the state for legal purposes.

Q And so, Mr. McArdle, are you familiar with the uniform standards of professional appraisal practice or USPAP?
A Yes, very much so.
Q Could you tell me what USPAP is?
A USPAP represents the uniform standard appraisal practice kind of guidelines and standards that appraisers are expected to uphold. It's formed by quasi-government trade group industry. You know, experts in the appraisal world collaborate to -- you know, to set these guidelines and standards to, you know, serve the public the best and fairest.

Q And so does USPAP govern your work on appraisal engagements?
A Very much so. They are guidelines. It is not a governing body, but it's a -- it's kind of a blueprint for standards, ethics, morals.
Q So I'm just going to ask what are the sorts of requirements in USPAP that you follow when conducting an
appraisal engagement?
A Well, there is a standard protocol that should take place at the onset of an assignment. We call it the engagement process. We establish, you know, who our client is, who intended users might be of the product and generally defined, obviously the identify, the subject property at hand and then also get a good understanding at least early on -- of the general scope, you know, what are we trying to accomplish here, what are the needs of the client, and that's the sort of general framework we like to capture in the letter of engagement.

Q Does it prescribe any specific form of a report, oral versus written or otherwise?
A Very much so. I mean, this letter of engagement briefly focuses on the type -- the property you're appraising, the client base, the form of reporting. We do have a liberty of reporting verbally. Generally, two other forms of written reports are widely used, restricted and -- which is more of a concise written report and there's also an appraisal report which would be the most comprehensive document. So we clearly define in the letter of engagement which product the client would receive.
Q And as an appraiser and an MAI, are you allowed to give an opinion of value without making that in conformity with USPAP?
A No. No. We --
$1 \quad$ Q And so does USPAP require that an opinion of value be supported by disclosed methodology or specific requirements?

A USPAP suggests that there's certain uniform
methodologies be adopted for each appraisal report. We call
it -- well, this is a due diligent portion of the assignment that's necessary and there's also the actual valuation itself takes place by using one, two or three of the standard approaches to value.
Q So maybe going from generally to more specific, I
wanted to talk about real property and focuses on appraisals of vacant land.

Can you walk me through the process that you take when you are engaged to prepare an appraisal of real property or vacant land?

A Sure. Well, the very first thing we would want to understand is the vacant land itself. The physical attributes or characteristics such as size, shape, condition, topography. Would also be very interested in what could be legally constructed or erected at the property or developed and that, of course, would fall into the category of zoning. It's very important that we have to understand what you could feasibly develop at that property.
Q Where do you acquire the information that goes into 24 that consideration? How do you learn about the zoning, the

1 just described? Where do you get your data for that?
2 A Sure. It's a wide combination of data sources. Of
3 course, there's public information out there, zoning
departments, assessors in the local municipalities. There's
5 also very meaningful information that is supplied by owners and clients.

Q Excuse me. What type of very meaningful information do you mean when you say that?

A Yeah. Well, we want to gain a very good understanding of the subject property. Typically, the client or owner knows that property better than anyone and, you know, obtaining a survey, for example, would be a key document that we would want from an owner or a client. And then any nuances, approvals or any legal or any other factors that might play into the use of this property that may impact its value if it were to be put to market for sale.
Q And moving onwards to discuss how you might then take that information and generate a value for the property, are you familiar with the concept of highest and best use?

A Certainly.
Q Can you describe your understanding of that content?
A Yes. Highest and best use is important in that we want to be sure that we are developing a value based on four important tests within the highest and best use analysis. Basically, we want to support why the property should be
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developed in a certain way. Highest and best use includes look at a property on a vacant basis and -- vacant land basis and also an improved basis.

If you're focusing on vacant land right now, the test includes what's legally permissible, what's physically possible, what is feasible, and what is most profitable. So there are four tests to the highest and best use analysis and we would methodically go through those and, you know, reach our conclusion considering those four tests of the asset.
$Q$ And that gives you a conclusion of value?
A It doesn't give us a conclusion of value, but it -- it looks into the use, the highest and best use of this property.

Q So how does that highest and best use relate to your final opinion of value?

A Well, the highest and best use establishes the use of the property, the best use. And from there, we make the decision of how the marketplace would value the property. If we're talking about, you know, undeveloped vacant land in this example, that would quickly lead us to most - you know, and if it is residential, it would lead us to a sales comparison approach, would quickly default to the approach, one of the three approaches that we feel are most appropriate or a combination of the three. So the number crunching and the actual value of the property is subsequent to the highest and best use analysis. We sort of methodically go through, you

effectively a discounted cash flow analysis and discounting has to take place. It's a mathematical equation where we discount the proceeds as they, you know, are achieved over the course of the time. So the model takes into account the -- that particular discounting.

So we would have to select a discount rate and then through some modeling in Excel, it develops the net present value of the property on an as-is basis.
Q Can you explain what you mean by "net present value on an as-is basis?"
A Well, for example, the -- if one were to do a five-year sellout of a particular number of lots, those gross retail proceeds really don't drop to the bottom line. There is expenses that would have to be incurred and a dollar five years is not worth a dollar today. So there is a discounting process that needs to take place and kind of bring back, reduce that aggregate proceeds value down to a present value and that's what it would be worth for a developer today or an owner.

Q I'd like to move on and show the witness an exhibit marked Plaintiffs 157.

THE COURT: Before you get into that you were asked what is a subdivision sellout analysis. Could that be simplified to determining the value of the current value of property if it's subdivided?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
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THE COURT: Okay. There are simple answers in there and there are detailed answers, but thank you.

Q Mr. McArdle, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. Can you tell me what this is?
A This looks like a setup for our internal administrators recognizing that a letter of engagement has been signed for Trump National Golf Course in Westchester and we're just starting the process of entering into what we call our job center. Clearly, there is a retainer due so we have that engaged. We're looking for our retainer and it's just the early stages of the engagement.
Q Okay. And here in the subject line it mentions Trump National Golf Course Westchester. It also mentions it's in Briarcliff Manor, New York. I've seen it interchangeably called Westchester and Briarcliff. If I use those interchangeably, would you understand?
A Certainly. I've seen it done the same way.
Q Can you direct your attention to -- first to page four of this document really quickly.

You mentioned this was a setup for the engagement letter. Do you recognize this is the attachment for those e-mails? Do you recognize this portion of the exhibit?
A Yes, I do.
Q Can you tell me what this is?

A So this is the actual engagement letter between Cushman \& Wakefield and Sheri Dillon of Bingham McCutchen.

MR. GABER: So Id like to move this into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, this is -- is this just the engagement agreement or is there anything else that's attached behind the engagement agreement?

THE COURT: Counsel, do you know or can you check?
MR. GABER: It's just the e-mails and the engagement letter.

MR. FIELDS: Okay. Then it's just a statute of limitations objection, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 157 in evidence.)
Q Can we go back to page three of this document, Mr. McArdle? You'll see an e-mail from you to Eric Trump. It says: "Eric, attached is the revised appraisal proposal totaling $\$ 12,500$. I hope this works for you and we can move forward. Thank you for the opportunity, Dave."

So you are writing to Eric Trump at this proposal and you describe -- sorry. You are thanking him for the opportunity. Can you tell me what you mean by that?
A Yes. The -- yes. The letter of engagement was written
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to Counselor Sheri Dillon of Bingham McCutchen. It was widely understood that intended users of this document would also be the Trump Organization, Donald J. Trump, Eric Trump. So in short order after the engagement there were communications with Eric and I about some preliminary steps that we were going to take to get this engagement underway.

Q All right. So did you understand Eric Trump to be the client in this engagement?
A I think he was certainly an extension of the client.
The client technically is Sheri Dillon, but in my view she was a representative of the Trump Organization.

Q You mentioned intended users. I think that's on page four of this exhibit, the first page of the engagement letter. That section says "the appraisal estimate would be prepared for Bingham McCutchen LLP and it's intended only for the use specified below. Other intended users are the Trump
Organization and Donald J. Trump. The client agrees that there are no other intended users."

Is this what you were referring to a moment ago?
A That's correct.
Q Okay. And so you were saying that you understood Eric Trump to be at least an extension of the client, so it was your understanding that while the formal engagement was with Sheri Dillon that Mr. Trump was ultimately -- Mr. Eric Trump was ultimately your client in performing this work?


25 limitations. We're talking about documents and testimony
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1 about appraisals that were done in 2013.


MR. ROBERT: The People would have to prove that particular crime, did the person rob the bank that day.

MR. AMER: The AG's response is yes.
THE COURT: I'll overrule the objections and let's proceed.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 156 in evidence.)
Q Okay. Mr. McArdle, it seems you said that this document, Plaintiff's 156, was a similar engagement. It appears to me that this signature page of this document, document page nine on both of these exhibits is different.

Can you -- do you see the signature page there on page nine here, do you know whose signature that is on the August 6, 2013 engagement letter?
A It looks Eric Trump.
Q Okay. And as opposed to on Plaintiffs 157, the
August 9th engagement letter, the revised engagement letter, the
signature page there, if I can direct you to it, signed by Sheri Dillon?
A Sheri Dillon.
Q So is it fair to say that the -- and you can look at
the two documents and compare that the nature of the revision is to remove Mr. Trump's name and signature from the original letter and replace it with Ms. Dillon and Bingham McCutchen LLP

McArdle - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)
Page 1926
as the client in the engagement?
A Certainly appears that the August 9th signature supercedes the other agreement.
Q Okay. But following up with your testimony from earlier notwithstanding the revision you understood Eric Trump to be a client or the extension of the client in the revised engagement?
A I certainly did.
Q Okay. Thank you.
So can you describe the nature of -- and we can put aside 156 and just focus on the engagement represented by the revised letter.

Can you describe the nature of that engagement for me?
A That engagement was focused on the valuation of 71 potential attached units within the confines of Trump National Briarcliff Golf Community.
Q And you looked earlier at an e-mail that you had sent to Eric Trump about this saying "thank you for the opportunity."

Had you discussed the nature and scope of this engagement with Mr. Trump at the time of entering into this engagement letter?
A I definitely had conversations with Eric Trump at the very initial stage of engagement.

THE COURT: We should probably use first names because there are several Mr. Trumps.
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The 71 units they clearly had a right to develop low-rise luxury townhouse units along the 18th fairway between the fairway and the parking lot of the golf club. So this was an opportunity, sizable opportunity, to develop luxury attached apartments right there on the grounds of the golf club.
Q And the second sentence there says, "Moreover, the dates are critically important to us."

Do you have an understanding as to why those dates were critically important to Eric Trump?
A Well, I think it was obvious they were clearly contemplating a donation, conservation easement donation, and they were looking for my input on valuation of this 71-unit project. To the best of my knowledge the Phase I Friday, September 6th deadline was a verbal report. I believe the second date was the final report hard copy.
Q One last question with this document. At the top of page two you've written, "new assignment for the McArdle team." Who is the McArdle team?

A McArdle team is certainly me as the primary team member and I have a couple junior appraisers, licensed, that work for me. One of them is my nephew, Raymond, same last name, McArdle.

Q And then, "Please use Eric Trump as client."
So I think we've discussed this a bit, but you
understood Eric Trump or the Trump Organization to be an ultimate client, but is -- you're writing -- I think who is Tina

Schultheis and Nieves, and Maria Targi here?
A They are administrators who manage the booking, the cash, the putting everything in what we call that job center program.
Q So when you say "use Eric Trump as client" are you asking them to use Eric Trump as client in internal --
A It's just an internal bookkeeping measure.
Q An internal bookkeeping measure, okay. Thank you.
So Mr. McArdle, I'd like to show you a document that plaintiffs have marked as Exhibit 3195. Do you recognize this document?
A I recognize it, yes.
Q Thank you.
MR. GABER: I would like to move this document into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3195 in evidence.)
Q So can you tell me who Bob Leonard is?
A Bob Leonard was an associate at Bingham McCutchen with Sheri Dillon.
Q And so in this e-mail you're writing to Bob Leonard.
You said, "Bob, I spoke with Eric yesterday and agreed to
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perform a discounted cash flow analysis reflecting a build/sellout scenario. We are targeting a 9/20 delivery date. I will speak with you soon, Dave."

So I think you mentioned discounted cash flow analysis earlier. You're saying you agreed with Eric Trump to perform that analysis. This is -- do you remember whose suggestion it was to perform this sort of analysis?

A Well, we suggested it when their objective was to value the -- that vacant land with this development potential. You
know, as an experienced appraiser this is the right methodology to apply.

Q Did that agreement come up after discussion with Eric Trump about what sort of methodology would be undertaken?

A This is a complicated asset, especially when everything is on a proposed basis. So it's very important that we are aligned. You know, the appraiser and the owner or client is very much aligned in the approach. It's fair to Eric, it's fair to me so that there is no surprises at the end and I think everyone agreed that this was the approach to take.

MR. GABER: Okay. I'm going to move on to a document marked Plaintiffs Exhibit 3204.
Q Please take a look at that and tell me if you recognize it.

A I do recognize this document.
MR. GABER: I'd like to move this document into

## evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE WITNESS: Overruled. It's in evidence. MR. GABER: Okay.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3204 in evidence.)
Q Mr. McArdle, this is an e-mail from you to Eric Trump.
You write to him saying, "Eric, this is a follow up to confirm that you will be providing support from your brokers for the potential pricing of the 71 residential units. We also
discussed obtaining input from your construction contractors on the PSF cost to build the project. At this time we are moving forward with the discounted cash flow analysis and look forward to the pricing and cost estimates from your team. Enjoy the weekend."

So you are following up to confirm Mr. Trump is going to provide you with the information described here. Is it normal to seek this sort of information from a client?

A Very much so. Again, typically the property owner knows more about his property than we do going in. This was a very specific project that's already approved by the
municipalities, so in terms of approvals, it was in a very much advanced stage. As a savvy appraiser, I couldn't help but think they had a tremendous amount of information already in their
files. It's not up to me to guess or speculate as to what could be built here when we know for a fact they -- they're deep into the planning stage.

So -- and with their professional advisors, there could be no better source than to go to the owner, Eric Trump, and try to secure this information, whether it be his pricing forecasts or on the expense side like we talked about before, you know, what's it going to cost to build these. And eventually that's going to get us, you know, a bottom line number and, you know, the profit potential and kind of gets us those inputs that we may need for this sellout analysis or ultimate discounted cash flow.

Q I'm going to show you a document marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 3196. Again, please take a look at this and tell me if you recognize this.

A Yes, I recognize this.
MR. GABER: I'd ask this be moved into evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
MR. GABER: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 3196 in evidence.)
Q So Mr. McArdle, this is an e-mail from you to Stephen Olvany. Can you tell me who Stephen Olvany is?

A Steven Olvany is a fellow appraiser formerly of Cushman \& Wakefield and also a resident of either Westchester or the Greenwich, Connecticut area.
Q And can you -- can you tell me what you're discussing with Mr. Olvany here?
A This is part of our due diligence. As much as I am interested in hearing what the Trump Organization would retail these units at, it's my obligation to go out into the marketplace and do my own research and kind of test the market and gain a good understanding of, you know, what these luxury units would transfer for in the current marketplace.

So Steve I considered a resource. It's commonplace. He's smart guy that, you know, I thought I could lean on for some unbiased market intelligence.
Q So two questions here. Towards the bottom of that long first paragraph it says, "Of course Eric Trump has lofty ideas on value and assumes $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{PSF}$," per square foot "is no problem". First, had Eric Trump communicated his understanding of what the values might be on this property?
A Well, during the course of this engagement I did have conversations with Eric Trump. Some of it was about gathering the physical data that we just spoke to, you know, construction costs and building configurations and units and he did a great job of describing the physical nuances of the project and -- but importantly, you know, we ended up speaking about what they
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could sell for. And, you know, Eric loved this project. He thought it was very special. I didn't disagree with him. I think it's a very unique project and it would definitely appeal to the high-end buyer interested in, you know, low rise attached housing with the amenities that this project might offer.

So he shared his thoughts. He thought it was very special and unique. And at the time that $\$ 1,000$ per square foot price point was what he thought was reasonable, you know, and I'm perfectly willing to listen. I think it's -- owners are a great source of information, but ultimately, you know, I have to come up with my own conclusions.

Transcript continues on the following page....
$1 \quad$ Q Did you have any further discussion with him about the lofty idea of value here?
A I think we went back and forth a little bit and, you know, I -- I did my best to try to find like-kind projects that, you know -- where we could understand what those were selling for. You know, I tried to stay in Westchester. It wasn't all that easy. This project was going to definitely be at the top of the residential marketplace for what it is, so we -- I think we reached out down into Nassau County where some of those similar units might be selling. We looked at southern parts of Westchester County and we did the best to gather, again comps, you know, or like-kind properties.
Q Can I show you a document that plaintiffs have marked 3202. And, please, let me know if you recognize it. It is an e-mail with an attachment beginning on page two of the document, so please look at both if you would.

A Yes, I do recall this exchange.
MR. GABER: I'd ask this be moved into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3202.)
Q So Mr. McArdle, it appears Eric Trump is sending you an e-mail saying, "Attached, please find some comps for similar product, type of product in Westchester." He then goes on to
say, "I suppose further describe the comparables."
But I'll ask you how did you understand Eric Trump's e-mail and the comparables that he sent you?

A Well, like I've said a few times this morning, it's important that we gather the best possible set-up data to make a decision on, you know, our final sale price estimate for these units. We looked -- we looked to various source social security. We looked to public record. We looked to comparable source -- comparable databases, our own databases and, you know, we looked to market participants and I was perfectly willing to receive some of Eric Trump's information for me to analyze.

Some of the points he makes here are true and I clearly accept that the amenities at this facility were unique. So I think he was just, you know, showcasing his property in a fair way. You know, this was a very unique project, so it gave me a better understanding of what kind of amenities were there and then it was up to me to absorb this in concert with all the other comparable data that we had, and then again, it is up to me to make that final value estimate.

Q So I am now going to go to an exhibit marked as Plaintiff's 3201. Please take a look at this document and let me know if you recognize it.

A Okay. I recall this.
MR. GABER: I ask that this be moved into evidence.
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A Correct.
Q And you understood Eric Trump to also be a client in this matter, correct?

A Yes.
Q So you were sharing your valuation conclusions with Eric here understanding that he is a client or related to the client?

A Yes. At that time, there was -- it was clear that I
could have an open dialog with Eric.
Q And so what does it mean in appraisal terms, we discussed this I think a bit generally, that you are prepared to share your valuation conclusions with him?
A Well, there had been a long process. There were a lot of assumptions and work that we had been performing and I believe this is a lead-up to what may have been phase one in that engagement where it was merely the verbal delivery.
Q Are you saying, I'm sorry, this could be shared verbally --

A I think that's where we're headed with this, yes.
Q And then leading up the document, Eric Trump asks, "Can you do 330." And then one more e-mail up, you say, "Yes, perfect. I will call you." And then the top e-mail here -- well, putting aside the top e-mail here now because there seems there is a gap in the timing, did you, in fact, speak with Eric Trump on or around September 30th as suggested

## by this e-mail?

A I can't be sure if I spoke to him that day.
Q I would like to show you a document marked Plaintiff's 3197. Do you recognize this document?

A Yes, I do.
MR. GABER: I'd like to move this into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3197.)
Q "Bob, the call went well and we are ready to move
forward with the written report." I'll note this was sent on October 1, 2013. The last e-mail that we discussed in the previous Exhibit 3201 was dated September 30, 2013. So is -- this is the day after that last e-mail.

A Yeah. In reading this e-mail, it certainly suggests that that call took place.
Q So does this refresh your recollection that the call did take place?

A Yes, it does.
Q Thank you.
Do you remember what the value conclusion was that you had reached at that point in this engagement?

A I think we were getting close and the values were falling in the low 40 millions.
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Q Thank you. I'm going to hand you a document marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 3218. Please take a look and let me know if you recognize it. I saw a nod, but could you please answer whether you recognize it for the record.
A I do recognize this.
Q Thank you.
MR. GABER: I ask that that be moved in evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3218.)
Q So Mr. McArdle, as you can see, there is an e-mail here dated October 1, 2013 from Eric Trump to you. It says, "David, I have one question for you. Do you have a minute to speak at some point today, Eric."

Do you recall whether you spoke with Eric Trump in response to this e-mail?
A I don't recall that follow-up phone call.
Q Do you remember -- is there any other recollection you have of whether Eric Trump had a question for -- what question Eric Trump may have had for you, if he did, after the September 30th call?

A I do know that he was pursuing me to discuss some issues with the appraisal, but I'm not sure what the content was

## all about.

Q So I'd like to move to Plaintiff's 3197. 3198 then.
Please take a look at this and let me know if you recognize it. (Witness reviewing document.)
$Q$ Do you recognize this?
A I do recognize it. I'm sorry.
Q No. It is quite all right.
MR. GABER: I ask that this be moved into evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations, although, the witness has not said what it is, but, nevertheless, that's all the objection is, Your Honor.

THE COURT: He should technically say what it is before I let it into evidence.

MR. GABER: I thought -- okay. I can do that.
Q Do you know what this document is, Mr. McArdle?
A Yes, I do. It's an e-mail exchange between Bob Leonard and myself.

THE COURT: About?
Q About?
A About a dialog pending dialog with Eric Trump.
MR. GABER: I'd like to move into document in evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
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Plaintiff's Exhibit 3198.)
MR. GABER: Thank you.
Q Mr. McArdle, it says, "Bob, I received a VM from Eric yesterday. We need to talk. I am traveling the next Tuesday days. I can call you up until 11:00 a.m. today or after 6:00 p.m. or any time tomorrow is great, Dave."

So this is dated October 15, 2013 and you note the voicemail was yesterday, so that would be the 14th. Do you recall what the voicemail was from Eric Trump?

A I don't. I think he wanted to go over some of the assumptions in the model.

Q Do you recall whether you had a talk that day with Mr. Leonard?
A I don't recall.
Q So next, I'd like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 3199. Please take a look at it and first let me know if you recognize it. Then I'll ask you what it is.

A I do recognize the document.
Q Can you describe the document, please?
A This is another e-mail exchange between myself and Bob Leonard of Bingham McCutchen.

Q The subject line is "ET," correct?
A Correct.
THE COURT: That's the movie, right? ET, phone home.
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MR. GABER: I think the witness needs to answer that, Judge.
A Eric Trump.
MR. GABER: I ask that this be moved into evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3199.)
Q You write here, "Bob, he continues to call me. I am uncomfortable not replying. Please call him." Who are you referring to here?

A Well, I'm talking to -- I'm referring to Eric Trump. Bob and Sherri work closely with us on the many aspects of this appraisal. Eric was sort of on the fringe. We're getting closer to value and, you know, I think Eric was anxious to discuss the assumptions and conclusions one more time and I had been spending more time with Bob and Sherri and I was sort of getting pinched in the middle here and I thought it was good business to reach out to Bob and just say, you know, this is what's going on. I can only hold off so long. I do want to be respectful to Eric Trump. So it was sort of -- we had to decide how to handle the inquiries.
Q You said, "He continues to call me." This is dated October 16th. The previous e-mail we looked at is dated the 15 th and refers to a voicemail from the 14th. So over two days,
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did Eric Trump call you multiple times?
A I believe it was multiple attempts to get a hold of me.
Q So moving on, I would like to show you what I've marked
as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1465. Please take a look at this and let me know if you recognize it.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A I'm familiar with that document.
Q You're familiar with this document.
Can you describe what this document is?
A These are e-mail exchanges between Bob Leonard and
Sherri Dillon of Bingham McCutchen in advance of a call with me regarding some final value conclusions.

MR. GABER: I would ask that this be moved into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1465.)
Q Mr. McArdle, could I direct your attention to the
second page of this exhibit. There's an e-mail here from Sherri Dillon to you. She starts off saying, "I spoke to Eric and he is aware that the more supportable value at this point is around 45 million."

And then I would ask that maybe you could explain your understanding of the remainder of what Ms. Dillon is
communicating to you here.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. GABER: Thank you.
A Sure. Sherri is acknowledging that, you know, our final value looks to be $\$ 45$ million for this 71 -unit development project. At that point in time, you know, Eric had certain ideas about value. I think they may have been a little more lofty and above 45 million and I think the team of Sherri, Bob and myself clearly recognized that we were sort of at the end here and anything beyond 45 million would have put some people at risk. It would have not been credible. Certainly, I was comfortable with 45 million, but beyond that, I was concerned it would lose credibility.

From an appraisal standpoint, you know, we had great support in there to get us to that value after doing a lot of research and analysis. I think Sherri also is suggesting here that this is going to come under a lot of scrutiny with the IRS or a court and the last thing we want to do is overreach and put Eric in a vulnerable position. So I think this was -- this was a setup to, you know, finally tell Eric that, you know, he should accept this value from the professionals that probably know this better than he.

Q She writes at the bottom, "Eric knows that we have been in touch, but advised he would likely be talking to you this afternoon. I suggest calling him sometime after 4:30 or so."
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And then going to the first page of the document towards the bottom, your response here is, "Thanks, Sherri. This is a great summary of where we stand and glad we are aligned here. I will speak with Eric."

Do you recall whether you spoke with Eric following this e-mail?

A I don't -- I don't recall that conversation.
Q Could I just right before the break, if I could direct
you back to 3201 which -- now at the top of the page here, this is dated October 16, 2016, 8:03 p.m. I'll represent that the e-mail from Sherri to you that we just looked at was at 3:45 p.m. So this is an e-mail from Eric Trump to you. It says, "David, per my conversation with Sherri cc'd, please finish, but hold off on sending the appraisal until further notice. I'm happy to discuss any time."

Were you told why you should hold off on sending the appraisal?
A I was not told to hold off. These engagements had a lot of stops and starts with attorneys involved. I just assumed it was, you know, they had their reason and I was perfectly fine, you know, abiding about by that.
Q I believe -- can I just -- I believe that you said I was not told to hold off. So just if I could ask again, were you told why you should hold off on sending the appraisal as it is indicated by Eric Trump in this e-mail?

A No, I was not told why to hold off.
MR. GABER: We can take a break.
THE COURT: Okay. Lunch break. See you all at
2.15 2:15.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken.)
AFTERNOON SESSION
THE OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in
session. The Honorable Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Let's get the witness.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Witness resumes the stand.)
THE COURT: Let's continue with the direct
examination of Mr. McArdle and Ill remind the witness, as I always do, that he's still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Please proceed.
Q Good afternoon, Mr. McArdle. I would like to just go back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 3201 that we were looking at just to clarify the record. "David, per my conversation with Sherri cc'd, please finish but hold off on sending the appraisal until further notice."

What is your understanding of the request being
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conveyed hereby Mr. Trump, Eric Trump?
A I believe he's just confirming that -- to complete the assignment at the roughly $\$ 45$ million value that was discussed earlier. I don't think we were sending anything. It was verbal at that point.

Q And were you told why you should hold off?
A No, I was not told why.
Q So I would like to move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 158.
Mr. McArdle, I ask that you please look at this
document and tell me if you -- if you recognize it. I'll note
then, again, that this is an e-mail with an attachment beginning on page six of the exhibit.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Yes, I'm familiar with the e-mail and document attached.
Q Can you describe what they are?
A Sure. The first page on the screen right now is,
again, an internal set up at Cushman \& Wakefield recognizing
that I re-engaged with -- it is Sherri Dillon at Bingham
McCutchen with the Trump Organization as an intended user. The engagement letter follows and in great detail outlines the scope of the assignment. This is the same property in Briarcliff within the country club confines and it is merely a request for a formal written appraisal related to a definite conservation easement or donation to the federal government for tax purposes.

Q This letter is dated February 14th, Valentine's Day, 2014. The previous e-mail we just looked at was dated October 16, 2013. You said", I believe that this was similar scope to the previous engagement," but this was successive. Did you understand this to be a continuation of that engagement?

A I don't understand -- I don't recall the time lapse.
Is that what you mean?
Q It's a few months after the previous engagement --
A Correct.
Q -- correct?
A Correct.
Q And so did you understand this to be -- you said it was the same scope as well?
A Yes, I did.
Q Did you understand this to be a continuation of the earlier engagement?
A Very much so.
Q Looking at page six here, you had mentioned that the intended user was the Trump Organization. Are there any other intended users of the appraisal under the engagement?
A Donald J. Trump.
Q Thank you. Can we, Mr. McArdle, go to page seven of this document where it says, "general scope of work." Can you tell me what is to be valued under this scope of work?
A Yes. The property is being valued under this scope of
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work is the same 71-unit attached housing project that we had been previously discussing, but it also includes the adjacent 18-hole golf club known as Trump National Golf Club Westchester, and it also owns -- includes a town home owned by Eric Trump on the perimeter of the property.
Q Do you understand why the scope is expanded between this and the previous engagement?
A Yes, I do. It was explained to me by Sherri Dillon that when a donation is being contemplated, there's also an obligation to understand the positive or negative impact on other properties the donor may own that are nearby. So it is pertinent that the value of the golf club and the town home are understood and we had to then towards the end of the assignment make a determination if any additional value or loss of value or neutral situation developed once that donation took place because there is a calculation that, you know, the IRS requires.

MR. GABER: I'd like to move this in evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 158.)
Q So moving forward, I'm going to hand you a document marked Plaintiffs Exhibit 159. Please read it and let me know if you recognize this document.
(Witness reviewing document.)

| Page 1952 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 |  |
| 2 | Wakefield takes these very, very seriously and recognizing we |
| 3 | have high-profile clients and we also have the IRS involved. We |
| 4 | did everything we could to put out a very credible, sound report |
| 5 | to the best of our ability. |
| 6 | Q And it was your understanding by this, then, that Eric |
| 7 | Trump would be, as you say, scrutinizing the appraisal report |
| 8 | notwithstanding the fact that he wasn't the formally engaged |
| 9 | client. |
| 10 | A As the assignment played out, it was clear that Eric |
| 11 | was active in the - through the process and I thought it was |
| 12 | fair to let Rick know that, you know, he's actively involved as |
| 13 | an intended user. |
| 14 | (Continued on the next page.) |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |

A Yes, I do recall this e-mail.
Q Can you describe what that e-mail is?
A Sure. This is an e-mail to my colleague at Cushman \& Wakefield who's another golf expert. His name is Richard Zbranek. He also is involved in what we call QC and that is quality control. Before we send any documents out the door to clients, we have a quality control process that requires a capable senior colleague appraiser to read our reports just as a safeguard, make sure we didn't make any errors and make sure methodology and all the -- the report is sound.

So this is my e-mail to Rick explaining the upcoming QC relative to this appraisal that we're performing for the client. And, you know, I elaborated on the nuances since it was something a little bit different in that it was a -- an appraisal done for a conservation easement donation.

Q So the last two sentences of this e-mail say, "Let me know what you think. It will be scrutinized by Sherri Dillon, Eric Trump and the IRS". Can you explain what you meant by this?
A Sure. Rick is a very trusted colleague with deep, deep understanding of -- he is an MAI, deep understanding of this type of valuation particularly as it relates to golf and some residential development. I'm looking for him. I'm leaning on him for advice and just, you know, an assurance that we are putting out the right product.
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I mean, we're very conscientious and Cushman \&
Wakefield takes these very, very seriously and recognizing we have high-profile clients and we also have the IRS involved. We did everything we could to put out a very credible, sound report to the best of our ability.

Q And it was your understanding by this, then, that Eric Trump would be, as you say, scrutinizing the appraisal report notwithstanding the fact that he wasn't the formally engaged client.
A As the assignment played out, it was clear that Eric was active in the -- through the process and I thought it was fair to let Rick know that, you know, he's actively involved as (Continued on the next page.)

Q Okay.
MR. GABER: I'd ask this be moved into evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Objection. Not only statute of limitations, but also hearsay and relevance as to the attached report. The report's being offered for its truth. The Court has now heard testimony as recent as of yesterday that developers can value their own properties the way they see fit. It doesn't have to be what an appraised value is. The Court has also heard testimony about accounting in SAC 274 and therefore it's offered for its truth. It is hearsay and irrelevant.

MR. GABER: I don't think I offered the report for its truth.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you. For what purpose are you offering the document?

MR. GABER: Primary -- I'm offering this for notice, but also to show this is the work product for Cushman \& Wakefield prepared according to procedures that Mr. McArdle has outlined using inputs and data that he received from the client and other sources. These are business records of Cushman \& Wakefield and to the extent there is information in here that came from defendants, then those are admissions as well.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.
MR. FIELDS: May I respond?

## THE COURT: Sure, yes.

MR. FIELDS: First of all, in terms of it being a business record, that protects the document but not statements within the document. The notice would be -- I guess the question is notice to whom and of what. The direct -- the witness can answer that he did an appraisal and what the appraised value was, but the entirety of the document contains a ton of hearsay and there is no need -there hasn't been a foundation laid for who needs to have notice and what the notice is for and so respectfully, I think it's being offered for its truth and it's hearsay.

THE COURT: The document is the notice. What the document contains and what's in it is the - is the notice as being conveyed, right?

MR. FIELDS: But, Your Honor, notice to whom and of what is the question.

THE COURT: Mr. Gaber, you want to answer that?
MR. GABER: In the first instance formally, this is notice to Bingham and McCutchen LLP, the engagement letter does list the Trump Organization and Donald J. Trump as intended users, but Bingham McCutchen was a retained counsel for the Trump Organization. They are an agent of the Trump Organization. Knowledge of the agent is imputed to the principal. This is something that was involved in litigation in the special proceeding pursuing this action as
know, the -- you as the factfinder can weigh that against other evidence.

THE COURT: We can't have a trial over every single defendant, whether it's 12,15 as to, you know, what it can be used for. You'll have plenty of time to discuss that.

MR. ROBERT: Well, Your Honor, respectfully, we do think it's important because there are multiple defendants here and as to who is ultimately potentially responsible for what. The witness testified very competently as to his process and what went into it, but again, to suggest that this document is going to constitute some sort of an admission, if we can agree that it's notice or it's the fact that this gentleman prepared the appraisal itself, I don't think there is any dispute about that. If you want to ask him what the final value was, he can testify to that, that's fine. But as far as the document itself, we would respectfully submit that the physical appraisal itself is inadmissible hearsay other than potentially for notice.

MR. GABER: I mean, I thought post-trial briefs were due at the end of the trial.

THE COURT: That's just going to have to be resolved later.

I do want to respond to something Mr. Fields said.
Yesterday, there was some testimony that an owner can reach -- conclude whatever value they want. Yes, in the
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1
well. So I think the notice should be as against the Trump Organization in addition to notice to their agent directly.

MR. ROBERT: If it's being introduced for notice and I'll leave that for a second, but if it's being introduced for the truth of the matter asserted, I agree with my colleague that it's hearsay. And to the extent that the government wants to say that they're admissions, what are the admissions inside and who are they attributed to? There are multiple defendants here. I don't know what sentence or what statement within goes in there that constitute an admission and respectfully, I think allowing a document of that magnitude to come into evidence for the truth of the matter asserted and/or to constitute an admission we need to know what they're attributing to whom.

THE COURT: Let's -- maybe this is going back.
MR. GABER: I can ask some questions.
THE COURT: Let me just ask. Are you introducing it to prove the truth of its contents?

MR. GABER: Are you asking if I am introducing it to prove the --

THE COURT: I think that's basically the question at hand.

MR. GABER: No. I'm introducing it to show that that that value conclusion was one that was reached after a process as outlined within the appraisal report and, you
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abstract. If you own a house, you can say it's worth a hundred thousand dollars or a million dollars, but that doesn't really prove much one way or the other. So it's in except for the truth of its contents. Let's move on.
Q Mr. McArdle, can I direct your attention to page four of this document? This one's double sided.

In the first instance the final column under "Adjusted Value of Conservation Easement" reading across from the row there it says "final value conclusion of conservation of easement, $\$ 43,300,000$." What does this number represent?
A That number -- well, that number represents the value of the conservation easement donation. It accounts for before and after, looks at the two other components we discussed, the golf club and the townhouse. In both cases we analyzed the impact on those two other components and concluded that by building that building, that asset, you would have neutral impact on both values. So it wasn't -- neither property gained from it or neither property would have lost value from it. Therefore, the before value of 43 million ends up being the same 43 million, you know, final value contribution.
Q And just for my clarification, the before and after -the before and after is the -- are you gauging the impact of the conservation easement donation on the townhouse and golf club? Is that the idea?
A That's exactly right.
$1 \quad Q$ Okay. So if they donate this -- this part -- this land as a conservation easement, then is the value of the golf club impacted by virtue of that donation?
A That's correct.
Q Okay. Thank you.
A Exactly.
Q And so the before and after values of the golf club
there, it says -- well, could you just tell me what the before and after values of the golf club are that you came to?
A Sure. Absent the before value for the golf club is $16,500,000$ and after the donation we maintain the same $16-5$; in other words, no impact on value.
Q Okay. And I see there is some -- above the "final conclusion" - "final value conclusion of golf club" row there is a row that says "sales comparison approach" and a row that says "income capitalization approach discounted cash flow." Can you explain what's being represented here?
A Certainly. For -- in our view, the country club needed two approaches to value. It wasn't just a one-prong method. And this is pretty much standard in our industry. We deem the sales comparison approach and the income capitalization approach as the two most applicable approaches to value.

Sales comparison approach is merely a comparison of other country -- golf and country clubs, preferably in, you know, in the local area and we compare them just like you would
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single-family homes, you know, it's an adjust -- percentage adjustment analysis, sometimes a qualitative analysis.

We're just kind of testing the market as to what other clubs are selling for and we -- ultimately, we make a conclusion there. Typically it's on a per whole basis. So we were comfortable with 16-5 from that approach.

And then the more detailed approach is the income capitalization approach. And, again, we use a discounted cash flow there and that analysis is more, you know, income and expense oriented. We literally look at the operations of the country club and by that I mean, you know, revenues secured through dues and initiation fees and food and beverage, some of those big, big income lines and then we reduce it by operating expenses.

Typically the larger categories would be clubhouse expenses, the food and beverage expenses, course maintenance expenses and we project out number of years, usually five, and we run a discounted cash flow analysis on that pro forma and this accounts for all the membership flows in and out. We're looking at membership trends coming in, going out, all the fees that they pay going in daily fees, greens fees, catering food, beverage and then typically there is a matching expense, departmental expense.

And like I said, it's a DCF we forecast out about five years, develop an NOI for each of those five years and then
discount it back by a market oriented discount rate.
Q And the inputs for that income capitalization approach that you just mentioned, the revenues and the costs, you get those numbers -- who do you get those numbers from?
A I got those numbers from the Trump Organization. They have to be property specific. This is isn't something we can just estimate. Every club is unique in itself, so I was provided financial information.

Again, we typically look for the major, you know, revenue sources where they're coming from. And again, it's initiation fees, it's membership dues, various other smaller income lines and we take a hard look at it and again apply the expenses to it, just try to get comfortable with forecasting going forward.
Q Can I direct your attention to page 25 of this document? So here this is apart of the introduction under "Scope of Work." The last paragraph is where I want to draw your focus to.

It says, the first sentence, that "this appraisal employs the sales comparison approach and the income capitalization approach," which you just discussed to me.

But I want to go to the sentence starting with "therefore" here in the middle of the paragraph.

So it says, "therefore, we have not utilized the cost approach to develop an opinion of market value. More
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specifically, we utilize the sales comparison approach and the income capitalization approach for both the conservation easement valuation and the golf club valuation."

Can you tell me why you -- oh, I'm sorry. In the preceding sentence, forgive me, says "typical purchasers do not generally rely on the cost approach when purchasing such a property as the subject of this report."

So can you understand or can you tell me your understanding of this language here?
A Sure. The cost approach is one of three widely recognized approaches to value in the appraisal industry.

The cost approach is effective for certain properties; others, not so much. Golf and country clubs are really in our view not suitable for the cost approach. There is a number of reasons cost approach requires an underlying land value. It also requires very subjective calls for building costs and then associated rates of depreciation for all various components of a golf course, which is a very wide mix. So it's -- there is a lot of sensitivity there that kind of, you know, weakens the approach. But probably the biggest driver is that we try to follow market behavior.

I don't invent approaches. It's -- appraisers want to parallel the market and we're not making the market. We're really following the market and watching the behavior of market participants, buyers and sellers of golf courses.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 We know for a fact buyers and sellers of golf courses |  |
| 2 do not utilize the cost approach for various reasons, so it's |  |
| 3 very fair to limit that approach and in this case we eliminated 4 the cost approach. |  |
| 5 MR. GABER: Thank you. |  |
| 6 Q So going back to the top of this document. I think as |  |
| 7 we discussed this is an e-mail to Bingham McCutchen delivering 8 this draft appraisal in April of 2014. |  |
| 9 Can you tell me what happened after you delivered this |  |
| 10 draft appraisal? |  |
| 11 A To the best of my knowledge things went rather silent. |  |
| 12 I don't believe there was much communication beyond. |  |
| 13 Q Did you ever issue a final appraisal report? |  |
| 14 A No, we did not. We did not issue a final. |  |
| 15 Q One more question about cost approach. |  |
| 16 A Sure. |  |
| 17 Q Would you say that's synonymous with a fixed cost |  |
| 18 approach? |  |
| 19 A I'm not familiar with that exact -- it's appraisal |  |
| 20 terminology. |  |
| 21 Q It's not appraisal terminology? |  |
| 22 A Not really, no. |  |
| 23 Q That's fine. |  |
| 24 So if I could now draw your attention to Plaintiff's |  |
| 25 Exhibit 132, and please take a look at this document and tell |  |
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if you recognize it.
A Yes, I am familiar with it.
Q Can you tell me what this document is?
A Excuse?
Q Can you tell me what this document is?
A This is another letter of engagement between Cushman \&
Wakefield's Valuation Group and in this case Eric Trump and the
Trump Briarcliff Manor Development LLC as client.
MR. GABER: I'd like to move this document into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 132 in evidence.)
Q You mentioned that this is with Eric Trump as client. So in this case Mr. Eric Trump was your client for this engagement?

A That is correct.
Q But the engagement relates to the same property that we were just discussing in the last April engagement?
A That is correct.
Q And the intended use here under the engagement letter, 24 it says "to document the value of a conservation easement placed 25 on a parcel of land for federal and state income tax purposes."

Is that similar to the previous engagement's scope?
A Yes. I think all the engagements were headed towards this direction. They were contemplating this conservation easement donation and this is one of the final requests.

MR. GABER: If we turn to page two of this document. And maybe we can put that up side by side with page seven of Plaintiffs 158.
Q I just want to ask you towards the bottom of the paragraph beginning with "client intends," it appears that there is some difference in the scope of work here, but I was hoping that you could read these two scopes of work and explain to me what, if anything, is different between the Eric Trump engagement and the Bingham McCutchen engagement?
A Which is the Eric Trump engagement? Is it the one on the left?
Q Yes.
A Okay. Well, clearly, the language is slightly
different. I do know that with Eric Trump's engagement, the one
on the left, we were getting much closer to the donation. Sheri Dillon was involved with some guidance on the nuances and content that the IRS would be looking for and I knew -- I know he did spend some time on real specific language that needed to be in these documents for it to comply to the IRS's regulations, so.
Q So the document on the left, which is the later
engagement with Eric Trump, it says, "The appraisal will also determine the enhancement, if any, to the value of any other property owned by the client," dot, dot, dot, "specifically" and it lists the golf club and the town home.

Is this different than the prior engagement which said that it would determine the value of any other property? So on one hand determine the enhancement to the value versus determine the value?
A Yes, that's a great point, and that did come up through our work with the two clients. There was some confusion over whether or not the golf course and town homes had to be valued individually or whether it was a matter of just defining any impact on value, up or down. I.

Think at the end of the day, if my memory serves me, we concluded that we did not have to specifically value the country club or the townhouse for the final submission, so you make a great point. I think that's what they were driving towards there.
Q Can you turn towards page six of the Ladder engagement letter? That signature there, do you recognize that signature? Who signed it?
A Eric Trump.
Q Thank you.
I'm going to hand you, Mr. McArdle, Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3217, and ask you to familiarize yourself with it and
tell me if you recognize the document.
A I do recognize the document.
Q Can you tell me what it is?
A This is a document pertaining to the same topic. It is
an appraisal of the conservation easement, 71 units and this references to the adjacent properties we've been discussing, the golf course and the town home.

MR. GABER: I'd ask that this be moved into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: To preserve the record, same here standing statute of limitations objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
MR. GABER: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3217 in evidence.)
Q Mr. McArdle, on page five of this document where your signature would be, it says "draft" there. Is this a draft appraisal?

A Yes, it is.
Q Do you know if a final appraisal report was ever issued under this engagement?

A I don't believe it was ever issued.
MR. GABER: Can we go to page four of this document?
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1

Q So here under "market value of conservation easement" it says $\$ 43,300,000$. I believe that's the same value that you placed on the conservation -- for the conservation easement in the prior appraisal draft; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q Okay. But then going up here, the table -- I think it's called the final value of reconciliation is slightly different than the previous engagement. Is that due to the difference in the scope of work we discussed?
A That's exactly right. The presentation -- I mean, as they went through this process they were trying to conform to the rigorous standards of the IRS in understanding exactly the content that was required. Sheri Dillon determined that once again we did not have to define the values of the golf club townhouse. It would merely be a presentation where whether or not the -- whether or not they gained value or lost value. So there is no impact to those assets and that's why they were zeroed out.
Q Can we go to page 16, please? So the second to last paragraph on this scope of work section of the introduction it begins with "because data on comparable sales." And I wanted to draw your attention towards the middle of the paragraph.

Again, it says "typical purchasers do not generally rely on the cost approach when purchasing a property such as the parcel. Therefore, we have not utilized the cost approach to
D. McArdle - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)
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develop an opinion of market value. More specifically, we utilized the income capitalization approach and the sales comparison approach in connection with the before and after method for the conservation easement valuation."

We looked at similar language I believe in the prior appraisal report. Is this expressing a similar -- or can you tell me your understanding of this language in this appraisal report?
A This part of the scope remains the same for the same reason I previously reported. Full-blown cost approach would not be appropriate here, so it was merely carried into this document as it was in the other for the same exact reason. Buyers and sellers, you know, would not be looking at a traditional cost approach for these assets.

Q So despite the fact that you're not -- the difference in the presentation of the value conclusion we just discussed between the two letters or two appraisal reports, one of them valuing the townhouse and the golf club; the other one valuing the change in value to the townhouse and golf club. So in this case were you valuing the change in value to the townhouse and golf club. Are you still -- are you still looking at the value of those using the income capitalization and sales approach?

A Yes, I'm still maintaining those same approaches.
Q So the same -- is it fair to say that the same analysis is done in both despite the change in the scope of work language

McArdle - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)
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## that we discussed?

A Yes, it is safe to say that.
Q And so this draft appraisal is addressed to Mr. Eric Trump, you e-mailed it to Ms. Dillon. Is it your understanding that Mr. Eric Trump received this draft appraisal report?
A Yes. I'm quite sure he was aware that I was sharing it with Sheri Dillon. We were very careful about that the exchanges and they were working hand in hand, so I believe that's why it was sent to her.

Q Okay. So at this point, Mr. McArdle, we've seen two examples where you had an initial engagement that was prepared with Ms. Dillon's firm as the sort of formal client on the engagement letter and then a subsequent engagement letter for the same type of engagement or thereabouts with Mr. Eric Trump listed as the client?

## MR. ROBERT: Objection. <br> THE COURT: Let's hear it.

MR. ROBERT: Mischaracterizes the evidence. The testimony has been internally. They referred to as Eric Trump as the client, but documents clearly say who the client was. In this last one, it was the Briarcliff entity signed by Eric Trump.

Plaintiff's Exhibit 132, "Client, Trump Briarcliff Manor Development LLC" and it was signed by Eric Trump as executive vice president. So the client was not Eric Trump.

The question keeps saying "your client Eric Trump, your client Eric Trump." So if he wants to change that because the document in evidence is clear as to who the client is.

THE COURT: Would you like to dispute that or agree with that?

MR. GABER: Mr. McArdle has testified that he understood Eric Trump to be his client for all of these engagements and in some sense it's a distinction, not a difference. It's his understanding. He worked with Mr. Trump. He's testified to that.

MR. ROBERT: I believe the testimony was internally when the first billing set of e-mails came in it was the -internally to Eric Trump as the client, but clearly when Cushman \& Wakefield enters into an engagement letter there is a specific client.

MR. GABER: That was not the testimony, Mr. Robert. He actually -- the internally, yes, but also beyond that he said he understood Eric Trump to be his client on these engagements.

THE COURT: Objection overruled on the ground just stated, but I understand the point, as usual.
Q So I just want to ask you if it is a standard practice for the appraisal work that you performed for the Trump Organization and their counsel to have this first appraisal, second appraisal like you just described.
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A It was a -- for the work we did for them, it was the pattern, yes.
Q Do you have an understanding as to why that was?
A My understanding was confidentiality.
Q Can you elaborate on that?
A I'm not well versed in the legal reasons for doing it, but clearly it was involving confidentiality.

Q I'm just -- I don't need you to give a legal response.
When you say "confidentiality," what do you as a layperson mean by that or what do you understand by that, if you have an understanding of it?
A Well, we've seen -- I've seen other attorneys do it to try to keep a distance between the law firm and their client, potential owner, let's say.
Q Thank you.
I'll move on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 134. I'll hand this to you and ask you if you recognize it.
A Yes, I do recognize it as another engagement letter between Eric Trump and Trump Briarfield -- Briarcliff Manor Development LLC and my firm Cushman \& Wakefield. Transcript continues on the following page...

MR. GABER: I'd ask this be moved into evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 134.)
Q Mr. McArdle, this is dated June 3, 2015 nearly one year after the June 23, 2014 engagement letter that we just looked at that was marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 132. Can you take a look at this document and tell me what you understand the scope of work of this engagement to be? If you'd like, I could put them up side by side if it is easier for you to compare.
A Yeah. This engagement looks to be very parallel to the one a year ago encompassing the same real property components to be valued for the conservation easement and clearly, there's been a passage of time, but it appears to be very much the same scope.
Q On page six of this document, is that your signature there?
A That is my signature.
Q And do you know whose signature that is below?
A I really don't.
Q But it says, "Executive Vice President Development and Acquisitions for Trump Briarcliff Manor Development, L.L.C."?
A I don't recognize this signature.
Q That's fine, but you see where it says, "executive vice
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## president"?

A I do, certainly.
Q Are you aware -- I believe Mr. Robert just told us that Eric Trump was the -- recognize the signature of Donald Trump Jr.?
A No.
Q Are you aware of whether Trump Briarcliff Manor, L.L.C
had any executive vice presidents other than Eric Trump?
A No, I'm not.
Q So at this time, I would like to hand you Plaintiffs Exhibit 910.

MR. GABER: I'd like to move to admit Plaintiff's 134 into evidence. Oh, I did.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
A I am aware of the e-mail. I'm familiar with the e-mail and the accompanying appraisal product that we delivered.
Q Appraisal product for which property?
A This is again the same Briarcliff Manor assets involved in the conservation easement donation.

MR. GABER: I'd ask that this be moved into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Same objection; statute of limitations.

THE COURT: Same overruling. It's in evidence.
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1 Q On page four of this document, the date here is September 1, 2015, as of September 1, 2015. The e-mail is dated August 28, 2015. Is it common to date a report differently than the date of its transmission?

A That September 1st should be the date of value, the September 1st.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: That doesn't answer the question at
all. Do you care?
MR. GABER: I was tripped up by my microphone turning itself off.

THE COURT: The question was whether it was common
for the date to be the same --
MR. GABER: You're getting ahead of me, Judge. I'm happy to let you finish up the exam.

THE COURT: I will defer to you.
MR. GABER: Thank you.
Q I think the judge said it best, but is it common for
the date of value to be different than the transmission date of appraisal?
A Yes, it is very common.
Q On page five of this document, can you describe what this letter is on page five?
A This is called the letter of transmittals outlining the clients involved. It's simply a letter explaining the
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1 make a determination if there would be any positive of value improvement or detraction to value with the 71 units next door. So we did a thorough analysis and weighed all the pluses and minuses and at the end of the day, we believed it was -- had a neutral impact.

Q Different presentation just in terms of the table, but same analysis?
A Yes.
Q Can we go to page 17 really quickly. So the second to last paragraph again, middle of the paragraph, is the sentence, "Typical purchasers do not generally rely on the cost approach when purchasing a property such as the parcel as a building cost analysis is not normally applicable for a vacant land valuation."

This is slightly different than the previous draft appraisal. Do you understand this sentence to have also taken into account the same analysis regarding country clubs and golf clubs that you described with the previous two?
A Looks like the terminology is slightly different, but it gets to the same point. We're just explaining that it's just not -- the cost approach is just not applicable for this appraisal.

Q Is that because of the golf club component?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
D. MCARDLE- DIRECT(MR. GABER)
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engagement, some of the nuance, some of the regulations we're following; certainly, a brief summary of the scope, the project at hand. In this case, outlining the components of the real estate and then it goes on to give some detail on the process, the scope and our appraisal process itself.
Q Looking at page six, you see a table here, "valuation summary." It looks slightly different than the value reconciliation I think that we saw on the last draft. Can you explain what this is here or what this table represents?

A Again, the presentation that the Trump Organization attorney Sherri Dillon was fluid. They were making a concerted effort to make sure they adhered to all of the IRS guidelines and, you know, that was challenging. It is a lot of red tape and, you know, there were some suggestions on the presentation. I was comfortable with it. The values were consistent and it all seemed reasonable to me, so we kind of crafted a summary that was a little more conforming to IRS requests and guidelines.
Q And again, because the presentation is slightly
different here, row $D$ says, "Less value enhancement to non-TBMD holdings with a triple asterisk," that following it down says, "After condition property value reflects any value enhancement to golf club or Eric trumps townhome." Can you just explain what this means?
A That's simply what we talked about before. We had to

MCARDLE- DIRECT(MR. GABER)
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MR. GABER: Maybe we should -- can we take a break later or sooner?

THE COURT: It doesn't really matter, five or ten minutes.

MR. GABER: If we could break now.
THE COURT: It is very easy to calculate. We will be back at 3:30. Okay, break time.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: I guess we should start with the plaintiff. What do you intend to do with whom and when?

MR. AMER: I think it's clear that we will not get to our next witness today because we have at least another half-hour with this witness and then there's cross-examination.

THE COURT: Will there be cross?
MR. ROBERT: Half-hour or so. Nothing extensive.
THE COURT: Not enough for another witness.
MR. AMER: So the next witness is Mr. Flores, he is actually here. We were going to tell him he could leave for the day.

THE COURT: All agreed? Yeah.
MR. AMER: I think realistically he will be the

1 entire morning. We could start him even first thing

THE COURT: Okay, let's continue with the direct of Mr. McArdle.
Q Welcome back, Mr. McArdle. I'd like to move on to discuss a 2014 consulting engagement for the Seven Springs Estate. Do you recall that engagement?

A I do recall that engagement.
Q I am handing you 131. I ask you to review it and let me know if you recognize it.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A So I do recognize these documents. The first document, again, is an interoffice e-mail between myself and the
administrators recognizing that we have a new engagement underway with Sherri Dillon of Vinson \& Elkins. Ms. Dillon shifted law firms, so it is the same individual. She's now affiliated with a new firm. The engagement involves consulting services at another Trump property identified as Seven Springs Estate.

MR. GABER: I'd ask that this be moved into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 131.)
Q So Mr. McArdle, I would like to direct your attention to page three of this document. So first off, the previous

1 engagement letters that we have seen were on Cushman \& Wakefield letterhead. This one is on Vinson \& Elkins letterhead. What do you understand by that difference?

A We can engage with clients either way. There's no hard and fast rule on which party provides the engagement letter. Our large corporate clients provide their own. For smaller clients, we take the liberty of drafting it and they appreciate that. So this was a shift from previous practices working with Ms. Dillon, but it was not something that we found objectionable, and we let her craft the engagement letter. Of course, we scrutinized it, approved it, and I ultimately signed on.

Q The first sentence here, it says, "Dear Dave, we are pleased to confirm the engagement of Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc. C\&W by Vinson \& Elkins, LLP or the client in its capacity as legal counsel for Seven Springs, L.L.C, the owner of the Seven Springs estate."

How did you understand that Vinson \& Elkins was acting as legal counsel for Seven Springs, LLC?

A Well, much like other engagements with Sherri Dillon and her law firms, it was obvious to me that it was a similar arrangement where Sherri Dillon would act as a representative of one of the Trump entities in this initial engagement.
Q Go to the next sentence. It says, "This engagement effective as of June 17, 2014 is to provide consulting services
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related to an analysis of the estimated value of a potential conservation easement on all or part of the Seven Springs estate."

What do you understand by "provide consulting services related to an analysis of estimated value "here?

A This assignment was described to me as a very preliminary effort with our assistance to further understand the potential value of the lots that were being subdivided on this 200-plus acre estate that were surrounded by two substantial single-family residences. So this was a -- this was a land valuation. That's the way we would -- went into understanding it.

Q So following your formal engagement by this letter, can you describe the process that you undertook in beginning your work to fulfill the terms of the engagement and perform the analysis you just described?
A Sure. Recognizing this was another very large, unique trophy asset owned by the Trump Organization or an entity thereof, it was very important for us to get our arms around exactly what are we appraising, exactly what is the status of it legally, wanted to take a deep dive into physical characteristics. So I had a lot of questions in the beginning, very important that I view the site, so we did all sorts of early maneuverings for me to take a speed course on this property which was so important to me. So I did visit the
property. I was escorted around and I started to, you know, gain a good understanding of the asset itself and what their objective was.

This was a pending subdivision with a lot of moving parts. So in addition to physically looking at the property, there are a lot of behind-the-scenes data that I had to confirm that would play into preparing the credible appraisal report.
Q Was Eric Trump involved in this kind of early
information-gathering phase that you just described?
A He was.
Q Can you describe his involvement?
A Yes. I think Eric assisted in giving me an overview of the property, its history, some of the locational attributes, some of the nuances, some of the, you know, high points, if you will. Ultimately, he did share some data with me or pointed me to the right professional to confirm some important data.
Q Can you speak more to the nature of that data? At a high level is fine?
A Sure. As an appraiser doing a residential subdivision that is fluid and not approved, it was critical that I quickly grab hold of the map, as we call it, you know, and so I wanted to understand the layout, you know, from like a survey perspective and understand the configuration of all the lots that would be ultimately approved at the site because this was going to be -- the request was to value the lots on a retail
level, if you will, just to -- in other words, what you would sell them to an end user for. It was more of an exercise of selling the lots to individual homeowners.
Q So did Eric Trump -- you discussed previously in this that you often undertook a comparable sales analysis in these valuations?
A You know, the scope of the appraisal assignment was to value these lots on a retail level and give the client a sense of what they would sell for individually and also on -- in an aggregate value. This type of appraisal really only needs to focus on the sales comparison approach. Later on, there's elements of the income approach, but no cost approach like we talked about before and a heavy weighted sales comparison approach. Very simply, we want to find similar single-family home sites that are like-kind as best we can, you know, comparable landsites for luxury homes.
Q Did Eric Trump provide you with any proposed comparables?
A He did. He did. You know, the early phone calls with Eric Trump were with him explaining the site as I mentioned in grate detail which I expected him to do and which was very helpful because as we said before, typically the property owners know their properties better than the appraisers at the onset.

So I welcomed his input, did a great job of explaining, you know, some of the physical characteristics, neighboring
properties, lake next door, couple of mansions on the property. And then he recognizing we needed to support, the values with comparables, he was willing to supply me with what he thought were good comparable sales and I had absolutely no problem receiving that type of data from him. Ultimately, I'm going to do a lot of research on my own, but there was absolutely no problem, you know, accepting what he was suggesting were good comps.
Q Did you find him to be knowledgeable, kind of in the realm of valuing these comparables?
A Did I find Eric knowledgeable? Yeah, I think he understood his property. He had a very high opinion of the property which didn't surprise me. You know, we deal with a lot of trophy asset property owners and they love their properties and typically know a lot about them as I said. And in some cases, they're entitled to their opinion of what they're worth.

And he was of the mindset that there were only a few comps out there and he pointed me towards some subdivisions in Greenwich, most notably Conyers Farms. Sent me some other information on different home sites, but he believed Conyers Farms in Greenwich was a fabulous comp, you know. Of course, that's across the state lines and, you know, had to quickly do my homework on that subdivision. I was familiar with it, but I really wanted to get extra familiar to make sure it was truly a like-kind property to Seven Springs. Would you like me to
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expand on this topic?
Q I was going to ask you. Yes, could you explain the homework that you did?
A Yeah. Sure. Although, I feel like I have a
very -- I'm very competent to appraise this type of property, I live on Long Island. I was -- I wasn't quite in my backyard, did as much homework as possible, but I thought it would be very appropriate to bring in a local expert.

Q Who was that expert?
A The local expert was I selected was a gentleman named David Turner from a highly-regarded large residential brokerage firm in the Westchester area called Houlihan Lawrence. So David was one of the top producers in the luxury marketplace that would include home sales and land. I approached him and asked him if he would be interested in helping me with my understanding of land values in Bedford and he quickly agreed.

We scheduled an appointment to visit the site. We got permission to go back. I brought David to the property. He already had known about it, but we thought a detailed walk-through was appropriate. Spent quite a few hours at the property and he felt he now had a better understanding of the property and he could assist me with comparables, sets of comparables that he thought, you know, might be appropriate to consider.

I definitely talked to him about the potential of using

Conyers Farms sales and he took the assignment very seriously. Took a while, but with some back-and-forth communication, he ultimately did reach some -- had some strong opinions on this site and where the range of value should fall.

Q Do you recall generally what those were?
A Yeah. I mean, he came from a lot of different angles which I really appreciated. We were talking about value per site, you know, and the building sites did vary in size and I think some of the Conyers Farms comps may have suggested well over $\$ 3$ million per parcel and he wasn't -- he really was not a believer in that, so he -- he didn't believe that was truly comparable for a lot of different reasons and then he provided me with a plethora of comps and justification for a value that would be well below $\$ 3$ million per lot.

I had been doing my own homework. We have comparable services readily available as well, so by collaborating with David, doing my own work, I have family in residential that are very, very active as well, I came to the conclusion -- you know, to a range of value for this assignment.
Q So maybe at this point, we can get into some of the -- those ranges. I'm going to introduce Plaintiff's Exhibit 181 which is a spreadsheet provided to us natively. So it is just a cover sheet that I'm going to hand to you, but if we could look at the monitor here and let me know if you need anything blown up. But as a general matter, I'll just ask you
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if you recognize this spreadsheet.
A I do recognize this spreadsheet.
MR. GABER: I just want to make sure that I move the prior Exhibit 131 into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Objection; statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 131.)
Q I'm sorry, Mr. McArdle. I cut you off. Could you repeat --

A I do recognize the spreadsheet.
Q What do you recognize the spreadsheet as?
A Well, let me emphasize that this assignment, again, was a verbal assignment. The expectation was that we would get on call at the end of my findings and conclusions and just discuss it verbally, but as appraisers, we do have an obligation to build a work file and I certainly couldn't keep everything in my head. So this is my own internal work file, a rough idea on, you know, the configuration or the number of lots. We're dealing with three municipalities, so I tried to divide up the different sets of lots and then come to just a range of value for these properties based on what we were just talking about, my interaction with Eric Trump, David Turner, myself some of my family members that sell real estate. I started to get warmer and closer to where I thought the value was going to fall and
this is -- these are my notes to myself.
Q Can you help summarize looking at the spreadsheet kind of where the -- what conclusions, if any, you derived from this exercise?
A Sure. The top of the chart represents the Bedford lots. There were more lots in Bedford than the other municipalities, so at the time, we believed there were nine and then the second column is the acreage of each lot ranging from roughly five acres to eleven, so they did vary in size and then out to the right, I'm just penciling in like where that range might fall. That very first lot, B2, you know, we were thinking million-five to $\$ 2$ million retail value of that lot.
(Continued on the next page.)

A And you just continue to go further down the list into the two different other municipalities, New Castle and North Castle.
Q And you do the same analysis for both of those?
A Same analysis, a little more compressed.
Q So where -- what is your final sort of --
A Excuse me?
Q Where do you end up at this top line number? Do you have any here?
A I do have totals here. Column J represents the total of the low end of the range at 36 million. Column $L$ is the high end of that range that I did that day on the spreadsheet and that would be 50 million.
Q Okay. So between 36 and 50 million was the range by this analysis?
A There is few important points I want to make about this, though.

Q Absolutely.
A This was a map in progress. It had not been fully
approved and very important for us to -- the number of lots is a critical component of value here, especially if at the end of the day you're trying to develop an aggregate value before discounting it, at least get a general sense of what this thing might be worth once the sellout is complete, right, full sellout.
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You'll See in New Castle we were a little unsure of whether or not they would yield five lots or six lots.

## Q I see that, yes.

A Bedford we were pretty confident with nine based on the information we provided. And North Castle, ten. So at that time we believed there were approximately 24 to 26 lots available. I -- multiple times. This was a very big concern of mine. I needed to totally understand the configuration and the number of lots as, again, that plays heavily into the final value.

Not only did I ask Sheri Dillon and Eric Trump about the lot yield, as we call it, I asked to speak to their engineer. So I always like to go to a third party who's been in the ground, measuring, calculating, deeply involved with zoning regulations and they quickly directed me to a company called Insight. And I had at least one or two phone calls and my -the objective of those phone calls was to get comfortable with the lot yield, the number of lots that would be yielded absent the two homes.
Q So this was a process of you attempting to gather information from the client about how to conduct -- the inputs that you needed to conduct analysis?
A I needed a lot of input for this because this was not a final map, so it's always fluid and there are obstacles and I elected to, you know, I thought Insight was a great source and
spoke to, of course, Sheri and Eric Trump and all parties kind of led me to the conclusion that we were dealing with, you know, there might be some movement, but it seemed like it was 24 to 26 lots.
Q Sorry. Just going kind of back. I appreciate the explanation. When you were discussing this range of values I think you said this is before discounting?
A Yes.
Q Can you explain what that means?
A Sure. Similar to the Briarcliff analysis we were
talking about earlier it's basically the same sellout analysis except this is more simplistic. This just assumes the absorption or sales to individual lots to individual homebuilders or homeowners over the course of time. So it's merely, you know, you gather your number of lots, you have an estimated value per lot and you just chart them out over a time horizon in a DCF model.

Q So this hasn't been discounted?
A This has not been discounted.
Q Can I now put up and show you PX 182? It's another spreadsheet. And before we leave that one, I'd like to move to admit Plaintiff's 181 into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 181 in evidence.)
Q Mr. McArdle, let me know whether you recognize this spreadsheet.
A I do recognize the spreadsheet.
Q And can you very briefly tell me what it is and then we can ask some questions about it.
A Yes. This is the sellout analysis spreadsheet that I contained in my work file in preparation for a verbal delivery to the client. It's basically a compressed version of what we just looked at on the previous exhibit. Here, we're assuming eight lots in Bedford, six lots in New Castle and ten lots in North Castle.

We also decided based on market conditions that it would probably take six years to sell these lots. This type of analysis not only does it account for the sale of the lots, but looks like the other project we discussed it -- you are going to incur expenses as you go along and the rows in the bottom left of this exhibit are just that, you know, the expenses that we would normally deduct for this type of analysis would be, you know, your property taxes, any kind of dues related to the homeowner's association, if there is one. Certainly sales commissions and soft costs; legal, marketing, overhead, site costs. So they are all deducted from the gross retail proceeds which leaves you with a net cash flow each year and then from
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there we just discount back the -- those proceeds from each year and you can see after discounting the final value estimate was close to just under $\$ 30$ million.

Q Thank you.
MR. GABER: Id ask this be admitted into evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 182 in evidence.)
Q So following these two analyses, the valuation you did and the subdivision analysis, were you prepared to present range of values to the client to complete the engagement as made out in the engagement letter?
A Yes, I was. These sheets were developed for me internally, so I could have a fruitful, you know, verbal phone call with the client.

MR. GABER: So I'd like to now show the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 3188.
Q Mr. McArdle, let me know if you recognize this document.
A Yes, I recognize these documents as e-mails between myself, Eric Trump and Sheri Dillon trying to establish a good time to discuss my findings.

MR. GABER: I would ask this be admitted into

## evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3188 in evidence.)
Q Okay. So Mr. McArdle, the top e-mail here is from Eric Trump. It's to you and Sheri Dillon. Eric Trump says:
"Sheri, by that point ideally, you will have seen the draft appraisal. Assuming we are all in the same ballpark after the call, we can finalize and hopefully put this to bed."

Can you understand -- can you tell me what you understood by Eric Trump's message here?
A It's a little confusing. The final product under this engagement was merely a verbal phone call, me conveying our final value conclusions to the client. So finalizing and putting it to bed, that -- I think Eric's using some loose language there. I can't really -- I don't know what he was thinking, but in my mind we were -- this was the -- we were at the finish line.
Q So your understanding was you were setting up a call to convey the results of your --
A Absolutely.
Q So I'd like to now show you -- this is Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3206. Let me know if you recognize this.
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A Looks like just another e-mail from Eric to me setting up the final call.

Q Okay.
MR. GABER: I would ask that this be moved into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Admitted into evidence. Objection overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 3206 in evidence.)

MR. GABER: I'm handing the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 169 for identification.
Q Mr. McArdle, let me know if you recognize this.
A This is an internal Cushman \& Wakefield e-mail from myself to our top administrator in New York and my nephew who works with me just explaining that this consulting assignment has been completed, reminding her there is no report to -written report to put in our files and the verbal consulting was completed.
Q What do you mean by "the verbal consulting was completed?"
A I mean we would have had a call with Sheri and Eric regarding the final values.

Q And you would have conveyed the value -- the 29 and a
D. McArdle - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)
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half million dollar value that you had in your spreadsheet to Eric Trump and Sheri Dillon on that call?

A Most likely, yes.
Q When you say "most likely," can you elaborate on or be more specific?

A Yes. The focus was more on the retail, what they could sell retail. So I know we talked about the ranges and I think ended up being around $\$ 2$ million per lot.

The sellout analysis is a secondary concern to them, but it was -- I think they wanted to get comfortable with, you know, what each lot was worth. I mean, certainly throughout most of the assignment that's where their focus was.

Q And the retail analysis is the first spreadsheet that we looked at, that's correct?

A That's right.
Q So that range was 30 to $\$ 50$ million?
A That was 30 to 50 . However, I did elect to lean
towards the 50 , which was in my mind very, very well supported based on all the research that we talked about.

Q As an undiscounted retail?
A Undiscounted, correct.
Q Okay.
MR. GABER: I ask that Plaintiff's 169 be moved into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
D. McArdle - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)
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THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 169 in evidence.)
Q So Mr. McArdle, I'm going to show you what we've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 911. Please read it and let me know if you recognize it.

A Yes, I'm familiar with these e-mails between Eric Trump and myself and Sheri Dillon as well.

Q Okay.
MR. GABER: I'd ask this be moved into evidence.
MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 911 in evidence.)
Q So Mr. McArdle, just put this in front of you. Just to confirm, the second e-mail from you to Eric Trump asks for dial-in instructions for a 9:00 a.m. call on the 25th of August. The top e-mail says: "Thanks, David. Speak to you soon." It looks like it was at $8: 30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. Is this the - would this be the date of the call that you had mentioned that you had with Sheri Dillon and Eric Trump where you communicated the range of values?
A I honestly don't know if that was the date of the call.

Page 2000
consulting engagement?
A This is an extension of the work that I started at the property. The scope is very different. As we know my involvement was very preliminary factfinding for the family and Sheri Dillon to make a business decision on going forward with the conservation easement.

This engagement I believe is intended to take it to the next step and start to -- and produce a written report with a more comprehensive report to get them closer to a potential conservation easement donation.
Q Do you know if this engagement was completed?
A Yes, it was.
Q Maybe I can clear things up.
Mr. McArdle, I'd like to show you Plaintiffs
Exhibit 185. Do you recognize this e-mail?
A I may have been mistaken. There was a termination. I'm getting ahead of myself. They're so similar. I believe there was a termination of the idea of producing that written report.
Q Okay.
A And this appears to prove that.
Q I'm glad I can refresh your recollection.
A Thank you.
MR. GABER: I'd ask that this document be moved into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 185 in evidence.)
Q I'd like to hand you Plaintiff's Exhibit 104. Please take a look at it and let me know if you recognize that.

We caught up to yourself perhaps. Do you know what this document is?

A This is again an engagement for Seven Springs between Cushman \& Wakefield and Eric Trump. This is the document I thought I had in my hands a couple minutes ago. This is a request to go forward with a full comprehensive appraisal report for the subject property we've been discussing.

MR. GABER: I'd ask that this document be moved into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 104 in evidence.)
Q Could you look at page six, Mr. McArdle?
A Okay.
Q So in addition to your signature on the Cushman \&
Wakefield, there is another signature here. Can you explain
D. McArdle - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)
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whose signature that is?
A Sure. At this point it would've been logical for me to continue with this engagement but I had a tremendous workload at the time and elected to bow out of continuing my work on the Seven Springs property. I have a very capable, competent senior appraiser in my office that I asked to continue with this task, so I sort of served as a conduit here maintaining the relationship with Cushman \& Wakefield, the Trump Organization, Sheri Dillon. So I assisted with this new engagement recognizing that Tim Barnes was going to take over and complete the assignment in its entirety and I would exit from the whole process. So we thought it was not appropriate to not only have me sign it but Tim as well since Tim would be signing the final document.
Q Is there anything else you remember about the transition of this engagement to yourself from Mr. Barnes?

A Well, it's a unique assignment, a unique property, so we did have some discussions early on, kind of called it the hand off, handing off these one assignment from me to Tim.

I recall some brief conversations giving him a brief overview on the property itself and some of the work I had performed, which is logical. He's now got to step in and he's a very independent appraiser and I did not have a lot of interaction with him after that. He took hold of it wholeheartedly and performed the assignment.


A Yes, I have.
23 Q And are those conservation easements different than standard market value appraisals that other Cushman \& Wakefield professionals may perform?

THE COURT: Let's go to 4:40 today considering everything including breaking early tomorrow. So you have 15 minutes.

MR. FIELDS: Thank you, your Honor.
Q Good afternoon, Mr. McArdle. My name is Lazaro Fields. I represent some of the defendants in this action. I am going to ask you a few questions. Is that okay?
A Yes.
Q I just want to be clear that you recall being shown several appraisals and draft appraisals by the government in your examination?
A Correct.
Q And are all of the appraisals that the government showed you related to conservation easements?

A Yes, they were.
Q So the testimony that you gave on direct examination was just limited to conservation easements, correct?
A The appraisal data we went over today was related to conservation easements.
Q Is that an area that you focus in, that you specialize
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A Yes, there's a nuance to them.
Q Could you just briefly describe what the differences are between the two?
A Well, we talked about it today a little bit. Large majority of the appraisal for conservation easement is fundamental real estate valuation. Talked about valuation of general valuation of land, valuation of potential build-out of a townhouse project, talked about single-family home valuation. We talked about the golf and country club valuation, the differences in some of the adjustments that have to take place after those values are established in some determinations or estimates on how one value might impact the other.
Q What are some of the differences among the adjustments that have to be made?
A Well, in looking at the Briarcliff, for example, we had to weigh whether or not the potential condominium project or townhouse project would benefit the golf club or detract from it. And in that report, there's great detail given to and
thought given to some of the positive attributes and some of the negatives.
Q And is there a recordkeeping practice among appraisers in terms of maintaining records that you use to conduct an appraisal?
A Very much so. We're obligated to maintain files.
Q And is that under the USPAP guidelines?

A It is.
Q And just generally, what is that requirement under USPAP?

A Seven years.
Q But what does the guideline provide? What does it suggest to you that you need to keep or maintain?

A Oh -- well, whatever we feel is relevant and pertinent to the assignment at hand. It is mostly electronic nowadays.
Any kind of research or pertinent information, surveys, photos, some -- any kind of notes that we take, we try to, you know, deposit them in the folder at the end of the assignment.

Q So then would it be fair to say that anything that you relied on in an appraisal would be contained within that file or would there be things not contained within the file that you may have relied on in an appraisal?

A There might be things that don't quite make it in there in this day and age. You know, reading it through that articles. Electronic articles don't always make it in there but, you know, you're building a file mentally and also you have an obligation to, you know -- anything physical to, you know -- you might put in there.
Q In terms of Cushman \& Wakefield's recordkeeping practice, is there an electronic database that you use to maintain your files?
A Yes, there certainly is. We have a network drive.
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Q Is that network drive assessable -- withdrawn. Can you as a Cushman \& Wakefield employee access the files of another appraiser at Cushman \& Wakefield? A Yes, if it is within the same office. In other words, New York colleagues can access other New York colleagues' work.

Q And are there instances in which an appraiser is walled off from obtaining the file of another appraiser?

A No. I mean, some people have subfolders. There are basically two depositories, so, you know, it may be in one of two areas for the most part, but they're not very difficult to obtain.
Q And would you agree with me that in conducting appraisals, that you rely on engineers and architects, among others, to inform you as to material issues within an appraisal?
A Depending on the complexity of the asset, we often -- you know, in addition to the client, we're often directed to a professional such as an engineer, surveyor or construction manager. However, it relates to the project at hand. So yes, third parties are critical sources for us.

Q And when conducting a conservation easement appraisal, are you permitted to assume hypothetical conditions?

A I think you'd have to be a little more specific on that.

Q Sure. So, for instance, with zoning, if you're conducting a conservation easement appraisal and there's a
zoning issue on day one, does that necessarily mean that on day two, that zoning issue would sill persist and how does that factor into your approach?
A Let me say every assignment is different and we treat them on a case by case basis. Sometimes there is a need for a hypothetical condition or extraordinary assumption especially when things are not black and white and may be in transition.

Q You said hypothetical condition and/or extraordinary assumption. Are they the same or are they different terms?

A They are slightly different.
Q What are the differences?
A Hypothetical would be the condition absolutely does not exist and we're going to bypass it and make an exception or make an assumption. An extraordinary assumption is softer, something that, you know, it is a fair judgment to make to move the appraisal to the finish line and it's sort of a flag that we raise to the client that, you know, we -- we had to assume this. There might have been a bit of information that was lacking and in order to complete the appraisal, we feel like it's appropriate to use this assumption. And by the way, you know, it's bolded in many, many places in the report.
Q Did you employ any extraordinary assumptions in the appraisals or draft appraisals that you discussed with government counsel on direct examination?
A I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q Well, were there any extraordinary assumptions -- was the term "extraordinary assumptions"?
A Yes.
Q Did you assume any extraordinary assumptions in the appraisals that you discussed with government counsel on direct examination?

A I think we may have made some assumptions on Seven Springs because that property was in transition at the time.

MR. KISE: One quick housekeeping point that we just missed. So the video clip that they played of Eric Trump, the record has it starting at page 198 , line ten in the deposition, but it doesn't say when it ended. Do we know what the last -- where it went through just so we have it because it is not in the record and they, of course, didn't type out --

MR. GABER: It was at the bottom of the video, but --

MR. GABER: Page 199, line 20.
THE COURT: Please continue.
Q Mr. McArdle, at the beginning of your examination with Mr. Gaber, you discussed the Briarcliff appraisal. Do you recall that generally?
A Certainly.
Q And you had a discussion with Mr. Gaber about how important it was to know your client. Do you remember that?
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A Ido.
2 Q And you also testified, I believe, that a property
owner knows the property just about better than anybody else; is that accurate?

A Oftentimes, that is the case.
Q And that's why a property owners for you are crucial to communicating with to understand the property better, right?

A They are a big part of our, you know, exploratory work. THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q And would you agree that the client has input in the value that you ultimately arrive at?
A No, I would not agree with that.
Q The client has no input?
A He has input in the physical description of the
property, but ultimately, it's our conclusion based on a number of facts that we discovered and got comfortable with over the process of writing the appraisal, so...

Q Fair. And I'm not trying to suggest that the client's value has to be your value. My question is more is it standard practice for a client to advocate for their property to their appraiser.

A I would not call it standard practice, but, you know, I've seen it before over my long career and, you know, it was -- you know, it happens. It happens as a course of doing business.
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Q And it is not unusual for that to happen?
A It's not unusual.
Q And, of course, a client can have their own opinion of value that may differ from your opinion of value, correct?
A Well, absolutely.
Q And that is, I'm sure, common practice in your experience as well?

A It's common practice, but ultimately, we're the ones calling the value.

Q You also discussed with Mr. Gaber the highest and best use analysis. Do you recall that?

A Sure.
Q And I think you said there are four steps perhaps. The first was legally permissible; the second, physically possible; and the third, feasible; finally, the fourth most profitable?
A That's correct.
Q Is that a standard set of steps that you undertake into valuing a conservation easement?
A Yes, because, you know, ultimately, it was the
valuation of the land. Whether it was for conservation or not, that test was still very relevant in the process.
Q And would you agree with me that different appraisers could look at these factors differently?
A In some cases, but I think on a lot of properties, the 25 appraisers often default to a very similar highest and best use.

Q And in terms of specifically conservation easement appraisals, would you agree with me that there are subjectivities that appraisers have to comb through, authorized to come to a conclusion on value?
A There is some subjectivity. There is some objectivity in the beginning and then there is some subjectivity more towards the end with the final value conclusion.
Q And again, reasonable appraisers exercising their
judgment could differ as to some of the subjectivities in valuating a property?

A More times than not, we gravitate towards each other if we are given the same set of circumstances or property. The variances are really -- normally not too wide.

Q But it is possible?
A It is possible.
Q You discussed with Mr. Gaber briefly the subdivision sell-out analysis. Do you recall that?

A I do.
Q When you are conducting a subdivision sell-out
analysis, are you assuming - you are assuming a set of facts as to how many homes could be built, right? Is that fair?

A Yeah, that's one component of it. Certainly, we want to put our arms around how many units are in play for sure.

Q And where does that information come from generally?
A That information -- my first source would be the owner
continuing tomorrow.
Just one quick observation. Common sense tells me that different appraisers could appraise a different property different. How differently, that's another question. To ask every witness over and over again could different appraisers appraise a given property differently seems to me to just be wasting time.

MR. KISE: The only point I'll make on that is we've listened to what I would say in the last two days excruciating questions from the government asking about who's on the "re" line and who's on the "bcc" line.

I don't recall you respectfully hurrying them, so we are trying to move through our examination efficiently, but there are points we believe we need to make. We will take your advice as we always do, but it always seems like when there's a hurry up on the clock, it's our side that's being hurried up and not theirs.

THE COURT: Well, I'm sorry you feel that way. I want everybody to be efficient and I just want to make clear what I said initially here. I'm not stopping you from doing it.

MR. KISE: I know.
THE COURT: You know me well enough.
MR. KISE: I do. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. Tomorrow at ten o'clock.

Page 2018
See you all then.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, the trial was adjourned to October 20, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Laptops and cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now, be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: On October 3rd, during a break in this trial, defendant Donald Trump posted to his social media account an untrue, disparaging, and personally identifying post about my principal law clerk. I spoke to defendants, both on and off the record.

Off the record, I ordered Donald Trump to remove the post immediately. Approximately ten minutes later, Donald Trump represented to me that he had taken down the offending post and that he would not engage in similar behavior going forward. I then, on the record, imposed on all parties to this action a very limited gag order,
"forbidding all parties from posting, e-mailing or speaking publicly about any members of my staff," emphasizing, quite clearly, that "personal attacks on members of my court staff are unacceptable, inappropriate, and I will not tolerate them under any circumstances."

I further made clear that "failure to abide by this directive will result in serious sanctions." Despite this

clear order, last night I learned that the subject offending post was never removed from the website "DonaldJTrump.com," and, in fact, had been on that website for the past 17 days. I understand that it was removed late last night, but only in response to an e-mail from this Court.

In the current overheated climate, incendiary untruths can, and in some cases already has, led to serious physical harm and worse.

I will now allow defendants an opportunity to explain why this blatant violation of a gag order should not result in serious sanctions including financial penalties holding Donald Trump in contempt and/or possibly imprisoning him.

Defendants.
MR. KISE: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning.
MR. KISE: Let me begin by saying what I am going to tell you is based on my understanding.

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. KISE: Because as my staff will clearly affirm, I am the least technological person. I don't even have my corporate e-mail on my phone. So based on my understanding, what has happened here is truly inappropriate. So there were no new postings of any kind after October 3rd. The Truth Social post was taken down as of when President Trump

1 represented in court, absolutely.
My understanding of what happens, though, with the campaign machinery is as follows. So the Truth Social post, and I believe this happens with all social posts, they are captured and published on the website as what's called an ICYMI e-mail, which is an "In Case You Missed It" e-mail, which is what the link was that you sent last night.

The campaign communication team sends out press releases as a matter of course as I understand. They are just packaged all and I think -- I will get to that in a minute. The press releases take the "truths" and they're marked [sic] up in Nucleus, that's the web provider Nucleus, and then they're teed up as an FYI e-mail that is sent in e-mail via Nucleus to the e-mail, the campaign e-mail list.

THE COURT: Just let me interrupt one second. Do we know how many people are on the campaign e-mails?

MR. KISE: I do not at this moment, but I can find that out for the Court. That's a fair question and the Court -- I think you noted this at the hearing because you stated, and this was based on our conversations I think off record, that I have since ordered the post deleted.

Apparently, it was, but it was also e-mailed out to millions of other recipients. I think that's right. I could get you the precise number, but as you observed that day, that apparently is what happens, is that Truth and then
post down and it would be removed.
So this is just part of the, for lack of a better word, unfortunate part of the process that is built into the campaign structure as I understand it, that all of his Truth posts are wrapped up and sent out by Nucleus and then sent out in these "In Case You Missed It" e-mails.

So it is unfortunate. I certainly apologize on behalf of my clients and I can get further assurance from the tech people as to the number the e-mail went out to and re-confirmation that anything we have control over has been completely removed and deleted.

THE COURT: I take issue with one thing you said, which I don't know, but when you said that he -- that Donald Trump ordered the post removed in my presence, no, he didn't. He went outside --

MR. KISE: Okay. Okay. That's fair. He may not have. I don't remember the chain of events. I know that he did. I can assure you that he did and his communications, I believe, so there was no intention to evade or circumvent or ignore the order. I can assure you that. I just know that this is a very large machine and this is how it is. One of the reasons, frankly, I don't have social media because these things get away from you very, very rapidly, quite honestly, but that's it. It has been taken down and we don't have any other -- there were no subsequent postings,
it is packaged up by Nucleus and it is just sent out as an e-mail. Those e-mails cannot be recalled. The Truth post was taken down. Nothing further has been posted on Truth. President Trump has not made any statements of any kind about court staff, has abided by the order completely; but it appears no one also took down the ICYMI, In Case You Missed It, link that's in the campaign website in the back pages.

So, again, this is my understanding, so bear with me, if you go to the website, there's a link for news and then you go to the link for news, that brings up other things and then part of that is a whole bunch of these ICYMI, In Case You Missed It links. So that link was not -- it appears was not taken down from the campaign website. The link has now been taken down as you observed and there is nothing else out there and I will get further confirmation of this, but I had confirmation last night and again this morning that we have no control over what is being published.

So truly, this appears to be inadvertent between the campaign Nucleus and it is a very large operation. I'm not offering that by way of excuse. I'm just explaining the circumstances. It is clear that President Trump directed that this be done. I mean, he did it in my presence. He did it in your presence. He affirmed that he was taking the
meaning that since the order was entered, my understanding is that there was nothing -- no further comment, no further -- it was all part of this process that sent it out and left it on the website in the back pages.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Mr. Robert, anything to add?
MR. ROBERT: On behalf of my clients, I join in what Mr. Kise said. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Plaintiff, anything to -- any comments?

MR. WALLACE: Nothing from the Attorney General, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll take this under advisement, but I want to make clear that Donald Trump is still responsible for the large machine even if it is a large machine.

All right. We have some scheduling issues. I'm turning the microphone over to my principal law clerk.

MS. GREENFIELD: We received, I know the parties are aware, we received a motion that was submitted last night by counsel for Ivanka Trump and I wanted to talk briefly to the parties about a briefing schedule for that. We'd like to obviously escalate that as quickly as possible and our intent as for right now is to try to schedule oral argument on that on a trial day, but to begin at 9:30 so as to not waste witness testimony.
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Court sign the order as soon as possible. We will be UCF-g it this morning. Thank you.
THE COURT: Granted.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
THE COURT: Let's get the witness.
MR. FIELDS: We took Your Honor's direction to heart last night. This cross-examination will be exceedingly brief and we will get Mr. McArdle out for an early weekend and we can move forward.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE OFFICER: Witness entering.
THE COURT: I will advise the witness, as I always do, that he is still under oath. Let's continue the examination by Mr. Fields.

MR. FIELDS: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. FIELDS: (CONTD)
Q I have a series of short questions for you and we will have you on your way for the weekend.

A Thank you.
Q You testified yesterday, I believe it was, relating to
22 Seven Springs, that you reached out to a real estate broker in the Connecticut area; is that correct?

A He was based in Westchester County.
Q And he had -- did he have knowledge of the Conyers
OAG and defendants, to the extent that you want to brief on this issue, if you could let us know how soon you can get that done. We'd like to get this oral argument on the motion scheduled for next week, if possible.

MR. WALLACE: Would you like us to huddle first? We will do so during the break.

MS. GREENFIELD: Thank you.
MR. KISE: One more minor scheduling. I mean, as long as we are on scheduling. Judge Cannon in the case in south Florida has ordered a hearing on November 1st. There's going to be some dialog. I understand that they are in court today on another issue unrelated to my client, but I'm trying to find out if Judge Cannon will allow me to appear on a Monday or Friday or perhaps by video on Wednesday. I just want to alert the Court that I'm -- I may ask you to be excused from trial for one of those days, whatever day the hearing is.

THE COURT: All right. Thanks for the notice. We will deal with it if and when it comes out.

MR. ROBERT: One other mechanical thing, Your Honor, if I may. We are going to be submitting to you the pro hac application of Ivan Feris and Jennifer Hernandez to help with the depositions next week since we are doing deps and trial. The Attorney General has no opposition and since one of the depositions is Monday, we would just ask that the

1 Q Would you agree with me that Ms. Dillon is meticulous?
2 A I would agree with that.
3 Q Would you agree with me that Ms. Dillon is a good, diligent attorney?

A Based on my experience with her, she appeared to be.
MR. FIELDS: If I may, I'm going to hand up to the witness what's been marked for identification as Plaintiff's 175.

Q Take a look at this and let me know if you recognize it.

A I do recognize this e-mail.
Q And is this an e-mail between you and Mr. Timothy Barnes?
A This is an e-mail from myself to a colleague at Cushman
\& Wakefield, Timothy Barnes.
MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, I move to admit
Plaintiffs 175.
MR. ROBERT: Again, this is one of the times when introducing an exhibit subject to our statute of limitations because questions were brought out on direct. Same as we've done in the past.

THE COURT: I understand. The objection is noted. Overruled.

MR. GABER: I want to note that there is a -- it is unclear whether or not this was a draft or whether it was
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## sent.

THE COURT: You may ask the witness.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 175.)
Q Would you note, Mr. McArdle -- I will represent to you this was produced to us as you can see on the bottom -- scroll to the bottom.

There is a Cushman \& Wakefield Bates number on the bottom, C\&W, do you see that?

A Yes.
Q I'll represent to you that this was produced as part of this litigation from Cushman \& Wakefield, but do you see at the top that there's a blank space next to the from? Do you have any idea why that would be blank?

A No. I've seen this before and I don't understand the reason for it.

Q Fair enough. Neither do I, but, nevertheless, you sent this e-mail to Mr. Barnes; is that fair?
A That is fair.
Q This was in May of 2015. Would it be fair to say that you were reaching out to Mr. Barnes to inquire whether he was interested on working on the Seven Springs conservation easement appraisal?
A That is correct.
25 Q You noted that you had been working with this outside
legal staff for several years. Would that be Ms. Sherri Dillon?
A That's correct.
Q You noted that the assignment was not a great fit for you for several reasons. Was one of those reasons that you were extremely busy around this time?
A That's correct. I had a very heavy workload and it really was not a good fit. I was hoping to serve some other clients, you know, do the right thing for them as well.
Q And would it also be fair to say that Mr. Barnes had a
little bit more subject matter expertise regarding this easement appraisal?
A I wouldn't necessarily say he had more experience, but he was clearly competent and I believed that he could get the job done.

Q And then the second to last line, Mr. McArdle, you note that the attorney is excellent. Who are you referring to when you refer to the attorney?
A Sherri Dillon.
Q And regarding Seven Springs, would you agree with me that Mr. Eric Trump had a view that when Seven Springs was developed, it would be equally comparable to Conyers Farms?
A That was his view.
Q And that's Mr. Trump felt that was one of the best comps to compare Seven Springs to; is that fair?
A It was one of his favorite comps to promote.

Page 2034
THE COURT: Remember, try to use first names because Mr. Trump could refer to several people.

MR. FIELDS: Yes, sir.
Q I'm referring to Mr. Eric Trump.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you, Your Honor. I apologize.
Q Would you agree that as an owner of the property, Mr.
Trump, Eric Trump is entitled to have his view?
A Yes. We always seek out an opinion. It's fair to obtain their input.
Q There was also an issue regarding litigation in Seven Springs, an access road? Do you generally recall that there was some litigation involving an access road in Seven Springs?
A I do.
Q And would you agree with me that that litigation was just a piece of information that went into your overall analysis for these --

MR. GABER: This is going beyond the scope of the direct at this point and so I'm just wondering if they're taking Mr. McArdle for their case at this point out of turn or what's going on.

MR. FIELDS: We can. I was just going to ask him about the Seven Springs conservation easement appraisal.

THE COURT: Is it outside the scope of direct and if so, are you addressing him as your witness?

MR. FIELDS: Well --
were suitable and reasonable; is that right?
A That's correct.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you very much. Have a wonderful weekend.

THE COURT: Is that it for defense? Any re-direct? MR. GABER: No, Judge.
THE COURT: I may have one question. Hold on.
Mr. McArdle, my one question and you've probably been asked this before, are you related to Andrea McArdle, Broadway's first Annie?

THE WITNESS: No. It has been asked many times, but I'm not.

THE COURT: Oh, shucks.
THE WITNESS: I do have some redheads in the family.

THE COURT: Thank you. You're excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
THE COURT: I think he is on his way here, but plaintiff, would you like to call your next witness.

MR. AMER: We would, Your Honor. The people call Raymond Flores.
(Continued on the next page.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand. )

THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand.
Do you solemnly swear or affirm any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. Please state your full name and either home or business address on the record.

THE WITNESS: Raymond Flores. Home address is 525 West 52nd Street, Apartment 14D, New York, New York 10019.

THE COURT: And I'll direct the witness you need to speak right into the mic, like, this loudly, clearly, slowly.

And let's start with the questions.
MR. AMER: Thank you.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. AMER:

Q Good morning, Mr. Flores. My name is Andrew Amer. I'm with the Attorney General's Office.

Can we start with just having you summarize for the Court your educational background after high school?

A I graduated from the University of Pennsylvania in
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## 2010.

Q And what was your degree in?
A Bachelor's of science and economics.
Q And subsequent to graduating have you received any professional certifications or licenses?

A No.
Q And can you take us through your employment history following your graduation from Wharton?

A I worked with Moody's Investors Service from November of 2010 through June of 2012. Subsequently, I joined the Trump Organization from June of 2012 through March of 2022, and I'm currently employed by Brev Management as of March of 2022 to today.

Q Let me just go back and fill in some details.
For the year and a half that you were at Moody's what was your title?

A I believe I was an associate analyst.
Q And what area were you an analyst in?
A The commercial real estate finance team.
Q And when you joined the Trump Organization in June of 2012, what was your title that you started at?

A I was an analyst.
Q And were you an analyst within any particular team?
A I believe it was the acquisitions and development team.
Q And can you just tell us very generally what your role


A Probably a handful of times in a given month.
Q And can you generally describe the nature of your interactions with Mr. McConney?
A Similar to the types of interactions with
Mr. Weisselberg, Mr. McConney would reach out for information regarding the properties that I was responsible for managing and I was primarily the outreach.
Q So prior to leaving the Trump Organization in March of 2022, were you ever involved in the preparation of Donald J. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?

A I was. I believe I was involved beginning in -towards the end of 2020 in the preparation of the Statement of Financial Condition presented to outside parties in 2021, but prior to that I was not involved with the preparation of that statement.
Q Just so we're clear, because you said presented in
2021, but if -- the statement you began working on in late 2020 was that the statement that was as of June 2020?
A I don't recall. I believe it was, but I don't recall.
Q And was there a second statement that you were involved in that would have been as of June 30, 2021 that you participated in working on before you left in March of ' 22 ?
A I don't recall.
Q You do recall, though, beginning work on the Statement of Financial Condition as early as late 2020; is that right?
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A That's correct.
Q Prior to your involvement in the preparation of the June 30, 2020 Statement of Financial Condition were you aware that such a document existed within the company?
A I was not aware that that document existed.
Q And can you describe for us what the nature of your involvement was in the preparation of that initial statement that you were involved in, the one that was in late 2020 ?
A I believe Alan Garten, chief legal officer of the
company reached out to ask for me to review the statement - the preparation of the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q So my question's a little different. It wasn't about how you first got involved. It was just to describe for me the nature of what you did in connection with your role in the preparation of the statement.
A I reviewed the statement and the underlying assumptions that went in the valuations.
Q Let me pause on the first half of your answer.
You said you reviewed the statement. Did you review
the -- we've seen two documents, one called the Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet and then the actual spreadsheet itself. So when you said you reviewed the statement, what were you referring to?
A I believe I reviewed -- I believe I reviewed
descriptions of the property valuations that went into the
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statement. I don't actually recall reviewing the final statement.
Q Do you recall reviewing an Excel spreadsheet?
A Can you be more specific about the Excel spreadsheet?
Q We'll look at some -- so maybe that's the better way and we'll see if you can help me out on that.

When you say that you reviewed assumptions, what assumptions did you review?

A Primary assumptions were the parables that supported specific valuations.

Q Do you recall if there were specific assets that you reviewed the assumptions for?

A I believe one of the primary assets was 40 Wall Street, the Old Post Office and the Old Post Office in Washington, D.C.

Q Any others?
A Not that I can recall.
Q Did you review any assumptions for the golf courses?
A I did not, no.
Q How about Mar-A-Lago?
A I recall reviewing assumptions on comparables for that property.

Q As you sit here today, do you recall reviewing
assumptions for any assets other than what we've just discussed?
A No.
Q And did you -- when you reviewed assumptions, did you

Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
Page 2046
also review in addition to - I think you said comps. Did you review capitalization rates?
A I believe so.
Q Who did you work with in connection with your participation on the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition?
A It was Allen Garten, Adam Rosen, Allen Weisselberg, Jeff McConney, and Patrick Birney.

Q Do you recall -- just to see if it refreshes your
recollection, did you look at any assumptions in connection with
the assets held by the Vornado Partnership Interests, 1290
Avenue of the Americas and 555 California Street?
A I don't believe so, no.
Q Did you review any language of the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition in draft form?
A I believe I reviewed some excerpts that were meant to go into the draft of the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q And who provided you with those excerpts?
A I don't recall.
Q To your understanding when you were working on the preparation of the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition, who had final approval of the methodologies used and the assumptions made?

A I don't know.
Q So you didn't have any understanding among the group that you were working with who had final approval?
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A No, I did not.
Q And you continued to work on the preparation of the statement through March of 2022 when you left; is that right?

A I recall working on the 2020 statement. I can't recall if I worked on the 2021 statement.
Q And my question was a little bit different, though.
I just want to know if you have a recollection of continuing to work with the group on the preparation of the statement all the way through when you left in March of 2022?

A No, I don't recall.
Q Do you recall participating in a call in the fall of 2021 with others to discuss valuation methods?

A I don't recall.
Q Let's go ahead and talk about some work you did with respect to the golf clubs.

Were you aware that for some golf clubs members paid a refundable membership deposit?
A Ido.
Q And were the refundable membership deposits something that you were tasked to keep track of?

A I was.
Q And who tasked you to keep track of those membership deposits?

A I believe it was Eric Trump.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Plaintiff's
R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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Exhibit 2041.
Q Mr. Flores, do you see page one and page three are e-mail exchanges that you are included on?

A I see that.
Q And the second and fourth pages are slip sheets for the attachments that are native files.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 2041 in evidence.)
Q Let's look at the third page. And this is an e-mail to -- from Ian Gillule to Eric Trump and you are cc'd on this e-mail?

A Correct.
Q And the subject is "Trump Westchester Membership Deposit and Resignation Spreadsheet." Is that the same golf course that's also known as Briarcliff?
A I believe so.
Q And Mr. Gillule writes in the second paragraph:
"Per your e-mail to Karen, I've put together the
information you've requested. Please see the attached
spreadsheet containing two tabs, one with membership deposit
R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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information and the second tab with the resignation lists." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q To your knowledge was membership deposit information something that Eric Trump was interested in tracking?
A Yes.
Q And do you have an understanding as to why he was interested in tracking that information?
A I don't know.
Q I think you testified, though, that he tasked you with tracking the information; correct?

A Beyond tracking the information, I don't know why he wanted me to do that.

Q He asked you to do it but he never told you why he wanted you to do it?

A Correct.
Q Okay. If you go to the e-mail on the first page, the bottom e-mail, that's from Eric Trump to you; correct?

A Correct.
Q And he says to you: "I wanted to introduce you to Brian, our new GM at Trump National Westchester. Brian is going through all the files and getting everything perfectly organized. Why don't you link up next week and take him through all of your spreadsheets for the property. He is working on a few addendum as we speak and they probably need to be update" --
. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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should be, I think, "updated as it was probably a year ago that these were created. I leave it to you to connect."

See that?
A Yes, I see this.
Q And then you write to Brian in the top e-mail:
"Hope all is well and welcome to the team. Per Eric's e-mail below, I've attached a workbook I put together last summer when Eric asked me to coordinate with all the clubs to summarize membership liabilities." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q So is it correct that in the summer of 2014 you were asked by Eric Trump to coordinate with all the clubs to summarize membership liabilities?
A That's what the e-mail says, but I can't recall.
Q Do you have any reason to believe based on what you've written to Brian that that wasn't the case?
A No.
Q And is the workbook being referenced here material that was tracking the membership deposit liabilities?

A It was one form of tracking the liabilities. I believe the accounting team at the property also tracked the liabilities as well.
Q Okay. So this was something you started doing in the summer of 2014; correct?

A I don't recall when I started tracking the liabilities
for this club.
Q Well, you wrote to Brian saying that you started working on the attached workbook last summer; right?
A That's what that e-mail says, but I -- the starting
point of tracking, I can't recall if that summer or might have
been prior to that.
Q It was at least as far back as the summer of 2014?
A I believe so.
MR. AMER: Let's put up Exhibit 2041, native one.
Q Do you recognize this, Mr. Flores, as one of the spreadsheets that was an attachment to this e-mail?
A This tab looks familiar.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'm not sure if we're
admitting separately the native files or whether they're all in with the initial e-mail.

THE COURT: It's simpler with the initial e-mail, I think.

MR. ROBERT: I figured it was with the initial e-mail.

THE COURT: Good.
Q And then if we go to native two of the Exhibit 2041.
This is entitled registration list.
And then if you click on the first tab -- I'm sorry -"Resignation List." And then the first tab is "membership refundability." Do you see that?
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A Yes, I can see that.
Q And so is this -- are these spreadsheets the
spreadsheets you created to track membership deposit liabilities?
A Not this spreadsheet, no.
Q Was this one of the attachments to the e-mail as far as
you could tell?
A I don't know.
Q Does this track membership deposit liabilities?
A That's what it appears to track, but I did not produce this.
$Q$ Do you know who did prepare this?
A I don't know.
Q Did you prepare spreadsheets like this to track the membership deposit liabilities?
A Yes, they contain similar types of information, but I did not produce this document.

MR. AMER: Let's look at Row 345.
Q So based on your familiarity with preparing
spreadsheets that track membership liabilities are you familiar with what this row is reflecting?
A Can you scroll back up to the top, please? And can you scroll back to Row 345? I don't know what that row number represents.
Q Well, it says "total," right?

A It is a total but -- yes, it's a sum of columns $E$ and F.

MR. AMER: Let's look at another document, PX 2040.
Q Mr. Flores, this is a series of e-mail exchanges you
have with Heidi Brzyski at TrumpNational.com; correct?
A It appears to be what this is.
Q And it has some slip sheets for some attachments that are part of this e-mail exchange.

MR. AMER: I'd move to admit this into evidence with the attachments.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 2041 in evidence.)
Q Mr. Flores, let's look at the bottom e-mail on
page one. You're writing to Ms. Brzyski. And who is she, by the way?

A According to this e-mail she is the general manager of Colts Neck.

Q That's one of the Trump golf courses; correct?
A I believe -- correct.
Q And you write to her:
"Hope all is well. I'm working with Eric to update our records on the total membership refundability across our
R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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courses. Can you please send us your current spreadsheet and full resignation list with names, refund amounts, and any other permutations or notes for everyone with a refund obligation;" correct?
A Yes, that's what this e-mail says.
Q So this was October 2015 and this was part of your undertaking to update records on total membership refundability; correct?
9 A I believe so.
10 Q So did you send similar e-mails to managers of other golf courses within the Trump Organization to collect similar information to allow you to update the records on membership refundability?

A I did.
Q And you were doing that in connection with direction given to you by Eric Trump; correct?

A Correct.
Q And in the top e-mail she sends you back the refundable deposit list and resignation list; correct?

A That's what the e-mail says, correct.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark 3212.
Q You see this is -- the top e-mail is an e-mail exchange that includes you as a carbon copy; correct?

A That's what the e-mail says, correct.
Q And the subject is "golf liabilities." Do you see
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|  |  |
|  | A Correct. |
| 3 | MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted |
|  | into evidence. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Granted. |
| 6 | (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is |
|  | received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3212 in |
|  | evidence.) |
| 9 | Q Let's look at the top e-mail that you were copied on. |
|  | Says, "Hope all is well." And this is being sent in March of |
|  | 2017. Do you see that? |
|  | A Yes. |
| 13 | Q And it's from Jonathan Etra at the Trump Organization. |
| 14 | He's -- is he somebody who is within the same team as you? |
| 15 | A Yes, he was. |
| 16 | Q He writes to a woman named Gina Galeros, "Hope all is |
|  | well. Per Eric Trump's instruction we are having each golf |
|  | property with membership liabilities begin utilizing the |
| 19 | corporate liabilities matrix attached which is based off |
| 20 | information provided by you and updated as of 12/31/2016." |
| 21 | Was this matrix that Mr. Etra is referring to something |
|  | that you and he began using to track membership deposit |
| 23 | liabilities? |
| 24 | A I don't -- do you have a copy of the attachment? I |
|  | don't know what it looks like. |
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hat?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3212 in evidence.)
Q Let's look at the top e-mail that you were copied on. Says, "Hope all is well." And this is being sent in March of 017. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And it's from Jonathan Etra at the Trump Organization.
He's -- is he somebody who is within the same team as you?
A Yes, he was.
Q He writes to a woman named Gina Galeros, "Hope all is well. Per Eric Trump's instruction we are having each golf property with membership liabilities begin utilizing the corporate liabilities matrix attached which is based off information provided by you and updated as of 12/31/2016."

Was this matrix that Mr. Etra is referring to something that you and he began using to track membership deposit liabilities?
A I don't -- do you have a copy of the attachment? I don't know what it looks like.

Q I'm just asking for your best recollection, Mr. Flores.
A No, I can't recall if the attachment referenced in this e-mail was what you're asking.
Q So I'm not -- my question wasn't specific to the attachment. It was just very generally do you have a recollection of using a matrix in this time frame to reach out to the golf clubs for tracking membership deposit liabilities?

A Yes.
Q And was Mr. Etra working with you on this project at this point in time?

A I believe so.
Transcript continues on the following page....
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see some excerpts from it?
A Correct; but for prior statements, I had not.
Q Right.
Let's go to page 11 of 24 in this exhibit and let's go to the Colts Neck section. If you scroll up a little bit. I just want to read to you the last couple of sentences in that paragraph. And we were looking, by the way, at the exchange with the manager for Colts Neck about tracking the membership liabilities. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Okay. In this Statement of Financial Condition, this is the final version that was issued for 2017. It says, "Prior to June 1, 2010, one condition of membership was the contribution of a non-interest-bearing deposit that does not require repayment until certain terms are met and then only upon the member's resignation. The fact that Mr. Trump will have the use of these funds for that period without cost and that the source of repayment will most likely be a replacement membership has led the trustees to value this liability at zero and not its present value." Do you see that?
A Yeah, that's what this document says.
Q Is it the case, Mr. Flores, that while the trustees were valuing the membership deposit liabilities at zero, you and others were working to track the membership deposit liabilities across the clubs at Eric Trump's direction?
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A That's correct.
2 Q Just to make sure the court reporter got it, you said "that's correct"?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Okay. Can we put up next to this, side by side, the 2020 statement which is Exhibit 856 in evidence. If we could go to -- I think it's page 9 of 21, but it is the Colts
Neck -- yes.
Q You'll see, Mr. Flores, the same language appears in this document. Prior to June 1, 2010, one condition of membership was the contribution of a non-interest-bearing deposit that does not require repayment until certain terms are met and then only upon member's resignation. The fact that Mr. Trump will have the use of these funds for that period without cost and that the source of repayment will most likely be a replacement membership has led the trustees to value this liability at zero and not its present value." Same language, right?
A That's correct.
Q Was this language language that you reviewed in connection your work in preparing the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition?
A No.
25 Q But it does appear that while the trustees were valuing
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the membership deposit liabilities at zero for purposes of the
2020 Statement of Financial Condition, that you yourself were
helping to prepare -- you and others were tracking those deposit liabilities at Eric Trump's direction, correct?
A Correct.
Q I want to shift to a different topic. Do you recall that there came a point in time when you were helping prepare the Statement of Financial Condition, that the methodology for valuing golf clubs in 2020 changed?
A I don't recall.
Q Okay.
MR. AMER: Why don't we put up the 2021 supporting data spreadsheet and it is Exhibit 352 in evidence. I would like to look at Briarcliff which begins on row 289.
Q So let me first ask looking at this spreadsheet, is
this the spreadsheet format that you reviewed in connection with your work helping to prepare the Statements of Financial Condition?
A I believe for the 2020 statement, correct.
Q If you look at row 308, you'll see it says, "6/30/20, upon the advice and guidance of Marcus \& Millichap, golf course industry experts, we left the golf course value unchanged from 2019." Do you see that?

A Yes, that's what the document says.
25 Q Then if you look at row 306, it says, "Upon the advice
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## the golf courses?

A I -- I can't recall.
Q Let's look at -- well, did you have any discussion with people who you were working with in preparing the Statement of Financial Condition at any point in time prior to when you left the company about using two to three times gross revenue where there was a negative EBITDA to value golf courses?
A I don't recall, no.
Q Do you have any recollection of discussions about how to value the golf courses where the golf course had a positive EBITDA?

A I don't recall.
Q Let's look at row 363, which is for Colts Neck. You see in this row it says under the note, " $6 / 30 / 21$, upon the advice and guidance of Marcus \& Millichap, golf course industry experts, a normal golf course with a consistently positive EBITDA trades at six to eight times EBITDA, but the last few purchases have been nine to ten times. Based on the quality of Trump courses could sell at ten times EBITDA." Do you see that?
A Yes, that's what the document says.
Q And then you'll see under rows 375 to 376 , this is a calculation that, in fact, uses this multiplier ten times EBITDA. Do you see that?

A That's what the spreadsheet says.
Q Do you have any recollection of discussing with those
individuals who you worked with in preparing the Statements of Financial Condition at any time prior to leaving the organization in March of 2022 this method of calculating values for courses with a positive EBITDA?

A No.
Q I just want to be clear about this. If we have had testimony from others in this case that you participated in a telephone call with Marcus \& Millichap where these valuation methodologies were discussed, does that refresh your recollection at all that you were a participant in those discussions?
A No.
Q And if we have testimony in this case from others that it was your idea to average the net fixed assets with the two to three times gross revenue multiplier for purposes of valuing golf course assets, does that refresh your recollection?

A No.
Q Do you have any recollection whatsoever at any point in time working on the valuation of the preparation of the valuations for the statements of discussing these valuation methods that are attributed to Marcus \& Millichap?

A No.
Q Let me focus your attention on the Jupiter Golf Course. You're familiar with that course down in Florida, correct?

A Correct.

## R. FLORES - DIRECT(MR. AMER)

Page 2064

A Correct.
Q You see the subject is the "Jupiter appeal recommendation"?
A Correct.
Q And who is Mr. Corbiciero?
A I believe he was a consultant, a tax certiorari with
Marvin F. Poer.
Q You said he was a consultant for the Trump
Organization, correct?
A Correct.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move this exhibit into evidence.

THE COURT: It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3216.)
Q And the firm he is with Marvin F. Poer \& Company. If I just refer to that as Poer \& Company, is that okay? Can we refer to that as Poer \& Company?
A Yes.
Q I think you mentioned tax certiorari. Is that a fair

23 Q Mr. Corbiciero goes on to say, "The 2020 combined 24 proposed market value is $\$ 16,672,472$ which is a two percent 25 increase over last year's value;" is that right?
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A That's what the e-mail says.
Q So when you read this e-mail, you understood that the value was a proposed market value for Jupiter, correct?
A That's correct. That was coming from the tax assessor. Q And then the next paragraph starting in the second sentence of that paragraph says, "Using the same methodology as in previous years" and let me just stop here and ask. Had you been involved in this process in prior years for the Jupiter tax notices?

A Yes.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q So when Mr. Corbiciero writes, "using the same
methodology as the previous years," you would have been aware of what those -- what that same methodology had been, right?

A I believe so.
Q And he goes on -- so he goes on to say, "Using the same methodology as in previous years, we determined a GIM of 1.38 which is below the average of 1.45 from the SGA report." Did you understand GIM to mean gross income multiplier?

A Yes.
Q Is that synonymous with gross revenue multiplier?
A I don't know.
Q Did you understand that GIM was a multiplier that would be multiplied by the gross income for the club?

A Yes.
$1 \quad$ And do you know what he's referring to when he refers to the SGA report?

A I don't recall.
Q He goes on to say, "We also reviewed the most
comparable assessments which mostly increase between one to four percent over last year's values and support a range of 503,778 per hole to $1,335,269$ per hole." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q And Jupiter is an 18-hole golf course, correct?
A I don't recall the exact number of golf holes.
MR. AMER: I don't think it is a matter in dispute,
so I'll represent that it is an 18 -ole course.
Q Now, in terms of the methodology that is described here, you would agree with me there's no mention of using a different methodology other than the gross income multiplier method, do you?

A Sorry. Can you repeat the question?
Q Sure. The only methodology that Mr. Corbiciero is referencing here is the methodology that uses a gross income multiplier; isn't that right?

A He also references a comparable assessment approach.
Q Nothing about a fixed assets approach, though, correct?
A Not in this e-mail, no.
Q Then in the fourth paragraph, he says, "Based on the
information provided and current market data, our analysis of

1 be clear. I'm not the tech person, but this is what I've 2 been advised this morning. So the e-mail that goes out, the 3 ICYMI, In Case You Missed It, e-mail does not go out on the 4 broader distribution list. That's a press e-mail. It is 525,810 recipients. So while that is still a number, it is 6 not millions. It is not -- it's not what -- what we had 7 talked about before. It doesn't go -- that's not the broad 8 distribution list.

So there were 25,810 recipients on that e-mail link or e-mail chain. Of that, there were 6,713 that opened the e-mail and then we go from there to the website because -- so that covers the e-mails. There's 25,810 e-mails, 6,713 that were opened.

The e-mail sends you to the link, the link. So between October 3rd and October 19th, there were a little over 114 million visitors to the campaign website, total visitors to the campaign website. However, only 3,701 , 3-7-0-1, viewed the -- they're called unique views, viewed the posting, viewed this posting that is the subject of what we're talking about today. That would include anyone that received the e-mail. So they're not separate. So of the 6713 folks that got the e-mail, if they were to click on the link, they would have been counted in that 3,701 individuals that viewed the post.

But again, of the 114 million plus visitors to the
the subject property indicates that the market value is reasonable." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q The market value that he's telling you he believes is reasonable is the value -- market value of 16.7 million, right? A Yes.

MR. AMER: If -- am I at my time, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Well, you have two minutes or you can quit now.

MR. AMER: I was going to put up another exhibit, so maybe it makes sense to --

THE COURT: It makes sense to break now. Okay. 15 minutes, everybody. See you at a quarter to noon.

MR. KISE: I will hopefully have an update for you on the question you asked earlier before the witness comes in after the break on the numbers.

THE COURT: I understand. Great.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

MR. KISE: I do have some numbers. There is some good news under the circumstances.

THE COURT: I'd love to hear them.
MR. KISE: Again, this is my understanding just to
website, they didn't view the post. You have to click through layers to get there, but that's -- and if the Court has further questions about the numbers, but that is the breakdown that I have for now. I may be able to break it down by day, October 3, October 4, 5. I just don't know if it would matter.

THE COURT: That wouldn't matter.
MR. KISE: But there are 3,701 total viewers of the post that is in question which again, as I've said, has since been taken down.

THE COURT: Well, you and I are both becoming more tech savvy from all this.

MR. KISE: Apparently. Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Witness, please.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Witness resumes the stand.)
MR. KISE: Just one thing. I know the witness is there, but it won't relate to testimony. I'm looking at the realtime. The 3701 is the number of people that did view the post, not did not.

THE COURT: We understood.
MR. KISE: I know, but on the record, it said didn't view the post, so that's an important distinction.

THE COURT: 180 degrees.
MR. KISE: Yes.
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 exhibit, page 10 of 14 , this is a chart of comps and I did want to point out, so that nobody has to take my word for it, in fact, the Jupiter Country Club, line nine, has 18 holes. You see that?A That's what the document says.
Q Okay. And well, we'll come back to that in a minute.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up -- go back to the first page of the exhibit, so we could see Mr.
Corbiciero's e-mail and let's put up the 2021 Jeff supporting data spreadsheet which is Exhibit 1352. We can do that next to this e-mail. And let's go to row 547, which is where we find the Jupiter club. Let's just go up, so we can see that it is the Jupiter club and if we could see 547 and then slide it over, so we see the two values.
Q So Mr. Corbiciero's letter references market value
which he views as reasonable of 16 -- roughly 16.7 million and in the Statement of Financial Condition value for 2020, it is
73.6 million roughly and in 2021, it's 67.7 million. Do you see that?

MR. KISE: Objection. I'm not sure that
characterizes the two exhibits accurately.
MR. AMER: I think the numbers are what they are.
If he has an argument to make at closing whether those numbers are numbers that should be compared, that seems to be something that should wait for closing.

THE COURT: Or cross-examination.
MR. AMER: Or cross-examination, but I think they said they weren't going to cross-examine this witness.

MR. KISE: Not today, certainly.
THE COURT: Not even one question?
MR. KISE: I don't think so. Why would we keep us here any longer?

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection subject to any correction that somebody comes up with.
Q Now, if we go back to the e-mail on the comparable assessments method, there is a range that Mr. Corbiciero provides for price per hole. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q I'll represent some math to the Court that if you take
even the high end of the range and you multiply it by 18 for 18
holes, you end up with about 24 million.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll accept that subject to any correction MR. AMER: Thank you.
(Continued on the next page.) devoted to that endeavor?

A In late 2020, I believe it was maybe two to three hours a week.
Q And over the course of how many weeks?
A I don't recall.
Q Was it months?
A It could have been.
Q Let's turn to a different property, the property called Niketown here in New York.

You're familiar with that property; correct?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's put up the 2020 Jeff Supporting Data. It's Exhibit 857 in evidence, and let's go to Row 88, which is where Niketown begins.
Q Do you see that?

## A Yes.

Q And I want to focus on the difference between the valuation that was used in 2020 and the valuation method that was used in 2019. You'll see there is a number of rows and steps that go into the calculation in 2019 that start on Row 88 and go all the way through 103 and lead to a value of 445 million. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And for 2020 there is a single row, Row 104, that says, "Value per 20-year discounted cash flow from Ray Flores." Do you see that?
A That's what the document says.
Q Did you perform such an analysis on Niketown for 2020?
A I don't recall.
Q Do you have any recollection whether related to the Statement of Financial Condition or not of preparing a 20 -year discounted cash flow analysis for the property?
A No, I don't recall.
Q Did you prepare a 20 -year discounted cash flow analysis for Niketown in any prior years to the best of your recollection?
A I may have, but can't recall the specific cash flow.
Q Can you think of any business purpose for why you would have been preparing a 20 -year discounted cash flow analysis for Niketown?
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A Not that I can recall.
Q If we go down you'll see starting in Row 110 there is a discussion. It says "6/3/2020." It says "4-6 East 57th." Is that the Niketown address?
A Yes.
Q Says "it has historically been occupied by a single
tenant given the current tenant's lease expiration in May '22,
the discounted cash flow takes into account a new single tenant user." Do you see that?
A Yes, that's what the document says.
Q And it's referring to the 20-year discounted cash flow and it claims to be from you. Do you see that?
A That's not what that references, a discounted cash flow.
Q For 6/30/2020 in the notes in Row 106, which is where the value from $6 / 30 / 2020$ comes from, says, "Value per 20-year discounted cash flow from Ray Flores;" right?
A That's what the document says, but the row in 110
doesn't reference 20 years nor my name.
Q It references a discounted cash flow, doesn't it?
A Yes.
Q Does reading that refresh your recollection that you
23 prepared a 20 -year discounted cash flow analysis for Niketown?
24 A No, I don't recall.
25 Q It goes on to say that it used the 2020 Gucci renewal
R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1 as the most recent comparable given close proximity to 4-6 East 57th Street and similar luxury retail presence on both blocks.
3 Does that refresh your recollection that you prepared a 20-year discounted cash flow analysis for Niketown?

A No, can't recall.
Q And then it says, "Kandell ground lease is subject to a pending rent reset to be determined by an appraisal proceeding." Do you see that?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Do you recall a rent reset appraisal proceeding that involved the Kandell ground lease?

A Yes.
Q And the ground lease is one of the two ground leases
that Niketown was subject to?
A Yes.
Q And what was your involvement in connection with the appraisal proceeding to determine the rent reset?

A I believe I interacted with the appraiser that the
company engaged in relation to this process.
Q And do you have any recollection of incorporating a rent reset into an analysis that you did of the property?

A I don't recall.
Q That doesn't ring a bell for you?
A No.
Q Okay. And then it goes on to say, "In the absence of
. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1 the final determination we assume the same amount of the prior period." Do you have any recollection of using the rent from the prior period for a going-forward analysis pending the reset appraisal proceeding?

A No, I don't recall.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Plaintiff's
Exhibit 860 in native. It's just a slip sheet placeholder for this spreadsheet.
Q You will see that this is a 20-year cash flow analysis; correct?

A Can you scroll to the right, please?
Q Sure.
MR. AMER: Scroll all the way to the right.
A Can you continue to scroll, please?
Q That's as far as it goes.
A Okay. That's leaves 20 periods.
MR. AMER: And go back to the left.
Q And you see Row 4, "20 years." See that?
A That's what the document says.
Q Okay. Do you recognize this as a spreadsheet you
prepared that's a 20-year cash flow analysis for Niketown?
A It looks familiar. I'm not sure. I didn't put this together.

Q Well, do you recognize it as your work or not,
25 Mr. Flores?
or somebody else did this?

MR. KISE: Objection. Leading. This is direct and argumentative.

MR. AMER: Well, first, I don't think it's leading because I didn't suggest the answer.

THE COURT: Overruled. Not leading.
Q Can you answer the question?
A I don't recall if I put this together.
Q Let's look under the "value" tab.
Do you see that there is an NPV value in Row $14 ?$
A Yes, this is what the document says.
Q And do you looking at this spreadsheet understand that's net present value?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move this exhibit into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 857 in evidence.)

MR. KISE: What was the date of this again? It's not on the sheet. Year, do we know?

MR. AMER: It's 2020.
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MR. KISE: 2020, okay. Fine.
MR. AMER: And actually, one way to do that is if we go back to the -- go back to the Jeff Supporting Data and we can split the screen.
Q And you see the value for June 30, 2020 is 252.8? Do you see that?
A That's what the document says.
Q And that comes -- that matches the number if we go back
to the cash flow analysis that's on the NPV line; correct?
A Can you put the documents side by side, please? And scroll to the right to the document? On the right.

MR. AMER: I think we need to go down to see the -we're in a different -- we're on Wall Street, so we need to go back to Niketown and go to the right for Row 106.
Q Same number, right?
A Yes. The number in cell H 106 on the right hand matches the figure D in cell D 14 on the left hand.
Q If we go back to the spreadsheet, the -- sorry.
The cash flow analysis and there are two other tabs. There is a "plan" tab.

MR. AMER: Can we click on that?
Q And then a "ground lease" tab.
MR. AMER: Let's click on that.
Q And that shows the ground lease amounts and the Kandell
lease is 540,000 . Do you see that?
R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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A Yes, that's what the document says.
Q Does looking at these tabs refresh your recollection, Mr. Flores, that this 20-year cash flow analysis is your work product?
A It could have been, but I don't recall this specific document at this moment.
Q Do you know what the basis was for assuming that the -or deciding to use the prior rent amount for the Kandell lease while the reset appraisal proceeding was pending?
A No, I don't recall.
Q Do you know the outcome of the appraisal proceeding to reset the rent on the Kandell lease?
A I don't remember.
MR. AMER: Why don't we look at Exhibit 3213.
Q I think you mentioned that you worked with the
appraiser who was hired by the Trump Organization to work on the rent reset proceeding; is that right?
A Yes.
Q If you look at the last page, 12 of 12, was that appraiser David Fields of Fieldstone Advisers?
A Yes.
Q And does this last page appear to be the agreement that
was reached on March 13, 2021 as to what the rent reset would be for Niketown?

MR. KISE: Objection. Foundation.
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MR. AMER: He said he worked with Mr. Fields on this very proceeding.

MR. KISE: Right, but he's not -- he didn't testify to anything about this document. You're just showing him a document at random and I don't think he can attest to what's written on the document. He can read what's written on the document, but it's hearsay. The document itself is hearsay, so the witness hasn't demonstrated that he has any foundation to answer the question.

MR. AMER: He does have foundation. He said he worked on this proceeding. How is that not foundation to be able to recognize if this is the agreement that resolved the proceeding?

MR. KISE: But he never -- he might not have ever seen the resolution. He might not have participated in the resolution.

MR. AMER: Then that's his answer.
THE COURT: Overruled on the ground last stated, if that's his answer, that's his answer.

Do you need a read back or ask it again or --
Q Do you -- given your involvement in the proceeding to reset the rent do you recognize this last page as the agreement that was signed between the two appraisers arriving at the rent reset amount?

A No, I don't recall reviewing this specific page.
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Q I didn't ask you that. I just asked if you -- you're in a position given the involvement you had in the proceeding to recognize this as the final agreement reached between the two appraisers?

MR. KISE: Same objection.
Q Yes or no?
A That's what the document says.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's --
Q That wasn't my question.
THE COURT: It's a subtle question. Ask it again and we'll get a read back.
Q Given your involvement in the proceeding, Mr. Flores, are you able to tell us that this is the final agreement between the two appraisers resetting the rent for Niketown?
A That's what the document appears to be.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move this into evidence.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, it's complete hearsay. The witness has just answered that's what the document appears to be, but he's not on the document, it's not addressed to him. There is no foundation. He hasn't acknowledged that he ever reviewed the document. I don't see how it comes into evidence. It's simply hearsay.

MR. AMER: Your Honor --
MR. KISE: If they want to call Mr. Nakleh, if they want to call these witnesses that are participating in this,
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this witness has said -- all he said at most "this is what it appears to be." Any of us can say that. That's not a foundation for admission into evidence.

THE COURT: Yes, but he worked on the project.
MR. KISE: But he says he doesn't recognize this as the final resolution.

MR. AMER: Can I be heard, Your Honor? CPLR 4549 is a recognized exception to the hearsay rule. It says:
"A statement offered against an opposing party shall not be excluded from evidence as hearsay if made by a person whom the opposing party authorized to make a statement on the subject or by opposing party's agent or employee on a matter within the scope of that relationship and during the existence of that relationship."

Mr. Fields was retained as the Trump Organization's agent to act as their appraiser in this proceeding. This is not hearsay and it comes in for all purposes under 4549.

MR. KISE: Again, I don't -- without getting into a long debate, I don't agree at all with that. Mr. Fields can come and testify and bring it in that way. That would be a statement, but this is an out-of-court statement offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted.

If Mr. Fields were here himself and he testified to what's in this document that would be the subject of what's covered under the rule, but some document that is just out
there that they're alleging is a statement by our agent, no.
THE COURT: Well, the witness - I want to make sure we're on the same page or at least I'm on the right page.

The witness said he worked on this project. He says yes, this looks like the resolution of the project on which he worked. Overruled. It's in evidence.
Q And the agreement resets the rent to $\$ 892,500$; correct?
A That's what the document says.
Q And that's almost twice what the rent had been prior to the reset. Isn't that right?
A I believe so.
MR. AMER: And let's put up the native of Plaintiff's Exhibit 2222.
Q This is the 20-year discounted cash flow for Niketown for 2021. Do you recognize this as another 20-year -- well, do you recognize this as a 20-year discount cash flow that you prepared for Niketown?
A It could have been, but I don't -- I can't recall in this moment.
Q Let's look under the "ground lease" tab.
Do you see that the ground lease amounts for Kandell in this cash flow analysis is the amount that was agreed to between the appraisers; right?
A Yes.

## Q Looking at the prior cash flow analysis, looking at

 this cash flow analysis and bearing in mind your involvement in the rent reset proceedings, are you able to confirm that this is your work product?A It could have been, but I don't know in this moment. I can't recall.
Q Do you have any understanding as to why a cash flow analysis would be using the new rent reset amount as opposed to the prior amount?
A Because that was the actual rent at that point of time. That's what I think it meant, but --

Q So once the rent reset proceedings had been resolved and the new rent had been established at an amount that was almost twice the old rent, your understanding is that it would make sense to use the new rent amount; correct?

MR. KISE: Objection. I think this question and, frankly, the last one is calling for speculation because the witness just testified that he's not sure this is his work product. Is he asking him to speculate? Because that's what his answer was to the last question. It would seem so.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I don't think it's asking a witness who is involved in the reset proceeding what his understanding was as to what use the new rent would be put to.

THE COURT: I think it was -- it's a question in
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the abstract anyway, but it's logic.
MR. KISE: But that's speculation. He said right there the answer to the last question it could have been, but I don't know. So it's calling for speculation. He clearly doesn't know.
THE COURT: Well, Mr. Flores, don't speculate, but answer the question.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, can I have a read back, please?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A Yes.
Q And if we look at the "value" tab again, you see that the value, the NPV value in Row 14 is 225.8 roughly million dollars; correct?
A That's what the document says.
MR. AMER: And if we can pull up the 2021 Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet. It's 1352 in evidence. Q And look, compare that to Row 91, Row 91.
And by the way, just to go back a little bit again.
You'll see in Row 89 it says, "Value per 20-year discounted cash flow from Ray Flores." Do you see that?
A That's what the document says.
Q And then it gives the values in 2021 and 2020.
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1 appraiser for the appraisal proceeding to obtain the rent reset 2 amount?
3 A I can't recall.
Q Let me show you Exhibit 3215. This is a retainer for
Fieldstone Advisers. You'll see under the "Scope of Engagement" it says, "David E. Fields will serve as client's party-appointed appraiser for purposes of the appraisal proceeding."

Do you see that?
A That's what the document says.
Q And above there is a reference to the Kandell lease. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And if you turn to page two this document is signed.
One of the signatories is Eric Trump; correct?
A It's what the document appears to show.
Q And did Eric Trump assign you to oversee the rent reset proceeding?

A Not to oversee it, but I was involved in this proceeding.

Q Well, did you become involved at his direction? A Yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 3215 into evidence.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, again, I don't think the proper foundation has been laid. If they want to have
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MR. AMER: If we go over.
Q The 2021 value matches exactly the value for NPV in Row 14 of the 20 -year cash flow analysis; correct?

A That's correct.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit
Exhibit 2222.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 2222 in evidence.)
Q And you'll see in Row K it's tracking the difference and the value has dropped by 27 million from 2020 to 2021; correct?

A Yes.
Q And based on your understanding of how the rent fits into the formula, if the rent nearly doubles that would decrease the value of the property; right?

A Yes.
Q You mentioned and we've established you were involved in the rent reset proceeding. Did anyone from the Trump Organization to your knowledge attempt to get a preliminary indication from an appraiser on what the likely rent reset would be before the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition was issued? A I don't recall.
25 Q Do you know when the Trump Organization engaged an
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Mr. Trump lay the foundation or Mr. Fields lay the foundation, I mean, the fact that this witness had some involvement in the process, if we're going to use that as the standard for admission of what is clearly hearsay that would be a giant black hole. It could be anything. Any document associated with the process would then come in under that standard. They could just ask him "were you involved?"
"Yes, I was involved."
"And is this a document that looks like it has something to do with the process?"
"Yes."
So there is just no foundation at all laid for the introduction of hearsay. They have not. At this moment it's hearsay. If they want to call a witness that can actually get the document into evidence, that's fine, but this is not that witness.

MR. AMER: I want this for purposes of notice as to when the appraiser was hired. So maybe we can shortcut this. This is a Trump Organization document and it's signed by Eric Trump and I'm only offering it for purposes of the date of the letter, which January 18, 2021.

THE COURT: Well, the date of the letter is -- I understand that impacts directly on notice, if that's what you want it in for. I can't see it. testimony that David fields was, in fact, hired as the Trump Organization's appraiser for purposes of this proceeding, right? A I believe so.

MR. KISE: Objection. Leading.
MR. AMER: I'm summarizing the testimony he's already given, Your Honor. Just because I want to point out that the fact that Mr. Fields was retained is already in evidence. I don't need this letter to establish that. I only want this letter for the date.
Q So my question is just to confirm that you've already testified that Mr. Fields was the appraiser who the Trump Organization hired to handle this appraisal proceeding, right? A Yes.
Q And he was hired based on this letter that is now in evidence on January 18, 2021, correct?

MR. KISE: Objection; leading and foundation. If he's asking if that's what the letter says, he can say that. He doesn't need this witness to confirm or deny it because this witness doesn't know. He knows what's written there and we just introduced it for purpose of notice.

THE COURT: Can you rephrase it just to ask him whether it says that which we've been doing most of this trial?
Q What is the date of the letter, Mr. Flores?

A The letter is dated January 18, 2021.
THE COURT: And do you have any reason to think that that date is somehow bogus?

THE WITNESS: I have no reason to believe that date is bogus.

MR. AMER: I'm just going to ask that we put up the demonstrative PDX-2 and it is just a shorthand for telling us when each statement was issued and I'll note for the record that the 2020 statement was issued on January 11, 2021 which is one week before the appraiser was retained.
Q Mr. Flores, given that the rent nearly doubled when it was reset, do you recall if there was at least a sense among you and the others preparing the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition that the Nike rent reset would result in a higher rent?

MR. KISE: Objection. At least a sense? I'm not sure --

THE COURT: Sorry. Say it again.
MR. KISE: At least a sense?
MR. AMER: What's wrong with that?
THE COURT: I'll allow it. It is a little vague, but I'll allow it.
A Can you repeat the question, please?
MR. AMER: Can I have a readback, please.
THE COURT: Please, read back.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A No, I don't recall.
Q So is it your recollection that when the agreement
between the appraisers came up with a rent that was almost double the prior rent, that that was -- came as a surprise to the people who were working with you on the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition?
A I don't recall.
Q Can you think of any reason why the Trump Organization could not have retained an appraiser earlier while the valuation for Niketown was still being prepared for the 2020 statement to obtain a preliminary value for the building to determine the likely change in the rent through the reset?

MR. KISE: Objection; calls for speculation.
THE COURT: I don't think it does. Overruled.
A I don't know.
Q Well, my question was whether you can think of any reason. So is your answer, no, I cannot think of any reason?

A No, I can't think of any reason.
Q Thank you.
Let's turn to the property in Vegas which is a joint venture with Mr. Ruffin. Are you familiar with that property? A Yes.

MR. AMER: Let's put up the 2014 Jeff supporting

1 data. It is Exhibit 719. Let's go to row 751. 2 Q You'll see in row 751 for 2013 and 2014, it says, "Based on the cash flow from unit sales prepared by Ray Flores October 2013."
5 Did you do a cash flow analysis for Vegas in October of 2013?
7 A Yeah, I recall putting together -- maintaining a cash flow.
$9 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ So this one, you remember?
10 A Yes.
11 MR. AMER: Can we put up Exhibit 1139.
12 Q The top e-mail is from you to Eric Trump in July of 132013 and it references the subject Vegas and includes an
14 attachment "Trump Ruffin five-year pro forma budget V17." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And it has a slip sheet for the attachment as the second page?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit both the e-mail and the attachment.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations. THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Documents were marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1139.)
Q So your e-mail to Eric Trump says, "Please see the
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attached"-- "Please see attached the updated model which incorporates Allen's waterfall figures into the executive summary tab."

Just to be clear, is Allen a reference to Allen
Weisselberg?
A Yes.
Q And is what's referenced here when it says, "the updated model" your cash flow analysis?
A I believe so.
Q And was this something you prepared at Eric Trump's direction?
A Yes.
Q And in the bottom e-mail, which is from Eric Trump to you, he refers to it as the Vegas model. Is that your cash flow analysis as well?
A Yes.
Q And the attachment which says, "V17," does that refer to version 17?
A Yes.
Q For how long had you been preparing this model that is being updated in 2013?
A I don't recall when I started preparing it, the model.
Q It would have been before July of 2013, though; is that right?
A Yes.

Q Did you continue to work on this Vegas model providing a cash flow analysis through the entire course of your employment at the Trump Organization until March of 2022?
A I can't recall at what point I stopped maintaining the cash flow model, but from what I recall, I don't recall updating it regularly towards the end of my tenure at the company.
Q What, to your recollection, was the last updated model that you worked on?
A I don't know.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3210.
Q You will see, Mr. Flores, the bottom e-mail is from Allen Weisselberg to you asking you to forward to him the analysis that you prepared for Eric and then you go ahead in the top e-mail and forward it on the Las Vegas model, right?
A That's what the e-mail says.
MR. AMER: I move to admit this exhibit, Your
Honor.
MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3210.)
Q And the attachment says version, "V18." So is that a later version of what we were just discussing?
A It's a different version. I'm not sure if it is a
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later version.
Q You're not sure if version 18 is a later version from version 17?

A What are the date stamps to the title?
MR. AMER: I'll just take that it is a different version. I think that's fine.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. AMER: And let's look at the 2016 Jeff supporting data which is Exhibit 742 in evidence, row 816. Q Now, we looked at the supporting data spreadsheet that had the 2013 and 2014 values for Vegas, both attributed to your cash flow analysis. And now we're looking at the spreadsheet that has 2015 and 2016 and it is the same attribution, correct? It is based on your cash flow from unit sales that you prepared, right?
A That's what this document says.
THE COURT: Mr. Amer, how much longer because we have various scheduling issues to address?

MR. AMER: I think I will probably be another 45 minutes.

THE COURT: We will have to bring him back Monday, I guess. Ten o'clock Monday. Counselors, right?

MR. KISE: That's fine.
THE COURT: Right. Well, five-minute warning. Take it back.

Witness, you're excused for the day. I direct you not to discuss this case or your testimony in it or anything about this case until after your testimony is through which will be probably, we hope, Monday and we will see you at ten o'clock on Monday. You're excused for now.
(Witness excused.)
MS. GREENFIELD: Counselor, why don't you -- all the schedulers, come on up.

Off the record.
(Whereupon the trial was adjourned to October 23, 2023.)
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# In The Matter Of: <br> NYS Attorney General v. <br> Donald Trump, et al. 

## William Kelly, Michael Cohen October 24, 2023

Janelle C. London, RMR, CRR \& Nicole Robinson, SCR


THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: I think we are going to have some photographers come in first.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: Plaintiff, would you like to call your first witness for today?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I'd like to just, as I mentioned over the weekend, place something on the record briefly before the witnesses enter the room.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. KISE: So I just want to place our objection on the record to proceeding today under the circumstances.

As we had exchanged, the Attorney General knew about this COVID risk of -- at least as of last Wednesday. There was no mention of it, there was no notice of it. We had a shared podium, a shared microphone. There are court reporters here, court officers here, the Court, Counsel. We had multiple close sidebar discussions with now-COVID positive lawyers.

There was a deposition Thursday of the witness and
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Counsel, the reporter were all exposed. There was no opportunity for any precautions of any kind. You'll notice I'm sitting over on this side of the courtroom.

Saturday evening was the first notice of this and a total of four team members from the Attorney General's team tested positive. None of the communications expressed any concern about safety or health, none of them asked for any protocol measures, none of them asked for anything to be done. The only reason for the notification was scheduling and the Attorney General simply wanted to proceed with the trial as if nothing had happened. And, respectfully, in our view, that's just beyond irresponsible.

There are many people in this courtroom, including myself, that have specific and special health concerns and considerations. Some have caretaking responsibilities. We don't know about all of the many dedicated court officers and court staff and court reporters in addition to the literally hundreds of media individuals present, and these individuals, including myself, are entitled to notice at a minimum so they can take necessary precautions.

Now, the Attorney General has made numerous public statements, numerous public statements about COVID.

Quote, "The health and safety of all New Yorkers has always been our top priority and in order to protect our communities we must continue to act responsibly and

1 carefully." That's a quote from December of 2021.
So this is the same Attorney General also that sued Amazon, among others, over their COVID practices. Yet her own staff ignore basic notice requirement. It seems as with everything else with this case that nothing else matters except for suing President Trump. We're just going to dispense with all the rules, we're going to dispense with all the protocols and we're going to forge ahead with the trial. Everyone is just looking the other way and, frankly, it's irresponsible to proceed.

Having at least another day -- there was another team member from the Attorney General that tested positive this morning. We're not exactly sure we're through with this. I hope for all our sake, but there is no prejudice to wait another day. There is no jury. Forging ahead with a key witness who now I understand also has potential considerations, I'm not sure what those are, but I certainly won't get into them on the record, having a break to ensure that all Counsel, all staff, everyone involved is cleared. I do appreciate that the Attorney General, as we did, tested themselves this morning, their counsel team and our counsel team and your counsel team, at least we understand from them tested negative, but it's really hypocritical to say the health and safety of all New Yorkers is a top priority and the AG wants to forge ahead with this. And I'm sure that
1
was at trial in New York, but I was taking medications and I was on pain pills and I was doing this and doing that. So I think that that's yet another reason to postpone for the day. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I can take a vote of all the people out there whether they want to proceed today. I realize that's not the issue. I understand what you're saying. I understand your concerns. I won't address, but I will allow the Attorney General's Office to address, if you would like.

Also, masks are available, N-95 masks. Peter, is that --

MR. SORRENTO: They're on counsel table.
MR. KISE: I just want to say one other thing, but the individual that's going to respond, I object to him even being here in the courtroom as I put this weekend. I don't think he should be here.

MS. HABBA: I agree.
THE COURT: The individual is going to respond. Do you want to respond?
MR. SOLOMON: I do, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Make sure you're are close to the microphone.

MR. SOLOMON: First off, we take exception to any claim that we were irresponsible in any way, shape or form.
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there will be reactions to this, but it's a fact.
We have the leading candidate for president of the United States in this courtroom today and exposing that risk -- if this were different, if this were us doing this, I know where I'd be. I'd be in shackles. If I had not notified the Court of some risk, the Attorney General would be up in arms, their team would be up in arms and I think that's an unfair double standard I think that's applied to a lot of things procedurally in this case. I'm not talking about Your Honor's rulings.

THE COURT REPORTER: Counsel, please slow down.
MR. KISE: Sorry.
The Commercial Division and the assignments, but none of the rules seem to apply when we're chasing President Trump and I think it's unfair and I don't think we really should be here today exposing everyone to as yet some whether it be limited or not we don't know -- known risk and another day or two days would not have made a significant difference.

I certainly hope we're not going to hear from the government's key witness that there are health considerations that would preclude that witness from testifying truthfully, honestly and recalling so that a month from now or two months from now as is his normal fashion, he says well, I know I said that in court when I
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Second, I'm not going to relitigate with Mr. Kise the issues on procedural things that may have happened in the past. We're here today, we are following the CDC guidelines. The individual, me, who is in the courtroom today, isolated for five days, as required, has tested negative for the last three days, and is wearing a mask. Those are the guidelines. That's what is appropriate.

We advised the Court from what I understand the Court conferred with various administration personnel.

THE COURT: True.
MR. SOLOMON: And directed that we appear today, period, full stop. That's all that's relevant.

THE COURT: Well, the administration basically left it up to me, but they made certain recommendations, which was go ahead, if possible, and we're going ahead.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I may. That's not the issue. The issue is that the Attorney General's Office knew on Wednesday and didn't tell any of us, so we were here with our personal health issues, our family health issues, the Court's issues. We were in chambers. We had members of our team who were in a small deposition room last week on Thursday while other members of the team were here trying the case and having conferences with the Court and with the Attorney General having knowledge that one of the key lawyers tested positive. They didn't tell us. Then
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|  | unfortunately, that one mushroomed into four as of Saturday |  | the technology and support that? |
| 2 | night. Again, as Mr. Kise said, the only reason the Court | 2 | THE COURT: Correct me if I'm wrong, you can take |
| 3 | was notified was a scheduling issue, not for a safety issue. | 3 | one of your microphones and plug it in there? |
| 4 | We then took it upon ourselves to notify those people that | 4 | MR. KISE: If we can do that. Again, you know I'm |
| 5 | were in the deposition on Thursday of what happened. They | 5 | $t$ the tech person. |
| 6 | were very grateful that we had let them know so they could | 6 | And for the record, remember, I wanted the table |
| 7 | take the appropriate precautions. Then a member of our team | 7 | is way with a distance that's even further, but |
| 8 | gets it yesterday and then we find out this morning another | 8 | netheless, if we can do that, that's fine. Then on a |
| 9 | member of the Attorney General's has | 9 | break we can move a microphone over there. |
| 10 | So as we stand here today, we are truly in an | 10 | THE COURT: All right. Peter, ask Rob, whoever. |
| 11 | eak. So to proceed under these circumstances is not in | 11 | MR. SORRENTO: I'm on the phone with the IT now |
| 12 | the health and safety of everyone in this room, including | 12 | COURT: We're o |
| 13 | the Court, the staff, the litigants, the attorneys and there | 13 | And Chris, doesn't it look much better this way, |
| 14 | is absolutely no reason whatsoever to proceed. It's a bench | 14 | two tables? |
| 15 | trial. There is no prejudice and even the fact that we're | 15 | THE COURT OFFICER: Ready for the witness? |
| 16 | in such close proximity is something that is incredibly | 16 | THE COURT: Ready for the witness. |
| 17 | blematic to us and we see no reason that we need to | 1 | THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. |
| 18 | proceed. | 18 | THE COURT: This is a little bit like waiting for |
| 19 | THE COURT: Any last few words from the AG's side? | 19 | the pitcher to come in from the bull pen. |
| 20 | MR. SOLOMON: I think Your Honor understands the | 20 | (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and |
| 21 | ent state of affairs |  | approaches the witness stand.) |
| 22 | THE COURT: Masks are available. I'm going | 22 | THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. |
| 23 | proceed. | 23 | Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony |
| 24 | Plain |  | give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but |
|  | witness? |  | the truth? |
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|  | MR. FINKELSTEIN: The People call Bill Kelly. | 1 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 2 | THE COURT: I hope we can move through Mr. Kelly | 2 | THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. |
| 3 | because we are other things on the agenda today. | 3 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 4 | MR. FINKELSTEIN: Understood. | 4 | THE COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and |
| 5 | MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I'm sorry. There is one | 5 | home or business address on the record. |
| 6 | thing that I would just like to ask. | 6 | THE WITNESS: My name is William Kelly. My |
| 7 | Do we have two microphones for those that are using | 7 | business address is Mazars USA LLP. 135 West 50th Street, |
| 8 | the podium to examine witnesses? Because I would not like | 8 | New York, New York. |
| 9 | to use one that the AG has used. That does not have any | 9 | THE COURT: Counsel, please proceed. |
| 10 | kind of protective covering. So with all due respect, I'm |  | RECT EXAMINATION |
| 11 | not using something that's been closely contaminated too. | 11 | Y MR. FINKELSTEIN: |
| 12 | Thank you. | 12 | Q Good morning, Your Honor. Alex Finkelstein on behalf |
| 13 | THE COURT: Can you just use the microphones that | 13 | of the People. |
| 14 | you have available there? | 14 | Good morning, Mr. Kelly. |
| 15 | MS. HABBA: If I'd like me to stand here and cross | 15 | A Good morning. |
| 16 | examine him, that's fine, but the exhibits are there. | 16 | Q You are here today to testify under subpoena; correct? |
| 17 | mean, the witness is there. Do we have another microphone? | 17 | A Correct. |
| 18 | I think that would be the easiest. | 18 | Q Can you please tell us where you are currently |
| 19 | MR. SOLOMON: The microphone is something that the | 19 | employed? |
| 20 | AG's office provided. If they want to bring their own | 20 | A I am the general counsel of Mazars USA LLP, an |
| 21 | microphone and use their own microphone and their own tech | 21 | accounting firm headquartered in New York. |
| 22 | setup, we have no objection to that. | 22 | Q Can you please describe for us your educational |
| 23 | THE COURT: Whatever you want do is fine with me. | 23 | background after you graduated from high school? |
| 24 | MS. HABBA: Thank you. We'll figure it out. | 24 | A I went to Binghamton University and graduated in 1997. |
| 25 | MR. KISE: Will we have time to do that, to replace | 25 | After that I went to St. John's University School of Law, |

graduating in 2000.
Q After you graduated from law school, could you take us through your professional experience at a high level?
A Sure. Well, first in law school I worked at the
Grievance Committee for the Ninth Judicial District, which is a
body that investigates and prosecutes attorney misconduct. I
also was a summer associate at the law firm Wilson Elser and I interned for the Honorable Judge Joanna Seybert with the Eastern District of New York.

After law school I became an associate attorney at the law firm Wilson Elser where I was a summer associate. Prior I worked there for a couple of years then became an associate at another law firm called Shaub Ahmuty and after that I was brought back to Wilson Elser in an of counsel position, then made partner there until I became the general counsel at Mazars USA beginning in late 2017 but effective January 1, 2018.

Q So you became general counsel of Mazars USA effective in January 2018?
A I was hired in late 2017 and I overlapped with my predecessor who was retiring for a month or so and then as of January 1st I was the general counsel for Mazars USA.

Q After you became general counsel at Mazars did you have any involvement in the Mazars engagements for Donald J. Trump?

A I wasn't part of the engagement team, but I was part of the communication team with the client and I handled the legal
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aspects of the various Trump-related legal matters that were happening throughout the engagements and with the firm.
Q Can you elaborate on what you mean by the last --
A Sure. There were several investigations that were either ongoing when I got there or commenced when I got there. For example, the U.S. House of Representatives Oversight
Committee had issued several subpoenas to Mazars that were then being contested by the Trump Organization and made its way through the courts.

In addition, the New York District Attorney's Office, that's for the county of New York District Attorney also issued subpoenas in connection with an investigation they were conducting, as well as the New York Attorney General and other entities as well.
Q So what was your involvement in the context of those things that you just described?
A When any subpoena would come in to the firm or be served upon the firm or attorneys, I would lead the legal response to that and I would communicate with the Trump Organization, my counterpart there being their general counsel about what was happening and what subpoenas we received and how we were responding.

And I was making sure we were $A$, making sure we followed the legal requirements of the subpoena and our obligations as a good corporate citizen; two, making sure we

1 honored all of our duties to our client due to confidentiality
2 and make sure we followed the rules there; and three, I had to
3 make sure that Mazars USA was in the best position legally that
4 it could be given all the situation of what's going on.
Q And when you say "the general counsel of the Trump Organization," who was that that you were talking to?

A Alan Garten.
Q So you mentioned some involvement in legal aspects. Did that take any particular form?
A Yes. I would coordinate with our outside counsel who would gather documents, both internally through my office and going directly to the custodians, and then they would secure those documents, produce them to the -- whatever agency, whatever investigatory body I was looking and I would coordinate with the Trump Organization to let them know and give them copies of whatever it was that they requested.
Q Did there come a time when you participated in an assessment of Mazars' relationship with the Trump Organization?

A Every year an accounting firm does a client continuance assessment for their clients. It could be more often than every year if there is a triggering event that would cause an accounting firm to reevaluate the conditions of the engagement. I was involved in that process. I was involved in the -assessing the legal situation and the risk management situation and I coordinated that with others in management of the Trump
W. Kelly - Plaintiff - direct (Finkelstein)
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Organization. Then we would report to the -- I'm sorry.
I coordinated with others in management of Mazars and then we would report that to the executive board, whether or not and under what conditions we could continue as the accountants for the Trump Organization.

Q And you were involved in that process since you became general counsel in 2018?
A Yes, I was -- I was probably the -- I probably would call myself the leader of that process, but I reported to the CEO and the executive board.
Q So you mentioned Mazars having received subpoenas. Were you comfortable continuing with the engagement at that time despite receiving subpoenas?
A Yes. We considered every subpoena that we received from any new investigatory body as a triggered event to cause us to do a client continuance analysis and simply receiving a subpoena doesn't necessarily require us or compel us or cause us to want to discontinue an engagement.

So when we received the congressional subpoena, the first one that I think that was in 2019, we did that process of client continuance analysis and we concluded we can continue and we reached similar conclusions as we received subpoenas from our agencies and additional subpoenas from the same agencies.
Q At some point did you participate in a determination to terminate the relationship with the Trump Organization?

A Yes, I did.
2 Q When was that?
3 A It was in May 2001 -- I'm sorry. 2021. We received information and circumstances had changed such that we made the decision to discontinue the services and we notified the Trump Organization of that.
$7 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ What information are you referring to?
8 A We had been subpoenaed by the District Attorney's
Office of the County of New York for -- in connection with an investigation to Allen Weisselberg's activity.
Allen Weisselberg was the former CFO of the Trump Organization.
The DA was investigating his personal compensation and others around him.

We had received subpoenas and had been interviewed by the District Attorney's Office and based on that information and what we had come to learn about Allen Weisselberg we determined we could no longer provide services to the Trump Organization.

Q Why did you reach that determination?
A Allen Weisselberg was the CFO of the Trump
Organization. He was our main contact at the Trump Organization for the providing -- for them providing us financial information. If his representations to us about the accuracy and truthfulness of the financial records that he's providing to us as the outside accountants is compromised, if we can no longer rely on him as CFO, then we can no longer perform our Kelly - Plaintiff - direct (Finkelstein)
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## engagements.

The engagements we were preparing at the time were preparing tax returns for the corporate entities and Donald Trump individually, as well as doing the statements of financial condition. Both of those engagements require that we rely upon the representations of management, in this case, Allen
Weisselberg, the CFO. If we are no longer allowed or no longer reasonably allowed to rely on his management we can no longer do those engagements.

Q I'd like to show the witness for identification a
document marked as PX 2992. Do you recognize this document?
A Ido.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A I recognize the cover of this document to be an e-mail that I sent to Alan Garten and I recognize page two of the document to be a letter I wrote that was an attachment to that e-mail. The last two pages I do not recognize, but they seem to be printouts of whatever was -- they're blank, so.

Q But those pages have Mazars Bates numbers on the bottom?

A They do, and I don't know if the e-mail was like the e-mail went onto the separate page, so it printed a blank sheet so.

MR. FINKELSTEIN: Your Honor, I move to admit PX 2992 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
And I'll direct the witness if you're asked a yes-or-no question, please just answer yes or no without any commentary. If you can't answer yes or no, then we can discuss it.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 2992 in evidence.)
Q I'd like to direct your attention to page two. The letter reads: "Dear Allen, as general counsel for Mazars USA, I write to advise the Trump Organization and related entities, including Donald J. Trump, that Mazars USA is resigning from all engagements with the Trump Organization and related entities."

Didn't Mazars, in fact, resign from all engagements with the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q Did any engagements continue at this time, the time of the letter?

A No.
Q Why?
A Why did they -- I'm sorry. I don't understand the "why" part.

Q Sorry. This letter is dated May 18, 2021. Do you see that?

Page 2119

A Yes.
Q So my question was did Mazars, in fact, resign from all engagements with the Trump Organization in May of 2021?

A Yes.
Q Did Mazars cooperate with the transition to new accountants?

A Yes.
Q You can set that document aside.
MR. FINKELSTEIN: I would like to show the witness for identification a document marked as PX 2994.
$Q$ Do you recognize this document?
A I do.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A I recognize it to be an e-mail I sent to Alan Garten at the Trump Organization and the accompanying letter.

MR. FINKELSTEIN: Your Honor, plaintiffs move to admit PX 2994 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 2994 in evidence.)
Q The letter reads:
"Dear Alan, we write to advise that the statements of financial condition for Donald J. Trump for the years ending June 30, 2011 to June 30, 2020 should no longer be relied upon
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 and you should inform any recipient thereof who are currently <br> 2 relying upon one or more of those documents that those documents <br> 3 should not be relied upon. We have come to this conclusion <br> 4 based in part upon the filings made by the New York Attorney <br> 5 General on January 18, 2022, our own investigation and <br> 6 information received from internal and external sources. <br> 7 While we have not concluded that the various financial <br> 8 statements as a whole contain material discrepancies, based upon <br> 9 the totality of the circumstances we believe our advice to you <br> 10 to no longer rely upon those financial statements is <br> 11 appropriate." <br> How did you reach the determination that the Statements of Financial Conditions for 2011 to 2020 should no longer be relied upon? <br> A As I explained in the second paragraph of this letter, we were aware of and reviewed the January 18, 2022 filings by the Attorney General's Office and litigation involving the Trump Organization and in that filing they detailed extensive allegations and issues concerning various assets that were listed in the statements of financial condition. <br> They identified areas that they alleged to have been overstated or improperly reported or some other issue that <br> 23 causes to examine our information that we had in our files and <br> 24 with and through counsel we conducted an internal investigation <br> 25 regarding that -- those -- those services, those statements of | Q If we could go to page three. Make it at page four. <br> A I'm at page four. <br> Q Do you see the signatures on this page? <br> A I do. <br> Q Whose signatures are those? <br> A I understand them to be Allen Weisselberg on the left and Donald J. Trump Jr. on the right. <br> MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. Is he testifying <br> he knows or is he testifying that that's what they appear to be? <br> THE COURT: That's a good question. Let's ask him. <br> Do you recognize the signatures absent the identifications? <br> THE WITNESS: No, I don't. <br> THE COURT: Okay. <br> MR. FINKELSTEIN: If we could go back to PX 2994. <br> THE COURT: I just have one other question. Would you recognize them if they were real? <br> THE WITNESS: No. <br> THE COURT: So you just don't know what the signatures look like? <br> THE WITNESS: No. <br> THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. <br> Q So you wrote in the letter, "We have not concluded that the various financial statements as a whole contain material |
| financial condition. We reviewed other external documents that we were able to get from public sources and as a result of all that and knowing that many of these representations were provided to us through Allen Weisselberg, we thought it appropriate to advise the client that they should no longer rely upon these financial statements. <br> Transcript continues on the following page.... | discrepancies." <br> Does that reflect an affirmative determination that <br> there were no material discrepancies? <br> A That reflects -- <br> MR. KISE: Objection. It says what it says. I <br> mean, I don't know how the witness can testify <br> adverse -- exactly what the words are on the page. Are you <br> calling for an explanation of what it says there in plain <br> English? <br> THE COURT: Did you write this letter? <br> THE WITNESS: I did. <br> THE COURT: Then I'll let you interpret it. <br> Overruled. <br> A Can you read the question back, please? <br> MR. FINKELSTEIN: Can I have a readback? <br> THE COURT: Read back, please. <br> (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was <br> read back.) <br> THE COURT: Yes, no? <br> A That reflects that we have not concluded one way or the other. We have reached no conclusion. <br> Q Did you undertake any audit or review procedures to determine whether there were material discrepancies? <br> A No. <br> And this has an explanation if I may, Your Honor. |
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did to confirm the statements in those two sentences.
Q And in reviewing the work papers, did you consider any professional standards in your analysis?

A In conducting --
MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. I don't know if it has been established, foundation. Did this witness testify that he, in fact, reviewed the work papers and undertook the review of the work papers? He's not an accountant and as I understand from his deposition, he is not here as a corporate representative. He is here in his individual capacity or general counsel. I don't know if the requisite foundation has been made. I'm not saying they can't. I'm just saying it hasn't been laid yet.

THE COURT: Well, I thought your -- originally, I thought your objection was we don't know whether he did it or someone else at Mazars did it.

MR. KISE: Well, right. So if he performed it, then he could testify about what he actually did; but if not, then he has no foundation. But either way, it is not clear in the record.

THE COURT: All right. Let's make the record clear.

Can you rephrase the question?
MR. FINKELSTEIN: Okay.
Q Earlier you mentioned a review of the work papers. Did
you undertake that review?
THE COURT: You personally, you mean?
Q You personally.
A I was part of the legal team with external lawyers that did that review.
Q And what did that review determine?
MR. KISE: Again, Your Honor, same objection. Is he asking for what he was told because the witness has just testified he was part a legal team? He is not an accountant, so he wouldn't have any understanding of any professional standards. So if he's being asked to convey what he was told in his role, then that may come in depending on what the answer is, but there's still no foundation and I would think that what he was told is hearsay. They would need the witness on to come in and testify to it.

THE COURT: If the witness is just saying what he was told, I would agree it's hearsay.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, that wasn't the question. The question was what did he say. He wasn't asked what he was told.

THE COURT: Maybe we have to dig deeper into how he learned what he learned. So I'll ask, the question, just be very clear as to whether we're talking about him or the organization as a whole.

Q So what was your understanding of results -- of the results of the review referenced in this letter?


1 A I recall at the first conversation with Alan was him calling me up and trying to understand the letter and what it meant and get some -- get some more color to what I had written. And then at the end of that call, he said, you know we're going to have to talk to Eric or somebody else about this. And he set up another call with him and Eric Trump and I'm not sure if it was one or two calls, but it was within a day of each sorry, so that's why I'm not sure, and Eric Trump and Alan expressed their opinions of the letter and asked for explanations and I responded.
Q Have you ever met with or directly communicated with Donald J. Trump?

A No.
MR. FINKELSTEIN: No further questions at this time.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Will there be any cross-examination at this time?
MR. KISE: Can we drag one of these mics? I don't know how this works.

THE COURT: We have a portable one.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I may have misstated something earlier. The microphone is actually the court's and not the AG's.

THE COURT: Thank you. We lose a lot of things that way.
W. KELLY - PLAINTIFF - CROSS/MR. SUAREZ
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MR. ROBERT: Since it is a court mic, is there another one we can get?

IT SPECIALIST: Those are the only two we have.
MR. ROBERT: Is there a way to pair it up with something later, so we don't have to use the same remote?

IT SPECIALIST: We would have to get another receiver.

MR. ROBERT: Maybe during the lunch break?
IT SPECIALIST: Not during the lunch break.
MR. KISE: Do we have like maybe wipes or something to use on the microphone, like something basic --

MS. HABBA: Do you have another -- mics usually come with extra head covers. Do you have a new one? IT SPECIALIST: I'll see what we have available. MS. HABBA: That would be great. Thank you.
THE COURT: Chris, typical of you to suggest a low-tech solution, right.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q Mr. Kelly, it is nice to see you again.
A Nice to see you.
Q I hope you are feeling well since our last meeting last week at your deposition.

A Iam.
Q Mr. Kelly, you're the general counsel of Mazars USA,

## 1 correct?

2 A Correct.
3 Q Prior to joining Mazars, you represented accountants in
4 accounting firms in private practice, correct?
A Correct.
Q For over 20 years, correct?
A Correct. Not since prior to joining Mazars because I 8 joined in 2018 and graduated in 2020. So for 18 years, I
9 represented accountants give or take.
Q For 18 years, you represented accountants?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Please no talking over each other.
Q You represented accountants in connection with their professional lives, correct?

A Correct.
Q And partnership disputes, correct?
A Correct.
Q You advised the accountants on how to comply with professional rules and ethics, correct?

A Correct.
Q And in fact, you advised accountants how to handle what you described at your deposition last week as particularly tricky situations, correct?

A Correct.
Q And you lecture on risk management for accountants,
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## 1 correct?

2 A I used to in private practice, correct.
3 Q Mazars was a client of yours for a long time before you 4 became its general counsel, correct?
5 A Correct.
6 Q And the law firm of Wilson Elser continues to represent
7 Mazars to this day, correct?
8 A Correct.
9 Q In fact, Wilson Elser was the firm that you used to conduct an internal investigation into Mazars' work on the president's Statement of Financial Condition, correct?

A One of two firms.
Q One of two firms. The other one was the Blank Rome firm, correct?
A Correct.
Q Those were Mazars' outside counsel in connection with the internal investigation that you conducted?

A Correct.
Q You're not a CPA, however, correct?
A I am not.
Q You do not prepare compilation reports, correct?
A Correct.
Q You do not prepare asset valuations, correct?
A Correct.
Q And you're here today in your individual capacity,
correct?
A Correct.
Q Because Mazars made the determination that you were the person most knowledgeable --

MR. SUAREZ: Judge, the feedback on this is pretty bad.

THE COURT: Where is Rob when you need him? Try to get Rob, please.

Why don't we continue, maybe just tone it down a little bit.
Q Because Mazars made the determination that you were the person most knowledgeable about certain topics that the New York Attorney General wanted to discuss today, correct?
A Correct.
Q And that topic included compilation procedures, correct?
A Well, I don't know what the Attorney General wanted to
talk about, but when I was asked who was the most knowledgeable about certain topics, it was me.
Q Not Donald Bender?
A Not on the topics that we were asked about.
Q You were asked by the NYAG to testify at trial in this case at the end of last summer, right?
24 A I'm not sure when it was. It was several months ago.
25 Q Several months ago, you were asked to testify at trial
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1 today?
2 A I was advised that I may be called to testify, that -- at this trial.
4 Q And when were you subpoenaed?
5 A I don't -- I don't know.
6 Q Have you ever seen a subpoena?
7 A I believe I have.
8 Q When did you see that subpoena?
9 A Months, a month ago, two months ago. I have no specific recollection.
Q Two months ago, you were subpoenaed to testify at trial?
A It could be. I have no specific recollection.
Q And in the months since, you met with the Attorney General's office at least twice to prepare for your testimony?
A Correct.
Q And in fact, you practiced your direct examination with the government, correct?
A I wouldn't say it was a practice. They asked me some questions and I gave them the answers.
Q In preparation to testify at trial today?
A In anticipation of the testimony, yeah.
23 Q And you were deposed at Trump Tower last week, correct?
24 A Correct.
25 Q And we sat in a small conference room with members of

## the Attorney General's team, correct?

A Correct.
Q Have you or your lawyers further discussed your
testimony today with the NYAG?
A Yes.
Q Since the deposition?
A Yes.
Q What did you discuss?
A I was informed over the weekend that the attorney who
was questioning me had come down with COVID and a new attorney
was going to meet me and get familiar with my talking style.
And when we met on Monday by Zoom, we did the same thing we did previously with that attorney, about asking questions and me giving answers.

Q You practiced for your direct examination, correct?
MR. SOLOMON: Objection. The witness already testified he wasn't practicing, Your Honor.

THE COURT: He did. So you'll have to use a different word.
Q What would you call reviewing your questions for trial today other than practicing?

A Well, for me, it wasn't a practice. He wanted to get to know my rhythm, my answering style, how I answered questions, so maybe it was practice for him; but for me, it was just answering questions.

1 Q They wanted to rehearse your direct examination for today?

A Whatever their intentions were, I don't know.
Q Because the lawyer that sat with us in a conference
room for five hours on Thursday had come down with COVID correct?
7 A Correct.
8 Q That's what they told you?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Anything else that you discussed at that meeting on Monday?
12 A No.
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A Correct.
2 Q In fact, you told me just last week that Mr. Bender
would give a four-hour answer if I asked him what knowledge he had of the Trump Organization, correct?

A Correct.
Q And you also told me that you had no concern with the
work that Donald Bender did for the Trump Organization, correct?
A Correct.
Q And that Mazars had no concern with the work that
Donald Bender did for the Trump Organization, correct?
A Correct.
Q Are you concerned that Mr. Bender received appraisals that he claimed he did not read and understand?
A I'm familiar with what you're talking about and I'm not concerned about that.
Q Because you were sitting at his deposition, correct?
A Correct.
Q And that didn't concern you?
A No.
Q Are you concerned that Mr. Bender did not read
everything that was sent to him by the Trump Organization?
A No.
Q Are you concerned that Mr. Bender was provided with
loan documents that he claims he did not read?
A No.

Q Are you concerned that Mr. Bender claimed not to have expertise in interpreting ASC 274 ?

A No.
Q Are you aware that ASC 274 governs the preparation of an individual's Statement of Financial Condition?

A In a general sense, yes.
7 Q Are you concerned that Mr. Bender did not read or comprehend the supporting data for the Statements of Financial Condition in their entirety each year that they were provided to him?

A I don't accept the premise to that question.
Q Why not?
A I'm not sure it's a fact that he did not do what you said he didn't do.

MR. SUAREZ: All right. Let's pull up Mr. Bender's trial testimony at page 331 at lines 12 through 19:
"You can't answer whether you read the whole document. Yes. Okay. The Statement of Financial Condition of the President of the United States and you can't answer if you read the whole document, is that right. I can't answer today that I read every line."

Do you see that?
A I do.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this. The original question was the supporting data. This

1 answer speaks to the Statement of Financial Condition.

## Which is it?

MR. SUAREZ: And then --
MR. SOLOMON: To show this witness testimony from another witness on a different document is unfair and misleading.

MR. KISE: You mean like they do just about with every witness and I object and it is overruled?

MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Kise, that is totally unnecessary and you know it.

MR. KISE: It is not unnecessary.
MR. SOLOMON: There is an objection to the bench which does not concern you.

MR. KISE: Everything that goes on here concerns me and my client, absolutely, sir, including your health.

MR. SOLOMON: Well, thank you for your concern.
THE COURT: I think there was a misrepresentation of what was testified to in the question, but rather than unpack all this, why don't we just move ahead.

This is not your testimony, right?
THE WITNESS: The testimony on -- the testimony on the screen is not my testimony.

THE COURT: Right. Right. Let's have the next question and I'll deem everything else withdrawn.
Q Would it concern you that Mr. Bender testified in court

## W.

1
that he could not answer --
THE COURT: Wait. Wait. That wasn't in court, right?

MR. SUAREZ: This was in court.
THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry this was actually -- I haven't caught up in modern technology. Yes, this was in court. Apologize.
Q Would it concern you that Mr. Bender testified in court
that the Statement of Financial Condition of the President of
the United States and he couldn't answer if he read the whole document?
A Well, he said he couldn't answer that day whether he read every line and I understand that to mean that he couldn't recall reading every line of every document as he was sitting on the witness stand. So that doesn't concern me that.
Q It does not concern you. And you can't answer whether he read the whole document. He says yes, he could not answer if he had read the whole document.

THE COURT: What's the question?
Q Does that concern you?
A Knowing how the next question played out, I understand what he's trying to say.

MR. SUAREZ: If you read up to the prior line, can we scroll in on that.
Q Did you read this document? He responds, "Did I read
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the whole document. I presumably -- I can't answer if I read the whole document."

THE COURT: What's the question?
Q Are you concerned that Mr. Bender didn't read
Statements of Financial Condition in their entirety?
A That's not what he said. He says, "I presumably -- I
can't answer if I read the whole document."
Q Okay.
A And he goes on to explain that he can't answer today
that he read every line of every document and whatever the
document that is. I still don't know what document it is.
MR. SUAREZ: Let turn to page 375 of the trial testimony at lines 24. We are looking at PX 731. Can we pull up 731 and put it next to this document just to make sure that we are clear.
(Continued on the next page.)

1 Q Can we scroll to the top of PX 731? Do you recognize this document?

A I recognize this type of document. This one in particular I have no memory of.

Q Okay. Do you see what "PBC" means?
A Yes.
Q PBC means prepared by client?
A Correct.
Q And that's a document that Mazars stamps on documents that it receives?
A That's a stamp Mazars puts on documents that it receives.

Q And see where it says there "Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2015?"
A Ido.
Q Do you understand this to be the supporting data for the Statement of Financial Condition for 2015?
A I believe that's part of it, yes.
Q In connection with the internal investigation that you led, I presume you reviewed the supporting data for the statements of financial condition?
A Idid.
Q Okay. And do you see where I ask Mr. Bender here at trial: "Mr. Bender, in connection with the Statement of Financial Condition is this something that you saw?" We pulled
W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez)
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up PX 731, we see that on the line before.
"The schedule."
He says, "I see?"
"Did you see it?"
"ANSWER: Yes, I did.
"QUESTION: Did you review it?
"ANSWER: I did not review it.
"QUESTION: Did not review it?
"Review is the job was a compilation."
"ANSWER: Okay."
Did you read it?
A May not have read every line, but I did look at it.
Q Are you concerned that Mr. Bender did not read every
line of the supporting data of the Statement of Financial Condition?
A No, I'm not.
Q Okay. That does not concern you at all?
A No.
Q Okay. Mr. Bender was the engagement partner that
signed the compilation reports; correct?
A Correct.
Q And his work was subject to quality review by another member of the team; correct?

A Correct.
25 Q Are you concerned that Mr. Bender testified that just

| W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2148 | W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2150 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 because something was sent to him it didn't mean that he 2 reviewed it? | 1 Organization used the compilation reports prepared by Mazars; 2 correct? |
| 3 A No. | 3 A Correct. |
| 4 Q Okay. And that includes the years where Mr. Bender was | $4 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ |
| 5 compiling the Statement of Financial Condition for the president |  |
| 6 of the United States? |  |
| 7 A I don't know what it refers to. |  |
| 8 Q You understand that Donald J. Trump was the president |  |
| 9 of the United States; correct? |  |
| 10 A Correct. |  |
| 11 Q That's not lost on you; correct? |  |
| 12 A Correct. |  |
| 13 Q Okay. You testified earlier today that Mazars performs |  |
| 14 compilation engagements in connection or rather in compliance of |  |
| 15 professional standards; correct? |  |
| 16 A Correct. |  |
| 17 Q And this includes professional standards promulgated by |  |
| 18 the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants; correct? |  |
| 19 A Correct. |  |
| 20 Q And you're familiar with AR 80? |  |
| 21 A In a general sense. | 21 MR. SUAREZ: Let's take a look at Plaintiff's |
| 22 Q And ARC 80? | 22 Exhibit 719 at line 654. |
| 23 A I believe so again in a general sense. | 23 Q And Mr. Kelly, this is the supporting data for the 2014 |
| 24 Q Okay. And those are accounting standards that govern 25 the preparation of compilations? | 24 Statement of Financial Condition that was produced into evidence 25 by the Attorney General. Do you see here that it provides a |
| W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2149 | W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2151 |
| 1 A In a general sense. | 1 valuation of the Seven Springs property under development? |
| 2 Q Okay. Mazars doesn't have its own manual for | 2 A I see that. |
| 3 compilation engagements; correct? | 3 Q And do you see here that the basis of valuation is an |
| 4 A Correct. | 4 anticipated selling price to reach an anticipated profit times |
| 5 Q It follows the policies and procedures concerning | 5 the number of homes to yield an anticipated value. Do you see |
| 6 compilation work that are promulgated by the AIBCA; correct? | 6 that? |
| 7 A Correct. | 7 A I see what it says. |
| 8 Q And, in fact, each compilation report is subject to | 8 Q Okay. Do you see that the method of valuation reflects |
| 9 quality control review before its issue; correct? | 9 to the -- I'm sorry -- reflects the anticipated profit after |
| 10 A Correct. | 10 cost? |
| 11 Q And at your deposition we talked about the fact that | 11 A I do. |
| 12 compilations have limited uses; right? | 12 Q And do you see that the method used here does not |
| 13 A Correct. | 13 account for the time value of money in reaching a value for the |
| 14 Q And you describe compilations as something that you | 14 project under development? |
| 15 personally have an issue with? | 15 A I don't see any indication of that, correct. |
| 16 A On a personal level, yes. | 16 Q Correct there is no indication here that the time value |
| 17 Q That's not the official Mazars policy; correct? | 17 of money was taken into account; correct? |
| 18 A No. | 18 A Correct. |
| 19 Q | 19 Q And this is something that you would have expected |
|  | 20 Mazars to read and understand in connection with the preparation |
|  | 21 of the compilation report; correct? |
| 22 Q And I think you said you had a philosophical issue with | 22 A Correct. |
| 23 the purpose of compilation in a general sense; correct? | 23 Q Okay. Let's take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 730. |
| 24 A Correct. | 24 This is a 2014 Statement of Financial Condition and the |
| 25 Q But you took no exception with how the Trump | 25 accompanying accountant's compilation report prepared by Mazars. |


| W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2152 | W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2154 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Those were previously introduced into evidence by the Attorney General. Can you please take a look at page 6 of the document. It's page 6 of the PDF, page four of the - on the footer. <br> Do you see where it says "Pursuant to GAAP, this <br> financial statement does not reflect the value of <br> Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation; however, the brand value has afforded Mr. Trump the opportunity to participate in licensing deals around the globe as reflected on the balance sheet herein." Do you see that? | 1 which is the sum of the value of the fixed assets plus the fully <br> operational branded facility premium of $\$ 61$ million that has the <br> Mazars check numbers on it. <br> A I see that. <br> Q Correct. <br> And if we go to line 325, this is the Washington, D.C. <br> course, you again see the value of fixed assets at 46,270,000 <br> plus a premium for a fully operational branded facility at <br> 930 percent; correct? |
| 10 A Id | 10 A I see that. |
| 11 Q And it goes on to say, "Mr. Trump's name conveys a high | 11 Q And you again see the Mazars check numbers? |
| 12 degree of quality and profitability;" correct? | 12 A I see those |
| 13 A Correct. | 13 Q And you would have expected Mazars to read and |
| 14 Q The prestige significantly enhances the value of the | 14 understand the basis for valuing the Washington, D.C. club? |
| 15 properties reflected in this financial statement, as well as | 15 A I would. |
| 16 that of his future projects; correct? | 16 Q And if we go on to line 347, we again see the Trump |
| 17 A That's what it says. | 17 National Golf Course in Philadelphia and as Justice Engoron has |
| 18 Q Okay. "The brand along with the level of quality of | 18 taught me to do, if I were to ask you the same questions about |
| 19 Mr. Trump's residential developments has allowed the selling | 19 the golf club in Philadelphia as I did with the golf club in |
| 20 price per square foot in Trump properties to be amongst the | 20 Washington, D.C. and the golf club in Colts Neck, you would |
| 21 highest among prominent real estate developers." | 21 answer the same way? |
| 22 Do you see that? | 22 A Yes. |
| 23 A I see that. | 23 Q And if we can continue scrolling through the following |
| 24 Q And do you see where it concludes, "the goodwill | 24 properties. You would see the same is true of Hudson Valley; |
| 25 attached to the Trump name has significant financial value that | 25 correct? |
| W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2153 | W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2155 |
| 1 has not been reflected in the preparation of this financial | 1 A Yes. |
| 2 statement." Do you see that? | 2 Q And you would see the same is true as the golf club in |
| 3 A I see that | 3 L.A.? |
| 4 Q And would you have expected Mazars to read and | 4 A Correct. |
| 5 understand this note to the financial statement before issuing | 5 Q And you would see the same is true for the golf club in |
| 6 its compilation report; correct? | 6 Charlotte; correct |
| 7 A Correct. | 7 A Correct. |
| 8 Q All right. Now, let's turn to the Supporting Data for | 8 Q And the golf club in Jupiter? |
| 9 this year, PX 719. This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 719 and if we | 9 A Correct. |
| 10 can start at the top, 679. This, again, is the 2014 Supporting | 10 Q And in all of these instances would you have expected |
| 11 Data. Do you see that? | 11 Mazars to read and understand the basis for valuing these golf |
| 12 A I see that | 12 clubs; correct? |
| 13 Q The brand premium -- if we look at Colts Neck, the | 13 A Correct. |
| 14 Colts Neck property, line 306 of this property, would you agree | 14 Q Now, let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 755. This is |
| 15 with me that the valuation method disclosed there includes the | 15 the Statement of Financial Condition for 2017 for President |
| 16 value of the fixed assets; correct? | 16 Trump with the Mazars compilation report included; correct? |
| 17 A I see that. | 17 A Correct. |
| 18 Q And the "premium for fully operational branded facility | 18 Q If we take a look at the assets page. Do you see where |
| 19 at 30 percent." Do you see that? | 19 it says "other assets" of \$318 million? |
| 20 A I see that. | 20 A I do. |
| 21 Q Would you have expected Mazars to read and understand | 21 Q Now, let's turn to page 21. And the "other assets" |
| 22 the basis for valuing the Trump National Golf Club at Colts | 22 says "the estimated current values of other assets are based on |
| 23 Neck? | 23 an evaluation by the trustees in conjunction with their |
| 24 A Y | 24 associates and outside professionals using various valuation |
| 25 Q And you see there next to the value of 61,257,000, | 25 methods." Do you see that? |

A Ido.
2 Q Do you see with where it says "Trump Tower?"
3 A I do.
4 Q Do you see where it says "Mr. Trump owns a triplex apartment on the top three floors of Trump Tower?"

## A I see that.

Q Now, take a look at the corresponding supporting data for this note, which we'll pull up as Plaintiff's Exhibit 758.
This is the supporting data for the 2017 Statement of Financial Condition, and if we scroll down to line 969.

MR. SUAREZ: Scroll up a little bit. Up. Up.
Q You would see here that the 2016 data reflected the townhouse triplex based on comps at $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ square feet for the prior year, correct?
A I see what it says.
Q And you see where it says that the triplex based on comps at 10,000 square feet for the current year is $116,800,000$ ? A I see that.
Q Do you see that? And you see the Mazars check number right there on it; right?

A I see that.
Q And you would have expected Mazars to read and understand this change; correct?

A I would, yes.
25 Q And you can agree with me that the square footage here
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changes from 10,000 - excuse me -- from $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ square feet to a bit over almost 11,000 square feet. Do you see that?

A I see that.
Q Okay. And you would agree with me that this was a correction that was made by the Trump Organization on the Supporting Data?

A I don't know who made the correction.
Q It wasn't Mazars; correct?
A Correct.
Q And you would expect the notes to be conformed as appropriate; correct?

A That's -- I don't understand that question.
Q You would expect the notes to accurately reflect the basis for valuation; correct?

A Not necessarily. The notes don't need to describe the basis of valuation.

Q But you would expect the accountant, Mazars, in
preparing the compilation to make sure that the notes were consistent with the supporting data; correct?

A Correct. If the notes were consistent with the supporting data, yes.

Q And sufficiently descriptive as appropriate?
A As appropriate.
Q Correct.
And it would have been to disclose to Mazars that there
was a change in the triplex; correct?
A What would have been to what?
Q Disclosed here in the supporting data that there was a change in the size of the triplex; correct?

A Correct. The supporting data reflects the change in the size.

Q And Mazars never called back any of the prior years'
Statements of Financial Statement compilation reports; correct?
A Correct.
THE COURT: Hold on. This is when we usually break. How much longer do you think that this cross examination will take?

MR. SUAREZ: I've got a little bit to go.
THE COURT: What's a little bit?
MR. SUAREZ: Maybe an hour.
THE COURT: Maybe an hour, all right. Let's keep going for now.
Q And if we looked at the fixed asset valuation method, let's take a look at lines 267 , would you agree with me here that the valuation method disclosed to Mazars for assets included the value of the fixed assets for the Trump International Golf Club Florida?
A I see that.
Q And would you agree with me here that the Trump Golf
Club Briarcliff Manor included the value of the fixed assets?
. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez)
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A I see that.
Q And if we can scroll down you can see that the fixed asset valuation method here for the Trump National Golf Club Bedminister also used the fixed asset value approach?

```
    A I do.
```

        Q You see all of that?
    


Q Okay. Now, let's go back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 755 at page 5 of the PDF. And here it says "assets are stated at their estimated current values and liabilities at their estimate current amounts using various valuation methods. Such valuation methods include, but are not limited to, the use of appraisals, capitalization of anticipated earnings, recent sales and offers, and estimates of current values as determined by the new trustees of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust." Do you see that?
25 A I see that.

| W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2160 | W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2162 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad Q$ The fixed assets approach to valuation isn't | 1 A Correct. |
| 2 specifically identified here; correct? | 2 Q And prior to sending this letter Mazars conducted its |
| 3 A Correct. | 3 own investigation; right? |
| 4 Q And you would have expected Mazars to read and | 4 A Correct. |
| 5 understand this paragraph; correct? | 5 Q You looked at public sources; right? |
| 6 A Correct. | 6 A Correct. |
| 7 Q Okay. Turning to Plaintiff's Exhibit 2992. This was | 7 Q Those included newspapers? |
| 8 the letter that you sent to the Trump Organization; correct? | 8 A Correct. |
| 9 A Correct. | 9 Q And other publicly available documents; right? |
| 10 Q And earlier you testified that you sent this letter | 10 A Correct. |
| 11 after Mazars learned of certain things that the District |  |
| 12 Attorney's Office was asking questions about; correct? |  |
| 13 A Correct. |  |
| 14 Q Prior to sending this letter you never contacted the |  |
| 15 Trump Organization; correct? |  |
| 16 A I may have called Alan Garten to let him know I was |  |
| 17 coming. |  |
| 18 Q But you didn't ask the Trump Organization to provide |  |
| 19 information or any backup; correct? |  |
| 20 A Correct. |  |
| 21 Q You never asked the Trump Organization to give you |  |
| 22 information concerning the questions that the District |  |
| 23 Attorney's Office was asking; correct? |  |
| 24 A Correct. | 24 Q Prior to sending this letter you also considered |
| 25 Q You took the District Attorney's Office at their word; | 25 information that you received from internal and external |
| W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2161 | W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2163 |
| 1 correct? | 1 alternatively sources; correct? |
| 2 A Not quite. | 2 A Correct. |
| 3 Q But you never gave the Trump Organization an | 3 Q And you reviewed Mazars work papers; correct? |
| 4 opportunity to comment on their allegations; correct? | 4 A Correct. |
| 5 A Correct. | 5 Q Including all of the previous work papers that we |
| 6 Q | 6 previously reviewed; correct? |
|  | 7 A Correct. |
|  | 8 Q And all of the statements of financial condition that |
|  | 9 we previously looked at? |
|  | 10 A Correct. |
|  | 11 Q And you concluded that Mazars' work was done in |
| 12 Q Does Mazars take the position that when deciding to | 12 accordance with professional standards? |
| 13 disengage the Trump Organization as a client it executed that | 13 A Correct. |
| 14 decision in accordance with professional standards? |  |
| 15 A Correct. |  |
| 16 Q Let's take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 2994. You |  |
| 17 wrote this letter; correct? |  |
| 18 A Correct. |  |
| 19 Q And it says that Mazars has not concluded that the |  |
| 20 various financial statements as a whole contain material |  |
| 21 discrepancies; correct? |  |
| 22 A Correct. |  |
| 23 Q When you refer to the various financial statements you |  |
| 24 meant the statements of financial condition for President Trump |  |
| 25 for the period 2011 to 2020; correct? |  |


|  | Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2164 | W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2166 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Q You never asked the Trump Organization to walk you | 1 A Correct. |
|  | through the valuation methods that had been used in the | 2 Q And Mazars also prepared tax returns for dozens, if not |
|  | statements of financial condition; correct? | 3 hundreds, of other Trump Organization entities; correct? |
|  | A Correct. | 4 A Correct. |
|  | Q But you did look at the supporting data; right? | 5 Q And reviewed or audited financial statements for dozens |
|  | A Yes. | 6 of entities; correct? |
|  | Q And you saw how it was reported in the notes; correct? | 7 A I'm not sure about dozens, but yes, excess of ten. |
|  | A Correct. | 8 Q In excess of ten. |
|  | Q And you concluded that the work had been done in | 9 Since 2011, there were at least 116 engagements for at |
|  | accordance with professional standards; right? | 10 least 14 entities in the audit review and attestation |
|  | A Correct. | 11 categories. Does that sound about right? |
|  | THE COURT: That's been asked about five times | 12 A I'll assume that that does not disprove anything in my |
|  | already. | 13 mind. |
|  | Q And after conducting all of this diligence, which | 14 Q You don't have a basis to dispute that? |
|  | included two outside law firms; right? | 15 A Right. |
|  | A Correct. | 16 Q And this work took place over a decade; right? |
|  | Q It included communications with the New York Attorney | 17 A Correct. |
|  | General's Office; correct? | 18 Q And Mazars was a Trump Organization primary outside |
|  | A Correct. | 19 accounting firm? |
|  | Q You spoke with these people; right? | 20 A Correct. |
|  | A I'm -- | 21 Q And Mazars was paid millions of dollars in fees; right? |
|  | Q Or some of the ones that might be out by COVID; right? | 22 A Correct. |
|  | A Yes. | 23 Q And the Trump Organization was Mr. Bender's biggest |
|  | Q And Mazars never determined that there were any | 24 client? |
|  | material discrepancies with respect to the Statement of | 25 A Correct. |
|  | Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2165 | W. Kelly - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 2167 |
|  | Financial Condition that it prepared for President Trump from | $1 \quad$ Q By a lot? |
|  | 2011 to 2018? | 2 A Correct. |
|  | A That is correct. | 3 Q And before the New York Attorney General started |
|  | Q And Mazars takes the position that its decision to | 4 investigating in 2019, Mazars never had any issue with any of |
|  | advise its client not to rely on the statements of financial | 5 the work it did for the Trump Organization; correct? |
|  | condition from 2011 to 2020 was executed in accordance with | 6 A Not correct. |
|  | professional standards; correct? | 7 Q Mazars didn't have any major issues that it did with |
|  | A Correct. | 8 it? |
|  | Q Mr. Kelly, you would agree with me that Mazars and its | 9 A That's correct. |
|  | predecessors prepared compilations of the Statement of Financial | 10 Q That's correct. |
|  | Condition for decades? | 11 There might have been things that were in dispute that |
|  | A I could only say until, like, 2005 and 4. I don't know | 12 you talked about; right? |
|  | before that. | 13 A There were other legal matters that we dealt with |
|  | Q A really long time? | 14 throughout the years. |
|  | A A really long time. | 15 Q And you worked them out to Mazars' satisfaction? |
| 16 | Q And Mazars never refused to prepare a Statement of | 16 A Correct. |
|  | Financial Condition prior to 2021; correct? | 17 Q Because Mazars kept doing work for the Trump |
|  | A For this client, correct. | 18 Organization? |
|  | Q Correct, fair. | 19 A Correct. |
| 20 | And each year Mazars got paid to prepare the | 20 Q And kept collecting fees from the Trump Organization? |
|  | compilation report for the Statement of Financial Condition; | 21 A Correct. |
|  | correct? | 22 THE COURT: Asked and answered many times. Yes, |
| 23 | A Correct. | 23 they were paid. |
| 24 | Q And each year Mazars also got paid to prepare the | 24 Q And over the years Mazars prepared audits of 40 Wall |
|  | president's federal income tax returns; correct? | 25 Street LLC; correct? |
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1 A Correct.
2 Q Audits of the Trump Old Post Office LLC?
3 A Correct.
4 Q Audits and compilations for 401 North Wabash Venture 5 LLC?
6 A I believe so, yes.
7 Q And in doing so Mazars would have known that these 8 attestation services were performed for the benefit of lenders
9 like Deutsche Bank; right?
10 A I don't know in any particular situation.
11 Q Okay. Was it part of your review to determine what the
12 audits and compilations prepared for those entities were used
13 for?
14 A No.
15 Q Were there any loan documents related to Deutsche Bank
16 or Ladder Capital in Mazars' files?
17 A You know, I don't remember seeing any.
18 Q Would you have understood the basis for preparing
19 audits and compilations?
20 A Yes.
21 Q Would you have understood that those were going to be
22 submitted to lenders?
23 A It depends on a particular situation.
24 Q That they could have been submitted to lenders?
25 A I'm aware of various uses for those type of documents;
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1 in this situation, I don't know.
2 Q And Mazars would have done a good job in doing audits and compilations -- excuse me.

And Mazars would have done a good job in doing audits and reviews for those entities; correct?
A Correct.
Q And in doing so Mazars would have performed financial
analysis on the books and records of those entities; right?
A Of the entities we're doing the audits of?
Q Correct.
A Yes.
Q Which include 40 Wall, Old Post Office and 401 North Wabash?

A Yes.
Q And those audits took place as recently as December 31, 2020; correct?

A Correct.
Q And to this day Mazars still stands behind all of the financial statements that audited or reviewed for the Trump Organization; correct?
A Correct.
Q Okay. And then in February you send a letter saying
there is a non-waivable conflict; right?
A Correct.
25 Q And this conflict included the potential that you might

1 be called to testify in a manner that's adverse to your former 2 client; correct?
3 A Right.
4 Q And, of course, you were concerned that the government, 5 the NYAG, these people, could bring a claim against Mazars; correct?
A As general counsel of Mazars, I'm concerned anybody could bring a claim against it and in this particular situation
I was concerned of anybody involved could bring a lawsuit against the Trump Organization.

Q It was --
A I'm sorry.
Q It was a factor; right?
A Yes.
Q You were concerned?
A Correct.
Q And you wanted to get ahead of it; right?
A Well, I knew that if we were able to demonstrate what services we provided that we'd be okay because we complied with professional standards.

Q You wanted to convince the government not to bring a claim against Mazars?
A I wanted to explain to whoever would ask what we did and why we did it.

Q You certainly didn't want to -- I'm sorry -- not to
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## bring a claim against Mazars?

A I'll agree with that.
Q And you exchanged a lot of information; right? Years worth of information?

A With who?
Q With the New York Attorney General's Office?
A Yes.
Q You spent years of exchanging information with them; right?

A We spent years replying to their subpoenas and things like that, yes.

Q Okay. Because you wanted to curry favor with these people so you could get Mazars out of a tricky situation; right?

A There was no currying favor involved. It was being a good corporate citizen, responding to subpoenas and explaining the work we did.

Q Okay. So after all of these years, 30 years with Mazars and its prior entities, millions and millions of dollars paid for by the Trump Organization, when the New York Attorney General files a claim, files a paper in court, you take them at their word and never once consult with your former client; right?

A No, we didn't take them at their word. We did additional investigation as outlined in the letter.
Q Which did not include talking to your client who paid

| Page 2172 | W. KELLY - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. SUA |
| :---: | :---: |
| ```you all that money for all those years; right? A Correct. Q Okay.So that was it? You just kicked them to the curb; right? A We did not kick them to the curb. Q Okay. Transcript continues on the following page....``` | THE COURT: When? <br> MR. SUAREZ: 15 minutes. <br> THE COURT: Deal. <br> THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. <br> ( Witness resumes the stand.) <br> THE COURT: Okay. 15 minutes from 12:02. <br> Q Mr. Kelly, I want to bring Plaintiff's Exhibit 2994 <br> back up to the screen. I want to draw your attention very <br> specifically to the part that says, "While we have not concluded <br> that the various financial statements as a whole contain <br> material discrepancies. " <br> After all these years, Mr. Kelly, and all the <br> investigations by the New York Attorney General's Office and the <br> District Attorney of New York and congressional democrats, <br> Mazars wrote, "While we have not concluded that the various <br> financial statements contain material discrepancy," correct? <br> A Correct. <br> Q All of this time later, you still have not identified <br> material discrepancies, correct? <br> A When I wrote this letter, correct. <br> Q Okay. And that was -- <br> THE COURT: Wait. Wait. That's not what the <br> question was. The question was -- <br> MR. SUAREZ: I'll rephrase the question. <br> THE COURT: There was no problem with the question. |
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| MR. KISE: Your Honor, I hate to interrupt my colleague, but are we taking our morning break? <br> THE COURT: Is there any chance of finishing this before 12:30? <br> MR. SUAREZ: I could meet with my colleagues and streamline the rest of the presentation. <br> THE COURT: How about we take a break now and if the team can suggest ways to make this faster, we would appreciate that. <br> MR. KISE: We will as always. I will note yet again, though, that no one ever hurries up the Attorney General. We are the only ones that always get the hurry up. <br> THE COURT: All right. I don't have to respond to that. I don't necessarily accept it. In fact, I don't accept it. Ten-minute break for the next 15 minutes. <br> (Witness exits the stand.) <br> (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) <br> THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Be seated and come to order. <br> THE COURT: Ten-minute break should not take more than 15 minutes. It shouldn't take 20, but if there is an emergency or something, tell me; but let's try to keep this moving fast. <br> It's twelve o'clock. How much longer? <br> MR. SUAREZ: We'll, wrap it up. | The problem was the answer didn't answer the question. <br> Q When you wrote this letter, Mazars had not identified <br> any material discrepancies with the financial statements, correct? <br> A Correct. <br> Q And that was after years of investigations by the New <br> York Attorney General's Office, correct? <br> A Correct. <br> Q And that was after years of investigations by the <br> District Attorney of New York, correct? <br> A Correct. <br> Q And that was after years of investigations by <br> congressional democrats, correct? <br> A Correct. <br> Q And that was after years of lawsuits and litigation <br> related to the work that Mazars did for the Trump Organization, correct? <br> A I don't agree that the lawsuits related to the work we did, but the work we did was touched upon in the lawsuits. <br> Q That was after years of litigation that Mazars found itself in as a result? <br> MS. FAHERTY: Can we move this along? I think we've established it is after a number of years. Can we move this along? <br> MR. SUAREZ: I don't blame you. This is the kind |

of harassment that we have had to put up with and you had to put up with it. So I will move it along, but you know it is important that we get out --

MS. FAHERTY: Objection to that commentary.
MR. SUAREZ: Well, I don't interrupt you in the middle of your questions. I appreciate the fact to finish here.

THE COURT: I don't think you're on the microphone, are you?

MS. FAHERTY: Oh, I could speak loudly, Your Honor. I apologize.

THE COURT: Okay. Let hear from the AG and then Mr. Suarez and then let you move on. The clock is ticking.

MS. FAHERTY: I objected and asked that if we could move it along, it had been asked and answered and then I objected to the mischaracterization.

MR. KISE: We are seriously on the clock? You are kidding about that, right?

THE COURT: I'm not kidding because he is asking the same question over and over and he is misrepresenting.

MR. KISE: I don't think so at all, but this never happens during that their examinations never, never, N-E-V-E-R, sir. I'm sorry, but this never happens that they're on a clock.

THE COURT: Maybe there is a reason.

## MS. HABBA: Yeah, we know.

THE COURT: We disagree on what the reason is, but let's not try to figure this out in front of the current situation. Please continue.
Q Since we were interrupted, years of investigations by the New York Attorney General, correct.
A Correct.
Q Years of investigations by the District Attorney of New York, correct?
A Correct.
Q Years of investigation by --
THE COURT: Okay. Okay. You keep saying that.
MR. SUAREZ: They keep interrupting me. I'm trying to get through a line of questioning and cross-examination, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you forget what he said before about years of investigation, years of this, years of that? Did you forget that?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I do need to hear the question again.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the question again. Q Years of investigations by congressional democrats, correct?
A I'm really sorry, but can you do the preamble to it
because that's when I got lost in all of this?

Q Mazars was involved in years of responding to subpoenas by the New York Attorney General's Office, right?

A Correct.
Q And Mazars was involved in years of responding to subpoenas by the District Attorney of New York, right?

A Correct.
Q And Mazars had to dial with books being written by former prosecutors in the District Attorney of New York Office about that investigation, right?
A I wouldn't say we had to deal with it, but we are aware of it.

Q You are aware of it? It's there?
A Yeah.
Q And Mazars had to respond to congressional subpoenas,
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## right?

A Right.
Q And after all of that harassment, you still put in this letter that Mazars had not concluded that the statements contained material discrepancies, correct?
A I don't mean to quibble, but harassment, but after years of all of that, I still put this in this letter, yes.
Q It was harassment, Mr. Kelly, and Mr. Bender knew it. He lost his biggest client. He went to the White House and cried in front of the President because he was so upset -THE COURT: Is this a speech or a question? MR. SUAREZ: It is a question.
THE COURT: It is a question.
Q His entire practice was being decimated by all that harassment and your accounting firm, sir, was being put through years and years and years of torture, harassment and tormentation [sic] by these people, correct?

I will withdraw that question.
And notwithstanding all of the pressure brought to bear by the New York Attorney General's Office, by the District Attorney of New York, by everyone who examined the work that Mazars did, you still had the fortitude to be honest in this letter and for that I congratulate you.

THE COURT: Is that a question? MR. SUAREZ: I don't want it withdrawn.

1 Q I congratulate you that after fearing litigation --
THE COURT: No. It has to be a question. That's
what you are here for, to ask questions. He is here to answer them and I make sure - I'm here to make sure that that happens.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I have no further
questions other than I congratulate the witness to have the fortitude to put that in the letter.

THE COURT: Will there be any re-direct?
MR. SOLOMON: One minute of re-direct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: One minute, you got it.
THE COURT: Please proceed with your one-minute redirect.

MR. KISE: Are they on the clock?
THE COURT: Yes. It is 12:09. I will stop them him by $12: 11$.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. FINKELSTEIN:
Q Do you recall on cross-examination you were asked about your investigation about prior to resigning the engagement?

THE COURT: Sorry. I can't hear that question. I didn't hear that question.
Q Do you recall on cross-examination you were asked about your investigation prior to resigning the engagement?

A In general, yes.
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1

Q During the investigation, did you learn that the Trump Organization had failed to provide certain information to Mazars?

A The investigation was done through and with counsel, so I'm -- I think it's a privileged issue that I'm not going to talk about.

THE COURT: Will you accept that?
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, finding out whether there was or wasn't information withheld, without getting into the details of what that information was, is not privileged. If the witness -- but if the witness is taking that position.

MR. KISE: This is consistent with the position the witness took in the deposition that all of these matters are completely off limits, they're all privileged, and both sides -- the Attorney General didn't question that. We didn't question that. So to ask him that now and put him in a position where he's got to make that determination is inadmissible.

THE COURT: This is off the clock, though. I'm sorry. It is only one minute.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, the witness has asserted privilege. And if Your Honor upholds that assertion, we have nothing further.

THE COURT: I am upholding it. That's it.
MR. FINKELSTEIN: Nothing further.

THE COURT: Any recross-examination? Let's get the next witness. The witness is excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, on behalf of the People, Colleen Faherty, we call Michael Cohen as our next witness.

THE COURT: Okay. I had a feeling you would.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing, but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your name and either business or home address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Michael Cohen, 502 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10022.
MICHAEL COHEN, a witness called by the Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, took the witness stand and testified as follows:

THE COURT: Please commence the direct examination of Mr. Cohen.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. FAHERTY:

Q Good afternoon.
A Good afternoon.
Q Before we begin, I just want to ask you a question.
Are you currently taking any medications that would impact your ability to testify today truthfully and accurately?

A No.
Q Okay, thank you.
Can you, please, describe your educational background after high school for me?
A College, four years, American University. Then three years law school, Western Michigan Thomas M. Cooley School of Law.
Q Did you say Cooley School of Law?
A Correct.
Q What year did you graduate from the Cooley School of Law?
A 1991.
Q Do you hold any professional certifications or
licenses?
A Not any longer.
Q Did you previously hold any professional certifications or licenses?

A I did.
Q What professional certification or license did you previously possess?

1 A JD, New York State Bar Association.
2 Q You were a licensed attorney?
3 A Yes.
4 Q You don't hold any accounting degrees, right?
5 A Correct.
6 Q What state were you licensed as an attorney?
7 A New York State.
8 Q Do you recall at what point in time your license was revoked to serve as an attorney of law?

A 2018.
Q And what was the basis for your license to practice law was revoked?

A The charges that emanated from the Southern District of New York.
Q Fair to say that you have been convicted of a crime, sir?

A Correct.
Q And just to refresh your recollection, if I stated that there was a committee decision filed on February 28, 2019, do you have any basis to dispute that that was the date --

A Oh, it would be 2019. Sorry. Yes.
Q Thank you.
What were the crimes that you were convicted of in reference to your testimony just now about the Southern District of New York?
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A I pled guilty to five counts of tax evasion, one count of misrepresentation to a financial institution, two counts of campaign finance violation as well as one count of misrepresentation to Congress, 1001 violation.
Q So let me see if I have these correct. You pled guilty to five counts of evasion of assessment of personal income tax pursuant to 26 U.S. Code Section 7201?

A Correct.
Q And would that be for the calendar years of 2012
through 2016?
A Yes.
Q Was that related in connection with what business was that tax evasion crime related to?
A It wasn't connected to any specific business. It was personal tax.

Q Okay. Was it related to anything in connection with a taxi and limousine business you operated?
A I owned New York City taxi medallions which were leased to an agent. I had income from that agent which it was stated was not reflected in my tax returns.
Q And that -- the guilty plea in connection with those five counts of personal income tax evasion was accepted by a Federal Court in the Southern District of New York, correct?

A Correct.
25 Q And with regards to the sixth count in the Southern

1 District of New York, is it correct that that was the criminal
2 charge of making a false statement to a financial institution in
3 connection with the credit decision between the years 2015 to 42016.

5 A It was in connection with a HELOC, a home equity line of credit, application that they stated had a misrepresentation on it.
8 Q And that's H-E-L-O-C?
9 A Correct. Acronym.
10 Q And that would be in violation of 18 U.S. Code Section 11 1014, correct?
12 A Correct.
13 Q And the seventh count, is it correct that you pled
14 guilty to willfully causing an unlawful corporate contribution
15 from in or about June to October of 2016?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And that is in violation of 52 U.S. Codes Sections
1830118 (a), 30109 (d)(1)(a) and 18 USC Section 2(b)?
19 A Yes.
20 Q And then the eighth count of that criminal indictment 21 in the Southern District of New York was a plea of guilty to
22 making an excessive campaign contribution in or about October of 3 2016, yes?

A Yes.
25 Q Then I think you also said that you pled guilty to a
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1 ninth count; is that correct?
2 A That's correct.
3 Q Was that in a separate prosecution dated November 29th, to 18 ?
5 A It was 2018. Yes, it was.
6 Q Was that pursuant to a plea agreement with the Special
7 Counsel's Office that you made false statements to the United
8 States Congress in violation of 18 USC Section 1001(a)(2)?
9 A Yes.
10 Q And you were sentenced for those crimes?
11 A I was.
12 Q And did you attempt at any point to cooperate with the government in connection with your guilty pleas?

A I did cooperate with the government, yes.
Q However, you did not receive 5 K 1 or substantial assistance letter from the federal government, did you?

A No, I refused.
Q Did you receive any downward variance or departure in your sentencing guidelines as a result of any cooperation you may have provided to the government?
A No.
Q There was no downward variance associated with the sentencing guidelines?
A The downward variance was a result of the plea, not 25 because of any benefit that I would receive.
$1 \quad$ Q And the downward variance, about how long -- do you recall the length of the sentence you were sentenced to?

A 36 months incarceration, 36 months between home confinement and supervised release.
Q Was there also a concurrent two-month sentence imposed
as a result of the additional Special Counsel's Office
information?
A Yes.
Q And you did, in fact, serve that time in a federal correctional facility?
A I did.
Q Have you ever made any public statements concerning the legitimacy of those convictions?

A More than one.
Q And why did you do that?
A Because there was no tax evasion. At best, it could be characterized as a tax omission. I have never in my life not paid taxes. I have never requested an extension until 2017. Every year I had paid, no extensions on time, what my CPA accountant directed me to pay.
Q Were there, in fact, crimes that you pled guilty to that you did feel you were guilty of committing those crimes?

A Yes.
Q So why, then, are you making statements about the
legitimacy of the Southern District convictions if there are
to J. Sekulow, Ivanka, Jared, Abby Gold (ph), Ty Cobb, many people were involved in the formation of that statement. My complicity resulted in -- from me reading it into the record and asking that the document be made part of the record.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I just move to strike that entire answer. I don't know if that was a book that he was writing, but it wasn't responsive at all to the question. I mean, it was just a long narrative about, you know, invective about Trump and who knows how many other people. I'm sure I'm going to be included here soon, but I don't -- I don't think that that was at all responsive to the question.

THE COURT: Overruled. I believe it was responsive. Could have been shorter, but it was responsive. Q Fair to say you still stood up in court and said to the Southern District of New York, "I'm guilty of certain crimes." "I accept responsibility for the crimes." And you served federal incarceration in connection with those crimes, correct?
A Correct.
Q And fair to say a prosecutor stood up in front of the Southern District of New York and stated, "The" factual allegations in support of the crimes for which you had been indicted and pled guilty," correct?
A Correct.
Q And a federal judge accepted those factual allegations,
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some that you feel, for example, were not crimes like a tax omission?
A One has nothing to do with the other. The tax violations as I've stated many times should not have been brought in and of itself. The same with the HELOC violation. I had had a HELOC on my property for more than a decade and I wanted to correct the record because when all of this started, it was overwhelming, the amount of misinformation,
disinformation, mal information about me was overwhelming and enormous.

On top of that, there was also the second campaign finance violation which dealt with Karen McDougal. I acknowledged my complicity in the Stormy Daniels matter, but the Karen McDougal, I never paid Karen McDougal. I was tasked to review documents to ensure that Mr. Trump was protected and that was done through AMI, National inquirer and David Pecker, but I am also lastly complicit with the lie to Congress and as I have stated many times, it is important to finish the sentence and talk about what that lie was. That lie was the number of times that I stated to the Senate Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence how many times I spoke to Mr. Trump about the failed Moscow -- Trump Tower Moscow project.

I had stated three. The true answer was ten and I did that at the direction of, in concert with and for the benefit of Mr. Trump. There was a whole group of people from Alan Garten
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1 that they would be proved in connection with your guilty plea, correct?
3 A Correct.
4 Q And I believe you stated it, but in connection with the 5 crimes alleged concerning an unlawful corporate contribution, was that alleged conduct performed during the course of your employment at that time?

A Employment at the Trump Organization?
Q Yes, sir.
A Yes.
Q And for the crimes alleged concerning an excessive
campaign contribution, was the alleged conduct there performed during the course of your employment at that time?

A Yes.
Q And who was your employer at that time?
A Donald J. Trump.
Q And for the crimes alleged concerning making a false statement to Congress, was the alleged conduct performed during the course of your employment at that time?

A Yes.
Q And who was your employer at that time?
A Donald J. Trump.
Q Am I correct that you were Mr. Donald J. Trump's personal counsel, sir?

A I was.

Q So let's take a step back and I want to talk further about your professional background before the criminal convictions, okay?

A Sure.
Q What was the nature of your legal practice when you first began working as an attorney, just generally?
A Negligence, tort, medical malpractice.
Q Where did you work after you graduated law school?
A It is called Estrin \& Associates, 225 Broadway.
Q Med-mal negligence firm?
A Yes.
Q How long were you there?
A Five years.
Q Any titles associated with that position?
A Associate.
Q Any other titles?
A No, ma'am.
Q Did there come a point in time when you left Estrin?
A Yes.
Q Where did you work after Estrin?
A I left Estrin \& Associates because I bought into a
22 company called Manhattan Maintenance. It was a New York City
23 yellow cab medallion company. I started my own law firm at the
24 time, but I also operated the yellow cabs out of the same
25 facility.
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Q And for how long were you there?
A From 1995 to 2002.
Q And was it just the title of being the owner at
Manhattan Maintenance or was there another title associated with that work?
A I also had a law practice.
Q Was there a name of a law practice?
A Michael D. Cohen \& Associates.
Q In 2002, did there come a point in time when you left the Manhattan Maintenance Company?

A Yes.
Q Tell me about that departure.
A I sold my half of the company to my ex-partner and decided to take a little time for myself and ultimately then created -- continued with the law practice elsewhere.
Q The Michael D. Cohen \& Associates law practice?
A Yes.
Q And what was the nature of the Michael D. Cohen law practice?

A It was predominantly negligence, tort, similar to what I started with, but then I started doing some real estate and some business law.
Q Was that transactional work or can you describe for me the nature of the real estate work you engaged in at that time?

A It was acquisitions of real estate on behalf of clients

1 as well as representing various different business owners, some of whom were in the auto business.

Q And did there come a point in time when you joined a firm named Phillips Nizer?
5 A Yes.
6 Q When was that?
7 A 2015, 2016. I'm sorry. 2006, 2007, somewhere in that 8 area. I'm sorry. 2005, 2006, in that area.
9 Q 2005 or 2006 ?
10 A Yeah.
11 Q And what happened to the Michael D. Cohen \& Associates firm?

A Merged it into Phillips Nizer.
Q At Phillips Nizer, did you have a title when you merged together?

A I did.
Q What was your title?
A I was a partner.
Q And how about once you joined Phillips Nizer, what was the nature of your practice then?

A Business development as well as continuing with my client base.
Q The client base that you had developed when you were in private practice at Michael D. Cohen \& Associates?

A Correct.
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Q Fair to say that your practice included commercial real estate work?
A Yes.
Q Residential work as well?
A Mostly for myself.
Q Was it -- would you characterize the practice as a
litigation practice?
A We had some litigation, but I would not say it
was -- it was primarily litigation at that time.
Q And did there ever come a point in time when you stopped working for Phillip Nizer?

A Yes.
13 Q When was that?
14 A That was in 2007.
15 Q And what occurred such that you stopped working for the 16 Phillip Nizer firm?

A I had had a meeting with Mr. Trump at the Trump
Organization and he had asked me to join his company as executive vice-president of the Trump Organization and special counsel to him.

Q So in or about 2007, you joined the Trump Organization to work --

A March of 2007.
24 Q And that was to work for Donald J. Trump?
25 A Correct.

| Page 2196 | M. Cohen - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 2198 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad$ Q Can you broadly describe that process about how -- of | 1 counsel?" |
| 2 how you came to work for the Trump Organization, just broadly, | 2 A Correct. |
| 3 please? | $3 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ What was your understanding of what special counsel |
| 4 A I was introduced to Mr. Trump through his son Don, Jr. | 4 meant? |
| 5 and it emanated from a dispute that took place at one of the | 5 A I reported and only handled work for Mr. Trump and so I |
| 6 properties. I had owned a unit there, family members owned | 6 was his special counsel. Whatever issues he had, whatever |
| 7 units there, friends owned units there, and the goal was to | 7 created ire for him, he would bring it to me in order to |
| 8 remove the board and to replace the board with a more favorable | 8 resolve |
| 9 board. | 9 Q So you were specifically serving as a personal counse |
| 10 We did it successfully. As a result, I was asked to | 10 to Donald J. Trump? |
| 11 handle a few other matters for Mr. Trump which also resulted | 11 A Correct. |
| 12 successfully and ultimately while sitting in his office, he | 12 Q Who did you report to at the Trump Organization? |
| 13 asked me if I would like to leave that sleepy, old firm, meaning | 13 A Donald Trump. |
| 14 Phillip Nizer, and join him. | 14 Q Anyone else? |
| 15 (Continued on the next page.) | 15 A No. |
| 16 | 16 Q Was that true for the entire time that you worked at |
| 17 | 17 the Trump Organization? |
| 18 | 18 A Yes. |
| 19 | 19 Q So the only person who asked you to perform work was |
| 20 | 20 Donald J. Trump? |
| 21 | 21 A Correct. |
| 22 | 22 Q And the only person who would provide approval for the |
| 23 | 23 work you performed was Donald J. Trump? |
| 24 | 24 A Correct. |
| 25 | 25 Q Okay. And just so that I have the same understanding |
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| 1 Q Fair to say you accepted that invitation to join the | 1 as you. I've used the phrase, "The Trump Organization." |
| 2 Trump Organization in 2007? | 2 What is your understanding of what the phrase "The |
| 3 A I did. | 3 Trump Organization" means? |
| 4 Q And when I joined the Trump Organization in March of | 4 A The Trump Organization is a company that is |
| 5 2007, did you have a title? | 5 predominantly in real estate as well as branding. During the |
| 6 A I did. | 6 times that I was there as well it also had television and media |
| 7 Q What was your title? | 7 operations as well. |
| 8 A It remained the same from beginning to end, executive | 8 Q And are there a series of common enterprise of |
| 9 vice president of the Trump Organization and special counsel to | 9 corporate entities that operate under this umbrella term, "The |
| 10 Donald J. Trump. | 10 Trump Organization?" |
| 11 Q Let's take to those in turn. | 11 A Yes. |
| 12 Executive vice president of what? Was there a | 12 Q Do you know who owns or is the beneficial owner of that |
| 13 particular department division that you were an EVP over? | 13 enterprise of corporate entities under the umbrella "Trump |
| 14 A No, it was of the Trump Organization. It was just a | 14 Organization?" |
| 15 standing. There were -- after Mr. Trump there were about a | 15 A Yes. |
| 16 dozen plus executive vice presidents, including the three | 16 Q Who is that? |
| 17 children and then others were vice presidents and so on. So it | 17 A Mr. Trump. |
| 18 was basically a title of standing. | 18 Q Okay. Focusing on the organization, were there |
| 19 Q Did EVP reflect a level within the hierarchy? | 19 different departments at the Trump Organization, for example, an |
| 20 A Yes. | 20 accounting department, a legal department? |
| 21 Q And where within the executive level hierarchy would an | 21 A Yes. |
| 22 EVP have standing? | 22 Q You didn't fit within the legal department, did you? |
| 23 A Directly under Mr. Trump. | 23 A No. |
| 24 Q Thank you. | 24 Q Your position was held outside of the legal department, |
| 25 And you also stated you had a second title, "special | 25 yes? |

1 A Correct.
2 Q But there was an accounting department there?
3 A Yes.
4 Q Who do you understand worked in the accounting
5 department at the Trump Organization?
6 A Well, several people worked at the accounting, but the 7 CFO for the Trump Organization was Allen Weisselberg.
$8 \quad$ Q Anyone else you know who worked in the accounting department other than the CFO, Mr. Weisselberg?

A There were many people, Deborah Tarasoff, Jeff McConney. When I first started there there was a gentleman by the name of Eric Sacher who then got replaced with -- I apologize. I am blanking on his name, but there was quite a few people in the accounting department.

Q And in the legal department, did you ever have occasion to work with members who were employed within the legal department at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q Does the name Jason Greenblatt sound familiar to you?
A When I started Jason Greenblatt was general counsel along with George Ross.
Q And was he still at the Trump Organization by the time you departed in 2017?
24 A Yes.
25 Q How about Allan Garten? Is that a name you're familiar
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1 within the legal department?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Who is Alan Garten?
4 A He was an attorney at the Trump Organization, also an
executive, but he'd ultimately became general counsel after
Jason Greenblatt's departure to go pursue negotiations with
Middle East after Mr. Trump became president elect.
Q And fair to say you did not report to either of those individuals, yes?

A Correct.
Q I'd like to talk about some of the other Trump
Organization executives.
Are you familiar with the name Donald Trump, Jr.?
A Yes.
Q How are you familiar with that name?
A That's how I was first introduced to Mr. Trump was through his son and he is the namesake of his father.
Q And Mr. Trump, Jr., did he have a title during the time when you worked at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q What was his title?
A Executive vice president and there was a second part to
it, which had to do with development.
Q Do you recall the specific title?
25 A I don't.

Q So it may have been something along the lines of executive vice president of development and acquisitions?
A Development and acquisitions, yes.
Q Did that refresh your recollection about the title?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Thank you.
And what is your understanding of Mr. Donald Trump,

A Development in acquisitions of real estate
opportunities that would be presented to the Trump Corporation.
Q And when we were talking about that hierarchy before,
fair to say that Mr. Donald Trump, Jr., he was at the top of the
hierarchy with the title executive vice president similar to you?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Are you familiar with the name Eric Trump?
A Iam.
Q How are you familiar with that name?
A Worked at the company as well as I was on his Eric
Trump Foundation board, which benefits St. Jude Children's
Hospital working with family.
Q Another one of the Trump family members; correct?
A Correct.
Q And did Mr. Eric Trump hold the title during the time
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while you were working at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q What was Mr. Eric Trump's title?
A Same as Don, Jr.'s executive vice president and development and acquisitions.

Q And what is your understanding of the work Mr. Eric
Trump performed at the Trump Organization during the time you worked there?
A Same development and acquisitions of real estate opportunities.

Q Any particular areas that you're familiar with that he had a specialty in?

A He concentrated on golf as well as again different opportunities that would come in to the company. Don, Eric and Ivanka would split various opportunities where each one was attached to a specific opportunity.

Q And you beat me to my next question.
Are you familiar with the name Ivanka Trump?
A Iam.
Q How are you familiar with the name Ivanka Trump?
A Also one of Mr. Trump's three children, plus we also live in the same building.

Q And did Ms. Ivanka Trump have any titles during the time when you were employed with the Trump Organization?

A Yes.
$1 \quad$ Q What was the title Ms. Ivanka Trump held?
2 A Executive vice president of development and acquisitions as well.
4 Q And I didn't ask this question about Mr. Eric Trump, 5 but Ivanka, Donald, Jr. and Eric Trump, they all held the title 6 executive vice president, yes?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And they were in that higher level of the hierarchy, yes?

A Yes.
11 Q Ivanka Trump, Eric Trump, Donald Trump, Jr., did they report to you at anytime during your employment with the Trump Organization?
A No, ma'am.
15 Q To whom did those three individuals report to while you were employed by the Trump Organization?

A Their father.
Q Okay. Anyone else?
A No, ma'am.
Q We've already stated the name Allen Weisselberg. He was the CFO of the Trump Organization, yes?

A Yes.
Q What understanding do you -- if any, do you have as to his role at the Trump Organization?

A He was the chief financial officer. Every financial
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1 Q Do you know if he reported to anyone else at the Trump Organization?
3 A Mr. Trump, if it would be necessary.
4 Q Anyone else?
5 A Not that I'm aware of.
6 Q And your general understanding of Mr. McConney's role?
7 A He handled all of the bookkeeping.
8 Q Anything else you recall about Mr. McConney's role?
9 A No, ma'am.
10 Q Are you familiar with a document titled the Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump?

A Iam.
Q And how are you familiar with that title?
A I've seen them as well as I've worked on them.
Q Describe that for me. What do you understand generally that document to be?

A That was a complete listing of all of the assets of the
Trump Corporation, the Trump Organization with each asset broken
down into an asset class, the asset class described and the value was placed on the asset, as well as any existing
liabilities. The goal was to basically create a statement of financial worth.

Q For whom?
A Donald J. Trump.
Q And do you have any understanding about why the
M. Cohen - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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1 transaction went through his office.
2 Q Can you give me an example? What do you mean by that?
3 A If there was an invoice that came to me, I would be
required to approve that invoice, put a line on, write
"approved," sign my name, put another line underneath it and that would then get presented to Allen. It would then, of course, go to Mr. Trump's office for initial signature before a check would be processed.
Q So Allen Weisselberg managed the financials of the Trump Organization?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with the name Jeff McConney?
A I am.
Q How are you familiar with the name Jeff McConney?
A Worked with him at the Trump Organization.
Q And what is your understanding of Jeff McConney's titles that he - title that he held while you were employed at the Trump Organization?

A He was comptroller. He worked predominantly for Allen Weisselberg.
Q So Mr. McConney worked in the accounting department, yes?

A Yes.
Q Was he a direct report to Mr. Weisselberg?
A Yes.
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## document was created?

A Initially.
Q Yes.
A No.
Q During the time you were working at the Trump
Organization did you form any understanding about why the document was created?

A It was to be used for purposes of demonstrating net worth for acquisitions for insurance purpose.

Q Any other reasons?
A Not that I'm aware of.
Q And when you say that this document was to be used to demonstrate net worth for acquisition for insurance purposes, is it your understanding that the document was to be shared with third parties or was that for internal purposes?

A Shared with third parties.
Q Do you have any understanding as to the ways in which the Statement of Financial Condition in particular was used?

A Yes.
Q Describe for me some of those ways.
A So as it related to insurance the document would be presented to the various insurance brokers that were brought to the company from the main broker, AON. They were permitted to take notes off of the document but not permitted to keep the actual document itself and the benefit was showing that the
assets had extremely high values with low liabilities in order to obtain better insurance premiums.
Q You also mentioned acquisitions. Were the statements of financial conditions presented to any third parties for purposes of an acquisition?
A Yes.
Q What third parties are you familiar with?
A I was involved in the potential acquisition of the buffalo bills.
Q Any other acquisitions you can think of?
A The Miami Doral property.
Q Anything else?
A Not off the top of my head.
MS. FAHERTY: And I just want to check on timing,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: I was going to give you the five-minute
warning in one minute. So we'll break at 12:55.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Turning back to the creation of the document focusing on the time that you were employed at the Trump Organization. And I'll draw your attention to the period beginning in 2011.
Do you have any understanding as to who created the document?
A I do not.
Q Do you have any understanding as to whether -- as to
what, if any, individuals at the Trump Organization worked on
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## reason?

Q Does this appear to be a document you may have worked on during the time you were employed at the Trump Organization?
A I don't recall.
Q I'll draw your attention to the third page -- page four of this document, and it ends in the number 3134.
A Yes.
Q Do you see that page there?
A I do.
Q Do you recognize this page as a document page you may
have worked on during your time period working for the Trump
Organization?
A I do.
Q How do you recognize this page? What do you recognize it to be?

A I recognize the entire document.
Q Describe for me that recognition.
A It's a document that I have seen before. It's a
document that $I$ had in my possession and a document that $I$ just recognize.
Q Were you ever asked to perform work on Mr.
Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
Q And before you tell me more about the work you were asked to perform, was that work asked in your capacity as Mr. Donald J. Trump's personal attorney or was it for a business

A For business reason.
Q And what was the work you were asked to perform for
Mr. Donald J. Trump with regards to the Statement of Financial Condition?

A I was tasked by Mr. Trump to increase the total assets based upon a number that he arbitrarily elected and my
responsibility along with Allen Weisselberg predominantly was to reverse engineer the various different asset classes, increase
those assets in order to achieve the number that Mr. Trump had tasked us.
Q And when you say "achieve the number," what number are you talking about?

A Whatever number Mr. Trump told us to.
Q Was it Mr. Trump's ultimate net worth as identified on the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: I think now would probably be a good time to take a break, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. You're giving up two minutes here.

All right, we're going to break for lunch. See you all if you want to come back at $2: 15$ on time, please.
(Whereupon, there is a luncheon recess in the proceedings.)

## AFTERNOON SESSION

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: We just need a witness.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Okay. Let's continue with the direct examination of Mr. Cohen.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MS. FAHERTY:

Q Mr. Cohen, you recall at the break you testified to reverse engineering numbers on the statements of financial condition each year while you were working at the Trump Organization, yes?
A Yes.
Q And I believe you were deposed in this case. Did you testify that between 2011 and 2015 you worked on those years' Statement of Financial Condition?
A Again, I stated I don't recall if I worked on 2011, but

evidence.
And I believe you testified before you have seen this document before; correct?
A Correct.
MS. FAHERTY: And let's turn to the third page of this Document. One more.
Q Was this particular page of assets relevant to the work you performed on the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Yes.
Q How is this particular page relevant to the work you performed on the Statement of Financial Condition?

A We would take this specific list of assets and we would figure out which specific line to increase the number again to reverse engineer it into the total asset that Mr. Trump sought.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, objection on foundational grounds. The witness has testified that he didn't look at any particular documents and then he testified before that -- that he doesn't recall having anything to do with 2011. So now we're looking at 2011 and I don't believe the witness has any foundation to look at 2011.

THE COURT: Did he say he wasn't sure about 2011?
MR. KISE: We can look back. I believe he said "I don't recall working on 2011," but does recall working on '12, '13, '14, '15.

THE WITNESS: That's not what I said.
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MS. HABBA: When he first took on the stand he said he did not work on 2011.

MS. FAHERTY: And, Your Honor, I always appreciate when learned counsel can give me a - I'm happy to ask some additional questions to make the record clear, if that's okay.

THE COURT: All right. Well, let's lay a foundation if one can be laid for this.
Q So what would be the basis for doing, for example, the work you performed on 2012? Would you make numbers out of thin air or was there a document or information you looked at in order to calculate new numbers?
A We would have taken the document that you have up right now, the June 30, 2011 document, and we would mark it up by hand in order to increase each of the asset lines, again, to get to the total asset number that Mr. Trump tasked us to achieve.
Q And when you say, "we," who are you referring to?
A Myself and Allen Weisselberg.
Q Did this conversation or these conversations marking up the 2011 document, for example, occur in the presence of anyone else?
A Yes.
Q Who?
A Mr. Trump.
Q And what, if anything, do you recall Mr. Trump would
say about marking up the document referring in this instance to the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition for the 2012 numbers?
A I would receive a phone call, generally it would be from Rhona Graff, Mr. Trump's executive assistant, "Mr. Trump would like to see you" and I would come into the office. Allen Weisselberg would either already be there or come with me and the topic was the Statement of Financial Condition. He would look at the total assets and he would say, "I'm actually not worth four and a half billion dollars. I'm really worth more, like, six." Okay. He would then direct Allen and I to go back to Allen's office and return after we achieved the desired goal. Q And I'll direct your attention to the following page. And at the bottom of this page do you see a line entry at the top, it says, "liabilities and net worth." Do you see that there?
A Ido.
Q And at the bottom there is a line entry. It says "net worth." Do you see that there?
A Ido.
Q And was this particular number the number you were tasked with bringing up according to Mr. Trump's directive as you just testified?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Now, turning back to the first page.
Was there a particular procedure for you and
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Mr. Weisselberg to distribute the assets on this page that you would alter or backup the numbers into?

A There was no specific program within which to change the numbers. It was, again, reverse engineering.

So, for example, if they brought on a new asset we, of course, would add that to the list of assets, but then there were also other assets like Trump Park Avenue. We would take the number of units that Mr. Trump owned as the sponsor
developer of the property and we would look at numbers that were
being achieved elsewhere; what's the highest price per square
foot achieved in the city, and we would use those numbers in order to inflate the value of these apartments.

Q Are those called comparables?
A Comparables -- you could call them comparables, but comparables imply that they are similar.
Q And is it your understanding that the quote/unquote
"comparables" were not similar?
A No.
Q What is your basis for saying that?
A Some of the comps or comparables were predicated off of ground up developments with nine, ten feet ceilings, unobstructed views, not inhibited by rent stabilized or other programs, so no, they were not comparables. They are just different.
Q So Mr. Trump would task you with reaching a number for

2012, you would look at the prior year's number; correct?
A Correct.
Q And you would go into Allen Weisselberg's office to review the numbers to see where you could add value. Is that fair?
A Fair.
Q And was anybody present for those conversations?
A There were people who had come in to the office that were providing Allen with information, but these conversations were primarily between Mr. Trump, myself and Allen Weisselberg.

Q And in the conversations between you and Mr. Weisselberg in his office, how would you find these comparable numbers? Was there a particular search you would do or research you performed?

A Well, some of the assets that we used as comparables I just know because I am in the real estate industry, so I read an article about an apartment that, you know, that traded or I would look on Google.

Q And did you keep the notes of your meetings with Mr. Weisselberg as to the values you were adding to the asset categories on Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A No.
Q Why not?
A I would sit down with Allen and we would make the changes. That document would then be photocopied that had all
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of the changes at which point in time Allen and I would return to Mr. Trump demonstrate that we achieved or close to the number that he was seeking and I had no use for that document any longer.

Transcript continues on the following page....
$1 \quad Q$ And at some point, did you become aware that the numbers -- the values you had added to the Statement of Financial Condition, let's focus on 2012, that they were accepted by Mr. Trump?

A Yes, he's the only one that could accept them.
Q How did you come to understand that Mr. Trump accepted the values you added to the Statement of Financial Condition for 2012?
A Because he knew the Statement of Financial Condition would be printed by Mazars who is the accounting firm for the Trump Organization and we would receive the bounded copy.

Q Did you have any relationship with Mazars in the process of drafting the Statement of Financial Condition?

A No.
Q Who, if anyone, do you understand to have been
interacting with Mazars in the creation of the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Mr. Trump and Allen Weisselberg.
Q And with regards to the process between the time Mr.
Trump tasked you with reverse engineering that net worth number to the time when you met with Allen Weisselberg in his office to eventually returning back to Mr. Trump with the numbers for his approval, about how long did that process take?

A For the most part, several days.
25 Q And what, if any, understanding do you have as to who
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Mr. Weisselberg relied on to assist him in adding value to the numbers on these Statements of Financial Condition?
A Jeffrey McConney.
Q Anyone else?
A Potentially the children Don, Ivanka and Eric.
Q What, if anything, is your understanding Mr. McConney provided to Mr. Weisselberg on the asset values?

A He had all the numbers. He was the one that controlled the computer with the fobs in order to see, for example, cash and marketable securities. He would also be the one to provide Allen with the gross rent roll for 40 Wall Street or any of the other assets that Mr. Trump owns that were being rented or leased.
Q And you made mention of the three adult children. What is your understanding as to the three adult children's role in assisting Mr. Weisselberg in valuing the assets in the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Well, first would be which projects that they
individually were spearheading and then to ask them what other, you know, values exist for the purpose of this asset.

MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. I'm not sure that's entirely responsive, if at all responsive. Is the witness testifying that he spoke with these individuals or this is his understanding that one else spoke with these individuals? The question calls for -- the question
appears to call for speculation based on the foundation that has not yet been laid, but I don't -- I don't -- it is not clear what he's testifying to at all.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, that appears to be a perfectly fair question by me with a responsive answer and if Mr. Kise disagrees with the response, he's perfectly entitled to cross-examine.

THE COURT: You want to just read it again for everybody's benefit out loud, obviously.
Q You made mention of the three adult children. What is your understanding as to the three adult children's role in assisting Mr. Weisselberg in valuing the assets in the Statement of Financial Condition?

MR. KISE: He has no foundation other than hearsay. If that's what he's saying, unless he's testifying that he spoke with the children directly, that's different.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think he had to speak to them. I think it was more of an observation, but see if you can ask it again with -- either ask a foundation or the question with more of a foundation. I'm not sure exactly also the phrase "what's your understanding." What did you see, observe, hear?
Q Did you have - you had a general understanding as to Eric Trump's role at the Trump Organization while you were employed there, yes?
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A Yes.
Q You similarly formed an understanding as to Donald Trump, Jr.'s role at the Trump Organization while you worked there?

A Yes.
Q Similarly, you formed an understanding of Ivanka Trump's role while working at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q Did you have occasion to observe Mr. Weisselberg interacting with those three adult children as it concerned the Statement of Financial Condition and his preparation of values?

A I did not observe them specifically engage in conversation.

Q Did you at any point form an understanding that Mr. Weisselberg was, in fact, consulting with the three adult children with regards to his valuations on the Statements of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
MR. KISE: Objection.
THE COURT: I think the next question is taken with the first question is acceptable, so...
$Q$ How did you form that understanding?
A Allen would state that, "I need to speak to Don, Ivanka, Eric" regarding whatever the asset was.

THE COURT: Well, did he state that he did speak to

1 them beyond "I have to speak to them"?
THE WITNESS: No, he did not actually make that
overt statement. However, at the end, there was a new
Statement of Financial Condition that was compiled that had the changes.

THE COURT: How do you know that the children had
any input into those new numbers?
THE WITNESS: I do not.
Q Any other understanding as to others who worked with Mr. Weisselberg on the valuations for the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Jeff McConney.
Q Other than Mr. McConney?
A I don't know who else Allen spoke with.
Q And just to clarify, what were the methods of
communication you employed at the Trump Organization? Did you communicate frequently via e-mail?
A No.
Q How about via text message?
A No.
Q How about handwritten notes concerning the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Only to the extent that we changed the numbers and the changed numbers would be in red pen.
Q And you didn't retain copies of those communications,
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## right?

A I do not believe so.
Q So looking at this exhibit, which is still on the
screen, the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition, focusing on the work you performed for the 2012 valuation, what, if any, of these assets do you recall that you provided valuations for?

A Trump Park Avenue, Trump World Tower at United Nations, 100 Central Park South to the extent it was part of the commercial portion; properties under development specifically with Beverly Hills, Miss Universe Pageant and potentially other assets.

Q And as to the other assets, was Allen Weisselberg responsible for those assets?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Let's put that to the side. Can we pull out 2012, please.

Your Honor, I'm handing up to the witness the June 30, 2012 Statement of Financial Condition. It is marked already as an Exhibit PX 815.
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Cohen?
A Ido.
Q And do you recognize this as a document that you worked on in connection with Mr. Trump's assignment that you reverse engineer the values on Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
Q For what year were you using the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition to perform work on Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?
A That would then go for the 2013.
Q So let's turn to the third page of this document. We have it on the screen here. Similar to the prior year Statement of Financial Condition, right, listing of assets?
A Correct.
Q Then if we turn to the next page, "liabilities" and "net worth," yes?
A Yes.
Q And there's a net worth entry at the bottom of the
page, correct?
A Correct.
Q Was that another net worth number that you were directed to reverse engineer to a higher number?
A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Going back to the very first page. Thank you, Ashley. The assets page.
Q Do you recall which assets for the 2013 values that you worked on in connection with your assignment to reverse engineer the values on the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Possibly Trump Tower, Trump Park Avenue, Trump World Tower United Nations, possibly 100 Central Park South to the
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extent of the commercial, mansion at Seven Springs, Miss Universe Pageant as well as possibly other assets.
Q And again, the ways in which you would increase the values of these particular asset classes, what would you do?
A Again, we would take a look at either comparables or new potential lease tenants, for example, in Trump Tower and come up with a multiple if you were taking that to market to securitize it.
Q Any other ways in which you would inflate the assets on these asset classes for the 2013 Statement of Financial Condition?
A Again, looking at comparables into the area Seven
Springs was a little different because there was a deal that was struck to subdivide the property. So we would look at if it was for the -- for this year, it could have been 2013 or -- with the subdivision to provide some sort of number attached to each individual parcel.
Q So is it fair to say you would Google a number for assigning a value to the lots at the mansion at Seven Springs? MR. KISE: Objection. Leading.
Q Describe for me the number.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Describe for me the number that you would use for Seven Springs.
A You look at some of the other homes that are in the
area and you use that as a basis for the subdivision parcel lots.

Q When you're looking up the -- these numbers, are you calculating time as a factor in those values?
A No.
Q Is the value an immediately-achieved number that you're
assigning?
A No.
Q Is the number a comparable or a reasonable comparable,
I think we spoke about comparables before, to the asset class?
A It would depend.
MR. KISE: Objection. Is this witness now testifying as an expert witness on real estate or are we going to add that to his series of credentials? I don't know that he is -- that question calls for expert -- an expert conclusion. Is it a reasonable comparable? That's the province of an expert and unless Mr. Cohen -- the prosecution wants to qualify Mr. Cohen as an expert in that, I'm sure he'll answer it and say he is.

THE COURT: I found it a confusing question. I will sustain the question.

MS. FAHERTY: That's okay. I want to ask the question in a non-objectionable way, Your Honor, so give me a moment, please.

THE COURT: Of course.
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Q So you made mention that the Seven Springs asset was a little different. Your testimony just now, was that specific to the particular year 2013 or is that a general recollection?

A General recollection. I don't know if it was 2012, '13.
Q You generally have a recollection, though, that you
were looking at other comparable assets that could value the subdivided property at Seven Springs; is that fair?
A Yes.
MR. KISE: Objection. Leading.
Q How would you describe --
THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Sustained.
Q How would you describe those comparable assets that you
were looking at for the purpose of that Seven Springs subdivision?

A I'm sorry. I don't understand your question.
Q What were you looking at? How were you coming up with the numbers?
A So I know the area where Seven Springs is located. It's the top of the hill, the very top and we looked at -- I
looked at, for example, the value of a house that was beneath
Mr. Trump's property, Nelson Peltz, and we took that as a number
and then we added to it because it would be new construction, it is higher up the hill and so on, and that's how we ended up increasing.

1 Q And do you know if any of the adult children also performed work at the Seven Springs -- for Seven Springs, LLC,
3 a Trump Organization entity?
4 A I don't know which one, no.
5 Q Same question that I was asking before about 2013. Who 6 tasked you with adding values to the Statement of Financial 7 Condition for that year?
8 A That would be Mr. Trump.
9 Q And who did you perform that work with with inflating 0 the assets for 2013?

A Allen Weisselberg.
Q Similar to the work you performed in 2012?
A Yes, it was an annual recurring process.
Q Approximately how long in 2013 would that take to go
from being assigned adding the value to the time you brought it to Mr. Trump for his approval?

A Again, several days.
Q Any understanding whether or not Mr. Trump did approve of the asset values you added to the Statement of Financial Condition for that year?

A In the same way as previously described.
Q And if I asked you the same questions for the following year, for 2014 --

A Yes.
Q -- would your answers be any different?
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A No.
Q How about in 2015; would your answers be any different?
A No.
Q And let's just take a quick look at the 2013 Statement
of Financial Condition which is -- Tommy, I'm so sorry. 707. Do you recognize that document there?
A I do.
Q Let's turn to the third page. Do you recognize that asset page?

A I do.
Q And that was the asset page you worked on to increase
the values for the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition?
A Correct.
Q And turning to the next page, do you see that net worth entry there?

A Yes.
Q Is that the net worth line that you were tasked with reverse engineering higher by Mr. Trump?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Can we pull out 2015, which is we are looking at 730. Sorry, Tommy. 729. Thank you. Can you pull out 730, too.
Q So I put 2014 -- let's look at 2014 first which is 730 in front of you. Do you recognize that document?

A Ido.

1 Q That's the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition?
2 A Yes.
Q And turn to page three of this document. Again, this is the assets page that you and Mr. Weisselberg worked on together?
A Correct.
7 Q And then turning to the next page, that net worth line appears to have gone up from prior year, yes?
A Correct.
10 Q That was the net worth number that Mr. Trump assigned you to inflate back into?

A Correct.
Q And then turning to Exhibit 729, you recognize that document there?
A Ido.
Q Same assets page that you were assigned?
A Yes.
Q Turning to the following page, net worth number there now six million. You see that there?

A Yes.
Q And that was a net worth number you were tasked with backing into to make higher pursuant to Mr. Trump's direction?

A Correct.
Q You can put those down. Thank you.
Do you recall when you stopped working on the
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1 Statements of Financial Condition?
2 A I believe around for the 2016.
3 Q What is your understanding as to why you stopped working on the Statement of Financial Condition values?

A I was busy doing other things.
6 Q Were you ever in possession of one of Mr. Donald J.
Trump's Statement of Financial Condition in final form?
A Yes.
Q That was yours to use for business purposes?
A It was given to me to use for business purpose.
Q And when would you use that Statement of Financial Condition? Can you provide me an example?
A So in 2013, there was a journalist for a New York centric magazine called The Real Deal. The journalist's name was Katherine Clarke and Katherine Clarke was going to do a story, a feature story on Mr. Trump's net worth. And so when she came to see me at the office, I presented her with the document. She could not keep it. She could take notes in order to create the story about how much Mr. Trump was actually worth.

Q So is that generally how you would come to use the Statement of Financial Condition?

A That's one example.
Q That's one example. Another example, do you have any?
A When Mr. Trump was interested in putting in a bid for the Buffalo Bills, we used that statement in order to
demonstrate his ability to purchase the team.
Q And did you have the permission of Mr. Trump to be able to use the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
Q Were you directed by Mr. Trump to use the Statement of
Financial Condition to flout his wealth?
A Yes.
Q I want to turn your attention to insurance. Did you
work on the insurance program for the Trump Organization?
A I did.
Q Did you work with others on the -- at the Trump
Organization on the insurance program?
A Yes.
Q Who did you work with at the Trump Organization?
A Allen Weisselberg, CFO; Matthew Calamari, Sr., chief
operating officer; and Ronald Lieberman.
Q Did that group of four individuals have a specific title or association that they were known by?

A The gang of four.
Q Did you say, "the gang of four"?
A Some called it the group of four or the gang of four.
Q And how did you know Matthew Calamari, Ron Lieberman,
Allen Weisselberg come to be known as the gang of four?
A I believe Pam Newman from Aon came up with the name.
25 Q And can you remind me who Aon is?
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A Aon is the broker that handled the insurance for the Trump Organization for all the insurance.
Q So a broker, they go out and find insurance programs to present to the organization?
A Correct.
Q They didn't provide the actual insurance, right?
A No, they're brokers.
Q You said the name was Pam Newman?
A Correct.
Q Who assigned you and those three other individuals, Mr.
Weisselberg, Mr. Calamari, Mr. Lieberman, to work on the insurance program?

A All final decisions were done by Mr. Trump.
Q Mr. Cohen, I'm handing you an exhibit. We marked for identification as PX-3119. I'll give you a minute to take a look at that document. I also put it on the screen. Do you see that document in front of you, sir?

A I do. Can I have just one moment to look through it?
Q Yes. Absolutely.
A Thank you.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Okay.
Q So drawing your attention to the top of this e-mail, do you recognize the name Martha Blackman or
5 martha.blackman@aon.com?

A Yes.
2 Q Who do you recognize that name to you?
3 A She worked for Pamela Newman at Aon.
$4 \quad Q$ Is that acknowledged in the following line on behalf of Pamela Newman right below?

A Yes.
Q This appears to be an e-mail sent on February 14, 2013 addressed to Allen Weisselberg at the Trump Organization,
Matthew Calamari, Ron Lieberman and you. That's your e-mail address, mcohen@trumporg.com?
A It was.
Q Thank you for the clarification. The cc line, do you recognize generally that those are e-mail addresses associated with employees at Aon, the insurance broker?
A Yes.
Q The subject is, "Forward, the Trump/Aon team-suggested work style going forward (draft memo number 4 with updated timeline)" and then there's an attachment. Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Drawing your attention to the body of the message, it says, "Gentlemen, Aon is committed to delivering to the Trump Organization the best service and the best results." Then it states, "Work style-A, team of four."

Is that a reference to what you were just describing a moment ago, the gang of four who worked on the insurance
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## program?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

MS. HABBA: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3119.)

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
Q So this paragraph under, "A team of four" states, "Aon is excited that the Trump Organization has created a team of four to review the Trump Organization's insurance program, insurance policy buying decisions, claims management and risk protection protocols."

Is this an accurate description that it was the Trump Organization that created the "team of four" or "gang of four" as you referred to it just a moment ago?
A Yes.
Q And then it states that the "team of four and each member's role is outlined below." Do you see the box in the middle of the page there?
A Ido.
Q First it identifies Allen Weisselberg, he was the
executive vice-president and CFO of the Trump Organization, yes?
A Correct.

Q What does it mean that his role was coverage buying decisions?
A Ultimately, he would be the one to take the premium after we negotiated and that would be again Matt Calamari, Ron Lieberman, myself and Allen to Mr. Trump in order to bind the policies.
Q Was he in charge of financial information with regards to the insurance program as well?

A Yes.
Q Was he in charge of sharing financial information with regards to the insurance program?
A To whom?
Q Sharing financial information.
A But share it with whom?
Q We'll come back to that question.
A Okay.
Q And next is Matthew Calamari, the executive
vice-president and chief operating officer of the Trump
Organization, yes?
A Yes, ma'am.
Q What is your understanding of his role with property claims?
A So Matthew Calamari, Sr. handled all of the claims as it related to anything that dealt with the insurance. If there was an auto accident, he would handle it. If there was a
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Workers' Compensation claim, he would handle it. If there was a loss, an insurance loss, he would handle it.
Q He was responsible for those aspects of the insurance program, right?
A Yes, ma'am.
Q And then Mr. Lieberman, he's next in the line, executive vice-president management and development, what is your understanding of his role as described here in this box?
A During the process, things that would be looked at, of course, as casualty risk, again claims and also coverage buying decisions in terms of what needed, what didn't -- his background is he came from the City Parks Department and so he had a good understanding of casualty risk.
Q And then you're the fourth person in this box, yes?
A Correct.
Q And what does that mean, "coverage terms and conditions" associated with your role?
A It sort of encompasses the other boxes whereby we needed to make sure that the coverage terms were advantageous to the Trump Organization and the conditions of the insurance properly insured the assets.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q You can put that to the side. Thank you. Are you aware if the Statement of Financial Condition, we talked a little bit about it, whether the statements of financial condition were ever presented during any of the gang-of-four meetings with underwriters?
A Yes.
Q What is your understanding as to when the Statement of Financial Condition would be shared in these gang-of-four meetings with underwriters?
A Pamela Newman would set up a meeting that would include
various different insurance company executives and we would meet
in one of the conference rooms across the table from one another and Allen would provide each and every one of them with a copy -- a bound copy of the Statement of Financial Condition.

Once again, they were told that they can copy, they can take notes off of it, but they cannot keep the original document.
Q So insurance underwriters were provided the statements of financial condition by Allen Weisselberg, yes?
A Yes, that was the word I was looking for, underwriter.
Q And those underwriters were permitted to review those statements, yes?
A Encouraged to.
Q And take notes?
A Yes.
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Q On the information contained in those statements; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q I'd like to show you another document.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'm handing up to the witness a document we've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit PX-588.
Q And I'll represent to you, Mr. Cohen, this is the way
this document was provided to us. It's four pages, but I think the first two pages are identical to the second two pages, so if you want to take a moment to review, I'll just focus your attention on those first two pages, please.

Okay. Do you see this document in front of you? It's on a letterhead "AON," right? You see that in the upper right hand corner?
A Correct.
Q And there is a heading, "Agenda, "The Trump
Organization LLC D\&O Underwriting Meeting," dated Tuesday, January 10, 2017, 10:00 a.m. and 11:00 a.m..

And is that the address of the Trump Organization, 725 Fifth Avenue?
A It is.
Q And then there is a -- in the center of the page, a header "Attendees." Do you see that there?

A Yes.

1 Q And under the heading "Trump Organization," is that your name listed there?

A It is.
4 Q And the other names Allen Weisselberg, Matthew
5 Calamari, Ron Leiberman. That's the gang of four, yes?
A Correct, the group of four.
7 Q Group of four.
And then George Sorial, he's an employee of the Trump 9 Organization?

A He is.
11 Q How about Adam Rosen?
12 A Yes.
13 Q And what about Patrick Birney?
14 A Yes.
15 Q And there is another subheading, "AON." Do you see 16 that there?
17 A I do.
18 Q Pam Newman, that's who we've been speaking about?
19 A Yes.
20 Q She was the broker?
21 A Yes.
22 Q And Regina Degnan, do you recognize that name?
23 A I do.
24 Q Who is Regina Degnan?
25 A She was Pamela's executive vice president. Ultimately
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1 took her book of business when Pam retired.
2 Q And fair to say Pam Newman was the relationship partner 3 at AON?
4 A Yes.
5 Q And Regina then succeeded her as the relationship partner for the Trump Organization's relationship program?
7 A Correct.
8 Q Do you recognize the name John Vanasco?
9 A I do.
10 Q How do you recognize that name?
11 A From the meetings.
12 Q Okay. And what about Michael Fetchko. Do you recognize that name?

A I don't.
Q Any reason to doubt that Mr. Fetchko was an employee of AON?
A No.
Q Okay. And then under that subheading there is another subheading, "markets." Do you have an understanding of the markets?
A They're talking about the various different insurance markets because the Trump Organization is comprised of many different assets and many different classes.

Q So is this is an example of an agenda for Trump
5 Organization D\&O Underwriting Meeting that occurred at the Trump
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Organization on Tuesday, January 10, 2017? | 1 MS. FAHERTY: Can we do 3166? |
| 2 A Yes. | 2 I'm going to ask Tommy to pull Exhibit 3166. |
| 3 Q Okay. | 3 Tommy, my mistake. You don't have it. |
| 4 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this into | 4 Your Honor, I've marked for identification |
| 5 evidence. | 5 plaintiff's PX 3166. I've handed it up to the witness. |
| 6 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. | 6 Q Mr. Cohen, I'll give you a moment to review that |
| 7 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is | 7 document and let me know when you're ready. |
| 8 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 588 in | 8 MR. KISE: Your Honor, just briefly, what was the |
| 9 evidence.) | 9 last exhibit number? Was that in evidence, the one right |
| 10 Q Do you have a specific recollection of recalling this | 10 before this? What was the last exhibit? I'm sorry. |
| 11 underwriting meeting, Mr. Cohen? | 11 MS. FAHERTY: 588. |
| 12 A No, ma'am. | 12 MR. KISE: 588, okay. Thank you. |
| 13 Q Any reason to doubt that you didn't have an underwrite | 13 MS. FAHERTY: And that was already in. |
| 14 -- D\&O underwriting meeting on Tuesday, January 10, 2017 ? | 14 MR. KISE: It was already in, right. |
| 15 A No. | 15 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit |
| 16 Q Involving Everett? | 16 Plaintiff's Exhibit 588. |
| 17 A No. | 17 THE COURT: Was it already in or wasn't it? |
| 18 Q Or HCC? | 18 MS. FAHERTY: Apparently it wasn't already in. I |
| 19 A No. | 19 misunderstood. |
| 20 Q Okay. And just drawing your attention to the second | 20 THE COURT: It's now admitted into evidence. |
| 21 page of this agenda. Looking in the middle of this "questions, | 21 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate |
| 22 discussions, topics agenda," do you see in the middle of the | $22 \quad \text { it. }$ |
| 23 page there is a subtopic "financial?" | 23 MR. KISE: Thank you. |
| 24 A I do. | 24 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is |
| 25 Q Is this a reference to the conversation we were having | 25 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 588 in |
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| a short while ago that underwriters would add as part of the | 1 evidence.) |
| 2 agenda wanting to see financials in connection with quoting | 2 THE COURT: The witness just going to take a little |
| 3 premiums for the Trump insurance program? | 3 motion break I'll call it. Says it will be a minute. |
| 4 A Yes. | 4 THE COURT OFFICER: Witness returning. Come to |
| 5 Q Okay. | 5 order. |
| 6 MS. FAHERTY: We can put that to the side. | 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 7 Thank you. | 7 THE COURT: You're welcome. Let's continue. |
| 8 Q And was Mr. Trump ever involved in these group of four | 8 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you. |
| 9 insurance meetings? | 9 THE WITNESS: Apologies. |
| 10 A Yes. | 10 Q It's okay. |
| 11 Q Describe that for me. | 11 Did you have a moment to review the document in front |
| 12 A In two different ways: First way, would be on rare | 12 of you, Mr. Cohen? |
| 13 occasion we would go to his office to discuss an insurance | 13 A I did. |
| 14 issue, but for the most part about three quarters of the way | 14 Q And looking at the top of this e-mail, it is from |
| 15 through the meeting Mr. Trump would then come in and there would | 15 SariRudman@AON.com. |
| 16 be a conversation about his extensive net worth, he's actually | 16 Do you have any understanding as to who Sari Rudman is? |
| 17 richer than the insurance companies, "maybe we should go self | 17 A Yes. |
| 18 insured. We got to get a good premium." | 18 Q Who is that? |
| 19 Q And would Mr. Trump ever appear at these meetings | 19 A She also worked for Pam Newman at AON. |
| 20 talking about his net worth to the underwriters themselves? | 20 Q And this is an e-mail sent on March 19, 2013. Again, |
| 21 A Yes. That's what I was referring to three quarters | 21 it's sent to the group of four, yes? |
| 22 through the meeting he would generally show up. | 22 A Correct. |
| 23 Q And would you coordinate these gang-of-four meetings | 23 Q And there is a copy here to an individual named Rhona |
| 24 such that Mr. Trump could arrange to arrive like that? | 24 Graff. Do you recognize that name? |
| 25 A It was coordinated that he would arrive like that. | 25 A I do. |

Q Who is Rhona Graff?
2 A Mr. Trump's executive assistant.
3 Q Okay. How about the e-mail address
HLorenzo@Trumporg.com?
5 A That would be Holly Lorenzo.
6 Q Do you have any understanding as to who Ms. Lorenzo is?
7 A Yes.
$8 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Who is that?
9 A That's one of Mr. Trump's assistants. She was the assistant for the executive assistant.

Q She was an assistant for Ms. Graff?
A Yes.
Q Thank you for the clarification.
And Ms. Newman? We spoke about her, yes?
A Correct.
Q Okay. And the subject of this e-mail is a forward
"Property casualty marketing meetings re: 2013 renewal-Tuesday, April 9, 2013 at 3:00 p.m.-at The Trump Organization," yes?

A That's what it says, yes.
Q And in the body of this message, it says:
"Dear, gentlemen. Please note in your calendar Rhona has kindly secured Mr. Trump's availability for Tuesday, April 9, 2013 at 3:00 p.m. at the Trump Organization, 25th floor. We will provide a list of all attendees in an agenda and meeting purpose. Best, Pamela." Do you see that there?
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evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3166 in evidence.)

MR. KISE: Statute of limitations, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled. Did we get an answer? I'll ask Ms. Faherty. Did he answer all your questions?

MS. FAHERTY: He answered my question.
THE COURT: No reason to dispute etc., etc.
THE WITNESS: And my answer was no.
THE COURT: No, okay.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
Q Mr. Cohen, I want to turn your attention to the Buffalo
Bills. You made mention of it earlier, okay?
A Correct.
Q All right. Do you recall who was involved in that process with you from the Trump Organization?

A Mr. Trump, Allen Weisselberg and myself.
Q Anyone else? How about Jason Greenblatt?
A Yes, Jason Greenblatt.
Q What about Ivanka Trump?
A Not that I recall.
Q Okay. Did you work with Deutsche Bank on the process?

|  | Cohen - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 2249 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | A I do. |
| 2 | Q Is this the matter in which you will confirm |
| 4 | Mr. Trump's attendance at these insurance group of four meetings? |
| 5 | A No. |
| 6 | Q Tell me what this. |
| 7 | A This was obviously Pam Newman's acknowledgement |
| 8 | Mr. Trump was going to appear, but we didn't need even this |
| 9 | letter to have already discussed that he would make the |
| 10 | appearance three quarters of the way through the meeting. |
| 11 | Q But this is an example that Mr. Trump did in fact have |
| 12 | it scheduled to appear for a Trump underwriting meeting, yes? |
| 13 | A Yes. |
| 14 | MR. KISE: Objection. Leading. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 16 | Q No reason to dispute that this is the -- this e-mail |
| 17 | identifies Mr. Trump's attendance of the Trump Organization |
| 18 | meeting? |
| 19 | MS. HABBA: That was leading. Objection. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Could you read that back? |
| 21 | (Whereupon, the requested portion of the |
| 22 | proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) |
| 23 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 24 | MS. FAHERTY: You can put that down. |
| 25 | And Your Honor, I actually move to admit this into |
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MR. KISE: Objection. What process?
Q We turned our attention to the Buffalo Bills.
So what, if anything, do you recall about Deutsche Bank's involvement in the attempt to purchase the Buffalo Bills?

A We had had a meeting in Mr. Trump's office with members of Deutsche Bank's team for the purpose of securing a loan that would be predicated off of his assets so that we could acquire the -- or at least put in a bid to acquire the Buffalo Bills.

There is an interesting NFL scenario where you cannot have more than ten percent of an NFL team financed --

MR. KISE: Objection. Move to strike the last portion of that answer, Your Honor, unless Mr. Cohen is now an expert on NFL acquisitions.

I mean, I represented, George Steinbrenner in 1995 in connection with the Tampa Bay Buccaneers purchase, but I'm not an expert on that. I'm not sure if Mr. Cohen is an expert on NFL acquisitions, but the last port portion of his nonresponsive.

THE COURT: If it was nonresponsive that would be my objection.

Sustained on the ground of nonresponsive, the last part of it, the ten percent rule.

MS. FAHERTY: Understood.
Q Let's look at some documents. Maybe that will help the conversation.

not sure why we're talking about the Buffalo Bills other 2 than it does generate some press interest. I'm sure this is

THE COURT: You know, I think Mr. Cohen referred to 4
Buffalo Bills many times and I'm thinking that's the 5
football team, right? Without saying the football team. 6
What's the relevance?
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, they're writing to
Deutsche Bank to get financing, they're providing comfort to the bank to get financing, they're making financial representations connection with the purchase of the Buffalo Bills. It is an aspect of our complaint and the Attorney General intends to prove the allegations within our complaint. So this is square within the case that we have brought. It is a continued pattern of fraud.

MR. KISE: There is no transaction at all associated with it. Nothing ever happened and it's not it doesn't form the basis for any of the counts.

The counts are connected to loan transactions that were actually consummated loan transactions and insurance transactions and insurance policies. This is just simply a free-for-all on anything that everyone went out at anytime and place and where -- and Ms. Trump who sent this e-mail is no longer a party to the case. So not only is it outside the statute of limitations, but it just simply has nothing
to do with the claims asserted in the complaint.
I realize there is 200 -something pages and
800 -something paragraphs and one of those paragraphs makes some drive by mention of the Buffalo Bills. I do realize that, but that doesn't make it relevant in this trial proceeding now that the issues have been narrowed and the case has been joined.

THE COURT: I think we can all agree that Mr. Trump never owned the Buffalo Bills; right?

MS. FAHERTY: We can agree that that did not come to fruition, Your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: Nevertheless, I will admit it as possible evidence of pattern and practice of the organization back at that time generally speaking and the witness himself was on this. If it's not relevant, it's not relevant, but I think it is anyway, so.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, it might be a good moment for a break.

THE COURT: Did we get an answer to the last question?

MS. FAHERTY: I thought we did.
MR. SOLOMON: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. Ten-minute break. We'll see you in 15 minutes.
(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.)

Transcript continues on the following page....


## DJT is?

2 A Donald J. Trump.
3 Q "For the Buffalo Bills." He then goes on to state,
"Items 1, 2, 3 were submitted to Morgan Stanley. Items 4-5 are
documents between Trump and DB." Any understanding as to who DB is?
7 A Deutsche Bank.
8 Q "(And were not submitted to Morgan Stanley)."
Do you see that there?
A I do.
Q And item number one appears to be a letter from DJT.
Donald J. Trump, yes?
A That's what it says, yes.
Q To Morgan Stanley?
A Yes.
Q Then two is "owner background form," correct?
A Correct.
Q And three is a letter from Deutsche Bank to Morgan Stanley, yes?
A Yes.
Q And those were the three items submitted to Morgan Stanley?

A Correct.
Q And then four and five, number four is a certification
of the financial position of DJT or Donald J. Trump, yes?
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A Yes.
2 Q Executed by J. McConney. Do you understand who J. McConney is?
A Yes.
Q Who is that?
A Jeffrey McConney.
7 Q Item five is an executed letter from Deutsche Bank to Donald J. Trump, "Re: No waiver of Deutsche Bank/Trump loan terms," yes?

A Yes.
Q And do you recall putting documents together in connection with the Buffalo Bills bid?

A I remember seeing these documents.
Q And this was something that you worked on when you were at the Trump Organization, yes?

A Correct.
Q Turning your attention to the first attachment then,
I'll ask you to turn the page of this exhibit. This appears to be a Trump Organization letterhead, yes?
A That's correct.
Q And this letter is dated July 29, 2014, yes?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall the name K. Don Cornwell?
A I recall the name.
25 Q Who do you understand K. Don Cornwell to be?

1

A Managing director of Morgan Stanley. He was the individual that was compiling all the bids on behalf of the Buffalo Bills.
Q And so this is a letter -- and if we could just pull back and turn to the second page of this letter. Do you recognize the signature on this letter addressed to $K$. Don Cornwell dated July 29, 2014?

A Yes.
Q Whose signature do you recognize that to be?
A Donald J. Trump.
Q And there's a title underneath the word "sincerely." It is "Trump Acquisition, LLC." Do you see that there?
A I do.
Q The letterhead of this letter, however, is the Trump
Organization. What is the connection between the Trump
Organization and Trump Acquisition, LLC?
A It is a subsidiary for new acquisitions. They would do it under its own specific LLC.

Q Was it still an entity contained under the umbrella of the Trump Organization to your understanding?

A To my understanding, yes.
Q And you stated that's Donald J. Trump's signature, yes?
A Yes.
Q Now, turning back to the first letter, first page of the letter, the first paragraph states, "Please let this letter

Do you recall the bid made to purchase the Buffalo Bills for $\$ 1$ billion in 2014?

## A $\$ 1$ billion, yes.

Q Turning to the next paragraph, the letter continues, "I have a net worth in excess of $\$ 8$ billion (financial statements to be provided upon request), comprised of substantial cash balances, highly liquid assets (including Class A real estate) and very little debt." Do you recall seeing that?

## A I do.

Q Do you recall a representation made by Mr. Trump in connection with his bid to buy the Bills asserting a net worth in excess of $\$ 8$ billion?

MR. KISE: Objection. In addition to this document or this document?

THE COURT: I think that's a fair question. So let's make the question more specific. By fair question, I meant Mr. Kise's question.
Q Do you recall Mr. Trump, separate and apart from this letter, making a representation that he had a net worth in excess of $\$ 8$ billion in connection with his attempt to purchase the bills?

A Yes.
25 Q And that is reflected here in this letter, yes?

A Yes.
2 Q And then this letter also states in the following paragraph, "I'm enclosing a letter from Deutsche Bank which indicates my financial strengths, both from a liquidity and asset value standpoint, and confirming that I have the financial capability to consummate the transaction, yes?
A That's what it says.
Q And this was submitted to Mr. Don Cornwell at Morgan Stanley in connection with the Buffalo Bills bid, yes?

A Yes.
Q And then I'll draw your attention to -- we'll skip the background form which is the second attachment to this letter. So let's go to the third document that was attached to this letter and submitted to Morgan Stanley. Go to page 17 of 22.

And this is a letter from Rosemary Vrablic, managing director at Deutsche Bank. That was the relationship partner we spoke about before, yes?

A Correct.
Q Dated July 29, 2014?
A Yes.
Q Also addressed to Mr. Cornwell at Morgan Stanley?
A Yes.
Q Drawing your attention to the first paragraph, do you recall that Deutsche Bank submitted a letter stating that they have been asked by Mr. Donald J. Trump to provide this letter in

A Correct.
Q You will recall that Mr. Greenblatt's cover e-mail suggested that there are two other documents attached that were just transmitted to Deutsche Bank, right?
A Correct.
Q Turning your attention to the next page of this
document, do you recognize the signature on the bottom of this
page titled, "Certification of the Financial Position of Donald
J. Trump "?

A Yes.
Q Whose signature do you recognize that to be?
A That's Jeff McConney.
Q The Jeffrey McConney that we spoke about before? He
worked in the accounting department at the Trump Organization?
A Yes, the controller.
Q The controller?
A Yes.
Q And if you see in that very first paragraph, it states, "I, Jeffrey McConney, controller;" is that right?
A That's correct.
Q And there are certain numbers included in here that "DT's current liquidity is approximately 310 million." Do you have an understanding as to who DT is?
A Donald Trump.
Q And then it states in paragraph B, "There has been no
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material decrease (in excess of 10\%) in the aggregate value of his illiquid assets since the financials were reported to
Deutsche Bank as of June 30, 2013 that were certified by DT,
Donald Trump, on October 30, 2013," yes?
A That's what it says.
Q And those financials, do you have any understanding as to what those financials as of June 30, 2013 are?

A The assets described in the Statement of Financial Condition.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this document and the exhibits into evidence.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I'm not sure where to begin, but I'll start with statute of limitations.

## THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. KISE: I know where you are going on that, but again, I'm not sure of the relevance of any of these documents to the proceeding. I mean, is it the government's intention to establish that President Trump did not have the financial capability to consummate the transaction? Unless they are going to connect this up somehow with some actual alleged fraudulent activity, there's no -- there's no transaction that was ever consummated.

And in order to demonstrate that there's anything false or fraudulent here about this, we have to go into an entire, which we can, I guess if we are going to be here

1 until next March, an entire analysis whether he had the

2
financial capability to consummate the transaction. The documents are all hearsay, so I'm wondering what purpose they're being offered for. What are we proving? What relevance, what fact at issue that is material to the issues before the Court is being established by this because on its face, there's nothing to indicate that President Trump didn't have the financial ability to consummate the transaction and Deutsche Bank says itself based on their own assessment, they say that he did.

So are we going to go down this path? This is the danger of these hypothetical transactions that never took place and they need to be more connected to some actual cause of action.

THE COURT: Jeffrey McConney, who I believe the witness has testified, signed the letter, submitted the certification. He is a defendant, right?

MR. KISE: He is.
THE COURT: And whether everything in this document is accurate or not, $I$ think is a factual issue.

MR. KISE: Well, but if it is a factual issue, then we have to go through whole society of facts and whether he had the financial ability to consummate the transaction. It doesn't just come in because it is a statement and then the government gets to assume that there is some falsity here.
to that point. I mean, you can't just say that. Are we going to debate whether his current liquidity was 310 million in 2014? I think it has already been established. If this one piece they're focusing on right now, that's up on the screen, but it is all part of one exhibit that relates to no transaction that was ever consummated. There's no connectivity to anything that's at issue.

It doesn't go to the element without establishing that he did not, in fact, have the financial capability to consummate the transaction which I would disagree with Ms. Faherty. That has not been established. We don't know that. I mean, there is certainly no evidence that would indicate that he did or did not. I mean, with the evidence that is before the Court as to this transaction, it certainly seems sufficient that he had the financial wherewithal to buy more than one NFL team. I mean, at the time. That's what I'm saying.

Are we going to go down this path of debating whether or not there is a truth or falsity here on an issue that doesn't relate to the actual claims and causes of action that are being presented? This is tangential and remote and unless -- Ms. Faherty just admitted she does not plan to connect this up. She's simply saying that the fraud has already been established, that it is already established, that this document is evidence itself of fraud

1 They are going to have to establish all of that which is why allegations brought by the Attorney General. This is a pattern and practice. There are co-defendants here. There is a plethora of reasons why this evidence comes in, Your Honor, respectfully.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, the entirety of Ms.
Faherty's presentation presumes the ultimate question. I'm back to my point that they're presuming that there is some fraudulent activity here without actually establishing that he did not have the financial capability to consummate the transaction.

THE COURT: But that isn't the only statement inherent in this document.

MR. KISE: But it is because they're all connected
and I don't see how, how this exhibit is evidence of fraud. He had the financial capability to consummate the transaction and, again, perhaps more than one, maybe two or three. You can't own more than one NFL team as far as I know.

THE COURT: Are you testifying as an expert to the NFL?

MR. KISE: I am more of an expert on --
THE WITNESS: You can't own more than one.
THE COURT: As part of this document, I only have the one sheet in front of me now. Wasn't there a statement, a claim that the defendant's net worth was $\$ 8$ billion?

MR. KISE: Yes. Has that been disproved?
THE COURT: Well, we don't have to disprove it. We are in the middle of a trial at this point.

Do you agree that his net worth was $\$ 8$ billion at that time?

MS. FAHERTY: No.
THE COURT: Are you going to try to prove that it wasn't or do you feel you've already proved it wasn't?

MS. FAHERTY: For one, Your Honor, the inherent falsity of a Statement of Financial Condition has already been decided by this Court on summary judgment. Those have been determined to be false. I don't think I need to prove up or prove down. Although, there is evidence as to the

1 transaction, this document has no relevance at all.
inherent falsities and values contained in that Statement of Financial Condition that we have put in evidence in this trial and will continue to do so. So yes, we are continuing to prove our case, Your Honor, and connect all of those dots.

MR. KISE: But this document is not the Statement of Financial Condition, this document, and I would disagree strongly with Ms. Faherty. I mean, if we are in a place now where the statements are irretrievably proven false, then without a jury, without a trial and now we are going to be here and we're not -- why are we even putting on a defense then if that's already been established? We might as well close it up now. It doesn't make any sense what Ms. Faherty is saying.

They have to establish the elements of their claims and they can't simply rely on the fact that well, it's established that it is false and, thereby, that establishes the remainder of their claims. And here, we are not even talking about an actual claim. We're talking about a theoretical claim. It doesn't form the basis for any of the counts that are at issue in the trial. And unless we're going to go down the path, unless the government intends to go down the path of proving up that President Trump, which I don't think they can do that, that President Trump did not have the financial capability to consummate this

THE COURT: Couple of things. It is a non-jury trial, of course. I tend to be somewhat liberal in what I let in. I believe that I am evenhanded about what I'm letting in and what I'm not letting in. I think it's arguably false, particularly, again, the $\$ 8$ billion. I don't -- arguably, I don't know whether Mr. Trump did or didn't have the --

MR. KISE: But that's precisely the point, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Wait. Wait, please. Well, yeah, that's why we're having a trial. I think this tends -- again, arguably tends to show a pattern and practice of broad, to use a loaded term, so the objection is overruled. Let's just move on.

MR. KISE: Again, I'm starting to feel like Paul Newman at this point. Every objection is overruled. I mean, this evidence should not come in respectfully. It really shouldn't. There's no indication as to where that even 8 billion -- 8 billion includes brand value. There's so many issues here that again, we're going down this path of litigating something that isn't even relevant to the claims and causes of action before the Court.

THE COURT: One other thing. Maybe the only banned word in my courtroom is "again." You don't have to say

1 things again. The objection is overruled for the reasons I 2 already stated and the reasons that Ms. Faherty stated. 3 It's duly noted that you strongly object. Let's move on.

MS. FAHERTY: One more document, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Cohen, do you recall in connection with the attempt to purchase the Buffalo Bills that you attended a meeting in Detroit called a management presentation?

A Yes.
9 Q Do you recall who was at that meeting in Detroit?
10 A Myself, Mr. Trump, Jason Greenblatt, Allen Weisselberg, and I don't recall if Ivanka was there.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I handed up to the witness a document we've marked for identification as PX-981.
Q Take a quick look at that document, if you will, Mr. Cohen, and then I'll ask you a quick question.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Yes.
Q Just drawing your attention to the third page, there is an e-mail sent by you to Alex Hill dated July 29, 2014 at 3:36 p.m. Do you see that message there?

A I do.
Q And do you recall who Alex Hill (IBD) is?
A I don't recall him specifically.
Q If I said the Morgan Stanley investment banking
M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY)
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1 division, would that refresh your recollection as to what IBD stood for?
A Yes. Again, I don't know him.
Q Do you have any understanding that Mr. Hill was an employee at Morgan Stanley?

A Yes.
Q So fair to say that this e-mail is a reflection that
you dropped off the bid packet for the Buffalo Bills, yes?
A Correct.
Q And then drawing your attention through the e-mail all the way to the top, this is just a continued e-mail thread, right?

A Correct.
Q You remain on the e-mail chain in this thread, right?
A Correct.
Q And on August 4th, the second message on that first page, there's an e-mail from Alex Hill to you and Jason Greenblatt with a copy to K. Don Cornwell, right?
A Correct.
Q And Mr. Hill says wanted to confirm if the 6th worked for the management presentation. Do you see that there?
A I do.
Q And then following up on the 6th, Mr. Hill writes to you again saying, "Michael, Jason, looking forward to meeting you all today. One near term NFL item we would like you to all
M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. HABBA) Page 2276
fill out and have Mr. Trump sign is the attached authorization and consent to release records. If you all could get that back to us home, that would be greatly appreciated. Also, we would like to offer you all the opportunity to tour the newly-modernized Ralph Wilson Stadium the week of the 18th. Please let us know if there is a day that works that week for a trip to Buffalo. Looking forward to meeting you."

Does this message reflect that a meeting occurred in
Detroit on August 6th in connection with the Buffalo Bills bid package?

## A Yes.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I have no further
questions on this document and this witness. I would like to move that document into evidence, please.

MR. KISE: Same objections, Your Honor. Statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Same ruling. Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 981.)

MS. FAHERTY: I rest, Your Honor. Thank you.
THE COURT: Well, will there be any
cross-examination?
CROSS-EXAMINATION BY
MS. HABBA:
Q Hello, Mr. Cohen.
M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. HABBA)
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1 A Hello, Ms. Habba.
2 Q How are you?
3 A Well. Thank you.
4 Q We've met a few times, haven't we?
5 A We have.
6 Q Do you want me to call you Mr. Cohen today or Michael?
7 I know before, we've changed that up. What are you comfortable with?
A I think Mr. Cohen for the day since we are here in court.

Q I'll be Ms. Habba for the day. So I'm going to ask you a series of questions today. Before I do, first of all, when I do ask you my series of questions and I pace, I move when I am on my feet, so I apologize. I am going to ask you questions that are going to be yes-or-no questions and I'm going to try to keep it that way other than when I ask you to read something, so that we can move this along and make sure we get through everything that's per the judge's instructions, but I will try to make the questions super clear, so we have no issues.

Before we start, I want to discuss your health. I'm not going to get into anything that's uncomfortable. I don't really do that, but I need to make sure that you are able to testify completely, clearly and understand what you're doing today.

Do you have any health issues particularly at this
moment that would cause you a reason to not be able to sit through the testimony under oath in this court today?

A Asked and answered.
Q I forgot that you like to do this. You did this with me in the depositions. Okay.

MR. KISE: The judge doesn't like that objection.
Q That's not good. So here is how this is going to go.
They get to object. They get to object. We all get to do our
thing. You get to answer my questions. The more we do that, this will go better. We are not in a deposition. That's the judge. Ready? Mr. Cohen --

THE COURT: Even if you were asked before by a different party particularly, just answer it. It will be quicker.
Q Answer the questions and we will be here until January. Okay.

Mr. Cohen, are you on any medication that would affect your ability to testify honestly to me today?

A No.
Q No. Okay. Do you have any medication that would change your method of thinking at this moment, so that you're not clear in any sort of way?

A No.
Q So you are fully prepared to testify to me today?
A Yes.
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## Q Under oath?

A Yes.
Q And you understand what "under oath" means? Is that right, Mr. Cohen?

A Yes.
(Continued on the next page.)


| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q | Sure. |
| 2 | MS. HABBA: Let's make that bigger, please? |  |
| 3 | Q | "How do you plead to the charge in Count 5 of evasion |
| 4 | of personal income tax for the calendar year 2016, guilty or not |  |
| 5 | guilty, Mr. Cohen?" What did you respond? |  |
| 6 | A | "Guilty, Your Honor." |
| 7 | Q | Thank you. |
| 8 | MS. FAHERTY: Can I just note I think there is some |  |
| 9 | discrepancies, Ms. Habba. |  |
| 10 | MS. HABBA: I was getting to that. |  |
| 11 | Q $\quad$ So I think that what you're not understanding is the |  |
| 12 | subsections, so let me just take -- |  |
| 13 | MS. HABBA: I'm take a representation -- |  |
| 14 | Q $\quad$ Would you trust my word that those are the subsections |  |
| 15 | you pled guilty to in the allocution, now we're putting this |  |
| 16 | allocution in for evidence or would you like me to go through |  |
| 17 | the process? |  |
| 18 | A | No, I would take your word for it. |
| 19 | Q | Thank you, Mr. Cohen. |
| 20 | MS. HABBA: And first, I'm going to submit Exhibit |  |
| 21 | D 951, if it's already not submitted into evidence. Okay. |  |
| 22 | MS. FAHERTY: No objection, Your Honor. |  |
| 23 | THE COURT: It's in evidence. |  |
| 24 | By the way, we'll go until 4:40 and I'll give you a |  |
| 25 | five-minute warning. |  |
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21 crimes over the period of several years and that you were
22 motivated to do so by personal greed and repeatedly used your
23 power and influence for deceptive ends. Do you remember that?
24 A Those are the statements of the government.
25 Q Great.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number D 951 in evidence.)

MS. HABBA: Okay. Thank you.
Q Okay. In connection with that proceeding that we just discussed in the Southern District of New York there is a sentencing memorandum submitted. Do you recall that?
A My sentencing memorandum or the government's sentencing memorandum?
Q It was at the U.S. Attorney for the Southern District of New York Robert Cuzan?
A That would be government's, yes.
Q Do you remember that being submitted?
A Ido.
Q Okay. And that was submitted in reference to you
committing the crimes that you were motivated by personal greed. Isn't that correct, Mr. Cohen?

A That's what it's stated, yes. crimes over the period of several years and that you were motivated to do so by personal greed and repeatedly used your power and influence for deceptive ends. Do you remember that?
A Those are the statements of the government.
Q Great.

1 And that you "repeatedly used your power and influence for deceptive ends." That was what it said, right, deceptive?
A Again, yes.
Q And deception is a form of lying, isn't it, Mr. Cohen?
A I'll acknowledge that, yes.
Q Okay. In fact, he also noted that your crimes were marked by a pattern of deception that permeated your professional life, did he not?

A He said that, yes.
Q Okay. And his quote was, I believe, "but the crimes committed by Cohen were more serious than his submission allows and were marked by a pattern of deception that permeated his professional life and was evidently hidden from the friends and family members who wrote on his behalf." Is that correct?

A This is what he wrote.
Q I know that's what he wrote.
A Do I agree with the statement?
Q No, do you recollect him saying it; yes or no?
A I recollect that it's written here and you're reading
it appropriately.
Q Okay. Didn't he also note that you abused both your standing as an attorney and your relationship with my client, President Trump?

MS. HABBA: Let's pull it up.
Peter, pull it up, please.
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Q Those are the exact words. Would you like me to read them?
A No.
Q Okay. Do you disagree that that is what he said in that statement?

A I don't disagree that's what he said. I disagree with the premise.

Q That's the question. It's a yes-or-no question.
THE COURT: Just answer the question, please.
Q Do you agree that is what he said?
A That is what it says.
Q Good.
And you ultimately accepted a plea deal for those eight felony counts that were in the allocution that we put into the evidence prior?
A I did.
Q And in connection with accepting your plea deal, you appeared before the Honorable William Pauly of the Southern District of New York on August 21, 2018. Isn't that correct?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And you were required in that agreement to answer a series of questions under oath, the same oath that you had in your deposition with me on this case a few months ago and the same oath that you're under today; isn't that correct?

A That's correct.

| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) Page 2288 | M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) Page 2290 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q And you understood on August 21, 2018 that by being | 1 A Yes. |
| 2 under oath, the same oath that you are on today and the same | 2 Q So during 2012 to 2016, did you ever tell your wife |
| 3 oath that you were in when I deposed you, that you had a legal | 3 that you were committing tax evasion, Mr. Cohen? |
| 4 obligation to testify truthfully and honestly; is that correct? | 4 THE WITNESS: Objection, Your Honor. |
| 5 A That's correct. | 5 Q No. You don't get to object. |
| 6 Q Good. | 6 A Actually, in all fairness, I absolutely do. Roswell |
| 7 didn't you? | 7 versus United States, 1954 Supreme Court. We can also go on to |
| 8 A Excuse m | 8 Houston versus the United States. We can also go on to your |
| 9 Q You committed perjury under oath with Judge Pauly, | 9 favorite case of United States versus Nixon |
| 10 didn't you? | 10 THE COURT: One at a tim |
| 11 | 11 MR. KISE: It's very simple. Did |
| 12 MS. HABBA: It's a direct question. Yes or no? | 12 that he was committing tax evasion at the time. That's a |
| 13 Tl | 13 simple yes-or-no question and it's perfectly within the |
| 14 A Did I commit perjury to Judge Pau | 14 scope. He is a serial liar and if he lied to his wife, it's |
| 15 Q Did you lie to Judge Pauly when you said that you were | 15 relevant for impeachment. It's absolutely irrelevant for |
| 16 guilty of the counts that you said under oath that you were | 16 impeachme |
| 17 guilty of? Did you lie to J | ITNESS: It calls into question the fact that |
| 18 A Yes. | 18 there was no tax evasio |
| 19 Q You | 19 MR. KISE: I'm not directing anything to you, |
| 20 A I do. | 20 Mr. Cohen, I'm sorry. |
| 21 Q You | 21 THE COURT: Ms. Faherty? |
| 22 them some from | 22 MS. FAHERTY: Aside from the fact that the question |
| 23 A | 23 is palpably improper -- |
| 24 Q | 24 THE COURT: Well, on what grounds? I'm not |
| 25 In connec | 25 disagreeing. |
| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) Page 2289 | M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) Page 2291 |
| 15 | 1 MS. FAHERTY: First, marital privilege. Let's |
| 2 calendar years 2012 through 2016, you testified that for the tax | 2 just get that out of the way |
| 3 years that I just identified you willfully evaded paying | 3 THE COURT: Spousal privilege? |
| 4 substantial taxes on income that you received in which you knew | 4 MS. FAHERTY: Please, Your Honor, and I think this |
| 5 was not reflected in the returns you filed; correct? | 5 is below the belt he is giving testimony about the lie |
| 6 A I don't understand your question. | 6 Let's keep this focus, Your Honor. |
| 7 MS. HABBA: Okay. Let's pull up the next exhibit, | 7 THE COURT: I think it falls right within the |
| 8 please, | 8 spousal privilege. You don't have to testify about what you |
| 9 Q I'll quote you. You see right here it says: | 9 and your spouse talked about. I'll make it simple and leave |
| 10 "As to Counts 1 through 5 in the tax years of 2012 to | 10 it at that. Yes, go ahead. |
| 1 2016, I" -- and the "I" is you; correct, Mr. Cohen? | 11 MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I apologize, but his wife |
| 12 A | 12 was on that return and I will get to why it's important |
| 13 Q "I evaded paying substantial taxes on certain income | 13 because in his deposition we did discuss his wife, so I'm |
| 4 received that I knew was not reflected on the return and that I | 14 sorry, but that wasn't objected to then, so it shouldn't be |
| used to be filed. The income intentionally not included was | 15 objected to now. |
| 6 received by me in the Southern District of New York." Didn't | 16 Q And clearly, I hit a trigger with you, so Mr. Cohen |
| you say that? | 17 noted, but I will say is it true or not true that your wife |
| 8 A Idid. | 18 signed those returns? |
| 19 Q Okay. That doesn't say "tax omission," does it? | 19 MS. FAHERTY: Objection. |
| 20 A No, it does not. | 20 A Excuse me? |
| Q But you earlier testified today that you omitted, you | 21 Q Did she sign those returns? |
| 2 didn't evade, isn't that right? | 22 MS. FAHERTY: Stop. |
| 23 A I did say that. | 23 MS. HABBA: What? That's just a factual question. |
| 24 Q Right. So you lied when you said that you evaded taxes | 24 THE COURT: Yes, I'll allow that. |
| 25 to a judge under oath; is that correct? | 25 MS. HABBA: Thank you. |



THE COURT: Okay. Two things. I advise all attorneys on. People tend to read faster than they talk and numbers are difficult for court reporters to take down, so you have to really go slowly.

MS. HABBA: Noted, Your Honor.
A The document is inaccurate on line 14 as to Count 7, 7 had nothing do with the financial statements unless it refers to the campaign finance violation. We're referring to Count 6.
Q So you're saying that Judge Pauly's -- the transcript from Judge Pauly's hearing is inaccurate?
A I'm saying as to Count 7 and Count 6 are obviously two different counts.

Q Okay. Taking away the count number --
MS. HABBA: This is unbelievable.
Q Okay. For your HELOC, Mr. Cohen, did you not say that you submitted to the bank application process, a bank that was federally insured and is located in Manhattan, that you omitted information. Was that not true?
A No. It states I did not correct the inaccurate information on the form.
Q Okay. Let's stop there. You did not correct the inaccurate statement on the form. Did you sign that form?
A Sign the form.
Q Okay. So you did not correct the inaccurate
information? Is that your way of saying I didn't lie because
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you didn't correct an inaccurate information?
A No.
Q Okay. Did you plead guilty to this?
A I did.
Q Okay.
MS. HABBA: This - I believe you said we're going to stop at 4:40. This might be a good space.

THE COURT: You don't want another five minutes?
MS. HABBA: Your Honor, if it's entertaining, I'm happy to go all night. I can continue.

THE COURT: All right. If you're willing, I value every five minutes.

MS. HABBA: Sure, sure.
Q So when you --
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MS. HABBA: Noted.
Q You knew that that statement to Judge Pauly that you were guilty of the HELOC was false, didn't you?

A Yes.
Q You affirmed under oath that the HELOC statement and the deposition in your April 28, 2023 deposition that you lied to Judge Pauly about the HELOC; isn't that correct?

A Correct.
Q So I just want to understand you were not lying in your deposition, but you were lying to Judge Pauly. Is that your

## M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba)
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1 testimony today?
2 A I took the plea.
3 Q Do you understand -- did you have lawyers representing you when you took the plea, Mr. Cohen?

A I did.
6 Q Okay. And did your lawyers explain to you what a plea is?
8 A Yes.
9 Q And did your lawyers explain to you that when you plead to something you are saying that you are guilty of that crime, do you understand that?
A Yes.
Q And do you understand that right now in front of all this press, in front of these lawyers, in front of the several lawyers that you decided to bring in here today, you are admitting that you lied to Judge Pauly. Do you understand that, Mr. Cohen?
A I've already stated that, yes.
Q So that --
A In fact, it's actually in the document that we
presented to Judge Pauly.
Q Mr. Cohen, here's how this is going to work. You're
23 not on Mea Culpa. You're not on your podcast and you're not on CNN. You're here with me? MS. FAHERTY: Objection, Your Honor.

Page 2299
Q You're going to answer them yes or no. THE COURT: No speeches. Just ask the questions. MS. HABBA: Well, it works both ways, Your Honor.
Q You also submitted false sworn statements to the House Select Committee approximately one year earlier on August 28, 2017. Isn't that correct?

A Yes.
Q And as a result of those false statements you pled guilty to the crime of making false statements to the United
States Congress in violation -- and I'm going to say this slowly -- of 18 USC 1001 Subsection A Subsection 2. Isn't that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And in connection with accepting your plea deal, you appeared before the Honorable Andrew Carter of the Southern District of New York on November 29, 2018. Isn't that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And when you were in front of Judge Carter, you were required to answer a series of questions under oath, the same oath that you're under right now, isn't that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And you admitted under oath that on August 28, 2017, you submitted a false sworn statement to the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence. Isn't that correct?
25 A Yes.
M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) Page 2300
$1 \quad$ Q And unlike your other plea hearing, you were being honest with the judge this time; is that correct?

A Is there a question?
Q Yes.
A What is it?
Q Do you need me --
MS. HABBA: Can we get a read back?
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the
proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A Yes, that was correct.
Q And you stated that you did submit false sworn statements to Congress, didn't you?
A Yes.
Q So you have lied under oath numerous times, Mr. Cohen, isn't that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And you no longer have your law license, isn't that correct?
A Asked and answered.
Q Answer the question, please, Mr. Cohen.
THE COURT: Just answer.
A Yes.
Q And that's because you were disbarred in 2019; correct?
A Correct.
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MS. HABBA: Your Honor?
THE COURT: You want to stop?
MS. HABBA: This is right before our next section.
THE COURT: Okay. We'll resume tomorrow at 10 o'clock.

MS. HABBA: Thank you.
MR. KISE: Please remind the witness he's not to talk to anyone, including his lawyers about his testimony.

THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, I hereby order you not to talk to anybody, including your lawyers about this trial or your testimony or anything related.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Have a good evening everyone.
(Whereupon, the trial proceedings are adjourned to Wednesday, October 24, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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| 2220:5 | 2251:7,8 | 2262:6;2264:21 | 2128:18;2133:20; | $2215: 11$ |
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| 2286:13 | 2129:3;2130:5; |  | 2148:4,17;2153:15; | $2162: 25 ; 2171: 3,4,8$ |
| hierarchy (5) | 2132:21;2138:17; | I | 2273:20 | 2181:2,9,10;2188:7; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2197: 19,21 ; 2202: 12 \\ & 14 ; 2204: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2142:24;2173:1; } \\ & \text { 2176:10;2177:15,19; } \end{aligned}$ | IBD (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { including (12) } \\ & 2103: 13,19 ; 2108: 12 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2189: 9 ; 2215: 11 ; \\ & 2218: 9 ; 2238: 7,10,13 \end{aligned}$ |


| 2241:1;2265:8,15; | 2131:6,9;2256:2 | 2175:6,9,12;2177:5,8, | IVANKA (18) | 2100:7;2122:7; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2269:9;2282:17; | interested (1) | 22;2178:1 | 2100:7.5;2190:1; | 2196:4;2201:13,18; |
| 2295:6;2296:18,20,25; |  | investigatory (2) | $2203: 15,18,20,23 ;$ | 2202:13;2204:5,11 |
| 2297:1 | interesting (1) | 2114:14;2115:1 | 2204:1,5,11;2221:5; | Jr's (3) |
| informed | 2251:9 | investment (1) | 2223:6,24;2250:23; | 2202:8;2203: |
| 2138:9 | internal (7) | 2274:25 | 2252:8,22;2255:10; | 2223:3 |
| inherent (3) | 2120:6,24;2135:10, | invitation ( | 2259:13;2274:11 | Jude (1) |
| 2269:24;2271:21; | 7;2146:19;2162:25 | 2197:1 |  | 2202:21 |
| 2272:1 | 2207:15 | inv | J | Judge (26) |
| inhibited (1) | internally (1) |  |  | 2102:2;2106: |
| 2217:22 | 2114:11 | involved (16) | JAMES (2) | 2112:8;2136:5; |
| initial (1) | International (1) | 2104:19;2114:23,23; | $2100: 3,19$ | 2190:25;2212:3; |
| 2205:7 | 2158:22 | 2115:6;2129:14,15,15; | JANELLE (1) | 2278:6,11;2282:9; |
| Initially (1) | interned (1) | 2170:9;2171:14; | 2101:24 | 2288:9,14,15,17; |
| 2207:2 | 2112:8 | 2178:5,12,15;2190:2 | January (9) | $2289: 25 ; 2292: 6,15,24$ |
| input (2) | interpret (1) | 2208:8;2245:8; | 2112:16,18,21; | 2296:9,10;2297:17,22, |
| 2163:21;2 | 2123:12 | 2250:18 | 2120:5,16;2241:19; | 25;2298:16,21; |
| inquirer (1) | interpreting (1) | involvement (7) | 2244:1,14;2278:15 | 2299:18;2300:2 |
| 2189:16 | $2142: 2$ | 2112:23;2113:15 | Jared (1) | judge's (1) |
| ins (1) | interrupt | 2114:8;2128:25; | 2190:1 | 2277:18 |
| 2140:16 | 2173:1;2176:5 | 2129:2,3;2251:4 | Jason (10) | judgment (1) |
| inserted (1) | interrupted (1) | involving (2) | 2200:19,20;2201:6; | 2271:23 |
| $2253: 22$ | 2177:5 | 2120:17;2244:1 | 2250:21,22;2252:12; | Judicial (1) |
| instance (1) | interrupting (1) | ire (1) | 2259:12;2274:10; 2275:17,24 | 2112:5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 2216:1 } \\ \text { instances (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 2177:13 <br> interviewed (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 2198:7 } \\ \text { irrelevant (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2275:17,? } \\ & \mathbf{J D}(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July (8) } \\ & 2252: 9,23 ; 2254: 5 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 2155:10 | 2116:14;2163:23 | $2290: 15$ | 2184:1 | 2259:15;2261:21; |
| instead (1) | into (37) | irreplaceable | Jeff (6) | $2262: 7 ; 2264: 19$ |
| 2293:6 | 2104:18;2108:1; | 2139:23 | 2200:10;2205:12,14, | 2274:20 |
| Institute (1) | 2117:25;2119:17; | irresponsible (3) | 16;2224:12;2266:12 | June (10) |
| 2148:18 | 2126:22;2128:24; | 2103:12;2104:10; | JEFFREY (6) | 2119:25,25;2146:14; |
| institution (4) | 2135:10;2150:24; | $2106: 25$ | 2100:7.5;2221:3; | $2186: 15 ; 2209: 24,25$ |
| 2185:2;2186:2; | 2151:17;2152:1; | irretrievably (1) | 2261:6;2266:13,19; | $2215: 14 ; 2225: 18$ |
| 2280:19;2294:1 | 2181:9;2190:3; | 2272:9 | 2268:15 | 2267:3,7 |
| instructions (1) | 2192:21;2194:13 | isolated (1) | Jersey (1) | Jupiter (1) |
| 2277:18 | 2206:19;2209:6; | 2107:5 | 2101:12 | 2155:8 |
| insurance (33) | 2214:14;2216:5; | issue (20) | JESUS (1) | jury (2) |
| $2207: 9,13,21,22 ;$ | 2217:2;2218:3;2224:7; | 2106:7;2107:17,17; | 2101:5.5 | $2104: 15 ; 2272: 10$ |
| 2208:2;2234:8,9,12; | 2227:12;2232:11,22; | 2108:3,3;2120:22; | Joanna (1) | Justice (2) |
| 2235:1,2,3,6,12; | 2237:3;2244:4; | 2124:3;2128:8;2149:9, | 2112:8 | 2100:16;2154:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2236:14,25;2237:12, } \\ & \text { 13:2238:8.11.24: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2246:20;2249:25; } \\ & \text { 2255:14;2267:11,24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15,22;2167:4;2181:5; } \\ & \text { 2245:14;2268:5,20,21; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { job (4) } \\ & 2147: 9 ; 2169: 2, \end{aligned}$ | K |
| $2239: 2,3,20 ; 2240: 11$ | 2276:14;2277:21; | $2270: 7,19 ; 2272: 21$ | $2292: 24$ |  |
| $18 ; 2243: 21 ; 2245: 3,9$ | 2283:20;2284:21; | issued (4) | John (1) | Karen (3) |
| 13,17;2249:3;2256:20, | 2287:14;2290:17 | $2113: 7,11 ; 2129: 16$ | 2243:8 | 2189:12,14,14 |
| 21 | introduced (3) | $2162: 12$ | John's (1) | Katherine (2) |
| insured (4) | 2152:1;2196:4 | issues (12) | $2111: 25$ | $2233: 15,15$ |
| 2239:21;2245:18; | 2201:16 | $2107: 2,19$ | join (3) | keep (10) |
| 2295:8;2296:17 | invective (1) | 2120:19;2167:7; | 2195:18;2196:14; | 2158:16;2173:22 |
| Intelligence (2) | $2190: 9$ | 2198:6;2257:6;2268:5; | $2197: 1$ | $2177: 12,13 ; 2207: 24$ |
| 2189:21;2299:24 | investigates (1) | $2273: 21 ; 2277: 19,25$ | joined (6) | 2218:19;2233:18; |
| intends (3) | 2112:6 | issuing (3) | 2134:8;2194:3,19; | 2240:16;2277:16; |
| 2256:13;2265:6; | inve | 2153:5;2162:14,16 | 2195:21;2197:4; | 2291:6 |
| 2272:22 | 2116:12;2167:4 | item (10) | 2257:7 | Kelly (12) |
| intention (1) | investigation (18) | 2118:7;2119:19; | joining (2) | 2109:1,2;2111:6,14; |
| 2267:18 | 2113:12;2116:10; | 2244:7;2246:24 | 2134:3,7 | 2130:1;2133:20,25; |
| intentionally (1) | 2120:5,24;2135:10,17; | 2250:3;2255:17; | joint (2) | 2150:23;2165:9; |
| 2289:15 <br> tentions ( | 2146:19;2162:3; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2260:11;2261:7; } \\ & \text { 2275:25;2285:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $2127: 14,1$ <br> journalist | 2174:7,12;2179:8 |
| $2139: 3$ | 2178:3,4,20;2180:20, | Items (3) | $2233: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ept (2) } \\ & 2167: 17,20 \end{aligned}$ |
| interacting (2) | 24;2181:1,4 | 2260:4,4,21 | journalist's (1) | KEVIN (1) |
| 2220:16;2223:10 | investigations (9) | TTrump@Trumporgcom (1) | 2233:14 | 2100:21 |
| interest (3) | 2113:4;2174:13; | $2252: 6$ | JR (8) | key (3) |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2104:16;2105:21; } \\ & \text { 2107:24 } \end{aligned}$ | L | $\begin{aligned} & \text { learn (2) } \\ & 2116: 16 ; 2181: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2261:19;2262:14 } \\ & \text { letting (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2239:6;2282:5;2295:3; } \\ & \text { 2296:6 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kick (1) | L | learned (5) | 2273:5 | lines (5) |
| 2172:5 | L | 2126:23,23;2129:7 | level (8) | 2142:16;2145:13; |
| kicked (1) |  | 2160:11;2215:4 | 2112:3;2124: | 2158:19;2202:1; |
| 2172:3 | Ladder (1) | lease (1) | 149:16,19;2152:18; | 2215:15 |
| kidding (2) | 2168:16 | 2227: | 197:19,21;2204:8 | liquid (1) |
| 2176:18,19 | LADO | leased (2) | liabilities (6) | 2263:9 |
| kind (5) | 2100:23.5 | 2185:18;2221:13 | 2159:18;2206:21; | liquidity (4) |
| 2102:5;2103:2; | laid (3) | least (8) 2102-19-2104-11 22 | 2208:1;2216:14; | $2264: 4 ; 2265: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2109:10;2175:25 } \\ & 2212: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $2125: 13 ; 2215: 8 ;$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2102:19;2104:11,22; } \\ & \text { 2129:25;2137:15; } \end{aligned}$ | 2226:10;2295:5 <br> liability (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2266:22;2270:2 } \\ & \text { list (3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| kindly (1) | language (3) | 2166:9,10;2251:8 | 2280:12;2289 | 2214:12;2217:6; |
| $2248: 22$ KISE (69) | $2254: 18 ; 2255: 3,5$ | leave (2) | liar (1) | 2248:24 |
| KISE (69) | Laptops (2) | 2196:13;2291: | 2290:14 | listed (4) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2101: 4.5 ; 2102: 12, \\ & 16.7105 \cdot 12.7106 \cdot \end{aligned}$ | 2102:3;2212:4 | lecture (1) | liberal (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 2120: 20 ; 2150: 11 ; \\ & 2242 \cdot \cdot \cdot 2254 \cdot 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16;2105:12;2106:14 | last (19) | (1) |  | 2242:2;2254 |
| 2107:1;2108:2; | 2102:19; | led (1) | Liberty (1) | listing (2) |
| $2109: 25 ; 2110: 4 ;$ $2122: 8 ; 2123: 5 ; 2125: 5$ | 2108:19;2113 | 2146:20 | 2100:20 | 2206:17;2226:8 |
| 2122:8;2123:5;2125:5, | 2117:17;2130:10; | left (5) | license (5) | literally (1) |
| 17;2126:7;2127:8; | 2133:22,22;2134:22 | 2107:13;2122: | 2183:24;2184:8,1 | 2103:18 |
| 2128:18;2129:3,10 | 2136:23;2137:23; | 2192:18,21;2193:9 | 12;2300: | litigants (1) |
| 2132:18;2133:10; | 2141:2;2246:9,10; | legal (19) | licensed (2) | 2108:13 |
| 2143:7,9,11,14;2173: | 2251:11,17,21;2257:19 | 2112:25;2113:1,18, | 2184:2,6 | litigating (1) |
| 10;2176:17,21; | lastly (1) | 24;2114:8,24;2126:4, | licenses (2) | 2273:22 |
| 2180:14;2181:12; | 2189:17 | 9;2127:15,15;2167:13; | 2183:19,2 | litigation (7) |
| 2190:5;2214:15,22; | late | 2192:5;2199:20,22,24; | licensing (1) | 2120:17;2175:15,20; |
| 2221:21; $2222: 6,14$; | 2112:16,19 | 2200:15,16;2201:1; | 2152: | 2180:1;2195:7,8, |
| 2223:19;2227:20; | later (3) | 2288:3 | lie | little (12) |
| 2228:12;2229:10; | 2130:21;2133:5; | legally (1) | 2189:17,19,19 | 2110:18;2136:10 |
| 2246:8,12,14,23; | 2174:18 | 2114:3 | 2288:15,17;2292: | 2156:11;2158:13,14; |
| 2249:14;2250:6; | LAW (26) | legitimacy (2) | 2296:25 | 2193:14;2227:13; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2251:1,11;2255:8,20; } \\ & \text { 2256:16;2263:15; } \end{aligned}$ | 2101:14;2111:25; | $2188: 13,25$ <br> Leiberman (1) | Lieberman (6) 2234:16,22;2235:11; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2229:2;2240:3;2247:2; } \\ & \text { 2254:19;2263:10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2256:16;2263:15; } \\ & \text { 2267:12,15;2268:18, } \end{aligned}$ | 2112:2,4,7,10,11,13; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Leiberman (1) } \\ 2242: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2234:16,22;2235:11; } \\ & \text { 2236:9;2238:5;2239:6 } \end{aligned}$ | 2254:19;2263:10 <br> live (1) |
| 21;2269:17,25;2271:8, | $213$ | lenders | lied (7) | 2203:22 |
| 13;2272:6;2273:9,16; | $2184: 9,11 ; 2192: 8,23$ | 2168:8,22,2 | 89 | lives (1) |
| 2276:15;2278:6; | $2193: 6,7,15,16,18,22$ | length (1) | 2292:6,15;2297:21 | 2134:14 |
| 2290:11,19;2292:21; | 2292:12;2300:18 | 2188:2 | 2298:16;2300:15 | LLC (15) |
| 2301:7 | lawsuit (1) | LETITIA (2) | lies (1) | $2100: 8.5,9,9.5,10,10,$ |
| Kise's (1) | 2170:9 | 2100:3,1 | 2291:5 | $10.5,10.5 ; 2167: 25 ;$ |
| 2263:19 | laws | letter (67) | life (3) | 2168:2,5;2230:2; |
| knew (12) | $2175: 15,18,19$ | 2117:16;2118:11,19 | 2188:17;2286:8, | 2241:18;2262:12,16,18 |
| 2102:18;2107:17 | lawyer (3) | $24 ; 2119: 15,22$ | limitations (6) | LLP (3) |
| 2140:16,24;2170:18; | 2139:4;2163:17 | 2120:15;2122:24; | 2237:5;2250:6; | 2101:10.5;2111:7,20 |
| 2179:8;2220:9;2289:4, | 2281:18 | 2123:10;2127:2,7; | 2255:21;2256:25; | loaded (1) |
| 14;2292:3;2295:17; 2297:17 | lawy | 2129:19;2131:18,19, | 2267:13;2276:16 | 2273:14 |
| 2297:17 | $2102: 24 ; 2107: 25$ | 21;2132:2,9;2160:8,10, | limited (4) | loan (7) |
| knowing (3) | $2126: 4 ; 2138: 3 ; 2298: 3$ | 14;2161:17;2162:2,12, | 2105:17;2129: | 2141:24;2 |
| 2121:3;2144:21; | 6,9,14,15;2301:8,10 | 14,16,24;2169:22; | 2149:12;2159:2 | 2251:6;2256:19,20; |
| 2295:6 | lay (1) | 2171:24;2174:20; | limits (1) | 2261:8;2294:3 |
| knowingly (1) | $2215: 7$ | 2175:2;2179:4,7,23 | 2181:14 | located (3) |
| 2294:3 | LAZARO | 2180:8;2187:16; | limousine ( | 2229:19;2295:9; |
| knowledge (3) 2107:24;2140:4; | 2101:5 | 2249:9;2252:19; | $2185: 17$ | 2296:17 |
| 2107:24;2140:4; 2141:3 | lead (1) | 2253:13,15;2254:20 21-2255:6,10,12: | line (28) 2142.21-2144:13,14 | $\underset{\sim 101 \cdot 24}{\text { LONDON (1) }}$ |
| 2141:3 <br> knowledgeabl | 2113:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21;2255:6,10,12; } \\ & \text { 2260:11,18;2261:7,21; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2142:21;2144:13,14, } \\ & \text { 23;2145:10;2147:1,12, } \end{aligned}$ | 2101:24 |
| $2136: 4,12,18$ | leader (1) $2115: 9$ | $2262: 4,5,6,14,24,25$ | 14;2150:22;2153:14; | 2135:3;2165:14,15; |
| known (4) | leading | 25;2263:6,21,25; | 2154:6,16;2156:10; | 2188:1;2190:8; |
| 2105:17; $2168: 7$; | 2105:2; | 2264:2,3,12,14,15,24, | 2177:14;2186:5; | 2192:12;2193:1; |
| 2234:18,23 | 2229:10;2249:14,19 | 25;2265:5;2268:16 | 2205:4,5;2214:13; | 2220:23;2230:14; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { knows (2) } \\ & 2122: 9 ; 2190: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { League (1) } \\ 2265: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { letterhead (4) } \\ \text { 2241:14;2254:2; } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2216:13,17;2231:17; } \\ & \text { 2232:7;2236:4,12; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2253: 7 \\ \text { longer (20) } \end{gathered}$ |


| 2116:17,25,25; | 2133:17 | 2243:22,23;2256:5; | 2126:22;2130:25; | meaning (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2117:7,7,8;2119:25; | lu | 2273:21;2280:1,4; | 2131:23;2133:8,10; | 2196:13 |
| 2120:10,13;2121:5; | 2133:8,9;2211:22 | 2292:19;2293:10 | 2136:9;2138:24; | means (6) |
| 2128:9;2140:21; | luncheon (1) | March (4) | 2158:15,16;2176:25; | 2130:24;2146:5,7; |
| 2158:11;2161:9; | 2211:24 | 2195:23;2197:4 | 2245:17;2251:24; | 2199:3;2279:3;2282:2 |
| 2173:24;2183:20 | lyin | 2247:20;2268: | 2271:3;2273:2 | meant (5) |
| 2219:4;2255:23; | 2286:4;2297:24,25 | marital (1) | Mazars (108) | 2129:17;2132:3; |
| 2256:24;2300:18 |  |  | 2111:7,20;2112:1 | 2161:24;2198:4; |
| look (36) | M | MARK (2) | 17,21,22,23;2113:7; | 2263:19 |
| 2110:13;2122 |  | 2100:23.5;22 | 2114:3;2115:2,11; | measures (1) |
| 2127:17;2147:12; | ma'am (7) | marked (20) | 2117:19;2118:11,13, | 2103:8 |
| 2150:21;2151:23; | 2192:17;2204:14,19 | 2117:11;2118:8 | 15;2119:2,5;2124:12, | medallion (1) |
| 2152:2;2153:13; | 2206:9;2238:20; | 2119:10,20;2225:19; | 16;2125:16;2127:5,5; | 2192:23 |
| 2155:14,18;2156:7; | 2239:5;2244:12 | 2235:14;2237:7; | 2128:10;2131:12; | medallions (1) |
| 2158:19;2161:16; | MADAIO (1) | 2241:6;2244:8;2246:4, | 2133:25;2134:3,7; | 2185:18 |
| $2164: 5 ; 2209: 10,18$ | 2101:10.5 | $25 ; 2250: 4 ; 2252: 2$ | $2135: 3,7 ; 2136: 3,11 \text {; }$ | media (2) |
| 2213:16,19,21; | magazine (1) | 2255:18;2259:7; | 2141:9;2146:9,11; | 2103:18;2199:6 |
| 2214:16,20,22;2216:8; | 2233:14 | 2274:13;2276:18 | 2148:13;2149:2,17; | medical (1) |
| 2217:9;2218:1,18; | main (2) | 2285:2;2286:7,12 | 2150:1,4,9,16;2151:20, | 2192:7 |
| 2227:5,14,25;2231:4, | 2116:20;2207:23 | market (1) | 25;2153:4,21;2154:3, | medication (2) |
| 23;2235:16,18; | Maintenan | 22 | 11,13;2155:11,16; | 2278:17,20 |
| 2251:24;2259:9; | 2192:22;2193:4,10 | marketable | 2156:19,22;2157:8,17, | medications (2) |
| 2274:15 | major (1) | 2221:10 | 25;2158:7,20;2159:13; | 2106:1;2183:4 |
| looked (8) | 2167:7 | marketing (1) | 2160:4,11;2161:7,12, | Med-mal (1) |
| 2124:24;2158:18; | makes (1) | 2248:17 | 19;2162:2,15;2163:3; | 2192:10 |
| 2162:5;2163:9; | 2257:3 | marke | 2164:24;2165:4,9,16, | meet (3) |
| 2215:11;2229:20,21; | making (14) | 2243:19,20, | 20,24;2166:2,18,21; | 2138:11;2173:5; |
| 2239:9 | 2113:23,23,25; | marking (2) | 2167:4,7,17,24;2168:7; | 2240:11 |
| looking (17) | 2186:2,22;2188:24; | 2215:19;2216 | 2169:2,4,7,18;2170:5, | meeting (20) |
| 2104:9;2114:14; | 2191:17;2256:10; | Martha (1) | 7,22;2171:1,13,18; | 2133:22;2139:10; |
| 2129:4;2145:13; | 2263:21;2280:14,18; | 2235:24 | 2174:15;2175:2,16,20; | 2195:17;2240:10; |
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Laptops and cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now, be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Human bodies absorb sound. Can you imagine how loud that would be if the room was vacant? All right. Let's get the issue of the photographers.

MR. KISE: Not for us.
MS. HABBA: They're not going to want to come in.
MR. KISE: Can we do housekeeping?
THE COURT: Chris, they told me you're particularly photogenic.

MR. KISE: My family for sure.
THE COURT: When can we expect the missing person?
MR. KISE: I think momentarily, Your Honor, but can I raise two minor housekeeping matters?

THE COURT: Attorney General, ready to do some housekeeping matters?

MR. KISE: So, and Mr. Robert I think will back my memory and we will, of course, do whatever the Court wants, but so last night when we realized we did not receive anything on the Ivanka Trump motion from the Government, we
went back and looked. Our understanding from our -- the conversation was they were going to file on Monday and we were - you asked me how long we needed and we were going to have two days, so Wednesday.

But apparently, when I looked at the e-mail that was sent, it says everybody files on Wednesday, but that wasn't certainly our understanding. So what l'd like, because if the Court still plans to proceed on Friday, which is fine, with the hearing, I would just ask then that we have until tomorrow. If they filed something tonight, then we have until tomorrow night, 24 hours, but that was what we agreed to, was two days.

THE COURT: The motion is by a non-party, so I'm not sure --

MR. KISE: So we just -- until we see -- that was the point I made to Your Honor last week. Until we see what they have to say and the other side -- the other ones say, I don't know -- I'm just guessing at that point.

THE COURT: Does the Attorney General object to giving them until tomorrow?

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I think just in the orderly, efficient management of business, we're all commenting on a third party's motion. I think we can all put in our papers today.

THE COURT: Well, how about some compromise

## tomorrow at --

MR. KISE: Tomorrow is just one day. That was certainly our understanding of my dialog with you, Your Honor, was that you asked me how many days we needed after their response.

THE COURT: Can we do until noon, so we can read it, too, by noon tomorrow?

MR. KISE: Okay, yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thanks. You had a second issue?

MR. KISE: Just an order of witnesses. I know that the witness from the Parks Department who was deposed Monday by video, I don't know if they're planning on calling that witness this week or if it is going to be next week.

THE COURT: Well, let's ask.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I think what we are trying to understand is how today's proceedings are going to time out. We have a witness lined up for this afternoon. That will be Ms. Sherri Dillon if the time permits. Otherwise, we still have the remaining video of Ms. Mouradian. We have -- and we've informed counsel the remaining witnesses for this week.

Mr. Gaber is intending to take the deposition -- the testimony of Mr. Cerrone. I believe he might get pushed into next week as a result of Mr. Gaber's

MS. HABBA: Can we just do this first? THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.
MS. HABBA: It is a little bit delicate and I want to start by saying I served as a law clerk to a judge when I first started practicing law and my candor, my judge was very strict about how I did and didn't behave. It is incredibly distracting when there are eye rolls and constant whispering at the bench when I'm trying to cross-examine a witness. So I'm just asking politely that that be refrained. It is very distracting.

THE COURT: Okay. Granted.
MS. HABBA: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay, photographers.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: Witness is on his way, right?
THE COURT OFFICER: Yes.
Witness entering.
THE WITNESS: Good morning.
THE COURT: Let's continue with the
cross-examination of Michael Cohen.
MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor. Sounds good?
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MS. HABBA:
Q Mr. Cohen, good morning.
A Good morning.
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absence, but for the remainder of this week, we have three of our witnesses that are lined up to fill the time. I can speak with counsel to make sure they're fully informed about our order of witnesses. If they can equally just give us an update on their timing on crosses, so we can make sure all the witnesses are here, everybody is prepared to take the testimony as necessary.

MR. KISE: I think Ms. Faherty's commentary, because I forgot the point she just made, the reason I was asking about this witness in particular is because Ms. Hernandez who intends to do the cross of that witness, she's out with COVID, so she won't be back until Monday. So all I'm asking is we make sure that witness is next week when she's back, which I think based on what Ms. Faherty said will work. Otherwise, we are fine with whatever other schedule.

THE COURT: All right. Good.
And Chris, make it one o'clock tomorrow.
MR. KISE: Okay. Thank you. How about 2:15 since you give us the lunch hour?

THE COURT: We need it for that. One o'clock.
MR. KISE: Thank you.
MS. HABBA: Your Honor, before we bring the witness in --

THE COURT: We have the photographers first.
. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MS. HABBA)
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Q I just want to remind you that you're still under oath obviously from yesterday as the judge put you under oath? THE COURT: True.
MS. HABBA: Thank you.
Q I just want to ask you preliminarily, did you speak with the Attorney General's Office about your testimony between yesterday and today?

A No.
Q Did you speak with your lawyer about your testimony between yesterday and today?

A Yes.
Q Did you speak to anybody about your testimony between yesterday and today?

A No.
Q Okay. Thank you.
As a follow-up from yesterday's cross, I just mentioned to Ms. Faherty I would like to admit Defendant's Exhibit 952 into evidence. It was the plea hearing allocution dated November 29, 2018.

MS. FAHERTY: For the purposes of his allocution. Otherwise, all of the hearsay statements herein, I don't think it needs to be admitted, but for the fact that it was his allocution. No objection to that portion of it.

THE COURT: I'm not sure how we are going to distinguish between this and that. Any objection to --
several counts of tax evasion, right?
A Correct.
Q And those counts had nothing to do with Donald Trump;
isn't that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And it wasn't done at the direction of Donald Trump
or --
MR. KISE: We still really can't hear you.
MS. HABBA: Sorry. Is that better?
MR. KISE: Yes.

Q So I had asked you if those counts had nothing to do with Donald Trump and I believe you responded yes. And it wasn't done at the direction or benefit for President Trump, was it?

A For Mr. Trump, no.
Q And when you pled guilty to making false statements to a financial institution, that had nothing to do with Donald Trump, did it, for the HELOC?
A I know what you're referring to.
Q Right.
A The answer is no.
Q Okay. Thank you.
And when you lied to Judge Pauley under oath at your plea hearing for those charges, that had nothing to do with

## Donald Trump correct?

MS. FAHERTY: Objection for the characterization.
We did this yesterday. I'm just going to stand up now and forewarn my concern at what I thought was a little bit of a circus yesterday. I'm just putting it on the record now that if I need to stand up, I will stand up. My objection stands, Your Honor.

MR. KISE: So, Your Honor, Your Honor --
MS. FAHERTY: Two attorneys.
MR. KISE: Right. The only circus yesterday was the witness not answering questions, so that's a fair question. He admitted here today for the first time in open court that he lied to Judge Pauley right across the street, right across the street. He lied to Judge Pauley when he pled guilty. That's what he said under oath in this courtroom, the same oath that he took there, the same oath that he took here. So in open court, he has now admitted to perjury in front of the Judge Pauley. I think that's a relevant fact for impeachment and -- may I finish? It should come in. I mean, there's no reason that that shouldn't come in.

MR. ROBERT: From the moment the case started, from the moment of my opening, the People started and talked about credibility. I talked about credibility. Credibility of the witnesses is what Your Honor is ultimately going to

1 have to decide in this case. The fact that the witness
admitted for the first time yesterday that he committed perjury in the Southern District of New York as part of a plea, and we are going to get into other acts of perjury today, couldn't be more relevant in this case in enabling this Court to determine who's telling the truth and who is not.

THE COURT: Ms. Faherty, I don't understand the objection. Maybe say it again. What am I missing?

MS. FAHERTY: First, Your Honor, counsel has twice now repeated this word "perjury" repeatedly.

THE COURT: Well, that's the definition of perjury, lying under oath.

MR. KISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. FAHERTY: The legal conclusion of perjury is very different. If you recall earlier this week or last week, we had counsel stand up, screaming, "Please don't let this witness violate his Fifth Amendment rights." I am quite concerned at the presentation from counsel that they are standing up, making these accusations while the witness is still on the stand. I'm quite concerned and so I'm standing up to indicate that that type of showmanship should not be permitted.

Now, I agree, Your Honor, I did ask Mr. Cohen the very questions Ms. Habba is asking him and he has responded

1 consistently. So I would just ask for the courtesy and

1 counsel. He had counsel then. This is not a shock. This
respect that we proceed without this showmanship of words
like "perjury" thrown in this court which is a legal conclusion. It is unnecessary. Yes, untruthful. Yes, an admission of a lie. However, please, can we, please, proceed?

## MR. KISE: Your Honor --

THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Wait.
MR. KISE: Okay.
THE COURT: Mr. Robert, Mr. Kise, I'll tell you rule number one in Engoron's courtroom, which I often say, if I'm arguing for you, you don't have to argue for you. So far, I totally agree with you.

So Ms. Faherty, is the basic point the word "perjury," is that what we're trying to avoid here?

MS. FAHERTY: I think it is a legal conclusion, Your Honor, and I think that it instills a certain type of fear and intimidation in the witness in particular. That gives me great concern.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, if I may?
THE COURT: Yes.
MS. HABBA: The perjury, although, the press is just hearing about it now, the perjury was heard at his deposition when he first said that he lied under oath with Judge Pauley. It is only now coming to light. He has
is not showmanship. Everybody has had the transcript. It's just that the media hasn't heard about it until now. I'm just doing my job, sir. Mr. Cohen, as we know, is a witness who has interjected in many cases against my client and his credibility is the most important thing that I will attack.

THE COURT: Well, can we limit it to --
MS. HABBA: Frankly, I'm done with that line of questioning. It's done. I will be honest with you.

MS. FAHERTY: If we could move on --
MR. KISE: I don't know that we're done with that and --

MR. ROBERT: I'm certainly not.
MR. KISE: I will certainly say there is nothing wrong with calling a liar a liar. There's nothing wrong with calling someone who admits in open court to perjury. He was under oath in front of Judge Pauley. He was under oath in front of Judge Pauley. He said here yesterday under the same oath, "I lied to Judge Pauley." That's perjury. As Your Honor said, that's the definition of perjury. So we should not be expected to dance around it for someone's sensibilities.

A serial liar is a serial liar and since the entire case of the Attorney General hinges on this perjurious witness who has lied to everyone he has ever spoken to,
we're entitled to bring that out. We're entitled to raise that. I think it is highly relevant. I think it is highly probative and we shouldn't have to dance around because of some sensitivity about words. Perjury is perjury and it is obvious what's going on here. The Attorney General is trying to cover for an extraordinarily defective witness that they're putting on the stand who has no credibility.

THE COURT: Now, you are violating two of my rules. First is what I just said. You don't have to argue if I'm arguing for you. Second rule, which I believe I've said during this trial, I know I've said, let's not make speeches. We are here for questions, answers, maybe argument, but we don't need speeches at this point.

My understanding, try to see if we can all agree, I believe that perjury implies conviction. You want to say he's lying, say he's lying, but let's not use the word "perjury" especially if you're asking him a question that might ask for a legal conclusion and let's move on.

MR. KISE: I'll just note my exception to that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: To which part of that?
MR. KISE: To the part that we are limited to what we can do. It doesn't imply a conviction. If he perjured himself, he perjured himself.

THE COURT: I'll have to --

MR. KISE: You don't need to have a conviction for that.

THE COURT: I'm not sure. I will have to research that. I take your point.

MS. HABBA: I can state my question in a way that's acceptable to the Court and it is my last question on this. It is my last question on this at least.
Q Mr. Cohen, as a clarifying point, yesterday was the
first time you admitted in open court that you lied to Judge
Pauley, correct?
A In open court?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q Thank you. Okay. Let move on to your work with the Trump Organization.

You were an employee of the Trump Organization from 2007 to 2017; is that correct?
A Correct.
Q And you previously testified yesterday that you
personally participated in the preparation of the Statements of
Financial Condition for years 2012 through '15, that you don't
recall working on the Statement of Financial Condition in 2011, correct?
A That's correct.
MS. HABBA: Okay. If I could, please, pull up the

## transcript --

2 Q Well, you said correct, so let me move on. And you previously testified in your deposition that you and Allen
Weisselberg were tasked with coming up with valuations of the assets listed on the Statements of Financial Condition for those years, correct?
A Correct.
Q And you testified that Allen Weisselberg prepared the
first draft of those Statements of Financial Condition for
Mazars, correct?
A I'm sorry. Can you say that again?
Q Sure.
You had stated that Allen prepared the first draft of the Statements of Financial Condition with Mazars, correct?
A I don't believe that's how I stated it, no.
MS. HABBA: Okay. If we could pull up 86:25 to
87:7 from the transcript, please.
MR. KISE: We still --
MS. HABBA: Can't hear? Can we put the volume up? IT SPECIALIST: Every time it gets bumped up a little bit --

MS. HABBA: I almost want to take the mic off. I
think everyone can hear me without it. I'll speak very
loudly. Sorry. Let's move on. Can we, please, play that.
Thank you.
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1

22 We'll get to that. And in coming up with a valuations
23 for almost every asset, you testified that you would determine
$22 Q \quad$ We'll get to that. And in coming up with a valuations
23 for almost every asset, you testified that you would determine
(Whereupon the video was played.)
$Q$ Do you remember saying that, Mr. Cohen?
A Yes, which is not what you were just stating.
Q So I asked if Allen Weisselberg -- you testified that Allen Weisselberg prepared the first draft. Isn't that what you stated you believed Allen Weisselberg did?

A No. I suspect that Allen -- I do not know who did it. That was the point and that's exactly what I stated in the deposition.
Q Okay. So you suspect it was Allen Weisselberg, but you testified that you were personally involved in coming up with a valuation for almost every asset on the Statements of Financial Condition, correct?
A No, that's also not correct.
Q Okay.
A Every asset? Almost every asset?
Q Okay. MS. HABBA: If we could play that back, please. (Whereupon, the video was played.)
Q Right. Almost every asset, correct?
A As the ones that I stated the other day.
the valuations by going on Google and finding a comparable
property with a price per square-foot that was higher, correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. And you testified under oath that you would
handwrite the new valuations on the Statement of Financial
Condition, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And Allen would do the same thing, right?
A Yes.
Q And I want to be clear. Allen Weisselberg, right?
THE COURT: That's a question.
Q Allen Weisselberg, correct?
A Yes.
Q According to your prior testimony for 2011 to 2015, this entire process of preparing the Statement of Financial Condition consisted of you and Allen taking a printed piece of paper and then writing on it? That was what you did, correct?

A Incorrect.
Q Incorrect, okay. Let's take a look at what you said in your deposition.
(Whereupon, the video was played.)
Q Mr. Cohen, you also stated that no computer was involved?

A There was a previous question.
Q No. The question was did you say it. We played it back. Do you doubt that that was you?

A I don't doubt that was me, but I doubt that that is
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responsive to the question that you stated.
Q Okay. My question that I stated, we can have a readback if you'd like.

A Sure.
MS. HABBA: Judge, may I have a readback?
THE COURT: The very last question?
MS. HABBA: The very last question about his testimony for the handwritten edits, please.

THE COURT: Readback, please.
MS. HABBA: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A And again, I'll state incorrect because the process was not just Allen and myself as you saw in that deposition. It included Mr. Trump.

Q Listen, Mr. Cohen. I'm sure you're going to try and do that with me every time and I'm here for it really, but I would like you to answer the questions that I ask. I didn't ask you who was involved. I asked you --

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Overruled. Let's -- let the question finish.

MS. HABBA: Thank you.
Q I asked you if you made handwritten edits on the
25 Statement of Financial Condition. Is the answer yes or no?
you and Allen would take these handwritten edits and review them with Donald Trump, right?

A Correct.
Q And this meeting, according to you, would last about 15 minutes; isn't that right?
A Correct.
Q And the meetings between you, Allen and Donald Trump were never put in a calendar; isn't that right?

A To the best of my knowledge, no.
Q To the best of your knowledge, no.
Did you ever have an assistant?
A No.
Q No. Did you ever keep a calendar for invitations for meetings on your computer?

A No.
Q Did you use a computer?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So you just had meetings in your head and they
would happen and you remember them sitting here today; is that correct?

A I'm sure. I don't understand your question.
Q Okay. Let me ask you this way.
Meetings with you, Allen and Donald Trump on a
Statement of Financial Condition lasted 15 minutes and were never scheduled; is that your testimony?

Q Okay. And Allen Weisselberg would take the handwritten edits on the Statement of Financial Condition and hand it off to Donald Bender, right?

A Once authorized to do so, yes.
Q That's not my question. He would take it when it was done and he would hand it to Donald Bender? Is that what you testified to?

THE COURT: I think when it was done is ambiguous.
Q Once the final version of the Statement of Financial
Condition was complete in handwritten form, your testimony is that you would then give it to Donald Bender, correct?

A No, that's not what I stated.
Q Okay. Who --
A Allen Weisselberg would hand it off to Donald Bender. I never did.

A Correct.
Q Right.
A I would go into Allen's office and there was an okay, we have it done. We would walk into Mr. -- towards Mr. Trump's office, knock on the door and say, "Mr. Trump, can we speak to you for a second."
.
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Q How do you know that?
A Because that was the relationship. The relationship existed between Donald Bender and Allen Weisselberg.
Q Okay. It was your testimony that Donald Bender was continuously at the Trump Organization?

A Regularly at the office.
Q Regularly at the office. What is the difference between the two?

THE COURT: There is a clear difference between continuously and regularly.

MS. HABBA: Exactly there is, Your Honor, which gets to my point, Your Honor.

Can we, please, pull up the deposition?
MS. FAHERTY: I'm sorry. Why?
MS. HABBA: Because he didn't say "regularly,"
Colleen. He said "continuously" in his deposition.
THE COURT: All right. Then she is allowed to play it.

MS. HABBA: That's how impeachment works. (Whereupon, the video was played.)
Q "Continuously," right, Mr. Cohen?
A Sure.
Q Not "regularly," right? Your words, not mine. Were those your words?
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| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q | Thank you. So Mazars would receive the Statement of |
| 2 | Financial Condition with the handwritten edits that you and |  |
| 3 | Allen had written on the prior year's Statement of Financial |  |
| 4 | Condition was your testimony, correct? |  |
| 5 | A | I already stated that, yes. |
| 6 | Q | You did not submit any electronic documents or any |
| 7 | other supporting documentation, correct? |  |
| 8 | A | As to what? The Statement of Financial Condition -- |
| 9 | Q $\quad$ As to the Statement of Financial Condition. There was |  |
| 10 | no backup data? |  |
| 11 | A $\quad$ I don't know the answer to that. I don't recall. |  |
| 12 | Q $\quad$ You don't know the answer to that? |  |
| 13 | A $\quad$ No. I don't understand your question. |  |
| 14 | Q | Okay. |
| 15 | THE COURT: I think the question is in addition to |  |
| 16 | the years in the earlier statement and the handwritten notes |  |
| 17 | that was then approved, did you give anything else like a |  |
| 18 | computer printout or computer drive of any sort? |  |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: I did not. |  |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. That was the question and that's |  |
| 21 | the answer. |  |
| 22 | Q $\quad$ Okay. And your testimony is that this entire process |  |
| 23 | of editing the Statement of Financial Condition from start to |  |
| 24 | finish with Allen, then approved by Donald Trump took a couple |  |
| 25 | of days; isn't that right? |  |

[^9]Q Thank you. So Mazars would receive the Statement of Financial Condition with the handwritten edits that you and Allen had written on the prior year's Statement of Financial Condition was your testimony, correct?
A I already stated that, yes.
Q You did not submit any electronic documents or any ther supporting documentation, correct?

Q As to the Statement of Financial Condition. There was backup data?

Q You don't know the answer to that?
A No. I don't understand your question.
kay.
e years in the earlier statement and the handwritten notes
that was then approved, did you give anything else like a
THE WITNESS: I did not.
THE COURT: Okay. That was the question and that's the answer.
Q Okay. And your testimony is that this entire process of editing the Statement of Financial Condition from start to of days; isn't that right?
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A That's what I stated.
Q Okay. And you never discussed the Statement of
Financial Conditions with Donald Trump Jr. did you?
A Not that I recall.
(Continued on the next page.)

1 Q And you never discussed the SOFC with Eric Trump, did you?
A Not that I recall.
Q And you never discussed the SOFC with Ivanka Trump either, did you?

A Not that I recall.
Q Mr. Cohen, you claim that you worked on the 2015 SOFC; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q The 2015 Statement of Financial Condition was President Trump's net worth just over \$6 billion? Do you know that?

A You'd have to show me the document.
Q Sure. Let's do that.
MS. HABBA: Can you please pull up PX 729?
$Q \quad$ Do you recognize this as the 2015 SOFC?
A I do.
Q Okay.
MS. HABBA: It's already into evidence. If we can just flip the page.
Q Do you see at the bottom where it says "his total assets." Can you read for me what that number is?

A 6,580,300,000.
Q Right. And that number is meant to reflect President Trump's financial status as of June 30, 2015; correct?

A Correct.

Q And on July 16, 2015, just two weeks approximately after, you appeared on CNN and stated that you considered yourself to be a real estate expert and Donald Trump's net worth was probably over $\$ 10$ billion. Do you remember that?
A I remember saying that.
Q Okay. And, in fact, you stated that you believed a $\$ 10$ billion estimation was low. Do you recall that?
A I recall that's what Mr. Trump wanted me to say, yes.
Q That wasn't the question, Mr. Cohen.
A That's my answer.
Q Is it? I'm not surprised by that, Mr. Cohen. You're very good at blaming other people.

So $\$ 10$ billion estimation was low.
MS. HABBA: You know what, can you play that for me, please?
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) Q Okay.

MS. FAHERTY: Can you provide the date on that, please?

MS. HABBA: I did. It's July 16, 2015. I said it in -- it's in the transcript.

I'd like to move --
Q That's you on that, I assume? You recognize yourself there?
A Yes, less gray.
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| :---: | :---: |
| $1 \quad$ Q And that was your clip from CNN on July 16, 2015? | 1 A That's what it says. |
| 2 A That's correct. | 2 Q Correct? |
| 3 Q Okay, thank you. | 3 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor. |
| 4 MS. HABBA: Can we please move that into evidence, | 4 THE COURT: Don't say "correct." |
| 5 D 996? | 5 MS. FAHERTY: I'm just going to object to this |
| 6 MS. FAHERTY: For purposes of those, the statements | 6 document she's put it in front of him. We already |
| 7 he made, no objection for that. | 7 established it's 2011. I don't know what this is. There is |
| 8 THE COURT: All right. They're in evidence -- it's | 8 no proffer on this document, there is no foundation on this |
| 9 in evidence. | 9 document. I'm objecting to it. |
| 10 Q You stated that you considered yourself to be an expert | 10 THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 11 in real estate; correct? | 11 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 12 A I did say that, yes. | 12 THE COURT: We don't know what it is. |
| 13 Q Why did you say that? | 13 Q The Trump brand was worth billions of dollars; correct, |
| 14 A I do have a pretty good understanding of New York City | 14 Mr. Cohen? |
| 15 real estate. | 15 A I don't know that to be the answer. |
| 16 Q Good. | 16 Q But you're a real estate expert, Mr. Cohen, aren't you? |
| 17 THE COURT: Quick sidebar, Counsels. I can't stop | 17 A I'm not a brand expert, Ms. Habba. |
| 18 myself from doing this. Just come up. | 18 Q You're not a brand expert. |
| 19 (Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion is held at | 19 Was the brand on the SOFC since you worked on it? Can |
| 20 the sidebar.) | 20 you answer that? |
| 21 THE COURT: Back on the record. | 21 A I don't believe so. |
| 22 MS. HABBA: Thank you. | 22 Q You don't believe so? Is that a yes or no? |
| 23 Q Mr. Cohen, you went through a couple properties in that | 23 A It's an I don't believe so. I don't think it is. |
| 24 clip that were owned by the Trump Organization; correct? | 24 Q How can you not be a hundred percent certain when you |
| 25 A Correct. | 25 and Allen Weisselberg were the ones that were tasked with doing |
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| 1 Q And you stated that those properties are second to | 1 it? |
| 2 none; correct? | 2 A Then I don't believe it's on there. |
| 3 A Correct. | $3 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Yes or no? |
| 4 Q And the Trump brand has value as well; correct? | 4 A I would say no. |
| 5 A Yes. | 5 Q Thank you. |
| 6 THE COURT: Is that a question in the abstract or | 6 THE COURT: Wait. Hold on. You can't make him say |
| 7 are you asking if he said that? | 7 yes or no. He's allowed to say "I don't know" or "I'm not |
| 8 MS. HABBA: No. Question in the abstract. | 8 sure." |
| 9 THE COURT: Okay. Had to be clear. | 9 MS. HABBA: Okay. |
| 10 Q And according to Predictive, the Trump brand at Fact | 10 Q Did you ever include the brand on the SOFC? |
| 11 Item 2000 -- the Trump brand is worth 3 billion; is that | 11 A I did not. |
| 12 correct? | 12 Q Okay. Did Donald Trump ever include the brand on the |
| 13 A Is there a document that you're relating to? | 13 SOFC? |
| 14 Q Sure, sure. | 14 A No. |
| 15 MS. HABBA: PX 431. Can you please pull up? | 15 Q Did Allen Weisselberg ever include the brand on the |
| 16 MR. KISE: And, again, Your Honor, to the extent | 16 SOFC? |
| 17 we're not waiving, you know, this, but I am -- I'm just | 17 A No. |
| 18 pointing it out. I believe this is a 2011 document. We're | 18 Q Thank you. That answers my question with a no. |
| 19 not waiving our statute of limitations argument by | 19 THE COURT: Please don't comment on the answers. |
| 20 introducing it. | 20 You asked, he answered. |
| 21 THE COURT: Understood. | 21 MS. HABBA: All due respect, Your Honor. |
| 22 MS. HABBA: If we could go to the second page? | 22 A I've answered every question that you want. Why are |
| 23 Q Do you see that it says "based on the information it | 23 you screaming at me? I'm a subpoenaed witness. |
| 24 provided it's concluded that the value of the Trump brand is 2.8 | 24 MS. HABBA: Mr. Cohen, let's continue. |
| 25 to 3 billion?" | 25 Q Okay. You were represented at one point by Robert |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Costello; correct? | 1 manner in Mussolini. My journey to the truth has been fille |
| 2 A No. | 2 with sadness, pain and anger. Today's announcement makes it all |
| 3 Q No? | 3 worth it." Do you remember saying that now? |
| 4 A No. | 4 A I wrote that. |
| $5 \quad$ Q So Robert Costello was not your lawyer at any point? | 5 Q Okay. So did you thank Letitia James? |
| 6 A No. | 6 A Not personally. I thanked her on the tweet. |
| 7 Q Okay. Do you know Robert Costello? | 7 Q Okay. Thank you very much. |
| 8 A I've met Robert Costello. | 8 THE COURT: Please don't comment. |
| 9 Q Have you spoken with? | 9 Q And you take pride in your role in commencing the |
| 10 A I have spoken with Robert Costello. | 10 investigation; correct? |
| 11 Q Did you ever tell Robert Costello you don't have | 11 A I acknowledge it. |
| 12 anything on Donald Trump, "I swear to God I don't have anything | 12 Q You -- |
| 13 on Donald Trump?" | 13 A It's for acknowledging. |
| 14 A I don't recall that. | 14 Q I don't think I understand that. |
| 15 Q You don't recall? Did you -- | 15 So by thanking her you're saying you acknowledge your |
| 16 THE COURT: Please don't comment on the answers. | 16 participation? |
| 17 MS. HABBA: I am phrasing my question. | 17 A I thanked her for acknowledging my participation. |
| 18 Q So do you recall that you told him you would "do | 18 That's what it says. |
| 19 whatever the," excuse my French, "F," I'll say, "it takes to | 19 Q Okay. Are you proud that you participated in this |
| 20 avoid jail time?" Recall that? | 20 investigation, Mr. Cohen? |
| 21 A I don't recall that either. | 21 A I don't know if the word "proud" is the way I would |
| 22 Q Okay. Mr. Cohen, Letitia James, Attorney General of | 22 describe it. |
| 23 New York, has personally thanked you as being one of the central | 23 Q Did you say in your tweet that "this makes it all worth |
| 24 reasons the Attorney General's Office investigation into the | 24 it?" The tweet's right in front of yo |
| 25 Trump Organization business dealings was initiated. Isn't that | 25 A Yes, I said that. Does that connote to the term |
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| 1 correct? | 1 "proud?" |
| 2 A You're welcome. Yes. | 2 Q Let's just move on. |
| 3 Q You're welcome, you're welcome. That's telling. | 3 A Okay. |
| 4 So what I'd like to say is you're right -- | 4 Q And you personally assisted the Attorney General in its |
| 5 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, please. | 5 investigation of the SOFCs; correct? |
| 6 MS. HABBA: I withdraw that. | 6 A No. |
| 7 THE COURT: Okay. Withdrawn. | 7 Q No? |
| 8 Q Did you -- you just personally thanked her now; | 8 A No. |
| 9 correct? | 9 Q Okay. Mr. Cohen, did you write a book called |
| 10 A I was being comical. | 10 "Revenge?" |
| 11 Q You were being comical. | 11 A I did. |
| 12 A Yes, I have seen the -- | 12 MS. HABBA: Can we please pull up "Revenge" at 179? |
| 13 Q Let me ask you a question -- | 13 I'll read this just to make it easy. |
| 14 A I did see the video of her thanking me and I never had | 14 Q Mr. Cohen, is this your book? |
| 15 a chance to say thank you. | 15 A That is. |
| 16 Q You never had a chance to say thank you. So you want | 16 Q Page 179 to 180 states: "Part of that offering was |
| 17 to do it now in court? Great. | 17400 hours of testimony before seven different congressional |
| 18 You actually did have a chance to say thank you. | 18 committees, talking to the Manhattan DA, the Attorney General, |
| 19 MS. HABBA: Can you pull it up, please? | 19 and the Department of Justice. I cooperated with them all." |
| 20 Q Do you see September 21, 2022, is this your handle on $X$ | 20 Are you reading along with me, sir? |
| 21 now at the time Twitter @MichaelCohen 212 ? | 21 A Oh, Iam. |
| 22 A It is. | 22 Q "As for the substance of cooperation, I want to make |
| 23 Q Okay. And do you remember writing that tweet that says | 23 clear exactly what I gave to the government concerning Donald |
| 24 "I want to personally thank Tish James for acknowledging my | 24 Trump. I gave them a roadmap. I gave them everything that I |
| 25 participation and assistance in bringing accountability to the | 25 knew about Donald Trump. I provided the government with the |
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A It's my words.
Q And you claimed to have provided documentation which
shows countless acts of illegal activities; correct?
A You're reading that from where? Okay.
Q You can read it to yourself. Take your time.
MR. KISE: Can we have one minute, Your Honor, while he's reading that? Just counsel?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
Q Okay. According -- were we waiting for an answer? Did you read it?

A Yes, I read it.
Q And it says that?
A Yes, I read it. It says it perfectly.
Q I'll speak really loud. Your testimony is that you personally participated in the SOFCs; correct?

A Correct.
Q In fact, you claim you are personally responsible for coming up with many of the inflated numbers; correct?

A Yes, correct.
Q And you told them that you committed fraud in
connection with preparation of the SOFCs; correct?
A I don't believe that's what I said to them.
Q Didn't you say in the question prior that you told them
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you agreed to assist in the investigation of the Trump Organization, Mr. Cohen?
A No.
Q You did claim that you provided a "roadmap,"
quote/unquote, of all the financial crimes that you claim occurred at the Trump Organization. Isn't that correct?
A That's not what it says.
Q That's not --
A What it says -- I want to make clear exactly what I
gave the government concerning Donald Trump. I gave them a roadmap.

Q Can I just stop you there, Mr. Cohen?
A You can.
Q Did you just say "I gave them a roadmap?"
THE COURT: He just said that, yes.
Q What's the answer to that?
A Already asked and answered.
Q We're going to --
MS. HABBA: Okay, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: The judge doesn't like that objection.
THE WITNESS: Apologies, Your Honor.
A The answer is yes, that's what I wrote. It's in the book.

Q Okay. Thank you.
These are your words in the book, correct?
M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba)
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## you were part of the process of inflating the SOFCs?

MS. FAHERTY: Just to clarify, I've lost this line of questioning. Who is the "them?"
Q Okay. Let me restart it. Let's just be clear. I appreciate that.

THE COURT: Withdrawn?
MS. HABBA: Withdrawn.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Cohen, you have testified between yesterday and today that you were personally responsible for drafting and coming up with numbers in the SOFCs; correct?

A Which I did at the direction of Mr. Trump.
Q That's not what I asked you, Mr. Cohen.
Yes or no did you partake?
A I did.
Q Okay. And you told the District Attorney's Office that you did this; correct?

A I can't go into that. There is another case that's pending right now. It's improper.

Q Not really because you've testified -- I'm sorry.
But you testified they were there, so if you told them, you told them; right?

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, if I could --
MS. HABBA: It's not --
THE COURT: Your microphone isn't working.

MS. FAHERTY: Can you hear me? If I can raise an objection here. I think this record at this point is a bit muddied and confusing. There was an excerpt from Mr. Cohen's book that we were just looking at. The questions were focused on the excerpt in the book. We have now closed the book. We're talking about themes, we're talking about the DA. It is untethered. I'm a bit confused where this line of questioning is going and I would really ask that we keep it focused and direct. I don't understand what testimony is being elicited and I will say there is a parallel DANY proceeding, so I'm a bit concerned that the line of questioning is going beyond the scope of this case and bleeding into another case. So I'm just raising my concern that this line of questioning which started with the book is now untethered and I don't know where we actually are with this testimony.

MR. KISE: Well, Your Honor, if you're going to side with me I'm not going to say anything.

THE COURT: You're learning.
Well, sustained solely on the ground that I think it's unclear who "they" are, etc., etc.. I like to go with the simple rulings.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So without prejudice obviously to whatever you want to ask about.
M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba)
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MS. HABBA: Sure.
Q Mr. Cohen, no charges were ever brought against you
personally by DANY in connection with your work on the Statement of Financial Conditions, were they?

A No.
THE COURT: DANY is the District Attorney of New York. Not everybody knows.

MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q And, in fact, no claims were ever made against you by the New York Attorney General, were they?

A No.
Q But you have claimed in your testimony that you are an essential player in inflating the assets in the SOFC; correct?

A I stated that, yes.
Q And despite all of that you were never named as a defendant in this case, were you?

A I am not named as a defendant in this case.
Q So the Attorney General must not believe that your story is credible; is that correct?

A You are drawing a conclusion that I don't know. You could ask Ms. James.

ATTORNEY GENERAL JAMES: I object.
THE COURT: We're still researching that one.
Q You even met with Mr. Pomerantz; correct?
A I have met with Mr. Pomerantz, yes.

1

Q I'm not going to get into the conversations, just so you know.

Are you aware that he noted in his book that there are significant risks with using you as a witness because of your credibility?
A I didn't read his book.
Q Okay. Prior to termination of your professional
relationship with him, you had a very high --
A I'm sorry, who is "him?"
Q If you let me finish my question.
You had a very high regard of President Trump; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q And in the past you had a long history of praising Mr. Trump, isn't that right, Mr. Cohen?
A Also correct.
Q In fact, you stated that you worshipped him. Isn't that correct?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q And you testified under oath before Congress that you are very proud to have served Donald Trump and that you would continue to support him. Isn't that correct?
A I don't know what you're referring to.
Q Okay.
A I testified seven times to congressional committees.

Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba)
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Which one?
MS. HABBA: Can we please pull it up on 102, D 960? Thank you.
Q Mr. Cohen, you were interviewed by -- in an Executive
Session. It was a closed-door session with the Permanent Select
Committee on Intelligence, the U.S. House of Representatives on
October 24, 2017. Do you recall that?
A I do.
Q Okay. And that was closed to the public; right?
A That was.
Q This is a transcript from that. As you can see it's an unclassified transcript from that hearing. Do you see that?

A I do.
MS. HABBA: Go back to the front page if you need.
At D 960 -- can you please scroll up?
Q You state -- sorry.
MS. HABBA: The next page.
Q Mr. Cohen, it says, "I am very proud to have served Donald Trump for all these years, and I will continue to support him." Do you now remember saying that?

A This is the document that was prepared by the entire group that I referred in the direct. This was done by myself with Alan Garten, this was done with Jay Sekulow, with a whole slew of individuals, but yes, I did make that statement.
Q You made that statement in front of that committee;
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## correct?

A Correct.
Q Thank you.
Your opinion of Mr. Trump was so high, in fact, that
you once stated you would take a bullet for him; is that correct?

A Vanity Fair, Emily Fox, yes.
Q Thank you're doing my work for me. I appreciate it.
And you referred to yourself as the guy who protects
the President and the family. Isn't that right?
A That's also correct.
Q And you've insisted that you would never walk away from Donald Trump; correct?

A I stated that.
Q I would like to now show you a political article dated July 9, 2015, which is in evidence as D 986. I'm going to highlight a section. If you can just read it, please?

MS. FAHERTY: What is this?
MS. HABBA: You have this -- it's a political
article dated July 9, 2015. It was given two days ago.
MS. FAHERTY: Why are we putting this in front of him?

MR. KISE: Just sit down and you'll find out.
MS. FAHERTY: Mr. Kise, I appreciate the
commentary, but I would like a proffer of this. When you
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addressed it and I think I sustained probably more than half of them, so.

MR. KISE: You didn't, Your Honor. But my point was we didn't object. We just let it go because we're trying to move the case along and so we're asking the Attorney General, they're making arguments about documents and we haven't even had a moment to connect up before we even get to -- the examiner gets to say anything.

MS. HABBA: And, Your Honor, if I might just add myself. It is completely disrespectful when I just pull a document up and don't even get a question out. We'll be here well into tomorrow, I assure you of that. I have a lot of questions if this is how we're going to do it. I have a right to ask him questions. We've given you the exhibits like we were supposed to several days ago, so I would like to do my job for my client, please.

THE COURT: This document is already in evidence.
MS. HABBA: Exactly.
MS. FAHERTY: This is not admitted into evidence, Your Honor, no. It was placed into an exhibit folder -MS. HABBA: For you.
MS. FAHERTY: -- for us to review two nights ago at I think 10:30 at night. And, in fact, I came to the courthouse this morning, there was yet another added.

So if I stand up, respectfully, Your Honor, and say
comment and ask the witness a question, I will extend the same courtesy to you.

MR. KISE: No. Apparently the Attorney General hasn't. And as Your Honor knows, we have given, particularly Ms. Faherty, an extraordinary amount of latitude in how she asks questions and have sat here with leading question after leading question and introducing documents and everything yesterday, everything comes in. So I think bringing up a document and giving us a moment or two to tie to it is well within the discretion of the Court.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, please be respectful to the attorneys on the other side.

MR. KISE: I am being respectful, but they're not respectful to me. That group of attorneys over there, they asked Your Honor to sanction me. 33 years I have been practicing law. I've argued and won four cases in the Supreme Court of the United States and I am being subject to sanction for making legal arguments and they want me to be respectful to them? Respect is not something -- where I grew up respect is not something that you get. It's something that you earn and I believe as a lawyer I've certainly earned it.

THE COURT: I will try to jump into the fray here. I will say whenever there is an objection but the question by the Attorney General's counsel was leading, I always
M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba)
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I would like a proffer because I have not heard a proper foundation laid to either impeach this witness, I haven't heard it yet. We have repeatedly this morning seen something thrown onto the screen declaring I'm going to impeach you with this new evidence when that hasn't been properly laid down.

Respectfully, I would appreciate Ms. Habba to continue with the examination in a way that sets the evidence properly. The foundation is laid, the questions can be asked, the evidence can come in. We have not been following that orderly procedure. That is all I've asked, Your Honor. I stood up and said voir dire this. What is this and what is its purpose for this particular witness?

MS. HABBA: All due respect, I just ask that it be put up, Colleen. You've been interrupting me.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Mr. Robert, you've been relatively quiet.

MR. ROBERT: And I'll be brief. We have been here now four weeks and we have sat here while the Attorney General has put documents in front of witnesses and instead of putting them into evidence first, ask the witness spend five and ten minutes discussing them and then putting them into evidence. We have sat quietly because we wanted to get this thing done in an orderly and efficient process.

|  | (Habba) Page 2350 | M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MS. HABBA) Page 2352 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | As far as exchanging of documents we have been the | 1 Q Mr. Cohen, do you recall in a political article dated |
| 2 | ones who have been vigilant. Every night the Attorney | 2 July 29, 2015 that you stated, "The fact is Mr. Trump has built |
| 3 | General is sending us five more, $\mathbf{5 0}$ more, a hundred more | 3 a \$10 billion-plus empire, employs thousands of people, has |
| 4 | documents. That's the way this process works. | 4 worked with many leaders around the globe successfully and is |
| 5 | Ms. Habba is allowed to ask Mr. Cohen a question. | 5 considered one of the best negotiators in history. These are |
| 6 | Based on what his answers are and then make the | 6 all qualifications essential to being a great president." |
| 7 | determination whether the document be put into evidence or | 7 A I made that statement. |
| 8 | no | 8 Q Thank you. And at that time, you thought Mr. Trump had |
| 9 | Ms. Faherty now objecting to us being able to lay a | 9 all the qualifications necessary to be a great president, |
| 10 | foundation when for weeks now I have listened to them recite | 10 correct? |
| 11 | from documents that were not in evidence because we assumed | 11 THE COURT: That's not what we are here for, by the |
| 12 | subject to connection they would come in and they did is | 12 way, obviously. |
| 13 | just grandstanding. Let's let Ms. Habba proceed and then | 13 MS. HABBA: Your Honor, it actually goes to |
| 14 | when an application is made to put in evidence, the Court | 14 credibility. I can explain. |
| 15 | will deal with it. | 15 THE COURT: All right. I'll -- I didn't say you |
| 16 | THE COURT: I do think that the Attorney General's | 16 couldn't ask it, but -- or read it, but I just want to make |
| 17 | objection or interruptions is premature. A document is put | 17 sure we're focusing on why we're here, not external matters. |
| 18 | on the screen, I haven't heard anything. I stand corrected. | 18 MS. HABBA: Absolutely. Absolutely. |
| 19 | Somebody said that this document was in evidence. I don't | 19 THE COURT: Okay. |
| 20 | care who, but can we agree it's not in evidence? | 20 A Can you state the question? |
| 21 | MS. HABBA: It's not in evidence. It was provided | 21 MS. HABBA: Could I, please, get a readback? Was |
| 22 | to the AG pursuant to your rules two days ago. | 22 there a question? |
| 23 | THE COURT: Of course. That's hardly in evidence. | 23 THE WITNESS: I don't know. |
| 24 | And I will say something else in response to Mr. Kise's | 24 Q I just asked you if you stated that. |
| 25 | comments on respect. I agree respect isn't mandatory or | 25 A I stated, "yes." |
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|  | assumed. It's earned, but in this courtroom I want | 1 Q We could do a readback if you want to make sure you |
| 2 | everybody to respect each other. | 2 answered, if Your Honor would like. |
| 3 | MR. KISE: And I certainly think I have done that, | 3 THE COURT: I am pretty sure he answered. |
| 4 | Your Honor, while I have suffered through an awful lot at | 4 MS. HABBA: He stated it. He answered the |
| 5 | the hands of the Attorney General in this case throughout | 5 question. |
| 6 | this case. It has been extraordinary in my experience, | 6 Q Mr. Cohen, do you recall in a Reuter's article being |
| 7 | extraordinary. | 7 quoted saying that "President Trump's record at the time" -- |
| 8 | THE COURT: I'm not going to second that. Yes, I | 8 "Mr. Trump's record was proof of" -- "his success was proof |
| 9 | don't know what you have or haven't. So in the courtroom, | 9 positive of Mr. Trump's character and capabilities." |
| 10 | no. I haven't seen it, but anyway, all right. We now have | 10 Do you recall that? |
| 11 | a document on. It's not in evidence and we'll ask Ms. Habba | 11 A Is that another 2015 article? |
| 12 | to proceed. | 12 Q That was dated August 28, 2015, yes. |
| 13 | Transcript continues on the following page.... | 13 A Can I see it? |
| 14 |  | 14 Q Sure. We can pull it up. This is D993-4. |
| 15 |  | 15 Mr. Cohen, you can see on the bottom that it |
| 16 |  | 16 says -- from the reuters.com website, correct? |
| 17 |  | 17 A Yes, I see that. |
| 18 |  | 18 Q You see we highlighted for you the section, "Trump |
| 19 |  | 19 lawyer Michael Cohen stated Trump's record of success was "proof |
| 20 |  | 20 positive of Mr. Trump's character and capabilities." |
| 21 |  | 21 Do you see that? |
| 22 |  | 22 A Yes, I see that. |
| 23 |  | 23 Q And do you now recall saying that in this article for |
| 24 |  | 24 Reuters on August 28, 2015? |
| 25 |  | 25 A I'm sorry. Your question is? |
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1 the reporter?

THE WITNESS: Yes, I did.
THE COURT: You have to say it into the microphone. THE WITNESS: I did.
Q Mr. Cohen, you frequently defended Mr. Trump and stated that he was the only one person who -- "person that could make
America great again"?
A Again, 2015?
Q No, 2016.
A Yes.
Q Did you do it in 2015, too?
A I did.
Q Okay. Do you remember Tweeting, "Thank you and believe wholeheartedly that only Trump will make America great again on July 13, 2016"?

A Off the top of my head, no, I don't recall that.
MS. HABBA: If we pull up the Tweet from July 13,
2016.

Q Mr. Cohen, is that your handle on Twitter or what is now X ?

A Yes.

THE COURT: Do you remember saying it to Reuters?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I said this to Reuters.
MS. HABBA: I am saying it, so I don't -- I am laying a foundation, so I don't get an objection. I will move on.

MS. FAHERTY: For the sole purpose that this is an article that captures this statement, no objection. I mean, I'll also highlight this article also says, "For Trump, exaggerating has always been a frequent impulse especially when the value of his Trump brand is disputed." If counsel wants that in evidence --

THE COURT: You can do that on re-direct or whatever.

MS. FAHERTY: This is, otherwise, a hearsay document, Your Honor. So for the purposes of that one statement --

THE COURT: Admitted just for the fact that he said it.

MS. HABBA: That's it.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
MS. HABBA: That is, frankly, the only thing I asked. "Did you say that in this article," period.
Q You said "yes, correct." Just so we are clear we have an answer, can I have a readback?

THE COURT: Did you say "yes" that you said this to
A Yes.

MS. HABBA: I would like to admit the Tweet into evidence as D995, please?

MS. FAHERTY: No objection.
THE COURT: Admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit D995.)
Q So Mr. Cohen, after Mr. Trump was elected as president, you expected to get a position in The White House, didn't you?

A Incorrect.
Q Incorrect. You didn't -- you did not think you were going to go to the White House? Is that your testimony today?

A I didn't want to go to the White House.
Q My question to you was after Mr. Trump was elected as president, you expected to be given a position in the White House; is that correct?

A I received the position that I had asked for.
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Q But you thought you were going to be named chief of staff?

A No, I did not. It was a joke that went along because of my relationship to Mr. Trump. I asked for and I received
from Mr. Trump the position of personal attorney to President Donald J. Trump.

MS. HABBA: Okay. I would like to pull up D58-3, please.
Q Mr. Cohen, was your home raided by the FBI?
A Yes, it was.
Q When the FBI raided your home, did they take your phone?
A They took both of my phones.
Q Okay. And were a series of text messages attached as a memo in opposition to a motion to reduce your sentence?

A I don't even know what you're talking about.
Q Okay. I'll represent to you that this is part of a docket number 1:18-CR-602. It is on the screen. It's in the court docket as 58-2. It is United States vs. Cohen. This is a printout attached to a memo that was submitted by the government with text messages from your cellphone that they seized by a subpoena and search warrant. Do you recognize that?

A I don't.
Q Okay. Well --
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I am just going to put an
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A That is my name.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, again, I'm going to state my objection.

THE COURT: Give me your best shot. What's your best objection?

MS. FAHERTY: First of all, we've already had testimony from the witness saying he does not recognize this document. We have log entries here indicating that there is a Person-4. Based on Ms. Habba's representation, is she asking the Court to accept the statements of these outside-of-the-court persons or Person 4 for the truth of the matter asserted?

There's inherent hearsay problems with this document. And again, I think we're devolving into a trial of what? How is it relevant to the matters at hand, Your Honor? So again, I note my objection to this document, please.

THE COURT: Any response, Ms. Habba?
MS. HABBA: Motive, Your Honor, motive and credibility. And I will show Mr. Cohen's comments and the only comments that are relevant are Mr. Cohen's comments. These are his text messages. It was submitted on the docket by the FBI. It is unsealed. There is no question of that. Unless you're -- it is not hearsay.

I'm -- if you let me finish, I can get to it, but

23 Q I don't know and it is irrelevant quite honestly.
24 A Well, it's not irrelevant.
25 Q Okay. Is your name Cohen?
objection on the record to this. It might be premature, but I'm going to state my objection now. It just seems like we're delving in a trial within a trial within a trial and re-litigating issues in the Southern District case. I'm noting my objection to this exhibit coming in. MS. HABBA: This has nothing to do with that. THE COURT: Overruled without prejudice. MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q If you see --
MS. HABBA: Can you blow up the text messages here.
Thank you.
Q It states, "Person 4, Cohen or Powell, will be chief of staff. Cohen, that's you, that's your text message. Neither. Person 4, who then? Now this is you. Really, question mark. Person 4, if Powell, she'd be the first woman." Again, they say, "not Jared. Too close." Not Jared, you're speaking about -- I assume that's Jared Kushner?
A Again, I don't recognize this document. No, I don't.
Q This is from the FBI when they seized your phone.
A Okay.

## M.

 is.you keep interrupting me which frankly, I have not done to you and had courtesy with you, which I'm getting a little frustrated. But I understand you don't like some of this testimony, so if you like, we can finish, and I can make a ruling, but you keep interrupting me.

THE COURT: Remember, everybody, there's no jury here.

MS. HABBA: I understand, but Your Honor --
THE COURT: Nobody is interrupting you particularly. I'm just telling everyone.

MR. KISE: Unfortunately, there isn't.
THE COURT: So you don't ordinarily have juries maybe.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, as to this document, again, it's impeachment. It goes to credibility. We're certainly entitled to explore all the many ways in which this witness has twisted, moved, shifted very -- you know -- so that's all we're doing and I think that's appropriate.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. Just go ahead.
MS. HABBA: Thank you. If we could scroll down.
Thank you.
Q Person 4 states, "not Ivanka, too close. You state,
keep guessing, dopey. Person 4, stop. You, question mark."
Then you state, "I will give you a hint... yes."

Do you see that, Mr. Cohen?
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A I see that.
Q Okay. The person responds, "Oh, my God. Please be true. Are you serious. You need to." And then you say --
A That's why Person No. 4 is important to know what it

Q Mr. Cohen, I'm not finished.
THE WITNESS: Sorry, Your Honor.
Q You state, "He needs to ask." Do you see that?
A I see that.
Q Okay. So in your -- first of all, I'd like to submit this into evidence. It has already been on the docket, as I said, in 1:18 CR-602, D958-3.

THE COURT: Hearsay. An out-of-court statement being introduced to prove the truth of its contents -- are you introducing it to prove the truth of its contents?

MS. HABBA: I'm proving it to impeach the witness who stated that he did not want that job. So the truth of the contents is yes, he stated in a text that he wanted that job. Solely to Mr. Cohen, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You know, I try to use commonsense when I'm applying rules. Objection overruled. It's in. I find enough of a foundation has been laid.

MS. HABBA: Thank you very much, Your Honor. Q And you were never given a position in the White House, were you, Mr. Cohen; yes or no?

1 A I was given the position --
$2 \quad Q \quad$ Yes or no?
3 A -- that I asked for. There was no shame in being personal attorney to the president.

Q We'll get to that, but my question is were you given a position in the White House?

## A I didn't ask for a position.

THE COURT: No. No. You have to answer the question.

THE WITNESS: It's a question I -- I don't know how I'm going to be given it if you don't ask for it.

THE COURT: Hold on.

## Q Okay.

THE COURT: Hold on. I don't know whether special counsel or counsel to the president or personal counsel -- I don't know whether that's in or out of the White House, but you know, other than that, you have to answer the question. If you don't know whether your job was in or out of the White House, say that; but, otherwise, you were or you weren't.
Q Mr. Cohen, did you ever go to the White House as a position as an employee for the President of the United States Donald Trump?
A No.
25 Q Thank you.
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Around that same time, you found other ways to personally benefit from your relationship with Mr. Trump, correct?

A I'm sorry. And what time frame are you referring to?
Q When the president or -- when Mr. Trump became
President Trump, you found ways to benefit from your
relationship with Mr. Trump, didn't you?
A Yes.
Q In fact, didn't you candidly admit that you previously cashed in on your relationship with President Trump?

A I don't know where you are referring to.
MS. HABBA: Could you, please, pull up Disloyal at page 341.
Q Mr. Cohen, did you write a book entitled, "Disloyal"?
A I did.
Q Is this -- does this appear to be what was the cover that was just up from Disloyal?
A I'm sorry?
MS. HABBA: Can you go back, please. You just had the cover up.
Q Is that your book?
A That is.
Q Okay. Can you, please, turn to page 341. Can you read the part where it says -- the second red box, "Was I cashing in on my relationship with Trump? Of course, I was. What would
you do." Do you read that?
A Yes.
Q Were those your words?
A My words.
MS. HABBA: I would like to admit Disloyal 341 into evidence, please.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 341.)

MS. HABBA: Thank you.
Q Back when you acted as personal counsel to President Trump, you launched a personal company known as Essential Consultants, LLC as a way of cashing in on your relationship with the President of the United States; isn't that correct?

A No, that's not correct.
Q That's not correct. Okay.
MS. HABBA: Let's turn to page 341 again.
Q It is up. Take a minute to read it. Let me mow when you're ready.
(Witness reviewing document.)
Q "After the election, I set myself up in a New York office of Squire Patton Boggs"?

A I don't see where you are.
Q At the top, it is highlighted. "A top-tier law firm located at Rockefeller Plaza as a strategic alliance which
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1 really meant the partners could brag to their clients that the personal attorney to the President of the United States, President Trump, was part of their outfit. I was also using my company Essential Consultants to take on clients like AT\&T, Novartis, Columbus Nova and BTA Bank, high-level companies desperate for insights and connections to the President and willing to pay for their assistance."

Did you read that?
A You read it.
Q Did you read it?
A I wrote it.
Q Correct. You wrote it. So those are your words, right, Mr. Cohen?

A Yes, those are my words.
Q So when you said you didn't -- my question to you was
did you launch a company known as Essential Consultants as a way
of cashing in on your relationship with the President of the United States? You did, didn't you, Mr. Cohen?
A Again, no.
Q You --
A Let me explain.
Q It is a yes-or-no question, Mr. Cohen.
A There is no --
THE COURT: You can explain on re-direct.
MS. HABBA: Thank you.

MR. HABBA: Okay. I would like to submit Disloyal, page 344 -- 341, excuse me, into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. MS. HABBA: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 341.)
Q And Essential Consulting ultimately received payments of more than $\$ 4$ million; isn't that correct?
A Correct.
Q Similarly, didn't you also enter into a strategic
alliance with Squire Patton Boggs where you were paid half a million dollars annually to further capitalize on your relationship again with President Donald Trump?
A As well as my other relationships, yes.
Q That was not the question. Your book that we just had up said Squire Patton Boggs wanted a relationship with you
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because of President Trump, did it not?
A It did.
Q Okay. And the purpose of this strategic alliance was that -- so that the partners of Squire Patton "brag to their clients that the personal attorney to the President of the United States was part of their outfit." Didn't you say that?

A I said that.
Q And today, you have significant animosity towards
President Trump, don't you?
A Do I have animosity towards him? Yes.
Q That was the question.
A Yes, I do.
Q Yes, you do.
In fact, you often go on social media, don't you, stating all of your animosity?

A I use social media.
Q That wasn't my question.
MS. HABBA: Can you, please, read back the question.

THE COURT: Read back, please. And his response was not responsive. It did not answer the question.

MS. HABBA: Thank you.
THE COURT: Readback.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

A Not all my animosity.
Q But despite your animosity, you continue to financially benefit from President Trump, don't you?
A No.
Q No?
A No.
Q Since your release from prison, Mr. Cohen, your career has shifted from the practice of law towards entertainment and media; isn't that correct?
A Can you define "entertainment and media"?
Q Sure. Mr. Cohen, you have made a career out of publicly attacking President Trump, haven't you?
A Yes.
Q In fact, a substantial amount of your present day
income is derived from publicly criticizing President Trump; isn't that right?
A Amongst other people, yes. It is not Mr. Trump only.
Q Okay.
A It discusses news of the day.
Q So your testimony -- so is that a yes or a no?
A That would be a no.
Q That would be a no, okay.
MS. HABBA: Can we, please, pull up the transcript from Galicia.
Q Mr. Cohen, do you remember the Galicia case that you
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and I were involved in?
A I was a subpoenaed witness, yes.
Q You remember that I deposed you, correct?
A I remember.
Q This is a copy of the transcript from that deposition.
Just to lay a foundation, index number 24973/2015. Galicia vs.
Donald Trump. The date on that is May 9, 2022. Do you see that?

A I see that.
Q And the time was 10:11. It was the deposition of non-party witness Michael Cohen. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q It was held at Belkin Burden Goldman in New York and I deposed you at that time. Do you remember that?

A I recall.
MS. HABBA: If you could please switch to 103:19.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q My question:
"What do you do for to raise money?"
You said, "You mean for a living?"
I said, "Yes."
You said, "Yes. I'm writing a second book which will be called The Department of Injustice. I have a podcast called Mea Culpa, top 50 podcast in the country. I am also
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| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | now placing them on YouTube and I also have on YouTube | 1 |
| 2 | Michael Cohen Reacts which is I react to the news of the | 2 |
| 3 | day or something that I find interesting in the news." | 3 |
| 4 | And my question was: | 4 |
| 5 | "What do you find interesting in the news, Mr. Cohen?" | 5 |
| 6 | You said, "Everything." | 6 |
| 7 | And I said, "Let's"-- "Now, let's go one by one. Mea | 7 |
| 8 | Culpa is the podcast, correct?" | 8 |
| 9 | You said, "Correct." | 9 |
| 10 | "What do you discuss on Mea Culpa?" | 10 |
| 11 | Your response, "Politics, sometimes cult deprogramming, | 11 |
| 12 | various different issues." | 12 |
| 13 | "Do you discuss any of the defendants in this case on | 13 |
| 14 | Mea Culpa?" | 14 |
| 15 | Your response was, "I do." | 15 |
| 16 | "Who would that be," I asked. | 16 |
| 17 | "Former President Donald Trump." | 17 |
| 18 | And I asked you how frequently just as I'm asking | 18 |
| 19 | you today. | 19 |
| 20 | "How frequently do you discuss former President Donald | 20 |
| 21 | Trump?" | 21 |
| 22 | Your response said, "Every podcast at some point has | 22 |
| 23 | him included in it." | 23 |
| 24 | Do you see that, Mr. Cohen? | 24 |
| 25 | A I do. | 25 |
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Q Okay. So when I asked you do you discuss President Trump every time you are on your podcast or social media, you said -- let me just ask the question.

Do you recall that you said that every podcast at some point has included Donald Trump?
A I said it, yes
Q Thank you.
MS. HABBA: Your Honor, this might be a good stopping point.

THE COURT: Okay. Just to make it simple, let's all be back at 11:45.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
(Continued on the next page.)
now placing them on YouTube and I also have on YouTube 1

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Ms. Habba, before you take -- the lectern has nothing do with you, but sometime prior to today, I think it was about a week ago -- no, two or three weeks ago --
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: Withdrawn. Let's start from scratch.
Towards the very start of this trial, defendant
Donald J. Trump posted on his social media account or one of them, I think it was Truth Social, defamatory, disparaging, completely untrue statements about the law clerk sitting to my right. I asked that they be taken down.

I was told ten minutes later they were taken down; turns out they weren't. They remained online and were e-mailed to thousands of people. I don't remember exactly how many. They were online for I believe it was 17 days.

At that point when I was alerted to it, I issued a limited gag order and told Counsel, the parties, that I was forbidding -- this is a quote, "All parties from posting, e-mailing or speaking publicly about any members of my staff," unquote.

I am very protective of my staff, as I believe I should be. We all know that we are in what I called at some point, an overheated environment. I don't want anybody

## killed.

It was just brought to my attention that the Associated Press reported, I wasn't there, this is the Associated Press, that Mr. Donald J. Trump just stated the following to the press outside the courtroom:
"This judge is a very partisan judge with a person who is very partisan sitting alongside him, perhaps even much more partisan than he is."

Now, it's very easy for the public, for anyone to know who that person is.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, sorry --
MR. KISE: Let me -- I hate to interrupt.
THE COURT: No, don't interrupt me. I'll give you plenty of time.

MR. KISE: Okay. I think you're under a misapprehension.

THE COURT: All right. You'll correct me.
MR. KISE: Fair enough.
THE COURT: The last time that this gag order was violated by the incorrect statement that the post had been taken down it was actually copied to another post but that wasn't taken down. I accepted the explanation that it was inadvertent, I imposed a minimal fine. This recent statement, assuming the Associated Press is correct, obviously was intentional.

| 1 | I stated the last time that a -- any future |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | violations would be severely punished. |
| 3 | I will now give Mr. Kise or anyone else who wants |
| 4 | to a chance to respond. And, again, I'll ask the question |
| 5 | why should there not be severe sanctions for this blatant, |
| 6 | dangerous disobeyal of a court order. |
| 7 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, my understanding of what he |
| 8 | said and the way I understood it and I believe Mr. Trump |
| 9 | will tell you I believe he's talking about Michael Cohen |
| 10 | sitting next to you who is even more partisan -- I mean, his |
| 11 | whole commentary out there related to Michael Cohen and his |
| 12 | credibility as a witness and so forth. We're certainly well |
| 13 | aware of the order, so that's the way I took the statement |
| 14 | and I believe that's the way it was intended, but I'll -- I |
| 15 | don't want -- I don't know any other way to say it but that. |
| 16 | THE COURT: Well, can we ask Mr. Trump to whom he |
| 17 | was referring? |
| 18 | MR. KISE: I have asked him. I mean, if you'd like |
| 19 | to ask him, I have asked and that is exactly what he said |
| 20 | because he's tired of listening. I don't want to go on a |
| 21 | speech. He's tired of listening to what he's hearing and |
| 22 | it's very partisan and you know the rest. |
| 23 | THE COURT: Sitting alongside of him. The person |
| 24 | sitting alongside of me the way I would normally interpret |
| 25 | those words is my principal law clerk. There is a barrier |

## M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba)

Page 2375
between me and the witness stand. We know from this trial and from our lives that language is sometimes precise and sometimes not very precise, sometimes ambiguous and not clear. Seemed clear to me, but I understand that could be interpreted another way. I'll take the whole matter under advisement. Let's not spend anymore time on this. Let's move ahead with the trial.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, are we on the record?
THE COURT: If we want to be.
MS. HABBA: I also want to put on the record obviously we have a lot of press and media here. They couldn't hear past there. It was brought to my attention and evidently the feed -- the tech team just informed me the feed was also not being circulated, which obviously as you know this is a very heavily press-covered matter and given that I'm President Trump's lawyer I do think that's unfortunate and unfair.

THE COURT: And, of course, I'm sorry for that, of course, and it's very unfortunate.

THE COURT OFFICER: Is the judge ready for the witness?

THE COURT: Yes.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's continue with the cross examination of Michael Cohen.
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```
        MS. HABBA: Thank you.
            (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and
        approaches the witness stand.)
    CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION
    BY MS. HABBA:
        Q Mr. Cohen, you didn't speak to anybody during your
        break, your attorney or anybody from the Attorney General's
        Office, did you?
        A I spoke to my attorneys.
        Q Did you speak to them about your testimony?
        A No.
        Q Did you speak to them about this case?
        A No.
        Q Okay. You understand that you're still under oath,
        correct?
        A Correct.
        Q Okay. Where we left off I was asking you if you made a
        career out of publicly attacking my client, President Trump.
        Do you recall that?
    A I recall it.
    Q And do you make a career out of publicly attacking
    President Trump?
    A No.
    Q Do you admit that Mea Culpa, your podcast, is
    substantially focused on President Trump?
```
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1 A Can you define "substantially?"
2 Q Sure. Earlier we read a transcript of your words where 3 you say that you speak about President Donald Trump every episode of your podcast; is that correct?

A I said that.
6 Q Okay. Do you speak about Donald Trump every day that
7 you have a podcast? Does it always mention President Trump?
A Yes.
Q Thank you.
And you authored two books that discuss and are primarily focused on President Trump. Isn't that correct?

A No.
Q No? Okay.
MS. FAHERTY: Is that a question?
Q Mr. Cohen, is this one of your books?
A Yes.
Q I'm holding up "Revenge." Do you read that the cover says "Donald Trump" on it? Is his name on the cover?

A It is.
Q Thank you.
Did you write another book called "Disloyal?"
A I did.
Q Does that also reference Donald J. Trump on the cover?
A It does.
Q And it does that because you make money off President

| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) |
| :--- |
| ( |
| Trump. Isn't that correct, Mr. Cohen? |
| 2 |$\quad$ A $\quad$ I made money off the story. 2378 .


substantial part your criticism of President Trump; correct?
A No.
Q No. But you said yes when I asked you prior, isn't that correct?

A No. You said it.
Q Okay. Let me pull up your words then, Mr. Cohen.
MS. HABBA: Can you please pull that back up again?
Q Do you remember I went through this Galicia testimony?
A I apologize. I thought you were asking about the testimony, not about the Galicia. I really need you to be specific what you're talking about. I'm very confused right now.

Q When I asked you if you substantially discuss President Trump on Mea Culpa, your podcast, is the answer yes or no?

A I said to you I speak about Mr. Trump. He is the frontrunner of the Republican party and he's in the --

THE COURT: That more than answers the question. Just answer the question.
Q How much income do you make from the podcast? A Again, going into privacy. It's irrelevant.

THE COURT: Is there an objection by the Attorney General?

MS. FAHERTY: I'll object, Your Honor.
A Thank you.
MR. KISE: What would the basis be?
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE COURT: Relevance. |
| 2 | MS. FAHERTY: What is the relevance here? He has |
| 3 | admitted he made income. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 5 | MS. FAHERTY: Thank you. |
| 6 | THE COURT: I think there is a question of motive, |
| 7 | etc. |
| 8 | MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 9 | Q Please answer the question, Mr. Cohen. |
| 10 | A Which podcast? |
| 11 | Q Let me ask you a different question. |
| 12 | How many podcasts do you have? |
| 13 | A Two. |
| 14 | Q What are the names of your podcast? |
| 15 | A Mea Culpa. |
| 16 | Q Right. |
| 17 | A And the other one is a live YouTube. |
| 18 | Q Is the live YouTube? |
| 19 | A Called Political Beatdown. |
| 20 | Q It's called what? |
| 21 | A It's called Political Beatdown. |
| 22 | Q Political Beatdown. |
| 23 | And your affiliation with politics is because of my |
| 24 | client sitting right here, isn't that correct? |
| 25 | A No. |



| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | Mr. Trump. The time period is a bit confusing. I 2386 |
| 2 | appreciate the point we're trying to make. It's a bit |
| 3 | belabored. I'm just noting my objection to how cumulative |
| 4 | this is getting. |
| 5 | $\quad$ MS. HABBA: All due respect, Your Honor, it's |
| 6 | because I'm not getting a yes-or-no answer to a very simple |
| 7 | question. |
| 8 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, I don't know -- now who is |
| 9 | giving the speeches? But yes, all we're trying to get at is |
| 10 | a simple answer to a simple question. He's already |
| 11 | testified that the majority, I think, it's not clear, that |
| 12 | the majority of his current income comes from his activities |
| 13 | associated with harassing, trashing, talking about everyone, |
| 14 | you know, President Trump. So he can affirm that if it was |
| 15 | -- the question was confined to his last year's tax return |
| 16 | did most of your income come from your activities associated |
| 17 | with harassing, trashing, talking about, podcasting about, |
| 18 | whatever it's called on the Internet, about President Trump. |
| 19 | That's it. It goes to motive, it goes to bias. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Maybe we can compromise here. |
| 21 | Ms. Habba, would you rather the question be last |
| 22 | year's tax return or would it be now? And then the rest of |
| 23 | it will basically be is it true that the majority of your |
| 24 | income, last year's tax return or not, is based on |
| 25 | commentary on Donald J. Trump? |
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MS. HABBA: That's perfectly said, Your Honor. I'll adopt that question, if I may.

THE COURT: Do you want the tax return or now? MS. HABBA: Now.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand the question?
THE WITNESS: I understand the question. It's -the issue I have is when you say "is the majority." I speak about all politicians. It's not just Mr. Trump. I speak about Mark Meadows, I speak about everything that's going on. I speak about Israel. I speak about many things. THE COURT: Okay. Next question.
Q But your testimony was today that in every podcast no matter who you're speaking about, Mark Meadows, no matter if you're speaking about --

THE COURT: Wait. Before you establish that yes, he said every podcast these days he mentions Donald Trump. MS. HABBA: Okay, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And along with what Ms. Faherty was saying, I think we can move on at this point. He makes money off his comments, his prior relationship to Donald J. Trump.
Q And are you promoting your testimony here today, Mr. Cohen?
A Am I promoting it? I will retweet something. I will
25 say I am at the trial, but I am not tweeting as per the judge's
directive not to about anything specific.
Q So is it your testimony that you have not promoted you being here in court today?

MS. FAHERTY: Objection. Promoted?
A I don't understand.
MS. HABBA: I'll pull up an exhibit.
Q Is it your testimony that you have not been promoting the fact that you're coming here to testify against Donald Trump for the Attorney General?
A I have tweeted about that, yes.
THE COURT: I'll allow that.
Q You tweeted about it and you discussed it on your podcast; correct?
A I have.
Q And during interviews on TV; correct?
A Correct.
Q And you've even stated that you intend on holding a press conference after your testimony today is finished, isn't that correct?
A I don't recall that.
MS. HABBA: Can we please pull up his tweet from October 15th?
Q Mr. Cohen, we've already established that your Twitter account is @MichaelCohen212; correct?
A Correct.
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Q And do you see that on October 15, 2023 at 8:10 p.m. somebody stated -- just for their privacy I'm not going to read it in -- "I can't wait to see the reaction of all the haters of when you do testify... hold a press conference at the end of your last day, if you can." And there are two emojis there. And your response was: "I can and I will."

Do you see that, Mr. Cohen?
A I see that.
Q Are you going to speak to the --
THE COURT: Hold on one second.
MS. FAHERTY: Again, I'm going to launch my objection to what is clearly hearsay evidence. Ms. Habba --

THE COURT: Overruled. Let's move on.
MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Your Honor, I'm just going to state that clearly she is trying to throw me off by continuously interrupting me. I would like to just continue or we're going to be here all day.

MS. FAHERTY: And I would just ask to strike the portion of the tweet that is put in front of this witness. That is not his. That is not here, cannot provide any testimony as to the statements asserted therein. Move to strike that from the record. It is hearsay, Your Honor.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, that is absurd. Mr. Cohen is giving a statement without the underlying statement which

1 is not for the truth of the matter asserted. He's responding to it. So if Ms. Habba would not have put in the bottom part, the objection would have been "it's incomplete, we don't know what Mr. Cohen is saying, I can and I will." This is completely admissible and it should be admitted without objection.

MS. HABBA: And it's for impeachment purposes, Your Honor.

MS. FAHERTY: Again, she read into the record the out-of-court statements of another person and as to Michael Cohen's statements that is on the screen, you have admitted it, you have overruled as to Michael Cohen's statement. I accept that ruling by the Court, but as to Ms. Habba reading into the record third party out-of-court statements, I ask that they be stricken from the record.

THE COURT: Well, you know, it's always funny you want to strike it from the record. It's only 200 people out there that have heard it.

Well, what if we agree, for whatever it's worth, that the only admissible aspects are starting with dot, dot, dot, hold a press conference, etc., I can and I will. I find that admissible. We will take out "I can't wait to see the reaction of all the haters when you do testify."

MS. FAHERTY: Over my objection, but I understand.
THE COURT: Okay. It's in evidence other than the
M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba)
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1 spoke to the press.
2 Q President Trump makes you relevant, doesn't he, Mr. Cohen?

A I think the circumstances make me relevant.
Q The circumstances that you used to work for the President of the United States who is the single most famous presidential candidate in this world, you speak about him every day because it makes you money, isn't that correct?

THE COURT: I thought we were moving on from this point.

MS. HABBA: Well, he's backtracking, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I didn't notice any backtracking.
MS. HABBA: I'll keep going.
MS. FAHERTY: Can we please stop with the characterizations, Your Honor, please?

THE COURT: I didn't find anything objectionable there.
Q Mr. Cohen --
THE COURT: Except I didn't notice any backtracking. Sorry. Let's just move ahead. The record says what it says.

MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Cohen, do you sell advertisement spots?
A Yes, there are advertisements in the podcast.
Q Do you sell the advertisement spots?

Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba)
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1 A I don't sell them, no.
2 Q Do you make money from advertising?
3 A Good question. Yes.
4 Q And the more advertisements you sell, the more money you make; correct?

A That's how it works.
Q Is that a yes, Mr. Cohen?
A That's a yes.
Q Mr. Cohen, would you disagree with the fact that the only reason you are relevant in the public eye is because of President Trump?

A No.
Q You take every opportunity to criticize President Trump and discuss the latest Trump-related news, don't you?
A Along with CNN, MSNBC, Fox, ABC, CBS, NBC. He's relevant in the news and that's what my podcast discusses.
$Q$ You're right. President Trump is relevant in the news and President Trump makes money for the news, doesn't he, Mr. Cohen?

A I don't understand your question, "Mr. Trump makes money for the news?"

Q Well, you sell advertising, right?
A Forme.
Q Do news media sell --
THE WITNESS: I'm so sorry, Your Honor. I don't

| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Habba) | Page 2394 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | understand. I apologize. I'm confused by your question. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Let's start again. Withdrawn, |
| 3 | whatever. |
| 4 | MS. HABBA: Sure. Let's keep going and I'll |
| 5 | continue. |
| 6 | THE COURT: And move on to another topic as soon as |
| 7 | possible. |
| 8 | MS. HABBA: I can ask it in one simple question. |
| 9 | Q Mr. Cohen, you have financial incentive to criticize |
| 10 | President Trump; correct? Yes or no, please? |
| 11 | A $\quad$ Yes. |
| 12 | Q Thank you. |
| 13 | But Mr. Cohen, you never used to speak like that, did |
| 14 | you? |
| 15 | THE COURT: Sustained. I don't know what the |
| 16 | context is. Speak like that what? |
| 17 | MS. HABBA: I'll just ask the questions. |
| 18 | Q $\quad$ Mr. Cohen, do you remember in 2015 telling Politico: |
| 19 | "The fact is Mr. Trump has built a 10 billion plus empire, |
| 20 | employs thousands of people, has worked with many leaders around |
| 21 | the globe successfully and is considered one of the best |
| 22 | negotiators in history, these are all qualifications essential |
| 23 | to being a great president." Do you recall saying that? |
| 24 | A I think we already went through this. |
| 25 | Q Yes or no? Yes or no? |
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A It's been asked and answered.
THE COURT: I don't think that particular quote was - it was?

MS. FAHERTY: I think so, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: Is the witness now objecting? This is a new level of low, even for Michael Cohen.

MS. FAHERTY: Again, if we could --
THE COURT: Sustained, sustained.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
Transcript continues on the following page....

Q Mr. Cohen, did you state on January 2017, "President Elect Trump has always stated how much he loves what he does. Anyone who spends more than four decades building a $\$ 10$ billion empire would clearly be sentimental about relinquishing control," said Michael Cohen, executive VP of the Trump Organization. "However," you said, "President Elect Trump has also stated that there is nothing more important to him than fixing the mistakes made by the previous administration and making America great again."

Did you say that, Mr. Cohen?
A I believe those are my words.
Q Do you need me to refresh your recollection?
A No. I'm looking at what it is that you put up on the screen.

Q Is that a quote with your name on it, Mr. Cohen?
A Yes. And this is from 2015 again?
Q This is from January 3, 2017, CNN.
A 2017, yes.
Q Do you recognize that as your words?
A Yes, it seems like it could be my words.
MS. HABBA: I would like to move into evidence this quote.

I don't have the number, Peter. Do you have it?
987.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'm just re-asserting the same objection I've had. In fact, I don't even know what this document is. There's not even a title. There's not even an author. I don't know who wrote it. There's been no foundation laid other than there was a statement that at some point was captured by someone in this article. If it is as to that one statement, no objections since Mr. Cohen has testified that those were his words. Otherwise, the objection remains as to the admission of this document.

THE COURT: You don't want -- you don't want the document in, but the words that have been read and he said, "Yes, I could have said that," whatever -- can we just --

MS. HABBA: I could lay the foundation and clear this up, if you'd like. I don't really -- I mean, it is for impeachment purposes, number one. I asked him if he said it. He said he didn't recollect, so I brought it up and now he recognizes that he brought -- he said it, so I'm moving it into evidence.

I'm not sure -- we're really going to be here all day, Ms. Faherty if this is what we are going to keep doing. It is a CNN article. Look at it. Here is the website. I don't even need the whole article in. I just asked Mr. Cohen if he said what he said and now he said, "yes."

THE COURT: So, then, we don't need the article.
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Q Thank you.
MS. HABBA: Can you, please, go to his quote.
Q Does this appear to be from the website from CNN?
A It appears to be, yes.
Q Okay. Do you recall saying, "I'm not so sure that
that's true and l've worked for Mr. Trump for" "now for a long time," Cohen said. "I can tell you that Mr. Trump's memory is fantastic and I've never come in a situation where Mr. Trump has said something that is not accurate."

Is that in quotations, Mr. Cohen?
A That's in quote.
Q And you understand the press, don't you, Mr. Cohen?
A I do.
Q And if you don't speak on the record, the press can't put you in quotes and relate it to your name. Isn't that true, Mr. Cohen?
A For the most part, yes.
Q In fact, you used to record yourself speaking well
about the president to the press sometimes, didn't you, Mr. Cohen?
A I don't understand your question.
Q How often did you speak to the press when you worked for President Trump?
A Every day.
Q So you know that they would never put that in quotes
unless you said it was on the record, correct, or forgot to say that it wasn't? Isn't that how it works?

A Yes, that's how it works.
Q Is that your quote, Mr. Cohen?
A That would be my quote.
Q Okay. Thank you. I don't need it into evidence. So I'm not going to, but you know what. Actually, let's move it into evidence. We laid the foundation anyway. I'd like to move that into evidence, CNN article dated November 30, 2015.

MS. FAHERTY: Same objection and -- same objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
MS. HABBA: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 987.)
Q April 15, 2011, you interviewed with ABC News and said,
"It's very, very surreal. I've been admiring Donald Trump since
I was in high school."
Do you remember saying that?
A You are going, again, have to refresh my memory.
Q Sure.
MS. HABBA: Pull it up, please.
Q Mr. Cohen?
A Yes.
Q Do you see the website on the top of this screen?
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A Yes.
Q It says, "The wayback machine." You see ABC News on the top right?

A I do.
Q Okay.
MS. HABBA: Can you get out, please zoom out. Can you put in his quote and blow it up.
Q Do you see that, again, in quotations, it has the quote that I just read? It says, "Cohen told ABC News "and then it states, "I've been admiring Donald Trump since I was in high school. He said that when he was a young man, he read Trump's 1987 book, "The Art of the Deal" cover to cover twice." Isn't that correct?
A Yes.
Q Do you doubt that those are your words, Mr. Cohen?
A No.
Q Did you read "The Art of the Deal" twice when you were in high school?

A No.
Q So were you lying?
A No. I read it twice when I was in college.
Q Oh, okay. In college. I got it. So you read, "The Art of the Deal" twice in college, right?

A I just answered that.
Q But that was before you worked for President Trump,

## correct?

A Correct.
Q Did you admire President Trump in college?
A I did.
Q Okay. Let's move on. Do you recall saying, "That's what people want to see, authenticity. I mean, who's smarter than Donald Trump. He's the greatest negotiator on the planet. He is presidential." You said that on Sean Hannity on August 4, 2015. Do you recall that?

A I recall that.
Q Do you recall that you also said on Sean Hannity on July 21, 2016, "I know Mr. Trump. I've stood by him shoulder to shoulder for the past decade. I've seen him in action. He knows how to build things. He knows how to fix things. He knows how to make them better. He knows people. He loves people. He said it himself. He's able to relate to the plumber, to the electrician, to the laborer because that's what his father Fred taught him and he learned values and that's something that a lot of politicians, specifically Hillary, does not have, is values."

Do you remember saying that to Sean Hannity?
A If you can show me. It sounds correct.
Q Sure?
A But I don't recall that that's exactly what I said.
Q Sure. We can show you.
M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MS. HABBA)
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MS. HABBA: Can you pull it up? Is it a video? We're having some technical issues.
A I'll tell you what. I'll acknowledge.
Q No, I don't want you to do that, Mr. Cohen.
A I will acknowledge that I did, so we can move this thing faster.
Q Did you say to Vanity Fair on September 26, 2017, "I'm the guy who protects the president and the family. I'm the guy who would take the bullet for the president." Did you say that?
A Yes.
Q Did you say to Hannity on March 20, 2017, "I think he's a wonderful man. I think he's going to be an amazing president. The family is just, you know, fantastic." Do you remember saying that?
A I don't remember saying that.
MR. HABBA: Do we have that one? Thank you.
Q Do you see this is Fox News article dated March 20, 2017?
A I will remain the personal attorney to Trump?
Q That's right. That's what the title is. That wasn't
my question. The question is, is this dated March 20, 2017 from Fox News?
A That's what it says.
Q Great.
THE COURT: Please, no comments on the answer.

MS. HABBA: Sorry.
Can you blow up the portion, please. Thank you.
Q Can you read that to yourself, so I don't have to read it again.
(Witness reviewing document.)
Q Do you recall now saying what I read, "I think he's a wonderful man. I think he's going to be an amazing president. The family is just, you know, fantastic." Do you remember saying that now?

A I have said that.
Q Thank you.
Do you remember on April 15, 2011 in an interview with ABC News saying, "I think the world of him. I respect him as a businessman and I respect him as a boss." Do you remember saying that?

A Where are you reading from?
Q It's not here. I'm asking you.
A From where?
Q ABC News, April 15, 2011.
A Again, I'll need to see something to refresh my memory.
Q Sure. Let me ask you. I'm going to move this along.
Would it surprise you to hear that you said to Reuters on
August 28, 2015, "Trump's lawyer, Michael Cohen, said Trump's record of success was proof positive of Mr. Trump's character and capabilities." Is that something you would say, Mr. Cohen?
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A Yes.
Q Do you believe or have any reason not to believe that you said that to Reuters on August 28, 2015?

A I don't know. I'd have to see the document.
Q Okay. We can pull it up. This is an article from
Reuters. You see that dated August 28, 2015 and the title is,
"Best President ever. How Trump's love of hyperbole could
backfire." Do you see this?
A Ido.
Q Can you, please, pull up his quote. "Trump's lawyer, Michael Cohen, said Trump's record of success was proof positive of Mr. Trump's character and capabilities." You see that? A I do.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, didn't we do this before? I thought I quoted from the below line, "For Trump, exaggerating has always been a frequent impulse, especially when the value of his trump brand is disputed." Can we move it along? I thought we did this already.

THE COURT: I believe we saw that, character and capabilities.

MS. HABBA: Did we see this one?
MS. FAHERTY: We saw that.
MS. HABBA: Okay. We'll move on.
THE COURT: Ms. Habba, how much longer will we hear this sort of -- these statements?
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MS. HABBA: I have a ton, Your Honor, but I can | 1 the same oath that you're under now, the same oath that you were |
| 2 move it along. | 2 under at your deposition, and the same oath that you were under |
| 3 THE COURT: Okay. | 3 when you spoke to Judge Pauley, you said to the Permanent Select |
| 4 MS. HABBA: Let me try - | 4 Committee that you couldn't recall President Trump ever asking |
| 5 THE COURT: They're getting cumulative. | 5 you to inflate the numbers in his Statement of Financial |
| 6 MS. HABBA: Sure. Give me a moment. I will move | 6 Condition. Do you remember that? |
| 7 it along. | 7 A I don't. |
| 8 Q Do you recall speaking to HuffPost and saying to them | 8 MS. HABBA: Let's pull it up. |
| 9 that "He is worth a lot, substantially more than what's recorded | 9 Q Mr. Cohen, do you see this document? Please go to the |
| 10 in Forbes. They don't take into account the value of the Trump | 10 front page. Does it say, "Executive Session Permanent Select |
| 11 brand, of the mark, one of the most valuable marks that's ever | 11 Committee on Intelligence U.S. House of Representatives in |
| 12 been created. He has very little debt, AAA assets." Do you | 12 Washington DC?" |
| 13 remember saying that on April 22, 2011? | 13 A Yes, it does. |
| 14 A I would need to see the document. | 14 Q It does? Does it say that you were deposed? |
| 15 Q Okay. Do you have any reason to believe -- I'm going | 15 A It says, "deposition of Michael Cohen." |
| 16 to try to move it along for the tech people. | 16 Q Were you deposed? |
| 17 Do you have any reason to believe that if they quoted | 17 A I was. |
| 18 you as saying that, that you didn't say it? Do you have any | 18 Q Were you deposed on Thursday, February 28, 2019 ? |
| 19 reason | 19 A That's what it says. |
| 20 A Sorry. That's 2011? | 20 Q Okay. At -- commencing at 9:35 a.m.? |
| 21 Q Yes. | 21 A Yes. |
| 22 A Yes. | 22 Q And you can see who was present there, correct? |
| 23 THE COURT: Yes meaning | 23 A Correct. |
| 24 THE WITNESS: That I probably said it. | 24 Q Do you remember this? |
| 25 THE COURT: Okay. | 25 A I do. |
| M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MS. HABBA) Page 2407 | M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MS. HABBA) Page 2409 |
| 1 MS. HABBA: Okay. This will be my last one, Your | 1 MS. HABBA: Can you, please, pull up 92:6. |
| 2 Honor. | 2 "QUESTION: Did Mr. Trump direct you or Mr. |
| 3 Q And in July 31, 2014, The Associated Press quoted you | 3 Weisselberg to inflate the numbers on his personal |
| 4 as saying "Mr. Trump's significant wealth, name, recognition and | 4 statement?' |
| 5 real estate knowledge makes him a viable option." Do you recall | 5 Your answer, "I'm sorry." Did he ask me to inflate |
| 6 saying that? | 6 the numbers?" |
| 7 A Again, I need to see the document. | 7 And what do you say, Mr. Cohen? |
| 8 MS. HABBA: Can we pull up the AP document, please. | 8 A I stated, "not that I recall." |
| 9 Do we have it? | 9 Q Not that I recall. That's not all you said. |
| 10 I was moving very quickly, so I feel for my tech | 10 A No. |
| 11 team right now. I'm not going to admit it into evidence. | 11 Q No. Those are your words, correct? |
| 12 Q Mr. Cohen, would you doubt if the Associated Press | 12 A Those are my words. |
| 13 quoted you, put your words in quotes, that that was something | 13 Q And did you say -- you don't dispute that that was |
| 14 you said on the record? | 14 you, correct, in front of the committee two years after you left |
| 15 A It's possible. | 15 the Trump Organization? |
| 16 Q Do you believe the AP would do that without -- | 16 A I already stated that's my deposition. |
| 17 A Again, I don't know. I haven't seen the document. | 17 Q So Mr. Cohen, as you sit here today, are you telling me |
| 18 Q Okay. Let's move on. Mr. Cohen, you testified under | 18 the truth and the Attorney General the truth or were you telling |
| 19 oath in your April 28, 2023 deposition that Mr. Trump tasked you | 19 the truth then? |
| 20 and Mr. Allen Weisselberg with inflating the numbers on the | 20 A I was in the camp of Donald Trump -- |
| 21 Statement of Financial Condition to reach a certain | 21 Q Yes or no? It was two years after you stopped |
| 22 pre-determined number for his net worth, correct? | 22 working -- |
| 23 A Correct. | 23 A You didn't ask me a yes-or-no question. |
| 24 Q But Mr. Cohen, in February of 2019, just two years | 24 Q Yes, I did. |
| 25 after leaving the Trump Organization, you testified under oath, | 25 A No, you didn't. |


up the picture and you didn't want that up. I think they got it off her website, however, so we didn't necessarily agree, but -- and we did take it down, Your Honor, but we have so many different sides and I believe -- and I may be wrong on this, but I believe it was one of the political groups or one of the pacts that had it up or left it up, but I didn't knowingly do that.

THE COURT: Well, I'm more interested right now in -- to whom you were referring as you can see and as everyone can see, first of all, my principal law clerk is very close to me. In fact, Ms. Habba would refer to the notes back and forth and even the conversations, but you and $I$, we can see each other and we're close, but not as close clearly and there is a barrier between us. So wouldn't that be at best somewhat ambiguous as to whom you are referring? Have you also -- have you -- I know I'm doing a compound question here.

MR. KISE: I was going to say am I allowed to object to the question?

THE COURT: Sure, it's a hearing.
Don't you always refer to Michael Cohen as Michael Cohen?

THE WITNESS: No.
MR. KISE: Many things, even worse than that.
MS. HABBA: Yes, Your Honor. I can confirm that,

Proceedings
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1 don't think any of us want to go that you presupposed some 2 ill motive on behalf of the only witness. I think that's a 3 dangerous place to go, not just for the sanction, but also 4 for the whole trial. I do think there is clear separation 5 between the two.

I mean, the President was talking about the witness on the stand. I mean, we can all agree we have different views about whether it's next to you or next to you with a barrier, but he's next to you. I also think that there is -- respectfully, I think there is considerable tension caused by at least -- and I'll let Mr. Robert speak to this. It is unusual in my experience to have a law secretary, a law clerk sit on the bench. I will say that. And so I think that may have created some of the confusion.

Ordinarily, in my experience, law clerks are in, you know, not sitting right next to the judge on the bench, but in the morning where we have a principal witness on the stand who has lied, lied, lied and as I said earlier this morning, I'm not going to go back into that speech, but that was the focal point of the examination today, that was the focal point of the animus today, that was the focal point of the questions today from the media today, so I just would ask you to reconsider.

I mean, certainly I don't want to have to file, frankly, yet another appeal and I just think if you want to,

| Proceedings | Page 2415 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | much worse. |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Much worse. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Would anybody else like to question the |
| 4 | witness, either from the defense or the Attorney General? |
| 5 | MR. KISE: No, Your Honor. |
| 6 | MS. HABBA: No, Your Honor. |
| 7 | THE COURT: The witness is excused. I have no |
| 8 | further questions. Thank you. |
| 9 | THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. |
| 10 | (Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness |
| 11 | stand.) |
| 12 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 13 | As the trier of fact, I find that the witness is |
| 14 | not credible; that he was referring to my law clerk, who is |
| 15 | principal law clerk, who is sitting much closer to me, who |
| 16 | doesn't have a barrier, whom I believe has been accused by |
| 17 | the defendant of being partisan or Democrat or partisan |
| 18 | Democrat. I hereby fine you \$10,000, which is on the low |
| 19 | side, to be paid within 30 days to the Lawyer's Fund for |
| 20 | Client Protection. |
| 21 | Would anybody like to say anything else? |
| 22 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, I would just object to that. |
| 23 | I mean, there is one witness on the stand, the only witness |
| 24 | on the stand. There is no evidence to the contrary other |
| 25 | than in your mind and I don't want to go to a place where I |

again, warn the defendant about the responsibilities, but I just don't think there is any clear record here.

And in order to impose sanctions generally the record needs to be very clear. And see, that's what just happened is kind of what is creating the issue is that like I'm talking to you and the notes are being passed to you about things and I think from a defendant standpoint, not from a lawyer standpoint, from a defendant standpoint, that creates an appearance that is uncomfortable and $I$ think that has created some of the confusion here.

But the President has testified that that's what he said. That's what I told you before. I think that's a fair interpretation of what's said and sanctions are not granted when there is gray. Sanctions are reserved for situations where it's a very clear situation. Last week, you exercised your discretion. I didn't object to that discretion in terms of what happened with the website. We explained it, I gave you as much detail as the Court asked for and we have since complied fully. "We" meaning the clients, have since complied fully with the order. So I would just ask that we don't create that -- another issue here because I think it has further ramifications for the overall proceeding, respectfully I do.

THE COURT: Just in response, I'll just say to me, totally separate from the trial. I mean, if you wanted to

| Proceedings | Page 2418 |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1 | have ramifications you can have ramifications, but I don't. |
| 2 | Mr. Robert? |
| 3 | MR. ROBERT: So, Your Honor, I will speak from my |
| 4 | experience in New York State. It is incredibly unusual and, |
| 5 | quite frankly, in my experience, I've never seen a situation |
| 6 | where you're literally trying the case to two judges it |
| 7 | would appear whereas there are notes that are constantly |
| 8 | being passed, rulings that it would appear that the Court is |
| 9 | in consultation with the law secretary. I think it started |
| 10 | out less frequently. Now I would say it's almost with each |
| 11 | ruling. There is some concern on part of defendants as a |
| 12 | result of that. |
| 13 | I agree with Mr. Kise's statement about opposing |
| 14 | any imposition of sanctions in this case, but I do think |
| 15 | this is as good a time as any to express the defendant's |
| 16 | concern about what would appear to be the problem or the |
| 17 | challenge that we face and that we feel like we're trying |
| 18 | the case before two judges and sometimes you appear to be |
| 19 | leaning in one direction and then you'll either receive a |
| 20 | note or there will be an eye gesture or a roll of the face |
| 21 | and something changes and it is of significant concern to |
| 22 | us, not just during examination of witnesses by us, |
| 23 | examination by the Attorney General, but also in the general |
| 24 | presentation of our case here. So I just wanted to put that |
| 25 | on the record, sir. |

MS. HABBA: I'd just like to say as I said this morning, I'm going to reiterate something. I, myself, was a law clerk. I never sat next to the judge. The judge would actually never even allow me to come in front unless to watch oral argument, but all discussions about the case were when we were researching and deciding what the order can be. I've never experienced this and I've been practicing law for quite sometime now, unfortunately, for my age, but, Your Honor, all due respect, I agree with the sentiments.

I also think that we have to remember that you made your decision on this trial before we walked in regarding liability and we've been sitting here like he said -- and, frankly, I would like to add that I've been sitting here for longer than both my cocounsel. I've been doing this with you for now a few years from the Special Proceeding and now the trial and I have had issues, numerous issues, and if we're going to make a complete record with the treatment that I've received from Ms. Greenfield from the bench, it is inappropriate. I do not like having eyes rolled, I do not like being yelled at by law clerks who did not earn the robe

And, quite honestly, Your Honor, with this sanction, it only furthers my belief that the influence that

## Ms. Habba?

1 THE COURT: Okay. and I think this is completely inappropriate.
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is happening from the bench is completely inappropriate and should stop. Thank you.

MR. KISE: Can I just say one more thing, Judge? THE COURT: Sure.
MR. KISE: Thank you. I just want to go back to where I began because the record on the sanction relates to what happened outside. The testimony you received in the courtroom and neither of those present a clear picture of the supports and award of sanctions. It's clear that it's fully subject to interpretation. I think the speaker has to be taken at face value as to what he was talking about and the entirety of the conversation related to the testimony as it usually does when these press conferences occur. They usually relate to what just happened in the courtroom and what is happening in the courtroom is Michael Cohen. And so that's top of line. Whether you and $I$ or anyone else would agree "next to you" means next to you on the left or next to you on the right, you know, everyone has a different interpretation of it and because of that I would say that the President's interpretation is a fair interpretation.
It's what he meant. He's the speaker. There is nothing in the record that would refute that, so I just again would urge the Court to reconsider the judgment of sanctions because there is just not a clear record here.

If you are concerned about future violations or a
violation then that is within your province to direct further the defendant or any of us for that matter, but I would say this record does not support any sanction and I would urge the Court to reconsider.

THE COURT: Let me address all the comments about my consultations, I'll call them. I make the final decisions. I value input from both of my law clerks. Every judge does things differently. I don't know whether there are other judges in this courtroom or city or country have their law clerks sit up on the bench. That's how I do things and I make the final decisions.

I guess I can't consult now, you know, and look terrible, wouldn't it? So I won't. I am reconsidering.

I don't think I said this before, but the idea that the statement would refer to the witness, you know, there is somebody sitting up there alongside of him, that was a partisan Democrat whatever, that doesn't make any sense to me.

MR. KISE: I mean, it -- again, in context, it certainly does, to me, given the circumstances and given the obvious animus, the admitted animus on the witness stand that Mr. Cohen has for my client. So, again, in context, I just think it has to be taken that way.

If Your Honor would like to -- I know you want to keep the schedule moving. If you want to take it under

| Proceedings |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | advisement further and reconsider, I'll just leave that to |
| 2 | you, but I just would ask that you take time and reconsider |
| 3 | this. Thank you. |
| 4 | $\quad$ THE COURT: I've been thinking about it obviously |
| 5 | for quite a while now. |
| 6 | Ms. Habba? |
| 7 | $\quad$ MS. HABBA: The only factual thing I would like to |
| 8 | add is the questions that have been out and I have obviously |
| 9 | standing there have been related to Michael Cohen's |
| 10 | testimony as well as to political matters. That has been |
| 11 | the scope of their questions. |
| 12 | Ms. Greenfield was never in the front of mind or |
| 13 | even asked about. So for what it's worth, Your Honor, I |
| 14 | have not heard that, nor would he be responding to that. It |
| 15 | just doesn't make sense. |
| 16 | THE COURT: I just need a little technical |
| 17 | assistance. I want to see the exact quote again. I'm |
| 18 | quoting in part, "with a person who is very partisan sitting |
| 19 | alongside of him, perhaps even much more partisan than he |
| 20 | is." I think the three attorneys that just spoke you've |
| 21 | made my whole point. That's what he's worried about, that |
| 22 | there is sitting alongside of me who is consulting with me. |
| 23 | MR. KISE: Respectfully, I disagree with that. The |
| 24 | person that's sitting next to you this morning is way more |
| 25 | partisan than -- well, I'm not saying -- I'm going to leave |

THE COURT: Can you hear him in the back?
Yes, I think so. The mic's picking up.
MR. ROBERT: And I'll speak louder.
So the housekeeping item was Defendant's
Exhibit 953, which was the December 12, 2018 transcript from Judge Pauly and the Defendant's Exhibit 961, which was the February 28, 2019 deposition transcript. Just want to make sure those are in evidence and if not, to move them in now just to make things run smoother and quicker this afternoon.

MS. FAHERTY: I think, Your Honor, I believe you admitted 953 over my objection and the transcript for 961 is the transcript for 961 . I don't have a basis to object here.

THE COURT: They're both in evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I'll remind the witness he's still under oath.

Let's proceed with the questioning.
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERT:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Cohen.
A Good afternoon.
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1 that alone, but the witness is the one that's obviously

2 partisan. That's been the whole point of the cross examination. That's been the whole point of the morning is that he's partisan. He's a liar, he's biased, he makes money off of -- again, you know, you heard my speech. That's really the focal point.

THE COURT: I think that -- I would disagree. The focal point was he's dishonest, not that he's some sort of partisan democrat.

MR. KISE: Well, that, too. I'll take that.
THE COURT: I've reconsidered. The ruling stands. You're fined $\$ 10,000$. Don't do it again or it will be worse. Let's get the witness in.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, just a couple of housekeeping things as we're waiting for the witness.

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. ROBERT: I just want to make sure Defendant's Exhibit 953, which is the December 12, 2018 transcript and Defendant's Exhibit 961, which was the February 28, 2019 transcript are in evidence. I don't --

MR. KISE: Your microphone.
MR. ROBERT: Can you hear me?
MS. HABBA: Yes, but we can't hear him and I'm sitting right here.

MR. ROBERT: Is this better? Can you hear he now?

Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Robert)
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A If you say so.
Q Well, it was in the Southern District of New York; correct?

A Yes.

| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) Page 2426 | M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) Page 2428 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q You had been indicted by the United States Attorney of | 1 A Correct. |
| 2 the Southern District of New York; correct? | 2 Q And at first you pled that you were not guilty; |
| 3 A Yes. | 3 correct? |
| 4 Q And the criminal proceedings relating to that | 4 A I don't recall that, no. |
| 5 indictment was in the Southern District of New York; correct? | 5 Q Well, you don't recall originally entering an |
| 6 A Yes. | 6 appearance of not guilty and then later changing it to guilty? |
| 7 Q And you remember appearing in court for those various | 7 A No. |
| 8 indictments; correct? | 8 Q Well, sir, can we agree that eventually you did plead |
| 9 A Correct. | 9 guilty? |
| 10 Q I think it was a total of, what was it, eight or nine | 10 A Yes. |
| 11 indictments that you faced? | 11 Q And, sir, the process when you were -- withdrawn. |
| 12 A Initially eight and another one for the 1001 violation, | 12 And the first set of indictments was before Judge |
| 13 so nine. | 13 Pauly; correct? |
| 14 Q So a total of nine indictments? | 14 A Yes. |
| 15 A Correct. | 15 MS. FAHERTY: Just to clarify, I think they were |
| 16 Q And the first indictments were heard before Judge | 16 counts on an indictment. I don't think it was multiple |
| 17 Pauly; correct? | 17 indictments, just for clarity's sake. |
| 18 A That's correct. | 18 Q So it was two indictments; one was for eight counts and |
| 19 Q And the second one was heard before Judge Carter; | 19 one was for one count. We understand each other? |
| 20 correct? | 20 A I understand. |
| 21 A Yes. | 21 MR. ROBERT: The witness and I get it, Ms. Faherty. |
| 22 Q And would you agree with me, sir, that when a person is | 22 Thank you. |
| 23 charged with a serious felony, it's serious? | 23 Q So it was a series of two indictments; correct, sir, |
| 24 THE COURT: That's a tautology. | 24 with nine counts? |
| 25 MR. ROBERT: It's like an Austin Powers question, | 25 A Yes. |
| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) Page 2427 | M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) Page 2429 |
| 1 but I figured I'd try. | 1 Q And when you went to court one day it was determined |
| 2 Q It's an important event in a person's life when they're | 2 that you were going to plead guilty to those counts; correct? |
| 3 charged criminally; correct? | 3 A When I appeared in court? |
| 4 A Yes, it was. | 4 Q Yes. |
| 5 Q Other than finding out they have cancer, it may be one | 5 A Yes. |
| 6 of the serious things that happens to a person. Would you agree | 6 Q And you understood the importance when you were before |
| 7 with me, sir? | 7 Judge Pauly of pleading guilty; correct? |
| 8 A There are many things. | 8 A Yes. |
| $9 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Yes or no? | 9 Q And this was not where you walked into court and five |
| 10 A I don't agree with you, no. | 10 minutes later you walked out; right? |
| 11 Q So, sir, being indicted criminally -- withdrawn. | 11 A Yes, it was. |
| 12 In your case was it serious that you were indicted | 12 Q So when you appeared on August 21, 2018 for your -- to |
| 13 criminally? | 13 plead guilty, you'd say that was a five-minute appearance? |
| 14 A Yes. | 14 A Maybe not five minutes, but it wasn't all day. |
| 15 Q You were facing jail; correct? | 15 Q I didn't ask you if it was all day. |
| 16 A Yes. | 16 A So yes, it was more than five minutes. |
| 17 Q Prison? | 17 Q It was very extensive; right? |
| 18 A Yes. | 18 A Define "extensive." |
| 19 Q You actually went to prison; right? | 19 THE COURT: Wait. Why don't you just ask him how |
| 20 A Correct. | 20 long it was. |
| 21 Q And as part of the process a person is arraigned; | 21 MR. ROBERT: I'm going to get there, Your Honor. |
| 22 correct? | 22 Q Sir, during the course of that appearance before Judge |
| 23 A Correct. | 23 Pauly, Judge Pauly made various statements to you, did he not? |
| 24 Q And as it comes a point in time when a person is asked | 24 A He did. |
| 25 whether they're guilty or not guilty? | 25 Q Including he said to you, quote, "Mr. Cohen, do you |


| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Robert) |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| 1 | understand, sir, that you are now under oath and that if you |
| 2 | answer any of my questions falsely, your false or untrue answer |
| 3 | may later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury |
| 4 | or making a false statement." And you responded, "I do, Your |
| 5 | Honor." Do you remember that? |
| 6 | MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I just want to note my |
| 7 | objection for the record, which was similarly raised earlier |
| 8 | this morning. If we are asking the witness to form legal |
| 9 | conclusions, that is entirely improper. I am noting my |
| 10 | objection, especially as we're throwing around the |
| 11 | slanderous buzzword "perjury" like we did earlier. |
| 12 | Reasserting myself, Your Honor. |
| 13 | MR. KISE: Your Honor -- |
| 14 | THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 15 | Q Answer my question, sir. |
| 16 | A Can you repeat it? |
| 17 | MR. ROBERT: Could the court reporter repeat it? |
| 18 | THE COURT: Read back, please. |
| 19 | (Whereupon, the requested portion of the |
| 20 | proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) |
| 21 | A I do. |
| 22 | Q And do you further recall Judge Pauly saying to you, |
| 23 | quote, "My questions are intended to satisfy me that you wish to |
| 24 | plead guilty because you are guilty and that you fully |
| 25 | understand the consequences of your plea." |

M. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Robert)
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Do you remember that, sir?
A Ido.
Q This was a serious day; correct?
A Yes.
Q And Judge Pauly took this incredibly seriously, did he not?

A You're asking me to figure out what Judge Pauly thought?
Q So you don't think Judge Pauly took the proceedings
seriously? You don't know?
A I'm not in a position --
MS. FAHERTY: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Yes or no?
Q Did you take him seriously?
A Asked and answered, but yes.
Q What was asked and answered? Did you take him seriously?

A Yes.
THE COURT: You did ask him that and he did answer
that.
Q And, sir, during the course of those proceedings Judge
Pauly asked you over 50 questions about the crimes that you are about to plead guilty to, didn't he?

A I don't know the number.
Q Well, sir, I mean, I can spend the next two days going
through it, but in the document that's in evidence as
Defendant's Exhibit 951, Judge Pauly asks you over 50 questions.
Do you have a reason to quarrel with me when I say that?
A I don't.
Q And sir, you understood that Judge Pauly was asking you
those 50 questions because he needed to satisfy himself that you were in fact guilty; correct?

A I don't know what Judge Pauly was thinking.
Q Well, it's not about what he's thinking. He said to
you while you were in court that day to plead guilty, quote:
"My questions are intended to satisfy me that you wish to plead
guilty because you are guilty and that you fully understand the
consequences of your plea." If you want to look, sir, it's on page eight, lines one through five.

MS. FAHERTY: Is that a question?
MR. ROBERT: It is. I asked him -- we can have the question read back, Your Honor.

THE COURT: While he's looking, sidebar, please. Sidebar or front bar I like to call it.
(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion is held at the side bar.)

THE COURT: Read back of the last question, please. (Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
Q It's not about what you think Judge Pauly meant. He
. Cohen - Plaintiff - cross (Robert)
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1 explained to you why he was asking all those questions, did he 2 not?
3 A He did.
4 Q And in response to those questions, you lied to him; 5 true?
6 A That's true.
7 Q And then about a month or so later there are additional charges that are brought against you; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And at that point you appear before Judge Carter; correct?

A Correct.
Q And at that point you enter a guilty plea before Judge Carter; correct?

A Correct.
Q And that was for you pleading guilty to lying to Congress; correct?

A Correct.
Q And on that occasion Judge Carter asked you over 76
questions to satisfy him that you were guilty. Do you remember that?

A I don't remember the number.
Q Well, do you remember that he asked you several questions to satisfy himself that you were in fact guilty?

A Yes.


| M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 2438 | M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 2440 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A Correct. | 1 A I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question? |
| 2 Q And you pled guilty to that in December of 2018, | 2 MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I could have the |
| 3 correct? | 3 question read back. |
| 4 A Correct. | 4 THE COURT: Readback, please. |
| 5 Q And then, sir, you were sentenced, correct? | 5 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was |
| 6 A Yes. | 6 read back.) |
| 7 Q And you reported to prison some time thereafter, | 7 A Correct. They did not tell me. |
| 8 correct? | 8 Q To inflate the numbers? |
| 9 A Correct. | 9 A To inflate the numbers overtly. |
| 10 Q So after you lied at your time that you pled guilty, | 10 Q Sir, that was the sworn testimony you gave to Congress, |
| 11 you lied to Judge Pauley at your sentencing. You then lied to | 11 correct |
| 12 Congress couple of months after you lied at your sentencing, | 12 A That's correct. |
| 13 didn't you? | 13 Q And this morning when you were asked if that was a lie, |
| 14 A I don't recall. | 14 you said, "Yes, it was a lie." That was your testimony? |
| 15 Q Sir, this morning you were shown testimony that in | 15 A Correct. |
| 16 February of 2019, you lied to Congress. That was the testimony. | 16 Q So you lied to Congress in February of 2019, correct? |
| 17 Do you remember that? | 17 It's not that hard. |
| 18 A I remember it being asked. | 18 A It is because -- |
| 19 Q Yes. And sir, that is Defendant's Exhibit 961 in | 19 Q Sir, yes or no? |
| 20 evidence and that was in February of 2019. That was less than | 20 THE COURT: All right. Just questions. |
| 21 three months after you had already pled guilty to lying to | 21 Q Sir -- |
| 22 Congress. You then lied to Congress in between the time you | 22 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 23 were sentenced and going to prison, true? | 23 Q February of -- let's do it the easy way. You pled |
| 24 A What -- and what page are you on? | 24 guilty in December of '18, correct? |
| 25 Q I'm not on a page. I'm asking you a question, sir. | 25 A I did. |
| M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 2439 | M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. ROBERT) Page 2441 |
| 1 MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, can I have the question | 1 Q Can we agree that from February of '19 to December of |
| 2 read back, please? | 2 '18 is three months? |
| 3 THE COURT: Sure. Readback, please. | 3 A Yes. |
| 4 MR. ROBERT: Thank you. | 4 Q So can we agree that within three months -- |
| 5 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was | 5 THE COURT: From when to when? |
| 6 read back.) | 6 MS. FAHERTY: I was a little confusing for me, too. |
| 7 A I don't know if what I had said here is a lie. | 7 MR. ROBERT: Even better. |
| 8 Q You testified earlier, sir, that it was a lie. | 8 Q Within two months. |
| 9 A Off of that sentence. | 9 A What two months are you now referring to? |
| 10 Q So, sir, did -- the question on page 149: "Did Mr. | 10 Q Sir, in December of 2018, you pled guilty in Judge |
| 11 Trump direct you or Mr. Weisselberg to inflate the numbers for | 11 Pauley's courtroom to a smorgasbord of crime, correct? |
| 12 his personal statement. Answer, "I'm sorry." "Did he ask me to | 12 A That's how he characterized it, yes. |
| 13 inflate the numbers? Not that I recall, no." | 13 Q Those were his words, Judge Pauley's, correct? |
| 14 That was your testimony under oath to Congress in | 14 A Yes. |
| 15 February of 2019; yes or no? | 15 Q Those weren't my words. Those were Judge Pauley's. |
| 16 A No. | 16 A Correct. |
| 17 Q That's not the testimony? | 17 Q One of those smorgasbord crime was lying to Congress, |
| 18 A That is the testimony. | 18 correct? |
| 19 Q That is -- | 19 A Correct. |
| 20 A It is not a lie. | 20 Q Now we fastforward and It thank Ms. Faherty for helping |
| 21 Q That's what you said? | 21 me with the math. We are now two months ahead. |
| 22 A That is not a lie. | 22 So two months after you plead guilty to lying to |
| 23 Q So your testimony is that Mr. Trump and Mr. Weisselberg | 23 Congress -- I don't know why you keep looking to the left. |
| 24 did not tell you to inflate the numbers, correct; yes or no, | 24 A I'm staring where I want to stare, sir. |
| 25 sir? You can't have it both ways. | 25 THE COURT: He can look where he wants. Come on. |
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$1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ And accept that information from you?
2 A Yes.
3 Q In connection with the -- your sentence in the Southern District of New York?

A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'd like to pass forward a document I've premarked as PX 3299. I put it up, the cover page, on the screen. I'll just ask Mr. Cohen if he recognizes this document.
A Ido.
Q What do you recognize this to be, Mr. Cohen?
A This is the sentencing memorandum on my behalf that was produced by myself and guy Petrillo of Petrillo, Klein \& Boxer, my criminal attorneys at the time.

Q And is it your understanding that this sentencing memo was, in fact, considered before the Southern District of New York pronounced sentence on you in connection with the crimes to which you pled guilty in the Southern District of New York? A Yes.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this document.

MR. ROBERT: Objection, Your Honor. It's
bolstering the witness. Unless in these 33 pages it says that he's actually not guilty, it is completely irrelevant.
And I haven't read these 33 pages, but I don't think Mr.
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statements about, you know, why I think the punishment is too severe or why I think my circumstances are different, that's irrelevant and it is completely admissible under the prior consistent statement exception.

And I can cite the Court to the Melendez case, 54 AD2d 864. It is a First Department case. The Giordano case, 9 AD2d 947. It is a Second Department case. People v. McKlean, 69 NY2d 426 which is a Court of Appeals case. I mean, I probably have 20 here, but you told me before you only need a couple, so -- but -- but unless the statement is fully consistent with what this witness has testified to here in open court, meaning he told Judge Pauley or he wrote something in court back then that says "I'm not guilty of these crimes," it cannot come in under the prior consistent statement exception.

It's just completely inadmissible. It doesn't satisfy any of the prerequisites and we haven't had a chance to go through that memorandum, but we can. We could take a few-minutes break and look, but I doubt seriously that there is anything in there that's consistent with what this witness has said today about him not being guilty because Judge Pauley never would have accepted a plea under those circumstances. It is not possible.

MS. FAHERTY: He can speak for the judge now.
MR. KISE: I can speak to --
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1 Petrillo in the sentencing memo told Judge Pauley that the
client lied and he is actually not guilty of the crime. So I don't see what the relevance of this would be.

MR. KISE: Right, Your Honor. The rule for admissibility of prior consistent statements, which is a very narrow limitation on the hearsay rule, is the statement has to be characterized as a recent fabrication or perjury. We satisfied that and the same statement was made at a time pre-dating the statement in court. So it has to be the same statement. It can't be a different statement. It can't be an inexplanatory [sic] statement.

If there are statements that say in there, "I am not guilty," "I'm pleading guilty even though I'm not guilty," that might be acceptable. We don't know because we haven't been able to go through it. Anything in there about excuses why he did the crime or why he thinks the penalty is too much or why there's extenuating circumstances, that's all improper rehabilitation. It is all complete hearsay. If he said at the time, which clearly he did, because Judge Pauley would never have accepted the plea. I've been to enough sentencings.

So if he said at the time "I'm not guilty," "I'm not guilty," "I'm not guilty" like he said here today under oath, then that statement could come in as a prior consistent statement. But just general statements or
M. COHEN - PLAINTIFF - REDIRECT(MS. FAHERTY)
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MS. FAHERTY: To the extent that we need a rule that supports why this evidence is admissible, 4514, Your Honor, under the CPLR, respectfully, this is perfectly fair game, perfectly admissible and perfectly in line with the evidence these attorneys have put forth before this Court that you and your liberal discretion have permitted with regards to a sentencing of Mr. Cohen.

In fact, they moved to admit and you accepted the sentencing memo submitted by the federal government in that action. So, Your Honor, respectfully, this is appropriate and I have moved this into evidence despite the objection.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, our document was introduced as impeachment. This document is being introduced to attempt to rehabilitate an un-rehabilitatable witness and the law is just very clear on this. This is not subject to debate. So unless the statement is consistent with the testimony presented in this courtroom, consistent, not inconsistent, not maybe, not moving around it, it has to be fully consistent.

I think the example I gave when we had this issue with Mr. Larson is if the witness says today that the light is green and he's challenged that that's a recent fabrication, then you can introduce prior statements that say where the witness said under oath the light was green. Fine, but here, you have a situation where the witness is
saying on the stand the light is green and the prior statements just like with Mr. Larson are the light is red, I don't know, maybe, I'm not sure, all those things. Those aren't prior consistent statements.

This is improper bolstering with hearsay. The prior consistent statement exception to the hearsay rule is one of the narrowest exceptions that there is. So the idea they can just bring something in because we introduced a sentencing memorandum for an entirely different person, this isn't -- the law doesn't work that way. The statement must be fully consistent and the Court must make a finding specifically on the record that it is fully consistent.

So if the government would like to point out exactly where in that memorandum Mr. Cohen said, "I'm not guilty of this crime", "I'm pleading guilty even though I'm not guilty," and "I plan to lie to Judge Pauley by answering his questions with I'm guilty because I'm pleading guilty because I am," fine. But I doubt anywhere in that memorandum is a statement consistent with what this witness has testified to in this courtroom.

THE COURT: You doubt that anywhere in the memorandum is a statement --

MR. KISE: I can't imagine again. Look, I can't speak for Judge Pauley, but I can speak to all the federal sentencings I attended and I can tell you that no federal

1 is prior inconsistent statement. They can't impeach their 2 own witness with prior --

THE COURT: Ms. Faherty, is that the right provision?

MS. FAHERTY: It is a prior consistent statement. This counsel is trying to repeatedly impeach the witness with his statements. He has made prior consistent statements. Under the rules of evidence, that is permissible rehabilitation. That is an exception to hearsay.

THE COURT: Am I correct that that's not the section you were referring to?

MS. FAHERTY: I pulled the wrong section, Your Honor. My apologies.

MR. KISE: Again, Your Honor, it has to be a prior consistent statement. The case law is -- from the Court of Appeals on down is very clear on this. It can't just be any statement. It can't be a statement that's general subject matter. It can't be a statement that's inconsistent. It has to be the light was green, the light was green. It's really simple. So if he has -- if they have evidence where this witness said to Judge Pauley in that proceeding before "I'm not guilty" and that's in that sentencing memorandum, then that would be fair game; but it is not if it's just statements about why it's lenient or why I think I shouldn't
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1 judge that I ever encountered would take a plea from an
individual who stood in front of him and said, "Yes, I'm pleading guilty just because I'm not really guilty." That's the purpose of the colloquy. That's the purpose of this as Mr. Robert pointed out, the purpose of the 55 or 58 or 78 questions. I think state judges here, my experience is the same. In fact, in New York State court, the defendant has to allocute, specifically allocute. It is even different than federal court.

THE COURT: What was the CPLR section to which you referred?

MS. FAHERTY: 4514, Your Honor.
THE COURT: It is very short. Let me read it out loud because I want to read it anyway. "In addition to impeachment in the manner permitted by common law," I studied that in law school, "any party may introduce proof that any witness has made a prior statement inconsistent with his testimony if the statement was made in a writing subscribed by him or was made under oath."

MR. KISE: So now they're proceeding under the impeachment rule, the inconsistent statement? They're going to impeach their own witness with prior inconsistent statements. I mean, that's extraordinary.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor --
MR. KISE: The only possible basis it can come in
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1 be punished, the usual --
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, New York Guide to Evidence 8.31, a prior consistent statement: "A statement of a witness made prior to his or her testimony and consistent with that testimony is admissible when offered to rebut an express or implied claim of recent fabrication and when the statement was made prior to the circumstances supporting that claim." I believe that is sufficient, Your Honor.

MR. KISE: So Your Honor --
THE COURT: Last word.
MR. KISE: First let me applaud Ms. Faherty for making something that's really mundane very interesting. I'll give her that.

MS. FAHERTY: I tell you.
MR. KISE: The words that she read are exactly what we're saying. We're actually saying the same thing. They have to be prior consistent statements. They have to be the light was green, the light was green. "I'm not guilty," "I'm not guilty." It can't be "I'm not guilty," "I'm guilty." It can't be "I'm guilty, but I think I should have these extenuating circumstances and now you say I'm not guilty." They have to match up. It has to be identical. It has to match up. So I just think that's the rule.

It's not really difficult. The case law is very

1 clear. This is a very narrow exception, very narrow

2
she objected to. That was her own objection to my question.
THE COURT: Let's go back a step. It is an out-of-court statement, right? Are you introducing it to prove the truth of its contents?

MS. FAHERTY: Sorry, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Are you introducing it to prove the truth of its contents?

MS. FAHERTY: No.
THE COURT: Then what are you introducing it for?
MS. FAHERTY: To rebut the very testimony that they solicited on cross-examination which --

MR. KISE: No. She's introducing it to prove the truth of its contents meaning on a prior date when he didn't have a reason to -- recent fabrication, that he made a statement fully consistent, identical statement, an identical statement. That's the purpose of the prior consistent statement exception to the hearsay rule.

So yes, it is absolutely being offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted. The matter asserted is the contents of the statement, that his statement then and his statement now are fully consistent. So you can't introduce a prior consistent statement for any other reason than to demonstrate that the two are identical.

So it is definitely being offered to prove the truth of the matter asserted and the cases I've
M.
exception and they're trying to shoehorn in a whole litany
of sentencing considerations, none of which $I$ am sure tell
Judge Pauley "I'm not actually guilty of these crimes."
THE COURT: Mr. Robert, quickly, if anything.
MR. ROBERT: I know when to not speak.
THE COURT: I think we're making a mountain out of a molehill. We've been spending hours and hours about the witness' credibility or lack thereof. What's sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander and I think we established that. The prosecution sentencing memorandum came in. This is coming in. I don't even know what's in it. We're arguing about --

MR. KISE: Respectfully, Your Honor, that's the whole point. In order to admit it, you have to make a finding that the statements are identical, that they match up, that they're both consistent. The light was green the, light was green. That's the requisite finding under the case law.

MR. ROBERT: Maybe the solution is if the government wants to show us in here where he says, "I'm really not guilty," we will look at it.

MS. HABBA: I think they should pull out a specific portion. I think if it's allowed in, it should be a specific portion as I did, not the entirety. That's what
cited -- again, I can go on with many more. I know. This is a very narrow exception, very narrow.

THE COURT: Anything else to say before I rule one way or the other? I have a coin here I can flip, you know.

MS. FAHERTY: I think you have the complete wherewithal to understand the basis for having this document in front of you, Your Honor. I think you understand the speechifying that has occurred unnecessarily. I would like to move along from this point. Mr. Cohen has testified as to what this document is, what information was contained in the sentencing memo, and how, if at all, it impacted sentencing.

THE COURT: I don't remember him testifying to what is in this sentencing memo.

MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: That's the point.
MS. FAHERTY: Let's go through it then. Your Honor, I think if I have to go through it, it is a waste of this Court's time.

MR. KISE: No. No.
MS. HABBA: That's how this works.
MS. FAHERTY: It is just such a waste of the circus show that this has divulged into.

MR. KISE: The rules and the case law from the Court of Appeals on down are a waste of time? I realize
that is the government's position. That's been their position with President Trump's case from the beginning, that the rules don't apply, the law doesn't apply, nothing applies, COVID rules don't apply, everything else doesn't apply.

MS. FAHERTY: Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: No, you had your say. So the point is there are laws and there are rules. If they want to make a specific proffer to the Court that there are specific statements that are identical, the light was green, the light was green and they're identical and the Court finds that these specific statements are identical, then they can offer to introduce those. But to just throw the memo over the top and say, "I want that in there" because I know where this is headed. I've been down this road before. Born at night, but not last night.

What they'll do is they'll throw it in there and then later pull out something in a memo and say, "Look. Look. Here. This is in evidence now." No. No. It doesn't operate that way, prior consistent statement exception. It is very, very, very, very narrow. This is not a speech. This is a recitation of the law.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, briefly. As we know, Ms. Faherty liked to jump up when I was getting up and asked me what statement I was trying to put in, not an entire
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| document, not even an entire article. I would like to know what statement she has in this 33 pages, please. Where is it referenced? <br> MS. FAHERTY: "Mr. Cohen, in the sentencing memo on page three, paragraph C , is there a subtopic heading, "The Nature of the Offense Conduct?" "Yes." <br> MS. HABBA: What? <br> MR. ROBERT: What? <br> MS. HABBA: We must be looking at -- <br> MS. FAHERTY: Page 13, paragraph C. <br> MR. KISE: Should we do this in the presence of the witness line by line. <br> MS. HABBA: Perhaps not. <br> MS. FAHERTY: Which way would you like it, Counsel? <br> MR. KISE: With the witness not here and we can go through it, the way it is contemplated. <br> THE COURT: Hold on. <br> (Continued on the next page.) | $1 \quad Q \quad$ Did that end at some point? <br> A Yes. <br> Q When? <br> A It ended in -- well, it ended with my interview with <br> George Stephanopoulos where I stated that my wife, my daughter, <br> my son have my first loyalty, as well as my country, and that I <br> would not be the villain of his story. <br> $Q$ Do you recall about when that was? <br> A 2018, maybe 2019. <br> Q And can we pull up Defendant's Exhibit D 961 and turn to page 149 ? <br> A Yes. <br> Q You were asked a number of questions on this particular page with regard to your prior testimony. Is that fair, <br> Mr. Cohen? <br> A Correct. <br> Q Ms. Habba asked you certain questions; correct? You recall that? <br> A Yes-or-no question, yes. <br> Q Mr. Robert asked you a number of questions; fair? <br> A Yes. <br> Q I believe I heard you wanted to offer an explanation that you may not have been permitted to fully testify on. <br> 24 Would you like to provide an explanation that you were <br> 25 not previously able to provide in response to Counsel's |
| M. Cohen - Plaintiff - redirect (Faherty)  <br> $\mathbf{1}$ THE COURT: Ms. Faherty, I don't know what's in <br> 2 here. You'll have to go through it. <br> 3 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, if I may? <br> 4 THE COURT: If you want to not -- <br> 5 MS. FAHERTY: -- on the issue and I could submit a <br> 6 letter. It might be more helpful to the Court because <br> 7 Counsel I don't think will actually allow me to get through <br> 8 this and I think we can just move it along. <br> 9 THE COURT: Okay. Sure. <br> 10 MR. KISE: That's fine if they want to submit <br> 11 something later after the witness. <br> 12 MS. FAHERTY: Okay. <br> 13 Q $\quad$ Mr. Cohen -- <br> 14 THE COURT: By the way, I don't want spend to much <br> 15 time on this. We keep micromanaging these little pieces of <br> 16 a big case. <br> 17 MR. KISE: That's why we're here. <br> 18 MS. HABBA: He just admitted he didn't tell him to <br> 19 do what he said he did. We're done. We can move on. <br> 20 THE COURT: You reserve the right to put it in a <br> 21 memo why this should come in. <br> 22 Q Mr. Cohen, you were asked on cross about numerous <br> 23 positive statements that you made about Donald J. Trump. Do you <br> 24 recall that? <br> 25 A I do. | questions earlier on this particular document and this particular page? <br> MR. KISE: Objection. Calls for a narrative. She needs to ask a specific question about what it is she is seeking. This is a witness on direct, so she needs to ask a specific questions, but appropriate questions, not a leading question and not a question that's open-ended. Just tell us what you know. It needs to be a specific question. <br> THE COURT: Interesting issue. I don't think it does. I think you can ask the witness is there anything else you need to tell us or want to tell us. <br> So answer the question, please. <br> A When I was asked the question did he ask me to inflate the numbers, he did not specifically state "Michael, go inflate the numbers." As I stated in my books, and I've stated publicly, Donald Trump speaks like a mob boss and what he does is he tells you what he wants without specifically telling you. So when he said to me "I'm worth more than five million. I'm actually worth maybe six, maybe seven, could be eight," we understood what he wanted. <br> So when they asked me did Mr. Trump direct you or Mr. Weisselberg to inflate the numbers for his personal <br> 23 statement and I stated "no, not that I recall, no," that's what <br> 24 I was referring to. <br> 25 Then, if you continue down as opposed to just cherry |


arguably equivocal statement by one witness who I don't consider the key witness. And there is enough evidence in this case to fill this courtroom. So denied, but you can make it again at the end of plaintiff's case.

MR. ROBERT: We will. Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: Since I'm denying it now, I don't think it's going to change, although actually all the other evidence presented, I assume. So I understand where it's coming from, but I hope you understand where I'm coming from.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: All right. Witness is excused. Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness stand.)

THE COURT: All right. Counsel are going to stay, but everyone else, 10:00 a.m. tomorrow. Have a good rest of the day. That's it for the day, except for counsel.
(Whereupon, the trial proceedings are adjourned to October 26, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, we have no further questions. But, once again, I renew my request for a directed verdict again because Mr. Cohen in addition to now admitting to perjury several times, unequivocally has said that Mr. Trump and Mr. Weisselberg did not direct him to inflate the numbers and even this purported redirect examination has done nothing to change the facts that the government's key witness has fallen flat on his face and there is no way they can make out a prima facie case.

So, again, at the close of the evidence in the plaintiff's case we'll make a formal motion for directed verdict, but at this point I once again must strenuously request that this Court grant relief and end this case once and for all on behalf of all defendants, sir.

THE COURT: Absolutely denied. This case has evidence, credible or not, all over the place. There is a 200-page complaint, there is a 35 -page summary judgment decision referring all sorts of evidence.

The fact that one witness, who I don't necessarily consider from what I've seen to be a key witness, I don't think there is a key witness, who said something which he has now -- however you want to categorize it, taken back. That's absurd, Mr. Robert. I mean, you and I respect each other and I -- you're a very good lawyer, but no way, no how this case is being dismissed because of an equivocal --
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1 sort of stone faced. I think -- I'm not going to comment on 2 that. I think -- and if I thought there was a violation of an order I probably would have reacted. But in all events, sanctions must be based on clear conduct. This wasn't clear conduct. The conduct is not - is susceptible to an innocent explanation and for that reason I would ask the Court to reconsider.

Secondly, though, and I think as importantly, if not more importantly, accepting the Court's premise that it was in fact a reference to your law secretary, I think that raises even more problematic considerations for the Court to take into account. These are significant First Amendment considerations when we're talking about the kind of statement that was made yesterday. Again, I don't accept -and President Trump as you can tell from his testimony doesn't accept the premise that in fact he was referring to anyone other than Michael Cohen. But to the extent that the Court is saying that he does -- he was, then barring a defendant from commenting on his perception of fairness in a proceeding is simply, you know, based on his own observations is not, respectfully, constitutional.

I mean, these perceptions of the defendant are perceptions of what he is observing in open court. The case itself it's fair to say -- I mean, we can debate whether it's fully political or somewhat political, but in any
event, it's political.
The Attorney General has made numerous public comments both prior to bringing the case and during the case about getting Trump and a political agenda here and the defendant is the leading candidate it seems even in New York now for the presidency of the United States. So he is entitled to comment even beyond what a normal event would be. I mean, the restrictions on political speech become much more - much greater consideration. So the notion that he cannot comment on the fairness of a proceeding with even a general reference to what he sees and perceives legitimately as bias is constitutionally infirmed.

I mean, this violates the First Amendment. His perception is based on him sitting here in the courtroom, watching your law secretary make comments to you, pass notes to you. He's -- he said to me numerous times when I'm arguing because when I'm arguing I'm focused on the witness or on yourself, but he's always said every time you get up to argue the law secretary is writing notes advocating. These are his perceptions.

You, Your Honor, may disagree with those perceptions, but these are his perceptions. He is a defendant in a proceeding where his business is being attacked and he's entitled to comment fairly on what he perceives in open court. Your law secretary's presence on

1 the bench is open and public. That's a point that he has
2 made and I have to echo anyone can observe that. So him
3 commenting on that fact is well within the bounds of his
4 First Amendment rights. Him commenting on the bias that may
5 result from that is well within his First Amendment rights.
6 He did not name anyone yesterday. Again, he was talking
7 about Michael Cohen. But accepting the Court's premise
8 there was no one named and all defendants in any proceeding
9 have a right to comment on what they believe is a perceived
10 lack of fairness or on some impediment to receiving a 11 complete and full, fair trial.

We don't have a jury. You've heard him comment on that before. The system doesn't allow him the jury under the circumstances and so that's also problematic and he's free to comment on that as well. But limiting a defendant's right to comment appropriately on observations that are made in open court, you know, again, I will note that everyone can observe your law secretary on the bench and when the photographers that you have let in here come in they're photographing the bench. There is no attempt to shield that public fact from the photographers that the media is covering this on a daily basis, albeit a little less today, but on a daily basis.

So this is open, public and the defendant has a First Amendment right to comment on what he believes and

1 perceives I think legitimately from his perspective as a 2 potential source of bias and limiting that runs afoul of the 3 First Amendment in general with respect to defendants, but 4 also even more under the heightened scrutiny of restricting 5 and placing prior restraints on political speech of a 6 presidential candidate.

There was no reference to anyone by name, there was nothing more than an observation about proximity and bias. Both of those are -- fall well within the fair bounds of the First Amendment comment, again, for any defendant but certainly for a defendant who is a candidate for President of the United States.

So, respectfully, Your Honor, I'd ask you to reconsider your order, one, because the President as he testified, the only evidence was referring to Michael Cohen; and two, because as stated the order is constitutionally infirmed.

To the extent, Your Honor intends to proceed then with the order and affirm the order, then I would ask respectfully that you reduce it to writing so that it can be appealed and I would also ask that at some point in the proceedings during a break that we be allowed since photographers have been in here to photograph the empty bench so the Appellate Court has a record of exactly what the setting is with where you're sitting, where Michael

1 Cohen would have been sitting, where your law secretaries 2 are sitting so that there is a full record there. I hope that that won't be necessary. I think that -- I know that President Trump understands your order and to the extent you want to reaffirm that order with him when he's back here that's certainly acceptable and appropriate to continue to admonish the defendant of the bound of the order, but I don't think that the order entered yesterday survives constitutional scrutiny, respectfully. Thank you, Judge. MR. AMER: Your Honor?
THE COURT: I was going to ask the plaintiff if any Counsel wants to say anything.

MR. KISE: One thing I would also point out before Mr. Amer speaks. My understanding of the CDC guidelines and based on their representations is individuals who are returning after five days should be wearing masks. Again, no one seems to be concerned about this on the Attorney General's side and it was represented to us that was going to happen and apparently it was not.

MR. AMER: That's not true. The CDC guidelines say if you test negative over a 48-hour period you no longer have to wear a mask and I'm within the guidelines, so I choose not to wear a mask.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else you want to say? MR. AMER: Yes. I want to address Mr. Kise's

And I would say his second argument suggests that Mr. Trump doesn't believe that your gag order is valid in the first place and has bearing on then whether Mr. Trump took it seriously and who he was referring to during his press conference.

I will also say if you watch the video of his press conference he was asked multiple times by the press who he was referring to and he refused to answer that question, so that's all I have to say. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, one, so I don't know where to begin. First of all, I'm not sure that the Attorney General has a dog in this hunt, but I'll leave that to you, number one.

Two, the Attorney General is oversimplifying the constitutional analysis, not surprisingly, because doing that favors their blurring of the lines position. So irrespective of whether we believe the gag order is constitutional facially, certainly there is a distinct difference between facial unconstitutionality and as applied unconstitutionality.

The argument that I'm presenting to Your Honor as a basis for reconsideration relates to irrespective of whether the gag order itself is constitutional. The question that hasn't been raised and need not be reached. The question is as applied, your application of that order under these
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| 1 | arguments he makes. Two points, Your Honor. The first |
| 2 | point is request for you to reconsider the sanction order. |
| 3 | I would just say I haven't heard anything that justifies |
| 4 | granting reconsideration. Your Honor put Mr. Trump on the |
| 5 | stand under oath. You heard his testimony, you assessed his |
| 6 | credibility that's within your purview and you made your |
| 7 | decision which I think should stand. Nothing new or |
| 8 | different has been brought to this Court's attention as far |
| 9 | as I could tell. |
| 10 | With respect to Mr. Kise's second argument, it |
| 11 | seems to be a challenge to this Court's gag order. I would |
| 12 | suggest, Your Honor, that there is absolutely nothing |
| 13 | improper and everything appropriate with your gag order. |
| 14 | The First Amendment has limits, Your Honor, carefully narrow |
| 15 | tailored the gag order to address the safety of your staff. |
| 16 | You did not prevent Mr. Trump from attacking or criticizing |
| 17 | you. You did not limit Mr. Trump from criticizing the |
| 18 | Attorney General, at least one other judge. A federal judge |
| 19 | in Washington, D.C. has issued a similar order to protect |
| 20 | her staff. That gag order is appropriate and based on the |
| 21 | assumption of Mr. Kise's second argument, which is that |
| 22 | Mr. Trump was referring to your law -- principal law clerk, |
| 23 | he is asking that you vacate your gag order. We think there |
| 24 | is no reason for you to do so and that gag order is |
| 25 | perfectly appropriate. |

circumstances, whether that's constitutional and my argument is that it is not because it is impinging upon the right of any defendant to comment on anything that happens in open court in full view of anyone, the media, anyone in this room that impacts the adjudication of the proceeding. It's not naming anyone, it's not a post on a website, it's not a disparaging comment.

And setting aside whether those distinctions have constitutional meaning here we're talking about a very narrow and specific question as applied to the comments that were made assuming, again, that he was speaking about Mr. Cohen -- about your law secretary and not Mr. Cohen. And, again, the Attorney General's observations about the video are a non-sequitur -- the other coverage. Because Mr. Trump was asked immediately before that were you talking about the judge's law clerk? Did you violate the order? He affirmatively said no. The fact that he didn't answer, like, 50 more questions about the same subject doesn't prevent anything other than he wasn't going to answer questions about the same subject. But here you've got a true significant, substantial impingement upon the constitutional rights of any defendant. And here, the First Amendment rights to political speech about observations, his observations of what's taking place in open court, whether one can agree or disagree, I think that he has a legitimate

1 basis to raise these arguments because he is seeing as he's 2 told me on a regular basis head nods, eye rolls, notes being 3 passed, head shaking, you know, comments on arguments that 4 I'm making. Again, usually when I'm making arguments, I'm 5 doing what I'm doing now. I'm not paying attention to 6 anybody else, so I don't see those things necessarily but

He's got every right to comment on -- just like if anything else were going on in the courtroom, any other public activity were taking place in the courtroom that might impact the adjudication of the proceeding. So he has a right to comment on that and as applied the order impinges upon that right. Any defendant has this right. And, again, there's been no attempt to otherwise shield anyone from public notoriety. The cameras that come into the courtroom film everyone that's here and everyone's aware of that. There's been no attempt to shield anyone on the bench or anyone else in the room from those cameras.

So I'm not sure that there is a meaningful distinction between that sort of notoriety and a comment by a defendant that hey, I'm not getting a fair trial because I'm observing that something's going on that's going to prevent me from getting a fair trial. It's no different from him saying I'm not entitled to a jury under this statute and that's wrong. That's not fair, that's not
protect my staff. There are basically about three people. I don't think that's impinging on somebody's First Amendment rights to protect my staff.

I'll reconsider for -- because I haven't seen the video or even a full transcript, so let me see that and I think it may - based on what was said, may work both ways.

In terms of -- Mr. Kise, I think a few times you said nobody was named. I certainly remember from law school or cases you can be liable for defamation even though you don't use the name of the person if it's clear to whom you're referring. Of course, that's an issue, but that's a factual issue and I'm the finder of fact.

I think I said this yesterday, but I've been thinking about it since. The irony here, the great irony is on the one hand you're saying Mr. Trump was not referring to my law clerk and then you make this whole big deal "but she is sitting right near me, you're passing notes, you're talking," which I said yesterday I have an absolute right to do. I think several members of defense team have said that's not how it's done, I haven't seen that. Well, this is how I do it and I think it's certainly within my discretion and my purview and I think it makes me a better judge that I listen to other opinions. But I'll stress again two years of a former Trump's term, I am the decider. Nobody knows everything, somebody has to decide.
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| 1 | right. | 1 |
| 2 | And just because he's a candidate for President of | 2 |
| 3 | the United States or, in fact, maybe because he's a | 3 |
| 4 | candidate for President of the United States, that doesn't | 4 |
| 5 | really alter anyone's ability to impinge on those rights. | 5 |
| 6 | He has a right to make fair comment. And again, I don't -- | 6 |
| 7 | without getting into the issue of whether the overall gag | 7 |
| 8 | order is constitutional or otherwise, as applied under this | 8 |
| 9 | particular circumstance, it clearly -- I believe | 9 |
| 10 | respectfully is not and that's the basis for the | 10 |
| 11 | reconsideration. Thank you. | 11 |
| 12 | $\quad$ THE COURT: Briefly? | 12 |
| 13 | $\quad$ MR. AMER: Very briefly, Your Honor. I know a | 13 |
| 14 | facial challenge when I hear one. That was a facial | 14 |
| 15 | challenge, but it doesn't matter whether it's a facial | 15 |
| 16 | challenge or a challenge that's applied. We think it's | 16 |
| 17 | appropriate under the circumstances and we don't see any | 17 |
| 18 | reason why Your Honor should reconsider or change the | 18 |
| 19 | sanction order or revisit the gag order. Thank you. | 19 |
| 20 | $\quad$ THE COURT: Well, I will speak from notes, but | 20 |
| 21 | they're my notes. | 21 |
| 22 | Mr. Kise, you should have seen me in law school. I | 22 |
| 23 | was a real defender of the First Amendment. Justice is | 23 |
| 24 | black and Douglas is absolutist, but as we all learn it has | 24 |
| 25 | limits. Anybody can run for president. I am going to | 25 |

I do not consider this trial political at all. I understand the points or arguments about history or who said what to me. It's not a political trial. It's an Executive Law -- Judiciary Law 6312, whatever the law is and that's how I'm conducting it, that's how I'm deciding it. Just what was said doesn't violate the law and maybe finally, Mr. Kise, you asked that I reduce this to a writing, etc. so you can appeal. You can appeal the transcript. I did and I believe it's uploaded. Just confirmed it's been uploaded.

MR. KISE: That's fine. I didn't see that. I was working on the 11:30 filing from the AG, so I must have missed that.

THE COURT: It just happened, like, minutes before. MR. KISE: All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: And I'll do this. I'll make you a deal. I'll reconsider the sanctions decision because I do want to see that the clip or the transcript. If you read the opinion and you'll see more reasons why I did what I did than I was able to enunciate yesterday.

MR. KISE: I'll certainly read it. Yes, Your Honor, absolutely.

THE COURT: And that's it. I'll leave it at that. I'll reconsider, but I made the decision and unless I say otherwise, the decision stands.

Okay. That's it for now, yes?
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| 1 | MS. FAHERTY: One more point, Your Honor. | 1 |
| 2 | THE COURT: Sure. | 2 |
| 3 | MS. FAHERTY: As Counsel has already recognized we | 3 |
| 4 | did have a filing that we e-mailed to the Court last night. | 4 |
| 5 | However, we did not file it because in connection with that | 5 |
| 6 | service of the papers there were certain exhibits that | 6 |
| 7 | defendants have marked as confidential. In an abundance of | 7 |
| 8 | caution, we didn't want to violate the protective order that | 8 |
| 9 | is in place governing designated confidential materials. | 9 |
| 10 | We asked permission to be able to file those | 10 |
| 11 | materials. I respectfully request if Your Honor can give us | 11 |
| 12 | that direction to do so and I'll make sure that those | 12 |
| 13 | documents are filed in the public docket immediately. | 13 |
| 14 | THE COURT: Are you looking for permission or | 14 |
| 15 | direction? | 15 |
| 16 | MR. KISE: Well, once we see what they are. | 16 |
| 17 | MS. FAHERTY: You have them. We e-mailed them last | 17 |
| 18 | night. You have them. | 18 |
| 19 | MR. KISE: I know, but I do get up at 5:30. | 19 |
| 20 | Between the hours -- between the hours of 10:30 and 5:30, I | 20 |
| 21 | sleep. | 21 |
| 22 | THE COURT: Of course. You can do whatever you | 22 |
| 23 | want in that regard. | 23 |
| 24 | MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor. | 24 |
| 25 | THE COURT: Sure. And by the way, to correct the | 25 |
|  |  |  |



1 impression it was going to be Ms. Dillon followed by Mr. Flores' continuation.

MS. FAHERTY: No.
MR. ROBERT: I even -- that's what we were told.
MS. GREENFIELD: Yesterday, with Ms. Habba, she indicated it was going to be Mr. Holl and then Ms. Dillon.

MS. FAHERTY: It's on our list, as well.
MR. ROBERT: I understand. I was under a different assumption because I actually think that Mr. Flores was planning to be here this afternoon with his counsel because they were told to be here at $2: 15$, I think.

MS. FAHERTY: Later in the afternoon.
MR. ROBERT: So you're going to have three witnesses?

MS. FAHERTY: Mr. Holl will be a very brief witness.

MR. ROBERT: I understand. Is he going to appear on our case in chief if we want him? Is he a New York resident?

MS. FAHERTY: I have no idea how you're proceeding with your case.

MR. AMER: We did give you notice that we were calling him. Really?

MS. FAHERTY: You are not always sandbagged, sir. I am apologetic that there was confusion. I'm trying to be

1 clear with the schedule. We have stood up with the Court's 2 principal law clerk, Ms. Greenfield, to discuss scheduling 3 with defense counsel present. We have identified our list

4 for Counsel and I'm sure you would agree that the past few days have been a bit chaotic. I have had no reasonable apprisal of the defendant's ability to calculate their own timing. So respectfully, this is the best we can do and this is how we are proceeding, sir.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, it's the judge's determination, not yours, Ms. Faherty, but I appreciate your apology and I accept it. I'm not accusing you of anything. I'm just talking as a matter of practice and procedure. If you're going to call a witness then we then have to cross examine that witness we are entitled to notice of it. We were told we were starting with Ms. Dillon.

MR. AMER: We were just told that Ms. Habba was advised that we were calling Mr. Holl first this morning, so you did have notice.

THE COURT: That was my understanding was always it would be Holl, then Dillon.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. Well, then, I would ask that the Attorney General make all accommodations to have him reappear if we need him.

MR. AMER: No. We're calling the witness, we gave him notice. If they want to cross examine him, their chance
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| is after our direct, period. <br> THE COURT: If you need a little time after the direct. <br> MR. ROBERT: I just want to put my objection on the record. And talk about sandbagging. Ms. Faherty started by an apology, now Mr. Amer is yelling. I don't know what their game is, but we'll proceed and our objection is on the record. <br> THE COURT: Mr. Amer, stop yelling. <br> MR. AMER: I don't think I was, Your Honor. <br> THE COURT: If you weren't, then don't start. <br> All right. I hope this has much to do about <br> nothing. I believe you were notified through Ms. Habba. <br> Let's get Mr. Holl. <br> Get the witness, please. <br> Transcript continues on the following page.... | A Yes. <br> Q And how long have you worked at HCC? <br> A A little over 20 years. <br> Q Prior to starting at HCC, did you have any other employment in the insurance industry? <br> A Yes. <br> Q Can you describe that for us, please? <br> A Sure. I worked for the Hartford out of college for about six months and then I worked for Chubb for about five years. <br> Q In what area did you work for the Hartford and Chubb? <br> A For Hartford, I was in the claims unit and then at Chubb, I was in the D\&O claims unit. <br> Q What does D\&O stand for? <br> A Directors and officers liability. <br> Q Can you just describe for the Court very briefly what that line of insurance covers? <br> A Sure. It covers exactly that. The directors on the board of companies and the officers if they're named in litigation. <br> Q And is that a liability cover? <br> A Yes. <br> Q Focusing on your time at HCC, what department or division did you start in? <br> A I started in the claims department. |
| M. HOLL - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER) <br> THE OFFICER: Witness entering. <br> MICHAEL HOLL, a witness called by the Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, took the witness stand and testified as follows: <br> THE COURT OFFICER: State your name and either home or business address on the record. <br> THE WITNESS: Michael Holl. And the business address is 8 Forest Park Drive, Farmington, Connecticut. <br> THE COURT: Please proceed. <br> MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor. <br> DIRECT EXAMINATION <br> BY MR. AMER: <br> Q Good afternoon, Mr. Holl. Can you, please, describe for us your educational background for us after high school? <br> A I have a four years bachelor's degree of psychology from Eastern Connecticut State University. <br> Q And when did you receive that degree? <br> A In 1995. <br> Q Are you currently employed? <br> A I am, yes. <br> Q And who is your employer? <br> A HCC Global. <br> Q And if we -- is that related to Tokio Marine? <br> A It is. <br> Q And if we refer to your employer as HCC, is that okay? | M. HOLL - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER) <br> Q Just briefly, what were your responsibilities in the claim department? <br> A So I would adjust D\&O claims. <br> Q How long did you work in the claims department? <br> A About three years. <br> Q And where did you move to next? <br> A I moved to the public company D\&O update writing unit. <br> Q Just contrast for us what underwriting means as opposed to claims. <br> A Sure. So now we're looking at the business and determining whether we want to provide insurance cover. So you're underwriting the business and writing policies for companies. <br> Q And again, I think you said you were in the D\&O area of the underwriting group; is that right? <br> A Yes. <br> Q And what was your title when you moved into the underwriting group? <br> A Underwriter. <br> Q And have you retained that title to date? <br> A I have. <br> Q And you mentioned public company. So what does that entail? <br> 24 A So it means that they're publicly-traded and their <br> 25 financials on public record where you can go -- anyone in the |
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| 1 public can go find them. |  |
|  | Q Did you in that group ever have occasion to underwrite |
| 3 private companies? |  |
| 4 A Yes. |  |
| 5 Q And under what circumstances would you as a member of the public company D\&O group be underwriting a private company? |  |
|  |  |
| 7 A Generally, if it's a complex risk or a risk that most <br> 8 private company underwriters or units would just outright deny. |  |
|  |  |
| 9 Q I'd like to focus only the period of 2015 to 2018. |  |
| 10 Could you tell us who you reported to during that period? |  |
| 11 A My manager was Tom Pettit. |  |
| 12 Q Did you have any dotted line reporting relationship |  |
| 13 with anyone during that period of time? |  |
| 14 A Yes. |  |
| 15 Q Who? |  |
| A Andy Stone. |  |
| 17 Q And who was Mr. Stone? |  |
| 18 A Andy is the co-founder and president of HCC. |  |
| 19 Q Do you have any authority level for underwriting? |  |
| 20 A No. |  |
| 21 Q Who would you have to seek approval for? |  |
| 22 THE COURT: I doubt they can hear you in the back. |  |
| 23 I think it's your voice is |  |
| 24 | MR. AMER: It is. |
|  | Q Who would you have to seek approval for to underwrite |

Q Let me ask you to look at page two and direct your attention to the e-mail actually begins on the bottom of page one and carries over to page two.

That's an e-mail from you to Mr. Stone, correct?
A Yes.
Q And at this point in time, is Mr. Stone -- what was his title again at this point in time?

A President.
THE COURT: Mr. Amer, I think the microphone might work much better now.

MS. GREENFIELD: He raised the volume if you want to try it.
Q You wrote that you got a call from John Vanasco at AON. Who is John Vanasco?

A He is a broker for Aon.
Q What does that mean to be a broker?
A So a broker would have a relationship with the
companies that we're underwriting.
Q And what role did you understand him to be playing in connection with the Trump Organization and the inquiry that he made?
A I would have understood that he's the broker of record for the Trump Organization.

Q I'm intrigued by the next line, so I'll just ask you what did you mean, "a nice, juicy one"?
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1 MR. KISE: I can't hear him.
THE COURT: We've got to do something here.
MR. AMER: Short break.
THE COURT: Sure, short break.
MR. KISE: I don't think it's Mr. Amer. We had this problem yesterday with people with very loud voices as you know.

THE COURT: I think it's half and half, his voice and the system.

MR. KISE: Maybe.
Q So who would you seek approval for for underwriting?
A Who would I seek it from?
THE COURT: From, not for, from.
Q Yes, from. Yes.
A I would seek it from either Tom Pettit or Andy Stone.
MR. AMER: I'd like to hand the witness a document for identification with the number Plaintiff's Exhibit 587. Q Do you recognize this as a series of e-mails to you and from you?

A Yes, I do.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Hearing no objection, it's in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 587.)
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A I mean, Donald Trump had just been elected President of the United States, so it is not an opportunity you get to look at very often.
Q What do you recall learning about this potential business opportunity from your conversation with Mr. Vanasco?

A So my understanding from him was that they -- upon the election, they were looking for additional D\&O cover. D\&O is written in towers and they wanted more capacity.

Q You write, "Currently, the primary 5 million is with Everest and those loss runs are clean." What did you mean by that?
A Well, it means that Everest is the -- would be the only carrier on and is the primary carrier and that there are no losses. They haven't written any losses on any of the policies they've written for Trump Organization.
Q The e-mail mentions a few lines down, "It is a $2 / 17$, but they are looking for midterm additional limits ( $5 \times 5$ ) now." If you could decipher that for us.

A It is referring to the expiration date. So this e-mail is in December. They're -- they're not looking just for the coverage once it renews on February 17th. They're looking to put additional capacity in place now and the $5 \times 5$ would be $\$ 5$ million in D\&O limit over the five that Everest is providing.

Q And that would be from the date of the -- in December 25 until the renewal in February; is that right?

1 A Yes. From whenever they choose to bind that coverage up through that February 17th renewal date.
Q Your e-mail says, "Trump Organization Private Company," so you understood -- did you understand that the Trump
Organization was a private company as opposed to a public company?
A Yes, I did.
Q I think you explained to us that there were
circumstances under which you in the public company group would get asked to look at a private company placement. Is this one of those circumstances?
A Yes, it is.
Q When you -- when this opportunity came to you from Aon, did you believe you had the necessary background and experience to handle assessing this risk from an underwriting standpoint?
A I think so.
Q If we scroll up to the e-mail above this one, which is from Mr. Stone to you, what did you understand Mr. Stone to be conveying to you in this reply e-mail?
A He was basically giving approval to quote the
additional capacity they were looking for and the pricing for
that being $\$ 40,000$ and that to put a prior impending litigation exclusion on it, "PP lit X."
Q So when he says, "I think a $5 \times 5$ on this until $2 / 17$ is
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okay," what did you understand he was conveying to you in terms of what HCC's line would be for this stub period?

A What our line would be? I don't know what you mean.
Q How much coverage was he conveying to you was okay for HCC to write?
A Yeah. We were okay writing 5 million $X$ of 5 million.
Q The very last line of Mr. Stone's e-mail says, "We will need to review financials for the renewal."

What did you understand him to mean by that?
A That it's standard practice in any company we look at, that we would want to see the financials that we're not going to see them prior to the renewal, but that we're gonna want to see them as of that February 17th renewal date rolled around.

Q Can you explain to us why that was standard practice?
A Why it's standard practice? I mean, every account that we underwrite, we generally try to get a look at the financials for those companies to understand what their financial situation is.

Q Is that relevant to assessing risk?
A It is.
Q Why is that?
A It's relevant because you're trying to find out if
23 they're a successful company and if they're profitable and if
24 they are in debt, that they can't manage and what their overall
25 financial health is.

Q If you could just explain to us how a company's financial health bears on the D\&O risk that you're underwriting?
A If they are a bankruptcy risk, there is a significant
increase in the likelihood of a D\&O claim if a company goes bankrupt.
Q Let's go to the top of the page, the top e-mail. You write to a woman named Ava P-R-Z-Y-C-H-O-D-Z-E-N. Who is that person?
A Ava was my underwriting assistant at the time.
Q What are you asking Ava to do in this e-mail?
A I'm asking her to draft a quote per the terms that Andy was okay with.
Q The last line of your e-mail says, "Subjectivities review of financials at renewal." What is a subjectivity?
A It's a line item put on a quote that says in order to
bind this, you have to abide by the agreed to, what we're putting in front of you.
Q Did HCC, in fact, offer the quote reflected in your e-mail?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and hand the witness Exhibit 596.
Q Mr. Holl, do you recognize this document?
A I do, yes.
Q What is this document?

A This is the quote per the terms that I spelled out to Ava.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 596.)
Q Let's turn to the second page and you'll see as part of this quote, it says, "Contingencies review of financials at renewal."

Is a contingency different from a subjectivity or is it the same thing?
A Not in this case, no.
Q So what were you indicating in the quote by this contingency?
A Same thing, that if we were going to consider the renewal of the risk, we wanted to see financials.
Q Now, we've been discussing that this was a quote to get to the renewal. Did there come a point in time where the D\&O coverage came up for renewal where you looked at whether you would quote on the renewal?
A So I don't think that this extension was ever bound, so it wouldn't have been a renewal if this quote wasn't bound. It just would have been an inception of a new policy.
Q Do you recall participating in meetings -- in a meeting
M. HOLL - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER) Page 2497
1
1
2 with other underwriters to look at whether you would participate

25 Q Who do you recall meeting with?
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A Allen Weisselberg.
2 Q And is it that you recall only meeting with him or is that - or is it that he's the only one you specifically recall among these people?
A He's the only one I specifically recall.
Q But there were other people on behalf of the Trump
Organization at the meeting?
A Yes, there were.
Q What did you understand Mr. Weisselberg's role to be at the meeting as you perceived it?

A The chief financial officer of the Trump Organization.
Q Did you take notes at this meeting?
A I did.
Q And did you report to your superiors, Mr. Pettit and Mr. Stone, about what transpired at the meeting after the meeting ended?
A Yes, I did.
MR. AMER: I'd like to hand up to the witness
Exhibit 2985.
Q This is an e-mail from you to Mr. Stone and Mr. Pettit. Do you recognize this e-mail?
A Yes, I do.
Q And what's the date of this e-mail?
A January 10, 2017.
Q So -- and this was sent at 1:19 p.m., so is this
basically immediately after you left the meeting?
A It is. It is on my train ride back to Connecticut.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2985.)
Q Was this e-mail prepared with the benefit of the notes you took during the meeting?

A It is, yes.
Q And what was the purpose for your preparing this e-mail to Mr. Stone and Mr. Pettit?

A Just to provide all the information that I obtained during the meeting and provide some of my thoughts, insights or opinions.

Q And do you consider this e-mail to be an accurate reflection of what transpired at the meeting?

A Yes, I do.
Q Just focusing your attention on the paragraph that begins "saw very few financials," do you see that?

A Yes.
Q You say in this portion, "Saw very few financials, but did see the balance sheet for year ends 2015. They assured me that the one being put together in a few weeks is better."

When you say, "they assured me, "who, if you recall,
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specifically provided you that assurance?
A I don't recall who specifically provided me that assurance.

Q Was it somebody from the Trump Organization?
A It was.
Q And you go on to write, "They have total assets of 6.6
billion, cash of 192 million, total debt of 519 million." Do you see that?

A Yes.
10 Q Why did you choose to specifically call out the 192 million in cash figure in this e-mail to Mr. Stone and Mr. Pettit?

A That's generally a meaningful, useful figure in financials for organizations we're looking at.

Q And what did you consider that figure to reflect?
A The amount of cash they had on the balance sheet.
Q Did you view that as a figure that was a measure of liquidity for the company?

A Yes.
Q Directing your attention to the next paragraph, you say in the last sentence of this paragraph, "No material litigation or communications from anyone."

What did you intend to reflect in that comment?
A It's standard practice in meetings with the management to ask them if they are aware of something that's not public;
that is, litigation or notices or communications that could lead to litigation that would implicate the D\&O policy.

Q What kind of notices or communications would you fit within that description?
A I mean, any letter from a law firm making a threat or any notice from a regulatory agency, you know, advising them of concerns they may have, something like that.
Q Did you, in fact, inquire about those items during the underwriting meeting?

A I believe -- if I didn't, somebody did. I think I
did.
Q And what are you reflecting in your comment, "no material litigation or communications from anyone"?

A What am I reflecting?
Q Yes.
A The response that I received to the inquiry.
Q Did you review -- did you view the response that there was no material litigation or communications from anyone in a positive or negative light from an underwriting perspective?

A Positive.
Q In what way was it positive?
A I mean, if they do have litigation or notices or
letters that are of concern, that raises the risk of liability to the $\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}$ policy.

Q And based on this comment, you were told that there
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were -- there was no material litigation or communications from anyone; is that right?
A Yes.
Q So the facts that you --
MR. KISE: Your Honor, I just want to -- maybe this will be connected up, but I just want to raise a
relevance objection to this line of questioning. I mean,
I've watched it, so I let --
THE COURT: Overruled. I see relevance.
MR. KISE: Okay.
MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q We are looking now at an e-mail that has certain factor information that you are sending to about this underwriting meeting to Mr. Stone and Mr. Pettit who are your superiors and who have authority to issue the policy. Why were you conveying the facts that you are conveying to them?

A So they can start considering whether it's a risk that we want to provide directors and officers cover for.

Q Did you consider the facts that you were putting into your e-mail to be relevant to their assessment?

A Yes.
Q Did HCC, in fact, put in a quote for this coverage
following your participation in this underwriting meeting?
A Yes, we did.
MR. AMER: I'd like to hand the witness

Exhibit 592.
Q Mr. Holl, this is a letter you are sending to Mr.
Vanasco on January 31, 2017. Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q And what is this?
A This is a primary quote for D\&O cover for what's now being referred to as the Donald Trump Revocable Trust.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move this document into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
MR. KISE: Same objection, Your Honor, on relevance which I haven't explained. I know you overruled it, but I haven't explained it, but that's okay.

THE COURT: You don't think it's relevant. I see the relevance, so I don't know that there is a point in debating it.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 592.)
Q Mr. Holl, does this reflect the terms that you were authorized to quote for the cover from Mr. Stone?
A Yes.
Q And what layer of coverage is HCC quoting?
A The primary letter.
Q And what is the premium being proposed for this quote? A $\mathbf{\$ 2 9 5 , 0 0 0}$.

Q Did the Trump Organization or the trust accept this proposal and bind coverage?
A Yes, they did.
Q Now, this indicates that the coverage was going to expire on January 30, 2018; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Did it, in fact, come up for renewal in January of 2018?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: I'm going to hand the witness

## Exhibit 595.

Q Mr. Holl, is this a letter you sent to Mr. Vanasco on February 9, 2018?

A Yes.
Q Does this relate to the renewal of the policy quote that we were just looking at?

A Yes, it does.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move this into evidence.
MR. KISE: Same objection.
THE COURT: Same ruling. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 595.)
Q Just to clarify one thing, Mr. Holl, because we had looked at the expiration date being January 30, 2018. If you look at the first paragraph, it says, "With regard to the
above-referenced account, Tokio Marine HCC D\&O Group is pleased to bind a 12-month extension of the current aggregate of limited liability on behalf of U.S. Specialty Insurance Company from February 10, 2018 to February 10, 2019."

Was there a short extension of the policy to get from January 30th to February 10th?

A Yes, there was.
Q So this is the quote to extend for a 12-month period the policy that had been bound in the prior year?

A Yes, it is.
(Continued on the next page.)
12-month renewal?
A 295,000.
$Q$ So is that at the same as the expiring premium?
A Correct, yes.
Q Did the Trust accept the renewal quote?
A Yes, they did.
Q I want to ask you about the renewal for the following
year in 2019.
Do you recall if HCC quoted a renewal for the following
12-month period?
A Yes.
$Q$ And did they?
A Did we quote it, HCC, yes, we did.
MR. AMER: Let me show the witness Exhibit 2989.
Q Mr. Holl, do you recognize this e-mail from you to Ava?
A Yes, I do.
Q And does this relate to a renewal quote for 2019 to
2020?
A Yes, it does.
MR. AMER: Yes, I move this exhibit into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 2989 in
evidence.)

| Q And what is the premium amount being proposed for this |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 12-month renewal? |
| 3 | A 295,000. |
| 4 | $Q$ So is that at the same as the expiring premium? |
| 5 | A Correct, yes. |
| 6 | Q Did the Trust accept the renewal quote? |
| 7 | A Yes, they did. |
| 8 | Q I want to ask you about the renewal for the following |
| 9 year in 2019. |  |
| 10 | Do you recall if HCC quoted a renewal for the following |
| 11 | 12-month period? |
| 12 | A Yes. |
| 13 | Q And did they? |
| 14 | A Did we quote it, HCC, yes, we did. |
| 15 | MR. AMER: Let me show the witness Exhibit 2989. |
| 16 | Q Mr. Holl, do you recognize this e-mail from you to Ava? |
| 17 | A Yes, I do. |
| 18 | Q And does this relate to a renewal quote for 2019 to |
| 19 2020? |  |
| 20 | A Yes, it does. |
| 21 | MR. AMER: Yes, I move this exhibit into evidence. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. |
| 23 | (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is |
| 24 | received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 2989 in |
| 25 | evidence.) |

MR. KISE: Same objection, relevance.
Q Now, we had looked at the prior two renewal quotes, which were for $\$ 295,000$ for the annual premium.

This renewal, what is the proposed premium here?
A 1.6 million.
Q And can you explain to us why the premium quote is being increased from $\$ 295,000$ to 1.6 million?

A Because our assessment of the risk changed and we believed that the risk was significantly more risky than our initial assessments.

Q And it looks like there is an alternative option being proposed which was to remove entity cover and then the premium would be 950,000 ; is that right?

A Yes.
Q Did the Trump Organization or the Trust renew the policy for this increased premium, either option?

A No, they did not.
MR. AMER: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Any cross examination?
MR. FIELDS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, can we have a short break as you suggested?

THE COURT: Sure. About how long you're thinking? MR. KISE: Ten minutes. THE COURT: Sure.
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(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, have you had -- is there a reasonable chance under the circumstances to review the direct testimony and prepare for cross examination?

MR. KISE: I would say we're prepared to proceed now with some cross examination. I don't know I would call it reasonable under the circumstances, but we are prepared and we will make every effort to not have to call the witness back. I don't know that that will be necessary, but I don't want to waive any rights on behalf of my clients or anyone else for that matter, but we are going to proceed and try and move on with it.

MR. AMER: I'm just going to note for the record we advised Ms. Habba the order of the witnesses, so we think by providing notice to Ms. Habba, we provided notice to all defendants.

MR. KISE: I'm not sure what he's arguing about. I just said -- but in the event that something comes up, documents or things that we aren't aware of, we'll present that to the Court. But I certainly think as we have done with all the witnesses we're entitled to call them in our case if we need to. But we're not going to burden anyone with a reappearance. We've all been here far too long.

| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that you are |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | willing to go forward at this point reserving whatever |
| 3 | rights. I have -- well, let me ask one other question. I |
| 4 | know you're doing what we're just referring to. Did you get |
| 5 | a chance to see the written decision I wrote this morning? |
| 6 | MR. KISE: I have not, Your Honor, but I will on |
| 7 | the next break. I will. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Might ruin your lunch. |
| 9 | MR. KISE: No, no. |
| 10 | THE COURT: But in any event, I have reconsidered |
| 11 | the \$10,000 penalty and I am adhering to that decision. I |
| 12 | have looked at the video of what Mr. Trump said outside and |
| 13 | of course I've taken into consideration your argument that |
| 14 | he must have been referring to Michael Cohen because that's |
| 15 | what he talked about. There was a clear, brief, but clear |
| 16 | transition. I think he might have an ooh, ahhh, whatever, |
| 17 | but he said "we are doing very well, it's going well." |
| 18 | He's a -- that was, to me, a clear transition from |
| 19 | one person to another and I think the original -- the person |
| 20 | originally referred to is very clear and that's the fact I |
| 21 | found and that's the penalty I levied, so let's move ahead. |
| 22 | Okay. Let's get the witness back. |
| 23 | THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. |
| 24 | (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and |
| 25 | approaches the witness stand.) |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 2 | MR. FIELDS: With the Court's permission, may I |
| 3 | examine the witness? It should be exceedingly brief. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Absolutely. |
| 5 | CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 6 | BY MR. FIELDS: |
| 7 | Q Good morning, Mr. Holl. I represent -- I'm going to |
| 8 | ask you a couple questions, if that's okay? |
| 9 | A Yes, okay. |
| 10 | Q I notice you're wearing an American flag pin and I like |
| 11 | that, so thank you. |
| 12 | Mr. Holl, you were shown Plaintiff's Exhibit 587 by |
| 13 | Counsel for the government. As you'll recall, I think there was |
| 14 | a comment there where you said, "a nice, juicy one." Do you |
| 15 | generally recall that? |
| 16 | A Yes. |
| 17 | Q Would it be fair to say in December 2016 you were |
| 18 | excited about the prospect of insuring the Trump Organization or |
| 19 | various of its subsidiaries? |
| 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | Q And that's because there was -- at that point Donald |
| 22 | Trump had just been elected president and was the |
| 23 | president-elect; correct? |
| 24 | A Correct. |
| 25 | Q And you believed that that could help your personal |

business. Is that fair?
MR. AMER: Objection to "personal business."
Q You originate business at HCC, Mr. Holl?
A Yes.
Q And did - Donald Trump being elected president and being a potential client of yours, did you believe that that could help your business?
A No.
Q You did not believe that doing business with the
president-elect of the United States was going to help your personal business at HCC?
A No.
Q So you did not plan to tell anybody that you were insuring the president-elect's trust. Is that fair?
A That's fair. We don't discuss who our insureds are.
Q But nevertheless you were excited?
A Yes.
Q At the time that you -- withdrawn.
Just so that I'm clear, there were several policies
that you discussed with Mr. Amer and I want to make sure I understand them all.

There was the initial policy that was to sit above the adverse policy that was bound in approximately December of 2016? MR. AMER: I'm going to object. I think the witness's testimony was he didn't think that was bound.
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THE COURT: You can ask.
Q Was the December 6, 2016 policy bound?
A I don't believe that extension was -- or that 5X5 was bound.

Q Okay. So then would it be fair to say then that the first policy that HCC bound under your direction was the January 2017 D\&O policy?

MR. AMER: Objection to "under your direction."
THE COURT: Sustained. His testimony was somewhat different.

MR. FIELDS: Okay.
THE COURT: "Direction" is the wrong word.
Q Open-ended question.
While you were working at HCC what was the first policy that you bound for the Trump Trust?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Can I just object to "bound?"
THE COURT: Yes, objection sustained.
Q Sir, do you work at HCC?
A Yes, I do.
Q Did you work at HCC in January of 2017?
A Yes.
Q Okay, great.
So now my question is what was the first policy at HCC while you were working there found for the Trump Trust?

1 A Yes, that January 30th policy.
2 Q All right.
3 A The primary policy.
4 Q The January 30, 2017 policy?
5 A Correct.
6 Q And then there was a renewal of that in January -approximately January 30th of 2018. Is that fair?

A So technically, it didn't renew. It got extended, so it came up for renewal and it was then extended instead.

Q Okay. And then in 2019 there was no renewal or extension; correct?
A Correct.
Q So then would you agree that there were two relevant policies while you were working at HCC that you discussed with Mr. Amer that were actually bound?

A There was only one policy that was bound. There was one bound policy number with an extension.

Q And one of them was in 2017 and it was extended in 2018?
A Correct, same policy number.
Q Okay. In December of 2016, when you were first
approached by AON with the possibility of working -- binding or HCC binding coverage for the Trump Trust, you were aware that the Trump Organization, I'll use that term broadly, was concerned about potential exposure now that Donald Trump had
M. Holl - Plaintiff - cross (Fields)
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been elected president; correct?
A Correct.
Q So you were aware that was a reason why they were seeking additional coverage; correct?
A I was aware that they thought that they would be a
higher -- they would have higher exposure and that's why they were seeking additional cover.

Q And the first time that you reviewed any financials for
the Trump Organization was in January of 2019?
A Yes.
Q And that was the underwriting meeting that you
discussed with Counsel for the government, believe it was January 10th of 2017?
A I think so, yes.
MR. FIELDS: Can we pull up Plaintiff's 588,
please? And if we can enlarge the list of attendees under the Trump Organization?
Q Just to make sure I understand your testimony, I
believe you testified that you generally recall Allen
Weisselberg being there, but you don't have a clear recollection
about some of the other individuals that are listed there?
A Yes, yes.
Q Okay. And I believe your testimony was that there was a balance sheet that you reviewed; is that correct?

A That's correct.

1 Q And I think -- I believe the balance sheet had
$\$ 192$ million in cash listed. Is that fair?
A That's correct.
Q The balance sheet that you reviewed, was it a piece of paper, was it a PDF, an Excel spreadsheet? What did it look 6 like?
7 A It was a piece of paper.
8 Q And do you recall where the piece of paper was while
9 you were in the room; on the table, somebody hand it to you?
A It was handed around amongst the underwriters.
Q Do you recall who handed it to you?
A I don't recall who handed it to me.
Q And would it be fair to say, Mr. Holl, that the -withdrawn.

Are you familiar with what a retention is in an
insurance policy?
A Yes, I am.
Q And for the uninitiated, what is a retention generally?
A It's a deductible.
Q It works similarly to a deductible in an insurance -health insurance policy, for instance?

A It's what the insured's responsible for before the policy would start.

Q And would you agree with me that the purpose of an insurance underwriter's review of financials of a private
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company seeking D\&O coverage is to ensure that the potential insured has the financial wherewithal to cover the retention?
A Yes.
Q And in this case I believe your testimony was that the retention was approximately $\$ 2.5$ million throughout the life of these -- the policy and the extension?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So just so I'm clear as an underwriter, when you went to Trump Tower that day to review the financials, would you agree with me that your primary concern was to ensure that the Trump Organization could meet its requirements to pay the retention if needed?
A Yes. And that they were not a bankruptcy risk.
Q Fair. But in this case as you just said the retention was $\$ 2.5$ million; correct?

A Correct.
Q And so, for instance, if -- withdrawn.
And there was $\$ 192$ million in cash on the balance sheet that you saw; correct?

A Yes.
Q So if the Trump Organization had identified, let's say, $\$ 25$ million in cash on the balance sheet, ten times the retention, you wouldn't have been concerned; correct? MR. AMER: Objection. Calls for speculation. THE COURT: Overruled.

## A So can you repeat that? Sorry.

MR. FIELDS: May I have a read back, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the
proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A So I think it would depend on the debt as well, right, and whether they had -- so it's not as simple as a yes or no. If they had debt on the balance sheet coming due and that debt amount was larger than the cash that they had on the balance sheet, then yes, but correct. If they had 25 million and a two and a half million dollar retention there would be enough on the balance sheet to cover their retention.

Q Were you at all concerned with any of the debt you saw on the balance sheet that day?
A I was not.
Q Did you ask to see any bank statements, Mr. Holl?
A Bank statements, no.
Q And just to be clear, you were binding a policy on
behalf of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust; correct?
MR. AMER: Objection, Your Honor. It lacks foundation. This witness didn't bind anything. He had no underwriting authority.

THE COURT: You want to just -- withdrawn.
Q Did HCC bind a D\&O policy on behalf of the
Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust on or about January 30th of
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2017?
A Yes.
Q And you were involved in that process; correct? A I was.

MR. FIELDS: All right. I'm going to show the witness what's been premarked as Plaintiff's 597.

And, Your Honor, I don't intend to really examine the witness much about the document. I apologize. I don't have extra copies, but it was a little bit short trying to print them.

THE COURT: I'm sure that will work.
Q Mr. Holl, I'll just ask you, if you could, to take a
look at this document. Let me know if you recognize it.
THE COURT: Do you recognize it?
THE WITNESS: Was there a question?
$Q$ Do you recognize the document?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A That is the policy that we wrote on January 30, 2017.
Q And just to be clear, did you -- were you able to page
through some of the documents to ensure that it's -- appears to be the entire policy that HCC wrote in 2017?
A It appears to be.
Q Fair enough.
MR. FIELDS: Move to admit Plaintiff's 597.

MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: It's in evidence.
Q Mr. Holl, you were asked by Counsel for the government about some representations that were made during the underwriting meeting at Trump Tower in 2017 regarding -- and I use the wrong terminology, but prior pending litigation or notices of any potential claims. Do you generally recall that? A Yes.
Q And I believe your testimony was -- and please correct me if I'm wrong -- that there was a disclosure to you that there was no potential claims known to somebody that told you that statement. Is that an accurate representation of your testimony?
A Yes.
Q And I believe you also testified that you're not sure if you asked, but somebody else may have asked that question?

A Correct.
Q And do you recall who it is that made that statement to you -- withdrawn.

Do you recall who made the statement about no known claims?
A I don't recall.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, just so the record is clear that does mischaracterize the testimony. There was no material litigation and no communications from anyone.
M. Holl - Plaintiff - cross (Fields)
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THE COURT: Are you relying on the specific language? Is that what you're looking to correct?

MR. AMER: Yes, as opposed to no known claims that was never part of the testimony or the document.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, I apologize. I had a couple of minutes to prepare this, so please forgive me.

THE COURT: All right. The record should be considered changed to reflect the exact wording.

MR. FIELDS: One moment, please.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you. I want to make sure I use the right terminology.
Q So with regard to the statement that there was no material litigation or communication from anyone, if at some point you, HCC, had determined that there was information that was not known to them that should have been made to them or disclosed to them, isn't it true that HCC has the ability to rescind the policy?
A I would have to look at the specific policy to see if we had the ability to rescind or not.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt that this policy does not contain a right to rescind by the insurer?
A I don't know what -- I don't know one way or the other.
25 Sometimes we have language on there that says that the insurer


MR. KISE: Objection; leading.
MR. AMER: I think it is summarizing testimony he already --

MR. KISE: Leading question.
THE COURT: Well, it might be simpler if you just ask it. Although, it might still be leading, but I will allow it if you just ask it in the abstract.

MR. AMER: I think he already testified to it, so I'm just trying to bring us back.
Q Did you have authority to underwrite this risk at that point in time yourself? Did you have underwriting authority?

A I don't have under -- I don't have authority, correct.
Q And if they had told you it was 25 million in cash,
would that have been the number that you conveyed as opposed to 192 million in cash?
A Yes.
Q And as you sit here today, you don't know what they would have done in response to getting that different dollar figure, do you?
A That's fair, yes.
MR. AMER: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any re-cross?
MR. KISE: Not at this time subject to the reservation, no. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a break until noon
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and the witness is excused. Thank you. We will have Ms. Dillon, right?

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Break until noon and then we will have Ms. Dillon.
(Witness excused.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in
session. Be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. SOLOMON: I would, Your Honor. Thank you. The People call Sheri Dillon.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
S HER I D ILLO N, a witness called by the Plaintiff,
after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, took the witness stand and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and either home or business address on the record.

THE WITNESS: Sheri Dillon. 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC. Zip code 20004.

THE COURT: Please proceed.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
MR. SOLOMON:

Q Good afternoon, Ms. Dillon. Can you, please, briefly describe your post high school education?
A I attended college, University of Missouri. Graduated in four years with a bachelor's degree and I then went on to a masters program. I have a masters in public policy and several years after that, I went to law school at Georgetown Law.

Q Abdomen when did you graduate from Georgetown Law?
A 1999.
Q And are you admitted to any bars?
A Yes, D.C. and Georgia.
Q Thank you. Could you, please, briefly describe your work experience after your admission to the bar?

A I began my career at McGee Nelson as -- no, I'm sorry. I actually began my career at King \& Spalding for just a short period of time and then the tax group at McGee -- no. King and Spalding largely broke off, started their own firm McGee Nelson.
I joined McGee Nelson which was eventually merged into Bingham \&
McCutchen, L.L.P. Following that, I left for a year and went to
Vinson \& Elkins. And after that, I rejoined my prior colleagues
from Bingham who had been merged in Morgan Lewis and that was in 2015.

Q You said you worked at Vinson \& Elkins for one year. So that was roughly 2014 to 2015.

A That would be correct and it is roughly one year.
Q And when were you at Bingham?
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1 A That would be roughly 2009 to 2014 is the best of my recollection. I'm not quite sure on those dates.

Q Approximate dates are okay. Thank you. When you were at Bingham, were you a partner?
A I began my career at Bingham, yes, I would have been a partner.

Q Were you in a particular group at Bingham?
A The tax group. I've always practiced tax law as my primary practice. Although, I advise on other things as well.

Q And when you moved to Vinson Elkins, you were also a partner?

A Yes, I was also a partner in the tax group.
Q When you rejoined certain of your colleagues in Morgan
Lewis in 2015, were you a partner?
A Yes.
Q Are you currently at Morgan Lewis?
A Yes.
Q Are you currently a partner there?
A I am currently a partner at Morgan Lewis.
Q Did there come a time that you in your professional capacity began doing work for the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q When was that?
A That would have been began more or less in 2005.
Q And was the Trump Organization your client?

1 A It was a firm client.
2 Q And when you joined Bingham in or about 2009, did you
bring the Trump Organization work with you?
4 A Yes, the Trump Organization continued with us.
$5 \quad$ Q You said "us." I'm talking about you. You continued
doing work for the Trump Organization, correct?
A Yes, I continued doing work for the Trump Organization.
Q And when you moved to Vinson \& Elkins in or about 2014,
did the Trump Organization continue to use your services?
A Yes, they did.
Q And when you moved to Morgan Lewis in 2015, did the
Trump Organization come with you?
A Yes, the Trump Organization continued to.
Q Do you currently do work for the Trump Organization?
A No.
Q When did you cease doing work for the Trump
Organization?
A That would have been 2020, some point in 2020.
Q Do you recall when in 2020 ?
A I do not. It would have been in the spring or early summer.
Q Was there some precipitating event that caused you to
cease doing work for the Trump Organization?
A No, not any one event.
25 Q Were there several events that caused you to cease
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doing work for the Trump Organization?
2 A There just came a time when it was mutually agreed with the client that it was no longer in their best interest for me to be representing the Trump Organization.
Q Keeping this at a high level, can you describe the type of work you've done for the Trump Organization over the years?
A Predominantly providing tax advice and advice related to the tax advice as well as other projects from time to time as requested by my client.

Q What would those other projects be?
A Assisting reviewing contracts from time to time, helping with forming entities and things of that nature.
Q Did you ever do any work responding to government investigations?

A Yes. From time to time, I would help with government investigations or other government inquiries.
Q Anything else you can recall?
A I assisted in the preparation of their work with Office of Government Ethics, helping with the disclosure requirements.

Q Did you work on what's known as the OGE form the Office of Government Ethics form that's filed?
A I did provide legal advice with respect to OGE form 278.

Q You mentioned you provided tax advice. What were -- again, at a high level. I'm not asking for specific
S. DILLON - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON)

Page 2531
1 information, but at a high level, what was the -- what were the
2 general areas of tax advice that you provided to the Trump Organization?
A The general high areas of tax advice would be related to one, assisting with IRS examinations, working through that process as well as for Ava right of Trump entities as well as assisting with tax planning, looking at the tax aspects of various transactions as they came along over the years.
Q Would tax planning include providing advice with respect to donations of potential easements?

A Donations of potential easements would be I would provide tax advice with respect to donations, legal advice relating to tax relating to conservation easement.

THE COURT: Potential conservation easement, potential transactions?

THE WITNESS: Yes, both potential and lots of potential transactions across the board as well as actual transactions that occurred.
Q In connection with either potential or actual donations of conservation easements, would you interact with third-party professionals such as appraisers?

A I would interact with lots of _professionals, appraisers, environmentalists, a whole -- engineers, architects, all sorts of folks, yes.

Q During your time providing services to the Trump
S. DILLON - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON)

Page 2532
Organization, with whom did you interact?
A Is there a specific period you're looking for?
Q Let's put the period 2011 to present. Withdrawn.
Excuse me. Withdrawn, please.
Let's put the period as 2011 to when you ceased providing services in or about 2020.
A I worked with a lot of people at the Trump
Organization. It would have been -- gosh. Jason Greenblatt,
Jaclyn Maranes, Alan Garten. I'm sorry. I'm going through
departments. As well as Jeff McConney, Allen Weisselberg, Mark
Hawthorn. Gosh. Just a host of people, a variety right of folks.

Q Let's throw out some names to see if we can jog your memory.

A Sure.
Q Donald Trump?
A Sr. or --
Q Sr. When I refer to Donald Trump today, I will be referring to Sr. If I am referring to Jr., I will add Jr., so the record is clear.

A That would be very helpful. Thank you.
Q Did you interact with Donald J. Trump between the 2011 through the termination of the relationship?
A To some degree, yes.
Q What do you mean by "to some degree?"

1 A I did interact, but it wasn't -- he was pretty high on the food chain. That's not who I spent most of my time with.
Q Understood. Not as often as some of the other people you just mentioned?

A Yes.
Q Fair enough. Donald Trump Jr.?
A From time to time.
Q Eric Trump?
A Yes, from time to time on certain projects.
Q More often than you interacted with Donald J. Trump?
A It would depend on the project.
Q Ivanka Trump?
A Yes.
Q Patrick Birney?
A Yes.
Q Jill Martin?
A Yes.
Q Anyone else you can think of now that I've given you a couple of names from various departments?
A There are others that were in the general counsel's
office and I'm sorry. I'm just not remembering their names right now. Adam, his last name I'm not remembering. David Cohen and I know there are others that -- yeah. If you have others to ask me about -- I'm sorry. It has been a while.

Q I appreciate the effort to try to remember. We will
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1
ocus on some documents and that may refresh your recollection later on.

A Great.
Q Sure.
Do you recall working on donations or potential
donations of conservation easements relating to TNGCLA also
known as Trump National Golf Club LA?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall working on a potential donation of a conservation easement at Briarcliff Development?

A Yes.
Q That's the Briarcliff Golf Course located in
Westchester County in New York, correct?
A That's my understanding.
Q And do you recall working on a potential donation of a conservation easement relating to Seven Springs?
A Yes.
Q And Seven Springs, that's the property located in
Westchester in the Towns of Bedford, New Castle and North Castle, correct?

A That is correct.
Q So let's focus on those and if there are differences
between them, I'd like you to point it out.
When you worked on those potential donations, what did
that work entail?

A That work would entail coming to an understanding of the property. It would come to an understanding most importantly the key element when thinking about a conservation easement is that an easement is something that is going to be granted in perpetuity and my perspective, to back up for a second, is from a tax law perspective. So I want to be clear on that.

So the tax law requires that it be granted and most easements are granted in perpetuity and perpetuity means forever. As we all know from our property class back in law, perpetuities, so you go back to that and so when you're granting an easement, it is a big decision. And a conservation easement, what that means is you retain the land and you put in conservation easement on it which is restricting the uses of the land. And so there's a lot of different decisions that have to be made with that and that's where I would spend a lot of my time in coming to understand the property, coming to understand what you want to do with it or not do with it, and it would be the choices of which parts of the property do you want to restrict and which parts do you not want to restrict and what will those restrictions be.

And then once you determine that, I would work with the client to help them implement that, working with the environmentalists. There's all kinds of environmental reports that have to be done, baseline documentation, working on the
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conservation easement deed, that makes the grant of the easement, working with the appraisers to determine the value of the easement working with engineers to some degree.

Although, it was generally the other professionals who would work with the engineers, but it was just that whole collection of people and every piece of property is unique, so these are sort of some of the common elements sometimes there would be others who needed to be involved depending on what the project was and what the land looks like. But it would also be making sure that all of these things are laid out in the tax code and all of these various requirements, what does baseline documentation of the environmental aspects of the property, what does that mean, what's required for that, what's required for the appraisal. It needs to have qualified appraisers and a qualified appraisal. So there's all kinds of hosts of legal requirements that need to be satisfied. So that's the work I would do.
Q I think it was implied in your answer, but so the record is clear, you mentioned legal requirements. Were these requirements, to your understanding, to enable the donor obtain a tax deduction for the value of a donation?

A Some of them were. Some of them were requirements that are other sorts of requirements. Again, we would want to have a deed that would be respected, that could be recorded. That would be legal requirements outside of the tax code, but a lot
of them are legal requirements that are set forth in the tax codes, so that Congress provided incentives encouraging folks to conserve land. Restrict your rights. Don't build on it. Let's keep public parks. Let's keep rivers. Let's keep beautiful forests. Let's keep all of that. And to do that, they did -- they created an incentive, a charitable deduction for tax purposes and that - so in order to secure the deduction, you would have to follow a lot of very stringent and lengthy legal requirements that are set out in the tax code in a host of treasury regulations. I think there's probably 50 pages of these regulations, IRS guidance that even further explains the code and the regulations and a huge body of case law that's developed on conservation easements as well as other donations of charitable property.

Q You mentioned in an earlier answer, an appraisal. I think the term that I've seen is a qualified appraisal. Have you seen that term?
A Yes.
Q A qualified appraisal, does that have a different meaning to you than an appraisal?

A A qualified appraisal is an appraisal that is described in the tax code and in order to secure it, a deduction, the appraisal that you have has to meet the requirements of a qualified appraisal.
Q And the appraisal is an appraisal of the value of the
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easement that is being donated; is that correct?
A It is the value of what you have given up in your easement, the economic value of the rights and restrictions that you have given up.
Q And you mentioned that you've worked on several of these. Do you know how that value is determined by an appraiser?
A There are different methods for determining that value.
The treasury regulations lay out the methods that may be used.
The primary method, I think my recollection is --I don't have
the regs in front of me right now, but I believe the principal method is to find sales of comparable conservation easements. Those rarely exist, so the treasury regulations have an
alternative way to measure the value of a conservation easement and that is called the before and after method.

Q And in the before and after method, does the appraiser determine the value of the property before placement of the easement, the value of the property after the placement of the easement, subtract the latter from the former to come up with that value of the easement itself?
A So, yes, the appraiser, if I understood this, first determines --

Q If my question wasn't clear, please tell me and explain it in your own words.
A The appraiser values the entire property unrestricted

## S. DILLON - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. SOLOMON)

Page 2539

## meaning with no restriction.

THE COURT: You left out the word "first" which was included in your first answer.

THE WITNESS: I will try to speak slower. So yeah, we first start with the entire property. You value that. So in my example, we'll say that's worth a hundred. You then value the property after the restrictions have been placed on, taking into the characteristics of the property as it would then exist with the conservation easement. So the after-value would generally be less.

So let's say started off of 100 . Your after-value is ten. The appraiser then would say, well, the easement is the difference between the entire value of the property unrestricted and the value of the property restricted. So in my example, it would be 100 minus ten, would be 90 the value of the property.

There would then need to be other considerations taken into account which would have to do with does the property owner have contiguous property that surrounds or is in the nearby area of the property that's been restricted. Q And if there is an enhancement to the value of the contiguous property, that would be deducted from the value of the donation, correct?

A That is correct.
Q We'll come back to easements in more detail as we go
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on, but I would like to turn to something you mentioned about doing work in connection with government investigations for the Trump Organization.

Do you recall in or about September of 2016
communicating with the New York Attorney General's Office with respect to an inquiry that the office was conducting?

A I know I have responded to lots of things. So again, if you could give me a little more background, that might help me.
Q I will show you a document, ma'am, and see if it refreshes your recollection.

MR. SOLOMON: If we could, please, pull up PX 1001 and may I hand this up to the witness.
Q Ma'am, this is a letter dated September 27, 2016 sent by electronic mail and certified mail return receipt requested to you at Morgan Lewis. You were at Morgan Lewis in 2016, correct?

A Yes, I was at Morgan Lewis then.
Q This is from the State of New York Office of the
Attorney General from the Charities Bureau, correct?
A Yes, the letter is from the Charities Bureau.
Q Did you receive this on or about September 27, 2016?
A Yes, I did.
MR. SOLOMON: We offer this in evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 1001.)
Q Does this refresh your recollection to at least one matter that you were handling for the Trump Organization in connection with a government inquiry?
A Yes, it does.
Q What can you tell me if you -- withdrawn.
At a high level, what was your understanding of this
inquiry that was being conducted in or about September of 2016?
MR. ROBERT: I appreciate the way Mr. Solomon has worded the question, "at a high level." I just want to make sure there is no waiver of attorney-client privilege here and I don't think that's what Mr. Solomon is getting at. I just wanted the record clear. Do you agree, Mr. Solomon, you are not trying to --

MR. SOLOMON: We are not asking the witness to waive privilege. We just want to know the witness' understanding of what the investigation concerned.

MR. ROBERT: From a high level, not conversations with any of her clients?

MR. SOLOMON: My question did not ask for conversations with the client.

MR. ROBERT: I didn't think you were and --
MR. SOLOMON: Also to be clear for the record, this witness received the letter. So this information came to General's office.

MR. ROBERT: I hear what you're saying. I just wanted the record to be clear. Got it.

MR. SOLOMON: No issue.
THE COURT: Please continue.
Q Does this letter refresh your recollection about
a -- about this inquiry?

A My understanding was the Charities Bureau was taking a
look at whether the Trump Foundation had complied with New York State law in connection with its activities.
information with anyone from the Trump Organization?
trying to understand it. I would have talked to Jeff McConney. I would have talked with Allen Weisselberg. I would have talked with Debra whose last name is escaping me right now. She is one of the internal bookkeepers at the organization.

THE COURT: I have to ask about "would have." Does this mean you remember you did or does this mean you think generally looking back, "Yeah, that's probably who I spoke to"?

THE WITNESS: I would have spoken to them. Yes, I spoke to them. I'm sorry. Got me there. Yeah, I would have spoken to them.

THE COURT: Thanks.
THE WITNESS: I did speak to them to clarify.
THE COURT: We are very precise.
THE WITNESS: At some point in time, not with everything in this letter, but in general in connection with the investigation.
Q When you had these conversations, were you ever made aware of a prior communication from the Attorney General's office directed to Mr. Weisselberg concerning a contribution in the amount of $\$ 25,000$ made by the Donald J. Trump Foundation to an organization known as And Justice For All?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. If the knowledge came from a conversation with any of the clients, it would be privileged. So if the question is "did the witness learn
from any source other than her client", that's a fair question. But just a general question "did she become aware," she may have become aware of it from her client and the mere fact that she says that would be an invasion of the privilege.

THE COURT: I'm not sure that that's right. The fact that there was some discussion doesn't mean that that was advice asked or advice given, but we might be able to avoid that issue by --

MR. SOLOMON: That's all I was asking, was she made aware. I am not asking what was said. I'm not asking advice that was given. Was she made aware of the prior communication from the Attorney General's office? May I finish, please? I don't think that's privileged. The mere fact of the existence of prior communications is not privileged.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, any fact that a lawyer learns from his or her client during the scope of their representation is governed by attorney-client privilege. That's why if the question is "did you learn a fact from someone other than the client," that's a fair question. But once we get into "were you made aware of something," then becomes the issue of who made you aware of it and that conversation is absolutely privileged.

THE COURT: I disagree. It is not advice.

MR. SOLOMON: And also, Your Honor, not every fact you learn from your counsel or from the client would be privileged. If a client told me, "today is Thursday," that doesn't make that communication privileged.

MR. ROBERT: It would make it confidential if it's absent an order directing an attorney to provide it. It would be a problem, but here, the judge made his ruling.

THE COURT: So objection noted, understood, but overruled.
Q Simple question. Did you become aware of a prior communication from the Attorney General's office relating to the contribution that I described?
A At some -- I'm sorry. Of a prior communication from the Attorney General to?
Q To the Trump Organization relating to a \$25,000 contribution made by the Donald J. Trump Foundation to an organization known as And Justice For All?
A I believe I was aware of that communication. I don't recall the details.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q And were you made aware that the Donald J. Trump Foundation responded to the Attorney General's Office? It's a yes or no.
A I believe I was aware of that, too, yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Okay. Could we please mark as PX 1000 for identification?
Q Ma'am, while you're reviewing it, I'll just identify for the record that this is or appears to be a letter on the
Donald J. Trump Foundation letterhead dated June 28, 2016
addressed to someone at the New York State Attorney General's Office.

MR. KISE: Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. KISE: I'm just going to impose yet another objection. What does this have to do with anything in this case? I mean, maybe Mr. Solomon is going to connect it up, but this is 2016 and it's a completely separate investigation of an entity that's not a defendant here. It has no connection to these proceedings of an investigation in a matter that's long since been over, so I know we're all interested in moving this along, I just don't see what connection this has to these proceedings.

THE COURT: Mr. Solomon?
MR. SOLOMON: I think Your Honor heard earlier today from the prior witness that representations were made
to potential insurance carriers that there were no outstanding investigations, inquiries and the like. I don't remember the exact language. I know we had to go back to find the exact language, but in the vernacular there are no outstanding claims asserted or unasserted and that representation was made to HCC and what we're doing now is just establishing whether there were --

MR. KISE: Right, but again -- well, as you know, on the insurance matter, I had basically the same objection, which is what does that have to do with a 6312 violation? I mean, all of those matters between the insurance company and the client are subsumed within the policy as we heard the witness -- I'm not going to go off on that.

Anyway, we're now taking to a second degree of relevance. We first had something that I objected to, you overruled as marginally relevant -- not relevant in my opinion, but marginally relevant and now we're taking yet another step away from the core issues in the case because I'm not sure what this has to do with anything in the case. What count does it relate to in the case?

MR. AMER: Can I just say I didn't hear the word "marginal" when Your Honor overruled --

MR. KISE: That was my description, as I just said.
THE COURT: As I told the witness, we are very precise around here. One word or the other could make a big
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## difference.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, an omission in seeking insurance coverage is relevant. And if we show that there was knowledge at the Trump Organization at the highest levels of the existence of this inquiry and we actually are going to get to an actual Notice of Violation that was sent to the Trump Organization. The failure to disclose that, if intentional, absolutely goes to the existing claims in this case in the 6312 violations that we've alleged.

MR. KISE: Okay, Your Honor, the 6312 violations relate to financial statements and the submission and use of those financial statements in the course of obtaining loans and insurance. They -- the case as pled and as tried doesn't have to do with every single communication or representation that was made to anyone at anytime by this is the Donald J. Trump Foundation, again, a non-defendant, nonparty. At some level there really needs to be some containment of what we're doing here. This has gotten pretty far afield. So, respectfully, the issue in the case and the claims asserted again relate to statements of financial condition that were inflated, not inflated, whether they were used to obtain insurance, whether they were used to obtain loans, but anything to do with representations to anyone we'll be here until 2025.

MR. SOLOMON: We'll only be here that long, Your

1 Honor, if there that many fraudulent misrepresentations or omissions. I have another five or ten minutes on this line. It's not going to take that long. We're going to establish that they knew about these inquiries, they knew about the Notice of Violation, and as you heard earlier today in open court, they did not disclose it to HCC.

MR. KISE: Okay. So, again, the case is about statements of financial condition.

THE COURT: That's a banned word.
MR. KISE: I know it is, but I have to say it in response. I realize that the case is about statements of financial condition and the use of those -- I mean, we can pull out the complaint and look at the claims, so -- and whether or not the insurance company was notified properly or not notified properly about something is as the witness has testified and is clear for the policy subsumed within the policy. The insurance company and the insured and the insurer work that out. If there is something that wasn't disclosed, then they don't cover it.

MR. ROBERT: In addition, Your Honor, they keep using the phrase "they knew." Who knew what and when because there is a policy of insurance that's in evidence. There is specific provisions in that policy as to who is -who has to have notice in order to have a disclosure. So at some point there has to be some connection of the dots as to
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1
who knew what at one time. They're uniform. They knew, they knew. Respectfully, it's inappropriate here because there are multiple defendants.

THE COURT: I certainly see that and understand that as an issue. The record will show who knew, who didn't know. So that will be a consideration.

But let me make a general statement that might foreclose or deter other objections. To me, this case is not just about financial statements being submitted to insurance companies. It's about whether or not the defendants were committing fraud, persistent and whatever the pervasive -- whatever the other wording is. And it's also about what the -- what any alleged, of course, any remedies will be. If it seems to me in taking Mr. Solomon's -- building on what Mr. Solomon said, if the evidence shows that a certain defendant was consistently acting fraudulently if, if, if, then the law provides that there can be all sorts of equitable relief. So I think this is completely relevant. I understand it's not maybe the heart of the case, but it is in the case.

MR. KISE: But, Your Honor, the equitable relief being sought, the equitable relief that can be granted is limited by what they're requesting and the equitable relief cannot be just stop committing fraud generally. It has to be specific. And so here the case is about don't issue

1 false financial statements anymore. That's their claim.

That's what they're seeking. That's part of their injunctive relief is stop doing that.

THE COURT: Part of.
MR. KISE: But it can't be just stop committing fraud generally. I mean, there is no provision in the law for that. So any allegation of any claim of any kind that they say is fraudulent is going to come in as evidence of some pattern? I mean, it has to -- everything has to connect back to the case that's been pled and is being proven. Otherwise, there is absolutely no limits on what comes in. It's just anything that they decide to look at and say okay, this is fraud, that's fraud. How are you even supposed to fashion relief in that regard? Stop committing fraud generally? That's a prohibited order.

THE COURT: I have various ideas about how to do that if it comes to it.

MR. KISE: But the point is there has to be some connection to the claims. Otherwise, it's just adrift.

THE COURT: We're going around in circles. The objection is overruled for the reasons I said and that Mr. Solomon said. Let's move on.

MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll break at five minutes to 1:00. MR. SOLOMON: Okay. Thank you.
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Q Ms. Dillon, have you had an opportunity to review what is PX 1000 ?
A I did not read it word for word, but I looked at it when you asked me to look at it before, but no, I did not re-review it during the exchange.

THE COURT: You were riveted by the exchange?
THE WITNESS: As a lawyer I was riveted by the exchange.

THE COURT: I was just going to say as a lawyer.
Q Ma'am, if you see on the second page there is a signature above the printed name "Allen Weisselberg."

Would you recognize Mr. Weisselberg's signature if you saw it?

A No, not necessarily.
Q Were you aware that the Donald J. Trump Foundation had communicated in or about June of 2016 with the New York State
Attorney General's Office concerning the contribution described in this letter?

A Isn't the letter the communication? I'm -- I didn't
maybe understand your question. Was I aware of this letter?
Q Yes, ma'am.
A Yes, I was aware of this letter.
Q Okay. When did you become aware of it?
A Contemporaneous with the letter.
Q Okay.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q Again, without discussing the substance, with whom did | 1 in order for that to be appealable, then we would need to |
| 2 you discuss this response prior to sending it to the New York | 2 order that transcript for |
| 3 State Attorney General's Office? | 3 THE COURT: I will take care of that. I agree with |
| 4 A I don't recall who all I've discussed the response | 4 |
| 5 with. | 5 MR. KISE: Okay. |
| 6 Q Do you recall anyone? | 6 THE COURT: O |
| 7 A Again, this would have | 7 MR. KISE: Yes, sir. |
| 8 It's -- I'm just not sure who I talked to about it. | 8 THE COURT: I'll take care of that or I'll get back |
| 9 long time ago. | 9 to you, if there is any issue or problem. |
| 10 Q Okay. | 10 MR. KISE: The other thing, at the appropriate time |
| $11$ | 11 when the courtroom is empty, a photo of the bench empty so |
| 12 it on to the Attorney | 12 we can lay out what adjoins next to and all that is becaus |
| 13 A Generally I would send things, yes, to the client for | 13 I do think that is a matter of interpretation. |
| 14 review. Again, meaning to in-house counsel at the Trump | 14 THE COURT: Okay. Let me just -- you are -- you |
| 15 Organiza | 15 want to take a photo of the bench so - |
| 16 Q | 16 MR. KISE: For the record, yes, Your Honor. |
| 17 | 17 THE COURT: I would suggest, it's up |
| 18 that the New York Attorney General's Office had issued a Notice | 18 photo with me here, with you there and I haven't discussed |
| 19 of Violation and that you were gathering information to respond | 19 |
| 20 to that notice | 20 MR. KISE: I don |
| 21 A I was certainly aware of it and certainly the Trump | 21 THE COURT: -- or some other person I think it will |
| ganization -- or the Donald J. Trump Foundation was aware of | e sitting in actual chair |
|  | 23 MR. KISE: However you would like me to proceed, |
| 24 Q Were you at that time gathering information to provide | 24 although -- |
| 25 to the New York State Attorney General's Office? When I say | 25 THE COURT: We can do both. |
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| 1 "y | kay. I'll do that. |
| 2 A Yes, we would have been gathering informat | 2 THE COURT: Okay. Good. Okay. |
| 3 Q Do you know if this Notice of Violation or the need to | 3 And Mr. Kise, you are about Michael Cohen's size. |
| 4 respond to the request for information were disclosed to the | Maybe you should sit there and I'll sit here |
| 5 Trump Organization's insurance carriers prior to soliciting | 5 MR. KISE: I'm not nearly as photogenic as you |
| 6 either a renewal or issuance of a new insurance policy? | 6 might think. I'll stay b |
| 7 A I don't know. | 7 THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. |
| 8 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I'd be moving on to a | 8 (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and |
| 9 completely different area. I can start or we can -- | 9 approaches the witness stand.) |
| 10 THE COURT: I can tell you want a break now. We'll | 10 THE COURT: I'll remind the witness, as I alway |
| 11 break now until 2:15. | 11 do, that she is under oath. |
| 12 | 12 And let's continue with the direct examination of |
| 13 (Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.) | 13 Sheri Dillon. |
| 14 AFTERNOON SESSION | 14 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 15 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in | 15 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| ion. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. | 16 BY MR. SOLOMON: |
| se be | 17 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Dillon. |
| 18 THE COURT: | 18 A Good afternoon. |
| 19 MR. KISE: Judge, while we're waiting on that, just | 19 Q Let's turn to conservation easements of particular |
| very brief points. Can I ask the Court to so order -- I | 20 properties. We talked earlier about Trump National Golf Club |
| eve it's the October 3rd transcript, which contains the | 21 LA. Do you recall that? |
| ginal gag order? Because I don't think that was ever | 22 A Yes. |
| morialized. The first violation last week wa | 23 Q Can I refer to that as TNGC LA? |
| memorialized and then, of course, yesterday you entered your | 24 A Yes, I'll know what you mean. |
| 25 order, but the gag order itself was on the transcript. So | 25 Q Okay. Do you recall the owner of that property is VH |

## Property Corp?

2 A I don't recall that, but I recall a name similar to that, so.
Q We could -- we'll see a document later that has that in there. We'll see if it refreshes your recollection.

Did there come a time that the owner of TNGC LA
contemplated donating a conservation easement over a portion of its property?
A Yes, there was a time that was contemplated.
Q Okay. In my questioning today when I use the term "communication" and I'm doing this because we had a little bit of a misunderstanding with another witness another day, but when I say "communications" I mean, all written, any other form of transmitting or receiving information, okay?

A Okay.
Q Thank you.
Do you recall being involved in communications in the second half of -- excuse me.

Do you recall being involved in communications in the second half of 2012 relating to the potential donation of a conservation easement over a portion of TNGC LA?
A I do recall during a general time frame being involved in potential conversations. I'm not sure of the exact dates, but.

Q Okay. Sure. Let's see if we can refresh your
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Page 2562
recollection and put a time frame on this.
MR. SOLOMON: Ask to mark PX 1446 for identification. For the record, this is a -- the top e-mail chain is from Donald Trump, Jr..
Q The date is 11/16/2012 to Josh Seidner to -- cc's to Allen Weisselberg, Sheri Dillon, Andrew Weiss at the Trump Organization and Jill Martin at TrumpNational.com?

Does this e-mail chain refresh your recollection that it was in or about November 2012 that there were discussions relating to a potential conservation easement over TNGC -- a portion of TNGC LA?
A I see from the e-mail that it was being talked about in November of 2012.

Q Okay. And the e-mail chain, you are cc'd on it; correct?

A If you give me a second to look through it.
Q Of course. Whatever time you need, ma'am.
A I'm sorry, what was your question?
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I have a read back of my question?

THE COURT: A read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A Yes.
Q Okay. And did you receive it in or about November of
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## 2012?

A Yes, I would have received it on or about November 16, 2012.

Q Thank you.
MR. SOLOMON: We offer this in evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in evidence. $I$ understand the objection.
Q Okay. You've read through the e-mail chain; correct?
A Yes, I quickly did.
Q Okay. You'll see that this e-mail chain at the top, the most recent one from November 16th, Mr. Donald Trump, Jr. indicates: "That's great. I'm glad the plans I had in Westchester could be of use." Do you see that?

A I see that statement, yes.
Q Okay. And the "re:" just so we could clarify something is the TIGC LA? Do you see that?

A I see the re: says TIGC LA.
Q Do people refer to the TNGC LA golf course sometimes as TIGC LA Trump International Golf Course LA?

A Presumably so.
Q When you received this did you understand this e-mail chain was referring to TIGC LA?

A I don't know what I thought because it seems to mix and match conversations about Westchester as well as LA, so I'm not
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really too sure about that.
Q Sure. Turn to page two of three. It's from Allen Weisselberg to Josh Seidner and you are cc'd. Do you see that? A Yes.
Q Okay. "I understand you are working on the conservation easement project for the above-captioned property." The above-captioned property is TIGC LA; correct?

A That is what it says that Allen wrote and that's where it starts, but rest of the e-mail provides for a lack of clarity.
Q Okay. Did you have an understanding, however, that one of the issues in this e-mail was TNGC LA?

A I don't know if it was an issue, but there is a discussion about it from the re: line or appears to be a discussion about it.

MR. SOLOMON: Okay. Let's mark PX 1412, please.
Q Ma'am, you see that PX 1412 is actually an offshoot of
the earlier e-mail chain we were just looking at, PX 1466. Do you see that?
A I'm still looking at it. Give me just a second, please.

All right. Could I have the question again, please.
Q Sure. Do you see that this e-mail chain is an offshoot of the earlier e-mail chain we were just looking at, PX $1466 ?$

A It looks to be a continuation or it looks to be
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 responding to that prior e-mail chain. | 1 referred to two different ways? |
| 2 Q Okay. On the first page you send an e-mail to Josh | 2 A Co |
| 3 Seidner and Allen Weisselberg with ccs; correct? | 3 Q Thank you |
| 4 A Yes | 4 On this e-mail - and when I refer to this e-mail, I am |
| 5 Q And the re: is still TIGC LA. Do you see that? | 5 still referring to PX 1412, the one from Josh Seidner to you |
| 6 A Yes | 6 with the ccs, second line, "Mr. Trump has reviewed this layout |
| 7 Q And you suggested at the bottom of your e-mail that | 7 and thought it can work for our purposes." Do you see that? |
| 8 your colleague, "Bob Leonard, worked extensively with our | 8 A Id |
| 9 engineer on another project." Do you see that? | 9 Q Did you have an understanding as to which Mr. Trump |
| 10 A Ido. | 10 that was referring to? |
| 11 Q Did Bob Leonard work on the TNGC LA potential donation | 11 A I may have at the time, but I don't know. |
| 12 of a conservation easen | 12 Q Was Donald Trump involved in the potential donation of |
| 13 A I don't recall. That was a long time ago back in 2012 | 13 a conservation easement over a portion of TNGC LA? |
| 14 whether Bob helped m | 14 A I don't recall whether he was involved or not. |
| 15 Q Okay. If you go up one on the e-mail chain, 11/26/2012 | 15 Q Was Donald Trump, Jr. involved in the potential |
| 16 from Josh Seidner to you with a cc to the same people, Donald | 16 donation of a conservation easement over TNGC LA? |
| 17 Trump, Jr., Donald Bender, Allen Weisselberg, Andrew Weiss, Jill | 17 A I similarly don't recall as to whether he was involved. |
| 18 Martin, and now Bob Leonard has been added as a cc. Do you see | 18 Q Same question for Eric Trump. |
| 19 that? | 19 A I don't recall whether Eric was involved. And part of |
| 20 A I do. | 20 this might be I don't know what you mean by "involved." Aware |
| 21 Q And Mr. Seidner says to you -- writes to you, excuse | 21 of? |
| 22 me : "Hi, Sheri. I hope all is well. Attached please find the | 22 Q Let's take a step back. |
| 23 proposed master plan layout for L | 23 Donald Trump Senior, to your knowledge, did he review |
| 24 Does that refresh your recollection that this relates | 24 the layout for the constraints map and existing conditions? |
| 25 to TNGC LA? | 25 A I am -- sitting here today I don't know which Mr. Trump |
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| A This part of the e-mail certainly relates to LA, yes. | 1 that's referring to. |
| 2 Q Okay. So you agree with me then in or about November | 2 Q Okay. |
| 3 of 2012 the owner of TNGC LA was contemplating or discussing a | 3 THE COURT: But that didn't answer the question. |
| 4 potential conservation easement over a portion of the TNGC LA | 4 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you. |
| 5 property; right? | 5 May I have a read back, Your Honor? |
| 6 A The owner of TNGC or TIGC LA, whichever it might be, | 6 THE COURT: Read back, please. |
| 7 appears to have been contemplating one in November 2012. | 7 And listen carefully. It's a very specific |
| 8 THE COURT: Well, wait a minute. You say | 8 question. |
| 9 "whichever it might be." I'd like to figure out whether | 9 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the |
| 10 it's one property with two names or two properties with | 10 proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) |
| 11 their own name. I have my own opinion, but I'd rather hear | 11 A I have no knowledge of that one way or the other. |
| 12 from the witness based on everything that's been in front of | 12 Q Same question for Donald Trump, Jr.? |
| 13 you, everything you remember, everything you've ever seen. | 13 A Same answer. I have no knowledge of that one way or |
| 14 Are they the same property or is it two different | 14 the other |
| 15 properties? | 15 Q Same question for Mr. Eric Trump? |
| 16 THE WITNESS: There is one property that I'm aware | 16 A I have no knowledge of that one way or the other. |
| 17 of in LA that's a golf cour | 17 Q You'll see how I wrote "cc" on this for Mr. Seidner is |
| 18 THE COURT: Which is? | 18 to Donald Trump, Jr.? |
| 19 THE WITNESS: I just don't recall if it's a TN or a | 19 A I do. |
| 20 TI. | 20 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to which |
| 21 THE COURT: Yes, but it's the same property? | 21 Mr. Trump you understood this to refer to at that time? |
| 22 THE WITNESS: It's the same property. | 22 A I'm sorry. That was 11 years ago, 12 years ago. I |
| 23 THE COURT: Thank | 23 just don't recall which Mr. Trump that was referring to. |
| 24 Q You'll see in properties later on it's referred to as | 24 Q And your e-mail ces Mr. Donald Trump, Jr.. And as you |
| 25 TNGC LA. Thank you for confirming. One property may be | 25 sit here today, you still don't have a recollection as to which |

Mr. Trump was referred to in this e-mail chain?
A I do not have a -- I don't remember. Yes, I can speculate for you, but I don't remember.
Q Speculate for us.
MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Speculation is not allowed in court.

MR. SOLOMON: She offered, Your Honor.
Transcript continues on the following page....
$1 \quad Q$ Did you understand that the potential easement that was being referred to or considered at that time covered all or a portion of the driving range at TNGCLA?
A Yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we, please, mark PX 1460.
Before we do that, Your Honor, I move into evidence PX 1412.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as

## Plaintiffs Exhibit 1412.)

Q Ma'am, you will see this is an e-mail chain, the most recent on this chain, meaning the first one, is Jill Martin sent October 9, 2014 to you. Do you see that?

A I'm sorry. Yes.
Q Did the project or the consideration of potential easement in 2012 cease for a period of time and then was restarted in or about 2014?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q You'll see the bottom e-mail is from Brian Curry to you, the one dated October 9th? Do you see that? 1:25 p.m.?

A Yes.
Q Who is Brian Curry?
A He was the appraiser retained to work on that project.
Q The TNGCLA project?
A Correct.

Q You then forward his e-mail on to Jill Martin. Do you see that, in the middle?

A I do.
Q First sentence, "Yesterday, we had a call with Donald re valuation of the 16 lots." Do you see that?

A I do.
7 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to which Mr.
8 Trump was involved in the TNGCLA project?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Which Mr. Trump was involved in the TNGCLA project?
A It refreshes my recollection on who this call was with.
It doesn't refresh my recollection on who was involved because I
believe both -- I certainly know Don or I don't know. I'm not
sure who was involved, but I know who
this -- I believe I know who this call was.
$Q \quad$ Who was the call with?
A That would be with Sr .
Q And during the call -- next sentence, I'm reading,
ma'am. "During the call, we understood Donald to indicate that the 16 lots (and I believe the rest of the "Phase 2" lots) had a different zip code than the Phase 1 lots" and then it goes on. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Was it Donald Trump, Sr. that indicated during the call that the 16 lots had a different zip code and then the
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additional information you provide in this e-mail?
A I'm getting a little uncomfortable here with some of my ethical obligations are privileged since we seem to be getting into conversations I had with my client.

MR. SOLOMON: Several things. First off, the privilege belongs to your client, so it is for them to object to privilege, not the witness, as I think you instructed the witness we had here yesterday, Your Honor.

Second, second, if I may, this is an e-mail that was produced in this litigation. Your Honor has already ruled that communications governing valuations and appraisals are not privileged.

THE COURT: Because they involve business, not legal advice; is that right?

MR. SOLOMON: Correct.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, first of all, when we get up too soon, we get criticized for objecting too soon by the government and when we wait too long, they criticize we waited too long. Ms. Dillon is appropriately setting forth as a currently licensed attorney and a former attorney for our clients. If she feels uncomfortable, I think it is incumbent upon her and I commend her for making the objection the way she did or at least making it an issue.

We do believe that any conversations, as I said earlier this afternoon, between Ms. Dillon and her former

1 clients are privileged. Again, we weren't directly involved
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## read back.) <br> 1 read back)

 in the special proceeding. I do know the Court made certain rules as to what documents were in or not, but when we address the issue with some previous witnesses, the fact that the Court may have ordered a document to be turned over previously in our view, especially since many of the defendants in this case were not defendants in that case were given an opportunity to assert privilege in that case. But once again, we asserted attorney-client privilege in any communication that Ms. Dillon had with those clients is privilege and not the proper subject of inquiry.THE COURT: Well, I'd rather not because I don't need to address the question of who can object. I see your point. Let's just go to the substance of it. Let me take a very careful read-through of this. I understand that -- not -- you as attorneys were not involved in the special proceeding. I also realize that certain of the defendants were not involved in the special proceedings investigation phase we'll call it. Let me just look at this for a minute.

MR. ROBERT: Yes, sir.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: Can I get a readback of the exact question?
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was

THE COURT: I guess I have to rule now. I rule that this is business information or advice, not legal advice. I direct the witness to answer. You are not prejudiced to where any other objections depending upon what the other questions are.
A Could you read back the question one more time?
THE COURT: Please. My fault.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A I'm not sure if it was Don, Sr. or Don, Jr.
Q Is the fact that you're unable to distinguish between Don, Sr. and Don, Jr. with respect to the TNGCLA project because they were both involved in it?

A It is because I saw from an e-mail that you showed me and my recollection Don, Jr. was involved in a lot of things.
17 His father was involved in very few things, but was aware of a
18 lot of things. And it's unclear to me who I was talking to then 19 and confirming that Don, Jr. was potentially involved was the
20 fact who was on those prior e-mails. So it is just a little bit
21 confusing to me and it was a long time ago.
22 Q I understand it was a long time ago, but my question
23 was just a little bit different. Is the reason you can't
24 distinguish who was involved in conversations relating to TNGCLA
25 because both Don, Jr., Donald Trump, Jr. and Donald Trump Sr.
were both involved in the project?
MR. ROBERT: Objection; leading.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A And my answer I think remains the same. One, I don't know what you mean by involved. I would certainly agree that Don, Sr. was aware. I don't think he was necessarily involved. He was the highest level executive at the company and involved is -- seems to suggest more to me as -- that seems to be more of a day-to-day thing as opposed to aware of having a general awareness. So that's the distinction I make.

As far as Don, Jr., I can't remember if he was involved or also aware and whether it was the staff at the Trump Org who was running the show. I just don't recall.
Q I appreciate, ma'am, your distinction between involved and aware of. So when you say "involved," that's day-to-day and when you say "aware," you mean aware of; is that fair?
A I would say there's two spectrums, right, and I would say it is not every single detail every day to be involved, but there's also a general awareness which can be a high level awareness on the other hand and there is a spectrum there. So I just want to be clear that I don't know what you exactly mean by involved, but I don't think -- I don't -- in my view, neither of them were involved as I would use the word "involved."
Q Okay. When I say "involved" and I asked you questions using the word "involved," give me anyone on that spectrum you
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just described and feel free to tell me where you think they are on that spectrum. Is that fair?
A I think at a high level, Don, Sr. was aware. I think Don, Jr. may have been more aware.
Q That's fair. I appreciate the differentiation between the two and I thank you for that. I want to make clear when I use the word "involved" today, I want you to understand it means anywhere on that spectrum that you just described and if you need to explain your answer to tell me where it falls. Feel free to do so, but it would include someone if they're anywhere on the spectrum you described, okay?

A Sure.
THE COURT: No. No. If we are going to refer to a spectrum from aware to involve, I guess it's -- it is involved to aware. Let's not call it the aware spectrum. Let's call it the aware/involved spectrum.

MR. SOLOMON: Very fair enough, Your Honor. I will be guided by that suggestion.

THE COURT: Or some other way, just so we're not saying one end of the spectrum to refer to the whole spectrum.

MR. SOLOMON: How about I use the term "a role in" and then the witness can tell me where it falls between aware and day-to-day involvement? Does that work?

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I think this is an

1 important distinction if he asks a question and the witness

24 Q Who is Mr. Zbranek?
25 A Mr. Zbranek was another appraiser at Cushman \& needs clarification. It is direct examination. The witness will say, "I can't answer it that way" and explain it her way. I think using a define term will create confusion.

MR. SOLOMON: Im inviting the witness to explain.
THE COURT: A role in -- I would say being aware of something is not necessarily, depending upon your view of language, to have a role in. I'm sure you're creative enough to come up some way to ask the question that doesn't imply the answer and that the witness can explain if she needs to.

MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor. We move PX 1460 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1460.)

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we, please, mark PX 944 for identification.
Q Ma'am, this is an e-mail chain between you and Brian
Curry with Rick Zbranek as a cc. Do you see that?
A Yes.
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Wakefield.
Q And was he working on the TNGCLA project, to your knowledge?
A Yes, he was.
Q In the top e-mail from Mr. Curry to you on October 16, 2014, Mr. Curry writes, "Sheri, I revisited pricing in both lots and home sales scenarios. Numbers come in around 27 to 28 million "-- "MM for the driving range property. That is about 1.7 to 1.75 MM per lot "as is" condition (not if yet fully entitled before land development, time and risk, et cetera.)" Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Did you understand that Mr. Curry was advising you that the driving range property was worth approximately 27 to $\$ 28$ million?
A That seemed to be his preliminary view at that point in time.
Q His preliminary estimate of the value of the driving range property, right?

A I think it speaks for itself. He said the numbers come in around 27 to 28 , I understood "MM" to be million, for the driving range property and at that point in time.
Q At that point in time. That's all we're asking. At
24 that point in time, the driving range property was the property
25 that was being considered for the potential donation of the
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1 conservation easement, right?
A The conservation easement was considered -- the easement that was being considered would be placed over part or all of the driving range property.
$Q$ So if it's over all of the driving range property, it would be somewhere around 27 to 28 million, right?

A At this point, I don't recall whether it was all of the driving range property that was being considered. It's been a long time since I looked at these appraisals, so I don't want to commit to something that I don't know if it is true or not.

Q It says for the driving range property. It doesn't say for a portion of it, does it?

A Doesn't say for all of it either.
Q But it says for the driving range property, right?
A I find that to be sort of an imprecise and it also
isn't talking about the conservation easement. It is not
talking about a lot of things and if you have more documents,
that would be really helpful. I could take a look at them and understand it, but --
Q We will get to more documents, ma'am, but I want to know your understanding at various points in time.

A At this point in time, he was suggesting that numbers come in around 27 to 28 million for the driving range property, whatever he means by that.

THE COURT: Just a second. I learned in junior
high school or high school that if you refer to something, you mean the whole thing unless there's some indication you only mean part of it. Now, obviously, I don't know what Mr. Curry was thinking at the time, but -- and there is no jury here. I wouldn't be saying this, but I take the driving range to mean the whole driving range. But, you know, if there's an indication that less than that is meant, fine.
And I'm not sure that's what he meant, but that's how I interpret it.

MR. KISE: Respectfully, Your Honor, we're back to a little bit to where we were yesterday. It is a matter of interpretation. I think the witness has to testify as to what she can relate. Understanding your view, but she can only testify to what she can testify to.

THE COURT: I agree. Thank you. You're right.
THE WITNESS: And I'm not trying to be difficult here. It is just there's a lot of different pieces to a driving range property. There's things beyond just the driving range and the lots. Does it include the putting range? I don't know. Does it include the huts? I don't know. There's a lot to it, so that's what's complicating this. There's a lot of shorthand and abbreviations used in e-mails that make them very hard to understand. Q Is that why you at one point in time you instructed your associate not to send e-mails to avoid creating discovery?
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## notice.

Q Sound about right?
MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: What's the ground of the objection?
MR. ROBERT: He's leading and turning this into a cross-examination. It is a direct examination of a witness they called. Is not "is this right," "is that right." Ask open-ended questions and get answers.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, this witness was counsel for the Trump Organization and many entities as she testified. She was an agent. We actually have the right to treat her as a hostile witness and lead on it.

THE COURT: I would agree she can be considered a hostile witness.

MR. ROBERT: This witness has demonstrated no evidence of that at all. She's been incredibly forthright and the fact that a question may be inarticulately phrased, no offense to Mr. Solomon, the witness is being very careful in the words she is using as a careful lawyer. So the fact she may not understand one of his questions or she thinks he is being combative is not what the record demonstrates. She is not an adverse witness and she is not a hostile witness. The government has to continue to treat her and do a normal direct examination.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, the standard isn't how
A I have routinely throughout my practice, through all of years of practice, I was taught by lots of folks when anything is complicated pick, up the phone. Don't use shorthand. Don't use abbreviations. You will end up with 40 e-mails that make no sense and no one understands even at the time or later, so pick p the phone and give them a call.
Q Do you recall that the driving range was comprised of 6 lots?
driving range on it.
Q Wasn't my question. Later in the process of the
analysis for the donation or potential donation of the
conservation easement, the appraiser determined that you could do 16 lots on the driving range property. Do you recall that?
A The driving range property when it was being considered for a conservation easement, one of the plans of a hypothetical subdivision showed 16 lots.
Q Okay. 16 lots times he determined roughly 1.7 to 1.75 illion per lot, right? You see that in the e-mail?
A I see the numbers 1. --
Q When we multiply 16 lots times 1.7 million, we've done this before.

MR. SOLOMON: I ask the Court to take judicial notice that I think that's $\$ 27.2$ million.

THE COURT: I'm not surprised. I take judicial

1
the witness is answering the questions. The standard is the witness' status as a former attorney and as a former agent for the Trump Organization and various entities as she testified to. We have a right to treat her as a hostile witness. The word 'hostile' doesn't mean 'antagonistic' necessarily. It is a legal term. We all know that.

MR. ROBERT: The key is former, no longer current attorney. Ms. Dillon is not our current agent. She was a former attomey for our clients. Again, proceed as we have been with other witnesses and so far, things have been going well until the last few questions.

THE COURT: I want to make clear to the witness that by deeming her hostile or adverse, I'm not criticizing her. It is really more of a status situation. Whether or not the answers have also indicated maybe a little bit of body language one way or the other, you could argue that. In any event, objection overruled. I direct the witness to answer.

Do you need a readback or you want to just ask again --
A Are you asking me to confirm your math?
Q I am just asking you if that sounds reasonable to you that --
A 1--
Q If it sounds reasonable to you that the 1.7 to 1.75
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million times 16 lots comes out to in the ballpark of 27 to $\$ 28$ million as referenced in this e-mail?

THE COURT: We must have 50 calculators in this room.
A 1.7 million for 10 of them. For six of them
at -- probably around that number, but --
Q Thank you.
THE COURT: That answers the question.
THE WITNESS: I use my Apple calculator all the time.

THE COURT: We all do.
THE WITNESS: I haven't done multiplication in a while.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer this in evidence if I have not already.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations and relevance.
THE COURT: Overruled. Overruled. Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document were marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 944.)

MR. SOLOMON: Can we, please, mark PX 1402 for identification.
Q Do you recognize this as an e-mail chain with respect to the potential conservation easement donation for TNGCLA? A Yes.
$1 \quad$ Q And you are on this e-mail chain, correct?
2 A Yes.
3 Q And the top message, the most recent on the first page is from Jill Martin. Do you see that?

A Ito.
Q Did you understand that on or about November 2014 she was the assistant general counsel for the Trump Organization?

A Yes.
9 Q Brian Curry, we've discussed. Selim Sawaya, do you see 10 Mr. Sawaya is a cc on this?
11 A Ido.
12 Q Do you know who Mr. Sawaya is?
13 A Yes.
14 Q Who is he?
15 A He was an engineer.
16 Q Did he work on the TNGCLA project?
17 A Yes, he did.
18 Q What was Jill Martin's role involvement or awareness on
19 this project, to your knowledge?
A Jill Martin was in-house counsel for the Trump
Organization in LA. She was providing legal advice and assisting one of my primary contacts in connection with the conservation easement.

MR. SOLOMON: We offer PX 1402 in evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Objection; statute of limitations.

A I do.
Q "trumpvalueconclusions.pdf," correct?
A I see those words.
Q Did you add that description for the attachment?
A I don't believe so. I don't know. I have no idea.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, it looks like the e-mail from Mr. Curry already has "attorney-client confidential work product" in the subject line.

THE COURT: We understand that.
MR. SOLOMON: Yes, I wasn't questioning that. That's forwarded. The next line, however, where it says "attachments," "trumpvalueconclusions" is not in the e-mail from Mr. Curry to Ms. Dillon.

MR. ROBERT: Correct. That's why this whole document should not be in evidence because it is attorney-client privilege. That makes our point. It was -- the attorney forwarding the document doesn't undo it and you're correct, sir. There is an additional document that is privileged.

THE COURT: Let me try to get to the --
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, if you look at the second page, the additional document is "Trump Estates Conservation Easement Valuation." The Court has ruled repeatedly that valuation numbers are not privileged. The appraisal process to get to valuation numbers is not privileged. Only
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1

21 Q Right. When you forwarded on, however, the forward on
22 subject "FW: Attorney-client confidential work product," right?
23 A I see that.
24 Q And now there is a line added that says "attachments."
25 Do you see that?
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 1402.)

MR. SOLOMON: Can we, please, mark PX 1472 for identification.
Q 1472 is an e-mail chain. At the bottom is from Brian
Curry to you with a cc back to Brian Curry and Rick Zbranek.
You see "attached"?
A Yes.
Q Shortly thereafter on December 12th, you forward it on to Samuel Guthrie. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Who is Samuel Guthrie?
A He was an associate who worked with me.
Q The e-mail from Mr. Curry, the subject is
"attorney-client confidential work product." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q When you forwarded on -- withdrawn.
And it just simply says, "see attached," right?
A Yes, that's what Brian Curry says.
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attorney work product privilege does not make it attorney work product or privileged.

MR. ROBERT: I understand that there is a distinction between business and legal. I think we all get that. Where things get a little blurry is when you have lawyers that need to understand business aspects of a transaction to give legal advice. Here, it is abundantly clear that the information that's in this attachment, while nobody's going to dispute that it is numbers, it is not a legal opinion of an interpretation of a brief or a statute is clearly designed in the process of obtaining information to give legal advice. Therefore, it's privileged. Just because it is a business item doesn't mean that now it's not something that's subject and covered by attorney-client privilege.

MR. KISE: It's also, Your Honor -- it's attorney work product. Attorneys hire all sorts of consultants and experts all day long whether in litigation or outside of litigation. If your client has an issue and you need to understand an issue, you can hire a subject matter expert. It may be a business issue. It might be an accounting issue. You would hire an accountant to provide information that evaluates numbers.

So just because it's numbers and just because it relates to business doesn't mean that it's not valid

1 attorney work product. That's what attorneys do all day long. I mean, if we're waiving work product protections and privilege protections simply because there are numbers in the document, that eviscerates the attorney work product privilege and attorney-client privilege in the case.

THE COURT: I have not seen any requests for legal advice or legal advice. Work product, I would be happy to discuss what's your definition or would you like to find the definition of what attorney work product is? Or I know it is not a privilege, what do they call it, the doctrine?

MR. KISE: I don't have to define it. The law defines it and so --

THE COURT: What is the legal definition?
MR. KISE: Attorneys is work product, work product gathered in the course of rendering legal advice. I mean, it's very simple. So if an attorney hires someone to give them information and input in the course of their rendering legal advice, the product itself doesn't have to contain legal advice. It doesn't have to contain a legal opinion. It's something gathered during the course of their work.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's hear -- let's hear from the other side.
(Continued on the next page.)

## THE COURT: Why isn't this attorney work product?

 What is attorney work product?MR. SOLOMON: The work of the appraiser in determining the valuations contained on the second page of this exhibit. I assume that's all we're arguing over; is that correct? The first page no one is claiming it is privileged.

So the second page of this exhibit, Your Honor, is work done by an appraiser. It is then forwarded on. The original work done by the appraiser is not privileged merely because the appraiser then sends it to the attorney does not make it attorney work product.

THE COURT: Some of these points are clear. The fact that they label it something, that doesn't make it privilege. The fact that it's forwarded, doesn't make it -well, it could be waived.

MR. ROBERT: Mr. Solomon is conflating. I think the appropriate inquiry is if you ask the witness was this information obtained by you in the furtherance of you providing legal advice to the client. If the answer is no, then it's free inquiry; if the answer is yes, it would be subject to attorney work product.

It's not up to Mr. Solomon at this point to say what Ms. Dillon needed or didn't need in 2014 to help her render legal advice to her client. That's up to her as the

1 lawyer.
THE COURT: I think one thing I remember clearly about work product is the purpose is that other people can't say oh, this is what the attorney is thinking, this is what the attorney is doing, this is not what the attorney is thinking or doing. This is, what, somebody from Cushman \& Wakefield, right?

MR. ROBERT: Who is hired to assist the attorney in providing legal advice.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's not the attorney work product. It's the Cushman \& Wakefield work product.

MR. KISE: But it's gathered in the course of the attorney's duties and I believe that at least some of these engagements, if not this one, I don't have it here, that Cushman was engaged by the lawyers.

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. KISE: So if I engage as a lawyer and I hire an expert to help me, by definition that's work product. Just because the expert is an appraiser by definition that's work product. That's -- the purpose I'm engaging him is to assist me to render legal advice. I'm not engaging them to assist in facilitating a transaction. If I share that work product later with non-privileged individuals, that's a different story, but we're not there yet.

THE COURT: I believe, again, that I remember the
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language some cases discussing work product. The attorney's thoughts, impressions. This not the attorney's thoughts and impression. Overruled. It's in.

Any questions you want to ask about it, go ahead. It may also be law of the case at this point.

MR. SOLOMON: I was going to cite Your Honor to your September 23, 2020 decision in which the Court said, "Part of the opinion is this Court orders Respondent Morgan Lewis \& Bockius to turn over by October 2, 2020 all documents that they have" -- excuse me -- "identified as settlement privilege." It goes on.
"The Court reflects the applicability of any settlement privilege" and then continues with "Morgan Lewis to produce to petitioner by October 2, 2020 all documents related to the," quote, 'preliminary valuations' closed quote, "of the Seven Springs easement as documents related to a preliminary valuation are of a business and not of a legal nature."

THE COURT: Well, that's the privilege. That's not work product. By the way, I made a ruling. It was either not appealed or affirmed. I'll change my mind if I think I was wrong, but I don't think I was wrong.

MR. KISE: Again, Your Honor, I know Your Honor loves that phrase, but as Your Honor's already pointed out, work product and privilege are two different things.

Second, it's not that -- if individuals or entities that were not parties to that proceeding are here now, their privilege isn't waived. I mean, it's not possible to waive in perpetuity a privilege for some stranger to a proceeding. And so those individuals and entities are entitled to assert the privilege and they're entitled to assert work product protection at this stage because they wouldn't have had any opportunity at the prior stage.

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. KISE: There would be no waiver based on that. So while I appreciate Mr. Solomon read, I'm sure, accurately from the prior determination, that would have no bearing on individuals or entities that were not participants in that process.

THE COURT: Yes, I understand waiver is a partial and fallback argument, but I don't think -- it's certainly not privileged. I don't believe it's work product either. So let's proceed.

MR. SOLOMON: Can we have it offered into evidence?
THE COURT: Over objection, it's admitted into evidence.
Q Please look at the second page, ma'am.
Did you understand this to be the value conclusions
from Mr. Curry for the potential conservation easement over the demonstrative driving range at TNGC LA?
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1 A Looking at this document today I don't know if this is the document that was attached to the e-mail you've got. Assuming that it is, I assume this to be some sort of preliminary value conclusion. I don't know if it's a final conclusion. I don't know what it is in isolation, but it is something that shows some valuation numbers. I don't know in what context. If you had other documents -- the final ones are in the appraisal. I know what those are, but these I don't know.
Q I understand, ma'am, but I want to establish the knowledge that you had at various points in time, okay?

I understand there is a -- you think there is a final appraisal. We'll get to it whatever you think it might be. My point is different. At this point in time, you had received Trump Estates Conservation Easement Valuation from the person at Cushman \& Wakefield that was tasked with appraising the value of the potential donation of a conservation easement at TNGC LA; correct?

A And, again, I'm sorry, I'm a lawyer. I'm precise. That's what I do. I get paid to be precise. I get paid a lot to be precise, so my problem is if you want me to assume this was attached to that e-mail, I can't coordinate these dates for you because there is no date on what I'm looking at, so I don't know what point in time. I agree at some point in time this appears to be prepared. It doesn't say Cushman \& Wakefield on
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it. I don't know who prepared it. This looks like lots of things I've seen. I've seen many, many, many of these and I'm sure you have, too, by now so I can't connect those dots for you. I would like to. I'm not trying to be -- I prefer to go home instead of sit here, so I'm not trying to delay this. I just can't give you what you want.
Q See if we can approach this another way.
This is how it was produced to us, these pages together, number one.

Number two, "VE" on the bottom, Vincent \& Elkins, it was produced by your prior firm, okay? I am making that representation to you that that's who it came from and that's how it was produced as one document. That was in fact an attachment to the December 2014 e-mail, okay? Can you accept that? Can you accept that representation?

A I didn't produce the document, so yes, I'll accept -I'm not trying to quibble with you. All I'm trying to say is this has no date on it. I don't know. It's an e-mail on that date. I'll agree with that it had an attachment of some sort. Is this what was attached to that e-mail? If you say so, all right.

Q Okay. Let's go based upon that, please?
A Okay. So your testimony is that this --
Q No. My representation to you, ma'am?
A My representation.
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THE COURT: We agreed to be precise.
Q So this second page, if you see note one is before condition. On the top line, "development and golf course property value before condition note one;" right?
A Yes, I see that.
Q And if you look down bottom, the note says, "60 lots and golf course without driving range;" right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. "Less development and golf course property value after condition." That's note two; right?

A Yes.
Q And note two is "44 lots and golf course without driving range;" right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. You don't need to grab a calculator. 60 minus 44 is 16 ? That's the 16 lots I made the representation to you about earlier when I asked you to do the math and we did the math together, right, 16 lots?
A I don't think we did math on 16 lots. We did math on the value.
Q Yes. 16 lots times approximately 1.7 million per lot. Do you recall that?

A Yes, but we weren't - yes, but the question wasn't 16 lots. It was what was the value. That's what we were doing before was the math.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q You questioned whether there were 16 lots on the | 1 Q He forwards it on to you on March 12, 2015; right? |
| 2 driving range and I told you I was going to show you later that | 2 A Ye |
| 3 there were in fact 16 lots on the driving range and that's what | 3 Q Okay. So by no later than March 12, 2015 you had this |
| 4 I'm doing here. Do you see that the before and after show a | 4 appraisal; correct? |
| 5 difference of 16 lots? | 5 A Yes. |
| 6 A I see 16 lots here, but my memory tells me there are | 6 Q Okay. |
| 7 many - there are different configurations at various points in | 7 MR. SOLOMON: We'll offer this into evidence. |
| 8 time and it wasn't always 16. | 8 MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and |
| $9 \quad Q \quad$ Is it 16 here? | 9 the appraisal itself is hearsay. |
| 10 A It's 16 on this document, yes. | 10 THE COURT: I assume it's notice not for the truth |
| 11 Q Thank you. | 11 of its contents. Objection overruled. And it's introduced |
| 12 So reading this now and assuming this was the | 12 solely for notice, not the truth of its contents. |
| 13 attachment to the e-mail cover, okay, Mr. Curry comes up with a | 13 MR. KISE: Notice to whom? To Ms. Dillon? |
| 14 valuation for the development and golf course property before | 14 THE COURT: Plaintiff, you're claiming notice to |
| 15 the condition of 108 million; right? | 15 anyone else? |
| 16 A 108 million. I see that on the page, yes. | 16 MR. SOLOMON: The interior letter on the appraisal |
| 17 Q Okay. And then the value after the condition is 82 | 17 itself is directed to Ms. Jill Martin as the witness |
| 18 million. Do you see that? | 18 testifie |
| 19 A I see the total value after is 82 million. | 19 MR. KISE: I'm looking at the top of the e-mail, |
| 20 Q Okay. So by no later than December of 2014 you had | 20 that's fine. If that's the case, I want to be clear. |
| 21 received information that the value of the golf course according | 21 THE COURT: So just Jill Martin. |
| 22 to Mr. Curry before the condition was 108 million; right? | 22 MR. SOLOMON: Jill Martin originally and it was |
| 23 A Yes, if this document was attached to the December 12th | 23 forwarded to Samuel Guthrie who was - |
| 24 e-mail, then yes, this shows the 108 million | 24 THE COURT: Two people? |
| 25 MR. SOLOMON: Okay. Let's mark PX 1464 for | 25 MR. SOLOMON: At a minimum. |
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| 1 identification. | 1 THE COURT: Deal. |
| 2 THE COURT: Five-minute warning. | 2 MR. KISE: As reflected in this exhibit, that's who |
| 3 MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor. | 3 is the notice, not at a minimum, if they're not in here. |
| 4 Q Do you recognize what's been marked as Exhibit PX 1464? | 4 THE COURT: It could have been shown to other |
| 5 A I recognize -- I don't specifically recognize it, but | 5 people. |
| 6 yes. It's an appraisal report. | 6 MR. KISE: It could have been - |
| 7 Q Okay. And you'll see that on the page five of 160 it's | 7 THE COURT: I understand just for purpose of notice |
| 8 signed by Brian Curry? | 8 to those three people. |
| 9 A Yes. | 9 MR. KISE: Correct. |
| 10 Q And also by Richard Zbranek? | 10 THE COURT: I told you we understand each other. |
| 11 A Yes. | 11 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, before I go into this in |
| 12 Q Did you receive this appraisal report on or about | 12 depth, you have given me a five-minute warning. |
| 13 March 12, 2015? | 13 THE COURT: About four minutes ago, so let's take a |
| 14 A I'm not sure when I received it, but it is dated | 14 break now. 15-minute break and I'm starting again in |
| 15 March 4, 2015 in the cover letter and it was sent to Ms. Jill | 1515 minutes. |
| 16 Martin. So I don't know when I got it, but somewhere around | 16 (Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.) |
| 17 that time frame. | 17 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in |
| 18 Q Go back to the first page of the exhibit. | 18 session. Please be seated and come to order. |
| 19 A Okay. | 19 THE COURT: Notice that Rock \& Roll song, "Can I |
| 20 Q E-mail? | 20 get a witness?" "Can I get a witness, witness, witness?" |
| 21 A The e-mail. | 21 THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. |
| 22 Q Yes, ma'am. Thank you. | 22 (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and |
| 23 You'll see that it was originally sent to Sam Guthrie | 23 approaches the witness stand.) |
| 24 and on March 12th -- Samuel was -- is a he? | 24 THE COURT: Please continu |
| 25 A Yes. | 25 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor. |

## CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

 BY MR. SOLOMON:Q Ms. Dillon, can we turn to the appraisal which has been marked as PX 1464?

A Yes.
Q You'll see on the cover of the appraisal itself, it's dated as of December 16, 2014; correct?

A Correct.
Q And that is the valuation date; right?
A I believe so, yes.
Q Okay.
A I'd have to confirm it on the inside.
Q Please take a moment. I'm sorry if I misspoke. It's December 26, 2014 is the date on the cover.

A Yes, and that is the valuation date.
Q Okay. The fourth page is the letter addressed to Jill
Martin. Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q And I had mentioned to you an entity VH Property Corp as one of the owners. If you look at the fifth line down there is a reference to property owned by VH Property Corp and VHPS LLC (collectively, the "Donor") and "donor" is in quotes. Do you see that?
24 A Ido.
25 Q Does that refresh your recollection that the owner of
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the property were those entities?
2 A Yes, to a degree.
3 Q What do you mean by "to a degree?"

25

15 A Yes.
16 Q Has the before condition property as note one; right?
17 A Yes.
18 Q $\$ 107$ million; right?
19 A That's what it says.
20 Q Okay. And is that your understanding of Mr. Curry and
21 Mr . Zbranek's conclusion as to the value of the TNGC LA property

A It is my understanding that is the before condition of
24 the property they valued as 107 million.
A Well, I'm not sure if it was VHPS LLC that directly owned it or if it was Property Corp, but it was in a structure and those were the ultimate -- I think one owned through the other. I just can't quite remember the setup.
Q Do you have any reason to believe the information contained in this cover letter is inaccurate in any way with respect to the owner of the property?
A No. It's just a little unclear, perhaps.
Q Turn to the next page. You'll see there is a chart
Valuation Summary. Similar to what you saw in that attachment to your e-mail; right? before the condition?

Q Okay. And the after condition, 82 million; right?
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1 A That is what it says.
2 Q And that gives you -- I think you explained the math 3 and how it is -- the value of the easement would be $\$ 25$ million?

A Well, unless there are some other adjustments, but that appears to be what they valued the easement as.
$6 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ So the next line is 25 million?
7 A Yes.
8 Q And then they didn't have to make any adjustments, N/A?
9 A They did not make any adjustments.
10 Q I'm sorry. Please finish your answer.
11 A Yes, it said "not applicable" so there are no
12 adjustments. There is a difference. It's not zero. It's not 13 applicable.
14 THE COURT: Make sure she's completely finished and 15 try not to keep saying okay, okay, okay. Just ask your next question.
Q Line E, which is "C-D, conservation easement 25
million." Do you see that?
A I do see that.
Q Did you understand that to be the conclusion of the valuation of the conservation easement?
A I understood that to be the estimated fair market value of the conservation easement as of December 26, 2014.

Q If I could ask you to turn to page 156 of 160 in the exhibit.
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1 A 156, did you say?
2 Q Yes, of 160 . You'll see there are small numbers on the 3 bottom of each page.
4 A Yes, give me a second. I'm on the wrong --
5 Q Of course.
6 A Yes, I'm there.
7 Q Do you see the chart in the middle of the page, "Sales
8 Price Per Hole Value Estimate?"
9 A I do.
10 Q And the sale price per hole conclusion is $\$ 15,800,000$.
11 Do you see that?
12 A Yes.
13 Q What understanding, if any, did you have or do you have 14 as to what that number represents?

A It has been a long time since I've looked at this, so I need to read around it to better understand it. I have no recollection sitting here today it was another way of valuing things. I just don't recall what it was doing. This is a
backup for their work product.
Q If you look at the top of page 156, the title is "Price 21 Her Hole." Do you see that?

A I see that. If you want me to read this, then maybe I can help you, but it's going to take me a minute to get there. It's been years since I've read this valuation and it was complicated because of the golf course property, the driving

$1 \quad Q \quad$ Who would be getting it from Jill? Who are you referencing in that last answer?
3 A It would be whoever she would send it to. I don't know. Yeah, they would have needed it at the Trump Organization.
Q What do you mean by "needed it at the Trump Organization"?

A They would have needed documentation to know what they did.
Q So who at the Trump Organization would need that documentation to know what they did?

MR. KISE: Objection. Calls for speculation.
THE COURT: Without speculating, who, if any, would have received this?
A And so who do I think Jill would have sent it to if I don't recall who I sent it to? Is that the question?

MR. SOLOMON: That was not the question, Your Honor. May I ask for a readback?

THE COURT: Readback, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

MR. KISE: Again, Your Honor, that's just -- now that I see it read back, it's just complete speculation.
How would she know anything about internal affairs of the Trump Organization?
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1 THE COURT: Well, she dealt with them.

MR. KISE: It doesn't appear that the witness remembers who she sent it to herself much less who in the Trump Organization might have received it. It appears to call for complete speculation.

MR. SOLOMON: Question wasn't who might have received it. The witness testified she understood it would have been sent to whoever at the Trump Organization needed it. And my question was specifically tailored to that last answer as to who she was referring to when she said who at the Trump Organization might have needed it.

MR. KISE: I don't think she was referring to anyone. I think she was just simply saying when you say I don't --

MR. SOLOMON: Counsel, your thinking of who she might have been referring to is not relevant. The witness' stated who would have needed it. I asked her who that was.

MR. KISE: She said whoever might have needed it would get it.

MR. SOLOMON: I'm entitled to ask who she was referring to.

MR. KISE: I'm entitled to say it is calling for speculation because I don't know if this witness is capable of knowing that.

THE COURT: Overruled. If she doesn't know, she
doesn't. If she can tell us, she'll tell us.
A Could we have the question again, please?
THE COURT: For a third time, yes. Let's have it read back.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A And it -- to the extent anyone wanted to use it to
support a charitable deduction on a tax return, the people that would need it would be the accountants and most importantly, the outside accountant who prepared the returns which would be Donald Bender.

Q You said 'the accountants' and then differentiated "the outside accountants." The first accountant, were you referring to the inside accountants at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Who were those people?
A The person that probably would have needed it would have been Jeff McConney.

THE COURT: Try not to say, "okay."
MR. SOLOMON: I'm sorry, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we, please, pull up PX 731 native which is in evidence and go to lines 381 -- let's start at the top of the document first.
Q This previously has been identified as what's known as
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Jeff Supporting Data or the Supporting Data for the Donald J.
Trump Annual Statement of Financial Condition and this is the one for June 30, 2015.

MR. SOLOMON: Could we, please, go to lines 38 through 404.
Q You'll see that for the year ending 6/30/2014, the total valuation for Trump National Golf Club LA is $\$ 213,690,642$. Do you see that?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Grounds?
MR. ROBERT: There is no foundation this witness even knows what this document is. He's just asking her if she sees something on a page up on a screen.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: I'm getting there, Your Honor. I'm just asking if she saw that number on the screen.

THE COURT: Objection sustained. I think we need to know context of what this document is.
Q You mentioned Jeff McConney --
MR. SOLOMON: Sorry. Your Honor, we need to know?
THE COURT: What this document is and the witness certainly might need to know what this document is.

MR. SOLOMON: And I represented that this is Jeff McConney Supporting Data. That's why we went to the top, the June 30, 2015 Statement of Financial Condition of Donald

## J. Trump.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. SOLOMON: It is in evidence, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. And maybe I should have --
MR. SOLOMON: I understand the witness may not have
seen this document before. That's not what I'm asking.
THE COURT: That's what I want to get into.
Q What I want to show the witness is as you see as of June 30, 2015 the valuation for TNGCLA according to this document is $\$ 140,710,895$. Do you see that?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled. Maybe the question
was -- I don't know whether the question was proper before or after, but now it is proper. It has been identified and he's just asking if she sees the number on the document. Overruled.
Q And you recall --
THE COURT: Did we get an answer? Did we get an answer that she saw the number?
Q Do you see that, ma'am?
A I see the number sitting here right now in this courtroom on that screen.
Q Thank you. And you recall the appraisal that we just saw had valued the entire property at 107 million before the donation of the conservation easement? Do you recall that?

A I -- I want to take a step back first. I think --
Q Ma'am, my question was do you recall that the appraisal that we saw earlier on the chart on page 5 of 160 valued the property as you agreed at $\$ 107$ million before the easement donation? It is a yes or no.

MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Solomon is using this witness to make some sort of closing argument. He's trying to get the witness to close the loop on connections between documents, one of which the witness hasn't even seen before today and she's just -- the only answer she's given is, "Yes, I can see" like I could give that answer, "Yes, I see the number on the screen says 213 million;" but there's no foundation for her to go ahead and now extrapolate, "I see this appraisal," "I see Jeff McConney's spreadsheet" and somehow there is an interconnectivity there. There is no foundation at all for this. It is totally improper to question this witness about something she knows nothing about.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I haven't asked her to extrapolate anything. I asked her if she saw the number on the screen and $I$ asked her if she remembers a number on a document that she identified that is in evidence for notice.

THE COURT: I don't think that proves much necessarily.

MR. KISE: Notice to whom? We are back to that.

1 We established before that the only people that was 2 introduced for notice for did not include Mr. McConney, that 3 I'm aware of. It was the people that were on the e-mail. 4 That was what was agreed to when we introduced the document.

THE COURT: You're objecting to the question or the number's different? Is one number $A$ and one number is $B$ ?

MR. KISE: If that's all he is asking, does this number on that page says this, and this number on this page says that.

MR. SOLOMON: So the record is clear, the witness also said that since this was on the tax return as a deduction, it would have been sent to Jeff McConney.

MR. KISE: No. No, Your Honor. She did not say that. She said who might need it, might need it, but she didn't testify that that's exactly who it was sent to. She can't possibly do that because she doesn't know who it was sent to. He is now putting words in her mouth which is the danger of going down these lines of questioning.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I will stand on the record transcript as to what the witness testified to with respect to Mr. McConney in receiving what I'm assuming this appraisal.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, she didn't testify that Mr. McConney received it because she can't probably do that. THE COURT: You know, one thing that even though
certainties either. If somebody says, "Well, I think this person could have" or "I would have" or "This is how I normally did things," it is up to the trier of fact to decide whether it happened or not.

MR. KISE: I would respectfully disagree particularly when it comes to things like intent of a criminal statute. You can't just assume that someone received a document and then ignored it which is exactly what the Attorney General is asking. That's where they're going with this is they're trying to establish through a witness who would have absolutely no knowledge whether Mr. McConney actually received something from Jill Martin.

The right witness to ask is Jill Martin or Mr. McConney, but certainly not this witness. We can't skip over the part where we establish that Mr. McConney actually had a copy of this document and, therefore, would have acted or not acted in a particular way. That's clearly what they're trying to establish, to just back-door here knowledge, actual knowledge and, therefore, intent which they'll argue later that Mr. McConney acted in a certain way or failed to act in a certain way. They can't presume that

1 based on a witness who has absolutely no basis to conclude
one way or the other whether or not Mr. McConney would have received this document.

THE COURT: We have a fundamental difference about that. Let me ask you a hypothetical. What if there's proof, solid proof that this witness, and this is a hypothetical, had mailed it to Mr. McConney? We don't -- but we don't have Mr. McConney saying, "Yes, I received it." Could the trier of fact conclude that yes, he received it?

MR. KISE: We don't have that evidence. Your hypothetical assumes facts not in evidence. So if they were to establish that -- if they were to establish, for example, that this witness actually e-mailed it to Mr. McConney, yes, then they would be able to establish that, in fact, this witness would have a basis to know or it would be reasonable for her to conclude that Mr. McConney received it because of the fact of sending.

We have none of that here. What we have is a witness who has been more or less forced to speculate as to who might need it within the organization based on her knowledge and now they're taking that to mean that Mr. McConney, in fact, received it and they're comparing it to Mr. McConney's spreadsheet which the witness has never seen before today it would appear and doesn't have any ability to
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witness testified that it was used for tax deduction and that she would have to send it or the recipient would have to be the accountant and the outside accountants. I asked her who. She differentiated between inside and outside. The inside was she identified Mr. McConney, but I didn't want to interrupt Mr. Kise and I think, Your Honor, we can settle this in one quick and easy moment. If the Court looks at line 393 on Mr. McConney's spreadsheet, "per

1 mailed it to Mr. McConney," that would suffice. But what 2 you have here is a witness speculating on who might need it 3 within the organization, "might" being the operative word, 4 and no indication from this witness who is being examined 5 about this at this moment, whether or not Mr. McConney, in 6 fact, received it one way or the other. There's no indicia. 7 I don't think the witness could possibly tell you whether or 8 not it was even sent to him. All she has testified to is 9 what she thinks might have happened. That's not sufficient 10 to establish receipt or even delivery.

THE COURT: We're talking preponderance standard here, 51 percent.

Mr. Solomon, would you explain as specifically as you can what you think the witness has testified to that would indicate in any way that Mr. McConney had received the -- this, whatever we're calling it, origin document.

MR. SOLOMON: The fact -- number one, the fact the

[^10]
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1 testify about what any of these numbers on the page mean and
connecting the dots.

THE COURT: The number says what it means.
MR. KISE: Well -- but there's a lot of different numbers on here than when you compare them on the valuation and the appraisal. They're oversimplifying and conflating communications, oversimplifying comparison and conflating the communications with a witness who, again, has no basis to know what, in fact, was actually sent or received. If she said it, that's a different story. If they would like to establish that, then okay, then establish that; but they haven't established that.

THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, who goes first, me or you?
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I've learned if you're going to rule in my favor, to sit down, grab my briefcase and leave the courtroom.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, have we established that we don't need the alleged recipient to say, yes, I received it? There are other ways that a trier of fact can conclude that somebody received something.

MR. KISE: There is, but you have to actually do that. I mean, of course, there are other ways. Again, you pointed out if this witness were to testify "I e-mailed it to Mr. McConney," if this witness testified, "I Federal Expressed it to Mr. McConney," if this witness testified, "I
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appraisal."
MR. KISE: Right. Which appraisal? That's a different -- that's yet a different number. Again, I'm not sure exactly what Mr. Solomon - he's smarter than this. I don't know what he's trying to establish. I mean, truly, you can't have this witness testify about who would have received something, maybe might. It's not possible. And it's not possible to connect up -- if they -- if they want to call Ms. Martin to testify, if they want to call Mr. McConney and ask him about it. I mean, while we're at it, she said that Mr. Bender - she said that Mr. Bender might have received it or would have received it as well. Is that then to mean Mr. Bender -- we can assume in evidence now that Mr. Bender, in fact, received it?

THE COURT: I don't think it is a question of assumption. It is an issue of fact. I think they raise that issue.

MR. KISE: So then we are to say here that Mr. Bender, in fact, received this appraisal as well. Based on that testimony, she said Mr. Bender and Mr. McConney. So if the sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander as you say, so if, in fact, we're going to presume now that based on this witness' testimony, which is speculation, that Mr. McConney received it, well, then -- then the same fact has to be assumed with respect to Mr. Bender. I don't think

1 either makes any sense, frankly, but if you're going to
2 allow one, then you have to allow that it is a fact now
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1 "per appraisal," but it doesn't say which appraisal. But if
the number is the same, then I'll, as the trier of fact, could say, "Oh, that's the appraisal that the number came from."

MR. KISE: But it isn't the same number.
THE COURT: Okay. So I'm not reading anything into that because we don't know what appraisal it was, but everything else stands.
Q Ma'am, before today, were you ever aware that as of
June 30, 2015, the Trump Organization valued TNGCLA property at $\$ 140$ million?
A I was not aware of -- I've never seen this document. Everyone seems to accept that, so I don't even know what this is. I don't know what it's valuing. I don't know what it's doing, so I don't know what the $\$ 140$ million -- I've never been aware of what they, otherwise, valued Seven Springs at in -- at that time. I've certainly seen things in the news since that time.
Q Okay. I think that was just a mistake in your answer and let me clarify for the record. You said Seven Springs?
A Oh, I'm sorry. California.
Q It is a simple yes or no. Prior to today, were you
aware whether the Trump Organization valued TNGCLA as of June 30, 2015 at $\$ 140$ million? It is a yes or no.

THE COURT: That's a yes or no.

THE COURT: If you want to try to bring that out on any sort of questioning, feel free. I'm not going to go there now.

MR. KISE: But there's no way to do one without the other respectfully.

THE COURT: I made my ruling. Enough. I made my ruling.

Mr. Solomon, where are we? I would like to know how we know what -- how we connect that 50 million and change number to a particular appraisal if we can or you can just withdraw that point.

MR. SOLOMON: No. I was just pointing out to Your Honor that the spreadsheet said "per appraisal" as to the question with respect to Mr. McConney. The number I was focusing the witness on is the line on 404 and I don't mean 404 error, I mean line 404 on the spreadsheet value as of 6/30/2015 is 140 million and $I$--

THE COURT: I know what number you're trying to get to.

MR. SOLOMON: Right. I'm sorry.
THE COURT: I think you were trying to show that Mr. McConney must have seen the appraisal because it says
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[^11]18 Q Yes, nothing to do with the spreadsheet. And ma'am, 19 let's be very clear. I'm just asking if prior to commencement 20 of this action, were you aware that the Trump Organization 21 valued TNGCLA at $\$ 140$ million as of June 30, 2015; yes-or-no 22 question?
23 A No.
THE WITNESS: You all think this is simple, Your Honor. Respectfully, there's been so much in the news about this, I don't know if I've heard this before or not. Am I aware? Do I have personal knowledge of it? No. Have I heard it in the last? I don't know. Two years since this case has been going on, probably. I've heard various numbers at various times that there's a value disparity supposedly, allegedly and I, you know -- was I aware of any of this realtime? No, if that's your question.
Q Sure. Let's talk about prior -- you said realtime so, that may only be at one point in time.

Prior to the filing of this action, were you aware that the Trump Organization valued TNGCLA at $\$ 140$ million as of June 30, 2015 ?
A I was not aware of the spreadsheet. I'm not sure what they did with that number, so I don't know. Nothing to do with the spreadsheet? Is that your question?

Q Did you ever discuss with Jeff McConney in form or substance how he should value TNGCLA?
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MR. ROBERT: Objection. You are now getting into legal advice that would have been given to a client.

THE COURT: That's business advice, not legal advice.

MR. ROBERT: They're consulting their attorney who is a tax attorney to give them tax advice. This is not a business consultant. They're not talking to the appraiser. The question was did you ever talk with Jeffrey McConney in form or substance how he should value.

THE COURT: It is not asking for the substance. Did they ever discuss? Overruled. They are allowed to ask what was discussed. When it comes to a business advice request, that's something else. So we're getting close to that maybe, but we're not there yet.
Q It is a yes-or-no question.
A Could you read it back, please?
THE COURT: Read back.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A No.
Q Did you ever discuss with anyone at the Trump
22 Organization how they should value TNGCLA for purposes of the

## 23 Statement of Financial Condition?

A Not to my recollection other than -- let me correct that. I did discuss how it was valued for tax purposes and
for, you know -- I made -- when we had the appraisal, so
certainly again -- are we including Donald Bender in the Trump Organization or is he an agent? I don't know where he fits in.
4 Q Let's include him for the moment.
5 A I would have discussed it with Donald Bender because he has to attach the appraisal to the tax return, so I would have sent him the appraisal and discussed it with him and explained the appraisal to him, so he would have known the value to report on the tax return.

Q Other than Mr. Bender, did you discuss the valuation of TNGCLA with anyone at the Trump Organization in connection with the Statements of Financial Condition?
A I do not believe so, no.
Q Did you ever discuss with anyone at the Trump
Organization, and let's exclude Mr. Bender for the moment, anyone at the Trump Organization the valuation of TNGCLA?

A I don't -- I don't recall. Over the years, I'm sure
it may have come up at some point in time, but I don't recall any specific conversations about that.

Q Do you recall any general conversations?
A I don't recall whether we ever had any or not.
Q Did you ever discuss with anyone at the Trump
Organization? And for purposes of this --
A Can I correct my last answer?
Q Please.

1 A I certainly would have had conversations with Jill Martin when we were doing the appraisal for the federal income tax purposes which is a very unique kind of appraisal.
Q Anyone else?
A I'm trying to think and remember.
Q Please.
A I don't believe so.
Q Would you have discussed it with Mr. Weisselberg since
this had to do with tax planning purposes? And I mean Allen Weisselberg.
A I don't believe so, but people would have been aware and I would have -- the bottom line, would have been discussed, the value of the conservation easement is what would have been a topic.

Q What about the valuation of the property?
A The valuation of the property as a whole? I don't
recall having conversations about that.
Q Let's turn to Briarcliff Manor.
A Yes.
Q Do you recall that property?
A Yes.
Q That's the property located in Westchester?
A I believe it is located in Westchester. It's been a
while since I've been there.
Q That's owned by Briarcliff Manor, LLC, right? that? land. right?

A I would have to look at a chart. If you want to represent that, I'll -- I accept your representation.
Q We'll get to it in a document later on. I don't need to represent that, ma'am.

Did there come a time that you were consulted in connection with the donation of a potential conservation easement over a portion of that development?
A Yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we look at PX 157, which I believe is in evidence already.
Q Ma'am, feel free to look at whatever you need to answer my question, but I'm going to start with is that your signature on page 9 of 11 ?
A Yes.
Q If you look at page 4 of 11 and look at "intended use," "To estimate the value of 71 hypothetical residential units located at Trump National Golf Club Westchester, 1 Shadow Tree Lane, Briarcliff Manor, New York, (the "property"). Do you see

A Yes.
Q Do you now -- withdrawn.
Do you recall that the task for the Briarcliff property was to determine the value of a potential donation of a conservation easement over 71 hypothetical residential units?
A I'm sorry. I was looking at this. I didn't quite
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catch the question. I was trying to take a look at the engagement letter.
Q In looking at the engagement letter, do you have an understanding as to why Cushman \& Wakefield was being engaged?
A It was an engagement that we were exploring
whether - the Trump Organization was exploring whether or not
to donate a conservation easement and restrict certain of its
Q That would be over 71 hypothetical residential units,
A Yes, it would be to prohibit the building of 71
hypothetical residential units.
Q Let's remember the number 71 because that's going to come up later.

MR. SOLOMON: If we can, please, can we mark PX 3197 for identification. It is already in evidence. If can we look at PX 3197, please.
Q Ma'am, do you recognize this document?
A I see that it is an e-mail that I was copied on, but I
don't have any particular recollection of it.
Q Is it fair to say, though, in or about October of 2013, you were aware that Cushman \& Wakefield was ready to move forward with a written report?
A I see that's what it says.
Q And that's Trump Westchester. Is that a reference to

Trump Briarcliff?
A Sitting here today, I think so, but there are a variety of Trump properties that were located in Westchester.
Q But sitting here today, you do believe this is a
reference to Briarcliff, right?
A Hopefully, that's what Mr. McArdle told you.
Q That wasn't my question.
Sitting here today, is it your understanding that that is a reference to Briarcliff, Trump Briarcliff?

A It is a reference to Trump Westchester. I don't have an understanding one way or the other that it says Trump
Westchester. Again, if you have materials, I'm happy to look at things that say "Trump Briarcliff."
Q Maybe we'll get to those. Do you recall if you moved forward with a written report for Trump Briarcliff on or about October of 2013?
A What I recall is there was never a-- a donation was never made. The project was explored on and on for a long period of time. I don't recall the fits and starts and timeline of it all.

MR. SOLOMON: If we can, please, mark for identification PX 3201. Sorry. It's been corrected. It's already been moved in evidence.
(Continued on the next page.)
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Q PX 3201 is an e-mail chain. Top line is from David McArdle to Eric Trump with a cc to you. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q "David per my conversation with Sheri, cc'd, please
finish but hold off on sending the appraisal until further
notice." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Was Eric Trump involved in the consideration of the potential easement donation for Briarcliff?

A He was aware. I'm not sure if I'd say he was involved. I mean, he was involved. I just don't know what level of involvement you have. But yes, he was more than aware of it. He was more than aware and I don't know what you mean by "involved."
Q Were you running all relevant material decisions past him before moving forward?

A I would have run decisions by representatives of the Trump Organization, not necessarily always Eric.

Q Did you run decisions past Eric in connection with the Trump Briarcliff potential donation?

A You're making me uncomfortable again with privilege.
Q It's a yes or no. Not asking what the decisions were, ma'am, and I'm not asking the substance of the decisions. I'm simply asking if you ran the decisions past him before moving forward.

A Some decisions, yes; not all decisions.
Q Do you recall why a decision was made to hold off on sending the appraisal until further notice at that time?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Attomey/client privilege.
Why something was done or not done is clearly in the course of acting as the attorney for the organization.

MR. SOLOMON: My question, Your Honor, was not why. It's do you recall whether the decision was made. If I misspoke, I will rephrase it.

MR. ROBERT: Question was do you recall why a decision was made to hold off.

MR. SOLOMON: What I'm saying is yes or no. I wasn't asking what the reasons were.

MR. ROBERT: But I would respectfully submit when you're talking about an issue here that is clearly one of attorney/client privilege even the question of if you know why a decision was made, even the mere knowledge that the attorney has it is covered by privilege.

THE COURT: Rephrase or read back, either way I don't care, one other the other.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I can withdraw the question?

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: We'll fight on other fights.
MR. ROBERT: That I agree with.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I'm going to ask to put in front of the witness PX 1465, which I understand is already in evidence.

THE COURT: Six-minute warning. Break at 4:30.
Mr. Kise, was that your saved by the bell gesture?
MR. KISE: Ready to be done for today.
Q Ma'am, the court officer has put in front of you PX
1465, which is in evidence. Do you recognize this e-mail chain?
A I can read it now and see there is the e-mail chain
that I was drafting and receiving. Do I have a specific recognition of it, no.
$Q$ Or recollection of it?
A Or recollection of it, no.
$Q$ Okay. If you turn to the second page of the exhibit, the e-mail from you to David McArdle?

A Yes.
Q Okay. "I spoke to Eric and he is aware that the more supportable value at this point is around $\$ 45$ million." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Was that the value of the hypothetical easement donation -- withdrawn.

Was that the value of the potential easement donation for the 71 hypothetical units?

A I'm not sure if it was of the 71 units because one of

1 document is now in evidence, that's a fair question, but to
the things that happens as you're going through this process is you sometime -- you change what may or may not be donated, what may or may not be restricted, whatever the case may be.

What I do see is that there was a value of around 45 million for whatever precisely it was that was being valued. Whether or not -- I don't know if it was 70 units, I don't know if it was determined there were really 75 . I can't remember if there were 65. I know there was some confusion around that point, but it was -- the value of a potential donation was around 65 million for IRS purposes under the IRS appraisal standards as compared to other appraisal standards.
Q And you'll see that Mr. McArdle responds to you:
"Thanks, Sheri. That is a great summary of where we stand and glad we are aligned here. I will speak with Eric." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Let me take a step back. I didn't ask you. Your e-mail that started with "I spoke to Eric," is that an accurate summary of your conversation with Eric Trump?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. The document is one sentence. There is a conversation with Eric Trump. That one sentence is what's there. This question is saying is that a -- let me have it right -- "Is that an accurate summary of your conversation?" If you want to talk about that -- whether that one sentence was said since that
simple ruling. Is this an accurate summary? That's a fair question for document that's already in evidence, is that --

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled. But again, we may be close, but just is that a fair summary. That's a fair question, of course to someone who says "I spoke to Eric." You mean is that a fair summary of, what, the whole paragraph?

MR. SOLOMON: Of the portion that recite what she said to Eric or you said, ma'am, to Eric. I don't mean to --

MR. KISE: But again, Your Honor, if he's asking the witness if what's in here is accurate does it say that, did you write that communication and is that -- what's in there accurate, that's one thing. But to ask what you --

THE COURT: That's all he asked.
MR. KISE: If that's what he's asking, but he's not asking it quite that way.

MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Kise is trying to parse this in what is not acceptable. I'm asking if what she wrote is an accurate summary of what she told Eric Trump on the phone call. I'm not asking if what she wrote -- this document is accurate as to what she wrote. There is no question the document is authentic. No one is challenging that. I'm asking if this is an accurate summary of what she said to Eric Trump in or about October 2013.
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MR. KISE: And that then calls for direct attorney/client communication about the matters to which she was engaged to represent him on and represent the organization on, tax matters. That calls for just a direct communication. They have this evidence. They can argue whatever they want from this evidence, but to ask this witness is this specifically what you told your client in a privileged conversation, there is no waiver here of the privilege. This doesn't waive that privilege. It's not possible to waive that privilege just because you wrote in here something to a third party and made a summary.

If what's in there is accurate then they can argue what they want, but the idea that there is a waiver of privilege based on a document -- this document is nonstarter.

THE COURT: Just a side point, it's to David McArdle. He is --

MR. ROBERT: So, Your Honor, the point is if the question is did you tell Eric Trump and is he aware and you want to say that first sentence, is it true that you spoke to Eric Trump and did you tell him that the more supportable value at the point is around 45 million, that's a fair question. But to discuss that whether this e-mail is a fair summary of the entire conversation that Ms. Dillon had with Mr. Trump would constitute a waiver of attorney/client
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A To your point about my entire discussion with Eric or partial discussion, it's not a yes-or-no question in my view. If I could just have --

THE COURT: Go ahead, explain.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, other witnesses, including us, you have indicated if it's a yes-or-no question you have to answer yes or no and they're able to explain their answers by questioning on the other side.

MR. ROBERT: First of all, Mr. Solomon, as the judge would say if you can answer it yes or no and the witness said that they couldn't the judge would then allow them to answer.

THE COURT: Yes or no to me to the witness may not appear, so I'll allow an explanation. I hope it's brief. A It will be brief.

So I have no recollection of the conversation itself. I don't know if there is more in the conversation, I don't know if there is less in the conversation. I believe I would have accurately reflected the parts of the conversation that I reflected here I would believe are accurate, but whether there were more things talked about, less things -- well, wouldn't be less things, but I can't tell you that sitting here today. It was a conversation ten years ago.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I've gone over my time.

1 privilege. So the document that was produced is talking myself, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I direct the witness to answer is that one paragraph, one summary of the conversation? Blame me if you have to.A Let me give this a try.MR. KISE: Same objection.20
A Let me give this a try. ..... 22MR. SOLOMON: It's a yes-or-no question.
THE COURT: It's a yes-or-no question is that a ..... 24
fair summary of your discussion with Eric?

THE COURT: We'll bill you for that. Let me -- I order the witness not to talk about in this case or your testimony or anything related to anybody until your testimony is finished, which won't be at least until tomorrow obviously.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
MR. KISE: We have Ms. Dillon tomorrow and Flores?
MR. AMER: Yes.
MR. KISE: Okay. Just want to be sure.
(Whereupon, the trial proceedings are adjourned to Friday, October 27, 2023 at 9:30 a.m.)
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Laptops and cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now, be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Okay. So why are we here at 9:30 instead of 10:00? Because we're going to hear oral argument on a motion by Ivanka Trump to quash a subpoena, trial subpoena issued against her.

I read the papers, so, please, don't be too repetitive. I'm hoping that we can finish by 10:00. If we can't, then by $10: 30$. We want to try to finish with the current witness, Sheri Dillon, today. And without further ado, who would like to speak on behalf of the motion?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Bennet Moskowitz on behalf of non-party witness Ivanka Trump. First, let me say thank you everyone here, especially Your Honor, Ms. Greenfield and the parties for giving us the time for this in the middle of a very busy trial.

I heard you loud and clear. I have no intention to just rehash what's in my moving papers. As Your Honor knows, I wasn't afforded a reply opportunity, so I'll take this opportunity to address things mostly that the AG raised. What I will say just to level set here and I don't
want to get lost in the shuffle with all the papers flying back and forth on this. We're not here about technical service defects. This is about fundamental issues of jurisdiction.

THE COURT: Good. That makes things a lot simpler.
MR. MOSKOWITZ: Right, and hearing only facts that matter for purposes of the jurisdiction. Ms. Trump does not live in New York. She's not domiciled here and despite the fact that the AG concludes otherwise and doesn't present evidence supporting that conclusion, she does not transact business here. Let me say it again, she does not transact business here. That has a very specific meaning under New York law.

Weinstein, Korn \& Miller, no offense to Professor Siegal. He's great, too. I picked it up and Section 30809 of Weinstein, Korn \& Miller, so that section of Weinstein, Korn \& Miller provides, Your Honor, that actual place of business under New York law means where that person is regularly, physically present and regularly transacts business.

Ivanka Trump does not regularly transact business and does not regularly at any of the addresses where they served these subpoenas to these entities at most since 2017 and if they want an affidavit for that business, that's
fine. By the way, side note, they didn't put in an

1 affidavit because I urge the Court, and you read the papers,

2
if you revisit it, maybe you don't need to, the correspondence attached to my affirmation, the AG's position on this motion has been like a moving target.

First they said, "Oh, it is a subpoena to these entities." No, it is a subpoena to her. Now I'm hearing in their papers for the first time that she transacts business at Trump Tower. She does not. Since 2017, she's been there once or twice a year, never for business, to say hello, people including family members for 15 minutes, 30 minutes, things like that. So there is no basis to say a service on an entity at Trump Tower is service on her individually. That's just wrong.

Let's look at the case law here and I'll address mostly the case law that was cited by my friends across on the other side. First, they don't deal with the fact that Justice Fried's decision just as an example, Amelius is decisive. A non-party, non-domiciliary is not subject to the subpoena power of the court. That's 64 New York State 3d 855 at 866. Again, that was Justice Fried.

When we had the ongoing discussions leading up to this motion and still today, perhaps the AG relied on cases like 2323 Communications Corp. Those cases stand for a principle that's very different which is that where an entity is a party, it can be compelled to appear through a
months leading up to this. So if that's the best case they can do, I'm happy with it. It doesn't help them. It helps me.

There are other cases. The Court doesn't have to take my word for it. A lot of cases have cited that Gibson Dunn case and what they rely upon such as this Napoli vs. Bern which is 2021 Westlaw 5458747 at page three, 2021 case with the line of cases that I found talking about the Gibson Dunn case. They'll hook on to that inducement. This guy had the legal fees sent there, represented that's where he worked, and then he turned around and said ha-ha, fee over legal dispute, can't get me there.

Now, there is another new argument and, you know, Your Honor, I always tell younger associates there comes a time in trial where parties go a bridge too far and try not to do it. Here is the AG's bridge way too far. How they assert for the first time and they are getting a little desperate here, respectfully, that Ms. Trump consented to this jurisdiction in this case because she's a party in the special proceedings.

They must take us all for fools. I'm looking around. This is not the special proceedings. They didn't issue the subpoenas in the special proceedings. There was no trial in the special proceedings, so that's just false on its face. And in any event, we could cite case law that
specific person. The entities they subpoenaed are not parties, so those cases are not applicable.

They also talk about this Gibson Dunn case. Let's take a close look at that case, Your Honor. It is a First Department case, doesn't help them. Maybe they didn't find anything better. In that case, you could tell that -- it's a Gibson Dunn case, is a dispute over legal fees and the person trying to evade service, Your Honor, had the legal fees sent to a specific Madison Avenue address. In the few months leading up to --

THE COURT: Wait. Wait, you don't mean that the legal fee was sent. How the bills were sent.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: The bill, excuse me, for the legal fees. Invoice me here kind of thing. Then there is a suit over legal fees. The person trying to say service didn't apply, jurisdiction didn't apply had been to that address for work multiple times leading up to the legal proceedings and leading up to the service. And what the court found there was this guy induced Gibson Dunn to rely on this place where he does go to work sometimes. He can't now turn to the court and say that "I'm actually not really there that much." That's not this case.

Ivanka Trump didn't induce anyone to go to Trump Tower. She hasn't been there working since 2017 and she did not go to work there since then, certainly not in the three
stands for the proposition, including First Department case law and this is pretty basic, that even consent in one action does not mean that there's jurisdiction over a person who consents even in a related action. Here is not what we are dealing with. They're saying we had this years-long social proceeding. She was subject to jurisdiction in that proceeding. Therefore, in this trial, under separate index number, which we issued subpoenas, she must come today. Not how it works and there's a reason we didn't hear that argument previously. It doesn't fly.

So let's talk about the entities. Again, I urge Your Honor to revisit the fact that the AG kind of spoke from both sides of the mouth about what these subpoenas were. It is pretty simple. We all know what happened here. They had easy jurisdiction over Ivanka Trump. They could have served her at her house. Much different. We probably wouldn't be here today. What appears to happen is they task one someone in their office for saying let's go to the transcript let's look at documents let's find some entities that have something to do with her and let's fire off subpoenas. That's what they did.

THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Entities that had something to do with her? Didn't she own some of them and didn't some of them own parts of others?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I'll get to that. It is not clear.

1 The TTT and OPO entity, she absolutely has an affiliation
2
for anything. It exists, I grant you that. I could speculate at what it might have been. I have no document that says that like the other two that she was an officer or anything like that. She doesn't know what it is and the AG doesn't know what it is.

So they weren't served on Ivanka Trump. They were served at best on these entities. And, by the way, not all cleanly, but again, I'm not here about service stands or what. If they insist they need those entities, those entities can appear. I think they should articulate with why they need those entities, but I understand the defendants will speak for themselves. They offered -- for the two that we concern that they offer, they offered for someone who has lived here appear and testify for those entities, which you are allowed to do.

New York law again, I pulled out Weinstein, Korn \& Miller. You can look at Section 230504, "If a subpoena to testify is served on and is addressed to an entity, that entity may choose the person who will be deposed," period. So they cite --

THE COURT: I'm very familiar with that concept that the entity has the right in the first instance to decide who to produce. I think we are in a different situation than the normal one here. As we all recognize, we are not looking for the entities. They're looking for the
person.
MR. MOSKOWITZ: That's exactly right. At the end of the day, Your Honor, they just don't have jurisdiction over her. They tried with this transient business again articulated to me for the first time in their papers that they submitted to Your Honor and I just addressed it. It's just wrong and if they want an affidavit saying what I just represented to the Court as an officer and I'll say it again that she does not transact business at any of those places they served, I will be happy to do it.

THE COURT: Did she ever transact business?
MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes.
THE COURT: When was the last time she transacted business?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Before 2017.
THE COURT: Okay. So what?
MR. MOSKOWITZ: You have to have jurisdiction over her now. If someone worked in New York 50 years ago and leaves, you don't get to say, "Hey, you once worked at this address. Come to trial." That's not how it works. It has to be jurisdiction now, transact business here now.

THE COURT: How are we going to define now? What if somebody transacts business here, leaves on a vacation or a business trip or is away?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Again --

THE COURT: A week later, they're not still --
MR. MOSKOWITZ: No. The Weinstein, Korn \& Miller basic principle addresses that, Your Honor. If it is a place you regularly return to work such as like I work on Third Avenue where my law firm offices are. If I leave for a week and they serve me there, I can't turn around and say, "Oh, I was gone that week." Here, you have someone who didn't work at the place for many, many years. They no longer return there for work.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question. Did Ivanka submit any sworn statement?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: On this motion, no, because again, I didn't hear this argument and didn't get a reply for it. I'm happy to submit one now. I would have done it in a reply brief.

THE COURT: Now is too late. I'm hoping to decide this today and if she testifies, it will be fairly soon.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I wasn't put on notice that that was their argument because they kept changing it. How could I respond to something they didn't articulate? I can't guess what their position is.

THE COURT: Well, it's standard practice in New York law that you need to have an affidavit from someone with personal knowledge if you're going to start spouting facts, but --

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. So going back to the idea that corporations can designate their own witnesses, they cite the Standard Fruit case, totally different. In that case -- by the way, it was from 1977 -- the court did allow a party to subpoena an out-of-state witness. It was after the witness provided by the subpoenaed corporation was unable to testify to the relevant facts. And even then, the court allowed the corporate entity to select between two different individuals.

THE COURT: When I said, I read all the papers, I didn't read all the cases, but that case, I did read.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Right. Right. So again, that's our point. The corporation gets to put someone up and it has to be someone who can speak to the facts, again, which is why my first question to them when we got notice that they were trying to serve these subpoenas, "Hey, what testimony are you going for" and we quoted this and it is in the exhibits to my affirmation. They were honest about it. I appreciate that. It was basically limited. It was, "She knows this, she knows that, but I can't really limit it," so they want her here. They want it to be unlimited. They didn't depose her. They could have. They didn't de benne esse, you know, subpoena her. They could have done that. I guess they didn't think she'd be out of the case. I'm

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I agree, and they don't have one either showing the opposite, but I'm happy to put one in today.

THE COURT: I'm not sure that they can prove a negative. Ivanka would be the person best able to say when she ever -- when was the last time she worked in New York or whether she still works in New York, what she owns here, et cetera, et cetera, but --

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I could get it to the Court ASAP.
THE COURT: I promise you I'm not a "Ha-ha, I got you" judge. I'm not trying to say, "Ha-ha, you didn't have an affidavit from her," but it does weaken your argument because you keep talking about what she does and doesn't do. She would know better than you.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I agree, and if I had been afforded an opportunity to reply, I would have submitted it. I did not want to disregard what the Court advised me which was no reply afforded, which was the e-mail I received.

THE COURT: Whether you should have needed a reply, waited for a reply, a reply is to respond to their arguments, but if you don't make out a prima facie case by an affidavit from Ivanka saying "I don't do these things. I don't live there," et cetera, I don't think that should have been in the reply. That should have been in moving papers, but that's just my opinion. All right, continue.
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sorry. She is and that changes things fundamentally. You're no longer in the case. You're not a resident. You don't work here. You don't have jurisdiction over that person. That's the breaks.

THE COURT: The expression is "that is the breaks."
MR. MOSKOWITZ: I'm referring in the singular situation, but I appreciate Your Honor's.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else on your initial -- I don't know whether we will have time for a reply, but was that it for now?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes, Your Honor. I mean, I appreciate the possibility if I hear something new, to address it.

THE COURT: Probably. Probably.
MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. It is my understanding that the defendants themselves do not have standing to contest this, but I'll let them talk if they want to.

MR. KISE: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't know that I would say we don't have standing since it affects the impact of the trial and it affects the course of the trial, but in all events, I appreciate the opportunity to address.

THE COURT: We always like to hear your mellifluous voice.

MR. KISE: That's good. At least there's something
positive that may happen here. I will try not to go over as you suggested, Your Honor. I'm not going to belabor the papers. I know you've read them and you've seen in our papers that we view the subpoena truly as just continued harassment of President Trump's children. They were all named and included in this case as leverage. There's no serious evidence that any of them had any involvement in the Statement of Financial Condition preparation.

Ms. Trump was dismissed from the lawsuit by the First Department and now despite having a year to depose Ms. Trump in this case, several months post-dismissal to depose her, now all of a sudden in the trial, the Attorney General claims that her testimony is somehow essential. I thought Mr. Wallace was going to object when he stood up. I'm just so used to it. No. No.

THE COURT: Obviously, testimony does not have to be "essential."

MR. KISE: Even if it were relevant then, then they should have done it during discovery. They're claiming now that only she can provide this information and if it was so essential, then why are we here in the middle of trial? There was no even attempt to do a de benne esse deposition which was done with other witnesses who may or may not be available for trial.

The exhibits attached to their motion demonstrate
that this is an inexcusable delay. All of the documents that I saw that were attached as exhibits were all things they had in their possession for at least a year, if not more. The Attorney General certified in their NOI, their note of issue, that all discovery was complete and the service of that prohibits reopening. I'll cite the Court to the Melcher case, 38 AD3d 376. It is a First Department case that stands for that proposition that their certification meant that they've completed their investigative efforts for trial, their discovery efforts for trial and yet, this is tantamount to a discovery subpoena. Nothing was done.

To us, again, our answer is obvious they want to put Ms. Trump on the stand, create another media event while I'm always certainly happy to have the Attorney General in the courtroom, her presence today demonstrates that that's what this is about. I doubt she came here to hear Ms. Dillon. I doubt she came here to hear Mr. Flores. Although, I'm sure the testimony will be riveting. Really the purpose here is to focus on another one of Mr. Trump's children who has been dismissed from the lawsuit.

To the jurisdictional point that Mr. Moskowitz raised, there's just no legal authority for the Court to require a non-party, non-domiciliary to appear at trial as a representative of a non-party entity. It's non, non, non.
information about that entity, about Standard Fruit, about that specific entity. They produced, as was their right, the first witness, the corporate designee to testify about relevant facts. Only after that witness testified and there was a demonstration that that witness could not -- could not testify did the court order another witness.

The Amelius case, which we also cite 64 NY Supp. 3d 855, draws the distinction further bearing out, Standard Fruit draws the distinction between a non-party corporation and a corporation under investigation. Here, you have three non-parties, none of which are under investigation, none of which have any association heretofore with this case.

So, the subpoenas were not served. They were served all on Ms. Trump. They were served on the non-party entities. Those non-party entities are entitled to designate a corporate representative and the Attorney General has the burden in the first instance to demonstrate that that designation was inadequate. The Barone case, 260 AD2d 417 and the other cases we cite stands for that proposition that there must be some demonstration that the initial witness that is designated by the entity is inadequate.

There's no showing that the individual that's been designated here by the corporate entities, Eric Trump is inadequate. In fact, the Attorney General herself alleged

1 And the Court itself could not compel her attendance under 2 these circumstances.

The service issue, I'll just touch on briefly. The service on -- and the cases -- I'm not citing all the papers. They're in their papers, Your Honor. The service on a non-party entity registered agent is not service. It is not service on Ms. Trump in her individual capacity and a non-party, non-domiciliary not subject to the court's subpoena power does not constitute service on Ms. Trump.

And I'll cite, as in our papers, the Genger case, 50 Misc.2d 361. The Attomey General argues that Ms. Trump did not contest jurisdiction in the special proceeding, but that's frankly a complete non sequitur. The Coutts case they cite is completely in opposite. That's a judgment debtor who fled the jurisdiction after judgment that then filed a parallel action against the creditor in a New York court.

There was no dispute that the court could obtain the jurisdiction over the debtor in the very case at issue, but here, you have Ms. Trump is not a party. She was dismissed by the First Department. She's not a judgment debtor and she's not actively litigating any affirmative case in New York.

The Standard Fruit case that they cite is also in opposite. There, an investigative subpoena sought

1 in the complaint that Eric Trump is responsible for all
aspects of management. I mean, that's their allegation. So I don't see how they can claim without any explanation that -- or any proof that Eric Trump is now somehow an inadequate corporate designee, and they're not entitled to raise that issue unless and until they make that -- make that showing and that has been established by the court.

Finally, and just briefly, the subpoena itself revealing what this is all about is truly overly broad. There is no attempt to narrow the issues. They just want another free-for-all on one of President Trump's children. There's no real relevance to the proceeding. It's more in the nature of a subpoena for a deposition where we're going to spend seven hours. "What do you know about this?" "What do you know about that"?

THE COURT: She was deposed already in a different case related, if you pardon the expression, so it is not like they're not -- it is not like a total shot in the dark.

MR. KISE: It may not be a total shot in the dark, but the subpoena is pretty close. The lights are on dimly and they're shooting off to the right when there's someone over on the left that's their target. So yeah, maybe it is in the same room, but the lights are dim and they're going in the wrong direction. So there's -- if they had a

1 specific focus, then they would have put that in the 2 subpoena. If they had a specific purpose, they would have put that in the subpoena.

And frankly, had they had such specific focus and specific purpose, we wouldn't be here because they would have either deposed Ms. Trump during the long course of discovery in this case. They had ample opportunity to depose anyone they wanted. She was certainly available for that while she was a party. And then after she wasn't a party as of June of this year, they still had several months to conduct a de benne esse deposition.

They haven't even deposed -- made any showing to the Court as to why that wouldn't suffice or wouldn't have sufficed. Even after the commencement of trial, they haven't even proposed that. And I'm not going to agree to that on behalf of Mr. Moskowitz' client. I'm just showing that it just shows the real purpose here is to drag her into court. It is bad faith and it is harassment of another one of President Trump's children who has been dismissed out of this case.

The First Department determined that she left in 2017, so to your point, Your Honor, about affidavit or connectivity, I mean, I think the First Department has certainly, if not established it fully, has established it almost 95 to 99 percent of the way. They basically said she
this case. She was dismissed in the case the end of June. There was still time to do the de benne esse deposition and they did not and instead of coming before this Court forthright and saying, "Listen, we want Ivanka Trump and these are the reasons why," they come up with this Ruth Goldberg, coming up with these three companies that have nothing to do with it. We want them.

Mr. Moskowitz in consultation with my client says, you know what, for the two companies that have something to do with Trump, Eric Trump is willing to be the corporate representative because he's going to be testifying anyway and they said, "Well, no, no, not so fast." They were smoked out as Your Honor pointed out. They want Ivanka Trump. If they want Ivanka Trump, there is a mechanism to do it. If they do it in the appropriate way and even if there is still an objection by Mr. Moskowitz, that becomes a motion that's before Your Honor. But right now, all there are are three subpoenas, three subpoenas in our view in our papers are defective. There should be no question that they be quashed and we move on. Thank you, Your Honor.
(Continued on the next page.)
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doesn't have anything to do with this case and she should be

2
out. She left years ago. This is not as Your Honor posited, respectfully, a situation where she's gone on some vacation or some business tip. She's been gone for years, multiple years, years and so for those reasons and the reasons stated in our papers and those of Ms. Trump's counsel, we would ask the Court to quash the subpoena. Thank you.

## THE COURT: Mr. Robert.

MR. ROBERT: As usual, I will be extremely brief. The goosey gander rule, Your Honor refers to it, what's sauce for the goose is sauce for the gander. Yesterday morning when Mr. Holl was on the stand and I had colloquy with the Attorney General's office because we didn't think he was going to be called and I said, "Are you going to call him back?" And they said, "Well, no. Try to serve a subpoena on him." Turns out he is an out-of-state resident. We did effectuate service on him outside once he left the court yesterday, but the rules are the rules.

The motion that's before Your Honor today is a motion to quash the subpoena on three entities. I agree with Your Honor's sentiment that what this is really about is trying to serve a subpoena on Ivanka Trump individually. Then that's what they should have done. There were plenty of opportunities to do it. They could have deposed her in

THE COURT: Does the Attorney General have anything to say?

MR. WALLACE: I will try to be brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You don't have to be. They took their time.

MR. WALLACE: I want to try to be, though.
So I'll start with the point the defendants made about whether there is a mystery as to what Ms. Trump might testify to, why it might be that Eric Trump is not a suitable replacement for Ms. Trump and the answer as you somewhat indicated is they have a very good idea of exactly what we would like to ask Ivanka Trump. I don't think we were hiding it. Her name is on the subpoena. We've been going back and forth about whether Ivanka Trump, the person, would be appearing.

We have her examination during the investigation. We've even offered as -- as a resolution that we would put being sued into evidence, her examination from investigation. We even gave the defendants the designations of what we would have introduced from her examination and the defendants, as is their right, declined to waive the fact that they were not present to cross examine her during that exam and hence, it's not admissible. But they very much know exactly what we would like to put into evidence from Ms. Trump.

I'll also go back to the idea that she is no longer a party and somehow the statute of limitations may play into whether or not she has relevant evidence to provide the Court and courts at trial.

I will note that the statute of limitations stretches back to 2014. That means that Ms. Trump was an executive of the Trump Organization in 2014, 2015 and 2016 before departing the company in 2017. All of that is relevant to the case and within the statute of limitations period. She was an executive of defendant entities and she undertook transactions on their behalf.

Whether or not the First Department thought that we had established she committed fraud during the period that she was subject to the statute of limitations is unrelated to whether or not she has relevant knowledge of what was happening within the company at that time. And part of the reason we need her knowledge and not Eric Trump's is because she was the direct contact with Deutsche Bank on the OPO loan. She ran that project, not Eric Trump. And I believe the case law in our brief establishes that we're not
required to take a witness who may educate themselves about the company's position and then testify.

I will also add the idea that we should have taken her deposition is -- it is a red herring. She has a
presence in this state. She owns multiple businesses within

And this is identified in paragraph 17 and 18 of our supporting affidavit. "She returned as a manager to that entity after her time in Washington was over."

So she is a manager of the Trump Organization business. I think you combine that with the fact that they're offering Eric Trump as a replacement suggests that she is very much still intertwined with the Trump Organization.

So we're not just focused on the specifics of the mechanics of service. I certainly think that under the operative words of this Court she could be brought here by the Trump Organization. They certainly seem to have enough control of her.

The only other point that I think I would make is she clearly owns and operates businesses. They're still affiliated with defendants, they're still relevant to this case and are located in New York. If she truly wanted to completely absent herself from the company, from the state, she was free to do that. She has maintained these businesses and these businesses aren't complete strangers to this action. Ivanka OPO is the ownership interest she held. As late as after the sale of this property she obtained I believe at least $\$ 4$ million from her interests in the sale of the OPO property which explains very much directly at issue in this case. She was acting in her own interest when

1 the state. She owns multiple businesses that have their
principal place of business within the state.

And I'll note that Mr. Bennet -- Mr. Moskowitz keeps talking about I believe he's a Weinstein \& Miller fan.

THE COURT: Weinstein Koren \& Miller is with a "K" and not a "C."

MR. WALLACE: I'm aware of that at least. But he also ignores the plain language of the CPLR 3086 which states: "For purposes of this section, actual place of business shall include any location that the defendant through regular solicitation or advertisement has held out as its place of business." Ivanka Trump has certainly held out the location of Trump Tower and the Trump Organization as a place of business for the OPO Ivanka entity, for TTT and for the 502 entity.

I will add that if Mr. Moskowitz needs additional information on relationship to the 502 entity that can be found in the -- paragraphs 24 through 26 of the supporting affidavit that we submitted and the documents attached thereto. It is a property that she owns and is renting out as a commercial enterprise for TTT.

I would note that this is part of the case already.
Ms. Kidder talked about it during week two of the trial. It is part of the licensing fees that the company earns.

I would also note that she returned as a manager.
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she was negotiating a loan with Deutsche Bank on OPO.
So, in any event, she very much remains intertwined with the Trump Organization. She very much still has a presence in New York and we are now obligated to go seek her de bene esse deposition because she is still available to this Court and through that commercial presence. She is a hundred percent someone who can come in and testify and is susceptible to subpoena in this jurisdiction.

So I don't think she is currently unavailable. She is a hundred percent available and the subpoenas were properly served on business addresses she maintains. Either businesses can require her to come forward and testify or she is holding out herself as doing business in those locations in the services appropriate. The fact that they're multiple avenues to secure her testimony in this court does not mean that we're doing something untoward or hidden or changing our tune. She is still apart of the Trump Organization. She still has commercial interests here in New York. She operates businesses here. Whether or not she visits them once a year just to say hello to the good people who are handling her paperwork or she comes more regularly is really irrelevant under 308 subsection six.
But I'm happy to answer any questions Your Honor might have, but we really don't see this as being a closed issue. She has sufficient contact with New York if the subpoenas were
appropriate.
THE COURT: What are your thoughts about granting the motion only in part and limiting her testimony to the OPO, Old Post Office transaction?

MR. WALLACE: I don't think -- I'm not sure what the basis would be for that. She would have the knowledge in her head of what the transactions are. I think it would also cover her information on the licensing agreements of TTT, her knowledge of the 502 Park apartment. But honestly, if it's just the OPO, I do think relevant -- the course of conduct with Deutsche Bank is relevant to the OPO loan. Im not sure it presents that much of a restriction to the scope of her testimony, but I think it -- the entities we've subpoenaed really do cover most of the breadth of what we would be interested in hearing -- what we would be interested in having her testify about.

THE COURT: Brief replies?
MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
First, as to the only argument I heard that touches on actual jurisdiction, the issue which is what dictates the result today is whether there is jurisdiction over my client. I heard this argument with this other CPLR section. There is no case that says that being affiliated with an entity registered to do business in New York means that service of a subpoena on that entity means you have

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Say owner. I can go with that. Someone who lives in Nebraska can own shares in 50 New York corporations. The case law is clear, Weinstein Koren \& Miller with a " $K$ " is clear, Siegel is clear that service of a subpoena of process of a complaint, whatever it is on those entities is not service on that person and does not mean that you necessarily have jurisdiction over that person absent something like someone who is also subject to general jurisdiction which is not what we're here talking about today. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. KISE: Just briefly, Your Honor. I think Mr. Wallace's points about we have a very good idea what we want out of Ms. Trump's testimony, we knew about the OPO loan involvement, all the facts that he's reciting they've known about since the investigation. Forget about since the case started. Since the investigation.

So the question comes up again why not do this during discovery. Why not depose her during discovery? Why not depose her after she was dismissed from the case? They had plenty of time to do that. Why issue a Note of Issue if they had a witness that they still needed an essential witness. The rules exist --

THE COURT: You file a Note of Issue. You don't issue a Note of Issue.

MR. KISE: That actually sounds better.
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THE COURT: I'm just trying to get you up to speed on New York law.

MR. KISE: By the time we're done here in November of 2025, I probably will be. The rules exist for a reason, Your Honor, they do. And the case I cited it's just black letter law. Once the Attorney General files a Note of Issue, that's it. They don't get to reopen discovery. Particularly - it would be one thing if this were some new witness that no one knew about before that. Well, this is something critical to our case and we didn't know about these facts and there is some justification. This is an individual that's been associated with the investigative process and then this case then dismissed and no attempt to do anything despite the fact that as Mr. Wallace said we have a very good idea we've known about these specifics, we've known about her specific involvement in the OPO transaction, etc.. So they haven't provided the Court with any explanation for that. The designations that Mr. Wallace represent, I'm glad that he acknowledged and I appreciate him acknowledging. Of course, we weren't there which is our biggest problems with using the examinations under oath, but the designations offer little because there were designations essentially with most of the transcript. So it wasn't really a narrowing in that sense.

The idea that somehow Ms. Trump is under the
control of the Trump Organization or any of the defendants, her father, all I can say is anyone that's raised a daughter past the age of 13 knows they are not under your control. She lives in Florida, she has her own family. The jurisdiction must be here and now irrespective of what she did five years ago, ten years ago with the OPO transaction, the jurisdiction must be here and it must be now and it simply doesn't exist.

And respectfully, the Court doesn't have the authority to just ignore that impediment. Respectfully, it doesn't have the authority to ignore the Note of Issue. Respectfully, the Court doesn't have the authority to just say well, I don't care if they knew about all this a long time ago, we're going to let this happen in the middle of trial. I think the case law on that is very well established.

So -- and, again, they haven't even proffered -I'm not offering this on behalf of Mr. Moskowitz and his client. They haven't proffered the least intrusive means which would be some deposition taking place where she does live, in Florida. And if it's so narrow and it's so focused her testimony, then perhaps that can accommodate the issues. Again, I'm not volunteering that, but what I'm saying is the Attorney General's offered nothing to this Court but no, she must come in here now. We didn't depose her, we don't have

1 York now. jurisdiction. here. York? our affirmation.

MR. KISE: Again, I don't know other than what's in the record and that would - any ownership of any nonparty entity or party entity would not necessarily give the Court

THE COURT: I acknowledge that.
MR. KISE: Because JP Morgan is headquartered here and I think it's somewhere in my $401(\mathrm{k})$-- I have ownership interest in a New York entity.

THE COURT: You have to be careful what you do up
MR. KISE: Yes, right.
THE COURT: Defendants, what, if anything, in the record shows current ownership interest by Ivanka in New

MR. WALLACE: I believe that's almost everything that's laid out in our affirmation in support. She owns the OPO entity, which has its principal place of business at Trump Tower; she owns the 502 entity; she owns an interest in TTT Consulting. We -- she is also one of the managers. She owns two apartments at the Trump Park Avenue property. I believe that's primarily the scope of what we laid out in

THE COURT: And was the affirmation -- were the affirmations by someone with personal knowledge?
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MR. WALLACE: It was made by someone with personal knowledge of our files and it attaches the documents that are the support, so it shows the agreements relevant to the OPO entity, to the TTT entity and to 502. So it lays out documentary evidence that supports our assertions on those fronts.

THE COURT: Any last words by defendants? And then I'll retreat for properly ten or 12 minutes and come back with a decision.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I can answer Your Honor's question. No, it was not someone with personal knowledge. It was a member of counsel for the Attorney General who attached stale documents. None of them show, answer the question that I can't answer either, which is what does she currently own.

By the way, that's all stuff that usually gets addressed at a Traverse hearing, which again, they didn't ask for. We're not submitting to, but that's all about general jurisdiction which they never even argued until now.

THE COURT: I once read all the way through "Prince, Richardson \& Farrow On Evidence." One of the things I really appreciated learning is there is a presumption in the law that facts stay the same, that they don't change unless there is some reason to think they change. So I don't think the -- well, we don't know what

| Proceedings |  |
| ---: | :---: |
| 1 | she owns today. Because she owns something six months ago, |
| 2 | I don't think that -- |
| 3 | MR. MOSKOWITZ: I was just going to say someone can |
| 4 | show you a piece of paper that says I go to NYU. |
| 5 | Unfortunately, for me, those days are long gone and my point |
| 6 | is that as to the question of what gives jurisdiction over |
| 7 | her now, there is nothing in this affidavit from someone who |
| 8 | in any event doesn't have personal knowledge of that |
| 9 | question. |
| 10 | THE COURT: You said you went to NYU? |
| 11 | MR. MOSKOWITZ: Yes, Your Honor. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Which division, which school? |
| 13 | MR. MOSKOWITZ: College of Arts and Science. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. The law school, '79. |
| 15 | MR. MOSKOWITZ: Im aware of because my colleague |
| 16 | went to school with you. |
| 17 | THE COURT: Anyway, interesting. |
| 18 | MR. MOSKOWITZ: Your Honor, I could submit an |
| 19 | affidavit, though, again, if the Court has questions I would |
| 20 | like to point out, though, they flip the burden. |
| 21 | My life as a litigator would be very easy if I |
| 22 | could fire off subpoenas without the basis to do so which |
| 23 | last I checked I have to have the basis when I serve a |
| 24 | subpoena and then say to the person tell me why it shouldn't |
| 25 | be this subpoena which is what they're doing here, but |

Also, and this phrase is engrained in all lawyers,
"Ms. Trump has clearly availed herself of the privilege of doing business in New York."

Finally, plaintiff's papers make abundantly clear by documentary evidence that Ms. Trump owns property in New York and has done business in New York. Even Ms. Trump's own papers admit that she is occasionally here.

With all due respect to Mr. Moskowitz, the record is devoid of any evidence because we do not have a sworn statement from Ms. Trump that she does not do currently or has not recently done business here. The time to submit any such affidavit in the first place was in the moving papers. Movant made the argument. There is no jurisdiction over her and it was her burden to provide an affidavit of someone with personal knowledge, meaning herself, to substantiate that. It is black letter law that you may not use a reply to state facts that should have been in your moving papers. Basically we don't know what she does or doesn't do because only she could tell us that and it's too late to tell us that now. That was - that should have been, could only have been in the moving papers. That's what you do in the motion to quash a subpoena and I've seen that all the time.

I get affidavits from people in California or Nebraska saying I don't own property here, I don't come here, I don't do business here. We don't have that.
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again, I could submit an affidavit and do it very quickly.
THE COURT: All right. Let's take a break until
10:35. I suspect to come back with a decision.
(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in
session. Be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: Let me start with two basic ancient
principals for which no citation is necessary.
A trial is a search for the truth and the law is
entitled to every person's evidence. On the other hand, we
don't compel people to testify unless the Court has personal
jurisdiction over them. As we learned in law school in the
international shoe case, personal jurisdiction to satisfy
due process has two elements: Notice and power.
Here, the power of the Court to compel Ms. Trump
into court to testify. In this case, notice is clear which
is why we are here and in any event I think was essentially
conceded by her attorney.
I find that the power element is also clearly
satisfied here. "The due process element is satisfied where
the non-domiciliary has minimum contacts with New York State
and based upon those contacts the non-domiciliary could or
should have reasonably anticipated being hailed into court,"
and that's more or less an exact quote or paraphrase from
LaMarca, 95 NY2d 216.
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So the motion is denied, but her testimony shall not be scheduled before Wednesday, November 1st for Ms. Trump to appeal this decision if she deems herself so advised.

Now, let's go on to the trial. First witness, next witness or same witness?

Sure, Mr. Moskowitz, you want to say something first?

MR. MOSKOWITZ: I just want to request a so ordering of the transcript unless the written decision is forthcoming.

THE COURT: Unlike what I sometimes do there won't be a follow-up written decision. The decision will be a so order of the transcript and I'll make sure it gets so ordered today.

MR. MOSKOWITZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: Not to volunteer, would the Court entertain -- I mean, we just did one on Monday, a de bene esse deposition? We just did one on Monday. That way Ms. Trump doesn't have to leave her family and three children to come to New York.

THE COURT: No. Denied. I want to see her in person. That's how we prefer testimony.

I'll get the signed transcript from which you can appeal by Monday morning. It's just tough, but you know

| Proceedings |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | what it will say. |
| 2 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, before the witness begins |
| 3 | her testimony, we did have one housekeeping matter |
| 4 | concerning today. I believe Mr. Solomon has maybe an hour |
| 5 | and a half -- at least an hour and a half of questioning. I |
| 6 | believe the defendants are going to cross and the question |
| 7 | is should we bring the next witness, Mr. Flores, back to the |
| 8 | courthouse or do defendants expect this will take us through |
| 9 | the remainder of today? |
| 10 | MR. KISE: I don't know if we're going to cross |
| 11 | this witness at this time. We may call her in our case, but |
| 12 | to preserve time we're not going to take up the Court's time |
| 13 | today necessarily. We reserve the right as we have with |
| 14 | other witnesses, but I'm not sure that's going to become |
| 15 | necessary. So I don't want to unnecessarily delay the |
| 16 | proceedings. |
| 17 | THE COURT: So that will save time for today. |
| 18 | Will there be enough time to finish the current |
| 19 | witness and have Flores testify? |
| 20 | MR. KISE: If we go like yesterday -- |
| 21 | MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, my questions -- I should |
| 22 | be able to finish. With respect to objections and how long |
| 23 | they take, I can't speak to that. I will do everything in |
| 24 | my power to finish this witness today, if at all possible, |
| 25 | yes. |


what it will say.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, before the witness begins her testimony, we did have one housekeeping matter concerning today. I believe Mr. Solomon has maybe an hour and a half -- at least an hour and a half of questioning. I believe the defendants are going to cross and the question is should we bring the next witness, Mr. Flores, back to the courthouse or do defendants expect this will take us through the remainder of today?

MR. KISE: I don't know if we're going to cross this witness at this time. We may call her in our case, but to preserve time we're not going to take up the Court's time today necessarily. We reserve the right as we have with other witnesses, but I'm not sure that's going to become necessary. So I don't want to unnecessarily delay the proceedings.

THE COURT: So that will save time for today.
Will there be enough time to finish the current witness and have Flores testify?

MR. KISE: If we go like yesterday --
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, my questions -- I should be able to finish. With respect to objections and how long they take, I can't speak to that. I will do everything in my power to finish this witness today, if at all possible, yes.

THE COURT: The question is will we be able to get to Mr. Flores today at all? Let's make it easy on everybody.

MR. KISE: I think it would be optimistic.
THE COURT: All right, so we'll have Flores testify starting next week, okay.

MR. WALLACE: Understood, Your Honor.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I like to make life easy on everybody.
I'll remind the witness as I always do that she is still under oath.

And let's get right into it, Mr. Solomon.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
Y MR. SOLOMON:
Q Ma'am, l'd like to ask the court officer for PX 158 in
If turn to page six of 13 you'll see that it's
addressed to you from Cushman \& Wakefield, David McArdle's
etterhead. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And if you turn to page 11 of 13 , is that your
A Yes
Q And did you sign it on or about February 19, 2014 ?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Please turn back to page six.
I'd like to focus your attention on the "Subject of the Assignment and Relevant Characteristics."

THE COURT: Let me just suggest to the witness she has -- you have three different ways to see this: the paper copy, the screen in front of you and the screen there. I often find the screens are a lot simpler. You don't have to turn pages, they turn the pages for you, but whatever you want to do is okay. Some people like physical papers in their hands.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Ma'am, if you look at this paragraph, did you
understand you or your firm were retaining Cushman \& Wakefield to "perform an appraisal on the hypothetical 71-unit development site located at Briarcliff Manor?"

A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. And was that appraisal to be done in connection with a potential donation of a conservation easement?

A Yes, it was.
Q Thank you.
A And to be clear, the purpose of this was to document the value of the conservation easement. In connection with that as apart of that assignment it was to appraise the hypothetical 71-unit the residential development.
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Q The development didn't exist at the time of the appraisal; correct?

A No, no, no. It was a hypothetical.
Q When you say "no" let's clarify this.
Did the 71-unit development exist at the time of the appraisal?

A There was no building.
Q And, in fact --
A Or two buildings.
Q And, in fact, to your knowledge, it's never been built; right?

A To my knowledge, it's never been built.
Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Can I please have 3194? May I ask the court officer to put 3194 in front of the witness which was already in evidence.
A I'm sorry, could I clarify one more thing?
THE COURT: Yes.
A I guess it wasn't -- while there was a general scope of -- I think you need to look more at the general scope of work to understand the work that was being done. And, again, this was all about documenting the value of a conservation easement and not just the 71-unit hypothetical buildings, you know, units needed to be appraised, but it was also the golf course and other properties. So it was a little bit shorthand or
abbreviation just to say is that the 71-unit. That was part of the whole project.
Q I appreciate that.
A That's why I wanted to take a look at the letter
because that didn't sound right to me, but I wanted to get that right.
Q Sure. I appreciate that.
The appraisal needs to determine whether the
surrounding parcels or contiguous parcels that may be owned by
the same party are enhanced in some way by the conservation easement. I think you mentioned that yesterday; right?
A That's apart of it, but again, the whole point is to get to the differentials. What is the value of the conservation easement, the rights and restrictions, the economic value of those things that were being given away.

Q But in that process one of the steps is valuing the hypothetical 71-unit residential development; right?

A That is apart of the assignment but it's not the entire assignment. There was more to the assignment than that.

Q If you take a look at PX 3194. The cover is an e-mail
to you from Mr. McArdle dated April 25, 2014. Do you see that?
A I don't have that yet, but --
MR. SOLOMON: PX 3194.
A Yes. It is an e-mail to me from Mr. McArdle.
Q And did you receive it in or about April of - April -
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on or about April 25, 2014 ?
A I have no recollection of that, but $I$ assume that I did since it's an e-mail addressed to me and I have no reason to believe I did not.

MR. SOLOMON: We offer it in evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. Understood.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 3194 in evidence.)
Q If you turn to page six of 193, please, under "General Description." Do you see that?

MR. ROBERT: Excuse me, I haven't been provided with a hard copy. Is it just the e-mail or is there an attachment seeking to move it into evidence?

MR. SOLOMON: It's already in.
MR. ROBERT: I thought you moved it into evidence. That's why you asked to move it. You said "we offer it into evidence."

MR. SOLOMON: I'm sorry. It was already in evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Got it. Thank you.
Q If you could turn to page six of 193. I'd like to
focus you on the general description, second sentence: construction of 71 luxury housing units contained within two adjacent low rise structure." Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Transcript continues on the following page....

Q So in connection with this project, did the appraisers determine in trying to calculate the value of the conservation easement the value of the right to build 71 luxury housing units?

A My understanding, that was part of the assignment, yes.
Q Please turn to page 4 of 193. The chart in the middle of the page, "Final Value Reconciliation." And do you see, "Final Value Conclusion of Conservation Easement $\$ 43,300,000$ ?" Do you see that?

A I see that.
Q Did you share this information, the value of the conservation easement, with your client as reflected in this appraisal?

A Yeah, I believe, I can. Although, this easement was never completed, I'm not entirely sure.

Q Would it have been your practice whether the easement
was actually completed and donated or not to share the valuation in the appraisal with your client?

A It would have been my practice as the project was going along to share values along the way with my client. Do I have a specific recollection of this? No.

Q I understand, but you said it was your practice and I appreciate that.

MR. SOLOMON: PX 132, please. It's already in evidence.

1 Q Ma'am, would you be comfortable while they're looking for it to look at it on the screen if we move along?

A Yeah, if I could look at all the parts we need.
Q Then let's wait.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
Q This is a document dated June 23, 2014 addressed to Mr.
Eric Trump, Cushman \& Wakefield letterhead, again, from David McArdle. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Do you have an understanding why Briarcliff Manor Development, LLC was retaining Cushman \& Wakefield if you had already retained them, as we saw in that other document, a few months before?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Ground?
MR. ROBERT: Attorney-client privilege. "Do you have an understanding why Briarcliff Manor Development, LLC was retaining Cushman \& Wakefield if you had already retained them?" It would go to conversations Ms. Dillon had with her client.

## THE COURT: Response?

MR. SOLOMON: I don't believe that's in connection with legal advice. The witness has testified that there is a process in determining whether to place a conservation easement on a particular piece of property and I believe the
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witness testified there are preliminary steps and then there is actually a formal appraisal. I'm just trying to understand is this the next step in the process to get to the formal appraisal.

MR. ROBERT: Those were general questions. I think you started them all at a high level and now we're getting into specifics as to this particular engagement and this particular assignment.

THE COURT: Can you just, please, read back the question.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

THE COURT: I don't see it as legal advice.
Overruled.
A Sitting here today, this is a little confusing for me because I haven't had a chance to look at what was the prior appraisal.

MR. KISE: The question as framed is a yes-or-no question. So if your ruling is can she answer, does she have an understanding yes or no, I would agree with you that that answer is not privileged. But if he asks, then, what's the basis for that understanding, that would be different.

THE COURT: I will buy that.
MR. SOLOMON: My first question was does she have an understanding because if the answer is she does not, then
we don't need to go further.
Q Do you have an understanding?
A Sitting here today, I don't have an understanding without looking through these documents in more detail.
Q You mentioned that you did not believe that a conservation easement was ultimately placed on the Briarcliff property. Do you recall that?

## A Yes.

Q If you look at the intended use here -- highlight that.
"To document the value of a conservation easement placed," past tense, "On a parcel of land for federal and state income tax purposes."

Can you explain if you don't believe a conservation easement was placed on the property, why they would be using the past tense in this retention letter or engagement letter?
A In my experience, there are sometimes typographical errors or misstatements and I believe that would be a typographic or a clerical error.

MR. SOLOMON: 3127 which is already in evidence.
Q This is an e-mail to you from Mr. McArdle dated
July 30, 2014. "Sheri, here is the revised appraisal." Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Okay. Again, on page 3 of 113 for the document, the third paragraph, "Trump Briarcliff Manor owned a parcel of land
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in Briarcliff Manor, New York, the parcel over which it has placed." Again, is that just a typographical error?
A As I look here, yes. This is not a final appraisal and I can see that because it says donated to XXX. So it appears to be in contemplation, but using the past tense.

Q If you turn to page 4 of 113, you will see here, again,
the, "Final Value Conclusion of Conservation Easement
$\$ 43.3$ million." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Did you share that information with your client in or about July of 2014?
A I don't recall.
Q Would it have been your practice to share that information with your client upon receipt?

A I didn't share every bit of information I got along the way. At various points in times, I would have shared some values with the client.
Q Do you believe this was one of those various points in time?

A I have no recollection of whether I did or not.
THE COURT: That's not the question. The question is whether you would have, not whether you recollect that you did or didn't.

THE WITNESS: I don't know if I would have because I don't see all the other e-mail traffic around this. I
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A Ido.

23 Q Didn't you and Eric Trump know by no later than June of
242014 that Briarcliff Manor Development did not have the absolute 25 right to build 71 units? material and relevant?

A It may or may not have been. If I would have shared that number with them a month ago, it wouldn't be relevant if it is about the same number.
Q Is it fair to say if you hadn't shared that number with them previously, you would have shared it with them at that time?

A If if if, yes, but again, I'm not saying whether I did or not and I'm not trying to be difficult. I just want to be accurate.

MR. SOLOMON: PX 910, please. This is an e-mail from Mr. McArdle to you with a cc to your colleague Mr. Zemil, "attaching a revised draft." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q He states that he "addressed your comments." Do you see that?
don't know if there is a valuation two weeks before, two
weeks later. I don't know if this particular valuation was relevant. There's lots of activities with valuation because lots of new facts and information come in and can make things change from time to time.
Q But you do endeavor to keep your client informed of the changes of the facts, right?
A Only if it is material and relevant. per it j it it permitted. It just requires it to be permissible and things change over time, so you certainly don't have to go and get all the entitlements in place.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, move to strike as non-responsive.

MR. ROBERT: It is absolutely responsive.

MR. KISE: It is, Your Honor. She answered the question. He just doesn't like -- Mr. Solomon just doesn't like the answer.

MR. SOLOMON: We like the answer, Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: Then why are you moving to strike it?
MR. SOLOMON: Because it is not responsive to the question.

THE COURT: Let me just check. I'm so happy --
MR. KISE: It looks very responsive to me.
THE COURT: -- we have the immediate transcript here. It is stricken because it is not responsive to the question which is a yes-or-no question. Is that --

MR. SOLOMON: May I --
THE COURT: Is that how you perceived it yourself, Mr. Solomon, that it is a yes-or-no question?

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor. May I ask the Court for a readback of that question, please.

THE COURT: Sure. Please, read back.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)
A I don't remember.
Q Let's see if we can refresh your recollection.
MR. SOLOMON: May I, please, have marked for identification PX 3275.
Q Ms. Dillon, do you recognize this document to be the
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 amendments to the original offering plan for the residences at <br> 2 Trump National Golf Club Condominium? | 1 also produced from Morgan Lewis' files to us, so there is no <br> 2 question about authenticity or-- |
| 3 A I can read what it says, but I don't recognize it from | 3 THE COURT: Authenticity doesn't do it. Sorry. Go |
| 4 past experience. It looks like lots of documents I've seen in | 4 ah |
| 5 the past. | sue, Your Honor, I |
| 6 Q P | 6 won't address that, but it's not hearsay. These are |
| 7 Amendment No. 8 to the original offering plan (a/k/a Amendment | 7 publicly-available documents. These matters are a public |
| 8 No. 4 to the second restated offering plan) of the residences a |  |
| 9 Trump National Golf Club Condominium." | MR. KISE: That's not demonstrable from the face of |
| 10 You will see that this document is dated May 6, 2004. | 10 the document. We can't tell one way or the other. If it |
| 11 A | 11 came from Morgan Lewis, that's fine; but they're not the |
| 12 Q And, "roman numeral one, reduction in size of the | 12 public reposito |
| 13 condominiu | 13 THE COURT: How do we know it's a public record? I |
| 14 A I | 14 know these things are routinely filed, but I don't know that |
| 15 Q And it still maintains the 16 golf villa residential | 15 this was file |
| 16 units on the | 16 MR. KISE: We don't have to take up the Court's |
| A | e now. If they can demonstrate -- I'm not saying it is |
| 18 Q A | 18 or it isn't. I'm saying you can't tell from this record |
| 19 A Well, actually where? I'm sorry | 19 that's presented here and we want to be very careful. The |
| 20 Q That's okay. Third line. | 20 witness on the stand is very careful because she unlike |
| 21 A | 21 litigators who are very loose with things, tax lawyers tend |
| 2 Q | 22 to |
| 3 residential units | 23 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. |
| 4 A | 24 (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as |
| 25 Q Does that refresh | 25 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3275.) |
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| v | you know if amendments to condominium declarations |
| 2 to 31 in 2004? | 2 in the State of New York are filed with the Attorney General's |
| 3 A No. It refreshes my recollection that there were lots | 3 office? |
| and lots of amendments and in this particular document, at this | MR. KISE: Objection. Foundation. |
| 5 particular | COURT: She hasn't been qualified. Although, |
| 6 see what the document says and I can read the words. | 6 she may be as an expert at this point, right? |
| 7 Q Okay. | MR. SOLOMON: I was asking her knowledge, Your |
| MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer this | onor, not as an expert, but |
| 9 evidence | 9 THE COURT: But |
| 10 MR. KISE: Your Honor, I'm not sure what basis | 10 MR. SOLOMON: We'll move on. We offer it for |
| w | 11 notice |
| 12 recognize it. There is no exception to the hearsay rule | 12 necessarily for the truth of the matter asserted and we will |
| 13 that he's articulated. It was used as you can use anything | 13 address that issue at a later time? |
| resh | 14 THE COURT: Notice to whom? |
| it. I mean, that's fine. We didn't object to his | 15 MR. KISE: To whom? |
| question because his questions were "would this refresh your | 16 MR. SOLOMON: Notice to |
| lection," but it is not substantively admissible in | 17 MR. KISE: Morgan Stanley. |
| evidence. There is no foundation. There's nothing to | 18 MR. SOLOMON: Please, let me finish. Counsel |
| support the admission of this in evidence at all. It is | 19 THE COURT: All righ |
| hearsay | 20 MR. SOLOMON: Briarcliff Manor Development, LLC |
| THE COURT: Why is it not hearsay or what exception | 21 which is a part of the umbrella organization in the Trump |
| might | 22 Organization. That's the entity, that's the sponsor that |
| MR. SOLOMON: Certainly. There is a matter of | 23 executed this, that filed this, that voluntarily reduced the |
| c record, Your Honor. These are amendments to a | 24 number of units. |
| condominium declaration that are publicly filed. They were | 25 THE COURT: It's even more than notice. They wrote |

## it so --

MR. KISE: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes?
MR. KISE: If they solve the hearsay problem, if it is a matter of public record, I don't know why we are debating it. They just simply demonstrate that it's a public record. Otherwise, again, it is notice to whom because there's no demonstration --

THE COURT: Notice to the author.
MR. KISE: This is our official document. It might be Morgan Stanley's document, but there is no demonstration it is ours. I'm not debating one way or the other. I'm saying in this record as it stands right now, it is not there. On a break, I'm sure they could find it if it is public record. Most everything is online now.

THE COURT: It's admitted into evidence as notice of the statements therein to Briarcliff Manor, whatever the corporate entity is known as.
Q Ms. Dillon, do you remember specifically analyzing the eighth amendment and providing to your client your conclusion that it reduced the number of luxury residential units that it could build from 71 to 31 ?

MR. ROBERT: I just want to be very careful that this is a yes or no and beyond that, I will object that it is privileged.
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1 THE COURT: Is that a yes or no? in-camera and determined that they are not privileged. So this is a yes or no. I'm laying my foundation for the next document.

THE COURT: Please answer yes or no.
A I analyzed thousands of documents for this client over the year. Whether this was one of them, I don't recall; but if you can help me, that would be great.

MR. SOLOMON: May we, please, mark PX 3261 for identification.
Q Ms. Dillon, this is an e-mail chain from June 2014 between you and Eric Trump, correct?
A Yes, it is.
Q And the top e-mail, you wrote to Mr. Trump, Eric Trump, "Hi Eric. In going through the documents, this is what I see happening."

You first lay out what happens in the original offering plan and declaration. Do you see that?
A Ido.

A Yes, I do.
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1 A Yes, we have.
2 Q Did there come a time that you on behalf of Seven
3 Springs, LLC began work on a potential donation of a
4 conservation easement?
5 A Yes.
6 Q Was that in or about October of 2012?
7 A I don't recall the date.
8 Q Do you recall Robert Heffernan? Excuse me. Yes, 9 Heffernan.

A I do know Mr. Heffernan.
Q And how do you know Mr. Heffernan?
A He is an appraiser that from time to time was consulted, did various work.

Q Did you retain him in or about October 2012 on behalf of Seven Springs to provide an estimate of the fair market value of a portion of the property of Seven Springs located in New Castle?

A I do not recall the dates and I don't recall exactly what he was retained for, but I believe at one point in time, Mr. Heffernan was retained to take a look at a part -- a piece -- overall Seven Springs property.

MR. SOLOMON: Take one step back, if I may, Your Honor. I think I may have forgotten to move PX 3261, Ms. Dillon's e-mail chain to Eric Trump.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 I believe it is a privileged communication. | 1 MR. SOLOMON: The response from the defendant. |
| 2 THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It is in | 2 Your Honor, I will withdraw the question. |
| 3 evidence. It is business advice in my opinion as I've | 3 THE COURT: Good. |
| 4 already held. | 4 MR. SOLOMON: And rephrase, if I may. |
| 5 (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as | 5 Q The paragraph 55 is the allegation by the plaintiff of |
| 6 Plaintiff's Exhibit 3261.) | 6 what is an undisputed fact. The response is the response by the |
| 7 Q Ms. Dillon, does this refresh your recollection as to | 7 defendants. Do you see that? |
| 8 when you, you on behalf of your client, retained Mr. Heffernan? | 8 A I'm very confused. It looks to me like 55 is an |
| 9 A Yes. | 9 allegation and the response disputes it, so it is not an |
| 10 Q Was that in or about October of 2012? | 10 undisputed fact. |
| 11 A Yes. | 11 Q I understand, but after it disputes it, "There's an |
| 12 Q If you look at the second paragraph beginning, "the | 12 explanation and further discussion where it states Mr. Heffernan |
| 13 scope," do you see that? | 13 indicated he "didn't specifically recall" the preliminary value |
| 14 A I do. | 14 range he determined, but he recalled an e-mail back and forth |
| 15 Q Second line, "Estimating the fair market value of a | 15 with Bob Leonard that he was asking (Mr. Heffernan) why (he) was |
| 16 conservation easement placed on the client's property located in | 16 coming in at a number somewhere around \$700,000 a raw lot." |
| 17 the town of New Castle, New York." Do you see that? | 17 That's the response from the defendants. |
| 18 A I do. | 18 Does that refresh your recollection as to the number |
| 19 Q Is that your signature on the last page of that | 19 Mr. Heffernan came back with for the lots in New Castle? |
| 20 document? | 20 A I can read what it says here today, but I don't recall |
| 21 A Yes. | 21 the preliminary numbers he was -- that he apparently provided. |
| 22 MR. SOLOMON: We offer PX 908 in evidence. | 22 I have no independent recollection of it. |
| 23 MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. | 23 Q Okay. Just so we are clear, again, Mr. Leonard was |
| 24 THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It is in. | 24 affiliated with your law firm? |
| 25 (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as | 25 A Yes, he was one of my colleagues. He was an associate |
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| 1 Plaintiff's Exhibit 908.) | 1 that worked with me. |
| 2 Q Do you recall the estimate provided by Mr. Heffernan as | 2 Q He was at Bingham with you at that time? |
| 3 to the fair market value of the conservation easement referenced | 3 A Yes. |
| 4 in this engagement letter? | 4 MR. SOLOMON: Can we, please, mark PX 3296. |
| 5 A My recollection is he never completed the project. | 5 Q Ms. Dillon, have you had an opportunity to review the |
| 6 Q Okay. My question was somewhat different. | 6 e-mail chain? |
| 7 MR. SOLOMON: May I ask for a readback, Your Honor? | 7 A I'm still working through it. |
| 8 THE COURT: Yes, readback, please. | 8 Yes, I've had a chance to review it. |
| 9 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was | 9 (Continued on the next page.) |
| 10 read back.) | 10 |
| 11 A No, because there wasn't one. | 11 |
| 12 Q Do you recall if Mr. Heffernan provided an estimate of | 12 |
| 13 the value for the lots in New Castle? | 13 |
| 14 A He may have done a preliminary. I just don't recall. | 14 |
| 15 MR. SOLOMON: Could we pull up the 202-G statement, | 15 |
| 16 paragraph 52. I'm sorry. Paragraph 55. | 16 |
| 17 Q Ma'am, this is a statement under rule 202-G of our | 17 |
| 18 local rules here in New York for court practice. The beginning | 18 |
| 19 paragraph 55 is the allegation by the plaintiff of an undisputed | 19 |
| 20 fact and then the response from the defendants is below. Do you | 20 |
| 21 see that? | 21 |
| 22 A It seems to not be an undisputed fact. It looks | 22 |
| 23 disputed. | 23 |
| 24 THE COURT: I don't know what you mean by | 24 |
| 25 "undisputed fact." | 25 |

Q Great.
Does this e-mail chain refresh your recollection as to whether Mr. Heffernan provided a preliminary view as to the value of the lots in New Castle?
A I see that Mr. Heffernan is providing preliminary views, but I have no independent recollection of those numbers. I do know we worked with him. I don't disagree with that, but I just don't recall all of this since he ended up not being the appraiser on the project.

Q You have no reason to doubt that he did in fact provide this information to Mr. Leonard in or about December of 2012, do you?

A I have no reason to doubt the authenticity of this e-mail.

Q Let's move forward into 2013, please.
Were you aware that at a Town of Bedford Planning Board meeting in May 2013, an attorney for Seven Springs LLC agreed to restrict construction on the New Castle and North Castle portions of the property in order to obtain approval for the subdivision of the lots in Bedford?
A I was not aware of that at the time. I have some awareness derived in the course of these proceedings and historically I -- yes, so during the course of these proceedings, not just here today, but overall I've become aware -- yes, go ahead.

Q Do you recall providing testimony in connection with the investigation conducted by the Attorney General's office in this matter?
A Yes, I do.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we pull up Ms. Dillon's testimony, page 660, line $19 ?$
Q You recall this was done during COVID; right?
A I remember that distinctly.
Q So we had -- the transcript may not be clear, but we'll go through it, you were asking and we were discussing having the document on the screen for everyone to share. Do you recall that? And it had to be moved for a period of time so you could see different portions of it?
A Yes, yes.
Q And my colleague, Alex Finkelstein, was the one who was moving the documents. So when we see this on the transcript -we'll be able to see this, 660 , line 19. Okay:
"QUESTION: I'm going to ask Alex to pull up our first electronically shared exhibit. It is a May 17, 2013 newspaper story from the Bedford/Pound Ridge Record Review.

Ma'am, as you've done in your prior sessions, if you could please tell Alex when you refer to a portion and you want him to move down that would be helpful."
"MR. SOLOMON: I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 52."

And then you go on. The document is being advanced.
"QUESTION: Does reading this article refresh your recollection about any events involving Seven Springs before the Bedford Planning Board?
"ANSWER: No, I was not involved in that process.
"QUESTION: That wasn't my question."
"MR. SOLOMON: Can I have the court reporter please read back my question?"

Question is read back.
"ANSWER: --
It reads your answer; correct, just to clarify?
A Yes.
Q "Because I was not involved in the process, this
article does not refresh my" -- excuse me -- "doesn't refresh my recollection about things I wasn't involved in.
"QUESTION: Ma'am, you will agree with me someone could have told you about it, right?
"ANSWER: Someone could have told me about it.
"QUESTION: Right. So this article could have refreshed that recollection, even if you weren't involved in the events before the Planning Board?
"ANSWER: This article does not refresh my recollection."

Was that your testimony during the investigatory

## phase -- <br> A Yes.

Q -- of this?
MR. ROBERT: Objection. He's conflating refreshing the recollection with trying to impeach his own witness. I mean, this is consistent.

THE COURT: Overruled. I don't see any problem with this at all. He's just asking her if she sees that was her testimony. I don't know what you're reading into the question.

MR. ROBERT: There would be no reason to do that other than if it refreshed her recollection.

MR. SOLOMON: Can we go to page 663, line 8 ?
THE COURT: What was the purpose of asking her if she --

MR. SOLOMON: That she previously had no knowledge about this planning meeting which is why we're going to this next portion which is a short question and answer, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So it is the admissibility of that depending upon the admissibility or the answer or the testimony you're going to read now?

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, it's being linked together.
MR. KISE: It looks like he's using something where she didn't have a -- refresh her recollection -- to refresh
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"Because I wasn't involved, I do not know."
So at that time you had no recollection of this planning meeting; right?
A At that time I was -- I think I've been consistent. I was not at that planning meeting. I was not involved in the planning meeting. Have I learned things about the planning meeting? Did I know some things? But I didn't know they came about from the planning meeting, yes. It's complicated, but for the most part it didn't matter. It didn't matter. It's again back to hypothetical. It's a hypothetical subdivision that's being drawn. No one needed to be permitted. The standard is legally permissible and the courts have ruled time and time again it's a waste of everyone's resources for taxpayers, landowners, etc., to impinge upon a planning board, zoning boards and everybody else that you don't have to actually be permitted and entitled for anything.

MR. SOLOMON: Move to strike as nonresponsive, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Stricken as nonresponsive.
I'll ask that the witness be taken out for a few moments so I can talk to Counsel not in her presence.
(Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness stand and exits the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, I feel like we're two or three levels away from anything that's relevant. This all
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| 2 | her recollection now and in either case it didn't, but if |
| 3 | edge of our seat. |
| 4 | MR. SOLOMON: Glad I can keep you entertained. |
| 5 | Can we please go to page 663, line 8: |
| 6 | "QUESTION: Were you aware that Mr. Martabano" -- |
| 7 | Q Mr. Martabano is the attorney at the planning meeting, |
| 8 | do you recall that? |
| 9 | A $\quad$ I have since. Yes, I recall that. |
| 10 | Q "Mr. Martabano on behalf of Seven Springs agreed to, |
| 11 | quote, 'restrict construction near the end of the road serving |
| 12 | Seven Springs to two houses to help resolve the dispute over the |
| 13 | need for an access road?" |
| 14 | "ANSWER: I was -- I'm not aware of that if that's |
| 15 | accurate." |
| 16 | THE COURT: "I was not." |
| 17 | MR. SOLOMON: Excuse me. "I was not." |
| 18 | Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 19 | "QUESTION: I asked you to assume it was. You left |
| 20 | all of these negotiations to the land use attorneys |
| 21 | representing Seven Springs; correct? |
| 22 | "ANSWER: I was not involved in this. I didn't |
| 23 | leave or not leave. It wasn't my decision. |
| 24 | "QUESTION: To your knowledge who was involved in |
| 25 | this then? |
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seems to be what happened. She saw something, but didn't you say something different what she knew about all this stuff?

MR. SOLOMON: It goes to the valuation of Seven Springs, Your Honor. They valued it as if they could build 24 lots on the three properties. That's what the appraisal does, which is what we will get to. However, previously, they had agreed to restrict the number of lots to I think 12 or 13 .

THE COURT: Okay. But what does that have to do with whether she remembers what happened at a meeting?

MR. SOLOMON: Because this witness was the one who retained the appraiser, who gave the appraiser the information necessary, was the conduit for the appraiser and if she knew this and didn't give it to the appraiser that's relevant. If she didn't know it and other attorneys at the Trump Organization knew it, that's relevant because that information should have been provided to the appraisers when they projected their 24 hypothetical lots by law and we have an Appellate Division decision which is coming up shortly which said they have -- the Seven Springs LLC entity has no access through Aragon Road. So they didn't have a second access and this restriction was binding on them.

THE COURT: Okay. It seems to me this has become a game of got you, didn't you say that, didn't you say this,

1 but then you said that. I'll let you go ahead because there
is no jury and l'd like to afford some leeway, but maybe if we could get to the heart of the matter quicker, Mr. Kise?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I think what the witness was trying to say -- what Mr. Solomon asked it be stricken is -

THE COURT: That was a speech. That was not responsive.

MR. KISE: No, I'm just saying she's explaining why what you're saying is correct that we're just going around in circles here because from a tax perspective, from an appraisal, for IRS purposes, for conservation easement you don't get into all of this stuff about whether you had this permit or didn't have this permit or what you knew or what you didn't know.

If Mr. Solomon wants to use a witness to establish the points that he's making, that's fine, but this witness has said both today and before based on the testimony there that she wasn't part of the process, she didn't have anything to do with the process, she can't testify to the process and, most importantly, it would not have been relevant to her job, her role. So we are just kind of going in circular fashion here because her role is with respect to advice on conservation easements. She's already said twice it's been stricken that when you do that you're not looking at actual permits, actual this, actual that. She's the
expert on that and so I think that she's testified that's why she wouldn't have a foundation here to have any of this knowledge. But if we want to continue to go around, it's their morning. I don't know that any of this has any relevance.

THE COURT: I'll let the question continue in the manner that Mr. Solomon thinks is relevant.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we will endeavor to move quickly on this.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the witness.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I just want to make a general statement without any accusations that when a witness is asked to depart the courtroom, nobody should attempt to speak to him or her. And let's go on.

MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor. We'd ask to mark for identification PX 899.
For the record, it is a July 16, 2014 e-mail on Vinson \& Elkins letterhead, Ms. Dillon's letterhead in particular to David McArdle, Senior Managing Director at Cushman \& Wakefield.
Q Ms. Dillon, is that your signature on page four of the exhibit?

A Yes, it is.
Q Did you send it to Mr. McArdle in or about July of 2014?
A I would assume so.
MR. SOLOMON: We offer it into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and privilege.

THE COURT: Overruled. Give me a second.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: Overruled on both grounds. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 899 in evidence.)
Q Was this the engagement letter you were retaining
Cushman and specifically Mr. McArdle to provide an estimate of the value of the potential conservation easement for the Seven Springs property?

A It was to provide consulting services, yes, related to the estimated value of the potential conservation easement.

Q And did he provide an analysis of the estimated current value of these lots as of a particular valuation date?
A I can't recall how far along we got before the project was discontinued in 2014.
Q Why was it discontinued in 2014?
S. Dillon - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)
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MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: What's the objection?
MR. ROBERT: Why something was done and why if a retention was discontinued is attorney/client privilege. It clearly falls within if it was a direction from the client as to do or not do something that's a continuation in the course of giving legal representation.

THE COURT: It's business. Overruled.
Unless you want to withdraw it on the ground --
MR. SOLOMON: I've learned, Your Honor, if you rule in my favor to be quiet.

THE COURT: I ruled in your favor.
Why was the project discontinued?
THE WITNESS: I have no specific recollection, but I can certainly give you the typical reasons projects would be discontinued.

THE COURT: Do you want that or not?
MR. SOLOMON: I will take the typical reasons for the moment. Thank you.
A The typical reason is a landowner just isn't ready, they haven't made the determinations of what restrictions they want to put on property, which land they want to keep out of the restricted property. That's the biggest reason.

Other reasons are there is just simply not enough time to get it done, but those tend to be the biggest reasons as you
have not yet decided what exactly you want to do with the property, whether you want to retain it because, again, it's in perpetuity, which is forever and it affects not just that landowner but all landowners.
Q Is also one of the reasons for tax planning purposes?
A That could be one of the considerations.
Q Does it refresh your recollection as to whether in this situation it was discontinued at that time because Mr. Trump did not need additional tax deductions for tax year 2014 ?

MR. KISE: Objection. That's -- I mean, if she wants to answer yes or no, perhaps, but once you get into the substance, that's squarely within the attorney/client privilege. I mean, I think all of it is respectfully, Your Honor, but she is a tax lawyer being engaged to provide tax advice and the conservation easement is -- the purpose of doing one is to get a tax deduction. So it's all squarely within the confines of the privilege. So I would respectfully disagree, Your Honor, it's not business. It involves business matters, but it's at the core of the attorney/client relationship with a tax lawyer.

THE COURT: Overruled. The question is whether that was a factor -- if she knows whether that was a factor in why the process was discontinued.

THE WITNESS: Is it time for me to answer? THE COURT: Yes, it's also a yes or no.
S. Dillon - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)
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1 A I believe it probably was one of the considerations among many.

MR. SOLOMON: Could we please put PX 133 in front of the witness? It's already in evidence.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning and then we'll come back. It's not the end of the -- we started early. That's what's throwing you off.

MR. SOLOMON: I'm just focused.
Q Do you recognize what was marked as PX 133?
A I have seen this before.
Q Okay. Do you have an understanding as to why Mr. Eric Trump -- withdrawn.

Do you have an understanding as to why this letter was addressed to Mr. Eric Trump at Seven Springs LLC directly when the prior letter that we saw was addressed to you?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Attorney/client privilege. THE COURT: It's from Cushman \& Wakefield.
Overruled.
A My understanding would be at this point in time it appears that the project was still going forward and we were seeking a formal appraisal that would be provided to the Internal Revenue Service since this is being done for the purpose of federal and state income tax purposes.

Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Can I have PX 1861? I'm jumping

1 forward.
2 Q When the project was either terminated or put on hold in 2014, had you already learned of Mr. McArdle's estimate of the value of the lots for the potential conservation easement? A I don't remember.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, this may be a good point.
THE COURT: Is this a good time? All right. Let's just make it 12:10 everybody and then we'll go to 12:55 or so.
(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Witness?
MR. ROBERT: Do we have a minute before the witness comes in?

THE COURT: Sure.
Hold off on the witness.
MR. ROBERT: Are we going to finish today with Ms. Dillon?

MR. SOLOMON: In light of the Court's instruction, I have no more than 20, 30 minutes, max.

MS. GREENFIELD: That's after we finish the witness?

MR. ROBERT: That's fine. I just want to know who is on for Monday.
S. Dillon - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)
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THE COURT: Now witness?
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: So as everyone else has just heard, we're hoping to finish with this witness this moming. So
let's keep the questions moving and the answers moving.

## CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SOLOMON:

Q Ms. Dillon, could we please put -- I'm sorry -- PX 104 in front of you?

Is this an engagement letter signed by Eric Trump on behalf of Seven Springs LLC dated June 1, 2015?

A Yes, it is.
Q And was this engagement letter executed in connection with restarting the potential donation of a conservation easement at Seven Springs?
A Yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we please mark PX 195 for identification?
Q This is an e-mail from Timothy Barnes to you and Mr. Zemil with a cc to Didi Yep. Who is Timothy Barnes?
A Mr. Barnes was the lead appraiser on the Seven Springs project.

Q And do you know who Didi Yep is?

1 A Yes, that was his second -- the second appraiser.
2 Q The second e-mail draft is attached. Let me know if you have any questions. Do you see that?

## A I do.

Q Did you see -- did you receive that in or about
November of 2015? Specifically November 6th is the date of the cover e-mail?

A Yes, I fully expect I received it.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I offer this in evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and
the attachment is hearsay.
THE COURT: Statute of limitations overruled.
What is it being offered for.
MR. SOLOMON: For notice, Your Honor.
THE COURT: It's in simply for notice.
MR. ROBERT: Notice to whom?
THE COURT: The recipients?
MR. SOLOMON: Ms. Dillon and Mr. Zemil at this point in time.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
Q If you please turn to page 5 of 139 of this exhibit,
"Value Conclusions." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Is this the method that we've been talking about
S. Dillon - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)
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previously where you value the property before and after the easement, make whatever adjustments are appropriate and then the difference is the value of the easement?
A Yes, that is the before and after method that we talked about before.
Q So the before method values the entire Seven Springs property at $56,500,000$. Do you see that?
A I see.
Q Did you advise your client in or about November of 2015
that the appraisal had valued the entirety of the property at approximately $\$ 56.5$ million?

A I don't recall.
Q Would it have been your practice to do so?
A I don't recall if we would have had a discussion about this in November. There are thousands of e-mails and conversations about this as the process goes through, so I have no idea if I told him the before value of the property or if I told anyone -- it would have been - I don't know. At some point I would have advised probably the value of the easement. That's what they were interested in.
Q Would you have discussed how that easement was calculated with the client?
A I may have.
Q Okay. You say there are many e-mails back and forth and the like. When you received the first draft of an appraisal
S. Dillon - Plaintiff - direct (Solomon)
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1 the first time that you find out what the appraiser is coming in with for the value of the property and the value of the easement, would you advise your client at that point in time?

A I was in touch with the appraisers on a regular basis, so I always had some understanding of where they were. At various points in time I would have explained the indicated value of the easement to someone at the organization.

Q Okay. Would you have done it the first time that you found out what the value of the easement or the entirety of the property was?
A Not necessarily. My practice would be to understand it and see if I thought that was reasonable, if there were facts that had been omitted, if they understood things, if they had questions. Often these values are very preliminary and we learn things as the project goes on is the things that may or may not affect value.
Q So is it your testimony that you don't always keep your client informed of changes?
A It is my testimony that I appropriately keep my client informed of changes and I respect all of my duties and obligations and ethics as a lawyer.
Q Do you recall when you first, a general time frame, advised your client that the value of the entirety of the property was 56.5 million?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. That's not the testimony.
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THE COURT: That was just a question. Does she recall when she first notified?

MR. ROBERT: The testimony was that she doesn't know if she ever told the value. She would have told them the value of the easement, not the value of the property. That was the testimony, Your Honor.

MR. SOLOMON: That's an easy foundation, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q Do you believe you ever told your client what the value of the property was as reflected in the appraisal?
A My expectation is I certainly would have given them the appraisal at some point so they would have had that. Probably also at some point in time I would have said here's how it was calculated, but I can't recall when and I don't know if I would have done it at various points along the way, but I would have been in fairly regular communication about the value of the easement.
Q When you received this draft appraisal in or about November 2015, did you communicate with anyone at the Trump Organization as to how they were valuing Seven Springs on the statement of financial condition?
A I don't recall. I don't think so, no.
Q Did you ever communicate with anyone at the Trump
25 Organization as to how they were valuing Seven Springs on the

## easements?

A I don't know what you mean by -- could you restate -could you read back that question? It was confusing to me.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may we have a read back, please?

## THE COURT: Please.

(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A Yes, but not things that necessarily looked like this.
Q How would you provide those updates to your client?
A More informal e-mails, conversations, things along those lines from time to time and if regular means from time to time, then from time to time. There was no set -- it's not like every Monday we talk about conservation easements or anything like that. It would have been from time to time as I had something to say.

```
MR. SOLOMON: One moment, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. SOLOMON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Wow. Where is Flores?
MR. ROBERT: I was just about to bet the under.
MR. SOLOMON: Nothing further, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
Transcript continues on the following page....
```
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17
Q Fair enough. Thank you.
You'll see pointing to one side of the top e-mail that
doesn't include you, but the one in the middle is from you to
Mr. Weisselberg, Mr. Trump with a cc to Mr. Bender. Do you see
that?
A Ido.
Q And just so we're clear, it's Mr. Eric Trump; right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you send -- and the subject is "Easement
Analysis." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Did you send this to Mr. Weisselberg, Mr. Eric Trump
and Mr. Bender on or about September 23, 2014 ?
A Yes.
Q If you look at the chart that's attached to that, the
next page, "Potential Charitable Contribution Analysis." Do you
see that?
A I do.
Q And the next page you are suggesting topics they should
keep in mind. Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Did you provide regular updates to your client as to
the progress of conservation or potential conservation

THE COURT: Plaintiff, are you finished with this witness?

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good.
Will there be any cross-examination despite what you said previously?

MR. KISE: Not right now, Your Honor. We are going to try and streamline and determine whether we need to call the witness at all.

THE COURT: We should talk scheduling, but should I let the witness go at this point?

MR. KISE: Fine with us, yes, Your Honor.
MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I will let the witness go.

## Thank you.

(Witness excused.)
THE COURT: Let's talk scheduling on the record. Who wants to go first? Should I ask plaintiff since it's still your case?

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor. Should we give the witness a moment to leave?

THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.
MR. WALLACE: Okay, Your Honor. The next witness that we will call on Monday would be Mr. Flores returning to the stand. Our expectation is that examination would take

1 about an hour. After that, we would have Mr. Cerrone, the

THE COURT: Will there be cross-examination of the two Tuesday witnesses as best you can tell?

MR. KISE: Orowitz, no. Cornwell, yes. And, obviously, the expert, yes.

MR. WALLACE: I would just clarify that Mr. McCarty has been proffered as an expert in bank underwriting issues, but we will -- and issues of disgorgement. At this time, we are only planning to examine him on the issues of disgorgement. We are reserving any evidence or any presentation on bank underwriting issues until after the presentation of the defendant's case which I you understand will cover a number of bank witnesses anyway, would influence his opinion.

THE COURT: That's okay. Hold on.
MS. GREENFIELD: And Wednesday?
MR. WALLACE: Wednesday, we will then move on to the defendants, specifically Mr. Donald Trump, Jr. Then after him, Eric Trump and presumably, depending on whatever may happen with appeals, would be Ivanka Trump. And as we have indicated to the Court, reserve Monday, November 6th for Donald J. Trump, for his testimony.

THE COURT: We like to keep families together.
MR. KISE: What about Garten and Brunnett? Are we not calling them?

MR. WALLACE: We will not be taking Garten and

1 Brunnett during our case in chief.
MR. KISE: Okay.
MR. WALLACE: Also, Mr. Greenblatt we will not be taking during our case in chief. They will be moved to our rebuttal list, but we will not be taking them at this time.

MS. GREENFIELD: Do you anticipate getting Eric Trump, Donald Trump Jr., Ivanka Trump pending the appeal all in one day?

MR. WALLACE: Our hope is to do one day for each of them.

MS. GREENFIELD: That would be we are looking at Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday for each of the three Trump defendants. Ivanka Trump is not a defendant.

MR. WALLACE: Correct.
THE COURT: Will there be any cross?
MR. KISE: Not -- well, I don't know about with respect to Ivanka Trump just because to minimize her travel here and I can't really -- none of us here at this table can speak for her schedule and availability next week. Mr. Moskowitz, which I don't -- I don't know if he is still here -- would have to address that. But that would be the only witness, again, just to minimize her having to come back and forth whenever it is that she appears. We may try and just do that collectively.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. I want to -- I'm sorry,
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1
but just to be super clear, I understand that we are going to have each of the Trump witnesses on a separate day, but do we think their testimony will take the entire day or are you going to have filler witnesses or anything in between?

MR. WALLACE: Our expectations, it would likely take a full day. At this point, after we call Mr. Trump, we would rest our case in chief is our expectation at this point. So there -- there's no filler witnesses remaining.

MR. KISE: So a question with respect to Ivanka Trump. To the extent -- and again, I can't speak - I have no idea what her schedule is, but is Ivanka Trump someone that the People -- because I know you're moving a number of other witnesses out of your direct case. Is that someone that you must have in your direct case?

The only reason I'm asking the question, I'm not suggesting an outcome, if for whatever reason her availability becomes challenging, we certainly would be calling her now that we know she's coming and we certainly would -- I'm just trying to figure out whether we can do it all in one day, whether it is a day on their case or a day on our case on that particular witness. But if they feel that they need to have her in their case in chief, that's a determination they need to make. I just don't -- I'm just trying to minimize the schedule conflicts for that witness.

THE COURT: Well, I think it would be preferable if
we could get her Friday and maybe it is up to the plaintiffs to communicate with Mr. Moskowitz about her availability. If not then, when?

MR. KISE: Okay. That's fine.
MS. GREENFIELD: I just want to also point out that Friday is a half day, so whoever's testimony we think is going to take the least amount of time between Donald Jr., Eric and Ivanka, that should be scheduled for Friday just for the Court's logistics.

MR. ROBERT: I would need to know that now because as things stand now, Donald Trump, Jr. on Wednesday and Eric Trump for Thursday and since we need five days notice, can you confirm that now that that's your order?

MR. WALLACE: We provided you guys notice earlier that we might call them as soon as Monday, but I think that is the order. I would propose that Eric Trump be ready to testify whenever Donald Trump, Jr. is completed, so that could be Wednesday. That would be the order, Donald Trump, Jr. and then Eric Trump to clarify.

MR. ROBERT: And then Ivanka last?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. KISE: I don't know about prior notice. I just know if we are talking about Wednesday and Thursday, that would be five days in any event, right?

MR. ROBERT: That's fine.
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MR. WALLACE: And we can work with Mr. Moskowitz and the defendants if there are issues with Ivanka Trump or if there is some -- but we are definitely calling her as part of our case in chief.

MR. KISE: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. I will say again. It is my understanding that defendants do not have a standing to object, but I don't mind them being part of the process as a courtesy, whatever. Maybe Mr. Kise is right. Maybe he does have standing.

MR. KISE: They certainly objected or you allowed them to speak yesterday when I was talking about the other matter in the morning and I told you I didn't think they had a dog in the hunt, but you allowed them to speak in any event.

THE COURT: In any event, Monday, ten o'clock, okay. Thanks, everybody. Great weekend.
(Whereupon, the trial was adjourned to Monday, October 30, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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NICOLE C. ROBINSON, CSR JARIETLIE C. LONDON, RMR, CRR Senior Court Reporters

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Good morning. Either the room is getting bigger or more people are showing up.

In this case, as in I'm sure others, the housekeeping matters can be explored as actual testimony, not last week's, but I understand we have a couple of housekeeping matters. I'll just start with the plaintiff because they're the plaintiff.

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Just the primary issue is that there is a change from the end of last week is the scheduling of the testimony for Ivanka Trump. We've had a number of e-mail exchanges with Ms. Trump and her counsel over the weekend and I think we have come to an agreement we will not be able to complete her testimony on Friday, the 3rd of this week and so the OAG had proposed November 8th and 9th, but because of travel obligations of -- that Ms. Trump had, she had proposed Wednesday, November 15, which is not ideal from our perspective, but the OAG is willing to book that date and
proceed with her testimony then. We would just need agreement from the Court and from defendants that our case would not actually close with our final witness of Mr. Trump but would remain open for her testimony on the 15 th.

THE COURT: Clearly as we work this out in that fashion, there is going to be any -- how long do you think the direct examination would be and how long do we think the cross examination will be?

MR. WALLACE: I think we would certainly complete it within the day, but I don't think it would take a full trial day and that we could do it with three to four hours of testimony.

THE COURT: You mean three to four hours of direct?
MR. WALLACE: Correct.
THE COURT: How long do you think cross examination?

MR. KISE: Probably a little less than that. I think overall it will be a full trial day between the government and the defense. That's I think why the 3rd doesn't really work just because we would get started and they might even finish their direct on the 3rd, but we're fine with the proposal if the Court is okay with it.

THE COURT: I have one creative thought. Let me run it by the person who sits along side of me.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: We have things scheduled for Friday afternoon, the proverbial other cases we have. Any chance of turning Friday into an all day? We would just have to move things, which would be a bit of a pain, but might be a better alternative. Let's hear from both sides.

Plaintiff?
MR. WALLACE: Certainly. I think we would have no objection to that.

MR. KISE: I would have to note, for me, at least I don't know about the rest of us, since that's our only afternoon off for other cases, that may pose a bit of a train wreck on our side just because we've got -- I know it's hard to imagine there is anything else going on in the world right now other than this trial. Certainly happy to explore this afternoon and try and work that out. I'm not philosophically opposed to it, but I just don't know logistically if it's an option.

THE COURT: Let's talk about it after the lunch break. It would be so much easier for her in particular, right?

So other housekeeping matters by the plaintiff?
MR. WALLACE: That was the only issue. Otherwise, we're prepared to proceed on the issue we laid out at the end of last week.

THE COURT: What is the story with Mr. Solomon?
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MR. WALLACE: We'll go off the record for this? MR. KISE: Sure.
THE COURT: Okay.
(Whereupon, there is an off-the-record discussion held.)

THE COURT: Let's call the next witness ASAP.
MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor. We recall to the stand Raymond Flores.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I'll remind the witness, witness, as I always do, you're still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Please proceed. Continue.
CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. AMER:
Q Welcome back, Mr. Flores. I'd like to quickly review where we ended your examination a week ago last Friday.

We were discussing the joint venture Vegas property and we had looked at the 2017 Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 719 in evidence. And if we could go to Row 751, which we had looked at before.

It states in Row 751 that the values listed for
June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014 are, quote, "based on the cash
go to Row 816, this is now for the next two years 2015 and 2016. Same note or similar note on Row 816 says "based on the cash flow from unit sales prepared by Ray Flores."

You recall that you continued preparing this cash flow analysis?
A Yes.
Q And am I correct that you were not aware in this time frame that your cash flow analysis was being used for the purpose of valuing this asset in Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

\section*{A That's correct.}

Q Now, if we could look at the next two years the 2018 Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet that's Exhibit 774 in evidence and if we could go to Row 841 that's where Vegas begins.

And do you see, Mr. Flores, that there is no longer any reliance based on the notation to your spreadsheet, your cash flow analysis, rather?

A Can you scroll down, please?
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
A Yes, that's correct.
Q So your cash flow analysis was no longer being
referenced as the basis for the valuation in either 2017 or 2018; correct?

A That appears to be the case.
Q Let's go ahead and pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1208
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) & Page 2746 \\
1 & native. And the document l've handed you is a series of e-mail \\
2 & exchanges that include you as a sender and recipient; correct? \\
3 & A & Yes. \\
4 & Q & And you'll see the last page is an attachment that's a \\
5 & document prepared natively. Do you see that? \\
6 & A & Can you show me the document, please? \\
7 & Q & Well, just that last page is a slip sheet for an \\
8 & attachment? \\
9 & A & Yes. \\
10 & Q & Provided natively. And we'll look at that in a moment. \\
11 & And I just want you to notice that's a native attachment. Do \\
12 & you see that? \\
13 & A & Yes. \\
14 & Q And you'll see in on the first page of the exhibit your \\
15 & e-mail in the middle of page to Mr. McConney, Jeff. "As \\
16 & discussed, please find the updated workbook attached," and then \\
17 & he forwards that on to Mr. Birney in the e-mail above that. Do \\
18 & you see that? \\
19 & A & Yes. \\
20 & Q & And the attachment, the Trump rough and financial \\
21 & model, that's your cash flow analysis; correct? \\
22 & A & Yes, I believe so. \\
23 & Q & Let's go ahead and put up the native of 1208. \\
24 & And do you recognize this as your cash flow analysis \\
25 & that was forwarded by you to Mr. McConney and that is as of
\end{tabular}
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June 30, 2017 ?

A Yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask to move into evidence

Plaintiff's Exhibit 1208, including the spreadsheet.
 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1208 in
 evidence.)

Q And if you look at Row 37 of your spreadsheet that has
 the cash flow to Trump; correct?

A Yes, that's what the document says.

Q And so the value of the cash flow to Trump for your
 cash flow analysis has a total value of a little over
 \(\$ 77\) million; is that right?

A Yes.

Q Okay. If we could now split the screen and look at
 Plaintiff's Exhibit 774, which is the 2018 Jeff Supporting Data
 spreadsheet in evidence that we were just looking at.

If we could go to Row 872 and go over to Column G.

That's the June 30, 2017 value for this property which
 is slightly over 102 million; correct?

A Yes, that's what the document says.

Q Okay. And that value for the Vegas property as of
 June 30, 2017 is about \(\$ 25\) million, more than the \(\$ 77\) million
 value in your cash flow analysis; correct?

\section*{A Yes.} statement?

A Yes.

A Yes.

A Yes.

Q Were you made aware, Mr. Flores, of a decision to stop using your cash flow analysis to value this Vegas asset for Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as of the 2017

A No, not that I recall.
Q Before we move on to a new property I just wanted to quickly circle back to Niketown to see if I could refresh your recollection on a couple of points.

You'll recall we had looked at the 2020 Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet, which is Exhibit 857 in evidence and Row 88 is where Niketown begins, so if we could look there.

Do you recall we had looked at this before?

Q And if you go to Rows 88 to 103 you will see that there is a method used to value the property in 2019 from Rows 88 to 103 that produce a value of 445 million. Do you see that?

Q And then if we just go down to Row 106, you'll see that there is a change in methodology for 2020 that says "value per 20 year discounted cash flow from Ray Flores." Do you see that?

Q And I believe your testimony was that you don't recall performing a 20 year discounted cash flow analysis for Niketown for 2020; is that right?
. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
Page 2749
A Yes, that's correct.

Q And then I showed you the 20 year discounted cash flow analysis for Nike for 2020 which we could put up on the screen now. It's PX 857. Anyway, I believe we put up a spreadsheet and when we looked at that spreadsheet you still did not recall whether it was your work product. Do you remember that?
A Yes.
Q So we're going to look at a few more pieces of evidence to see if it refreshes your recollection about the 20 year discounted analysis for 2020.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and look at an earlier draft of the 2020 Jeff Supporting Data, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 2293 in native and let's go ahead and look at Row 118 and actually go down a little bit further just so we can see the Niketown section. The other way. Sorry.
Q You see this is the section starting at 86 that relates to Niketown. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And in this earlier draft of the Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet for 2020 you'll see rather than reference the 20 year discounted cash flow analysis, it has -- starting in Row 105 and going down, a method -- keep going -- a method that takes the net operating income shown in 117 and divides it by a cap rate of four percent to produce a value of 265.1 million. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Do you --
MR. AMER: Well, let me move to admit this exhibit, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 857 in evidence.)
Q Do you recall Mr. McConney asking you to come up with additional reasoning -- actually, before we get there I'll withdraw that.

If you look at line 123, you'll see it says "cap rate." And you see there is a note below it for 6/30/2020 that says "given the prime location in the plaza district, the proximity to Trump Tower and the high profile tenant, we use the same four percent cap rate as Trump Tower." Do you see that note?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall Mr. McConney asking you to come up with additional reasoning to justify using the four percent cap rate for Niketown in the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition because Mr. Bender was pushing back on using the four percent cap rate?

A I don't recall, no.
Q You don't recall that.
If I suggested to you that he made such a request to
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you on Christmas Eve of 2020, does that ring a bell?
A No.
Q Mr. Flores, were you aware that as part of discovery in this case and in the investigation you received production of voicemail messages that were left on your office phone?

A No.
Q Okay.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'd like to play an audio
file which is a voicemail message that Mr. McConney left on
Mr. Flores' phone that we marked at PX 397 and then I'll ask you if it refreshes your recollection.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
Q I know you -- he spoke a little quickly. I don't know if you need to play it over again or not, but my question is whether that refreshes your recollection that Mr. McConney left you a message on Christmas Eve Of 2020 asking you to come up with additional reasoning to justify using the four percent cap rate for Niketown?
A No, I don't recall that.
Q Okay.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that the voicemail message be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 397 in evidence.)

THE COURT: I don't know how these things work. Is there a transcript of that that will actually be in evidence or just this recording?

MR. AMER: We can transcribe it and put that in as an exhibit as well.

THE COURT: Please do.
MR. AMER: Okay. We will.
Q Do you recall, Mr. Flores, that in lieu of convincing Mr. Bender to accept the four percent cap rate you and others working on the preparation of the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition used the 20 year cash flow analysis we've been discussing as an alternative method for valuing Niketown in 2020?
A I'm sorry, can you repeat the question?
Q Sure. Do you recall -- and we've listened to this
voicemail message where Mr. McConney is asking you to come up with additional reasons to justify the four percent cap rate. Do you recall that in lieu of trying to convince Mr. Bender to accept the four percent cap rate you and others working on the preparation of the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition used the 20 year cash flow analysis we've been discussing as an alternative method for valuing Niketown in 2020 ?
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A No, I don't recall.
Q Let's go ahead and put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 3294 in native. This is a draft 20 year cash flow analysis for Niketown for 2020. Do you recall creating this analysis shortly after receiving -- well, shortly after Christmas Eve of 2020 ?
A No, I don't recall that.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark as 3295, the metadata for this spreadsheet.
Q Mr. Flores, this document is a printout of the metadata that was produced along with the spreadsheet we were just looking at. And if you go down you will see that it says the author is you. Do you see that?
A Yes, that's what this says.
Transcript continues on the following page....
\(1 \quad\) Q And you will see that the created date is December 28, 2020. Do you see that?

3 A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'll represent, and the
Court can take judicial notice, that the very first business day after Christmas Eve in 2020, which fell on a Thursday, is Monday, the 28th of December.

THE COURT: I'll assume that's correct.
Q So this metadata shows that the very first business day after Mr. McConney left you the voicemail message that we listened to, there is a 20 -year cash flow analysis that the metadata indicates was created by you on that date, the 28th. Do you see that?
A That's what the document says.
Q Does this refresh your recollection, Mr. Flores, that you prepared the 20-year cash flow analysis that we've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3294 shortly after Christmas Eve as an alternative valuation method for Niketown because Mr. Bender was pushing back on using a cap rate of four percent?
A No, it doesn't.
Q So you have no recollection of doing this work based on a Christmas Eve 2020 voicemail message less than three years ago today, correct?
A Correct.
25 Q Just to close the loop, let's compare the MPV value on
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the spreadsheet that we were looking at.
MR. AMER: If we could put that back up.
Q You'll see the MPV value in Row 14 is \(\$ 252,779,805\) ?
A Correct.
Q And again, this is the spreadsheet that has the
metadata that says you are the author of this document based on what we were looking at, right?

A That's correct. Correct.
Q And let's put up next to this the final 2020 Jeff
Supporting Data spreadsheet that is Exhibit 857 in evidence and let's go to Row 86 where Niketown starts and then if you go to Row 106, which has the value that is attributed to you, same value that's in the spreadsheet -- sorry. The analysis that the metadata says you created on December 28, 2020, correct? A Yes.
Q Mr. Flores, having looked at a number of 20-year
discounted cash flow spreadsheets for Niketown, the metadata for one of those spreadsheets identifying you as the author, the Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheets attributing the spreadsheets to you and the voicemail message that Mr. McConney left for you on Christmas Eve in 2020 asking for your assistance, is your recollection now refreshed that less than three years ago, you created a 20 -year cash flow analysis for Niketown to be used to value the property in 2020 for Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A No, it's not refreshed.
Q In terms of the statements that you worked on
preparing, I believe you previously testified that you believed
you worked on the statement as of June 30, 2020, but that you
didn't recall if you also worked on preparing the Statement of
Financial Condition as of \(6 / 30 / 21\) before you left the company in March of 2022. Did I get that right?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q Let me see if I could refresh your recollection. We did receive in production along with an audio file of a phone message that Mr. Birney left for you some metadata attached to that audio file, all of which gets produced in something called a Cellebrite file.

MR. AMER: So I would like to mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3300 which I'm going to hand to the witness a report generated by the metadata from Cellebrite file.
Q I may have misspoke, but this is a message that was left for Patrick Birney, not by him, and based on Plaintiff's Exhibit 3300 , which is the report for the audio message, it says that the message was left on August 2nd of 2021 and it has the phone number of the message of the phone that was calling, leaving the message, and you'll see it is (212) 715-7282. That's your office number, isn't it?

A It was, yes.
Q And you'll see it's a 27-second message that is being
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\section*{retrieved from the phone of Mr. Birney?}

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'd like to have this document 3300, admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in .
(Whereupon, the Audio recording was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3300.)

MR. KISE: For what purpose, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Mr. Amer, you can say it better than I can.

MR. AMER: We are going to play the message. This tells us who the message is coming from and the date of the message.

MR. KISE: Okay. All of these are being used, though, to refresh recollection. Is there some purpose that the hearsay itself is coming into evidence, the same is true as the other message.

MR. AMER: I did not admit them for a limited purpose Your, Honor. I admitted them into evidence because they're all admissible for all purposes. They are party admissions against interest.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know what this new one will show, but the last one was relevant to how things were playing out there, simply put.

MR. AMER: Is it in evidence?
THE COURT: The metadata?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & MR. KISE: We haven't heard it yet. \\
\hline 2 & MR. AMER: No. \\
\hline 3 & THE COURT: We could wait -- \\
\hline 4 & MR. AMER: It was this report admitted in evidence. \\
\hline 5 & Now, we are going to play the message. \\
\hline 6 & THE COURT: If we haven't heard the metadata, we \\
\hline 7 & don't -- if we haven't heard the -- what you're going to \\
\hline 8 & play, I don't know whether the metadata is relevant. So why \\
\hline 9 & don't we wait until we hear. \\
\hline 10 & MR. AMER: Okay. \\
\hline 11 & Q Let's go ahead and play the voicemail message that was \\
\hline 12 & left from your phone number. \\
\hline 13 & (Whereupon, the audio recording was played.) \\
\hline 14 & MR. AMER: Do we need to hear it one more time? \\
\hline 15 & THE COURT: No, I don't. Anybody else does? \\
\hline 16 & Q That was your voice, Mr. Flores, was it not? \\
\hline 17 & A Yes. \\
\hline 18 & THE COURT: They're both in evidence now. \\
\hline 19 & MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor. \\
\hline 20 & Q You were leaving a message for Mr. Birney saying that \\
\hline 21 & Eric had asked you to reach out to him about preparation of the \\
\hline 22 & financial statement, correct? \\
\hline 23 & A Correct. \\
\hline 24 & Q And that Eric was Eric Trump, right? \\
\hline 25 & A Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 this refresh your recollection." He says no and now it is 2 in evidence for all purposes at least according to them and 3 I'm just wondering how that can be because that's not really 4 consistent with the line of questioning. If they're 5 introducing hearsay to -- well, if they're introducing 6 hearsay evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted 7 and not meaning the substance of the communication, not to 8 establish either that this witness doesn't remember or not 9 to establish that a conversation may or may not have taken 10 place. Those are just two very different things.

Q And this is a phone message you were leaving for \(\mathbf{M r}\). Birney in mid 2021, right?
A That's what the metadata says.
Q And does the fact that Eric Trump touched base with you in mid 2021 about having you work on the preparation of the financial statement refresh your recollection that you indeed were involved in the preparation of the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?
A I could have been. I don't recall.
A Yes.

A Correct.
MR. KISE: So Your Honor, just to clarify, I guess this is where I'm not stating it correctly. Is this message and the subsequent -- or the prior message being introduced to establish that Mr. Flores doesn't actually remember what took place? Is it being introduced to establish that it, in fact, took place? I'm just -- I think those are two very different things. If the government is trying to establish that Mr. Flores doesn't remember something that happened, because that's what the questions are.

THE COURT: I'm sure that's not the reason; am I correct?

MR. KISE: That's all the questions are. "Does

MR. KISE: Then with respect to the -- this statement since Mr. Flores accurately acknowledged that it was his voice on the message and, in fact, he has no reason to doubt it, then the foundation has been laid. With respect to the McConney message, which the witness doesn't remember and doesn't recall, you would need Mr. McConney here to say, yes, in fact, I left that message unless this witness can say he did leave the message. This witness can't get in a hearsay statement even if it is an admission by a party.

THE COURT: Even if it is an admission by a party? That's classic --

MR. KISE: But we don't know whether it is or not unless someone has authenticated it for that purpose.

MR. AMER: Do you need me to respond? It is a voicemail message produced in discovery by the defendants. Mr. McConney is a defendant in this case. It is an admission against interest, clear, classic traditional to the hearsay rule.

MR. KISE: I don't know why it is being admitted other than that he called Mr. Flores.

MR. AMER: I think it is admitting quite a bit and that's for argument.

MR. KISE: I'll leave it there.
THE COURT: It is in evidence for the "quite a

\begin{tabular}{rcc}
1 & bit." \\
2 & MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor. \\
3 & Q & We are now going to move, Mr. Flores, to a different \\
4 & property, Doral. Do you recall from your prior testimony \\
5 & discussing Poer \& Company the tax certiorari firm? \\
6 & A & Yes. \\
7 & Q & You previously testified that the firm handled property \\
8 & tax assessment appeals in Florida for the Trump Organization, \\
9 & right? \\
10 & A \(\quad\) Correct. \\
11 & Q \(\quad\) You mentioned that you worked with that firm in \\
12 & connection with reviewing property tax assessments of Trump \\
13 & Organization properties in Florida, right? \\
14 & A \(\quad\) Yes. \\
15 & MR. AMER: I'd like to introduce Plaintiffs \\
16 & Exhibit 321l. \\
17 & Q \(\quad\) You will see that this is an e-mail from Tony Garcia at \\
18 & Poer \& Company to you. Do you see that? \\
19 & A \(\quad\) Yes. \\
20 & Q \(\quad\) Is Mr. Garcia one of the individuals you interacted \\
21 & with on tax assessment appeal matters that Poer \& Company filed \\
22 & on behalf of the Trump Organization? \\
23 & A \(\quad\) Yes. \\
24 & MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask that this be admitted \\
25 & into evidence.
\end{tabular}
\(20 \quad Q\) "The year end 2019 operating statement still supports 21 the county's value as being fair." Do you see that?
22 A Yes.
23 Q Do you recall what the property appraiser determined
24 was the market value of Doral for 2020 ?
25 A No, I don't recall.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiffs Exhibit 3211.)
Q Now, if you look at the e-mail starting with the second
sentence of the first full paragraph, he says, "Per our
conversation, we will withdraw the RE appeals for lack of supporting evidence."

First of all, do you recall what "RE" stands for in that sentence?

A Real estate.
Q Real estate, okay.
Do you have a recollection of having this discussion with Mr. Garcia about the real estate appeals for Doral?

A This specific discussion, it seems familiar.
Q And Mr. Garcia goes on to say, "Our opinion is the property was fairly too favorably assessed as of the \(1 / 1 / 2020\) assessment date." The "YE 2019," we can agree that means year end, the year?

A Correct.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Exhibit 3209. Your Honor, this is the official notice of proposed property taxes from the Miami-Dade property appraiser that's available on the government's website and I move that this be admitted into evidence as a business record from a government website.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3209.)

MR. KISE: Your Honor, just briefly. I mean, I'm assuming this is a public record, so that satisfies that basis; but I'm just wondering what's the relevance of this document. Why is this coming in?

THE COURT: I guess we'll find out.
MR. KISE: Okay. Subject to connection.
MR. AMER: Indeed.
THE COURT: Okay.
Q If we go down, down the document, you'll see that there's value information column. Keep going. You see it says, "Value information, prior value 2019, current value 2020." There are two columns. Well, multiple columns. There is a market value column and then there are two assessed value columns. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And the market value in both years is \(\$ 78\) million,
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\section*{correct?}

A Correct.
Q And that is the market value that Mr. Garcia from Poer \& Company was indicating in his e-mail to you as being fair, correct?

A I believe so.
Q Let's go ahead now and --
MR. AMER: Oh, I think we had your ruling that this is admitted? THE COURT: Yes. MR. AMER: Okay.
Q Let's go ahead and turn to Mar-A-Lago. And before we talk about Mar-A-Lago, I wanted to just put up plaintiff's demonstrative Exhibit 3.10, just so that we can all see the values of Mar-A-Lago for the 11-year period in the Statements of Financial Condition.

THE COURT: But before we --
MR. KISE: Before we get there, was that the connection? I'm lost. Was that the connection? We are moving away from this exhibit now. It was offered subject to connection. Maybe I missed the connection.

THE COURT: Well, I think the connection was market value and \(\$ 78\) million. MR. AMER: That's right.
MR. KISE: So this is being offered as the actual

1 market value of the property in this year, just so I'm about the preparation of the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition. So he has a foundation for knowing if he has any reason to doubt Mr. McConney's testimony.

MR. KISE: But he testified he doesn't know whether it was valued as a private residence or not.

THE COURT: Well, that's his testimony.
MR. KISE: Right.
THE COURT: It doesn't mean --
MR. KISE: Now, any reason -- I mean, is there any reason to doubt that it is raining outside? If you know, you know. If you can't look out the window, you don't know. This witness doesn't have any basis to know what Mr. McConney was doing or what he testified to or the accuracy of it. It is just sloppy, Your Honor.

MR. AMER: Well, I take offense to calling my questions sloppy, but I think it was appropriate. I think the witness said he didn't recall how Mar-A-Lago was valued and it is appropriate to ask him if he has any basis to dispute Mr. McConney's testimony.

THE COURT: He doesn't say, "I would have no way of knowing that." He says, "I don't recall." The objection is overruled.

MR. KISE: It would be better if he -- I mean, does he have any understanding one way or the other is the clear?

THE COURT: Well, the tax assessor's view and I think Mr. Garcia's view of the market value. That's what it says. Now, Mr. Kise, you can certainly argue that there are different market values, but it is still relevant.

MR. KISE: Okay. Same objection, but thank you, Judge.
Q I just wanted all of us to familiarize ourselves with
the values for Mar-A-Lago that are in this statements from 2011 to 2021.

Mr. Flores, we heard testimony from Mr. McConney that every year from 2011 to 2021, Mar-A-Lago was valued as if it could be sold as a private residence. Do you have an understanding that Mar-A-Lago was being valued as a private residence when you were working with others to prepare valuations for the Statements of Financial Condition?
A I don't recall, no.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt Mr. McConney's testimony that every year it was being valued as a private residence?
A No.

MR. KISE: Objection; foundation.
MR. AMER: I think there was foundation because the
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question? That's the first question. Any reason to doubt? I mean, he's not demonstrated that the witness has any basis to know one way or the other.

THE COURT: Please explain the basis which I think was evident.

MR. AMER: The basis is that this witness said he was personally involved with others in the preparation of the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition and I will -- if you will refrain from characterizing my questions in a pejorative way, I will extend you the same courtesy if and when you get to the podium to question witnesses, Mr. Kise.

MR. KISE: But I'm still -- pejoratives aside, just because he was involved in the preparation of the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition, there's literally hundreds of properties. There's all sorts of properties. I mean, the question relates to this one particular property and not even that one particular property, but a particular aspect of that one particular property.

So to say that I'm involved -- that a witness is involved generally in the preparation of the Statements of Financial Condition is very different than saying the witness was involved specifically with respect to this asset and would know specifically Mr. -- have a basis to know one way or the other Mr. McConney's rationale.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, I think the questions are
perfectly appropriate. There was a basis and the witness said he didn't remember, didn't know. If we're going to have to go through this every time there's some -- you perceive an issue with relevance, we'll be here a lot longer than I think we need to be.

I don't want to stop you from presenting your defense or questioning the plaintiffs case, but gee, save it for something that matters. I don't see that this even matters much less I think you're wrong on the merits. So let's move on.

MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: Respectfully, Your Honor, it does matter. The rules matter and this witness' knowledge matters. This is a highly-technical case, so all of this collapsing and conflating that has been done throughout the course of their case is inappropriate.

So I am -- respectfully, I'm not trying to take up a lot of time, but I am respectfully going to have to object to that. I understand the Court's position and I will just make my objection and leave it there. Thank you.

THE COURT: Yes, the rules matter at a certain point. I'm just going to say, and maybe the point is now, my ruling is my ruling. I give you some leeway because you know what you're doing and this is an important case and the details do matter, but if I rule rather than you might just

 hearing of the tax assessment for the 202 year; correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Did you review this petition before Mr. Corbiciero filed it with the county?

A I don't believe so.
Q To your knowledge did anybody review it -- anyone from the Trump Organization review it before Mr. Corbiciero filed it with the Value Adjustment Board?

A I don't believe so, no.
Q You don't have any reason, do you, to believe that Mr. Corbiciero would file this petition without appropriate authorization from the Trump Organization; is that right?

A Correct.
Q Now, if we go down to the petition you'll see -- too far -- you'll see there is a section that says "type of property." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And can you tell us the type of property Mr. Corbiciero checked for Mar-A-Lago?
A Says "commercial."
Q So he's in an official filing with the Valuation Board denoting Mar-A-Lago as a commercial property; correct?

A Correct.
25 Q And that's consistent with your understanding of what
R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1 Mar-A-Lago was; right? It was a commercial property being used 2 as a social club; right?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And there were boxes that would have permitted
Mr. Corbiciero to indicate that the property was residential;
right? There is a box next to "type of property" that says "RES one to four units" and "RES five plus units;" right?

A Correct.
9 Q So he could have designated it as a residential property if he checked those boxes, but he did not; right?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. And so if we go to the last page of the document you'll see this is a withdrawal petition. Do you recall that the petition appealing the assessment was withdrawn?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q And the reason for the withdrawal if you go down a little bit there is a box checked, says "the petitioner agrees with the determination of the property appraiser or tax collector." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And so was it your understanding that the appeal was withdrawn because the Trump Organization agreed with the determination of the property appraiser?
A Yes.
Q And that determination was based on Mar-A-Lago being

Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1 categorized as a commercial property; correct?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Let's go ahead and look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1382.
4 You'll see the e-mail that begins in the middle of the page is
5 from Mr. Corbiciero to you copied to Mr. Garcia dated
6 November 17, 2021 and the subject is "Mar-A-Lago Homestead
Exemption." Do you see that?
A Yes.
9 Q Now you go ahead and pass along Mr. Corbiciero's e-mail to Eric Trump and Allen Weisselberg in the e-mail above; right? A That's what the document says, yes.
Q Do you recall reading Mr. Corbiciero's e-mail before you passed it on to your boss Eric Trump and Mr. Corbiciero?

A No, I don't recall.
Q Can we agree that you would have reviewed the e-mail before passing it along to your boss Eric Trump and Mr. Weisselberg?
A Yes.
Q And you ask and you tell them that they should let you know if they would like to discuss further, right?
A Yes.
Q So can we agree that at the time you passed along
Mr. Corbiciero's e-mail about the homestead exemption you were prepared to discuss it with them if they so chose?
A Yes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) Page 2778 & R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) Page 2780 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this exhibit into evidence. \\
THE COURT: Granted. It's in. \\
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3170 in evidence.) \\
Q Let's look at the second sentence in this e-mail from \\
Mr. Corbiciero. He says "last year we briefly discussed the \\
possibility of filing for a homestead exemption on the \\
Mar-A-Lago property since President Donald Trump had legally \\
declared Palm Beach and the Mar-A-Lago property as his permanent residence." Do you see that? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Do you have any reason to doubt that you did in fact discuss this possibility with Mr. Corbiciero the prior year? \\
A No. \\
Q Let's look at the second paragraph. \\
"In order to do this Mr. Trump would have to do certain \\
things to establish residency" and I'm going to -- it says \\
"since the private club is currently owned by a corporation, the \\
property ownership would need to be changed/transferred into his \\
name as an individual and not under a corporation." \\
Do you recall being aware of that point that \\
Mr. Corbiciero was mentioning? \\
25 A I believe so, yes.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Q And did you understand, sir, that as a result of being assessed as a private club and not a private residence, it was assessed at a much lower price per square foot? \\
A That's what the e-mail says. I don't recall. \\
Q And that's what you were to understood when you received this e-mail and read it? \\
A Correct. \\
MR. AMER: Your Honor, the Court can check my math, but based on the numbers in Mr. Corbiciero's e-mail and going off of the \(\$ 3,000\) per square foot figure, private residences are assessed at almost eight and a half times the price of a private club based on just the math and I think the Court can take judicial notice of the math. \\
THE WITNESS: I'll take judicial notice subject to any contradictory views. \\
Q And you understood that Mr. Corbiciero was saying it's not worth the \(\$ 50,000\) homestead exemption because of the increase in the assessment of the residential portion of Mar-A-Lago were that to be carved out and designated at a private residence; right? \\
A Correct. \\
Q And that's because private residences are assessed at a much higher rate than a private club; correct? \\
A That's what the -MR. KISE: Objection. Foundation.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) \\
Q And he goes on to say "if he was to meet all these requirements then the part of the property that he uses as a residence would be eligible for homestead. The property appraiser would need to come out and do a property inspection and measure the residential area and that portion of the assessment would receive the homestead exemption." \\
You understood what he was explaining to you when you received this e-mail; correct? \\
A I believe so. \\
Q And let's look at the next paragraph. \\
"Currently, this property is assessed as a private club \\
with the current assessed value at \(\$ 359\) per square foot. The surrounding residential properties are assessed on average of over 2,000 per square foot with a recent sale with just 3,000 per square foot. If the property appraiser were to separately assess the residential portion of Mar-A-Lago in order for it to qualify for homestead, we believe this would result in a much higher assessment and therefore outweigh the potential tax savings from the \(\$ 50,000\) homestead exemption in the immediate future. Please let us know if you have any questions or concerns." \\
Mr. Flores, did you understand from this e-mail from \\
the company's tax advisor that Mar-A-Lago was currently assessed \\
24 as a private club and not a private residence? \\
25 A Yes.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) \\
MR. AMER: He received this e-mail, Your Honor. \\
THE COURT: Let's ask. I think the question was basically do you understand it that way and he says that's what the e-mail says. \\
Mr. Flores, aside from the fact that's what the e-mail said, is that what you understood, which is what the question was? \\
THE WITNESS: I don't know. \\
Q But isn't that what you would have understood from reading this e-mail? \\
A Yes, that's what this e-mail says. \\
MR. KISE: That's an entirely different question. \\
MR. AMER: I'm happy with the question I asked and \\
the answer I got. \\
THE COURT: And any objection overruled. \\
Q Did Eric Trump or Allen Weisselberg ever get back to you to discuss this e-mail further? \\
A Not that I recall. \\
Q To your knowledge, did Donald J. Trump ever apply for the homestead exemption? \\
A Not that I recall. \\
THE COURT: Five-minute warning. \\
Q When you participated in the preparation of the \\
Statement of Financial Condition, do you recall that Mar-A-Lago continued to be valued as a private residence while being taxed
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) Page 2782 & R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) Page 2784 \\
\hline 1 as a commercial property? & 1 see it indicates on Row 48023 lots are being valued at roughly \\
\hline 2 A No. & 2 \$63 million. Do you see that? Actually, it's for 2021. \\
\hline 3 Q You don't know one way or the other? & 3 And if you go down to Row 490, the same 23 lots are \\
\hline 4 A N & 4 being valued at about 53 million for 2020. Do you see that? \\
\hline 5 Q We can agree that in 2020 it was being taxed as a & 5 A Ye \\
\hline 6 commercial property based on what Mr. Corbiciero had designated & 6 Q Did any of the individuals you identified as working \\
\hline 7 the property as in the petition; correct? & 7 with you on the preparation of the Statement of Financial \\
\hline 8 A Correct. & 8 Condition ask you to perform -- ask you to review the \\
\hline 9 Q You mention that your job entailed conducting financial & 9 assumptions involved in the sale of the 23 lots for this asset? \\
\hline 10 analyses on real estate investments, including new acquisitions & 10 A No. \\
\hline 11 and development opportunities; is that right? & 11 Q Did any of the individuals you identified as working \\
\hline 12 A Correc & 12 with you on the preparation of the Statement of Financial \\
\hline 13 Q And in connection with doing that work, you were & 13 Condition ask you to perform a discounted cash flow analysis for \\
\hline 14 required to analyze future cash flows; correct? & 14 the sale of these 23 lots? \\
\hline 15 A Correct. & 15 A No. \\
\hline 16 Q And we've looked at some examples of discounted cash & 16 Q Did any of them ask for your input on whether the \\
\hline 17 flow analyses you prepared over the years at the direction of & 17 profit from these lot sales should be discounted to present \\
\hline 18 Mr. Orowitz and Eric Trump; correct? & 18 valu \\
\hline 19 A Correct. & 19 A Not that I recall. \\
\hline 20 Q And so that is a type of analysis that is discounting & 20 Q Mr. Flores, would you agree that future cash flows need \\
\hline 21 cash flows to present value that you knew how to do as part of & 21 to be discounted to present value? \\
\hline 22 your job at the Trump Organization; right? & 22 A Ye. \\
\hline 23 A Y & 23 MR. AMER: That's all I have, Your Honor. \\
\hline 24 Q And you shared some of your discounted cash flow & 24 THE COURT: That's it for this witness? \\
\hline 25 analyses & 25 MR. AMER: Right on schedule. \\
\hline R. Flores - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) Page 2783 & Proceedings Page 2785 \\
\hline 1 A Yes. & 1 THE COURT: Any cross examination at this time? \\
\hline 2 Q And you shared some of your discounted cash flow & 2 No? Okay. The witness is excused. I'll ask again that the \\
\hline 3 analyses with Mr. McConney; correct? & 3 defense side check in to whether Friday is a possibility as \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 an all day under the assumption that we could finish it in \\
\hline 5 MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up the 2020 Jeff & 5 one day, and we'll come back at 11:35. \\
\hline 6 Supporting Data spreadsheet, which is Exhibit 1352, native. & 6 (Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness \\
\hline 7 If we could go to rows 311 to 316. & 7 stand and there is a recess in the proceedings.) \\
\hline 8 Q You'll see that they calculate the profit for the sale & 8 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in \\
\hline 9 of 71 mid rise units approved but put on hold and it comes to & 9 session. Please be seated and come to order. \\
\hline 10 over \$90 million in 2020 and over \$86 million for 2021. Do you & 10 THE COURT: Would plaintiff like to call her, \\
\hline 11 see that? & 11 their, its next witness? \\
\hline 12 A Yes, that's what the -- that's what the document says. & 12 MR. AMER: I do have one quick housekeeping matter. \\
\hline 13 Q In connection with your work on the Statement of & 13 THE COURT: Okay. \\
\hline 14 Financial Condition were you asked to review the assumptions for & 14 MR. AMER: Just about an exhibit. I showed \\
\hline 15 the portion of the valuation of this asset that involves the & 15 Mr. Flores it was Exhibit 1352. That was the 2021 \\
\hline 16 sale of 71 mid rise units? & 16 supporting data spreadsheet. I mistakenly believe it had \\
\hline 17 A What is this asset? & 17 been admitted into evidence, but it's the Whitley Penn \\
\hline 18 Q This asset is Briarcliff & 18 document that was admitted whereas the one I showed \\
\hline 19 A Then, no, I don't believe so. & 19 Mr. Flores was the one produced by the Trump Organization, \\
\hline 20 Q Did anyone ever ask for your input on whether the & 20 so I think for the sake of good order we should just get the \\
\hline 21 profit attributable to the sale of these 71 units should be & 21 one I used with the witness admitted and, again, that's \\
\hline 22 discounted to present value? & 22 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1352. \\
\hline 23 A No. & 23 THE COURT: Granted. You'll just make sure it \\
\hline 24 Q Let's turn to Row 453, which begins "the valuation for & 24 happens. Okay. \\
\hline 25 the LA golf course." And if we look at Row 475 to 492 you'll & 25 MR. GABER: People call David Cerron. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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assistant commissioner for business development and special events.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I thank the court officers for their alacrity.

THE COURT OFFICER: Remain standing and raise your right hand.

Do you solemnly swear or affirm any testimony you give will be the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
D A VID CERRON, called by and on behalf
of the Plaintiff, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and either business or home address on the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is David Cerron. Business address 830 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10065.

THE COURT: Okay. Counselor, please proceed.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. GABER:
Q Good morning, Mr. Cerron. Can you please state your employer and title for the record?
A My employer is the City of New York. I work for the New York City Department of Parks and Recreation. I am the

Q And until recently, New York Department of Parks and Recreation had a concession agreement with the Trump Organization; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q Could you give me some of your professional background within the Parks Department, please?
A Sure. I've had the privilege of working for Parks in the city for just over 21 years. I started there working in our citywide services office, so that was doing a variety of different programs with our parks enforcement; with the Green Thumb Organization, which is community gardening; with arts and antiquities, variety of different operations there.

I moved -- I worked in public programs, which does all the recreation centers in the city and I had a chance to work on their after-school programming and other different events and I've worked in our transitional job programming, parks opportunity program and with the concessions team and have been there since about 2007, 2008.
Q And can you tell me at a high level what your role has been as a member of Parks and Recreation with respect to the Ferry Point Golf Course?
A So my role has been to work with colleagues in the concessions division on contract administration. So contract
administration is effectively the oversight of the terms of the contract. So we'll focus on things related to operations, you know, is the operation -- and in this case Ferry Point.

Is it open to the public seven days a week; when does the day start, when does the day end; are we sure that it's being operated that time; when does the season end for most of our golf courses, effectively all of them.

They have a season, so the weather has changed, but they could be open until September or October, sometimes later and then they'll kind of start a new season in the spring.

We'll go over reports that are due to us. We want to make sure they have insurance. We want to make sure they're charging the right rates if rates go up, which they tend to. We want to review those rates and we have to approve them, so those sorts of things.

Q And at what point in the history of the concession agreement for Trump Ferry Point did you become directly involved in the --

A I became involved once the license was registered by the New York City Comptroller's Office and the agency had issued a notice to proceed. So that was when the contract commenced in I believe it was like the spring of 2015.

Q Were you in the office, though, during the period when the request for offers was issued and being considered?
A I was.
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Q And did you have familiarity with that process?
A I was -- you know, I was there during that process and certainly remember, you know, colleagues talking about it. I was not involved in the selection.
Q Not formally involved?
A Not formally involved.
Q Can you describe what a request for offers is in terms of city procurement? Your understanding?
A So it's an -- it's under the other procedures under the city's Franchise and Concession Review Committee rules, so that's the -- for short, the FCRC is the acronym that we use.

Typically, when the city does its solicitation to have an operator provide a public service that includes fees we would use two methods: A request for proposals, an RFP, or request for bid, an RFB. Generally those are going to be the methods that we'll use. There are instances where we will use either a sole source where there is no competitive process and we go through a process instead to award it directly to one operator. We can just -- this operator is uniquely qualified to provide that service and that, you know, the deal offered to the city is sufficient for that justification.

And then in other circumstances we'll go through another process. And this RFO, requests for offers, is part of the other process and to the best of my recollection this is the only time that the agency has done an RFO.

1 MR. KISE: Your Honor, just -- very briefly just so
was not a sole source, so this was the other -- and in this case the other was the RFO.

Transcript continues on the following page.....
D. Cerron - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)
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Plaintiff's Exhibit 3289 for identification.
Q So Mr. Cerron, there is some addition. It was publicly filed in a different legal proceeding, but the document in chief begins on page two of four of this exhibit and I just ask that once you've had a chance to take a look at it to let me know if you recognize this document.
A Yes. This is a pre-solicitation review, what we call a PSR, and this is part of the documentation that we have to submit to the mayor's office of contract services before we can formally issue a solicitation, in this case, the RFO.

MR. GABER: I'd ask this be moved into evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Standing objection overruled. It's understood.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3289 in evidence.)
Q And Mr. Cerron, could I ask you to describe your understanding from this document of the selection procedure that's being outlined here?
A So, the selection procedure is along the lines of what we had just discussed a few minutes ago. It is neither a competitive sealed bid, which is an RFB or competitive sealed proposal, which is an RFP. We did a different procedure that we avoid interruptions. One thing I notice on the record, it's f-e-r-r-y, not f-a-i-r-y. And the other is that I just want to have a standing objection so we can avoid on statute of limitations and relevance to this line of questioning, this testimony for this witness. Thank you.

THE COURT: We certainly want to get the spelling correct and the objections are considered standing and overruled.
Q Can you give me some background maybe about the -before we get further into the request for offers, some background about the genesis of the Ferry Point Park Golf Course?
A So the Ferry Point site, the scenario before it was a golf course was a municipal landfill and this was something that when I was working with the city under the Bloomberg administration was a priority for the agency to convert this landfill into a golf course and that was something that, you know, the city had attempted to do through a private operator. We had issued other RFPs and solicitations to try and achieve that goal and ultimately were not able to. So the city invested, you know, approximately \(\$ 120\) million, I think, over that in order to, you know, design and construct the golf course.

MR. GABER: So at this point I'd like to bring up

Q So this would be followed by your request for offers? A Correct.

MR. GABER: I ask to bring up Plaintiff's 3290 for identification.
Q Again Mr. Cerron, once you've had a chance to review this document, can you, please, tell me if you recognize this document?

A This is the RFO document that was issued after the approval of the PSR that we just discussed.

MR. GABER: I'd ask this be moved into evidence.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3290.)
Q Mr. Cerron, I'd like to direct your attention on page two of this document, the underlined header, "Goals for Request for Offers." Starting at the end of the second line, "Parks is seeking innovative offers from entities that can demonstrate substantial experience in the operation of golf courses and management of large scale events and significant financial capability to operate and maintain this concession at the highest level."

So can you, I suppose, tell me your understanding of the goals of this RFO based on this language here?

A Yeah. The agency was seeking an operator that had
experience and I think that can be interpreted in a few ways, but mainly operation of a golf course and a golf course that had hosted, you know, major tournaments from the PGA or LPGA and then have an entity that has the financial wherewithal to ensure that the course is maintained at a high level and also any other capital work that would be necessary.
Q Can you turn to page 3 of 8 under, "Content of offers," item number five, it says, "Financial capability, including
financial statements and other supporting documentation of the responder's financial worth."

Is that what you were just referring to I think in terms of the wherewithal to operate the concession?
A Yes.
Q And then moving forward to page seven of the document, and we'll go between seven and eight. So there's here, it discusses scoring, I believe in the document, of the offers that are received; is that correct?
A If you could scroll I guess -- scroll down a little, so I can -- or the other way. Sorry. Okay. "The conditions based on the following criteria," is that the --

Q Yes, "conditions based on the following criteria." Do you understand that to be the criteria on which offers would be scored?
A Yes.
Q And you see there it says, "Financial ranking, ten
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percent financial capability." Can you, I guess, provide your understanding of how financial capability would be scored in a request for offer such as this?
A So this is -- this is a category that, you know, we
would look for documentation verifying someone's finances to move forward with the commitments that they're making in their offer.

You know, there's a number of different documents that we would - could review depending on what someone provides. It could be tax returns. It could be bank statements. It could be financial statements. It could be attestation letters. It is a variety of different things.

Q I think you said this a little bit before, but can you explain Parks' interest in ensuring that an offerer has sufficient financial capability?

A Well, I think generally for any project that we want to do, we want to work with someone that we're sure has the money or the financing to be able to deliver on what will be
obligations under the contract and I think specifically given this -- the history of this course and the fact that we ended up doing an RFO, I think the city was even more focused because this was a tough one to get -- to get accomplished and to actually complete.
Q Can you elaborate what you mean by "tough one to 25 accomplish"?

A As I mentioned, we had tried a handful of times through an RFP to find an operator for this course and to have someone else perhaps help with the construction or do the construction. The city ended up investigating over \(\$ 120\) million and there was a certainly a sense of importance placed on making sure that this course was open and available to the public. And in doing so, we wanted to be sure that whoever we had operating the course had the financial capability to deliver on their
obligations including making sure the course was operating and working every day.
Q Do you have a sense of how many offers were submitted in response to this RFO?
A My recollection is that there were four offers.
MR. GABER: I'm going to now pass up PX 1331 for identification.
Q Mr. Cerron, please let me know if you recognize this document once you've had a chance to read it.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A This is the response to the RFO from the Trump
Organization.
MR. GABER: I'd ask this document be moved into evidence.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
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\section*{Plaintiff's Exhibit 1331.)}

Q Mr. Cerron, if I could point you to page four of this document, heading " \(F\), financial capability." It says, "We have enclosed a statement from the certified public accounting firm of Weiser, L.L.P. indicating a net worth in excess of \(\$ 3\) billion and cash on hand in excess of \(\$ 200\) million. As such, we will easily be able to meet any and all financial obligations under this contract."

Just to move to page seven of the document, there's a letter here from Weiser L.L.P. that I think says substantially the same. So Mr. Cerron, is this the type of documentation of financial capability that the city would use in considering offers submitted to it?
A Yes.
Q And the city did consider this letter in reviewing the request for offers?
A I believe so.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection; foundation.
THE COURT: Do you want him to ask if he knows?
MS. HERNANDEZ: He already testified that he was not involved in the bid process.

MR. GABER: I believe he testified that he was not formally involved, but he had an understanding of process both generally as a Parks employee familiarity, but also specifically in discussions with colleagues. I can ask him

15 A This is the request for award. This is what we refer 16 to as an RFA and this is part of the documentation that we have

\section*{Marketing.}

So Mr. Cerron, does this certification mean that NYC Parks is recommending that Trump Ferry Point, LLC be awarded the concession?

A Yes.
Q So if we go down to the second -- page three of the document, under the header, "Financial Resources, Adequate and Accounting Procedures", the document says here, "Trump has provided Parks with documentation from WeiserMazars, LLP, certified public accountants, stating that Donald J. Trump, the president of Trump, has a substantial net worth and cash position as set forth in Exhibit V to the concession agreement. There is also a personal guaranty from Donald J. Trump regarding payment obligations and the completion of capital improvements. Trump's offer includes setting aside three percent of gross receipts towards a capital reserve fund in years 5 through 12 and two percent in years 13 through 15 of the term of the concession agreement."

Mr. Cerron, can you explain your understanding of this paragraph here and how it relates to the recommendation?
A So this part of the -- this part of recommendation is kind of just verifying to the mayor's office that we believe that this elected operator has the financial resources, the wherewithal and organization to competently run this concession.

Q Could I ask you why did the city need to see, I guess,
a demonstration of assets of Donald J. Trump in order to grant the concession to Trump Ferry Point, LLC?

A I mean, in terms of why they needed to see it, this was part of the financial capability section. And as I had mentioned, this was a course that the city wanted to open for quite some time and we wanted to be sure as we would always that the operator in place had the funds to deliver on their obligations under the license.
Q I think -- let me rephrase my question. The concessionaire here formally is Trump Ferry Point, LLC. The paragraph here is referring to the net worth and cash position of Donald J. Trump personally.

Do you understand why the recommendation for award here is interested in Mr. Trump's personal financial position and not that of the entity?
A Well, in his personal position, it relates to the personal guaranty from Mr. Trump, which was to I think -- again, very important and helpful in helping the city feel comfortable that all of the obligations were indeed going to be met.
Q The next two headers, I just like to briefly ask you about. They are "business integrity" and "other sources of responsibility information." Can you just to a high explain what these categories refer to?
A This is -- this is a section where the agency will

A Yes.
Q -- analyzed there?
A Yes.
Q And so business integrity and responsibility of a concessionaire are important to the city?
A Yes.
Q So was it important in recommending the award for Parks \& Recreation to receive truthful, accurate and complete information from offerers?
A Yes.
Q Based on the submissions here, the offer that we just looked at and the additional determinations described in the recommendation for award, did the city and the Department of


Parks \& Recreation believe that it was receiving truthful, accurate and complete information from the offerers?
A Yes.
Q I'm going to hand you a very voluminous exhibit marked
Defendant's 981. Let me know if you recognize this document.
A This is the license agreement between Trump Ferry Point, LLC and the City of New York.

MR. GABER: I'd ask that this be moved in evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection; statute of limitations.
THE COURT: You really don't have to do that every time. It is a standing objection, if that phrase means anything.

Go ahead, Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: As to the testimony, the standing objection, but the documents, we need to object one by one like we've been doing throughout the trial.

THE COURT: Why is that? Don't the documents always or almost always have the date of them?

MR. KISE: Again, I mean, we -- not to belabor it, but our research has concluded that there's no standing objection with respect to documents, but with respect to testimony, yes, but with respect to document by document, no.

THE COURT: Well, Cliff isn't here, so we can't
consult him, but I'll assume -- I'll let you do whatever you need to do, okay.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 981.)

MR. GABER: I realize I may not have admitted
Plaintiff's 3291. I move to admit 3291, plaintiff's.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3291.)
Q Can I just quickly -- I just want to direct your attention to pages 103 and 104 of this document. Just to confirm that this is a signed version of a license, so this would be the final agreement between the city or Parks \& Recreation and Trump Ferry Point, LLC?
A Yes.
Q With respect to the trees that went into printing this out, I'm going to move on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 3283. Let me know if you recognize this document, Mr. Cerron.
A Yes. This is the personal guaranty made by Mr. Trump.
Q Is it your understanding that this personal guaranty was a condition of the license that we just looked at?
A Yes.
Q If you look, Mr. Cerron, at page eight and nine of this document, you'll see that it is signed by Donald J. Trump as

Can you explain the purpose of these "No MAC" letters on an ongoing basis?
A That it was an annual letter that was to be sent to the city to just reaffirm that the initial financial statements that were shared with the city during the award process were in material respects the same.

Q And would you expect those letters to be true, complete and accurate?

A Yes.
Q Would submission of false or fraudulent information in those "No MAC" letters be a matter of concern to Parks? A Yes.
Q Can you elaborate on that, what type of concern would be raised?

A I think, you know, for -- I guess the first concern would be our questioning of whether or not the financial obligations under the license could continue to be met. And then I think the second concern would be if this was not accurate, are there other documents, other reports that were provided to the city that were also not accurate.
Q As a general matter, does Parks \& Recreation have procedures or mechanisms in place for reporting suspected or actual false or fraudulent submissions if you know about them?
A If we become aware of something that was reported
falsely, yes. Generally, that's a matter we would refer to our counsel's office and then potentially to the city's department of investigation
Q So, Mr. Cerron, I'm just going to ask you before I let you -- before I'm concluded to just take a look at a number of documents and tell me if you recognize them. They're going to look substantially similar. This is Plaintiffs 3282. It is a letter from WeiserMazars dated December 21, 2011. Just let me know if you recognize this document, Mr. Cerron.
this is - this is an e-mail and then starting on page two is the letter. I'm sorry. It is an e-mail with an attached letter.

A This is one of the "No MAC" letters that were
referenced in the personal guaranty that you just had up.
Q Okay.
MR. GABER: I'd ask that this be moved into
evidence.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection. Statute of limitations and foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled on statute of limitations.
What's the foundation?
MR. GABER: The foundation is -- I can ask a
question just to --
THE COURT: Sure.
Q Mr. Cerron, is it your understanding that this letter,
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which I believe you yourself said is the "No MAC" letter, was submitted to the city?
A Yes.
MR. GABER: I move to admit it.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection, Your Honor. He
testified he doesn't recognize the first page of the
exhibit, only the second page.
MR. GABER: It was produced as a family with the cover e-mail that's an internal Trump Org document. I'm happy to admit only as to the letter at this time just to obviate.

THE COURT: Okay. Then that's what we will do. Just submit it as to the letter.

MR. GABER: Although, I will note that the e-mail is from Mr. McConney and so to the extent that it's a party admission, perhaps we just seek to have it admitted for all purposes at this point and Mr. Cerron is only testifying as to his knowledge.

THE COURT: It is admitted all pages for all purposes.

MR. GABER: Once again, I think I need to admit 3283 the previous exhibit as well into evidence.

THE COURT: Sorry. Say it again.
MR. GABER: 3283, I may not have moved that in formally. It is the previous.

THE COURT: All in for all evidence for all purposes.
(Whereupon, the Documents were marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibits 3282 \& 3283.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: Just note our objection. Statute of limitations.

THE COURT: Overruled.
Q Mr. Cerron, first paragraph here, the last sentence
refers to -- well, let me start with the whole first paragraph.
"We are the accountants for Mr. Donald J. Trump and have previously compiled his Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2011 as indicated in our accountant's compilation report dated October 6,2011. This compiled financial statement showed a net worth in excess of \$4 billion and cash and marketable securities in excess of \(\$ 250\) million."

So Mr. Cerron, would the city expect that representation to be true, complete and accurate?
A Yes.
MR. GABER: I would now move on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 3286 for identification.
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Cerron?
A Yes.
Q Can you tell me what it is?
A This was -- this was a proposal from the
concessionaire at the time to extend, if memory serves, the 18 th

\section*{CERRON - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. GABER)}
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hole and perhaps the 17 th hole into what is now a passive waterfront park that is approximately 19.5 acres.

Q And this was sent to the Department of Parks \& Recreation?

A Yes.
Q I direct your attention to page seven of this document.
Section \(F\) there, "financial capability." "We have enclosed as
attachment \(F\) a statement from the certified public accounting
firm of Weiser, LLP indicating a net worth in excess of approximately \(\$ 5\) billion and cash on hand in excess of \(\$ 330\) million. As such, we will easily be able to meet any and all financial obligations under this extension proposal" and then it is signed at the bottom there, "Donald J. Trump."

MR. GABER: I'd ask this be moved into evidence.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Objection, Your Honor; relevance, statute of limitations and beyond the proffer that we received for this witness.

THE COURT: Would you have done anything differently if you had received their proffer in the sense that it is now being offered?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes, Your Honor. We had a deposition on Monday, last Monday of this witness. We would have asked more questions. That was the intention of the proffer.

MR. GABER: How is this beyond the proffer? I
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Page 2811 \\
1 & assistant commissioner of revenue again. It says, "Dear, Ms. \\
2 & Smith. We are the accountants for Mr. Donald J. Trump and have \\
3 & previously compiled his Statement of Financial Condition as of \\
4 & June 30, 2013. As indicated in our accountants' compilation \\
5 & report dated October 28, 2013, this compiled financial statement \\
6 & showed a net worth in excess of \(\$ 4,900,000,000\) and cash and \\
7 & marketable securities in excess of \$330 million." \\
8 & Mr. Cerron, would the city expect this to be true, \\
9 & complete and accurate representation? \\
10 & A \(\quad\) Yes. \\
11 & (Continued on the next page.) \\
12 & \\
13 & \\
14 & \\
15 & \\
16 & \\
17 & \\
18 & \\
19 & \\
20 & \\
21 & \\
22 & \\
23 & \\
24 & \\
25 &
\end{tabular}
believe that we had a pretty broad proffer related to the "No MAC" letters and the maintenance and administration of the --

MS. HERNANDEZ: This was a proposal for extension, so it doesn't relate to the maintenance of the Ferry Point property.

MR. GABER: But it does contain a letter from
Mazars and it reflects the -- contains a letter from Mazars which reflects the financial capability of the guarantor.

MS. HERNANDEZ: The proffer doesn't specifically mention the WeiserMazars letters. It is a little bit different. I don't know how much of this we want to get into in front of the witness, but this is beyond the scope of the proffer we received.

THE COURT: Was it beyond the scope of the proffer?
MR. GABER: We don't believe so.
THE COURT: I don't think so either. Overruled on all three grounds. So it is in for -- the document is in for all purposes. Signed by -- apparently signed by Donald J. Trump.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3286.)
Q So I would just like to have you look at page 21 of the document now, Mr. Cerron. This is a letter from WeiserMazars, LLP dated October 29, 2013. It is addressed to Ms. Smith, the

Page 2811
assistant commissioner of revenue again. It says, "Dear, Ms.
Smith. We are the accountants for Mr. Donald J. Trump and have previously compiled his Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2013. As indicated in our accountants' compilation report dated October 28, 2013, this compiled financial statement showed a net worth in excess of \(\$ 4,900,000,000\) and cash and marketable securities in excess of \(\$ 330\) million."

Mr. Cerron, would the city expect this to be true, omplete and accurate representation?
(Continued on the next page.)

Q I'd like to now hand the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 3284 for identification. Again, this was produced as a family with a cover e-mail, but I'm only going to be asking the witness about page three of three here.

Do you recognize this letter dated March 28, 2016 from WeizerMazars LLP, Mr. Cerron?

A Yes.
Q What do you recognize this to be?
A A No Mac letter.
Q For what year?
A For the year ending December 31, 2015.
Q Okay. Thank you.
So this letter states in the second paragraph:
"While we have not reviewed computations of value since the aforementioned June 30, 2015 financial statement, based on discussions with Mr. Trump and his advisors, we are not aware of any matters that would indicate a significant change in Mr. Trump's net worth as of this date or as of December 31, 2015."

Mr. Cerron, the city expects this to be true, complete and accurate as to the representations in this letter?
A Yes.
THE COURT: I'll ask the witness to speak a little louder and closer to the microphone, directly in.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Yes.

THE COURT: Much better.
MR. GABER: Does it to every witness.
THE COURT: And usually much earlier, right?
MR. GABER: And I'd like to move this into evidence, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3284.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3284 in evidence.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: Sorry. Objection also they're only showing the third page of a three-page exhibit. The first two pages he does not have knowledge of and there is no foundation to admit those.

MR. GABER: I said I'm only showing him the third page and that there is an e-mail there that at this point I'm only admitting it as to the --

THE COURT: The third page. Okay. So it's only as to the third page.
Q So Mr. Cerron, I'm going to hand you Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3285, and again, this was produced as a family, so I'm
only going to be making reference to page two of two of this document at this time.

Do you recognize this document, Mr. Cerron?
A Yes. This is a No Mac.
Q I don't think we -- so Mr. Cerron, do you know what
year this No Mac letter is for?
A Just a moment. I believe this is for June 30, 2016.
Q The 2016 calendar year?
A Or I believe if they have a financial year that ends June 30th.

MR. GABER: Okay. So the second paragraph here, I'd ask that this letter, page two, be moved into evidence. THE COURT: You ask that it be admitted into evidence? Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3285 in evidence.)
Q This paragraph, second paragraph here, says, "While we have not reviewed computations of value since the aforementioned June 30, 2016 financial statement, based on discussions with the trustees of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended, and their advisors, we are not aware of any matters that would indicate a significant change in Mr. Trump's net worth as of this date."

Mr. Cerron, would the Department of Parks and Recreation expect this representation to be true, complete and accurate?
A Yes.
Q I'm now going to hand you Plaintiff's Exhibit 3280 for identification. Again, this was produced with a transmittal

Do you recognize this document, Mr. Cerron?
A Yes. This is a No Mac letter for the year ending June 30, 2020.

MR. GABER: I'd ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in. Can't object on statute of limitations grounds.

MS. HERNANDEZ: No objection.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3281 in evidence.)
Q So here, this is substantially similar to what we've
read. I'll note that the last sentence of the last paragraph
says that this compiled financial statement showed a net worth
in excess of \(\$ 3\) billion in cash and cash equivalents in excess
of \(\$ 90\) million.
Mr. Cerron, would the Department of Parks and Recreation expect the representations in this letter to be true, complete and accurate?

A Yes.
MR. GABER: I believe I have no further questions for the witness. Is this in evidence?

THE COURT: It's in.
Will there be any cross examination?
MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
```

D. Cerron - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)
e-mail, so I'm only going to ask you to look at page two of two.
Do you recognize this document, Mr. Cerron?
A Yes. This is a No Mac letter for the year ending
June 30, }2017
Q This contains similar language in the second full
paragraph as to the last letter I read.
MR. GABER: The -- first, I'd ask this be moved
into evidence -- admitted into evidence.
THE COURT: Quick learner. Yes, granted. It's in
evidence over an objection.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Just the second page?
THE COURT: Yes, just the second page.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 3280 in
evidence.)
Q And so this is -- I believe this is the same language
as in the previous letter we read; is that correct? Same or
substantially the same?
A It seems so, yes.
Q And would the City and/or Department of Parks and
Recreation expect this representation to be true, complete and
accurate?
A Yes.
Q I'd like to hand the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit }328
for identification.

```
D. Cerron - Plaintiff - direct (Gaber)

THE COURT: I look forward to it.
You know, before you start I have a few quick questions. I won't disturb your situation.

You described the property before it became a golf course as landfill; correct?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: And can you in one or two sentences describe what material was there? What was the fill?

THE WITNESS: Municipal waste, people's garbage. THE COURT: Yes. It was what we would call a garbage dump as I remember it from many, many years ago, which might have made turning it into a golf course difficult if it was just grass or sod or something. Anyway, okay. Cross examination. Let's begin. MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, for the record, my name is Jennifer Hernandez on behalf of the various corporate defendants, our first time officially meeting.

THE COURT: Welcome to Room 300. MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

\section*{CROSS EXAMINATION}

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
Q So Mr. Cerron, you were not involved in the award process to Trump Ferry Point LLC for the Ferry Point property?

A Correct.
25 Q And you only later became involved in the


1 which is already in evidence.
2 Q And this is the license agreement between Trump Ferry
Point LLC and the City of New York Department of Parks and
Recreation; correct?
A Correct.
Q And it's for the operation management and maintenance
of an "18 hole Jack Nicklaus signature golf course, lighted
driving range and ancillary facilities and the design
construction operation management and maintenance of a permanent clubhouse at Ferry Point Park;" correct?
A Correct.
Q And we're going to go to page 52 of the License Agreement. 52, sorry, right. Thank you.

This is the capital improvements portion of the License Agreement; correct?
A Correct.
Q And here it says: "Licensee shall during the term at its sole cost and expense perform and complete all costs to be performed and completed the capital improvements described conceptually on the schedule capital improvements annexed to" -"annexed hereto as Exhibit F."

And if we move forward a little bit, "licensee shall spend or cause to be expended capital improvements costs of at least ten million dollars;" correct?

A Yes.
D. Cerron - Plaintiff - cross (Hemandez)
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Q And so under this section the licensee is Trump Ferry Point LLC; correct?
A Correct.
Q And the most relevant element of the required capital improvement was the clubhouse; correct?
A Correct.
Q And so Trump Ferry Point LLC agreed to spend at least \(\$ 10\) million to construct the clubhouse and any other capital improvements; correct?

A Correct.
Q And did Trump Ferry Point spend at least \(\$ 10\) million on those capital improvements?
A Yes.
Q In fact, Trump Ferry Point LLC spent more than \(\$ 10\) million on the capital improvements; correct?
A Yes.
Q And so we're going to go to page 319 of this exhibit now and so this is Exhibit 5, the Guaranty Agreement; correct?

MR. GABER: Objection. I believe it's Exhibit V just for clarity of the record.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Sorry?
MR. GABER: I believe it's not Roman V. I believe it's Exhibit V. MS. HERNANDEZ: So that's news to me.
Q So Exhibit V is the Guaranty Agreement?

A Correct.
Q And so this is --
MS. HERNANDEZ: Can we go to the next page, Nate?
Q This is a Guaranty Agreement between Donald J. Trump and the city; correct?

A Correct.
Q And at the end of the page, it says "guaranteed
obligations;" correct?
A Are you referring to --
Q B?
A Correct.
Q And so \(B\) is guaranteed obligations. Are the
obligations under the License Agreement that Donald J. Trump guaranteed; correct?

A Can you repeat that, please?
Q These guaranteed obligations are the obligations that
Donald J. Trump guaranteed under the License Agreement; correct?
A Correct.
Q And so if it's not under this list, under \(V\), he didn't guarantee it; correct?

A I believe so. I'd have to take a look at the whole document, but I believe so, yes.

Q We're going to run through some of it now.
So here in romanette \(i\), President Trump guaranteed the payments of all due and unpaid license fees to the city;
. Cerron - Plaintiff - cross (Hernandez)
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correct?
A Correct.
Q And those yearly license fees were usually in the neighborhood of approximately \(\$ 300,000\) a year; correct?

A That's correct with the caveat that the first -- if
memory serves, the first five years there were no license fees due.

Q Understood. And were there any unpaid license fees for Trump Ferry Point LLC?

A No, there were not.
Q So the guaranty was never invoked for payment of any license fees; correct?

A Correct.
Q And so we're going to move down to romanette ii now and so under Section 2, President Trump guaranteed any unpaid deposits to the capital reserve fund less any credit Trump Ferry Point was entitled to; correct?

A Correct.
Q And were there ever any unpaid deposits under the license agreement?

A No, there were not. Again, just with the caveat as we had discussed during the deposition that there was a credit related to --

Q Correct, which we're going to get to. Thank you.
So the guarantee was never invoked under this section;
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Cerron - Plaintiff - cross (Hemandez) Page 2826 & D. Cerron - Plaintiff - cross (Hernandez) Page 2828 \\
\hline 1 correct? & 1 expended approximately 5 to \$7 million more than the \$750,000 \\
\hline 2 A Correct. & 2 grow-in cap; correct? \\
\hline 3 Q And Section 3, romanette iii, President Trump & 3 A Correct. \\
\hline 4 guaranteed the payment of a one-time design review fee; correct? & 4 Q And as a result, Trump Ferry Point LLC received a 5 to \\
\hline 5 A Correct. & 5 \$7 million credit to any license fees; correct? \\
\hline 6 Q And was the design review fee paid? & 6 A Correct. \\
\hline 7 A Yes & 7 Q And -- \\
\hline 8 Q So the guarantee was never invoked for payment of the & 8 MR. GABER: I'm just going to briefly object. This \\
\hline 9 design review fee; correct? & 9 is beyond the scope of direct it feels like at this point, \\
\hline 10 A Correct. & 10 so I just want to launch that objection. \\
\hline 11 Q And moving to -- & 11 MS. HERNANDEZ: It goes to exactly what was \\
\hline 12 MS. HERNANDEZ: We're going to put them up & 12 guaranteed, Your Honor, and the scope of their agreement and \\
\hline 13 together, Nate, Section 4 and 5. & 13 -- with Trump Ferry Point LLC. \\
\hline 14 Q And under Section 4 and 5, President Trump guaranteed & 14 THE COURT: Well, I don't think it matters much. \\
\hline 15 any unpaid portion of insurance premiums and deductible under & 15 They could always call him as their witness, so as a \\
\hline 16 either the license agreement or the development agreement; & 16 practical matter, overruled. \\
\hline 17 correct? & 17 MS. HERNANDEZ: And we're trying not to call \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & 18 Mr. Cerron again. \\
\hline 19 Q And was the guarantee ever invoked to pay any unpaid & 19 MR. GABER: Under that representation, I will \\
\hline 20 insurance premiums or deductibles? & 20 reserve any further scope objections. \\
\hline 21 A No. & 21 Q So the 5 to \$7 million credit, did it cover all of the \\
\hline 22 Q And then under Section 6, President Trump guaranteed & 22 license fees due under the agreements? \\
\hline 23 any unpaid grow-in costs beyond the cap of \(\$ 750,000\); correct? & 23 A I'm sorry. I don't believe I fully understand the \\
\hline 24 A Correct. & 24 question. \\
\hline 25 Q And grow-in costs relates to the costs the & 25 Q The 5 to \$7 million credit we just discussed did it \\
\hline D. Cerron - Plaintiff - cross (Hemandez) Page 2827 & D. Cerron - Plaintiff - cross (Hernandez) Page 2829 \\
\hline 1 concessionaire takes over for the city to grow and preserve the & 1 cover all of the license fees that were due under the agreement? \\
\hline 2 grass on the golf course? & 2 A It covered all the license fees that were due under the \\
\hline 3 A Correct. This was before the course opened. & 3 agreement up until the point that the license was assigned. \\
\hline 4 Q Right. And these grow-in costs were capped at \$750,000 & 4 Q Correct. So Trump Ferry Point LLC never had to pay any \\
\hline 5 under the contract from the city and Trump Ferry Point LLC; & 5 license fees under the agreement; correct? \\
\hline 6 correct? & 6 A Correct. \\
\hline 7 A Correct. & 7 Q And so moving back to the guarantee, there was no \\
\hline 8 Q And anything over the \$750,000 cap was reimbursed to & 8 unpaid grow-in costs beyond the cap for President Trump to pay; \\
\hline 9 Trump Ferry Point LLC through a credit that would apply to & 9 correct? \\
\hline 10 license fees in future years; correct? & 10 A There were no fees that were due to the city from this \\
\hline 11 A Correct. & 11 license. \\
\hline 12 Q And the city performed an informal review to determine & 12 Q And so President Trump's guaranty was never invoked for \\
\hline 13 the grow-in costs Trump Ferry Point LLC incurred; correct? & 13 -- under this section; correct? \\
\hline 14 A Correct. & 14 A Correct. \\
\hline 15 Q Do you recall what year that was done? & 15 THE COURT: Try not to comment on the answer like \\
\hline 16 A To the best of my memory it would have been & 16 "right." \\
\hline 17 approximately 2015 or 2016. Sorry, sorry. It couldn't have & 17 MS. HERNANDEZ: Sorry, Your Honor. Understood. \\
\hline 18 been -- probably 2016 or 2017 because the course opened in 2015 & 18 Q So moving to Section 7, President Trump guaranteed \\
\hline 19 and up until that point the costs would have still been & 19 payment of any due and unpaid late charges; correct? \\
\hline 20 accumulated. & 20 A Correct. \\
\hline 21 Q Got it. And so the informal review that the city & 21 Q And were there ever any due or unpaid late charges \\
\hline 22 performed was to see how much Trump Ferry Point LLC expended & 22 imposed on Trump Ferry Point LLC? \\
\hline 23 beyond the cap; correct? & 23 A Not that I recall. \\
\hline 24 A Correct. & 24 Q Okay. And then moving to Section 8, President Trump \\
\hline 25 Q And the review showed that Trump Ferry Point LLC & 25 guaranteed any other due and unpaid financial obligations under \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{1 \\ AFTERNOON SESSION}

THE OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Arthur Engoron presiding. Now, please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Let's hear from the defense about Friday's schedule.

MR. KISE: About what?
THE COURT: Friday's schedule.
MR. KISE: Oh, Friday's schedule. I think we
reached an agreement on the 8th, doing Ms. Trump's testimony on the 8th. She's going to move her trip around. That way if we start, we can finish that day and if we don't -- and if we don't finish that day, we will have the night to rollover.

\section*{THE COURT: Okay.}

MR. KISE: I think at least with Mr. Wallace -- I haven't spoken --

MR. AMER: That's fine with us, but I thought the Court was inquiring whether the 3rd was possible if we did a full day rather than a half day.

THE COURT: That was the original idea.
MR. KISE: Well, for the logistical reasons and otherwise I pointed out at least from my end, our end, the 3rd represents a challenge so -- and I don't know that

Donald Trump Jr. is finished. Now, that may be Thursday, but it may also be Wednesday afternoon. I think we don't want to have dead time --

THE COURT: Dead time.
MR. KISE: That seems awfully optimistic. I know they've been eternally optimistic. We never have met those expectations.

MR. AMER: I'm putting the marker down because I don't want to hear that we weren't on notice that he could go as early as Wednesday afternoon. I don't know --

MR. KISE: Oh, that. I don't think that's an issue.

THE COURT: Well --
MR. KISE: Without Mr. Robert being here, I can't represent anything, but I don't -- I don't know. I don't anticipate that's going to be that big of an issue.

THE COURT: Well, will Eric be here on Wednesday?
MR. KISE: As far as I know, he will. But again, I'm not representing anything without Mr. Robert here.

MR. AMER: Mr. Farina is here. We have somebody who is representing Eric Trump here. I just -- I mean, my understanding is that we start Donald Trump, Jr. Wednesday morning. I don't think we have a full day with him. I think he could be shorter than a full day, so I think in order to not waste time, Eric Trump should be available to
we'll get to her. I know we all have these lofty plans when we start early in the week to get through witnesses, but I'm not entirely sure we'll get through the expert, Don, Jr. and Eric by Friday in any event.

MS. HABBA: I just talked to them about the schedule. We were just going back and forth. I don't know about the 3rd. I'm not Bennet Moskowitz. That's her attorney. But I know the way the schedule would work now, we have Don Wednesday, Eric Trump --

THE COURT: Thursday.
MS. HABBA: -- Thursday. I think they're representing Eric might be longer than Don. If we have Donald Trump on Monday, then at least there's a day off and a clean break that we have. Ivanka goes into the next day. She is here and can do two full days and then they rest.

THE COURT: Do we know who's going to cross-examine Ivanka?

MS. HABBA: I don't.
MR. KISE: I don't know that's been decided that we will, but I'm sure -- without Mr. Robert here, I don't want to say anything at all about that decision because I haven't spoken to him about that.

THE COURT: Mr. --
MR. AMER: I wanted to mention one thing, so we are clear. My understanding is Eric Trump will testify when
start whenever we finish Donald Trump, Jr.
MR. KISE: Well, we'll have an answer for you by tonight or tomorrow morning, well in advance of Wednesday. I just don't want to give you an answer that I can't give you only to have to retreat from.

THE COURT: I think we are all okay with Ivanka on the 8th, Wednesday. What will we do Friday? What can we accomplish?

MR. KISE: My questions is we're going to be - it is an educated guess after what we've been through that we will need Friday to finish the witnesses that are already on deck. I'm pretty sure. Nothing ever goes according to plan.

THE COURT: S-N-A-F-U I think they call that.
MR. AMER: I don't disagree. We don't have any witness between Eric Trump and Donald Trump. So whenever we finish Eric Trump, I think we're not going to have anything else to put on until Donald Trump goes the following Monday, which is the only day he's available as I understand it.

THE COURT: So we'll leave Friday as a big question mark and we will all agree Ivanka Wednesday, the 8th and possibly Thursday?

MR. KISE: Yes, Your Honor, that's fine.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the witness back.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
\begin{tabular}{lll}
1 & & THE COURT: I will remind the witness, as I always \\
2 & do, that he's still under oath. Let's continue with the \\
3 & cross-examination. \\
4 & Q & Mr. Cerron, have you spoken to the Attorney General \\
5 & since your deposition on Monday October 23rd? \\
6 & THE COURT: Or anybody from her office. \\
7 & Q & Or anybody from her office? \\
8 & A & Yes. \\
9 & Q & Who did you speak to from the Attorney General's \\
10 & office? \\
11 & A & Mr. Gaber. \\
12 & Q & When did you speak to Mr. Gaber? \\
13 & A & Approximately -- last week if I'm not mistaken, on \\
14 & Thursday. \\
15 & Q & How long was that conversation? \\
16 & A & Approximately 45 minutes. \\
17 & Q & And what did you speak to with Mr. Gaber -- speak about \\
18 & with Mr. Gaber? \\
19 & A & Regarding testimony today. \\
20 & Q & What specifically -- \\
21 & & THE COURT: I'm not sure the microphone -- \\
22 & MS. HERNANDEZ: I don't know if it is working now. \\
23 & THE COURT: Now it -- \\
24 & MS. HERNANDEZ: Is that better? \\
25 & THE COURT: Yes.
\end{tabular}
D. CERRON - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MS. HERNANDEZ)1 Q So what did you speak to about Mr. Gaber more

specifically about your testimony?

A Predominantly, it was a review of many of the documents
that we've seen here today and kind of generally on questions that I may be asked --
Q So he --
7 A -- by him.
8 Q So he walked you through the direct examination that you all did earlier today, correct?

A We went through some of those documents, yes. I mean, not exactly, but yes.

Q Was there anyone else there other than Mr. Gaber?
A I believe there was another gentleman, but I don't remember his name.

Q And is that the only instance that you spoke to anyone from the Attorney General's office since your deposition?
A Yes.
Q You testified --
A Just if I could correct.
Q Go ahead.
A We did text this morning just to let them know that I was here, procedural stuff, nothing of materiality.
Q Got it. So you testified on direct examination that Ferry Point was a tough one to accomplish, correct?

A Correct.

Q But Trump Ferry Point, LLC did accomplish the goals of the contract, correct?
A In which respect?
Q The clubhouse was built, correct?
A The clubhouse was built, correct.
Q The golf course was maintained, correct?
7 A And the golf course was maintained, correct.
8 Q And the grass was grown in correctly, correct?
9 A The grass was grown in correctly, that's correct.
10 Q Mr. Trump put more money in the property than was required under the contract, correct?

A To the best of my recollection, the capital commitment exceeded the obligated amount, that's right.

Q And Trump Ferry Point, LLC met all of their
obligations, all of its obligations under the contract, correct?
A With regard to the items that we discussed. I don't
want to give a blanket, correct, to a pretty sizable document,
but with regard to the capital, with regard to the grow-in, with
regard to the clubhouse, those were all obligations that were met, yes.

Q Are you aware of any obligation that was not met by Trump Ferry Point, LLC as you sit here today?
A There's not a firm capital obligation that comes to mind that was not met.

THE COURT: Can you just hit your microphone again.

\section*{We'll call the tech guy.}

MS. HERNANDEZ: It says it is on. It's in and out.
THE COURT: Some drunk who's losing consciousness is becoming conscious.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I'll try to project either way.
Q We are going to pull up PX 1331 which is already in evidence. This is Trump's response to request for offers, correct?
9 A Correct.
10 Q And you testified on direct examination that you believe this letter was relied on by -- sorry. Go to page seven before I ask this question.

You testified on direct examination that you believe this letter was relied on by Parks, correct?

A Correct.
Q But you weren't involved in the award process, correct?
A Correct.
Q And so you don't know for certain what was relied on by Parks during the award process, correct?

A I was -- I was not on -- I was not on the selection committee, so to the extent that that is the definitive marker of whether I know something or I don't and how they made that determination, you know, I would agree, but I think when we're talking about this process that -- of awarding concessions that I've been involved in for over a decade and I look at the
requests for recommendation for award, and it cites the content of this letter. In knowing that process, I do believe that this was part of that determination for, you know -- to help them feel comfortable with financial capability.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I'd like to move to strike that answer as non-responsive. It was a yes or no whether he is aware for certain what was relied on in the award.

THE COURT: Well, off the record.
(Whereupon, a discussion was held off the record.)
THE COURT: I'll ask for a readback and I believe that counselor is correct that part of the answer was non-responsive.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

THE COURT: Can you answer that yes or no?

\section*{A Correct.}

MS. HERNANDEZ: So we are going to now pull up PX 3258 which is already in evidence.

THE COURT: And the earlier answer is stricken because some of it was non-responsive.

MR. GABER: I believe Mr. Cerron on direct testified as to the basis for his understanding as to what was and wasn't relied on.

THE COURT: He did, but --

\section*{D. CERRON - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MS. HERNANDEZ) Page 2843}

MR. GABER: He laid a foundation that I think allows this to be considered responsive.

THE COURT: You can re-direct him if you want.
Q So can we go to page two. So this was admitted. Page two of this document was admitted earlier today and I want to point your attention to the third paragraph there. "This letter should be read in conjunction with Mr. Trump's above-referenced Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2016." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And are you aware of any instance where the city requested a Statement of Financial Condition from President Trump in connection with the maintenance of the Ferry Point contract?
A Can you repeat that question, please.
Q Sure. Are you aware of any instance where the city requested a Statement of Financial Condition from President Trump in connection with the maintenance of the Ferry Point contract?
A So this is not a yes or a no. It's the last part of that question is a little bit confusing, so I'm sorry if I'm not able to answer this on the first -- on the first try. But with connection with the maintenance of the course, there was -- there's an annual income statement that's submitted by the concessionaire at the end of each -- at the end of each
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operating year, which we would review. And that would have information on the costs related to the maintenance of the golf course and it would also have the revenues that would either support that cost or which would not and they might be at a loss or gain depending on how things shook out, but we would not have requested it -- we did not request, you know, certified financial statements, but it would not have been related to maintenance because the maintenance is something we would have seen in the financial statements that they would have sent us purely related just to the course, not to any other business dealings.

Q What you're describing is financial statements from Trump Ferry Point, LLC, correct?

A Correct.
Q So did you ever receive President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition during the maintenance?

A No. During the term of the license or related to maintenance, no.

Q And did anyone from Parks ever request the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Not that I'm aware of.
Q And so when you reviewed these "No MAC" letters, you did not review them to determine whether President Trump had the financial capability to perform the contract, correct?

A Correct.
\(1 \quad \mathbf{Q}\) The determination of whether someone has the financial capability to perform under the contract is largely made during the award process, correct?
A Correct.
5 Q And your interest in reviewing the "No MAC" letters is 6 making sure that all of the obligations under the contract are
7 being completed, correct?
A Our interest in reviewing the "No MAC" letters is simply just to confirm that what was is what is. So I think 10 that's correct.

Q He was required to submit it and he submitted it, correct?
A Correct.
Q And at the time of this letter, it is dated April 22, 2017, correct?

A Correct.
Q At the time of this letter, there was a rent credit in favor of Trump Ferry Point, LLC, correct?

A Correct. And my apologies. I think at this point, there might have not -- there might have been before the rent kicked in.

Q You're not sure?
A If it was '15, '16, '17, this would have been before rent was due because the first five years of the license, there was no rent.

1 Q So when you were reviewing this letter, there was no rent due under the license agreement?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And as you sit here today, you're not aware of any
false statements in this "No MAC" letter issued by Mazars, LLP, correct?
A Other than, you know, this -- I think the -- some of basis for this trial, but no, I am not aware of, you know, if there's been -- if it's been revised or anything else.

MS. HERNANDEZ: So we're going to move to PX 3280 which is also in evidence. Can you go to the second page, Nate. Only the second page is in evidence.
Q So this is another "No MAC" letter, correct?
A Correct.
Q And this one is dated April 5, 2018, correct?
A Correct.
Q And so highlighting that third paragraph, it says, "This letter should be read in conjunction with Mr. Trump's above-referenced Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017," correct?
A Correct.
Q At the time of this letter, so April 5, 2018, was there a rent credit in favor of Trump Ferry Point, LLC?

A I believe so, yes.
Q And so the rent credit basically means that the city
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owed Trump money under the contract, correct?
A It meant that he had a rent credit. I defer to our attorneys if somehow the license was terminated, whether the city owed money or not, but it meant that the concessionaire had a rent credit.

\section*{Q A rent credit because he --}

A Because related to the grow-in, so there was -- once after the five years - once the license fees were billed, they were billed against a credit of several million dollars that he had, so we would just be drawing down on that credit month over month.

Q Because Trump Ferry Point, LLC and President Trump spent more than what was required under the contract, correct?

A For the grow-in, correct. Right.
Q As you sit here today, you're not aware of any false statements in this "No MAC" letter issued by Mazars, correct?

A Correct.
Q So we are going to move to PX 3281 which is already in evidence. And so the second paragraph in this one says, "This letter should be read in conjunction with Mr. Trump's
above-referenced Statement of Financial Condition including notes and narratives included therewith as of June 30, 2020," correct?
A Correct.
25 Q And at the time of this letter --

MS. HERNANDEZ: If you can scroll up a little, Nate.
Q This is dated February 26, 2021, correct?
A Correct.
Q And at the time of this letter, the rent credit that
we've been discussing was already in place, correct?
A Correct.
Q And so as you sit here today, you're not aware of any false statements in this "No MAC" letter issued by Mazars, correct?
A I think as I mentioned, I'm not aware of them except for my understanding is part of the basis of this trial is that these were not -- these were not accurate. So I have not received a letter saying, "Here is a revised statement" or "Here is what the numbers should be." So when I say correct, I'm not aware of it. That's because nothing's been brought to me, but it is something that obviously seems like an outstanding question.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I would move to strike that as non-responsive. It is just whether he is aware of it or not.

THE COURT: I understand where both of you are coming from. Why don't we do this. Can I deem the question withdrawn and you will ask it more precisely if you think you can.
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MS. HERNANDEZ: I can try.
Q So as you sit here today, aside from any allegations in this case, are you aware of any actual false statements in this "No MAC" letter issued by Mazars?
A I haven't received a correction letter. I have not received anything from Mazars to say that this is -- this is being -- this is being -- these numbers are being corrected. I just -- I feel uncomfortable saying like there's nothing inaccurate here even if I don't know precisely what it is. I'm just not in a position to have the knowledge to know, precisely know what it is and that's part of why I think there is this trial. So I wish I could be more helpful to just in general to anyone in answering that question, but I don't want to represent that my understanding is that there are no misstatements here because I'm just not -- I'm just not sure at this point what the facts are.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, could I move to strike that answer as well?

THE COURT: Granted. If you listen to the question, we'll get a --

MR. GABER: If we're talking about any of the other allegations in this trial, then the question is no longer relevant.

THE COURT: Disagree.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Im asking what Mr. Cerron is aware
12

THE COURT: Well -- but it is a lot more limited than that.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Correct. Correct.
Q What you are actually aware of? Are you actually aware of any false statements that are in this "No MAC" letter issued by Mazars in 2021?
A I am not aware of any other statements regarding this financial -- these financial documents during this time period.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. We can take that down now.
Q So you are familiar with the assignment of the concession for the Ferry Point property from Trump Ferry Point, LLC to Bally's, correct?

A Correct.
Q You were involved in working with counsel's office on that deal, correct?
A I worked with our -- with the agency's general counsel.
Q So you were involved in that deal, correct?
A Yes.
Q And Bally's paid Trump Ferry Point, LLC approximately
judicial notice of it, then I have no further questions.
THE COURT: Okay. Any re-direct?
MR. GABER: No, Judge. Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. We are all finished with this witness, I assume.

Thank you, witness. You're excused.
(Witness excused.)
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    as PX 1501.
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MS. HERNANDEZ: The native, Nate, please.
Q So I'm going to represent to you that this is the 2021
supporting data for the Statement of Financial Condition. And
I'm going to direct your attention to Row 575 of the supporting
data. Do you see there where it says, "Trump Golf Links Ferry Point"?

A I do.
MR. GABER: I'm going to object to foundation here.
The witness -- the witness is incompetent to testify on an internal valuation document from the Trump Organization.

THE COURT: The question was simply did he
see -- does he see it. So objection overruled without
prejudice to see where it goes.
MR. GABER: Okay.
MS. HERNANDEZ: And on Row 584, you could highlight that, Nate.
\(Q\) You see the value in 2021 is \(\$ 22,548,589\) ?
A Ido.
\(Q\) And the \(\$ 22,548,589\) is significantly less than the
\(\$ 60\) million that you just testified Bally's paid Trump Ferry
Point LLC for the assignment of the concession, correct?
THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice that the
\(\$ 22\) million is less than the \(\$ 60\) million.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. If Your Honor will take

THE COURT: Am I correct that the next witness we are going to hear from via recorded -- video recorded testimony?

MR. AMER: That's right, Your Honor. I think we clocked the video at two hours and 16 minutes. I don't think with our afternoon break we're going to be able to play the entire video given our timing, but if we have to spill over until tomorrow morning, then we can just finish it then.

THE COURT: And why are we not hearing live testimony, which I'm on record as wanting -- valuing?

MR. AMER: We were in agreement that the witness is unavailable. She is not in New York, she doesn't work in New York and she is also in her -- knew she was going to be nine months pregnant around the time of the trial.

THE COURT: And defendants, there is no issue, I assume?

MR. KISE: No, not at this time, Your Honor, no. THE COURT: Okay. Let's play the movie.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings while the video recorded testimony is played in open court.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a ten-minute break, so I'll see you back here in 15 minutes.

MS. GREENFIELD: Just Counselors for the AG, I know that the exhibits for this deposition were marked as

1 deposition exhibits. Would it be possible to get a list of
have Mr. Cornwell is next followed by Mr. Orowitz followed

Page 2855
by Mr. McCarty.
THE COURT: And we going to finish all of them? MR. AMER: I don't know.
THE COURT: That's a lot more than we've ever done in a day.

MR. AMER: My understanding is there will be cross examination of Mr. Cornwell. I don't believe there is cross examination of Mr. Orowitz; is that right?

MS. HERNANDEZ: That's my understanding as well.
MR. AMER: And there certainly will be cross examination of Mr. McCarty, who is our banking expert although, I will say his examination is going to be shorter than it otherwise would have been but for the summary judgment ruling, so we're obviously taking that into account in terms of what is now relevant for him to testify to.

MS. GREENFIELD: Assuming we don't finish all of these witnesses tomorrow, are they available to resume Friday since that morning is now available? You don't have to answer right now, but if you can find out.

MR. AMER: Yes. I mean, I think the idea was to have them finish and have Donald Trump, Jr. start when Mr. McCarty is finished.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. So it could be Donald Trump, Jr., would start later in the day on Wednesday?

MR. AMER: It could be.

THE COURT: Okay. That's it. Ten o'clock tomorrow. See you all.

Whereupon, the trial proceedings are adjourned to October 30, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.
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\hline Mouradian's (1) & 2771:24 & 2745:16 & obligated (2) & 2764:2;2774:9; \\
\hline 2854:23 & necessary (1) & note (8) & 2804:13;2840:1 & 2775:22 \\
\hline move (35) & 2794:6 & 2742:9;2745:2,2; & obligation (3) & officially (1) \\
\hline 2742:4;2747:3; & need (18) & 2750:13,16;2807:14; & 2804:12;2840:21,23 & 2817:17 \\
\hline 2748:7;2750:3;2762:3; & 2741:1;2751:15; & 2808:5;2816:13 & obligations (24) & off-the-record (1) \\
\hline 2764:4;2769:10; & 2758:14;2761:6,15; & notes (1) & 2740:23;2795:1 & 2743:4 \\
\hline 2770:6;2771:14; & 2769:5;2774:15; & 2847:22 & 2796:9;2797:7 & often (1) \\
\hline 2772:1,13;2773:1 & 2778:21;2779:4; & nothing's (1) & 2799:14;2800:8,19; & 2772:11 \\
\hline 2774:10,17;2778:1; & 2784:20;2799:25; & 2848:16 & 2804:11,18,21; & once (7) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 2788:19;2791:5; & optimistic (2) & 2790:9;2791:14; & 2801:19;2802:1; & 2817:9 \\
\hline 2793:5;2796:17; & 2836:5,6 & ;2796:24; & 2803:14;2804:16,23; & per (7) \\
\hline 2807:21;2847:7,8 & option (1) & 803:8;2806:20; & 2805:12,22;2809:3; & 2748:20;2763:5; \\
\hline one (31) & 2742:17 & 2808:7;2810:17 & 2814:20;2815:20; & 2779:12,14,15;2780:3, \\
\hline 2741:23;2755:18; & order (11) & 2828:16;2851:1 & 2816:17;2818:10; & 10 \\
\hline 2757:21,22;2758:14; & 2740:7;2778:18; & overrules (1) & \[
2821: 6,16 ; 2822: 3
\] & perceive (1) \\
\hline 2762:20;2767:25; & 2779:16;2785:9,20; & 2770:14 & 2831:15,19;2841:14, & 2769:4 \\
\hline 2768:3,16,17,18,23; & 2790:23;2800:1; & oversight (1) & 19;2844:19 & percent (17) \\
\hline 2776:7;2782:3;2785:5, & 2804:17;2834:5; & 2788:1 & Parks' (2) & 2749:24;2750:16,19, \\
\hline 12,18,19,21;2789:18; & 2836:25;2854:11 & owed ( & 2795:14;2832 & 21;2751:18;2752:11 \\
\hline 2790:2;2795:22,24; & Org (1) & 2847:1, & Part (23) & 19,21;2754:19;2795:1; \\
\hline 2802:16,16;2806:13; & 2807:9 & owned (1) & 2740:1;2751:3; & 2799:15,17;2819:5,9, \\
\hline 2817:7;2835:24; & Organization (15) & 2778:2 & 2771:5,13;2779:2 & 12,14;2820:15 \\
\hline 2839:24;2846:15; & 2762:8,13,22; & ownership (1) & 2782:21;2785:8; & percentage (1) \\
\hline 2847:19 & 2772:24;2775:8,13; & 2778:21 & 2789:23;2791:8; & 2819:17 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { one-size-fits-all (1) } \\
2821: 11
\end{gathered}
\] & 2776:22;2782:22;
2785:19-2787.5,13 & P & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2798:5,16;2799:21,21; } \\
& \text { 2800:4;2804:12; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { perfectly (1) } \\
2769 \cdot 1
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline one-time (1) & 2796:20;2798:5; & & \[
2820: 20 ; 2834:
\] & perform (6) \\
\hline 2826:4 & 2799:24;2851:11 & page & 2842:3,12;2843:20 & 2784:8,13;2798:21; \\
\hline ongoing (1) & original (1) & 2746:4,7,14,15 & 2848:12;2849:11; & 2822:18;2844:24; \\
\hline 2805:3 & 2834:22 & 2753:14;2773:5; & 2854:10 & 2845:2 \\
\hline only (20) & Orowitz (5) & 2774:4,7,7,7,8,8,20; & participated (1) & performance (1) \\
\hline 2740:4;2742:10,22; & 2744:19,22;2782:18; & 2776:12;2777:4; & 2781:23 & 2744:16 \\
\hline 2789:25;2807:7,10,17; & 2854:25;2855:8 & 2791:4;2792:3; & particular (6) & performed (3) \\
\hline 2812:3;2813:10,14,16, & others (6) & 2793:15;2794:7,14 & 2742:19;2768:16,17, & 2822:19;2827:12,22 \\
\hline \[
17,21 ; 2815: 1 ; 2817: 25
\] & 2740:10;2752:11,21; & \[
2797: 2,9 ; 2799: 6
\] & \[
17,18 ; 2770: 20
\] & performing (1) \\
\hline 2818:10;2837:5,19; & 2766:16;2767:1; & 2801:7;2803:24; & parties (1) & 2748:24 \\
\hline 2839:15;2846:12 & 2768:7 & 2804:4;2806:10; & 2821:22 & perhaps (3) \\
\hline open (9) & Otherwise (3) & 2807:6,7;2809:6; & party (4) & 2796:3;2807:1 \\
\hline 2741:4;2771:19,19; & 2742:22;2834:24; & 2810:23;2811:11; & 2757:19;2761:10,11; & 2809:1 \\
\hline \[
2788: 4,9 ; 2796: 6
\] & \[
2855: 13
\] & 2812:4;2813:11,15,17, & \[
2807: 15
\] & period (4) \\
\hline 2800:5;2853:21; & ourselves (1) & 18,21;2814:7;2815:1, & pass (2) & 2765:15;2788:23; \\
\hline 2854:20 & 2766:9 & 11,12;2818:16,20,21; & 2777:9;2796:1 & 2801:3;2850:9 \\
\hline opened (2) & out (15) & \[
2820: 6,11 ; 2821: 4
\] & passed (2) & permanent (2) \\
\hline 2827:3,18 & 2741:5;2742:15,23 & 2822:12;2823:17; & 2777:13,2 & 2778:11;2822:9 \\
\hline operate (2) & 2757:23;2758:21; & 2824:3,7;2831:4,5 & passing (1) & permitted (2) \\
\hline 2793:21;2794:12 & 2764:14;2767:12; & 2832:2;2841:11; & 2777:16 & 2740:4;2776:4 \\
\hline operated (1) & 2779:4;2780:19; & 2843:4,4;2846:11,12 & passive (1) & person (1) \\
\hline 2788:6 & 2803:18;2833:6; & pages (5) & 2809:1 & 2741:24 \\
\hline operating (7) & 2834:24;2841:2; & 2770:12,15;2803:12; & Patrick (1) & personal (9) \\
\hline 2749:23;2763:20; & 2844:5;2855:19 & 2807:19;2813:12 & \[
2756: 18
\] & 2799:13;2800:14,16, \\
\hline 2796:7,9;2819:6; & outlined (1) & paid (3) & pause (5) & 17;2803:20,21;2804:7; \\
\hline 2820:14;2844:1 & 2791:21 & 2826:6;2850:22 & 2741:25;2745:19; & 2806:14;2830:23 \\
\hline operation (5) & outside (1) & 2851:21 & 2751:13;2853:20; & personally (2) \\
\hline 2788:3;2793:19; & 2767:11 & pain (1) & 2854:19 & 2768:7;2800:12 \\
\hline 2794:2;2822:6,9 & outstanding (3) & 2742:4 & pay (4) & perspective (1) \\
\hline operations (3) & 2801:1,2;2848:17 & Palm (1) & 2826:19;2829:4,8; & 2740:25 \\
\hline 2787:14;2788:2; & outweigh (1) & 2778:1 & 2832:13 & pertinent (1) \\
\hline 2819:6 & 2779:18 & parag & payment & 2760:17 \\
\hline operator (13) & over (21) & 2763:5;2778:17; & 2799:14;2825:11; & petition (7) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2789: 13,18,19 ; \\
& 2790: 19: 2793: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2740:19;2747:13,19, } \\
& \text { 21;2751:15;2771:13 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2779: 10 ; 2799: 20 \\
& 2800: 11: 2808: 8.9
\end{aligned}
\] & 2826:4,8;2829:19
payments (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2774:21;2775:4,12, } \\
& \text { 15;2776:13,14;2782:7 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 2796:2;2799:23; & 2779:14;2782:17; & 2812:13;2814:6,13,13; & \[
2824: 25
\] & petitioner (1) \\
\hline 2800:7;2820:19,21,22, & 2783:10,10;2787:10; & 2815:6;2816:13; & pejorative (1) & 2776:17 \\
\hline 25;2821:6 & 2788:11;2790:22; & 2843:6;2846:17; & 2768:10 & petitions (1) \\
\hline opinion (1) & 2796:4;2815:10; & 2847:19 & pejoratives (2) & 2774:2 \\
\hline 2763:15 & 2827:1,8;2841:25; & Park (3) & 2768:12;2771:7 & PGA (1) \\
\hline opportunities (1) & 2847:10;2853:8; & 2790:12;2809:2; & Penn (1) & 2794:3 \\
\hline \[
2782: 11
\] & 2854:5 & 2822:10 & \[
2785: 17
\] & philosophically (1) \\
\hline opportunity (1) & overall (2) & Parks (31) & people (3) & 2742:16 \\
\hline 2787:19 & 2741:18;2818:23 & 2786:25;2787:3,8,9, & 2740:9;2771:18; & phone (8) \\
\hline opposed (1) & overruled (12) & 12,18,22;2793:17; & 2785:25 & 2751:5,10;2756:10 \\
\hline 2742:16 & 2767:23;2781:15; & 2797:24;2799:3,9; & people's (1) & 21,21;2757:1;2758:12; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 2759:1 & 2851:2;2854:11 & pregnant (1) & printout (1) & 2810:1,10,14,15 \\
\hline phones (2) & plus (1) & 2853:15 & 2753:9 & profile (1) \\
\hline 2740:3,4 & 2776:7 & prejudice (1) & prior (5) & 2750:15 \\
\hline photography (1) & podium (1) & 2851:14 & 2759:16;2762:4; & profit (3) \\
\hline 2740:5 & 2768:11 & premiums (2) & 64:20;2778:15; & 2783:8,21;2784:17 \\
\hline phrase (1) & Poer (6) & \[
2826: 15,20
\] & 831:8 & program (1) \\
\hline 2802:12 & 2762:5,18,21 & preparation (13) & priority (1) & 2787:19 \\
\hline phraseology (1) & 2765:3;2772:16,23 & 2744:13;2752:12,22; & 2790:17 & programming (2) \\
\hline 2760:20 & point (72) & \[
2758: 21 ; 2759: 5,7
\] & private (19) & 2787:17,18 \\
\hline pieces (1) & 2744:20;2769:22,22; & 2767:2;2768:7,13,20; & 2766:14,15,20 & programs (2) \\
\hline 2749:8 & 2772:5,7;2778:23; & 2781:23;2784:7,12 & 2767:6;2778:20 & 2787:12,15 \\
\hline place (7) & 2787:23;2788:3,16,17; & prepare (1) & 2779:11,24,24;2780:2, & progress (1) \\
\hline \[
2759: 18,19 ; 2760: 10
\] & 2790:12,14,25;2797:2; & 2766:16 & 2,10,12,20,22,23; & 2833:1 \\
\hline 24;2800:7;2805:23; & 2799:3;2800:2,10; & prepared (7) & 2781:25;2790:19; & project (2) \\
\hline 2848:6 & 2802:7;2803:15; & 2742:23;2744:1 & 2798:23;2801:11 & 2795:16;2841:5 \\
\hline placed (1) & 2804:9;2807:17; & 2745:3;2746:5; & privilege (1) & prolongs (1) \\
\hline 2796:5 & 2810:5;2813:15; & 2754:16;2777:24; & 2787:9 & 2770:19 \\
\hline plaintiff (0) & 2817:23,23;2818:2,4; & 2782:17 & Probably (4) & properties (4) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2740: 13,14 ; 2742: 6 \\
& 21: 2785: 10: 2786: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2820: 8,9 ; 2821: 1 ; \\
& 7820 \cdot 3 \text { 10. } 2823 \cdot 7
\end{aligned}
\] & preparing (4) 2744:20;2745: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2741:17;2760:19; } \\
& \text { 277).1.2877.18 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2762: 13 ; 2768: 15,15 ; \\
& 2779: 13
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Plaintiff's (48) & 14;2825:9,17;2827:5,9, & \[
2756: 3,5
\] & problem (1) & properties's (1) \\
\hline 2743:22;2745:25; & 13,19,22,25;2828:4,9, & present (4) & 2854:17 & 2744:15 \\
\hline 2747:4,7,17;2749:12; & 13;2829:3,4,22; & 2782:21;2783:22; & procedural (1) & property (45) \\
\hline 2750:7;2752:1;2753:2; & \[
2830: 21,24 ; 2831: 23
\] & \[
2784: 17,21
\] & 2839:22 & 2743:20;2747:20,23; \\
\hline 2754:17;2756:14,18; & 2832:23;2839:24; & presenting (1) & procedure (3) & 2748:7,16;2755:24; \\
\hline 2757:6;2762:15; & 2840:1,14,22;2843:6, & 2769:6 & 2791:20,22,2 & 2762:4,7,12;2763:16, \\
\hline 2763:3;2764:9; & 13,18;2844:13; & preserve (1) & procedures (3) & 23;2764:2,3;2766:1; \\
\hline 2765:13; & 2845:18,19;2846:23 &  & 789:9;2799: & 2768:16,17,18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 27^{\prime} \\
& 27^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
28
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ident } \\
& 778: 10
\end{aligned}
\] &  & :1, \\
\hline 2791:1,17;2793:3,14; & 22 & 2824:24;2825:15; & 2741:1;2742:23 & 2778:10,11,21;2779:2, \\
\hline 2797:1;2798:8;2803:4, & pointed (1) & 2826:3,14,22;2829:8, & 2743:15;2786:19; & 3,4,11,15;2782:1,6,7; \\
\hline 6,6,10,18;2806:7; & 2834:24 & 12,18,24;2830:8,18; & 2788:21 & 2798:23;2801:11; \\
\hline 2808:4,19;2810:22; & points (1) & 2831:8,14,18,22; & proceeding (1) & 2810:6;2817:4,23; \\
\hline 2812:1;2813:5,8,19; & 2748:9 & 2843:12,17;2844:15, & 2791:3 & 2840:10;2850:13 \\
\hline 2814:11,24,2815:14, & portion (7) & 23;2847:12 & proceedin & proposal (8) \\
\hline 24;2816:10;2854:2 & 2779:5,16;2780:18; & presiding (2) & 2741:25;2745:19 & 2741:22;2791:25; \\
\hline plan (1) & 2783:15;2822:14; & 2740:2;2834: & 2751:13;2785:7 & 2808:24;2809:12; \\
\hline 2837:13 & 2826:15;2842:14 & pre-solicitation (1) & 2833:13;2853:20; & 2810:4;2818:7;2819:3, \\
\hline planmed (1) & pose (1) & 2791:7 & 2854:9,19;2856:3 & 25 \\
\hline 2819:6 & 2742:11 & press (1) & process (19) & proposals (1) \\
\hline plans (1) & position (6) & 2740:5 & 2789:1,2,17,18,23 & 2789:14 \\
\hline 2835:1 & 2769:19;2799:12; & pretty (3) & 24;2797:21,23;2805:6; & proposed (3) \\
\hline play (9) & \[
2800: 11,14,16 ; 2849: 10
\] & 2810:1;2837:12; & \[
2817: 23 ; 2818: 5,8,12
\] & 2740:22,23;2764:2 \\
\hline 2751:8,15;2757:10; & possibility (3) & 2840:17 & 2819:3;2841:16,19,24; & proposes (1) \\
\hline 2758:5,8,11;2853:7,19; & 2778:9,15;2785:3 & previous (3) & 2842:2;2845:3 & 2818:23 \\
\hline 2854:18 & possible (3) & 2807:22,25;2815:17 & procurement (1) & prove (2) \\
\hline played (3) & 2821:6;2834:20; & previously (13) & 2789:8 & 2760:6,13 \\
\hline 2758:13;2853:21; & 2854:1 & 2747:6;2750:6 & produce (2) & proverbial (1) \\
\hline 2854:20 & possibly (1) & 2751:25;2756:3; & 2748:17;2749:24 & 2742:2 \\
\hline playing (1) & 2837:22 & 2762:7;2778:4; & produced (8) & provide (7) \\
\hline 2757:23 & potential (1) & 2791:16;2808:11; & 2753:10;2756:12; & 2789:13,19;2795 \\
\hline plaza (1) & 2779:18 & 2811:3;2813:7; & 2761:16;2785:19; & 2798:17;2821:6,14,15 \\
\hline 2750:14 & potentially (2) & 2814:10;2815:13; & 2807:8;2812:2; & Provided (4) \\
\hline Please (21) & 2770:19;2806:2 & 2816:9 & 2813:20;2814:25 & 2746:10;2774:3; \\
\hline 2740:3;2743:15; & practical (1) & price (2) & product (1) & 2799:9;2805:21 \\
\hline 2745:18;2746:6,16; & 2828:16 & \[
2780: 3,12
\] & 2749:6 & provides (1) \\
\hline 2752:8;2768:4; & practice (1) & primary (1) & production (2) & 2795:9 \\
\hline 2779:20;2785:9; & 2770:23 & 2740:16 & 2751:4;2756:10 & provision (1) \\
\hline 2786:15,19,22;2787:8; & precisely (3) & prime (1) & professional (1) & 2831:20 \\
\hline 2793:6;2796:16; & 2848:24;2849:9,10 & \[
2750: 14
\] & 2787:7 & proximity (1) \\
\hline 2798:12;2824:15; & Predominantly (1) & printing (1) & proffer (8) & 2750:14 \\
\hline 2834:5;2843:15; & 2839:3 & 2803:17 & 2809:16,19,24,25; & PSR (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2791:8;2793:9 } \\
& \text { public (9) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\(\mathbf{R}\)} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 2750: 10,19 ; 2751: 18 \\
& \text { reasons }(2) \\
& 2752: 19 ; 2834: 23 \\
& \text { recall }(43)
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2798:9;2799:20,21; } \\
& \text { 2800:13;2801:8,25; } \\
& \text { 2820:7,8;2821:23; } \\
& \text { 2842:1 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2840:16,18,18,19 } \\
& \text { regarding (3) }
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline \[
788: 4
\] & raining (1) & & & 2850:8 \\
\hline 89:13;2796:6; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 2767: 11 \\
& \text { raise (1) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { recall (43) } \\
& 2743: 7 ; 2744: 2,4,23 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & recommending (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2850:8 } \\
& \text { regardless (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 97:4;2799:10; & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 2745: 4 ; 2748: 6,10,13, \\
& 23 ; 2749: 5 ; 2750: 9,18
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2799:3;2801:19 reconsideration (1)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
2832: 15
\]} \\
\hline 09 & 2786:6 & & & \\
\hline publicly (1) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
raised (1) \\
2805:15
\end{tabular}} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 23;2749:5;2750:9,18, } \\
& 23,24 ; 2751: 20 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2770:15} & 2788:19 \\
\hline 2791:2 & & \[
2752: 10,17,20 ; 2753: 1
\] & & reimbursed (1) \\
\hline pull (5) & range (1) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 4,6 ; 2756: 5 ; 2759: 9 \\
& 2761: 6 ; 2762: 4 ; 2763: 8
\end{aligned}
\]} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { record (16) } \\
& 2743: 1 ; 2764: 5,11 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 2827:8 \\
\hline 2745:25; & \[
2822: 8
\] & & 2770:13;2771:8; & relate (1) \\
\hline 2832:2;2841:6;
2842:18 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ranking (1)
\[
2794: 25
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{2767:18,22;2772:25;} & 2774:14;2786:16,23; & \[
2810: 5
\] \\
\hline 2842:18
purely (1) & & & 2790:2;2817:15; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
2788: 2 ; 2810: 1 ;
\]} \\
\hline purely (1)
\(2844: 10\) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rate (11) } \\
& 2749: 24 ; 2750: 12,16,
\end{aligned}
\]} & 2776:13;2777:12,14; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2823:20;2842:9,10,14; } \\
& \text { 2853:11;2854:7 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \\
\hline 2844:10 & & 2778:23;2780:4; & & 2825:23;2833:10; \\
\hline 2 & & 2781:18,21,24; & recorded (4) & 2844:2,7,10,17;2847:7 \\
\hline 2757:7,14,18;2761:14; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2752: 11,19,21 ; \\
& 2754: 19 ; 2780: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & 2784:19;2827:15; & \[
2853: 2,2,21 ; 2854: 20
\] & \[
2749: 16 ; 2768: 16
\] \\
\hline 2805:2 & rates (3) & recall & 2740:5;2752:5; & 2799:20;2800:16; \\
\hline rp & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2788:13,13,14 } \\
& \text { rather (4) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 2831:20 & 2757:5;2758:13 & 2804:8;2826:25 \\
\hline 2757:19 & & receipts (1)
2799.16 & records (2) & released (1) \\
\hline 2807:17,20;2808 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rather (4) } \\
& \text { 2745:17;2749:20; }
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Laptops and cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now, be seated and come to order. \\
THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Last night, defendants filed a motion in liminae to preclude the plaintiff's expert, Michiel McCarty, from testifying. Defendants first assert, despite repeated rejection from this Court and the First Department, that disgorgement of profits are not available as a remedy in a "purely Executive Law Section 63 (12) case." \\
For reasons this Court has explained ad naseum, that is simply incorrect, and this Court will not belabor the point here. Disgorgement is clearly an available remedy under Executive Law 63 (12) case. \\
Defendants next assert that the expert testimony seeks to rely on facts not supported by the record, and that the expert will rely on cherry-picked facts from the Attorney General's office. For example, defendants assert that "the Attorney General certainly could have asked Mr. Haigh whether additional information would have, in fact, altered the loan approvals and/or the pricing. But now, after avoiding what is no doubt unfavorable testimony from
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\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
those directly responsible for approving the loans and determining the terms and pricing, she seeks to fill the factual void in her case with 'expert' testimony amounting to no more than speculation as to what 'might' have happened." \\
First, obviously plaintiff has free reign to build the record it believe supports its case, as defendants are free to do the same. To that end, defendants' argument that the Attorney General should have asked Mr. Haigh other questions is as a non-starter, as defendants were free to ask Mr. Haigh those questions on cross-examination. The plaintiff is not obligated to ask questions that inure to the defendants' benefit. \\
Second, contrary to defendant's assertion, the proffered expert testimony relies upon facts that are in the record, not mere speculation. Several witnesses have testified that they would have acted differently had they known that the Statements of Financial Condition were fraudulent. \\
Further, to the extent that the defendants wish to cross-examine Mr. McCarty about other facts that they believe are in the record, they may do so. Moreover, the proffered expert testimony is not subjective. It is based on four decades of banking expertise, an evaluation of Deutsche Bank's contemporaneous internal communications and
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 documents, and research into available public sources. If

2 the Court, as the trier of fact, does not find the expert testimony reliable or credible, it may choose to disregard it.

Furthermore, as plaintiff has pointed out, when employing the equitable remedy of disgorgement of profits, precision is not required. As the Second Circuit held in Sec. \& Exch. Comm'n v. Fowler, 6 F. 4th 255, 267 (2d Cir 2021), "In general, the amount of disgorgement ordered need only be a reasonable approximation of profits causally connected to the violation. If the disgorgement amount is generally reasonable, any risk of uncertainty about the amount falls on the wrongdoer whose illegal conduct created that uncertainty."

Finally, to the extent defendants assert that McCarty's expert testimony should be precluded because it is beyond the scope of the statute of limitations, as has been explained many times, the statute of limitations bars claims, not evidence. Where, as here, disgorgement of profits is an available remedy, the Court may, depending upon the circumstances, be permitted to look at any "ill-gotten gains" for the entire life of the loan, so long as the final damages award is appropriately prorated to include only such ill-gotten gains that occurred within the statute of limitations.

And this is all done this morning, by the way. There may be some meaty issues to look into, but this is my current understanding. The motion to preclude is denied and if defendants want to put their objections on the record, they may do so now.

MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge. I'll be brief, but I think I want to at least point out a few things that Your Honor mentioned. First of all, I think you know this, but I just want to make it clear on the record. The issue about disgorgement not being available was not our first argument. It is just simply for not to belabor the point and that's why it is in the footnote.

THE COURT: I appreciate that.
MR. KISE: The fundamental difference here, I guess the disconnect is there's no establishment in the record that the gains are ill-gotten. That's really the issue here. They haven't established that they're ill-gotten. They haven't established that something would have actually been different and that's a very different thing than the SEC case and the other cases they cite. Those cases are traditional fraud cases and materiality and reliance and all of that has been established and so that's what's not been established here.

The purpose of 63 (12) is essentially two-fold. It's one, to stop conduct that the Attorney General - give
the Attorney General the ability to stop conduct that she thinks may be harming the public. None of that conduct has actually harmed the public or not. That's why in the first instance, to stop the conduct, there doesn't have to be any demonstration that someone has actually been hurt yet.

So using our example, if the Attorney General thinks that someone is using a financial statement in a way that could theoretically harm someone, then she goes to court and says, "You're using a financial statement in a way that could theoretically harm someone." "There is a false or misleading statement, you know, something false or misleading contained in the financial statement. Something's in there and you shouldn't be doing that."

Now, as you know, we disagree with whether or not it is false or misleading, but setting that aside, that's one aspect of the statute. That's one reason it exists, is to stop someone, so the court can issue an order saying stop issuing those false and misleading financial statements. You defendants, stop doing that. That's a very separate issue, though, from the other piece which -- and again, I'm not belaboring this point, but restitution, which we think is allowable under the statute, disgorgement, accepting Your Honor's views at this point without waiving our position, but in order to get there, you have to now establish that something actually took place and the restitution in
disgorgement, you can't just assume theoretically that something is ill-gotten in the disgorgement context.

You can't assume in the restitution context that someone has lost money based on the conduct. You have to actually establish that and that's the part that the Attorney General is skipping over. This ill-gotten concept that their whole case is premised on, the fact that the defendants secured more favorable loan and insurance terms and then retained those terms with each statement, with each submission of the statement ongoing, but that premise assumes something that hasn't been proven.

That's the whole purpose of bringing Mr. McCarty in here. They are assuming that the defendants received something. They secured more favorable loan or insurance terms that they wouldn't have otherwise received. There's just no evidence in the record. And the point about Mr. Haigh's testimony is not to say, well, they could have asked this question or they could have asked that. The point is to demonstrate that Mr. Haigh's testimony is squarely counter to their position in the case.

Mr. Haigh never said that something would have actually been different. Mr. Haigh never said because they didn't ask him, no, these loans would not have been issued. So they have -- without that testimony, they haven't established that the loan wouldn't have been issued anyway.

1 And if the loan would have been issued anyway, there is no 2 ill-gotten gain. It's not possible to have. The bank's 3 role is to make the decision and as Mr. Haigh testified, 4 there's myriad factors. There's multiple, multiple factors. 5 So the bank's role is to make the decision.
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the banks determine the pricing for loans. That's what happens in the real world.

The Attorney General can postulate, anyone can postulate that something might be different; but unless the bank says it would have been different, meaning that they would have decided something differently, an expert can't come in and now introduce his or her own opinion as to what he or she might have done under the circumstances because there's no room for that sort of -- it renders the statute at that point limitless and I would say respectfully unconstitutional as vague.

There's no way -- there's no way parameters around what conduct it is that's required. So the Attorney General is not allowed at this phase to simply presume and have the Court presume that the Statements of Financial Condition here were relied on, that that was -- and that was material and that that reliance and that materiality then made a difference in the loans. Because again, there's nothing ill-gotten if they haven't proven that anything would have been any different.

That's not a counterfactual world. That's the real world. That's how -- that's how loan transactions works. That's how the capital markets work and what the Attorney General wants to do now is say forget about what the real world thinks, forget about what the bank actually decided on
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its own, forget about all this criteria. I mean, Mr. Haigh talked about both on direct, and I won't put it in here, it is in our papers, both on direct and on cross that the approvals terms and pricing were based on multiple factors, innumerable factors. They are all in the credit memos.

He also testified that the relevant approvals year after year after year were based on their own internal analysis. That's not testimony that the Attorney General is free to simply ignore. There has to be something in the record that establishes that something would have been different here because if you don't establish that there was real harm, any real world impact, you can't have an expert come in and say, "Okay. Well, now here is the document."

What the expert is testifying to is a penalty. The expert is now testifying as to a penalty. We think -- the AG says, "We think the conduct of using these financial statements was wrong. We don't care whether anything would have been different factually. We don't care whether the banks would have done something differently. We think it is wrong and here is what we think the ill-gotten gains are."

That's -- it's beyond counterintuitive to contend that what the bank actually thinks and what the bank actually did is irrelevant and immaterial to the analysis. So to allow this testimony and to allow this theory, frankly, to advance is to substitute a decade later,
post-closing of the loan transactions the Attorney General's opinion or her expert's opinion, in this case, for what the bank might or might not have done.

I just want to put on the record briefly the cases -- the case law on this is very straightforward and again, the case law that the Attorney General cites, the SEC cases and the one Your Honor cited, those are traditional -- that's where there has been an establishment that there was reliance and materiality and things would have, in fact, been different.

There, the issue is we can't get precise, but the Gathers case, 242 AD2d 506, a First Department case, reversed admission of expert testimony because the expert reached his conclusion by assuming material facts not supported by the evidence. That's exactly what Mr. McCarty is going to do here. He is going to assume that the gains are ill-gotten.

They're skipping over the part where they have to establish that the gains are ill-gotten, meaning that the loans would not have been issued in the first place or that the terms would have been different. There's nothing for him to testify on otherwise and an expert is not entitled to just flatly contradict the record evidence. They can't substitute their opinion for what actually is in the record.

The other case that I'll cite in addition to the

1 ones that are in there in the brief is the Ortiz case, 19 2 AD3d 239, another First Department case. There, the issue is whether or not an accident reconstructionist could come in and reconstruct the accident in a way different than the two eyewitnesses that actually testified to.

And so again, that's what McCarty is going to do here. He's going to come in and reconstruct the financial analysis irrespective of what the bank would have done, and that's just plainly impermissible because the gains are not ill-gotten until you prove that something would have been different. There's no basis for restitution or, as the Court is saying, disgorgement. There's no basis in the law.

THE COURT: Those are very different --
MR. KISE: I understand that, but both of them hinge on the same underlying premise, though. They are -- the proof is different, but they center around the same underlying premise that something happened that shouldn't have taken place in the first place, that there's already been proof that the gains were ill-gotten. There's already been proof that someone was deprived of something that they shouldn't have. There's already been proof in the case of restitution that someone paid something that they shouldn't have paid and they're entitled to get that money back.

Here, the Attorney General wants to skip over all
of that without any introduction in the record about whether or not the gains themselves are ill-gotten. So experts come in to analyze, evaluate, explain facts that are in the record. They don't substitute their own facts for what's in the record. They opine on the factual predicate as it exists in the case. They don't supply the factual predicate.

So here, what Mr. McCarty is doing, what the Attorney General wants Mr. McCarty to do and is asking this Court to accept, to substitute a factual predicate, to have the Court presume, which he's doing, that the gains are, in fact, ill-gotten before that's ever been established anywhere in the record. And so it's one thing for the Court to say as it has, again reserving our objection, that these statements are false, stop doing them, stop. I'm going to tell you not to do that in the future, and here is the things I'm going to do to keep you from doing it in the future.

All of that is step one, but before any dollars and cents can be computed under any theory, there has to be some showing that the gains were ill-gotten. The Attorney General is not simply allowed to substitute her own judgment for that of the bank and using an expert to do that doesn't make it any more proper than it would have in the abstract.

Then I'll make just a final comment on what Your
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Honor said at the end about the pre- versus post-statute of limitations period. To the extent that this Court is, in fact, going to pro rate, meaning look at the arguments that we've made --

THE COURT: Subject to some further research on this, of course.

MR. KISE: -- then we can be heard on that later, but yes, I mean, if you want to hear evidence as you've been doing. I mean we object to it, but that's a very different ruling than saying, okay, not only am I going to listen to it, but I'm actually going to come up with a number based on dollars and cents that took place prior to in this instance July 13, 2014.

Our position is, as it must be, that anything that happened with respect to the loan approval or the pricing and terms was set as of the date of the closing. So those subsequent submissions could not possibly have altered that. They would not have changed the pricing decision that was made in 2011, 2012, 2013. They wouldn't have changed those pricing decisions, so there's no -- there's no basis to then assume, again, that there's ill-gotten gain because the subsequent submission of the certification has nothing to do with the initial pricing. That's all wrapped up in the loan agreement.

So there isn't any monetary calculus to be made
with respect to the subsequent certifications. This is -- this goes back to our whole position as to why the subsequent certifications are not false statements in the first place. We're not going to go there today, but because there's no -- it is not connected to any activity, it is not connected to any claim, it is just out there in the abstract.

So for these reasons, Your Honor, most notably that there hasn't been any proof that anything is ill-gotten and that the Attorney General is not allowed to skip over that, we think the admission of Mr. McCarty's opinion is purely speculative and hypothetical. Thank you.

THE COURT: Question for Mr. Robert. Did your colleague start out by saying he would be brief?

MR. ROBERT: It is all relevant, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I would say relative to the Lincoln-Douglas debates of the 1850s in Illinois, which went on for four hours or something. They would talk for two hours and the other guy would talk for two hours.

MR. KISE: I think I kept it under seven pages.
Mr. Amer set the bar yesterday.
MR. AMER: I don't think you did. We clocked it at 15 minutes.

THE COURT: I'll be brief. First of all, I apologize for mispronouncing Haigh's name right. It was

1 Haigh, not Haigh. I think to a certain extent the
defendants are whistling past the graveyard here.
they -- they think that all of these financial statements, the people, the employees submit numbers, McConney puts together a spreadsheet. It goes -- it gets reviewed. It goes to Mazars to put together a compilation or they compile these data. They send it to the banks. Nobody relies on them. It's -- I'm sorry. It is a fantasy world.

These things, obviously, are done for reasons and we've had testimony that says, yeah, we looked at that, we relied on that. I don't know whether Haigh ever said we would have done things differently. Of course, defendants could have asked him that. The videotaped testimony we are listening to, she said, "I wish I had known what I know now."

I will leave it at that. I don't want to get into the weeds here. In the motion papers that were filed last night and in what Mr. Kise just said this morning said there were many factors. Nobody is saying, and I'm certainly not saying, that the financial statements were the only factor, but they are a factor. To me, that's -- again, without getting too deep into proximate cause whether the financial statements were "false and misleading," I'11 let my 35-page decision and order of September 26th stand on its own.

I think they were clearly false and misleading is a
little bit more subjective statement, but I think the statute covers false. I think -- I think there's also -- there may also be documentary evidence about the internal analysis by Deutsche Bank and maybe some of the other financial institutions about what their statements of finance conditions said. What were they looking at these things for without relying on them? So if the Attorney General wants to say something on the record, I stand by my decision; but for the record, do you agree with me or Mr. Kise?

MR. WALLACE: As to whether or not the defendants are whistling past the graveyard, 100 percent. There is a decision, Your Honor has highlighted it, saying that the statements are false and misleading, and that they were used in business to obtain these loans. I understand Mr. Kise's arguments. I expect to hear them again at closing. I expect to read them in his post-trial brief. I expect to see them if they challenge this decision to the First Department, but the question before us this morning is can an expert witness come in and tell you the difference between a commercial real estate loan price and a private wealth bank price. And the Court is free to say, "I think that's a fair measure of disgorgement" or "I'm going to find some other measure of disgorgement or "I don't think disgorgement is appropriate in this case," but this evidence
that's going to come in today, if we have enough time, is \(I\), think, admissible and it is up to the Court to accord it whatever weight it decides when it is reaching its final decision.

THE COURT: Okay. Back to Tuesday morning at the movies. Is that what we are?

MR. AMER: Yes, Your Honor. We will continue playing the video of Ms. Mouradian. I believe we have just under 50 minutes left to the video and then we'll move in some exhibits.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's do it.
(Whereupon, the video was played.)
(Continued on the next page.)

MR. AMER: Your Honor, we do have some exhibits to move in to evidence. First of all, because this wasn't being transcribed, we need to move into evidence the actual --

THE COURT: Video.
MR. AMER: -- designations of what we were just playing. So we've marked that as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3324 and ask that it be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3324 in evidence.)

MR. AMER: And then we have Deposition Exhibit 1, which we've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1540. We ask that that be admitted into evidence.

MS. HERNANDEZ: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 1540 in evidence.)

MR. AMER: Deposition Exhibit 2, that's Plaintiff's Exhibit 1548. We ask that that be admitted into evidence.

MS. HERNANDEZ: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\hline Proceedings \\
1 & received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1548 in \\
2 & evidence.) \\
3 & MR. AMER: Deposition Exhibit 3, we marked as \\
4 & Plaintiff's Exhibit 1552, and ask that be admitted into \\
5 & evidence. \\
6 & MS. HERNANDEZ: No objection. \\
7 & THE COURT: Granted. \\
8 & (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
9 & received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1552 in \\
10 & evidence.) \\
11 & MR. AMER: Deposition Exhibit 4, Plaintiff's \\
12 & Exhibit 2313, and we ask that that be admitted into \\
13 & evidence. \\
14 & MS. HERNANDEZ: No objection. \\
15 & THE COURT: Granted. \\
16 & (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
17 & received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 2313 in \\
18 & evidence.) \\
19 & MR. AMER: Exhibit 5, Deposition Exhibit 5 is \\
20 & Plaintiff's Exhibit 1542, and we ask that that be admitted \\
21 & into evidence. \\
22 & MS. HERNANDEZ: No objection. \\
23 & THE COURT: Granted. \\
24 & (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
25 & received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1542 in \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MR. AMER: There were two other deposition exhibits which were excerpts, one from the complaint and one from the deposition of Mr. Weisselberg. Those were read into the record, so they'll be in the exhibit we've admitted, which is Exhibit 3324.

THE COURT: Sounds right.
MS. HERNANDEZ: That's fine.
THE COURT: Okay. Granted.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 3324 in evidence.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: And, Your Honor, we would also like to move in the two defense exhibits that were used, so we would like to move into evidence D 969, which was Defense Exhibit A in the deposition.

MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number D 969 in evidence.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: And we'd like to move D 970, which was Defendant's Exhibit B.

MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\hline Proceedings \\
1 & evidence.) Page 2878 \\
2 & MR. AMER: Deposition Exhibit 6, Plaintiff's \\
3 & Exhibit 1561, we ask that that be admitted. \\
4 & MS. HERNANDEZ: No objection. \\
5 & THE COURT: Granted. \\
6 & (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
7 & received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 1561 in \\
8 & evidence.) \\
9 & MR. AMER: And Deposition Exhibit 7, Plaintiff's \\
10 & Exhibit 3014, we ask that be admitted into evidence. \\
11 & MS. HERNANDEZ: So that one we have an objection \\
12 & to, as sad as I am to break up that little routine we had \\
13 & going. \\
14 & To PX 3014 has a cover e-mail that was not shown to \\
15 & Ms. Mouradian, so I don't have an objection to the \\
16 & remainder of the exhibit coming in, but that cover e-mail \\
17 & was not part of the deposition. \\
18 & MR. AMER: We're fine if we put it in without the \\
19 & cover e-mail because it wasn't anything that she was asked \\
20 & about. \\
21 & THE COURT: Okay. Granted, but only as to or \\
22 & except as to the cover e-mail. \\
23 & (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
24 & received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3014 in \\
25 & evidence.)
\end{tabular}
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received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number D 970 in evidence.)

MR. AMER: That concludes the movie, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Is anybody hungry? Tired?
Okay, 15-minute break or ten-minute break over the next 15 minutes. See you in 15 minutes.
(Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Would the Plaintiff like to call the next witness?

MR. FINKELSTEIN: The People call K. Don Cornwell to the stand.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the witness.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that the testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
K. DON CORNWELL, called by and on behalf of the Plaintiff, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: Yes.
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
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1 & THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your \\
2 & name and either home or business address for the record. \\
3 & THE WITNESS: Don Cornwell. I live at 90 Furman \\
4 & Street, Brooklyn, New York, Apartment 1004. \\
5 & THE COURT: But before you do, to quote Groucho \\
6 & Marx, "A hot dog stand would clean up around here." \\
7 & Please proceed. \\
8 & DIRECT EXAMINATION \\
9 & BY MR. FINKELSTEIN: \\
10 & Q \(\quad\) Good morning, Mr. Cornwell. \\
11 & A \(\quad\) Morning. \\
12 & Q Can you please describe your educational background \\
13 & post high school? \\
14 & A \(\quad\) Sure. I attended Harvard College where I studied \\
15 & government, graduated from there 1992. Then, I went to Stanford \\
16 & for business school where I received an MBA. I graduated 1998. \\
17 & THE COURT: Please speak a little louder. You have \\
18 & to go right into the microphone like that and we'll be good. \\
19 & THE WITNESS: Is that better? \\
20 & THE COURT: Now we're in business. \\
21 & THE WITNESS: All right. \\
22 & Q \(\quad\) Can you provide a brief overview of your employment \\
23 & history post college? \\
24 & A \(\quad\) Sure. Post Harvard I worked at McKinsey Management \\
25 & Consulting Company for two years in New York. After that, I
\end{tabular}
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worked for two years at the National Football League, '94 to
'96. Post business school starting 1998 I was at Morgan Stanley
for 17 years until 2015. 2015, I left Morgan Stanley for a firm
called PJT Partners. I was there for eight years and for the
last year I've run a private equity firm called Dynasty Equity.
Q And what is PJT Partners?
A It's an investment bank.
\(Q\) And where is that based?
A New York City.
Q And what was your role at PJT Partners?
A I was a partner doing MNA work around sports and gaming.
Q And after that you went to Dynasty Equity. What is Dynasty Equity?
A Dynasty Equity is an investment firm focused on sports.
Q What is your role at Dynasty Equity?
A Cofounder and CEO.
Q Focusing your time at Morgan Stanley, what titles or positions did you hold there?

A I started there in the summer of 1997 as a summer associate while in business school. 1998, I was an associate eventually getting promoted to managing director in 2007.

From 2007-2015, I was a managing director and certain times towards the last five years I was the global head of sports investment banking.

Q And what were your duties and responsibilities as an associate at Morgan Stanley?
A Hard work. I executed transactions. So in any given deal, you have a deal team. For the most part the associate did a lot of the analytics, a lot of putting together presentations and the like.
Q And how, if at all, did your responsibilities change upon becoming managing director in and around 2007?
A I actually progressed through you start to lead teams and be responsible for revenue generation and getting new clients.
Q And do -- you also mentioned the title "head of global sports investment banking?"
A Yes.
Q Did your responsibilities change with that title?
A No, same thing. I was just the lead investment banker on all sports deals.
Q Did there come a time when Morgan Stanley was tapped to assist the Wilson estate in selling the Buffalo Bills?
A Yes.
Q Who are the Buffalo Bills?
A They are a franchise in the National Football League.
Q How did Morgan Stanley become involved in the Buffalo Bills transaction?
A So the estate of Ralph Wilson was looking to sell the
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team and as part of that process they needed to hire an investment bank. They invited several different firms to give a presentation about their credentials and Morgan Stanley was one of those participants.
Q What was Morgan Stanley's role in the transaction once it was picked by the Wilson estate?
A Yes, we led the transaction and assisted with the estate, the trustees, to help them find a buyer for the team.

MR. FINKELSTEIN: Id like to hand up PX 980 to the witness for identification.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A I do.
Q What do you recognize it to be?
A This is an e-mail -- well, it's an e-mail thread, but
the e-mail is from me to one of my colleagues discussing our first round bid letters in the Buffalo Bills process.
Q So you just referenced the bid letter. What do you mean by that?
A So this MNA process is one which is very common where we had two steps and what happens is you have interested buyers, people who indicated they'd like to learn more about the asset that you're selling. You've signed a non-disclosure agreement, you give them information, they provide you a bid, a first round bid based on information you've received. Then what happens is you review the bids and you take some or all of the folks who've
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\(1 \quad\) Q And this letter is addressed to you at Morgan Stanley?
2 A That is correct.
\(3 \quad Q \quad\) And what is the date of this letter?
4 A July 29, 2014.
\(5 \quad\) Q What was your understanding of the purpose of this letter?

A This letter was to indicate that the Trump Organization would like to buy the Buffalo Bills for \(\$ 1\) billion.

Q And turning to the second paragraph of the letter, the letter states: "I have a net worth in excess of \(\$ 8\) billion (financial statements to be provided upon request)."

Does -- was that representation considered by Morgan Stanley in the bidding process?
A It was, although we also received another letter that was very important. In our bid instructions we ask people to provide us proof of funds.
Q Did Morgan Stanley ever request financial statements from Donald Trump?
A Yes.
Q I would like to direct your attention to the third
paragraph on the bottom, the next page, page nine. It says, "Please feel free to call Rosemary Vrablic, managing director of Deutsche Bank's private wealth management; Mr. Allen Weisselberg, chief financial officer of the Trump Organization; or me directly if you have any questions about this bid."
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Do you ever recall speaking with Rosemary Vrablic regarding this bid?
A I don't recall.
Q Do you recall ever speaking with Allen Weisselberg regarding this bid?
A I don't recall.
Q Do you recall ever speaking with Donald J. Trump regarding this bid?
A Yes.
Q What do you recall about that?
A Not much.
Q So I would like to now turn to page 20 of the exhibit.
So you had mentioned earlier that there was a second letter. Does this appear to be that letter?
A Yes, it does.
Q What do you recognize this letter to be?
A This was a letter we got from Deutsche Bank indicating something about Donald Trump's net worth.
Q And was it your understanding that Deutsche Bank provided this letter to you at the behest of Donald Trump?
A Yes.
Q And in the third paragraph the letter reads:
"Our understanding is that Mr. Donald J. Trump has made or intends to make a bid for the Buffalo Bills in the amount of \(\$ 1\) billion in the aggregate. Based upon our preliminary review
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of the current financial information of Mr. Donald J. Trump made available to us, including liquidity and asset value, it is our assessment that Mr. Donald J. Trump would have the financial wherewithal to fund his bid."

Did you have any understanding of what financial information Mr. Trump made available to Deutsche Bank?

A I did not.
Q Was the representation I just read important to Morgan
Stanley in its role in managing the bidding process for the Buffalo Bills?
A Yes.
Q Why was it important?
A We asked for some proof of funds and at this stage of the process a letter from a credible, reputable bank sufficed.

MR. FINKELSTEIN: Your Honor, I would like to move to admit PX 980 into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Relevance and statute of limitations.

THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 980 in evidence.)

MR. FINKELSTEIN: If we can set that aside and move on to a new document? I'm handing to the witness through the court officer for identification PX 3274.
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline D. Cormwell - Plaintiff - direct (Finkelstein) & Page 2889 \\
1 & MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, in that last exhibit I saw \\
2 & there were a series of attachments in the PDF. Since it was \\
3 & just electronic, what's being moved in, just recovery e-mail \\
4 & and the one attachment referenced or all the attachments? \\
5 & MR. FINKELSTEIN: I believe the witness has \\
6 & foundation so that we can move in the whole thing. \\
7 & MR. ROBERT: Can we look at it and see what it is? \\
8 & Because they look like bids from other people. \\
9 & THE COURT: Those would probably not be relevant. \\
10 & MR. FINKELSTEIN: At a minimum we would like to \\
11 & move in the e-mail and both of the letters we just looked \\
12 & at. \\
13 & THE COURT: Those are granted -- that's granted. \\
14 & Those are in. \\
15 & Q \(\quad\) Do you recognize PX 3274 in front of you? \\
16 & A \(\quad\) I do. \\
17 & Q \(\quad\) What do you recognize it to be? \\
18 & A \(\quad\) Looks like an e-mail from Michael Cohen to my \\
19 & colleague, Alex Hill. \\
20 & Q \(\quad\) Do there appear to be additional messages in this \\
21 & thread? \\
22 & A \(\quad\) Yes. There is some back and forth between Alex and \\
23 & Michael. \\
24 & Q \(\quad\) So I'd like to direct your attention to page four. \\
25 & There is an e-mail from Michael Cohen to Alex Hill with you
\end{tabular}
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cc'd. Do you see that?
A Yes, yes.
Q And who is Alex hill?
A Alex was a colleague of mine, somebody -- a member of my team at Morgan Stanley.

Q The e-mail says: "Alex, Jason Greenblatt and I just dropped off a packet with Margay at your office for Don. Can you please ensure he receives it and advise me so I can confirm to Mr. Trump its receipt? Thank you."

What is your understanding of what Michael Cohen meant when he said he dropped off the packet for Don?

A The bid package.
Q And the bid -- what did the bid package contain?
A I know it contained a letter, the two letters that we
saw. I don't know if there is anything else.
Q So in the middle of page three, Alex Hill sends a
message to Michael Cohen and to you saying "regarding management presentation dates, would you all be able to do August 6th in Detroit?" What is a management presentation as described in this e-mail?
A Sure. So as I talked about in the second part of the MNA process as part of diligence we would provide potential 23 bidders an opportunity to meet with the management team.
24 Typically, what happens in those meetings is the management team
25 presents the story of the asset. So in this case it was the

1 team CEO talking about the future of the Buffalo Bills and why it's such a great asset.

Q Did the Trump Organization in fact receive a management presentation?

A They did.
Q Were you present?
A I was.
Q Who from the Trump Organization do you recall attending?

A I know Donald Trump was there. I don't recall who else. He had people with him, but I don't recall those people were.
Q What, if anything, do you recall being discussed at the management presentation?

A We talked about the Buffalo Bills and lots of topics.
We talked about the USFL, we talked about lots of things, but it was sort of a wide-ranging conversation.

Q Do you recall whether Mr. Trump provided any documents to you at the presentation?

A He gave us handouts of the Forbes list of top paid entertainers.

Q On page two of the e-mail Alex hill writes a message to Jason Greenblatt and Michael Cohen with you cc'd that says: "One near term NFL item we would like you all to fill out and have Mr. Trump sign is the attached Authorization and Consent to
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Release Records." What is the Authorization and Consent to Release Records?
A So in order to purchase an NFL team you have to go through a very thorough financial review with the league office. As we progressed through the process we want to make sure that bidders are getting the appropriate paperwork into the league so they can begin that process because it does take sometime so that Authorization and Consent to Release Records is something we need from each perspective bidder so therefore the NFL can go and start doing their diligence.
\(Q\) So at the very first message in this document is a message from Michael Cohen that says "after some internal deliberation, we feel it is premature to sign the consent to release forms until such time as we know that Mr. Trump is the final bidder." Do you recall learning in or about August 2014 that the Trump Organization was refusing to sign the consent to release records?
A I do.
Q Did Morgan Stanley ever receive signed consent to release records forms?
A We did not.
Q After the management presentation that we just discussed did the bidding process continue?

A It did.
25 Q What happened next?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline & A We continued having those meetings with other parties. \\
\hline 2 & Several parties took stadium tours. We then asked for final \\
\hline 3 & bids. I don't recall the exact date. We got those final bids \\
\hline & and we decided on the winning bidder. That winning bidder then \\
\hline 5 & went through the NFL approval process and became owners of the \\
\hline & am I believe in October that year. \\
\hline 7 & MR. FINKELSTEIN: Before we get to that really \\
\hline 8 & kly, Your Honor, I move to admit PX 3274 into evidence. \\
\hline 9 & THE COURT: Granted. \\
\hline 10 & MR. ROBERT: Objection. Relevance. Statute of \\
\hline 11 & limitations. \\
\hline 12 & THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in. \\
\hline 13 & (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 14 & received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3274 in \\
\hline 15 & evidence.) \\
\hline 16 & Q I'll now hand the witness for identification a document \\
\hline 17 & marked PX 3219. Do you recognize this document? \\
\hline 18 & A Ido. \\
\hline 19 & Q What do you recognize it to be? \\
\hline 20 & A This is a letter or an e-mail from Daniel Barzac, who \\
\hline & is a member of my team, to me discussing a draft of what we cal \\
\hline 22 & fairness materials. \\
\hline 23 & Q What are fairness materials? \\
\hline 24 & A So in certain circumstances an investment bank will \\
\hline & provide what's called a fairness opinions to -- mostly a board \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A We continued having those meetings with other parties. Several parties took stadium tours. We then asked for final bids. I don't recall the exact date. We got those final bids and we decided on the winning bidder. That winning bidder then went through the NFL approval process and became owners of the team I believe in October that year.

MR. FINKELSTEIN: Before we get to that really THE COURT: Granted.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Relevance. Statute of

THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in. (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3274 in evidence.)
Q I'll now hand the witness for identification a document marked PX 3219. Do you recognize this document?

Q What do you recognize it to be?
A This is a letter or an e-mail from Daniel Barzac, who
is a member of my team, to me discussing a draft of what we call airness materials.
Q What are fairness materials?
provide what's called a fairness opinions to -- mostly a board
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of directors, but in this case we were providing that fairness opinion to the trustees of Ralph Wilson's estate.
Q So on page five of this exhibit there is a slide titled "Evolution of Participants."
5 A That's a good title.
6 Q The slide states that nine parties gave initial
indications. Was Donald Trump one of those nine parties?
8 A He was.
\(9 \quad Q \quad\) The slide states that five parties received management presentations. Was Donald Trump one of those five parties?

A He was.
Q The slide states that six parties gave final offers.
Was Donald Trump one of those parties?
A Don't recall the nature of his final offer.
Q But he is?
A Listed, yes.
Q Is Donald Trump's name listed?
A Yes, it is.
Q Do you have any reason to dispute that Donald Trump provided a final --

A No.
Q So going back to page four, the slide is titled
"Summary of Key Transaction Terms." Do you see that?
A Ido.
25 Q Who is the purchaser of this bid on this page?

1 A Terry and Kim Pegula.
2 Q How much is the purchase price listed?
A \(\$ 1.4\) billion.
Q Did the Pegulas in fact purchase the Bills for \(\$ 1.4\) billion?

A Yes.
7 Q Does this -- do these attached fairness materials accurately summarize the terms of the transaction? A Yes.

MR. FINKELSTEIN: Your Honor, I move to admit PX 3219 into evidence.

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: What's the relevance of this? This particular page, let's say?

MR. FINKELSTEIN: So this page was just to summarize the final result of the transaction and the other page was to show that Donald Trump participated in all three stages of the bidding process, even though his bid did not turn out to be the final.

MR. FERIS: Your Honor, it's the defendants's position none of this is relevant to any cause of this case. We agree with Your Honor here. This is completely irrelevant.

THE COURT: I just asked the question. What's the relevance of the final bid? We know the successful bid.
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MR. FINKELSTEIN: I am offering it for clarification just to show the full story on the Bills' transaction. The amount of the bid is not necessarily relevant, just I was just trying to show that Donald Trump was not the ultimate buyer.

THE COURT: I'll let it in. There is no jury, doesn't really matter, but I understand you're completing the circle.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3219 in evidence.)

MR. FINKELSTEIN: That's all. And I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Any cross examination?
MR. FERIS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Counselor, I don't believe we ever met.
MR. FERIS: My name is Ivan Feris, Your Honor.
Can you hear me, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Loud and clear.
MR. FERRIS: Awesome. As I was saying, my name is Ivan Feris, and I'm here on behalf of several of the corporate defendants in this matter.

THE COURT: Welcome.
MR. FERRIS: Thank you.

Q And you as a member of Morgan Stanley and Morgan Stanley as a bank had to participate in what is known as a bake off for the opportunity, right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So this bake off, Morgan Stanley would gather a list of potential buyers and eventually make a pitch to the seller of the team, correct?

A No.
Q You told us here on your direct that you would gather potential buyers and that was Morgan Stanley's role, right?

A We did more than that, yes. We presented our credentials.

Q That was part of what you did, though, right, gather the list of buyers?

A Yes.
Q And other banks did the same thing?
A I don't know.
MR. FERIS: Can I pull up document D1001, please.
Q I'm showing you this document, Mr. Cornwell, to see if it refreshes your recollection. Right at the top, it says, "From Cornwell K. Don." That's you, right?
A Yes.
Q It says, "Three banks invited including us" in the second bullet point. Do you see that there?

A Yes.
And if it may please the Court, I would like to proceed.

THE COURT: Please do so.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. FERIS:
Q Hello, Mr. Cornwell.
A How are you?
Q As you heard, my name is Ivan Feris. I'm going to ask
you a series of yes-or-no questions and to the best of your ability, please try to answer them with yes or no, okay?

So, we just heard from you that President Trump was not the ultimate buyer of the Bills; correct?
A Yes.
Q I want to talk a little bit about how the process of selling a professional football team works.

You told us here in your direct examination that Morgan Stanley had to compete for a spot to sell the Bills?
A Yes.
Q And the process started somewhere in April of 2014 or
closer to that date; right?
A I don't exactly recall.
Transcript continues on the following page....

2 Q
Q You said that your "best guess is GS, JPM based on their experience and league relationships," right?

A Yes, that's what I wrote.
Q So other banks were involved in the bake off, right?
A Yes.
Q Correct. So -- and Morgan Stanley was ultimately the bank chosen out of the options?

A Yes.
9 Q And Morgan Stanley had an incentive to put a list together of suitable buyers, right?

A I don't understand the question.
Q You weren't just going to put anybody on that list?
A No, I wouldn't just put anybody on the list.
Q Morgan Stanley had to stand by that list, right?
A No, nothing binding about the list.
Q Nothing binding, but Morgan Stanley's name was on the list, right, that it submitted?

A We would not have been a buyer of the Buffalo Bills.
Q Can you repeat that?
A Morgan Stanley would not have been -- I don't
understand the question.
Q Morgan Stanley would submit the list of buyers on
behalf of Morgan Stanley trying to get chosen as the bank who would broker the sale, right?
A Yeah. The process wasn't one where we submitted a

\section*{F - CROSS(MR. FERIS)}

Page 2900
list.
Q You didn't submit a list? What --
A That's not how that works.
Q We'll come back to that later, sir.
In the list of buyers that you did put together, you placed Donald Trump, the President of the United States later, he later became the president on the list, right?
A Yes. He had publicly indicated he was interested in the team.

Q And the list was eventually submitted to the CFO of the Bills, right?
A Presented to.
Q Presented.
A To the trustees.
Q And it was also presented to the NFL eventually?
A It was discussed with the NFL.
Q And even before Morgan Stanley was chosen, you knew
President Trump was, right?
A Yes.
Q That's right. And his name came to your mind even
before Morgan Stanley was chosen as the bank that would broker the sale, right?
A He probably stated he was interested. He was Tweeting about it.
Q But in your mind, Donald Trump was not an option as a

doesn't hurt the process, correct?
    A I'm sorry. Are you quoting something? Where are you?
    Q At the top of page two, you said, "That being said, his
    strong show of interest doesn't hurt the process, which I think
    will be well-attended both north of the border and down into
    NYC"?
    A Yes.
    Q So President Trump being involved didn't hurt the
    process?
    A No.
        Q That means it helped the process, right?
        A Hard for me to know.
        Q You were the managing director at this time, right?
        A Yeah, but I can't tell you whether it helped or hurt.
        Q You told us that as a managing director, it was your
        responsibility to lead these type of transactions?
        A Yes.
        Q So you were involved, right? You had information
        relevant to this, correct? You thought that --
        A At this point, I'm now looking at the dates. At this
    point, we weren't hired to sell the team.
    Q Which was one conflict. "We are of three pitching for
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1 sell side assignment?"
2 A That's correct.
3 Q So at this time, there were conflicts with President
    Trump potentially?
    A President Trump had asked if we would represent --
    Q Sir, yes or no? My question is a yes-or-no question.
        Did you have a conflict with President Trump; yes or
11 A We hadn't been hired yet.
12 Q So it was a potential conflict?
13 A Potential. There you go.
14 Q Okay. And you said that you thought that wealthy hedge
    fund guys would take a hard look?
        A Yes.
17 Q So President Trump being involved attracted other
    bidders?
19 A I don't know.
20 Q You don't know? So you're telling me here that a
21 world-renowned person like President Trump showing interest in
22 the bills, you don't know if that would have attracted other
    bidders?
        A Many times, that can scare away other bidders.

\footnotetext{
Q What was that, sir?

Q What was that, sir?
}

A Many times, that can scare away other bidders.
Q But your incentive as the managing director at this
time before -- even before the sale was to attract as many bidders as possible, right?
A At this time, my incentive was to get hired.
Q And you would get hired. You would agree the more bidders you had, the more attractive the bank would be to the seller, right, more qualified bidders?
A No.
Q So you're saying if you had only one bidder on the list, you were more likely to get chosen?
A No.
Q No, right.
THE COURT: Please, one at a time, so the reporter can take this all down.

MR. FERIS: Sure, Your Honor.
Q And then the conversation continues with Mr. Holzschuh on the first page of this document and he said that "He probably does have the dough and he told me the bid was 1 billion, so at least he's in the right ballpark," correct?

A That's what the e-mail says.
Q But he told you that he was glad to pass on Donald Trump if that was the call, correct?

A Yes.
Q Or at least you could tell him that since you were
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baking off, you could hold off the president for a little bit, right?
3
4
5
6
7
8
A That's not what the e-mail says.
Q "Can certainly tell him we are baking off for sell side
and not free until we know the outcome." That's what he said, right?
A Correct.
Q And you told him that "I can get an unofficial view from the league office on Trump pretty easily if that's
helpful"?
A Yes.
Q So despite certain issues, Morgan Stanley didn't turn
away Trump's business outright, correct? I can rephrase my question.

A No. I don't understand the question.
Q You had the opportunity to pass on President Trump, right?
A Pass what?
Q Pass on him as a buyer. Is that not what this meant, "glad to pass if that's" --
A Representing him.
Q As a buyer?
A This would be us working for him. That's what this was about. This was us representing him.
Q You put President Trump on the ultimate list of buyers,
```

    right?
    A Yes.
    Q You didn't have to do that?
    A So in an estate sale --
    Q Yes or no, please, sir.
        THE COURT: It is a yes or no.
    A No.
    8 Q You didn't have to put him on the list?
    9 A No.
THE COURT: Hold on a second. I'll ask that the
witness be excused from the room for a minute and I can talk
to the attorneys.
(Witness exits the stand.)
THE COURT: Well, we spent I don't know how long,
but a significant period of time in which the questions seem
to elicit some sort of prejudice against President Trump or
against some sort of bait and switch. I don't know where we
are going with this. I don't know what this proves. Can I
get an offer of proof from anybody?
MR. KISE: It goes back to what we were talking
about this morning, which I think we need to be allowed to
make this record whether or not you actually agree with us.
They need to establish that there is materiality and
reliance. It's not enough that a piece of paper was handed
to someone that contained, in your view over our objection,
A So in an estate sale -
6 N No.
7 A No.

```

1 a false statement. That establishes only one piece of the case. That was the piece of the case that you decided on summary judgment. That doesn't establish anything about this part of the case.

The two are not connected necessarily and I respectfully disagree with your conclusion, apparently, that they are because if no one does anything with it, if no one relies on it, then it has no impact of any kind, no real world impact. Even if it is out there, even if it is inaccurate, it has no real impact.

So here with this witness, what we will establish is Trump was never a serious bidder in the first place. This guy's dancing all over the place. I'm not sure why, but they never considered him a serious bidder. They took him in to use his name to gin up the price. Sorry. To bid up the price. That's one of those --

THE COURT: It's a southern thing.
MR. KISE: Right. But that's what they were doing. They were using Trump to manipulate the purchase price thinking that he wasn't really a serious contender at all. And so the financial information that came in, so what, okay? Again, back to my point this morning. Whether that financial information contained something accurate or inaccurate is immaterial if the recipient of the information doesn't do anything with it. Just like with the bank. If

1 the bank doesn't actually -- you know. So any way, that's 2 really where we are going, to just establish --

THE COURT: There was no reliance on the statement.
MR. KISE: We think the whole thing is irrelevant because there's no claim or cause of action. We think the entirety of this is not correct so -- and I'll wait. You may have a question. I see that Ms. Greenfield passed you a note, so you may have a question.

THE COURT: She's asking me what I'm going to have for lunch.

MR. KISE: Okay. I can help you with that. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, would you like to respond?
MR. WALLACE: I was just going to say this obviously goes to use and business and repeated use of the false statements. Not every single use is going to generate some disgorgement amount or something else. That's why this is in. That's why it has been introduced. I think part of the reason I guess he's dancing around is I found the questions confusing myself, but obviously, that's the reason why the people are introducing this evidence and it is fairly limited. Thank you.

THE COURT: Well, how much longer do you think your cross-examination will be?

MR. FERIS: It really depends on how the witness

1 answers the questions, Your Honor, but not much longer. I
2 think I'll be done before lunch.
5 Mr. Kise's point about reliance, so let's get the witness
6 back.

7 Q Welcome back, Mr. Cornwell.
8 A Thank you.
\(9 \quad\) Q So before you left, we established that Morgan Stanley
10 didn't flat out turn away President Trump, right?
11 A For what?
12 Q As a buyer, potential buyer.
13 A No.
14 Q No, right. Had the chance to, but didn't, correct?
15 A Sure.
16 Q So let's talk about what Morgan Stanley did before the 17 potential buyer submitted bids.
18 A Yes.
19 Q Now, I'm no expert in the sale of an NFL team, but you
20 would agree with me that the bidding process is essentially a competition between the potential buyers, right?

A Yes, and this was an open process because of the estate sale, so we had to talk to all interested parties.

Q And the potential buyers would each submit a bid or series of bids, right?
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A That is correct.
2 Q And at this point, Morgan Stanley wouldn't simply turn away buyers if they thought that a bidder was qualified on basic information it had?

A We gave all people an opportunity to credentialize themselves.
Q And even if a bidder had potential problems, that would ultimately disqualify them in the beginning stages? You weren't turning away buyers, right?
A No.
Q And you told me that you would vet these buyers, you would give them a chance to qualify themselves?
A Credentialize themselves, yes.
Q Yes, credentialize. Generally, the more qualified suiters for an NFL team, the higher the ultimate selling price would be, right? That's what drives the competition?
A No. No.
Q So people don't bid against each other driving up the selling price? Is that what you're telling me?
A Sometimes.
Q And the higher the selling price, the more money that Morgan Stanley would earn on the deal, right?
A I don't recall.
Q You don't recall?
MR. FERIS: Can I, please, have the Morgan Stanley
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document up on the screen. I want to go here where it says "brokerage." Can you zoom in on that?
Q This is from the Morgan Stanley website. It says, "For brokerage activity, we offer transaction-based pricing in which you pay commissions, sales loads, markups, markdowns, or other fees for each transaction you and your financial advisor execute", correct?

MR. FINKELSTEIN: I would like to object to this document that has not been previously identified or otherwise provided to us.

MR. FERIS: Your Honor, he doesn't recall and I'm showing him something to refresh his recollection.

THE COURT: If it is just to refresh his
recollection, you can --
MR. GABER: Does the witness have to indicate what would refresh his -- it is withdrawn.
Q So Morgan Stanley earns commissions off the sales price, correct?
A That is not related to investment banking, this document.
Q This is not about Morgan Stanley's services?
A This is about brokerage services.
THE COURT: Hold on. I think first you have to ask does this refresh your recollection.
Q Does this refresh your recollection, sir?

A No.
MR. FERIS: We can take it down.
Q Part of your job on behalf of the estate was to maximize the selling price, right?

A Yes.
Q And the bidder might be included in that process simply because they drive up the value, correct?

A Restate the question, please.
Q A bidder might be included simply because they drive up the value, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you told me earlier that representatives of Morgan
Stanley met with the NFL to discuss potential buyers before bids were submitted, right?

A Yes.
MR. FERIS: Can we get 1003 up here on the screen.
Q This is an e-mail from Robert Kindler on which you're
cc'd, your e-mail is cc'd, right?
A To Robert Kindler.
Q In which you were cc'd from Alex Hill?
A Yes.
Q And you discussed President Trump at the meeting with the NFL, right?

A Yes.
Q And the NFL raised some concerns about President

CORNWELL - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. FERIS)
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1 Trump's liquidity, true, looking at the fourth bullet point 2 there.
3 A Yes.
\(4 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) They also question his ability to get votes?
5 A Yes.
6 Q The NFL wanted to look at certain management contracts
7 that President Trump was involved in, correct?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Despite all this, the NFL was not opposed to Donald
0 Trump submitting a bid, right?

23 A No.
24 Q And this meeting took place before any official offer 25 was made, right?

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Comwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2921 & D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2923 \\
\hline & A Yes. & 1 Q That he would have to? \\
\hline 2 & Q And it also put a script together of what it would tell & 2 A Not encourage, but he would have to. \\
\hline & potential buyers that submitted bids; right? & 3 Q You were telling him to win he needed to raise his bid? \\
\hline 4 & A Yes. & 4 A Yes. \\
\hline 5 & MR. FERIS: Can we pull up D 1004? & 5 Q And Pegula, the ultimate buyer, you, Morgan Stanley, \\
\hline 6 & Q This was sent to you, right, Mr. Cornwell? & 6 planned to tell him you bid enough to get into the next round, \\
\hline 7 & A Yes. & 7 but "at the top of your range you were not the highest bidder?" \\
\hline 8 & Q And it says "attached is the bid summary and script." & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 & Right? & 9 Q So you were planning to communicate to Pegula that he \\
\hline 10 & A Yes. & 10 needed to raise his bid -- that they needed to raise the bid to \\
\hline 11 & MR. FERIS: Your Honor, I would like to move this & 11 be ultimately successful; right? \\
\hline 12 & exhibit into evidence. & 12 A At the top end of his range he was not the highest \\
\hline 13 & THE COURT: Granted. It's in. & 13 bidder. That's what we said. \\
\hline 14 & (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is & 14 Q Which means that to be successful he would have needed \\
\hline 15 & received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number D 1004 in & 15 to raise his bid; right? \\
\hline 16 & evidence.) & 16 A No. \\
\hline 17 & MR. FERIS: If we could scroll down, please? & 17 Q So the person with the highest bid doesn't win? \\
\hline 18 & Let's go to page five. & 18 A Certainty of closing also matters. \\
\hline 19 & Q Do you see with where it says "Donald T. Trump?" I & 19 Q But one of the factors is whether the bid is -- \\
\hline 20 & believe you meant "J" there, right? & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline 21 & A Yes. & 21 Q -- high in value; correct? \\
\hline 22 & Q Yes, right. & 22 A Yes. \\
\hline 23 & A Yes. & 23 Q A higher bid would have been beneficial to Morgan \\
\hline 24 & Q So he submitted a bid for 1 billion? & 24 Stanley? \\
\hline 25 & A That's correct. & 25 A I don't understand the question. \\
\hline & Comwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2922 & D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2924 \\
\hline 1 & Q And it says that it was "subject to finalization and & 1 Q The higher the bid the higher the ultimate selling \\
\hline 2 & signing of all documents and approval by the NFL's member & 2 price; right? \\
\hline 3 & clubs?" & 3 A The higher the bid the higher the -- yes. \\
\hline 4 & A Yes. & 4 MR. FERIS: Let's pull up D 1011, please. Let's go \\
\hline 5 & Q So at this time nothing was final? & 5 to page two of the document. Let's actually start with page \\
\hline 6 & A Nothing was final. & 6 three. \\
\hline 7 & Q And then it said he had a stated net worth of in excess & 7 Q So at the top of page three you write an e-mail that \\
\hline 8 & of 8 billion? & 8 says "have you given any of the NFL forms to the league yet;" \\
\hline 9 & A Yes. & 9 correct? \\
\hline 10 & Q Correct. & 10 A Yes. \\
\hline 11 & MR. FERIS: And let's go down to the script that & 11 Q And then you said "we should discuss the process of \\
\hline 12 & you were going to speak about with the potential buyers. & 12 starting to get people vetted;" right? \\
\hline 13 & It's going to be on page 7. & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 & Q Morgan Stanley at the time had an intention to tell & 14 Q Then your e-mail is responded to saying "certain people \\
\hline 15 & President Trump that his bid was below several others in the & 15 had submitted the background forms; Pegula, the JBJ Group and \\
\hline 16 & process; right? & 16 the Kelly Group;" correct? \\
\hline 17 & A Yes. & 17 A Yes. \\
\hline 18 & Q But you plan to communicate to him that he could & 18 Q Now, if we turn to page two you write back, "okay, I'd \\
\hline & prevail during the diligence process if he could move up in & 19 like to get Trump in as well. We don't have the signature page; \\
\hline 20 & value? & 20 correct?" That's what you wrote, right? \\
\hline 21 & A Yes. & 21 A Yes. \\
\hline 22 & Q So Morgan Stanley was encouraging President Trump to & 22 Q So you wanted to get Trump's forms in; correct? \\
\hline 23 & raise his bid if he wanted to win; right? & 23 A Yes. \\
\hline 24 & A That he would have to raise his bid if he wanted to & 24 Q You wanted President Trump as a bidder? \\
\hline & win. & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Comwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2925 & D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2927 \\
\hline \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) You wanted to keep him around? & 1 active in the data room; right? \\
\hline 2 A Yes. & 2 A Yes. \\
\hline 3 Q Even though he hadn't signed the final consent forms; & 3 Q And the data room is where a potential buyer receive \\
\hline 4 right? & 4 all of their diligence materials; right? \\
\hline 5 A That's the signature page. That's what we're referring & 5 A That's correct. \\
\hline 6 to. I wanted him to sign it, to sign the consent form. & 6 Q When the bidder is not very active in the data room \\
\hline 7 Q The signature page of the background forms, right, you & 7 that means they're not really looking at those diligence \\
\hline 8 wanted him to? & 8 materials; right? \\
\hline 9 A The consent form. & 9 A Yes. \\
\hline 10 Q He hadn't signed it? & 10 Q And in your experience when a bidder is highly \\
\hline 11 A He had not signed that. & 11 interested in a purchase, they're active in data rooms; correct? \\
\hline 12 Q So you wanted him as a bidder even though he hadn't & 12 A Sometimes. \\
\hline 13 signed; right? & 13 Q They want to know where their money is going; correct? \\
\hline 14 A I wanted him to sign it. & 14 Usually? Can we get a verbal response, sir? \\
\hline 15 Q You told me right now that you wanted him as a bidder. & 15 A Sometimes. \\
\hline 16 That was your answer, right? & 16 Q And then you go on that despite him not being active in \\
\hline 17 A Yes. In order to be a bidder he needed to sign it. & 17 the data rooms, despite him not signing the background consent \\
\hline 18 Q Mr. Wayne Katz told you "as far as I know we don't have & 18 form on page one, you say "yes, still want to keep him around \\
\hline 19 President Trump's" -- it says "Trump's," but I'm adding the & 19 though." That's what you said; right? \\
\hline 20 "President Trump" as an honorarium, "background check & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline 21 authorization form and I'd be surprised if we ever get his & 21 Q You still wanted to keep Donald Trump around; right? \\
\hline 22 financials?" & 22 A Yes. \\
\hline 23 A What page are you on? & 23 Q And then you were told "understood, but that's why I \\
\hline 24 Q I'm on page two. & 24 think it's hard to get his forms;" right? \\
\hline 25 A Yes. & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline D. Comwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2926 & D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2928 \\
\hline 1 Q And you said "I'll talk" -- "we'll talk to him about & 1 Q When you said "Trump asked to be pre-vetted so that's \\
\hline 2 it. We'll talk to him on Monday about it. He has asked we & 2 what we're going to do from his perspective. Better to find out \\
\hline 3 pre-check him with the league and that's what we want to do." & 3 if he is a no go now in a private fashion;" right? \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 A Yes. \\
\hline 5 Q So President Trump hadn't signed the forms; right? & 5 Q So he hadn't signed the forms, but you were pre-vetting \\
\hline 6 A No. & 6 him; right? \\
\hline 7 Q But you were still pursuing him as a bidder; right? & 7 A No. \\
\hline 8 You were getting him pre-checked with the NFL? & 8 Q "I've got some pretty good intel he has the money;" \\
\hline 9 A That's signing the forms. & 9 right? Yes? \\
\hline 10 Q You said he has asked we pre-check him, so that's what & 10 A Yes, I wrote that. \\
\hline 11 we're going to do; right? & 11 Q You wrote that. \\
\hline 12 A Yes. & 12 So -- and you wrote "pre-vetting him." That's what it \\
\hline 13 Q So you were pre-checking him even though the forms were & 13 says. That's what we are doing; right? \\
\hline 14 not signed; right? & 14 A No. \\
\hline 15 A No. & 15 Q That's not what you wrote? \\
\hline 16 Q That's not what the e-mail says? & 16 A I wrote that, but not -- we -- not Morgan Stanley doing \\
\hline 17 A There is no pre-checking without the signed form. & 17 pre-vetting, no. \\
\hline 18 Q At this point you just told me that Trump hadn't signed & 18 Q Did you write that inaccurately when you wrote it, sir? \\
\hline 19 the forms, but you were pre-checking him. "So that's what we & 19 A I can't answer your question unless I can go outside \\
\hline 20 want to do." & 20 the yes/no construct. \\
\hline 21 A We want to pre-check him. & 21 MR. FERIS: Your Honor, at this time I would like \\
\hline 22 Q And then you were told thereafter, "I hear Trump hasn't & 22 to move D 1011 into evidence, sir. \\
\hline 23 been doing anything in the data room;" right? & 23 THE COURT: Okay. Five-minute warning and I \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & 24 hope -- \\
\hline 25 Q So you were on notice that President Trump was not & 25 MR. FERIS: I'm almost done, Your Honor. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Comwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2929 & D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2931 \\
\hline 1 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is & 1 Q You checked off with Mr. Holzschuh about a conversation \\
\hline 2 received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number D 1011 in & 2 we had with Trump; right? You told him "how did it go last \\
\hline 3 evidence.) & 3 week." \\
\hline 4 THE COURT: Will you be able to finish in five & 4 A Yes. \\
\hline 5 minutes? & 5 Q And he said he will stay in the process; right? \\
\hline 6 MR. FERIS: I'm going to try. & 6 A Yes. \\
\hline 7 THE COURT: I may limit you. I mean, I just have & 7 Q Followed by, "I encourage him to put his best offer \\
\hline 8 to say, Mr. Kise, you pointed out that the cross examination & 8 forward and let the process work;" correct? \\
\hline 9 was supposed to show there was a reliance because they & 9 A Yes. \\
\hline 10 weren't going to pick him anyway, but no other questions & 10 Q So you were encouraging -- you, being Morgan Stanley -- \\
\hline 11 seem to be they really did want him as a bidder. I don't & 11 President Trump to put his best offer forward; correct? \\
\hline 12 get where we're going with this. Am I the only one -- & 12 A Jeff Holzschuh did. \\
\hline 13 MR. FERIS: Your Honor, this goes to show Morgan & 13 Q On behalf of Morgan Stanley? \\
\hline 14 Stanley did want him as a bidder because it helped the & 14 A No. \\
\hline 15 process. The witness has testified that it -- & 15 Q You know President Trump is -- \\
\hline 16 MR. KISE: The witness is on the stand, Your Honor, & 16 MR. FERIS: We can take that down. \\
\hline 17 so I don't really want to discuss this in front of the & 17 Your Honor, I move this document D 1012 into \\
\hline 18 witness is all I'm saying. I just want to note again -- & 18 evidence. \\
\hline 19 THE COURT: You don't have to do it again. You & 19 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. \\
\hline 20 already did it. All right. Here's what we'll do: Ten & 20 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 21 minutes. I really hope that you finish within the ten & 21 received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number 1012 in \\
\hline 22 minutes. I'll ask the witness to answer quickly as best he & 22 evidence.) \\
\hline 23 can and I'll consider just stopping it at that point because & 23 Q You know that President Trump is a real estate \\
\hline 24 I don't see the point. & 24 developer; right? \\
\hline 25 MR. KISE: This never happens with the government, & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2930 & D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2932 \\
\hline never. You never put them on the clock. & 1 Q A successful one; correct? \\
\hline 2 THE COURT: Maybe they ask questions in a different & 2 A I don't know. \\
\hline 3 matter. & 3 Q You know he has an interest in several commercial \\
\hline 4 MR. KISE: They don't. They ask a lot of laborious & 4 properties in New York? \\
\hline 5 questions and they drag us through a lot of exhibits and & 5 A I don't know. \\
\hline 6 lots of things that take a lot of time. They're never & 6 Q Several golf properties around the world? \\
\hline 7 questioned about anything they do. I just want to put that & 7 A I don't know. \\
\hline 8 on the record because this is becoming a pattern, Your & 8 Q You were heading this deal and you don't know about \\
\hline 9 Honor. I'm sorry. Every time something takes a little bit & 9 Donald Trump's equity holdings? \\
\hline 10 longer with our side it's like you have to hurry up, you & 10 A I don't know whether he held equity or had a license -- \\
\hline 11 have to hurry up, you're on the clock. And, respectfully, & 11 he licensed his name. I don't know. \\
\hline 12 that's not fair to us. They spend all the time that they & 12 Q You would agree with me that you're aware of President \\
\hline 13 want and I just ask Your Honor to consider that. We're & 13 Trump's a world renowned brand name; right? \\
\hline 14 going to move as fast as we can with this witness. As I've & 14 A I don't know. \\
\hline 15 told you before, there is -- no one in this courtroom wants & 15 Q You're not familiar with the Trump brand? \\
\hline 16 to leave here and have this trial over sooner than me. & 16 A Yes. \\
\hline 17 THE COURT: Let's continue. & 17 Q So you do know about the world renowned brand? \\
\hline 18 Q Are you aware that Mr. Holzschuh -- you are aware that & 18 A I don't know. World renowned? I don't speak for the \\
\hline 19 Mr . Holzschuh encouraged President Trump to put his best offer & 19 world. \\
\hline 20 forward; right? & 20 Q You're aware there is value in that brand, sir? \\
\hline 21 A I'm sorry, where are you? & 21 A I don't know. \\
\hline 22 Q I'm not on the document anymore. I'm asking you about & 22 Q You are aware that excluding the brand value Mr. Trump \\
\hline 23 your knowledge? & 23 had a net worth of 5.7 billion in 2014? \\
\hline 24 A I don't recall. & 24 A I don't know \\
\hline 25 MR. FERIS: Can we pull up D 1012, please? & 25 MR. FERIS: Can we pull up on the screen what has \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2933 & D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2935 \\
\hline 1 been introduced into evidence as PX 730, please? & 1 right? \\
\hline 2 Q I'm going to represent to you this is Donald Trump's & 2 A According to what we just read. \\
\hline 3 Statement of Financial Condition that the New York Attorney & 3 Q That would be a yes, right, according to what we just \\
\hline 4 General moved into evidence. & 4 read? \\
\hline 5 If we can turn to page four, please. You see this says & 5 A Yes. \\
\hline 6 "assets" right here, sir? & 6 Q Are you aware that Trump's brand value is up to \\
\hline 7 A Yes. & 7 \$3 billion? \\
\hline 8 Q It lists "cash and marketable securities," right, on & 8 A No. \\
\hline 9 the first line? & 9 MR. FERIS: Can we pull up PX 431, please? \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 Q And I'll represent to you this is a brand -- a letter \\
\hline 11 Q "Escrow and reserve deposits and prepaid expenses?" & 11 from Predictiv, that the value -- that values President Trump's \\
\hline 12 A Yes. & 12 brand. \\
\hline 13 Q In the second line? & 13 MR. FERIS: If we can turn to the bottom of page \\
\hline 14 A Yes. & 14 two? \\
\hline 15 Q "Real and operating properties;" correct? & 15 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Objection. \\
\hline 16 A Yes. & 16 THE COURT: Go ahead. \\
\hline 17 Q If we skip down after all the properties are listed out & 17 MR. FINKELSTEIN: Hearsay. This exhibit is not in \\
\hline 18 it says "partnerships and joint ventures net of related debt;" & 18 evidence and this witness has no foundation. \\
\hline 19 correct? & 19 MR. FERIS: Your Honor, I haven't tried to move \\
\hline 20 A Yes. & 20 this exhibit into evidence. I'm just showing it into \\
\hline 21 Q Says "real estate licensing developments?" & 21 evidence. \\
\hline 22 A Yes. & 22 MS. HABBA: It's already into evidence. I brought \\
\hline 23 Q And "other assets?" & 23 it in through Michael Cohen. \\
\hline 24 A Yes & 24 THE COURT: I guess we'll accept that \\
\hline 25 Q It doesn't list "brand" on there anywhere; correct, on & 25 representation that it's already in evidence. Yes, no? \\
\hline D. Comwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2934 & D. Cornwell - Plaintiff - cross (Feris) Page 2936 \\
\hline 1 this page? & 1 MR. GABER: Was this introduced for the truth of \\
\hline 2 A I haven't read it in full, but I assume it does not. & 2 the matter asserted at the time or just for notice purposes? \\
\hline 3 Q You don't see it on there right now? & 3 THE COURT: Might just be notice. Anyone remember? \\
\hline 4 A I don't see it. & 4 MS. HABBA: I mean, I can look back, Your Honor. I \\
\hline 5 MR. FERIS: We can turn to the next page. & 5 believe we brought it in for the truth of the matter \\
\hline 6 Q Now, his net worth there is listed as 5.7 just to round & 6 asserted, but it was solely -- I will tell you the part I \\
\hline 7 or for time consistency as his net worth; correct? & 7 brought in was the last sentence where it said the value \\
\hline 8 A Yes. & 8 only. \\
\hline \(9 \quad\) Q And, again, we just went through that it's free of any & 9 MR. WALLACE: We're happy to just have our \\
\hline 10 brand value; right? & 10 objection noted and keep it moving. \\
\hline 11 MR. FERIS: If we turn to the next page? & 11 MS. HABBA: Yes, we can keep it moving. \\
\hline 12 Q In the bottom paragraph at the top it says "pursuant to & 12 THE COURT: I don't know what this witness has to \\
\hline 13 GAAP, this financial statement does not reflect the value of & 13 do with this, but okay. Go ahead. Objection overruled \\
\hline 14 Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation; however, the brand value & 14 without prejudice. \\
\hline 15 has afforded Mr. Trump the opportunity to participate in & 15 Q Do you see where it says: Based on the information \\
\hline 16 licensing deals around the globe as reflected on the balance & 16 provided it was concluded that the value of the Trump brand was \\
\hline 17 sheet therein." Do you see that? & 17 in the 2.8 to \$3 billion range?" \\
\hline 18 A I do. & 18 A I do see that. \\
\hline 19 Q And then the final sentence of this says "the goodwill & 19 Q You would agree with me that 5.7 plus 3 billion is \\
\hline 20 attached to the Trump name has significant financial value that & 208.7 billion? \\
\hline 21 has not been reflected in the preparation of this financial & 21 A Yes. \\
\hline 22 statement." Do you see that? & 22 Q And that's in excess of 8 billion? \\
\hline 23 A Ido. & 23 A Yes. \\
\hline 24 Q So this financial statement with a 5.7 billion net & 24 MR. FERIS: Your Honor, will the Court take \\
\hline 25 worth does not include brand, according to what we just read; & 25 judicial notice that 5.7 plus three billion is 8.7 billion? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1

THE COURT: I hereby take judicial notice of it.
Q Just to remove all room for doubt, Trump didn't actually buy the Bills; correct?
A He did not.
MR. FERIS: If I could have one moment to confer with Counsel?

THE COURT: Sure, go ahead.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
MR. FERIS: No further questions, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Will there be any redirect?
MR. FINKELSTEIN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's that. The witness is excused, and I'll see you all at 2:15.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor?
THE COURT: Wait, wait. Don't go away.
MS. HABBA: I just have a housekeeping matter.
THE COURT: Well, the witness is excused.
What is the housekeeping matter?
MS. HABBA: Thank you, Your Honor. My far smarter associates have corrected some exhibit numbers that were in the record wrong when I cross examined Michael Cohen, so I just wanted to clean it up and make a record. I showed them. If I could just put that on the record quickly?

THE COURT: How long about will it take? MS. HABBA: Two seconds.

\section*{AFTERNOON SESSION}

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: I know you're ready to call your next witness because the guy is standing there walking around like that. Would you like to call your next witness?

MR. HAREN: Yes, we would. The people call David Orowitz. I understand Mr. Wallace has one matter to place on the record before we begin.

MR. WALLACE: Cleaning up from the last examination, there was a question as to whether Plaintiff's Exhibit 431 had been entered into evidence. This was the -THE COURT: Right.
MR. WALLACE: Valuation. It was sought to be introduced during Mr. Cohen's testimony. The objection was sustained. I don't know that it needs to change anything else on the record, but since I just wanted it to be reflected, that that's where we understood it came out.

THE COURT: Okay. So we all agree it is not in evidence. Thank you.

\author{
MR. HAREN: We call David Orowitz. \\ THE COURT: Can we get Mr. Orowitz. \\ THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
}

Record page 2356, exhibit on the record was D 995. What the record should reflect is D 955.

Record 2361, this is not really relevant. D 958-3 is on the record. It should just be D 958, no -3.

2364 record page. PX 341 is the exhibit on the record. It should be D 984.

And the last one is record page 2400. Exhibit on the record is PX 987. It should reflect D 988.

I'm -- I will give this to the Attorney General, as
well, so if they have any objection they can put it on.
THE COURT: Any objections you can raise later.
MS. HABBA: Thank you.
THE COURT: For the time being we'll assume that's all correct. All right. Have a good lunch, everyone.
(Whereupon, there is a luncheon recess in the proceedings.)

Transcript continues on the following page....
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DAV ID OROWITZ, a witness called by the People, after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, took the witness stand and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: State your full name and your business or home address on the record.

THE WITNESS: David Orowitz.
THE COURT: You are going to have to talk a lot closer to the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Keep your voice up, of course.
THE WITNESS: David Orowitz. 600 West 111th, New York, New York.

THE COURT: Please proceed with the questioning.
MR. HAREN: Thank you, Your Honor.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. HAREN:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Orowitz. My name is Eric Haren. I am an attorney with the Attorney General's office. Thank you for being here.

Can you, please, describe your educational background after high school?

A I went to Hamilton College for undergraduate and I went to the Wharton School at University of Pennsylvania for my M.B.A.

Q Do you hold any professional licenses?
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\section*{A No}

Q Do you have any professional certifications?
A No.
Q Can you, please, describe your employment history after college?
6 A After undergraduate, I worked at Kaiser Associates which was a strategy consulting firm. Then I went for my M.B.A. for two years, then went to the Trump Organization for eight years, and then after that and since then, I've worked at L\&L Holding.
Q At what? Sorry. I didn't hear that.
A L\&L Holding.
Q Thank you. Can you describe just in general terms your history of working for the Trump Organization?
A I joined as a summer intern in between my two years of my M.B.A. and then I joined full time at the end of the summer after I graduated from business school.

Q And approximately what year was that?
A 2008.
Q So you joined as a full-time employee of the Trump Organization in 2008?
A Correct.
Q Okay. And who offered you the full-time position at the Trump Organization?
A Donald J. Trump, Jr.
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\(1 \quad Q\) Could you walk us through your titles at the Trump Organization from 2008 until when you left?
A When I joined, I was VP of acquisition and development and when I left, I was SVP, senior vice-president of acquisitions and development.
Q There came a time when you were promoted from vice-president to senior vice-president?
A Yes.
Q Who offered you that promotion?
A It was the Trump - the Trump kids. I don't remember specifically who.

Q So by kids, who are you referring to?
A Sorry. Donald Trump, Jr., Ivanka Trump and Eric Trump.
Q Thank you. Who did you report to when you worked for the Trump Organization?
A I reported to Donald Trump, Jr. and Ivanka Trump and Eric Trump.
Q And was that reporting structure the same throughout your tenure at the Trump Organization?

A Yes.
Q Approximately when did you leave your employment at the Trump Organization?
A I left in October of 2016.
Q Is it correct that you frequently met or spoke with
Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump and Ivanka Trump during your
employment at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q Speaking generally, what projects did you work on with Ivanka Trump?
A I worked on the Old Post Office, the acquisition and financing of Doral, the refinancing of Trump Chicago, and several brand licensing deals around the world.

Q And same question for Eric Trump.
A Eric Trump, I worked on and off with him, but I would
say one of the things I worked with him on was the retail at
Trump Chicago and I'm sure a number of other things while I was there.
Q And same question for Donald Trump, Jr.
A With Donald Trump, Jr., I worked on some of the leasing at the Old Post Office and some of the Trump India brand licensing deals.
Q Who do you understand to have worked in the accounting
department while you worked at the Trump Organization?
A Allen Weisselberg, Jeffrey McConney, Donna Kidder, I believe Patrick Birney.
Q And in terms of the physical layout of the office, where was the accounting department located?
A The accounting department was on the 18th floor.
Q Where was Mr. Weisselberg's office located?
A On the 18th floor.

Q And where was your office?
A On the 17th floor.
Q Thank you.
Do you recall any instance in which you were asked by
Allen Weisselberg for valuation information in connection with a conversation with Forbes Magazine?
A Yes.
Q What do you recall about that?
A I recall that there were conversations going on with Forbes about Mr. Trump's net worth in relation to the rankings of the world's richest people and that he had asked me to have a conversation with Forbes about one or maybe more assets as to kind of what the value of those assets might be.

Q In connection with those conversations, did you have an understanding of Mr. Weisselberg's objective?
A Yes.
Q What was your understanding?
A To persuade Forbes that some of the assets were worth more than what they originally were discussing valuing them at.

Q Did you have any other understanding about Mr. Weisselberg's objective?

A Could you clarify the question, please?
Q Sure. Did you have an understanding about Mr.
Weisselberg's objective in connection with Mr. Trump's net worth?

1 A That his goal was for it to be represented higher on the listing.
Q Thank you. During your time at the Trump Organization, did you ever have an understanding about a report on Mr. Trump's net worth?
A Could you clarify what kind of report you mean?
Q A financial statement about Mr. Trump's net worth.
A Yes.
Q How did you gain that understanding?
A There was a transaction that I was working on where he needed to provide a statement to his net worth.

Q Which transaction was that?
A It may have been more than one, but I know that it was in relation to the Old Post Office.

Q When you say "in relation to the Old Post Office, can you be more specific about what you mean?

A It was in relation to when we put the RFP response together in reaction to the original request for proposals. We had to demonstrate financial wherewithal and his statement was needed for that purpose.
Q Thank you.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, a continuing objection to
this line of questioning. The RFP was well outside the statute of limitations.

THE COURT: Duly noted. Usual ruling.
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\(1 \quad\) Q Mr. Orowitz, I would like to change topics to the Doral transaction.

Do you have a general recollection of when the Trump Organization purchased the Doral property and obtained associated financing?

A Yes, it was generally in the early 2010 s.
Q What was your role in that process?
A I was involved with putting together the underwriting.
I was involved with the 1031 auction or that may not be what it
is called, the bankruptcy auction because we were buying another bankruptcy estate and I was involved in the financing.

MR. HAREN: I'd like to have marked for
identification PX 3243. I would like to pull it up on the screen.
Q Mr. Orowitz, the document on the screen, as you will see on the first page, begins with an e-mail from Ronnie Levine to Ivanka Trump and in the e-mail address, it states that Ronnie Levine is from meridiancapital.com and the e-mail is forwarded to you. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Can you describe the role of Meridian Capital in connection with the Doral transaction?
A My recollection is that they were helping to find potential lenders.

Q Helping meaning they were - were they engaged by the

Trump Organization to do that work?
A I'm not sure if they were formally engaged
contractually, but they were definitely engaged in practice.
Q They were assisting the Trump Organization in identifying potential financing?
A Yes.
Q And focusing in the e-mail from Ronnie Levine in the middle of the page, do you see where it states, "heard back from
Blackstone a little while ago and they are a pass on the deal"?
A Yes.
Q What does that indicate to you?
A It indicates that Blackstone was not interested in providing a loan for the acquisition of Doral.
Q And there's a reference in the second paragraph to getting information to someplace called Inbursa. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of what that refers to?
A I believe this was another financial institution that was a potential lender for Doral.
Q And there are other references here to Macquaire, Criterion, Colony, Baupost and HSBC.

It's your understanding that those are potential sources of financing?
A Yes. I don't recall at the time all of them being
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involved, but yes, based on this e-mail, that appears to be the case.
Q There is a question in the e-mail on the first page that reads, "Have you received any feedback from DB."

What do you understand that to be a reference to?
A I would presume this was asking whether Meridian heard back from DB about their interest in the loan.

Q DB, is that Deutsche Bank?
A Yes.
Q Moving to the top of the page, there is a response from Ivanka Trump that reads, "I actually spoke to Todd Harrison at Colony today (I know Todd through Jared as well). We are partners on another deal together. He said that we wouldn't do a deal with them on the debt side as they are too expensive for what we'd be looking for (low teens)."

What do you understand "low teens" to refer to in the context of this e-mail?
A I would assume it refers to the interest rate.
MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I move this exhibit into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, continuing objection on statute of limitations and objection to this whole line of questioning.

THE COURT: Overruled, but I will consider it a continuing objection.
be sized to a ten percent debt yield on the in-place cash flow. Even that would be a stretch for them."

Do you have an understanding of those sentences?
A Yes.
Q What's your understanding?
A Debt yield is the percentage of the assets or the debt that proceeds, so it is the income divided by the debt. So this is basically saying that they would only lend ten times whatever the current cash flow or income was of the asset.

Q Continuing that same e-mail, there's the next paragraph reads, "I spoke with Loancore early last week. My brother-in-law works at Jefferies and is on the JLC credit committee. This transaction is too large for them and they are generally not looking to do deals with large renovation components."

What's your understanding of that information?
A My interpretation of it is that they had reached out to Loancore and Loancore wasn't able to do loans this large or loans on assets that required investment in improving them.

Q What is Loancore?
A I'm not familiar with Loancore.
Q Do you have an understanding what Loancore is?
A -- I believe they are a real estate lender.
MR. HAREN: I move Exhibit P X 3244 in evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Objection; statute of limitations.

THE COURT: I think we've been over this many times. You still feel that you need to object every time even though it is a continued objection because it is a document, not testimony?

MR. ROBERT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: I'm learning. All right. Do what you feel you have to do. Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3244.)

MR. HAREN: I've handed or the witness has been handed plaintiffs Exhibit 3247. That document is also up on the screen.
Q Mr. Orowitz, do you recognize, if you could take a moment to look through it, the e-mail and the attachment that follows.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A I don't recognize it specifically, no.
Q Do you see there at the bottom of the first page is an e-mail from Ivanka Trump to Enrique E. Morelos Zaragoza on November 28, 2011?

A Yes.
Q And the e-mail is then forwarded to you?
A Yes.
Q And the e-mail from Ms. Trump refers to "Inbursa's term sheet" at the beginning of the first paragraph. Do you see
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that?
A Yes.
Q And then you can see at the top of the first page,
there's an attachment entitled "inbursatermsheet.docx"?
A Yes.
Q If you could look then to page -- beginning on page
three, do you see a document that appears to be a term sheet
from Inbursa Grupo Financiero?
A Yes.
Q It refers to Donald Trump as the sponsor?
A Yes.
Q And it refers to the sponsor as the guarantor? A Yes.

MR. HAREN: I move Plaintiff's Exhibit 3247 into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations and relevance. THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3247.)
Q Mr. Orowitz, we're going to move to some information from another potential lender. We're looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3231.

MR. HAREN: Could we pull down -- I have the wrong document in front of me, so let me try to fix that. One

\section*{moment, Your Honor.}

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I apologize for interrupting Mr. Haren. I want to note for the record that there appears to be no attorney right now for Donald Trump, for Mr. Weisselberg or for Mr. McConney and I just want to know if the defendants have made some arrangements for this absence, but I don't believe either Mr. Robert or Mr. Kise represent those individuals.

MR. KISE: I certainly represent Donald Trump whether it says so on the docket or not. I mean, that's been obvious since the beginning, that I'm the lead counsel and if you want to ask him next time he's here, you can ask him, but there's no question as to that. I think Mr. Gabra is here. He may be in the back watching, but I think -- I mean in the video room watching, but I'll confirm that.

For Mr. Weisselberg and McConney, I clearly do not represent Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney, but I can check on that momentarily. We can continue the proceeding and I can -- if you'll allow me to go check, I could go do that.

THE COURT: Of course.
MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
MR. HAREN: You could put the document you've been handed to the side. We will move to a different one and see if we can correct that issue.
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MR. KISE: He is now here, Your Honor. I appreciate the concern of the government for representation. MR. WALLACE: We want to keep the record clean. Q So Mr. Orowitz, you have in front of you Plaintiff's Exhibit 3232. You see on the top of the first page, there's an e-mail from someone named Steve Harvey to you and Ivanka Trump copying Jacob Turner and some other people and the e-mail signature states that Steven L. Harvey is an executive vice-president at CSG Investment, Inc.

Do you have an understanding as to who Mr. Harvey is in the context of this e-mail?

A I don't have a recollection of it, no.
Q Are you familiar generally with Beal Bank and CSG Investments?

A Yes.
Q What is your understanding of those entities?
A They're affiliated entities that are both owned or partially owned by Andy Beal.
Q And then are they potential sources of financing?
A Yes.
Q So the e-mail states, "Attached is a redraft of the
term sheet based on our conversation today. If you find this acceptable, the next step for us will be a loan application, which I am working on right now."

And you can see that there is an attachment entitled,
D. OROWITZ - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. HAREN)
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1 "Doral term sheet 111114 "which I assume is November 11, 2014 -. November 14, 2011.

Is it fair to say that this document represents a
transmittal of a term sheet with Beal Bank or CSG Investments regarding the Doral property?
A Yes.
Q If we could take a look at the attachment. Do you see that this document appears to be such a term sheet?

A Yes.
Q It contains an interest rate term of LIBOR plus 5.5 percent?

A Yes.
Q And do you see that it provides a floor rate of two percent?

A Yes.
Q And there's a default interest rate of five percent above the interest rate?
A Yes.
Q And on page three, there are a series of additional fees including an upfront fee, a breakage fee. Do you see those?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I move Plaintiff's Exhibit 3232 into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and

Page 2956
1 hearsay and relevance.
MR. HAREN: Your Honor, this is a term sheet for a potential loan received by Mr. Orowitz and Ivanka Trump at the Trump Organization. They are right there in the "to" line in the e-mail.

MR. ROBERT: It is not prepared by the witness. It is prepared someone. It is an out-of-court statement and it was produced by whoever Beal is. I don't know who that is.

MR. HAREN: It is a bank that offered terms to the Trump Organization for a potential loan and I'm introducing it for notice for the terms that were offered.

MR. ROBERT: Notice of what to whom? Notice that there was a term sheet, but not any of the contents of the term sheet including the amount or the interest rate, correct?

MR. HAREN: The term sheet is contained in the document beginning at page two, portions of which I read to the witness and that do specify the interest rate, loan term, loan amount and other terms.

THE COURT: It's in simply for the notice of what's in it, not for the truth of the statements asserted.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3232.)

MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I have another exhibit, but before I show it to the witness, it's my understanding that

1 it is still subject to a confidentiality designation under

A Yes.
Q The e-mail states in the second line, "I understand that there is no need to discuss the \(\$ 130\) million comment on the markup (CSG is not going to get to that advance level)."

Do you have an understanding of what that line indicates?

A Yes, I believe it refers to the loan proceeds that they would be willing to loan.

Q The e-mail also states, "We had a number of discussions" --

MR. KISE: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Hold on.
MR. KISE: So the confidentiality, are we asking him questions about a document that's not in evidence that he can't authenticate or can he? I don't know. I did not see that he did. Maybe he did.
Q Mr. Orowitz, did you receive this e-mail?
A I don't -- I don't recall receiving it.
Q Do you see in the "to" line that it states "David
Orowitz"?
A Yes.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt that you received this
e-mail?
A No.
Q Do you understand that it contained responses from CSG
Investments concerning potential loan terms?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I move to admit Plaintiff's 3233 in evidence for notice.

MR. ROBERT: I want to be clear with this for notice. Notice of what? I mean, if you intend to try to show later with this document and the other document that there's certain interest rates that are here, that's not notice. So let's just be clear. You want this notice of who of what?

MR. HAREN: I want it for notice that Ivanka Trump and David Orowitz at the Trump Organization were expressly advised about potential loan terms from Beal. That's what that document provides them notice of.

MR. ROBERT: Not any of the terms of the loan because the terms of the proposed loan are hearsay.

MR. HAREN: I don't understand how they are hearsay if they were expressly advised what the terms would be.

THE COURT: They are not hearsay. I don't know what you mean by that.

MR. ROBERT: A statement coming from Jacob Turner who is not a party, sending an e-mail, making a statement of
potential terms, that's quintessential hearsay.
THE COURT: It is not being introduced to prove the truth of the contents, just that the recipients were notified that this sender was willing to do these terms. Is that what I --

MR. HAREN: Yes.
MR. ROBERT: That goes to the truth of the matter asserted. We are now interpreting that to mean that the lender was going to go ahead on these terms. That, in essence, is the truth of the matter asserted. If it is a question of did you receive a document that said that 10:30 a.m. central standard time, that's one thing, but Your Honor's hypothetical goes to the truth of the matter asserted.

THE COURT: So just to make sure I understand your point, we don't -- does your point boil down to we don't know that this sender really would have done these --

MR. ROBERT: Correct. Correct.
MR. WALLACE: It constitutes an offer which is another reason that it can be admitted on its own for the purposes that there was an offer made. It doesn't need to show whether the offer was going to be closed, whether everything is going to proceed from here, we are not going -- that was down the road.

THE COURT: I don't -- when you say "offer," you

1 mean binding as in we learned in contracts, an offer is

\section*{binding?}

MR. WALLACE: Not binding.
THE COURT: So, then, it is not really an offer.
MR. WALLACE: That's fine, Your Honor.
MR. HAREN: I could ask a slightly different question.

THE COURT: All right. Withdrawn.
Q Mr. Orowitz, when you received this e-mail, did you
understand -- did you form an understanding that Beal Bank was
responding to potential loan terms with the responses that are here indicated?
A I don't remember receiving this specific e-mail at that time.
Q Do you --
THE COURT: I think you said you have no reason to doubt that you did, right?

THE WITNESS: No, no reason.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Your Honor.
Q Do you have a general recollection of engaging
in -- withdrawn.
MR. HAREN: Just want to be clear, is the document in evidence for those purposes or is it --

MR. ROBERT: If it is noticed that an e-mail was
(Continued on the next page.)
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received, but none of the contents - all of the contents of the notice are hearsay.

MR. HAREN: I mean, just to be clear on the question of hearsay, it's not a truthful fact that it is being asserted by the statement. It is a market term that is being offered. I don't think it is hearsay at all. I don't think it is being offered for its truth. It is being offered to show what a third-party bank offered and notified the defendant organization was the subject of their offer.

MR. ROBERT: That's the definition of the truth of the matter asserted because you want to try to bootstrap that there were other offers, that these other interest rates, these interest rates differed than the ultimate interest rate and you're going to connect those dots or attempt to connect those dots.

What I'm saying is the underlying substance of what's contained here is hearsay. If you had the ability of the time is expired, someone from the bank to come in here and explain something, but that's not what we have. We have a document that you're trying to introduce from a witness who doesn't recall receiving it.

To Your Honor's point, he doesn't dispute that he probably got it because he is on the e-mail exchange, but the underlying substance of this and of the earlier e-mail are all hearsay.
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MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, if I could just clarify my point about it. It's an offer to constitute a verbal act under 8.47 under the rules of evidence and that even if it's not a binding offer it is contractual term.

By making this offer we are interested in establishing and making the offer to the Trump Organization. And so that is how the -- that's how Mr. Haren is offering to use this document.

And just to go back to one other point. This came from the Trump Organization, so any issues with authenticity because this was produced in discovery.

MR. ROBERT: I'm not questioning -- so I didn't think it came from us, this exhibit that's here, but I take your word for it.

MR. WALLACE: I'll just clarify that's the production stamp that the Trump Organization used for civil discovery in this action as opposed to TTO is from the underlying investigation.

MR. ROBERT: I'm just saying nonetheless if you want to introduce something as an offer, the person that made the offer has to come in and say here was the offer, here are the terms, not the witness who is looking at the document coming from a third party relaying terms or potential terms of a deal.

THE COURT: All right. Give me a moment.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Orowit - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 2965 & D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 2967 \\
\hline & (Whereupon, there is a recess in the proceedings.) & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 & THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, what is -- was the & 2 Q Do you have an understanding in the context of seeking \\
\hline & evidentiary rule you just referred to? & 3 financing for the Doral property what the phrase "investment \\
\hline 4 & MR. WALLACE: I believe 8.47 Verbal Act. & 4 memo" refers to? \\
\hline 5 & THE COURT: 8.47 of? & 5 A Ye \\
\hline 6 & MR. WALLACE: I'm -- & 6 Q And what's your understanding? \\
\hline 7 & MR. GABER: This is Section 8.47 of the Bench Book & 7 A It's a presentation that gives key information to \\
\hline & on New York Evidence Article 8 rules. I think it's & 8 potential lenders to explain general terms around what the plan \\
\hline 9 & incorporated as the rules, but I'm reading it off of the New & 9 is for the development and what the financial projections are in \\
\hline 10 & York courts website. I think it is -- it comes from a line & 10 order for them to evaluate \\
\hline 11 & of Court of Appeals cases. I'm not sure if it's codified. & 11 Q And in the e-mail in the first page from Ms. Trump she \\
\hline 12 & I believe 8.47 is the citation here. & 12 writes that she's copied you on the e-mail and you'll be putting \\
\hline 13 & THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. & 13 together all the information. Do you see that? \\
\hline 14 & Is plaintiff willing to I think go along with & 14 A Ye \\
\hline 15 & Robert's view that it can be admitted only for the fact & 15 Q I'll put that to the side for a moment and I'll hand \\
\hline 16 & this was sent -- and by the way, I think we learned in & 16 you Plaintiff's Exhibit 3112. \\
\hline 17 & law school back decades ago there is a presumption that & 17 Mr. Orowitz, do you recognize this document as a chain \\
\hline 18 & something mailed to someone, has been received by the & 18 of e-mails involving you, Allen Weisselberg and Ivanka Trump? \\
\hline 19 & ipient, I'm assuming that should be at least the same & 19 A I don't remember this e-mail specifically. \\
\hline 20 & th e-mail. So anyway, plaintiff, are you willing to & 20 Q But looking at it here today do you have any reason to \\
\hline 21 & ept that as I just - & 21 doubt it's an e-mail chain involving you, Allen Weisselberg and \\
\hline 22 & MR. WALLACE: I think it essentially does get us & 22 Ivanka Trump pertaining to the Doral transaction? \\
\hline 23 & same place. I think it's fine. & 23 A No. \\
\hline 24 & THE COURT: I'll take that as a yes? & 24 MR. HAREN: Move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 3112. \\
\hline 25 & MR. WALLACE: As a yes. & 25 MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. \\
\hline & owitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 2966 & D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 2968 \\
\hline & E COURT: It's admitted in evidence over & 1 THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence. \\
\hline & arsay objection and only for the purpose that the & 2 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline & recipients David Orowitz and Ivanka Trump received this & 3 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3112 in \\
\hline & e-mail. & 4 evidence.) \\
\hline 5 & MR. ROBERT: That would be the same ruling for the & 5 Q The exhibit begins on page one with an e-mail from Marc \\
\hline & previous e-mail as well which was the same objection? & 6 Levine at Deutsche Bank to you. Do you see that? \\
\hline 7 & THE COURT: I think that's correct. & 7 A Yes. \\
\hline 8 & MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir & 8 Q And it reads: "David, received your e-mail last night \\
\hline 9 & THE COURT: Okay. Let's move on. & 9 regarding the due diligence checklist. I checked with the rest \\
\hline & Q Mr. Orowitz, we saw earlier in Plaintiff's Exhibit 3243 & 10 of the team and we are available after 10:30 after a call. Let \\
\hline & that there was a question posed by Meridian Capital about the & 11 me know what time works for you and we will send around a dial \\
\hline & status of any discussions with DB. Do you generally recall & 12 in for the call \\
\hline & that? I asked you about it in the beginning of the discussion. & 13 So you then forwarded that e-mail to Allen Weisselberg \\
\hline & A I recall discussions with DB. & 14 and asked him if he could do 10:30 for the call; is that right? \\
\hline & Q I want to hand you a document that's been marked as & 15 A Yes. \\
\hline & Plaintiff's Exhibit 3111. & 16 Q And is it fair to say you were trying to ensure Allen \\
\hline 17 & And Mr. Orowitz, you see at the top of this e-mail is & 17 Weisselberg was available to join a call with you and Marc \\
\hline & e-mail from you to Marc Levine, David Goodman and some other & 18 Levine from Deutsche Bank on or about this date? \\
\hline & folks at Deutsche Bank with Allen Weisselberg copied? & \[
19 \text { A Yes. }
\] \\
\hline & A Yes. & 20 Q I'd like to show you another document, Plaintiff's \\
\hline & Q I'd like to direct your attention to the e-mail that is & 21 Exhibit 3117 \\
\hline & on the second page. It goes from the first page on to the & 22 Mr. Orowitz, just directing your attention to the first \\
\hline & second page from Marc Levine to Ivanka Trump and it reads: & 23 page of the exhibit. Do you recognize it to be a calendar \\
\hline & "Refers to an investment memo and projections for the Doral Golf & 24 invitation? \\
\hline & Resort and Spa in Miami, Florida." Do you see that? & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r|r}
\hline D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) & Page 2969 & D. \\
1 & Q And the original appointment in the calendar invitation & 1 \\
2 & includes you and you forwarded it to Allen Weisselberg; is that & 2 \\
3 & right? & 3 \\
4 & A & Yes. & 4 \\
5 & Q & And looking at the attendees in the original & 5 \\
6 & appointment, do you see that there are two names, Mason Parker & 6 \\
7 & and William Frauen. Mr. Parker is on the second line and & 7 \\
8 & Mr. Frauen is on the third line? & 8 \\
9 & A \(\quad\) Yes. & 9 \\
10 & Q And the information beginning on page two of the & 10 \\
11 & exhibit is a diligence list pertaining to the Doral Golf Resort; & 11 \\
12 & is that right? & 12 \\
13 & A \(\quad\) Yes. & 13 \\
14 & \(\quad\) MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I move the Exhibit 3117 & 14 \\
15 & into evidence. & 15 \\
16 & \(\quad\) MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and & 16 \\
17 & the attachment is hearsay. & 17 \\
18 & \(\quad\) MR. HAREN: And I'm fine moving into evidence the & 18 \\
19 & calendar invitation. I have no need for the remainder of & 19 \\
20 & document. & 20 \\
21 & THE COURT: Okay. Overruled on the statute of & 21 \\
22 & limitations grounds and it's in evidence just for the & 22 \\
23 & invitation. & 23 \\
24 & MR. ROBERT: So then that will come off the exhibit & 24 \\
25 & and I guess the question of the witness to the attachment & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
includes you and you forwarded it to Allen Weisselberg; is that ght.

A Yes.
Q And looking at the attendees in the original
appointment, do you see that there are two names, Mason Parker and William Frauen. Mr. Parker is on the second line and Mr. Frauen is on the third line?

Q And the information beginning on page two of the exhibit is a diligence list pertaining to the Doral Golf Resort; that right?

MR. HAREN: Your Honor, I move the Exhibit 3117 into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations and

MR. HAREN: And I'm fine moving into evidence the calendar invitation. I have no need for the remainder of document.

THE COURT: Okay. Overruled on the statute of limitations grounds and it's in evidence just for the

MR. ROBERT: So then that will come off the exhibit and I guess the question of the witness to the attachment

\section*{property?}

A I don't recall the call.
Q Let's see if a document refreshes your recollection and I'll admit -- I'd ask to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 3111.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Even if this refreshes the witness's recollection it will be hearsay what Deutsche Bank may have told him.

MR. HAREN: I'm speaking about the e-mail where Mr. Orowitz wrote: "Marc, it was good speaking with you earlier today." I'm not talking about the content of any phone call at this point.

MR. ROBERT: I thought the question was I thought on the November 18, 2011 phone call did anyone from the bank, meaning Deutsche Bank, convey what interest rate the bank might seek for a commercial real estate loan regarding the Doral property so whatever they may have said to this witness is hearsay.

MR. HAREN: I'm asking to admit the document that was the precursor to that question and I will have another document that may refresh him.

MR. ROBERT: But even if it refreshes his recollection as to what the bank says, it's still hearsay.

MR. HAREN: The e-mail that Mr. Orowitz said when he wrote "Marc, it was good speaking with you earlier today," this is an e-mail that he wrote. The witness is the fact you were provided we could just admit it for that purpose.

A That appears to be attached to the invitation.
THE COURT: Okay. That resolves that.
Q And so if we could go back to Exhibit 3111.
At the top of the page we talked about this e-mail a minute ago, but this is the same day as that calendar invitation, November 18, 2011, and you wrote to Mr. Levine:
"Marc, it was good speaking with you earlier today. Please find a link to a folder containing the due diligence materials that you requested." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Did you have a call with Mr. Levine from Deutsche Bank on or about November 18, 2011 ?

A From this e-mail it appears so.
Q Was Mr. Weisselberg on the call?
A I don't recall the call.
Q On the November 18, 2011 phone call did anyone from the bank, meaning Deutsche Bank, convey what interest rate the bank might seek for a commercial real estate loan regarding the Doral
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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1 sitting here, he testified --
MR. ROBERT: I think we're talking about two different things.

MR. HAREN: That's right. We are talking about two different things. I haven't shown him the document that may or may not refresh his recollection. That's not what I move to admit. I move to admit Plaintiffs Exhibit 3111.

MR. ROBERT: So you're not going to ask him what the conversation was with Deutsche Bank? If you're not going to ask him what the conversation was I have no objection.

MR. HAREN: This may --
MR. WALLACE: If we have to lay out how we're doing this we will also say that the defendants are receiving multiple offers from different banks and they choose a bank offer that has a different term. That is an appropriate use of them having learned of the other offers from the other banks.

So we're saying putting things in for notice, but it is notice because we want to show what the defendants understood when they were making decisions about which loan to select.

MR. ROBERT: And, Your Honor, that proves my point. This is for the truth of the matter asserted. If they wanted to call people from these institutions, they very
\begin{tabular}{|lc|}
\hline D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) \\
\(\mathbf{1}\) & well could have in their case. They didn't. They're now \\
2 & trying to use hearsay documents and hearsay testimony to \\
3 & make up for the deficiency in their case. \\
4 & MR. WALLACE: Absolutely not. \\
5 & MR. ROBERT: I'm still speaking, Mr. Wallace. It \\
6 & is inappropriate. \\
7 & MR. WALLACE: It is whether or not the defendants \\
8 & had notice of the other terms of what were being offered and \\
9 & then selected another loan. \\
10 & THE COURT: Now, what the banks would actually have \\
11 & lent the money at these rates I think that's a question of \\
12 & fact. \\
13 & MR. ROBERT: Then you need someone from the bank to \\
14 & testify; otherwise, it's completely irrelevant. If someone \\
15 & is saying here -- to allow this to come in now without the \\
16 & bank saying they would have done it is completely irrelevant \\
17 & I think because what someone didn't intend to do can't show \\
18 & reliance, can't show any of the elements they need to prove \\
19 & their case. So just because their bank may have sent an \\
20 & e-mail about something without the bank explaining what \\
21 & that's about, it's completely and utterly irrelevant. \\
22 & They need it for the purpose of the bank made an \\
23 & offer at X and the defendants decided not to do it. They \\
24 & can't do that through hearsay. \\
25 & MR. WALLACE: I don't know why we can't show that
\end{tabular}

MR. WALLACE: I don't know why we can't show that
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1 they got an offer and decided not to go with it through
their own documents and witnesses.

MR. ROBERT: You have to bring in a witness who actually made the offer. I don't see these documents saying -- first of all, even if they said there was an offer you still need the person that made the offer. You're conflating notice with the substance of it. If you want to show they got an e-mail and there was words on the page of an e-mail, I can't fight you on that. But underlying substance of what the potential offer was and what their notice of that was that's hearsay.

MR. HAREN: So I think we're arguing about an e-mail that's not even in front of us.

MR. ROBERT: It's not about the e-mail, Mr. Haren.
MR. HAREN: If I can finish, please, Mr. Robert.
The e-mail that's in front of us is a statement
from Mr. Orowitz who at the time was an employee of the Trump Organization that "it was good speaking with you earlier today" and in response to a series of other e-mails involving Mr. Orowitz and Ms. Trump who was also an employee of the Trump Organization at the time. That's all this e-mail is. That's what I move to admit. I don't understand the debate we're having here. It's not about this e-mail.

THE COURT: All right. So objection overruled to the extent we're talking about what Mr. Haren just said.
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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MR. HAREN: So l'd like to bring up Plaintiff's Exhibit 3107, which is being shown to the witness solely for the purpose of refreshing his recollection if it does.
Q Mr. Orowitz, do you see on the screen an e-mail from William Frauen to Richard Byrne and Mason Parker?

A Yes.
Q And Richard -- just William Frauen and Mason Parker
were both on the calendar invite we looked at a few minutes ago?
A Yes.
Q The e-mail states:
"Had call with them this morning (not Ivanka or Donald was Allen) and some other guy-David Orowitz I think." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And it continues: "We told them" -- and this is in the fifth paragraph down, and I realize some of the wording in the e-mail is in shorthand. "We told them we are working two angles: A) MTG plus mezz and B) whole loan to Levfin investors. They asked what whole loan costs, we said project development pricing is around ten PCT." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Does that refresh your recollection as to any loan pricing conveyed by Deutsche Bank to you on the phone call of November 18, 2011?

A No, it doesn't.

Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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Q And directing your attention to information further down in this e-mail. It states: "Also suspect others" -- let me ask you a question before that.

Do you have a recollection of Mr. Weisselberg conveying any information regarding Mr. Trump's personal financial statement on the November 18, 2011 call?

\section*{A I don't.}

Q And so if you take a look at Exhibit 3107 and you look down where it states -- this is in the second to last line -"also suspect others may be thinking/exploring direct Donald GNTY or other asset pledge as Allen again mentioned he sent you personal statement." Does that refresh your recollection as to whether Mr. Weisselberg conveyed any information about Mr. Trump's personal financial statement on that November 18, 2011 call?

A It does not.
Q You can set that to the side.
Putting aside any particular phone call, were you generally aware whether Deutsche Bank offered an interest rate of approximately ten percent on a nonrecourse commercial real estate loan for the Doral property?
A I don't remember that.
Q Do you have a general recollection of any difference between terms offered by the commercial real estate arm of Deutsche Bank and the private wealth arm of Deutsche Bank?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Orowit - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 2977 & D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 2979 \\
\hline 1 A Yes. I recall that the commercial real estate arm, the & 1 Five-minute warning. \\
\hline 2 rates and other terms were less favora & 2 MR. HAREN: Thank you, Your Honor. \\
\hline 3 Q And what do you mean by "less favorable?" & 3 (Whercupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 4 A I believe the rates were higher and I'm not certain, & 4 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3245 in \\
\hline 5 but I -- the proceeds I believe were lower. & 5 evidence.) \\
\hline 6 MR. HAREN: Let's go to Plaintiff's Exhibit 369. & 6 Q Up on the screen will be Plaintiff's Exhibit 3226. \\
\hline 7 And Mr. Orowitz, again, I'm going to show you this & 7 And Mr. Orowitz, do you see in this exhibit a series of \\
\hline 8 document solely for the purposes of determining whether it & 8 e-mails involving you Ivanka Trump and Allen Weisselberg? \\
\hline 9 refreshes your recollection, and I'll direct your attention & 9 A Yes. \\
\hline 10 to you -- you can see beginning on page two there is a title & 10 Q And do you understand that this e-mail chain involves \\
\hline 11 "Doral Golf Resort and Spa Mian & 11 the Doral property? \\
\hline 12 A Yes. & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 Q And on page four on the left side of the page there is & 13 Q And beginning on page two there is an e-mail from \\
\hline 14 a series of items proceed by hyphens, one of which states & 14 Rosemary Vrablic at Deutsche Bank stating: \\
\hline 15 " \$130 million term loan priced at L + 800 with a two percent & 15 "Please find attached our summary of terms for the \\
\hline 16 LIBOR floor." Do you see that? & 16 proposed acquisition of the Doral resort." \\
\hline 17 A Yes. & 17 And Ms. Trump's response: "It doesn't get any better \\
\hline 18 Q Does that refresh your recollection that the rate & 18 than this." Do you see that? \\
\hline 19 offered by Deutsche Bank's commercial real estate arm is & 19 THE COURT: There is no "any" in there. \\
\hline 20 approximately ten percent? & 20 Q "It doesn't get better than this," excuse me. \\
\hline 21 A No, it does not. & 21 A Yes. \\
\hline 22 Q Let's move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 3245. & 22 Q And Ms. Trump continues, "I am tempted not to negotiate \\
\hline 23 Mr. Orowitz, do you recognize Plaintiff's Exhibit 3245 & 23 this, though?" \\
\hline 24 as an e-mail from you to Ivanka Trump and Allen Weisselberg? & 24 A Yes. \\
\hline 25 A I don't remember it, but it appears to be an e-mail, & 25 Q And there are a series of other e-mails involving \\
\hline D. Orowit - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 2978 & D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) Page 2980 \\
\hline 1 yes. & 1 Ms. Trump and Jason Greenblatt. \\
\hline 2 Q And do you understand the subject "DB" to refer to & 2 Do you have an understanding as to who Jason Greenblatt \\
\hline 3 Deutsche Bank? & 3 was at the time of this e-mail? \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 A Yes, he was the general counsel at Trump Organization. \\
\hline 5 Q And given the timing just five days after the & 5 MR. HAREN: I move to admit Plaintiff's \\
\hline 6 November 18th documents we looked at - do you understand that & 6 Exhibit 3226. \\
\hline 7 this refers to this -- this relates to Doral? & 7 MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations. \\
\hline 8 A I would assume so. & 8 THE COURT: Overruled. It's in. \\
\hline \(9 \quad\) Q The e-mail states: "I spoke to the DB guys this & 9 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is \\
\hline 10 morning. They are focused on how they are going to present the & 10 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3226 in \\
\hline 11 project to other lenders, which I do not think bodes & 11 evidence.) \\
\hline 12 particularly well." & 12 Q Let's move to another document, Plaintiff's \\
\hline 13 Do you have an understanding as to what you meant by "I & 13 Exhibit 3271. \\
\hline 14 do not think bodes particularly well?" & 14 And Mr. Orowitz, in Plaintiff's Exhibit 3271, there is \\
\hline 15 A I'm not certain exactly what I meant at the time, but I & 15 a response from you to Ms. Trump's e-mail where she stated, "it \\
\hline 16 could presume what it means. & 16 doesn't get better than this?" \\
\hline 17 Q What do you understand it to mean? & 17 A Yes. \\
\hline 18 A I think it means I had concerns that they didn't want & 18 Q And you stated: "Some areas that could be problematic \\
\hline 19 to hold the loan on their balance sheet and that they were - & 19 in the covenants" and under there you wrote "during reno and \\
\hline 20 that that might indicate that they were less interested in the 21 loan. & \begin{tabular}{l}
20 during reno and amo periods-guarantor shall maintain a minimum \\
21 net worth of 3.0 billion excluding any value related to the
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 22 MR. HAREN: I move to admit Plaintiff's & 22 guarantor's brand value." What does reno refer to in that line? \\
\hline 23 Exhibit 3245. & 23 A I think in the context of this loan there was a \\
\hline 24 MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. & 24 bifurcation of two time periods; one was while construction was \\
\hline  & 25 going on and one was after and so I think renovation is reno and \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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them as a sponsor-held hotel along with the hotel/condo units that had been sold off.

Q And beginning on page two of the exhibit, there's
identified as up to 56 million in financing for the commercial condo component.
A Yes.
Q And on page four, there is an indication of the type of recourse provided for in this term sheet. It states, "The loan will be non-recourse to borrower with some exceptions." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q On page six, there is an indication that the interest rate fees, et cetera, are outlined on Schedule A?
A Yes.
Q And on page ten, there is actually a Schedule A that provides for pricing and various other terms?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: The ground?
MR. ROBERT: He's reading from a document that's not in evidence and it wouldn't be able to come into evidence because it is hearsay.

MR. HAREN: This is the same point as we dealt with with the prior term sheet. This is a term sheet received by Mr. Orowitz in the ordinary course of business seeking financing for the Chicago property. We're offering it for
the same purposes as the earlier exhibits were offered and accepted.

MR. ROBERT: For the purpose that they received something, but all of the contents of it are inadmissible hearsay. Is that what you are saying?

MR. HAREN: Could go to state of mind internally, inside the company to choose as a private wealth loan with a guaranty as opposed to a commercial real estate loan that is non-recourse, would tend to go to state of mind.

MR. ROBERT: Notwithstanding the fact that you would have to stay whose state of mind you are trying to attribute this to. It doesn't change the fact that the contents of this attachment from Deutsche Bank is inadmissible hearsay.

MR. HAREN: I think we dealt with this in the prior exhibit. It is the same principle.

THE COURT: Same ruling, same principle.

\section*{Overruled.}

MR. ROBERT: I think it was sustained as to what our objection was subject to what Mr. --

THE COURT: Oh, well, let's try to be very precise. It is being introduced for what purpose?

MR. HAREN: It is being introduced for two purposes; one to show notice of the terms received by the Trump Organization.
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THE COURT: Well, that alone should do it. Doesn't it?

MR. ROBERT: Notice of purported -- notice of what's contained in the document, but not those were actually the terms offered by the bank.

MR. HAREN: It goes to state of mind, as I said.
MR. ROBERT: That part I don't understand because it would be whose state of mind.

THE COURT: Right. Let's go to the second ground. Whose state of mind?

MR. HAREN: Sure. Inside a company, companies work through people. There's imputed knowledge of an agent that gets imputed to the principal. If there is one set of terms that involves the submission of Statements of Financial Condition and those terms have a very low interest rate and there is another set of terms that are a much higher interest rate that are not private wealth loans that would tend to show a motive or a -- minimum a motive to use those statements.

THE COURT: In for both purposes or on both grounds.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3239.)
Q Then turning to page 15 , there begins a summary of terms for unsold residential condominium units. Do you see
```

    that?
    ```
    A Yes.
    Q On page 18, there is a description of recourse at the
    very top that says, "The loan will be non-recourse to borrower
    with certain exceptions"?
    A Yes.
    Q And on page 18 also -- excuse me. I may have the
    wrong page. At the very bottom of page 18 that provides loan
    interest rate fees, et cetera are outlined on schedule A. Do
    you see that?
    A Yes.
    Q And page 22 is the Schedule A that pertains to this
    particular term sheet and it - do you see that it provides an
    interest rate with a spread of 800 basis points?
    A Yes.
    Q You can set that document aside. We will turn to
    Plaintiff's Exhibit 3242. Now, Mr. Orowitz, in the e-mail on
    the first page, do you see that there's an e-mail from Jason
    Greenblatt to David Goodman at Deutsche Bank on which you,
    Ivanka Trump and Allen Weisselberg are copied?
    A Yes.
    Q And the e-mail from Mr. Greenblatt provides, "Attached,
    please find a revised draft of the proposed term sheet."
        Do you see that?
    A Yes. do you have an understanding that the proposed term sheet relates to Chicago?

\section*{A Yes.}

MR. HAREN: And if we could turn to -- I guess
I'll move to admit this document, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3242 as Mr. Greenblatt who was the -- withdrawn. I move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 3242 as the Trump Organization's response to a term sheet regarding the Chicago property.

MR. ROBERT: This one is just a statute of
limitations defense. This is fine because this is us sending our work product to them, so it is not hearsay.

THE COURT: Okay. Understand. Overruled.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3242.)
Q If you can turn to page 17 of the document, please. Do you see at the very top, there's a reference to "Trump draft 6/19/12"?
A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding as to what that refers to?
A Yes, this is -- this is a redline or a markup of a term sheet and that refers to a draft.
Q When it says, "Trump draft," that means it is a Trump Organization response, correct?
A Yes.

Q And if you could turn to page 29. Do you see that there is a Schedule A that provides an interest rate?
A Yes.
Q And it provides, "The interest rate shall be calculated at rate lock (see below) by adding [392] basis points (the spread ) to the yield on the ten-year on the run swap rate.
However, in no event shall interest rate be less than 5.64 percent with that number in brackets?"

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Since that's -- if we can move to the next document. Handing up to the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 3235.
Q Mr. Orowitz, looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3235, you can see an e-mail from Jacob Turner at CSG Investments to Ivanka
Trump followed by an e-mail from Ivanka Trump to you and Allen Weisselberg on April 18, 2012. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And the subject of the e-mail is "Trump Chicago investment memo"?
A Yes.
Q And could you just take a look at Mr. Turner's e-mail. I am going to ask you some questions about the e-mail that's at the top, but take a look at Mr. Turner's e-mail before I do that.
(Witness reviewing document.)
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Q So I guess I'll walk you through it before I ask you questions about the e-mail that Ms. Trump sent to you.

On page three, there's an e-mail from Ivanka Trump to Andrew Beal. Is that the Andy Beal you referenced earlier?
A Yes.
Q And Ms. Trump writes in that e-mail to Mr. Beal, "My father had asked me to send you the Trump Chicago loan memo per your conversation yesterday. Sometime in the next few weeks, I was planning to go to market for senior financing on our iconic 92-story mixed-use skyscraper in Chicago Trump International Hotel and tower. This loan would be secured by both the unsold inventory of the condominium units and retail component as well as operating cash flow from the hotel commercial and parking facilities."

On page three of the document, Mr. Turner responds, "Ivanka, thanks for the Trump Chicago memorandum. I have reviewed the materials on a truly beautiful first-class property. We are passing on the loan opportunity based predominantly on the interest rates sought."

A Yes.
Q It is a little bit difficult to read because of the small print, but on page two at the very bottom, Ms. Trump asked Mr. Turner the following question. "Out of curiosity and so I can better understand how you look," I think it says, "how you took at these type of loans, what type of pricing would you
attach to the Chicago financing request"?
And Mr. Turner responds in part on page one, bolded underline text short answer, "Our new loan originations generally start with a baseline of around \(L\) plus 500 , with a LIBOR floor of two." And Ms. Trump then forwarded that information to you and Mr. Weisselberg stating "some interesting feedback." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding as to why Ms. Trump added Allen Weisselberg to this e-mail chain and stated to you "some interesting feedback"?
A I would presume she wanted to give him the benefit of understanding how this specific lender was looking at a loan like Trump Chicago.

MR. HAREN: I move to admit Plaintiffs
Exhibit 3235 into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Objection; statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3235.)

MR. HAREN: Plaintiff's Exhibit 3236 is being
handed up to the witness.
Q Mr. Orowitz, looking at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3236, you recognize that it is the same e-mail chain that we just looked at except for a different ending?
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A Yes.
Q And following Mr. Turner's e-mail to Ms. Trump, Ms.
Trump then e-mailed you directly and stated, "I think we made a mistake adding rate to our memo...." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What did that indicate to you?
A It indicates to me that she was questioning whether we should have included a rate because it does seem to have made them not dig in on potentially giving a loan.

MR. HAREN: Move to admit Plaintiffs Exhibit 3236.
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3236.)
Q Now, Mr. Orowitz, let's talk about the Old Post Office project. You have a general recollection of working on that project during your time at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: Handing up to the witness Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3241.
Q Mr. Orowitz, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3241, you see that it is an e-mail from you to Allen Weisselberg, Jason Greenblatt, Ivanka Trump and Ray Flores on September 3, 2013?
A Yes.
Q The subject is "All documents for OPO financing."

What did you understand that to refer to?
A It appears to be me sharing two clean versions of the term sheet that we got from Natixis and DB and then a quick markup of like my initial thoughts on them and then a comparison that Ray did, Ray Flores did against some previous loans that the Trump Organization had done.
Q And for what purpose were you sending this information to the recipients of the e-mail?
A I believe it was to get my initial thoughts out to them as quickly as possible and get everyone thinking about how to proceed with a loan.
Q The e-mail states, "We are speaking to Iron Hound about DB and Natixis today at noon." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Can you explain what Iron Hound is and what its role was in this transaction?
A Iron Hound is a broker and consultant that helps real estate owners attain financing.
Q And what was its role in this transaction?
A They were helping us to find potential lenders and negotiate with them.
Q So they were working on behalf of the Trump
Organization?
A Yes.
Q In item three on your list, you state that "One item

Page 2996
attached was a comparison that Ray finalized last night of Natixis and DB versus our previous DB, Hypo and Fortress loans. Who is Ray as referred to there?

A Raymond Flores.
Q What was Mr. Flores' role at the Trump Organization at the time?
A He was a financial analyst and reported to me.
MR. HAREN: And I'm going to move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 3241.

MR. ROBERT: As to the cover e-mail, objection, statute of limitations. As to the attachments, there appears that there are more attachments listed on the cover e-mail than are attached to this exhibit. To the extent that they are documents prepared by anyone other than Trump, I object that it is hearsay; but it is not complete because the e-mail talks about a preliminary markup and a comparison and it looks like those are in the attachment, but it is not on what I was just handed.

MR. HAREN: So as to any item, one term sheet from Natixis and DB, the Court has already ruled the various purposes for which term sheets could come in. Item two, Mr. Orowitz's preliminary markups, those are his markups. He was an employee of the organization.

MR. ROBERT: I'm not fighting you on two and three. They're not here, so it is not complete. I don't know what
they are.
MR. HAREN: One may be -- well, page seven of the document, there is a document withheld for privilege in the middle of the document. So why don't we do this? We can take a look at the term sheet from Natixis which is in the document, the term sheet from Deutsche Bank which is in the document, and we can take a look at the native Excel file that's the other attachment, and the defendants can advise if they continue to object to Mr. Flores' -- excuse me.
Mr. Orowitz's markups of term sheets and we can move past that issue.

MR. ROBERT: I'm sorry. I didn't understand any of that. I'm sorry. Maybe I didn't hear it.

THE COURT: That was tough to follow.
MR. HAREN: In the exhibit, there is a term sheet from Natixis. That's the first attachment beginning at page two.

MR. ROBERT: Goes from page two to page five?
MR. HAREN: Page two to page five. Page seven, there is document withheld for privilege.

MR. ROBERT: Seven and eight. Got you.
MR. HAREN: And then following that, there is a term sheet from Deutsche Bank.

MR. ROBERT: Okay.
MR. HAREN: That concludes on page 21. And then
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page 22 is a document provided natively which our other discussions is likely an Excel file and you can see that the last attachment listed in the e-mail is an Excel file.

MR. ROBERT: So you're representing to me that item two in the e-mail was withheld for privilege? I can't tell.

MR. HAREN: You would know better than I would the documents that are withheld for privilege based on the pages that are attached to the exhibit is my understanding.

MR. ROBERT: You are telling me this is the complete e-mail of attachments that was turned over to you?

MR. HAREN: Except for the native file which is Excel.

MR. ROBERT: I keep my standard objection then.
THE COURT: Same ruling. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3641.)

MR. HAREN: I'm informed that there may be - since it says "document withheld for privilege" on page seven and page eight, that may be two different documents that are withheld for privilege.

THE COURT: Defendants, Mr. Robert, do you want to waive the privilege?

MR. ROBERT: I accept his representation and later we can clean it up.

THE COURT: Do you want to waive the privilege?


\section*{A Yes.}

Q And there is a comparison to a Fortress loan that had a spread of 11 percent?
A Yes.
MR. HAREN: I'm going to move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 3241 including the native file that Mr. Flores prepared.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations, but I thought it is already in based on the Court's ruling.

THE COURT: It was a different document, right? Anyway, it is in either way.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3241.)
Q We are going to move to Plaintiff's Exhibit 513. Mr. Orowitz, Plaintiff's Exhibit 513, you mentioned that Iron Hound was working on the Trump Organization's behalf in connection with seeking financing for the Old Post Office transaction?
A Yes.
Q And you can see that this is an e-mail that is sent to Chris Herron at ironhoundmgt.com?
A Yes.
Q Was he working on behalf of the Trump Organization at Iron Hound in connection with the Old Post Office?
A Yes.
Q Beginning on page two, there is a document -- does the

MR. ROBERT: Absolutely not.
Q I want to move to page two of the exhibit. Mr.
Orowitz, you see there is a label at the top "Natixis" and it appears to be a term sheet from Natixis for a loan related to the Old Post Office?
A Yes.
Q And beginning on page nine, there appears to be a term sheet from Deutsche Bank related to the Old Post Office? A Yes.

MR. HAREN: If we could pull up on the screen Plaintiff's Exhibit 3241 native one.
Q Mr. Orowitz, you recall in the e-mail there was a reference to a comparison that Mr. Flores prepared of potential terms for the Old Post Office transaction. You can see -- do you recognize this Excel spreadsheet on the screen to be that document?
A Yes.
Q And you can see in this document there are interest rate terms identified?
A Yes.
Q And so there's a spread from Natixis of 5.25 in the document. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q From Deutsche Bank, this is a spread identified at 4.25 percent?
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
that a -- that was essentially when the Trump Organization began \\
to seek financing for the Old Post Office from the private \\
wealth management group at Deutsche Bank? \\
A It appears to be from the same, yes. \\
MR. HAREN: Just give me one second, Your Honor, to confer with colleagues. \\
THE COURT: Sure. I confer a lot too, you know. \\
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) \\
MR. HAREN: So can we pull up Plaintiff's \\
Exhibit 327? \\
Q So Mr. Orowitz, this is an e-mail from Tom Sullivan to you and Ivanka Trump and others attaching a term sheet in connection with the Old Post Office property. Do you see that? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And so is this document and the attached term sheet, do \\
they represent terms from the private wealth management group at \\
Deutsche Bank? \\
A Yes. \\
MR. HAREN: I'll move to admit Plaintiff's \\
Exhibit 327. \\
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations, hearsay. \\
THE COURT: Overruled. \\
Q And let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1286. I assure \\
you, Mr. Orowitz, I will not be asking you about this entire
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
insurance company or the federal government. There is a manner in which to properly present their case. \\
Respectfully, this is not one of them. \\
MR. HAREN: If a person had a business, receives a request for proposals, takes steps to respond to it, participates in the response to it, the request for proposals itself to come in to show what the witness and the business were responding to. \\
MR. ROBERT: I disagree with that. \\
THE COURT: I agree with it. Objection overruled. \\
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1286 in evidence.) \\
MR. HAREN: Plaintiffs Exhibit 1164 ? \\
Q Mr. Orowitz, do you recognize Plaintiffs Exhibit 1164 \\
as the response of Trump Old Post Office LLC to the GSA's RFP \\
regarding the Old Post Office property? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And I just want to direct your attention to page two of the document. There is a letter on page two, letter signed by Ivanka Trump. Do you see that? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And do you see that the letter describes the Trump Organization on the roles of Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump and \\
25 Ivanka Trump within the company?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) \\
document, but do you recognize it to be the request for \\
proposals from the General Services Administration in connection with the Old Post Office property? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And turning to page five of the document. \\
MR. HAREN: I move to admit Exhibit 1286 as the RFP for the Old Post Office project. \\
MR. ROBERT: Objection, Your Honor. Statute of limitations, but this is a complete hearsay document. It's prepared by the government, a request for proposal for Old Post Office. \\
MR. HAREN: It's going to be used to show the Trump Organization's responses not for the truth of any items contained. \\
MR. ROBERT: I still would object that it's impermissible to have it come in through this witness and it that it's hearsay. \\
THE COURT: I would think that it's -- the overall -- overall it's basically saying -- the government is saying we want to renovate the Old Post Office, here is what we want, send us your proposals. What about that is -- \\
MR. ROBERT: And they can call a witness from the government to do that. They're using a former employee of the company to admit documents without having to lay the proper foundation through either a representative of bank,
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren) \\
A Could you direct me to that? \\
Q Sorry. I withdraw it. I think I may have the wrong page, so I just withdraw that question. \\
Page 145 of the document refers to the developer's financial capacity and capability. Do you see that? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And then page 153 refers to financial statements. \\
A Yes. \\
Q And it reads: "Please find Trump's Statement of \\
Financial Condition in an envelope submitted with each copy of this proposal." And it continues at the next paragraph. \\
"Please note that Trump affiliates are all private \\
companies. The attached Statement of Financial Condition was compiled under GAAP, but it should be noted that there are departures from GAAP that are described in the accountant's compilation report attached to the Statement of Financial Condition. Most personal financial statements contain GAAP exceptions. WeiserMazars LLP has compiled these financials." Do you see that? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And I'm going to note an undisputed fact from \\
defendant's summary judgment papers, paragraph 143 where \\
23 defendants stated: "As required by the GSA, the 2008 to 2010 \\
24 SOFCs were submitted as part of the bid and the Attorney \\
25 General's office response was undisputed." That's number 143 of
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
the defendant's 202 statement.
MR. HAREN: I move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 1164.

MR. KISE: So, Your Honor, I don't know whether he did or not --

MR. HAREN: I move to admit the Trump Organization's response to the GSA RFP Exhibit 1164.

MR. KISE: So hearsay obviously, relevance, statute of limitations, and his reference to the 2008 to 2010 SOFCs, I don't even know those are at issue in the case, so I'm not sure -- there hasn't even been any allegation that there is a problem with the 2008 to 2010 . That has never come in before, so I'm not exactly sure what that reference related to -- I'm sure it was undisputed in the summary judgment that they were submitted because yes, but that doesn't make it relevant to this trial proceeding and I don't see what any relevance of these RFPs is. I mean, this is way outside the statute of limitations. There is no claim that is presented with respect to the OPO award process. So is there?

MR. HAREN: Couple of responses. It's certainly not hearsay. It's entitled "Proposal of Trump Old Post Office LLC."

THE COURT: Statement of a party.
MR. HAREN: As to the other matters it relates to a
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1 course of conduct, use of the statements of financial
condition and business agreed that the date of the RFP is outside the statute of limitations, but that doesn't mean it's not relevant for the Court to determine what, if any, equitable relief to award.

MR. KISE: But which statements of financial condition? This is the 2008 -- by his own statement, this is the 2008 to 2010 statements of financial condition which heretofore have never been mentioned in the course of this proceeding, so I'm not sure are we going to go back there? I know that we're -- there is no calendar or clock associated with any of this, so -- but that's a new -- 2008 to 2010 is certainly a new allegation.

MR. HAREN: I would add there is an allegation in the complaint that refers generally to the Old Post Office, but the statement in the 202 statement is not our statement. It was a statement from the defendants describing the submission of these statements to the GSA and that is what we did not dispute, so you put it in your 202 statement.

MR. KISE: Again, there is a big difference.
THE COURT: That's the banned word, but you can say it again.

MR. KISE: As I stated before, but just because we said that the 2008 to 2010 statements were submitted to the GSA, okay, they were, but it has no relevance to these
proceedings. That may be undisputed that they were in fact submitted, but there is no relevance to these proceedings because these statements have never been challenged. There is not a shred of evidence that has been introduced at trial or before about the 2008 to 2010 statements.

MR. HAREN: I don't want to say this necessarily in front of the witness, but there will be other documents that come in in the next few minutes or very early tomorrow morning that describe the actions and responses of certain people in connection with this RFP and they will be relevant at a minimum for that purpose.

MR. KISE: But again, actions by others -- well, I'm saying again to Counsel not to you, Your Honor, actions by other people -- let me just see if I get this right.

In responses in connection with this RFP, but those would be actions based on 2008 to 2010 financial statements, not 2011 to 2021, which is what the case has always been about, at least I thought so.

MR. WALLACE: I'll just interject, and I apologize to Mr. Haren, but the thread here is there is a discussion of some of the GAAP exceptions in the statements of financial condition as part of the GSA process that shows the knowledge of the company and its officers about -around the GAAP exceptions that people are now claiming they are not familiar with. So that is the thread that pulls us
through and that this is the process that eventually leads to the loan that is in very much indeed apart of this case.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. Pattern and practice to repeat myself or again bars claims not in evidence.

And five-minute warning.
Overruled.
MR. HAREN: Plaintiff's Exhibit 1288.
THE COURT: And notice which is part of pattern and practice.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, just so I'm clear on the ruling.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. KISE: Part pattern of practice of what, 2008 to 2010 ?

THE COURT: Of the Trump Organization.
MR. KISE: But there's not been any allegation that the 2008 to 2010 statements of financial condition have any issues associated with them.

THE COURT: Well, would there be?
MR. WALLACE: But there is and there is a discussion of the GAAP exceptions that carries through this entire period, including 2008, 2010, 2011, 2012, all the way into the future and the GAAP exceptions that are contained within the Statement of Financial Condition are very much a
question that is open to debate at this point and goes to
within the company. They don't know about the GAAP exceptions, so it's relevant to that.

I would also note from a timing perspective, this colloquy that we're having here is exactly what we're worried about when it's objection overruled. Let's talk about it for another ten minutes.

I think we've made our case as to why this will connect and we're entitled to put the evidence in and show that connection.

THE COURT: I try to strike a balance between not wasting time when I've made a ruling and explaining the ruling and allowing a record to be made and Counsel to say what he has to say. But we're basically done for today, anyway, so what time are we resuming tomorrow? Let me guess, 10 o'clock with this witness?

Objection overruled, by the way.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, can we have a sidebar?
THE COURT: Sure. We'll have a front bar.
And I'll order the witness not to discuss this case or his testimony or anything related to that with anybody until you're finished testifying team.

THE WITNESS: Okay, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness stand.)
(Whereupon, the trial proceedings are adjourned to November 1, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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\hline 2888:2;2898:23; & 2944:4 & 14;2881:18;2885:1; & 2974:20;2979:8,25 & 2959:24;2991:14 \\
\hline 2955:20;2956:14; & institution (1) & 2888:16;2892:6; & Iron (7) & JAMES (3) \\
\hline 3000:6;3012:23 & 2947:19 & 2893:8;2895:11; & 2995:12,15,17 & 2857:3,19.5;2904:18 \\
\hline income (2) & institutional (2) & 2902:16;2905:8; & 3000:15,23;3001:15,24 & JANELLE (1) \\
\hline 2950:7,9 & 2903:10,18 & 2918:14;2921:12 & Ironhound (2) & 2858:22.5 \\
\hline incorporated (1) & institutions (2) & 2923:6;2928:22; & 3004:7,13 & Jared (1) \\
\hline \[
2965: 9
\] & 2874:5;2972:25 & \[
2931: 17 ; 2933: 1,4
\] & ironhoundmgtcom (1) & 2948:12 \\
\hline incorrect (1) & instructions (1) & 2935:20,20,22; & 3000:20 & Jason (7) \\
\hline 2859:15 & 2886:15 & 2939:14;2948:19; & irrelevant (6) & \[
2890: 6 ; 2891: 23
\] \\
\hline indeed (1) & insurance (3) & 2952:14;2955:24; & 2867:23;2895:23; & 2980:1,2;2989:18; \\
\hline 3012:2 & 2864:8,14;3007:1 & 2969:15,18;2986:20; & 2911:4;2973:14,16,21 & 2994:22;3001:24 \\
\hline Index (1) & intel (1) & 2993:16;3012:24 & irrespective (1) & JBJ (1) \\
\hline 2857:5.5 & 2928:8 & introduce (3) & 2869:8 & 2924:15 \\
\hline India (1) & intend (2) & 2866:7;2962:20; & issue (10) & Jeff (1) \\
\hline 2943:15 & 2959:9;2973:17 & 2964:20 & 2862:9,16;2863:1 & 2931:12 \\
\hline indicate (5) & intends (1) & introduced (8) & 20;2868:11;2869:2; & Jefferies (1) \\
\hline 2886:7;2914:15; & 2887:24 & 2911:18;2933:1; & 2953:25;2957:4; & 2950:12 \\
\hline 2947:11;2978:20; & intent (1) & 2936:1;2939:17; & 2997:11;3009:10 & JEFFREY (2) \\
\hline 2994:6 & 3013:2 & 2960:2;2987:22,23; & issued (4) & 2857:7.5;2943:19 \\
\hline indicated (5) & intention (2) & 3011:4 & 2864:23,25;2865:1; & Jeff's (1) \\
\hline 2884:21;2900:8;
2961:12-2981:25; & 2922:14;2985:24 & introducing (2) & 2868:20 & 2903:8
JENNIFER (1) \\
\hline 2961:12;2981:25; & interest (32) & 2911:21;2956:10 & issues & JENNIFER (1) \\
\hline 3003:17 & 29 & introduction (1) & 2862:2;2908:12; & 2858:9.5 \\
\hline indicates (4) & 2905:3,7;2906:21; & , & 2964:10;3012:19; & Jersey (1) \\
\hline 2947:12;2958:9;
2981:23;2994:7 & 2932:3;2948:7,18; & inure (1) & 3013:15 & 2858:12.5 \\
\hline 2981:23;2994:7
dicating (1) & 2955:10,16,17; & 2860:12 & issuing (2) & \begin{tabular}{l}
JESUS (1) \\
2858:5.5
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 2887:17 & 2962:12,13,14; & 2992:12 & item (32) & \[
\operatorname{Jim}(1)
\] \\
\hline indication (2) & 2970:24;2971:14; & investigation (1) & 2876:10,18,25; & 2983:10 \\
\hline 2986:7,12 & 2976:19;2984:20; & 2964:18 & 2877:8,16,24;2878:6, & JLC (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \(v\). DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 2950:12 & 2859:5;2910:8; & 2858:5 & 2890:14 & 2888:2;2916:1 \\
\hline job (1) & 2944:13;2945:6; & lead (4) & level (1) & list (22) \\
\hline 2915:3 & 2957:8 & 2883:9,16;2905:19; & 2958:7 & 2891:20;2898:6,14; \\
\hline join (1) & Kindle & 2953:12 & Levfin (1) & 2899:9,12,13,14,15,17, \\
\hline 2968:17 & 2915:17,1 & leads (1) & 2975:18 & 22;2900:1,2,5,7,10; \\
\hline joined (4) & KISE (34) & 3012:1 & Levine (10) & 2907:11;2908:25; \\
\hline 2941:15,16,20 & 2858:4.5;2862:6,14 & League (10) & 2946:16,18;2947:7; & 2909:8;2933:25; \\
\hline 2942:3 & 2869:14;2871:7; & 2882:1;2883:22 & 2949:13;2966:18,23; & 2969:11;2970:4; \\
\hline joint (1) & 2872:20;2873:18; & 2892:4,6;2899:2 & 2968:6,18;2970:13,18 & 2995:25 \\
\hline 2933:18 & 2874:10;2909:20; & 2901:18,24;2908:9; & Liberty (1) & Listed (9) \\
\hline JPM (1) & 2910:18;2911:4,11; & 2924:8;2926:3 & 2857:20.5 & 2894:16,17;2895:2; \\
\hline 2899:1 & 2929:8,16,25;2930:4; & learn (1) & LIBOR (3) & 2920:13,15;2933:17; \\
\hline JR (9) & 2953:8,10,22;2954:1; & 2884:21 & 2955:10;2977:16; & 2934:6;2996:12; \\
\hline 2857:7;2920:18; & 2957:15,19;2958:14, & learned (3) & 2993:5 & 2998:3 \\
\hline 2941:25;2942:13,16, & 16;3009:4,8;3010:6,20, & 2961:1;2965:16; & license (1) & listen (1) \\
\hline 25;2943:13,14; & 23;3011:12;3012:11, & 2972:17 & 2932:10 & 2871:10 \\
\hline 3007:24 & 14,17;3013:8 & learning (2) & licensed (1) & listening (1) \\
\hline Judge (3) & Kise's (2) & 2892:15;2951:6 & 2932:11 & 2873:14 \\
\hline 2862:6;2939:4; & 2874:16;2912:5 & leasing (1) & licenses (1) & listing (1) \\
\hline 2953:22 & knew (3) & 2943:14 & 2940:25 & 2945:2 \\
\hline judgment (6) & 2900:17;2901:15; & least (5) & licensing (4) & lists (1) \\
\hline 2870:22;2910:3; & 2904:23 & 2862:7;2907:20,25 & 2933:21;2934:16; & 2933:8 \\
\hline 2981:23;3008:22; & knowledge (5) & 2965:19;3011:18 & 2943:7,16 & litigation (1) \\
\hline 3009:14;3013:21 & 2930:23;2988:12; & leave (3) & life (1) & 2904:24 \\
\hline judicial (2) & 3011:23;3013:2,5 & 2873:16;2930:16 & 2861:22 & little (10) \\
\hline 2936:25;2937:1 & known (3) & 2942:21 & likely (3) & 2874:1;2878:12; \\
\hline July (3) & 2860:18;2873:14; & led (1) & 2901:16;2907:11; & 2881:17;2897:14; \\
\hline 2871:13;2886:4; & 2898:2 & 2884:7 & 2998:2 & 2904:20;2908:1; \\
\hline 2920:21 & & left (9) & liminae (1) & 2930:9;2947:9; \\
\hline jurisdiction (1) & L & 2875:9 & 2859:8 & 2992:21;3003:14 \\
\hline 2916:11 & & 2912:9;2942:2,4,23 & limit (1) & live (1) \\
\hline jury (1) & L\&L (2) & 2977:13;3002:14; & 2929:7 & 2881:3 \\
\hline 2896:7 & 2941:9,12 & 3004:7 & limitations (33) & LLC (18) \\
\hline Justice (1) & label (1) & lend (1) & 2861:17,18,25 & 2857:8.5,9,9.5,10,10, \\
\hline 2857:16.5 & 2999:3 & 2950:8 & 2871:2;2888:18 & 10.5,10.5;2919:15,17, \\
\hline & laborious (1) & lender (8) \({ }^{\text {(8) }}\) & 2893:11;2895:12; & 23,25;2920:2,4,6,8; \\
\hline K & 2930:4 & 2947:20;2949:21 & 2945:24;2948:22; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3004: 7 ; 3007: 16 ; \\
& 3009: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Kaiser (1) & 2857:24 & 2960:9;2983:19 & 2955:25;2967:25; & LLP (2) \\
\hline 2941:6 & Laptops (1) & 2993:13;3004:2 & 2969:16,22;2978:24; & 2858:11;3008:18 \\
\hline Katz (1) & 2859:3 & lenders (4) & 2980:7;2981:4;2984:5; & loads (1) \\
\hline 2925:18 & large (3) & 2946:24;2967:8; & 2990:11;2993:17; & 2914:5 \\
\hline keep (9) & 2950:13,14,18 & 2978:11;2995:20 & 2994:11;2996:11; & loan (56) \\
\hline \[
2870: 17 ; 2925: 1 ;
\] & Last (17) & lending (1) & 3000:8;3001:17; & 2859:24;2861:22; \\
\hline 2927:18,21;2936:10, & 2859:7;2873:17; & \[
3004: 3
\] & 3003:3;3004:17; & 2864:8,14,25;2865:1,6, \\
\hline 11;2940:10;2954:3; & 2882:5,24;2889:1; & lent (1) & 3005:21;3006:9; & 7,9;2866:22;2868:1; \\
\hline 2998:13 & 2931:2;2936:7;2938:7; & 2973:11 & 3009:9,18;3010:3; & 2871:15,23;2874:21; \\
\hline Kelly (1) & 2939:12;2950:11; & less (4) & 3013:4 & 2947:13;2948:7; \\
\hline 2924:16 & 2968:8;2976:9;2996:1; & 2977:2,3;2978:20 & limited (1) & 2954:23;2956:3,10,18, \\
\hline kept (1) & 2998:3;3003:2,18; & 2991:7 & 2911:22 & 19;2958:10,11;2959:4, \\
\hline 2872:20 & 3013:19 & LETITIA (2) & limitless (2) & 16,18,19;2961:11; \\
\hline KEVIN (1) & later (8) & 2857:3,19.5 & 2865:19;2866:10 & 2970:25;2971:15; \\
\hline 2857:21.5 & 2867:25;2871:7; & letter (27) & Lincoln-Douglas (1) & 2972:21;2973:9; \\
\hline Key (3) & 2900:4,6,7;2938:11; & 2865:21;2884:17; & 2872:17 & 2975:18,19,22; \\
\hline 2894:23;2967:7; & 2959:10;2998:23 & 2885:21,24;2886:1,3,6, & line (14) & 2976:21;2977:15; \\
\hline 3013:10 & Law (6) & 7,9,10,14;2887:14,14, & 2933:9,13;2945:23; & 2978:19,21;2980:23; \\
\hline Kidder (1) & & 16,17,20,22;2888:14; & 2948:22;2956:5; & 2985:16;2986:8; \\
\hline 2943:19 & 6;2869:12;2965:17 & 2890:14;2893:20; & 2958:5,8,22;2965:10; & 2987:7,8;2989:4,8; \\
\hline kids (2) & lay (2) & 2919:10,12;2920:15; & 2969:7,8;2976:9; & 2992:7,11,18;2993:3, \\
\hline 2942:10,12 & 2972:13;3006:24 & 2935:10;3007:20,20,23 & 2980:22;3013:21 & 13;2994:9;2995:11; \\
\hline Kim (1) & layout (1) & letters (8) & link (1) & 2999:4;3000:2;3012:2 \\
\hline 2895:1 & 2943:21 & 2884:16;2885:1,5,9, & 2970:15 & Loancore (6) \\
\hline kind (5) & LAZARO (1) & 11,14;2889:11; & liquidity (2) & 2950:11,18,18,20,21, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 22 & 2948:15,16;2988:15 & 2946:12;2949:3; & 2881:16;2940:24; & 2867:5 \\
\hline loans (13) & lower (1) & 2951:8;2952:19; & 2941:7,16 & mentioned (6) \\
\hline 2860:1;2864:23; & 2977:5 & 2956:22;2966:15; & McCarty (8) & 2862:8;2883:12; \\
\hline 2865:11;2866:1,18; & low-leverage (1) & 2968:3;2979:4; & 2859:9;2860:21; & 2887:13;2976:11; \\
\hline 2868:20;2874:15; & 2949:25 & 2980:10;2981:7; & 2864:12;2865:10; & 3000:15;3010:9 \\
\hline 2950:18,19;2988:17; & lunch (3) & 2984:15;2988:22; & 2868:15;2869:6; & mere (1) \\
\hline 2992:25;2995:5; & 2911:10;2912:2; & 2990:14;2993:19; & 2870:8,9 & 2860:16 \\
\hline 2996:2 & 2938:14 & 2994:13;2998:15; & McCarty's (2) & Meridian (5) \\
\hline located (2) & luncheon (1) & 3000:12;3001:20; & 2861:16;2872:11 & 2946:21;2948:6; \\
\hline 2943:22,24 & 2938:15 & 3003:7;3004:20; & MCCONNEY (6) & 2949:13,19;2966:11 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { lock (1) } \\
2991: 5
\end{gathered}
\] & M & \(3007: 12\)
market (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2857:7.5;2873:4; } \\
& 2943: 19 ; 2953: 6,17,18
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { meridiancapitalcom (1) } \\
& 2946: 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline LONDON (1) & & 2962:5;2992:9 & McKinsey (1) & message (5) \\
\hline 2858:22.5 & Macquaire (1) & marketable (1) & 2881:24 & 2885:17;2890:17; \\
\hline long (7) & 2947:21 & 2933:8 & mean (22) & 2891:22;2892:11,12 \\
\hline 2861:22;2909:14; & MADAIO (1) & markets (1) & 2867:1;2871:8,9; & messages (1) \\
\hline 2917:12;2918:16; & 2858:11 & 2866:23 & 2884:18;2917:18,18; & 2889:20 \\
\hline 2937:24;2982:4; & Magazine (1) & markup (4) & 2929:7;2936:4;2945:6, & met (3) \\
\hline 3002:12 & 2944:6 & 2958:7;2990:21 & 16;2953:11,16;2959:9, & 2896:17;2915:13; \\
\hline longer (3) & mailed (1) & 2995:4;2996:16 & 23;2960:8;2961:1; & 2942:24 \\
\hline 2911:23;2912:1; & 2965:18 & markups (4) & 2962:3;2977:3; & mezz (1) \\
\hline 2930:10 & maintain (1) & 2914:5;2996:22,22; & 2978:17;3009:17; & 2975:18 \\
\hline look (22) & 2980:20 & 2997:10 & 3010:3;3013:11 & Miami (2) \\
\hline 2861:21;2862:2; & making (5) & Marx (1) & meaning (6) & 2966:25;2977:11 \\
\hline 2865:10;2871:3; & 2903:24;2959:25 & 2881:6 & 2866:5;2868:19; & MICHAEL (10) \\
\hline 2885:14;2889:7,8 & 2964:5,6;2972:21 & Mason (3) & 2871:3;2946:25 & 2858:10;2889:18,23, \\
\hline 2906:15;2916:6; & Management (14) & 2969:6;2975:5 & 2970:24;2971:14 & 25;2890:10,17; \\
\hline 2936:4;2951:14; & 2881:24;2886:23; & material (2) & means (6) & 2891:23;2892:12; \\
\hline 2952:6;2955:7; & 2890:17,19,23,24; & 2866:16;2868:14 & 2905:14;2923:14; & 2935:23;2937:21 \\
\hline 2957:14;2976:8,8; & 2891:3,14;2892:22; & materiality (4) & 2927:7,2978:16,18; & Michiel (1) \\
\hline 2981:15;2991:21,23; & 2894:9;2916:6;3004:7; & 2862:21;2866:17 & 2990:23 & 2859:9 \\
\hline 2992:24;2997:5,7 & 3005:3,16 & 2868:9;2909:23 & meant (5) & microphone (2) \\
\hline looked (6) & MANAGING (10) & materials (8) & 2890:10;2908:19; & 2881:18;2940:8 \\
\hline 2873:10;2889:11; & 2857:9;2882:22,23; & 2893:22,23;2895:7; & 2921:20;2978:13,15 & middle (5) \\
\hline 2901:12;2975:8; & 2883:8;2886:22; & 2927:4,8;2949:20; & measure (2) & 2890:16;2947:8; \\
\hline 2978:6;2993:24 & 2888:9;2905:16,18; & 2970:16;2992:17 & 2874:23,24 & 2949:10;2984:19; \\
\hline looking (17) & 2907:2;2919:19 & matter (13) & meaty (1) & 2997:4 \\
\hline 2874:6;2883:25; & manipulate (1) & 2896:8,23;2930:3; & 2862:2 & might (12) \\
\hline 2904:6;2905:23; & 2910:19 & 2936:2,5;2937:16,18; & meet (1) & 2866:4,8;2868:3,3; \\
\hline 2916:1;2927:7; & manner (1) & 2939:10;2960:7,10,13; & 2890:23 & 2915:6,9;2936:3; \\
\hline 2948:15;2949:12; & 3007:2 & 2962:11;2972:24 & meeting (3) & 2944:13;2949:25; \\
\hline 2950:14;2952:22; & many (6) & matters (2) & 2915:22;2916:24; & 2970:25;2971:15; \\
\hline 2964:22;2967:20; & 2861:18;2873:19; & 2923:18;3009:25 & 2918:2 & 2978:20 \\
\hline 2969:5;2981:18; & 2906:24;2907:1,3; & maximize (1) & meetings (3) & might' (1) \\
\hline 2991:13;2993:13,23 & 2951:1 & 2915:4 & 2890:24;2893:1; & 2860:4 \\
\hline Looks (3) & Marc (7) & may (29) & 2904:3 & million (3) \\
\hline 2889:18;2982:4; & 2966:18,23;2968:5, & 2860:22;2861:3,20; & MEMBER (6) & 2958:6;2977:15; \\
\hline 2996:17 & 17;2970:14;2971:9,24 & 2862:2,5;2863:2; & 2857:9;2890:4; & 2986:4 \\
\hline lost (1) & Margay (1) & 2874:3;2897:1;2911:7, & 2893:21;2898:1; & mind (10) \\
\hline 2864:4 & 2890:7 & 8;2929:7;2945:13; & 2919:19;2922:2 & 2900:20,25;2901:9; \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }}\) (8) & MARK (1) & 2946:9;2953:15; & members (2) & 2902:3;2987:6,9,11; \\
\hline 2883:5,5;2930:4,5,6; & 2857:24 & 2971:7,16,20;2972:5,6, & 2859:4;3013:22 & 2988:6,8,10 \\
\hline 2940:7;2957:20; & markdowns (1) & 12;2973:19;2976:10; & memo (13) & mine (1) \\
\hline 3005:7 & 2914:5 & 2989:7;2997:2; & 2966:24;2967:4; & 2890:4 \\
\hline lots (3) & marked (45) & 2998:17,19;3002:5; & 2983:14,16;2984:10; & minimum (4) \\
\hline 2891:15,16;2930:6 & 2876:7,11,14,19; & 3008:2;3011:1 & 2985:4;2991:19; & 2889:10;2980:20; \\
\hline Loud (1) & 2877:1,3,9,17,25; & maybe (5) & 2992:7;2994:4; & 2988:18;3011:11 \\
\hline 2896:20 & 2878:7,24;2879:10,19; & 2874:4;2930:2; & 3003:23,25;3004:10,12 & minute (2) \\
\hline louder (1) & 2880:1;2888:21; & 2944:12;2958:19; & memoranda (1) & 2909:11;2970:12 \\
\hline 2881:17 & 2893:14,17;2896:11; & 2997:13 & 2949:20 & minutes (10) \\
\hline LOUIS (1) & 2902:20;2918:20; & Mazars (1) & memorandum (1) & 2872:23;2875:9; \\
\hline 2857:23.5 & 2919:2;2921:15; & 2873:6 & 2992:16 & 2880:6,6;2929:5,21,22; \\
\hline low (3) & 2929:2;2931:21; & MBA (4) & memos (1) & 2975:8;3011:8;3014:6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline misleading (8) & 2910:22;2975:11; & myriad (1) & net (14) & noon (1) \\
\hline 2863:11,12,15,18; & 78:10;3011:9 & 65 & 2886:10;2887:18; & 2995:13 \\
\hline 2865:15;2873:23,25; & mortgage (1) & myself (2) & 922:7;2932:23 & NORTH (4) \\
\hline 874:14 & 2949:25 & 2911:20;3012:4 & 2933:18;2934:6,7,24; & 2857:9.5;2858:3.5; \\
\hline mispronouncing (1) 2872:25 & most (3) & N & 2944:10,24;2945:5,7, & 2905:8;2920:2 \\
\hline mistake (1) & 3008:17 & N & \[
\begin{array}{r}
11 ; 2980 \\
\text { NEW (27) }
\end{array}
\] & 2872:8 \\
\hline 2994:4 & mostly (1) & name (18) & 2857:1,1.5,2.5,3.5, & note (7) \\
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\hline 2965:11;2988:11; & 8;2975:20;2976:20; & 13;2880:19;2939:17; & Tired (1) & 2985:20;2992:11 \\
\hline 3003:15,17;3004:12; & 2977:20;2986:15; & 2951:4;2973:2; & 2880:4 & traditional (2) \\
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to a presentation that we did in person where we were presenting our proposal to constituents in the GSA.
Q And there is a notation in the e-mail that identifies it as one of high importance. Do you have a recollection as to why that designation is there?

A We want -- we would have wanted -- this was important and we would have wanted to get organized quickly and make sure we had as thoughtful a response as we could.

MR. HAREN: I move to admit Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1288 into evidence.
MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Where is Mr. Robert? He usually does that.

MR. KISE: I know. I've got to take over this morning.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1288 in evidence.)
Q And directing your attention to page three of the exhibit. Do you recognize this as a letter from the General Services Administration to Ivanka Trump as executive vice president of acquisitions and development at the Trump Organization?
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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\section*{A Yes.}

Q And the letter states: "This is to confirm that your team is scheduled to make a presentation regarding the above-referenced solicitation on December 19, 2011 at 2:00 p.m.." Is that a reference to the in-person presentation that you mentioned earlier?

A I believe it is.
Q And then on page four this is the second page of the letter under "Factor 3." It states under the second bullet, "financial statements appear to have multiple GAAP departures, including but not limited to, not including separately held financials, no provision for income tax and the PV of the deposits not reflected." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Was that an issue identified by the GSA during the process?
A It appears to be from this letter, yes.
Q And then on page four, same page, there is a bullet that reads -- it's the third bullet, "please provide a statement regarding any bankruptcy or loan defaults on real estate development projects as specified under Factor 3."

Was that also an issue identified by the GSA during the bidding process?
A Yes.
Q I want to direct your attention to page 15 of the
document. Is the information on page 15 and what follows in a table format, is that the outline for presentation that you attached to your e-mail?
A Yes.
Q And the outline identifies under the heading "Oral
Presentation" on page 15 that there would be an intro from Ivanka Trump?

A Yes.
Q And the third bullet reads "DJT speaks." What is that a reference to?

A That refers to the portion of the presentation when President Trump was presenting.
Q And on page 18 of the document next to factor 3B regarding financial statements it reads in part, "Dave to discuss with Allen how we might address." Do you see that? A Yes.
Q Was it your understanding that you will discuss the financial statement item under factor 3B with Allen Weisselberg?

A Yes.
Q How did you come to that understanding?
A I have a slight recollection that this was generally an issue that we had to address in our response, so in reviewing this it sort of reminded me of that.

Q But how did you come to the understanding at the time that Allen Weisselberg was the person you should speak to?
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A Oh , Allen is the CFO and was responsible for that side of the business.

MR. HAREN: Let's pull up Plaintiffs Exhibit 1429.
Q Mr. Orowitz, the Plaintiff's Exhibit 1429, do you see that it's an e-mail from you to Allen Weisselberg on December 16, 2011 pertaining to language in the GAAP section? A Yes.
Q Does the information in this e-mail pertain to the GSA bidding process?

A Yes.
MR. HAREN: I move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 1429 into evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1429 in evidence.)
Q And in the subject line you wrote in all caps,
"Important," and then you added "need to discuss now." Why would you write that?
A I think we were on a deadline to get the response put together and I needed people to focus on their portions of that response.
Q And in the first line of your e-mail reads: "Allen,
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
1
1 Ivanka wanted me to change the language in the GAAP section.
    includes, it says, "message from RNPFC 77-CE" and it states
    "queries to scanner@Trumporg.com?"
    A Yes.
    Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this
    document was scanned or e-mailed?
    A I would assume that.
    Q And looking at the attachment beginning on page two do
    you see that it says at the top "Redevelopment of Old Post
    Office, Request For Proposals?"
    A Yes.
    Q And do you understand that the attachment reflects
    under the heading "Financial Statements" Mr. McConney's
    handwritten input into language describing Mr. Trump's Statement
    of Financial Condition and GAAP departures?
    A I don't know whose handwriting this is.
    Q But does the e-mail itself indicate that it came to you
    from Jeff McConney?
    A It indicates that it came from Jeff McConney, yes.
    Q And back to the e-mail at the very top, you wrote
    "please incorporate."
    A Yes.
    Q What did you intend that to mean?
    A We had a larger presentation that this was apart of and
    my recollection is Morgan was putting that together or helping
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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put that together and we needed to incorporate it into a larger presentation.

Q And so you received handwritten comments pertaining to
Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition from Jeff McConney and then directed that they be incorporated?

A Yes.
THE COURT: Wait. These are two different things obviously. Are you saying yes to both? Incorporate the handwritten notes and incorporate the document into the presentation?

THE WITNESS: I was suggesting that with these changes in the handwritten notes, Your Honor, that that should be incorporated into the presentation.
Q And the handwritten notes came to you from Jeff
McConney?
A I received them from Jeff McConney based on this e-mail, yes.

THE COURT: So there are two different incorporations. I just want to make that clear. Okay, I'll assume they agree.

MR. HAREN: I move to admit Plaintiffs Exhibit 3273.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Don't you wish your buddy was here?
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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MR. KISE: I do. He's making me work today. THE COURT: Overruled. Granted.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 3273 in evidence.)

MR. HAREN: Plaintiffs Exhibit 1431 has been handed to the witness.
Q Mr. Orowitz, you'll see on the first page an e-mail
from you to Ivanka Trump and others on December 17, 2011. I'll jut advise you that's a Saturday.

And the subject of the e-mail is "handout for Monday." Do you have an understanding as to what that refers to?

A I believe that this refers to a document that we were providing to the GSA in tandem with our presentation.

Q And do you have an understanding that the attachment is a copy of the document that was provided to the GSA during the presentation?

A Yes. This appears to be a version of it, if not the final version.

Q Let's take a look at page ten of the exhibit. You see at the top at the left it reads "Factor 3:" And then "3B)
financial statements appear to have multiple GAAP departures" and it goes on?
A Yes.
Q And it provides a response about three separate items
in bold, "separately held entities provisions for income tax and PV of the deposits?"

A Yes.
Q And those were the same GAAP departures that were
highlighted in the letter from GSA we looked at a few moments ago?

A Yes, correct.
MR. HAREN: I move to admit Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1431 into evidence.
MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 1431 in evidence.)
Q And Mr. Orowitz, I'm going to switch to a different topic and hopefully have you out of here, depending on the defendants. This relates to the Doral property.

MR. HAREN: Plaintiff's Exhibit 347 has been handed to the witness.
Q Mr. Orowitz, do you recognize this document as a series of e-mails between you and Dave Williams and Josh Frank from Deutsche Bank on or about March 18, 2016?
A Yes.
25 Q The subject of these e-mails is "Doral/Turnberry."
D. Orowitz - Plaintiff - direct (Haren)
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Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Do you have an understanding of what that refers to in this time frame?
A At that time we were in conversations with Deutsche
Bank about upsizing our loan, so getting additional loan
proceeds and I believe that's what this e-mail refers to.
MR. HAREN: And I move to admit Plaintiff's
Exhibit 347 into evidence.
MR. KISE: Objection. Relevance.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q Do you have an understanding as to how much in
additional financing was being sought by the Trump Organization from Deutsche Bank in or about February or March of 2016?

A I don't recall specifically.
Q Let's see if a document refreshes your recollection.
MR. HAREN: This is Plaintiff's Exhibit 342 -- 352.
Q Mr. Orowitz, do you see at the top of the document
there is an e-mail from Rosemary Vrablic to Ivanka Trump on February 11, 2016?
A Yes.
Q And do you see that in the e-mail Ms. Vrablic wrote to Ms. Trump, quote, "I spoke to the credit team on the" -- excuse me -- "\$50 MM request and we are thrilled to consider it for you." Does that refresh your recollection that the Trump

Organization requested \(\$ 50\) million in financing from Deutsche Bank to upsize the Doral loan in or about February of 2016?

A It refreshes my memory that it was around that number, but I don't remember specifically.
Q Thank you.
MR. HAREN: I have no further questions for this witness.

THE COURT: Will there be any cross examination at this time?

MR. KISE: Not at this time, Your Honor, no.
THE COURT: Okay. Witness excused.
THE COURT OFFICER: Members of the audience, please remain seated.
(Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness stand.)

THE COURT: And Plaintiff, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. WALLACE: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. The People call Michiel McCarty.

MR. KISE: For the record, Your Honor, we renew our objection as stated on the record yesterday.

THE COURT: I understand that, and I overruled it.
THE COURT OFFICER: Court ready for the witness?
THE COURT: Yes.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Raise your right hand.
Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
Please state your name and either home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Michiel McCarty spelled M-i-c-h-i-e-1 M-c-C-a-r-t-y. Address is 44 N . Porchuck Road, Greenwich, Connecticut 06831.

THE COURT: Okay. Please proceed, Counselor. MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Good morning, Mr. McCarty.
A Good morning, Mr. Wallace.
Q So just so that all of us are reminded, I will ask you to speak closely into the microphone so that people can hear you and also remind you to speak slowly so that the court reporter can take down everything we are saying.

Mr. McCarty, where are you currently employed?
A I am a chairman and CEO of an investment bank by the
name of MM Dillon \& Company.
Q And what do you do in your role as CEO and chairman of MM Dillon?
A I spend the majority of my time doing transactions for clients, corporate clients, mergers and acquisitions, financings, joint venture related.
Q And what kind of transactions are you involved in?
A I do debt transactions, convertible transactions, equity transactions, and assorted various mergers and acquisitions.
Q And how long have you been working in the banking industry?
A For a very long time, since 1975.
Q Could you describe for us your educational background prior to becoming a banker?

A I have an undergraduate degree from Vanderbilt University in physics and honors and I have an MBA in finance with a concentration in capital markets from the Wharton School.

Q So if we could just go through your employment experience after you obtained your MBA.

What was the first position you took after you completed your education?
A My first job out of Wharton was for Citibank here in New York working in their merchant banking group.
Q And could you describe for us what kinds of

Page 3033
transactions you worked on while you were at Citi?
A The first one I worked on just showed up was the Penn
Central bankruptcy which was the largest bankruptcy in the
history of the country at that time and I was assigned to that
group for the first nine months I was there. Penn Central was
at that time the largest real estate owner in New York owning 24
trophy properties.
Q And what were your responsibilities in that engagement?
A I was put on the team because of my science in
analytical background, so I was the valuation expert on the properties which the bank was trying to originate the sale of to recover their losses on Penn Central.
Q And what were those properties?
A Large number PanAm building, the New York Central building, the Commodore Hotel, the Barclay Hotel, the Waldorf Astoria and about eight office buildings along Park Avenue and Lexington Avenue, Madison Avenue.
Q And after your time in Citi what was your next position?

A I was recruited to join Dillon Read \& Company, another investment bank.
Q And what was your position at Dillon Read?
A I had various jobs. I ultimately took control and responsibility for the financing of corporate clients, both equity and debt.

Q And can you describe for us some of your experiences when you were at Dillon Read?
A One of the most notable was I was the lead banker and Dillon Read was the lead manager underwriter of the privatization of Fannie Mae. Fannie Mae was the largest issuer of debt in the United States and the largest provider of mortgages. I believe it still is. I worked for about a year privatizing, setting it up and taking it public in the market.
Q And after your time at Dillon Read what was your next position?

A I was recruited to join SG Warburg, which is a
London-based bank. I believe it's the largest bank outside the
United States in a role running North and South America for the SG Warburg operation.
Q And what were some representative transactions from your time at SG Warburg?
A One of the most interesting was the de-merger of
Marriott Corporation. Marriott at the time I think was the third largest hotel operation in the United States.

We designed a system to divide Marriott into the hotel management company, Marriott and the real estate owning company post Marriott.
Q And what was your role on that transactions?
A I was the lead banker on that transaction.
Q Were there any changes in your employment during the
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course of your time at SG Warburg?
A Moore I remember when she was appointed to the
Management Committee and Commitment Committee overseeing all the assets in North and South America.

Q And what were your -- where were you employed after your time at SG Warburg?
A I was involved in selling the SG Warburg to UBS. I stayed on the combined UBS Warburg for my contract period which is nine months and then left to go to a firm here in New York, Gleacher \& Co.

Q And what is Gleacher \& Co?
A It was an independent investment bank owned by the partners. We sold it to NatWest, a UK institutional bank and we ran it as Gleacher NatWest in North America. We took over the NatWest operations here in North America.

Q And what were your responsibilities at Gleacher and NatWest?

A I was running the financing side of the business. Eric Gleacher, who was the founder was running the MNA side of the business.
Q When you say "financing side of the business," what does that entail?

A The whole spectrum of financing going from bank debt to private placements to public debt and then all the spectrum of equity convertibles and straight equity.
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\hline M. McCarty - Plaintiff - direct (Wallace) & Page 3036 & \\
1 & Q And can you give us examples of any relevant & 1 \\
2 & transactions that you worked on during your time at Gleacher and & 2 \\
3 & NatWest? & 3 \\
4 & A Probably the most prominent client I had was AT\&T. I'd & 4 \\
5 & done a series of senior debt deals for them. I had actually & 5 \\
6 & opposed them in the takeover of NCR and they eventually hired me & 6 \\
7 & to take them and sell them to one of the other large telcos, & 7 \\
8 & ultimately SBC, Southwestern Bell Corp to form the new AT\&T. & 8 \\
9 & Q And where did you go after your time at Gleacher and & 9 \\
10 & NatWest? & 10 \\
11 & A I started up an investment banking group inside a & 11 \\
12 & trading firm in Connecticut called CRT Capital. The investment & 12 \\
13 & banking group ultimately became MM Dillon. We spun it off after & 13 \\
14 & the 2008 crash from CRT, which it had trading problems in the & 14 \\
15 & crash. & 15 \\
16 & Q And what was your position at that entity? & 16 \\
17 & A I was one of the managing partners of CRT Capital and & 17 \\
18 & we were part of them and ran investment banking and after the & 18 \\
19 & spin I became the chairman of the independent company MM Dillon. & 19 \\
20 & Q And can you describe for us some representative & 20 \\
21 & transactions you worked on during your time at CRT? & 21 \\
22 & A Maybe one of the more relevant ones is I began having & 22 \\
23 & occasional expert witness testimony cases and two of them that & 23 \\
24 & are relevant here. I took on for Credit Suisse, an expert & 24 \\
25 & witness testimony in the Lake Las Vegas case, a development & 25
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    outside Las Vegas involved about 2,800 homes and golf courses
    and hotels.
            THE COURT: Let me just ask this and/or direct the
    witness please speak up. You're borderline difficult to
    hear I think out there.
            THE WITNESS: I apologize. I have some seasonal
    allergies that are clogging me up. I'll try to speak up,
    though.
            THE COURT: Okay, great.
    Q Was there any other experts experiences that you
mentioned? There were some others, I believe?
    A I've taken about one a year. I got into the expert
witness business very accidentally. I was asked to do for a
client in Delaware, a takeover discussion dispute and so I take
one or two major a year.
    Another one that's relevant maybe is Duke Energy was a
past client of mine, had its real estate subsidiary called
Crescent Realty and they done it -- a sponsored spin off of
Crescent Realty with Morgan Stanley asset management and put on
about two and a half billion dollars of debt and ultimately went
brought bankrupt. I was put in to testify about the asset of
the loans and public debt.
    Q So do you have a sense of what's the subtotal number of
times you've appeared as an expert witness?
    A I've probably been hired as an expert witness a couple
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outside Las Vegas involved about 2,800 homes and golf courses and hotels.

THE COURT: Let me just ask this and/or direct the witness please speak up. You're borderline difficult to hear I think out there.

THE WITNESS: I apologize. I have some seasonal allergies that are clogging me up. I'll try to speak up, though.

THE COURT: Okay, great.
Q Was there any other experts experiences that you mentioned? There were some others, I believe?

A I've taken about one a year. I got into the expert witness business very accidentally. I was asked to do for a client in Delaware, a takeover discussion dispute and so I take one or two major a year.

Another one that's relevant maybe is Duke Energy was a past client of mine, had its real estate subsidiary called Crescent Realty and they done it -- a sponsored spin off of Crescent Realty with Morgan Stanley asset management and put on about two and a half billion dollars of debt and ultimately went brought bankrupt. I was put in to testify about the asset of the loans and public debt.
\(Q\) So do you have a sense of what's the subtotal number of 24 times you've appeared as an expert witness?
25 A I've probably been hired as an expert witness a couple
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dozen times and I probably testified at trial maybe 14 or 15 times.
Q Across those engagements was there a general subject matter of the issues you testified on?

A Really a hundred percent of it was related to capital markets issues.

Transcript continues on the following page....
estate-related loans.
Q And what was your role in approving those loans?
A I was the senior officer signing off.
Q And how many other real estate transactions have you worked on since then?
A It's not a specialty, but it is probably four or five.
Q Did any of the transactions, any of the commercial real estate transactions you worked on involve high net worth individuals as guarantors?
A Yes, so I had a couple.
Q Can you give us those examples?
A Yeah. The NatWest had a subsidiary called Coutts that handled the high net worth including the Queen and kind of transaction involving the Coutts client base which one of their high net worth individuals wanted to buy the Cunard Shipping Lines and related real estate assets.
Q Any other experience?
A Another client of Coutts wanted to take and split up a public U.S. company that had a real estate subsidiary. Both of these were two and-a-half to three-billion-dollar transactions involving bank financing and equity from the high net worth individuals.
Q And so who did you represent in those transactions that you just described?
A Curiously enough, both parties because the subsidiary
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Coutts was a reporting agent to me and the clients were investment banking clients, so I was the mediator between both parties.
Q Over the course of your career, was review of financial statements to make credit decisions something you did on a regular basis?
A Pretty much everything I've done involves review of financial statements and analysis, be it mergers or equity
financing, or debt financing. I might have completed, I don't
know, 350, 400 transactions and I probably looked at twice as
many. So pretty much every day I go to work, I analyze financial statements.
Q What kinds of financial statements, corporate, personal?
A Corporate in the majority and the high-net worth individuals, it is individual statements.
Q And is there a significant difference between corporate financial statements and individual financial statements?
A Not really. I mean, it's still the same accounting
principles. It is GAAP. So I don't really view them as highly different. Many of the family offices that we deal with are multi-billion-dollar enterprises.
Q And are you familiar with the banking transactions at issue in this case?
A Iam.

Q And is the analysis conducted by the lenders in this case similar to analysis that you had performed?
A Yes.
Q Are there any differences?
A One difference I notice is I don't know that I've ever dealt with compilation statements, so many of the transactions are done without audited statements, but compilation was a new thing to me.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I move to qualify Mr. McCarty as an expert in banking and capital markets.

THE COURT: Would that include expertise about compilations?

MR. WALLACE: Not in the preparation of compilations, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's hear from the other side.
MR. KISE: Well, it also appears that it is not a valuation of compilation statements either, so that's yet an additional reason that we would object to this witness in addition to the reasons we put on the record yesterday. He has now just testified that he's never dealt with compilation. "I don't know that I've ever dealt with compilation statements."

The entire case centers around the valuation by the banks of compilation statements. So while this witness merely has experience in the banking and financing sector
and specifically with underwriting loan transactions, it appears that he does not have the specific experience relevant for purposes -- for the purposes that we're here.
Q Mr. McCarty are you capable of reading and understanding and analyzing a compilation statement? A I am. They're not really significant differences to me. The private financial statements of high-net worth individuals many times are unaudited and the difference between the statements, I believe, is not material to my analysis.

THE COURT: I hereby grant the request to deem him as an expert, but, of course, I'll keep in mind his statement that he doesn't or hasn't dealt with compilation statements, but balance that against his statement that he thinks they're somewhat similar or very similar to what he does have great experience with.

MR. KISE: And just briefly for the record, Your Honor, I think the answer to the question that Mr. Wallace posed still doesn't resolve the issue because he's basically saying in his own opinion there aren't significant differences, but if he hasn't dealt with them before, it is not possible for him to really know that. So he's just qualifying himself in that regard, but I understand the Court's ruling and our objection is noted.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. WALLACE: As I understand, the issue is the
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\hline 1 Court will afford whatever weight based on Mr. McCarty's & 1 THE COURT: No. \\
\hline 2 description of his experience and that will be included in & 2 MR. KISE: Okay. So is this a demonstrative? \\
\hline 3 your final analysis as to what weight to afford his & 3 MR. WALLACE: I'm happy to mark it as Plaintiff's \\
\hline 4 testimony. & 44. \\
\hline 5 THE COURT: And then the next time that you testify & 5 MR. KISE: If it is demonstrative, that's fine. If \\
\hline 6 in a case like this, you can say you've dealt with & 6 it is demonstrative, that's okay. \\
\hline 7 compilation statements. & 7 THE COURT: Are you so moving? \\
\hline 8 THE WITNESS: That's correct. I now have & 8 MR. WALLACE: Sorry? \\
\hline 9 MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor. & 9 THE COURT: Are you so moving? \\
\hline 10 Q Mr. McCarty, I'm going to hand you a document that's & 10 MR. WALLACE: I am requesting to have this marked \\
\hline 11 been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1780. & 11 as Plaintiff's Exhibit Demonstrative 4. \\
\hline 12 Mr. McCarty, do you recognize this document? & 12 MR. KISE: Just this page? \\
\hline 13 A I do. It's my expert report. & 13 MR. WALLACE: Just this page. \\
\hline 14 Q And when did you prepare this report? & 14 THE COURT: And just marked as an exhibit? \\
\hline 15 A I submitted it on May 26th. I worked on it for a & 15 MR. WALLACE: Demonstrative exhibit, yes. \\
\hline 16 couple of months before then. & 16 MR. KISE: Well, just this page again. Not the \\
\hline 17 Q Did you update any opinions contained in this report & 17 first one, two, three, pages. \\
\hline 18 some point? & 18 MR. WALLACE: That's fine. \\
\hline 19 A I did two additional -- I did a rebuttal report and & 19 MR. KISE: Yes. \\
\hline 20 then a supplemental submission just recently. & 20 THE COURT: I hereby mark it as a demonstrative \\
\hline 21 Q I'm going to -- Mr. McCarty, I'm going to hand you a & 21 exhibit. What number are we going to give it? \\
\hline 22 document that has been marked Plaintiffs Exhibit 1786. & 22 MR. WALLACE: It's Plaintiff's Demonstrative 4 \\
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\hline 24 A I do. That's my rebuttal report. & 24 THE COURT: Okay. It is now demonstrative Exhibit \\
\hline 25 Q And what are the subject matters that you cover in & 25 No. 4. \\
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these two reports?
A The matters are the same as I was retained to look at the economic impact of the false and misleading financial statements, if any.
Q And how did you go about conducting that analysis?
A I worked through the documents that were provided in
production and I approached it the same way that I would in my
transaction analysis. I broke down the business into analytical sections and did market research.

Q Mr. McCarty, I'm going to hand you a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3302.

Do you recognize this document?
A I do. This is the supplemental disclosure document I filed recently.

Q If we turn to the last page of this document, can you tell me what is reflected on this page?

A This is the updated lost interest calculation that I did in my original report modified in two manners.

Q Mr. McCarty, this is the subject matter I'd like to discuss with you today, this calculation that you prepared.

Could you tell us what was the assignment that led you to prepare this lost interest analysis?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, is this document in
evidence?
MR. WALLACE: No.
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MR. WALLACE: Thank you, your Honor.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Demonstrative Exhibit 4.)
Q Mr. McCarty, going back to the question I asked, what was the assignment that led you to prepare this lost interest analysis?
A The same as my retention, to try to get a handle on the economic impact of the financial statements that were, I guess, judged as mistaken or fraudulent.

Q At a high level, can you explain to us how you went about that assignment?
A Yeah, I can. I might just say this is a modified version. The first version was in my last page of my report, expert report. This one has been modified to take into account the -- Your Honor's ruling on the statute of limitations and updated from the time from May to October. So it is the same analysis, same calculation. It is just modified for those two parts.

Q Okay. I'm going to hand you another document. This is not going into evidence. It is a copy of the summary judgment decision. It is NYSCEF Document No. 1531.

Mr. McCarty, if I could direct your attention to page 25 of this document, we'll pull it up on the screen. Footnote 21, this footnote states, "The subject loans made the banks lots of money; but the fraudulent SOFC's cost the banks lots of
money. The less collateral for a loan, the riskier it is and a first principle of loan accounting is that as risk rises, so do interest rates. Thus, accurate SOFC's would have allowed the lenders to make even more money than they did."

Mr. McCarty, is the statement in this footnote consistent with your experience as a banker?

A With a few word changes, it could have been said by me as the way I look at risk and interest rates.

Q And so just so I understand at this point, your basis for saying that the Statements of Financial Condition that were used in the loans was misstated is coming from the judge's decision on summary judgment?
A That's correct.
Q Prior to the judge's decision on summary judgment, what was your basis for reaching that conclusion?
A Analysis of the financial statements myself on an independent basis.

Q And any other sources that you relied upon in reaching that conclusion?
A The credit reports of the Trump Organization.
Q Did you also rely on any other experts?
A I did for the valuation side. I didn't have an
assignment to do the valuation of the assets, so I took as
assumed the two valuation experts and the accounting experts'
input.

MR. KISE: Objection. Going back to the footnote, are you asking him in his experience why this happens or are you asking him to comment --

MR. WALLACE: Can I conduct my exam? He has already stated -- I'm sorry. Actually, I assume the objection was to the judge. I withdraw it.

MR. KISE: A footnote in an opinion even by our learned judge is not a foundation for an expert to formulate an opinion as to the capital markets. If he's testifying -- if your question is is this his understanding of how it works based on his experience, that's one thing, but your question, I believe, was based on this footnote.

So if it is based on this footnote, I would say that's not a proper foundation because our judge may be an expert in many things, but I would respectfully suggest that he is not an expert in the capital markets. So if his experience bears this out, that's fine; but that wasn't your question.

MR. WALLACE: I'll go back to the prior question.
"Mr. McCarty, is the statement in the footnote consistent with your experience as a banker?"
"ANSWER: With a few word changes, it could have been said by me as the way I look at risk and interest rates".

I believe that's a sufficient foundation to show
        footnote, but he is saying -- the Court decided the
        statements were false, so that's the basis upon which he is
        going to discuss the opinions we're going to go through now.
        I don't know if I need to --
        MR. KISE: I reassert my objection to foundation.
        THE COURT: He can. Overruled.
        Q So going back to this footnote, Mr. McCarty, why is it
        as risk rises, interest rates go up?
        A The risk and sometimes it is included credit risk is a
        representation of --
that this is consistent.
MR. KISE: But then your subsequent question was not based on his experience. It was based on the footnote. So we just need to be clear here because as you know, we have a considerable disagreement as to the foundational and appropriate aspects of this witness testifying at all. So I just want to make sure the record is very, very clear here as to what he's testifying about.

THE COURT: I think the simplest way is just to ask the question again.

But I just want to ask the witness some day, will you tell me those few different word changes, so when I get another case like this, I'll know exactly how to state it.

THE WITNESS: Your words were better than mine.
THE COURT: Next question.
Q Mr. McCarty, do you have a view that as risk rises, interest rates go up?

A It's really a basis of the capital markets. Risk, sometimes called credit risk, is a representation of the probability of default. We call it PD for short and so as PD goes up, you have to compensate for the potential for loss and to do that, you raise the interest rate.

THE COURT: And you didn't learn that from me, right? You already knew that, right?

THE WITNESS: I did.

1 Q Mr. McCarty, is there a way to measure the differential you just described?

A The differential in what? If you would just clarify for me.
Q As risk goes up, is there a way to measure the
differential in a loan when there's differential in risk?
A There is. There's reg agencies that exist. The banks all conform to the same, so you have a probability default very very low, nearly zero. It is a AA credit and if you have a probability of default that is five percent, that's a BB credit. And there's gradations all along, so people trade based on those analysis of the statements and whether they have a high probability default or a low probability.
Q And do those ratings apply to debt in all of its forms?
A It does. Bonds, loans, notes, convertibles. It is the whole spectrum of debt.
Q And did you conduct an analysis in this case of the risk differential from the various loans that are at issue here?
A I did.
Q How did you perform that analysis?
A I looked at the internal documents by Deutsche Bank of analyzing first the credit level of the guarantor versus the credit level of the collateral, the project itself without a guaranty.
Q If we could take a step back for just a moment.
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1 What determines the interest rate that a bank will charge for a particular loan?
A It varies. The first thing is the cost of their funds.
Then they put on a cost of servicing those funds and then the most key element is the risk premium. In the case of AA, there's very little risk premium. I did AT\&T's last debt financing back before I was independent and they had a rate that was very similar to the U.S. Government rate, a risk-free rate. If there is a higher rate for premium, the interest rate goes up based on that premium.

Q And just to unpack some of what you said, you said a cost of funds for the bank is one element in what the interest rate is charged. What is the cost of funds?
A It varies by type of debt it is. If it's a personal guaranty where there's very little risk, A or AA credit, the cost of funds is very low for the bank because they don't have to set aside much equity. The Basel II mandated that the bank set aside equity based on the rating of the particular loan. So if you have a AA rated loan, you set aside very little equity. That means your cost of providing the money and the funds to the loan is very low.
Q And you said that the most important part was the risk premium. Could you explain what you mean by that?

A The risk premium is really, again, your own
interpretation of the credit risk of the enterprise you're
lending to, the individual, or the project, or some other structure.
Q And did you identify discussion of the risk premium on the loans in this case?
A I did, independently and also with reviewing the Deutsche Bank material.
Q And what conclusions did you reach?
A Conclusion I reached that the loans with the guaranty, the three principal trophy ones, Doral, OPO and Chicago, were assigned a very low risk level an A level or better, a strong A and a risk premium of almost zero by PWM.
Q Were there any other risk analyses that you found in your review of the Deutsche Bank materials?
A I did. In reading through the different credit memos, they did an independent analysis of the collateral which is short for the project-based credit availability and that one was a BB connoting that the probabilities independent without the guaranty held a relatively high risk.

BB is considered the first step of non-investment grade. If you go to BBB , it is investment grade. So they determined the projects were non-investment grade BB.
Q When you say the projects were determined to be non-investment grade BB, which projects were those?
A The three I mentioned; Doral, OPO and Chicago.
Q And was there a reason the bank determined those were
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A I think so. I mean, I know Doral. I've been there, played the Blue Monster a few times, and it was a project turnaround. It had been brought out of bankruptcy. MSR owned it, was selling it in bankruptcy. It needed a large amount of spending and a change in the image, so when you're doing a turnaround project like that, that's a risk item that a banker would look at and say that's a risky project. OPO was a startup. It was a conversion of a facility to a hotel for the first time. Startups carry their own risk premium.

Q And did you identify any impacts in the difference between these risks?

A Significant. The difference in the strong A credit and BB credit is many basis points, so I'm going -- I'm sorry for using basis points. Basis points are ticks in a one percent, so 100 basis points is one percent. I'll try to use percents. It's - it can be as much as seven or eight percent difference between the two different credit ratings.

Q And what is reflected in that difference between the interest rates?

A It is a difference in risk. Again, the probability default of the two. Historically, banks have been very willing to lend to high-net worth individuals at low rates because they get repaid so...
25 Q You described that there was -- I think you said there
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may be as much as an eight-percent difference. Here, did youconduct an analysis to determine the interest rate differentialbetween the BB credit and the A credit?
A We did. We did several different analysis. We brokedown the analysis that Deutsche Bank had done of the projects.We went out and looked at -- it is hard to find a directcomparable, so there is no direct comparable, but we saw thingslike ClubCorp which was a ten percent issuer, BB credit. We dida scan of all BB credits of similar size and they all centeredaround kind of nine, ten percent at that time, the time of the
loans.
    Q Any other confirmation that you were able to obtain asto your analysis of the interest rates?A After we did the comparable analysis and market sweep,we then looked at documents that CRE had proposed to finance theproject without a guaranty.
    Q If we could go back to what I believe is now
    Plaintiff's Demonstrative 4, if I could direct your attention to
    the top row, which is Doral, could you tell me what is your
    understanding of the Doral project?
    A Doral project is a large resort in South Florida. It
    involves several golf courses and a spa facility and a large
    number of bungalows. The spin was estimated to be something
    like \(\$ 200\) million to rehab the facility, make it a viable,
    long-term project.
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Q And if we look at the top row, the line that says, "actual INT percent," what is reflected in that row?
A We took that straight from the Trump statements. This is the actual interest rate paid in each of the years and the same is true of the loan amounts, that they're exactly the amounts that were outstanding for each of the years.

Q When you say "loan amount," you're referring to the row that says, "loan AMT ADJ"?

A Yes.
Q Can you tell us what is reflected in the "low CRE INT percentage"?

A We -- after we did the market analysis and determined that the BB credit was in a tight band, we then decided that the CRE proposals for each of the projects, since they knew more about the projects than the market would have, was probably the best representative, contemporaneous data for an interest rate for the projects without the guaranty.
Q And if we look at the numbers, they're spread out in the row "CRE INT," it stays at ten percent from 2014 through 2022. Why does the rate stay the same?

A We made a decision to keep it. The line above it is floating with LIBOR pricing. We decided in CRE to keep it straight for each of the projects as a conservative measure. We were concerned that with interest rates going up in '17, '18 and '19, that it would present too dramatic a number, so we decided
to just flat rate it at the original rate. (Continued on the next page.)
en
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Q And just to make sure I'm understanding correctly, you said the line above is a floating rate. This is the numbers reflected in the row, "actual INT percentage rate." That's the row you're referring to?
A That's correct. The loans were made as a premium to LIBOR and so as LIBOR went up, the interest paid went up and when LIBOR went down, interest payments go down.
Q And so if we look at the bottom row under the Doral section, it says "interest delta." What is reflected in that row?
A That's the difference between the first two rows and dollar amounts and so each year that's the calculation of the difference in if it's project financed versus guaranteed finance and that differential is totalled up for Doral on the far right, 72,908,308.
Q And what is the basis for the GAAP in the interest rates you've identified here?
A The basis is that the financial statements as provided wouldn't allow PWM along with conditions of the projects to have made the loans and that the true rate of risk premium was the CRE line. So the differential between the two was the - my calculation lost interest due to the debt difference.
Q Do you have --
MR. KISE: Objection. Move to strike the answer the answer, assumes facts not in evidence, Your Honor.

MR. WALLACE: What facts are --
MR. KISE: The real banks in the real world that actually evaluated the loan have not testified in this courtroom at trial that in fact they would not have approved the loans and at those levels. So this witness is assuming facts that are not in evidence at all. He's introducing new facts with that testimony -- that answer.

MR. WALLACE: I will make two points. One is an expert is allowed to rely upon hearsay and evidence that is not in the record. I will also note that the terms of the offers that were made by the -- that were made by Deutsche Bank were not disputed at summary judgments. We are entitled to disgorgement potentially coming out of the summary judgment decision and hence, it is part of the record as something that an expert is perfectly capable of considering.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, if the government is asking this witness to do the math between the two numbers and testify that that's the mathematical difference, that's fine, but that wasn't the question. That wasn't the answer that was given. The answer that was given is that Private Wealth Management would not have made this loan on these terms based on his analysis and there is no evidence from the real banks that operate in the real word that did their own real evaluation. That's what's in the record right now.
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MR. WALLACE: Based on fraudulent financial statements. This is the hurdle you guys can --

MR. KISE: Can I finish my objection, please?
THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, please don't interrupt. MR. WALLACE: All right.
MR. KISE: And so the government as I say is perfectly capable of eliciting that testimony from the bank. It's their burden to do it. It's not my burden to undo it. It's their burden to do that. They were perfectly capable of doing that. They didn't because we all know from the record that isn't before the Court that the answer would not have been favorable to them. So that's why they didn't do it. So they now have a witness who is an expert and I don't quarrel with his qualifications in that regard, but he is assuming facts not in evidence which is clearly not appropriate under the case law that we cited. There is no record evidence. He's -- as the Ortiz case that we discussed, there are two eyewitnesss and this is an accident reconstructionist that's coming in.

I'll wait so you can read the note that
Ms. Greenfield just handed you.
THE COURT: What do I want for dinner?
MR. KISE: Right. That was yesterday.
THE COURT: Well, we could ask the witness what facts he's assuming.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I actually don't think he is assuming any facts and the record does show there was an offer made. I think he is describing his knowledge and his assessment of whether the loans would have been made, but ultimately, we are asking him to measure the difference between a market rate without a statement of financial condition and the rate that they obtained with the statement of financial condition. This is not an element of a 6312 claim but it is to provide assistance to the Court in determining what was the potential profit that came from the fraudulent activity that we've already identified and established. So it is not an element of 6312. It is not speculation and it is based on the facts that are in the record at this point as to what -- how these loans are being priced by different groups. I think he has also said he compared this to the market rates, which I - was going to actually be my next question.

So I think that this is a basis. If they want to suggest that it's not reliable, that he should rely on other information, that's perfectly fine. It seems like they have a very large binder for cross examination they can go through and they can make an argument on it as to what the appropriate measure of disgorgement might be, but at this point he's basing his opinions on facts that are in the record.

THE COURT: Well, I think we need to ask him what facts, if any, he assumed. Then we decide whether they're in the record.

As for whether experts are allowed to rely on hearsay, that's a subtle one. I don't think I've come across yet. Do you have any authority for that? Can you get authority for that?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I'm not even suggesting it's relying on hearsay. What I'm saying is that the facts don't exist at all, that they haven't established that the gains are ill gotten in the first place because the bank itself has not provided that testimony.

Just because the statements may have contained falsities based on Your Honor's ruling, which you know we disagree with, but just because the statements may have contained inaccuracies, falsities, how you want to describe it does not mean that the bank in fact would have done something different. And until that is established, the gains are not ill gotten because if the bank were to say that even knowing what I know today, yes, I would have likely made those loans and Your Honor knows we're going to hear testimony from that same bank that's going to say that Mr. Trump would have qualified for the private wealth management group anyway. So the idea that whether it's two and a half billion, whether it's one billion, whether it's

500 million. So the question is whether the bank who had the responsibility in the real world to make the credit determination to determine the probability of default as this expert has just testified to, made that decision and with the revised information would they have made the same decision? I don't know whether this witness would have made the same decision, but that's not what's at issue here. What's at issue here is whether the bank made the decision or would have made a different decision or would have actually priced the loans differently considering all of the factors. And yes, we will get to a lot of other specific items in cross examination, but this is a fundamental question and this witness is assuming that the bank -- he's substituting his judgment. He's saying well, based on what I see I wouldn't have approved it, okay, that's great. But he doesn't get to make that call unless the bank says they wouldn't have approved it. Back to the Ortiz case and the other cases that we cited.

MR. WALLACE: I don't think it is our obligation to have a loan officer from Deutsche Bank sit here and listen to all the evidence that comes in at trial and then say yes, so let me go back and figure out what would have really happened if I had known all the lies that Donald Trump was telling me. That's not how this works. And Mr. Kise is rehashing the same arguments we made yesterday when they
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made their motion to preclude Mr. McCarty's testimony. This is a measure of the benefit they obtained. That is perfectly reasonable, expert testimony.

We do not need to prove the counterfactual of we reconstruct every piece of his financial statements. We showed that he used fraudulent financial statements in business to obtain these loans.

The measure of the benefit is perfectly reasonable expert testimony. It is not something that we have to establish by going back to each of the loan officers who are making the decision at the time these loans were made.

MR. KISE: Of course it is, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Hold on. I'm arguing for you. I still want to know what he based his answer on.

MR. WALLACE: I'm happy to get that information, but we've now drifted into a legal argument over essentially the same issues we were discussing yesterday. I'm happy to continue with the exam and ask Mr. McCarty to repeat what he based his decision on what the CRE INT percentage.

THE COURT: It's not even a legal argument. It's more of a mathematical argument. Anyway, why don't you given Mr. Kise's objection, which I'll sustain without prejudice to further examination --

MR. WALLACE: I'm sorry, what's been sustained?
THE COURT: His objection at this point on the
M. McCarty - Plaintiff - direct (Wallace)
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19 reflects the rate that Mr. Trump was able to obtain using his financial statement?

A That's actually off their statements.
Q Okay. And the CRE INT is just the interest rate that he was -- that one would obtain without using a personal guaranty. Is that a correct analysis?

A From Deutsche Bank invalidated in the market, yes.

THE COURT: So objection now overruled subject to cross examination. He said where he got the numbers.

MR. KISE: Right. I just want to be clear that he's testifying as to the mathematics, not to the ill gotten nature of the gain. He's just testifying these are the differences and the numbers.

MR. WALLACE: He is testifying as to what he is testifying to. There is someone here writing it all down. If we have a legal dispute as to whether that is an appropriate measure of disgorgement, that's our closing arguments or post-trial briefing, but the evidence of the work he did is the evidence of the work he did.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, you're asking -- you want to be clear what he testified to. The record will show what he testified to.

MR. KISE: But he's testifying to mathematics. That's acceptable. He can put up numbers on the board and testify to them, but our objection goes right to the point he can't substitute his judgment which is what the one question that we talked about that where this whole colloquy began. The one question is the loans -- they're not ill gotten gains if the bank doesn't testify that they would have done -- they would have not done anything differently if the bank would have acted the same under the circumstances. Then the gains are not ill gotten.
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Q Okay. And so in this analysis what does the row "actual INT percentage" reflect?
A It's the same. This is off the June statements of Trump Organization actual interest paid.
Q Okay. And the row marked "CRE INT," how did you determine the CRE INT for this loan?
A Unlike Doral and Chicago, which are very forward and similar, we had an issue with OPO because the proposal from Deutsche Bank included what we wonderfully call in my business bells and whistles which is intended to be a representation of other terms and conditions that have economic effect that aren't in the interest rate.
Q And what were some of the bells and whistles you had to consider?
A The first one, the major one was it was for a smaller amount than the proposal, the actual proposal by \(\$ 30\) million. So it required -- the Trump Organization would have required the Trump Organization to put up \(\$ 30\) million more equity into the project to complete it.
Q And what impact did the fact that the Trump
Organization had to put in an additional \(\$ 30\) million of equity, what effect did that have on your analysis?
A Well, some people don't realize it, but equity has a
25 cost and the cost of equity can be determined and it's actually
As long as this witness is not testifying that the gains were not ill gotten, that this is -- if he's just testifying these are math numbers and there was one number that was the actual and there is one number that was the CRE, then that's fine. But I don't believe that that's where this is going and I don't believe that's what this witness is testifying to.

MR. WALLACE: Well, I believe the witness has said he is relying upon the Court's determination and summary judgment. I will say that if this is, again, legal argument as to whether this is an appropriate measure of damages. And so I would like to continue to put in this evidence and we can continue our disagreements as to whether or not this establishes an improper benefit to Mr. Trump and the Trump Organization, but that the testimony is the testimony.

THE COURT: Yes, objection overruled. Let's move on.

MR. KISE: Okay.
Q Mr. McCarty, I'd like to move on to the next row, the
OPO loan. Can you tell us what's your understanding of the OPO project?
A OPO project was the Old Post Office in Washington, D.C. That was put out for bid for converting it to a hotel. Series of bidders put forward proposals and the Trump
Organization ultimately won the right to convert and hold the
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more expensive than debt. So we took a shot at cost to capital for the equity and adjusted the interest rate for that additional required equity.

Q And how did you do that?
A We took a cost to capital for project finance real estate-related average which is like 12 percent. So we took 12 percent on the 30 million to come back to an add on to get to a comparable rate.

Q Any other bells and whistles that you had to consider?
A This had a series of -- this was the only one that we found that was a proposed syndicated loan and syndicated loans have fees attached to them, one percent up front and three percent on syndication. So this had four percent fees that were not included in the other transactions.
Q And just to make sure the record is clear what does it mean to syndicate a loan?

A One bank originates it. They get an origination fee. They go out to distribute it to other banks. They have an agreement with the borrower they're allowed to sell the loans to other banks.
Q Any other bells and whistles that you had to consider?
A There are -- this is cash sweeps and low yield
categories that basically restricted the ability to take cash out of the business and we had to take account of the differential.

Q And could you just describe for us what is a cash sweep?
A This is basically a lockbox. I think people might know that better where all the cash that comes into the organization, goes to a lockbox and is only released by the bank when and if the other obligations have been satisfied.
Q And so taking all those factors into account, what did you determine about the CRE interest rate?
A On a comparable basis it was eight percent we believe.
Q And were you able to determine whether or not that was a market rate?
A We did. We went out and did a similar comparable run through for -- again, there is nothing directly comparable to OPO, but we believe that in kind of the center of the comparable group.
Q And so just so I'm understanding, once again, the actual interest rate row shows the loan that Mr. Trump and the Trump Organization were able to obtain using personal guaranty and the financial statement; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And so then the CRE interest rate percentage row shows your assessment of what the market rate would be for a loan with no personal guaranty whatsoever?

A That's correct.
Q Once again, you used a fixed rate throughout the term?

1 A We did. We ran it with a floating rate, but it was significantly higher, so we decided to be conservative and keep it flat.

Q I think you described this when you were talking about the Doral loan as well that is because there was variation in the LIBOR rate during the term of this loan; is that correct?
A That's correct. And it would have been a significantly higher, several points higher for several of the years.
Q And so what was the total interest differential on the OPO property?

A Well, using the same loan amounts as from their books.
We came up with an interest differential lost interest of 53,423,209.

Q Okay.
MR. KISE: Same objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Same ruling.
I just want to point out one other thing which goes back to our earlier discussions. I believe you said your only objection or your main objection was to any reading into what he said that these gains are ill gotten. I
decided they were ill gotten. He's not deciding that. He's deciding the number.

MR. KISE: Yes, Your Honor, but the only basis for an expert to testify is in this circumstance as we went over yesterday, I'm not going to belabor it, but is where the

Q And so how did you calculate the CRE interest rate for the Chicago loan?
A The CRE was from the term sheet that Deutsche Bank CRE provided to them. It had several iterations. I saw a redline version of it, so I think it had been interactively provided and so that was the rate. Again, we did it flat. This was the LIBOR plus the stated premium that CRE offered on a non-guaranteed basis.
Q Okay. And in the far right, what was your calculation as to the total interest benefit on the Chicago property?

A It was \(17,443,359\).
MR. KISE: Same objection. Interest benefit.
THE COURT: Same ruling. Overruled.
Q Mr. McCarty, do you recall being deposed by the defendant in this case?
A I do.
Q Do you recall the defendants asking you about a default rate on these three loans?
A Ido.
Q Did you consider as part of your work whether the default rate was an appropriate means to establish lost interest?
A I did.
Q And what did you -- what conclusion did you reach?
MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. Again, now he's
record provides an opening for that if there is no record evidence that the gains were ill gotten, meaning the bank itself in the real world with their real analysis does not say that they would have in fact done something different, then none of this comes in at all because it's like the accident reconstructionist being brought in in the case that I cited where there is two eyewitnesss to the accident.

The expert simply cannot contradict the record evidence. The expert can opine on the record evidence, but he or she cannot contradict the record evidence.

THE COURT: Overruled. And five-minute warning.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Mr. McCarty, just to move on to the next row. It
states "Chicago." Can you tell me what is your understanding of what the Chicago loan is?
A There are actually two loans in Chicago, an A and a B and we actually didn't do the \(A\) because it was retired early, so we only did the \(B\) loan.
Q And what was the B loan that you analyzed?
A This is related to a hotel condominium complex in
Chicago that Deutsche Bank had lent to previously.
Q Okay. And how did you calculate the -- let me just backup. Was your analysis for the Chicago loan similar to the analysis you conducted for the other loans?

A Yes. It's just exactly like Doral.
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going outside even the documents. I mean, the document the contract, the agreement between the parties specifies a default rate. That was agreed to between these sophisticated parties. It was negotiated carefully. There is no basis in this record for an expert to come in and testify that that default rate wouldn't otherwise apply. It's just not -- there is no foundation at all for that. That's -- that's beyond absurd to have a witness testify directly contrary to the agreement of the parties.

The parties' agreement forms the foundation of the relationship and it was up to the bank to exercise its rights if it felt there was a default or if it didn't think there was a default or if it didn't think there was a default that it cared about because we're talking about performing loans, then they would have done nothing, but there is no foundation for this witness to substitute his judgment for what's actually in the documents.

THE COURT: You said there was an agreement as to the default rate?

MR. KISE: There is a -- there is a --
THE COURT: That was a yes or no.
MR. KISE: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Was there an agreement that it had to be applied?

MR. KISE: No. It was up to the bank's discretion.

MR. WALLACE: And, Your Honor, I'm just going to remind you the rules around disgorgement provide that it should be an approximation of what the benefit was. I'm just asking him now if his view is whether the default rate represented an appropriate way to establish lost interest. I think we have an objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. KISE: There are -- Your Honor, they're asking this witness to substitute its judgment for what was negotiated between the parties. That's completely improper. There is absolutely no basis for that.

THE COURT: Are you -- Mr. Wallace, are you doing what you're being accused of?

MR. KISE: It's exactly what he's doing.
THE COURT: Are you violating an agreement between the parties?

MR. WALLACE: I just want to make sure I have the question proper.

No.
MR. KISE: He's disregarding it. That's the record evidence. There is an agreement between the parties and he's asking this witness if that's an appropriate measure the parties negotiated that as the appropriate measure. You can't just throw that out by some expert.

MR. WALLACE: Mr. McCarty has his opinion as to
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r} 
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1 & whether it's -- he's presenting that to Your Honor. & 1 \\
2 & Mr. Kise has his arguments as to why it's not an appropriate & 2 \\
3 & measure and it's ultimately a decision for the Court to & 3 \\
4 & make. I don't think that's an actual objection to this & 4 \\
5 & evidence coming in now. & 5 \\
6 & THE COURT: Objection. & 6 \\
7 & MR. KISE: There is an objection. & 7 \\
8 & THE COURT: All right. Overruled. & 8 \\
9 & MR. WALLACE: I'll try to get this in the & 9 \\
10 & 30 seconds I have. & 10 \\
11 & Q Mr. McCarty, did you make a consideration as to whether & 11 \\
12 & the default rate was an appropriate means to establish lost & 12 \\
13 & interest? & 13 \\
14 & A I've negotiated many dozens of loan documents that & 14 \\
15 & include default rates. Default rates are a penalty rate that is & 15 \\
16 & used to force compliance of covenants. It is not a measure of & 16 \\
17 & ill gotten gains or anything else. It's purely a penalty rate. & 17 \\
18 & It's usually standard four percent lately and I didn't consider & 18 \\
19 & it something that would be viable for the measurement I was & 19 \\
20 & trying to do. & 20 \\
21 & Q Did you analyze -- & 21 \\
22 & MR. KISE: Objection. Move to strike. Assumes & 22 \\
23 & facts not in evidence. & 23 \\
24 & THE COURT: Overruled. And to borrow a phrase or & 24 \\
25 & two from Mr. Wallace, he's just giving his opinion. He can & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 give an opinion even though you've agreed to something else. He's just saying he didn't consider it. He didn't say he's considered it at another number but for a different reason. He said he didn't consider it.

MR. KISE: It's not appropriate to even introduce into the record and it's not appropriate for the Court to consider it that it contravenes the evidence in the record if there is no foundation for it.
Q Did you actually conduct an analysis of what the differential would have been if you'd used the penalty rate?

A I did.
Q And what --
MR. KISE: Objection.
Q What knowledge did you come to?
A It's a number that's larger than this.
\(Q\) So it's larger than the numbers that we have on the differential in the loans here?

A The total number, yes.
THE COURT: You see, he's giving you a break.
MR. WALLACE: I think speaking of breaks, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I wish I had thought of that.
All right. Let's say we'll call it a ten-minute break over the next 17 minutes, so I'll see you all at quarter to 12.
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, how much longer?
MR. WALLACE: For my direct, I would say five to ten minutes at most.

THE COURT: Good.
Do you have any idea how long the cross-examination will be if he has five to ten minutes?

MR. KISE: I would say probably three hours, could be two. We are going to have - we're going to have the lunch break in between. That will help us review.

THE COURT: Depends on what we eat.
MR. KISE: Right.
THE WITNESS: Witness entering.
THE COURT: Let's go.
Q It is still morning, Mr. McCarty.
MR. WALLACE: If we could put Plaintiff's
Demonstrative 4 back up on the screen, please.
Q Mr. McCarty, just looking to clarify one thing about your calculations on Doral and Chicago.

Under the year 2014, does that represent the full year calculation of interest or some other time period?
A No. I probably should footnote that. As I started to say in the beginning, we modified this for the judge's ruling of July 13, 2014 as a no-look-back date, so that includes only
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July 14th forward.
Q Thank you. If we go down to the bottom row, 40 Wall. First, can you give me your understanding of this loan transaction?

A This was a re-financing of a Capital One mortgage that was done through Ladder Capital and for the 40 Wall Street building downtown Manhattan.

Q And how did you calculate the lost interest for this loan?

A Again, starting off, we took the actual interest off
the books. This was a fixed rate loan and for the second one, we took -- the first one is Ladder Capital, not Deutsche Bank. There's a difference here. That's Ladder Capital's actual interest paid and the second line is an assumption we made that with the financial statements being determined to be false and misleading, they wouldn't have been able to get the Ladder Capital loan done and that they extended their existing facility with Capital One for the period.

MR. KISE: Same objection. Move to strike, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q Now, the loan to -- from -- for 40 Wall Street, that was not a guaranteed loan, correct?

A There was usage of the financial statements material in
the offering material by Ladder Capital, so that's why we viewed
it as something that would be impinged.
Q Were there any other transactions that you were asked to look at?
A We were asked to look at the Bryn Mawr loan, which was a smaller loan, and we determined that it really wasn't material to the discussion, so we didn't put it in the calculation.
Q And when you say "material," how did you interpret that to mean?
A It was less than a million dollars of impact.
Q Can I ask you the numbers reflected in your table, do they reflect compound interest or simple interest?
A It's simple interest. There's no time value of money to these at all.
Q And in your work compiling these numbers, did you consider any prejudgment interest in reaching these calculations?
A No, we did not.
MR. WALLACE: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Let's start the
cross-examination.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q Good morning, Mr. McCarty.
A Good morning, sir. MR. SUAREZ: Is this on?

Q Good morning, Mr. McCarty.
Banks historically have been willing to lend to high-net worth individuals because they get repaid. That's what you said on your direct, correct?

A That's correct.
Q And that's exactly what happened here, isn't it?
A I believe everybody got repaid, yes.
Q Okay. The Deutsche Bank loans, OPO Chicago and Doral,
they were loans that were made to borrowers guaranteed by a high-net worth individual and they got repaid, right?

A Yes.
THE COURT: I'll give you some leeway, but this has been admitted, conceded, stipulated, et cetera, et cetera, so let's not spend a lot of time.

MR. SUAREZ: The key difference here, Your Honor, is that he testified on his direct that banks have historically been willing to lend to high-net worth individuals because they get repaid.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
Q That's correct?
A That's correct.

\section*{M. MCCARTY - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. SUAREZ)} management environment, correct?

A That's where most high-net worth individual loans are made, yes.
Q And you've never worked in a private wealth group of a bank, correct?
A I've worked in the banks that have private wealth, but I've never been an officer in a private wealth management group.

Q So long story short, you've never worked in the private wealth management group of a bank, right?

A No, not in the group.
Q You've never worked at Deutsche Bank, correct?
A No.
Q You've never worked at Ladder Capital, right?
A No.
Q Before you got involved in this case, you had never read the Deutsche Bank credit policies, correct?

A That one, I may have. I've done several transactions with Deutsche Bank and I believe I've probably seen it one time or another.

Q Had you reviewed the Deutsche Bank private wealth group policies?

A No. Again, I was a customer of private wealth
management for a dozen or so years, so I might have seen it as part of my interaction as a customer.

\section*{M. MCCARTY - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. SUAREZ)}
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Q Your testimony is that as a customer of the private wealth group, you reviewed their private wealth management lending policies?
A I was good friends with Tom Bowers who ran that group and set it up, so Tom Bowers, so I might have seen it. I don't recall it today.
Q But you certainly have no expertise in them, correct?
A Clarify for me what expertise you're looking for.
Q Were you a specialist in the Deutsche Bank private wealth lending policies?

A No.
Q Were you a specialist in the Deutsche Bank credit policies?
A As they consider consistent with Basel II, I'm familiar with their policies, yes.

Q But you're not familiar prior to becoming involved with this case specifically with the Deutsche Bank credit policies, right?
A With Deutsche Bank specifically, no.
Q Okay. And the same is true for Ladder Capital, correct?

A Correct.
Q The New York Attorney General, I believe, is paying you \(\$ 950\) an hour for your work on this case, correct?

A That's my standard rate, yes.

1 Q As of your deposition, the People of the State of New York has paid you approximately \(\$ 300,000\), correct?

A That's about right.
Q How much more have you been paid since your deposition?
A Well, I haven't been paid any more than that. I may have billed some.
7 Q How much have you billed since your deposition?
8 A I don't really recall, but it might be 40 or \(\$ 50,000\).
9 Q So in total, you've been paid to bill the Attorney
10 General People of the State of New York over \(\$ 750,000\) for your testimony today, correct?

A The math -- 300,000 plus 40 or 50.
Q I heard 450. I'm sorry.
A 40 or 50.
Q I'm having a hard time hearing.
A Sorry about that. I'll get closer to the mic.
Q Approximately \(\$ 400,000\) for your work in this case?
A A little less than that, but yes.
Q How much more do you expect to charge the People of the State of New York for your work in this case?

A Other than for my testimony today, I don't anticipate any more.

Q Since your deposition, have you read any additional materials?

A Not that I can recall.
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Q And have you reviewed any of the trial testimony in this case?

A I haven't seen any of the daily transcripts, no.
Q I notice you were here for the first day of Mr. Haigh's testimony; is that correct?

A Partial day. I think I had the morning.
Q You didn't see the entirety of the Mr. Haigh's
testimony; is that correct?
A No.
THE COURT: That's another example of a question asked in the negative. The response is ambiguous. "You didn't do it, did you, no." That could mean "No, I didn't do it or "No, you're wrong. I did it." So I suggest to everybody again, ask questions in the positive. "Did you," not "didn't you."
Q Is it correct that you were not here for the entirety of Mr. Haigh's testimony?
A I think I left after lunch.
Q You were retained through Kroll in this case?
A Excuse me? I didn't hear your question.
Q Were you retained through Kroll, Kroll \& Associates?
A K-R-O-L-L. I was retained by the Attorney General's
office and through Kroll, yes.
Q That wasn't disclosed in your expert disclosures, 25 correct?

1 A I'm not aware if it was or not.
2 Q You wrote your expert disclosures, correct?
3 A I just don't recall whether it mentioned Kroll or not.
4 Q You were the author of the expert disclosures that you submitted to this Court?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Did anyone else help you in preparing those expert disclosures?
A Probably one of my associates, yes.
Q Who typed them out?
A Probably one of my associates.
Q Were they reviewed by the New York Attorney General prior to submitting them to the Court?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Have you reviewed any other materials introduced at trial prior to your testimony today?

A What time frame are you referring to?
Q Since the inception of trial.
A No, I don't believe so.
Q Nobody has sent you exhibits that have been introduced?
A No.
Q Nobody has sent you trial transcripts? I believe you said you didn't read them.

A No.
Q But others did help you prepare the opinions that
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you're offering here today at trial, correct?
A No, they're my opinions.
Q That wasn't my question. Others helped you prepare them, correct?
A Not my opinions. They helped me do the research and analysis. My opinions are from myself.
Q So others helped you prepare the research and analysis that support the opinions that you gave today?
A Parts of them that I gave them to do.
Q In connection with giving your opinions here today, did you read the Deutsche Bank credit policies?

A I've read them before.
Q In connection with the testimony that you are giving
here today, did you read the Deutsche Bank credit policies, yes or no?

A Yes.
Q In connection with the testimony that you're giving
here today, did you read the Deutsche Bank lending policies?
A Yes.
Q In connection with the testimony you're giving here
today, did you read the Ladder Capital lending policies?
A No, I don't believe I read -- since it was an
offering, I read the offering material, not the lending policy.
Q Did you review the Ladder Capital lending policies?
A No.

Q How much time did you spend reviewing the Deutsche Bank lending and credit policies prior to your testimony here today?
A Very hard to say. It's been a while, so I don't really have a recollection how long.
Q You don't remember how long you spent looking at them?
A No.
Q Or when you looked at them?
A No. I looked at them prior to my submission of my expert report, but I don't really recall how long.
Q And that was only last July, right?
A Actually, I think my report was May 26th.
Q Okay. You testified in July in a deposition?
A Deposition, yes.
Q So as recently as May of this year, you reviewed the
Deutsche Bank credit and lending policies, but you don't
remember how much time you spent looking at them, correct?
A No, I don't.
Q You were assisted in the preparation of the research
and analysis that supports your opinions here today by Kroll \&
Associates, right?
A That's correct.
Q And by another gentleman at your firm named Michael Espinal; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q And Mr. Espinal and Kroll determined the documents that
. MCCARTY - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. SUAREZ)
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you would review in this case, correct?
A No. They responded to my questions and provided documents related to my questions.
Q They acted as a filter of information, correct?
A They had a knowledge base of the production that was useful, save me time in looking through all the documents.

Q Did they act as a filter of information?
A No, I don't believe so. They responded to my questions.

Q Because those were your words at your deposition. You said that they were a filter of information.
A I think a more accurate portrayal would be that they responded to my questions for documents.

Q But you don't doubt that you referred to it as a filter of information?

A I may have, yes.
Q You directed them to provide you with loan agreements, correct?

A Yes.
Q And annual reviews, correct?
A Credit reviews, yes.
Q Credit reviews. Also known as credit memos, right?
A That's right.
Q And loan documents?
A Correct.

1 A That's right.
2 Q Personal guarantees, correct?
3 A They were part of the documents.
4 Q All right. And you reviewed all of these documents and understood them, right?
6 A I kind of seen the same thing before, so it is pretty easy to understand.
8 Q They are pretty easy to understand?
9 A If you've done them hundreds of times.
10 Q Okay. And who prepared these documents for your review? Who created the universe of documents for you to review? It was the New York Attorney General, correct?

A I assume it was from the production from the case.
Q Did you review Deutsche Bank's entire production in this case?

A No, I did not.
Q Did you review any of Mazars' production in this case?
A I believe some of it, but I didn't -- my focus wasn't
on the accounting aspect. Mr. Lewis took that, so I don't think I delved deeply into the Mazars.
Q Did you review any of the testimony offered by Jack Weisselberg in the trial in this matter?

A Not in the trial, no. I think I had looked at
deposition material.
Q You never interviewed any of the Deutsche Bank
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executives that were involved in the relevant loan transactions, correct?

A No.
Q You never interviewed anyone from Mazars, correct?
A No.
Q You never introduced anyone from Ladder Capital, right?
A Nope.
Q You never interviewed anyone from the Trump
Organization either, correct?
A Nope.
THE COURT: By the way, that was four questions in the negative in a row. I wish you would stop doing that. These answers are ambiguous. "You didn't do that, did you"? No could mean "No, I didn't do that, or it could mean "No, you're wrong. I did that."
Q Did you ever interview anyone from Deutsche Bank?
A No.
Q Did you ever interview anyone from Ladder Capital?
A No.
Q Did you ever interview anyone from Mazars?
A No.
Q Did you ever interview anyone interest the Trump
Organization?
A No.
THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SUAREZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I appreciate
it.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we put the demonstrative exhibit back up, please.
Q These are the four loan transactions that you testified about today, correct?

A That's correct. I think there was one where I
testified about that we didn't include.
Q And that's not subject of your grand total of lost interest, right?

A That's correct. We judged it immaterial.
Q Let start with Doral. Actually, let's start with this chart. Did you prepare this chart?
A I did.
Q You went on Excel and created the actual chart?
A I do have a technical background. I can do Excel spreadsheets, yes.
\(Q\) Is that a yes?
A That was a yes.
Q Okay. And you reviewed the credit memo prepared in connection with the Doral transaction; is that correct?

A Idid.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 293.
Q Do you recognize this document, sir?
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A Ido.
Q Is it correct that this document reflects the bank's analysis of the Doral loan transaction?

A I believe it does, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to the following page and zoom in on collateral.
Q Would you agree with me that the collateral for the Doral loan transaction is a first mortgage lien and a first priority security interest in the resort including the borrower's fee, simple estate, all personal property, leases, rent, revenue, operating accounts, reserves, and all other related assets?
A Yes, I believe that was true.
Q Did you review the Doral loan agreement?
A I believe I read it, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull that up, please. That's Defendant's Exhibit 212.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Term loan agreement dated June 11, 2012 by and between
Trump Endeavor as borrower and Deutsche Bank Trust Company
Americas as lender.
Q Did you review this document in connection with
preparing the opinions that you've offered in court today?
A Idid.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move to admit

Defendants' Exhibit 212.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
And Mr. McCarty, even closer to the mic, so that it could bite you.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Defendants' Exhibit 212.)
MR. SUAREZ: I'd like to pull up Defendants'
Exhibit 213.
Q Mr. McCarty, please identify this document.
A This appears to be the first amendment to the Trump Endeavor agreement.

Q Did you review this document in connection with your representation of the opinions that you've offered in this case? A I believe so.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we would like to move
Defendants' Exhibit D213 in evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 213.)

MR. SUAREZ: Please pull up defendants' premarked Exhibit D387.
Q Mr. McCarty, can you identify this document, please?
A This is the second amendment to the term loan
agreement.
MR. SUAREZ: I believe we have an actual copy to

\section*{M. MCCARTY - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. SUAREZ)}

Page 3097
hand up now in a second.
Q Did you review this document in connection with the preparation of your opinions in this case?
A I believe I read it, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we would like to move
Exhibit 387.
MR. WALLACE: Evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Defendants' Exhibit 387.)
MR. SUAREZ: Please pull up plaintiff's premarked Exhibit 2315.
Q Mr. McCarty, can you identify this document?
A This is the third amendment to the term loan agreement.
Q And is this a document that you reviewed in connection with the preparation of your expert opinions?

A Yes, I believe it is.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'd move Exhibit 2315 in evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 2315.)

MR. SUAREZ: I'd like to show the witness
plaintiff's premarked Exhibit 1303, please.
THE COURT: Can we proceed with the question based
on what's on the screen?
Q Mr. McCarty, can you please identify this document?
A This appears to be the guaranty from Donald J. Trump guarantor to Deutsche Bank Trust.

Q Did you review this document in connection with your opinions in this case?

A I believe I reviewed it, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I would like to move
Defendants' 1303 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 1303.)

MR. SUAREZ: Let's, please, pull up Defendants' Exhibit 917.
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. McCarty?
A I do. It's the first amendment to the guaranty.
Q Did you review this document in connection with the preparation of your opinions in this case?

A I believe so, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'd like to move Defendants' Exhibit 917 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 917.)

MR. SUAREZ: Please pull up Plaintiff's 1304.
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\(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Mr. McCarty, did you review this document in connection with your preparation of your opinions in this case?

A I believe so, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I would like to move
Exhibit 916 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Defendants' Exhibit 916.)
Q Mr. McCarty, in connection with your preparation of your opinions in this case, you became familiar with loan documents and the guaranty documents, correct?

A For the economic terms that we needed to analyze, yes, but not on a complete basis.
Q Sorry?
A For the economic terms that I needed to prepare my material I reviewed.
Q I didn't hear the second part of your answer.
A Sorry. For the economic results that I needed to
prepare my material is what I reviewed.
THE COURT: And not on a complete basis I think he said.

MR. SUAREZ: Not on a complete basis. Understood.
Thank you.
Q But you understood how the economic terms worked,
correct?
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A Yes, I was.
2 Q And you understood that the Trump Park Avenue was not collateral pledged for this loan, correct?
A That's correct.
Q You understood the Trump Tower was not collateral pledged for this loan, correct?
A I think also Niketown wasn't either, so...
Q Okay. Niketown was not part of the collateral pledged, correct?
A That's correct.
Q 40 Wall Street was not part of the collateral pledged in connection with this loan, correct?

A I'm not certain of 40 Wall, but I don't have a recollection of \(i\).

Q Well, earlier we went through the credit memo.
A Right.
Q Described the collateral for the Trump Endeavor 12 loan, correct?

A Right.
Q Was 40 Wall part of the collateral pledged for the Trump Endeavor 12 loan?
A As I sit here, I don't recall whether it was or wasn't.
23 Q How about Seven Springs? Was Seven Springs pledged as 24 collateral?
25 A To the specific loan for Bryn Mawr, I believe it was.

Q No. We are talking about the Trump Endeavor 12 loan.
A No. I'm sorry, it wasn't.
Q Specifically with respect to the Trump Endeavor 12 loan extended by Deutsche Bank, 40 Wall Street wasn't either, right?
A I said I don't recall.
Q It wasn't reflected in the credit memos pledged
collateral, correct?
A I don't specifically recall whether it was or wasn't.
Q We just reviewed it a minute ago.
A Yeah.
\(Q\) Do you want to me it back up?
A No. It's okay. You're asking me whether I recalled it.

Q Okay. Was 40 Wall Street pledged as collateral for the Trump Endeavor 12 loan?
A Again, I don't recall whether it was or not.
Q All right. Let's pull up the credit memo again. (Continued on the next page.)

Q Do you see Plaintiff's Exhibit 293?
A Yes.
Q This is something that you reviewed?
A Yes.
Q And the second page describes the collateral.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we blow it up?
Q "First mortgage lien on a first priority security
interest in the resort, including the borrower's fee, simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves, and all other related assets." Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Do you have any reason to believe that 40 Wall Street was pledged as collateral for this loan?
A No.
THE COURT: Mr. Suarez and Mr. Kise, I see why this is going to take two or three hours. Every question -- some questions come with three four or more questions. There is no evidence that it was the collateral. He says he didn't remember. We saw this document already. Why are you so worried about whether it was or it wasn't? It wasn't as far as I can tell. If someone in the audience has evidence that it was pledged as collateral, raise your hand. Okay, nobody raised his or her hand. Please stop making one question into four or five.

MR. KISE: So, Your Honor, respectfully, I'm just going to, as I have before, object. You never interrupt the prosecution, you never give them speeches, you never limit their questions, you never limit them on time, so I'm just putting that on the record, Your Honor. I think it's unfair.

THE COURT: I stand by my criticism.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I asked the witness a very simple question he was for whatever reason reluctant to give a very simple answer.

THE COURT: No, he did answer. He said he didn't remember that was the answer.

MR. SUAREZ: That's even more concerning because we showed him the credit memo ten minutes ago.

THE COURT: It doesn't say and 40 Wall Street is not on the collateral. That was a very general and vague statement, but let's move on.
Q The airplanes owned by entities belonging to President Trump aren't part of the collateral either; right?
A No, it doesn't appear to be, no.
THE COURT: Well, that's -- it says what the collateral is. I don't see the word "President's airplanes" phrased.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, he said it was really simple to read these credit memos. If they're so simple he
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1 should be able to answer them.
THE COURT: They're so simple. You shouldn't have to ask him. That does not state the president's airplanes. That's why this is taking two or three hours.

Go ahead, Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, we need to make our record with this witness. This witness is the only witness that they have that even hints at anything to do with ill gotten gains. Not going over that again, but we need to challenge his credibility, his memory, his ability to recite even simple things. I mean, all of that is at issue here. I mean, all of it. So I think we should be allowed -- you've allowed them the latitude of introducing him in the first place which I think is completely contrary to the case law as you know, and I respect your ruling. But we should be allowed some latitude. We've been going all of about 15 or 20 minutes. I just don't understand why we always get interrupted. It's becoming a bit of a pattern, respectfully.

THE COURT: I stand by my rulings and my statements.

Please continue. Let's not go over everything that Trump owned and ask the witness is it true that that's not part of the collateral. The collateral is listed there.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I heard you on the first
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day of trial when you asked me to then summarize it and do it in a more rapid manner for the subsequent entities. I'm going to do it on the Doral loan and I'll do it in a much more summary fashion on the other transactions.

THE COURT: Thank you.
Q The guarantor of personal -- withdrawn.
Mr. Trump's personal residences are not identified as collateral for this loan; correct?
A That's correct.
Q And in your review of the loan documents and the credit memos, would you agree with me that the personal guaranty on the Doral transaction was reduced to a ten percent level by August 3rd of 2015?
A I think that's the right date. I realize it had a decrease in guaranty over time.
Q You understood that the guaranty burns down?
A That's correct.
Q As the loan to value burns down?
A That's correct.
Q The loan to value being the value of the collateral divided by the loan; correct?
A That's what they do.
Q Okay. And would you agree with me there was a certain point in time that President Trump, the guarantor, elected to retain a ten percent guaranty level on the Trump Endeavor 12
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loan?
A Yes, I think to keep an interest rate differential.
Q Let's talk about that interest rate differential.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we please pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 290 at the second page?
And can we zoom into there the box that says "the revised terms" for the interest rate?
Q You see here it says that the interest rate is LIBOR
plus 1.75 percent or prime minus .75 percent?
A Yes.
Q And when the guarantee level falls below ten percent it steps up to LIBOR + 2 percent or prime -50 basis points; correct?
A That's correct.
Q A half a percent; correct?
A Correct.
Q I just want to establish that I'm using the same
language. A percentage point is a hundred basis points; a half a percent is 50 basis points?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Please keep your voice up.
Q So you would agree with me that the loan agreement between Deutsche Bank and Trump Endeavor 12 provided that the interest rate would step up by 25 basis points when the guaranty was eliminated; correct?

\section*{A That's correct.}

Q And the benefit to keeping the guaranty in place was a savings of 25 basis points; correct?
A Per the agreement, yes.
Q All right. Let's now take a look at the loan to 401
North Wabash.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 291, please, at page three. And we could zoom into the collateral property.
Q Mr. McCarty, you would agree with me that plaintiffs -- actually, let me withdraw that.

Let's go back to the first page of Plaintiff's
Exhibit 291. Do you recognize this document, Mr. McCarty?
A I do, yes.
Q Is this a document that you reviewed?
A I did, yes.
Q What is this document?
A This is the credit report for credit approval of the
Chicago -- two of the Chicago facilities.
Q Would you agree with me that this document summarizes
Deutsche Bank's only analysis of this loan transaction?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: Please keep your voice up.
Q Let's go back to the collateral section.
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1
2

And here it's a little more complicated, right, Mr. McCarty? There are two tranches to the loan transaction?

A That's correct.
Q And the first facility, facility \(A\), is a secured facility; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And the collateral that's pledged in connection with the secured facility is described here in this paragraph; correct?

A That's correct.
Q It's a mortgage lien and a first priority security
interest in the residential component defined as the residential component of the property consisting of but not limited to all \(A\) -- excuse me -- all unsold \(A\), residential condominium units; \(B\), deeded parking spaces; \(C\), storage spaces; and \(D\), associated common areas, including the borrower's fee, simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all other related assets." Do you agree with me?

A Yes, that's what it says. time that facility \(\mathbf{B}\) remains outstanding; correct?
A Correct.
Q And I believe in your direct you testified that
facility \(B\) was retired early in the loan's history; correct?
A Yes.
Q And facility \(B\), in much more summary fashion, you would
agree had a collateral of a mortgage lien and first priority
security interest in the commercial component of property; correct?

A Correct.
Q And all of the related property interests to that
commercial component that are described in this credit memo; correct?

A Correct.
Q And you would agree with me that this describes accurately and fairly the collateral that was pledged in connection with the loan to 401 North Wabash; correct?

A Correct.
Q I'd like to pull up Defendant's Exhibit 876 and I'd like to ask the witness if he can identify?

A It's an amended and restated term loan agreement for North Wabash.

Q Did you review this document in connection with the preparation of your opinions in this case?

A Yes, I believe I did.
MR. SUAREZ: I'd like to move Defendant's
Exhibit 876 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
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(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number 876 in evidence.)

MR. SUAREZ: And I'd like to pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1844 into evidence -- I'm sorry -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 1844 on the screen.
Q Mr. McCarty, can you please identify this document?
A This is the amended and restated guaranty for
Donald J. Trump in favor of Deutsche Bank Trust.
\(Q\) Did you review this document in connection with the preparation of your opinions in this case?

A I believe I did.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'd like to move Plaintiffs Exhibit 1844 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1844 in evidence.)
Q And Mr. McCarty, in connection with the opinions you've given today, you reviewed and understood the economic terms contained in these documents; correct?

A Yes, I focused on the economic terms. That's correct.
Q And we can agree other than the collateral we just reviewed there was no other property that was pledged as collateral for this loan; correct?

A That's correct.
2 Q And Mr. McCarty, would you agree with me that the personal guaranty on this loan was extinguished by July 20, 2015?

A I believe that's correct.
Q All right.
MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up Plaintiffs Exhibit 294.
Q Mr. McCarty, do you recognize this document?
A Ido.
Q What is this document?
A This is a similar credit report for credit approval of the North Wabash loans. I believe it's both the A and the B loans.
Q Okay. And you agree that this document reflects the bank's only analysis concerning the decision to make the loan -I'm sorry -- Plaintiff's Exhibit 294.
A I have that.
Q You have that? It's not on the screen. There we go. Would you agree with me that this document reflects the bank's only analysis in making the determination to enter into the loan transaction with Trump Old Post Office LLC as a whole?

A Ido.
Q And have you reviewed this document prior to today?
A Yes, I believe I did.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we turn to page eight of this
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document, please? Pull up the collateral.
Q And Mr. McCarty, would you agree with me that the section reflected here, "Collateral," which describes the security and the facility as -- I'm sorry -- "the facility will be secured by (i) a first mortgage lien on borrower's leasehold interest in X , the property, and Y , all improvements thereto, two security interests in and to the extent assignable and as applicable, assignments of the borrower's interest in all permits, licenses, lease, contracts, agreements, operating accounts, receivables, etc. and (ii)" -- I'm sorry -- "(iii), borrower's interest in other customary, ancillary, collateral relating to the property."

Okay. Do you agree with me that that adequately describes the collateral for the Old Post Office loan transaction?
A I thought we were on North Wabash.
Q No, 294 is the Old Post Office.
A Okay, yes.
Q Yes you would agree with me that this adequately describes the collateral for the Old Post Office; correct?

A Yes.
Q And that no other property was pledged as collateral in connection with the Old Post Office loan by Deutsche Bank to the borrower Trump Old Post Office LLC; correct?

A I believe that's true.

Q And Mr. McCarty, can you please identify the document that I'm going to ask to be pulled up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1238 ? Turning to the next page.
A It's the loan agreement for the Old Post Office project.

Q Did you review this document in connection with the preparation of your opinions?

A Yes, I believe I did.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'd like to move Plaintiff's Exhibit 1238 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1238 in evidence.)

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up D 1013.
Q Would you please, Mr. McCarty, identify this document?
A That's the guaranty of Donald J. Trump, I assume for the Old Post Office.

Q And is this a document that you reviewed in connection with the preparation of your opinions?

A I believe it is, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'd like to move D 1013 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
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received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number D 1013 in evidence.)
Q All right. Let's move to the Ladder Capital loan on 40 Wall Street.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up D 552.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would just note that we're happy to stipulate to the entry of these documents. As Mr. Suarez noted, we are paying Mr. McCarty by the hour and so if we could cut down on the time we would certainly appreciate it. I don't know if he's asking the witness questions about these documents or just using them to enter them, but they're generally unobjectionable documents.

MR. SUAREZ: Almost done with introducing the documents. THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: If you could turn to the second page. Following page.
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. McCarty?
A Yes, I believe it's the offering document for the 40
Wall Street offering by Ladder Capital.
Q Did you review this document in connection with the preparation of your expert opinions?

A I believe I did, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move this document into evidence.
    compute by dividing the value of the property, the 40 Wall
    property, by the amount of the loan; correct?
    A That's correct.
    Q And in this instance, speaking of the guaranty, if we
    could go back to the section that describes the guaranty. We're
    going to blow that up.
    You would agree with me that the guaranty that's at
    issue here isn't a full guaranty; correct?
    A That's correct.
    Q It's not an unconditional guaranty; correct?
    A It is limited, yes.
        Q Right. And it's limited to certain carve outs relating
    to unleased space; correct?
    A That's correct.
                            MR. SUAREZ: And if we can blow up the section on
    the covenants. A little further down on this page.
    Q You would see here that the covenant that it describes
    is that the key principles must maintain a net worth equal to at
    least \(\$ 160\) million and a liquidity of at least \(\$ 15\) million?
    A That's correct.
    Q And the key principle described in this document is
    Donald John Trump?
    A That's correct.

THE COURT: Granted
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number D 552 in evidence.)
Q And Mr. McCarty, would you agree with me that this document we moved to the section on the collateral? Describes the summary of the collateral for the 40 Wall Street loan?
A Yes, I believe it does.
Q And in general terms would you agree with me that the collateral for the 40 Wall Street loan was the building that sits on top of 40 Wall Street and the leasehold interests?
A Yes, I believe it does.
Q And with respect to the 40 Wall loan, you would agree that there is no other collateral that's pledged in connection with this loan; correct?
A Other than the guaranty.
Q Well, is the guaranty -- withdrawn.
The collateral that's pledged as a security is the leasehold and the building; correct?
A That's correct.
Q Okay. But there is no other property that's pledged as collateral; correct?
A No other property, no.
Q No. There is no other property that secures the loan; correct?
cCarty - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez)

Q And the loan-to-value ratio for this loan you would compute by dividing the value of the property, the 40 Wall property, by the amount of the loan; correct?
A That's correct.
Q And in this instance, speaking of the guaranty, if we could go back to the section that describes the guaranty. We're going to blow that up.

You would agree with me that the guaranty that's at issue here isn't a full guaranty; correct?
A That's correct.
Q It's not an unconditional guaranty; correct?
A It is limited, yes.
unleased space; correct?
A That's correct.
MR. SUAREZ: And if we can blow up the section on
the covenants. A little further down on this page.
Q You would see here that the covenant that it describes is that the key principles must maintain a net worth equal to at east \(\$ 160\) million and a liquidity of at least \(\$ 15\) million?
A That's correct.
Q And the key principle described in this document is Donald John Trump?
A That's correct.

Q Do you have any reason to believe during the life of this loan Mr. Trump didn't maintain a net worth equal to at least \(\$ 160\) million in liquidity of at least \(\$ 15\) million?

A No, I don't think there was any violation of this covenant.

Q And this document that we've been reviewing here, Mr. McCarty, you would agree with me reflects Ladder Capital's own analysis as to the loan transaction with 40 Wall Street LLC; correct?

A The terms of the loan I think are described properly, yes.

Q And it contains the analysis of Ladder Capital; correct?

A Term sheets I don't normally say is an analysis, but I guess you could characterize it as analysis.
Q It's a document that's prepared by Ladder Capital; correct?
A Right.
Q After Ladder Capital has performed underwriting;
correct?
A Right.
Q And it describes the features of the transaction; correct?
A That's correct. I just -- the word "analysis" I don't know if there is any analysis in it.
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Q And if we could please pull Plaintiff's Exhibit 2973. I would ask Mr. McCarty to identify this document.
A This is the loan agreement on 40 Wall Street.
Q And did you review this document in connection with the preparation of your expert opinions in this case?

\section*{A I did.}

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'd like to move Plaintiff's 2973 into evidence. THE COURT: Granted.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 2973 in evidence.)

MR. SUAREZ: And I ask that Plaintiff's Exhibit 625 marked for identification be pulled up.
Q Mr. McCarty, did you review this document in connection with the preparation of your opinions in this case?
A We're on 625 now?
Q 625.
A Yes, I believe I did.
Q Can you identify this document, please?
A This is the guaranty of recourse obligations for 40 Wall.
Q This is what we discussed earlier as a limited guaranty; correct?

A Yes.
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\hline 18 that President Trump was in the top tier of verifiable net worth & 18 received and marked Defendant's Exhibit Number D 205 in \\
\hline 19 in this regional market? & 19 evidence. \\
\hline 20 A I don't think it was a relevant issue for me. & 20 Q Mr. McCarty, you would agree with me that this is the \\
\hline 21 Q You didn't think that was relevant to your analysis? & 21 Deutsche Bank pricing grid for the Private Wealth Management \\
\hline 22 A No. & 22 Americas group; correct? \\
\hline 23 Q So it's safe to say that your analysis doesn't account & 23 A For that group, yes. \\
\hline 24 for that testimony; correct? & 24 Q And it reflects here -- the first column reflects the \\
\hline 25 A I think that's a misstatement. I said I didn't think & 25 geographic region; correct? \\
\hline M. McCarty - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 3121 & M. McCarty - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 3123 \\
\hline it was relevant. & 1 A That's correct. \\
\hline 2 Q So if you didn't think it was relevant did you fold it & 2 Q And then the subsequent columns describe different type \\
\hline 3 into your analysis? & 3 of loan products; correct? \\
\hline 4 A Since it wasn't relevant I didn't need to fold it into & 4 A That's correct. \\
\hline 5 my analysis. & 5 Q And that in the Americas group the commercial real \\
\hline 6 Q Okay. So your analysis doesn't account for that & 6 estate column is the one that would have applied to the loans \\
\hline 7 testimony; correct? & 7 that are the subject of your opinions in this case and were made \\
\hline 8 A It does because I believed it to be not material. & 8 by Deutsche Bank? \\
\hline 9 Q Okay. So Mr. Williams' testimony that President Trump & 9 A For the actual interest rates, yes. \\
\hline 10 was in the top tier of verifiable net worth in this regional & 10 Q And this reflects a pricing of LIBOR plus that spread; \\
\hline 11 market was not material to your analysis? & 11 correct? \\
\hline 12 A That's correct. & 12 A That's correct. \\
\hline 13 THE COURT: Asked and answered three, four, five & 13 Q And in reaching your opinions in this case did you \\
\hline 14 times. & 14 consider Mr. Williams' testimony that Deutsche Bank Private \\
\hline 15 Q Did you consider Mr. Williams' testimony that Deutsche & 15 Wealth Management would depart downward from this range if there \\
\hline 16 Bank Private Wealth Management had a pricing grid that was in & 16 was a competitive business case for doing so? \\
\hline 17 effect since at least 2010? & 17 A It's one of the standard bank issues in pricing this \\
\hline 18 A I'm very familiar with their pricing grid, yes. & 18 competition response, so yes, I believe it's correct for Private \\
\hline 19 Q Was that material to your analysis? & 19 Wealth Management loans. \\
\hline 20 A No. & 20 Q One issues that the bank can consider that wants to be \\
\hline 21 Q Did you consider Mr. Williams' testimony that Deutsche & 21 competitive in the marketplace and in the loan is departing from \\
\hline 22 Bank Private Wealth Management would use a pricing grid to & 22 this? \\
\hline 23 determine appropriate interest rates to charge on private wealth & 23 A That's correct. They have some discretion to go lower. \\
\hline 24 management loans depending on the credit or collateral type? & 24 THE COURT: Five-minute warning. \\
\hline 25 A No, I didn't think it was relevant. & 25 Q Did you consider -- was Mr. Williams' testimony \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|lll}
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\hline
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A That's correct.
    Q And this one wasn't listed?
    A No.
    Q But you did list the deposition of David Williams,
correct?
    A David Williams and Haigh, I believe, were the two key
ones I looked at.

Q Okay. Now, do you understand Tom Sullivan was the head of structured lending at Deutsche Bank during the time it made the three loans at issue in your testimony?

A Yes, I do.
Q And I understand you don't recall having or at the time of your deposition you didn't recall having read his testimony, but now you do, but would it be the case that it was material to your analysis -- let me withdraw that.

Was it material to your analysis that Mr. Sullivan testified that Deutsche Bank was not mislead in any aspect of any credit decision it made based on information that was included in President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition? A No.

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: He answered it. What's the objection unless you want to withdraw the objection?

MR. ROBERT: I don't want to withdraw the
objection. I believe that misstates Mr. Sullivan's testimony, but the witness was able to answer.
Q Was it material to your analysis that Mr. Sullivan testified at his deposition that Deutsche Bank was not mislead because Deutsche Bank developed its own independent view concerning President Trump's financial condition?
A No, I don't think it is material to my analysis.
Q And was it material to your analysis that Mr. Sullivan testified that Deutsche Bank's decision making was based on what
Deutsche Bank was comfortable with as a result of the assessment that it independently performed rob?

MR. WALLACE: I'm happy to make a standing objection to the characterization of Mr. Sullivan, but the questions, I'm fine with them. I just want to note our standing objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Noted.
A No, it is not material.
Q Not material. And is it material to your analysis that Mr. Sullivan testified that he would have likely recommended a credit facility sponsored by President Trump even if he was only worth a billion dollars?
A No, I don't think it is material.
Q And do you think it is material to your analysis that Mr. Sullivan testified that this was because a \(\$ 1\) billion net worth is still significant wealth?

Q It is not material to your analysis?
A No.
Q Was it material to your analysis that such a
determination according to Mr. Sullivan's testimony would be based on what the net worth looked like based on asset allocation, quality of the assets and assuming asset allocation, a \(\$ 1\) billion net worth would be sufficient?
A No, I don't think it is material.
Q You don't think it is material?
THE COURT: Please don't keep repeating the answer unless you think he can't be heard.

MR. SUAREZ: I'm having a little trouble hearing it. That's why I'm doing it, but I understand.
Q Are you aware that Mr. Sullivan testified at his
deposition in this case that he would recommend the Doral credit
facility based on the project strength alone?
A No, I don't think it's material.
Q That was not material to your analysis in this case?
THE COURT: That's what I just asked you not to do and you said you wouldn't do it.

MR. SUAREZ: I'll try. Are you aware -- let me withdraw that.
Q Was it material to your analysis in this case that Mr.

Sullivan testified that he was not aware of any misrepresentation with respect to any asset provided by the guarantor?
A No.
Q Did the NYAG ask you not to review the Sullivan deposition?

A No.
Q Was there -- withdrawn.
Can we agree that regardless of how the loans were
procured from Deutsche Bank, the guaranty was still in place for a period of time?

A Yes.
Q And if there was an extreme situation that impacted the cash flow of any of the particular borrowers, the guarantor could have been responsible?
A Yes, probably.
Q Let's go up to your demonstrative from earlier today.
Going back to the CRE interest rate of ten percent, isn't it the case that that's a number that you used because the New York Attorney General provided to you documents relating to a proposed transaction from CRE at that interest rate?
A No. It originally started from the credit reports
where I looked at BB rating that it assigned to the collateral and the BB rating to me had a market movement somewhere between nine and ten percent.
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MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move to strike that as not responsive. I was asking if the documents were provided by the NYAG.

THE COURT: It is -- all right. Were the documents provided by the NYAG?
Q Were the documents provided by the NYAG from which you determined that the CRE had offered an interest rate of ten percent?
A I received documents produced from Deutsche Bank
through New York -- the AG that showed the terms and conditions of all three of the loans.
Q Okay. The terms and conditions of all
three -- of the offers from the CRE Group, correct?
A That's correct.
Q Those were documents that were provided to you by the NYAG, correct?

A The confusion I had on your question is that wasn't the primary basis of my decision to use the numbers.

Q That's not what I'm asking you. Those were documents that were provided to you by the NYAG, right?
A The numbers are not necessarily the documents.
Q Sorry?
A No.
THE COURT: The numbers are not documents.
Q The documents that you reviewed in order to get those
numbers came from offers that the CRE Group made that were provided to you by the NYAG?
A Only as a portion. I said the other portion was the credit report, my own analysis of comparable transactions and the knowledge of the rated companies.

Q Correct, but you knew that those CRE numbers existed because they were provided to you in documents by the NYAG?

A After I had started the analysis that I described, yes.
Q Okay. Those documents weren't in your possession until they were provided to you?
A That's correct.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: Mr. McCarty, a little closer to the microphone and a little louder.

THE WITNESS: Will do. Thank you.
Q So with respect to the CRE proposal that was not
accepted on the Doral transaction, would you agree with me that that proposal could have been further negotiated?
A I'm not aware of whether it was final or not, so I
don't have an opinion whether it was negotiated or there may
have been negotiations. I'm not aware of.
Q It was never a final proposal, correct?
A I don't know that that's true.
Q Well, you reviewed the documents, didn't you?
A I did. It had a signature block on it for the ones I
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saw, so that, to me, usually indicates it is a fairly final document.

Q But you're not certain if that was a final offer from the CRE Group?

A Not either way, no.
Q So you're not certain if the CRE Group would have extended credit on those terms, correct?

A Based on my experience of banks, I think the projection of a multi-page term sheet with details and a signature block indicates that they would have provided credit under those terms.
Q So your testimony is that based on your review of those documents, you are certain that the CRE Group would have provided a loan on those terms?

A I don't think that's what I said.
Q So are you certain sitting here today that the CRE
Group would have provided a loan on those terms?
A I don't have an opinion. I believe that those are serious, could be final documents.

Q I'm asking if you are certain. Either you're certain or you're not certain.

A I can't really give you a judgment about it.
Q You don't know whether you're certain or not that CRE Group would have provided a loan on those terms?
A I think my testimony was that I think they would have.
\(1 \quad\) Q Okay. So you can't be certain? You think?
2 A I think --
3 Q It is a supposition, correct?
4 A Based on experience.
5 Q You can base it on whatever you want, but it is still a supposition, right?
A I guess so.
\(8 \quad\) Q "I guess so" means yes?
9 A I'm uncertain.
Q You're not certain. So that, you are not certain about?

A I'm uncertain about your question.
Q Are you certain whether the CRE Group would have provided financing on those terms?

A I believe my best judgment is that they would have provided financing on those terms.

Q Your opinion is is that they would have?
A My best judgment based on experience.
Q Is it not your opinion that they would have?
A No.
Q Okay. So, then, you're not certain if they would have produced -- if they would have done that loan on those terms?

A No. My best judgment is they would have.
Q Okay. But you can't be certain?
THE COURT: Oh, come on. Enough is enough. How
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many times does he have to say he can't be certain. He's not certain.

MR. SUAREZ: He says it is his opinion, maybe it is maybe, it isn't.

THE COURT: He said it is his best judgment, but he refused to say, "Yes, I was certain."

MR. SUAREZ: "Are you certain" is a yes-or-no question. I'm certain it is Wednesday.

THE COURT: I wish he had answered yes or no. I think he could have but he's not going to. So let's move on.

MR. SUAREZ: So would Your Honor agree with me that the response was not responsive?

THE COURT: Yes. So let's ask him.
It is a yes-or-no question. Are you certain that they would or not have, whatever, offered a loan on those terms? Are you certain?

THE WITNESS: It is a hypothetical question. I can't be certain.

THE COURT: I'll take that to mean no, he's not certain because he said "I can't be certain."
Q Your analysis here doesn't consider whether the
borrower could have obtained another source of financing, correct?
A No, we decided that the only way we could look at it
was to do it on a static basis, no refinancing, no increase in terms to keep the situation static from the original start.

Q Again, it is a yes-or-no question. It either considers it or it doesn't.

THE COURT: Can you read back the question and the response.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

THE COURT: Stricken.
Please answer yes or no. If you need the question asked again or read back, we can do that.

MR. SUAREZ: Yes, please. Please read back my question.

THE COURT: One more readback, just the question.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read back.)

THE COURT: Hold on. Objection by the judge. It is a negative question. Just ask it in a positive way.
Q Does your analysis here consider whether the borrower could have obtained another source of financing?
A No, it does not.
Q Does your analysis here consider whether the sponsor
could have borrowed money against another asset?
A No, it does not.
Q Does yours analysis consider whether the borrower could
have pledged another asset as collateral?
A No.
Q Does your analysis consider whether the borrower or sponsor could have just not done the deal?

A No.
Q But you would agree with me, Mr. McCarty, that the collateral in your hypothetical analysis here for the CRE rate is the same collateral as that for the actual loan that was made, correct?
A Absent the guaranty, it is the same collateral.
Q So the only difference in your analysis is whether the guaranty is there or not, correct?
A Correct.
Q And I have the same question for the OPO loan. Does
your analysis consider whether the borrower could have obtained another source of financing?

A No.
Q Does your analysis consider whether the sponsor could have borrowed against another asset?

A No.
Q Does your analysis consider whether the sponsor could have pledged another asset as collateral?
A No.
Q Does your analysis consider whether or not the sponsor could have chosen not to do the deal?
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Q And you would agree with me, Mr. McCarty, that the only difference in your analysis between the actual interest charged and the CRE interest that you've included in this calculation is the existence of the guaranty, correct?
A That's correct.
Q It is -- it -- your analysis is based on the exact same collateral, correct?
A I need to clarify the question. You're asking if that's the only difference between the two lines?
Q Let me ask it differently. Is the difference between the assumption that you made -- withdrawn.

The analysis for the interest rate that you provided at eight percent, that contemplates the same collateral, correct?
A Correct.
Q And with respect to the Chicago loan, the analysis that you've provided with the CRE interest rate at seven and-a-half percent, that contemplates the same collateral as the actual transaction, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And with the 40 Wall loan in the bottom, the same
thing, the same question, it contemplates the use of the same collateral, correct?

A Correct.
Q With respect to the Doral loan, your opinion doesn't
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consider whether the sponsor of the transaction could have gone to hundreds, if not thousands, of other lenders, correct?
A No, it only -- the only consideration I have made was that there was an alternative offer from Beal, so there was another alternative.
Q So it doesn't include that consideration?
A It included the consideration of Beal, yes.
Q But not the fact that he could have gone to hundreds, if not thousands, of other borrowers?

A I had no knowledge that there was available other than Beal.

Q And your knowledge as to what was available came from the documents that were provided to you from the - by the New York Attorney General, correct?

A Everything was provided by the Attorney General, but the Beal reference I don't think was the same documentation.

Q But it was provided to you by the New York Attorney General?
A As part of production, yes.
Q Would it be fair to say that your analysis only
contemplates the alternatives that were provided by -- excuse me.

Would it be fair to say that your analysis only
contemplates alternatives that were reflected in documents that were provided to you by the New York Attorney General?

A No, I'd say it also includes my judgment about credits and pricing of credits in the market.
Q Is it the case that the sponsor in any of these transactions could have gone out and solicited additional financing from many other different types of lenders?
A Again, I'm not sure it was a broad spectrum of available credits for these properties at the time.
Q My question was a little different. Isn't it the case that the sponsor could have gone out and solicited from hundreds, if not thousands, of other lenders loans on these transactions?
A My answer was I'm not sure that that type of market exited.
Q But I wasn't asking you whether you thought the market existed. I was asking you whether you thought the
lender -- sorry -- the sponsor could go out and solicit lending
from hundreds, if not thousands, of other lending institutions?
A If he wanted to, sure.
Q Okay. And that fact is not contemplated in your analysis?
A No.
Q All of the different other financing alternatives that were available to the sponsor of each of these individual transactions are not contemplated by your analysis?

THE COURT: It is a negative question and it has
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been asked and answered.
MR. SUAREZ: All right. I'll withdraw it.
Q Now, let's talk specifically about the three Deutsche Bank transactions.

Does your analysis contemplate President Trump's obligation to maintain deposits, assets under management at Deutsche Bank?
A No, it doesn't contemplate the \(\$ 20\) million deposit.
Q And isn't the case that at a certain point in time, President Trump and entities related to him had over \(\$ 100\) million on deposit in Deutsche Bank?

A I'm not aware of that fact.
Q It is in the credit memos.
A No, I don't recall it.
Q Isn't that part of the reason why private wealth management goes and seeks out high net worth individuals?

A In my experience in wealth management, it is not a major item. Deposits are worth very little.

Q But you agree with me that you have never worked at a private wealth management group?
A I've only managed them.
Q The calculations that you have here for the Doral loan don't factor the cost of maintaining those deposits at Deutsche Bank, correct?

A No. We decided to exclude them and the fees associated
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline M. MCCARTY - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. SUAREZ) Page 3144 & M. MCCARTY - PLAINTIFF - CROSS(MR. SUAREZ) Page 3146 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
with the other loans, so we thought they canceled each other out. \\
Q So there is no credit given for the additional \\
requirements that President Trump had to meet in order to \\
qualify for the private wealth group, correct? \\
A It does because we canceled out the fees for the CRE loans to be equal. \\
Q But the wealth management loans had fees, didn't they? \\
A They were smaller. \\
Q The Private Wealth Group, however, has a different business model than the CRE Group, correct? \\
A That's correct. \\
Q And the CRE Group doesn't have the same requirements that you maintain assets under management, correct? \\
A No, they have different requirements. \\
Q But that wasn't my question. My question was whether \\
the CRE Group had the same requirement that you maintain assets under management? \\
A No, they didn't. \\
Q That's an additional benefit to the bank, right? \\
A It is one of the benefits, yes. \\
Q And the other benefit is the opportunity to cross-sell, right? \\
24 A That's available with any customer, yes. \\
25 Q But it is a benefit to the bank, right?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
business in the Private Wealth Group? \\
A They marketed to him and I suspect that's true. \\
Q They marketed to him, correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q He is the type of person that a Private Wealth Group would market to? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Now, in your analysis of the 40 Wall Street loan, does \\
that take into account the \(\$ 6\) million swap break fee that the borrower had to pay to do the transaction? \\
A It was in the case that we assumed that it was in both. Since we're continuing the Capital One, we considered it not relevant. \\
Q So, part of the 40 Wall analysis is just an assumption that the Capital One loan would have stayed in place correct? \\
A Correct, would have rolled over. \\
Q And it is your testimony that if the Capital One loan would have stayed in place, the borrower would still have had to pay the \(\$ 6\) million swap fee? \\
A No, we don't believe so. We considered it be a rollover on the same terms. \\
Q It would roll over on the same terms? \\
A Right. \\
Q So there was a cost to doing the transaction with \\
Ladder Capital, correct?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
A In any division, yes. \\
Q Excuse me? \\
A In any division of the bank, it is available. \\
Q Do you consider that to be a an emphasis of the Private Wealth Group? \\
A I think it is an emphasis on every banking group. \\
Q You don't think that's anything specific to the Private Wealth Group? \\
A Not necessarily, no. \\
Q The ability to develop relationships with ultra high net worth individuals, is that an objective of the Private Wealth Group? \\
A It is, yes. \\
Q And was it the case that they were able to do that with President Trump as a client? \\
A I don't know the specifics. They did multiple loans, yes. \\
Q And are you aware that the co-chairman of the bank expressed an interest in developing a relationship with President Trump and his companies? \\
A Yes, I read that. \\
Q And that Deutsche Bank sought President Trump's business? \\
24 A Yes. \\
25 Q And that Deutsche Bank wanted to have President Trump's
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
A There was a cost to Ladder Capital, yes. \\
Q But the \(\$ 6\) million swap breakage fee was paid for by the borrower? \\
A Yes. \\
Q Okay. That was a cost of doing that transaction, correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q So that cost would not have existed if they stayed with \\
Capital One bank, correct? \\
A That's correct. \\
THE COURT: Move a little closer to the mic, please, or bend the mic more towards you. \\
MR. SUAREZ: May I have a second to confer with my colleagues? \\
THE COURT: Sure. \\
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline M. McCarthy - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 3148 & Proceedings Page 3150 \\
\hline \(1 \quad\) Q All right. I think at some point somebody described & 1 THE COURT: Any redirect? \\
\hline 2 this as an exercise; correct? & 2 MR. WALLACE: Was there other cross from any othe \\
\hline 3 A Not totally simple math, but it's an exercise in & 3 defendant? \\
\hline 4 mathematics. & 4 MR. ROBERT: No, thank you. \\
\hline 5 Q It's an exercise in mathematics. I'd like to ask you & 5 MR. WALLACE: No redirect, Your Honor. \\
\hline 6 to engage in an exercise in mathematics. & 6 THE COURT: Okay. I'll let the witness go \\
\hline 7 A Okay. & 7 Thank you. \\
\hline 8 Q If you take the Doral transaction and we agree that the & 8 (Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness \\
\hline 9 guaranty went down to ten percent in the middle 2015; correct? & 9 stand.) \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 MS. FAHERTY: On behalf of the People again, we \\
\hline 11 Q And if we take out all of the interest delta after the & 11 call Donald Trump, Jr. to the stand for our next witness. \\
\hline 12 middle of 2015, this grand total here goes down to about & 12 THE COURT: Let's get the witness. \\
\hline 13 \$63 million; correct? Goes down by \$63 million? & 13 And Ms. Faherty, you're not coming through that \\
\hline 14 A I haven't done math, so I don't know. & 14 loud. Maybe move it up. \\
\hline 15 Q Well, if you take 4.7 million and half of & 15 I believe we have some photographers that have \\
\hline 1610.08 million, that leaves you with about 9.5 million? & 16 interest in this situation? Let them come i \\
\hline 17 A In order to do that you would have to make an & 17 MS. FAHERTY: I was not forewarned and my hair is a \\
\hline 18 assumption that I didn't make which is you have to say it would & 18 me \\
\hline 19 be refinanced. & 19 THE COURT: I was forewarned and my hair is still a \\
\hline 20 Q I'm asking to you do just the math with me. Walk me & 20 \\
\hline 21 through the math here, okay? It's just a math exercise. & 21 MS. FAHERTY: I like your hair better, Judge. \\
\hline 22 A Okay. & 22 THE COURT: I'll ask the witness to take the stand. \\
\hline 23 Q All right. Can we do a math exercise? & 23 (Whereupon, the witness enters the courtroom and \\
\hline 24 A Sure. & 24 approaches the witness stand.) \\
\hline 25 Q And understand that I'm a lawyer and the typical & 25 THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. \\
\hline M. McCarthy - Plaintiff - cross (Suarez) Page 3149 & D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 3151 \\
\hline 1 disclaimer we're not good at math, so I won't be offended if you & 1 Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give \\
\hline 2 correct me, but if you take all of the interest delta from the & 2 will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the \\
\hline 3 middle of 2015 and take it out from the date, approximately & 3 truth? \\
\hline 4 ballpark, we're not being very precise here, but if you ballpark & 4 D ONALD TRUMP JR., called by and on \\
\hline 5 the interest delta from the middle of 2015 and you subtract that & 5 behalf of the Plaintiff, having been first duly sworn, was \\
\hline 6 from the grand total, that leaves you with about nine million & 6 examined and testified as follows: \\
\hline 7 dollars in interest delta; correct? & 7 THE WITNESS: I do. \\
\hline 8 A Nine million and change. & 8 THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a sea \\
\hline \(9 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Nine million and change. & 9 Please state your name and either home or business \\
\hline 10 And if we go down to Chicago and you subtract the & 10 address on the record. \\
\hline 11 interest delta from when the guarantee was extinguished in the & 11 THE WITNESS: Donald Trump, Jr., 725 Fifth Avenue, \\
\hline 12 middle of 2015, the \(\$ 17\) million number goes down to about 1.7 ? & 12 New York, New York 10022. \\
\hline 13 A It's about right. & 13 THE COURT: Voice sounds good, but get a little \\
\hline 14 Q And if you take the 40 Wall Street loan and you back & 14 closer to the mic. It's very temperamental. \\
\hline 15 out the 60 -- I'm sorry -- the \$6 million swap fee that they had & 15 And let's proceed with the questioning. \\
\hline 16 to pay in order to do the 40 Wall Street loan with Ladder & 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION \\
\hline 17 Capital, that number goes down to 18.2 million; right? & 17 BY MS. FAHERTY: \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & 18 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Trump. \\
\hline 19 Q And you would agree with me in rough numbers that if & 19 A Afternoon. How are you. \\
\hline 20 you back that out of your analysis you get 18.2, plus 1.7, plus & 20 Q Good, thank you. Nice to see you again. \\
\hline 2153.4 , plus 99.5 , that number would only be 82.8 million? & 21 Mr. Trump, are you taking any medication or drugs of \\
\hline 22 A It's about right. & 22 any kind that might make it difficult for you to understand and \\
\hline 23 Q That's about right? & 23 answer my questions today truthfully and accurately? \\
\hline 24 MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I have no further & \[
24 \text { A No. }
\] \\
\hline 25 questions on cross examination. & 25 Q Are you suffering from any conditions that would make \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 3152 & D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 3154 \\
\hline 1 it difficult for you to understand my questions and answer & 1 A Not specifically, no. \\
\hline 2 questions today truthfully and accurately? & 2 Q Are you one of the executive officers that oversees \\
\hline 3 A No. & 3 Trump International Realty? \\
\hline 4 Q Just a few brief questions about your educational & 4 A I believe I \\
\hline 5 background and work his & 5 Q Okay. Are you familiar with anyone else who is an \\
\hline 6 First, did you attend college? & 6 executive that oversees Trump International Realty? \\
\hline 7 A I did. & 7 A Likely my brother Eric. \\
\hline 8 Q Did you earn a degree? & 8 Q Anyone else? \\
\hline 9 A I did. & 9 A Not off the top of my head. \\
\hline 10 Q From where did you earn a degree from the college & 10 Q At any point in time did your sister Ivanka Trump also \\
\hline 11 institution you attended? & 11 serve as an executive officer that oversaw Trump International \\
\hline 12 A The University of Pennsylvania. & 12 Realty? \\
\hline 13 Q Is it the Wharton School of Business that you attended? & 13 A I believe she would have, yes. \\
\hline 14 A Yes, as a division of the business school of, yes. & 14 Q Did the three of you Eric, Ivanka and you serve as the \\
\hline 15 Q And what degree did you receive from the University of & 15 initial executive officers that established the Trump \\
\hline 16 Pennsylvania? & 16 International Realty brokerage arm of the Trump Organization? \\
\hline 17 A Bachelor of science. & 17 A That would make sense, but I don't recall. \\
\hline 18 Q In what concentrations, if any? & 18 Q Okay. Any other licenses or certifications that you \\
\hline 19 A Real estate finance and marketing. & 19 have not told me about? \\
\hline 20 Q And in what year did you earn that degree, Mr. Trump? & 20 A Not off the top of my head. \\
\hline 21 A 2000. & 21 Q Are you familiar with an acronym GAAP or GAAP? \\
\hline 22 Q Any sub majors? & 22 A I'm familiar with it, yes. \\
\hline 23 A I guess the real estate finance and marketing are sort & 23 Q What -- how are you familiar what that acronym GAAP? \\
\hline 24 of all the sub majors that are, you know, part of the bachelor & 24 A Well, I understand what it stands for. \\
\hline 25 of science. & 25 Q What does it stand for? \\
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\hline 1 Q Okay. How about professional certifications or & 1 A Generally accepted accounting principles. \\
\hline 2 licenses? & 2 Q And how did you become familiar with what the acronym \\
\hline 3 A I'm a real estate broker. & 3 GAAP stands for? \\
\hline 4 Q And when did you receive that real estate broker's - & 4 A I believe that was an accounting 101 back in the late \\
\hline 5 sorry -- withdrawn. & 5 90s. \\
\hline 6 Do you have a license to be a real estate broker? & 6 Q At Wharton? \\
\hline 7 A Yes. & 7 A Yes. \\
\hline 8 Q Okay. And when did you receive that real estate & 8 Q Anything else that you're familiar with with regards to \\
\hline 9 broker's license? & 9 the acronym GAAP or what it stands for? \\
\hline 10 A I don't recall. & 10 A No, that's what I have CPAs for. \\
\hline 11 Q Refresh your recollection that it was about the mid & 11 Q Okay. Have you ever been employed in the position that \\
\hline 12 2000s? & 12 required you to apply GAAP to your work? \\
\hline 13 A That seems about right. That probably was my & 13 A Not to my knowledge. \\
\hline 14 salesperson license and then took it further after that, but & 14 Q And correct you're not a certified public accountant; \\
\hline 15 yes, that's probably about right. & 15 right? \\
\hline 16 Q So you have a real estate broker's license and there & 16 A That is correct. \\
\hline 17 are degrees contained within that license; yes? & 17 Q And you don't hold any professional certifications in \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & 18 accounting; right? \\
\hline 19 Q And is there a brokerage firm that sponsors your real & 19 A Not even a little bit. \\
\hline 20 estate broker's license? & 20 Q And fair to say you're not a member of the American \\
\hline 21 A Trump International Realty. & 21 Institute of CPAs or similar types societies; right? \\
\hline 22 Q What is Trump International Realty? & 22 A Sounds very exciting, but no. \\
\hline 23 A Let's call it the brokerage arm of the Trump & 23 Q Those certifications aside, have you received any \\
\hline 24 Organization. & 24 professional training in applying GAAP in the course of your \\
\hline 25 Q And are you employed at Trump International Realty? & 25 work? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A I have not, no.
Q Okay. So I'm going to ask a series of questions related to GAAP. I'm sure we'll move through quickly, but I just want to just confirm some of your knowledge with regards to GAAP.

Is it fair to say based on your testimony that you're not familiar with how revenue is recognized in accordance with GAAP?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. The witness has already testified he's not familiar with GAAP. Now asking more questions about what he knows about GAAP is going to get us nowhere.

THE WITNESS: Yes, I know nothing about GAAP in terms of that capacity and I'll leave it to my accountants. That's why we have Big 5 CPA firms to do all of that.
Q So any further probing questions I would ask you about GAAP and how GAAP would be applied your answer would consistently be "I have no such knowledge?"
A That's correct.
Q Thank you.
Are you familiar with the Financial Accounting
Standards Board or FASB otherwise referred to as FASB?
A Not to my recollection, no.
Q No specification understanding as to its function or its work?
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A No.
Q How about the accounting standards codification? Any understandings -- any understanding as to the accounting standards codification and sub rules contained within?
A No.
Q So if I asked you about any of the NASC rules you would not have any specific knowledge as to those rules?
A That's correct.
Q Similarly, if I asked you a question about the ASC
rules as they applied to statements of financial condition or compilation work, no specific understanding as to those rules or the application to statements of financial condition?
A Correct.
THE COURT: Those are negative questions. You can ask him if he does, not --

MS. FAHERTY: I promised I wasn't going to do it, Judge.

THE COURT: It's a tough habit to break.
MS. FAHERTY: It is.
THE COURT: Just ask Mr. Suarez.
Q Fair to say you don't have that understanding?
A No.
Q Thank you.
THE COURT: I think that was just a negative
question. "Fair to say you don't have that understanding?"

You should ask him "do that you have that understanding."
THE WITNESS: I have no understanding. Simplify it.

MR. ROBERT: That was about GAAP he meant.
Q I'll take the answer.
Is it fair to infer that any work, if any, that you did on the Statement of Financial Condition you are not applying the AFCs or any rules included in the FAS?

THE COURT: That's a negative question.
Q You didn't apply any work --
MS. HABBA: Excuse me. I'm going to object to that. We've already run through that. He said he doesn't have qualifications. We've asked and answered this so now you're asking him something about a statement of financials and applying AFC rules. This will take a lot longer if we keep doing it.

THE WITNESS: I -- that's what you do in business. If you don't have that understanding, I rely on the professionals and CPAs that we paid millions of dollars that have that degree and probably have a great degree of understanding and I rely on their opinions and their assessments to make those decisions.

MS. FAHERTY: Move to strike, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, the last part, yes, but I've sustained the objection. It will be a lot simpler if he
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1 says he doesn't understand some standards, not to ask him 2 about the standards.

MS. FAHERTY: No problem, Your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Sure.
Q Mr. Trump, who is your current employer?
A I believe it's still Trump Payroll Corp.
Q Do you work for an umbrella organization known as the Trump Organization?
A Generally speaking.
Q You just made a reference to Trump Payroll Corp. Who is Trump Payroll Corp?
A An entity designed to pay the employees of the Trump Organization.
Q And what is Trump Payroll Corp's business other than paying employees of the Trump Organization?

A That's the extent of it. So you can again talk to the lawyers and the accountants who structured those things that way for corporate structure purposes.
Q And you just made reference to employees of the Trump Organization. What is the Trump Organization?
A Generally speaking it's a -- let's call it an umbrella term for the entities under the purview of our family's
business.
Q So if I make a reference to the Trump Organization and work you've performed for the Trump Organization do you have an
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understanding as to the business I'm referring to when I use the term "the Trump Organization?"
A Generally speaking, yes.
Q Okay. When did you start working for the Trump
Organization?
A Shortly after September 11th, 2001. So I think it was the 17th, right after 9/11.
Q Was that after a gap year from college?
A That was.
Q And when you first began working for the Trump
Organization, what was your title?
A I worked as a project manager on West Side Yards
project, so it wasn't even for the Trump Organization. It was for Hudson Waterfront LLC or one of the derivatives of that.

Q There is a derivative entity known --
A I think it's Hudson Waterfront Associates perhaps.
Q Hudson Waterfront Associates.
Is that still affiliated with the Trump Organization?
A It's been awhile. I'm not sure.
Q Any reason to doubt that it is an entity affiliated with the Trump Organization?

A Well, the assets were sold, so perhaps they are gone. I don't know.

Q At the time on which you were working on that project was it affiliated with the Trump Organization?
D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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A Yes.
2 Q And through your work on the west side Yards project is it correct that you became familiar with Vornado Realty Trust?
A Yes.
5 Q And you became familiar with the partnership
arrangement between Vornado and the Trump Organization; correct?
A Really didn't have anything to do with what I was doing at the time, but I generally knew of it. I was a low level guy learning how to build ground-up construction, so I didn't really deal with those entities, but generally speaking, yes.

Q And generally you're familiarity with that partnership, with Vornado, is that the Trump Organization or the umbrella Trump Organization maintains a \(1 / 3\) partnership relationship with Vornado; yes?
A Yes.
Q After the Hudson West Side Yards project, is it correct that you then still were working as a project manager you handled construction and development at Trump Park Avenue?

A Yes.
Q Is that a prewar building?
A Yes.
Q And what specifically did you do as project manager at Trump Park Avenue?

A Little bit of everything; design construction,
overseeing some of the banking relationships we had, anything
and everything.
Q And correct that there are certain units contained at Trump Park Avenue that are rent stabilized, yes?
A Hotel stabilized, but yes.
Q And you're familiar with rent stabilized laws here in New York City; correct?
A I was then. I've probably forgotten most of it now.
Q Fair to say at the time you had that knowledge about
the rent stabilization laws that impacted development at Trump
Park Avenue; correct?
A Yes.
Q And the limitations imposed by those laws; correct?
A Correct.
Q Subsequent to the Trump Park Avenue project is it
correct you then eventually worked at the Trump International
Realty Hotel in Chicago; right?
A Yes.
Q Again, as a project manager still?
A I don't recall my specific title, but probably, yes.
Q And what exactly were your duties at the Trump International Realty Hotel in Chicago?

A Well, when I got involved it was still the Chicago Sun Times building. We had a partnership with them and we were going to build the tallest residential building in Chicago there. So everything from design, architecture, sales, and
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marketing, finance, construction. You name it.
Q And do you recall the time period that you were working on that particular project?

A Early 2000s. It was quite a bit of time, but probably three, four years I spent three days a week there for three, four years.
Q And then subsequent to your time working on the Chicago project, fair to say you had a change in title in your work for the Trump Organization?

A I may have. I don't specifically recall when that happened, but yes. I was progressing.

Q What do you recall your next title was at the Trump
Organization after you progressed from being a project manager?
A I don't recall.
Q Fair to say you eventually became an EVP or executive vice president at the Trump Organization?

A Eventually, yes.
Q How many other titles have you held in the course of your tenure with the Trump Organization?

A I'm not sure.
Q More than five?
A Probably not, no.
Q Less than five then?
A Yes, but I don't know specifically.
Q Have you at any point become an executive vice
```
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president at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q So we've got project manager; yes?
A Yes.
Q Executive vice president; correct?
A Correct.
Q What other titles as you sit here today did -- can you
think of that you've had in the time that you've worked for the
Trump Organization?
A I imagine I was a VP somewhere along the way and I'm
not exactly sure.
Q And at what point in time do you think you were just a
VP, not an executive VP?
A I can't recall.
Q Before 2011?
A I would imagine so, yes.
Q Fair to say beginning at least from 2011 you have
served as an executive vice president for the Trump
Organization; yes?
A On or about, yes.
Q And considering the hierarchy of executive titles
within the Trump Organization where does an executive vice
president fit within such hierarchy?
A Fairly high.
Q Who is above you in your role as an executive vice

```
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    president at the Trump Organization?
        MS. FAHERTY: I think we've got from 2011 forward
        he has served as an executive vice president, so the
        question was related to in his time as an executive vice
        president who was above him.
        MR. ROBERT: At what point in time? You're talking
        about a decade.
            MS. FAHERTY: I can narrow it down. I can ask the
        question.
            THE COURT: Or you can keep it broad. Was anyone
        ever among you?
            THE WITNESS: Obviously, I would have reported to
        my father during that period of time. I imagine, you know,
        in the early transition to that title I would have still
        been, you know, people like an Allen Weisselberg still would
        have been senior to me. Those would have been the primary.
        Q When was the time period when Allen Weisselberg was
        senior to you?
        A Well, certainly initially in that time frame and, you
        know, as other projects and especially as we started expanding
        more abroad and outside of New York City obviously I was going
        to be on the road a lot of that time. So the seniority started
        let's call it equalling up, then I guess that would have been
        mid teens, mid, early teens.
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president at the Trump Organization?

\section*{MR. ROBERT: Time period? \\ MR. ROBERT: Time period?}

MS. FAHERTY: I think we've got from 2011 forward he has served as an executive vice president, so the question was related to in his time as an executive vice president who was above him.

MR. ROBERT: At what point in time? You're talking about a decade.

MS. FAHERTY: I can narrow it down. I can ask the question.

THE COURT: Or you can keep it broad. Was anyone ever among you?

THE WITNESS: Obviously, I would have reported to my father during that period of time. I imagine, you know, in the early transition to that title I would have still been, you know, people like an Allen Weisselberg still would have been senior to me. Those would have been the primary. Q When was the time period when Allen Weisselberg was senior to you?
A Well, certainly initially in that time frame and, you know, as other projects and especially as we started expanding more abroad and outside of New York City obviously I was going to be on the road a lot of that time. So the seniority started let's call it equalling up, then I guess that would have been mid teens, mid, early teens.
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\(1 \quad\) Q So around 2013?
2 A Perhaps off the top of my head, yes.
3 Q So from the period of 2011 to 2013, what, if any,
4 decisions did you need to report in to Allen Weisselberg for his
5 approval?
A There is not a specific list.
Q Did Allen Weisselberg have authority over your work at
the Trump Organization between the time period of 2011 to 2013?
A I would say yes on some things and other things I'd
have total autonomy to do what I wanted.
Q Describe for me the specific things you were thinking about with that testimony that Allen Weisselberg had an authority over you between 2011 to 2013?
A Well, I wouldn't have done a refinance without, you
know, the involvement of our CFO obviously. Those are the kinds
of things. If I was making a construction decision or even a
deal decision to do a -- say, a licensing deal abroad, I had the
autonomy to do that. Again, there is not sort of a defined list
of where you would or would not go, but there are definitely
things I would bring to him because I was naturally his
wheelhouse.
Q In 2011 to 2013, which refinancing deals did Allen Weisselberg supervise you on?

A I don't recall.
25 Q No specific recollection as you sit here today?
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1 A I'm just using refinance deals as an example of
2 something I would bring. I'm not talking about a specific one,
3 so if you have specific ones you can ask them, I can give you the answer.

Q I'm asking your specific knowledge as you sit here today.
A I don't specifically recall in that time frame which ones we refi'd or didn't.

Q Any other areas of supervision between 2011 to 2013 for which you reported to Allen Weisselberg for his supervision?

A Not that I recall.
Q I believe you also said your father during this period.
What is the period to which you are referring to between 2011 forward for which your father Donald J. Trump was a supervisor who had authority over the work you performed at the Trump Organization?
A Until he assumed the presidency.
Q When was that?
A January of 2017.
Q And how about your siblings? Between 2011 to present -- let's do 2011 to 2017. What, if any, authority did Eric Trump have over your work between 2011 to 2017?
A We were on a similar plane, so we had our own silos and we tried to stick to that. And if someone would be better at a certain thing or had a more institutional knowledge he would
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) \\
1 & bring them in, but we had our own silos. \\
2 & Q \\
3 & So in the hierarchy would you say that you were equal \\
3 & footing with Eric between 2011 and 2017? \\
4 & A \\
5 & Yes. \\
6 & Q \\
7 & How about Ivanka between 2011 and 2017? \\
7 & Q \\
8 & And fair to say your father was above the three of you \\
9 & A \\
10 & Q \(\quad\) Yes. \\
11 & between 2011 and 2013 that you testified to just a few moments \\
12 & ago? \\
13 & A \(\quad\) I don't know the exact dates, but that would make \\
14 & sense. As they matured and as they figured out more, those \\
15 & levels blended together a lot more. \\
16 & Q And in 2017, how, if at all, did the hierarchy above \\
17 & you serving continuing sales in EVP change? \\
18 & A \(\quad\) We stopped reporting to my father on decisions \\
19 & involving the business. \\
20 & Q \(\quad\) So who at that point in January 2017 was in charge of \\
21 & the Trump Organization? \\
22 & A \(\quad\) It would have been a combination of myself, Allen \\
23 & Weisselberg and my brother. \\
24 & Q Anyone else? \\
25 & A \(\quad\) No.
\end{tabular}
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\(1 \quad Q\) And was there a change in title in January 2017 to reflect that supervisory change?

A Not that I remember, no.
Q Okay. Focusing on your time as an EVP.
You've spoken about some of them, but let's dig in a little bit more.

What were your general responsibilities serving as an executive vice president at the Trump Organization?
A It's an all-encompassing developmental role for me.
Specifically, I focused a lot on our international business, a
lot on our hotel company and development of new licensing deals and such, but you could have done anything at any given day.

Q Fair to say you handled acquisitions?
A Yes.
Q You worked on development projects; yes?
A Yes.
Q You worked on the operation side of the business?
A Correct.
Q I heard you just say you worked on financing; correct?
A At times, yes.
Q And you've worked on the leasing side of the business; yes?

A Yes.
Q You've worked on the branding side of the business; correct?
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1 A Yes.
2 Q And that was generally the types of responsibilities
you had between this time period 2011 through 2017?
4 A Correct.
5 Q Is that still current today?
6 A Yes.
7 Q Focusing after January of 2017, you've said you did not 8 have a title change, but the responsibilities changed insofar as
9 you did not have to report in to anyone above you; correct?
10 A Correct. I became a trustee of the trust. My title 11 stayed the same, but I functioned as a trustee as well.
12 Q Since January 2017, who has the ultimate authority over 13 decisions made at the Trump Organization?
14 A Then it would have been again Allen Weisselberg, myself 15 and my brother.
16 Q And by your brother, you're referencing Eric, not 17 Barron; correct?
18 A Correct.
19 Q Does Allen Weisselberg still retain that same authority
20 that it transitioned to in January 2017?
21 A No, he does not.
22 Q Why did that change?
23 A Because some legal issues he got himself into, so that switched and I became the trustee.

Q Does Allen Weisselberg still work at the Trump
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\section*{Organization?}

A No.
Q When did Allen Weisselberg stop working for the Trump Organization?

A I don't know the exact date.
6 Q Can you approximate the year in which Allen Weisselberg
stopped working for the Trump Organization?
A Probably within the last two years. I'm not sure exactly what the date was, so.

Q Was there a specific event that occurred that marked the basis for Mr. Weisselberg's termination from the Trump Organization?
A The specific event could be his indictment.
Q So your testimony as you sit here today is because
Mr. Weisselberg was indicted at that time his position at the Trump Organization was terminated?

A His position wasn't terminated, but that role as trustee was.

Q And just so that I'm clear, you're referring to a role of trustee. Was that a job assignment or was that something else?
A I'm not sure I understand the difference.
Q You don't understand the difference between serving the Trump Organization in an employment role and serving as a trustee over a trust?

\section*{Page 3172}

A Well, our obligations as trustee were to serve the beneficiary of the trust. That was my father. That was similar perhaps to our jobs before. I imagine there is difference in nuance to that

Q Okay. You said that Mr. Weisselberg was not terminated. What is your understanding of how Mr. Weisselberg ended his employment relationship with the Trump Organization?

A I don't know the exact details.
Transcript continues on the following page...

Q What is your general knowledge?
A He is no longer working at the Trump Organization.
MS. HABBA: I'm just going to object. I think she mischaracterized his testimony, first of all. Secondly, we are now going down a rabbit hole of the same question asked seven different ways.

THE COURT: Sustained. Let's move on. MS. FAHERTY: Okay.
Q Did Donald J. Trump have any role in decision making at the Trump Organization between January 20, 2017 and January 20, 2021?

A No.
Q How about since January 20, 2021?
A Since January, I'm sure he made some decisions, yes.

Q When did his ability -- when did he resume making decisions at the Trump Organization after the January 20, 2021 date?

A I don't specifically recall.
Q Sometime shortly thereafter or was it just yesterday? A I don't know.

MS. FAHERTY: 1330.
Your Honor, I'm handing to the witness -- I've marked as PX-1330. I'm going to ask the witness to take a look at it and then I'll ask a few questions before I move to admit this exhibit.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning. (Witness reviewing document.)
A Okay.
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Trump?
A I don't.
Q I'1l ask you a few questions.
Did you receive power of attorney over certain of Mr. Donald J. Trump's affairs in or about March of 2017?

A Yes.
Q The document in front of you, you can see on page one,
it says, "This is a Power of Attorney New York Statutory Short
Form," right?
A Yes.
Q You could see the front page, there are some cautions
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1 issued to the principal.
Do you have any understanding as to what a principal would be in a power of attorney short form?

A None that I recall at this time. Though, I may have been briefed.

Q Fair to say that the principal -- if we turn to
the -- page 6 of 8, looking at the signature block where
there's a signature and acknowledgment section --
A Yes.
Q -- there's the word "PRINCIPAL" in capital letters. You see that there?
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize the signature that is beside that?
A I do.
Q Whose signature do you recognize that to be?
A It's my father's.
Q So fair to say that this document, if you don't have a specific understanding, but this document reflects that the principal would be Donald J. Trump, yes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So on the front page, we have some warnings to the principal, your father, correct?

A Yes.
Q Page two, there is a Subsection B, drawing your attention to that there. "Designation of agents," do you see
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. TRUMP, JR. - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY) Page 3176 & D. TRUMP, JR. - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY) Page 3178 \\
\hline 1 th & 1 delivery of certifications and similar documentation (including, \\
\hline 2 & 2 without limitation, compliance certificates) in connection with \\
\hline 3 Q It states, "I, Donald J. Trump, having an address & 3 existing financing in which Donald J. Trump is a guarantor." \\
\hline 41600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20500," that wa & 4 \\
\hline 5 his address in Washington at the White House, yes? & 5 A \\
\hline 6 A & 6 Q And you understood you had this authority, correct? \\
\hline 7 Q So from the White House, he hereby appointed. Is that & 7 A Ye \\
\hline 8 your name there, & 8 Q And then drawing your attention to page 7 of 8 of this \\
\hline 9 A & 9 document, Section O says, "Agent's signature and acknowledgment \\
\hline 10 Q & 10 of appointment." Is that your signature there as agent? \\
\hline 11 A & 11 A Y \\
\hline 12 Q Each of you having an address, "care of the Trump & 12 Q And then the signature just below yours, do you \\
\hline 13 Organization, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022 as my & 13 recognize that signature? \\
\hline 14 agents." You see that? & 14 \\
\hline 15 A I & 15 Q Whose signature do you recognize it to be? \\
\hline 16 Q And the Trump Organization at 725 Fifth Avenue, New & 16 A I believe it's my brother \\
\hline 17 York, New York, that was the same address you gave when you gave & 17 MS. FAHERTY: We can take a break now \\
\hline 18 a business address at the beginning of your testimony here, yes? & 18 Put that down, Mr. Trump. \\
\hline 19 A Yes. & 19 THE COURT: As usual, we will take a 10-minute \\
\hline 20 Q And that's, again, affiliated with the umbrella entity, & 20 break that ends in 15 minutes. See you all at 3:42. I'l \\
\hline 21 the Trump & 21 direct the witness not to talk to anybody about this case or \\
\hline 22 A Yes. & 22 his testimony or anything related to it while he's still a \\
\hline 23 Q And that marking underneath that looks like a quick D , & 23 \\
\hline 24 is that your father's initial? & 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. \\
\hline & 25 (Witness exits the stand.) \\
\hline D. TRUMP, JR. - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY) Page 3177 & D. TRUMP, JR. - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY) Page 3179 \\
\hline Q And he h & 1 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) \\
\hline 2 Subsection B? & 2 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in \\
\hline 3 A & 3 session. Please be seated and come to orde \\
\hline 4 Q And then if we turn to the next page, Subsection F, it & 4 (Witness resumes the stand.) \\
\hline 5 says, "The grant of authority." Do you see that header there? & 5 THE COURT: Let's continue. \\
\hline 6 A I do. & 6 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I did not move to admit \\
\hline 7 Q And then the explanation paragraph says, "To grant your & 7 PX 1330 at the end of my last inquiry. I so move to admit \\
\hline 8 agent some or all of the authority below, either (1) initial the & \\
\hline 9 bracket at each authority you grant or (2) write or type the & 9 THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. \\
\hline 10 letters of each authority you grant on the blank line at ( P ) and & 10 (Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as \\
\hline 11 initial the bracket at (P). If you initial \(P\), you did not need & 11 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1330.) \\
\hline 12 to initial the other lines." And do you see he's indicated next & 12 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, your Honor \\
\hline 13 to the "(P)? & 13 Q We've spoken a little bit about it, Mr. Trump, but \\
\hline 14 A Ido. & 14 you're familiar with the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, \\
\hline 15 Q Fair to say that the grant of authority included A, & 15 correct? \\
\hline 16 real estate transactions? & 16 A Yes \\
\hline 17 A Y & 17 Q And what do you understand the Donald J. Trump \\
\hline 18 Q And D, banking transactions? & 18 Revocable Trust to be? \\
\hline 19 A & 19 A It was the trust that governed my father's assets \\
\hline 20 Q And so the grant of authority you had with this power & 20 especially while he was president. \\
\hline 21 of attomey was for real estate transactions and banking & 21 Q It said -- it contains for the exclusive benefit of \\
\hline 22 transactions, yes? & 22 Donald J. Trump, his assets, yes? \\
\hline 23 A Y & 23 A Yes. \\
\hline 24 Q A & 24 THE COURT: Hold on. We finally have \\
\hline 25 granted hereunder is solely with respect to the execution and & 25 opportunity to ask a real trustee. Is it revocable or \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{revocable?}

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, its's a good question that I actually don't have the answer for, so it is -- I actually used both and I'm not sure actually which is correct.

THE COURT: Ms. Faherty has sort of started us off on revocable, right? Is that how you pronounce it? THE WITNESS: Revocable is easier, it seems. THE COURT: That's what we'll do. MS. FAHERTY: Riveting.
Q And you are, in fact, a trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust?
A I'm not sure what this is. I only understand revocable. Yes. Yes, I am.

Q Do you recall when you first became appointed a trustee of the trust? If I say "the trust," would you understand that to mean the trust that we're talking about?
A Yes, ma'am.
Q Thank you.
Do you recall when you became a trustee of that trust?
A Not specifically, no.
Q In or around the time period that you can recall?
A Probably before my father assumed the presidency.
Q In or around January of 2017, does that seem fair?
A Sounds about right.
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Q We'll get some documents. Maybe that'll help.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, PX 769 is already in evidence. We have it on the screen here.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q Mr. Trump, taking a look at this document, you can see at the top it is the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust and it states as a subheading, "Acceptance of Donald J. Trump, Jr. as trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust."

Do you see that there?
A I do.
Q And do you recognize the signature at the bottom of this page?

A I believe it's mine.
Q And the date, do you recognize that that date,
January 19, 2017, to be in or about the time at which you became the trustee of the trust?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with the Attorney General's complaint in this case?

A Vaguely, yes.
Q Do you recall filing an answer and then an amended answer to the complaint filed by the Attorney General in this case?

A I recall my attorneys filing it, but generally
speaking, yes.

Q You are aware that an answer was filed on your behalf, yes?
A Yes, I am.
Q And no -- you believe that that answer contains
truthful representations of your actual position in responding to the Attorney General's pleadings in this case, yes?

A I do.
Q I'll represent to you that paragraph 38 at the
complaint states that "Donald Trump, Jr. and Allen Weisselberg were trustees of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust until Mr.
Weisselberg resigned in June of 2021. On information and belief Donald Trump, Jr. is now the sole trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust. Donald Trump, Jr. is named in both his personal capacity and as the trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust." That was the allegation.

And your answer submitted, as you've just stated by your attorneys, is that as to paragraph 38, "Defendant denies the allegations therein except admits only that he is the sole trustee and was named in this action in his personal capacity and that Mr. Weisselberg was removed as a trustee in 2021."

Did you review any documents in order to prepare that answer to the complaint?

A I don't recall.
Q Do you have any basis to deny that answer?
A I don't know.
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THE COURT: You mean to deny the answer or deny the question?

MS. FAHERTY: To rebut the answer that he filed in response.

THE COURT: To rebut, okay.
A You have to ask the attorneys. I don't know.
Q I'm asking you do you have any basis to rebut that answer?

A Not that I recall.
Q Any reason to believe that the answer your attorneys filed in regards to the Attorney General's pleadings -- any reason to believe that it contains false or incorrect responses?

A No.
Q Can you explain the basis for that answer to me?
A You'd have to ask them.
Q You have no basis as to who the trustees were and Mr.
Weisselberg's resignation in June of 2021?
A I'm not sure I understand the question.
Q Okay. Let's look at a document.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, our next document is PX 1016. It is already in evidence. I'm putting it on the screen here. It is a multi-page document. There are one, two, three pages of this document.
Q This document, can you see at the very top there, it 5 says -- it is a reference to the trust again, yes?

1 A Yes.
2 Q And then the subheading on that particular document is
"Appointment and Acceptance of Trustee." Do you see that at the top there?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And do you see in the middle of the page, there is
language -- I'll just draw your attention to the very first
"whereas" clause. It states, "On April 7, 2014, Donald J.
Trump," that's your father, correct?
A Yes.
Q It identifies him as the settlor and trustee. You see that there?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So "Mr. Trump as settlor and trustee entered into an agreement of trust and by amendments," those dates are there, and then there are a number of "whereas" clauses. Do you see those?

A Yes.
Q If we go further down on the page, you'll see that
there's a "whereas" clause that, "Through the trust appointment,
Mr. Trump or the settlor appoints himself as trustee under the
assignment of trust and accepts such appointment effective as of 12:00 p.m. eastern standard time, January 20, 2021."

Do you see that in the very last "therefore" clause?
A Ido.
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Q Did you have an understanding that in or about January 20, 2021, your father appointed himself as trustee of the trust?

A I'm sorry. What was the date?
Q January 20, 2021.
A I believe I'm aware of that, yes.
Q How did you become aware of that?
A Conversations with counsel.
MR. ROBERT: I'm just going to object to the witness talking about any conversation with counsel as it is privileged.

MS. HABBA: I instruct the witness not to discuss privileged conversations.

THE COURT: Okay. Can we let the answer stand, but from now on, we will be very vigilant.

So I assume you are aware of the attorney-client privilege.

THE WITNESS: I am, sir.
THE COURT: So keep that in mind.
Q Just turning to the next page of this document, recognize that signature there?
A Yes.
Q Whose signature is that?
A That's my father's.
Q And does this indicate to you that between -- at some
point in 2 -- January of 2021, specifically on the 20th of January 2021, Donald J. Trump restored himself as trustee of the trust?
A It would appear that way, but I'm not all that well versed.
Q What was your role as trustee, if at all, at that time?
A I don't recall.
Q Did you have a continuous time period serving as the trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust from January 2017 until present?
A I'm not sure. I don't remember.
Q No understanding as it relates to this document whether at this particular time in January of 2021 you were still serving as a trustee; is that fair?
A I think so.
Q Other than the fact that Mr. Trump restored his rights to serve as trustee in January of 2021, what else do you know?
Don't tell me about conversations with counsel, but other than that, what do you know about Donald Trump serving as trustee over the trust in January of 2021 and going forward?
A Anything to that matter would have been done with counsel, so I don't know. I can't talk about it.
Q Other than conversations with counsel, no independent knowledge of Mr. Trump serving as trustee over the trust in January of 2021?
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A Right. I would rely on counsel for that kind of stuff. MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I handed forward an exhibit marked for identification as PX-1015. It is not in evidence. I am going to ask a few questions before I move to admit.
Q Looking at this cover e-mail and I'll just represent to you that this is how the documents attached to this e-mail were produced from the records of the Trump Organization by counsel, so this is from the files of the Trump Organization.

Any understanding as to whom Adam Rosen is at the Trump Organization?
A He is a lawyer.
Q How about Alan Garten? Do you recognize that name?
A I do.
Q Who is he?
A He is our general counsel.
Q Are Adam Rosen and Alan Garten both attorneys at the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q Are they still currently employed by the Trump
Organization?
A They are.
Q And this is dated February 22, 2022. You see that
there is a subject "trustee documents"?
A Yes.

1 Q And then there are a series of attachments. Do you see those listed there?
A Ido.
Q And I'll represent to you the body of the e-mail which
says, "see attached." There are a number of bullets, one, two, three, four, five, six. You see them?
A Ido.
Q I'll represent to you those six bullets seem to
correspond with the attachments to this message and you'll see that the first bullet is the resignation of DJT as trustee, yes?

A Yes.
Q And then there's an acceptance of Donald J. Trump, Jr. as trustee. That's you, correct?
A Yes.
Q Then there is an acceptance of Allen Weisselberg as business trustee. You see that?

A Ido.
Q Do you have any understanding as to what that's a reference to, a business trustee?

A Idon't.
Q Did you have an understanding that after January 20,
2017, Allen Weisselberg's role as a trustee of the trust was to serve as a business trustee?

A Not that I recall specifically.
Q And then you see that the next bullet is the amendment
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to the trust?
A Yes.
Q It states that it is removing AW and Don as trustee.
Do you see that there?
A Yes.
Q Any understanding as to who AW would be?
A Off the top of my head, would probably be Allen
Weisselberg.
Q And any understanding as to who Don is?
A Me.
Q Any understanding that there were trustees other than you and Allen Weisselberg serving as trustees to the trust?

A Not that I recall.
Q And then there is a document that says, "removal of DJT as trustee." You see that?

A Ido.
Q And then there's lastly an acceptance of Donald J.
Trump, Jr. as trustee. Again, you see that there?
A Yes.
Q Again, that's a reference to you. You're Jr.?
A Yes.
Q Let's turn to page 13 of this document. You'll see in the bottom there are numbers. It says -- page -- we'll look at 13 of 18 . Tell me when you got there or otherwise, we have it projected on the screen for you.

A I'm here.
Q I will represent to you this corresponds with bullet five of that cover e-mail, okay. Do you see the very last "whereas" clause?

A I do.
Q It says, "Pursuant to Sub Article A of Article
Thirteenth of the agreement of the trust, little romanette one,
settlor." Remember that's a reference to Donald J. Trump, right?
A Yes.
Q "Hereby appoints Donald J. Trump, Jr. as trustee under the agreement of trust and little romanette two, Donald J.
Trump, Jr. hereby accepts such appointment effective as of 2:30 p.m. eastern standard time, July 7, 2021."

Did you, in fact, restore your position as trustee on July 7th of 2021 over the trust?
A It would appear so.
Q Turning to the next page, you'll see a signature, a
witness thereof, July 7, 2021. Do you recognize that signature?
A Ido.
Q Whose signature is that?
A My father's.
Q If we turn to the next page, recognize that signature?
A That is my signature.
Q That's your signature. So fair to say that as of
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July 7, 2021, you were restored as trustee, correct?
A I believe so.
Q Any understanding as to what occurred in January 2021
and July 7, 2021 during which Donald J. Trump had assumed rights
as trustee for that time period?
A Not outside of conversations with counsel.
Q As you sit here today, are you aware of whether there has been any revocation of the January 20, 2021 appointment of Donald J. Trump as trustee?

A I'm not sure.
Q As you sit here today, are you aware of whether Donald J. Trump is, in fact, still serving as a trustee of the trust?

A I don't recall.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit PX-1015 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1015.)
Q I have marked for identification another exhibit. It is marked as PX-865. It is an e-mail. Take a quick look at that, Mr. Trump, and I'll just ask you a few questions when you are ready.
A I can't read it because of that box. Is there anything that can tell me what's -- what's behind the "per discussion with" box?

1 Q Let's look at the underlying document. Do you recognize the name Christopher Schreiber?

A No.
Q Do you recognize the name Sheri Dillon?
A Yes.
Q Who do you recognize the name Sheri Dillon to be?
A She is tax counsel with Morgan Lewis and was also trustee counsel and generally speaking worked as a lawyer, outside counsel for us.

Q When you say she was trustee counsel, is she one of the attorneys who provided you advice? Don't give me any substance of conversations, but she provided you advice as to the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust?

A I believe she set it up.
Q Other than setting it up, did you understand her to be one of the outside counsel that provided legal advice as to the trust?
A Yes.
Q Do you recognize the name Donald Bender?
A Ido.
Q Who do you recognize the name Donald Bender to be?
A Donald Bender was a CPA. He worked as Mazars, LP.
Prior to that, I guess Weiser. He was our accountant at the
Trump Organization, outside accountant for decades handling -- I
mean everything, practically everything. They did the audits
accountant that we relied heavily on for all of your accounting needs.

Q Your name is not included in this e-mail, right?
A It is not.
Q Okay.
A I got off of this one.
\(Q\) This is a 2017 e-mail between Sheri Dillon -- from
Sheri Dillon to Donald Bender with the subject "confirmation of trust facts." You see the date on that e-mail as March 7, 2017 ?

A Yes, ma'am.
Q And let me just clarify. You have no understanding that Christopher Schreiber was another accountant who worked at WeiserMazars, do you?

A I don't know that. I've never heard the name, no.
Q But Donald Bender was at Mazars? That's what you testified to?

A Correct. Donald Bender would have been a point person for just about anything we did accounting wise.

Q And I heard you to reference that there is a box, the red box in the upper right-hand comer of this document, yes?

A Yes.
Q That document says, "Per discussion with Sheri Dillon on 1/8/21 and Adam Rosen, assistant general counsel of the Trump Organization, on January 9, 2021-no amendments to the trust."

You see that there?
A I do.
Q So does this -- would you agree with me this appears to be a stamp relating to a 2021 conversation on top of a 2017 e-mail?

A Perhaps it appears that way, but I don't want to speculate as to something I had nothing to do with.
Q Okay. Do you have any understanding as to a conversation Sheri Dillon had on January 8, 2021 with Adam Rosen, counsel of the Trump Organization, on January 9, 2021 regarding no amendments to the trust?
A I have no knowledge of it.
Q Okay. We can put that to the side. Don't tell me the substance of the conversation, but did you have any conversations with Ms. Dillon on or about January 8th or January 9, 2021 with regards to the status of the trust?
A Not to my knowledge, no.
Q Did you have any conversation -- do not tell me the substance of it -- with Adam Rosen on or about January 8th or January 9, 2021 with regards to the status of the trust?
A Not that I'm aware of or can recall, no.
Q Okay. And did you have any conversations with anyone at Mazars on January 8th or January 9, 2021 with regards to the status of the trust?
A Not that I know of.

THE COURT: Try not to say "okay" after each answer.

MS. FAHERTY: I did it as a whisper, Your Honor, but I will do it. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That was quiet. Other times, it is more audible.
Q What is your understanding as to the responsibilities of the trustees over the trust?

A I have an obligation as a fiduciary to function in the best interest of the beneficiary of the trust.

Q What does that mean?
A Do what's in the best interest of the beneficiary of the trust.

Q Do you manage the assets of the trust?
A Yes.
Q What are the types of decisions you make as a trustee of the trust?
A Any of the business decisions related to the ongoing operating businesses of the trust. If we were working on new deals, that would fall in there, but we are very limited in terms of what new deals we were going to do because when my father assumed presidency, we stopped doing all of the international deals that was -- it -- primary part of my role in the organization, but anything and everything.
Q And who do you consult with with regards to the
decisions of the trust as a trustee?
A Well, I could have consulted with any number of people in the organization. I mean, you have individual deals that have point people. You're going to talk with them. Many cases, you're talking with counsel. Many cases, you're talking obviously with accounting. So I mean, it could be dozens of people that we're dealing with on a daily basis to make an informed decision about an individual asset.
Q I believe you testified that you and Allen Weisselberg for a period of time were both trustees of the trust at the same time, right?

A That's correct.
Q Until he was removed from serving as a trustee, correct?

A That's correct.
Q You don't have a specific recollection as to the time, but it was within about one to two years from today, right?

A Correct.
Q Any other persons serving as a trustee over the trust
with you other than the exception we discussed with Mr. Trump
appointing himself as a trustee in January of 2021 until
July 2021?
A Not that I recall.
Q How about Eric Trump? Was he ever a trustee over the trust?
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A I don't believe he was.
Q Did you ever have to consult with Eric Trump in your decisions with regards to the trust?
A I would obviously consult with Eric. He was a key point person on many, many deals and operating entities and I trust him explicitly and if I needed to consult with him on issues that he was more well-versed than I would have been.
Q And did Eric Trump have any authority to make decisions on behalf of the trust?
A He would have had authority to do things within the Trump Organization. I don't know if that gives him authority specifically within the trust, but if he said something that he wanted to do on a project that he was in charge of, I'd have no issue with that and I understand that he would do the appropriate diligence to make that decision and that's how I would base my decision.

Q Do you need me to have the court reporter read the question again? I don't think that was responsive.

A Please ask it again.
MS. FAHERTY: Can I have a readback?
MS. HABBA: I think that was perfectly responsive.
THE COURT: I thought it was responsive, too, but
let's have a readback of the question and the answer, right.
MS. FAHERTY: Sure.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was
```

read back.)
(Continued on the next page.)
read back.)

```

THE COURT: Are you still objecting on grounds of nonresponsiveness?

MS. FAHERTY: Confirm for me your understanding Eric Trump did not have any authority to make decisions on behalf the trust?

THE COURT: Is that a different question?
MS. FAHERTY: A different question. I'm going to let the answer stand.

THE COURT: Okay.
A That's correct, I guess, ma'am.
Q So focusing on the time period from 2011 to 2020, I heard you just say earlier that you worked on licensing deals?

A Yes.
Q For the Trump Organization; yes?
A Yes.
Q How about management deals?
A Yes.
Q Are those similar to each other?
A They can be. They can often overlap, but sometimes a licensing deal may involve licensing the brand to put a Trump building somewhere in the world. That may or may not come with a management deal. A management deal may be if it was a hotel entity. If it was in the United States or if it was in New York, it would likely come with a management deal to operate the building afterwards because we do that. That may not make the
most sense somewhere else in another part of the world where we don't have that level of expertise, so there is not a fixed rule.
Q And what is your role with regard to the management deals? Again, focusing on the time period 2011 to 2020.
A Just depends on which deals you're talking about.
Q Generally speaking.
A If you're talking about hotel management deals, I would
have been, you know, a point person on getting a lot of those done.

Q What does that mean?
A I would have been likely the guy in charge of a lot of those deals, certainly internationally where we were doing a lot of that kind of, you know, hotel management deals and setting that up. That would have been something that fell into my court.
Q Is it a fair characterization that the management deals would be putting Trump Organization personnel to assist in the management of a hotel operation even if it wasn't necessarily a Trump Organization hotel?
A Yes.
Q But it could also include Trump Organization hotels; yes?

A That's correct. We owned and operated as well as managed for others.
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Q On licensing agreements what is your role with regards to licensing agreements?

A Again, I was probably the primary point person for a lot of our international licensing deals.
\(Q\) And what is a licensing deal?
A Developer wants to build a building, they want to know how to do that better, they want to put the Trump brand on it, they want to learn to build to that standard, they want to learn how to value, engineer and create luxury perhaps in a market where that's not as common. So, you know, again, anything and everything to take, you know, a building and make it something befitting our brand.

Q Would it be permitting a third party to use the Trump name in the course of its business?
A Yes.
THE COURT: I'll ask the interrogator and interrogatee to talk a bit slower. The report is having a lot of difficulty keeping up with the rapid fire.

THE WITNESS: I apologize, Your Honor. I moved to
Florida, but I kept the New York pace.
THE COURT: We've noticed.
Q I heard you just say that this could be worldwide.
23 Fair to say that between these management deals and licensing
24 deals. Would that be domestic deals; yes?
25 A Yes.

25 A It's been awhile.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline 1 Q And TTT Consulting LLC, is that an entity by which not & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 just you, but your siblings Eric and Ivanka, were paid for those & 2 Q Who do you recognize that name to be? \\
\hline 3 licensing and management deals that you worked on with regards & 3 A My assistant during that time frame. \\
\hline 4 to the Trump Organization's brands? & 4 Q Your assistant at the Trump Organization? \\
\hline 5 A Y & 5 A Correct. \\
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\hline 11 docu & 11 message in the body from Ms. Feigin to you. Do you see that? \\
\hline 12 f & 12 A \\
\hline 13 A Okay & 13 Q On June 25, 2013 at 2:52 p.m., and in the very top \\
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\hline 19 what any of this has to do with TTT Consulting. We've spent & 19 Eric Trump; yes? \\
\hline 20 an hour here and we're basically just talking about what & 20 A Correct. \\
\hline 21 roles he had and who he reported to and some trust documents & 21 Q Subject is a forward. Nothing else in there; right? \\
\hline 22 and now we're talking about TTT Consulting which last I & 22 A Cor \\
\hline 23 checked isn't in the complaint. Maybe it's somewhere in the & 23 Q You see that there is an attachment to this message \\
\hline 24850 paragraphs, but I don't see where we're going at all & 24 now? \\
\hline 25 with this and I would expect the Court as it has done & 25 A I do. \\
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\hline 1 repeatedly to the defense to ask them to move it along. & Q And then in the body of your message you write, "signed \\
\hline 2 Whether they do or not, I don't know, but I would certainly & 2 TTT." Do y \\
\hline 3 expect the same instruction. & A \\
\hline 4 THE COURT: Move it along as fast as you can. & Q Is TTT the entity we were just referring to, TTT \\
\hline 5 MS. FAHERTY: You already told me not to speak so & 5 Consulting LLC? \\
\hline 6 fast, Your Honor. I'm doing my best & 6 A I believe it is \\
\hline 7 THE COURT: The substance, move along as fast as & 7 Q Okay. And just drawing your attention to the \\
\hline 8 you can. & 8 attachment again, I'll represent to you that this is the \\
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\hline 17 THE COURT: & 17 A \\
\hline 18 MR. ROBERT: If you can talk a little bit louder, & 18 Q You recognize that to be an "okay" and an initial from \\
\hline 19 so I could hear it. & 19 your father, Donald J. Trump? \\
\hline 20 MS. FAHERTY: I'm happy to speak louder if that's & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline 21 helpful. & 21 Q And the heading on this document says "Donald J. Trump \\
\hline 22 MR. ROBERT: That would be gre & 22 et al., Schedule of Fees due to TTT Consulting LLC for the \\
\hline 23 Q Look at the bottom e-mail, Mr. Trump. & 23 period April 1, 2013 to June 21, 2013." Do you see that? \\
\hline Do you recognize the name Katherine Feigin, & 24 A Yes. \\
\hline 25 F-e-i-g-i-n? & 25 Q And then there is a series of columns on this document. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The first is an entity column. You see it on there?
A Yes.
Q So DT Marks \& Baku LLC?
A Yes.
Q And then DT Dubai Wealth Manager LLC?
A Yes.
Q It goes down THC. Is that Trump Hotel Collection?
A Which one is that?
Q THC, is that a reference to Trump Hotel Collection?
A Well, specifically to Vancouver Management, but yes, that was likely Trump Hotel Collection.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: This is a very interesting sojourn into a decade old TTT document, but I just have to object on this entire line of questioning. It's completely irrelevant to this case. Is there any connection that's going to happen at any point?

THE COURT: Ms. Faherty, what is the relevance?
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, there are licensing deals and management deals. First, the entities that are identified here in this column are the entities for which receive licensing fees and management fees which feed into the Statement of Financial Condition. It is a category within the Statement of Financial Condition and the three children or adult children Eric Trump, Mr. Trump, Jr. on the
spreadsheet of the fees, saw them, approved of them.
MS. HABBA: Now you're testifying, Colleen.
MS. FAHERTY: I am not. I got him to testify as to the signature on this page. You know you don't like it when good evidence comes in, but please, allow me to continue.

MR. ROBERT: There is no reason to raise your voice, Ms. Faherty. There is no way compensation paid or whatever is here showing to Mr. Trump on the stand his brother or his sister flows into the Statement of Financial Condition. It's apples and oranges. It's completely irrelevant and I agree that this line of questioning should end.

THE COURT: Well, let me go back to Ms. Faherty.
I understand you're saying these figures feed into this the Statement of Financial Condition. So what. Not everything -- am I correct not everything that fed into the any Statement of Financial Condition is relevant or am I missing something?

MS. FAHERTY: No, Your Honor. You're not missing anything. You've appropriately heard me to say that this was one aspect of financial information contained on the Statement of Financial Condition. I'm going to continue asking, if you'll allow it, about the foreign deals, several of those are listed on here, the foreign deals that were represented to have ceased to exist. We have testimony from

1 stand right now, and Ms. Ivanka Trump, who we will appear
here later next week, all were members of TTT Consulting LLC that received the benefits for the deals they secured abroad that fed in the Statement of Financial Condition.

THE COURT: And the relevance of that?
MR. KISE: Right.
MS. FAHERTY: It all feeds into the statement of financial condition. It's a category of the Statement of Financial Condition, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Not everything in the statements of financial condition is relevant or at issue.

MS. FAHERTY: It's the transactions of third parties. I mean, it has been apart of our case, Your Honor.

MR. KISE: I think everything has been apart of their case.

MS. FAHERTY: You don't think anything has been apart of our case as well as everything has been apart of our case. I know you don't like it when certain questions come in, but I'd move faster if I would be permitted to ask my questions.

MR. KISE: If you would stick to relevant topics, certainly but this is ten years ago a distribution sheet for consulting deals with no plausible connectivity to anything that's at issue here.

MS. FAHERTY: For which Donald Trump reviewed the
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1 other witnesses that have already come in as to whether
those foreign deals were actually reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition. I think I'm allowed a little bit of room, Your Honor, to continue.

And also, just noting again this was reviewed by and approved by Donald J. Trump with the numbers and figures on this particular document.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I'm just going to state one objection as the President's attorney. He will be here on Monday. We have Donald Trump, Jr. on the stand.

THE COURT: Objection overruled without prejudice. I see possible relevance at this point. I hope it will be clearer later on.

MS. FAHERTY: I have two more questions on this document and we'll move along.
Q So in this document I was starting to ask there is a column for entities; right?
A Yes.
Q And then the categorization of where that deal location is in that second column. You see that there?
A Correct.
Q And there is a third column, a fee received for those particular transactions; yes? That's included in there?
A Correct.
Q Those are redacted line for "fees paid to Daewoo." Any
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1 A I don't specifically recall, ma'am.
2 Q Would there have been a contract that was signed with
3 whatever the third party was?
4 A Of course.
5 Q Any other way in which you may have reflected that there were confirmed deals whether they were management or licensing?

A Conversations, but obviously everything would have been contractual, right. You're not doing a deal on a handshake anymore.

Q All right. Let's look at the Statement of Financial Condition for June 30, 2017.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, this is already in
evidence as PX 755.
Q Mr. Trump, a court officer will hand it to you, but
I'll also represent to you it's on the screen. You can follow along at either. Do you recognize this document, sir?
A No.
Q Did you ever perform work on --
MS. FAHERTY: Excuse me, Your Honor.
Q Did you ever perform work on the Donald J. Trump
Statement of Financial Condition for any year?
A Not that I recall, no.
Q No specific knowledge, sir?
A No.

THE COURT: That was a negative question with an ambiguous answer.

THE WITNESS: I have no specific knowledge, no.
THE COURT: That answer is not ambiguous.
Q Can we turn to the second page of this document?
A Yes.
Q The second page is an "Independent Accounts'
Compilation Report." In the opening paragraph it states:
"The trustees of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7th 2014 as amended on behalf of Donald J. Trump are responsible for the accompanying Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017 and the related notes to the financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America."

If we go down to the bottom of the page you'll see it as dated October 30, 2017. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q It's signed by WeiserMazars. This is WeiserMazars' representation that this Statement of Financial Condition was prepared at the responsibility of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust trustees. Is it your testimony that this statement contained in the Statement of Financial Condition does not include you, Donald Trump, Jr.?

A I don't know. I signed off on a document that Mazars prepared with intimate knowledge and as a trustee I have an
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obligation to listen to those who are expert -- who have an expertise of these things. So I trust in Allen Weisselberg who is an accountant. I trust Mazars who is a CPA and a Big 5 accounting firm to put together a document of this nature.

So if they put something in, I wasn't working on the document, but if they tell me it's accurate based on their accounting assessment of all of the material -- and, again, Mazars, you know, when you look at Trump Park Avenue they did the tax return for the condominium that we no longer necessarily even own. We may have a couple units left, but these people had an incredible intimate knowledge and I rely on them.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to strike that response.

THE COURT: Can you read the question back, please?
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: I'll strike the answer because it goes all over the place. I don't think the question is that clear.

MS. FAHERTY: Okay.
I won't re-read this first.
Can you move up, Ashley, to the first sentence?
Q "WeiserMazars have made a representation as to whom is responsible for this Statement of Financial Condition." You see that statement there?

A Yes.
Q Is it your -- is it your testimony that you are not involved --

THE COURT: It's a negative. Can't you just ask him if he was responsible?

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I did ask him if he was involved in the preparation of the Statement of Financial Condition. I'm now asking a question as to this statement if he understands if he is not included in this statement.
A I'm not included in this statement or I am included in the statement?
Q Are you a trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust?
A Iam.
Q As of October 30, 2017?
A October 30, 2017? I believe by then I would have been, yes.

Q Did you work on the Statement of Financial Condition for June 30, 2017 for Donald J. Trump?
A I did not. The accountants worked on it. That's what we pay them to do.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q I'll draw your attention to page 21 of 24 of this
document, footnote five, "Real Estate Licensing Developments." A Yes.
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\(1 \quad Q\) Turning your attention to the second paragraph. The second sentence states that "the estimated current value of \(\$ 246\) million was based on an assessment made by the trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals of the cash flow that is expected to be derived from these associations as their potential is realized."

You did not provide this estimated current value of \(\$ 246\) million as is represented in this paragraph here; correct?
A I didn't give them a value of \(\$ 246\) million, but I could have sat there and gone through each one of the deals individually with Allen Weisselberg, with Jeff McConney, with Donald Bender and given them an idea of what I believe the cash flow coming from those deals would be worth not even knowing that it was for the purpose of this. So I could have certainly partaken and I probably would have had the most intimate knowledge about those deals, but it doesn't mean that I used that to put this together. They did that and so I could have very well been involved in inferring that number but not specifically know that I was working for that purpose.

They could have asked me on any given day what some deal was going to produce and, you know, again, for a lot of the deals on that list I would have been the primary point person and had the most intimate knowledge and I could have given them that answer but not known it was used to compile in this, but, correct. Meaning, I could have discussed the details of a deal
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1 which they would then infer is going to generate X number of 2 revenue. They may have done that a hundred times with me to get 3 a number but I may not have known that it was going to be used 4 in aggregate for the Statement of Financial Condition so that -5 I mean --

Q I know you said you could have. What is your specific recollection as to this paragraph valuing the \(\$ 246\) million figure under sub point five, "real estate licensing developments" contained in the 2107 Statement of Financial Condition?

MR. ROBERT: Objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled.

\section*{A I don't recall. I don't remember.}

MR. KISE: Your Honor.
THE COURT: One or two minutes.
MR. KISE: So I just want to understand something. PX 1259 which was the document we spent so much time debating, the 2013 TTT Consulting document, is this now the connection that's being drawn between -- this was admitted subject to connection based on your prior statements that the relevance wasn't completely clear, but you think it was going to become clear. So I --

THE COURT: Well, I think it became clear, so.
MR. KISE: Well, this is 2013 and this is 2017. So I'm just wondering is this is what Ms. Faherty is drawing is
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the connection. She said she was going to connect this up, so I'm just trying to figure out whether it's this line of questioning or there is some line of questioning that relates to the 2013 Statement of Financial Condition.

THE COURT: Can you answer that?
MS. FAHERTY: I also think his very long extended answer provided plenty of a connection as to that particular document. I understand if they want to object to statute of limitations, but I have proved it up, Your Honor. I still stand by the admission.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, we've gone completely through the looking glass, completely, fully. This is a 2013 document. 1259 is a 2013 document and this is a 2017 Statement of Financial Condition. What the two have to do with one of another other than in the most general sense I'm not sure, but I would very much object to the fact that there's been a connection.

THE COURT: Well, is there a connection and if so, what is the connection?

MS. FAHERTY: The connection is as it relates to the work he performed for TTT.
Q At any point did you stop providing work for TTT Consulting LLC?
A Well, we stopped doing a lot of our international
25 deals when my father assumed the presidency because we weren't
-- we voluntarily chose not to do that so as not to create a
conflict.
Q TTT Consulting LLC?
4 A We wouldn't do any new deals, so those deals would have
fallen under the purview of TTT.
Q And your father assumed the presidency in 2017; yes?
A Correct.
\(8 \quad\) Q And you still maintained domestic deals; yes?
9 A We maintained existing deals that you couldn't just
10 back out of if you had contractual obligations to.
11 Q Do you still receive payment from TTT Consulting LLC?
12 A I don't actually know.
13 Q You have no knowledge as you sit here today what, if
14 any, distributions you receive from TTT Consulting LLC?
15 MR. KISE: Objection. Relevance.
16 A Not really no.
```
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THE COURT: Objection overruled. I see the connection. We'll see you all tomorrow at 10 o'clock.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'll order the witness again don't discuss this case or your testimony or anything related to it while you're still a witness which will continue until tomorrow.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the trial proceedings are adjourned to Thursday, November 2, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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\hline 3181:21;3214:10 & 3041:11;3077:21; & 3196:21 & ARTHUR (3) & associations (1) \\
\hline amendment (8) & 3100:12 & appointment (6) & 3016:15.5;3018:2; & 3217:6 \\
\hline 3096:10,23;3097:14; & analyzed (1) & 3178:10;3184:3,20, & 3127:4 & assorted (1) \\
\hline \[
3098: 16 ; 3099: 2,14,25
\] & 3073:19 & 22;3190:13;3191:8 & Article (2) & 3032:9 \\
\hline 3188:25 & analyzing (2) & appoints (2) & 3190:6,6 & assume (6) \\
\hline amendments & 3043:5;3052 & 3184:21;3190: & ASC (1) & 3025:7;3026:20; \\
\hline 3184:15;3193:25; & ancillary (1) & appreciate (2) & 3157:9 & 3050:5;3092:13; \\
\hline 3194:11 & 3113:11 & 3094:1;3115:10 & Ashley (1) & 3114:17;3185:16 \\
\hline AMER (1) & and/or (1) & approached (1) & 3215:22 & assumed (9) \\
\hline 3016:22 & 3037:3 & 3045:7 & Asian (1) & 3048:24;3063:2; \\
\hline America (5) & and-a-half (2) & approaches (3) & 3202:8 & 3146:11;3167:17; \\
\hline 3034:13;3035:4,14, & 3040:20;3140:17 & 3018:12;3031:2 & Asias (2) & 3180:23;3191:4; \\
\hline 15;3214:14 & ANDREW (1) & 3150:24 & 3202:5,7 & 3195:22;3219:25; \\
\hline American (1) & 3016:22 & appropriate (15) & aside (4) & 3220:6 \\
\hline 3155:20 & annual (1) & 3051:6;3061:16; & 3053:17,18,19 & assumes (2) \\
\hline Americas (3) & 3091:20 & 3062:23;3067:10; & 3155:23 & 3059:25;3077:22 \\
\hline 3095:21;3122:22; & answered (6) & 3068:11;3074:21; & aspect (3) & assuming (6) \\
\hline 3123:5 & 3121:13;3129:23; & 3076:5,22,23;3077:2, & 3092:19;3129:18; & 3060:5;3061:15,25 \\
\hline among (1) & 3137:9;3143:1; & 12;3078:5,6;3121:23; & 3210:21 & 3062:2;3064:13; \\
\hline 3165:12 & 3158:13;3220:20 & 3197:15 & aspects (1) & 3131:8 \\
\hline amongst (1) & anticipate (1) & appropriately (1) & 3051:6 & assumption (4) \\
\hline 3212:8 & 3086:21 & 3210:20 & assessment (5) & 3081:14;3140:12; \\
\hline amount (5) & anymore (1) & approval (6) & 3062:4;3071:22; & 3146:14;3148:18 \\
\hline 3055:5;3057:7; & 3213:10 & \[
3024: 15 ; 3039: 24,25
\] & 3130:10;3215:7; & Astoria (1) \\
\hline 3069:17;3117:4; & apart (8) & 3108:18;3112:11; & 3217:3 & 3033:16 \\
\hline 3212:3 & 3024:3;3025:24; & 3166:5 & assessments (1) & AT\&T (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 30 & 305 & 30 & 3063:14;3064:14; & 3214:10 \\
\hline AT\&T's (1) & & & 3065:14,19;3097:25; & behind (1) \\
\hline 3053:6 & 3141:10,12 & 3063:11,17,19,22 & 3129:19;3130:9; & 3191:24 \\
\hline attac & 23;3144:24;3145:3 & 3064:1,8,13,16,20 & ,7,18;3135:8,12; & labor (1) \\
\hline 3022:3;3070:12 & Avenue (14) & 3066:5,25;3067:22,24; & 3136:4,18;3140:7; & 3072:25 \\
\hline 3187:7;3188:5; & 3033:16,17 & 3069:10;3070:17; & 3156:6;3215:6;3217:3; & belief (1) \\
\hline 3207:10 & 01:2;315 & 3071:5;3073:2,2 & 3218:20 & 3182:11 \\
\hline attachment & 3161:18,23;3162:3,10, & 3074:3;3075:11; & Basel (2) & Bell (1) \\
\hline 3019:2 & 14;3176:4,13,16; & 3081:12;3083:12 & 3053:17;3085: & 3036:8 \\
\hline 3027:15;3206:23; & 3215:8 & 3084:6,10,12,17,19,21; & basicall & bells (4) \\
\hline 3207:8,10 & av & 3085:9,12,17,19; & 3043:18;3070 & 3069:1 \\
\hline attachm & 3070:6 & 3089:11,14,18;3090:1, & 3071:3;3204:20 & 21 \\
\hline 3019:14;3188:1,9 & AW & 15;3092:25;3093:1 & basing (1) & belonging ( \\
\hline attempting (1) & & 3095:20;3098:4; & 306 & 3104:18 \\
\hline 3019:11 & a & 3102:4;3107:23; & basis & below (3) \\
\hline attend (1) & 3088:1;31 & 3111:9;3113:23; & 3041:6;3048 & 3107:11;3177:8; \\
\hline \[
3152: 6
\] & 23;3132:1;3134:19,21; & 3120:14;3121:16 & \[
17 ; 3049: 2,6,
\] & 3178:12 \\
\hline atten & 3143:12;3145:18; & 3122:4,21;3123:8,1 & 3051:18;3055:14,1 & bend (1) \\
\hline 3152:11 & 3182:1;3185:6,7, & 17,20;3124:3,11,16,23; & 15,16;3059:16,18; & 3147:12 \\
\hline attent & 3191:7,11;3194:21 & ; & 306 & ender (7) \\
\hline 3020:21 & awhile & 3129:10,18;3130:4,5, & 3072:23;3074: & 3192:19,21,2 \\
\hline 3047:22;3056:18 & 3160:19;3203:25 & 10;3132:10;3133:9; & 3075:5;3076:1 & 3193:9,16,18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3175:25;3178:8; } \\
& \text { 3184:7;3207:7; }
\end{aligned}
\] & B & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3143:4,7,11,24; } \\
& 3144: 20,25 ; 3145: 3,18
\end{aligned}
\] &  & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { beneficiary (3) } \\
3172: 2 ; 3195:
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 3216:23;3217:1 & & & 3108:3;3133:18 & benefit (11) \\
\hline ATTORNEY (21) & Ba & bank & 3138:1;3171:11 & 3065:2,8;3068:1 \\
\hline 3016:3 & & 3032:15;3034:3 & 3182:24;3183:7,14,16; & 3074:10, \\
\hline 3085:2 & back (41) & 048:6;3050:21 & 3196:7 & 3108:2;3144:20,22,25 \\
\hline 3087: & 3018:19,23; & 3055:7 & BB & 3179:21 \\
\hline 3092:12;3132:20 & 39:17;3047 & bank & 3052:10;3054:17,19, & benefits (2) \\
\hline 3141:14,15,17,25 & 3049:22;3050:1, & 303 & 21,23;3055:1,14; & 3144:21;3209 \\
\hline 3174:18,22;3175:3 & 3052:25;3053:7 & 13,18;3041:2,23; & 3056:3,8,9;3057:1 & beside (1) \\
\hline 3177:21;3181:18,22; & 3056:17;3064:17,22; & 3042:10,25;3145:6 & 3132:23,24 & 3175:13 \\
\hline 3182:6;3183:11; & 3065:10;3070:7; & 3161:25;3177:18,2 & BBB (1) & best (9) \\
\hline 3211:9 & 3072:18;3080:1,18 & bankr & 3054:20 & 24:12;305 \\
\hline attorney-cli & 3094:4;3102:11; & 3037 & B & 3136:15,18,23;3137 \\
\hline 3185:16 & 3108:12,25;3117:7; & bankr & 41:4, & 3195:10,12;3205:6 \\
\hline Attorneys & 3127:3,6;3132:18; & 3021:20;3033:3, & bears (1) & better (6) \\
\hline 3016:19.5;3017:2.5, & 3138:5,8,11,12,16; & 3055:4,5 & \[
3050: 17
\] & 3051:14;3054:10; \\
\hline 8.5,12.5,16.5;3181:24 & 3149:14,20;3155:4; & banks (13) & became (1 & 3071:4;3150:21; \\
\hline 3182:17;3183:6,10; & 3179:2;3198:1; & 3042:24; & 3036:13,19;31 & 3167:24;3201:7 \\
\hline 3187:17;3192:11 & 3215:14 & & 1180: & beyond (1) \\
\hline audible (1) & 3215:14,16;3220:10 & 3060:2,24;3070:18, & 3170:10,24;3180:15, & 3075:8 \\
\hline 195:6 & background (4) & 3083:6,20;3084:7; & 20;3181:15;3218:23 & bid (1) \\
\hline audience & 3032:14;3033:10 & 313 & become (4) & 3068:23 \\
\hline 3030:12;31 & 3094:16;3152:5 & ba & 3155:2;3163:25; & bidders (1) \\
\hline audited (1) & backup (1) & & 3185:7;3218: & 3068:24 \\
\hline 3042:7 & 3073:23 & 3095:2;3108:21; & becoming (3) & bidding (2) \\
\hline audits (1) & Baku (1) & 3112:15,20;3125:9,15; & 3032:15;3085:1 & 3021:23;3023:9 \\
\hline 3192:25 & 3208:3 & 3126:3;3130:9 & 3105:18 & Big (2) \\
\hline August (1) & balance (1) & Barclay (1) & Bed & 3156:15;3215:3 \\
\hline 3106:13 & 3043:13 & 3033:15 & 3017:13.5 & bill (1) \\
\hline author (1) & ballpark (2) & Barron (1) & befitting (1) & 3086:9 \\
\hline 3088:4 & 3149:4,4 & 3170-17 & 3201:12 & billed (2) \\
\hline uthority & band ( & base (4) & began (4) & 3086:6,7 \\
\hline 3063:6, & 3057:13 & 3040:14;3091:5; & 3018:24;3036:22; & billion (14) \\
\hline 3167:15,21;3170:12, & Bank (104) & 3136:5;3197 & 3067:21;3160:10 & 3037:20;30 \\
\hline 19;3177:5,8,9,10,15, & 303 & based & beginn & 3125:5,5,5,11,12,16 \\
\hline 20,24;3178:6;3197:8, & 3030 & 3019 & 3025:8;3080:2 & 22;3126:4;3130:21,24 \\
\hline 10,11;3199:4 & 3033:11,21;3034:12 & 3044:1;3050:11,12 & 3164:17;3176:1 & 3131:9 \\
\hline autonomy (2) & 12;3035:12,13,23; & 3051:3,3;3052:11; & behalf (6) & binder (1) \\
\hline 3166:10,18 & 3040:21;3052:21; & \[
3053: 10,18 ; 3060: 23
\] & \[
3150: 10 ; 3151: 5
\] & 3062:21 \\
\hline availability (1) & 3053:1,12,16,17; & 3061:1;3062:13; & 3182:1;3197:9;3199:5; & bit (10) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3105:18;3155:19; & box (5) & 25;3201:6,11 & 3134:1;3141:12; & career (1) \\
\hline 3161:24;3163:4; & 3107:6;3191:23,25; & buildings (2) & 3203:6 & 3041:4 \\
\hline 3169:6;3179:13; & 193:20,21 & 3033:16;3193:1 & can (94) & carefully (1) \\
\hline 3201:17;3203:4; & bracket (2) & bullet (7) & 3018:15;3031:21,23; & 3075:4 \\
\hline 3205:18;3211:3 & 3177:9,11 & 3021:9,18,19 & 3034:1;3036:1,20; & carry (1) \\
\hline bite (1) & branching (1) & 3022:9;3188:10,25; & 3040:11;3044:6; & 3055:10 \\
\hline 3096:4 & 3203:4 & 3190:2 & 3045:15;3047:10,12; & carve (1) \\
\hline blank (2) & brand (3) & bullets (2) & 3049:21;3050:4; & 3117:14 \\
\hline 3049:7;3177:10 & 3199:20;3201:7,12 & 3188:5,8 & 3055:17;3057:10; & case (66) \\
\hline blended (1) & branding (1) & bungalows (1) & 3061:2,3,20;3062:21, & 3036:25;3041:24; \\
\hline 3168:15 & 3169:24 & 3056:23 & 22;3063:6;3067:17; & 3042:2,23;3044:6; \\
\hline block (4) & brands (1) & burden (3) & 3068:13,20;3069:25; & 3051:13;3052:17; \\
\hline 3134:25;3135:9; & 3204:4 & \[
3061: 8,8,9
\] & 3073:9,14;3077:25; & 3053:5;3054:4; \\
\hline 3175:7;3207:14 & break (9) & burns (2) & 3081:3;3082:10; & 3061:16,17;3064:17; \\
\hline blow (3) & 3078:19,24;3080:11; & 3106:16,18 & 3083:2,3;3086:25; & 3073:6;3074:15; \\
\hline 3103:6;3117:8,17 & 3126:17;3127:25; & business (33) & 3094:3,16,23;3095:16; & 3084:16;3085:17,24; \\
\hline Blue (1) & 3146:9;3157:18; & 3023:2;3031:9; & 3096:22;3097:13,25; & 3086:17,20;3087:2,19; \\
\hline 3055:3 & 3178:17,20 & 3035:18,20,21; & 3098:2;3099:1,13,24; & 3091:1;3092:13,15,17; \\
\hline board (2) & breakage (1) & 3037:13;3045:8; & 3103:6,22;3107:4,6; & 3096:13;3097:3; \\
\hline 3067:17;3156:22 & 3147:2 & 3065:7;3069:10; & 3110:17;3111:7,23; & 3098:6,18;3099:5,16; \\
\hline body (3) & breaks (1) & 3070:24;3123:16; & 3112:25;3114:1; & 3100:2,10;3105:14; \\
\hline 3188:4;3206:11; & 3078:20 & 3144:11;3145:23; & 3117:17;3119:20; & 3110:21;3111:11; \\
\hline 3207:1 & brief (1) & 3146:1;3151:9; & 3122:7;3123:20; & \[
3119: 5,16 ; 3120: 13
\] \\
\hline bold (1) & 3152:4 & 3152:13,14;3158:17; & 3132:9;3136:5;3138:5, & 3122:5,12;3123:7,13, \\
\hline 3028:1 & briefed (1) & 3159:14,23;3160:1; & 11;3148:23;3157:14; & 16;3125:1;3126:16; \\
\hline Bonds (1) & 3175:5 & 3168:19;3169:10,17, & 3159:16;3164:7; & 3129:15;3131:17,20, \\
\hline 3052:15 & briefing (1) & 21,24;3176:18; & 3165:9,9,11;3167:3,3; & 25;3132:19;3142:3,8; \\
\hline books (2) & 3067:11 & 3188:16,19,23; & 3171:6;3174:21; & 3143:9;3145:14; \\
\hline 3072:11;3081:11 & briefly (1) & 3195:18;3201:14; & 3178:17;3180:22; & 3146:11;3178:21; \\
\hline borderline (1) & 3043:16 & 3203:17 & 3181:5;3183:14,24; & \[
3181: 19,23 ; 3182: 6
\] \\
\hline \[
3037: 4
\] & bring (3) & businesses (1) & \[
3185: 14 ; 3191: 24 ;
\] & 3208:16;3209:13,15, \\
\hline borrow (1) & 3166:20;3167:2 & 3195:19 & 3194:13,21;3197:20; & 17,18;3221:6 \\
\hline \[
3077: 24
\] & 3168:1 & busy (2) & 3199:19,19;3205:4,8, & cases (8) \\
\hline borrowed (2) & broad (2) & \[
3018: 14,14
\] & 18;3212:11;3213:16; & 3036:23;3039:6,9, \\
\hline 3138:23;3139:19 & 3142:6;3165:11 & buy (1) & 3214:5;3215:14,22; & 11,14;3064:18;3196:4, \\
\hline borrower (12) & broke (2) & & 3219:5;3220:24 & 5 \\
\hline 3070:19;3095:20; & 3045:8;3056:4 & & canceled (2) & cash (7) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3113: 24 ; 3126: 5 ; \\
& 3137: 23 ; 3138: 19,25 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & broker (2)
\(3153: 3,6\) & C & 3144:1,
canable (4) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3070: 22,23 ; 3071: 1 \\
& 4 ; 3132: 14 ; 3217: 5,12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3139:3,15;3146:10,18; & brokerage (3) & calculate & capable (4) & categories (1) \\
\hline 3147:3 & 3153:19,23;3154:16 & 3066:4;3073:22 & 3061:7,9 & 3070:23 \\
\hline borrowers (3) & broker's (4) & 3074:1;3081:8 & capacity (3) & categorization (1) \\
\hline 3083:13;3132:14; & 3153:4,9,16,20 & calculation (9) & 3156:14;3182:14,19 & \[
3211: 19
\] \\
\hline 3141:9 & brother (6) & 3045:17,20;3047:17; & capital (37) & category (2) \\
\hline borrower's (6) & 3154:7;3168:23; & \[
3059: 12,22 ; 3074: 9
\] & \[
3032: 18 ; 3036: 12,17
\] & 3208:23;3209:8 \\
\hline 3095:10;3103:8; & 3170:15,16;3173:5; & \[
3080: 22 ; 3082: 6
\] & \[
3038: 5 ; 3039: 1,5 ;
\] & cautions (1) \\
\hline 3109:16;3113:5,8,11 & 3210:9 & 3140:4 & 3042:10;3050:9,16; & 3174:25 \\
\hline both (11) & brother's (2) & calculations (3) & 3051:18;3070:1,5; & caveat (1) \\
\hline 3026:8;3033:24; & 3176:10;3178:16 & 3080:20;3082:16 & 3081:5,6,12,17,18,25; & 3125:18 \\
\hline 3040:19,25;3041:2; & brought (5) & 3143:22 & 3084:14;3085:20; & ceased (1) \\
\hline 3112:12;3146:11; & 3037:21;3055:4 & call (11) & 3089:21,24;3093:6,18; & 3210:25 \\
\hline 3180:4;3182:13; & 3073:6;3203:15,16 & 3030:16,19;3051:20; & 3115:3,20;3118:12,16, & cell (2) \\
\hline 3187:17;3196:10 & Bryn (2) & 3064:16;3069:10; & 19;3146:12,15,17,25; & 3018:3,3 \\
\hline bottom (10) & 3082:4;3101:25 & 3078:23;3150:11; & 3147:1,9;3149:17; & center (1) \\
\hline 3025:1;3059:8; & buddy (1) & 3153:23;3159:21; & 3175:10 & 3071:14 \\
\hline 3081:2;3140:21; & 3026:25 & 3165:24;3202:13 & Capital's (2) & centered (1) \\
\hline 3181:11;3189:23; & build (4) & called (5) & 3081:13;3118:7 & 3056:9 \\
\hline 3205:11,23;3207:14; & 3161:9;3162:24 & 3036:12;3037:17; & caps (1) & centers (1) \\
\hline 3214:15 & 3201:6,8 & 3040:12;3051:19; & 3023:19 & 3042:23 \\
\hline bought (1) & building (12) & 3151:4 & care (1) & Central (4) \\
\hline 3039:4 & 3033:14,15;3081:7; & came (8) & 3176:12 & 3033:3,5,12,14 \\
\hline Bowers (2) & 3116:10,19;3161:20; & \[
3025: 17,19 ; 3026: 14
\] & cared (1) & Centre (1) \\
\hline 3085:4,5 & 3162:23,24;3199:21, & 3062:10;3072:12; & 3075:14 & 3016:12.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline developing & 3151:16;3178:21 & divided (1) & 3019:2 & 3067:8;3081:2; \\
\hline 3145:19 & directed (3) & 3106:21 & dollar (1) & 3106:16,18;3115:9 \\
\hline develo & 3024:8;3026:5 & dividing (1) & 3059:12 & 3117:18;3148:9,12,13; \\
\hline 3020:24;3021:21; & 3091:17 & \[
3117: 3
\] & dollars (9) & 3149:10,12,17;3165:9; \\
\hline 3036:25;3161:18; & directing (1) & division (3) & 3037:20;3082: & 3173:16;3178:18; \\
\hline 3162:9;3169:11,15 & 3020 & 3145:1,3;3152:1 & 3125:12,17,23;3126:5; & 3184:19;3208:7; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { developmental (1) } \\
& 3169: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & di & DJT & 3130:21;3149:7; & 3214:15 \\
\hline 3169:9 & 3071:13;3075:9 & 6:9 & \(3158: 19\)
dicsic (4) & downtown \\
\hline Developments (2)
\(3216: 24 ; 3218: 9\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { disagree (1 } \\
\mathbf{3 0 6 3 : 1 5}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
3212: 8
\] & 3201:24;3202:1 & downward (1) \\
\hline difference & disagreement (1) & document & 3220:8,2 & 3123:15 \\
\hline 3041:17;3042 & 3051:5 & 3022:1,13;3025: & Don (2) & dozen (2) \\
\hline 3043:8;3055:11,13,17, & disagreements (1) & 3026:9;3027:13,16; & 3189:3, & 3038:1;3084:24 \\
\hline \[
19,21 ; 3056: 1 ; 3059: 11
\] & 3068:13 & 3028:21;3029:16,18 & DONALD (63) & dozens (2) \\
\hline 13,22;3060:19;3062:5; & disclaimer (1) & 3044:10,12,22,23; & 3016:7,7,8;3064:2 & 3077:14;3196:6 \\
\hline 3066:14;3081:13; & 3149:1 & 3045:10,12,13,15,23 & 3098:3;3111:9; & dramatic (1) \\
\hline 3083:19;3139:11; & disclosed (1) & 3047:2,19,21,23; & 3114:17;3117:24; & 3057:25 \\
\hline 3140:3,10,11;3171:22, & 3087:24 & 3075:1;3094:25; & 3150:11;3151:11; & draw (2) \\
\hline 23;3172:3 & disclosure (1) & 3095:2,18,22;3096:5,9, & 3167:14;3173:20; & 3184:7;3216:23 \\
\hline differences (4) & 3045:13 & 12,18,22;3097:2,9,13, & 3174:19;3175:19; & drawing (4) \\
\hline 3042:4;3043:6,20; & disclosures & 15,21;3098:2,5,11,15, & 3176:3,8;3178:3; & 3175:24;3178:8; \\
\hline 3067:6 & 3087:24;3088:2,4 & 17,23;3099:1,4,10,13 & 3179:14,17,22; & 3207:7;3218:25 \\
\hline different & discreti & 15,21,24;3100:1,7; & 3180:11;3181:6,7,8 & drawn (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3026:7,18;3028:16 } \\
& 3041: 21 ; 3051: 12 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3075: 25 ; 3 \\
\text { discuss (11) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3103: 20 ; 3108: 13,15 \\
& 17,20 ; 3110: 20 ; 3111
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
3182:9,10,12,12,13,14; \\
3184:8;3186:2,9,19;
\end{tabular} & 3218:19 \\
\hline 3054:14;3055:18; & 3018:24;3019 & 10;3112:8,10,14,19,23; & 3188:12;3189:17; & 3065:16 \\
\hline 3056:4;3062:15; & 3022:15,17;3023:20; & 3113:1;3114:1,6,16,19; & 3190:8,11,12;3191:4,9, & drugs (1) \\
\hline 3063:18;3064:9; & 3045:20;3049:18; & 3115:18,19,21,24; & 11;3192:12,19,21,22; & 3151:21 \\
\hline 3073:4;3078:3;3123:2; & 3126:16;3127:24; & 3116:6;3117:23; & 3193:9,16,18;3206:15; & DT (2) \\
\hline 3142:5,8,22;3144:10, & 3185:12;3221:6 & 3118:6,16;3119:2,4,15, & 3207:19,21;3209:25; & 3208:3,5 \\
\hline 15;3173:17;3199:6,7; & discussed (5) & 20;3122:9,11;3128:21; & 3211:6,10;3213:21; & Dubai (1) \\
\hline 3202:25 & 3061:18;3119:23; & 3135:2;3174:13,15,21; & 3214:9,10,20,23; & 3208:5 \\
\hline differential (16) & 3196:20;3212:14; & 3175:17,18;3178:9; & 3216:12,19;3217:12 & due (3) \\
\hline 3052:1,3,6,6,18; & 3217:25 & 3179:10;3181:5; & done (26) & 3059:22 \\
\hline 3056:2;3059:14,21 & discussing (1) & 3183:19,20,22,23,24; & 3036:5;3037:18; & 3212:5 \\
\hline 3066:14;3070:25; & 3065:17 & 3184:2;3185:20; & 3041:7;3042:7;3056:5; & Duke (1) \\
\hline 3072:9,12;3078:10,17; & discussion (5) & 3186:12;3189:14,22; & 3063:17;3067:23,23; & 3037:16 \\
\hline 3107:2,3 & 3037:14;3054:3; & 3191:17;3192:1; & 3073:4;3075:15; & duly (1) \\
\hline differently (3) & 3082:6;3191:24; & 3193:21,23;3204:7,11; & 3081:6,17;3084:18; & 3151:5 \\
\hline 3064:10;3067:23; & 3193:23 & 3205:9;3207:9,11,13, & 3092:9;3115:13; & during (16) \\
\hline 3140:11 & discussions & 21,25;3208:14;3211:7, & 3124:23;3136:22; & \[
3021: 15,22 ; 3027: 16
\] \\
\hline difficult (3) & 3072:18 & 15,16;3213:17;3214:5, & 3139:4;3148:14; & \[
3034: 25 ; 3036: 2,21
\] \\
\hline 3037:4;3151:22; & disgorgement (4) & 24;3215:4,6;3216:24; & 3166:14;3169:12; & 3072:6;3118:1; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3126:16;3127:25; & 3088:7;3154:5,8; & entered (1) & essentially (1) & 3096:2,5,16,18;3097:7, \\
\hline 3129:10;3165:14; & 3155:8;3168:24; & 3184:14 & 3065:16 & 8,9,19,21;3098:9,11, \\
\hline 3167:12;3168:8; & 3171:21;3186:17; & entering (4) & establish (5) & 21,23;3099:8,10,19,21; \\
\hline 3191:4;3206:3 & 3200:1;3206:21 & 3018:10;3030:25; & 3065:10;3074:21; & 3100:5,7;3103:19,22; \\
\hline duties (1) & e-mail (35) & 3080:14;3127:12 & 3076:5;3077:12; & 3110:24;3111:3,5,14, \\
\hline 3162:20 & 3018:25;3019:8,13; & enterprise (1) & 3107:17 & 18;3114:10,14,23; \\
\hline & 3020:3;3022:3;3023:5, & 3053:25 & established (4) & 3115:2,25;3116:4; \\
\hline E & 8,25;3024:4,9,20; & enterprises (1) & 3062:12;3063:10,18; & 3119:8,12;3120:2,6; \\
\hline & 3025:1,17,20;3026:17; & 3041:22 & 3154:15 & 3122:19;3179:9,10; \\
\hline earlier (8) & 3027:8,11;3029:7,19, & enters (3) & establishes (1) & 3181:3;3183:21; \\
\hline \[
3021: 6 ; 3072: 18
\] & 22;3187:6,7;3188:4; & 3018:11;3031:1; & 3068:14 & 3187:4;3191:15,16,17; \\
\hline 3101:15;31 19:23; & 3190:3;3191:20; & \[
3150: 23
\] & estate (26) & 3204:8;3210:5; \\
\hline 3128:12;3132:17; & 3193:4,8,10;3194:5; & entire (5) & 3021:20;3033: & 3212:12,21;3213:14 \\
\hline 3199:12;3203:7 & 3204:14;3205:23; & 3042:23;3049:13,1 & 034:21;3037:17 & evolution (1) \\
\hline early (5) & 3206:6,8,14,14 & 3092:14;3208:15 & 3039:20,23;3040:4,8, & 3202:25 \\
\hline 3073:17;3110:1; & e-mailed (1) & entirety (2) & 16,19;3095:10;3103:9; & EVP (3) \\
\hline \[
3163: 4 ; 3165: 15,25
\] & 3025:6 & 3087:7,16 & 3109:16;3123:6; & 3163:15;3168:17; \\
\hline earn (3) & e-mails (2) & entities (10) & 3152:19,23;3153:3,4,6, & 3169:4 \\
\hline \[
3152: 8,10,20
\] & 3028:22,25 & 3028:1;3104:18 & 8,16,20;3177:16,21; & exact (4) \\
\hline easier (1) & emphasis (2) & 3106:2;3143:10 & 3216:24;3218:8 & 3140:7;3168:13; \\
\hline 3180:8 & 3145:4,6 & 3159:22;3161:10; & estate-related (2) & 3171:5;3172:8 \\
\hline East (2) & employed (6) & 3197:5;3208:20,21; & 3040:1;3070:6 & exactly (8) \\
\hline 3017:17;3202:9 & 3031:24;3035:5 & 3211:17 & estimated (3) & 3051:13;3057:5; \\
\hline eastern (2) & 3153:25;3155:11; & entitled (2) & 3056:23;3217:2,7 & 3073:25;3076:14; \\
\hline 3184:23;3190:14 & 3173:8;3187:20 & 3019:22;3060:13 & et (3) & 3083:10;3162:20; \\
\hline easy (2) & employees (3) & entity (11) & 3083:17,17;3207:22 & 3164:11;3171:9 \\
\hline 3092:7,8 & 3159:12,15,19 & 3036:16;3159:12 & etc (1) & exam (2) \\
\hline eat (1) & employer (1) & 3160:15,20;3176:20 & 11 & 3050:4;3065:18 \\
\hline & 3159:5 & 3199:23;3203:8,18; & evaluated (1) & EXAMINATION (9) \\
\hline economic (9) & employment (4) & 3204:1;3207:4;3208:1 & 3060:3 & 3018:17;3030:8; \\
\hline 3045:3;3 & 3032:19;3034:25 & entry (1) & evaluation & 3031:16;3062:21; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3069: 12 ; 3100: 12, \\
& 18,24 ; 3111: 20,22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3 \\
\text { end }
\end{array}
\] & &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 064:12;3065:23; } \\
& \text { 067:2;3149:25; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline education (1) & 3179:7;3210:12 & 3084:2 & 3048:4;3050:7 & 3151:16 \\
\hline 3032:22 & ENDEAVOR (10) & equal (4) & 3063:8,20;3065:20; & examined (1) \\
\hline educational (2) & 3016:9.5;3095:20; & 3117:20;3118:2 & 3075:1;3078:1,5; & 3151:6 \\
\hline \[
3032: 14 ; 3152: 4
\] & 3096:11;3101:17,21; & 3144:7;3168:2 & 3096:3;3104:13; & example (3) \\
\hline effect (3) & 3102:1,3,15;3106:25; & equalling (1) & 3105:8,10;3124:20; & 3087:10;3167:1; \\
\hline 3069:12,23;3121:17 & 3107:23 & 3165:24 & 3125:11,16,22; & 3202:4 \\
\hline effective (2) & ended (1) & equity (16) & 3130:20;3155:19; & examples (2) \\
\hline 3184:22;3190:13 & 3172:7 & 3032:9;3033:25 & 3160:13;3166:16; & 3036:1;3040:11 \\
\hline eight (5) & ends (1) & 3035:25,25;3039:2; & 3200:19;3215:10; & Excel (2) \\
\hline 3033:16;3055:17 & 3178:20 & 3040:21;3041:8; & 3217:13 & 3094:15,16 \\
\hline 3071:9;3112:25; & Energy (1) & 3053:17,18,19; & event (2) & except (1) \\
\hline 3140:14 & 3037:16 & 3069:19,22,24,25; & 3171:10,1 & 3182:18 \\
\hline eight-percent (1) & engage (1) & \(3070: 2,3\)
ERIC (19) & eventually (4) & exception (1) \\
\hline 3056:1 & 3148:6 & ERIC (19) & 3036:6;3162:15 & 3196:20 \\
\hline either (12) & engagement (1) & 3016:7,22.5; & 3163:15,17 & exciting (1) \\
\hline 3031:9;3042:17; & 3033:8 & 3035:18;3154:7,14; & everybody (4) & 3155:22 \\
\hline 3093:9;3101:7;3102:4; & engagements (1) & 3167:21;3168:3,10; & 3083:11;3087:14 & exclude (1) \\
\hline 3104:19;3135:5,20; & 3038:3 & 3170:16;3176:10; & 3126:12,13 & 3143:25 \\
\hline 3138:3;3151:9;3177:8; & engineer ( & 3196:24;3197:2,4,8; & everyone (1) & exclusive (1) \\
\hline 3213:17 & 3201:9 & 3199:4;3204:2; & 3127 & 3179:21 \\
\hline elected (1) & ENGORON (3) & 3206:19;3208:25; & evidence (80) & excuse (8) \\
\hline 3106:24 & 3016:15.5;3018:2; & 3212:8 & 3020:10,17,20 & 3019:23;3029:23; \\
\hline element (4) & 3127:4 & especially (2) & 3023:12,18;3027:5 & 3087:20;3109:14; \\
\hline 3053:5,12;3062:8,12 & enough (3) & 3165:21;3179:20 & 3028:9,15;3029:9; & 3141:21;3145:2; \\
\hline eliciting (1) & 3040:25;3136:25,25 & Espinal (2) & 3045:24;3047:2,20; & 3158:11;3213:20 \\
\hline 3061:7 & entail (1) & 3090:23,25 & \[
3059: 25 ; 3060: 6,9,23 ;
\] & excused (3) \\
\hline eliminated (1) & 3035:22 & ESQ (14) & 3061:15,17;3064:21; & 3030:11,14;3150:8 \\
\hline 3107:25 & enter (3) & 3016:21,21.5,22, & 3067:11,12;3068:12; & execution (1) \\
\hline else (11) & 3112:20;3115:11; & \[
22.5,23,23.5 ; 3017: 4
\] & 3073:2,9,9,10;3076:21; & 3177:25 \\
\hline 3077:17;3078:1; & 3125:3 & 4.5,5,5.5,6,10,14,18 & 3077:5,23;3078:7; & executive (16) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3020:23;3154:2,6, & 3136:4,18;3143:17 & Factor (7) & 3041:21 & 3075:12 \\
\hline 11,15;3163:15,25; & experiences (2) & 3021:9,21;3022:13, & family's (1) & FERIS (1) \\
\hline 3164:5,13,18,21,22,25; & 3034:1;3037:10 & 18;3027:21;3125:7; & 3159:22 & 3017:6 \\
\hline 3165:4,5;3169:8 & expert (36) & 3143: & Fannie ( & few (13) \\
\hline executives (1) & 3033:10;3036:23,24; & factors (2) & 3034:5,5 & 3028:5;3048:7; \\
\hline 3093:1 & 3037:12,24,25; & 3064:11;3071:7 & far (5) & 3050:22;3051:12; \\
\hline exercise (7) & 3039:12,15,18; & facts (13) & 3024:14;3046:23; & 3055:3;3152:4; \\
\hline 3075:11;3148:2,3,5, & 3042:10;3043:11; & 3059:25;3060:1,6,7; & 3059:14;3074:9; & 3168:11;3174:10,17; \\
\hline 6,21,23 & 3044:13;3047:14; & 3061:15,25;3062:2,13, & 3103:21 & 3187:4;3191:21; \\
\hline Exhibit (84) & 3050:8,15,16;3060:9, & 24;3063:2,9;3077:23; & FAS (1) & 3204:9,12 \\
\hline 3018:23;3020:10,19, & 15;3061:13;3064:4; & 3193:10 & 3158:8 & fiduciary (1) \\
\hline 22;3023:3,4,12,17; & 3065:3,9;3072:24; & FAHERTY (56) & FASB (2) & 3195:9 \\
\hline 3024:18;3026:22; & 3073:8,9;3075:5; & 3016:21.5;3150:10, & 3156:22,22 & FIELDS (1) \\
\hline 3027:4,6,20;3028:9,14, & 3076:24;3087:24; & 13,17,21;3151:17; & fashion (2) & 3017:4.5 \\
\hline 19;3029:9,17;3044:11, & 3088:2,4,7;3090:9; & 3157:16,19;3158:23; & 3106:4;3110:3 & Fifth (3) \\
\hline 22;3045:11;3046:11, & 3097:16;3115:22; & 3159:3;3165:3,9; & fast (3) & 3151:11;3176:13,16 \\
\hline 14,15,21,24;3047:3; & 3119:5;3215:1 & 3173:19;3174:7; & 3205:4, & figure (3) \\
\hline 3094:3,24;3095:17; & expertise (5) & 3178:17;3179:6,12 & faster (1) & 3064:22;3218:8; \\
\hline 3096:1,6,8,16,19,21; & 3042:11;3085:7,8; & 3180:6,10;3181:2; & 3209:19 & 3219:2 \\
\hline 3097:6,10,12,18,22,24; & 3200:2;3215:2 & 3183:3,20;3187:2; & father (14) & figured (1) \\
\hline \[
3098: 12,14,21,24
\] & experts (4) & 3191:14;3195:3; & 3165:14;3167:12,14; & \[
3168: 14
\] \\
\hline \[
3099: 8,11,22 ; 3100: 5,8
\] & 3037:10;3048:21,24; & 3197:20,24;3199:3,7; & 3168:7,18;3172:2; & figures (2) \\
\hline 3103:1;3107:5;3108:8, & 3063:4 & 3204:6;3205:5,16,20; & 3175:22;3180:23; & 3210:14;3211:6 \\
\hline 13;3110:16,24;3111:2, & experts' (1) & 3208:18,19;3209:7,12, & 3184:9;3185:2; & filed (5) \\
\hline 5,6,14,17;3112:7,16; & 3048:24 & 16,25;3210:3,7,13,19; & 3195:22;3207:19 & 3045:14;3181:22; \\
\hline 3114:2,10,13;3115:1; & explain (3) & 3211:14;3212:12,18; & 3219:25;3220:6 & 3182:1;3183:3,11 \\
\hline 3116:3;3119:1,11,13; & 3047:10;3053:23; & 3213:13,20;3215:12, & father's (5) & files (2) \\
\hline 3120:2,5;3122:8,15,18; & 3183:14 & 20;3216:6;3218:25; & 3175:16;3176:2 & 3187:9;3207:9 \\
\hline 3128:25;3174:11; & explanation & 3219:6,20;3220:22; & 3179:19;3185:24 & filing (2) \\
\hline 3179:11;3187:3; & 3177:7 & 3221:3 & 3190:22 & 3181:21,24 \\
\hline 3191:18,19;3212:20 & explicitly (1) & fair (21) & favor (1) & filter (4) \\
\hline exhibits (2) & 3197:6 & 3141:20,23;3155:20 & 3111:9 & 3091:4,7,11,14 \\
\hline 3088:20;3212:13 & expressed (1) & 3156:6;3157:21,25; & favorable (1) & final (7) \\
\hline exist (3) & 3145:19 & 3158:6;3162:8;3163:8, & 3061:12 & 3027:19;3044:3; \\
\hline 3052:7;3063:10;
3210.25 & extended (4) \({ }^{\text {3081:17.3102.4. }}\) & 15;3164:17;3168:7; & features (1) & \[
3134: 19,22 ; 3135: 1,3,
\] \\
\hline \(3210: 25\)
existed (3) & \(3081: 17 ; 3102: 4 ;\)
\(3135: 7 \cdot 3219: 6\) & \(3169: 13 ; 3175: 6,17\);
\(3177 \cdot 15 \cdot 3180 \cdot 24\). & \[
3118: 22
\] & \[
19
\] \\
\hline existed (3) & 3135:7;3219:6 & 3177:15;3180:24; & February (4) & finally (1) \\
\hline \(3134: 6 ; 3142: 15 ;\)
\(3147 \cdot 8\) & extent (2) & 3186:14;3190:25; & 3029:14,20;3030:2; & 3179:24 \\
\hline 3147:8 & 3113:7;3159:16 & 3200:17;3201:23 & 3187:23 & finance (7) \\
\hline existence (1) & extinguished (2) & fairly (3) & fed (2) & 3032:17;3056:15; \\
\hline 3140:5 & 3112:3;3149:11 & \(3110: 13 ; 3135:\)
\(3164: 24\) & 3209:4;3210:16 & 3059:13;3070:5; \\
\hline 3081:17;3178:3 & 3132:13 & fall (1) & 3070:17;3095:1 & financed (1) \\
\hline 3220:9 & eyewitnesss (2) & 195:20 & 3103:8;3109:16 & 3059:13 \\
\hline exited (1) & 3061:18;3073:7 & fallen (1) & 3146:9,19;3147:2; & financial (60) \\
\hline 3142:13 & & 3220:5 & 3149:15;3211:22; & 3021:10;3022:14,18; \\
\hline exits (1) & F & falls (1) & 3212:3 & 3025:13,15;3026:4; \\
\hline 3178:25
expanding (1) & & 3107:11 & feed (2) & 3027:22;3041:4,8,12, \\
\hline \(\underset{3165: 21}{\text { expanding (1) }}\) & & false (4)
\(3045 \cdot 3 \cdot 3049 \cdot 17\) & 3208:22;3210:14 & \[
13,18,18 ; 3043: 7
\]
3045:3;3047:8; \\
\hline 3165:21 & \[
\begin{gathered}
3108: 19 \\
\text { facility (18) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3045: 3 ; 3049: 17 \\
& 3081: 15 ; 3183: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|r}
\text { feeds (1) } \\
3209: 7
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3045:3;3047:8; } \\
& \text { 3048:10,16;3049:9; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3086:19;3204:17,25; & 3055:9;3056:22,24 & falsities (2) & fees (11) & 3059:18;3061:1; \\
\hline 3205:3 & 3081:17;3109:4,4,5,8, & 3063:14,16 & 3070:12,13;3143:25; & 3062:6,8;3065:5,6; \\
\hline expected (1) & 20,21,22,23;3110:1,3; & familiar (20) & 3144:6,8;3207:22; & 3066:20;3071:19; \\
\hline 3217:5 & 3113:4,4;3130:20; & 3024:10;3041:23; & 3208:22,22;3210:1; & 3081:15,24;3129:20; \\
\hline expensive (1) & 3131:18 & 3085:14,16;3100:10; & 3211:25;3212:5 & 3130:6;3156:21; \\
\hline 3070:1 & fact (12) & 3121:18;3154:5,21,22, & Feigin (2) & 3157:10,12;3158:7; \\
\hline experience (19) & 3060:4;3063:17; & 23;3155:2,8;3156:7,10, & 3205:24;3206:11 & 3208:23,24;3209:4,8,9, \\
\hline \[
3032: 20 ; 3039: 18,19
\] & 3069:21;3073:4; & \[
21 ; 3161: 3,5 ; 3162: 5
\] & F-e-i-g-i-n (1) & \[
11 ; 3210: 9,15,17,21,22
\] \\
\hline 22;3040:17;3042:25; & 3141:8;3142:19; & 3179:14;3181:18 & \[
3205: 25
\] & 3211:3;3213:11,22; \\
\hline 3043:2,15;3044:2; & 3143:12;3180:11; & familiarity (1) & fell (1) & 3214:11,13,19,22; \\
\hline 3048:6;3050:2,11,17, & 3186:16;3190:15; & 3161:11 & 3200:15 & 3215:24;3216:7,18; \\
\hline 21;3051:3;3135:8; & 3191:12;3219:16 & family (1) & felt (1) & 3218:4,9;3219:4,14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline financials (2)
\[
3021: 12: 31
\] & 3073:11;3123:24; & 3068:24;3069:8; & \[
3154: 21,21,23 ; 3155: 3
\] & 30 \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline financing (20) & fixed (3) & 14;3186:20 & 11,13,17,17;3158:4 & 3148:12,13;3149:12, \\
\hline 3029:13;3030:1 & 3071:25;3081:11 & 3187:2;3206:10,21 & GAAP-related ( & 17;3208:7;3215:17 \\
\hline 3:24;3035:18,21, & 3200:2 & found (2) & 302 & golf (2) \\
\hline 23;3040:21;3041:9,9; & flat (3) & 3054:12;307 & gain & 3037:1;3056:22 \\
\hline 3042.25.3053.7. & 3058:1 & foundation & 3067 & Good (17) \\
\hline 36:14,16;3137:23; & 3074:6 & :20;3050:8, & gains (9) & 3018:19;3031:18,1 \\
\hline 3138:20;3139:16; & float & 075:7,10,16 & 3063:10,1 & 3080:6;3082:23,2 \\
\hline 142:5,22;3169:19 & 59: & 78:8 & ;3068:2;3072:2 & 3083:5;3085:4;3126:9 \\
\hline 3178:3 & 3072:1 & foundation & 3073:2;3077:17; & 11,12;3149:1;3151:13, \\
\hline financings & floor & 3051:5 & 3105:9 & 18,20;3180:2;3210:5 \\
\hline & 6:6 & fo & g & governed (1) \\
\hline find (1) & Florida (3) & 3035:19 & 3160:8 & 3179:19 \\
\hline 6:6 & 17:3.5;3056:2 & four & Garten & Government (4) \\
\hline finding (2) & 3201:20 & 21:8, & 3187:13 & 3053:8;3060:17; \\
\hline 3049:2,6 & flow (3) & 0:13;3077:1 & gave (4) & 3061:6;3204:18 \\
\hline fine (7) & 3132:1 & 3093:11;3094:5 & 089:8, & gradations (1) \\
\hline 3046:5 & flows (1) & 3103:18,25;3121:13; & GENER & 3052:11 \\
\hline 3060:20;3062:20; & 3210 & :9;3163:5,6; & 3016-3,18 & grade (4) \\
\hline 3068:5;3130:14 & - & 3188:6 & 3020:22;303 & 3054:20,20 \\
\hline finish (1) & 3023:23;30 & fo & 086:1 & grand (3) \\
\hline 3061:3 & focused & 3099:25 & 3088:12;3092:12 & 3094:9;3148:1 \\
\hline finished (1) & 3111:22;316 & frame (5) & 3104:16;3116:9; & 3149:6 \\
\hline 3126:17 & Focusin & 3029:4;3088:17 & 3132:20;3141:14, & grant (7) \\
\hline FINKELST & 3169:4;3170:7 & 3165:20;3167:7 & 18,25;3169:7;3173:12; & 3043:10;3 \\
\hline 3016:23.5 & 3199:11;3200 & 3206:3 & 3181:22;3187:16; & 10,15,20 \\
\hline fire (1) & fold (2) & & 3193:24;3219:15 & Granted (21) \\
\hline 3201:1 & 3121: & 3028:22 & generally (16) & 3027:2;3096:2, \\
\hline firm (5) & follo & fr & 3022 & 3097:8,20;3098:10 \\
\hline 3035:9 & 3213 & 3047:9,25;3061 & 3115:12,3155: & 3099:9,20;3100:6; \\
\hline 3090:22;3153:19; & follo & 3062:11;3065:6 & 59:9,21;3160:3 & 3110:25;3111:15 \\
\hline 3215:4 & 95:5311 & friends (1) & 3161:8,10,11;3170: & 3114:11,24;3116: \\
\hline firms (1) & 3095:5;3115:17 & 3085:4 & 3181:24;3192:8; & 3119:9;3120:3; \\
\hline 3156:15 & 3126:19;3172:9 & fro & 3200:7;3202:22 & 3122:16;3177:25 \\
\hline first (44) & follows (2) & 70:12 & 3214:14 & 3179:9;3191:16 \\
\hline 3023:2 & 3022:1;31 & 3175:21;3204:11 & General's (4) & great (5) \\
\hline 3032:21,23;3033:2 & footing (1) & full (2) & 3087:22;3181:18 & 3037:9;3043:1 \\
\hline 3046:17;3047:13; & 3168:3 & 80:2 & 3182:6;3183:11 & 3064:15;3158:20; \\
\hline 3048:2;3052:22; & Foot & & generate (1) & 3205:22 \\
\hline 3053:3;3054:19; & 3047:23,24;3048:5; & 19 & 218 & Greenfield \\
\hline 3055:10;3059:11; & 3049:14,16,22;3050:1, & fu & gentleman & 3061:21 \\
\hline 3063:11;3069:16; & 7,12,13,20;3051:3; & 3156:24;3195 & 090:22 & Greenwich (1) \\
\hline 3081:3,12;3087:4; & 3080:23;3216:24 & functioned & geographic & 3031:12 \\
\hline 3095:8,8;3096:10; & force (1) & 3170:11 & 3122 & grid (9) \\
\hline 3098:16;3103:7,7; & 30 & fundament & gi & \[
3121: 16,1
\] \\
\hline 3105:13,25;3108:12 & foreig & 64:12 & 21,21;306 & 3122:5,21;3124 \\
\hline 3109:4,11;3110:4; & 3, & fu & 2;3120:1 & 3125:10,21 \\
\hline 3113:5;3122:24; & 24;3211:2;3220:22 & 3053:3,4,12,13,16,20 & -314 & ground (2) \\
\hline 3151:5;3152:6; & forewarne & furt & 3169:12;3217:12,20,23 & 3066:1;3220:1 \\
\hline 3160:10;3173:15 & 3150:17 & & & grounds (1) \\
\hline 3180:15;3184:7; & forgotten ( & 3082:18; & 197:11 & 3199:1 \\
\hline 3188:10;3208:1,20 & 3162:7 & 3134:18;3149:24; & & ground-up \\
\hline 3215:21,22 & form (3) & 3153:14;3156:16; & & 3161:9 \\
\hline fit (1) & 3036:8;3174: & 3184:19 & 3089:10, & roup (37) \\
\hline 3164:23 & 3175:3 & & glass (1) & 3032:24;3033:5; \\
\hline five (12) & format (1) & G & 3219: & 3036:11,13;3063:24; \\
\hline 3040:6;3052:10; & 3022 & & Gleacher (7) & 3071:15;3084:5,8,10, \\
\hline \[
3080: 4,8 ; 3103: 25
\] & forms & G & 3035:10,11 & 11,21;3085:2,4; \\
\hline 3121:13;3163:21,23 & 3052:14;307 & 10, & 3036:2,9 & 3122:22,23;3123:5; \\
\hline 3188:6;3190:3; & formulate (1) & 3024:1,5;3025:15; & goes (1 & 3127:20;3133:13; \\
\hline 3216:24;3218:8 & 3050:8 & 3027:22;3028:4; & 3027:23;3051:21; & 3134:1;3135:4,6,13,17 \\
\hline five-minute (4) & forward (9) & 3041:20;3059:16; & 3052:5;3053:9; & 24;3136:13;3143:20; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3144:5,10,11,13,17; & 3037:20;3063:25; & 3083:2;3087:20; & hired (2) & 3211:12 \\
\hline 3145:5,6,8,12;3146:1,5 & 3107:15,18;3125:5,12; & 3100:17;3205:19 & 3036:6;3037:25 & hopefully (1) \\
\hline groups (1) & 3148:15 & heard (9) & Historically (3) & 3028:17 \\
\hline 3062:15 & hand (12) & 3086:13;3105:25; & 3055:22;3083:6,21 & Hotel (19) \\
\hline GSA (10) & 3031:3;3044:10,21; & 3131:13;3169:19; & history (3) & 3033:15,15;3034:19, \\
\hline 3019:10,16;3020:2; & 3045:10;3047:19; & 3193:15,20;3199:12; & 3033:4;3110:1; & 20;3055:9;3068:23; \\
\hline 3021:15,22;3023:8; & 3097:1;3103:23,24 & 3201:22;3210:20 & \[
3152: 5
\] & 3073:20;3162:4,16,21; \\
\hline 3024:16;3027:14,16; & 3150:25;3204:6; & hearing (4) & Hold (5) & 3169:11;3199:22; \\
\hline 3028:5 & 3207:15;3213:15 & 3086:15;3120:8 & 3065:13;3068:25; & 3200:8,14,19,20; \\
\hline guarantee (2) & handed (4) & 3131:14;3205:1 & 3138:17;3155:17; & 3208:7,9,11 \\
\hline 3107:11;3149:11 & 3027:7;3028:19 & hearsay (3) & 3179:24 & hotels (2) \\
\hline guaranteed (3) & 3061:21;3187:2 & 3060:9;3063:5, & HOLDINGS (4) & 3037:2;3200:22 \\
\hline 3059:13;3081:23; & handing (1) & heavily (1) & 3016:9,9;3203:19,21 & hour (3) \\
\hline 3083:13 & 3174:8 & 3193:2 & hole (1) & 3085:24;3115:8; \\
\hline guarantees (1) & handle (1) & held (4) & 3173:16 & 3204:20 \\
\hline 3092:2 & 3047:7 & 3021:11;302 & home (2) & hours (3) \\
\hline guarantor (9) & handled (3) & 3054:18;3163:18 & 3031:9;3151 & 3080:9;3103:17; \\
\hline 3052:22;3098: & 3040:13;3161:18; & help (5) & homes (1) & 3105:4 \\
\hline 3106:6,24;3125:4; & 3169:13 & 3080:11;3088:7,25; & 3037:1 & House (2) \\
\hline 3126:5;3132:3,14; & handling (1) & 3181:1;3203:3 & Honor (95) & 3176:5,7 \\
\hline 3178:3 & 3192:24 & helped (3) & 3018:16;3026:12; & Hudson (4) \\
\hline guarantors & handout (1) & 3089:3,5 & 3030:10,18,20 & 3160:14,16,17; \\
\hline 3040:9 & 3027:11 & helpful (1) & 3031:15;3042:9,14; & 3161:16 \\
\hline guaranty (38) & handshake & 3205:21 & 3043:17;3044:9; & hundred (3) \\
\hline 3052:24;3053:15; & 3213:9 & helping (1) & 3045:23;3047:1; & 3038:5;3107:18; \\
\hline 3054:8,18;3056:16; & handwriting (1) & 3025:25 & 3059:25;3060:17; & 3218:2 \\
\hline 3057:17;3066:24; & 3025:16 & hence (1) & 3062:1;3063:8,21; & hundreds (5) \\
\hline 3071:18,23;3098:3,16; & handwritten (5) & 3060:14 & 3065:12;3066:3; & 3092:9;3141:2,8; \\
\hline 3099:3,14,25;3100:11; & 3025:14;3026:3,9, & hereby (5) & 3072:15,23;3073:12; & 3142:10,17 \\
\hline 3106:11,15,16,25; & 12,14 & 3043:10;3046:20 & 3074:25;3076:1,8; & hurdle (1) \\
\hline 3107:24;3108:2; & happen (2) & 3176:7;3190:11,13 & 3077:1;3078:21; & 3061:2 \\
\hline 3111:8;3112:3; & 3203:4;3208:16 & hereunder (1) & 3081:20;3082:18; & hypothetical (2) \\
\hline 3114:17;3116:16,17; & happened (4) & 3177:25 & 3083:19;3094:1; & 3137:18;3139:7 \\
\hline \(3117: 6,7,9,10,12 ;\)
\(3119 \cdot 21.24 \cdot 3132 \cdot 10\). & 3064:23;3083:10; & HERNANDEZ (1) & 3095:25;3096:15;
\[
3097: 5.18: 3098: 8.20
\] & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3119: 21,24 ; 3132: 10 \\
& 3139: 10,12 ; 3140: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3163:11;3173:11 } \\
& \text { happens (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
3017:5.5 \\
hierarchy
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3097:5,18;3098:8,20; } \\
& \text { 3099:7,18;3100:4; }
\end{aligned}
\] & I \\
\hline 3148:9 & 3050:2;3084: & 3164:21,23;3168:2, & 3104:1,5,8,24;3105:6, & idea (3) \\
\hline guess (8) & happy (6) & 16 & 25;3111:13;3114:9,22; & 3063:24;3080:7; \\
\hline 3047:8;3118:15; & 3046:3;3065:15,17; & high (11) & 3115:6,24;3119:7; & 3217:12 \\
\hline 3136:7,8;3152:23; & 3115:7;3130:12; & 3020:4;3040:8,13 & 3120:7;3126:9;3127:8; & identification (4) \\
\hline \[
3165: 24 ; 3192: 23 ;
\] & 3205:20 & \[
15,21 ; 3047: 10
\] & 3133:1;3137:12; & 3119:14;3187:3; \\
\hline 3199:10 & hard (3) & 3052:12;3054:18; & 3149:24;3150:5; & 3191:19;3204:7 \\
\hline guy (2) & 3056:6;3086:15 & 3143:16;3145:10; & 3158:23;3159:3; & identified (6) \\
\hline 3161:8;3200:12 & 3090:3 & 3164:24 & 3174:8;3178:24; & 3021:15,22;3059:17; \\
\hline guys (1) & HAREN (15) & higher (7) & 3179:6,12;3180:2; & 3062:11;3106:7; \\
\hline 3061:2 & 3016:22.5;3018:9, & 3053:9;3072:2,8,8 & 3181:2;3183:20; & 3208:21 \\
\hline & 16,18;3020:9;3023:3, & 3124:11,17,22 & \(3187: 2 ; 3191: 14 ;\)
\(3195 \cdot 3 \cdot 3201 \cdot 19\) & identifies (3) \\
\hline H & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 11;3024:18;3026:21; } \\
& \text { 3027:6;3028:8,19; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { highlighted (1) } \\
& 3028: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3195:3;3201:19; } \\
& 3204: 6,15,15 ; 3205: 6 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3020: 3 ; 3022: 5 ; \\
& 3184: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline HABBA (8) & 3029:8,17;3030:6 & highly (1) & 3208:19;3209:9,13; & identify (15) \\
\hline 3017:12,14;3158:11; & Hawaii (1) & 3041:20 & 3210:19;3211:4,8; & 3054:3;3055:11; \\
\hline 3173:14;3185:12; & 3202:17 & high-net & 3212:12,18;3213:13, & 3096:9,22;3097:13; \\
\hline 3197:21;3210:2; & head (5) & 3041:15;3043:7; & 20;3215:12;3216:6; & 3098:2;3099:1,13,24; \\
\hline 3211:8 & 3129:9;3154:9,20; & 3055:23;3083:7,14,21; & 3218:11,14;3219:9,11; & 3110:17;3111:7; \\
\hline habit (1) & 3166:2;3189:7 & 3084:3 & 3220:24;3221:3,4 & 3114:1,16;31 19:2,20 \\
\hline 3157:18 & header (1) & Highway (1) & HONORABLE (3) & II (3) \\
\hline Haigh (1) & 3177:5 & 3017:13 & 3016:15.5;3018:2; & 3053:17;3085:14; \\
\hline 3129:7 & heading (3) & himself (6) & 3127:4 & 3113:10 \\
\hline Haigh's (3) & 3022:5;3025:13; & \[
3043: 22 ; 3170: 23 ;
\] & honors (1) & iii (1) \\
\hline 3087:4,7,17 & 3207:21 & 3184:21;3185:2; & 3032:17 & 3113:10 \\
\hline hair (3) & hear (8) & 3186:2;3196:21 & Honor's (2) & ill (11) \\
\hline 3150:17,19,21 & 3031:21;3037:5; & hints (1) & \[
3047: 15 ; 3063: 14
\] & 3063:11,19;3067:4 \\
\hline half (7) & 3042:15;3063:22; & 3105:8 & hope (1) & 21,25;3068:2;3072:20, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 21;3073:2;3077:17; & incorrect (1) & inquiry (1) & 3116:11 & 3066:25 \\
\hline image (1) & increase (1) & inside (1) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { intern (1) } \\
3024: 24
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { nvestment (8) } \\
& \text { 3031:25;3033:21; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3055:6 & 3138:1 & 3036: & internal (1) & 3035:12;3036:11,12, \\
\hline imagine (5) & incredible (1) & insofar (1) & 3052:21 & 18;3041:2;3054:20 \\
\hline 3164:10,16;3165:14; & 3215:11 & 3170:8 & International (14) & volve (4) \\
\hline 3172:3;3206:9 & independe & instance (3) & 3153:21,22,25 & 3039:6,9;3040:8; \\
\hline immaterial (1) & 3035:12;3036:19; & 3117:6;3124:16,22 & 3154:3,6,11,16 & 3199:20 \\
\hline 3094:11 & 3048:17;3053:7; & instead (2) & 3162:15,21;3169:10; & volved (11) \\
\hline impact (4) & 3054:15,17;3130:5; & 3066:17;3125:12 & 3195:23;3201:4; & 3032:7;3035:7; \\
\hline 3045:3;3047:8; & 3186:23;3214:7 & Institute (1) & 3202:13;3219:24 & 3037:1;3084:16; \\
\hline 3069:21;3082:9 & independently (2) & 21 & internationally (1) & 3085:16;3093:1; \\
\hline impacted (2) & 3054:5;3130:11 & institution (1) & 3200:13 & 3162:22;3173:11; \\
\hline 3132:13;3162:9 & Index (1) & 3152:11 & interpret (1) & 3216:3,7;3217:18 \\
\hline impacts (1) & 3016:5.5 & institutional (2) & & involvement (1) \\
\hline 3055:11 & ind & 3035:13;3167:2 & interpretation ( & 3166:15 \\
\hline impinged & 17;3185:2 & institutions (1) & 3053:25 & involves (2) \\
\hline 3082:1 & indicated (1) & 3142:17 & interrogatee (1) & 3041:7;3056:22 \\
\hline importance (1) & 3177:12 & instruct (1) & 3201:17 & involving (3) \\
\hline 3020:4 & indicates & 3185:12 & interrogator (1) & 3040:14,21;3168:19 \\
\hline important (3) & 3025:19;3135:1,10 & instruction (1) & 3201:16 & irrelevant (2) \\
\hline 3020:6;3023:20; & indicted (1) & \[
3205: 3
\] & interrupt (2) & \[
3208: 15 ; 3210: 11
\] \\
\hline 3053:22 & 3171:15 & INT & 3061:4;3104:2 & issue (18) \\
\hline imposed (1) & indictment ( & 3057:2,10 & interrupted (1) & 3021:15,22;3022:22; \\
\hline 3162:12 & 3171:13 & 3059:3;3065:19; & 3105:18 & 3039:5;3041:2 \\
\hline improper (2) & individual (8) & 3066:4,18,22;3069:3,6, & interview (4) & 3043:18,25;3052:18; \\
\hline 3068:14;3076:10 & 3041:16,18;3054:1 & 7 & 3093:16,18,20,2 & 3064:7,8;3069:9; \\
\hline improvements (1) & 3083:14;3084:3; & intend (1) & interviewed (3) & 3105:11;3117:10; \\
\hline 3113:6 & 3142:23;3196:3,8 & 3025:23 & 3092:25;3093:4,8 & 3120:20;3129:11; \\
\hline accuracies (1) & individually (1) & intended (1) & intimate (4) & 3197:14;3209:11,24 \\
\hline 3063:16 & 3217:11 & 1069.11 & 3214:25;3215:1 & issued (1) \\
\hline INC (1) & individuals (10) & interaction (1) & 3217:15,23 & 3175:1 \\
\hline 3016:8.5 & 3040:9,15,22; & 3084:25 & into (54) & issuer (2) \\
\hline inception (1) & 3041:16;3043:8; & interactively (1) & 3020:10;3023:12; & 3034:5;3056:8 \\
\hline 3088:18 & 3055:23;3083:7,22; & 3074:5 & 3025:14;3026:1,9,13; & issues (8) \\
\hline include (6) & 3143:16;3145:11 & interest (84) & 3028:9;3029:9; & 3038:4,6;3039: \\
\hline 3042:11;3077:15 & industry (1) & 3045:17,22; & 3031:21;3034:20 & 3065:17;3123:17,20; \\
\hline 3094:8;3141:6 & 3032:1 & 3048:3,8;304 & 3037:12;3045:8 & 3170:23;3197:7 \\
\hline 3200:22;3214:23 & infer (2) & 3050:23;3051:17,22; & 3047:14,20;3065:1 & item (16) \\
\hline included (15) & 3158:6;321 & 3053:1,9,12;3055:20; & 3069:19;3071:4,7; & 3020:18;3022:18; \\
\hline 3044:2;3049:24; & inferring (1) & 3056:2,13;3057:4,16, & 3072:20;3078:6; & 3023:16;3024:9; \\
\hline 3069:10;3070:14; & 3217:18 & 24;3059:6,7,9,16,22; & 3092:20;3098:9,21 & 3027:3;3028:13; \\
\hline 3129:20;3140:4; & information (13) & 3066:9,15,15,22; & 3099:8,18;3100:5; & 3055:7;3111:1,16; \\
\hline 3141:7;3158:8; & 3022:1;3023:8; & 3069:5,13;3070:2; & 3103:25;3107:6; & 3114:12,25;3116:2; \\
\hline 3177:15;3193:4; & 3062:20;3064:5; & 3071:8,17,21;3072:9, & 3108:8;3110:24; & 3119:10;3120:4; \\
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\hline revocation (1) & 3017:23 & rulings (1) & scan (1) & 3113:4,7;3116:18 \\
\hline 3191:8 & RNPFC (1) & 3105:20 & 3056:9 & seeking (1) \\
\hline right (88) & 3025:2 & run (2) & Scane-mail@Trumporgcom (1) & 3203:1 \\
\hline 3031:3;3051:24,24; & Road (2) & 3071:12;3158:12 & 3206:7 & seeks (1) \\
\hline \[
3059: 14 ; 3060: 25
\] & 3031:12;3165:23 & running (3) & scanned (1) & 3143:16 \\
\hline 3061:5,23;3067:3,18; & rob (1) & 3034:13;3035:18,19 & 3025:6 & seem (2) \\
\hline 3068:25;3074:9; & 3130:11 & RXR (1) & scanner (1) & 3180:24;3188:8 \\
\hline 3077:8;3078:23; & ROBERT (19) & 3017:9 & \[
3206: 8
\] & seems (3) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3080: 13 ; 3083: 14 \\
& 3084: 10,14 ; 3085: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3017: 8,8,10 \\
& 3020: 13 ; 3129: 22,25
\end{aligned}
\] & S & scanner@Trumporgcom (1) 3025:3 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3062: 20 ; 3153: 13 \\
& 3180: 8
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3086:3;3090:10,20; & 3150:4;3156:9;3158:4; & & Schedule (1) & sell (2) \\
\hline \[
3091: 22,23 ; 3092: 1,4,5
\] & 3165:2,7;3185:9; & safe (1) & \[
3207: 22
\] & 3036:7;3070:19 \\
\hline 3093:6;3094:10; & 3205:12,15,18,22; & \[
3120: 23
\] & scheduled (1) & selling (2) \\
\hline 3101:16,19;3102:4,17; & 3210:6;3212:15; & salad (1) & 3021:3 & 3035:7;3055:5 \\
\hline 3104:19;3106:14; & 3218:11 & 3128:1 & School (3) & Senior (7) \\
\hline 3108:5;3109:1,20; & ROBINSON (1) & salary (3) & 3032:18;3152:13,14 & 3017:23.5;3036:5; \\
\hline 3112:6;3115:3; & 3017:22.5 & 3203:9,10,13 & Schreiber (2) & 3039:24;3040:3; \\
\hline 3117:14;3118:18,21; & role (15) & sale (1) & 3192:2;3193:13 & 3127:19;3165:17,19 \\
\hline 3126:11;3128:18,19, & 3032:2;3034:13,23 & 3033:1 & science (3) & seniority (1) \\
\hline 20;3133:4,20;3136:6; & 3040:2;3164:25; & sales (2) & 3033:9;3152:17,25 & 3165:23 \\
\hline 3143:2;3144:20,23,25; & 3169:9;3171:17,19,24; & 3162:25;3168:17 & screen (9) & sense (5) \\
\hline 3146:23;3148:1,23; & 3173:20;3186:6; & salesperson (1) & 3047:23;3080:18 & 3037:23;3154:17; \\
\hline 3149:13,17,22,23; & 3188:22;3195:23; & 3153:14 & 3098:1;3111:6; & 3168:14;3200:1; \\
\hline 3150:25;3153:13,15; & 3200:4;3201:1 & same (51) & 3112:18;3181:3 & 3219:15 \\
\hline 3155:15,18,21;3160:7; & roles (1) & 3021:18;3028:4 & 3183:22;3189:25; & sent (3) \\
\hline 3162:16;3174:23; & 3204:21 & 3041:19;3045:2,7; & 3213:16 & 3019:13;3088:20,22 \\
\hline 3176:21;3180:7,25; & roll (1) & 3047:7,16,17;3052:8; & seasonal (1) & sentence (2) \\
\hline 3187:1;3190:9;3193:4; & 3146:22 & 3057:5,20;3063:22; & 3037:6 & 3215:22;3217:2 \\
\hline 3196:11,17;3197:23; & rolled (1) & 3064:5,7,25;3065:17; & seat (2) & separate (2) \\
\hline 3202:24;3206:15,21; & 3146:16 & 3066:13,17;3067:24; & 3031:8;3151:8 & 3027:25;3203:13 \\
\hline 3207:15;3209:1,6; & rollover (1) & 3069:4;3072:11,15,16; & seated (6) & separately (2) \\
\hline 3211:17;3212:3; & 3146:21 & 3074:12,13;3081:19; & 3018:6;3030:13; & 3021:11;3028:1 \\
\hline 3213:9,11 & romanette (2) & 3085:20;3092:6; & 3080:2;3126:13; & September (1) \\
\hline right-hand (1) & 3190:7,12 & 3107:17;3124:7; & 3127:5;3179:3 & 3160:6 \\
\hline 3193:21 & room (2) & 3139:8,10,14;3140:8, & second (18) & sequence (1) \\
\hline rights (3) & 3203:3;3211:4 & 14,18,21,22,22; & 3018:20;3021:8,9; & 3046:23 \\
\hline 3075:12;3186:16; & Rosemary (1) & 3141:16;3144:13,17; & 3081:11,14;3096:23; & series (7) \\
\hline 3191:4 & 3029:19 & 3146:21,22;3168:6; & 3097:1;3099:2; & 3028:21;3036:5; \\
\hline rise (4) & Rosen (5) & 3170:11,19;3173:16; & 3100:17;3103:5; & 3068:24;3070:10; \\
\hline 3018:1;3080:1; & 3187:10,17;3193:24; & 3176:17;3196:10; & 3107:5;3115:16; & 3156:2;3188:1; \\
\hline 3127:3;3179:2 & 3194:10,19 & 3205:3 & 3147:13;3211:20; & 3207:25 \\
\hline rises (3) & rough (1) & sat (2) & 3214:5,7;3217:1,2 & serious (1) \\
\hline 3048:2;3049:23; & 3149:19 & 3039:24;3217:10 & Secondly (1) & 3135:19 \\
\hline 3051:16 & rounds (1) & satisfied (1) & 3173:15 & serve (5) \\
\hline risk (28) & 3019:9 & 3071:6 & seconds (1) & 3154:11,14;3172:1; \\
\hline 3048:2,8;3049:23, & row (17) & Saturday & 3077:10 & 3186:17;3188:23 \\
\hline 24,24;3050:23; & 3056:19;3057:1,2,7, & 3027:10 & section (10) & served (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3051:16,18,19;3052:5, } \\
& \text { 6,18;3053:5,6,15,22, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 19 ; 3059: 3,4,8,10 ; \\
& 3068: 19 ; 3069: 2,6
\end{aligned}
\] & save (1) \(3091 \cdot 6\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3023: 6 ; 3024: 1 ; \\
& 3059: 9: 3108: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
3164: 18 ; 3165: 4
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6,18;3053:5,6,15,22, } \\
& \text { 24,25;3054:3,10,11,12, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3068:19;3069:2,6; } \\
& \text { 3071:17,21;3073:13; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3091:6
savings (1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3059: 9 ; 3108: 25 ; \\
& \text { 3113:3;3116:6;3117: }
\end{aligned}
\] & Services (1) 3020:23 \\
\hline 18;3055:7,10,21; & 3081:2;3093:12 & \[
3108: 3
\] & 17;3175:8;3178:9 & servicing (1) \\
\hline 3059:20;3124:20 & rows (1) & saw (5) & sections (1) & 3053:4 \\
\hline risk-free (1) & 3059:11 & 3056:7;3074:4 & 3045:9 & serving (12) \\
\hline 3053:8 & rule (1) & 3103:20;3135:1; & sector (1) & 3168:17;3169:7; \\
\hline riskier (3) & 3200:3 & 3210:1 & 3042:25 & 3171:23,24;3186:8,14, \\
\hline 3048:1;3124:12,13 & rules (8) & saying (9) & secured (4) & 19,24;3189:12; \\
\hline risks (1) & 3076:2;3157:4,6,7, & 3026:8;3031:23; & 3109:4,8;3113:5; & 3191:12;3196:13,19 \\
\hline 3055:12 & 10,11;3158:8,15 & 3043:19;3048:10; & 3209:3 & session (4) \\
\hline risky (1) & ruling (7) & 3049:16;3063:9; & secures (1) & 3018:2;3080:2; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3127:4;3179:3 & signed (5) & small (2) & 3202:25 & specifies (1) \\
\hline set (5) & 3203:16;3207:1; & 3203:5,15 & sought (2) & 3075:2 \\
\hline 3053:17,18,19; & 3213:2;3214:18,24 & smaller (3) & 3029:13;3145:22 & spectrum (4) \\
\hline 3085:5;3192:14 & significant (5) & 3069:16;3082:5; & sounds (3) & 3035:23,24;3052:16; \\
\hline setting (3) & 3041:17;3043:6,19; & 3144:9 & 3151:13;3155:22; & 3142:6 \\
\hline 3034:8;3192:15; & 3055:13;3130:25 & societies (1) & 3180:25 & speculate (1) \\
\hline 3200:14 & significantly (2) & 3155:21 & source (3) & 3194:7 \\
\hline settlor (4) & 3072:2,7 & SOFC & 3137:23;3138:20; & speculation (1) \\
\hline 3184:11,14,21; & signing (1) & 3024:21 & 3139:16 & 3062:13 \\
\hline 3190:8 & 3040:3 & SOFC's (2) & sources (1) & speeches (1) \\
\hline SEVEN (6) & silent (1) & 3047:25;3048:3 & 3048:18 & 3104:3 \\
\hline 3016:10.5;3055:17; & 3018:3 & sojourn (1) & South (3) & spelled (1) \\
\hline \[
3101: 23,23 ; 3140: 17
\] & silos (2) & 3208:13 & 3034:13;3035: & 3031:11 \\
\hline 3173:17 & 3167:23;3168:1 & sold (2) & \[
3056: 21
\] & spend (3) \\
\hline several (7) & similar (14) & 3035:13;3160:22 & Southwestern (1) & 3032:4;3083:18; \\
\hline \[
3056: 4,22 ; 3072: 8,8
\] & 3042:2;3043:14,14; & sole (2) & 3036:8 & 3090:1 \\
\hline 3074:4;3084:18; & 3053:8;3056:9;3069:9; & 3182:12,18 & spa (1) & spending (1) \\
\hline 3210:23 & 3071:12;3073:23; & solely (1) & 3056:22 & 3055:6 \\
\hline SG (6) & 3112:11;3155:21; & 3177:25 & space (1) & spent (5) \\
\hline 3034:11,14,16; & 3167:23;3172:2; & solemnly (2) & 3117:15 & 3090:5,16;3163:5; \\
\hline \[
3035: 1,6,7
\] & 3178:1;3199:18 & \[
3031: 4 ; 3151:
\] & spaces (2) & 3204:19;3218:17 \\
\hline sheet (4) & Similarly (2) & solicit (1) & 3109:15,1 & spin (3) \\
\hline 3066:7;3074:3 & 3157:9;3168:10 & \[
3142: 16
\] & speak (7) & 3036:19;3037:18; \\
\hline 3135:9;3209:22 & simple (12) & solicitation & \[
3022: 25 ; 3031: 21,2
\] & 3056:23 \\
\hline sheets (1) & 3082:11,12;3095:10; & 3021:4 & 3037:4,7;3205:5,20 & split (2) \\
\hline \[
3118: 14
\] & 3103:8;3104:9,10,25, & solicited (2) & speaking (11) & 3040:18;3212:8 \\
\hline Sheri (6) & 25;3105:2,11;3109:16; & 3142:4,9 & 3039:19;3078:2 & spoke (1) \\
\hline 3192:4,6;3193:8,9, & 3148:3 & solo (1) & 3117:6;3159:9,2 & 3029:23 \\
\hline 23;3194:9 & simpler (1) & 3128:1 & 3160:3;3161:10; & spoken (2) \\
\hline Shipping (1) & 3158:25 & SOLOMON (1) & 3181:25;3192:8; & 3169:5;3179:13 \\
\hline 3040:15 & simplest (1) & 3016:23 & 3200:7;3202:22 & sponsor (11) \\
\hline short (5) & 3051:9 & somebody (1) & speaks (1) & 3125:11;3138:22; \\
\hline \[
3051: 20 ; 3054: 16
\] & Simplify (1) & \[
3148: 1
\] & 3022:9 & 3139:4,18,21,24; \\
\hline 3084:9;3174:22; & \[
3158: 2
\] & someone (3) & specialist (2) & \[
3141: 1 ; 3142: 3,9,16,23
\] \\
\hline 3175:3 & simply (1) & 3067:8;3103:22 & 3085:9,1 & sponsored (2) \\
\hline Shortly (2) & 3073:8 & 3167:24 & specialty (1) & \[
3037: 18 ; 3130: 20
\] \\
\hline 3160:6;3174:5 & single (2) & Sometime (1) & 3040:6 & sponsors (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { shot (1) } \\
3070: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & 3124:16,22 & 3174:5 & specific (20) & 3153:19 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
3070: 1 \\
\text { show (4) }
\end{array}
\] & sister (2) 3154:10;32 & sometimes (3)
\(3049: 24 ; 305\) & 3043:2;3064:11;
3101:25;3145:7; & spread (2) 3057:18;3123: \\
\hline 3050:25;3062:2; & sit (10) & 3199:19 & 3157:7,11;3162:19 & spreadsheet (2) \\
\hline 3067:14;3097:23 & 3064:20;3101:22; & somewhat (1) & 3166:6,11,25;3167:2,3, & 3066:12;3210:1 \\
\hline showed (4) & 3164:7;3166:25; & 3043:14 & 5;3171:10,13;3175:18; & spreadsheets (1) \\
\hline 3033:2;3065:6; & 3167:5;3171:14; & somewhere (5) & 3196:16;3213:24; & 3094:17 \\
\hline 3104:14;3133:10 & 3173:7;3191:7,11; & 3132:24;3164:10 & 3214:3;3218:6 & SPRINGS (3) \\
\hline showing (1) & 3220:13 & 3199:21;3200:1; & specifically (25) & 3016:10.5;3101:23, \\
\hline 3210:8 & sits (1) & 3204:23 & 3024:6;3029:15; & \[
23
\] \\
\hline shows (2) & 3116:11 & sophisticated ( & 3030:4;3043:1;3084:1; & spun (1) \\
\hline 3071:17,21 & sitting (1) & 3075 & 3085:17,19;3102:3,8; & 3036:13 \\
\hline siblings (2) & 3135:16 & Sorry (23) & 3143:3;3154:1; & stabilization (1) \\
\hline 3167:20;3204:2 & situation (3) & 3018:19;3046:8; & 3161:22;3163:10,24; & 3162:9 \\
\hline side (13) & 3132:13;3138:2; & 3050:5;3055:14; & 3167:7,3169:10; & stabilized (3) \\
\hline 3023:1;3035:18,19, & 3150:16 & 3065:24;3086:13,16; & 3174:4;3180:21; & 3162:3,4,5 \\
\hline 21;3042:15;3048:22; & six (2) & 3100:14,18;3102:2; & 3186:1;3188:24; & stamp (1) \\
\hline 3160:12;3161:2,16; & 3188:6,8 & \(3111: 5 ; 3112: 16 ;\) & 3197:12;3207:12; & 3194:4 \\
\hline 3169:17,21,24;3194:13 & size (1) & 3113:4,10;3127:22; & 3208:10;3213:1; & stand (19) \\
\hline signature (22) & 3056:9 & 3133:22;3142:16; & 3217:19 & 3018:12;3030:15 \\
\hline 3134:25;3135:9; & slight (1) & 3149:15;3153:5; & specification (1) & 3031:2;3104:7; \\
\hline 3175:7,8,13,15;3178:9, & 3022:21 & 3185:4;3195:4; & 3156:24 & 3105:20;3127:13; \\
\hline 10,12,13,15;3181:11; & slower (1) & \({ }^{3203: 20 ; 3204: 15}\) & specifics (2) & 3150:9,11,22,24; \\
\hline 3185:21,23;3190:18,
19,21,23,24,25; & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 3201:17 } \\
\text { slowly (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sort (5) } \\
& 3022: 23 ; 3152: 23 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3145:16;3173:10 } \\
& \text { snecified (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3154: 25 ; 3178: 25 ; \\
& 3179: 4 ; 3185: 14 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3207:14;3210:4 & 3031:22 & 3166:18;3180:6; & & 3199:8;3209:1;3210:8; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cellphones are on silent. Laptops and cellphones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now, be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: There is no photography allowed in the courtroom, but we're going to let in the photographers now.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: I understand we have at least one housekeeping matter about three witnesses.

MR. WALLACE: Certainly, Your Honor. We just noted our objection to defendant's plan to call three witnesses, former and current Deutsche Bank employee, by videotaped deposition because the witnesses are amenable to subpoena in New York.

THE COURT: What is the defendant's current position and intention?

MR. ROBERT: We will be talking to their lawyers as we were informed. As we've been talking to their lawyers in the past, they said the witnesses will be unavailable, but we'll continue to speak with them and report back what we learn.

THE COURT: Okay. There's unavailable and there's
unavailable. So they can testify in person like --
MR. ROBERT: Two of them are definitely out of state. The third is, as I understand it, a resident of New York State, but we had been told previously may be unavailable, but we'll confirm all that.

THE COURT: All right. Just let us know.
MR. ROBERT: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. WALLACE: I would just note that we agree, as we note in our letter, that two witnesses are out of state. One is still a current Deutsche Bank employee and to our view is amenable to subpoena through the bank and the other one is employed in New York and so is regularly appearing in Manhattan to work at Morgan Stanley.

MR. ROBERT: Our view, and we don't need to belabor the issue, we argued this last Friday with the deal with Ms. Trump's subpoena. We're dealing with the lawyers for the parties. They'll let us know their position. If they want to get involved at some point, they will, but we will report back in due course.

THE COURT: Perfect. Shall we resume the testimony?

MS. FAHERTY: Yes, Your Honor.
We recall Mr. Donald J. Trump, Jr., please.
(Witness resumes the stand.)
THE COURT: Let's continue.

A No, I didn't.
MS. FAHERTY: All right. I'm going to hand up to the witness a document that has already been admitted into evidence. It is identified as PX-756.
Q Mr. Trump, it will also be on the screen. The court officer will hand it over to you. I'll represent that this is the June 30, 2016 Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump.

Do you have that in front of you, sir?
A Ido.
Q Are you familiar with this document?
A No.
Q Did you help prepare this document?
A I did not.
Q Did you review this document?
A I may have seen this document in preparation for either
my testimony here or in my -- at my prior deposition, but
document is "Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2016."

Fair to say you're not familiar with a support data spreadsheet prepared in connection with the Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition?

A I don't know. If that is this, then I'm not familiar with it. I'm not familiar with a document of that nature, no.
\(Q\) And specifically referencing this document on this screen, you have no specific familiarity with this document, correct?

A Correct. I don't believe I've seen this document.
Q Did you specifically prepare -- withdrawn.
MS. FAHERTY: I'm going to hand up to the witness a document that is not yet in evidence. It is marked for identification as PX-1344. Take a moment to look at it and I will ask you a few questions, sir.
(Witness reviewing document.)
A Okay.
\(Q\) Do you see in the underlying e-mail, there is a message
from Noah K-I-R-S-C-H at forbes.com e-mailing Alan Garten on
Friday, March 3, 2017 at 10:00 a.m. a message with the subject "urgent, fact checking inquiry from Forbes."

Do you see that underlying e-mail?
A I do.
Q And contained within that e-mail, do you see that there
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A That's correct.
Q And just turning to the second page of this document, do you see under the independent accountant's compilation report dated March 10, 2017 ?

A I do.
Q And at the very top, the opening paragraph says, "That the trustees of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust are responsible for this Statement of Financial Condition"?

A Yes.
Q Okay. You can put that down.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'd like to put on the screen Plaintiffs Exhibit PX 742. It has already been admitted into evidence.

Q Mr. Trump, it's a spreadsheet, so it will only be on the screen because it is a pretty large document.

A Fair enough.
Q Are you familiar with this document on the screen?
A Not to my knowledge.
Q Fair to say you did not help prepare this document?
A I don't know anything about the document, so I imagine so, yes.

Q And I'll represent to you that the title on this
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are a number of emboldened headings. The first one on the cover page of this e-mail, it says, "Trump Organization."

Do you see that there?
A I do.
Q If you turn to the next page of this e-mail, do you see in the middle of that page there is an e-mail with a heading "Trump Tower penthouse"?
A I do.
Q And you see that there are a series of questions related to that heading "Trump Tower penthouse, one through ten"?

A Yes.
Q And in the first sentence, it references, "President
Trump has told Forbes in the past that his penthouse occupies 30,000 square feet comprising the entirety of floors 66-68 of Trump Tower "--

THE COURT: It says 33,000 , not 30,000 .
Q 33,000.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you for the correction, Your Honor.
Q Do you see that first line there?
A Yes.
Q And then it subsequently says, "It shows President Trump's apartment is \(10,996.39\) square feet' referencing the property records in the prior sentence. Do you see that?

1 A Ido.
2 Q Okay. And then on that very same day, if we go back to 3 the cover page, Alan Garten, he works at the Trump Organization We discussed him yesterday?
5 A Correct.
6 Q Alan Garten forwards this message to you, correct?
7 A It would appear so, yes.
8 Q And then Allen Weisselberg and Eric Trump, right?
9 A Correct.
10 Q As well as Amanda Miller?
11 A Yes.
12 Q Who's Amanda Miller?
13 A She was running our marketing department at the time.
14 Q Another employee of the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q And then the very top e-mail, that's your e-mail address responding at 12:46 p.m., yes?

A Correct.
Q And you write "insane amount of stuff there," yes?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall receiving this message?
A No.
Q Aside from this specific message, what, if any, fact checking did you do to follow up on the message contained in Mr.
25 Kirsch's e-mail?

PROCEEDINGS
Page 3231
1 A I don't know that I did any. I don't know that I would spend hundreds of questions worth of time on answering Forbes Magazine.
4 Q So no specific recollection as to what you did, yes? You don't have that recollection?
6 A I have no specific recollection of doing anything with 7 it.
\(8 \quad\) Q What conversations did you have about this e-mail?
9 A I don't know that I had any.
10 Q So no specific recollection about conversations?
11 A No.
12 Q Okay.
13 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit 14 Plaintiffs Exhibit P X-1344.

24 Q And this is on Trump Organization letterhead, yes?
25 A Correct.

16 Q And this is one such representation letter, correct?
17 A I believe that's correct.
Q I'll turn your attention to page three of this letter.
Do you recognize that signature on the bottom right-hand corner of page three?
A That's my signature.
Q Okay. Turning back to the front page, this letter is dated March 10, 2017, correct?
A That is correct.
Q This is one week after your e-mail in which you stated
"insane amount of stuff here" with regards to the Forbes inquiry?

A Presumably, yes.
Q Mr. Trump, in connection with the work Mazars performed on the Statement of Financial Condition, the Trump Organization presented Mazars with a signed representation letter, correct?
A I believe so.

Q Okay. Turning your attention to the first page, the
third paragraph states, "We confirm, to the best of our
knowledge and belief, as of March 10, 2017, the following
representations made to you during your compilation."
Do you see that language there?
A I do.
Q And then following that confirmation, there is a
subparagraph number one. Do you see it?

A Ido.
Q And then if you turn to the next two and three pages, you'll see there are numbered paragraphs going up to paragraph 16. Do you see those?

A I do.
Q And we'll just talk about a couple of the
representations that the Trump Organization confirmed in this letter.

Do you see point two, the representation is, "We have made available to you all financial records and related data, and any additional information you requested from us for the purpose of the compilation. We have not knowingly withheld from you any financial records or related data that in our judgment would be relevant to your compilation."

You see that that was a representation in this letter? A Ido.
Q And in paragraph four, there's another representation. "We acknowledge and have fulfilled our responsibility for designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the personal financial statement that is free from material misstatement whether due to fraud or error."

Do you see that representation?
A Ido, yes.
Q And at point five, there's another representation, "We
acknowledge our responsibility for designing, implementing and maintaining internal control to prevent and detect fraud."

A I do.
Q One more representation just to draw your attention to it. Make sure that you see it is there. Number 15, "We have responded fully and truthfully to all inquiries made to us by you during your compilation."

Do you see that representation on behalf of the Trump Organization there?

A I do.
Q Do you agree with those representations in this letter?
A I do. Every decision I made was based on all of the information that I would have gotten from Mazars who ironically is also the one we're writing this letter to, but they were intimately involved in every aspect of that. And again, for purposes of accounting, I rely on the accountants and so while I make these representations to them, they have frankly as much, if not more, information in the details of all of this than I ever would have had.
Q So you relied on Mazars to make these representations to Mazars?

A I relied on Mazars and our accounting team to tell me what is accurate within the confines of accounting. That's why we have accountants.

Q So in addition to Mazars, you make a reference to "our
question, maybe at great length, but --
MS. FAHERTY: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I'm just trying to give the details, sir. Sometimes things aren't, unfortunately, yes or no when it comes to the complex transactions.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I'll try to hone it down.
Q As it relates to this representation letter, did you
specifically rely on Jeffrey McConney to assure yourself of the representations contained in this letter?
A Specifically as it relates to this letter, I don't recall who I relied on.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, Im putting before the witness a document that is not yet in evidence. We have marked it for identification as PX-391.
Q Mr. Trump, I'll turn your attention to the second page of this document. Do you recognize that signature on the second page of this document?
A That is my signature.
Q And it is signed as "attorney in fact"?
A Correct.
Q Do you have any understanding as to what "attorney in fact" is a reference to?
A Well, I guess I'm functioning as an attorney for my
father as per, I guess, whatever the lawyers structured for me
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accounting team."
Who is that accounting team you're referencing as you're sitting here today?
A That would have been Allen Weisselberg, Jeffrey McConney and their team.
Q And in specifically as it relates to this 2016
representation letter, were you relying on Allen Weisselberg to make the representations in this rep letter?

A I'd have to see what the backup is. I don't recall ever being involved in any of that. But yes, I would imagine -- I'll try to slow it down. Yes, I would have relied on them, but again, I would have also relied knowing that they're working intimately with Mazars and I understand, you know, the world around this time is changing and this could be, let's call it, a cover your butt, but Mazars for 30 years is intimately involved in literally every aspect, every transaction, every LLC. They would have been a key point in compiling anything as it related to accounting and they would have been well aware of that.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I would move to strike that answer and I can ask my question again.

THE COURT: I remember the question. Overruled.
That's his response.
MS. FAHERTY: Okay.
THE COURT: And I think it responded to the
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at the time.
Q Okay. Turn your attention back to the first page of this document.

MS. FAHERTY: Oh, Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 391.)

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
Q Turning to the first page, do you see that this is on
letterhead "Donald J. Trump 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022"?
A Yes.
Q That's the address of the Trump Organization?
A Yes.
Q The date on this certification, this is dated March 13, 2017, yes?
A Correct.
Q Okay. I'll draw your attention to point one in this certification. Sorry. I'll just frame your reference, the very, very top, it says, "There is a lender Deutsche Bank Trust Company of Americas." You're familiar with Deutsche Bank Trust Company as a lender to the Trump Organization?

A Yes.
25 Q You'll see that there is an entry for a guarantor.
```

    That's Donald J. Trump, yes?
    A Correct.
    Q And the borrower identified in the certification is
    Trump Endeavor 12, LLC. You see that there?
    A Ido.
    Q And you're familiar with Trump Endeavor 12, LLC,
    correct?
    A I believe so, yes.
    Q Sorry?
    A I believe so, yes.
    Q Turning to point one, "financial information," it says,
    "The underlined guarantor hereby certifies to lender as of the
    date hereof that as of June 30, 2016 (the "reporting date") one,
    financial information. As applicable (please check the
    applicable box below and insert the applicable date below)."
    You'll see the very first bullet is "X"-ed. "Attached
    hereto is guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of
    June 30, 2016 (Section 11(A) of the guaranty)" and then there
    are two other bullets of financial information checked, right?
        A Yes.
        Q Then it states, "The foregoing presents fairly in all
        material respects the financial condition of the guarantor at
        the period presented," right?
        A Yes.
        Q Did you take any steps to assure yourself of this
    ```
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    certification?
        A As with all of the certifications, as I think we
    discussed yesterday, I would have sat with the relevant parties;
    namely, in accounting, whether that's the Trump team and/or
    Donald Bender. I would have asked them if everything that is in
    here is correct. I would have likely also checked with our
    legal department to make sure that the conditions are met as it
    relates to anything I would sign for Deutsche Bank and if they
    assured me in their expert opinion that these things were fine,
    I would have been fine with that and signed off accordingly.
        Q Is that specific to this particular certification, sir?
        A Well, I think I probably would save us some time and
    say that's probably specific to all of these certifications
    because I'm sure I've signed dozens of these in my time as a
    trustee.
        Q So no specific recollection as to this particular
    certification, right?
    A Correct.
    Q You're providing me your general recollection as to
    what you would do, correct?
    A Correct. I'm providing you the process I would have
    gone through. I would have relied on the people who are the
    experts in compiling all of this who deal with these things down
    to the very granular levels. If they're telling me it is okay
    and then legal tells me, it goes through the appropriate

1 Q If I asked you the same question as I did about 2016, we can turn to page two confirming that it is, in fact, the trustees responsible for the Statements of Financial Condition in 2017, it states that there, correct?
A Correct.
Q And this independent accountant's report was finalized, at the bottom of this letter you can see, October 30, 2017. You see that?

A Ido.
Q You were a trustee, correct?
A Yes.
Q And if I asked you the same questions I asked before about what you specifically did to prepare this document, you have no specific knowledge about that, right?

A Correct. It would be the same for all of the Statements of Financial Condition.
Q So if I showed you the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition, same answer?
A I think we could save each other a lot of time and effort and yes, it would be the same thing.
Q If I showed you the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition, it would be the same answer?
A Yes, ma'am.
24 Q And if I showed you the 2020 Statement of Financial
25 Condition, it would be the same answer?
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A If I did, it would have been in the same manner that I would have with Mazars.

Q No specific knowledge as to the work you performed on the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition with Whitley Penn; is that true?

A That's accurate, yes.
MS. FAHERTY: And I'll just put it on the screen. We can expedite, your Honor. I'm putting up a document already in evidence.
Q Again, Mr. Trump, it is a spreadsheet, so it is rather large. Nothing to hand up. It is PX 758.

The title on this document is, "The Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017." This is the supporting data spreadsheet.

Similar to 2016, no specific knowledge as to the

1 supporting data spreadsheet created for the 2017 Statement of Financial Condition, correct?

A Correct.
Q And if I asked you questions about the specific work you did on this particular spreadsheet, no specific knowledge of that work, correct?

A Correct.
Q And if I asked you the same question if I put up the 2018 supporting data spreadsheet for the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition, would your answer still be the same?

A I believe it would, yes.
Q No specific knowledge as to the spreadsheet, correct?
A Correct.
Q No specific knowledge as to work you performed on the supporting data spreadsheet, correct?

A Correct.
Q And if I asked those same questions for 2019, would your answers remain the same?

A Rinse and repeat, yes.
Q If I asked you the same questions for 2020, would your answers remain the same?

A I believe they would, yes.
Q And in 2021, if I asked you those same questions for work you performed on supporting data spreadsheet for the 2021
Statement of Financial Condition, would your answer still be the
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same?
A I believe so.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'm going to show to the witness a document that I don't know has formally been moved into evidence, but may have been and not recorded. It is Plaintiff's Exhibit P X-754. We've discussed it before. I believe Tommy has it, but in case it has not been formally moved into evidence, I would so move.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 754.)

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, your Honor.
Q And Mr. Trump, I'll turn your attention to the third page of this document. You recognize that is your signature, correct?

A I do.
Q You recognize this as the 2017 representation letter to Mazars?
A Yes, it would appear so.
Q Dated October 30, 2017?
A Yes.
Q And before, I asked you a series of questions on the 2016 representation letter to Mazars.

Do you recall those questions that I asked you?
A Yes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Q And you gave me answers as to the assurances that the Trump Organization made to Mazars contained in this representation letter. \\
Do you recall that testimony you provided? \\
A I do, yes. \\
Q And we went over a series of the representations \\
contained in the representation letter and the numbered \\
paragraphs contained within the rep letter. \\
Do you recall those questions about those numbered \\
paragraphs? \\
A Ido. \\
Q And if I went through a similar exercise to ask you \\
those same questions about those same representations, would you \\
still give me the same answer? \\
A I can't think of anything off the top of my head that would change that, so yes. \\
(Continued on the next page.)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
A Yes. \\
Q Dated October 31, 2017? \\
A Correct. \\
Q And, again, this has similar language to what we saw \\
earlier in the prior certification that "the undersigned \\
guarantor certifies to the lender as of the date hereof that as \\
of June 30,2017 the reporting date, point one, that you are \\
providing certain financial information;" right? \\
A Correct. \\
Q And attached there is a guarantor's Statement of \\
Financial Condition as of June 30, 2017. You see that there? \\
A Ido. \\
Q And the certification states "the foregoing presents \\
fairly in all material respects the financial condition of \\
guarantor at the period presented;" correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q And if we turn to page three of 230 . \\
On this document you recognize that as your signature; \\
right? \\
A I do. \\
Q And if I asked you the same questions I asked you about \\
the earlier certification about what steps you took to assure \\
23 yourself of this certification your answer would remain the same \\
24 as it relates to this specific document; correct? \\
25 A It would.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 3249 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Q So no specific testimony you could provide that would distinguish the assurances you made for yourself to sign the 2017 representation letter to Mazars; correct? \\
A Correct. Not that I can recall anything different, yes. \\
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'm going to put up a document that's already been admitted into evidence. It is a big document, PX 1386. It's a family that Mr. Solomon moved in earlier in the trial. \\
A This may take me a few weeks to read. \\
Q Mr. Trump, I've handed you a document. It's a family compilation document. I'll draw your attention on the screen, which may be easier, but the first page I'll draw your attention to is at -- you'll see at the very bottom there is a page two of number, so page 2 of 230 . It's also on the screen. You'll see that -- \\
A Page one. \\
Q Page two? \\
A Yes, okay. \\
Q See at the very bottom? \\
A Yes, exactly. \\
Q Okay. This is another certification to Deutsche Bank; correct? \\
A It would appear so, yes. \\
Q On behalf of the borrower Trump Old Post Office LLC?
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Q Okay. If you turn to page five of 230. \\
A Yes. \\
Q Dated October 31, 2017, another certification to \\
Deutsche Bank on behalf of Trump Endeavor 12 LLC. You see that there? \\
A I do. \\
Q Again, another certification for the financial \\
information; right? \\
A Yes, ma'am. \\
Q Attaching the Statement of Financial Condition; \\
correct? \\
A Yes. \\
Q If you turn to the following page. \\
Again, that is your certification -- your signature for \\
this certification; correct? \\
A Correct. \\
Q If I asked you those same questions your answer would still be the same specifically as it relates to this document? \\
A I believe so, yes. \\
Q Correct that you signed this certification with the intent that the bank would rely on it? \\
A I don't know that they rely on it. I don't -- I know a \\
23 lot of bankers and they do their own due diligence, but I was \\
24 fine signing this based on everything I had been told as per \\
25 everything we've discussed today, yes.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} would rely on it; correct?
A I'm fine with the bank relying on it, yes.
Q And the prior certification I did not ask that question, but same question. You signed that prior certification with the intent that the bank would rely on it?
A Again, I would be fine with them relying on it. I don't know what their intent is.
Q Did you intend for the bank to rely on that certification that you signed?
A I don't know. Again, I think -- I know -- like I said, I know a lot of bankers that do their own due diligence. If they looked at it and that was their -- and if they relied on it, I would be fine with all of the material information that's in those documents.

THE COURT: That doesn't answer the question and the intent isn't the banker's. It's your intent that she is asking about; correct?

MS. FAHERTY: Correct.
A Then I'm not sure I understand. I'm fine with the bank relying on that information.
Q So is that a question you intended for the bank to rely on your certification?
A I don't know that I intended for them to do anything.

Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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I'm fine signing off on it. It's not as simple as yes or no to me.

THE COURT: I'm not going to insist on a yes-or-no answer.

MS. FAHERTY: I think that's as best as he's going to give.

All right. Your Honor, I'm going to put on the screen a document that's already in evidence. It is identified as PX 3285.
Q Mr. Trump, keep that exhibit near you. I'm going to ask questions about it again.

A Sure.
Q Okay. I'll turn your attention to the second page, but I'll just draw your attention to the first page.

The subject of this e-mail, which has an attachment, is
"Ferry Point 2018 No MAC change letter."
You're familiar with Ferry Point; right?
A Iam.
Q And the attachment is a 2017 No MAC letter. Do you know what a No MAC letter is?
A I don't.
Q Let's turn to the second page and see if we can figure
that out. Do you see the date on this document, April 22, 2017?
A Ido.
25 Q Addressed to the City of New York Department of Parks
D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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1 and Recreation; yes?
A Yes.
Q When the "re:" lines says "President Donald J. Trump?" A Yes.
Q Turning to the bottom of this letter do you recognize
your name included as the cc?
A Ido.
8 Q And this letter was sent by Mazars; correct?
A It would appear so.
Q Okay. Drawing your attention to the second paragraph, it states: "While we have not reviewed computations of value since the aforementioned June 30, 2016 financial statement, based on discussions with trustees of Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended and their advisors, we are not aware of any matters that would indicate a significant change in Mr. Trump's net worth as of this date."

You see that language there?
A Ido.
Q What discussions did you have regarding the substance of this paragraph?

A I don't recall any.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'm going to move faster.
I'm going to hand up to the witness a document identified -marked for identification as PX 393.
Q This is another bank certification on Trump
D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty)
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\section*{Organization letterhead for Donald J. Trump; yes?}

A Correct.
Q Turning to the second page of this document, do you recognize that as your signature?

A I do.
Q And then if you turn to the fourth page of this
document, do you recognize your signature again on another certification?
A I do.
Q And then if you turn to the sixth page of this
document, do you recognize your signature again?
A I do.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this packet of certifications into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in or they're in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 393 in evidence.)
Q And Mr. Trump, you see that this packet is a very similar packet of certifications sent to Deutsche Bank. The first is on behalf of Trump Old Post that's on page one of six. Do you see that there?

A Yes, ma'am.
Q And it -- this document contains similar language about the certification that we've seen in the prior bank
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 3254 & D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 3256 \\
\hline 1 certifications; right? & 1 And it's on the screen, Mr. Trump, if that helps. \\
\hline 2 A Correct. & 2 A Ye \\
\hline 3 Q And the second certification, that certification was & 3 Q Okay. Do you recognize that signature when Ashley \\
\hline 4 signed by you in 2018 on behalf of you, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, & 4 scrolls up to it? \\
\hline 5 to Deutsche Bank; yes? & 5 A Id \\
\hline 6 A Correct. & 6 Q That's your signature? \\
\hline 7 Q And the third certification we saw signed on & 7 A Ye \\
\hline 8 October 25, 2018 was certifying to Deutsche Bank on behalf of & 8 Q And if you turn to the page prior this is a \\
\hline 9401 North Wabash? Is it Wabash or Wabash? & 9 certification issued to Deutsche Bank on behalf of the guarantor \\
\hline 10 A Wabash. & 10 Donald J. Trump and the borrower is 401 North Wabash Venture LLC \\
\hline 11 Q Thank you. & 11 dated October 31, 2019; right? \\
\hline 12401 North Wabash Venture LLC; correct? & 12 A I believe so, yes. \\
\hline 13 A Correct. & 13 Q Another certification that you signed; yes? \\
\hline 14 Q And if I asked you the same questions that I asked you & 14 A Yes. \\
\hline 15 about the prior bank certifications would your answers remain & 15 Q And then if we turn to page 101 of 230 , still \\
\hline 16 the same? & 16 October 31, 2019, another certification. This one on behalf of \\
\hline 17 A Yes, ma'am. & 17 Trump Endeavor 12 LLC; correct? \\
\hline 18 Q Again, you intended for the bank to rely on the & 18 A Yes. \\
\hline 19 certifications when you signed them? & 19 Q The following page, that is in fact your signature; \\
\hline 20 A I'm fine with them relying on it, yes. & 20 right? \\
\hline 21 Q Thank you. & 21 A Yes. \\
\hline 22 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I would just like to show & 22 Q And then the following page this is the third \\
\hline 23 another Mazars rep letter, which I'm not sure has formally & 23 certification again dated October 31, 2019, this time on behalf \\
\hline 24 been moved into evidence. So I believe Tommy has it. It's & 24 of Trump Old Post Office LLC; right? \\
\hline 25 PX 841. & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 3255 & D. Trump, Jr. - Plaintiff - direct (Faherty) Page 3257 \\
\hline 1 Q All right. You see that this is another representation & 1 Q Same certifications as the prior certifications \\
\hline 2 letter drafted by the Trump Organization issued to Mazars dated & 2 attaching the Statement of Financial Condition of the respective \\
\hline 3 October 31, 2019; correct? & 3 year, correct? \\
\hline 4 A Correct. & 4 A I believe so, yes. \\
\hline \(5 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And on page three of this document that is your & 5 Q And the following page that is in fact your signature \\
\hline 6 signature; right? & 6 certifying; correct? \\
\hline 7 A Yes. & 7 A Yes. \\
\hline 8 MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this & 8 Q And if I asked you the same questions that I had \\
\hline 9 formally into evidence if it was not previously admitted. & 9 previously asked you as to those bank certifications any \\
\hline 10 THE COURT: Granted. & 10 specific difference as it pertains to these particular \\
\hline 11 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you. & 11 certifications in 2019? \\
\hline 12 (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is & 12 A None that I can recall, no. \\
\hline 13 received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 841 in & 13 Q One second, Mr. Trump. I apologize. \\
\hline 14 evidence.) & 14 A No problem. \\
\hline 15 Q If I asked you the same questions you have provided -- & 15 MS. FAHERTY: I'm just going to show the witness PX \\
\hline 16 you would provide me the same answers as we discussed earlier & 161354 and confirm it was already admitted into evidence. \\
\hline 17 with regards to the representation letters, Mr. Trump? & 17 This is the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition for \\
\hline 18 A I believe so, yes. I can't think of anything off the & 18 Mr. Donald J. Trump. If we could turn to the second page. \\
\hline 19 top of my head that changed. & 19 Q In this particular year, correct that the Statement of \\
\hline 20 Q Thank you. You can put that down. & 20 Financial Condition was compiled by the Whitley Penn accounting \\
\hline 21 Just so that we could turn back to it, I had you hold & 21 firm; yes? \\
\hline 22 near you PX 1386, and I'll draw your attention to page 100 of & 22 A I believe that's correct, yes. \\
\hline 23 230. I told you it's voluminous. & 23 Q If we turn to the next page of the Independent \\
\hline 24 A It's a big one. & 24 Accountants' Compilation Report. It is dated October 29, 2021; \\
\hline 25 Q It's a big one. & 25 correct? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 A Yes.
2 Q You were still trustee at this time; yes?
3 A Correct.
4 Q If we turn back to the first line -- first --
Trust?"
A Yes.
Q "Are responsible for the accompanying personal
financial statement;" yes?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And again, the rest of the questions that I
asked as to statements of financial condition as it pertains to
this particular Statement of Financial Condition, no specific
response that is different than any of your other responses
related to the statements of financial condition?
A This was Whitley Penn, but no. Other than that, I
can't think of anything different.
Q Thank you.
THE COURT: "Thank you" is okay. It's polite. Not
"great," not, you know, "terrific." "Okay" I rather you
left it out, probably easier on the reporter. It might show
some sort of confirmation.
MS. FAHERTY: I'm confirming for myself, Judge. I
got it. Thank you, Your Honor.
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            THE COURT: Thank you is okay.
        Q All right. I'm going to put up the JSD, Plaintiff's
    Exhibit 1501. It is a spreadsheet in support of the 2021
    Statement of Financial Condition.
        No specific recollection of this particular document;
    right, Mr. Trump?
    A Not that I recall, correct.
    Q And if I asked you the same questions that I asked you
    about the other prior supporting data spreadsheets for the
    Statement of Financial Condition as it specifically pertains to
    the 2021 supporting data spreadsheet, same response?
        A I believe so, yes.
            MS. FAHERTY: Tommy, if we could get PX 1502.
        Q Turn your attention to the third page of this document.
        You recognize that signature as your signature?
            A Yes, ma'am.
            Q As the trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust?
            A Correct.
            Q Dated October 29, 2021?
            A Correct.
            Q Turning to the first page, this is a representation
    letter this time addressed to Whitley Penn LLP; correct?
        A Correct.
        Q Dated October 29, 2021?
        A Correct.

THE COURT: Thank you is okay.
Q All right. I'm going to put up the JSD, Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1501. It is a spreadsheet in support of the 2021
Statement of Financial Condition.
No specific recollection of this particular document; right, Mr. Trump?

A Not that I recall, correct.
Q And if I asked you the same questions that I asked you
about the other prior supporting data spreadsheets for the
the 2021 supporting data spreadsheet, same response?
A I believe so, yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Tommy, if we could get PX 1502.
Q Turn your attention to the third page of this document.
ou recognize that signature as your signature?
A Yes, ma'am.
Q As the trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust?
A Correct.
Q Dated October 29, 2021?
A Correct.
Q Turning to the first page, this is a representation
letter this time addressed to Whitley Penn LLP; correct?
A Correct.
Q Dated October 29, 2021?
A Correct.

Q You'll see that similar to the Mazars representation letter there are certain assurances contained within this representation letter; yes?
A Yes.
Q And, again, similar to the Mazars letter you'll see that there are assurances in numbered paragraphs. You'll see that there is number one at the top of your screen and it numbers two through five fill out the bottom of this first page. Do you see those assurances?
A I do.
Q And then it continues on to page two. There are a total of 22 numbered paragraphs of assurances provided to Whitley Penn; correct?
A Yes.
Q And if I asked you the same questions I did -- as I
previously did as to the assurances you made to be able to sign
this representation letter to Whitley Penn would your answer
remain the same as it specifically relates to Whitley Penn, this document in front of you?
A I believe so. I can't think of anything that changed off the top of my head.
Q Okay. Mr. Trump, I'm going to put a document on the screen already in evidence. It is identified as PX 134, and I will draw your attention to page six of eight.
A Yes.
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Q It says, "Agreed client Trump Briarcliff Manor
Development LLC" and then there is a signature. Do you recognize that signature as yours?

A I do.
Q Are you familiar with this particular representation letter -- engagement letter with Cushman \& Wakefield?

A No, not to my recollection.
Q Are you familiar with Cushman \& Wakefield, though; right?

A I am, yes.
Q Are you aware of the purpose of the appraisal identified in this engagement letter?
A I'd have to read it, but I don't recall seeing this one before, so not off the top of my head, no.

Q I'll draw your attention to the first page. It is
dated June 3, 2015 addressed to Mr. Eric Trump, your brother; correct?
A Correct.
Q At Trump Briarcliff Manor Development LLC; right?
A Correct.
Q Are you familiar with Trump Briarcliff Manor
Development LLC?
A Generally speaking, yes.
Q And it says, "re: conservation easement on parcel owned
by Trump Briarcliff Manor Development LLC." Do you see that?
        A I recall it vaguely now, but I don't remember the
    specifics.
        Q Then why are you signing this document?
        A Because I signed it as an EVP of the organization. I
    imagine my brother could have signed it as well if it came to
    me, but it was his project. He would work on it and he would
    know the details.

MS. FAHERTY: This is a big one. It is not yet in evidence, Your Honor.

Your Honor, I've handed to the witness a document
that's been marked for identification as PX-3306.
Q Mr. Trump, I'm not going to ask you to go through the whole thing.

A I appreciate that greatly. Thank you.
Q Plenty of reading for the week.
I'll just draw your attention to page 34 of 381 , so
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look at the bottom. It says 34 of 381 . And tell me if you recognize that signature there?

A That's my signature.
Q Okay. On behalf of Trump Ferry Point LLC; correct?
A Correct.
Q Your title is the president?
A Correct.
Q And this is the agreement of purchase and sale and
escrow instructions with regards to the Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park?

A Correct.
Q There was a transaction to sell this to Bally's; correct?

A Correct.
Q This transaction did in fact close; right?
A Yes.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit PX 3306 into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Ground.
MR. ROBERT: Relevance of a 2023 transaction.
There is no allegation of anything improper about a 2023 transaction. It's not part of their case.

THE COURT: And what would the relevance be, if any?

MS. FAHERTY: I believe Ferry Point is relevant to the disgorgement allegations we have here, Your Honor. That sale is available for disgorgement.

MR. ROBERT: The procurement of this contract was well before the statute of limitations even started and now we have a transaction that closed a few months ago. It's completely and utterly irrelevant, Your Honor.

THE COURT: How would that fit into the disgorgement claim?

MS. FAHERTY: The sale -- as we've already seen and as this witness in particular testified, there were No MAC letters relying upon the statements of financial condition sent into the Ferry Point -- to the Parks Department. That information was --

THE COURT: Hold it. The objection overruled and there is no jury, so no prejudice. Even if it becomes irrelevant, even if it turns out it's irrelevant. It's in evidence.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiffs Exhibit Number 3306 in evidence.)
Q Did I hand up to you the next exhibit, PX 3304, sir?
A Yes.
Q So this is a document on Trump Ferry Point LLC

Page 3265

\section*{letterhead; yes?}

A Yes.
Q Dated June 26, 2023?
A Correct.
Q This is the "re:" line says: "Agreement of purchase and sale and escrow instructions dated as of the date hereof, the PSA, by and between Trump Ferry Point LLC or (Trump) and Bally's Corporation or (Bally's) in connection with the property known as Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park." Do you see that there?

A I do.
Q And turning to page three of four, do you recognize that as your signature, sir?
A I do.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this document into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Same objection, Your Honor, and a continuing objection to this line of questioning.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, let me just see if I understand. I'm little lost as to how this relates to disgorgement. Based on what Mr. Robert said it predates the statute of limitations, the close of the contract and then the -- this transaction postdates the allegations in the complaint. Is it the government's intention to assert that a property that was a landfill that the Trump family took
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\hline D. TRUMP, JR. - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY) Page 3270 & D. TRUMP, JR. - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY) Page 3272 \\
\hline Q Just turning to the first page, can you confirm for me & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 that this is a rider dated as of January 17, 2017, correct? & 2 Q There's information related to that box under the \\
\hline 3 A & 3 \\
\hline MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I move to admit this & 4 A Cor \\
\hline 5 documen & 5 Q And the memo is dated February 14, 2018. However, am I \\
\hline 6 THE COURT: Granted. It is & 6 reading it correctly? This is a reflection of the 2017 year, \\
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basis?
A I don't recall that, but if I said it, I imagine that's accurate.
Q Okay. And do you recall that this information you
previously testified or a similar memo to, it was provided also
while Ivanka was still employed at the organization?
A I believe so, yes.
Q You don't have any specific recollection as to when you
began receiving this information, correct?
A No.
Q Am I reading this correctly? The subject of this memo
is "re: 2017 corporate modified cash flow projection versus actual."

A Yes.
Q And contained in this document are a few categories, for example, there is a box in the middle of the screen or if you're just looking at the page, it is at the top third of the page. That first box says, "business entities"?
A Yes.
Q And there's information related to that box "business entities," correct.

A Correct.
Q And then if you scroll a little further down, there's
24 another box, this one is a white box, and it says, "non-business
25 entities," right?
D. TRUMP, JR. - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY)
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document into evidence.
THE COURT: Your microphone is not working.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, it is dead.
THE COURT: Bring it back to life. All right. Let's -- we'll take our break now because it may take a while to fix.

MS. FAHERTY: I move to admit it.
THE COURT: Granted. I could hear that.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
THE COURT: It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1454.)

THE COURT: Let's all come back in 15 minutes.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: For an administrative reason, we are going to break at 12:45. Let's continue with the direct examination.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Trump, when did you learn about the Attorney General's investigation in the accuracy of the Statements of Financial Condition?

1 A I don't specifically recall.
2 Q You did at some point learn about the investigation?
3 A I imagine so, yes.
4 Q When you learned about the investigation, did you in particular undertake any internal inquiry into the accuracy of the Statements of Financial Condition?

MR. ROBERT: Objection, Your Honor. To the extent that this would call upon the witness to discuss anything that was discussed with myself or any other counsel for him individually, a co-defendant or the Trump Organization, I'm going to instruct him not to answer that.

THE COURT: All right. We'll see what the individual questions are.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. FAHERTY: There is still a question pending, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh.
A I imagine I would have spoken with counsel, correct.
THE COURT: Did you speak with any non-counsel?
Q Other than speaking with counsel, did you speak with anyone?

A I don't even recall necessarily speaking with counsel. Though, I'm sure I would have.

Q Did you direct others at the company to
undertake -- do not tell me about any specific discussions with
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counsel. I'll give you that as my preface.
Did you direct others at the company to undertake any inquiry into the accuracy of the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Again, anything would have been done through counsel.
Q Is that a no?
A I don't recall specifically, but anything would have
been done through counsel, therefore, privileged.
Q Did anyone, to your knowledge, review the work
performed by Allen Weisselberg on the Statements of Financial Condition?

MR. ROBERT: At what point in time?
Q After you learned about the New York Attorney General's investigation.

MR. ROBERT: Outside of any involvement with counsel, I presume.

THE COURT: Yes. Yes, but at any point in time.
A I don't specifically recall.
Q Still focusing on the same time frame, did anyone
review the work performed by Jeffrey McConney on the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Same answer.
Q Have you, Mr. Trump, formed any view about whether Mr. Weisselberg or Mr. McConney performed their work on the Statements of Financial Condition in an appropriate manner from

2014 through 2021?

2
3

A I actually think the things are materially correct.
Q So is it fair to say that they performed their work as
you expected and the "they" I'm referring to are Mr.'s McConney
and Weisselberg?
A What's the timeframe?
Q Same timeframe.
A Can you give me the dates again?
Q 2014 to 2021.
A Yes, I believe so. And, you know, again, they worked in conjunction with Donald Bender who candidly during that period of time since I was doing a lot of international deals probably spent more time in our offices than I did and so between them, I do believe that's still, again, materially accurate.
Q Are you aware of any instances between 2014 and 2021 where either Mr. Weisselberg or Mr. McConney failed to follow any instructions from you in their work on the Statements of Financial Condition?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. The witness said he was not involved in the Statement of Financial Condition, so he wouldn't have been giving them instructions on the Statement of Financial Condition.

THE COURT: Can I get a readback of the question?
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was

\section*{D. TRUMP, JR. - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MS. FAHERTY)}
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\section*{read back.)}

THE COURT: And the objection is what, that he testified he had nothing to do with the Statements of Financial Condition?

MR. ROBERT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Trump, did you have anything to do with the Statements of Financial Condition?

THE WITNESS: No, I did not, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Q Have you undertaken any changes to the policies and procedures of the Trump Organization as a result of the Attorney General's investigation? And please do not provide me any discussions with counsel.

MR. KISE: Objection. It would also go to, in addition to attorney-client privilege, which I know she's not asking, to the subsequent remedial measures discussion we had previously. I don't remember with which witness.

THE COURT: We're familiar with the subsequent remedial measures point. Is there a way around that or not?

THE WITNESS: I think I can thread the needle. There have been policy changes that have been made. Those have been done through counsel, so I can't get into the details of that. But yes, there are, you know, prior policies and methodologies have certainly been bolstered. Q Sorry. Which specific policies?

1

A Again, I don't want to get into the details because these things and structures were done with counsel, but Mark Hawthorn who is now in the similar CFO position is an actual CPA, et cetera, et cetera. So there are things I just -- I got to be careful where I go without getting into things that have been structured by legal counsel.

MR. WALLACE: I think to the extent there are changes in how they perform, that is not advice of counsel. You cannot change from a CPA to -- from a non-CPA to a CPA/CFO and claim "I can't talk about that because this is privileged." So if there are changes to policies, he's free to talk about that, not about conversations he had with counsel that led to the changes.

MR. ROBERT: That would still go to a subsequent remedial measure taken in light of a governmental investigation. It would be something the witness would not be required to have to testify about.

MR. WALLACE: I would just make two points on the subsequent remedial measures. A subsequent remedial measure undertaken as an obligation of law is actually admissible as to liability. The second point is that this inquiry can go to something more than just liability. It is still relevant to any injunctive relief the Court may choose to fashion in order to if there are policies or procedures at the Trump Organization that need to be changed as a result of this
relevant to any relief --
THE COURT: Remedy.
MR. ROBERT: I would respectfully disagree with that. The concept of a subsequent remedial measure is to encourage parties to change their practices in light of when something comes to light without fear that the changing of those processes are then going to be used in any way and the witness be required to testify about it.

To Mr. Wallace's second point, that's why there is a monitor there right now. So this is something I would respectfully suggest to the Court the witness not be required to have to answer. Certainly, we can all agree the issues that we dealt with with counsel are off limits. Now, we are talking to the second bucket which may be what, if any, subsequent remedial measures were taken without the assistance of counsel, but my objection still stands.

MR. KISE: One other thing I just want to recite. The Dominos case which was the case that involved this very circumstance and what the court said there about actions taken in response to a government investigation. That's the only case we could find. I believe I cited that case to Your Honor the last time we had this issue arise on subsequent remedial measures.

So actions taken in response to an investigation by

1 the Attorney General or response to an investigation by law 2 enforcement would fall squarely within the subsequent 3 remedial measures category even though most of the time it 4 comes up as -- I believe we had this colloquy before. It 5 comes up in the context of personal injury actions and
medical/malpractice actions and things like that, but the rule would, nonetheless, apply here.

MR. WALLACE: I would add it is not a privilege. It merely prohibits the changes in procedures and being used as evidence of negligence or liability, but it is a fact that the Court can consider as it is fashioning a remedy as to what might happen in the future and who needs to be responsible for those changes.

MR. KISE: If the inquiry -- two things on that. First of all, there are no Statements of Financial Condition being prepared anymore. So that dispenses with a large measure of the issue about subsequent remedial measures because it is not happening.

But separately from that, what Mr. Wallace is talking about is if in response to the inquiry we take a specific action, that's one thing. If the government intends to ask questions about what exactly it is we're doing now, just not in response to any particular inquiry, but they had Mark Hawthorn on the stand. Mr. Hawthorn is a CPA. If they ask, "How do you do \(X, Y, Z\) accounting? "How

1 do you that?" That's a matter entirely different than 2 something done in response to the government's
investigation, something done subsequent as a remedial measure.

If they're going to inquire of witnesses, maybe not this witness, because I don't know that he would know they can ask, but if they're going to inquire about what practices are taking place today, so that the Court can ensure itself that going forward, for example, there aren't going to be any Statements of Financial Condition, or there won't be any issues associated with Statements of Financial Condition, then that's a different line of questioning altogether and that doesn't relate to the question that was asked.

MR. WALLACE: I'll just say if they want to call in Mr. Hawthorn on their case and explain how the processes are working there, they are free to do that. This is the executive vice-president of the company. He and his brother run the company. It is perfectly appropriate for us to ask the persons running the company what they are doing to oversee the financial function of this firm and what they did when they found out that there were allegations of fraud from a government agency.

I don't think what weight Your Honor decides to afford it and how Your Honor decides to use it is not a
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named Mark Hawthorn.
A Yes.
Q What is Mr. Hawthorn's title?
A Now CFO of the Trump Organization.
Q When did that occur?
A I don't remember the exact date.
Q Since 2021?
A I imagine so, yes.
Q Why is 2021 the date that you would recall --
A It's not. You asked me since 2021. I believe that's the case.

Q Was it before 2021?
A Not that I recall.
Q Is there a specific event that you recall occurred in connection with the change of title from Mark Hawthorn to becoming the CFO of the Trump Organization?
A I guess Allen Weisselberg not being in that position anymore.

MS. FAHERTY: Nothing further, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Will there be any cross-examination at this time?

MR. ROBERT: Not at this time, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Witness is excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Mr. Trump.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Witness excused.)
MR. ROBERT: For logistical reasons, a couple-minutes break while we bring in the next witness.

THE COURT: Sure. Approximately how long are you thinking?

MR. ROBERT: Two or three minutes. I didn't want you to wait in silence.

THE COURT: You get five.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Judge. We'll take five then.
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
THE COURT: Plaintiff, would you like it call your next witness?

MR. AMER: Yes, Your Honor. The People call Eric Trump. If I could make a quick request as the witness is taking the stand.

THE COURT: Sure. Go ahead.
MR. AMER: This is for Mr. Kise. Given the witness, if we are going to have a lengthy evidentiary objection, and I know the Court is prepared to allow that, I would ask that we excuse the witness if that happens.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll keep that in mind. ERICTRUMP, a witness called by the Plaintiff, after having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, took the
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\section*{witness stand and testified as follows:}

THE COURT OFFICER: State your name and either home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Eric Trump, 115 Eagle Terrace Lane, Jupiter, Florida.

THE COURT: Okay. Please proceed.
MR. AMER: Thank you.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. AMER:
Q Good morning, Mr. Trump. Am I correct that you graduated from Georgetown University with a degree in business finance in \(2006 ?\)

A Yes.
Q And you started to work for your family business a couple of months after graduating from college, right?
A I did.
Q And are you fine to refer to the family business in a very general sense as the Trump Organization?

A Yes, I am.
Q And the Trump Organization is used as a kind of general high level idea as to what the collection of the Trump-related entities are called; is that right?
A That's correct.
Q And that collection of companies likely consists of hundreds of entities, right?

\section*{A That's right.}

Q When you began at the Trump Organization, am I correct that your job title was something along the lines of project manager?
A That's correct.
Q And at some later point in time you became the executive vice-president or EVP, right?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q Do you recall that's sometime before 2014?
A That sounds correct.
Q And during the period of time from when you became an executive vice-president until January of 2017 just prior to your father's inauguration, is it fair to view the Trump Organization hierarchy as a pyramid that had your father at the top?

A My father was the owner of the business, yes.
THE COURT: I'll ask the witness to speak very close to the microphone. They're very temperamental. Speak close, directly and loudly.
Q I know you just said your father is the owner of the business, yes. That wasn't my question. My question was is it fair to say that we could view the Trump Organization during that period, the hierarchy at the company as a pyramid with your father on the top?

A Yes.
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Q And during this period that you were EVP up through January 2017, did you report to your father?
A Yes.
Q Did you report to anyone else during that period of time other than your father?
A I worked with many people, but ultimately reported to my father.

Q And just again, did you report to anyone else other
than your father? I'm not asking whether you worked with them,
but just whether you reported to them.
A My father would have been my direct report.
Q And your only direct report, correct?
A Yes, that sounds right.
Q And during this period up again through January 2017, were Donald Trump, Jr. and Ivanka Trump also vie-presidents?

A Yes.
Q Were they at the same level in the company hierarchy as you during that period?

A Yes.
Q During this period, again, up through January 2017, where in the hierarchy was Allen Weisselberg?

A He was executive vice-president.
Q So he would have been at the same level as you and your brother and sister, correct?

A Yes.

Q And where in the hierarchy during this period was Jeffrey McConney?

A Jeffrey McConney would have been directly under Allen Weisselberg.
Q I know you mentioned Mr. Weisselberg was an executive vice-president. He also had the title of chief financial officer, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And Mr. McConney had the title of controller, right?
A That sounds correct, yes.
Q Now, moving to the period from January 2017 to
January -- the period between January 2017 and January 2021, during that period when your father had assumed the office of the presidency, is it correct that he no longer sat at the top of the pyramid?
A That is correct.
Q And during the same time period, is it fair to say that you ran the day-to-day operations of the Trump Organization along with Donald J. Trump, Jr. and Allen Weisselberg, correct?
A Yes, I ran the operations of the Trump Organization.
Q With those two individuals, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And those two individuals, Donald Trump, Jr. and Allen Weisselberg, were both trustees of your father's revocable trust during that time period?
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A They were the two trustees, yes.
Q During this period, did you have anyone who you reported to?
A I did not, no.
Q Subsequent to your father's term ending in
January 2021, is it fair to say that he largely relies on you and your brother Donald Trump, Jr. and the other executives of the company to run the business?
A Yes, my father relied on me.
Q My question is a little differently.
A Let me repeat. My father relied on me and the two trustees to run the business, yes.
Q And during this post-January 2021 period, is it fair to say that if your father directed you to take some course of action with respect to the Trump Organization, you would follow his directive, right?
A I wouldn't sell Mar-A-Lago without asking my father, no.
Q I would like my question to be broader if you wouldn't mind.
A If my father directed me to take an action post him leaving the presidency, he's the owner of the company, yes, I'd follow his directive.
Q Thank you.
You're familiar with the Seven Springs Estate in
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Page 3291 \\
\hline 1 & A Okay. \\
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Westchester, correct?
A Yes, I am.
Q And in fact, you lived there during the summers in high school working on the property and learning a lot about life during that time, right?
A Some of the best years of my life.
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q And you had certain responsibilities for the property, correct?
A Many years later, yes.
Q Fair to say that starting in 2012, you had some
involvement with the property from an operational standpoint?
A Starting in 2012, I started to be involved in the property, yes.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up the 2013 Jeff Supporting Data, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 708 in evidence.
Q We're going to go to Row 633. You'll see that that is the row in this supporting data spreadsheet where Seven Springs starts. Do you see that?
A I do. Can you be clear as to what the spreadsheet is?
Q Sure. We've had testimony from Mr. McConney that this is his supporting data spreadsheet that he used to compute values for the Statement of Financial Condition.
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A Okay.
Q And Mr. McConney notes in Row 638, you'll see, he says, "6/30/2012, per telephone conversation with Eric Trump September 24, 2012."

Mr. Trump, do you have any recollection of that phone call?
A No, I don't.
Q Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. McConney
ould reference a conversation with you if it didn't happen?
A Absolutely not.
Q In fact, people in the company have conversations with provide them with answers when you can, correct?

A Almost every single day.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q Now, Row 640, Mr. McConney notes a second telephone conversation with you on August 20th of 2013.

Do you have any recollection of having a call with him on that date about Seven Springs?
A I don't have specific recollection as to a telephone conversation on that date, no.
Q And again, do you have any reason to believe that
Mr. McConney would reference a conversation with you as noted here if it didn't happen?
A I do not.
Q Is it the case, Mr. Trump, that as of the dates of
these two telephone calls with Mr. McConney that he indicates he
had with you, you understood in this time frame that he needed
from you information to assist him in valuing Seven Springs for your father's Statement of Financial Condition?
A That's incorrect. I never had anything to do with the Statement of Financial Condition.
Q Just to be clear then, is it your testimony that in the time frame of the two calls he notes he had with you you had no
knowledge of your father's Statement of Financial Condition. Is that your testimony?
A People ask me questions all the time, but I never worked on the Statement of Financial Condition, correct.

Q Well, let's be clear because my question was different than the one you answered.

MR. AMER: Can I have a read back?
THE COURT: We can have a read back, but I'll direct the witness like I very often do, if there is a yes-or-no question and you can answer it yes or no, please do so. If you need to explain an answer, then you can and we can go from there.

And a read back now.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A I don't believe I ever saw or worked on a Statement of Financial Condition. I don't believe I would have had knowledge of \(i t\).
Q Is it your testimony then that you had no knowledge in this time frame, that is by September 2012, that your father had a Statement of Financial Condition valuing his assets and showing his net worth?
A It's not what I did do for the company, so --
THE COURT: That was classic yes or no and you're not answering yes or no.

You wand a read back?
MR. AMER: I'd like a read back, please.
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A Not to the best of my understanding.

1 Q And I just want to nail this down because I appreciate memories fade. Could this be a situation where you were in fact aware back in this time frame that your father had a Statement of Financial Condition and just now don't recall or are you telling us with certainty that in this time frame you were not aware that your father had a Statement of Financial Condition?

A I think I was 26 years old at the time. I don't recall what I knew at the time, but as I said, I am unaware of the
Statement of Financial Condition. I never worked on it at the time and I didn't know anything about it really until this case came into fruition.
Q And are you telling us then that in the time frame of these two calls with Mr. McConney in September of 2012 and August of 2013 that you had no understanding that Mr. McConney needed information to assist him in valuing Seven Springs for the purpose of your father's Statement of Financial Condition?

A I believe that would be correct, yes.
Q Mr. Trump, you're familiar with the golf club owned by the trust in Charlotte, North Carolina; right?

A Yes, I am.
Q And do you recall that the club was purchased in the first half of 2012 by the Trump Organization?
A Yes, very familiar.
Q I'm going to hand to you what we're marking as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3329.
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1
\(4 \quad\) Q Do you recall who Mr. Grove is?
5 A Very vaguely, possibly a board member at the club at the time.

Q If you look at the second page of the exhibit you'll see at the very bottom it says "board of directors" and
Mr. Grove is listed as one of them, so your recollection is correct. He was a board member, right?

A That appears to be correct, yes.
Q And he's a board member of the Point Lake and Golf Club if you look at the first page which is the memo that's in this e-mail. Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q And do you recall that the Point Lake and Golf Club was the name of the Charlotte club prior to being purchased by the Trump Organization?

A I believe that's correct, yes.
Q And he's forwarding to you an e-mail that he says was sent to members the prior day; right?

A I presume that's correct.
Q Well, that's what it says. He says, "Eric, I wanted to confirm that the following e-mail was sent to our members yesterday. Dick;" right?
member-owned club as referenced in the first indented point?
A Yes, I do.
Q And the second option is the sale of the club assets to the Trump Organization. Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q And do you remember that those were the two options? A Yes.
Q And the last sentence in the paragraph says Trump
drafted a few and approved all statements relating to their offer. Do you see that? You can see it on the screen. I've highlighted it.

A Yes, I do.
Q You were involved in putting together that offer, isn't that right?

A I was involved in negotiation of this deal, yes.
Q Just to be precise because my question asked about putting together the offer. You were involved in putting together the offer that's being referenced here; right?

A I don't remember. I was involved in the negotiating of several business points of the deal and some of this vote, but I don't remember if it I was the one that put together the materials for our offer.
Q Would you have been one of the people to have approved all of the statements relating to the offer that was provided by the company to the members of the club?

1 A It's possible that I would have been one of the people who would have seen them, sure.
Q I didn't say "see." I said "approved."
A I guess it depends on what the actual item in the offer
was. I imagine there could have been hundreds of developments
that went into that, but I mean, if you could be a little more
specific I think it would be easier for me to answer the question.
Q Were you one of the key people negotiating this deal on behalf of the Trump Organization?

A Yes, I was.
Q Okay. Let's go to the second page of the exhibit and the paragraph beginning in bold, "the straw vote." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Okay. It says that "if the members vote in favor of the Trump plans, the fully negotiated final terms and conditions will be brought back to the members for consideration and a binding vote in February and March. If members vote for a member-owned club, negotiations with Trump will end." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q And that was your understanding at the time; right?
A Yes, we had to get a vote of the members.
25 Q And the negotiations did in fact move forward based on
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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how the straw poll vote turned out; right?
2 A It was overwhelmingly positive for us, yes.
3 Q So the negotiations went forward based on how the straw poll vote turned out; correct?
A Yes.
6 Q And one of the other board members is Philip Delk. Do you see his name in the bottom?

A Yes, I do.
Q Do you recall communicating with Mr. Delk about moving
forward with the member vote after the straw poll?
A I don't, but it's very possible.
Q Do you recall that he was the board member tasked with conducting due diligence on the Trump Organization for purposes of reporting to the club members in advance of the members' vote?
A Yes, I believe he was that person.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark as exhibit Plaintiffs 3333.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, just for the record I object to the whole line of questioning and have a continuing objection on the statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: I understand that, yes.
Q Mr. Trump, do you see that this is an e-mail to Allen
Weisselberg cc'd to you and others from Mr. Delk?
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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first paragraph of this. Says, "On February 13, 2012, Phil Delk reviewed a financial statement prepared by a CPA firm reflecting Donald Trump's net worth. Can we agree that's a reference to your father's Statement of Financial Condition?

A I really don't want to speculate. I could assume, because I don't -- I prefer not to speculate.

Q Well, you were one of the principal people involved in the negotiation of the purchase of this club; right?
A Yes.
Q And you recall Mr. Delk was the board member who was doing the due diligence on the deal; right?
A Yes.
Q And this says he reviewed a financial statement prepared by a CPA firm reflecting Donald Trump's net worth. Are you telling us that you are not in a position to understand that this was your father's Statement of Financial Condition?

A I'm just saying I don't want to speculate there is a lot of financial statements that are prepared by CPAs. It's addressed to clearly not me. It's addressed to somebody else and clearly this is Phil Delk's recollection. I just -- I don't want to confirm or deny either way. I just don't want to speculate.
Q And I don't want you to speculate either. So that's why my question is very simple.

Are you telling us you are not in a position to recognize the document described here as your father's Statement of Financial Condition? Is that your --
A I am not in that position. This is 12 years ago. I have no idea.

Q It continues on. It says the statement was not signed by the CPA firm giving an opinion that it accurately reflected Donald Trump's net worth, but that it showed the assets, liabilities for Donald Trump and noted any material omissions. The financial statement reflected that the Trump Organization has eliminated significant amounts of debt over the past years.

Having looked at that paragraph, are you still telling us that you are not in a position to recognize that the document referred to here is your father's Statement of Financial Condition?
A It's possible, sir. I just don't want to speculate.
Q I am going to ask you to answer my question yes or no because I think --

MS. HABBA: Objection. Asked and answered.
MR. AMER: I think it was a yes-or-no question asked. Can I have a read back?

THE COURT: Well, let's compromise. Let's try one more time. I believe it was asked and answered, but just ask -- a read back or a rephrasing or repetition, whatever you want, Mr. Amer.

Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
MR. AMER: Sure.
Q I've just now read that first paragraph. Is it your testimony, sir, that you are not able to confirm in your own mind that what's being referred to here is your father's Statement of Financial Condition?
A I cannot confirm because it doesn't sound like I was physically there and I don't want to speculate.

Q The next paragraph indicates "the cash and cash equivalents were in the hundreds of millions, the assets in the billions -- billion of dollars were more than ten times the liabilities on the financial statement." You see that?

A I do.
Q Okay. And you're still unclear about whether this is referring to your father's financial statement?

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
THE COURT: Overruled. He did introduce further --
MS. HABBA: Okay, but he's testified that he
doesn't -- this letter wasn't to him. He doesn't know.
He's going to try and get him to obviously authenticate it, but I think his testimony still stands. I'm just stating my objection for the record.

MR. AMER: It's already in evidence, Your Honor.
MS. HABBA: Then I'm not really sure what we're doing.

MR. AMER: It will become clear very soon.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.
A It is very possible that it was the statement. I just don't know because this wasn't directed at me.

Q You, in fact, worked with Mr. Weisselberg to arrange to have Mr. Delk review your father's Statement of Financial Condition as part of his due diligence efforts for the sale of the club, isn't that right?
A I absolutely probably would have been the person who made the introduction to Allen Weisselberg, yes.

Q Not my question.
A I think it was.
MR. AMER: Can I have a read back, Your Honor? Because that wasn't my question.

THE COURT: It didn't answer the question.
Let's just read it back.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A I have no recollection at this time.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Plaintiff's Exhibit 1092.
Q Mr. Trump, you'll see this is an e-mail chain where Mr. Delk e-mails Mr. Weisselberg copied to you on February 13, 2012 and Mr. Weisselberg asks you a question that you then respond to in the top e-mail. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
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MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move that this be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1092 in evidence.)
Q Now, the bottom e-mail from Mr. Delk to Mr. Weisselberg that you're copied on, the first paragraph says, "thank you again for taking the time to meet with me today and answer my questions. I was on the way to LaGuardia, two additional questions occurred to me," and then he asks those questions.

Do you recall that Mr. Delk in doing his due diligence traveled to the Trump Organization offices to review information for that effort?
A I do not.
Q Mr. Weisselberg -- well, before I get there. You would agree with me that based on his e-mail to you and Mr. Weisselberg that is in fact the case?
A Yes, it looks like that.
Q And Mr. Weisselberg writes to you "before I respond I want to make sure you and your dad are still going forward with this deal. Your dad did not sound very upbeat about the deal. He spoke about how little we would make and that he did not see
himself going to NC, etc."
You see that e-mail from Mr. Weisselberg to you; right?
A Yes, I do.
Q And then you respond confirming that in fact the deal is going to go forward; right?
A Yes, that's what I responded.
Q And is it fair to say as you indicate in your response to Mr. Weisselberg that you consider the deal to purchase the club to be close at hand and that you wanted to get it done?

A Well, my exact words "because we had bigger fish to fry, but we have it on the one yard line."

Q And I wasn't trying to use your exact words. I was just asking you if you would agree that what you're saying here is that the deal is close at hand and you wanted to get it done; right?

A Yes.
Q Just going back, we had looked at the draft, due
diligence summary that was attached to the prior exhibit. You recall that?
A Yes, I do.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Exhibit 1091.
Q You'll see these are a series of e-mail exchanges among
you, Mr. Delk and Mr. Weisselberg; right?
A Yes, I do.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this into
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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evidence.
MR. ROBERT: Same objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. It's in. (Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1091 in evidence.)
Q If you'll look at page two of this document, the bottom e-mail, this is the same e-mail we had just looked at before that attached the due diligence summary; correct?

A That's correct.
Q Then you actually on the bottom of page one respond to that e-mail directly to Mr. Delk; right?

A Yes, I did.
Q And you did at the time review Mr. Delk's due diligence summary as indicated in your response to him about it; right?

A It sounds like it if it was attached to that e-mail.
Q Not my question.
Based on your response to him at the bottom of this page?

A Yes, it looks like that.
Q You received and read Mr. Delk's due diligence summary that he attached to his e-mail; right?
A Yes, it appears that way.
Q The one that referenced the Statement of Financial Condition; right?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. It did not reference a Statement of Financial Condition.
A The one that reference the financials, yes.
THE COURT: Well, he seems to think it did.
MR. ROBERT: I want to make sure it is a
distinction. It doesn't talk about a Statement of Financial Condition. Fair enough.
Q And here's what you write to Mr. Delk:
"Phil, sorry to be brash, but it was not the intent that a summary would be circulated to the members. The point of the exercise and the intent of our conversation was to give you and the board comfort that our personal financials have been reviewed on behalf of the members. This exercise was bound by confidentiality which is why we did it in person and was intended for you to be able to convey that you have reviewed our financials and mention that without question we have the financial wherewithal to purchase, renovate and operate this asset. I trust you understand and would appreciate you giving me your assurance that this will not be distributed."

That was your response to Mr. Delk after reviewing his draft due diligence report for the members; right?

A Yes.
Q And Mr. Delk responds in the e-mail above telling you you do not come across as being brash. He understands your concerns and he will not distribute the summary; that he will
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limit any communication to the fact that you do not -- that you do have the financial wherewithal to purchase, renovate and operate the club; right?
A Yes.
Q And you thank him in the e-mail above; right?
A Yes, I do.
Q Can we agree that the due diligence exercise involved Mr. Delk coming to New York to review personal financials in person?

A It sounds like he came to New York to review financials, yes.

Q And you say the exercise was bound by confidentiality. Does this mean you required Mr. Delk to sign a non-disclosure agreement before he reviewed the personal financials?
A I wouldn't know.
Q Well, it's your words in the response to him to say the exercise was bound by confidentiality. Are you telling us you don't know what you meant by that?
A I don't know if confidentiality was signed. Oftentimes when you get into a deal transaction at the beginning of the deal, both sides sign confidentiality agreements. It's very possible that one was executed. I just don't remember 12 years later.
Q At any rate it was your intent that he be bound by confidentiality with respect to his review of personal
financials during this due diligence exercise; right?
A Yes, I think we would want to keep financials confidential. No different than any other private company in the world.
Q You were concerned about the personal financials that he was going to be reviewing being distributed to club members; correct?
A Possibly. I think you wouldn't want 1,200 people to see personal financials. Yes, I think that would be accurate.

Q Having looked at this document, including your reply to
Mr. Delk, can we agree that by February 2012 you were aware that your father had a personal financial statement that was being used by the Trump Organization to demonstrate to a third party the company's financial wherewithal?

MR. ROBERT: Objection. He keeps referencing a personal financial statement. All we're seeing in these
e-mails are personal financials being provided. That's a huge distinction.

MR. AMER: Your Honor.
THE COURT: It's definitely a distinction. I don't know how huge or small it is. Mr. Amer, go ahead.

MR. AMER: I think the witness can answer the question yes or no. If he doesn't agree with the way I phrased the question he can answer no.

THE COURT: Overruled. Do you need a read back or

\section*{a restatement?}

THE WITNESS: Can I have a read back. Thank you. THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the
proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A I don't want to nitpick this. Clearly the board member came in to review financials and he did so with Allen
Weisselberg and not with me. Do I have an understanding that financials were reviewed, yes. Do I have any understanding or had I ever seen my father's Statement of Financial Condition, no. It's just not what I did for the company, sir.

Q You read the due diligence summary that we put up on the screen?
A Yes, I did.
Q At the time; correct?
A It appears so.
Q And in response to seeing that you then told Mr. Delk that you were concerned about releasing that summary to the members; correct?
A I wouldn't want financial information to be released to 1,200 people no matter who they were.

Q That wasn't my question.
MR. AMER: Can I get a read back, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Sure. And I agree that did not answer
the question.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.)
A Correct.
Q Are you telling us that when you sent your response to Mr. Delk telling him he -- telling him he was bound by confidentiality and he shouldn't release the summary to his members that you had no idea what personal financials he was looking at as part of his due diligence exercise? Is that your testimony?
A It would be my testimony that he was looking at financials. As to what financials he was looking at, I do not know.

Transcript continues on the following page....

Q So that's your testimony? You had no idea what the financials were that Mr. Delk was looking at as part of his due diligence exercise when you wrote this e-mail to him, right?

A That's correct.
Q Can we agree that your involvement in this due diligence exercise with Mr. Delk was to allow him to determine if the Trump Organization had the financial wherewithal to purchase, renovate, and operate the club?
A Absolutely.
Q And can we agree that your involvement in this due diligence exercise was just seven months before Mr. McConney indicated that he had his first call with you about Seven Springs which just to remind you was in September of 2012?
A Sounds correct from a date standpoint, yes.
Q Can we at least agree that by 2012 you were aware that the company had personal financials available to share with third parties for them to understand whether the company had financial wherewithal?

THE COURT: Hold on. You said "personal financials." Can you be more specific?

MR. AMER: I think that's the phrase from the due diligence summary, Your Honor, so I think it's a fair question.

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, that's the same thing I'm battling as well.

THE COURT: I'm not saying it is a fair question. I'm just not sure that's what you really want to know.

THE WITNESS: I understood we had financials as a company and we had an accounting department that would often refer or would sometimes work with outside entities such as this. They go through them. I was not personally aware of the Statement of Financial Condition. I didn't work on the Statement of Financial Condition. I've been very, very clear about that.

MR. AMER: Let's go to the 2013 Jeff Supporting Data. It is native 708.
Q Let's go to Row 640 and you'll see this references a call with you on August 20, 2013, right?
A Yes, it does.
Q Isn't it a fact, sir, that in connection with that
call, Mr. McConney told you that he needed information from you to value Seven Springs expressly for your father's Statement of Financial Condition?
A Not to the best of my recollection.
MR. AMER: Why don't we split the screen and put up along with this Plaintiff's 1075.
Q This is an easement from Mr. McConney to you dated
August 20, 2013, the same date as the call Mr. McConney
references in his supporting spreadsheet, right?
A Yes.

Q Mr. McConney writes to you, sir, "Hi, Eric. I'm working on your dad's annual financial statement. I need to value Seven Springs. Attached, please find how we valued it last year. Can you let me know when you have time to talk about this year's valuation." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q So you were told by Mr. McConney expressly in connection with this call that he notes in the supporting data spreadsheet that he's working on your dad's annual financial statement, right?

A Okay.
Q Well, not okay. Right?
A Yes. People come to me all the time to ask me
questions about various projects I'm involved with, absolutely.
Q And on this date, August 20, 2013, in an e-mail, he told you that he was working on your dad's annual financial statement, correct?
A It's absolutely what it says, yes.
Q So you did know about your father's annual financial
statement as of August 20, 2013, didn't you?
A It appears that way, yes.
Q And he told you that he needed to value Seven Springs for that annual financial statement, right?

A That's what it says, yes.
Q And he attached to this e-mail on the second page an excerpt from his supporting data spreadsheet, didn't he?

A Yes, he did.
Q So you've actually seen portions of Mr. McConney's spreadsheet at the time that he was working on it, right?

A Yes. I see some blank cells and some cells that were already filled out before this e-mail on Excel spreadsheet, yes.

Q If we go back to his cover e-mail, he says, "Attached, please find how we valued it last year."

So he's showing you how it was valued in 2012 and he's asking for your assistance in valuing it for 2013, right?

A Yes. It appears that it was already valued in 2012 and he is asking for my assistance in valuing it for 2013, yes.

Q And that's the topic of his telephone conversation with you on August 20, 2013, the same date as this e-mail --

A Well, I want to --
Q -- Exhibit 1075, right?
A I just want to clarify. If I'm looking at the
footnote, it says, "Per telephone conversation with Eric Trump,
New Castle land to be donated, North Castle to be used as part
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of the main mansion." Those are absolutely facts that I could have given Jeffrey McConney. That's definitely something he could have come to me to ask. It seems like the values had already been established in the spreadsheet from the year before, meaning prior to my involvement in the project.

Q Do you now have some recollection of this call that you didn't have before?
A No, I don't. I think I'm pointing out the footnote that you say references numbers and it seems like the footnote -- it seems like the footnote is referencing New Castle land to be donated which was our intent at the time and North Castle land to be used as the part of the main mansion.

Q Just to be clear, when you say "footnote," this is Row 640. It is a note, right? It is not a footnote. It is not a footnote noted anywhere on this page. It is just a note on Row 640, right?
A I'm referencing the two notes directly below that mention of my name which would have been consistent with something I would have given Jeffrey McConney, the current status of an active development project, yes.

Q Okay. And what you received, which was the second page of the e-mail, was an excerpt from the supporting data spreadsheet that had information on Bedford seven Mansions approved with a selling price, a cost, a profit, number of homes, and a value, right?

1 A That's correct and it looks accurate, yes.
2 Q That's also information you knew at the time, right?
3 A That we were going to develop at the time seven homes on that property?
\(5 \quad\) Q Yes.
6 A Yes, I would have known that.
7 Q You would have had information relating to the selling price, the cost, the profit, the number of homes, right?

A No. I think it is established that this pre-dated me.
He's asking this in 2013. It seems like he's updating numbers that were already there pre my involvement. At least that's how I'm reading this.

Q I'm not asking you to read this. I'm simply asking you to confirm that that information, the details about the seven mansions would have been information that you would have known about at the time of this call, right?

A Yes, I was working on the zoning at that time.
Q So just to confirm where we are, on the same day as the call Mr. McConney references he had with you in his supporting data spreadsheet, he tells you in an e-mail that he needs to value Seven Springs for your father's annual financial statement and needs to speak to you about the 2013 valuation, correct?
A Yes.
Q And he shares with you the basis for the prior year's valuation lifted from his spreadsheet, right?

\section*{E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)}

Page 3319

A Yes, it looks to be correct.
Q Mr. Trump, it is an undeniable fact, is it not, that as of September 24, 2012, the date McConney noted he had his call with you about Seven Springs, you did know that your father had an annual financial statement?

You knew it was shared with third parties to
demonstrate the company's financial wherewithal and you knew Mr.
McConney was going to use the information that you provided to
him to value Seven Springs for your father's statement; isn't
that right?
MR. ROBERT: Objection.
A It's just incorrect.
THE COURT: Compound question.
MR. ROBERT: Compound and stating facts the witness
didn't testify to, but the witness just answered.
A It is just incorrect.
THE COURT: Okay.
Q Isn't it a fact, sir, that you were aware that your
father's Statement of Financial Condition was being provided to
a bank for review in connection with a banking transaction even
before February 2012?
A Not to the best of my knowledge sitting here right now. MR. AMER: Why don't we go ahead and mark
Exhibit 1265.
Q You see, Mr. Trump, that there's a bottom e-mail from a
woman named Elena Sita at FNBLI, which I represent to you is First National Bank of Long Island, to Mr. Weisselberg that he then forwards to you and others at the Trump Organization. Do you see that?
A Yes, I see that.
Q And you'll see there is an attachment which is a confidentiality agreement which is the second - starts on the second page of this exhibit?
A Yes, Ido.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move that this be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection; statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1265.)

THE COURT: Overruled on statute of limitations. Relevance, we will see where we are going with it.
Q You will see that the attachment is a document
entitled, "Confidentiality between the First National Bank of Long Island and Trump Acquisition, LLC," right?
A Yes.
Q And you'll see from the last page of the document that it is executed by someone from the bank, right?
A I don't know what this document is, but that appears
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that it is executed by somebody from the bank.
Q It is a document that was forwarded to you by Mr. Weisselberg, right?

A Apparently, yes. It's 2010. I think I was 26 at the time, 24.

Q And if you look at the confidentiality agreement that's attached, the second paragraph says, "Whereas before on and/or after the date hereof disclosing party may furnish recipient" -- the disclosing party is the Trump entity, right? If you look at the top paragraph, you see that?

A Yes.
Q And the recipient is the First National Bank of Long Island, right?
A That looks to be correct, yes.
Q "Whereas before on and/or after the date hereof, disclosing party may furnish recipient with or cause recipient to be furnished with certain documents and information prepared by or for or belonging to disclosing party including without limitation a Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2010 and/or other financial documents and information." Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Can we agree that is clearly a reference to your father's Statement of Financial Condition?
A The chances of me reading a confidentiality agreement
that I didn't execute I would say is zero percent, but yes, we can agree that it says it in that paragraph.
Q And Mr. Weisselberg and in his cover e-mail says, "CONFI received, F/S going to bank overnight." Do you see that?

A I do.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q We can agree that F/S is an abbreviation for financial statement, right?
A Yes, I would assume that's what our CFO would send to a third party.
Q So in 2010, you received from Mr. Weisselberg an e-mail forwarding a confidentiality agreement that clearly references your father's Statement of Financial Condition, right?

A That clearly references a financial statement, yes.
Q Not a financial statement. Your father's Statement of Financial Condition. Do we need to go back to it? It says Donald J. Trump, right?
A As I said, the chances that I read a confidentiality
that I didn't sign would be very small, but yes, it certainly referenced that at that time.
Q And he not only attaches it, but he says in the cover e-mail "financial statement going to bank overnight," right?

A That's correct.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark as the next exhibit Plaintiff's Exhibit 3332.

\section*{E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)}
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Q This is an e-mail from Mr. McConney to you dated August 23, 2013, correct?

A That's correct.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3332.)
Q The subject of this e-mail in August of 2013 is "DJT F/S," right?

A Yes.
Q You understood at the time that you received this
e-mail that DJT was Donald J. Trump and F/S was financial statement, right?

A Yes.
Q And Mr. McConney says to you, "I'm working on the notes to Mr. Trump's annual financial statement and I'd like to
include any major construction work that was started, completed, calculated at our golf courses during the last year (i.e., July 2012-now)." He says, "Example, Doral, we're doing a corporate overhaul of the Blue Monster at a cost of \(X\) million of dollars. Something short and sweet is all I need. Thanks in advance for your help, Jeff."

Mr. Trump, isn't it a fact that Mr. McConney is
reaching out to you for information specifically to assist him in working on the notes to your father's annual financial statement?
A That's the exact kind of information I would give Mr. McConney, yes.
Q In his e-mail, he is specifically identifying the fact that he needs the information to work on your father's financial statement, right?
A Yes, he's absolutely asking what we're doing on the ground at various projects, what's underway, and that's the kind of information I would -- I'm asked about every single day, but certainly I would have given Mr. McConney.

Q To be specific, though, when he asks you for the information, he tells you exactly why he needs it and he tells you that he needs it for your father's annual financial statement, right?

A Yes. I know Jeffrey McConney does financial statements for my father. There's no hiding that.

Q So you would have understood at the time that you received this e-mail in August of 2013 that your father had an annual financial statement, right?

A We're a major organization, a massive real estate organization. Yes, I'm fairly certain I understand that we have financial statements, absolutely.

Q Not my question. You've testified here quite adamantly
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that you had no idea that there was something called your father's annual financial statement. So I'm being very specific in my questions, sir.

MS. HABBA: Objection. You're mischaracterizing the witness' testimony. He didn't say he didn't know there's something called it. He didn't testify to that.
A I said I had no involvement nor ever worked on my father's personal Statement of Financial Condition.

MR. AMER: I'm not going to go back. We know what the testimony was, Your Honor. I'm just going to go forward and ask my question again. And I ask that if the witness can answer yes or no, that he, please, do so.

THE COURT: I'll direct him to do that.
Q It is correct that when you received this e-mail in August of 2013, you understood that your father had an annual financial statement and you understood that Mr. McConney was asking you for information specifically to assist him in working on the notes to that annual financial statement; isn't that correct?

A Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a break. I'll direct the witness, as I always do, not to discuss this case or your testimony or anything related during the break or until you're no longer a witness.

THE WITNESS: Okay. (Witness exits the stand.)


Mr. Weisselberg dated April of 2015; yes?
A Yes.
Q And the subject is Seven Springs; right?
A Yes.
Q And you understood at the time of this e-mail that
there was an outstanding mortgage on the property; right?
A Yes, I think I would have known that.
Q And the borrower on the mortgage was Seven Springs LLC; correct?

A It appears that way, yes.
Q Were you president of Seven Springs LLC at this point in time?

A I do not know.
Q At some point you did become president of that entity; right?
A Yes, I did.
Q And the first sentence of the e-mail says "if we have to pay off the loan I would like to do it post June 30th as that is the date of your dad's annual financial statement and where I to keep his cash balance as high as possible."

There is a grammatical error in that, but do we agree that what Mr. Weisselberg is telling you is that he wants to hold off paying off the loan if it's going to be paid off until after June 30th because that way he can keep the cash balance on your dad's annual financial statement as high as possible?

A I understand that there is plenty of financial statements in our company, absolutely, and I also understand the fact that if you pay off debt with cash you're still in the same net neutral space. That's just basic finance 101. Absolutely.
Q Okay. My question is very specific about your knowledge based on this e-mail.

When you got this e-mail and read it, you understood that your father had a financial statement as of June 30th that included both liquidity and his net worth; right?
A Yes.
Q And you knew that as of April 2015; correct?
A Yes. This e-mail was sent to me as of April of 2015. MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1113.
Q Mr. Trump, this is an e-mail exchange between you and Mr. Weisselberg concerning a line of credit for the Las Vegas property; right?
A If you don't mind, just give me a second to read it. I'm not familiar with this.
Q Sure.
A Yes, I understand this now.
Q This is an e-mail exchange between you and
Mr. Weisselberg concerning a line of credit for Las Vegas; right?
A Yes.
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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A Yes. Mr. Weisselberg would make decisions like that, absolutely.
Q Well, that's what he's saying in this e-mail?
A I agree with you. Absolutely.
Q So as of the date of this e-mail you understood that your dad's annual financial statement was as of June 30th; right?

A One of my father's financial statements was June 30th, yes.

Q And that it had a cash balance figure in the statement; right?
A I think any financial statement would have a cash balance figure, but yes.

Q And the second sentence says, "I realize this will only have an effect on his liquidity and not on net worth." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q So you understood as of this date that your father had an annual financial statement that was as of June 30th that reflected his liquidity and his net worth; right?
A I see the words on the piece of paper. I'm not sure if I would have paid a whole lot of attention to that, but yes, I absolutely see the words on the paper.
Q But you got this e-mail, so as of this date you
understood those things; right?

Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1113 in evidence.)
Q Now, Mr. Weisselberg's e-mail, which is the bottom e-mail says "I was speaking with Bender this morning and he happened to mention to me that Drew needed you" -- should be "your dad's financial statement as well as Phil's in order to secure a line of credit with B of A." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q So you understood Mr. Weisselberg was looking to use your dad's financial statement to secure a line of credit from the bank; correct?

A Presumably. I don't think I would have focused that much on that, but yes, according to this e-mail, yes.

Q Well, you read this e-mail because you responded to it in the e-mail above it; right?
A Yes, I told him we didn't need to take out a line of credit and the renovations were to be very small.
Q But the fact that you responded to it confirms that you read it; right?

A Yes, I clearly read it at the time.

Q So you were aware from this e-mail that as of July 2015 your father had a financial statement that the company was intending to use to secure a line of credit with the bank; right?
A Yes, as I've testified to I know our company has plenty of sets of financial statements.

Q The answer to my question is yes?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Okay. Let's go ahead and mark as Exhibit 1079 another exhibit.

Q Mr. Trump, this is an e-mail from Mr. McConney to you dated February 23, 2017; correct?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this exhibit into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the item previously referred to is
received and marked Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 1079 in evidence.)
Q And you'll see there is a subject, it says "footnotes."
Do you see that?
A I do.
Q And it has a PDF attachment. That's the second and third pages of this exhibit?

A Yes.
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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Q And Mr. McConney writes to you, "Eric, we're working on your dad's financial statement. I've attached the footnotes relating to the three European clubs with all of the renovations that were done since the last statement in June 2015. I wanted to ask you if there is anything you wanted to add to or expand on in the footnotes. Thanks, Jeff." See that?
A Absolutely. That would definitely be consistent with my job in the company.

Q And if you look at the attachment, it's the footnotes from the Statement of Financial Condition for your father; right?

A Yes, I would have focused on two of these three projects because I was actively building them at the time.

Q So Mr. McConney is asking for your input on footnotes that are part of your father's annual financial statement; correct?
A These are property descriptions, but yes, that sounds right. I was building Turnberry at the time and I was building Trump Doondeg.

Q And Mr. McConney is sharing with you a draft excerpt from the Statement of Financial Statement asking for your input on those -- that draft; correct?
A Yes, he would have been asking for my input on the property descriptions.

Q And he tells you in his e-mail that what he's attaching

Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1 are footnotes to your dad's financial statement; correct?
A I think we can agree and with your -- Judge, Your
Honor, I know my father has financial statements. Again, I
think I've testified to this pretty extensively. Yes, my father
has financial statements. These are property descriptions that Jeff asked me to confirm. Absolutely.

Q So the answer to my question is yes?
A Yes, I confirm property descriptions that Jeff sent or at least received the property descriptions that Jeff sent.

Q Well, but my question was different. My question was based on the e-mail you understood that what Mr. McConney was sending you was -- were -- was a draft of a portion of your dad's financial statement. You understood that at the time you got this e-mail in 2017?
A I understood it as somebody from accounting was asking me for descriptions of property that I was actively working on building at the time.
Q He says "we're working on your dad's financial statement" and he's attaching footnotes. Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Okay. So you understood when you got this that what he was attaching was a draft portion of your dad's financial statement; yes or no?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall giving a deposition in this case at the
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Attorney General's offices earlier this year; yes?
A I do.
Q And your testimony during that deposition was under oath, just like your testimony is here today; correct?

A It is.
Q I'm going to play video excerpt -- actually, a few excerpts from your deposition and in between each excerpt I'm going to ask you some questions. The first clip is page 259, line 10 to page 262 , line 17 .
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
Q That was your sworn testimony at your deposition; correct?
A Yes, and I think it's very accurate with what I'm saying right now.

MR. AMER: Can we put up 1075, please?
Q Having reviewed the e-mails we've been discussing during the course of the past couple hour - couple of hours, including this e-mail, will you now concede that in fact you were very familiar with your father's Statement of Financial Condition at least since 2013; yes or no?
A Saying your dad's annual financial statement that reads to me very differently than the personal Statement of Financial Condition. Irrespective, I just don't think it would have registered if somebody from accounting is asking me a question about a property description or how many lots could be built on
a property, I don't really care where it's being used. I care about providing them the information from the department that I'm running.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'll move to strike the answer and ask that the witness be instructed to answer my question yes or no.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I submit the question was a little bit of a loaded question because it started out with "very aware." I mean, he was trying to make a point and Mr. Trump answered it appropriately.

THE COURT: Why don't we do this, another compromise. You can call it -- I won't strike what he said. He said what he said and I think it wasn't totally divorced from your question, but why don't we get a read back or ask him the question as simply as you can and if it's a yes or no, I'll direct him to answer yes or no.

MR. AMER: That's fine. I will say other witnesses have been directed not to give speeches after the yes or no and that's what I'm asking that you direct him not to do.

THE COURT: Yes. If it's a yes-or-no question and you answer yes or no, unless you absolutely need -- well, no. No exception. No speech. You can be cross examined, but if it's yes or no and you can answer yes or no, then we don't want a speech.

THE WITNESS: I'm just trying to be very clear for Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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everybody, Your Honor. Absolutely.
THE COURT: But there is a system going on here, question, answer. Yes or no, answer yes or no. No speech.

Do we need a read back or can you just restate or reformulate the question or just -- I can't remember did he answer it yes and then give his speech or no and then give his speech?
Q I'll repeat my question and ask you to please answer it yes or no.

Having reviewed the e-mails we've been discussing during the course of the past couple of hours, will you now concede that in fact you were very familiar with your father's Statement of Financial Condition at least since 2013; yes or no? A No, I was not very familiar with my father's financial statement, no.

Q Will you concede that in fact -- that you did in fact know as of August 20, 2013 that Mr. McConney was intending to use the information you provided to him to value the property for the Statement of Financial Condition; yes or no?
A Yes, it appears that way from the e-mails.
Q Let's play another clip. It's page 273, lines nine to 20.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
Q That was your sworn testimony at your deposition; yes? A Yes.

Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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Q And will you now agree that you did in fact know about the backup for the Statement of the Financial Condition because Mr. McConney had forwarded you an excerpt from his supporting spreadsheet when requesting information from you for that property; yes?
A I will agree that it didn't register. I certainly
provided Jeff material for whatever exercise he was undertaking, but yes, I would agree.
Q Let's play another clip. This one is page 274, lines 15 to 25 .
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
Q Will you now concede that you did in fact have an
understanding when speaking to Mr. McConney about Seven Springs that he was seeking the information from you for the expressed purpose of valuing the properties for your father's Statement of Financial Condition; yes or no?
A Same answer as before. It just didn't register, so yes -- does it appear he ultimately was, yes. It didn't register to me and I provide him the exact same information as I provided him at the time all over again absolutely.

MR. AMER: I think we may need a reminder about no speeches after answering yes or no, Your Honor, please.

MR. ROBERT: I think it was an appropriate answer.
THE COURT: I think it was a speech. These are yes-or-no questions.
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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Q I'll take the yes, but please if I ask you a yes-or-no question, then just --

A Just trying to be very clear.

Q Your lawyers will have an opportunity to question you.
A I understand.
Q They may not take it, but they have it.
MR. AMER: Last clip and then we'll move on. This is page 276 , line 18 to 277 , line 13.
Q Mr. Trump, will you now concede that despite being confident at your deposition that you didn't know the conversations you had with Mr. McConney were ever used as part of the work product for the Statement of Financial Condition you did in fact understand at the time of your conversations with him that the very purpose of his requests to you for Seven Springs information was to use that information for the Statement of Financial Condition; yes or no?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
A No.
THE COURT: I'm sorry, what was the answer?
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the proceedings was read back by the court reporter.) Q I'd like to ask you some questions about the conservation easement donation for Seven Springs.
A Absolutely.
Q You were aware that there was a conservation easement
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) Page 3342 & \[
\text { Page } 3344
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 donation made on the Seven Springs property; correct? \\
2 A Yes.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
1 estimate and you wanted some details on his assumptions? \\
2 A I don't remember much about this engagement, no.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3 Q And that donation was made by Seven Springs LLC based & 3 Transcript continues on the following page.... \\
\hline 4 on a final appraisal by Cushman \& Wakefield; correct? & 4 \\
\hline 5 A That's correct. & 5 \\
\hline 6 Q Do you recall that the easement donation was made for & 6 \\
\hline 7 the tax year ending 2015? & 7 \\
\hline 8 A That sounds correct. & 8 \\
\hline 9 Q Do you recall that the process of exploring a & 9 \\
\hline 10 conservation easement on the Seven Springs property began a few & 10 \\
\hline 11 years earlier in 2012? & 11 \\
\hline 12 A I have a vague recollection of that now, yes. & 12 \\
\hline 13 Q Let's go ahead and have you look at Exhibit 908, which & 13 \\
\hline 14 is already in evidence. & 14 \\
\hline 15 Mr. Trump, you'll see this exhibit is an engagement & 15 \\
\hline 16 letter between Robert F. Heffernan \& Associates and Bingham & 16 \\
\hline 17 McCutchen LLP and that this engagement is to provide consulting & 17 \\
\hline 18 services to the law firm in connection with services the law & 18 \\
\hline 19 firm is providing to its client Donald J. Trump. Do you see & 19 \\
\hline 20 that? & 20 \\
\hline 21 A I do see that, yes. & 21 \\
\hline 22 Q And the second paragraph says that the scope of the & 22 \\
\hline 23 assignment is to provide a written appraisal estimating the fair & 23 \\
\hline 24 market value of a conservation easement placed on the Seven & 24 \\
\hline 25 Springs estate. Do you see that? & 25 \\
\hline E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer) Page 3343 & E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER) Page 3345 \\
\hline 1 A I do. & 1 Q Well, I'm just asking very specifically if you \\
\hline 2 Q And on page four you will see -- you recognize & 2 remembered that. Did you? \\
\hline 3 Ms. Dillon's signature; correct? & 3 A I don't remember that. \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 Q Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Leonard's \\
\hline 5 Q And can we agree that Ms. Dillon would have required & 5 understanding as reflected here in this e-mail was incorrect? \\
\hline 6 the Trump Organization's approval to enter into this engagement & 6 A I would have nothing to base that on, no. \\
\hline 7 on behalf of her client? & 7 Q So you have no reason to believe it's incorrect, yes? \\
\hline 8 A Yes. & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 Q Let's go ahead and look at Exhibit 3296 for & 9 Q And if you look at Mr. Heffernan's e-mail in response, \\
\hline 10 identification. & 10 which is the next e-mail up, the very end, he references his \\
\hline 11 Now, see the bottom e-mail is from Mr. Heffernan to Bob & 11 value would have been 775,000 per raw lot for Seven Springs, \\
\hline 12 Leonard and he is with -- if you turn to the next page you'll & 12 correct, raw lot? \\
\hline 13 see he's with Bingham McCutchen, which is Ms. Dillon's firm and & 13 A I see that, yes. \\
\hline 14 is counsel to the Trump Organization in connection with this & 14 Q And so if you had contacted Mr. Heffernan, you would \\
\hline 15 engagement; correct? & 15 have learned about his initial estimate of 775,000 per raw lot, \\
\hline 16 A Yes. & 16 right? \\
\hline 17 Q And in the very bottom e-mail from Mr. Leonard he -- & 17 A I would not make that assumption at all, no. \\
\hline 18 this is on December 18, 2012, he writes to Mr. Heffernan and & 18 Q You did understand according to Mr. Leonard that Mr. \\
\hline 19 says -- and asks if he's available for a call around two. Then & 19 Heffernan had an initial estimate, right? \\
\hline 20 he says "I understand Eric contacted you and wanted to get some & 20 A It's very possible. I just -- again, I remember very \\
\hline 21 details on your assumptions that led to your initial estimate." & 21 little about this engagement at this point. This is a very long \\
\hline 22 Do you see that? & 22 time ago. \\
\hline 23 A I do. & 23 MR. AMER: I'm going to ask to move this e-mail \\
\hline 24 Q Do you recall in this time frame that you had been in & 24 chain and I want to be very specific about which portion \\
\hline 25 touch with Mr. Heffernan and you understood he had an initial & 25 gets admitted for which purpose. Mr. Leonard's e-mails come \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 in for all purposes based on an exception to hearsay under
2 CPLR 4549, which was just recently enacted a couple of years

1 say it comes in as an admission for Mr. Trump or any other

22

\section*{23}

\section*{24}

25

19 Q If we put up 2013 Jeff Supporting Data PX 708 in
20 evidence, and if we go to Row 638 and 640, we can agree that the
21 contact that Mr. Leonard indicated you had with Mr. Heffernan is individual other than who she was the agent for, I would say is improper, so tell us who it is you say they are the agent for.

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, you want to answer that?
MR. AMER: Sure. Exhibit 908, the engagement letter indicates that the client is Donald J. Trump. I'll take that.

MR. ROBERT: Can I see the exhibit, please?
MR. AMER: It's 908.
MR. ROBERT: Fair enough.
THE COURT: Objection overruled.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 908.)
Q We saw that Mr. Leonard's e-mail indicated his understanding that you had contacted Mr. Heffernan by mid December of 2012, correct?
A I appear so. about six or seven months prior -- actually would be about eight months prior to the August 20, 2013 call that you had with Mr. McConney, right?
A It appears that way, yes.

Q Can we agree that there's nothing in this spreadsheet that reflects information from Mr. Heffernan; yes or no?
A I wouldn't know. I know nothing about this spreadsheet and I didn't create this spreadsheet, so that's a question for somebody else. I wouldn't be able to accurately tell you not knowing the document.
Q Well, you're the one who purportedly had contact with Mr. Heffernan, so do you recognize any of the figures on this spreadsheet as being figures that you might have obtained through conversations with Mr. Heffernan?

MR. ROBERT: I think the disconnect, Your Honor, is Mr. Amer may be referring to this one entry on the spreadsheet, not the entire spreadsheet, which is much greater in detail. That may be where the confusion is here because he's asking him is it anywhere in the spreadsheet. The spreadsheet, as my understanding, is pretty thick.

MR. AMER: I'll limit my question to the document that's up on the screen. That's the excerpt from the spreadsheet.

THE COURT: All right. So we are just talking about the excerpt?

MR. AMER: Yes.
MR. ROBERT: That's fine.
A You have to repeat the question because there's many disconnects here, but, please, go ahead and --
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Q Do you recognize any of the information on this page, the excerpt from the spreadsheet as being information based on a contact with Mr. Heffernan?
A I don't, but I think they are two distinctly different exercises.

Q So the answer is no?
A No.
THE COURT: See.
Q You would agree with me that your answer is no, correct?

A It couldn't be because they are two totally different subject matters and --

THE COURT: The document speaks -- it doesn't speak for itself. It doesn't say Heffernan. Do you want to --
Q The question is whether you recognize any of these figures as figures that would have come from Mr. Heffernan? Do you, yes or no?

A I don't recognize those figures to begin with, so I would not be able to answer that question.

THE COURT: We'll take that to be a no.
MR. AMER: Yes.
Q Do you recall that about a year and-a-half later, so in mid 2014, you reached out to David McArdle of Cushman \& Wakefield to start the ball rolling on getting a preliminary
value from him for an easement donation on Seven Springs?
A I do. I remember David McArdle slightly better. It is a vague recollection, but I remember that David was with Cushman, yes.

MR. AMER: Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3330
for identification.
Q This is your e-mail to Mr. McArdle in June of 2014.
The subject is "Seven Springs" and you indicate it was great speaking to him earlier. So you had a conversation with Mr. McArdle?

A It appears so, yes.
Q And you're making arrangements in this e-mail to have him come and inspect the property; is that right?

A Yes. I was setting him up with your general manager to inspect the property. I believe Sheri retained him just prior to this.

Q And the reason for setting up an inspection of the
property was for him to move forward with an appraisal for an easement donation, correct?

A That's my understanding, yes.
MR. AMER: I move to admit this document.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3330.)

A Debbie, yes. I passed Mr. McArdle off to Debbie who
I'm sure gave him a property tour.
Q This was for the purpose of having him come up with a preliminary value; is that right?
A You would have to ask Sheri Dillon. She's definitely closer to the details of this than me, but that would be my assumption, yes.

Q I realize we could ask questions to a lot of people, but I'm asking them to you. I just want your best recollection.

A It would be my best recollection, yes.
Q Thank you.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Exhibit 3331 for identification.
Q And this is a series of e-mail exchanges beginning with the one we looked at before between you and Mr. McArdle, right? A Yes.

MR. AMER: I move to admit this into evidence, Your
Honor.
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3331.)
Q Mr. McArdle, in the middle e-mail responds by asking if
we could target -- if you could target June 17th for his inspection and you in the top e-mail forward that on to Debbie and you say -- you ask her if this would work for an hour or so and then you say, "I'll call to give you the details." Let me just stop right there.

Can we agree, then, that you had details with respect to what needed to happen with the inspection?

A Yes. I would have had to tell the person who is running our property generally the purpose for the visit.

Q And you knew the details as of this point in time, correct?

A This was probably very preliminary at the point, but yes, I would have said that he's an appraiser coming up to the property, please tour the person around.

Q You go on to say that you'll do your best to make it to the inspection as well, correct?

A That's correct.
Q So we can agree that you deemed it sufficiently important for you to take time out of your schedule to do your best to attend the inspection that Mr. McArdle was going to conduct, right?

A If it was extremely important, I would have said I will make it as opposed to do my best to make it; but yes, if I was free and was able to attend, I would have. I would have joined. Q Well, not if you were free, but you'll do your best to
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try and make yourself free to attend the inspection, right?
A We'll agree. If I was free, I would have done my best to make it.

Q Let's go ahead and look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 169 in evidence. This document is an -- we had testimony from Mr. McArdle, this was an internal e-mail and he says -- this is September 8, 2014 -- in the second sentence, "I have completed the research and all verbal consulting."

Do you see that?
A Yes, I see that.
THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I don't mean -- if they're struggling, I can see it right there.

THE COURT: Tommy and Mike, I have it on the screen. It is already in evidence.

THE WITNESS: Guys, don't worry about it.
THE COURT: Thanks. The screen version is better because you get all the highlights sometimes. By the way, my favorite words in this trial are "already in evidence," so we don't have to fight about whether something is admissible or not.

MR. ROBERT: I think mine, too.
Q So we can agree that by September 8, 2014, Mr. McArdle
had completed his consulting on this engagement, right?
A I have no reason to doubt Mr. McArdle.
Q And so by that date, you would have received verbally
from Mr. McArdle his preliminary value for the potential easement donation, right?

A I don't remember.
Q Well, if he completed his consulting, you would have received verbally his assessment by that date because that was part of the consulting engagement, wasn't it?
A I don't know if it would have been done with me at the end -- Sheri really ran the engagement with Mr. McArdle, so I couldn't tell you.
Q So either you or your agent Ms. Dillon had received verbally from Mr. McArdle his preliminary value as of September 8, 2014 according to this e-mail, yes?
A Yes.
Q And am I correct that shortly after September 8, 2014, you decided to have Mr. McArdle proceed with a formal written appraisal for the potential easement donation; is that right?

A I truthfully don't remember.
Q Let's go ahead and look at Exhibit 3188 in evidence.
You'll see that this is a series of e-mails among you, Mr.
McArdle and Ms. Dillon. I'm just going to walk you through some of them.

The first e-mail in the chain, which is on the bottom of page two, is from you to Mr. McArdle and Ms. Dillon asking about their schedule to set up a call and this is on August 11, 2014. Do you see that?
E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)
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A Ido.
Q And then the top of the page is Ms. Dillon's e-mail
back to you and Mr. McArdle with her date preference, right?
A Yes.
Q And on the first page of the exhibit, the bottom e-mail
is from Mr. McArdle with his date preference. Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q And then finally, the top e-mail is from you setting a
meeting for the following Monday which based on the fact that
you're sending this Monday, August 11th, would be August 18th, right?

A Presumably.
Q I think the Court can take judicial notice that --
A I'll take your word for it.
Q -- the next Monday --
A I'll take your word for it. It sounds right.
Q -- is August 18th. You indicate you will -- "I will send around a calendar invite"?
A Yes.
Q In the second sentence of your e-mail, "Sheri, by that point, ideally, you will have seen the draft appraisal."

Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q So your expectation was that sometime between
August 11th and August 18th, Ms. Dillon would have received the
draft appraisal report from Mr. McArdle, correct?
A That sounds correct, yes.
Q And we can agree, then, that after the verbal
consulting, you had decided to move forward with having Mr.
McArdle actually draft a formal appraisal, right?
A I believe that's the case, yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark for identification Exhibit 3327. We just need to get the right exhibit up.
Q So this is a calendar invite that you send back in July setting a date for the Seven Springs appraisal being due of 8/15/2014, correct?
A It is very consistent with my OCD personality, yes.
Q So that's why you expected that Sheri Dillon would have received the report by the 18 th because you had already calendared it for the 15th of August, correct?
A I would assume that's a milestone that she set out and we calendared the date, yes.
Q Then if you look at the second page of this exhibit, this is Mr. McArdle accepting that calendar invite, right?
A It appears to be, yes.
Q And so that's why you had an expectation that by the time of your call on August 18th, the appraisal would be in hand, the draft appraisal, right?
A Presumably, yes.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 3206 in evidence.
Q This is the calendar invite for the call on the 18th that we previously saw had been scheduled the week before, right?
A I don't remember much about this, but it looks to be correct.

Q That's a calendar invite you sent, yes?
A It looks to be, yes.
Q Okay. And you titled the subject "Seven Springs valuation call," right?
A I did.
Q So we can agree that the purpose of the call was to
discuss the Seven Springs valuation that Mr. McArdle was coming up with, right?
A That seems to make sense. I don't remember much about any of these details, but I presume that's correct, yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'm not sure if I moved in evidence 3327, so I'll do that now. That was the prior document.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3327.)
Q And do you recall that in this time frame, you signed a
formal engagement letter with Cushman for Mr. McArdle to provide a written appraisal for the potential conservation easement over Seven Springs?

A It sounds like that's something that we would have done, yes.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and look at Plaintiff's
Exhibit 133 in evidence.
Q You will see that this is an engagement letter
addressed to you dated September 15, 2014 and you -- if you turn to page 6 of 8, is that your signature agreeing to this engagement letter?
A Yes, it is.
Q And you sign it on September 18, 2014, correct?
A That's correct, yes.
Q And on page one of the engagement letter, the type of opinion and rights appraised says "fair market value of a conservation easement," correct?
A Yes, that was the exercise at hand.
Q Let's go ahead and mark for identification Plaintiff's Exhibit 3189. You'll see these are e-mail exchanges between you, Mr. McArdle and Ms. Dillon, and I'll represent to you that all of the exchanges are ones we've seen before in the prior exhibits except for the one at the very top, which is from you to Mr. McArdle dated August 18, 2014, the date of the valuation call.
E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)
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Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And you can just look, the prior e-mails have just the
back and forth setting up the date preference for the call. Do you recognize those?
A Yes.
Q And in the top e-mail from you to Mr. McArdle is forwarding along a Zillow listing; is that right?
A Yes, that appears to be a Zillow listing.
Q And you're sending Mr. McArdle a comp for him to consider in connection with the appraisal engagement; is that right?
A I don't have this at this point, but it was some data
point that I thought was maybe relevant to whatever the exercise at hand ultimately was.

Q Well, let me just make sure we're on the same page. Do you agree that what you're forwarding is a comp for Mr. McArdle to consider in connection with his appraisal engagement on Seven Springs, yes or no?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: I move to admit this, Your Honor.
MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3189.)

Q The comp is 168 Hook Road, Bedford, New York. Let's ahead and put up Exhibit 3190 for identification.

3190, same e-mail string except for a different e-mail at the very top. You're forwarding to Mr. McArdle now a Zillow listing for a 72 Guard Hill Road, Bedford Corners, New York. Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Same day, a second comp that you're forwarding to Mr. McArdle for his consideration, correct?
A Clearly, I was trying to show them some data point that I thought they would think was relevant, yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in, and five-minute warning.
(Whereupon, the Plaintiff was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3190.)

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up Exhibit 3207 for identification.
Q Mr. Trump, this is another calendar invite you send to Ms. Dillon and Mr. McArdle with the subject "call Seven Springs."

Do you see that?
A I do.

Q And this was a call that you scheduled for August 25, 2014?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall a few weeks after the scheduled date of this call you decided to terminate the engagement with Mr. McArdle without getting a final appraisal report?
A That could be accurate. I, again, have very little recollection of all of this maybe because it was terminated, but I'll take your word for it.
Q Well, let's confirm the date. So let's go ahead and look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 185 in evidence, and this is an e-mail from Ms. Dillon to Mr. McArdle dated Monday, October 6, 2014.

Do you see that?
A I do.
Q And Ms. Dillon confirms that there's been a mutual agreement to terminate the engagement as of that date, right?
A That's what this says, yes.
Q That would have been something that you would have authorized her to do, right?
A I see no reason to believe that Sheri and I wouldn't have had a conversation about that.
Q Well, more than that you had a conversation, but that you actually authorized her as your attorney to terminate the engagement as of this date?

1 A Presumably she was much closer to this than me. I just don't have a recollection of this sitting here today.

Q Well, would you agree with me that Ms. Dillon would not have the authority to terminate the engagement without the approval to do so from her client?
A I imagine she told somebody. Again, I just don't have a recollection.

Q Okay. Let me try again because that wasn't my
question. I'm just trying to confirm that Ms. Dillon would not
have had the authority to terminate the engagement without getting authority to do so from her client; is that right?

A Yes. If she made a recommendation, we would follow it; but yes, I think that's right.

Q Well, she's the one who has to follow your direction, not the other way around, because she's the lawyer and you're the client, right?

A She was the one running this process, but yes, fair enough. I think you and I are --

THE COURT: By the --
A -- in agreement.
THE COURT: By the way, that was another speech. The question was very simple. Would Sheri Dillon have terminated the engagement without authority from the client, yes or no? You said she would not have. Of course, we all know she would not have. You don't have to give a speech
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after that.
Q Mr. Trump, just to close the loop, based on your testimony earlier that a donation was made in 2015, do you recall that Cushman was re-engaged to complete the appraisal report the following year?

A Yes, I believe that's the case.
MR. AMER: I'm going to try and see if I can get one more document in before we have to go. Actually, let me move to admit Exhibit 3207, Your Honor.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3207.)
Q Let's quickly look at Exhibit 3328. Mr. Trump, you'll see that this is an e-mail -- the top e-mail is from you to a number of people within the Trump Organization as well as Ms. Dillon, right?
A That's correct.
Q And this is dated May 29, 2015, yes?
A Yes, it is.
Q And you have some follow-up items that you list in your e-mail to this team, correct?

A Yes.
Q The item you list for Ms. Dillon is finalized Seven
Springs appraisal, correct?

A Yes.
Q So does this refresh your recollection that sometime in
2015, you re-engaged Mr. McArdle to -- or Cushman \& Wakefield
to finalize the appraisal report for the easement donation?
A Yes, it does.
MR. AMER: I move to admit this exhibit in evidence.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. If you want another five minutes, okay, or you want to break right now?

MR. AMER: I think I have a little more on this, but let's take our break.

THE COURT: Back at 3:35, everyone.
(Witness exits the stand.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
(Continued on the next page.)


THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Right on time. I like to run a tight ship. Okay. Let's continue with the questions.

MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Let's go ahead and put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 719 which is the supporting data spreadsheet and I'd like to focus you on Row 660 and this is just to close the loop on the Seven Springs easement donation time frame in relation to the calls that Mr. McConney was discussing was indicating in his note.

If you look at 660, that's the note that refers to his conversation with you on September 12, 2014. Do you see that? A Ido, yes.
Q And we can agree that that is in the time frame of when you were working with Ms. Dillon and Mr. McArdle to get a valuation for Seven Springs for the easement donation; correct?
A It appears to be, yes.
Q And this -- and it's after your August 18th valuation call; correct?
A I've already told you I didn't provide values. I see nothing wrong with the values, but I didn't provide values. I've testified pretty extensive to that.
Q That was not my question at all. My question was the note about Mr. McConney's conversation with you in September of 2014, that was after the August 18, 2014 valuation call that we
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looked at you had scheduled; correct?
A It appears the dates were kept that way, yes.
Q Can we agree that Mr. McArdle's valuation was
disregarded for purposes of valuing the Seven Springs property in 2014; yes or no?
A I'm very confused to that question. I think you need to be much more specific. Is this the 2014 valuation that was never concluded? Is this the valuation that was concluded?

Q The Statement of Financial Condition valuation in 2014
that comes to a value of \(\$ 291\) million, can we agree that
Mr. McArdle's valuation in connection with the easement donation
engagement that he was doing was disregarded for purposes of coming up with that \(\$ 291\) million; yes or no?
A No. The exercises are apples and oranges. They have nothing to do with one another.

Q That seems to be a yes to me because the question was whether Mr. McArdle's work was being disregarded for purposes of this value. You're telling me that it was apples and oranges. Is that your testimony?

MR. ROBERT: Objection, Your Honor. He's asking whether it was disregarded which presupposes it should have been regarded. If he wants to say is that the same number, that's a simple question that can be answered yes or no, but to suggest it's discarded meant it should have been used.

THE COURT: Disregarded, not discarded.
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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MR. ROBERT: Disregarded.
MR. AMER: Either they took it into account or they disregarded it. Those seem to me to be the two obvious choices, so my question is whether he agrees that the McArdle valuation was disregarded for purposes of coming up with the \(\$ 291\) million figure in the Statement of Financial Condition. That's the question I'd like answered.

THE COURT: Objection sustained.
Q Mr. Trump, to your knowledge, did you ever forward to Mr. McConney or tell Mr. McConney anything about Mr. McArdle's valuation?

A I would have never have thought to, no.
Q So the answer is you did not?
A I didn't -- no, I did not. I would have never thought to because I didn't work on this document.

THE COURT: The short answer is no, you didn't do
it. You don't have to explain why.
Q I'd like to turn now to Briarcliff. You're familiar with Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff Manor, yes?
A Yes.
Q Can we refer to that for short as Briarcliff?
A Absolutely.
Q Let's go ahead and keep up 719, which is the 2014 Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet and look at Row 267.

And this begins the section on Briarcliff. Do you see
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)

Page 3368

1 that?
2
3

9 A Iam.
10 Q And you had some involvement in developing those plans; 1 is that right?

A No. Those plans predated me, but I am aware of them.
Q Well, did you have involvement in working on the project to develop the 71 mid rise units?

A They were zoned before I came into the company.
Q And as of 2014 it was put on hold; right?
A Yes. We retained the zoning, but it was put on hold.
Q Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 157, which is in evidence already. If you look at page three of this exhibit you'll see there is an e-mail from Mr. McArdle to you on August 6, 2013. See that?

A Yes, I do.
Q And he's referencing a revised appraisal proposal and says "hope this works for you and we can move forward." Do you see that?

Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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\section*{A Ido.}

Q And this was to move forward with an appraisal to estimate the value of the 71 units we were just talking about; right?
A It appears so, yes.
Q And the bottom of page two of this exhibit is your response to him; right?

\section*{A Yes.}

9 Q And you write, "good morning, David. Attached please
10 find the assigned proposal. I'm in the process of sending you
11 the retainer of 6,250 by separate cover. I will send you all

25 Q And he writes to Mr. McArdle -- well, let me backup.

1 Bingham was again acting as counsel for the Trump Organization in connection with engaging Mr. McArdle to do the valuation for the 71 units; is that right?
A Yes, Sheri Dillon at Bingham was counsel.
Q And he writes to Mr. McArdle in August -- I'm sorry, September of 2013 -- I'm sorry. This is the other way around. It's from Mr. McArdle to Mr. Leonard and he says, "Bob, I spoke with Eric yesterday and agreed to perform a discounted cash flow analysis reflecting a build-out scenario."

Do you recall discussing with Mr. McArdle the need to perform a discounted flow -- discounted cash flow analysis reflecting a build-out/sellout scenario for the 71 units?

A Not off the top of my head, no.
Q Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. McArdle is inaccurately describing his discussion with you the day before he sends this e-mail?

A Absolutely not.
Q Do you agree that estimating the current value for the profit to be realized from selling the 71 units requires performing a discounted cash flow analysis?
A I wouldn't be familiar with the methodology.
Q Wasn't my question.
A Meaning so I think the answer is no to your question. I wouldn't be familiar with the methodology, so not necessarily.

Q Well, do you have an understanding -- well, you had an
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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understanding at the time that Mr. McArdle was going to do a discounted cash flow analysis reflecting the build-out/sellout scenario; right?
A Yes.
Q And is it your testimony sitting here today that you don't know one way or the other whether it was necessary to do such an analysis for this project that was currently on hold and would generate cash flow in the future?

A I would not know, no.
Q Would you agree at least that you understood that that was something that Mr. McArdle thought was necessary at the time?

A I would have left that to Mr. McArdle. He's from Cushman and clearly knows that field very well.

Q Okay. But you understood based on your conversation with him that that was something that he was going to do; correct?
A It looks like it from the e-mail, yes.
Q Okay. Let's go ahead and look at Exhibit 3204 in
evidence. This is an e-mail dated September 13, 2013 from Mr. McArdle to you relating to the Briarcliff 71 units; right?
A Yes, it appears that way.
Q And he writes: "This is a follow up to confirm that you will be providing support from your brokers for the potential pricing of the 71 residential units."
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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1

Do you recall that you were going to get comps to pass along to Mr. McArdle to help with the pricing of the 71 residential units?
A I don't. Cushman \& Wakefield would certainly have more brokers than we do.
Q Well, when you -- when he indicates that you will be providing support from your brokers, meaning you --
A Support. That's possible, yes.
Q Okay. He's talking about your brokers, not his
brokers; right?
A Yes. We didn't have any brokers on the project at that point, so I'm a little bit confused by what he's saying there, but --
Q Well, you had an in-house realty group called Trump International Realty, didn't you?
A We did with main presence here in New York, but fair enough. I'm not discounting your point.
Q Do you recall that you had that group look for comps in the area to provide to Mr. McArdle?
A I do not off the top of my head.
Q And in the next sentence he says that - actually, two sentences down, he says he's going to move forward with the discounted cash flow analysis and looks forward to the pricing and cost estimates from your team. Do you see that?
A Yes.
ump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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Q Let's go ahead and look at Exhibit 3202, which is already in evidence.

Now, the bottom e-mail is from Kathy Kaye to you dated September 24, 2013. Ms. Kaye was in the Trump International Realty Group; correct?
A That's correct.
Q And she says "please see the attached for your review and comments." And then if you flip the page you'll see she is attaching some listings from West -- from Westchester closings. Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Does it appear then that you had Ms. Kaye from the Trump International Realty Group pull together some comps for you to then pass along to Mr. McArdle?
A Yes, it does.
Q And you do, in fact, forward the comps to Mr. McArdle in the e-mail above; right?
A Yes.
Q Let's look at Exhibit 3201 already in evidence.
You'll see the bottom of page one is an e-mail from
Mr. McArdle to you dated September 30, 2013, yes?
A Yes.
Q And he says to you "I'm prepared to share my valuation and conclusions with you. Are you available to talk this
afternoon or tomorrow morning." And then you can respond you
can do 3:30. He says "perfect, I will call you."
And so do you recall that you had a conversation in this time frame with Mr. McArdle where he shared with you his valuation conclusions for the 71 units at Briarcliff?
A I do not recall.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt that that call happened as indicated in the e-mail exchange?
A I don't want to speculate. It very well could have -I just don't know sitting here ten years later.

Q Well, do you have any recollection of anything that happened that to your knowledge would have prevented that call from going forward?

A I have very little recollection on this whole subject, so no.

Q Then the top e-mail is from Mr. McArdle to you on October 16, 2013 confirming his conversations with Ms. Dillon to finish but hold off sending the appraisal until further notice; right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Let's go ahead and look at Exhibit 1465, which is already in evidence. Page two of this e-mail, the top is an e-mail from Ms. Dillon to you -- to Mr. McArdle. Sorry. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And she says in her e-mail to Mr. McArdle, "I spoke to
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Eric and he is aware that the more supportable value at this point is around 45 million." Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q And then at the very end of that paragraph she says
"Eric was pleased with the number." Do you see that?
A I see that, yes.
Q Do you recall learning by October 16, 2013 of
Mr. McArdle's value of 45 million understanding that that was
the more supportable value in being pleased with that number; yes or no?

A I don't recall.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt that what Ms. Dillon reports in this e-mail is accurate?

A No, I don't. I don't Ms. Dillon.
Q Okay. The decision in mid October to have Mr. McArdle hold off on sending the appraisal, was that your decision?

A It could have been.
Q Let's put up 719, the Jeff Supporting Data spreadsheet for 2014 and let's look at Row 284.

Mr. McConney notes here, 9/25/2013 per telephone conversation with Eric Trump "sellout is based on comps in the area." Do you see that?

A Ido.
MR. AMER: And if we could split the screen with
Plaintiff's Exhibit 3202? And you'll see -- that's the
bottom e-mail is the one from Ms. Kaye sending the comps. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And her e-mail to you is dated September 24, 2013. So that's the day before the call noted by Mr. McConney with you about the sellout being based on comps in the area. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q So can we agree that that information was information
that you provided to Mr. McConney based on what you had received from Ms. Kate and passed along to Mr. McArdle?
A Not necessarily the dates.
Q The dates do line up, though, that the day before you had this call with Mr. McConney, you got the comps from Ms. Kaye; right?
A Yes, it seems like these are again totally --
THE WITNESS: I want to respect Your Honor. I think this one needs just --

THE COURT: Go ahead. THE WITNESS: I'll respect --
A These are two totally different exercises, one of which I wasn't involved in clearly. It says asterisk 9/25/2013 per telephone conversation with Eric Trump sale of 71 mid rise units with that asterisk. And yes I think those numbers are very well supportable, but this is totally different exercise. This has
rump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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to do with a conservation easement and that has to do with saleable square footage in incredibly luxurious units that would be built on a golf course. I'm trying to draw a parallel for you because I think you're conflating two very different topics and --
Q Are you done? I just don't want to interrupt you.
THE COURT: He meant that seriously. It wasn't a dig at all.
A I'm just trying to be as transparent as I possibly can that two completely different things, but yes.
Q Okay. The note by Mr. McConney involved the conversation with you, yes?
A The asterisk is next to the asterisk, but yes, I'll concede.
Q And that same note says "sellout is based on comps in the area." Yes?
A It says "sellout is based on comps in the area."
Q And the day before you received an e-mail from Ms. Kaye of the Trump International Realty Group providing comps which you then forwarded on to Mr. McArdle in connection with the 71 unit easement donation engagement; yes or no?
A Yes.
Q And we just looked at the fact that you -- that there was a decision to have Mr. McArdle hold off on sending the appraisal and that was as of October 19th or 16th of 2013.
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Mr. McArdle's \$45 million valuation for his appraisal engagement; yes or no?
A No.
Q So are you saying that as of November 17, 2015 -- well,
we've established that as of November 17, 2015 you did know that Mr. McArdle had come up with a value of 45 million; right? Yes?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And that was knowledge you had when you told Mr. McConney in this call to leave the value as is; yes or no?

A No.
Q Well, you had the knowledge then you had the call. Isn't that right?

A I've testified pretty extensively to the fact that I've never seen this document. And second of all, they're totally different exercises.

Q It's really not my question, Mr. Trump. I'm trying
just to establish that you had a particular piece of knowledge prior to the date of this call. I think we've agreed that prior to November 17, 2015 you were aware of Mr. McArdle's \(\$ 45\) million value; yes?

A Yes.
Q Let's leave it at that because I think the dates are things that the Court can take judicial notice of.

THE COURT: The dates will speak for themselves.
MR. AMER: We could put up 3201 just to confirm the date and let's keep up -- sorry -- the spreadsheet. Right.
Q So October of 2013 there is a decision to have Mr. McArdle hold off sending the appraisal; right?
A Yes.
Q Was the decision to have Mr. McArdle hold off on ending the appraisal an effort to delay the creation of that locument until after the 2013 statement was issued?

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and pull up the 2017 Jeff
Supporting Data spreadsheet, which is Plaintiff's
Exhibit 742 in evidence and let's go to note 295.
Q And this note says "November 17, 2015. Per telephone conversation with Eric Trump, leave value as is." Do you see hat?

A Ido.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt the accuracy of
Mr. McConney's note about this telephone conversation with you?
A Not at all.
Q So you instructed Mr. McConney to leave the value as is in this call; correct?

A Very likely nothing had changed on the project between those two years.
Q And you gave this instruction to leave the value as is at a point in time where you were already made aware of

\section*{E.}
reviewing, would you agree that you conferred on multiple occasions with Mr. McArdle in connection with appraisal engagements for Seven Springs and Briarcliff; yes or no?

A Yes.
Q I'm going to play you some testimony from your deposition and then ask you some questions about it. It's page 198, line 10 to 199 , line 20.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
MR. AMER: Can we put up the text of that video clip, please? Let's go to page 199.
Q So this is from what we just played and I want to focus on your testimony starting at line six on this page that you really hadn't been involved in appraisal work on the Seven Springs property.

Mr. Trump, will you concede that your testimony was incorrect; yes or no?
A I really hadn't been involved in the appraisal work on that property. No. I think that's an accurate statement.
Sheri Dillon handled the vast majority of the appraisal work. Clearly, I made an introduction to our property manager.
Clearly, I was on a few phone calls, but that was a six-month long process and you've pointed out four interactions that I had. I would stand by the statement that I don't remember McArdle well and certainly I was aware of it, but I don't think I was the main person involved or even considered very involved.
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MR. KISE: So, Your Honor --
Q I didn't ask about main person.
MR. AMER: What's your objection because I --
MR. KISE: Can I say it first?
MR. AMER: No because I think we may need to excuse the witness and we can hash it out.

MR. KISE: Are you running the courtroom or is the judge? I don't know why you're talking to me. I think the judge is running the courtroom.

MR. AMER: I'm asking the judge to excuse the witness if we're going to have an objection.

MR. KISE: Or any objection standing objection.
THE COURT: Or a standing objection.
MR. KISE: All I'm going to ask --
THE COURT: Are you going to ask? It's not going to influence --

MR. KISE: I don't see how it could influence the witness. I don't see how any of this could influence the witness. And they make speeches all the time, but at all events we've now -- Mr. Amer's concerned about disruptions. We've now wasted a minute or two and I haven't even said what I'm objecting about.

THE COURT: Well, I think it's fair -- it's always fair to make sure what is about to be spoken or asked won't influence the witness because we can always take the witness
out, but I'll accept your representation that what you're about to say or ask will not influence the witness.

MR. KISE: I don't see how it could. All I'm going to say is you're constantly on this side of the table about time. I'm not sure what the purpose of all this back and forth is. The witness has testified -- he's testified about what he remembers right now today. Whether he remembered it in his deposition or not seems immaterial to the process at this point because Mr. Amer's already gotten the answers that he wants and so now he's going to go back and play this again and go over the same answer. So it's a waste of time. It's asked and answered and asked and answered and asked and answered and we've been doing this all afternoon, so at some point it just needs to end. I don't see how that influences the witness. It should just influence the proceeding.

Transcript continues on the following page....

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you for sharing that. Let's continue.

MR. AMER: Thank you, your Honor.
Q I wasn't asking about whether I had -- whether
principal or main person involved. I'm asking a very simple question based on all of the e-mails that we've been looking at, the calendar invites, the contacts you've had with Mr. McArdle, the comps you were sending him, will you concede that your testimony on line six and seven, that you really haven't been involved in appraisal work on this property was incorrect, yes or no?

A No.
Q So you stand by your testimony at your deposition that you really haven't been involved in appraisal work on the Seven Springs property, yes?

A To a -- to a small -- to a -- I was clearly
involved, but to a very small point and I think I was very clear that I had very limited memory of Mr. McArdle. I
testified -- and yes, I see your e-mails. 100 percent I made a couple of calls.
Q You say here you really haven't been involved and I'm trying to understand if you're standing by that testimony or if you're willing to acknowledge that you did have involvement --

MR. KISE: Objection. This is borderline
harassment.

THE COURT: Asked and answered. He said he stands by it. You can make of that what you will.

MR. AMER: Well, Your Honor, he said "I was clearly involved." He didn't stand by his testimony. That's in the transcript.

MR. ROBERT: You asked him directly if he stands by it and you asked him directly if he stands by it and he said yes.

MR. AMER: And then he said on line 16, "I was clearly involved."

THE COURT: I thought he said no.
MR. ROBERT: "I really hadn't been involved." You're now -- Mr. Amer is now conflating what limited involvement Mr. Trump had which is consistent with he hadn't really been involved. He just said he had a few e-mails.

THE WITNESS: I really haven't --
THE COURT: When I said he said no, I was talking about a different --

MR. ROBERT: Sorry.
THE COURT: It was my confusion. All right.
MR. ROBERT: Sorry, Your Honor.
THE COURT: If the question is do you stand by it, the earlier testimony on the screen, the answer is yes; am I correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. I stand by --
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THE COURT: You stand by it, okay.
Q Let's look at line 16 when you testified at your deposition starting at line 16, that you don't focus on appraisals between a law firm and Cushman.

Will you concede that your testimony was incorrect based on all of the e-mails we've been looking at; yes or no?

A I don't focus on appraisals between law firms and Cushman, no, I don't. That's not a focus of my day. I'm an operator. I am a construction guy. I build projects. I don't focus on appraisals, no.

Q And let's look at page 198, line 12. When you testified at your deposition, starting at line 12, that you recognized David McArdle's name only very vaguely, would you concede that you had significant communications back and forth and interactions with Mr. McArdle on the appraisal engagement for both Seven Springs and Briarcliff; yes or no?

MR. KISE: Objection; "significant."
A No, there's a handful of e-mails from well over ten years ago and I don't remember the person's name. I hardly remember the person's name. I stick by that 100 percent.

THE COURT: The language doesn't have to be the exact same. Objection overruled and he answered it anyway.
Q I want to fastforward to 2021. Were you on a video conference call in the fall of 2021 to discuss the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition that was in the process then of
included your brother Donald Trump, Jr., Patrick Birney, Jeffrey
McConney and Ray Flores, does that refresh your recollection?
    A It's possible. I -- I'm on a thousand calls a day. I
    just don't remember.
    Q Let me show you some testimony from Mr. Birney, Patrick
    Birney to see if it can further refresh your recollection. Page
    1390, line 8 to 1391, line 12:
    "QUESTION: The meeting that I asked you about, how
        many participants were in the meeting?
"ANSWER: I worked remote for 2021, so there were a lot of these calls. So can you be more specific about which meeting you're referring to?
"QUESTION: Sure. Specifically a meeting over Google Meet about the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition.
"ANSWER: There were multiple meetings in 2021 as I was remote.
"QUESTION: Were there any meetings about the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition in which Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump participated?
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: So about -- speaking about the meeting, how many other participants were there other than

\section*{E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)}
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\section*{on it?}

A It does not.
Q One more clip from Mr. Birney on this meeting. It's page 1406:1 to 1407:4:
"QUESTION: So would it refresh your recollection if we pulled up some of your prior testimony, pages 1194 to 1195 ?
"ANSWER: Sure.
"QUESTION: Starting at line 17. So I'm trying to understand the change is discussed about golf club valuation method. You described it as a consensus decision among you, Mr. Garten and Mr. Flores, correct?
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: Does this decision need to be approved by someone else at the company who is superior to the three of you after it's made in your group consensus?
"ANSWER: I don't know if there was an official approval. I think that's why it brought up the change in methodology in that call with Don and Eric."

And I just said, "okay, continue your answer."
"So that they were at least aware."
"Does that refresh your recollection as to whether you wanted to advise Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump about the change in golf course methodology that occurred in 2021?"
those two people?
"ANSWER: Myself, Alan Garten, Ray Flores, Jeffrey McConney.
"QUESTION: Allen Weisselberg was not in the meeting?
"ANSWER: I don't think Allen Weisselberg was in that meeting.
"QUESTION: Approximately when did this Google Meeting occur?
"ANSWER: Probably in October of 2021.
"QUESTION: During the Google Meeting that we are talking about now, did you share any information with the other participants?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
"QUESTION: What did you share?
"ANSWER: I shared documents on the screen.
"QUESTION: And what were those documents?
"ANSWER: I believe I toggled between a few documents during the call. One I think was a Statement of Financial Condition summary. One was the supporting data street and we may have seen the Word document which would have been the -- a draft of the final Statement of Financial Condition."

Does seeing that testimony from Mr. Birney jog your memory about this Google Meeting call and whether you were
E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)
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"ANSWER: I discussed it. I don't know if I wanted to discuss it. Can you ask the question in a different way maybe?
"QUESTION: Sure. We can look at your testimony. It says, "I think that's why it brought up the change in methodology in that call with Don and Eric, so that they were at least aware." Why did you want to make them at least aware of that change?
"ANSWER: Because it was a, as you put it, significant change to the year before."

Does that additional testimony from Mr. Birney about needing to discuss with you and your brother the significant change to the golf course methodology that occurred in 2021 jog your recollection of this video conference call?
A It doesn't. I would have left that to the finance
team.
Q You considered Patrick Birney to be a solid guy, didn't you?

A I like Patrick Birney very much.
Q And you considered him to be a solid guy, right?
THE COURT: I think that's what he just said, right?

MR. AMER: Well, he didn't adopt those exact words.
THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I was looking for help on

\section*{that one.}

A Yes, I do.
THE COURT: You have to look up, not sideways for help.
the purpose of the call was to advise you and your brother of the change in methodology for the golf courses in 2021, right?

A It seems like that's possible, yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up the 2021 Jeff
Supporting Data which is Exhibit 1352 in evidence. Let's go to Row 289.

MR. ROBERT: Just a point of clarification. This would be Birney's supporting data if it is 2021, not Jeff's.

MR. AMER: I'll take that correction. Why don't we just call it the supporting data.

MR. ROBERT: Fair enough.
MR. AMER: It is in evidence, so it is what it is.
Q Let's look at Row 289. That's where the section for Briarcliff begins. Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q If you look at Row 306, there's a note in that row and it's - it says, "Upon the advice and guidance of Marcus and Millichap, golf course industry experts, a normal golf course with a negative EBITDA trades at two to three times gross revenue. We took an average of three times gross revenue and net fixed assets."

Do you see that?
A I see that, yes.
Q And we've had testimony from Mr. Flores, others that
there was a change in methodology for 2021 based on the advice

\section*{E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)}
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1 and guidance that's referenced in this note. So can you accept that?

A I mean, if you tell me that's true. I don't know.
This is very foreign to me, but if you tell me that's the testimony, I'll believe you.

THE COURT: I may have to swear him in first if he's going to testify.
Q And does seeing this note about the advice from Marcus \& Millichap and this being the basis for a change in the way the golf courses were valued, does that trigger a recollection for you about being on a call where that change in methodology was discussed; yes or no?
A It does not.
Q And if we look at Row 363, which is Colts Neck, it refers to "advice and guidance from Marcus \& Millichap for a course with a consistently positive EBITDA trading at six to eight times EBITDA, but the last few purchases have been nine to ten times based on the quality of Trump courses could sell at ten times EBITDA."

Again, we've had testimony that this is the basis for a change in methodology for those courses that consistently had positive EBITDA. Does that trigger any recollection of being involved in a call in fall of 2021 where the change -- this change in methodology was discussed?
A It does not.

Q Now, just to orient us in time with respect to the October 2021 video call that Mr. Birney testified about, as of October 2021, you certainly knew about the Attorney General's investigation that led to this enforcement action, right?

A Yes, I did.
Q In fact, a year earlier, you sat for an investigative interview with attorneys from the Attorney General's office where you provided testimony under oath, right?

A Yes, I did.
Q And on dozens of occasions during that interview, you invoked your --

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. ROBERT: Mr. Amer is about to get into something that is completely irrelevant and immaterial in an attempt to sensationalize something that's not really sensational. Maybe you want to excuse the witness and we deal with it, but he is about to get into the fact that two years ago when Mr. Trump was interviewed he invoked his Fifth Amendment rights and then two years later sat for a deposition. It's totally improper.

THE COURT: Is that what you were about to do?
MR. AMER: I'm happy to explain why it is relevant.
THE COURT: Should we excuse the witness?
MR. ROBERT: It's absolutely irrelevant.

MR. ROBERT: I don't believe so.
MR. AMER: I don't think it is irrelevant.
MR. ROBERT: If you want to --
THE COURT: So do you want to explain the relevance?

MR. AMER: Sure. I think it's relevant to this witness' recollection or lack of recollection of a phone call that's discussing the subject of something he knows is an ongoing Attorney General investigation where the call is about the very subject of that investigation where he sat for an interview under oath and even invoked his right against self-incrimination.

I think it goes to his credibility as to whether or not he recalls this -- this Google Meeting call that was less than three years ago, Your Honor. So yes, it is relevant to his credibility.

MR. ROBERT: It is absolutely not. Mr. Trump availed himself of a constitutional right that he has because at that point, it was the appropriate thing to do. The Attorney General then noticed him for a deposition in this case. He sat literally for seven hours on the nose because I remember at seven hours on the nose, we ended it. He answered every question. He did not invoke his

\section*{1 THE COURT: If it is irrelevant, do we have to excuse the witness?}

1 constitutional right, which he could have, and this line of
questioning is improper; but it was dealt with just so that at the end of the day, the stories would be that the issue that Mr. Trump invoked his Fifth Amendment right two years ago is what's going to be the news tonight. It is
completely irrelevant. It's completely prejudicial and this line of questioning needs to stop.

MR. KISE: One other issue --
THE COURT: I'll let --
MR. KISE: - just to Mr. Amer only has to respond once. It is funny he's not interested in the witness being excused even though we asked three times. Here is the thing. So all of these conversations that we're talking about center around a privileged communication. We've been through this before. Mr. Garten was on the call, so the substance of what was discussed would have been privileged anyway and they've continued to invade that privilege.

Second, anything that was done or decided as a result of that ruling they did as part of the subsequent remedial measures as a result of the investigation. So again, none of this should be really coming in at all. Any decision that was made as a result of the awareness of an investigation would be subsequent remedial measures and it shouldn't be admitted at all.

The witness has said he has no reason to doubt that

1 he was on the call, but he doesn't remember the call. He
testified about the call. The fact that he testified before is established. The fact that he was subpoenaed and testified in the special proceeding in the investigation is established. So I don't know what else other than sensationalizing is the issue here other than either invading the attorney-client privilege, getting into subsequent remedial measures, or just harassing the witness. I'll wait again to get the note that you have from Ms. Greenfield. You may have a question for me. Maybe it is about dinner.

MR. AMER: Can I mention one thing, Your Honor?
THE COURT: In a second.
MR. AMER: Okay.
THE COURT: Mr. Kise, all joking aside, and even I like to leaven the proceedings, do not refer to my staff again. A person sitting alongside of me is my principal law clerk. She's a civil servant. She's doing what I ask her to do, which is help me process cases and decide them correctly.

Sometimes I think there may be a bit of misogyny the fact that you keep referring to my female principal law clerk. If there is any further reference to anyone on my staff, and I don't have a big staff, I have about three people, I will consider expanding the gag order to include
the attorneys including yourself. That being said, why don't we just break for the day because it is almost 4:30. I promised Mr. Amer --

MR. KISE: May I respond to that on the record briefly?

THE COURT: Respond to that and then we will get to Mr. Amer.

MR. KISE: Briefly. I just want to be clear. I have my own constitutional First Amendment rights. I have the right to represent my client in a courtroom. I have the right to observe -- make points in the record about observations that I make if there is bias in the proceeding that my client perceives, I have to advance that. If there is reason for that bias, then that's an issue in the proceeding.

I do often feel -- frequently, I do feel like truly that I'm fighting two adversaries and I'm not trying to impugn anyone. I'm trying to point out as a lawyer and I think it is fair comment as a lawyer. I understand what your ruling is, but I have to respond to this because this is important.

So I feel like I'm sitting here and I have to respond to Mr. Amer or their arguments. I hear what those arguments are and I get to see what those arguments are and we get to have our engagement, but then there is someone

1 else who is sending you information on a very, very frequent
basis. I think yesterday we counted maybe 30 times, 40 times and so I don't get to understand what this is and those -- and I'm not --

THE COURT: That's right. You don't --
MR. KISE: I'm not trying to invade it. All I'm trying to say is that gives off -- respectfully that gives off the appearance of impropriety and I'm entitled to at least make a record about that. It is not impugning anyone. It does give off the appearance of impropriety particularly if it is an individual or individuals that have a different view of the case than we do, have a different political background or political view than we do. And so those are relevant issues for Your Honor's consideration.

Again, this is why I brought up on the constitutional -- I asked you to reconsider the gag order on the constitutional basis because I think it raises much more serious issues and I don't really want to go there and I'm trying not to; but at some level, I have to at least have fair comment on what I think is biased. I made my record and I understand Your Honor's ruling.

MS. HABBA: By the way, I'm happy to make the record for Mr. Kise, so that none of my team members are accused of misogyny. I assure you that's not the issue. I have the same, frankly, issues with the person sitting on
conference happened and then asking him if he remembers it and he's going to say, "No, I don't remember it." He's not disagreeing with Mr. Birney, but he doesn't remember it.

We need to move on. We've been here now all day and gotten, frankly, not very far because the questions are repetitive, asked and answered, asked and answered. He's trying to elicit a response that's not accurate and Mr. Trump is not going to give him a response that's not accurate. He doesn't remember, he doesn't remember. We need to move on. We will be here well into next week if we do not move on.

MR. ROBERT: May I simply say that I join in my colleagues' comments.

MR. AMER: Can I make a few points, Your Honor?
THE COURT: After me.
MR. AMER: Okay.
THE COURT: Mr. Kise and the rest of the team, I have an absolute right, absolute, unfettered right to get advice from my principal law clerk. It actually goes a little broader than that, but at the very least from my principal law clerk. There is no First Amendment value that I can see referring to her particularly when making things up and that's not you, that's somebody else. So weighing the First Amendment right against the safety of my staff, we know what's going on out there in the world, I think your

1 the bench and I've made that clear on the record. And
frankly, it is not only distracting, but it is insulting.

Your Honor, I respect your position, but I do feel like Mr. Kise that your position is often what you are given in notes and we don't have a clue what is going on; but we do know that there is a nod of the head by you when those notes are received and then decisions are made.

We have to make a record of it because, frankly, it's become part, and as I have said for three years for me personally, a part of the record and I'm not going to stand by and allow it to happen. I'm not going to let someone who's my teammate be called a misogynist because he is pointing out something, frankly, that I can do myself. So I will make my own record. It is inappropriate.

And I do have to say one other thing to Mr. Amer on the record and again, I'm happy to let Mr. Trump off the stand, but I do think it is inappropriate that he's trying to use something and make it appear as impeachment evidence and it is not. Mr. Trump has been very consistent. He doesn't like his answers, so he keeps trying to impeach my witness here and all he's doing is showing things that, frankly, show that he is consistent.

He doesn't have a recollection. If he has a recollection now, he'll tell you; but by showing him somebody else's transcript and telling him that a video

1 points are not well-taken about that.
In terms of the further -- on the merits here, some research has shown that subsequent remedial measures is only appropriate in personal injury cases. I will refer to Rule 4.19. I believe that's of the -- might be out of the benchbook, whatever. talk, have a few words. witness' -- the credibility of the witness' claim that he doesn't recall this video conference meeting that took place two years ago. I'm entitled to question that. I don't have to accept it and I can elicit evidence that puts in doubt his claimed lack of recollection. testimony is great and I'm very happy with it, and so this is not about not liking his testimony. I think his testimony is extremely favorable to our case and I welcome it.

And third, this witness' investigative interview and his invocation of his Fifth Amendment right has been in the news for years. If you want to Google it, you'll see that there are many articles that count the number of times he invoked his right against self-incrimination at that interview. So this is not about bringing to light something

And now, let's let Mr. Amer, who is very anxious to
MR. AMER: Number one, I'm entitled to question the

Second, as to Ms. Habba's comment, this witness'

1 that has, heretofore, never been shared with the public.

Those are my comments. I would like to continue tomorrow morning and I will just use this point to question the credibility of a claim that a call two years ago involving an ongoing investigation where he sat for an interview is simply not something that is plausible and that's my right, and the Court can do with it what it will.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, then, just briefly on your initial point, I do disagree, respectfully. I have to on behalf of my client comment on what I see going on in the courtroom that I think could prejudice or bias the proceeding. I don't -- I don't see what is being passed to you, so it is not possible for me to know.

THE COURT: That's right. You don't see it. That's -- I'm going to pound the table -- confidential communications from my principal law clerk. Absolute right to it and you don't have any right to see it or question it.

MR. KISE: I certainly have a right to question what is perceived by my client as bias. I do have that. I have to make that record. I do have that. That's just what lawyers do. I mean, I have to make the record. I don't think, respectfully, there is any security concern. We're talking about observations of what's happening in open court.

Everyone in this room can see what I'm seeing, so
bias. There have been public commentaries about political persuasions of people involved in the proceeding. I don't know. So I think it is fair for me to at least make the record and comment. It's -- this is the real point.

When they're speaking, notes don't get passed. That's really the issue, is that when the Attorney General is making arguments, there aren't notes being passed for you to consider. They -- 95 percent. So with us, whenever I'm speaking or -- yesterday, I saw it the best because I stepped out to go to that hearing beforehand. I'm watching on the closed circuit TV and there, you can see what's happening differently than you can see here.

And so every time Mr. Suarez was making a point and there was a dialog, there would be notes passed to you. When the Attorney General was speaking, there would be no notes passed to you. So that's the perception of bias. Whether it is real or not, I have to make my record. So that's all I'm doing. I'm making my record. I'm doing it respectfully. I haven't made any comments outside this courtroom about anyone and I don't intend to. So all I'm doing is just making a record.

I understand Your Honor's point and I will abide by Your Honor's ruling, but you can't keep lawyers from being advocates. I think that that's over the line, respectfully.

THE COURT: I guess it is time to go. Ten o'clock
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inappropriately. I'm directing my comments to you and only to you, but I have to make a record if I perceive that there is potential bias.

THE COURT: How do you perceive there is potential bias by notes being passed back and forth? Don't you and Mr. Robert talk to each other?

MR. KISE: Of course, we talk to each other and we're advocates, so we are -- yes, we are biased, so it is the same principle. It's the same principle. Ongoing interaction, you can receive advice, of course, from a law secretary. I mean, you are a judge, but ongoing interaction in the course of the proceeding where it appears as though it is almost co-judging taking place, that's the perception from out here.

That may not be what you're doing. I can't tell what you're doing, but that's what the perception is, so we have to at least make our record to comment on it and again, I understand your ruling. No one is trying to impugn anyone.

I'm not a misogynist. I have -- I'm very happily married and I have a 17-year-old daughter, so I really have no issues there and so I reject that squarely. My point is and I think -- look, all I want to say is what I've said, which is we have to make our record. We perceive there is
tomorrow morning.
I direct the witness, witness, please don't talk to anybody about your testimony, about this case, or anything related to it because you'll still be a witness tomorrow.

Thank you, everyone.
(Witness excused.)
(Whereupon, the trial was adjourned to November 3, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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\hline 3235:21;3242:2,7; & Castle (4) & 3246:16;3251:16; & 3397:8;3399:1 & comfortable (1) \\
\hline 3243:18;3247:4; & 3316:25,25;3317:10, & 3252:16;3278:9; & clearly (16) & 3240:2 \\
\hline 3251:22;3255:20; & 12 & 3279:6;3283:15; & 3301:20,21;3311:6; & coming (0) \\
\hline 3257:12;3268:13,14; & categories (1) & 3388:10,18,24;3389:5, & 3321:23;3322:12,14; & 3309:8;3352:13; \\
\hline 3270:1,10;3276:8,24; & 3271:15 & 8,10,13;3390:13,14,21; & 3328:20;3333:25; & 3357:14;3366:13; \\
\hline 3277:20;3278:21; & category (1) & 3391:2,25;3392:9,11, & 3360:10;3371:14; & 3367:5;3395:21 \\
\hline 3279:13;3280:11; & 3280:3 & 21,23,24 & 3376:22;3380:20,21; & comment (6) \\
\hline 3281:7,8;3282:2,14; & cause (1) & changed (6) & 3383:16;3384:3,10 & 3397:19;3398:20; \\
\hline 3290:22;3291:13; & 3321:16 & 3255:19;3260:20 & Clerk (7) & 3401:15;3402:10; \\
\hline 3293:1,2,4,5,6; & cc (1) & 3278:25;3282:18,23; & 3226:3;3284:25; & 3403:18;3404:4 \\
\hline 3297:10;3301:3; & 3252:6 & 3378:22 & 3396:18,23;3400:19, & commentaries (1) \\
\hline 3302:21;3304:12; & cc'd (1) & changes (7) & 21;3402:16 & 3404:1 \\
\hline 3309:7;3310:11,22,24; & 3299:25 & 3277:10,21;3278:8, & client (12) & commenting (1) \\
\hline 3311:2,23;3313:5,10, & cellphones (2) & 11,13;3280:9,13 & 3261:1;3342:19 & 3403:1 \\
\hline 15,20;3315:10; & 3224:3,4 & changing (2) & 3343:7;3347:7;3362:5, & comments (5) \\
\hline 3321:23;3322:2,7; & cells (2) & 3235:14;3279:7 & 11,16,23;3397:10,13; & 3373:8;3400:13; \\
\hline 3325:12;3327:14; & 3316:11,11 & Charlotte (3) & 3402:10,19 & 3402:2;3403:2; \\
\hline 3329:9;3330:24; & center (1) & 3294:19;3295:17 & CLIFFORD (1) & 3404:19 \\
\hline 3336:2;3337:15; & 3395:14 & 3296:18 & 3223:9 & commission (1) \\
\hline 3338:12,15,22,23; & Centre (1) & check (2) & clip (6) & 3243:4 \\
\hline 3339:4;3343:5;3347:9, & 3222:13 & 3238:14;3346:23 & 3337:8;3339:21; & communicating (1) \\
\hline 20;3348:1;3352:6,18; & certain (5) & checked (2) & 3340:9;3341:7; & 3299:9 \\
\hline 3353:12,22;3355:13; & 3248:8;3260:2; & 3238:19;3239: & 3380:10;3388:3 & communication (2) \\
\hline 3356:3;3357:13; & 3290:9;3321:17 & checking (2) & close (8) & 3309:1;3395:14 \\
\hline 3359:3;3363:7; & 3324:23 & 3228:22;3230:24 & 3263:15;3265:22 & communications (2) \\
\hline 3365:14;3366:3,10,23; & Certainly (10) & chief (1) & 3286:18,19;3306:9,14; & 3385:14;3402:16 \\
\hline 3367:21;3368:24; & 3224:13;3277:24 & 3288:6 & 3363:2;3365:8 & comp (4) \\
\hline 3369:17;3373:25; & 3279:13;3322:19; & choices (1) & closed (2) & 3359:10,17;3360:1,8 \\
\hline 3374:1;3376:9;3377:9; & 3324:12;3340:6; & 3367:4 & 3264:6;3404:11 & companies (1) \\
\hline 3379:23;3380:9; & 3372:4;3380:24; & choose (1) & closer (2) & 3285:24 \\
\hline 3381:4,6,25;3384:2; & 3393:3;3402:18 & 3278:23 & 3351:8;3362:1 & Company (26) \\
\hline 3386:9,14;3389:2,4; & certainty (1) & CHRISTOPHER (1) & closings (1) & 3237:22,23;3274:24; \\
\hline 3390:25;3392:1; & 3294: & 3223:4 & 3373:9 & 3275:2;3281:18,19,20; \\
\hline 3396:12;3399:13; & certification (38) & circuit (1) & club (22) & 3282:5;3286:23; \\
\hline 3400:14,22;3401:13; & 3237:16,20;3238:3; & 3404:11 & 3294:18,21;3295:5, & 3287:17;3289:8,22; \\
\hline 3402:7,25;3403:11; & 3239:1,11,17;3240:5,9, & circulated (1) & 12,16,17;3296:18,20, & 3291:11;3293:17; \\
\hline 3404:11,12 & 20;3241:5,7,11,13; & 3308:10 & 21;3297:1,3,25; & 3297:25;3310:3; \\
\hline candidly (1) & 3247:22;3248:5,13,22, & circumstance (1) & 3298:20;3299:14; & 3311:11;3313:16,17; \\
\hline 3276:11 & 23;3249:3,7,14,15,20; & 3279:20 & 3301:8;3304:7;3306:9; & 3314:4;3332:2;3334:2, \\
\hline care (2) & 3250:2,5,7,11,24; & cited (1) & 3309:3;3310:6;3313:8; & 5;3335:8;3368:15; \\
\hline 3338:1,1 & 3252:25;3253:8,25; & 3279:22 & 3367:19;3388:10 & 3388:15 \\
\hline careful (1) & 3254:3,3,7;3256:9,13, & City & clubs (1) & company's (2) \\
\hline 3278:5 & 16,23 & 3251:25 & 3335:3 & 3310:14;3319:7 \\
\hline Carolina (1) & certifications (11) & CIVIL (2) & clue (1) & compilation (7) \\
\hline 3294:19 & 3239:2,13;3253:14, & 3222:1.5;3396:18 & 3399:5 & 3227:6;3232:21; \\
\hline case (20) & 20;3254:1,15,19; & claim (4) & co-defendant (1) & 3233:12,14;3234:7; \\
\hline 3245:7;3263:23; & 3257:1,1,9,11 & 3264:9;3278:10; & 3274:10 & 3247:12;3257:24 \\
\hline 3279:1,19,19,22,22; & certifies (2) & 3401:10;3402:4 & co-judging (1) & compiled (1) \\
\hline 3281:16;3283:11; & 3238:12;3248:6 & claimed (1) & 3403:14 & 3257:20 \\
\hline 3292:11;3294:10; & certifying (3) & 3401:14 & colleagues' (1) & compiling (2) \\
\hline 3305:20;3325:22; & 3240:20;3254:8; & clarification (1) & 3400:13 & 3235:18;3239:23 \\
\hline 3336:25;3356:6; & 3257:6 & \(3391: 7\) & collection (2) & complaint (1) \\
\hline 3363:6;3394:23; & cetera (3) & clarify (1) & 3285:21,24 & 3265:24 \\
\hline 3398:12;3401:18; & 3278:4,4;3282:4 & 3316:23 & COLLEEN (1) & complete (1) \\
\hline 3405:3 & CFO (4) & class (1) & 3222:22 & 3363:4 \\
\hline cases (2) & 3278:3;3283:4,16; & 3266:2 & college (1) & completed (4) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3323:19;3353:7,23; & 3314:7,8,18;3319:19; & 3342:18;3343 & 3246:17;3291:15; & 3401:23 \\
\hline 3354:4 & 3321:19,24;3322:13, & 359:11,18;3366:11; & 327:11;3364:16 & counted (1) \\
\hline completely (5) & 16;3325:8;3328:16,25; & 3370:2;3377:20; & 3395:17 & 3398:2 \\
\hline 3264:7;3377 & 3329:3;3335:10; & 3380:2 & con & COUNTY (1) \\
\hline 3393:15;3395:6,6 & 3337:20,23;3339:13 & consensus (2) & 3260:11;3269:14; & 3222:1.5 \\
\hline complex (1) & 19;3340:2,16;3341:12, & 3388:11,16 & 302:6;3312:13; & couple (7) \\
\hline 3236: & 16;3366:9;3367:7; & conservation (9) & 3344:3;3382:16 & 3233:6;328 \\
\hline Compound (2) & 3385:25;3386:17,21; & 3261:24;3262:8 & continuing (2) & 3337:17,17;3339:11; \\
\hline 3319:13,14 & 3387:20,23 & 3341:23,25;3342:10, & 3265:18;3299:2 & 3346:2;3383:20 \\
\hline comprising (1) & conditions (2) & 24;3358:2,17;3377:1 & contract (2) & couple-minutes (1) \\
\hline 3229:15 & 3239:7;3298: & consider (6) & 3264:4;3265: & 3284:4 \\
\hline comp & conduct (1) & ;3306:8 & control (2) & course (14) \\
\hline 3282:2,3;3302:22 & 3352:21 & 3359:11,18;3396:25; & 3233:19;3234 & 3225:19;3289:14; \\
\hline 3338:12 & conducting (1) & 3404:8 & controller (1) & 3337:17;3339:11 \\
\hline comps (12) & 3299:13 & consideration (3) & 3288:9 & 3362:24;3377:3; \\
\hline 3372:1,18;3373:13, & conference (4) & 3298:18;3360:9; & conversation (20) & 3388:24;3389:13; \\
\hline \[
16 ; 3375: 21 ; 3376: 1,6
\] & 3385:24;3389:15 & 3398:14 & 3291:3,9;3292:2,6,8; & 3391:18,18;3392:16; \\
\hline 14;3377:15,17,19; & 3400:1;3401:11 & considered (3) & 3308:11;3316:19,2 & 3403:8,11,13 \\
\hline 3383:8 & conferred (1) & 3380:25;3389:18,21 & 3350:9;3361:22,23; & courses (7) \\
\hline computations (1) & 3380:1 & consistent (7) & 3365:12,24;3371:15; & 3323:20;3390:14,22; \\
\hline 3252:11 & CONFI (1) & 3267:7;3317:18 & 3374:2;3375:21; & 3391:2;3392:10,18,21 \\
\hline compute (1) & 3322:4 & 3335:7;3356:13 & 3376:23;3377:12 & COURT (190) \\
\hline 3290:24 & confident ( & 3384:14;3399:19,22 & 3378:14,18 & 3222:1,16.5; \\
\hline concede (10) & 3341:10 & consistently (2) & conversations (13) & 3223:24;3224:1,8,11, \\
\hline 3337:18;3339:12,16; & confidential ( & 3392:16,2 & 3226:7;3231:8,10 & 18,25;3225:6,20,25; \\
\hline 3340:12;3341:9; & 3310:3;3402:15 & consists (1) & 3240:12,18;3241:3; & 3226:4,13;3229:17; \\
\hline 3377:14;3380:15 & confidentiality (13) & 328 & 3278:12-3291:11; & 3231:15,20;3235:22, \\
\hline 3383:8;3385:5 & 3308:14;3309:12,17 & constantly & 3341:11,13;3348:10 & 25;3236:6;3237:6; \\
\hline concept (1) & 19,21,25;3312:6; & 3382 & 3374:16;3395:13 & 3245:9;3250:17; \\
\hline 3279:5 & 3320:7,20;3321:6,25; & constitutional (5) & converted (1) & 3251:3;3253:15; \\
\hline concern (1) & 3322:12,18 & 3394:20;3395:1 & 3266:1 & 3255:10;3258:20; \\
\hline 3402:22 & confines (1) & 3397:9;3398:16,17 & convey (1) & 3259:1;3263:20,24; \\
\hline concerned & 3234:23 & construction (3) & 3308:15 & 3264:8,15;3266:3,9,13, \\
\hline 3310:5;3311:18; & confirm (17) & 3323:19;3328:10 & copied (2) & 18,21;3267:19;3268:5, \\
\hline 3381:20 & 3225:5;3232 & 3385:9 & 3304:22;3305:1 & 11,14,16;3270:6; \\
\hline concerning (2) & 3240:4;3257:16; & consulting (6) & copy (1) & 3273:2,4,8,10,13,17, \\
\hline 3332:16,23 & 3270:1;3295:24; & 3342:17;3353:8,23; & 3329:23 & 19;3274:12,17,19; \\
\hline concerns (1) & 3301:22;3303:3,6; & 3354:4,6;3356:4 & corner (1) & 3275:17;3276:24; \\
\hline 3308:25 & 3318:14,18;3336:6,8; & contact (3) & 3232:2 & 3277:2,6,9,18;3278:23; \\
\hline concluded (2) & 3361:10;3362:9; & 3347:21;3348:7 & Corners (1) & 3279:3,12,20;3280:11; \\
\hline 3366:8,8 & 3371:23;3378:1 & 3349:3 & 3360:5 & 3281:8;3282:1,2,9,13, \\
\hline conclusions (2) & confirmation (2) & contacted (3) & corporate (2) & 22;3283:20,23;3284:5, \\
\hline 3373:24;3374:4 & 3232:24;3258:23 & 3343:20;3345:1 & 3271:12;3323:2 & 9,13,18,21,23,25; \\
\hline Condition (96) & confirmed (1) & 3347:16 & Corporation (4) & 3285:2,6;3286:17; \\
\hline 3226:15;3227:11; & 3233:7 & contacts (1) & 3265:8;3266:19 & 3293:2,9,18,22,24; \\
\hline 3228:1,5;3232:13; & confirming (4) & 3383:7 & 3267:9,23 & 3296:12;3299:23; \\
\hline 3238:17,22;3241:24; & 3242:2;3258:24; & contained (9) & correction (2) & 3300:8;3302:22; \\
\hline 3242:3,16,18,22,25; & 3306:4;3374:16 & 3227:3;3228:25; & 3229:19;3391: & 3303:16;3304:1,14,17; \\
\hline 3243:3,5,10,14,23; & confirms (2) & 3230:24;3236:10; & correctly (4) & 3305:5;3307:3;3308:4; \\
\hline 3244:2,10,25;3248:11, & 3333:23;3361:16 & 3246:2,7,8;3260:2; & 3271:11;3272:6, & 3310:20,25;3311:3,5, \\
\hline 14;3249:10;3257:2,17, & conflating (2) 3377:4-338 & 32 & 3396:20 & 24;3312:2;3313:19; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 20;3258:13,14,16; } \\
& \text { 3259:4,10;3264:12; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3377:4;338 } \\
& \text { confused (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline \text { contains ( } 1 \\
3253: 24 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \text { cost (4) } \\
3317: 24 ; 3
\end{array}
\] & 3319:13,17;3320:14, \\
\hline 3273:25;3274:6; & 3366:6;3372:12 & cont & 3323:22;3372:24 & 17;3322:6;3323:7; \\
\hline 3275:4,11,21,25; & confusion (2) & CON' & costs (1) & 3325:13,21;3326:1; \\
\hline 3276:19,21,23;3277:4, & 3348:14;3384:20 & CONTINENTAL (1) & 3266:25 & 3327:1,6;3328:4; \\
\hline 7;3280:15;3281:10,12; & conjunction (1) & 322 & counsel (20) & 3329:14,16,19,23; \\
\hline 3290:25;3292:15,17, & 3276 & continue (8) & 3274:9,18,20,22; & 3333:4;3334:16; \\
\hline 20,23;3293:11,15; & connection (16) & 3224:23;3225:25; & 3275:1,5,8,16;3277:13, & 3338:11,20;3339:2; \\
\hline 3294:4,6,9,16;3301:4, & 3228:4;3232:12; & 3273:20;3327:8; & 22;3278:2,6,8,13 & 3340:24;3341:19,21; \\
\hline 17;3302:3,15;3303:5; & 3265:8;3283:15; & 3365:4;3383:2; & 3279:14,17;3343:14; & 3346:17;3347:5,12; \\
\hline 3304:6;3307:25; & 3296:17;3314:15; & 3388:20;3402:2 & 3346:6;3370:1,4 & 3348:20;3349:8,13,21; \\
\hline 3308:2,7;3311:10; & 3315:14;3319:20; & Continued (5) & count (1) & 3350:23;3351:22; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3353: 13,16 ; 3355: 13 ; \\
& 3357: 22 ; 3359: 23 ; \\
& 3360: 15 ; 3362: 19,21 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3358:1;3363:4;3364:3; } \\
& \text { 3371:14;3372:4; } \\
& \text { 3385:4,8 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3349: 24 ; 3350: 2,3 ; \\
& 3369: 9 ; 3385: 13 \\
& \text { day }(16)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3378: 7 \\
\text { Delk (23) } \\
\text { 3299:6,9,25; }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3247: 22 ; 3249: 4 ; \\
& 3253: 20 ; 3254: 5,8 \\
& 3256: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3363:11;3364:9,13; } \\
& \text { 3365:1,3;3366:25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & D & 3230:2;3291:14; & 3300:16,21;3301:1,10; & develop (3) \\
\hline \[
3367: 8,16 ; 3376: 19
\] & D & 3324:11;3328:22; & 3306:23;3307:12; & 3318:3;3368:7 developing (1) \\
\hline 3377:7;3379:23,24; & dad (2) & 3360:8;3370:15 & 3308:8,20,23;3309:8, & 3368:10 \\
\hline 3381:13,15,23;3383:1; & 3305:23,24 & 3376:5,13;3377:18; & 13;3310:11;3311:17; & Development (5) \\
\hline 3384:1,11,17,20,22; & dad's (14) & 3385:8;3386:6;3395:3; & 3312:5;3313:2,6 & 3261:2,19,22,25; \\
\hline 3385:1,21;3389:22; & 3315:8,15,22; & 3397:2;3400:4 & Delk's (3) & 3317:20 \\
\hline 3390:3;3392:6; & 3330:19,25;3331:6 & day-to-day (1) & 3301:21;3307:14,21 & developments (1) \\
\hline 3393:13,22,24;3394:1, & 3333:11,15;3335:2 & 3288:18 & demonstrate (2) & 3298:5 \\
\hline 6;3395:9;3396:13,15; & 3336:1,13,18,22; & dead (1) & 3310:13;3319:7 & dialog (1) \\
\hline 3397:6;3398:5; & 3337:21 & 3273:3 & deny (1) & 3404:14 \\
\hline 3400:15,17;3402:7,14, & data (29) & deal (15) & 3301:22 & Dick (2) \\
\hline 24;3403:5;3404:25 & 3228:3;3233:10,13; & 3225:15;3239:23 & department (10) & 3295:2,25 \\
\hline Courthouse (2) & 3243:24;3244:1,9,15, & 3267:1;3297:15,20; & 3230:13;3239:7; & difference (2) \\
\hline 3222:12.5;3226:8 & 24;3259:9,11;3290:17, & 3298:9;3301:11; & 3240:4,9,13,14; & 3257:10;3328:23 \\
\hline courtroom (7) & 20,24;3314:11; & 3305:24,24;3306:4,8, & 3251:25;3264:13; & different (22) \\
\hline 3224:6,9;3381:7,9; & 3315:14;3316:7; & 14;3309:20,21; & 3314:4;3338:2 & 3243:4;3247:4; \\
\hline 3397:10;3402:11; & 3317:22;3318:20; & 3393:18 & departure (1) & 3258:15,18;3266:21; \\
\hline 3404:20 & 3347:19;3359:13; & dealing (1) & 3268:22 & 3281:1,12;3292:24; \\
\hline cover (7) & 3360:10;3365:7; & 3225:16 & depends (1) & 3310:3;3336:10; \\
\hline 3229:1;3230:3; & 3367:24;3375:18; & deals (1) & 3298:4 & 3349:4,11;3360:3; \\
\hline 3235:15;3316:13; & 3378:11;3387:20; & 3276:12 & deposition (15) & 3376:21,25;3377:4,10; \\
\hline 3322:3,21;3369:11 & 3391:5,8,10 & dealt (2) & 3224:16;3226:25 & 3379:15;3384:18; \\
\hline CPA (6) & date (40) & 3279:14;3395:2 & 3336:25;3337:3,7,11; & 3389:2;3398:11,12 \\
\hline 3278:4,9;3280:25; & 3237:16;3238:13, & Deb (1) & 3339:24;3341:10; & differently (3) \\
\hline 3301:2,14;3302:7 & 15;3248:6,7;3251:23; & 3351:2 & 3380:6;3382:8; & 3289:10;3337:22; \\
\hline CPA/CFO (1) & 3252:16;3265:6; & Debbie (3) & 3383:13;3385:3,12; & 3404:12 \\
\hline 3278:10 & 3283:6,9;3292:4,6; & 3351:3,3;3352: & 3393:21;3394:22 & dig (1) \\
\hline CPAs (1) & 3313:14;3314:23; & debt (2) & described (2) & 3377:8 \\
\hline 3301:19 & 3315:21;3316:20; & 3302:11;3332: & 3302:2;3388:11 & diligence (23) \\
\hline CPLR (1) & 3319:3;3321:8,15; & December (2) & describes (1) & 3249:23;3250:13; \\
\hline 3346:2 & 3330:19;3331:5,18,24; & 3343:18;3347:17 & 3296:24 & 3299:13;3300:2,13,21, \\
\hline create (2) & 3353:25;3354:5; & decide (1) & describing (1) & 24;3301:11;3304:6; \\
\hline 3346:3;3348:4 & 3355:3,6;3356:11,18; & 3396:19 & 3370:15 & 3305:14;3306:18; \\
\hline created (1) & 3358:24;3359:4; & decided (4) & description (1) & 3307:9,14,21;3308:21; \\
\hline 3244: & 3361:4,10,17,25; & 3354:15;3356:4 & 3337:25 & \(3309: 7 ; 3310: 1 ;\)
\(3311 \cdot 12 \cdot 3312.8\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { creation ( } \\
3378: 7
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3369:17,18;3378 } \\
& 3379: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & 3361:5;3395:18 decides (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { descriptions (6) } \\
& 3335: 17,24 ; 3336:
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3311: 12 ; 3312: 8 ; \\
& 3313: 3,6,11,22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline credibility (4) & dated (30) & 3281:24,25 & 8,9,16 & Dillon (26) \\
\hline 3394:15,18;3401:10; & 3227:7;3232:6; & decision (9) & designing (2) & 3343:5;3346:11,18, \\
\hline 3402:4 & 3237:16;3245:20; & 3234:12;3375:15, & 3233:19;3234 & 24;3351:7;3354:10,20, \\
\hline credit (7) & 3248:2;3249:3; & 3377:24;3378:3,6; & despite (2) & 23;3355:25;3356:14; \\
\hline 3282:9;3332:16,23; & 3252:14;3255:2; & 3388:11,14;3395:22 & 3272:13;3341:9 & 3358:21;3360:22; \\
\hline 3333:12,15,22;3334:3 & 3256:11,23;3257:24; & decisions (2) & detail (2) & 3361:12,16;3362:3,9, \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { cross (1) } \\
3338: 22
\end{gathered}
\] & 3259:19,24;3261:16; & 3331:1;3399 & 3329:3;3348:14 & 22;3363:17,24; \\
\hline 3338:22 & 3265:3,6;3270:2; & deemed (1) & details (16) & 3365:15;3370:4; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { cross-examination (1) } \\
& 3283: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3272: 5 ; 3314: 22 ; \\
& \text { 3323:1;3330:1; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
3352:18 \\
Defendant
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3234: 18 ; 3236: 3 ; \\
& 3240: 22 ; 3262: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3374: 16,22 ; 3375: 12, \\
& 14: 3380: 19
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline CRR (1) & 3334:12;3358:9,24; & 3223:7.5 & 3277:23;3278:1; & Dillon's (3) \\
\hline 3223:23.5 & 3361:12;3363:19; & Defendants (4) & 3318:14;3329:1; & 3343:3,13;3355:2 \\
\hline CSR (1) & 3371:20;3373:3,21; & 3222:11.5;3223:2.5 & 3343:21;3344:1; & dinner (1) \\
\hline 3223:23 & 3376:4 & 11,14 & 3351:8;3352:4,6,10; & 3396:11 \\
\hline current (5) & dates (9) & defendant's (2) & 3357:17;3390:10 & direct (13) \\
\hline 3224:15,18;3225:10; & 3276:8;3292:11 & 3224:14,18 & detect (1) & 3273:20;3274:24; \\
\hline 3317:19;3370:18 & 3296:5;3366:2; & definitely (5) & 3234:2 & 3275:2;3285:8; \\
\hline currently (1) & 3369:16;3376:12,13; & 3225:2;3310:20; & determine (1) & 3287:11,12;3293:3; \\
\hline 3371:7 & 3379:22,24 & 3317:2;3335:7;3351:7 & 3313:6 & 3325:13,21;3327:11; \\
\hline Cushman (12) & daughter (1) & degree (1) & Deutsche (11) & 3338:16,19;3405:2 \\
\hline 3261:6,8;3342:4; & 3403:22 & 3285:11 & 3224:15;3225:10; & directed (4) \\
\hline 3349:24;3350:4; & David (5) & delay (1) & \[
3237: 21,22 ; 3239: 8
\] & 3289:14,21;3304:3; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3338:18 & distinction (3) & 3252:3,13;3253:1; & 3225:19;3233:22; & 3354:10;3367:2; \\
\hline directing (1) & 3308:6;3310:18,20 & 3256:10;3257:18; & 3249:23;3250:13; & 3396:6 \\
\hline 3403:2 & distinctly (1) & 3258:6;3259:17; & 3299:13;3300:2,13,21, & Elena (1) \\
\hline direction (1) & 3349:4 & 3268:22;3269:12; & 24;3301:11;3304:6; & 3320:1 \\
\hline 3362:14 & distinguish (1) & 3276:11;3287:15; & 3305:14;3306:17; & elicit (2) \\
\hline directive (2) & 3247:2 & 3288:19,23;3289:7 & 3307:9,14,21;3308:21; & 3400:7;3401:13 \\
\hline 3289:16,23 & distracting (1) & 3301:3,14;3302:8,9; & 3309:7;3310:1; & eliminated (1) \\
\hline directly (6) & 3399:2 & 3321:19;3322:17; & 3311:12;3312:8; & 3302:11 \\
\hline 3286:19;3288:3 & distribute (1) & 3323:14;3342:19; & 3313:2,5,10,21; & else (8) \\
\hline 3307:12;3317:17; & 308:25 & 3347:7,3386:4,21 & 3356:11 & 3287:4,8;3301:20; \\
\hline 3384:6,7 & distributed & 3388:23 & duly (1) & 3348:5;3388:15; \\
\hline directors (1) & 3308:19;3310:6 & donat & 3284:2 & 3396:5;3398:1; \\
\hline 3295:8 & divorced (1) & 3316:25;3317:1 & during (29) & 3400:23 \\
\hline disagree (3) & 3338:13 & donation (14) & 3232:21;3234:7 & else's (1) \\
\hline 3279:4;3346:10; & DJT (5) & 3341:23;3342:1, & 3266:4;3276:11; & 3399:25 \\
\hline 3402:9 & 3222:9,9;3269:8; & 3350:1,19;3354:2,16; & 3286:11,22;3287:1,4, & e-mail (124) \\
\hline disagreeing (1) & 3323:10,14 & 3363:3;3364:4;3365:9, & \[
14,18,20 ; 3288: 1,13,17,
\] & 3228:19,23,2 \\
\hline 3400:3 & document (97) & 16;3366:11;3377:21 & \[
25 ; 3289: 2,13 ; 3290: 3 \text {, }
\] & 3229:2,5,6;3230:16,16, \\
\hline discarded (2) & 3226:11,19,21,23,24; & done (15) & 5;3310:1;3323:20; & 25;3231:8;3232:8; \\
\hline 3366:24,25 & 3227:3,5,18,20,22,23; & 3240:10;3275:5,8; & 3325:23;3329:6; & 3251:15;3295:1,14,20, \\
\hline disclosing (4) & 3228:1,7,8,9,11,14,17; & 3277:22;3278:2; & 3337:3,17;3339:11; & 24;3296:6,16;3299:24; \\
\hline 3321:8,9,16,18 & 3231:17,20,22; & 3281:2,3;3306:9,14; & 3387:11,19;3393:10 & 3300:16,22,25; \\
\hline disconnect (1) & 3236:14,17,18;3237:3, & 3335:4;3353:2;3354:7; & & 3304:21,24;3305:9,19; \\
\hline 3348:11 & 7;3241:18,20;3242:13; & 3358:5;3377:6; & E & 3306:2,22;3307:8,8,12, \\
\hline disconnects (1) & 3243:18,22;3245:4,10, & 3395:18 & & 16,22;3308:23;3309:5; \\
\hline 3348:25 & 14;3247:7,8,11,12; & Doondeg (1) & Eagle (1) & 3313:3;3315:21; \\
\hline discounted (6) & 3248:18,24;3249:18; & 3335:19 & 3285:4 & 3316:6,12,13,20; \\
\hline 3370:8,11,11,20; & 3251:8,23;3252:23; & Doral (2) & earlier (10) & 3317:22;3318:20; \\
\hline 3371:2;3372:23 & 3253:3,7,11,24;3255:5; & 3323:21;3328:10 & 3247:9;3248:5,22; & 3319:25;3322:3,11,22; \\
\hline discounting (1) & 3259:5,14;3260:19,22; & doubt (7) & 3255:16;3337:1 & 3323:1,10,14;3324:6, \\
\hline 3372:17 & 3262:12,19;3264:25; & 3353:24;3374:6; & 3342:11;3350:9; & 20;3325:14;3327:16, \\
\hline discuss (6) & 3265:16;3267:4; & 3375:12;3378:17; & 3363:3;3384:23; & 23;3328:9,18;3329:25; \\
\hline 3274:8;3325:22; & 3269:2;3270:5,8,18,25; & 3390:8;3395:25; & 3393:6 & 3330:5,17;3331:3,5,24; \\
\hline 3357:14;3385:24; & 3271:15;3272:24; & 3401:13 & early (1) & 3332:6,7,12,15,22; \\
\hline 3389:2,12 & 3273:1,11;3300:6; & down (13) & 3296:16 & 3333:8,9,18,19,20; \\
\hline discussed (14) & 3302:2,13;3307:7; & 3227:13;3235:11; & easement (20) & 3334:1,11;3335:25; \\
\hline 3230:4;3239:3; & 3310:10;3315:5; & 3236:7,3239:23; & 3261:24;3262:9; & 3336:11,14;3337:18; \\
\hline 3241:18,22;3245:6; & 3320:15,19,23,25; & 3240:23;3241:16; & 3314:22;3341:23,25; & 3343:11,17;3345:5,9, \\
\hline 3249:25;3255:16; & 3321:2;3323:8;3328:8; & 3255:20;3268:9; & 3342:6,10,24;3350:1, & 10,23;3346:7,12; \\
\hline 3274:9;3282:1; & 3347:13;3348:6,17; & 3270:10;3271:23; & 19;3354:2,16;3358:2, & 3347:15;3350:7,12; \\
\hline 3388:10;3389:1; & 3349:13;3350:21,24; & 3282:11;3294:1; & 17;3364:4;3365:9,16; & 3351:16,25;3352:2; \\
\hline 3392:12,24;3395:16 & 3351:23;3353:5; & 3372:22 & 3366:11;3377:1,21 & 3353:6;3354:12,22; \\
\hline discussing (5) & 3357:20,23;3359:24; & dozens (2) & easier (3) & 3355:2,5,8,20;3358:20; \\
\hline 3337:16;3339:10; & 3363:8,12;3367:15; & 3239:14;3393:10 & 3247:13;3258:22; & 3359:7;3360:3,3; \\
\hline 3365:10;3370:10; & 3378:8;3379:14; & draft (11) & 3298:7 & 3361:12;3363:15,15, \\
\hline 3394:10 & 3387:21 & 3306:17;3308:21; & East (1) & 22;3368:20;3370:16; \\
\hline discussion (2) & documents (8) & \(3335: 20,22 ; 3336: 12\),
\(22 \cdot 3355 \cdot 21 \cdot 3356: 1\), & 3223:14.5 & 3371:18,20;3373:3,17, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3277:16;3370:15 } \\
& \text { discussions (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3241: 6 ; 3250: 16 \\
& \text { 3266:16;3321:17 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 22;3355:21;3356:1,5, } \\
& \text { 24;3387:22 }
\end{aligned}
\] & EBITDA (5)
3391:19;3392:16 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 20;3374:7,15,21,22,25; } \\
& \text { 3375:13;3376:1,4; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3252:13,19;3274:25; & 3387:16,17,19 & drafted (3) & \[
19,22
\] & 3377:18 \\
\hline 3277:13 & dollars (4) & 3255:2;3297:9 & effect (1) & e-mailing (1) \\
\hline disgorgement (5) & 3266:1,8;3303:10; & 3386:1 & 3331:15 & 3228:20 \\
\hline 3264:2,3,9;3265:21; & 3323:23 & draw (11) & effort (3) & e-mails (14) \\
\hline 3266:2 & Dominos (1) & 3234:4;3237:19; & 3242:20;3305:16; & 3304:22;3310:17; \\
\hline dispenses (1) & 3279:19 & 3247:12,13;3251:14; & 3378:7 & 3337:16;3339:10,20; \\
\hline 3280:16 & Don (2) & 3255:22;3260:24; & efforts (1) & 3345:25;3354:19; \\
\hline display (1) & 3388:19;3389:6 & 3261:15;3262:25; & 3304:6 & 3359:3;3379:25; \\
\hline 3231:19 & DONALD (39) & 3269:4;3377:3 & eight (3) & 3383:6,19;3384:15; \\
\hline disregarded (8) & 3222:7,7,8;3225:23; & Drawing (1) & 3260:24;3347:23; & 3385:6,18 \\
\hline 3366:4,12,17,21,25; & 3226:15;3227:10; & 3252:10 & 3392:17 & emboldened (1) \\
\hline 3367:1,3,5 & 3228:1,4;3237:11; & Drew (1) & either (8) & 3229:1 \\
\hline disruptions (1) & 3238:1;3239:5; & 3333:10 & \[
3226: 24 ; 3276: 17
\] & employed (2) \\
\hline \[
3381: 20
\] & 3241:24;3243:22; & due (26) & 3285:2;3301:22,24; & 3225:12;3271:6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \(v\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline employee (3) & 3401:9,12 & everyone (3) & 3316:7;3317:22; & :6; \\
\hline 3224:15;3225:10; & en & 3364:13;3402:25; & , & 18,19; \\
\hline 3230:14 & 330:14 & 05 & 340:3;3348:18,21 & 369:6,21;3371:19; \\
\hline enacted & 6:24 & e & 3349:2 & 373:1,19;3374:20; \\
\hline 346:2 & & & excerpts & 75:25;3378:12; \\
\hline encourage & 323 & 1:17, & 3337:7 & 3391:5 \\
\hline 3279:6 & eq & .57. & exc & exhibits (1) \\
\hline end (6) & 3303:9 & 3241:18;3243:19 & 3295:1;3332:15,2 & 3358:23 \\
\hline 3298: & E & 5,8,9,10;324 & 3374:7 & exits (3) \\
\hline 3354: & & 3251:8;3253:14,18; & ex & 73:15 \\
\hline 3382:14;3395:3 & 3261:16;3270:20 & 3254:24;3255:9,14 & 3306:22;3351:1 & 364:14 \\
\hline ENDEAVOR (6) & 3284:15;3285:4 & 60:23; & 3358:20,22 & expand (1) \\
\hline 3222:9.5;3238:4,6 & 3291:3;3295:23; & 3262:18;3263:18; & excuse (6) & 3335:5 \\
\hline 3249:4;3254:4; & 3315:7;3316:24; & 3264:18,22;3265:16 & 3284:22;3381:5, & xpanding \\
\hline 3256:17 & 3327:8;3335 & 3266:6;3267:5;3268:8; & \[
3393: 17,24 ; 3394
\] & 3396:25 \\
\hline ended (1) & 3343:20;3370:8 & 3270:5,8;3273:1,11; & excused (4) & expectation \\
\hline 3394:24 & 3375:1,5,21;3376 & 3280:10;3290:18; & 3283:23;328 & 3355:24;3356:22 \\
\hline ending (2) & 3378:14;3386:22; & 3296:10,15;3300:6,11; & 3395:12;3405 & expected (2) \\
\hline 3289:5;3 & 3388:19,23;3389:6 & 3303:22;3305:2,8; & execute (1) & 3276:4;3356 \\
\hline energy (1) & erro & , & 22 & expedite (1) \\
\hline 3327:7 & 3233:22;3 & 3320:11,15;3323:5,8; & executed ( & 3243:18 \\
\hline enforceme & escrow & 3328:2,7;3329:22,24; & 3266:16;3309:2 & expert (1) \\
\hline 3280:2;3393:4 & 3263:9;3 & 3333:2,7;3334:15,19; & 0:24;3321:1 & 3239:9 \\
\hline engagement (32) & ESQ (18) & 3342:14;3346:19; & executive (5) & experts (2) \\
\hline \[
3261: 6,12 ; 3262
\] & 3222:21.5 & 3347:13,20;3350:24 & 3281:18;3286:7,1 & 3239:23;3391:18 \\
\hline 3342:15,17;3343:6,15; & 23 & 3351:19,23;3353:5,14, & 3287:22;3288:5 & explain (5) \\
\hline 3344:2;3345:21; & 3223:4,4.5,5,5.5,6,9 & 18;3354:18;3357:2,19, & executives (1) & 81:16;3 \\
\hline 3346:23;3347:6; & 9.5,12.5,15.5,18 & 23;3358:7;3359:24; & 3289:7 & 67:17;3393:23; \\
\hline 3353:23;3354:6,8 & establish (1) & 360:13,17;3361:11; & exercise (15) & 3394:6 \\
\hline 3358:1,8,11,15; & 337 & 3363:11,12;3364:7; & 3246:12-33 & exploring ( \\
\hline 3359:11,18;3361:5,17, & established & 3368:19;3369:21; & 3309:7,12,17,3310:1; & 3342:9 \\
\hline 25;3362:4,10,23; & 3266:7;331 & 3371:20;3373:2,19; & 3312:8;3313:3,6,11; & expressed \\
\hline 3366:12;3369:14 & 3318:9;3379:5;3396:3, & 374:21;3378:12; & 7;3358:18; & 30:1 \\
\hline 3377:21;3379:2; & 5 & 391:5,12;3399:18; & 3359:14;3376:2 & expressly (4) \\
\hline 3385:15;3397:25 & Estate (3) & 401:13 & exercises (4) & 314:17;3315:13; \\
\hline engagements (1) & 89 & evidentiary & 3349 & \(3328: 14,18\)
extensive (1) \\
\hline 80:3 & & & 3376:21;3379:15 & extensive \\
\hline \[
3370: 2
\] & & EVP (3) & Exhibit (87) & \\
\hline ENGORON & 3345:15,19;3369:3 & 3287 & 3237:8;3245:6,11; & 336:4;3379 \\
\hline 3222:16;3224:2 & estimates (1) & exac & 3251:10;3253:17 & extent (2) \\
\hline 3327:2 & 3372 & 3267:2;32 & 3255:13;3259:3; & 3274:7;3278 \\
\hline enough & estimatin & 3306:10,12;3324:4 & 3264:21,23;3268:7 & extremely (2) \\
\hline 3227:19; & 3342:23;3370:18 & 3340:19;3385:22; & 3270:9;3273:12; & 3352:22;3401:18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3347: 11 ; 3362: 18 ; \\
& 3370 \cdot 1 ; 3391 \cdot 11
\end{aligned}
\] & et (3) & 3389:24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3290: 17 ; 3294: 25 \\
& 3295: 7 ; 3296: 14 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
3372:17;3391: \\
nsure (1)
\end{tabular} & 3278:4,4;3282:4
etc (1) & exactly (4) & 3295:7;329 & F \\
\hline 3281:9 & 3306 & 3 & 3300:10;3304:20 & F/S (4) \\
\hline enter (1) & European & examination (4) & 3305:7;3306:18,2 & 3322:4,7;3323:11,14 \\
\hline 3343:6 & 3335:3 & 3273:21;3285: & 3307:5;3315 & facility (2) \\
\hline entire (1) & even & 33 & 3316:22;3319:2 & 3266:2, \\
\hline 3348:13 & 32 & examined ( & 3320:8,16;3322:25,25; & fact (44) \\
\hline entirely (1) & 3274 & 338 & 3323:9;3327:14,22; & 3228:2 \\
\hline 3281:1 & 3280:3;3319:20; & examp & 3328:6;3329:22; & 3236:20,23;3242:2 \\
\hline entirety (1) & 3346:20;3380:25; & 3271:16;3281: & 3332:14;3333:6; & 3256:19;3257:5; \\
\hline 3229:15 & 3381:21;3394:13; & 3323:21 & 3334:10,10,14,18,2 & 3263:15;3267:8,23; \\
\hline entities (7) & 3395:12;3396:15 & & 3342:13,15;3343:9 & 3280:10;3290:3; \\
\hline 3271:18,21,2 & event (1) & 3316 & 3347:6,9,14;3350:5,25; & 3291:11;3294:2; \\
\hline 3272:3;3285:22,25; & 3283:14 & except (2) & 3351:14,24;3353:4; & 3298:25;3304:4; \\
\hline 3314:5 & events (1) & 3358:23;33 & 3354:18;3355:5; & 3305:20;3306:4; \\
\hline entitled (6) & 3381:20 & exception (4) & 3356:8,9,19;3357:2,24; & 3309:1;3314:15; \\
\hline 3296:2;3300:13; & everybody (2) & \[
3338: 22 ; 3346: 1,3,11
\] & 3358:7,20;3359:25; & 3319:2,18;3323:25; \\
\hline 3320:20;3398:8; & \[
3326: 1 ; 3339: 1
\] & excerpt (9) & \[
3360: 2,18,19 ; 3361: 11
\] & 3324:6;3332:3; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3333:23;3337:18; & 3228:9;3241:23 & 3223:6 & 3275:3,10,21,25; & 3258:4,4;3259:21; \\
\hline 3339:12,16,16;3340:1, & family (5) & Ferry (12) & 3276:19,21,23;3277:4, & 3260:8;3261:15; \\
\hline 12;3341:13;3346:17; & 3247:8,11;3265:25; & 3251:16,17;3263:4, & 7;3280:15;3281:10,11, & 3270:1;3271:18; \\
\hline 3351:1;3355:9; & 3285:14,17 & 10;3264:1,13,25; & 21;3282:19;3288:6; & 3280:15;3282:13; \\
\hline 3373:16;3377:23; & far (1) & 3265:7,9;3266:15,22; & 3290:25;3292:15,17, & 3284:25;3294:22; \\
\hline 3379:13;3393:6,18; & 3400:5 & 3267:15 & 20,23;3293:11,15; & 3295:13;3297:1; \\
\hline 3396:2,3,22 & FARINA (1) & few (15) & 3294:4,6,9,16;3300:2, & 3301:1;3303:2; \\
\hline facts (2) & 3223:9.5 & 3228:16;3247:10 & 13,21,24;3301:2,4,13, & 3305:10;3313:12; \\
\hline 3317:1;3319:14 & fashion (1) & 3264:6;3271:15; & 16,19;3302:3,10,14; & 3320:2,20;3321:12; \\
\hline fade (1) & 3278:23 & 3282:25;3297:9; & 3303:5,11,14;3304:5; & 3330:17;3337:8; \\
\hline 3294:2 & fashioning (1) & 3337:6;3342:10; & 3307:24;3308:2,6,17; & 3354:22;3355:5; \\
\hline FAHERTY (59) & 3280:11 & 3361:4;3380:21; & 3309:2;3310:12,14,16; & 3381:4;3392:6;3397:9; \\
\hline 3222:22;3225:22; & faster (1) & 3384:15;3387:18; & 3311:10,20;3313:7,18; & 3400:21,24 \\
\hline \[
3226: 1,10 ; 3227: 14
\] & 3252:22 & 3392:17;3400:14; & 3314:7,8,18;3315:8,15, & fish (1) \\
\hline 3228:13;3229:19; & fastforward (1) & 3401:8 & 22,25;3316:4;3318:21; & 3306:10 \\
\hline 3231:13,16;3235:20, & 3385:23 & field (1) & 3319:5,7,19;3321:19, & fit (1) \\
\hline \[
24 ; 3236: 2,13 ; 3237: 4 \text {, }
\] & father (30) & 3371:14 & 20,24;3322:7,13,14,15, & \[
3264: 8
\] \\
\hline 9;3241:17;3243:17; & 3236:25;3286:14,16, & FIELDS (1) & 16,22;3323:14,18; & five (6) \\
\hline 3245:3,12;3247:6; & 20,24;3287:2,5,7,9,11; & 3223:4.5 & 3324:2,7,15,17,21,24; & 3233:25;3249:1; \\
\hline 3250:20;3251:5; & 3288:13;3289:9,11,14, & Fifth (5) & 3325:2,8,16,18; & 3260:8;3284:9,10; \\
\hline 3252:22;3253:13; & 17,21;3293:14;3294:3, & 3223:17;3237:11; & 3327:18;3328:15,19, & 3364:9 \\
\hline \[
3254: 22 ; 3255: 8,11 ;
\] & 6;3310:12;3319:4; & 3393:20;3395:4; & \[
25 ; 3329: 3 ; 3330: 19,25
\] & Five-minute (2) \\
\hline 3257:15;3258:5,24; & 3324:18,20;3325:15; & 3401:21 & 3331:6,8,12,19;3332:1, & 3322:6;3360:15 \\
\hline 3259:13;3262:17; & 3331:18;3332:8; & fight (1) & 8;3333:11,15;3334:2, & fix (1) \\
\hline 3263:17;3264:1,10,19; & 3334:2;3335:10; & 3353:19 & 6;3335:2,10,15,21; & 3273:6 \\
\hline 3265:15;3266:10,14; & 3336:3,4 & fighting (1) & 3336:1,3,5,13,18,22; & fixed (1) \\
\hline 3267:3,12;3268:3,10, & father's (36) & 3397:17 & 3337:19,21,22; & 3391:21 \\
\hline 12,15;3269:1;3270:4,7, & 3286:13;3288:24; & figure (6) & 3339:13,14,19;3340:2, & flip (1) \\
\hline 10,17;3272:25;3273:3, & 3289:5;3292:15,20; & 3251:22;3272:14,14; & 16;3341:12,16;3366:9; & 3373:8 \\
\hline 7,9,14,22;3274:15; & 3294:16;3301:4,16; & 3331:10,13;3367:6 & 3367:6;3385:25; & floors (1) \\
\hline 3283:19,25 & 3302:2,14;3303:4,14; & figures (5) & 3386:17,21;3387:20,23 & 3229:15 \\
\hline failed (1) & 3304:5;3311:10; & 3348:8,9;3349:17, & financials (22) & Flores (4) \\
\hline 3276:17 & 3314:17;3315:25; & 17,19 & 3270:15;3308:3,12, & 3386:5;3387:2; \\
\hline Fair (31) & 3318:21;3319:9,19; & fill (1) & 16;3309:8,11,14; & 3388:12;3391:24 \\
\hline 3227:2,19,22; & 3321:24;3322:13,15; & 3260:8 & 3310:1,2,5,9,17; & Florida (2) \\
\hline 3228:3;3233:20; & 3324:2,7,15;3325:2,8; & filled (1) & 3311:7,9;3312:7,11,11; & 3223:3.5;3285:5 \\
\hline 3266:15,18;3270:12; & 3327:17;3328:15,19; & 3316:12 & 3313:2,16,20;3314:3; & flow (8) \\
\hline 3276:3;3286:13,22; & 3331:8;3335:15; & final (5) & 3328:24 & 3271:12;3370:8,11, \\
\hline 3288:17;3289:6,13; & 3337:19;3339:12,14; & 3298:17;3342:4; & find (4) & 11,20;3371:2,8; \\
\hline 3290:12;3306:7; & 3340:15 & 3361:6;3369:18; & 3279:22;3315:9 & 3372:23 \\
\hline 3308:7;3313:22; & favor (1) & 3387:22 & 3316:14;3369:10 & flow/operating (1) \\
\hline 3314:1;3342:23; & 3298:16 & finalize (1) & fine (14) & 3272:10 \\
\hline 3346:25;3347:11; & favorable (1) & 3364:4 & 3239:9,10;3240:3; & FNBLI (1) \\
\hline 3358:16;3362:17; & 3401:18 & finalized (2) & 3241:2;3249:24; & 3320:1 \\
\hline 3372:16;3381:23,24; & favorite (1) & 3242:6;3363:24 & 3250:4,8,15,21;3251:1; & focus (6) \\
\hline 3391:11;3397:19; & 3353:18 & finally (1) & 3254:20;3285:17; & 3365:7;3380:11; \\
\hline 3398:20;3404:3 & fear (1) & 3355:8 & 3338:17;3348:23 & 3385:3,7,8,10 \\
\hline fairly (3) & 3279:7 & finance (3) & finish (1) & focused (2) \\
\hline 3238:21;3248:14; & February (7) & 3285:12;3332:4; & 3374:17 & 3333:17;3335:12 \\
\hline 3324:23 & 3272:5;3298:19; & 3389:16 & FINKELSTEIN (1) & focusing (1) \\
\hline fall (3) & 3301:1;3304:22; & Financial (176) & 3222:25 & 3275:19 \\
\hline 3280:2;3385:24; & 3310:11;3319:21; & 3226:15;3227:11; & firm (11) & follow (7) \\
\hline 3392:23 & 3334:12 & 3228:1,5;3232:13; & 3243:4,7;3257:21; & 3230:24;3276:17; \\
\hline familiar (23) & feel (4) & 3233:10,13,21; & 3281:21;3301:2,14; & 3289:15,23;3362:12, \\
\hline 3226:19;3227:20; & 3397:16,16,22; & 3238:11,14,17,19, & 3302:7;3342:18,19; & 14;3371:23 \\
\hline 3228:3,6,7;3237:22; & 3399:3 & 3241:24;3242:3,16,17, & 3343:13;3385:4 & following (13) \\
\hline 3238:6;3251:17; & feet (1) & 21,24;3243:2,5,10,14, & firms (1) & 3232:20,24;3249:13; \\
\hline 3261:5,8,21;3277:18; & 3229:15 & 23;3244:2,10,25; & 3385:7 & 3256:19,22;3257:5; \\
\hline 3289:25;3294:18,23; & feet' (1) & 3248:8,11,14;3249:7, & first (39) & 3269:14;3295:24; \\
\hline 3332:19;3337:19; & 3229:24 & 10;3252:12;3257:2,17, & 3229:1,13,21; & 3312:13;3344:3; \\
\hline 3339:12,14;3367:18; & female (1) & 20;3258:10,13,14,16; & 3232:18;3237:2,10; & 3355:9;3363:5; \\
\hline 3368:7;3370:21,24 & 3396:22 & 3259:4,10;3264:12; & 3238:16;3247:13; & 3382:16 \\
\hline familiarity (2) & FERIS (1) & 3273:25;3274:6; & 3251:14;3253:21; & follows (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \(v\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3226:4;3285:1 & 3374:3 & 3369:13 & 3255:10;3270:6; & 3385:18 \\
\hline follow-up (1) & frankly (7) & gave (3) & 3273:8;3334:16 & handing (3) \\
\hline 3363:21 & 3234:17;3398:25; & 3246:1;3351:4; & granular (1) & 3267:3;3269:1; \\
\hline footage (1) & 3399:2,8,13,22;3400:5 & 3378:24 & 3239:24 & 3270:17 \\
\hline 3377:2 & fraud (3) & GENERAL (12) & great (4) & handled (1) \\
\hline footnote (7) & 3233:22;3234:2; & 3222:3,19;3239:19; & 3236:1;3258:21; & 3380:19 \\
\hline 3316:24;3317:8,10, & 3281:22 & \[
3280: 1 ; 3285: 18,20
\] & 3350:8;3401:16 & happen (5) \\
\hline 10,13,14,15 & free (7) & 3350:14;3351:1; & greater (1) & 3280:12;3291:9; \\
\hline footnotes (7) & 3233:21;3278:11; & 3394:11,22;3404:6,15 & 3348:14 & 3292:9;3352:7; \\
\hline 3334:20;3335:2,6,9, & 3281:17;3352:24,25; & generally (5) & greatly (1) & 3399:11 \\
\hline 14;3336:1,19 & 3353:1,2 & 3240:7;3241:9 & 3262:23 & happened (4) \\
\hline Forbes (4) & frequent (1) & 3261:23;3270:14; & Greenfield (1) & 3333:10;3374:6,11; \\
\hline 3228:22;3229:14; & 3398:1 & 3352:9 & 3396:10 & 3400:1 \\
\hline 3231:2;3232:9 & frequently (1) & General's (7) & gross (2) & happening (3) \\
\hline forbescom (1) & 3397:16 & 3273:24;3275:13; & 3391:19,20 & 3280:18;3402:23; \\
\hline 3228:20 & Friday (2) & 3277:12;3282:4; & Ground (2) & 3404:12 \\
\hline foregoing (2) & 3225:15;3228:21 & 3337:1;3393:3,7 & 3263:20;3324:10 & happens (1) \\
\hline 3238:21;3248:13 & front (5) & generate (1) & group (6) & 3284:22 \\
\hline foreign (1) & 3226:17;3231:22; & 3371:8 & 3372:14,18;3373:5, & happily (1) \\
\hline 3392:4 & 3232:5;3241:20; & Georgetown (1) & 13;3377:19;3388:16 & 3403:21 \\
\hline formal (3) & 3260:19 & 3285:11 & Grove (4) & happy (4) \\
\hline 3354:15;3356:5; & fruition (1) & gets (1) & 3295:2,4,9;3296:6 & 3393:23;3398:22; \\
\hline 3358:1 & 3294:11 & 3345:25 & guarantor (0) & 3399:16;3401:16 \\
\hline formally (4) & fry (1) & Given (5) & 3237:25;3238:12,22; & harassing (1) \\
\hline 3245:4,7;3254:23; & 3306:11 & 3284:19;3317:2,19; & 3248:6,15;3256:9 & 3396:8 \\
\hline 3255:9 & fulfilled (1) & 3324:12;3399:4 & guarantor's (2) & harassment (1) \\
\hline formed (1) & 3233:18 & gives (2) & \[
3238: 17 ; 3248: 10
\] & 3383:25 \\
\hline 3275:23 & fully (2) & 3398:7,7 & guaranty (1) & hard (1) \\
\hline former (1) & 3234:6;3298:17 & giving (4) & 3238:18 & 3240:16 \\
\hline 3224:15 & function (1) & 3276:22;3302:7; & Guard (1) & hardly (1) \\
\hline forth (4) & 3281:21 & 3308:18;3336:25 & \[
3360: 5
\] & 3385:19 \\
\hline 3359:4;3382:6; & functioning (1) & goes (3) & guess (6) & HAREN (1) \\
\hline 3385:14;3403:6 & 3236:24 & 3239:25;3394:15; & 3236:24,25;3283:17; & 3222:23 \\
\hline forward (18) & funding (1) & 3400:19 & 3298:4;3346:25; & hash (1) \\
\hline 3281:9;3296:6; & 3267:1 & Golf (17) & 3404:25 & 3381:6 \\
\hline 3298:25;3299:3,10; & funny (1) & 3263:9;3265:9; & guidance (3) & Hawthorn (6) \\
\hline 3305:23;3306:5; & \[
3395: 11
\] & 3294:18;3295:12,16; & 3391:17;3392:1,15 & 3278:3;3280:24,24; \\
\hline 3325:10;3350:18; & furnish (2) & 3323:20;3367:19; & guy (4) & 3281:16;3283:1,15 \\
\hline 3352:2;3356:4;3367:9; & 3321:8,16 & 3377:3;3388:10,24; & 3385:9;3389:18,21; & Hawthorn's (1) \\
\hline 3368:24;3369:2; & furnished (1) & 3389:13;3390:14,22; & 3390:9 & 3283:3 \\
\hline 3372:22,23;3373:16; & 3321:17 & 3391:2,18,18;3392:10 & Guys (1) & head (7) \\
\hline 3374:12 & further (8) & Good (0) & 3353:15 & 3246:15;3255:19; \\
\hline forwarded (3) & 3240:23;3271:23; & 3226:5,6;3285:10; & & 3260:21;3261:14; \\
\hline 3321:2;3340:3; & 3283:19;3303:16; & 3327:4;3369:9;3390:9 & H & 3370:13;3372:20; \\
\hline 3377:20 & 3374:17;3386:9; & Google (6) & & 3399:6 \\
\hline forwarding (8) & 3396:23;3401:2
future (3) & 3386:17;3387:8,11, & & heading (2) \\
\hline 3295:20;3296:2,24; & future (3) & 25;3394:16;3401:22 & 3223:10.5,12.5; & 3229:6,10 \\
\hline \(3322: 12 ; 3359: 8,17 ;\)
\(3360: 4\) & \(3280: 12 ; 3296: 25 ;\)
3371.8 & government (3) & 3302:19;3303:15,17, & headings (1) \\
\hline 3360:4,8 & 3371:8 & 3279:21;3280:21; & 23;3325:4;3398:22 & 3229:1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { forwards (2) } \\
& \mathbf{3 2 3 0 : 6 ; 3 3 2 0 : 3}
\end{aligned}
\] & G & 3281:23 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Habba's (1) } \\
3401: 15
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hear (4) } \\
& 3268: 13,14 ; 3273: 8 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline found (1) & & 3278:15 & half (1) & 3397:23 \\
\hline 3281:22 & GABER (1) & government's (2) & 3294:22 & heard (3) \\
\hline four (4) & 3222:24.5 & 3265:24;3281:2 & hand (14) & 3267:19;3390:18,24 \\
\hline 3233:17;3265:12; & gag (2) & graduated (1) & 3226:10,14;3228:13; & hearing (1) \\
\hline 3343:2;3380:22 & 3396:25;3398:16 & 3285:11 & 3231:20;3243:21; & 3404:10 \\
\hline fourth (1) & game (1) & graduating (1) & 3252:23;3264:23; & hearsay (4) \\
\hline 3253:6 & 3346:25 & 3285:15 & 3294:24;3306:9,14; & 3346:1,3,10,15 \\
\hline frame (13) & GARTEN (7) & grammatical (1) & 3329:23;3356:24; & heart (1) \\
\hline 3237:20;3275:19; & 3223:18;3228:20; & 3330:21 & 3358:18;3359:15 & 3282:8 \\
\hline 3292:13,19;3293:14; & 3230:3,6;3387:2; & Granted (8) & handed (2) & Heffernan (14) \\
\hline \(3294: 3,5,12 ; 3343: 24 ;\)
\(3357: 25 ; 3365: 9,14 ;\) & 3388:12;3395:15
gathering (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3231:15;3237:6; } \\
& \text { 3245:9:3253:15 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
3247: 11 ; 3262: 19
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3342: 16 ; 3343: 11,18, \\
& \mathbf{~ 5 . ~} 2345 \cdot 1410 .
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3357:25;3365:9,14; & gathering (1) & 3245:9;3253:15; & handful (1) & 25;3345:14,19; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \(v\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline , & 32 & & included (3) & 11,14;3325:17; \\
\hline 10;3349:3,14,17 & 3243:18;3245:3,12; & I & 3252:6;3332:9; & 3327:18;3328:10,13; \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Heffernan's (2) } \\
& \text { 3345:9;3346:7 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 3247:6;3251:7; & & 386:4 & 3338:2;3339:18; \\
\hline & 3252:22;3253:13; & idea (6) & including ( & 3340:4,14,19;3341:15, \\
\hline help (7) & 3254:22;3255:8; & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3282: 10 ; 3285: 21 ; \\
& 3302: 5 ; 3312: 7 ; 3313: 1
\end{aligned}
\]} & 3310:10;3321:18; & 15;3348:2;3349:1,2; \\
\hline 3226:21;3227:22; & 3258:25;3262:18,19; & & \[
3337: 18 ; 3397: 1
\] & 3369:12,13;3376:9,9; \\
\hline 3323:24;3372:2; & 3263:17;3264:2,7,19; & & & 3387:12;3398 \\
\hline 3389:25;3390:4; & 3265:15,17,19; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { ideally (1) } \\
3355: 21
\end{array}
\]} & 3292:16;3319:12,16 & informed (2) \\
\hline 3396:19 & 3266:10;3267:3,12 & & 28:17;3345:5,7; & 3224:21;3240:9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { helps (1) } \\
3256: 1
\end{array}
\]} & 3268:3,4,10;3269:1 & identification (14) & 3380:16;3383:10 & in-house (1) \\
\hline & 3270:4,17;3272:25; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3228:15;3236:15;} & \[
3385: 5
\] & 3372:14 \\
\hline hereby (1) & 3273:3,22;3274:7,14 & & incredibly (1) & initial (5) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(3238: 12\)
hereof (5)} & 16;3277:5,8;3279:23; & 3269:2;3270:18; & \[
3377: 2
\] & \[
3343: 21,25 ; 3345: 15,
\] \\
\hline & 3281:24,25;3282:20; & 3327:22;3343:10; & indeed (1) & 19;3402:9 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3238: 13 ; 3248: 6 ; \\
& 3265: 6 ; 3321: 8,15
\end{aligned}
\]} & 3283:19,22;3284:15; & 3350:6;3351:15; & \[
3240: 4
\] & injunctive (1) \\
\hline & 3296:9;3299:19; & 3356:8;3358:19; & indemnity (1) & 3278:23 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3265:6;3 } \\
& \text { here's (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3300:5;3303:15,22 & 3360:2,20 & 3268:20 & injury (2) \\
\hline 3308:8 & 3304:12;3305:1,4; & identified (9) & indented (1) & \[
3280: 5 ; 3401: 4
\] \\
\hline hereto (1) & 3306:25;3307:2; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
3226: 12 ; 3231: 21
\]} & 3297:1 & input (3) \\
\hline 3238:17 & 3310:19;3311:23; & & independent (3) & \[
3335: 14,21,23
\] \\
\hline heretofore (1)
3402:1 & 3313:22,24;3315:1; & 3251:9;3252:23; & 3227:6;3242:6; & inquire (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3402:1 } \\
& \text { HERNANDEZ (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3325:10;3327:10 & \[
3260: 23 ; 3261: 12
\] & Ind & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3281: 5,7 \\
& \text { |uiries (1 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3223:5.5 & 3328:1;3329:9;3333 & identifying ( & 3222:5.5 & 3234:6 \\
\hline Hi (1) & 3334:14;3336:3; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3324:6} & indicate (4) & quiry (8) \\
\hline 3315:7 & 3338:4,7;3339:1; & & 3252:15;330 & 3228:22;323 \\
\hline hiding (1) & 3340:22;3346:16; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3323:20} & 3350:8;3355:17 & 3274:5; \\
\hline 3324:18 & 3348:11;3351:20; & & indicated (5) & 3278:21;3280:14,20,23 \\
\hline hierarchy (5) & 3353:11;3357:18; & \[
3227: 23 ; 3235: 11
\] & 3307:15;3313:12; & insane (2) \\
\hline 3286:14,23;3287:17, & 3359:21;3360:12; & \[
3262: 14 ; 3271: 2
\] & \[
3347: 15,21 ; 3374: 7
\] & 3230:19;3232:9 \\
\hline 21;3288:1 & 3363:9;3365:5; & 3274:3,18;3283:8; & indicates (5) & insert (1) \\
\hline high (4) & 3366:20;3376:17; & 3298:5;3362:6 & \[
3267: 20 ; 3292: 12
\] & 3238:15 \\
\hline 3285:21;3290:3; & 3381:1;3383:3;3384:3, & immaterial (2) & 3303:8;3347:7;3372:6 & inside (1) \\
\hline 3330:20,25 & 21,25;3389:25; & 3382:8;3393:15 & indicating (1) & 3240:2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{highlighted (1)
\(3297: 11\)} & 3394:17;3396:12; & impeach (1) & 3365:10 & insist (1) \\
\hline & \(3399: 3 ; 3400: 14 ;\)
3402.8 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{impeachment (1)} & \begin{tabular}{l}
individual (5) \\
3241-3.3274.13
\end{tabular} & \[
3251: 3
\] \\
\hline highlights (1) & 3402:8 & & 3241:3;3274:13 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { inspect (2) } \\
\mathbf{3 3 5 0 : 1 3},
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Highway (1) } \\
3223: 11.5
\end{gathered}
\]} & 3222:16;3224:2; & 3399:18 & 3398:11 & inspection (6) \\
\hline & 3327:2 & \[
3233: 19 ; 3234: 1
\] & individually (1) & \[
3350: 17 ; 3352: 2,7,
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3223: 11.5 \\
& \text { Hill (1) } \\
& 3360: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & Honor's (4) & important (3) & 3274:10 & \[
16,20 ; 3353: 1
\] \\
\hline 3360:5 & 3398:14,21;3404:22, & 3352:19,22;3397:21 & individuals (4) & instances (1) \\
\hline himself (2)
3306:1;3394:20 & 23 & improper (4) & 240:22;3288:21,23 & 3276:16 \\
\hline hold (13) & Hook & 3263:22;3347:3; & 998 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Instead (1) } \\
3282: 3
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 3255:21;3264:15; & hope & impropriety (2) & 391:181 & instruct (1) \\
\hline 3313:19;3330:23; & 3368:2 & mproprety & influence (6) & 3274:11 \\
\hline 3368:5,16,17;3371:7; & hoping (2) & impugn (2) & 3381:16,17,18,2 & instructed (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3374: 17 ; 3375: 16 ; \\
& 3377: 24 ; 3378: 4,6
\end{aligned}
\] & 3282:22;3369:16
hour (2) & 3397:18;3403:19 & 3382:2,15 & 3338:5;3378:20 \\
\hline & hour (2) & impugning (1) & influences (1) & instruction (1) \\
\hline HOLDINGS (3) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3337:17;3352: } \\
& \text { hours (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3398:9 & 3382:14 information & \begin{tabular}{l}
3378:24 \\
instructions (4)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline home (1) & 3337:17;3339:11; & 3370:15 & 3233:11;3234:13, & 3263:9;3265:6 \\
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PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \(v\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3254:24;3357:18 & 3400:4,10 & nod (1) & 3366:22;3375:5,9; & 3356:13 \\
\hline moving (3) & needed (7) & 3399:6 & 3401:9,23 & o'clock (1) \\
\hline 3288:11;3299:9; & 3292:13;3294:15; & non-business (2) & numbered (5) & 3404:25 \\
\hline 3327:6 & 3314:16;3316:3; & 3271:24;3272:3 & 3233:3;3246:7,9; & October (21) \\
\hline Mr's (1) & 3333:10;3352:7; & non-counsel (1) & 3260:6,12 & 3242:7;3245:20; \\
\hline 3276:4 & 3369:14 & 3274:19 & numbers (5) & 3248:2;3249:3;3254:8; \\
\hline much (15) & needing (1) & non-CPA (1) & \[
3240: 24 ; 3260: 8
\] & 3255:3;3256:11,16,23; \\
\hline 3234:17;3266:11,13, & 3389:12 & 3278:9 & 3317:9;3318:10; & 3257:24;3259:19,24; \\
\hline 17,22;3268:19; & needle (1) & non-disclosure (1) & 3376:24 & 3361:12;3374:16; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3333:18;3344:2; } \\
& \text { 3348:13;3357:6,16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3277:20
needs (9) & 3309:13
None (3) & 0 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3375:7,15;3377:25; } \\
& \text { 3378:3;3387:10; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3362:1;3366:7; & 3280:12;3318:20,22; & 3257:12;3395:21; & & 3393:2,3 \\
\hline 3389:20;3398:17 & 3324:7,14,15;3376:18; & 3398:23 & oath (4) & off (24) \\
\hline multiple (2) & 3382:14;3395:7 & nonetheless (1) & 3337:4;3390:5; & 3239:10;3246:15; \\
\hline 3380:1;3386:18 & negative (1) & 3280:7 & 3393:8;3394:13 & 3251:1;3255:18; \\
\hline mutual (1) & 3391:19 & nor (1) & object (2) & 3260:21;3261:14; \\
\hline 3361:16 & negligence (1) & 3325:7 & \[
3299: 20 ; 3303: 15
\] & 3279:14;3330:18,23, \\
\hline myself (5) & 3280:10 & normal (1) & objecting (1) & 23,23;3332:3;3351:3; \\
\hline 3258:24;3272:21; & negotiated (1) & 3391:18 & 3381:22 & 3370:13;3372:20; \\
\hline 3274:9;3387:2; & 3298:17 & NORTH (8) & objection (46) & 3374:17;3375:16; \\
\hline 3399:13 & negotiating (2) & 3222:9.5;3223:3 & 3224:14;3263:19; & 3377:24;3378:4,6; \\
\hline \(\mathbf{N}\) & negotiation & 3294:19;3316:25; & 3266:9,12;3268:4; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3398:7,8,10;3399 } \\
& \text { offer (7) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & 3297:15;3301:8 & 3317:11 & 3274:7;3276:20; & 3297:10,13,17,18,22, \\
\hline nail (1) & negotiations (3) & nose (2) & 3277:2,14;3279:17 & 24;3298:4 \\
\hline 3294:1 & 3298:20,25;3299:3 & 3394:23,24 & 3282:20;3284:21; & OFFICE (6) \\
\hline name (9) & net (12) & note (16) & 3296:11;3299:21; & 3222:10,19;3247:25; \\
\hline 3252:6;3285:2; & 3252:16;3267:2; & 3225:8,9;3317:14, & 3300:7;3302:19; & 3256:24;3288:13; \\
\hline 3295:17;3299:7; & 3272:10;3293:16; & 15;3365:10,11,24; & 3303:21;3304:1; & 3393:7 \\
\hline 3317:18;3351:2; & 3301:3,14;3302:8; & 3377:11,15;3378:12, & 3305:3;3307:2;3308:1; & OFFICER (8) \\
\hline 3385:13,19,20 & 3331:15,20;3332:4,9; & 13,18;3391:16;3392:1, & 3310:15;3315:3; & 3224:1;3226:14; \\
\hline named (3) & 3391:21 & 8;3396:9 & 3319:11;3320:12; & 3273:17;3285:2; \\
\hline 3283:1;3295:2; & neutral (1) & noted (6) & 3323:6;3325:4;3333:3; & 3288:7;3327:1; \\
\hline 3320:1 & 3332:4 & 3224:13;3292:8 & 3341:17;3346:16; & 3329:23;3365:1 \\
\hline namely (1) & NEW (29) & 3302:9;3317:15; & 3347:12;3350:22; & offices (3) \\
\hline 3239:4 & 3222:1,1.5,2.5,3.5, & 3319:3;3376:5 & 3366:20;3367:8; & 3276:13;3305:15; \\
\hline National (4) & 13.5,13.5,19.5,21,21; & notes (19) & \[
3381: 3,11,12,12,13
\] & \[
3337: 1
\] \\
\hline 3320:2,20;3321:12; & 3223:8.5,12,15,15,17.5, & 3291:2;3292:1,19; & 3383:24;3385:17,22; & official (1) \\
\hline 3367:19 & 17.5;3224:17;3225:3, & 3315:14;3317:17; & 3393:12 & 3388:17 \\
\hline native (1) & 12;3237:11,11; & 3323:17;3324:2; & obligation (1) & often (4) \\
\hline 3314:11 & 3251:25;3275:13; & 3325:18;3327:17; & 3278:20 & 3293:3;3314:4; \\
\hline nature (1) & 3309:8,10;3316:25; & 3328:15,21;3375:20; & observations (2) & 3397:16;3399:4 \\
\hline 3228:7 & 3317:10;3360:1,5; & 3399:5,7;3403:6; & 3397:12;3402:23 & Oftentimes (1) \\
\hline NC (1) & 3372:16 & 3404:5,7,14,16 & observe (1) & 3309:19 \\
\hline 3306:1 & news (2) & notice (4) & 3397:11 & OLD (5) \\
\hline near (2) & 3395:5;3401:22 & 3346:8;3355:13; & obtain (1) & 3222:10;3247:25; \\
\hline 3251:10;3255:22 & next (17) & 3374:17;3379:23 & 3296:21 & 3253:21;3256:24; \\
\hline necessarily (3) & 3229:5;3233:2; & noticed (1) & obtained (1) & 3294:7 \\
\hline 3274:22;3370:24; & 3246:17;3257:23; & 3394:22 & 3348:9 & omissions (1) \\
\hline 3376:12 & 3264:23;3284:4,14; & November (6) & obvious (1) & 3302:9 \\
\hline necessary (3) & 3291:15;3303:8; & 3222:14;3378:13; & 3367:3 & once (1) \\
\hline 3296:21;3371:6,11 & 3322:24;3343:12; & 3379:4,5,19;3405:7 & obviously (2) & 3395:11 \\
\hline Neck (1) & 3345:10;3355:15; & number (25) & 3266:24;3303:19 & one (74) \\
\hline 3392:14 & 3364:16;3372:21; & 3229:1;3232:25; & occasions (2) & 3224:11;3225:10,12; \\
\hline need (18) & 3377:13;3400:10 & 3234:5;3247:15; & 3380:2;3393:10 & 3229:1,10;3232:8,16, \\
\hline 3225:14;3278:25; & NICOLE (1) & 3253:17;3255:13; & occupies (1) & 25;3234:4,14;3237:19; \\
\hline 3293:5;3310:25; & 3223:23 & 3260:7;3264:21; & 3229:14 & 3238:11,13;3240:25; \\
\hline 3315:8;3322:16; & nine (2) & 3267:2;3268:7; & occur (2) & 3247:17;3248:7; \\
\hline 3323:23;3333:21; & 3339:21;3392:17 & 3296:14;3300:10; & 3283:5;3387:9 & 3253:21;3255:24,25; \\
\hline 3338:21;3339:4; & nitpick (1) & 3305:7;3307:5; & occurred (4) & 3256:16;3257:13; \\
\hline 3340:21;3356:8; & 3311:6 & 3317:24;3318:8; & 3283:14;3305:13; & 3260:7;3261:13; \\
\hline 3366:6;3370:10; & Noah (1) & 3328:6;3333:6; & 3388:24;3389:14 & \[
3262: 17 ; 3267: 4
\] \\
\hline 3381:5;3388:14; & 3228:20 & 3334:18;3363:16; & OCD (1) & 3268:10;3271:24; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3272:20;3279:18; & ORGANIZATION (55) & 3322:4,22 & 3388:4 & pass (2) \\
\hline 3280:21;3282:11; & 3222:8.5,8.5; & Overruled (25) & pages (3) & 3372:1;3373:14 \\
\hline 3292:25;3295:9; & 3223:16.5;3229:2; & 3235:22;3264:15; & 3233:2;3334:24; & passed (8) \\
\hline 3296:25;3297:21,23; & 3230:3,14;3231:24 & 3266:9;3296:12; & 3388:6 & 3351:3;3376:11; \\
\hline 3298:1,9;3299:6; & 3232:13;3233:7; & 3300:8;3303:16; & paid (2) & 3402:12;3403:6; \\
\hline 3301:7;3302:22; & 3234:9;3237:14,23; & 3304:1;3305:5;3307:3; & 3330:23;3331:22 & 3404:5,7,14,16 \\
\hline 3306:11;3307:11,24; & 3240:15;3246:2; & 3310:25;3315:4; & paper (2) & past (5) \\
\hline 3308:3;3309:22; & 3253:1;3255:2; & 3320:14,17;3323:7; & 3331:21,2 & 3224:22;3229:14; \\
\hline 3329:13,17,18;3331:8; & 3262:13;3268:1,18 & 3328:4;3333:4; & apers (1) & 3302:11;3337:17; \\
\hline 3340:9;3348:7,12; & 3270:13,15;3271:6; & 3347:12;3350:23; & 3267:7 & 3339:11 \\
\hline 3351:17;3358:15,23; & 3274:10;3277:11; & 3351:22;3357:22; & paragraph & Pat (1) \\
\hline 3362:14,17;3363:8; & 3278:25;3282:18,19 & 3359:23;3360:15; & 3227:9;3232:19 & 3390:8 \\
\hline 3366:15;3371:6; & 3283:4,16;3285:18,20; & 3363:11;3364:9; & 3233:3,17;3252:10,20; & Patrick (4) \\
\hline \[
3373: 20 ; 3376: 1,18,21 ;
\] & 3286:2,14,22;3288:18, & 3385:22 & \[
3296: 23,24 ; 3297: 8
\] & 3386:4,8;3389:18,20 \\
\hline 3387:19,20;3388:3; & 20;3289:15;3294:22; & oversee (1) & 3298:13;3301:1; & pause (5) \\
\hline 3390:1;3395:8; & 3295:18;3296:18; & 3281:21 & 3302:12;3303:2,8; & 3224:10;3337:10; \\
\hline 3396:12;3399:15; & 3297:4;3298:10; & overwhelmingly (1) & 3305:10;3321:7,10; & \[
3339: 23 ; 3340: 11
\] \\
\hline 3401:9;3403:19 & 3299:13;3300:3,14; & 3299:2 & \(3322: 2 ; 3342: 22 ;\)
\(3375: 4\) & 3380:8 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ones (1) } \\
& 3358: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & 3302:10;3305:15; & own (5)
\[
3249: 2
\] & 3375:4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pay (2) } \\
& 3330: 18 ; 3332
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline ongoing & 3320:3;3324:2 & 3303:3 & 33:3;3246:8 & 3330:18;3332 \\
\hline 3394:11;3402:5; & 3343:14;3363:16; & 3399:14 & 3260:6,12 & 3330:23 \\
\hline 3403:10,12 & 3370:2 & owned (2) & parallel (1) & PDF (1) \\
\hline only (12) & Organization's (2) & 3261:24;3294:18 & 3377:3 & \[
3334: 23
\] \\
\hline 3224:4;3227:17; & 3343:6;3346:9 & owner (3) & parcel (1) & pending (1) \\
\hline 3279:22;3287:12; & orient (1) & 3286:16,20;3289:22 & 3261:24 & 3274:15 \\
\hline 3322:21;3331:14; & 3393:1 & ownership (1) & Park (2) & Penn (9) \\
\hline 3346:7;3385:13; & others (5) & 3296:25 & 3263:10;3265: & 3243:8,10,1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3395:10;3399:2; } \\
& 3401: 4 ; 3403: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3274: 24 ; 3275: 2 \\
& 3299: 25 ; 3320: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & P & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Parks (2) } \\
\text { 3251:25 }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3257: 20 ; 3258: 17 ; \\
& 3259: 22 ; 3260: 13,17,18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline open (1) & 3391:24 & - \({ }^{\text {P }}\) & PART (17) & penthouse (3) \\
\hline 3402:23 & otherwise (1) & pack & 3222:1.5;32 & 3229:7,10,14 \\
\hline opening (1) & 3227:1 & 3253:14,19,20 & 3263:23;3273:17; & PEOPLE (19) \\
\hline \[
3227: 9
\] & ourselves (1) & page (97) & 3304:6;3312:8;3313:2; & 3222:2.5;3239:22; \\
\hline operate (3) & 3327:15 & 3227:5;3229:2, & 3316:25;3317:12; & 3240:24;3284:15; \\
\hline 3308:17;3309:3 & out (29) & \[
3230: 3 ; 3232: 1,3,5,18
\] & 3327:1;3335:15; & 3287:6;3291:11; \\
\hline 3313:8 & 3225:2,9;3240:16, & \[
3236: 16,18 ; 3237: 2,10
\] & 3341:11;3354:6; & 3292:22;3297:23; \\
\hline operating (1) & 17;3251:23;3258:22; & 3242:2;3245:14; & 3365:1;3395:19; & 3298:1,9;3301:7; \\
\hline \[
3270: 14
\] & 3260:8;3262:4; & 3246:17;3247:13,14, & 3399:9,10 & 3310:8;3311:21; \\
\hline operational (1) & 3264:17;3281:22; & 15,17,18;3248:17; & participants (3) & 3315:19;3351:10; \\
\hline \[
3290: 13
\] & 3299:1,4;3316:12; & 3249:1,13;3251:13,14, & 3386:12,25;3387:13 & 3363:16;3387:1; \\
\hline operations (3) & 3317:8;3324:1; & \[
22 ; 3253: 3,6,10,21
\] & participated (1) & 3396:25;3404:2 \\
\hline 3282:18;3288:18,20 & 3333:21;3338:8; & 3255:5,22;3256:8,15, & 3386:22 & per (10) \\
\hline operator (1) & 3349:24;3352:19; & 19,22;3257:5,18,23; & particular (13) & 3236:25;3249:24 \\
\hline 3385:9 & 3356:17;3380:22; & 3258:5;3259:14,21; & 3239:11,16;3244: & 3272:16;3291:3; \\
\hline opinion (3) & 3381:6;3382:1; & \[
3260: 8,11,24 ; 3261: 15
\] & 3257:10,19;3258:14; & 3316:24;3345:11,15; \\
\hline 3239:9;3302:7; & 3397:18;3399:13; & \[
3262: 25 ; 3265: 12
\] & 3259:5;3261:5;3262:3; & 3375:20;3376:22; \\
\hline 3358:16 & 3400:25;3401:5; & 3269:4,14;3270:1; & 3264:11;3274:5; & 3378:13 \\
\hline opportunity (1) & 3403:15;3404:10 & 3271:17,18;3291:15; & \[
3280: 23 ; 3379: 17
\] & perceive (3) 3403.3 5, 25 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
3341:4 \\
opposed (1)
\end{tabular} & outside (4) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3295:7,13;3298:12; } \\
& \text { 3300:12;3307:7,11,19; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { particularly (2) } \\
3398: 10 ; 3400: 22
\end{array}
\] & 3403:3,5,25 perceived (1) \\
\hline 3352:23 & 3314:5;3404:19 & 3312:13;3316:6; & parties (5) & 3402:19 \\
\hline option (1) & outstanding (1) & 3317:15,21;3320:8,23; & 3225:17;3239:3 & perceives (1) \\
\hline 3297:3 & 3330:6 & 3337:8,9;3339:21; & 3279:6;3313:17; & 3397:13 \\
\hline Options (4) & over (9) & 3340:9;3341:8;3343:2, & 3319:6 & percent (4) \\
\hline 3296:3,25,25;3297:6 & 3226:14;3246:6 & 12;3344:3;3349:1; & parties' (1) & 3322:1;3383:19; \\
\hline oranges (2) & 3302:11;3340:20; & 3354:23;3355:2,5; & 3346:3 & 3385:20;3404:8 \\
\hline 3366:14,18 & 3358:2;3382:11; & 3356:19;3358:10,15; & partner (1) & perception (3) \\
\hline order (8) & 3385:18;3386:16; & 3359:16;3364:16; & 3346:13 & 3403:14,17;3404:16 \\
\hline 3224:7;3273:18; & 3404:24 & 3368:19;3369:6; & party (7) & Perfect (2) \\
\hline 3278:24;3327:3; & overhaul (1) & 3373:8,20;3374:21; & 3310:13;3321:8,9, & 3225:20;3374:1 \\
\hline 3333:11;3365:2; & 3323:22 & 3380:7,10,12;3382:16; & 16,18;3322:10; & perfectly (1) \\
\hline 3396:25;3398:16 & overnight (2) & 3385:11;3386:9; & 3346:21 & 3281:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \(v\). DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline perform (3) & phrased (1) & 3365:2;3369:9;3373:7; & \[
3399: 3,4
\] & 3328:15 \\
\hline 3278:8;3370:8,11 & 3310:24 & 3380:10;3405:2 & positive (3) & presence (1) \\
\hline performed (8) & physically (1) & pleased (2) & 3299:2;3392:16,2 & 3372:16 \\
\hline 3232:12;3243:13; & 3303:7 & 3375:5, & possible (13) & present (1) \\
\hline 3244:14,24;3275:10, & piece (2) & Plenty (3) & \[
3298: 1 ; 3299: 1
\] & 3270:13 \\
\hline 20,24;3276:3 & \[
3331: 21 ; 3379: 1^{\prime}
\] & \[
3262: 24 ; 3332: 1
\] & \[
3302: 16 ; 3304: 2
\] & presentation (1) \\
\hline performing (1) & place (3) & 3334:5 & 3309:22;3330:20,25; & 3233:20 \\
\hline 3370:20 & 3281:8;3401:11 & PLLC (3) & 3345:20;3372:8; & presented (3) \\
\hline perhaps (1) & 3403:14 & 3223:2,7,13. & 3386:6;3390:9;3391:3; & 3232:14;3238:23; \\
\hline 3266:21 & placed (1) & pm & 340 & 3248:15 \\
\hline period (20) & 3342:24 & 3230:1 & possibly (4) & presents (2) \\
\hline 3238:23;3248:15; & Plaintiff (6) & point (50) & \[
3295: 5 ; 3310:
\] & \[
3238: 21 ; 3248: 13
\] \\
\hline 3266:4,6;3276:12 & 3222:4.5,20;3226:3; & \[
3225: 18 ; 3233: 9,2
\] & 3377:9;3390:15 & presidency (2) \\
\hline 3286:11,23;3287:1,4, & 3284:13,24;3360:17 & 3235:17;3237:19; & POST (6) & 3288:14;3289:22 \\
\hline \[
14,18,20 ; 3288: 1,11,12
\] & Plaintiffs (1) & 3238:11;3248:7; & 3222:10;3247:25 & President (8) \\
\hline \[
13,17,25 ; 3289: 2,13
\] & \[
3270: 9
\] & \[
3251: 16,17 ; 3263: 4,10
\] & \[
3253: 21 ; 3256: 24
\] & 3229:13,23;3252:3; \\
\hline permitted (1) & Plaintiff's (49) & 3264:1,13,25;3265:7,9; & 3289:21;3330:18 & 3263:6;3266:14; \\
\hline \[
3224: 4
\] & 3227:15;3231:14,18; & \[
3266: 15,22 ; 3267: 15
\] & postdates (1) & \[
3269: 12 ; 3330: 11,14
\] \\
\hline person (12) & 3237:8;3245:6,11; & 3274:2;3275:12,17; & 3265:23 & presiding (2) \\
\hline 3225:1;3299:16; & 3253:17;3255:13; & 3277:19;3278:21; & post-January (1) & \[
3224: 2 ; 3327: 2
\] \\
\hline 3304:8;3308:14; & 3259:2;3264:21; & 3279:10;3286:6; & 3289:13 & press (1) \\
\hline 3309:9;3352:8,14; & 3268:7;3273:12; & 3295:12,16;3297:1; & potential (8) & 3224:5 \\
\hline \[
3380: 25 ; 3381: 2
\] & 3290:17;3294:25; & 3308:10;3330:11,14; & 3262:8;3296:17 & Presumably (6) \\
\hline 3383:5;3396:17; & 3296:14;3299:18; & 3338:9;3345:21; & 3354:1,16;3358:2 & 3232:11;3333:17; \\
\hline 3398:25 & 3300:10;3304:19; & 3352:10,12;3355:21; & 3371:25;3403:4,5 & 3355:12;3356:25; \\
\hline personal (19) & 3305:7;3307:5; & 3359:13,14;3360:10; & pound (1) & \[
3362: 1 ; 3390: 23
\] \\
\hline 3233:21;3258:9; & 3314:21;3315:6; & 3372:12,17;3375:2; & 3402:15 & presume (3) \\
\hline \[
3280: 5 ; 3308: 12
\] & 3320:16;3322:25; & 3378:25;3382:9,14; & practices (3) & \[
3275: 16 ; 3295: 22
\] \\
\hline 3309:8,14,25;3310:5,9, & 3323:9;3327:14,21; & 3383:17;3391:7; & 3279:6;3281:8 & 3357:17 \\
\hline \[
12,16,17 ; 3312: 7
\] & 3328:6;3329:21; & 3394:21;3397:18; & 3282:19 & presupposes (1) \\
\hline \[
3313: 16,19 ; 3325: 8
\] & 3332:13;3333:6; & 3402:3,9;3403:23; & pre (1) & \[
3366: 21
\] \\
\hline 3329:3;3337:22; & 3334:18;3347:14; & 3404:4,13,22 & \[
3318: 11
\] & pretty (5) \\
\hline \[
3401: 4
\] & 3350:5,25;3351:24 & pointed (1) & precise (1) & 3227:18;3336:4; \\
\hline personality (1) & 3353:4;3357:1,24; & 3380:22 & 3297:16 & 3348:16;3365:22; \\
\hline 3356:13 & 3358:6,19;3359:25 & pointing (2) & predated (1) & 3379:13 \\
\hline personally (2) & 3360:18;3361:11; & 3317:8;3399:13 & 3368:12 & prevent (1) \\
\hline 3314:6;3399:10 & 3363:13;3365:6; & points (5) & pre-dated (1) & 3234:2 \\
\hline persons (1) & 3368:18;3375:25 & 3278:18;3297:20 & 3318:9 & prevented (1) \\
\hline 3281:20 & \[
3378: 11
\] & 3397:11;3400:14; & predates (1) & 3374:11 \\
\hline person's (2) & plan (2) & 3401:1 & 3265:21 & previously (20) \\
\hline 3385:19,20 & \[
3224: 14 ; 3368: 7
\] & policies (5)
3277:10,24 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { preface (1) } \\
32.75 \cdot 1
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3225: 4 ; 3226: 3 ; \\
& 3253: 16 ; 3255: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3404:2 & Plans (3298:17;3368:10,12 & 3278:11,24 & prefer (1) & 3257:9;3260:16; \\
\hline pertains (3) & plausible (1) & policy (1) & 3301:6 & 3264:20;3268:6; \\
\hline 3257:10;3258:13; & 3402:6 & 3277:21 & preference (3) & 3270:24;3271:5; \\
\hline 3259:10 & play (5) & polite & 3355:3,6;3359 & 3277:17;3296:13; \\
\hline Phase (2) & 3337:6;3339:21 & 3258:20 & prejudice (2) & 3300:9;3305:6;3307:4; \\
\hline 3369:17,18 & 3340:9;3380:5; & political (3) & 3264:16;3402:11 & 3328:5;3333:5; \\
\hline Phil (3) 3301.1 21.3308.9 & 3382:10 & 3398:12,13;3404 & prejudicial (1) & 3334:17;3357:4 \\
\hline 3301:1,21;3308:9 & played (1) & poll (3) & 3395:6 & price (4) \\
\hline Philip (1) & 3380:11 & 3299:1,4,10 & preliminary (5) & 3266:24;3267:14; \\
\hline 3299:6 & Plaza (1) & portion (12) & 3349:25;3351:6; & 3317:24;3318:8 \\
\hline Phil's (1) & 3223:8 & \[
3276: 25 ; 3293: 8,
\] & 3352:12;3354:1,1 & pricing (3) \\
\hline 3333:11 & please (25) & 3304:16;3311:4; & preparation (2) & 3371:25;3372:2,23 \\
\hline phone (5) & 3225:23;3238:14; & 3312:1;3329:15; & 3226:24;3233:20 & principal (7) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3291: 5 ; 3380: 21 \\
& 3390: 11.16: 339
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
3273: 18 ; 3277: 12
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3336: 12,22 ; 3341: 20 ; \\
& \mathbf{3 3 4 5 \cdot 4 \cdot \mathbf { 2 3 4 6 } \cdot 1 5}
\end{aligned}
\] & prepare (5) 3226.21-3227.2 22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3301: 7 ; 3383: 5 ; \\
& \mathbf{3 2 9 6} \cdot 17 \text { 71.340n.1 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline photographers (1) & \[
3311: 3 ; 3315: 9
\] & portions (1) & \[
3228: 12 ; 3242: 13
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3396: 17,22 ; \\
& 21 ; 3402: 16
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3224:9 & 3316:14;3325:12; & 3316:9 & prepared (8) & principle (2) \\
\hline photography (2) & 3327:3;3337:15; & position (10) & \[
3228: 4 ; 3280: 16
\] & 3403:10,10 \\
\hline \[
3224: 5,8
\] & 3339:8;3340:22; & 3224:19;3225:17; & 3284:21;3301:2,14,19; & prior (27) \\
\hline phrase (1) & 3341:1;3347:9; & 3278:3;3283:17; & 3321:17;3373:23 & 3226:25;3229:25; \\
\hline 3313:21 & 3348:25;3352:14; & 3301:15;3302:1,4,13; & preparing (1) & 3248:5;3250:5,6; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3253: 25 ; 3254: 15 ; \\
& 3256: 8 ; 3257: 1 ; 3259: 9 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3262: 15 ; 3286: 3 ; \\
& 3317: 5,20 ; 3329: 1 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3296: 17,20 ; 3301: 8 \\
& \text { 3306:8;3308:17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { pyramid (3) } \\
3286: 14,23 ; 3288: 15
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { really (20) } \\
& \text { 3294:10;3301:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3272: 20 ; 3277: 23 ; \\
& 3286: 12 ; 3295: 17,21 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3368: 14 ; 3371: 7 \\
& 3372: 11 ; 3378: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3309:2;3313:8 } \\
\text { purchased (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & Q & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3303: 23 ; 3314: 2 ; \\
& 3338: 1 ; 3354: 8 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3306:18;3317:5; & projected (1) & 3294:21;3295:1 & & 3379:16;3380:13,17; \\
\hline 3318:24;3347:22,23; & 3272:13 & purchases (1) & quality (1) & 3383:9,14,21;3384:12, \\
\hline 3350:15;3357:19; & projection & 3392:1 & 3392:18 & 15,16;3393:16; \\
\hline 3358:22;3359:3; & 3271:12 & purportedly (1) & quick (1) & 3395:21;3398:18; \\
\hline 3379:18,18;3388:6 & projec & 3348:7 & 3284:16 & 3403:22;3404:6 \\
\hline private (1) & 3291:12;3315:20; & purpose (15) & quickly (1) & realty (5) \\
\hline 3310:3 & 3324:10;3335:13; & 3233:12;3261:11 & 3363:14 & 3372:14,15;3373:5, \\
\hline privilege (5) & 3385:9 & 3262:2;3294:16; & quite (1) & 13;3377:19 \\
\hline 3277:15;3280:8; & promised (1) & 3328:14;3340:15 & 3324:25 & re-ask (1) \\
\hline 3282:1;3395:17;
3396:7 & 3397:3 & 3341:14;3345:25;
3351:5;3352:9; & & \[
3250: 1
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3396:7 } \\
\text { privileged (4) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { pronounced (1) } \\
& 3351: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & 3351:5;3352:9; & \(\mathbf{R}\) & reason (16) \\
\hline 3275:8;3278:11; & properties (1) & 3390:12,20;3391:1 & raises (1) & 3292:7;3300:18; \\
\hline 3395:14,16 & 3340:15 & purposes (9) & 3398:17 & 3345:4,7;3350:17; \\
\hline probably (9) & property (36) & 3234:16;3268:21 & \(\boldsymbol{r a n}(3)\) & 3353:24;3361:21; \\
\hline 3239:12,13;3241:1; & 3229:25;3262:9 & 3299:13;3346:1,8; & 3288:18,20;3354:8 & 3370:14;3374:6; \\
\hline 3258:22;3272:19; & 3265:8,25;3290:4,9,13, & 3366:4,12,17;3367:5 & rate (1) & 3375:12;3378:17; \\
\hline \[
3276: 13 ; 3304: 8
\] & 15;3318:4;3330:6; & put (31) & 3309:24 & 3390:8;3395:25; \\
\hline 3352:12;3387:10 & 3332:17;3335:17,24; & 3227:13,14;3241:16; & rather (2) & 3397:14 \\
\hline problem (1) & 3336:5,8,9,16;3337:25; & 3243:17;3244:8; & 3243:20;3258:2 & reasons (1) \\
\hline 3257:14 & 3338:1;3339:18; & 3247:6;3251:7; & raw (3) & 3284:3 \\
\hline procedures (3) & 3340:5;3342:1,10; & 3255:20;3259:2; & 3345:11,12,15 & recall (62) \\
\hline 3277:11;3278:24; & 3350:13,15,18;3351:4; & 3260:22;3268:9; & Ray (2) & 3225:23;3230:21; \\
\hline 3280:9 & 3352:9,14;3366:4; & 3290:16;3297:21; & 3386:5;3387:2 & 3235:9;3236:12; \\
\hline proceed (2) & 3368:8;3380:14,18,20; & 3311:12;3314:20; & re (4) & \[
3240: 6,23 ; 3241: 2,7,12
\] \\
\hline 3285:6;3354:15 & 3383:10,15 & 3327:14,21;3337:15; & 3252:3;3261:2 & \[
3245: 24 ; 3246: 4,9 ;
\] \\
\hline proceeding (7) & proposal (2) & 3347:19;3357:1; & 3265:5;3271:12 & 3247:4;3252:21; \\
\hline 3382:15;3396:4; & 3368:23;3369:10 & 3360:2,19;3365:6; & reached (1) & 3257:12;3259:7; \\
\hline 3397:12,15;3402:12; & provide (11) & 3368:4,16,17;3375:18; & 3349:24 & 3261:13;3262:10; \\
\hline 3403:13;3404:2 & 3247:1;3255:16 & 3378:1;3380:9;3389:9; & reaching (1) & 3268:20;3270:24; \\
\hline proceedings (13) & 3277:12;3291:13; & 3391:4 & 3324:1 & 3271:2,4;3272:18,19, \\
\hline 3224:10;3293:9,24; & 3340:19;3342:17,23; & puts (1) & read (41) & 23;3274:1,22;3275:7, \\
\hline 3304:17;3311:5; & 3358:1;3365:20,21; & 3401:13 & 3247:10;3261:13; & 18;3283:9,13,14; \\
\hline 3312:2;3329:16; & 3372:19 & putting (5) & 3267:10;3277:1; & 3286:9;3294:4,7,21; \\
\hline 3337:10;3339:23; & provided (15) & 3236:13;3243:18; & 3293:1,2,7,9,20,21,22, & 3295:4,16;3296:20; \\
\hline 3340:11;3341:21; & 3246:4;3255:15; & 3297:13,17,17 & 24;3300:25;3302:21, & 3299:9,12;3301:10; \\
\hline 3380:8;3396:16 & 3260:12;3270:25; & PX (15) & 24;3303:2;3304:12,15, & 3305:14;3306:19; \\
\hline process (9) & 3271:5;3297:24; & 3227:15;3231:21; & 17;3307:21;3310:25; & 3327:19;3336:25; \\
\hline 3239:21;3240:7; & 3310:17;3319:8,19; & 3243:21;3247:8; & 3311:2,3,5,12,23; & 3342:6,9;3343:24; \\
\hline 3342:9;3362:17; & 3328:13;3339:18; & 3251:9;3252:24; & 3312:2;3318:13; & 3349:23;3357:25; \\
\hline 3369:10;3380:22; & 3340:7,19;3376:10; & 3254:25;3255:22; & 3322:18;3328:9; & 3361:4;3363:4; \\
\hline 3382:8;3385:25; & 3393:8 & 3257:15;3259:13; & 3329:14,16;3332:7,18; & 3369:23;3370:10; \\
\hline 3396:19 & providing (9) & 3260:23;3263:17; & 3333:19,24,25; & 3372:1,18;3374:2,5; \\
\hline processes (3) & 3239:19,21;3248:8; & 3264:23;3270:18; & 3338:14;3339:4; & 3375:7,11;3401:11 \\
\hline 3240:1;3279:8; & 3328:10;3338:2; & 3347:19 & 3341:21;3390:17 & recalls (1) \\
\hline 3281:16 & 3342:19;3371:24; & PX-1344 (1) & readback (1) & 3394:16 \\
\hline procurement (1) & 3372:7;3377:19 & 3228:15 & 3276:24 & receive (1) \\
\hline 3264:4 & PSA (1) & PX-1534 (1) & reading (6) & 3403:11 \\
\hline product (1) & 3265:7 & 3269:3 & 3262:24;3271:11 & received (30) \\
\hline 3341:12 & public (2) & PX-3305 (1) & 3272:6,9;3318:12; & 3253:17;3255:13; \\
\hline profit (6) & 3402:1;3404:1 & 3267:4 & 3321:25 & 3264:21;3268:7; \\
\hline 3267:2;3272:10; & pull (2) & PX-3306 (1) & reads (1) & 3296:14;3300:10,16; \\
\hline 3317:24;3318:8; & 3373:13;3378:10 & 3262:20 & 3337:21 & 3305:7;3307:5,21; \\
\hline 3368:3;3370:19 & pulled (1) & PX-391 (1) & real (3) & 3317:21;3322:4,11; \\
\hline program (2) & 3388:6 & 3236:15 & 3324:22;3404:4,17 & 3323:13;3324:20; \\
\hline 3268:18,21 & pumped (1) & PX-755 (1) & realize (2) & 3325:14;3327:16; \\
\hline prohibits (1) & 3266:1 & 3241:19 & 3331:14;3351:10 & 3328:6,9;3333:6; \\
\hline 3280:9 & purchase (9) & PX-756 (1) & realized (1) & 3334:18;3336:9; \\
\hline project (9) & 3263:8;3265:5; & 3226:12 & 3370:19 & 3353:25;3354:5,10; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3355:25;3356:15; & re-engaged (2) & registering (1) & 3280:3,17;3281:3; & 3299:14 \\
\hline 3376:10;3377:18; & 3363:4;3364:3 & 3328:22 & 3282:15;3395:20,23; & reports (1) \\
\hline 3399:7 & refer (5) & re & 3396:8;3401 & 3375:13 \\
\hline receiving (4) & 3285:17;3314:5; & & Remedy (2) & represent (5) \\
\hline 3230:21;3271:9; & 3367:21;3396:16; & regularly (1) & 3279:3;3280:1 & 3226:14;3227:25; \\
\hline 3296:16;3300:20 & 3401:4 & 3225:1 & remember (32) & 3320:1;3358:21; \\
\hline recently (1) & reference (10) & reject (1) & 3235:22;3262:10 & 3397:10 \\
\hline 3346:2 & 3234:25;3236:23; & 3403:23 & 3277:17;3283:6 & representation (24) \\
\hline recess (4) & 3237:20;3291:9; & relate (1) & 3296:16;3297:6,19,21; & 3232:14,16;3233:9, \\
\hline 3273:16;3284:12; & 3292:8;3301:3;3308:1, & 3281:13 & 3309:22;3339:5; & 15,17,23,25;3234:4,8; \\
\hline 3326:2;3364:15 & 3;3321:23;3396:23 & related (9) & 3344:2;3345:3,2 & 3235:7;3236:8; \\
\hline recipient (4) & referenced (6) & 3229:10;3233:10,13; & 3350:2,3;3354:3,17; & 3245:17,23;3246:3,7; \\
\hline 3321:9,12,16,16 & 3297:1,18;3307:24; & 3235:18;3258:16; & 3357:6,16;3380:23; & 3247:3;3255:1,17; \\
\hline recite (1) & 3322:20;3328:18; & \[
3271: 20 ; 3272: 2
\] & 3385:19,20;3386:2,7; & 3259:21;3260:1,3,17; \\
\hline 3279:18 & 3392:1 & 3325:23;3405:4 & 3390:15,17;3394:24; & 3261:5;3382:1 \\
\hline recognize (24) & references (8) & relates (10) & 3396:1;3400:2,3,9,9 & representations (9) \\
\hline 3232:2;3236:17; & 3229:13;3314:12,24; & 3235:6;3236:8,1 & remembered (2) & 3232:21;3233:7; \\
\hline 3245:14,17;3248:18; & 3317:9;3318:19; & 3239:8;3241:5; & 3345:2;3382:7 & 3234:11,17,20;3235:8; \\
\hline \[
3252: 5 ; 3253: 4,7,11
\] & 3322:12,14;3345:10 & 3248:24;3249:18; & remembers (2) & \[
3236: 10 ; 3246: 6,13
\] \\
\hline 3256:3;3259:15; & referencing (7) & 3260:18;3265:20; & 3382:7;3400:1 & representing (1) \\
\hline 3261:3;3263:2; & 3228:8;3229:24; & 3272:24 & remind (2) & 3346:25 \\
\hline 3265:12;3269:7,10 & 3235:2;3310:15; & relating (5) & 3313:13;3327:1 & request (3) \\
\hline 3302:2,13;3343:2; & 3317:10,17;3368:23 & 3297:9,24;3318: & reminder (1) & \[
3272: 17,24 ; 3284: 16
\] \\
\hline 3348:8;3349:1,16,19; & referred (13) & 3335:3;3371:21 & \[
3340: 21
\] & requested (10) \\
\hline 3359:5 & 3253:16;3255:12 & relation (1) & remote & 3233:11;3272:18; \\
\hline recognized (1) & 3264:20;3268:6; & 65 & 3386:13,19 & 3276:25;3293:8,23; \\
\hline 3385:13 & 3296:13;3300:9; & release (1) & renovate (4) & 3304:16;3311:4; \\
\hline recollection (45) & 3302:14;3303:4; & 3312:6 & 3266:8;3308:17 & 3312:1;3329:15; \\
\hline 3231:4,5,6,10; & 3305:6;3307:4;3328:5; & released (1) & 3309:2;3313:8 & 3341:20 \\
\hline 3239:16,19;3240:8; & 3333:5;3334:17 & 3311:20 & renovations (2) & requesting (1) \\
\hline 3259:5;3261:7;3262:2; & referring (6) & releasing (1) & 3333:22;3335:3 & 3340:4 \\
\hline 3267:11;3268:2 & 3276:4;3303 & 11 & rep (3) & requests (1) \\
\hline 3271:8;3291:5;3292:3, & 3348:12;3386:15; & Relevance (6) & 3235:8;3246:8 & 3341:14 \\
\hline 5;3295:9;3300:20,23; & 3396:22;3400:22 & 3263:21,24;3299:22; & 3254:23 & required (5) \\
\hline 3301:21;3304:18; & refers (2) & 3320:13,18;3394:7 & repea & 3278:17;3279:9,13; \\
\hline 3314:19;3317:6; & 3365:11;3392:15 & relevant (12) & 3244:19;3289:1 & 3309:13;3343:5 \\
\hline 3342:12;3350:3; & reflected (4) & 3233:14,20;3239:3 & 3339:8;3348:24 & requires (1) \\
\hline 3351:11,12;3361:8 & 3302:7,10;3331:20; & 3264:1;3278:22; & repetition (1) & 3370:19 \\
\hline 3362:2,7;3364:2; & 3345:5 & 3279:2;3359:14 & 3302:24 & research (2) \\
\hline 3374:10,13;3386:5,9; & reflecting (5) & 3360:11;3393:23; & repetitive (1) & 3353:8;3401:3 \\
\hline 3388:5,22;3389:14; & 3301:2,14;3370:9, & 3394:8,18;3398:14 & 3400:6 & resident (1) \\
\hline 3392:10,22;3394:9,9; & 12;3371:2 & relied & rephrasing & 3225:3 \\
\hline 3399:23,24;3401:14 & reflection (1) & 3234:20,22;3235:11, & 3302:24 & residential (2) \\
\hline recommendation (1) & 3272:6 & 12;3236:12;3239:22; & reply (2) & 3371:25;3372:3 \\
\hline 3362:12 & reflects (1) & 3250:14;3289:9,11 & 3310:10;3327:23 & respect (7) \\
\hline reconsider (1) & 3348:2 & relief (2) & report (17) & 3289:15;3309:25; \\
\hline 3398:16 & reformulate (1) & 3278:23;3279:2 & 3224:23;322 & 3352:6;3376:17,20; \\
\hline record (24) & 3339:5 & relies (1) & 3227:6;3242:6 & 3393:1;3399:3 \\
\hline 3267:20;3276:25 & refresh (6) & 3289:6 & 3257:24;3287:2,4,8,11, & respectfully (7) \\
\hline 3285:3;3299:19; & 3262:2;3364:2; & rely (10) & 12;3308:21;3356:1,15; & 3279:4,12;3398:7; \\
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3395:13;3402:23 & testify (6) & 3270:12 & 3377:4 & 3258:6;3259:6,17 \\
\hline Tallahassee (1) & 3225:1;3278:17 & & tot & 3260:22;3261:1,1 \\
\hline 3223:3.5 & 3279:9;3319:15 & & 3260:12 & 21,25;3262:21;3263:4 \\
\hline target (2) & 3325:6;3392:7 & timeframe (2) & totally (7) & 9;3264:25;3265:7,7,9, \\
\hline 3352:1,1 & testimony (51) & 3276:67 & 3338:13;334 & 25;3266:11,15,22; \\
\hline tasked (1) & 3225:21;3226:7,25 & times (10) & 376:16,21,25 & 3267:6,14,16,18; \\
\hline 3299:12 & 3246:4;3247:1; & 3303:1 & 3379:14;3393:21 & 3268:1,9,13,17,18; \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{t a x}(1)\) & 3290:23;3292:18,2 & 3392:17,18,19; & h (1) & 3269:5,12;3270:12, \\
\hline 3342:7 & 3293:13;3303:3,20 & 395:12;3398:2, & 3343:2 & 20;3273:23;3274:10; \\
\hline team (11) & 3312:9,10;3313:1; & 401:23 & to & 3275:23;3277:6,11; \\
\hline 3234:22;3235:1, & 3325:5,10,23;3329:6; & title (8) & 3351:4;3352: & 3278:24;3282:17,17, \\
\hline 3239:4;3240:2; & 3337:3,4,11;3339:24; & 3227:2 & Tower (3) & 19;3283:4,16,25; \\
\hline 3363:22;3372:24 & 3353:5;3363:3; & 63:6;3283:3,1 & 3229:7,10 & 3284:16;3285:4,1 \\
\hline 3389:17;3398:23; & 3366:19;3371:5; & 3286:3;3288:6,9 & trades (1) & 20;3286:2,13,22; \\
\hline 3400:17 & 3380:5,12,15;338 & titled (1) & 91:1 & 3287:15,15;3288:18, \\
\hline teammate & 13,22;3384:4,23; & 3357:10 & trading (1) & 19,20,23;3289:7,15; \\
\hline 3399:12 & 3385:5;3386:8; & to & 3392:16 & 3291:3,5;3292:11; \\
\hline telephone & 3387:24;3388:6; & 81:8305:1 & 3235:17 & 3294:18,22;3295:1, \\
\hline 3291:3;3 & 3389:4,11;3390:5,25, & 3281:8;3305:11 & 3235:17;3263:12,15, & 3296:18;3297:4,8; \\
\hline 3316:19,24;3375:20; & 25;3391:24;3392:5,20; & 3337:4;3362:2;3371:5; & 21,23;3264:6;3265:23; & 3298:10,17,20; \\
\hline 3376:23;3378:13,18 & 3393:8;3401:16,17,18; & 3382:7 & 3266:11,23;3267:7; & 3299:13,24;3300:2, \\
\hline telling (16) & 3405:3 & toget & 3309:20;3319:20 & 3302:9,10;3304:21; \\
\hline 3239 & Than & 297:13,17,18, & transactions (1) & 3305:15;3310:13; \\
\hline 3294:5,12;3301:15; & 3323:23;3335:6 & 3373:13 & 3236:5 & 3313:7;3316:24; \\
\hline 3302:1,12;3308:23; & 3353:16 & togg & Tr & 3319:2,25;3320:3,21; \\
\hline 3309:17;3312:4,5,5; & therefore & 3387:18 & 269:14;33 & 3321:9,19;3322:17; \\
\hline 3327:17;3330:22; & 3275:8 & tol & 344:3;3382:16 & 3323:14,25;3327:9, \\
\hline 3366:18;339 & (1) & 3225:4;3229:14; & 3384:5;3399:25 & 23;3329:5;3332:15; \\
\hline tells (5) & 3348:16 & & transcription (1) & 3334:11;3335:19; \\
\hline 3239:25 & thinkin & 3311:17;3314:16 & 3267:13 & 3338:10;3341:9; \\
\hline 3324:14,14;3335:25 & 3284: & 3315:13,22;3316:3 & transparent & 3342:15,19;3343:6 \\
\hline temperamental (1) & third (13) & 3333:21;3346:8; & 3377:9 & 3346:9;3347:1,7; \\
\hline 3286:18 & 3225:3;3 & 3362:6;3365:20 & traveled & 3360:21;3363:2,14, \\
\hline ten (7) & 3245:13; & 3379:8 & 3305:15 & 3367:9,19;3370:1; \\
\hline 3229: & 3256:22;3259:14 & Tomm & tr & 3372:14;3373:4,13; \\
\hline 3374:9;3385:18; & 3271:17;3310:13 & 3245:7;3254:24 & 3247:9;3353:1 & 3375:21;3376:23; \\
\hline 3392:18,19;3404:25 & 3313:17;3319:6; & 3259:13;3353:1 & 3390:6;3405:7 & 3377:19;3378:14; \\
\hline ns (2) & 3322:10;3334:24 & tom & trigger ( & 3379:16,25;3380:15; \\
\hline 3266:1,7 & 3401:20 & 3373:25;3402: & 3392:10,22 & 3384:14;3386:4,21,22 \\
\hline TERM (2) & though (7) & 3405:1,4 & tripped (1) & 3388:23,23;3392:18; \\
\hline 3222:1.5; & 3261:8;3 & tonight (1) & 3328:20 & 3393:19;3394:19; \\
\hline terminate (5) &  & 3395:5 & true & 3395:4;3399:16,19; \\
\hline 3361:5,17,2 & 3376:13;3395:12; & took & 3243:15 & 3400:8 \\
\hline 3362:4,10 & 3403:13 & 3226:4 & truly (1) & Trump-related (1) \\
\hline terminated & thought (6) & \[
3265: 25 ; 3284: 25
\] & 33 & 3285:21 \\
\hline 3361:8;3362:23 & 3359:14;3360:1 & 3367:2;3391:20; & TRUMP (20 & Trump's (8) \\
\hline terms (2) & 3367:12,14;3371:11; & 3401:11 & 3222:7,7,7,7.5 & 3225:16;3229:24; \\
\hline 3298:17;34 & 3384:11 & top (2) & 8.5,9.5,10;3223:16.5; & 3252:16;3268:22; \\
\hline Terrace (1) & thousand (1) & 32 & 3225:23;3226:5,13,16; & 3301:3,14;3302:8; \\
\hline 3285:4 & 3386:6 & 3237:21;3246:1 & 3227:10,17;3228:1,4; & 3323:18 \\
\hline terrific (1) & thread (1) & 3255:19;3260:7,21; & 3229:2,7,10,14,16; & TRUST (10) \\
\hline 3258:21 & 3277:20 & 3261:14;3269:7; & 3230:3,8,14;3231:19 & 3222:8;3227:10 \\
\hline testified (24) & three (21) & 3271:17;3272:16 & 24;3232:12,13;3233:7; & 3237:21,22;3252:14; \\
\hline 3226:4;324 & 3224:12 & 3286:15,24;3288:14; & 3234:8;3236:16; & 3258:7;3259:17; \\
\hline 3264:11;3270:24 & 3;3233:2;3248:17; & 3296:5;3304:24; & 3237:11,14,23;3238:1, & 3288:24;3294:19; \\
\hline 3271:5;3277:3; & 3255:5;3265:12; & 3321:10;3352:2; & 4,6;3239:4;3240:15; & 3308:18 \\
\hline 3282:25;3285:1; & 3269:4;3272:21; & 3355:2,8;3358:23 & 3241:20,24;3243:20, & trustee (5) \\
\hline 3303:17;3324:25; & 3284:7;3335:3,12; & 3359:7;3360:4; & 22;3245:13;3246:2; & 3239:15;3242:10; \\
\hline 3334:5;3336:4; & 3368:19;3388:15; & 3363:15;3370:1 & 3247:11,25;3249:4; & 3258:2,6;3259:17 \\
\hline 3365:22;3379:13 & 3391:19,20;3394:17 & 3372:20;3374:15,21 & 3251:10;3252:3,13,25; & trustees (6) \\
\hline 3382:6,6;3383:19; & 3395:12;3396:24; & topic & 3253:1,19,21;3254:4; & 3227:10;3242:3; \\
\hline 3385:2,12;3390:20; & 3399:9 & 3316:19 & 3255:2,17;3256:1,10, & 3252:13;3288:24; \\
\hline 3393:2;3396:2,2,4 & throughout (1) & topics (1) & 17,24;3257:13,18; & 3289:1,12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline trusting (1) & type (1) & up (59) & 3370:3;3373:23; & \[
3255: 23
\] \\
\hline & & 3226:10;3228:13; & & Vote (12) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { truthfully (2) } \\
& \text { 3234:6;3354:17 }
\end{aligned}
\] & U & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3230: 24 ; 3231: 20 ; \\
& \text { 3233:3;3243:18,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3388:10 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3296: 3,21 ; 3297: 20 ; \\
& 3298: 13,16,19,19,24 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline try (8) & & 3244:8;3247:6; & 3227:3 & 3299:1,4,10,15 \\
\hline 3235:11;3236:7; & ultimately (3) & 3252:23;3256:4; & value (30) & \\
\hline 3302:22;3303:19; & 3287:6;3340:18; & 3259:2;3264:23; & 3252:11;3314:17; & W \\
\hline 3346:18;3353:1; & 3359:15 & 3267:3;3280:4,5; & 3315:9;3316:3; & \\
\hline 3362:8;3363:7 & unavailable (4) & 3287:1,14,20;3290:16; & 3317:25;3318:21; & WABASH (7) \\
\hline trying (21) & 3224:22,25;3225:1,5 & 3311:12;3314:20; & 3319:9;3339:18; & 3222:9.5;3254:9,9,9, \\
\hline 3236:3;3306:12; & unaware (1) & 3327:14,21;3328:20; & 3342:24;3345:11; & 10,12;3256:10 \\
\hline 3338:9,25;3341:3; & 3294:8 & 3337:15;3345:10; & 3350:1;3351:6;3354:1, & wait (2) \\
\hline 3360:10;3362:9; & unclear (1) & 3347:19;3348:18; & 11;3358:16;3366:10, & 3284:8;3396:9 \\
\hline 3377:3,9;3379:16; & 3303:13 & 3350:14,17;3351:5; & 18;3369:3,14;3370:18; & Wakefield (6) \\
\hline 3383:22;3388:9; & undeniable (1) & 3352:13;3354:24; & 3375:1,8,9;3378:14,20, & 3261:6,8;3342:4; \\
\hline 3397:17,18;3398:6,7, & 3319:2 & 3356:9;3357:1,15; & 24;3379:6,9,20; & 3349:25;3364:3; \\
\hline 19;3399:17,20;3400:7; & under (9) & 3359:4;3360:2,19; & 3400:21 & 3372:4 \\
\hline 3403:19 & 3227:6;3269:7 & 3365:6;3366:13; & valued (5) & walk (1) \\
\hline turn (26) & 3272:2;3288:3;3337:3; & 3367:5,23;3371:23; & 3315:9;3316:14,15, & 3354:20 \\
\hline 3229:5;3232:1; & 3346:1;3390:5;3393:8; & 3375:18;3376:13; & 17;3392:10 & WALL (1) \\
\hline 3233:2;3236:16; & 3394:13 & 3378:1,2,10;3379:6; & values (5) & 3222:10 \\
\hline 3237:2;3241:17; & underlined (1) & 3380:9;3388:6,18; & 3290:25;3317:3; & WALLACE (8) \\
\hline 3242:2;3245:13; & 3238:12 & 3389:5;3390:3;3391:4; & 3365:20,21,21 & 3222:21.5;3224:13; \\
\hline 3248:17;3249:1,13; & underlying (2) & 3398:15;3400:23 & valuing (7) & 3225:8;3278:7,18; \\
\hline 3251:13,22;3253:6,10; & 3228:19,23 & upbeat (1) & 3292:14;3293:1 & 3280:8,19;3281:15 \\
\hline 3255:21;3256:8,15; & undersigned (1) & 3305:24 & 3294:15;3316:16,18 & Wallace's (1) \\
\hline 3257:18,23;3258:4; & 3248:5 & updating (1) & 3340:15;3366:4 & 3279:10 \\
\hline 3259:14;3300:12; & understands (1) & 3318:10 & various (2) & wand (1) \\
\hline 3343:12;3358:10; & 3308:24 & upon (4) & 3315:20;3324:10 & 3293:20 \\
\hline 3367:18 & understood (21) & 3241:14;3264:12; & vast (1) & wants (3) \\
\hline Turnberry (1) & 3292:13;3314:3; & 3274:8;3391:17 & 3380:19 & 3330:22;3366:22; \\
\hline 3335:18 & 3323:13;3324:19; & urgent (1) & Vegas (2) & 3382:10 \\
\hline turned (2) & 3325:15,16;3328:13, & 3228:22 & 3332:16,23 & warning (2) \\
\hline 3299:1,4 & 21;3330:5;3331:5,18, & use (9) & VENTURE (3) & 3322:6;3360:16 \\
\hline turning (10) & 25;3332:7;3333:14; & 3281:25;3306:12; & 3222:9.5;3254:12; & Washington (1) \\
\hline 3227:5;3232:5,18; & 3336:11,13,15,21; & 3319:8;3333:14; & 3256:10 & 3268:22 \\
\hline 3237:10;3238:11; & 3343:25;3371:10,15 & 3334:3;3339:18; & verbal (2) & waste (1) \\
\hline 3252:5;3253:3; & undertake (3) & 3341:15;3399:18; & 3353:8;3356:3 & 3382:11 \\
\hline 3259:21;3265:12; & 3274:5,25;3275:2 & 3402:3 & verbally (3) & wasted (1) \\
\hline 3270:1 & undertaken (2) & used (12) & 3353:25;3354:5,11 & 3381:21 \\
\hline turns (1) & 3277:10;3278:20 & 3279:8;3280 & version (1) & watching (1) \\
\hline 3264:17 & undertaking (1) & 3285:20;3290:24; & 3353:16 & 3404:10 \\
\hline TV (1) & 3340:7 & 3310:13;3316:25; & versus (1) & way (22) \\
\hline 3404:11 & underway (1) & 3317:12;3328:23,24; & 3271:12 & 3277:19;3279:8; \\
\hline Two (47) & 3324:10 & 3338:1;3341:11; & vice-president (5) & 3301:22;3305:12; \\
\hline 3225:2,9;3233:2,9; & unfettered (1) & 3366:24 & 3281:18;3286:7,12; & 3307:23;3310:23; \\
\hline 3238:19;3242:2; & 3400:18 & usual (1) & 3287:22;3288:6 & 3316:2;3330:10,24; \\
\hline 3247:14,18;3260:8,11; & unfortunately (1) & 3346:15 & video (9) & 3339:20;3346:19; \\
\hline 3278:18;3280:14; & 3236:4 & utterly (1) & 3337:6;3380:9; & 3347:25;3353:17; \\
\hline 3284:7;3288:21,23; & Uniondale (1) & 3264:7 & 3385:23;3386:3 & 3362:15,21;3366:2; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3289: 1,11 ; 3292: 12,19 ; \\
& 3294: 13 ; 3297: 6 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3223:8.5 } \\
& \text { unit (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & V & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3389: 14 ; 3390: 6 \\
& 3393: 2 ; 3399: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3370:6;3371:6,22; } \\
& 3389: 2: 3392: 9:
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3305:12;3307:7; & 3377:21 & V & \[
3401: 11
\] & 3398:22 \\
\hline 3317:17;3335:12; & units (15) & vague (2) & videotaped (1) & week (4) \\
\hline 3343:19;3349:4,11; & 3368:4,8,14;3369:3, & 3342:12;3350:3 & 3224:15 & 3232:8;3262:24; \\
\hline 3354:23;3367:3; & 12,14;3370:3,12,19; & vaguely (4) & vie-presidents (1) & 3357:4;3400:10 \\
\hline 3369:6,16;3372:21; & 3371:21,25;3372:3; & 3262:10;3295:5; & 3287:15 & weeks (2) \\
\hline 3374:21;3376:21; & 3374:4;3376:23; & 3369:24;3385:13 & view (7) & 3247:10;3361:4 \\
\hline 3377:4,10;3378:23; & 3377:2 & valuation (21) & 3225:11,14;3275:23; & weighing (1) \\
\hline 3381:21;3387:1; & University (1) & 3315:11;3318:22,25 & 3286:13,22;3398:12,13 & 3400:23 \\
\hline 3391:19;3393:18,20; & \[
3285: 11
\] & 3357:11,14;3358:24; & visit (1) & weight (1) \\
\hline 3395:4;3397:17; & unless (1) & 3365:16,18,25;3366:3, & 3352:9 & 3281:24 \\
\hline 3401:12;3402:4 & 3338:21 & 7,8,9,11;3367:5,11; & voluminous (1) & WEISSELBERG (42) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3222:7.5;3230:8; & 3259:22;3260:13,17,18 & 3331:21,23;3353:18; & & Zurich (1) \\
\hline 3235:4,7;3270:22,25; & whole (4) & 3389:24;3401:8 & \(\mathbf{X}\) & 3268:21 \\
\hline 3272:23;3275:10,24; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3262: 22 ; 3299: 20 ; \\
& 3331: 22: 3374: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { work (32) } \\
& 3225: 13 ; 3232: 12 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & & 0 \\
\hline \[
3287: 21 ; 3288: 4,5,19
\] & Who's (2) & 3240:15;3243:9,13; & X-1344 (1) & 0 \\
\hline 24;3299:25;3304:4,9, & 3230:12;3399:12 & 3244:4,6,14,24; & X-754 (1) & 07921 (1) \\
\hline 22,23;3305:9,18,20,22; & willing (1) & 3262:15;3268:22; & 3245:6 & 3223:12 \\
\hline 3306:2,8,23;3311:8;
\[
3320: 2: 3321: 3: 3322: 3
\] & 3383:23
withdrawn (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3275:9,20,24;3276:3, } \\
& \text { 18:3282:24;3285:14: }
\end{aligned}
\] & X-ed (1) & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3320: 2 ; 3321: 3 ; 3322: 3, \\
& 11 ; 3330: 1,22 ; 3331: 1 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { withdrawn (1) } \\
3228: 12
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 18;3282:24;3285:14; } \\
& \text { 3314:5,7;3323:19; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3238:16 & 1 \\
\hline 3332:16,23;3333:14; & withheld (1) & 3324:7;3328:10; & Y & 1 (1) \\
\hline 3387:4,6 & 3233:12 & 3341:12;3352:3; & & 3369:17 \\
\hline Weisselberg's (1) & within (11) & 3366:17;3367:15; & yard (1) & 1,200 (2) \\
\hline 3333:8 & 3227:3;3228:25; & 3380:13,17,19; & 3306:11 & 3310:8;3311:21 \\
\hline welcome (1) & 3234:23;3246:8; & 3383:10,14 & year (13) & \[
10(5)
\] \\
\hline 3401:18 & 3260:2;3266:4,5; & worked (10) & \[
3257: 3,19 ; 3272: 6
\] & 3227:7;3232:6,20; \\
\hline well-taken (1) & 3280:2;3346:4,6; & 3276:10;3287:6,9; & 3315:10;3316:14; & 3337:9;3380:7 \\
\hline 3401:1 & 3363:16 & 3292:23;3293:10; & 3317:4;3323:20; & \[
10,996.39 \text { (1) }
\] \\
\hline West (1) & without (10) & 3294:9;3304:4;3325:7; & \[
3337: 1 ; 3342: 7
\] & \[
3229: 24
\] \\
\hline 3373:9 & 3278:5;3279:7,16; & 3328:21;3386:13 & 3349:23;3363:5; & 10:00 (2) \\
\hline Westchester (2)
3290:1;3373:9 & 3289:17;3308:16; & working (21) & 3389:10;3393:6 & 3228:21;3405:8 \\
\hline 3290:1;3373:9 & 3321:18;3361:6; & 3235:13;3267:1; & years (22) & 100 (3) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { What's (11) } \\
& \text { 3276:6;3282: }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3362:4,10,23 & \(3270: 13 ; 3273: 2 ;\)
\(3281: 17 ; 3290: 4 ;\) & 3235:15;3267:1; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3255: 22 ; 3383: 1 \\
& 3385: 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3303:4;3324:10; & 3225:24;3226:2,4, & 3291:12;3315:8,15,22; & 3294:7;3302:4,11; & 10005 (1) \\
\hline 3328:22;3381:3; & 11;3228:13,17;3236:3, & 3316:10;3318:17; & 3309:22;3342:11; & 3222:21 \\
\hline 3395:5;3400:25; & 7,14;3245:4;3252:23; & 3323:17;3324:2; & 3346:2;3374:9; & 10022 (2) \\
\hline 3402:23;3404:11 & 3257:15;3262:19; & 3325:17;3327:17; & \[
3378: 23 ; 3385: 19
\] & \[
3223: 17.5 ; 3237: 12
\] \\
\hline whenever (1)
\(3404: 8\) & 3264:11;3266:16; & 3335:1;3336:16,18; & 3393:19,20;3394:17; & \[
101 \text { (3) }
\] \\
\hline Whe4:8 & 3267:4;3269:2; & 3365:15;3368:13 & 3395:4;3399:9; & 3223:3;3256:15; \\
\hline 3321:7,15 & 3274:8;3276:20; & 3230:3;3267:25; &  & 10165 (1) \\
\hline Whereupon (44) & 3277:8,17,20;3278:16; & 3368:24 & \[
3315: 11 ; 3318: 24
\] & 3223:15 \\
\hline 3224:10;3231:17; & 3279:9,12;3281:6; & world (4) & yes-or-no (8) & 1071 (2) \\
\hline 3237:7;3245:10; & 3283:23,24;3284:1,2,4, & 3235:14;3266:2; & 3251:3;3293:4; & 3327:22;3328:6 \\
\hline 3253:16;3255:12; & 14,16,20,22,24;3285:1, & 3310:4;3400:25 & 3302:20;3329:9,10; & 1075 (4) \\
\hline 3264:20;3268:6; & 4;3286:17;3293:3; & worry (1) & 3338:20;3340:25; & 3314:21;3315:6; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3270:8;3273:11,16; } \\
& 3276: 25 ; 3284: 12 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 3310:22;3311:2;
3313:24;3314:3; & \(3353: 15\)
worth (9) & 3341:1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3316:22;3337:15 } \\
& 1079(2)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3293:8,23;3296:13; & 3319:14,15;3325:11, & 3231:2;3252:16; & 3226:8;3230:4; & 3334:10,18 \\
\hline 3300:9;3304:16; & 22,24,25,25;3338:5,25; & 3293:16;3301:3,14; & \[
3239: 3 ; 3241: 18,22
\] & 1091 (2) \\
\hline 3305:6;3307:4;3311:4; & 3353:11,15;3364:14; & 3302:8;3331:15,20; & 3295:25;3370:8; & 3306:21;3307:5 \\
\hline 3312:1;3315:5; & 3376:17,20;3381:6,11, & 3332:9 & 3398:2;3404:9 & \[
1092 \text { (2) }
\] \\
\hline 3320:15;3323:8; & 18,19,25,25;3382:2,6, & Wow (1) & YORK (26) & 3304:20;3305:7 \\
\hline 3326:2;3328:5; & 15;3384:16,25; & 3282:12 & 3222:1,1.5,2.5,3.5, & 11 (1) \\
\hline 3329:15;3333:5; & 3387:14;3389:25; & write (3) & 13.5,13.5,19.5,21,21; & 3354:24 \\
\hline 3334:17;3337:10; & 3393:17,24;3394:2; & \(3230: 19 ; 3308: 8 ;\)
3369.9 & 3223:8.5,15,15,17.5, & 1112 (1) \\
\hline 3339:23;3340:11; & 3395:11,25;3396:8; & \(3369: 9\)
writes (7) & 17.5;3224:17;3225:4, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3329:23 } \\
& 1113(2)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3350:24;3351:23; & witness' (6) & 3305:22;3315:7; & 3251:25;3275:13; & 3332:14;3333:6 \\
\hline 3357:23;3359:24; & 3325:5;3394:9; & 3335:1;3343:18; & 3309:8,10;3360:1,5; & 115 (1) \\
\hline 3360:17;3363:12; & 3401:10,10,15,20 & 3369:25;3370:5; & \[
3372: 16
\] & 3285:4 \\
\hline 3364:15;3380:8; & witnesses (7) & 3371:23 & & 11556 (1) \\
\hline 3405:7 & 3224:12,14,16,22; & writing (1) & \(\mathbf{Z}\) & 3223:8.5 \\
\hline wherewithal (6) & 3225:9;3281:5; & 3234:14 & & 1194 (1) \\
\hline 3308:17;3309:2; & 3338:17 & written (3) & & 3388:6 \\
\hline 3310:14;3313:7,18; & woman (1) & 3342:23;3354:15; & \[
3322: 1
\] & 1195 (1) \\
\hline 3319:7 & 3320:1 & 3358:2 & Zillow (3) & 3388:7 \\
\hline white (1) & word (6) & wrong (1) & 3359:8,9;3360:4 & 11A (1) \\
\hline \(3271: 24\)
Whitley (9) & 3355:14,16;3361:9; & 3365:21 & zoned (1) & 3238:18 \\
\hline \[
3243: 8,10,14
\] & 3387:21;3390:23,24
words (8) & wrote (1) & 3368:15 & 11th (2)
\[
3355: 10,25
\] \\
\hline \[
3257: 20 ; 3258: 17
\] & W0rds (\%) \({ }^{\text {3306:10,12;3309:16; }}\) & 3313.3 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { zoning (2) } \\
3318: 17 ; 3368: 17
\end{array}
\] & \[
12 \text { (12) }
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK \(v\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3222:9.5;3238:4,6; & 16th (1) & 23;3368:21;3370:6; & 20th (1) & 3242:7;3243:23; \\
\hline 3249:4;3254:4; & 3377:25 & 3371:20;3373:4,21; & 3292:2 & 3245:20;3248:7,11; \\
\hline 3256:17;3302:4; & 17 (7) & 3374:16;3375:7; & 22 (2) & 3252:12;3321:20; \\
\hline 3309:22;3365:12; & 3270:2;3337:9; & 3376:4;3377:25; & 3251:23;3260:12 & 3373:21;3398:2 \\
\hline 3385:11,12;3386:10 & 3378:13;3379:4,5,19; & 3378:3,8 & 23 (2) & 30,000 (2) \\
\hline 12:45 (1) & 3388:9 & 2014 (26) & 3323:2;3334:12 & 3229:15,17 \\
\hline 3273:20 & 17th (1) & 3252:14;3276:1,9, & 230 (5) & 306 (1) \\
\hline 12:46 (1) & 3352:1 & 16;3286:9;3349:24; & 3247:15;3248:17; & 3391:16 \\
\hline 3230:17 & 17-year-old (1) & 3350:7;3353:7,22; & \[
3249: 1 ; 3255: 23
\] & 30th (6) \\
\hline 1265 (2) & 3403:22 & 3354:12,14,25;3358:9, & 3256:15 & 3330:18,24;3331:6, \\
\hline 3319:24;3320:16 & 18 (5) & 13,24;3361:2,13; & 24 (5) & 8,19;3332:8 \\
\hline 13 (5) & 3341:8;3343:18; & 3365:12,25,25;3366:5, & 3291:4;3319:3; & 31 (6) \\
\hline 3237:16;3301:1; & 3358:13,24;3365:25 & 7,9;3367:23;3368:16; & 3321:5;3373:4;3376:4 & 3248:2;3249:3; \\
\hline 3304:22;3341:8; & 185 (1) & 3375:19 & 240 (1) & 3255:3;3256:11,16,23 \\
\hline 3371:20 & 3361:11 & 2015 (14) & 3223:11.5 & 3188 (1) \\
\hline 133 (1) & 18th (7) & 3261:16;3330:1; & 25 (3) & 3354:18 \\
\hline 3358:7 & 3355:10,17,25; & 3332:11,12;3334:1; & 3254:8;3340:10; & 3189 (2) \\
\hline 134 (1) & 3356:15,23;3357:3; & 3335:4;3342:7;3363:3, & 3361:1 & 3358:20;3359:25 \\
\hline 3260:23 & 3365:18 & 19;3364:3;3378:13; & 259 (1) & 3190 (3) \\
\hline 1344 (1) & 198 (2) & 3379:4,5,19 & 3337:8 & 3360:2,3,18 \\
\hline 3231:18 & 3380:7;3385:11 & 2016 (9) & 26 (3) & 3195 (1) \\
\hline 1352 (1) & 199 (2) & 3226:15;3228:2; & 3265:3;3294:7; & 3369:21 \\
\hline 3391:5 & 3380:7,10 & 3235:6;3238:13,18; & 3321:4 & 3201 (2) \\
\hline 1354 (1) & 19th (1) & 3242:1;3243:25; & 262 (1) & 3373:19;3378:1 \\
\hline 3257:16 & 3377:25 & 3245:23;3252:12 & 3337:9 & 3202 (2) \\
\hline 1386 (3) & & 2017 (34) & 267 (1) & 3373:1;3375:25 \\
\hline 3247:8;3255:22; & 2 & 3227:7;3228:21; & 3367:24 & 3204 (1) \\
\hline 3270:9 & & 3232:6,20;3237:17; & 273 (1) & 3371:19 \\
\hline 1390 (1) & 2 (3) & 3241:23;3242:4,7; & 3339:21 & 3206 (1) \\
\hline 3386:10 & 3222:14;3247:15; & 3243:23;3244:1; & 274 (1) & 3357:2 \\
\hline 1391 (1) & 3369:18 & 3245:17,20;3247:3; & 3340:9 & 3207 (3) \\
\hline 3386:10 & 2.2 (1) & 3248:2,7,11;3249:3; & 276 (1) & 3360:19;3363:9,13 \\
\hline \[
14 \text { (1) }
\] & 3272:11 & 3251:19,23;3268:20; & 3341:8 & 32302 (1) \\
\hline 3272:5 & 2:15 (1) & 3270:2;3271:12; & 277 (2) & 3223:3.5 \\
\hline 1406:1 (1) & 3326:1 & 3272:6,9,10;3286:12; & 3341:8;3368:3 & 3285 (1) \\
\hline 3388:4 & 20 (9) & 3287:2,14,20;3288:11, & 27th (1) & 3251:9 \\
\hline 1407:4 (1) & 3314:13,23;3315:21; & 12;3334:12;3336:14; & 3369:18 & 3296 (1) \\
\hline 3388:4 & 3316:1,20;3339:17,22; & 3378:10 & 28 (1) & 3343:9 \\
\hline 1430 (1) & 3347:23;3380:7 & 2018 (7) & 3222:20.5 & 33,000 (2) \\
\hline 3223:11.5 & 2006 (1) & 3242:17;3244:9,9; & 281 (1) & 3229:17,18 \\
\hline 1454 (2) & 3285:12 & 3251:16;3254:4,8; & 3368:3 & 3304 (1) \\
\hline 3270:19;3273:12 & 2010 (3) & 3272:5 & 284 (1) & 3264:23 \\
\hline \[
1465 \text { (1) }
\] & 3321:4,20;3322:11 & 2019 (7) & 3375:19 & 3305 (1) \\
\hline \[
3374: 20
\] & 2011 (1) & 3242:21;3244:17; & 289 (2) & 3268:7 \\
\hline 15 (4) & 3270:13 & 3255:3;3256:11,16,23; & 3391:6,13 & 3306 (2) \\
\hline 3234:5;3273:13; & 2012 (20) & 3257:11 & \[
29 \text { (4) }
\] & 3263:17;3264:21 \\
\hline 3340:10;3358:9 & 3290:12,14;3291:4; & 2020 (3) & 3257:24;3259:19,24; & \[
3327 \text { (3) }
\] \\
\hline 1501 (1) & 3293:14;3294:13,22; & 3242:24;3244:20; & 3363:19 & 3356:8;3357:19,24 \\
\hline 3259:3 & 3296:6,17;3301:1; & 3282:17 & 295 (1) & 3328 (1) \\
\hline 1502 (1) & 3304:23;3310:11; & 2021 (39) & 3378:12 & 3363:14 \\
\hline 3259:13 & 3313:13,15;3316:15, & 3243:2,5,9,14; & & 3329 (2) \\
\hline 157 (1) & 17;3319:3,21;3342:11; & 3244:23,24;3257:17, & 3 & 3294:25;3296:14 \\
\hline 3368:18 & 3343:18;3347:17 & 24;3259:3,11,19,24; & & 3330 (2) \\
\hline 15th (1) & 2012-now (1) & 3276:1,9,16;3283:7,9, & 3 (3) & 3350:5,25 \\
\hline 3356:16 & 3323:21 & 10,12;3288:12;3289:6, & 3228:21;3261:16; & 3331 (2) \\
\hline 16 (8) & 2013 (33) & 13;3385:23,24,24; & 3405:7 & 3351:14,24 \\
\hline 3233:4;3267:14,16; & 3290:16;3292:2; & 3386:13,17,18,20; & 3:30 (1) & 3332 (3) \\
\hline 3374:16;3375:7; & 3294:14;3314:10,13, & 3387:10;3388:25; & 3374:1 & 3322:25;3323:9; \\
\hline 3384:9;3385:2,3 & 23;3315:21;3316:1,16, & 3389:14;3391:2,4,8,25; & 3:35 (1) & 3327:14 \\
\hline 168 (1) & 18,20;3318:10,22; & 3392:23;3393:2,3 & 3364:13 & 3333 (2) \\
\hline 3360:1 & 3323:2,10;3324:20; & \[
2023 \text { (5) }
\] & \[
30 \text { (14) }
\] & 3299:18;3300:10 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 169(1) \\
& 3353: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3325: 15 ; 3337: 20 \\
& 3339: 13,17 ; 3347: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3222: 14 ; 3263: 21,22 ; \\
& 3265: 3 ; 3405: 8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3226: 15 ; 3228: 2 ; \\
& 3235: 15 ; 3238: 13,18 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 34 (2) 3262:25;3263:1 \\
\hline 3353:4 & 3339:13,17;3347:19, & 3265:3;3405:8 & 3235:15;3238:13,18; & 3262:25;3263:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 Court propose we make our record about things that we 2 observe in open court happening?

THE COURT: Well, I let you do that the other day, yesterday, whenever it was.

MR. KISE: You did, right. I just want to make sure we're allowed to do that. I don't need to reference names or specifics, but to the extent there are issues that arise based on observations that we have, we have to make that record because, as you know, all that is left in the record is the written transcript. There is no video, there is no recordings and all that probably fortunately for all of us.

THE COURT: Right. Well, let me ask you this: Do you feel the need to make a further record as things stand now?

MR. KISE: I believe so, Your Honor. I mean, to the extent that there are perceptions of bias there are things that we as lawyers -- and I don't want to speak for my colleagues, but to the extent there are things that we observe that are taking place that create either the appearance of bias or the appearance of impropriety in our minds, then I think we have to take that up. I think it's our obligation as lawyers to make mention of these things even if it's just a brief mention.

We all -- it's like any other issue in the case if
as political. I don't. To me, it's just a case about Executive Law Section 6312 and now about those other statutes. So I said enough.

You want to make a record, but please be careful.
MR. KISE: I always am.
I think we're treading in a dangerous area here because what we perceive as counsel to be bias we're certainly entitled to raise those concerns. I think we have to raise those concerns as lawyers.

The Rules of Judicial Conduct which I know you're well aware of maintain that the Court is to act in a way that promotes public confidence in the integrity and impartiality of the system. And so impartiality, I believe in the rules is defined as denoting the absence of bias or prejudice, the absence of it.

So to the extent there is the potential for bias, that's certainly something that's relevant. I mean, the entire country, if not the world, is watching this proceeding and they are looking at this. The United States heretofore has been a model for the integrity and impartiality of the system since its founding. Nothing we do here should create any appearance that the adherence to those principles has wavered and so to the extent that there is even the perception of bias that's something that needs to be addressed and addressed in the record because we have
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Proceedings \\
\(\mathbf{1}\) & I have a legal issue. We were respectful to the Court and 3411 \\
2 & we don't belabor the issues, but we have to at least mark \\
3 & it, otherwise it's waived. \\
4 & THE COURT: Well, I promise not to pound the table \\
5 & again and the bench. I have an absolute unrestricted, \\
6 & unfettered right to get advice from my principal law clerk \\
7 & or assistant law clerk. How that shows bias somehow, I \\
8 & don't see it. I mean, but if you want to say something now, \\
9 & say it. But I don't -- I don't want to endanger the safety \\
10 & of my staff. Maybe I'm being overly sensitive or overheated \\
11 & or cautious. I don't think so. I worry about this. \\
12 & And notice you could say whatever you want about \\
13 & me, right? I have no gag order about me and that has been \\
14 & taken advantage of -- not taken advantage of in the sense of \\
15 & anything improper, but, you know, I think that's what -- \\
16 & that's where there would be any appearance of bias in me and \\
17 & I cut this case right down the middle. \\
18 & And I will respond to some of the earlier \\
19 & statements that oh, I never interrupt or rule against the \\
20 & Attorney General. That's just not so. And to the extent \\
21 & that one of your team might occasionally have said I might \\
22 & restrict the amount of time you have because I think you're \\
23 & wasting time, I have the right to do that. It's not because \\
24 & it's one side or the other. Right down the middle. \\
25 & And I said previously that I don't regard this case \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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no other way to address it other than in the record.
Yes, as a judge you're entitled to receive input, but if you're receiving input from someone who has potentially demonstrable bias or at least there is a question about that and the manner in which that's taking place we have to at least make that record. That is our right.

Now, in the view of President Trump, and I think to some extent the view of counsel here, if not to a large extent, our observations are what we say. You're free to disagree as you've done, but I think the record -- and this is the importance of making this record, demonstrates that we're getting hurried up, we're getting told to move on, we're getting put on the clock, we're -- the rulings are frequently, if not inordinately, against us on almost every major issue. And so we're also, as I said yesterday, I certainly am often thinking I'm arguing against two adversaries, not one. I'm debating with the government and then I'm debating with someone who is providing input to you on a regular, immediate basis.

THE COURT: Hold on. You say you need to make a record. You've said all this. Those exact words basically.

MR. KISE: I'm not adding words.
So as I understand it this morning, there is a new story out about particular political partisan contributions
\begin{tabular}{|rl|}
\hline Proceedings \\
1 & that have been made by certain members of your staff; that \\
2 & there was a complaint made. It's public and on the web. \\
3 & There was a complaint made about this. It was addressed to \\
4 & Your Honor's attention on October 3rd, the very same day the \\
5 & gag order was entered and it raises questions of \\
6 & impartiality. At least from what I see this morning it \\
7 & raises questions of impartiality. \\
8 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ And there are specific judicial cannons that } \\
9 & prohibit campaign contributions and I don't know anything \\
10 & other than I saw this story this morning, let me be clear on \\
11 & that. But there are specific provisions that the Court is \\
12 & to make sure that the Court and -- I'll define the Court \\
13 & broadly to include the staff so I'm not making that \\
14 & interchangeably because I know Your Honor doesn't want me to \\
15 & be specific about any individual or persons, but that \\
16 & prohibit contributions, that prohibit certain partisan \\
17 & political activity by members of the Court. And this \\
18 & complaint that was filed and the news coverage of it at \\
19 & least calls into question that particular cannon. I believe \\
20 & it's Section 100.5 C2 of the rules governing judicial \\
21 & conduct. \\
22 & There are several judicial ethics opinions, 10-76, \\
23 & 07-11 and 97-103, all of which address this particular \\
24 & issue. Again, I'm not weighing the facts of whether \\
25 & something has happened or hasn't happened. I'm just stating \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 to the Court what is being reported and what has been 2 reported as being presented to this Court on October 3rd, the same day as the gag order was entered. I don't know whether that's been considered or whether it's been ignored, but certainly it forms at least in my mind a basis for raising a question of potential bias. I think it's a legitimate question that needs to be addressed.

The fact that we found out about it -- that I found out about it reading it on, you know, in the news, raises additional questions about the appearance of impropriety. Whether or not sustainable, it certainly raises the issue.

President Trump, as you know, was here and purportedly made a statement about the same sort of bias outside. I won't get into the back and forth that took place about who he was speaking about, but he's purportedly making statements about someone who, by your own definition, is alongside of you having bias and now there is some allegation that maybe there is merit to that.

And to the extent there is merit to that, then it's something that needs to be addressed. And it is a fair conclusion that warnings to Counsel, gag orders on parties -- we're not allowed to even mention these subjects. It's a fair conclusion to be drawn that the purpose of that is to silence any allegation of bias. It's to silence any challenge to any notion of impartiality and in a case that

1 is this highly watched, I don't think that the systemically we can survive with those kinds of - those kinds of issues. I mean, the constitutional rights and protections that are preserved to all in this country are at their peak when you're dealing with someone who might not be popular, might be someone who is controversial. And so in a case like this it's essential that we take real care to adhere as closely as possible to those cannons and I think it's incumbent upon the lawyers to raise these issues.

I think the security concerns as you've heard me say I understand you're concerned, but I think given what just happened that photographers come into the Court and they take pictures of the open bench, all we're commenting on -- by the way, as I understand it, I never knew this before. As you know, I'm from Florida. Where we had open courts for 40, 50 years, TV cameras. Here, apparently, it's a crime to allow photographers into the courtroom and take pictures in a proceeding like this. I'm not an expert on this, I just know that -- so if the security concerns are that heightened, I'm straining to find the rationale that squares both.

I think that if the allegations that have been out in the media have any substance to them and I'm not commenting on whether they do or not, but if they do then I think -- and we'll know more I guess in the coming days. If

1 Your Honor was aware of this on October 3rd when this random individual apparently filed some complaint and sent it to the Court and no action was taken and no notice was given to Counsel and nothing was addressed, then I think the defense will have to give serious consideration to seeking a mistrial because of the -- as you say, the continuous input if there is someone that's biased.

Again, I'm not the prejudging outcome here and I want to be very careful to note that, but since you've raised the issue of how we make our record, I mean, perhaps we make our record by just saying the Court has done \(X\) or the Court has done Y, the Court has accepted a note without any mention of any individual, without any mention of staff, but unfortunately, given the clarity of the rules of judicial conduct, the gravity of what has been reported and the significance of these proceedings to our American democracy it's incumbent upon us as lawyers and I will say respectfully to the Court to take this seriously. And I'm not suggesting that you don't. Let me be clear, but I think we all need to take this very seriously because the entire world is watching and none of us can afford to misstep.

I have always and will continue to address my comments to you, the Court, but I have to be allowed as a lawyer to raise issues that are obvious in open court that everyone in this room can watch and see.
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Proceedings & Page 3418 \\
1 & And I notice that the closed circuit camera now -- \\
2 & after my mention has now been adjusted so that it's much \\
3 & more difficult to see if notes are being passed. It may \\
4 & just be that somebody bumped the camera on the TV screen. I \\
5 & do that at home frequently nudging things, but we need to \\
6 & take care here, respectfully, to avoid any appearance. \\
7 & The allegations that I looked at in the news this \\
8 & morning gave me some concern and certainly gave me some \\
9 & thought that there is at least a plausible basis to have to \\
10 & raise considerations of bias, particularly when you're \\
11 & getting input on an ongoing basis. And I feel, as I have \\
12 & said, that I'm often debating with two adversaries. \\
13 & THE COURT: Yes, you've already said that. \\
14 & MR. KISE: That's it. But I'll leave it there, \\
15 & Your Honor, and I appreciate you allowing me to make the \\
16 & record. \\
17 & MR. AMER: Your Honor, I just have very four very \\
18 & quick points. \\
19 & THE COURT: Sure. \\
20 & MR. AMER: The first is -- \\
21 & THE COURT: You expect me to let you make a record? \\
22 & MR. KISE: For once I'm not objecting to Mr. Amer's \\
23 & comments. \\
24 & MR. AMER: Well, you haven't heard them yet. \\
25 & The notion that bias can be based on the number of \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
recusal or a motion for a mistrial, make a motion on notice with papers and put in your evidence and let's not waste time during the trial with long speeches. There is a process to follow. It's making a motion on notice and that's what should happen and we're just wasting a lot of time with speeches when if they really have a basis, put it in motion papers. They can appeal it, they can do whatever they want with it, but this is just a waste of time, Your Honor.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, just very briefly because Mr. Amer has now mentioned more specifically what I was referring to. Perhaps I was not transparent.

The news this morning does in fact relate to extrajudicial conduct specifically and it makes specific allegations about specific extrajudicial conduct that violates Section 100.5 C2 of the rules governing judicial conduct with respect to contributions to partisan political activity.

I -- since I don't know other than the news report, I haven't investigated, I haven't looked into anything other than what I'm saying, I'm not prepared to make a motion, but I did tell the Court just now that on Monday we may if this develops further, but I think it's incumbent to make the record. This information about extrajudicial conduct --

THE COURT: It's not information. It's an
\begin{tabular}{|ll}
\hline Proceedings & Page 3419 \\
1 & notes being passed between a clerk and a judge and whether \\
2 & there are more notes being passed when one side's witness is \\
3 & on the stand versus another is a position I've never heard \\
4 & of and I think is completely frivolous. That's our \\
5 & position. I've never heard that and I don't think it has \\
6 & any merit. \\
7 & Bias. It's clear from the case law can only be \\
8 & established by extrajudicial conduct. You can not establish \\
9 & bias based on decisions that a judge makes or rulings on \\
10 & evidence that a judge makes during the course of a trial. \\
11 & If that were the case, Your Honor, every time a judge issues \\
12 & a partial summary judgment decision against one side then \\
13 & the judge would have to recuse himself or herself. That's \\
14 & not the law. Clearly it's not. \\
15 & And this Court has already issued a summary \\
16 & judgment decision that makes certain rulings and you cannot \\
17 & base a -- an argument or bias on rulings during the course \\
18 & of the case. \\
19 & No party, no party has the right to be privy to the \\
20 & internal deliberations of a chambers. That's absolutely \\
21 & clear and that includes knowing when and how many notes are \\
22 & being passed one way or the other. \\
23 & And finally, and I would implore you to please, you \\
24 & know, compel that if the other side has evidence of \\
25 & extrajudicial conduct that they believe is a basis for \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
allegation. I don't even know what you're talking about, but I'll respond later.

MR. KISE: The allegation --
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. KISE: -- was made and apparently delivered to the Court and that's something that has been brought to our attention so we have to raise it.

MR. WALLACE: I'm sorry to interrupt. Mr. Wallace. Can I just ask what is the report you're discussing? Where does it appear?

MR. KISE: I'm not the Internet person. I want to say it's on -- hold on. I want to say it's on Breitbart maybe, but I don't know. I don't know. I think it's on Breitbart. It's on a news outlet. We get pummeled with news information.

In all events, I've made the record and I appreciate you allowing me to make the record. I do take the matter seriously and we're not just wasting time as Mr. Amer just said. These are important matters and they deserve at least to be heard and you have allowed that. Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Well, I agree with Mr. Amer's points.
As to Mr. Kise, I'll respond, not to everything. If I don't respond to something, doesn't mean I accept it or agree with it. You covered a lot of ground.

First of all, this idea that somebody had notified me, what was that, on October 3rd or --

MR. KISE: What I understand, yes.
THE COURT: Absolutely untrue, okay. Absolutely untrue. I don't remember and my memory is good enough to remember whether I would have received such an allegation, so that's that.

Secondly, I have no idea until you said Breitbart what this news allegation is. I'll let everybody in the room decide what they think of Breitbart.

Third, having gotten myself elected twice -actually, three times, I'm not an expert on political fundraising campaign contributions. When a non-judge or a judge is running for office there are window periods -- I won't make a whole lecture because I couldn't. I think it's nine months before and six months after you're allowed to spend money. Okay, neither of us is an expert.

So I'm sorry we've descended to this level where an allegation that the first part I know is untrue or at least I'm 99 percent sure is untrue and the second part what I didn't report today, I don't know. I can't comment.

It's a shame we've descended to this level. I totally agree the world is watching. I've gotten, you know, a sense that it's not just the country. It's the world.

As for the camera being moved, I was vaguely aware
```
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```

A \(\quad \mathrm{I}\) do.
\(Q\) And you'll recall he testified that he remembered that you and your brother, Donald Trump, Jx., were on the call and that the purpose of the call was to make the two of you aware of the change in mathodology for valuing the golf olubs in the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition. Do you recall that?
A Yes, I do.

9 So 1 just want to ask you a few questions about matters that you were aware of at the point in time when this call happened.

In October of 2021, you did know about the Attorney General's investigation that led to this enforcement action; correct?

A Yes. I would have been working with legal coungel.
\(Q\) And you knew that the investigation focused on the way assets were being valued in your father's statenent of Financial Condition; yes?

A Absolutely, yes.
0 And a year before that call took place you sat for an interview in connection with the Attorney General's investigation where you provided testimony under oath in response to questions; right?

A That's correct.
9 And those questions were about the statement of Financial Condition as well as other matters; correct?
that Rob, the tech person, was switching the angle of the camera. Never occurred to me that it could have anything to do with what we're discussing today or why it was.

So I just want to move forward with the trial. I just want to do the best job I can do. I want to be straight down the middle. I don't regard this as political. It's a trial about Executive Law 6312 and the other second through seventh cause of action provisions.

So I hope we've all said what we feel like we need to say. Anything else?

Okay. Mr. Amer, would you like to continue your examination?

MR. AMER: I would. We would like to recall back to the stand Eric Trump.
(Whereupon, the witness approaches the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Morning. I'll remind the witness that he's still under oath, as I always do.

And let's proceed.
20 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION
21 BY MR. AMER:
Q Thank you.
Mr. Trump before breaking for the day yesterday, we were discussing the October 2021 video conference call that Mr. Birney had testified about. Do you recall that? JCL
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A Yes.
Q So I'm just going to ask you is it your testimony here today that you have no recollection of participating in a video call that occurred two years ago involving the same subject matter as the ongoing Attorney General investigation that you were aware of at the time; is that right?

A I'm testifying that I don't recall a specific oall out of thousands of calls on every single day. There isn't a question there were conversations in the organization about the Statement of Financial Condition after this action started.

9 But your testimony is that you have no recollection of this specific call that Mr. Birney testified about at trial; is that right?

A Mr. Amer, 1 --
\(Q\) Yes or no?
A I pick up my phone at 5 o'clock in the morning and \(I\) put it down at midnight. I don't remenber a specific call. There are thousands of conversations about the Statement of Financial Condition after this exercise commenced.
\(Q\) Just to be clear, you do not remember the call that Mr. Birney testified about?

A I don't remember it.
MR. ROBERT: Objection. Asked and answered.
A I don't remember a specific call three years ago, no. THE COURT: Iet's move on.

\section*{E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)}

Q We're good.
Let me ask you a couple follow-up questions that you gave toward the end of the day about a distinction you were drawing between the appraisals for easement donations and the erercise of valuing the assets for the Statenent of Financial Condition.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and pull up the
testimony. It's page 3376, line 13 to 3377, 5.
Q And I'll just sort of paraphrase your answer, but please feel free if you need to read the whole thing. The gist of your testimony yesterday was that the erercise conducting an appraisal for an easenent donation and the exercise of valuing the assets for the Statement of Financial Condition were, as you say on line 21, "two totally different exercises;" is that right?

A Yes.
Transcript continues on the following page....

Q And if you go down to the next page and you again emphasize that they are two very different topics; is that right?
A For the reason I noted above, yes.
Q Okay. Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 3196 which is already in evidence. This is an e-mail exchange from
David -- this is an e-mail from David McArdle to a consultant that he testified he reached out to on September 13, 2013 related to Briarcliff and you are not on this e-mail, but it does reference you.

So I want to just ask you about the second to last sentence of that first paragraph. Mr. McArdle said to his consultant, "of Course, Eric has lofty ideas on value and assumes \(\$ 1,000\) plus price PSF, per square-foot, is no problem." Do you see that?

A I do, and I think the market today would show that that would be --

THE COURT: Wait. Wait. The question was just do you see that. One step at a time.
A Yes.
Q And do you have any reason to doubt that Mr. McArdle is accurately reflecting what you told him about your ideas on value?
A I think \$1,000 a square-foot would absolutely be achievable, yes.

\section*{E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)}
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Q And based on this e-mail, it appears that that's what you told Mr. McArdle, correct?

A It looks like that I say \(\$ 1,000\) a square-foot would absolutely be achievable for the product that we were going to build, yes.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and pull up the 2013 supporting data spreadsheet which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 708 in native. Let's look at Rows 253 to 267.
Q This is the section that discusses the valuation of the 71 mid rise units. That was the subject of Mr. McArdle's engagement, correct?
A Correct.
Q And line 264, what is the price per square-foot that Mr. McConney is using?

A A thousand dollars a square-foot.
Q The same number that you provided to Mr. McArdle, correct?

A Yes. I told you yesterday that those numbers look like they would be very accurate for the project that we had in mind.

Q Okay. And let's put up some other testimony on the same subject matter from yesterday. This is at line 3367. Sorry. Page 3367 , line 9 to 15 and this is a quick Q\&A, so I'll just read it.
"QUESTION: Mr. Trump, to your knowledge, did you ever forward to Mr. McConney or tell Mr. McConney anything
about Mr. McArdle's valuation?
"ANSWER: I would have never thought to, no.
"QUESTION: So the answer is you did not?
"ANSWER: I didn't -- no, I did not. I would have never thought to because I didn't work on this document.

THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait a minute. You said, "I didn't know." It sounds like "I'm not aware of." I would read that, "I didn't, no."

THE WITNESS: Exactly, Your Honor. Anything in life is possible. I sent thousands of e-mails every day. Anything is possible.
Q I didn't ask you a question.
THE COURT: There's no question.
MR. AMER: Apologize, Your Honor. I do recall reading it, so I may not have used the inflexion that I should have, but I appreciate your point.
Q Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1074. This is an
e-mail exchange that you have with Mr. McConney in September of 2013, correct?
A Looks to be, yes.
MR. AMER: I move to admit into evidence, Your Honor.

MR. ROBERT: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as

\section*{Plaintiff's Exhibit 1074.)}

2 Q I'm just going to look at the e-mails from the bottom up. The first e-mail is an e-mail from Mr. McConney to you where he says, "Eric, did the appraisal come in yet. Thanks, Jeff." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q And then the middle e-mail is from you back to Mr.
McConney. "I have a call with David tomorrow at 1:30. Would
you like to join." That's a reference to David McArdle, correct?

A Yes.
Q You were inviting him to join the call that you're going to have with Mr. McArdle the next day at \(1: 30\), correct?

A It's very diligent of me. I'm very proud of myself.
Yes, I am inviting my controller to join a call that apparently I had with David McArdle.
Q So you thought it was appropriate to have Mr. McConney join the call that you were going to have with Mr. McArdle; yes or no?
A I asked him if he wanted to join, yes.
Q In the top e-mail, Mr. McConney says in response to you, "I don't need to be on the call. I'd just like to know the value, so I can use it for your dad's F/S." That's what he wrote back to you, correct?

A Correct.
E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)
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Q And you understood "F/S" to mean financial statement, right?
A A financial statement of my father's yes.
Q So at least on this one occasion, you did think it was appropriate to share Mr. McArdle's valuation with Mr. McConney; yes or no?
A Yes, clearly, I was very diligent. I asked him if he would like to join our call.

Q Now, you testified you were aware that there was a conservation easement donation over the Seven Springs property in 2015, right?

A Correct.
Q And you are aware that that easement donation was based on an appraisal done by Cushman \& Wakefield, yes?

A Yes, I am.
Q Are you aware that the final appraisal for the Seven Springs easement donation was a kind of appraisal known as a before and after appraisal?
A I wouldn't get into these details. I would leave something like that to Sheri Dillon.

Q My question wasn't about who you would leave the details to.
A I don't know the appraisal methodology of exactly what happened behind the scenes, no.
Q Do you know that it was a before and after appraisal;

\section*{E. TRUMP - PLAINTIFF - DIRECT(MR. AMER)}
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\section*{yes or no?}

A Sitting here today, ten years later, I would have zero recollection of that.

MR. AMER: Okay. Let's go ahead and put up the 2016
Jeff Supporting Data which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 742 in evidence and I'd like to take us to Row 927.
Q You'll see Row 927 is the row that relates to Seven Springs and has the valuation. If we just go up to check the dates, there's the value in Column G. Just go up until we hit a date for Column G. Column G is 2015, June 30, 2015 and Column H is June 30, 2016. Let's now go back to Row 927. And you see that Mr. McConney's note says, "even Springs per appraisal for easement."

And I'll represent to you, and we can put up the testimony if you'd like to see it, but I'll represent to you that Mr. McConney testified at trial that because the donation was made after June 30, 2015, he used the before value from the appraisal of 56 million for the 2015 value and he used the after value from the appraisal of 35.4 million for the value in 2016.

Mr. Trump, were you aware that Jeffrey McConney used the Cushman appraisal for the easement donation on Seven Springs as the basis for the value of the asset in both 2015 and 2016; yes or no?

A Not to the best of my knowledge, no.
Q I'd like to talk to you now about some certifications
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you signed. Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1330 in evidence.
This is a document entitled, "power of attorney." I'll wait
until we get you a copy. If you turn to page 6 of 8 of this
document, you'll see that -- do you recognize that as your
father's signature under the principal line?
A It is very distinctive. I do.
Q And he signs it on March 9th of 2017, correct?
A Correct.
Q And on page seven, it lists both you and your brother Donald Trump, Jr. as the agents, correct?
A That's correct.
Q And is that your signature on the second line?
A Yes, it is.
Q And you and your brother both signed this document on
March 13th of 2017, correct?
A Yes, we did.
Q And page three of the document shows the grant of authority. Do you see that?
A I do.
Q And to just to go through this quickly, your father initials item \(P\), which indicates a grant of authority for items A and D above. A is real estate transactions. D is banking transactions. Do you see that?

A That's correct.
Q And then under G, modifications, there is an underlying
paragraph. I'm just going to read it. "The authority granted hereunder is solely with respect to the execution and delivery of certifications and similar documentation (including without limitation compliance certificates) in connection with existing financings in which Donald J. Trump is a guarantor."

Do you see that?
A Absolutely.
Q Can we agree that this is the document that authorized you to sign certifications as attorney in fact for your father in connection with loans?
A That looks to be accurate, yes.
Q Let's go ahead and look at some certifications for
2020. We are going to look at Exhibit 518. You'll see that the first page is an e-mail from Adam Rosen to an individual at db.com, which is Deutsche Bank.

Do you see that?
A I do.
Q His attachment lists a number of compliance
certificates -- sorry. The e-mail lists as attachments a number of compliance certificates. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Do you recall that you were the person who signed these 2020 certificates?
A Yes, I do.
Q If we could just quickly look at them and identify your
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signature the on these. Page three, if you'd look at that first, that's your signature, correct?
A Yes, it is.
Q And page five, that's your signature, correct?
A Yes, it is.
Q And page seven, that's your signature, correct?
A That's my signature, yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

THE COURT: It's in. Granted.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 518.)

MR. AMER: Thank you.
Q Let's go back to page two of the exhibit. This is the compliance certificate for the Chicago loan, correct?

A That's correct.
Q And under the first numbered paragraph, "financial information," I'm correct that there's no "X" mark next to the first bullet that indicates the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition as attached, correct?
A That looks to be correct, yes.
Q And the paragraph underneath the three bullets indicates in the second sentence,"As agreed by lender and without prejudice to lender's reliance on the certifications herein, guarantor hereby certifies that the guarantor's

Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2020 shall be submitted to the lender no later than December 31, 2020."

Do you see that?
A That's what it says, yes.
Q So it appears that that statement was not ready yet to be included in the certification as of the date the certification was signed, right?

A I wouldn't know the answer to that question. That's a legal or accounting question. I would have no idea sitting here today.

Q It is a question about whether the Statement of Financial Condition was available as of this date.
A I just -- I don't know the date of this document so it is a little bit hard for me to say.

Q Let's scroll down. The other way. Sorry. It is dated October 28, 2020?
A Scroll back down, please.
Understood. It looks like it wasn't due until December 31, 2020.

Q Do you know why it wasn't ready yet by the date of this certification? Just yes or no.
A I would have no idea.
Q And there's the same statement in absence of an " X " in the box for the other two compliance certificates, so can we agree your response would be the same for those as well?
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A If it saves this Court time, absolutely. THE COURT: It does. MR. AMER: It does.
THE WITNESS: Your Honor, there we go.
Q And if you look -- if we go down in the document, there are certain facts being stated in paragraph four about the net worth of the guarantor. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Can we agree that by executing -- by the way, there
are - there's a net worth of guaranty section in the other two certificates as well. Can we agree that by that executing each of the three certifications as Donald J. Trump's attorney in fact, you were certifying to Deutsche Bank the facts set forth in the net worth of guaranty section in each of the certifications, yes?
A Absolutely.
Q And when you executed these three certifications, you intended the bank to rely on the certifications; isn't that right?
A I don't know what the bank does with the certifications. I certified something that I believe was accurate and my lawyers told me that it was accurate and our financial people told me it was accurate and that's absolutely accurate. As to what Deutsche Bank does with a piece of paper like this, I have no idea.
\(1 \quad\) Q Let me just be clear because I don't think that was my question. I'm not asking you about what you think the bank did or didn't do with the certification. I'm asking you about your own intention when you sign this.

Is it correct that when you signed this, it was your intent that the bank rely on these certifications to satisfy obligations under the loan agreements; yes or no?

A No.
Q Your answer is no, you didn't have that intent?
A I don't choose what somebody relies on. What the bank wants to rely on, they can rely on. I believe everything I put in this statement was absolutely accurate and I stand by it 100 percent. As to what Deutsche Bank Deutsche Bank does with, it is their purview, their own company.

Q Let me ask it this way. Was it your purpose and intent of signing the certification to satisfy the obligations of the borrower under the loan agreements that these certifications relate to; yes or no?
A I don't know.
Q You did intend for this to be accurate, though, correct?
A Yes. I think my father's net worth is far higher than that number, yes.

Q Let's go ahead and look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 517.
This is now October 28, 2021 and this is a certification
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relating to Trump Endeavor 12, LLC. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Is that the Doral loan?
A That is Trump Doral.
Q And it's your signature on the second page of this document, yes?

A Yes, it is.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 517.)
Q If we go to the first page and for this certification,
the box is -- got an " X " mark in the first bullet that says, "Attached hereto is the guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2021," correct?
A That's correct.
Q So in 2021, the Statement of Financial Condition was available to be attached to this certification, correct?
A It certainly appears that way, yes.
Q And by signing this document, you certified as attorney in fact for your father that Donald J. Trump's 2021 Statement of Financial Condition presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2021, correct?

Q And let's go ahead and mark as Plaintiff's Exhibit 516 the next certification. And this is a 2021 certification for the Chicago loan, correct?

A Yes, it is.
Q And that's your signature on the second page of the document, right?

A Yes, it is.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 516.)
Q By signing this document -- if we look at the first page again, by signing this document, you certified as attorney in fact for your father that Donald J. Trump's 2021 Statement of Financial Condition presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2021, correct?

A That's certainly what it says, yes.
Q You intended this to be an accurate representation when
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A I would not sign something that was not accurate.
MR. AMER: And the next document is Plaintiff's Exhibit 515.
A Mr. Amer, I could probably save you some time. I think my answers are going to be the exact same to every one of them.

Q It's going to be very quick, but I just need to do it. These are important documents.

THE WITNESS: Just to save Your Honor some time.
THE COURT: I appreciate the witness' desire to
save time. Let Mr. Amer do what he needs to do ASAP, quickly.

MR. AMER: I think I've been doing that, Your Honor.
Q This is the certification for the Old Post Office loan, correct?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q That's your signature on the second page, correct?
A Yes, it is.
MR. AMER: I move to admit this into evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 515.)
Q And by signing this document, you certified on behalf of your father as his attorney in fact that Donald J. Trump's

Statement of Financial Condition present the fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Donald J. Trump as of June 30, 2021, correct?
A Correct.
Q And you intended this to be accurate when you signed it, correct?
A I would not sign something that wasn't accurate.
Q Now, we've just looked at three certifications you
signed stating that your father's 2021 Statement of Financial Condition presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of your father as of June 30, 2021.

Can you tell us what, if anything, you did to ascertain that the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition in fact presents fairly all material -- in all material respects the financial condition of your father as of June 30,2021 ?
A I relied on a very big accounting office. I relied on one of the biggest accounting firms in the country. And I relied on a great legal team and when they gave me comfort that the statement was perfect, I was more than happy to execute it.
Q Did you personally review any of the methodologies used to value any of the assets in the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition, yes or no?
A No.
Q Did you personally review any of the supporting data
prepared by Patrick Birney in connection with the preparation of

Page 3443
the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition yes or no?
A Not that I can remember.
Q One more certification. It's Plaintiffs Exhibit 76.
Actually, let me rephrase that. This is a loan modification, not a certification.

Do you recognize this as the July 9, 2019 loan
modification relating to the Seven Springs mortgage?
A Yes, I do.
Q And am I correct that you executed this document on
behalf of Seven Springs, LLC as its president?
MR. AMER: If we go to the signature page.
A It looks like that I did it as attorney in fact for my father.

Q Were you at this time the president of Seven Springs, LLC?

A I likely was, yes, July 9th of 2019.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit this document.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, the Document was marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 76.)
Q Let's go to paragraph 8 H . You'll see 8 H says, "All of
the representations and warranties of borrower under the loan
documents are hereby restated and reaffirmed as true and correct
by borrower as of the date thereof and hereof and are
incorporated herein by their "-. "herein in their entirety by this reference." Do you see that?
A I do.
Q And you understood when you signed this loan
modification that you were confirming that representation and warranty, correct?
A That's what it says.
Q And you intended that representation to be accurate when you signed this document, right?
A I wouldn't sign something that I thought was inaccurate.
Q Let's go ahead and move to Mar-A-Lago. Let me show you Exhibit 1382 in evidence. It is already in evidence. Mr. Trump, you'll see that there are two e-mails here. The first is -- the bottom e-mail is from a gentleman, Mr. Corbiciero who was a tax advisor to the company and his e-mail is dated
November 17, 2021 and he's sending it to Ray Flores. Mr. Flores reported to you, correct?
A Yes, Mr. Flores worked in our office.
Q Not my question, though.
He reported to you, correct?
A He had several different reports.
Q Were you one of them?
A Oh, absolutely. Yes, I was.
Q And the subject matter of the e-mail is Mar-A-Lago
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homestead exemption. Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Then if you look at the top e-mail, Mr. Flores is passing this along to both you and Mr. Weisselberg. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q And he passes it along for your information and asks
you to let him know if you'd like to discuss this homestead
exemption further. Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Do you recall receiving this e-mail and reviewing it?
A I recall some details about this issue, yes.
Q And if we look at the second sentence of Mr.
Corbiciero's e-mail, he writes, "Last year, we briefly discussed the possibility of filing for a homestead exemption on the Mar-A-Lago property since President Donald Trump had really declared Palm Beach and the Mar-A-Lago property as his permanent residency." You were certainly aware of that fact, right?
A Absolutely.
(Continued on the next page.)

1 Q And then the second paragraph I'm going to walk you through and ask you some questions about -- he begins by saying, "in order to do this and this being filing for a homestead exemption, Mr. Trump would have to meet certain requirements to establish residency."

Did you understand when you got this e-mail and reviewed it that there were certain requirements that would need to be met in order for your father to file for a homestead exemption now that he was legally declaring Palm Beach to be his legal residence?
A It's certainly what it says.
Q My question is different.
A Do I understand it? Yes, I understand.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: Mr. Amer, try not to say "okay." MR. AMER: I will try not to.
Q Skipping two sentences down, he says "since the private club is currently owned by a corporation, the property ownership would need to be changed/transferred into his name as an individual and not under a corporation."

First of all, at this point in time you and your
brother were running the company; right?
A Yes, I would have been the head of the company at this time.
Q Were you aware that Mar-A-Lago was owned by a
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corporation as of this time?
A I don't think we have a single asset that wouldn't be owned in an entity structure. It's just not how you own real estate. Yes, I would be aware of that it's owned in form of entity.

Q And you were also aware it was being operated as a private club; right?

A As a club, yes.
Q He goes on to say "if he was to meet all these
requirements then the part of the property that he uses as a residence would be eligible for homestead. The property appraiser would need to come out and do a property inspection and measure the residential area and that portion of the assessment would receive the homestead exemption."

Did you read and understand what Mr. Corbiciero was saying would have to happen to the property in order for your father to file for homestead exemption?
A That's what it said. I, again, don't remember much about this, but sure, I certainly trust the words on this piece of paper.

Q Let's look at the next paragraph.
Mr. Corbiciero says "currently, this property is
assessed as a private club with the current assessed value at \$359 per square foot."

Did you understand in November of 2019 that Mar-A-Lago
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1 was being assessed as a private club at a rate that applied to a 2 commercial property?

A Certainly says that.
Q My question is a little different.
Were you aware of that fact in this time frame?
A I don't think I'd be so nitty-gritty that I focused on details like this. This is just not what an executive at my level focuses on. I certainly see it says that in words.

Q And when you reviewed this you would have understood it at the time; right?

A Presumably. I'm not sure how much attention I paid to this to tell you the truth.
Q Goes on to say "the surrounding residential properties are assessed on average of over 2,000 per square foot with a recent sale just over \(\$ 3,000\) per square foot."

Mr. Trump, did you understand that there was a different rate that applied to residential properties versus commercial properties in Florida?
A As a real estate guy do I think that residential properties are taxed differently than commercial properties? Yes, absolutely. 100 percent.

Q I want to be more specific. Did you understand that in the state of Florida residential properties were assessed at a higher rate per square foot than commercial properties; yes or no?

Q And he goes on to say "if the property appraiser were to separately assess the residential portion of Mar-A-Lago in order for it to qualify for homestead, we believe this would result in a much higher assessment and therefore outweigh the potential tax savings from the \(\$ 50,000\) homestead exemption in the immediate future." Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q So did you understand from reviewing this e-mail that by categorizing a portion of Mar-A-Lago as residential it would increase the tax assessment because the rate that applies to residential properties is much higher than the rate that applies to commercial properties?
A Potentially. Again, as I said, I probably didn't focus too much on an e-mail like this.

Q Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1352, which is the 2021 supporting data that's in evidence.

I'm going to ask to look at Rows 184 and 185 relating to Mar-A-Lago. Do you see in Row 185 it says "value if sold to individual?"

\section*{A Yes, I do.}
\(2 \quad Q\) Did you understand at the time that you certified the 2021 statement of financial -- sorry -- withdrawn.

Did you understand when you certified to Deutsche Bank
that the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of your father as of June 30, 2021 that Mar-A-Lago was being valued as if it were a private residence that could be sold to an individual; yes or no?

A Well, I think I testified very extensively I haven't seen the backup, but Mar-A-Lago is a residence that could be sold to a private individual and we have that absolute zoning right to do so, so that doesn't surprise me at all that you value it that way.

Q And you're saying that was your understanding at the time that you certified to Deutsche Bank that the 2021 statement presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of your father; correct?

A It's very clear that Mar-A-Lago is not a club. It's a private residence and yes -- so I see nothing wrong with that a hundred percent.

Q And did you understand at the time that you certified to Deutsche Bank that the 2021 statement presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of your father that at that time Mar-A-Lago was being valued for purposes of tax
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assessment as though it were a commercial property used as a private club; yes or no?

A It is being used as a private club.
MR. AMER: Your Honor --
A Yes.
THE COURT: We'll take "yes" to be the answer.
A It's used as a private club.
Q And you understood that at the time you signed the certification; yes?

A That Mar-A-Lago is a private club, yes. Mar-A-Lago is a private club.

Q And more to the point that it was being assessed for property tax purposes as a private club; yes?

A Sure. I think that's how you would assess it. Q Okay.

THE COURT: Try not to say "okay". Even softly. THE WITNESS: If you're worried about me, it
doesn't bother me.
MR. AMER: The Judge has to be even handed. I take
his point.
THE WITNESS: I've got thick skin, Your Honor. THE COURT: Good. You'll need it.
Q You'd be happy to hear I have one more document and the Judge will be happy to hear that it's already in evidence.

And this is Exhibit 1751. I'm just waiting for the
extra copy.
A And I'm happy to read off the screen. I don't need the formalities.

Q This is a Separation Agreement between the Trump
Corporation and its various related entities and Allen
Weisselberg. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And I just want to confirm on page seven of eight that you are the person who signed this agreement on behalf of the company?
A On page six?
\(Q\) Yes. I'm using the page numbers at the very bottom next to the plaintiff's exhibit number. So it says page seven of eight?

A Don't worry about it. I clearly signed this agreement.
Q I just want the record to be clear we're looking at the right page?
A Understood.
Q Okay. And you signed this on January 12, 2023; correct?

A It looks that way, yes.
Q And we've had testimony from Mr. Weisselberg just so we have the timeline correct that he had pled guilty to 15 counts of tax fraud on August 18th of 2022, so several months before you signed this agreement. You were aware of his guilty plea
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when you signed this document; correct?
A I don't know what I was aware of when I signed this document. Presumably if this document would be after, yes; if it was before, clearly not. I don't --
Q Well, I just said that he testified at trial that he pled guilty on August 18th of 2022, so that's --
A Yes, I would have been aware if that's the case.
Q And if you look at page two of the document under -- at the bottom of the "benefits" paragraph.

MR. AMER: If we could blow that up.
Q It says "the company agrees to make severance payments to the employee," that's Mr. Weisselberg, "in installments of 250,000." I'm just paraphrasing pursuant to a schedule. Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And let's just quickly look at the schedule, which is the last page of the document.

According to the payment dates Mr. Weisselberg has received only the first three of his eight installments; correct?

A I presume that to be the case, yes.
\(Q\) And if we go back to page two of the document under paragraph three there is a list of employee promises. Do you see that?

A I do.
\(1 \quad Q\) And it says "in exchange for the benefits provided in paragraph two, that would include the installment payments;" right?
A Yes, I assume that's what it's referring to.
Q Mr. Weisselberg promises certain things. I just want to highlight two of them. One of the things he promises is Paragraph B, not to verbally or in writing disparage, criticize or denigrate the company or any of its current or former entities, officers, directors, managers, employees, owners or representatives. Do you see that?
A Absolutely.
Q So one of the things he was promising not to do in exchange for getting his payments was to not disparage, criticize or denigrate you; correct?

A Certainly not a lawyer, but it seems like that's pretty standard language for any severance agreement, yes.

Q So the answer is yes?
A I don't know what it's intended for, but it probably
means that he can't openly in the press disparage me, yes. I would never disparage him.
Q But at any rate you are not getting installment payments from him. He's getting installment payments from the Trump Company; correct -- Trump Corporation?

A He's a man that worked at the company for 50 years. I
was -- ten years before I was born he was working at the
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E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)

\section*{company, yes.}

Q Okay. And in exchange for getting these installment payments he's agreeing not to verbally or in writing disparage, criticize or denigrate certain entities and individuals? And I'm just asking you simply to confirm that those entities and individuals would include you; correct? Yes or no?
A Well, I'm certainly an officer of the company, so, yes.
Q Okay. And it would also include your brother Donald
Trump, Jr.; correct?
A Very much sounds like it, yes.
Q And it would include your father Donald Trump; yes?
A Presumably.
Q And because it includes former officers it would include your sister Ivanka Trump; correct?

A She would be a former officer, yes.
Q Okay. And then if we look at Paragraph D, another one of the promises that Mr. Weisselberg makes in exchange for getting his payments is "except for acts or testimony directly compelled by subpoena or other lawful process issued by a Court of competent jurisdiction," he will not, "one, communicate with, provide information to or otherwise cooperate in any way with any other person or entity, including his counsel or other agents having or claiming to have any adverse claims against the company or any person or entity released by this agreement with regard to the adverse claim."

Let me just stop there. Can we agree that one such entity with adverse claims against the company at the point you signed this is the Attorney General's Office of the State of New York; yes?
A I don't know. This looks like pretty boilerplate language for me in an agreement like this, but are you adverse to us, yes. I think the whole world knows you're adverse to us, so I will say yes.
Q "Or two, take any action to induce, encourage,
instigate, aid, abet or otherwise cause any other persons or entity to bring or file a complaint, charge, lawsuit or other proceeding of any kind against the company or any person or entity released by this agreement." That was the second part of that promise; correct?
A That's correct.
Q Okay.
MR. AMER: Sorry, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Amer, how much longer with the witness, with this topic, etc.?

MR. AMER: Just a couple questions both with the witness and with the document and I'm done.

THE COURT: Roughly how long?
MR. AMER: Two minutes.
THE COURT: Go right ahead.
Q Mr. Trump, did you participate in the business decision
E. Trump - Plaintiff - direct (Amer)
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to enter into this agreement with Mr. Weisselberg; yes or no?
A Yes.
Q And was entering into this agreement a business
decision that you approved; yes or no?
A I signed it. I clearly approved it.
Q And you testified at the very beginning of our session
yesterday that if your father directed you to take an action
post his leaving the presidency you would follow his direction.
Do you recall that?
A I do.
Q Did your father direct you to enter into this agreement with Mr. Weisselberg?

A No, he did not.
Q Did he approve of it to your knowledge?
A I did this agreement with Mr. Weisselberg. He's a
person that worked with our organization for 50 years. I was the person who did this, I was the person who signed it, I was the person who negotiated it.

Q That doesn't really answer my question, which is whether your area approved it; yes or no?

A He did not.
MR. AMER: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Give me a moment or two.
MR. AMER: We have some housekeeping. We don't need do with the witness on the stand.

THE COURT: All right. We'll excuse the witness. Thank you.

THE COURT OFFICER: Members of the audience, remain seated.
(Whereupon, the witness is excused from the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I'd like to go back to where we were this morning after our various statements on the record. I'll consider defendants' objection to by consulting with or receiving notes from my law clerk to be a continuing objection and I will continue to consult with the staff that I'm ethically allowed to consult with, that being my two law clerks and I will continue to do that for the trial.

Mr. Kise and your colleagues, your record is preserved and going forward I don't want any other comments about my staff or how I communicate with them.

Would you like to respond?
MR. KISE: Again, just to be clear, Mr. Robert may have something as well.

So then we are not permitted to note for the record just the observation? That's it? Without comment, without any argument? Just simply make a note on the record so that it's clear in the record what's taking place in the courtroom? Because otherwise there is no way to -- there is no other way to do it. I don't have another way to do it.
end of the case and so there has to be a complete record. I may have made a record to this point, but --

THE COURT: But I'm giving you an opportunity to make a record going forward. I will continue to do it. If you want me to say every week or every day yes, I can communicate with my law clerk today or yesterday, I'll do that because I am absolutely certain that I have the right to do that and you can make whatever record you want, but let's not belabor this point.

MR. KISE: I'm not trying to, but I just want to be clear the contemporaneous nature of it is relevant for purposes of the record. So, in other words, the fact that there are notes being passed in the abstract I might agree with you may not give rise to any issue, but if notes are being passed at certain specific times or if they're being passed in a way that might indicate some bias -- and I'm not going over that record again. It's there. That's a record that needs to be made contemporaneously. There is no other way to do it contemporaneously.

To say at the end of the day yes, you received notes, okay, that may be fine. As you yourself indicated, you may receive notes about lunch. It's possible, so it's the contemporaneous nature of it and I'm not trying to burden the proceeding and we can even come up with a shorthand for it if you want me to note an objection or some

1 There is no recording, there is no video. So the only way
the record will reflect what's actually taking place is to have some reference.

MR. WALLACE: Mr. Kise can note the number of notes passed and submit an affidavit if he chooses to make a motion at some point.

MR. KISE: I don't know that we need to do that, but if something is taking place it could just be simple as making reference that the Court paused or the Court received a note. I mean, it doesn't have to reference anyone specific or any way. It's just we have to be able to make some record.

THE COURT: Okay. You've made considerable record and I am stating on the record that I will continue to receive notes, consultations of any sort at anytime with my staff. So now you have as much as you need. If you want to appeal or move to recuse or whatever you want to do, you have plenty of ammunition. The problem is it's totally useless and meaningless because I have an unfettered, absolute right to consult with my law clerks anytime, anyplace about any matter. Go ahead.

MR. KISE: All I'm saying is from here forward the record isn't complete so between now and the end of the trial, there may be other things we have to note.

Appeals, as you know, generally take place at the

\section*{Proceedings}
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1 statement like that just so it's in the record. If that's
what that means we're happy to do that. We're not trying to disrupt. It's just in order to have a complete record for appellate purposes. And what we do with that record will have to be decided at the time by maybe the appellate lawyers. We just have to be able to make the record on a contemporaneous basis. That's all I'm saying.

Mr. Robert.
THE COURT: You've had, what is it, four weeks now? And you've made a record continuously and I completely agree with the Attorney General's position. If you want to make a motion at this point, go ahead and do it.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I think we may --
THE COURT: There is no more need to make a record. You have such a complete record. You've made speeches, you made observations. I've said yes, it's being done and yes, I'll continue to do it. What more record do you want?

MR. KISE: It's like -- well, it's no different from now until the end of trial you're going to make other evidentiary rulings, I presume, or you're going to make other rulings about other aspects of the case. It's the same record. I mean, just because I've objected before to some particular thing, we still have to object going forward. I mean, that's just the nature of the appellate record. We just have to have some basis for it.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Proceedings} \\
\hline 1 & Whether we will make a motion now or not, as \\
\hline 2 & , that's something that we will determine, but that's \\
\hline 3 & \(y\) different than an appellate record that needs to be \\
\hline 4 & mplete for appellate purposes. So it would be no \\
\hline 5 & rent than if I'm not allowed to object to some \\
\hline 6 & iary ruling or some other issue. It's the same sort \\
\hline 7 & thing. It's just really no difference to it at all. \\
\hline 8 & THE COURT: What do you propose to do when you see \\
\hline 9 & te or ten notes or a day's worth of notes to make a \\
\hline 10 & ord every day hey, the principal law clerk is passing \\
\hline 11 & es to the judge on the bench? \\
\hline 12 & MR. KISE: Your Honor, as we have done before, to \\
\hline 13 & extent there is something that gives rise to in our \\
\hline 14 & minds the appearance of impropriety or bias then I think we \\
\hline 15 & e to flag that in the record. Otherwise, it's waived. \\
\hline 16 & We're going to get to the First Department and the First \\
\hline 17 & Department will look at us and say why didn't you raise that \\
\hline 18 & the record? Why is it not there? If you're talking \\
\hline 19 & ut a specific ruling at a specific time, why did you not \\
\hline 20 & raise it. That's all I'm saying. It goes back to what I \\
\hline 21 & saying before in the past. I have certainly felt truly \\
\hline 2 & that I'm debating with two adversaries. I've got one in one \\
\hline 23 & ce and one in another and that's a record that needs to \\
\hline 24 & because that is a record that is \(I\) think \\
\hline & respectfully, relevant for appellate purpose \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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MR. WALLACE: It's not relevant to what is going on. We are trying to put on a trial and we are putting in witnesses making evidence. This sideshow of talking about the clerk passing notes to the Court is interrupting -- is seems, to me, largely designed -- I don't know. I guess you're making a record trying to blow up the trial, but also designed to interrupt our ability to put in evidence.

We've been doing this for five weeks. If you think this has been prejudice that has disrupted this trial, please make your motion now. Don't let us go on for another four weeks. But if there is something improper about the judge and the clerk passing notes, you should make your motion now instead of continuing to interrupt this trial.

The difference between making an objection about evidence and making an objection about something that is not relevant to an appeal, I think should be obvious at this point.

MR. KISE: So I appreciate the fact that to
Mr. Wallace it appears obvious. Maybe I'm slow. It's not obvious to me. The record is the record. I've only handled, I don't know, 200 appellate cases in my career. I've only handled four cases in the Supreme Court of the United States. I don't know much about appellate records.

MR. WALLACE: And how many of those cases involved an overturned decision based on notes passed from a judge to said, that's something that we will determine, but that's very different than an appellate record that needs to be complete for appellate purposes. So it would be no different than if I'm not allowed to object to some evidentiary ruling or some other issue. It's the same sort of thing. It's just really no difference to it at all.

THE COURT: What do you propose to do when you see a note or ten notes or a day's worth of notes to make a record every day hey, the principal law clerk is passing

MR. KISE: Your Honor, as we have done before, to the extent there is something that gives rise to in our minds the appearance of impropriety or bias then I think we have to flag that in the record. Otherwise, it's waived. We're going to get to the First Department and the First Department will look at us and say why didn't you raise that on the record? Why is it not there? If you're talking about a specific ruling at a specific time, why did you not raise it. That's all I'm saying. It goes back to what I was saying before in the past. I have certainly felt truly that I'm debating with two adversaries. I've got one in one place and one in another and that's a record that needs to respectfully, relevant for appellate purposes.
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THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, one at a time.
MR. KISE: The answer to the question is none. That's why it's a significant record to be made. But no one is obstructing the trial. No one is doing anything to obstruct the trial. We are proceeding forward. They have been allowed to put in ample evidence and so, therefore -and over objection, sometimes the objections are sustained. But the point is we have to make our record. That's our -that is our role and right as attorneys.

So I -- and we haven't made it repeatedly. I have to disagree with that characterization. It's not been like every minute of every day that this issue has been brought to the forefront if it is impeded or proceeded in any way but there has so be some way if we believe that there is an issue that it be raised. That's all and we will be, as we have before, we will be direct, appropriate and measure. We're not making extra judicial statements about this. I certainly have not made any and don't intend to as you have probably observed over the last year is my general practice.

So the point is as a lawyer, though, I have to make a record and while I appreciate the advice and direction from the he Attorney General, those sorts of things are decided on this side of the table, just like what motions they bring and how they proceed is decided by them.
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And Mr. Robert I know had one other thing.
MR. ROBERT: I just wanted some clarity because, Your Honor, are you directing us not to object or that we're not allowed to object to the conduct we observe in the courtroom? That's all I want to know.

THE COURT: I'll issue a written decision later today and you'll know.

All right. Anybody else? I understand we have housekeeping matters or matter?

MR. AMER: Yes, Your Honor. It goes to the point that we played some video clips of testimony and I realize the court reporter does not transcribe the video clips, so what we have are reports that contain the testimony that we've marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit so that we can get those admitted into evidence since there needs to be a record of what we all heard when we played the video clips and I've just --

MR. KISE: A record? Really? There needs to be a record? Wow. That's interesting.

MR. AMER: Yes, there needs to be a record.
So I'm going to hand out the plaintiff's exhibits. They're all a stack. So that's one stack, this is another stack.

Transcript continues on the following page...

MR. AMER: I'm going to go through them individually. Mr. Kise, I already discussed with your colleague Mr. Robert, so we agreed on this process. The first one is a clip of Mr. Weisselberg's testimony and -MR. KISE: If it is confirmed that they're correct, Your Honor, then we don't have a problem with it. I mean, he doesn't have to go through each line by line.

MR. AMER: I'm not. I'm going to say the exhibit number and I'm going to ask that they be admitted into evidence.

MR. KISE: We can do it by agreement.
MR. AMER: Plaintiff's Exhibit 3334 is a clip from Mr. Weisselberg. Plaintiff's Exhibit 3335 is the clip we played from Mr. McArdle's direct testimony. We did that both with Mr. Trump on the stand. I'm sorry. This is from Mr. Trump's -- Eric Trump's testimony. We played that for Mr. McArdle. That's 3335. Plaintiff's Exhibit 3339, that was Eric Trump's testimony that we played. Plaintiff's Exhibit 3338, also Mr. Trump's testimony we played. Plaintiff's 3337, another clip from Eric Trump. And finally, Plaintiffs 3336, another clip from Mr. Eric Trump's deposition testimony. So we ask that all of these exhibits be admitted into evidence.

MR. ROBERT: No objection.
THE COURT: Okay. Granted. They're in evidence.5
(Whereupon, the Clips were marked in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibits 3334-3339.)

THE COURT: Any other housekeeping matters? MS. FAHERTY: Yes, Your Honor. During the examination of Mr. Orowitz, there were two exhibits that were discussed. There was testimony on them, but counsel did not move them in for admission. So I'm putting it on the record we'd like to move these into evidence.

First is plaintiff's Exhibit P X 3111 and the second is PX 3332. Mr. Orowitz identified both of these exhibits as e-mail chains to which he was a sender and recipient as well. Although, they weren't formally moved in, we would ask the Court do so now.
(Whereupon, the Documents were marked in evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibits 3111\&3332.)

MR. KISE: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. KISE: For good measure on a Friday, statute of limitations and relevance objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. Any other housekeeping matters or any other matters? Just give me one second. Okay. We will reconvene on Monday at ten o'clock and the first witness will be?

MR. AMER: The only witness will be Donald J. Trump.

THE COURT: The only witness will be Donald J. Trump. Thanks, everybody. Good weekend.
(Whereupon the trial was adjourned to Monday, November 6, 2023.)
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THE COURT: Well we have a lot of people here and apparently a lot of photographers outside that want to photograph some of you.

We always call them the gaggle of photographers. I think gaggle refers to geese, doesn't it?
(Photographers entered the courtroom.)
(Photographers exited the courtroom.)
THE COURT: I would like to start right away with the next witness.

Are there any housekeeping matters that can't wait until later?

MR. KISE: Not that can't wait, Judge. We have a minor housekeeping matter, but if Your Honor would like to proceed we will just wait until later.

THE COURT: Great. Thanks.
Plaintiff would you like to call your next witness?

MR. WALLACE: Certainly, Your Honor. The People call Donald J. Trump.
(Whereupon the witness took the stand.)
COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand.
D O N A L D J. T R U M P, after
having first been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and
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either home or business address for the record.
THE WITNESS: Yes. It is Donald J. Trump, and I
live in Palm Beach, Florida, 1200 Southern Boulevard. THE COURT: That sounds good.

I'll just ask you, like I ask every witness,
talk right into the microphone loudly, slowly, clearly. Mr. Wallace, please proceed. MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Good morning, Mr. Trump.
A Good morning.
Q You were the beneficial owner of a bunch of companies branded as The Trump Organization; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q From May 1, 1981 to January 19, 2017, you were the Director, President and Chairman of the Trump Organization Incorporated; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And the assets of the Trump Organization are currently held in a revocable trust; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And that trust is called the Donald J. Trump
Revocable Trust; is that right?
A Yes that's right.
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Q If we talk about the Trust today, you will understand that's what \(I\) am referring to?

A Yes, certainly.
Q You are the donor of all of the assets in the Trust?
A Yes.
Q Are you the sole beneficiary of the Trust?
A Yes.
Q And you formed the Trust when you decided to run for president; is that correct?

A Pretty much when I won, I formed the Trust.
Q Do you recall that the Trust may have been formed actually in 2014?

A It could have been earlier, but it was primarily when I thought about running. And I think maybe came into -- it could be a little earlier, but pretty much around the time that I won.

Q And the purpose was related to your run for the Presidency; is that correct?

A I thought there would be a conflict of interest if I had the properties outright, so I formed the Trust. But no -Excuse me, even though I would be allowed to do that.

In fact, I told you the story once about George Washington, he had two desks, one for business and one for President. You are allowed to do that. But I didn't like it. And I felt there may be a conflict of interest, so I formed the
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Trust.
Q But prior to you becoming President of the United States you were the sole Trustee of the Trust; is that correct?

A That's correct, yes.
Q And after you were elected President of the United States you appointed your son, Donald Trump Jr. and Allen Weisselberg as Trustees; is that correct?

A That's correct, yes.
Q So why did you select Mr. Weisselberg to be a Trustee?

A Well, he had been with the company a long time. And he was somebody that \(I\) had confidence in. He did a good job. I mean, he was very good at what he did. He was a -- he was the comptroller and financial person. And we really liked him for a long time.

Q And you trusted Mr. Weisselberg?
A I did, yes.
Q At the time you appointed him as Trustee he was the Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Organization; is that correct?

A Yes, that's right.
Q Why did you select your son, Don, to serve as a Trustee?

A He is a hard working boy, you know, young man, and he has done a very good job; as has Eric. Eric was very busy
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running the company, and I thought that putting Don in would be good. He is smart. He is a very honorable guy.

Q And when you left the white House you appointed yourself as Trustee again; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And at that time after you left the Presidency you were the sole Trustee of the Trust; is that correct?

A Um, yeah, around that time, yes.
Q Why did you make yourself the sole Trustee?
A Well, I figured that \(I\) would be back in the business world for a little while, depending on what happened in politics. And I was going to get involved with the business again. I wasn't in politics other than thinking about running or doing whatever I had to do. So, I figured that I would be back in business, I might as well be the Trustee.

Q I am going to hand up to the court officer a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1720.
(Handing)
MR. WALLACE: If you could put a copy of that up
on the screen, please?
If we could skip to page two of this document.
Q This is a document entitled the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust Removal of Trustee. And if we look down at the bottom of the page it says: "Now, therefore, pursuant to subarticle A of Article 13 of the Agreement of Trust settlor
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hereby removes Donald J. Trump as Trustee under the agreement of Trust, effective as of 2:30 p.m. eastern standard time, July 7, 2021."

If we look on the next page you will see -- is that your signature?

A Yes.
Q Why did you remove yourself as Trustee as of July 7, 2021?

A I don't know that -- I think we were at a position where I was gaining more and more confidence in my family in terms of business. And you would have to see what the alternative is. Who are the trustees that were appointed, because I had many different.

Q Sure. Yeah, I am happy to show you page five of this document, which is entitled Appointment and Acceptance of Trustee.

It states at the bottom: "Now, therefore, pursuant to subarticle A of Article 13 of the Agreement of Trust (i) settlor hereby appoints Donald J. Trump Jr. as Trustee under the Agreement of Trust. And (ii) Donald J. Trump Jr. hereby accepts such appointment effective as of 2:30 p.m. eastern standard time, July 7, 2021."

A Yeah.
Q So that's your son, Don Jr.?
A That's right. He was impressive in business, as was
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Eric. And I thought that I would do that while I continue onward with politics.

Q Okay. Anything in particular was happening in July of 2021 that led to that decision?

A Well other than you and about every other Democrat, District Attorney, AG and U.S. Attorneys, et cetera, were coming after me from 15 different sides, all Democrats, all Trump haters. And all cases that are not good, that are inappropriate and not good. Weaponization they call it.

But I felt Donald would do a good job. He would be running the business with Eric. And I had great confidence in him.

Q So I am just trying to understand. Was the decision to appoint Don actually related to the investigations you referred to?

A I think more so to the fact that \(I\) was staying very much in politics. You know, obviously as you see I was -- I have been in politics pretty much more than I thought perhaps.

Q Okay. Mr. Trump, I am going to put a document up on the screen. I don't think we need to have a hard copy of it. This is a document that is already in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 787. This is a copy of your 2011 Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Is it correct that you had a Statement of Financial
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Condition prepared as of June 30 for every year from 2011 until you became President in 2017?

A I think so, yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, housekeeping, I failed to move into evidence document 1720 , which was the Trust document we just looked at.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q If we go back to the Statement of Financial
Condition, is it correct that you had reviewed the Statement of Financial Condition in each of those years from 2011 to 2017 before it became final?

A Yeah, I would look at them. It was not something that was of great urgency because I knew they weren't very badly needed in a true sense, but I would look at them. I would see them. And I would maybe, on occasion, have some suggestions.

Q When you say they weren't badly needed, what do you mean?

A Banks didn't find them very relevant. And they had a clause in it, a disclaimer clause. You could call it a worthless statement clause, because they were done in such a way that they really were -- you talked about it here recently, a compilation. They were just something that you would have for yourself. But if you were borrowing money, and as I guess
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people are starting to say \(I\) was very under levered anyway to start off with. But they were not really documents that the banks paid much attention to. They looked at the deal. They looked at the asset. If it is real estate, they looked at the location. But they weren't -- these were not very important -primarily for the reasons that you had a disclaimer clause that was very, very powerful.

Q How did you know that the banks did not pay much attention to your statements?

A Because I have been dealing with banks for 50 years, and I probably know banks as well as anybody. And I have borrowed a lot of money, I have paid back a lot of money, and I know what they look at. They look at the deal. They look at the location. They don't want to get involved in financial statements because that's not what they are after. If a deal goes bad, they want to be able to take the deal back over and, you know, have it. They want you to be able to put up some cash or whatever it is you may be -- including expertise. But they don't want to be fighting for ten years over a personal financial statement. They want to take over the deal.

Q So you understood that these statements would go to banks, but it is your view based on your experience that they didn't rely on them very much?

A They may go to the banks. Some banks wanted them. They didn't -- they just weren't a very important element in a
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bank's decision-making process.
And we will explain that as this trial goes along, this crazy trial goes along, because we are bringing in some bankers, and the bankers will tell you -- very big bankers, bankers that dealt with me, and they will explain exactly what their processes were. But these were very substantial bankers, and they will explain what the process is.

But they paid little attention to documents, unless they were certificated documents, unless they were at the highest level, where everything was checked, everything was -but even then they were more interested in the deal.

THE COURT: Mr. Trump, Mr. Wallace is being very patient, but \(I\) would like to move things along a little faster. Please, just answer the questions, no speeches. Mr. Wallace is going to ask questions. You are going to answer them. I am going to make sure that happens. And some of your answers have not been responsive to the question. They responded, but then there is a speech to be had after that.

Mr. Kise?
MR. KISE: Your Honor, respectfully, I have been watching that. All of Mr. Wallace's questions have called for narratives: Not badly needed, what do you mean; that's an open-ended question. How did you know the banks didn't rely; that's an open-ended question. So you
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understood. I mean, if Mr. Wallace is going to ask open-ended questions that call for narratives, that I haven't objected to, ordinarily, you know, I like to object to Mr. Wallace's questions, but they are narratives. So if they call for narratives, \(I\) think it is fair for the witness to narrate. He will certainly understand your instruction, but they are open-ended questions he is asking. He is not asking narrow questions like: What do you mean by, you know, this. What is your understanding of that.

Those are questions that call for narratives, respectfully.

THE COURT: Well, we agree on the principles. We disagree on the application. So let's just continue. MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q Why don't we go through one of the later statements in a little more detail.

MR. WALLACE: If we could get Plaintiff's Exhibit 730, please.

This is in evidence, Your Honor, so we are providing an extra copy for the witness.
(Handing)
Q Mr. Trump, I have handed you a copy of a document that is in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 730. Do you recognize this as a copy of your 2014 Statement of Financial
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Condition?
A Yes, I do.
MR. WALLACE: If we can turn to page two of the
document, we will also put it up on the screen.
Q The second paragraph states: "Donald J. Trump is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. And for designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement."

Is that statement true and accurate?
A True in the sense that what they wanted was -- this is Mazars, what they wanted was as much information as we could give them so they could do their statements. And if you look at every other paragraph, that's what they talk about.

Q So it is true that you were responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements?

A Getting them information, yes. In a form of getting them information.

Q Okay.
A And if they had a problem with it, they wouldn't have done the statement. In other words, if they didn't get what they wanted as per that paragraph, they would call, can you get this, can you get that, and they wouldn't do the statement.
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Q So who is responsible --
MR. WALLACE: Well, strike that.
Q Can you tell me, what did you do to meet your responsibility for the preparation and fair presentation of this financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States?

A Me personally? Me personally or...
Q Let's start with you personally.
A Okay. Well, first of all it is so long ago, you know, this is well beyond the statute of limitations. I would think anybody else would be well beyond the statute of limitations, but I am probably not because I am sure the Judge will rule against me, because he always rules against me. But this is something well beyond the statute of limitations.

But, I will tell you that my primary thing was to tell people to give them anything they needed.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, was that comment necessary
and part of the narrative to answer the question?
MR. KISE: Well, Your Honor, you know, he is asking broad questions about him personally. I think what he is trying to understand is the witness's perspective. I mean, we are -- this is an unusual situation and an unusual trial. I think the Court should grant the former President of the United States and according to the New York Times this morning perhaps soon to be the future
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President of the United States a little latitude to explain himself. It is a bench trial, there is no jury, if it is his view, it is his view.

Your Honor is in charge of the courtroom, you have our view. You can instruct him as you see fit. But again, Mr. Wallace is trying to get at what is this witness's understanding and what he did. The only way he can present that is to explain his position. I think it is fair for the Court to hear his position, whatever it may be, whether it agrees or not. I certainly think it is fair for the American people to hear his position. But, we are subject to Your Honor's instruction.

THE COURT: I think it was a yes or no question, was he responsible.

MR. KISE: Is the question to me or?
THE COURT: The comment that \(I\) always rule against him, which as you know is not true anyway, it certainly didn't answer the question.

So Mr. Trump just answer the question. You can attack me, you can do whatever you want, but answer the questions.

MR. WALLACE: Could we get a readback please, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the
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court reporter.)
Q The question is, yes, what did you personally do to fulfill your responsibilities for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement?

A All I did is authorize and tell people to give whatever is necessary for the accountants to do a statement. In other words, we supplied them with what they needed. And if they didn't get it, they wouldn't do the statement.

Q What people did you authorize?
A Really anybody, you know, sometimes they would call a building or they would call a project, or maybe perhaps I think one or two times they wanted to go see a project. They would go out to Westchester and see something that I did out there and Briarcliff Manor or do things -- I authorized, whatever they needed to get their statement done, they could do.

Q When you said that they would go see a project, are you talking about the firm Mazars?

A Excuse me?
Q You said that sometimes they would want to go see a project and you mentioned Westchester. Is the "they" you are referring to Mazars?

A Yes.
Q Who internally at the Trump Organization would you provide the direction to give Mazars whatever they wanted?

A Almost anybody, if somebody would ask. For instance,
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if they would call somebody within the organization in purchasing or whatever, I would give them. But I would do it principally Allen Weisselberg and Jeff McConney.

Q Any other instructions besides -- let me withdraw that question.

Would you provide Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney with any instructions for how the statements should be prepared?

A Not really. No. I just wanted them to get the information to the accountants so they could do a statement. MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page six of this document. This is the top.

Q It states: Basis of Presentation. And says: "The accompanying Statement of Financial Condition consists of the assets and liabilities of Donald J. Trump. Assets are stated at their estimated current values and liabilities at their estimated current amounts using various valuation methods.

Such valuation methods include but are not limited to the use of appraisals, capitalization of anticipated earnings, recent sales and offers, and estimates of current values, as determined by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and in some instances outside professionals."

Let me ask first, Mr. Trump, is it correct that you were responsible for determining the values stated in the financial statement?
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A If somebody would ask me an opinion, I would give it to them. If Mazars would ask me an opinion, which rarely they did, I mean you are talking about many years ago, you are talking about over years, but I would certainly, I think I am probably more expert than anybody else. I think I, you know, have shown that \(I\) know more about real estate than other people. So if somebody would ask me or if I would have an opinion I would -- I would give it.

Q In light of your expertise in real estate, do you recall ever thinking that the values were off in your Statements of Financial Condition?

A Yeah, on occasion.
Q What were some of those occasions?
A Both high and low; both high and low.
Q Which occasions do you recall?
A I thought that Mar-a-Lago was very underestimated, but I didn't do anything about it. I just left it be. It didn't matter, I didn't care, because the numbers you are talking about here is, you know, they are very big numbers, very, very big. Far bigger -- the values are far bigger than what is on the financial statement. I thought Mar-a-Lago was underestimated. I thought 40 Wall Street was very underestimated because that building has tremendous value. I thought that there were numerous other things. I thought Doral was very underestimated. I thought it was considerably more
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valuable. Not necessarily his golf courses, but it is right in the middle of Miami, right next to the airport. I would say you could build thousands of units and hotels on the site. So you don't look at it as a golf course. It is a great golf course, very successful, four of them, four courses. One was sold. It was five. One was sold that was a little disconnected, and sold it.

But I thought Doral was very underestimated.
And you yourself had Mar-a-Lago at \(\$ 28\) million. The Judge had it at \(\$ 18\) million. And it is worth, say, I say from 50 to 100 times more than that. So I don't know how you got those numbers. But the Judge ruled against me based on the fact, I guess, that he thought it was worth \(\$ 18\) million, which is just absolutely crazy.

THE COURT: The question was examples of when properties were undervalued or overvalued, not why you think so.

Q I may ask you about those as you go on. Your counsel are free to either cross examine you or call you as a witness. And you can tell the full story as you see fit, but it will go faster if --

A That's fine.
Q You mentioned Mar-a-Lago, 40 Wall and Doral?
A And others. But I think that -- I can think of one that was, I thought, overvalued and we changed it. I thought
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the apartment was overvalued when I looked at it. But I didn't spend a lot of time looking at this. But when I heard about the apartment and the valuation, I thought it was overvalued. But you never know, because people come along and they -- they will give you -- an apartment was sold fairly recently in New York for \(\$ 250\) million. I like this much better. So I don't know what overvalued means. Somebody comes along, it only takes one very rich person to buy it. But I thought the apartment was high. But I thought many things were low. And we -- we didn't value the most valuable asset and I didn't put it on the statement, but it is the most valuable asset, is the brand value. And I asked about that and I really didn't -- I didn't put it on the statement, but if you look at companies, the brand value is a very big part of the asset value of a company.

Q We, again, I am probably not going to ask you much about brand value, your attorneys are free to ask you all about brand value.

Going back to the estimate.
A Excuse me, sir, but if I wanted to build up a statement, like you said that I would before up found out exactly how rich we are, I would have added brand value here and I would have increased it by tens of millions of dollars.

Q Do you have an understanding of whether a Statement of Financial Condition prepared under GAAP is allowed to
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include brand value?
A It is an asset. Coca-Cola includes it and other big companies, public companies, I think they all include brand value. And, you know, I became President because of my brand. I sell books at levels that are incredible because of my brand, and so many other things.

And if I wanted to build up this statement like you are saying, you know, you were very surprised when you saw the real numbers. And now you go a different way, you changed your case midstream. If I wanted to build up my financial statement all I had to do is add brand value and my financial statement would be very, very substantial.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, did you ask for an essay on brand value?

MR. WALLACE: No, Your Honor.
Q My question was, and I will ask it again, Mr. Trump, do you have an understanding of whether a Statement of Financial Condition that is prepared under GAAP, is allowed to include brand value?

A I think it is, because public companies have brand value. Coca-Cola has brand value.

Q And did you ever discuss with Mr. Weisselberg whether or not under the rules of GAAP you were allowed to include your brand value on your statement of financial condition?

A No, because I didn't care about it. I didn't care
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whether we added it or not. It wasn't something that was important to me. If I wanted to build up my numbers in a fake way, which you are trying to say, but now you are not. Maybe you are going a different direction. But I didn't care enough about it to bother with it.

THE COURT: That was a yes or no question. It could have been answered yes or no. Please answer yes or no if you receive such a question.

Q So the statement was primarily prepared by Allen Weisselberg and Jeff McConney; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And they would give you a copy of the statement; is that correct?

A Yes, at some point.
Q And you would, in fact, review it; is that correct?
A I would in some cases, yes.
Q And as we just discussed, the only example you remember of an asset being higher than you thought should be was your apartment; is that correct?

A There were a couple of other things, I just don't remember. You know, you are talking about a lot of years ago.

Q Would you discuss the statement with Mr. Weisselberg?
A Very little.
Q When you say, "very little," what discussions did you have with Mr. Weisselberg about the statement?
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A I would look it over. I may have a suggestion or something, but very little. It just wasn't very important. You have made it important, but it wasn't important. It wasn't important financially. Most developers don't use accountants for this, they just take a piece of paper and write the name of the building and the address of the building and what they think it is worth, they don't go through this.

I don't believe any developer, at least that I know of, has done a statement so thorough as this. And frankly so lowball, as this statement is much less valuable in terms of the dollar amount than the actuality of the wealth or the worth.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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Q So this statement was prepared in a manner that you agree with; that you think was appropriate?

A That was fine. It was fine. I didn't go into great detail on it, but it was fine.

Q If anything, you think the statement under valued your assets; is that correct?

A Well, I do by a substantial amount. It was the opposite of your case your case. Your case was that I had no money at all. I mean, looking at this year, what could I have 300 -some-odd million dollars based on just saw -- 300 -- let me look. But, you know, you sued me on the basis that Trump had no money and he wrote up phony statements and defrauded banks even though they were represented by the biggest law firms in the world and the most sophisticated and best lawyers, and even though these banks were paid back in full, there was no harm; there was no anything. Everybody got their money in full; there was no victim. And then you are saying, I deferred it. The banks don't even know what they are doing in this case. They said you paid us back.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I move to strike that answer.

THE COURT: Granted.
Mr. Kise, can you control your client. This is not
a political rally. This is a courtroom with a case about
Executive Law section 6312. I've asked several times, asked
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the witness several times to answer the questions. I don't want editorializing. We'll be here forever and we'll accomplish nothing. Maybe you should have a talk with him right now.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I'll do as you instruct. You are in control of the courtroom, not me, and I don't mean that disrespectfully. Truly, I don't, but I'm happy to do as you instruct. Again, we are dealing with an extraordinarily unusual situation here.

THE COURT: "Again" is a bad word.
MR. KISE: I know it is.
THE COURT: I know --
MR. KISE: It's part of the record.
THE COURT: -- it's an extraordinary situation.
MR. KISE: It's an extraordinary situation. It would be most efficient, ultimately, to allow the explanations. It's a bench trial.

THE COURT: I disagree. Talk to your client for a minute.

MR. KISE: Okay. Then should we take our ten-minute break. And I can't to do it here.

THE COURT: No. Do you want to go in the back?
MR. KISE: If we are going to have a conversation,
I would ask your Honor to give us a ten-minute break.
THE COURT: Will you spend the ten minutes in the
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back explaining the rules here.
MR. KISE: I think the former and again soon to be chief executive of the United States understands the rules.

THE COURT: He doesn't abide by them.
MR. KISE: I'm happy to do as you instruct. Again, I think Mr. Wallace's questions still are very broad questions. They do call -- in some sort of senses they call for narratives, so.

MR. WALLACE: I'm happy to restate my last question, your Honor, and see if we could proceed.

Q The last question was, if anything, do you think the statement under valued your assets; is that correct?

A Yes, by a lot. The financial statements.
Q The financial statements.
A Yes.
Q Would you discuss the valuation of individual properties with Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney?

A On occasion. If I disagreed.
Q Do you recall discussing with Mr. Weisselberg and
Mr. McConney the valuation of Mar-a-Lago?
A No.
Q Would they ever ask your opinion about the valuation of 40 Wall Street?

A They might, yes.
Q Seven Springs?
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A A little bit. It was done largely by a big law firm who testified, as you know.

Q You are referring to Sheri Dillon?
A Yes.
Q Sheri Dillon did the valuation of Seven Springs?
A Yes, very much her transaction. She was at a very prestigious firm, one of the most prestigious firms.

Q I think you've already said it, but you did not consider the Statement of Financial Condition to be an important document; correct?

A It's nice to see, but it's not very important when it comes to borrowing money. As I think you've learned, I'm very under levered relevant to the asset value.

Q Why was it nice to see?
A It's a compilation. We'll use that word that you've been using for two weeks. It was a nice restatement of assets. And you could go up on some and down on some, but it was a nice compilation of assets. It's a great statement, particularly the year you picked, I had essentially \(\$ 342\) million worth of cash. It's a lot of cash. Sometimes you borrow money for tax purposes because you could deduct interest and other reasons, but it's a lot of cash. The banks came to me. They wanted to make deals with me. They liked me very much.

THE COURT: Stricken, stricken --
A -- for office, your Honor.
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THE COURT: Stricken. Once he got into the last sentence or two.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would ask it be stricken after the statement, "it was nice to see. "It was a nice restatement of assets."

THE COURT: Well.
MR. WALLACE: Or "it was a nice compilation of assets."

THE COURT: I don't mind the part about how much cash he had, so we'll end it after that.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, again, noted, Mr. Wallace's question, "Why was it nice to see?" When lawyers are in depositions they ask questions like "Why." That's what they do. When they get to trial, usually what they do is ask more focused questions.

MR. WALLACE: Or they sit at Counsel table and don't ask questions and they throw up a bunch of ridiculous questions.

MR. KISE: Mr. Wallace, are you done? I'm just trying to make a point for the record. And that is, if you ask open-ended questions, then he can anticipate open-ended answers. And it's not fair to put all this on the witness. I understand your Honor's instructions. I think the witness does, but if you ask a "why" question, why questions are usually in depositions, not in trial, unless you already
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know the answer.
MR. WALLACE: The open-ended question was about why it was nice to see. I did not ask about the cash on record. It is not relevant to the answer. I think that's the issue.

MS. HABBA: It's absolutely relevant. It's --
MR. KISE: That's his opinion, why. When you ask a witness why, they are going to give you an opinion as to why.

MR. WALLACE: You've now stated your objection.
I'm being filibustered by the witness and Counsel. I would like to proceed.

MR. KISE: Okay.
THE COURT: Just proceed.
Q Going back to the work of Mr. McConney,
Mr. Weisselberg, you understood they were making a good faith effort to put down the value of the properties; is that correct?

A Yes, I did.
Q You understood that they used common sense to prepare the statements; is that correct?

A I hope so. I don't know, but I hope so.
Q And as we stated, if anything, you thought the valuations they reached were too low; correct?

A Absolutely. Well, that's been proven.
Q Okay. Let's go through a few of those valuations.
MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page eight.
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Of this document, the top we see -- this is the Niketown property. If we look at the middle paragraph here, the third paragraph, it states "The estimated current value of \(\$ 348,800,000\) is based on an evaluation by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals, applying a capitalization rate to the cash flow to be derived pursuant to the building's net rental stream."

Do you believe that statement to be true and accurate?
A No.
Q How is it inaccurate?
A The net rental stream is one way, but the bigger value here is holdup. Well, it's not a nice term in real estate, but it's called holdup value. I'm directly with that site and behind, and next to on 57th Street, which is probably the best location 57th and Fifth. It's the best location in New York.

I'm directly next to Tiffany. They cannot expand without us, and I'm directly next to IBM. So I'm between IBM and Tiffany. And the holdup value is 348 million for holdups, you know. Probably what \(I\) mean, if you know real estate it's peanuts compared to what the value is.

So I view that as it's a great property. It's a great rental stream, as you probably have figured out, but it's got much more value as a holdup. They could come to me and offer me
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\(\$ 500\) million for that site in two minutes if they wanted to expand or if they wanted to do something.

Q The statement, however, is the estimated current value as of June 30, 2014 --

A Yes.
Q So, the \(\$ 500\) million price you just referred to, that is as of today; correct?

A No. I would say it would be as of anytime. It just -it's, it's --

Q I'm not asking for further explanation?
A It could be any time. It could be then, it could be now.

Q So there's no change of value of, this \(\$ 500\) million value since 2014?

A Well, I don't want to sell it. If I wanted to sell it -- I had discussions with Tiffany for years about possibly doing something. In fact, they rented from me right now for a lot of money, and but Tiffany used -- as you know, when Tiffany, as you possibly heard, when Tiffany was rebuilding their store, they came to me and they wanted to do something. And I leased it to them for a period of time, but they would do -- they would love to be the owner of that site.

My point is, it's a holdup. It's not a nice word, but it's a holdup site. And holdup sites in New York have made --

Q The question was, had there been a change of value
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since 2014, and you said, "I don't want to sell it." So I take it the answer is no?

A No. I think it's gotten more valuable like everything else.

Q So you do not think that this statement in your 2014 Statement of Financial Condition is accurate; correct?

A Well, it's a great rental stream, but I think the real value here is the -- the value it would be for IBM or Tiffany if they wanted to say, and they do, at least Tiffany does.

Q This statement says that the estimate was "based on a valuation by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals." What was your role in prepare that valuation?

A I think I mentioned to them the value of that is it makes a lot of money, throws off a lot of money, as you see, because you see the numbers. And now more than ever, because Tiffany had to pay us a lot of money in order to lease it. I just wanted to lease it. We gave them a five-year lease while they built their store. They are building -- as you know, they just opened. But, they would love to own it. And so would, I believe IBM. I don't talk to IBM at all. But they can't expand without it. I believe that -- I did mention, quickly, I didn't know if \(I\)-- this wasn't something I devoted a lot of time to, but I did mention to them there is tremendous holdup value on that site.
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Again, 57th Street. You have Tiffany, IBM, and you have my building right in the middle of them. And if they want to expand anywhere, they have to go through my building. And I bought the air rights --

THE COURT: Hold on.
A Excuse me. I bought the air rights over Tiffany, so they can't go up.

THE COURT: In addition to the answers being nonresponsive, they are repetitive, and we don't have time to waste. We have one day with this witness.

Mr. Kise, can you control your witness, because I am considering drawing a negative inference to any questions that might be asked.

MR. KISE: Well, I would urge your Honor not to draw the negative inferences. We have a record on that, which I won't go into, but I think the witness is doing his best to answer the questions and be as responsive as possible.

If you think that it would be beneficial for us to take a break, I'm happy to do that. This is your Honor's courtroom, so I'll do as you suggest. But, again, there -the questions are calling, maybe not as broadly as the answer, but they are not tight questions. I mean, we are seeing "why" questions; we are seeing "how" questions. I mean, this is not one someone who is a professional lawyer
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or a witness, so he's answering based on his responses and the reactions to the questions.

Q Mr. Trump, you testified under oath many times; correct?

A Yes.
Q The question was, "What did you do, based on an evaluation of Mr. Trump in conjunction of his associates and outside professionals", and you said you talked to them about the holdup value.

Is there anything else you did in preparing this valuation in 2014?

A No, I don't think so.
Q Did you ultimately approve this valuation?
A No.
Q Did you approve the issuance of this statement?
A I think they did something with it, because when I told them about the holdup value, they said, "Well, you're right."

Q Who is "they"?
A The people compiling the statement. It could've been Mazars, too.

Q Did you --
A I didn't make a big deal.
Q I didn't ask you if you made deal. I'm asking if you told Mazars about the holdup value for the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition?
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A It's a long time ago. I probably did, but I told Jeff and I told Allen.

Q Okay.
Do you believe that the stated value of \(\$ 348\) million was based on true and accurate information?

A Well, as a holdup, I think it's very low.
Q I'm not asking about the holdup. I'm asking about whether you have an understanding of whether this valuation in your Statement of Financial Condition was based on true and accurate information. It's a yes or no question?

A Well, I'll say it differently. I wouldn't sell it for that number. If somebody offered me that number, I wouldn't sell it for that number.

Q I'm not asking your individual valuation. I'm not asking for an analysis. I'm just asking if whether the information that Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg used was true and accurate when they reached this number?

A I guess so. I really can't answer that. I guess so. It's a number that \(I\) wouldn't sell it for.

Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: Can we move down to the bottom of this page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

Q We have the header for 40 wall Street, and if we flip
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over the next page we'll see the valuation for 40 Wall Street. The top paragraph states "The estimated current value of \(\$ 550,100,000\) is based upon a successful renegotiation of the ground lease and an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation. And a capitalization rate applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the building's operations."

Do you believe that statement \(I\) just read is true and accurate?

A I don't believe -- it depends on how you look at it, but I don't believe it talks about the making of the building the condominium, a residential condominium, which is absolutely a perfect use for that building.

Q My question is --
A Even though it's full.
Q -- is this statement true and accurate?
A Well, let's see. So number one, you -- again, you go back a long time. Are you still in 2014.

Q We are still on the 2014 statement.
A Five-year statute of limitations. You are still in 2014. So, it says here based upon successful renegotiation of the ground lease. Well, that was done. I did that. I made a new 100-year lease, and a very good lease, and long term, very long term. I got a "lollipop" in the lease. It's a legal term,
believe it or not, but it's a lollipop, which allows you to build condominiums because you own the land. You own a piece of land. That gives you the right to build condominiums. So this would be a low number, because the highest and best use for that building is the -- it's -- I think it's the tallest building in downtown after the World Trade Center, but there's a dispute about 60 Pine Street, but, whatever.

The tower is of perfect measurement for turning them into condos. And I have the right to do that, and at some point we, or somebody, will do that. That's the highest and best use. In which case, \(\$ 550\) million is a very low number. All you have do is look at a picture of the building and say "That building" -- you just look at it and you say that's worth a lot more than \(\$ 550\) million. If you want to put up the picture. Q My question is --

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, that was a simple yes or no question. I don't have it right in front of me, but the question was whether he believed that was an accurate number. We got another speech. I would beseech you to control him if you can. If you can't, I will. I will excuse him and draw every negative inference that I can. Do you understand that?

MR. KISE: I certainly understand, your Honor. But I will say this: The purpose of this trial is to determine the veracity of the Statement of Financial Condition, in
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part, to figure out whether there is intent, so I would think, respectfully, your Honor, of all witnesses, would want to hear everything that this witness has to say by way --

THE COURT: No, I do not want to hear everything this witness has to say. He has a lot to say that has nothing to do with the case or the questions.

MR. KISE: Again, your Honor, I will -- I have to say that you're determining what's going to happen here. So I would urge the Court to take in all the information possible, including what this witness has to say about the numbers on the statement, what he did, why he did it. I mean, all of those circumstances. Do we really want to come back here in a few weeks and go through it that way?

I mean, with this witness I would suggest it's far more efficient to listen to what he has to say and take it in, and then you have an understanding of what he has to say. And I don't think it's fair to draw a negative inference based on his explanations simply because he wants to explain why he got to where he was. We are talking about ten years ago.

And so I, ordinarily with a witness, you might think differently, but this is a situation where you have, on the stand, a candidate for presidency of the United States. Having him here takes away from that effort. And

\section*{D. J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct (Mr. Wallace)}
so the most efficient way, I would submit to the Court, to get through this is to listen to what he has to say, and then you weigh it one way or the other.

I don't think you should respectfully draw a negative inference. And, again, I'm happy to discuss this and take a break. More than happy to do that. I'm not saying not to do that.

But I just would like the Court to take in that perspective, because this is a different situation. It's unusual situation, and it would be most efficient. The Court needs to hear what he has to say about what happened with the statements and why he thinks they are viable, and why there is no intent.

He's describing to you why there's no intent to mislead anyone with his answers. That's what he's doing. And so I would think that's highly relevant and highly probative. If you want to do it the way where we have yes or no questions and then we go back and do it that -- it's subject to your direction, but I think the most efficient way is to listen to what he has to say. I'm happy to talk to him about making the answers more contained. We certainly don't want to waste anymore time than necessary, and spend anymore time than necessary, but this is, as you've acknowledged this a unique situation.

MS. HABBA: If I may also add, your Honor. I had
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to cross examine Mr . Cohen. If I could get him to answer the questions in yes or no, I advise Mr. Wallace to look at that cross examination. It was far more succinct.

The reality of the situation is, he put up two paragraphs which talk about interest rates, the current value, as well as tenants, and et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. If he would like to narrow it, then you narrow it, but President Trump is answering about 40 Wall Street and the values, the interest rates, the purpose of the SOFC; that is absolutely relevant.

So I'm going to push back and say it is time to start pushing pack on the AG. Kevin can try to ask better questions, and then we'll move on. But he is relevant. He is talking about two paragraphs of information, and he's explaining them. Ask succinct questions you'll get SUCCINCT answers.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Kise, I think you said several times we should hear what he did, what the witness has to say. No, I am not here, and these people are not here, and the Attorney General is not here to hear what he has to say. We are here to hear him answer questions, and most of the time he's not. He goes into speeches. It's very simple. Is this an accurate number? We don't need a whole speech.

MS. HABBA: It's not that simple. I apologize.
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It's not that simple, and you are here to hear what he has to say.

THE COURT: No, I'm not here to hear what he has to say.

MS. HABBA: Thank you.
THE COURT: I'm here to hear him answer questions.
Sit down already. Mr. Kise, Mrs. Habba, sit down.
Mr. Wallace, continue.
THE WITNESS: This is a very unfair trial, very, very. And I hope the public is watching it.

Q Mr. Trump, was the valuation presented here based on leases and capitalization rates true and accurate to your belief?

A It could be, but again, what I told you is a building has many different forms of value. And this building, the most valuable -- the most valuable asset here is making it into condominiums. That would be -- it will happen as soon as interest rates go down and as soon as the City maybe comes back. It would be nice if it came back; wouldn't it?

But the value of this is -- the big value of this is making it into a condominium, residential condominium.

Q Could we put up --
A It would be one of the biggest jobs in the City.
MR. WALLACE: Could we put up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 635. It's already in evidence, but \(I\) have an extra
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copy for the witness.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q There is a very thick document. I'm not going to ask you to go through all of it, but it is an e-mail from Jeff McConney to Jack Weisselberg, Ladder Capital, attaching a modification of the ground lease. The e-mail is dated April 10, 2015. If you go to page three of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

Q I'll put it up on the screen for you. You could see at the top. Title says -- this is a resume of the fourth modification of the ground lease on 40 Wall Street, NYC. We flip over to page four.
(Whereupon, the exhibit on the screen was scrolled through.)

MR. KISE: Do we have a copy of this document for the witness?

MR. WALLACE: He has a copy.
MR. KISE: I'm sorry?
MR. WALLACE: It's already in evidence.
Q If we look at the header. "Condominium conversion right." It states "Paragraph four eliminates the tenant's condominium conversion rights which it had under Section 2709."

Do you recall that in this amendment to the ground
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lease your condominium conversion rights were eliminated?
A No, because I have a right to do a co-op. You could do condo -- you could do a condo, but I have the right to do a co-op. I believe I have a right to do condo, because we have a lollipop clause, but I have a right to do a co-op.

Q If we go back to the Statement of Financial Condition; that's Exhibit 730.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q We were on page nine?
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was
scrolled through.)
Q Did this valuation include the lollipop conversion that you were talking about?

A Um, I don't know. It was around that time. I renegotiated the lease and got a new lease, and one of the things in the lease was a lollipop conversion.

Q My question is about this statement though, which says "That the valuation is based on leases that have been signed and capitalization rate." There is no mention here of converging to condos, is there?

A Well, I know you are asking -- you are talking about the estimated current value. And the estimated current value would be co-op, condo.

Q I'm not asking about what you could do. I'm asking

\section*{D. J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct (Mr. Wallace)}
about what was actually prepared?
A I don't know because I didn't do it.
Q Okay.
Was the stated value -- let me ask, did you approve of this valuation?

A I accepted it. It was just one of many -- this is a very big statement. I have many different things, and this is one of many values. I accepted it. I didn't say, oh, gee, it should be higher or lower.

Q And you approved the statement's issuance?
A Yeah, I accepted it. Other people did it, but I didn't say make it higher or make it lower.

Q Was the \(\$ 550\) million valuation here based on true and accurate information?

A I assume so.
MR. WALLACE: If we could move on to page 20 of 26
here.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q And if we see at the bottom of the page is the listing for the mansion at seven Springs. I'll direct your attention to the paragraph -- first sentence in this listing that says "An entity wholly owned by Mr. Trump acquired a property known as "The Mansion" at Seven Springs in Bedford, New York, which consists of over 200 acres of land, a mansion and other
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buildings. This property is zoned for nine luxurious homes. It has been valued at \(\$ 291\) million based on an assessment made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow, which either derived as those units are constructed and sold, and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other buildings."

Do you have an understanding of whether that \(\$ 291\) million valuation is true and accurate?

A I thought it was too high, and we lowered it. I guess the next statement or sometime, but I thought it was too high. This is the equivalent of Mar-a-Lago in New York, and I think it sells for -- I think it's valued -- I think the value is higher if you don't build additional housing. This is the kind of -it's a magnificent place. This is the kind of place that somebody will pay a lot of money for, I think more money than if you build nine, or 16 , or 20 units of other homes on the site.

I think the site, as I remember, is 213 acres, and I think it's much more valuable, actually, without the building. I think it's more valuable the way it is.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I think maybe we may need
to take our break now, and if Mr. Kise could talk to the witness, I think I would appreciate it.

THE COURT: Let's take -- how long a break would you suggest? Make it 15 minutes so it's easy. A 15-minute break.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & 3515 \\
\hline 1 & THE WITNESS: Thank you. \\
\hline 2 & THE COURT: I'll direct the witness not to talk \\
\hline 3 & about the case or his testimony other than in the ways that \\
\hline 4 & I've asked. \\
\hline 5 & (Continued on the next page.) \\
\hline 6 & \\
\hline 7 & \\
\hline 8 & \\
\hline 9 & \\
\hline 10 & \\
\hline 11 & \\
\hline 12 & \\
\hline 13 & \\
\hline 14 & \\
\hline 15 & \\
\hline 16 & \\
\hline 17 & \\
\hline 18 & \\
\hline 19 & \\
\hline 20 & \\
\hline 21 & \\
\hline 22 & \\
\hline 23 & \\
\hline 24 & \\
\hline 25 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, please continue.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Trump, when we broke we were looking at the valuation for Seven Springs in your 2014 Statement of Financial Condition. I believe you said that that valuation, you said, eventually dropped that valuation; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Did you eventually take a tax deduction based on easement donation for Seven Springs?

A I believe so, yes.
Q You recall receiving appraisals showing that the total value of the Seven Springs before the easement was \$56 million?

A No, I don't know. It could have happened, but I don't remember.

Q Do you remember if the easement appraisal was the reason for dropping the value of Seven Springs?

A No. The person that did that, I believe, was Sheri Dillon. That was the law firm that we mentioned, and a very good one, very prestigious. And she really was responsible for doing it. It was sort of, I think, her idea to do it.

Q So when you say the person that did "that" the "that" that you are referring to --

A Easement.
Q -- is the easement donation itself?
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A Yes.
Q So if you did not decide to lower the value of Seven Springs based on the appraisal from the easement donation, what was the basis upon which you decided to drop the value?

A Well, I thought it was high. I mean, I saw that. When I saw it the statement was done, and I said I thought that was high. That was one of the ones that was high. That doesn't mean it is not going to get that kind of money, because that's the kind of a place that would get that kind from the right person. But I thought it was high. And they dropped -they dropped the value.

Q And so in your view, the estimated current value of Seven Springs as of June 30, 2014 was not \(\$ 291\) million; is that correct?

A I thought it was high. Maybe I'll sell it for more than that, you don't know. With that kind of a property, you never know, but I thought it was high.

Q Is the Seven Springs easement donation under review by the IRS?

A Not that I know of. It might be.
Q Was it at any point under review by the IRS?
A I don't know. I don't know.
Q Do you remember when you decided to lower the value of Seven Springs?

A Well, I guess probably when I looked, I don't know if
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I looked immediately upon -- because again, I haven't spent a lot of time on these statements, because I realize the value of these statements is not that important. But when I looked at it, I thought it was high and I said, you have to lower it.

Q So the answer is, no, you don't remember when you decided to lower the value?

A I don't remember. It might not have been immediately, but it was, you know, within a period of time. MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page 21 of 26.

Q This is a section of your Statement of Financial Condition entitled Partnerships and Joint Ventures. And there is a reference to a joint venture that is 1290 Avenue of the Americas in New York, New York; and 555 California Street in San Francisco, California.

Are you familiar with those properties?
A Yes.
Q Underneath that it states: "In May 2007 Mr. Trump and Vornado Realty Trust became partners in two properties; 1290 Avenue of the Americas located in New York City and 555 California Street (formerly known as Bank of America Center) located in San Francisco, California."

A \(\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{Hm}\).
Q If we look down a few more paragraphs it states:
"Mr. Trump owns 30 percent of these properties."
Is that accurate?
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A Yes.
Q And so you do not control the partnership with Vornado; is that correct?

A In many ways it is better, because I have no liability. I am a limited partner, that's limited liability. I have a 30 percent interest, but my interest I don't have to put up any money. I didn't put up any money and don't have to. I have a very good relationship with them. They are great, and very professional. But it is also a limited -- it was limited. I had no liability for what I own. So, it was a lot of people don't understand that. They think that a limited partnership gives you limited rights. In this case it gives me limited liability.

Q The question was: So you do not control the partnership with Vornado; is that correct?

A I have 30 percent of the partnership, yes.
Q And so you do not control the partnership?
A In the true sense, no. I will say this, we have a very good relationship and --

THE COURT: No. No. You answered the question.
A -- never had a problem.
THE COURT: And we appreciate that it is the
true answer. Thank you.
Q The next paragraph states: "The estimated current value net of debt of \(\$ 816,900,000\) is based on an evaluation
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made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals."

Is that a true and accurate statement that you valued the property based in conjunction with your associates and outside professionals?

A I don't know, because I am not familiar with the number.

But I do know that, as you know, the one building is the Bank of America building, one of the most, \(I\) think, one of the, I think, the best building on the west coast. And the other is a very great building in Manhattan on Sixth Avenue, Avenue of the Americas. We refinanced that building about two and a half, three years ago at a very, very low rate. And there were valuations placed on it then, and if you want I can get you that information, but the valuations were very high.

Q The question was whether you made an evaluation in conjunction with your associates and outside professionals of the value of those two properties?

A I don't know. It doesn't sound high to me. Not for these two buildings, so I don't know.

Q I am going to ask it again.
The question is whether or not you made an evaluation of the property value.

A Is that a double property right there? Is that both properties?

\section*{D.J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Wallace)}

Q Both properties.
A It doesn't sound high. I don't know if \(I\) was involved in that. I remember looking at it, but it didn't get my attention. I think it is worth more than that.

Q Do you remember playing any role in evaluating the estimated current value of these two properties for any years between 2011 and 2021?

A 2021?
Q Correct.
A You mean bringing it back to two --
Q All the way, for the last ten years. That ten-year period.

A By the way, that was the hottest period ever for office properties. So I think you should know that. And you don't want me to talk much, but I think you should know that. No, I think the value sounds very reasonable. I don't know. I don't know. I doubt it. I don't know that I would sell my interest for that, actually.

MR. WALLACE: I am going to hand the witness a
document or ask the officer.
THE WITNESS: And excuse me, the buildings have
been refinanced, two years ago.
MR. WALLACE: There is no question pending.
Q Mr. Trump, I have handed you a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3344. This is an e-mail from
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Jeff McConney to Donald Bender entitled Ferry Point. It is dated October 1, 2012.

Your Honor, \(I\) ask this document be entered into evidence.

MS. HABBA: Objection, statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3344 by the Court.)

Q At the top there is an e-mail from Mr. McConney to Mr. Bender, it says: "Doc, I am almost done revising last year's footnotes. Allen wants \(S\) to speak to DJT tomorrow about the Vornado buildings. I am going to send you a few e-mails with some info on new properties, Doral and Charlotte, and an update to the Scotland footnote."

I want to ask if this refreshes your recollection about whether you had any conversations with Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg about the value of the Vornado properties?

A About the Vornado buildings?
Q Correct.
A No, but I probably did.
MR. WALLACE: If we can go back to the Statement
of Financial Condition; and if we could blow up the section with the valuation there, the estimated current value and amount of debt.
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Q Mr. Trump, do you believe that the stated value here of \(\$ 816\) million was based on true and accurate information?

A I think so. I hope so. I can say, if you go to 2021 where it is more familiar to me, because it is more updated, I think that would be a low number.

Q Were you involved in the preparation of the 2021 statement?

A No.
Q Who was responsible for the preparation?
A I don't know. That was when it was in trust, so I assume Allen and Jeff and perhaps they dealt a little bit with Don and Eric. But they were not involved with these statements very much at all, as \(I\) understand it.

Q Would you get copies of the statements in 2021?
A I hadn't seen it. I was so busy in the White House. I was really -- my threshold was China, Russia and keeping our country safe.

Q My question is actually about 2021. Did you see a copy of the 2021 statement?

A I don't believe so.
Q And just to clarify for the record, you weren't President in 2021, correct?

A No, I wasn't.
MR. WALLACE: If we could turn back, if we could
go to page 23 of 26 in this document.
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Q And if we look at the bottom of the page, on the category of other assets it says: Trump Tower. Mr. Trump owns a triplex apartment on the top three floors of Trump Tower (see note 3.\()\)

I'll just represent to you that note 3 doesn't discuss your apartment, it discusses the building.

Were you involved in the valuation of your triplex apartment for this statement of financial condition?

A No. What date is this?
Q \(\quad 2014\).
A No, I wasn't.
Q Did there come a time when you determined that the valuation for your triplex apartment was too high?

A Yes, I did.
Q After you made that determination, did
Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney lower the valuation of your triplex apartment?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Did they do that at your direction?
A I told them I thought it was too high. I thought the number was too high. And I know they lowered it after that, yes.

MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 758. This is a spreadsheet, so it is only going to be up on the screen here.
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And if we could go to row 893.
Q This is a category Other Assets. And if we look down, if we could go down to rows 969 and 971, this shows the valuation of your apartment.

I'll represent to you that the valuation of the apartment is not actually in the Statement of Financial Condition, it is incorporated. So this is where we are going to try and find it.

A I think that's why I missed it also.
Q Okay. And if you look you can see here, I'll represent to you that column \(G\) are the values for 2013. Column H is the value for 2014. So you can see that it goes from \$116 million in 2013 to \(\$ 327\) million in 2014.

And so it is your understanding that the \(\$ 327\) million valuation is too high?

A Yeah. And the reason I didn't pick it up sooner is that I thought it was high, but it is not mentioned -- it is not broken down in the financial statement, so \(I\) wouldn't have known.

Q Okay. And I am sorry, I apparently -- this is the Statement of Financial Condition for 2017, so I misrepresented.

A That's okay.
Q The 2017 number is in G, and the 2016 number is in \(H\). So I apologize.

MS. HABBA: Sorry, just to make the record
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clear, Kevin, this is the backup, not the SOFC? MR. WALLACE: Correct. MS. HABBA: I think you said SOFC on the record. MR. KISE: And this is the backup for 2016? MR. WALLACE: 2017. So showing a change from 2016 to 2017.

Q And this change in valuation came at your direction?
A Probably. I mean, I thought it was too high. I don't know what is too high anymore because I am seeing things being sold at numbers that are very high. But I thought it was too high, so I assumed that they didn't.

Q Do you have an understanding of why the valuation was too high?

A Well, I have heard different reasons. But one of the reasons was they did it off a broker. A broker was sending lists of apartments and they had it down at \(30--30,000\) feet. Is it 30?

And another one was that, you know, I have the roof, we have access to the roof which is very big. And when you add the roof it is not that far off.

And there are a lot of other reasons. And there is another reason, they just made a mistake. And that's why we have a disclaimer clause in case there is a mistake. There is a disclaimer clause, where you don't have to get sued by the Attorney General of New York.
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Q Mr. Trump, as you sit here today, do you know how big your triplex apartment is?

A You know, you wouldn't -- you wouldn't know. I have heard, obviously, because of the trial, they say 11 to 12 to 13,000 feet.

Q At your deposition testimony, does it sound correct that you testified that each floor of Trump Tower is about 10,000 square feet?

A As the floor. And it is a triplex, and \(I\) think they probably took 10,000 feet per floor. I could see how it was done. They took 10,000 feet per floor, because the floors are, I believe, about 10,000 feet, and they went times three. But the -- but they didn't take out, you know, elevator shafts and different things.

Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: I would like to go back to the
2014 Statements of Financial Condition. F if could go to
page ten of 26 .
Q This is the heading for club facilities and related real estates. And if we scroll down, this is the listing for Mar-a-Lago.

If you look, I'll read it into the record, it states: "Mr. Trump acquired this property in 1985 and transferred ownership to a wholly owned limited liability company in 1995. It is now an exclusive private club which consists of 117
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rooms.
Formerly known as the Marjory Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages."

I am going to direct your attention to where it states that the property is an "exclusive private club." Is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And is it correct that the ownership of the club was transferred to a wholly owned limited liability company in 1995?

A I guess so. If you represent, I would say yes.
Q Well, I am asking if you know whether or not the representation here, which is that it was transferred to a wholly owned limited liability company in 1995; do you know if that's correct?

A No. But it is probably so because I have done that with many properties over the years.

Q Is Mar-a-Lago today still wholly owned -- is the ownership of Mar-a-Lago today still underneath a wholly owned limited liability company?

A I believe it is the same.
Q And there is no separate valuation for Mar-a-Lago on the Statement of Financial Conditions in this century, correct?
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A You know, I have no mortgage on Mar-a-Lago, no debt. There is no debt. There was no reason to do it. So I don't know if they did it or not. But there is no debt, zero, on Mar-a-Lago.

Q My question is just that the price of Mar-a-Lago or the, I should say, estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not listed here, is it?

A No, it is not. It is not.
Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up the supporting
data for this Statement of Financial Condition,
Plaintiff's Exhibit 719.
Q This is, I'll represent to you, the supporting spreadsheet for the 2014 --

MR. WALLACE: Do I have this wrong?
Okay. If we could go down to row 189. And
actually go down to the club facilities. Sorry 205.
Q You see the entry is at 207 for Mar-a-Lago, and underneath that it states: "Value if sold to an individual."

That is referencing to selling the property to an individual as a residence; is that correct?

A Yes, that's right.
Q Did you approve valuing Mar-a-Lago as if it could be sold to an individual as a residence?

A I personally -- well, did I approve it? On this? I
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don't know. There are two ways you can approve it, as a club or as a residence or as both. It is most valuable as both.

Q And here it is being valued as a residence, correct?
A I guess so. What is the number?
Q We will get to the number in a minute. I was just wondering, did you at some point discuss with Mr. McConney or Mr. Weisselberg valuing Mar-a-Lago as a private home?

A I don't remember that, no. MR. WALLACE: If we go down to row -- just go to
the bottom, row 242.
Q Do you see a value listed for Mar-a-Lago --
A Yes.
Q -- is \(\$ 405,362,123\) as of June \(30,2014\).
Did you approve that valuation number?
A No. But it is a very low number.
Q So you -- do you agree with that number?
A No, it is much -- it is much more valuable than that, much, much. We are bringing in an expert so that they will explain to you what it is worth.

Q I'll ask you a question. You believe as of today Mar-a-Lago is worth at least 1.5 billion; is that correct?

A How much.
Q \(\quad 1.5\) billion?
A I think between a billion and a billion five, yes.
Q As of June 30, 2014 though, do you have a view as to
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how much Mar-a-Lago was worth at that time?
A More than this number. I don't know, but much more than this number.

Q Mr. Trump, we are going to hand you a copy of a document that is already in evidence. This has been stamped as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1013. This is a deed of development rights for the Mar-a-Lago club. And I'll direct you to it up on the screen.

If you could turn to page two of the document just quickly. Do you see your two signatures?

A Yes.
Q There? Okay.
If we go back to the first page, under the third "Whereas" clause, and I'll read it into the record for you and highlight it here.

It states: "Whereas, the club and Trump intend to forever extinguish their right to development or use the property for any purpose other than club use."

Was it in fact your intent to forever extinguish your right to use the property for any purpose other than club use?

A Well, when you say, "intend" intend doesn't mean we will do it. That's number one. It says "intend." I intend. But we have the right to change it back to a house. I intended, I would always leave it as a club; or a club and a residence, which is really the best combination, which is what
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it is right now. It is a residence and it is a club, and a very successful club at that.

Q I take it your answer was that, yes, you did intend to do this?

A Yeah, I intend. I intend. But I also have the right, if I wanted to, there is no reason to do that, to switch it back.

Q Switching it back would be contrary to forever extinguishing something though, wouldn't it?

A No. It says to intend. It doesn't say that. It says to intend. It doesn't say I will. It says intend.

But \(I\) don't mind leaving it as a club. In fact, if somebody actually wanted it, the smartest thing to do, because there are a lot of advantages to the club status, the smartest thing to do would be to have a club and have one member; and that would be the member that lives in the club. But it is much more valuable and we will show that in two weeks or five weeks or nine weeks or whenever this thing goes, that it is most -- its biggest value is to be able to use it as a club and residentially simultaneously.

Q If we look at the next paragraph it states: "Whereas, the National Trust for Historic Preservation in the United States (The National Trust) is the grantee of a Deed of conservation and preservation easement recorded on April 6, 1995, in official record book 8691, page 764 , of the public
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records of Palm Beach County, Florida (The preservation easement).

As reflected here you had in fact granted a preservation easement to the National Trust for Historic Preservation in 1995; is that correct?

A That is correct.
Q If you look down at the next paragraph it states: "Whereas, the preservation easement limits changes to the property including, without limitation, the division or subdivision of the property for any purpose, including use as single family homes, the interior renovation of the mansion, which may be necessary and desirable for the sale of the property as a single-family residence estate. The construction of new buildings and the obstruction of open vistas."

A Yeah.
Q As reflected in the 1995 preservation easement then, it was prohibited to renovate the interior of the mansion in a way which may be necessary or desirable for the sale of the property as a single-family residential estate; is that correct?

A It was a single-family home. It was the home of E.F. Hutton and Marjory Merriweather Post. I don't think anybody would want to touch it. It is designed as a home. And it was converted to a club very successfully, and it does great. And that's where it is. But this is, you don't have to
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do anything to convert it.
And also, the easement really talks about views. It is only a view easement. If I want to build something, I go to the National Trust. I built a ballroom as an example. I built a very big ballroom there. The ballroom you mentioned in your last page was built by me. And it is very large, beautiful. And what happens is, if I want to development other parts of the property, but not in the view corridor. It is called a view corridor. It is a certain view corridor from the main windows of the living room.

But on the side, what is called the side corridors, I have a right to develop, if \(I\) want to. But this is a little like we were talking about Seven Springs, this property is more valuable without building houses on it. It is much more valuable, actually, in this case.

Q After this Deed of Development rights, you stated publicly that Mar-a-Lago would forever be a club; didn't you?

A That is what?
Q You stated that Mar-a-Lago would forever be a club; is that correct?

A No, I don't think so. I mean it says "intends." I would personally never change it. If somebody later on, including my children, if they want to change it, I believe they would have the right to do that, if they wanted to.

Q Mr. Trump, the court officer is handing you a
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document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 1730. (Handing)

Q This is an article from the Palm Beach Post dated August 27, 2023. And if you look at the bottom of the page there is a quote from you. It states: We waited until it became a great success, Trump said, and the Mar-a-Lago club is a great success. It will forever be a club.

That was your statement, correct?
A Yeah.
Q In 2003, that the Mar-a-Lago club would forever be a club?

A Well, I hope so. I mean, I think that was said with bravado as opposed to with legal intent. It will be for, you know, as long as I am around, it will be a club. And I live there.

Q And by making -- I apologize for interrupting.
A Yeah. Please.
Q By making sure it was forever a club, you paid less in taxes on the property; is that correct?

A A club will pay less in taxes, correct.
Q And that says that, if we look at the forth paragraph here, it states: We pay less tax, Trump said Tuesday, now we are treated like the bath and tennis and the other clubs?

A That is correct, pay less taxes. Not too many clubs, you pay much less tax.
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MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I ask this document be entered into evidence.

MR. KISE: For what purpose are they introducing the document?

MR. WALLACE: He has admitted these are his statements at the time.

MR. KISE: So all of his statements in here will be admitted?

MR. WALLACE: The statements of Mr. Trump only.
THE COURT: It is in evidence.
MR. KISE: The statement where it says if it didn't work out as a club it could go back to some other use, that's acceptable as well?

MS. HABBA: The one you didn't show the witness. The one on the bottom.

MR. WALLACE: I'll ask him about that then.
MS. HABBA: Yeah, you should.
Q Mr. Trump, if you look to the second to last paragraph on the page, we will highlight it for you.

It states: If it didn't work out as a club we could have gone back to some other use; is that accurate?

A I believe so, yes.
MR. WALLACE: I believe Your Honor has overruled the objection and this is in evidence; is that correct?

THE COURT: Yes, the entire document is in
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evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1730 by the Court.)

Q Mr. Trump, I would like to hand you another document. This one is marked as Defendant's Exhibit 478.

Mr. Trump, in order to win approval to convert Mar-a-Lago to a club, you took the position that it was impractical for a single individual to continuously own Mar-a-Lago as a private estate at his or her sole expense; is that correct?

A Yeah probably in those days. That was a long time ago.

Q Well I can show you, this is -- this document is the Mar-a-Lago, if we turn to the second page, please?

A Sure.
Q It is the Mar-a-Lago club special exception use and preservation plan. Do you remember submitting this plan to Palm Beach in order to convert?

A Yes, I did.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we ask that
Defendant's 478 be marked into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 478 by the
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Court.)
Q If we look at page six of this document, I believe. It states at the top: As to item (1)

I'll represent to you that item 1 is private ownership of Mar-a-Lago.

It states: "It is impractical for a single
individual to continuously own Mar-a-Lago as a private estate at his or her sole expense. When the Post Foundation marketed the property after its return to the foundation from the U.S. government, it was almost impossible to sell. About 80 qualified buyers, thoroughly screened, inspected Mar-a-Lago and elected against even making an offer.
H. Ross Perot was one prospect. Although 'everything is for sale at a price' no one would step forward to make offers for this so called 'white elephant.'" And cites to articles from the Miami Herald and the Palm Beach Post Times.

Is it correct, was this statement correct at the time it was made?

A Yeah. I got luckily.
Q I take it, it is now your position that it is no longer a white elephant?

A That's true. There is a man building a house down the road spending one billion dollars to build it, and it ain't Mar-a-Lago. They are spending 1 billion down the road.

Q If we could go back to the Statement of Financial
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Condition, that's Exhibit 730.
Mr. Trump, I would like to focus on your Golf Club at Aberdeen. So if we could go to page 16 of 26 .

Here we see the listing for Trump International Golf Club in Scotland-Aberdeen. I am just going to read into the record the description of the club here. It states: "Mr. Trump, through wholly owned entities, acquired 500 hectares (approximately 1,236 acres of land) on the northeast coast of Aberdeenshire.

The development received outline planning permission in December of 2008 for a world class, Martin Hawtree designed championship golf links golf course, suitable for hosting major events; a second future award winning 18-hole course; a luxury clubhouse; a state-of-the-art driving range, and golf academy; a tennis center; an equestrian center; a luxury five-star 450 room hotel with associated conference and banquet facilities; an full-time spa; a residential village consisting of 950 holiday homes; and 500 single-family residences and 36 golf villas."

Start there. Was that description of the club as of June 30, 2014 true and accurate?

A Probably. But you know, we could do more or less. We could do a bigger job, a smaller job.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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Q Do you believe it was accurate, that's as of December 2008, you had received outline tenant permission for a residential village consisting of 950 holiday homes?

A Yeah.
Q And 500 single family golf residences and 36 villas?
A Yes, Aberdeen is the oil capital of Europe, very rich.
THE COURT: Irrelevant. Irrelevant. You answered the question.

THE WITNESS: It is.
Q There's no valuation value for the Aberdeen property listed in this paragraph; correct?

A I don't know.
Q Okay. It's not.
MR. WALLACE: So why don't we put back up Plaintiff's Exhibit 719.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q This is the supporting spreadsheet for the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition. If we could go to row 481, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

Q 481, here we see the listing for Trump International Golf Club, Scotland. That's your club at Aberdeen; is that correct?
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A Yeah, right.
Q If we look over in column \(G\), we could see the valuation for 213 -- I will rephrase that question. In column \(G\) we see the valuation, as of June 30,2013 , in column \(H\), we see the valuation as of June 30 , 2014. Do you see that? Or do you see the dates?

A Do I see what?
Q The dates at the top. G is -- I'll direct you to it.
A Yes, yes. I do.
Q June 30, 2013, H is June 30, 2014?
A Right.
Q Okay. Let's go down and take a look at those valuations, which I believe are down on 43 or 46 .
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was
scrolled through.)
Q No, I was wrong. If we stop here, it's in 527.
So you could see the valuation in -- as of June 30, 2013, is \(\$ 191,165,600\), and as of June 30,2014 , the valuation is \(\$ 435,562,426 ?\)

A Yeah.
Q Do you see that difference?
A Yes, I do.
Q You did not build a second golf course at Aberdeen between June 2013 and June 2014?

A I'm building it now.
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Q So you had not done it by June 2014?
A No, I didn't. The first one, it was very successful, and then the second one. And then basically you would have a thousand acres of land -- you have 1230 some-odd acres. It's lot of land on the ocean. And it was a big development job. And I could do it anytime I want. I just don't really want to do it. I don't really need to build 2,000 or 1,000 or 500 houses in Aberdeen. I don't want to do it.

This was an artistic expression. I think it's the greatest golf course ever built. I believe it is. And we've gotten reviews saying that we are close to that. It's one of the greatest pieces of land I've ever seen. And we have, now, one course, and we built a clubhouse, we built the roads, we built everything. I'm no rush to build. I'm not looking to build a lot things. I'm doing other things right now. But it's an unbelievable site. We could build more than what you showed in the plan, or less than what you showed in the plan. But I don't want to build anything. I just want to sit with it. It's called an investment.

Q So I just want to make sure I heard that last part. You are not planning to build anything as of today?

A Well, I'm building right is now.
Q Apart from the golf course, you are not planning on building any housing developments?

A I'm sort of preparing the site, because I'm putting up
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the second course. And it's under construction. It will be done in a year. And then we have all this -- we have a thousand acres of land that we can do whatever we want with, but I'm in no hurry to do anything with it. I have enough things to do.

Q And your statement was, "I'm not looking to build a lot of other things right now"; is that correct?

A I'm not looking to -- yeah, as I said, I'm not looking to go to Scotland and build a big development, but it's got tremendous value.

Q So we got away from what the actual question was, which was, I believe that you did not build a second golf course at Aberdeen between June 2013 and June 2014; correct?

A Yeah, nor was I supposed to.
Q And you did not build a new hotel at Aberdeen between June 2013 and June 2014 did you?

A Because I didn't want to.
Q And you did not build any homes at Aberdeen between June 2013 and June 2014 did you?

A No. I have a castle that we renovated, but no. I want it just the way it is, and at some point, at some point maybe in my very old age I'll go there and do the most beautiful thing you've ever seen, but I just don't have -- I don't want to do it now. It's an unbelievable piece of property.

Q I'm focused, then, on where we get the \(\$ 245\) million increase in the value of the property from 2013 to 2014. So I
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would like us to go back up to -- if we look at. Let's go down to see 489 at the top. I'll represent to you -- well -- no, go down a little more.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

Q That's it. Right there. So you'll see the valuation for the club, the golf club, the land allocated to hotel and residential, the remaining value for the golf courses, stays about the same. It goes from \(\$ 76\) million in 2013 to 2014. The remainder of the value for 2013 comes from the line item valuation per George Sorial e-mail, 9/6/2011, in addition to DJT Capital contributions.

George Sorial was a lawyer in the Trump Organization; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q He was not a valuation professional; is that correct?
A No.
Q Okay.
And if we look at what changes in 2014, we could go down to the column starting at 508 that says "value of undeveloped land."
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

Q And you could see that there is a listing that says the residential parcels are 83,000 pounds each. The number of homes
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to build at 2,500, which generates a value of 207 million pounds, which is then converted into dollars; that's the basis for the 245 some-odd million dollar increase in value; is that correct?

A I really don't know, but the job could be much bigger than that, too. It's 1200 acres, more than that, fronting the entire width -- fronting on the North Sea. It's, it's, it's like one of the easiest -- in the oil capital of Europe.

Q I'm asking, was it actually done?
A It's a very nice piece of land that everybody said I could never get zoned, and I not only got it zoned, I got it zoned for much more than anyone thought possible.

Q Let's take a look, then, if we could compare this, because 2,500 homes is not the same used in the Statement of Financial Condition, which I think --

MR. WALLACE: Please split those screens.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q If we look, it says -- the valuation states there is 2,500 homes?

A Yes.
Q But the statement itself says that it's 950 holiday homes, 500 single family residences, and 36 golf villas?

A More luxurious, yes.
Q And I'll represent to you that that comes to 1,486
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homes in total, with only 500 single family residences. So the Statement of Financial Condition for 2014 does not accurately disclose the number used in the calculation; is that correct?

A Those homes will sell for a tremendous amount of money; they are on the North Sea. I could also go with a much bigger job if I wanted to. The relationship is very good with Aberdeen. Aberdeen is a very rich place. It's an incredible piece of land. And again, it may be the greatest golf course ever built. And I own Turnberry and I own great ones.

The numbers there are not shocking numbers. And this is a very big job. I just don't want to build it now. You know, you're probably right, I'm doing other things right now.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, I'm so what following your
lead. If you want to let the witness ramble on, be unresponsive, repeat himself, go ahead.

MR. WALLACE: I might normally move to strike, but there are things I would like to preserve for the record in that answer, so I'm reluctantly allowing this to continue.

THE COURT: That's why I'm following your lead.
MR. KISE: I just want to note, I'm not really sure it's clear. Maybe this is the subject of the ambiguity. I mean, I think his answer is a brilliant answer. I just don't know if he is answering the question because he is confused as to whether or not we are talking about the Statement of Financial Condition or the backup data, which
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he's testified -- I think Mr. Wallace has already admitted -- this witness has never seen, before, the backup data. So I'm not sure what he's answering, whether he is talking about the backup data or he is talking about the Statement of Financial Condition, or if the question is both.

MR. WALLACE: I don't think my question was answered, so \(I\) was going to re-ask it, but I'm happy to have --

MR. KISE: If you could clear it up, that's fine. I just think it's not even in the record.

MR. WALLACE: I am I'm just asking that his prior statement remain on the record, even though it's nonresponsive.

Q Mr. Trump, my question is more directed. It's simply that the number of homes used in the calculation for 2014 do not actually match the number of homes disclosed in the statement; is that correct?

A I don't know, but it could very well be. It's sort of like a painting. You could do pretty much what you want to do. The land is there. You could do what you want to do. So you could do either one of them, actually.

Q As of 2012, you had actually made a determination that you would not be developing the Aberdeen property because of Scottish plans to build a wind farm off shore; is that correct?
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A Well, I was not happy because they are -- they've fought long and hard to build wind mills, I think nine of them, out in the ocean, out in the sea. And they built them.

Q Okay. "They" being Scotland?
A Scotland.
Q And because of those wind mills, you decided in 2012 that you were not going to be doing further development of the Aberdeen property?

A I told them, I don't know if it has an impact or not, I'm not a windmill person. I think I'm being proven to be right.

Q Did you testify about your unwillingness to develop the Aberdeen property for a subcommittee of the Scottish parliament in 2012?

A Yes, I did.
Q And do you believe that -- I'll see if I could skip ahead and just ask. Would it sound correct to you that Mr. Weisselberg submitted a statement to the UK regulators in September of 2014 saying that the Trump Organization did not intend to develop the Aberdeen property any further based on the wind farms?

A We had a big fight over the wind farms, but they were put in years ago. They've been there for many years now. They'll probably come down, like all the other wind farms are coming down, and I've been asked whether or not they have an
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impact -- they are miles out in the ocean. They are not on the property. They are miles out. And most people tell me, no. Almost everybody tells me no. I don't know if that's true. But regardless, we have the right to build a very big project, if I want to build it. That was in 2014, when we were fighting the wind mills, yes.

Q And as of today, November 6th, 2023. You haven't built any homes at Aberdeen; is that correct?

A It's just a beautiful piece of property sitting there waiting for homes to be built. It will be very successful. I just don't -- you know, there's nothing wrong with sitting with property and waiting if you have the money to play that game, and I do have a lot of money, a lot more money than you thought, I guess. At some point that will be developed into a magnificent job. I just don't want to do it now.

Q Mr. Trump, apart from the Seven Springs valuation we discussed, and your apartment, do you stand by all the valuations in this Statement of Financial Condition for 2014?

A I haven't looked at it in years.
Q Do you have any reason -- so, you might not agree with the valuations in here anymore, is what you are saying?

A I think I've been proven to be right, because if you take those valuations and bring them up to 2021, a couple of years ago they are much higher than they were in that statement.

Q But the valuations were the estimated current value as
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of June 30,2014 ; is that correct?
A Yeah, but if you carry that forward to 2021, all of those valuations were low.

Q Meaning the properties are now worth more today, November 2023, than they were --

A Much more, yeah.
Q Than they were in June of 2014.
Okay. Apart from the Seven Springs valuation we discussed and the triplex apartment, are you aware of any other properties on the Statement of Financial Condition between 2011 and 2017 that were overstated?

A Um, not that would have -- I don't know of any, but not that would have a material effect, because I'm worth billions of dollars more than the financial statements. The financial statements are just the opposite of what your Attorney General thought, and they are having a big problem with it. I'm worth billions of dollars more, so anything that would be a little bit off would be nonmaterial, nonmaterial.

Q And are you aware of any nonmaterial items that were overstated in the Statement of Financial Condition between '11 and '17?

A I haven't looked.
Q Do you still approve of the work that Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg did preparing the Statements of Financial Condition between 2011 and 2017?
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A Well, again, we have a disclaimer clause that tells you we are doing the work. It's not in accordance with your normal -- if you are going to do some kind of a big certified statement. You are not doing appraisals and everything else. We have a very important clause called a "disclaimer clause", and it's a 100 percent disclaim. And some people call it a "worthless statement clause." They call it lots of different things. And as you will be hearing in testimony, they always hold up in court, except maybe in this court. They always hold up in court, always. It's a disclaimer.

It says, very strongly, do your own due diligence. Do your own work. Do your own study. Don't take anything from this statement for granted. You could look at the statement, but you must do your own analysis and due diligence.

Q Do you still approve of the work that Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg did in preparing the Statements of Financial Condition between 2011 and 2017?

A As far as I know I do. You haven't shown me anything that would change my mind.

Q Same thing true of the statements that came out between 2017 and 2021? You stand by that?

A I was assured of 2021, but I was President, and I was not involved in any way by that. I was doing other things.

Q You were not aware of anything that was done that you disagree with, but you are not familiar with the statements?
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A Probably the statements would be -- again, if there was a mistake, it was nonmaterial, but even if it was material, and I don't see that, and we did make some changes where they found out there were mistakes, we have a disclaimer clause that says, do your own diligence -- due diligence. Don't, under any circumstances, count on anything here, because it was done -they are done quickly. They are done -- it says -- I think it says done in accordance with the owner's projections and the owners, The Trump Organization's projections and everything else.

So one of the reasons I never got too involved with these statements was -- that clause is on page one, as you know better than anybody. It's also throughout the statement. When you read the statement, everything is disclaimed. They say go out and do your own. Don't believe it. Get your own appraisers, get your own accountants, do everything. And that's why we shouldn't be having a case here, because we have a disclaimer clause which every court in this country holds up, except for this particular Judge.

Q Can I ask, then, is it your particular position that the Statements of Financial Condition are, in fact, worthless?

A No, I don't think they are worthless, but I don't think they are worthless at all. And -- but I think that they're -- I think, frankly, with the disclaimer clause, if there is any mistake, the disclaimer clause covers it. And because they
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haven't -- they didn't devote their entire life to this. And again, most developers don't do this. They just write the name of the building and the address of the building. They put some number that they think it's worth. They don't have anything like this.

What I've done is far more -- and it's also far more conservative. You never mentioned the fact that we have 3, \(\$ 400\) million cash in these things. Very -- I mean, people don't know how good a company I built. You know why? Because people like you go around and try and demean me and try and hurt me.

Q Mr. Trump --
A Probably for political reasons. In her case, definitely for political reasons. And I think it's hurting her. MR. WALLACE: There's a lot in there that \(I\) want to
keep, your Honor, so I'm not going to move to strike that.
Q I just want to go back and clarify one thing in your last answer. You said "they did not devote their entire life to them." Who is the "they" you referred to?

A That would be Jeff and Allen. They had other things to do.

Q Does that mean that Jeff and Allen were not being careful when they prepared your statement?

A No, I think they were being careful. But, again, the disclaimer clause says if you -- if there's a mistake, if there's something, it says, "Don't rely" -- it's called a
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non-reliance clause, also. I mean it's a very standard thing and it's allowed in every court in the United States. It's a non-reliance.

Q You stated that.
A I know I'm trying to make you understand it. It's a non -- non-reliance clause, and every court in the United States has upheld them, and every legal scholar that I've read about this case has said what's going on here? How can a thing like this being going on? It's disgraceful. And in addition to that, I'm worth more than the numbers in the statement.

MR. WALLACE: That answer I will move to strike, your Honor.

THE COURT: As repetitive?
MR. WALLACE: Repetitive, nonresponsive.
THE COURT: Nonresponsive.
MR. WALLACE: He's already made that point in the record.

MR. KISE: I'm not sure there's any basis to strike -- a valid basis to strike it. It's an answer. Mr. Wallace is satisfied with the answers. He is culling for these narratives, and he is getting answers to the questions. And this is -- this is -- this goes to the very heart of what we're here about, which is intent. I mean, if -- intent is subjective. And if this witness's intent is as stated here, I don't see how anyone could ever prove intent under these
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circumstances. It's a brilliant answer to the question. So Mr. Wallace can't pick and choose which narratives he likes and which narratives he doesn't. We could come back here in two or three weeks and go through the same charade and ask the same question get it out, but as I said, it's far more effective to allow this witness to explain his position and explain to the court his view, what he intended, how he intended it, what was happening, and how he views the statements.

MR. WALLACE: The response is even longer than the statement \(I\) asked to strike, so at this point let's leave it in then.

THE COURT: Leave it in. There's no jury. It doesn't matter.

MR. WALLACE: We'll, leave it in.
Q Mr. Trump, I think you said this in the course of your answers. Let me just try to clarify this. You do not agree with the position of the Office of the Attorney General that the values in the Statement of Financial Condition are overstated; is that correct?

A I think she's a political hack.
Q That's not the question.
A And I think she --
Q That's not the question.
A -- used this case to try to become Governor, and she
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successfully used it to become Attorney General. And I think she's a political hack, and this a disgrace that a case like this is going on. And all you have to do is read the legal scholars and the papers and you'll see exactly what I'm saying. And a lot of them didn't even know how valuable the properties were. You never said Trump has three and \(\$ 400\) million cash, and now more than that. This is a political witch-hunt, and I think she should be ashamed of herself.

Q You do not agree with the position of the Office of the Attorney General that the values in the Statement of Financial Condition are overstated; is that correct?

A The overall value is billions of dollars more than is in these statements. So that when a bank gets them, the bank is seeing a conservative statement, not a -- she tried to make you believe, even yesterday, she's out there saying, "Oh, fraud. Fraud. This is the opposite. Fraud."

The fraud is her where she says Mar-a-Lago -- she said it was worth \(\$ 25\) million. He said it's worth \(\$ 18\) million (indicating), \$18 million. She said it's \(\$ 25\) million. She convinced him that it was worth \(\$ 18\) million. And it's worth a billion dollars, or something like that.

Q Yes or no.
A It's a disgrace.
Q Yes or no, do you agree with the position of the Office of the Attorney General that the values in the Statement of
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Financial Condition are overstated?
MS. HABBA: Asked and answered.
MR. WALLACE: It has not.
THE COURT: No, it has not been asked and answered.
MS. HABBA: He actually did the --
THE COURT: It's been asked. He hasn't answered.
MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I'm not screaming. All do respect, your Honor, I don't appreciate when people scream.

The overall value is billions more was the answer. That's his answer. You could read it in the record.

THE COURT: It's a yes or no question.
Q Just yes or no, Mr. Trump. Do you agree with the statement, the position of the Office of the Attorney General is the values are overstated?

A The financial statements are very conservative. The overall number of value is much higher than the number in the financial statements. In addition to that, you have a hundred percent disclaimer clause that goes on for a page and a half.

THE COURT: No, no, no. We are not going to hear about the disclaimer clause. If you want to know -A It's very important.

THE COURT: -- about the disclaimer clause, read my opinion again, or for the first time, perhaps.

THE WITNESS: Well, you are wrong on the opinion.
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Q Mr. Trump, let's go to that then. Mr. Trump, you do not agree with the determination of the Court in its summary judgment decision that the Statements of Financial Condition were misleading; is that correct?

A He ruled against me without knowing anything about me. He ruled against me and he said I was a fraud before he knew anything about me, nothing about me.

And then he said in his statement that Mar-a-Lago is worth \(\$ 18\) million and it's worth 50 times to 100 times more than that, and everybody knows it. And everybody is watching this case. He called me a fraud and he didn't know anything about me.

Q You did not -- so I think the essence of your answer is there, but I would like to get it as a yes or no. You do not agree with the determination of this Court in its summary judgment decision that the Statements of Financial Condition were misleading; is that correct?

A I think it's fraudulent, the decision. I think it's fraudulent. The fraud is on the Court, not on me. When you rule that Mar-a-Lago is worth \(\$ 18\) million, \(I\) could give you a quarter of a tennis court would be worth that.

When you rule that Mar-a-Lago is worth \(\$ 18\) million and then she rules it's worth \(\$ 25\) million, either people are very stupid or there's a fraud. The fraud is on behalf of the Court, because when the Court does that, and then they say I didn't
value my property correct? Think of it, \(\$ 18\) million, he said. And then he -- he says that I'm a fraud because I didn't value my property correctly? He is the one that didn't value the property correctly.

Q Mr. Trump --
A And how do you do that? How do you rule against somebody and call them a fraud, as the President of the United States, who did a great job. All you have to look at is the President today. What he is doing? How do you call a man a fraud when you have a property that's a 50 to 100 times more valuable. It's a terrible thing you did. You knew nothing about me. You believed this political hack back there, and that's unfortunate.

Q Are you done?
A Done.
Q Okay. I'll take you up on one of the invitations. You are talking about the \(\$ 18\) million valuation versus the higher valuation of Mar-a-Lago. Which one are you paying taxes on down in Florida?

A Which one, what?
Q Are you paying taxes on an \(\$ 18\) million valuation of Mar-a-Lago or \(\$ 1.5\) billion?

A You know that assessments are totally different from the valuation of property. An assessment -- as an example in New York. You sell a building, a building was recently sold for
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a billion dollars. It's assessed for a tiny fraction of that. Same thing in Florida. Same thing in other places. Other places have full assessments. Whatever you pay, that's what the assessment is, but that's not that way in Palm Beach, Florida. It's not that way in New York, and it's not that way in most cases.

Q If it was that way it would make a difference that the property has been appraised --

A Sure.
Q -- at \(\$ 18\) million in Florida?
A That would be a much different thing.
Q Mr. Trump, I would like to go back to the Statement of Financial Condition quickly. If you could put Exhibit 730 back up?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q If you could go to page two, this is a paragraph we've looked at before. It's the second paragraph which states, "Donald J. Trump is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements."

I would like to focus on the second half of the sentence which says, "Donald J. Trump is responsible for designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement."
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I will ask an open-ended question here. What did you do to meet your responsibility for designing, implementing and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement?

A I gave two people total authority to work with a very expensive accounting firm, very high-priced accounting firm who charged me a lot of money for this, a lot of money. And they worked with the accounting firm and they came up with a statement.

I could've done this statement like many other developers, where I just write down the building and put a value. I didn't choose to do it that way. And I told them to work with the accounting firm and give them what they need. And that's what they did.

Q And so the two people, you are referring to, once again, that's Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg; correct?

A Correct.
Q And so you directed them to prepare the statements in accordance with GAAP; is that correct?

A I don't know what it was. I said, "Prepare the statements so that the accounting firm is happy." So they gave us a statement.

Q The question is what steps you took to --
A I told you.
Q To design, implement, maintain internal control
relevant to the fair presentation of the financial statement.
A I just told you.
Q Okay.
Anything else you did to -- in connection with this obligation?

A No, but if you looked at the paragraphs, and I don't know if, your Honor, if I could do this, but I have it in my pocket (indicating). If you look at the paragraphs that follow that -- this is a total exculpation -- and if you would like to have it, I would read it, if you would like, or I don't know what the procedure is, but I have -- I've taken this right out of this, and it's very simple. And it says exactly how important that clause is. And it's been written about by legal scholars.
(Continued on the next page.)
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Q Between Mazars and the Trump Organization, who is responsible for the fair presentation of the Statements of Financial Condition?

A I think they work together.
Q Who was responsible?
A They got paid a lot of money too.
Q Who was responsible for making sure the statements were compliant with GAAP?

A I don't know. I assume -- I don't know, were they supposed to be compliant to GAAP, because I am not sure that that's true, you know, because GAAP is defined a certain way. I am not sure that's true. But certainly the accounting firm which got paid millions of dollars do this work, they had to do something.

Q Mr. Trump --
A They got paid a lot of money to do this work.
Q -- do you have an understanding of what responsibilities, if any, the Trump Organization had in connection with the preparation of the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition?

A Well, I think I have answered this question about five times.

Q What is the answer?
A I told two top people to work with the accounting firm, give them whatever they needed, anything they needed give
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them so they can come up with a statement. And if the accounting firm was unhappy, they would go back and they would say, we need this, we need that. And they were very insistent on that. Very insistent on that. But they came up with statements in each of those years, so obviously they were satisfied with that.

MR. WALLACE: Could we put up a copy of
Plaintiff's Exhibit 717? I think it is already in evidence.

Q Mr. Trump, I have been handed a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 717. This is the engagement letter for the preparation of your June 30, 2014 Statement of Financial Condition.

I will just direct your attention to the third page of this document, which has a heading: Management Responsibilities, and lists A through \(F\), a series of responsibilities. Do you have an understanding that the responsibilities listed here were for the Trump Organization to undertake in the preparation of the Statement of Financial Conditions?

A I don't remember this, but it looks like that, yes.
Q So to your understanding, the Trump Organization was responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America other than
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previously noted; is that correct?
A Yes, but together working with the accounting firm, the very highly paid accounting firm.

Q The next item states that management is responsible for designing, implementing and maintaining internal controls relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements. That was a Trump Organization responsibility, correct?

A Sure.
Q Item C: Presenting and detecting fraud. That was a Trump Organization responsibility --

A Sure.
Q -- is that correct?
A I would imagine they all had to work on it. This was the accounting firm for the company, and I would imagine they all worked on that. You know you do audits and you do all of the things that you would normally do, and hopefully if something is wrong, you catch it.

Q Who within the Trump Organization was responsible for preventing and detecting fraud?

A Everybody.
Q Item D. Who within the Trump Organization was responsible for identifying and ensuring that the company complies with the laws and regulations applicable to its activities?
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A I would say, you know, sort of anybody who sees anything wrong, they should report it. Anybody.

Q Is there a formal system for reporting anything wrong, as you described it, within the Trump Organization?

A Not formal, but I say to anybody, anybody sees anything going on that is not appropriate, come see me directly, I don't care who you are.

Q Has anyone ever come to see you directly about these?
A Yeah people, people tell me things, yeah.
Q What instances do you recall?
A Things going on and I get the problem solved when I hear it.

Q But \(I\) am asking if you remember any specific instances of someone bringing improper activity to your attention.

A Over the years?
Q Yeah.
A Yeah, sure. Apartments that are rented that they say are vacant. Somebody is getting money. Other things, yeah, we hear. I hear things. It sounds like a big deal, but it goes on. Apartments empty, they put it down as empty but somebody is getting money for it. And you know, generally it is the person who runs the building or the management person, over the years that kind of thing happens. And I take care of the situation when it happens. But I want everybody to look -- I
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tell everybody if you see anything, come to see management or come to see me directly. I don't want that going on.

Q And that would have been the case up until you became President in 2017?

A That is correct.
Q That no longer happens from 2017 to present; is that correct?

A Well, present is different than it was, you know, prior to when I was President. Right now, unless you know something I don't know, I am not President.

Q No, I am just asking if people still come to you with problems that they identify.

A Yeah. Yeah.
Q What do you remember?
A I don't think they come -- I am not sure that they come. I haven't remembered a thing, like, right now. So we are a little new to the game because I haven't been doing this. I am back a little bit in the real estate business. Right?

Q If you look at item \(E\) on this chart it says: Selection and Application of Accounting Principles.

Do you know who within the Trump Organization was responsible for the selection and application of accounting principles?

A No. I would assume that the accounting firm would recommend something and we would accept it.
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Q I am just pointing out that this is under the heading management responsibilities.

A Right. But I would assume --
Q Can I finish my question?
A Yeah.
Q Do you know if anyone at the Trump Organization was responsible for this on the Trump Organization side?

A Again, I would assume that Mazars would come and recommend something and we would accept that procedure.

Q Item F is: Making all financial records and related information available to us and for the accuracy and completeness of that information.

Who within the Trump Organization was responsible for meeting that responsibility?

A That would be the staff in the back. That would be Jeff, Allen and the staff.

THE WITNESS: I would love to read this, Your
Honor, if I could. Am I allowed to do that?
THE COURT: No, not at this point.
THE WITNESS: I am shocked. I am shocked.
MR. WALLACE: Okay. We can take that down.
Q Mr. Trump, I would like to talk a little bit about your building at 40 Wall Street.

A Yeah.
Q Have you ever inflated the operating income of 40
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Wall Street in order to increase a reported valuation?
A Not that I know.
Q Can we take a quick look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 719. MR. WALLACE: Sorry, we need the native version.

I apologize.
And if we could go to row 118.
Q This is the entry for 40 wall Street. And if we scroll down to rows 137 or so, there is a valuation for 2014 and it states: NOI stabilized based on cash flow prepared July 2014 including pending leases. Green Ivy and vacant space. And it lists an NOI of \(\$ 23,873,545\).

Do you know if that is an accurate reflection of the NOI?

A I don't. It is a long time ago. But I don't.
Q Mr. Trump, I am handing up a document that has not been premarked. It is a copy of an article from Forbes magazine dated September 21, 2022. (sic.)

Now, I would just like to direct your attention to the bottom of page 11 in this article.

There is a headline that states: A couple months after securing the loan, Donald Trump was feeling good, sitting at his desk in Trump Tower, speaking openly to Forbes about his years' long quest to vault himself higher on the list of America's richest people. An audio recording of that conversation, which took place on September 21, 2015, makes it

\section*{D. J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct}
clear that Trump was not only involved in the effort to misinform the world about the value of his assets, he was willing to take the ruse further than anyone else, and even admit his motivation for doing so: It was good for financing he said.

I'll stop you there. Do you recall speaking to Forbes on September 21, 2015?

A No. And who would say that? I am not saying that. Forbes, they are owned by China. Now they just sold it to Russia I read yesterday.

Q Did you tell Forbes ever that a high net worth is good for financing?

A I mean, it is something that I would say. A high net worth is good for some types of financing, not for buildings and not for specific deals necessarily. But \(I\) guess having a high net worth it makes -- it would be good. Do you want me to say, oh, let's have a low net worth? Give me a low net worth and let's get financing. No. Having a solid record -- having a good net worth is a positive thing. I can't say it is not.

Q If we look down at the next paragraph. It states: "Even though he had just reworked his mortgage at 40 Wall Street, Trump couldn't resist another chance to boast about it. Its a 78-story building, Trump said. Even though his firm had previously marketed it as a 72-story building. And it's actually just 63 stories according to documents filed with the
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City. It is going to throw off, would you say, 50 million maybe this year? He asked, turning to Allen Weisselberg. Fifty million at least, Trump concluded faster than his CFO could get out of the words, "Yeah, by the end of the year." We can stop there. Do you know whether or not it was true that 40 Wall Street in September of 2015 would throw off \(\$ 50\) million by the end of that year?

A I don't know. But I have very little respect for Forbes, so, what I say to them, you know, I deal with them very quickly. And I don't even deal with them. I haven't dealt with them in years.

Q So do you believe you were misquoted?
A I think they are out of business. Aren't they?
Q Do you believe you were misquoted in this statement?
A I don't know. I don't know what I said. You are giving me this information. If you have the statement, let me see it.

Q I am going to move ahead.
A I don't think too much about what I say to Forbes.
Q We'll move ahead to page 13. The lower paragraph, we will start there.

It says: A Forbes reporter noted that the building was 1.2 million square feet rounding up from its actual square footage of \(1,165,207\). It is actually 1.3 , Trump said. By the way, it is 1.3 to be honest with you.
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The same reporter then said Forbes was estimating its net operating income at 24 million, the inflated figure that Jeff, apparently McConney, had shared the previous year. Where did you get that from? We are going to make 64 million net, net after debt service this year, at least, Trump declared. Do you recall telling Forbes that 40 Wall Street was going to make \(\$ 64\) million in 2015 net, net after debt service?

A No, I don't. When was this?
Q \(\quad 2015\).
A It is a long time ago. I don't know.
Q I believe Forbes published an audio clip with the article of this interview. I am just going to ask if we can just play that now.
(The audio clip was played in open court.)
MR. KISE: Your Honor, can anyone actually hear
that? It seemed relatively undecipherable.
THE COURT: I could not make out what was being said.

MR. WALLACE: Let me skip using the audio. I don't know if we can get it any higher.

Can we?
Q I'll just ask then generally, I know it was hard to decipher, what was on that recording, does it refresh your recollection that you may have spoken to Forbes in 2015?
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A No.
Q No.
Do you believe it would have been accurate to say that 40 Wall Street was going to make \(\$ 64\) million in 2015 net after debt service?

A I don't know. You are putting me back a long time ago, long past statute of limitations.

Q All right. Let's --
A I don't know.
Q We are going to hand you a copy of a document that is already in evidence. It is Plaintiff's Exhibit 652. It is very small print so it is going to be easier to see it up on the screen.

If we could go to page two of this document. And if we could zoom in on the far right column.

It states: 40 Wall Street income and expense statement. Cash basis. Twelve-month period ended March 31, 2015.

If you look down at the bottom of the pullout here it says: Total receipts for the building are \(\$ 29,906,711\).

Do you know if that was an accurate reflection of the total receipts for the 12 -month period of 40 Wall street as of March 31, 2015?

A I don't know. I have never seen that before. MR. WALLACE: If we scroll down to the bottom of

\section*{D. J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct}
this column. Actually go to the bottom of the last page, please.

Q If we look under the 2015, March 31. Underneath Debt Service In and Capital Disbursements. It states: The excess cash flow or deficit for 40 Wall Street was negative \(\$ 8,736,924\). Do you know if 40 Wall Street in fact ran a deficit of more than \(\$ 8.7\) million for the 12 -month period ended March 31, 2015?

A Well, we spent millions of dollars based on that. We spent millions of dollars on building improvements. We were fixing the building. I spent a lot of money inside the building, my own cash, to improve the building. We rebuilt the lobbies. We rebuilt the elevators. We spent a lot of money, and actually even more than that, I think. But the building has been a successful building.

Q The building improvements number we can see there under capital disbursements is listed as \(\$ 8.2\) million; is that what you are referring to?

A Yea, but we were doing a lot of work. We were doing the elevators and lobbies, doing a lot of work, and the building needed a lot of work.

Q I take it you don't know whether or not it is accurate that in March 31, 201540 Wall Street had run a deficit of \(\$ 8.7\) million?

A After spending millions of dollars on fixing the
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building, yeah, it is possible. But then you make it up in later years. You lease to good tenants and they pay you a lot of rent because they like what you have done.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I am going to pass the witness a copy of the document, it is already in evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 636.

Q Mr. Trump, this is an e-mail from Donna Kidder to Jack Weisselberg and Allen Weisselberg with an attachment entitled 40 Wall 2012 to 2014 cash flows.

It will be easier to see it up on the screen. Again, it has very small print.

MR. WALLACE: Can we go to page three of five?
Q At the top it says 40 Wall Street LLC cash flow, year ending December 31, 2014.

And at the bottom of this section it states: Cash flow (after debt service, Capex and IT) negative \(\$ 9,904,289\).

Do you know if 40 Wall Street, in fact, ran a deficit of more than \(\$ 9.9\) million for the year ending December 31, 2014?

A That's a very small number. And it doesn't include all of the money that was spent in fixing the building, bringing it back to good health. It also doesn't show vacancies and things that are leased up. The building is now actually, \(I\) think it is pretty close to being fully leased. But it doesn't show vacancy and it doesn't show all of the
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money spent on construction.
Q Am I understanding then -- I am sorry to interrupt you. Am I understanding that this number could be correct but you think there are other expenses that aren't included in here?

A We spent a lot of money in fixing the building up, and the building is a very good building.

But this has nothing to -- I mean, you can show this de minimus stuff or non-material stuff. This has nothing to do with values. The value of 40 Wall Street is, you know, hundreds of millions of dollars more than what she says it is. She doesn't know what 40 wall Street -- she doesn't even know where 40 Wall Street is. And the value is hundreds of millions.

If \(I\) was going to sell that building, because this was a lawsuit that started off with value, now let's get into a rent-roll of one building, big deal. This is all non-material stuff. If we are fixing up a building and spending money on new elevators and new escalators and new all of the things we have in the building, it is going to do very well in the future. You have to do this stuff.

Q Mr. Trump, are you familiar with what technique Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney used to value 40 Wall Street for the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition?

A No. But the value of the building I am familiar
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with, because I would say that building -- I could look at buildings and tell you what they are worth.

Q I would like to move on to page four of this document. Which lists 40 Wall Street LLC cash flow year end of December 13, 2013. We go to the bottom of this year's entry, we see cash flow (after debt service Capex and IT) negative 7 thousand 317,370 .

A \(\quad 7\) million.
THE COURT: I think you misspoke.
Q 7 million. I'll restate what it says.
Which is, negative \(\$ 7,317,370\). Mr. Trump, do you
know if 40 Wall Street in fact ran a deficit of more than \(\$ 7.3\) million for the year ending December 31, are 2013?

A I don't know, but it doesn't effect the value of the building. The building is the building. If a developer comes along they are going to know that you have to spend money to make money with a building. And they are going to know, they will either fix it or see that we are doing it. It doesn't affect the value of a building. You are talking about small money. It is not material.

And this was a lawsuit based on values. And then when they looked at my statement and when they looked at the cash they said, oh, we are going to have to go a different way, how about disgorgement. Let's take all of his properties away like they do in communist China. Let's take all of his
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properties away because, you know.
Except you have one problem, I have very little debt, and I have a lot of cash. And I have assets that are much better than you thought. So that was a little bit of a problem. But in this city today, and in this country today, maybe a certain Judge would do that.

Q Mr. Trump, I promise we will get through this particular document much more quickly if you can stop at, "I don't know." And you are repeating statements you have made before --

A I don't know.
Q -- so I am familiar with your position.
If we could go to page five of this document, please. It is the 40 Wall Street LLC cash flow year ending December 31, 2012. If we go to the bottom, it lists (cash flow after debt service Capex and IT) negative \(\$ 20,990,873\).

Do you know if 40 Wall Street, in fact, ran a deficit of more than \(\$ 20.9\) million for the year ending December 31, 2012?

A It could have been for one year it did. It could very well have been. But it makes a lot of money. And it makes a lot of money now. It depends. You have extraordinary expenses during certain periods of time with a building. You have to redo things. But I can just tell you, it makes -- 40 Wall Street obviously makes a lot of money.
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And by the way, the money we borrowed is all current or paid off. You know, we never -- no bank lost money. With all of this stuff, not one bank lost money. Not one insurance company lost money.

And by the way, the insurance company that you say lost, they are still my insurance company. It is one of the biggest, most prestigious companies in the world. They don't even know what you are talking about.

Q Mr. Trump, do you recall making misrepresentations about the appraised value of 40 Wall Street?

A No.
Q Mr. Trump, you are being handed a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3341. At the top of this is an e-mail chain between Donald Trump Jr., Amanda Miller, Rhona Graff and Jeffrey Lichtenberg.

If we could go down to the bottom e-mail in this thread actually, and we will put it up on the screen so it is easier to follow.

There is an e-mail from someone named Kusisto from the Wall Street Journal to a series of e-mail addresses including DTrump@Trumporg.com; Rhona Graff; DJTJrTrumporg.com; Amanda Miller and Selma Langer. Before we get into the substance of the document, I want to first ask, do you know if the e-mail address DTrump@Trumporg.com was ever an actual e-mail address in use?
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A I don't know, no.
Q Did you ever use an e-mail address of DTrumpetrumporg.com?

A Might be, but not that \(I\) know of.
Q Go to the top. It states: "Thank you both again for chatting with me about 40 Wall Street. We are planning on running the story tomorrow, so I wanted to make sure I sent over a few facts."

Do you recall whether or not you and Donald Trump Jr. spoke to the Wall Street Journal in or around January of 2012?

A No, I don't.
Q If you look down under the headline Value, item three says: "The building is one of the Trump Organizations most significant commercial holdings in Manhattan. It is now valued at \(\$ 600\) million according to a recent appraisal."

Do you know who made the representation to the Wall Street Journal that the 40 Wall Street had been valued at \(\$ 600\) million according to a recent appraisal?

A The year is what year?
Q 2012 .
A 2012?
Q Yes.
A No. I mean, it is probably around that number. It is not that far off. I don't know. I don't know. I don't know if anybody had an appraisal. But I know that number is
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not a very far off number. If anything, it could be worth more.

Q I am asking about the existence of an appraisal. Do you know if there was, in fact, an appraisal at the Trump Organization valuing the 40 Wall Street at \(\$ 600\) million as of January 2012?

A So you are saying 12 years ago do I know whether or not there is an appraisal sitting around someplace for the building.

Q I am asking if you are aware, yes.
A I am not aware.
Q I will represent to you that we have not received an appraisal from the Trump Organization discovery valuing the building at \(\$ 600\) million as of June 2012 .

Mr. Trump, are you the one --
MR. KISE: Is Mr. Wallace testifying now?
MR. WALLACE: I said I am representing to him
what we got in discovery.
THE COURT: Is that part of a question though?
I think you can't just sort of represent.
MR. WALLACE: That's fine.
Q Mr. Trump, were you the person who told the reporter at the -- I'll strike that question and start over.

Mr. Trump --
THE COURT: It is withdrawn.
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MR. WALLACE: Withdrawn. I apologize, Your Honor.

Q Are you the one who told the reporter at the Wall Street Journal that there is a \(\$ 600\) million recent appraisal for 40 Wall Street?

MR. KISE: Objection, asked and answered.
A I don't know of any such appraisal.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A We are talking 12 years ago, I don't know.
Q If we look at the top of this e-mail chain, there is an e-mail from Donald Trump Jr. It says: Okay great, there shouldn't be much additional. I don't know where she got the 35 number in renovations, but DJT told her the 600, so he will be happy if that gets printed.

Are you the DJT that is being referenced here?
A Yes.
Q Does this refresh your recollection about whether or not you told a reporter that there had been a \(\$ 600\) million appraisal of 40 Wall Street in January 2012?

A No. But I think the building would be worth about that. I don't think \(\$ 600\) million for that very, very large building is a lot of money.

THE COURT: Five minute warning.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I ask that Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3341 be entered into evidence.
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THE COURT: Granted.
MR. KISE: Objection statute of limitations and relevance. I am not sure what the relevance is of a news article. It doesn't have anything to do with the SOFCs. THE COURT: Overruled, on both grounds. (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 3341 by the Court.)

Q So Mr. Trump, why did you have the Statement of Financial Condition prepared?

A When?
Q Let's say in the period -- the period of 2011 to 2017, why was a Statement of Financial Condition being prepared by the Trump Organization?

A I think we -- I don't know, I think in one form or another, maybe not officially, we had Statements of Financial Condition. Maybe before that, I don't know. I can't answer that question, we are doing a Statement of Financial Condition.

Q You said you were spending a lot of money to have these made, why were you spending that money?

A I think it is a positive thing to have. Even for myself, I think it is a positive thing to have.

Q Did you use them to obtain financing?
A Because of the disclaimer clause, they were almost valueless.
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Q That's not my question. My question is whether you would actually use them to obtain financing.

A I would give them, but I don't think anybody paid much attention to them because of the disclaimer clause, and because generally that's not the way to do it.

Again, I have been doing it for 50 years and they look at the property.

MR. WALLACE: I am going to hand up to the witnesses a document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1433.

It is short so you can look at it on the screen.
Q This is a letter dated November 15, 2011. If you look down at the bottom; is that your signature, Mr. Trump?

A Yeah, it is.
Q Okay. And who is Mr. Richard Byrne?
A \(\quad\) He is an executive at Deutsche Bank.
Q Do you know if he is in the commercial real estate group at Deutsche Bank?

A I don't know if he is now. I think he was then.
Q Fair enough. I am asking as of this letter. As of November of 2011, was Mr. Byrne in the commercial real estate group of Deutsche Bank.

A Yeah, it is 12 years ago. This is so crazy.
Q So you sent the --
A This is a letter I sent, yes.
Q And you were interested in whether or not they might
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finance the Doral transaction; is that right?
A Right.
Q You did not finance the transaction through the commercial real estate group at Deutsche Bank, did you?

A I did it through some other group, yes.
Q Would that have been the private wealth management group at Deutsche Bank?

A Yes, very different people.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I move 1433 into evidence.

MR. KISE: Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1433 by the Court.)

THE COURT: Two minute warning.
MR. WALLACE: We can stop here then.
THE COURT: Okay. Okay everybody, we will take a break until 2:15. Have a good lunch.

And I will order the witness not to discuss this case or his testimony with anybody during the break.
(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken at this time.)
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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THE COURT: Are we having a technical --
MR. KISE: Is the witness's microphone on? I wasn't sure.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: His is on.
MR. KISE: Okay.
THE COURT: Yours are on.
MR. KISE: Yes.
(An exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. Trump, the court officer is handing you a document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 426. It's very extensive, so I'll just walk through it on the screen.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q The cover of the document is an e-mail dated January 12th, 2017, between two Deutsche Bank employees. I'm going to ask you about the attachments. However, if we could go to page two of Document 426?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q This is the term loan agreement dated as of June 11, 2012, by and between Trump Endeavor 12, LLC and Deutsche Bank. This is the loan agreement that relates to Doral; is that correct?

A Yes.
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MR. WALLACE: If we could go to page 80.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Is that your signature, Mr. Trump?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would move Plaintiff's Exhibit 426 into evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. Granted. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 426 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

MR. WALLACE: If we go to the next attachment on page 105, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q This is the guaranty that is associated with the Doral
loan. Do you recall signing a guaranty?
A I believe so, yes.
Q Let's take a look at page 124.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Is that your signature, Mr. Trump?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we could flip back to page 113, please.
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q This is -- I'm going to direct your attention to item nine on this page. It says "Certain Representations. In order to induce lender to accept this guaranty and to enter into the credit agreement and the transactions thereunder, guarantor hereby makes the following representations and warranties as of the date hereof" -- I'll -- you see that section?

A Yes, yeah.
MR. WALLACE: If we could flip down one page to page 114.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Item little Roman (viii) under this says "Financial Statements." It states "The guarantor has furnished to lender his prior financial statements. Such prior financial statements are true and correct in all material respects." And little (i), "Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition fairly presents guarantor's financial condition as of June 30, 2011."

Do you believe that representation was true and accurate?

A Yeah, I do.
MR. WALLACE: If we could flip ahead to page 116, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
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screen.)
Q This is item ten. It states "Certain Financial Covenants. During the term hereunder, guarantor shall comply with the following decisional covenants:"

If we look down at number one, it talks about "unencumbered liquid assets." It states that "The guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquid assets of not less than \$50 million, with not less than \(\$ 20\) million of such unencumbered liquid assets to be held in accounts with lenders or lender's affiliates."

Were you aware that this loan required you to maintain unencumbered liquid assets of \(\$ 50\) million?

A Yeah, cash.
Q And if we look down lower in the sentence --
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

MR. WALLACE: Stay on that section, sorry.
A Actually, it was not less than 20, but it didn't make any difference.

Q I believe it was 50 million --
A Correct.
Q -- in liquid assets, 20 million were to be on deposit with Deutsche Bank?

A That's correct, yes.
Q The last sentence in this paragraph states, "For
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purposes hereof" -- no -- oh, at the end of the sentence we were just looking at it says that "The unencumbered liquid assets will be tested by lender semiannually during the renovation period" -- no I'm just totally on the wrong section.

MR. WALLACE: I'll withdraw that question if that's okay, your Honor.

THE COURT: That's the right word.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you.
Q Were you aware that the covenant was to be tested and certified each year based on your Statement of Financial Condition?

A Based on my cash.
Q Okay.
As represented in your Statement of Financial Condition?

A They want to have cash. They wanted -- weren't interested in anything but cash.

Q Do you believe you complied with this covenant?
A Yeah, I had a lot of cash.
Q If we could go down to item three. It states, "Minimum Net worth. At all times during the term hereunder, guarantor shall maintain at all times a net worth of not less than \(\$ 2,500,000,000\). This net worth covenant shall be tested and certified to on an annual basis, based upon the Statement of Financial Condition delivered to lender each year hereunder
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pursuant to another section."
Were you aware that this loan required you to maintain a net worth of not less than \(\$ 2.5\) billion?

A Yes.
Q And were you aware that this covenant was to be tested and certified each year based upon your Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yeah. They would look at -- they would actually come in and check, not so much even the financial condition. They would check the cash and what kind of cash is available. And I guess at that point I had -- I think I had between three and \(\$ 400\) million in cash, which is more than I needed for the whole job.

Q And --
A That was what they were concerned with. They always wanted to make -- not because of me, because of other people. They always wanted to make sure the cash was substantial, because in this deal I agreed to put up the money necessary above what they were putting up, the cash necessary to complete the job. And I did that very easily.

Q When you said, "I guess at that point I had, I think, between three and \(\$ 400\) million", are you speaking of the time of the origination of this loan in 2005?

A I don't know. Just around that period, over the period of a year or two I had -- it goes up and down depending on
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whether or not you are doing a development, or whether or not you maybe want to pay off debt, or whatever, but I had -- I've had a lot of cash for a long time.

Q If we go to the next item on this page, it's item 11?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q It's headed Financial Reporting and Certain Other Additional Covenants. It says that "The guarantor shall comply with the following additional covenants: Number one, financial reporting", and it lists documents that's the guarantor shall deliver to the lender or permit lender to review, and item \(A\) is, "Annual Statement of Financial Condition, as soon as reasonably available. And in any event, within 120 days following each June 30th, guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30th, 20" -- I'll stop there.

Were you aware that this loan required you to submit your Statement of Financial Condition on an annual basis?

A Yes, or a guaranty of cash.
Q That's not in this term, is it?
A Maybe not, but that's all they cared about.
Q Do you believe that you complied with this covenant?
A Yes, I did.
MR. WALLACE: Let me get 312.
Q Mr. Trump, we are handing up another lengthy document, but I'll show it to you on the screen again.
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(An exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q I mostly want to verify your signature. This is a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 312?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Mr. Trump, this is the hotel term loan agreement with Deutsche Bank for 401 North Wabash Venture LLC. Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q Is this the loan that relates to the Trump Chicago Hotel?

A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we could take a look at page 86 of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Mr. Trump, is that your signature?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask this
document be entered into evidence.
MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 312 was deemed marked and
admitted in evidence.)
MR. WALLACE: I'll ask defendants, are you okay
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with me doing this on the screen? Do you want me to handout the --

MR. KISE: Sure.
MR. WALLACE: All right.
MR. KISE: You know I'm old school like that.
(An exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. Trump, you've been handed a document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 310.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q This is the term loan agreement "Residential" with Deutsche Bank for the 401 North Wabash Venture LLC. Is this the loan that relates to the Trump Chicago residential development?

A I believe so, yes.
MR. WALLACE: And if we could look at page 85.
Q Is this your signature, Mr. Trump?
A Yes, it is.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would move Plaintiff's
Exhibit 310 into evidence.
MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. That's in evidence.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 310 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

Q Mr. Trump, you are being handed a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 367.
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(An exhibit was handed to the witness.)
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q The cover of this document is an e-mail dated November 8th, 2012, from Jeffrey Fried at Loeb \& Loeb, to a number of people at the Trump Organization, including Jason Greenblatt. I would like to ask you about the two attachments.

A Okay.
Q If you look at page two.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q This says it's the guaranty for the residential, dated November 9, 2012. Do you see that?

A Yes.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q If you look at page 22, is that your signature Mr. Trump?

A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page 49 -actually, 26. Let's go 26 first.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q This is the guaranty for the hotel section. And if we look at page 49.
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Is that your signature, Mr. Trump?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would move Plaintiff's Exhibit 367 into evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 367 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

Q Mr. Trump --
MR. WALLACE: If we could flip back to page ten here, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Item nine on this page states certain representations. It says "In order to induce lender to accept this guaranty and to enter into the credit agreement and the transactions thereunder, guarantor hereby makes the following representations and warranties as of the date hereof."

MR. WALLACE: I would like to go down to item eight, Roman numeral (viii). If you could flip over.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Again, it says "Financial statements" and it states
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that "The guarantor has furnished to lender his prior financial statements. Such prior financial statements are true and correct in all material respects." And little (i), "Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition presents fairly guarantor's financial condition as of June 30, 2012." Do you believe this representation was true and accurate?

A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we could flip ahead to page 13. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Here it states, number ten, certain financial covenants. "During the term hereunder, guarantor shall comply with the following additional covenants. Unencumbered liquid assets at all times during the term hereunder, guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquid assets of not less than \(\$ 50\) million, of not less than \(\$ 20\) million of such unencumbered liquid assets to be held in accounts with lender or lender's affiliates."

Is this the same liquidity requirement we saw earlier?
A Similar, yes.
Q And were you aware this loan required you to maintain an unencumbered liquidity of \(\$ 50\) million?

A Yes.
MR. KISE: Objection.
THE COURT: Grounds?

\section*{D. J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct (Mr. Wallace)}

MR. KISE: Just, I think that there is some, because of the way the question is being asked, potential to mischaracterize the evidence, because the question is, this loan required you to maintain, but -- and that's what I've been looking for. That's why I wanted the hard copy, but I haven't looked at it.

But as we heard testimony already in the record, there's a stepdown percentage here, and at some point the guaranty goes away. So I just want to make clear that when he says "the loan required you to maintain it", I think that may mischaracterize the actual evidence. The loan requires it only to a certain point.

MR. WALLACE: This is instruction to the witness. It's an improper objection.

MR. KISE: It's not an instruction to the witness.
MR. WALLACE: I'm not disputing the loan. The agreements say what they want. If they want to ask them about other aspects of this. So I'll say at the time you signed this, were you aware of this requirement in the guaranty.

A Yes.
Q And you are aware that this covenant was to be tested and certified each year based on your Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?

A I think so. Again, they were focused really only on
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the cash.
Q And do you believe that you complied with this covenant?

A Yes, I do.
MR. WALLACE: If we could flip ahead to page 14.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q Item three, "Minimum Net Worth. At all times during the term hereunder, guarantor shall maintain, at all times, a net worth of not less than \(\$ 2,500,000,000\). This net worth covenant shall be tested and certified on an annual basis as of each June 30th within 120 days following each June 30th, based upon the Statement of Financial Condition delivered to lender."

Were you aware that this loan required you to maintain a net worth of not less than \(\$ 2.5\) billion?

A Yes.
MR. KISE: Objection. Same objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q Were you aware this covenant was to be tested and certified each year based upon your Statement of Financial Condition?

MR. KISE: Objection. Same objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the
testimony.)
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Q The question was, Mr. Trump, you were aware?
A I was waiting for the Judge.
Q He overruled it.
A Sorry.
THE COURT: I'll try to speak louder.
THE WITNESS: Sorry.
Q I'll restate the question.
Mr. Trump, you were aware that this covenant was to be tested and certified each year based upon your Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes, which I could've done without a condition. I could've just shown them a couple of assets and they would have been very satisfied with that, if they wanted. They were focused on cash. I could have given them just a few assets which were worth much more than \(\$ 2.5\) billion.

Q But the terms of the contract required you to actually submit the Statement of Financial Condition, not some other information?

MR. KISE: Objection.
A I don't know, but I think possibly.
MR. KISE: It mischaracterizes the evidence.
THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, are you mischaracterizing the evidence?

MR. WALLACE: I don't think so, your Honor.
MR. KISE: Again, he is speaking of entire term of
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the loan as opposed to when the stepdown goes in. It doesn't characterize the evidence properly.

During the term that this provision is in force, then yes. But if the stepdown, as we've heard testimony on the Chicago loan, the guaranty went away.

MR. WALLACE: The guaranty --
MR. KISE: He was here for the testimony. I'm not telling the witness anything he doesn't already know.

A This loan was paid off in full with no default -- with no problem, and the bank was thrilled. They got all their money back. There was no victim. There was no anything. This loan was paid off in full. It was a very successful loan as opposed to other people that don't do successful loans. So the bank was thrilled.

Again, the loan was paid off in full. You are talking about a loan that was paid off in full. There was no negotiation. If interest was due on a Saturday, I paid the interest on a Friday, and the bank liked me very much. But this loan is long since gone.

Q "Long since gone"? When did you pay off this loan?
A I don't know. Very recently, but I paid off part of it a long time ago, and I think over the last fairly short period of time -- the loan is paid off.

Q Mr. Trump, are you aware --
A I will check that as exactly, but \(I\) think it is paid
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off or very close to being paid off.
Q I tell you this is why if we could just go through the questions I have. I was going to get to that at the end.

I'll ask you, are you aware that the Trump Chicago loan was paid off last week?

A I heard it was. I don't know about last week, but I know it was paid off recently; on time, on schedule. In fact, I'll go a step further. I think it was paid off ahead of schedule. You could tell me. I think it was paid off ahead of schedule. The bank was thrilled.

Q And why was it paid off ahead of schedule?
A Because we have a lot of cash.
Q Who made the decision to pay it off ahead of schedule?
A My son recommended it and I said do what you want to do. We had time left. We didn't have to pay it off. We had time left. I said, the interest rate is higher than what you get if you have your money in the bank, so.

Q Which son?
A Eric.
Q Eric. Okay.
The question I asked before we had the interruption, I believe, was the contract actually refers to your Statement of Financial Condition. You did not have an agreement with Deutsche Bank where you could establish your net worth by using some other set of documents, did you?
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A I don't know. I just -- I don't remember much. I'm sure if I didn't want to put in my financial statement I could have worked out a cash transaction instead. In other words, guaranteed to keep a certain amount of cash in. But they knew I had a financial statement, so they probably just put it down. They knew I had a financial statement so they added it. I guess it's in number 11, or something, financial.

Q So is it your testimony that you made a decision to use the Statement of Financial Condition instead of a cash, additional cash requirement?

A No, I think Deutsche Bank said, "Why don't you throw it in?" I'll throw it in. It didn't mean very much to them. What meant much, a lot to them, was that I had a lot of cash. That's what meant. And they were paid off, as I said, in full. There was no victim. There was no loss of money. They made a lot of money. And everyone is trying to figure out, why are you doing this for a bank that's very rich, very big, and had the best lawyers in the world. Nobody understands it. Well, I understand it. It's called politics.

Q Mr. Trump, do you believe you complied with this covenant we are looking at on the screen right now?

A Yes.
Q If we go to the next paragraph, that's paragraph number 11. "Financial reporting and certain other additional covenants." It says that "Until the earlier satisfaction in
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full of all guaranteed obligations and expenses are required under section 25 hereunder, or why, this guaranty is earlier terminated by lender or otherwise by its terms. Guarantor shall comply with the following additional covenant", item one, "financial reporting." (i)(A) states, "Annual Statement of Financial Condition. As soon as reasonably available and in any event within 120 days following each June 30th, guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30th."

Do you understand that this guaranty agreement required you to provide an annual Statement of Financial Condition?

A Well, I had it, so minds well give it to them.
Q Is that a yes?
A Yeah, I had it.
Q Do you believe --
A If I didn't have it, I wouldn't have had it put in this loan agreement.

Q Do you believe you complied with this covenant?
A Yeah.
MR. WALLACE: We are going to hand up another exhibit.
(An exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. Trump, this is the document that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 307. It's an amended and restated term loan agreement for 401 North Wabash Venture LLC as of June 2nd, 2014.

MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page 96.
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Mr. Trump, is that your signature?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would ask this be moved into evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 307 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

Q We could put that one aside. If we could get Plaintiff's Exhibit 1844. This is already in evidence. We have a courtesy copy for the witness.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Mr. Trump, this a document entitled "Amended and Restated Guaranty", dated June 2nd, 2014.

MR. WALLACE: If we could just go to page 27, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Is that your signature, Mr. Trump?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we could go to page 13, please.
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Again, item nine, "Certain Representations." It states that "In order to induce the lender to make the loan", there are certain reps.

MR. WALLACE: If we go to item eight, it's on page 14.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Again, the financial statements it says, "The guarantor has furnished to lender his prior financial statements. Such prior financial statements are true and correct in all material respects." And little (i) "Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition presents fairly guarantor's financial condition as of June 30, 2013."

Do you believe that that representation was true and accurate?

A Yes. And the loan, I believe was paid off.
Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: If we could go to page 17, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q There's, again, a listing for certain financial covenants. Again, "Unencumbered liquid assets shall be maintained if not less than \(\$ 50\) million."
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Were you aware that there was a minimum net worth requirement contained in this restated loan --

A I think so, yes.
Q Okay. And I will represent to you, if we go down a little further.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q It also contains -- the next page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed was scrolled on the screen.)

Q "A minimum net worth requirement of \(\$ 2.5\) billion." Were you aware that there is a net worth guarantee in this restated guaranty?

A I think so. I'm not sure, but I think so. Obviously there was.

Q Are you aware that those amounts would be tested and certified by your annual Statement of Financial Condition?

A No. But sounds right to me.
Q Okay. Do you believe you complied with those covenants?

A Yeah, I paid off the loan.
Q If we look down item 11, little (i), the 11 is financial reporting and certain other additional covenants. Little (i) says, "Guarantor covenants and agrees that he shall keep and maintain complete and accurate books and records."
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It then says, item (A) "Annual Statement of Financial Condition, as soon as reasonably available. And in any event within 120 days following each June 30th, guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30th."

The first question, were you aware that you had to maintain complete and accurate books and records pursuant to this agreement?

A I don't know specifically, but it sounds right to me.
Q Do you believe you complied with that requirement?
A Yes.
Q Were you aware that under this agreement you needed to submit an annual Statement of Financial Condition?

A Not really, but it would be okay with me.
Q So you don't know if this was accurate?
A I wasn't sure. I mean, you know, I'm seeing it here, so obviously the answer to that is, yes.

Q Okay.
A No problem.
(An exhibit was handed to the witness.)
MR. WALLACE: I would like to assure everyone we
are making good time.
Q Mr. Trump, you've been handed a document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 309.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

\section*{D. J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct (Mr. Wallace)}

Q The cover of the document indicates this is a loan agreement dated as of August 12th, 2014, with Trump Old Post Office, LLC and Deutsche Bank.

Mr. Trump, is this the loan that relates to the Old Post Office in Washington, D.C?

A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we could flip ahead to 108.
Q Mr. Trump, is that your signature?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: We ask that Plaintiff's Exhibit 309 be moved into evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 309 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

Q Mr. Trump, I'm going to hand you another document.
(An exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q This document has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 305.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q This is the guaranty for the OPO loan dated August 12th, 2014.

MR. WALLACE: If we could flip ahead to page 26.
Q Is that your signature, Mr. Trump?
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A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we move Plaintiff's Exhibit 305 into evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations.
THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 305 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

MR. WALLACE: If we could go back to page 12, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Once again, we see item nine, "Certain
Representations." It states that "In order to induce lender to accept this guaranty and to enter into the loan agreement and the transactions thereunder, guarantor hereby makes the following representations and warranties as of the date hereof."

MR. WALLACE: If we flip ahead two pages to page 14.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Again, item nine, "Financial Statements", it states
"The guarantor has furnished to lender his prior financial statements. Such prior financial statements are true and correct in all material respects." And little (i) "Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition presents fairly guarantor's
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financial condition as of June 30, 2013."
Do you believe this representation is true and accurate?

A Yes, I think so.
MR. KISE: Which one? Both of them? The financial
-- you asked that question -- I think you skipped from page
-- just to make sure the record is clear, you went from page 12, then over to page 14 without asking a question.

MR. WALLACE: I'm asking him about the passage I just read.

MR. KISE: Just that provision, not the other? Not that the answer would be different. I just want to make sure the record is clear.

THE COURT: All right. I think it's clear, now, you are asking him about little (ix).

MR. WALLACE: I thought I was clear the first time. We could keep moving. Let's turn to page 16 ?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q It's item ten, little Roman (i) "Unencumbered liquid assets."

Mr. Trump, were you aware that this loan required you to maintain unencumbered liquid assets of \(\$ 50 \mathrm{million}\) ?

A Yes.
Q Were you aware that this covenant is to be tested and
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certified each year based upon your Statement of Financial Condition?

A I think so, yes.
Q Do you believe you complied with this covenant?
A Yes, absolutely.
MR. WALLACE: If we could move on to page 17.
Q Little Roman (iii), "Minimum net worth." Again, it says, "At all times during the term hereunder, guarantor shall maintain a net worth of not less than \(\$ 2,500,000,000\). This net worth covenant shall be tested and certified on an annual basis as of each June 30th, within 120 days following each June 30th, based upon the Statement of Financial Condition delivered to lender during each year hereunder pursuant to section 11 (i)(A) hereof."

Were you aware that this loan required you to maintain a net worth not less than 2.5 billion?

A Yes.
Q Were you aware that this covenant was to be tested and certified each year based upon your Statement of Financial Condition?

A No, but it would look like it would be. It's fine.
Q It looks like it is based on --
A Yeah.
Q -- the contract language you just read?
A Yes.
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Q Do you believe you complied with this covenant?
A Oh, yes, absolutely.
Q Let's look at the next paragraph.
A Again, the net worth of me was far greater than the financial statements, far greater. So I don't know what you are getting at, but keep going.

Q When you say "far greater", are you referring to the brand value that you have?

A I'm talking about -- no, but we can add that, too. If you add the brand value, which I didn't even put down on my financial statements, then you are talking about a tremendous difference, tremendous difference. But, no, just even without it. But with it, and it should be a part of it -- brand value should be a part of it. It's -- in either event, it's more.

Q Just --
A The brand value is a very substantial value.
Q I just want --
A And I didn't even include that in the financial statements, but I could've if I wanted to. If I was looking to build up a financial statement, I would have put it in, but I wasn't looking to do that.

Q I'm just trying to make sure I understand that. Your position is that the assets listed on your Statement of Financial Condition were worth more than the prices that were on those statements; is that what you are saying?

A As a -- yes. As a total, some are much more, some may have made a mistake and it was corrected. Like on the apartment if they made a mistake, and of course this is way before that, because you are going back into ancient history. I don't know how it doesn't involve a five-year statute of limitations. It seems ridiculous to me. It seems extremely unfair to me, but that's okay; that's the way it's been working in this one.

I will tell you that the numbers are far greater than the two and a half billion dollars. And if I add brand value, then it's different from a different -- but in any event, the numbers of my net worth are substantially more than the numbers reflected on the financial statements, therefore, you have no case.

Q Um --
A In addition to that -- no. In addition to that, in addition to that, you have a clause that goes on forever, I think a page and a half, and all throughout, and that clause, as we've discussed quite a bit, tells the lender of this money to go out and do your own work, do your own statement, do everything your own. Go out and hire your own analysts, and all of the things that, you know, that you didn't read today. You read one part of it, but you didn't read the part that supersedes everything else. But it says, "Do your own due diligence." And I think a lot of people have figured that out today.
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Q Well, that clause isn't the only thing goes on forever.

Next paragraph, number 11, Financial Reporting and Certain Other Additional Covenants. It says: The guarantor shall comply with the following additional covenants. Financial reporting.

It says: Guarantor covenants and agrees he shall keep and maintain complete and accurate books and records.

Did you comply with this covenant?
A I assume so. I mean, the bank was very happy. They got their money back. They were paid off. I assume so.

Did you get any questions from the bank? I don't think so.

Q Do you know if --
A The bank was extremely happy.
Q This is from August 12, 2014. Do you know whether or not you maintained complete and accurate books and records of the Trump Organization from August 2014 forward?

A I hope so. I didn't keep them myself. I hope so. But again, the bank was paid off. There was no victim.

Q When you say, "I hope so," you don't know one way or the other?

A I assume so. Who knows. Do I know? I have people. I pay them a lot of money. They are accountants. I assume they keep good records. The bank can't be upset and the bank
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isn't upset, because they got their money back in full. No victim.

Q Who was responsible for making sure that the Trump Organization maintained complete and accurate books and records?

A Primarily Mr. Weisselberg and Jeff McConney.
Q Item A underneath this says --
A And the accounting firm. And the accounting firm.
Q I want to make sure you are finished.
A I paid a lot of money to.
Q Item \(A\) underneath the financial reporting states: Annual Statement of Financial Condition. As soon as reasonably available, and in any event within 120 days following each June 30, guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30.

A Yes.
Q Do you believe you complied with this covenant?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Mr. Trump, you are being handed a document marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 394. This is a certification in your name dated November 11, 2014. And if we flip over to the second page is that -- is that your signature, Mr. Trump?

A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we move Plaintiff's
Exhibit 394 into evidence.
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MR. KISE: Objection, statute of limitations. THE COURT: Overruled, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 394 by the Court.)

Q Mr. Trump, if you look on page one, it says: The undersigned guarantor hereby certifies to lender as of the date hereof that as of June 30, 2014 (the reporting date) One. Financial Information. And it lists attached hereto is guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2014.

I am going then if you look at the bottom underneath the section of financial statements it says: "The foregoing presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of guarantor at the period presented."

So by this document you are certifying that your Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2014 presents your financial condition fairly in all material respects. Is that correct?

A No.
Q What are you -- so what are you certifying here?
A Because we didn't put the brand value down, and that's a bigger number than any of these numbers, and they are very big. But I didn't put the brand value down, so, you know, so I don't know. I think that the statement could have put it

\section*{D. J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Wallace)}
down, didn't put it down. But \(I\) don't know if you consider that to be a material respect. It would increase the number beyond even what it is.

Q So is it your position that this certification is not accurate?

A No, I think it is accurate as it is. But the only thing is that we didn't include brand value. And you could include it or you don't have to include it.

Q Setting aside the brand value issue, are you certifying that the June 30, 2014 Statement of Financial Condition fairly presented in all material respects your financial condition as of that date?

A Yes.
Q But the 2014 statements contained an inflated value for your triplex apartment; is that correct?

A Yeah, they -- that's right. If this is the one where that's started. We reduced that substantially later.

Q And the statement contained an inflated valuation for Seven Springs; is that correct?

A Seven -- I don't know. I don't know if this is the one. If this is the statement. Is that the statement that we discussed before?

Q Yes, that has Seven Springs.
A Then we had those and we made adjustments to them. Again, compared to the overall worth or net worth,
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they were not material. But certainly I could say that we have asked -- I asked to have them reduced and they were reduced.

Q You were required to submit the certificate under the terms of the Doral loan agreement; is that correct?

A I guess so. I would think so.
Q And you knew that Deutsche Bank would rely on this certification to determine compliance with the loan companies; is that correct?

A Well, they have that in there, yeah. I could have negotiated it out. But it didn't matter to me because we had a financial statement, so I figured why not put it in.

Q You intended to meet your obligations under the Doral loan agreement by submitting this document; is that correct?

A Yeah.
Q Mr. Trump, we are going to hand you a new document. (Handing)

Q This document has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 503.

Mr. Trump, this is an internal Deutsche Bank e-mail dated May 10, 2016, but I would like to ask you about the attachments. The attachment is a certification in your name dated May 10, 2016.

And if we could flip ahead to the third page of this document, is that your signature, Mr. Trump?

A Yes.
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MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we move Plaintiff's Exhibit 503 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 503 by the Court.)

MR. WALLACE: I am sorry, I didn't realize there was no objection. Is this in?

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. WALLACE: I apologize everyone.
Q If we look back at the first page of this document, again, under the heading Financial Information. Mr. Trump, are you certifying that your Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, 2015 presents your financial condition fairly in all material respects?

A If this is the one we discussed before, then we told them a couple of the assets you could reduce.

Q This is actually a different one.
A A different one? Then it would be fine, yeah.
Q Do you recall whether or not the 2015 statement had corrected the valuation for your triplex apartment?

A I don't know. I don't know.
Q Do you recall whether the 2015 statement had corrected the value for Seven Springs?

A I don't know. But it really wasn't material compared
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to the overall net worth. It was a rather -- it was a small amount of money in comparison to the overall net worth.

Q Okay.
A I don't think it would be considered material at all.
Q If we could go to the top page of the document again, I just want to highlight one thing for you.

Do you see the borrowers listed here are Trump
Endeavor 12 LLC; 401 North Wabash Venture LLC; and Trump Old Post Office LLC. So you were required to submit this certificate under the terms of all three loan agreements; is that correct?

A I guess, yeah.
Q And you knew that Deutsche Bank would rely on this certification to determine compliance with the covenants of those loans; is that correct?

A No, I don't know. I don't know what they would have done if I had a statement or not, but I had no problem because we had a statement.

Q If Deutsche Bank wasn't requiring this to be submitted, why would you have submitted this?

A No, I would have negotiated that out. They would have taken cash instead.

Q But you did, in fact, have an obligation under those loan agreements to submit this certification?

A Yeah, I have no problem with that.
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Q And you intended for the bank to rely upon this certification to meet those loan requirements; isn't that right?

A Well, it is what it says. If I didn't have the statement I would have done something else. I would have given them cash instead, or not borrowed the money.

Q Mr. Trump, we have now seen multiple loan agreements requiring the submission of your Statement of Financial Condition. We have seen multiple certifications that the Statements of Financial Condition were accurate. Is it still your position that the bank would not have considered this to be an important document?

A I could have done different things other than a financial statement.

Q I am actually asking under the loan agreements you actually signed though, was this an important document?

A Yeah, because we had the document done, so we used it.

Q Mr. Trump, we talked about this a little, not in response to a question, but you have now paid off all three loans to Deutsche Bank; is that correct?

A I think so.
Q You financed the Doral loan with an Axos Bank; is that correct?

A I believe so, yes.
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Q And you paid off the Chicago loan recently, maybe last week?

A With cash, yes.
Q And you paid off the OPO loan and you sold that hotel; is that correct?

A Yes, we paid that off with cash.
Q Mr. Trump, you are being handed a document that has been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 1373. This is an e-mail from Jeff McConney to Elizabeth Pettijohn and Whitley Penn with the subject line: OPO proceeds spreadsheet.

Whitley Penn are the accountants who replaced Mazars;
is that correct?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we would move this document into evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection, relevance.
THE COURT: What's the relevance?
MR. WALLACE: The relevance it has the distribution amounts for the OPO loan.

MR. KISE: What is the relevance of that?
MR. WALLACE: I think it is subject to disgorgement potentially.

THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1373 by
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\section*{the Court.)}

Q Mr. Trump, if you look down at the bottom half of the first page, there is an e-mail from Patrick Birney to Donna Kidder and others that says: Mark, Mike, Donna, see attached updated spreadsheet. Assuming we get to the \(\$ 139,404,324\) from the title company today, and combine it with the \(\$ 3,823\) of interest on the deposits that is already in the bank account, the amount of the distributions should be in the amounts below (listed in row 31 of the second tab).

MR. WALLACE: Actually, could we pull up the other attachment to this, just to -- it is 1373 native.

I apologize.
Q I just wanted to state for the record, Mr. Trump, this is the attachment that Mr. Birney is referring to. It is a native.

MR. WALLACE: And just to clarify for the record, we have moved in both the cover e-mail and the native attachment. I just want to make sure that is clear. I want to see if there is any further objection from the defense.

THE COURT: They are both in.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1373 Native by the Court.)
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Q If we can go back to the cover e-mail. The amounts listed are: DJT \(\$ 126,828,600\).

DJT Jr. \$4,013,024.
IT \$4,013,024.
ET \$4,013,024.
Member Corp. \$540,475.
Total \(\$ 139,408,146\).
Mr. Trump, do you know if \(\$ 126\) million represents your full profit on the OPO transaction?

A No, I don't know that.
Q Do you know how much profit you did earn on the OPO transaction?

A No. Something, I don't know. Something above that I think. But around. I would have to find out. I would have to go check.

Q So you think above but around this number?
A Above but around that number, yes.
Q And do you know if one -- the \(\$ 139\) million listed here reflects the full profits of all of the participants in the OPO sale?

A I don't know exactly, but it would be something perhaps above that number.

Q Again, but around that number?
A Correct, yes.
Q Mr. Trump, I think we have covered some of this but I
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would like to go through it one more time. Do you believe any of the Statements of Financial Condition that have been prepared in your name inflated your net worth?

A So --
MS. HABBA: I am sorry, I couldn't hear you.
A Again, we have a very complicated, many transaction, many, many, many-pages document. The overall number is somewhere much higher. And some are a little bit lower or not materially lower. But the overall net is that we are much, much higher, much, much higher than the document -- the document submitted.

When you add the net worth you are talking about many, many millions of dollars more than that. And we didn't do that. If we wanted to build up a net worth all I would have had to do is add that, because that number would be very substantial, very, very substantial. So I don't believe that --

I think this case is a disgrace. I think it is a disgrace for people looking to move their business into New York or out of New York. Many people are leaving New York because of exactly this kind of a thing. We had banks that got their money back. We had insurance companies that got their money back. We had no complaints. The only complainant is you. And with everybody being killed on the streets and murdered on the streets of New York and you have an Attorney
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General sitting here all day long watching every little move, \(I\) think it is a disgrace. And people are leaving New York. And they are fleeing the City. And it is a shame what is going on. And we sit here all day, and it is election interference because you want to keep me in this courthouse all day long, and let's keep going.

And we have a very hostile Judge, extremely hostile Judge, and it is sad.

I don't have a jury and I want a jury. And I don't have a jury because she sued me under a statute that doesn't allow a jury, and I think it is a disgrace. And other people are saying the same thing. Legal scholars are saying it is the most unfair witch hunt they have ever seen.

And you should be ashamed of yourself.
Go ahead.
Q I promise you, Mr. Trump, I am trying to get you off the stand.

A That's great. I am sure you are.
THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, this morning I said I am following your lead in terms of how much you want to put up with this stuff.

MR. WALLACE: I promise you, Your Honor, we are very close to the end.

Q And we almost got there, Mr. Trump.
But I believe your position is that you do not
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believe that any of the Statements of Financial Condition inflated your net worth; is that right?

A I think that the Statements of Financial Condition were very good, were actually somewhat conservative and in some cases very conservative.

And they are totally protected, and so am I, and so is everything in there, by a disclaimer clause which tells lenders and insurance companies or anybody that saw it, to go out and do your own due diligence and do your own analysis. It says: Do not rely on this statement.

Q Do you believe that Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney did a good job preparing your Statements of Financial Condition?

A I mean, I don't know, but I think so.
Q Did Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney prepare the Statements of Financial Condition in a manner that you believe is appropriate?

A I hope so.
Q Did Mr. Weisselberg and Mr --
A Don't forget, the bank -- the banks reject them. These banks are not just people that sit back and take paper and put it into a file. When a bank gets a statement they go out and check them very carefully. They are very sophisticated. They have great lawyers, very expensive lawyers working for them. The best law firms in the country. So this

\section*{D. J. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Wallace)}
isn't just like, oh, here is a paper. The bank would check the work that these people did.

Q So the banks would review the Statements of Financial Condition?

A Absolutely. Absolutely.
Q Did Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney prepare the Statements of Financial Condition in a manner that you agreed with?

A I guess so. I mean, I would have to say so. The banks seemed to be happy, and Mazars seemed to be happy.

I had an, again, very expensive accounting firm. They seemed to be happy. They didn't complain.

And if they did complain, they wouldn't have done the statement. They did come to me on one or two occasions and said they didn't like something or didn't like this or that, and it was corrected before the statement was done. And if they didn't like something they wouldn't have given us a statement. They gave us a statement.

THE COURT: I am going to date myself. But I
feel like it is a broken record. There are old vinyl records that go around and around.

THE WITNESS: Because he doesn't understand it, Your Honor, maybe. Because he keeps asking me the same questions, Your Honor, the same questions over and over again.
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Q Let me ask you a new question then.
MR. KISE: He has asked the question about 50
different times. We sat here for almost an hour going through what appears to be a breach of contract case, going through provisions of documents that the bank and only the bank are entitled to enforce. And they didn't.

And so now when the witness has a great answer, it is a problem. So Mr. Wallace just keeps asking the same question, he is going to get the same answer.

THE COURT: Only the banks are entitled to enforce?

MR. KISE: They are. That's what a contract is all about. This is a contract, and a breach of contract is where one party to the contract gets to decide if a term was breached.

For example, the net worth covenant. If the bank determined -- it doesn't say in here that anybody else other than the bank gets to determine whether that covenant was breached.

THE COURT: It doesn't have to.
MR. KISE: If they didn't determine it was breached and you heard testimony from Mr . Haigh that indicates that, then yes, that's their right to do it.

We don't get to second guess them. The Attorney General doesn't get to come in and stand in the shoes of a
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contractual party that isn't claiming a breach. There is no provision in the law for that.

But if Mr . Wallace wants to continue to ask the same questions in a slightly different way, he can expect to get the same answers.

THE COURT: Well, I'll leave it at that for now.
MR. WALLACE: I promise everyone here I am really getting as close to being done and we can get out of here.

Let me ask a different question then. Did the fact that Mr. Weisselberg pled guilty to tax fraud cause you to change your opinion of any of the work he performed for you?

A Well, it was a sad, very sad thing. People went after him viciously and violently because he happened to work for me. If \(I\) never ran for President and certainly if \(I\) never won, convincingly, he would have been just like a lot of other people. I mean, he did a good to job for me for a long time. I thought it was a very sad thing.

The education of his grandchildren was brought up because he paid for the education of his grandchildren in a certain way. There was some things. So I feel very badly for him.

Q Well, a certain way was you paid for the education of his grandchildren?
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A No, the education of his grandchildren, and that is, you know, going to be some kind of a breach where they want to put a man in jail? It is a very sad thing.

Q Were you aware --
A He did a good job for me for a long time.
Q So you didn't go back and review any of the work he had performed after?

A I didn't think about it. And frankly, if you talk about these loans, there was nothing much to think about because essentially they were all paid off or soon to be paid off.

Q After Mr. Weisselberg pled guilty, did you do anything to review other work that he had performed for you outside of these financial statements?

A No, because I had no defaults. I had no problems.
Q Did you go through to see if Mr.-- any of the other documents Mr . Weisselberg had prepared at the company were accurate?

A I don't know. Perhaps somebody did. I really don't know.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, excuse me. Sorry.
Mr. Wallace, can you just clarify, are you asking whether President Trump himself did or the company?

I want to make sure the record is clear. There is a difference.
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MR. WALLACE: I am not going back to a question I asked and was answered.

MS. HABBA: Of course not. It is inconvenient.
THE COURT: I took it to mean Mr. Trump personally.

MS. HABBA: So did I.
A Personally? Personally no, but I think maybe the company did. I don't know.

Q Were you aware that Mr. Weisselberg was being compensated with an apartment that was paid for by the company?

A You mean previously?
Q Yes.
A Yeah. I mean, is there something wrong?
Q No, I am just asking if you knew.
A I mean IBM executives get apartments that are compensated by IBM. And lots of other companies do. But people that work for me can't be so compensated? I don't know, I don't think that's a big thing. Is it? I feel very badly about that whole situation.

Q My question is just whether Mr. Weisselberg was -you ever became aware that Mr . Weisselberg was not recording transactions in the books and records of the Trump Organization in an accurate manner -- inaccurate manner.

Let me rephrase that.
MR. KISE: Are you talking about prior to his
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guilty plea or after his guilty plea?
MR. WALLACE: I am asking if Mr. Trump ever became aware that Mr. Weisselberg was recording transactions inaccurately in the books and records of the Trump Organization.

MR. KISE: After his guilty plea I think we all did.

THE COURT: This has come up before, Chris. He is allowed to ask a question without a time limit, because then it means all times, at anytime.

MR. KISE: Okay, but it is --
THE WITNESS: He wasn't making it clear.
MR. KISE: The record is -- most of this record is very confusing, but that's particularly confusing, respectfully.

Q The question is, did you ever become aware that Mr. Weisselberg was recording transactions inaccurately in the books and records of the Trump Organization?

A Only through the trial.
Q And that's the tax fraud trial?
A Yeah.
Q You are not going to make any changes to your internal controls because of this lawsuit, are you?

MR. KISE: Objection. It is a question, number one, could call for privilege and number two subsequent
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remedial measures.
A It depends.
THE COURT: Overruled on both grounds.
A It depends on what the accounting firm -- we have a new accounting firm, and they are doing a very good job. And it depends. If they suggest that we make changes, we would do that. We asked them if they would like us to do that.

Q That accounting firm, is that WhitleyPenn that you are referring to?

A Yes.
Q Do you think that 1 anything needs to change at the Trump Organization based on the things you have learned from this lawsuit?

MR. KISE: Same objection, Your Honor.
A I don't think so.
THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. KISE: I am assuming that his question is
not calling for him to reveal any attorney/client conversations, just asking in general.

THE COURT: He asked him what he intended to do.
MR. KISE: Certain information he may have
received from his lawyers.
MS. HABBA: Yes. I would instruct --
MR. KISE: And subsequent remedial.
THE COURT: The subsequent remedial only applies
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in personal injury cases, tort cases.
Q My question is, regardless of who is giving the advice, is there a decision to make a change to the internal controls of the Trump Organization.

A It would depend on what the accounting firm would say, probably in conjunction with some lawyers that do this professionally. But the company has been a very, very successful company and it has had a great record.

Q Are you aware, as you sit here today, of any changes -- let me withdraw that question.

As you sit here today, are you aware of any planned changes to the financial reporting systems of the Trump Organization?

A I have not heard of any, but it is possible that they will recommend something. I have actually asked for a recommendation, if they feel it is necessary.

THE COURT: So now we are getting --
MR. WALLACE: I am going to ask this question
hopefully to clarify this.
Q You asked for a recommendation from accountants or attorneys?

MS. HABBA: Don't answer that question, sir.
Excuse me. Sorry. This is actually going to privilege.
And I am going to object and instruct my client, any
conversation where an attorney was also involved, you are
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not to respond.
MR. WALLACE: This is the foundational question as to whether he has had conversations with attorneys or accountants.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I have one other thing to add by way of objection. It may be overruled, but I think it is an important objection. And that is, I think the question is either unintentionally or intentionally mischaracterizing the evidence that is already in the record. They already had Mr. Hawthorn on the stand, and Mr. Hawthorn has testified about things that the company is doing.

So, I believe President Trump was here for that testimony. So again, we are not telling him anything he doesn't know. But you are not allowed to intentionally mislead or unintentionally mislead, mischaracterize the evidence in the record. The evidence in the record is such, if he already knows the answer, he doesn't have a good faith basis to ask the question.

THE COURT: I will totally disagree with that. In fact, you are generally supposed to ask questions that you do know the answer to.

MR. KISE: No. No. If you have a good faith basis to presume that the answer is something other than is already indicated in the record.
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MR. WALLACE: I would note then, I guess if Mr. Hawthorn is recommending anything, Mr. Hawthorn is not an attorney. But I'll ask the same question.

Q As you sit here today, are you aware of any changes that are going to be made to the financial reporting system at the Trump Organization?

A There will be recommendations made, and we will perhaps let you know what those recommendations are. It wouldn't bother me to let you know about it. But we have asked and we will see whether or not anything will come of it.

Q Are the recommendations you are referring to coming from accountants or lawyers?

A I would say accountants and I would also say lawyers working with accountants, yes.

Q Have you spoken to any accountants about potential changes to the financial reporting system at the Trump Organization?

A Through the company, not me personally, but through the company.

Q Who have you spoken to at the company?
A Eric Trump.
Q Do you have any plans to hire a Chief Compliance Officer at this time at the Trump Organization?

A It hasn't been recommended.
Q Have you hired a new Chief Financial Officer at the
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Trump Organization?
A I don't know. I would have to ask one of my sons, one of my two sons.

Q As you sit here today, you don't know who the Chief Financial Officer is?

A We have some very good people. I don't know if we are making that permanent or not or if we are going to go outside.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I have nothing further at this time for this witness.

THE COURT: Will there be any cross examination?
MR. KISE: No, Your Honor. Not at this time.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, the next witness we have is --

THE COURT: We will excuse this witness.
Thank you.
MR. WALLACE: I apologize, Your Honor.
(The witness stepped down from the witness
stand.)
THE COURT: We can keep going, at least for a while. Would you like to call your next witness?

MR. WALLACE: The only additional witness we have, Your Honor, is Ivanka Trump, who is appearing on Wednesday. So at this point I think that's it for us.

I don't know if Mr. Kise wanted to cover any of
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the housekeeping items.
MR. KISE: Just briefly, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. KISE: And I appreciate the communication over the weekend.

I just want to make sure, because the way we have operated, and I am going to take whatever direction you provide here, but the way we have operated through the course certainly of the trial are, in limine motions are either done here on the record or there is letters.

And so by way of example, an evidentiary motion wouldn't be something we would do by notice of motion and have a return date. The same would be true for any sort of mistrial motion or directed verdict motion. Mr. Robert is the CPLR expert, but certainly in my experience those wouldn't be done -- you wouldn't do a directed verdict motion by notice of motion, so that it would be heard a week later; or a mistrial motion --

THE COURT: Right.
MR. KISE: -- or evidentiary motion or those things. So I just want to comply fully with Your Honor's order. I want to make sure that I understand how we are supposed to manage that, to the extent that we have such motions.

Same would be true with respect to any appeal we
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filed, or any other thing we filed. I assume if -- I think it is fair to presume based on the colloquy we had on Friday, that should any of the matters that have come up that are the subject of the gag order, be the -- become the subject of an appeal, that we would be free to reference them on appeal. There wouldn't be any restriction on that.

THE COURT: Yes, true, agree.
MR. KISE: And we will take your direction. I am not putting you on the spot. You can give us your direction this evening. But \(I\) just want to -- it just seemed very foreign to me, and Mr. Robert can speak to this, that if we were to have a directed verdict motion or mistrial motion or evidentiary motion or anything to do with the subject matter of the gag order that would include that, those wouldn't be by way of notice of motion ordinarily.

I just don't know how we would do that, because we would be asking the Court to rule on something days hence that are more immediate.

Mr. Robert can speak to the CPLR.
MR. ROBERT: I think Mr. Kise said it succinctly and well. When and if the plaintiff rests their case, there will be certain motions I presume we will make. And at that time there would be oral motions. And some of the
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issues contained within your gag order of Friday may be items that need to be referenced in that application. We just wanted to make sure under your order, since there will be no written motion papers with those, that we are free to address those on the record with the court at that time. Because there is really no -- there is no other mechanism that I am aware of in New York to be able to bring that up to you.

MR. KISE: Or would you prefer us to submit a letter and only make the oral presentation brief? Whatever Your Honor's pleasure is there. But we want to understand what the limits are because the order as written, and I appreciate the clarification over the weekend, but as written it is restrictive.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

THE COURT: Yes, I'm restricting you not bringing up my confidential communications. You could do anything else. I don't think the gag order referred to anything else.

MR. KISE: But in terms of a motion, if we file a motion that is directed to that particular relief, like, for example, a motion for reconsideration, or anything like that, we have to reference the subject matter in whatever we are filing, whether we are viewing it on the record here or whether we are submitting it by letter, but by notice of motion; there's not a procedural mechanism to do that.

THE COURT: If we are just talking about motions, requests, decisions about my confidential communications, I'm prohibiting you from mentioning that. Okay, you could appeal it, but I am 1,000 percent convinced, and you don't have any right or reason to complain about my confidential communications. You could appeal that.

MR. KISE: But, your Honor, so let me just be clear. So if we have matters that you may not be aware of that relate to that subject matter, it doesn't relate to the, necessarily, the communications themselves, it's not the notes.

MS. HABBA: Not the notes, your Honor.
MR. KISE: But to the issues surrounding that procedure, are you saying that you have already decided
before we make the motion that you will deny it without having seen it? I just -- because I can't make them -- I just need to know, because ordinarily I would either include it in some sort of motion for your Honor so that at least you could see the basis for it and then make your determination; that's all.

THE COURT: I'll take you up on your kind offer to think about this, take this under advisement. Obviously I think these other things that \(I\) think you are referring to, that's a different story.

MR. KISE: Right. It would just be with respect to the implications. It's not referring anymore to -- I understand the record that you've established, you said that we've established, and I understand your order in that regard, but with respect to appeal, I understand you clearly on that, that we have the right to do that. And with respect to anything we file here, it would only be referencing those issues in the context of a motion directed at relief with specific arguments that are related to that, not to do with the content of the notes themselves, at all.

THE COURT: No, it's not even the content. I am prohibiting you, or I have already, from referencing my confidential communications. Sorry to keep repeating that phrase. But \(I\) feel it's important for the safety of my staff and the orderly progression of this case.

MR. KISE: Of course. But if we were, for example, to move for a mistrial based on the implications of that, we would need to at least reference the subject matter in order to file that motion. There's no way to file that motion without referencing the subject matter. We don't --

THE COURT: Don't file that motion, Chris.
MR. KISE: Well, again, so we can't file the motion that you haven't seen that couldn't be considered. You may not know what it is that is our position, because I don't think our position has -- I certainly haven't said it anywhere out loud, and I don't intend to.

THE COURT: Appreciate that.
MR. KISE: But I think there's something that warrants the Court's attention with respect to the implications of these issues. And you are free, then, to adjudicate them. But \(I\) would think we can't be respectfully precluded from raising the issue in the first instance; that would seem to put us in a position where we are not entitled to advance our client's due process rights.

If there is something that we think implicates the proceeding, we have to at least put it in the record for the Court to consider. And if you consider it and reject it, that's fine. But you can't, respectfully, reject it before you've seen it. Because you haven't heard it from me either on the record or otherwise.

THE COURT: I'm, in some ways, at a disadvantage in this discussion, because \(I\) don't know what you are going to bring up.

MR. KISE: Correct.
THE COURT: I told you what not to bring up. Anything else you want to bring up.

MR. ROBERT: For clarification purposes, then, is it the direction and order of this Court that if we were to move for a mistrial based on the grounds that are subject to the gag order, that we are directed not to make such a motion.

THE COURT: Can you repeat that.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: Yes. I'm directing you not to make such a motion because I'm entitled to do what you're referring to, the only subject matter of the gag order.

MR. KISE: But that --
THE COURT: I'm going to protect my staff.
MS. HABBA: Your Honor --
MR. KISE: I understand that, your Honor, but that would -- the fact that it is taking place is not necessarily the subject of the motion.

MS. HABBA: Okay. I'll be more clear, if I may. I think they are being extremely careful, and it's causing
confusion, your Honor, so don't sanction me. I'm doing this in the most delicate way possible.

I personally -- we know that we, obviously, are going to be moving for a mistrial. There's no, you know, that is part of the plan. We do not want to put anyone at risk, which is what they are trying to get at.

I -- outside in the way I read your order, which is different than they do, I think that you are specifically speaking about the contents of your notes being confidential, and that is what we are not to talk about; is that correct?

THE COURT: Not just the contents of the notes, which I assume you don't know, but the fact that they exist.

MS. HABBA: Okay.
THE COURT: That's my business, not yours.
MS. HABBA: So outside of the notes, your Honor, other issues have come to our attention. Are we allowed to address anything outside of the notes? Because they haven't been addressed with the Court, and I think that's the clearest way to ask your Honor, what we are asking, which is we need to have an opportunity to be heard on those things that have not been yet heard.

THE COURT: Give me a second to have a confidential communication.

MS. HABBA: That's fine, your Honor. That's fine.
(Laughing.)
THE COURT: Okay. How's this: I think this is fair, and I understand your concerns. You can make that motion, Ms. Habba.

MS. HABBA: Thank you.
THE COURT: And I'm sorry I yelled at you before.
MS. HABBA: We will do it in a very delicate manner.

THE COURT: Okay. But it has to be in writing. I don't want to hear it in front of hundreds of people --

MS. HABBA: All right.
THE COURT: -- at this point. Later on we could see, but yeah, you could make that motion.

MS. HABBA: Thank you.
MR. KISE: So we should submit that just by letter then, because notice of motion for a mistrial doesn't function that way.

THE COURT: Yeah. Do it by order to show cause, please. You could make it as quick as you can.

MR. KISE: We could do that.
MS. HABBA: That's great.
MR. KISE: Mr. Robert --
MR. ROBERT: I've never seen an application for a mistrial done by order to show cause on paper like that, but as long as the order to show cause is signed by the Court, I
don't see a problem with it.
THE COURT: I'm not sure that that -- I guess that --
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Submit an OS -- proposed OSC. It's not an order until the judge signs it. Mr. Robert knows that. Submit a proposed OSC to me and I'll get right to it and make a quick return.

MS. HABBA: Okay.
MR. KISE: And we can include in there the rationale for the Court.

THE COURT: Yes.
MS. HABBA: Thank you.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: See. I knew it would be a lovefest.
MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: I've used that line before, but some of you haven't heard it yet.

Anything the plaintiff wants to say?
MR. WALLACE: I guess it would be helpful for the people to clarify the rest of the week. We have Ivanka Trump testifying on Tuesday -- Wednesday after the Tuesday holiday. I imagine it could be 3:00 or it could be the full day, but \(I\) imagine that means the defendants are calling
witnesses on Thursday and Friday, and so it would be helpful --

MR. KISE: We are off Friday.
THE COURT: We are off Friday.
MR. WALLACE: May I say, witnesses will be called next by the defendants starting on Thursday, and it would be helpful to know what the order would be. I understand it may be Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump, but I don't know if they are going Thursday or --

MR. KISE: So we had held -- again, we'll do -I'll take the Court's direction. So we had held Thursday, as a spillover day, in the event that President Trump needed to come back, as agreed, or in the event it's possible that Ivanka Trump was hold over. We are certainly going to ask her questions, to the extent we have questions. We are trying to get that done now so as to minimize the interference in her life to not have to come back again. And then thereafter we would likely be making certain motions on Thursday.

So we had planned to -- because of that, to ask the Court that we would just -- to keep this organized, to begin our case in chief on Monday, that way we are not starting a case with an hour left on Thursday or two hours left on Thursday, to just begin our case in chief on Monday.

We do have -- this may -- it certainly was pleasant
news for me. We do have a schedule now that has us completing our case, right now, by December 15 th.

THE COURT: A week early.
MR. KISE: A week early. I don't want to hold to that, because nothing ever gets done on time here, but I'm offering that because we could still stay in the Court's parameters, if not sooner, even if we start on Monday. So that -- we can start on Thursday, but then that would be a different witness. That's likely to be a witness different than Don Jr. or Eric, and that's what I meant in my communications with Mr. Wallace over the weekend, which we had been communicating about witness order.

If we are going to start on Thursday, particularly Thursday afternoon, we are gonna to have to see availability and we could tell them. It would be certainly among the handful of witnesses that we have at the top, and I could give more clarity on that this evening, but \(I\) would just ask the Court that for efficiency purposes, and given this is a long trial, that we just start -- so we don't run into the train wreck of spillover and motions going on, that we just start on Monday.

THE COURT: You know, you said in a certain context, "nothing ever finishes on time", or something. I've been meaning to thank and congratulate all the lawyers for the fact that we managed to start this trial on

October 2nd, come hell or high water, written in stone, and you did a Herculean job to get this ready. I hope you think, although you are rushed, although you were pressed, you had enough time and there was no deprivation of due process, shall we say.

And same thing for the plaintiff's attorneys. It was an amazing job to get as far as we've gotten in the time we have.

All right. Let's try to figure out exactly what we are going to do.

MR. WALLACE: I -- your Honor, I would just ask Chris, if you could, and we won't hold you to it, but give us that lineup that gets you to the finish line of your case by December 15th.

MR. KISE: I can.
MR. WALLACE: We would appreciate that. I don't know if we are going to have a rebuttal case. I don't know what is going to go on, so do we finish by December 22nd, we do, we will, but --

MR. KISE: It would be on them, not me.
MR. WALLACE: If they could just provide us with the order of witnesses that they think gets --

MR. KISE: We could provide a tentative order, but, again, with all your case as well, witnesses change and schedules change. We could provide a tentative order that
would get us to that place, and even starting on the Monday.
THE COURT: Let's be very exact here. With basically through today, once we finish this discussion, all right, what's going to happen Wednesday morning?

MR. WALLACE: Wednesday morning the People will call Ivanka Trump.

THE COURT: Right. How long -- I'm asking both sides. How long will her total testimony, at this point, take? A day? A day and a half? Less than a day?

MR. WALLACE: Two to three in the afternoon we would expect to be completed with her examination, your Honor.

MR. KISE: If that's the case, then we will almost certainly go to at least through the morning on Thursday, on the next day.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. KISE: At least we'll have an hour plus another two hours in the morning. So we would -- depending on what they ask it may go longer than that; it may not. But we'll at least be moving well into Thursday, as it stand now based on their representation. And then we would have motions in the afternoon.

THE COURT: And how long do you think the motions will take?

MR. KISE: That could take --

THE COURT: That could take a long time?
MR. KISE: That could take the balance of the afternoon, yes.

THE COURT: Plaintiff, does that sound reasonable to you?

MR. WALLACE: I'm having a hard time imagining meritorious motions that would require that much time to discuss.

MR. KISE: Of course.
MR. WALLACE: But that's fine, I think, from our perspective.

THE COURT: The question isn't whether they are meritorious. The question is how long they'll take.

MR. WALLACE: I know.
THE COURT: Mr. Kise, I think your schedule makes sense.

MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Make your motions Thursday after Ivanka is finished, and you'll be ready to go the following Monday.

MR. KISE: Yes, your Honor. We'll provide them maybe as soon as this evening. In fact, probably this evening, that tentative schedule.

THE COURT: We would like a copy of that list, too.
MR. KISE: Okay, yes. We could provide that to the
court, your Honor. Again, not holding us to it, because things change and witness's schedules come and go. THE COURT: Of course.

MR. KISE: But we could at least provide something. And I would like to not file that on the record. We could provide it to the Court, but not have that out there, because this --

THE COURT: Let's assume that, but possibly revisit. I'm still waiting for you to say that your motion or motions on Thursday might be granted. You might not have to put on a defense.

MR. KISE: Well, I certainly hope so.
MS. HABBA: So do I, your Honor.
MR. KISE: I think there is a basis for it, and we would all be happier for it. Almost all of us.

MS. HABBA: I could make my application now for you to dismiss this entire case.

THE COURT: Save it for Thursday.
MR. KISE: My family certainly would be happy. I could assure you of that.

MR. WALLACE: Procedurally, we haven't rested, so they can't make the motion for a directed verdict yet.

THE COURT: Everybody have a great Election Day and don't forget to vote.

MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
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A Idid.
2 Q And did you receive a bachelor of arts in real estate and finance?

A Idid.
5 Q Do you have any postcollege formal education?
6 A I had some licensures in the real estate business, a salesperson's license. And at one point a gaming license in the state of Nevada, or in the state of New Jersey, sorry.

\section*{temperamental. You have to speak right into them loudly. I} think they probably heard everything except maybe that last answer.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Great. Please continue.
Q At some point in time did you become an executive vice president at the Trump Organization?
A Idid.
Q And did you continue working for The Trump Organization until approximately January 2017?
A That's correct, yes.
Q From let's say until 2011, until the time you left, what were your responsibilities at the Trump Organization?
A Well, they varied and evolved as my experience grew. When I first started in the company I was just shortly out of college. I had worked as, you had mentioned, for Forest City
Ratner, another developer, for a year or so, um, helping them on construction related projects, specifically a project in Yonkers.

When I joined our family business, I initially started -- probably spent most of my time under Andy Weiss, who was, then, our head of development and construction, but I moved around within the organization to cut my teeth on various elements of the business.

So over time, as I had more experience under my belt
elevated experience for the guests.

Q Do you know what the term "transitional asset" means in the real estate world?

A Um, did he have -- I can guess what it would mean, but we were -- it was transitioning from what it was under its prior ownership owned by Marriott to what our vision was for the property.

Q I was asking more generally, not necessarily specific to Doral.

Have you heard the term transitional asset in your experience?

A Yes, I have.
Q What do you understand that to be?
A What I just described we did for Doral.
Q All right.
Are you familiar with GAAP, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?
A I'm generally familiar with GAAP, yes.
Q What is your understanding of GAAP?
A You had asked me with that when we had met a little
over a year and a half ago. What I told you then is true now, that I hadn't thought about GAAP as much since college. So, um, it's -- my understanding is very general. I'm not an accountant, but I recall being exposed to this idea when I was in university.
Q Okay.
Other than any accounting classes you may have taken back in university, had you had experience with GAAP in your professional career?

A You had showed me one document that referenced GAAP, GAAP principles, but no, not that I can recall beyond that.

Q Have you performed any work for The Trump Organization since \(2017 ?\)

A I don't believe so, no.
Q Between January 2017 and the present, did you have any financial interest in the old post office in Washington, D.C.?

A Between when?
Q January 2017 and the present?
A Yes.
Q Could you please describe that financial interest?
A I had a profit participation in the project.
Q What do you mean by "participation in the project"?
A What I just said. I had a profit participation in the project in the event of a sale, all of which was disclosed when I entered government.
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THE COURT: Hold on. I think the trick may be to move the microphone closer to you, because I know you can't get that close to the table.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I wonder if I could make the cord longer. Thank you.

THE COURT: And obviously keep your voice up.
THE WITNESS: Let me know if it's better.
THE COURT: Please continue.
Q Thank you, your Honor.
Between January 2017 and present, have you had any financial interest in TTT Consulting?

A TTT? Um --
MR. KISE: Objection. Relevance.
MR. SOLOMON: Payments by the defendant
organization can go to show witness bias, your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled.
A Yeah, I had an interest in that consulting agreement, but I don't think that there have been any recent payments from it.

Q Do you recall any payments between January 2017 and the present as a result of your interest in TTT Consulting?

A I recall that when I went into government, in
consultation, at the suggestion of the Office of Government Ethics, I had to resign from many assets and entities, put others into trust, and as it pertained to that one, convert it,
um, from a variable stream of income, based on how the assets performed, to a fixed income, which I did at their suggestion. And, you know, that's the last I know.

Q Other than -- excuse me, between January 2017 and the present, have you received any other payment from The Trump Organization, other than what you've described with respect to the Old Post Office and TTT Consulting?

A No, I don't believe so.
Q I would like to talk about the Trump Organization's relationship with Deutsche Bank. Are you familiar with Deutsche Bank?

A I am, yes.
Q Prior to 2011, was Deutsche Bank a lender on any of the Trump Organization's projects?
A Yes. I believe that they had done the initial construction loan on Trump Chicago, that that predated my involvement of the company.

Q So that's the property, the Trump International Hotel and Towers in Chicago?

A Correct, yes.
Q And was that relationship through the Commercial Real Estate Group at Deutsche Bank?

A You identified for me, um, a year ago when we met that it was.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I just want to put on the
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record, as we do with other witnesses, a standing objection to these questions that predate the statute of limitations.

THE COURT: Understand. Overruled.
Q Okay.
When we met in August of 2022 for your testimonial session --
A Yes.
Q - I had shown you some documents. Do you recall that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Based upon those documents, did you understand that the relationship that The Trump Organization had prior to 2011 with
Deutsche Bank was through the Commercial Real Estate Group?
A I did, yes.
Q Okay.
And were those loans secured by a mortgage on the underlying real property in Chicago?

A I believe so, yes.
Q To your knowledge, was there any guaranty provided on those loans for the Chicago property?

A I don't know.
Q Are you aware of any?
A I'm not sure.
Q You are not sure if you are aware of any?
A No, I'm not sure if there was -- I was answering your question. I'm not sure if there was a guaranty that predated my
involvement in the company, those loans.
Q I understand. As you sit here today, do you have any
knowledge about any guaranty on the Chicago loan prior to 2011?
A No, I have no knowledge.
Q Did there come a time that you were introduced to
someone in the Private Wealth Management Group at Deutsche Bank?
A Yes.
Q Was that Rosemary Vrablic?
A It was, yes.
Q Who made that introduction?
A My husband, Jared.
Q When was that?
A Roughly 2010 or 2011.
Q Was that during the time that the Trump Organization was seeking financing for the Doral loan?

A It was, yes.
Q At that time, what benefit, if any, did you understand that the Private Wealth Management Group could provide to the Trump Organization as compared to its existing relationship with the Commercial Real Estate Group?
A I don't think I ever made that comparison. I didn't have an existing relationship with the Commercial Real Estate Group, and I had heard that Rosemary was a very formidable banker with a lot of experience and a great person to have a relationship with, and I was excited to show her an opportunity
I. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct (Mr. Solomon)
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that we were very passionate about, um, to explore working together on the project.

Q Did you understand that the Private Wealth Management Group could provide different loan terms than the Commercial Real Estate Group?

A I don't know that I thought about that. This was the
first time I had been introduced with Rosemary so, I showed her
the project. I didn't make assumptions about what her terms
would be, but when she sent us her proposal we obviously decided to proceed with her moving forward.
Q Do you recall if her proposal was any different than any proposal you had received from the Commercial Real Estate Group?

A I'm not sure that we did receive a proposal from the Commercial Real Estate Group. You showed me a document, roughly a year and a half ago, that showed a proposal that I don't know if it was ever actually sent to us at the company. I was not familiar with it.
Q Okay.
Let's talk about Doral specifically. You mentioned about the bankruptcy. So let's go through that, if we can, just briefly.

A Sure.
Q Did there come a time that the Trump Organization was
selected as the stalking horse bidder for the Doral property?
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under current ownership. Um, and to rebrand it, to reflag it. It was currently being run by Marriott under a management contract.

We took over the management of the hotel operations, and our goal was to reposition it as a luxury golf resort in south Florida.

Q In connection with potential financing, did you contact Andy Beal of Beal Bank?

A Yes, I recall that.
MR. SOLOMON: If I could hand up PX-1266.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
A Thank you.
Q You've been handed, and also up on the screen, is what's been marked as PX-1266 for identification. If you look at the bottom e-mail that's from you to Andy Beal. Do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q And further up the chain you forward it to
Mr. Weisselberg, Mr. Glick, Mr. Orowitz, and Mr. Greenblatt. Do you see that?
A Ido, yes.
Q Do you recognize this e-mail?
A I recall you having showed it to me a year ago, yes.
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r}
\hline I. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct (Mr. Solomon) & Page 3674 & I. T \\
1 & MR. SOLOMON: We offer PX-1266 in evidence. & 1 \\
2 & MR. KISE: Objection. & 2 \\
3 & MR. ROBERT: Objection. Statute of limitations. & 3 \\
4 & THE COURT: Overruled. It's in. & 4 \\
5 & Q \(\quad\) If you look at the last sentence in the first paragraph & 5 \\
6 & of your e-mail, "My father will also send you his most recent & 6 \\
7 & financial statement by hard mail." Do you see that? & 7 \\
8 & A & I do, yes. \\
9 & Q \(\quad\) Was that a request made by Mr. Beal for the financial & 9 \\
10 & statement, or were you volunteering it? & 10 \\
11 & A I have no recollection of this e-mail beyond you having & 11 \\
12 & showed it to me last year, so I'm not sure. & 12 \\
13 & Q Putting to one side the e-mail, do you recall that & 13 \\
14 & Mr. Beal actually requested a copy of Donald Trump's financial & 14 \\
15 & statement, or did you volunteer it? & 15 \\
16 & A \(\quad\) I don't recall. & 16 \\
17 & Q \(\quad\) Do you recall that Beal Bank, in connection with any & 17 \\
18 & potential financing, had wanted an equity interest in Doral? & 18 \\
19 & A I don't remember that, no. I recall -- and these were & 19 \\
20 & very brief conversations. We never reached a term sheet stage. & 20 \\
21 & We just generally showed them the opportunity, but I think as we & 21 \\
22 & discussed last year, two names that came to mind; one was Beal & 22 \\
23 & Bank. And we knew Andy Beal quite well. And another was & 23 \\
24 & Inbursa. My recollection is Inbursa had suggested being a & 24 \\
25 & partner with us in Doral, not Beal Bank, but I may be mistaken. & 25
\end{tabular}
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    There may have been -- it may have been the opposite way.
    Q Let's leave Inbursa off to the side for a moment --
    A Sure.
    Q -- and stay focused on Beal Bank.
        Are you aware of any relation -- any relationship
    between Beal Bank and CSG Investments?
    A CSG Investments? Um, that -- that acronym sounds
    familiar, but I don't recall what it is.
    Q Let me show you a document it's already in evidence, so
    I have a copy to hand up to the witness, PX-3232?
            (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
        screen.)
            (The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
            THE WITNESS: Thank you.

Q And this is an e-mail from Steve Harvey, to you, dated November 15th, 2011.

A Mm-hmm.
Q Did you receive this e-mail in or about November of 2011?

A I don't recall this e-mail, no.
Q Do you have any reason to believe you did not receive it?

A No, I don't.
MR. SOLOMON: We offer it in evidence, your Honor. MR. KISE: Objection.

MR. SOLOMON: Im sorry, it's already in evidence.
MR. KISE: I think it's already in evidence, but I just want to note, as we are going down this path, relevance again.

None of this relates to any of the claims actually at issue. There are no loans prior to 2014 that are the subject matter. This is a non-party witness. I'm not sure of the purpose of going through all of these.

What is going to be, I'm sure, a series of documents between 2011 and 2014 that predates the statute of limitations, it can't possibly have any bearing on any claim actually at issue.

THE COURT: I disagree. It remains in evidence. MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, your Honor.
Q If you take a look at Mr. Harvey's cover e-mail, he states that it is a redraft of the term sheet based on our conversation today. Do you see that?
A Ido, yes.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt that you had a conversation with him on or about November 15th, 2011 ?

A No, I don't.
Q If you look at the second page of this exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q The interest rate is LIBOR plus 5.5 percent. Do you
ump - by Plaintiff - Direct (Mr. Solomon)
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see that?
A Ido, yes.
Q Do you recall if that was the rate you had discussed with Mr. Harvey?

A No, I don't recall.
Q Do you have any reason to believe this term sheet does not accurately reflect your conversation with Mr. Harvey?

A Well, I don't recall my conversation with Mr. Harvey, so I don't know one way or the other. I have no reason to believe it doesn't reflect it.

Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: I'm going to hand to the witness PX-3247, which is already in evidence.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q This is an e-mail chain between you and Ronnie Levine and Ralph Herzka. Do you see that?

A No. I think --
Q I think I may have a different exhibit. MR. SOLOMON: I'm on the wrong one.
Q You have the right one, ma'am. This is an e-mail between you, at the bottom, and Enrique E. Morelos Zaragoza
25 Borbolla. I apologize if I mangled the pronunciation.

Is this the potential transaction you were discussing with Inbursa?
A Yes. This is with Inbursa.
Q If you look at the bottom of the first page, second
paragraph, "Attached for your review is a markup of your term sheet."

Do you see that?
A Ido, yes.
Q And if you turn, beginning on the third page --
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q -- there appears to be a markup of an Inbursa term sheet?

A Yes.
Q Is that your markup of his term sheet as reflected in the cover e-mail?

A I don't recall, sitting here today, any of these terms from 2011. We didn't move forward with the deal with them, so I have no reason to believe it's not. But I don't remember having these conversations other than very high level.

Q If you look on the third page of the exhibit, which is the first page of the term sheet, "Indicative Rates, one month LIBOR plus 550 basis points."

Do you see that?
A Which page were you on?
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\(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) The third page of the exhibit, page three of 14 ?
2 A Okay.
3 Q Under "Indicative Rates."
4 A Yes.
5 Q It's highlighted on the screen. That is something I did not strike; correct?

A It's not struck here, no.
THE COURT: Let me just suggest. It's often easier to see it on the screen because they highlight what they want you to look at.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. I appreciate it.
THE COURT: But either way. What were you -nothing. Go ahead.
Q At this time, were you also communicating with Meridian Capital as a potential broker for the financing of the Doral acquisition?

A I recall you having asked me about Meridian when we met, and I had forgotten that we had conversations with them. I don't believe we had ever engaged them. I don't recall having done that. But it wasn't uncommon for us to ask people in the market what their thoughts were as we were looking at things. But I don't recall that we actually formerly engaged them.

Q But you had conversations with them; correct?
A Yeah, you reminded me of that, yes.
Q Okay.

MR. SOLOMON: If we could hand to the witness PX-3243 which is already in evidence.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q And I was on the wrong page earlier, now on the right
page. This is an e-mail chain between you and Ronnie Levine and
Ralph Herzka; do you see that?
A I do, yeah.
Q They were with Meridian Capital or were with Meridian Capital at that time; correct?
A I hadn't remembered Ronnie Levine, but you had reminded me that he worked at Meridian. Ralph I remember.
Q Do you see the first sentence in your e-mail on the very top from November 1st, 2011? You state, "I actually spoke to Tom Harrison at Colony today." And then it goes on. Do you see that?
A I do, yes.
Q Do you recall speaking with anyone at Colony in potential financing for Doral?
A There was a point in time when Colony was going to be our equity partner in the project, not on the debt side, but as our partner in -- actually, you know what, that was OPO, so this was -- I don't recall, because there was also Tom Harrison, but I don't recall having spoken to him about Doral. But I do

Page 3681
recall having spoken to him about the Old Post Office, and we actually had explored it collectively for some time, so. They were happening kind of around the same time, so apologies for the confusion. But no, I didn't remember speaking to him about Doral.

\section*{Q Okay.}

Second sentence reads, "He said that we wouldn't want to do a deal with them on the debt side as they are too expensive for what we'd be looking for (low teens.)"

A \(\mathbf{M m}-\mathrm{hmm}\).
Q Do you have any reason to believe that you reported the conversation inaccurately to Mr. Levine and Mr. Herzka? A No.

MR. SOLOMON: Im going to hand up PX-1289, which is not in evidence, so it's marked for identification.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Continued on the next page.)
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Q This is an e-mail from Ronny Levine to you; do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q November 7, 2011, correct?
A That's what it says, yes.
Q And it attaches a finance memo that, according to this e-mail, you discussed with him last week?

A It appears that way, yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer PX 1829 in evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection, statute of limitations, relevance. Is this going to be connected up at some point to claims that are at issue?

THE COURT: We have been battling, shall I say, over relevance in terms of the statute of limitations, for example. First of all, this is not going to be a comprehensive list:

There is no jury, so there is no prejudice.
This apparently will be the plaintiff's last witness on their case-in-chief, right?

MR. SOLOMON: I believe that to be true, Your
Honor, but depending on what happens, we reserve the right.

THE COURT: Of course.
And these documents, these discussions, I would
. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Solomon)
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just like to get the whole picture, not just blind myself.
I am very aware of the Appellate Division's statute of limitations cutoff.

And finally, I think that a lot of this is
arguably, possibly, relevant to the injunctive relief that the plaintiff is requesting.

So that's my response to both relevance and statute of limitations. So overruled.

That was a request to put this in evidence.
MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I almost forgot after all of the talking I did.

Granted, it is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1829 by the Court.)
\(Q\) If you could turn to the financing memo?
A Yes.
Q Mr. Levine starts by telling you that they have spoken with a wide variety of lenders regarding acquisition and renovation, financing for the Doral Resort and Spa ; do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q At the end of the first paragraph he goes on to analyze certain information from a recent Deutsche Bank

\section*{securitization; do you see that?}

A I do, yes.
Q And on the next page, based upon the information they have, towards the end of the carry-over paragraph, he notes that a 9 percent debt yield is "an aggressive assumption and the lowest indicated of the deals above." Do you see that?

A Ido, yes.
Q Do you recall in or about November of 2011 having an understanding that a 9 percent debt yield is an aggressive assumption with respect to potential Doral financing?

A No.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt, as stated by Mr. Levine, that a 9 percent debt yield was an aggressive assumption at that time?

A I think it would be hard for me to answer that question sitting here today, all of these many years removed. But just looking at the document, it appears that the example he is using is a mezzanine position. This was debt not mezz, so I am not sure if it is -- this is an apples to apple comparison. But it says what it says. I don't remember it and I don't believe we used them to secure financing.

Q I understand you didn't use them to secure financing. Let's go back though. It is the second paragraph on the first page that speaks about mezz financing, correct?

A The 92 million mezzanine position on the standard
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hotel, yes.
Q Which was sold for 80 cents on the dollar, correct?
A That's what it says here, yes.
Q Is it your understanding that the securitized portion that is reflected in the chart above was also mezzanine financing?

A I don't have an understanding beyond what I am seeing here today.

Q Well, you testified that the 9 percent debt yield related to mezz financing, and I am trying to understand where you drew that from?

A I am just reading the document realtime, so I told you that I hadn't recalled seeing it prior.

Q So you don't know then if the securitized portion that is reflected in the chart on the first page is debt or mezzanine financing, do you?

A No, I don't.
Q Did there come a time that you and Donald Trump spoke with Richard Byrne, the CEO of Deutsche Bank Securities, about potentially providing a loan to purchase and renovate Doral?

A I recall you reminding me of that discussion, but I -- I didn't recall it prior.

Q Do you recall it now?
A No. I recall you showed me, I think, a letter from my father to Mr. Byrne. I don't know. You just showed me a
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document the last time we were together.
Q I am going to show you what has been marked and
already entered into evidence as PX 1433.
A Thank you.
(Handing)
Q Is that the letter you were referring to, ma'am?
A Yes, I believe I was copied on it.
Q Okay. If you look at the first sentence there is a
reference to Donald J. Trump's recently completed financial statement. Do you see that?

A Ido, yes.
Q And you are cced on this letter, right?
A I see that, yes.
Q Any reason to believe you did not receive this in or about November -- on or about November 15, 2011?

A No.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to ask to mark as PX
1067 for identification.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q This is an e-mail from you to Richard Byrne the next day, November 16, 2011; do you see that?

A Ido, yes.
Q Does that refresh your recollection that you had
conversations with Mr. Byrne about potentially financing Doral?

A I remembered it when you brought it to my attention. But I think they were very high level discussions and the conversations didn't go anywhere. So, I don't remember the details of it now.

Q Okay. But you did send this e-mail to Mr. Byrne on or about November 16, 2011, correct?

A It appears that way, yes.
MR. SOLOMON: We offer this in evidence.
MR. KISE: Objection, statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled on both grounds.
Now I understand, particularly from Mr. Robert, that you feel that you have to object every time there is a document introduced --

MR. KISE: We do.
THE COURT: - on statute of limitations ground. Also on relevance?

MR. KISE: Since I am going to talk about statute of limitations, I might as well just mention relevance while we are at it.

I hope that the Court when we start our case though, I will say this, next week, offers us this extraordinary latitude in the introduction of evidence. I want to put that on the record. Because this is, respectfully, an extraordinary latitude on bringing in
things from years ago.
THE COURT: What is our favorite saying: Sauce
for the goose is sauce for the gander?
Okay.
Q Do you recall that before you were dealing with Rosemary Vrablic at Deutsche Bank that the commercial real estate group had proposed certain terms for the financing of the purchase of Doral?

A I didn't recall that proposal, no.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to mark as PX 369 for identification.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q This is a Deutsche Bank document from November 21, 2011. If you look at page five, there is a summary of indicative terms; do you see that?
A Okay.
Q It identifies the borrowers; do you see that?
A Ido, yes.
Q Guaranties. The guaranties will be by the company and each of its direct and indirect subsidiaries; do you see that?

A Ido.
Q There is no personal guaranty indicated there, is there?
ump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Solomon)
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A I don't see one.
Q If you look at "facility," do you understand facility to be the loan?

A Ido, yes.
Q The amount, loan amount is 130 million correct?
A I see that.
Q And the spread is \(L\) plus 800 bps; do you understand that to be LIBOR plus 800 basis points?

A Ido.
Q Does this refresh your recollection that the commercial real estate group initially proposed terms that were substantially different from those that were eventually accepted by the Trump Organization through the private wealth management group?

A No, I don't, I don't recall having seen this.
Q I understand you don't recall having seen this. My question was a little bit different. Does this refresh your recollection that the commercial real estate group had proposed terms that were different than those ultimately agreed to by the Trump Organization through the private wealth management group?

A No.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to ask to mark PX 315 for identification.
(Handing)

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q This is a cover e-mail from you to Rosemary Vrablic and Dominic Scalzi with a cc to Jared Kushner, December 6, 2011. Do you see that?

A Ido, yes.
Q And it attaches what you described as: Our investment memo, as well as basic information on our golf and hotel portfolios. Do you see that?

A Ido, yes.
Q Did you send this to Ms. Vrablic and Mr. Scalzi on or about December 6, 2011?

A I don't recall it, but I have no reason to believe I didn't.

MR. SOLOMON: We offer this in evidence, Your
Honor.
MR. KISE: Objection, statute of limitations and
relevance.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q This e-mails refers to a meeting the next day involving you, Ms. Vrablic and Donald Trump; do you see that? A Ido, yes.
Q Did you, in fact, meet with Ms. Vrablic and Mr. Scalzi the next day?

A I don't recall this particular meeting, but over the years I did meet with both Rosemary and Dominic periodically.

Q But you have no specific recollection of meeting to discuss the investment memo or the basic information on your golf and hotel portfolios?

A Not that specific meeting, but I recall more generally us meeting to discuss, whether it was in-person or telephonically, Doral.

Q Did there come a time that Ms. Vrablic provided a summary of potential loan terms for the Doral loan?

A Can you repeat the question?
Q Sure.
A Did there come a time when --
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I have a read back?

THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
A Yes.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to ask to mark for identification PX 319.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q PX 319 is an e-mail from Ms. Vrablic to you: Ivanka, as we discussed, please find attached our summary terms for the proposed acquisition for the Doral resort. Do you see that?

A Ido, yes.

Q Did you receive this on or about December 15, 2011?
A I recall right around that time having received high level terms. But I don't recall this particular -- this particular note and e-mail. But around that time we would have received -- we would have received what their proposal was, yes.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer this in evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection, statute of limitations, relevance and the attachments are hearsay. For what purpose is that page being offered; it is purely hearsay?

THE COURT: Is it more than just the first page?
MS. HABBA: The document we have is three pages, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you asking to introduce more than the page that is on the screen here?

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, the entire exhibit, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And what is the relevance of the second and third page?

MR. SOLOMON: The relevance are the terms that were proposed by Deutsche Bank in connection with the potential loan on Doral.

MR. KISE: The document itself is hearsay. Is he proposing notice as the exception that it comes in?
I. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Solomon)
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THE COURT: I assume notice.
MR. SOLOMON: Notice and the witness's understanding of what the potential terms were with respect to the proposal from Deutsche Bank.

MR. KISE: But not for the fact of the terms themselves. This witness hasn't done anything to identify that these, in fact, were the terms that were received.

MR. SOLOMON: With respect, the witmess said she received term sheets from Deutsche Bank, this is one of the term sheets. And she stated she has reason to believe that she did not receive this particular one.

THE COURT: Also it is questionable or problematic whether an offer is being introduced to prove the truth of its contents. But anyway, it is in at least for notice.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was marked for evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 319 by the Court.)

THE COURT: That's not necessarily the only reason. But if you want to push, go ahead.
Q Do you see on the page two of three there is pricing, renovation period: LIBOR plus 2.25 percent over prime. And then during the amortization period: LIBOR plus 2 percent or the prime rate minus .25 percent. Do you see that?

A I see that, yes.
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Q Do you recall that Deutsche Bank had proposed different interest rates for the renovation period and the amortization period?

A I do, yes.
Q Do you have an understanding as to why those pricings are different during the two periods?

A Well, I believe that once we had invested a tremendous amount of capital into the asset, the pricing adjusted accordingly. I don't believe that this is the financial proposal though.

\section*{Q I did not represent that it was.}

A Because these terms are not consistent with my recollection.

Q But there was a negotiation back and forth with Deutsche Bank prior to signing loan agreements, correct?

A There was, yes.
Q Okay. Do you see there was a guarantor identified here? Donald J. Trump?

A I see that, yes.
Q Do you see that the guaranty is described on this sheet as a full and unconditional guaranty of (i) principal and interest due under the facility; and (ii) operating expenses of the resort? Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q Did you understand that at some point during the
negotiations Deutsche Bank had wanted a guaranty from Donald J. Trump of the principal and interest and operating expenses?

A Yes.
Q If you look under covenants, and I direct your attention to the bottom (ii), at the very bottom: Guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of 3 billion including any value related to the guarantor's brand value. Do you see that?

A I see that, yes.
Q Okay. Do you recall in the negotiations between the Trump Organization and Deutsche Bank discussions about a minimum net worth requirement for the guarantor?

A No, I don't. You had mentioned this to me. I think you had shown me one of the term sheets, I am not sure this one, but one of the term sheets that had circulated when we last met and identified that for me. But I didn't independently recall it.

Q And this doesn't refresh your recollection that a minimum net worth requirement for the guarantor was one of the terms proposed by Deutsche Bank?

A No, it doesn't.
Q You thought this was a great proposal, didn't you?
A I don't recall this proposal.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to ask to mark PX 1251
for identification.
(Handing)

Q Keeping in mind, PX 319 was sent to you on December 15, 2011 at 11:13 a.m., in looking at this exhibit, PX1251, the second page, which is the first e-mail, is a copy of that e-mail from Ms. Vrablic, correct?

A If you stipulate that it is.
Q I am asking you, same date and time?
A It appears to be, yes.
Q Yes. And you forward that e-mail on, including the term sheet, to Mr. Weisselberg, Mr. Greenblatt and Mr. Orowitz; do you see that?

A I see that, yes.
Q And you state: "It doesn't get better than this.
Let's discuss ASAP."
Do you see that?
A I do, yes.
Q Does that refresh your recollection that you thought that was a good proposal for the Trump Organization?

A No.
Q Do you have any reason to believe you did not write that on or about December 15, 2011?

A No.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer this in evidence.

MS. HABBA: I am going to state an objection on the record on this. If you actually look at the e-mail,
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it was never sent. Jason Greenblatt there is no "to."
MR. SOLOMON: The bottom of the first page, Ivanka Trump, and then carries over to Allen Weisselberg, Jason Greenblatt and David Orowitz.

MS. HABBA: Do you see the top of the first page, at least on the exhibit I have, there is no "to."

MR. KISE: Your Honor, also in addition to my initial statute and limitations and relevance objections, I don't think the witness has testified about the top portion.

MS. HABBA: That's what I am saying.
MR. KISE: I think that's what Ms. Habba is referencing. Lower portions perhaps, but the top portion here I don't think there is any testimony about that or whether she, in fact, received that at all.

The lower portions that have her in the "to" or "from" category, I believe that's where she is testifying. Mr. Solomon, I am sure, can clear that up. But the top portion is not. That would be something --

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I will note --
MR. KISE: -- I don't know what that is.
THE COURT: But he is asking about the bottom portion.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I will note this was produced to us by the Trump Organization. So it is a
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1 Trump Organization document. It is an admission against
interest. It comes in on many grounds.
MS. HABBA: With all due respect, your questions to the witness are whether it was sent and whether those were sentiments. It was not sent.

And I would just say, Your Honor, this will go a lot faster and I don't think we will have as many objections if they could cut their exhibits into the portions that they would like to show, as opposed to a string of e-mails, some of which were never even sent to anybody. That's going to be my standing objection on this. I just think that is what the issue is.

MR. SOLOMON: Notwithstanding your suggestion, we are not going to alter documents before presenting them to the Court. We are going to present them as they were produced to us.

MS. HABBA: Then you should ask --
MR. SOLOMON: Please let me finish, ma'am. I didn't interrupt you.

THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, then Ms. Habba, and then let's get back to the witness.

MR. SOLOMON: Very simply, Your Honor, we can exclude the top portion. Ms. Habba keeps saying it wasn't sent, it wasn't sent, the one I am asking about, the one from Ivanka Trump was, in fact, sent.

The one from Jason Greenblatt, right above that at 11:54 a.m. does in fact indicate "to" Ivanka Trump, David Weisselberg, David Orowitz, confirming that it was sent and received. Ms. Trump responds ten minutes later at 12:04 p.m., so they were, in fact, sent. The document itself provides evidence that it was sent, received reviewed and commented upon.

THE COURT: Can we eliminate the first?
MR. SOLOMON: We would do that, yes, Your Honor. We would agree to remove the top portion for the present time with respect to Mr. Greenblatt, reserving our rights with respect to dealing with that at a later time.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kise's underlying objection is overruled. And everything is in except the first section, which may or may not have been sent, I don't know.

MR. KISE: I am not sure, just to clarify, because our understanding is this is their last witness, as you said, I am not sure what later time they are planning on doing that. When their case closes?

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, sorry to interrupt Mr. Solomon. This is their document. This has been used in testimony. This now -- we will go to the metadata, but this is their document. To stand up and say it looks funny, so I don't think it was sent is - is a new
creation from defendants. But we will go back and look at the metadata.

MS. HABBA: Nobody said that. I am being very clear and we all have to make the record clear. When you show a witness a document and say, "was this sent." It was not sent. And I think you probably didn't realize that until now, which is fine. So let's just take that portion out that was not sent. That was not -- yes, it was produced, but it is irrelevant. That's not what you asked the witness about. So just I think that streamlines, Your Honor, so we don't have do this all day.

MR. SOLOMON: I asked the witness about the portion she sent, received and commented on.

MR. KISE: I think we are all in agreement, so we will just move on.

THE COURT: The record is clear. Let's move on.
Q So let's go back and reset if we can.
A Okay.
Q Your response or your reaction to the proposal on December 15 at 11:50 a.m. that you sent to Alan Weisselberg, Jason Greenblatt and David Orowitz is: "It doesn't get better than this." Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q Does that refresh your recollection that you thought this was a good proposal for the Trump Organization?
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A Well, we ended up doing the deal with Deutsche Bank, so.

THE COURT: That's a yes or no question. THE WITNESS: Oh.
A Not this particular proposal, because I think what we ended up doing was slightly different. As I stated earlier, I didn't remember this particular document. But yes, I thought generally the deal terms with Deutsche Bank for Doral were positive and we proceeded forward.

Q Okay.
THE COURT: That could have been a simple "yes."
Q You see that Mr. Greenblatt responds to you only four minutes later?

A Okay.
Q Do you see that at 11:54 a.m. he wastes no time in telling you: "I will review, but not immediately, that this is a full principal and interest and operating expense personal DJT guaranty."

Is that a typo? But "note" as opposed to but "not;" is that your understanding?

A It is what it says.
Q Okay. Then it goes on, "Is DJT willing to do that? Also, the net worth covenants and DJT indebtedness limitation -- limitations would seem to me to be a problem." Do you see that?
. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Solomon)
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A No.
Q Let's turn back to PX-1251.
You respond to Mr. Greenblatt: "That we have known
from day one. We wanted to get a great rate and the only way to get proceeds/term and principal where we want them is guarantee the deal." Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Do you recall writing that?
A No, I don't.
Q On or about December 15, 2011?
A No.
Q Do you have any reason to believe that is not an accurate statement of your view at that time?

A No, I don't.
Q Did there come a time that you responded to Deutsche Bank and proposed different terms?

A As I said earlier, I don't believe this was the final deal we did. I think the rate was slightly different. So, there must have been subsequent discussions.

Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Iam going to hand p PX-332 which
is already in evidence.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.

\section*{PX-787?}

Q And that is Donald J. Trump's June 30, 2011 Statement of Financial Condition. Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Can we go to page five of the
document?
Q Liabilities and net worth.
MR. SOLOMON: If you scroll down.
Q Net worth. \$4,261,590,000. Do you see that?
A I see that is what it says, yes.
Q Were you aware that in his Statement of Financial
Condition as of June 30, 2011, Donald Trump had represented
A Ido, yes.
Q When you received this, did you have an understanding as to why the net worth covenant would be a problem?

A I don't remember having received this.
Q Do you recall the net worth covenant was 3 billion?
A No, I think I said earlier that I didn't recall that there was a net worth.

Q In the term sheet that you forwarded on?
A Yes.
Q The net worth covenant was 3 billion?
A Yes. And what is here I see that it says 3 billion, or in the earlier exhibit.

MR. SOLOMON: Could we show on the screen2462

Q This is an e-mail from you to Ms. Vrablic and Mr. Scalzi with a copy to Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. Orowitz: "Greetings from Florida. Attached is a clean version of our marked up LOI." Do you see that?

A Yes, if you don't mind just giving me a moment to read it?

Q Please.
A Thank you.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
.Trum

A Okay. Thank you.
Q Do you see that the first paragraph states, "Attached is a clean version of our marked up LOI"?
A Yes, I do see that.
Q Is LOI short for letter of intent?
A It is.
Q If you look at the third page of the exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q The very bottom, "Guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of 2 billion, excluding any value related to the guarantor's brand value, as reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition."

Do you see that?
A Ido, yes.
Q So at this time, although Mr. Trump's prior Statement of Financial Condition represented a purported net worth of more than \(\$ 4\) million, you rejected a net worth covenant of 3 billion and proposed a covenant of only 2 billion; correct?
A Well, it says what it says here in the term sheet. I didn't, um --
Q Well, the other term sheet we saw this had they 3 billion?
A Yes.
Q Your proposal rejects that an counteroffers 2 billion;
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A No, I don't.
Q If you turn to page four of the exhibit --
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
Q - do you recognize Donald J. Trump's signature? A Ido, yes. Q If you turn to page nine of the exhibit --
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q - do you recognize Donald J. Trump's signature? A I believe so, yes.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer this in evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations, relevance, and one other thing, your Honor. This witness has been subpoenaed here on behalf of, I believe, three corporate entities, so I just want to note a standing objection, as well, that none of this has anything to do with those three corporate entities.

THE COURT: I don't think that matters.
MR. KISE: It does, because that is ostensibly, ostensibly the basis upon which this non-party non-resident witness was summoned here to testify. That's the reason that she was summoned here to testify. That's the reason we dragged her from her home here to testify.

So we are not asking her about things that are within the parameters of those entities. The argument from the Attorney General was we must have her here because only she can speak for those corporate entities, and so we haven't had any questions about those corporate entities, or even about the OPO loan which, maybe theoretically, or arguably, would have something to do with one of those three entities. None of this has to do with any of that.

So it's just a standing objection. That's all, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well it's an interesting question. To what extent the questioning has to be limited to the grounds of the subpoena. They would have to list every single thing that they might want to ask. Also, the law is entitled to every person's evidence.

Mr. Robert, what is your opinion on that interesting question?

MR. ROBERT: They clearly are limited to the corporate representative of those three entities. We had a whole debate two weeks ago as to they didn't have the ability to serve a personal subpoena, so they did it under the guise of these corporate entities.

So so far -- typically you would actually have the topic attached to it, which they didn't. So so far in the last hour, none of these questions come even close to the
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basis of those three subpoenas.
THE COURT: Plaintiff, would you like to respond?
MR. SOLOMON: We would disagree, your Honor. The witness is -- obviously. The witness is here. She could testify about these transactions she was involved in. She is receiving e-mails. She is sending e-mails. She is commenting upon term sheets. She is negotiating the deal from top to bottom, from interest rates to amounts of a net worth covenant, which is one of the critical issues in this case, as your Honor knows and already ruled upon.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, briefly --
MR. KISE: Your Honor, none of that has anything to do with those corporate entities.

THE COURT: And you see that point.
MS. HABBA: She is no longer a defendant in this case, so you cannot take full range just because she's here.

MR. WALLACE: I'm not aware of that.
THE COURT: You know, the subpoena - the ruling on enforcing the subpoena was minimum, not a maximum. So, anyway, overruled.

Let's continue.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we had offered this in evidence. I don't know if there was a ruling.

THE COURT: There wasn't. Granted.
(Plaintiffs Exhibit 320 was deemed marked and

1 admitted in evidence.)
2 Q If you could turn to page 15 of 26.

Organization sought to increase the loan on Doral through Deutsche Bank?
A There may have been, but I don't specifically recall that. You may have mentioned something to that effect to me when we were together last, but --

MR. SOLOMON: I'm going to ask to mark as PX-352 for identification.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 352 was deemed marked for identification.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

THE COURT: While we have a moment, I'm trying to remember back to first year civil procedure. Basically, I think that the three corporations were necessary for the power to bring her here. I don't think that limited the testimony. And that's my ruling anyway.

MR. KISE: Again, for the record, I would respectfully disagree, because all the corporate subpoenas are limited in scope to particular testimony when you bring in a corporate representative.

This isn't a question of whether or not there is sufficient minimum context jurisdiction to add someone as a party to the case. That's an entirely and extraordinarily
different analysis.
MR. SOLOMON: We are not talking about adding Ms. Trump to the case, your Honor. That's not the standard that applies in this context.
Q Ms. Trump, PX-352 had been put in front of you. You see this is an e-mail from Ms. Vrablic to you, February 11, 2016, subject "Doral. Hi, it was great speaking to you today. I spoke to the credit team on the \(\$ 50\) million request, and we are thrilled to consider it for you."

Does that refresh your recollection that you sought, on behalf of The Trump Organization, to increase the loan with Doral by \(\$ 50\) million in 2016 ?

A No.
Q Any reason to believe that this is inaccurate?
A I just don't recall this.
Q Is that a no?
A That's a no.
Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer PX-352.
MR. KISE: Objection. Statute of limitations, relevance and hearsay.

THE COURT: Overruled.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 352 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)
Q Did you also explore, in 2016, the possibility of an
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unsecured loan for Donald Trump from Deutsche Bank? A I don't recall.

MR. SOLOMON: I'm going to ask to mark as PX-355 for identification.
(Whereupon, Plaintiff's Exhibit 355 was deemed marked for identification.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q For the record, this is an e-mail from Ms. Vrablic to
you from February 16, 2016. Did you receive this on or about February 16, 2016?

A Sorry. Just give me a moment to read it so I could have some context.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)
A Okay. Thank you.
MR. SOLOMON: May I ask for a read back of my question, your Honor.

THE COURT: Can we have a read back of the last question.
Q Does this refresh your recollection that you were seeking an unsecured loan on behalf of Donald Trump in or about February of \(2016 ?\)

A No.
Q Do you recall receiving this e-mail, the top one, from
the purpose or use of the proceeds of any potential loan in \(2016 ?\)

A No, I don't. I don't think this transpired, so I have no recollection of this discussion or this e-mail.

\section*{Q Okay.}

And do you recall that it did not transpire because Deutsche Bank refused to do this transaction?

\section*{A No.}

MR. SOLOMON: Mark as PX-558 for identification. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 558 was deemed marked for identification.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q This is an e-mail from Ms. Vrablic to you on April 8th, 2016. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q She's giving you an update, correct?
A It appears that way, yes.
Q Did you receive it on or about April 8th, 2016?
A I don't recall this e-mail, but I -- if I may just have a moment to read it.

THE COURT: I'll give you a five-minute warning at 11:25.
A Okay. Thank you.
Q Do you have you any reason to believe you did not
. Vrablic, on or about February 16, 2016.
ont recall.
Q If you turn to the second page, the very top, it's an
e-mail from you to Ms. Vrablic. "Thank you, Rosemary. Just to
be clear, based on the note from Dave Williams below, an unsecured facility would not require an appraisal."

Do you see that?
A I do see that.
Q Does that refresh your recollection that you were
seeking an unsecured loan on behalf of Donald Trump in or about February of 2016?

\section*{A No.}

Q Do you have any reason to believe that you did not send and receive these e-mails on PX-355?
A No.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer it in evidence.
MR. KISE: Objection. Relevance.
I think we are passed the statute of limitations,
so we'll give you that one.
THE COURT: I'll take what I can get. Overruled.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 355 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)
Q Do you recall, if you don't necessarily recall the discussions relating to the amount of either the secured or unsecured facility here, questions raised by Deutsche Bank as to
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A No.
Q Do you recall entering into a lease for unit Penthouse 28 at Trump Park Avenue?
A Yes.
Q Is that also a street address of 502 Park Avenue?
A It is, yes.
Q Did that lease have an option for you to purchase Penthouse 28 for \(\$ 8.5\) million?

A It did.
Q To your knowledge, did Donald Trump's Statements of Financial Condition take into account this option in valuing this unit?

A As I had told you a year and a half ago when we spoke, I wasn't involved in his Statement of Financial Condition, so I can't say what it took into account or did take into account.

Q Did there come a time that you entered into a lease for Penthouse 20 at Trump Park Avenue?

A Yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Im going to ask to mark, as PX-623, a series of documents, including lease, second amendment, assignment and assumption, and first amendment.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 623 was deemed marked for identification.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
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document?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A It is, yes.
Q And is that your signature on page 22 of this document?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A It is, yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer this in evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 623 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)
Q If you turn to page 14.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Paragraph 49(d), did you understand that you had the exclusive right to purchase the unit, Penthouse 20, for \(\$ 14,264,000\) during the term of this lease?

A That's consistent with my memory of our -- of the option price, yes.

Q Do you know if any filings that were required under the
23 Martin Act or New York Condominium Law disclosed the existence 24 of this option?
25 A I don't know.

Q So, then, is it fair to say that you had no role in preparing any of Donald Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, asked and answered.
THE COURT: You used different language before, so I'll allow it.

Let's, again, be clear between the generic
financial statements and the specific Statements of Financial Condition, so.

MR. SOLOMON: I'll rephrase my question, your Honor.
Q Did you have any role in preparing Donald J. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?
A Not that I'm aware of, no.
Q To your knowledge, did you ever provide valuations for any of the assets reflected on Donald J. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?

A Not that I can recall, no.
Q Did you ever review any of Donald J. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition before they were finalized?

A I don't recall that, no.
THE COURT: Six-minute warning.
MR. SOLOMON: I can go onto another area or --
```

    THE COURT: Break now?
    MR. SOLOMON: Whatever the Court's preference.
    THE COURT: We could take a vote, but let's take a
    break now. So 15 minutes. So be back here at 11:40.
    MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, an admonition to the
    witness, please.
    THE COURT: I will direct the witness not to
    discuss your testimony or this case, or anything about them,
while you are still a witness.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, a 15-minute break was agreed upon and
taken by all parties.)
(Continued on the next page.)

```

MR. KISE: Your Honor, can we approach for one second?

THE COURT: Yes. I go up the stairs faster after a day off. So come on up.
(Whereupon, there was an off the record discussion at the bench between the Court and counsels.)

THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, go ahead.
MR. SOLOMON: Before we bring the witness in I have one housekeeping matter to bring up on a document.

Can we please pull up 3226, which has already been admitted into evidence.

And just so the Court is aware, this is the same e-mail chain we were arguing about earlier. And if you see the top, it is from Jason Greenblatt, and it has "to Ivanka Trump, Allen Weisselberg David Orowitz," so I think that removes any objection defense counsel may have had.

MR. KISE: It removes that objection.
MS. HABBA: Not the other objections.
THE COURT: The other objections are overruled. That objection is withdrawn, since it is from or to Ivanka.

COURT OFFICER: Ready for the witness? THE COURT: Yes, ready.
(Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.)

THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, please proceed
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Let's talk about the Trump Hotel Tower in Chicago.
A Okay.
Q Did there come a time that the Trump Organization sought to refinance the debt on that property?

A Yes. Prior to my leaving the company, yes.
Q Yes, prior to your leaving the Trump Organization, did there come a time that the Trump Organization sought to refinance the debt on the Chicago property?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Did you reach out to CSG Investments to gauge their interest in refinancing the debt?

A I don't recall whether I did or didn't.
Q Sure.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to ask to hand to the witness PX-3236, which is already in evidence. (Handing) THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q And this is an e-mail chain. The top is you forwarding to David Orowitz an e-mail you received from Jacob Cherner at CSG Investments. Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q If you look at the e-mail you received from Mr. Cherner, did you understand that for refinancing Chicago,
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in or about 2012, the baseline rate would be around LIBOR plus 500 basis points?

A I don't recall the specifics of the transaction. I don't remember this e-mail.

Q Do you have any reason to believe it is not accurate?
A No.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to ask to be handed to
the witness PX-3239, which is already in evidence.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q This is an e-mail chain, the top one is from you to Jared Kushner@observer.com, TIHT Chicago Refi. Do you see that?

A Ido, yes.
Q And you are forwarding on an e-mail you had received from David Goodman, correct?

A It appears that way, yes.
Q And Mr. Goodman is at Deutsche Bank or was at Deutsche Bank at that time, correct?

A I don't remember David Goodman. I recall Rosemary, obviously, we spoke about her earlier. And she is, I see that she is copied on this e-mail.

Q But you don't recall David Goodman at all?
A Only, I think, in documents you have shown me. But I don't think I worked extensively with David.
\(1 \quad\) Q David -- was David in the commercial real estate group?

A I don't -- I don't recall.
Q You will see on this e-mail, excuse me.
You will see on this e-mail Mr. Goodman attaches term sheets for hotel and condo financing. Do you see that?

A It appears that way, yes.
Q And so we all understand, the Chicago property had two parts to it, correct?

A Could you be more specific?
Q Sure. It had a commercial portion, yes?
A There was a hotel, hotel condo, residences, and a retail component.

Q Retail component being commercial?
A Sure.
Q Or do you refer to that as a retail component?
A You can refer to it as either.
Q So it had two components, correct?
A Sure.
Q Okay. If you look at the first term sheet which starts on page two, term sheet which starts on page two, Trump International Hotel and Tower, and it uses the term commercial; do you see that?

A Yes, I do.
Q If you turn to the tenth page of this document, the
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proposed interest rate.
A Which page?
Q Page ten of 27, which is schedule A for the commercial refi term sheet. Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q And the interest, the proposed interest rate is 345 basis points above the yield on the ten year on-the-run swap rate. But in no event less than 5.28 percent. Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q Now let's turn to the condo term sheet. That's the hotel and condo portion that you were referring to, right? You will see that begins on page 15 of 27 . It says "condo" across the top.

A I see that.
Q Okay. Turn to page 22.
The interest the proposed interest rate for this portion of the refi is the sum of the benchmark and the spread. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And the spread is 800 basis points, do you see that?
A I do, yes.
Q And the benchmark is the one month LIBOR as reflected on telerate or in certain instances an average of London Interbank Offered Rates ("LIBOR") for dollar deposits in an
. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Solomon)
amount equal to \(\$ 1\) million offered in the London Interbank Euro-dollar market for a term of one month. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Did the Trump Organization go forward with the refinancing of the Chicago property on these terms?

A I don't believe so.
Q I am going to hand up what we have marked PX-477 for identification.
(Handing)
Q You will see this is an e-mail from Emily Schroeder to you and others at the Trump Organization with ccs to people at Deutsche Bank and Loeb and Loeb. Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q "Ivanka, we are pleased to present you with the agreed upon summary of terms and expense coverage letter for the refinancing of the Trump --

THE COURT: It doesn't say "refinancing" it says
"financing."
MR. SOLOMON: Excuse me, you are right, Your Honor.
Q "For the financing," excuse me, of the Trump International Hotel and Tower in Chicago. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Who is Emily Schroeder?
A I recall her having worked with Rosemary on her team,

1 Q And facility note \(\mathbf{A}\) is for the residential component, if you look to the right of where it says property.

A I see that, yes.
Q So note \(A\) is for the residential portion; and note \(B\) is for the commercial component if you go further over to the right. Do you see that?

A I see that, yes.
Q And so the residential component would be the condos we had talked about earlier, correct?

A I am reviewing this for the first time in years. It appears to say that. I haven't read the whole document, of course.

Q Under property, the residential component ("residential come point incident") of the property consisting of unsold (a) residential condominium units (b) deeded parking spaces (c) storage spaces and (d) associated common areas.

A That's what it says, yes.
Q And facility note B is the commercial component. Do you see that?

A \(\mathbf{M m}-\mathrm{Hm}\).
Q Okay.
THE COURT: You can't say mm-hm, you have to say
yes or no.
THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes. Sorry.
Q If you look at pricing spread for the residential
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component it is L plus 3.35 percent. Do you see that?
A I do, yes.
Q And do you understand that to be LIBOR plus 3.35 percent?

A Yes.
Q And the pricing for note \(B\) is LIBOR plus 2.5 percent with a note underneath. "Borrower will have a fixed rate option through the execution of a five-year swap with indicative fixed rate of 3.42 percent as of September 4, 2012. Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q If you look under guarantor, note A has Donald J. Trump 100 percent of principal interest and operating expenses of facility note A's collateral. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And it also has Donald J. Trump guaranteeing under note \(B, 100\) percent of principal interest and operating expenses of facility note B's collateral. Do you see that?

A Ido.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to ask to mark as
PX-365 for identification.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q This is an e-mail chain between you and Rosemary
Vrablic. Subject is: Pricing update.

A Give me one moment to review this document. Thank you.
(Pause)
A Thank you.
Q This is an e-mail chain between you and Ms. Vrablic, correct?

A Yes.
Q And on the first e-mail, which if you turn to page two of three, Ms. Vrablic advises you that given the facts that you had discussed with her at that morning's meeting, she is offering revised pricing. Do you see that?

A I don't recall this e-mail, but I see what it says here.

Q Do you have any reason to believe Ms. Vrablic misstated your meeting or discussions in any way, shape or form?

A No.
Q She offers revised pricing for the hotel, the original rate was LIBOR plus 2.5. She can drop it to LIBOR plus 2.25. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q She is able to drop the origination fee by a quarter of a point. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q For the condos, with the assumption of a \(\$ 62\) million
I. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct(Solomon)
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loan, she is able to drop the origination fee by one eighth of a point. Do you see that? .75 to .625 ?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And you respond, if we go further up on page two, you respond: "You are the best, Rosemary. Thank you." And you ask for a clarification. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And if you turn to the first page, Ms. Vrablic tells you that your clarification is correct. And you respond to her: "Thanks Rosemary, we all appreciate it." Do you see that?

A I do.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor we offer PX-365 in evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection, statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 365 by the Court.)
Q Did you understand that in dealing with the private wealth management group at Deutsche Bank you were able to save -- withdrawn.

Did you understand that the Trump Organization, by dealing with the private equity management group at Deutsche
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Bank was able to obtain a lower interest rate and lower origination fees than if they had dealt with the commercial real estate group?

A I don't think I ever thought about it in that context. I dealt with Rosemary on a couple of deals, tangentially Chicago, but really more Doral and the Old Post Office. So it was, to the best of my recollection, those were the only financings I had done involving the company. So those were the deals that I had worked on.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, could I have a read
back of my question? I thought it was a yes or no.
THE COURT: I think so to.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: That's a yes or no question.
A Well, I had contextualized it only to the extent that I don't think I ever thought about it in comparison to another. I had only dealt with Rosemary and her group in any substantive way.

THE COURT: Is the answer yes or no? THE WITNESS: No.
Q Let's talk about the Old Post Office in Washington DC.

Did there come a time that the Trump Organization
submitted a proposal in response to a request for proposal from
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the General Services Administration?
A Yes.
Q Can we refer to Request for Proposal as RFP; and General Service Administration as GSA?

A Yes.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to ask to hand to the
witness PX-1164, which is already in evidence.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q It is a long document. Take your time if you need to look at it.

A Thank you.
Q Do you recognize --
A This brings back a lot of memories.
Q I think you said that to me a year and a half ago as well.

A Yeah.
Q Do you recognize that document?
A I believe this is our response to the request for proposal from the GSA.

Q If you look at the second page of the exhibit, is that your signature on the cover letter?

A Yes, it is.
Q If you could turn to page 144, it is Section III of the Trump Organization's proposal: Developers financial
capacity and capability. Do you see that?
Let me know when you get to the page.
A Yes, I see that.
Q Do you understand that the developers financial capacity and capability was a required section in responding to the RFP?

A Yes.
Q Do you see there is an asterisk at the end of the title for that section?

A Ido, yes.
Q And if you go to the bottom it states: "Trump's financial statements have been provided under separate cover, along with each binder." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Was that an accurate statement?
A That Trump's financial statements had been provided under separate cover along with each --I have no reason to believe not. I assume so.

Q You don't have any?
A I don't remember.
Q You don't have a recollection?
A No, I don't remember every word of the document, no, of course not. I assume everything is accurate.

Q Because you were very careful not to submit inaccurate information to the GSA, right?

A I always endeavor to be careful.
Q At the time of submission of this proposal, was the Trump Organization contemplating partnering with Colony Capital on this deal?

A We were, yes.
Q And at the time of submission of this proposal, had the Trump --

A I believe -- I believe it -- at some point we proceeded forward without Colony. But I believe they were still our partner at the time we submitted the original proposal. So, yes, I think so.

Q Okay.
Had the Trump Organization at the time of submission of the proposal executed a letter of intent with Colony Capital for this project? And when I say, "this project," I am referring to the Old Post Office or OPO project.

A Understood.
I can't recall if we had done that prior.
Q Okay. Please turn to page 185.
A Okay.
Q Letter of interest from equity partner. Do you see that?

A I do, yes.
Q "Trump has executed a detail LOI with Colony Capital to provide equity financing for the redevelopment of the Old
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    the courtroom.
            (Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the
        stand and exited the courtroom.)
            THE COURT: Let me just add, I think
        significantly, I hope significantly, to my reasonings for
        overruling the statute of limitations objections to
        pre-2014 and/or pre-2016 deals. Because these deals, I
        don't think all of them necessarily, but at least some of
        them had requirements for updated financials year after
        year after year. And although defendants seem to want to
        discount them or ignore them or something, to me they are
        very much a part of this case. So it doesn't make sense
        to talk about the follow-up documentation without
        establishing the original loan.
            Go ahead.
            MR. KISE: I would respectfully disagree
        entirely with that, Your Honor. Because the only thing
        that is at issue now, according to the government, are the
        actual certifications themselves. Those certifications
        could not have -- those are the wrongful acts. I mean
        other than the things that are within the statute of
        limitations. If there are things that are post-2014 or
        post-2016. But seven of the ten transactions, including
        this transaction, fall well outside of limitations period.
        So nothing that was done by way of a certification, to the
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the courtroom.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the stand and exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Let me just add, I think
```

Post Office building." Do you see that?
A I do. Yes.
Q Does that refresh your recollection that there was a
letter of interest, at least, between the Trump Organization
and Colony Capital at the time of submission of the proposal?
A It doesn't reflect -- refresh my recollection. I
have no reason to believe it is not accurate.
MR. KISE: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.
MR. KISE: Is there some point at which there is
a limit on where we are headed here today?
THE COURT: Yes, 4:30 today.
MR. KISE: But this is, like, Colony Capital
2011, it is just highly irrelevant. Did we really call
this witness here to waste this time; dragged her all of
the way from Florida to talk about Colony Capital from
2011, on a deal that is clearly and obviously outside of
the statute of limitations? This contract was awarded
years before the limitations period.
THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, do we need to excuse
the witness for you to explain the relevance, or no?
MR. SOLOMON: If we can, Your Honor, I would
appreciate that, that we not have this in front of the
witness.
THE COURT: May I ask the witness to step behind
Post Office building." Do you see that?
A Ido. Yes.
Q Does that refresh your recollection that there was a letter of interest, at least, between the Trump Organization
and Colony Capital at the time of submission of the proposal?
have no reason to believe it is not accurate.
MR. KISE: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.
MR. KISE: Is there some point at which there is a limit on where we are headed here today?
THE COURT: Yes, 4:30 today.
MR. KISE: But this is, like, Colony Capital 2011, it is just highly irrelevant. Did we really call this witness here to waste this time; dragged her all of the way from Florida to talk about Colony Capital from 2011, on a deal that is clearly and obviously outside of the statute of limitations? This contract was awarded years before the limitations period.
THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, do we need to excuse the witness for you to explain the relevance, or no?
MR. SOLOMON: If we can, Your Honor, I would appreciate that, that we not have this in front of the witness.
THE COURT: May I ask the witness to step behind

```
extent even one was required here in \(2015,16, ' 17, ' 18\), would have any impact whatsoever on a decision that was made years before the statute of limitations period expired. I mean, there is no possible connectivity between a decision that was made in 2011 or ' 12 and a certification later. If they want to contend that the certification in 2016 was false in some way, okay, contend that. But it is not going to alter. It is theoretically impossible -- it is beyond implausible to argue that some event that took place in 2016 would have changed the course of events in 2011 or 2012 or 2013. The individuals in 2011, 2012, 2013 and all the way up to 2014, middle of 2014, could not possibly have been impacted by a certification that was submitted in 2015, 2016 or 2017.

So while there is some contextual latitude, I just would, again, submit we got this witness here, we are asking about things that are well far afield. If there is some specific issue with respect to OPO that falls within the limitations period, then okay. But I just -- I am just noting for the record, and I didn't mean to tie up this much time, but you asked. I don't see the connectivity here.

This is true of all of these loans other than the ones that are actually within the limitations period. Because the certifications for the loans, it is the same
issue. A certification to Deutsche Bank in 2015 or ' 16 or ' 17 could not possibly, even theoretically, arguably, plausibly, have any impact on a decision that bankers made in 2011 or 2012 or 2013 or up to '14. It is just not possible.

So while I get that there has to be some context, we are spending an awful lot of time with a witness who has come an awful long way, who is a non-party, going over what appears to be context.

THE COURT: Well, I agree with your logic that a certification, we will agree to that term, in 2017, doesn't affect the - anything directly about the original loan, how it was negotiated, how it was finalized. However, just to be clear to everybody, these loans some of the loans at issue here, and I believe this one, I could be wrong, but I am speaking generically, required, as a condition of the loan for the Trump Organization or whomever, perhaps one of the individual defendants, certify certain things in the future. I believe that those certifications are at issue.

Let me ask directly. Are those certifications at issue?
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Is that something that was in the complaint?

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you want to say anything else?
MR. SOLOMON: I want to be guided by the Court. I learned that if the Court is about to rule in my favor, to sit down and be quiet, so. Let us know if you need to hear from us further, but there are many reasons why this is relevant, and that's why we excused the witness. The deal with Colony Capital is relevant. If you look at the document and understand the document in detail, Colony Capital goes through a description of what an appropriate interest rate would be for this type of project based upon their financing and 25 years in the business. And Colony Capital, according it this submission, is one of top equity partners in the country. And it goes on and on, your Honor, as compared to the financing that they did obtain at a lower rate by using false and fraudulent financial statements to induce Deutsche Bank to make loans that they should not have made at those rates.

THE COURT: Well, I'll give Mr. Kise the last word, but you are misquoting me a little bit. It's not if I'm about to rule in your favor, be quiet. It's if I'm arguing for you, you don't have to argue for you. \begin{tabular}{c|r} 
Mr. Kise. & 1 \\
MR. KISE: So first I'm sure, just as an aside, & 2 \\
that Mr. Barrack will be very pleased to know that the & 3 \\
Attorney General of New York has such a high opinion of him. & 4 \\
Setting that aside, I don't see that what Mr. Solomon & 5 \\
said -- I mean, he's making my point for me. Which is that & 6 \\
he's talking about obtaining loans. There's no issue with & 7 \\
obtaining loans with respect to those that are prior to the & 8 \\
statute of limitations. That decision has come and gone. & 9 \\
The ship has gone. The tide has come in and gone out, & 10 \\
however, you want to frame it. There's no -- & 11 \\
THE COURT: The ship has sailed, I think. & 12 \\
MR. KISE: The ship has sailed. There's been no & 13 \\
order at issue. The issue is, number one, whether & 14 \\
certifications were false or not, and there's all sorts of & 15 \\
issues with intent and materiality, which we are not going & 16 \\
to discuss at the moment, but whether the certifications & 17 \\
were false and what the consequences of certifications & 18 \\
standing by themselves. As you know, originally, and we & 19 \\
believe the First Department agrees with us, we will wait & 20 \\
and find that out at some point, but they originally framed & 21 \\
all of those as continuing wrongs. Post the decision in the & 22 \\
\hline First Department, they framed them as freestanding wrongs. & 23 \\
\hline Either way, either way, if they are now freestanding wrongs, & 24 \\
then that's what's at issue. And that means that in 2015, & 25
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{c|r} 
Mr. Kise. & 1 \\
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that Mr. Barrack will be very pleased to know that the & 3 \\
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that Mr. Barrack will be very pleased to know that the & 3 \\
Attorney General of New York has such a high opinion of him. & 4 \\
Setting that aside, I don't see that what Mr. Solomon & 5 \\
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1 or 2016, or 2017, but you can't unring the bell with a subsequent certification. You can't say, in 2017, if I submitted a piece of paper in 2017 that was false, that somehow or another that impacted a decision that was made in 2012. That's --

THE COURT: They are not arguing that.
MR. KISE: Well, that's what Mr. McCarty -- that's what you let him testify to. Respectfully, that's exactly what he is arguing, those, the interest rates carry forward. Those decisions were made before the statute of limitations.

Now, if your Honor, if you are clear with us that in fact those calculations are baseless because of that, that's fine. But I haven't heard that. They certainly not conceding that.

So here we are arguing about, and going over issues -- this isn't even the loan document. Let's remember, we are looking at GSA documents. The GSA decision was made years before the statute of limitations ran.

The GSA is thrilled with the Old Post Office renovation. There's no question here that they transformed a hulking relic into a world class facility.

THE COURT: You are starting to sound like your client.

MR. KISE: He makes a point on this, that that's what happened. So I'm not sure why we are debating -- I'm
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not sure of a lot of things here, certainly, but this one goes really steps well beyond. We are talking about the underlying contract and whether or not prevailing interest rates in 2011 or 2012 has no bearing on whether a certification in \(2016-\) - '15, '16, '17, and going forward, was false or fraudulent, or what impact or conclusion is to be drawn from that.

THE COURT: I know I keep adding other reasons why I'm making the relevancy rulings I am. It may also be relevant to disgorgement. It may. I haven't decided the case.

MR. KISE: It can't be. That's exactly our point, because --

THE COURT: Put it in your posttrial brief.
MR. KISE: The die was cast when the loans were made; that we believe was the point of the First Department and why they considered these to be continuing effects as opposed to be continuing wrongs, but that's for another day.

But certainly, even under the Attorney General's current theory of the case, you can't go backwards in time and suggest that a certification that occurred years subsequent to a decision had some impact on that prior decision.

THE COURT: Okay. So, Plaintiff, don't do that. MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, may I make just two quick
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points? First, so the record is clear, the Attorney General takes no position with respect to Colony Capital. My description is what is contained in the response to the request for proposal about Colony.

Second, the OPO loan is within the statute of limitations as determined by the Appellate Division, so it's relevant.

MR. KISE: The loan, the loan is within 2014, was a month past the 2014 cut off. I mean, we still have a debate over the other issue --

THE COURT: I understand.
MR. KISE: -- but this isn't a loan document. This is a procurement document. That's why we are having this discussion; two completely disconnected points. The only way -- I'm just going to explain to your Honor. The only reason they want this in is because they are going to argue, that's the reason they asked President Trump on Monday about the distributions from The Old Post Office sale transaction. They are going to argue to you that somehow or another those distributions should be disgorged, because the contract itself was procured using some false financial statement; that's clearly where they are headed. I mean, I'm not telegraphing anything that they haven't already thought of, and so they can't do that. I mean, it's just no room for even making that argument in a case where this decision was
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made in 2011, '12 or '13. It's just not - it's not even possible to make that argument.

THE COURT: I disagree. Overruled.
Let's get the witness back and not spend more time.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Sorry to sort of kept you in the dark. Let's continue.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I guess it would be improper for me to ask for my last question and answer, so I think I'll start a new.

THE COURT: Good idea.
MR. SOLOMON: If I repeat a question, I do apologize.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q I think we were talking about the letter of interest with Colony Capital. If you look at page 185 of 204 I think that's where we were. Do you see that?

A That's this document (indicating).
THE COURT: I think it was Monday I complimented all the attorneys for getting us where we are and in a reasonable time. Today I'm going to compliment the technical people on both sides, right and left. It's just
amazing. It's like they could read his mind, read the attorney's mind when they get these documents up and highlight things.

I'll just suggest one more time, it's so easy to follow on the screen, and you have two, one right in front of you. And then you could see all the highlighting.

As far as I know, they've never misrepresented what the larger document is, so you could trust them. But if you show -- if you feel --

THE WITNESS: I compliment them as well. It's very impressive. Thank you.

THE COURT: This is not your first rodeo, I realize.

THE WITNESS: Like this, yes.
Q Does this refresh your recollection that there was a letter of interest between The Trump Organization and Colony Capital?

A I believe I had said before that at some point there was some form of letter of interest between us. I haven't recalled the timing of it though.

Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: If you could turn to page 193. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A Okay.
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Q Second sentence of this paragraph -- if you need to turn back to see where this is from, this is a description of central financing. "Through daily participation in the capital markets, Trump and Colony know that construction financing is currently available in top ten coastal MSA's (NYC, DC, San Francisco, Los Angeles) for strong sponsorship, at approximately six percent to seven percent (L plus 500 BPS to plus 600 BPS, with a one percent LIBOR floor) for approximately 55 to 65 percent LTC financing."

Do you see that?
A I see that, yes.
Q When you submitted this, what did you understand "LTC financing" to mean?
A I would be guessing, now, what I understood that to be. I don't normally use that acronym. It seems a little further down it was a reference to construction financing, loan to construction. I'm not sure.
Q You just don't know?
A I can't recall.
Q But the interest rate is approximately six percent to seven percent; correct?
A That's what it says here, yes.
Q In the document that you submitted to the GSA?
A That's what it says, yes.
Q Did you believe that was an accurate statement at the
time of submission of proposal?
A I don't recall this section of the document, so I can't say with clarity, but certainly I wouldn't submit something inaccurate.

Q Did there come a time that the GSA identified certain deficiencies in the Trump Organization's proposal?
A I recall you having shown me a document asking for clarifications, and -- I think it was just a general GSA document with questions relating to the proposal. Yes, I recall that.

MR. SOLOMON: Im going to ask to put in front of the witness PX-1288, which is already in evidence.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

THE COURT: Music to my ears.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q This exhibit is a cover e-mail from Mr. Orowitz to Patricia Moore, with cc's including you. And attached beginning on page three is a letter from the GSA dated December 13th, 2011. Do you see that?

A Ido, yes.
Q And there appears to be a letter dated September 21st, 2011, beginning on page eight, addressed to Mr. Kevin Terry. He is the senior contracting officer on the OPO transaction;
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correct?
A He was, yes.
Q And on page 14 of 19 , is that your signature on that letter?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A It is, yes.
Q And then at the end, beginning on page 15 is the draft
of a proposed response circulated by Mr. Orowitz. Do you see that?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A Ido, yes.
Q Let's focus, if we can, on the GSA letter from
December 13th, because that appears to be what Mr. Orowitz is proposing to respond to. Do you see that?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A Yes.
Q Mr. Terry is raising certain questions on factor three about Donald J. Trump's financial statements; correct?
A Which -- which page are you on?
Q Sure. Page four of 19 , factor three?
A Thank you. Yes, I see that.
Q Financial statements appear to have multiple GAAP
departures, including but not limited to, not including
separately held entity financials, no provisions for income tax, and the PV of the deposits not reflected." Did you understand "PV" to mean present value?

MR. KISE: Objection. Foundation.
MR. SOLOMON: I'm asking the witness's understanding on a letter she received and was proposing to respond to the GSA on a deal that she had a primary responsibility.

MR. KISE: That wasn't the question, but in all events.

THE COURT: Withdrawn or overruled?
MR. KISE: It's up to you.
THE COURT: Overruled. Only you could withdraw. Only I could overrule.

MR. KISE: I thought you were asking him if he was going to withdraw the question.

THE COURT: I was asking if you wanted to withdraw the objection.

Overruled.
Q Did you have an understanding that "PV" meant present value when you received this letter?
A I can deduce that sitting here today, but I don't recall my understanding of what it was back then, or the specifics of this letter, no.

THE COURT: Please remember to speak close to the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Sorry. Thank you.
\(Q\) If you turn to page 18 ?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Did you understand that this is Mr. Orowitz's proposed response to the issue we just looked at relating to the financial statements?

A You said that it was, but I don't -- I don't know.
Q Well, let's look at the cover e-mail. David Orowitz
states "All, I have started brain storming how we can approach the letter."
A Okay.
Q "In preparation for our call. Please try to review the letter from the GSA thoroughly." That's the letter attached dated December 13th; correct?
A Yes.
Q "In addition, read what I have attached and come prepared with viewpoints on how we can create the content that we need and how it should be incorporated into the 30 page presentation, slides, and our verbal scripts."

Do you see that?
A Ido, yes.
Q So if you go through this e-mail and the attachments,

\section*{beginning on page 15 .}
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you understand that to be Mr. Orowitz's proposed
responses for discussion among The Trump Organization group?
MR. KISE: Objection. Then or now? Same
foundation. Objection.
A It appears to be --
THE COURT: It looks like she could answer it.
Overruled.
A No, I.
MR. KISE: She is answering, "it appears to be", exactly the point. Then or now? She knew it then, and that's what she understood it to be then, or is she saying that's what it looks like now? If that's the case, then there's no foundation.

THE COURT: I'm not sure I agree, there's no
foundation. Are you asking her knowledge then or now? MR. SOLOMON: I'll ask both, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay.
Q At the time you received this e-mail, did you understand that Mr. Orowitz was proposing potential responses to the GSA deficiency letter?

A I don't recall having received Dave Orowitz's e-mail on this topic.
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Q Do you recall having received any -- in any e-mail from anyone on this topic?

A Well, I mean, we spent years working on the response to the request for proposals, so there were many, many e-mails, many conversations, many of them with Dave. So I just don't -I don't have a recollection, sitting here all these years later, over a decade, of this particular e-mail.

Q But this is not - I didn't ask about this particular e-mail. That's why I made it broader. This is not a response to a request for proposal. This is in response to a deficiency letter received by The Trump Organization after the proposal was submitted; correct?

A That's what it appears to be.
Q Okay.
And even with that information, you have no
recollection of working with The Trump Organization people to try and respond to the deficiencies raised by the GSA?
A I didn't say that. I generally remember that the GSA came back to us and asked for clarification on several items, and we endeavored to get them answers. So they had construction related questions. They had operations related questions. They had all sorts of questions. And I would speak to the various department heads in charge of those elements, or more often I would speak to Dave, and he would speak to those individuals, and, um, endeavor to provide them with the information they were

1 seeking on any points of clarification.
Q And do you recall that one of the deficiencies related to Donald J. Trump's financial statements that were submitted in connection with the original response to the request for proposal?

A I don't recall that independent of sitting here today or -- I believe you may have asked me about this a year ago. So, no, independently -- independent of that, no.

Q Okay. Other than what we may have discussed when we took your testimony in August of 2022. You have no recollection, as you sit here today, of any questions raised by the GSA in connection with the proposal relating to your -Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition; is that your testimony?

\section*{MR. KISE: Objection. Argumentative. \\ MS. HABBA: Objection. \\ MR. KISE: Mischaracterizes the testimony. \\ THE COURT: Overruled. Her answer is what her} answer is.
A I think I answered that already. I don't recall that specifically. I recall them coming back to us with a series of questions on all sorts of elements relating to our proposal. And I recall going to Washington, and there was a presentation, and we endeavored to respond to those questions. Whether we did so in writing prior, or in person in Washington, I don't know.
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\section*{Q Okay.}

In your answer you said you don't recall specifically. How about generally?

A No, just -- I recall them asking questions many months. It could've been longer than months. After we submitted our RFP about the RFP, about different components of it.

Q But you don't recall that one of components related to
Donald J. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?
MS. HABBA: Objection. Asked and answered.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A Outside of what you've shown me, um, in a year and a half ago, and now, no.
Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we mark as PX-1431. Im not sure if it's for identification or evidence -- it's in evidence.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
A Thank you.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This document is an e-mail from Mr. Orowitz to you, dated December 17, 2011, attaching "2011 1217 OPO presentation handout-final-small size.pdf." Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Did you receive this on or about December 17, 2011?

1 A I don't recall having received this specific e-mail, no.

Q Do you have any reason to believe you did not receive it?
A No.
Q Did you understand that -- I'm sorry, have you had a chance to review the handout?

A It's many pages, so. Would you like -- maybe why don't you ask your question and then I'll see if I need more time to review it.

Q Did you understand that this handout was intended to respond to the issues raised in Mr. Terry's December 13th deficiency letter?
A As I mentioned, I don't recall this e-mail, so I can only see what it says here. Which I'm happy to read for you, but I don't have an independent recollection of it from Dave to me.

Q As you sit here today, do you understand that this handout was intended to respond to the December 13th deficiency letter?
A I don't have an understanding either way. I don't recall it.

Q Do you recall attending a meeting in Washington, D.C. with Mr. Terry in December of 2011?
25 A I don't remember what the date was, but, um, that
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sounds about -- that sounds about right. It would have been roughly that time frame.

Q Okay.
And was there a presentation made to the GSA at this meeting by The Trump Organization?

A There was, yes.
Q And your -- and Mr. Trump, Donald J. Trump attended that meeting?

A He did.
Q And he spoke at that meeting?
A I believe he spoke at that meeting.
Q You spoke at that meeting?
A I did, yes.
Q As did other representatives of the Trump Organization?
A Correct.
Q And one of the items they discussed was Donald J. Trump's financial statements; correct?
A I don't recall them having discussed financial statements specifically. I recall that it was -- the whole meeting was mainly about our vision for the project, and presenting it. We had or architect, Arthur Cotton Moore, who was present with us, um, he presented. Andy Weiss, on construction, presented. We talked about our vision for the redevelopment of the project. And I recall spending a good amount of time talking about our experience developing, or
redeveloping, I should say, historic assets, many of them during a time that preceded my involvement at the company. And I believe that's what my father spoke about as well. Specifically, I remember him talking about redeveloping the iconic Plaza Hotel, which was quite similar to this asset, um, in its scale and in its, quite frankly, even in the time frame in which it was built.

So it was a general presentation. So I don't recall, with specificity, any discussion over financial statements.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I would move to strike any portion of that answer other than the last sentence, which related to financial statements, which was my question.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I think the witness is just trying to move this along, as I have been trying to, because he's asking a bunch of questions about what you remember from 12 years ago.

She's testified repeatedly she doesn't have a present recollection. He's not satisfied with that --

THE COURT: Wait, she remembers the meeting.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, she doesn't have a present recollection? She just spent three minutes describing the Plaza Hotel and how it's similar to this -MR. KISE: He --
MR. SOLOMON: May I finish?

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, overruled. I don't think it's good to discuss this with the witness here.

MR. KISE: Fair enough. Fair enough.
THE COURT: Why don't you --
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I'm moving to strike the portion --

THE COURT: Granted, because it was not responsive.
Q The question was just simply, do you recall, at that meeting, discussing Donald J. Trump's financial statements in any way, shape or form?

MR. KISE: Are we still arguing?
A I don't recall that.
THE COURT: We are not still arguing.
MS. HABBA: She did answer that.
THE COURT: After she gave a whole speech.
MS. HABBA: It was in the beginning.
A The caveat would be the intention of the meeting was to talk about our vision for the project, so that's what I recall
I. Trump - by Plaintiff - Direct (Mr. Solomon) Page 3762 I.
having worked so hard on and having brought to light in that conversation.

Q Are you conflating the initial meeting with the GSA with the meeting that occurred in December of 2011?

A I believe there was only one meeting. I don't recall there being two separate meetings. I recall it was -- we were called once to share our vision for the property. I don't recall there being two separate meetings.

THE COURT: Can you represent, as an officer of the court, that there were two meetings.

MR. SOLOMON: I cannot, your Honor; that's why I was asking.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Because the way the witness described, it was the vision for the entire project, yet the December 13th meeting in this handout that the witness doesn't recall, even though she received it, describes responses to the deficiency letter from the contracting officer.

MR. KISE: But, your Honor, there were lots of people at the meeting. It may not have been this witness' role to discuss the things that Mr. Solomon is interested in, and I don't know why he is getting so frustrated when the witness tells him that. Like, okay, this is what I remember about the meeting. I remember our vision, I
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remember these things. I don't remember the --
MR. SOLOMON: My question --
THE COURT: He didn't ask that.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
THE COURT: He asked whether she remembered the discussion of financials, not what happened at the meeting, because -- would you like to clarify the situation, witness?

THE WITNESS: No. Just like I said, I only recall
one in-person meeting. Everything had been done -- our requests for proposal, everything had been done via paper. I recall one in-person meeting that I remember the intention was prior to selection of bringing the project to life and sharing -- that's why -- that was the purpose of the meeting, as I remember it, not financial statements or anything granular like that, but a big picture discussion of the vision and, um, our experience from a construction perspective; that's why I mentioned The Plaza.
(Continued on the next page.) 

Q Did there come a time that the Trump Organization was awarded the contract for the Old Post Office?

A Yes.
Q At that point in time had Colony dropped out as a partner in the deal?

A I can't recall when Colony dropped out, but I believe it was prior to us being awarded the project.

Q Did you then attempt to obtain financing for the project?

A Yes.
MR. SOLOMON: I am going to mark as PX 1302 for identification.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: We will break at ten of. MR. SOLOMON: Okay.
THE COURT: That clock is a minute or two fast by my phone, so we will call this the eight minute warning.
Q Have you had a chance to review the exhibit?
A I have, yeah. Thank you.
Q This is a series of e-mails, the top is from you to Jared Kushner, correct?

A Yes, I see that.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, before the witness
answers, and we may need to have her step out, but this is bordering on marital privilege, which I know Your Honor is aware of from the other witnesses. This is communications between a husband and a wife.

THE COURT: I think we call it spousal privilege, but same deal.

Mr. Solomon, I Trust you recognize that as well. You must have gone to law school.

MR. SOLOMON: I do, Your Honor. These are business terms. This is nothing private between a --

THE COURT: I don't think there is an exception for that.

MR. KISE: There is no business term exception to the spousal privilege. They can bring their expert, Mr. Cohen, back on that issue.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, this has been produced by the Trump Organization. It was given to the Trump Organization, it was in their files, so any privilege that might have attached was waived years ago and was clearly waived when it was produced to us.

THE COURT: I am more interested in the former than the latter.

Just for the edification, Mr. Robert, is there an exception to the spousal privilege just for business information?
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MR. ROBERT: I am not aware of it.
THE COURT: I am not aware of it either. But waived, overruled. And there are third parties on the string.

MR. SOLOMON: I was going to point out that later down on the stream, not necessarily the top two e-mails, but the parties on the stream later down would waive any further privilege

MR. KISE: To the extent of Your Honor's ruling, we note an exception to it. But then this document is waived, the privilege as to this document. That doesn't waive the actual communications. He can't ask the witness about communications she had with her husband at this time contemporaneously. You can't go there. You may be able to ask, okay, the document is waived but.

MR. SOLOMON: With all due respect, that's as to the subject matter of the document.

MR. KISE: No.
MR. SOLOMON: I am not asking about other communications between Ms. Trump and Mr. Kushner. I am focusing solely on the document, the information in the document, and that's what has been waived.

MS. HABBA: No.
MR. KISE: Does Mr. Solomon have a case that supports the subject matter waiver for spousal privilege?
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I don't believe there is one.
THE COURT: Give me one second.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I have a suggestion that may address this. If we go question by question I think this may actually not necessarily impact what counsel was concerned about.

THE COURT: All right. Let's do that.
MR. SOLOMON: Because Your Honor has ruled that
its been waived based upon the document.
THE COURT: Okay. We have a couple of minutes let's make use of it.
Q Let's look at the subject on the bottom of page one from Mr. Orowitz to you and Mr. Kushner.

So we can avoid any issue there. Bottom of page one subject: Cap One feedback re OPO. Do you see that? A Ido, yes.
Q Is that the Capital One feedback concerning the potential Old Post Office loan?

A It appears that way. I don't recall Capital One's involvement in the Old Post Office.

Q Okay. If you look on page two of three at the bottom ending e-mail on June 17 of 2013. Jim Petrus to Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. Greenblatt. Do you see that? A Ido.
Q Who is Mr. Petrus?

A Jim Petrus. (Peetrus ph.)
Q Petrus?
A He was the head of the hotel collection.
Q You stated you had a call with Peter over at Capital
One and his initial thoughts right now on the loan are as below.

Does that refresh your recollection that you spoke with Capital One about potential financing for the OPO transaction?

A I have a vague recollection, but I think you may have mentioned this to me a year and a half ago. Because I --

THE COURT: Closer to the mic, please.
A I don't recall having spoken to Capital One about it.
Q Do you have any reason to believe the information you put in your e-mail is inaccurate in any way?

A No.
Q Okay. Principal amount is identified as 110 million. Do you see that?
A Ido, yes.
Q Term three plus one plus one, what did you mean or what do you understand that to mean?
A I would be speculating sitting here today as I don't recall this, but probably years, three years plus an additional two.

Q Right. Three year term for the loan plus two
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potential one year extensions?
A It seems that way, yes.
Q Rate probably L plus 3.75-4 percent. Is that LIBOR plus 3.75 to 4 percent?

A I see that, yes.
Q Is that what you meant there?
A Same as before, I -- I don't recall this e-mail so I would be guessing as to what I meant --

Q You cite her --
A -- over a decade ago. But that sounds reasonable.
Q Okay. If you go further up, while the next e-mail is from Mr. Kushner to you, it is on a chain that you forward on to Mr. Orowitz. Do you see that?

A Ido, yes.
Q Okay. So let's talk about that. Mr. Kushner says: "You can get better pricing for such low LTV." Did you understand LTV to be loan to value?

A Yes. That makes sense.
Q "Natixis will give you 140 million at that rate most likely. Should I show it to them?"

Do you see that?
A I do see that, yes.
Q Why were you showing a Trump Organization potential transaction to Mr. Kushner?

MR. KISE: Objection. This is getting into the
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Cassandra, blah blah blah, versus Petal. 565 F.Supp 557.
And the basic point is, if you use a work e-mail that is subject to being seen by other people, you waive the confidentiality. The e-mail here was from a work e-mail to a work e-mail. I'll call B to B, business to business.

So I'll let the defendants make a statement or argue this if they want.

And then also the question: Why did you send this -- or ask Jared, her husband, about this, to me that doesn't disclose a confidential communication between spouses. It is a question that is basically a business question. What was the business purpose.

Why don't I hear from Mr. Solomon for 30 seconds and the defendants, if they want, for two or three minutes.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I would also note, picking up your point beyond the business e-mail, when there is a business communication, another First Department case Security Settlement Corporation v. John Paul 128 AD2d 429, 1987. The application was also properly denied on the merits, in as much as no marital privilege would attach to the ordinary business records sought here. And testimony as to "ordinary business matters" of a spouse, citing People v. Melski 10 NY2d 78, 80. And then there is a jump site.

THE COURT: I totally adopt that also.
That's completely relevant here.
MR. SOLOMON: Right. Which goes to the point I raise, Your Honor, about it being a purely business communication, which is an exception to the privilege.

THE COURT: Did you know about that case beforehand or you found it during lunch?

MR. SOLOMON: I will indicate that our strong bench was responsible for that.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's hear from the other strong bench.

MR. KISE: So, Your Honor, without reading your cases, I would -- I'll just say for the record, because I already know where we are going to wind up, the Melski case that they cite is contextually different. I am not going to debate it. There is no point. The document itself -- that speaks to the document itself. As well, everything that you and counsel have put on the record speaks to the document itself, not to the communications they may have had. I would disagree that the
conversations they had would now be opened up under some sort of subject matter waiver.

Finally, I think one thing to keep in mind is that the privilege really belongs to the spouse, who isn't here. So Mr. Kushner is not here. It doesn't necessarily
belong to the witness. The privilege belongs not to the witness, but to the spouse against whom the testimony is offered. And so that is the -- I have to find the cites because my handwriting is not what it used to be, my ability to --

THE COURT: Well --
MR. KISE: The Prink case 48 NY2d 309. And the Fediuk, I think that's how you pronounce it, 66 NY2d 881.

But to the extent the Court is ruling that the document comes in, which we will take exception to, for the reasons stated, certainly any communications other than the document, if the document comes in they can ask, did you send this, did you not send this, is this what it says here, is this what it says here. But going behind that would invade the privilege, particularly as it relates to Mr. Kushner, who isn't here to invoke the privilege. So she cannot be compelled, the witness cannot be compelled to answer questions about her communications with her spouse, when her spouse, who also retains the privilege, isn't even in the courtroom.

THE COURT: Well, we will address the particular questions as they arise. But the question why did you send this or communicate, that's clearly not privileged. So let's get the witness.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
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COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, let's continue. MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
Q Before the lunch break we were looking at PX-1302.
The court officer has put it in front of you.
(Handing.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q And we were on page two of three. We had talked about your e-mail to Mr. Petrus -- did I get that right this time?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And Mr. Orowitz?
A That's correct.
Q And then you had forwarded onto Mr. Kushner right above that. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q And the question I had for you is, why did you send this to Mr. Kushner?

\section*{THE COURT: Please answer.}

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
A My husband also was in real estate and would have perspective for me. And so periodically we would discuss what we were working on specific to real estate and more generally speaking.

\section*{Q Speaking?}

A Well, you asked me why I would forward something to him, and that's why I would forward something to him. I recall telling him, I don't remember this exact exchange, but it was not uncommon that I would ask my husband's perspective on something that I was working on.

Q And after forwarding it on to him, you then asked Mr.-- well, you asked Dave, I assume that's Mr. Orowitz on the e-mail above; do you see that?

A Ido.
Q To send Jared, that's Mr. Kushner, correct?
A Yes.
Q The latest OM, as well as the THC presentation. Is OM offering memorandum?

A That would be my best guess, yes.
Q And THC is the Trump Hotel Chicago?
A No, probably the Trump Hotel Collection. I believe that's the anacronym we used.(sic.)

Q Mr. Orowitz then responds to you and Mr. Kushner, with cc to Mr. Flores on the bottom, page one of three: "Hope all is well, see attached for both presentations." So he followed your instructions, right?

A It appears that way, yes.
Q "I wanted to get to you right away, but - before we sent out again -- we are going to remove management fees from
the income statement." Do you see that?
A Ido.
THE COURT: Please speak closer and maybe a little louder too.

THE WITNESS: My apologies, sorry.
A Ido, yes.
Q Did you have a view at that time as to whether it was appropriate to remove management fees from the income statement?

A I don't know. I think that was Dave that was saying that.

Q I understand it was Mr. Orowitz that was saying that. And I am asking, did you have a view at that time as to whether it was appropriate to remove management fees from the income statement?

A Not as I sit here today remembering -- having a perspective on that, no.

Q As you sit here today, do you believe it was appropriate to remove management fees from the income statement?

A I don't know that I have thought about it. I guess it depends, were we managing the hotel? I would need more context to understand if it is appropriate or not appropriate.

Q As you sit here today you don't have a view if it was appropriate or not; is that correct?
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A That's correct, yes.
Q Before we go on to the next document in this series, I want to go back for a moment. We were talking about your recollection or lack of recollection with respect to the response submitted to the GSA for the December 13 efficiency letter; do you recall that?

A Ido.
Q And do you recall -- withdrawn.
I am going to ask the court officer to put in front of you PX-1429, which is already in evidence.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Now, this document is an e-mail from Mr. Orowitz to Mr. Weisselberg, December 16, 2011. Beginning: "Allen, Ivanka wanted me to change the language in the GAAP section. She asked that I review with you."

Does this document refresh your recollection that you had conversations about the GAAP section in the Trump Organization's response to the December 13 deficiency letter?

A No, it doesn't. I don't believe I am on this e-mail. Unless I am.

Q I didn't say you were on the e-mail. I am asking if this letter refreshes your recollection, however --

A No.
Q Please let me finish my question.
-- as to whether you had any role in responding to the GAAP deficiencies noted in the December 13 letter from the GSA?

A No.
Q Do you have any reason to believe Mr. Orowitz was inaccurate in any way when he said you wanted him to change the language in the GAAP section?

A Well, it is a long section. So I don't know which language it is referring to. There is language --

Q Do you have any reason to believe Mr. Orowitz was inaccurate that you wanted any changes in the GAAP section?

A I don't know. You would have to ask Mr. Orowitz.
Q As you sit here today do you have any reason to believe he was inaccurate?

A No.
Q He goes on to state that she -- and I assume that refers to you -- ask that I review it with you, meaning Mr. Weisselberg.

Do you have any reason to believe Mr. Orowitz was inaccurate when he reported that you wanted Mr. Orowitz to review it with Mr. Weisselberg?

A No.
Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: If we could mark PX-327. It is
already in evidence.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
\(Q \quad\) This is an e-mail from Mr. Sullivan to you and
Mr. Orowitz attaching a draft term sheet. Do you see that?
A I do, yes.
Q And the reference is the Old Post Office, correct?
A I see that, yes.
Q Do you recall receiving this document?
A Nope.
Q Do you have any reason to believe you did not receive this document?
A No, I don't.
MR. SOLOMON: We offer it in evidence, Your
Honor - I am sorry, it is already in.
Withdrawn, Your Honor, it is already in.
Q If you look at the third page of this document, start with Facility Type.

A I see that, yes.
Q \(\$ 160\) million. Facility Type is the loan amount in this context?

A Yes.
Q Among other things?
A Yes.
Q And if you turn to page four. Interest costs, top of the page. Do you see that? brokerage statement to verify liquidity, real estate portfolio summary, schedule of contingent liabilities, cash flow statement and limited review of most recent tax returns. Do you see that?

A I do.
Q Were you aware that that was a condition precedent to Deutsche Bank making the loan on the Old Post Office?

MR. KISE: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Overruled. That's not a legal conclusion. That's common sense.

MR. KISE: Condition precedent? That's definitely a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: If the bank says you have to do \(X\), \(Y, Z\) to get this loan, to ask the witness does she understand that guarantor had to do X or Y or Z , that doesn't take a legal conclusion. I understand condition precedent would tend to be a legal word.

Is there some way you can change that? Necessary condition? Pre condition?

MR. SOLOMON: Is Your Honor sustaining the objection?

THE COURT: Well I am trying to -- yes.
Q Did you understand that based upon this term sheet,
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that this term sheet recited a condition precedent to making the loan was or were all of the items in bullet point that I just read, the fourth one from the bottom?

THE COURT: All right. Just rephrase it without using the term "condition precedent."

MR. SOLOMON: That's the language -- Your Honor that's the language used in the document. That's what I am asking.

THE COURT: But that's not a layperson's, a non-lawyer's understanding.
Q Did you understand that before making this loan, Deutsche Bank required the guarantor to provide the information contained in the fourth bullet point from the bottom with respect to financial information as previously delivered and all of the other items identified therein?

THE COURT: Thank you.
A Not with that specificity, no. I generally understood that there was a personal guarantee that was a condition of the loan. And then there was a series of other requirements that were fulfilled by the team in accordance with the terms of the loan. But this level of granularity was not -- was not something I could sit here today and say that I recall.

Q At some point in time did your company, Ivanka OPO LLC, become a member of Trump Old Post Office LLC?
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A I don't recall what the entity names are, but that sounds reasonable that it would be one of my entities relating to the Old Post Office.

MR. SOLOMON: Could we have PX-1333.
I am going to ask to hand up what I have marked
for identification as PX-1333.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q While you are looking at it, I will identify that it is a document Trump Old Post Office Member Corp., Exhibit D. Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement.

And the second page is the Second Amended and
Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Trump Old Post Office LLC (a Delaware Limited Liability Company)?

A \(\mathbf{M m}-\mathrm{Hm}\).
Q Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q And if you look on page 37 of 42 , is that your signature under Ivanka OPO LLC?

A For page?
Q Thirty-seven of 42.
A That is my signature, yes.
Q Okay. Do you recognize your father's signature on behalf of DJT Holdings LLC?

A I do, yes.
Q Do you recognize Donald J. Trump Jr.'s signature on behalf of Don OPO LLC?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Do you recognize Eric Trump's signature on behalf of Eric OPO LLC?

A I believe so, yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer this in evidence.

MR. KISE: Objection, statute of limitations and relevance.

THE COURT: Overruled. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1333 by the Court.)
Q If you look on the page three of 42, please.
A Okay.
Q And the fifth whereas clause. Does that refresh your recollection along with the signature blocks as to the entity known as Ivanka OPO LLC?

A As I said to you, it sounded like a reasonable entity name for the Old Post Office and my interest in it.

Q Was it your understanding by entering into this agreement that you, through Ivanka OPO LLC, obtained an interest in the entity known as Trump Old Post Office LLC?
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A Yes, I don't know how the interest was structured, I think it was a profit participation, and that interest was disclosed to the GSA. But I don't -- I don't recall exactly how it was structured.

Q You have no reason to doubt that you had an interest in --

A No.
Q -- the entity known as Trump Old Post Office LLC, do you?

A No, I don't.
Q Did there come a time -- withdrawn.
Do you have a revocable trust?
MR. SOLOMON: Is that the pronunciation we all agreed upon?

THE COURT: I think we like that one better, yes, revoc-able versus re-vocable, (ph.) are we doing revoc-able?

Let's ask the witness.
How would you pronounce it?
THE WITNESS: I am going to go with revoc-able, but re-vocable sounds great. I think it is re-vocable.

THE COURT: I think you just changed your mind there.
Q Do you have one of those trusts?
A I don't know if it is active, but I believe -- I
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THE COURT: Overruled.
A I believe you said "since January 2017."
Q Between January 17 and present.
A Yes, I believe we discussed it this morning. I
received a payment upon the sale of the asset.
Q Did you receive any other payments on behalf of -- or
in respect of your interest in the OPO Hotel?
A I don't believe so.
Q Okay.
Do you recall the approximate amount of the payment you received upon the sale?
A Not the exact amount, no.
THE COURT: He didn't ask for an exact amount, or
he didn't mean exact amount.
MR. SOLOMON: I have a document, your Honor. I
think we could move forward more quickly this way.
I'm going to ask to hand to the witness PX-1373, which is already in evidence.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q I understand you are not on this e-mail chain, but I
would direct your attention to the bottom e-mail from Patrick
Birney, with Donna Kidder, with the cc to Mark Hawthorn and

\section*{Michael Levchuck?}

A I see that yes.
Q You see distributions based upon a sale proceeds from OPO; correct?

A I see that, yes.
THE COURT: Please, a little louder and/or a little closer, or a lot louder and a lot closer.
A I see that yes.
Q I believe this has previously been identified as the distribution from the proceeds from the OPO sale. Do you have any reason to doubt that?
A I'm not, as you noted, copied on this chain, but I've
-- it - so I don't know one way or another if this is the waterfall.
Q Did you receive approximately \(\$ 4\) million from the proceeds of the OPO sale?
A That's consistent with my recollection, yes.
MR. SOLOMON: We have nothing further at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
Will there be any cross examination of the witness at this point?
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)

MS. HABBA: Yes.

THE COURT: I was going to say, I gather that is a yes.

MR. SUAREZ: Yes.

\section*{CROSS EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. SUAREZ:}

Q Good afternoon, Ms. Trump.
A Hello.
Q My name is Jesus Suarez. I represent certain of the defendants in this case.

Ms. Trump, what role did you have, if any, in creating Statements of Financial Condition for your father?

A None, that I'm aware of.
Q Were you responsible for preparing Statements of Financial Condition for your father?
A No, I don't believe so.
Q Were you responsible for reviewing Statements of Financial Condition for your father?
A No.
Q Were you responsible for approving Statements of Financial Condition for your father?
A No.
THE COURT: Well, she said she had no role, so you really didn't have to ask those questions, but let's just move on.

MR. KISE: So we are getting -- your Honor, I'm

Page 3793
1 just going to note that we haven't even been at it one
2 minute and we are already getting a "hurry up" after they
3 spent hours going over clearly irrelevant documents. I'm
4 just going to note that on the record. Your Honor, that is
grossly unfair, respectfully.
THE COURT: Okay. I disagree.
Q Were you responsible, personally, for submitting the
Statements of Financial Condition to anyone?
A No.
Q Were you responsible for assigning asset values that
were assigned to specific assets in the Statements of Financial Condition?

A I don't believe so.
Q Were you responsible for developing the methodologies used to value specific assets in the Statements of Financial Condition?
A Could you repeat that last one.
Q Were you responsible for developing the methodologies used to value specific assets in your father's Statements of Financial Condition?

A No.
Q Are you familiar with the Private Wealth Management Group at Deutsche Bank?
A Yes.
Q Earlier in your testimony you described Rosemary
and there, I really -- that was my experience, was dealing with
Rosemary and her team predominantly.

Q Would it be fair to say you developed a professional working relationship with Ms. Vrablic?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q She was the banker assigned to Deutsche Bank to manage the relationship with your family and its businesses; correct?
A She was one of the bankers. She had a team alongside of her. She was my primary point of contact though.
Q And her job, together with her team, was to help develop the relationship between Deutsche Bank and your family; correct?
A That is correct, yes.
Q In fact, it was her job to help the bank make money by
developing its relationship with your family; correct?
. Trump - by Plaintiff - Cross (Mr. Suarez)
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\section*{A Presumably.}

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, how would this witness know what her responsibility -- Ms. Vrablic's responsibilities were internally at the bank?

THE COURT: I see the point but, I'll allow the question. And she answered it.
Q Did Ms. Vrablic do a good job?
A Yes. We were very pleased with the relationship from when it was initiated to at least what I could speak to, which is up until the time I left the company.

Q She introduced different products that the Private Wealth Management Division offered to your family and its business; correct?
A Periodically.
Q She explained products that were available to members of the Private Wealth Management Division?

A Correct, yes.
Q And those products included loans; correct?
A Correct.
Q And Ms. Vrablic would have explained to you and to your family's business the benefits of banking through the Private Wealth Group; correct?

A Yes, she was -- expressed tremendous excitement to have our account.

Q And Ms. Vrablic would have served as a conduit between

Deutsche Bank and your family's business for purposes of explaining what those opportunities were?
A She may have. My relationship with Rosemary was pretty high level, as I testified earlier. We would have preliminary discussions typically focused on an asset where I would describe, um, the property, the opportunity, what we envisioned for its development potential. And then she would have a team behind her who would dig into the details.

Q And from time to time, Deutsche Bank would present you with other investment opportunities?

\section*{A Periodically.}

MR. SUAREZ: Could we pull up Defendant's Exhibit 307, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: And zoom in.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was enlarged.)
Q Ms. Trump, if you could please take a second to review this document.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Do you recall receiving this document?
A I don't recall receiving this document, no.
Q Refreshing your recollection with the document, does
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this document remind you of any instances in which the bank would have presented other investment opportunities to you?

A Only in that it seems consistent with them periodically sending us opportunities for investment, but I don't remember this specific example, no.
\(Q\) And would this have been consistent with the bank's practice of developing its relationship with you and your family's business?

A Yes, if they were looking to grow the relationship and expand it.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move to admit Defendant's Exhibit 307.

\section*{THE COURT: Granted. It's in.}
(Defendant's Exhibit 307 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)
Q Deutsche Bank was excited about the relationship it had with your family; correct?

A Yes, they were.
Q And, in fact, Deutsche Bank sought to showcase the relationship that it was developing with your family's business; correct?
A That's a characterization of it that I don't know. All I know is what was expressed to me, which was a level of excitement for the relationship and positive feelings about how it was going throughout the arc of the time that I was there.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
Q Was it the case of Deutsche Bank wanted to showcase your family's relationship with the bank in a promotional video?

A That's quite possible. I don't remember that, but it's quite possible.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up Defendant's 295, pre-marked for identification.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Ms. Trump, if I could please ask you to review this exhibit.

A I see it. Yes, thank you.
Q Is this your e-mail address at the top of this exhibit?
A It was, yes.
Q And would this e-mail refresh your recollection as to a time Deutsche Bank asked you to participate in a promotional video for the bank?
A Vague recollection, but not -- not -- I don't -- I don't recall if I did the video or not.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we would move to admit this exhibit into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
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(Defendant's Exhibit 295 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)
Q Do you recall any discussion with the bank or Ms. Vrablic concerning the different subject areas that they wanted you to speak about in the video?

A I think more generally, like I -- it's a long time ago now, but like I said, I was constantly told by Rosemary and members of her team how much they appreciated the relationship and were seeking to grow it.

I'm seeing on this chain a reference to the cochairman Jain, which makes me recall a request from, I believe, Rosemary, or her partner, Dominic, to meet with them as one of their top clients, as he was coming to America and wanted to meet with individuals that bank with them in New York. So that -- that refreshes my memory on that front, but I don't remember the specific video, no.

Q That's the cochairman of the bank, Anshu Jain?
A Yes.
Q Do you see it says "What was the key differentiator that DB brought to the table versus other competitors?" Do you see that?
A I do, yes.
Q Did you ever provide any feedback to Deutsche Bank as to why you had chosen -- excuse me, why your family's business had chosen to do business with them?
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A I think I testified earlier that, you know, at one point in time my father was considering building the two projects we spoke most extensively about today, Doral and the Old Post Office, in cash, but we had met with Rosemary. We liked the team. We had heard great things about them. We were excited to work with them. We liked their proposal. And so I think if I were asked that question, I would probably say the relationship was a huge point of differentiation.
Q Were you present at a meeting between Anshu Jain and your father?
A I was, yes.
Q Do you recall when that meeting took place?
A I do not.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we please pull up Defendant's Exhibit 223.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q If you could please take a second to review this letter.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)
Q Do you see the date of this letter March 14, 2023?
A I see that.
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1 A Yes, it was -- I recall it being a very, very friendly meeting and him encouraging us to do more business with Deutsche Bank. I think it was a little bit of a sales call, stopping by, talking about the different deals we had pending, um, that I'm assuming Rosemary had briefed him on, and suggesting that we do additional business with the bank.

Q And how did your father respond to the meeting between Mr. Jain and himself?
A It was very positive. There was a very nice -- it was a very nice meeting.

Q Who was present at this meeting?
A I recall my father, of course, being there, um, and myself. Allen Weisselberg may have been present as well.

Q And after this meeting --
A And Rosemary.
Q Ms. Vrablic?
A Potentially Dominic as well, but I don't think so.
Q Who is Dominic?
A Rosemary's partner.
Q Is that Dominic Scalzi?
A Yes.
Q And after this meeting, your family's business continued to do business with Deutsche Bank; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And that included making deposits at Deutsche Bank?
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A I believe so.
Q And that included the family business engaging in loan transactions with Deutsche Bank; correct?
A Yes.
Q And that included those loans resulting in interest being paid to Deutsche Bank; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Was there competition within Deutsche Bank for your family's business?
A I don't know how I would characterize that. I think we discussed before my experience was with the private bank. I viewed it as a team. I'm assuming, at a big multinational bank there would be many such teams, but Rosemary was the one that I was familiar with and established a relationship with.
Q Did your family's business have an existing relationship with Deutsche Bank before you were introduced to Ms. Vrablic?
A Yes.
Q And was it the case that within Deutsche Bank, the relationship shifted over to the Private Wealth Management Group?
A I don't know if it shifted, but that's where my
relationship was, and the loans that I worked on were with Rosemary and her team.

Q And was that because Ms. Vrablic, Rosemary, courted

\section*{your family's business?}

A Yes, in part.
Q Were other business sectors inside of the bank also courting your family's business?
A I can't speak to -- I can't speak to that, but only to the outreach from, from Rosemary and the -- and I guess her boss, the cochairman, and their interest in doing relation -doing business with us.
Q What was your individual role in acquiring withdrawn.

What was your individual role in your family's decision to acquire the Doral property?
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
A Initially, it was being marketed by a brokerage company, um, as part of the bankruptcy reorganization we had discussed a little bit earlier, and we had looked at the project, um, had ended up, I believe, not initially submitting a bid.

There were several people that I recall that were involved at that point in the bidding process, but I remember, then, Michael Asher, who is helping spearhead the process on behalf of -- on behalf of the sellers, reaching out and re-engaging us in the bid process.

Um, and I remember the very inconvenient timing of his
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phone call, because I think I may have been in the hospital, or about to go to the hospital with my first child. And he called and he said -- I may have actually been in the hospital -- that if we were interested, um, we had the opportunity to be the stalking horse bidder for the project. And it was pursued from that point forward, and multi-year multi-million dollar renovation, soup to nuts, of the property was embarked on, and a redevelopment.

Q Why was Deutsche Bank selected as the lender in that project?

A Well, I spoke before about the relationship, and they had a stellar one, and one that was directly told to me was excellent. And it was a whole multitude of factors, obviously. They gave us a firm sheet with terms that we were interested in pursuing.

So there was a whole series of reasons why we chose to do a deal with them. I don't think it's one thing sitting here today.

Q Was the development of the relationship by Ms. Vrablic a factor in that decision?
A Oh, of course, yeah.
Q And, of course, there were other options to finance that acquisition; correct?
A There were. I think we spoke about a few of them today. I'm sure there were more that I can't recall, um, over

\section*{12 years out.}

Q It certainly would have been possible for your family's business to self-finance the acquisition; correct?

\section*{A We discussed it.}

Q And it would have been possible to talk to any number of different lenders who could have provided financing for the acquisition; correct?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q It would have been possible to sell and asset and use the proceeds to finance the acquisition; correct?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q How was the Doral property identified as an asset that your family's business was interested in investing in?
A Through the public process I mentioned earlier, but my father had a deep and nostalgic love for that particular property. He used to tell us stories about having gone there as a child with his own father, um, when it was owned by the Kaskel family.

So he told me he took my mother there. They had, at one time, the greatest spa in the world, um, and they had gone there as a couple. I believe I may have even gone there as a child with him as well. So between him being there with his father, on a golf trip with my mother, and I believe me as a small child, he had a lot of, um, sentimental affection for the property, and also a strong feeling that it was not being --
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that it was not living up to its potential, physically, in terms of what the asset had become under the then current ownership, or operationally, based on the operating performance of the management team in place.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q And what was your vision for redeveloping that property?

A Ooh, it was a huge project and Herculian because of the size and scale and enormity and the sheer number of acres, number of rooms. Most of my attention was focused on the actual redevelopment of this asset and OPO. You know, once I had worked with the team and there was high level agreement around the terms of the financing, my attention really went on to the redevelopment of the actual asset, and repositioning it for success, and overseeing the construction and the design of that. So we renovated all 700 plus rooms, ballrooms, meeting spaces, common areas.

I was less involved in the golf component. My father has a particular affinity for golf and a particular vision for that. So typically he would focus on the golf and I would focus on the resort itself.

But it was a -- it was a big project. It was a lot of work, a lot of time, and a lot of energy applied towards an end result that I feel very proud of. And still now that I live in Florida, have the good pleasure of visiting periodically.

THE COURT: Five minute warning.
Q Was that vision for the property conveyed to Deutsche Bank?

A Of course, yes. They were quite excited by it. They
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sent teams of people down to tour the property, to visit the property, and to, you know, experience it in advance of our purchase.

Q What impressions did Deutsche Bank have after the project had been successfully repositioned?

A They were very happy.
MR. SUAREZ: Please pull up Defendant's Exhibit
324.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Ms. Trump, do you recognize this e-mail?
A I don't remember the e-mail. But I do remember, as I think I just stated, that they were really impressed by what we had done over the course of several years in terms of upgrading and refurbishing the property. As I mentioned, Rosemary and her team had been at the property as we were acquiring it, so prior to the renovations. So they saw firsthand the extraordinary change in what the asset had become.

Q Would you agree with me that this is an e-mail that Rosemary Vrablic sent to you on March 8, 2013?

A It appears that way, yes.
Q And do you see where it says: "Ivanka, I am so glad we got to see you and have you show us the new rooms"?

A Yes.
Q Is that a reference to the renovated rooms at the

\section*{Trump Doral?}

A I assume so, yes.
Q It says: "They look amazing. I have had a wonderful time here and it is really impressive to see what you have accomplished so quickly." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And that, again, would have been a reference to the Trump Doral, correct?

A I assume so.
Q Which was the collateral for the loan that Deutsche
Bank made to the -- to your family's business, correct?
A Correct.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move to admit this exhibit into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence. (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 324 by the Court.)

THE COURT: Another two minutes, if you want.
Q And in fact, were they so pleased with the manner that the asset had been repositioned that they agreed to an amendment of the loan terms to let the guaranty on it burn off?

A There was a step down of the guaranty, if I recall, once the property was operational. And they could see that we invested -- what we had invested and obviously verified that
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into the collateral to enhance it and to make it what it now -what it now is.

MR. SUAREZ: We are at a good breaking point.
THE COURT: Okay. A ten-minute break over the next 15 minutes. See you all soon.
(Pause in the proceeding.)
THE COURT: Let's get the witness.
(Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, please continue.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you.
Q Ms. Trump, I understand you weren't really involved in the Trump Chicago project, correct?

A Yeah, just only tangentially.
Q But you are aware that the private wealth group of Deutsche Bank facilitated a loan on that property, correct?

A Yes.
Q And in fact, earlier the government showed you Plaintiff's Exhibit 3239.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull that up, please? And if we could turn to the first page.
Q Dave Goodman. We can go to the following page from Deutsche Bank. I believe you testified earlier today, correct?

A Yes. I don't really recall David, but it sounds vaguely familiar.

Q All right. Let's keep going.

All the way at the top, you see says: "It is not a commitment, it is for discussion purposes only"?

A Ido.
Q Would you have understood these terms and conditions that were presented on this document to be something that could be further negotiated?

A I think that's reasonable. I don't recall this.
Q It could have been further discussed?
A Sure.
Q It was for discussion purposes only?
A That's what it says.
Q It wasn't actually an offer?
A That's what it says.
Q And again, when it came to the Trump Chicago property, there would have been many different financing options that would have been on the table to your family's business, correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q They could have self-financed, correct?
A I believe so.
Q They could have talked to any number of different lenders, correct?

A Yes. I was less involved in Trump Chicago. But it was a very iconic asset on Michigan Avenue in the heart of Chicago.

Q And the private wealth management group was very interested in doing that transaction as well, correct?

A That's what I remember.
Q Turning to the Trump Old Post Office, how did you learn about the opportunity to redevelop the Old Post Office property in Washington DC?

A The General Services Administration put the project out for an RFP as we discussed earlier. And I don't recall exactly how I first saw it, but I believe that I read about it. And it was obviously such an historically significant building, such a beautiful building. I was very, very excited about the opportunity to participate in a process with the hope that it would culminate with us being the developers. Which it did.

Q And what role did you play in the acquisition and development of that project?

A I spearheaded the acquisition and redevelopment, so I wore a lot of different hats, at a high level. But I was most focused on the actual vision for the project and overseeing its design, construction, redevelopment. But also shepherding it through the full process.

It was a very, very complicated project. There was an extended lease negotiation with the GSA, in addition to the RFP process, followed by the redevelopment itself. And since it is an historic building there are many, many different agencies. I feel that I remember there were 20-plus different
agencies we had to work with for various approvals. So the vast majority of my time as it related to the Old Post Office was spent on the actual design and development side of the project.

Q What was your vision for the Old Post Office project?
A Well, when we took over the building it was completely dilapidated. It was a government owned and managed project with a food court in the basement and some commercial office around the perimeter. And we envisioned and then went on to execute redeveloping it into a super luxury hotel.

And I believe we are very successful in that goal, as evidenced by how it looks today and ultimately the purchase price that it sold for, that it was -- it was a labor of love. It was a lot of work to bring that vision to fruition.

We also created a museum honoring the history of the building in the context of the greater Washington DC urban plan, and that was part of our submission. And worked with a renowned \(D C\) architect who had been involved in many historic projects to bring it to fruition.

Q Was this vision that you had for the Old Post Office conveyed to Deutsche Bank?

A Yes, of course.
Q And was Deutsche Bank excited about being the lender on this project?

A Yes. Absolutely.
.Trump - by Plaintiff - Cross (Suarez)
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Q Was Deutsche Bank's decision to participate in this project part of its ongoing effort to develop a relationship with your family and its business?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Use of the word "participate." They were a lender on this project, they weren't a participant.

THE COURT: I assume he means participant in a generic sense, so overruled.

You can answer the question.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
A I recall Rosemary referring to it, I believe, as the crown jewel of their real estate portfolio. It was, and is, an incredibly special, iconic building that I am really proud to have had the opportunity to work on and dedicate a lot of years of my life to redeveloping.

Q Did Deutsche Bank send representatives to visit the project?

A Oh, yes.
Q What were the representatives -- withdrawn.
How frequently would the representatives of Deutsche Bank visit the project?

A That I am not sure. Like I said, you know, my relationship with Rosemary was quite high level. And once there was general consensus around the terms of the loan, I was more involved in focusing on the asset and the redevelopment of
the asset itself, and other people managed the relationship with Deutsche Bank. And on an ongoing basis the hotel operating team, the construction team, the accounting team, would be in contact, as needed.

So, but it definitely, prior to the loan was made,
Rosemary and/or her team would have visited any of the projects
that I talked with them about. And I am assuming on an ongoing basis, but at that point I was less involved.

Q And the private wealth group team would have visited before they made the loan?

A Yes, they did.
Q They would have toured the property?
A They toured the property. And they, for at least Doral and OPO, I can't recall on Chicago, but required independent appraisals of the assets.

Q Earlier today you discussed with the government a meeting between the team at the Trump Organization and the folks over at the GSA; do you recall?

A Ido, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Defendant's
431?
Q Do you recall what date that meeting took place?
A I do not.
Q Do you recognize this document, Ms. Trump?

A I believe this was shown to me this morning. I don't
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know if it is the same one.
Q Do you see that it is the Source Selection Evaluation Report and Recommendation for the Old Post Office?

A I see that's what it says, yes.
Q Do you see on page three of this document it has a schedule, and the schedule includes in the middle of the page development team presentations. Do you see that?

A Yes, I see that.
Q Do you see there it says the RFP date had a TBD, and the actual date for those meetings was December 19, 20 and 21 of 2011?

A I see that.
Q Does that refresh your recollection as to when the meeting with the GSA group would have taken place?

A I think I said earlier it sounds about right, that December timeframe.

We definitely were not there three days. So I -- but I recall that many groups were brought in to present. I assume the finalists in the process.

Q And at the meeting, I would assume the presentation was made by the Trump Organization, correct?

A Correct.
Q And that the GSA had questions, correct?
A Yeah, I don't recall any -- what those specific questions are. I recall that this was our first and I believe
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and see it through to completion.
Q You were not the person who was responsible for answering questions about the company's financial condition, correct?

A I don't recall those questions having been asked at that meeting.

Q Do you recall if all of the questions that were asked were answered to the satisfaction of the GSA?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection. The witness just
indicated she didn't recall any questions being asked.
A I can't speculate, but we were awarded the project subsequent to this meeting, so I would assume so.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page 14.
Q Do you see there where it says notable weaknesses and it reads: "Financial statements provided by Mr. Trump were qualified by his accountants as not complying with GAAP"?

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we are going to object to the use of this document or reading in anything from this document. This witness has never seen this document. She hasn't identified it. It appears to be an internal GSA document. It is classic hearsay.

MR. KISE: So like this morning when they did the exact same thing and you allowed them continuously to read from documents that she couldn't authenticate, didn't have a foundation. This is the document that the GSA
only opportunity to articulate what our vision for the redevelopment was, and to do so in-person. Because the RFP was submitted as a binder to the location, the GSA said to send it to. So this was our, as I recall, our only opportunity to describe our vision for the redevelopment of this iconic asset.

Q What aspects of the presentation were you responsible for that day?

A I think I talked about this earlier, but articulating what our plans for -- at the time it was a complete re-purpose because it was a commercial office building and a food court. I think there was a little bit of retail that wasn't food related, but it was pretty much just that. And we were proposing converting it into a super luxury five-star hotel operation, with world class food, beverage.

And it was a complete -- it was a complete repositioning of the building. So we talked extensively through that. And I think as I mentioned earlier we talked about various redevelopment projects that had been done by the company successfully. So my father had redeveloped the iconic Plaza Hotel. The Commodore Hotel -- former Commodore Hotel here in New York; and 610 Park Avenue. Many others that were historic redevelopments. So we spent quite a bit of time talking about, and I don't recall who spoke about what per se, but spent a lot of time talking about the wherewithal to take on a landmarked historically significant project of this type,
provided that formed the basis for the decision. Mr. Suarez is just simply asking questions, just like Mr. Solomon did this morning, like you have allowed many, many times in this courtroom, of what was the basis for decision and exploring this witness's knowledge of.

If she doesn't have any knowledge of it, like you said, she can say I don't have any knowledge of it. But otherwise I don't see any difference, goose, gander, however you say. I don't really see any material difference here between what is going on all day and what is going on throughout this trial, and what we are doing now. It is the same. This is the foundational document which is a public record. So the Court can take it in in that regard. And there is really no reason that it can't be considered. And whatever knowledge this witness has, she can testify to.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, there is no foundation that this is a public record other than Mr. Kise's representation just now. This is a document that appears to have been produced in connection with this action by the GSA to the defendant. So, that I don't know if Mr. Kise has other information to verify that it is a publicly available document.

MR. KISE: It was.
MR. SOLOMON: May I finish, please?

Number two. Number two. The questions that were asked of this witness on the document were e-mails to and from her that she may not have recalled. There is a basis for asking a witness that.

This is a document she had never seen before today. She has not testified that she ever received it; she ever looked at it; she ever did anything with it or knew of its existence. It is an internal GSA document.

THE COURT: Okay. Objection overruled.
Let's see what the question -- Can we get a readback of the question? Let me hear the readback and then I'll make a final ruling.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
Q Do you see that?
A Ido.
THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Wait. Hold on. I am still trying to figure out how to rule on the objection. I gave a preliminary ruling, this is the final ruling.

Well, you know, I never mind when someone says do you see that on the document. Yes, she sees it.

Do you see that on the document?
THE WITNESS: I do, yes.
THE COURT: All right. Let's see what the next question is.
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terms. And they said -- they asked whether you saw that provision; does it say that; do you have any understanding; do you have any reason to believe that is inaccurate. It is virtually identical to the line of questioning that they pursued of the documents that this witness said the same thing about. No, it doesn't refresh my recollection. Do you have any reason to believe it is inaccurate. It is the same.

Again, if we are going to operate under two sets of standards, that's fine. But I would respectfully suggest that that's incorrect, because this is the same thing that they pursued. They have been doing it the entire course of the trial. They have been showing witnesses document after document with no foundation. I have objected hundreds of times now about foundation, about whether or not they have seen the document.

And they are like, well, it is just for notice, and it is just to ask if that's what it says, and to ask if that's their understanding. So he is asking this witness's understanding. This is the foundational document that provides the basis for the GSA to have awarded the contract. It is a public record because it was received pursuant to a FOIA request. Anybody can do it. It was provided by the GSA, there is no dispute. They have had this document. They have known about it

Q Does that refresh your recollection as to any
discussion concerning the company's financial condition at that meeting?

A It does not, no.
Q Do you have any --
THE COURT: Remember, just a little louder, a little closer.
A It does not, no.
Q Do you have any reason to believe that the GSA's own internal document would be incorrect when it says that the financial statements provided by Mr. Trump were qualified by his accountants as not complying with GAAP?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection, Your Honor. I don't know how this witness would have any idea whether the GSA's own internal documents are accurate, inaccurate; relevant not relevant.

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, I --
THE COURT: Let's not argue about this.
MR. KISE: We should argue about it, respectfully. Because this is exactly what they asked about the GSA bid document this morning that she hadn't seen. It is the same questions. It is a different document from the GSA, but it is the bid document, and they asked questions about Colony Capital and particular
since -- since long since the discovery period.
So I don't see any material difference. I don't see any difference, frankly, material or otherwise, between their line of questioning and our line of questioning, other than its us asking the questions.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, if I may? There is an absolute distinction. It is not the same. The document that I showed the witness earlier today was the submission by the Trump Organization. And the cover letter on the submission was signed by this witness when it was submitted to the GSA. She represented that the information contained in their submission was truthful and accurate. I am entitled to ask the witness questions about her own document where she signed the cover letter and submits it to the GSA. It is completely different than an internal GSA document and asking the witness if she has a reason to believe whether the GSA was accurate or inaccurate. My questions went to the witness's own submission and whether her submission was accurate or inaccurate. So it is night and day different.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, two things:
One, I think he has got you here, as I remember all of this.

Two, we have talked about the concept of continuing objection. I continuously object, I object now
and I continue to object, to your constant insinuations that I have some sort of double standard here. That is just not true. I don't count whether I have sustained more objections for one side or the other. I don't count, you know, the weight, the number of these things. I make every ruling the way I think is correct and fair. So, I have a continuing objection. But you can keep saying it if it makes you happy that I am favoring one side or the other. And you have said this about the rulings in this case. I make the rulings based on the facts and the law as I understand it. So to complain that, oh, my gosh, you are making more rulings in their favor than ours, I don't count them. I just make the rulings as I see them. You know, like the umpire says, call them as I see them. Go ahead.
MR. KISE: All I am going to say, Your Honor, is, as part of an Appellate record I have to make the record. I have to call it like I see it. And unfortunately what \(I\) see is exactly what \(I\) am saying. I wish it were different. I wish we were in a different situation. I respect Your Honor's position. I do, you know that. But I see these rulings constantly going in a different -- let me withdraw that. Frequently going in a different direction, particularly when it comes to issues like this.

\section*{THE COURT: Sustained.}

MR. SUAREZ: But it's not speculation. It's in the General Services Administration document right in front of us.

I'm asking her about a document that relates to the project that she spearheaded and the pitch that she was at that's memorialized in this document.

MR. SOLOMON: A document which your Honor already ruled it's inappropriate to show the witness, and if they try to enter into evidence, obviously we'll object.

So making reference to what's in a document in framing a question creates a hypothetical.

THE COURT: Well, I'm not always against hypotheticals, but I just -- how about a read back of the second time he asked the question.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: That's a ridiculous question. You could ask what she thinks she knows, but referring to this document that is not in evidence, no.

MR. SUAREZ: I'm not trying to move the document into evidence. I'm only trying to show her what the GSA's understanding was as reflected in the their document and asking if she has any reason to dispute it. She was at the pitch. She was a witness to the pitch.

THE COURT: I don't know if she knows anything about this document, whether it's a statement in the GSA's document. I think there's been an authentication.

MR. SUAREZ: You even heard Mr. Solomon get up and tell us it was an internal GSA document. I don't think they dispute that.

THE COURT: He may know it. It is not the same as the record in this case.

MR. KISE: It certainly can come into this case as a public record. It certainly can be admitted as a public record.

MR. SOLOMON: Mr. Kise is representing this as a public record. I think when I was asking questions of Ms. Dillon, if you recall with respect to amendments to a condo plan, there were questions about being a public record, it had to be proved up later. I don't see that Mr. Kise has made any showing sufficient for this document to be deemed to be a public record.

MR. SUAREZ: I'm not even trying to move it into evidence. I'm just trying to ask questions about it.

THE COURT: You had asked her three times, and I really don't understand the question, but you heard it three times slightly different.

I'll ask the witness, can you answer that question? MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, just note my objection
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MR. KISE: Are you objecting to the Judge's question?

THE COURT: Well, I'm just repeating Mr. Suarez's question.

Witness, can you answer that question?
THE WITNESS: I have no reason to believe that the GSA wouldn't be accurate in that assessment.

THE COURT: That's her testimony.
MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry, can I get a read back.
THE WITNESS: I think the question was, do I have any reason to doubt the GSA being accurate in this document. I have no reason to doubt them.

THE COURT: How about --
MR. SUAREZ: I'm moving on.
THE COURT: Instead of "in this document", if they said that somewhere. Plaintiff agrees with that framing of the question?

MR. SOLOMON: Still calls for speculation, but if your Honor has overruled that, we could move on.

THE COURT: So would you doubt it if it was said anywhere, forgetting this document?

THE WITNESS: They were very professional, I have no reason to doubt it.

THE COURT: Thank you.
\(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) The GSA is professional in its valuation of the bid; correct?

A Very much so.
Q It was qualified to understand the information that it was being given; correct?

A Yes.
Q And ultimately made a decision after running its selection process; correct?

A Yes.
Q And the team lead by your family's own business was the successful bidder; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And the project was redeveloped; right?
A It was.
Q And you were involved in the redevelopment of the project; right?

A Yes.
Q What was the response to the redevelopment of the project by the federal government?

A Well, I could speak I couldn't speak for the whole federal government, but I have a few specific recollections I can share with you. Congresswoman Norton, Eleanor Holmes Norton who represented the district and who had spearheaded the push to have this iconic building put out for redevelopment through an RFP process, um, I met with her at her office, at least on one
occasion. I had spoken to her on others. I believe she was there at the groundbreaking ceremony. She may have spoken at it, actually. She was -- I have recollection of her being present. But she was, in my conversations with her, um, very pleased by our plan, our proposal.

She issued some statements, I think, speaking to about me, specifically, maybe not my father, but about her appreciation for what we had done. And this was an asset that she was very, very close to because, of course, it was in her district.

I also recall, at some point, maybe in -- I don't know what year, but sometime after we had been awarded the project, um, but some -- I believe it was prior to us actually opening, I, um - there was outreach from Congressman Shuster, I believe, if -- I think it was Congressman Shuster and Congressman Nadler, Jerry Nadler, asking if I would come to Brooklyn and speak in front of an infrastructure committee meeting they were having about the redevelopment of the old post office. And I understood from the request that it was viewed as a very, very successful public/private partnership. And they wanted to encourage more RFP's of federal government buildings, of which there are many beautiful, iconic, but also underutilized buildings.

Um, in this particular case I think I remember that before we took over the old post office building, it was costing
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taxpayers, I believe -- and this is a little bit of an estimate -- around seven-and-a-half million dollars a year in upkeep to maintain the historic building; the marble, to keep it in good shape. And because it was being utilized, and obviously when we took over the building, taxpayers stopped funding into that.

So Congressman Nadler, and I believe his counterpart was Shuster, I believe it was the transportation or infrastructure committee asked me, and I went to Brooklyn to speak about the project and what our plans were and what we had done to date, and my positive experience dealing with the federal government through the bid process.

Q And to be sure, the two congressional democrats that you just listed are members of the United States House of Representatives; correct?
A Yes, there was -- I believe --
MR. SUAREZ: You know, I'm glad that the government thinks it's funny for us to try to put on our case and to put on evidence of the great work that this family has done for the United States government, and while they are sitting here trying to destroy thousands of jobs in this state. I have to sit here and ask questions and listen to them laugh? That's insulting, your Honor, I'm sorry.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, move to strike that last speech.

MR. SUAREZ: They are striking -- they are
laughing, sitting back here laughing. They think this is funny. It's not funny.

THE COURT: Well --

\section*{A One of the things, just because --}

MR. SOLOMON: First, there's no question pending.
There's also a motion to strike the last speech by Counsel.
MR. SUAREZ: I want the record to reflect that the government is sitting here laughing at their attempts to destroy this company.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think they were laughing at that. I think they are laughing at the fact that the witness testified, or said three, four, five times that these were congressional representatives.

Now you are asking if they are congressional representatives. I know Jerry Nadler, he is a congressional representative. Mr. Shuster, I know the name, I think from Pennsylvania -- does that make sense?

THE WITNESS: I think that's right. Maybe they found the question funny, but I will strike what he said. Q You can answer.
A I don't know if there was a question pending, but we were talking about congressional representatives and congresswoman Holmes Norton, and you just mentioned jobs that were created.

The number one thing she cared about was jobs,
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\section*{reaction?" Not how proud you were of it?}

THE WITNESS: No, no, what I was saying, I believe that they thought that we had over delivered on the initial vision we had presented to them, so I think they were very, very happy.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we please pull up Defendant's Exhibit 299.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q I would ask the witness to please review this document.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Do you recognize this document as an e-mail sent by Rosemary Vrablic to you, Ms. Trump?
A I have a vague recollection of it, but not specifically.
Q Do you see where it says, "My direct boss, Michele Fassiola (he's Italian) would like to call you and your dad to thank you for being such great clients and for all the business we have been doing together."
A I see that, yes.
Q It says, "Your family is in the top ten revenue
generating names of Asset and Wealth Management now, and he is thrilled with how it's grown."

Do you see that?

1 A I do, yes.
2 Q Is that consistent with your understanding of Deutsche
3 Bank's reaction to its business relationship with your family and its businesses?

A It is, yes.
Q Ms. Trump, earlier the government directed your attention to your lease of a unit at the Trump Park Avenue, 8 Penthouse 20?
9 A Yes.
10 Q Do you recall that testimony?
A Ido.
Q How did you come to lease Penthouse 20?
A I had lived in the building for -- for some time, with an exception of at one point living downtown. So around the
time I was pregnant with my second child, we entered into a lease to rent the unit, Penthouse 20.
Q Did the lease come with an option to buy?
A Yes, it did.
Q How was the purchase price on that option negotiated?
A I don't recall the specifics. We never exercised the option, so I don't remember.
Q Did the lease require you to invest money in the renovation of that penthouse?

A Yes, this was refreshed for me a year and a half ago when we -- during my questioning by Mr. Solomon. The lease
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required me to invest, I believe, six and a half-million dollars, and we ended up investing over seven and a half million dollars in the apartment.

Q What work did you do on the apartment to renovate it?
A Pretty much everything. We completely changed the floor plan. We did a new floor plan, um, to accommodate our family. It was going to be the apartment that we would live in as a family for, hopefully a long period of time, but we ended up moving to Washington, so we didn't fully move in.
Q Why did you leave the family business?
A I went to Washington.
Q You went to Washington to work in your father's presidential administration; is that correct?
A That's correct, yes.
Q Now, when you were working in the family business, were the projects that you did for the OPO and Doral the only things that you were involved with professionally?
A No. I had other roles within the organization as well, overseeing various projects, license projects. I would say that a lot of my time, those were very, very large redevelopment projects. So a lot of time in construction development of those two assets, but at the same time I had my own business. We were doing hundreds of millions of dollars in sales, um, an apparel and accessories business that I had, was totally separate and distinct with no connection to The Trump Organization. A
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25 & examination of this witness. & 25 \\
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MR. SOLOMON: Objection on the document, your Honor. It's hearsay.

MR. SUAREZ: Plaintiffs 299? If we could pull it up.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SOLOMON: I think you said Defendant's 299.
MR. SUAREZ: Defendant's 299.
MR. SOLOMON: Sure.
MR. SUAREZ: It's an e-mail from Ms. Vrablic to Ms. Trump.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in evidence.
(Defendant's Exhibit 299 was admitted in evidence.)
THE COURT: And that's it?
MR. SUAREZ: Nothing further on cross.
THE COURT: Any cross by the other defendants.
MR. ROBERT: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Will there be redirect?
MR. SOLOMON: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Oh, then we are through with this witness; aren't we?

Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: The witness is excused.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the
business that I had on my own. My own brand.
So I had numerous responsibilities, both with the
company and independent of the company, the Trump Hotel
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Exhibit 299, which the witness identified earlier was an e-mail from Ms. Vrablic to Ivanka Trump, I would like to

And I have no further questions on cross
witness stand.)
THE COURT: Let's talk scheduling for tomorrow on the record.

Actually, does plaintiff rest? Let's hear what he's got to say.

MR. WALLACE: I believe we have some documents to admit.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, earlier in this case we introduced two voice messages during the course of Mr. Flores's testimony. We created transcriptions of those voicemails and created them as exhibits. So first would be Plaintiff's - PX-3297A. It is the transcript for one of those voicemails.

And then we subsequently created the second voicemail transcription, PX-3298B. This has been consistent with our practice to provide a transcript to the Court of those recordings. We would ask that the Court admit these consistent with what we've done with other exhibits.

THE COURT: Defendants, would you like to at least take a quick look, or how do you want to address these?

MS. FAHERTY: They've been added. They were in the exhibit share. You've received them.

MR. KISE: I don't know that we've looked at them. To the extent they are representing true and accurate -MS. FAHERTY: Yes.

MR. KISE: -- transcriptions of the recordings, and the recordings, if your Honor has already allowed those into evidence, then we have no objection.

THE COURT: Right. Okay. They are in evidence.
(Plaintiff's Exhibits 3297A and 3298B were deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

THE COURT: Anything else from plaintiff?
MR. KISE: Your Honor, one other thing in that regard, though. I don't believe we have - in their opening there were videos played, and I don't believe we have transcripts of those of the -- or at least even if not transcripts, just like line -- page and line references, because I think those were from - the videos they played there were from the record from the either the depositions or the EUO's, so I just don't think in the record there's a start and stop.

MR. AMER: The clip from Eric Trump's deposition was played during his testimony and was admitted as an exhibit. The transcription was admitted as an exhibit. So that one certainly you have. And I think the others -MR. WALLACE: We could go check.
MR. KISE: If you tell us the page and number, we have no objection to it at all. The page and line references -I think Michael Cohen was one of them. There may have been one from Patrick Birney. I don't remember
them all, but whatever they are.
Again, there's no objection. It's just page and line references would be good or useful to have. I'm sure they have them.

MR. AMER: That's fine. We'll provide it.
THE COURT: You'll provide -- you know what he is asking for exactly?

MR. AMER: Sure.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wallace, anything else? MR. WALLACE: So --
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, your Honor. We have no further witnesses to produce at this time. I will note, however, we left open the testimony of Mr. Weisselberg pending the document issues. I believe we are still waiting for production material from the defendants that raised that issue. So apart from the reserving our right to recall Mr. Weisselberg, we have nothing further.

We have nothing further on our case in chief, your Honor.

MR. KISE: So do I understand that to mean the plaintiff rests?

THE COURT: Subject to that one condition. MR. WALLACE: Subject to that condition.
MR. KISE: Well, then, we don't have to do it
resting, and if something comes to their attention that would support a reopening of the case, then they could make that function.

I don't know -- by the way, I tend to think all of this is a tempest in a teapot with respect to discovery. Nonetheless, we will continue to proceed informally without anyone waiving their rights. I just want to be clear that at this stage we are not precluded from moving for a directed verdict, for example, because the CPLR -- our expert is here, not me, but that's at the close of the case, the close of the evidence.

THE COURT: Yes, but maybe we could all agree, but this goes back about five minutes ago, that plaintiff is resting conditional, and defendant can move for a directed verdict, or whatever else you want to do. I suppose if plaintiff wants to say, but wait a minute, we can counter that with Mr. Weisselberg's e-mail, testimony, whatever, then they'll make that argument. So I agree, it's a tempest in a teapot. We don't really have an issue here.

Plaintiff would you agree?
MR. WALLACE: Yes. We will rest, and if we need to reopen due to any issues of Mr. Weisselberg, we will make an application to do that.

THE COURT: Okay. So I think that's agreed to. We have scheduling issues. I'll ask Allison to
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Proceedings \\
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2 & today. Since there's five minutes, we could take this up \\
3 & Meaning, that they are not entitled to it. We have \\
4 & informally, as you know, agreed to work on this. We have \\
5 & been working on it, and we can provide information in that \\
6 & regard. But I would just state on the record there are -- \\
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8 & there's no such thing as post NOI discovery at trial, just \\
9 & -- there's nothing of the kind. \\
10 & MR. WALLACE: If not - Chris, if we are not \\
11 & actually following the procedure you -- \\
12 & THE COURT REPORTER: Im sorry, I can't hear. \\
13 & MR. WALLACE: We're doing that. We will renew the \\
14 & letter the Judge asked us to submit and we'll do that on the \\
15 & record. If you are going to produce the e-mails, we'll take \\
16 & a look at that following the procedure. I thought we were \\
17 & agreeing to -- \\
18 & MR. KISE: We've agreed, informally, without \\
19 & waiving any rights, and I don't think they've waived any \\
20 & rights, but when it comes down to whether they rest or not, \\
21 & certainly if they are saying they rest, and that gives us \\
22 & the opportunity to put on our case and to move for directed \\
23 & verdict and do those things, um, subject to -- they could \\
24 & always ask you to reopen the case. They could do that. But \\
25 & it's not a reservation of rights. It's simply just, we are \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 take over.
MS. GREENFIELD: So the cross, you had initially anticipated was going to be three to four hours. That obviously is not the case. So we have time for a witness tomorrow. So who can we bring in tomorrow?

MR. KISE: We do we don't have any witness for tomorrow because we planned on Monday for the start date.

MS. GREENFIELD: Because you told us you had four hours of cross examination.

MR. KISE: Based on -- first of all, that was based on the direct -- their direct testimony. And in an effort to streamline the proceedings in accordance with the Judge's wishes, I'm certain that we could have had five hours of questioning, but given the -- given the state of the proceedings and given where they are, in the interest of efficiency, we decided to do what we did. I don't think there's a penalty associated with that.

THE COURT: All right. So I think, fine, tomorrow we'll have motions. Will you tell us what those motions will be.

MR. KISE: Directed verdict.
THE COURT: How long do you think it will take you to present that motion.

MR. KISE: I think we'll be done, maybe both sides, by the morning, unless they have motions. Although, I did
    see a motion on expert issues --
    THE COURT: Right, right.
    MR. KISE: -- that we'll need to address. I also
    don't think that will take -- I would still think we would
    be out of here by lunch break. I mean, that's certainly my
    hope, if I'm honest.
    MR. AMER: I think that's right. Certainly our
    motion on the experts shouldn't take too long.
    THE COURT: Although, it was pages and pages.
    MR. KISE: It was. There's a goose and there's a
    gander. I'm sure you'll hear that again tomorrow.
    MR. AMER: That leaves less to argue then.
    MR. KISE: There - and the directed verdict motion
    will take some time to present, but I don't anticipate that
        it will be extensive where we'll be here all day.
            THE COURT: The opposition to the motion for a
        directed verdict might take a long time.
            MR. KISE: It could. I can't control that. I will
        leave that in their hands, certainly.
            THE COURT: All right. So both side, we agree,
        will be here 10:00 tomorrow. And if plaintiff moves --
        obviously if the motion for directed verdict is granted, we
        won't have to worry about the expert.
            MR. KISE: That would be good.
            THE COURT: In the interest of judicial efficiency
the other -- it's always the defendants, say "the government." Sounds like the federal government. You don't represent the federal government, do you?

All right. So we agree tomorrow just the morning, just motions. Any other housekeeping; that way Mr. Kise can have the afternoon off.

MR. KISE: You hear them cheering outside.
THE COURT: Have a good evening, everyone.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, your Honor.
(The case on trial was adjourned to November 9, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.)
and lawyer efficiency, I noticed that on defendant's proposed witness list you have McConney two days. I think there's at least one or two other people. Can you have witnesses ready in case it doesn't take two days?

MR. KISE: We do. We do. In the event it doesn't take that long, yes, but I think Mr. McConney, in order to go through the specifics, will take the balance of those days. And whatever cross they have. I would anticipate that they would have cross.

THE COURT: And, yeah, I understand. We are still looking at a December 15th?

MR. KISE: We are. The only reason why I haven't provided the further calendar is because we are waiting on witnesses to confirm availability on particular dates. And rather than confuse the issues with changing it, I'm going to try to get it as close as possible to where we are, but I --
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceedings.)

MR. KISE: If the government proceeds as we have proceeded, where we put on our witness that they've already crossed and doesn't spend too much time on cross, we may be out of here before December 15th. I'm not suggesting that they need or want to do that.

THE COURT: That's funny to me whenever one side or
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\hline 3776:21;3804:6 & handwriting (1) & Hi (2) & 3764:25;3765:2,9,16; & 3766:13;3770:2; \\
\hline guessing (2) & 3773:4 & 3712:7;3716:13 & 3767:3,8;3771:16; & 3771:9;3774:22 \\
\hline 3749:14;3769:8 & happened (2) & high (9) & 3772:4,12;3773:25 & husband's (1) \\
\hline guests (1) & 3744:25;3763:6 & 3678:20;3687:2; & 3779:14,15;3781:22; & 3775:5 \\
\hline 3665:6 & happening (2) & 3692:2;3743:4;3796:4; & 3782:6;3784:8; & hypothetical (1) \\
\hline guided (1) & 3664:15;3681:3 & 3801:13;3808:7; & 3790:15;3791:19; & 3827:12 \\
\hline 3742:6 & happens (1) & 3813:17;3815:23 & 3792:25;3793:4; & hypotheticals (1) \\
\hline guise (1) & 3682:22 & highlight (2) & 3795:2;3797:11; & 3827:14 \\
\hline 3708:22 & happy (4) & 3679:9;3748:3 & 3798:23;3810:13; & \\
\hline & 3758:15;3809:6; & highlighted (1) & 3819:17;3820:17; & I \\
\hline & hard (4) & highlighting (1) &  & 7) \\
\hline HABBA (20) & 3674:7;3684:15; & 3748:6 & 3828:25;3829:20; & 3760:5;3812:24; \\
\hline 3692:13;3696:24; & 3762:1;3838:11 & highly (1) & 3832:22,23;3834:16; & 3815:13;3818:5,19; \\
\hline 3697:5,11,12;3698:3, & HAREN (1) & 3738:14 & 3838:15,20;3839:2,17, & 3830:24;3831:22 \\
\hline 17,20,23;3700:3; & 3658:22 & himself (1) & 19;3840:8;3841:2,8; & idea (3) \\
\hline 3709:11,15;3721:6; & Harrison (2) & 3802:8 & 3842:12,20;3848:9 & 3666:4;3747:13; \\
\hline 3723:19;3756:16; & 3680:16,24 & hired (1) & Honorable (1) & 3822:14 \\
\hline 3757:9;3761:21,23; & Harvey (4) & 3834:11 & 3660:2 & identical (1) \\
\hline 3766:23;3791:25 & 3675:15;3677:4,7,8 & hiring (1) & honoring (1) & 3823:4 \\
\hline half (9) & Harvey's (1) & 3834:9 & 3814:15 & identification (23) \\
\hline 3666:1;3671:16; & 3676:15 & historic (5) & Honor's (2) & 3673:16;3681:15; \\
\hline 3717:13;3720:12; & hats (1) & 3760:1;3813:24; & 3766:9;3825:21 & 3686:18;3688:11; \\
\hline 3735:15;3757:12; & 3813:17 & 3814:18;3818:22; & hope (5) & 3689:24;3691:19; \\
\hline 3768:11;3836:24; & Hawthorn (1) & 3832:3 & 3687:21;3739:5; & 3695:24;3706:8,10; \\
\hline 3837:2 & 3790:25 & historically (2) & 3775:20;3813:12; & 3711:7,9;3713:4,6; \\
\hline half-million (1) & head (2) 3663:22-3768:3 & 3813:10;3818:25 & 3846:6 & \(3715: 9,11 ; 3717: 23 ;\)
\(3728: 8: 3731: 21 ;\) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
3837: 1 \\
\text { hand (13) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3663:22;3768:3 } \\
& \text { headed ( } 2 \text { ) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { history (1) } \\
3814: 15
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { hopefully (1) } \\
3837: 8
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3728:8;3731:21; } \\
& \text { 3757:15;3764:12; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3661:2;3672:23; & 3738:11;3746:22 & Hold (5) & horse (4) & 3783:6;3786:11; \\
\hline 3673:10;3675:10; & heads (1) & 3662:22;3667:1; & 3671:25;3672:2,12; & 3798:8 \\
\hline 3677:12;3680:1; & 3755:23 & 3821:17;3834:23,23 & 3805:5 & identified (11) \\
\hline 3681:14;3703:22; & hear (8) & Holdings (3) & hospital (3) & 3668:23;3694:17; \\
\hline 3724:16;3728:7; & 3742:8;3771:13; & 3783:25;3801:21,24 & 3805:1,2,3 & 3695:15;3750:5; \\
\hline 3735:6;3783:5; & 3772:10;3821:11; & Holmes (2) & Hotel (31) & 3768:17;3782:15; \\
\hline 3790:17 & \(3840: 4 ; 3843: 12 ;\)
\(3846: 11.3848 .7\) & 3830:22;3833:23 & 3668:18;3673:4; & 3786:10;3791:9; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { handed (19) } \\
& 3673: 13,15 ; 3675: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
3846:11;3848:7 \\
heard (8)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { home (2) } \\
& 3661: 14 ; 3707: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & 3685:1;3690:8;3691:3; & 3806:12;3819:20; 3838.21 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3673: 13,15 ; 3675: \\
& 3677: 16 ; 3680: 5 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 3663:2;3665:15; & 3661:14; & 22;3727:12;3728:22; & identifies (1) \\
\hline 3681:18;3706:11; & 3670:23;3716:14; & 3846:6 & 3732:18;3760:5,23; & 3688:18 \\
\hline 3711:10;3713:7; & 3744:13;3800:5; & Honor (111) & 3761:2;3768:3; & identify (2) \\
\hline 3715:12;3717:24; & 3828:4,22 & 3660:10;3661:19; & 3775:16,17;3776:22; & 3693:6;3783:9 \\
\hline 3725:7;3750:16; & hearsay (7) & 3667:9,15;3668:25; & 3790:7;3814:10; & ignore (1) \\
\hline 3757:17;3790:19; & 3692:10,11,24; & 3675:24;3676:14; & 3816:2;3818:13,20,20, & 3739:11 \\
\hline 3796:21;3798:11; & 3712:21;3729:15; & 3682:9,22;3683:10; & 20;3834:5,6;3838:3,9 & ii (2) \\
\hline 3800:18;3835:11 & 3819:21;3839:2 & 3690:15;3691:12; & hour (2) & 3694:22;3695:5 \\
\hline Handing (19) & heart (1) & 3692:7,14,18;3696:22; & 3708:25;3770:5 & III (1) \\
\hline 3686:5,19;3688:12; & 3812:24 & 3697:7,20,24;3698:6, & hours (4) & 3735:24 \\
\hline 3689:25;3691:20; & held (1) & 22;3699:9,21;3700:11; & 3793:3;3845:3,9,13 & immediately (1) \\
\hline 3695:25;3703:24; & 3752:2 & 3707:12,15;3708:10; & House (1) & 3701:16 \\
\hline 3724:18;3725:9; & Hello (1) & 3709:3,10,11,12,22; & 3832:13 & impact (5) \\
\hline 3728:9;3731:22; & 3792:7 & 3712:3,19;3713:18; & housekeeping (2) & 3740:2;3741:3; \\
\hline 3735:8;3764:13; & help (2) & 3714:16;3716:3; & 3723:10;3848:5 & 3745:6,22;3767:5 \\
\hline 3774:7;3777:11; & 3794:20,24 & 3719:9;3721:6,13; & huge (2) & impacted (2) \\
\hline 3779:1;3783:7; & helping (2) & 3722:5;3723:1;3724:2; & 3800:8;3808:3 & 3740:13;3744:4 \\
\hline 3786:12;3809:9 & 3663:17;3804:22 & 3728:20;3729:12; & hulking (1) & implausible (1) \\
\hline handout (4) & Herculian (1) & 3733:13;3734:10; & 3744:21 & 3740:9 \\
\hline 3758:7,11,19; & 3808:3 & 3738:8,22;3739:17; & hundreds (2) & impossible (1) \\
\hline 3762:16 & hereby (1) & 3742:1,4,17;3744:11; & 3823:15;3837:23 & 3740:9 \\
\hline handout-final-small (1) & 3789:3 & 3745:25;3746:15; & hurry (1) & impressed (2) \\
\hline 3757:23 & Herzka (3) & 3747:10;3754:19; & 3793:2 & 3809:13;3834:20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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THE COURT: Let me start with, plaintiff, anything to say, bring up, discuss at this point?

And then of course I'll ask defendants.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I believe we have our motion on the expert witnesses, but I believe the order of operations we determined yesterday that the, if there is a motion for a directed verdict that should be the first motion heard today.

THE COURT: Will there be a motion for a directed verdict?

MR. KISE: Are you surprised, Judge?
Are you ready for me?
THE COURT: Please, yes.
MR. KISE: It may take me a second with the technology. You know how I am with the technology.

Okay. Is that working? Still? Yes. If we get any feedback I'll change it around.

Give me one second, Judge, I am sorry.
Thank you, Judge.
Okay. Thank you, Judge.
This -- I'll try and keep this concise, as I said yesterday, to move things along and have us out of here at the noon hour.

The defendants are moving, and I believe
Mr. Robert will have comments after myself. The
defendants are moving under CPLR 4401 for a directed verdict. And that provision, as you know, Your Honor, provides that any party may move for judgment with respect to a cause of action or issue upon the ground that the moving party is entitled to that judgment as a matter of law. That motion is also fully available in a non-jury trial. We cite the Court to the Eion Michael Properties case, 143 A.D.3d 622.

I'll also point out, while discussing, sort of, the background and legal standard, certainly the defense view, I think it is the correct view, that because the predicates for the \(63(12)\) violations are criminal statutes, that the civil equivalent of the criminal standard, beyond a reasonable doubt, would apply in this context, so clear and convincing evidence.

We do not believe that the Attorney General can meet that rigorous standard or frankly any legal standard. Even if that's not the standard, we don't believe that the evidence demonstrates that they can meet that standard.

So let's -- I am just going to address briefly what the evidence has established so far. The evidence has established so far that the claims of the Attorney General involve only successful and profitable loan transactions. That is undisputed. It is also undisputed that there are no late payments; no missed payments; the

1 loans were all paid back timely. 100 million plus in
interest. There is no victim. There is no complainant. There is no injury. All of that is established now by the evidence.

The evidence also establishes that the SOFCs S-O-F-C.

Sorry, I am doing that for the court reporter, because I am going to say that a lot rather than saying Statements of Financial Condition.

And the certifications were submitted in connection with certain loan transactions that are at issue. And only the specific parties involved in those transactions made those submissions. I think that's very clear from the documentary evidence in the case, that it was specific entities and specific parties that made those submissions, not the defendants at large. And that's a concept I'll come back to, Your Honor.

The certifications were true and accurate when made, and the loan covenants were not violated. There is no evidence that the loan covenants themselves were violated.

The SOFCs and certifications were accurate, and this is important, in all material respects. And that's a key concept that I'll also come back to. There is testimony in the record that the SOFC values were actually

President Trump took the Ferry Point project from a landfill, from a garbage dump where they were moving debris back and forth for 20 or 30 years. No one could do anything with the site. And has transformed it into a world class facility. Wolman Rink was revitalized. The West Side Yards, which began the revitalization of the West Side, which now includes Hudson Yards and many other things in the vicinity.

So this is an individual whose opinions aren't to be disregarded, as the Attorney General would say, or be cast aside, that there is no value to his opinion. So it is fair for this Court to conclude, based on his testimony as an expert in the industry, an established expert in the industry, that there is some deference to be accorded there.

Setting aside all of the theatrics, setting aside all of the politics, setting aside where we are, if we just look at it on its face.

THE COURT: Were there theatrics?
MR. KISE: We will get to that.
THE COURT: I just have to say one other point.
You said one of the buildings, I think Trump Tower, was in Central Park. I don't know how they do it in Florida but in New York we don't allow skyscrapers --

MR. KISE: No, No, sorry. Trump International
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1 & lower than the actual values. President Trump himself & 1 \\
2 & testified that he believed the values were lower. & 2 \\
3 & And I have to pause just for a minute on that, & 3 \\
4 & because, you know, in any other context, if we were in any & 4 \\
5 & other setting, President Trump would clearly qualify in & 5 \\
6 & any court in this country as an expert on real estate, & 6 \\
7 & commercial real estate, commercial real estate & 7 \\
8 & development, and the commercial real estate industry. & 8 \\
9 & This is a man that has been involved for 50 years in & 9 \\
10 & reshaping the skyline of New York. I mean, I can list off & 10 \\
11 & the top of my head the buildings that he has been involved & 11 \\
12 & in in terms of development: The Grand Hyatt; Trump Tower; & 12 \\
13 & the complete transformation of the Plaza Hotel back in the & 13 \\
14 & 1990s; 40 Wall Street; Trump International Hotel and Tower & 14 \\
15 & in Central Park; Trump World Tower; Trump Park; Trump Park & 15 \\
16 & East; Trump Palace; Trump Park Avenue. There are & 16 \\
17 & innumerable buildings just in Manhattan. & 17 \\
18 & And those buildings, I think it is important for & 18 \\
19 & the Court's consideration both today and ultimately as you & 19 \\
20 & wrestle with the concepts of equitable relief, those & 20 \\
21 & represent real jobs, real economic impact in this & 21 \\
22 & community, over decades, over a lifetime. & 22 \\
23 & And his commitment to the revitalization of & 23 \\
24 & public resources is also demonstrable. The Ferry Point & 24 \\
25 & project. The evidence in this case establishes that & 25
\end{tabular}
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Tower on Central Park South. I believe, you are correct.
But his testimony that the values, in his view, other than as he stated, are lower than actual values, is entitled to real weight, because he is an expert.

THE COURT: But he wasn't qualified as an expert, so.

MR. KISE: He doesn't have to be qualified as an expert to be one though. That's my point. You don't have to qualify him and put him on as expert testimony for the Court to recognize and acknowledge that this individual has the requisite knowledge, training and experience to be one. I am not suggesting his testimony is expert testimony. What I am saying to the Court is that his testimony in any other forum would be credited as such. He certainly would qualify.

The SOFCs, back to our evidence, had valid and obvious disclaimers negating intent, materiality and reliance.

There is no evidence in the record of agreement, a fundamental premise to support the conspiracy claims. The evidence establishes that the banks relied on their own independent valuation analysis, not the SOFC values.

So there is no intent to defraud. There's no defaults. No breach. No reliance. No unjust profits. And no victims.

More importantly, or as importantly, there is no real world impact. As I began, there is no -- they are all profitable loan transactions. There is no record evidence that anything would have been different with respect to the loan transactions or the insurance transactions, that anything would have been materially different or different at all.

There is no party complaining or alleging fraud. Zurich Insurance, one of the alleged victims, according to the Attorney General, still does millions and millions of dollars of business with Trump. I am not sure what the Court's experience is with insurance companies, but I certainly know from many years of dealing on the regulatory side of insurance companies, that if they believe they have been defrauded by someone, they are not doing business with them in the future.

There is no fraud victim. There is just banks satisfied with profitable loans. And so the Attorney General has converted standard commercial real estate loan transactions into fraud, based not on what the bank says, but what -- on what she says.

And it is similar to the Exxon case. And I know the Attorney General's office is familiar with the Exxon case, Mr. Wallace in particular, that there was no testimony from anyone who claimed to have been misled by
the disclosure. We don't have testimony in this record, particularly from the banks, where they say something would be different; I was misled; I was defrauded. That's essential to proving intent and materiality.

As this Court has held in the summary judgment order, it is the Attorney General's burden to demonstrate a component of intent and materiality at this phase. The Attorney General has failed to introduce any evidence of materiality or intent, and instead simply relies on the Court's summary judgment decision to establish both. That decision, however, as Your Honor knows, specifically determined that intent and materiality must be established at trial. And the failure to do that entitles the defendants to a directed verdict on the remaining causes of action and as to the issue of disgorgement.

So materiality is an essential element. The statutes make this clear. The issuance of a false financial statement needs to be inaccurate in some material respect. It needs to be known to be materially inaccurate. The insurance fraud statute is the same. A written statement as part of support of the application --

COURT REPORTER: Sorry, I missed that.
MR. KISE: Sorry, I always go fast when I am reading, I'll stop that.

The insurance fraud statute requires a written

1 statement as part of or in support of an application for 2 the issuance of a commercial insurance policy, which is 3 known to contain materially false information. That's a 4 different standard than, as Your Honor knows, was applied at the first phase, at the summary judgment phase.

Now, the compliance certificates incorporate materiality.

Just bear with me one minute, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. KISE: I forgot to bring this up.
Thank you, Judge.
The compliance certificates that are part of the Attorney General's claim incorporate materiality. If you look at the language: The foregoing presents fairly in all material respects, all of the representations and warranties made by guarantor remain true and correct in all material respects.

There hasn't been any evidence introduced about materiality other than just a reference back to Your Honor's opinion, which itself doesn't decide that question on its face.

The guarantor made only this representation. That's the standard and that can't simply be read out of the certificate.

That representation also, importantly, was made
as part of a contractual obligation. The bank, the contracting party, never asserted any breach claim. And so you have the additional issue that the Attorney General cannot now just step into the shoes of a private party and enforce contract terms that the private party, whose role it was, contractually, to determine issues like materiality, and breach, never claimed any violation.

The OPO loan documents contained a materiality provision together with a representation from guarantor that there has been no material change.

The 40 Wall Street loan contains materiality language. No material adverse effect or change. There has been no material adverse change. There is a definition of what material adverse effect is.

These concepts are at the core of the Attorney General's claims. And again, they have done nothing to introduce any evidence of materiality other than rely on Your Honor's opinion and their own opinion.

The 40 Wall Street loan continues with another example about material respects certified by guarantor as being true, correct and complete and fairly presenting financial condition. No material adverse change.

The Attorney General's own complaint, as you have heard me say before, 48 paragraphs reference materiality. Twenty-five of those paragraphs reference
materiality in loan covenants. This is a fundamental, core concept.

The complaint paragraphs themselves and I am just giving one example here, paragraph 589, talk about untrue statement of a material fact. A material fact necessary to make any material statements contained herein or therein not misleading.

An example of the actual -- I believe this is the 2016, it is -- certificate of compliance. You can see there in all material respects. So materiality is a core concept.

THE COURT: How would you define materiality in this context?

MR. KISE: I would define materiality and I will get there. That's a good question, Your Honor. I would define materiality in this context as through the lens of the user. It is not, respectfully, what you think. It is not what they think. It is not what I think. It is what did the bank think. They are in the role of determining materiality. There is no objective materiality. You have to look at this through the lens of the user. Because everyone's definition might be a little different. Now you heard testimony about a 5 percent standard. And that's a -- that's a fair standard. And there is testimony of that in the record. That if you have
no evidence in the record as to what that other crime was. There is a lot of discussion during the course of the case, but nobody has put any evidence in the actual record as to what that other crime was. And so for that reason alone, the count that relates to falsification of business records in the first degree is subject to directed verdict.

Issuing false financial statements also has an intent and materiality component to it. The issuance of a false financial statement occurs when an individual, with intent to defraud, knowingly makes or utters a written instrument, which is inaccurate in some material respect; represents in writing that a written instrument that he knows is materially inaccurate.

So let's look just briefly, because it is hard to prove a negative, it is hard to prove that there is no evidence of something, but let's look at what we do have. So President Trump testified, and I will point out that the Court did state that it is not here to hear what President Trump has to say. And we are not here and these people are not here and the Attorney General is not here to hear what President Trump has to say. But I would respectfully say, the Court cannot ignore President Trump's testimony.

And the fact that there was an adjudication
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Proceedings \\
1 & someone, like you do here, where the net worth is four and \\
2 & a half or five billion dollars and there is \(\$ 100\) million \\
3 & swing or \(\$ 200\) million swing, yes, that's a lot of money in \\
4 & an abstract sense, but in the context of financial \\
5 & statements, it is not. \\
6 & THE COURT: Just one thing. There is a record \\
7 & so when you say "they" and point to someone. \\
8 & MR. KISE: I am sorry, the Attorney General. \\
9 & Fair point. \\
10 & The Court, the Attorney General, the Defendants, \\
11 & the issue of materiality must be viewed through the lens \\
12 & of the user, in this case the bank or the insurance \\
13 & company as the case may be. \\
14 & The second key component is intent. Falsifying \\
15 & business records, the statute requires an intent to \\
16 & defraud. And that intent to defraud is commonly \\
17 & understood to mean to act with intent to cheat someone out \\
18 & of money, property or something of value. \\
19 & And we have got cases cited there: \\
20 & The Saporita case 132 A.D.2d 713. \\
21 & The Jeremias case 66 A.D.2d 178. \\
22 & And the Briggins case 50 N.Y.2d 302. \\
23 & Additionally, falsification of business records \\
24 & in the first degree requires the additional element that \\
25 & the defendant intends to commit another crime. There is
\end{tabular}
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earlier connected with a collateral matter, should not have any bearing on the Court's view of the witness's testimony or credibility when it comes to the substantive case. And I am not suggesting it does or doesn't, I am just pointing that out as a matter of procedure.

THE COURT: The first line on that screen: This Court stated it is "not here to hear what President Trump has to say." Do you remember what I said right after that?

MR. KISE: I don't have it in front of me. I have that --
MR. WALLACE: I believe Your Honor said you are here to hear him answer questions.

THE COURT: That's exactly what I remember. So I think it is misleading to just have the first half.

MR. KISE: Since you are the trier of fact, you will ultimately decide that. But certainly the point that I am trying to make, Your Honor, is that the Court cannot ignore President Trump's testimony. And whatever happened in the course of the proceeding before, that testimony has to be evaluated in the context of whether or not the Attorney General has sufficient evidence to prove intent.

And intent is a subjective analysis. You have to demonstrate that, in a criminal case, you have to demonstrate that the defendants had subjective intent.

And I won't go through all of the, obviously, the testimony in the record. Here is a question and answer from President Trump's testimony:
"How did you know the banks did not pay much attention to your statements?
"ANSWER: Because I have been dealing with banks for 50 years. And I probably know banks as well as anybody. And I have borrowed a lot of money. I have paid back a lot of money. And I know what they look at. They look at the deal. They look at the location. They don't want to get involved in financial statements because that's not what they are after. If a deal goes bad, they want to be able to take the deal back over and, you know, have it. They want you to be able to put up some cash, or whatever it is you may be, including expertise, but they don't want to be fighting for ten years over a personal financial statement. They want to take over the deal."

So that testimony negates subjective intent. If his view, based on 50 years of experience, is I am going to give this statement over and they are going to understand it to be my opinion, compilation statements by definition are the opinion of an owner or the person that prepared them. So there is no assurance of anything other than that. That it is, by definition, an opinion. But if subjectively based on his experience he knows doing deal
not credible and not to be believed.
In response to another question. "That's not my question," Mr. Wallace said. "My question is whether you would actually use them to obtain financing.
"ANSWER: I would give them, but I don't think anybody paid much attention to them because of the disclaimer clause."

Which I'll come back to.
"And because generally that's not the way to do it. Again, I have been doing it for 50 years and they look at the property."

There are multiple examples where he continued this testimony, despite many different ways of asking him the question. Which is fine, that's cross examination. But it negates fully any intent to mislead or defraud. The knowledge of disclaimers in 50 years of industry experience goes to the state of mind of President Trump, and the other defendants for that matter, when the statements were given over.

Additionally, President Trump testified that he "gave Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney total authority to work with the very expensive accounting firm."

We heard about that a lot.
"And they worked with the accounting firm, and they came up with a statement."
after deal after deal after deal with banks, that they are not going to do anything other than say, thank you President Trump, for your opinion. We are going to go do our own homework now. And in fact, that's what the record shows.

THE COURT: I think you are assuming that I believe him.

MR. KISE: Well, I am certainly suggesting that you should, because there is no evidence to the contrary. There is certainly no evidence to the contrary is the point. I mean, they haven't introduced any evidence that you shouldn't believe him, other than them running around saying as many times as the Attorney General can possibly get it out of her mouth that he is a fraud, he is a fraud, he is a fraud, he is a fraud.

But, you know, what building has the Attorney General built in this community? What expertise does the Attorney General or any member of her staff have in the commercial real estate sector? What understanding do they have? Do they have 50 years of understanding how bank deals are done? Do they have 50 years of understanding how negotiations are handled, as to what banks rely on and what they don't? If you are trying to prove subjective intent, then you need to establish that there is a basis to say, other than just saying it, that the individual is
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Well, New York Courts have held that plaintiffs, if there is no good faith, if there is no evidence -excuse me. If there is no evidence to suggest that a defendant's reliance on accounting professionals was other than in good faith, then there is no evidence of intent.

And I would cite the Abrahami case 224 A.D.2d at 233, I believe.

And the Dillard case 271 New York 403.
It is beyond reasonable for any CEO in a major corporate enterprise to rely on multi million-dollar accountants and staff when -- when dealing with financial matters. So again, there is no evidence of intent. The disclaimers also -- the inclusion of the disclaimers negate intent, whatever the Court may think about the disclaimers substantively, which as you know we disagree with strongly.

THE COURT: And I wrote extensively, or at least pointedly, about the disclaimer and the worthless clause, and I believe the Appellate Division affirmed me on both. They are not defenses at all. But I'll let you make your record.

MR. KISE: Whether they are substantive defenses or not, a point which we will allow the Appellate Court ultimately to decide.

THE COURT: They already did.
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\(\mathbf{1}\) & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ MR. KISE: Well, I mean, again, respectfully, I } \\
2 & would disagree with that. But I -- that's why it is up on \\
3 & appeal now. \\
4 & But in all events, the inclusion of the \\
5 & disclaimer itself and the knowledge of that inclusion and \\
6 & the testimony you have heard, negates subjective intent. \\
7 & If President Trump knows that when he hands this to \\
8 & someone that it is telling them on its face, these are my \\
9 & opinions. The compilation is, by definition, a \\
10 & corroborated opinion -- an uncorroborated opinion. The \\
11 & disclaimers tell the banks what is being provided and not \\
12 & being provided. And they notify the users, like Deutsche \\
13 & Bank and Zurich, do your own analysis. If that's what is \\
14 & in his mind, it is not possible for him to form subjective \\
15 & intent to defraud. Whether you think it is a defense or \\
16 & not, is a completely separate matter. \\
17 & Whether it actually survives as a defense, which \\
18 & again, we think it is a full and complete defense, but \\
19 & whether it is or it is not, it is certainly a defense to \\
20 & intent, because it goes to the state of mind of the person \\
21 & providing the statement itself, the SOFC. I know that \\
22 & there is a disclaimer in there. \\
23 & THE COURT: Wait a minute. I am sorry to \\
24 & interrupt. And I want to give you -- \\
25 & MR. KISE: No, you can.
\end{tabular}
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THE COURT: I want to give you your due. But you seem to be saying the fact that somebody says don't believe me, which is not what it says anyway, but don't believe me, proves that they are not lying? Or --

MR. KISE: It proves that they have no subjective intent to defraud. Because why would you -why would you put a bank on notice to do your own homework? Why would you tell them, don't rely on me? Why would you say, hey, this is my opinion. This is what I think, you go do your own homework.

As you heard President Trump testify, in the industry, I mean, often they don't even put statements together.

THE COURT: I see your point. Okay. Thanks.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

MR. KISE: Back to materiality. As stated, the Attorney General cannot ignore materiality, and it permeates the complaint. It permeates the documents, and it permeates the governing law here. But from the opening statement the Attorney General makes clear their approach to materiality. The Court has already found that the People submitted conclusive evidence that between 2014 and 2021, the defendants overvalued the assets in the statements between 812 billion and 2.2 billion per year.

There's no world in which that an overstatement of that size -- there is a typo there. I'm sorry. There's no world in which an overstatement of that size is not material.

So, in fact, the Attorney General's position is that the ruling by this Court on summary judgment meant that what the banks thought and did, just doesn't matter. And that's just completely inaccurate. It does matter. It -the materiality must be viewed, and we are going to get there. I'm going to show the Court concrete examples of this through the Haigh testimony and the documents, that, in fact, there is a world in which a difference of that size -I'm not going to call it an overstatement -- a difference of that size is not material. And it's the world we are living in right now. It's the real world that these transactions were negotiated and consummated in.
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And the standard under New York law for materiality is that in all probability the omitted or misrepresented facts would, in view of the circumstances, have assumed actual significance in the deliberations of, in this case, a reasonable shareholder, or a bank or investor; that's the Essner case 124 Misc., M-I-S-C \(2 d 830\).

THE COURT: Your position is that no witness testified that they would have regarded these discrepancies as material.

MR. KISE: I don't believe they did. In fact, the witness -- the bank witnesses, and I'll show you that testimony, they never asked them if they would have made any different changes. Let's look at that, because the bank employees, Mr. Haigh and Mr. Weisselberg make clear that the materiality was not demonstrated.

The Attorney General never asked Mr. Haigh if anything would have been done differently. They never asked Jack Weisselberg about if anything would have been done differently. Moreover, the bank considered many, many, many other factors, not just the SOFCs in determining loan approvals and pricing. There's testimony in the record for this. Those factors included the nature of the collateral, the quality of the collateral, the loan to value ratio, the bank's lending history, the actual lending experience with the customer, the bank's experience in a particular

1 industry, the client's experience in a particular industry, 2 the client's performance in a particular industry, the

23 approvals were based on the Deutsche Bank adjusted values.
24 And those -- and I'll show you the specifics here in a
25 moment, your Honor. And you may recall them. Those

THE COURT: I'm just asking whether any bank employee said, we would have viewed it differently if we had known B instead of A.

MR. WALLACE: Mr. Haigh said that in his deposition, but we didn't put that in front of him at the trial.

MR. KISE: It's not in the trial. Whether he said it in the deposition, it's not in the courtroom.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. KISE: So if they are satisfied with their adjusted values, I'm not conflating the concepts of materiality and reliance, your Honor, respectfully, but they are interconnected. And I don't think there's a bank that doesn't want to have the federal regulator standing on top of them for the rest of their existence that would ever testify, we deem something to be material, but we went ahead anyway. It's not plausible to conclude that.

So there's no even theoretical argument that the approvals, the rates or terms, would have been any different because the banks own analysis and rationale demonstrates that it wouldn't. And so the Attorney General cannot simply come along an substitute her view of what's material and say it's material.

And I'm just going to use the 2014 year as, you know, we went through each year, but I'll just go with the
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2014 year. You could see from the highlighted language, approval of the various credit facilities; Doral, Trump Chicago, Trump Old Post Office, are being recommended based on, we have three items listed there. The first is the financial strength of the guarantor. If you'll notice that language that is so pesky for the Attorney General that they don't want anyone to pay attention to, "on an adjusted basis" -- we're gonna flip over to the next slide so you see what that means. On an adjusted basis the financial strength of the guarantor. It doesn't say on basis that he told us. It says on our basis, on an adjusted basis. Mr. Haigh testified repeatedly, I think the Court, frankly got tired of hearing it over and over again, that yes, those are adjusted numbers.

The other two factors which are very important, particularly in this context, are the operating experience and the Deutsche Bank relationship. Remember, President Trump was brought into the private bank because as a whole -- you have his testimony -- as a whole, as a bank, as an institution, they believed that this would be a valued customer to them; this relationship would be valuable to them. And as the record reflects and as history establishes, they were right. He was a valuable customer for them. He made lots of money for them. They got deposit relationships. And it was only because he became President

1 of the United States, and that created all sorts of 2 political complications, that they ceased doing business
the 2014 credit memo. You see the Deutsche Bank valuation and the client valuation. And again, there is 1.5 to \(\$ 1.7\) billion differential -- 1.5. We'll call it 1.5 , differential between the client reported valuation and the client reported net equity, and the Deutsche Bank valuation and the Deutsche Bank adjusted net equity. And as we saw from the two slides before, and as we know from Mr. Haigh's testimony, the numbers that Deutsche Bank relied on, their numbers, their own numbers, and they were satisfied with these wide differentials.

Just briefly on materiality, two more points. William Kelly, the General Counsel of Mazars, testified that "Mazars never determined that there were any material discrepancies with respect to the Statement of Financial Condition -- Statements of Financial Condition that it prepared for President Trump from 2011 to 2018."

Mr. Larson, an appraiser from Cushman \& Wakefield, who you know I have some question as to his veracity, but nonetheless, he certainly testified, the Attorney General's own witness, that there was absolutely nothing to prohibit President Trump from conducting his own valuation of his property and the valuations never needed to be conducted by an appraiser.

So when you couple that testimony, and bear in mind that all of the witness testimony we are talking about are

1 Attorney General witnesses, they are not even our witnesses. None of them have done anything to establish materiality. And this point has been ignored by the Attorney General, because as I began, they are relying on your determination and their view that, well, the numbers are so large it must be material. But materiality is measured in the real world by reel participants. In this case the banks that came before this court. There's no evidence of materiality, and the claim, on that basis alone, fails.

I'll talk briefly on insurance fraud. First of all, in our view, there's no evidence that the SOFCs, were material to the underwriting decisions. And importantly, there's no evidence of any false written statement submitted to insurers. Ms. Markarian testified that Allen Weisselberg allegedly told her SOFC values are based on appraisals. Mr. Holl, H-O-L-L, testified about certain verbal representations during a meeting, but he couldn't attribute any statement to any specific person, and therefore, you can't hold defendants liable, in general, but there's no false written statements to insurers, so those claims fail.

Conspiracy. Conspiracy requires an agreement, most importantly. The heart of any conspiracy is agreement. An agreement between two or more parties, an overt act in furtherance of that agreement, the parties' intentional participation in the furtherance of a plan or purpose, and
resulting damage or injury. This is the Abacus Federal Savings Bank case, 75 AD3d 472. We also cite the Court to the Robinson case, 259 AD2d 280.

So here, there is no proof whatsoever of any agreement to commit a criminal act. The agreement to prepare and submit SOFCs is not an agreement in and of itself to commit a criminal act. And there's no evidence of any intentional participation in any plan/purpose in furtherance of a criminal act.

There is also no evidence of resulting damage or injury. As I began, there are no victims here. There's no fraud. There's no complaining witness. There's no defaults, there's no later missed payments. These are all profitable transactions.

There's no evidence of agreement. The Attorney General's star witness. I know that the Attorney General says, no, Michael Cohen is just a small part of our case. Really, it doesn't matter. Right on that screen, Michael Cohen was featured. They played his testimony in the openings. Donald Trump told me to do A, B, C, D, E, F G. He was showcased. Now the Attorney General, herself, retreats from his -- no other way to describe it -- pathetic performance. I mean, he is not credible. He admitted to perjury. In fact, I think -- I didn't go back and count -I think he lied, he admitted to lying in just about every

1 court he's ever appeared in.
Importantly, he finally admitted, after
Mr. Robert's cross examination, that President Trump never told him to inflate the statements. He said on redirect, and this I think is the most astonishing part of Mr. Cohen's testimony, that somehow or another President Trump speaks in code.

I mean, you saw him on the stand. I don't think when he was addressing Mr. Wallace, or talking about the Attorney General, or talking about the allegations, or anything else, this is not a man that speaks in code. I could assure you personally, this is not a man that speaks in code. Anyone that has been around President Trump for more than 15 minutes knows you will know what it is that he wants, and you will know exactly how it is that he intends for you to get there. This is not someone that speaks in code.

So the idea that Michael Cohen tried to rehabilitate himself on redirect after demonstrating he lied and lied and lied, well, he never really told me. He just speaks in code, is preposterous.

THE COURT: Can I bring up something not in the record, but a matter of public knowledge? I think the perfect call with Zelenskyy about the military aid, there might have been code rather than straightforward talk.
elements, then that statement doesn't come in.
Even if it came in, that statement, alone, is not proof of any agreement or any criminal act. The statement is purportedly, "Mr. Trump wanted his net worth to go up." Well, that, on its face, is not evidence of guilt or criminal conduct.

I think I believe President Trump himself said, "Well, who doesn't want to it go up?" I mean, you are going to tell people, "I would like it to go down." You know, I would like it to go down. The statement itself proofs nothing, even if it's admissible, which we believe that it's not.

Briefly, on two points about specificity, because we argued the criminal statutes. The Attorney General has failed to establish individual claims against each defendant. There's no specific proof of liability, specific conduct. I mean, look at Seven Springs, DJT Holdings, DJT Managing Member, Trump Organization, Inc. and LLC. The parties to the loans and the guaranty are very specific parties. They are set forth in the governing documents. So Seven Springs didn't prepare an SOFC or certification, DJT Holdings didn't, Trump Org, Inc. did not, Trump Org, LLC did not. The trust did not prepare and submit the SOFCs at the loan inception, at least. And so there's no separation of any kind. None of the defendants are specified as to the
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insurance fraud claim. It's just simply insurance fraud and defendants. And the Attorney General cannot simply just conflate all of the defendants together. There's no basis in the record to disregard the corporate forum. And the Attorney General has failed completely to attribute specific criminal conduct to specific defendants, which is the statutory burden.

They've also -- the Attorney General has also failed to establish specific defects. So the Attorney General's position which, you know, we disagree with, but their position is is that each SOFC submission or certification constitutes a separate act. But there's no actual evidence specific to each act.

The Attorney General, just in the record, has conflated the 2011, 2021 period without specific proof, year by year. There's no specific delineation as to each defect year by year. So the evidence has sort of wandered, um, I think some of the media has observed this, that each day we move from the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition to the 2013 spreadsheet, to a 2016 e-mail, back to a 2012 document. There's -- it's just sort of this meandering presentation, which at least where I'm from we call it a spaghetti method. You throw it all up on the we'll and let's just see what sticks, but there's no attempt to establish the materiality of each alleged defect or each separate SOFC, or each

1 separate certification. And the Attorney General cannot
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\section*{Medical case, 106 AD3d 40.}

So here what you have is no victim, no complainant, no loss, no nothing, and a request for disgorgement based on ill-gotten gains that haven't been established to be, in the record, ill-gotten, all directed at the agency, the prosecuting agency, the Attorney General. There isn't anything to recompense.

I'll bring up briefly, for context purposes, the statute of limitations, because I think it's appropriate here in the discussion of the disgorgement.

Any transactions closed before July 13, 2014, are not subject to any sort of financial disgorgement. They cannot be included in the calculation. So the only remaining loan claims would then relate to the OPO loan and/or the 40 Wall Street loan; that setting aside the issues as to the application of the tolling agreement. But just as a broad proposition, prior to July 13th, 2014, that's a hard stop. So there cannot be any disgorgement as to transactions closed before July 13, 2014 loan transactions or otherwise.

They cannot seek disgorgement as to contracts awarded to the OPO contract, the Ferry Point contract before July 13,2014 . And this chart, which you have seen before, sort of lays that out. It lays out the relevant dates. The Seven Springs loan was in July of 2000. The Trump Park

Avenue loan was July 2010. The Ferry Point contract was 2012. The OPO bid selection approval was 2012. The Doral loan was in 2012. The Chicago loan was in 2012. The OPO contract and lease was in 2013. So all of those long well predate the 2014, July 13, 2014, date.

So then the only other transactions as I mentioned that are even theoretically viable, setting aside the tolling agreement, would be the OPO loan of August 12th, 2014, which is just across the July 13th line. And then the 40 Wall Street loan on 2015. Again, those transactions, because there hasn't been materiality, hasn't been the requisite establishment, we would say there's no basis to award any disgorgement. They are particularly based on the disgorgement case law that I referenced. There is nothing to recompense. But in all events, those transactions would be the ones only theoretically viable.

THE COURT: What if a loan was closed, money transferred prior to the statute of limitations cut off, but the loan was still outstanding and interest was affected, and let's assume that the loan was obtained through fraudulent means, or the particular interest rate was obtained through fraudulent means. Wouldn't the borrower still be benefitting after the statute of limitations bar?

MR. KISE: Um, no, because you now have to look at the certification, as the Attorney General has requested
that you do, on a free-standing basis. What were the impacts of that certification. And I have a slide on that, but I'll certainly answer your question, your Honor.

What would the impact of that certification be on the loan terms itself? What would change? What difference would there be? We can't -- we can't use the concept of, well, I could look backwards to defeat the statute of limitations. I mean, that's why, respectfully, we believe that the First Department said what it said. I know there's a disagreement; that will be the subject of later proceedings, but that's why when you are dealing with a loan transaction, a transaction of this nature, you have to look at it at the time the agreement is consummated. And all the subsequent certifications, whether they are false or not, we say they are not, but even if they are, they don't carry with them a corresponding damage component because the bank -- the die is cast. I mean, the deal is done and it's moving forward.

And to the extent that there is an opportunity to alter the trajectory, that would be pursuant to the express terms of the loan agreements, which you have in the record. The bank and the borrower contemplated expressly in that agreement the exact scenario that the Attorney General is now bringing before this Court, which is what happens if this representation isn't met? What happens if that
representation isn't met? All of that is the subject, the core of the agreement between the parties, and for the Attorney General to interject herself into the private marketplace to this degree is both startling and, and, you know, frankly, frightful, because the parties themselves are in the best position to determine how they want to proceed with one another.

And if they anticipate specific circumstances, then they anticipate those. And if the bank is defrauded, they are perfectly capable of coming to the Attorney General to affording themselves to the remedies in the documents. But we don't get to, as Attorney General, ten years later rewrite the terms of a contract and say, "Well, I know that Deutsche Bank didn't believe that this was material." "I know that Deutsche Bank didn't view this these vagaries and the statements and the valuations as material or important, but we do." That's a substitution of judgment which is based on total speculation. There's no record of any ill-gotten gains. There's no testimony or evidence the approvals, the terms or rates would have been different.

The Attorney General is ignoring the multiple factor underwriting analysis. The Attorney General is ignoring the realities of marketplace. There's not even any proof that the loans, based on their concocted theory, would have ever been agreed to higher rates, meaning, that the

MR. KISE: Well, you know our position. There is no way to unwind that analysis, particularly here where the bank itself is telling you -- well, strike that.

The bank hasn't told you that anything would have been any different. The bank hasn't said, wow, if I had known that certification wasn't accurate, I would have acted differently. Most likely what the bank would have done is they would have determined, okay, I have got performing loans. Banks don't want to foreclose on loans. Bank don't like to declare defaults. Banks like, what, making money off of performing loans, that's the business they are in. So if the loan is performing, if the collateral is otherwise secure, both things that are in the record here, if there is no risk or little risk of actual substantive default. Then it is up to the bank. It is up to the private parties to determine, I think in some years actually based on the Deutsche Bank analysis, if we were to go back and look year by year, which I won't, but I think in some years maybe even on our slide. Let's just look at that briefly.
Here. So right here in 2012 Deutsche Bank credit memo. Their own analysis, their own adjusted value has the net worth at 2.436 billion. So by their own analysis they would say, oh, well, he is not meeting the threshold. That's their own analysis. They obviously
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parties would have come to some agreement.
THE COURT: Hold on. For present purposes, I'll agree with you, without prejudice, that the certifications, the subsequent year certifications would not change the terms of the loan. But my question is -- it's a hypothetical, almost; a rhetorical question.

If the loan was obtained pre-statute of limitations and under false pretenses, but the borrower is still benefitting post-statute of limitations based on the interest rate, I don't know, maybe disgorgement is possible for that. I'm not taking an official position. I'm just raising a question.
(Continued on the next page.)
didn't complain about it.
In fact, not only did they not complain about it, they approved the loans and the credit facilities. They continued doing business year after year after year after year.

And so again, I am back to, this is what happens in the real world, not the land of make believe, not the Attorney General's world.

THE COURT: By the way, this is sort of an offhand point. The chart that you were working with, the fact that the defendants or Trump Organization put \(X\) dollars, as claimed to have a value of X dollars, the fact is that the bank said, no, it is X minus Y , it is not 4 billion, it is 2 billion, maybe that means they don't trust him.

MR. KISE: It means what Mr. Haigh testified to, they don't trust anybody. No one trusts anybody. What bank would? They will not be in business for very long, and the regulators will be all over them. Their job is to conduct the exact analysis you see here, particularly in the commercial sector. They can't just say, oh, well, that's very nice President Trump, you are worth \(\$ 10\) billion, \(\$ 50\) billion \(\$ 5\) billion, whatever number, here, how much money do you want, let me take it out of my pocket. That's not how any commercial lender works. I

1 think we can all speak from personal experience on dealing
with borrowing for homes, cars, anything on an ordinary sense. No lender takes your word for it. That's why we have credit reports and we have all of these checks and balances. The whole purpose is to make sure that at the time the loan is consummated, whether it be a personal loan for a home or a giant commercial loan that is at issue here, that the parties have developed an understanding with each other as to the relative pros and cons of the deal, and that's what they have done here.

And Deutsche Bank, yes, they discounted it heavily. And back to where I began, they -- they determined that a \(\$ 2\) billion differential was not material to them. So it is not really a matter of not trusting President Trump or the Trump Organization, it is a -- I think if you were to look at any of the deals in the commercial real estate center, you are going to see these wide disparities. They are looking at it from a different purpose as well.

So there is no proof that the OPO would not have been made, the OPO loan. There is no proof that the OPO loan terms would have differed. There is no proof that the 40 Wall Street loan would not have been made. There is no proof that the 40 Wall Street loan terms would have differed. There is no proof in the record that the OPO or

And the guaranty is at 10 percent, so the net worth covenant was at 250 million on Doral and not 2.5 billion. And so therefore, I don't think there is any allegation, even from the Attorney General, that President Trump's net worth fell below 250 million at any point.

So there is no possible basis, even theoretical, for disgorgement on the Doral or Chicago loan after the step down or the elimination of the guaranty.

So Mr. McCarty's -- and I am closing here to be mindful of the time. Mr. McCarty's analysis is, I don't want to be pejorative and use the word comical, but it is disconnected from reality. He develops his own absurd construct. He ignores the bank officer testimony. He ignores the plain language of the loan agreements, never looks at the step downs, never looks at the elimination, never looks at the default rate. He simply substitutes his judgment for that of the sophisticated counterparties who negotiated this with high-powered counsel, lots of back and forth.

So the Attorney General is just using Mr. McCarty, and apparently he is willing to be used in this regard, to back fill a gaping hole in the case, that there is no ill gotten gain. So we can't prove it so the Attorney General decides let's just make it up.

So these cases you heard us cite before, I will
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Proceedings \\
1 & Ferry Point contracts would not have been afforded. And \\
2 & there is no proof that any of the certifications could \\
3 & have possibly impacted these rates and terms. \\
4 & Now, you have done me the favor of foreshadowing \\
5 & exactly what I was going to put up. Which is, we had this \\
6 & colloquy, I believe it was yesterday, or Monday. \\
7 & The AG's -- the Attorney General's only \\
8 & remaining theory relates to these certifications, but \\
9 & there is no proof in the record that post-closing \\
10 & certifications could possibly impact approvals, rates or \\
11 & terms. And you yourself agreed, at least \\
12 & conversationally, you will have to make the ultimate \\
13 & determination, but I agree with your logic that a \\
14 & certification in 2017 doesn't affect anything directly \\
15 & about the original loan, how it was negotiated, how it was \\
16 & finalized. \\
17 & So the Attorney General ignores all that. The \\
18 & Attorney General also completely ignores the guaranty and \\
19 & step down -- the step downs and the guaranty and the \\
20 & elimination. You know, with Doral and Chicago loans, \\
21 & which still feature prominently in their expert's opinion, \\
22 & the evidence establishes that the Doral guaranty went down \\
23 & to 10 percent and the Chicago guaranty was eliminated. So \\
24 & at that point there is no, even, theoretical impact of the \\
25 & certifications, because there is no longer any guaranty.
\end{tabular}
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cite them for the record now.
The Gathers case 242 A.D. 2 d 506.
The Quinn case 203 A.D.2d 444.
The Ortiz case, which you heard about many times. And I think you probably think that's my favorite. I do like that one, because the Ortiz case frames it well. You can't have an accident reconstructionist when you have eyewitnesses to the accident testifying and saying X . The accident reconstructionist is not allowed to come in later and say no, no, no, no, this is what really happened.

The Ortiz case is found at 19 A.D.3d 239.
And then the last case I'll cite to the Court is the 91 st Street Crane Collapse Litigation. 154 A.D.3d 139.

All of these cases stand essentially for the proposition that experts opine on the evidence. They don't manufacture the evidence. They don't substitute their opinions. They can't contradict facts that are in the record.

So McCarty simply adopted this Court's position on summary judgment without -- assuming facts not in evidence. Didn't give any -- pay any heed to what the Deutsche Bank testimony was, what the Ladder Capital testimony was. Or more importantly here, what it was not, because there is no indication, as I have said, that

1 anything would have been differently. So Mr. McCarty
offers only to substitute his judgment for the judgment of the sophisticated private actors who underwrote and negotiated what, without question, are highly successful business transactions. It is not disputed.

The Frye standard and the cases that I cited simply do not permit this kind of expert by speculation. There is nothing in the record to suggest the banks would have denied approval, altered the terms of the loan based on additional information. And this is important, you heard me say this before, because the Attorney General never asked them.

And it is fair for the Court to conclude that they didn't ask because they knew they were going to get an answer they didn't want. So they decided, let's roll the dice with Mr. McCarty.

Mr. McCarty, you know one thing, it is
interesting, maybe even more than one about his testimony, is in response to, I believe it was a question from the Court, he himself testified he could not -- he couldn't state with any reasonable degree of certainty as to what the banks actually would have done. The Court, you prompted him:
"It is a yes or no question, are you certain they would or would not have, whatever, offered a loan on
certifications and the covenants themselves, I mean, with the 40 Wall Street loan, there is not even any question in the courtroom presented that President Trump always met those thresholds. Always. So there is no impact, it is not even theoretically possible that there would be -would have been any impact on the rates, the terms, the approval process, none of it.

So in sum, we submit that the Attorney General has failed to establish a prima facie case. There is no proof of intent. There is no proof of materiality. There is no specifically attributable contact to each defendant. There is no specifically identifiable conduct with respect to each SOFC and certification each year. There is no entitlement to disgorgement.

And what we have here is the Attorney General seeking to impose liability for profitable transactions, to substitute her judgment for that of the banks. And frankly, her judgment for that of a person who has been involved in the commercial real estate industry for 50 years.

I am not sure about the Court but I know if I had money to invest in commercial real estate and my choices were Donald Trump or Attorney General James, respectfully, I would certainly go with Donald Trump, because I know I am going to make money there. I don't
those terms. Are you certain?
THE WITNESS: It is a hypothetical question. I can't be certain."

That's exactly the point.
Mr. McCarty even confirmed there was no violation of the 40 Wall Street loan covenants. The colloquy that ensued on cross examination:

You would see here that the covenant that it describes is that the key principles must maintain a net worth equal to at least 160 million and a liquidity of at least 50 million.
"ANSWER: That's correct.
THE COURT: I think principals is spelled wrong.
MR. KISE: It is. It is. I think this is in the record though, sorry. It is though, I noticed that.
"QUESTION: And the key principal described in this document is Donald John Trump?
"That's correct.
"QUESTION: Do you have any reason to believe during the life of this loan Mr. Trump didn't maintain a net worth equal to at least 160 million and a liquidity of at least 15 million?
"ANSWER: No. I don't think there was any violation of this covenant."

So back to your -- the question you posed about
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know about the Attorney General. Her opinions in the real world don't matter. What matters in the commercial private sector is what do these parties have to say.

And the Attorney General is not pursuing any wrongdoer. She is pursuing a political opponent. I am not speaking out of turn or politicizing it, but he is a political opponent. There is no fraud. There is no victim. And respectfully, Your Honor, there is no liability as to counts two through seven, nor any basis for disgorgement.

> Thank you.
> I believe Mr. Robert has something.
> THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Robert.
> MR. KISE: If I have left him time.
> MR. ROBERT: Can you hear me, Your Honor? THE COURT: Yes.
> MR. ROBERT: Good morning.
> THE COURT: Good morning.
> MR. ROBERT: I will be brief, as is usually my custom here. But I don't want my brevity to be misconstrued as a lack of importance that your decision will have on the impact of my clients in particular and their future. Or any indication of a lack of how strongly we feel that directed verdict should be granted in favor of my clients.

I think it goes without saying that obviously I join in Mr. Kise's arguments on behalf of Eric Trump and Donald Trump Jr. that we request a directed verdict in this matter.

What I want to spend my few minutes discussing is, what I believe the New York Attorney General has not proven as to my clients. And since they have rested their case, therefore we believe we are entitled to a directed verdict.

What I believe is clear is that the Attorney General has not proven that my clients were involved in the creation of the Statement of Financial Conditions. They were not involved in the preparation of it; in assembling the backup to it; in reviewing the supporting data spreadsheets to it; in performing the calculations or, quite frankly, in determining the methodologies used in the Statement of Financial Condition.

I know throughout the course of the trial there were exhibits, and we are going to talk about those this morning. But respectfully, the Attorney General is so desperate to make a connection between, for example, Eric Trump and the Statements of Financial Condition, that they actually used an e-mail from 2010 that attached an NDA that wasn't signed that had a reference of a Statement of Financial Condition, I think it was in the second
would respond. I don't think it ever registered that it was for a personal Statement of Financial Condition. It was just a detail that was irrelevant to me."

Based on testimony like that, I respectfully submit that the Attorney General cannot show any intent for the remaining causes of action as relates to Eric Trump.

As to Donald Trump Jr. let's look at his testimony from November 1, 2023, page 3213 starting at line 21:
"QUESTION: Did you ever perform work on the Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition for any year.
"ANSWER: Not that I recall, no.
"QUESTION: No specific knowledge, sir?
"ANSWER: No."
But obviously, parties to a case have an interest in the outcome of the case. Your Honor is able to deal with credibility issues. But let's look at what the other witnesses you heard from so far had to say about the involvement or, as I'll respectfully submit, the lack of involvement of my clients in this case. Although I know Your Honor hates arguing in the negative, but here I am going to need to do a little bit of that to show, respectfully, what it is that we believe the People have
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not been able to prove.
As to Eric Trump, let's start with one of the earlier witnesses in the case who was Camron Harris, from the Whitley Penn firm, the current accountants for the Trump Organization. And let's turn to Mr. Harris' testimony of October 4, 2023, page 455, line nine:
"QUESTION: I asked you if you had any meeting with Eric Trump that discussed the Statement of Financial Condition.
"ANSWER: No, I did not."
Mr. Harris continuing on page 458, line 19.
"So while not specifically, did you have any meetings in which the Statement of Financial Condition would be a part of the discussion?
"ANSWER: I did not have any meetings with Donald Trump Jr. or Eric Trump in regards to the Statement of Financial Condition."

We then can move to the testimony of Donald Bender, who you heard ad nauseam was the long-time accountant for the Trump Organization, had been paid to his firm millions of dollars, representing them for years and years. Let's go to Mr. Bender's from October 5, 2023. Page 526, line three:
"QUESTION: Mr. Bender you never spoke with Eric Trump about his father's Statement of Financial Condition,

1 its -- owners are a great source of information, but ultimately, you know, I have to come up with my own conclusions."

Eric Trump is not running away from the fact that this would have been a conversation he would have had with the appraiser. It doesn't mean that any of the conversations he had were nefarious or done for any ill purpose.

We can continue with Mr. McArdle on page 2034 of the same date:
"QUESTION: Would you agree that as an owner of the property Mr. Trump -- Eric Trump, is entitled to have his view?
"ANSWER: Yes. We always seek out an opinion. Its fair to obtain their input."

So here we have another witness who was an impartial witness who didn't have a problem with what Eric Trump had done.

Now, we have heard ad nauseam about Michael Cohen. And I agree with Mr. Kise that it appears that in every court he has ever testified in, he has lied. But there was actually something that even he couldn't lie about. That's on October 25, 2023, page 2328, starting at line one:
"QUESTION: And you never discussed the SOFC
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Proceedings & Page 3906 \\
1 & October 19, 2023, starting on page 1933, line 15: \\
2 & "QUESTION: So two questions here. Toward the \\
3 & bottom of that long first paragraph it says "of course \\
4 & Eric Trump has lofty ideas on value and assumes 1,000 PSF, \\
5 & per square foot, is no problem. First, had Eric Trump \\
6 & communicated his understanding of what the values might be \\
7 & on this property? \\
8 & "ANSWER: Well during the course of this \\
9 & engagement, I did have conversations with Eric Trump. \\
10 & Some of it was about gathering the physical data that we \\
11 & just spoke to, you know, construction costs and building \\
12 & configurations and units. And he did a great job of \\
13 & describing the physical nuances of the project. And -- \\
14 & but importantly, you know, we ended up speaking about what \\
15 & they could sell for. And you know, Eric loved this \\
16 & project. He thought it was very special. I didn't \\
17 & disagree with him. I think it is a very unique project \\
18 & and it would definitely appeal to high-end buyer -- to the \\
19 & high-end buyer interested in, you know, low rise attached \\
\hline 20 & housing with the amenities that this project might offer. \\
21 & So he shared his thoughts. He thought it was \\
22 & very special and unique. And at the time that \$1,000 per \\
23 & square foot price point was what he thought was \\
24 & reasonable, you know. \\
25 & "And I am perfectly willing to listen. I think
\end{tabular}

1 Trump Jr. And I'll respectfully submit to you that the

Attorney General has failed to show that Eric Trump acted in an inappropriate way, in that as a senior executive in a large company, he relied on others to assist him in understanding whether the certifications were appropriate, and as Mr. Kise set forth, the certifications said that they were true in all material respects. Let's go to Mr. Eric Trump's testimony from October 3, 2023, page 3442 , line eight.

Being questioned by Mr. Amer:
"QUESTION: Now, we have just looked at three certifications you signed stating that your father's 2021 Statement of Financial Condition presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of your father as of June 30, 2021.

Can you tell us what, if anything, you did to ascertain that the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition in fact presents fairly all material -- in all material respects, the financial condition of your father as of June 30, 2021.
"ANSWER: I relied on a very big accounting office. I relied on one of the biggest accounting firms in the country. And I relied on a great legal team. And when they gave me comfort that the statement was perfect, I was more than happy to execute it.
"ANSWER: Not that I recall."
Moving onto Allen Weisselberg, October 10, 2023 testimony. Page 964, starting at line three:
"I believe you told me earlier Donald Trump Jr. was also a Trustee at this point in time?
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: What, if anything, did he do, to your knowledge, to determine the estimated current value?
"ANSWER: I don't believe he did anything. It was -- it was done by the same people that did it for 25 years."

Going on to page 965 , line 20.
"QUESTION: Did you tell Donald Trump Jr. during the time that you were both trustees how the values were calculated?
"ANSWER: Not that I can remember."
We go back again to even Michael Cohen, who said the second thing that was truthful, which he could not deny. Page 2327, line two:
"QUESTION: Okay. And you never discussed the Statement of Financial Condition with Donald Trump Jr., did you?
"ANSWER: Not that I recall."
We then move to the Claudia Markarian transcript which, again, is from June 28, 2023. I don't need to read
\begin{tabular}{|cc|c} 
Proceedings & Page 3910 & Proc \\
1 & "QUESTION: Did you personally review any of the & 1 \\
2 & methodologies used to value any of the assets in the 2021 & 2 \\
3 & Statement of Financial Condition; yes or no? & 3 \\
4 & "ANSWER: No." & 4 \\
5 & I respectfully submit to this Court that there & 5 \\
6 & is no basis of which the Attorney General has laid out a & 6 \\
7 & prima facie case of remaining claims against my client, & 7 \\
8 & Eric Trump. & 8 \\
9 & Turning to Donald Trump Jr. and his involvement, & 9 \\
10 & if any, with his father's Statement of Financial & 10 \\
11 & Condition. & 11 \\
12 & Again, we start at the beginning with Camron & 12 \\
13 & Harris, his testimony, October 4, 2023, page 458, line 19: & 13 \\
14 & "So while not specifically" -- Question -- or & 14 \\
15 & rather: "So while not specifically, did you have any & 15 \\
16 & meetings in which the Statement of Financial Condition & 16 \\
17 & would be a part of the discussion? & 17 \\
18 & "ANSWER: I did not have any meetings with & 18 \\
19 & Donald Trump Jr. or Eric Trump in regards to the Statement & 19 \\
\hline 20 & of Financial Condition." & 20 \\
21 & Again, moving onto Donald Bender the next & 21 \\
\hline 22 & witness. October 5, 2023. Page 527, line 23: & 22 \\
23 & "QUESTION: Mr. Bender, did you ever speak with & 23 \\
\hline 24 & Donald Trump Jr. about his" -- referring to President & 24 \\
25 & Trump's -- "Statement of Financial Condition? & 25
\end{tabular}
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it again to Your Honor. We dealt with it before. But it was: Did you speak to Donald Trump Jr? And the answer was "no."
So, again, moving to the certifications in Donald Trump Jr. as well. Again, these officers and directors of the company acted appropriately. And as Mr. Kise outlined in his presentation, the Attorney General has failed to show any proof of intent and/or materiality. And I think that goes, we have to look again at the testimony of both Mr. Trump -- Eric Trump and Mr. Donald Trump Jr. As the issue of reliability and what they presented to the banks and the certifications, I would refer you to page 3437 of Eric Trump's testimony, starting at line 17 :
"QUESTION: And when you executed these three certifications, you intended the bank to rely on the certifications; isn't that right?
"ANSWER: I don't know what the bank does with the certifications. I certified something that I believe was accurate, and my lawyers told me that it was accurate, and our financial people told me it was accurate. And that's absolutely accurate.

As to what Deutsche Bank does with a piece of paper like this, I have no idea."

We then have Donald Trump Jr. dealing with the
\begin{tabular}{rc|r}
\hline Proceedings & Page 3913 & Procr \\
1 & same issue. First at page 3238 of his testimony from & 1 \\
2 & November 2, 2023. & 2 \\
3 & And I apologize, Nate, I know I skipped and & 3 \\
4 & changed the order. I do that sometimes. & 4 \\
5 & So we are going to page 3238, starting at line & 5 \\
6 & 25. & 6 \\
7 & "QUESTION: Did you take any steps to assure & 7 \\
8 & yourself of this certification? & 8 \\
9 & "ANSWER: As with all of the certifications, as & 9 \\
10 & I think we discussed yesterday, I would have sat with the & 10 \\
11 & relevant parties, mainly in accounting, whether that's the & 11 \\
12 & Trump team and/or Donald Bender. I would have asked them & 12 \\
13 & if everything that is in here is correct. I would have & 13 \\
14 & likely also checked with our legal department to make sure & 14 \\
15 & that the conditions are met as it relates to anything I & 15 \\
16 & would sign for Deutsche Bank. And if they assured me in & 16 \\
17 & their expert opinion that these things were fine, I would & 17 \\
18 & have been fine with that and signed offer accordingly. & 18 \\
19 & "QUESTION: Is that specific to this particular & 19 \\
20 & certification, sir? & 20 \\
21 & "ANSWER: Well I think I probably would save us & 21 \\
22 & some time and say that's probably specific to all of these & 22 \\
23 & certifications, because I am sure I've signed dozens of & 23 \\
24 & these in my time as a trustee. & 24 \\
25 & We then also go to Mr. Trump's testimony on page & 25
\end{tabular}

1 that they had the requisite intent necessary for the claims that are still the subject of this trial.

And having now heard the testimony of witnesses, not just my clients, but also non-parties and people that have no vested interest in the outcome of this, I respectfully submit that there is no relief appropriate other than having a directed verdict in favor of my clients.

I think it is unfortunate that my clients have been dragged into what I'll say is a fight primarily between the Attorney General and their father. But nonetheless, we are where we are. But now the time has come, respectfully, that we need to put an end to it, and a directed verdict entered in favor of my clients.

Thank you, Your Honor.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Proceedings & Page 3914 \\
1 & 3249, line 20: \\
2 & "QUESTION: Correct, that you signed this \\
3 & certification with the intent that the bank would rely on \\
4 & it? \\
5 & "ANSWER: I don't know that they rely on it. I \\
6 & don't. I know a lot of bankers and they do their own due \\
7 & diligence. But I was fine saying this based on \\
8 & everything -- \\
9 & THE COURT: Not "saying this" -- "signing this." \\
10 & MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Your Honor. \\
11 & "I was fine signing this based on everything I \\
12 & had been told as per everything we have discussed \\
13 & yesterday, yes. \\
14 & THE COURT: "Today." Not "yesterday." \\
15 & MR. ROBERT: Let me try it again. \\
16 & "ANSWER: I don't know what that they rely on \\
17 & it. I don't -- I know a lot of bankers and they do their \\
18 & own due diligence. But I was fine signing this based on \\
19 & everything I had been told as per everything we have \\
20 & discussed today, yes. \\
21 & So Your Honor, while the burden of proof is not \\
22 & ours, I respectfully submit to this Court that the \\
23 & evidence is clear that my clients had no real involvement \\
24 & in the preparation of the Statements of Financial \\
25 & Condition. The Attorney General cannot possibly prove
\end{tabular}

THE COURT: Thank you. Any other defendants have anything to say?

MS. HABBA: I'll be brief, your Honor. If it's okay I don't need to go up over there.

I have full confidence in my team, and \(I\) join in all of their sentiments. I think they went through, in excruciating detail, why a directed verdict is warranted. Obviously I represent different entities, different individuals. And we do join in everything that both Mr. Robert and Mr. Kise stated.

With that, I just want to highlight the fact that it is the burden of the plaintiffs to prove every element. And while there has been a tremendous amount of noise in this trial for the past few weeks, I think that the law has to be what is held and what is examined here, and just the law.

There are elements that need to be proven against clients that I represent that were not proven. There was no intent. There was no proof of materiality. They are not entitled to disgorgement. Frankly, it was more of a press claim, if you ask me, because they couldn't prove it when they came in here. There was no bank that brought any claims against anybody that is a defendant in this case because there was no damage and there was no victim.

Further, the conspiracy to commit fraud is

1 completely ridiculous, and they have no proof of it in this 2 trial. We have seen numerous people come up, but none of 3 them, none of them showed a conspiracy. And the only one 4 that did, Mr. Cohen, who claimed to say that there was some
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
Proceedings \\
1 & about Michael Cohen retracting his statements after he left \\
2 & the witness stand; is that correct? \\
3 & MS. HABBA: Michael Cohen, as we know -- he has a \\
4 & PR play. I could go on and on and on. He has gone on TV. \\
5 & He has gone on podcasts discussing his testimony here. And, \\
6 & you know, quite honestly it's irrelevant, because I believe \\
7 & what happened in court was the most relevant. He admitted \\
8 & under oath that he perjured himself, and that speaks \\
9 & volumes. \\
10 & THE COURT: My point is, if it was after he was a \\
11 & witness -- \\
12 & MS. HABBA: That's not relevant. To me what's \\
13 & relevant is what happened here, and, frankly, said it all. \\
14 & MR. KISE: As predicted, I wound up on his podcast, \\
15 & as predicted. \\
16 & MS. HABBA: I used to be the only one, but he got \\
17 & in on it. \\
18 & THE COURT: Opposition to the motion for directed \\
19 & verdict? \\
20 & MR. WALLACE: We certainly oppose the motion, your \\
21 & Honor. I really will try to be brief. \\
22 & THE COURT: This is past or normal break time. \\
23 & MR. WALLACE: Should we take a break at this time \\
24 & or just keep going? \\
25 & THE COURT: It depends on how long you are going to
\end{tabular}

MR. WALLACE: I'll try to be brief, your Honor. I will just say that the presentations we just heard sound like closing arguments. They sound actually like the presentations the defendants made at summary judgment. They are not a basis for a directed verdict. And I know that Mr. Kise, through all the legal standards he went through, failed to lay out the standard for a directed verdict, which is that when the Court is considering this motion you have to afford the Attorney General every inference which may be properly drawn from the facts presented, and the facts must be considered in a light most favorable to the plaintiff. So that's in the Szczerbiak case, 90 NY2d 553. The defendants don't even come close to meeting that burden.

I would just note that it was two weeks ago the defendants sought a directed verdict after Mr. Cohen's testimony, was denied because there was a courtroom full of evidence. We've moved on to the overflow courtrooms. The evidence has only been supplemented since then, so it is still appropriate to deny a motion for a directed verdict.

I will walk through it at a very high level, your Honor. And I guess I will start with materiality, which Mr. Kise spent a great deal of time on.

Materiality, I will just note the, false business records claim does not have a materiality standard. The
question is just whether this document is false and was done with criminal intent. So for those claims there is no materiality standard.

For the false financial statement requirement, the question is, is the financial statement on its face materially misstated. And I actually do believe that your Honor did decide that during the course of the summary judgment proceeding.

On insurance fraud, it is questions as to whether the misstatements are material to the insurance transaction. I think the evidence establishes that. I will say, though, because we are not trying to prove bank fraud. We are not -- it's not a larceny claim. It's not a federal bank fraud claim, which I will also note does not actually require a loss by the bank. It is merely based on whether false information is presented in an effort to obtain credit from the bank.

But I would still argue that these statements were material to the bank and that the evidence presented so far proves it. They are material because the banks asked for the financial statements. The banks require them to be certified by the defendants. The information was then evaluated and included in the underwriting. The fact that it was not the end of the analysis does not mean it's not material. Materiality questions whether or not it

1 influences someone's decision. I would also add there are
2 multiple statements here that are potentially false. We
3 have potentially false financial statements, the actual Statements of Financial Condition themselves. We have the false certifications. We have the false representations to Mazars. And on those, Donald Bender was very clear on the materiality of those statements. He said that the Mazars would not have issued the Statements of Financial Condition if they did not get the representation letter from The Trump Organization.

That was reiterated by Mr. Kelly who said that they decided to pull the statements when they found out that The Trump Organization had not met its requirement to provide them with all information, as had been represented in those statements.

Now, I would go beyond that, your Honor, and just add that the law allows for the creation of a false financial statement to be accomplished by having a third party create that statement in someone else's records. So that means that to the extent people are directing folks to take steps, that creates the false records that are in the Trump Organization's own files; the false records that are in the files of Mazars; the false records that are in the records of Deutsche Bank; the false records that are in the files of the City of New York.

I will also focus briefly on intent. On intent, I would just say that the defendants' position seems to be that if they get on the stand and say, "I had nothing to do with the statements", or more commonly, "I don't remember having anything to do with the statements", then intent is disproven; that's certainly not the law.

As your Honor highlighted in the Alamo decision, which Mr. Kise also flagged, a mens rea element is typically proven by reference to the facts and circumstances surrounding the case, and that's 174 Misc 2 d 501 ; that idea is repeated elsewhere in New York law.

Marine Midland, 120 AD2d 122 stands for the proposition that fraudulent intent by its very nature is rarely susceptible to direct proof, and must be established by inference from the circumstances surrounding the allegedly fraudulent act, and that's a Second Department case, but the standard is the same in the First Department as set out in Setters, which is at 139 AD3d 492 at page 493.

This is also shown in plenty of other fraudulent cases, including in the Second Circuit, in the Powers case at 57 F3d 176 at page 184, which establishes that intent can be shown through a motive to commit fraud and a clear opportunity to do so. And where the mode of evidence is not apparent, it can be demonstrated by proving circumstances indicating conscious behavior by the defendant.

Now, all of this is just to say that intent is not proven by admissions alone, and that intent is something that the Court should -- is going to infer from the evidence, which makes it a poor prospect for a decision on a directed judgment, as opposed to at the close of evidence and at the close of the trial.

I will say that here we think we have ample evidence to demonstrate the intent of the defendants. The primary argument from Mr. Kise and Mr. Robert seems to be that the individual defendants were not involved in the preparation of the Statements of Financial Condition and had no idea what they contained.

Well, first off, that's obviously not true from Mr. Weisselberg and McConney. No one's talked about them at all in these presentations. And so there's also almost no defense of their actions whatsoever in what I just heard. That, in turn, means that each of the entity defendants that they were working on ban of are also liable.

And with respect to the three Trump defendants, I will start by reminding your Honor that this is documents case, and each of the three defendants signed documents saying that they were responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the statements.

Now, the "fair presentation of statements", that's at the heart of the case, and that's the graveyard the
defendants keep whistling past, is the finding by this Court, on summary judgment, that the Statements of Financial Condition were false and misleading.

The Court has also issued final, binding rulings that the values of individual properties were inflated, and that includes Mar-a-Lago, the triplex apartment, Seven Springs, 40 Wall Street, Aberdeen, the Vornado property and the golf clubs. Those standings -- those findings stand, and are not a basis for reargument, because we are having a trial.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a personal question.
MR. WALLACE: Sure.
THE COURT: Do you file tax returns?
MR. WALLACE: I do file tax returns.
THE COURT: Do you have an accountant to do that for you?

MR. WALLACE: I do not.
THE COURT: You're like me.
MR. WALLACE: I did when I was a partner at a law firm. Now that I work for state, I don't have an accountant.

THE COURT: Do you rely on your accountant -- did you rely on your accountant at that time?

MR. WALLACE: I did.
THE COURT: Okay. So the defendants here relied on

1 their accountants, highly paid accountants, and the highly 2 paid attorneys.
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calculating Seven Springs, let's talk about it. Mr. Trump says, "I don't remember that", or "I can't recall", that's something for your Honor to weigh.

THE COURT: Eric. You are supposed to say Eric Trump, not Mr. Trump.

MR. WALLACE: I apologize. Because Eric Trump created that statement, was responsible and involved in the preparation of Seven Springs, which his father, Donald J. Trump, said was overstated. So I think at this point there's ample evidence within the record that if we are given, as we are entitled to assumptions in our favor, is more than sufficient for this to continue to a final verdict where the defendants can repeat these arguments, yet again, on their closing, in their posttrial brief, and your Honor will be able to make a decision with a full record.

I would also not belabor it here, but Mr. Kise is incorrect on disgorgement. The OAG does not have an obligation to prove what loans Mr. Trump would have been able to obtain if he presented an honest financial statement. He chose to obtain discounted loans by the use of a fraudulent statement.

The burden here for the government is to demonstrate the reasonable approximation of profits causally connected to the violation; that's the SEC v. Fowler case at 6 F.4th 255 that we discussed in our letter briefing over

Mr. McCarty's testimony. With that, your Honor, I really won't belabor things anymore and I'm happy to answer any questions your Honor may have, but I think there's simply no basis for a directed verdict at this point.

THE COURT: I could ask you more questions, and that would take away some ammunition for Mr. Kise about one side or the other.

MR. KISE: Five minutes.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, the standard is usually move for directed verdict, yes or no. But Mr. Kise --

THE COURT: I --
MR. WALLACE: He had an hour and a half.
THE COURT: Nobody else from plaintiff, I assume? I'll give him a reply.

MR. KISE: Just briefly. Is it okay if I stand here?

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. KISE: Thank you.
Could you pull up slide 13, please.
(A demonstrative aid was displayed on the screen.)
MR. KISE: So Mr. Wallace talked about the false business records statute doesn't have a materiality element to it, so facially, his argument is correct, but this is kind of part of the problem with the whole case. This is purportedly the false record, slide 13. And on slide 13 you
could see the highlighted language, all material respects. So this certification is not false. It's no at false business record unless and until they proof that it's all material respect. They have to get past that language. They can't read that language out of the document.

Mr. Wallace also mentioned that the differentials were material, but didn't offer any testimony. He just said they are not material in the view of the Attorney General, but no bank thought that these differentials were material.

Intent, he touched on, um, just two brief points there. First of all, intent cannot be inferred. I mean, in the criminal context intent has to be established. President Trump, for the record, did not say, "I don't know and I wasn't involved." He didn't say that at all. He said what I quoted, "In my 50 years of experience" and "based on the disclaimers." He took responsibility for what any CEO would take responsibility for. Yes, they are his statements. He didn't say, "I wasn't involved" and "I don't know." He testified about the things he did know.

And he testified, which hasn't been refuted in any way, other than by accusation, that he relied on accountants, like any CEO would, any accountants - any CEO is not there putting numbers together on a spreadsheet. There is no evidence he ever saw the spreadsheets; that doesn't mean that he testified that he wasn't involved;

1 that's not his defense at all. His defense is is that I 2 didn't have any subjective intent to defraud anyone, because

1 of. By communicating with someone in the finance department, in the accounting kept about valuations over a ten-year period, and simply because you don't remember that doesn't mean that you are now a fraudster. It also doesn't mean you had any material involvement, any real involvement in the preparation of the Statements of Financial Condition and the certification. This goes back to the spaghetti approach. They just want to throw it all up on the wall and say, well, the defendants are guilty.

Lastly, my comments do apply, as Ms. Habba said, with equal force to Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney.

Thank you, Judge. I think I made it under five minutes.

THE COURT: You probably did. You just have to be careful talking about spaghetti around lunchtime.

MR. KISE: I know.
THE COURT: Just one question. I think you said "intent cannot be inferred." Well, I'm familiar with the doctrine in the cases that Mr. Wallace cited that fraud generally isn't, you know, done in daylight. People don't say I'm going to be committing fraud or commit to writing, this is a fraud. But you have to infer, at least in a civil case. I don't know about criminal. Don't you have to -doesn't -- I'll rephrase it. Withdrawn. Can't fraud be inferred from circumstances in a civil case.

MR. KISE: Two things on that. Number one, we are dealing with criminal statutes, and as I began, I think the civil equivalent of the criminal burden of proof, this is why we think we are entitled to a directed verdict, another reason, is clear and convincing. So even with the deference that Mr. Wallace began with being accorded, there is no clear and convincing evidence here of proof of the criminal statutes.

This isn't pre-summary judgment. This isn't 63(12) where they get all everything lined up, the deck is fully stacked in their favor, whether it be by the legislature or otherwise, and that's not our issue today, but under 63(12), as we've gone through repeatedly, you don't have to prove much of anything other than somebody in the Attorney General's Office thinks it's a false statement, no intent, no materiality, no reliance, no jury, but here, now we do. Now we have to prove those concepts. And they have not established those concepts, and frankly they haven't attempted to establish them. They are just simply relying on your judgment and this idea that well, you said on summary judgment that the statements were false. You didn't say anything about materiality. You didn't say anything about intent, and the numbers, as I began, the numbers are so great they must be material. No, they need someone to establish that, and that someone would be the bank in the
private sector who got to make that determination. As you saw from the numbers, the bank was not at all disvalued in any way, shape, form or fashion by a \(\$ 2\) billion differential.

MR. WALLACE: I'll just note my objection. That was a yes or no question.

MR. KISE: That's true.
THE COURT: I did ask him a question.
MR. KISE: Do we get our 15 minutes? You gave me five minutes.

THE COURT: You went past the five minutes.
Let's talk about burden of proof. I tend to think of them in a criminal context as beyond a reasonable doubt, 99 percent. Some other context, clear and convincing, I tend to think of 75 percent, and a preponderance of the evidence I tend to think of as 51 percent.

Let me ask the AG. What's the, in a general way, what's the standard of proof here, because defendants are saying it's clear and convincing.

MR. WALLACE: We would say it's preponderance, your Honor.

THE COURT: On all questions in front of me? MR. WALLACE: I think so, yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Kise, are you claiming clear and convincing on all questions in front of me?

MR. KISE: Certainly as to the criminal predicates, yes, which is most of the counts. I mean, disgorgement, as a lot of other things, that's a different analysis altogether; that's an equitable analysis. But in terms of the predicate counts, they are all criminal counts, and there is no -- yes, there is no 95 or 99 percent in the civil world. There's no beyond a reasonable doubt. The closest standard, the civil standard, if you will, is clear and convincing. That's our position anyway.

THE COURT: Any authority that clear and convincing would be the correct burden of proof in a 63(12) case.

MR. KISE: Well, again, it's not -- I can provide the Court with authority, but I would just draw that distinction, it's not a 63(12) when you are dealing with criminal predicates. 63(12) on count one, very different standard.

THE COURT: Okay. I understand the difference. All right. Let's take a little break.

MR. WALLACE: Can we do ten minutes?
THE COURT: Ten minutes.
(Whereupon, a 10-minute break was agreed upon and taken by all parties.)
ere sort of long-winded, plaintiff was sort of medium-winded, I'll be short-winded:

Taken under advisement.
Okay. What else do we have?
MR. AMER: Your Honor --
THE COURT: As if that wasn't enough.
And obviously the time back there was spent really hashing this out and thinking. And I appreciate the defendants' presentation, and I appreciate the plaintiff's presentation.

Okay.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, we ask for an opportunity to be heard on our in limine motion concerning four of the five expert witnesses. So I appreciate the opportunity to be heard on that now.

THE COURT: I read your five-page or four-and-a-half-page letter. Was there any written response by the defendants? There didn't have to be, I am just asking.

MR. KISE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Then let's hear the in limine motion.

MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
The Court's September 26 decision and order was

1 a significant development in this case. The Court held 2 that the People prevailed on their 63(12) fraud claim based on the undisputed evidence as a matter of law.

The decision rested on predicate findings of fact by the Court that many assets in the Statements of Financial Condition for many years were fraudulently inflated to a material degree, rendering the statements false and misleading.

Now, defendants are certainly free to challenge that decision in the Appellate Division. And in fact, they filed their notice of appeal already. But they are not free to ignore the decision in this courtroom at this trial. Yet that is what they have repeatedly done.

We have just heard a lengthy hour-long argument on a motion for directed verdict that, to a significant degree, ignored the holdings that this Court issued in its summary judgment motion. So to does their intent to call the four expert witnesses that are the subject of our motion.

THE COURT: Let me stop you a second.
Do you want to withdraw your request to have these four witnesses testify? Yes or no?

MR. KISE: No. No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Just wanted to make sure.
MR. AMER: As a result of the decision and the
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predicate factual findings that the statements were fraudulently inflated, any expert opinions about valuation of assets or accounting standards for personal financial statements, are simply irrelevant. And allowing defendants to put on such expert evidence is, we submit, a total waste of time and resources.

In the wake of the Court's decision, this trial is now about four things:

One, whether defendants have the requisite intent to defraud.

Two, whether they engaged in a conspiracy to violate the three Penal Law provisions.

Three, what is the proper amount of disgorgement.

And four, what equitable relief should be granted in addition to the equitable relief already included in the Court's decision.

And I would like to just quickly review why the proffered expert testimony from Dr. Laposa, Mr. Witkoff and Mr. Flemmons is simply not relevant to any of these remaining issues in the case.

As to the remaining causes of action based on illegality, the predicate findings of fact made by this Court on summary judgment largely satisfy the elements of these claims, except for intent to defraud. That is,

1 whether each defendant had an intent to defraud when
preparing or using the Statements of Financial Condition in business transactions with banks and insurers. The issue of intent essentially boils down to two questions: What did the defendants know, and when did they know it.

These questions are the realm of fact witnesses. These experts have nothing to say on this issue that is the least bit relevant. They simply have no knowledge about any direct or circumstantial evidence that would bear on any witness's intent.

The same is true for the related conspiracy claims. Experts have no relevant knowledge about whether agreements were or were not made between and among the defendants to engage in the illegal conduct.

Defendants appear to argue that their experts can somehow negate an inference of an individual defendant's intent to defraud, by showing that through some valuation method that was never actually used by the defendants, one could, hypothetically, have derived a value for an asset that is higher than the value that they actually derived.

First, this is the same argument that defendants raised in support of their summary judgment motion. In fact, based on the very same expert opinions that they submitted by affidavit and through their reports that is

1 our banking expert, Michael McCarty, to provide his expert 2 opinion about how to quantify the ill-gotten gains
attributable to the defendant's use of the fraudulently inflated statements to obtain lower interest rates on loans. None of the four experts at issue on our motion are -- have anything to do with banking or disgorgement. I expect we will see defendants add a banking expert to their list for December. And to the extent their banking expert offers opinions on matters involving disgorgement, we certainly have no issue with defendants' right to present that testimony. But the issue of disgorgement does not provide any avenue for defendants to present these experts that are the subject of this motion, who are dealing with valuation and insurance and accounting principles.

Now, as to the remaining equitable relief. The remaining additional equitable relief that plaintiff is seeking, that requires the Court to consider evidence bearing principally on the scope of duties for the receiver, whether to bar individual defendants from serving in certain corporate capacities; whether to require preparation of an audited financial statement; and whether to replace the trustees of Mr. Trump's Revocable Trust.

Now, the evidence relevant to the Court's
part of the summary judgment record. And it has already been rejected by the Court in its summary judgment decision.

Second, the argument simply makes no sense. The Court has found that the statements were fraudulently inflated. The issue of intent is based on what the defendants actually did and what they actually knew when they were fraudulently inflating the values, and certifying the statements to banks and insurers. And whether what they did provides direct or circumstantial proof of intent to defraud, again, is the realm of fact testimony, not expert testimony.

It simply doesn't matter what someone else could have done to value the assets at their current estimated values, it matters what was actually done. And that's a fact question to be proven through fact witnesses and the documents in the case.

And to the extent that the defendants are really arguing that based on their expert case the values were not inflated at all, that ship has sailed. That is just a refusal to acknowledge the binding effect of this Court's decision. The Court has held that many values were fraudulently inflated, and defendants have to live with and abide by that ruling at this trial.

Now, as to the amount of disgorgement, we put on
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consideration of this type of relief involves the degree of culpability that each defendant has in the preparation and dissemination of the fraudulent financial statement -statements and matters concerning existing corporate culture at the Trump Organization.

Defendants' proffered valuation and accounting experts offer no opinions that bear on these issues. A quick word about a separate argument we raised with respect to Mr. Witkoff and Mr. Miller, who is an insurance expert, both of whom were designated solely as rebuttal experts. We did not call the experts that they have been designated to rebut, because for all of the reasons I am arguing, we don't see a need for that type of expert testimony in light of the Court's summary judgment ruling. So there is simply no basis to permit these rebuttal experts to testify. They could have been designated as experts in their case-in-chief, but they weren't. Their opinions are only relevant to counter opinions from our experts that have not been offered into evidence. They should be precluded.

I would also note that Mr. Miller, who is being offered as a rebuttal insurance expert, he is not their only insurance expert. They did designate another person, Mr. Giuletti, as their insurance expert on their case-in-chief. He is also on their calendar for November,

1 and we do not seek to preclude his testimony on this

2 motion. While we certainly reserve the right to object to specific questions during his examination, we agree there is at least a basis to put him on the stand, since there is an insurance fraud claim that remains in the case. So just to be clear, our objection to Mr. Miller is that he was a rebuttal witness, and we haven't put in the witness that he is being called to rebut.

I am going to make a final point, and it is really more of a fervent plea, Your Honor. For some evidentiary objections the Court has remarked that because this is a bench trial, the Court will hear evidence now and decide what weight to give it at a later date. While that approach, and I would call it, without being pejorative, the path of least resistance, is certainly one that many judges often take when there is no jury. And it might make sense to take that approach when the issue is whether to let in a handful of documents or to listen to a few more minutes of questioning. I submit, Your Honor, that taking that approach here with respect to these expert witnesses, would be wrong and it would be inappropriate for three independent reasons:

First, this is not about adding a few more documents to the record or sitting through a few more minutes of testimony. Allowing these experts to testify
trial.
We succeeded in that effort. It would be manifestly unfair, I submit, to our side, and would deprive us of the benefit achieved by our summary judgment victory to a significant degree, to now say that despite resolving the 63 (12) fraud claim in our favor and removing that claim from the scope of the trial, we should nevertheless take the path of least resistance, act as though the issues for trial have not been narrowed, and spend at least two weeks hearing expert evidence that is not relevant to the remaining issues in the case.

Now, defendants can spin it however they want, press conferences outside of this courtroom. They can take the stand and testify about their perfect disclaimer clause, their statute of limitations theory, and they can invoke other defenses that this Court has repeatedly rejected. But none of that wipes away the court's decision that is the law of the case. Your Honor, we worked hard to achieve the substantial victory we obtained on summary judgment. We should be permitted to benefit from the more streamline trial that inevitably should flow from that victory.

Third, the Court has broad discretion to preclude expert testimony that has no relevance to the claims at issue. And more importantly, and this is the
Proceedings Page 3942will come at significant cost to the Court and the partiesin terms of time and effort. And for the plaintiff, quitefrankly, taxpayer expense.
Defendants have three additional valuation and accounting experts, in addition to the ones that are the subject of this motion: Mr. Chin, Mr. Moens and Professor Bartov, who will, no doubt, show up on their witness list for December. And allowing the valuation and accounting experts to testify will likely require us to put on a rebuttal expert case. This will add substantial time to this trial. These four experts on the current calendar for November account for a solid week of testimony. Including the other valuation and accounting experts they will likely call, our cross examination of these witnesses, and plaintiff's rebuttal experts that will likely be necessary, will add, I would estimate, two weeks of trial time at a minimum. At a minimum, Your Honor.
Second, the Office of the Attorney General and more specifically all of these people here at this table in the well behind me, expended a tremendous amount of effort in culling through a huge volume of discovery material in order to present to the Court a comprehensive summary judgment motion and record that would permit the Court to dispose of a significant portion of the case as a matter of law, and substantially narrow the issues for
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test in the case law that is clear, will offer no assistance to the Court as the trier of fact.

The Court should exercise that discretion here to preclude these experts from testifying, because this trial should not be turned into an opportunity for defendants to supplement the summary judgment record in an improper attempt to shore up their appeal from the Court's summary judgment decision. They have their opportunity to create a record in opposition to plaintiff's partial summary judgment motion. That was the record on which the Court rendered its decision, and it is the record on which the First Department will review your decision on appeal. This trial is not their opportunity to get a do-over on the summary judgment motion.

Your Honor, for all of these reasons, the Court should preclude defendants from call Dr. Laposa, Mr. Flemmons, Mr. Witkoff and Mr. Miller.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Just one second. I am wondering whether there were two intentional references there. Do-over was very big in City Slicker. Do you remember that? This is a do-over?

And "What did he know and when did he know it," that was a Watergate phrase, wasn't it? I am getting some --

MR. AMER: It was indeed. And it is phrases I use repeatedly when they are appropriate, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kise, I am sure you are anxious to talk.

By the way, now you know what it is like to listen to a long presentation.

MR. KISE: I know.
THE COURT: I am sure it is not your first rodeo.

MR. KISE: It isn't, not with Mr. Amer.
THE COURT: To start off, just as a matter of logic and common sense, do we need Witkoff and Miller to rebut testimony that is not going to exist?

MR. KISE: That is what? I am sorry?
THE COURT: Do we need them to rebut testimony that is not going to exist, that doesn't exist.

MR. KISE: So that raises an interesting point.
The first thing I want to say is, I don't know that I am shocked, because everything I have seen here from the Attorney General's office it is hard to shock me. But I suggest that Mr. Amer check the Internet, Vladimir Putin has some openings. That's where he belongs. No question that's where he belongs. This is beyond crazy.

So now they have had five weeks to put on a case, they have had an open-door policy. And he has the

1 disclose to them if these individuals take the stand and there is an issue for them to testify about, then this is what they will say. And they have had full and ample
disclosure. And these witnesses all address a key issue what they will say. And they have had full and ample
disclosure. And these witnesses all address a key issue that Mr. Amer glossed right over when he mentioned your summary judgment decision.

He stated, which is their position, that you have already determined that they were inflated to "a material degree" but your opinion doesn't say that. We are here to talk about intent and materiality. Something that I know they don't want to talk about. So these witnesses relate to that. And we should be entitled to present the evidence to the Court. It is a bench trial.

The goose gander rule, what is sauce for the goose, has been on ample display throughout the course of these proceedings. And after spending what is, no doubt, tens of millions of taxpayer dollars chasing down someone who engaged in successful business transactions that made all of the parties hundreds of millions of dollars, they are now suddenly concerned with the resources of the State. And so I think it rings hollow. We are not ignoring your decision. But we are certainly entitled to put on a case. Unless maybe not. I guess maybe we should just ask them. Should we rest now and not have any defense of any kind? That's really where He stated, which is thei
audacity to stand here, the temerity to stand here and tell this Court, oh, well, I know you have allowed evidence in on this liberal standard, and we have operated on that, that's great when it is our case for the Attorney General, but you know, you shouldn't do that now, Judge, that's a waste of time. Frankly, Your Honor, if I were Your Honor I would be insulted by that. That they don't want you to hear evidence.

To your question, how they present their case, how they call their experts, whether they call their experts, does not dictate how we present our case.

THE COURT: If you are trying to rebut testimony that doesn't exist.

MR. KISE: No. No. We put them in as rebuttal -- I am sorry. We put them in as rebuttal because that was the sequencing during the course of the pretrial preparation. But we are not locked in forever to, oh, that's a rebuttal witness, if you don't call your witness, I don't get do it. Those witnesses have things to offer. They have changed the course of their case.

The only two bases for excluding experts in the case law are, they are not qualified; and they weren't disclosed. The whole purpose of the pretrial disclosure process is not to lock someone in and say, okay plaintiff, you get to now dictate how we present our case. It is to
they want this to go.
And so these witnesses, Mr. Witkoff is a developer who will speak to how developers view assets. His testimony isn't going to take weeks. But it goes to state of mind. We are talking about intent and we are talk being materiality. Remember that slide 13 that I put up? That pesky materiality language that the government doesn't really want to focus on?

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, I hang on your every word, I am sure I remember. But let me stop you for a second.

Your opening comment about one of the plaintiff's counsels is completely uncalled for. I think you should apologize.

MR. KISE: I am not going to apologize, Your Honor. Because frankly, I am not going to. That is the most astonishing display of one-sided anti-democratic anti-rule of law principles I have ever seen in my years. And I am sorry, but that's it. There is no reason for me to apologize. I never had anyone from the government say you can't put on your case, in any case, that you can't put on your case.

And now the rule that they have benefited from, that they have benefited mightily from, the latitude that was accorded them in putting on Mr. McCarty, Mr. McCarty amended his disclosure four days before he took the stand,

1 his expert disclosure. So all of this. And now they say,
well, we shouldn't -- we shouldn't be allowed to put on our case and Your Honor should be concerned with judicial economy and Your Honor should be concerned about this goose/gander rule doesn't apply anymore. They were certainly very happy to be the recipient of it.

So I'll refrain from further pejoratives, but I will not retract. I don't think there is a reason to. I am entitled to my opinion, and I think his conduct is unfair to my client. And to me, frankly.

To say that here we are, and we are not ignoring your decision, but we have to be entitled to put on our case as to intent, as to conspiracy. These experts have nothing to do with disgorgement.

Mr. Laposa is a valuation expert.
THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Before we get to him.
I'll use another cultural political historical
reference. The Red Scare. He is a Communist, send him to Russia. Let's leave the ad homonyms out of it. You don't have to apologize. I think it was totally uncalled for, totally incorrect.

MR. KISE: Well, Your Honor, I will say that unlike everyone else in this courtroom, to date, that's the first time I have ever used an ad homonym insult. I believe other people have used them against me, in the
these positions. They have said, and continue to say, that my clients had intent. They advanced the position and said my clients, you know, that these were material departures. So we are entitled to at least demonstrate the state of mind. We are entitled to demonstrate what is the thinking of our clients.

And the discussion we had, to the extent Your Honor is not going to grant the directed verdict, then we certainly have to rebut what they are advancing as their case, whatever it may be.

They are entitled -- they are the master of their own case. And I will say for the record, Mr. Robert is the expert, as we know. I don't see how a plaintiff gets to sit back and decide, okay, I am not going to call these witnesses now and then if the defense comes along and demonstrates that there are gaping holes in their case in chief, that they get to call rebuttal experts after that. That's not how it works. They made a choice as to who they wanted to call and how to present their case. And if now there are holes in that case. And there are holes in that case. And if the defense in presenting its case, puts on a witness that demonstrates, for example, that a developer, like President Trump, would view properties differently. Mr. Laposa, the same thing. We are not challenging the underlying finding by Your Honor
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& courtroom. Including, respectfully, Your Honor. So I & 1 \\
2 & just, you know, I will refrain from further pejoratives I & 2 \\
3 & agre with you that ad homonyms are not something we need & 3 \\
4 & to have on a basis in a courtroom. & 4 \\
5 & I'll go back to legal arguments. & 5 \\
6 & THE COURT: Now let's talk about the two & 6 \\
7 & rebuttal witnesses. & 7 \\
8 & MR. KISE: Right. & 8 \\
9 & THE COURT: Part of the purpose of expert & 9 \\
10 & reports are to say what the person will testify to. They & 10 \\
11 & can't just then come up here and testify to anything they & 11 \\
12 & want to. Their testimony is restricted by what they said & 12 \\
13 & they would. & 13 \\
14 & MR. KISE: Right. & 14 \\
15 & THE COURT: I'll confess, I don't think I read & 15 \\
16 & the -- all of the expert affidavits, but plaintiffs are & 16 \\
17 & telling me that these two proposed expert witnesses, & 17 \\
18 & Witkoff and Miller, just said, I am going to testify to & 18 \\
19 & rebut the testimony of X and Y; and that X and Y haven't & 19 \\
20 & testified. & 20 \\
21 & MR. KISE: They testified that they are going to & 21 \\
22 & rebut the positions taken by the People. & 22 \\
23 & THE COURT: Let me ask. All right. & 23 \\
24 & MR. KISE: So in the context of expert & 24 \\
25 & discovery, that's how it is done. But they have advanced & 25
\end{tabular}
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with respect to the summary judgment motion.
THE COURT: Can you stick to these two witnesses?

MR. KISE: I am.
THE COURT: I don't think you are.
By the way, my institutional memory tells me, yes, I did read all of these affidavits, but months ago. So instead of saying I didn't read them, I'll say I didn't remember exactly what they said.

MR. KISE: I took it that way.
THE COURT: Mr. Kise, you can stay at the podium.

Plaintiffs, do you stand by the representation, as I understood your letter, to be the only reason that Witkoff and Miller were mentioned as experts or their only testimony they were going to give was rebuttal testimony to the two witnesses that didn't appear? Yes, no?

MR. AMER: Three witnesses, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Three. Okay.
MR. AMER: So Mr. Witkoff is a rebuttal to our two valuation experts Mr. Hirsh and Mr. Korologos. And Mr. Miller is a rebuttal to Professor Baker, who is our insurance expert.

I would add that both of these witnesses were designated on the schedule for rebuttal expert witnesses.

1 They were not designated in the initial round of experts.

So they are rebuttal experts.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

Had I known what they were going to present, either before in pretrial, or now at trial, what the evidence would be, then I would have designated all these people as our experts on primary case.

They just -- there's no rhyme or reason. There's no designation that has a meaning because of the way it unfolded. And so these witnesses will speak to intent, materiality. In the case of Miller, reliance, which goes to disgorgement. Flemmons, as you may recall me talking about, is a former SEC enforcement chief forensic accountant. His testimony goes to materiality, and governing standards, and whether or not they met those standards with respect -whether or not they had the requisite intent to violate those standards; whether any violation of those standards was material. Those are the issues that are decidedly not part of your Honor's summary judgment, because they didn't have to be. The Attorney General argued, forcefully, at summary judgment that you don't need to consider any of this. And now we are here considering any of this, and they are saying, no, it's all subsumed by your summary judgment decision, which I think is both inaccurate and unfair.

So the fact that the Attorney General did not put on their experts doesn't -- we think should result, in part, is another basis for why we should get a directed verdict, but to the extent that we will not, and we are here to

MR. KISE: Your Honor, because of the initial round, neither side has any notion what the other side is going to present. So the only reason they are in the rebuttal --

THE COURT: You do have a - knowledge of what they are going to present.

MR. KISE: Not until we get, not until we receive it. It was simultaneous. We exchanged -- we exchanged primary experts, and rebuttal experts simultaneously. So it wasn't like we had time to figure out -- oh, no, this was all simultaneous.

THE COURT: I didn't realize that.
MR. KISE: So that's my point. Before they presented their experts, just like before we presented ours, we would have no idea, really, of the parameters an contours of their case. And so the fact that these witnesses wind up in the rebuttal bucket is simply more by the circumstances of the way the litigation unfolded pretrial, as opposed to any, like, understanding of what their case was. So that's why I'm saying, the fact that they are not calling someone in their case, that's their prerogative. If they believe, as they do, and I respect that, that they have satisfied their burden of proof, okay, they don't need an expert. But that doesn't mean that we are not entitled to present our case.
defend ourselves. Then the Court should be able to consider that evidence. The Court, I would think, would want to weigh that evidence. There's plenty of tools the Court has if it believes that it's going on to long, or it's taking too much time, but for us to say that our case is going to be completed in less trial days than, I believe, the government's case, and we've already got us ahead of schedule, I think give us -- we haven't even gotten out of the gate yet and they want to shut the door. So I just don't think all of these witnesses speak to the elements that are squarely and completely before the Court.

The degree of culpability, Mr. Amer mentioned that on the equitable side. The degree of culpability goes to state of mind, goes to intent. It goes to whether or not these individuals, you know, flagrantly violated something, or in the case of the statements under 63(12), we don't have to prove all of this if you find that they are false. As you've said before, okay, I find that they are false. That doesn't mean that they are materially false, it also doesn't mean they intended for them to be false. And then there is a whole lot of layered issues there.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. KISE: Mr. Witkoff, Mr. Laposa -- Dr. Laposa, Mr. Flemmons, Mr. Miller, all of these witness noticed. And the goose/gander rule, however the Court wants to phrase it,

1 applies wholly here. There's no reason to close the door to
us after they had benefitted handsomely from it being opened. There was never any complaint about it before. And I will leave some time for Mr. Robert, because he is far more the experienced practitioner when it comes to the CPLR in these matters than I.

You heard me say it before, the world is watching, so the world needs to see that everyone's evidence at least gets into the courtroom at some point, respectfully.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Robert.
MR. ROBERT: So sitting here and hearing Mr. Amer talking about wanting to -- one of the reasons to deny these experts is wanting to save money - and I'm not going to use any pejorative words -- is beyond anything I could fathom. The relief they are seeking, in particular to my two clients who are relatively young men, is to bar them from doing business in New York for the rest of their lives, and yet he is now coming in here after spending five weeks saying we really should try to short circuit this.

I'll remind the Court that at the last pretrial conference, after the summary judgment motion had been granted, you actually asked the government, well, since you already prevailed on the first cause of action, do you need to proceed on the balance? Do you want to? And in a
appropriate.
My final thing is, what I really took offense to the most of what Mr. Amer said is that there should be consideration for the efforts of the Attorney General staff in doing their summary judgment motion. All I'll say on a personal level is, they had this case over three years. This defense team, in less than a year, worked on this case, are here trying this case, and we are working \(24 / 7\) to be able to comply with what these Court's orders were. So nobody is working harden than us, nobody is putting in more energy than us. So to say we should be precluded from bringing in these experts because they are tired, is offensive.

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, you are still standing there. I assume you want to say something.

MR. AMER: I do, your Honor. A few quick points. I think Mr. Kise completely confuses fact evidence with expert evidence.

Nobody is seeking to preclude them from putting in whatever fact witnesses they want to put on the stand. This is about expert testimony. No party has an entitlement to put on an expert case if it has nothing to do with the issues in the case and will not assist the trier of fact. There's no due process right to put on expert testimony. And the cases we cite in our letter demonstrate that courts

have routinely precluded parties from putting on experts if the experts are not going to assist the trier of fact.

So the notion that we are somehow trying to preclude them from making their case is nonsense. This is about expert testimony. And our position is that in light of the Court's summary judgment decision, there are no issues left in the case that would make these experts relevant.

The goose/gander rule, I have to make a point about this. They are distorting this rule beyond all recognition. This is a very simple rule. When the Court makes a ruling on an evidentiary issue, the Court should then apply that ruling on an evenhanded manner as the same issue comes up again and again throughout the course of the trial.

It doesn't mean that for every time I sustain your objection I have to sustain the other side's objection. These evidentiary rulings are about calling balls and strikes based on how the pitches are coming over the plate. And there's no goose/gander rule that says if I sustain ten of your objections I have to sustain ten of your adversary's objections.

I would also add, the rule has no application here because the Court has not previously ruled on the question of whether expert testimony is or is not relevant to the issues in the case. There's been no ruling that the Court

1 has issued on this matter to then apply in an evenhanded 2 manner. This is a matter of a clean slate for the Court in 3 terms of whether and to what extent valuation and accounting 4 experts have a role in this truncated narrower trial as a

THE COURT: Hold on. If I can speak for Mr. Kise for a minute. His references to goose and gander are that not about expert testimony, but about the fact that you've had a long time to put on a long case, 25 witnesses in 25 days. He wants an opportunity to put on a defense.

MR. KISE: And expert as well. I mean,
Mr. McCarty -- we had that debate over McCarty, whether or not he should be permitted. You said, which is your province, particularly in a bench trial, I could hear it all and I could sort it out. Which would be the appropriate procedure here.

THE COURT: Let's break for lunch. I'll have -MR. AMER: Can I just finish two more points?
THE COURT: Quickly, and I'll probably give you a chance after lunch, because the food might regenerate the brain.

MR. AMER: I understand. This will be quick. I think I expressly said that we have no issue with the banking experts that they want to put on in their case. They could put on all the fact witnesses they want and a
> -- to hear full evidence. And yes, yes if Mr. Amer doesn't understand how the experts will shed light on the issues before the Court, it will become apparent to him, I'm sure, when they testify.

> Thank you.
> THE COURT: Who first said sun slight is the best disinfectant?

> MR. AMER: Brandeis.
> THE COURT: Brandeis. See you after lunch at 2:15.
> (Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned until 2:15 for the luncheon recess.)
> \(\underset{*}{\text { AFTERNOON }} \underset{*}{*} \underset{*}{\text { SESSION }}\)

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in sex. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

MR. KISE: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Mr. Kise, when there is a three-day weekend, I go up the stairs much faster.

MR. KISE: Indeed, you were bounding. I'm glad you gave us the lunch break. I'm going to apologize to the court and apologize to Mr. Amer. You know it's not like me to be ad hominem. I shouldn't be. I wasn't raised that way. So when you are right, you are right. Mr. Amer is

working very hard. He is being a zealous advocate for his client. We are all very tired. It doesn't excuse it, but I just want to apologize on the record. I could withdraw it. You could strike it, leave it there, whatever you want, but I shouldn't have said what I said.

And I hope the apology is at least accepted, but as you know, I don't make extrajudicial statements. I don't make pejoratives, and I will go back to being my assertive, but generally affable self.

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, any response or requests.
MR. AMER: I appreciate it, and I certainly accept the apology. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Does either side want to say anything else about the question of whether plaintiff is entitled to preclude the four experts that their letter addressed? No? No? Oh, okay.

Well, Mr. Amer asked me not to take the path of least resistance, and I will take that to heart, generally speaking through the trial. Obviously one of my real goals here -- well, one of the real goals, which is obvious, is I don't want a retrial of this case. I don't want to be reversed. And to me, that basically speaks in favor of allowing, rather than disallowing, the questions, answers, expert testimony, et cetera; however, I'm going to let -I'm going to deny the request, without prejudice to object
to anything that they think is irrelevant, and I'll sort of explain how I see the heart of this case. And I think I made this clear in the summary judgment decision of September 26th. But let me say again, I'm not here to value these properties; I'm here to decide whether the Statements of Financial Condition and related documents were fraudulent, or whether they were correct or whether they were accurate. I'm not going to say oh, well, and I don't think I ever did, and I specifically disclaim saying it about Mar-a-Lago. I'm not going to say what a property is worth, because I don't have to. This is a case about, as we keep saying, it's a document case, or were the documents truthful, accurate, fair. And I'm going to go through some of the highlights, if you will, the greatest hits we call it, of the summary judgment decision.

What I think I was allowed to grant summary judgment was because it's -- whether the statements were true or false, it was not a question of whether they presented the correct value of the properties, but whether they were internally true and internally consistent. And here are the ways I found some, or maybe all of the ways I found they were not internally accurate or fair. I'll start with the low hanging fruit. And I never want to overemphasize this. And I'll give you the numbers.

First, the value of the triplex was fraudulently
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inflated in the SFCs from 2012 to 2016 -- this is at page 22 of the summary judgment motion -- by inflating the square footage by nearly three times it's actual size. All right, I don't want to focus on that.

Two, the value of Seven Springs was fraudulently inflated in the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition by disregarding and concealing the appraisals within its possession and reporting the value of Seven Springs to be more than 400 percent of its highest appraised value at the time.

Three, the value of the rent stabilized apartments as Trump Park Avenue was fraudulently inflated in the Statements of Financial Condition - from now on I'll say SFCs -- from 2014 to 2021 by wholly disregarding the rent stabilized status of certain units and valuing them as if they were unrestricted. You can't get a fair statement of value by pretending that rent regulated apartments are vacant. The value is completely different.

Four, the value of 40 Wall Street was fraudulently inflated in the 2011, 2012 and 2015 SFCs by disregarding and concealing appraisals within defendant's possession and reporting its value as nearly \(\$ 200\) million more than it's highest appraised value at the time.

Five, the value of Mar-a-Lago was fraudulently inflated in the SFCs from 2014 to 2021 by wholly
disregarding the land use restrictions which severely limited the ability to develop the property, and were granted in perpetuity by Donald J. Trump in exchange for tax benefits.

Six, the value of Aberdeen was fraudulently inflated in the SFCs from 2014 to 2019 by falsely indicating that defendants had legal approval to develop 2,035 private residential homes instead of the 500 for which it had actually obtained legal approval.

Seven, the value of certain golf courses was fraudulently inflated in the SFCs in 2014 to 2020 by including a brand premium and ignoring appraisals by claiming the SFCs did not include his brand value -- that was pretty black and white -- while also incorporating a brand value into its valuations.

Eight, the value of cash was fraudulently inflated on the SFCs from 2013 to 2021 by falsely claiming that Donald Trump's interest in Vornado was liquid, when, in fact, he had no right to use or withdraw any of these funds as a minority owner to.

Nine, the value of the Vornado Partnership properties was fraudulently inflated in the SFCs from 2014 to 2016, and 2021, by disregarding and concealing appraisals within its possession and reporting its interest in the property to have a value of more than \(\$ 170\) million more than
the highest appraised value at the time.
And finally, ten, the value of licensing deals was fraudulently included in the SFCs from 2014 to 2018, and 2020, by improperly reporting valuations of intra-organization deals in an asset category that purported to include only values of deals with outside third-party organizations, resulting in overvaluations each year of between 97 and \(\$ 224\) million.

So, first of all, again, sorry to repeat myself, this is common sense. You can't have a correct valid financial statement with these kinds of errors.

And secondly, if the experts try to testify otherwise, I'm going to shut them down at my discretion. And something that's bedeviled me this whole trial is the word "materiality", because as Mr. Kise was pointing out, the statutes say, well, there can't be a material misrepresentation. Well, I think I've said before, to me, if something is immaterial, it basically means de minimis. Okay, you could argue whether something is worth 200 million or 220 million, maybe arguably that's not material, but 200 million and 375 million are fraudulent. And 25 million or 500 million, that is clearly material, and I don't need an expert to tell me that.

If you want to take me up on appeal on the grounds that Judge Engoron doesn't understand material because it's
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1 & all about how somebody views it, no, at a certain point -- I & 1 \\
2 & think these are the words I used in the summary judgment & 2 \\
3 & motion, at a certain point it's not material; it's not & 3 \\
4 & immaterial. It's fraud. & 4 \\
5 & So bottom line, I'll let all the defendants' & 5 \\
6 & proposed experts testify, but in light of what I said about & 6 \\
7 & Mr. Amer's comment, I'm not going to take the path of least & 7 \\
8 & resistance. If it's clearly irrelevant, I'm just not going & 8 \\
9 & to allow it. & 9 \\
10 & So Mr. Kise, or anyone on the defense side. & 10 \\
11 & MR. KISE: No, your Honor. I think we understand & 11 \\
12 & the Court's position. I would say, without going through & 12 \\
13 & cach category, you are going to take it on a & 13 \\
14 & category-by-category basis. I do think you will find from & 14 \\
15 & the experts that there is some intersection of issues here, & 15 \\
16 & maybe broader than you realized, but we'll take that up, as & 16 \\
17 & you say, as we go forward. & 17 \\
18 & THE COURT: You won the battle, we'll see if you & 18 \\
19 & win the war, on the experts. & 19 \\
20 & MR. AMER: I would also assume that at least on the & 20 \\
21 & rebuttal experts, one basis for objecting on relevance & 21 \\
22 & grounds is their opinion isn't addressing anything that has & 22 \\
23 & been put into evidence on our case. & 23 \\
24 & THE COURT: I think that's logical and fair, and & 24 \\
25 & we'll save the all important taxpayers' money. & 25
\end{tabular}

MR. WALLACE: Thank you.
(The case on trial was adjourned to Monday, November 13, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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Changing subjects, I think I mentioned this before, and Mr. Kise and Mr. Robert, you know, newcomers let's keep things moving along. If somebody is -- if you have an expert or another witness who is not going to be all day, let's make sure you've got someone behind up.

MR. KISE: Well --
THE COURT: I'll be very harsh about that, too.
MR. KISE: -- as I've said, there's no one here that wants to be done sooner than me.

THE COURT: And there's nothing you said more often than that, and I totally believe you, of course.

MR. AMER: Some people may disagree that there's nobody who wants it to end sooner.

THE COURT: Im sure we all have our own personal stories.

MR. KISE: That's true.
THE COURT: All right. So have a great weekend. We are resuming 10:00 Monday morning; right?

MR. ROBERT: Yes, sir. Thank you.
THE COURT: The first witness will be?
MR. KISE: Donald Trump, Jr.
THE COURT: I know him.
MR. KISE: Yeah.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thanks a lot, everyone.
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& \text { 3923:5,6;3957:1 } \\
& \text { closed (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3930:1 } \\
\text { Communis }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 3885:20;3926:23 & 951:25 & claim (13) & 3886:11,19;3887:17 & 3949:18 \\
\hline cause (2) & chance (3) & 3859:13;3860:2 & closest (1) & community (2) \\
\hline 3852:4;3957:24 & 3874:21;3917:20; & 3879:9;3882:14 & 3933:8 & 3854:22;3866:1 \\
\hline causes (4) & 961:20 & 884:1;3916:21; & closing (3) & companies (2) \\
\hline 3858:14;3903:6; & change (7) & 919:25;3920:13,14; & 3895:9;3919:4 & 3857:12,14 \\
\hline 36:22;3958:4 & 3851:17;3860:10,12 & 935:2;3941:5;3943:6, & 26:14 & company (3) \\
\hline ceased (1) & 13,22;3888 & 7 & clubs (1) & 3862:13;3909 \\
\hline 3877:2 & changed & claimed & 3924:8 & 3912:6 \\
\hline center (1) & 913:4;394 & 3857:25;3860:7 & & mpilation (3) \\
\hline 3893:17 & 3958:9 & 92:12;3917: & 1:7, & 3865:21;3869: \\
\hline Central (3) & changes (2) & claiming (3) & 25 & 3905:3 \\
\hline 3854:15;3855:23; & 3872:13;3958:19 & 3932:24;3967:13,17 & Cohen (11) & complain (2) \\
\hline 3856:1 & Changing (1) & claims (16) & 3880:17,19;3881:18; & 3892:1,2 \\
\hline CEO (4) & 3970:1 & 3852:22;3856:20; & 82:9,15;3907:20; & complainant (2) \\
\hline 3868:9;3928:16,22, & chart (2) & 860:16;3879:20; & 11:17;3917:4; & 3853:2;3886:2 \\
\hline 22 & 886:23;3892:10 & 83:15;3885:8,1 & 8:1,3; & complaining (2) \\
\hline certain (10) & chasing (1) & 886:14;3910:7; & Cohen's (2) & 3857:8;3880:12 \\
\hline 3853:11;3879:16; & 3947:17 & 915:2;3916:23; & 3881:5;3919 & complaint (4) \\
\hline 3897:24;3898:1,3; & che & 917:17;3920:2 & Collapse & 3860:23;3861 \\
\hline 3939:21;3966:15; &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3936: 25 ; 3937: 12 \\
& 2012
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3896: 13 \\
\text { collatarg1 }
\end{gathered}
\] & 3871:3;3957:3 \\
\hline certainly (24) & 3945:21 & class (1) & 864:1;3872:22,23 & 3854:13;3860:21; \\
\hline 3852:10;3856:15; & checked (1) & 355:5 & 891:13 & 3869:18 \\
\hline 3857:13;3864:17; & 3913:14 & Claudia (2) & COLLEEN (1) & completed (1) \\
\hline 3866:8,10;3869:19; & checks (1) & 3908:9;3911:24 & 3849:23 & 3956:6 \\
\hline 3874:20;3878:19; & 3893:4 & clause (3) & colloquy (3) & completely (11) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
3882:3;3888:3; \\
3899.24-3918:20
\end{tabular} & Chicago (5)
3876:33887:3 & 3867:7;3868:18; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3894:6;3898: } \\
& 3958: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3869: 16 ; 3871117 ; \\
& 3880.7 .389 \cdot 5 \cdot 385
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3922:6;3925:3;3933:1; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3876:3;3887:3; } \\
& \text { 3894:20,23;3895:? }
\end{aligned}
\] & clean (1) & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline 3958: 2 \\
\text { comfort (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3882:7;3884:5;388 } \\
& \text { 3894:18;3917:1; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3935:9;3939:10; & chief (2) & 3961:2 & 3909:24 & 3948:12;3956:11; \\
\hline 3941:2,15;3947:23; & 3951:17;3955:10 & clear (20) & comical (1) & 3959:17;3966:18 \\
\hline 3949:6;3951:9; & Chin (1) & 852:15;3853:14 & 3895:11 & compliance (3) \\
\hline 3964:11 & 3942:6 & 858:17;3871:5; & coming (3) & 3859:6,12;3861:9 \\
\hline certainty (1) & choice (1) & 872:14;3901:10; & 3889:10;3957:19; & complications (1) \\
\hline 3897:21 & 3951:18 & 902:13;3914:23; & 3960:18 & 3877:2 \\
\hline certificate (2)
3859.24 .3861 .9 & choices (1) & 921:6;3922:22; & comment (2) & comply (1) \\
\hline 3859:24;3861:9 & 3899:23
chose (1) & 3931:5,7;3932:14,19, & 3948:11;3969:7 & 3959:9 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { certificates (2) } \\
3859: 6,12
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { chose (1) } \\
3926: 20
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 24 ; 3933: 8,10 ; 3941: 6 \\
& 3944: 1 ; 3965: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { comments (2) } \\
& 3851: 25 ; 3930: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & component (4) 3858:7;3862:14; \\
\hline certification (15) & CHRISTOPHER (1) & clearly (5) & commercial (13) & 3863:9;3888:16 \\
\hline 3883:21;3884:12; & 3850:5 & 854:5;3882:12 & 3854:7,7,8;3857:1 & comprehensive (1) \\
\hline 3885:1,7;3887:25; & Circuit (2) & 3902:19;3968:22; & 59:2;3866:19; & 3942:22 \\
\hline 3888:2,4;3891:6; & 3922:20;3957:20 & 3969:8 & 3892:21,25;3893:7,17; & concealing (3) \\
\hline 3894:14;3899:13; & circumstances (12) & client (10) & 3899:19,22;3900:2 & 3966:7,21;3967:23 \\
\hline 3913:8,20;3914:3; &  & 3877:15,17;3878:2, & commit (0) \({ }^{\text {() }}\), & concept (5) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3928:2;3930:7 } \\
\text { certifications (23) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 3889:8;3922:9,15,2 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4,5;3908:8,22;3910:7 } \\
& 3949: 10 ; 3964: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & 3862:25;3880:5,7;
3916.25 .3922 .20 & 3853:17,24;3861:2, \\
\hline 3853:10,18,22; & 3954:17 & clients (17) & 3930:21 & concepts (6) \\
\hline 3888:14;3890:3,4; & circumstantial & 900:22,2 & commitment & 3854:20;386 \\
\hline 3894:2,8,10,25;3899:1, & 3937:9;3938:10 & 1;3903:22;3914:23 & 3854:23 & 3875:11;3929:18 \\
\hline 3908:24;3909:5,6,12; & cite (8) & , \(915: 4,8,9,14 ; 3916: 18 ;\) & committed ( & 3931:17,18 \\
\hline 3912:4,12,16,17,19; & 3852:7;3868: & 917:23;3951:2,3,6; & 3917:6 & concerned (4) \\
\hline 3913:9,23;3921:5
certified (3) & 880:2;3885:16; & 957:16;3958:10 & committing (1) & 3877:8;3947:20; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { certified (3) } \\
& 3860: 20 ; 3912: 19 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3895:25;3896:1,12; } \\
& \text { 3959:25 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { client's (2) } \\
3873: 1,2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 3930:21 } \\
\text { common (2) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3949:3,4 } \\
\text { concerning (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 3920:22 & cited (3) & IFFORD ( & 45:12;3968 & 3934:14;3940:4 \\
\hline certifying (3) & 3862:19;3897:6;
3930:19 & 3850:10 & commonly (2) & concise (1) \\
\hline 3925:11,13;3938:9 & 3930:19 & climate (1) & 3862:16;3922:4 & 1:21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline clude (3) & ;3901:21 & urs (1) & & 3949:23;3950:1,4; \\
\hline 355:12;3875:17; & 3893 .10 & \[
3954: 15
\] & 3946:16:20.3947:15 & courtrooms (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3897:13 } \\
\text { conclusions }
\end{gathered}
\] & 3893:1 & contract (6) & :16,20;3947:15; & \begin{tabular}{l}
courtrooms \\
3919:18
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 07:3 & 22:25 & 3889: & courses ( & ourts (2) \\
\hline conclusive (1) & consider (3) & contracting (1) & 3967 & 3868:1;3959:25 \\
\hline 3871:7 & 3939:18;3955:18 & 3860:2 & OURT (196 & Court's (18) \\
\hline concocted (1) & 56:1 & contracts (2) & 3849:1,16;3850:2 & 3854:19;3857 \\
\hline 3889:24 & consideration (3) & 3886:21;3 & , & 3858:10;387 \\
\hline concrete (1) & 3854:19;3940:1 & contractual (1) & 3853:7;3854:6; & 3882:5;389 \\
\hline 3871:19 & 99-4 & 860 & 3855:12,19,21;3856:5, & 34:25;3936 \\
\hline Condition (38) & considered (2) & contractually ( & 10,13;3858:5,22; & 3938:21;3939:25 \\
\hline 3853:9;3860:22 & 3872:19;3919:1 & \[
3860: 6
\] & 3859:9;3861:12; & 3940:14;3943:17; \\
\hline \[
3901: 17,22,25 ;
\] & 3919:9;3955: & 3896:18 & 3864:6,7,14,18;3866:6; & 3961:5;3969:12 \\
\hline 3902:24;3903:2,12; & consistent (2) & contrary (3) & 14,17,23,25; & covenant (3) \\
\hline 3904:9,13,17,25; & 3908:21;3965:20 & 3866:9,10;387 & 3869:23;3870:1,14,16; & 3895:2;3898:8,24 \\
\hline 3905:7,14;3909:13,14, & conspiracy (10) & conversation (1) & 3871:6,15,19;3872:7; & covenants (5) \\
\hline 17,19;3910:3,11,16,20, & 3856:20;3879:21,21, & 3907:5 & 3873:6,21;3874:10; & 3853:19,20;3861 \\
\hline 25;3911:21;3914:25; & ;3882:24;3916:25; & conversationally (1) & 3875:1,9;3876:12, & 3898:6;389 \\
\hline 3921:4,8;3923:11; & 17:3;3936:11; & 3894:12 & 3877:4;3879:8;3880:2; & CPLR (2) \\
\hline 3924:3;3930:6;3935:6; & 3937:11;3 & conversations & 3881:1,22;3882:8,10; & 3852:1;3957:5 \\
\hline 3937:2;3965:6;3966:6, & cons & 3905:18;39 & 3885:16;3887:17; & Crane (1) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
13 \\
Condit
\end{tabular} & 84:12 & 7.7 & 890:2 & 3896:13 \\
\hline 3901:12;3913:15 & 3895:13 & 3857:19 & \[
3897: 13,20,22
\] & 3945:23 \\
\hline conduct (9) & construction (1) & convincing (8) & 3899:2 & create (2) \\
\hline 3883:6,17;3884:6; & 906:11 & 852:15;393 & 00:13,16,18; & 3921:19;3944:9 \\
\hline 3885:6,16;3892:20; & consummated (4) & :14,19,25;3933:9, & 905:1 & created (2) \\
\hline 3899:12;3937:14; & 871:25;3877:24 & 10 & 8:10; & 3877:1;3926:7 \\
\hline 3949:9 & 3888:13;3893:6 & core (4) & 3910:5;3914:9,14 & creates (1) \\
\hline conducted & contact (1) & 3860:15;3861:2,10; & 3915:17;3916:1; & 3921:21 \\
\hline 3878:22 & 399:11 & 889:2 & 3917:6,25;3918 & eation \\
\hline conducting & contain ( & co & 18,22,25;3919:9; & 3901:12;3921: \\
\hline 3878:2 & 59:3 & 3868:10; & , & credibility (2) \\
\hline conference (1) & ained (4) & 1, & 5;3926:4 & 3864:3;3903:19
credible (2) \\
\hline 3957:22 conferences & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3860: 8 ; 3861: 6 ; \\
& 3905: 13 ; 3923: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { corresponding (1) } \\
& 3888: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
3927:5,11,13,17; \\
3929:5,8,11;3930:1
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { credible (2) } \\
& \mathbf{3 8 6 7 : 1 ; 3 8 8 0 : 2 3}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3943:13 & contains (1) & corroborated ( & 17;3932:8,11,22,24; & credit (T) \\
\hline confess (1) & 860:11 & 869:10 & 3933:10,13,17,20; & 3876:2;3877:10; \\
\hline 3950:15 & Cont'd (1) & cost (1) & 3934:1,7,17,22;3935:1, & 3878:1;3891:22; \\
\hline confidence (1) & 50:1 & 342: & 5,16,20,24;3936:24 & 3892:3;3893:4; \\
\hline 3916:5 & contempla & costs & 3938:2,5,22;3939:18; & 3920:16 \\
\hline configurations (1) & 3888:22 &  & 41:11,12;3942:1,22, 4.3943:16,23-3944.2, & credited (1) \\
\hline confirmed (1) & context (14)
3852:15;385 & Counsel (2) & 24,39,15:10,39;394:2, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3856:14 } \\
& \text { crime (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 3898:5 & 3861:13,16;3862:4; & counsels (1) & 11,15;3946:2,12; & 3862:25;3863:1,4 \\
\hline conflate (2) & 3864:21;3876:16; & 3948:12 & 3947:13;3948:9 & criminal (23) \\
\hline 3884:3;3885:2 & 82:7;3886:8; & count (3) & 3949:16;3950:6,9,1 & 3852:12,13;3864 \\
\hline conflated (1) & 3908:11;3928:12 & 363:5;3880:2 & 23;3952:2,5,11,19, & 3880:5,7,9;3883 \\
\hline 3884:15 & 3932:13,14,3950:24 & 933:1 & 3953:4;3954:5,1 & 14;3884:6;3885:36, \\
\hline conflating (1) & CONTINENTAL (1) & counter (1) & 3956:1,2,3,11,22,25 & 3920:2;3928:12; \\
\hline 3875:11
confuses (1) & 3850:3
continue (3) & 3940:18
counterparties (1) & 3957:11,21;3959:14 & 3929:25;3930:23; \\
\hline confuses (1)
3959:17 & continue (3)
\[
3907: 9 ; 3926: 12
\] & counterparties (1) 3895:17 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3960: 11,12,23,25 \\
& 3961: 2,6,17,19 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3931:2,3,7;3932:13 } \\
& \text { 3933:1,5,15 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline confusing (1) & 3951:1 & country (2) & 3962:16,21,25;3963:3, & cross (6) \\
\hline 3874:11 & continued (3) & 3854:6;3909:23 & 6,9,15,19,23;3964:10, & 3867:14;3881:3; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { conjunction (1) } \\
3925: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3867: 12 ; 3890: 13 ; \\
& 3892: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { counts (4) } \\
& 3900: 9 ; 3933: 2,5,5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 13 ; 3969: 18,24 ; 3970: 7, \\
& 1014170025
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3898: 7 ; 3917: 13 ; \\
& 3025 ; 6 \cdot 9040 \cdot 14
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline connected (3) & continues (1) & couple (1) & courtroom (10) & culling (1) \\
\hline 4:1 & 860:19 & 3878 & 3875:8;3882:2 & 3942:21 \\
\hline 3926:24 & continuing (1) & course (12) & 3899:3 & culpability \\
\hline connection (2) & 3904:11 & 3851:3;3863:2; & 3935:12;3943:13; & 3940:2;3956:12,13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline cultural (1) & debate (1) & 3862:10;3864:25; & 3951:4,5;3959:25 & determine (4) \\
\hline 3949:17 & 3961:12 & 3867:18;3871:8; & demonstrated (3) & 3860:6;3889:6; \\
\hline culture (1) & debris (1) & 3879:19;3883:25; & 3872:15;3882:25; & 3891:16;3911:8 \\
\hline 3940:5 & 3855:3 & 3884:2,3,6;3885:15 & 3922:24 & determined (6) \\
\hline current (4) & decades (1) & 3892:11;3916:1; & demonstrates (5) & 3851:6;3858:12; \\
\hline 3904:4;3911:8; & 3854:22 & 3919:5,14,16;3920:22; & 3852:19;3873:19; & 3878:13;3891:8; \\
\hline 3938:14;3942:11 & December (2) & 3923:8,10,17,19,21; & 3875:20;3951:16,22 & 3893:13;3947:8 \\
\hline Cushman (2) & 3939:8;3942:8 & 3924:1,25;3926:13; & demonstrating (1) & determining (3) \\
\hline 3878:17;3905:22 & decide (7) & 3930:9;3932:18; & 3881:19 & 3861:19;3872:20; \\
\hline custom (1) & 3859:20;3864:17; & 3934:1,19;3935:9; & demonstration (1) & 3901:16 \\
\hline 3900:20 & 3868:24;3920:7; & 3936:5,9;3937:5,14,15, & 3885:14 & Deutsche (19) \\
\hline customer (3) & 3941:13;3951:14 & 19,22;3938:7,18,23; & demonstrative (1) & 3869:12;3873:23; \\
\hline 3872:25;3876:21,23 & 3965:5 & 3939:7,12,20;3942:4; & 3927:20 & 3874:2;3876:17; \\
\hline cut (1) & decided (2) & 3943:12;3944:6,16; & denied (2) & 3877:16,18;3878:1,5,6, \\
\hline 3887:18 & 3897:15;3921:12 & 3967:7 & 3897:9;3919:17 & 8;3889:14,15;3891:17, \\
\hline & decidedly (1) & defendants' (5) & deny (4) & 21;3893:11;3896:23; \\
\hline D & 3955:15
decides (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3922: 2 ; 3934: 10 ; \\
& 3939: 10 ; 3940: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3911: 19 ; 3919: 20 ; \\
& 3957: 13 ; 3964: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3912: 23 ; 3913: 16 ; \\
& 3921: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline dad's & 3895:24 & 3969: & Department (6) & develop (3) \\
\hline 3925:24 & decision (31) & defendant's (4) & 3888:9;3913:14; & 3929:9;3967:2,7 \\
\hline damage (4) & 3858:10,11;3873:16; & 3868:4;3937:17; & 3922:16,17;3930:2; & developed (1) \\
\hline \[
3880: 1,10 ; 3888: 16
\] & 3900:21;3921:1; & 3939:3;3966:21 & 3944:12 & 3893:8 \\
\hline 3916:24 & 3922:7;3923:4; & defense (15) & departures (1) & developer (2) \\
\hline damages (1) & 3926:15;3929:16; & 3852:10;3869:15,17, & 3951:4 & 3948:3;3951:23 \\
\hline 3958:8 & 3934:25;3935:4,10,12, & 18,19;3923:16;3929:1, & depends (1) & developers (1) \\
\hline data (2) & 25;3936:7,17;3938:3, & 1;3947:25;3951:15,21; & 3918:25 & 3948:3 \\
\hline 3901:15;3906:10 & 22;3943:18;3944:8,11, & 3958:25;3959:7; & deposit (1) & development (3) \\
\hline date (4) & 12;3947:6,22;3949:12; & 3961:10;3969:10 & 3876:24 & 3854:8,12;3935:1 \\
\hline 3887:5;3907:10; & 3955:21;3958:5; & defenses (3) & deposition (2) & develops (1) \\
\hline 3941:13;3949:23 & 3960:6;3961:5;3965:3, & 3868:20,22;3943:16 & 3875:5,8 & 3895:12 \\
\hline dates (1) & 15 & deference (2) & depositions (1) & dice (1) \\
\hline 3886:24 & decisions (2) & 3855:14;3931: & 3958:15 & 3897:16 \\
\hline Dave (1) & 3879:12;3882:11 & define (3) & deprive (1) & dictate (2) \\
\hline 3905:25 & deck (1) & 3861:12,14,1 & 3943:4 & 3946:11,25 \\
\hline David (1) & 3931:10 & definitely (1) & derived (2) & die (1) \\
\hline 3905:21 & declare (1) & 3906:18 & 3937:19,21 & 3888:17 \\
\hline day (3) & 3891:10 & definition (5) & describe (1) & differed (3) \\
\hline \(3884: 18 ; 3902: 20 ;\)
3970.4 & deem (1) & \(3860: 14 ; 3861: 22 ;\)
\(3865: 22-34 \cdot 69\) & 3880:22 & 3885:22;3893:22,25 \\
\hline 3970:4 & 3875:16 & 3865:22,24;3869:9 & described (1) & difference (7) \\
\hline daylight (1) & default (2) & defraud (13) & 3898:16 & 3871:21,22;3874:7; \\
\hline 3930:20 & 3891:15;3895:16 & 3856:23;3862:16,16; & describes (1) & 3877:21;3888:5; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { days (3) } \\
& 3948: 25 ; 3956:(
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
3856: 24 ; 3
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3863:11;3867:15; } \\
& 3869: 15 ; 3870: 6 ;
\end{aligned}
\] &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
3902:21;3933:17 \\
different (19)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3961:10 & 3891:10;3929:6 & 3929:2;3936:10,25; & 3906:13 & 3857:4,7,7;3858: \\
\hline de (1) & defeat (1) & 3937:1,17;3938:11 & designate (1) & 3859:4;3861:22; \\
\hline 3968:18 & 3888:7 & defrauded (3) & 3940:23 & 3867:13;3872:13; \\
\hline deal (16) & defect (2) & 3857:15;3858:3; & designated (6) & 3875:19;3882:7; \\
\hline 3865:10,12,13,17,25; & 3884:16,25 & 3889:9 & 3940:10,12,16; & 3889:20;3891:5; \\
\hline 3866:1,1,1;3873:11,14; & defects (1) & degree (11) & 3952:25;3953:1; & 3893:18;3916:8,8; \\
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\hline \(\boldsymbol{s t i c k}(1)\) & submitted (5) & 962:2 & taxes (1) & 3864:3,19,20;3865: \\
\hline 3952:2 & 3853:10;3871:6; & supplement & 3925:16 & 18;3867:13;3869:6 \\
\hline sticks (1) & 3879:13;3925:4; & 944:6 & taxpayer (2) & 3871:20;3872:12,21 \\
\hline 3884:24 & 3937:25 & supplemented (1) & 3942:3;3947: & 3876:19;3877:7 \\
\hline Still (14) & subsequent (2) & 3919:19 & taxpayers (2) & 3878:8,24,25;3880: \\
\hline 3851:16;3857:10; & 3888:14;3890:4 & support (5) & 3917:14;3958 & 3881:6;3882:5,13; \\
\hline 3873:11,14;3877:4; & substantial (2) 3942•10.3943.19 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3856: 20 ; 3855 \\
& 2850.1 \cdot 289 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\underset{3969: 25}{\text { taxpayers' }^{\text {ta }}}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3889:19;3895:13; } \\
& \text { 3806: }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3887:19,23;3890:: } \\
& \text { 3894:21;3908:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] & substantially (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 59: 1 ; 3 \\
& 37: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
3969: 25 \\
\text { team (4) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 3902:9,17;3903:4,9; \\
\hline \[
3915: 2 ; 3919: 20 \text {; }
\] & \[
3942: 25
\] & supporting (1) 3901:14 & 3909:23;3913:12; & 3904:6,18;3905:8,21, \\
\hline stop (4) & substa4:3;3868:22; & supposed (1) & technology (2) & 3911:3;3912:10,13; \\
\hline 3858:24;3886:18; & 3891:15 & 926:4 & 3851:15,15 & 3913:1,25;3915:3; \\
\hline 3935:20;3948:10 & substantively (1) & SUPREME ( & telling (3) & 3918:5;3919:17; \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { stories (1) } \\
3970: 15
\end{array}
\] & 3868:15
substitute (4) & 3849:1,16
sure (16) & \[
3869: 8 ; 3891: 3
\] & 3927:1;3928:7; \\
\hline straightforw & 3875:22;3896:17 & 3857:11;3 & tells & 39:11;3940:14; \\
\hline 3881:25 & 3897:2;3899:17 & 5:18;3893:5 & 3952:6 & 3941:1,25;3942:12; \\
\hline streamline (1) & substitutes & 913:14,2 & temerity & 943:24;3945 \\
\hline 3943:21 & 895:16 & 4:12;3927:17; & 946 & 3946:12;3948:4; \\
\hline STREET (15) & substitution (1) & 935:24;3945:3,8; & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { t e n }}\) (7) & 3950:12,19;3952:16, \\
\hline 3849:10;3850:4,18; & 3889:17 & 3948:10;3963:3; & 3865:16;3889:12 & 16;3955:11;3959:21, \\
\hline 3854:14;3860:11,19; & subsumed (1) & 970:5,14 & 3933:19,20;3960:19, & 24;3960:5,24;3961:8; \\
\hline 3886:15;3887:10; & 3955:20 & surprised (1) & 20;3968:2 & 3962:15;3964:24 \\
\hline 3893:23,24;3896:13; & succeeded (1) & 3851:11 & tend (3) & Thanks (2) \\
\hline 3898:6;3899:2;3924:7; & \(3943: 2\)
successful (3) & surrounding (2) & 3932:12,15,16 & 3870:14;3970:25 \\
\hline 3966:19 & successful (3) & 3922:10,15 & \begin{tabular}{l} 
tens (1) \\
3947 \\
\hline 17
\end{tabular} & theatrics (2) \\
\hline strength (2) & 3852:23;3897:4
3947:18 & survives (1)
3869:17 & 3947:17 & 3855:16,19 \\
\hline 3876:5,10
strike (2) & 3947:18 & 3869:17 & ten-year (2) & theoretical (3) \({ }_{\text {3875:18.3894-24 }}\). \\
\hline strike (2)
\[
3891: 3 ; 39
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { successfully (1) } \\
& 3877 \cdot 24
\end{aligned}
\] & susceptible 3922:14 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3929:22;3930:3 } \\
\text { terms (19) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3875:18;3894:24; } \\
& \text { 3895:6 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline strikes (1) & suddenly (1) & sustain (4) & 3854:12;3860:5; & theoretically (3) \\
\hline 3960:18 & 3947:20 & 3960:15,16,19,20 & 3875:19;3885:21; & 3887:7,16;3899:5 \\
\hline strongly (2) & sufficient (3) & swing (2) & 3888:5,21;3889:13,20; & theory (5) \\
\hline 3868:16;3900:23 & 3864:22;3926:12 & 3862:3,3 & 3890:5;3893:22,24; & 3889:24;3894:8 \\
\hline SUAREZ (1) & 3929:19 & system (1) & 3894:3,11;3897:9; & 3943:15;3958:9,1 \\
\hline 3850:6 & suggest (4) & 917:7 & 3898:1;3899:6;3933:4; & therefore (3) \\
\hline subject (9) & 3868:3;3874:7; & Szczerbiak (1) & \({ }_{\text {3942:2;3961:3 }}\) & 3879:18;3895:3; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3863: 6 ; 3886: 12 \\
& 3888: 10 ; 3889: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & 3897:8;3945:21 & 3919:13 & \[
\begin{aligned}
\text { test (1) } \\
\hline \mathbf{3 9 4 4}
\end{aligned}
\] & 3901:8
terein (1) \\
\hline 38915:2;3925:5; & 3856:12;3864:4 & T & testified (18) & 3861:7 \\
\hline 3935:18;3939:13; & 3866:8 & & 3854:2;3863:18; & thinking (2) 3934.9.3951:6 \\
\hline subjective (8) & \[
3850: 4,1
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { bexe (1) } \\
3942: 19
\end{gathered}
\] & 3873:22;3876:12 & \\
\hline 3864:23,25;3865:18; & sum (1) & talk (15) & 878:12,19;3879:14 & 3921:18;3943: \\
\hline 3866:23;3869:6,14; & 3899:8 & 181:4;3 & 16;3892:16;3897:2 & hird-party (1) \\
\hline 3870:6;3929:2 & summary (37) & 881:25;3901:19; & 1907:21;3928:19,2 & 3968:6 \\
\hline subjectively (1) & 3858:5,10;385 & 902:5,6;3905:23; & 25;3950:20,21 & hirty (1) \\
\hline 3865:25 & 3871:15;3896:21; & 9908:25;3926: & testify (15) & 3962:16 \\
\hline subjects (1) & 3919:5;3920:7;3924: & 3932:12;3945:4 & 3870:11;3875:16; & though (6) \\
\hline 3970:1 & 3929:16;3931:21; & 3947:10,11;3948:6; & 3935:22;3940:16; & 3856:8;3 \\
\hline submission (2) & 3935:17;3936:24; & 3950:6 & 3941:25;3942:9; & 3898:15,15;3920:11 \\
\hline 3882:21;3884:11 & 3937:23;3938:1,2; & talked (3) & 3943:14;3947:2; & 3943:9 \\
\hline submissions (2) & 3940:14;3942:23; & 3923:14;3927:21; & 3950:10,11,18; & thought (5) \\
\hline 3853:13,16 & 3943:4,20;3944:6,8,10, & 3962:3 & 3958:20;3963:4; & 3871:16;3906:16,21, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 23;3928:9 & 3928:10 & 3849:7,8,8,9;3854:1, & \[
1: 5
\] & unfair (3) \\
\hline thou & T & 6,16; & & 3943:3;39 \\
\hline & & 3855:1,22,25;3857:11; & & 5:21 \\
\hline three (18) & Tower & 3863:18,20,22;3864:7; & T & unfolded (2) \\
\hline 3876:4;3902 & 854:12, & 3866:3;3867:17,20; & 3918: & 3954:18;3955:7 \\
\hline 3904:23;3908:11 & 3855:23;3856 & 3869:7;3870:11; & Tweet (1) & unfortunate (1) \\
\hline 3909:11;3911:3; & training (1) & 3876:2,3,18;3878 & 391 & 3915:9 \\
\hline 3912:15;3923:19, & & 21;3880:20;3881 & d & fortunat \\
\hline 3936:12,13;3941:22; & tra & 13;3882:14;3883:4,7 & 3917:12 & 3885:17 \\
\hline 2:18,19; & 3888:20 & 18,22,22;3886:25; & Twenty-five & nintentional (1) \\
\hline 9:6;3966:3,11 & transaction & 3892:11,22;3893:1 & 3860:25 & 3873:4 \\
\hline three-day (1) & 3888:12,1 & 15;3898:17,20;3899:3, & \[
\mathbf{t w}
\] & unique (2) \\
\hline 3963: & transa & \[
23,24 ; 3901: 2,3,22
\] & & 3906:17,22 \\
\hline thres & 3852:24;3853:11,13 & 3902:4,6,12,14,14,1 & 8:7,11;3879:23 & United (1) \\
\hline 1:25 & 3871:24; & 3903:7,8,12;3904:2,5, & 900: & 3877:1 \\
\hline thresholds & 3880:14;3886:11,19, & 8,16,16,20,25;3905:10, & 906:2;3911:19 & units (2) \\
\hline 3899:4 & 20;3887:6,10,15; & 18;3906:4,5,9;3907:4, & 3919:15;3928:10 & 3906:12;3966:15 \\
\hline throughout & 3897:5;3899:16; & 12,12,18;3908:1,13,14, & 3931:1;3936:11; & unjust (1) \\
\hline 3877:20;390 & 3937:3;3947:18 & 16,18,24;3909:1,2; & 3937:4;3942:16; & 3856:24 \\
\hline 3947:15 & tran & 3910:8,9,19,19,24 & 43:10;3944:20 & unless (3) \\
\hline throw (2) & 3908: & 3911:4,13,21;3912:2,5, & \[
3946: 21 ; 3950: 6,1
\] & 3882:22;3928:3; \\
\hline 3884:23;3 & tran & 10,10,11,25;3913:12; & 3952:2,17,21;3957:16; & 3947:23 \\
\hline rown (1) & , & 3921:9,13,22;3923:19; & 3961:18;3966:5 & unlike (1) \\
\hline 3908:4 & transform & 3925:8,22;3926:1,5,5, & type (2) & 3949:23 \\
\hline timel & 54:13 & 6,9,18;3928:13; & 3940:1,13 & unpledged (3) \\
\hline 385 & transform & 3929:21;3940: & typically (1) & 3873:3,3,4 \\
\hline mes (3) & 3855:4 & 3951:23;3966:12 & 3922: & unrestricted ( \\
\hline \[
66: 13 ; 389
\] & tre & 3967:3;3970:21 & \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { typo (1) } \\
\mathbf{3 8 7 1 : 1 1}
\end{array}
\] & 3966:16 \\
\hline tired (3) & Trial & \[
3863: 24 ; 3864: 19 ;
\] & & \[
3902: 1
\] \\
\hline 3876:13 & 3849 & 902:8, & U & untrue (1) \\
\hline 3964:2 & 3858:13;3874:6,2 & 3905:23;3909:8; & & 3861:5 \\
\hline today (5) & 3875:6,7;3901:18 & 3910:25;3912:13; & u & unwind (1) \\
\hline \[
3851: 8
\] & 3915:2;3916:14; & 3913:25;3939:23; & 3894:12;3958:5 & 3891:2 \\
\hline \[
3914: 14,20 ; 3931: 12
\] & 3917:2, & 3967:18 & ultimately & up (34) \\
\hline together (5) & 3924:10 & truncated ( & 54:19;3864:17 & 3851:2;385 \\
\hline \[
3860: 9 ; 38
\] & 3936:7;3 & 3961:4 & 868:24;3873:14,15; & \[
3865: 14 ; 3867: 25
\] \\
\hline 3884:3;3885:2 & 3941:12;3942:11,17; & trust (4) & 3907:2 & 3869:2;3881:22; \\
\hline 3928:23 & 3943:1,7,9,21;3944:5, & 3883:23;3892:15,17; & um (4) & 3883:4,8;3884:23; \\
\hline told (12) & 13;3947:13;3955:2; & 3939:24 & 3884:17;3887:24; & \[
3886: 8 ; 3891: 15,1
\] \\
\hline 3876:11;3879:15 & 3956:6;3958:8; & Trustee (2 & \[
3928: 10 ; 3929: 23
\] & 3894:5;3895:24; \\
\hline 3880:20;3881:4,20; & \[
3960: 14 ; 3961: 4,14
\] & 3911:5;3913:2 & uncalled (2) & 3902:19;3906:14 \\
\hline \[
3882: 21 ; 3891: 4 ;
\] & 3963:10;3964:19; & trustees (3) & 3948:12;3949:20 & 3907:2;3916:4;391 \\
\hline 3911:4;3912:20,21; & 3968:14;3971:2 & 3911:14;3925: & uncorroborated (1) & 3918:14;3927:19; \\
\hline 3914:12,19 & & 3939:23 & 3869:10 & 3929:21;3930:8; \\
\hline tolling (2) & 3881:18 & trusting (1) & under (10) & 3931:10;3942:7; \\
\hline 3886:16; & tri & 3893:14 & 3852:1;3872 & 3944:7;3948:7; \\
\hline took (5) & 3864:16;3944:2 & trusts (1) & 3885:11;3890:8 & 3950:11;3954:16; \\
\hline 3855:1; & 3959:23;3960:2 & 3892:17 & 3917:6;3918:8; & 3960:13;3963:20; \\
\hline 3948:25;3952:10; & & truthful (2) & 3930:12;3931:12 & 3968:24;3969:16; \\
\hline 3959:2 & 3924:6;3965 & 3911:18;3965 & 3934:4;3956:16 & 3970:5 \\
\hline tools (1) & tripped (1) & try (0) & underlying (2) & upon (3) \\
\hline 3956:3 & 3902:18 & \[
3851: 21 ; 3914: 15
\] & 3885:11;3951:25 & 3852:4;3933:21; \\
\hline top (2) & true & 3918:21;3919:2; & understood (3) & 3958:25 \\
\hline 3854:11 & 3853:18 & 3957:20;3968:12 & 3862:17;3902:1 & use (12) \\
\hline total (3) & 3860:21;3877:2 & trying (6) & 52:14 & 3867:4;3875:24; \\
\hline 3867:21;3889: & 3909:7;3923:13 & 3864:18;3866:23; & underwriting (3) & 3888:6;3895:11; \\
\hline 3936:6 & 3925:13,16;3932:7; & 3920:12;3946:12; & 3879:12;3889:2 & 3902:15;3926:20; \\
\hline tally (3) 3949:20,21;3970 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3937: 11 ; 3965: 18,20 \\
& 3970: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3959:8;3960:3 } \\
& \text { turn (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3920:23
underwrote & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3939: 3 ; 3945: 2 ; \\
& 3949: 17 ; 3957: 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline touch (1) & truly & 00:6;3904:5; & \[
3897 \text {. }
\] & 3967:1,19 \\
\hline 3882:17 & 3917:1 & 3923:17 & undisputed (3) & used (11) \\
\hline touched (1) & TRUMP (121) & turned (1) & 3852:24,24;3935:3 & 3895:21;3901:16,23; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline :2; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3879:16} & \multirow{3}{*}{W} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { weekend (2) } \\
& 3963: 20 ; 3970: 17 \\
& \text { weeks (7) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3967:19 } \\
\text { Withdrawn (1) } \\
\text { 3930:24 }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline 3918:16;3937:18; & & & & \\
\hline 5;3969: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { verdict (25) } \\
& 3851: 7,10 ; 3852: 2 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \\
\hline user & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3858: 14 ; 3863: 7 ; \\
& 3900: 24 ; 3901: 3,9
\end{aligned}
\]} & WABASH (1) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3916: 14 ; 3919: 15 ; \\
& 3942: 16 ; 3943: 10 ;
\end{aligned}
\]} & within ( \\
\hline 3861:17,21;3862:12 & & 3849:9 & & 3926:10;3966:7,21; \\
\hline ers (1 & 3915:7,14;3916:7; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Wait (3)} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3945: 24 ; 3948: 4 ; \\
& 3957: 19
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
3967: 24 \\
\text { without (10) }
\end{gathered}
\]} \\
\hline 3869:1 & 3917:24;3918:19; & & & \\
\hline using & 3919:6,8,16,20; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
wake (1) \\
3936:7
\end{tabular}} & & \[
3882: 24 ; 3884: 15 ;
\] \\
\hline 3874:14; & 3926:12;3927:4,10; & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3926:3;3956:3 } \\
& \text { weight (2) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 3885:4;3890:3; \\
\hline 3937:2 & 3931:4;3935:15 & Wakefield (2) & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3896: 21 ; 3897: 4 ; \\
& 3901: 1 ; 3941: 14 ;
\end{aligned}
\]} \\
\hline usually & 3951:8;3955:24 & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3856:4;3941:13 } \\
& \text { WEISSELBERG (11) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \\
\hline 3900:19; & 3958:22 & walk (1) & & \[
3964: 25 ; 3969: 12
\] \\
\hline 3958:16 & & & 3849:7;3867:21; & \\
\hline utters (1)
\(\mathbf{3 8 6 3}\) & 877:12 & Wall (12) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3872: 14,18 ; 3879: 14 \\
& 3882: 20 ; 3905: 4,5
\end{aligned}
\]} & 3936:19;3940:9; \\
\hline 3863:11 & vested (1) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3854: 14 ; 3860: 11,19 \\
& 3886: 15 ; 3887: 10 ;
\end{aligned}
\]} & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3944:17;3945:12; 3948:2;3950:18; 3952:15,20;3956:23} \\
\hline V & 3915:5
viable (2) & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 3911: 2 ; 3923: 14 ; \\
& 3930: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline & & 3899:2;3924:7;3930:8; & Weisselberg's (1) & witness (23) \\
\hline vacant (1) & vicinity (1) & \[
3066 \cdot 10
\] & 3905:8 & \[
3872: 7,11 ; 3878: 20,
\] \\
\hline 3966:18 & 3855:8 & WA & weren't ( & 25;3880:12,16;3898:2; \\
\hline vagaries (1) & 3853:2.3857:17 & 3851:4;3857:24; & 3940:17;3946:2 & 3907:16,17;3908:6; \\
\hline 3889:15 & 3853:2;3857:17 & 3864:12;3867:3; & West (2) & 3910:22;3917:8; \\
\hline valid (2) & 3886:2;3900:8; & 3874:22;3875:4; & 3855:6,7 & 3918:2,11;3941:7,7; \\
\hline 3856:16;39 & 3916:24 & 3881:9;3918:20,23; & what's (6) & 3942:7;3946:18,19; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { aluable (2) } \\
\text { 3876:21,23 }
\end{gathered}
\] & victims (4) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3919:2;3924:12,14,17, } \\
& \text { 19,24;3925:3;3926:6; }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3875: 22 ; 3882: 11 ; \\
& 3902: 21 ; 3918: 12 ; \\
& 3932: 17.18
\end{aligned}
\]} & 3951:22;3956:24; \\
\hline 38 & 3856:25;3857:9 & & & \\
\hline 3856:22;3878:1,2,4 & victory (3) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3927:9,12,21;3928:6; } \\
& \text { 3929:3,14;3930:19; }
\end{aligned}
\] & whatsoever (2) & 3851:5;3872:11; \\
\hline 5,21;3936:2;3937:18; & 3943:5,19, & \[
3931: 6 ; 3932: 5,20,23
\] & 3880:4;3923:16 & 3879:1,1;3903:20; \\
\hline 3939:14;3940:6; & view (21) & 3933:19;3971:1 & Whereupon (2) & 3904:3;3908:7,20; \\
\hline 3942:4,8,13;3949:15; & 3852:11,11;3856:2; & wandered (1) & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\[
3933: 21 ; 3963: 10
\] \\
whistling (1)
\end{tabular}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{3915:3;3934:15;
3935:18,22;3937:6;} \\
\hline 3952:21;3961:3 & & 3884:17 & & \\
\hline aluations (5) & 3872:3;3873:8,9; & wants (5) & 3924:1 & 3938:16;3941:21; \\
\hline \(3878: 22 ; 388\)
\(3930 \cdot 2 \cdot 3967\) & 3874:3,15;3875:22 & & white (1)
3967:14 & 3942:15;3946:19; \\
\hline \(38930: 2 ; 3967\)
\(3968: 4\) & \(3879: 5,11 ; 3889: 1\)
\(3905: 23 \cdot 3907 \cdot 13\) & 3961:10;3970:9,13 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 3967:14 } \\
\text { Whitley (1) }
\end{gathered}
\]} & 3947:4,12;3948:2; \\
\hline , & 3905:23; & war (1) & & 3950:7,17;3951:15; \\
\hline 3855:11;3 & 3951:23;3962:24,24 & warning (1) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
3904: 4 \\
\text { whole (7) }
\end{array}
\] & 3954:16;3955:7; \\
\hline 3872:23;3891:22; & viewed (7) & \[
3956: 22
\] & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{3927:24;3946:23;
\[
3956: 21 ; 3968: 14
\]} & 3956:10;3959:20; \\
\hline 3892:12;3905:24; & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 3862: 11 ; 3871: 18 ; \\
& 3873: 10,13,20 ;
\end{aligned}
\]} & warranted (1) & & 3961:9,25 \\
\hline 3906:4;3910:2;3911:8; & & 3916:7 & & witness's (3) \\
\hline 3937:20,20;3938:14; & 3874:18;3875:2 & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 3956:21;3968:14 } \\
& \text { wholly (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 3864:2;3937:10; \\
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Tommy, is your hand all right? You didn't bang that time. At least do the banging, as we call it. Pardon the expression.

I understand there are some photographers that would like to have a moment with us.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceedings for the photographers.)

THE COURT: The defense table must be looking good today. They gave you more time than normal; right? Would you like to call your first witness, defense?

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, your Honor. Defense calls Donald J. Trump, Jr. to the stand.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I would ask who's he, but I've already used that joke.

THE WITNESS: You thought you were rid of me, your Honor.

THE COURT OFFICER: I'm going to swear you in.

| Id Trump, et. al. |
| :--- |
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Remain standing. Raise your right hand.
(The witness complied.)
THE COURT OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear or affim that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
DONALD JOHN TRUMP, JR., called by and on behalf of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: Plesse have a seat. State your name and home business address for the record?

THE WITNESS: Donald John Trump, Jr., 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022.

THE COURT: Okay. Please proceed.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, your Honor.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERT:
Q Good morning, Mr. Trump.
A Good morning.
Q I want to spend, very briefly, talking about your educational background. Let us know your education from college on, sir.
A Yeah, I went to the University of Pennsylvania, graduated with a degree in economics from the Wharton School of Finance.
$1 \quad$ Q And what year did you graduate, sir?
2 A I graduated in the year 2000.
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largest undeveloped -- it was the largest undeveloped parcel of land left in New York City. Um, started working on there, building an array of different buildings and projects from pure condominium ground-up construction, to rental apartments, commercial space, et cetera. From there, we bought an incredible asset, what was formally Delmonico Hotel on Park Avenue, in the high 50s. Wanted to learn that aspect of the game. It was an existing prewar building. It was a different kind of construction, ground-up construction, um, was one thing. You know, taking on a building, you know, 75,80 years old. And another entirely different ball game, as I found out. Um, took on all aspects of that from there. Got to work on Trump Tower Chicago.

Ultimately, that was another ground-up construction building which would have been the largest residential building in Chicago, one of the tallest buildings ever built-in America, to Trump, Las Vegas.

From there, my father saw a lot of things before other people in real estate, and so from there, going into sort of the boom of the early 2000's in real estate, there were a lot of opportunities to do licensing deals because of sort of the incredible nature over the properties that he had built for himself.

Other people saw incredible value in the Trump brand, wanted to be able to do that. Real estate is often times a

1 local's game, right? We have a lot of Huperts, but you can't 2 just necessarily jump into a new market all over the world and
assume you are going to be the guy to be able to get things done. So a lot of great real estate companies wanted to come to us, learn how to better design, build, amenitize (sic), market their projects, and so that started a whole new phase, sort of the organization, and then so on and so forth, right? We went through that, the Old Post Office, Doral.
Q We'll go through those in detail later this morning, Mr. Trump, but during your testimony least week, the week before, there was different titles. I think you said you started out as a project manager; correct?

## A Correct.

Q What would you describe as the duties of a project manager to be?
A You know, honestly, it was anything and everything. Not really - we run sort of like what is call it a large family business, like a mom and pop, so it really wasn't that I was a project manager. Certainly at Hudson Waterfront, because I didn't know anything. I was learning.

By the time I got to Trump Park Avenue, you know, it was the same thing, but that was a different kind of project. Right behind every wall was a new surprise, and the plans that you have that are with the thing, you know that are registered, don't necessarily line up to what's actually been built. You
rump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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know, behind every wall was a new surprise, quite exactly. And so there were just so many unforeseen things that, for me, as sort of a young gregarious guy, I'll deal with that. I'll take on that problem. I'll take on; that problem, I'll deal with this. It created an opportunity to sort of advance, so I never really sought further title. But by the end of that project I was certainly the lead on that project, largely, because I was willing to deal with the stuff that no one else wanted to.

Q What is the general view at the Trump Organization as to titles of people and job descriptions, if anything?
A I think it's a more of a meritocracy than titles.
Q What do you mean by that, sir?
A If you get things done, if you are able to carry the ball. You know, my father has done that throughout his career. You know, there are people that, you know, didn't necessarily have the fancy degrees that he would allow to be in charge of projects, because they actually delivered results, not just on paper. And so, he gave opportunities to a lot of people that probably wouldn't have had the opportunities. And so, you know, it was really more about, you know, who could get something done than a formalized structure.
Q I know there was some discussion about at what point in time you moved from being a vice president to executive vice president. What, if any, significance was there to that change of title?

1 A Basically, ordering new business cards. Not really much significance. Didn't mean that much to me.

Q And do you remember at what point in time you did become an executive vice president?

A Not specifically.
Q There's been a lot of talk about what The Trump Organization is and what The Trump Organization isn't. How would you, as an executive vice president, describe The Trump Organization?

A Listen, it's an all encompassing real estate firm. Most real estate companies they do, you know, commercial office, or they do, you know, rental apartments, or they build condominiums, or they manage clubs, do and manage hotels, or build hotels. The two don't often -- we do all of those things, as well as retail. So I think we are one of the few companies out there that sort of does have assets, and has had, you know, world class assets in all of the buckets of what would be considered, let's say, real estate development. I think that's really unusual and sets us apart. It's from years of doing things better than others, years of experience that we've been able to do that and create a brand around it.

Q If we talk about the corporate structure, if you will, of The Trump Organization, how would you explain that? A Well, I mean, you know, certainly early on it would be, you know, my father and then everyone else. That changed when
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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he assumed the role of the Presidency. It changed as my brother, sister and myself were able to take more on.

I know for myself, if there's one thing my father, often times doesn't of love to do is travel, so when we had the opportunity to start seeing these deals in emerging markets around the world, starting to build high-end real estate for the first time, say, you know, Dubai, as an example, sure, I was in my late 20 s , early 30 s . I'll hop on a plane, go a couple of times a month, if I had. Aberdeen, Scotland, I spent four, five days a month there traveling to build just an incredible golf course.

And as those international and even domestic sort of license opportunities presented themselves, you know, we spread out into other things, and that's when, you know, we created another role.

Real estate is one of those things you've got to be on the ground. You've got to be there to kick the tires. You have to understand what is going on, deal with the construction teams to really have an understanding. It's hard to do from sort of, you know, a gilded office. And so you've got to be on the ground.

As we started spreading out of New York City, that hierarchy changed a little bit, certainly for my brother, sister and myself, because we were the guys on the ground.
Q How, if in any way, would you describe the roles and
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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1 responsibilities of you, and specifically Eric and Ivanka, in the period up to 2017?

A You know, up to 2017, obviously a little bit of a rolling structure. I was the oldest, came in earliest. Ivanka came in next, Eric came in next, and once things -- once they were to able understand those roles quickly, sort of spread out. You didn't need three chiefs, sort of, on a job. Often times that, you know, really had nothing to do with the real estate. It had to just deal with the personal dynamic of partners we were dealing with, and if someone was better suited to that, they would assume that role. If that was Ivanka, great. If it was Eric, just as good. It didn't really matter, but we worked collectively, but each one of us had our own sort of bucket.

Q Who, if anyone, would make a decision as to the respective autonomy that you and your siblings would have in terms of various projects?
A I think generally we would certainly -- on those deals, as you get closer to 2017, we had a lot more of that autonomy. With every passing day we delivered, we brought in great projects, generated a lot of revenue, and so the more we did that, the more flexibility we had.

Q And how would you describe the structure of the Trump Organization from the period 2017 through 2021, generally?

A You know, still a bit of an evolution. Obviously my brother and myself assumed much more of a role, you know, with
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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1 that. You know, there were a lot of things changed because the 2 nature of politics. We gave up our international licensing 3 business, which was a very lucrative thing that was a big part 4 of what I had done, you know, during that. I spent a lot of 5 time abroad doing that. My father decided for, you know, 6 really, I mean, we could've legally done it. We weren't dealing with governmental entities, really, but just chose, for the sake of optics, to not do those things going forward. In retrospect, that was probably -- probably a mistake because, you know, according to everything else and everyone, we were still doing those things and didn't really matter; got almost no credit for it. So -- but that was a big part of what I did. So those things changed.

My father, obviously, was not involved anymore. We weren't going to bring business to him. It wasn't worth it, and it would have been very apparent very quickly if he was involved. And it was just not worth that. So my brother and I assumed a lot more responsibility, Ivanka went down to Washington, so she was also uninvolved. And, you know, we ran the business. So it was during that time, because of the nature of it, the roles changed a lot in that we were much more, at that time, as you know -- let's call it an asset manager. We weren't going to be doing new deals. It wasn't worth the headache. It would not have made sense, so we protected the incredible assets that we had. We continued the projects that
were under way. You know, real estate development is not buying stock. You buy stock and then you are done. You get involved with a project, you sign a deal, this could be five, six. In the case of the West Side Yards, 15, 20-year deal by the time you see sort of the vision, aggregate the land, amass, you know, the entitlements, et cetera, et cetera, that you have to do to get through a process.

Q And how would you describe the structure of the company from 2021 to present, sir?
A You know, again, I think my father could be back involved. He could get back involved, if he chooses to on certain things, but, you know, for the most part, it would be, you know, my brother and I still, you know, much more active or much more responsible than prior -- than prior to 2017. You know, Eric is definitely more involved in a lot of the day-to-day operations of the business; that's sort of his bailiwick. I was more bigger picture deal guy. And so, you know, we do that as we see fit.
Q And you obviously know the name Allen Weisselberg; correct?

A I do.
Q Who was -- who is Allen Weisselberg?
A Allen Weisselberg was a former CFO, accountant at the organization, been with us for pushing 50 years. Is no longer there, but someone who worked with us during, certainly, our

1 contract was a small thing, because we ran those buildings well.
2 We had sort of a bucket, you know, let's say of what The Trump Organization would do.

Most developers, they build and sell a building. They want out of it. They don't want to deal with a headache of dealing with a unit owner, or a unit owner that they never sold to. They don't want to deal with that. For us, the nature of the buildings, because of the value of our brand, we would actually, you know, continue to stay on and manage those buildings, because we could preserve that better than anyone and run it better than anyone, so that whole team had been there for a very long period of time.

Q For the period of 2017 through 2021 when you and Mr. Weisselberg were co-trustees, what, if anything, changed in terms of your interaction with him at the company?

A Not really -- I mean, not much. The reality is, like I said, we worked sort of like a large family business, and so, you know, I had my buckets. He didn't get involved in doing the licensing deals and he wasn't involved in architectural decisions. And, you know, if there were numbers or things, that I would rely on them to give me that, because that's what they do. And that's what they worked on.

Q Who --
THE COURT: Hold on, the person who has the most difficult job in the whole courtroom is sitting in front of
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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coming of age period of time from, you know, from me when I entered in 2021, and someone, you know, who is very involved throughout, let's call it, 2021.

Q And who is Jeff McConney?
A Jeff McConney would have been our controller. Similar, 35-year plus employee, someone who had been there for a very long time. Worked in the accounting department, um, intimately involved in all aspects of accounting, and had been there for a very long period of time, but is no longer there.

Q How would you generally describe your relationship with Mr. Weisselberg through 2017?

A Very positive.
Q And what, if any, interaction or role did you have in doing business with him?

A I would have had a lot. I mean, that was, you know, our in-house, you know, numbers guy, financial guy. Obviously he worked very close with our outside accounting firms. We talked about, you know, Donald Bender at Mazars, who was, you know, another -- while he was outside, was another, you know, 30-plus year guy who had intimately worked with that accounting team, who amassed, assembled everything that we had put together, who had -- and continued to. I mean, they would do the tax returns on a condominium that maybe we managed, but we literally sold off all of the units years before. They still stayed involved because we managed it, even if that management
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me to the left. Apparently, you are going a little too fast for him, so slow down a little bit.

THE WITNESS: I'll do my best.
THE COURT: Welcome back.
THE WITNESS: I would say it's good to be here, your Honor, but I have the feeling the Attorney General would sue me for perjury if I said that seriously.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, my realtime is not working. I wanted to alert them. We could deal with it at the break. THE COURT: Well, everything is relative, I guess. THE WITNESS: Yes, it is, sir.
THE COURT: Can we proceed? okay, next question.
Q Who is Mark Hawthorn?
A Mark Hawthorn came in for the hotel, Trump Hotel Collection, do all the accounting functions there. You know, I guess chief operating officer, and has really assumed -- sort of called -- assumed the role, now, of CFO at the Trump Organization, dealing with all things financial.

Q So when you testified a week or two ago, you mentioned that Mark Hawthorn was the CFO of The Trump Organization. Is that actually his official title?

A I think he's still chief operating officer. He -- he's assumed that role, I guess I just said, sort of. So he is the, you know, the finance guy within Trump, Trump world now and has taken on all those decisional responsibilities, he is an actual


There's hotels, and hotel management. I could group
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that into one bucket, but again in a typical hotel company, you know, the vast majority of hotel companies almost don't own any real estate. They manage for other people and they are very happy clipping four, five percent coupons, plus, plus, plus extras, and not having to own that real estate. For us, in some cases we will build them, we will own them, we will development them, we will manage them and continue.

So, you know, the buckets, we can group it into three or four buckets, or we can really take it down to a base level of having numerous. So I'm not sure I have a specific answer. It just depends on how much we want to generalize.

MR. WALLACE: We want to interjection an objection to the answers to the extent they are going to what other developers or other hotel operators might do.

We have no objection to Mr. Trump discussing their own operations, but we object to the speculation.

THE COURT: On the grounds of relevance or on the grounds of how would he know?

MR. WALLACE: I would say relevance and foundation.
THE COURT: "Foundation", that's the word I was looking for.

Mr. Robert.
MR. ROBERT: I'll rephrase the question. I mean, I think we are moving on to another topic anyway. I'm trying to lay a baseline of what it is, the different buckets, and
then we are going to get into them.
So based on your Honor's ruling, I don't think a further foundation needs to be laid other than one of their buckets is development, one is of their buckets is hotels, and I'm about to get into the third bucket.

MR. WALLACE: As I said, we had no objection to the discussion of the Trump Organization's operations.

THE COURT: Let's keep it to the Trump Organization.

MR. ROBERT: Understood, your Honor. Understood, your Honor.
Q Is there a third bucket you had discussed, Mr. Trump?
A I would say sort of, you know, the licensing model that I brought up before. My father's been, throughout his career, been very good about finding value, doing something differently, pushing the envelope in terms of, let's call it, sexiness within a real estate project, um, seeing things that other people didn't see or wouldn't be able to envision. And because of that he built just some of the most incredible assets in the world. It was really the leading edge of development. And, again, that started off -- you know, for the most part people would think of it in terms of, you know, condominium development, but, you know, some his projects were actually hotels that he redeveloped.

So because of the image that he was able to create,
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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because of the boundaries he was able to push, because of the brand that he created around himself, it allowed for him to get to a point where a lot of other, in many cases, very successful developers, in their own right, would come to him for that expertise, for that brand, for that understanding, um, and allowed him to be able to brand other people's real estate deals for -- for fees, obviously, fairly significant fees, and, you know, throughout the world and throughout the United States.

We were able to do and create that business model that was really unique at the time, that has since been emulated by some of the finest luxury brands anywhere in the world.

Q This is going to sound very basic, but to lay a foundation for this, when you say "development", what is it that a developer does?
A You know, well, again, it can vary a lot, but development could be everything from envisioning a project, buying the land, coming up with the architectural -- building a building, but for some it's buying a building and making a couple of changes; that person could still be a developer. So you know there are levels of detail in terms of how detailed the developer, you know, will or will not get into a project.

I mean, say for us, again, we've covered the full gamut from, again, land, acquisition to, you know, decades long plays figuring out how to intrinsically find the value and create, you know, create value from real estate projects.

## D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert) <br> Page 3992

1 Q Again, we'll get into detail in a moment, but how would you then draw a distinction for the Court between a development deal and a licensing deal in real estate?

A I would say, for us, a development deal would -- would generally be an equity type deal where you are putting a lot of money into the deal itself.

What was unique about the license model, and, you know, again, I don't want -- I don't want to speak to other developers, but it has, again, since been emulated a lot, because by being able to do the license deal, you are able to forgo having to put in a lot of that up-front equity, which is something that, again, most would love not to do.

The biggest limitations for a lot of development companies would be having to lay out all that initial capital, that time, that money. You know, for us to be able to do that, we could have -- and I still think of it almost as a development role, even thought we a licensor, because we are creating value. We are doing the marketing. We are working with them and our construction teams on the value engineering aspects of these projects, because when you've built dozens, dozens of projects all over the world, you understand how those things work. And so a developer that is say, perhaps -- again, most are a little bit more provincial. They are doing stuff in their local market. They may not have thought about taking things to the next level.
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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1

When places like Dubai started up, they didn't have a luxury real estate market prior to you know '06, '07; that didn't exist. So we had sort of the best amenities. My father pushed those boundaries. He created things that, now, are commonplace in every luxury development around the world, but 20 years ago when he started doing those things, was unheard of. You wouldn't put a gym in a building; that's space that you can sell, and we are not going to do that. He was really a visionary when it came to those things. Again, that translated into the value attributed to the brand which allowed him to do the projects where other people would pay him very significant funds to come in and help them make their projects better.

Q From The Trump Organization's perspective, what, if any, distinction is there with risk in regard to a licensing deal in actually pouring concrete and putting up a building, if any?
A There's a lot of difference in the risk that you are not coming out with that up-front capital. All right? There's still risk, your a brand, the thing he spent so much time, decades, creating. You know the only - certainly at the time the only true, you know, for residential building, you know, hotels had done a little bit in the past, but for residential, he was the only brand really out there that people around the world knew. You know, so there's always still risk, which is why we still took great pride in those projects, we worked
tirelessly to deliver, you know, while we were able to do some pretty incredible things and out perform, you know, other projects in so many markets around the world.

Q What about from the Trump Organization's perspective of profitability and from resource issues?

A Well, that's, I mean, that's where the model was great. So many people would love to have a model where they didn't have to have that up-front capital where they could do that. Right? If you have it, in terms of overhead, you know, you sort of have a development team, you could have them overview a project for cents on the dollar relative to actually developing it yourself where you are sitting and worrying about every screw in a HVAC system. It's very different. So one team could handle one project, but one team could also handle 25 projects.

So from a scaleability standpoint, it was an incredible model. From a profitability standpoint, from a cost perspective, you know, I don't want to say it was free revenue. There's no such thing. But from a risk mitigation standpoint, it was a pretty spectacular system that we were able to create.

Q Prior to 2017, how much involvement did you personally have in the licensing deals?

A I would have done the vast majority of them.
Q When we talk about the point person, you had certain functions, your sister had some, your brother had some. Would this be one that would have fallen within your silo or your
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bucket?
A Again, there would be -- if Ivanka got along better with a certain partner as we were doing a deal, she could be the point person. But I would say, round numbers of the license deals, you know, I probably controlled 75 percent of them.

Q Okay.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I would like to hand to the witness, and to the Court, and to plaintiff's Counsel, defense Exhibit 1019, and I'll take the witness through a chronology of some of the projects of The Trump Organization. And I have a Power Point that goes with it. (The exhibit was handed to the witness.) (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Do you have it in front of you, Mr. Trump?
A Ido.
Q Okay. I'm going to move to the first slide.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Generally, what was the history of The Trump Organization?

A Um, well, it started off as a development company, and my --

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, can I just put on the
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record an objection here.
I think one, this "Trump story", this is -- I don't know what this document is, and it appears to be a hearsay document that we are now trying to get in through this witness. And this multiple page document, I'm just curious, what even it is, and where this line of testimony is going.

And an additional concern about the extensive narratives that we've been getting, they are unfocused to anything relevant here, in particular.

So a couple of objections that I'm just putting on right now to start what $I$ think is probably going to be a lengthy, I don't know, line of examination.

MR. ROBERT: Im happy to address them, your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
(Continued on the next page.)

MR. ROBERT: As to the open-ended nature of it, it is my witness. I am not going to ask leading questions, I am going to ask open-ended questions so we can get a narrative from the witness.

Secondarily, this is extraordinarily relevant because there is equitable relief that the Attorney General is seeking basically to put the Trump Organization out of business, and the Court needs to understand where the company came from, where it is, and where it is going.

To that point as well, issues of intent need to be determined by this Court in this course of the trial. And as witnesses will go throughout the next few weeks, the intent of the parties and the valuations and the numbers become more and more relevant. So it is important for this Court to understand and have a baseline understanding of how it is we view what we do, what it is we do, and exactly how the company operates.

As far as the Attorney General not knowing what this is, I think that's pretty disingenuous, because they actually sent to us a more abbreviated version of this presentation in the exhibits that they plan to use. So they know exactly what this is. So I see no problem with taking the witness through it.

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, he is an executive vice president of the company. Whereas last week, his
testimony -- his memory seemed to be fleeting, we don't need to put an outline in front of him for him to testify about what the Trump Organization is or its history. So again, I don't see the basis for this document coming in to guide him through his responses, as to what the Trump Organization is, what it has done and its chronology over the years.

And I apologize for not standing, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You don't have to stand.
MR. ROBERT: If you look, there are very few snippets, mostly it is photographs. We would have asked the Court to take a site visit, but it is a little aggressive to say we are going to go to Scotland and Ireland and Mar-a-Lago.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't recommend Scotland this time of year. Let's do that one in July.

THE COURT: I would love to.
I disagree with the plaintiff's take on this. I think the nature of the organization is important. However, let's establish what this is, who wrote it, before we -MR. ROBERT: Sure. THE COURT: -- get further answer.
Q Mr. Trump, I am handing you Exhibit 1019. Do you see this?

D
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A Ido.
Q Do you have an understanding of who put this together?

A I believe you put it together. But it is basically, you know, a snapshot of our corporate website taking you through the history of the Trump Organization; the things that we do; the projects we work on; where we are today, et cetera.

Q If you look through this quickly, and we will go through it in detail, these are, in fact, pictures from the Trump Organization's website and descriptions from the Trump Organization's website, correct?

A That's correct.
MR. ROBERT: I move the admissibility of
Defendant's 1019.
MR. WALLACE: Objection. It is hearsay what he just described.

MR. ROBERT: He is an executive vice president and a defendant in the case.
Q Mr. Trump, do you stand by the representations and statements contained in this document?

A 100 percent.
MR. WALLACE: Agreeing with hearsay, it is still hearsay.

MR. ROBERT: It is not hearsay. If anything it is an admission of a party because they are a party to the
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## 1 case.

MR. WALLACE: Party opponent. It is admissible as a party opponent. The party does not get to put in their website as advertising.

MR. ROBERT: The photographs here, he will authenticate the photographs. If you want to fight over the descriptions, I can go through this, does that refresh your recollection what the history of the company is, does this refresh your recollection as to that. There are many ways to do this. I am trying to be efficient with time to move things along.

MR. WALLACE: If it is going to refresh his recollection or demonstrative, it is fine. But it doesn't come into evidence. It is hearsay.

THE COURT: It doesn't come into evidence.
MR. ROBERT: If we can agree the photographs can come into evidence, the rest can be for demonstrative purposes, that's fine, I'll accept that.

THE COURT: Okay. You have to accept it. That's my ruling.

MR. ROBERT: I accepted that before I knew it was your ruling, but I accept that now, sir.

THE COURT: Let me just say.
MR. ROBERT: Yes, sir?
THE COURT: It is basic evidence and you are
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very good at evidence, the fact that he can say it in court now, doesn't mean that the fact that it is written, even if it is the exact same words.

MR. ROBERT: I get it. I was afraid if we didn't have the storyboard, I would be told it wasn't a complete set of what was on the web. But I hear Your Honor's ruling and we will deal with it.
Q The history of the Trump Organization you were about to say how it started and the origins.

A For decades the Trump Organization set new standards.
Q Put it in your own words, please.
A I am just kidding.
THE COURT: I think the objection is going to be the one I just overruled on your behalf, but if you have a different objection or want to put it a different way?

MS. FAHERTY: I have asserted my objection. I am going to make it a standing objection, please.

THE COURT: All right. I didn't understand the first couple of words.

MS. FAHERTY: I said I have asserted my objection, Your Honor, and I am going to make it a standing objection, please.

THE COURT: Okay. It is standing. But it is overruled at this point. I think Mr. Robert eloquently explained why this is relevant in terms of the big

## picture.

All right. Let's find out what the Trump Organization is all about by someone that would tend to know it. Let's hear it.

MR. ROBERT: If it makes everyone feel better, I will take that screen off so he is not looking at the screen.
Q The history of the Trump Organization, Mr. Trump?
A My father started off working with his father, my grandfather, in Queens.

My grandfather had an incredible, sort of, let's call it, Horatio Alger story. He was the son of immigrants. My great grandfather was a developer in his own right. Went to the Yukon during the gold rush. Started developing hotels, quite literally hotels, to deal with the miners in the Yukon territories in Canada. Came back. Had my grandfather in the early 1900s. He passed away when my grandfather was, he was 12 or 13 years old. And as the oldest son, my grandfather became the man of the house.

It was a little different then. There was no support structure or systems, and so he went to work. He started working on job sites, you know, around Queens. Learned the trades. Became, you know, figured out, you know, you can save this nail and that was a cent, or whatever it cost at the time. And eventually people saw his skill set, asked him to build
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them a garage. Then another. And he did it better, cheaper, faster than others. He started building things for himself, created an incredible portfolio.

By the time of his passing of rental apartments around Brooklyn and Queens my father, you know, learned a lot of the business from him. But also had -- had some flare, wanted to do something differently. And so he saw New York City and Manhattan as, you know, the ultimate frontier to do that. And he came here from the, you know, late '70s, early '80s and, you know, started an organization that would ultimately change the skyline of New York.

## Q And Mr. Trump?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, since we have gone back to the early 1900 s, is Mr. Kise waiving the statute of limitations defense?

MR. ROBERT: No. But I want to put things in perspective, otherwise you will say it is shot out of a canon.

MR. KISE: As we have said many times, since they have gone back that far we have to go back just as far.

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, I see you believe in miracles.

MR. ROBERT: I won't ask if they built anything by candlelight, don't worry.

Q Mr. Trump, who is depicted in this photograph?
A That's my grandfather, Fred Trump.
Q What about this picture?
A Same thing.
Q What are they overlooking, if you know?
A Honestly --
Q If you don't know, you don't know.
A I don't know exactly, but I imagine it is one of my
grandfather's places in Brooklyn and/or Queens. And I see my
father's hair and I say that was probably, probably prior to my
birth or around -- I have some pictures of myself as an infant where he looked, sort of, similar.

Q So Mr. Trump, you testified that at some point your dad took the family business and came to Manhattan, correct?

A Correct.
Q And was that in or around 1976 ?
A Yes, you know, about 18 months prior to my birth.
Q And what project was that that was the first project of the Trump Organization in Manhattan?

A That was the Grand Hyatt Hotel. You know that hotel was getting a little bit delapidated. My father had a vision to, you know, to change that. And he worked with the Hyatt family and the people who owned that at the time to create something that was incredibly rundown and turned it into something spectacular.

Page 4005
Q What, if any, impact did that have on the City of New York at the time?

A I think it was significant. And I think it really, you know, allowed him to grab a foothold as an outsider in a city that was, sort of, dominated by very Manhattan specific real estate families. That was, sort of, the first big project. He took something that was a disaster and turned it into something incredible. And that's no easy feat at anytime in Manhattan, and certainly probably not in the late '70s.

MR. WALLACE: I am going to object at this point. I don't know if he has done academic studies that the situation in New York found itself in 1976 and is offering expert testimony, but this is all hearsay. This is not the witness's firsthand experiences of anything.

MR. ROBERT: Mr. Trump is a sophisticated developer in the New York marketplace. It is his understanding of what his company's history has been and the benefit the company had to New York City and New York State as a whole. I see it for his opinion, not as an expert for the history of New York City.

THE COURT: That's the problem, it is sort of expert testimony.

Look, plaintiff, how many weeks did you put on your case?

MR. WALLACE: I am trying to remember. Six.

## THE COURT: Six.

MR. WALLACE: If the answer is they can do anything they want in six weeks, I don't know what we are going to do when we object. We are objecting to specific testimony coming in, not to the time anyone is taking.

THE COURT: I know, but having given you six weeks, you are going to get me in trouble with Mr. Kise. He is going to talk about how I always rule against him. No, let this stuff come in. Again, it has been 45 minutes or less. I also find it interesting, but that's -- you know.

MR. WALLACE: If we can get to an understanding that Your Honor --

THE COURT: I think it is relevant to get the historical perspective. Let's not have anymore objections on this particular ground. Something else, fine.

THE WITNESS: I promise I will keep it less than six weeks.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, if you are telling us you will take all of this testimony for what it is worth, that this is Mr. Trump's perspective on historical events, I am happy to end my objection.

MR. AMER: I would ask that can we not have text on the screen, that basically acts as a script for the witness. I understand they want to get him to comment on
pictures, I think that's fine. But we have a document that the attorneys prepared.

MR. ROBERT: This is from the Trump -- point of clarification, this is from the website and in the demonstratives you sent us.

MS. FAHERTY: This is a DX Exhibit, Mr. Robert.
MR. ROBERT: I'll pull yours out of my briefcase. Hold on.

MR. AMER: I don't think it is appropriate to put a hearsay document on the screen without using it.

THE WITNESS: I assure you, I don't need the text to tell you about these projects. I instructed my marketing teams to create this stuff throughout my tenure in the Trump Organization, so it is certainly not a script.

MR. KISE: The witness just said what I was going to say. This is the product of his instruction. This is on the website. This isn't something that the lawyers prepared. It is simply, he is a corporate officer, he is a corporate representative, it provides the context and background.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, don't you remember my first rule?

MR. ROBERT: When things are going your way.
THE COURT: If I am arguing for you, you don't
have to argue for you.
MR. KISE: Fair enough.
THE COURT: Objection is overuled. Let him go ahead and talk about how great the Trump Organization is.
Q So I am going to now move us ahead eight years to 1983. What was the second major project that the Trump Organization did in New York City, sir?

A That would have been Trump Tower. And that was just, you know, really -- that was the first time he changed the skyline, because -- over the height. I think it was the tallest residential building in Manhattan at the time.

It also, for my father, created a distinction between him and so many other developers. You had Tiffany's next door. There was an incredibly intricate negotiation for the air rights. Right? Sort of a relatively new concept, certainly for developers.

These days, and for the last few years in New York, air rights has allowed people to build some of the most spectacular buildings anywhere in the world, creating view corridors that were unheard of. You see that going on with some of the tall buildings that have gone up over Central Park. My father was doing that 40 -something years before everyone else figured it out. So he was able to amass the air rights.

And if you look at the building, you can see unique things. It wasn't just taking, sort of, the square footage he
you can take that residential apartment and put it on the 68th floor, that changes things. You have that view.

You know, that said, for retail to have, you know, that area on Fifth Avenue. It is great to have office in-between, again, lifting up the residential component, creating height, creating views.

You know, my father was able to maximize that FAR, floor area ratio, deemed by the City, what was allowable to be built, and really maximize each aspect of it, not give up a single square foot, and create a lot of value in the process.

Q At the time, are you aware if there was anything similar to this in or around that area on Fifth Avenue?

A You know, I was about five so I am not sure. But I know other buildings of the era, and this was certainly certainly unique. And it was a project by which, you know, I know from conversations of some of the others throughout my career, you know, great developers really looked at it like that was genius, that play was incredible, that was unique. And really set the stage for my father in his further developments.

MR. WALLACE: I want to go back to note the objection of hearsay, what he was told by other developers.

THE COURT: Okay. It is stricken, the hearsay part of it, what other developers said.
was able to purchase from Tiffany's and adding it to the top of his tower. If you look at the way the building, sort of, has a saw cut in it, he took a project and gave, you know, every bedroom a corner window. He was able to do that even on the lower portion. Give balconies to people. Take those air rights and not just, again, stack them into a square building that would have been, you know, X number of feet tall, but by doing the wedding caking, taking the building taller, giving everyone that corner view and really doing something truly special, I think it would be one of the first, perhaps great examples of, you know, ultra luxury real estate emerging in Manhattan. It was the, you know, sort of the -- the project by which all future high-end luxury residential condominiums would have been judged.

Q What, if any, significance was there to the mixed use of it at the time?

A Well, the way FAR, you know, works --
$Q$ Can you explain what FAR is?
A Floor area ratio. You know, buildings can be deemed to have a certain amount of this, but you may not be able to build all of that square footage as residential.

So this, as a mixed use building, the first few, you know, floors, you know, high-end luxury retail, having an apartment on the second floor of Fifth Avenue doesn't add all of that much value. Is it a prestigious address? Yes. But if
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MR. ROBERT: Fair point, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Trump, what are we looking at here in slide seven?

A It is the view of Central Park and the Plaza Hotel, another one of the projects that we, you know, had in our portfolio and developed and created at some stage in our life.
And that's the view from -- actually it is the view outside of my office.

Q And this is how it looks today?
A It is.
Q And the next slide, slide eight, what are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?

A That's the atrium of Trump Tower, the same building we were just looking at, with sort of, you know, signage from Gucci, one of the great retail tenants of the world who occupies the retail space, the base level of the building.

And yeah, that's basically as it is for the most part today.

Q What are we looking at here in slide nine?
A The elevator lobby of the same space.
Q And slide ten, give us a perspective of what this is.
A That was the retail, you know, component of Trump Tower when it was originally built, having that, sort of, internal vertical retail, something very unique that had not really been done. It allowed you to work within the space.

Some of that actually, you know, as public space still deemed by the City, again, allowed by having it be public space, it allowed you to do certain things within it. So I think the whole project really shows the forethought, the, you know, genius of what my father was able to do; the vision that he was able to have to do things differently, to think outside of the box, to create and ultimately add value in ways that other people had never thought of before.

Q So earlier you testified that your first job at the Trump Organization was, and I use the word "project manager" on the West Side Yards, correct, sir?

A Yes.
Q I realize you were still very young, 1984 you were eight -- six?

A Yeah, New Year's Eve '77, so I guess six.
$Q$ What are we looking at here in this slide?
A That is a -- that is $240,220,200,180,160,140$ and it seems like the corner of 120 Riverside Boulevard. That is -- when I said I worked for Hudson Waterfront Associates, that was the organization that ended up owning and developing this project. It was the largest piece of undeveloped land in New York. It was the former west side railway yards, and you know, it was to most people, for decades, you know, an eyesore of undeveloped land in New York. My father saw what it was. He saw the potential for that. He had numerous iterations.
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And perhaps it goes to show the nature of real estate development and how things change over time.

At one point he was going to build the tallest building in the world there, as he built one of the tallest residential towers ever in Manhattan on the opposite side, across from the UN on the east side at Trump World Tower.

Here you had a project that the evolution changed over 25 years to being, you know, one of the, really, one of the largest developments amassed in New York City that I can think of for the last two decades.

Q From the time the Trump Organization acquired this property in 1984 to the time you joined the project and the company in 2001, what, if anything, had happened to the property during that period?

A A lot of different changes, a lot of different ideas. A lot of time spent on zoning and entitlement and what you could actually do there. And it ended up -- it ended up turning into a spectacular residential project. Again, unique in that the first two buildings, really the first three buildings there are condominium. The next buildings were rental apartments. And so you had a diversification in the way in what you were building. Just keeping in touch with, as you built one building, if the markets changed in the timeframe that we talked about, we said from inception to completion on a real estate project, it could be two, it could be ten, it could
be 25 years. As the market in New York changed, so did what those projects and buildings were, to be able to suit the market and actually, you know, maximize value, you know, of the project.

Q Slide 12, what are we looking at here?
A It looks like one of the lobbies of one of the buildings. That could be 200, if I remember correctly, but I don't want to place a bet.

Q Slide 13?
A I believe that's 240 Riverside Boulevard lobby. And I think it should be noted that, again, at this time or at the time that these were being built, you didn't have projects like this being built. You didn't have luxury projects being built like that in those locations. You had older brick buildings. You had, you know, dated co-ops. They really -- the organization really brought a whole new level of luxury to that market. It really created something special in an area that was otherwise left for dead for a long time.

Q And this is just another view of the project?
A That's correct.
Q So --
A By the way, that's literally the north third, maybe the north quarter of the project. This went all the way down to approximately 59th Street, and that corner there is 72nd. So this was a very large tract along the Hudson River.

## D
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And again, that was left for dead for so long.
Really saw some value and created something special. It is one of the, really, the most luxury, even today, projects in that market.

Q You mentioned earlier this morning this notion about the amenity space in the building. Do you remember that, sir?

A Ido.
Q What if anything was significant about the way the Trump Organization viewed amenity spaces? And I will ask you now about residential and later on about commercial space.

A It was a very big deal. It was something, as I stated that, forever, you know, most real estate developers want to build and sell every single piece of real estate you get away from the projects. But to maximize, you know, the price per square foot you were able to sell.

Eventually you had to give something more. My father was on the leading edge of creating that value in things, again, that are commonplace today in ultra luxury real estate are there because my father, in my opinion, was the first guy to do that. Maybe someone put a gym in a building somewhere, but no one had done it at the scale of what my father had done.

You know, so that notion of using what could have been otherwise saleable space to add value and create amenities for people, he was really on the leading edge of all of that. And again, it allowed his and his projects to out perform
others in the market throughout most of his career.
Q We are going to move on to a property, Mar-a-Lago.
A Yes.
Q What, if anything, happened in 1985 with the Trump Organization in Mar-a-Lago?

A Well, my father -- my father purchased, you know, what I would say is one of the finest estates anywhere in the world, certainly, certainly in America. I guess maybe there is a couple, but I would look at it as one of the few -- one of the few, sort of, American castles, if you would. America, it is not the same as Europe or, you know, older parts of the world. This is one of the most spectacular estates anywhere in the world.

My father was able to come up with some very creative ways to be able to purchase this estate. Has had it as his residence ever since. Certainly a home away from home for all of his career. It is big and my father likes to play and create and do things. And my father turned it into a club at the same time, and it has just been an amazing -- an amazing place.

Q What, if anything, do you understand the history of Mar-a-Lago to be?

A Well, it was a -- originally built by Marjory
Merriweather Post, let's call it really maybe one of the first female industrialists in America. Someone who was really,
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maybe in the world, ahead of her time. This was her private estate. This is where she entertained titans of industry, leaders from all over the world. It was the crown jewel of Palm Beach. One of, you know, the world's leading luxury, let's call it, you know, vacation places, as well as just residences these days. And it continues to be that today.

Q Do you have an understanding of how it is the Trump Organization acquired Mar-a-Lago?

A Well, you know, it was pretty early, I know there was some interesting land right plays. My father, I think he bought the ocean component of Mar-a-Lago. You know, Mar-a-Lago is: From the sea to the lake. That's the intercoastal waterway in Palm Beach.

If you are on the ocean, that's incredible value. If you are on the lake or the intercoastal that's incredible value. If you are on both, that's virtually unheard of. But what he was able to do is buy the ocean component, to my recollection. And basically said, hey, I am going to build a house here and block off those views. Having Mar-a-Lago without the Mar, or at least without views of the Mar, the ocean, it was not quite the same. And so it put him in a position to leverage what he had done to be able to buy the rest of the property, you know, for rather an incredible price. I think it was 7 or $\$ 8$ million.

Q Look at slide 16.

A You can see the beach component, you see the pool on the upper end there. So it was a small component of Mar-a-Lago, but, you know, by controlling that it gave him significant control of the rest of the property.

Q And what are we looking at here in slide 17?
A That's the primary atrium. It is -- when you see that, you know, and again, the detail of which is just incredible, you see what that is. You understand you can't build that today. It doesn't exist.

So that's why perhaps I may have taken some umbrage when the stories were out about Mar-a-Lago being worth $\$ 18$ million. You couldn't build that atrium for $\$ 18$ million today. But again, you need to understand it and see it to actually fully grasp the spectacular nature of this property.

Q And this picture, sir?
A Just the opposite angle, you know, of that same shot. And again, that's where Marjory Merriweather Post entertained the biggest people in the world in the early 1900s.

Q And slide 19, sir, what are we looking at here?
A That's the ballroom that is for the club component. That's where we host our weddings. And my father built that, spent a lot of money building a contemporary version of what would have been, you know, the lobby and the atrium, you know, that you just saw. But to be able to have, you know, what is called the wing span, the ceiling span, to be able to host
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weddings, to not have columns, to not have this, to be able to host some of the finest events anywhere in the world.

Q Do you have an understanding as to when this ballroom was built?

A That was built in the early, let's call it, early 2000's.

Q And do you have an understanding of how much money was spent to build this?

A A little approximately $15, \$ 16$ million then.
Q This is just another one of the ballrooms, right?
A That was actually, sort of, the original ballroom that was actually part of the estates when we did it. Significantly smaller than the one we built. That's, sort of, the breakout room ballroom; is what we would use it as now.

Q Slide 21, what is this perspective we are looking at?
A I imagine that's, if you are the camera guy you are at the edge of the waterfront. So you are on the Atlantic Ocean taking a picture to the west. That's the beach club component. That's the portion of land that I was talking about in terms of my father, my understanding is, got controlling interest of that to be able to leverage to buy the rest of the property.

Q And this is just another view of that beach area?
A Correct.
Q Moving to slide 23, sir; do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And the house that is all the way down here on the right; do you recognize that house, sir?

A Ido.
Q What is that house?
A That, I forget the exact address, but that's -that's the house next door to the beach club that was actually my aunt's residence for a while. We purchased it for a while. It is a, you know, beautiful house that is very nice, but probably under an acre in size. And you know, has been on the market for approximately $\$ 50$ million.

Just, you know, so for perspective Mar-a-Lago being just under 20 acres, to understand just the size and scope of what all of this is.

Q And that's just one acre on the beach itself, correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Moving ahead.
Do you remember a project involving the Wolman Rink from the Trump Organization?

A I do. I used to skate there a lot on the weekends.
Q What was your understanding of that project, sir?
A It was a project -- it was run by the City. It was rundown and, sort of, fell apart, delapidated. In the early '80s, I remember my father used to, sort of, see it from -- you

Q And this was, to your knowledge, the first time the Trump Organization did a City work type project?
A To my knowledge, yes, I believe it was.
Q Okay. And we are going to talk about others later this morning.

I want to talk to you about the Plaza Hotel. What if any involvement did the Trump Organization have with the Plaza Hotel?
A Similar thing. My father saw an opportunity to buy what was one of the great, you know, iconic projects in New York City. He got involved. He got involved with it at a time, took it over, ran it, operated it. Um, you know, sold it again. But it was a project that he saw as, you know, as a crown jewel asset in New York. He wanted to make sure that, you know, it did not fall apart and did not get there. And it was, you know, it - it, again, a crown jewel of his portfolio for some period of time.
(Whereupon, the slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q Now I'm going to move to a property we heard about in this case called Seven Springs. And your brother is going to testify in a little more detail about that later on in the trial.

But generally, what, if anything, did The Trump Organization do in 1994 with a property called Seven Springs?
A I believe it was really the largest -- one of the
could see Trump Tower there in the background. And it was one of those projects that just -- the City couldn't run it. It fell apart. My father literally offered to come in and fix it, because he was sick of looking at the eyesore that was there. He didn't want to see that in Central Park. It wasn't good for New York City. It wasn't good for what he was trying to do in New York, creating that, you know, luxury environment. And so he got involved with it. Took it over from the City. And within a few months it was up and running and he managed it. And it was spectacular for quite sometime.

I think, if I remember correctly, he may have -- the City decided it wanted to do it itself, and it could do it, they took it back over. And within a short period of time the chillers for the ice were no longer working, and it fell back into disarray. My father took it back over, fixed it again. Got it up and running. And, you know, then managed it for the next, you know, 25 years, whatever it was. I don't know the exact timing, but for a very long period of time.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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largest contiguous undeveloped parcels of land in Westchester County. Obviously, you know, incredibly luxurious, a suburb of Manhattan, 200-something acres with an incredible, you know, estate home on it.

My father took that over. It was -- they -- it really was in a trust. Just, you know, sort of falling apart sitting there. He saw the potential of this estate, just said it was too nice just to let really fall apart and sit there, so he bought this. And again, just as a vision, could it be something else? Could he go through zoning; was more intimate on details of the project. So he could take you through what it was. But, again, at the time, you know, 200-something acres in Westchester County that, you know, with this kind of spectacular home on it, and others, was just amazing.

For me, I personally loved it because I would take my kids up there on the weekends and I got to let, you know, kids that were otherwise raised in New York City, ride ATVs, play in the woods and have a great time. So it was always a property near and dear to my heart, but mostly as a playground, but not as the, let's call it potential canvass for my father's art, which is development.

Q What do you mean by that? Your dad's canvass is development?

A I look at him -- he is an artist with real estate. He sees the things that other people don't. He creates the things
that other people would never envision. He plays the long game in many cases in that. He, honestly, very rarely does he sell assets. Obviously, realty is cyclical. Sometimes it make sense that you have to, sometimes there's decisions that you can't say no, or you have the opportunity to sell one thing which allows you to buy three, four, five others. But, you know, that is -that is his canvass that he creates. He is a creative guy. He's also good at building, so that makes it even easier. You know, there are artists in real estate, as we've seen around the world, that, you know, they want to build a ski resort in the Middle East. It's wonderful, but it's not exactly efficient or makes sense. He understands and has incredible vision that other people don't, and so I think he saw that for this property. And again, it is that special.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q What are we looking at, slide 29?
A That's the main house. Although, even some of the secondary housing, you know, the Heinz estate, the Heinz ketchup, that was another house. It was their residence there. That's the main house, and it's a spectacular example of a home that built -- each one of the blocks came from literally its own quarry, hand carved, and it's this big. You have to sort of see it to understand the level of quality that was built around the time that this was put together. It's just an amazing estate.
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q What are we looking at in slide 30?
A That's the -- really like one third of the primary entrance foyer. It's really the back end of it. It's quite a bit bigger than that.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
A That is a full picture there of what happens when you walk in that primary front door.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q And 32?
A The library of that building.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q And 33?
A Indoor pool. Really unique at that time. I forget the exact year that it was built, but quite sometime ago. Um, you know, early mid 1900s. You know, indoor pools to be done so that, you know, again perhaps that was one of the original unique amenities, spaces in an estate, but, um, a pretty spectacular space.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
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Q Finally, this is?
A That is the Heinz estate. That just another one of the homes on that property.
Q Okay.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q Now, turning to another property that we all know, in this case, which is 40 W all Street. Are you familiar with that property?
A I am.
Q What, if anything, did The Trump Organization do with that in 1995?
A Well, you know, my father bought it, um, in a very rough real estate cycle in New York. The market was a disaster. It was falling apart. The people who had bought it didn't really know or understand real estate. They were putting, you know, dropping ceilings in it. It was, at one point in time, it was the tallest building in the world, actually. It was then displaced later on by the Empire State Building, but only slightly. And it's a commercial office building. My father, you know, took it over at a very rough time, understood, again, how to maximize the potential of this. Over a million square feet of office space in New York that was going to be largely vacant and unoccupied. And so it was a risk in a rough time, but, you know, he understood how to maximize that, how to fix it
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up, how to bring out the potential of the asset, and he's done that. And it's been an outstanding landmark in our portfolio ever since.
Q Before we show more pictures of this, what was your involvement with 40 Wall, and I know we are little bit fast forwarding, and I'll come back in a second.

Once you were in The Trump Organization, what was your involvement?
A I got involved in leasing probably, you know, 2011, 2012, you know, I got involved in leasing of the building. Obviously coming out of a very rough real estate market, um, when you have a million and change square feet of a property, if all of those -- in this case it's commercial real estate. If all of your leases come due at a certain period of time, but that happens to be within a bad market, that changes things. So I got involved in leasing that building, you know, let's call it diversifying the time line of the rent roles, and the expiration, you know, really trying to stabilize that, and that's been great.
Q Putting a little more meat on those bones, what does that exactly mean in terms of what your function was in, let's say, dealing with the lease terminations and things like that?
A Took charge of the leasing of the building. So if you had, you know, any one of the dozens of tenants that occupied space within the building, I would work with them to either
renew their lease. I would work with them, and/or, you know, the brokers to find someone else to fill a vacant space to try to maximize value, to make sure that you could stagger the expiration of those leases to kind of stabilize cash flows over time there. And it's been great.
Q For example, using 40 Wall, how does cash flow change year to year, generally, with regard to a property like that for
The Trump Organization, specifically?
A I mean, it depends, right. Generally, a commercial lease, ten plus years, if you take over a building, as my father did, and it's vacant, you fill it all up. If all of those rents and all of those leases come due at a certain time, that's great if you are in a boom time; it's a disaster if you are not. And that's the way the nature and the cyclical nature of the real estate market works. There's a lot of luck to that. But you can find times and you could do things to be able to, you know, it's called spread some of that risk out.
You know, so for that building, you know, in 2012, you had a large chunk of space coming due, you were coming out of the ' 08 , ' 10 sort of disaster. I don't think it's hearsay to say that the real estate in New York, and frankly America, was a disaster in ' 08 coming out of, you know, that crisis. So you are spreading those out, renewing things, making sure to stabilize cash flows to keep those things going, um, for the project became a very important part of the job, and we did

## Page 4029

that. That's not a two-week, you know, wave a magic wand type of thing. That took a lot of time, and, you know, we did a great job of that building. The last time I checked, it was leased into the tune of 90 -something percent. Mid 90 s, very good for downtown. And so we did a great job sort of just stabilizing that asset and creating value.

Q What is the Trump Organization's philosophy in a down time when you have vacant space in a building? Do you automatically immediately want to have a tenant come in and sign a lease?
A Not necessarily. There are times you see that potential for the market to come, you want to hold it, or maybe you would lease it, but you wouldn't lease it for ten years; you would lease it for five. You stabilize that.

A big part of leasing, if you get -- maybe renew an existing tenant, but you wouldn't put in the same TI packages, tenant improvements. You don't want to spend you know, 60, 70 $\$ 80$ a foot that may take three, four, five years before they start actually returning, because you are getting the rent, but you have to pay yourself back, essentially, for building out the space for the tenant. So if I could renew a tenant rather than putting a new one in there, I'm incentivized to do that because I'm not necessarily spending that money on moment one.

So, you know, there's any number of things that you would do as a real estate developer or operator, professional,
in this case to secure that. I mean these are the gambles that you take as part of real estate, but, again, you wouldn't want to necessarily, at an all time low, you wouldn't want to renew for ten years, but you may want to renew for five, stretch people out, get them into a new market, and then hopefully you end up in a boom time that that's where you do the ten-year lease, the ten-year plus lease.

THE COURT: You are using very frequently a banned word in my courtroom. Mr. Kise, what is the banned word? MR. KISE: Again.
THE COURT: Again.
THE WITNESS: I apologize.
THE COURT: Try not to repeat yourself. That's all. If you are going to say something again, maybe just leave out the "again", because otherwise you'll get me all upset.

MR. KISE: I thought you were giving me a ten-minute warning when I saw your eyes.
A It's my component in my general vernacular. I'll do my best.

THE COURT: Im giving you a five-minute warning later.
Q Moving back to the presentation.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
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Q What are we looking at here in slide 36 ?
A That is the lobby of 40 Wall Street.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q And slide 37.
A Actually, that's the safe -- that's one of four, or five -- it's not even the big one, the vaults at 40 Wall Street. What was interesting, when the building was built it was actually used by the Federal Reserve to store, across the street, to store some of America's gold currency there. There's safes that the vault doors are nine feet wide, actually spectacular. It's -- to me it's maybe -- as one of these guys that's in into that stuff, it's truly like a mechanical work of art. And that one doesn't even do it justice because there's bigger ones, but we since turned these vaults that you don't necessarily need in a world of digital banking, and whatnot, we've turned it into an amenity space for the building.

But at one point in time, you know, that was used by the Federal Reserve to safeguard gold. And let's just say it would probably be easier to take down the building to remove those safes than it would be to get them out any other way. So we figured out the way to utilize them and allow other people to see the nature of them.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)

1 Q And 38, is that one of those amenity spaces?
2 A Yes.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q Same with 39?
A Correct.
Q So we talked earlier about the Trump Organization being in the forefront of amenity space in residential. What about with regard to commercial space?
A Similar. Similar. Doing something like this would not necessarily be heard of. Someone would usually lease it for two bucks a foot to, you know, put a storage unit there for someone.

For us, it was about creating value for the other tenants in the building, and if we can do that, ultimately that ends up into creating value for us in the rents that you are able to afford.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q And what are we looking at here?
A It looks like one of the views from one of the upper tiers of the building overlooking the Brooklyn Bridge, et cetera.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
$Q$ And finally this picture of $\mathbf{4 0}$ Wall?
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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1 A That's the tower.
2 Q We are now going to move into a different topic and the next slide.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q Which is in 1997, the Trump International Hotel and
Tower, before we talk about this. I want to talk to you about
the hotel division of the Trump Organization, okay, Mr. Trump.
A Yes.
Q So building hotels is something The Trump Organization did in the past; correct?

A Correct.
Q What, if anything, was different in the way that The
Trump Organization dealt with the building of hotels as opposed to others?
A We would also have been on the forefront of doing it, you know, under a condominium style, right, hotel condominium had never really been done in luxury hotels prior to my father, that I could think of.

Q I'm going to break it down, because this is important. Mr. Trump, forgive me for being so simplistic; a condominium is?

A A "condominium" would be when you sell the real estate.
23 Let's say you build a building, it has 500 units, unit number
24 one is sold to John Smith. Unit number two gets sold to
25 Samantha S, whatever it may be. We actually did that with
hotels.
Q Explain.
A So this was the former Gulf and Western building. It was a commercial building. When my father bought it and he worked with the General Electric Pension Trust, I believe it was, but certainly General Electric, generally, to redevelop this project, our forte, while he dabbled in hotels, the Hyatt Hotel earlier, it wasn't necessarily part of our general portfolio.

The problem with it was the zoning in the building forced you to maintain a commercial component to maximize that. So you could build residential, but to build residential, if you only did residential, you would literally have to lop off a few stories of the upper portion of the project. So that didn't make any sense. You wouldn't want to lose the value. But, what could you do? You could leave it commercial or you could create something that makes more sense. Just create a hotel component, that way if you have amenities, again, like a gym, you could amortize them over the entire building rather than just, you know, just the residences.

And so, what they did was they, let's call it, you know, bottom, bottom third of that building is a hotel, and it functions that way today. We manage it, but it was a hotel/condominium whereby unit 3A of the hotel is, again, sold like you would a residential component. So a buyer can actually
rump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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own, um, let's call it a one-bedroom hotel, that we manage for them. They use it as a pied-à-terre when they are in New York City, if they choose to do that. They have a lock out closet and kitchen they could use when they are in New York City, and when they are not there, it's generating income for them.

Again, we manage it, so Joe Q public coming off the street staying at the Trump Hotel may not realize if they stayed in unit 301, that's owned by someone different than if they stayed in unit 1503. To them, the hotel experience is seamless, but it was a unique way of doing hotel, because by, A, selling it up front, it was a unique way of financing a property.

In this case it was a unique way of maximizing the saleable square footage of the building. It was just another example of my father being on the leading edge of that creativity.

Someone else would have lopped it off or kept it as commercial, and this building achieves, even to this day, the highest price per square foot anywhere in the world, really, but certainly in New York City as well, but it has that hotel component in it, but that hotel component has really been sold off to individuals.

## Q Why did that make financing easier?

A Because you didn't just have to sort of lock in a financing for a portion of the building that you were buying, that you were spending incredible amounts of money renovating.
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You were actually able to sell that as you were selling the rest of the building and pay down your investment costs on day one, not stretched out over time. So that could end, as I mentioned, for a hotel company, and certainly the way the business works.
You want that management contract. For us we have the management company. We were able to actually sell the real estate on moment number one. Um, that's a no brainer. And you are not carrying that debt the same way as you would for a long period of time, which, again, could be, you know, problematic again depending on the debt market at the time.

Q We'll see some other examples later on. Is this something that The Trump Organization has continued to do?

A Yeah, we did it. We did it very effectively. We did this here, in this case, in the late ' 90 s , but also did it during sort of the early 2000's boom in the real estate markets. We did it in Trump Chicago. We did it in Las Vegas. We've done it in a few other projects. We were the leading -- I mean, honestly, it's one of these things that we were doing it, it was so good that everyone then tried to emulate it, and then you had a flood, sort of, in the real estate market of these products when everyone figured out, this is a great way to finance a hotel, or a great way to get a hotel built, or great way to actually end up securing, ultimately, for a hotel company, that management agreement, you know, that they want to rack up. That's the long term annuity, you know, for the hotel company.

1 component of the building up high, overlooking Central Park.
Q What is it looking at here?
A That looks like a room from one of the hotels. That's not residential. That is one of the hotels used -- that's probably the northeast corner of the building.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q And finally what is this?
A That is the upper component of the building as looked at from, I guess, the northwest. So that would have been -that's the view of the -- let's call it the condominium component of the building.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, we are going to move onto another property. It may make most sense, with the Court's permission, to take the morning break now rather than two minutes from now when I'm in the middle of the property.

THE COURT: Of course. A ten-minute break, but it will take us 15 minutes. Let's just say we'll be back at quarter to 12 , sharp.

MS. FAHERTY: Please provide an admonition to the witness. Thank you.

THE COURT: I would direct the witness, as I've always done, not to discuss this case, or your testimony, or anything related to it, while you are still a witness, meaning during this break.
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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So, you know we were just, again, ahead of the curve.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

THE COURT: Five-minute warning. He talks so long
I might have to give you a ten-minute warning.
MR. ROBERT: Not as long as some other people. THE COURT: I'll buy that.
A See, I only got half the genes, so I give you half the time.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A That's the the entrance of the Trump International Hotel and Tower. To the right -- they are separated, so the middle is the hotel lobby. The left is actually jEAN-Georges, one of the finest restaurants anywhere in the world; that's their entrance. They occupy the restaurant area. That's who does the room service for the hotel. The middle lobby, again, is the hotel. I apologize. I used the " A " word again.

THE COURT: At least you caught yourself.
A Yet another time, and then to the right, you have the residential lobby of the building. And so that is separated -that is the upper tranche of the building, and that would be like any other ultra-luxury residential, except you don't start your floors on the second floor, you start the residential
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert) THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Thank you.
(Whereupon, a 15-minute break was agreed upon and taken by all parties.)

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Mr. Robert, please continue. MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
Q So, Mr. Trump, I'm now going to draw your attention to 1999 and talk about the first of several golf courses owned by the company. Okay, sir?

A Sure.
Q Are you familiar with the 1999 acquisition of Trump National Golf in West Palm Beach?
A Iam.
Q What was this before it became Trump International Golf Club in West Palm Beach?

A Literally, a flat swamp in West Palm Beach, Florida. It's almost hard to believe you have an undeveloped tract of land with nothing on it in that location.

Q And where exactly in West Palm Beach is it?
A This is really across from the International airport right there, so five minutes from Palm Beach Island proper.

Q What were the circumstances surrounding The Trump 25 Organization's interests in acquiring this piece of land?

## THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

A That is the artistry that comes to fruition over and over. But he took raw swampland that no one, for decades, had seen any value in and turned it into an area that's one of the most prestigious clubs in South Florida; one of the finest golf courses in the world. It's a who's who of membership because of the proximity to Palm Beach Island. There's not land there to do this on anymore. And truly, created something special from swampland.

Q And was this the first time that the Trump Organization had been getting into the golf business?

A On or about.
Q Okay.
A His passion for that sort of happened. At that time he had a lot of fun working on this one. They moved so much earth and he said, "Wait a minute. I could actually do this very well." And then it went into Westchester and others, and Bedminster, and really started sort of with the golf portfolio. But, yes, this would have been, I think, the earliest, to my recollection, in terms of ground-up construction there.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q What are we looking at here in slide 47, Mr. Trump?
A That is a view of the 18 th fairway to the clubhouse.
Q Is that the topography you were talking about? Explain
a little bit about that.
A That literally would have been flat, water level, and not grass, just palm jungly Florida swamp, like you'd see, I guess, way further inland.

But that's not -- if you have the flip side view -- I'm not sure what slide is next, but if you have the flip side, you could see some true topography.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
A This an example of even when we started, the original clubhouse was a double-wide trailer to get things going and have people playing, and has developed into this.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q What are we looking at here, sir, in slide 46 ?
A That is the top of the topography that is, I believe, the 17 th green, and so they created, you know, a jungle environment, um, a river into the water system. All the water systems are linked to be able to transfer water to where you need it. In Florida you get a lot of rain, so that's generally good. If you don't, you have to deal with a lot of heat, so to be able to manage and maintain the course to the highest luxury standards, it required a lot of irrigation, and so while it's beautiful it also has a functional purpose.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the
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## screen.)

Q What are we looking at here in slide 49?
A There was another component -- that's the island green on what we call the new nine. There's actually three nine hole golf courses on the -- sort of the original 18 holes, and this was another one of those areas that was underdeveloped until more recently. I forget the exact year, but that, too, was swampland. And the membership, the city, "Hey, can we make this better? Do what you do best." And turned it into an entirely new nine. Allowed us to bring in more members because you could spread play out over a greater number of holes. And that's just one of the greens. The tee boxes would be over there on the right. So you are trying to hit the ball on a floating island green.

MR. ROBERT: His honor will be happy. I'm not going to take you through the other 16 holes on this course. Q But I want to ask you. This attention to detail, how would you describe it on the other holes on this course?

A All the same. I mean, it's, you know, that's his passion project. So when we talk about his involvement, the golf courses, he wants to be involved because he loves it. He'll sit there and tinker with a green and move a tree. And you'd think -- and trust, me I know. And I'm - I'm like the non-golfer in the family, which has relegated me to the children's table in perpetuity, but his attention to detail for
that, you think it's nothing. And then you see the move, it's oh, like, you get it. All of a sudden he just sees stuff that other people don't.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the
screen.)
Q And I think you said earlier you have it from the other angle. What are we looking at here?
A Another view of the clubhouse. A partial view of the clubhouse. You have a dining area. The ballroom. It wasn't just about, then, golf, but this golf course, because of the views, the spectacular nature, you saw the waterfall. The bird's-eye view, the bird's-eye view the other way, it's a pretty magical place, from Florida swampland to this. They'll use that ballroom and do super high-end weddings there as well. Another way to generate cash flow for the course beyond the play of golf.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q What is the significance of the clock?
A It became a marketing standard. My father put one in one of the courses, everyone commented to him on it. So it has become a fixture of all of the golf courses.
$Q$ There is one in front of Trump Tower here?
A There is.
Q Moving back to New York City, 2001. Explain to us a little bit about World Trump Tower and how that came about.

A That was an incredible site. We obviously -- right across the street from the United Nations, an undeveloped parcel of land. And my father was able to aggregate the air rights to be able to build, I think at that time it was the tallest residential building in the world. And so for him, that is the artistry, how do we make it special. You are surrounded. There is other nice residential buildings. If you notice, it really stands out, relative to some of the other, you know, at least reasonably popular condominiums and co-ops in the area at the time. So he did something unique, something different, became a very popular building, Derek Jeter lived there for years. Just a sexy place in an area -- I guess really created a market for, we have a sexy condominium, that actually would not have been considered, sort of, an ultra-luxury real estate market at the time. He created that market by building something befitting that level of quality.
$Q$ In this picture what are we looking at?

A The entrance.
Q Sorry.
A Yep.
Q The entrance on?
A That's actually -- that's the entrance across -facing either the UN. There is another one, sort of, that allowed, similar look, little flatter without the stairs, from the other side, so you can avoid the traffic on the main corridor there on First Avenue.

Q What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A That's the lobby.
Q And in this picture?
A That's a little perspective, I guess, of the building, the way it stands out in there obviously. You see the UN building to the side there. The height allows you to get views of the East River, as well as the Hudson, certainly for the upper levels of the building.

But again, I think it just makes the point of the stature of that building and that is not a simple, oh, we are going to build a building. It was an amalgamation of era and rules and this to try to create something special and unique in an area where that had not really been done before.

Q Continuing in 2001. And if you want we will keep this picture up so the sketch artist gets a really good picture.
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A We already had a talk. I want the AI version with the jaw and the shoulders.

Q So again, we know you started, you joined the company in 2001, and now we will talk about projects you were actually involved, and the Trump Organization, as we go through.

2002, we now have a golf course called Trump National Golf Course of Westchester. Are you familiar with that, sir?

A Iam.
Q What was there before this golf course when Trump was there?

A Sort of a dilapidated municipal course. This is one of those, started working on it a little bit in the summers, sort of summer internship jobs when I was still in college. And but the reality - we turned it, what was a falling apart municipal course, into an incredible, you know, private club.

Q And what kind of challenges, if any, existed in creating this, similar to the one in West Palm, or anything different?

A I wasn't as involved in the zoning and the dealing of it because that was going on, sort of, right as I was coming in. For me I jumped in and worked almost exclusively on the Hudson waterfront. I didn't have, sort of, the, frankly, the knowledge base at the time to be able to work on all of these. But this was going on while I was there, but I was, sort of, cutting my teeth elsewhere.

Q What are we looking at here in slide 57?
A The view from the golf course side of that clubhouse.
Q Okay. And slide 58?
A That was a unique one. That's the 13th hole. Dealing with the topography, there was, sort of, an actual stone wall that, sort of, created something. But we were able to create this incredible waterfall facade there. So right in front of the waterfall, you can't see it all that well right now, but it is actually that green. So you are hitting into that with that wall of water in the background. It wasn't there, but we saw it and figured it out and created that. And it has just become, sort of, the standout amenity of that course.

Q And what are we looking at here in slide 59?
A A view of the clubhouse from, let's call it the middle of the golf course. You can see the waterfall, that's the 13th green. So you are basically center of the course looking towards the clubhouse and the Hudson River.

Q What, if any, portion of this existed before the Trump Organization got involved in this project?

A None. I mean, it was, again, a rundown municipal golf course. But we didn't -- we didn't attempt to salvage anything from that. It just wasn't -- it wasn't the level of quality for us. Right? It may be fine for someone, but we had to change the entire dynamic of what that was to bring in the
thing where you didn't have to -- maybe you didn't want to go through a co-op board or weren't able to go through a co-op board, whatever it may be, it created a unique opportunity to do something like that.

Q I think you testified earlier you were a project manager, knowing titles didn't mean a heck of a lot. Fair enough?

A Yes.
Q What were some of the jobs you did with regard to Trump Park Avenue, before we get into more pictures of it? And you can take us through that.

A Again, I started off as a project manager. I was the low end on the totem pole. But, I guess I was ambitious enough I wanted to learn, and maybe stupid enough to take on every crazy project. Whether that is: You had some hotel stabilized tenants in there. That's essentially like a rent controlled apartment within a hotel, even stricter rules. How do you build and redevelop an entire building that needed everything from start to finish into a new project, while dealing with, let's call it, mid-teens number of people living within the building and occupying it. So behind every wall was a new surprise. There were all sorts of unforeseen things. So I was willing to, okay, I will do that. I'll deal with that headache. I'll figure it out.

Also, the first time really for me working with the
D. J. Trump Jr. - by Defendant - Direct(Robert)
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people to allow it to actually succeed and be successful.
Q So you testified earlier this morning, it is one minute from afternoon, but earlier this morning, about your second major project after the Hudson Yards, which was Trump Park Avenue. Do you remember that, sir?

A Ido.
Q So we are now going to move to 2004 and Trump Park Avenue. What was Trump Park Avenue before it became Trump Park Avenue?

A It was the former Delmonico Hotel. It was in a major state of disrepair, falling apart, you know, incredible location there at 502 Park Avenue.

Everyone talks about "prewar-like." It was actually a true prewar building, built prior to the war. And it had so much potential, but was really falling apart. It was in disrepair. Prior owners had some, you know, just done a very poor job keeping it up. So you had this amazing location with this incredible facade and, you know, what we would call bones, the potential. And we brought it out and turned it into, sort of, one of the highest price-per-square-foot developments in terms of real estate. So we changed it from a hotel use to a condominium and, you know, created a new prewar condominium. That was also unique. Most prewar buildings were co-ops in New York. They were set up that way.

This was set up as a condominium and created a unique
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banks, General Electric Pension Trust, again similar to the Gulf and Western Building, Trump International. But it was my first time working with them.

So I am the new guy. And a pension fund, you know, every day: What is going on at the building?

Literally nothing has changed since you asked me six hours ago.

But I am not of the position to be able to tell them that. And eventually took on enough of a role that I could say, I promise you are better off if I just build the project, try to sell it, than me update a spreadsheet every six minutes.

Q What are we looking at here, slide 61?
A That's the lobby of the building. That's a unique one in that salvaged some components of the existing, truly special, prewar building. But bringing in and bringing out some of the - we had to redo a lot of the paneling. But we did it in the way of the original building. Very expensive, very unusual, but also allowed you to maintain, sort of, the true nature of the intimate character of the original building.

Obviously very different than, you know, a ground-up construction with massive lobbies and gilded. We really kept the heart of the building there.

Q From a developer's standpoint is that more challenging having to deal with those circumstances, or not?

A Oh, without question.

A That's the view from inside one of the penthouse suites. And I think what was unique for Trump Park Avenue there was, there was some of the unused FAR area we discussed earlier. And we were actually able to add -- pretty cool, because it is almost like the Louvre - we were able to add two boxes on the side of the building for the Penthouse units. I don't remember the exact dimensions now, but 30 by 30 , that were just pure glass, floor to ceiling, at the top of the building. Which allowed the penthouse units to have this, sort of, extra thing that you wouldn't get in a prewar building where you would have smaller windows because you were relegated to the construction methodologies of the 1920s.

Here we were able to add a structural component. It was eight floors or so of floor-to-ceiling glass, two squares on two corners, the north facing side and the west facing side of the building, which allowed for this great blend of old and new. And again, something that most wouldn't have thought of. My father, in going through the docs, recognized this void. What if we did this. I am saying, wait, we are going to build a structure on top of a 70, 80 year-old building? And the answer is, yes, we are. And we are going to figure out how do that structurally and make it happen. And it was a very special extra something to do on that building.

## Q And why?

A Because it is, you are working with the existing stuff and trying to preserve something that, in this case, is 75 years old, you know, every nick, every chip.

We had a similar, actually, thing with the Old Post Office, the hotel in Washington DC. Where it was even though the government wanted to rip it down at three or four different times throughout its career, once we got it -- which took a literal act of Congress actually, it was kind of interesting to get the project -- no, you have to maintain that door. That door is 75 years old. But you had to figure out how to maintain it and bring it out. And it is a lot more work and a lot more expensive. But if done right, it is a lot more incredible.

Q Turning to slide 62.
MR. ROBERT: I am going to check with someone
smarter than me, Your Honor.
Maybe this is a battery issue?
THE COURT: Is there anyone smarter than you?
Not about the CPLR.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
Just one second, Your Honor.
(Pause in the proceeding.)
MR. ROBERT: We will do it the old fashioned
way.

Q How old is this project?
MR. ROBERT: And I caught the, "again," Your
Honor. I did catch it. So did he I think.
Q How long did this project take?
A For the most part I guess it would have been a three, four year deal. We maintained, you know, this was early enough going into, sort of, the downturn. We talked about the real estate cycle earlier. So when I started in this, by the time we got zoning, by the time we got financing and entitlements, and this, and started construction and selling, you are going into some of the bad real estate market times, it is called, the 2006 through ' 09 generalized period of time.

And so we had sold off a lot of components of the building. We maintained some units. We maintain some to this date.

MR. ROBERT: Next slide, Nate.
THE COURT: Wasn't that the period called the Great Recession? As opposed to the Great Depression, it was the Great Recession?

THE WITNESS: It has been referred to as some of that, yes. That's how I refer to it. Certainly for -- as well honestly for the whole country.
Q What are we looking at here in slide 63 ?
A That is the roof for the 31-32. The top penthouse is a two-floor penthouse. This is the roof. So if you are
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actually standing, let's say, where the photographer is, right there, you are at the top of one of the cubes, the floor-to-ceiling glass cubes that we built. So that space and the floors below it literally never existed prior to -- prior to that. So we incorporated them into the building.

And for the ultimate penthouse, because look - they were really old penthouses, once you get to that high 20s and above. But this was the penthouse penthouse. It also generated an incredible balcony space, as well as an outdoor amenity area, both here as well as the west comer. This would believe the north facing facade of the building. So you had the same thing going on the west side.

Q I am now going to move on to Trump Golf Club. MR. ROBERT: Next slide, Nate.
Q What, if anything - are you familiar with the Trump National Golf Club in Bedminister, sir?

A Iam.
Q What, if anything, was there before Trump Organization acquired it?

A It was virtually John DeLorean, like from "Back to the Future," it was his personal estate. A group came in. They saw the topography. This is Bedminister, New Jersey. It is, sort of, not everyone thinks of New Jersey this way, but it is sort of horse country New Jersey. I can't help myself, as a New Yorker we have to throw in the Jersey dig every once in a
D.
while.
But, you know, this was a spectacular estate. A group that came in tried doing the golf development. Everyone wants to do those things, not everyone can do it well, effectively. Not everyone can sell the memberships needed to do it. Or frankly, just because you see the land and you see maybe it could be a golf course, not everyone has the vision to actual deliver it.

My father saw it, fell in love. It was spectacular. And he turned it into, you know, one of the finest golf courses, again, anywhere in the world. This held a U.S. Women's Open championship. It will host the PGA championship. It is a spectacular two 18 -hole golf courses.

And that's where he spends summers when not at Mar-a-Lago.

Q Slide 65. What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A It's a view from the side of the clubhouse overlooking some of the holes.

Q And again, if you could describe what this looked like before Trump Organization constructed this?

A Basically, you know, not quite farmland, but let's call it farmland with a little bit of extra topography.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. And next slide, Nate.
Q What are we looking at there, Mr. Trump?
A A view of the clubhouse, so that was John DeLorean's
literally on the Pacific ocean, correct?
A Literally. I can't name a single hole or a single lot that doesn't have a view of the Pacific Ocean.

MR. ROBERT: If we can go to slide 68, Nate?
Q What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A The overview of part of the course. You know, it continues a little bit further to the north. But that is the view of the Pacific Ocean right there. You have Catalina Island, you know, to the southwest a little bit from this view. And just truly unheard of to get that kind of waterfront, anywhere in California, but probably anywhere in the world, but certainly within a relatively short drive of Los Angeles proper.

Q Putting things into a timeline perspective, you were the first adult child to join the Trump Organization, correct?

A Correct.
Q When did your sister join?
A I guess probably about three years after me. I am four years older, but I had my time in Colorado.
Q Okay. And then look at --
MR. ROBERT: The next slide, Nate.
Q -- your brother joined in 2007 correct?
A Correct.
Q I won't ask you under oath to talk about your --
A I want to have so much fun with that picture, but I
personal house. Rather than rip it down and build another club house, he took what would have been one of the great estates, you know, at the time, re-purposed it and turned it into a clubhouse. And, you know, that's its function today.

Q Okay. During the course of the trial we have heard a little about the Trump golf course in Los Angeles. I will draw your attention to that.

Are you familiar with that golf course, Mr. Trump?
A I am.
Q What do you understand the Trump Organization's involvement to be when it procured that in 2005?

A Waterfront is waterfront. So, my father saw an opportunity to do something incredible, in Ranchos Palos Verdes, an incredible city, incredible zip code. Literally every hole has waterfront views of the Pacific. I must admit I wasn't involved in the golf course of that. I was building, I guess, Park Avenue at the time in Chicago. But he was doing that, I got involved later.

There was a bunch of residential units for sale to building housing on that. I worked on selling some of those. And those were overlooking an incredible golf course, as well as the Pacific. Just one of those things where he noticed a crown jewel and had to have it, and turned it into something really special.

Q So you when you say, it is on the water, it is

## D

Trump Jr. - by Defendant - Direct(Robert)
Page 4059
won't.
Q I'll move on to the next slide.
A A lot of Photoshop.
Q Moving on to 2008, The Trump Hotel in Las Vegas; are you familiar with that, sir?

A I am, yes.
Q What are you familiar with regard to that?
A I was definitely involved in aspects of that building, from Chicago to that. The development of this started shortly after we were, sort of, in process with Trump, Chicago.

And so this was a - a great project, one of those unique pieces of land, you know, ripe -- right off the strip in Las Vegas. And this was one where we did the full hotel condo mock. Building a hotel in that market, luckily given the timing of what happened with the real estate world, being able to sell off components of that, being able to not have to maintain those long-term bank financing in what would be very arduous times, was an incredible way to get this building done. We continue to manage it to this day. It has just been a great asset and success, Las Vegas.

Q You were making a passing reference to the time period in 2008 and you were very lucky. What were you very lucky about?

A I think our ability to execute on real estate
projects. Right? We started doing a lot of stuff in licensing, and that's nice, but licensing and we are good at it, isn't because you are slapping a brand on something. We actually have that expertise of developing, owning, developing, construction.

I would say, if you ask my father what he is best at, it is probably construction. He spent a lot of time on the ground. On job sites. He would talk with the HVAC guy. Not the guy that owns the HVAC company, but the guy installing a chiller. And from those guys he learned how to do things better: Sir, you know, I don't know why we are doing it this way; if we do this we can salvage an extra 6 inches of ceiling height.

That's a great idea.
So he spent time on the job sites. That was the bane of my existence when I was in my early 20 s, going out too late, I would get the call why aren't you on the job site at 7 a.m. on a Saturday morning. It is because he was doing that, walking through and figuring it out.

And so this one was incredible because of the other projects here in Las Vegas that started at a similar time, we actually finished on time, ahead of schedule in many cases with construction, which allowed us to be able to get the closing cycle. This is the time where so many people around the country were, you know, trying to figure out any way to get out

## approximately what year was that?

A I forget the exact year, but you know, coming out of Trump Park Avenue, the second we started getting involved in and talking about the deal, I think it was before 2009 when you are working on the deal, inception or whatever, but from there I was spending two, three days a week in Chicago.

So, you know, go to the office on Monday in New York, that evening maybe hop on a plane to Chicago, spend the next two, three days, you know, in the offices of, you know, Adrian Smith from SOM, one of the great architectural firms of the world building this, designing it. It was the former Sun Times building. It was really the printing presses for the Chicago Sun Times, sort of interesting.

And amazingly enough, of all of the real estate development projects I have ever worked on, it is the only one where no one actually was upset that you ripped down the existing printing presses, because they were sort of an eyesore in what would be, I guess arguably, the greatest location in Chicago, right at the juncture where the river bends at Wabash. It was a special, special project. So yes, that was sort of the next big one for me after Trump Park Avenue in items of dedication of time.

Q Fair to say, Mr. Trump, this was the first project you were dealing with from the ground up. You weren't doing a renovation like the Trump Park Avenue or something else?
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Q What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A That's the lobby of the building.
Q And in 72?
A That's the outside facade. It is very subtle.
Q Even for Vegas standards, right?
A Yeah, that's true.
Q You mentioned earlier today that you were involved in the Trump, Chicago project, right?

A Yes.
MR. ROBERT: Next slide please.
Q I want to draw your attention to the Trump
International Hotel and Tower in Chicago. Okay, sir?
A Yes.
Q What was your initial involvement in this and

## Trump Jr. - by Defendant - Direct(Robert)

Page 4063
A I learned ground up construction from the west side yards. That came out of nothing. That was, literally, sort of, a delapidated industrial site we turned into an incredible, one of the wealthier, zip codes of New York City, in terms of price per square foot of real estate sold. That was unique. So I had some experience with this.

This was the next level in terms of the luxury of that. So I am still, as it is starting in, I think, it is prior to 2009, but, you know, figuring out our feet. But this was great project.

Q And how is it that the project came to the Trump Organization?

A That one, I don't remember.
Q Okay.
A It has been a while. I think it was Conrad Black who was the owner, head of the Chicago Sun Times at the time was friendly with my father. Saw a vision. Realized that having, you know, the printing presses, let's call it the at the Chicago equivalent of, you know, 57th and Fifth, you know, the Trump Tower address of Chicago. Probably not the best use of time. This was, before when we started talking about it, way before the real estate turn. This seemed like a great way to do it. This was commercial in the lower portion of the building. Hotel condominium in the middle. Condominium at the upper. So this is the full mixed use gamut to maximize the and, you know, bar and grill of the hotel.

MR. ROBERT: And the next slide 75.
Q What are we looking at here?
A That's the building from Michigan Avenue.
Q And which portion of the building are we seeing now?
A That's the corner over there is the parking garage. Then you have the commercial area. Some of the - the hotel and the retail on the base.

That's the fourth component I didn't really talk about. So you have all four uses that you think of in terms of -- in terms of that: The retail component, the regular commercial, the condominium, and then hotel and condominium on top.

Q Is that the first time Trump had all four in one structure, if you know?

A Trump Tower had a retail base commercial, it didn't have the hotel component, but yes, this is the first time I can think of that all four were incorporated into one building.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. Nate, the next one, please.
Q Trump International Hotel in Waikiki. Are you familiar with that, sir?

A I am.
Q What was your involvement in that in or around 2009?
A I would have been the point person on the deal. This
was an incredible project. It was all hotel condominium in Waikiki, prime location.

A big developer out of Los Angeles came to us: You are the guys doing hotel condo. You are the experts in this. How do we do this? Brought us in.

This is the first time we, sort of, bring in -- not the first time for the license model but one of the early, sort of, big full scale license deals, where we are not stroking enough for equity. We came up with a different way to monetize that, both in terms of a hotel management agreement in the end, as well as taking advantage of being able to use our brand and the saleable real estate. So we came in, worked with the developers of that project. Worked with a couple people who are very familiar with the Hawaii market.

We may still hold the record for the highest per square foot real estate sales in Waikiki, but it was certainly the record at the time. Sold out the building (snap) in a heartbeat, as really one of the most successful development projects in the history of Hawaii.

Q I want to dissect what you said, Mr. Trump, about this being one of the licensing deals. And I want to come back to that for a second. How does a licensing deal work in a situation like the Trump Hotel in Waikiki, as opposed to just putting up a hotel?

A Someone else owns the real estate. They are taking
that development risk. They are buying the land. They are hoping that the market is there when it comes time to deliver the project. Again, there is a big period of time between when you make that initial commitment and you start spending a lot of money, to when you sell it. If those markets are not aligned, its problematic. Everyone shows up to close when you are selling in a bad market, and you deliver in the great market. If you start selling in a great market and you are delivering, again, two, three years later in a bad market, everyone has a reason for why you did something that would justify them getting their deposit back, or whatever it may be.

Q And what is it exactly that Trump Organization is bringing to the table in these licensing deals? You spoke about the benefits that are there to the person doing the business deal with you. What exactly is it that Trump Organization is providing?

A We are bringing the brand, right? The Trump International Hotel Waikiki, we are bringing that. That's getting the eyes on it. We are bringing the expertise from our construction teams to deliver a product in Waikiki. There was never -- there was not anything that had been built to this standard. You know, that expertise. Understanding not just where the market is for ultra luxury today, but where it is going to be. With my father's vision, understanding where that is going to be.
estate. And it goes beyond that, people when they are buying real estate notice that difference.

And so, you know, this was one of the first where we were able to do that with our brand, where we were able to create that value for the company, but also for the consumers and for our partners in the deal. And it was a really unique model. Very few people had ever done that in saleable real estate; certainly not in high-end; and certainly not as effectively as us.

Again, like everything else, see someone do something good and they do it well and emulate it and try to copy it, and sometimes it works and sometimes it doesn't.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita)

So our teams were familiar with that. When people were looking at this real estate, even if we weren't developing, they understood they were going to be closing on the best real estate in the market, without question. You had the marketing teams, you know, understanding and ability to do that. You had just our ability as an organization to be able get it out there. So if people are, you know, they are looking at places to buy in Waikiki, whether it is a hotel condo as an investment; whether it is as a pied-à-terre; whether it is just buying real estate. In some cases they just want to use it and they are living in a hotel. We have seen that a lot too. I want the amenities of hotel, I want maid service rather than doing it myself. I am going to buy it and never put it in the pool. So they are getting that expertise from us and then getting the ongoing management, plus. I could probably go on for a few hours about this, but I am sure no one wants to hear the details of that.

But again, I think the reason we were able to be successful and so many other luxury brands who have tried to emulate us since and haven't, it is more than just slapping a sticker on a product and saying we are ultra luxury. I don't need to call out other brands, it doesn't matter, but there are plenty. And they don't work out because, those brands may be luxury, but they are good at what they do, making a handbag or a car, it doesn't necessarily translate into understanding real

MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, can we just, again, provide an instruction to the witness as to the Trump Organization's role and work as opposed to speculating about others in the industry and market.

THE WITNESS: I'm not speculating. These are things that happened.

MR. ROBERT: I think the witness is just accounting to what The Trump Organization does and how they view their competitors and why their competitors either can or can't do what they do. It's just his opinion.

THE COURT: Well, again, I tend to have a strict view of the witnesses. Either you are an expert -MR. ROBERT: Fair enough.
THE COURT: - or you are a fact. So what did you see, what did you hear, but there's no jury. I'll continue to allow some leeway here.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, your Honor. Next slide.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)

THE COURT: Let's stick, generally, to what The Trump Organization was doing, not what other people were thinking or doing.

MR. ROBERT: Understood.
Q What are we looking at here?
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A That's one of the lounge areas overlooking Waikiki Beach. That is one of the amenity spaces of the hotel. MR. ROBERT: Move to the next slide, Nate. (Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q You have a familiarity with the Trump National Golf Course in Washington, D.C., Mr. Trump?
A I do. I wasn't involved in that. Golf started being my father and Eric's, um, more their passion so I wasn't involved intimately. But it was another one of these things, sort of a golf course that was underperforming and underutilized. And we, as an organization, did what we do best and created a spectacular golf course overlooking the Potomac River in Maryland.

MR. ROBERT: Next slide, Nate.
Q What are we looking at here?
A That is view of the Potomac and the, you know, I guess you are right there, the Virginia/Maryland border. That is the an overview of the course from the river.
Q And can you describe for us how this looks different than it did when The Trump Organization first acquired the land?

A Well, it looks spectacular now, and let's just say it didn't prior to that.
Q Fair enough. Moving to the next slide are you familiar with the Trump Vineyard Estates in Charlottesville, Virginia?

And so we bought the incredible vineyards and sort started just doing what we do best, creating this. And then in the end, the mansion which you'll see later on, which was just spectacular, sort of came into play. We were able to buy that for pennies on the dollar from the banks that controlled it, because, you know, again, without the front yard, a beautiful estate doesn't really do all that much. So we then, since, aggregated these parcels together to create something spectacular. And it's been rated one of the finest vineyards. And the San Francisco Wine Festival wasn't thrilled to give that to us, but we won some of their highest accolades there. So it's a great little project.

Q This may be self-evident, but what is that property operating there today?
A A vineyard, a vineyard with -- a bed and breakfast with a house that --
Q Okay.
A -- probably over performs any bed breakfast in the history of homes.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)

Q Next slide, what are we look at here?
A An overview of the vineyard estates. You could see the various layouts there. And just, you know, an example of the property.
Q Okay.
MR. ROBERT: Now I'm going to move to the next slide, Nate.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q In 2012, the Trump International Golf Links in Aberdeen. Are you familiar with that?
A Very.
Q That was one of the next big projects for you after Chicago?
A I spent a lot of time there, yes.
Q Let's start from the beginning. How did this acquisition come to the attention of The Trump Organization?
A Well, it was actually a shooting estate, and the people who owned it brought it to my father and they said this would make a pretty spectacular golf course. I had gone over there literally, prior to that, and been there; that's more my thing than golf, and I just saw it fell in love, you know. For perspective -- that building -- I think it's -- that's the Castle my father referenced. I read about his testimony last week, and that building literally started construction. It was
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the first component of that estate. I think it was in the early 1200s. So when we talk about old buildings in America, that's a little different. That was old when we discovered America.

And so that's one of the components -- that's now, you know, let's call it part of the hotel on the site, intimate place. And then you have the incredible dunes overlooking the North Sea. The geomorphology, just dunes that go up 150 feet into the air, so it's truly a unique place to be able to build a links course.

Even on a coast line like that, that just doesn't exist. It was a unique place where the wind and water just created a topography that doesn't exist like that. So we created a golf course. This is one of the components. We built and refurbished other old, very old, you know, buildings on there to create this incredible estate and golf course in Aberdeen, Scotland.
Q I will ask you more about that in a second, but you mentioned the dunes.

## MR. ROBERT: Let's go to slide 83.

(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q Is that what you are referring to, Mr. Trump?
A Yes, sir.
Q What are we seeing in this picture?
A That's -- what is that? Which hole is that? I think
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that may be the 14th hole. Going North on, you know, that's the North Sea. So Aberdeen is the home of sort of oil from the North Sea; that's the, you know, the European capital of oil, oil and gas, a successful area. All of the oil rigs are 150 miles off shore, and you see the helicopters going over there delivering -- handling that aspect of it.

So, that's the North Sea. You have the topography for a golf course, and a links course in particular, it's in a class of its own.

Q So what was your exact involvement -- withdrawn. What was your involvement with this project? A That's another one of those - that's one of those I started flying over there and I probably spent you know three, four days a month over there; zoning, entitlement, land use, dealing with the environmental components of that obviously, um, is a significant thing. You know, repurposing a castle, and, you know, and eventually getting it up and running as a golf course.

Q When you talk about entitlement, what are you referring to?

A The ability to build something other than what is there right now. You know, you could have the dunes or you could have - you could bring them out and create to do that. You can't just go and say, hey, I'm going shape something and throw some grass on it. It doesn't work that way. So you have to go
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through a lengthy process, and especially when you are dealing with coastal things, and, you know, environmental issues, you have to do that properly. So there's a very vigorous and very long process involved.

Q Okay.
MR. ROBERT: Nate, the next slide.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q It brings you 2012. It's The Trump National Doral in Miami, Florida, which there's been testimony about in this case.

Are you familiar with this property, sir?
A I am.
Q And what was your involvement when The Trump Organization acquired this in 2012?
A I wasn't as involved in the acquisition, just was dealing with, you know, other things at the time. Ivanka and Eric were probably more involved in the acquisition side of it. I've been involved in terms of the hotel operation side. But, you know, I remember even going there as a young child, like prior to Mar-a-Lago young. And my father always looked at it as this incredible piece of land in Miami, and this great history for golf and everything. And it, too, was getting rundown and dilapidated, and people weren't giving it the love that it deserved. And so, you know, he bought it, invested a lot of money, really, you know, polished up. It was an iconic
property. But wouldn't have been viewed as iconic by people going there at the time because it was falling apart.

So he saw a jewel in the rough and wanted to bring it back to its former glory, and, quite frankly, far surpassed its former glory.

Q When you talk about a "jewel in the rough", is the expression you used?

THE COURT: It's really a diamond in the rough.
Q I'll use diamond in the rough then.
A Yes.
Q When you talk about it being a diamond in the rough, is that the golf course or the potential of it, or --
A Always a combination. That's the nature, whether we -as we talked about with the West Side Yards. It was supposed to be the tallest building in the world with this, and then all of a sudden it becomes thousands of residential units.

Things evolve. Things emerge. When my father initially looked at it, it was, you know, he wanted to own Doral, a place where they had all these championships and an incredible golf history, because it was just his passion for that.

But then he said, okay, well, you know, you have four, five golf courses here. I don't know. What if you -- Miami, arguably the hottest real estate market in the world, right? What if you just took out one golf course and made condominiums.
D. Trump, Jr. - Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)

Page 4079
You know, the value add, the creation of value, like in an instant, by doing that, getting that zoning. Realizing that the Blue Monster, the primary course, or the Blue Monster plus one of the other courses could still actually do 99 percent of what you need from the golf course and the hotel component, but you could create this other value there. That's always what he does, right? So he sees those things. He sort of amazing, actually, the people prior to it that had it could've done that in a second, but you got to understand, just because it is something today doesn't mean it should stay that way. It doesn't mean that's the highest and best use. So figuring out what that is and the ability to generate and create that value is a part of his magic.

Q And is The Trump Organization currently in the process of determining what to do with this property?

A Yes.
Q And generally, what is that?
A Well, there's, you know, there's schematics and zoning to maintain some of the golf course and some of the hotel, but also to zone it for condominium in Miami, again --

Q Would --
A -- hottest as everyone sees. A lot of people leaving New York to go down to Florida. So, you know, that seems like an incredible market opportunity right now. So they are going through the process to zone, you know, many hundreds of, you
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know, possible condominium units there.
Q Were you familiar in 2022 Newmark had prepared a presentation for Doral?

A I've see that.
MR. ROBERT: Can we have the D-499 given to the Attorney General and the witness, please.
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. Trump, what do you recognize this document to be?
A Well, it seems like a presentation by Newmark that
looks to the investment opportunities of Doral.
$Q$ And this was a document that was given to the Trump Organization by Newmark; correct?

A I believe that's correct, yes.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I move D-499 into evidence.

MR. WALLACE: Objection. This is hearsay.
MR. ROBERT: I was waiting for that, and I figured
I'd do it incrementally, not as to notice to the client, as
Newmark putting a value on the property in February of 2022.
It's not for the truth of the matter asserted.
Newmark, a well-respected company, provided this to the
Trump Organization in February of 2022 and valued Doral at $\$ 1.3$ billion. It's just notice to the client -- notice to
pertains to the value, but that they find out something afterwards, and then experts are going to take it and use it backwards, that's not at all the same thing, and it's bootstrapping.

If they, indeed, think this is an appropriate valuation of Doral as of 2022, as Mr. Robert has said many times, they are free to call Newmark and have the people that prepared this report bring it in.

I also just -- I think I will note from our perspective, which we don't have any cover communications with this e-mail. I think it just appears in Eric Trump's files as a single electronic document, so we have no idea what the province of this is, or what the basis for this report is.

MR. ROBERT: So first of all they, questioned one of our experts, Steve Witkoff, about this document in some detail, and I'm sure you'll here about that tomorrow when Mr. Witkoff testifies, but, again, I'm not putting forth --

THE COURT: If he is going to testify, can't we just kick the can down the road.

MR. ROBERT: But he wasn't the preparer of the document, your Honor. Mr. Witkoff was not the preparer of the document.

THE COURT: Let me jump in. On the one hand, I think it's completely irrelevant what somebody said -- what
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the witness.
MR. WALLACE: For what purpose though? 2022 is not in -- there's no Statement of Financial Condition that's prepared in 2022 . I'm not sure what the purpose of having this in evidence is.

MR. ROBERT: This will go later on, as you hear from our experts, as to the intent and materiality, and ultimately where this pans out.

The Attorney General wants to argue that they could go before the statute of limitations to show some sort of pattern.

We believe it's appropriate to show, after the period in which the Attorney General is complaining, and I'm glad to see they are not complaining about anything in 2022, but nonetheless, the fact that the Trump Organization, in February of 2022 , is on notice that an independent third-party is putting a value of a billion three to Doral is relevant, especially to the equitable relief and what, if anything, The Trump Organization is doing going forward.

MR. WALLACE: I'm just going to make the point, when things were going in for notice to people beforehand is because they are then making decisions about valuing property after they've received information that could go against that; that they received something after the fact about value, which I don't even think this is clear that it
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somebody allegedly told the Trumps the property was worth in 2022.

On the other hand, there's no jury. I don't see any prejudice to this. So plaintiff, what am I missing here? What is the difference?

MR. AMER: There is prejudice, your Honor.
THE COURT: What is it?
MR. AMER: They have a document that shouldn't come into evidence. They --

THE COURT: That's not prejudice, you realize.
MR. AMER: Let me continue. They are going to put on the stand an expert witness who is going to rely on a document that shouldn't be in evidence --

MR. ROBERT: No.
MR. AMER: - so it's prejudicial because there's no basis for the expert to be relying on something that's not evidence. And it does not qualify for the hearsay reliance rule for an expert, because he's relying entirely on the document for his opinion of value for Doral.

So it's highly prejudicial and it shouldn't come in. It's not appropriate evidence. They haven't laid a foundation. It's hearsay.

MR. ROBERT: First of all, you Honor, Mr. Witkoff does not rely on his opinion on this. He is questioned about it and they actually ask him what his views are,
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## individual defendants.

This goes to the fact that the way that values had been placed on this was not done with any ill intent. Here what we are suggesting is that in 2022, The Trump Organization was aware that someone else put a value of 1.3. It doesn't mean that Newmark was right or wrong. I'm not seeking to introduce it to prove the truth of the matter asserted.

To take a chapter from the Attorney General's book, it's a notice issue. Was he aware that this existed in 2022. Was he aware that in 2022 Newmark prepared something and put a value of 1.3 billion on Doral. I'm not seeking anything more than that.

MR. AMER: I don't see how something in 2022 can go to somebody's intent earlier. It makes no sense.

THE COURT: I'll make my offer to plaintiff, as I've occasionally done. Do you want to risk a reversal over this one stupid document? I don't think you do, but if you want to stand or ceremony, I'll sustain the objection.

MR. WALLACE: If we are all agreeing it's a stupid document, I'm -- I think it -- what I will say is, if they want to use it for notice of something that occurs after February 2022 and they can establish that this witness saw this document at a period of 2022 on, we would agree that that's permissible use. And if they get there eventually,
fine, but as to show intent for anyone before February of 2022, it is irrelevant and it should not come in.

THE COURT: Can we make it admitted conditional upon, you know, relation back -- connection, that's the word.

MR. ROBERT: Subject to connection, yes.
MR. AMER: I think that's fine. But let's be clear that the issue of whether Mr. Witkoff can rely on this information should remain an open question until Mr. Witkoff is on the stand and we have an opportunity to object to his reliance on this document.

THE COURT: Even Mr. Robert agrees with that. It's in conditional -- subject to some connection I don't see at this point.

MR. ROBERT: It may not be Mr. Witkoff, but someone else down the road, but that's fair.

THE COURT: It's in conditionally.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
(Defendant's Exhibit 499 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)
Q Were you aware of the existence of this document?
A Yes.
Q Are you aware that Newmark placed value of 1.3 billion on Doral in February of 2022?
A Yes.
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Q I'm going to go back to the slide show -THE COURT: Five-minute warping.
Q Back to the slide show, slide presentation, slide 85. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A That's the view from one of the holes towards the clubhouse.
Q And this is -- again, re-orienting us, this is Doral; correct?
A That is Doral, correct.
Q And what, if any, improvements did The Trump Organization put into Doral since it acquired that in 2012?
A I mean, just about everything. I mean, you have the basic bones of the hotel remain the same, but I think we put in close to $\$ 250$ million to redo the golf courses entirely, reshape, elongate that. To fix up the ballrooms and the hotel rooms, and literally every aspect of it. Other than the basic structure itself, everything else is brand-new.

MR. ROBERT: Nate, the next one, please, 87.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A That's the main clubhouse.
Q That is 86 , I'm sorry.

| 1 | MR. ROBERT: Eighty-seven, Nate. | 1 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2 | (Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the | 2 |
| 3 | screen.) | 3 |
| 4 | Q $\quad$ What are we looking at here? | 4 |
| 5 | A $\quad$ One of the terraces from the main clubhouse looking | 5 |
| 6 | down into one of the dining areas and the, I guess, 18th green. | 6 |
| 7 | MR. ROBERT: Slide 88, Nate. | 7 |
| 8 | Q | And here, Mr. Trump? |
| 9 | A | One of the holes on the course. There's multiple |
| 10 | courses, by the way. There's four golf courses. It's not just | 10 |
| 11 | one 18 holes. It's 600 acres in Miami. Which, again to the | 11 |
| 12 | point of the development rights, it's not, well, can you turn | 12 |
| 13 | the hotel into a condominium? No, it's there's 600 acres. You | 13 |
| 14 | could actually run an incredible golf facility, take out | 14 |
| 15 | 200 acres, have three golf courses and build thousands of | 15 |
| 16 | condominium units there. | 16 |
| 17 | Q So for those not familiar with Miami geography, where | 17 |
| 18 | is it in Miami? | 18 |
| 19 | A You are ten minutes from the Miami airport. Right in | 19 |
| 20 | the heart of Doral. | 20 |
| 21 | MR. ROBERT: Eighty-nine, Nate, please. | 21 |
| 22 | (Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the | 22 |
| 23 | screen.) | 23 |
| 24 | Q And what are we looking at here? | 24 |
| 25 | A You know, more holes. Again, fully refurbished, | 25 |

MR. ROBERT: And the next one.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A That's the interior of that, you know, one of -- not one of the larger ballrooms, but one of the more spectacular ballrooms.

MR. ROBERT: Just a few more in Doral, and then I'll finish. That would be a good place for a break. If you want to stop now, that's up to you.

THE COURT: We could finish, and then I want to talk for like 30 seconds after you.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. Next, 94.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
A That's one of the bigger ballrooms. It holds thousands of people to host entire industry conferences, whatever it may be. So you get that in there for the food and beverage. They are there for the conference, then going and playing golf. They are staying in the hotel, utilizing one of the restaurants. That's how that property survives as is. But, again, it's just one component of what it actually is worth, or could be in terms of potential.

## MR. ROBERT: Next 95.

THE COURT: We like big rooms around here, too. THE WITNESS: Yeah. I could see that.
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totally new. Um, just everything started from -- you know, you took the base model and just really fixed everything. Took it to a whole new level.

MR. ROBERT: Next one, Nate. 90, please.
Q Here, Mr. Trump?
A One of the seating areas inside the hotel and the clubhouse.

## MR. ROBERT: And next, 91.

(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
A A bar area. One of a few. It's a large development, so this is one area. But you set up intimate -- break the area so everything doesn't just amass. This is an area that you could have a more intimate setting, even if there is a lot of stuff going on. You have multiple ballrooms, you have hundreds of hotel rooms, you have all of this. So you could have weddings going on with, you know, business conferences, regular day-to-day play, regular vacation traffic and hotel use. It's sort of catering to all things.

MR. ROBERT: Next, 92.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
Q What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A The terrace area for one of the ballrooms overlooking the 18th.
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(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
A One of the -- another one of the ballrooms. Again, you could have -- I forget the exact number that are there. You could host a lot of separate events at any given time there, and they could all function seamlessly.

MR. ROBERT: And 96, Nate.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
A Inside one of the suite areas, I believe.
MR. ROBERT: And 97, the final slide on Doral.
(Whereupon, the next slide was displayed on the screen.)
A A fairly standard room for Doral, you know, but obviously a very opulent room for any anywhere else. That would be a bedroom, one of the one bedroom suites in the hotel.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I think this would be a logical place to stop at this point before the lunch break.

THE COURT: I just want to take judicial notice of something.

Mr. Trump, when you were discussing the castle on the Aberdeen grounds, you said it was built around the 12th century or something, so it was old when America was discovered. I'm not sure whether that was the exact language. I'll take judicial notice, there were people

[^12]```
MR. ROBERT: May I, Your Honor?
```

2
3
living here at that point. And, in fact, Columbus wasn't en first European to get here, although he may have bes cetera. I'll leave you with that. I'll see you at 2:15.

THE WITNESS: It is the indigenous people's day
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned until 2:15 for the luncheon recess.)

A We purchased Turnberry, one of the oldest and most exclusive famous golf courses anywhere in the world. It has hosted the British Open, the Open championship, on many occasions. Just a spectacular property on the opposite side of Scotland from the Aberdeen course that we were discussing earlier.

Q And can you describe what the Trump Organization did to the Turnberry, Scotland golf course once it acquired it, sir?

A Similar to Doral, acquired it, took a property that was going into a little bit of a state of disrepair, and brought out the diamond that had been there previously. This is a, you know, very old course, 150 years old. There is, I mean, some of the interesting -- some of the fairways had runways next to it, and the runways were used as landing sites for WWII bombers to stage in Scotland back in the day. A lot of pretty amazing history.

That right there is the lighthouse overlooking it.
And the island across the way, that's where all of the granite comes from for the curling you see on the Olympics.
Apparently that's all harvested on that island for the curling stones that they use.

Q Anything else specifically you remember about any of the work that the Trump Organization did in Scotland?

A The entire retrofit, like I said, Doral, Doral in
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Scotland. Taking the hotel, refurbishing, I forget the exact dollars. Eric could tell you, he was more lead on that one. But countless dollars fixing up both courses over there as well. Just from start to finish a revamp of a once great and iconic property in bringing it back to that condition.

Q I am moving to slide 99. What are we looking at here?

A Looking at the lighthouse. It is sort of an -actually, inside the lighthouse they created one of the suites for the hotel. So the hotel is separate and distinct from that, but put in a room there. So that one of the holes overlooking the lighthouse, very iconic, famous golf course.

Q Moving to slide 100. What are we looking at here?
A That's the primary -- that's the clubhouse hotel for the property.
Q And what, if any, state was this in when the Trump Organization took over the property?
A I would say not nearly as nice as it is now.
Q Okay. Going to slide 101.
MR. ROBERT: I am so used to asking you, Nate,
for it. Now I have the device back.
Q What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?
A Different holes, just, sort of, showcasing the incredible topography of the course, the grandeur of the waterfront there. Different topography from Aberdeen on the
other side, because on the other side you are on the north side, so you have the North Sea, known for its rough conditions. And you can see here a little more meandering. But, you know, again, just another spectacular asset.

Q What are we looking at here, slide 102?
A Different view, similar holes. A par three heading over to the lighthouse.

Q Okay. We had -- you had spoken earlier about one of the next projects you were involved in, which was the Trump International Golf Links and Hotel, Doonbeg, in Ireland?

A That's correct.
Q Can you first, from 30,000 feet, explain to us what your involvement was with that? And then we will get into some detail.

A This one I was more involved. It was a -- it looks and it is designed to look very old, almost like you are going back it time 100 years to a coastal town in County Clare, Ireland. But was designed, you know, relatively recently during the real estate crisis of, let's call it 2008, the development group got in trouble.

I got a call: Hey, is this something you would look at?

I got on a plane. I checked it out and saw what it was. It was pretty spectacular. Again similar, coastal golf course. The property here is just very unique. It does have
mowers and tractors and machinery to maintain the greens, we can do that. You are installing sprinkler systems. We are working with the best. We can do that. So we can make things happen, get it up and running, be able to satisfy that membership. And have it, you know, function as a great operating golf course quickly.

Q Now, drawing your attention to slide 104, what are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?

A That's basically the clubhouse from the practice green.

Q And what was this before it was a clubhouse of anything?

A It was built - it was built as that. But again, the project for -- got to a stage of completion with a prior developer. The, I guess, money ran out. It was a rough time in the real estate market. We came in, picked it up, took a chance with our balance sheet and our cash to be able to lock it up and secure it. And, you know, then spent the next time, sort of, filling in the blanks to get everything else finalized and assembled.

Q I notice the clock in the middle. Is that one of those clocks in the middle?

A Different clock.
MS. FAHERTY: Can I note my objection to that answer? It was entirely non-responsive to what the house
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an actual, you know, condominium, sort of, hotel, condominium-hotel component to it. Not too much there, but you also have villas along the way and along the route. And this thing was up in the air. There was, certain, you know, non-clarity as it relates to title and what we would be able to buy in a transaction and stuff. And it was one of those that, having been the only person that has seen it, you know, and perhaps when my father called it is, like, hey, you know, we either got to go hard, but we are risking hard money. I said, listen, it is that good. We have to take a chance and hope we can assemble the rest of the property, and it has been great since.

THE COURT: We like the enthusiasm. Try to eliminate the speed.
Q And now you have explained how it came about. What exactly was your role in the development of it itself?

A It was developed, so it was bringing that back. It was taking, you know, a golf course that would have been in a state of disrepair only because, you know, the original developers had run out of money. All of a sudden you have to finish this, you have some existing membership. So, finishing and completing that package, taking it to the next level, exposing it to -- really for golf with us, there is a -- a lot of economies of scale. We have golf courses now all over the world we do this. So, when you have to buy dozens of new lawn
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was before it was a clubhouse.
THE WITNESS: I said it was always -- it was always the same.

THE COURT: I see no harm. I think it was all tangentially responsive answers. Overruled.
Q Slide 105, what are we looking at here?
A I guess the more northern -- more northern holes on the course, par three, heading back facing the clubhouse.

Q And 106?
A Just another view. Again, you can see the topography for a Links-type course, the dune systems, everything incorporating the golf course into the natural environment of the area.

Q So earlier this morning we spoke about the Wolman Rink back when you were a young man. And you remember talking about ice skating there; do you remember that?

A Ido.
Q Now I want to fast forward to 2015 to talk about another City project the Trump Organization was involved with. Are you familiar with the Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point in Bronx, New York?
A Iam.
Q What was your involvement in this in or around 2015?
A I wasn't involved other than peripherally. That would have been more of an Eric project. But, it was,
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| 1 | honestly, it was a piece of vacant land. It was essentially |
| 2 | landfill that for decades, feels like an old school New York |
| 3 | mob job, someone moved a pile of dirt from here to here and |
| 4 | then moved it back and forth, back and forth, and everyone gets |
| 5 | paid and nothing actually gets built. And it was crazy. And |
| 6 | you know, yet another one of those eyesores that people are |
| 7 | wondering why there is a construction site going on for 20 |
| 8 | years, you know, under the bridge there. |
| 9 | And my father got involved, saw potential, worked |
| 10 | with Jack Nicklaus to build an incredible golf course in the, |
| 11 | you know, in New York. It was just a spectacular thing, and we |
| 12 | took it over, put a lot of money into it. And in, let's call |
| 13 | it 18 months, built something absolutely incredible that has |
| 14 | been operating as a golf course since. |
| 15 | Q And the story you just told, is that a story that is |
| 16 | contained in the Trump Organization website as a video, in |
| 17 | part? |
| 18 | A $\quad$ I imagine there is, yes. Yes. |
| 19 | MR. ROBERT: If we could call up Defense Exhibit |
| 20 | 1018. |
| 21 | Q I am going to ask you, Mr. Trump, if this is the |
| 22 | video on the Trump Organization website, in part? |
| 23 | (Whereupon the video was played in open court.) |
| 24 | MR. ROBERT: Can you start at the beginning with |
| 25 | a louder sound, maybe? | this video, which is obviously pure hearsay. If Mr. Trump wants to talk about any of the subjects he covers in the video, he can. I don't know if they are planning on calling Mr. Nicklaus for any of it, but that's pure hearsay.

MR. ROBERT: Just introducing as a demonstrative exhibit, not seeking to introduce it into evidence.

And I asked Mr. Trump, is that a fair
description of the property and what we are seeing in the video, the property itself.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: You are not seeking to have it admitted into evidence?

MR. ROBERT: Just for demonstrative purposes only, Your Honor.

MR. WALLACE: I don't know what that means, Your Honor.

MR. ROBERT: It is a demonstrative exhibit, no different than if I went with a video or camcorder and took a video of the property.

MS. FAHERTY: That's not what that was. THE COURT: What's the question, exactly?
MR. ROBERT: Is that a fair representation of
what the course looks like from the photos that were a part of that video.

THE WITNESS: It is.
THE COURT: I will allow it.
Q Let's go to slide 108, please.
MR. ROBERT: What I am talking about - I can do that now.
Q What are we looking at here now, Mr. Trump?
A Looking at a view from one of the fairways over the water and the bridge. One of the annuals from probably one of the tee boxes on the course.

Q And 109?
A A view of the skyline of New York City.
Q 110?
A A clubhouse with the skyline of New York City, from a sideways angle.

Q Again, was this clubhouse there when the Trump Organization took over the project in 2015?

A As I mentioned, it was raw dirt. It had been that way for a long time. People were doing construction on it for years, not actually doing anything, but probably still getting paid. And we took it over and actually created it.

THE COURT: You know, I think that's a better opportunity for an objection. Question was: Was that there before you took over. I assume the answer is no?
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THE WITNESS: That's correct.
THE COURT: All right. If it is a yes or no question, answer it yes or no.

MR. ROBERT: Fair enough, Your Honor.
Q In what manner was it different?
A As I stated, it was a raw landfill site. People were, you know, supposedly trying to build a golf course on it for years. Nothing actually got accomplished during those years. And from when we took it over to when we built it out, it turned into, you know, a spectacular asset or spectacular amenity for the City. This is with the New York City Parks Department, so like Wolman Rink, another, you know, great amenity for the City of New York.

Q During the course of the ten years of 2011 through 2021, sir, approximately how many people did the Trump Organization employ in New York, on average?

A I would say, on average, rolling average, probably about 1,000 in New York.

Q And how many people were involved beyond your employees in the various projects in New York? We are talking about construction workers, engineers, architects, maintenance crews, landscapers, any estimation of that?

A Much higher than that. If we are building a building there could be hundreds of construction workers on that one building. They are not employees of the Trump Organization but
their employment is paid for by that development through their contractors and otherwise. So when you have, you know, even caddies, you know, they may be independent contractors but still they are generating their revenue through this. So I would say it goes very significantly up from there if you are talking about, sort of, the beneficial interest of just beyond who is on Trump Organization's payroll.
$Q$ And we are going to have more specific from others, but do you have any idea of how much money in taxes the Trump Organization has paid in New York for the ten years, 2011 to 2021?

A Huge numbers, you know.
Q Okay.
A Tens -- millions, I don't know specifically, but it is a big number.

Q Fair enough, sir.
What are we looking at here, slide 111 ?
A Bar area, dining area, inside the clubhouse at Ferry Point.

Q Again, this wasn't there before the Trump Organization acquired the property, correct?

A Correct, it was just vacant land.
Q And drawing your attention to 112, what are we looking at here?

A A view from the sky. Again, that was a landfill site
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that we turned into an incredible asset for the City of New York.

Q A little earlier we spoke about the winery that was acquired, I believe it was in 2011; do you remember that?

A I do.
Q And you had talked about that there was a second component to that; do you remember that?

A I do.
Q Now, I draw your attention to 2015 and a property known as Albemarle Estate, if you could explain to the Court what this is in $2015 ?$

A Well, that was the home that was part of the Kluge Estate. That was the estate that I mentioned for, like, estate planning purposes, separated out ownership between, sort of, what was a contiguous parcel. I guess they did that for themselves for tax purposes. And this was the home that we essentially owned the front yard of. So while the home is spectacular, if you don't own the front yard you can't do it, you don't know what a developer will ultimately do with that yard, sort of diminished the value of the home, allowed us to buy the home for what I believe to be, you know, well under market. Aggregate that into the rest of the vineyard. This now functions as a, you know, sort of ultra luxury bed and breakfast at a spectacular vineyard in Charlottesville, Virginia.

I believe it is the ridge right over the top of that where Jefferson escaped his estate during the Revolutionary War, so a lot of cool history in the area.

Q Slide 114. What are we looking at here, sir?
A The back side of the same estate.
Q The same one we just saw before, correct?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Now I am going to draw your attention to a property that has gotten significant discussion during this trial, which is the Old Post Office.

A Yes.
Q Do you remember that project?
A I know it well, yes.
Q And from a 30,000-foot perspective to start with, what was your involvement in that project, sir?

A Construction, and you know, ongoing operations once -- once we opened up the hotel component. So the hotel operations would have been my primary responsibility there. But, did have involvement in some of the construction development aspects of it. Although it was more heavily involved in, sort of, 2016 transitioning over from a construction site to an ongoing and operating hotel project.

Q So before we get to the stuff that you were specifically involved in, let's talk about more generally in how it came about that the Trump Organization wound up being

The GSA from the government put out, basically, a bid. It was something that I would say -- I don't want to say every, you know, I imagine pretty much every hotel company I can think of put in a bid to try to buy this asset or, you know, lease the asset and get involved in the project. I think everyone else, you know, perhaps saw what we saw with it. As I said, it took a vote of Congress to actually allow that to happen. We one won that bid. Our ability to do a renovation of a very old building, a historically significant building, a landmark building, we had had that experience obviously with Trump Park Avenue and others.

So a lot of the development companies who had built ground up would say they could do it, but we actually had a track record of building these kinds of projects and doing it.

In the end we were successful, in that in the RFP won that, and turned it into one of the finest hotels anywhere in the world.
$Q$ What was your involvement once the hotel became operational, sir?

A Heavily involved in the hotel operations. All of that through the hotel company. You know, every aspect that entails, literally from the marketing materials and getting that out, group business, F\&B, I did a lot of work on the leasing of, you know, the restaurant spaces in the building, et cetera, et cetera, so anything and everything.

Q And let's look at 116 . What are we looking at here, Mr. Trump?

A That's the main entrance of the building as well as the main entrance to the presidential ballroom. We, like Park Avenue again, created a new space. There was area that could be utilized, so we were able to take an old existing building, bring out its former glory, but also create new modern space to hold, really I guess, the largest ball room in Washington DC outside of the Washington DC Hilton. But in a much better location, on Pennsylvania Avenue. Create a new space for those ballrooms, very large ceiling spans. You know, better for entertaining, better for banquet. And you know, added that on to the building as part of the construction of the retrofit of the building.
$Q$ And what are we looking at here, 117 , sir?
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A That's the shot looking down Pennsylvania Avenue. That's the --

Q And what are we looking at here, $118, \mathrm{Mr}$. Trump?
A That's about a third of the main lobby.
Q And is 119 showing a --
A Yeah, that's the other half, so bar area. You had, you know, restaurant space and bar on the other side of that.
Main entrance would be that way where you come in, so you are entering into this, maintain the structure of all of that steel. There was actually some of the structural steel that was used to hold up the old mail sorting machines at the time. Filled in some of that space because you had, sort of, a deep basement dwelling. And just, you know, created that.

It was, sort of, a great, great example of a
public-private partnership. It is a lease from General Services Administration from the government to work. And it was a great example of being able to, you know, work with governmental entities to bring out the best of an asset that they had, it was, you know, say highly underutilized.

Q What are we looking at here at 120 , sir?
A That would have been the main bar area.
Q Which is off the lobby?
A Correct.
Q And in 121, sir?
A Yeah, the view from literally one of the corridors
going into the rooms of the hotel. You had an enclosed, you know, glass structure you had from the -- that is the lobby down there, so this incredible inside enclosed lobby. And so that's a look down from one of the suites -- or just really that's actually one of the ordinary rooms, just in the hallway in front of that, you had this great scape of what was downstairs.

Q And is there any way to describe what this looked like before the renovations that you did?

A A war zone.
Q Okay.
A Practically. I mean, it was very rough. It was very -- if you walked into it and you saw the outside of the building and even with a little bit of imagination, you would be disappointed that you could let a building that spectacular get that rundown.

Q And what are we looking at here, 122, sir?
A That's the ballroom I mentioned. So that's the, you know, that was actually what we built from scratch to add on to that to create, sort of, a significant amount of banquet space, you know, that the regular building wouldn't have been able to hold to be able to accommodate that food and beverage. And the banquet side of a hotel business is huge. So to be able to do that and create that space and therefore value was a big deal.

Q And 123, sir?

A The front entrance, that's off Pennsylvania Avenue.

That's one of the areas that would have just been a, you know, after work drinks, coffee area. Right out there on the corner of Pennsylvania Avenue.

Q And, sir, what, if any, development projects was the Trump Organization involved with after $2016 ?$

A I mean we -- obviously there is a very big asset management role of all of the properties that we own. That's not a small task. But, you know, under the umbrella of the presidency and everything, honestly, it just wasn't worth dealing with a lot of new development because of the headaches associated with that.

Q And what, if any, had there been any new projects that have been from the, no pun intended, from the ground up that you recall from 2016 to the present?

A There is some licensing deals that have been signed. There are some other deals that we work on, you know, extending. But the primary focus has been maintaining, adding onto, refurbishing the existing assets we have had.

Q From that period forward have there been sales of any of the Trump assets in the last few years or any of the licensing deals or things like that?

A Yes. We sold Ferry Point, the golf course that we
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|  | looked at a little while ago. And we sold the Old Post Office |  | A Yes. |
| 2 | in Washington DC. | 2 | Q You are familiar with the occupancy there at that |
| 3 | Q And what is your view as to the future development 1 | 3 | building? |
| 4 | prospects of the Trump Organization as you sit here today? | 4 | A It has been a little while since I have looked at it, |
| 5 | A Well, I guess a lot of that depends on what happens | 5 | but yes. |
| 6 | in next November. We will probably be put on hold for a little | 6 | Q I think I heard you to say earlier that it is |
| 7 | while, and sued to oblivion for the foreseeable future; but | 7 | performing well, that it is 90 percent occupied. You testified |
| 8 | after that, we will continue to do what we do, which is, you | 8 | to that earlier, right? |
| 9 | know, change sky lines, manage, build, acquire incredible | 9 | A I said the last time I checked it was in and about |
| 10 | assets and create value. | 10 | that range, yes. |
| 11 | MR. ROBERT: Can I have a moment, Your Honor? | 11 | Q Yes. And are you aware that 40 Wall Street is a |
| 12 | THE COURT: Sure. | 12 | securitized loan; it has a securitized loan, right? |
| 13 | MR. ROBERT: I have no further questions at this | 13 | A Generally. |
| 14 | time, Your Honor. Thank you. | 14 | Q Isn't it true that 40 Wall Street was just placed on |
| 15 | THE COURT: Will there be any cross examination? | 15 | a Servicer Watch List? |
| 16 | MS. FAHERTY: No one else from your side has any | 16 | A I don't know that for sure. |
| 17 | questions? | 17 | MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I have a document that |
| 18 | MR. ROBERT: I don't believe so, no. | 18 | I have marked as PX-3380 that I would like to hand up to |
| 19 | MS. FAHERTY: One second, Your Honor. Yes. | 19 | the witness. |
| 20 | One moment, Your Honor, while I get organized. | 20 | (Handing) |
| 21 | Thank you. | 21 | Q I draw your attention to the second page, Mr. Trump. |
| 22 | May I proceed, Your Honor? | 22 | MR. KISE: Your Honor? |
| 23 | THE COURT: Please | 23 | THE COURT: Yes, go ahead. |
| 24 |  | 24 | MR. KISE: I don't think she heard me. |
| 25 |  | 25 | The relevance of this document? |
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| 1 | CROSS-EXAMINATION | 1 | THE COURT: You are going to start questioning |
| 2 | BY MS. FAHERTY: | 2 | the relevance of this? |
| 3 | Q Good afternoon, Mr. Trump. | 3 | MR. KISE: I mean, I just want to note that -- |
| 4 | A Good afternoon. | 4 | THE COURT: I just gave you a whole opening of |
| 5 | MS. FAHERTY: Ashley, can we pull up the | 5 | the relevance. |
| 6 | Defendant's Exhibit that we have been watching | 6 | MR. KISE: Agreed. But the reason this happened |
| 7 | extensively? I think it is exhibit DX-1019. And can we | 7 | is because of your order, not because of anything we did. |
| 8 | turn it to page, I think, 68? | 8 | That's the whole reason this happened. But, okay. I mean |
| 9 | Q Mr. Trump, do you recognize that image? | 9 | if they are going to try and impute this to the company, I |
| 10 | A I believe that's the Trump Golf course in Rancho | 10 | guess that's their prerogative. But the first time any |
| 11 | Palos, California. | 11 | negative action has ever been taken on any loan associated |
| 12 | Q And you gave some testimony earlier about Trump | 12 | with this trial, is as a result of your order and only |
| 13 | National Golf Course in Los Angeles when you were speaking with | 13 | your order. |
| 14 | Mr. Robert earlier, right? | 14 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, if we are going to |
| 15 | A Correct. | 15 | have this kind of substantive discussion, I think the |
| 16 | Q I believe I heard you testify that this course is | 16 | witness should step out. |
| 17 | right on the Pacific Ocean; is that correct? | 17 | MR. KISE: Okay. That's fine. |
| 18 | A Correct. | 18 | THE COURT: Do we need to continue it? I am |
| 19 | Q Didn't the 18th hole at this golf course literally | 19 | obviously going to allow them to use it and question the |
| 20 | fall into the ocean, sir? | 20 | witness. |
| 21 | A Yes. | 21 | MR. KISE: If she is going to question the |
| 22 | MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, before I get to the | 22 | witness you have our objection. |
| 23 | document -- | 23 | THE COURT: All right. Objection noted. |
| 24 | Q Mr. Trump, I believe earlier you were referencing 40 | 24 | Q Drawing your attention to the middle of the second |
| 25 | Wall Street, right? |  | page it states: The mortgage on 40 Wall Street has a |
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| 13.67 percent coupon and matures in July 2025. According to <br> 2 loan documents, the tower's occupancy rate dropped to | 1 Waikiki, Hawaii has said it would rename the property? It is <br> 2 buying itself out of the licensing agreement with the Trump |
| 377 percent as of June 30, from 98 percent when the loan | 3 Organization. |
| 4 originated in 2015. | 4 A It is buying itself for millions of dollars out of the |
| 5 Does that refresh your recollection as to the loan, | 5 management agreement, which is common practice in the hotel |
| 6 the tower's occupancy rate dropping to 77 percent? | 6 |
| 7 A No, as I said, the last time I checked it was in the | 7 Q It is correct that it is ditching the Trump name to get |
| 8 90s. Maybe the -- | 8 out of that branding deal, sir? Yes? |
| $9 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Yes or no, sir? | 9 A If they want to by it out for millions of dollars, I'm |
| 10 A They could be referring to the tower, which could be | 10 okay with that. |
| 11 the upper portion of the building. We refer to that as the | 11 Q Turning your attention to page three of this document. |
| 12 tower. | 12 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 13 Q No specific knowledge, right? | 13 screen.) |
| 14 A No. | 14 Q "Also Friday the owners of the Trump International |
| 15 (The following proceedings were stenographically | 15 Hotel, Waikiki in Hawaii, said it would rename the property |
| 16 recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.) | 16 buying out a licensing agreement with the former President's |
| 17 | 17 company and joining a brand in Hilton Worldwide Holdings, Inc. |
| 18 | 18 system." |
| 19 | 19 Correct, this is a reflection that the hotel is |
| 20 | 20 abandoning the Trump brand to go with Hilton? |
| 21 | 21 A That is what they are doing, I guess. Yes. |
| 22 | 22 MS. FAHERTY: Nothing further, your Honor. Thank |
| 23 | 23 you. |
| 24 | 24 THE COURT: Any other questions? Any redirect? |
| 25 | 25 MR. ROBERT: Not from that, no. |
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| 1 Q Top of the page, "The Financial District Building has | 1 MS. FAHERTY: I appreciate that. |
| 2 been on a watch list status since February as costs and | 2 THE COURT: I'm going to excuse the witness from |
| 3 vacancies increased." | 3 the witness stand, unless somebody has any questions. |
| 4 Does this refresh your recollection? I don't need an | 4 MR. KISE: Your Honor -- |
| 5 explanation. I'm just asking if it refreshes your recollection, | 5 THE COURT: Any other witnesses at this time, |
| 6 sir , that the building has been on a watch list since February? | 6 defense? |
| 7 A It does not. | 7 MR. KISE: Yes. Can we just get five minutes to |
| 8 Q I turn your attention to the first page. | 8 coordinate the witness coming in? |
| 9 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 9 THE COURT: Sure. |
| 10 screen.) | 10 MR. KISE: Thank you. |
| 11 Q "The mortgage on former President Donald Trump's | 11 (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the |
| 12 Manhattan tower at 40 W all Street has been transferred to a | 12 proceedings.) |
| 13 special servicer." | 13 THE COURT: Somebody come up. |
| 14 Refresh your recollection that the loan has now been | 14 (Whereupon, there is a discussion held off the |
| 15 transferred to a special servicer, sir? | 15 record, at the bench, among the Court and Counsel.) |
| 16 A No. | 16 THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. |
| 17 Q Now, you mentioned earlier that you had a point | 17 (Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness |
| 18 position on the Hawaii Waikiki deal, did I hear you testify | 18 stand.) |
| 19 about that earlier today, sir? | 19 THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. |
| 20 A Yes. | 20 (The witness complied.) |
| 21 Q It was so good because you brought the expertise; | 21 THE COURT OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear or affirm |
| 22 right? | 22 that any testimony you'll give will be the truth, the whole |
| 23 A I don't know if that's exactly what I said, but it is a | 23 truth and nothing but the truth? |
| 24 great project, yes. | 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 25 Q Isn't it true that the owner of the Trump Hotel in | 25 S HER I DILLO N, called by and on behalf of the |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and |
| 2 | testified as follows: |
| 3 | THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Thank you. |
| 5 | THE COURT OFFICER: Pless state your name and home |
| 6 | or business address for the record. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: Sheri Dillon, 1111 Pennsylvania |
| 8 | Avenue Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20004. |
| 9 | THE COURT: Welcome back. I feel like I'm at a |
| 10 | reunion here, the Trump trial reunion. |
| 11 | Okay, let's proceed with the direct examination. |
| 12 | MR. FIELDS: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 13 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 14 | BY MR. FIELDS: |
| 15 | Q $\quad$ Good afternoon, Ms. Dillon. |
| 16 | A Good afternoon. |
| 17 | Q If you could briefly just reintroduce yourself to the |
| 18 | Court. I know you testified previously, but just who you are |
| 19 | and what you do? |
| 20 | A I'm a tax lawyer and I provide legal advice to my |
| 21 | clients regarding tax matters. |
| 22 | Q And what law firm are you with right now? |
| 23 | A Morgan Lewis \& Bockius. |
| 24 | Q At one point were you an attorney that represented |
| 25 | President Trump on some of his business entities? |

Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Sheri Dillon, 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20004.

THE COURT: Welcome back. I feel like I'm at a Okay, let's proceed with the direct examination. MR. FIELDS: Thank you, your Honor.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. FIELDS:
Q Good afternoon, Ms. Dillon.
A Good afternoon.
Q If you could briefly just reintroduce yourself to the Court. I know you testified previously, but just who you are and what you do?
A I'm a tax lawyer and I provide legal advice to my clients regarding tax matters.
Q And what law firm are you with right now?
A Morgan Lewis \& Bockius.
Q At one point were you an attorney that represented
President Trump on some of his business entities?
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A Yes.
Q I just want to be clear. I'm not going to ask you to divulge any attorney-client communications. If you feel like my question is perhaps asking that, please think otherwise. And if I want you to divulge any attorney-client communications, I will explicitly ask you to; is that fair?

A Yes.
Q You said you were a tax lawyer. Would you describe yourself as a tax controversy lawyer?

A I spend the majority of my time working on tax controversies, but I spend about 25 percent of my time, more or less, working on tax planning, tax structuring, tax advice, tax counsel, things of that nature.
Q For the uninitiated, would you help me understand what a tax controversy lawyer does?
A A tax controversy lawyer will defend any challenges, or, you know, work with whenever the IRS challenges a tax return. When they conduct an examination, I will represent the client in the examination, in the administrative appeals process, and should we be unfortunate and end up in a dispute that can't be resolved with the agency, I also go to court.
Q What courts would you go to? Would it be tax court or federal district court, state court?
A It could be a tax court. It could be district court. It could also be the court of federal claims, and then, of
course, the appellate courts that go along with that.
Q Now, generally speaking, being a tax controversy lawyer, how does that affect the way you look at an issue in terms of one day the IRS potentially challenging a decision that you and a client made?
A Well, from a controversy perspective, I would always want -- if I'm looking at a transaction, and thinking that it might be challenged or knowing that a particular taxpayer is routinely challenged, many of our large corporations are under continuous audit, as well as a lot of global high wealth taxpayers and that sort, knowing that everything is going to be looked at on a tax return, when you are doing a transaction, you make sure that you maintain all the files, you keep all the things that are necessary, all your transaction documents. You try to put things in one place. You try to make sure you keep good records so you could remember what happened and you could explain it, and you know who was involved, things of that sort.

So you just keep your -- keeping everything ready and making sure that, you know, you understood not just the tax code and regulations, but you keep up on the case law to see -- tax law is ever evolving, changing as every new tax case comes out. There is usually a new little twist to something, so make sure you are keeping all those changes in mind as you are advising a client.
Q Would it be fair to say that you are familiar with
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## conservation easements?

A Yes, I am.
Q Generally, speaking what is a conservation easement?
A A "conservation easement" is basically a negative covenant. It happens when someone owns property and they agree not to do something. And it might be not to exercise economic rights. It might be if it's a facade - well, a conservation easement can be to conserve a facade on a building, the historic nature of that.

Conservation easement is often and most certainly used to preserve open space, public space, sometimes natural habitats protecting if there is a bald eagle that shows up on a property, it can be, "I promise I have this property." This property could be, you know, used for a lot of different things, but I'm going to promise not to do things in order to preserve whatever conservation purpose you are looking at, whether it's open space, whether it's a natural habitat. So I'm going to give up all of my rights, or certain of those rights that I enumerate, I'm going to give these things up.

And the way that you do it with a conservation easement is you put them in a deed and actually you deed this to a land trust, and you deed the rights and the right to enforce a conservation easement in this conveyance that is a deed that's recorded, um, wherever the property is located. And it promises not to do whatever you've promised not to do. It enumerates
your rights. It enumerates the rights of the land trust, and generally provides the rights that pay land trusts. If I violate this, if I told you I'm never going to build in $X$ area and I go build a house or build an office building, the land trust has the right to then enforce the easement that you've granted, enforce those covenants. And the land owner has to also pay for that enforcement.

You also agree that if I'm found to be guilty of violating this easement and you had to sue me, I get the pleasure of paying for that as well.

So that's the gist of it. And there's a lot of things, requirements that go with it in order to enforce the easement.

Q And would it be fair to say, from the depth of your answer, that part of your practice is the guidance or assistance helping clients through the process of deciding whether to place a conservation easement?

A That would be the bulk of it, as well as, because I am a tax lawyer, that clients are also looking for legal advice, so that the easement, congress has provided incentives for those who put the easements on, and one of those is a tax deduction equal to the value of the easement. And it's making sure that they cannot just satisfy the recording of the easement. That's part, the recording of the deed and the granting of the rights, but also making sure that the project will tax qualify for the deduction.
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Q And so would it be fair, then, to say that the value that -- you said the value of the easement, congress provides for a tax deduction for that amount; is that your testimony?

A Congress has allowed, assuming you meet all the eligibility, a tax deduction for the value of the easement, but the -- it depends on the benefit of how much of that deduction you would be able to take, but there is a general rule, yes.

There is a tax incentive, under the tax code, that if you donate a conservation easement and you satisfy the Internal Revenue Code, as well as the regulations and all the IRS guidance, that you would be entitled to claim a tax deduction for it.

Q And in most instances, is it true that the higher the value of the easement, the greater the tax deduction for the taxpayer?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection, your Honor. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q All right. Assume, Ms. Dillon, for a moment that an easement is worth, let's say $\$ 25$ million, would the benefit to the taxpayer, if the easement was $\$ 50$ million, be greater or less, in terms of the deduction?
A A $\$ 50$ deduction would be a higher benefit than a $\$ 25$ deduction, assuming, again, that the taxpayer can use it. Q Sure.

So when a client comes to you thinking about donating a
conservation easement, what are some of the first steps that you take in order to provide that guidance to a client?
A Some of the first steps would be sitting down and talking to the client to understand what their objective is, what they are trying to achieve, making sure that they understand that if they give away the easement and the rights that they give away, it's in perpetuity. So it doesn't just affect their rights, but the rights run with the land.

So if that land is, you know, later on, if it's part of an inheritance, part of an estate, whoever owns that land, or if someone buys that land, they will similarly be burdened by that easement.

So one of the things is to have them think very carefully about what kind of rights are you willing to give up? How large is it your property? What uses do you foresee in the future? You know, do you have kids? Do you have grandkids? Is this, you know, a 200-acre family farm? What is it you want to do?

So working through the client -- with the client explaining all the legal ramifications, you know, again, the perpetuity, that a deduction, you know, may or may not be beneficial to you; that's something we would look at. Is it the right time? So it's what to give away, when to give it away. So that would be the initial steps.

And then after that it would be sort of if the client
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decides to go forward, doing a site visit. It would be, coming to have a better understanding of the property, um, looking at the -- figuring out the other sorts of experts that need to be assembled, um, anyone from engineers, architects, tax returns -those who have knowledge of the tax return and the tax positions, um, appraisers, those sorts of folks. And getting the team together to start exploring the viability of the conservation easement and what it would need.

Q And why is it important to retain an appraiser?
A If a client is seeking a tax deduction, then you have to have a qualified appraisal, that appraisal that tells you the value of the deduction that you could take.
Q You mentioned a few moments ago some of the - I think you mentioned regulations, generally, that affect this area of the law, being conservation easements.

Are you familiar -- I assume you are. Are you familiar with some of the Internal Revenue Code provisions and applicable Treasury Regulations that apply in the conservation easement context?
A Yes.
Q And just very generally speaking, for somebody who is not a tax lawyer, how do these provisions of law affect the process?
A The -- well, there's section 170 of the code, that's what generally -- a portion of section 170 provides for a
charitable contribution for partial interest and property that qualify as -- for conservation easement purposes. And it defines what are the purposes of a conservation easement.

So there's a whole series of regulations that tells you what is -- what constitutes a qualified conservation purpose. And there's a whole series of regulations about what kinds of appraisers - if you are going to do this, there is regulations that tell you how the deed needs to be -- articulate things. It has to be in perpetuity, and what perpetuity means.

There's a series of regulations about making sure you document the environmental characteristics, and the state and condition of the property at the time it's contributed. So there's a whole series of regulations about that.

And there's a whole series of regulations about qualified appraisers and qualified appraisals, and all the things it takes to be a qualified appraiser and what it takes to have a qualified appraisal.
Q All right. I would like to talk to you for a few moments about conservation easement appraisals that you were just mentioning.

Do conservation easement appraisals consider the concept of hypothetical buyers and hypothetical sellers?

A The standard that is required in a -- to be a qualified appraisal tells you that you look at the fair market value and the standards that they apply. Are a willing a buyer and a
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willing seller under no compulsion to buy or sell, and then that is articulated to mean that you look at a hypothetical buyer and a hypothetical seller.

Q And do you have an understanding what that means, the hypothetical buyer and hypothetical seller?

A The hypothetical buyer and hypothetical seller would be referring to your standard person, every man. So it means that you can't take into account special characteristics of either the buyer or the seller. You can't take into account if a seller has special marketing skills, or if the seller is someone famous.

Let's say, you know, Taylor Swift gives a charitable donation and it's of a piece of property. It can't be considered, whether it's a property that Taylor Swift owns making it super valuable, instead it would be it has to be considered just like if Sheri Dillon owned it, if that's helpful as to what it means. To not take into account not that Taylor Swift would necessarily generate more money, but one might guess.

THE COURT: Every case has to have Taylor Swift in it somehow.
Q So in a sense, the appraisal is considering like a John Doe?
A That might be a John Doe. It's a -- it has to be a plain vanilla every day seller, a plain vanilla every day buyer,

| 1 |
| :--- |
| 3 |
| 5 |
| 6 |

not the apparent characteristics whoever a seller might be or a buyer might be.

MR. FIELDS: If we could show the witness what has been admitted as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1465.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q Ms. Dillon, I'm going to give you a minute to review that, but just to draw your attention, the area I'm going to ask you about, on the second page of the exhibit there is an e-mail from you to Mr. David McArdle. That's what Ill focus on, but I'll give you a minute to review.
A Yes.
Q On the second page of this exhibit you see that you wrote an e-mail to Mr. McArdle on October 16th of 2013?
A Yes.
Q And at the beginning of the paragraph you say "I spoke to Eric and he is aware that the more supportable value at this point is around $\$ 45$ million." And you continue, "I explained that certain of the appraisal standards for IRS purposes are different than those that might be used in valuing an asset held by a particular person." And you continue, "I was trying to convey that an asset in the hands of the Trump family would yield substantially more income and therefore appraise at a higher value than the same asset in the hands of a hypothetical
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## buyer."

Why did you convey this to David McArdle? MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we'll object to this. If you recall, there was a back and forth about limiting my questions with respect to this specific e-mail and these paragraphs as to whether what she wrote was accurate or not. And when I asked about any information behind it, they objected on privilege grounds and said the witness should not answer that. And my questions were limited to only the truth and accuracy of what was there with respect to the conversation with her.

So they can't use it as a shield when we are questioning the witness, and then a sword when they want to question her.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, he can certainly ask today. I mean, I don't recall that Mr. Solomon -- I don't recall what Mr. Solomon is saying is accurate. I have to go back to the transcript. But even assuming that it is, if he wants to ask today, the witness is here. He's certainly free to ask the question. I don't see that there is any prejudice of any kind.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, there is most certainly --

MR. KISE: No one is waving privilege.
MR. SOLOMON: For this witness to talk about the

1 conversations she had, the reason behind and her legal advice, if you are saying is not waiving privilege, that would be new to me. But they can't just waive it now. They can't do this.

Now there most certainly is privilege when we couldn't ask, and I would also tell your Honor that earlier in this case, before we got to trial, we specifically asked them if they were relying on advice of counsel defense, because they indicated they were relying on the advice of professionals and others.

There was a meet and confer. They told us they were not. We sent an e-mail to them confirming that, and I have it in my files if anyone has a question. I could pull it up in a minute or two if there is any dispute, but we sent this e-mail confirming they were not relying on advice of counsel defense. They did not respond to it. They did not challenge it, so therefore their silence is acquiescence on that point.

MR. KISE: I don't -- you are done?
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
MR. KISE: Okay. I don't think that this is advice of counsel line of questioning. I don't think that's where this is going. I mean, I could consult with Mr. Fields, but I don't believe that what we are talking about here is any advice of counsel, so that's not at issue, not to be
for this witness to divulge privileged information at all, and I don't think we limited them on any basis other than privilege.

MR. SOLOMON: First, Im happy to hear they are not trying to induce the advice of counsel defense at this late date; that's off the table. I appreciate that confirmation. Thank you, Counsel.

Second, Mr. Field instructed the witness not to waive privilege unless he asked her to. So there was a qualifier in that instruction. I just want to make sure that's clear for the record.

And then third, you did, in fact, instruct this witness not to answer questions that went to both attorney-client privilege and attorney work product. If your Honor recalls, there was a long discussion when I was at the podium about the difference between privilege and work product, attorney-client privilege and attorney work product. And I believe their objection was, in fact, sustained. And in any event, if he is asking what this witness's understanding was, background was forgiving this advice, that's classic work product, as was used as a shield to my questions.

MR. KISE: I don't think that the work product was used to shield the question. This isn't even a communication between anyone that's in the privilege loop.

1 pejorative. We are not setting up any advice of counsel issue.

THE COURT: I'm more concerned with Mr. Solomon's other main point, which is if you didn't let him question about it, you can't just say, oh, well now we'll waive it.

MR. KISE: No, no your Honor. I don't think we let him question about attorney-client privilege. That's correct, but I don't think we are going to ask any questions that go to the privilege.

And to the extent there was -- I was out momentarily. To the extent there was a question that might call for that, I think Mr. Fields said, up front, that he wasn't asking the witness to convey any privilege, and if the question needs to be reframed -- I don't know what it was. Again, I apologize. I was dealing with other issues, but there's no intention here to waive the privilege. The objections that we made before would have been based on privilege and only on privilege, so we are not using it as a shield or -- and a sword. We are not going there at all. I don't think, from what I recall, just looking at this document, that that's the purpose of this line of questioning. And Mr. Fields is shaking his head that I'm right.

So let's ask the question, and if there's some issue, we'll take it up, but I don't believe we are calling
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And as Mr. Solomon did, as I recall Mr. Solomon did, we are just asking about the words that are there in the document, which I'm pretty sure your Honor let them ask about, like what's in there? And what do those words mean? I don't think that's -- I don't think that falls within the ambit of work product, what's in this e-mail of communication to Mr. McArdle and copied to Mr. Leonard. I don't think that's privilege or work product there.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we could go back. I'm not saying that it's privilege or -- I'm saying that they objected when we asked, not what was in this e-mail, but behind it. And I believe we could go back to the question. I believe the question was her reasons for making that assertion in those first two sentences.

If the question is, did she say that, we have no objection. It's in black and white on the screen.

MR. FIELDS: I'll withdraw the question.
MR. KISE: Maybe it's the question. Again, I missed the question. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: After all that, withdrawn.
MR. FIELDS: I'll withdraw the question.
Q Okay. And I want to make something abundantly clear, Ms. Dillon. If I want you to disclose an attorney client communication, I will say, "Ms. Dillon, please disclose an attorney-client communication." Is that understood?

A Yes. Thank you.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: I never heard that before.
MR. ROBERT: And you won't hear it.
MR. FIELDS: And I could assure you you won't hear
it.
Q I'm just going to draw your attention to the second sentence. You write, "I was trying to convey that an asset in the hands of the Trump family would yield substantially more income, and therefore appraise at a higher value than the same asset in the hands of a hypothetical buyer."

And my question is: We were just discussing the hypothetical buyer, hypothetical seller concept. Do you recall that discussion a few minutes ago?

A Yes.
Q This sentence here, would this be consistent with the hypothetical buyer -- hypothetical buyer, hypothetical seller concept?

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we are going to object. Is this witness now being portrayed as an appraisal expert? She was talking about the appraisal standards, and I believe she said -- she prefaced it by explaining "it has all been explained to me" is how she prefaced her answer about the hypothetical buyer and hypothetical seller.

We let it go because we wanted to move on, but now
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1
he is getting into specifics in the document. This witness is not an expert on appraisals. She can't discuss what might be considered and what might fall within the appraisals standards under USPAP.

THE COURT: I think the question was, is this what you were referring to when you talked about hypothetical buyer and seller.

MR. SOLOMON: That was my point. She said that I've been - "it has been explained to me", was her words. So it wasn't what she was talking about. She was referring to what was explained to her. Whether this fits into what has been explained to her by professionals that are subject to USPAP, or Cushman \& Wakefield, or Newmark, or any other appraisal firm, is different than saying this falls into what they were referring to. For her to just say what she understood it to be is one thing. It's totally different for her to say whether this falls into that.

THE COURT: Does this really matter?
MR. FIELDS: No.
THE COURT: I mean, that's bottom line for me. I don't know what we are -- the angel is on the pinhead and all.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I do think the value on the appraisals matter with respect to providing notice. When we come in and we try and put in appraisals and the conservation easement over?

A I'm not sure I understood your question. Can we try that again?

Q Sure.
So a property owner who is looking to place a conservation easement over their property, is it common, in your experience, that those property owners want to have an idea of what the value of that easement would be before they go forward with full throttle, placing the easement?

## A Yes.

MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: I think it also calls for an expert opinion somewhat. Is it common? I don't know what "common" means.
Q Your Honor, in her experience, Ms. Dillon, the clients that you have guided through the conservation easement process, do they generally want to have an idea of what the value of that easement is going to be before they place the easement?

A In my experience, every client that I've advised has sought a preliminary valuation before making a decision of whether to go forward when they are first exploring the possibility of donating a conservation easement.

Q And so is it then common, also, for clients to start and stop the process along the way before determining to place

Q All right. Are you familiar, generally, with some of the term assumptions that can be made in some of these conservation easement appraisals?

A I have seen assumptions made in conservation -- in appraisals of conservation easements, yes.

Q Generally what are some of those assumptions?
A There would be a variety of assumptions in trying to figure out what the highest and best use of the property is. There would be: Looking at things and trying to figure out what is financially feasible; you know, making assumptions about costs; making assumptions about the economy; making assumptions about inflation; making assumptions about demographics; making assumptions about if something is physically possible; making assumptions about certain features of the property, the real property; legally permissible; there will be assumptions about zoning; permitting how long things will take; things of that nature. A variety of assumptions are made in the appraiser's judgments.

Q And you said "legally permissible," is that a standard that is applied?

A Yes, under the guidance that is provided. The appraisers, they are doing a before-and-after methodology. And they are trying to determine the highest and best use of a property as if it were developed. What they have do is the highest and best use. It is laid out in use path, as well as
other standards, and as well as standards accepted by the courts that they have to look at: Whether it is physically possible; financially feasible; legally permissible. And therefore determine what is the maximally productive value if the property is put to its highest and best use.

Q All right. If we could pull up Plaintiffs 1412 that has been admitted into evidence.

I am going to shift gears, Ms. Dillon, and talk to you for a minute about Trump Golf Club, Los Angeles. I'll give you a minute to review it.
(Handing)
THE COURT: Five minute warning.
A Yes, I have taken a look at it.
Q Okay. If you could go to the third page, please.
Toward the bottom there is an e-mail from Allen
Weisselberg to a Joshua Seidner on November 14, 2012. Can you see that?
A Yes.
Q And the subject line is TIGC LA. I think we had some testimony about that earlier in this trial. But do you generally understand that to be the Trump National Golf Club LA or Trump International Golf Club LA?

A Yes, I understand it to be the golf course that is located near LA.

Q Okay. And Mr. Weisselberg copied you and Mr. Bender
on this e-mail; is that right?
A That's correct.
Q And do you have an understanding of who Donald Bender is?

A Yes.
Q Who is he?
A He was a part of the team from Mazars that did the financial auditing and prepared the tax returns and that sort of thing; outside accounting work for the Trump Organization.

Q And it appears as if Mr. Weisselberg is communicating with Mr. Seidner and copying both of you regarding a conservation easement project at the golf course in Los Angeles; is that fair?

A I am sorry, could you repeat that?
Q Sure. I am just asking: It seems like
Mr. Weisselberg is communicating with Mr. Seidner, while copying you and Mr. Bender, about a conservation easement project at the golf course in Los Angeles?

A Yes. Yes, he is.
Q If you could then go to the first page of this exhibit toward the bottom. This is now an e-mail on November 16, 2012, where you are writing to Mr. Seidner, Mr. Weisselberg and you have copied Mr. Trump Jr., Donald Bender, Andrew Weiss, Jill Martin and Bob Leonard; is that right?

A Yes, I recognize it as an e-mail. I am sure I sent it, yes.

Q Does it appear to be an e-mail or at least an e-mail chain where you sent e-mails to several folks involving Jill Martin and Eric Trump, Donald Bender, Jason Greenblatt?

## A Yes.

Q From January 5 of 2015?
A That is the -- well, it looks like both e-mails are that same day, yes.

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, move to admit
Defendant's 1017.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1017 by the Court.)
Q If I could focus you toward the bottom, Ms. Dillon, on January 5 of 2015, you wrote to Ms. Martin and Eric Trump and you copied Allen Weisselberg, Jason Greenblatt, Donald Bender and Samuel Guthrie. And you write: Please find attached for your records an electronic closing binder of the documents
executed in connection with conveying the conservation easement to the Palos Verdes Peninsula Land Conservancy. This is still referring to the golf course in Los Angeles; is that fair?

A Yes. The subject matter is TNGC LA conservation easement closing binder.

Q What is an electronic closing binder?
A In this case it meant I was sending them documents that normally the old fashioned way, before e-mail became so prevalent, when you finished a transaction you would have a closing binder that would physically be a binder, a bound set of the transaction documents you would provide your client.

By 2015 it looks like we were in the mode of not sending the physical hard copies but instead electronically providing what would be a closing binder of the documents that were executed in order -- so that they would have all of the final closing, the executed closing documents in one place.

Q And final question before the break. Why would you have been copying Mr. Bender on this e-mail with the electronic closing binder?

A Well, Mr. Bender would have needed to have been aware of the conservation easement throughout the process. And this was just one more place in the process I would make Mr. Bender aware that we had actually, in fact, completed the donation of the easement.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. 14-minute break.

See you all at five minutes to 4:00.
THE COURT: I direct the witness not to discuss this case or testimony during the break.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
THE COURT: Witness. She is on her way. COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.)
MR. FIELDS: May I proceed, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes, please.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you.
Q Ms. Dillon we are going to shift gears and discuss a property known as Briarcliff. Did you have some involvement in the consideration of a conservation easement at Trump Briarcliff?

A Yes.
Q All right. We are going to ask to put document Plaintiffs 3261 in front of you.
(Handing)
A Yes.
Q Okay. Does this appear to be an e-mail that you sent to Eric Trump on June 11 of 2014?

A Yes, it is.
Q And the subject is Briarcliff?
A Yes, it is.
Q And in the - there are two what I'll call, tables,
what has not been admitted yet as Defense 1020 . (Handing)
Q Do you recognize this, Ms. Dillon?
A Yes.
Q What does it appear to be?
A It is an e-mail from me to Dave McArdle talking again about the reduction in condominium size question and what that means, and what is the offering plan.

Q And this is June 11 of 2014?
A Yes, it is.
Q And David McArdle, was that someone add Kushman \& Wakefield that you worked with for conservation easement appraisals?

A Yes. He was the person working on the Briarcliff appraisal that we were talking about.

MR. FIELDS: Okay. Okay I move to admit Defense 1020.

THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1020 by the Court.)
Q Ms. Dillon, you wrote to Mr. McArdle, I understand that while TBMC LLC -- let me stop you there.

Did you understand that the LLC you are referring to here to be the entity that owned the Trump Briarcliff property?

A It either owned it or managed it, but yes. It was either the owner or manager. I can't recall sitting here today.

## Q That's okay.

And you continued: TBMC LLC determined not to create an 87-unit condominium initially and instead filed the condominium as a 47 -unit condo. ( 16 townhomes and 31 units), that has nothing to do with the site being fully entitled and zoned to develop the 87 units. To develop the remaining 40 units, it would simply be a matter of filing a new offering plan with the State of New York for a 40-unit condominium, which would be granted as a matter of right because the parcel was already zoned.

Does this e-mail -- well first of all, let me ask you. Were you shown this e-mail by Mr. Solomon when he asked you questions last time you were here?

A No.
Q Does this e-mail refresh your recollection as to the number of units that could be developed at Trump Briarcliff?

A It refreshes my recollection that they retained all of the entitlements, that they just changed the offering plan.

Q So how many units could Trump Briarcliff develop if it wanted to?

A It had the right to do 87.
MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Calls for a legal

## conclusion.

MR. FIELDS: Judge --
THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.
MR. FIELDS: As you may recall, Ms. Dillon was here a couple of weeks ago and Mr. Solomon ad nauseam was asking her about the number of units, the number of units. I have now showed the witness a document that better explains the number of units that ultimately went into the consideration of the appraisal. And the objection is it is a legal conclusion. It is disingenuous. She is a tax lawyer that worked on these appraisals, that worked on the consideration of the donation of the easement.

MR. SOLOMON: It is not disingenuous. My questions went to what they had a right to do and what was said to the appraiser and the information that then resulted in the appraisal or draft appraisal, since it may or may not have been done.

This point is different. He is asking for a legal conclusion as to what she can do under New York Condominium Law. Which, if I recall, the witness is admitted in DC and Georgia. She never said she was admitted in New York. I don't believe she has ever practiced, and she can tell me if I am wrong, before the New York Department of Law or the Attorney General's Office submitting offering plans or amendments or claiming

|  | illon - by Defendant - Direct(Fields) Page 4152 | Dillon - by Defendant - Direct(Fields) Page 4154 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 that it is a matter of right to have an amendment or a new | 1 (Handing) |
|  | 2 offering plan accepted for filing. | 2 A Yes, I am ther |
|  | 3 That obviously will be a subject for cross | $3 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Okay. Do you understand this to be a draft appraisal |
|  | 4 examination. But the witness is now being asked for a | 4 for the Trump Briarcliff property? |
|  | 5 legal conclusion in an area in which she doesn't practice. | 5 A Yes, I do. |
|  | 6 MR. FIELDS: I think her hourly rate is probably | 6 Q And on page six, the top paragraph, second sentence |
|  | 7 too high to practice in that area, but nevertheless be | 7 under the heading: General Description. |
|  | 8 that as it may, 1 had | 8 The development site is entitled to allow the |
|  | 9 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, he doesn't need to | 9 construction of 71 luxury housing units contained within two |
|  | 0 insult anyone. | 10 adjacent low rise structures. |
| 11 | 1 THE COURT: I didn't catch what he said. | 11 Is it your understanding that this 71 luxury housing |
| 12 | 2 MR. SOLOMON: He said her hourly rate is too | 12 units discussed in this draft appraisal, is the same 71 luxury |
|  | 3 high to practice in that area, which is a sleight to many | 13 housing units that we just discussed a few moments ago? |
| 14 | 4 New York lawyers who do in fact practice before the New | 14 A Yes, those would be the same 71 units. |
|  | 5 York Attorney General's office. Sorry I don't meet your | 15 Q All right? |
|  | 6 standard | 16 MR. FIELDS: All right. If we can, please, pull |
| 17 | 7 MR. KISE: Are we defending everyone in the | 17 up Defendant's 1015 |
|  | 8 courtroom now? | 18 (Handing) |
| 19 | 9 MR. SOLOMON: We are defending when there are | $19 \quad$ Q Do you recognize this? |
|  | 0 sleights. Unnecessary. | 20 A Yes. |
| 21 | 1 MR. KISE: Mr. Solomon is unusually exercised | $21 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Does it appear to be an e-mail chain where you sent a |
|  | 2 today. I am not sure why, Judge, but he is very upset. | 22 couple e-mails on this e-mail chain from approximately 2014 ? |
| 23 | 3 Can we just move on? | 23 A Yes. It starts off as an e-mail from myself to |
| 24 | 4 THE COURT: Just give me a moment | 24 Mr. McConney, copying Mr. Bender. |
|  | 5 Could you rephrase the question to be just what | 25 MR. FIELDS: Move to admit Defense 1015. |
|  | illon - by Defendant - Direct(Fields) Page 4153 | Dillon - by Defendant - Direct(Fields) Page 4155 |
|  | your understanding was? That I will allow | 1 THE COURT: Granted. It is in. |
|  | 2 MR. FIELDS: Sure | 2 Q I would just like to direct your attention to the top |
|  | 3 Q Ms. Dillon, on the second sentence here, the third | 3 of page one. |
|  | 4 line from the bottom you wrote: To develop the remaining 40 | 4 (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed |
|  | 5 units, it would simply be a matter of filing a new offering | 5 marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1015 by |
|  | 6 plan with the State of New York for a 40-unit condominium, | 6 the Court.) |
|  | 7 which would be granted as a matter of right because the parcel | $7 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ I would just like to direct your attention to the |
|  | 8 was already zoned. | 8 top of page one. Is this an e-mail from you to Mr. McConney |
|  | 9 So is it -- is my understanding correct that the | 9 copying Donald Bender on June 30 of 2014? |
|  | 0 parcel was already zoned for 87 units? | 10 A Yes. |
|  | 1 A Yes. It was zoned for 87 units, sixteen of which had | 11 Q And the subject line says: Two quick Friday |
|  | 2 already been built. And -- | 12 afternoon questions. |
|  | 3 THE COURT: Wait. You already answered the | 13 A Yes. |
|  | 4 question. | 14 Q And there -- it seems to be there is something |
|  | 5 THE WITNESS: Okay | 15 attached, Briarcliff engagement letter.PDF? |
| 16 | 6 Q So 16 units had already been built. It was zoned for | 16 A Yes. |
|  | 787 units. I believe that comes out to 71 remaining units that | 17 Q And if you return then just to the second and |
|  | 8 have not been sold; is that fair? | 18 subsequent pages of this attachment, does that appear to be the |
|  | $19 \quad \text { A Yes. }$ | 19 Briarcliff engagement letter that you would have attached to |
|  | 0 Q Okay. So the parcel would have been zoned then for | 20 this e-mail? |
|  | 21 the remaining 71 units at this time; is that fair? | 21 A That's what it appears to be. |
| 22 | 2 A That was my understanding, yes. | 22 Q Okay. Why would you have sent this engagement letter |
| 23 | 3 MR. FIELDS: If we could then pull up | 23 to Mr. McConney and copied Donald Bender? |
|  | 4 Plaintiff's 3194? It has been admitted into evidence. | 24 A I wanted to let them know that we were continuing the |
|  | 5 And if we could go to page six. | 25 exploratory work on a conservation easement on Briarcliff. And |

Mr. McConney would need it because it looks like I wanted him to send payment out to Cushman $\&$ Wakefield.

And Mr. Bender would need it so that he could be kept in the loop that we were pursuing a conservation easement.
$Q$ Why would it be important to keep Mr. Bender in the loop that you were still considering a conservation easement?

A Mr. Bender was responsible for the tax returns, preparing the returns. And we would be, around this time, watching the revenues, expenses, and, you know, basically the overall income position in order to turn up -- predict the tax positions by the end of the year.

We wouldn't -- one of the considerations, as we talked about earlier, is whether or not it is the right time to do a conservation easement, if one of your objectives was to receive a tax deduction for the conservation easement. And while you would still be able to receive the -- I guess, receive the deduction, whether you could make use of it or not would depend on where you were income wise in a particular year.

And if you don't -- while you can carry forward a tax deduction for five years, you don't normally plan to take one or plan -- plan for a deduction in a year you are not going to be able to do it. So we needed Mr. Bender's input because he was the one most familiar with where the tax position stood, since he was doing the financial accounting and other work

Q That paragraph, the first sentence, states: Trump Briarcliff Manor Development LLC owns a parcel of land in Briarcliff Manor, New York. The parcel over which it has placed a conservation easement and donated it to (XXX). Is it -- do you have an understanding of why there would be a "XXX" at the end of that sentence?

A Yes. It appears the sentence is contemplating the donation will occur, but it hasn't occurred yet or they would known who it went to. So a donation hadn't yet been made.

Q And also there was some discussion with Mr. Solomon last time you were here about the past tense of this sentence. Is that generally because once the appraisal is final the easement has been placed by them?

A Yes. Typically the appraisal comes after the do -the final appraisal comes after the donation has been made. And so it is in contemplation that that would be what you would want in the final appraisal.

Q All right. Let's shift gears and talk about Seven Springs for a minute.

MR. FIELDS: If we could show the witness

## Defense 1016?

(Handing)
A All right.
Q All right. Does this appear to be an e-mail -- let me just ask you, what does this appear to be?
throughout the year. And worked with, you know, estimated tax payments and things throughout the year. So he would the person in the best position to know if it looked like there was going to be enough taxable income that you would be able to make use of the taxable deduction.

So, just making sure that everyone was mindful to keep paying attention to that. So that if it ever looked like the income situation would not -- would not allow for any use of the tax deduction, we would know at least that would be part of the decision to postpone or continue.

MR. FIELDS: All right. If we can show the witness what has already been admitted into evidence as Plaintiffs 3217.

We are still on Trump Briarcliff. (Handing)
Q I would like to focus you on page three, but take your time looking at it.

A Okay.
Q All right. On the middle of page three with the paragraph beginning: Trump Briarcliff Manor Development LLC?

A Yes.
Q And again, is this your understanding that this is a draft of an appraisal for Trump Briarcliff Manor Development LLC?

A Yes, it is.
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A It appears to be, ultimately, an e-mail from me to Nick Zemil, copying Donald Bender, attaching the final appraisal for Seven Springs and asked -- me asking Nick to confirm if this is -- that I had the right appraisal to attach, that indeed I had the final appraisal.

Q Okay.
A So to attach -- to send to Mr. Bender.
Q And does it appear that you attached an appraisal of Seven Springs dated as of December 1, 2015?

A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: Okay. I move to admit Defense 1016 and the appraisal for notice, Your Honor. THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1016 by the Court.)
Q All right. Ms. Bend -- I am sorry. Ms. Dillon, why does it appear or why did you copy Mr. Bender on this e-mail where you sent this appraisal of Seven Springs?

A I was -- because Mr. Bender needed to attach the appraisal to the tax returns. So I needed to make -- I needed to make sure he had the right appraisal, you know, that I had grabbed the right one, since there were some stops and starts to these projects. I wanted to make sure I had the right document so that he would have the right information to, for

| Dillon - by Defendant - Direct(Fields) Page 4160 | S. Dillon - Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields) Page 4162 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 one, extrapolate information to report on the tax return; and | 1 actions were similar. |
| 2 do his tax calculations. And then to attach the appraisal to | 2 MR. FIELDS: May I have a moment, your Honor. |
| 3 the tax return. | 3 THE COURT: Sure. |
| 4 Q Ms. Dillon, did you work with Mr. Trump sporadically | 4 (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the |
| 5 throughout the process of the consideration of the various | 5 testimony.) |
| 6 conservation easement | 6 MR. FIELDS: I move to admit defense 1017 if it |
| 7 THE COURT: Which Mr. Trump? | 7 hasn't been moved. |
| 8 MR. FIELDS: That's a great question, Your | 8 MR. SOLOMON: I'm sorty, I didn't hear which |
| 9 Honor. | 9 exhibit. |
| 10 Q Mr. Eric Trump. | 10 MS. HABBA: It's 1017. |
| 11 A Yes, I worked with Mr. Trump from time to time. | 11 MR. FIELDS: I don't think I showed 1017. One |
| 12 (The following proceedings were stenographically | 12 second. |
| 13 recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.) | 13 MR. SOLOMON: Sure. Of course. |
| 14 | 14 (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the |
| 15 | 15 testimony.) |
| 16 | 16 MR. FIELDS: Move to admit defense 1017, your |
| 17 | 17 Honor. |
| 18 | 18 THE COURT: Which number? |
| 19 | 19 MR. FIELDS: I'm sorry -- |
| 20 | 20 MS. HABBA: 1017. |
| 21 | 21 MR. FIELDS: -- 1017. |
| 22 | 22 THE COURT: Granted. It's in evidence. |
| 23 | 23 (Defendant's Exhibit 1017 was deemed marked and |
| 24 | 24 admitted in evidence.) |
| 25 | 25 MR. FIELDS: No further questions. Thank you |
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| 1 Q What was your practice in terms of when you needed to | 1 Ms. Dillon. |
| 2 communicate something to Mr. Eric Trump, what was your practice? | 2 THE COURT: We have nine minutes or so. Okay, |
| 3 A To pick up the phone. To call him. | 3 cross examination by Mr. Solomon. |
| $4 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Why is that? | 4 MR. SOLOMON: Can you hear me, your Honor? Is it |
| 5 A Because similar to many of my other executive clients, | 5 coming through? |
| 6 while he was involved, he was not day-to-day involved, and he | 6 THE COURT: I can hear, but talk loud. |
| 7 would be -- I just sent him an e-mail, it was sent to a | 7 CROSS EXAMINATION |
| 8 collection of people. I didn't know if he would read it or not, | 8 BY MR. SOLOMON: |
| 9 but if I picked up the phone and talked to him, I would know | 9 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Dillon. I just have a few quick |
| 10 that he -- we talked and that he knew what he needed to do. And | 10 questions. |
| 11 if I needed an answer to something, I would get an answer. | 11 Are you admitted to practice law in New York? |
| 12 Q In terms of your actions, professional actions that you | 12 A No, I'm not. |
| 13 took as a lawyer with regard to your representation of | 13 Q Have you ever been admitted to practice law in |
| 14 Mr. Donald Trump and his businesses, did you take any actions | 14 New York? |
| 15 with Mr. Trump or his businesses that you wouldn't have taken | 15 A No, I have not. |
| 16 with any of your other clients? | 16 Q Have you ever practiced before the New York Department |
| 17 let me ask it a better way. Were your actions | 17 of Law? |
| 18 consistent, in terms of the way that you approached matters in | 18 A I'm not sure what you mean by that. |
| 19 these conservation easements and appraisals, that you would have | 19 Q Sure. The New York Bar of Law is a portion of the |
| 20 done with other clients? | 20 New York Attorney General's Office? |
| 21 A Everything I did in my -- what I did in my | 21 A What do you mean by "practice before"? |
| 22 representation of Mr. Trump and the Trump entities was | 22 Q Have you ever submitted an offering plan or an |
| 23 consistent with all of my other clients, other than once he | 23 amendment to the New York Attorney General's Office? |
| 24 became elected President, um, additional security measures were | 24 A No, I have not. |
| 25 put around his safeguarding of his materials, but otherwise the | 25 Q Are you familiar with the process of submitting |


|  | Dillon - Defense - Cross (Mr. Solomon) Page 4164 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | offering plans or amendments to the New York Attorney General's Office? |
|  | A At a very, very high level, but otherwise, no. |
| 4 | Q As you sit here today, do you know if a sponsor has a |
|  | right to have an offering plan accepted for filing merely |
|  | because the planned development meets zoning requirements? |
| 7 | A Could I have that read back, please. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Read it back, please. |
| 9 | (Whereupon, the requested question was read back by |
|  | the court reporter.) |
| 11 | A No, I do not. |
| 12 | MR. SOLOMON: Nothing further, your Honor. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Any redirect? No. All right. I guess |
| 14 | the witness is excused. Thank you. |
| 15 | (Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the |
| 16 | witness stand.) |
| 17 | THE COURT: And let's talk about scheduling. I'l |
| 18 | ask Ms. Greenfield to discuss it with Counsel. |
| 19 | MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. So since we've already gone |
| 20 | through two of three -- two out of three of tomorrow's |
| 21 | proposed witnesses, I assume that we are going to start with |
| 22 | Mr. Witkoff tomorrow, and then whose going -- and Flemmons |
| 23 | is ready to proceed tomorrow? |
| 24 | MR. ROBERT: Correct. |
| 25 | MS. GREENFIELD: We will get to Laposa tomorrow as |
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| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | well. |  |
| 2 | MR. ROBERT: No? | 1 |
| 3 | THE COURT: For sure? | 2 |
| 4 | MR. KISE: Very. | 3 |
| 5 | THE COURT: So Laposa will now be on Wednesday? | 5 |
| 6 | MR. ROBERT: Hopefully. | 6 |
| 7 | MR. KISE: Hopefully. | 7 |
| 8 | MS. GREENFIELD: And will Laposa be more than one | 8 |
| 9 | day? | 9 |
| 10 | MR. ROBERT: Probably. | 10 |
| 11 | MR. KISE: Probably. | 11 |
| 12 | MR. AMER: Can I just ask something. In terms of | 12 |
| 13 | the comment that we won't get to Mr. Laposa, I just want to | 13 |
| 14 | make sure that's based on your estimation of your own | 14 |
| 15 | directs and not our crosses. | 15 |
| 16 | MR. ROBERT: It is. | 16 |
| 17 | MR. KISE: It is. | 17 |
| 18 | MR. AMER: Okay. | 18 |
| 19 | MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. So you believe that Laposa | 19 |
| 20 | will be the only witness -- Flemmons and Laposa on | 20 |
| 21 | Wednesday; is that correct? | 21 |
| 22 | MR. KISE: Yes, on Wednesday. | 22 |
| 23 | MR. AMER: We are getting to Flemmons tomorrow. | 23 |
| 24 | MR. KISE: But Flemmons, we are going to -- | 24 |
| 25 | MS. HABBA: Witkoff is Wednesday. | 25 |

MR. KISE: We will have someone -- just to short circuit. We will have someone Thursday or Friday if we get through these other witnesses.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. So tomorrow Witkoff then Flemmons, then maybe Flemmons continues on Wednesday, followed by Laposa, and you'll update for Thursday and Friday.

MR. KISE: Correct.
MR. WALLACE: Do defendants have names for who the people will be for the rest of this week, if we get past Laposa?

MR. KISE: Just the same names that we have already in the queue.

MS. GREENFIELD: So McConney will be --
MR. KISE: If that changes, we'll certainly let them know immediately, but.

MS. GREENFIELD: Assuming McConney doesn't actually take two days, that would mean we could do Hawthorn as quickly as the 17th.

MR. ROBERT: 17th is next Tuesday?
MS. GREENFIELD: That's Friday.
MR. KISE: We will not get to Mark Hawthorn by Friday, but perhaps by Monday, whatever day that is. By Monday, that's conceivable.

MS. GREENFIELD: Thank you.

Page 4167
MS. HABBA: Just to correct the record, I didn't -there's two edits. I could tell you it's not McCarty, it's McConney, and also I didn't say Witkoff is Wednesday, Witkoff is tomorrow.

THE COURT: Speaking of tomorrow, 10:00, see you all then.
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned to November 14, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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| 4154:24;4155:9; | 19;4084:23;4088:9; | 4164:8,10,13,17; | creativity (1) | day (13) |
| 4159:2 | 4094:5,8,13;4095:12, | 4165:3,5;4167:5 | 4035:15 | $3982: 19 ; 4035: 17$ |
| corner (9) | 24;4096:25;4097:18; | courtroom (4) | credit (1) | 4036:2;4051:5; |
| 4009:4,9;4012:18 | 4098:6;4099:8,11,12; | 3974:6;3986:25; | 3983:11 | 4059:20;4092:5; |
| 4014:24;4038:5; | 4100:10,14;4102:1,11; | 4030:9;4152:18 | crews (1) | 4094:16;4122:4; |
| 4055:10;4064:18; | 4103:7,14;4111:25; | courts (3) | 4103:22 | 4129:25,25;4145:14; |
| 4065:7;4111:5 | 4113:10,13,16,19; | 4121:22;4122:1; | crisis (2) | 4165:9;4166:23 |
| corners (1) | 4122:1;4138:13; | 4142:2 | 4028:22;4096:19 | days (8) |
| 4053:17 | 4142:23;4143:12,18; | Court's (1) | cross (5) | 3976:13;3981:10; |
| corporate (4) | 4146:3;4162:13 | 4038:14 | $4112: 15 ; 4138: 12$ | 4008:17;4017:6; |
| 3980:22;3999:5; | courses (20) | covenant (1) | 4152:3;4163:3,7 | 4062:6,9;4076:14; |
| 4007:19,20 corporations (1) | 3988:15;4039:10; | 4123:5 covenants | $\begin{array}{r} \text { crosses (1) } \\ 4165: 15 \end{array}$ | 4166:18 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { orporatic } \\ 4122: 9 \end{gathered}$ | 4040:4,8,4041:6; | 4124:6 | CROSS-EXAMINATION (1) | 3984:16;4089:18; |
| correctly (3) | 4056:11,13;4078:23; | cover (1) | 4113:1 | 4161:6 |
| 4014:7;4021:11; | 4079:4;4087:16; | 4082:10 | crown (4) | DC (8) |
| 4148:15 | 4088:10,10,15;4094:2; | covered (1) | 4017:3;4022:13,16; | 4052:6;4071:7; |
| corridor (1) | 4095:3;4097:24 | 3991:22 | 4057:23 | 4107:4;4108:18,19; |
| 4046:9 | COURT (166) | covers (1) | cubes (2) | 4112:2;4120:8; |
| corridors (2) | 3974:1,7,14,21,25; | 4101:4 | 4055:2,3 | 4151:21 |
| 4008:20;4109:25 | 3975:3,10,14;3986:24; | CPA (1) | curious (1) | dead (2) |
| cost (2) | 3987:4,10,12;3989:17, | 3988:1 | 3996:5 | 4014:18;4015:1 |
| 3994:16;4002:24 | 20;3990:8;3992:2; | CPLR (1) | curling (2) | deal (38) |
| costs (3) | 3995:8;3996:14; | 4052:20 | $4094: 20,21$ | 3979:3,4,8;3981:18; |
| 4036:2;4117:2; | 3997:8,11,15;3998:9, | crazy (3) | currency (1) | 3982:9;3984:3,4,17; |
| 4141:11 | 12,17,23;4000:15,19, | 4040:7;4050:15; | 4031:10 | 3986:5,7;3987:9; |
| co-trustees (1) | 23,25;4001:2,13,18,23; | 4100:5 | current (1) | 3988:19,21;3992:3,3,4, |


| 5,6,10;3993:15; | 4126:21;4127:10,12; | demonstrative (5) | 4079:15;4139:25 | 4052:7;4066:9; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3995:3;4001:7; | 4156:15,17,21,22; | 4000:13,17;4101:8, | devel | 4071:20;4075:3 |
| 4002:15;4015:11; | 4157:5,9 | 6,20 | 4150:9,9,22;4153:4 | 4095:23,25;4096:6; |
| 4042:21;4050:23; | deed (0) | demonstratives (1) | developed (6) | 4098:23;4101:21; |
| 4051:24;4054:6; | 4123:21,21,22,23 | 4007:5 | 4011:6;4042:12 | 4103:5;4123:14; |
| 4061:3;4062:4,5; | 4124:23;4128:8 | department (4) | 097:17;4141:2 | 4130:21;4137:14,16; |
| 4065:25;4066:22; | deemed (9) | 3985:7;4103:1 | 4148:7;4150:19 | 4151: |
| 4067:15;4069:6; | 4009:19;4010:8 | 4151:24;4163:1 | developer (10) | differently (3) |
| 4110:24;4117:18; | 4012:1;4086:19 | depend (1) | 3991:14,19,2 | 3990:15;4003:7; |
| 4118:8 | 45:18;4149:19 | 4156:18 | 992:22;4002:1 | 4012:6 |
| dealing (14) | 4155:4;4159:14; | depending (2) | 005:16;4029:25 | difficult (1) |
| 3982:10;3983:6; | 4162:23 | $4036: 10 ; 4140: 1$ | 4066:3;4098:15; | 3986:25 |
| 3986:6;3987:18; | deep (1) | depends (5) | 4105:19 | dig (1) |
| 4027:22;4047:19; | 4109:12 | 3988:21;3989:11 | developers (14) | 4055:25 |
| 4048:5;4050:19; | defend (1) | 4028:9;4112:5;4125:6 | 3986:4;3988:17 | digital (1) |
| 4062:24;4076:15; | 4121:16 | depicted (1) | 3989:14;3991:4 | 4031:16 |
| 4077:1,16;4111:13; | Defendant | 4004:1 | 3992:9;4008:13,16; | dilapidated (2) |
| 4133:15 | 75:8;3999:18 | deposit (1) | 4010:17,23,25; | 4047:11;4077:23 |
| deals |  |  | 015:12;4061:10 | Dillon (21) |
| 3977:21;3981:5; | defendan | Depression ( | 4066:13;4097:20 | 4120:7,15;4125:18; |
| 3982:17;3983:23 | 4085:1; | 4054:18 | developer's (1) | 4129:16;4130: |
| 3986:19;3991:6; | Def | de | 4051:23 | 4135:23,24;4138:24; |
| 4066:8,21;4067:13; | 4113:6;4145:16,19 | Derek (1) | 3994:11;4002:14; | 4145:5,21;4147:11; |
| 4111:18,19,24 | 4149:20;4154:17; | 45:1 | 4012:20;4040: | 4149:3,22;4151:4; |
| dealt (1) | 4155:5;4159:15; | describe (12) | 4060:4,4;4068: | 4153:3;4159:17; |
| 4033:14 | 4162:23 | 3978:14;3980:8 | 4148:22 | 4160:4;4163:1,9 |
| dear (1) | defending (2) | 3981:25;3982:22 | development (39) | dimensions (1) |
| 4023:19 | 4152:17,19 | 3984:8;3985:10; | 3980:18;3984:1; | 4053:9 |
| debt (2) | defense (19) | 4043:18;4056:19 | 3988:13;3989:6; | diminished (1) |
| 4036:8,10 | 3974:14,16 | 4071:20;4094:7; | 3990:4,20,22;3991:13, | 4105:20 |
| decades (7) | 3995:9;4003:15; | 4110:8;4121:8 | 16;3992:2,4,13,16; | dining (3) |
| 3991:23;3993:20; | 4084:24;4100:19; | described (1) | 3993:5;3994:10; | 4044:9;4088:6; |
| 4001:10;4012:23; | 4119:6;4132:8,16; | 3999:16 | 3995:23;4013:2; | 4104:18 |
| 4013:10;4041:3; | 4134:5;4145:2;4149:1, | des | 4023:21,23;4056:3 | DIRECT (7) |
| 4100:2 | 16;4154:25;4158:21; | 4101:11;4154:7 | 4059:9;4062:15; | 3975:16;4038:22; |
| December (1) | 4159:11,4162:6,16 | descriptions (3) | 4066:18;4067:1; | 4120:11,13;4147:2; |
| 4159:9 | defines (1) | 3979:10;3999:10 | 4088:12;4089:11 | $4155: 2,7$ |
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| 4001:24 | 4032:15 | 4046:1,4,5;4108:13,14; | 4049:21;4054:8,11; | 4049:13;4055:23; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| else (19) | enforce (4) | 4109:8;4111:3 | 4055:21;4056:2; | 4056:3,4,5,7;4067:6, |
| 3979:8;3980:25; | 4123:22;4124:5,6,12 | enumerate (1) | 4059:16,25;4062:14; | 10;4079:22;4100:4; |
| 3983:10;4006:16; | enforcement (1) |  | 4063:5,22;4064:2 | 4107:17;4152:17; |
| 4008:23;4023:10; | 4124:7 | enum | 4066:12,16,25;4068:2, | 4157:6 |
| 4028:2;4035:16; | engag | 4123:25;4124 | 4,10;4069:1,2,8 | evidence (20) |
| 4062:25;4066:25; | 4155:15,19,22 | envelope (1) | 4072:8,9,13,13,15,15, | 4000:14,15,17,25; |
| 4069:10;4085:5; | engineering (1) | 3990:16 | 16,21;4073:1 | 4001:1;4080:17; |
| 4086:16;4087:19; | 3992:19 | environment (3) | 4074:18;4075:1,15; | 4081:5;4083:9,13,17, |
| 4091:15;4094:23; | engine | 4021:7;4042:18 | 4078:24;4096:19; | 21;4084:4,12,14; |
| 4098:19;4107:17; | 4103:21;4127:4 | 4099:12 | 4098:16;4105:10,13, | 4086:20;4101:9,15; |
| 4112:16 | Engoron (1) | environmental (3) | 13,13;4106:2,5; | 4142:7;4145:19; |
| elsewhere (1) | 3974:2 | 4076:15;4077:2 | 4126:10 | 4149:20;4153:24; |
| 4047:25 | enjoy (1) | 4128:11 | estates (7) | 4155:5;4157:12; |
| e-mail (32) | 3976:6 | envision (2) | 4016:7,12;4019:1 | 4159:15;4162:22,24 |
| 4082:11;4130:10,15; | enough (13) | 3990:18;4024: | 4057:2;4071:25; | evolution (2) |
| 4131:5;4132:12,15; | 4008:2;4050:7,13, | envisioning (1) | 4072:6;4074:2 | 3982:24;4013:7 |
| 4135:6,11;4142:15; | 14;4051:9;4054:6; | 3991:16 | estimated (1) | evolve (1) |
| 4143:1,21;4145:6,8,8; | 4062:14;4066:9; | equal ( | 4157:1 | 4078:17 |
| 4146:8,18;4147:20; | 4070:13;4071:24; | 4124:21 | estimation (2) | evolving (1) |
| 4148:6,11;4149:6; | 4103:4;4104:16; | equitable ( | 4103:22;4165:14 | 4122:21 |
| 4150:14,15,18; | 4157:4 | 3997:6;4081:18 | et (9) | exact (11) |
| 4154:21,22,23;4155:8, | entail (1) | equity (3) | 3977:5;39 | 4001:3;4020: |
| 20;4158:24;4159:1,18; 4161:7 | 3988:15 | 3992:5,11;4066 | 3999:7;4032:2 | 4021:18;4025:19; |
| 4161:7 | entails (1) | equivalent (1) | 4092:3,3;4108:9,1 | 4043:7;4053:9;4062:2; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { e-mails (3) } \\ & 4145: 9,13 ; 4154: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 4108:7 | 4063:19 | Europe (1) 4016:11 | 4076:10;4091:4,24; 4095:1 |
| emerge (1) | $3985: 2$ | era (2) 4010:14;4046:20 | European (2) | exactly (12) |
| 4078:17 | entering (3) | Eric (14) | 4076:3;4092: | 3979:1;3997:17,22; |
| emerging (2) | 4109:9;4119:16; | 3982:1,5,12 | evaluating (1) | 4004:8;4024:11; |
| 3981:5;4009:11 | 4147:6 | 3984:15;4077:17 | 4140:9 | 4027:21;4039:21; |
| Empire (1) | entertained (2) | 4082:11;4095:2; | Eve (1) | 4067:12,15;4097:16; |
| 4026:19 | 4017:2;4018:1 | 4099:25;4130:18 | 4012:15 | 4101:24;4117:23 |
| employ (1) | entertaining (1) | 4145:10,22;4147:2 | even (27) | EXAMINATION (11) |
| 4103:16 | 4108:22 | 4160:10;4161:2 | 3981:12;3985:25 | 3975:16;3996:12; |
| employed (1) | entertainment (1) | Eric's (1) | 3992:17;3996:6; | 4112:15;4120:11,13; |
| 3976:15 | 4065:1 | 4071:9 | 4001:3;4009:4;4015:3; | 4121:18,19;4138:13; |
| employee (1) | enthusiasm | escaped (1) | 4024:8,18;4031:7,14; | 4152:4;4163:3,7 |
| 3985:6 | 4097:13 | 4106:2 | 4035:17;4042:10; | examined (2) |
| employees (2) | entire (5) | especially (2) | 4050:17;4052:6; | 3975:9;4120:1 |
| 4103:20,25 | 4034:19;4048:25; | 4077:1;4081:1 | 4061:16;4068:2; | example (8) |
| employment (1) | 4050:18;4090:16; | essentially (4) | 4075:10;4077:19; | 3981:7;4024:21; |
| 4104:1 | 4094:25 | 4029:20;4050:1 | 4081:25;4086:12; | 4028:6;4035:14; |
| emulate (3) | entirely (6) | 4100:1;4105:17 | 4089:14;4092:2; | 4042:10;4074:3; |
| 4036:19;4068:20; | 3977:11;4040 | establish (3) | 4104:2;4110:14; | 4109:14,17 |
| 4069:11 | 4043:9;4083:18; | 3998:20;4085:23 | 4131:18;4134:24 | examples (2) |
| emulated (2) 3991:10;3992:9 | 4087:16;4098:25 | 40 | evening (1) | 4009:11;4036:11 |
| 3991:10;3992:9 | entit | esta | 406 | except (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { enclosed (2) } \\ 4110: 1,3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3983: 7 ; 4109: 18 ; \\ & 4120: 25 ; 4161: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 3978:4;3980:10,11,18; | $\begin{gathered} \text { event (1) } \\ 4134: 19 \end{gathered}$ | 4037:24 <br> exclude (1) |
| encompassing (1) | entitled (4) | 3981:6,16;3982:8; | events (3) | 4084:22 |
| 3980:10 | 4125:11;4148:10 | 3984:1;3989:3,5; | 4006:21;4019:2 | exclusive (1) |
| end (15) | 4150:8;4154:8 | 3990:17;3991:6,25 | 4091:5 | 4094:2 |
| 3979:6;4006:22; | entitlement (4) | 3992:3;3993:2;4005:6; | eventually (7) | exclusively (1) |
| 4018:2;4025:5;4030:6; | 4013:16;4040:11; | 4009:11;4013:1,25; | 4002:25;4015:1 | 4047:21 |
| 4036:3,23;4050:13; | 4076:14,19 | 4015:12,13,18 | 4051:9;4072:7 | excuse (2) |
| 4061:9;4066:10; | entitlements (3) | 4016:15;4017:2; | 4076:17;4085:25; | 4061:3;4119:2 |
| 4073:7;4108:1; | 3984:6;4054:9 | 4023:4,7,24;4024:9,19, | 4107:6 | excused (1) |
| 4121:20;4156:11; | 4150:21 | 25;4025:22;4026:2,14, | everyone (22) | 4164:14 |
| 4158:6 | entity (2) | 16;4027:11,13; | 3980:25;3983:10. | execute (1) |
| ended (3) | 4144:6;4149 | 4028:15,21;4029:2 | 4002:5;4008:22; | 4059:25 |
| 4012:20;4013:17,17 |  | 4030:2;4033:22; | 4009:9;4036:19,21; | executed (3) |
| ends (1) | 4025:5;4037:13,17; | 4036:7,15,20;4045:23; | 4040:6;4045:3; | 4146:1,15,16 |
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Organization's (10) 3990:7;3993:13; 3994:4;3999:10,11; 4029:7;4039:25; 4057:10;4070:3; 4104:7
organized (1) 4112:20
original (7) 4019:11;4025:21; 4042:10;4043:5; 4051:17,19;4097:19
originally (2) 4011:23;4016:23 originated (1)

| 4116:4 | 4005:4 | owns (0) | 7,8,8,12;4050:10; | 4162:4,14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| origins (1) | outstanding (1) | 4060:9;4066:25; | 4053:4;4057:17; | pay (5) |
| 4001:9 | 4027:2 | 4123:5;4126:10; | 4062:3,21,25;4107:22; | 3993:11;4029:20; |
| others (13) | over (48) | 4129:14;4158:2 | 4108:14 | 4036:2;4124:2,7 |
| 3980:20;4003:2; | 3977:22;3978:2; |  | parking (1) | paying (2) |
| 4010:16;4016:1; | 3992:21;3998:6; | P | 4065 | $4124: 10 ; 4157: 7$ |
| 4022:4;4023:14; | 4000:6;4008:10,21; |  | Parks (1) | payment (1) |
| 4024:6;4033:15; | 4013:2,8;4017:3; | Pacific (6) | 410 | 4156:2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4041:17;4070:4; } \\ & \text { 4104:8;4107:22; } \end{aligned}$ | 4021:8,13,15;4022:12; $4023: 5 ; 4026: 21,22 ;$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4057:15,22;4058:1, } \\ & 3.8: 4113: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Part (28) } \\ 3974: 1 ; 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { payments (1) } \\ 4157: 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4132:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 4023: 5 ; 4020: 21,22 ; \\ & 4028: 4,10 ; 4034: 19 ; \end{aligned}$ | package ( | 3984:12;3990:21; | payroll (1) |
| otherwise (9) | 4036:3;4041:2,3; | 4097:22 | 4010:25;4011:17; | 4104:7 |
| 4003:17;4014:18; | 4043:11,12;4065:7; | packages (1) | 4019:12;4028:25; | pejorative (1) |
| 4015:23;4023:17; | 4072:20;4073:22; | $4029: 16$ | 4029:15;4030:2; | 4133:1 |
| 4030:15;4104:2; | 4074:20;4076:5,13,14; | packed (1) | 4034:8;4039:5;4054:5; | Peninsula (1) |
| 4121:4;4161:25; | 4085:17;4095:3,17; | 3976:13 | 4058:6;4075:5; | 4146:2 |
| 4164:3 | 4096:7;4097:24; | page (20) | 4079:13;4100:17,22; | pennies (1) |
| out (93) | 4100:12;4102:9,18,22, | 3996:5,15;4044:17; | 4102:2;4105:12; | 4073:9 |
| 3977:11;3978:12; | 25;4103:9;4106:1,21; | 4113:8;4114:21; | 4108:23;4124:14,23; | Pennsylvania (7) |
| 3980:16;3981:14,22; | 4139:2,7;4158:3 | 4115:25;4117:1,8; | 4126:9,10;4143:7; | 3975:23;4107:2; |
| 3982:6;3986:5; | overall (1) | 4118:11;4130:10,14; | 4157:9 | 4108:20;4109:1; |
| 3988:23;3991:24; | 4156:10 | 4140:23;4142:14; | partial (2) | 4111:3,6;4120:7 |
| 3992:14;3993:18,23; | overhead (1) | 4143:20;4153:25; | 4044:8;4128:1 | Pension (3) |
| 3994:2;3997:8;4002:2, | 3994:9 | 4154:6;4155:3,8; | particular (7) | 4034:5;4051:1,4 |
| 23;4003:17;4007:7; | overlooking (12) | 4157:16,19 | 3996:9;4006:16; | penthouse (8) |
| 4008:23;4015:25; | 4004:5;4032:21; | pages (1) | 4076:8;4122:8; | 4053:3,8,11; |
| 4018:11;4027:1,11; | 4038:1;4056:18; | 4155:18 | 4130:22;4144:15; | 4054:24,25;4055:6,8,8 |
| 4028:17,19,22,23; | 4057:21;4064:21; | paid (4) | 4156:18 | penthouses (1) |
| 4029:20;4030:5,15; | 4071:1,13;4075:6; | 4100:5;4102:22 | particularly (1) | 4055:7 |
| 4031:21,22;4035:3; | 4089:24;4094:18; | 4104:1,10 | 4140:8 | people (55) |
| 4036:3,21;4040:20; | 4095:12 | Palm (10) | parties (2) | 3977:19,24;3979:10, |
| 4043:11;4045:16; | overruled (4) | 4017:4,13 | 3997:13;4039 | 15,18;3989:3;3990:17, |
| 4046:14;4048:11; | 4001:14,24;4008:3 | ,18,21,23;4041:7 | partner (1) | 21;3993:11,23;3994:7; |
| $40$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ \text { Palo } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4002:25;4004 } \\ & \text { 4008:18;4009 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4053:23;4060:16,19, | 3994:10;4058:6; | 4057:13;4113:11 | 3982:9;4069:6 | 4012:8,23;4015:24; |
| 25,25;4061:3;4062:2; | 4071:19;4074:2 | 4146:2 | partnership (1) | 4018:18;4023:25; |
| 4063:2,9;4066:3,17; | Own (16) | paneling (1) | 4109:15 | 4024:1,13;4026:15; |
| 4068:7,22,23;4076:23; | 3982:13;3988:14; | 4051:16 | parts (1) | 4030:5;4031:22; |
| 4078:25;4079:11; | 3989:2,5,6,16;3991:4; | pans (1) | 4016:11 | 4037:6;4042:12; |
| 4081:8;4082:1; | 4001:11;4002:13; | 4081:8 | party (5) | 4044:3;4049:1; |
| 4088:14;4094:12; | 4024:22;4035:1; | paper (1) | 3999:25,25;4000:2, | 4050:20;4060:24; |
| 4096:23;4097:20; | 4076:9;4078:18; | 3979:18 | 3,3 | 4066:13;4068:1,7; |
| 4098:15;4103:9; | 4105:18;4111:10; | par (2) | passed (1) | 4069:1,7;4070:22; |
| 4105:14;4107:12; | 4165:14 | 4096:6;4099 | 4002:17 | 4072:16;4074:18; |
| 4108:8,17;4109:18; | owned (10) | paragraph (5) | passing (3) | 4077:23;4078:1; |
| 4111:5;4115:16; | 3988:17;4004:23; | 4130:17;4144:3; | 3982:19;4003: | 4079:8,22;4081:21; |
| 4118:2,4,8,9,16; | 4035:8;4039:10; | 4154:6;4157:20; | 4059:22 | 4082:7;4084:17; |
| $4122: 21 ; 4127: 3 ;$ $4133 \cdot 10 \cdot 4141 \cdot 8925$ | 4072:24;4074:19; | 4158:1 | passion (4) | 4090:16;4091:25; |
| 4133:10;4141:8,9,25; $4144: 17 ; 4148: 20 ;$ | 4105:17;4129:16; | paragraphs (1) | 4041:14;4043:20; | 4100:6;4102:20; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4144:17;4148:20; } \\ & \text { 4153:17;4156:2; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4149:25;4150:1 } \\ & \text { owner (9) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4131: 6 \\ \text { parcel (9) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4071:9;4078:20 } \\ & \text { passionate (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4103:6,15,19;4161:8; } \\ & \text { 4166:10 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4164:20 | 3986:6,6;4063:16; | 3977:1;4045:11 | 4040:3;4073: | people's (2) |
| outdoor (2) | 4117:25;4124:6; | 4105:15;4150:12; | past (4) | 3991:6;4092:5 |
| 4055:9;4064:25 | 4139:6;4140:17; | 4153:7,10,20;4158:2,3 | 3993:22;4033:11; | per (4) |
| outline (1) | 4144:14;4150:2 | parcels (2) | 4158:11;4166:10 | 4015:15;4035:18; |
| 3998:2 | owners (4) | 4023:1;4073:12 | path (1) | 4063:5;4066:15 |
| outside (8) | 4049:16;4118:14; | Pardon (1) | 4141:25 | percent (12) |
| 3985:17,19;4011:7; | 4138:25;4139:8 | 3974:9 | pattern (1) | 3989:4;3995:5; |
| 4012:6;4061:15; | ownership (1) | Park (19) | 4081:11 | 3999:21;4029:4; |
| 4108:19;4110:13; | 4105:14 | 3977:6;3978:21 | pause (6) | 4064:25;4079:4; |
| 4143:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { owning (2) } \\ & \text { 4012:20;4060:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4008:21;4011:4; } \\ & 4021: 5 ; 4038: 1 ; 4049: 5, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3974:12;4052:23; } \\ & \text { 4119:11;4147:4; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4114: 7 ; 4116: 1,3,3,6 ; \\ & 4121: 11 \end{aligned}$ |
| outsider (1) | 4012.20,4060.4 | 4021.5,4038.1,4049.5, |  |  |


| form (2) | PGA (1) | 11;4078:19;4090:8; | 4088:21;4089:4; | portrayed (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3994:2;4015:25 | 4056:12 | 4091:18;4122:15 | ;4102: | 4136:20 position (7) |
| performing <br> 4114:7 | phase (1) | $4146: 16,22$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4112:23;4119:19; } \\ & 4120: 3,5 ; 4121: 4 ; \end{aligned}$ | position (7) 4017:22;4051:8; |
| erfo |  | pla | 4135:24;4142:1 | 4117:18;4140 |
| 4073:22 | 4029:7 | 4084:7 | 45:24;4147:9 | 4156 |
| rhaps (11) |  | 86:23;4 | 4154:16;4164:7 | positions (3) |
| 3992:22;4009:10 | 4161:3,9 | 4158:4,13 | pleasure (1) | 4127:6;4140:9; |
| 4013:1;4018:10; | phones (2) | places (4) | 4124:10 | 4156:11 |
| 4025:21;4040:7; | 3974:3,4 | 3993:1;4004: | plenty (1) | positive (1) |
| 4097:8;4107:17; | photograph (1) | 7:5;4068: | 4068:23 | 3985:12 |
| 4121:4;4148:17; | 4004:1 | placing (2) | plus (8) | possibility (1) |
| 4166:23 | photographer (1) | 4139:1,1 | 3985:6;3989:4,4,4; | 4139:23 |
| period (19) | 4055:1 | plain (2) | 28:10;4030:7 | possible (6) |
| 3982:2,23;3985: | photographers (2) | 4129:25,2 | 4068:15;4079 | 4080:1;4138:5; |
| 3986:12,13;4013:14; 4021:13,18:4022:16; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3974:10,13 } \\ & \text { photographs (4) } \end{aligned}$ | plaintiff (3) <br> 4005:23•4083: | podium (1) <br> 4134:16 | 4141:14;4142:3; 4144:7,18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4021:13,18;4022:16; } \\ & \text { 4027:14;4036:9; } \end{aligned}$ | photographs (4) <br> 3998:11;4000 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4005:23;4083: } \\ & 4085: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4134: 16 \\ \text { point (35) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 4144:7,18 } \\ \text { possibly (1) } \end{array}$ |
| 4054:12,17;4059:23 | photography (1) | plaintif's ( 7 | 3976:10;3979:2 | 4061:3 |
| 4067:3;4081:13; | 3974:5 | 3995:8;3998:18 | 30:3;3991:3 | Post (9) |
| 4085:24;4111:22 | photos (1) | 0:4;4142:6 | 4:23;3995:4,11; | 3978:8;4016:24; |
| peripherally (1) | 4102:1 | 47:17;4153:2 | 3997:10;4001:24; | 4018: |
| 4099:24 | Photoshop (1) | 4157:13 | 4004:13;4005:11; | 106:10;4107:1,4,4 |
| perjury (1) | 4059:3 | plan (17) | 4007:3;4011:1;4013:3; | 4112:1 |
| 3987:7 | physical | 3997:21;4 | 26:17;4031:1 | postpone (1) |
| permissibility (1) | 4144:7; | 148:9,18,19,21,2 | 4046:18;4065:25; | 4157:10 |
| 4144:10 | physically ( | 149:8;4150:11,2 | 81:20;4086:14; | potential (12) |
| permissible (4) | 4141:14;4142:2 | 4152:2;4153:6; | 4088:12;4091:18; | 4012:25;4023:7,2 |
| 4085:25;4141:15,19; | 4146:10 | 156:21,22,22 | 092:1;4099:20; | 4026:22;4027:1 |
| 4142:3 | pick (1) | 4163:22;4164 | 104:19;4111:25; | 4029:12;4040:2 |
| permission (1) | 4161:3 | plane (3) | 17:17;4120:24; | 4049:15,19;4078:12; |
| 4038:15 | picked (2) | 981:8;4062:8 | 30:19;4132:18; | 4090:22;4100:9 |
| permits (1) | 4098:16;4161:9 | 096:23 | 33:4;4137:8; | potentially (1) |
| 4144:17 | picture (14) | planned (1) | 40:12;4144:20; | 4122:4 |
| permitted (2) | 3984:17;4002:1 | 4164:6 | 151:18 | Potomac (2) |
| 3974:4;4144:12 | 4004:3;4018:1 | planning (6) | pole | 4071:13,17 |
| permitting (1) | 4019:18;4025:9 | 4072:13;4101:5 | 40 | pouring (1) |
| 4141:16 | 4032:25;4045:2 | 4105:14,4121:1 | ed | 3993:15 |
| perpetuity (6) | 046:12,24,25; | 4144:6,14 | 77:25 | Power (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4043: 25 ; 4126: 7,21 \\ & 4128: 9,9 ; 4140: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4058:25;4064:2 } \\ & \text { 4075:24 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { plans (4) } \\ 3978: 23 ; 4148: 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { politics (1) } \\ 3983: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 3995:11 |
| person (13) | pictures (6) | 4151:25;4164:1 | pool (3) | 4110:12 |
| 3986:24;399 | 3999:9;40 | play (7) | 4018:1 | practice (12) |
| 3994:23;3995:4; | 4007:1;4027:4; | 010:18;4016:17 | 4068: | 4098:9;4118:5 |
| 4065:25;4067:14; | 4040:14;4050:10 | 4023:17;4043:11 | pools (1) | 4124:14;4152:5,7,13, |
| 4073:1;4092:3;4097:7; | piece (10) | 4044:15;4073:8 | 4025:2 | 14;4161:1,2;4163:11, |
| 4129:7;4130:22; | 4012:21;4015:1 | 4089:18 | poor (1) | $13,21$ |
| personal (3) | 4077:21;4084:15; | 4100:23;4101 | pop (1) | 4151:23;4163:16 |
| 3982:9;4055:21; | 4100:1;4129:13; | playground (1) | 3978:1 | predict (1) |
| 4057:1 | 4139:1 | 4023:19 | popular (2) | 4156:10 |
| personally (2) | pieces (1) | playing (2) | 4045:17,1 | prefaced (2) |
| 3994:20;4023:15 | 4059:13 | 4042:12;4090:18 | portfolio (6) | 4136:22,23 |
| perspective (16) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { pied-à-terre (2 } \\ 4035: 2: 4068 \end{array}$ | plays (3) | 4003:3;4011: | prejudice (4) |
| 3994:4,17;4003:17 | pile (1) | 4024:1 | 4034:9;4041:18 | prejudicial (2) |
| 4006:15,21;4011:21 | 0: |  | p | 4083:15,20 |
| 4019:15;4020:12; | 37 | 11:4;402 | 5:40 | reliminary |
| 4046:13;4058:14; | 37:2 | Please (29) | 14 | 4138:25;4139: |
| 4074:23;4082:10; | ce (20) | 3975:10,14;4001:1 | 4048:19;4063:23; | epared (8) |
| 4106:14;4122:6 | 4014:8;4016:20; | 17,22;4038:20;4039: | 4065:6;4072:11; | 4007:2,19;4080:2; |
| $\underset{4082: 1}{\text { pertains (1) }}$ | 4044:13;4045:20; | 7;4061:21;4065:20; | 4116:11;4127:25; | 4081:4;4082:8; |
| 4082:1 | 4064:3,9,11;4075:6,8, | 4080:6;4087:20; |  | 4085:11;4143:8; |


| 4144:12 | prime (2) | $18,21,23 ; 4135: 6,8$ | 4123:24 | public (4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| preparer (2) | 4064:23;4066:2 | productive (1) | proper (2) | 4012:1,2;4035:6; |
| 4082:21,22 | printing (3) | 4142 | 4039:23;4058:13 | 4123:11 |
| preparing (1) | 4062:12,17;4063:18 | products (1) | properly (1) | public-private (1) |
| 4156:8 | prior (18) | 4036:20 | 4077:3 | 4109:15 |
| prerogative (1) | 3984:14,14;3993:2 | professional (3) | properties | pull (7) |
| 4115:10 | 3994:20;4004:10,17; | 4029:25;4084:13 | 3977:22;4111:10 | 4007:7;4113:5; |
| presen | 4033:18;4049:14,16; | 161:12 | property (72) | 4132:13;4142:6; |
| 3984:9;4111:17 | 4055:4,4;4061:2; | professionals (2) | $4013: 12,14 ; 4016: 2$ | $4145: 2 ; 4153: 23$ |
| presentation (5) | 4063:9;4071:23; | 4132:10;4137:12 | 4017:23;4018:4,14; | $4154: 16$ |
| $3997: 21 ; 4030: 23$ | 74:21;4077:20 | profitability (2) | $4019: 22 ; 4022: 19,24$ | pun (1) |
| 4080:3,11;4087:3 | 4079:8;4098:14 | 3994:5,16 | 4023:18;4024:14; | 4111:16 |
| presented (1) | private (2) | progression (1) | 4026:3,7,9;4027:12 | purchase (2) |
| $3981: 13$ | 4017:1;4047:1 | 3976:18 | $4028: 7 ; 4035: 11$ | 4009:1;4016:15 |
| preserve (6) | privilege (18) | project (74) | 4038:14,16;4072:8,17; | purchased (3) |
| $3986: 10 ; 3988: 22,22$ | $4131: 8,24 ; 4132: 2,$ | $3976: 23 ; 3978: 12,1$ | 4073:17;4074:4; | 4016:6;4020:8; |
| 4052:3;4123:11,15 | 4133:7,9,13,16,18,18; | 19,22;3979:6,7 | 4077:11;4078:1; | 4094:1 |
| Presidency (2) | 4134:3,9,14,16,17,25; | $3984: 3 ; 3990: 17 ;$ | 4079:15;4080:21; | pure (4) |
| 3981:1;4111:12 | $4135: 8,10$ | 3991:16,21;3994:10, | 4081:23;4083:1; | 3977:3;4053:10; |
| president (9) | privileged (1) | 14;4004:18,18;4005:7; | 4084:15,20;4090:20 | 4101:3,6 |
| $3979: 23,24 ; 3980: 4$ | $4134: 1$ |  | 4094:4,10;4095:5,15, | purpose (7) |
| $8 ; 3997: 25 ; 3999: 17 \text {; }$ | probably (27) | $4010: 15 ; 4012: 4,10,21$ | 17;4096:25;4097:11; | 4042:24;4081:2,4; |
| 4117:11;4120:25; | 3979:19;3983:9,9, | 4013:7,12,18,25; | 4101:11,12,22; | 4084:6;4123:16; |
| 4161:24 | 3995:5;3996:11 | 4014:4,19,23;4020:19, | 4104:21;4105: | 4128:5;4133:21 |
| presidential | 4004:10,10;4005:9 | 22,23;4022:2,13; | 4106:9;4107:2;4118:1, | purposes (8) |
| 4108:14 | 4020:10;4027:9; | 4023:11;4028:25 | 15;4123:5,12,13,13,24; | 4000:18;40 |
| President's | 4031:20;4038:5; | 4034:7,14;4043:20 | 4126:15;4127:2; | 4101:16;4105:14,16; |
| 4118:16 | 4058:11,18;4060:7 | 4048:20;4049:4; | 4128:1,12;4129:13,14; | 4128:2,3;4130:20 |
| presiding (1) | 4063:20;4068:15; | 4050:5,12,15,19 | 4138:25;4139:1,6,7,8; | pursuing (1) |
| 3974:2 | 4073:22;4076:13; | 4051:10;4052:10; | 4141:8,15,15,24; | 4156:4 |
| press (1) | 4077:17;4102:10,21; | 4054:1,4;4059:12; | 4142:5;4147:12; | push (1) |
| 3974:4 | 4103:17;4112:6; | 4061:19;4062:20,23 | 4149:25;4154:4 | 3991:1 |
| presses (3) | 4152:6;4165:10,11 | 4063:10,11;4066:1,13; | proposed (1) | pushed (1) |
| $4062: 12,17 ; 4063: 18$ | problem (5) | 4067:3;4072:6; | $4164: 21$ | 3993:4 |
| prestigious (2) | 3979:4,4;3997:22 | 4073:16;4076:11; | prospects (1) | pushing (2) |
| 4009:25;4041:5 | 4005:21;4034:10 | 4098:14;4099:19,25; | $4112: 4$ | $3984: 24 ; 3990: 16$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { pretty (12) } \\ 3994: 2,19 \end{array}$ | problematic (2) 4036:9;4067:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4102:18;4106:12,15, } \\ & \text { 22;4107:16;4117:24; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { protected (1) } \\ 3983: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { put (40) } \\ & 3985: 21 ; 3988: 6 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 4017:9;4025:22; | proceed (9) | $4124: 24 ; 4143: 12,18$ | protecting (1) | 3992:11;3993:7; |
| 4044:13;4053:6; | 3975:14;3987:12 | projecting (1) | 4123:12 | 3995:25;3997:7; |
| 4074:20;4094:17 | 4093:15,16,16; | 3988:23 | pr | 3998:2;3999:2,4; |
| 4096:24;4107:14; | 4112:22;4120:11 | projects (40) | 8 | 4000:3;4001:11,15; |
| 4135:3 | 4147:8;4164:23 | 3977:3;3978: | pro | 4003:16;4005:2 |
| prevalen | proceeding (1) |  |  | 4007:10;4010:1; |
| 4146:9 | 4052 | 3983:25;3990:23 | 20;4126:2; | 4015:20;4017:21; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { previously (2) } \\ & 4094: 12 ; 4120: 18 \end{aligned}$ | proceedings (7) 3974:13;4021:19 | 3991:25;3992:20,20; 3993:11,12,25;3994:3, | provided (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4024:25;4029:16; } \\ & \text { 4032:12;4045:2; } \end{aligned}$ |
| prewar (6) | 4069:13;4116:15; | 14;3995:10;3999:7; | 4080:23;4124:19; | 4068:13;4083:11; |
| 3977:8;4049:14,22, | 4119:12;4147:4; | 4007:12;4011:5; | 4141:21 | 4085:5,12;4087:13,15; |
| 23;4051:15;4053:12 | 4160:12 | 4014:2,12,13;4015:3, | provides (4) | 4095:11;4100:12; |
| prewar-like (1) | process (19) | 14,25;4021:2;4022:10; | 4007:20;4124:2; | 4107:12,15;4112:6; |
| 4049:13 | 3984:7;4010:10 | 4036:17;4047:4; | 4125:2;4127:25 | 4122:15;4123:21; |
| price (4) | 4059:10;4077:1,4; | 4060:1,21;4062:15; | providing (3) | 4124:20;4137:25; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4015:15;4017:23; } \\ & 4035: 18 ; 4063: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4079: 14,25 ; 4121: 20 \\ & 4124: 15 ; 4127: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 4066:19;4074:13; <br> 4096:9;4103:20; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4067:16;4137:24; } \\ & 4146 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4142:5;4147:16; } \\ & \mathbf{4 1 6 1 . 2 5} \end{aligned}$ |
| price-per-square-fo | $4139: 17,25 ; 4140 \text { : }$ | $4107: 25 ; 4111: 7,1$ | province (1) | utting (11) |
| 4049:20 | 18;4146:21,22;4160:5; | 4159:24 | 4082:13 | 3992:5;3993:15; |
| pride (1) | 4163:25 | promise (6) | provincial (1) | 3996:10;4026:16; |
| 3993:25 | procured (1) | 4006:17;4051:10 | 3992:23 | 4027:20;4029:22; |
| primary (7) | 4057:11 | 4093:9,10;4123:13,15 | provisions (2) | 4058:14;4066:24; |
| 4018:6;4025:4,10; | product (10) | promised (1) | 4127:17,22 | 4080:21;4081:17; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4079:3;4095:14; } \\ & 4106: 18 ; 4111: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4007:17;4067:20; } \\ & \text { 4068:21;4134:14, } \end{aligned}$ | $4123: 25$ <br> promises (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { proximity (1) } \\ 4041: 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4082: 18 \\ \text { PX-3380 (1) } \end{gathered}$ |


| 4114:18 | 4024:2 | 4013:8,19;4014:15,16, | $4134: 11 ; 4167: 1$ | $10,13,14 ; 4140: 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q |  |  | recorded (5) | $\begin{gathered} \text { reintroduce (1) } \\ 4120: 17 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | rated (1) | 4023:5,8;4025:4,5,18; | 16:16;4123:24; | related (1) |
| qualified (8) | 4073:13 | 4026:16;4027:18; | 4160:13 | 4038:24 |
| 4127:11;4128:5,15, | rates (1) | 4033:18;4035:18,20; | recording (3) | relates (1) |
| 15,16,17,23;4138:6 | 4152:16 | 4039:22;4040:3; | 3974:5;4124:22,23 | 4097:5 |
| qualifier (1) | rather (7) | 4041:18;4045:16,21; | records (2) | relation (1) |
| 4134:10 | 4017:23;4029:21; | 4046:22,24;4049:15; | 4122:16;4145:25 | 4086:4 |
| qualify (3) | 4034:19;4038:15; | 4050:25;4051:21; | redevelop (2) | relationship (1) |
| 4083:17;4124:24; | 4057:1;4064:5; | 4055:7;4057:24; | 4034:6;4050:18 | 3985:10 |
| 4128:2 | 4068:12 | 4062:12;4065:10; | redeveloped (1) | relative (3) |
| quality (4) | ratio (2) | 4066:18;4069:6; | $3990: 24$ | 3987:10;3994:11; |
| 4024:24;4045:24; | 4009:19;4010:8 | 4073:11;4077:25; | redirect (2) | 4045:16 |
| 4048:24;4073:2 | raw (3) | 4078:8;4089:2; | 4118:24;4164:13 | relatively (3) |
| quarry (1) | 4041:3;4102:19 | 4097:23;4108:18 | redo (2) | 4008:15;4058:12; |
| 4024:23 | 4103:6 | 4110:4;4137:18; | 4051:16;4087:16 | 4096:18 |
| quarter (2) | read (7) | 4144:20 | reduction (1) | relegated (2) |
| 4014:23;4038:19 | 4074:24;4138:7,9; | realtime (1) | 4149:7 | 4043:24;4053:13 |
| Queens (4) | 4161:8;4164:7,8,9 | 3987:8 | refer (2) | relevance (6) |
| 4002:10,22;4003:5; | ready (2) | realty (1) | 4054:21;4116:11 | 3989:17,19;4084:18; |
| 4004:9 | 4122:18;4164:23 | 4024:3 | reference (1) | 4114:25;4115:2,5 |
| queue (1) | real (63) | reason (5) | 4059:22 | relevant (6) |
| 4166:13 | 3977:19,20,25; | 4067:10;4068:18; | referenced (1) | 3996:9;3997:5,14; |
| quick (2) | 3978:4;3980:10,11,18; | 4115:6,8;4132:1 | 4074:24 | 4001:25;4006:14; |
| 4155:11;4163:9 | 3981:6,16;3982:8; | reasonably (3) | referencing (1) | 4081:18 |
| quickly (5) | 3984:1;3989:3,5; | 4045:17;4144:7,18 | 4113:24 | reliance (2) |
| 3982:6;3983:16; | 3990:17,3991:6,25; | reasons (4) | referred (5) | 4083:18;4086:11 |
| 3999:8;4098:6; | 3992:3;3993:2;4005:6; | 4135:13;4140:2,4,14 | 4054:20;4145:18; | relied (2) |
| 4166:19 | 4009:11;4013:1,25; | recall (12) | 4149:19;4155:4; | 4084:12;4140:5 |
| quite (8) | 4015:12,13,18; | 4111:17;4131:4,16, | 4159:14 | relief (2) |
| 3979:1;4002:15; | 4023:24;4024:9; | 16;4133:20;4135:1; | referring (10) | 3997:6;4081:18 |
| 4017:21;4021:10; | 4026:14,16;4027:11, | 4136:13;4148:5,12; | 4075:22;4076:19; | relies (1) |
| 4025:5,19;4056:21; | 13;4028:14,21; | 4150:2;4151:4,20 | 4093:4;4116:10; | 4084:14 |
| 4078:4 | 4029:25;4030:2; 4033.22-4036:6,15,20. | recalls (1) | $4129: 7,4137: 6,10,15 ;$ $4146 \cdot 3 \cdot 4149 \cdot 24$ | rely (7) |
| $\mathbf{R}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4033:22;4036:6,15,20; } \\ & \text { 4045:23;4049:21; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4134: 15 \\ \text { receive (3) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4146:3;4149:24 } \\ & \text { reflection (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3986: 21 ; 4083: 12,24 \\ & 4084: 3,4,11 ; 4086: 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4054:7,11;4059:16,25; | 4156:15,16,17 | 4118:19 | relying (5) |
| rack (1) | 4062:14;4063:5,22; | received (2) | reframed (1) | 4083:16,18;4132:8, |
| 4036:24 | 4064:2;4066:12,16,25; | 4081:23,24 | 4133:14 | 9,15 |
| railway (1) | 4068:2,4,10,25;4069:2, | recently (2) | refresh (7) | Remain (3) |
| 4012:22 | 7;4072:14;4078:24; | 4043:7;4096:18 | 4000:7,9,12;4116:5; | 3975:1;4086:9; |
| rain (1) | 4096:19;4098:16; | recess (1) | 4117:4,14;4150:18 | 4087:15 |
| 4042:20 | 4141:15 | 4092:8 | refreshes (2) | remaining (4) |
| Raise (2) | reality (2) | Recession (2) | 4117:5;4150:20 | $4150: 9 ; 4153: 4,17,21$ |
| 3975:1;4119:19 | 3986:16;4047:14 | 4054:18,19 | refurbished (2) | remember (20) |
| raised (1) | realize (3) | recognize (7) | 4075:14;4088:25 | 3980:3;4005:25; |
| 4023:17 | 4012:13;4035:7; | 4020:3;4080:10; | refurbishing (2) | 4007:22;4014:7; |
| ramifications (1) | 4083:10 | 4113:9;4145:5,6; | 4095:1;4111:21 | 4015:6;4020:19,25; |
| 4126:20 | Realized (1) | 4149:3;4154:19 | regard (6) | 4021:11;4040:23; |
| ran (4) | 4063:17 | recognized (2) | 3993:14;4028:7; | 4049:5;4053:9; |
| 3983:19;3986:1; | Realizing (1) | 4053:20;4144:13 | 4032:9;4050:9;4059:7; | 4063:13;4077:19; |
| 4022:12;4098:15 | 4079:2 | recollection (11) | 4161:13 | 4094:23;4099:15,16; |
| Rancho (1) | really (75) | 4000:8,9,13; | regarding (2) | 4105:4,7;4106:12; |
| 4113:10 | 3976:23,25;3978:17, | 4017:18;4041:20; | 4120:21;4143:11 | 4122:16 |
| Ranchos (1) | 18;3979:6,20;3980:1, | 4116:5;4117:4,5,14; | registered (1) | remorse (1) |
| 4057:13 | 19;3981:19;3982:8,12; | 4150:18,20 | 3978:24 | 4061:6 |
| range (1) | 3983:6,7,11;3986:16; | recommend (1) | regular (4) | remote (1) |
| 4114:10 | 3987:16;3989:9; | 3998:15 | 4065:12;4089:17,18; | 4093:4 |
| Ranita (4) | 3990:20;3991:10; | record (9) | $4110: 21$ | remove (1) |
| 4021:20;4069:14; | 3993:8,23;4005:3; | 3975:11;3996:1; | regulations (11) | 4031:20 |
| 4116:16;4160:13 | 4008:9;4009:9;4010:9, | 4066:15,17,4107:25; | 4122:20;4125:10; | rename (2) |
| rarely (1) | 17,19;4011:25;4012:4; | 4119:15;4120:6; | 4127:14,18;4128:4,6,7, | 4118:1,15 |
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## routinely (1)

 4122:9rule (4) 4006:8;4007:23; 4083:18;4125:7

| rules (2) | 4073:14 | 4077:8;4080:9;4087:5, | seems (5) | Servicer (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4046:21;4050:17 | satisfy (3) | 22;4088:3,23;4089:10, | 4012:18;4079:23; | 4114:15;4117:13,15 |
| ruling (4) | 4098:4;4124:22 | 22;4090:3,14;4091:2,9, | 0:11;4143:15; | Services (1) |
| 3990:2;4000:20,22; | 125:9 | 4117:10;4118:13; | 55:14 | 4109:16 |
| 4001:7 | Saturday (1) | 4130:6;4135:16; | sees (4) | session (2) |
| run (7) | 4060:18 | 4138:21,22 | 4023:25;4044: | 3974:2;4039 |
| 3978:17;3986:11; | save (2) | screw (1) | 79:7, | (8) |
| 4020:23;4021:2; | 4002:23; | 3994:12 | Seidner (4) | 4001:6,10;4002:25; |
| 4088:14;4097:20; | saw (33) | scrip | 4142:16;4143:11,16 | 4010:19;4049:24,25; |
| 4126:8 | 3976:24;3977:18, | 4006:24;4007:1 | 22 | 4089:12;4146:10 |
| rundo | 4002:25;4003:7; | sea (6) | self-evident (1) | sets (1) |
| 4004:24;4020:24 | 4009:3;4012:24,25 | 017:12 | 4073:17 | 3980:19 |
| 4048:21;4077:22 | 4015:2;4018:24; | 4076:2,3,7;4096:2 | sell (17) | setting (2) |
| 4107:9;4110:16 | 4022:9,13;4023:7 | seamless (1) | 3986:4;3988:19; | 4089:14;4133: |
| running (4) | 4024:13;4030:18; | 4035:9 | 993:8;4015:13,15 | settlement (1) |
| 4021:9,16;4076:17 | 4040:2,2;4044:11 | seaml | 4024:2,5;4033:22; | 4092:3 |
| 4098:4 | 4048:11;4055:22; | 4091 | 4036:1,6;4051:1 | seven (7) |
| runways | 4056:9;4057:12; | Sears (2) | 4056:5;4059:17; | 4011:3;4022:20,24; |
| 4094:15,15 | 4063:17;4074:22; | 4064:10,1 | 4064:2;4067:5; | $4158: 18 ; 4159: 3,9,19$ |
| rush (2) | 4078:3;4085:23; | seat (2) | 4072:16;4129: | several (2) |
| 4002:14;4061:8 | 4096:23;4100:9; | 3975:10;4120:3 | seller (13) | 4039:10;4145:9 |
| S | 4106:6;4107:7,17,17; | seated (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4129:1,3,5,6,9,10,10, } \\ & \text { 25;4130:1;4136:13,17, } \end{aligned}$ | sexiness (1) $3990: 16$ |
|  | saying (7) | seating (1) | 24;4137:7 | sexy (2) |
| safe | 4053:21;4068:2 | 4089 | sellers (1) | 4045:20,21 |
| 4031:6 | 4131:17;4132:2 | second (22) | 4128:2 | shaking (1) |
| safeguard (1) | 4135:10,10;4137:14 | 4008:6;4009 | selling | 4133:22 |
| 4031:19 | scale (3) | 4027:6;4037:2 | 4035:10;4036: | shape (1) |
| safeguarding (1) | 4015:21;4066:8 | 4049:4;4052:22; | 4054:10;4057:20 | 4076:24 |
| $4161: 25$ | 4097:24 | 4062:3;4066:22; | $4067: 7,8$ | sharp (1) |
| safes (2) | scaleability (1) | 4075:17;4079:9; | send (2) | 4038:19 |
| 4031:11,21 | 3994:15 | 4105:6;4112:19; | 4156:2;4159 | sheet (1) |
| sake (1) | scape (1) | 4114:21;4115:24 | sending (2) | 4098:17 |
| 3983:7 | 4110:6 | 4130:10,14;4134:8; | 4146:7,1 | Sheri (2) |
| sale (3) | schedule (2) | 4136:7;4153:3;4154:6; | Senior (4) | 4120:7;4129:16 |
| 4057:19;4072:20; | 4060:22;406 | 4155:17;4162:12 | 4021:20;4069 | shield (4) |
| 4148:21 | scheduling | Secondarily (1) | 4116:16;4160:13 | 4131:12;4133:19; |
| ```saleable (4) 4015:23;4035:13``` | 4164:17 | 3997:5 | sense (9) | 4134:21,24 |
| 4015:23;4035:13 | schematics | secondary $4024: 19$ |  | shift (3) <br> 4142:8;4147:1 |
| sales (2) | School (2) | seconds (1) | 4085:15;4107:11; | 4158:18 |
| 4066:16;4111:22 | 3975:24;4100: | 4090:11 | 4129:22 | shooting (1) |
| salvage (2) | scope (1) | section (2) | sent (13) | 4074:18 |
| 4048:22;4060:12 | 4020:13 | 4127:24,2 | 3997:20 | shore (1) |
| salvaged (1) | Scotland | secure (2) | 4132:12,15;4145:6,9; | 4076:5 |
| 4051:14 | 3981:9;3998:13,15; | 4030:1;4098:1 | 4147:20;4148:5; | short (3) |
| Samantha (1) | 4075:16;4093:21,25; | secured (1) | 4154:21;4155:22 | 4021:13;4058:12; |
| 4033:25 | 4094:5,8,16,24;4095:1 | 4040 | 4159:19;4161:7, | 4166:1 |
| same (25) | scratch (1) | securing ( | sentence (8) | shortly (1) |
| 3978:22;4001:3; | 4110:19 | $4036: 23$ | 4136:8,16;4153:3; | 4059:10 |
| 4004:4;4011:13,20; | screen (53) | securitized | 4154:6;4158:1,6,7,11 | shot (3) |
| 4016:11,19;4017:21; | 3995:14,20;400 | 4114:12,1 | sentences (1) | 4003:17;4018:16; |
| 4018:16;4029:16; | 7;4006:24;4007:10; | security (1) | 4135: | 4109:1 |
| 4032:5;4036:8; | 4022:18;4024:16; | 4161:24 | separate (2) | shoulders |
| 4043:19;4055:12; | 4025:2,8,12,16,25; | seeing (5) | 4091:5;4095: | 4047:2 |
| 4082:3;4087:15; | 4026:6;4030:25; | 3981:5;3990 | separated (3) | show (11) |
| 4099:3;4106:5,6; | 4031:4,25;4032:4,18, | 4065:6;4075:2 | 4037:14,22;4105:14 | 4013:1;4027:4 |
| 4130:25;4136:10; | 24;4033:5;4037:3,11; | 4101:11 | series (5) | 4081:10,12;4086:1; |
| 4145:14;4154:12,14; 4166:12 | 40 | seek | $4128: 4,6,10,13,1$ | 4087:1,3;4130:3; |
| Samuel (1) | 4044:5;4070:20; | 4101:9,14;4127:10 | 3987:7 | 4158:20 |
| 4145:24 | 4071:5;4073:25; | seemed (2) | service (2) | showcasing (1) |
| San (1) | 4074:9;4075:21; | 3998:1;4063:22 | 4037:18;4068:12 | 4095:23 |
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| specific (6) | 4022:20,24;4158:19; | Started (33) | 4082:16 | structurally (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3989:10;4005:5; | 4159:3,9,19 | 3976:21;3977:2; | stick | 4053:24 |
| 4006:4;4104:8; | sprinkler (1) | 978:6,12;3981:22; | 4070 | structure (11) |
| 4116:13;4131:5 | 4098:2 | 3990:21;3993:1,6; | sticker (1) | 3979:21;3980:22; |
| specifically (7) | square (12) | 3995:23;4001:9; | 4068:2 | 3982:4,22;3984:8; |
| $3980: 5 ; 3982: 1$ | 4008:25;4009:6,21 | 4002:9,14,21;4003:2, | still (25) | 4002:21;4053:22; |
| 4028:8;4094:23; | 4010:10;4015:15; | 10;4041:18;4042:10; | 3982:24;3983:10; | 4065:16;4087:19; |
| 4104:14;4106:24; | 4026:22;4027:12; | 4047:3,12;4050:12; | 3984:13;3985:24 | 4109:9;4110:2 |
| 4132:7 | :13,18;4063: | :8,10;4059:10; | 3987:22;3991:19 | structures (1) |
| specifics (1) | 4064:1;4066:16 | 4060:1,21;4062:3; | 3992:16;3993:19,24, | 4154:10 |
| 4137:1 | squares (1) | 63:21;4071:8; | 3999:22;4012:1,13; | ructuring (1) |
| spectacular (31) | 4053:16 | 4073:6;4074:25 | 4038:24;4047:13; | 4121:12 |
| 3994:19;4004:25; | stab | 4076:13;4089:1 | 4063:8;4066:15 | studies (1) |
| 4008:19;4013:18; | 4027:18;4028:4,24 | 4140:21 | 4079:4;4102:21; | 4005:11 |
| 4016:12;4018:14; | 4029:14 | starting (3) | 4104:4;4146:2;4148:9; | stuff (12) |
| 4021:10;4023:13; | stabilized (1) | 3976:20;3981:6 | $4156: 6,16 ; 4157: 14$ | 3979:8;3992:23; |
| 4024:21;4025:23; | 4050:15 | 4063:8 | stock (2) | 4006:9;4007:13; |
| 4031:12;4044:11; | stabilizing | start | 3984:2 | 4031:13;4044:2; |
| 4056:2,9,13;4071:13, | 4029:6 | 4144:3;4154:2 | stone (1) | 4052:3;4060:1; |
| $22 ; 4073: 3,8,13 ;$ $4074 \cdot 20 \cdot 4090 \cdot 5$ | stac | $4159: 23$ State (13) | 40 | 4072:25;4089:1 $4097: 6 \cdot 4106: 23$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4074:20;4090:5; } \\ & \text { 4094:4;4096:4,24; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 4009:6 } \\ \text { stage (4) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { State (13) } \\ & 3975: 10 ; 4005: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { stones (1) } \\ 4094: 22 \end{array}$ | stupid (3) |
| 4100:11;4103:10,10; | 4010:19;401 | 4026:19;4040:12; | stood | 4050:14;4085:18,20 |
| 4105:18,24;4110:15 | 4094:16;4098:1 | 4049:11;4094:11; | 156 | tyle (1) |
| speculating (2) | stagger (1) | 4095:16;4097:19; | stop (5) | 4033:17 |
| 4070:3,5 | 4028:3 | 4120:5;4121:23; | 4090:9;4091:18 | Subject (8) |
| speculation (1) | stairs (1) | 4128:11;4150:11; | 4139:25;4140:3 | 4086:6,13;4137:12; |
| 3989:16 | 4046:7 | 4153:6 | 4149:23 | 4142:19;4146:4; |
| speed | stand (11) | state | stops ( | 4147:23;4152:3 |
| 4097:14 | 3974:18,20;3998:9 | 4015:12;4103 | 4159:23 | 4155:11 |
| spend (5) | 3999:19;4083:12; | Statement (1) | storage (1) | subjects (1) |
| 3975:20 | 4085:19;4086:10; | 4081:3 | 4032:12 | 4101:4 |
| 4062:8;4121:10,11 | 4119:3,18;4147:7; | statements | stor | submitted (1) |
| spending (4) | 4164:16 | 3999:20 | 4031: | $4163: 22$ |
| 4029:23;4035:25; | standard (7) | States (3) | stories (2) | submitting (2) |
| 4062:6;4067:4 | 4045:2;4067:22 | 3991:8;4115:25 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4018:11;4034:14 } \\ & \text { starv (5) } \end{aligned}$ | 4151:25;4163:25 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { spends (1) } \\ 4056: 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4091: 14 ; 4128: 23 \\ & 4129: 7 ; 4141: 20 ; \end{aligned}$ | stature (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { story (5) } \\ & 3996: 2 ; 4002: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { subsequent (1) } \\ & 4155: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| spent (11) | 4152:16 | 4046:19 | 4073:1;4100:15, | substantially (2) |
| 3981:9;3 | standards | status (1) | storyboard (1) | 4130:24;4136:9 |
| 3993:19;4013:16 | 4001:10;4042:23 | 4117:2 | 4001:5 | substantive (1) |
| 4018:22;4019:8; | 4061:16;4128:25; | statute (2) | Street (12) | 4115:15 |
| $4060: 7,15 ; 4074: 15$ | $4130: 20 ; 4136: 21$ | $4003: 14 ; 4081: 10$ | 014:24;4026:8; | subtle (1) |
| $4076: 13 ; 4098: 18$ | $4137: 4 ; 4142: 1,1$ | stay (2) | 4031:2,7,10;4035: | 4061:15 |
| spoke (4) | standing (6) | 3986:9;4 | 4045:10;4113:25; | suburb (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4067:13;4099:14; } \\ & \text { 4105:3;4130:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3975:1;3998:8; } \\ & \text { 4001:17,22,23;4055:1 } \end{aligned}$ | stayed (4) $3976: 15 \cdot 3985: 25 ;$ | 4114:11,14;4115:25; $4117: 12$ | 4023:2 |
| 4105:3;4130:17 <br> spoken (1) | 4001:17,22,23;4055:1 <br> standout (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3976:15;3985:25 } \\ & 4035: 7,9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4117: 12 \\ \text { stretch (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { succeed (1) } \\ 4049: 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4096:8 | $4048: 12$ | staying | 4030: | success (1) |
| sponsor (1) | standpoint | 4035:7;4090 | stretched ( | 4059:21 |
| 4164:4 | 3994:15,16,18 | steel | 4036:3 | successful (6) |
| sporadicall | 40 | 4109:10 | stricken | 991:3;4049: |
| 4160:4 | stands (2) | stenographically (4) | 4010:2 | 4066:18;4068:19; |
| spot (1) | 4045:16 | 4021:19;4069:13; | stri | 4076:4;4108:1 |
| 4040:13 | start | 4116:15;4160:12 | 4070:11 | sudden (3) |
| spread (4) | 3981:5;3996:11; | step (1) | stricter (1) | 4044:2;4078:16; |
| $3981: 13 ; 3982: 6 ;$ $4028 \cdot 17 \cdot 4043 \cdot 11$ | 4029:19;4037:24,25 | 4115:16 | 4050:17 | 4097:20 |
| 4028:17;4043:11 | 4050:19;4067:4,8; | stepped (3) | strip (1) | sue (2) |
| spreading (2) | 4074:16;4095:4; | 3974:19;4119:17 | $4059: 13$ | 3987:7;4124:9 |
| 3981:22;4028:23 | 4100:24;4106:14; | $4164: 15$ | stroking | sued (1) |
| spreadsheet (1) | 4115:1;4127:7; | steps (3) | 4066 | 4112:7 |
| 4051:11 | 4139:24;4140:3; | 4126:1,3,24 | structural (2) | suggesting (1) |
| Springs (6) | 4164:21 | Steve (1) | 4053:15;4109:10 | 4085:4 |


| suit (1) | swampland (5) | 3976:25;3977:16; | 4002:3;4070:11 | third (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4014:2 | 4040:5;4041:3,9; | :11;4013:3,4 | ten-minute (3) | 3990:5,12;4014:22; |
| suite | 43:8;4044:13 | 18;4045:1 | 4030:18;4037:5; | 4025:4,4034:22; |
| 4091:10;4107:5 | swear (3) | 4064:12,14;4078:15 | 4038:17 | 4064:14;4109:4; |
| suited (1) | 3974:25;3975:3 | tangentially (1) | Tens (1) | 4134:12;4142:14; |
| 3982:10 | 19.21 | 4099:5 | 4104:1 | 4153:3 |
| suites (4) | Swift (4) | task (1) | tense (1) | third-party (2) |
| 4053:4;4091:16; | $4129: 12,14,18,20$ | $4111: 1$ | $4158: 1$ | 4081:17;4084:19 |
| 4095:9;4110:4 | sword (2) | tax (51) | tenure (1) | though (2) |
| summer (1) | 4131:13;4133:19 | 3985:23;4072 | 4007:13 | 4052:6;4081:2 |
| 4047:13 | sworn (2) | 4105:16;4120:20,2 | ten-year (2) | thought (6) |
| summers (2) | 3975:8;41 | 4121:8,9,10,12,12,12, | 4030:6,7 | 3974:23;3992:17,24; |
| 4047:12;4056:14 | system (4) | 12,15,16,17,22,24; | term (3) | 4012:8;4030:17; |
| Sun (3) | 3994:13,19;4042:18; | 4122:2,12,19,20,21; | 4036:25;4040:1; | 4053:19 |
| $4062: 11,13 ; 4063: 16$ | 4118:18 | $4124: 18,20,24 ; 4125: 3$ | 4141:2 | thousands (3) |
| super (2) | systems (4) | $5,8,8,11,14 ; 4127: 4,5,5,$ | terminations (1) | 4078:16;4088:15; |
| 4044:14;4129:15 | 4002:21;4042:19 | 10,22;4140:9,12; | 4027:22 | 4090:15 |
| support (1) | 4098:2;4099:11 | 4143:8;4151:10 | terms (24) | three (25) |
| 4002:20 |  | 4156:7,10,15,20,24 | 3982:16;3986:15; | 3976:13;3982:7; |
| supportable (1) | T | 4157:1,9;4159:21; | $3990: 16,22 ; 3991: 20$ $3994 \cdot 9 \cdot 4001 \cdot 25$. | 3989:8;4013:19; |
| 4130:18 |  | 4160:1,2,3 | 3994:9;4001:25; | 4024:6;4029:18; |
| suppose (1) | table (4) | taxable (2) | 4019:20;4027:21; | 4043:4;4052:7;4054:5; |
| 4138:14 | 3974:14;4043:25 | 4157:4, | 4041:20;4049:21; | 4058:18;4061:2,4; |
| supposed (1) | 4067:13;4134:6 | taxes (1) | 4063:4,7;4065:11,12; | 4062:6,9;4067:9; |
| $4078: 14$ | tables (1) | 4104: | 4066:10;4077:18; | 4076:13;4081:17; |
| supposedly (1) | $4147: 25$ | taxpayer (4) | 4090:21;4122:4; | 4088:15;4096:6; |
| 4103:7 | talk (31) | 4122:8;4125:15,20 | 4125:21;4161:1,12,18; | 4099:8;4118:11; |
| sure (44) | $3980: 6,22 ; 3994: 23$ | $23$ | $4165: 12$ | 4157:16,19;4164:20,20 |
| 3974:3;3981:7; | 4006:8;4008:4;4022:4, | taxpayers (1) | terrace (4) | thrilled (1) |
| 3989:10;3998:22; | 6;4033:7,7;4039:10; | $4122: 11$ | 4064:18,25;4065:1; | $4073: 14$ |
| $4010: 13 ; 4022: 14$ | $4043: 20 ; 4047: 1,4$ | Taylor (4) | 4089:24 | throttle (1) |
| 4028:3,23;4039:12; | 4058:24;4060:8; | 4129:12,14,17,2 | terraces (1) | 4139:10 |
| 4042:6;4068:16; | 4065:10;4072:2; | TBMC (2) | $4088: 5$ | throughout (15) |
| 4081:4;4082:17; | 4075:2;4076:19; | 4149:23;4150: | territories ( | 3979:14;3985:3; |
| 4091:24;4112:12; | 4078:6,11;4090:11; | TCBY (1) | $4002: 16$ | 3990:14;3991:8,8; |
| 4114:16;41 19:9; | 4099:18;4101:4; | $4107: 8$ | testified (10) | 3997:12;4007:13; |
| 4122:13,15,19,22; | 4106:24;4128:18; | team (7) | 3975:9;3987:19 | 4010:16;4016:1; |
| $4124: 21,24 ; 4125: 24$ | 4131:25;4142:8; | 3985:21;3986:11; | 4004:13;4012:9; | 4052:8;4084:16; |
| $4126: 5 ; 4128: 10$ | 4158:18;4163:6; | 3994:10,13,14;4127:7; | 4049:2;4050:5;4114:7; | $4146: 21 ; 4157: 1,2$ |
| 4134:10;4135:3; | 4164:17 | 4143:7 | 4120:2,18;4148:13 | 4160:5 |
| 4139:3,5;4143:15 | talked (11) | teams (6) | testifies (1) | throw (2) |
| 4145:6;4148:10; | 3985:18;3988:5 | 3981:18;3992:19 | 4082:18 | 4055:25;4076:24 |
| 4152:22;4153:2; | 4013:24;4032:7; | 4007:13;4067:20; | testify (5) | Thursday (2) |
| 4157:6;4159:22,24; | 4054:7;4078:14; | 4068:1,5 | $3998: 2 ; 4022: 2$ | $4166: 2,6$ |
| 4162:3,13;4163:18,19; | 4105:6;4137:6; | tee (2) | 4082:19;4113:16; | TI (1) |
| 4165:3,14 | 4156:13;4161:9,10 | 4043:12;4102:11 | 4117:18 | $4029: 16$ |
| surpassed (1) | talking (17) | teeth (1) | testimony (20) | tiers (1) |
| 4078:4 | 3975:20;4019:19 | 4047:25 | 3975:4;3976:18; | 4032:21 |
| surprise (3) | 4041:25;4062:4; | telling (1) | 3978:10;3996:6; | Tiffany's (2) |
| 3978:23;3979:1; | 4063:21;4093:6,20; | 4006:19 | 3998:1;4005:13,22; | 4008:13;4009:1 |
| 4050:22 | 4099:15;4102:6; | tells (3) | 4006:5,20;4038:23; | TIGC (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { surrounded (1) } \\ 4045: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $4103: 20 ; 4104: 6 ;$ $4126: 4.4132 \cdot 24$ | 4127:11;4128:4,24 | 4074:24;4077:10; | 4142:19 |
| 4045:15 | 4126:4;4132:24; | ten (8) | 4113:12;4119:22; | timeframe |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { surrounding } \\ 4039: 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4136: 21 ; 413 \\ & 4149: 6,15 \end{aligned}$ | 4028:10;4029:13; | 4125:3;4138:9; $4142: 20 ; 4147: 3 ;$ | timeline (1) |
| survives (1) | talks (2) | 4030:4;4088:19; | 4162:5,15 | 4058:14 |
| 4090:20 | 4037:4;4049:13 | 4103:14;4104:10 | therefore (5) | times (15) |
| sustain (1) | tall (3) | tenant (5) | $4110: 24 ; 4130: 24$ | $3977: 25 ; 3981: 4,9$ |
| 4085:19 | 4008:21;4009:7; | 4029:9,16,17,21,21 | 4132:17;4136:10; | 3982:7;4003:19; |
| Sustained (2) | 4064:9 | tenants (4) | 4142:4 | 4028:16;4029:11; |
| 4125:17;4134:19 | taller (1) | 4011:15;4027:24; | thinking (3) | 4052:8;4054:11; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { swamp (2) } \\ & 4039: 18 ; 4042: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4009: 8 \\ \text { tallest (10) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4032:14;4050:16 } \\ & \text { tend (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4070: 23 ; 4122: 7 \\ & 4125: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4059:19;4062:11,13; } \\ & \text { 4063:16;4082:7; } \end{aligned}$ |


| 4107:3 | 4053:10,22;4054:24; | transcript (1) | 4026:11;4027:7; | 4028:2;4030:13; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| timing (2) | 4055.2-4065:14 | 4131:18 | 4028:8;4029:7;4032:7; | 4040:4;4046:21; |
| 4021:18;4059:16 | 4106:1;4117:1;4148:1; | trans | 4033:6,8,8,10,14 | 4051:11;4069:11; |
| tinker (1) | 4154:6;4155:2,8 | 4042:1 | 4035:7;4036:12,16 | 4097:13;4107:15; |
| 4043:22 | topic (2) | transferred (2) | 4037:12,13;4039:9,13, | 4115:9;4122:15,15; |
| tirelessly (1) | 398 |  | 16,24;4040:9;4041:10, | $4137: 25 ; 4139: 3$ |
| 3994:1 | topography (13) | transitioning (1) | 23;4045:5,8;4046:10; | trying (19) |
| tires | 4040:13,15;404 | 4106:21 | 4047:5,6,9;4048:20; | 3989:24;3996:4; |
| 3981 | 4042:7,16;4048:5 | translate (1) | 4049:4,7,8,8;4050:10; | 4000:10;4005:25; |
| ti | 4055:22;4056:22 | 4068:25 | 4051:2;4053:2,4; | 4021:6;4027:18; |
| 017:2 | 4075:12;4076:7 | translated | 4055:13,15,18; | 4043:13;4052:3; |
| title (5) | 4095:24,25;4099:10 | 3993:9 | 4056:16,20,24;4057:6, | 4060:25;4103:7; |
| 3979: | torn (1) | tr | 8,10;4058:5,15;4059:4, | 4126:5;4130:22; |
| 3988:2;4097 | 4107 | 981 | 10;4061:12,19,22; | 4134:5;4136:8; |
| titles (4) | totally (3) | traveling (1) | $4062: 3,21,23,25$ | 4138:18;4141:7,9,23; |
| 3978:11;3979:10,11; | 4089:1;4107:10 | 3981:10 | $4063: 11,20 ; 4064: 4,17$ | 4144:17 |
| 4050:6 | 4137:16 | Treasury | 4065:15,17,21; | Tuesday (1) |
| TNGC | total | 127:18;4140:16 | 4066:20,23;4067:1 | 4166:20 |
| 4146:4 | 4148:1,2 | tree | 15,17;4070:2,8,22; | tune (1) |
| today (22) | totem (1) | 4043:2 | 4071:6,7,21,25; | 4029:4 |
| $3974: 15$ | 4050:13 | trial | 4074:10,17;4075:22 | turn (6) |
| 4011:9,18;4015:3, | touch (1) | 3997:11;4022:22 | 4077:9,13;4079:14; | 4040:10;4063:22; |
| 4017:6;4018:9,13; | 4013:2 | 4057:5;4084:16 | 4080:10,13,24; | 4088:12;4113:8; |
| 4034:23;4057:4; | Toward ( | 4092:7;4106:10; | $4081: 15,19 ; 4084: 5,6,$ | 4117:8;4156:10 |
| 4061:18;4067:23; | 4142:15;4143:21 | 4115:12;4120:10; | 25;4085:4;4087:6,12, | Turnberry (4) |
| 4073:18;4079:10; | 4145:21 | 4132:7;4142:20; | 23;4088:8;4089:5,23; | 4093:21,25;4094:1,8 |
| 4112:4;4117:19; | towards | 4167:7 | 4091:21;4093:19,21, | turned (17) |
| 4131:15,19;4150:3 | 4048:18;4087 | tried (3) | 24,25;4094:7,24; | 4004:24;4005:7; |
| 4152:22;4164:4 | Tower (20) | 4036:19;4056:3 | 4095:16,22;4096:9 | 4016:18;4031:15,17; |
| together (6) | 3977:12;4008:8; | 4068:19 | 4098:8;4099:19,20; | 4041:4;4043:9; |
| $3985: 22 ; 3999: 3,4 ;$ | 4009:2;4011:13,23; | trouble (3) | 4100:16,21,22;4101:3, | 4047:14;4049:19; |
| 4024:25;4073:12; | 4013:6;4021:1;4033:1, | 4006:7;4061:9 | 10;4102:8,17;4103:15, | 4056:10;4057:3,23; |
| 4127:7 | 7;4037:14;4045:5,8; | 4096:20 | 25;4104:7,9,20; | 4063:3;4103:10; |
| told (7) | 4061:23;4063:20; | true (8) | 4106:25;4107:1,22 | 4105:1;4107:5;4108:2 |
| 4001:5;4010:22; | 4064:10,11;4065:17; | 3993:21;4042:7 | 4108:12;4109:3; | turning (4) |
| 4083:1;4093:3; | 4116:10,12;4117:12 | 4049:14;4051:19 | $4111: 8,23 ; 4112: 4$ | 4013:18;4026:7; |
| 4100:15;4124:3; | towers (1) | 4061:17;4114:14; | 4113:3,9,10,12,24; | 4052:15;4118:11 |
| 4132:11 | 4013:5 | 4117:25;4125:13 | 4114:21;4117:25; | TV (1) |
| Tommy (1) | tower's (2) | truly (6) | 4118:2,7,14,20; | 4072:24 |
| 3974:7 | 4116:2,6 | 4009:9;4031:13 | 4120:10,25;4130:23 | twice (1) |
| tomorrow | town (1) | 4041:8;4051:14; | 4136:9;4142:9,21,22; | 4040:25 |
| 4082:17;4084:8 | 4096:17 | 4058:10;4075:8 | 4143:9,23;4145:10,22; | twist (1) |
| 4164:22,23,25; | townhome | Trump (246) | 4147:13,21;4149:25; | 4122:22 |
| 4165:23;4166:4; | 4150:7 | 3974:18;3975:12 | 4150:19,22;4154:4; | two (30) |
| 4167:4,5 | township (1) | 3976:11,14,19; | 4157:14,20,23;4158:1; | 3976:12;3980 |
| tomorrow's (1) | 4144:5 | $3977: 12,17,24$ | 4160:4,7,10,11;4161:2, | 3987:19;4013:10,19, |
| $4164: 20$ took (31) | $\begin{gathered} \text { track (1) } \\ 4107: 25 \end{gathered}$ | 3980:6,7,8,23;3982:22; | Trumps | $4038: 15 ; 4053: 7,16,17$ |
| 3977:11;3993 | tract | 3986:2;3987:14,17,20, | 4083:1;4084:19 | 4062:6,9;4067:9; |
| 4004:14;4005:7; | 4014:25;4039:1 | 24,24;3988:4,11; | Trump's (3) | 4132:14;4135:14; |
| 4009:3;4021:8,13,15; | tractors (1) | 3989:15;3990:7,8,12 | 4006:21;4082:11; | 4140:5,9;4144:3,24,25; |
| 4022:11;4023:5; | 4098: | 3993:13;3994:4; | 4117:11 | 4145:1;4147:25; |
| 4026:21;4027:23; $4029 \cdot 2 \cdot 4041 \cdot 3 \cdot 4051$ | trade | $3995: 10,16,21 ; 3996: 2 ;$ $3997 \cdot 7 \cdot 3998 \cdot 3,24 ;$ | trust (7) 4023:6-4034 | 4154:9;4155:11; $4164 \cdot 20,20 \cdot 4166.18$ |
| 4052:8;4057:2; | traffic (2) | 3999:6,10,10,19; | $\begin{aligned} & 4023: 6 ; 4034: 5 ; \\ & 4043: 23 ; 4051: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $4167: 2$ |
| 4078:25;4089:2,2; | 4046:8;4 | 4001:8,10;4002:2,8,8; | 4123:22;4124:1,5 | two18-hole (1) |
| 4094:10;4095:17; | trailer (1) | 4003:12;4004:1,2,13, 19-4005.15-4007. | trusts (1) | 4056:13 |
| 4098:16;4100:12; | 4042:11 | 19;4005:15;4007:3,14; | 4124:2 | two-floor (1) |
| 4101:22;4102:18,22, | tranche (1) | 4008:4,6,8;4011:2,12, | truth (9) | 4054:25 |
| 25;4103:9;4107:18; | 4037:23 | 13,22;4012:10;4013:6, | 3975:4,5,5;4080:22; | two-week (1) |
| 4161:13 | transaction (6) | 11;4015:9;4016: | 4085:7;4119:22,23,23; | 4029:1 |
| top (13) 4009:1;4042:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4097:6;4122:7,12, } \\ & \text { 14:4146:9.11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4017:7;4020:20; } \\ & \text { 4021:1:4022:2.7.23: } \end{aligned}$ | 4131:10 | type (5) |
| 4009:1;4042:16; | 14;4146:9,11 | 4021:1;4022:2,7,23; |  | 3992:5;4022:2; |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4029:1;4084:12,14 } \\ & \text { typical (1) } \\ & \text { 3989:1 } \\ & \text { Typically (1) } \\ & 4158: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4071:12;4109:19 } \\ & \text { undeveloped (7) } \\ & 3977: 1,1 ; 4012: 21, \\ & 24 ; 4023: 1 ; 4039: 19 \\ & \text { 4045:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3983: 2 ; 3990: 14 ; \\ & 3991: 17 ; 3993: 1,15 ; \\ & 4008: 21 ; 4010: 5,9 ; \\ & 4012: 20 ; 4013: 17,17 ; \\ & 4016: 14 ; 4021: 9,16 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4038: 4 ; 4064: 10 ; \\ & 4078: 7 ; 4094: 15 ; \\ & 4095: 20 ; 4107: 3 ; \\ & 4109: 11 ; 4123: 10,14 ; \\ & 4130: 21 ; 4134: 21,24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4085:2 } \\ & \text { valuing (2) } \\ & \text { 4081:22;4130:21 } \\ & \text { vanilla (2) } \\ & \text { 4129:25,25 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{U}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unfocused (1) } \\ & 3996: 8 \\ & \text { unforeseen (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4023:16;4027:1; } \\ & 4028: 11 ; 4030: 6 ; \\ & 4032: 15 ; 4035: 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { uses (2) } \\ & \text { 4065:11;4126:15 } \\ & \text { using (6) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { variety (4) } \\ & \text { 4140:2,4;4141:7,17 } \\ & \text { various (4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| ultimate (2) | 3979:2;4050:22 | 4036:23,24;4038:1; | 4007:10;4015:22; | 3982:16;4074:3; |
| 4003:8;40 | unfortunate (1) | 4046:24;4049:17,24, | 4028:6;4030:8; | 4103:20;4160:5 |
| ultimately ( | 412 | 25;4061:9;4062:24; | 33:18;4144:1 | vary (1) |
| 3976:10,11;3977:14; | unfortunate | 4063:1;4066:9,24; | USPAP (2) | 3991:15 |
| 4003:11;4012:7; | 4064-24 | 4067:6;4072:20; | 4137:4, | vast (2) |
| 32:14;4036:23 | unhear | 4075:7;4076:1 | usually (2) | 3989:2;3994:22 |
| 4081:8;4105:19; | 3993:6;4008 | 4077:25;4087:17 | 4032:11;4122:22 | vault (1) |
| 4151:8;4159:1 | 4017:16;4058 | 4089:12;4090:9; | utilize (2) | 4031:11 |
| ultra (5) | uninitiated | 4095:3;4097:4;4098:4, | 4031:22;4064: | vaults (2) |
| 4009:11;4015:18; | 4121:14 | 16,18;4100:19;4104:5; | utilized (2) | 4031:7,15 |
| 4067:23;4068:21; | uninvolved | 4106:17,25;4107:24; | 4107:10;41 | Vegas (8) |
| 4105:23 | 3983:19 | 4109:11;4111:16; | utilizing (1) | 3977:17;4036:16; |
| ultra-luxury | uniq | 4113:5;4114:18; | 4090:19 | 4059:4,14,21;4060:21; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4037:24;4045:23 } \\ & \text { Um (26) } \end{aligned}$ | 3991:10;3992:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4119:13;4121:20; } \\ & 4122: 20 ; 4123: 12, \end{aligned}$ | V | 4061:10,16 Verdes (2) |
|  | $4011: 24 ; 4013$ | 19;4126:14;4132 |  | 4057:14;414 |
| 3990:17; | 4025:18,22;4035:10, | 4133:1,12,25;4142:6; | vacancies | vernacular (1) |
| 3995:23;4022:12 | 11,12;4045:18; | 4145:2;4153:23; | 4117:3 | 4030:19 |
| 4025:19,22;4026:13; | 4046:21;4048: | 4154:17;4156:10; | vacant (6) | version (3) |
| 4027:11;4028:24; | 4049:23,25;4050:3 | 4161:3,9 | 4026:24;4028:2 | 3997:20;4018:22; |
| 4035:1;4036:7; | 4051:13;4053:4; | update (2) | 29:8;4100:1 | 4047:1 |
| 4040:11;4042:18 | 4059:13;4063:5; | 4051:11;4166:6 | 4104:22 | vertical (1) |
| 4071:9;4072:8; | 4069:6;4075:8,11 | up-front (3) | vacation (2) | 4011:24 |
| 4076:15;4089:1; | 4096:25 | 3992:11;3993:18; | 4017:5;4089:1 | vetted (1) |
| 4123:24;4127:2,4,6 | unit (8) | 994:8 | valuable (1) | 4144:5 |
| $4161: 24$ | 3986:6,6;4032:12 | upon (4) | 4129:15 | viability (1) |
| umbrage (1) | 4033:23,24;4034:24 | 4039:3;4084:12 | valuation (3) | 4127:7 |
| 4018:10 | 4035:8,9 | 4086:4;4140 | 4082:6;4084:1 | vice (6) |
| umbrella (1) | United (2) | upper (8) | 4139:21 | 3979:23,23;3980:4, |
| 4111:11 | 3991:8;4045:10 | 4018:2;403 | valuations (2) | 8;3997:25;3999:17 |
| UN (3) | units (32) | 4034:14;4037:23 | 3997:13;4139 | video (10) |
| 4013:6;4046:6,15 | 3985:24; | 4038:9;4046:17; | value (56) | 4100:16,22,23 |
| unable (1) | 4053:8,11;4054:14 | 4063:25;4116:11 | 3977:24;3986 | 4101:1,3,5,12,21,22; |
| 4148:7 | 4057:19;4078:16; | upset (3) | 3990:15;3991:24,25; | $4102: 2$ |
| under (16) | 4080:1;4088:16; | 4030:16;4062:16 | 3992:17,19;3993:10; | view (36) |
| 3984:1;4020:10,13 | 4148:1,2,3,7;4150:7,9, | 4152:22 | 4009:25;4010:10; | 3979:9;3997:16; |
| 4033:17;4058:24; | 10,19,22;4151:6,6,8; | upswing (1) | 4012:7;4014:3;4015:2, | 4008:19;4009:9; |
| 4100:8;4105:21; | 4153:5,10,11,16,17,17, | 4061:1 | 17,23;4017:14,16; | 4010:2;4011:4,7,7; |
| 4111:11;4122:9; | 21;4154:9,12,13,14 | use (32) | 4028:3;4029:6; | 4014:19;4019:23; |
| 4125:8;4129:1;4137:4; | University (1) | 3997:21;4009:15,22; | 4032:13,15;4034:15; | 4038:11;4041:24; |
| 4141:21;4148:3; | 3975:23 | 4012:10;4019:14; | 4041:4;4064:2;4069:5; | 4042:5;4044:8,8,12,12 |
| 4151:19;4154:7 | un | 4035:2,4;4044:14 | 4072:14;4079:1,1,6,12; | 4048:2,15;4053:3; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { underdeveloped (1) } \\ & \text { 4043:6 } \end{aligned}$ | 4119:3;4134:9 <br> Unnecessary (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 4049: 21 ; 4063: 20,25 \\ & 4066: 11 ; 4068: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4080:21;4081:17,25 } \\ & \text { 4082:1;4083:19; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4056:17,25;4058:3,8,9 } \\ & \text { 4070:8,12;4071:17; } \end{aligned}$ |
| underperforming (1) | 4152:20 | 4076:14;4078:9; | 4084:7;4085:5,12; | 4087:7;4096:6; |
| 4071:11 | unoccupie | 4079:11;4082:2; | 4086:23;4105:20; | 4099:10;4102:9,13; |
| understands (1) | 4026:24 | 4085:22,25;4089:18; | 4110:24;4112:10; | 4104:25;4109:25; |
| 4024:12 | un | $4094: 22 ; 4115: 19$ $4125: 23 \cdot 4131: 12$ | 4124:21;4125:1,2,5,14; | 4112:3 |
| nderstood (12) <br> 3990:10,10;4026:21 |  |  | 4127:12;4128:24; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { viewed (2) } \\ & 4015: 9 ; 4078: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 25;4068:3;4070:24; | 3980:19;4051:1 | 4142:5;4156:17; | 4137:23;4139:9,18; | views (8) |
| 4073:2;4122:19; | unusually (1) | 4157:5,8 | 4142:4 | 4010:6;4017:19,20; |
| 4135:25;4137:16; | 4152:21 | used (18) | valued (1) | 4032:20;4044:11; |
| 4139:3;4148:8 | up (75) | 3974:22;4020:21,25 | 4080:24 | 4046:16;4057:15; |
| underutilized (2) | 3978:25;3982:2,3; | 4031:9,18;4037:19; | values (1) | 4083:25 |


| vigorous (1) | walking (1) | 4031:21,22;4033:13; | 3983:6,15,23; | who's (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4077:3 | 4060:19 | 4034:18,23;4035:10, | 4050:2;4062:2 | 3974:21;4041:6 |
| villas (1) | wall (16) | 11,12;4036:4,8,21,22, | 4068:2;4077:23; | whose (1) |
| 4097:3 | 3978:23;3979:1; | 22;4042:4;4044:12,15; | 4148:8,9 | 4164:22 |
| Vineyard (8) | 4026:8;4027:5;4028:6; | 4046:14;4049:24; | West (15) | wide (1) |
| 4071:25;4072:6,8; | 4031:2,7;4032:25; | 4051:17;4052:25; | 3976:22;3984:4; | 4031:11 |
| 4073:19,19;4074:2; | 4048:6,10;4050:21; | 4055:23;4059:19; | 4012:11,22;4019:18 | wife (1) |
| 4105:22,24 | 4113:25;4114:11,14 | 4060:12,25;4063:21, | 4039:14,17,18,21; | 4073:1 |
| vineyards (2) | 4115:25;4117:12 | 22;4064:6,6;4066:9; | 4047:17;4053:17; | willing (5) |
| 4073:5,13 | WALLACE (22) | 4076:25;4079:10; | 4055:10,12;4063:1; | 3979:8;4050:2 |
| violate (1) | 3989:12,19;3990:6; | 4084:19;4085:2; | 4078:14 | 4126:14;4128:25; |
| 4124:3 | 3999:15,22;4000:2,12; | 4088:10;4094:19; | Westchester (4) | 4129:1 |
| violating (1) | 4005:10,25;4006:2,12, | 4097:3;4102:20; | 4023:1,12;4041:17 | wind (1) |
| 4124:9 | 19;4010:21;4080:18; | 4107:10;4109:8; | 4047:7 | 4075:11 |
| Virginia (2) | 4081:2,20;4085:20; | 4110:8;4122:3; | Western (2) | window (1) |
| 4071:25;4105:25 | 4101:2,18;4115:14; | 4123:20;4139:25 | 4034:3;4051:2 | 4009:4 |
| Virginia/Maryland (1) | 4152:9;4166:9 | 4146:8;4147:5; | Wharton (1) | windows (1) |
| 4071:18 | wand (1) | 4161:17,18 | 3975:24 | 4053:13 |
| virtually (2) | 4029:1 | ways (3) | whatnot (1) | wine (2) |
| 4017:16;4055:20 | wants (6) | 4000:10;4012:7 | 4031:16 | 4073:1,14 |
| vis-a-vis (1) | 4043:21;4056:4 | 4016:15 | what's (4) | winery (1) |
| 4093:25 | 4068:16;4081:9; | wealth (1) | 3978:25;4101:2 | 4105:3 |
| vision (10) | 4101:4;4131:19 | 4122:10 | 4135:4,6 | wing (1) |
| 3976:23;3984:5; | war (3) | wealthier (1) | whenever ( | 4018:25 |
| 4004:21;4012:5; | 4049:14;4106:3 | 4063:4 | 4121:17 | wise (2) |
| 4023:9;4024:12; | 4110:10 | wealthy (1) | Whereas (1) | 4140:13;4156:18 |
| 4056:7;4063:17; | warning (5) | 4072:13 | 3997:25 | withdraw (2) |
| 4067:24;4072:6 | 4030:18,21;4037:4, | web ( | whereby (1) | 4135:17,21 |
| visionary (1) | 5;4142:12 | 4001 | 4034:24 | withdrawn (2) |
| 3993:9 | warping (1) | website (8) | Whereupon (66) | $4076: 10 ; 4135: 20$ |
| visit (2) | $4087: 2$ | 3999:5,10,11; | 3974:12,19;3995:13 | within (18) |
| 3998:12;4127:1 | Washington (8) | 4000:4;4007:4,18; | 19;4022:18;4024:15 | 3976:12;3987:24; |
| void (1) | 3983:19;4052:6 | 4100:16,22 | 4025:1,7,11,15,24; | 3990:16;3994:25; |
| 4053:21 | 4071:7;4107:4; | wedding ( | 4026:5;4030:24; | 4011:25;4012:3; |
| vote (1) | 4108:18,19;4112:2; | 4009:8 | 4031:3,24;4032:3,17, | 4021:9,13;4027:15,25; |
| $4107: 18$ | $4120: 8$ | weddings | $23 ; 4033: 4 ; 4037: 2,10 ;$ | 4050:17,20;4058:12; |
| W | Watch (3) 4114:15;4117:2,6 | 4044:14;4089:1 | 4038:6;4039:3; 4041:21;4042:8,13,25; | 4135:5;4137:3;4138:5; 4144:7;4154:9 |
|  | watching (2) | Wednesday (6) | 4044:4;4070:19; | without (8) |
| Wabash (1) | 4113:6;4156:9 | $4165: 5,21,22,25$ $4166 \cdot 5 \cdot 4167 \cdot 3$ | 4071:4;4073:24; | 4007:10;4017:20,20; |
| 4062:19 | water (8) | 4166:5;4167:3 | 4074:8;4075:20; | 4046:7;4051:25; |
| Waikiki (11) | 4042:2,18 | week (7) | 4077:7;4080:8;4087:4, | 4068:4;4072:18; |
| $4065: 21 ; 4066: 2,16$ | 4048:10;4057:25; | 3978:10,10;3987 | 21;4088:2,22;4089:9, | 4073:10 |
| $23 ; 4067: 18,20 ; 4068: 8$ | 4075:11;4102:10 | 3997:25;4062:6; | 21;4090:2,13;4091:1,8, | Witkoff (12) |
| 4071:1;4117:18; | waterfall (4) | 4074:25;4166:10 | 12;4092:7;4100:23; | 4082:16,18,22 |
| 4118:1,15 | 4044:11;4048:7,8,16 | weekends (3) | 4117:9;4118:12; | 4083:23;4086:8,9,15; |
| Wait (3) | Waterfront (10) | 4020:21;4023:16; | 4119:11,14,17;4130:5; | 4164:22;4165:25; |
| 4041:16;4053:21; | 3976:22;3978:19; | 4040:20 | 4138:9,22;4145:18; | 4166:4;4167:3,4 |
| 4153:13 | 4012:19;4019:17; | weeks (6) | 4147:7,4149:19; | witness (88) |
| waiting (1) | 4047:22;4057:12,12, | 3997:12;4005:23; | 4155:4;4159:14; | 3974:16,19,19,23; |
| 4080:19 | 15;4058:10;4095:25 | 4006:3,7,18;4151:5 | 4162:4,14;4164:9,15; | 3975:2,6,12;3987:3,5, |
| waive (4) | waterway (1) | Weiss (1) | 4167:7 | $11 ; 3995: 8,9,12,15 ;$ |
| 4132:3;4133:5,16; | 4017:13 | 4143:24 | wherever (1) | 3996:5;3997:2,4,23; |
| 4134:9 | wave (1) | Weisselberg (11) | 4123:24 | 3998:15;4006:17,25; |
| waiving (2) | 4029:1 | 3984:19,22,23; | white (1) | 4007:11,16;4030:12; |
| 4003:14;4132:2 | waving (1) | 3985:11;3986:14; | 4135:16 | 4038:21,22,24;4039:1; |
| Wakefield (3) | 4131:24 | 4142:16,25;4143:10, | whole (16) | 4041:1;4054:20; |
| 4137:13;4149:12; | way (59) | 16,23;4145:23 | 3975:4;3978:6; | 4070:2,5,7;4080:6,7; |
| $4156: 2$ | 3981:25;3984:1; | Welcome (2) | 3986:11,25;4005:19; | 4081:1;4083:12; |
| walk (1) | 4001:15;4007:24; | 3987:4;4120:9 | 4012:4;4014:16; | 4085:23;4090:25; |
| 4025:10 | 4009:2,17;4013:21; | well-respected (1) | 4054:22;4089:3; | 4092:5;4093:5,10; |
| walked (1) | 4014:22,23;4015:8; | 4080:23 | 4115:4,8;4119:22; | 4099:2;4101:13; |
| 4110:13 | 4020:2;4028:14; | weren't (9) | 4128:4,6,13,14 | 4102:3;4103:1; |


| 4114:19;4115:16,20, | 4151:11,11;4157:1; | 4084:11;4085:6; | 7;4022:10,14;4023:17; | 10:00 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22;4119:2,3,8,16,17, | 4160:11 | 4151:23 | 4026:14,23;4028:21; | 4167:5,8 |
| 17,20,24;4120:4,7; | workers (2) | wrote (8) | 4035:2,4,19;4040:12; | 100 (3) |
| 4130:3,7;4131:8,13,19, | 4103:21,24 | 3998:20;4130:15; | 4045:7;4049:24; | 3999:21;4095:13; |
| 25;4133:13;4134:1,8, | working (18) | 4131:6;4144:4; | 4062:7;4063:4; | 4096:17 |
| 13;4136:20;4137:1; | 3976:21;3977:2; | 4145:22;4148:10; | 4079:23;4099:21; | 10022 (1) |
| 4138:1;4147:2,5,6,7; | 3987:8;3992:18; | 4149:22;4153:4 | 4100:2,11;4102:13,15; | 3975:13 |
| 4148:25;4151:7,20; | 4002:9,22;4021:14; | WWII (1) | $4103: 11,13,16,18,20 ;$ | 101 (1) |
| 4152:4;4153:15; | 4041:15;4047:12; | 4094:16 | 4104:10;4105:2; | 4095:19 |
| $4157: 12 ; 4158: 20$ | 4050:25;4051:3; |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4150: 11 ; 4151: 19,22, \\ & 24: 4152.14 .15: 4153: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 1015 (3) |
| witnesses (5) | 4121:10,12;4126: | X | $4158: 3 ; 4163: 11,14,16,$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4154: 1 \\ 1016(3) \end{array}$ |
| 3997:12;4070:12; | 4149:14 | XXX (2) | 19,20,23;4164:1 | 4158:21;4159:11,15 |
| 4119:5;4164:21; | works (5) | 4158:4,6 | Yorker (1) | 1017 (10) |
| 4166:3 | 4009:17;4028:15; |  | 4055:25 | 4145:2,16,19; |
| witness's (3) | 4036:4;4069:12; | Y | young (5) | 4162:6,10,11,16,20,21, |
| 4005:14;4134:20; | 4093:3 |  | 3979:3;4012:13; | 23 |
| 4138:16 | world (46) | yard (5) | 4077:19,20;4099:15 | 1018 (1) |
| Wolman (3) | 3976:25;3978:2; | 4072:17;4073:10; | Yukon (2) | 4100:20 |
| 4020:19;4099:14; | 3980:17;3981:6; | 4105:17,18,20 | 4002:14,15 | 1019 (3) |
| 4103:12 | 3987:24;3988:23; | Yards (7) |  | 3995:9;3998:24; |
| Women's (1) | 3990:19;3991:8,11; | 3976:22;3984:4; | Z | 3999:14 |
| 4056:12 | 3992:21;3993:5,24; | 4012:11,22;4049:4; |  | 102 (1) |
| won (3) | 3994:3;4008:19; | 4063:2;4078:14 | Zemil (1) | 4096:5 |
| 4073:15;4107:19; | 4011:15;4013:4,6; | year (20) | 4159:2 | 1020 (3) |
| 4108:1 | 4016:8,12,13;4017:1,3; | 3976:1,2;3985:20; | zero (1) | 4149:1,17,20 |
| wonderful (1) | 4018:18;4019:2; | 3998:16;4025:19; | 4148:3 | 104 (1) |
| 4024:11 | 4024:10;4026:18; | 4028:7,7;4043:7; | zip (2) | 4098:7 |
| wondering (1) | 4031:16;4035:18; | 4054:6;4062:1,2; | 4057:14;4063:4 | 105 (1) |
| 4100:7 | 4037:16;4040:3,8,20; | 4138:3;4140:11,11,13; | zone (3) | 4099:6 |
| woods (1) | 4041:6;4045:8,13; | 4156:11,19,22;4157:1, | 4079:20,25;4110:10 | 106 (1) |
| 4023:18 | 4056:11;4058:11; | 2 | zoned (7) | 4099:9 |
| word (7) | 4059:16;4062:11; | year-old (1) | $4150: 9,13 ; 4153: 8$ | 108 (1) |
| 3989:20;4012:10; | 4064:13;4078:15,24; | 4053:22 | 10,11,16,20 | 4102:5 |
| 4030:9,9;4037:19; | 4094:2;4097:25; | years (36) | zoning (10) | 109 (1) |
| 4040:23;4086:5 | 4108:2;4118:6 | 3977:10;3980:19,20; | 4013:16;4023:10; | 4102:12 |
| words (6) | world's (1) | 3984:24;3985:24; | 4034:10;4047:19; | 11 (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4001:3,11,19; } \\ & \text { 4135:2,4;4137:9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 40 \\ \text { Wor } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3993: 6 ; 3998: 7 ; \\ & \text { 4002:18;4008:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4054: 9 ; 4076: 14 \\ & 4079: 2,18 ; 4141: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 4147:21;4149:9 $110 \text { (1) }$ |
| work (30) | 4118:17 | 4013:8;4014:1; | 4164:6 | 4102:14 |
| 3977:12;3992:21; | worry (1) | 4021:17;4028:10; |  | 111 (1) |
| 3999:7;4002:21; | 4003:25 | 4029:13,18;4030:4; | 0 | 4104:17 |
| 4011:25;4022:2; | worrying (1) | 4045:20;4052:4,11; |  | 1111 (1) |
| 4027:25;4028:1; | 3994:12 | 4058:18,19;4061:2,4; | 06 (1) | 4120:7 |
| 4031:13;4040:19; | worth (10) | 4067:9;4094:13; | 3993:2 | 112 (1) |
| 4047:23;4052:12; | 3983:15,17,23; | 4096:17;4100:8; | 07 (1) | 4104:23 |
| 4066:22;4068:23; | 4006:20;4018:11; | 4102:21;4103:8,9,14; | 3993:2 | 114 (1) |
| 4070:3;4073:4; | 4083:1;4084:20; | 4104:10;4111:23; | 08 (2) | 4106:4 |
| 4076:25;4094:24; | 4090:21;4111:12; | 4156:21 | 4028:20,22 | 116 (1) |
| 4108:8;4109:16,17; | 4125:19 | Year's (1) | 09 (1) | 4108:11 |
| 4111:5,19;4121:17; | worthless (2) | 4012:15 | 4054:12 | 117 (1) |
| 4134:14,17,17,21,23; | 4072:12,19 | Yep (1) |  | 4108:25 |
| 4135:6,8;4143:9; | wound (1) | 4046:3 | 1 | 118 (1) |
| 4144:13;4155:25; | 4106:25 | yield (2) |  | 4109:3 |
| 4156:25;4160:4 | Wrigley (1) | 4130:24;4136:9 | 1 (1) | 119 (1) |
| worked (22) | 4064:22 | Yogurt (1) | 4159:9 | 4109:5 |
| 3982:12;3984:25; | write (2) | 4107:8 | 1,000 (1) | 12 (3) |
| 3985:7,17,20;3986:17, | 4136:8;4145:24 | York (62) | 4103:18 | 4002:17;4014:5; |
| 22;3993:25;4004:22; | writing (1) | 3975:13,13;3977:2; | 1.3 (4) | 4038:19 |
| 4012:19;4034:5; | $4143: 22$ | 3981:22;4003:7,11; | 4084:7;4085:5,12; | $120 \text { (2) }$ |
| 4047:21;4057:20; | written (1) | $4005: 2,12,16,18,18,20$ | 4086:23 | 4012:18;4109:20 |
| 4062:15;4066:12,13; | 4001:2 | 4008:7,17;4012:22,24; | 10 (1) | 1200s (1) |
| 4100:9;4149:12; | wrong (3) | 4013:9;4014:1;4021:6, | 4028:20 | 4075:2 |


| $\begin{aligned} & 121 \text { (1) } \\ & 4109: 24 \end{aligned}$ | 4018:18;4025:20 1920s (1) | $\begin{gathered} 4105: 4 \\ 2012(8) \end{gathered}$ | 4025:3;4053:9,9; 4090:11:4116:3; | 49(1) ${ }^{\text {4 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1920s (1) | $2012 \text { (8) }$ | 4090:11;4116:3; | 49 (1) |
| 122 (1) | 4053:14 | 4027:10;4028:18; | 4155:9 | 4043:2 |
| 4110:17 | 1976 (2) | 4074:10;4077:9,14; | 30,000 (1) | 499 (1) |
| 123 (1) | 4004:16;4005:12 | 4087:13;4142:16; | 4096:12 | 4086:19 |
| 4110:25 | 1983 (1) | 4143:22 | 30,000-foot (1) |  |
| 124 (1) | 4008:6 | 2013 (1) | 4106:14 | 5 |
| 4111:2 | 1984 (2) | 4130:15 | 301 (1) |  |
| 12th (1) | 4012:13;4013:12 | 2014 (5) | 4035:8 | 5 (2) |
| 4091:22 | 1985 (1) | 4093:25;4147:21; | 30-plus (1) | 4145:12,22 |
| 13 (2) | 4016:4 | 4149:9;4154:22; | 3985:20 | 50 (1) |
| 4002:18;4014:9 | 1994 (1) | 4155:9 | 30s (1) | 3984:24 |
| 13th (2) | 4022:24 | 2015 (10) | 3981:8 | 500 (1) |
| 4048:4,17 | 1995 (1) | 4099:18,23;4102:18; | 31 (1) | 4033:23 |
| 14 (2) | 4026:12 | 4105:9,11;4116:4; | 4150:7 | 502 (1) |
| 4142:16;4167:8 | 1997 (1) | 4145:12,22;4146:12; | 31-32 (1) | 4049:12 |
| 140 (1) | 4033:6 | 4159:9 | 4054:24 | 50s (1) |
| 4012:17 | 1999 (2) | 2016 (3) | 3194 (1) | 3977:7 |
| 1412 (1) | 4039:10,13 | 4106:21;4111:8,17 | 4153:24 | 57 (1) |
| 4142:6 |  | 2017 (9) | 32 (1) | 4048:1 |
| 1465 (1) | 2 | 3976:20;3982:2,3, | 4025:13 | 57th (1) |
| 4130:4 |  | 18,23;3984:14; | 3217 (1) | 4063:19 |
| 14-minute (1) | 2:15 (2) | 3985:11;3986:13; | 4157:13 | 58 (1) |
| 4146:25 | 4092:4,8 | 3994:20 | 3261 (1) | 4048:3 |
| 14th (1) | 20 (4) | 2021 (7) | 4147:17 | 59 (1) |
| 4076:1 | 3993:6;4020:13; | 3982:23;3984:9; | 33 (1) | 4048:14 |
| 15 (3) | 4064:25;4100:7 | 3985:2,3;3986:13; | 4025:17 | 59th (1) |
| 3984:4;4019:9; | 200 (3) | 4103:15;4104:11 | 35-year (1) | 4014:24 |
| 4038:18 | 4012:17;4014:7; | 2022 (18) | 3985:6 |  |
| 150 (3) | 4088:15 | 4080:2,21,24; | 36 (1) | 6 |
| 4075:7;4076:5; 4094:13 | 2000 (1) | 4081:2,4,14,16;4082:6; | 4031:1 |  |
| $4094: 13$ 1503 (1) | 3976:2 | 4083:2;4084:6;4085:4, | 37 (3) | $6(1)$ |
| 4035:9 | 4120:8 | 24, | 4039:5 | 60 (1) |
| 15-minute (1) | 2000's (3) | 2023 (1) | 38 (1) | 4029:17 |
| 4039:3 | 3977:20;4019:6; | 4167:8 | 4032:1 | 600 (2) |
| 16 (6) | 4036:15 | 2025 (1) | 39 (1) | 4088:11,13 |
| 4017:25;4043:16; | 2001 (6) | 4116:1 | 4032:5 | 61 (1) |
| 4064:19;4143:22; | 3976:15,20;4013:13; | 20s (3) | 3A (1) | 4051:12 |
| 4150:7;4153:16 | 4045:7;4046:23; | 3981:8;4055:7; | 4034:24 | 62 (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 160(1) \\ & 4012: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 4047:4 | 4060:16 | 4 | 4052:15 |
| 16th (1) | 4047:6 | 3984:4 |  | 4054:23 |
| 4130:15 | 2004 (1) | 21 (1) | 4:00 (1) | 65 (1) |
| 17 (1) | 4049:7 | 4019:15 | 4147:1 | 4056:16 |
| 4018:5 | 2005 (1) | 220 (1) | 40 (14) | 68 (2) |
| 170 (2) | 4057:11 | 4012:17 | 4026:8;4027:5; | 4058:4;4113:8 |
| 4127:24,25 | 2006 (1) | 23 (1) | 4028:6;4031:2,7; | 68th (1) |
| 17th (3) | 4054:12 | 4019:25 | 4032:25;4113:24; | 4010:2 |
| 4042:17;4166:19,20 | 2007 (1) | 240 (2) | 4114:11,14;4115:25; |  |
| 18 (5) | 4058:22 | 4012:17;4014:10 | 4117:12;4148:6; | 7 |
| 3976:5;4004:17; | 2008 (3) | 25 (5) | 4150:9;4153:4 |  |
| 4043:5;4088:11; | 4059:4,23;4096:19 | 3994:14;4013:8; | 40-something (1) | 7 (2) |
| 4100:13 | 2009 (3) | 4014:1;4021:17; | 4008:22 | 4017:24;4060:17 |
| 180 (1) | 4062:4;4063:9; | 4121:11 | 40-unit (2) | 70 (2) |
| 4012:17 | 4065:24 | 29 (1) | 4150:11;4153:6 | 4029:17;4053:22 |
| 18th (4) | 200-acre (1) | 4024:17 | 45 (1) | 70s (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4041:24;4088:6; } \\ & \text { 4089:25;4113:19 } \end{aligned}$ | 4126:17 200-something (2) | 3 | $4006: 9$ 46 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4003:9;4005:9 } \\ & 71(7) \end{aligned}$ |
| 19 (1) | 4023:3,12 |  | 4042:15 | 4061:11;4153:17,21; |
| 4018:19 | 2011 (5) | 3.67 (1) | 47 (2) | $4154: 9,11,12,14$ |
| 1900s (4) | 4027:9;4072:2; | 4116:1 | 4041:23;4148:2 | $72 \text { (1) }$ |
| 4002:17;4003:14; | 4103:14;4104:10; | 30 (6) | 47-unit (1) | 4061:14 |
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THE COURT: Defendants, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. ROBERT: Good morning, Your Honor. The Defendants call Steve Witkoff to the stand.
(Whereupon, the witness took the stand.)
COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand.
STEVEN WITKOFF, after having
first been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
Please state your name and either home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Steven Witkoff and I reside at 1400 West 28th Street, Miami Beach, Florida 33140.

THE COURT: Please commence.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERT:
Q Good morning, Mr. Witkoff.
A Good morning.
Q I am going to ask you a series of questions this morning. And to introduce you to the Court I am going to ask you some background questions.

Where did you grow up, sir?
A I was originally born in the Bronx, spent my early
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years there. Moved to 1 Baldwin Harbor in the early '60s, and then over to Old Westbury when I was, I think, 14 years old.

Q And what about your educational background, sir?
A I went to elementary school in Baldwin.
Went to the Wheatley School in --
THE COURT: I have heard of it.
A I went to the Wheatley High School, and graduated from high school there.

Went to Union College.
Then from Union College went to, graduated from
Hofstra University, where I also went to law school.
Q And what year did you graduate from Hofstra, sir?
A Law School?
Q Yes, sir?
A 1983.
Q Okay. I want to talk to you a little bit about the earlier part of your career. What, if anything, did you do when you got out of law school?

A I worked for a real estate law firm by the name of Dreyer \& Traub.

Q And what did you do at Dreyer \& Traub other than, obviously, be a lawyer?

A My first year and a half was litigation. And then I was lucky enough to get into the real estate department there.

Q And what exactly did you do in the real estate
department at that firm, sir?
A I worked on closings, among them for the President. And then many other -- Dreyer \& Traub was probably the leading boutique real estate firm at the time.

Q And for how long did you work there, sir?
A Wow, I think around maybe three years.
Q And your practice was primarily in the area of real estate litigation or real estate transactional work or something else?

A First 18 months litigation; and last 18 months closings transactions.

Q And you mentioned something about the President. When was it that you first met President Trump?

A It had to have been in the 18 months when I was in the real estate transactions group.

Q And then you came to know him again years later, correct?

A I did.
Q After that little bit?
A Idid.
Q Okay. What did you do after you decided to -withdrawn.

At some point you left that firm, correct?
A Yes.
Q And what did you decide to do at that point, sir?
estate market.
Q And where are the holdings of that company located?
A New York City, some. We have a large presence in south Florida today. Los Angeles as well. We have two properties out in Los Angeles. We have done some transactions in Europe as well. That's, for the most part, where we are today.

Q What, if any, associations are you a member of in the real estate world?

A Well, you mean, when you say, "associations" you mean boards or things of that sort?

Q Yes, sir.
A So, I, we, are members of the real estate board. I used to sit on the executive committee of the real estate board in my 40s. I am a Trustee at Hofstra University. That's - I think that's about it, but I get forgetful a little bit.

Q Any association with the university of Miami, sir?
A Yes. I have a pretty large involvement there.
Q What is that?
A I have two children that went there. One of my children passed away, as you know, Andrew. I talk about him, so I am good with that. And so because Andrew went there, and to the business school, and my youngest son Zack, I -- I am involved on certain boards with the business school and I do some other things with the school.
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A I spent a year at another law firm, and then left that -- and then left that law firm and began trying to grow a real estate business.

Q And how did you go about trying to do that, sir?
A I left with a partner who was from Dreyer \& Traub, his name is Larry Glock, and we started a business together called Stellar Management, S-T-E-L-L-A-R, which stood for Steve and Larry. And we began buying Freddie Mac walk-up buildings at the time; and then graduated into some other things in the Bronx and Washington Heights.

Q And what, if anything, progressed with regard to that business, sir?

A Well, we grew a portfolio, probably two or 3,000 units. We were proud of it. Both of us were from, you know, relatively modest beginnings early on. And in 1997 we split up. We still remain friends to this day. He took the, mostly, the residential properties. We had since graduated into office buildings, and I moved more into the, to running the office building portion of the business.

Q And did you continue with that firm once there was a split or did you start a new company?

A No, in 1997 we started the Witkoff Group.
Q And what exactly is the Witkoff Group, sir?
A So it is a holding and management company that owns/develops real estate in all different sectors of the real
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Q And any involvement with the John F. Kennedy Center for the Performing Arts?

A Yes. I am a Trustee at the Kennedy Center.
Q If we were to describe what you do for a living, would it be fair to characterize that as a developer?

A Yes.
Q So what is a developer?
A I think a developer is someone who looks at a property and gives some thought to what else it can be.

Q And what exactly do you do as a developer, in general terms?

A You are rezoning; re-entitling; thinking about what the possibilities are; what the changes are in a particular community; and what is needed and what is not needed.

Q So what I want to do for a few moments here is take you through some of the projects you have been involved in so we can show the Court the experience you have in this field. Are you familiar with a project --

MR. ROBERT: And no slide show today, Judge. We are going to do it the old-fashioned way.
Q Are you familiar with a project at 150 Charles Street, sir?

A Yes, a condominium in the West Village that I built.
Q What, if any, involvement did the Witkoff Group have with that, sir; you personally?
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A Yes.
Q What is that project, sir?
A That's a deal, that transaction, that we bought that sort of in a bankruptcy like situation. It was a 363 Sale that we bid on against certain others. It is on Madison Square Park. Originally slated to be an office building, we converted it into a -- into a condominium.

Q And how long did that project take, sir?
A I would say approximately five or six years.
Q Okay. Are you familiar with a project known as 111 Murray Street in TriBeCa?

A Yes. That's a site that we built with the Fisher Brothers. Began it in 2013, delivered it to the market in 2018 or '19, I want to say.

Q And what did that project entail, sir?
A Again, a residential condominium in downtown -- in the financial district of downtown Manhattan.

Q And what, if anything, had been there before this development that you --

A That was a vacant site.
Q That was a vacant site?
A Yeah.
Q So your experience is in developing vacant sites as well as reconstructing that which is already there, fair?

A Fair.

Q Are you familiar with a project at 20 Exchange Place?
A Yes.
Q And what was that project, sir?
A That was the building next to -- it was an office building. And we bought it in response to Mayor Guliani's Downtown Revitalization Plan.

Q What was that plan, sir -- withdrawn.
What approximate year did that plan come into existence, if you know?

A I think it was first promulgated in 1992, I want to say. And we probably bought that building in '96.

Q And I cut you off before, you were explaining what you did with the project, sir, why this plan from Mayor Guliani worked for you.

A That downtown revitalization plan was looking to incentivize and encourage the transformation of $B$ and $C$ office buildings into residential projects, so that you would get a 24-hour, seven day a week, community-like environment in downtown.

Q Are you familiar with a project at 1 Broadway, sir?
A Yes.
Q What was that project?
A That was an office building, beautiful office building, right at the front of Broadway that we bought in, again, in 1996. And that we actually converted into an office
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property and leased it to a law firm. I don't know if they are still in existence Kenyon and Kenyon. They were an intellectual property law firm.

Q And anything else about the 1 Broadway project that is remarkable to you?

A Yeah, what we paid for it back then. No one thought it was, you know, people just didn't think the market existed down there for office, and it did.

Q What brought you to the conclusion that there was a good market to buy in?

A I don't know. Maybe we were just, you know, hopeful, aspirational. So, but I always -- I always, my whole life was guided by the fear of failure. So we always questioned our beliefs. We just thought that New York City would always rise and the market was just too cheap down there, not to take advantage of $i t$.

Q You used the words "hopeful" and "aspirational," how do those two words work as a person who is a developer, in your mindset?

A Well, I think you have to marry that with being cautious. So we are cautious and prudent, but you have to believe to be a developer. You have to believe in a partnership between the business community and government. You have to believe that financing markets exist. You have to understand supply and demand dynamics and what -- where the
possibilities are, where there is a derth of housing or where there is a derth of office supply. It is all of those things.

Q Are you familiar with a project involving the Woolworth building, sir?

A Yes, we own that today.
Q Let's talk about that. When was it you acquired the Woolworth building?

A 1996 -- excuse me. 1998.
Q And what were the plans that the Witkoff Group had at the time for that property?

A We were partners with a few others including, Ruby Sloan, so not just us, but good, decent, smart guys. And our plan was an office building conversion with the possibility of converting the top of the building into residential, which of course was allowed under the downtown revitalization plan.

Q And was that one of the reasons why you and your group decided to buy the Woolworth building?

A Yes.
Q When you were evaluating, what you would do with the Woolworth building, what were you considering?

A Both. To me it was always important if you were buying real estate that you had multiple exit strategies on a particular piece of property. And the Woolworth property clearly allowed for that. You could - you could put a hotel there if you wanted to. You could put residential there. The
condominiums.
Q When was that, sir?
A I want to say 2003, maybe. 2004.
Q Are you familiar with a job known as One High Line, sir?

A Yes, that's -- that's a project that is in construction today.

Q And what is that current construction going to be for?

A So that's a project on the entire block spanning 17th and 18th Street. We bought -- it was a defaulted note and mortgage coming out of COVID. And we bought that, us and a family office. And we continued on with the development. We are in the process of -- right now it comprises a half a million square feet of condominium, a hotel that is going to come to that marketplace, parking, and some retail. And we are just finishing up construction on it. And we probably sold, I guess, maybe in the 40 or 45 percent range of the condominiums there.

Q Sir, we have gone through some of the developments you have been involved in in New York. I want to draw your attention to some in Florida for a few moments.

Are you familiar with a job which Ill call the Shore Club job?

A Yes.
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whole thing could convert to residential. It didn't work because of the depth of the bottom floors, but the top was ultimately converted to residential, and it worked as an office property as well.

Q And what is the current status of the Woolworth building today?

A It is a condominium at the top of the building and in its tower; and it is an office building down below.

Q Realizing there are always challenges being a developer, was there anything significant after the acquisition of the Woolworth building that was an unforeseen challenge to you?

A I mean, the biggest challenge to us was in 1998 you had the Russian bond collapse, and we were right in the middle of closing it, and that was pretty hairy. So, but there was probably plenty of other things along the way. I was - I was at the site when the planes -- when the planes hit the World Trade Center, so I was there. I walked the American flag up to the top of the Woolworth building the next day. I was on a rescue rope line with two NYPD officers, who were friends of mine, that day. And yet the Woolworth building survived. We slept the 10th precinct cops there, the ESU guys were there. So if it survived that, it would survive anything.

Q Are you familiar with a project, 55 Wall Street?
A Yes. That we converted into the Cipriani

Q What is that, sir, and why is that located -- first of all, where is that located?

A That's between 19th and 20th Streets on Collins Avenue, also facing the beach.

Q That would be in Miami, correct?
A Correct.
Q Generally what is that job, sir?
A First of all, it is another property that came out of the same distress situation that One High Line came out of. So both of those properties we were acting as an adviser to the senior credit company that had extended loans to this particular development company. And in the course of advising them on that, we went out and bought these two properties with that senior credit company.

Q And what is that going to be eventually?
A It was fully a hotel. We were able to take it successfully through a re-entitlement and rezoning in Miami Beach. And now it is going to be, in part, a small boutique hotel. And the larger portion of it will be a condominium done by Bob Stern, who, interestingly enough, started Robert A. Stern, who I think is the most important residential architect out there, who started his career in Florida.

And it goes to what I talked about, which is the changing of the neighborhood in South Beach. I think Florida has come to a place where residential -- residential use is
more important than hotel use. That whole spring break, sort of, mania that was going on became unacceptable down there. So our development plan became in response to those sorts of things.

Q And are you familiar with a project I'll refer to as the Shell Bay Golf Club, sir?

A Yes. It is S-H-E-L-L and new word B-A-Y.
So that's an -- it used to be the Old Diplomat Golf Club. It was part of the Diplomat Hotel. Sold off for development. Went through, probably, I would say, three iterations of development plans. And then we came to it and had a different notion of what would happen there. And we are right in the middle of building it today.

Q And sir, with regard to these one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight -- these ten or 11 jobs, have there been more over the years that you have been involved with as a developer?

A Oh, yeah.
Q Can you approximate how many?
A I mean, we probably -- in the entire course of our career, including Stellar Management?

Q Yes, sir.
A I could have been involved in 125 transactions.
Q And sir, during the course of those transactions, were you ever in a position to value the assets which you held?

Witkoff - by Defendant - Direct(Robert)
Page 4185

A Sure.
Q And did you have a view as to how you would value those assets?

A Yes. It differed, right, from asset to asset. But yes.

Q And generally how does a developer value an asset of a piece of property?

MR. AMER: Can I just ask for what purpose?
This expert is not an appraiser.
MR. ROBERT: I am going to be clear. He is not
an MII appraiser at all. In a moment I'll move him in as an expert to discuss how developers view value. He is not an appraiser at all.

MR. AMER: For what purpose though?
MR. ROBERT: It goes to the underlying issue of the intent.

MR. AMER: Value for what purpose? A tax donation, you know? You know I am just trying to understand what his testimony about valuing properties is in the context of. I think it is a fair point to understand.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask the witness.
Can properties be valued for different purposes? THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. So let's go with that.

MR. ROBERT: Okay.
Q And sir, what are some of the examples of some of those different purposes?

A Well, I mean, I'll give you what I would consider to be a classic example. So in 1996 we bought 10 Hanover, which was owned by Leona Helmsley and was formerly the headquarters of Kidder Peabody.

So it was half a million square foot building. We paid $\$ 10$ million for that building, so $\$ 50$ a square foot. It is inconceivable, right, in this day and age that it would go for that price. But the game plan was either residential conversion or office deal. We did an office deal with Goldman Sachs that only had seven years of term attached to it. That would have a certain value. As -- and we were hoping that Goldman Sachs would extend that lease. They did not.

We then switched and converted it to an office -- to a residential property, and that would have a certain value. Depending on the then residential -- residential market and what you were going to get in rents; and it turned out to have a substantially higher value than what the Goldman Sachs than what it would have been valued at if the Goldman Sachs lease had been extended.

So that's an example of different values.
Q When you are looking at it from the standpoint of a developer looking at a building that you bought, what are some
of the things that go into your mind when you are deciding how to value that for your purposes?

A Those different opportunities to develop in that way: That it could be used as an office building; it could be used as a residential building; it could be used as a hotel. So all of those different -- and then figuring out, pragmatically, which way to go. And so all of those you would assign, in theory, different values to it.

Q And throughout the course of your career, you have done this on countless occasions?

A I would say to you that we uniformly think exactly like that on every deal that we do.

MR. ROBERT: I am going to get into some more specific questions, Your Honor, but at this time I move Mr. Witkoff in as an expert in how developers view value. And I'll ask him specific questions.

MR. AMER: I think he can be qualified as an expert in the field of real estate development. But I don't think there is a field known as real estate developer valuation. Valuation is the provision of appraisers. So like I say, I think he can be qualified as an expert in the field of real estate development and that's it.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, this is precisely part of the issue in this case and the heart of the case.

1 Valuation is not exclusively the province of appraisers,
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head of a pin here a little bit. He is an expert in real estate development. As part of that expertise, he has an understanding of how real estate developers value property. That may be a better way to frame it.

THE COURT: All right. So how about - I am trying to work this out.

MR. ROBERT: That's fine.
MR. AMER: We have no problem with him being qualified as an expert in the field of real estate development.

## THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KISE: And he can express his opinion as to value based on that.

MR. ROBERT: Correct.
MR. AMER: Subject to relevance objections and other objections that we may have. That's separate.

THE COURT: I hereby deem you an expert in real estate development. And yes, you can talk about value, but we will have to see how -- what the context is, et cetera.
Q So congratulations on being an expert Mr. Witkoff.
A Thank you. Thank you.
Q I want to go back to something you said earlier, you had originally met Mr. Trump when you had worked at your law firm, correct?

A Yes.
Q And at some point did you lose contact with him?
A Yes.
Q And at some point did you have new contact with him?
A Yes.
Q What were the circumstances surrounding that and when was it?

A Well, it was probably 1986. I was an associate working on a case. We were at 101 Park Avenue at the time. It had to be 3:00 in the morning, those were the days of those crazy hours. And he was -- I knew who he was. He didn't know who I was. And he didn't have any money with him and I was ordering from a local delicatessen for the people who were on my team. And he asked me if I would order him a ham and swiss sandwich. So that was the first time I met him.

Q And when was the next time you saw him after that?
A I might have seen him, you know, at the firm a couple of times after that before I left. But the next time was probably, I would say, six, seven or eight years later.

Q And what were the circumstances surrounding that, sir?

A I don't remember it exactly. I remember vaguely I was at a restaurant, walked up said hello, introduced myself. He actually remembered who I was, and remembered the sandwich incident. And we just, you know, had just developed a, you
know, a friendship as a result of it.
Q And how many years ago was that approximately?
A I would say that's 20 years ago.
Q And how would you describe your relationship with President Trump over the last 20 years?

A I would say that he has been a really good friend to me and my family, particularly after the death of my son. And so I try to -- I am a good friend of his right on back.

Q And sir, are you being compensated for your time to testify here as an expert today?

A No.
Q Okay. I am going to ask you a series of questions now, Mr. Witkoff, and I am going to ask that all of your opinions that you give, each one be within a reasonable degree of certainty as an expert as a real estate developer. Fair, sir?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So when you view real estate assets for value, what are you viewing them to be?

A I am sorry, can you --
Q Sure. When you are viewing real estate assets for what the value can be, what are the factors that are going into your mind when you are looking to do that?

A What the use is; how much money can be derived from that use; in other words, the return on investment. Those

1 are -- those are the -- those are the essential ingredients. 2 That's what undergirds an underwriting.

A So, we had to take it through all of those things. And today sitting there is, you know, a big hotel.

Q And is that something that would have gone into the thought process like when you acquired the Woolworth building and other properties?

A Yes.
Q And sir, you have heard there was a little colloquy with us and the Attorney General a moment ago about appraisals. Are appraisals something that are a factor when you are determining factor as a developer?

A I would say, yes.
Q In what fashion?
A I mean, they are a guide post. And often times appraisers get it right, but often times they don't get it right. I have plenty of examples of appraisers not getting it right. But appraisers do what they do. They have certain methodologies: Cash discounted, cash flow methodology; replacement value methodology. So they have different methodologies. But there are real life examples I have encountered in my 37 years where they don't get it right. They don't know the market well enough or what is trending in the marketplace.

## THE COURT: Hold on.

(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

THE COURT: Hold on. My mind is still turning about a question that I'm not sure you answered that we've really explored.

Is there a difference between present value and future value? For example, if a building has a certain use in a certain community, and normally you would think well, okay, it's worth a hundred million dollars, but you think that the neighborhood is going to just bloom, rents are going to go up, et cetera, so you think ten years from now it will be generating a lot more money, but so, my question is still, isn't this still present value? You are predicting how much cash profit it will throw off in the future, but all you could do is measure its value. Mind you, there's no such thing as present value as opposed to future value, but I would like you to educate me, if you can.

THE WITNESS: So it sounds like you are a little bit of a real estate person.

THE COURT: Well, I've gotten there.
THE WITNESS: No, but you're going through it and it's interesting.

Let me start -- I'll give you an example, current day example. We bought a piece of property in downtown Miami right next to the train station. So mass transportation in Florida is a huge deal, it's getting
congested on the highways down there, and this train going from Miami up to Orlando is a really, really big deal, we own those five acres there, and rents have moved since we bought those -- that acreage two and a half years ago, by $\$ 2$ a square foot. We are detecting the same sort of seismic rental moves in Miami as New York experienced in the mid 1990s.

We bought at $\$ 18$ million an acre. We are aware of multiple trades in the marketplace at $\$ 60$ million an acre. We are now looking for construction financing on the job because we own a very good site in a strong rental market, and we have an appraiser who came in and told us that the site is worth $\$ 20$ million an acre, not the $\$ 60$ million an acre that multiple sites -- multiple acres in the area have traded for.

So there is an appraiser who doesn't understand the rental market, doesn't understand the comparables of what has occurred in that marketplace. That doesn't make him completely wrong in his assessments. It just makes him wrong in this particular - in this particular example. And it happens, it does happen. He is not from Florida. He doesn't understand what is happening there. He doesn't understand how much migration is coming into the marketplace, and so it's hard for him to put the type of value on it.

Page 4197
definition of what estimated current value is under GAAP; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q Following up on the Judge's questioning, so when you are looking at the value of something today, like you are looking at the Woolworth Building today, are you looking at the value of what necessarily just the rent rolls are generating today, or what can I do with the property down the road?

MR. AMER: Objection. Leading.
I think he we should just ask the witness what is he looking at.

THE COURT: All right. Stand on ceremony on that one?

MR. AMER: It's not background, your Honor. We are now into the meat of his examination.

THE COURT: You would have to ask him what he is looking at. Do you look at --

MR. ROBERT: I'll withdraw the question.
Q So when you are looking at a piece of property, for example, we'll take -- actually we'll make it easier. We are standing in the shoes of -- the Woolworth Building was when '97? A '97.
Q So let's pretend we are back in 1997 and you and your group are deciding to purchase the Woolworth Building for a certain amount of money. What is it that you are looking at in

Now, we went to two other appraisers who were much larger than him and their values are substantially higher than his. So appraisers do differ.

THE COURT: That didn't do the trick for me. Maybe for other people.

MR. ROBERT: I was going to ask it again of the witness. If you want to read back your original question, I think it's a good question.

THE COURT: My question was a bit meandering because it was not totally formed.

Let me ask this: Is it the case at that all real estate people understand what estimated current value means?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: What other values do you, as an expert developer, take into account?

THE WITNESS: I think we take into account where we see a market going. I think we take into account where we see rent growth going.

THE COURT: But then isn't that your version of estimated current value?

THE WITNESS: Yes, in that particular example, yes. THE COURT: Okay. Take it away.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, your Honor.
Q And that's estimated current value to you as a
developer. You are not an accounting expert who could give us a
terms of the value of that building? Are you looking at in terms of the rent roll, or something else?

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERT: I'm sorry, I'll strike the last portion of my question. Sorry.
A We are looking at that moment what the rent roll is, what the cash flow is, and what we -- what the vacancy is in the building, whether we think we can lease office space in that building, in that vacant area, and at what price.

We are looking at what we think the taxes are going to go up to so we can formulate a view on net cash flow. And then we are looking at if we don't -- if we don't, um, have a healthy office leasing market, what are the alternatives to be done there. In that particular case it would have been hospitality or residential conversion of some sort multi-family rental or condominium.

Q When you testified earlier you used a phrase "exit plan" or "exit strategy." What was the phrase you used?

A Yes.
Q Which one, exit plan or exit strategy, or does it not matter?

A I said that we -- I said that we have -- well, I didn't say this, but I'm going to say it. That we -- the best deals are the ones that have multiple exit strategies.
Q And in your mind, is an exit strategy something that is
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only used in a bad case scenario or a good case scenario, or something in between?

A Well, I think exit strategies can mitigate bad outcomes, and so that doesn't always mean that you are going to always have a good outcome. But exit strategies can mitigate bad outcomes and enhance good outcomes because things change. I mean it's just what goes on. You could wake up one day and have an oversupply of hospitality in a New York City, and ten years later you have no construction that sector and now you have an opportunity to do a hospitality deal that may make sense in a particular building.

Q One of the things you spoke -- you were asked to be an expert about was a value associated with Doral, do you remember that, sir.
A Yes.
Q And sir, what were the factors that you thought about as to how Doral should be valued?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'm going to interject an objection on relevance grounds. I don't understand how Doral is relevant to this case. We didn't challenge the Doral valuation in any of the Statements of Financial Condition. And his view of valuing Doral today, as I understand he is being asked about, can't possibly have any relevance.

I think they are going to argue that somehow Doral
was undervalued in the past, and that therefore I guess you can set off the amount that they undervalued Doral against inflated values for other assets like Seven Springs, or the cash; that's just not a nonsensical argument, your Honor. I don't see how Doral is in this case at all.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: I'm just going to pause a second in case you plan on ruling in my favor and I don't have to say anything. So --

THE COURT: Slim chance, but.
MR. KISE: So, indeed.
So Mr. Amer anticipated, in his usual fashion, precisely at least part of our point. So I think your Honor will recall, from at least the directed verdict discussion, the most recent discussion of this subject, but throughout the course of these proceedings, this whole concept, this word, this materiality word, and fairly presented words, these words that are not necessarily built into every statutory claim, but they are certainly built into the actual certifications and guaranties that were signed. Those words cannot be read out of those documents. "Fairly presented", "material." So the purpose of the guaranty is not to, and the purpose of the certifications, in our view, and I think -- not only I think, is it correct, I think it's supported by the documents themselves, it's not to say that

1 every single number is precise down to what the Attorney

General thinks it should be or what your Honor thinks it should be, or what anyone in this courtroom thinks it should be. It's that overall, the financial position of the guarantor is fairly presented.

So, yes, it is highly -- it is extraordinarily relevant if there are assets that are undervalued, particularly substantially, on those same statements, well, then, okay, then that does go to offset values that are maybe overvalued in your opinion or their opinion. They can't look at this one sided. You can't take a Statement of Financial Condition and say I only want to look at the things I think are wrong with it. No, you have to look at the picture, financially, as a whole. That's exactly what the documents themselves say. And materiality is viewed in -- through that lens. And you are going to hear more and more testimony about that.

So to the extent that some of this that we are talking about needs to be subject to connection, I can represent to you that that connection is going to be made, perhaps even with the next witness. But the idea that we just ignore things that they didn't challenge because they are somehow irrelevant, they don't get to pick cherry pick like they did in their complaint.

We now get to present the Court the full picture.

1 The Court will weigh what it views of the full picture, but 2 we certainly get to present what the full picture of Donald
3 J. Trump's financial condition was during these various 4 periods, and whether or not, as presented holistically,
there was any material defect, or there was any failure to fairly present his financial position.

So I think it's highly relevant, these different values. And this witness is just one small piece of the puzzle, but I don't see any basis that it doesn't come in on relevance, because they, by their filings, by -- as the paragraphs that I put up during the directed verdict where they got materiality, materiality, materiality. I mean, it's just over and over. It must be 50 mentions of it. By the very documents that are at issue that are claimed to be false. The certifications; that language is used. Fair presentation is used. All of that brings into full view of the Court for its analysis the overall financial picture, not just the pieces that they are challenging.

So they don't get to say it's irrelevant because we didn't challenge it. No, everything about the Statement of Financial Condition is now on the table.

THE COURT: So give me a second. It's your position, is it your position that if a Statement of Financial Condition lists two properties and turns out, or it's decided -- I decide that one is overvalued by $\$ 300$
million and one is undervalued by $\$ 300$ million, that the -it balances out and therefore there's no misstatement, fraud, whatever you want to call it.

MR. KISE: Okay. So let's separate, because I think this --

THE COURT: That's a yes or no question.
MR. KISE: Yes, the answer is yes, that our position is that. And if I can explain why.

Let's separate out where we were and where we are, because I think this is an important distinction, because everyone is running around saying "Fraud, fraud, fraud, fraud. This is fraud." No, that has not been decided yet. What's been decided by this court is --

THE COURT: Wait a minute.
MR. KISE: Let me finish, and you'll understand what I'm saying, please.

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. KISE: What has been decided by the Court is a violation of 63(12), but as your Honor knows, and we disagree with one of these pieces, but I'll go through all four. As your Honor knows fraud, fraud, in the traditional sense, in the understanding of the law, requires intent, materiality, reliance and damages, all four. None of those four, including materiality, which we argued about, but you disagreed with, respectfully, none of those four have been
decided. There hasn't been any decision that there's intent. There's no materiality determination, no reliance determination, no damage and no harm determination.

THE COURT: You are leaving out one thing, traditional fraud. There was a fifth, scienter, that they knew the person.

MR. KISE: Intent. I'm incorporating that in the concept of intent. Yes, your Honor, you are correct. Scienter, intent. I'm merging those concepts, and perhaps legally they should be segregated.

But the point is that fraud hasn't been
established. So a misstatement under 63(12) is not necessarily fraud, other than the colloquial expression of it the way it's framed under the statute, but there is a big separation in the law and a big material separation in the law, if I could use that word, between a 63(12) violation freestanding, and actual fraud, fraudulent intent. That's what these predicate statutes that they are relying on --

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm going to have to cut you off.

MR. KISE: All right.
THE COURT: I'm not looking for a speech. And you said you were going to answer my question.

MR. KISE: I did.
THE COURT: You did. You said, "Yes", you think it
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balances out, therefore it's okay.
MR. KISE: In this context, yes. In this context it does.

THE COURT: Let me ask the Attorney General side. Do you agree with my -- by the way, I keep thinking of the person that's standing on a stove with one foot, and a block of ice with the other, and says, "I feel fine. It balances out."

Attorney General, do you think they balance out?
MR. AMER: Of course not. Your Honor, that's nonsense. I mean, are they going to put Mr. McConney on the stand to say, "in 2015 I fraudulently inflated", you know, value $X$, but it was okay because --

THE COURT: Hold on one second. I'm going to ask that the witness be excused again. There's a nice room back there for you. Try not to be --

MR. KISE: We probably should have done that.
THE COURT: There's no food or anything, but this isn't an office.

Sorry to interrupt.
MR. WALLACE: That's okay.
THE COURT: Let's go back to "it's nonsense", whatever you call it.

MR. AMER: This is nonsense, the idea that Mr. McConney is somehow going to testify that he didn't

1 intend to fraudulently inflate, say, Seven Springs by ignoring appraisals, or, you know, the cash value by including Vornado cash because he knew in his mind, seven years later in 2022, Doral was going to be worth 1.3 billion, and therefore it all comes out in a wash. I don't know what that is. That's -- that makes no sense, your Honor.

You can't take the 2022 value of Doral and somehow retroactively apply it years earlier to somehow wash everything away in terms of what your Honor has already found are fraudulently inflated values.

And I should make a further point, that it's clear in the First Department, "You cannot use expert testimony to establish intent." This is a quote from People v. Davis, 90 AD3d 461. It's a 2011 First Department case.

The expert's proposed testimony had no genuine bearing on whether defendant acted intentionally within the meaning of Penal Law section --

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, I'm going to cut you off, too. We are going very far afield here. I'll ask a different question, more related. Does anyone have authority for the proposition that his financial statement, any sort of Statement of Financial Condition, is not fraudulent if one property is valued way high and another property is valued way low.

## MR. AMER: No, your Honor --

THE COURT: This seems ridiculous to me, but Mr. Kise, if you have authority, or even a logical argument --

MR. KISE: The logical argument is exactly why we are here, for you to decide -- and I hope that your statements don't indicate you've already made up your mind on this, because that's exactly why we are here, is to talk about intent.

Mr. Amer is presuming that intent exists; that hasn't been established.

THE COURT: Wait. I cut him off because he was talking about intent. I'm not interested in intent here. I'm just interested --

MR. KISE: It goes to your question, respectfully. It goes right to your question, that in order to --

THE COURT: I'm the one asking the question. I should know what I mean by it.

MR. KISE: So --
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. KISE: So, your Honor, the point is that in order to establish materiality and intent through the lens of the user, or the lens of the preparer of the statement, you have to consider all of these factors. And so it's not -- it's not a light switch. This is what the Attorney

1 General wants you to believe, that there's one right answer,
and it's a light switch, and it's either on or off. And respectfully, that's not what the accounting standards provide; that's not what the law provides; that's not what the analysis of materiality provides. So the cases, yes, there's tons of cases on what is materiality and what's material in a particular given context.

And so the total mix of information of available to the reasonable viewer is exactly why we are here. And what you are talking about goes to that, the balancing out of the numbers. The bank, as you heard testimony, the bank is interested in what? They are interested in, do I get paid back? And, do I price this loan correctly?

Now remember, at the outset, when you make -- you price a loan, they price it from the beginning. As the collateral increases in value, there is no ongoing mark to market activity.

Based on Attorney General's view, as the collateral increases in value, the interest rate should drop. I mean, you'll here testimony about this, so I'm going to stop there, but the point is, you have to take all of this into consideration. And so factors as relative to whether an offset matters is at the core of the dispute.

He can argue, Mr. Amer is arguing very well, his position that it doesn't matter, but that's an argument;

MR. KISE: The Blackstone case is completely inapposite for a whole host of reasons I'm not going to spend time on. It's in a securities context, a totally different context. I'm not going to belabor it, since you've already sustained the objection without actually hearing from all of the evidence that you are going to hear, but nonetheless, the point is, we should be allowed to establish what "materiality" means to the user. And you are presuming, I think incorrectly, based on the evidence that's already been brought into this courtroom, that your view of what is and isn't material and whether it has to be exactly accurate here, exactly accurate there, is incorrect; that's not what the banks told you.

Their own analysis that I put up on the board, you you've seen a hundred times, and no one wants to pay attention to, says that they, in fact, did this analysis, and they came up with their own values to show there are vagaries.

The fact there are three different numbers in this courtroom alone demonstrates our point. You got their number, you got our number, and you got the banks number, all about the same set of assets. That alone should tell the Court that there are extraordinary variations, and no one is absolutely correct, and so it's not possible to say that mine is fraudulent and theirs isn't just because
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1
that's not evidence. And the Court should consider the evidence.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I do have a case for you. It's Litwin versus Blackstone Group, LP. It's a Second Circuit case 634 F3d 706. This is what it says at 713:
"Blackstone is not permitted, in assessing materiality, to aggregate negative and positive effects on its performance fees in order to avoid disclosure of a particular material negative event."

I think that's exactly your Honor's point.
THE COURT: Yes, it is. And it does answer, unless there is contrary authority.

And one way I would explain that to answer my own question is the reader of the financial statement has a right to know whether each particular number is accurate, and doesn't have to say, well, this could be a little high; this could be a little low. They are looking for accuracy.

But last word to Mr. Kise, and let's proceed.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, again.
THE COURT: And the objection is sustained, of course. It doesn't even matter that it was a 2022 value of Doral. Any value of Doral. That doesn't - it's a false valuation. I assume you agree.

MR. AMER: We do.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
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1 someone happens to think so.
THE COURT: Well, I think you are wrong for two reasons. One, which I keep calling in the internal inconsistency, is you look at how somebody got to a value, and if they are ignoring restraints on the use of property; that's fraud.

And you are wrong in another way, and I asked one of the -- you are not on trial, of course, but still, I asked one of the witnesses, "Well, you say valuation or appraisals could differ."
"Yes."
"By what percent?"
"Well."
MR. KISE: Huge percents. You are going to hear about it.

THE COURT: How huge?
MR. KISE: Huge percent.
THE COURT: How huge?
MR. KISE: The tax assessed value versus what a broker thinks, hundreds of millions of dollars, potentially. Exactly what your Honor put in your opinion. You are going to see ASC-274 allows either one of them, either one. I could take the tax appraised value for tax purposes and use that for my standard, or I could take a broker opinion value, or a sales comparable method. They could vary by

1 hundreds of millions of dollars, exactly what we have in this case. You have Mar-a-Lago that is assessed for $\$ 25$ million, or $\$ 30$ million, whatever the number is, and then you have an appraised value, you have a broker opinion value, you have a sales comparable method of value, and, yes, those vagaries can be extraordinary. And guess what? They are all permissible under the exact letter of ASC-274.

I know the Attorney General doesn't want to hear that. I know they want it say there is only one right answer, and it must be their answer, but that's not correct. That's just simply not the standard in accounting. It is just not.

THE COURT: You are comparing apples and oranges, you know tax assessor value versus real estate broker value.

Let's say we are talking just real estate brokers, or just appraisers, how different can they be? Can we get a percent?

MR. KISE: Again, ASC-274 doesn't say that you have to pick one and stick with it. This is the whole point that's being missed here. You have five or six different ways to value property, any one of them is correct. Any one of them is correct. It gets you to estimated current value. And anyone of them can be used for any given property. Not to use the same one for every property.

This is already -- you are going to hear about this S. Witkoff - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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today and tomorrow. This is point that they want you to overlook, that their entire case overlooks; that they have the absolute truth. Their opinion is there is only one right answer, within a range that they define, but that's just simply not true. It's just not.

THE COURT: I've said many times, I'm not here to appraise or value properties. I'm here to look at the statements and see whether they are fraudulent or not.

MR. KISE: But the only way to determine that is to view them through the lanes of the applicable guide lines, and you can't say something is fraudulent if it comports with the actual guideline. It's not possible to say that.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor --
MR. KISE: That's their position. I mean, it's lunacy to say that, okay, you have to use this value, and that's the correct value, but you've got these five other options and, you know, you are not allowed to use any of those. They could argue all they want against the standard, but it's there on the page.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, to answer your question about the appraisal, you heard testimony there were three Cushman \& Wakefield appraisals of 40 Wall Street. I think it was 2011, 2012 and 2014, and there was a $\$ 200$ million variation -- I think it was a quarter of a billion dollar variation between 2012 and 2014. Cushman \& Wakefield did

1 the same appraisal on the same property, and everyone 2 agreed, it didn't all of a sudden go up by a quarter of a 3 billion dollars. There are different appraisals. And the 4 testimony was none of them were wrong. But there, itself,
appraisal to appraisal, you had a quarter of a billion dollar delta over two years.

MR. AMER: That's wrong. Your Honor already ruled. Can we move on.

THE COURT: Let's move on.
MR. ROBERT: I want to understand the ruling. What am I not allowed to ask this witness about?

THE COURT: Doral. Doral is not in the complaint.
MR. ROBERT: So the Court --
THE COURT: And the case, what was it that you just cited?

MR. AMER: Litwin.
THE COURT: Says that it's not okay to overvalue one property and undervalue another because they balance out.

I'm not sure what else you are trying to do, other than say that Doral was undervalued, so it's okay that they overvalued other properties.

MR. ROBERT: So, your Honor --
MR. KISE: Just to be clear. Just to be clear, we are not allowed to ask questions, and you're citing to a
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case that you clearly haven't even read yet. You haven't read it. You are taking their word it.

THE COURT: It's common sense anyway.
MR. KISE: It's not common sense. Respectfully, it's not. I want the record to be clear on that.

THE COURT: I'm waiting for the counter authority, the reversal, the disagreement.

MR. KISE: I can't do it while I'm standing here. And I've articulated at least three reasons why it's inapposite. You haven't read it at all and you are making a decision based on it. I want the record to be clear.

THE COURT: I think he quoted it; right?
MR. AMER: I did, your Honor. Plus, honestly, the Doral argument was made in opposition to our summary judgment motion. Your Honor implicitly rejected it then. So it's not -- they've known about this point for months.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, the Doral --
MR. AMER: Can we please not have never ending motions for reconsideration when they lose an evidentiary ruling. We've been at this for many, many minutes here.

MR. KISE: I'm very concerned about time, your Honor.

The Doral loan is in the complaint. To not ask about Doral valuations or the impact of that, it just makes no sense at all. But, you know, it's --
not that I'm inclined to. Let's either get a read back of
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the question, if you could find it, or consider it withdrawn and just ask it however you want to ask it.

MR. ROBERT: Let me see what the question was that drew all the objections.

MR. KISE: One other point, your Honor. I mean, I just want to say that since the witness is a Wheatley grad, he probably should be allowed to testify as an expert on that basis.

THE COURT: I knew I'd hear back about that.
MR. ROBERT: If I could have the question read back your Honor.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: You have to ask for a specific time period.
(Continued on the next page.)

THE COURT: You know what, let's see if we can all agree past, present doesn't matter. Though I think it is a misleading question. Can this witness be asked what are the factors you used to value Doral?
Q As an expert real estate developer, what factors would you use to value Doral?

THE COURT: Why is that relevant?
MR. ROBERT: Because you will hear the factors he uses are different than an appraisal might be, and may be different than a value that the Attorney General places. And that all goes back to the issue of intent. Because, if the goal was to fraudulently inflate the values on the Statement of Financial Condition, every asset would have been inflated. Our client's had a view of how to put a value on it.

You are going to hear from an expert that the view that we used is consistent with that which people who are real estate developers would use. And that dovetails with the expert testimony you are going to hear from the accounting people, that that would be appropriate under ASC 274. So this is one of those building blocks that ultimately leads into the accounting experts.

THE COURT: Why can't you just ask him how -what factors he would use to value a property, that the value of which does matter at this point: Forty Wall,

## Trump Tower?

MR. ROBERT: Because they would object that during his expert deposition, all they questioned him about was Doral and 40 Wall. I am happy to ask him about what he put into Trump Tower.

MR. AMER: Those were the only two opinions in his report. But Doral is not in the case, as Your Honor has already observed. So I don't know why we are eliciting an opinion or any factors about Doral. It is not in the case.

THE COURT: Right. No more argument.
Let's bring the witness back. The objection is sustained.

You can go ahead, but I will probably sustain objections to any questions about the value of Doral. You have a lot of properties that you can ask him about since you are only asking generic questions at this point. Let's make it generic or about a property that matters.
(Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.)
MR. ROBERT: May I proceed, Your Honor? THE COURT: Please do.
Q Mr. Witkoff, in your experience as a real estate developer, what are the factors that you would look at in valuing a golf course property for its potential use?

A The cash flow of the property.

MR. AMER: Excuse me. I am going to object because this witness offers no opinion about golf courses, so I don't see how it is relevant.

MR. ROBERT: He gives an opinion as to one specific golf course that I have been directed I can't ask about; so I am asking generally about golf courses. There are golf courses at issue in the case. I am taking the Judge's advice.

MR. AMER: But the witness has submitted a report. It has two opinions: Doral, which the Judge, Your Honor, sustained objections to. And 40 Wall. So we are left with 40 Wall. So I don't see how factors about golf courses are relevant.

THE COURT: I'll ask again. Are you sure you want to risk a reversal? Because I didn't allow this question.

MR. AMER: So, Your Honor, we really have no concern that for Your Honor to exercise your broad discretion to keep out expert testimony that is clearly irrelevant to this case on -- and quite frankly, you know, based on matters you have already decided in your summary judgment motion, we have no concern about this. We need to draw a line and apply the fundamental rules of evidence and keep out things that are irrelevant. Particularly where it relates to expert witnesses where Your Honor's
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1 discretion is even broader, quite frankly.
MR. KISE: So, once again, the Attorney General wants to collapse and conflate.

## THE COURT: That's a bad word.

MR. KISE: As I have said before, the Attorney General wants to collapse and conflate the summary judgment with their responsibilities and their burden under these other statutory predicates.

That's what they are doing. And they want to ignore all of that and say you have decided all of this, you don't have to worry about this. We need to limit this trial. It is over. It is done. And so I -- I don't think they expressed any valid basis for that limitation.

With respect to this witness, he is -- you said that he can answer questions generally about the subject matter that is at issue. And so now that's what we are asking him just in general terms. Because for some reason even though the Doral loan is the center piece of their complaint be and the Doral property is a big portion of the Statement of Financial Condition we are not allowed to talk about it. So if we are not allowed to talk about that, then we should be allowed to at least have this expert's opinion on generally what are the factors that developers consider when they are determining value in their minds. That goes squarely to intent. Squarely.

THE COURT: Plaintiff, do you object to a generic question. I think he probably answered that.

MR. AMER: I was just going to say, that wasn't the question.

THE COURT: Objection sustained for the reasons Mr. Amer said, without prejudice to general question, although that may be subject to an asked and answered objection.
Q Mr. Witkoff, generally, what are the factors that a developer looks at when determining the value of a piece of property?

A Cash flow, and what can be done with that property.
Q Cash flow is income and expenses, fair?
A Correct.
Q What do you mean by what can be done with the property?

A What it can be redeveloped into.
Q And what are those potential options, generally?
A We are talking about --
Q I am instructed to ask you generally, sir. So the entire gamut.

A Anything within the real estate spectrum. It could believing multi-family rental buildings; condominium; hospitality; industrial; all the -- last mile retail for, you know, for Internet-related businesses. It is all of those
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different things.
Q Okay. Based on the Court's direction I am now going to move to 40 Wall Street, Mr. Witkoff. Okay?

A Yes.
Q What are the factors that you, as a developer, would like at in determining a value for 40 Wall Street?

A Again, cash flow, what vacant space can be leased for and what other uses could be incorporated into the property.

Q How, if in any way, is location a factor with regard to 40 Wall ?

A I am sorry, location is a big factor in all of those -- in all of those decisions.

Q And anything in particular as to 40 Wall in that?
A Well, Wall Street is a -- is Main and Main for downtown. Downtown has -- because of the downtown revitalization plan has become a 24 -hour live/work environment. Housing has increased in price. I would say rental prices down there are probably the equivalent of almost anywhere in New York today. And condominium prices are firm too. So 40 Wall as a location for a potential conversion would be relevant.

Q You have experience in converting office buildings to condominiums?

A We have done it often.
Q And I think you talked about the Woolworth building being one of them?

```
    A Yes.
    Q Any others in lower Manhattan?
    A Ten Hanover.
    Q Are you familiar with ground leases?
    A I am.
    Q A long term ground lease?
    A Yes.
    Q Generally speaking, what is a long term ground lease
in this context?
    A I mean generally speaking, it is a form of ownership.
But generally what you are finding with long term ground
leases, very prevalent in London and in Europe and, sort of,
not as prevalent in the United States, but you are seeing - it
is generally used to defray taxes, to -- I am talking about
long term capital gains taxes -- to transfer money through
skipping generation Trusts. And it is a form of ownership.
And you generally see passive owners who own who are the ground
lessors or who own the fee.
    Q I want you to assume for a moment, sir, there is
testimony in this case that one of the provisions of the ground
lease requires the Trump Organization to get the landlord's
consent to convert any portion of the building to condo. I
want you to assume that, okay?
    A Yes.
    Q Does that in any way affect your opinion that value
```
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for 40 Wall could include the fact that there could be an
ultimate conversion to condo?
A I mean, it would be an obvious constraint. Anything
that would require an additional approval would be a
constraint. But certainly not something that couldn't be
overcome, because of the fact that ground lessors are passive,
and every decision they are going to make, which is generally
going to be about what is the best use here, how much does that
strengthen the financial cash flow of the property, which then
strengthens what my ground lease is worth.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I am going to object and move to strike his answer as to what ground lessors -- the fact that they are all passive. I don't understand how this witness has the ability to opine on all ground lessors and whether they are passive or not.

THE COURT: Is that part of your expertise, what most ground lessors would be concerned with?

THE WITNESS: Yes --
THE COURT: Yes or no, is that part of your expertise?

THE WITNESS: I mean, I am generally familiar, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. AMER: He did say "all" not "most."
MR. ROBERT: I'll adopt it to most instead of
all.
THE COURT: We will assume most.
Q Mr. Witkoff, with regard to developing condominiums in lower Manhattan, what, if any, advantages or disadvantages are there to existing structures, like converting from office to condo, than starting from scratch?

A Well, sometimes -- I mean, sometimes starting from scratch perhaps can be a little bit more efficient. But with regard -- I would say in any conversion you really need to understand the depth of the building. For instance, the Woolworth building, the tower was a perfect conversion because you didn't have a lot of depth. So from the elevator bank to the window wall you didn't have a lot of depth. So you are looking for a tower portion to be converted. You are looking for buildings that have towers and bases. Woolworth had a much larger base and a slimmer tower. I think 40 Wall Street is sort of that, but a bigger version of it.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, this may be a good time to take the morning break, because in light of your ruling a few moments ago, we may be able to streamline things. I know we are ten minutes earlier than usual, but I think it will be more efficient long term.

THE COURT: Let's reconvene at 11:30.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: And I'll direct the witness, don't

A Good morning.
Q You are not an appraiser, correct?
A Correct.
Q And you are not equipped to appraise properties or offer any specific opinion of value, correct?

A Correct.
Q And in forming your opinions, did you review any of Donald Trump's Statements of Financial Condition from 2011 to 2021?

A Not specifically.
Q Well, not at all, right?
A Well I was aware of them, so.
Q You didn't review any?
A No. No, I did not.
Q Correct?
A Right.
Q Okay. I'll ask you about 40 Wall Street.
In forming your opinions about 40 Wall Street, did you review the ground lease for that property?

A No.
Q When forming your opinions about 40 Wall Street, were you aware that the ground lease had been amended over time based on renegotiations between Mr. Trump and the landlord?

A No.
Q When forming your opinions about 40 Wall Street, were
you aware of whether there were any restrictions in the ground lease on the ability of Mr. Trump to do a residential conversion?

A No.
Q Does your opinion -- do your opinions on 40 Wall Street rely on any facts contained within the actual ground lease documents for the property?

A No.
Q You would agree though that those facts would be relevant to the opinions you formed about 40 Wall Street, correct?

A Yes.
Q Did you ever ask to see any of the ground lease documentation for 40 Wall Street when forming your opinions?

## A No.

MR. AMER: If we could put up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 635 in evidence?
Q And this is an e-mail that attaches something known as the fourth loan modification.

MR. AMER: If we could go to page three of 89 ? And let me wait for you to get a copy. (Handing)
Q You will see there are page numbers on the very bottom that say page $X$ of 89 . So let's go to page three of 89 .

And you will see it says it is the résumé of the
fourth modification of ground lease on 40 Wall Street. And it says: This résumé presents a brief summary. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And the date of this is December 31, 2007. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And if you go to the next page you will see paragraph four. It says, paragraph four, under condominium conversion right it says: Paragraph four eliminates the tenant's condominium conversion rights which it had under section 27.09. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q So in the negotiation in December 2007, this particular ground lessor negotiated to eliminate Mr. Trump's condominium conversion rights, correct?

A Yes.
Q And based on your --
MR. AMER: We should just go to page nine of 89.
Q You will see in paragraph four it says: Section 27.09 of the lease is hereby deleted in its entirety.

That was the section that was just referenced as having a conversion right in it. Do you recall that?

A Yes, I do.
Q And paragraph five says: In consideration of the
elimination of section 27.09 of the lease, landlord and tenant agree that if the future tenant is of the opinion that the area and times favor the construction of residential units, which may be condominium units, and tenant desiring to create a residential condominium for the sale of units to the public, tenant may propose revision of the lease to permit the conversion to condominium ownership for the sale of such residential units; provided, however, that in no event shall landlord have any obligation to agree with or take any action to effectuate such condominium conversion, and landlord's determination to permit any such conversion shall be at landlord's sole and unfettered discretion. Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Based on your years of experience as a redeveloper, would you agree that any consent by the landlord to permit residential conversion under this paragraph would likely come at a cost to Mr. Trump?

A Yes.
Q And in forming your opinions on 40 Wall Street, you have not done any analysis of what that cost would be, correct?

A Correct.
Q Let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 787 in evidence. This is the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition. And I think your testimony is you are aware this existed, but you didn't review it in connection with forming your opinions, correct?
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|  | A Correct. |  |
| 2 | MR. AMER: And let's go to page nine of 22. |  |
| 3 | Q You will see that the top note relates to the |  |
| 4 | valuation of 40 Wall Street. Do you see that? |  |
| 5 | A Yes, I see in the third paragraph. |  |
| 6 | Q And prior to being shown this note at your |  |
|  | deposition, you had never seen it before, correct? |  |
| 8 | A Correct. |  |
| 9 | Q And I am correct then that you did not consider this |  |
| 10 | note in forming your opinions about 40 Wall Street, yes? |  |
| 11 | A Correct. |  |
| 12 | Q And would you agree with me, looking at this note and |  |
| 13 | specifically the third paragraph that talks about the estimated |  |
|  | current value, it discusses a cap rate applied to the result |  |
|  | and cash flow to be derived from the building's operations, |  |
| 16 | correct? |  |
| 17 | A Yes. |  |
| 18 | Q Looking at this note now, would you agree that there |  |
|  | is nothing in the note indicating that Mr. Trump was valuing 40 |  |
| 20 | Wall Street based on a potential residential conversion? |  |
| 21 | A This paragraph doesn't indicate that. |  |
| 22 | Q Instead, it says the value is based on cap rate and |  |
| 23 | cash flow from the building's operations, right? |  |
| 24 | A I see that, yes. |  |
| 25 | Q Okay. |  |
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MR. AMER: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay.
Any redirect?
MR. ROBERT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. The witness is excused.
(Whereupon the witness stepped down from the stand.)

THE COURT: Defense, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. ROBERT: The defense will be calling Jason Flemmons who is in the other room, Your Honor. This is as good a time as any to talk of scheduling issues, because when we gave the schedule for the next few days we expected there to be more fulsome cross examination. It is fine they don't want to do it, but we want to get a sense of what their anticipated schedule is the next few days with the cross, because we emailed them last night, we will call Mr. Collins after Mr. Flemmons before Laposa. We want to understand time they need, because Mr. Wallace made a comment when you give us a schedule, don't include the time we use for cross. We want a sense so we can have our experts lined up and not have any down time.

THE COURT: rll turn the proceedings over to my principal law clerk who is the master scheduler.

MS. GREENFIELD: Next we have Mr. Flemmons, that's your next witness today, correct?

MR. ROBERT: Correct.
MS. GREENFIELD: How much direct do you believe you have?

MR. ROBERT: Probably at least a full day, like the rest of the day and half day tomorrow probably.

MS. GREENFIELD: Just on direct?
MR. ROBERT: Mm-Hm.
MS. GREENFIELD: Okay.
Cross?
MR. WALLACE: Our expectation would be that it would still be short, but I guess we will hear what we hear over the next full day of direct examination. But I do not expect that it will be anything approaching a full day.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. So once we are done with Mr. Flemmons it will be Mr. Collins. So he will be available tomorrow just in case we finish Flemmons tomorrow?

MR. ROBERT: Correct.
THE COURT: And how long is your direct of Mr. Collins?

MR. ROBERT: That we can check during the next break. I'll check with Ms. Hernandez, who is doing that
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direct.
MS. GREENFIELD: Why don't we revisit that at the next break then.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. When you talk about Flemmons; do you think a half day?

MR. WALLACE: I would -- if I was just imagining I would say maybe an hour. But if it is so extensive it covers a full day, it could be a couple of hours, somewhere in that range would be our expectation. We will hear what the witness has to say.

MR. ROBERT: Okay. We will let you know at the next break for the next witness.

THE COURT: Okay. Is the witness out there?
COURT OFFICER: Yes. Are you ready for the witness, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.
COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon the witness took the stand.)
COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand.
JASON FLEMMONS, after having
first been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. Please state your name and either home or business address for the record.

```
                    THE WITNESS: My name is Jason Flemmons.
            Home address, is that what you asked me?
            COURT OFFICER: Home or business.
            THE WITNESS: Home or business. Business is
        2000 K Street, Washington DC.
            THE COURT: We like to get a zip code in case we
        want to send you mail.
            THE WITNESS: I believe it is 20006.
            THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Suarez, please proceed.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
    Q Mr. Flemmons, good morning.
    A Good morning.
    Q My name is Jesus Suarez. I represent certain of the
defendants in this case.
            Mr. Flemmons, what is your area of expertise?
    A Well, broadly speaking, I am an accounting expert;
    also an expert with professional standards that are attached to
    accountants' professional responsibilities and practicing as
    accountants serving as auditors and the like.
            MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I am going to note my
        failure to object to the description of Mr. Flemmons as an
        expert. I am keeping an open mind until we get his
        background and they can qualify him.
            THE COURT: I am assuming they are not asking to
```
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    qualify him right now.
    Q Mr. Flemmons, where did you study in college?
    Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct(Suarez)
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1 qualify him right now.
A I attended the college of William and Mary.
Q What was your major?
A I majored in accounting.
Q And what course work did you do in accounting in
college?
A Well, I took many very intensive accounting courses;
also auditing courses, business law. Those are the ones that
come to mind at the moment.
Q And what did you do after college?
A So after I graduated I was highered by
Pricewaterhouse; legacy Pricewaterhouse, before the merger with
Coopers and Lybrand. Went into the audit practice at
Pricewaterhouse performing financial statement audits for
public and private companies. Had many many different kinds of
audit clients that covered many, many industries. And that
entailed performing audit work and working with broad audit
staff and partners to ultimately sign off on the fairness of
the presentation of the financial statements in issuing audit
reports.
I was also involved in performing compilations while
I was at Pricewaterhouse.
And shortly thereafter I did transfer into the
forensic accounting practice at what became then

A I attended the college of William and Mary.
Q What was your major?
A majored in accounting. college?

A Well, I took many very intensive accounting courses; also auding courses, business law. Those are the ones that

Q And what did you do after college?
A So after I graduated I was highered by
Pricewaterhouse; legacy Pricewaterhouse, before the merger with Coopers and Lybrand. Went into the audit practice at Pricewaterhouse performing financial statement audits for public and private companies. Had many many different kinds of audit clients that covered many, many industries. And that entailed performing audit work and working with broad audit staff and partners to ultimately sign off on the fairness of the presentation of the financial statements in issuing audit reports.

I was also involved in performing compilations while I was at Pricewaterhouse.

And shortly thereafter I did transfer into the forensic accounting practice at what became then

Pricewaterhouse Coopers.
Q Mr. Flemmons, at some point did you become a certified public accountant?

A Yes, I did.
Q When did you become a certified public accountant?
A Approximately 1997.
Q And in what jurisdictions are you licensed as a certified public accountant?

A In Virginia.
Q What did you have to do to become a certified public accountant in Virginia?

A Well, I had to meet the requisite college credit hours, which I did at William and Mary. There was also, I believe, a two-year experience requirement. And also had to sit for the CPA exam and pass the exam. And was licensed shortly thereafter.

Q Are you still licensed as a certified public accountant?

A I am. And I have maintained my continuing professional education to maintain my license.

Q When you joined Pricewaterhouse, what was your title?
A Well, it was my first job out of college, so it was a staff accountant or staff auditor.
Q What was your responsibility as a staff accountant or auditor?

Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct(Suarez)
Page 4239
A That entailed, again, working on the detailed work on a variety of different audit engagements. I worked on many many different engagements, ranging from the Washington Post to the World Bank, many other financial institutions. I did spend time when I was at PW in Madrid, Spain; did a tour of duty there performing a lot of banking audits there for a lot of the subsidiary branches of major international financial institutions.

But the detailed work itself involved performing the audit testing, coming up with the audit programs that we would develop in order to carry out the auditing procedures; obtaining the audit evidence; reporting up the chain our findings to ultimately the engagement partners, that enabled them to ultimately make a decision on whether to issue an audit opinion for those audit engagements.

Q How long were you a staff accountant or staff auditor at Pricewaterhouse?

A I don't remember. It was at least one or two years before becoming a senior auditor.

Q And how did your responsibilities change when you became a senior auditor?

A Took more responsibility in terms of oversight of staff on audit engagements. And more, you know, direct line reporting with the engagement partners.

Q What type of matters did you handle as a senior

## auditor?

A It was a lot of the same kinds of engagements I just described. I mean, it was a very wide landscape of industries that I worked in. Again, banking, media, manufacturing, so very broad range.

Q And at some point were you promoted from the position of senior auditor?

A Well, it was around that time that I ended up transferring into the forensic accounting practice at PWC, and ultimately became a manager within PWC's FAS practice, Financial Advisory Services.

Q When you were in the audit group at Pricewaterhouse, what experience, if any, did you have with personal financial statements or Statements of Financial Condition?

A So the experience where I would -- I came across personal financial statements was mainly in the context of performing the banking audits. A lot of that work entailed evaluating loan loss reserves, evaluating the quality of loans. And as part of our audit testing we would make selections and obtain loan files underlying the selected loans that we were making for purposes of our audit testing. And to the extent the loans were with individuals, I do remember there being consideration of personal financials in the course of that work.

Q How did your practice change, if at all, when you
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moved over to financial advisory service - excuse me, Forensic Advisory Services?

A So that was no longer performing audit services. That's performing internal investigations, working with, typically, lawyers that would retain us to help them perform the fact finding when there was potential for accounting issues. We would work with the lawyers to do a lot of the financial analysis; assess the compliance with GAAP; help quantify, to the extent there were errors, we would help do that; a lot of the accounting research and the technical -writing the technical memoranda that would go along with that.

Q And during this period of time that you were at Pricewaterhouse and later Pricewaterhouse Cooper, did you receive additional training in the field of accounting?

A While I was at PWC?
Q Yes.
A Well, yes. I had to maintain my continuing professional education, which is 120 hours every three years. And I received a lot of that training within Pricewaterhouse Coopers, but also from outside sources.

Q When you moved over to FAS, the Forensic Advisory Services group, what was your title?

A At the time I believe it was still a senior level, but within a short period of time I was promoted to manager.

Q And as a manager, how were your responsibilities
different than as a senior level accountant?
A I believe it was more oversight of more individuals on my teams. And again, increased interaction and responsibilities with the partners that I worked with.

Q What type of matters did you handle while you were manager at the FAS group?

A I performed a lot of internal investigation type work. One of the biggest matters that I worked on at that time was, there was a waste management case which was one of the first, you know, financial frauds that had come out. And we had been retained by counsel to assist with -- assist a special committee in performing that internal investigation. I also worked on auditor compliance, auditor malpractice matters where we would work with auditing firms to assess compliance with professional standards. I believe also performing accounting advisory -- technical accounting advisory support to companies that may not be involved in investigation, but looking for some assistance with regard to how they were conducting their financial reporting.

Q And during this period of time, how would you use your knowledge of GAAP in your professional engagements?

A Well, it was essential to use any knowledge of GAAP, because that's what they were hiring us to provide them.

Q At a certain point in time, did you receive a job role change from being a manager at PWC?
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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1 Q What were your responsibilities as an entry-level accountant at the Division of Enforcement of the Securities and Exchange Commission?

A Performing financial accounting investigations for a wide array of entities, ultimately determining whether there were accounting improprieties, all involved, you know, publicly traded companies, and also evaluating conduct of individuals at these companies, working very closely with enforcement attorneys throughout the country, collectively making a decision on whether or not enforcement action would be warranted to recommend to the Commission of the SEC. And we would do that very formally with writing very detailed memoranda, if we felt that that was necessary.

It also involved taking investigative testimony of -of staff people at public companies all the way up to CEOs. So a very interesting job. And, um, and we had a lot of responsibility to -- in the front lines to make those decisions and decide whether or not something warranted bringing enforcement action.
Q What type of engagements were you working with when you were an accountant at the Enforcement Division?
A I probably touched every industry out there in terms of the types of companies and entities that we were -- that I was involved in investigating. You know, from, again, financial institutions, manufacturing, real estate, government
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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contractors. It really ran the gamut in terms of the types of entities that I was involved with. And with that came a very wide exposure to a very broad base of accounting issues that covered many, many different aspects of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.
Q Were you promoted from the position of accountant?
A I was.
Q What was your next role of the enforcement position at the Securities and Exchange Commission?
A When I was hired at that time we were on a general scale, and the titles were very, I would say, demeaning. I went in as a staff accountant, despite having six or seven years of experience.

Shortly after that they did change that title to be an assistant chief accountant. It made it sound a little nicer. And I was promoted, first, to an associate chief accountant. Later, to a senior associate chief accountant, and then ultimately, deputy chief accountant of the Division of Enforcement.
Q How did your responsibilities change throughout your progression of -- at the Division of Enforcement?
A I would say the move from assistant chief accountant to associate chief accountant, responsibilities didn't change a whole lot, but when I became senior associate chief accountant, I did have a group of enforcement accountants that reported to
me directly. I was based in the DC office of the SEC. And we had about 35 to $\mathbf{4 0}$ accountants in that group, and another 60 or so across the country, so approximately 100 enforcement accountants. And at that time I had about five or six accountants that reported directly to me.

Q What exposure, if any, did you have to personal financial statements or Statements of Financial Condition during your time with the Securities and Exchange Commission?

A There were a couple of different capacities. Like I mentioned earlier with my experience in the audit practice at PWC, I did work at the SEC on investigations of financial institutions. And there were times where we would receive, you know, detailed files as part of those investigations, um, because we would be evaluating the accounting for loan loss reserves. And there were times where personal financials might be part of that exercise.

Secondarily, when we brought enforcement actions against individuals, and often times that would include levying penalties or disgorgement against individuals for a variety of different things, individuals often times would seek to get some sort of waiver based on inability to pay and would be required to submit personal financial statements, you know, to us at the staff at the SEC to evaluate.

Q What, if any, experience did you have at the SEC reviewing financial statements for evidence of fraud?
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A That's what I did every day. We were tasked with evaluating, first of all, whether or not accounting at public companies was proper. And if it wasn't proper, we were investigating why it wasn't proper, whether it was innocent error or whether there was maybe more to it in terms of potential malfeasance.
Q And in what context did you carry out those responsibilities?

A Well, in the context of working very closely with SEC enforcement lawyers, the SEC has subpoena power, is able to obtain mountains of data and documents that we would be reviewing for purposes of our investigations. Um, I mentioned before, also investigative testimony. We'd be very involved in performing fact finding through the testimony process, and then ultimately putting it all together in deciding whether or not to recommend enforcement action.

Q What, if any, experience did you have analyzing the role of senior executives and working with outside accounting firms?

A Very extensive responsibilities. One of the things we would be evaluating is whether or not information that was provided to outside accounting firms was accurate. Um, we would also be evaluating whether or not the outside accounting firms performed their responsibilities in compliance with professional standards.
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1

The SEC has jurisdiction to bring enforcement actions against outside auditors for failure to comply with such standards, and that was a very big part of my responsibilities as well.
Q In what sectors did you discharge these responsibilities?

A I think I said before, a very wide array of sectors; real estate, government contracting, banks, many others.

Q During your time at the SEC, or prior, were you involved in professional organizations?
A Can you repeat that question again.
Q Were you involved in any professional organizations --
A While at the SEC?
Q Or prior.
A I don't recall the date, but I am a member, and was a member I believe at that time, of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. I also am a member of the Association of Certified Fraud Examiners. Those are the two that I think are the main ones.

Q When did you become -- withdrawn.
In fact, you are a certified fraud examiner; correct?
A I am.
Q What does one have to do to become a certified fraud examiner?
A It requires -- there's an exam, but there's also an
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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experience requirement that goes along with it. And, of course, paying the annual fee.

Q And you are also credentialed by the AICPA as a certified -- as certified in financial forensics; is that correct?
A That's correct.
Q What is it -- what is required to obtain that certification?
A It was largely an experience requirement at the time that I became a CFF. I think they, since that time, added an exam element to it, but I met all the requirements, based on my experience, to be a CFF.

Q At one point time did you leave the Security and Exchange Commission?
A Idid.
Q Where did you work next?
A I left the SEC in the late 2012. Um, I had been there for 12 years. I had a very successful climb and was looking to bring that experience to the private sector. Talked to a number of firms and ultimately decided to go with FTI Consulting, which is another type of consulting firm similar to my current firm.

Q What kind of work did you handle at FTI Consulting?
A Largely assisting lawyers who are representing companies and individuals as part of either performing internal investigations or serving as an expert on accounting issues

1 under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. And I also served as an expert, starting at that time, in assessing compliance of auditors with professional standards, and both the accounting expert work and the auditing expert work required issuing and drafting expert reports, providing deposition testimony, and potentially testifying at trial. But not many of them went to trial.

Q What was your title FTI Consulting?
A I was a senior managing director, which is the equivalent of a partner, if you were in a partnership, but FTI is a corporation.

Q After FTI, where did you work next?
A I left FTI in approximately May of 2016. I had been there for four years. The prior CFO of FTI had left and decided to start up a new consulting firm that performed a variety of different consulting practices similar to what FTI had. And he plucked me out of my role at FTI to come in to Ankura and to start the forensic accounting practice there. Seemed like a very interesting opportunity, and I've been there ever since.

Q When you returned to the private sector, what involvement did you have with the AICPA?

A I had had a couple of different levels of involvement. So they have different committees that are organized within what's known as the forensic and valuation services section. One of the roles was serving on the fraud task force and that
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involved essentially preparing guidance for the industry, evaluating current guidance that was out there, and performing investigations or serving as an expert witness. And during my time on that committee, we did draft a guide that, that was very voluminous and very thorough that covered many, many aspects of serving as an expert.

I also served on the executive committee of the AICPA's forensic and violations services section. And that is the kind of highest level committee that one could be on at the AICPA within their field. During that time we, um, I was a principal author of the very first forensic standard that was issued by the AICPA. It did not exist before, and was heavily involved in that effort and it ultimately was issued.

Q Have you lectured on the field of accounting?
A I don't do lectures, per se, but I do a lot of public speaking involving accounting, SEC enforcement, auditing issues. I've been involved in being on panels, moderating panels, giving speeches for a long time. And I believe my CV that was submitted in this case captures all of that.
Q Have you written any articles concerning the field of accounting?

A I believe I've written a few articles. Sitting here right now I don't remember the topics of those articles. I'm not a prolific author. I do a lot of work in the space and don't have a lot of time to sit down and write.
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1 Q Have you been offered as an expert before in the field of accounting?

A Yes.
Q How many times have you been offered in court as an expert in the field of accounting?

A I believe two.
Q And how many times have you been qualified as an expert in court on the field of accounting?
A Both times.
Q And have you ever been offered as an expert in the field of accounting and not been qualified?

A No.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we tender Mr. Flemmons as an expert witness in the field of accounting.

THE COURT: Granted. I hereby deem you an expert in the field of accounting. You are three for three. Q Mr. Flemmons, I'll ask that the opinions you give today be given within a reasonable degree of accounting certainty. Can we agree on that?

A Understood.
Q What have you done to prepare for your testimony at trial in this case?

A I had previously submitted a couple of reports in this case. One was my initial expert report. And I also submitted a rebuttal report to the government's expert. I -- so I reviewed
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those two reports in preparation for this trial. I also reviewed some of the underlying materials that I cited as support for my opinions in those reports. I have also read a few of the transcripts associated with this trial. For example, the transcript of Mr. Bender. I believe there were a couple of others. And then, um, I met with you last night for a couple of hours. And that's it.

Q What documents, generally, have you reviewed in connection with your preparation to testify at trial today?

A Can you repeat that.
Q Sure.
What documents, generally, have you reviewed in connection with your preparation to testify at trial?
A I don't recall all of them, but, again, many of the documents that were cited in my reports, the Statements of Financial Condition.

Some of the supporting documents that were generated, um, to support the calculation of the values that were reported in those financial statements. I believe I've also gone back over some of the GAAP requirements associated with this case. That's what comes to mind.
Q Is your testimony here governed today by professional standards?

A Yes.
Q Which standards?
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A It was the standard that I was involved in drafting for the AICPA. It's the statement on standards for forensic services, number one.
Q What accounting standard governs the preparation of Statements of Financial Condition?
A So currently the standard that applies is known as
Accounting Standards Codification or ASC-274. That standard was built off of a very much older standard that was issued by the AICPA in approximately 1982. Um, so those are the standards that apply. But during this time period it would be ASC-274.

Q What is the measure of value for an asset or liability under ASC-274?
A It's referred to as estimated current value.
MR. SUAREZ: All right. Can we please pull up ASC-274, Defendant's Exhibit 27.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: And turn to page six.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q I focus your attention on ASC-274-10-35-1. Does this set forth the requirement that assets be presented at estimated current value?
A Yes, it does.
Q What is the definition of "estimated current value"?
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A I won't recite it word for word, but the essence of estimated current value is that it's the amount that could be exchanged between a buyer and a seller for a particular asset.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we please pull up Defendant's demonstrative one.
(Whereupon, the demonstrative was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see here a citation to ASC-274-10-20?
A Ido.
Q Do you recognize that as the word-for-word definition
of estimated current value?
A It is.
Q And what is "fair value"?
A So "fair value" is a very different GAAP standard that is separate and apart from estimated current value. Fair value is a standard that didn't come into existence until around 2008, well after the 1982 statement that first established estimated current value.

The fair value standard only applies to entities, um, it does not apply to personal financial statements. And the models that both of those standards are built on are very, very different. In fact, the ASCPA has made it clear that estimated current value is not the same as fair value under ASC-820.
Q How is the model upon which estimated current value is built on different than the model for fair value?
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1 A Well, there are a lot of differences, one of which is -- you see in the definitions of estimated current value as compared to fair value, fair value refers to market participants. And that is a very important distinguishing trait for ASC-820, because fair value standard is premised on, you know, what would a market participant pay for this property? How would they value this property?

On the other hand, estimated current value is premised on more of a management or personal individual perspective of what they deem the value to be.

So those are two very different paradigms. Again, one is more of an internal. ECV is an internal determination. Fair value is more of external market participant evaluation. I would say, also, that under estimated current value there are many methods that are laid out that are all appropriate methods that could be used, and it contains a very wide array of options that can be used to establish the ECV and be GAAP compliant.

Fair value also has methods, but they are more streamlined. There is only a few of them. Fair value also places a premium on "using observable inputs", which is a term of art within the standard. And estimated current value doesn't really talk about that at all. So there are a lot of differences. But the methods and the kind of internal versus external perspective are very key differences.

Q Is the preparation of a Statement of Financial
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Condition for an individual the same as the preparation of a financial statement for a corporate entity?
A No.
Q Why is that?
A Well, first of all, the preparation of a Statement of Financial Condition involves just that, preparing a Statement of Financial Condition, which is akin to a balance sheet.

Preparing financial statements for an entity under Generally Accepted Accounting Principles would entail preparing a balance sheet, an income statement, which would contain the revenues, expenses and profits, preparing a statement of cash flows, preparing statement of stockholders equity, and also entail many, many, many more disclosure requirements that are attached to all of the relevant standards for entities that are not relevant to individuals under ASC-274.

Q What kind of latitude does a person preparing a Statement of Financial Condition for an individual have to value assets and liabilities as compared to someone preparing a fair value estimate for a corporation?

A Well, I would say that under ASC-274, there are many options available to a preparer of those financial statements, as compared to under fair value, there are really more -- much fewer methods that are described in standard. That said, there's latitude under both standards, but the specificity that's in ASC-274, with some of the methods that are available,
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do not exist in the fair value standard.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up Defendant's 27 at page 13.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. Flemmons, can you please identify this action of ASC-274?
A Yes. So this is, as it says, the implementation guidance and illustrations that provide some of the methods that I was talking about before that are available to a preparer of personal financial statements, and it covers, again, some of the landscape of the different methods that can be used.
Q Please walk us through the different methods of the estimation of current value?
A Sure. So starting with the first one under paragraph $55-1 \mathrm{~A}$, it says, "The capitalization of past or prospective earnings." Again, this would be taking expected earnings of a particular asset that are expected to be generated in the future and applying a capitalization or a form of discount rate to those earnings to establish value. But, again, it is using future productivity of that asset. And I think that's a very important concept, because when you are valuing any asset, again, there is a wide range of options that are available to you, and it does depend on the kind of asset that you are valuing.
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If I'm seeking to value my home, my home is not a revenue producing asset. So the value of that is going to be pretty much, well, somebody would think that it's valued based on other comparables in the area, and there's not -- there aren't a lot of inputs to valuing that.

But when you are talking about valuing a revenue producing asset, looking to the future to see what kind of revenue could be generated on that asset is a big part of determining a value of that asset. And that's what this goes to. This is one of the options to look to the future on what could be generated from that asset to determine what the value is.

Q And the next category, the use of "liquidation values", how does that -- how is the use of liquidation values a method of reaching estimated current value?

A Well, it's very different than the first one. Again, the first one we talked about, it's looking to the future on what is expected to be generated and applying a discount to that.

Liquidation values would be in the situation where you're valuing assets under the assumption that they need to be sold. For example, going out of business, or bankruptcy, something to that effect. And you could imagine that under those circumstances, you know, values of those assets would likely be less than looking to a very productive asset that's
going to generate revenue streams in the future.
Q What is the next basis for reaching an estimated current value?
A The next one is looking to the cost of the asset and potentially making adjustments to the cost based on either changes in the nature of the asset. The standard here talks about changes in a specific price index, such as a consumer price index. That's another option that's available.

Q And how is that different from the next basis for reaching estimated current value?
A So use of appraisals is another option. Again, not mandated -- none of these methods are mandated. This is the menu that is available to a preparer under ASC-274 to be able to prepare financial statements. Use of appraisals is one of them.

It's also very vague, because appraisals can be prepared in many different ways. But, you know, use of appraisals would tend to be using a third party of some kind to either identify comparable sales or use some other valuation method to come up with a reported value.
Q Is it the case that the use of appraisals is vague because there can be many different methods of appraising the same piece of real estate? MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained. Leading.
$Q \quad$ Why is the use of appraisals a vague standard?
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A Well, because it really goes more into valuation approaches. The standard doesn't really get into a lot of detail on how a valuation professionals would develop an appraisal. But, again, there are many, many different ways to issue an appraisal and to prepare an appraisal. The standard doesn't get into the level of detail on what those are.

Q Is it acceptable to use an appraisal that includes hypothetical conditions?
A Yes. As a matter of fact, that's what a lot of these methods contemplate, particularly whether you are evaluating the future performance of an asset. And all of that is very hypothetical and it's impossible to be precise and exact. There's a wide range of potential outcomes that could ensue from an asset.

Q What is the next standard that you could use for reaching estimated current value? The next method, I should say?

A So letter E is similar to letter A , although letter E is talking about projecting cash receipts as opposed to projecting earnings, but also discounting those receipts back to current time.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q Does the accounting standard provide further guidance on how to reach estimated current value for investments in a closely held business?

A Yes, it does.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we zoom in on that section, please?

THE COURT: And just one question. The only difference I saw between $A$ and $E$, or the main difference, was A talked about income and expenses, and E just talked about income. How can you value something just by the income without taking into account expenses?

THE WITNESS: Can we go back to that, please?
THE COURT: Or payments, expenses, in E, the last word, payments. What are payments? Expenses?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, the standard doesn't really define that, but ordinarily one would factor in expenses associated with discounting rather than just taking the --

THE COURT: Receipts.
So what's the difference between A and E in a practical sense?

THE WITNESS: It is more of the wording.
THE COURT: Wording is not practical. That's why I am asking for practical sense.

THE WITNESS: In a practical sense, again, A we are talking about anticipated earnings, which would
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potentially be revenues, less expenses. You know, E is talking about rather than earnings under a GAAP perspective, not revenues, but actually taking the hard cash that comes in the door, compared with the hard cash that maybe goes out the door. So we are revenues and expenses versus cash plus cash minus.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
Q Will the different approaches to estimated current value necessarily yield the same result?

A No. And in fact, depending on the method you choose, you could have widely different results from one method to another.

Q And if the different methods for defining estimated current value yield wildly different results, how do you pick which method to use?

A Well, it is a judgment call. And, you know, in my experience it often has results in or is determined, kind of, how you are going to be using that information. I mentioned earlier when I was at the SEC we would receive personal financial statements when evaluating a defendant's ability to pay. And in those situations you would expect the defendant to try to understate assets to demonstrate being destitute or not being able to pay the fines. In that situation, you know, the defendant could pick a method that results in the lowest asset values and disclose that as such.

Page 4265

## would select?

A Correct. These six methods that are listed here are also available to a preparer to prepare their personal financial statements under.

Q Is any one method determinative?
A When you say "determinative," what do you mean?
Q Are you required to use one method over another?
A No.
Q Can the different methods for valuing an investment in a closely held business yield different results?

A Like we said before, depending on which method that you pick among this list, you could have very different results in orders of magnitude.

Q Would any of the different methods be more right or more wrong than the other?

A No, the standard provides many options and there is no one right value. It is a matter of judgment. It is a matter of disclosing what that method is. A reasonable person may disagree, a user of the financial statements may disagree and say that that valuation method doesn't make sense, we are going to do our own calculation. So as long as it is out there and disclosed and clear to a user what the method is, what assets are being valued, you are giving the opportunity to a user to understand what the approach was and either agree or disagree with it.

Q Or ask additional questions?
A Correct.
MR. SUAREZ: If we can turn to the following page?
Q Can you please identify the standard that is listed here as ASC 274-10-55-6?

A Yes. So paragraph 55-6 contains five additional methods that are available to a preparer of personal financial statements for real estate assets.

Q Okay. Let's go through them one by one and talk about how they are different from each other.

What does sales of similar property and similar circumstances require?

A So that involves, again, looking to comparables in the market. We may have talked about that earlier. That's one method that is available. For real estate that is a common way to value property is to look at other assets that have been sold that have similar characteristics. But often times those are hard to find. You don't always have an apples to apples comparison, so this may not always be the most ideal method to use.
Q What latitude is afforded to the preparer in determining what is a similar property under similar circumstances?
A It is a judgment call based on the attributes of an
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asset and whether or not a comparable that is identified is truly a comparable.

Q What is the next possible method of obtaining estimated current value for real estate according to this standard?

A This is largely a repeat of what we saw before, and the prior menu of methods. The only additional verbiage that I think is important here is the reference to basing projected cash receipts on planned courses of action. That is also something that is, you know, very unique to ASC 274, that you able to consider what is intended to be done to the property with the asset and including future income streams associated with those planned courses of action in your projected cash amounts.

And that is also, by the way, very different from ASC A-20 which is the fair value standard where the intended use of the property is not considered in determining a value under A-20. But ASC 274 that's entirely appropriate.

Q And how are planned courses of action used in the real world to ascribe an estimated current value to real estate?
A Well, there are a variety of ways. It could be having a property that is not developed and including receipts from that property assuming that it was fully developed. That's one example.

Q What is required for the preparer of a Statement of Financial Condition to understand about a planned course of action in order to be able to figure that into the valuation?

A Well, there are many different inputs and assumptions that go into these determinations. They depend on the type of asset, location, intended use, whether it is a hotel, whether it is an undeveloped piece of land. I think it would really depend, I'll say, I am not a valuation expert, I am here as an accounting expert, but I have a lot of experience reviewing valuation reports and having to understand assumptions and evaluate the reasonableness of those. But I have never prepared a valuation.

Q And in considering planned courses of action, what latitude is afforded the preparer to incorporate the future value of that planned course of action in an estimated current value?

A I think there is substantial latitude, because it all depends on the judgment of the preparer on what they plan to use the asset for.

Q What do you mean what they plan to use the asset for?
A Well, what I mean is that this phrase of "based on planned courses of action" allows a preparer to consider the value that is to come from the asset from either further developing it generating future revenues off of that piece of property and not just valuing it just based on what it is today
section B that talks about the discounted amounts of projected cash receipts that that analysis could be performed internally without needing to obtain any assistance from an outside expert or valuation specialist.
$Q$ In section $D$, the standard permits the use of appraisals used to obtain financing, correct?

A Yes.
Q How is that different from the prior section that we just discussed?

A So these could be appraisals that are obtained by lenders as part of making determination on whether to provide funding for the particular piece of asset. And, you know, using that appraisal as opposed to the person themselves retaining a specialist to go out and perform an appraisal on that property.

Q What is the last standard that is set forth in this section?

A So this one talks about assessed value for property taxes. Again, going back to my example of my home, which doesn't have any future revenue stream associated with it, that I have a tax value that I pay taxes on my property, but I also have a price that I could sell my property for, which would likely be higher. But this is an option that is available to a preparer is to use that tax assessed value if they choose. But I would say that would be expected to typically be on the lower
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if you were to try to sell it without any future revenue streams. This is a very important part of the standard to contemplate and include the future earnings of a property which makes intuitive sense as well. Because if you were to try to sell a property that has a lot of future value to it, you know, a buyer is likely going to consider the future revenue streams as well. Not just an undeveloped, you know, piece of land being an undeveloped piece of land. They are going to consider what they are going to do with it, which would increase the value in their minds on what that property is worth.

Q And why is it that this concept of appears in ASC 274 but doesn't appear in the preparation of financial statements for corporate entities, for example?

A Well ASC 274 is really based on, as I said before, a management individual perspective. And what they intend to use the asset for ASC A-20, which applies to entities, applies a market participant perspective, not an insider management perspective, an outsider perspective, where the intended use of the property is not part of that valuation.

Q And how is standard B different from standard C, the use of appraisals based on estimates of selling prices and selling costs obtained from independent real estate agents or brokers familiar with similar properties in similar locations?

A I think the main difference is the fact that appraisals are obtained from external sources. Of whereas
end of the spectrum. We have covered a lot of these different methods and they are, you know, some are inherently going to likely put you at the low end of an estimate; and others might put you at a high end. But very importantly, as long as you are using one of these and you disclose what you are doing, you can use any of them regardless of the value that results from it.

Q In the real world, how are assessed value for property taxes different than, say, planned courses of action?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, foundation. This is not an expert on tax.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A Can you repeat that, please?
Q Sure. In the real world how is estimated current value different if you use it based on or you compute it based on assessed value for property taxes, as opposed to, for example, your planned courses of action?

A I have not ever prepared a tax assessment. But my -but having been familiar with how my personal properties are valued from a tax assessment perspective, they -- that would be a much more myopic, you know, view of the value.

Letter B, where it talks about planned courses of action, does take into account a much longer term income stream that could be attached to that property that could go into the value.
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| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I move to strike that | 1 |
| 2 | answer. There is no basis for that to have any relevance | 2 |
| 3 | in this case. Mr. Flemmons' personal residence and the | 3 |
| 4 | manner in which it is taxed. | 4 |
| 5 | THE COURT: I think he was just using that as an | 5 |
| 6 | example. But I'll strike the answer and ask that the | 6 |
| 7 | question be reframed to be very specific. We are not | 7 |
| 8 | talking about your personal situation, which is how the | 8 |
| 9 | world works, which is what Mr. Suarez asked. | 9 |
| 10 | Q In a general sense, in the real world if you want to | 10 |
| 11 | use an assessed value for property taxes, you can go on the tax | 11 |
| 12 | appraisers website and look it up, right? | 12 |
| 13 | A $\quad$ That's correct. | 13 |
| 14 | $Q \quad$ And you could use that as basis for estimated current | 14 |
| 15 | value, right? | 15 |
| 16 | A $\quad$ That is an option. | 16 |
| 17 | Q That is an option. Another option would be to | 17 |
| 18 | consider the planned courses of action that you have for a | 18 |
| 19 | property, correct? | 19 |
| 20 | A $\quad$ That's correct. | 20 |
| 21 | Q And those planned courses of action, I believe you | 21 |
| 22 | described them as potentially being very forward looking, | 22 |
| 23 | right? | 23 |
| 24 | A $\quad$ Correct. | 24 |
| 25 | Q And it is possible, for example, that an asset could | 25 |
|  |  |  |

have an assessed value for property taxes, so 18 to $\$ 20$ million, right? And that same property based on planned courses of action could have a value of four or $\$ 500$ million?

MR. WALLACE: Objection to this -- this
hypothetical is unmoored from any specific details about
jurisdictions or anything else -- or how any of these
properties were valued.
THE COURT: Well, I'll ask that the witness.
In your experience, are these universals or are we talking about a particular jurisdiction?

THE WITNESS: Well, generally speaking, I would say that a property tax value would be expected to be lower than a value that you would use if considering the planned courses of action over a long period of time in the future. And that would inherently result, in my view, in, likely, very different -- wildly different values.

THE COURT: Okay. That's his answer and it is fine.
Q Let's say in the hypothetical property you have an assessed value for property taxes of $\$ 18$ million, that wouldn't preclude the sales comparable approach in subsection A from having a value of four or $\$ 500$ million, would it?

A It would not preclude that.
Q And that wouldn't preclude estimated current value being used to derive a value of seven or eight or $\$ 900$ million
on a property based on planned courses of action, would it?
A It wouldn't preclude that either.
Q And that same property could have an assessed value for property taxes of 18 or $\$ 20$ million, and then I think you described it as a wildly different property, six, seven, $\$ 800$ million based on the other methods of -- other methods authorized to reach estimated current value for real estate, right?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. At this point the leading is really out of control.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Let me ask a question about one of your answers. You were asked, basically, could something be assessed at 18 million and be valued and have a value of 800 million or something. You said yes. Is that a theoretical answer or a real world practical answer based on your experience?

THE WITNESS: Well, it is a real world answer from the standpoint that tax assessed values are typically on the lower end of the spectrum.

THE COURT: How much lower?
THE WITNESS: Well, it depends on the asset. It is hard to say in generality what that number would be. You would have to know what that property is. But I am saying that that taxed assessed value typically would not consider the future longer term revenues that would be
generated from that asset, which would, by definition in my view, generate a much higher value than a tax assessed value.

THE COURT: I am trying to get to the order of magnitude we are talking about here. Let's say the tax assessors say its assessed value is, let's make it $\$ 20$ million. In your real world experience what is the highest value you have ever seen legitimately placed on such a property?

THE WITNESS: Well, you said legitimately.
THE COURT: It is a loaded word, I know.
THE WITNESS: Again, letter B, which talks about projecting cash receipts, there is a range of judgments that go into that.

THE COURT: Nope. Nope. I am asking what you have observed in the real world. Assuming 20 million assessment, what is the highest you have ever seen? I will stick with legitimate appraisal, somewhat objective appraisal, what is the highest you think you have ever seen proportionally?

THE WITNESS: Well, if you are saying 20 million is your example for assessed property tax, it would not be unusual to have a value in the hundreds of millions using projected cash receipts.

THE COURT: What's the highest that you have
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## seen?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall an exact number. THE COURT: Okay.
Q You described it as an order of magnitude? THE COURT: And five minute break.
A Yes.
Q Now that order of magnitude could be ten times?
A It could be.
Q It could be 20 times?
A Possibly.
Q It could be 100 times?
A Just depends on the asset.
Q And that wouldn't make one method of estimated current value superior or inferior to another?

A None of the methods are superior or inferior to another. They are all available to a preparer.

Q And they could each individually yield wildly different values, correct?

A When comparing them to each other, yes.
Q And it wouldn't be wrong to select one value over another, correct?

THE COURT: Asked and answered five times. But he can answer it.
A There is no right or wrong answer. It is a judgment call.
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Q So even though the values derived from the various methods are very different or could be very different, would using any one of these numbers comply with ASC 274 ?

A Yes.
Q And even though the values derived from the various methods could be very different, would using any one of the different numbers still comply with GAAP?

A That's correct ASC 274 is GAAP. So to the extent that GAAP is the selected convention to prepare values for personal financial statements, one would be complying with GAAP if using one of these methods.

MR. SUAREZ: I think I am at a good stopping point, Your Honor.

THE COURT: With this witness or for lunch?
MR. SUAREZ: For lunch.
THE COURT: That's fine. All right. We will see you all at 2:15 as usual.

And I'll direct the witness, please don't
discuss the case or your testimony or anything related to it during the lunch break.
(Whereupon, a luncheon recess was taken at this time.)
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Can we get the same witness back up on the stand, right?
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

MR. SUAREZ: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Everyone, sorry for the delay. Work was being done.

Mr. Suarez, whenever you are ready.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you.
Q Returning to page 14 of Defendant's Exhibit D-27.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. Flemmons, with respect to planned courses of action, what preconditions must exist in order for a planned course of action to be appropriately assessed under estimated current value?

A Well, the standard doesn't get into that level of detail on preconditions. Um, to the extent this section, or this method of ASC-274 is used, planned courses of action could
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be a wide range of action that are anticipated by the preparer of the financial statements.

Q Can you describe the range?
A Well, it's very open-ended. It could be anticipated sale of property, it could be anticipated construction of property, um, anticipated obtaining of permits. Um, it could be a whole host of things.

Q Are hypothetical conditions allowed to be factored in to a planned course of the action under this section of estimated current value?

A Well, hypothetical actions that are planned and intended, there is certainly a hypothetical aspect to the standard that is embedded, but it does also relate to what needs to be done in order to carry out the courses of action that are intended by the preparer.

Q How is the concept of "current" in the term "estimated current value" consistent with things that will happen in the future?

A Well, it's because the things that happen in the future may create value currently, depending on what those things in the future are, depending on the nature of the asset. As I said earlier, if we are talking about my home, my home doesn't really have a lot of future value because it's not an income producing asset, and would be based on the market conditions as of today.

However, an asset that is revenue generating, um, you
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have to look to the future, or you can look to the future under this particular prong and use those estimates for future cash receipts or income to develop what the current value is now, because that current value is, in many ways, dependent on what happens in the future.

Q How is estimated current value different than the concept of historical costs under GAAP?

A Well, one of the methods that can be used under ASC-274 is a cost-based method that can be adjusted depending on different factors. Um, business historical cost is used for the accounting for other types of assets, such as inventory would be a historical cost, and that is typically used for an entity financial statements under other aspects of GAAP, but there is a cost option for ASC-274 as well.

Q How is estimated current value different than net realizable value?

A Net realizable value is a method that is used for accounting for accounts receivable. And "accounts receivable" is, again, something that could be on the financials of personal financial statements, but you would still use estimated current value, not realizable value; that is relevant for the accounting for the receivables for an entity. And there is a separate set of GAAP requirements surrounding that.

Q How is estimated current value different than amortized costs?
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## 24

A So "amortized costs" would be, for example, certain kinds of investments, that would be another kind of asset on entities, financial statements, such as a bond that might be reported, you know, based on amortizing the cost of that particular asset over the duration -- over the term of the investment. Um, that is very different than estimated current value, which does not contemplate amortized costs.
Q Where else, if at all, in the accounting literature does the concept of estimated current value appear, besides the context of personal financial statements?
A Nowhere. It's a very unique set of standards that applies only to the preparation of personal financial statements. There are hundreds of other standards that have been developed over the course of time, um, by various standard setters that have made their way into the Accounting Standards Codification. And there are dozens of other standards that pertain to the accounting for entities, but this is the only standard that pertains to personal financial statements.
Q What professional standards apply to accountants performing a compilation engagement?

A So the AICPA, many, many years ago, issued what is referred to as the Statement on Standards For Accounting and Review Services, also referred to as SSARS, S-S-A-R-S. And those are the standards that accountants are held to when performing compilation -- compilations. The AICPA re-codified
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the SSARS into what is referred to as AR-80, which stands for Accounting and Review 80. And those were later clarified into what's known as AR-C80. The standards between - comparing AR-80 to AR-80(sic), they are largely unchanged with regard to compilations. Um, and comparing AR-80 to the original SSARS 19 , that was really a cut and paste from that old standard to AR-80.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up our demonstrative Exhibit 3.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. Flemmons, do you recognize this image?
A Yes. This was a diagram that $I$ included in one of my expert reports.

Q And what does this diagram represent?
A So this was to show an illustration of the range of services that an accountant can perform, ranging from bookkeeping services, at the very bottom of the screen, all the way to an audit. And there are varying responsibilities that are attached to an accountant's role for each of these items. And compilation, as we've been talking about, is in the middle there.

Only two of them are referred to as "assurance engagements." That would be an audit and review. And for each of those, the standards would say that an auditor is providing some level of assurance for an auditor's providing reasonable
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assurance that financial statements are fairly stated under a review. It's more limited, or negative assurance, which would be that an auditor or an accountant would be reporting as to whether or not material modifications exist within the financial statements under review.

Um, and compilation and a preparation and bookkeeping services are not assurance engagements. And there are different standards and responsibilities that are attached to each of these types of engagements.

Q What are the standards and responsibilities that are attached to a compilation engagement?

A It's AR-80 for the 2016 and prior time frame, and it's AR-C80 from 2016 forward.
Q What does it mean that a compilation engagement does not provide assurance services?
A Well, it means that an auditor is not issuing an opinion as to whether the financials are fairly stated, or as I said with the review, whether there is a need or that there are, um, material modifications that are required for the financial statements. That said, as part of a compilation, there is a section in the standards that layout what a standard report would look like, because a report is included with compiled financial statements. It just does not contain a, quote/unquote, opinion.

But despite not having an opinion, an accountant does
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have responsibilities, as part of a compilation, to report any deviations in the accounting with the established, um, convention that is used for that compilation, whether it's GAAP or some other convention.

MR. SUAREZ: May we please hand the witness what's
been pre-marked as Defendant's 25.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was handed to the witness.)
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. Flemmons, do you recognize this document?
A Ido.
Q Is this AR §80?
A It is.
Q What are the obligations of the accountant preparing a compilation report that are imposed by AR $\S 80$ ?
A Well, the standard goes into quite a few things. The first page that we are showing here is to establish an understanding with the client, which would be entering into an engagement letter with the client to -- outlining the terms associated with that engagement to make it clear what management's responsibility is as opposed to the accountant's responsibility; that's step one.

The standard then goes on through multiple pages with different categories and responsibilities that the accountant is responsible for.
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)

Page 4285

Q What obligation does the accountant have to understand the industry for which they are providing compilation services?

A So that section shows up on page 80.09. Paragraph 80.09 , there is a section dedicated to that as well. There is a requirement for the accountant to understand the industry in which the client operates and to have the requisite level of knowledge in order to perform the engagement.

Q What understanding does the accountant need to develop of the client?
A Well, it's an understanding of the client's business, um, an understanding of the principles that are used in order to prepare the financial statements, and an understanding of the industry, itself, that the client operates in.
Q What are the requirements imposed by AR $\S 80$ on the accountant preparing the compilation report? Stated differently, what must a compilation report include?

A So there's another section in here, which I'll direct you to. It starts on paragraph 17 of AR-80, and outlines what the components of the standard report should look like. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A And that includes how the report is titled to make it clear to a user that the work that the accountant did was a compilation, and actually entitling the report as a compilation report, which is very important, so the user can distinguish
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that from the other levels of service that we talked about before, and differentiate a compilation from an audit or review, or something else.

And there's also some standard language that's included in paragraph 17 that is required to be in the report, you know, outlining what the responsibility of the accountant is. Um, the accountant needs to sign and date the report, um, you know, all this is in this particular paragraph.

Q What obligation does the accountant have to read and understand the supporting data for the compilation?

A Well, an accountant performing compilation services is required to read the -- read the financial statements that are being compiled. Um, understand the methods that are being used to develop estimated current values as reported in the financial statements, and also to evaluate the appropriateness of those methods.

And to the extent an accountant uncovers or discovers that there's a particular accounting treatment that is contradictory to the established convention, which in this particular case is GAAP, there's a requirement on the accountant to modify the standard report and include reference to those exceptions in the accountant's report.

Q What obligation does the accountant have to ensure that the Statements of Financial Condition adequately describes the methods used to achieve estimated current value?
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A Well, the accountant is using their knowledge of GAAP. They are using their understanding of the methods that are being applied, um, for establishing estimated current value for the assets. Um, there's also a section in the standard that talks about considering, um, the broader range of involvement and experience that an accountant may have with that particular client, even outside of the compilation engagement, whether that be in situations where an accountant is performing audit services, um, or tax services, or other work for the client, that that can't be ignored, that that needs to be considered as part of evaluating the compliance of the accounting for purposes of the personal financial statements.

Q What is the accountant's obligation to determine whether the Statements of Financial Condition are prepared in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?

A Again, the standard talks about the accountant needing to be -- to respond to any kind of obvious misstatements or differences between the accounting that is being provided to them and Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. Um, and in the course of gaining an understanding of estimated current value and evaluating the appropriateness of whether or not a particular method makes sense under the circumstances, that could lead an accountant to, um, conclude that there is a GAAP departure.

Q What is required of an accountant if he concludes that
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Ido.
Q What is this document?
A It's the interpretive guidance that I was just referring to.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we zoom in on the paragraph there in the middle -- right there.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was enlarged.)

MR. SUAREZ: Yes. That works.
Q You see where it says "because the significance."
A Yes.
Q "Because the significance and pervasiveness of the matters previously discussed makes it difficult to assess their impact on the financial statements as a whole, users of these financial statements should recognize that they might reach different conclusions about the company's financial position, result of operations, and cash flows if they had access to revised financial statements prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America."

This refers to the company's financial position. Does this warning also apply to compilation engagements for personal

## financial statements?

A Yes.
Q What is the effect of placing this warning on a compilation report?

A Well, it's a big deal. And it's the judgement of the accountant that if they believe that the departures from GAAP are of such significance that warrant including this paragraph in the accountant's report, it really does serve as the highest level warning that an accountant can communicate to a user of the financial statements that have been compiled.

THE COURT: Hold on a second. We keep talking about accountant, accountant, accountant. Accountant acting in what capacity, because I think a lot of these people are accountants. The clients internal accountants, and then the compilation accountants, so who whose accountants are we talking about?

THE WITNESS: In this case we are talking about the outside accountants that are performing the compilation service.

THE COURT: Right. I'm sort of confused here, because -- it's more the questions than the answers.

The questions are, what are the obligations of the accountant in -- to the compilation? Well, the accountant is doing the compilation. Obviously the obligation is to do it correctly. Am I missing something?
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THE WITNESS: So AR-80 is the authoritative standard that pertains to an outside accountant performing those compilation services. And yes, they need to do their job and do it accurately.

THE COURT: Okay. I think we've had 20 questions about what is their obligation. The obligation is to do it accurately. Is there much more to say than obligation, accurate, following GAAP, et cetera?

THE WITNESS: Let me distinguish then. So, a compilation is very different from saying an audit.

THE COURT: Right. Understood.
THE WITNESS: And auditor needs to do it accurately, too. Doing it accurately under an audit is different then doing it accurately in a compilation. And standards that pertain to audit services explain how an accountant goes about doing it accurately, what they are required to do and not do, which is a lot more in terms of audit testing, vouching, inquiry, analytical procedures. There's a lot more in the standards pertaining to an audit.

A compilation is less than that, um, but still has certain requirements attached to it.

THE COURT: Right. And to hone in on this a bit, you said -- or there was a question, what should the accountant do if they see an error in the compilation? Well the accountant is writing the compilation. You just said we

## J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)

are talking about the accountant that's doing the compilation. What is their obligation if they see a mistake in their own work? Presumably, fix it. Again, am I missing something?

THE WITNESS: Well, to be clear, a compilation entails obtaining the accounting information from a company.

## THE COURT: Raw data sort of?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: Can we call that raw data? The compiler takes raw data from the client.

THE WITNESS: I would say it's refined data.
THE COURT: Okay, okay. Takes refined data and puts it in a certain format, that, say banks could look at and say, oh, yeah, this is one of those Statements of Financial Condition.

MR. SUAREZ: That's precisely the point of the questions, your Honor. It's not that we are putting it in the right format. It's that the accounting firm has obligations and rules that it must follow in order to prepare a compilation report. It's not just a formatting exercise.

What the witness is explaining for the benefit of the Court and the record is the process by which the compilation report is prepared based on the data that's provided from the client. It's not simply a formatting

## exercise.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Go ahead.
Q A Statement of Financial Condition that has departures from GAAP is still in compliance with GAAP; correct?

A I wouldn't say that a Statement of Financial Condition that is prepared under GAAP and has GAAP deviations is still in compliance with GAAP. What I would say is that issuing those financial statements and identifying those differences with GAAP, either in the financial statements themselves or in the accountant's report, um, would still be a set of financial statements that would be issued to users.

The accounting system is set up such that you are allowed and -- to issue financial statements whether or not they contain GAAP departures, and there are different reporting mechanisms in place to communicate to the users of the financial statements when that happens.

If there are no discrepancies with GAAP, if it were an audit, an accountant would issue what is known as unqualified opinion saying these financial statements are fairly stated in all material respects.

Alternatively, if an auditor determined that there were departures from GAAP, an accountant could then issue a report that is known as an adverse report that would say these financials do not comport with GAAP.

Um, importantly, under both scenarios, the financial
statements are issued. And it's okay to issue financials that are not compliant with GAAP under these standards. (Continued on the next page.)

Q Why is it okay to issue financial statements that aren't compliant with GAAP under these standards?

A Because the accounting system is set up that way. And the accounting standards and these compilation standards we have been talking about provide guidance on how to go about doing that when financial statements are issued that contain GAAP deviations.

Q Do the accounting standards provide another form of disclaimer or disclosure that can be placed in a compilation report if there are -- if there is a greater level of departure from GAAP than this?

A No. This is the highest level language that the standards would suggest be included in an accountant's report when there are deviations from GAAP.

Q And if the departures or deviations from GAAP are so significant that this language was insufficient to address them, what responsibility would the accountant have?

A Well the responsibility would be for the accountant to identify what those departures on in its accountant's report that are attached to the financial statements and to also include this language if they rose to such a pervasive level.

Q And there would be nothing wrong with issuing those Statements of Financial Condition so long as the departures were noted, correct?

A That's correct, that's the very reason why this

## Page 4297

together. It could be, you know, various backup materials and supporting worksheets and calculations that relate to that as well. But all of that is going to the accountant who is going to review it. And then there is a responsibility to then put that into a financial statement format. But in doing so, as we said before, the accountant needs to understand the methods that are being used, assess the appropriateness of those methods. Be on the lookout, you know, for any GAAP discrepancies. And respond to those GAAP discrepancies by raising them with the client, seeking additional information where appropriate to try to resolve those discrepancies, or if the discrepancies aren't resolved, report them in the accountant's report and consider including the highly cautionary language that we just referred to.

Q And the highly cautionary language that we just referred to, under AR section 980.03. Was that language present in a substantially similar form on the compilation reports issued by Mazars for Donald J. Trump Statements of Financial Condition from the year 2011 to the year 2020?

## A Yes.

Q And what is the import of that disclaimer language being present in the compilation reports for those Statements of Financial Condition?

A Well, it is akin to an adverse opinion in an audit. It is putting the user on notice that there are significant

GAAP discrepancies and effectively saying, user beware.
Q And if there are significant -- so significant GAAP departures that user must beware, why would the accounting firm nonetheless issue the compilation report?

A Well, because they are allowed to. You don't have to resolve the GAAP discrepancies and make them conform with GAAP before issuing the financial statements. The accounting system in the United States of America allows you to issue financials that are not GAAP compliant, as long as the discrepancies or the deviations are disclosed. And the accountant's report contains the correct language to identify to the user that those differences exist.

MR. SUAREZ: Please pull up D 950. This has been premarked.
Q Mr. Flemmons, do you recognize this document? A Ido.
Q What is this document?
A This is an audit and accounting guide that was issued by the AICPA, and it provides guidance to practitioners performing services related to personal financial statements; and assists accountants performing those services in applying the relevant standards for those engagements.

Q Do you see at the top where it says American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Historical Collection?
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A Ido.
Q And do you see that it says: Personal financial statements guide with conforming changes as of May 1, 2008 audit and accounting guide?

A Ido.
Q Now, this document predates the effectiveness of AR section 80 and AR-C section 88 , correct?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: If we can turn to the following page.
Q What is this document -- this guide? I am sorry.
A This looks to be the original version of this guide prior to it being updated with the conforming changes that we just saw in the title.

Q Is this guide still useful to accountants preparing compilation reports?

A Yes, it still very applicable guidance that the AICPA has issued and has made available to practitioners in the space. There are, you know, standards that apply, you know, to preparing or to performing either compilations or audits or reviews of personal financial statements, and those reside elsewhere. This guide was intended to provide interpretive guidance to assist practitioners in applying those standards on those kind of engagements. And you can see the thickness of it, it provides a lot more detail than the standards
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## themselves.

MR. SUAREZ: You can turn to page five of the guide. On page 17 of the exhibit.
Q Do you see the section where it says use of estimated current values and amounts?

A $\mathbf{M m}-\mathrm{Hm}$ yes.
Q Could you please walk us through this section and explain why it is still valid illustrative guidance, notwithstanding the codification of the standards in 2009 and thereafter?

MR. WALLACE: Objection to relevance. I don't know why we are trying to rehabilitate standards that are out of date. I know why they are doing it, because they spent a lot of time asking Mr. Bender about these points that are no longer active guidance at the time, the times at issue in this case. But at this point, I don't know we have asked more than maybe one question about the actual facts of the preparation of the Statements of Financial Condition. So can we get some showing of how this is relevant to anything that is to be decided in this case?

MR. KISE: I mean I certainly think it is relevant to our overall presentation about what the accounting firm was responsible for and what we were responsible for; whether management reasonably relied on the accounting firm; what the standards were in
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preparation. Mr. Bender --
Do we want to do this without the witness, Judge? I'll be brief.

MR. WALLACE: He is an expert witncss, he should have looked at Mr. Bender's testimony anyway.

MR. KISE: That's true. Fair point.
Mr. Bender all but testified that all I had to do is take the data and plug it into the boxes and I am done. So we are pointing out that there is a more deliberative exercise associated with that. He had certain responsibilities. The client had certain responsibilities. And we are just exploring that because it is relevant to the Court's consideration, certainly of intent, if not materiality and some of the other issues. So we are going through it. I mean, we can perhaps move through it a little quicker, but it is -- it is just dense material. It is why after I got my accounting degree I decided to go to law school instead of take the CPA exam, because that's really hard what they do.

THE COURT: Is the main point of the objection that it is outdated.

MR. WALLACE: My objection is not to this line of questioning. My objection is the line of questioning being the out of date guidance that we are going through right now from 2008.

MR. SUAREZ: I believe the witness testified it is not out dated, and there is nothing to the contrary that it is.

MR. WALLACE: He said it might be useful. This is not -- this was not current at the time. There should be some showing of how this is relevant to what we are -what actually happened between 2011 and 2021.

THE COURT: When was this supplanted, replaced, updated?

THE WITNESS: There were some changes that were made in 2008, about a year after that is when the codification came into effect for the accounting standards. But I want to make sure we are clear here, this did not go out of commission. This was still reference material that the AICPA has maintained for its --

THE COURT: Let's save time. Overruled. Go ahead.
Q If we could turn to 1.21.
It says: In determining the estimated current values of some assets (for example: Works of art, jewelry, restricted securities, investments and closely held businesses and real estate) the accountant may need to consult a specialist. Examples of the types of matters that the practitioner may decide require him or her to consider using the work of a
specialist include:
The materiality of the item with respect to the individual's financial condition.

The nature of the financial statement item.
And the date of any previous estimate involving the use of a specialist, the extent of changes in the circumstances since that date, and the method of updating the estimate if appropriate.

Now, I believe there has been testimony at trial, or a suggestion by the Attorney General, that the preparation of a Statement of Financial Condition compilation report is just an exercise in formatting. How is that consistent with the obligation of the accountant in determining estimated current value?

## MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.

 THE COURT: Overruled.A Well, as this guidance suggests, there are circumstances in which an accountant performing any kind of service, whether it be an audit or review or compilation, you know, could retain a specialist to assist. And this is what this guidance is providing. It is more common to see that situation occur in an audit and less common to see it in a review or compilation. This particular section is more of the general overview of an accountant's responsibilities and considerations for all kinds of engagements related to personal
financial statements. This is not specific to an audit review or compilation, but it is this overarching umbrella that does layout that there are times when an accountant may want to retain a specialist under certain circumstances to assist with the evaluation or the determination of estimated current value.

THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, was a specialist ever used, to the extent that we know what went on here?

MR. SUAREZ: We certainly have conflicting testimony from the Mr. Bender as to whether he actually consulted with an expert. But these would be the obligations of the accounting firm in determining what to do to assist its client in establishing the validity of a method for estimated current value.

And I can move on.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: I can move on to the specific sections on the compilation of personal financial statements which appear at page 21 of the PDF.

THE COURT: Five minute warning.
Q If I can focus your attention on the minimum
understanding that the required -- that the accountant must an acquire at section 2.03, where it says: At a minimum, however, the accountant should obtain an understanding of the methods by which the individual determined the estimated current values of significant assets and the estimated current amounts of
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significant liabilities and consider whether those methods are appropriate in light of the nature of each asset or liability. Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Is that an exercise that Mazars would have been required to undertake when compiling the Statements of Financial Condition for President Trump?

A They were certainly subject to this guidance that was provided to them. And this was -- this entire document is reflective of industry practice and best industry practice in performing services related to personal financial statements.

Q And certainly the same would apply to Whitley Penn when they prepared the compilation, correct?

## A Correct.

Q And if we can move on to 2.05 on the following page.
I would like to draw your attention to the obligation of the accountant with respect to or that this guidance provides for determining misstatements that might occur personal financial statements prepared in conformity with GAAP.

How would this guidance instruct an accountant in their compilation of a personal financial statement?

A Well, this touches on the topic we have discussed before where an accountant performing a compilation service needs to respond to deviations from GAAP that are identified as part of performing that service. And this particular paragraph

1 underscores that point and also provides some examples of the type of deviations that may arise during that engagement.

Q Would it be best guidance for -- withdrawn.
What does this section -- what is the import of this section with respect to the last bullet point that says presentation of an asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount. What does that suggest to the accountant preparing a compilation of a personal financial statement?

A Well, that bullet point is very consistent with the standard AR 80, which requires an accountant performing compilation services to respond to obvious misstatements from GAAP or the convention being used in that engagement. And this is taking that to another level saying that in this particular example the obvious misstatement would be an instance where the reported value is obviously inappropriate. And there are other examples as well.

Q And what would be required of an accountant if he determined that an asset or liability was presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount when preparing a compilation for a personal financial statement?

A It would be based on their understanding of GAAP, it would be based on their understanding of the method used to value a particular asset or liability. And whether or not that method is appropriate under the circumstances for that asset or
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liability.
MR. SUAREZ: I am done with this exhibit. We can put it down.

THE COURT: All right. So let's take a
14-minute break. Be back here at a quarter to 4:00.
(Pause in the proceeding.)
COURT OFFICER: All rise.
Part 37 is back in session.
Please be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: The witness back in the box.
(The witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: Let's resume. Next question. Please continue.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I recognize some of this is dense and I appreciate the court bearing with me.

THE COURT: I am sorry, we didn't hear that.
MR. SUAREZ: I said, I recognize that some of this is dense and I appreciate Your Honor staying engaged with me throughout the questions.

THE COURT: I took accounting 101 in law school, so I am able to follow.
Q Mr. Flemmons, do you recognize the diagram up on the screen?

A Yes, I do.
Q We marked this as our second demonstrative exhibit.

Did you prepare this diagram?
A Yes.
Q What does this diagram represent?
A I am actually kind of proud of this diagram, because it captures a lot of what we talked about so far. It is, speaking of dense, it does have a lot going on. But let me explain what this is intended to communicate.

So, we have talked about earlier the different kinds of reports that an accountant can issue, which are at the very top of this chart. A report by an external accountant can be an adverse report, which would be communicating to a user that there are GAAP deviations, exceptions.

There is also what is known as a qualified report, which would include, for example, this would be really relevant to an audit where an auditor would say that the financial statements comply with GAAP, except for certain things.

Not near as extreme as an adverse opinion where an accountant would be saying these financials do not comport with GAAP as a whole.

And then the far right, unqualified, is the situation where in the case of an audit, an auditor would issue a clean opinion saying that financials are GAAP compliant in all material respects.

And obviously the closer you get to the right from an adverse to an unqualified opinion, that gives a user higher
relevant to this case, we are in the bottom left corner, because we are in the land of compilations and we are in the land of where the accountant has identified in its accountant's report a number of pervasive deviations from GAAP and included that "user beware" language that I discussed before.

MR. SUAREZ: If we can please pull up, already
in evidence, Plaintiff's Exhibit 785?
(Handing)
Q Mr. Flemmons, do you recognize Plaintiff's 785?
A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A This is the engagement letter that was entered into between Mazars and Mr. Trump to perform the compilation services on his personal financial statements.

Q And that says of the year ending June 30, 2011?
A Yes for the 2011 time period, correct.
Q Who drafts the engagement letter?
A The accountant in this case, Mazars, would typically prepare this document.
Q And the document is addressed to Allen Weisselberg; is that right?

A Correct.
Q Is Allen Weisselberg a certificated public accountant?
A That's not my understanding. I don't believe he is.
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confidence, which is denoted there in the top right corner of the diagram.

On the left side I have laid out the three different kinds of engagements that an accountant typically performs.
There are a couple of others that we have talked about that are preparation and bookkeeping services, but for simplicity $I$ have included just the audit review and compilation services on this diagram.

And by their very nature, an audit provides more assurance, you know, to a user than a review. And a compilation provides even less, because a compilation is not an assurance engagement, as we have talked about before.

So, this is intended to show you that as you move from the bottom left comer of the diagram to the top right corner of the diagram, you are giving a user much more assurance and much more confidence because of the fact that there are fewer, if not none, GAAP exceptions.

And you have also, as you get to the top right corner, are getting to the point of issuing an audit opinion, which is inherently much more involved in terms of what an accountant does and the types of testing procedures that an accountant performs.

So, the checkmark here is to show that out of all of these different iterations and the services that an accountant could perform and the types of reports that could be issued
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Q On the second page there are five enumerated departures from generally accepted accounting principles. Do you see that on the page?

A Ido.
Q How would the accounting firm know to include these five departures when it prepares its engagement letter?

A Well, they would identify these items during the course of performing the compilation, reviewing supporting materials that are feeding in to the preparation of the personal financial statements, and during the course of understanding the methods that are used for valuation or other issues that extend beyond valuations. For example, one of the items on here relates to tax provision, but it would be in the course of performing those services that we have gone over earlier today.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I want to note an objection. Can we get a clarification if the witness is talking about what actually happened in the preparation of this engagement letter or if he is talking about general industry practice?

THE COURT: Well, basically sustained. I think we need to know those things.

MR. SUAREZ: Sure.
Q Are you aware of how Mazars would have known - let me withdraw that.
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You have become familiar -- have you become familiar with the work that Mazars did on the compilation engagements for the Statement of Financial Condition for President Trump from 2011 to 2020?

A I have based on reading Mr. Bender's deposition and in reading his trial testimony.

Q Have you also reviewed the trial testimony of Mr. Kelly?

A I have.
Q Have you reviewed the supporting data to the Statement of Financial Condition?

A I have.
Q Have you reviewed each of the Statements of Financial Condition for the years 2011 to 2021?

A Yes.
Q And you would agree with me that the ones that were done between 2020 and -- I am sorry 2011 and 2020, those are compilation engagements by Mazars?

A Correct.
Q And those -- the one done in 2021 was done by Whitley Penn?

A That's right.
Q Now, in reviewing the record in this case, are you familiar with the work that Mazars performed for the Trump Organization?

A I am familiar with the materials that they were provided to conduct their compilation. I have reviewed those materials. I cited many of them in my expert reports. And I have reviewed many of them in preparation for today's testimony.

Q Are you aware of the nature of the engagement between Mazars and the Trump Organization, generally?

A Yes, in that it was a compilation engagement.
Q Are you aware of other engagements that Mazars performed for the Trump Organization?

A Yes, I am aware that they performed audit and tax services in addition to performing these compilation services.

Q And what knowledge do you have regarding the historical knowledge that Mazars had with the Trump Organization's books and records?

A Only what I have read in transcripts.
Q The first disclaimer that is placed here on this engagement letter, what is this disclaimer intended to cover?

A Well, it is establishing from the outset that estimated current value is the measure that will be used to report the assets and liabilities on the Statement of Financial Condition.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

Q Does this disclaimer reflect a departure from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?
A Yes. So this is the disclaimer section, and this is the first of several that are in this letter. The first sentence is establishing that estimated current value should be used to report assets and liabilities.

The next sentence indicates that -- that in instances that the way that the values are reported were not compliant with estimated current value.

Q In what ways were the values that were reported not compliant with the definition of estimated current value?

A Well, I would just be repeating what this says. It says that some of the values will be based on future interests and that in some instances are not for fixed or determinable amounts or based on performance of future services.

Q That discloses a departure from what is required under GAAP; correct?
A Mazars determined that these were deviations from GAAP.
Q But Mazars was still able to issue a compilation report on that Statement of Financial Condition?

A That's correct.
Q The second departure, what does the second departure address?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
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A So this relates to disclosure of several different items -- I mean, it really does speak for itself in terms of the nature of the exceptions that Mazars had identified. But it relates to the disclosure of several different items.

Q And these are departures that Mazars had identified from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.
Q Who identified --
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Who identified these departures on the Statement of Financial Condition from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?

A Well, it could've been either Mazars or management. But obviously this is appearing in Mazars's report. It -- it doesn't necessarily mean that Mazars was the one that identified it, but it's being disclosed in their report.

Q The third category.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Identified here, what does this departure address?
A This is addressing the fact that there was a liability related to membership deposits that had been, um, incurred by the - by Mr. Trump, but that liability was not being reported on the Statement of Financial Condition. And that would be inconsistent with GAAP, therefore, giving rise to this

|  | emmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 4316 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | additional deviation. |
| 2 | Q And would there be anything wrong with issuing a |
| 3 | Statement of Financial Condition that had this deviation? |
| 4 | A Not at all |
| 5 | Q With respect to the fourth. |
| 6 | (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 7 | screen.) |
| 8 | Q Departure from GAAP, what is this departure from GAAP |
|  | intending to cover? |
| 10 | A This is what I was referring to earlier about under |
|  | GAAP. Financial statements are required to have a provision for |
|  | income taxes. Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition did |
|  | not contain that line item, and therefore, that lead to this |
|  | disclosure by Mazars to flag that for the users. |
| 15 | Q And would there be anything wrong with issuing a |
| 16 | Statement of Financial Condition that had this departure from |
| 17 | GAAP? |
| 18 | A Not under the applicable standards, no. |
| 19 | Q Would the same be true of the first and second |
| 20 | departure? |
| 21 | A Correct. |
| 22 | Q The fifth departure? |
| 23 | (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 24 | screen.) |
| 25 | Q What does this departure address? |
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A This is identifying that there are additional assets and liabilities that Mr. Trump owns or owes, however, those items are not included in the Statement of Financial Condition. Under GAAP, in order to present complete financial statements, one would include all assets and liabilities, but these are being excluded, and therefore, being disclosed to users that the financial statements that are being provided do not include these items.
Q Is it acceptable to issue a Statement of Financial
Condition that excludes these assets and liabilities identified in this departure?
A Under the relevant professional standards in America, yes.

## Q Why is that?

A Well, we went through earlier the requirements and the reporting aspects of the compilation standards, and there is a whole section dedicated to the situation in which an accountant identifies deviations from GAAP and reports those and includes additional cautionary language if they are pervasive enough.

Q And on the next page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q I believe we see the cautionary language that we discussed earlier; is that correct?
A Yes, the second or the first full paragraph, yes.

Q Now, if we take a look at the management rep letter for that same year and we pull it up side by side, Plaintiff's Exhibit 786.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q Would you agree with me that this is the management representation letter for the June 30, 2011, statement?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Who prepares the management representation letter?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Again, are we asking about who prepares this letter or who, in general practice, prepares a letter.
Q Do you know who prepared this letter?
A Well, I could attempt to answer both scenarios.
Q Sure.
A The standard practice in the industry is that the accountant performing the services will provide the management representation letter to management. Management will then put it on their own letterhead and sign and deliver it back to the accounting firm; that's the general standard practice.

Specific to this case, I believe that standard practice was followed based on Mr. Bender's testimony. I believe he testified to that.
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Q Do you see in the fifth full paragraph where it says in the first line, "The statement referred to above is fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America"?
A Yes.
Q How is that statement that was drafted by Mazars and given to its client to sign consistent with the departures in the engagement letter that predate this letter?

A It's obviously not consistent.
Q And why is that?
A Well, this sentence that we highlighted on the management representation letter indicates that the Statement of Financial Condition is in conformity with GAAP, however, the engagement letter that's on Mazars letterhead, um, identifies multiple instances where the Statement of Financial Condition is not in compliance with GAAP.

Q Would it be appropriate for Mazars to draft and provide the Trump Organization a management representation letter that they know is inaccurate?

A It doesn't seem appropriate in this case given that there are clearly GAAP deviations that Mazars themselves have reported to the users of the financials.
Q Would you expect an accounting firm that provides the draft and management representation letter for its client to sign, to confirm that it's accurate with their understanding of
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what's actually being provided?
A I would expect that. I will just add one piece, that the management rep letter that was sent is a pretty standard letter in the industry. And again, I don't want to speculate,
but it appears to me that Mazars just did not update that standard letter to include the exceptions that were listed in the engagement letter.

Q Would it have been appropriate for Mazars to include the exceptions that were in the engagement letter in this management rep letter?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.
MR. SUAREZ: I'm trying to move it along.
THE COURT: Overruled. But just a read back the question.
(Whereupon, the requested question was read back by the court reporter.)
A Some sort of modification to the management representation letter, I would have expected to see whether it be by itemizing the exceptions that are listed in the engagement letter, or having some qualified language in the representation letter that says that the financial statements are not in conformity with GAAP.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up the engagement letter appearing at Plaintiff's Exhibit 727.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you recognize this letter?
A Ido.
Q Is this a standard form of engagement letter on a compilation engagement?
A Yes. This appears to be the standard engagement letter that was used by Mazars for 2015.
Q Do you see where it says "compilation objective"?
A Yes.
Q Do you see where it says, "The objective of a compilation is to assist you in presenting financial information in the form of financial statements"?

## A Yes.

Q The person assisting is the accounting firm; correct?
A That's correct. That would be Mazars in this case.
Q And if we turn to the second page of this document, there are now six departures that have been identified as departures from general Generally Accepted Accounting Principles; correct?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A Yes.
Q And is it the case that it was appropriate -withdrawn.

Was it appropriate for Mazars to issue a compilation on Statement of Financial Condition that contain six departures from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles?
A It was appropriate as long as their report identified the departures in some form or fashion so that users of the financial statements understood that there were such departures.

THE COURT: And I'll ask Mr. Suarez to talk a
little louder and the witness to be a little closer to the mic, like I'm doing.

MR. SUAREZ: And then if we could pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 728 alongside this one.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
MR. SUAREZ: I have a red light on my mic. I might have exhausted the --
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)

MR. SUAREZ: And we are back.
THE COURT: Much better.
Q And in this instance, how is the -- I'm sorry,
Plaintiff's Exhibit 728, which is already in evidence, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, it's the management representation letter for the 2015 Statement of Financial Condition compilation.
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Q And how, if at all, is this management rep letter different than the one we saw for the year ending June 30, 2011?
A I would need to put them side by side to do a direct comparison, but I believe it's very consistent, if not identical.
Q In the instance of the 2015 letter, can you observe here, it says -- it lists out the actual departures from GAAP in the management rep letter.
A Yes. And I clarify my prior statement, because obviously this letter contains and delineates the exceptions that were identified by Mazars, whereas the prior letter we saw for the prior time period did not do that.
Q This would indicate that Mazars corrected the management rep letter?
A Yes. They updated the management rep letter to flag the deviations from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Q Would that have corrected the failure to include that letter -- excuse me, would that have corrected the failure to include those departures in 2011?

MR. WALLACE: Objection to the characterization of the prior letter.

THE COURT: I'm not sure it means to correct it. MR. SUAREZ: Withdrawn.
Q You would agree with me that those departures weren't identified in the prior representation letters; correct?

## A I agree.

Q And that in 2016, for the year ending June 30,2015 , Mazars appears to have corrected the management rep letter that it drafted --

MR. WALLACE: I'm objecting to the correction.
MR. SUAREZ: I haven't even finished.
MR. WALLACE: Fine.
THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, you are using the same wording, "corrected." Something is incorrect and something is corrected doesn't necessarily correct what's incorrect. It just supplants it with something that is correct, assuming it is.

MR. SUAREZ: I think I could use the word revised. Q Revised the letter to include the departures?

THE COURT: Not revising it, unless it says this is a revision of the earlier letter. It supplants or replaces. Q Is the form used after, for 2015 and years after, include the departures from GAAP, whereas prior to 2015 it did not?

THE COURT: Asked and answered about three times. It does. Okay, you know it does.

MR. SUAREZ: Okay. All right. Let's turn to page two of Plaintiff's Exhibit 728?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
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Q Do you see here in paragraph two where it says "We have made all financial records and related data available to you. We have not knowingly withheld from you any financial records or related data that in our judgment would be relevant to your compilation"?

A Yes.
Q Would it be relevant to a compilation to provide the account appraisals that were not used in arriving at the estimated current value of an asset?

A There would be no obligation or expectation on the part of Mazars, or any accountant performing compilation services, to receive supporting information for amounts that are not reflected on the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q If The Trump Organization had an appraisal that it didn't use in determining the estimated current value of an asset, would The Trump Organization have any obligation to provide that to Mazars?

A No. There would be no expectation under professional standards in the accounting and auditing industry that that information be provided, um, if it's not something that's being reported in the financial statements for the compilation.

Q Are you aware of testimony by Donald Bender that he requested to know about appraisals, even if they weren't used in reaching estimated current values?

A I read that in his transcript, yes.

Q What is your reaction to that testimony?
MR. WALLACE: Objection. I'm not sure, the witness's reaction to testimony?

THE COURT: I'll allow it. If it causes a reaction, I want to hear what it is.
A Well, it's not something that's required by the professional standards, and therefore, when I read that, my reaction to it was that his testimony was not professionally plausible. It doesn't make any sense that an accountant performing a compilation service would seek information, whether it be appraisals, or other supporting information, or other information, that was not used to support the reported values in the financial statements.

MR. WALLACE: I object to this. This is not a proper basis for expert testimony, whether someone's stated factual request is professionally plausible. Is he trying to say the witness is lying?

THE COURT: Not to put too fine a point on it. Um, all right. Let's -- Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: Again, I'm not going to violate your rule and say something if you are going to rule our way. You've already told me that. So it took me a while to learn that, but.

THE COURT: Better late than never. MR. KISE: Indeed.
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THE COURT: Yeah, I'm not objecting to -sustaining the objection to the question -- to the answer. I'm not sure it means would not have expected -- can we get a clarification, either another question.

MR. SUAREZ: May I ask the witness to explain his answer.

THE COURT: Sure. Let's do that.
Q Can explain what you mean by "professionally plausible"?
A Well, the standards would not require an accountant, particularly performing a compilation, to seek information outside of the population of information used to generate the Statement of Financial Condition. Um, a compilation is, as we've said before, not an assurance engagement. It's a much lighter touch engagement.

Um accountants in the industry do not go seeking records in support for things that are not within the four corners of what's to be reported in the Statement of Financial Condition, so that's why my reaction was, I believe that was implausible, because it runs entirely inconsistent with the requirements associated with the compilation.

THE COURT: So a compiler is not obligated to know about or ask about any appraisals, but you don't think it's plausible that one would ask, "Hey, do you have an appraisal?"


| J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) | Page 4332 |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | THE COURT: Counsel, let's do our scheduling. I'll | 1 |
| 1 | turn the microphone over to the person sitting next to me -- | 2 |
| 2 | alongside me. | 3 |
| 4 | MS. FAHERTY: Can you provide an admonition to the | 4 |
| 5 | witness, your Honor. | 5 |
| 6 | THE COURT: The witness, Mr. Clemmons -- you spell | 6 |
| 7 | it different from Mark Twain? | 7 |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: It's Flemmons, with an F. | 8 |
| 9 | THE COURT: I had that wrong. I order you not to | 9 |
| 10 | discuss this case, your testimony, or anything related to | 10 |
| 11 | it, until you are excused tomorrow. | 11 |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Understood. | 12 |
| 13 | THE COURT: Thank you. | 13 |
| 14 | (Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the | 14 |
| 15 | witness stand.) | 15 |
| 16 | MS. GREENFIELD: So tomorrow, two to three hours | 16 |
| 17 | more of direct? | 17 |
| 18 | MR. SUAREZ: I think in the morning I could wrap it | 18 |
| 19 | up. | 19 |
| 20 | MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. So that's two, three hours. | 20 |
| 21 | How much cross do we anticipate? | 21 |
| 22 | MR. WALLACE: It's still an hour, maybe two. | 22 |
| 23 | MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. So the next witness, then, | 23 |
| 24 | after Mr. Flemmons will be Collins; is that correct? | 24 |
| 25 | MR. SUAREZ: That's correct. | 25 |

be after Laposa on Thursday?
MR. ROBERT: At this point we are trying to move things around. We don't have anybody yet because of the fact we expected their crosses to be longer. I can tell you we are trying make sure we have everything filled next week, Monday and Tuesday.

MS. GREENFIELD: You'II work tonight on getting somebody else for Thursday and Friday.

MR. ROBERT: We'll try, but I can't promise we'll be able to.

MS. HABBA: I'm in contact with their attorney. It's somebody who is represented, and we are working with them. We are trying.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. Hopefully we'll have an update tomorrow.

MR. ROBERT: I'm sure we will.
THE COURT: Speaking of tomorrow, 10:00?
MR. ROBERT: Good.
MR. WALLACE: See you then, your Honor.
(The case on trial was adjourned to Wednesday, November 15, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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| 4191:10,15;4196:14, |  | fashion ( | 4260:14;4263:20 | firm (27) |
| 25;4199:13;4206:13; | facing (1) | 4193:12;4200:12 | 4264:2,9;4265:4,19 | 4171:19;4172:1,4, |
| 4217:7;4218:5,16,19; | 4183:4 | 4322:5 | 4266:8;4268:2; | 23;4173:1,2,20;4179:1, |
| 4219:3;4220:19,25; | fact (15) | favor (2) | 4269:12;4277:10 | 3;4189:25;4190:17; |
| 4227:9,24;4236:17,18, | 4210:16,19;4225:1, | 4200:8;4231:3 | 4279:2;4280:13,20; | 4223:19;4243:1,2,8; |
| 23;4249:25;4250:2,4,4, | 6,13;4241:6;4247:14; | fear (1) | 4281:3,10,12,18; | 4249:21,21;4250:15; |
| 5;4251:3,6;4252:1,5,7, | 4248:21;4255:22; | 4179:13 | 4283:1,4,19,23; | 4292:18;4298:3; |
| 10,14,15,24,25;4268:8, | 4261:9;4263:10; | feather (1) | 4285:12;4286:12,14 | 4300:23,25;4304:11; |
| 9;4270:3;4271:11; | 4269:24;4309:16 | 4243:7 | 24;4287:12,14;4288:1; | 4311:5;4318:22; |
| 4282:13;4301:4; | 4315:21;4334:4 | fee (2) | 4289:17,18,19,21,24; | 4319:23;4321:15 |
| 4304:10;4313 | factor (5) | 4:18;4249 | 4290:1,10;4292:1 | firms (5) |
| 4326:15 | 4193:9,10;4223:9, | feeding (1) | 4293:3,5,8,9,10,13,15, | 4242:14;4247:19,22, |
| expertise (4) | 11;4262 | f | 19,25;4295:1,6,20,23; | 23;4249:20 |
| 4189:2;4225:16, | factored | feel (1) | 4296:14;4297:5,19,23; | first (30) |
| 4236:16 | 4279:8 | 4205: | 4298:7,20;4299:2,21; | 4170:8;4171:23; |
| exper | facto | fees (1) | 4300:18;4303:3,4,11; | 4172:10,13;4178:10; |
| 4188:23,23;4218:22; | 4191:22;4199:16 | 4209:8 | 4304:1,17;4305:7,11, | 4183:1,8;4190:15; |
| 4233:22 | 4207:24;4208:22; | feet (2) | 19,21;4306:8,21; | 4206:13,15;4227:24; |
| expert's (2) | 4218:4,5,8,24;4219:9, | 4176:6;4182:1 | 4308:15;4310:14; | 4235:21;4238:22; |
| 4206:16;4221:23 | 23;4220:12;4221:23; | felt (1) | 4311:10;4312:3,11,13; | 4242:10;4245:16; |
| explain (8) | 4222:9;4223:5; | 4244:12 | 4313:21;4314:20; | 4247:2;4251:11; |
| 4203:8;420 | 4280:10 | few (10) | 4315:11,24;4316:3,11, | 4255:17;4257:5; |
| 4264:12;4291:15; | facts (3) | 4175:15;4180:11; | 12,16;4317:3,4,7,9; | 4258:15;4259:16,17; |
| 4300:8;4308:7;4327:5, | 4229:6,9;4300:18 | 4182:22;4226:20; | $4319: 13,15 ; 4320: 21$ | 4284:17;4313:17; |
| $8$ | factual (1) | 4233:14,17;4251:22; | 4321:12,13;4322:2,6, | 4314:4,4;4316:19; |
| explaining (2) | 4326:1 | 4253:4;4256:19; | 25;4325:2,3,13,21; | 4317:25;4319:2; |
| 4178:12;4292:22 | FAHERTY (2) | 4284:16 | 4326:13;4327:13,18; | 4330:3 |
| explored (1) | 4168:23;4332: | fewer (2) | 4328:19;4329:5,11,15, | Fisher (1) |
| 4194:3 | failure (6) | 4257:23;4309:1 | 17,19,19,25;4330:17, | 4177:12 |
| exploring (1) | 4179:13;4202:5; | field (16) | 24;4331:1,3,6,11,13,15 | five (14) |
| $4301: 12$ | 4236:22;4248:2; | $4175: 17 ; 4187: 18,19$ | financially (1) | $4177: 9 ; 4184: 15$ |
| exposure (2) <br> 4245:3.4246.6 | 4323:17,18 | $22 ; 4188: 19 ; 4189: 9$ | 4201:14 | 4195:3;4212:20; |
| $4245: 3 ; 4246: 6$ | fair (24) | $4241: 14 ; 4251: 10,14$ | financials (16) | 4213:16;4230:25; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { express (2) } \\ & 4188: 5 ; 4189: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $4185: 20 ; 4191: 1$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 ; 425 \\ \text { fifth (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4240: 23 ; 4246: 15 ; \\ & 4264: 4 ; 4280: 19 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 246:4;4266:7;4276:5, } \\ & \text { 2;4300:2;4304:19; } \end{aligned}$ |
| expressed (1) | 4202:15;4222:13; | 04:5;4316:22 | 83:17;4288:14; | 4311:1,6 |
| 4221:13 | 4255:13,14,15,19,23, | 4319:1 | 4293:24;4294:1; | Five-minute (1) |
| expression (1) | 25;4256:3,3,5,12,18, | figure (1) | 4296:1,16,17,20; | 4331:17 |
| 4204:13 | 19;4257:18,22;4258:1; | $4268: 3$ | 4298:8;4308:18,22; | fix (1) |
| extend (2) | 4267:16;4301:6 | figuring | 4319:22 | 4292:3 |
| 4186:15;4311:12 | fairly (8) |  | financing (3) | fixed (1) |
| extended (2) | 4200:17,21;4201:5 | files (2) | 4179:24;4195:10; | 4314:14 |
| 4183:11;4186:22 | 4202:6;4283:1,17; | 4240:20;4246:13 | 4270:6 | flag (3) |
| extensive (2) | 4293:19;4319:2 | filings (1) | find (2) | 4181:18;4316:14; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4235:7;4247:20 } \\ & \text { extent (8) } \end{aligned}$ | fair | filled | 4217:1;4266:19 finding (3) | 4323:15 |
| extent (8) 4201:18;4240:2 | false | $\begin{array}{\|r} \mid \text { filled (1) } \\ 4334: 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { finding (3) } \\ & \text { 4224:11;4241:6; } \end{aligned}$ | Flemmons (25) |
| 4241:9;427 | 4202:15;4209:22 | financial (16 | 4247:14 | $18,19 ; 4235: 5 ; 4236: 1,$ |
| 4278:24;4286:17; | familiar (19) | 4176:10,15;4177:17 | findings (1) | $12,16,22 ; 4237: 2$ |
| 4303:6;4304:7 | 4175:18,21;4176:24; | 4199:21;4201:4,12; | 4239:13 | 4238:2;4252:13,17; |
| external (4) | 4177:10;4178:1,20; | 4202:3,6,17,21,24; | fine (8) | 4258:6;4278:19; |
| 4256:13,24;4269:25; | 4180:3;4181:24; | 4206:22,23;4209:14; | 4189:7;4205:7; | 4282:11;4284:10; |
| 4308:10 | 4182:4,23;4184:5; | 4218:13;4221:20; | 4233:15;4273:18; | 4298:15;4307:22; |
| extraordinarily (1) | 4224:4;4225:21; | 4225:9;4228:8; | 4277:16;4324:7; | 4310:9;4328:23,25; |
| 4201:6 | 4269:23;4271:19; | $\begin{aligned} & 4231: 23 ; 4237: 15 \\ & 4239: 4,7: 4240: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 4326:18;4330:12 | 4332:8,24 |
| $4210: 23 ; 4212: 6$ | family (2) | $14,16 ; 4241: 1,8$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { fines (1) } \\ 4263: 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Flemmons' (1) } \\ & 4272: 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| extreme (1) | 4182:13;4191:7 | 4242:10,19;4244:4,24; | finish (2) | floors (1) |
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| 4181:2 | formulate (1) | 4319:1 | 4318:13,22;4321:19 | 4220:2,5,6,7,13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Florida (7) | 4198:11 | fully (2) | generality (1) | Good (22) |
| 4170:14;4174:4; | forth (2) | 4183:16;4267:24 | 4274:22 | 4170:3,19,20; |
| 4182:22;4183:22,24; | 4254:22;4270:16 | fulsome (1) | Generally (33) | 4174:22;4176:22; |
| 4194:25;4195:21 | Forty (1) | 4233:14 | 4183:7;4185:6 | 4179:10;4180:12; |
| flow (10) | 4218:25 | fundamental | 4220:6;4221:15,23; | 4191:6,8;4195:11; |
| 4193:17;4198:7,11; | forward (2) | 4220:23 | 4222:9,18,20;4224:8, | 4196:8;4199:1,5,6; |
| 4219:25;4222:12,13; | 4272:22;4283:13 | funding (1) | 10,11,14,17;4225:7,21; | 4226:18;4227:23; |
| 4223:7;4225:9; | found (1) | 4270:12 | 4245:4;4250:1;4253:8, | 4228:1;4233:12; |
| 4232:15,23 | 206:11 | further (4) | 12;4257:9;4273:11; | 4236:12,13;4277:12; |
| flows (2) | foundation (1) | 4206:12;4227:15; | 4287:15,19;4289:22; | 4334:18 |
| 4257:12;4289:20 | 4271:10 | 4262:1;4268:23 | 4311:2;4313:7;4314:1; | governed (1) |
| focus (2) | founded (1) | future (31) | 4315:6,11;4319:3; | 4253:22 |
| 4254:21;4304:20 | 4188:7 | 4194:5,13,15; | 4321:19;4322:3; | governing (1) |
| follow (2) | four (13) | 4231:2;4258:18,21; | 4323:16 | 4188:7 |
| 4292:19;4307:21 | 4184:14;4203:21,23, | 4259:7,10,17;4260:1; | General's (1) | government (3) |
| followed (1) | 24,25;4230:9,9,10,20; | 4261:11;4267:12; | $4208: 18$ | $4179: 23 ; 4244: 25$ |
| 4318:24 | 4250:14;4273:3,22; | 4268:14,24;4269:1,3,5, | generate (3) | 4248:8 |
| following (9) | 4327:17 | $6 ; 4270: 20 ; 4273: 15$ | 4260:1;4275:2; | government's (1) |
| 4193:24;4197:4; | fourth (3) | $4274: 25 ; 4279: 18,19$ | 4327:12 | $4252: 25$ |
| 4243:11;4266:3; | 4229:19;4230:1; | 21,23;4280:1,1,2,5; | generated (6) | governs (1) |
| 4277:23;4291:8; | 4316:5 | 4314:13,15 | 4253:17;4258:18 | 4254:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4299:9;4305:15; } \\ & \text { 4313:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { frame (2) } \\ & 4189: 4 ; 4283: 12 \end{aligned}$ | G | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4259:8,11,18;4275: } \\ & \text { generating (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { grad (1) } \\ 4217: 6 \end{array}$ |
| follows (2) | framed (1) |  | 4194:10;4197:7 | graduate (1) |
| 4170:9;4235:22 | 4204:14 | GAAP (73) | 4268:24;4279:25 | 4171:12 |
| food (1) | frankly (2) | 4197:1;4241:8; | generation (1) | graduated (5) |
| 4205:18 | 4220:20;4221:1 | 4242:21,22;4253:20; | 4224:16 | 4171:7,10;4173:9, |
| foot (5) | fraud (18) | 4255:14;4256:17; | generic (3) | 17;4237:12 |
| 4176:5;4186:8,9 | $4203: 3,11,11,11,12,$ | 4263:2;4277:7,8,9,10; | $4219: 17,18 ; 4222: 2$ | Granted (1) |
| 4195:5;4205:6 | 12,21,21;4204:5,11,13, | 4280:7,13,23;4284:3; | genuine (1) | 4252:15 |
| force (1) | 17;4211:6;4246:25; | 4286:20;4287:1,23; | 4206:16 | great (2) |
| 4250:25 | 4248:18,21,23;4250:25 | 4288:1,9,11;4290:6; | gets (1) | 4176:10;4243:6 |
| forensic (9) | frauds (1) | 4291:8;4293:4,4,6,6,7, | 4212:22 | greater (1) |
| 4237:25;4240:9; $4241 \cdot 1,21 \cdot 4250 \cdot 18,2$ | 4242:10 | 9,14,17,22,24;4294:2; | Giulietti (1) | 4295:10 |
| 4241:1,21;4250:18,24; | fraudulent (5) | 4295:2,7,11,14,15; | 4333:15 | GREENFIELD (17) |
| 4251:8,11;4254:2 | 4204:17;4206:2 | 4297:8,9;4298:1,2,6,6, | Giulietti's (1) | 4234:1,4,8,10,17; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { forensics (1) } \\ 4249: 4 \end{gathered}$ | 4210:25;4213:8,11 | 9;4305:19,24;4306:13, | 4333:22 | 4235:2;4332:16,20,23; |
| 4249:4 | fraudulently (4) $4205: 12 \cdot 4206: 1$ | 22;4308:12,16,19,22; 4309:17-4310:4. | given (6) 4208.7.421 | 4333:1,4,6,12,17,24; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { forgetful (1) } \\ 4174: 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4205:12;4206:1,1 } \\ & 4218: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4309: 17 ; 4310: \\ & 4314: 17,18 ; 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4208:7;4212:23; } \\ & \text { 4252:18;4319:7, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4334:7,14 } \\ & \text { rew (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| form (11) | Freddie (1) | 4316:8,8,11,17;4317:4, | 4328:9 | 4173:13 |
| 4224:10,16;4258:19; | 4173:8 | 18;4319:13,16,21; | gives (3) | ground (18) |
| 4264:16;4295:8; | freestanding (1) | 4320:22;4323:7; | 4175:9;4220:4; | 4224:4,6,8,11,17,20; |
| 4296:25;4297:17; | 4204:17 | 4324:18;4328:11 | 4308:25 | 4225:6,10,12,14,17; |
| 4321:5,13;4322:5; | Friday (4) | gaining (1) | giving (4) | 4228:19,22;4229:1,6, |
| 4324:17 | 4333:15,15,21 | 4287:20 | 4251:17;4265:23; | 13;4230:1,15 |
| formally (1) | 4334:8 | gains (1) | 4309:15;4315:25 | grounds (1) |
| 4244:12 | friend (2) | 4224:15 | Glock (1) | 4199:19 |
| format (3) | 4191:6,8 | game (1) | 4173:6 | group (13) |
| 4292:13,18;4297:5 | friends (2) | 4186:11 | goal (1) | 4172:15;4173:22,23; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { formatting (3) } \\ & 4292: 20,25 ; 430 \end{aligned}$ | 4173:16;4181:20 <br> friendship (1) | gamut (2) 4222:21:4245: | $4218: 12$ | 4175:24;4180:9,17; |
| formed (2) | 4191:1 | $\begin{array}{r} 42 \\ \text { gave } \end{array}$ | goes (15) 4183:23 | 4240:12;4241:22; |
| 4196:10;4229:10 | front (2) | 4233:13 | 4199:7,4207:15,16; | 4242:6;4245:25; |
| formerly (1) | 4178:24;4244:17 | GENERAL (23) | 4208:10;4218:11; | 4246:2 |
| 4186:6 | FTI (9) | 4168:3,20;4175:10; | 4221:25;4249:1; | grow (2) |
| forming (8) | 4249:20,22;4250:8, | 4176:1;4193:8;4201:2; | 4259:9;4261:1;4263:5; | 4170:24;4173:2 |
| 4228:7,18,21,25; | 10,12,13,14,16,17 | 4205:4,9;4208:1; | 4284:16,23;4291:16 | growth (1) |
| 4229:14;4231:19,25; | full (10) | 4212:8;4218:10; | Goldman (4) | 4196:18 |
| 4232:10 | 4201:25;4202:1,2, | 4221:2,6,17;4222:6; | 4186:12,15,20,21 | guaranties (1) |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { forms (1) } \\ 4296: 24 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16;4234:6,14,15; } \\ & \text { 4235:8:4317:25: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4245: 10 ; 4272: 10 \\ & \text { 4303:10,24;4311:19; } \end{aligned}$ | Golf (8) <br> 4184:6,8:4219:24; | $4200: 20$ |
| 4296:24 | 4235:8;4317:25; | 4303:10,24;4311:19; | 4184:6,8;4219:24; | guarantor (1) |
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| 4201:5 | happens (4) | 4308:25 | 4278:5 | identify (9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| guaranty (1) | 4195:21;4211:1; | highered (1) | Honor's (2) | 4258:6;4260:18; |
| 4200:22 | 4280:5;4293:16 | 4237: | 4209:10;4220:25 | 4266:5;4288:5,10; |
| guess (4) | happy (2) | highest (7) | hope (2) | 4295:19;4296:4; |
| 4182:18;4200:1; | $4219: 4 ; 4330: 14$ | 4251:9;4275:8,17, | $4207: 6 ; 4227: 23$ | 4298:11;4311:7 |
| 4212:6;4234:13 | Harbor (1) | 19,25;4290:8;4295:12 | hopeful (2) | identifying (2) |
| guidance (21) | 4171:1 | highlighted (1) | 4179:11,17 | 4293:8;4317:1 |
| 4251:1,2;4258:9; | hard (6) | 4319:11 | Hopefully (1) | ignore (2) |
| 4262:1;4264:12; | 4195:24;4263:3,4; | highly (5) | 4334:14 | 4201:22;4221:10 |
| 4288:3;4289:6;4295:5; | 4266:19;4274:22; | 4201:6;4202:7 | hoping (1) | ignored (1) |
| 4296:1;4298:19; | 4301:19 | 4297:13,15;4328:5 | 4186: | 4287:10 |
| 4299:17,23;4300:8,15; | HAREN (1) | highways (1) | hospitality (4) | ignoring (2) |
| 4301:24;4303:17,21; | 4168:24 | 4195:1 | 4198:14;4199:8,10; | 4206:2;4211:5 |
| 4305:8,17,20;4306:3 | harm (1) | hired (2) | 4222:24 | illustration (1) |
| guide (11) | 4204:3 | 4243:8;4245:10 | host (2) | 4282:15 |
| 4193:13;4213:10 | head (1) | hiring (1) | $4210: 2 ; 4279: 7$ | illustrations (1) |
| 4251:4;4298:18; | 4189:1 | 4242:23 | hotel (10) | 4258:9 |
| 4299:3,4,11,12,15,22; | headquarters (1) | historical ( | 4180:24;4182:15; | illustrative (1) |
| 4300:3 | 186:6 | 280:7,10,12 | 4183:16,19;4184:1,9; | 4300:8 |
| guided (1) | healthy (1) | 4298:24;4313:14 | 4187:5;4192:17; | image (1) |
| $4179: 13$ guideline | 4198:12 hear (14) | hit (1) 4181:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4193:2;4268:6 } \\ & \text { hour (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $4282: 11$ <br> imagine (1) |
| $4213: 12$ | 4201:16;4210:6; | Hofstra (3) | 4235:7;4332:22 | $4259: 23$ |
| Guliani (1) | 4211:14;4212:8,25; | 4171:11,12;4174:15 | 4333:23 | imagining (1) |
| 4178:13 | 4217:9;4218:8,16,19; | Hold (4) | hours (13) | 4235:6 |
| Guliani's (1) | 4234:13,14;4235:10; | 4193:23;4194: | 4190:11;4235:8 | impact (2) |
| 4178:5 | 4307:16;4326:5 | 4205:14;4290:1 | 4238:13;4241:18; | 4215:24;4289:17 |
| guys (2) | heard (4) | holding (1) | 4253:7;4331:23; | implausible (2) |
| 4180:12;4181:22 | 4171:6;4193:7; 4208:11.4213.2 | 4173:24 | 4332:16,20;4333:1,2, | 4327:20;4328:2 |
| H | 213:21 | holdings (1) | ,23,2 | implementation (1) |
|  | 4210:6 | holistically ( | 4180:1;4223:1 | implicitly (1) |
| HABBA (1) | heart (1) | 20: | huge (5) | 4215:15 |
| 4334:11 | 4187:25 | home (10) | 4194:25;4211:14,16, | import (2) |
| hairy (1) | heavily (1) | 4170:11;4235:24; | 17,18 | 4297:21;4306:4 |
| 4181:15 | 4251:12 | 4236:2,3,4;4259:1,1; | hundred (2) | important (10) |
| half (8) | Heights (1) | 4270:19;4279:22,22 | 4194:7;4210:1 | 4180:21;4183:21; |
| 4171:23;4182:14; | 4173:10 | hone (1) | hundreds (4) | 4184:1;4203:10; |
| 4186:8;4195:4;4234:7; | held (8) | $4291: 22$ | 4211:20;4212:1; | 4256:4;4258:22; |
| 4235:5;4333:20,23 | 4184:25;4262:3 | honestly (1) | 4275:23;4281:13 | 4264:3;4267:8;4269:2; |
| ham (1) | 4264:13,15,18; | 4215:13 | hypothetical (7) | 4285:25 |
| 4190:14 | 4265:10;4281:24; | Honor (60) | $4261: 8,12 ; 4273: 5$ | importantly (2) |
| hand (4) | 4302:22 | 4170:3;4187:14,2 | 19;4279:8,11,12 | 4271:4;4293:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4170:6;4235:19; } \\ & \text { 4256:8;4284:5 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hello (1) } \\ & 4190: 23 \end{aligned}$ | 4196:23;4197:14; | I | imposed (2) 4284:15;4285:14 |
| handed (4) | Helmsley (1) | $4201: 2 ; 4203: 19,21 ;$ |  | impossible (1) |
| 4284:7;4318:6; | 4186:6 | 4204:8;4205:10; | ice (1) | 4261:12 |
| 4320:25;4322:14 | help (3) | 4206:7,10;4207:1,21; | 4205:7 | improprieties (1) |
| Handing (2) | 4241:5,8 | 4209:3,19;4211:21; | idea (4) | 4244:6 |
| 4229:22;4310:8 | hereby (3) | 4213:13,20;4214:7,23; | 4188:5;4201:21; | inability (1) |
| handle (3) | 4189:17;4230:21; | 4215:13,15,17,22; | 4205:24;4216:3 | 4246:21 |
| 4239:25;4242:5; | 4252:15 | 4216:1,13,21;4217:5, | ideal (1) | inaccurate (1) |
| 4249:22 | Hernandez (1) | 11;4219:7,20;4220:11, | 4266:20 | 4319:19 |
| Hanover (2) | 4234:25 | 17,18;4225:11,22; | identical (2) | inapposite (2) |
| 4186:5;4224:3 | Hey (1) | 4226:18;4227:16,18, | 4264:23;4323: | 4210:2;4215:10 |
| happen (4) | 4327:24 | 19;4233:1,4,11; | identified (15) | inappropriate (3) |
| 4184:12;4195:21; | High (7) | 4235:15;4236:21; | 4267:1;4288:9; | 4306:7,16,20 |
| 4279:17,19 | 4171:7,8;4182:4; | 4252:13;4272:1; | $4305: 24 ; 4310: 3$ | INC (1) |
| happened (3) |  | 4277:13;4278:11; | $4315: 3,5,8,10,15,20$ | 4168:8 |
| 4176:19;4302:7; | 4209:16;4271:4 | 4292:17;4307:14,18; | 4317:10;4321:18; | incentivize (1) |
| 4311:18 | higher (5) | 4311:16;4331:18; | $4322: 4 ; 4323: 11,25$ | 4178:16 |
| $\underset{4195: 22}{\text { happening (1) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4186: 20 ; 4196: 2 \\ & 4270: 23 ; 4275: 2 \end{aligned}$ | 4332:5;4334:19 | identifies (2) <br> 4317:18.4319.14 | incident (1) |
| $4195: 22$ | 4270:23;4275:2; | Honorable (1) | 4317:18;4319:14 | $4190: 25$ |
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| inclined (1) | indicating (1) | Institute (2) | 4289:6; | 4257:6;4266:14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4216: 25$ |  | 4248:16;4298:2 |  | involving (3) |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { include (19) } \\ 4225: 1 ; 42 \end{array}$ | individual (5) | institutions (4) | interrupt ( | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4180:3;4251:16; } \\ & 4303: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4246:18;4269:3; | 269:15;4304:2 | :12 | into | irrelevant (4) |
| 4285:16;4286:21; | individually (1) | in | 41 | 4201:23;4202:19; |
| 4288:16;4295:21 | 276:17 | 05:2 | 17 | 4220:20,24 |
| 4303:1;4308:14; |  | instructed | 4178:8,17,25;4180:14; | issue (23) |
| 4311:5;4317:5,7; | 4240:22;4242: | 4222:20 | 4181:25;4187:1,13; | 4185:15;4187:25; |
| 4320:6,8;4323:17 | 46:18,19,20; | insufficien | 4195:23;4196:15 | 202:14;4218:11; |
| 4324:14,18 | 4249:24;4257:15 | 4295:16 | 4195:23;4196:15,16 | 4220:7;4221:16; |
| clu |  | intellectu | 17;4197:15;4200:18, | 39:14;4261:5; |
| 4282:12;4283 | 4303:3 | 179:3 | 19;4202:16;4208:21; | 4293:13,18,22;4294:1 |
| 4286:4;4 |  |  | 4210:10;4216:13 | 4295:1;4298:4,8; |
| 4309:7;4310:4;4317:3 | 222:24 | 06:1;4269 | 18:22;4219:5 | 300:16;4308:9,21; |
| includes (3) | ind | intended (10) | 22:17;4223: | 14:19;4317:9; |
| 4261:7;4285:22 4317.18 | 4237:17;4240:3 | 67:11,16;4268:6 | 37:14,24,4240:9 | 4322:1;4329:5; 4330.17 |
| 4317:18 | industry (12) | 为:18;4279:12,15 | :16;4261:1,2, | 330:17 |
| includin | 4244:22;4251:1; | :22;4308:7; | 11;4264:22; | issued (11) |
| 4180:11;41 | 305:10, | 09:13;4313:18 | 68:3,5;4271:23,2 | $4251: 11,13 ; 4254:$ |
| 4203:24;42 | 11:20;4318:18; | intending | 75:14;4278:9,2 | 81:21;4293:11; |
| 4267:12,23;4290 | 0:4;4325:19; | 4316:9 | 81:15;4282:1,2 | 294:1;4295:6; |
| 4297:13;4331:1 | 7.16 |  | 84:16,18;4297: | 97:18;4298:18; |
| income (10) | in | 4237:8 | 01:8;4302:12; | 4299:18;4309:25 |
| 42 | 14, | in | 10:12 | issues (8) |
| 4262:9,10,11;4267:12; | inflate (2) | 16 | intriguing | 4233:13;4241:7; |
| 4271:23;4279:23; | 6:1;42 |  | 4243: | 25:3;4249:25; |
| (1) |  | 7:9,10,13, | introduce | 51:16;4301:14; |
| inconceivable (1) | 4200:3;4205:12 | -4218:11; | 4170:22 | 4311:12;4333:9 |
| 4186:10 | 4206:11;4218:1 | 301: | introduced | issuing (10) |
| inconsisten |  |  |  | 237-20;4 |
|  |  |  | in | 83:16;4293:7; |
| incon |  | inte | 420 | 995:22;4296:21; |
|  |  |  | in | 98:7;4309:19; |
| inco |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4316:2,15 } \\ & \text { item (3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| incorporated | 4325:12,20;4326:10, | int | 4244:24;4247 | 4303:2,4;4316:13 |
| 4223:8 | 11,12;4327:11,12; | 4207:13,14;4208:1 | investigation ( | itemizing (1) |
| incorporating | 4328:14,20 | 12 | 4242:7,12,17 | 4320:19 |
| 4204:7 | ingr |  | investigations | items (7) |
| incorrec | 4192:1 | 21;4244:1 | 41-4-4244: | 4282:19;4311:7,13; |
| 4210:12;4324:9,10 | inh | 4250:19 | 3;4247:1 | $4315: 2,4 ; 4317: 3,8$ |
| incorrectly 4210:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4271:2;4273:15 } \\ & 4309: 20 \end{aligned}$ | interesting 4183:20 | $4249: 25 ; 4251: 3$ | iterations (2) <br> 4184:11;4309:24 |
| increase (1) | init | int | 4244:14;4247:13 | IVANKA (1) |
| 4269:9 | 4252:24 | 314:13 | investment (5) | 4168:7 |
| increased (2) | innocent | interject (1) | 4191:25;4264:13,1 |  |
| 4223:17;4242:3 <br> increases (2) | 4247:4 <br> inputs (3) | 4199:18 <br> internal (9) | 4265:9;4281:6 <br> investments (3) | J |
| . 4208:16,19 | 56:20;425 | 4211:3;4241:4; | 281: | JAM |
| incurred (1) | 4268:4 | 249 | 4302: | 4168 |
| 4315:22 |  | 4256:12,12,23;4290:14 |  | Jason (2) |
| indeed (2) | 4291 | inter | 24;4175:16; | 4233:10;4236:1 |
| 4200:11;4326:25 |  |  | 4184:16,23 | JEFFREY (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { independent (1) } \\ & \text { 4269:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 4269:17 | internati 4239:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 4237: 22 ; 4239: 9 \\ & 4242: 17 ; 4244: 6,14,24 \end{aligned}$ | $4168: 7$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 4269:22 } \\ \text { index (2) } \end{gathered}$ | instance (4) | 4239: | 4242:17;4244:6,14,24; | JESUS (2) |
| 4260:7,8 | 4322:21;43 | 222 | 251:1,12, | jewelry (1) |
| indicate (3) | instances (3) | interpretation (1) | 17;4254:1;4309:20 | 4302:21 |
| 4207:7;423 | 4314:7,14;4 | $4264: 16$ | invo | job (9) |
| 4323:13 | instead | interpreted (1) | 4175:1,24; | 2:4,23 |
| indicates | 4225:25;4232:22; | $4264: 14$ | $4250: 21,22 ; 4287: 5$ | 4183:7;4195:1 |
| 4314:7;4319:12 | 4301:18 | interpretive (3) | involves (2) | 4238:22;4242:24; |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4244:16;4291:4 } \\ & \text { jobs (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4308:8;4309:4 } \\ & \text { KISE (43) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { larger (3) } \\ & \quad 4183: 19 ; 4196: 2 ; \end{aligned}$ | ```4239:18 leave (2)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4250:22;4286:1 } \\ & \text { levying (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| John (1) | $4188: 24 ; 4189: 12$ | Larry (2) | leaving (1) | liabilities (7) |
| 4175:1 | 4200:6,7,11;4203:4,7, | 4173:6,8 | 4204:4 | 4257:18;4305:1; |
| joined (1) | 15,18;4204:7,21,24; | last (10) | lectured (1) | 4313:21;4314:6; |
| 4238:21 | 4205:2,17;4207:3,5,15, | 4172:10;4191:5; | 4251:14 | 4317:2,5,10 |
| Judge (6) | 19,21;4209:18,19; | 4198:4;4209:18; | lectures (1) | liability (8) |
| 4175:19;4188:19; | 4210:1;4211:14,17,19; | 4222:24;4233:18; | 4251:15 | 4254:11;4305:2; |
| 4220:10;4227:12; | 4212:18;4213:9,14; | 4253:6;4262:14; | left (13) | 4306:6,19,24;4307:1; |
| 4278:5;4301:3 | 4214:24;4215:4,8,17, | 4270:16;4306:5 | 4172:23;4173:1,2,5; | 4315:21,23 |
| judgement (1) | 21;4217:5;4221:2,5; | late (2) | 4190:18;4220:12; | license (1) |
| 4290:5 | 4300:21;4301:6; | 4249:17;4326:24 | 4243:1;4249:17; | 4238:20 |
| Judge's (2) | 4326:19,20,25 | later (7) | 4250:13,14;4309:3,14; | licensed (3) |
| 4197:4;4220:8 | knew (4) | 4172:16;4190:19; | 4310:1 | 4238:7,15,17 |
| judgment (9) | 4190:11;4204:6; | 4199:9;4206:4; | legacy (1) | life (2) |
| 4215:15;4220:22; | 4206:3;4217:9 | 4241:13;4245:17; | 4237:13 | 4179:12;4193:19 |
| 4221:7;4263:16; | knowingly (1) | 4282:2 | legally (1) | light (5) |
| 4265:17;4266:25; | 4325:3 | latitude (5) | 4204:10 | 4207:25;4208:2; |
| 4268:18;4276:24; | knowledge (6) | 4257:16,24;4266:22; | legitimate (1) | 4226:19;4305:2; |
| 4325:4 | 4242:21,22;4285:7; | 4268:14,17 | 4275:18 | 4322:15 |
| judgments (1) | 4287:1;4313:13,14 | LAW (20) | legitimately (2) | lighter (1) |
| 4275:13 | known (13) | 4169:17;4171:11,13, | 4275:8,10 | 4327:15 |
| June (4) | 4176:24;4177:10; | 18,19;4173:1,2;4179:1, | Lehman (1) | likely (6) |
| 4310:15;4318:8; | $4182: 4 ; 4187: 19$ $4215: 16.4229: 18$ | $3 ; 4188: 7 ; 4189: 24$ | $4176: 9$ | $4231: 16 ; 4259: 25$ |
| jurisdiction (2) | $4250: 24 ; 4254: 6$ | 4206:18;4208:4; | 4270:11 | $4271: 3 ; 4273: 16$ |
| 4248:1;4273:10 | 4282:3;4293:18,23; | 4233:25;4237:9; | lens (3) | limit (1) |
| jurisdictions (2) | 4308:13;4311:24 | 4301:18;4307:20 | 4201:16;4207:22,23 | 4221:11 |
| 4238:7;4273:6 | knows (3) | lawyer (1) | Leona (1) | limitation (1) |
| Justice (1) | 4203:19,21;4264:4 | 4171:22 | 4186:6 | 4221:13 |
| 4168:16 |  | lawyers (4) | less (5) | limited (1) |
| K | L | $\begin{aligned} & 4241: 5,7 ; 4247: 10 \\ & 4249: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4259: 25 ; 4263: 1 \\ & 4291: 20 ; 4303: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4283: 2 \\ & \text { line (9) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | laid (4) | layout (2) | 4309:11 | 4181:20;4182:4; |
| keenly (1) | 4256:15;4264:17; | 4283:21;4304:3 | lessor (1) | 4183:9;4220:23; |
| 4192:9 | 4288:5;4309:3 | lead (2) | 4230:15 | 4239:23;4301:22,23; |
| keep (5) | land (6) | 4287:23;4316:13 | lessors (5) | 4316:13;4319:2 |
| 4205:5;4211:3; | 4192:22;4268:7; | leading (8) | 4224:18;4225:6,12, | lined (3) |
| 4220:19,24;4290:11 | 4269:7,8;4310:2,3 | $4172: 3 ; 4197: 9$ | 15,17 | 4233:22;4333:7,8 |
| keeping (1) | landlord (4) | 4260:23,24;4274:10; | letter (52) | lines (2) |
| 4236:23 | 4228:23;4231:1,9,15 | 4303:15;4315:7; | 4212:7;4261:18,18, | 4213:10;4244:17 |
| Kelly (1) | landlord's (3) | 4320:11 | 18;4271:22;4275:12; | liquidation (3) |
| 4312:8 | 4224:21;4231:10,12 | leads (1) | 4284:19;4310:12,17; | 4259:13,14,20 |
| Kennedy (2) | landscape (2) | 4218:22 | 4311:6,19;4313:18; | list (2) |
| 4175:1,3 | 4240:3;4258:12 | learn (1) | 4314:4;4318:1,8,11,13, | 4264:21;4265:12 |
| Kenyon (2) | lanes (1) | 4326:22 | 14,15,20;4319:8,8,12, | listed (5) |
| 4179:2,2 | 4213:10 | learned (1) | 14,18,24;4320:3,4,6,7, | 4264:23;4265:2; |
| key (1) | language (17) | 4192:12 | 9,10,18,20,21,24; | 4266:5;4320:6,19 |
| 4256:24 | 4202:15;4286:4; | lease (16) | 4321:3,5,7;4322:24; | lists (2) |
| Kidder (1) | 4288:15,17;4295:12, | 4186:15,22;4198:8; | 4323:1,6,8,10,11,14,15, | 4202:24;4323:7 |
| 4186:7 | 16,21;4296:2;4297:14, | 4224:6,8,21;4225:10; | 18,21;4324:3,14,16 | literature (1) |
| kind (13) | 15,16,21;4298:11; | 4228:19,22;4229:2,7, | letterhead (2) | 4281:8 |
| 4249:22;4251:8; | 4310:5;4317:19,23; | 13;4230:1,21;4231:1,6 | 4318:21;4319:14 | litigation (3) |
| 4256:23;4257:16; | 4320:20 | leased (2) | letters (1) | 4171:23;4172:8,10 |
| 4258:24;4259:7; | Laposa (5) | 4179:1;4223:7 | 4323:25 | little (13) |
| 4260:17;4263:17; | 4233:19;4333:8,16, | leases (2) | level (13) | 4171:16;4172:19; |
| 4281:2;4287:17; | 18;4334:1 | 4224:4,12 | 4241:23;4242:1; | 4174:16;4189:1; |
| 4299:24;4303:18; | large (2) | leasing (1) | 4243:9;4251:9;4261:6; | 4193:7;4194:17; |
| 4308:4 | 4174:3,18 | 4198:13 | 4278:23;4282:25; | 4209:16,17;4226:8; |
| kinds (6) | largely (6) | least (6) | 4285:6;4290:9; | 4245:15;4301:16; |
| 4237:16;4240:2; | 4249:9,23;4267:6; | 4200:13,14;4215:9; | 4295:10,12,21;4306:14 | 4322:8,8 |
| 4281:2;4303:25; | 4282:4;4288:8;4296:9 | 4221:22;4234:6; | levels (2) | Litwin (2) |
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| 4209:4;4214:16 | lookout (1) | 4276:4,7 | 16,17,17;4239:2,3,4; | 4302:24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| live/work (1) | 4297:8 | mail | 245:4,4;4248:8; | may (32) |
| 4223:16 | looks (4) | 4236 | 4250:6;4251:5,5 | 4189:4,16;4199:10; |
| living (1) | 4175:8;4222:10; | Main (6) | 4252:4,7;4253:14 | 4218:9;4219:20; |
| 4175:4 | 4299:12;4333:24 | 4223:14,14;4248:19; | 4256:15;4257:13,13, | 4222:7,4226:18,20; |
| LLC (3) | Los (2) | 262:8;4269:24; | 13,20;4260:16,21; | 4227:11,19,24;4231:4, |
| 4168:9,10,10 | 4174:4, | 4301:20 | 4261:4,4;4265:16; | 6;4242:17;4250:13; |
| loaded (1) | lose (2) | mainly (1) | 4268:4;4280:4; | 4264:1,2;4265:19,19; |
| 4275:11 | 4190:2;4215:19 | 4240:16 | 4281:21,21;4296:24; | 4266:15,20;4279:20; |
| loan (9) | loss (2) | maintain (2) | 4313:3,4;4333:1 | 4284:5;4287:6; |
| 4208:13,15;4215:23; | 4240:18 | 238:20;4241:17 | Mar-a-Lago (1) | 4288:14,23;4299:3; |
| 4216:2;4221:18; | lot (38) | maintained (2) | 4212:2 | 4302:23,24;4304:3; |
| 4229:19;4240:18,20; | 4176:22 | 4238:19;4302:1 | mark (2) | 4306:2;4327:5 |
| 4246:14 | 17;4194:10;4219:16; | major (2) | 4208:16;4332:7 | maybe (12) |
| loans (5) | 4226:12,13;4239:6,6; | 4237:4;4239:7 | marked (1) | 4172:6;4179:11; |
| 4183:11;4216:5 | 4240:2,17;4241:7,10, | majored (1) | 4307:25 | 4182:3,18;4188:24; |
| 4240:18,20,22 | 19;4242:7;4244:16; | 4237:5 | market (19) | 4196:4;4201:10; |
| local (1) | 4245:24;4251:15,24, | makes (7) | 4174:1;4176:1 | 4235:7;4247:5;4263:5; |
| 4190:13 | 25;4256:1,22;4259:5; | 4188:2;4195:19 | 4177:13;4179:7,10,15; | 4300:17;4332:22 |
| located (3) | 4261:2,9;4268:9; | 4206:6;4215:24; | 4186:18;4193:21; | Mayor (2) |
| 4174:2;4183:1,2 | 4269:5;4271:1; | 4269:4;4287:22; | 4195:11,17;4196:17; | 4178:5,13 |
| location (4) | 4279:23;4290:13; | 4289:16 | 4198:13;4208:17; | Mazars (32) |
| 4223:9,11,20;4268:6 | 4291:17,19;4299:25; | making (6) | 4256:3,6,13;4266:15; | 4297:18;4305:5; |
| locations (1) | 4300:14;4308:5,6; | 4215:10;4240:2 | 4269:17;4279:24 | 4310:13,18;4311:24; |
| 4269:23 | 4330:13 | 4244:9;4260:5; | marketplace (5) | 4312:2,18,24;4313:7,9, |
| logical (2) | lots (1) | 4270:11;4329:2 | 4182:16;4193:22; | 14;4314:18,19;4315:3, |
| 4207:3,5 | 4176:16 | malfeasance (1) | 4195:9,18,24 | 5,13,15;4316:14; |
| London (1) | louder (1) | 4247:6 | markets (1) | 4319:6,14,17,21; |
| 4224:12 | 4322:8 | malpractice (1) | 4179:24 | 4320:5,8;4321:8,16; |
| long (18) | low (3) | 4242:13 | marry (1) | 4322:1;4323:11,13; |
| 4172:5;4176:7,7; | 4206:25;4209:17; | Management (28) | 4179:20 | 4324:3;4325:11,17 |
| 4177:8;4224:6,8,11,15; | 4271:3 | 4173:7,24;4184:21 | Mary (2) | Mazars's (1) |
| 4226:22;4234:22; | lower (7) | 4242:9;4256:9; | 4237:3;4238:13 | 4315:14 |
| 4239:16;4251:18; | 4216:6;4224:2 | 4269:15,17;4300:24; | mass (1) | McConney (2) |
| 4265:21;4271:4; | 4226:4;4270:25; | 4315:13;4318:1,7,10, | 4194:24 | 4205:11,25 |
| 4273:14;4295:23; | 4273:13;4274:19,20 | 19,20,20;4319:12,18, | master (1) | mean (31) |
| 4298:9;4322:4 | lowest (1) | 24;4320:3,10,17; | 4233:25 | 4174:10,10;4181:13; |
| longer (5) | 4263:24 | 4322:24;4323:1,8,14, | material (12) | 4184:20;4186:4; |
| 4241:3;4271:23; | LP (1) | 15;4324:3;4328:9 | 4200:22;4202:5 | 4192:13,14;4193:13; |
| 4274:25;4300:15; | 4209:4 | management's (2) | 4204:15;4208:7; | 4199:4,7;4202:12; |
| 4334:4 | lucky | 4284:21;4288:6 | 4209:9;4210:11; | 4205:11;4207:18; |
| look (18) | 4171:24 | manager (5) | 4283:4,19;4293:20; | 4208:19;4213:14; |
| 4197:17;4201:11,12, | lunacy | 4240:10;4241:24,25; | 4301:17;4302:15; | 4217:5;4222:15; |
| 13;4211:4;4213:7; | 4213:15 | 4242:6,25 | 4308:23 | 4224:10;4225:3,21; |
| 4216:15;4219:23; | lunch (3) | managing (1) | materiality (15) | 4226:7;4240:3;4265:6; |
| 4231:22;4259:10; | 4277:14,15,20 | 4250: | 4200:17;4201:15; | 4268:20,21;4283:14; |
| 4266:17;4272:12; | luncheon (1) | mandated (2) | 4202:12,12,12; | 4300:21;4301:15; |
| 4280:1,1;4283:22; | 4277:21 | 4260:12,12 | 4203:23,24;4204:2 | 4315:2,15;4327:8 |
| 4285:19;4292:13; | Lybrand (1) | Manhattan (3) | 4207:22;4208:5,6; | meandering (1) |
| 4318:1 | 4237:14 | 4177:17;4224:2 | 4209:7;4210:8; | 4196:9 |
| looked (1) | lying (1) | 4226:4 | 4301:14;4303:2 | meaning (1) |
| 4301:5 | 4326:17 | mania (1) | materials (5) | 4206:18 |
| looking (33) |  | 4184:2 | 4253:2;4297:1; | means (6) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4178: 15 ; 4186: 24,25 \\ & 4191: 23 ; 4192: 3,4 \end{aligned}$ | M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manner (3) } \\ & 4272: 4 ; 4328: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4311:9;4313:1,3 } \\ & \text { matter }(9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4196: 12 ; 4210: 8 ; \\ & \text { 4283:16;4323:22; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4195:10;4197:5,6,6,11, | Mac | $4329: 7$ | 4198:21;4208:2 | $4327: 3 ; 4329: 18$ |
| 17,19,25;4198:1,6,10, | 4173:8 | manufacturing (3) | 4209:21;4218:2,25; | measure (3) |
| 12;4204:22;4209:17; | Madison (2) | 4192:17;4240:4; | 4221:16;4261:9; | 4194:13;4254:11; |
| 4226:14,14,4232:12, | 4176:24;4177:5 | 4244:25 | 4265:17,18 | 4313:20 |
| 18;4242:17;4249:18; | Madrid (1) | many (41) | matters (9) | meat (1) |
| 4259:7,17,25;4260:4; | 4239:5 | 4172:3;4184:19 | 4208:23;4219:18 | 4197:15 |
| 4266:14;4272:22; | magnitude (4) | 4191:2;4213:6; | 4220:21;4239:25; | mechanisms (1) |
| 4331:15 | 4265:13;4275:5; | 4215:20,20;4237:8,16, | 4242:5,8,13;4289:16; | 4293:15 |

NYS Attorney General v.

| $\begin{gathered} \text { media (1) } \\ \text { 4240:4 } \\ \text { meet (1) } \\ \text { 4238:12 } \\ \text { MEMBER (5) } \\ \text { 4168:9;4174:8; } \\ \text { 4248:15,16,17 } \end{gathered}$ | 4322:9,15 Michael (4) 4193:25;4243:12; 4277:24;4313:24 MICHELE (1) 4169:24 microphone (1) | $\begin{gathered} 4290: 25 ; 4292: 3 \\ \text { misstatement (3) } \\ 4203: 2 ; 4204: 12 ; \\ 4306: 15 \\ \text { misstatements (3) } \\ 4287: 17 ; 4305: 18 ; \\ 4306: 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4330:15;4331:20; } \\ \text { 4332:17;4333:25 } \\ \text { MORIAN (2) } \\ \text { 4169:17,19 } \\ \text { morning (14) } \\ \text { 4170:3,19,20,22; } \\ \text { 4190:10;4226:19; } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4278:20;4285:16; } \\ \text { 4292:19;4298:3; } \\ \text { 4304:21 } \\ \text { myopic (1) } \\ 4271: 21 \\ \text { myself }(1) \\ 4190: 23 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { members (1) } \\ 4174: 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4332:2 } \\ & \text { mid (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mistake (1) } \\ 4292: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4227:23;4228:1; } \\ & \text { 4236:12,13;4331:22, } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { membership (1) } \\ & 4315: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4195: 6 \\ \text { middle (4) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mitigate (2) } \\ 4199: 3,5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25;4332:18;4333:11 } \\ & \text { mortgage (1) } \end{aligned}$ | name (7) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { memoranda (2) } \\ & 4241: 11 ; 4244: 12 \end{aligned}$ | $4181: 14 ; 4184: 13$ $4282: 20 ; 4289: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mix}(1) \\ & 4208: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4182: 12 \\ & \text { most (8) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4170:11,13;4171:19; } \\ & \text { 4173:6;4235:24; } \end{aligned}$ |
| mentioned (4) | might (10) | Mm-Hm (2) | 4174:6;4183:2 | 4236:1,14 |
| 4172:12;4246:10; | 4190:17; | 4234:9;4300: | 4200:15;4225:17,24, | natural (1) |
| 4247:12;4263:18 | 4246:15;4271:3 | model (2) | 25;4226:2;4266:20 | 4331:18 |
| mentions (1) | 4281:3;4289:18; | 4255:24,25 | mostly (1) | nature (7) |
| 4202:13 | 4302:4;4305:18; | models (1) | 4173:1 | 4260:6;4279:2 |
| menu (2) ${ }_{\text {4260.13.4267.7 }}$ | 4322:15;4333:6 | 4255:21 | mother (1) | 4303:4;4305:2;4309:9; |
| 4260:13;4267 | migration | moderating (1) | 4227:11 | 4313:6;4315:3 |
| merger (1) | 195:23 | 4251:17 | motion (2) | near (1) |
| 4237:13 | MII (1) | modest (1) | 4215:15;4220:22 | 4308:17 |
| merging (1) | 4185:1 | 4173:15 | motions (1) | necessarily (6) |
| 4204:9 | mile (1) | modification (4) | 4215:19 | 4197:7;4200:18; |
| met (5) | 4222:2 | 4229:19;4230:1 | mountains (1) | 4204:13;4263:9; |
| 4172:13;4189 | million (25) | 88:3;4320:1 | 4247:11 | 4315:15;4324:10 |
| 4190:15;4249: 4253:6 | 4182:15;4 | modifications (2) | m | necessary (2) |
| $425$ | 4194:7;4195:8,9,13, | 4283:4, | $: 11$ | 4244:13;4330: |
| 4211:25;421 | 4213:23;4273:2,3,20, | 4286:21;4288:10 | 4225:12;4245:22; | 4220:22;4221:11; |
| 4259:14;4260:19; | 22,25;4274:4,6,14,14; | moment (5) | 4272:1;4301:15 | 4226:9;4233:20; |
| 4261:16;4263:10,1 | 75:7,16,2 | 185:11;4193: | 04:14,16;4305:1 | 4259:21;4283:18; |
| 15,24;426 | milli | :6;4224:1 | 4309:13;4320:12 | 4285:8;4291:3 |
| 4265:5,7,11,18,20,22 | 4211:20;4212: | 37:10 | 4328:13;4334:2 | 4296:17;4302:23; |
| 4266:16,20;4267:3; | 4275:23 | moments (3) | Moved (5) | 4311:22;4323:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4276:13;4278:25; } \\ & \text { 4280:9,17;4287:22; } \end{aligned}$ | mind (11) <br> 4187:1;4191:23; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4175:15;4182:22 } \\ & 4226: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4171: 1 ; 4173: 18 ; \\ & 4195: 3: 4241: 1.21 \end{aligned}$ | needed (2) |
| 4303:7;4304:13; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4187:1;4191:23; } \\ & \text { 4194:1,13;4198:25; } \end{aligned}$ | Monday (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4195:3;4241:1,21 } \\ & \text { moves (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4175:14,14 } \\ \text { needing (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4306:23,25;4328:10; | 4206:3;4207:7; | 4334:6 | 4195:6 | 4270:3;4287:16 |
| 4329:1 | 4216:24;4236:23; | money (5) | much (27) | needs (7) |
| methodologies (9) | 4237:10;4253:21 | 190:12;4191:2 | 4191:24;4194:1 | 4201:19;4279:13; |
| 4193:17,19;4329:9, | minds (2) | 4194:10;4197:25; | 4195:23;4196:1 | 4286:7;4287:10; |
| 11,13;4331:5,8,12,16 | 4221:25;4269:10 | 4224:15 | 4216:6,10;4225:8 | 4291:12;4297:6; |
| methodology (2) | mindset (1) | Monroe (1) | 4226:15;4234:4; | 4305:24 |
| 4193:17,18 | 4179:19 | 4169:4 | 4254:8;4257:22; | negative (3) |
| methods (39) | mine (2) | months (4) | 59:3;4271:21,23 | 4209:7,9;4283:2 |
| 4256:15,15,18,23; | 4181:21;4210:25 | 4172:10,10,1 | 4274:20;4275:2; | negotiated (1) |
| 4257:23,25;4258:9,12, | minimum (2) | 4215:16 | 4291:7;4309:15,16,20; | 4230:15 |
| 13;4260:12,21; | 4304:20,2 | more (46) | 322:20;4327:14; | negotiation |
| 4261:10;4263:13; | minor (1) | 4173:18;4184:1,16 | 4331:20,24;4332:21; | 4230:14 |
| 4264:17,19,20;4265:2, | 4296:9 | 4187:13;4194:10; | 4333:16,17 | neighborhood (2) |
| 9,14;4266:8;4267:7; | minus (1) | 4201:16,17;4206:21 | multi-family (2) | 4183:24;4194:8 |
| 4271:2;4274:6,6; | 4263:6 | 4219:11;4226:8,22; | 4198:15;4222:23 | net (3) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4276:15;4277:2,6,11; } \\ & \text { 4280:8;4286:13,16,25; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { minute (3) } \\ \text { 4203:14;4276: } \end{array}$ | 4233:14;4239:22,23; | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { multiple (14) } \\ 4180: 22 ; 4195: 9, \end{array}$ | 4198:11;4280:15,17 <br> NEW (16) |
| 4287:2;4297:6,8; | 4304:19 | $4256: 9,12,13,1$ | 14;4198:24;4284:23; | $4168: 1,3,14$ |
| 4304:23;4305:1; | minutes (2) | 4257:13,22;4261:1; | 4319:15;4328:7; | 4169:23;4173:21; |
| 4311:11;4329:3 | 4215:20;4226:21 | 4262:21;4265:14,15; | 4329:20,21;4330:5; | 4174:3;4179:14; |
| Miami (7) | misleading (1) | 4271:21;4283:2; | 4331:1,7,8 | 4182:21;4184:7; |
| 4170:14;4174:17; | 4218:3 | 4290:21;4291:7,17,19; | Murray (1) | 4190:4;4195:6;4199:8 |
| 4183:5,17;4194:24; | missed (1) | 4299:25;4300:17 | 4177:11 | 4223:18;4250:15 |
| 4195:2,6 | 4212:20 | 4301:9;4303:21,23; | must (7) | next (39) |
| mic (2) | missing (2) | 4309:9,15,16,20; | 4202:13;4212:10; | 4170:2;4178:4; |


| 4181:19;4190:16,18; | NY (2) | $4296: 23$ | 4184:14;4188:7; | $4232: 15,23 ; 4289: 20$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4194:24;4201:21; | 4168:22;4169:23 | obvious (4) | 4191:14;4197:13; | opine (1) |
| 4217:16;4230:8; | NYPD (1) | 4225:3;4287:17; | 4198:20;4199:7,12; | 4225:1 |
| 4233:9,14,17;4234:1,2, | 4181:20 | 306:12,15 | 4201:11;4202:8,25; | opinion (26) |
| $14,24 ; 4235: 3,12,12$ |  | obviously (9) | 4203:1,20;4204:4; | $4189: 12 ; 4201: 10,10$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4245:8;4249:16; } \\ & \text { 4250:12;4259:13; } \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4171:22;4290:24; } \\ & \text { 4306:6,16,20;4308:24; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4205:6,14;4206:24; } \\ & \text { 4207:17;4208:1; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4211:21,24;4212:4; } \\ & \text { 4213:3;4216:20; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4260:2,4,9;4261:15,1 | obj | :14;4319:9 | 4209:13;4210:15,24; | 4219.9,4220.2,4 |
| 22;4267:3;4294:3; |  | 323:10 | 4211:3,7,9,22,22; | 21:23;4224:25 |
| 4307:12;4314:7; | 退 | occasions | 4212:9,19,21,21, | 28:5;4229:5;4231:2; |
| 4317:20;4332:2,23; | 6:22;4326:14; | 4187:10 | 4213:3;4214:18; | 4239:15;4283:17,24, |
| 4333:7,8;4334:5 | 30:11 | oc | 4216:17,18;4217: | 25;4293:19;4297:2 |
| nice (1) | objec | :22;4 | 4218:21;4220: | 4308:17,22,25;4309:19 |
| 4205:15 | 4216:20;4330 | occurred (1) | 4223:25;4224:20 | opinions (18) |
| nicer (1) | objecting (2) | 195:18 | 4239:18;4242:8,9 | 4188:5;4191:14; |
| 4245:15 | 4324:5;4327:1 | off (11) | 4247:20;4248:23; | 4216:17,23;4219:6; |
| night (2) | Objection (24) | 4178:12 | 4249:13;4250:25; | 4220:10;4228:7,18,21, |
| 4233:18;4253:6 | 4197:9;4199:19 | 4194:12;4200:2 | 4251:9;4252:24; | 25;4229:5,10,14; |
| nine (2) | 4209:20;4210:5; | 4204:20;4206:19 | 4254:3;4255:5;4256:1, | 4231:19,25;4232:10; |
| 4230:19;4232:2 | 4216:21;4219:12; | 207:12;4208:2; | 11;4258:15;4259:10, | 4252:17;4253:3 |
| NJ (1) | 4222:5,8;4260:23; | 4237:19;4254:8; | 16,17;4260:4,14; | opportunities (1) |
| 4169:14 | 4271:10;4273:4; | 4268:24 | 4262:7,16;4263:1 | 4187:3 |
| None (6) | 4274:9;4300:11; | offer (1) | 4264:7;4265:5,7,17; | opportunity (5) |
| 4203:23,25;4214:4 | 4301:20,22,23; | 4228:5 | 4266:10,10,15; | 4192:8;4199:10; |
| 4260:12;4276:15; | 4303:15;4311:17 | offered | 4267:25;4270:18 | 4250:19;4264:5; |
| 4309:17 | 5:7;4318:12; | 252:1,4,10;4264:20 | 4271:5 | 4265:23 |
| nonetheless | 4320:11;4323:20; | offers (1) | 4276:13,20;4277:3,6 | opposed (7) |
| 4210:7;4298:4 | 4326:2;4327:2 | 220:2 | 10,11;4280:8;4282:12; | 4194:14;4261:19; |
| nonsense (3) | objections (5) | OFFICE | 4284:22;4292:14; | 4270:13;4271:16; |
| 4205:11,22,24 | 4189:15,16;4217: | 4168:20;4173:1 | 4300:17;4311:12; | 4284:21;4330:1,23 |
| nonsensical (1) | 4219:15;4220:11 | 4177:6;4178:4,16,23, | 4312:20;4315:15; | opposition (1) |
| 4200:4 | objective (3) | 23,25;4179:8;4180:2, | 4317:5;4320:2; | 4215:14 |
| Nope (2) | 4275:18;4321:9,11 | 13;4181:3,8;4182:13; | 4322:11;4323:2; | option (8) |
| 4275:15,15 | obligated (1) | 4186:12,12,16;4187:4; | 4327:24;4328:8; | 4260:8,11;4270:23; |
| normally (1) | 4327:22 | 4198:8,13;4205:19; | 4329:20;4330:25 | 4272:16,17,17; |
| 4194:6 | obligation (17) | 4223:21;4226:5; | 4331:11,13;4333:7,8, | 4280:14;4331:3 |
| NORTH (2) | 4231:9;4285:1; | 4246:1 | 12,25 | optional (1) |
| 4168:9;4169:4 | 4286:9,23;4287:13; | OFFICER (10) | ones (5) | 4331:2 |
| note (9) | 4290:24;4291:6,6,7; | 4170:6,10;4227:4; | 4198:24;4237:9 | options (7) |
| $4182: 11 ; 42$ | 4292:2;4303:13; | 4235:14,17,19,23; | 4248:19;4312:16; | 4213:17;4222:1 |
| 10,12,18,19;4236:21; | 4305:16;4325:10,16; | 4236:3;4278:4;4307:7 | 4329:5 | 4256:16;4257:21; |
| 4311:16 | 4329:10,13,16 | officers (1) | ongoing (1) | 4258:23;4259:10; |
| noted (1) | obligations (9) | 181:20 | 4208:16 | 4265:16 |
| 4295:24 | 4284:14;4290:22; | offset (2) | only (27) | oranges (1) |
| notice (2) | 4292:19;4304:11; | 4201:9;4208:2 | 4186:13; | 4212:13 |
| 4288:13;4297:25 | 4329:23,24;4330:8,16, | often (7) | 17;4199:1;4200:2 | order (19) |
| notion (1) | 20 | 4193:13,14;4223:23; | 4201:12;4212:9; | 4190:14;4207:16,22; |
| 4184:12 | observable | 4246:18,20;4263:17; | 4213:3,9;4219:6,17; | 4209:8;4227:6; |
| notwithstanding (1) $4300 \cdot 9$ | 4256:20 | 4266:18 | 4255:19;4256:19; | 4239:11;4268:3; |
| 4300:9 | observe (1) | old | 4262:7;4267:7; | 4275:4;4276:4,7; |
| November (2) $4168: 13 ; 4334: 21$ | 4323:6 | 4171:2,2;4184: | 4281:12,17;4282: | 4278:6,20;4279:14; |
| 4168:13;4334:21 | observed (2) | 4282: | 4313:16;4329:19,22, | 4285:7,11;4292:19; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Nowhere (1) } \\ 4281: 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4219:8;4275:16 } \\ & \text { obtain ( } \mathbf{(}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { older (1) } \\ 4254: 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25;4330:10,23;4331:6, } \\ & 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4307:9;4317:4;4332:9 } \\ & \text { ordering (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| number (11) | 4240:20;4247:11 | old-fashioned | open (1) | 4190:13 |
| 4201:1;4209:15; | 4249:7;4270:3,6; | 4175:20 | 4236:23 | orders (1) |
| 4210:21,21,21;4212:3; | 4304:23 | once (3) | open-ended (1) | 4265:13 |
| 4249:19;4254:3; | obtained (4) | 4173:20;4221: | 4 | ordinarily (1) |
| 4274:22;4276:2; | 4216:3;4269:22,25; | 4234:17 | opening (1) | 4262:16 |
| 4310:4 | 4270:10 | One (107) | 4243:3 | ORGANIZATION (9) |
| numbers (5) | obtaining (5) | 4174:20;4176:15; | operates (2) | 4168:8,8;4224:21; |
| 4208:11;4210:19; | 4239:12;4267:3; | 4179:6;4180:16; | 4285:6,13 | 4312:25;4313:7,10; |
| 4229:23;4277:3,7 | 4279:6;4292:6; | 4182:4;4183:9; | operations (3) | 4319:18;4325:14,16 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { organizations (2) } \\ 4248: 10,12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4191:5;4202:13,13; } \\ & \text { 4214:6;4221:12; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4266:4;4278:16; } \\ & \text { 4284:17;4285:3; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { particularly (5) } \\ 4191: 7 ; 4201: 8 ; \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4241:5;4270:14; } \\ & \text { 4282:16;4285:7; } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organization's (1) | 4227:25;4228:22; | 4294:3;4299:10; | 4220:24;4261:10; | 4309:25;4310:13 |
| 4313:15 | 4233:24;4234:14 | 4300:2,3;4304:18; | 4327 | performance (3) |
| organized (1) | 4241:1,21;4253:20; | 4305:15;4311:1,3; | partner (3) | 4209:8;4261:11; |
| 4250:23 | 4264:7,4265:7; | 4317:20;4321:17; | 4173:5;4176:1 | 4314: |
| original (3) | 4273:14;4276:20 | 4324:22 | 250:10 | performed (8) |
| 4196:7;4282:5; | 4281:5,5,14,4311:14; | pages (1) | partners (5) | 4242:7;4247:24; |
| 4299:12 | 4332:2 | 4284:23 | 4180:11;4237:19; | 4250:15;4270:2; |
| originally (3) | overall (3) | paid (3) | 4239:13,24;4242:4 | 4288:7;4312:24; |
| 4170:25;4177:6 | 4201:4;4202:17 | 4179:6;4186:9 | partnership (2) | 4313:10,11 |
| 4189:24 | $4300: 22$ | 4208:12 | 4179:23;4250:10 | Performing (36) |
| Orlando (1) | overarching (1) | panels (2) | party (2) | 4175:2;4237:15,18, |
| 4195:2 | 4304:2 | 4251:17, | 4260:17;4296:13 | 22;4239:6,9;4240:17; |
| others | overcome | PANTELOUKAS (1) | pass (1) | 4241:3,4;4242:12,15; |
|  | 4225:6 |  | 4238:15 | 4244:4;4247:14 |
| 4271:3;4309:5 | 4264:20 | $4256: 11$ | $4174: 21$ | 4281:20,25;4286:11; |
| ut (49) | overlook (1) | paragrap | passive (4) | 4287:8;4290:18; |
| 4171:18;4 | 4213:2 | 4230:8,9,10,20,25 | 4224:17;4225:6,13, | 4291:2;4298:20,21; |
| 4176:21;4182:12; | overlooks (1) | 4231:16;4232:5,13,21; | 15 | 4299:20;4303:18; |
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# In The Matter Of: <br> Attorney General of the State of NY v. <br> Donald J. Trump, et. al. 

November 15, 2023

## Michael Ranita - Senior Court Reporter

| Page 4335 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| ```SUPREAE COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTYY OF NEW YORK : CIVIL TERM : Part 37 ------------------------------------------------m PEOPLLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY LETITIA JAMES, ATTORNIEY GENERAL OF THE STTATE OF NEW YORK, Plaintiff, Index: 452564/2022 -against- DONALD J. TRUNP; DONALD TRUNP, JR.; ERIC TRUNP; IVANKKA TRUNP; ALIEN WEISSELBERG; JEFFREY MCCONNEY; THE DONALD J. TRUNP REVOCABLE TRUST; THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION, INC; TRUMP ORGANIZATION, ILC; DJT HOLDINGS, ILC; DJT HOLDINGS MANAGING MIEMBER; TRUNAP ENDEAVOR 12, LIC; 401 NORTH WAGASH VENTIURE, ILC; TRUMP OLD PÓST OFFICE, LLC; 40 WALLL STREET, LLC; AND SEVEN SPRINGS, LLC, Defendants. 60 Centre Street Naw York, New York 10007 November 15, }202 BEFORE: Homorablir arthur f. magorom, Supreme Court Justice APPEARANCES: OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STAME OF NEN YORK - LEETITIA JAMES attorneys for the Plaintiff 28 Liberty Street New York, New York 10005 BY: KEVIN WALTACE, ESQ. COLLEEEN K. FAHERRTY, ESQ. ANDREN AMER, ESQ. ERIC HAREN, ESQ. MARK LADOV, ESQ. SHERIEF GABER, ESQ.``` | THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order. <br> THE COURT: Unless there's some housekeeping, and Mr. Suarez is standing at the podium, so I assume he wants to continue with the direct of Mr. Flemmons; correct? <br> MR. SUAREZ: Good morning, your Honor. <br> THE COURT: Good morning. Let's get the witness. <br> (Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.) <br> THE COURT: Okay. Please proceed. <br> Q Good morning, Mr. Flemmons. <br> A Good morning. <br> Q From at least 2011 to 2021, how many GAAP departures <br> were disclosed in the Statement of Financial Condition? <br> A Well, there were many GAAP departures disclosed between <br> the Statement of Financial Condition and the accountant's reports. <br> Q Were the disclosures boilerplate? <br> A No. There were -- it changed over time, but there were <br> 24 certainly very specific disclosures about very particular GAAP <br> 25 exceptions that resided in the Statement of Financial Condition, |
| CONTINIENTAAL, PLLC <br> attorneys for the Defendants <br> 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 750 <br> Tallahassee, Florida 32302 <br> BY: CHRISTOPHER M. KISE, ESQ. <br> ILAZARO P . FTEIDS, ESQ. <br> JESUS M. SUAREZ, ESQ. <br> ROBERT \& ROBERT, PLIC <br> attorneys for the Defendants <br> 526 RXR Plaza <br> Uniondale, New York 11556 <br> BY: CLIFFORD ROBERT, ESQ. <br> HABBA MADATO \& ASSOCIATES, LLP <br> attorneys for the Defendants <br> 1430 US Highway 296, Suite 240 <br> Bedminster, New Jersey 07921 <br> BY: ALIMA HABBA, ESQ. <br> MORTAN LAW, PLIC <br> attorneys for the Defendants <br> 60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4600 <br> New York, Naw York 10165 <br> BY: ARMIEN MORTAN, ESQ. | J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) <br> 1 and there were disclosures that occurred later in time that <br> 2 became a little more summarized, but covered a broad landscape <br> 3 of the assets reported in the Statement of Financial Condition. <br> MR. SUAREZ: I would like to pull up Plaintiffs Exhibit 730. <br> (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) <br> Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Flemmons? <br> A Yes. This is the Statement of Financial Condition for 2014. <br> Q And does this statement include an accountant's compilation report within it? <br> MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to the next page. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) <br> A Yes, it does. And it's very standard for the <br> compilation report to be attached to the financial statements. <br> Q Must the compilation report and the financial statement be read together? <br> A Absolutely. It's widely accepted and implicit in the <br> 21 accounting and reporting standards that the financial statements <br> 22 are not to be relied upon in a vacuum, but rather read in <br> 23 conjunction with any accountant's report, whether it be add <br> 24 audit, review or a compilation. <br> 25 The accountant's report is the only mechanism that an |
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| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | accountant has to report to a user of the financial statements, |
| 2 | and to alert them to any potential issues with regard to the |
| 3 | presentation of the financials. |
| 4 | Q In the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition, how many |
| 5 | GAAP departures are identified in the compilation report? |
| 6 | A |
| 7 | Well, there are quite a few. Um, I would start with |
| 8 | fourth paragraph in the report. |
| 9 | Screen.) |
| 10 | Q $\quad$ What is this GAAP departure intended to cover? |
| 11 | A Well, this is basically saying that there are GAAP |
| 12 | exceptions with how estimated current value was determined for a |
| 13 | variety of different assets as discussed in notes 3, 4, 5 and 6. |
| 14 | And in totality, when considering which assets were encompassed |
| 15 | by those footnote disclosures, that covered 95 percent of the |
| 16 | assets for this particular period. |
| 17 | Q And what does it mean in a GAAP departure does -- |
| 18 | excuse me. In a gap disclosure, when it says that "Several of |
| 19 | the values have been based on future interests that in some |
| 20 | instances are not for fixed or determinable amounts, and in some |
| 21 | instances are based on performance of future services." |
| 22 | A $\quad$ Well, again, this is a fairly broad disclosure that |
| 23 | could cover a variety of different issues with regard to GAAP |
| 24 | accounting. I know that one of them, that this addresses -- and |
| 25 | this was also consistent with Mr. Bender's deposition testimony, | this was also consistent with Mr. Bender's deposition testimony,

## 1 preparer's obligations under GAAP?

A Yes.
Q Is the fact that this departure exists a reason not to issue the financial statement?

A Absolutely not. As we've discussed yesterday, it's -the accounting and reporting regime in the United States is set up such that financial statements can be issued even though they contain GAAP departures, as long as the appropriate language is contained in the accountant's report.

Q Moving onto the next disclosure.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q I believe this is the fourth disclosure. What is this disclosure intended to cover?

A This is another GAAP exception that relates to the requirement under GAAP to include a provision for income tax, and the Statement of Financial Condition did not contain such a provision. And this language is intended to communicate that excluded item from the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Moving onto the fifth disclosure.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What is the fifth disclosure intended to cover?
A This is related to the requirement under US GAAP to separately report cash and marketable securities. However, the

Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
Page 4342
Statement of Financial Condition had lumped the two together into one line item, and this disclosure alerts the user to that presentation.

Q Moving onto the sixth disclosure.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What is the sixth disclosure intended to cover?
A This is communicating to the users of the financial
statements that there are certain assets and liabilities for certain properties that were not included in the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Is it appropriate to omit assets and liabilities from the Statement of Financial Condition?

A In order for the financial -- the Statement of
Financial Condition to be comprehensive and reflect all of the assets and liabilities of Mr. Trump, under GAAP, those items would have been included in the Statement of Financial Condition, but this disclosure is telling the -- the user that those items are not included.

Q With the disclosure is it appropriate to issue the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
Q All right. Moving onto the asset sheet.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
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1 Q What do you mean when you say that 95 percent of the assets are covered by a GAAP disclosure?

A So as we saw on the accountant's report, there is disclosure as to deviations from GAAP and how estimated current value was determined. And that it's in paragraph four of the accountant's report. And it references notes $3,4,5$ and 6. And notes three - or note three corresponds to real and operating properties, which is the third category on the asset statement.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And that covers everything from Trump Tower through properties under development?

A Correct. Note four covers partnerships and joint ventures, which is the next category on the Statement of Financial Condition. Note five covers real estate licensing developments. And note six covers other assets.

So when you tally up all of the amounts reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition for those categories, that reflects 95 percent of the total assets.

Q Now, in preparing the account and compilation report, would Mazars have to take into consideration knowledge that it obtains in other engagements for the same client?

A Yes. The professional standards that relate to Mazars' compilation engagement do speak to an accountant needing to
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considered the broader landscape of their involvement with a particular client when evaluating whether methods are appropriate under GAAP during a compilation.

Q Should Mazars include disclaimer language that is required if it knows from the supporting data that the disclaimer language is appropriate?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Mazars includes -- I believe the report is -- I'm not sure at what point in the process he is describing this. This is the Trump financial statement, so I'm not sure what part of the process Mazars needs to include something.

THE COURT: Read it back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

## THE COURT: Overruled.

A Mazars was required to include language addressing GAAP exceptions to the extent they became aware of them in the course of their compilation.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up, side by side, Plaintiff's Exhibit 719.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: Can we scroll this or zoom it out so that we could see the two columns.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was sure.
zoomed out.)
Q What do these red marks and blue marks mean on this document?
A I believe those are what are referred to in accounting and auditing parlance as tick marks that would be referencing work paper numbers within Mazars' set of documents that were prepared for this compilation.

Q Would that show that Mazars reviewed the document?
A It certainly is indication that they reviewed this for

Q If we look at the real and operating property, Trump Tower, which is the first one that appears here in the supporting data, is the method used for determining estimated current value for Trump Tower consistent with the requirement of ASC-274?
A Yes. It appears to me that the method that is being used here is one of the methods that we went over yesterday that is provided by ASC-274, which is capitalization of earnings.

Q Now, in the bottom there is a cap rate that's indicated for the capitalization of earnings right under the Trump Tower spreadsheet, under the financials.

## Do you see that there?

A Yes.
Q Does this note indicate to Mazars the basis for selecting a cap rate?
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A It does provide additional context on the cap rate, yes.

Q Was the method for estimating current value for Trump Tower disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes, this has very substantial detail on how the calculation of estimated current value for Trump Tower was developed.

Q And then do the notes disclose the basis for reaching estimated current value for Trump Tower?

A Yes.
Q And would it have been Mazars' responsibility to make sure that the information that it reviewed in the supporting data was adequately disclosed in the notes? MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What responsibility did Mazars have to ensure that the information that it reviewed in the supporting data was adequately disclosed in the notes?

A Well, the standards that apply to Mazars for compilations do require an evaluation of whether the disclosures contained within the Statement of Financial Condition are consistent with the underlying support.

Q Moving on to Niketown. Is the method for determining estimated current value for Niketown consistent with the requirements imposed by ASC-274?
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A I haven't reviewed this in quite some time, but sitting here right now it looks to be identical methodologies to what was used for Trump Tower, um, at least with regard to the fact it was the capitalization of earnings.

So to the extent there's not another nuance here that I'm overlooking, it looks to be the same methodologies that's provided by estimated current value under ASC-274.

Q And again, you see at the bottom of the section on
Trump Tower there's a discussion concerning the cap rates that are used?
A Yes.
Q And should it have been apparent to Mazars that the cap rate selected was selected by The Trump Organization and not Cushman \& Wakefield? MR. WALLACE: I guess objection to "should." THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Is it apparent to you that the cap rate that was selected in this section for Niketown was selected by the preparer, The Trump Organization, and not Mazars - Cushman \& Wakefield, I'm sorry.

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained, but it was a good try. MR. SUAREZ: Thank you. THE COURT: You're getting closer.
Q From reading the cap rate section, who supplied the
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capitalization rate that was used in the calculation of value for Niketown?

A Based on my reading of the note that provides more context on how the cap rate was determined, it appears that it was the -- it was management of The Trump Organization that would have provided or ultimately determined what the cap rate was to use. However, there is reference to Doug Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield that provided some input that appears to have influenced, to some extent, the ultimate conclusion on the cap rate.

Q How does the note provide further detail on the selection of the cap rate?

A Well, it says that Cushman \& Wakefield had indicated that retail properties or cap rates for retail properties in this particular area are usually 60 basis points lower. And that was apparently used as additional information by The Trump Organization to make an adjustment to the cap rate and lower it, um, but they do reference not lowering it all the way down by 60 basis points, but being conservative and reducing it only by 50 basis points.

Q Was it disclosed to Mazars that the capitalization rate was ultimately selected by The Trump Organization?
A Yes, I think that's very clear and implicit from this work paper.

MR. SUAREZ: Moving onto 40 Wall Street.
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Is the method for selecting -- excuse me. On 40 Wall Street, is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirement of ASC-274?
A Yes. It appears to follow the same methodology we talked about for the prior two properties, and that it's a capitalization of earnings, which is one of the methods provided under ASC-274.
Q If there was an appraisal that had a different value for 40 Wall Street, would that preclude management from using this method of determining estimated current value?

A Absolutely not. ASC-274 allows for a wide range of methods that can be used. One of those methods is the one that is being used here, capitalization of earnings.

The use of appraisals is another alternative method that may be used, but they are not mandated. So to the extent this particular method aligns with ASC-274, which in my view it does, it is absolutely permissible to use it.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, can I just ask for clarification from this witness whether he is testifying as to methodologies versus the actual conclusion that the values stated here is, in fact, the estimated current value, which I believe is also a requirement of ASC-274. MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor --
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THE COURT: You could investigate that in cross examination. I thought his testimony was clear.

MR. WALLACE: Fair enough, your Honor.
Q Moving onto the Trump International Hotel in Chicago.
You could see -- what value is assigned to the Trump
International Hotel and Tower in Chicago?
A Zero.
Q And why is that -- I'll withdraw the question.
Was it disclosed in the GAAP departures that the Trump International Hotel and Tower wasn't included in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes. It's zero. And that's consistent with the disclosure in the accountant's report that communicated to the users that that property would be excluded from the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Okay. Moving onto Trump Park Avenue.
Is the method for determining estimated current value for the Trump Park Avenue consistent with the requirements of ASC-274?
A Yes. It appears to be using the same method of using a cap rate -- a capitalization rate against estimated earnings.

Q And can I draw your attention to the top of the section where it says "Valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold residential units and the selling price or the rental income stream to be derived from the commercial space."


A Can you repeat that, please.
Q Sure. (Continued on the next page.)
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A Yes.
Q Is that a permitted or a permissive -- excuse me. Withdrawn.

Is it appropriate to make an assumption that Mar-a-Lago will be sold -- let me withdraw that and try again.

The assumption that Mar-a-Lago will be sold to an individual is a basis for establishing estimated current value. Is that permitted in the selection of methodology under ASC-274?

A Well, ASC-274 doesn't get into that level of detail, um, but it does implicitly involve hypotheticals, but also planned courses of action.

So to the extent The Trump Organization contemplated potentially, you know, selling this to an individual, that would be an appropriate basis for basing the estimated current value on that basis.

Q Now, the selection of club facilities includes a number of different assets that have been grouped together? MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn back to the Statement of Financial Condition at Plaintiff's Exhibit 730 on the asset sheet.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Which appears at page four of the exhibit.
Mr. Flemmons, what do accounting standards require for properties to be grouped together in the presentation of assets?

Q What are there in the accounting standards to instruct whether a group of properties can be placed together as a single unit in these Statements of Financial Condition?

A There is not a lot of specificity in the standard. It allows for a lot of judgment on the part of the preparer.

Q Who determines the method of grouping the assets on the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Well, during a compilation it could either be, in this case, Mazars or the Trump Organization, depending on how the detail was provided. But Mazars as the outside accountant in preparing this particular set of financial statements would have had a hand in that determination.

Q Should Mazars have known what assets comprised each category on the asset sheet in the Statements of Financial Condition?

MR. WALLACE: Objection on the "should." THE COURT: I think the context is clear.

## Overruled.

A Mazars was provided with all of the detail that supported the $\$ 2$ billion of club facilities, and in my view would have been fully aware of the components.
Q Moving on in the supporting data to the Trump International Golf Club in Florida.

On the supporting data which appears at Plaintiffs 179, what is the basis for valuing the Trump International Golf

## Club in Florida?

A It appears from this it is a cost approach. It refers to value of fixed assets, which, fixed asset value is often synonymous with a cost basis.

Q And is fixed asset value an appropriate method for determining estimated current value under ASC 274 ?

A Yes, it is one of the methods that is provided under the standard.

Q Moving on to the Trump International Golf Club in Briarcliff. Is the method used for determining the estimated current value of the Golf Club in Briarcliff consistent with the requirements of ASC 274?

A It appears to be using the same cost basis as the prior property we just looked at; and that is an appropriate method under ASC 274.

Q Was the basis -- withdrawn.
Was the method for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes, it is very clear from this document.
Q Moving on to the Trump National Golf Club in Bedminister. Is the method for determining estimated current value for the Trump National Golf Club in Bedminister consistent with the requirements of ASC 274 ?

A Yes, same as before, it is the same method that is provided by ASC 274.
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Q Was the method for determining estimated current value for the Trump National Golf Club in Bedminister disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes, this schedule was provided to Mazars and therefore contained the information to communicate to them how this property was being valued.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would just like to note an objection. I think the questioning here is unclear as to whether the process he is opining on is the valuation of fixed assets or if he is including the premium that is added as well for these various golf clubs.

THE COURT: Again, I'll let you cross. Obviously you can cross examine on this.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, if he is offering an opinion about the total valuation, including the premium, we would renew our motion to preclude that testimony. And I would be happy to discuss that with the witness out of the room. I think whether or not he is referring to the total valuation, including the premium or just a use of fixed assets, is relevant to whether or not we want to reraise that objection.

THE COURT: All right. We will excuse the witness.
(Whereupon, the witness exited the courtroom.)

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, if the valuation opinion -- or I should say, if the accounting opinion we are receiving now is a valuation that the brand premium is appropriate, we object to that testimony. That issue has will been decided on summary judgment. It was decided with explicit reference to the other accounting expert, that the defendants retained, Professor Bartov. That evidence was presented extensively in the briefing and in the evidence presented by the defendants. Your Honor directly addressed that opinion, which is the same that Mr. Bartov provided, the same opinion we are hearing now from Mr. Flemmons. And that's at page 28 of your summary judgment opinion, where you wrote: In opposition defendants submit the affidavit of Elie Bartov, an accounting professor at New York University, who distinguishes between overall brand value and brand value ascribed to individual golf courses. His point, ensconced in numerous lines of academic jargon, seems to be the defendants said they were eschewing the former and opting only for the latter. This is a red herring. It is actually incorrect. The SFC clearly stated that they do not include a brand value for any of the property included in the SFCs. Indeed, the SFC emphatically declare the good will attached to the brand name has significant financial value that has not been prepared in the
preparation of this financial statement.
So the same opinion from a different expert was expressly considered on summary judgment, and this would not be appropriate. And it would be irrelevant to now bring in their second accounting expert to try and enter the same opinion.

THE COURT: There is no jury here and no prejudice. I am just going to allow them to present their defense and you can cross examine to your heart's consent. Overruled.

Let's get the witness back.
(Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.)
Q Mr. Flemmons, moving on to the Trump National Golf Club in Colts Neck. Is the method used for determining estimated current value for the Trump National Golf Club, Colts Neck, consistent with the requirements of ASC 274 ?

A Yes, it appears that the method being used is the same as the prior properties, with the exception of their, you know, being an added premium related to the Trump brand of 30 percent. And that is an appropriate way under GAAP for purposes of the Statement of Financial Condition to include that additional amount. ASC 274 would not preclude that.

Q Was the method for determining the estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes, this is the supporting data, and it is very
clear what the components of that valuation are.
Q Moving on to the Trump National Golf Club in
Washington DC. Is the method for determining estimated current value for the Trump National Golf Club in Washington DC consistent with the requirements of ASC 274?

A Yes, for the same reasons as the prior property we just discussed.

Q And was the method for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes.
Q Is the method for determining estimated current value using a premium for fully operational branded facility disclosed in the notes of the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes, there is disclosure about brand premium being part of the property valuations.

Q And would Mazars have had an obligation to ensure that the disclosures contained in the Statement of Financial Conditions be consistent with what was presented in the supporting data?

A Yes.
Q Now, in the Statement of Financial Condition, there is a note that I would like to draw your attention to at page 23 of the exhibit, page 21 of the document.

Can I please draw your attention to where it says: "As stated in Note 1, this financial statement does not reflect
these documents. And this footnote adds a little more context.
Q Moving on to the Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia. Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC 274 for the Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia?

A Yes, this appears to be an identical methodology as to what we just looked at.

Q And was the method for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?
A Yes.
Q I have the same question about the Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Valley. Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirement of ASC 274?

A Yes, for the same reasons as the prior two properties we just discussed.
Q Was the method for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes.
Q Moving on to the Trump National Golf Club in Los Angeles. Is the method for determining estimated current value for the Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles consistent with the requirements of ASC 274?

A It appears so. The reason I hesitate is it does have another section in the middle here that was a little different
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the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation, except to the extent it has become associated with properties either operative or under development."

Is that statement in the Statement of Financial Condition consistent with the method for determining current estimated value for the Golf Club properties in the supporting data?

A Yes. This specifically relates to what we are looking at here in the supporting schedule related to brand premium. And this disclosure is notifying users of the financials that, you know, brand premium is part of some of the properties valuations.

Q Moving on to the supporting data under value. It has a note that I would like to draw your attention to the note. It says: "September 3, 2013. Per telephone conversation with Tom Bennison, Trump branded clubs are more valuable than most golf -- than most golf courses." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Was the method -- withdrawn.
Was the basis for the inclusion of the brand premium disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Well, this note provided additional context on the rationale for including a premium. It is not clear how the 30 percent was arrived at. But at the same time the rationale for including a brand premium at all is clearly disclosed in
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than the prior three properties that we looked at. And I am not sure I have looked at that part in quite some time. But probably want a little more time to think about that. But certainly sitting here right now, it appears that this does comply with ASC 274.

Q And was the method for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data for the Trump National Golf Club Los Angeles?

A Yes.
Q Moving on to the Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte. Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC 274 ?

A Yes.
Q Was the method for determining estimated current value for the Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes.
Q Moving on to the Trump National Golf Club in Jupiter. Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC 274 ?

A Yes, this is the same methodology we have discussed for these adjacent properties.

Q Which again, includes the premium for the fully operational branded facility, correct?

A Correct.

Q And was the method for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes.
Q The following property, Trump Golf Links Ferry Point. Is the method used for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirement of ASC 274 ?

A I think I would need a little more detail on what the reference to capital contributions since inception is. It sounds like it might be a cost basis, in which case that would be compliant with ASC 274. But if I was doing the compilation I would ask a follow-up question.

Q And if you had asked follow-up -- withdrawn. Should Mazars have asked follow-up questions concerning that supporting data?

A I would think so, for the same reasons that I said that I would have asked the question.

Q And is the fact that Mazars issued the compilation report, indicative of the fact that, at least to Mazars satisfaction, any questions were answered?

A Yes. Either they received satisfactory answers or they believed that the languaging in their accountant's report regarding GAAP deviations was adequate to address this property.

Q Moving on to the Doral. Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the
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## requirements of ASC 274 ?

A Yes, it appears the method is also a cost basis, which is an appropriate method.

Q Was the method for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes.
Q Same question about the Trump Turnberry.
A Same answer as before. It appears to be a cost basis, which is an acceptable method.

Q And was the method used to determine estimated -excuse me. Was the method used to determine estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

## A Yes.

THE COURT: Let me just jump in with a question to the witness.

You were asked 20 or 30 times, was the method used for determining the estimated current value of the project at issue consistent with the requirements of ASC 274. I think your answers were always yes. My question is: Were you saying that the method listed on the statement was one of the methods that ASC 274 allows? Or were you saying that the actual computations using that method were correct?
A Your Honor, I am not opining as to the ultimate valuation itself. I am not a valuation expert. But I am an
expert on the methods that are permitted by ASC 274. So my testimony is really limited to, again, its methods that are clear from the documents that were being used, and not necessarily to the numbers that were attached to them.

THE COURT: Right. And so if the statement says we are using the capitalization rate method or the fixed asset method, your answers are just meaning that, yes, that's one of the methods you can use, correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
THE COURT: Okay. After 30 questions of that I wanted to make sure I understood what the answer indicated.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, my -- what I would like to do is go through 2014 asset by asset, and then I'll flip through the following years much more quickly.

THE COURT: Well, let's start it and see how it goes. But I appreciate the desire to go more quickly. MR. SUAREZ: I just want to make sure you understood I am not I intending to do this for all. THE COURT: Got you.
Q The Trump International Golf Club -- I am sorry. Going back to Doonbeg. I almost skipped over Doonbeg. The Trump International Golf Club in Doonbeg, Ireland. Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC 274?
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A Yes. This appears to be a cost approach.
Q And was the method for determining estimated current value fairly disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes.
Q The Trump International Golf Club in Scotland, which is the following asset, is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirement of ASC 274?

A Well, consistent with my testimony about the prior property that had a reference to capital contributions, I interpret that as likely meaning some sort of cost basis. But I would have asked a follow-up question to confirm that. Assuming that that's the case, it would comply with ASC 274.

Q Do you see that there is a 33 percent premium for assembly of land parcels that is built into -- that is built in the value?

A Yes.
Q Would you agree with me that -- withdrawn.
Was the inclusion of the brand premium disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes, it is very clear in this data.
Q And if Mazars had an objection to the inclusion of that brand premium in the -- excuse me, withdrawn.

If Mazars had a concern with the inclusion of the premium for the assembly of land parcels, should Mazars have raised that with management?
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utilizing future cash flows based on planned courses of action. And I believe this wording is identical to that.

Q Does planned -- withdrawn.
Do planned courses of actions have an temporal requirement?

A No.
Q Moving on to 100 Central Park South the Trump Park East. Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC 274 ?

A Yes. This appears to be the same method as Trump Tower.

Q And again, you see that the valuation is based on the anticipated selling price, correct?

A Yes.
Q The anticipated selling price, is that consistent with the method under ASC 274 that permits the use of planned courses of action?

## A Yes.

Q Was the method for determining the estimated current value of the Trump Park East disclosed to Mazars?

A Yes.
Q Moving on to Trump Plaza at third Avenue, I would like to, again, direct your attention to the valuation being based on the rental income from the property plus the value of the two unsold co-op units. Do you see where it says that?
Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct(Suarez) for evaluating the methods that are being used and evaluating the appropriateness of the methods. So to the extent they concluded that adding a 30 percent premium was inappropriate, they would have been compelled to respond to that through inquiries with management to perhaps have this amount adjusted; or to include relevant disclosure in their accountant's report.

Q And if it was inappropriate to include that premium for the assembly of land parcels, could management have expected Mazars to identify that issue for them?

A Yes, because Mazars is compiling the financial statements and using the supporting data to do that. So to the extent that there are components of the valuations that are inconsistent with GAAP and they become aware of it, they are required under the standards to follow up on that.

Q Okay. Moving on to the Trump International -MR. SUAREZ: You can keep going. Is this the next one? Keep going.
Q The Trump World Tower, again, I would like to direct your attention towards, the valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold units and the rental income to be derived from the commercial space. What do you understand "anticipated selling price of unsold units" to mean?

A Well, I read this as being consistent with one of the methods that is called for under ASC 274 with regard to

A Yes. As part of a compilation Mazars is responsible

A Ido.
Q Is that consistent with a planned course of action under ASC 274?

A Yes.
Q Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC 274 for the Trump Plaza at Third Avenue?

A Yes.
Q Going on to the next asset, the Trump Palace, Trump Park and Trump Park East. Is the method there for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirement of ASC 274?

A Yes, it appears to be the same method as the prior properties that we just discussed.

Q And was the method for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?

A Yes.
Q Moving on to the Trump Intemational Hotel and Tower in New York City. Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC 274 ?

A Yes, this appears to be referencing a different valuation method that is accepted under ASC 274, and that is use of appraisals.

Q Now, in this instance it appears that the use of an appraisal was used, correct?

A Correct.
Q Now, would it have been appropriate to use another basis for determining estimated current value when there was an appraisal for the unit that was available to management?

A Yes, ASC 274 does not require use of appraisals. There are many other methods that are available to a preparer to serve as the basis for determining estimated current value.

Q And if another basis for estimated current value had resulted in a wildly different value than that which was reflected in the appraisal in management's possession, would management have been required to use the lower value in the appraisal?

A Not at all.
Q Why is that?
A As we discussed yesterday, given the wide range of methods that are available under ASC 274 to value properties, these methods can yield very different results and very significant differences from one method to another. And the standard does not require using the lower or the method that yields the lowest result.

Q Moving to properties under development. What is the first property that appears as a property under development?

A It looks like it is Seven Springs in Westchester. (Transcript continued on next page.)
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1 Q What is the value that's ascribed for Seven Springs in Westchester on the supporting data?

A It looks like the total value is $\$ 291$ million.
Q And what is the basis for determining the value of the Seven Springs property that's under development?

A It looks like it's based on the anticipated selling price of certain mansion and it's broken out into two sections here. But the overall methodology relates to anticipated selling price.

Q Is the use of an anticipated selling price consistent with a planned course of action?

A Yes. And I should also add it's not just based on the selling price. There's clearly cost components that are offsetting the selling price to arrive at a profit number that is used as the basis for the valuation.
Q The profit -- the anticipated profit is what's used as the basis for valuation?

A Correct.
Q And is it appropriate to use an anticipated profit as the basis for an planned course of action in determining estimated current value?

A Yes.
Q Now, with respect to Seven Springs here, was there discounting included for the future income that was anticipated?

A It does not list any discounting separately in this
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particular schedule. Um, it -- under ASC-274 when you are basing a valuation on, you know, future revenue streams or future cash flows, you would expect there to be a discounting component to this.

It's unclear to me whether the selling price line item that's reflected in here already contemplates a discounting factor. It would be a question that I would follow up with the client and ask about.

Q Was the lack of any additional discounting for future cash disclosed to Mazars?

A Well, there certainly is a red flag from the standpoint that there is no mention of discounting. As I said, it could be implicit or embedded in -- in the selling prices that were used and in the overall profit number, but it would be a glaring question that would need to be asked.
Q To the extent that the estimated current value method used for Seven Springs was not consistent with estimated current value, is it covered by a GAAP departure disclosed in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes. And as I said earlier I believe Mr. Bender also testified that the lack of discounting was covered by one of the exceptions that they included in their accountant's report.

Q Moving onto the Trump Old Post Office.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

1

Q Again, do you see that the basis for -- withdrawn.
Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC-274?
A Like we saw with a couple of the other properties that reference capital contributions it's a little unclear to me what that means and how that fits, but it sounds to me like a cost approach, in which case it would be an ASC-274 compliant method.
Q Was the method used for determining estimated current value disclosed to Mazars in the supporting data?
A Yes.
Q And to the extent that the estimated current value method was not consistent with the definition of ASC-274, should Mazars have identified the need to include additional disclosures?
A Yes.
Q Moving onto the partnerships and joint ventures.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q The first item listed here is a partnership with Vornado or Vornado. Is the method for determining estimated current value for the Vornado Partnership consistent with the requirements of ASC-274?
A Yes. It appears that the way this valuation was performed was to take the ownership percentage interest that Mr. Trump had in the Vornado partnership, and multiply that
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times the net operating income of the related properties to arrive at the overall valuation.
Q When you say the "ownership interest of Mr. Trump", is that the 30 percent here that's disclosed at line 715 , or row 715 of the spreadsheet?
A Yes, it is. And that is the multiplier against the net operating earnings of those entities less the related debt of those properties.
Q What does the note to the Statement of Financial Condition disclose concerning Mr. Trump's interest in the Vornado partnerships?
A Well, note four states clearly that Mr. Trump owns 30 percent of these properties.
Q And to the extent that any discounting for a lack of control was appropriate, would that have been apparent to Mazars?
A Yes. It's very clear that 30 percent ownership is not a controlling interest.
Q And of the lack of control would have impacted the estimated current value of Mr. Trump's interest in that property, should Mazars have been aware of that?
A Yes. It's very clear from these documents.
Q Moving onto the Trump International in Las Vegas.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
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1 Q Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC-274?

A Well, similar to what we were saying before, this is using anticipated cash flows on this property. I don't see a discounting factor applied to it.

Again, it could be implicit and embedded in the $\$ 123$ million value. I would want to know more to confirm that. But on its face, it doesn't look like there's any discounting.

Q And should that have been apparent to Mazars that on its face it didn't appear to include any discounting?

A I would think so.
Q And to the extent that there was no discounting, for this asset, was the lack of discounting covered by a GAAP departure in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A As I said before, Mr. Bender has testified that one of the disclosures in the accountant's report did cover that exception.
Q Moving onto Miss Universe.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Is the method for determining estimated current value consistent with the requirements of ASC-274?

A It's unclear to me. There are apparently two different methods that are being used here, depending on the year.

For 2013, there's a reference just to valuations based
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on SEC filings done by the casinos. I'm not sure exactly what that means or how that valuation was derived. I would need to inquire further if I was performing this work.

And for 2014, it's a little vague because there's reference to a Goodwill entity in applying a 50 percent ownership percentage against that Goodwill.

I think for both of these I would need to ask more questions.
Q Was the method used to determine the value disclosed to Mazars?
A Well, these descriptions of the methods were disclosed, which I believe would have prompted additional questions.

Q Should Mazars have inquired further as to the basis for those methods used for Miss Universe?

A In my view, yes.
Q And if the method selected was -- withdrawn.
If the method selected by management was, in fact, not an appropriate measure under ASC-274, should management have expected that Mazars would have alerted them?

A Yes. To the extent the methods used are inconsistent with the allowable methods under ASC-274, there's an obligation of an accountant performing a compilation to make inquiries and to perhaps include additional disclosure in the accountant's report.
Q In your experience, is that something that happens in
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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the real world?
A Yes, all the time.
Q Management selects a method for estimating the current value of an asset that turns out to be inconsistent with ASC-274?

A Yes. I see that all the time. And frankly, that's why you have external accountants involved in this process, given their expertise with GAAP and preparing financial statements.

Q Is it fair for management to expect that the outside accountants will alert them if a method has been used that is not appropriate?

A Yes, because of the professional standards that are applicable to Mazars, in this case, would require them to do just that.

Q Moving onto other assets.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q The other assets here start with the Apprentice property -- excuse me, the Apprentice revenue stream. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And include the license to run the Wollman Rink, the Trump Model Management, family receivables, a vineyard property, Starrett City Associates, and then they continue further below to include aircrafts, a triplex, and homes in Palm Beach. Do
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you see those?
A Yes.
Q And Beverly Hills, California. Is it appropriate under ASC-274 to group all of these assets into the category of other assets?

A There was no restriction under ASC-274 on how to categorize these assets. To the extent there's significant aggregation, it might be helpful to have disclosure to inform a user what's comprising these amounts or this particular group of assets, but there's nothing inappropriate about grouping them under this caption.

Q If we go to the Statement of Financial Condition and we look at the note associated with other assets.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Let's go to the beginning of that note on page 21 of the document, page 23 of the exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Would that note correlate to the grouping of assets under ASC -- excuse me. Would that note in the Statement of Financial Condition correlate with the grouping of assets under the supporting data for other assets?

A I haven't done a one-for-one comparison. I will say that the notes to the financial statements do itemize different
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| categories that are comprising the other assets caption. Upon quick glance, there is certainly correlation. For example, the Beverly Hills property, I would need to spend some time to do the dot connecting, but it appears to correlate. <br> Q So in general terms, the Statement of Financial Condition discloses that the other assets include -- <br> MR. SUAREZ: If we could go back to the first page. <br> (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) <br> Q It includes the triplex at Trump Tower, homes in Palm Beach County. <br> MR. SUAREZ: Next page. <br> (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) <br> Q A home in Beverly Hills, a number of corporate aircraft, and other, which goes on to include other entities owned or controlled, and other assets as well, that include the Celebrity Apprentice and Apprentice series, a 2000-acre vineyard in Charlottesville, Virginia, licenses to operate and management of Wollman and Lasker Rinks, an international talent/model <br> 21 agency, a management company that supervises the operation of <br> 22 condominium properties, loans to family members, limited <br> 23 partnership investments in Starrett City and related entities, <br> 24 and funds escrowed pursuant to the terms of contractual <br> 25 commitments. Is it the case that there's no -- withdrawn. | on that description, it sounds like it's based on comparable sales of another property. So at a high level it sounds like it's consistent with ASC-274. <br> THE COURT: Five-minute warning. <br> Q Is the reason you would need to ask more questions -withdrawn. <br> You would need to ask more questions; correct? <br> A I mean, certainly with regard to the 2013 value of triplex. <br> Q Does the outside accounting firm have an obligation greater. <br> Withdrawn. <br> Does the accounting firm have an obligation to <br> management that is greater than just copying and pasting the <br> numbers from the supporting data into the Statement of Financial Condition? <br> MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. <br> THE COURT: Asked and answered many, many times already, so sustained. <br> Q What obligation, if any, would the outside accounting firm have to consider the method for using -- withdrawn. <br> What obligation, if any, would management have to -let me try the third time, and then I'll pull back. <br> What obligation would the accounting firm have in placing an asset value on the Statement of Financial Condition |
| J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) <br> 1 What asset value is ascribed in the Statement of <br> Financial Condition to the individual assets that makeup other assets? <br> A Well, the individual values comprising that section of the financial statements are listed in the detail that was provided to Mazars. The total value of all the assets was \$338 million in the Statement of Financial Condition for 2014. The composition of that was clear from this support. <br> Q If we focus on the triplex here in 2014, do you see the method that's used to determine the asset value? <br> A It appears that there are two different notations related to triplex, depending on the year. For, I believe, 2014 is the first column, is that correct, column G? <br> Q Yes. <br> A That just says "triplex per Kathy Kaye", with a date of August 2013, with no further detail. It's unclear to me what method is being used. <br> Q To be clear column G is 2013 and column H is 2014. <br> A Okay. So the first amount of $\$ 200$ million for 2013 has a rather vague description. I can't, sitting here right now, <br> 21 determine what valuation method is being used for that | based on the information that's been provided in the supporting data? <br> A Well, the accounting firm's obligation is to understand the methods that are being used and consider the appropriateness of those methods, you know, based on the assets that are being valued, and ultimately determine whether they are a GAAP compliant method. <br> And to the extent they are not, the standards require <br> them to perform follow-up inquiries, and perhaps include <br> language in their accountant's report if those amounts are <br> reported in a manner that is inconsistent with GAAP. <br> MR. SUAREZ: I'm at a good breaking point. <br> THE COURT: Breaking or ending? <br> MR. SUAREZ: No, breaking, breaking, breaking. <br> THE COURT: I always ask that. Call it a 16 -minute break, and we'll see you all at 11:45. <br> And I'll direct the witness, again, don't discuss the case, your testimony, or anything related thereto. <br> (Whereupon, a 16-minute break was agreed upon and taken by all parties.) <br> (Continued on the next page.) |
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THE COURT: Please proceed.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Flemmons I would like to draw your attention to the 2016 Statement of Financial Condition which appears at Plaintiff's 756; and the supporting data which appears at Plaintiffs 742.
(Handing)
MR. SUAREZ: If we could zoom out to make sure we include these three columns here. (Indicating.)
Q Mr. Flemmons, do you recognize the Statement of Financial Condition for the year end June 30, 2016 for Donald Trump?

A Yes, I do.
Q Have you reviewed it prior to today?
A Yes.
Q Next to the statement is supporting data, which appears as Plaintiff's 742. Have you reviewed the supporting data prior to today?

A Yes.
Q I would like to turn your attention to the
accountant's compilation report and, again, ask whether the accountant's compilation report includes disclosures for departures from Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

A Yes, it does.
Q Okay. Now I would like to draw your attention to the
cash on the list of assets in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Can I add a little more detail on the last answer?
Q Sure.
A So, you will notice when looking at the accountant's letter for this year, for 2016, it is more summarized and more concise than what we saw for the accountant's letter for the -for the 2014 time period. And one of the reasons for that is under the standard AR-C 80 which was issued and effective in or around 2016, one of the changes was to condense the accountant's report. And so I believe that that would be the cause for this taking on a slightly different form. I will say the paragraph that references the GAAP deviations, which is the paragraph on the screen here, second paragraph on the document, do appear to be similar, if not identical, to those that were broken out more separately in the 2014 accountant's report. But they do consist of the same type of items.

And in the last paragraph of the accountant's report is the "buyer beware" paragraph that I had talked about yesterday that comes right out of the standard that accountant's are encouraged to consider putting in the accountant's report for compilations if they believe that the significance of the GAAP deviations are of such a nature that warrant inserting that in the accountant's report.

Q With respect to the GAAP departures that are
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disclosed in this accountant's compilation report, which, if any of them, address cash?

A Well there is a sentence in the middle of that paragraph that addresses the presentation of cash and marketable securities and hedge funds under GAAP. Those would be separately reported as separate line items. But for purposes of the financial condition statement, those were included in one line item.
$Q \quad$ What is the effect of that disclosure?
A It is to communicate to a user that they are collapsed into one line item as opposed to being broken out separately.

Q And if we could go to page four of the Statement of Financial Condition, which I believe is page six of the exhibit.

MR. SUAREZ: And zoom in on cash.
Q What does the note for the cash on the Statement of Financial Condition disclose?

A Would you like me to read it?
Q Please.
A It states: Cash, marketable securities and hedge funds represents amounts held by Mr. Trump and amounts in operating entities. Include -- included in this amount are common stocks, mutual funds, hedge funds, corporate notes and bonds and United States Treasury Securities. Marketable

Securities are valued at quoted market prices or estimates of current value provided by the hedge funds.

Q What does it mean that cash, marketable securities and hedge funds represents amounts held by Mr. Trump and amounts in operating entities?

A Well, it is communicating to the user that cash that is held in operating entities and marketable securities and hedge funds that are -- that reside within the various operating entities of Mr. Trump, are included in the Statement of Financial Condition within this line item.

Q Okay. And if we go to the supporting data, do you see that there is a schedule for the cash and marketable securities?

## A Yes.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we please pull up Plaintiffs
Exhibit 2587? It has already been admitted into evidence.
Q Are you familiar with this schedule, Mr. Flemmons?
A Yes.
Q What does this schedule represent?
A It is the detailed schedule that breaks down all of the components of the cash and short term investments as of June 30, 2016 that, in total, rolls into the worksheet that we just looked at that was provided to Mazars. This particular more-detailed spreadsheet appears to have also been provided to Mazars. There is a notation up at the top of the document that
owned by Mr. Trump is disclosed to Mazars as the 30 percent of the cash on the balance sheet, does that correlate?

A Yes, it appears that the 30 percent that is on the supporting cash worksheet correspond to the 30 percent ownership interest by Mr. Trump as disclosed in the financials.

Q And if we go through the same exercise for HWA Waterfront Associates, which reflect 30 percent of cash on the balance sheets, and we go back to the Statement of Financial Condition, would Mazars have known what HWA -- withdrawn.

Should Mazars have known what HWA Associates was?
A Well, I would expect they should, given the detail that was provided that specifically mentions those properties; and also given their broader involvement with Mr. Trump and the Trump Organization in a variety of different capacities, including audit and tax work.

Q And again, that 30 percent of the balance sheet reflect that correlates with the interest in the 555 California Street. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Was the -- if we go back to the cash schedule, you will see that the only entities that have that additional notation, $\mathbf{3 0}$ percent of cash on balance sheet reflect the entities in which Mr. Trump owned the 30 percent interest. Do you see that?

A Ido.
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says PBC, which in accounting and auditing parlance means prepared by client. So this would have been prepared by someone within the Trump Organization. And as we talked about before, the blue numbers that are in column $B$ correspond to particular marks that would have been attached or noted by Mazars.

Q Can I draw your attention to cell A 11?
Do you see that that reflects an account title for 1290 Avenue of the Americas?

A Yes.
Q And do you see that it has an open parentheses and it says: 30 percent of cash on balance sheet?

A Yes.
Q If we pull up the Statement of Financial Condition, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 756, and turn to 1290 Avenue of the Americas on page 18 of the document, which $I$ believe is page 20 of the exhibit, what does this note reflect concerning President Trump's interest in 1290 Avenue of the Americas?

A Well, the note describes what the property is in the second paragraph, where it starts, 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower, and goes on to give more detail. The note also includes a reference to Mr. Trump owning 30 percent of those properties which would include the 1290 Avenue of the Americas.

Q And if we go to the cash schedule, the 30 percent

Q What should Mazars have understood from the disclosure that those entities represented 30 percent of the cash on the balance sheet?

A Well, it is clear from this document, given that it supports the cash and short term investment amounts that are reported in the Statement of Financial Condition, that 30 percent of the cash that is held at these entities in which Mr. Trump has a minority interest, are included in the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Was the inclusion of this cash from the entities that reflect the 30 percent of the balance sheet on the cash schedule, would have been one of the departures from GAAP on the Statement of Financial Condition?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, foundation. Shouldn't we establish if this is a departure from GAAP? THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.
Q Is it a departure from GAAP to include cash in this manner on the -- on the cash sheet?

A It is certainly a red flag to me. I don't know why you would include 30 percent of cash from a joint venture on your balance sheet. I would want to know more about the rationale from management to understand that.

THE COURT: You know, "it is a red flag," is
it -- does it comply or does it not comply? Is it a
deviation, I think is the technical term. Departure.
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THE WITNESS: Again, there is not enough detail here to tell me what the rationale was under GAAP for including that. But based on my expertise in GAAP, I would -- I have a lot of questions about it because it appears to me that it is not GAAP compliant.

THE COURT: So if you didn't ask questions and you didn't get answers and you didn't have any other information, is it a departure?

THE WITNESS: It would appear to me that it is. THE COURT: Okay.
Q Would Bender or Mazars have asked questions -- should Bender or Mazars have asked questions about the inclusion of this cash on the cash balance sheet?

A Yes. As I have stated before, an accountant that is performing compilation services is required to follow-up on potential GAAP departures that they become aware of. And this, to me, falls in that category of something that should have been followed up on. I don't know if it was or not. And I don't know whether or not Mazars believes that this was captured by one of the disclosures in the accountant's report as to GAAP departures.

Q If management had included this cash -- withdrawn.
If management includes this cash and indicates that it is the 30 percent of the cash on the balance sheet on the -on the cash balance sheet, would it have been appropriate for
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management to rely on Mazars to advise them that there was a departure from GAAP?

A The answer is yes, because this is a very glaring issue that I would want to know more about. To me it appears to be GAAP departure, which would lead a reasonable accountant to make those inquiries. And $I$ believe it is also reasonable, you know, for the client in any engagement, whether it be in this particular case or others, to rely on their accountant to respond to obvious GAAP departures.

Q Moving on to the Statement of Financial Condition for 2017, at Plaintiff's Exhibit 755, and the supporting data at Plaintiff's Exhibit 758.
(Handing)
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to the triplex on
the supporting data.
Q Do you see here next to the triplex supporting data on cell D 971 it includes a red mark?

A Yes.
Q Would that red mark -- what does that red mark tend to indicate?

A I am not sure.
Q Would that red mark be evidence that this document was reviewed by Mazars?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Leading and, sort of, asked and
answered.
Q Should Mazars have observed that there was a change in the square footage of the triplex from 30,000 square feet to 10,996 square feet from the year 2016 to the year 2017 ?

A It certainly looks very obvious from this document that that change occurred between the two years. And as we have said before, the blue numbers that are listed in this document would certainly evidence that Mazars reviewed this document and these aspects of it. I am not sure what the red arrows mean, but the numbers certainly are indicative of Mazars review.

Q And should Mazars have observed that in 2017 the value of the triplex changes from 327 million to $116,800,000$ ?

A Yes.
Q And should Mazars have observed that that change was due to a reduction in the square footage of the triplex? MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: Is it about the word "should"? MR. WALLACE: No, it is about there was a change in the square footage. I think the question suggests there was a change in the square footage, as opposed to the reported square footage.

THE COURT: Well, I'll sustain on that basis too. I'll point out the word "should" is sort of a vague term. Are we talking morally, legally, practically?

Q Should Mazars in performing a compilation under the professional standards, have observed a change in the square footage -- on the reported square footage of the triplex from 30,000 square feet to 10,960 square feet?

THE COURT: What do you mean by "should they have"? Again, would he have expected to? Is that required under GAAP? Is that required under the law, which would be a legal question.

Mr. Kise, go ahead.
MR. KISE: Yes, Your Honor. As the Court knows, this witness is a professional expert on the accounting standards that apply to accounting firms like Mazars. He spent a good portion of his career with the SEC overseeing and evaluating auditors and accountants. So the question, and perhaps Your Honor is correct maybe it needs to be formed more precisely, but the question is, in his opinion as an expert, do the AICP standards -- do the governing standards require, would they have required, should they have required Mazars to do that?

THE COURT: Well that's a totally different question.

MR. KISE: Well, I mean, it is, well, should -I mean, it is the same, would the standards have required or should they have known so. It is certainly within this expert's purview to opine whether, in his opinion, Mazars
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| 1 | should have flagged this. |
| 2 | THE COURT: No, because "should" is an ambiguous |
| 3 | term. I think we agree. If the question is would the |
| 4 | governing standards require them to. |
| 5 | MR. KISE: Fair enough. |
| 6 | MR. WALLACE: I would note that the witness |
| 7 | during his time over at the SEC oversaw actions against |
| 8 | issuers, not just accountants. So if you want to ask |
| 9 | questions about how issuers view this. |
| 10 | MR. KISE: I mean, to limit it I was using a |
| 11 | specific example, because we are talking about |
| 12 | accountants. |
| 13 | THE COURT: Let me try my hand. I like to |
| 14 | player lawyer occasionally. |
| 15 | Would the governing standards require Mazars to |
| 16 | notice the difference -- notice the discrepancy, I'll call |
| 7 | it? |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: The answer is yes, because under |
| 19 | AR 80, which is the prevailing standard that applies to |
| 20 | Mazars in performing the compilation service, they are |
| 21 | required to understand the methodologies that are being |
| 22 | used to value these properties. And these are significant |
| 23 | values, the 327 million, the 116 million. And the |
| 24 | methodology being used is largely based on the square |
| 25 | footage, which was the only change -- only material change |

MR. WALLACE: I am going to object, that's leading.

THE COURT: As opposed to what would the governing standards require? Sustained.
Q What would the governing standards, if anything, require Mazars to advise management with respect to the reporting of that change?

A Well, as I said before, they would need to understand the basis of the methodologies that are being used, and in seeing that change, you know, may inquire about the nature of that change. And it would be required of them to make a determination on whether that change necessitated additional disclosure in either the financial statements or the accountant's report, and to assess that with management.

Q All right. We can move on to the Aberdeen supporting data.

MR. SUAREZ: If you type in "Scotland" it will come out.

Thank you.
Q I would like to draw your attention to the method used for determining the estimated current value of the Trump International Golf Club in Scotland. You see all the way at the bottom it says: Value of residential parcel?

A Yes.
Q It is based on a purchase of land by Persimmons,
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| 2 | from one year to the next. So in gaining the |
| 3 | expected them to understood that that square footage |
| 4 | changed year over year. |
| 5 | THE COURT: The question isn't whether you would |
| 6 | expect them to question, it is whether they were required |
| 7 | to. I think you said yes. |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: I did say yes at the beginning of |
| 9 | my answer. I am sorry if that didn't come across, but the |
| 10 | answer is yes. |
| 11 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: And should add, every question |
| 13 | asked of me with "shoulds" and "woulds" my answers have |
| 14 | all been in relation to the applicable professional |
| 15 | standards that are applicable to Mazars, which is AR 80 |
| 16 | and AR-C 80. |
| 17 | THE COURT: And just to be 100 percent clear, it |
| 18 | is that -- it is not just in your experience what would |
| 19 | likely happen, you are answering as to what was required |
| 20 | under the governing standards? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: That's correct. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Let's move on. |
| 23 | Q Would the governing standards require Mazars to |
| 24 | advise management as to what the appropriate disclosure was as |
| 25 | a result of that change? |
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Hopecroft, Bucksburn and value of land per home. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And it records a number of homes to be sold at 2,500.
Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Now, is that an appropriate measure of a planned course of action to determine estimated current value?

MR. WALLACE: Objection to the extent he is asking something beyond whether it is appropriate from an accounting standard.

MR. SUAREZ: I am only asking about the methodology.

## THE COURT: Overruled.

A So this methodology appears to be based on a future expectation of sales and the revenue streams that are associated with those sales, which would be a method under ASC 274 to the extent those were discounted.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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| 1 Q Now, ultimately, the number of homes to build was less | 1 pointing out that there is GAAP compliance, notice, et |
| 2 than that that was planned for, the amount of land wouldn't | 2 cetera, et cetera, I mean, the various things. |
| 3 change; correct? | 3 So, yes, there would be some repetition, but we are |
| 4 A I wouldn't expect the amount of land to change, no | 4 not going through every statement -- every item in every |
| 5 Q Even if the planned course of action -- let me withdraw | 5 year. We won't be doing that. |
| 6 that | 6 THE COURT: We probably shouldn't have to go |
| 7 If the planned course of action turns out not to b | 7 through more than one to ask the question, if there's an |
| 8 feasible, would that necessarily preclude, at the time, the use | 8 appraisal with a different value, can you still use one of |
| 9 of that planned course of action in determining estimated | 9 the methods that GAAP approves. And the answer is, "Yes." |
| 10 current value? | 10 MR. KISE: But the answer is not yes with respect |
| 11 A It would not preclude using that methodology under | 11 to specific items that have been identified. |
| 12 ASC-274. The amounts may change, but the methodology itself | 12 For what clearly may be the Appellate record, we |
| 13 would not. | 13 need to make sure that it's very clear in the record that |
| 14 Q Moving onto the 2011 Statement of Financial Condition | 14 the specific items have a specific, you know -- have been |
| 15 at Plaintiff's Exhibit 787, and the supporting data at | 15 addressed specifically in the record. We can't -- we can't |
| 16 Plaintiffs Exhibit 18 | 16 just assume, because if we get to the Appellate court, they |
| 17 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 17 are going to say, "Nobody asked him if this particular item |
| 18 screen.) | 18 in 2011 or 2015 or 2017 complied with GAAP or didn't comply |
| 19 (Whereupon the exhibits were handed to the | 19 with GAA |
| 20 witness.) | 20 Again, the government has taken the view that we |
| 21 Q If we could turn to the valuation of 40 Wall on th | 21 could just look at one year and assume all else is the same; |
| 22 supporting d | 22 that's their prerogative. But I think we have to be allowed |
| 23 Is the method used for determining the estimated | 23 to at least make our individualized recor |
| 24 current value of 40 Wall in 2011 consistent with ASC-274? | 24 THE COURT: I think you are giving the Appellate |
| 25 A Based on the description in this work paper, it appears | 25 Division less credit than they dese |
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| 1 that the method being used is a capitalization of future | 1 Mr. Solomon. |
| 2 earnings, which is an acceptable method under ASC-274. | 2 MR. SOLOMON: I was noting, your Honor, if Mr. Kise |
| 3 Q If management possessed an appraisal that had a wildly | 3 is going to describe what's going to be asked of the witness |
| 4 different value, would that preclude management from using this | 4 going forward and the like, the witness should probably be |
| 5 method in determining estimated current value? | 5 excused for the discussion. |
| 6 A No, it would not. Um, this method of using cap rate of | 6 MR. KISE: That's fair |
| 7 perspective earnings is an acceptable method. The use of an | 7 THE COURT: I don't think we need to. |
| 8 appraisal as an alternative is an option, but it's not mandated | 8 Mr. Suarez, you could ask the same questions again, |
| 9 by the standard. | 9 but you really don't have to because it's the same thing |
| 10 THE COURT: We've heard this exact testimony | 10 whether it's one year or the other. And I won't repeat |
| 11 before. You could use one of the acceptable methods. You | 11 myself, but go ahead. I'm not stopping you from doing |
| 12 don't have to use another. You could use it even if there | 12 anything. |
| 13 is an appraisal, even if the appraisal is wildly different. | 13 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I'm going to raise a |
| 14 So I hope you don't have to hear it again. | 14 totally separate objection. I'm not sure the document up on |
| 15 And obviously it was not directed at the witness, | 15 the screen, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1873, is, in fact, the final |
| 16 it was directed at someone else | 16 Jeff's Supporting Data for 2011. So it's not clear to me |
| 17 MR. KISE: I think, Judge, all that we are doing | 17 whether or not the witness has reviewed this particular |
| 18 now, in an effort to streamline this, you have identified, | 18 document in preparing his opinion. |
| 19 and the government has identified specific items in specific | 19 THE COURT: Mr. Suarez or Kise? |
| 20 years, and so I think it's important for the record for us | 20 MR. KISE: Is this the one that's already in |
| 21 to establish, with respect to those items. Unlike the | 21 evidence, 787? I don't remember the numbers, so I |
| 22 government, we don't believe that you could just assume | 22 apologize. I'm going to rely on the folks that really know |
| 23 everything is the same based on -- based on one year. So we | 23 this. |
| 24 -- all we are doing is, hopefully very efficiently going | 24 MR. AMER: We did put in some of the earlier drafts |
| 25 through the specific items that have been identified and | 25 into evidence, so whether it's in evidence or not doesn't |
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1 determine the question.
MR. WALLACE: I would note my records indicate that
Plaintiff's Exhibit 788 is the version that Mr. Bender clarified was the final version of the supporting data.

MR. KISE: It's a good catch. They are much more careful about these things than me. That's a good catch. Thank you.

MR. SUAREZ: I will just say we were going there. Plaintiff's Exhibit 788. Let's pull that one up as well.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: To be sure, this is the one that has the red and blue tick marks.
$Q$ And here, again, we actually see a value of $524,688,000$. And if we turn to the statement of assets on the Statement of Financial Condition, for 40 Wall Street, we see $524,700,000$ is the asset value that's assigned.

That appears to be -- is that consistent with the number that's in the support data?

A Yes. And I believe the last version we just saw had a total of around 600 million, which is different than what's in the Statement of Financial Condition, but this supporting schedule appears to tie to the 2011 statement.

Q It appears that it was rounded up by about $\$ 12,000$ when it was placed on the Statement of Financial Condition?
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A Yes.
Q Okay.
What methodology is used here to determine the value of 40 Wall Street?
A The methodology appears to be similar, if not the same, as the last version we saw, albeit with different numbers, but the methodology is a capitalization of future earnings, which is an acceptable method under ASC-274.

Q Just so that the record is clear, would it have been appropriate to use the methodology that was used in Plaintiff's Exhibit 788, even if management had, in its possession, an appraisal that showed a wildly different value?
A Yes.
Q Would that be for the same reason that you previously stated?

A That's correct.
Q And if we could move to the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition at Plaintiff's Exhibit 815.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And the support data at Plaintiff's Exhibit 793.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(Whereupon the exhibits were handed to the witness.)

1 Q On the left, is that the Statement of Financial Condition for President Trump in 2012?

A Yes.
Q And on the right you see the backup for that the Jeffs
Supporting Data?
A Yes.
Q If we could move to 40 Wall again on this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Is the method used for determining estimated current value for 40 Wall, in 2012, consistent with estimated current value definition in ASC-274?
A Yes. It appears to be capitalization of earnings, which is a permitted method under ASC-274.
Q And would it -- withdrawn.
Would it be appropriate to use the income
capitalization method if management had in its possession an appraisal that reflected a wildly different value?

A Could you repeat the question, please.
Q Yes.
Would it be appropriate to use this method for determining estimated current value even if management had, in its possession, an appraisal that had a wildly lower value in its possession?
A Yes. They could use either one.
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Q Moving onto the Trump Park Avenue in 2011 and 2012. You have the comparative values here at Plaintiff's Exhibit 793.

Is this an appropriate method for estimating current value, according to ASC-274?

A It appears so. It's -- it appears to be based on, again, using a cap rate against earnings. The only question I have is the cap rate line has a number ten in both column $D$ and E. I assume that's ten percent, which is what $I$ would expect for a cap rate to reflect, but beyond that, it looks like it comports.

Q And that's for the commercial space. Above you see a line for the unsold units that says "valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold residential units and the selling price or the rental income stream to be delivered from the commercial space."

Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q With respect to the anticipated selling price of the unsold residential units, would that anticipated selling price have any limitation on the time horizon that management would need to consider in preparing that estimate?

A No. And the only other component that I'm not seeing here that may be relevant would be potential discounting of these cash flows pertaining to the unsold units.

I'm not sure exactly how the unsold units corresponds
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with the commercial space. I would need to spend a little more time with this. The cap rate would take care of discounting
that looks to only be relevant for a portion of the asset being valued here.

Q What obligation would Mazars have had to undertake the analysis that you just described?
A Again, in order to comply with professional standards and seek to understand the methods being used, I would expect that they would ask the same questions that I'm raising now, given the requirements.

Q Would you expect those questions -- withdrawn.
Would you expect management to rely on its outside accountant for guidance on the appropriate method for determining estimated current value?

## MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.

 THE COURT: Sustained.Q Under the professional standards, what, if any, obligation would Mazars have to provide guidance to management on the determination of estimated current value?
A Well, their obligation under the professional standards is to understand the methods being used and to identify or respond to any GAAP departures, and to followup on such departures with management, to make inquiries that could potentially lead to adjustments to the reported amounts in the Statement of Financial Condition, and/or lead to additional
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disclosure in the accountant's report.
Q And if management provided insufficient information to
make a determination as to whether estimated current value was
appropriately determined, what obligation would Mazars have to provide guidance to management?
A Well, under the professional standards they would be required to cure not having received sufficient information by seeking and obtaining sufficient information. If they are unable to get sufficient information to resolve the issue, then as we've said many times, the accountants have the vehicle through the accountant's report to communicate those issues.

Q Moving onto the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition at Plaintiffs Exhibit 773 and the supporting data at Plaintiff's Exhibit 774?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibits were handed to the witness.)
Q If we move to the supporting data for the Trump Park Avenue.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q You'll note again that the valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold units.

A Yes.
Q And is that method consistent with the use of a planned
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course of action for determining an estimated current value?
A Yes.
Q And further on down do you see for the Mar-a-Lago Club, again, it says "value if sold to an individual"?

A Ido.
Q Is that consistent with the use of a planned course of action for determining an estimated current value?
A Yes. It's based on the assumption of making future sales.
Q And is that assumption made by management permitted to rely on hypothetical conditions?
A Well, given that estimated current value is, by its very nature, an estimate, and many of the allowable methods to determine estimated current value look to the future based on prospective cash flows or earnings, those methods are inherently hypothetical.

THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait. It sounded like you were saying because something is an estimate, you can use hypotheticals. Is that your -- is that implicit in your answer?

THE WITNESS: Well, the estimate is based on the hypothetical of making sales in the future.

THE COURT: Okay, but -- that doesn't answer -- I think the question was more, can you make a statement -- put a number down based on a hypothetical? Was that really the

Page 4410 basis to the question? MR. SUAREZ: Yes.
Q Can you put a number on it based on a hypothetical? A Yes, that's implicit in the standard.

THE COURT: Okay. But it has nothing to do with estimations, particularly, although everything about the future is an estimate. But your answer is basically, yes, you can base a number on hypotheticals?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
THE COURT: Okay. Good.
Q To what extent does the definition of estimated current value under ASC-274 permit management to assume the existence of hypothetical conditions in the future?

THE COURT: A five-minute warning.
A Can you repeat that, please.
Q Yes. To what extent does ASC-274 permit the use of assumptions in hypothetical conditions by management in considering planned courses of action?

A Well, this goes back to my previous answer that ASC-274 is inherently an estimate that is ladened with assumptions and hypotheticals. Um, and there's a wide range of possibilities that management can use in order to form those estimates.

So to the extent those are documented and disclosed and understood, um, by the accountant that's compiling these financial statements, then that has been adequately
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A My answer is the same with regard to these properties as it was to the previous one. The construct of how evaluations were prepared are similar to the prior property. And I don't see from this work paper any references to discounting or use of a cap rate.

Q Was the lack of apparent discounting disclosed by the supporting data to Mazars?

A In my view, it is clear on the face of this document that there is no discounting. Again, with the very remote caveat that it is included in some of these values. But my conclusions from reviewing this document would be that there is no discounting applied.

Q Would professional accounting standards require Mazars to provide guidance to management on the appropriate disclosure of this methodology?

A Yes, under professional standards their obligation is to review the support for obvious GAAP departures. I believe Mr. Bender testified to that as well. And to me this constitutes an obvious GAAP departure that the accountants that are performing the compilation would then have duties under the professional standards to follow up on. I believe I referred earlier to the requirement to perform inquiries, resolve the discrepancies, either through the form of having adjustments made to apply the discounting in this case, or to include
valuation question. It is a, would proposed courses of action require that those conditions limit the proposed courses of action.

THE COURT: If you had waited a few moments I would have said overruled.

MR. SUAREZ: Unlike Mr. Kise, I haven't learned that rule, yet.

THE COURT: I am sorry, say that again.
MR. SUAREZ: I haven't learned the rule to be quiet when --

THE COURT: Oh, now you know.
Anyway please answer the question. If you need a readback or a restatement, please let us know.

THE WITNESS: Please read it back.
THE COURT: Read it back, please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
A Well, I'll speak to the accounting methodology and not get into the valuation in determining the actual numbers, which is a valuation topic.

But from an accounting methodology standpoint, the planned course of action, as contemplated in ASC 274, would cover and contemplate the idea that if a company or if these properties do have rent control on them, but Mr. Trump intended to hold those properties for a long time until some future date

Flemmons - by Defendant - Direct(Suarez)
Page 4416
disclosure in the accountant's report.
I will say that we have seen other examples of lack of discounting. And Mr. Bender, as I have said before, testified that the absence of discounting, he believed, was subsumed in the disclosure in the accountant's report related to the lack of using ECV for a substantial part of the assets reported in the Statement of Financial Condition.

MR. SUAREZ: If we scroll up to the Trump Park Avenue number.
Q Previously we reviewed the methodology for the Trump Park Avenue number. You see up top it says: Valuation based on the anticipated selling price of unsold residential units and the selling price or the rental income stream to be derived from the commercial space?

A Yes.
Q If certain of those units had rent control
restrictions on them, would determining estimated current value based on proposed courses of action require the value of those units be discounted?

MR. WALLACE: Just an objection as to whether this is a valuation question or an accounting question.

MR. SUAREZ: As with all of the questions I have asked this witness, I am asking about the relevant accounting standards and the professional obligations to achieve the estimated current value. It is not a
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when perhaps the rent control was lifted for whatever reason, and again it is a hypothetical, but if the intent and the intent of the holding these assets for that long and the belief that perhaps the rent would -- the rent control would lift at some point in the future, that could be an assumption that would be baked in to or could be baked into the determination of estimated current value from an accounting standpoint. How that, you know, translates into the numbers being applied, I would defer to a valuation specialist.

THE COURT: Of course, but wouldn't you then have to apply a whole discount? I mean, you are saying basically, well, the owner could have held onto these units until they were uncontrolled. But then -- then that's money way in the future. So doesn't that money have to be discounted?

THE WITNESS: Well, there is, I think, two concepts of discounting we are talking about here. I think one is just the basic idea that if you are basing an estimated current valuation on future cash flows, that you would apply a discount rate anyway under GAAP.

I think the discount that we are talking about here is, would you discount, again, the revenue streams because of the rent control aspect associated with it.

THE COURT: Yes, I totally agree so far.
THE WITNESS: And I think that would likely get
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 more into a valuation question on how that would be worked | 1 objection. I don't know that this document, the Hotel |
| 2 into the valuation, because of, there could be a risk | 2 Collection Company valuation, was included in the |
| 3 possibility waiting aspect or component to the valuation | 3 materials considered by Mr. Flemmons. Could we get a |
| 4 to address that. | 4 clarification whether this is a new opinion he is offering |
| 5 But in terms of just the methodology as an | 5 or part of the opinions he disclosed prior to trial? |
| 6 accounting point, the methodology could be used under ASC | 6 THE COURT: Mr. Suare |
| 7274 | 7 MR. SUAREZ: I think this is the subject of |
| 8 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. | 8 cross. But I am happy to. |
| $9 \quad$ Q And would that be true with respect to each year that | 9 MR. WALLACE: I think offering an opinion that |
| 10 this methodology was used to determine estimated current value | 10 wasn't disclosed before trial is not something I have to |
| 11 from 2011 forward for the Trump Park Avenue? | 11 deal with on cross. |
| 12 A Yes, the accounting standard, ASC 274, did not change | 12 THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, can you -- do you want |
| 13 during that time period. So it would apply equally to that | 13 to respond to, answer, cure? I am confused. |
| 14 timeframe. | 14 MR. SUAREZ: Yes. So the related-party |
| 15 MR. SUAREZ: We can turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit | 15 transactions are addressed at page 30 of this witness's |
| 16756 and Plaintiff's Exhibit 742 in the supporting data. | 16 rebuttal report, which addresses the disclosure of |
| 17 And mo | 17 related-party transactions. |
| 18 Q Do you see where it says management company | 18 Now, the government never put up a witness, so |
| 19 valuation? | 19 we can't offer this in rebuttal, but certainly it was |
| 20 A I do. | 20 disclosed in the rebuttal report that the real estate |
| 21 MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up Plaintiffs Exhib | 21 licensing developments were disclosed in the rebuttal |
| 22 751? | 22 report. |
| 23 (Handi | 23 THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, do you still need me to |
| 24 Q Do you see this is the Trump Hotel Collection Company | 24 rule on an objection? |
| 25 valuation summary | 25 MR. WALLACE: Yes. I still believe that the |
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| 1 A Y | 1 testimony he just offered about the -- is a new opinion |
| 2 Q Do you see the red and blue tick marks and the | 2 that was not disclosed prior to trial. |
| 3 indication on top, the PBC indication? | 3 THE COURT: Please repeat or rephrase the |
| 4 A Yes. | objection. |
| 5 Q Do you see how the number in H939 ties out to the | 5 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we object to -- the |
| 6 total value in C 24? | 6 request was, can the witness clarify whether the document |
| 7 A Yes, on a rounded basis, I see that | 7 that had been up on the screen previously was something |
| 8 Q Do you see that three of the properties that are | 8 that he had reviewed or whether this was a new opinion. |
| 9 indicated in the summary page include the Trump Doral; the | 9 THE COURT: Oh. Okay. |
| 10 Trump Chicago; and the Trump OPO? | 10 What's the answer to the question, if yo |
| 11 A I do. | 11 understand it? |
| 12 Q Would you expect an accountant preparing a | 12 MR. SUAREZ: It is not a new opinion. I am |
| 13 compilation report to understand that those three companies | 13 asking him also under the professional standards whether |
| 14 were owned by Mr. Trump -- those three properties? Excuse me. | 14 this is something that the accountant should have |
| 15 A Well, that's not clear on the face of the document | 15 considered in doing the compilation report, whether it is |
| 16 other than the property does have the reference to Trump before | 16 something they would have seen when doing the compilation |
| 17 all of them. Whether that's an ownership relationship or some | 17 engagement. It is marked PBC. It ties out to the |
| 18 other license relationship, that's unclear to me. | 18 document in the supporting data which reports over to the |
| 19 But to answer your question, given Mazars, you know, | 19 Statement of Financial Condition, which is what we have |
| 20 very extensive involvement with the Trump Organization and | 20 been talking about all day. |
| 21 working with Mr. Trump on -- with his properties in a variety | 21 MR. WALLACE: I guess, Your Honor, I would just |
| 22 of capacities, as I have said before, in both auditing and tax | 22 rephrase then. We object to the opinion to the extent it |
| 23 context, I would expect them to have the understanding as to | 23 is rebuttal only and was not part of his initial report. |
| 24 which of these properties were owned or license arrangements. | 24 We would also object to it -- to the fact that it is based |
| 25 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I am going to note an | 25 off of a document that he did not review prior to trial |
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| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $1 \quad$ and was not disclosed to us as part of his report |  |  |

1 and was not disclosed to us as part of his report. And so 2 this portion of the opinion was -- is inappropriate.
basis for that.
THE COURT: Well, the whole point of this expert disclosure is so that there is no trial by ambush, so that the other side knows what to expect the witness to say. Isn't it? Is that sort of the bedrock point, Plaintiff?

MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, the point is to make sure there are no opinions that are disclosed. But not every -- it is not a gotcha, like, you never looked at this document so you are not allowed to talk about it. Experts are always allowed to talk about evidence that is out there, even if they didn't look at it before. If they want to cross examine him as to whether or not it is consistent with their opinion or not consistent, but what the experts are disclosing are opinions and the scope of the opinion. Certainly the government had every opportunity to ask about this document when they saw that this was his opinion.

MR. WALLACE: This was not disclosed -- I am sorry.

MR. KISE: They can't play a gotcha game with the documents and evidence. The disclosure is designed to disclose the nature and substance of his opinions.

THE COURT: And the basis, right?
MR. WALLACE: And the basis.

MR. KISE: And the basis. But it is not inconsistent with his basis. He is allowed to look at other things just like their expert, McCarty, came in and looked at new information which you allowed.

MR. WALLACE: I would say there is a difference between looking at Your Honor's summary judgment decision and considering a piece of evidence they could have shared with him in preparing his initial report and could have been disclosed to us as part of the basis for his opinion at that time.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, they are both subsequent information.

MR. WALLACE: I would note, to the extent that exhibit they were looking at is not in evidence, so it is not a piece of evidence that came in through another witness and he is now considering sitting here, I believe this is the first time the Plaintiff's Exhibit 751 has come up at trial.

I have -- I stand by my objection that we have not had proper notice. And as a rebuttal opinion, which is not actually rebutting anything, it is not appropriate.

THE COURT: Well, I am back to, does it really matter?

MR. WALLACE: Whether this comes in?
THE COURT: Yeah. Whether -- well, it is not a
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question of something coming in. It is a question of his testifying. That's the question. You are objecting to testimony. Not a document. Right?

MR. WALLACE: I am objecting to the fact that we didn't get proper notice of this aspect of his opinion and we did not get, to the extent that this forms part of his opinions, it was not properly disclosed to us. And I will stand by the objection.

THE COURT: All right. I am going to change my mind and overrule the objection on the ground that there is no jury, there is not going to be any prejudice here, and let's just move on. Overruled.
Q Mr. Flemmons, going back to the management company valuation, should Mazars have identified the Trump Doral; Trump Chicago and Trump OPO formed part of the value for that valuation?

THE COURT: It may be an issue again with "should they." Morally?
Q What professional standards have obligated them to read and understand and identify the fact that those three entities were owned by Mr. Trump?

A In complying with their responsibility under the professional standards to get an understanding of the basis for the valuation of the management company, it appears that this supporting schedule that itemizes each of these properties was
provided to Mazars and formed the basis for that valuation.
So, in the course of gaining an understanding of the components
of that valuation, I would expect under the professional
standards that they would understand that those three
properties that you just mentioned were part of the valuation
for the management company.
MR. SUAREZ: And moving on. If we could go back and pull up the Statement of Financial Condition, put that on the left, PX-756. And then the supporting data on the right, PX-742.

If we could turn to the property of Aberdeen. And pull up in the supporting data the Aberdeen property.

There we go.
Q Can I draw your attention in the supporting data to cell G 565. It indicates -- what does this cell indicate?

A It appears to be an estimate about the number of homes that are expected to be built on this parcel.

Q And if you take a look at the Trump International Golf Club in Scotland on page 15 of the Statement of Financial Condition, and you take a look at the note, is the note consistent with the supporting data as to the number of homes that are planned to be built in that property?

A I don't see the number 2,500 in this disclosure. There are other numbers that are broken down into different parts, and I am not sure that those add up to the 2,500 .
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Q If you add the 950 holiday homes to the 500 single-family residences and the 36 golf villas, that number is 1486. That's not the same as the 2,500 . Correct?

## A Correct.

(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
,

Q Would have expected Mazars, in discharging their professional standards, to ensure that there was consistency between the supporting data and the Statement of Financial Condition notes?

MR. WALLACE: Objection.
I believe this witness has testified that they are not ensuring anything. They are not offering any assurance.

THE COURT: This would be just another example of that, so overruled.
A Well, to be clear, and I've testified about this several times over the last couple of days, Mazars absolutely had an obligation under the relevant standards to review the financial statements, review the supporting documentation, understand the basis for violations, and evaluate whether the disclosures were consistent with the methods that were contained in the support; that's clear in the standards, and it's indisputable.

So here we have an example where the number of homes to build of 2,500 in the support is different than what's in the disclosure. Um, in the process of compiling these financial statements and the notes to the financial statements, that would be something that an accountant performing a compilation would be expected to identify as part of its compilation procedures under professional standards.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could move down to the
planned courses of action, what, if any, limitation would be
imposed by certain alleged development restrictions on that
property?

A Well, ASC-274 doesn't really get into that level of detail. We talked earlier about constraints. I would put that in the same category; that said from an accounting perspective, if there were reasons why The Trump Organization believed that those restraints would be lifted in the future, that conformed the basis for including estimated revenues and those projected cash flows. Um, again, from a valuation perspective, whether you would need to include some sort of risk waiting or probability waiting, that's beyond my expertise.
Q Would the standards that we've discussed yesterday and today, relative to Mazars' obligations and a compilation engagement, also apply to Whitley Penn in connection with the
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 compilation engagement that they performed on the 2021 | 1 minute ago. |
| 2 statement | 2 MR. SUAREZ: I believe we moved it into evidence |
| 3 A Yes. Whitley Penn would have been subject to the | 3 before, but just so that the record is clear, this is D-950, |
| 4 requirements of AR-C80 for the 2021 Statement of Financial | 4 and we move it into evi |
| 5 Condition compilatio | 5 THE COURT: One way or another it's in. |
| 6 MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up D-25 and D-26. | 6 MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up ASC-274, D-27. |
| 7 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed | (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 8 screen.) | 8 screen.) |
| 9 (The exhibits were handed to the witness.) | 9 MR. SUAREZ: I marked this for identification, but |
| 10 Q I know we touched on this yesterday, but I just want to | 10 I don't believe it's actually been moved into evidence, so I |
| 11 confirm that D-25 is the AR section that was in place after | 11 move this into eviden |
| 12 2009, and D-26, ARC section 80A was the AR section that was in | 12 THE COURT: Gran |
| 13 place after December of 2015; correct? | 13 (Defendant's Exhibit D-27 was admitted in |
| 14 A Yes, that's correct. | 14 evidence.) |
| 15 Q And these are the statements that would have governed | 15 MR. SUAREZ: And I would ask that we pull up D-452 |
| 16 compilation engagements by Mazars and Whitley Penn at the | 16 and put it up side by side. |
| 17 appropriate time? | 17 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 18 A Yes. These are the authoritative standards that | 18 screen.) |
| 19 applied to the compilation engagements by both Mazars and | 19 (The exhibit was handed to the witness.) |
| 20 Whitley Penn, say for ARC-80, was the only one that applied to | 20 MR. SUAREZ: From a housekeeping perspective, your |
| 21 Whitley Penn. | 21 Honor, D-27, if we move to the page that has the definition |
| 22 There are -- there's also, I think, an interpre | 22 on estimated current value |
| 23 that we've seen, and we may have discussed that one yesterday, | 23 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 24 that's also authoritative. And we also have talked about the | 24 screen.) |
| 25 AICPA accounting and audit guide related to personal financial | 25 MR. SUAREZ: This is a computer printout at D-27. |
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| 1 statements that, as I said yesterday, represented industry | 1 Can we scroll to the second, third page. |
| 2 practice and best practices, and serves as, to this day, | 2 (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was |
| 3 guidance from the AICPA on how to carry out those engagements | 3 scrolled through.) |
| 4 and apply these standards. | 4 MR. SUAREZ: Keep going. |
| 5 MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move into evidence D-25 | 5 (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was |
| 6 and D-26. | 6 scrolled through.) |
| 7 THE COURT: Granted. They | 7 MR. SUAREZ: Here. Stop. The estimated current |
| 8 (Defendant's Exhibits D-25 and D-26 were admitted | 8 value definition in D-27, at page four, is a mouse rollover, |
| 9 in evidence.) | 9 so the printout doesn't capture it. So just for purposes of |
| 10 MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up | 10 completeness of the record, I would like to move in D-452, |
| 11 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 11 which is ASC-274, but captures at Section 20 here -- |
| 12 screen.) | 12 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 13 (The exhibit was handed to the witness.) | 13 screen.) |
| 14 Q Is this the document that you just referred to a minute | 14 MR. SUAREZ: -- the definition of estimated current |
| 15 ago with respect to the interpretation of Section 80 ? | 15 value. |
| 16 A Yes. This is the interpretation I was referring to. | 16 Q Which I'll ask the witness to confirm is the definition |
| 17 MR. SUAREZ: We move D-836 into evidence. | 17 of -- the definition that's provided in the glossary section of |
| 18 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. | 18 ASC-274-10-20? |
| 19 (Defendant's Exhibit D-836 was admitted in | 19 A Yes, it is. |
| 20 evidence.) | 20 MR. SUAREZ: With that, I move Defendant's |
| 21 MR. SUAREZ: And D-950 is already in evidence, and | 21 Exhibit 452 into evidence. |
| 22 we'll pull that up and just have the witness confirm. | 22 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. |
| 23 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 23 (Defendant's Exhibit 452 was admitted in evidence.) |
| 24 screen.) | 24 Q Mr. Flemmons, in connection with your review of the |
| 25 Q This is the practice guide that you just referenced a | 25 Statements of Financial Condition and the supporting data for |
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1 the period of 2011 to 2021, what if any GAAP departures did you observe that was not covered by a disclosure contained in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A I don't believe that I identified any GAAP departures within the supporting materials that were not covered by disclosures in the accountant's report, the notes to the financial statements, um, between those two sources.

Um, we've talked about a lot of the departures and we
talked about a lot of the language that was in those places, and I don't believe I have identified any additional or incremental discrepancies with GAAP that were not covered by those disclosures.

Q And with respect to the Statements of Financial Condition, what, if any, GAAP departures did you observe that were not readily apparent in the detail provided to Mazars for the period 2011 to 2020?

A Apologies. Can you repeat that.
Q Sure. For the period 2011 to 2020, the Statements of Financial Condition from 2011 to 2020, what, if any, GAAP departure did you observe that was not readily apparent in the detailed provided to Mazars?

A None. We've gone through a lot of the detail working papers and support that was provided to Mazars to support the valuations on the Statement of Financial Condition, and over the course of walking through those, we've identified a number of
that came from a -- I believe it was a valuation firm. There were also instances in which appraisals were used as the basis for valuing the properties, and those would have come from outside professionals.
Q Was the use of appraisals accurately described in the Statement of Financial Condition?
A I believe so. I don't believe there was anything that contradicted the use of appraisals, but also the use of other bases for valuing the properties.
Q Was the basis for valuation of the properties in the Statement of Financial Condition accurately described?
A I believe so, yes.
Q Was the use of outside professionals in the Statement of Financial Condition accurately described?
A Yes. There was disclosure in the Statement of Financial Condition for each year that referenced the fact that valuations were, at times, um, developed through the use of outside professionals, but I did not interpret that to mean that that meant those valuations for those properties were based on appraisals.

There are other ways to use outside professionals to assist with components of valuations without being an appraisal, which would all be consistent with the various ways that properties can be valued under ASC-274.
Q Is it appropriate to consult with brokers that are
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GAAP discrepancies that were in that support. I'm not aware of other materials that identify additional GAAP deviations that were not disclosed to Mazars.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)
Q With respect to the support that was provided to Mazars by management of The Trump Organization, did it accurately
describe the information provided by outside professionals and
how that information was incorporated into estimated current value determinations?

MR. WALLACE: Can we get a clarification of what description we are referring to. It's not clear to me from the question which document he is referring to.

THE COURT: It was also a compound question, which
I don't always mind, but here I did. So can we get -- I'll consider it withdrawn.

MR. SUAREZ: Okay, I'll withdraw it and try again. Q What, if any, descriptions to the use of outside professionals did you observe in the support provided to Mazars by management for The Trump Organization?

A Well, there are a number of instances. I don't recall the specific properties that those were linked to, but I do recall in the support, um, for example, with Aberdeen, that was one specific one I do recall where one of the inputs associated with the valuation of that property, um, stemmed from a value
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knowledgeable in the value of real estate in certain markets when determining the use of comparable sales information?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. That's a valuation question.

MR. SUAREZ: That's a methodology question.
THE COURT: Great minds think alike; that's a valuation question. He's not a valuation expert.

MR. SUAREZ: It's a methodology question. I'm asking of the ASC-274 contemplates conferring with experts in the field, brokers in the field that are knowledgeable of comparable sales, in order to achieve a determination of estimated current value under ASC-274.

THE COURT: Let's ask the expert.
Is there something in any of the governing literature that would address this issue?

MR. SUAREZ: We'll pull up ASC-274 on the screen? THE COURT: Sure.
MR. SUAREZ: D-27.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I'm going to -MR. SUAREZ: Pull up D-27.
MR. WALLACE: Never mind.
THE COURT: Withdrawn.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
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| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | screen.) | 1 |
| 2 | MR. SUAREZ: We can turn to, I believe, subsection | 2 |
| 3 | 55. | 3 |
| 4 | (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was | 4 |
| 5 | scrolled through.) | 5 |
| 6 | MR. SUAREZ: Stop. 55-5. | 6 |
| 7 | (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was | 7 |
| 8 | scrolled through.) | 8 |
| 9 | MR. SUAREZ: Dash six. Keep going. | 9 |
| 10 | (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was | 10 |
| 11 | scrolled through.) | 11 |
| 12 | MR. SUAREZ: If go to C, "Appraisals based on | 12 |
| 13 | estimates of selling price and selling costs obtained from | 13 |
| 14 | independent real estate agents or brokers familiar with | 14 |
| 15 | similar properties in similar locations." | 15 |
| 16 | Q $\quad$ Do you see that? | 16 |
| 17 | A $\quad$ Yes. | 17 |
| 18 | Q $\quad$ What does that contemplate? | 18 |
| 19 | A $\quad$ Well, it's one of the many methods that is accepted | 19 |
| 20 | under ASC-274 for determining estimated current value for assets | 20 |
| 21 | or liabilities. And this particular one references obtaining | 21 |
| 22 | appraisals from real estate agents or brokers that are familiar | 22 |
| 23 | with similar properties. | 23 |
| 24 | So that is an answer to your first question that, yes, | 24 |
| 25 | the standard does contemplate that. | 25 |
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Q Does the term "appraisals" require the use of any specific format of appraisal?
A No, it doesn't get into that level of detail.
Q Does it require that it be an MAI appraisal, for example?

## A No.

THE COURT: He already answered it didn't require a particular time, so you don't have to ask him if it requires a particular time.

MR. SUAREZ: Understood. May I have a moment to speak with my colleagues, your Honor?

THE COURT: Of course.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I have no further questions on my direct exam.

THE COURT: Anybody else from the defendants?
MR. ROBERT: No thank you, your Honor.
MS. HABBA: No thank you.
THE COURT: Will there be any cross examination?
MR. WALLACE: There will be, your Honor.
THE COURT: Let's start that in 15 minutes. So see you all at 3:25.
(Whereupon, a 15-minute break was agreed upon and taken by all parties.)
very wide landscape of the assets that were covered in the financial statements.

Q But sitting here today, you are not aware of any departures from GAAP that Mazars should have included in the Statements of Financial Condition?

A Sitting here right now, I don't recall.
Q Did you undertake any examination to determine if Mazars in this engagement, did, in fact, comply with its professional standards?

A I don't believe I was privy to all of Mazars work papers to be able to conduct that examination, so the answer is no.

Q Okay. If we could go back to a couple of areas you discussed with Mr. Suarez. I would like to ask you about related-party transactions.

I thought I was left with the impression in your report that, in fact, the failure to disclose the details of certain related-party transactions was, in fact, a departure from GAAP, and that it was not disclosed in the Statements of Financial Condition; is that correct?

A We have to look at my report. I don't recall that being my opinion. I don't recall being able to establish whether or not the alleged related parties were, in fact, related parties that should have been disclosed.

There is a specific standard within GAAP ASC 850 that
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lays out the criteria and defines what a related party is. That has multi parts to it. How the entities that were in question fit or don't fit within that definition is not something that I undertook to ascertain. So whether or not there was a disclosure requirement is an open question.

I think my expert report, the way I left it, was that to the extent there is an undisclosed related party, that it would be a disclosure issue, not necessarily an accounting issue.

Q What's the difference between -- well, what do you mean when you say "a disclosure issue"?

A Well, the title of ASC 850 is Related-party Disclosures. And the standard revolves around the need to disclose related parties and significant transactions with those related parties. So it really does revolve around disclosure.

Q And failure to make that disclosure is a violation of GAAP, correct?

A If it is material.
MR. WALLACE: Can we pull up Mr. Flemmons
rebuttal report? And if we could go to page 12.
Q This is a table that you prepared in response to the report of Mr. Lewis. And you say, I am going to direct your attention to item G, that Mr. Lewis identified a departure concerning the failure to disclose details of certain
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related-party transactions that should have been disclosed.
Q So you have no opinion one way or the other as to whether there was a failure to disclose related-party transactions?

A I do not. My only -
Q Sir, that was the question.
I believe you also discussed the reporting of cash from certain Vornado entities with Mr. Suarez. Was that a departure from GAAP?

A So you are talking about the 30 percent of the cash balance of the Vornado entities?

Q Yes.
A I believe I said earlier that based on my review of the document, it appeared that that would be a GAAP departure.

Q Okay. So that is a GAAP departure that wasn't reported or disclosed in the Statements of Financial Condition then?

A I would have to look back at the disclosures that were made to see if that was directly covered by one of the disclosures.

Q So then what was your basis for your statement earlier to Mr. Suarez that there were no GAAP departures that were not disclosed?

A I believe I said sitting here on the stand without all of the documents in front of me to pour through, I said I
don't recall whether or not there were.
Q So I am just trying to understand what weight should we give your recollection on this then?

A Is that a question I need to answer?
Q Yeah, it is. How much weight am I to give it that there are no GAAP departures that you don't recall were disclosed; and we just discussed the cash which you said should be disclosed?

MR. KISE: Objection. It is for the Court to determine weight, not for the government. It is simply an argumentative question.

THE COURT: I understand. Sustained.
Q How much work would you have to do -- well, I'll confess I don't remember the --

You were asked to state your answers to questions within a reasonable degree of professional certainty. How much professional certainty are you able to provide on your statement that there are no GAAP departures that were not disclosed in the Statements of Financial Condition?

A I would say I have a reasonable certainty based on the volume of materials that we have gone through so far in my direct examination, where we saw numerous GAAP departures that I believe all of which, certainly most of which, were covered by the disclosures.

I don't recall if the cash issue that you identified,
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if that was specifically covered or not. That would be one I would want to look back into. But that's the only one sitting here right now that is in question.

Q We are at trial, there is not going to be another opportunity to look at it. Is there something you would like to look at now that would refresh your recollection on this issue?

A We could look at the accountant's report.
Q Okay. Which year would you like to look at? We can put it up here.

A I would say whichever year was the year that we looked at the supporting schedule that contained the 30 percent attributions.

Q I am sorry, did you want to look at the actual report or the supporting data?

A The report.
Q Okay. 2016 Statement of Financial Condition is Plaintiff's Exhibit -- I am sorry, we can pull up the 2014, that's Plaintiff's Exhibit 730.
(Handing)
MR. SUAREZ: In case you want to move it along,
it was 2016 I showed him, not 2014.
MR. WALLACE: We can do 16. Sixteen is Plaintiff's Exhibit 756.
Q Are you looking for the actual Statement of Financial

Condition or the notes on the disclosure for cash and marketable securities piece?

A Give me one moment, please.
So if you go to page, yeah, four, okay. And the cash, marketable securities and hedge fund section, there is a disclosure that cash, marketable securities and hedge fund represent amounts held by Mr. Trump and amounts in operating entities.

So we talked about that earlier which, you know, would cover the instance where cash that is held in a joint venture is being reported within the cash balance.

Q And is it your position that cash held by the Vornado Partnership meets the FASB definition of cash for Donald Trump sufficiently for him to report it on his Statement of Financial Condition as his cash?

A Can you repeat that, please?
Q Sure.
Is it your position that the cash held by the Vornado Partnership meets the FASB definition of cash for Donald Trump sufficiently for him to report it on his Statement of Financial Condition as his cash?

A I don't take that position. But the disclosure here indicates that, and certainly covers the fact that that happens.

The other aspect would be in order for it to be a
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departure from GAAP, it would have to be a material departure. I have not undertaken to perform a materiality analysis, but that would need to be done to conclude whether there is a GAAP departure.

Q Did you do materiality analyses for all of the GAAP departures that you discussed today?

A I have not done a separate materiality analysis. However, the amounts that we have been talking about were nine figure dollar amounts. This issue with regard to the cash is a much smaller amount from my recollection.

Q Do you know one way or the other whether readers of the report look at cash as a separate item for purposes of making loans or any other commercial use?

A I don't know whether or not they look at cash separately. As we have talked about, cash was part of one line item in the financial statements that was combined with marketable securities and hedge funds. And that fact was disclosed in the financials. If a user of the financials wanted to have the cash amount broken out separately, that's something that could have been asked for.

Q I am just asking what was actually presented. And so I am just trying to understand, is including the cash from -from the Vornado --

MR. WALLACE: Let me withdraw that question, if
I can.

Q So is it a departure from GAAP, putting aside -well, now you have made it a complicated issue, so I'll try to restart the question again.

Setting aside the materiality analysis, is excluding the cash from the Vornado Partnerships a departure from GAAP?

A I think the fundamental recording or reporting of partnership cash would not be consistent with GAAP. THE COURT: Let me jump in a second.
To a certain extent I think this is law of the case. And my question for the witness is: Is it your position that number two, which is still highlighted, discloses this, I'll call it, issue? And if so, which sentence there discloses this?

THE WITNESS: Well, the first sentence, Your Honor, is the one that I was referring to that informs users that cash is being reported on the Statement of Financial Condition that is from operating entities.

THE COURT: And would a reader realize that they have -- a user of this, consider this 30 percent interest to be held by Mr. Trump in an operating entity as cash?

THE WITNESS: Well it is unclear to me whether, you know, if a user would know that or not. Because again, this is an amalgamated line item with cash, marketable securities and hedge funds. Cash is not singled out, so if a user was interested in just the cash,
again, that would be something that would need to be requested.

THE COURT: Let's move on.
Q At the end of the examination by Mr. Suarez, you two were -- he was asking you a number of questions about whether certain - certain properties were accurately described in the Statements of Financial Condition. Do you remember that testimony?

A You will have to refresh my memory.
Q Well, you had a series of questions and he asked you if, I believe for Seven Springs, whether the disclosures in the Statement of Financial Condition accurately described the calculation on the Statement of Financial Condition. Do you recall that?

A Was that in regard to the number of homes to be built on that property?

Q I believe it was just a general question at the end of his exam?

A I don't remember.
Q Let me ask a different question then.
Is "accurately described" the standard that is
applied in ASC 274 as to the accuracy of a statement that goes into a personal financial statement?

A Well, the standard within ASC 274, I believe the word that is used is "adequate."

MR. WALLACE: Okay. Can we take a look at that? And can we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1609? And go to page nine of 21. And if we look at the bottom.

It is the same as the other one, but this is the one we marked. But this is ASC 274, which we have looked at today. I'll represent it is identical and move it into evidence, if there is no objection.

Your Honor, I am moving Plaintiff's Exhibit 1609 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1609 by the Court.)
Q And if you look down at the bottom, it is item 4513, Adequate Disclosure. And it states: Personal financial statements shall include sufficient disclosures to make the statements adequately informative. The disclosures may be made in the body of the financial statements or in the notes of the financial statements.

THE COURT: To financial statements.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q To financial statements. Is that the standard you were referring to?

A Correct. And the caption there is adequate disclosure, which is what I referred to a minute ago.

Q Okay. And did you review all of the disclosures in the Statements of Financial Conditions for 2011 through 2021 to determine if they were adequately informative?

A I don't believe I did that for all of the disclosures, no.

Q Okay. Why don't we take a look at -- I think you covered this with Mr. Suarez. It is also in your report.

MR. WALLACE: But if we could pull up
Plaintiff's Exhibit 730, and go to page seven of 26.
Q And this is the disclosure for Trump Tower. And if we scroll down to the third paragraph it states: "The estimated current value of 707 million is based on an evaluation by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals, applying a capitalization rate to the cash flow to be derived from the building operations."

And did you make a determination one way or the other whether that disclosure was adequately informative?

A I don't believe I did that as part of my reports.
Q Does it require accounting expertise to know whether or not this disclosure is adequately informative?

A Well, it is an accounting requirement. And it is a very subjective one. And in order to comply with that accounting requirement, and accountant's are one typically preparing the financial statements, and accountants like Mazars are also the ones who are, in this case, compiling the


| J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace) Page 4459 | J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace) Page 4461 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 contained in ASC-274 include cap rates in the disclosure; is | 1 A I |
| 2 that right? | 2 Q And so if a reader knows the cap rate and the final |
| 3 A There are illustrations in the appendices of ASC-274 | 3 value, then they would be able to calculate the cash flow that |
| 4 that provide examples of disclosures, but they are, by no means, | 4 is being used to value the asset; is that correct? |
| 5 intended to be script on what needs to be disclosed | 5 A Can you repeat that please. |
| 6 Q The question was, do the illustrations in ASC-274 | 6 Q |
| 7 include cap ra | 7 If a reader knows the cap rate and the final value, |
| 8 THE COURT: Do you mean all, or some? | 8 they can calculate the cash flow that's being used; is that |
| 9 MR. WALLACE: Why don't we actually take a look | 9 correct |
| 10 it, your Honor, if that's easie | 10 A Yes. |
| 11 If we could pull back up Plaintiffs Exhibit 1609. | 11 Q So then a reader will be able to determine if they |
| 12 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on th | 12 think each of those numbers are reasonable; the cash rate, cash |
| 13 screen.) | 13 flow and the final amount? |
| 14 MR. WALLACE: And if we could go to page 14 of 2 | 14 A They would be able to perform a recalculation. |
| 15 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on th | 15 Q Yeah, and determine whether the various inputs are |
| 16 screen.) | 16 reason |
| 17 Q This is just to orient you, Mr. Flemmons. At th | 17 A Ye |
| 18 bottom it says, "Illustrations" and it says, example one | 18 Q Okay. |
| 19 "Illustrative financial statements. Item 55-8. This example | 19 Are there any examples in the illustration where a cap |
| 20 illustrates financial statements prepared following the guidance | 20 rate is used and not disclose |
| 21 in this subtopic." | 21 A I would have to review the illustrations. Um, I don't |
| 22 Statements of Financial Condition, and that's 55-9, | 22 recall whether or not there's an example in ASC-274 where it |
| 23 "Illustrative statements, financial conditions follow | 23 mentions that a cap rate is used beyond this one. |
| 24 This isn't the best formatted document. I'm just 25 showing you that. | $24 \quad Q$ And I take it it's your opinion that disclosing the cap <br> 25 right is not required; is that correct? |
| J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace) Page 4460 | J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace) Page 4462 |
| 1 MR. WALLACE: We'll flip to the next page, which | 1 A That's correct. This is merely an illustration to show |
| 2 17, I want to show you, of 21. | 2 and example of a disclosure. And I'll also add that this note |
| 3 Q And here, we see there's an -- I want to direct your | 3 four, which is an example, is one sentence long. The disclosure |
| 4 attention to one of the items listed, which is Kenbruce | 4 related to Trump Tower is multi paragraphs. |
| 5 Associates. It's item listed, it refers to note four. I assume | 5 MR. WALLACE: Why don't we go back to that. That |
| 6 that means there's a note that follows this disclosure of value | 6 is Plaintiff's Exhibit 730 on page seven. |
| 7 that would contain information about the Kenbruce Associates | 7 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 8 valuation; is that correct? | 8 screen.) |
| 9 A I believe that's right. | 9 Q "Mr. Trump is currently" -- this is the paragraph that |
| 10 MR. WALLACE: If we could flip to the next pa | 10 deals with the valuation. What valuation use is there to the |
| 11 and find note four. | 11 fact that "Until Trump World Tower United Nations Plaza was |
| 12 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 12 constructed, Trump Tower was the tallest residential building |
| 13 screen.) | 13 and concrete structure in Manhattan", how does that aid |
| 14 MR. WALLACE: I'm sorry, one more. | 14 valuation? |
| 15 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 15 A I'm not a valuation expert, but in my -- as an |
| 16 screen.) | 16 accountant, and as a human being, I would suggest that that does |
| 17 MR. WALLACE: If we could focus down on note fo | 17 not have any bearing on valuation. |
| 18 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 18 Q So not everything that's being disclosed here is |
| 19 screen.) | 19 necessarily relating to the valuation. And I'll note that the |
| 20 Q It states, "The investment in Kenbruce Associates is an | 20 estimated current value is a single sentence as well; correct? |
| 21 eight percent interest in a real estate limited partnership. | 21 The sentence talking about the valuation and methods used to |
| 22 The estimated current value is determined by the projected | 22 calculate it. So just like the example, it's a single sentence. |
| 23 annual cash receipts and payments capitalized at a 12 percent <br> 24 rate." | 23 A And there were additional disclosures below that get 24 into amounts that are relevant to the property. |
| 25 Do you see that section? | 25 Q Sure. And those are separately broken out. Those are | building?

A Correct, but relevant to the property and reporting the property in the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q And what are some reasons an issuer would not include a capitalization rate in their disclosure?

A Not deeming it important to include that detail.
Q And what would be a reason why it's not an important detail to include?

A Well, as we've seen over the course of today, and yesterday, the supporting work papers that underlie these valuations, there are numerous inputs, many assumptions, many rates, many estimates, many cash flows. There are a lot of moving parts within even one property, and you are singling out cap rate.

Um, again, I think it's a discretionary topic. There's no requirement under ASC-274 to provide specific rates or cash flow information in the disclosures. It's a very subjective requirement that's up to the preparer.
Q Not disclosing the cap rate, though, would obscure whether or not a person making a financial report was using inflated numbers for either the cash flow or the cap rate; is that correct?

A No.
Q It would not hide that?
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A No, because I think your question is premised on the idea that preparing these financial statements and providing them to users is the end of the conversation. A user who is interested in getting those additional details, such as the capitalization rate, can make those inquiries. And in my experience, it's routine for users of financials to ask such follow-up questions.

Q What are some examples where that happened?
A Well, in connection with my work in doing accounting advisory work for public companies, um, and also for private entities, you know, there are times when investors are making follow-up inquiries of companies about disclosures. It's a routine aspect of the accounting and reporting.

Q Can you provide us with a specific example of an instance where there was a follow-up inquiry on a personal financial statement that had been compiled. I'm asking for something similar to what we are looking at here.
A Well, during my time at the SEC when we, and I, was involved in reviewing personal financial statements for purposes of evaluating ability to pay, we would often have to ask follow-up questions of the defendant to get additional details surrounding assumptions and details surrounding how their financial information was prepared.

Q That's because if they don't provide you enough detail it could obscure, I guess in the case you are talking about,

1 someone intentionally trying to lower their stated net worth?
A It may not be an intent to obscure. It could, you know, be a lot of reasons of just not having put more details in the information, and so it required additional follow-up. It was easily curable with a phone call.

Q Did you ever, in your time at the SEC, encounter times where people intentionally tried to lower their net worth in order to avoid the ability not to pay a particular fine or penalty?
A I don't recall coming across an instance where that was deemed to be intentional. Sitting here right now, it's been a while. But I do know that that process involved back and forth, quite regularly, with the defendant.

Q When you say "deemed to be intentional", what do you mean by that?
A I don't recall us endeavoring to try to understand whether or not there was an intent to deceive the staff, or feeling like there was, but, again, it's been quite some time.

Q So I'm going to go back to my first question.
Is your position that not disclosing the cap rate would not have the effect of obscuring inflation if either the cap rate or the cash flow being used to value the property were, in fact, inflated? It's your view that they would not actually achieve that?
A Well, as we said a minute ago, one would not be able to
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recalculate how the $\$ 707$ million was arrived, based on this disclosure alone, but that's easily curable.

Q My question, though, is, and I'll ask it again. If not using the cap rate would allow someone to obscure the fact that they are inflating either the cap rate or the cash flow that are being used to reach the $\$ 707$ million valuation?

MR. SUAREZ: Objection, your Honor. Compound. MR. KISE: And asked and answered.
MR. WALLACE: It hasn't been answered.
MR. KISE: It has. You just don't like the answer.
THE COURT: Well, it's been responded to, so I think we should just move on.

MR. WALLACE: Fair enough, your Honor.
Can we take a look -- are we in 730? Can we go to page -- actually, no. Let's pull up Plaintiff's

## Exhibit 787.

(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: This is the - actually, I'll amend it. Let's start with 788.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Let's start with the supporting spreadsheet, assume Mr. Flemmons, you recognize this document. This is the supporting data spreadsheet for the 2011 Statement of Financial

## Condition?

A That appears to be the case.
Q Okay. If we could go to row 112 , this should be the entry for 40 Wall Street.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And if we look at the valuation method, it states,
"Average income for the five-year period 2013 to 2017", and has added expenses for the five-year period 2013 to 2017.

I believe your testimony on this entry was that it was appropriate under ASC-274, because it looked like the capitalization of future earnings.

Does that sound about right? I'm happy to have you restate it if you want to.
A I don't recall what my testimony was earlier.
Q Okay.
Is this an appropriate method of calculating the value of 40 Wall Street under ASC-274?

A I would have to revisit the wording of ASC-274. I know that one of the acceptable methods is capitalization of earnings. And there's also another acceptable method, which is discounted future cash flows. I would just need to revisit the wording of the standard to be able to answer that question.

MR. WALLACE: Sure. Can we get the witness a copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 1609.
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(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1609 was handed to the witness.)
A Okay.
Q And so was the --
MR. WALLACE: You could put back up 788.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q So the question pending that you wanted to do more research on was whether this valuation method is appropriate under ASC-274. Is that, in fact, the case?
A So ASC-274 does state that one acceptable method is the capitalization of past or prospective earnings.

Q Could you just direct me to which section of ASC-274 you are referring to?

A Paragraph 55-1 on page 13 of the version you gave me. Q Okay.

So this is appropriate as a capitalization of
prospective earnings; correct? That's what you testified to?
A That's what it appears to be.
Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 787.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: If we could go to the page that has
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40 Wall on it.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: Okay.
Q If we look at the paragraph that says "The estimated current value of $\$ 524,700,000$ is based upon a successful renegotiation of the ground lease and an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are currently subject of negotiation, and a cap rate was applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the building's operations."

Is this an adequate informative of the method that was used in the supporting data?

A It appears to be consistent with the method that we just discussed in ASC-274, with one exception.
Q Which is what?
A I would have substituted the word "earnings" for cash
flow. But the concept is the same, is that it's based on some sort of, um, estimated earnings and applying a cap rate to that amount.
Q Well, this says it's "the subject of leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation."

MR. WALLACE: Can we split screen this with the supporting data?

Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)
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## THE TECHNICIAN: Sure.

(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This actually says that it's using an average of income for the five-year period 2013 to 2017, so two years -- from two years to six years out from the date of this financial statement. So how is -- so they are not the same; correct?

A I'm sorry, what's not the same?
Q Well, "leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation" are not the same as taking an average of income for a five-year period, two years out. Those aren't the same thing, are they?

A Well, I think you are overlooking the last part of the highlighted paragraph where it says, "and a cap rate was applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the building's operations."
"To be derived" means future flows, which is exactly what the supporting documentation reflects.

Q So to your reading, this adequately discloses that "looking at leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation", and that "there will be a resultant cash flow from those leases", is the same as looking out for a five-year period, two years in the future?
A It's not inconsistent with that disclosure.
Q Do you know, from a valuation perspective, if there's a
difference between using leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation, and an average of income and expenses from a five-year period starting two years in the future?

MR. SUAREZ: Objection. The witness is not a valuation expert.

MR. WALLACE: If the answer is, "no," the answer is no.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, say that again.
MR. WALLACE: If the answer is no, he doesn't know, then that's fine.

THE COURT: Well, I think it's maybe more complicated than that. It's what is his expertise and how he's been qualified.

Sustained.
Q But based on your accounting experience, you think that those two are sufficiently close enough that this is adequately informative?

A In my view, yes.
MR. WALLACE: Can we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 783 -- 793, sorry.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: Actually, the native.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
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## screen.)

Q Mr. Flemmons, I'll represent to you this is the supporting data spreadsheet for the 2012 Statement of Financial Condition. If we could go to row 110 .
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This should be the 2012 entry for 40 Wall Street. The entry states, "Income based on stabilized rents; expenses based on 40 Wall Street, LLC, two thousand thirty one, eleven, financial statements increased by three percent for inflation." And it lists income rented space at 35 million, income vacant space at 8 million.

Feel free to look at the rest of the valuation, but in your view, does this valuation technique conform to ASC-274?

A Yes, it appears to fall under the same prong of ASC-274 that we just discussed, which is the capitalization of past or prospective earnings.

MR. WALLACE: If we could split screen this with
Plaintiff's Exhibit 815, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: If we could go to page ten of 24.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q So the valuation statement here says that "The
estimated current value of $527,200,000$ is based upon a successful renegotiation of ground leases and an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are currently
the subject of negotiation, and a cap rate was applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the building's operations."

Is that disclosure adequately informative as to the method that was used to calculate the value of 40 Wall Street?

A In my view, yes, for the same reasons that we discussed on the prior property.

Q So the fact that this valuation includes income for vacant space, and vacant space is not mentioned in the Statement of Financial Condition, is not something that you would think should have been disclosed in this statement?

A Well, I would say that the reference to income, vacant space on the supporting spreadsheet could very well be referring to the leases that are currently the subject of negotiation. Um, those two things correlate, potentially.

Q Potentially, but you don't know for sure one way or the other?
A I don't. But they are not inconsistent on their face. Q I believe you also had a discussion with Mr. Suarez about whether it was appropriate to use these valuation techniques in 2011 and 2012 if The Trump Organization was also
lemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)
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in possession of an appraisal that had a lower value for the building.

Do you remember that testimony?
A I remember that testimony.
THE COURT: There's no way he could forget it. It was said about 30 times.

MR. WALLACE: Im laying my foundation, your Honor. I'll try to keep it quick.
Q I believe you said, in addition, that you did not believe management had an obligation to provide the accountants preparing the compilation report with copies of appraisals if they did not form the basis for the valuation in the Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?

## A That's correct.

MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up a copy of your expert report and go to paragraph 77.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: Which I believe is on page 23 to 24.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up the rest of this paragraph so we could see it together, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

1 Q I'm going to focus your attention to the last statement -- well, I'll read from the middle of your statement, which says that, "However, there is simply no expectation or requirement that is part of the compilation engagement that a client assemble and provide all documents that have any bearing on the reported asset. Instead, an accountant performing a compilation only expects to be provided with the details supporting the amounts to be reported in the financial statements, including the back-up documentation and responses to the accountant's inquiries. If appraisals were not used as the basis to report asset values, an accountant would not expect such appraisals to be provided by the client."

I see that you don't cite any specific accounting standard in those opinions; is that correct?
A I don't see any citations here, no.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q And so this is based on your experience and practice; is that correct?

A It is based on my experience and understanding of industry practice.

Q And you have never actually prepared a personal Statement of Financial Condition pursuant to ASC 274; is that correct?

A I have performed compilations, but I have not performed a compilation of a personal financial statement.

Q Over the course of your entire career, I believe you testified that you worked on less than five compilation engagements; is that correct?

A That sounds right.
Q Okay. And the last time you would have worked on any compilation report would have been before the year 2000; is that correct?

A That sounds right.
Q And so is that the scope of -- well, let me ask it differently.

You then also said that you did not find it professionally plausible, is that the right term, that Mr. Bender would have asked for appraisals? Is that correct?

A We can look back at the testimony, that sounds like the gist of what I was saying.

Q Okay. And you don't view their being any obligation
under the engagement letters between Mazars and the Trump Organization for the Trump Organization to provide appraisals if wasn't the specific thing that was being used to value the property; is that fair?

A I would have to look back at the wording of the engagement letters. I know one of the terms indicated that information would be made available to the accountant, but it did not say that all information would be provided to the accountant.

Q Okay.
A Particularly information that had no bearing or reflection in the Statement of Financial Condition.
Q So you get me there. How do you come to the conclusion that an appraisal for the property that is being valued in the Statement of Financial Condition would have no bearing on the statement that is being reported in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Because it has no bearing on the reported value being used to report that property on the Statement of Financial Condition.

At some level where do you draw the line? If a company or an individual performs valuation calculations using every single method that is available under 274 , which could yield 12 different scenarios, there is no obligation on the part of that individual to provide all of them to an
accountant. They are only going to pick one of them, put that on the Statement of Financial Condition, give the accountant the support for it, they can agree or disagree, but they are going to be evaluating whether or not that is an appropriate valuation in accordance with GAAP. There is no need to provide the other 11 to the accountant.

THE COURT: Five minute warning.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q So in your view it is appropriate for a client to potentially conduct 12 different valuations, pick the one that is the highest, share with its accountants the exact basis for that one calculation, and not tell them anything else about all of the other valuations that they ran?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. Now over the course of the day though, you have told us many times that you saw things in the supporting data that raised red flags or would have caused you to ask for more information. Do you recall that testimony?

A It sounds familiar.
Q So do you still think that it would be implausible that Mr. Bender would have asked Mr. McConney for any appraisals if he had them on the properties that were being valued in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A I do find it implausible.
Q So does that mean you don't believe Mr. Bender?

A I indicated yesterday the reason for believing that it was implausible, and it is based on the professional standards and the requirements that existed at the time --

Q I am asking for the implication of what you have told us now. So does that mean you don't believe Mr. Bender?

MR. KISE: Objection is he asking the witness if
he thinks Mr. Bender is a liar?
MR. WALLACE: He is saying it. I want to know if he is.

MR. KISE: No, you are saying it.
THE COURT: Objection sustained.
All he can say is that he find it is
implausible.
Q So you discredit Mr. Bender's testimony on that account; is that fair?

MR. WALLACE: He has prepared an opinion.
THE COURT: It is clever, you know.
MR. KISE: Asked and answered.
MR. WALLACE: I believe I have not asked this.
Q Are you discrediting Mr. Bender's testimony in that regard?

A His testimony is inconsistent with the standards applicable in compilations.

Q If an auditor learned of this process that you described where the issuer of an estimate went through and

Condition is consistent with GAAP, and one of the accepted
methods of ASC 274, and the accountant will be evaluating the appropriateness of that method based on that method alone.

MR. WALLACE: I think I am just about up. This is a good time.

THE COURT: Will you be continuing with cross? MR. WALLACE: I will be continuing with cross.
THE COURT: Any idea how long, roughly?
MR. WALLACE: I would hope not more than half an
THE COURT: Any idea how long, roughly?
MR. WALLACE: I would hope not more than half an hour in the morning.

THE COURT: All right.
I hereby order the witness not to discuss this case or his testimony with anybody related to it while he is still a witness. I will ask Ms. Greenfield to go over the schedule.

MS. GREENFIELD: So we are going to finish with
Mr. Flemmons tomorrow, but that should be at most an hour.
Correct?
MR. SUAREZ: We will have some redirect.
THE COURT: How much redirect?
MR. SUAREZ: Based on the cross, but I estimate about a half hour.

MS. GREENFIELD: A half hour. Who is after Flemmons tomorrow? Che ASC 274, and the accountant will be evaluating

Who is after Flemmons tomorr

| Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross(Wallace) | Page 4480 | Flem |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | conducted 11 different valuations and came up with the one that | 1 |
| 2 | is the highest; under the professional standards, would they | 2 |
| 3 | have an obligation to ask for that additional information? | 3 |
| 4 | MR. KISE: Objection. Is he talking about under | 4 |
| 5 | the audit standards; is he talking about under the | 5 |
| 6 | compilation standards? He said auditor, so that implies | 6 |
| 7 | that there is -- it is time to go. | 7 |
| 8 | MR. WALLACE: Someone is suggesting we are done | 8 |
| 9 | for the day. | 9 |
| 10 | THE COURT: I have seen this in weddings. | 10 |
| 11 | MR. KISE: Which standard? | 11 |
| 12 | THE COURT: Which standard? | 12 |
| 13 | Q If the accountants were to have learned that the | 13 |
| 14 | client followed this procedure that you described of doing 11 | 14 |
| 15 | different valuations and selecting the one that had the highest | 15 |
| 16 | value, under the professional standards, would the accountants | 16 |
| 17 | have an obligation to ask the client for that information? | 17 |
| 18 | A $\quad$ No. As long as -- | 18 |
| 19 | MR. KISE: Objection, for what information? | 19 |
| 20 | THE COURT: For the fact that there were 11 | 20 |
| 21 | methods of valuation and only one was given. Overruled. | 21 |
| 22 | MR. KISE: Okay. I see the question. | 22 |
| 23 | Withdrawn. | 23 |
| 24 | A My answer is no, because as long as the valuation | 24 |
| 25 | that is selected and reported in the Statement of Financial | 25 |
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MR. ROBERT: We have Mr. Collins tomorrow after Mr. Flemmons.

MS. GREENFIELD: Who is after Collins?
MR. ROBERT: Mr. Laposa. Hopefully we will get him done Thursday, if not Friday morning.

And then Mr. Giuliette Friday morning.
MS. GREENFIELD: Who was that?
MR. ROBERT: Gary Giulietti.
MS. GREENFIELD: How much direct do you have of Mr. Giuliette?

MR. ROBERT: If we can get him early enough Friday, hopefully done on Friday. Monday morning we have another expert followed by Mr. McConney.

MS. GREENFIELD: I had only McComney on Monday. Who is coming before?

MR. ROBERT: David Miller is starting. And then we are going to go to Mr. McConney Monday afternoon and all day Tuesday.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
(Whereupon the trial stood adjourned to Thursday November 16, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.)
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| cell (0) | 4359:1;4360:23; | commitments (1) | 4451:2;4471:13 | 4337:18,20,25; |
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| 4407:25;4408:12; | 4339:2 | 66:11;4382:9 | $4348: 11 ; 43$ | $4482: 6,10$ |
| 4410:25;4416:7; | 4356:10,21;4365:6 | 391:15;4464:7,12,15, | $7: 24 ; 4380: 16$ | Giulietti (1) |
| 4422:19;4427:8,1 | flag (3) | 1;4465:4 | 4409:3;4413:1 | 4482:8 |
| 4429:3,13,20,21; | 4372:11;4390:19,23 | footage (9) | 4440:13 | given (10) |
| 4431:4,25;4434:25 | flagged (1) | 393:3,1 | futu | 4370:15;4 |
| 4435:3,7,13,19,24 | 4395 | 3,3;4395:2 | 9:19, | 389:11,13;4390 |
| 4437:6,11,14,16; | flag | 4396:3 | 4368:1;4371:2 | 4407:10;4409:12; |
| 4441:15,16,18,22 | 4478:17 | footnote | 4372:2,3,9;4398:15; | 4414:14;4420:19; |
| 4442:2,14,17,24; | Flem | 9:15 | 4400:1;4404:7;4409:8, | 4480:21 |
| 4443:2,5,20;4446:16; | 4337:9,15;433 | forget (1) | 14,22;4410:7,13; | giving (1) |
| 4447:19;4448:17 | 4352 | 4474:5 | 14:1,3,6; | 4401:24 |
| 4449:14,20;4450 | 4358:13;4384:3,10 | form (8) | 4417:25;4418:5,14,19; | glance (1) |
| 4451:17;4452:7,12,13, | 4387:17;4413:17; | 4385:12; | 4430:18;4467:12,22; | 4379:2 |
| 23;4453 | 4 | 413:23;4415:2 | 70:17,23;4471:4 | glaring (2) |
| 22;4454:2,24;4455:1,9, | 4434:24;4441:10; | 155:15,17,4456:2 |  | 4372:14;4392:3 |
| 15,24;4456:6,12,17; | 4444:20;4459:17 | 74:12 | G | glossary (1) |
| 4457:15;4458:1,4,22, |  |  |  | 4434:17 |
|  |  |  |  | goes |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Flem } \\ 44 \end{array}$ | 4459:24 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4365:17;4379:16; } \\ & 4388: 21 ; 4410: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4472:3,10;4473:14; | flip | formed | 40:5,11,14,2 | 4452:22 |
| 4474:13;4475:8; | 4365:15; | 4394:16;4426 | 41:1,8,15,16,2 | Golf (37) |
| 4476:6,9;4477:12, | Florida (4) | 4427:1 | 4342:16;4343:2,4 | 4354:23,25;4355:9, |
| 17,19;4478:2,23; | 4413:18,21 | fo | 4344:3,16;4350: | 11,20,22;4356:2,11; |
| 4480:25 | 4413:18, | 4357:19 | 4358:20;4363:22 | 4357:17;4358:13,15; |
| financials | flow (16) | forms (1) | 4367:14;4372:18 | 4359:2,4;4360:6,17,17 |
| 4339:3;4345:21 | 4454:15; | 4426:6 | 4375:13;4377:8; | 4361:2,5,12,20,22; |
| 4360:11;4389:5 | 4458:5,10;4461:3,8,13 | forth ( | 4382:6,11;4385:13 | 4362:8,10,15,18; |
| 4450:18,18;4455:10, | 4463:18,22;4465:22; | 4465: | 25;4386:5;4390:12,15, | 4363:4;4365:21,23; |
| 11;4456:14;4464:6 | 4466:5;4469:11,19; | forward (4) | 17;4391:2,3,5,16,21; | 4366:5;4397:22; |
| find (4) | 4470:15,22;4473:6 | 4351.510 | 4392:2,5,9;4394:7; | 4413:14,18,21; |
| 4460:11;4476:20; | flo | 4419:1 | 4401:1,9,18,19; | 4414:18,24;44 |
| 4478:24;4479:12 | 4340:2;4368 | foundation | 22;4415:18,2 | 4428:2 |
| fine (2) | 4372:3;4375:4 | 4390:14;447 | 4418:20;4435:1,4,11 | Good (15) |
| 4465:8;4471:11 | 4406:24;4409:15; | four (11) | 14,19;4436:1,2; | 4337:10,11,15,16; |
| finish (1) | 4413:23;4414:1,3,6 |  | 4441:14,21,24,2 | 347:22;4357:24; |
| 4481:17 | 4418:19;4430:20; | 4374:12;4386:13 | 4442:4,7,13,22;4443:4, | 4382:12;4394:13; |
| firm (5) | 4457:19;4458:21; | 4434:8;4449:4;4460:5, | 19,25;4444:18;4445:1, | 4403:5,6;4410:10; |
| 4381:10 | 4463:13;4467:22; | 11,17 | 5,8,9,13,17,22;4446:9, | 4411:24;4412:9; |
| 4437:1 | 4470:17 | four | 14,15,22;4447:6,18,22; | 4441:10;4481:5 |
| firms (1) | focus (3) | 4339:7; | 4450:1,3,5;4451:1,5,7; | Goodwill (2) |
| 4394:12 | 4380:9;4460:17; | frankly (1) | 4478:5;4481:1 | 4376:5,6 |
| firm's (1) | 4475:1 | 4377:6 | gaining (2) | gotcha (2) |
| 4382:3 | folks (1) | free (1) | 4396:1;4427:2 | 4424:9,21 |
| first (11) | 4402:22 | 4472:13 | gam | governed (1) |
| 4345:12; | follow | Frid | 4424:21 | 4431:15 |
| 4370:22;4373: | 4349:6,4367:15, | 4482:5, |  | governing (8) |
| 4379:7;4380:13,19; | $4372: 7 ; 4415: 22 ;$ $4459: 23$ | front (1) | $4339: 18$ | 4394:17;4395:4,15; |
| 4425:17;4439:24; | 4459:23 | $4446: 25$ | Gary (1) | 4396:20,23;4397:4,5; |
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| 4438:14 | hear (1) | 4425:11;4432:5; | identifying (1) | 4384:22;4388:22; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| government (6) | 4400:14 | $4433: 21 ; 4438: 21$ | 4445:16 | 4391:23;4392:17; |
| 4400:19,22;4401:20; | heard (3) | $4440: 11,13,16,19$ | illustrates (1) | 4441:17,4473:12 |
| 4421:18;4424:16; | 4400:10;4423:4; | 4441:7;4445:11; | 4459:20 | including (10) |
| 4447:10 | 4442:10 | 4451:15;4453:8,21; | illustration (2) | 4356:10,16,20; |
| Granted (5) | hearing (1) | 4459:10;4466:7,13; | 4461:19;4462: | 4360:23,25;4389:15; |
| 4432:7,18;4433:12; | 4357:11 | 4474:7,4478:8 | illustrations (4) | 4391:3;4430:19; |
| 4434:22;4453:10 | heart's (2) | Honorable (2) | 4459:3,6,18;4461:21 | 4450:22;4475:8 |
| Great (1) | 4358:9;4411:1 | 4337:2;4413: | illustrative (3) | clusion (6) |
| 4438:6 | hedge (10) | Honor's (1) | . 4458:25;4459:19,23 | 4360:20;4366:18,21, |
| greater (2) | 4386:5,21,24; | 4425:6 | impact (1) | . 23;4390:10;4391:12 |
| 4381:11,14 | $4387: 2,4,8 ; 4449: 5,6$ | hope (2) | 4445:3 | income (18) |
| Greenfield (8) | 4450:17,4451:24 | 4400:14;4481: | impacted (1) | 4341:16;4350:25; |
| 4481:15,17,24 | held (10) | Hopecroft (1) | 4374:19 | 4367:21;4368:24; |
| 4482:3,7,9,14,19 | 4386:22;4387:4,7 | 4398:1 | implausible (4) | 4371:24;4374:1; |
| ground (3) | 4390:7;4418:12; | hopefully (3) | 4478:20,24;4479:2, | 4405:16;4406:14; |
| 4426:10;4469:7; | 4449:7,10,12,18; | 4400:24;4482:4,12 | $13$ | 4416:13;4467:8; |
| 4473:2 | 4451:20 | horizon (1) | implication (1) | 4470:4,11;4471:2; |
| group (3) | helpful (1) | 4406:20 | 4479:4 | 4472:8,11,11;4473:12, |
| 4354:2;4378:4,9 | 4378:8 | Hotel (0) | implicit (0) | $16$ |
| grouped (2) | hence (1) | 4350:4,6,10, | 4338:20;4348:23; | inconsistent (9) |
| $4352: 17,25$ | 4383:19 | 4369:18;4419:24 | 4372:13;4375:6; | 4367:14;4376:20; |
| grouping (4) | hereby (1) | 4421:1 | 4409:19;4410:4 | 4377:4;4382:11; |
| 4354:6;4378:10,20, | 4481:12 | hour (6) | implicitly (1) | 4423:14;4425:2; |
| 22 | herring (1) | 4411:25;4412:8 | 4352:10 | 4470:24;4473:22; |
| guess (4) | 4357:20 | 4481:10,18,23,24 | implies (1) | 4479:22 |
| 4347:15;441 | hesitate (1) | housekeeping (2) | 4480:6 | incorporated (1) |
| 4422:21;4464:25 | 4361:24 | 337:7;4433:20 | imp | 4436:9 |
| guidance ( 0 | hi | $\mathbf{H}$ | 4400:20;4463:7 <br> imposed (3) | incorrect (1) |
| 4415:15;4432:3; | high (1) | human (1) | 4346:25;4411:16; | increased (1) |
| 4459:20 | 4381:2 | 4462:16 | 4430:12 | 4472:10 |
| guide (2) | highest (3) | HWA (3) | impression (1) | incremental (1) |
| 4431:25;4432:25 | 4478:11;4480:2,15 | 4389:6,9,10 | 4443:16 | 4435:10 |
|  | highlighted (3) | hypothetical (11) | inappropriate (4) | Indeed (1) |
| H | $\begin{aligned} & 4451: 11 ; 4457: 12 ; \\ & 4470: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4409: 11,16,22,25 \\ & 4410: 3,13,17 ; 4411: 4,6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4367:4,8;4378:10; } \\ & 4423: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4357:23 } \\ \text { indenendent (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| H939 (1) | Hills (3) | 17;4418:2 | inception (1) | 4439:14 |
| 4420:5 | 4378:3;4379:3,15 | hypotheticals (5) | 4363:8 | indicate (4) |
| HABBA (1) | hold (1) | $4352: 10 ; 4409: 19$ | include (34) | 4345:24;4392:20; |
| 4440:17 | 4417:25 | 4410:8,21;4411:7 | 4338:11;4341:16; | 4403:2;4427:15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { half (4) } \\ & \text { 4412:8;44 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { holding (1) } \\ 4418: 3 \end{gathered}$ | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4344:4,11,16;4351:5; } \\ & \text { 4357:22;4358:21; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { indicated (0) } \\ & 4345: 19 ; 4348: 1 . \end{aligned}$ |
| hand (2) | holiday |  | 4367:7,8;4373:13; | $4365: 12 ; 4420: 9$ |
| 4354:12;4395:13 | 4428:1 | idea (4) | 4375:10;4376:23; | 4477:6;4479:1 |
| handed (7) | home (2) | 4417:23;4418:18; | 4377:22,25;4379:6,16, | indicates (4) |
| 4399:19;4404:24; | 4379:15;4398: | 4464:2;4481:8 | $17 ; 4382: 9 ; 4384: 9 ;$ | $4340: 22 ; 4391: 23 ;$ |
| 4408:17;4431:9; | homes (9) | identical (6) | 4386:23;4388:23; | 4427:15;4449:23 |
| 4432:13;4433:19; | 4377:25;4379:10 | 4347:2;4361:6 | 4390:17,20;4411:7 | Indicating (1) |
| 4468:1 | 4398:4;4399:1; | 4368:2;4385:15; | 4415:25;4420:9; | 4384:9 |
| Handing (5) | 4427:17,21;4428:1; | 4453:6;4457:13 | 4430:21;4453:16; | indication (3) |
| 4384:7;4392:13; | 4429:18;4452:15 | identification (1) | . 4459:1,7;4463:5,7,9 | 4345:9;4420:3,3 |
| 4419:23;4448:20; | homework (1) | 4433:9 | included (16) | indicative (2) |
| 4456:20 | 4456:15 | identified (12) | 4340:12;4342:10,17, | 4363:18;4393:10 |
| happen (1) | Honor (41) | 4339:5;4373:13 | 19;4350:10;4357:22; | indisputable (1) |
| 4396:19 | 4337:10;4349:20,25; | 4400:18,19,25; | 4371:24;4372:22; | 4429:17 |
| happened (1) | 4350:3;4356:7,15; | 4401:11;4426:14; | 4386:8,23;4387:9; | individual (10) |
| 4464:8 | 4357:1,9;4364:24; | 4435:4,10,25;4444:24; | 4390:8;4391:22; | 4351:25;4352:7,13; |
| happens (2) | $4365: 13 ; 4384: 2$ | $4447: 25$ | 4415:11;4421:2; | $4357: 17 ; 4380: 2,4$ |
| 4376:25;4449:24 | 4394:10,15;4402:2,13; | identify (5) | 4443:4 | 4409:4;4456:1; |
| happy (3) | 4411:24;4413:11; | 4367:10;4407:21; | includes (10) | 4477:22,25 |
| 4356:18;4421:8; | $4420: 25 ; 4422: 5,21$ | 4426:20;4429:23; | $4344: 7 ; 4352: 16$ | individualized (1) |
| 4467:13 | $4423: 4 ; 4424: 6,7$ | 4436:2 | $4362: 23 ; 4379: 10$ | 4401:23 |
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| individually (1) | Instead (1) | investments (2) | 4402:16;4405:4 | land (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4455:25 | 4475:6 | 4379:23;4387:21 | joint (4) | 4366:14,24;4367:9; |
| industry (2) | instruct (1) | investors (1) | 4343:14;4373:16; | 4397:25;4398:1; |
| 4432:1;4476:4 | 4354:2 | 4464:11 | $4390: 20 ; 4449: 10$ | 4399:2,4 |
| infer (1) | insufficient (1) | involve (1) | Judge (3) | landing (1) |
| 4414:10 | 4408:2 | 4352:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 4337: 2 ; 4400: 17 ; \\ & 4413: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $4412: 1$ |
| $4463: 22 ; 4465: 23$ | $4340: 18$ | 4377:7;4464:19; | judgment (7) | 4338:2;4344:1; |
| inflating (1) | intended (8) | 4465:12 | 4354:5;4357:5,13; | 4443:1 |
| 4466:5 | 4339:10;4340:9; | involvement (3) | 4358:3;4425:6;4455:2, | language (7) |
| inflation (2) | $4341: 14,18,23 ; 4342: 7$ | 4344:1;4389:13; | $12$ | $4341: 8,18 ; 4344: 4,6,$ |
| 4465:21;4472:10 | 4417:24;4459:5 | 4420:20 | jump (2) | 16;4382:10;4435:9 |
| influenced (1) | intending (1) | Ireland (1) | 4364:14;4451: | languaging (1) |
| 4348:9 | 4365:19 | 4365:23 | June (2) | 4363:21 |
| inform (1) | intent (4) | irrelevant (1) | $4384: 11 ; 4387: 22$ | Laposa (1) |
| $4378: 8$ | $4418: 2,3 ; 4465: 2,17$ | 4358:4 | Jupiter (1) | $4482: 4$ |
| information (31) | intentional (2) | irrespective (1) | $4362: 18$ | Laptops (1) |
| 4346:12,17;4348:16; | 4465:11,14 | 4457:16 | jury (2) | 4337:3 |
| 4356:5;4382:1;4391:8; | intentionally (2) | issue (21) | 4358:7;4426:11 | largely (1) |
| 4408:2,7,8,9;4423:10, | $4465: 1,7$ interest (12) | 4341:4;4342:20; |  | 4395:24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24;4425:4,12;4436:8 } \\ & 9 ; 4438: 2 ; 4455: 10 ; \end{aligned}$ | interest | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4357:4;4364:18; } \\ & \text { 4367:10;4383:7; } \end{aligned}$ | K | $\begin{gathered} \text { Larson (1) } \\ \hline 4348: 7 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4458:16,23;4460:7; | 18,20;4388:18;4389:5, | 4392:4;4408:9; | Kathy | Las (1) |
| 4463:18;4464:23; | 17,23;4390:8;4451:19; | 4423:15;4426:17; | 4380:15 | 4374:23 |
| 4465:4;4477:7,8,11; | 4460:21 | 4438:15;4444:8,9,11; | Kaye (1) | Lasker (1) |
| 4478:18;4480:3,17,19 | interested (2) | 445:15;4447:25; | 4380:15 | 4379:20 |
| informative (8) | 4451:25;4464: | 4448:7;4450:9;4451:2, | keep (7) | last (9) |
| 4453:17;4454:3,17, | interests (1) | 12;4458:22 | 4367:17,18;4414:17, | 4385:3,18;4403:20; |
| 20;4455:5;4469:13; | 4339:19 | issued (3) | 20;4434:4;4439:9; | 4404:6;4429:11; |
| 4471:18;4473:8 | International (17) | 4341:7;4363:17 | 4474:8 | 4442:9;4470:13; |
| informed (2) | 4350:4,6,10; | 4385:9 | Kenbruce (3) | 4475:1;4476:14 |
| 4455:6,16 | 4354:23,25;4355:9; | issuer (2) | 4460:4,7,20 | later (1) |
| informs (1) | 4365:21,23;4366:5; | 4463:5;4479:25 | kind (1) | $4338: 1$ |
| 4451:15 | 4367:16;4369:18; | issuers (2) | 4337:5 | latitude (1) |
| inherently (3) | 4374:23;4379:20; | . 4395:8,9 | KISE (30) | 4411:19 |
| 4351:4;4409:15; | 4397:22;4413:18,21; | issues (4) | 4383:5;4394:9,10, | latter (1) |
| $4410: 20$ | 4427:18 | $4339: 2,23 ; 4408: 11$ | $22 ; 4395: 5,10 ; 4400: 17$ | 4357:20 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { initial (2) } \\ & \text { 4422:23:4425:8 } \end{aligned}$ | interpret (2) <br> 4366:10;4437:18 | 4442:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4401:10;4402:2,6,19, } \\ & \text { 20;4403:5;4417:6; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { law (2) } \\ & 4394: 7 ; 4451: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4422:23;4425:8 } \\ & \text { input (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 4366:10;4437:18 <br> interpretation (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { item (16) } \\ & \text { 4341:19; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20;4403:5;4417:6; } \\ & \text { 4423:4,6;4424:7,21; } \end{aligned}$ | 4394:7;4451:9 <br> lawyer (1) |
| imput (1) | 4431:22;4432:15,16 | 4372:5;4373:19; | 4425:1,11;4447:9; | lawyer (1) $4395: 14$ |
| inputs (3) | into (37) | 4386:8,11;4387:10; | 4466:8,10;4479:6,10, | laying (1) |
| 4436:24;4461:15; | 4337:12;4342:2; | 4401:4,17;4444:24; | 18;4480:4,11,19,22 | 4474:7 |
| 4463:12 inquire (2) | 4343:22;4352:9; | 4450:12,16;4451:23; | knowledge (1) | lays (1) |
| inquire (2) 4376:3;4397:10 | 4366:14;4371:7; | 4453:14;4459:19; | 4343:22 | 4444:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4376:3;4397:10 } \\ & \text { inquired (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4378:4;4381:15; } \\ & \text { 4386:11;4387:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 4460:5 <br> itemize | knowledgeable 4438:1,10 | lead (3) 4392:5; |
| 4376:13 | 4402:25;4411:18; | $4378: 25$ | known (4) | Leading (7) |
| inquiries (9) | $4412: 5 ; 4417: 19$ | itemized (1) | $4354: 13 ; 4389: 9,10$ | $4346: 14 ; 4347: 21$ |
| $4367: 6 ; 4376: 22 ;$ $4382 \cdot 9.4392 .6$ | 4418:6,8;4419:1,2; 4427.24:4430:14. | $4455: 25$ | 4394:24 | 4381:17;4392:24,25; |
| 4382:9;4392:6; <br> 4407.23-4415:23 | 4427:24;4430:14; <br> 4432.517.4433.24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { itemizes (1) } \\ 4426: 25 \end{gathered}$ | knows (5) | 4397:2;4407:15 |
| $4464: 5,12 ; 4475: 10$ | $11 ; 4434: 21 ; 4436: 9 ;$ | items (11) | 4424:4;4461:2,7 | learned (4) 417 ,6,9;4479:24; |
| quiry (1) | 4440:3;4442:16; | 4340:4;4342:16,19; |  | 4480:13 |
| 4464:15 | 4448:2;4452:23; | 4385:17;4386:6; | L | lease (1) |
| inserting (1) | 4453:6,9;4457:13; | $4400: 19,21,25$ |  | 4469:7 |
| $\begin{gathered} 4385: 24 \\ \text { instance (4) } \end{gathered}$ | 4462:24 <br> investigate (1) | 4401:11,14;4460:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lack (12) } \\ & 4372: 9,21 ; 4374: 14, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leases }(9) \\ & 4469: 9,22 ; 4470: 9, \end{aligned}$ |
| 4369:24;4449:10; | 4350:1 | J | 19;4375:13;4414:11, | $20,22 ; 4471: 1 ; 4473: 2,4,$ |
| 4464:15;4465:10 | investigating (1) |  | 15,15;4415:7;4416:2, | 18 |
| instances (5) | 4442:11 | jargon (1) | 6;4445:25 | least (4) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4339: 20,21 ; 4436: 21 \\ & 4437: 2 ; 4442: 19 \end{aligned}$ | investment (2) 4390:5:4460:20 | $\begin{gathered} 4357: 18 \\ \text { Jeff's (2) } \end{gathered}$ | ladened (1) <br> 4410:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 4337: 17 ; 4347: 3 \\ & 4363: 18 ; 4401: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
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| pay (2) | permits (1) | plausible (1) | practice (4) | prevailing (1) |
| 4464:20;4465:8 | 4368:16 | 4476:21 | 4432:2,25;4476:1, | 4395:19 |
| payments (1) | permitted (6) | play (1) | practices (1) | previous (2) |
| 4460:23 PBC (3) | $4337: 4 ; 4352: 2,8 ;$ $4365 \cdot 1 \cdot 4405 \cdot 14$ | $4424: 21$ | $4432: 2$ | 4410:19;4415:3 |
| PBC (3) $4388: 1 ; 4$ | 4365:1;4405:14; $4409: 10$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { player (1) } \\ 4395: 14 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { precisely (1) } \\ 4394.16 \end{gathered}$ | previously (3) 4404:14-4416:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4388: 1 ; 44 \\ & 4422: 17 \end{aligned}$ | Persimmons (1) | Plaza (3) | preclude | 4422:7 |
| penalty (1) | 4397:25 | 4368:22;4369: | 4349:11;43 | price (21) |
| 4465:9 | person | 4462:1 | 4358:22;4399:8,11; | 4350:24,24;43 |
| pending (1) | 4455:4;4463:21 | Plea | 4400: | 23;4368:13,15;4371:7, |
| 4468:8 | personal (7) | 4337:14;4344:12,19; | preface (1) | 9,10,13,14;4372:5; |
| Penn (5) | 4431:25;4452:23; | 4353:1;4359:24; | 4441:19 | 4406:13,14,18,19; |
| 4430:25;4431:3,16, | 4453:15;4464:15,19; $4476 \cdot 5$ | 4383:2;4384:1; | prejudice ( | 4408:23;4414:9; |
| $20,21$ <br> people (1) | 4476 | 4386:20;4387:1 | 4358:8;4426:1 | 4416:12,13;4439:13 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { people (1) } \\ 4465: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { perspective (5) } \\ & 4400: 7 ; 4430: 16,20 ; \end{aligned}$ | 4413:3,9,17;4414:7; | $4464: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prices (2) } \\ & 4372: 13 ; 4387: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Per (3) | 4433:20;4470:25 | 4417:12,13,14,15; | premium | Principles (1) |
| 4360:15;4380:15; | pertaining (1) | 4422:3;4441:2,6; | 4356:11,16,20 | 4384:23 |
| 4398:1 | 4406:24 | 4449:3,16;4461:5; | 4357:3;4358:19; | printout (2) |
| percent (34) | Philadelphia (3) | 4472:19;4474:23 | 4359:12,14;4360:10, | 4433:25;4434:9 |


| or (15) | 4425:20;4426:5 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4349:7;4355:14; | properly (2) | provides (1) | $\mathbf{R}$ | 4343:7,16;4345:11; |
| 4358:18;4359:6; | 4426:7;4442:14 | 4348 |  | 4377:1;4421:20 |
| 4361:15;4362:1; | prope | providing | raise (1) | 38:1;4439:14,22; |
| 4366:8;4369:13; | 4342:10;4343:8,13 | 4464:2 | 4402:13 | $4460: 21$ |
| 4384:14,18;4415:4; | 4348:14,14;4349:7 | provision (3) | raised (3) | realize (1) |
| 4421:5;4422:2,25; | 4351:7;4352:25; | $4341: 16,18 ; 4455: 3$ | $4366: 25 ; 4423: 15$ | $4451: 18$ |
| 4473:11 | 4354:2;4358:18; | public (1) | $4478: 17$ | really (8) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { private (1) } \\ 4464: 10 \end{gathered}$ | 4360:2,6,12;4361:15; 4362:1,22;4369:14; | 4464:1 | raising (1) | 4365:2;4402:9,22; |
| privy (1) | 4370:16,21;4373:4; | $4338: 4 ; 4344: 19$ | ran (1) | 4425:22;4430:14; |
| 4443:10 | 4374:1,8,13;4379:22; | 4381:23;4387:15; | 4478:13 | 4444:15 |
| probability (1) | 4388:23;4389:12; | 4388:14;4403:9; | range | reason (7) |
| 4430:22 | 4395:22;4415:2; | 4419:21;4427:8,12; | 4349:13;4370:15; | $4341: 3 ; 4361: 24 ;$ |
| probably (3) | 4417:24,25;4420:8,14, | 4431:6;4432:10,22; | $4410: 21$ | 4381:5;4404:14; |
| 4362:3;4401:6; $4402: 4$ | 21,24;4426:25;4427:5; | 4433:6,15;4438:16,22; | Ranita (3) | 4418:1;4463:8;4479:1 |
| 4402:4 | 4436:22;4437:3,9,10, | 4444:20;4448:18; | 4398:20;4428:6; | reasonable (6) |
| 4442:1 | 4452:6;4455:25; | 56:18;4459:11; | $\begin{aligned} & 4457: 21 \\ & \text { rate (48) } \end{aligned}$ | 20;4461:12,16 |
| procedure | 4456:3,13,25;4478:22 | 466:15;4471:20; | 4345:19,25;4346:1; | reasons (8) |
| 4480:14 | property (40 | 74:15,22 | 4347:13,17,25;4348:1, | 4359:6;4361:15; |
| procedures (1) | 4345:11;4350:14 | purchase (1) | 4,6,10,12,17,21; | 4363:15;4385:8; |
| 4429:23 | 4355:14;4356:6; | 4397:25 | $4350: 21,21 ; 4365: 6$ | 4430:17;4463:5; |
| proceed (3) | 4357:22;4359:6,15; | purposes (5) | $4400: 6 ; 4406: 6,7,9$ | 4465:3;4473:10 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4337:14;4384:1; } \\ & \text { 4441:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $4363: 4,23 ; 4366: 9$ | $4358: 21 ; 4386: 7$ | 4407:2;4415:6; | rebuttal (7) |
| 4441:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4368: 24 ; 4370: 22,22 \\ & 4371: 5 ; 4374: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4434: 9 ; 4450: 12 ; \\ & 4464: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 4418:20;4454:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4421:16,19,20,21; } \\ & \text { 4422:23;4425:20; } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { proceedings (3) } \\ & 4398: 19 ; 4428: 5 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4371:5;4374:21; } \\ & \text { 4375:4;4377:19,23; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4464:19 } \\ \text { pursuant (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4457: 4,18 ; 4458: 1,10 \\ & 23 ; 4460: 24 ; 4461: 2,7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4422:23;4425:20; } \\ & 4444: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4457:20 | 4379:3;4381:2; | $4379: 24 ; 4476:$ | $12,20,23 ; 4463: 6,15,20$ | rebutting (1) |
| process (7) | $4388: 19 ; 4415: 4$ | purview (1) | $22 ; 4464: 5 ; 4465: 20,22$ | $4425: 21$ |
| 4344:9,10;4356:9 $4377: 7 \cdot 4429: 20$ | 4420:16;4427:11,12, 22:4430:1,13:4436:25, | $\begin{aligned} & 4394: 25 \\ & \text { nut (15) } \end{aligned}$ | 4466:4,5;4469:10,20; | recalculate (2) 4458:12;4466:1 |
| 4377:7;4429:20; $4465 \cdot 12 \cdot 4479 \cdot 24$ | 22;4430:1,13;4436:25; | put (15) | $4470: 14 ; 4473: 5$ | 4458:12;4466:1 |
| 4465:12;447 | 4452-16-4458.10 | 4383.12 | rates (6) | recalculation (1) |
|  | 4462:24;4463:3,4, 4465:22;4473:11; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4402:24;4409:24; } \\ & \text { 4410:3;4413:12; } \end{aligned}$ | 4347:9;4348:14; |  |
| 4394:2,11;4396:14; | 4477:4,14,19 | 4421:18;4427:8; |  | 4436:21,23,2 |
| 4407:7,17,20;4408:6; | proposed (5) | 4430:15;4433:16; | 4338:22;4380:20 | 4441:24;4442:7; |
| 4415:14,17,22; | 4383:4,8;4416:18 | 4448:10;4465:3; | rationale (4) | 4443:6,21,22;4447:1,6, |
| 4416:24;4422:13; | 4417:1,2 | 4468:5;4478:1 | $4360: 23,24 ; 4390: 22$ | 25;4452:14;4461:22; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4426:19,23;4427:3; } \\ & \text { 4429:2,24;4443:9; } \end{aligned}$ | prospective (5) 4351:4;4409:1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { putting (2) } \\ 4385: 21 ; 4451: 1 \end{array}$ | 4391:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4465:10,16;4467:15; } \\ & \text { 4478:18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 4447:16,17;4479:2; | 4468:12,18;4472:17 | P |  | receipts (1) |
| 4480:2,16 | provide (17) | 4427:10 | reaching (1) | 4460:23 |
| professionally (1) | 4346:1;4348:11; | PX-756 (1) | $4346: 8$ | receivables (1) |
| 4476:21 | 4407:18;4408:5; |  | read (12) | 4377:23 |
| professionals (9) 4436:8,19:4437:4 | 4411:19;4415:15; |  | 4338:19,22;4344:12, | received (3) 4363:20:4383 |
| $: 4,$ | 4447:17;4457:17 | Q | 13;4367:24;4386:19; | 4363:20;4383 4408:7 |
| 4469:9;4473:4 | 4464:14,24;4474:10 | qualifi | 4426:20;4457:12; | receiving (1) |
| Professor (2) | 4475:5;4477:2,25; | 4471:14 | 4475:2 | 4357:3 |
| 4357:7,15 | 4478:5 | quick (2) | readback | recess (1) |
| profit (5) | provided (35) | 4379:2;4474 | $4417: 13$ | 4412:12 |
| 4371:14,16,16,19; $4372 \cdot 14$ | 4345:18;4347:7; | quickly (3) | reader (7) | recognize (3) |
| 4372:14 | 4348:6,8;4349:8; | 4365:15,17;4412:1 | 4451:18;4455:5,15, | $4338: 8 ; 4384: 1$ |
| $4364: 18$ | 4356:4;4357:11; | quiet (1) | 17;4461:2,7,11 <br> readers (1) | 4466:24 recollection (3) |
| projected (2) | 4360:22;4380:6; | quite (5) | $4450: 1$ | 4447:3;4448:6; |
| 4430:19;4460:22 | 4382:1;4387:2,23,24; | 4339:6;4347:1; | readily (3) | 4450:10 |
| prompted (1) | 4389:12;4408:2; | $4362: 2 ; 4465: 13,18$ | $4435: 15,20 ; 4442: 4$ | record (10) |
| 4376:12 | 4414:13;4427:1; | quoted (1) | reading (5) | $4400: 20 ; 4401: 12,13$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { prong (1) } \\ 4472 \cdot 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4434:17;4435:15,21, } \\ & \text { 23;4436:6,8,19;4442:5, } \end{aligned}$ | 4387:1 | $4347: 25 ; 4348: 3 ;$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15,23;4404:9;4417:16; } \\ & \text { 4433:3;4434:10; } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4472: 15 \\ \text { proper (2) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23;4436:6,8,19;4442:5, } \\ & \text { 8,20;4458:16;4475:7, } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4414: 10 ; 4458: 8 \\ & 4470: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4433:3;4434:10; } \\ & 4457: 13 \end{aligned}$ |


| recorded | 4452:15;4479:21 | ren | 4387:19:4449:7; |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4398:20;4428 |  | 4350:25;4367:21; |  | 4371:23.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| recording (2) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| records (2) |  |  |  | 432:15;4435: |
| 98:4;4403:2 | 465:13 | (1) |  | 436 |
| red (13) | relate (1) | 472:8 | 4360 | spond (4) |
| 4345:2;4 | 343:24 | repeat ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | request | 4367:5;439 |
| 7:11;4390:19,23 | related (23) | 353:1 | 4422 | 4407:22; |
| 行:17,19,19,22; | 340:1;4 | 5:19;4410:1 | req | responded (1) |
| 93:9;4403 | 4351:23;4358:19 | + |  | 4466:11 |
| 20:2;4478:1 | 0:9; | 5:7;4449:1 | require (19) | response (2) |
| redirect (2) | 79:23;4 | : 5 | 20;4 | 4441:12; |
| 4481:20,21 | :18 |  |  | P |
| reducing (1) | $31: 25 ; 4443: 23$ | 4401:3 | 4382:8;4394:18; | $447$ |
| reduction (1) | 45:18,24;4462:4 | 4422:3,22 | $4415: 14$ | 4442:11 |
| 4393:16 | 463:1;4481:13 | report (71) | 6:18;4417:2; | responsibility (3) |
| reference (11) | related-party (10) | 338:12 | 0:1,4 | 4346:11,16;4426:2 |
| 4348:7,18;43 | 4421:14,17;4443:15 | 4339:1,5,7;4340:6; | required (18) | responsible (1) |
| 437 | 445:1, | 3:3,6,21; | 4340:11;43 | 4367:1 |
| . | 446:1,3 | 3. | 4367:15;4370:11; | est (2) |
| 8:22;4420:16 | relates (4) | 4363:18,21;4367:7 | 4391:15;4394:7,7,18, | 4472:13 |
| $\stackrel{\text { 4473:16 }}{\text { referenced (2) }}$ | 340:20;4341:1 | 372:22;4375:16; | 9.4397:11.4408.7. | restart (1) $4451: 3$ |
| referenced (2) $4432: 25 ; 443$ | 60:8;4371:8 | 4376:24;4382:10 | 4397:11;4408:7; | 4451:3 |
| references (5) | 62:19 | ,18,22,24;4386: | requirement (19) | 4441:19;4 |
| 4343:6;4385:13 | relation (1) | 91:20;4397:14; | 4341:16,24;4345:14 | 4467:14 |
| 14:14;4415:5 | 96:14 | 416:1, | 4351:2 | restatement (1) |
| 39:21 | relationship | 1:16,20 | 1:13;4363:6; | 4417:13 |
| referencing (2) | 4420:17,18 | 23 | 6:7,4368:5 | restraints (1) |
| 4345:5;4369:21 | relative (1) | , | 69:11;4415:2 | 4430:18 |
| referred (5) | 430:24 | 24;4443:17,21;4444:6, | 44:5;4454:21,23 | restriction (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4345: 4 ; 441 \\ & 443: 14: 44 \end{aligned}$ | relevanc 4411:2 | 55:16;4448:8, | 5:13;4463:17, | 4378:6 |
| referring | relevant (8 | 54:7 | requirements (24) | 4416:17;4430:1 |
| 4356:19;443 | 56:21;4 | 8,22;4456:19; | 4346:25;4350:18 | result (2) |
| 36:12,13;4441:1 | 6:23;4407:3 | 63:21;4474:11,16 | 23;4358: | 4370:20;4396:25 |
| 51:15;4453:23; | 16:23;4429:12 | 75:10;4476:15; | 61:4,2 | resultant (4) |
| 4468:14;4473:17 | 4462:24;4463:3 | 4477:19 | 20;4364:1,18; | 4469:11;4470:15, |
| refers (2) | relied (1) | reported ( 20 | 迷 | 4473 |
| 4355:3;4460:5 | 338:22 | 38:3;4340:23 | ;4373:3,2 | resulted (2) |
| reflect (8) | rely (6) | 4382:11;4386:6 | 407:1 | 4370:9;4442:22 |
| 4342:15; | 4392:1,8 | 4390:6;4393:22; | 4415:1;4431:4;4479:3 | results (1) |
| $4388: 17 ; 4389: 7,17,2$ <br> 4390:11:4406:9 | 107:12;4409:11 | 4:3;4407:24 <br> 6.7.4445.3. | quires (1) <br> 4440.8 | $4370: 17$ |
| reflected (5) | ren | 6:16;4449:11 | raise | 4383:2 |
| 4340:21;4343 | 44 | 1:16;4455:20 | 4356:2 | 4413:6;4441 |
| 4370:10;4372:6; | 2:7,19;4474:3,4 | 7:8:4475:6,8 | search (1) | retail (2) |
| 4405:18 | remind (2) | 4477:16,18;4480: | 68:9 | 4348:14,14 |
| reflection (1) | 4413:7,7 remote (1) | reporter (5) | reside (1) 4387 ( | retained (1) |
| 477:12 | remote (1) 4415:10 | 4344:14;4398:2 | 4387:8 | $4357: 7$ |
| 4343:20; |  | 7:2 | 4337:2 | (372: |
| 4470:18 | 4423:17 | reporting (7) | residences | 4398:16;4418: |
| refresh (2) | renegotiat | 4338:21;4341: | 4428.2 |  |
| $4448: 6 ; 4452: 9$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4469: 7 ; 4473: 2 \\ & \text { renew (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4397:7;;446:7;4451:6; } \\ & 4463: 3 ; 4464: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { residential ( }() \\ 4350: 24 ; 439 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 4430:19 } \\ \text { review (11) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4339:2,23; | 4356 | reports (3) | 13,19;4416:1 | 4338:24;4 |
| 477:3;4351 | rent (6) | 2:18 | 4462:12 | 4415:18;4422:25; |
| 4367:25;438 | $4416:$ | 4454:18 | resolve (2) | 4423:19;4429:12,1 |
| 4415:2;4450:9; | 4418:1,4,4,2 | represent (4) | 4408:9;4415:23 | 4434:24;4446:13; |


| 4454:1;4461:21 | sales (8) | 4403:11;4404:20,23; | selecting (3) | shared (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reviewed (13) | 4351:23;4381:2; | 14, 7 ,422:16,21 | 25;4349:3; | 4425.7 |
| 4345:8,9;4346:12, | 8:16,17;4409:9,22; | 4414:7;4422:7;4423:7; | 80:15 | sheet (14) |
| 1;4384:14 | 4438:2,11 |  |  | 4342:23;4352:20; |
| 4392:23;4393:8; | same (4) | 4432:12,24;4433:8,18, | 4348:12;4352:8,1 | 4354:14;4388:12; |
| 4402:17;4413:18; | 4343:23; | 24-4434-2 5 13. | selects (1) | 4389:2,16,22;4390 |
| 4416:10;4422:8 | 4349:6;4350:20 | 4438:16,20;4439:1,4,7, | 77: | 11,18,21;4391:13,24, |
| reviewing (2) | 4355:13,24,24; | 10;4459:13,16; |  | 25 |
| 4415:12;4464 | 4357:10,11;4358:2,6 | 4460:13,16,19;4462:8; | (23) | eets (1) |
| revisit (2) | 18;4359:6;4360:24; | 4466:18,22;4467:6 | 21,23;4368:13 | 4389:8 |
| 4467:19, | 4361:11,15;4362:21 | 469:3,2 | 0,13,14; | short (2) |
| revolve (1) | 4363:15;4364:7,8; | 470:3;4471:23; | 372:5,13;4406:13,14, | 4387:21;4390:5 |
| 4444:15 | 4368:10;4369:13; | 1,6,18,21,2 | 8,19;4408:23; | shoulds (1) |
| revolves (1) | 4385:17;4389:6; | 4474:18,21,25 | 4416:12,13;4439:13,13 | 4396:13 |
| 4444:13 | 4394:23;4400:23 | script (1) | Senior (3) | show (7) |
| right (30) | 4401:21;4402:8,9 | 459 | 4398:20;4428: | 4345:8;4383 |
| 4340:5;4342:23; | 4404:5,14;4407:9 | scroll (7) | 4457:21 | 4455:22;4460: |
| 4345:20;4347:2; | 4414:18;4415:2; | 4344:23;4413:15; | sentence (8) | 4462:1 |
| $4356: 23 ; 4362: 4 ;$ | 4428:3;4430:16; | 4414:7;4416:8;4430:4; | 4386:3;4451:13,1 | showed (2) |
| 4365:5;4380:20; | 4453:4;4469:19 | 4434:1;4454:11 | 4456:24;4462:3,20,21, | 4404:12;4448:22 |
| 4385:20;4397:15 | 4470:7,8,10,12,22 | scrolled (7) | 22 | showing (1) |
| 4405:4;4412:6,9; | 4472:15;4473:1 | 9;4434 |  | 4459:25 |
| 4413:16;4424:24; | satisfaction (1) | 4439:5,8,1 | 86:6;4402 | side (5) |
| 4426:3,9;4427:10 |  |  | 4450:7,12 | 4344:19 |
| 4443:6;4448:3; | sa | 4414:17,2 | sepa | 4433:16, |
| 4455:16; | 4363:20 | seated (4) | 4341:25;4371:25; | sign (2) |
| 4460:9;4461:2 | saw | 迷, | 386:6,12; | 4383:8,8 |
| 4465:11;4467:13; | 4343:3;4373 | 4413:3;4441: | 4450:15,19;4462:25 | signed (7) |
| 4476:13,17,21;4481:11 | 4385:7;4403:20; | SEC (5) | September (1) | 4383:19;4469:9,23; |
| Rink (1) | 4404:6;4423:17; | 4376:1;4394:13 | 4360:15 | 4470:9,20 |
| 4377:22 | 4424:17;4447:22 | 95:7;4464:18 | se | 4473:4 |
| Rinks (1) | 4478:16 | 4465:6 | 79:18 | significance |
| 4379:20 | saying (10) | second (6) |  | 4385:23 |
| rise (4) | 4339:11;4340:10 | 4358:5;4385:1 | 4370:7 | significant ( |
| 4337:1 | 4364:20,22;4375:3 | 4388:20;4434:1 | serves (1) | 4357:24;43 |
| 4413:1;444 | 4409:18;4418:11; | 4451:8;4456:24 | 32:2 | 4378:7;4395:22 |
| risk (2) | 4476:24;4479:8,10 | section (16) | service (1) | 4444:14 |
| 4419:2;4430 | scenarios (1) | 47 | 395:2 | silent (2) |
| ROBERT (7) | 44 |  | services | 4337:3;44 |
| 4440:16;4482:1,4,8, | sche | 4380:4;4431:11,12 | 4339:21;4391 | similar (8) |
| 11,16,20 | 4372:4,4383: | 432:15;4434:11, | session (4) | 4375:3;4385: |
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```
APPEARANCES: (Cont'd)
    CONTINENTAL PLLC
    Attorneys for Defendants
    101 North Monroe Street, Suite 750
    Tallahassee, FL 32302
    By: CHRISTOPHER KISE, ESQ.
        LAZARO FIELDS, ESQ'
    ROBERT & ROBERT, PLLC
    Attorneys for Defendants
    526 RXR Plaza
    Uniondale, NY 11556
    By: CLIFFORD ROBERT, ESQ.
```

    HABBA MADAIO \& ASSOCIATES, LLP
    Attorneys for Defendants \({ }^{1430}\) US Highway 296, Suite 240
    Bedminster, NJ 07921
    By: ALINA' HABBA, ESQ.
    MORIAN LAW, PLLC
    Attorneys for Defendants
        60 East 42 nd Street, Suite 4600
        New York, NY 10165
        By: ARMEN MORIAN, ESQ.
        THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION
        Attorneys for the Defendant
        725 Fifth Avenue
        New York, NY 10022
        By: ALAN GARTEN, ESQ.
        MICHELEPANTELOUKAS
    MICHAEL RANITA
25

| Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross(Wallace) | Page 4487 | Fle |
| ---: | :---: | ---: |
| 1 | A $\quad$ Well, insofar as these methods that are provided in | 1 |
| 2 | this section of ASC 274 are inherently estimates, and estimates | 2 |
| 3 | are not always accurate. But I think estimates should endeavor | 3 |
| 4 | to, you know, seek to be as accurate as possible, but they are | 4 |
| 5 | not intended to be perfect. | 5 |
| 6 | Q Well, for example, if you are using capitalization of | 6 |
| 7 | past earnings, the past earnings number should be accurate; is | 7 |
| 8 | that fair? | 8 |
| 9 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, one point of | 9 |
| 10 | clarification. We don't have a hard copy. Is this the | 10 |
| 11 | real estate section or -- | 11 |
| 12 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor. | 12 |
| 13 | MR. KISE: I can't see it. I can't see it on | 13 |
| 14 | the screen. | 14 |
| 15 | THE COURT: I thought that myself. | 15 |
| 16 | MR. KISE: Yeah. | 16 |
| 17 | MR. WALLACE: It is not, it is not the real | 17 |
| 18 | estate section. There is a separate real estate section. | 18 |
| 19 | MR. KISE: I guess Mr. Wallace had a trick up | 19 |
| 20 | his sleeve. | 20 |
| 21 | MR. WALLACE: I don't have a trick up my sleeve. | 21 |
| 22 | I am being interrupted. | 22 |
| 23 | MR. KISE: I just can't see the thing on the | 23 |
| 24 | screen. I need the clarification so we know where we are | 24 |
| 25 | looking. | 25 |
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THE COURT: What is the context of what we are looking at?

MR. WALLACE: Section 55-1, Implementation Guidance, estimated current value that he covered with Mr. Suarez.

THE COURT: Section 55-1 of what?
MR. WALLACE: He has already answered a number of questions about this. Is there a question whether I can follow-up on this? Or am I allowed to proceed?

THE COURT: I would like to know of what.
MR. WALLACE: The what? What is the question, Your Honor?

THE COURT: This is section $55-1$, of what?
MR. WALLACE: Of ASC 274.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
MR. WALLACE: Sorry.
Q So I believe the question was, if you were
capitalizing past earnings, the past earnings number should be accurate; is that fair?

A That's fair.
Q And if you are using perspective earnings, the estimate of perspective earnings would need to be made in good faith; is that fair?

A That's fair.
Q Okay. And if you are capitalizing those amounts, you
would need to determine the cap rate in good faith; is that correct?

A That's fair.
Q Okay. And looking down at the historical cost adjustment method, if you are adjusting historical costs, that historical cost number would need to be accurate; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q And if you are using the appraisal method, you would need to actually use the amount that was reported in the appraisal; is that correct?

A Well, unless there were good reasons to deviate from the information in the appraisals, there could be assumptions that were used in the appraisals that management disagreed with, in which case those could be adjusted and disclosed as such.

Q And disclosed. So if you are going to make an adjustment to the appraisal, you should disclose that you adjusted the appraisal by using whatever adjustments the preparer of the financial statement may deem appropriate; is that fair?

A I think it depends on the circumstances and the significance of the deviations.

Q If you are using the item $E$ to discount amounts of projected cash receipts, you would need to determine the amount
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of expected receipts in good faith; is that fair?
A Yeah, I think you would need to estimate the projected receipts in good faith.

Q And you would need to determine which discount rate to use in good faith; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And ASC 274 would require the financial statement to accurately disclose the valuation technique selected, correct?

A As we talked about yesterday, the requirement is to provide adequate disclosure about the techniques.

Q Adequately informative is, I think, the language we saw in 274; is that fair?

A That sounds right.
Q So the disclosure would need to be adequately informative to the reader of the report, correct?

A That's correct.
Q And just to confirm, your work in this case did not include reviewing the accuracy of any of the information that went into the calculations in the Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?

A I am not a valuation expert, so I did not endeavor to evaluate the appropriateness of the amounts. I did, however in many cases, evaluate the appropriateness of the methods used vis-a-vis ASC 274.

Q And I am asking about the factual information. So

| Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross(Wallace) | Page 4491 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | that was not part of your analysis whether the information that |
| 2 | was comprising the valuations, whether that was accurate? That |
| 3 | isn't something you did; you looked at methods? |
| 4 | MR. KISE: Objection. I think that |
| 5 | mischaracterizes his testimony. |
| 6 | THE COURT: I think he is just seeking |
| 7 | clarification. He is allowed to phrase it in his own |
| 8 | words. Overruled. |
| 9 | Do you need a readback? |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Please. |
| 11 | (Whereupon, the record was read back by the |
| 12 | court reporter.) |
| 13 | A I looked at methods, but I did also look at the |
| 14 | detail support for the calculations, and to see what was |
| 15 | provided to the outside accountants, Mazars; and see how that |
| 16 | flowed into the Statement of Financial Condition, both in terms |
| 17 | of the amounts and the disclosures. |
| 18 | Q So, but you didn't do any analysis to determine if |
| 19 | those amounts that were being shown in the back up, whether |
| 20 | those were accurate? |
| 21 | A I am struggling with the word "accurate." Accurate |
| 22 | against what standard? |
| 23 | Q Well, the standards we were just discussing. If you |
| 24 | are using past earnings, you need to have accurate earnings. |
| 25 | Did you do any analysis -- well, in any context, did you do |

that was not part of your analysis whether the information that was comprising the valuations, whether that was accurate? That

MR. KISE: Objection. I think that
THE COURT: I think he is just seeking
clarification. He is allowed to phrase it in his own
Do you need a readback?
THE WITNESS: Please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
A I looked at methods, but I did also look at the detail support for the calculations, and to see what was provided to the outside accountants, Mazars; and see how that flowed into the Statement of Financial Condition, both in terms the amounts and the disclosures

Q So, but you didn't do any analysis to determine if those amounts that were being shown in the back up, whether those were accurate?
A I am struggling with the word "accurate." Accurate gainst what standard?
Q Well, the standards we were just discussing. If you Did you do any analysis -- well, in any context, did you do
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anything to determine whether any of the numbers in the backup support were being accurately reported by the Trump Organization?

A If you are asking me, for example, did I seek to validate whether the historical earnings numbers that were used for the calculations, in which cases that method was used, did I go and validate whether the correct past earnings figure was included? I did not do that.

Q And did you do any analysis to determine whether any of the estimates contained in the Statement of Financial Condition were prepared in good faith?

MR. KISE: Objection to "good faith."
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: Sustained. He wouldn't know whether they were done in good faith or not. He might know other things.

MR. WALLACE: I am asking if he did any work to determine if they were made in good faith.

MR. KISE: What would --
THE COURT: How would he do that?
MR. KISE: What does good faith mean, indicia of fraud?

MR. WALLACE: He could find out how the
directors came to the cap rates it was using; how it came to the discount rates.

THE COURT: Then he would be trying to determine the accuracy. But good faith is in the mind of the person that is putting the number together.

MR. KISE: He could opine --
THE COURT: Rule number one.
MR. KISE: All right. Fair enough.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, the witness testified these numbers had to be prepared in good faith, and I am asking if he has done any work to determine if they met that standard that he is testifying to.

THE COURT: Well, now the cat is sort of out of the bag, but let's hear an answer to the question. A I didn't specifically seek to develop an opinion on the question you are asking. However, there are many instances where I saw components of the calculations that tied to, for example, information provided by third-party, you know, brokers or agents; or appraisal information that had some correlation to that. So based on that, one could say that that was supported and was in good faith. But I did not specifically seek to -- I didn't structure my work in that fashion.

Q And so the information that you are seeing, you are talking about the information that you would see in that supporting worksheet that would feed into the Statement of
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Financial Condition; is that the information you are referring to?

A That's correct. There were many instances where I did see support for the numbers, which certainly showed indicia of good faith. But I did not seek to do that for every single calculation.

Q But you didn't do any additional work to go back and see if those numbers that were reported, the information that was contained in the supporting worksheets, you didn't do any work to determine if that was, in fact, accurate or an accurate reflection of the supporting material, did you?

A I think I said in some cases I did see that correlation. But I did not seek to undertake that effort for all of the calculations.

Q Did you review all of the work papers for the Statement of Financial Condition for each year from 2011 to 2021?

A I don't recall.
Q I'll see if we can try to remember this, but when you were testifying with Mr. Suarez and looked at one of the supporting data spreadsheets, you said there were blue numbers that would tie out to the support. Did you go through and look at the documents that tied out to the blue numbers in the supporting data spreadsheet?

A I don't recall.

Q If you did, would it have been reflected in your reports?

A Yes.
Q Now, if you are going to use one of those techniques that we were discussing, ASC 274 would still require that number reflect the amount at which an item could be exchanged between a buyer and a seller, each of whom is well informed and willing, and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell; is that correct?

A That is the definition of estimated current value, so yes.

Q And so if the issue of a Statement of Financial Condition uses one of the techniques listed in ASC 274, but comes to the conclusion that the price reached by using that technique is not one where a transaction would actually occur, then it is not estimated current value; is that correct?

A Well, I am not sure how that would happen, if a preparer is using one of the methods that is contained in ASC 274 to develop and establish estimated current value, how that would then lead to a conclusion that it is not estimated current value.

Q Well, what if someone were to use, say, historical cost. They come up with a value based on historical cost, that they have an independent opinion that there is no way anyone would pay the historical cost amount for that asset. By
the stand?
MR. KISE: I had to read that for a second just to make sure I heard you correctly.

But the point is, you are asking this witness, who is an accounting expert and a, you know, with his background, to make a subjective value determination as to whether or not an individual owner or an individual buyer, as the case may be, would engage in a specific transaction, hypothetical or otherwise.

THE COURT: Well, let me try to simplify it. How about if I ask the question: If the compiler uses one of the methods and reaches a result that would not be the price at which a willing seller would sell to a willing buyer, what are you supposed to do?

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I am actually asking a slightly different question.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. WALLACE: Because I am interested in this from the perspective of the issuer.
Q The compiler would be the accounting firm; is that fair, Mr. Flemmons, if we use these terms?

A That's correct.
Q And the issuer is the person issuing the statement. In the examples we are looking at that's Donald J. Trump?

A That's fair.

Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross(Wallace)
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definition, that person does not believe that the historical cost has developed an estimated current value; is that fair?

MR. KISE: Objection. That's an improper hypothetical. He is getting into valuations and subjectivity of valuations, what someone would pay for something or not pay. Who are we even talking about, the seller or the buyer? The seller might not pay something, but the buyer might not sell it. So we are getting into value judgments.

THE COURT: I think we are talking about the owner who would be the seller.

MR. WALLACE: We are talking about the person issuing the statement and whether or not they used a technique, but they form a belief that that technique has created value that does not reflect where a transaction occurred.

MR. KISE: It is an improper --
MR. WALLACE: It is a hypothetical.
MR. KISE: This is not an expert on valuation. You certainly could contend that an owner might not sell under any of the scenarios. Under six it is highly subjective. I am sure if you put President Trump on the stand he would say no matter how high the number is, it needs to be higher. It is just a fact.

THE COURT: Would I ever put President Trump on

Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross(Wallace)
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Q So my question is, you said that there is, I think, essentially, a presumption if someone uses one of the techniques in ASC 274 that in fact reflects estimated current value. My question is, is it still estimated current value if a person uses the technique but has a subjective belief that the value is not correct, that no transaction would take place at that price?

THE COURT: And what's --
MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. I think it is an improper question.

THE COURT: Overruled. But what is the specific question there?

MR. WALLACE: The question is --
THE COURT: I understand the situation, the hypothetical. What is the question?
Q Is it possible to use a technique and still not arrive at estimated current value?

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
A From an accounting perspective, using any of the methods that are called for in ASC 274 are ones that can establish estimated current value. So I am not sure I understand your question on how it would not establish estimated current value.

Q Would it still, if a person were to reach a conclusion that it was an obviously inappropriate value, would
that still equate to estimated current value?
MR. KISE: Objection. "Obviously inappropriate value"?

MR. WALLACE: All right. Can we pull up -MR. KISE: In whose mind?
MR. WALLACE: Why don't we pull up Defendants
950. And if we can go to page 22.

Q This is the 2008 --
MR. WALLACE: I am maintaining my objection to the out-of-date guidance.
Q But I believe this is the 2008 guide that you said was still -- could be useful reference material for someone doing a compilation report. I can show you the cover if it would help refresh your recollection.

A That's not necessary.
Q You remember the document?
A Yes.
MR. WALLACE: If we go to page 22. Go down to the fourth bullet under 2.05.
Q And these are the things that I believe are ways a misstatement might occur. One of the bullet points was the presentation of an asset or liability at an obviously inappropriate value or amount. And so I am asking, is there a tension between using one of the techniques that comes to an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross(Wallace)
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A I don't think this speaks to the questions you were asking me before about selection of a method that is allowed under ASC 274 to establish estimated current value. I think what this is talking about is with the method selected, how is that applied. Are you using a discount rate of 30 percent? That would be an obviously inappropriate value or amount.

Q Well, no. It says the presentation of an asset. So this isn't going to the calculation, this is saying how is the asset -- I think this is saying that if you look at the asset, it is on the books at an obviously inappropriate value, that's something you should be looking out for as a GAAP departure.

So I will ask a question.
Since I have just directed you to this.
A Mm-Hm.
Q Is it still appropriate to use one of these
techniques if it comes to an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A I think you are conflating two different concepts, and that's not correct. As I said before, the presentation of the asset is based on the calculations using methods that are under ASC 274. So this is speaking to appropriately using that method and not using inputs that are obviously inappropriate.

Q So there is - I take it your answer is there is no way if you use one of the techniques in ASC 274 you would reach an obviously inappropriate value?

A If the method is appropriately applied, you have complied with ASC 274.

Q So it would therefore not reflect an obviously inappropriate value or amount? I am trying to understand your view. Is it if you use the techniques in the ASC 274 you will not violate this provision right here?

A That's my view.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: I sort of liked my question better.
But what happens if you use the appraisal method and the appraiser had a typographical error and added a zero or subtracted a zero, and you just look at it and you know that can't be right. Can you still say, well, I am using the method. That's the appraisal. And it said $\$ 100$ million and I know it is worth $\$ 100$ billion or $\$ 10$ million. What do you do if the - I know I am asking another question, but it is the same question. What are you supposed to do in your role if you see an appraisal using one of the methods, using as a hypothetical appraisal, and it is obviously wrong? Can you still use it? Can you say, all right, so what it is wrong, but I am using the method.

THE WITNESS: That goes to my prior testimony a few minutes ago that the method needs to be applied correctly in accordance with how it is laid out in ASC
274. For example, using the capitalization of perspective earnings, you probably shouldn't use a zero percent cap rate. That would be obviously inappropriate. And to your example, if there is a clear error with an appraisal, you know, that would be another reason that would need to be revisited. But my testimony is that the -- that ASC 274, to the extent those methods are being applied as described in the standard, and appropriately, you will arrive at an estimated current value that is usable for your financial statements.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Q I believe you also testified that using these
different methods -- the different methods listed in ASC 274 could yield values that varied, differed by an order of magnitude. Is that fair?
A They certainly can.
Q So how are you able to have values that differ by an order of magnitude that both reflect the price at which a willing buyer and willing seller would exchange? If one valuation technique comes to $\$ 1$ million and another comes to $\$ 100$ million, isn't there some objective standard? I guess I am trying to understand, is it your position that a willing buyer and willing seller would agree to both prices?

A Well, first of all, estimated current value is not an exact science. There is not one single correct value that
comes out of this exercise. The standard allows for a range of different methods that can yield a range of results to ultimately lead to a range of values that could be reported. No one of which is the right or wrong answer, it is an estimate. And as long as you have selected one method within ASC 274, disclosing in your financials which one you are using, a user is in a position to agree or disagree or come up with an alternative method.

Q I guess I am asking the difference between difference of degree and difference by orders of magnitude. It would seem that there cannot be two prices that are orders of magnitude different in which a transaction would both take place.

So let me ask, maybe, a question that will clarify this. Does the ind -- do the independent requirements of estimated current value, which requires that it needs to be the willing buyer and willing seller, neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell, does that impose any independent restriction on the values that would come out of the techniques described in the ASC 274?

A I don't understand your question.
Q My question is, using the techniques in ASC 274, they are supposed to reach this definition of estimated current value. Does that definition impose any additional restrictions on whether or not it is appropriate to use one of the particular values -- one of the particular methods in ASC 274?

Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross(Wallace)
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A I don't believe there are any such restrictions in the standard.

Q We talked a little bit about good faith. I just want to know, would someone picking which of the methods to use in ASC 274, would they also need to use good faith in selecting which method they used to value an asset?

MR. KISE: Objection to "good faith" again.
THE COURT: I don't think I am actually overruling myself -- overruled. I think, you know, it is a common expression, "good faith." How about "best effort," would that be better?

MR. KISE: Well, the standard itself presumes if you use one of the six methods you are okay he testified today repeatedly, so there is no good faith component if you pick one of the six. What the Attorney General has been driving at from the beginning is that you have to pick the right one. And this witness has testified repeatedly there isn't a right one. If you pick any of the six you are okay. So there is no -- good faith is an ambiguous concept and a highly subjective one in the concept of this regulation. And I think the witness has testified to that. So I just think the question is an improper question.

THE COURT: I don't think the AG is driving at you have to pick the right one. I think they are maybe
driving at, is it wrong to pick the wrong one.
Overruled.
MR. WALLACE: Can we get the question read back please, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Read back.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
A I am not sure what that means in the context of selecting a method. Because as I said multiple times, any method can be selected to establish estimated current value as provided in the standard.

Q Well, you have also said the methods could lead to numbers that are orders of magnitude different. Doesn't the person need to exhibit good faith in picking, therefore, which of those methods they use and what number they eventually obtain?

MR. KISE: Same objection.
THE COURT: Same ruling.
A A preparer of the financial statements is afforded very broad latitude to pick whatever method they want, whether it is a high value, low value, depending on the intended purpose of the financial statements. The important thing is that that method is disclosed. So, if one is preparing financial statements that would prefer to have higher values and there is a method provided under the standard that enables
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that to happen, there is nothing wrong with that under GAAP, as long as it is disclosed.

And the other end of the spectrum, there are reasons why a set of financial statements might be preferred to present a lower value on the range of acceptable outcomes. And as long as that is selected and disclosed, there is nothing wrong with that either.

The point is that you are communicating that to a user and allowing that user to then be in a position to agree or disagree or come up with an alternative approach.

Q I believe that you have testified that choosing one of the techniques from ASC 274 is a matter of judgment; is that fair?

A That's fair.
Q Okay. And in exercising that judgment, does an individual need to use good faith?

MR. KISE: Objection.
MR. WALLACE: Why don't I --
Q Mr. Flemmons, have you taken the position that reliable accounting judgments are most dependent on good faith? A I don't recall.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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1 Q Do you agree with that statement that reliable accounting judgments are most dependent on good faith?

MR. KISE: Objection.
THE COURT: Overruled. Interesting question.
A I don't disagree with that.
Q Okay.
So I'm asking now, if on this judgment about which technique to use, does the preparer of the financial statement need to use good faith?

A Well, I don't understand how bad faith would be exercised by using any of the allowable methods under ASC-274.

THE COURT: I'll take that to be, no.
So the basic position is if you use these any of these methods, that's okay. You don't need to use good faith in picking which one, because they were all, you know, doable, reasonable. I can't remember the word.

THE WITNESS: I think my answer might be a little different, is that --

THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: -- one is inherently using good faith if they select one of these methods and disclose it to the user.

THE COURT: Okay. I think we are saying the same thing. Thanks.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)
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## screen.)

Q I won't play a memory game with you on some of your testimony from yesterday, Mr. Flemmons, we've put up here a transcript from yesterday.

I would like to direct your attention to the question that begins at line 21 of page 4359.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q The question is:
"Now" -- I'm sorry, this is an exchange between you and Mr. Suarez:
"Now, in the Statement of Financial Condition there is a note that I would like to draw your attention to at page 23 of the exhibit, page 21 of the document.

Can I please draw your attention to where it says: 'As stated in note one, this financial statement does not reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation, except to the extent it has become associated with properties, either operative or under development.'

The question is, is that statement in the Statement of Financial Condition consistent with the method for determining the current estimated value for the golf club properties in the support date?
"ANSWER: Yes. This specifically relates to what we are looking at here in the supporting schedule related to
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)
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brand premium. And this disclosure is notifying users of the financials that, you know, brand premium is part of some of the properties and valuations."

Do you remember that testimony from yesterday?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 730 and go to page 23.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This is the document you were discussing with Mr. Suarez. And if we go to page 23, the language that's being quoted is the first paragraph. It starts, "As stated in note one, this financial statement does not reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation." I won't read the full thing in.

I want to direct your attention, because Mr. Suarez didn't ask you about it. This is the start of section five, real estate licensing developments.

The golf courses are not included in that category of the Statement of Financial Condition are they?
A I don't believe so.
Q So this language that Mr. Suarez read to you yesterday about Donald Trump's worldwide reputation, it's not addressing the valuation of his golf club properties, is it?

## Flemmons - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)
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## A Can you repeat the question, please.

MR. WALLACE: Read back, please.
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested question was read back by the court reporter.)
A I think given the geography of where this is appearing in the disclosures, I would agree with you that it does not appear to relate to the golf properties. However, note one, which is referenced in this paragraph, makes reference to the brand value enhancing the reported values in the Statement of Financial Condition, and that's under the basis of presentation footnote of the Statement of Financial Condition, which is more of an umbrella section that applies to the entirety of the financial statements.
Q Is there any specific reference within the section on the golf courses to Mr. Trump's brand value? If you want, it begins on -- page ten is the club facilities and related real estate.

MR. WALLACE: If we could flip to that. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A I would have to read through these five or six or more pages. I don't recall that disclosure being specifically included, but again, it does appear within a couple of places within the notes, more broadly.
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez) Page 4511

1 Q But the section that you read yesterday doesn't apply to the golf courses in this particular --

A The one that you just read falls under a different section.
Q Mr. Flemmons, at your deposition I asked you if you had ever reviewed an expert report prepared by Professor Eli Bartov of NYU. And you said you had not.

Since your deposition, have you reviewed any expert
report prepared by Professor Bartov?
A I don't believe so.
Q Since your deposition, have you spoken with Professor
Bartov?

## A No.

MR. WALLACE: Nothing further, your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, you promised us some
redirect.
MR. SUAREZ: I did.
THE COURT: Now is the time.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q Good morning, Mr. Flemmons.
A Good morning.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up Plaintiffs Exhibit 1609.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez) Page 4512

## screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: And turn to page 13 of the exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q Mr. Flemmons, Mr. Wallace just showed you and walked you through the definition of estimated current value as it appears in 274-10-55-1; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Now, would you agree with me that we spent most of our time yesterday talking about the definition of estimated current value that appears at ASC-55 -- excuse me, ASC-274-10-55-6, relating to real estate?

A Yes, we walked through that.
Q And these are the methods -- withdrawn.
Are these the methods that would apply to the determination of estimated current value as it relates to real estate assets?
A These are methods that may be used for real estate assets. Um, the other methods that are listed prior to this are also methods that could be used. There is an overlap between those and the methods that are listed here.
Q Are most of the assets that we discussed yesterday real estate assets?
A I believe so.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could please turn to the
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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illustrative guidance that you reviewed with Mr. Wallace yesterday.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q At note four there was a discussion concerning Kenbruce Associates, and we can draw your attention to that. It's on page 17 of the exhibit.

A I see it.
Q Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could also pull up, now, side-by-side, the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition that appears at Plaintiff's Exhibit 730.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q If we could pull up and show the accountant's compilation report.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Does the illustrative guidance identify the significant GAAP departures that are present in the compilation report of President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A I don't believe within the illustrative guidance there is any example of disclosure of a deviation from GAAP.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to the next page of the Statement of Financial Condition.
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: And if we could highlight the paragraph that starts with "Because."
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Does the illustrative guidance contain the disclaimer language that appears in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A No.
Q Does the illustrative guidance -- withdrawn.
What is the effect of this disclaimer language intended to be on the user of the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Well, as I've testified before, this is language that is suggested by AICPA professional guidance for accountant's performing a compilation, that when deviations from GAAP are of such significance, the guidance suggests that the accountant include this language in the accountant's report. And as I've said before, this serves as the highest level warning to a user as to the reliability of the -- the financial information.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could move onto the note on the 2014 Statement of Financial Condition concerning Trump Tower that you reviewed yesterday with Mr. Wallace.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Was the cap rate selected by management available to


Mazars on the supporting data?
A Yes. The capitalization rate that was used was clear within that support.

Q What obligation did Mazars have to understand the basis for the selection of that capitalization rate, if any?

A Well, their responsibilities under professional standards were to review the methods that were being used and how they were being applied, and to the extent there were obvious errors -- we touched on obvious errors earlier -- they would be required to initiate further inquiries and to resolve those issues.

Q Yesterday --
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn on ASC-273 at 1609
that we have up here, if we could pull up 45-13, which appears on page nine of that exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: And blow up the "Adequacy of disclosures."
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q I think yesterday in Mr. Wallace's examination you discussed with him the adequacy of disclosure section of ASC-274. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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1 Q What professional obligation did Mazars have to management concerning the adequacy of disclosures in the Statement of Financial Condition, if any?

A Well, the professional standards that were applicable to Mazars during the compilation do specify that the accountant is required to read the disclosures and to evaluate whether those disclosures are consistent with the methods that are being used.

Q And if Mazars, the accounting firm, was not satisfied with the sufficiency of the disclosures in the Statement of Financial Condition, what obligation would they have under the professional standards, if any?

A They would be required to follow up with, um, in this case, Mr. Trump, or The Trump Organization, and to seek to have the disclosure modified.
Q And if the disclosure was not modified, what obligation would Mazars have under the professional standards, if any?

A Well, I suppose there are a couple of options in that case. In the absence of the disclosure, or absence of the correction was deemed to be significant, and the cautionary language that we just referred to a minute ago was not deemed to be sufficient, as with any kind of GAAP departure, including a disclosure issue, the accountant should seriously consider withdrawing from the engagement.
Q What is the purpose of making sure -- withdrawn.

What is the purpose of disclosing in the notes the method of valuation used in selecting estimated current value?

A So that a user can understand the method that's being used and have the opportunity to agree or disagree and potentially develop a different valuation using a different method.
Q And if the user requires additional information, what can the user do if it's not contained in the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Can you repeat that, please.
Q Sure. If the Statement of Financial Condition doesn't contain information that the user needs to reach their own judgment, what are they able to do, if anything?
A The user will still be able to come up with their own values to the extent the property is identified within the Statement of Financial Condition.
Q If we could turn back to the Statement of Financial Condition, to page two, and focus in, again --
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry, that's page three of the exhibit, page two of the Statement of Financial Condition?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: Focus on the paragraph that starts

Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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"because."

Q And do you see where it says, "Because of the significance and pervasiveness of the matters discussed above make it difficult to assess their impact on the Statement of Financial Condition, users of this financial statement should recognize that they might reach different conclusions about the financial condition of Donald Trump if they had access to a revised Statement of Financial Condition prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America."

## Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q And what does that statement tell the user about the judgments reached in achieving values for assets on the Statement of Financial Condition?
A Well, again, this is language that comes straight out of AICPA professional guidance -- professional standards, and is communicating to the user that the information contained in the Statement of Financial Condition does not comport with GAAP. So user beware.

MR. SUAREZ: And if we could turn to page four of the exhibit on the Statement of Financial Condition, Plaintiff's Exhibit 730, page four of the exhibit?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez) Page 4519

1 Q Do you see where it says "Escrow reserved deposits and prepaid expenses"?

A Yes.
Q Do you see above it it says "Cash and marketable securities"?
A Yes.
Q Yesterday you had some discussion with Mr. Wallace concerning the Vornado cash and the disclosures contained in the
Statement of Financial Condition. I would like to draw your attention to paragraph two of the notes on page six of the exhibit?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: Page seven of the exhibit.
Q At the top it says "Cash and marketable securities represents amounts held by Donald J. Trump, personally, and amounts in operating entities. Included in this amount are common stock, mutual funds, hedge funds, corporate notes and bonds, and United States Treasury Securities, as well as in-transit state tax refund issued prior to June 30, 2014 in the amount of $\$ 3.9$ million. Marketable securities are valued at quoted market prices or estimates of current value by hedge funds."

What does this tell the user about the inclusion of the Vornado cash in Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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1 A Well, the inclusion of the Vornado cash in the Statement of Financial Condition, um, would be covered by the first sentence in this particular disclosure.

Q How is that?
A Because it states that cash among other things, are -are reported on the Statement of Financial Condition that include amounts in operating entities.

MR. SUAREZ: And, in fact, if we turn to page two of the exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: And we pull up the bottom paragraph.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q If you could read the last sentence into the record, please.

A "The accompanying Statement of Financial Condition does not include the required summarized disclosures, and reports some closely held business entities in a manner that separately states gross assets and liabilities and states certain cash positions separately from their related operating entity."

Q How, if at all, does that disclosure by Mazars in the compilation report address the Vornado cash?

A I think this is another disclosure that's very similar to what we saw before that indicates that reported cash on the
J. Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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Statement of Financial Condition includes cash from operating entities.

Q What professional obligation, if any, did Mazars have to provide management of The Trump Organization's direction concerning the disclosure of Vornado cash on the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Well, like the responsibilities with all disclosures, to the extent the notes were inconsistent with the support or not adequate, Mazars would be responsible for following up on that.

Q And if management didn't address Mazars' inquiries to Mazars' satisfaction, what obligation would Mazars' have?

A Similar to what I said before, that it could lead to additional language in the accountant's report to notify users as to the insufficiency or inadequacy of the disclosures, or if it rose to a significant -- was deemed to be a significant issue, it could lead to a withdrawal by Mazars from the compilation engagement.

Q Who professional -- withdrawn.
Yesterday you also discussed with Mr. Wallace, on cross, the disclosure of related party transactions. What professional obligation did Mazars have to advise Trump Organization management concerning the adequacy of disclosures with respect to related party transactions, if any?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. I think this was covered

Flemmons - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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in direct.
MR. SUAREZ: I'm addressing the piece that was covered on cross regarding the related party transactions.

THE COURT: To me it's always an issue if there's direct, there's cross; can we go back? Overruled.

MR. SUAREZ: I won't belabor it.
A Well, to the extent Mazars was aware that there were transactions with related parties as defined in ASC-850, they would be required to ensure that disclosure of those related parties and those transactions, to the extent they were material, were included in the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q And if Mazars was not satisfied with inquiries that they received from management with the response to inquiries they received from management, what would their obligation be?

A Identical to what I testified about before.
Q Yesterday, Mr. Wallace asked you about a number of potential red flags that you had identified throughout the day in your testimony. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Would those potential red flags have been visible to Mazars as well?
A Yes. They were visible to me as I was reviewing the supporting calculations, and those were the same working papers that were provided to and included in Mazars' files.
Q And to be sure, when we discussed Mazars' obligations
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Statement of Financial Condition, so I don't see that there was
a misstatement. So therefore I would not be aware of there being an indicia of fraud on that basis.

Q As a certified fraud examiner, would you consider it an indicia of fraud if the preparer of a financial statement made a mistake?
A I assume from your question you are saying made an honest mistake and it was not intentional.

Q Correct.
A Under the fraud framework, intent is a component. If it was not intentional, it would not be an indicia of fraud.

Q And as a certified fraud examiner, is it an indicia of fraud when the preparer of a financial statement has an optimistic view as to the value of their assets?

## A No.

MR. SUAREZ: If I may have a moment to confer with my colleagues.

THE COURT: Sure.
(Continued on the next page.)

MR. SUAREZ: Pull back up D 950 that you reviewed with Mr. Wallace a moment ago.

And turn to the section on the compilation report concerning a not obviously inappropriate value or amount. The compilation section.
Q Mr. Flemmons, Mr. Wallace asked you concerning the obligation of the accountant preparing the compilation report, the outside accountant, to determine that a value not be presented and an asset -- that an asset or liability not be presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Mazars would have seen --
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I want to note my objection to the last question. I wasn't asking about the accountant, my question was about the issuer.
Q Mr. Wallace asked you about this question, correct? He showed it to you a moment ago?

A Yes.
Q What is the obligation of the accountant preparing a compilation to make sure that an estimated current value amount is not present at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A As we discussed earlier, I mean, the obligation would be to evaluate the appropriateness of the method that was used and that it was properly applied.

Q Mazars would have had access to the supporting data to the Statements of Financial Condition, correct?

A Correct.
Q If Mazars issued the compilation reports, would that indicate that they agreed that values were not presented at an obviously inappropriate value or amount?

A Yes. Unless there were modifications in the accountant's report that included disclosures indicating that they believe that there were amounts that were obviously inappropriate.

Q Do the compilation reports identify any disclosure from Mazars that there were any values that they believed were obviously inappropriate?

A No.
MR. WALLACE: Objection to the extent that the compilation reports cite from Mazars.

MR. SUAREZ: Compilation reports are issued by Mazars.

THE COURT: I don't understand your point, Mr. Wallace.

MR. WALLACE: Who is reporting, the Trump Organization versus Mazars.

THE COURT: I think it was Mazars.
MR. SUAREZ: Right, yeah, they issue the compilation reports.
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accountant's report and the Statement of Financial Condition, that those were appropriate to still issue.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I have no further questions on redirect.

THE COURT: Any recross?
MR. WALLACE: I guess just one question, Your
Honor.

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Mr. Flemmons, when you were at the Securities and Exchange Commission, did you ever encounter issuers who were facing allegations of fraud who tried to throw their accountants under the bus?

MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. What bus are we talking about?

MR. WALLACE: Withdrawn.
THE COURT: All right. I have a series of related questions.

I'll start with: Are you being paid for your testimony and your general work on this case? THE WITNESS: My firm is being paid.
THE COURT: Okay. How much have you billed that's been paid; how much have you billed that has not been paid; and how much will you be billing for beyond what you have already billed? You can estimate.

THE WITNESS: I am sorry?
THE COURT: You can estimate.
THE WITNESS: It is hard to say, because as you - as Your Honor may know, we at my firm have a couple of other experts who are working on this matter, and the way that we have billed our work is on a combined basis. So it is hard for me to really know exactly how much really applies to my work.

THE COURT: So let's get the combined basis then.

THE WITNESS: It is hard for me to say because I have not been involved in the billing process or the collection process. I have an associate of mine who has been handling that. I would be -- I am unable to really give you a meaningful estimate.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else have any follow-up questions? Anything?

MR. WALLACE: Mr. Flemmons, your billable rate for this engagement is $\$ 950$ an hour; is that correct.

THE WITNESS: I believe it is 925 . But again, that is the rate that my firm charges, not me individually.

MR. WALLACE: I am asking about the billing of your time. And do you have a sense of how many hours you have spent on this engagement since its inception?

THE WITNESS: I don't.
THE COURT: Okay. Anything else?
The witness is excused. Thank you.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the stand.)

THE COURT: Are you ready to call your next witness?

MR. KISE: We are, Your Honor. Should we take a break now?

THE COURT: In another few minutes.
MR. KISE: That's fine.
THE COURT: Let's get the witness.
COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon the witness took the stand.
COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand.
STEVEN COLLINS, after having first been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
Please state your name and either home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Steven Collins. My home address is 38 Turkey Hill Road, West Newberry, Massachusetts.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 THE COURT: The zip code? In case we want to | 1 the liability for that variance. |
| 2 write you. | 2 Q Okay. And then where did you work after that? |
| 3 THE WITNESS: 01985 | 3 A Peterson was acquired by the Metzler Group, so I |
| 4 THE COURT: All right. If the microphones are | 4 worked for the Metzler Group. |
| 5 all set up. Let's start. | 5 Q Could you spell that for the court reporter? |
| 6 MS. HERNANDEZ: All right. We are on? | 6 A M-E-T-Z-L-E-R. |
| 7 THE COURT: We are on. | $7 \quad$ Q And what were your responsibilities at Metzler? |
| 8 MS. HERNANDEZ: Great. May it please the Court? | 8 A Similar to Peterson, I was a claims analyst in the |
| 9 THE COURT: Yep. | 9 construction practice. |
| 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION | $10 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ So similar responsibilities? |
| 11 BY MS. HERNANDEZ: | 11 A Similar work, yes. |
| 12 Q Mr. Collins, welcom | 12 Q And where did you work after Metzler? |
| 13 So we are just going to work through some of your | 13 A Metzler was acquired or morphed into Navigant |
| 14 qualifications here. Where did you go to college? | 14 Consulting. |
| 15 A Northeastern University in Boston, Massachusetts. | 15 Q And what were your responsibilities at Navigant? |
| 16 Q What did you study? | 16 A I was, again, in the construction practice and doing |
| 17 A Civil engineering. | 17 claims work; as well as, to draw a distinction, project |
| 18 Q What degree did you obtain? | 18 advisory work, meaning not everything is a dispute, other |
| 19 A Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering. | 19 projects are contemporaneously being executed and clients would |
| 20 Q And after college, where were you employed? | 20 need assistance executing a project without a claim being |
| 21 A A number of places. The first employer was Dugan \& | 21 involve |
| 22 Meyers Construction for a project in Boston. | $22 \quad$ Q And what was your next job after that? |
| 23 Q What were your responsibilities there? | 23 A Navigant sold our disputes and advisory division to |
| 24 A On that project I was a field engineer and then a | 24 Ankura Consulting. |
| 25 construction superintendent. | 25 Q Do you currently work at Ankura consulting? |
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| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ And where did you work after that? | 1 A Yes, I do. |
| 2 A I worked for Carlson Corporation. | 2 Q What is your role? |
| $3 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Carson? | 3 A My title is a Senior Managing Director. |
| 4 A Carlson, C-A-R-L-S-O-N. | 4 Q What responsibilities do you have at Ankura? |
| 5 Q And what were your responsibilities at Carlson? | 5 A I execute assignments. I manage the teams. And I am |
| 6 A I was a construction superintendent there as well. | 6 also responsible for generating the work that we do. |
| $7 \quad$ Q And where did you work after Carlson? | $7 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Okay. And in those roles, what responsibilities did |
| 8 A I worked for AARK Construction A-A-R-K, down in New | 8 you have relating to the procurement of government contracts? |
| 9 York. | 9 A With respect to government contracts, I mean, |
| 10 Q And what were your responsibilities at AARK? | 10 foundationally during my construction days, one of those was a |
| 11 A Superintendent and a project manager. | 11 public project. As a project manager procurement is involved. |
| 12 Q And where did you work after AARK? | 12 Prequalification of subcontractors to perform work to make sure |
| 13 A I worked for Crown Construction in Massachusetts. | 13 they are capable of the work. Developing scopes of work for |
| 14 Q And what were your responsibilities at Crown? | 14 them to perform. Making sure they had the resource capability |
| 15 A Project manager and superintendent. | 15 to perform the work, whether it was financial or whether it was |
| 16 Q And where did you work after that? | 16 manpower, technical capability. And issuing subcontracts, |
| 17 A I then changed careers into consulting and worked for | 17 issuing modifications to subcontracts, and overall procurement |
| 18 Peterson Consulting in Boston. | 18 of that, including changes. |
| 19 Q So what were your responsibilities at Peterson? | 19 As it relates specifically to government contracts, |
| 20 A I was primarily a construction claims analyst. | 20 federal contracts, my first -- my exposure dates back |
| $21 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ And what does that mean? | 21 approximately 20 years with the reconstruction of the |
| 22 A A project would have a plan for execution. During | 22 electrical infrastructure in southern Iraq. |
| 23 execution something would vary during execution, whether it was | $23 \quad$ Q Can you describe that reconstruction experience? |
| 24 time or cost or other things. I would analyze that variance. | 24 A Yes. The work for -- of that particular nature is |
| 25 Typically I would quantify that variance, and then determine | 25 unlike -- it is traditionally uncommon in basic construction |

work. By that I mean, if we were to build this courthouse, typically we would have a complete design, complete specifications and put it out to the marketplace for construction bidders to bid on the work. And they would offer a firm fixed price.

For the work in a war zone, the government doesn't know specifically how much work they are going to be performing. Therefore, rather than have a firm fixed price, they often will issue the work on a cost reimbursable basis for which the contractor gets a fee.

When that work is involved, controlling cost is important. If you are getting fee on cost, the more something costs the more fee there is. The government can't allow something to just cost a large amount and allow fee. They have to have some degree of assurance the contractors in that environment are working with a set of protocols or procedures to help ensure proper procurement in accordance with federal guidelines. My work there first involved development of policies and procedures for procurement for cost reimbursable work for that project in Iraq, which was in the hundreds of millions of dollars.

Q So what does that look like, the development of the policies and procedures?

A To be -- these procedures had to be submitted to the government for approval. In this case the defense contract
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management agency, the defense contract audit agency had to evaluate these procedures. Therefore, they had to apply -comply with the federal acquisition regulations which government control or govern a lot of federal procurement.

THE COURT: I'll just ask the witness to speak a
little louder or a lot louder actually, right into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
A So, they needed to comply with the government's protocols, so those policies and procedures had to be constructed in accordance with the federal acquisition regulations.

Q And what is the federal acquisition regulations?
A The federal acquisition regulations are a part of or Title 48 under the Code of Federal Regulations. The Code of Federal Regulations is a codification of regulations promulgated by the government in a number of subject areas.

Q And it governs procurement?
A Title 48 includes the federal acquisition regulations, which include acquisition and procurement.

Q And so aside from this matter, are you currently working on any other government contract work?

A Yes.
Q Could you describe it, please?
A I am working currently on four -- at least four other

## federal government projects.

Q And very broadly what are those government contracts?
A One involves a federal highway project in the southwest. Another involves a Veteran's Administration project in California. And there are two projects involving the Department of Navy.

Q Have you prepared any request for proposal during your career?

A Yes, I have.
Q Could you please describe that?
A As part of -- well, in my pre-consulting days as a project manager, I would create scopes of work, identify qualified subcontractors, in that instance as a contractor, draft the request for proposals in terms of scope, schedule, contract provisions for execution. I would issue the request for proposal and then take them back for evaluation, ultimately leading to award.

Q And what are the components that you typically see in the request for proposal?

A Well, as far as preparing the proposal, one of the first steps is, in a government proposal that I worked on for instance, in Iraq, there are protocols to prequalify those recipients of the proposal. There will be ethical requirements: The anti-lobbying; anti-gratuity; various ethical requirements; confirmation subcontractors haven't been
debarred from federal work; or in the timing of that.
Thereafter, the prequalification to issue an RFP would involve confirmation of safety; their relevance of experience; recency of experience. Things of that nature.

Thereafter, through the prequalification process there would be a review of their financial capacity, whether it is their bonding capacity, if bonding is required.
Confirmation of their typical project size; their annual revenues; you know, all helping to understand what their capabilities are beyond execution, but financially as well.

Then the RFP would be developed, distributed, evaluation criteria established for reviewing the responses, and then the review would take place with the RFP.

Q And we will talk more in detail about that in a bit. But have you prepared any presentations relating to the procurement of government contracts?

A Yes.
Q And could you describe the nature of that a little bit?

A Some of them are client specific training programs, and others are in the public space. For instance, one of them involves the Christian Doctrine, which is a doctrine important to the contracting community, whereby under that doctrine, if there are certain federal acts in place, whether or not provisions of those acts are in contracts in written form, a
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| :---: | :---: |
| THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order. <br> THE COURT: Let's get the witness back on the witness stand. <br> (Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.) <br> THE COURT: And Ms. Hernandez, please continue. <br> MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, your Honor. <br> Q All right. Mr. Collins, what was the scope of your engagement in this case? <br> A To determine whether the GSA procurement process was fully employed as set forth in the criteria within the RFP through the valuation process and the ultimate award of a lease. <br> Q What documents or -- did you review in reaching your opinion? <br> A The RFP itself, the response, the Trump response to the RFP, exchanges of information after that, including responses in September of ' 11 , I believe, written responses, as well as presentations made for which hard copies were made available to me in December of 2011. <br> Q And did you form your opinions to a reasonable degree of certainty in the field of contract procurement? <br> A Yes. <br> Q And are you being compensated for the work you have done as an expert? | A No. <br> Q So moving to the specifics of the General Services <br> Administration's request for proposal process for the Old Post <br> Office property, could you please generally describe the process that took place? <br> A The GSA generally was seeking market expertise that was qualified in the area of repurposing historical buildings that demonstrated the experience from an execution standpoint for, um, the development, the design, the preservation of the historical nature of the building, the efficiency of the building, or sustainability from an energy usage and conservation standpoint, um, maintenance of the open spaces, um, operation of the facility thereafter, and ultimately to obtain the financial benefit for the government through payment from the developer. <br> Q And the ultimate award here would be what? <br> A The award of the lease. <br> Q And if I say throughout today GSA, would you understand that to mean the General Services Administration? <br> A Yes. <br> Q That will save a little bit of time. <br> MS. HERNANDEZ: So if we could pull up what was pre-marked as Defendant's Exhibit 619. <br> (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) |
| ```Collins - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hemandez) Page 4544 A I receive a salary, yes. Q And you receive a salary from what? A Ankura Consulting. Q What rate is Ankura being compensated for your work here? A I believe it is $925 an hour. Q And approximately how many hours have you spent on this engagement, to date? A It is approximate, but 40 to 60, the range, inclusive of preparation for today. Q Which is still ongoing? A Yes. Q We'll see how many hours we are here. Has anyone asked you to testify in a particular matter in this case? A No. MS. FAHERTY: Objection. THE COURT: Has anyone asked you to testify in a particular matter in this case? MS. FAHERTY: Right. THE COURT: You understand, right? MS. HERNANDEZ: Manner. THE COURT: Like, has anybody coached you. Q Is your compensation conditioned on any particular opinion in this case?``` | (The exhibit was handed to the witness.) <br> Q Mr. Collins, have you seen this document before? <br> A Yes, I have. <br> Q And what is it? <br> A This is the request for proposal for the redevelopment of the Old Post Office. <br> Q Did you review this request for proposal in rendering your opinion? <br> A Yes. <br> MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I would like to move Exhibit D-619 into evidence. <br> THE COURT: Granted it's in. <br> (Defendant's Exhibit D-619 was admitted in evidence.) <br> MS. HERNANDEZ: So if we could go page 13 of this exhibit. <br> (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) <br> Q Do you see where it says, the third bullet point, "For purposes of responsibility, GSA intends to follow the standards set forth in 48 CFR, 9.104-1? <br> A Yes. <br> Q Can you describe what responsibility means in this context? <br> A Within 48 CFR 9.104-1, there are criteria set forth in |
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| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | that provision that determine whether or not a respondent to the |
| 2 | RFP in this case would be responsible. |
| 3 | Q And maybe I should have asked you this first. Are you |
| 4 | familiar with 48 CFR 9.104-1? |
| 5 | A |
| 6 | Yes. |
| 7 | Q |
| 8 | What is the CFR? -- well, I touched on this earlier, but the Code of |
| 8 | Federal Regulations is a codification of federal regulations for |
| 9 | a multitude of areas for which regulations exist. Within that |
| 10 | code it's broken down into 50 Titles, capital T, Titles, of |
| 11 | which Title 48 is one of them. That is the Federal Acquisition |
| 12 | Regulations. |
| 13 | Q And how does this section apply to the procurement |
| 14 | process? |
| 15 | A $\quad$ Well, the criteria for the solicitation and evaluation |
| 16 | of the RFP respondents is set forth in the RFP, but there is a |
| 17 | statement within the GSA's RFP that they intended to follow this |
| 18 | particular CFR for determination of responsibility of the |
| 19 | respondents. |
| 20 | $\quad$ MS. HERNANDEZ: So if we could blow up the section |
| 21 | D right under this. |
| 22 | (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the |
| 23 | screen.) |
| 24 | Q Were these the evaluation factors you were just |
| 25 | referencing? |
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A Within 9.104-1, the majority of the contents of 9.104-1 is subsumed within these criteria.
Q So how does the GSA utilize these evaluation factors in analyzing the proposals they were given?

A Within the -- each of these criteria there's expanded narrative content that describes the requirements for the -- the respondent to address in each of these categories. Each of these categories are weighted, as you could see, by percentages on the screen, totalling 100 percent, based on the factors you'll see to the right of each one of these.

So the GSA uses their expertise in that of those assisting them to score, if you will, the respondent in each of these factors.

Q And what does it mean that the developer's financial capacity and capability is at 15 percent in this factored list?

A That of the total of 100 percent scoring for each of the categories, this particular factor is worth a maximum of 15 percent.
Q And how does that compare to the other factors?
A One other factor is also at 15 percent, which is factor number one. And the other two are of greater importance, or weighted at 35 percent each.

Q And so we are going to move into the detailed description of the factors in the RFP, which is on page 15 of the document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: And we'll blow up the second top of the page, please, Nate.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q So is this the first factor, we looked at, "The
experience and past performance of developer and developer's key personnel"?

A Yes.
Q And so broad level, what does this first factor entail?
A Generally speaking, without reading it verbatim, the GSA is looking for the respondent to demonstrate its experience, and the experience of each of its team members, over a period of time in work relevant to this -- a project of this nature; historical renovation, repurposing and ultimate use.

MS. HERNANDEZ: And then moving to page 17.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This is the second factor we saw; correct?
A Yes.
Q And so this is the developer's site plan and design concept?

A Yes.
Q And what does this factor entail?
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capability criteria entail?
A In this area, they -- again, paraphrasing what is written here, the GSA is looking for the developer/respondent to provide its financials for a certain period of time in terms of scale of project type of project, overall capacity. They are also looking for the same information or similar information from the respondent or developer's financiers to the extent that it has any.
Q Were they seeking any financial statements under factor three?
A Yes.
Q What kind of financial statements?
A They were seeking financial statements in this particular section.

Q Do you recall what kind of financial statements?
A There was criteria for them that they would be auditable, or having been audited, or they would be GAAP compliant.

Q GAAP compliant.
So moving -- and one more question about factor three. Do you recall what weight this was given in the evaluation criteria?

A This was 15 percent.
MS. HERNANDEZ: So moving to page 19.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
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screen.)
Q "The developer's financial offer and supporting financial information", what does this factor entail?

A This, again, paraphrasing, the government is looking for the financial offer from the respondent for the project in terms of - I think they were looking for the net present value outcome. And then there was various financial, um, models that they were going to present to the government for the ultimate offer to the government for return of revenue.

Q Just so we are clear, how does that differ from factor three?

A Well, one is an actual payment. For simplification, section four is what amount will the government ultimately be paid under this lease, whereas under section three, the factor there is to determine the developer's capability to finance the project, because the government is not funding the construction and the design, and all those elements; the developer is. So they are checking his capacity to self-fund during the development of the project.

MS. HERNANDEZ: And moving to page 21, and looking at paragraph three.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What does this paragraph indicate with respect to nonconformity that the GSA may have received in proposals

## submitted?

A This is within the -- the prior page is limitations. The GSA is setting forth the limitations of its rights as it relates to the evaluation process.

Within this specific section, paragraph three, they are stating that they have acts to -- have the right to act in their sole discretion, in many respects, as it relates to the evaluation and award process.

MS. HERNANDEZ: And looking to paragraph six, a little bit further down the page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What does this -- is this paragraph indicating?
A Once again, they are stating, or the GSA is stating that they have their full discretion to interpret the information and apply the selection criteria as they see fit.

Q Okay.
MS. HERNANDEZ: And so we are going to pull up, now, a different document. Plaintiff's Exhibit 1164. I think it's already in evidence.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Collins?
A Yes.
Q Did you review this document as part of reaching your
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opinions in this case?
A Yes, I did.
Q And what is this document?
A This is The Trump Organization's response to the RFP of July of 2011.

MS. HERNANDEZ: so we are going to move to page 153 of the document. This a lengthy document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Is this the type of document, form and substance, that you would expect to be submitted in response to the requests for proposal?

A Each RFP is unique. This one was fairly prescriptive. By "prescriptive", I mean it states for certain portions of the response what size paper to use, the font size to be used, so.
For past experience use $X$ number of pages, you must include a photograph. It was fairly prescriptive. And that's not uncommon to have documents of this size. With what was required under this RFP wouldn't be unusual to me.

Q Okay. Would not be unusual; right?
A Would not be unusual.
Q I heard you right.
So looking at page 153 , what does this section about financial statements indicate?

A Within this section, again, paraphrasing, if I may,

| Collins - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hemandez) Page 4555 | Collins - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hernandez) Page 4557 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 there are qualifications being made by The Trump Organization's <br> 2 response here regarding the contents of their financial | 1 would be the response from The Trump Organization in response to 2 the GSA's questions. |
| 3 condition, and that -- um, I think this is the section where | $3 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ So what question did the GSA have here? |
| 4 they state that they've demonstrated adequate strength. The | 4 A Within 3B, there's questions that the financial |
| 5 | 5 statements have departures from GAAP. And those are addressed |
|  |  |
|  | 7 Q And so did The Trump Organization respond to this |
|  | 8 questio |
| 9 | 9 A Yes. |
| 10 MS. HERNAND | 10 Q And was the GSA satisfied with this response? |
| $11$ | S FAHERTY: |
| 12 | IE COURT: Please explain. |
| 13 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 13 MS. FAHERTY: I believe her question, "Was the GSA |
| 14 screen.) | 14 satisfied with this response?" |
|  | 15 What I've heard so far is just factual testimony |
| $16$ | 16 about the factual occurrences of the OPO. I'm wondering |
| 17 | 17 when we are getting to the expertise. |
| 18 Q Did you review this document in reaching your opinions? | 18 MS. HERNANDEZ: We are laying a factual foundation. |
| 19 A Yes | 19 And he has been sprinkling in throughout his expertise and |
| $20 \quad Q$ | 20 relating it to what he seen in the proces |
|  | 21 THE COURT: Was the question, "Was the GSA |
| 22 A This is the December package, if you will, developed in | 22 satisfied with these responses?" Right? |
| 23 response to GSA's questions that had been submitted, I believe, | 23 MS. FAHERTY: The question was, "Was the GSA |
| 24 in September. So the respondents were given, I think, | 24 satisfied with this response?" Also, I don't understand the |
| 25 approximately an hour and a half each. They were all given the |  |
| Collins - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hemandez) | Collins - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hemandez) Page 4558 |
| 1 th | tion, |
| 2 address questions, et cetera. And this was a response developed | 2 THE COURT: Can we ask if they accepted this |
| 3 that was presented to the GSA, as I understand it, in December | onse? |
| 4 of '11, to ad | MS. HERNANDEZ: Sure |
| 5 Q Can you describe, is this question and answer process | MS. FAHERTY: If he has a basis to know. I don't |
| 6 typical in the procurement process? | 6 know that I've heard the foundation that he has the basis to |
| 7 A Yes. | W. |
| 8 | 8 THE COURT: These basis questions, "Do you know |
| 9 the presen | 9 if...", "Yes." "What's the answer?" Um, if he doesn't |
| 10 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 10 know, he'll say he doesn't know. |
| 11 screen.) | 11 So I'll allow it. Overrule |
| 12 Q Is this | 12 Does the GSA accept the -- talking about the whole |
| 13 three of the RFP? | 13 proposal? |
| 14 A | 14 MS. HERNANDEZ: Just focused on this response. |
| 15 Q And can you remind us what factor three was? | 15 THE COURT: Just factor three. |
| 16 A Factor three was the requirement for the respondent to | 16 MS. HERNANDEZ: Just factor three. |
| 17 demonstrate its financial capacity to execute the project. | 17 THE COURT: If you know, did the GSA accept the |
| 18 MS. HERNANDEZ: And we are going to go to the next | 18 Trump response to factor three? |
|  | 19 THE WITNESS: The GSA does make reference to it in |
| 20 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the | 20 its evaluation board recommendation for award to Trump, so |
| 21 screen.) | 21 they do make reference to these responses. |
| 22 Q What is being presented to the GSA here? | 22 THE COURT: You could cross examine him what the |
| 23 A This is also with respect to factor three. And as I | 23 response was. |
| 24 understand it, the bold text is a restatement by The Trump | 24 MS. HER |
| 25 Organization of questions that the GSA had, and then below that | 25 So if we could pull up Exhibit D-431. |
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## Evaluation Board is?

A In this particular case, the GSA's awarding authority, I believe, is the contracting officer. And that's to whom this is addressed. I believe the contracting officer slash the approving official. For the purposes of this evaluation, the GSA assembled a board, and the board was comprised of four members. The Selection Evaluation Board had four members. I believe three were from the GSA and one was from the FDIC, and then there they were assisted by a seven member Technical Evaluation Team. So their input into the evaluation process is captured within this document.
Q And -
THE COURT: Wait, wait. One second. Let me just clarify or modify my last ruling.

D431, it's in evidence, but not for the truth of its contents. Page 15 may have said, you know, this is a wonderful proposal. It's only in for the sequence of events, basically. This is what the response was. I'm not going to assume it's true or false, just this was their response.

MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, your Honor.
MS. HERNANDEZ: I'm assuming that means Mr. Collins' observations and opinions based on this document, since he is an expert. He can obviously consider hearsay.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Mr. Collins, have you reviewed this document before?
A Yes.
Q And what is this document?
A This is the Source Selection Board of the GSA's report and recommendation.

Q And when did you review this document?
A During the course of my evaluation and report preparation.
Q Did you rely on it in connection with rendering your opinions in this case?

## A Yes.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I would like to move
Defendant's Exhibit 431 into evidence.
MS. FAHERTY: Your Honor, I'll note my objection that this document was previously attempted to be submitted. There's a number of hearsay issues contained within this document, so I'm just going to note my objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 431 was admitted in evidence.)
MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, your Honor.
Q So you briefly testified as to the process earlier, but can you explain, specifically, what the Source Selection

1

THE COURT: I think I would have to hear a particular question. I didn't have any problem with the prior ones.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Great. We'll go through it. Could we go to page three.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MS. HERNANDEZ: And blow up the bottom thene where it says "The members of the SEB were."
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q So this is -- is this what you were describing EARLIER about the SEB and tet members?
A Yes.
Q So you were getting to it, but what is the, role specifically. How does the Technical Evaluation Team support the SEB team?

A Well, in this specific instance, there's not enough information to understand specifically what they did, but a technical evaluation team typically is comprised of members who have specialized expertise beyond that which may be possessed by the actual SEB members themselves.

Here, I believe they were focused on factor two, primarily, maybe only factor two. But within factor two, there are those issues we discussed before in my summary that factor
s - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hernandez)
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two had to deal with the conceptual design, access, egress, historical preservation, the sustainability of the building and other factors.

So using that as an example, that might be a case where the SEB members would rely on special expertise of TET members in those area.
Q This is to review the proposals that they've received; right?

A Yes.
MS. HERNANDEZ: We are going to move to page nine of the document. Can you blow up the recommendation section.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q So what is this section -- does this section entail Mr. Collins?
A This is, rather, a summarization as it relates to the Trump proposal provided by the SEB.
Q And typically, this would explain why the government contract -- or the government is -- let me withdraw that question.

This would explain why the SEB is recommending that a certain developer be selected as the preferred selected developer; correct?
A Yes. With a summarization of main considerations they



| Proceedings |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | MR. ROBERT: Mr. Fields. |
| 2 | THE COURT: Mr. Kise, how come you don't get the |
| 3 | pleasure to question people? You get to a certain stage |
| 4 | in life where you are better off -- I haven't cut off my |
| 5 | sleeves -- but you are better off like Bill Belichick, on |
| 6 | the sidelines. This is a young person's game. And by the |
| 7 | way, be careful what you wish for because there is still |
| 8 | time left. |
| 9 | MR. AMER: Calling it a young person's game, I |
| 10 | take that as a compliment. |
| 11 | MR. KISE: You should. |
| 12 | COURT OFFICER: Judge, are you ready for the |
| 13 | witness? |
| 14 | THE COURT: Yes. |
| 15 | COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. |
| 16 | (Whereupon, the witness took the stand.) |
| 17 | THE COURT: Chris, you are comparing yourself to |
| 18 | Coach Belichick? |
| 19 | MR. KISE: I could probably think of a few other |
| 20 | coaches that are more popular in this state. |
| 21 | COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. |
| 22 | S T E V E N L A P O S A, after having |
| 23 | first been duly sworn was examined and testified as |
| 24 | follows: |
| 25 | COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. |


| Laposa - by Defendant - Direct. |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, right now I think I would |
| 2 | like to be Jimbo Fisher, fired from my job and collecting |
| 3 | \$77 million. That's what I would like. |
| 4 | THE COURT: I'll see if I can arrange it. |
| 5 | COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and |
| 6 | either home or business address for the record. |
| 7 | THE WITNESS: My name is Steven Laposa. The |
| 8 | address is 909 Fannin Street, Suite 2450, Houston, Texas |
| 9 | 77010. |
| 10 | THE COURT: Mr. Fields, please proceed. |
| 11 | MR. FIELDS: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 12 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 13 | BY MR. FIELDS: |
| 14 | Q And good morning, Dr. Laposa? |
| 15 | A $\quad$ Good day. |
| 16 | Q Good afternoon it seems. |
| 17 | I would like to briefly discuss your educational |
| 18 | background. Could you please identify any relevant degrees or |
| 19 | educational achievements after your undergraduate degree? |
| 20 | A $\quad$ Yes. I completed an MBA in real estate and |
| 21 | construction management at the University of Denver in 1989. |
| 22 | And I also completed a Ph.D. at the university of Reading in |
| 23 | England in 2006. |
| 24 | QDid you complete a dissertation as part of your Ph.D. <br> 25 |

A I did.
Q What was the title of your dissertation?
A It was on property development investments in transitional economies.

Q Why did you choose that topic for your dissertation?
A Why did I do that?
Q Why did you choose that topic for your dissertation?
A Goes to a personal aspect of my life. I grew up as a son of an immigrant from Yugoslavia who came through Ellis Island, my grandfather, my family. I grew up with many people in Central Europe and Eastern Europe. And I was in Russia in August of 1991 when the Soviet Union became the former Soviet Union. And I just saw this breakout of capital flows going into transitional economies. So I spent time with the topic of just transitional economies, because that was in my background.

Q Have you ever authored any peer-reviewed articles?
A I have authored numerous peer-reviewed articles.
Q And generally what are some of the subject matters of those articles?
A Real estate economics; real estate valuation trends; real estate capital markets. I have done other one on corporate real estate, but generally it is real estate.
Q Have those articles ever been published?
A They have been published.
Q And what are some of the journals where your articles

## have been published?

A Several have been published in top tier journals such as the Journal of Real Estate Research and the Journal of Property Investment and Finance.

Q What is the process like of having to get your article published?

A The process is you typically, in my 30 plus years of doing this, you present a paper at an academic conference; get feedback; go back with your authors or coauthors, if they exist, continue to improve the quality of the article. Submit it to the editor of a journal, who then turns it to blind reviewers who review it. They give it back to you. The editor submits it back to the author, in this case myself, and we either, you know, correct or improve what they have asked us to do. And so that's what -- then eventually you get it published. It takes a while to do it.

Q Have you ever published on the valuations of hotels apartments and senior housing?

A Yes. Back in the late '90s I did quite a bit of research in those areas, especially in senior housing. And published quite a few papers, as in peer-reviewed articles as well.

Q Have you ever been on the editorial board for a journal?

A Yes. Over my career I have been on the editorial
board of six journals. And as such, when papers come in, just to follow-up the previous response, when someone submits a paper to that journal, they may give it to me to blind review it, to read it, to review it, to see if it actually extends the body of knowledge that is the purpose of doing publications. So, yes.

Q Have you ever done any research regarding real estate markets?

A I have over 30 years. That's a consistent theme of what I have been able to do in the various companies I have worked for. And so, yes, that's the real estate economics; real estate capital markets; real estate cycles, is what I consider, kind of, the main components of that real estate analysis.

Q When you say, "real estate cycles," what do you mean when you say that?

A Um, in general terms real estate goes through cycles, and I am talking about commercial as well as residential. There are four cycles, it is typically it is like a sine wave if you can see it. So a sine waive would have recession, recovery, expansion and contraction. Each one has their own decision rules as to identify where you put a market at a particular time.

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, is there usually real
time on this screen?
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MS. FAHERTY: No.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you. It has been a while since I have been up here.
Q Does your real estate cycle research include forecasting?

A Yes. Beginning when I was with a company in Baltimore, Alex Brown Kleinwort Benson. This was in 1992, right after the RTC days or the Resolution Trust Corporation days.

We had a debacle after the late -- in the late 1980s, went through a recession in '91. And the pension fund and institutional investors were surveyed and said what is the number one thing they want from the research community. Myself. And they said, we want to know more about cycles. So, I started producing, with my director, who is a Ph.D., cycles on quality basis, 50 different $-\mathbf{5 0}$ to 60 different markets and five different property tax, where we looked at historical trends and provided three to five year forecast.

Q Real estate economics and real estate capital markets, can you briefly describe what that is?

A Real estate economics has to do more with the understanding of how real estate markets works. It could be urban economics, as far as how to -- how things -- how companies move, how they grow, how they -- et cetera. It could be just your macro economics, micro regional economics as far
as employment growth, GDP growth, income growth, things of this nature, how they relate to real estate demand and markets.

And then real estate capital markets is where it is more the pricing of the real estate assets. In other words, what is the trends with cap rates and discount rates. And who is investing in the market, who is selling, who is buying.

Q Have you ever won any awards for your research?
A I have.
Q And do you recall when and what award that you won?
A In 2014 I was awarded the Inaugural Scholar Practitioner Award by the American Real Estate Society for Research, that was used, respected, as the plaque says, respected by the academics as well as used in the industry. So I was the first one in 2014. I won other awards dealing with retail research and senior housing, but $I$ consider that one of my best.

Q What is the American Real Estate Society?
A The American Real Estate Society is about 35 years old. It is a worldwide association of primarily 50 percent industry professionals and 50 percent academics, so.

Q Have you ever authored or coauthored any books?
A I have. I have been published in a book on -- a chapter called "Mass Appraisal and Lifestyle Segmentation Profiles and the use of GIS or Geographic Information Systems in the Valuation of a Mass Appraisal for Residential

## Properties."

Q You use the term "mass appraisal," could you define what you mean by that term?

A Yes. It is -- done numerous of these. And it is just where you get a collection of properties, whether it could be a city that has -- well, this one had like four to 5,000 homes that we had to value in a mass appraisal sense. There are times where I have worked with the appraisers in Pricewaterhouse or others who I have been a part of, where it could be five, you know, 2000 convenience stores around the United States. So that's -- it is taking a look at being able to efficiently develop portfolio estimates on a portfolio of various residential or commercial properties.

Q All right. You mentioned Pricewaterhouse, I would like to move into a little bit of your professional background now. Where did you work after you finished your MBA?

A After completing my MBA I started my own company that provided project management and construction management services to clients that were inbound to both industrial and commercial property tax. Due to my work I lived and worked in the Middle East for four years in the early '80s and I did massive projects with industrial gas plants, oil facilities, gold mines, et cetera. As well as, during with that company, JPM Associates, we helped with retail location analysis for a variety of clients.
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use as well as external to our clients. And so that we would be able to provide inputs into the asset management and evaluation and appraisal practices that we did internally on behalf of our clients.

Q So if I understood you correctly your work was used to support the appraisal group; is that a fair representation?

A They were one of the groups that used our research and our analytics, yes, as well as the people that were involved with investments and buying properties and selling properties. We would advise them pretty much on a Monday morning, get on a plane, go where in the United States.
$Q$ And how would the appraisal group or other groups use or rely on the information that you provided to them?

A We provided general -- at that time, market information as far as forecasts of demand, supply, vacancy, rents, cap rates, valuations. So and again, five property tax and 50 to 60 different markets.

Q Did you leave ABKB in approximately 1995 ?
A I did. ABKB merged with Lasalle Partners and Lasalle Investment Advisors in '94. And then in '95 I moved with my -the research director to Pricewaterhouse. And once again we were involved with the real estate valuation group doing providing similar services to the appraisers that worked within our group who did work, again, on behalf of, at that time, clients for PWC, as well as individual clients that we
contracted with.
Q Do you recall the day that you started with Pricewaterhouse?

A Yeah, July 1, 1995.
Q Why is that memorable for you?
A My birthday was the next day. And the second day of that -- when I started at Pricewaterhouse, I was told get on a train from Baltimore and come up to New York, because we were working on the Rockefeller Center bankruptcy.

Q Rockefeller Center bankruptcy?
A Correct. That was back in the mid -- well, it was 1995. The research group that I was part with, again, we prepared the market analysis section of the volume appraisal that we did for -- on behalf of our client.

Q And specifically what was your involvement with regard to the Rockefeller Center bankruptcy?

A Two things. One, because my construction management background I went through all of the empty spaces that were in Rock Center, the 13 million square feet, to identify what the TI cost, Tenant Improvement costs. And so I went through that and identified the empty spaces what it would cost to build -to do the tenant finish. But more importantly, the main focus what I did is to take a look at the market analysis section. We wrote that, beginning with a kind of a funnel, what was going on in the economy, what was going on in New York City as
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a metropolitan area. Then getting down to a submarket. Because in 1995 we start to expand our real estate cycle analysis to not only do a market, but then we started doing submarkets, because we found in the Rockefeller Center bankruptcy that Rock Center submarket, just the area around it, only had about 7 percent of the overall stock in New York City. But it captured approximately 20 percent of all new absorption. So what happened is, that can't theoretically continue. So, what we started doing is looking at how to do forecasts, not only for the market but individual submarkets within cities.

THE COURT: Five minute warning.
Q You mentioned that you looked at the submarket, the area around Rock Center, and also the market New York City as a whole; is that a fair representation of your testimony?

A Yeah, considered a funnel, you look at national, then what is going on in the metropolitan area, a submarket, eventually you get to an peer group, until you get to the individual property.

Q Was there an international aspect to the Rock Center market analysis?

A Yeah, very much so. Because one thing that we looked at is who was active in the market. Not only in New York City but other markets that had property similar to Rock Center. And who was buying and selling in those markets and, you know, the preponderance of the foreign investors and private

| Laposa - by Defendant - Direct. Page 4583 | Dr. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields) Page 4585 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 institutional investors were active in the market. | 1 focused on -- I only had to teach one course a semester. So |
| 2 (The following proceedings were stenographically | 2 primarily it was real estate market analysis every semester. I |
| 3 recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.) | 3 periodically would teach a real estate development class, and at |
| 4 | 4 the end I was a director of the research center there. So I did |
| 5 | 5 a lot of public speaking. And Colorado State is a land grant |
| 6 | 6 university, so we did a lot of research that gave back to the |
| 7 | 7 State of Colorado. |
| 8 | 8 Q Do you recall when you left Colorado State? |
| 9 | 9 A Yeah. Once again, when I left, in 2012, I was |
| 10 | 10 immediately hired by Alvarez \& Marsal. And once again, when I |
| 11 | 11 began with that company, of course Lehman Brothers' bankruptcy |
| 12 | 12 was still on going. And the first thing that they asked me to |
| 13 | 13 do is to look at a portfolio of apartment complexes and units |
| 14 | 14 they had nationally, and using my real estate psychoanalysis, to |
| 15 | 15 determine, to present to the investment committee, should we |
| 16 | 16 buy, should we sell them? What should we do with them? What |
| 17 | 17 was the timing? So this was in 2012, and if I remember right, I |
| 18 | 18 recommended holding at least to 2014. And I'm sure if they held |
| 19 | 19 it further, they would have made more money, but they were |
| 20 | 20 thinking of just doing a fire sale. And I recommended not to do |
| 21 | 21 that based on my real estate psycho and forecast. |
| 22 | 22 THE COURT: Let's talk about 2014 at 2:15. |
| 23 | 23 Lunchtime. See you all at 2:15. |
| 24 | 24 And I'll direct the witness not to discuss this |
| 25 | 25 case, his testimony, or anything related to it during the |
| Dr. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields) Page 4584 | Dr. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields) Page 4586 |
| 1 Q Now, at some point did Price Waterhouse merge? | 1 break. |
| 2 A Correct, yeah. A few years later, Price Waterhouse | 2 Thank you. |
| 3 merged with Coopers \& Lybrand, so the new company was called | 3 (Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned until |
| 4 PWC, for short. But we call it Price Waterhouse Coopers, but | 4 2:15 for the luncheon recess.) |
| 5 eventually everybody knew it in the marketplace as PWC. |  |
| 6 Q Did your responsibilities change after the merger? | 6 AFTERNOON SESSION |
| 7 A After the merger, my responsibilities became more -- I | * * * * * |
| 8 was, at that time, the National Director of Real Estate Research | 8 THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in |
| 9 for PW, and once we merged with Coopers \& Lybrand, my | 9 session. Please be seated and come to order. |
| 10 responsibilities became more global in nature, and so I became | 10 THE COURT: Let's get the witness back on the |
| 11 the director of the global -- I was one of the two directors in | 11 witness stand. |
| 12 the Global Real Estate Strategy and Research Group. | 12 (Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness |
| 13 Q After PWC, did you move into a more educational or | 13 stand.) |
| 14 teaching role? | 14 THE COURT: Before we resume the questioning, let |
| 15 A Yes. I mean, during my time with PWC I completed my | 15 me ask defendants, are all defendants represented here at |
| 16 Ph.D. in England, and then -- so in 2006, after flying around | 16 this point? |
| 17 the world for 150,000 miles a year, my -- I got an offer to kind | 17 MR. FARINA: Good afternoon, your Honor. Michael |
| 18 of just stay in one place, and so I accepted an offer as an | 18 Farina for the defendants. All defendants are now |
| 19 endowed chair in real estate in Colorado State University, and | 19 represented. We have Mr. Gabra in the back, admitted pro |
| 20 in Fort Collins, Colorado. So I became the chair teaching real | 20 hac vice. I understand he is representing some of the |
| 21 estate market analysis for the five years I was there, as well | 21 defendants that Ms. Habba represents. |
| 22 as real estate development courses. | 22 THE COURT: You don't have to go person by person, |
| 23 Q What were some of the courses that you taught at | 23 but they are all represented. Great. |
| 24 Colorado State? | 24 Let's continue with the direct examination. |
| 25 A I focused on -- because I had an endowed chair, I | 25 MR. FIELDS: Thank you, your Honor. |
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A Yes. I am an independent senior advisor to Ankura.
Q What does Ankura do?
A They are a management consulting firm. I work primarily with their Real Estate Advisory and Valuation Group.

Q How do you support the Real Estate Advisory and Valuation Group?

## A Pardon?

Q How do you support that group, the Real Estate Advisory and Valuation Group?

A Sometimes it's through engagements, sometimes - I mean, it's with presentations, proposals, in quite a few cases it's with helping provide them the market analysis research and tools, including my specialty in GIS special analysis to real estate markets.
Q And you discussed GIS before the lunch break, but could you give us a little explanation on what GIS is?

A Sure. It's taking a lot of data that is two
dimensional and making it three dimensional. Um, if you use
Google Earth or Google Maps, you probably have seen just some basic maps, um, and aerial photographs.

What GIS does is integrate economic demographic data at, you know, thousands of variables, whether it's economics, demographics, history, forecast, by, you know, states, counties, census track, block groups, zip codes, et cetera.
Q Approximately, how long have you been involved in the
real estate analytics and valuation arena?
A Since -- I mean, formally, I would say since 1992.
Q Would that be, give or take, about 31 years?
A That would -- yes, about 31 years.
Q I went to law school because I'm terrible at math, so that's why I asked you to confirm that.
A Okay.
Q And you mentioned this a little bit, but has the work that you've done in this arena spanned the globe?

A Yes. Um, especially after PW merged with Coopers \& Lybrand. As I said previously today, my work expanded, so I began working in Europe, the Middle East, Latin and Asia, and again, being PWC works with the Urban Land Institute to produce annual publications on emerging trends, both in North America and Europe and Asia Pacific, and I helped do quite a bit of research for those publications, as well as write several of them.
Q And in what countries have you assisted with market analyses for valuation purposes?
A Um, after the currency crisis in the Asia, I've worked with -- in Seoul, I worked in Tokyo, Hong Kong, Singapore, and then I've also worked in -- across the, um, Europe, in multiple capitals there. And I also provided that type of analysis in the Middle East, and Dubai, and Riyadh, and other places.

Q Have you ever held any professional designations?
. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)
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A Um, for numerous years I held the -- what's called the, um, I was invited to submit my qualifications to be considered as a fellow in the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors.

The Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors is a global industry association group primarily similar to the Appraisal Institute here in the United States, to be designated as a fellow.

You had to submit your qualifications, your career, get interviewed, and I was a fellow in -- with the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors until October 2019.

Q And just to be clear, you said you were invited, but you were admitted into -- as a fellow in the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors?

A Correct.
Q Is there -- withdrawn.
Would it be fair to say that licensed appraisers have relied on information that you provide them, generally throughout your career, to support their conclusions in their appraisals?

A That would be very fair to say.
Q Are you currently a member of the American Real Estate Society?
A I am currently a member of the American Real Estate Society, yes.

Q Generally, briefly, what is the Society? What is the

## Dr. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)

## American Real Estate Society?

A I believe I mentioned this earlier today. It's an international association of industry and academics in primarily with the focus on real estate research and publications and -it's a great group.
Q Did you ever hold any positions with the Society?
A In the early 2000's I was on their board of directors for quite a few years. And I believe in 2008 I was elected to program chair for an annual conference, which eventually I became the vice president and the president of the American Real Estate Society.
Q Are you familiar with the Urban Land Institute?
A I'm also a member of the Urban Land Institute, ULI.
Q What do they do?
A ULI, Urban Land Institute. The ULI is probably one of the world's largest industry associations encompassing the built environment.
Q Have you written publications for the ULI?
A Yes, for a number of years, I think I just said I helped write the -- provide research. I would go into the North American Real Estate -- the emerging trends publications. I wrote the first couple of years, in the European publication, as well as the Asia Pacific. I wrote the first two years in that, as well as the -- they have a book on various market analysis that I helped write a chapter on for the $R$ and $D$ flex property
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## tax.

Q Does the ULI survey some of it's members with some frequency?
A Yes, twice a year a select group of us, and I'm included in one of the $40-35,40$ individuals. They ask us to provide our forecast for various macroeconomic parameters, such as GDP, unemployment, economic GROWTH, et cetera, as well as provide information and forecast as to -- for the next three years on each one of the property types, including the real estate capital markets. It's primarily a real estate capital market semiannual forecast that I'm privileged to participate in.
Q You said approximately 35 or 40 of your surveys out of how many members, give or take?
A I don't know the exact number of how many industry, but I think the last I heard was at least 35,000 .
Q Are you familiar with the Appraisal Institute?
A I'm familiar with the Appraisal Institute, yes.
Q What is the Appraisal Institute?
A The Appraisal Institute is the national body. It's again, an industry association that sets standards for appraisals. They produce quite a bit of publications, continuing Ed. courses, and they do the certifications for members to become licensed. Certified members of the Appraisal Institute are MAI's.

Q Have you ever taught courses for the Appraisal Institute?
A Yes, I have. Myself and another co-presenter, we developed the first ever real estate cycle course, a two-day course, primarily. We went around to numerous cities in the United States and taught a course on how to use real estate cycles with appraisals.
Q Have you ever been qualified as an expert witness in any court?

A Yes.
Q Approximately how many times?
A About five or six.
Q And what was the subject matter, generally, of your testimony in those five or six cases?

A Um, real estate capital markets. Um, I was designated as a real estate capital markets expert in a case. Another is just, again, going back to a common theme, real estate analytics as they apply to appraisals, especially when testifying in property tax appeal courts I've been involved with. So, um, I can't think of too many others.
Q And have you testified as an expert witness at depositions where you ultimately were never qualified as an expert later in court?
A Can I ask a clarification on the question? In depositions that the case eventually settled?
. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)
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Q Let me ask it -- I'll withdraw and ask a simpler question. How many times have you testified as an expert witness outside of court?

A Testified via depositions?
Q Yes, sir.
A Oh, that's about 12 to 15 over my course of my career, yes.

Q Would those 12 or 15 include some of your trial testimony, or is that separate and apart from your trial testimony?
A That would be separate and apart.
Q Okay.
A I'm going back to 1992 on this. Okay?
Q Fair enough.
Were you retained by the defendants in this case to provide an opinion regarding real estate analytics and valuation?

A Yes.
Q Did you have the opportunity to acquaint yourself with the allegations and the Attorney General's complaint in this case?
A Yes.
Q And what did you do to acquaint yourself with the allegations?

A I reviewed the complaint, um, and I, again, focused on
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 areas that they asked me to provide my opinions and analysis on. | valuations. |
| 2 And that had to do with a lot of just the -- the, | 2 MR. SOLOMON: I would just - your Honor, we have |
| 3 allegations of the disparity between what was appraised values | 3 no objection with respect to real estate analytics, but when |
| 4 versus what was on the Statement of Financial Condition | ay "and valuation." We would ask for some clarity as |
| $5 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ Did you review the complaint and also the accompanying | 5 to what that term means, especially in light of the issues |
| 6 exhibits that were a part of the comp | 6 in this case relate to go valuations. |
| 7 A Well, I reviewed the complaint. And by the time I got | THE COURT: For my own benefit, can we distinguish |
| 8 to Exhibits 1 and 2 accompanying that, I noticed that | 8 between analytics and valuations. Simply put, what are |
| 9 | 9 analytics and what are valuations; so we have a better sense |
| 10 time I got to Exhibits 3, which are the actual exhibits, I | 10 of the latter. |
| 11 skimmed | 11 MR. FIELDS: The analytics portion, your Honor, is |
| 12 Q So would it be fair to | 12 the analysis -- |
| 13 time and analysis on the allegations in the complaint as they | 13 THE COURT: Let me ask the witness. |
| 14 related to valuation disparities? | 14 MR. FIELDS: I apologi |
| 15 A Corr | 15 THE COURT: What are real estate analytics, simply |
| 16 Q And after | 16 |
| 17 did you ultimately | 17 THE WITNESS: Simply put, it's the analysis of the |
| 18 certainty in the field of real estate analytics and valuation | 18 data and the methodologies processes that go into an |
| 19 regarding the theories of valuation alleged in the complaint? | 19 appraisal, especially the market analysis section, which I |
| 20 MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, It think we are going to | 20 have quite a bit of experience in. |
| 21 object. It's the cart before the horse. The witness has | 21 THE COURT: And, I mean, I've heard all of your |
| 22 | 22 experience. Just, again, simply put, how does this make you |
| 23 | 23 an expert in valuation -- real estate valuations. That was |
| 24 | 24 the other half of it; right? |
| 25 Q Let me ask you, first, Dr. Laposa, are you being | 25 MR. SOLOMON: It is, your Honor, but I'm not sure |
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| 1 | 1 that the witness can separate the two. I think those two go |
| 2 in this cas | 2 together. I do |
| 3 A Yes. | 3 THE COURT: Oh. |
| 4 Q And what -- are you retained as an expert through th | MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, if we could ask a few |
| 5 Ankura firm? | 5 questions, but in terms of valuations, I don't think you can |
| 6 A | 6 divorce that from the analytics, the market analysis the |
| 7 Q Do you know what the hourly rate is that you are | 7 witness has testified to as being his experience. |
| 8 charging Ankura? | 8 THE COURT: Let me ask the witness. What are you |
| 9 A Yes. | 9 an expert in, simply put? I'm looking for like three, four, |
| 10 Q What | 10 five words here that would help us -- everybody understand |
| 11 A Four hundred | 11 this case. |
| 12 Q And are you familiar with the hourly rate that Ankura | 12 You are an expert in real estate, what? |
| 13 is charging for your work in this case? | 13 THE WITNESS: I do consider myself an expert in |
| 14 A Yes. | 14 real estate |
| 15 Q V | 15 THE COURT: Just give me three or four words. |
| 16 A Eight hundred fifty | 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 17 Q Could you guesstimate approximately how many hours | 17 THE COURT: You consider yourself an expert in real |
| 18 you've spent on this engagement to date? | 18 estate blank. What is the blank? |
| 19 A I would need to confer with my records, but | 19 THE WITNESS: Real estate research, the processes, |
| 20 approximately 325. | 20 and economics. |
| Q And is your compensation in this case conditioned on | 21 THE COURT: Is that satisfactory to defendants? |
| 22 any opinion that you provide? | 22 MR. FIELDS: Sure, your Honor. Perhaps if I ask a |
| 23 A No. | 23 question, it may be able to clear up some of this. |
| 24 MR. FIELDS: At this time, your Honor, I tender | 24 THE COURT: You don't have to clear it up, I don't |
| 25 Dr. Laposa as an expert relating to reality analytics and | 25 think. |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 The plaintiffs, are you okay with that? | 1 Professional Appraisal Practice, or USPAP. |
| 2 MR. SOLOMON: With that limitation, yes, your | 2 Q Have you ever heard the phrase that appraisals are more |
| 3 Honor, we are. | 3 of an art than a science? |
| 4 THE COURT: I hereby declare you an expert, without | 4 A Yes. |
| 5 prejudice to trying to expand it in exactly what you just | $5 \quad$ Q What do you understand that to mean? |
| 6 said, economics, et cetera, et cetera. | 6 A That there is a lot of art, there's a lot of |
| 7 Defendants, is that sufficient as an area of | 7 subjectivity that go into assumptions and into the methods, |
| 8 expertise that I'm qualifying him in. | 8 process of collecting all the data that is necessary to |
| 9 MR. FIELDS: Certainly, your Honor. | 9 eventually determine an estimate appraised value. So that's |
| 10 THE COURT: Great. Let's move on. | 10 when I hear it, I think of all the subjectivities that are just |
| 11 MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, your Honor. | 11 outside the raw collection of data. |
| 12 MR. FIELDS: Can I confer with Mr. Solomon for a | 12 Q We'll get into the subjectivities in a moment. What is |
| 13 second? | 13 a valuation? |
| 14 THE COURT: Of course. | 14 A A "valuation", when I hear that, it's -- does not |
| 15 (Counsel, conferred off the record.) | 15 necessarily have to be conducted or performed or created by a |
| 16 MR. FIELDS: Thank you, your Honor. | 16 licensed appraiser. This could be -- like I was with, my early |
| 17 THE COURT: Sure. | 17 days, with ABKB or LaSalle. We had, at that time, 3 billion to |
| 18 Q All right. Dr. Laposa, I'm going to ask that you give | 185 billion commercial real estate property that we -- and did |
| 19 any opinions you provided to a reasonable degree of certainty in | 19 asset management on behalf of our pension funds and |
| 20 the areas of -- that the Judge just qualified you as an expert | 20 institutional clients. And on a quality basis I've worked with |
| 21 in ; is that fair? | 21 our appraisers to help them determine internal valuations. Not |
| 22 A That's fair. | 22 everybody that did that was a licensed appraiser; some were, |
| 23 Q Okay. | 23 some weren't. But when I hear "valuations" that doesn't |
| 24 Let me just ask you at the outset, you said you | 24 necessarily imply that it's done by a licensed MAI. |
| 25 reviewed the complaint in this case? | 25 Q So would it be fair, then, to say that a valuation is |
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| 1 A Yes. | 1 not always an appraisal? |
| 2 Q Did you have -- what was your general understanding of | 2 A Yes. |
| 3 the allegations in the complaint as they related to valuations? | 3 (Continued on the next page.) |
| 4 A The way that I understand the complaint is that the, | 4 |
| 5 especially when it comes to the 40 Wall Street table that I | 5 |
| 6 looked at, there were, um, valuations based on appraisals versus | 6 |
| 7 the values that were in the Statements of Financial Condition. | 7 |
| 8 So that, to me, was the crux of my expertise, is to be able to | 8 |
| 9 understand that. | 9 |
| 10 Q Now, do you have any opinion whether plaintiff's or | 10 |
| 11 defendant's valuations or appraisals cited in the complaint are | 11 |
| 12 true, accurate, inaccurate, right or wrong? | 12 |
| 13 A I have no opinion. | 13 |
| 14 Q Are you familiar, generally, with the terms "valuation" | 14 |
| 15 and "appraisal"? | 15 |
| 16 A I'm familiar with the two separate terms, yes. | 16 |
| 17 Q What is an appraisal? | 17 |
| 18 A When I hear the word "appraisal", it is -- condones in | 18 |
| 19 my mind, my bias in working with MAI's and licensed appraisers | 19 |
| 20 for 30 plus years, it's an appraisal of a property or properties | 20 |
| 21 by a licensed or certified appraiser. | 21 |
| 22 Q Are there certain standards that an appraiser has to | 22 |
| 23 follow when appraising a property? | 23 |
| 24 A Yes. They are primarily set forth in -- by the | 24 |
| 25 Appraisal Institute -- what's called the Uniform Standards of | 25 |
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Q I am going to ask you a series of questions. And if I use the term "appraisal" I also refer - I am referring to evaluation as well. Okay? And if there is a confusion to or you want some follow-up, feel free to ask. But for purposes of making this flow a little better, if I use the term "appraisal" I am also referring to evaluations. Is that okay?

A Let's go for it.
Q Okay.
Now, are there generally three different methods to appraise property?

A Yes.
Q What are those methods?
A There is the income approach, the sales comparable approach, and the cost approach.

Q Are the -- withdrawn.
Are there approaches that are more often used than others?

A It depends.
MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Are we asking this witness specific information in his career? Is this the market generally? Can we just have some clarification on that?

THE COURT: I think he meant generally. MR. FIELDS: I did, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay.

Laposa - by Defendant - Direct (Fields)
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Can you answer the question as is? Do you need a readback?

MR. FIELDS: I'll narrow it a little.
Q In the commercial real estate industry in your experience what approaches are most often --

A Most often it is the income and sales approach.
Q And what does the sales approach require?
A Sales approach requires an analysis and initially a collection of data of other comparable sales to a subject property in the marketplace, that eventually are filtered as to the most relevant to the subject property that would be used in the estimate of valuation for that property.

Q Have you ever heard the term a "comp"?
A Yeah.
Q Is that generally the sales approach when someone refers to the term a "comp"?

A Yes. But I didn't want to say that. I wanted to forsake the whole big word comparables. If you want to use comp, that's fine for me.

Q For the laypeople, I want them to understand better.
A Okay.
Q Terms that are used.
So how about the income approach? What is the income approach?

A The income approach still requires a collection of
data from the marketplace as far as rents and comparable leases that can be applied. But it is taking a look initially at the -- the cash flows -- the cash flows of the particular subject property, identifying to spread out the leases. The main point is, you are eventually trying to estimate a net operating income, or NOI, for that property, going out maybe five, ten years. It is a forecast period that eventually you discount when you use the NOI to build up a value of the property.

Q You mentioned an NOI or net operating income, can you mention just a few brief examples of what kind of data would go into calculating a net operating income?

A Yeah. It goes two big components: The revenue and also expenses. So the revenue can be what is in the leases; it could be extra revenue that is - that comes into the particular property.

And then the expenses is, it really depends on the property type, what are the expenses. So it could be everything from janitorial services to tenant improvements. It could be quite a few things. So there is a whole list of variables that go in.

And eventually it is revenues minus expenses equal net operating income.

Q Have you heard the term "capitalization rate" before?
A Yes, I have heard the word "capitalization rate."
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And you can also say "cap rate."
Q Perhaps you have heard it too much. But what is generally a cap rate?

A A cap rate is, $I$ am going to use an expression that $I$ used to use as an academic; and you always need to know who Uncle Irv is. Uncle Irv is I over R equals V. And Irv is income, over cap rate, equals value; or $I$ over $R$ equals $V$.

Q What goes into calculating a capitalization rate?
A What goes into it is various aspects of what is going on in the capital markets. But then in my experience appraisers or valuation professionals will go out to the marketplace, identify properties that they have identified that have sold. They particularly will sometimes call the investor or the entity that bought the property and confirm the NOI on the property. And if they know the NOI and they know the value, then they can calculate the cap rate.

Q So in a way is the sales comp approach used on occasion to calculate a capitalization rate?

A Ask that question again.
Q Are some of the processes or procedures that go into determining comparables used in order to determine a capitalization rate?

A I would flip that the other way around. You get the comps first and then determine what the cap rate is. And you will probably have a series of sales that have cap rates that
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you verified that you can then apply to your particular engagement.

Q And when calculating a cap rate, is there a subjective analysis that is employed on behalf of the appraiser to determine a capitalization rate when trying to come up with the income or the value of property under the income approach?

A Well, theoretically it is a straight mathematical formula. You have the income. You have the value. It is the income divided by the value, and you have a cap rate. Now, what you do with it after that, and how you apply a specific cap rate to your subject property is the next step in process, in my experience, in how valuations are done, the estimates of valuation for a subject property.
$Q$ What is the cost approach?
A The cost approach is pretty much what it sounds like. You go to a company like historically Marshall \& Swift, which has various price per square foot components for various property types, and you get their cost approach for a particular property. You can talk to -- you might be able to get the building permit where you actually have the cost. You might be able to interview various general contractors. It is basically just a cost to build the property.

Q I'll fast forward here for a moment. But did you ultimately review appraisals for 40 Wall Street for 2011, 2012 and 2015?
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A I did.
Q And did those appraisals employ both the income and sales comparable approach?

A I believe they did.
Q Okay. So for purposes of our discussion regarding those appraisals, the cost approach is not applicable; is that a fair representation?

A That would be a fair admission in my opinion.
Q Can similarly experienced appraisers disagree about the value of the same property at the same moment in time?

A Yes.
Q Why so?
A There are so many dependent variables on their number.

One, you preface the section when you say appraisals, it could be a licensed appraiser, someone that is not licensed; it could be, again, their training, their education, their bias, do they know the market; what is the purpose of the appraisal or the valuation estimate goes into why they might be different. And it could be a multitude of variables and factors such as their outlook on the market. I mean, there is just -- just selection of their sales comps. I don't know where you want me to stop.

I think you just nodded to me to stop, so.
Q I'll move it along.

So, that question was specifically dealing with appraisers, now can non-appraisers similarly experienced, valuing the same property at the same moment in time, can they disagree about the value of that property?

A Yes.
Q And would it be for the same reasons that you just described with regard to appraisers?

A Yes.
Q Does the disagreement between the appraisers or the non-appraisers indicate that one of the valuations is inaccurate?

A No.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we are just going to object to this line. We talked about this witness being qualified as an expert on real estate market trends. But now it seems that the questions are going into valuations done by appraisers and non-appraisers and whether or not one might be right or not be right or neither or both. I think it is a field for this witness's qualification as an expert.

THE COURT: Let's ask the witness. Do you feel that you are qualified to be give expert opinions on different valuation methods and discrepancies?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: You have to talk into the mic.

I'll allow it. There is no jury. I see no harm. But I hope we will move along faster, because, you know, this is déjà vu all over again, can experts reach different conclusions. We all know that.

MR. FIELDS: I certainly will move it along.
Your Honor, there is going to be a lot of subjectivities we will discuss in a few moments that ultimately lead up to this conclusion. But I'll move it along.
Q Could -- all right. We have established that similarly situated folks can disagree with the same property at the same moment in time. My question now is, could the purpose of doing the valuation or the appraisal be one of the reasons for those parties to disagree?

A Yes.
Q Why?
A In my experience working with eminent domain cases and environmental damage cases and bankruptcy and divorce, big divorce settlements, I have seen very -- and whether it is a property -- whether an appraisal done for a property tax assessment, I have worked on all of those with the firms I have been a part of. And I have definitely seen they can come to different conclusions, so, even on the same property.

Q Are you familiar with lender ordered appraisals?
A Yes.
Q And what generally are lender ordered appraisals?

A Well, as I stated, they are ordered by the lender. And being a student of the federal reserves they have a flow of funds. I mean, ever since '92 I have monitored the federal reserve flow of funds. And one of the flow of funds, $I$ believe it is 210 , is the level of commercial mortgages across the United States over time. And you can see at certain times when commercial real estate is blamed for debacles in the economy. And so after ' 86 , after ' 91 , after 2001, especially after the great recession, you can see how banks and the commercial mortgage flows significantly declined.

And as going back to my comments about real estate cycles, there are two-points in a cycle that cause most confusion, when are you at the bottom, when are you at the top, but finally, are you in a recovery, especially with banks. I have worked with banks, especially after a great recession, it is -- the conservative nature of bank appraisals to me, shows up very clearly. I mean the Dodd Frank Law in 2010, there was a huge publication by the Federal Reserve, the OCC, the FDIC in 2010 that impacted a lot of residential appraisals and that flowed indirectly to how banks viewed commercial real estate appraisals to being, let's really be conservative, so we understand the risk assessments. That was huge in my experience back in those days. And, you know, because you want to know two things: What is the loan-to-value and what is the debt service coverage ratio. So, those are -- so banks to me
the primary ones.
Q Are you familiar with the term the discount cash flow model?

A Yes.
Q And what is that?
A The discount cash flow model is where you are forecasting a series of NOIs for a particular property. And simply put, it is -- it is you are discounting those future cash flows at a certain rate to come to a present value, which you can then cap to become -- to estimate then, the value.

Q In your approximately 31 years of experience, how common have you found disagreement between appraisers to be regarding the value of real property?

MR. SOLOMON: I am going to object and ask for a clarification now, when counsel is using the term "appraisers" is he talking about professional appraisers, because he said earlier appraisers and people doing valuations. So if we could have a clear record on this I think it would be preferable for everyone.

THE COURT: Withdrawn. And rephrase it. MR. FIELDS: Yes, Your Honor, certainly. Withdrawn.
Q In your 30 years of experience, give or take, how common is it for appraisers, and I am using that term specifically, appraisers, to disagree about the value of real
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are more conservative, especially during times after a recession.

Q What do you mean by "more conservative"?
A The, you know, the rent growth estimates that may be used in an appraisal may be, you know, very low number, may be 0 percent may be 2 percent, may be even 1 percent if they are really out there on the limb. But you don't see, when you take a look at historical annual growth rates of rents, they are not stabilized. They are -- they go up, they come down based upon, again, those real estate factors I mentioned earlier today. So to just come into a stabilized, you know, 3 percent or 2.5 percent, you know, they are just more conservative when the market is probably saying, hey, demand is picking up, vacancies are coming down, occupancies are going up, NOI is going up, cap rates are going down. Even though they may see hints of that, they will still be on the conservative side.

Q What is your understanding of why lenders prefer a more conservative approach to an appraisal?

A They are always looking on the down side. You know, if the property appreciates, that's good news for the, you know, their client. But they want to make sure they are going to get their mortgage paid off and with principal and interest. So they are being conservative. They are definitely going to look more at the risk assessments surrounding the loan-to-value, as well as the debt service coverage ratios to

## property?

A Very common.
Q All right. Now in your over 30 years of experience how common is it for non-appraisers to disagree about the value of real property?

A Very common too.
Q And you understand my question to mean the same real property, right?

A Correct.
Q We have talked a little bill about lender-ordered appraisals. Are there any other types of appraisals that come to mind that you are familiar with based on your experience?

A Having been involved in property tax appeals, I am definitely very well acquainted with that. I have also seen on, especially on environmental litigations, I have seen that. As well as sometimes just what you call an event study. And I published on this as well. It is sometimes you look, and this goes with environmental, publish a paper on a wind farm announcement, you know, what did that do to values before and after. So that's more what you would call an event study, where you are looking at the -- that's more residential. So, not so much commercial.

I'll be quiet.
Q You talked about Rockefeller Center's bankruptcy, also one of the areas for which appraisals arise?
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have MBAs who are really smart in finance and economics, and they can prepare valuations internally for our clients as well, so.

Q I would like to talk to you a little bit about market conditions. You discussed or testified earlier about market conditions already. But how, generally, do market conditions - how can they affect an appraised value of real property or a non-appraised value of real property?

A Market conditions, and by that what comes to mind again is my background in real estate cycles and forecasting and analysis, can affect, quite a bit, I mean very significant. Because what you are trying to say is here is a value as of a certain date. It is one thing to have a 100 percent leased industrial property that has got a ten-year lease, Fed Ex. That's one thing. If you have a multi-tenant building of 500,000 square feet or a regional mall with 2 million square feet, and all of these tenants, and some leases are coming and some are not, you are trying to determine what is the likelihood of lease renewals. Leased people, you know, they are just saying rents are too high we are leaving. There are so many factors that go into determining the valuation based, again, to the market trends. And as an appraiser or a valuation professional, how do they understand the market? How do they understand the history? How do they understand the signals that are out there already that says, again, especially
at the bottom or the peek, have we turned, have we reached equilibrium? What are the metrics that you identify? And I have been studying this for 30 years and it is still exciting.

Q Specific to the income approach, do market conditions factor into the net operating income, the capitalization rate, or both?

A They factor into everything. And again, going back to -- I hate to keep going back to real estate cycles, but when we taught that for the Appraisal Institute, it was very gratifying across the cities we went, to have appraisers going thank you for even teaching us about this. And the one thing we tried to teach them is what were those signals. So if you don't understand where you are in the real estate cycle, and you come up with -- in all of these discounted cash flow analysis, even sales comps, you have to understand the cycle and where you are, because, I have published on this, the relationship of real estate cycles and the investor composition in the market. Who is buying, who is selling.

I mean, I hope I am not going on too far but you know when you saw the private equity companies buying, it was typically the pension funds that were selling. And as the market, you know, started to recover, you would see the private equity firms selling to the pension funds. And it was fascinating for me to see who is buying and selling, because it is not, you know, it is not equal across time and across
markets and across property tax. Again it is a dynamic field of study.

Q Are you familiar with how developers and appraisers look at market conditions differently or the same?

A Yes.
Q And how do to they differ?
A Part of what I did with the ULI on putting together the emerging trends. We used to do personal surveys sometimes of 200 firms, and 200 individuals. Some would be CEOs of property owners, developers, some would be even architects, appraisers.

And generally overtime the, it is my experience, that the owners, investors, developers are much more optimistic, especially when the market is in a recession recovery phase, than appraisers. And appraisers may be limited by what they are asked to do. You know, as far as how -- how they interpret the data, I will say it that way. But they may just want to say, what is the worst case scenario for this property, so they can't be optimistic in rent growth, et cetera, that the market would actually provide, so.

Q You said that appraiser -- I think I heard you say, correct me if I am wrong, but that appraisers may be limited by what they are asked to do. How would those limitations come about?

A Well, in my experience, working again with the firms
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| 1 | I have identified today, sometimes they want to -- I mean our | 1 |
| 2 | clients will say, okay, we just had the 2001 recession, you | 2 |
| 3 | know. There was -- there was a national tragedy, there was, I | 3 |
| 4 | mean the World Trade Center, I mean what would happen to | 4 |
| 5 | downtown office buildings? So we had to put together white | 5 |
| 6 | paper top leadership on what was going on with downtown office | 6 |
| 7 | buildings. | 7 |
| 8 | And coincidentally, I have been asked to take a look | 8 |
| 9 | at those again with the great themes of work-from-home and what | 9 |
| 10 | will happen to office buildings. So sometimes you just trying | 10 |
| 11 | to get a valuation based upon worse case scenarios. | 11 |
| 12 | Q All right. We have discussed the term | 12 |
| 13 | subjectivities. Dr. Laposa, when I mention the term | 13 |
| 14 | subjectivities, what do you generally understand that to mean? | 14 |
| 15 | A I think in my initial report, I can't remember the | 15 |
| 16 | exact paragraph, but I list quite a few that are in my initial | 16 |
| 17 | report. And if you want I can ad lib right now. But -- | 17 |
| 18 | Q I won't ask you to ad lib. Would it help refresh | 18 |
| 19 | your recollection to take a look at your report to determine | 19 |
| 20 | some of the subjectivities that you identified? | 20 |
| 21 | A Sure. But I can probably name quite a few of them. | 21 |
| 22 | Q Okay. | 22 |
| 23 | A But if you have the report, I'll take a look at the | 23 |
| 24 | report. | 24 |
| 25 | Q Certainly. | 25 |
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MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up Dr. Laposa's report just to refresh his recollection. Paragraph 35. That probably doesn't help you, you need a page number, huh?
Q Dr. Laposa, perhaps name a few off the top of your head.

A Well there you go, right there.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q Yeah, here is some I listed. Just let's say you are in a marketplace and doing an appraisal of an office building. What if there is 50 sales comps within six months or some time period. Out of the 650 or so, what criteria do you use to filter them? Is it just strictly the, you know, how do you determine which ones are those from the population you are going to use. And then once you get that down and filtered, what adjustments are you going to make to whether the size or the age of the property, the location of the property, all of those are, you know, subjectivities.

Then a lot of appraisers, in valuation in my experience that I have worked with, will use companies like CoStar or REIS, they will buy the third-party and sell market history and forecast supply. Will they use those datas or not? And then how do they select and adjust the relevant cap rates they will use.

Like I said before, empirical evidence shows the composition of investors is not, you know, equal across time. So if you are in the marketplace and all of a sudden you notice a lot of private equity companies are buying things, maybe the cap rates are different than what a REIT may buy, which is going to use leverage or pension funds which sometimes are all cash buyers.

If you keep going down -- can I?
MR. FIELDS: Can I stop you right there?
Q Are you -- if I mention the word bias in terms of an appraiser or a non-appraiser, what does that mean to you in your profession?

A Well, that bias doesn't necessarily imply it is good or bad. Sometimes they have biases based on a lot of experience. If they -- I have worked with some appraisers that are really good in regional malls or power centers. They have a bias there, they know that marketplace very well.

If you have a bias that you always say, well, here is where I go get information, here is how I always adjust, it is always the same, maybe those are times where you need to be challenged by somebody outside. But that's what $I$, when you say bias, that's what I hear in my brain.

Q Would it be fair to say that appraisers and non-appraisers are exercising judgment when trying to come up with a value of property?

A Yes, I mean, you don't need to go to Exhibit 1, but Exhibit 1 of mine has actual word/phrases from the 15th Edition of the Appraisal Real Estate published by the Appraisal Institute. And they use that word, "judgment," quite a bit, and "transparency" quite a bit in that exhibit. So, yes.

Q All right. Let's switch gears Dr. Laposa, to discuss the terms "market value" and "investment value." Are you familiar with both of those terms?

A I am familiar with both of those terms.
Q What is market value?
A Market value, when I hear that and for the sake of this afternoon, when I hear that I am going to think a licensed appraiser. Is that fair to say?

Q Fair. So have you heard "willing buyer" and "willing seller"?

A I have heard those phrases for sure.
Estimated market value, as prepared by a licensed appraiser, an MAI, is an estimate of market value that assumes a willing seller and a willing buyer.

Q So would it be the price that a property is most likely to sell for today, assuming there was a willing buyer and a willing seller?

A Yes. And I would also concur that most likely there is a key phrase, because they will put a single point in their
appraisal, but normally they will go through the mechanisms to have ranges that may -- that this probability, this distribution of potential values is coming from. I mean, that comes from both the income and the sales approach. And then the appraiser will actually then blend them and say here is one that I am going to rely on more, give more weight to, as far as the estimate of the value.

Q Now, in contrast, what is an investment value?
A Investment value has to find specifically, by not only the Appraisal Institute but is in the literature, which I have included numerous examples in my initial report, investment value is a value for a specific investor with a relationship with a property. And that's how they use it in the appraisals, so I don't know what that means. But it is specific to an investor. It may not -- you know, you go to an investor and they say, here is how I want my property to be appraised, either internally or with a licensed appraiser. But it is -- it is only, it may be typical of the market, chances are it is atypical of the market. So it is specific to an investor.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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Q And you said "investor." Can that term be used synonymously with a developer?
A It could be a developer and owner of a property, yes.
Q How is investment value different from market value, and how can they be the same, if at all?
A Well, let's first of all figure out how can they be the same. If the investor's parameters for the appraisal equal, mimic the market value, then they are going to be the same. Then the invested value can theoretically be the same as the market.

If the investor says, "I want to use a six percent cap rate" on this because that's what I heard the market is, great. Then it's probably going to be the same, just one of the parameters.

But if the investment value is based upon a distinct, unique, non-inclusive parameters, that may be atypical from the market -- most chances they are -- then the value that is estimated is that that invested value is going to be different from the market value.
Q So we've discussed subjectivities. And I want to ask you, now, in the context of investment value. Could -- let me withdraw that.

In the context of investment value, could an investor or developer rely on different subjectivities than what the market, at the time, is dictating?

A Yes, but I would also -- those, um, the parameters that go into the valuation, yes, they can definitely be different. I've seen that.
Q So, for example, you mentioned rent growth rate earlier. Is that rent growth rate one of the factors that will ultimately result in a net operating income under the income approach?

A Yes.
Q And if the market is dictating a seven percent rent growth rate, but an investor, developer chooses to use a ten percent rent growth rate, is that an example where the market value and the investment value will differ because of that subjectivity?
A Holding all else constant.
Q And let's talk now about the denominator from IRV, the cap rate -- I-R-V, IRV. If the market is dictating a four percent cap rate, but an investor or developer chooses to use a six percent, or two percent cap rate, one way or the other, usually -- let me withdraw that.

For the capitalization rates, if the market is dictating a four percent cap rate, but an investor or developer chooses to use a two percent cap rate, is that also an example where the market and investment value would differ, assuming everything else is held constant?
A Yes.
r. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)
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Q And sticking to that same example of two percent for the developer and four percent for the market, if for some reason the developer chooses to use a four percent cap rate, because that's their belief of the market, could that be the situation where the market value and the investment value would be the same if everything else is held constant?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with whether there are such things as market value and investment value appraisals?

A Yes.
THE COURT: Let's -- five-minute break.
Q How, if at all, would one know whether an appraisal is appraising market or investment value?

A Again, going to the definition, if there's an investment appraised valuation, it would be done by a licensed appraiser, in my mind, as well as the appraiser will be giving -- will be given parameters that -- from the client, or the investor to use in the, um, in the appraisal.

Q If an appraisal is an investment value appraisal, will it specify it is, in fact, an investment value appraisal?
A I believe the USPAP requires them to limit their appraisal value, yes.

Q In contrast, if an appraisal is a market value appraisal, will it specify that it is indeed a market value appraisal?
witness's testimony, in large part, is, as he's testified -THE COURT: Be careful, because he's still here.
MR. FIELDS: Just what he's testified to. That he reviewed the appraisals, particularly the appraisals for 40 Wall Street, and the complaint, and --

MR. SOLOMON: I'm waiting for you to see if we should excuse the witness before going forward with this conversation, your Honor. Or, if your Honor gives the five-minute break, take our break, and then continue this. I don't mean to impinge upon the Court's discretion --

THE COURT: That's fine. Let's take the 15 -minute break. I'll make it simple. And then when we come back we can address this with fresh minds.

MR. SOLOMON: I apologize, your Honor. I didn't mean to suggest what we should do.

THE COURT: No apology necessary. So 15-minute break.

And I'll direct the witness not to discuss the case or his testimony.
(Whereupon, a 15-minute break was agreed upon and taken by all parties.)

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: To me it's not even close. Objection overruled. There's no jury. And given this guy's

A Yes. There are certainly limitations I've seen in all. Once you get an appraisal back from an appraiser there is all conditions, limitations and -- so, yes, I've seen that.
Q And we'll fast forward again for a moment and come back to this, but for the 2011, 2012, and 201540 Wall Street appraisals that you've reviewed, were those market value or investment value appraisals?
A Those were market values.
Q So in the context of appraisals and non-appraisal valuation, can the concepts of market value and investment value be used similarly, regardless of whether it's being done for an appraisal or a valuation?

MR. SOLOMON: We are going to continue our objection to this line. Real estate -- his expertise was in market trends and the like. I'm not trying to quote what your Honor had said earlier with respect to his expertise, but we are in valuations.

We've let it go for a while. They are talking about would an appraisal be X? Would an appraisal be Y? Would USPAP require disclosure that it's an investment value versus a market value?

He is asking this witness to testify about
appraisals and USPAP requirements, I believe we've heard. And this witness is not an expert in USPAP or appraisals.

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, the purpose of this
experience, 30 years plus, and doing all those different real estate things, I want to hear what he has to say about valuation. So let's proceed. Let's get the witness. Thanks, Mike.

Mr. Solomon, thanks for being gracious in defeat.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, your Honor, or you're welcome, your Honor.

THE COURT: Any idea how much longer on the direct?
MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, I expect it will probably go the balance of the afternoon.

THE COURT: Do you think you'll at least finish -do you expect to finish by 4:30.

MR. FIELDS: I would say it's probably very unlikely.

THE COURT: Very?
MR. FIELDS: Unlikely.
THE COURT: Well, but try.
MR. FIELDS: I will certainly do my best.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Okay. Let's proceed.
Q Dr. Laposa, before we broke I had asked you whether the -- in the context of appraisals or non-appraisals, whether the concepts of market value and investment value generally applied the same way?

Page 4630
A The methodologies in each one?
Q Yes. Are the methodologies applied the same way whether you are doing an appraisal or whether you are just doing a valuation but not an appraisal?
A Generally, I would say, yes.
Q From your review of the complaint in this action, were you able to determine whether the complaint draws a distinction between market value and investment value?
A Yes.
Q And what was -- what did you find?
A That the complaint uses market values.
Q Did you find, from your review of the complaint, that it considered whether an investment value appraisal or valuation could result in a higher value than a market value appraisal?
A I found the complaint silent on that matter.
Q Were you able to form an opinion as to what the
Attorney General's overall theory is relating to the defendant's property valuations?

A Yes.
Q What is that opinion?
A That they allege that the appraisal values are true values.

THE COURT: I'm sorry just read back the last answer.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back
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by the court reporter.)
THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, do you want to -- I didn't
hear the last few words of the answer.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I think the answer was,
if I'm reading the screen correctly, but --
THE COURT: You have to talk into the microphone.
MR. SOLOMON: "That they allege that the appraisal values are the true values."

THE COURT: Thank you.
Q Is that what you said, Dr. Laposa?
A Yes. I would clarify that as the benchmark values.
Q Who do you mean by the "benchmark values"?
A The allegations of inflated values have to be compared against some values. So in my analysis and opinions and review of the complaint, they are using the appraised values for 2011, 2012 and 2015 as the benchmark values.

Q In your view, how does the lack of consideration of investment value in the complaint affect what you understand to be the Attorney General's theory?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection, your Honor. Relevance. THE COURT: Overruled.
THE WITNESS: Can I answer?
THE COURT: "Overruled" means you can answer.
I thought you were going to say leading, but you
didn't. So let's -- do you need a read back of the
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question.
THE WITNESS: Please.
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested question was read back by
the court reporter.)
A In my opinion, it's flawed.
Q What is flawed?
A The allegations that they are inflated. If you don't consider the investment value, it's a possibility, then, you are focusing only on market values.

Q All right. I would like to focus, now, on what you did to identify or conform -- confirm, or not, whether the defendant's valuations were true, accurate, not accurate.

So other than what you read in the complaint, did you review any documents that identified how the defendants elected to value their properties during the years that the Attorney General alleges the values were inflated?

A Yes.
Q You did review other documents other than --
A Oh, no. I only reviewed the 2011, '12 and '15 appraisals.

Q But I want to focus specifically on the allegations in the complaint relating to the defendants valuation figures. Okay? So do you have an opinion one way --

THE COURT: You can't just nod your head. You have
to say "yes" or "no."
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. FIELDS: So I'll re-ask it, your Honor.
Q I want to focus, for a moment, on the allegations that you reviewed with regard to the defendant's valuations in the complaint?

A Okay.
Q So my question is, do you have an opinion, one way or the other, whether the defendant's valuations are accurate, inaccurate, true, false, inflated or not inflated?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Overruled.
A I have no opinion.
Q Do you know what methods the defendants used to value their properties?

A No.
Q So as far as you are concerned, Dr. Laposa, the defendants' valuations could have been investment values or they could have been market values?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: You have to ask him --
MR. SOLOMON: Also, your Honor, the objection is lack of foundation since witness has testified he didn't do anything to analyze or opine upon the defendants' valuations.

A Generally, yes.
Q What were they?
A The town valued the property at 900 million and the owner valued it at approximately 500 million.

Q And were the two appraisals conducted by two licensed appraisers?
A Yes.
Q So there was a $\$ 400$ million difference in this instance at the Palisades Mall in Rockland County between two licensed appraisers?
A Correct.
Q Were those both market value appraisals?
A Yes.
Q And would you describe that as a variance between those
figures, or even an extreme variance?
MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Granted.
You could ask him how he would describe it, but not is it --
Q How would you describe the difference or the variance between the two figures?
A Obviously there is a difference between 900 and 500 million.
Q What was your reaction when you an analyzed both of those market value appraisals?
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1 A Well, my initial reaction, in typical, is, especially with property tax appeal work that I've conducted, or have been engaged as an expert, is to, once again, my expertise in the market section of an appraisal.

So when I look at that particular chapter in an appraisal, I consider myself extremely experienced in analyzing the methods that are used, and how they use, how they collected the data, what they did.

So the fact that there's a variance, that doesn't surprise me. You know, it's now you get into -- you have to go below that. What did they use? What were the cap rates? The Town's appraiser used a cap rate that I found quite amazing, because during this time it was, I believe, right after the great recession. The entire commercial transaction volume for, um, reaching malls at that time was $\$ 1$ billion that year.

So, basically, he's assuming a sale comp. on that total, you know, he's saying it's 900 million. So, again, not to get into weeds, but we just have to go through what was his cap rate? What was our - what was the owner's cap rates? How did they adjust, you know, leasing and et cetera? So once you get below that, then you could explain the difference. So that's what we -- that was what they asked me to do, so.
Q When you say "go below that", are you referring to the subjectivities that we discussed --
A Yes.

Q -- this afternoon?
A All of those that go into that. You know, you start with a macroeconomics. What was retail sales? What was online sales, online retail? What was going on with Rockland County economy, employment? There is so much detail that goes below that. So yes, it's all of those that eventually factor into the market analysis section of an appraisal that eventually leads to the -- to how the forecasts are done and the DCF, et cetera. So, yes.
Q Are the terms "market value" and "investment value" discussed in literature that you included as part of your report?
A Yes. I have a whole opinion with citations throughout my report.
Q And what do you consider to be the gold standard for your field, as it relates to the literature.
A Well, for one, you always have to go to the Appraisal Institute, Exhibit 1 in my report. I specifically include some texts from the Appraisal Institute, 15th Edition, that talks about invested value and how it differs from market value. But subsequent, because of my training and experience and expertise in academics, I also went to the literature to look at what was peer-reviewed and published. One of my former chairman on my Ph.D. program is, you know, just retired as the real estate professor at Oxford University wrote a whole book on this topic.
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So I looked at what he wrote. And so, again, it's my experience, the gold standard of the Appraisal Institute's 15th Edition, the academic literature that's been peer-reviewed and out there in the marketplace. So that collective body of knowledge is what I used.
Q And are the terms market value and investment value discussed in the Appraisal Institute's 15th Edition that you just mentioned?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Quick interruption. I need a front bar. Mr. Fields, and anybody from the plaintiff's table, or chairs.
(There was a side bar at the bench among the Court and Counsel.)
(Continued on the next page.)
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THE COURT: Sorry for the interruption, but I think you were collecting your thoughts anyway.

MR. FIELDS: I was, Your Honor. I appreciate the extra few minutes.
Q Dr. Laposa, I am -- you testified earlier that you had an opportunity to review the 2011, 2012 and 201540 Wall Street appraisals?

A Correct.
Q Okay. I would like to show you a few pages, select pages from some of these appraisals. And I'll ask you a couple of questions regarding them.

MR. FIELDS: Can we please pull up Plaintiffs
3208 that's been admitted? And we will go to page 130.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q Are you there, Dr. Laposa?
A I am.
Q All right. Before I ask you a couple of questions regarding this, but just to be on the same page here, you understand this to be the appraisal report prepared for Capital One Bank for 40 Wall Street as of November 1, 2012?

A I believe so.
Q On the fourth page of the exhibit you will see a coversheet.

A Okay.

Q All right. So on page 130 in the middle of the page there is a subheading titled: Office Market Rental Growth Rate. And it says: "Based on our recent survey buyers' expectations in the downtown Manhattan real estate market anticipate rents to increase at CPI."

Is that CPI the Consumer Price Index?
A That's true.
Q "These changes in market conditions result in C\&W's leasing" --

Do you understand C\&W to be Cushman \& Wakefield?
A Yes.
Q "Brokerage group in downtown Manhattan to forecast the following rent growths."

And it says: 2012, 0 percent. Thereafter,
3 percent. So what is this 3 percent market rental growth rate that is used here in this appraisal? What does it represent?

A The rent growth they are going to use for new leases, maybe lease renewals that occur over the -- I don't know what time period this is, but they are just using that in their DCF to forecast rents and then eventually the NOI.

Q So is this rate being used to forecast future income ultimately?

A Yes, I mean, that's -- I meant to say, I mean to imply, that for sure they are using it. Maybe there is a lease that is going for ten years that is already set. But maybe
there is some space that is empty that they think they can lease. So what is today's rent they are going to grow to 3 percent or 0 percent in 2012 and then just 3 percent after 2012. Yes.

Q In your 30 plus years of experience could you estimate approximately how many appraisals you have reviewed or analyzed?

A Thousands.
Q And do you have a particular reaction to this 3 percent rent growth rate?

A Well, I mean, I have to respect the fact that they are using their own real estate brokerage -- brokers. It seems to be a recent survey. I don't know how many they surveyed, if it was three or four, is it 20? I don't know how many they did. But to me I think when I saw the 3 percent, I am going, well, I don't think that's going to be what happens -- is going to happen.

Based on my experience, especially in forecasting, like I said, beginning 1992, rental rates, you know, for multiple markets and multiple property tax, you don't see a flat 3 percent in my -- you just don't see it.

Q Can you recall seeing a flat 3 percent rent growth rate in your experience?

A Yeah, when I taught real estate market analysis for the sake of the students I said use a 3 percent growth rate in
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your rents and 4 percent, you know, for something else. That's, you know, just to get them in the habit of doing something. But no, I have never seen that.

When I saw that I thought, okay, well that's -- you see that just tells me what they did, okay, to come up with eventually their values. But in my experience and based on the empirical evidence that I have, you know, taught and I have researched and prepared, no, it is rare.

Q How, if at all, does the fact that this is a lender-ordered appraisal potentially affect the 3 percent rental growth rate?

A Well, this is 2011, right?
Q 2012.
A This is 2012?
Q Yes, sir.
A You know, 2012 is still not that far removed from the great recession and what we saw. So to me, was it reasonable for a lender to say, you know -- I can't speak for them, I can just, based on my experience and working with bankers at that time, you know, they want to be conservative. And a 0 percent and a 3 percent, that's highly conservative.

MR. FIELDS: If we could move forward to page 175 of this exhibit?
Q And Dr. Laposa, if it is easier for you, on the screen in front of you should be what --

```
    A I would rather look --
    Q Yes, sir.
    A -- at this.
    Q Would you prefer the paper or the screen?
    A The screen.
    Q Okay. Well the screen --
    A That's really good too.
    Q Okay.So at the top it says: Direct Capitalization
Valuation Method (Upon achieving stabilized occupancy as of
November 1, 2015)
        What is stabilized occupancy?
    A It is used in appraisals as I have seen. But it
is -- if you have a property that is }65\mathrm{ percent, }75\mathrm{ percent
occupied, eventually you are going to build up your model to a
stabilized occupancy. And at that rate, that's what the
stabilized occupancy means. You're just ramping it up in the
forecast period to someplace where you think, okay, we are
    stabilized.
    You can't go above }100\mathrm{ percent occupancy, right? So
    maybe you go to 93 or 95 is what is typically used in the -- in
    appraisals. But that's what it means to me.
    Q You said 93 or 95 in that general vicinity, is that
    generally where you find a stabilized occupancy?
    A My mind is just like, well, that depends if there is
    another property coming online, you know. So I would say it is
```



A Well, I am not really sure. They say: Building Sales Overall Rate Summary. So, if this was performed in 2012 are these the cap rates from those properties, those eight properties that sold in 2012, that then they are going to try to use for stabilized rate in 2015 or whenever? Whenever that stabilized occupancy gets to? I am not sure. There is not enough information for me to draw a conclusion.

Q Fair enough.
More generally, do you understand that these are eight properties that was chosen as comparables for the capitalization rate purposes --
A Right.
Q -- for this analysis?
A Again, they are -- when I say there might have been a pool of 20 , but here is the eight they decided to use.

MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could scroll down
to the last paragraph on this page.
Q Can you see where it says, first sentence: In the context of a direct capitalization method, a going-in rate of 7 percent is considered reasonable, compensating the typical buyer for the risk inherent in investing in this building?

A Ido.
Q So is it your understanding that this appraisal chose a 7 percent capitalization rate for the direct capitalization valuation method on this page?
THE WITNESS: I am sorry.
THE COURT: Sustained.

Q What is your understanding of what this 7 percent --
A That is the --
Q -- represents?
A -- the cap rate they are going to use for investment in this particular building, so.

MR. FIELDS: And if we could just scroll up a
little bit so that the statistics chart is -- there we go.
Q What do you understand to be the highest capitalization rate that this appraisal chose to use as a comparable?
A Can you just scroll up again? I want to confirm that 6.7. Yeah, 6.7 is the highest cap right there, so.

Q So did the appraisal rely -- withdrawn.
Was the appraisal selected capitalization rate higher than the capitalization rates -- than the highest of the capitalization rates used as comparables as reflected on page 175?

A Yes.
Q And how would you describe that in terms of aggressiveness or non-aggressiveness?
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MR. FIELDS: And then if we could flip to the next page, please page 178.
Q At the top, Prospective Market Value, what do you understand the $\$ 260$ million figure to represent?

A That is their estimate of the property value as of November 1, 2015.

Q So would it be fair to say that the appraisal is estimating an approximately $\$ 40$ million increase in value -market value from November 1, 2012 to November 1, 2015 ? A Yes.

THE COURT: Let me just ask, am I correct that does not take into account any money spent on improving the building?

THE WITNESS: What was the question, sir, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Does the increase of the $\$ 40$ million take into account monies spent -- any monies spent on improving the building, or just if the building stays the same due to market conditions it will be worth $\$ 40$ million more?

THE WITNESS: Based on what I have seen right here, it would just be based on the improving in the NOI.

THE COURT: Okay. Thanks.
MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up now what has been admitted as Plaintiff's 118.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
MR. FIELDS: And if we could go to page eight, please.
Q All right. Dr. Laposa, first of all, do you see that this is an appraisal report as of June 1, 2015 prepared for Ladder Capital Finance LLC for 40 Wall Street?

A Ido.
Q And is this one of the reports that -- appraisal reports that you reviewed as part of your engagement in this case?

A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: If we could go please to page ten.
At the bottom.
Q Do you see the subheading: Value Conclusions in this chart?

A Ido.
Q And what is the market value as is, as of June 1, 2015 for 40 Wall Street?

A The value conclusion states 540 million.
Q A moment ago we discussed the projected value in 2012 for 2015; do you recall that?

A Ido.
Q And do you generally recall that the projected value as of November 1, 2015 was $\$ 260$ million?
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A Yes.
Q And now this is an appraisal as of June 1, 2015 that is valuing 40 Wall Street at $\$ 540$ million?

A Yes.
Q When you reviewed these two appraisals, what reaction did you have to that value conclusion differential between 2012
projected for 2015, and the ultimate 2015 appraisal?
A My initial reaction was inquisitive.
Q And did you inquire?
A Huh?
Q Did you inquire?
A Yes, I did. I just wanted to look at it. How did you go from 260 to 540? Again, my initial reaction is not, well yes, I am inquisitive but not surprised. It is just, let me go through what did they do, especially in my area of expertise in the market analysis section.

MR. FIELDS: Okay. If we could go please to
page 170 of this document?
Q Dr. Laposa, can you see this is the Direct Capitalization Valuation Method heading?

A Ido.
Q And in the chart in the middle of the page, what is your understanding of what these 12 properties represent?

A Well, they are -- as the heading states, these are office building sales. And the overall capitalization rate for

|  | a - by Defendant - Direct (Fields) Page 4651 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | each one of them. |
| 2 | MR. FIELDS: And if we scroll a little lower. |
| 3 | Q The statistics section, the low is 2.68 percent; the |
|  | high is 7.68 percent; and the median is 4.28 percent; the |
|  | average is 4.62 percent? |
| 6 | A Correct. |
| 7 | MR. FIELDS: And if we can scroll a little lower |
| 8 | to the last paragraph. |
| 9 | Q In the context of the direct capitalization method, a |
| 10 | going-in rate of 4.25 percent is considered reasonable |
|  | mpensating the typical buyer for the risk inherent in |
|  | investing in this building, with consideration to the below |
|  | market leases. |
| 14 | What did you understand this four and quarter percent |
| 15 | to represent in terms of the ultimate value in how they arrived |
| 16 | at it? |
| 17 | A Well, they use add 4.25 percent in their calculations |
| 18 | to estimate market value. |
| 19 | Q And this four and a quarter percent was slightly |
| 20 | lower than the average of 4.62 percent; is that fair? |
| 21 | A That's fair. |
| 22 | Q In contrast to the -- well, let me just ask this |
| 23 | first. Withdrawn. |
| 24 | Do you recall in the appraisal we just looked at from |
|  | 2012 that the capitalization rate employed for projected 2015 |
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was higher than the highest one of the sales comparables that were being looked at?

A Ido.
Q And here you have a capitalization rate that is a little lower than the average in 2015. What was your reaction when you saw those two distinctions?

A When I saw those distinctions, the one thing, going back to my real estate cycle background, by 2015 it was very well known in the marketplace that the economy had turned around, real estate had turned around. I have a graph, I believe, in my initial report that shows real estate capital flows starting to increase from 2011 on. So that does not surprise me that they actually used a 4.25 percent. So, you know, versus what they thought in 2012, which would be seven.

So at first I was, like, okay that makes sense. Why they did not use the same methodology as what they did in 2012 compared to here, I don't know why they didn't use something that was even higher, like they did in 2012. It is just what they did.

MR. FIELDS: If we go to the next page, page 171.

Q Do you see at the top there is the Direct
Capitalization Method and NOI plus year one free rent. What is the figure for the NOI?

A 23.2 million.

MR. FIELDS: Could we please pull up side by side Plaintiff's 3208 with -- on page 176.
Q Dr. Laposa, a moment ago, and I'll represent to you that on the right of your screen you are looking at the 2012 appraisal projecting November 1, 2015 value upon reaching stabilization. Okay?

A Yes.
Q And on the left you have the actual 2015 appraised market value.

I'll represent to you -- well, let me withdraw that question.

On the right in 2012 projecting as of November 1, 2015, the appraisal concluded that the net operating income would be approximately 18.3 million as of November 1 of 2015.

A Yes.
Q Now, as of June 1, 2015 on the left of your screen, what did the appraisal conclude was the actual net operating income, plus year one free rent?

A 23.2 million.
Q I'll represent to you that the difference there is approximately $\$ 4.8$ million. Will you accept my representation?

A I will accept it.
Q So would it be fair to say -- let me withdraw that. That difference of $\$ 4.8$ million, in your view, does that represent an under value of the net operating income or
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over valuing when you compare 2012 to $2015 ?$
A Well, they obviously, as of 2012 they thought the value of the operating would be 18.3 , when in actuality it was much higher at 23.2 million.

Q And for 2015 the capitalization rate that the appraiser relied on was four and quarter percent. Do you recall that from the prior page?

A Correct.
Q Will you accept my representation that if you divide $4,869,396$, which is the difference between the two net operating incomes, divided by the capitalization rate of four and a quarter percent, that that represents approximately $\$ 114,574,024$ ? Will you accept my representation that it is approximately 114.5 million?

A Yes.
Q Okay. That 114.5 million, what does that represent in terms of the appraiser in 2012 under valuing or over valuing 40 Wall Street when you compare it to the actual figures in 2015?

A Again, I would have to go through a lot more of the methodologies that came up to how they came up to that 18.3 versus the actual 23.2. But I am fairly confident that it had to do with their rent growths. It had to do with their occupancies. It had to do with a lot of the variables that go into some kind of a DCF model.

## approximately $\$ 539.5$ million?

A Ido.
Q And what do you understand that value to represent?
A That's the -- of all of the matrix and all of the opportunities that they, you know, calculated, I assume these calculations are correct, I am sure they are, that they decided, okay, here is the matrix and basically we are going to pick the one in the middle.

This, to me, shows subjectivity. Trying to explain why they didn't use the four and a quarter, you know, this is the judgment of the appraiser in looking at all of the opportunities, that whole distribution of values, and going from 441 million all the way up to 686. Again, if I saw those two values you would go -- you would dig down deep and say, okay, what is different between them. This is pure example of subjectivity for me.

THE COURT: One minute more if you want it, then we have some scheduling to do.

MR. FIELDS: Sure.
Q Dr. Laposa, on the left, the IRR, the discount rate, how does the discount rate generally affect the value of the property when it goes up or down?

A Well, as your discount rate goes up even holding just four and a quarter, you can see as the discount rate goes up, the values go down. As the discount rate goes down, the values
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documents in front of you?
A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could please go
back to page 162 of Plaintiffs 118 ?
Q And there is a chart on the bottom left titled
Pricing Matrix. And to the right of it --
MR. FIELDS: Well, that's okay. That's perfect.
Thank you.
Q Dr. Laposa, I'll represent to you that this is a
chart included on page 162 of the 2015, 40 Wall Street
appraisal. Okay?
A 2015?
Q Yes, sir.
A Yes.
Q And do you see the terminal capitalization rate at
the top?
A Correct.
Q Is that -- what is that term? Is that the
capitalization rates we have been discussing this afternoon?
A Yes. But it is more at the end of the cash flows. I
mean, it is at the end of the discounted cash flow model.
Q Okay. And the IRR on the left is -- what is that?
A Discount rate.
Q Do you see in the middle of the screen that there is
a cell, for lack of a better term, highlighted that's
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go up, holding just that terminal cap rate.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

Q So when the discount rate, for example, five and a half percent at a cap rate of four and a quarter, the value is approximately $\$ 686.4$ million?

A Correct.
5 Q And if you go all the way to the bottom there, if you apply the same cap rate, but a discount rate of 7.5 percent, the value changes to $\$ 523.6$ million?

A Correct.
MR. FIELDS: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Ill direct the witness not to discuss his testimony, or this case overnight. And we'll see you tomorrow morning at 10:00.

Okay, and let's discuss scheduling. I'll turn over the microphone to the person sitting alongside me.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. Mr. Fields, how much more direct do you have?

MR. FIELDS: If I had my best estimate, it would probably be 45 minutes or less.

MS. GREENFIELD: How much cross is anticipated?
MR. SOLOMON: Two to three hours. I will try to make it less, to the lower end of the range.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. We are going to have Mr. Giulietti available.

MR. SUAREZ: Mr. Giulietti will be available in the morning.
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MS. GREENFIELD: Since it looks like Giulietti was scheduled later in the month, are we getting an updated witness list?

MR. SUAREZ: Yes. We are working with the holiday schedules and Counsel availability for number of the witnesses. We've made great progress this week through our experts, so I expect that by next week we'll have some more updated dates in our schedule.

MR. AMER: Can I ask, are we still anticipating Mr. Miller follows Mr. Giulietti, and then Mr. McConney follows Mr. Miller?

MR. SUAREZ: That's correct.
MR. AMER: And that's next week?
MR. SUAREZ: That's next week.
MR. FIELDS: May I inquire, if there's two or three hours of cross examination, is tomorrow Friday or have I lost track of my days?

MS. GREENFIELD: He said it's possible he'll finish early, so in the event that we are able to have another witness, we'll have another witness.

MR. FIELDS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Have a good evening.
(The case on trial was adjourned to Friday, November 17th, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.)
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| 4522:16;4523:4,9; | 4513:3,13,17;4514:1,5, | 4566:2,21;4568:1 | 4532:1,7,12,16;4533:2, | 4637:25;4638:1 |
| 4525:2,6,14,17; | 23;4515:16,20; | 4579:20;4580:23; | 11,12,17,18,25;4534:6, |  |
| 4526:15,20,21;4527:1; | 4517:19,23;4518:24; | 4582:10;4615:25; | $12,13,13,15,24,4535: 1,$ | Y |
| $23$ | 4530:5,15;4542:8; | without (5) | 4536:22;4537:12; | year (11) |
| Wallace's (1) | 4543:5;4545:24; | 4523:18;4533:20 | 4538:1;4540:6,7,10; | 4494:16;4540:9,9, |
| 4515:22 | 4546:17;4547:22; | 4549:12;4550:1; | 4543:24;4544:4; | 11;4576:18;4584:17; |
| war (1) | 4549:1,5,18;4550:23; | 4599:4 | 4549:15;4578:16,20; | 4592:4;4615:10; |
| 4535:6 | 4551:25;4552:22; | witness (95) | 4580:5,24;4587:14,18, | 4636:15;4652:23 |
| warning (4) | 4553:11,21;4554:8; | 4485:12,16,17 | 19,20;4588:3;4589:8, | 4653:18 |
| $4514: 18 ; 4539: 17$ | 4555:13,16;4556:10, | 4491:10;4493:9; | 11;4596:1,13;4636:2 | years (29) |
| 4582:11;4655:1 | 20;4559:1;4561:6,10; | 4497:4;4501:23; | worked (20) | 4534:21;4574:7 |
| Waterhouse (3) | 4562:13;4563:18,22; | 4504:17,21;4507:17, | 4532:2,8,13,17 | 4575:9;4577:18; |
| $4584: 1,2,4$ | 4570:1;4571:16; | 20;4528:21;4529:1,3, | 4533:4;4537:21; | $4578: 21 ; 4579: 3 ;$ |
| wave (1) | 4586:3,12;4628:20; | 11,20;4530:1,3,4,5,8, | 4575:11;4578:8,20; | 4584:2,21;4587:21; |
| 4575:19 | 4629:19;4630:25; | 13,14,15,23;4531:3; | 4580:23;4589:20,21, | 4589:3,4;4590:1; |
| way (17) | 4632:4 | 4536:5,8;4539:19,25; | 22;4601:20;4610:20; | 4591:8,19,22,23; |
| $4495: 24 ; 4500: 24 ;$ | wherewithal (1) | 4540:6,13;4541:16,20; | 4611:15;4615:1,4; | 4592:9;4600:20; |
| $4527: 25 ; 4529: 6$ | 4568:8 | 4543:3,4,5,5;4546:1; | $4620: 21 ; 4621: 15$ | $4605: 7 ; 4613: 11,23$ |
| 4570:14;4571:7; | white (1) | 4555:21;4558:19; | work-from-home (1) | 4614:3;4615:11,23; |
| 4600:4;4606:17,23 | 4619:5 | 4559:3;4569:15,24; | 4619:9 | 4617:3;4629:1; |
| 4618:17;4625:18; | Whitley (1) | 4570:1,3,24;4571:13, | working (15) | 4632:16;4640:25; |
| 4629:25;4630:2; | 4523:1 | 15,16;4572:7;4585:24; | 4522:23;4529:5; | 4641:5 |
| 4632:24;4633:8; | whole (7) | 4586:10,11,12,12; | 4535:16;4536:22,25; | Yep (1) |
| 4657:13;4659:5 | 4558:12;4582 | 4587:1,18;4593:8,21; | 4579:9,19;4581:9; | 4531:9 |
| ways (1) | 4604:18;4605:20; | 4594:3;4595:21; | 4589:12;4600:19; | yesterday (17) |
| 4499:20 | 4637:13,25;4657:12 | 4597:13,17;4598:1,7,8, | 4610:16;4615:24; | 4490:9;4508:3,4; |
| weaknesses (5) | whose (1) | 13,16,19;4603:20; | 4618:25;4642:19; | 4509:4,23;4511:1; |
| 4565:13,15,16; | 4499:5 | 4609:14,21,24;4620:9; | 4660:4 | 4512:10,22;4513:2; |
| 4566:5,21 | willin | 4627:22,24;4628:7,18; | works (2) | 4514:22;4515:12,22; |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { weeds (1) } \\ 4636: 18 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4495:8;4497:13,13 } \\ & \text { 4502:19,19,22,23; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4629:3,19,19;4631:22; } \\ & \text { 4632:2;4633:2,23; } \end{aligned}$ | 4576:22;458 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4519:7;4521:20; } \\ & 4522: 16 ; 4523: 4, \end{aligned}$ |
| week (5) | 4503:16,16;4622:15, | 4639:15;4646:4; | 4493:25 | yield (2) |
| 4570:16;4660:6,7, | 15,20,20,22,23 | 4648:14,21;4649:2; | worksheets (1) | 4502:14;4503:2 |
| 13,14 | wind (1) | 4659:10;4660:3,20,20 | 4494:9 | yielded (1) |
| weight (2) | 4614:18 | witnesses (2) | world (2) | 4523:17 |
| 4551:21;4623:6 | wish (1) | 4541:3;4660:6 | 4584:17;4619:4 | YORK (11) |
| weighted (2) | 4571:7 | witness's (2) | world's (1) | 4483:1,14,14,22 |
| 4548:8,22 | withdraw (9) | 4609:19;4628: | 4591:16 | 4484:23;4532:9; |
| WEISSELBERG (1) 4483:7 | 4562:20;4565:7; | won (3) | worldwide (4) 4508:17;4509:15,24; | $\begin{aligned} & 4581: 8,25 ; 4582: 6,13, \\ & 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4483:7 <br> welcome (2) | 4566:9;459 | $4577$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4508:17;4509:15,24 } \\ & 4577: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $22$ |
| 4531:12;4629:7 | 4644:23;4653:10,23 | 4485:8 | worse (1) | 4571:6,9 |
| weren't (1) | withdrawal | wonderful ( | 4619:11 | Yugoslavia (1) |
| 4601:23 | 4521:17 | 4560:17 | worst (1) | 4573:9 |
| West (1) | withdrawing (1) | wondering (1) | 4618:18 |  |
| 4530:24 | $4516: 24$ | 4557:16 | worth (4) | $\mathbf{Z}$ |
| what's (7) | withdrawn (12) | word (9) | 4501:15;4548:17; |  |
| 4498:8;4558:9; | 4512:14;4514:10; | 4491:21;4507:16; | 4615:3;4648:19 | zero (3) |
| 4568:20,25;4587:12; | 4516:25;4521:19; | 4557:25;4569:5; | write (4) | 4501:12,12;4502:2 |
| 4590:1;4600:25 | 4528:16;4590:15; | 4600:18;4604:18; | 4531:2;4589:16; | zip (2) |
| Whenever (2) | 4603:15;4613:20,22; | 4605:25;4621:10; | 4591:20,25 | 4531:1;4588:24 |
| 4645:5,5 | 4634:3;4646:18; | 4622:4 | written (5) | zone (1) |
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| 4535:6 | 150,000 (1) | 4651:3 | 210 (1) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 4584:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2:15 (3) } \\ & \text { 4585:22,23;4586:4 } \end{aligned}$ | 4611:5 | 4 |
|  | 4554:6,23 | 20 (6) | 4499:7,18 | 4 (2) |
| 0 (4) | 15-minute (3) | 4534:21;4540:1,10; | 220 (1) | $4564: 6 ; 4642: 1$ |
| 4612:6;4640:14; | 4628:11,16,20 | 4582:7;4641:14; | 4647:21 | 4,869,396(1) |
| 4641:3;4642:20 | 15th (4) | 4645:15 | $23(3)$ | 4654:10 |
| 01985 (1) | 4622:3;4637:19; | 200 (2) | 4508:13;4509:8,12 | $4.25(3)$ |
| 4531:3 | 4638:2,7 | 4618:9,9 | 23.2 (4) | $4651: 10,17 ; 4652: 13$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} 07921(1) \\ 4484: 14 \end{array}$ | 16 (1) | $2000(1)$ $4578: 10$ | 4652:25;4653:19; $4654 \cdot 4,22$ | 4.28 (1) |
|  | $1609(3)$ | 2000's (1) | $2450 \text { (1) }$ | 4651:4 |
| 1 | 4485:25;4511:24; | 200391:7 | 4572:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.62 \text { (2) } \\ & 4651: 5,20 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 4515:13 | 2001 (2) | 25 (1) | 4:30 (1) |
| 1 (22) | 162 (2) | 4611:8;4619:2 | 4615:1 | 4629:12 |
| 4564:6;4581:4; | 4656:4,10 | 2006 (2) | 260 (1) | 40 (18) |
| 4595:8;4612:6;4622:1, | $17(2)$ $4513: 7 \cdot 45$ | 4572:23;4584:16 2008 (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 4650: 13 \\ & 274(24) \end{aligned}$ | 4544:9;4592:5,5,13; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2;4637:18;4639:21; } \\ & \text { 4643:10;4647:18,23; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4513: 7 ; \\ & 170(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 2008(3) \\ 4499: 8,11 ; 4591: \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 274 \text { (24) } \\ & 4487: 2 ; 4488: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 4600:5;4607:24; $4627 \cdot 5 \cdot 4628 \cdot 4 \cdot 4639 \cdot 6$ |
| 4648:6,9,9;4649:6,18, | 4650:18 | 2010 (2) | 4490:7,12,24;4495:5, | $21 ; 4647: 23 ; 4649: 7,19 ;$ |
| 25;4650:2;4653:5,12, | 171 (1) | 4611:17,19 | 13,19;4498:3,20; | 4650:3;4654:18; |
| 14,16 | 4652:21 | 2011 (11) | 4500:3,21,24;4501:2,5; | 4655:5,24;4656:10 |
| 10:00 (3) | 175 (2) | 4494:16;4523:1; | 4502:1,6,13;4503:6,19, | 401 (1) |
| 4485:11;4659:12; | 4642:23;4646:22 | 4543:20;4554:5; | 21,25;4504:5;4506:12 | 4483:9 |
| 4660:24 | 176 (3) | 4607:24;4627:5; | 274-10-55-1 (1) | 42nd (1) |
| 100 (6) | 4647:7,9;4653:2 | 4631:15;4632:20; | 4512:7 | 4484:18 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4548:9,16;4564:2, } \\ & 10 ; 4616: 13 ; 4643: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 177(1) \\ & 4647: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4639:6;4642:12; } \\ & \text { 4652:12 } \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 431 \text { (2) } \\ & 4559: 16,22 \end{aligned}$ |
| 10005 (1) | 178 (1) | 2012 (32) |  | $\begin{gathered} 4559: 1 \\ 4359(1) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4483:22 | 4648:2 | 4585:9,17;4607:24; | $3 \text { (15) }$ | 4508:6 |
| 10007 (1) | 17th (1) | 4627:5;4631:16; | 4564:6;4595:10; | $441 \text { (1) }$ |
| 4483:14 | 4660:24 | 4634:20;4639:6,21; | 4601:17;4612:11; | $4657: 13$ |
| 10022 (1) | 18 (1) | 4640:14;4641:3,4; | 4640:15,15;4641:3,3, | $45(1)$ |
| 4484:23 | 4550:22 | 4642:13,14,16;4645:2, | 10,15,21,22,25; | 4659:18 |
| 101 (1) | 18.3 (4) | 4;4647:18,23;4648:9; | 4642:10,21 | 45-13 (1) |
| 4484:4 | 4647:14;4653:14; | 4649:21;4650:6; | 30 (13) | $4515: 14$ |
| 109.9104-1 (1) | 4654:3,21 | 4651:25;4652:14,16, | 4500:5;4519:20; | 452564/2022 (1) |
| $4567: 23$ | 19 (1) | 18;4653:4,12;4654:1,2, | 4574:7,4575:9; | 4483:6 |
| 11 (5) | 4551:24 | 17;4655:4,24 | 4587:21;4600:20; | $4600(1)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4523: 5,5,9 ; 4543: 18 \\ & 4556: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1980s (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2014(7) \\ & 4513: 11 ; 4514: 21 \end{aligned}$ | 4613:23;4614:3; | 4484:18 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4556: 4 \\ 114.5(3) \end{array}$ | 48976:10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4513:11;4514:21; } \\ & 4519: 20 ; 4577: 10,14 ; \end{aligned}$ | 4615:1,11;4617:3; 4629:1;4641:5 | 48 (9) |
| 114.5 (3) | $1989(1)$ $4572: 21$ | 4585:18,22 | 31 (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4536:15,19;4546:21, } \\ & \text { 25;4547:4,11;4567:23, } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1164 (1) | 1991 (1) | 2015 (30) | 4589:3,4;4613:11 | $24 ; 4568: 2$ |
| 4553:19 | 4573:12 | 4607:25;4627:5; | 3208 (2) |  |
| 118 (2) | 1992 (5) | 4631:16;4639:6; | 4639:13;4653:2 | 5 |
| 4648:25;4656:4 | 4576:7;4579:24; | 4643:10;4645:5; | 325 (1) |  |
| 12 (6) | 4589:2;4594:13; | 4648:6,9;4649:6,19,22, | 4596:20 |  |
| 4483:9;4565:1; | 4641:19 | 25;4650:2,7,7; | 334,523 (1) | 4601:18 |
| 4594:6,8;4632:20; | 1995 (4) | 4651:25;4652:5,8; | 4647:14 | 5,000 (1) |
| 4650:23 | 4580:18;4581:4,12; | 4653:5,8,13,14,16; | 35 (5) | 4578:6 |
| 13 (4) | 4582:2 | 4654:1,5,19;4655:5,25; | 4548:22;4577:18; | 50 (7) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4486: 1 ; 4512: 2 \\ & 4546: 15 ; 4581: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4656:10,12 } \\ & 2019(2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4592:5,13;4620:2 } \\ & 35,000(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4547:10;4576:16,16; } \\ & \text { 4577:19,20;4580:17; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 130 (2) |  | 4587:9;4590:10 | 4592:16 | $\begin{aligned} & 4577: 19, \\ & 4620: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 4639:13;4640:1 | 2 (4) | 2020 (1) | 37 (4) | $500(2)$ |
| 1431 (1) | 4564:6;4595:8; | 4523:1 | 4485:1;4543:1; | 4635:4,22 |
| 4555:11 | 4612:6;4616:16 | 2021 (2) | 4586:8;4628:22 | 500,000 (1) |
| 15 (10) <br> 4542.6.4548.15, 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.05(1) \\ & 4499: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $4494: 17 ; 4523: 2$ | 38 (1) | 4616:16 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4542:6;4548:15,18, } \\ & \text { 20,24;4551:23; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4499: 19 \\ & 2.5(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|}  \\ 2023(2) \\ 4483: 13: 4660: 24 \end{array}$ | 4530:24 | 526 (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20,24;4551:23; } \\ & \text { 4560:16;4594:6,8; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5(1) \\ & 4612: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 4483:13;4660:24 <br> 21 (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3B (1) } \\ & 4557: 4 \end{aligned}$ | 4484:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4560: 16 ; 4594: 6,8 ; \\ & 4632: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 4612:1 | $4508: 6,14 ; 4552: 20$ | 4557:4 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 540(2) \\ 4649: 20 ; 4650: 13 \end{array}$ |
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THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. I'll ask the witness to resume his place on the witness stand, and I'll remind him, as I always do, he's still under oath.

Please proceed, Counselor.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you, your Honor. And good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. FIELDS: (Continued.)}

Q Good morning, Dr. Laposa.
A Good morning.
MR. FIELDS: If we could please pull up Plaintiff's 118, page 162.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)

MR. FIELDS: If we could blow up -- that's probably a poor term, but enlarge the pricing matrix.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
Q Thank you. Dr. Laposa, when we broke yesterday for the evening, do you recall that we were discussing this pricing matrix in the 201540 Wall Street appraisal?
A Ido.
Q And the top of the chart reflects "terminal capitalization rates"?

A Correct.
Q And the left side of the chart reflects "discount rates"?

A Correct.
Q And your testimony was that the figure that's grayed out in the middle of this chart, what is your testimony? What is this value that is grayed out?
A The matrix is typical, as I've seen in appraisals and what appraisers do, and they look at this, and like I said yesterday, to create an estimate of market value is a point, and it includes a distributions.

And so this chart actually is a great chart that illustrates the distribution of potential values that the appraiser would actually consider before finalizing what his value would be.
Q Okay.
Are the discount rate and the terminal capitalization rate two of the many subjectivities that you testified about yesterday?
A Yes, very much so.
Q On the low end of this chart where the terminal capitalization rate is six and a quarter percent and the discount rate is seven and a half percent on the bottom right, what is the figure in that box?
A Approximately 441 million.
Dr. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields) Page 4665

1 Q Okay. And then if we go to the high end of the chart at four and a quarter percent, terminal capitalization rate; five and a half percent discount rate, what is the figure there?

A The value is approximately 686 million.
Q All right.
Now, if you added a half a percent to four and three quarters for the cap rate and added half a percent for the discount rate to six, do you see where the figure is approximately \(\$ 604.9\) million?
A I do.
Q So does that \(\$ 604.9\) million, approximately, represent adding a half a percent to both of these subjectivities?

A Yeah, 50 basis points, correct.
Q And when you add those 50 basis points, the result is approximately an 80 or so million dollar difference; is that a fair statement?

A Yeah. Yes, approximately 686 minus 604. MR. FIELDS: Okay. We could pull that down, thank you.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
Q I would like to shift gears Dr. Laposa, and talk to you a moment about the term trophy property?

A I'm familiar with that term.
Q What is a "trophy property"?

Dr. Laposa - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)
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1 A I would consider a "trophy property" as iconic, not reproducible, very unique in the marketplace. It could be a historic building. It could be -- it's - I've seen it in the literature. I've seen it in appraisals. They say this property is a trophy property, and so, yes, I've seen it.

Q We talked about the Rockefeller Center and the bankruptcy that you were involved in as a result on that property.

Is the Rockefeller Center an example of a property you would consider a trophy property?

A Very much so.
Q What kinds of investors do trophy properties typically attract?
A The pool of investors that l've seen in my experience is, especially working around the world on properties that I would also consider trophy properties, is that the pool of investors is much more limited to who would want to -- who can afford to buy it, and sometimes they buy this -- buy a property for 50 years, you know, expectation. I've worked with Dutch investors. When they buy a property, especially if it's a trophy. They are going to keep it in their portfolio for 50 years.

So it's a very limited, um, it could be high net worth. It could be family office, real estate offices that's -- it could be a group of foreign investors. So it's a real limited,
in my experience, the pool of investors, it's not, you know, it's just not, um, you don't see it that many, um, typical local and regional real estate investors.

Q How, if at all, does the appraisal or valuation process for trophy properties vary from the appraisal or valuation process for non-trophy properties?

MR. SOLOMON: Objection, your Honor. The witness has been qualified for market research and market trends and the like expert. He's not been qualified specifically it was excluded about anything having to do with appraisals.

MR. FIELDS: I don't recall that, your Honor. I believe, your Honor, when we came back from that break said he's been doing this four 30 years. He is qualified to testify about information that goes into the appraisal that he spent 30 or so years valuating and understanding the market data; that's what my question was geared toward.

THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: Well, this is very specific to appraisals. Objection sustained.

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, I also asked about non-appraisals. The question was, how does it vary for appraisals or non-appraisals, and we've been discussing with Dr. Laposa appraisals and non-appraisals since the beginning
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of the testimony.
MR. SOLOMON: And we had a continuing objection to that line, your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll let it in. There's no jury. I don't see the prejudice, so overruled without prejudice to -- Mr. Solomon, you are dismayed. Let's have it out is this. Is it because it will waste time or because of some prejudice to your case?

MR. SOLOMON: We don't anything is a prejudice to our case, your Honor. Let's be clear on that. That I've learned how to answer.

But I do think it's a weight of time. If the witness wants to testify in the area of market research, market analysis relating to trophy properties, that's one thing.

To have him sit up there and talk about how an appraisal is different for a trophy property versus a non-trophy property, or even a valuation between the two, this witness is not qualified.

I believe there were people who were appraisers up on the stand at various point in time. The questions could have been asked of those people whether they were or weren't, and that was the decision that was made. But it's not proper for this witness.

MR. FIELDS: I don't think Mr. Larson -- I asked
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about the process. Respectfully, that was question.
THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, if you are still trying to save time, you are not.

MR. SOLOMON: But, your Honor, if they do this,
that may also require us to call a rebuttal expert with respect to appraisals and the like, so it will, in fact, extend the time.

THE COURT: That's true. I have a more broad view of what an expert -- what deeming someone expert to be is. I'm -- I know I don't remember the exact words, but I remember there was a specific wording I used when I deemed him an expert. If you want to find that, but even if this goes to a little bit outside of that, objection overruled. Let's just move on.

Do you need a read back of the question again or do you want to ask it again. It will take longer to --
A Can you ask that question.
THE COURT: Ask it again. Withdrawn. Ask again.
Q How, if at all, does the process differ for appraisals or non-appraisal valuations with regard to trophy properties compared to non-trophy properties?
A I could describe the work that I worked on for the Rockefeller Center bankruptcy, where myself and this other director of research, we did only the market analysis for that appraisal. So I could restrict what we did.

When we looked at the - you go through the same process. Again, it's a funnel approach. What was going on in the United States office, what was going in on in New York, what was going on in the Rockefeller submarket and we charted out that data. We produced and forecast, but then when we had to assist the appraisers, the MAI's on this appraisal, we -- they asked us to, because it was a trophy property, we looked at the sales comps in the area for New York, but additionally, because it was a special type of property, we also investigated what were the sales in London, in Paris and other major cities around the world, to similar properties as Rock Center. So that's the biggest difference in the market analysis section that I worked on. And I can attest to that.

THE COURT: Not to mention, you could cross examine him endlessly.
Q So then - your testimony was you looked at comparables around the world to use to compare to the Rockefeller Center?

A Correct.
Q Do you recall some of the comparables around the world that you looked at?
A It's been too long.
Q Fair enough.
MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up Dr. Laposa's report.
Q I would like to shift gears now, Dr. Laposa, and talk

1 to you for a moment about your report on page 29. And we'll put it up here to refresh your recollection, if that will be easier for you.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

\section*{A Okay.}

MR. FIELDS: All right. If we can enlarge footnote 21.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was enlarged.)
Q Do you recall including in your report footnote 21 that discussed the concept of investment value appearing similar to estimated current value for personal financial statements per ASC-274?
A Yes, I remember including that footnote.
Q When you drafted -- well let me ask you this: Do you have an accounting background?

A No.
Q Are you providing an accounting opinion here in court?
A No.
Q Do you have a very rudimentary understanding of ASC-274?

A I wouldn't even consider it rudimentary.
Q Fair enough.
All right. Do you recall that your deposition was
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taken by the Attorney General's Office earlier this year on or about July 9th, 2023?

A Ido.
Q And I'm not going to play a memory game with you.
MR. FIELDS: So I'm going to ask that we pull up, to refresh the witness's recollection, page 90.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I don't know that the witness indicated he needed his recollection refreshed.

THE COURT: Sustained. You can't refresh a recollection --
Q Dr. Laposa, do you remember every question that Mr. Solomon asked you at your deposition earlier this year? A No.
Q Okay.
Would it refresh your recollection to review your deposition to help you remember some of those questions?

THE COURT: Is there an objection, or no?
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, he just asked if seeing every question would refresh his recollection; that's not objectionable. But when he gets, now, into specifics in an area, he needs to identify an area where the witness needs his recollection refreshed before he could go further, so that question, in and of itself, is not objectionable, but

I'm waiting for the next one.
THE COURT: Well, I think it is objectionable, because he would have to review the whole transcript.

MR. SOLOMON: The first rule, your Honor.
Q Do you remember the questions specifically that were asked to you, in terms of the wording that was used by Mr. Solomon at your deposition, relating to estimated current value and ASC-274?
A We had a series of questions, if I remember right, when that phrase was introduced into the deposition. I remember that.
Q And would it aid your memory to be able to review those questions now to know the specific phrasing that Mr. Solomon used when asking you those questions? MR. SOLOMON: Objection. He hasn't -THE COURT: You can't do that.
MR. FIELDS: Okay. No problem. We'll play a memory game.
Q Dr. Laposa, do you recall that Mr. Solomon, at your deposition, showed you a definition for estimated current value?

A I do remember that.
Q Do you remember the wording exactly of the definition that Mr. Solomon showed you?
A Do I remember the exact wording of that?
Q Yes, sir.
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A No.
Q Would it help refresh your recollection to see the definition of estimated current value that Mr. Solomon showed you at your deposition?
A It would help.
MR. FIELDS: If we could please pull up, to refresh the witness's recollection, D-452.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
MR. FIELDS: Page two, in the middle of the page under glossary, estimated current value.
Q Dr. Laposa, just take a look at this and let me know if you recall whether this was the definition of estimated current value that Mr. Solomon showed you at your deposition?
A I'm sure it was.
Q Are there any key terms in this definition that stand out to you in your memory that you recall being asked about?
A Um, specifically what I was asked about this is -- I mean, there were various questions, but I do remember seeing this, and I do remember seeing keywords in there that, um, you know that were new to -- not new to me, that I saw that -- that I -- I understand this definition.
Q What are some of the key terms in this definition that were -- stood out to you as it relates to your role in market
research and valuations, generally?
A Well, considering l've opined on the difference between a market value and investment value, when I saw this definition, it, um, it, um, informed me that it was much more the market value because there was the terminology exchanged between a buyer and seller. And then again, each of whom is well informed and willing, and neither of whom is compelled. So that implies, in my world of, of, of understanding market value, is there needs to be a buyer and a seller. And, again, each with the conditions; informed, willing, non-compelling, buy or sell that asset.

Q So when what I understood your testimony to be is, that when you read this, what first came to mind for you was market value?
A Correct.
MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What first came to mind in terms of your -- the key terms we've discussed over the past two days with regard to value, what term came to mind to you?
A Market value.
Q And when Mr. Solomon asked you -- withdrawn.
Did Mr. Solomon ask you, at your deposition, to apply this definition when he was asking you questions about estimated current value and market value?
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A I don't recall that specific relationship.
Q You don't recall the specific relationship between the question that was asked at your deposition?
A Well, we went through a series of questions, probably frustrating for the both of us going through this question and what it meant, and how it was applied, so. A lot of assumption based, you know, assume this, now does that -- I mean there was, um, if I remember my deposition, and I reviewed it here recently, um, this was a good back and forth, I would say, between the two of us.
Q But my question is, do you recall if this was the definition that Mr. Solomon asked you to apply when he was asking you questions about estimated current value?
A Yes, that's the definition he asked me to apply. Q Okay.

So when Mr. Solomon asked you if estimated current value and market value were the same, do you recall what your answer was?
A Can -I I-I would have to refresh my memory, but when I saw this definition, in my mind, it implied to me market value, because a willing -t the buyer -- the terminology was buyer and seller, well informed, neither are compelled to buy or sell. So it implied a transaction.
Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at your deposition to know exactly what your answer was when you were
asked that question?
A Most likely, yes.
Q Okay.
MR. FIELDS: Could we please pull up page 90 of Dr. Laposa's deposition.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Beginning at line -- we'll start at line 22.
The question, "Estimated current value and market value
are, in your opinion, the same? And then Mr. Solomon followed up with, "Is that correct?"

MR. FIELDS: If we could then move to the next page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And do you recall that your answer was, "Based on this limited definition, yes"?
A I do now, yes.
Q And Mr. Solomon followed up:
"Okay. Yes, I'm only asking you based on the
definition \(I\) have put in front of you from FASB on estimated current value."

Do you generally recall that?
A Yes.
Q As we just asks discussed, the definition that
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Mr . Solomon is referring to, is that the definition that was just up on the screen --
A Yes.
Q -- a moment ago?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Let me just suggest, if you want to ask the witness, and you have at great length, questions about market value versus investment value, you've done it already. You could still do it, I guess, but it sounds like what you are trying to do is foreshadow what Mr. Solomon's cross examination is going to be.

Why don't we just wait until there's the cross examination, and then you can, you know, redirect him, or attempt to rehabilitate anything -- any damage that you think is going to be inflicted. We spent 15 minutes on his definition of market value versus investor value, which we spent a half hour on yesterday, and you've done with other witnesses.

MR. FIELDS: I'm getting to the point, your Honor, and I could assure the Court there is a point to it.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q Did you ultimately, when asked by Mr. Solomon, suggest or agree to withdraw your footnote 21 based on the definition he showed you at your deposition?

A I do remember that.

Q Okay.
MR. FIELDS: If we could put on the screen, please, defense 452.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. FIELDS: Page ten -- sorry, page nine.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. FIELDS: All the way at the bottom.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. FIELDS: Defense 452, page nine. Oh, I see it. Thank you.
Q Do you see at the top, Dr. Laposa, it says
"Information" -- withdrawn. All the way at the top. It says, "Real estate, including leaseholds."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And I'll represent to you this is part of ASC-274.

Do you see information that may be used in determining the estimated current values of investments in real estate, including leaseholds that include any of the following."

A Do I recall seeing this, or do I see it?
Q Do you see that on the screen?
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A I see that.
Q Okay. Subheading B, do you see where it begins, "The discounted amounts of projected cash receipts and payments relating to the property, or the net realizable value of the property based on planned courses of action, including leaseholds whose current rental value exceeds the rent in the lease."

Do you see that?
A I see that.
Q I would like to focus your attention on what comes after the word "or" in the first line.

So it says "the net realizable value of the property based on planned courses of action."

Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q And in your world of real estate economics and valuations, generally, what do you understand that to mean?
A That there's -- the option that -- I assume this is still 274 ?
Q Yes, sir.
A That the estimated current value, according to 274, this part (b), could include the net realizable value of the property based on planned course of action. And when I see "planned course of action", what comes to mind for me is the owner, the investor, the developer of that specific property and
what his plans are for the future, his or her plans are to further develop that property, or just knowledge they have about that property. And again, planned courses of action.
Q So would that be investment value?
A To me, that's investment value.
MR. SOLOMON: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Two things. One completely leading, overruled. Two --

MS. FAHERTY: Overruled?
THE COURT: Sustained, right.
MR. SOLOMON: Sustained.
THE COURT: The other thing I can't say.
MR. SOLOMON: Also, I would note this witness has said he doesn't know 274.

MR. FIELDS: Then why did you show it to him at his deposition and not show him this definition?

MR. SOLOMON: The expert report you drafted for him you put in footnote 21 which mentions 274.

MR. FIELDS: When you were examining --
THE COURT REPORTER: \({ }^{m}\) sorry, I couldn't harar you both.

THE COURT: Sustained. It was leading.
MR. FIELDS: I'll ask him.
THE COURT: I don't know if you could ask him.
Q You mentioned planned courses of action. In your
recall. I think he has, but I don't want to be on the record as saying something I don't have a high degree of certainty.

THE COURT: Then either I'll allow it or -- all right. I'm sorry, sorry for interruption.

MR. FIELDS: That's okay.
THE COURT: Restate it. You know the question. MR. FIELDS: Yes, sir.
Q Do you recall if you were shown this specific definition that's on the screen that's ASC-274-10-55-6 at your deposition?

A I have a high certainty that I did not see this definition during my deposition.
(Continued on the next page.)
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world, what key term does that bring to mind?
THE COURT: Objection sustained. He gave the answer. If you are going to try to ask him the same question with different wording, it's asked and answered.

I know what you are trying to do. Mr. Solomon knows what you are trying to do. I don't know if the witness does or doesn't know, probably at this point does, Qut Im not going to lat you do it.
Q Dr. Laposa, were you shown this definition that was on
THE COURT: Asked and anwered
MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, he has not answered that question whether he saw it at his deposition.

THE COURT: I could be wrong about that. Plaintiff, was he shown this at his deposition. You took it?

The question, I should be asking, did you ask him -- did the defendant ask him whether you showed it to him at his deposition? That's three people.

MR. SOLOMON: I'm sorry, your Honor. I did not follow that question. Did the defendant --

THE COURT: Did the defendant ask the witness whether you showed this to him at his deposition? Did he

MR. SOLOMON: To be candid, your Honor, I don't
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Q And now that you have seen this deposition, do you stand by your footnote 21, that you had previously told Mr. Solomon that you would withdraw based on the limited definition he showed you?

A Now that I have seen this Part B, I would leave it in.

MR. FIELDS: No further questions, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Will there be any cross? MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Can you proceed with that now? Can you proceed with that now?
MR. SOLOMON: Yes. Sorry, Your Honor, technical issues.

May I proceed, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Please do so.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

BY MR. SOLOMON:
Q Good morning, Dr. Laposa.
A Good morning.
Q You have testified yesterday and this morning about your background in real estate. I would like to be a little more specific. Prior to this assignment, did you have any professional experience preparing or reviewing personal financial statements?

A None.
Q Prior to this assignment, did you have any professional experience in valuing real estate for inclusion in personal financial statements?

A No.
Q Okay. We talked a few minutes ago about ASC 274. Do
you know if ASC 274 provides guidance for standards for valuing
real estate for personal financial statements?
A Outside of what I have seen today, no.
Q Are you familiar with the Financial Accounting
Standards Board, otherwise known as FASB?
A Yes, I am familiar with the terminology, yes.
Q What is it?
A It is a Financial Accounting Standards Board. I am not an accountant, I don't follow whatever they do. So, it is -- I know the abbreviation.

Q Okay. Are you an MAI certified appraiser?
A No.
Q Were you ever an MAI certified appraiser?
A No.
Q Have you ever signed an appraisal for a commercial property?

A No.
Q Have you ever signed an appraisal for a residential property?
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A No.
Q Let's go back to some of the definitions that we were talking about this morming and that you and I discussed at your deposition.

MR. SOLOMON: If we could please mark PX-2665
for identification.
(Handing)
A Okay.
Q And Dr. Laposa, you will see the sticker at the bottom which was added, Laposa 3. That was marked at your deposition; do you recall that?

A I believe so.
Q Okay. It is page 54 of the appraisal of real estate; is that correct?

A This is 15th Edition?
Q Yes.
A Okay.
Q So you have seen this before today, correct?
A Do I see what?
Q You have seen this page before today, correct?
A Correct.
Q And you have referred in your report and elsewhere as, this publication, the appraisal of real estate being the gold standard, correct?

A Correct.

Q Okay. If you take a look at the first entry under investment value: "As used in appraisal assignments, investment value is the value of a property to particular investor based on that person's (entities') investment requirements rather than market norms." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Is that how you used the term "investment value" during your testimony here?

A Yes.
Q The exhibit goes on to state, if you look at the rectangle on the left side for investment value, it reads: "The value of a property to a particular investor or class of investors based on the investor's specific requirements; may be different from market value because it depends on a set of investment criteria that are not necessarily typical of the market."

> Do you see at that?

A Ido.
Q Do you agree that investment value may be different than market value?

A It may be.
Q Let's go back into the text. Under investment value, the third paragraph. "To render an opinion," do you see that sir?

A I do.
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Q "To render an opinion of investment value an appraiser must research the specific investment criteria of the party in question." Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Did you do anything to research the specific investment criteria of any person or entity with respect to 40 Wall Street?

A No.
Q Did you do anything to research the specific investment criteria of any person or entity for any asset listed on any of Donald Trump's financial statements?

A No.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we offer 2665 in evidence.

THE COURT: Sus -- Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 2665 by the Court.)

THE COURT: You get caught up in patterns.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, we would ask to mark
PX-1715 for identification.
(Handing)
Q Sir, if you look at the second page, it is a definition of estimated current value, correct?

A Correct.

Q When you were testifying earlier about estimated current value, was that the definition you were using?

A What is the source of this?
Q If you look across the top, FASB Authoritative; do you see that?

\section*{A Okay.}

THE COURT: It doesn't really matter where it is
from. The question was whether that was the definition
you were using. Wherever. It could have been in the
Bible. So is that the definition you were using -You do it.
Witness, is that the definition that you were using of current estimated value -- estimated current value when you were testifying today and yesterday?

THE WITNESS: Give me a moment to read. THE COURT: Sure.
THE WITNESS: I believe that's the same definition we just previously saw.
Q Yes I understand that.
A That's how I understand estimated current value.
Q Okay. That was the definition we were using earlier we understand. Is that the definition you were using during your testimony yesterday and today?

A Regarding?
Q Estimated current value.
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A Can -- did I even talk about estimated current value yesterday?

Q I think you may have. I am certain you talked about it earlier today. Do you recall that?

A Yes. Okay.
Q Was this the definition you were using when you testified about estimated current value?

A Just momentarily ago, yes.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: Throughout your testimony today.
Q If you did use the term estimated current value at any point yesterday, was this the definition you were using?

A If I even used it yesterday, you are saying would I have used this definition?

Q Yes.
A I would have to confirm that, but I would say most likely.

Q Okay. Have you ever used a different definition for estimated current value than the one up on the screen?

A No.
Q Okay. Based on this definition, is estimated current value the same as market value?

A I believe even on our deposition we went around on that, but if it could be -- I can't really -- well, let me further respond to that. It could be. This definition and
what I understand to be market value could overlap and be the same.

Q You recall when we were talking about your deposition, I took your testimony in July of this year?

A Yes.
Q Do you recall that \(I\) asked you, is estimated current value the same as market value? Do you recall that I asked you that?

A Several times, I am sure.
Q Do you recall your answer?
A No. If you want to show me, that would be great.
MR. SOLOMON: Could we play the clip, page 90
line five through page 90 line 16.
(Whereupon the video was played in open court.)
Q Do you remember being asked those questions and giving those answers?

A Yes, sir.
Q That refreshes your recollection?
A That helps, yes. Thank you, sir.
Q Let's turn to what you did and what you did not do prior to issuing your report. I believe you testified on direct examination that you reviewed the complaint in this action. Do you recall that?

A Ido.
Q And you had testified about the exhibits, I would
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like a little more clarity. I think you had indicated, and if I am not remembering your testimony correctly, please correct me. You had looked at some of the exhibits, but not all?

A I believe I can recall what I said yesterday when I initially read the complaint. I started at page one, went through it, and by the time I got to Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2, there is a lot of companies and entities. And then when I got to Exhibit 3, which were the Statements of Financial Conditions I said, okay, that's -- that's beyond my expertise. So I stopped.

Q I think you had indicated, please correct me if I am wrong, you skimmed Exhibit 3?

A Correct.
Q Okay. But you read the entirety of the complaint?
A I did read the complaint.
Q And based upon your review of the complaint, are you aware that certain valuations in Donald Trump's Statements of Financial Condition are at issue in this action?

A Yes.
Q Other than skimming the first of the Statements of Financial Condition, did you skim any of the others?

A Like I just previously said, I got to Exhibit 1 and 2, went through them pretty fast. When I got to Exhibit 3, I stopped. I mean, when I saw the first one and noticed it seemed like the remaining pages were all financial statements,
and my expertise is not even close to that.
Q Did you review how any of the purported values on -withdrawn.

Did you review how any of the purported values for any asset on any of the Statement of Financial Condition were calculated?

A No.
Q Did you review appraisals for any asset in connection with this case other than 40 Wall Street?

A No.
Q Then let's focus on the 40 Wall Street appraisals. I
believe you testified you looked at the one from November 2011?
A Correct.
Q 2012?
A Yes.
Q And 2015; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And are you aware that the Cushman appraisals -- can I refer to the three as the Cushman appraisals?

A Yes.
Q Are you aware that the Cushman appraisals for 40 Wall Street reflect a different valuation for the property than the valuations on the Statements of Financial Condition?

A Am I aware that the values that are in there are different from the financial statements? Is that what you are

Q We will come back to that.
A Can I clarify? When you said appraisal review, to me that means you have to be a licensed appraiser. I did not review the appraisal as an appraiser review. I reviewed the market analysis sections and the tables that I believe I have been qualified and have experience in doing for \(\mathbf{3 0}\) plus years.

Q Yes, I appreciate the clarification.
Are you aware that under USPAP there is something known as an appraisal review?

A Yes.
Q Using that term for appraisal review, did you conduct an appraisal review of any of the appraisals for 40 Wall Street?

A No.
Q Thank you for that clarification.
So, you observed that there were different valuations between the Statement of Financial Condition and the appraisals for 40 Wall Street, correct?

A According to the tables within the complaint, yes.
Q Okay. When there are disparate valuations, is it prudent and common practice to examine the underlying assumptions, methodologies, models and the purpose of an appraisal to identify potential sources of the disparate results?

A That's -- I would agree with that.
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asking?
Q Yes.
A According to the tables in the complaint, that's what it states. I did not go back to the Exhibit 3 to check.
\(Q\) Other than looking at the tables in the complaint, you have no independent knowledge?

A No.
MR. SOLOMON: I am sorry. Your Honor, I
violated one of your rules.
Q Other than looking at the tables in the complaint, do
you have any independent knowledge as to whether the amounts on
the appraisals are different than the amounts in the Statements of Financial Condition?

A I have no knowledge.
Q Did you perform an appraisal review of the Cushman appraisals?

A No.
Q Are you qualified to perform an appraisal review of the Cushman appraisals?

A No.
Q Did you review any trial testimony relating to the appraisals of 40 Wall Street?

A Recently?
Q Trial testimony, so from October 2 to present.
A I briefly read the trial transcripts of a Mr. Larson.
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Q Did you examine the underlying assumptions, methodologies, models and purpose of Donald Trump's valuations for 40 Wall Street on his Statements of Financial Condition?

A No.
Q When I asked you earlier about whether it was prudent or common practice, did that sound familiar to you, sir?

A What was that question, again?
Q Sure. I had asked you a moment ago when there are disparate valuations, is it prudent and common practice to examine... and then I listed a number of factors. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q Did that sound familiar to you?
A That sounds familiar to me.
MR. SOLOMON: Can we pull up paragraph 32 of
Dr. Laposa's report? Second sentence.
Q That's what you put in your expert report should be done when there are disparate valuations, correct?

A That's correct.
Q But you didn't do that for any of the valuations on Donald Trump's financial statements, correct?

A Correct.
Q Let's look at the actual appraisals for 40 Wall Street.

MR. SOLOMON: Could we put up on the screen side
terminology. Is that right?
A I would consider 2012 based on my knowledge and what I put in my report is still in recovery phase.

Q In a recovery phase. Okay. Are the \(\$ 18\) million number and \(\$ 23\) million number measuring the same thing?

A Well, they are measuring the same NOI for the same building, you know, but the one on the left, the 18.3 million is an estimate of 2015.

Q Right. And the 2015 is NOI (plus year one free rent,) right?

A It says that, yes.
Q So that \(\$ 23\) million number has roughly \(\$ 7.7\) million in free rent added back in, doesn't it?

A I don't see that exact number, but --
Q If you look on the chart on the right?
A I see it now, okay.
Q Under indicated value less one year free rent \(\$ 7,776,980\). Do you see that?

A Ido.
Q So the NOI under the direct capitalization method, the chart on the right for 2015 of 23.2 million, actually includes money that is not collected, doesn't it?

A Yes.
Q Yes or no, sir?
A Yes.
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recall that?
A Yes.
Q But you indicated you were fairly confident it had to do with their rent growth. Does that sound right?

A That was one of the aspects I would -- 1 infer just by the fact that the NOI went up or they were projected to go up. So that's a combination of the rent increase as well as the occupancy. And theoretically that's -- that's one cause of that.

Q And occupancy, is one of the factors that you use in determining the net operating income for the building because the more tenants you have the greater the income, correct?

A Correct. Well, depending upon if -- I mean, you could buy occupancy sometimes by just dropping your rents, which we found in Rock Center in '95 they did.

Q Assuming we don't have a situation like '95 or 2008 coming out of there, the greater the occupancy, as a general matter, the greater the net operating income once you take out expenses that are associated with the greater occupancy rate, correct?

A Yeah. Again, going back to my real estate cycle yesterday, you know, most likely in the recovery and maybe even in early expansion phase, this is reasonable for sure.

Q And 2012 was the early expansion phase after what is known as the financial crisis, great recession, whatever

If you look at the one on the right, what is the projected not yet operating income for fiscal year 2016 ?

A The 18.3 million.
Q Okay. And now let's compare that to the projection from the 2012 -- excuse me, the 2015 . I have them reversed. The 2015. The net operating income for fiscal year 2016 is what?

A 15.4 million.
Q So actually the 2012 appraisal is more optimistic about 2016 than the 2015 appraisal, isn't it?

A Based on what?
Q Based upon the numbers here on the two charts in front of you that come from the appraisals.

A The one on the left it is 15.4 , and on the 2012 it is --
Q It is 18.3 , roughly \(\$ 3\) million more?
A Correct.
Q So back in 2012 they were predicting a net operating income of approximately \(\$ 3\) million more than they did on the 2015 appraisal, right?

A Right.
MR. SOLOMON: You can take this down.
Q Do you recall testifying yesterday that you believed the 2012 appraisal, I think the term you used was under projected?

A I would have to confirm that but it sounds most likely, yes.

Q Okay. Are you aware whether 40 Wall Street ever achieved even the initial net operating income figure from the 2015 appraisal?

A I am not aware.
Q Those are documents that would be in defendants' possession in your experience, right?

A I am sorry, what?
Q The documents reflecting the NOI for 40 Wall Street between 2015 and today, based on your experience, would be in the possession of the owner of the building, right?

A I can't confirm that.
Q Okay. Did the defendants ever show you documents sufficient to determine the net operating income for 40 W all Street between 2015 and present?

A They showed me the Cushman \& Wakefield prices.
Q That wasn't my question, sir. And maybe my question wasn't clear.

Between 2015 and present we have actual results for net operating income for 40 Wall Street, right?

A Right.
\(Q\) Okay. Did the defendants ever show you documents sufficient for you to learn, know, understand or calculate the net operating income for 40 Wall Street between 2015 and
present?
A 2015 and?
Q Present. Present.
A Present. 2023 or \(20-\) no.
Q Any of the years between, not just 2023, sir.
A No.
Q Okay. Are you aware of the current occupancy rate of 40 Wall Street?

A Am I aware of what?
Q Of the current occupancy rate of 40 Wall Street? A No.

MR. SOLOMON: I would like to mark PX-3380. It has previously been marked for identification, Your Honor.

MR. FIELDS: We will object to relevance to the current occupancy rate for 40 Wall Street. It is not at issue in this case.

THE COURT: If there are projections of what it was, I think it is relevant, so overruled.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I may?
The issue we had a big disagreement about earlier in the week with the Doral, and what the value is today and using that as a look-back, all of that should come in. Because if we are looking at whether a projection was accurate, the goose/gander rule I think is the legal phrase, would apply to that as well, Your Honor.
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I am happy to revisit that with another witness.
THE COURT: I specifically asked if there was a projection of this. I don't know whether there was a projection of Doral.

But Mr. Solomon, you want to --
MR. SOLOMON: That's exactly the point, Your Honor. And I would just add that here we are looking at a projection in the first appraisal that Dr. Laposa was asked about from 2012 going forward and comparing it to 2015. There are then projections in 2015 going forward. And this witness testified yesterday that 2012 under projected. So in light of that, it is appropriate to look at what the projections were in the 2015 appraisal. And I am not using this to show what the value is of 40 Wall Street as we stand here today.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I just want to remind the Court that the ruling on Doral was on a different basis. THE COURT: It was various reasons.
MR. AMER: That you can't use Doral to, you know, wash away inflated amounts of other properties. That was the basis for the Court's ruling that Doral was irrelevant.

MR. ROBERT: But we are hearing testimony that the valuations on the SOFC are based on projections and planned courses of action. So of course it is appropriate
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline 1 to look back at Doral and how the progression of Doral & 1 lease in 2033? \\
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1 Q Is it fair to say, then, you did not conduct any analysis or any review to determine why there's a difference of roughly ten percent in the size of the FAR?

A I did not.
Q Yesterday you testified, and we confirmed today, that you reviewed the complaint. Do you recall that?

A I do.
Q And is it also your testimony that based upon your
review of the complaint, you believe the complaint uses market values for the various assets?

A Yes.
Q Did you also testify that you have no way to know what types of valuations the defendants used to value their properties?

A I have no knowledge of how they valued.
Q Okay. Let's talk about the Statements of Financial Condition.

Do you know if the values for the assets identified on the Statements of Financial Condition are market values, estimated current values, investment values or some other valuation?

A Based on my experience and working with owners and investors and developers, I would believe it was their invested values.

MR. SOLOMON: Can we pull up PX-729, which is
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\section*{already in evidence.}
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Please take a moment to look at this exhibit, Dr. Laposa.
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q You can look at whatever you need to answer my questions, but I would direct your attention to page four, "Basis of presentation."

A Okay.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
\(Q\) The very first paragraph of the notes of the financial statement. "The accompanying Statement of Financial Condition consists of the assets and liabilities of Donald J. Trump. Assets are stated at their estimated current value and liabilities at their estimated current amounts using various valuation methods."

Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Now that you see that, do you understand that the assets are purportedly stated at their estimated current value in the Statements of Financial Condition?

A Well, yes, but there's also the qualification used in various valuation methods.

Q Well, those are methods. Is there a difference in your mind between the valuation definition and the method used under that definition?
A Well, I'm going by what I've -- this is the first time I seen this. They stated that they are estimated current value. That's what we talked about.
Q This is the first time you've seen this, Dr. Laposa, but you wrote a report where you accused the Attorney General of bias by using market values in the complaint; is that right?

MR. FIELDS: Objection, to the extent he is accusing the Attorney General of bias; that's not the testimony, and it mischaracterizes the testimony.

MR. SOLOMON: At a break I could pull it up in the report, but let me rephrase it, your Honor.

\section*{THE COURT: Okay.}

Q Did you accuse the Attorney General of using market values in the complaint in this action?
A What I stated, I believe in my report, is if you are using the Cushman \& Wakefield appraisal values, those are, in my -- my world, the market values. They are not investment values.
Q So you are saying that we used - excuse me, the
Attorney General, in the complaint, used the Cushman \& Wakefield values; right?
A As the benchmark of truth compared to what was in the
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financial statements.
Q And that those appraisals reflected market value; right?
A Yes, they were --
Q Based on the transitive property, if A equals B, and B
equals C, you accused the Attorney General of using market
values in the complaint; correct?
MR. FIELDS: Objection to the characterization of "accusing" anybody, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained. I don't think "accused" is appropriate.
Q Sure. You, in your report, in your expert professional opinion, stated that the Attorney General was using market values in the complaint; is that correct?

A Correct.
Q Okay.
And we've now seen that the Statements of Financial Condition used estimated current values; right?
A That's what it says.
Q And as we saw earlier when we put your deposition testimony up on the screen, you agreed that estimated current value is the same as market value.

Do you recall that?
A Yes.
THE COURT: I normally break at 11:30, if I can get
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
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\hline 19 & in order to save the Court and the parties time. & 19 MR. FIELDS: No, your Honor. \\
\hline 20 & THE COURT: That's music to my ears. & 20 THE COURT: Okay. The witness is excused. And \\
\hline 21 & MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, do you have any idea, & 21 thank you very much. \\
\hline \[
22
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name please, Mr. Giulietti.
A G, like George, I-U-L-I-E-T-T-I.
Q Good morning.
A Good morning.
Q Could you just explain your educational background from college on?

A Well, I -- I have an undergrad degree from Saint
Michael's College, and I have a number of executive business
educational, I don't know if you call them degrees, but I've
been to the Harvard Business School, things like that, in my career.

Q What did you study at Saint Michael's College?
A Business.
Q And where did you first work after attending college?
A A company called American Mutual. It was the founding company of Liberty Mutual.

Q What did you do there?
A Insurance, direct writer, worked directly for the insurer.

Q How long were you with American Mutual?
A Two and a half years.
Q Where did you go next?
A A series of small brokers until 1980. In the Connecticut area.
Q What did you do when you worked for the series of small

Page 4718
brokers?

Q You mentioned the word "broker", and I think most understand what the term broker means. In your own words, what does a broker does?
A It's an agent and/or broker. It's an intermediary between insurance buyer and insurance seller.
Q What is your current position at Lockton?
A I'm a founding partner, member. A lot of titles, but I continue to make deals for the company and on behalf of our clients.
Q Could you estimate about how many clients Lockton has?
A Oh, my God. Four point something billion of revenue, tens of thousands.
Q What, if any, exposure have you had at Lockton with regard to surety bonds?
A At different times in my career I was the largest individual broker in the country, and therefore the world, cause surety is somewhat unique product to America, albeit it's around the world now, but not like the US, which -- surety itself was founded, um, something called the Miller Act in the ' 30 s , guaranteeing public funds going to third parties and making sure the government was going to get the result from the construction and/or performance -- any kind of contract, really.
Q Is that why you mentioned surety is a unique product in America?
A Because people mix up surety with insurance. And it's

A Insurance sales and underwriting.
Q What kind of insurance?
A Originally construction. So surety and insurance for contractors. My dad was a contractor, so I called on his friends.

Q And you said until about 1980 ?
A Yes.
Q Where did you go in about 1980?
A 1980 I joined a company called Willis. I was there for 20 years. The last nine years was vice chairman of real estate and construction for them.
Q And what did your work entail, generally speaking, while you were at Willis?
A I was sort of a deal guy my whole life, so it became more sophisticated sized deals, and worked on securing clients and retaining clients.

Q This is still in the world of insurance?

A At Lockton, where I am today. Been here since then.
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really not. Surety is a credit vehicle. It is not insurance. It's a third-party guarantee in the banking world that would be more like a letter of credit.

Q Okay.
A So people confuse the underwriting and they confuse the way it's done, and I'm just -- that's why I distinguish it.

Q Can insurance companies occasionally be sureties?
A Yes. Over time individuals can be sureties to the federal government, oddly, um, but it's kind of morphed to where the insurance industry is really the predominant underwriter.

Q Do you have an idea or a sense for what percentage of your practice is the surety practice?
A My personal practice?
Q Sure.
A My practice is rather large, so I would still be one of the larger brokers.

Q Surety brokers?
A Yes.
Q What interactions do you have, generally, with surety underwriters as a broker, surety broker?
A I would say we are rather unique, and why we have such prowess is the fact that we understand the underwriting standards. Surety, sureties, build their own models off of both financial statements -- surety has three components of underwriting. One is character, integrity, if you will. They

\section*{G. Giulietti - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)}
call it the three Cs; capability, or ability to perform whatever
it is you are being asked to guaranty as a surety. And the
thing that a lot of people spend a lot of time on, which, to me,
isn't really the most important thing, but it's financial underwriting.

Q If you could describe the relationship between a surety underwriter, a surety broker and the client, can you just explain the, really, the day-to-day and how the relationship gets formed?

A Sure.
Q And how that affects the ultimate product?
A What we do somewhat is unique. There are only a few brokers going to this extent they usually rely on the carriers to underwrite, but we actually do the underwriting ourselves. We build our on models. We do our own analytics around all three Cs. We determine, based on who we are going to -- who the client is, where we think the philosophy of the client best fits the credit provider for the surety, and we try to marry the result of the analytics that we create.

We have our own analytic forums. We have our own analytic views. We have our own results that are unique, we think, coming at it from more of a capital foundation standpoint. And so we use our own results to try to influence and guide the potential surety provider.

Q How, if at all, do the analytics that you compile or
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prepare ultimately affect or get shared with the surety underwriter?

A Well, we -- many times we just show them our results versus where we know they are going to end up. And it differs tremendously from accounting GAAP, for example. So we are -- we know what each surety is going to focus on, and for the need that we are asking for, within a range.

Q In terms of the sharing of financial information between you as the surety broker and the surety underwriter, would it be fair to say there is an open line of communication going back and forth between both of you?

MR. AMER: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q In terms of the communications that you have with the underwriter, the surety underwriter and you as a surety broker, how often do you share information, generally, with regard to the client's finances?
A Each financial statement, those standards are generally established and are flexible between sureties as to the surety need and the reporting period. So if you are a big user of surety, you might be required to report quarterly. Some would be required semiannually, annually. It doesn't -- and then we would -- we would do all the analytics around the financial piece and/or any other piece that we need; capability, maybe. And we would -- we would not share anything with sureties before

we did all those analytics.
Q And how long have you been in the surety business,
generally?
A Fifty years this year.
Q Do you know Donald Trump?
A Yes.
Q How do you know him?
A Golf.
Q You met him on the golf course?
A Yes, sir.
Q Do you have a relationship with Donald Trump still?
A Yes.
Q Personal and professional?
A Yes.
Q As personally, what do you consider him?
A Play golf with him occasionally; have lunch with him occasionally. Belong to a bunch his clubs, so.
Q How about professionally?
A So that was new. Um, not that I didn't want to have one earlier. There's always competitive pressures in relationships, so it took a while, somewhere around ' 17 , '18, because of some things that occurred in D and O for him, I was asked to intervene, I did solve that. And then -- and '21, around February, March we were appointed broker and took over from another competitor.
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Q So are you currently The Trump Organization's broker for insurance?
A Yes.
Q How long have you known Donald Trump?
A Late '90s.
Q Could you describe what kind of insurance your company
places or assists in getting placed for the The Trump
Organization?
A Sure. So we have placed all the property cover and all of the casualty cover, general liability, Workers' Compensation, automobile, umbrella liability, it's quite a large diverse amazing organization, so it requires a lot of effort. And we do all the engineering, we do all of the claims handling, monitoring, pay -- arranging carrier payments, and thankfully he doesn't have very many claims, so.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q Have you also done any surety work for the Trump Organization?

A Yes. We took that over at roughly the same time.
Q Is the property market or the property liability the largest subset of a type of insurance that you assist in getting placed for the Trump Organization?

A I am not sure I understand your question.
Q Sure. About how big is the property line of work -of insurance?

A Meaning premium size?
Q Yes.
A Round numbers, 6 million.
MR. AMER: Can I get a clarification? Is that
casualty property.
A Just fire, wind, storm, direct damage to properties and/or the business interruption aspect of that would be considered property.

Q What size -- withdrawn.
When compared to your personal book or business, where does the Trump Organization and President Trump's business fall in terms of scale and size?

A Against my personal book?
Q Your personal book and business.
A Somewhere between 9th and 12th largest, probably somewhere there.
lietti - by Defendant - Direct (Fields)
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Q And then do you have a sense for -- with regard to the company's book of business where the Trump Organization falls?

A No. I -- we have \(\$ 4\) billion of revenue. It is a reasonably sizable account, and generates income for us over a million, roughly, plus or minus. And it wouldn't be small, but it is not large. And it has very few claims because of the quality of the products he puts out, and therefore it is quite profitable from that regard.

Q All right. Are you being compensated for being here today or for any opinions or testimony that you will give in this case?

\section*{A No.}

Q Not directly compensated by the defendants or by the Trump Organization for your testimony, but your company receives money to place insurance; is that fair?

A Yes. Properly said, this would be included in our overall relationship year over year.

Q But has anybody in any way promised you more business for anything you are doing here today?

A No.
Q Are you generally familiar with some of the allegations in the Attorney General's complaint with regard to surety?

A Generally.

Q And are you generally familiar with the surety bond program that is described in the complaint with regard to Zurich Insurance?

A Yes.
Q Have you had the opportunity to review documents, Zurich documents, related to the surety program for the Trump Organization?

A Yes.
Q And I won't ask you your opinions, I am just going to ask you if you have formed opinions with regard to the surety program that Zurich underwrote for the Trump Organization?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, if we are going to now start down the road of eliciting opinions, can we understand what his area of expertise for purposes of his qualification is going to be?

THE COURT: Well, just speaking generally, if we are going to have expert opinions someone has to be deemed an expert. The witness has to be deemed an expert.

MR. FIELDS: That was my next question, Your Honor. That was going to be my next question with regard to insurance brokerage and underwriting. When I say "insurance" I mean suretyship, brokerage and underwriting.

THE COURT: Why don't we start here on a clean slate. Ask your question.

MR. FIELDS: Well, the question at this point
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was not to provide what his opinion was, if he has formed one, but has he formed an opinion with regard to the surety underwriting program that Zurich underwrote for the Trump Organization.

THE COURT: Doesn't he have to be deemed an expert first before you ask him an expert opinion question.

MR. FIELDS: That was my - I was not going to ask him what his opinion was until I say, Your Honor, I tender the witness as an expert in surety underwriting and brokerage. But we can do it however Your Honor would like.

THE COURT: That's not a personal preference. It is just how I think things work.

MR. FIELDS: Understood.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FIELDS: So I tender the witness as an expert in surety underwriting and brokerage.

MR. AMER: I think brokerage is fine, Your Honor. I think in terms of underwriting, this witness hasn't indicated experience that would qualify him as an insurance underwriter or asurety underwriter. He has worked almost all of his entire career for a brokerage firm.

MR. FIELDS: If the Court would like to ask him,

\section*{I am happy to ask about his.}

THE COURT: I'll ask the witness to respond to Mr. Amer's concerns. But speak right into the mic.

THE WITNESS: You are asking my expertise?
MR. AMER: No. I just said based on your work experience, other than I think it was two years at the very beginning of your career, you have been a broker, not -- you haven't worked for an insurance company either underwriting or handling claims, as far as I can tell.

THE WITNESS: So my answer would be that a lot of the -- our compensation, is based on our results. And carriers look to us and our reputation to stand with the client and their reputations. I underwrite the financial side of the clients along with our in-house underwriters, long before we give it to the sureties. We know what they want. I have probably done six, \(\$ 800\) billion of underwriting. There is very few people like me in the country. I -- the Big Dig in Boston, the large projects in New York City. I have underwrote all of those. I have arranged the financial analysis. I have worked with the banks of the clients, and prepared it for the surety to have an easy time subscribing to their need. I don't think there are four people in America that have my qualifications to do what I do.

THE COURT: Well --
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MR. AMER: Can I have a couple of voir dire questions?

THE COURT: Of course you can ask some questions.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION BY MR. AMER:

Q Lockton and Willis where you worked, those companies are licensed to be brokers in New York by the Department of Financial Services, correct?

A Correct.
Q They are not licensed to be insurance companies, correct?

A That's true.
Q They don't have paper that they issue insurance policies on, correct?

A That's not true.
Q Well, they are not licensed to issue paper in New York; is that true?

A So Willis owns carriers and are licensed to place business.

Q That wasn't my question. You worked for Willis, the brokerage house, right?

A Yes, but I also had licensing with the carriers and influenced the carriers.

Q And you are not a CPCU or certified property casualty
underwriter, correct?
A No, I am not.
Q And you don't have any designation as an underwriter in the insurance industry, correct?

A Well, I think the six or eight biggest CEOs of insurers would disagree with you.

Q I don't think that was my question. My question was --

A You are sort of insulting me. Aren't you?
Q No, I -
A I think so. Because there are very few people like me in the industry.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, my objection to the breadth of his qualification stands. This witness is not an insurance underwriter and he hasn't spent his career working for an insurance company.

THE WITNESS: Shouldn't I know the underwriting criteria of each of the carriers I do business with and try to influence that thought?

THE COURT: Let me --
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I ask you not to have the witness comment --

THE COURT: I am sorry.
MR. AMER: -- when I am addressing the court.
THE COURT: It may not be a fair process, but
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the rule is you don't ask questions, you answer questions.
THE WITNESS: Sorry.
THE COURT: Mr. Robert?
MR. ROBERT: I'll hold off.
THE COURT: I don't understand something.
Witness, I won't try to mispronounce your name, you said that you underwrite insurance. How can you underwrite insurance if you are not an insurance company? Aren't insurance companies the only companies that can underwrite insurance?

THE WITNESS: Well, good brokers do the underwriting as if they were carriers and present the case after we have qualified the risk. In the world I live in, you have to do that to have any reasonable result for your client, so you need to know the process. Most every technical person that works for us, or me directly on my deal teams, have been with carriers. And we tee up the result so it is easily acceptable to the carrier in a manner that they can digest it and/or puts the client -the potential client, in the best light. So we are doing the underwriting, they end up taking the risk.

THE COURT: Well, there is another interesting question of language.

I think I understand all of that. You sort of put together the deal and say, hey, insurance company,

1 this is what we think you should do; and they either

Page 4734
accept it or they don't. That's your role as a broker. Did I get that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Sometimes, about 30 percent of the times I would argue, maybe more, we are agents for the carrier and therefore are the carrier in those moments. So, in essence, when I am an agent for a carrier and some big ones like Chubb or Zurich or some others, we are actually acting as agent. And as agent, I could theoretically bind the property cover on a burning building. I don't, but I could.

THE COURT: And Mr. Fields, I am sorry to ask
you to repeat. What are the areas that you would like me to deem him an expert in?

MR. FIELDS: Surety underwriting and surety brokerage.

MR. AMER: So, insurance, as I am sure Your Honor understands, is one of the most heavily regulated industries in the country. The Department of Financial Services licenses companies to do specific things. They license brokers, they license insurance companies. And so I -- I don't think it is appropriate to qualify this witness as a surety underwriter if he is not -- if he doesn't have any work experience working for the companies that the Department of Financial Services authorizes and
licenses and regulates with respect to underwriting. So I think the appropriate field is to qualify him as a surety broker, and if he wants to explain how, in that capacity, he puts together material that insurance companies then use, I don't have any problem with that. But we are talking about a very heavily regulated industry where specific licenses are issued and specific companies are regulated for what they do.

THE COURT: I don't think you need a license to be an expert. I hereby deem him an expert in surety underwriting and surety brokering.

Is that what you asked?
MR. FIELDS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
THE COURT: All right. I have deemed you an expert in both of those fields. So you don't have to be insulted.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FIELDS:
\(Q\) What is a surety?
MR. AMER: Again, I really wasn't trying to
insult the witness, to make it clear.
THE WITNESS: It is okay.
THE COURT: I have known him for a long time. THE WITNESS: After 50 years, you know.

THE COURT: Only through this case, he wouldn't want to insult anybody.
\(Q \quad\) What is a surety bond?
A Very broad question. It could be a number of things. It could act as anything from a letter of credit guaranteeing a -- the performance of a contract.

Q And generally are there three parties to a bond, surety bond?

A Usually two.
Q Well, who are the parties?
A The parties are the obligee, obligor. So one would be the -- let's say you work for the State of New York, the DOT or something. The DOT would be -- would hold the risk -- the surety bond. And it would be provided by some contractor or depending on what type of work is being done.

Q Are you familiar with the term, "guarantor"?
A Yes.
Q And what is a guarantor?
A That would be who the at-risk capital and/or signatory to the bond.

Q So is it somebody who guaranties the bond?
A Yes. And the surety language for that would be an indemnitor.

Q An indemnitor.
In your experience are indemnitors companies
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individuals or both?
A Both.
Q More frequent for them to be individuals or companies?

A Depends on the type of business. So since we are focused on real estate, a real estate generally is somewhat arm's length. I would say generally you don't have too many personal signatures at all, just some corporate level entity.

Q All right. Are you familiar with some of the, generally, the bonds that Zurich underwrote for the Trump Organization?

A Sure.
Q So just generally speaking, who is the surety for -in these transactions?

A Well, in that case Zurich would be the surety.
Q And who is the principal?
A The guarantor was Trump.
Q Okay. But the guarantor/indemnitor was Trump, was there a principal, as in one of the entities, that obtained the bond Trump Organization entity?

A Sure. But as I recall the overriding relationship was quite unusual for someone this size. He guaranteed it personally, which I find quite amazing.
\(Q \quad\) Why do you say it was unusual?
A It is unusual. It just doesn't happen that much.
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\section*{estate?}

Q Sure.
A Because there is all kinds of industries. And there is all kinds of, therefore, guarantees and relationships. In real estate you would be -- real estate underwriting you would have to get by, does the man have character and integrity. You would have to get by, capability, can he build and develop and/or manage property. And then finally, you would look at the financial analysis. And the financial analysis you would look at operating results and liquidity over anything.

Q Do surety underwriters generally require some sort of financial information before underwriting a bond?

A Yes.
Q And in what forms is that financial information generally provided?

A Generally financial presentation by their accounting firm.

Q And are you familiar with the accounting standards of review?

A Sure. So, any significant surety would require a full audit, and an exception to that would be an accommodation.

Q In this case do you know, from your review of the documents, whether the Trump Organization provided audited financial statements to Zurich Insurance?

A I did not see audited financial statements anywhere.

Q What did you see?
A Compilation.
Q And in your experience, is it usual or unusual for the surety underwriter to rely on compilation financial statements?

A Any surety, including Zurich, would give no credence to compilation.

MR. AMER: I am going to object, Your Honor, and move to strike as to what Zurich did or didn't do.

MR. FIELDS: Well, he is an expert and we can ask how he knows that.

MR. AMER: He can't know specifically what Zurich did or didn't do. He can speak generally.

MR. FIELDS: I think that's what the comment was intended.

MR. AMER: He said, and I am quoting: "Any surety, including Zurich, would give no credence to compilation." I don't know how he has the ability to opine on what was in Zurich's mind.

MR. FIELDS: I think --
THE COURT: Overruled.
I think he answered the question, right?
MR. AMER: I don't think so. I don't think he did.

THE COURT: I think he did.

Q Do you understand what the term "liquidity" means, Mr. Giuliette?

A Yes.
Q How does liquidity factor into the surety underwriting business?

A Heavily. The standards in surety are different than GAAP. So, you have working capital analytics. You have two determinations on the balance sheet: You have the balance sheet equity; and the balance sheet liquidity. Liquidity as defined by an accounting firm would be working capital, current assets minus current liabilities. Sureties don't look at that. Sureties, it is a starting point. And so what sureties do is they look at liquidity available in 12 months to cover any and all obligations that might be outstanding to the sureties. And so working capital differs from GAAP by a number of standards.

And without boring the Court I'll give one example of what that standard could be. Life insurance cash value, which everyone I think here probably understands, would be something available to an insured, life insurance, in a short period of time, week, ten days at the most. And therefore it is carried below the line in GAAP, but it is as a long-term asset. But in surety underwriting they move it above the line and make it part of working capital.

And then there is the reverse. There is a reverse in that bank debt could be carried above and below the line,
without getting too complicated around that issue. It exchanges the liquidity tremendously.

Q Does overall net worth factor into the surety underwriting process?

A Sure. You would want to have balance sheet equity that is adequate enough to carry the operating company's risks, including debt, and debt vehicles.

Q How about asset structure?
A Well, specific to real estate, surety wouldn't spend a lot of time on assets at all, because they do not generate liquidity. In this particular instance the outstanding surety plus or minus 14,15 million most of the time in that period of time. So there is very little requirement liquidity-wise even for that. Right?

So but you would have to look at any negative potential draws. An extreme example would be a real estate company that is in financial trouble. And what is the outstanding debt obligation over a 12 to 24 -month period because of that trouble. And just because you have cash doesn't mean you have liquidity. You could owe on a payable in the next six to 12 months way more money than you have on a cash basis at that moment in time.

Q And I should have mentioned something earlier. I haven't asked for any opinions yet, but to the extent you do give any opinions today, Mr. Giuliette, I ask you give them to
want all of the operating company's statements; you want to analyze all of the operating company's statements; you want to confirm what debts are going to be owed over the next 12 to 24 months; what income you anticipate; what is the projections of profitability; debt-to-worth ratios; debt-to-debt covenant ratios. All of the -- and the financial footnotes around that so you can make a determination as to what is at risk versus what is coming into the door, liquidity wise.

Q And in your experience, when a surety underwriter underwrites a bond, do they generally go through those ratios that you just described?

A If, yeah, 100 percent of the time we -- sorry.
Q Are you familiar with the term an accommodation?
A Yes.
Q What does that mean?
A It means either for the client or the broker an insurer, surety company, will make an accommodation, grant something that is outside of the ordinary.

Q And what is the purpose of the accommodation generally?

A Provide a product with minimal, no underwriting.
Q Is there hopefully a benefit to the surety for providing an accommodation, in the general sense?

A Yes, or a relationship, or the broker -- many reasons.
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a reasonable degree of professional certainty. Okay?
A Yes, sir.
Q How does the leverage on assets for a real estate company factor into the surety underwriting process?

A Well let's leave Zurich off the table for a minute. But the entire industry struggles with debt. It is not good at understanding debt. It is not -- it struggles to understand real estate and debt. So they tend to ignore that and completely focus on liquidity.

Q Is there, generally, some form of an analysis or a financial profile ratio that an underwriter comes up with before underwriting a surety bond?

\section*{A Sure.}

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.

\section*{THE COURT: I'll call it foundational.}

Overruled.
A So a conservative deal would be that you could get \(\$ 10\) of surety credit for every dollar of liquidity. That's a conservative deal. There are some that are much more 30,40 , 50 times that. But a real estate deal like this probably one in ten.

Q But generally speaking, what metrics or what financial analysis does the surety do before agreeing to underwrite the bond?

A If it was doing the complete financial analysis you

Gi
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Q Business development?
A Business, all commercial benefits.
Q How common is an accommodation in the surety bond industry?

A Rare.
Q How does the -- withdrawn.
How does an accommodation tend to affect the underwriting process?

A Well, it would mean that it would be minimal, at best. I mean, you are accommodating somebody's need.

Q I am going to use a loose term, but would an accommodation be akin to, like, a favor in a way?

MR. AMER: Leading, Your Honor.
A An accommodation is a favor.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Was there an answer?
MR. FIELDS: Yes, there was.
THE COURT: The answer was yes?
MR. FIELDS: The answer was: An accommodation is a favor.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. AMER: He adopted the question.
THE COURT: Which I know is why we don't like leading questions, but, come on.

MR. AMER: I think we are beyond background,
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A Yes.
Q And is it common for indemnity agreements to require some sort of financial disclosure from the indemnitor?

A The indemnity agreement itself does not generally. Sometimes rarely does it specifically say that you had to do certain things because of the program and the size of the program, but generally, no.

Q And in this case did the indemnity agreement require financial disclosure of President Trump to Zurich?

A The indemnity agreement did not.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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Q Do you have a sense for when the surety business between the Trump Organization and Zurich Insurance began?

A Yeah. I think it was '11-- it might have been before the historical record I reviewed according to some of the management at Zurich. I believe it began in the ' 05 , \({ }^{\prime} 06\), ' 07 , '08, '09 era.

Q Prior to that, did Zurich Insurance write any insurance for the Trump Organization?

A My understanding is, yes.
Q At some point did the Zurich insurance program cease and a surety program continue with the Trump Organization?

A Yes.
Q And I think you said that was approximately 2011?
A No, I think that was a little later maybe '14, '15? I could be wrong.

Q We will put some documents up.
And did -- was there an indemnity agreement with
Zurich Insurance where somebody indemnified bonds?
A It was always an indemnity agreement.
Q Who was the indemnitor?
A Donald Trump.
Q Did you have an opportunity to review the indemnity agreement?

Your Honor, but I understand. That's fine. MR. FIELDS: All right.

Q Is it customary in the industry for sureties to want to review financial information in the underwriting process?
A If he wants bonds, yes.
Q All right. Mr. Giulietti, I'm going to just ask you for your ultimate opinion, and then we'll walk through some documents to help explain to the court what your opinion is.

But as it relates to the relationship between Zurich
and The Trump Organization, do you have an opinion as to why
Zurich was engaged in doing underwriting surety bonds for Trump?
A Yes.
Q What is that opinion?
A They were accommodating -- going backwards, not currently. Currently we are the broker, and we place bonds for Zurich, for Trump.

In the past, it seems they were just accommodating the broker and/or Trump. No way to know for sure who.

MR. FIELDS: Okay. Can we pull up defense 43.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. Giulietti, I'm going to show you a series of documents, I believe you reviewed some of these as part of your expert report that you wrote; is that fair?

A That's fair.
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q All right. Do you recognize Defense 43 that's in front
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\section*{of you on the screen or in your hand?}

A Yes.
Q What is does this appear to be?
MR. AMER: Your Honor, hang on a second. I'm going to object to any testimony about underwriting that took place before the 2019 renewal.

Our insurance fraud claim is based on the renewal in 2019 and in 2020 by Claude yeah Mouradian, so I don't understand the relevance of reviewing the underwriting of this risk by a different underwriter, Ms. Caulfield, in earlier years. It's got nothing to do with our insurance claim.

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, it's important for the Court to understand how this all came about and why Zurich Insurance was ultimately writing these bonds. So that's the whole purpose, is to provide a brief foundation for the Court to just understand where this all came from.

MR. AMER: We are going back to 2009.
MR. FIELDS: Frankly, we went back to 2009 with other lending documents, too, and Im not understanding why we are now limiting ourselves to a moment in time.

THE COURT: Overruled. But I hope we could move through this fast, because we have a lot of years to cover.

MR. FIELDS: Certainly. I don't intend to go year by year, your Honor, absolutely not.
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MR. FIELDS: Under the personal financial analysis section.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
MR. FIELDS: The last bullet point.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A According to Forbes?
Q Yes. Do you see that it says "According to Forbes, Donald J. Trump's personal net worth is estimated a 3.2 billion. He is number 128 on the Forbes 400 list of wealthiest individuals."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q In your experience, have you encountered underwriters that rely on media publications to support underwriting decisions?
A This is the first one I ever saw.
MR. FIELDS: If we could zoom back out in -- under
the surety program heading, the last two bullets.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A The same document?
Q It will be on the screen here for you if it's easier.
A Okay.
Q The last sentence beginning with "Also" in the second

1 to last bullet point. "Also, the accountants failure to provide updated underwriting information prohibits us from taking on any new liability."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Below that it says, "Bonds already written may continue
to renew as an accommodation to Aon New York?
A Yes.
Q Does that term "accommodation", is it your
understanding that's the same term you've been using here today, an accommodation?

A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: And on the last page, page four. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Does it appear that at this moment in time the program limit aggregate is \(\$ 1\) million?

A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up Defense 48.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Under the personal financial analysis section, the third bullet beginning with the "Press release", do you see that
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\section*{it references USA Today?}

A Yes.
Q And it goes on to describe detailed reports regarding
ownership positions. Again, is this citation to a media
publication consistent or inconsistent, in your experience, for surety underwriting?

A Inconsistent.
Q If we could just -- the second bullet right above it.
Do you see where it says, "When Zurich lost the insurance program in May of 2011, I performed an on-site review of Trump's personal financial statements. This option was represented as
an option in June of this year due to the increased bond needs, but has yet to be scheduled."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: If we could flip to page four. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see at the bottom that the aggregate program limit is now \(\$ 3\) million?

A Yes.
Q Did it appear to you that the program was expanding, meaning that Zurich was writing more bonds without reviewing updated financial information?
A It's what it seems.
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\hline & A Yes. & 6 Trump Organization account, along with your son, David \\
\hline & Q Is it your understanding that as of this time, Zurich & 7 Giulietti; right? \\
\hline & had not reviewed updated financials for Donald Trump or The & 8 A Correct. \\
\hline & Trump Organization? & 9 Q And in that role, you could lead the account with your \\
\hline & A It appears for a couple of years, yes, that's true. & 10 son; correct? \\
\hline 11 & THE COURT: Mr. Amer, how long will you need? & 11 A Yes. \\
\hline 12 & MR. AMER: I would hope 20 minutes I could get & 12 Q And you are the person with the primary responsibility \\
\hline 13 & through my cross. & 13 for Lockton's business relationship with The Trump Organization; \\
\hline 14 & THE COURT: Can we finish in five on this? & 14 correct? \\
\hline 15 & MR. FIELDS: Yes, your Honor. & 15 A Yes. \\
\hline 16 & THE COURT: Perfect. & 16 Q And as a partner in Lockton, you have an equity share \\
\hline 17 & MR. FIELDS: May I have a moment to confer with & 17 in the business; right? \\
\hline 18 & Mr. Robert? & 18 A Correct. \\
\hline 19 & THE COURT: Say it again & 19 Q And your compensation, based on your equity share in \\
\hline 20 & MR. FIELDS: May I have a moment to confer with & 20 the company, is directly proportional to the properties earned \\
\hline 21 & Mr. Robert? & 21 by the company; right? \\
\hline 22 & THE COURT: Sure, but that's part of your five & 22 A Correct. \\
\hline 23 & minutes. & 23 Q And in addition to your equity share, you also receive \\
\hline 24 & MR. FIELDS: Certainly & 24 a percentage of the business that you do based on the clients \\
\hline 25 & MR. ROBERT: I think it will be less than five. & 25 you are responsible for; correct? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 A Largely.
2 Q And one of the clients you are responsible for is the Trump Organization; right?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And Lockton is currently the broker of record for The Trump Organization for all property and casualty lines of insurance worldwide, which encompasses quite a large number of policies; right?
A Absolutely, yes.
Q And when Lockton places an insurance policy for The Trump Organization as broker of record, Lockton earns a commission that is between 10 to 20 percent of the net premium due on the policy; is that right?

A Less, but largely. Ten to 15 percent would be fair.
Q For 2022, Lockton earned approximately 1.2 million in commissions on The Trump Organization account; right?

A Roughly, yeah.
Q And just to confirm what I think you testified to on direct, you are not submitting any invoice for your time spent as an expert witness in this case; correct?
A Correct.
Q And that's because you consider your service as an expert witness in this case to be included in the business relationship that you and Lockton have with The Trump Organization; correct?
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\section*{A True.}

Q And it's not wrong to say that your work as an expert witness is wrapped up in the compensation that Lockton receives from The Trump Organization through its business relationship; correct?
A I think - yes.
Q You are aware that Joanne Caulfield was the Zurich underwriter who handled the account from at least 2011 through 2017 when she left Zurich; right?

A That's what the documents say.
Q And all of the underwriting reviews that you were shown on your direct predate 2017 when Claudia Markarian, now known as Claudia Mouradian, took over the account; right?

A My understanding, yes.
Q So you are aware that Ms. Mouradian was the underwriter on the account from mid 2017 until about 2020 ; right? A Yes.
Q And I'll represent to you that Ms. Caulfield was interviewed by our office in 2021 and gave answers under oath, and then she was deposed in the case in April 2023.

I'm correct that you did not receive or review any of her sworn testimony from either the 2021 interview or the 2023 deposition in forming your opinions in this case; correct?

A At the time of the deposition, that's true. I since have read it.
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Q But in terms of when you formed your opinions in this case for purposes of the report that you submitted, you had not reviewed any of her testimony?
A That's correct.
Q Okay.
And so you gave no consideration to Ms. Caulfield's sworn testimony when you formed your opinions for the report in this case; correct?
A Yes.
Q And I'll represent to you that Ms. Mouradian was interviewed by our office in 2021, under oath, and was also deposed in this case in June of 2023.

And I'm correct that you did not receive or review any of her sworn testimony from either the 2021 interview or the 2023 deposition in connection with forming your opinions in this case that are in your report; right?
A Correct.
Q And are you aware that Ms. Mouradian, Ms. Caulfield reported to a woman named Chandar Potter while at Zurich?

A Sure. I do business with her.
Q And I'll represent to you that Ms. Potter was deposed in this action in May of 2023. I'm correct you did not receive or review her deposition testimony in forming your opinions in this case?
A I have never seen that one.

Q So you gave no consideration to Ms. Potter's sworn testimony in forming your opinions; correct?
A Correct.
Q And it's your view that the sworn testimony of Ms. Caulfield, Ms. Mouradian and Ms. Potter is not relevant to your assessment of how Zurich underwrote The Trump Organization surety program; right?
A I'm sorry, I didn't understand or I didn't hear, maybe.
Q Sure. It's your view that the sworn testimony of Ms. Caulfield, Ms. Mouradian and Ms. Potter is not relevant to your assessment of how Zurich underwrote The Trump Organization surety program; right?
A Prior to my expert report and my expert report, that would be true. Now I've read Ms. Caulfield's and I have a different opinion, but okay.

MR. AMER: Well, let's go ahead and put up your deposition testimony at page 89 , line 25 , to page 94.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Where I say in there sworn testimony is a reference to those three individuals. The question was:
"QUESTION: And their sworn testimony, in your view, would not have been relevant to your assessment?
"ANSWER: Correct."
So at the time of your deposition --
    A Yes, sir.
    Q -- your view was --
    A I'm sorry, I --
    Q -- it's not relevant to your assessment?
    A I agree. I agree.
    Q Okay.

To the extent that Ms. Caulfield described what her thought process was in reviewing the financial information she was provided with by The Trump Organization during her interview and deposition, that's not something that you took into account in preparing your expert report; correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And to the extent Ms. Mouradian described in her interview and deposition her thought process during the underwriting, that's not anything you read or took into account in preparing your report; correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

MR. AMER: Let's put up Exhibit 773, which is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This is the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition. You reviewed and relied on this document in forming your opinions; correct?

A I looked at all those years, yes.
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\(1 \quad\) Q And is it -- am I correct that it's your opinion that at no point during the surety program did Zurich do any real financial analysis, but rather this was all based on, to use your phrase at the deposition, "air balls and witchcraft"?

A Yeah, true.
Q As part of the financial analysis, it's your view that Zurich could have requested appraisals be done on every property; is that right?

A They could've asked for whatever they'd like to write the program. Sure, anybody could.

Q Including appraisals on every property?
A Yeah, if they want, of course.
Q And as part of a financial analysis, it's your view that Zurich could've insisted the type of tests that an auditor would conduct on a financial statement; is that right?

A Could you repeat that.
Q Sure. As part of a financial analysis, it's your view that Zurich could have insisted on performing the type of tests that an auditor would conduct on a financial statement?

A Yes.
Q Now, you understand that the Trump Organization is a privately held company that has no obligation to publicly file financial disclosures; right?

A Correct.
Q In terms of Zurich's -- in terms of the Zurich's

1 underwriter's ability to confirm that the property valuations in 2 the statements -- let me withdraw that. I'll start again.

In terms of Zurich's ability to confirm the property valuations in the statements on their own, other than asking The Trump Organization to provide additional information, the Zurich underwriter would have no access to any publicly available sources that are reliable that would have enabled Zurich to do that; correct?
A They could've. They had to choose to.
MR. AMER: Let's look at your deposition testimony on page 128 , line six, if we could.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q "QUESTION: In terms of Zurich's ability to confirm these property valuations on their own, other than asking The Trump Organization to provide additional information, including possibly appraisals" --
A Did you say "additional information" before when you asked my question -- prior question.
Q I did.
A Well, then, I amend my answer, yes. They could ask for additional information.
Q But that's not the whole question.
A Okay.
Q So let me read the question.
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THE COURT: Let him read the whole question.
Q "QUESTION: In terms of Zurich's ability to confirm these property valuations on their own, other than asking The Trump Organization to provide additional information, including possibly appraisals, Zurich would have no access to any publicly available sources that are reliable that would enable them to do it; correct?"

Your answer, "I agree with that."
So you stand by that testimony; correct?
A Yeah. They should have asked if they wanted it.
Q And you are agreeing that there's no publicly available information beyond what they might get through nonpublic sources, which is Trump Organization?
A Well, it's a private company, right, so there's no public sources.

Q You know, we discussed that in your opinion you agreed that Zurich's underwriting account was based on "air balls and witchcraft." Again that's your terminology.

I'm just asking --
A They did seven bonds for me with nothing. I mean, it's just consistently nothing.

Q Well, I'm not asking about what they are doing now. I'm just asking about your opinion of what they did in the past.

A Well, I think what they do -- isn't what they do today consistent with what they did in the past? Isn't that germane?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, can I be allowed to ask the questions.

THE COURT: Witness, I appreciate the possible frustrations.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.
THE COURT: But if you don't want to come back Monday.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.
THE COURT: Go ahead and ask the question, Mr. Amer.
(Continued on the next page.)

MR. AMER: That has to be the best incentive I have ever heard to get the witness to wait for the question to be asked.
Q So, my question, again, is simply to confirm, it is your opinion that Zurich's underwriting of the account in the past was, as you said, based on "airballs and witchcraft" correct?

A Yes.
Q Are you aware that defendants have designated another insurance expert in addition to you, a gentleman named David Miller?

A I heard they have another expert. I don't know his name.

Q I want to ask you a question about something that is in his report, it is -- we will put it up on the screen. I can share a copy with you.

MR. AMER: It is available, Your Honor, at
NYSCEF 1434.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q I am just going to ask you about paragraph 13, which is on page four. I am going to put it up on screen. It is under the section that is labeled Opinions 1 and 2. And I am just going to read you the sentence and ask you for your comment on it, about whether you agree or disagree with it.
"Zurich made a competent business decision to underwrite the Trump Organization's business as an exception to their normal guidelines based on reasonable risk factors, such as the sufficient -- such as the sufficient liquidity of the Trump Organization to indemnify Zurich should a loss take place."

Do you agree or disagree with that opinion, sir?
A Hm, um, I -- I -- I don't know anything about Mr. Miller. I don't know if he has ever placed --

Q I didn't ask you --
THE COURT: You don't need to know about
Mr. Miller.
Q Do you agree with this opinion?
A No, I disagree.
Q Yes or no?
A I disagree.
Q Thank you.
MR. AMER: Now, let's put up Plaintiffs Exhibit
1552 in evidence.
(Handing)
MR. AMER: Let's go to page one of six.
Q By the way, this is a document you have seen before, correct?

A Yes.
Q And let's go to the fourth bullet on the first page.
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And the paragraph that starts Total Assets. I am just going to read to you the second sentence. It says: "The fair value of the properties is determined by professional firms (such as Cushman \& Wakefield) using cap rates and net operating income as factors."

For purposes of forming your opinions, you did not take into account Ms. Mouradian's note that she had been advised during the on-site review about how the fair value of the properties was determined, correct?

A Yes.
Q Last series of questions, sir.
Am I correct that it is your expert opinion that surety is not insurance?

A Yes. It is a guaranty. It is not necessarily an indemnity-based contract, except for the guarantor.

Q Is it your opinion that surety is not insurance; yes or no?

A It is not a yes or no answer.
Q Is it your opinion that surety bonds issued by Zurich for the benefit of the Trump Organization under the Zurich Surety Program, were not insurance?

A Well, the guaranties issued by an insurance company, which is really a credit facility, so anything an insurer issues is technically insurance, but it is underwritten like credit. The point is that the underwriting process is a credit
underwriting, versus the property Zurich insures, the property of Mr. Trump, first 400 million. And their underwriting would be completely different, non-commercial, non-financial. Except for the fact that you want to make sure they are in reasonable financial condition.

Q Simple question, because I thought I heard you say surety is not insurance. Is it your expert opinion --

A Well, a guaranty crosses over --
Q I need to finish my question, sir.
A There is no yes or no answer. You are asking me a question that can't be answered. So, I can't answer the question.

Q Okay. You are aware, certainly, that the business of insurance in the State of New York is governed by the New York Insurance Code, right?

A Yes.
Q You are not suggesting, are you, that as far as the New York Insurance Code is concerned, surety bonds are not insurance policies, are you?

A Honestly don't know who governs it. It probably comes under the insurance licensing, I assume. I don't know, to be honest.

Q Well, let's put up a decision in the case of In re:
Frontier Insurance Company.
MR. AMER: This will be my last series of
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questions. So I'll be well within the time limit, Your Honor.

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, I object to relevance and lack of foundation. And it is going to call for a legal conclusion from someone who is not an attorney.

MR. AMER: He is offering an opinion about whether a surety is insurance or not. This is fair game, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And his answer is, it can't be answered yes or no.

MR. AMER: That's his opinion. I am going to show him something.

THE COURT: I'll let you show him something. It is a Judicial opinion?

MR. AMER: It is something I am going to ask the Court to take judicial notice of. It is a Judicial opinion.

We can go right to the last line. Let's just put it up on the screen. If we can go to page six. Q The top full paragraph. The fourth line from the bottom -- sorry, the fifth line from the bottom.

See it says: "And there is no question that a surety bond is a contract of insurance under New York Law."

You don't dispute that, do you?
MR. FIELDS: Objection, renewed objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. He can show him anything he wants. He is not asking for a legal opinion. He is asking --

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, respectfully he is asking if he disputes it, which is calling for a legal conclusion from somebody who said he can't answer the question yes or no.

THE COURT: If you want to object to asked and answered, overruled. And overruled, he is not asking for a legal opinion. You can take that statement out of context and just say, do you agree or disagree.

MR. AMER: But I would like the Court to take judicial notice of the case.

THE COURT: I'll take judicial notice of the opinion.

MR. AMER: I would like the witness's answer.
THE COURT: Ask the question more time.
Q Do you agree or disagree with the statement that there is no question that a surety bond is a contract of insurance under New York Law; yes or no?

A It appears it is a legal definition. Yes.
MR. AMER: And one more document, Your Honor, PX-603.
(Handing)
Q I think you testified that the Trump Organization has
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submitted very few claims; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And you were speaking overall, over all of the lines
of business, correct?
A I am speaking property, casualty.
Q Okay.
A I know for a fact what the last ten years are in those areas, yes.

Q Okay. You will see that this is a letter from Clyde \& Co. to Alan Garten at the Revocable Trust. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And you will see that they are, in the second sentence, writing in response to the broker's letter of February 8, 2019, which purported to provide notice of claims and/or circumstances which may reasonably be expected to give rise to a claim. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q This would have been when AON was the broker, correct?

A Timeframe, yes.
Q And you see in the bullets it lists: 18 letters from Congressional members as being the subject of the claim notice?

A Yes.
Q And the second bullet is: 18 letters from
Congressional members is another set of claims being tendered;

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \$ & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 4710:14 } \\
\text { according (6) } \\
4680: 21 ; 4694: 3
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 4716:9,10,11,15,17 } \\
\text { addressing (1) } \\
4731: 24
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { air (2) } \\
& \quad 4766: 4 ; 4768: 17 \\
& \text { airballs (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 4708:10;4709:2; 4729:20;4738:9,9; 4742:10,23,25; \\
\hline \$1 (1) & 4695:19;4745:6; & adequate & 4770:6 & \[
4749: 11 ; 4751: 8
\] \\
\hline 4755:17 & 4754:7,8 & 4741:6 & akin (1) & 4752:3;4754:1; \\
\hline \$10 (3) & 4726.5.475 & adjourned (1) & Alan (1) & 4755:24;4766:3 \\
\hline 4742:18;4757:11;
4758.5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4726:5;4752:9; } \\
& \text { 4760:5,6,9;4761:16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 4780:20 } \\
\text { admit (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Alan (1) } \\
& 4776: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
17
\] \\
\hline 4758: & 4762:8,13,16;4765:10, & \[
4759: 2
\] & albeit (1) & \[
4721: 20,21
\] \\
\hline 4752:25 & 15;4768:17;4770:5; & admitted (1) & 4719: & analytics (7) \\
\hline \$16 (1) & 4772:7 & 4759:24 & allegations (1) & 4721:15,19,25; \\
\hline 4700:2 & accountant (1) & adopted (1) & 4726:23 & 722:23;4723:1; \\
\hline \$18(1) & 4685:15 & 4744:22 & allege (1) & 4740:7;4749:18 \\
\hline 4699:4 & accountants (1) & advised (1) & 50:19 & analyze (1) \\
\hline \$18,334,523 (1) & 4755:1 & 4772:8 & Allison (1) & 4743:2 \\
\hline 4697:25 & 4671:17 & & & nd/or (9) \\
\hline \$20 (2) & 4671:17,19;4685:10,
14-4722.5.4738.16,18. & 4722:1;4744:7 & allow (2) & 4719:4,22;4722:24; \\
\hline 4752:23;4753:5 & 14;4722:5;4738:16,18; & affects (1) & 4683:4;4737:2 & 7725:16;473 \\
\hline \$23 (2) & & & allowed &  \\
\hline 4699:5, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { accurate ( } \\
\text { 4703:24 }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
4716: 3
\] & almost (1) &  \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 3 \text { (3) } \\
& 4701: 16,19 ; 4756: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & accuse (1) & afford (1) & 4728:23 & 4715:6 \\
\hline \$4 (1) & 4711:16 & 4666:18 & along (2) & Annual (1) \\
\hline 4726: & accused (3) & again (16) & 4729:14;4760:6 & 4752:25 \\
\hline \$604.9 & 4711:8;4712:6,10 & 4669:15,16,18,18 & always (3) & annually (1) \\
\hline 4665:9,11 & accusing (2) & 4670:2;4675:6,9; & 4663:3;4723:20; & 4722:22 \\
\hline \$7,776,000 (1) & 4711:11;4712:9 & 4681:3;4696:7; & 4745:21 & answered (7) \\
\hline 4700:1 & achieved (1) & 4698:21;4734:21; & amazing (2) & 4682:4,11,12; \\
\hline \$7,776,980 (1) & 4702:4 & 4756:4;4758:19; & 4724:12;4736:23 & 4739:22;4773:11; \\
\hline 4699:18 & across (1) & 4767:2;4768:18; & amend (1) & 4774:10;4775:9 \\
\hline \$7.7 (1) & 4689:4 & 4770:4 & \[
4767: 21
\] & anticipate (1) \\
\hline 4699:12 & Act (2) & Against (2) & AMER (56) & 4743:4 \\
\hline \$800 (1) & 4719:19;4735:5 & 4725:22;4749:14 & 4704:16,19;4722:1 & Aon (2) \\
\hline 4729:16 & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { acting (1) } \\
4733: 9
\end{array}
\] & agent (4) & 4725:13;4727:12; & 4755:7;4776:18 \\
\hline A & action & agents (1) & 730:1,6;4731:13,21, & 4759:6 \\
\hline & 4 & 4733: & 4733:17;4734:21; & appear (6) \\
\hline & 4681:3,25;4691:23; & aggregate (2) & 4737:17;4739:8,12,16, & \[
4748: 3 ; 4751: 7
\] \\
\hline \[
4685: 16
\] & 4692:18;4704:25; & 4755:17;4756:19 & 23;4742:14;4744:13, & 4755:16;4756:22; \\
\hline ability (6) & \begin{tabular}{l}
4711:17;4763:22 \\
actual (3)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
ago (5) \\
4678:4;4685:6;
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
22,25;4748:4,18; \\
4749:9,24;4751:9,
\end{tabular} & 4757:13;4758:4
appearing (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4721:1;4739:18; } \\
& \text { 4767:1,3,14;4768:2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 4696:23;4700:2 & 4690:8;4696:8;4705:4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4 / 49: 9,14 ; 4,419,1 ; \\
& 4758: 11,12 ; 4759: 4,9
\end{aligned}
\] & 4671:12 \\
\hline able (2) & 4702:20 & agree (14) & 11,12,21;4764:16; & appears (2) \\
\hline 4673:12;4713:18 & actually (6) & 4678:23;4687:19; & 4765:18;4767:10; & 4758:10;4775:21 \\
\hline above (4) & 4664:12,14;4699:21; & 4695:25;4705:10; & 769:1,10;4770:1,17; & applied (1) \\
\hline 4740:22,25;4756:8; & 4701:9;4721:14; & 4706:14;4759:5 & 771:18,21;4773:25; & 4676:6 \\
\hline 4758:2 & add & \[
68: 8
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6,11,15 ; 4775: 12, \\
& -4777: 6: 4778: 22 .
\end{aligned}
\] & apply (4)
\[
4675: 23
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { absolutely (2) } \\
& \text { 4748:25;4761:9 }
\end{aligned}
\] & add (2) \(4665: 14 ; 4704: 7\) & \[
4775: 11,18
\] & \[
4779: 2,9
\] & \[
4703: 25
\] \\
\hline accept (1) & add & agre & America (3) & appointed (1) \\
\hline 4733:2 & 6,7,4686:10 & ;4714: & 19:17,24;4729:2 & 4723:24 \\
\hline cceptable (1) & ad & & (3)
\[
20 ; 4718: 25
\] & ppraisal (36)
\[
4663: 22 ; 466
\] \\
\hline  & 65:12 & 4742:23;4768:11 & Amer's (1) & 14;4668:17;4669:25; \\
\hline 4767:6;4768:5 & addition (3) & agreement (6) & 4729:3 & 4670:6;4685:21,24; \\
\hline accommodating (3) & 4705:2;4760:23; & 4745:19,21,25 & amount (2) & 4686:13,23;4687:2; \\
\hline 4744:10;4747:12,15 & 4770:10 & \[
4746: 4,8,10
\] & \[
4700: 2 ; 4753: 21
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4694:15,18;4695:2,4,9, } \\
& \text { 11,12,23;4697:12; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
accommodation (14) \\
4738:21:4743:13,17
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { additional (5) } \\
4767: 5,16,18,22 ;
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { agreements (2) } \\
4737: 8 ; 4746: 2
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { amounts (5) } \\
& 4680: 3 ; 4694: 11,12 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
11,12,23;4697:12; \\
4700:20;4701:9,10,20,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 19,23;4744:3,7,12,14, & 4768:4 & ahead (2) & 4704:20;4710:17 & 24;4702:5;4704:8,13; \\
\hline 19;4749:22;4755:7,9, & additionally (1) & 4764:16;4769:9 & analysis (21) & 4706:8,14;4707:24; \\
\hline 11 & \begin{tabular}{l}
4670:8 \\
address (5)
\end{tabular} & aid (1) 4673:12 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4668:14;4669:24; } \\
& \text { 4670:12:4695:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 4708:13,14,21;4711:19 \\
\hline accompanying (1) & address (5) & & & appraisals (28) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4664:8;4666:4; & aspects (1) & auditor (2) & 4699:2;4701:11,12; & 4729:16;4754:9 \\
\hline 4667:10,21,24,25; & 4698:5 & 4766:14,19 & 4702:11;4704:24; & bind (1) \\
\hline 4669:6,19;4693:8,11, & assessment (4) & August (2) & 4709:8,22;4712:5 & 4733:10 \\
\hline 18,19,21;4694:12,16, & 4764:6,11,23;4765:4 & 4750:15;4758:4 & 4721:16;4729:5,11; & birthday (2) \\
\hline 19,22;4695:12,17; & asset (6) & Authoritative (1) & 4748:7;4760:19,24; & 4715:1,5 \\
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COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding.

Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom.

Now be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: Trying to figure out which of the attorneys is going to sit in which seat is like the Kremlinologist who was standing there on the mausoleum.

Defendants, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. FIELDS: Yes, Your Honor. We called David Miller.

And good morning.
THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Fields.
(Whereupon, the witness took the stand.)
COURT OFFICER: Please remain standing. Raise your right hand.

DAVID BRIAN MILLER, after having first been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. THE WITNESS: Thank you.
COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and
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either home or business address for the record.
THE WITNESS: David Brian Miller, 119 East
Muriel Street, Orlando, Florida.
THE COURT: David Brian Miller, I ask you to
speak very close to the microphone, they are very
sensitive, right into it. Loudly.
And Mr. Fields, please begin.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. FIELDS:
Q Good morning.
A Good morning.
Q Where did you attend college, Mr. Miller?
A I attended the Edinboro University for my Bachelor of Arts; Youngstown State University for Master's in psychology; and Ashland University for a Master's in business administration.

Q After your Master's degree, were you gainfully employed with an insurance company?

A Yes, I was.
Q What insurance company was that?
A Eerie Insurance Group.
Q Do you recall approximately what year you started with them?

A Approximately 1975.

Q What were your jobs with Eerie Insurance Group when you got started?

A I started out as a claims adjustor.
Q What does that mean?
A Well, I investigated and handled claims for autos; homes; professional liability; bonding; all lines of business at the company that the company wrote at that time. I was an all lines claims adjustor.

Q So as a claims adjustor, are you one of the first individuals at the company to investigate whether the claim should be properly or should be paid or not?

A Yes, I was responsible for investigating the claim, understanding the policy language, and determining if there was coverage that was applicable.

Q And I think you mentioned professional liability, did you work on those types of matters as a claims adjustor?

A Yes, I did.
Q What do you mean by professional liability?
A Things like Directors \& Officers malpractice, Errors and Omissions.

Q After your time as a claims adjustor, did you get promoted or put into a new role?

A Yes, I did.
Q What role is that?
A I got put into subrogation recovery manager. And in
marketing and profitability of all of the agents in that territory.

Q When you say, "sales and marketing," what does that mean for an insurance company?

A That means the agents writing lines of business that the company wanted to, whether it was home, auto, professional liability, bonds, I was responsible for getting them to write what the company was looking for.

Q And at this juncture as the branch manager, were you a vice president at Eerie Insurance?

A Yes, I was.
Q All right. And then lastly, did you have a final role at Eerie during this period in time that you were employed there?

A Yes. After I was the branch manager I was promoted to Vice President of Marketing and Branch Operations. And at that I was responsible for all of the marketing materials, all of the lines of business and how they were presented to the public. I was also responsible for all of the branch operations and the branch managers - the claims operation and the sales operations reported up through me.

Q So in total, were you there at Eerie for approximately 15 or 16 years?
A Yes.
Q That culminated with you being the Vice President for

\section*{Miller - by Defendant - Direct (Fields) \\ Page 4786}
that role I reviewed claims adjustors' work; and worked with legal firms to collect money back that the company had paid that we were due to recover through a process called subrogation.

Q So as a recovery subrogation manager are you, in effect, one step above the claims adjustor?

A Yes.
Q How about after your time as a recovery subrogation manager, what was your next role like at Eerie?

A I was a district sales manager. I was recruited to develop the northwest section of Ohio where I recruited and trained all of the agents, independent agents, to represent Eerie Insurance Group. And I was responsible for all lines of business that was produced by those agents.

Q And at this point were you an Associate Vice President?

A I was Associate Vice President, yes.
Q Okay. After that, did you have any role?
A Yes. I was promoted to Eerie Branch Manager where I was in charge of all of the agency force agent licensing and marketing for the company. And in that I was responsible for the claims operations and the sales and marketing operation. The claims operation reported to me and I reviewed the - with the claims manager, difficult claims in the claims operations.

And then I was also responsible for the sales and
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Marketing and Branch Operations?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q And where did you go when you left Eerie at this time?

A I left and started my own independent insurance agency in Gaithersburg, Maryland.

Q What were some of your responsibilities when you started your own business?

A Well, in starting my own business I was responsible for doing what I had told people to do. I had to go out and sell insurance to meet different guidelines, to find insurance the company was looking for.

Because of my past experience with the company, I was given underwriting authority of up to a million dollars. So I had the ability to write business and bind the business and make decisions about what was acceptable to the company because of my past relationship with them. So, I was able to write bonds, D\&O, autos, home, commercial lines, garage, commercial auto; all of the lines that Eerie wrote. And in those lines I had a million dollars of binding authority before I had to get approval from any underwriter to accept the business.

Q So, if I understood your testimony, because you worked at Eerie, Eerie allowed you up to a million dollars in underwriting authority to underwrite business for them yourself as a broker?

A Yes. I had discretion to bind the business and underwrite the business at that agency level.

THE COURT: He asked you as a broker. Isn't it as an agent?

THE WITNESS: I had both licenses. I had both licenses, and in that relationship I did have an agency contract with them.

THE COURT: I seem to remember, tell me if \(I\) am right or wrong, the agent represents the insurance company; the broker represents the insured.

THE WITNESS: Right. That's the distinction.
THE COURT: So you were an agent, not a broker.
THE WITNESS: I had both roles. In the capacity
I was writing it was in an agent capacity. You are right, Your Honor.
Q What type of insurance did you place with David B. Miller insurance?

A All lines that Eerie offered. I had blanket authority to write all of the lines.

Q Did that include excess surplus lines policies?
A Eerie Insurance did have an excess surplus lines division, and I could write through them. But I was also licensed with other excess surplus lines carriers; typically called "wholesalers" in the industry. And I had licenses with those various organizations.

Q Could you help us understand what excess surplus lines means?

A Yes. Excess surplus lines means it is not regulated by the state you are doing business in. Basically it allows that company to tailormake a policy to have more discretion in pricing, they don't have to follow their prices; they don't have to follow their forms. So it gives them more latitude in being able to customize a policy. It gives them more latitude to use additions or exclusions in their policy that don't have to be filed. And it allows them to price the policy according to their judgment of what their risk may be.

Q All right. Where did you go to next after David B. Miller insurance?

A I went back to Eerie Insurance Group.
Q And in what position or capacity did you return to Eerie?

A I had several capacities. My title was Senior Vice President and Division Officer; Corporate Risk Manager; Chief Senior Underwriting Officer and President of Eerie Surplus Agency.

Q Did you have several divisions that you were responsible for?

A Yes, I had about five divisions that I was responsible for.

Q What were they?

A Commercial underwriting, which included property casualty; Bonding; Errors and Omissions; Directors \& Officers, that all came under the property casualty heading. Then I had commercial auto and commercial trucking; garage liability; and garage dealerships; commercial processing; commercial quoting and commercial risk management.

Q As part of your roll overseeing the commercial processing division, I believe it was that you said?

A Yes.
Q Did that involve policy language and altering policy language based on the insurance company's preference and what they wanted?

A Yes. Part of my - part of the property casualty and part of the -- as the Chief Underwriting Officer, I was involved. When I first -- particularly when I first went back, I changed the policies that we were doing business with. The policies were a little bit antiquated, so we rewrote the policy and I was in charge of making sure the policies were rewritten correctly. We prepared many new endorsements which targeted markets, and by targeting the markets, it put us in a better competitive position. And I made sure that those endorsements to the policies were done correctly. So we completely modernized the policies being offered by the commercial division. And I was in charge of that operation and project.

Q How about Chief Underwriting Officer, what were your
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responsibilities as the Chief Underwriting Officer?
A Well, my -- my chief duty is to make sure we weren't making a huge mistake. But --

THE COURT: Mine too, by the way.
A I saw the difficult cases, so when it came to me -the average, everyday business was done by the standard underwriters or maybe their manager or supervisor. And then when it came to more difficult cases, I actually did the physical underwriting with them, reviewed their files, looked at the materials, and made a decision whether the company had the capacity and willingness to take on that risk. So, it was my final say-so what backed up the company's money and their exposure. And so, you know, if it was a large bond or a large D\&O or a large commercial account, very often I had the final say-so. I had the ultimate say-so. In fact, I had more authority in that area than the CEO, because I was the Chief Underwriting Officer. And with that comes, you get praised when you make money and you take the hit if you lose money.

Thank heavens I always made money, so I didn't have to take the other side of the coin.

Q Probably a good point to talk about the hierarchy at Eerie Insurance and just insurers generally. But are underwriters generally given what is called levels of authority?

A Yes.

Q Could you just explain a little bit how that works?
A Yes. Well, in particular I can explain how Eerie worked. We had underwriters one, two, and three. And that was based on their experience and time at the job.

So, as an example, somebody that just came in that was learning how to underwrite, we would give level one where they would have a minimum amount of authority or maybe no authority. They had to have everything checked by somebody above them in order to put the monies of the company at risk.

Then an underwriter two would have a little more authority, say maybe to a half a million dollars. And they would be allowed to underwrite risk falling below that category; and if they had more exposure they had to get approval.

An underwriter three would have a million dollars, in some cases \(\$ 2\) million, where they would be allowed to accept that type of risk on behalf of the company. Because by the time they got to underwriter three they generally had ten or more years experience, and so they pretty much knew what they were doing.

And above that it went to the manager and then to me.
Q You also said that you were Eerie's Corporate Risk Manager?

A Yes.
Q What does that mean?
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A Well, we did self insurance, so the risk management, the inspection of the physical buildings, making sure that the things like the fire extinguishers, you know, the boilers, and everything got processed, permitted correctly. All of that stuff was done by my assistants and then passed up through me.

Also, I looked at the Worker's Compensation program and managed the risk of that program; worked out the pricing terms and conditions for that program; monitored the accidents associated with employees automobiles driver safety programs, carpal tunnel programs, educational programs for the betterment of employees, to keep them safe from having occupational hazards or accidents.

So that was the responsibility. And we tracked all of that stuff and managed it, because most of the things, accept the Worker's Compensation, we self insured. So everything we saved was money to the bottom line.

Q So, approximately how long did you work at Eerie in total?

A Approximately 22 years.
Q And did you leave Eerie in approximately 2001 the last time?

A Yes.
Q Where did you go next?
A I founded Inner Harbor Insurance Group. I bought a small seed company in Bethesda, Maryland and grew that
independent agency into about the 15 th largest independent agency in the Tristate area: Maryland, Virginia, DC. I was licensed in 42 states. We had the largest beauty school, professional liability program in the company in 42 states. We also did commercial business all throughout the country. We did about 12,000 policies and personal lines, auto, home, personal umbrella.

But the bulk of the business was done in the commercial lines: Commercial property, commercial casualty, bonding, Directors Officers, all of those lines of business. And personally I did pretty much all commercial business and that's what I specialized in.

Q And do you recall approximately when you left Inner Harbor?

A Yes. I left Inner Harbor in about 2007.
Q And where did you go next?
A I went to Harbor Companies, started my own consulting company. When you get to a certain size in the independent agency business, sometimes companies want to come in because you are a desirable candidate to get purchased. And that's what happened. Somebody wanted to purchase the company. So I had a non-compete, except for consulting and doing expert witness work. And so I started that company and developed Harbor Companies, who I presently work for.

Q You mentioned consulting and expert witness work, is

Q What type of insurance consulting are you doing now?
A I do mostly expert witness work. But I have also done consulting work for educational programs, training programs for employees, guest lecturing for training programs for employees. I worked for companies like USAA, AIG, Mutual companies.

Eerie had me back several times to teach agents how I grew an agency, because by industry standards I grew the agency very quickly. So they all wanted to know what is your secret, how did you do it. Like I had a magic thing. It is hard work. But they wanted to know what I did. So, those are the type of programs that I put on to educate and teach.

Q And do you have a sense for approximately how many cases you have served as an expert witness?

A Over 100.
Q And have you testified at trial previously?
A Yes.
Q Do you get a sense for how many times you have had your deposition taken as an expert witness?

A I believe at last count it was 40 plus.
Q What are some of the topics you have provided expert testimony in?

A Well, I have done bonding cases as an expert witness
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business that they don't want to write. So when you look at a company and determine what does that company -- particular company like to write, then you are far more likely to be successful in writing businesses.

Q Outside of educational consulting, have you taught courses related to insurance?

A Yes. I was adjunct professor at Gannon University. As a matter of fact, I was the first professor in that program. I taught risk management and insurance development. One of the things that I tried to do with my students at that level was to teach them how to be a good consumer. I taught them how do you buy car insurance. How do you buy homeowner's insurance. How do you start a business. What does a business plan look like. How do you develop something that makes you look good to an insurance company. How do you manage your personal assets and how do you manage your personal self to make yourself attractive from an insurance standpoint.

My goal was to make them a better consumer and educated person that could go out and make a decent decision once they left my classroom.

Q Did you do any -- let me withdraw that for a second.
You said Gannon University; is that G-A-N-N-O-N?
A That's correct.
Q And did you do any guest lecturing while you were at Eerie?
before. I have done some high profile \(\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}\) cases. I have done injury cases, bad faith cases, errors and omissions cases, medical malpractice cases. That's probably the bulk of what I did. I did a couple lawyer malpractice. Not that that ever happens. But I did a couple of lawyer malpractice cases. And that's primarily what I do.

Q Have your engagements included representation of both carriers and policyholders?

A Yes.
Q Have you been retained by carriers to do educational consulting?

A Yes, I have.
Q What is educational consulting?
A Well, I have done things from helping -- because I had experience in developing policy language, I was asked by one carrier to come in and look at their policies and offer solutions or endorsements, things to make it more competitive.

I have been asked to talk to independent agents about how to properly market the product, how to get more business, how to develop your business quicker.

You know, what do companies really look for, how do I know that. I would teach them, say, look at the top ten classes of business that a company is writing. And if you concentrate on writing those top ten classes of business you are more likely to be successful than trying to get outlying

A Yes. Eerie had asked me to come in. They would have conferences where they would bring all of their agents in. And several times I taught marketing seminars to all of the agency force regarding all of the steps I used to market. And quite often they would say: Why are you willing to do that?

And I would say: Well, they are going to listen and we will see who actually implements it. So my goal was to try to get some people to go implement what I was -- what I was trying to sell to them, so that they could write more business and overall enhance the business that they were giving to the company.

Q All right. I would like to shift gears now and talk a little bit about your professional certifications or designations. Do you hold any professional certifications or designations?

A Ido.
Q Okay. Do you hold any from what is called the Institutes?
A Yes, I do.
Q And what do you hold from the Institutes?
A The most prestigious certification in the property casualty industry is called the chartered property casualty underwriting CPCU. And I obtained that designation through ten examinations at the time and completed that work. And also the associate and risk management. It is a risk management course
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also offered by the Institutes, five exams, and passed those exams for risk management.

Q And have you held those designations for over approximately 25 years or so?

A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with the National Alliance?
A I am.
Q And do you hold any designations from them?
A Yes. They offer a program called the Certificated Insurance Counselor. And that's a series of five exams. And I have held that designation for over 30 years.

And I have also taught a couple of classes for the society in -- for general liability classes. I have taught a couple of institutes for them.

Q How about the Professional Insurance Agents Association?

A Yes. They offer a program called the Certified Professional Insurance Agents. And I also hold that designation. And I also served on the National Board of Directors for the Certified Professional Insurance Agents.

Q And lastly, the National Association of Insurance and Financial Advisors; do you hold any designations from them?

A Yes. I hold the Life Underwriting Training Counsel Fellow designation, which is a series of financial and life insurance classes.

Q So approximately how many years have you been working in the insurance industry, Mr. Miller?

A Forty plus.
MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, I tender Mr. Miller as an expert in commercial insurance and surety underwriting. MR. AMER: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I am not sure. I don't remember the exact language, but I believe that one is only to deem someone an expert in areas that a normal juror or normal fact finder or normal Judge doesn't understand. I understood absolutely every phrase you used. There might have been one point where I couldn't connect two words, I am not sure I heard them correctly.

And Mr. Miller, I don't want you to take any offense at any of this, but I don't see why I need an expert in what was just said. What could you explain that I wouldn't understand just from hearing witnesses testify and looking at documents?

But, if the plaintiff wants me to, I'll
reconsider. You said you had no objection. You didn't say, yes, Judge, go ahead and do it.

MR. AMER: There are two different questions. One is whether the witness has qualifications in the field; the other is whether the Court requires his assistance as the finder of fact. We don't have a
clearly has a background at being able to explain what it is that insurance companies rely on; what it is they don't rely on; what is material; what is not material; and how that goes into their analysis. I respectfully submit that is something outside of the ken of a normal juror or even, respectfully, the Court.

THE COURT: I think that was well argued, Mr. Robert, for what that is worth. What they rely on. Why wouldn't a fact witness tell me what they relied on when the Zurich witness did or didn't rely on things? Why do I need an expert to tell me what insurance -- to me it is not a question of what insurance companies rely on so much as what this or these insurance companies relied on.

MR. ROBERT: When it comes to the issue of what the defendants did and what their intent was and what they submitted, that you need to understand from an expert how an insurance company looks at things. You have already heard the testimony -- and I always get the young woman's name wrong, the one on the video.

MR. AMER: Mouradian.
MR. ROBERT: Mouradian, as to her view of things. And this expert is clearly able to lay a more detailed foundation as to generally what insurance companies rely on themselves.

In the prosecution's case they did not put
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position on the second point because I don't know what Your Honor requires assistance in.

THE COURT: Nothing that \(I\) am aware of. I mean, I have many times said in my career: It is amazing how much litigation involves insurance. Just, insurance comes at me left and right.

MR. AMER: I think your point goes more to a relevance question. And we did object to this witness on relevance grounds, you may recall. That was part of our motion in limine.

THE COURT: I don't see relevance either. But the particular question is -- I am only looking at the second prong, you know, do I need or would any fact finder need his expertise.

Mr. Robert?
MR. ROBERT: I believe you do, Your Honor. PJI 1:90 that talks about when a Judge or jury requires expert testimony. The government has accused the defendants of having committed insurance fraud. One of the elements of that has to do with the underwriting and what, if anything, the insurance companies relied on as far as what was submitted by the defendants in terms of, A , whether it was material; and two, whether they relied on it. And it goes to the intent of the defendants as to when things were submitted to the insurance companies. This witness
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anybody specifically from an insurance company beyond that one witness who was putting in place any of the elements required for insurance fraud.

That's why one of the reasons we moved for directed verdict on the count of insurance fraud is we don't believe there has been any evidence to support that. Because Your Honor currently is reserving on the decision, I guess because there has been no decision yet, we obviously have an obligation to put forth testimony to be able to defend ourselves.

And the testimony is not going to be long, Your Honor. Not that that necessarily matters, but I figured I would just say it. I know timing is an issue here. And as things stand, I think we are actually going to be a week or so ahead of schedule at the end of the day.

THE COURT: Great, Mr. Amer.
MR. AMER: One comment which I heard Mr. Robert say, intent. It is clear under New York Law that experts aren't permitted to opine on the intent to commit a penal act. And so I think the only cases we found where actually intent was permitted, is where there is a psychiatrist opining.

THE COURT: We may need a psychiatrist after all of this.

MR. AMER: Which is clearly not relevant here,
Miller - by Defendant - Direct (Fields) Page 4805

Your Honor.
So I think there is an additional problem if this is supposed to go to Ms. Mouradian's intent or Mr. Holl's intent, who is the D\&O underwriter, because that's just not the province of an expert witness.
(Whereupon, the following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

\section*{D. Miller - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)}

THE COURT: Well, weighing, again, the dangers of a possible reversal, is it plaintiff's -- does plaintiff want me to deem him an expert and let him testify, or not? I haven't made up my mind totally, but I would want to get your input.

MR. AMER: Recognizing the importance of not qualifying somebody who makes a living as an expert in his field, I would say we don't object to qualifying him as an expert. But we would reserve and make objections on relevance grounds as those questions come up, your Honor.

THE COURT: Last words, if any? No. Okay.
By the way, I don't think you asked, were you ever not qualified as an expert when someone attempted to qualify you as an expert?

THE WITNESS: The answer is, to my knowledge, I've never been disqualified, sir.

THE COURT: Then I will not be the first. I hereby deem you expert in insurance and the business.

Mr. Fields, would you like to give me -- give us a few words exactly what he is being deemed an expert in?

MR. FIELDS: Commercial insurance and surety underwriting.

THE COURT: Okay. But as Mr. Amer said, or asked, I will reserve the right to decide whether any questions are relevant, or whether it fits into something I need expertise
on.
So you are an expert.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you, your Honor.
Q Mr. Miller, from your review -- withdrawn.
Did you review documents from Zurich Insurance Company about events -- a surety relationship between Zurich and The Trump Organization?
A Yes, I did.
Q And the documents that you reviewed generally, would those have been -- what were they?
A I reviewed some depositions. I reviewed their worksheets, the Zurich worksheets that they had underwriting
worksheets, and information that they had gathered, I looked at.
Q When you say "worksheets", would those generally be underwriting memorandums?
A That's correct.
Q All right.
From your review, were you able to ascertain whether there was an indemnity relationship as it related to the bond program?
A Yes. They had a general indemnification agreement in place.
Q And who were the parties to that indemnification agreement?
A The Trump Organization and Donald J. Trump.
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Q When you say "The Trump Organization", do you mean Zurich Insurance company?
A Yes, yes, yes, yes.
Q And Donald J. Trump?
A Yes.
Q What is the purpose of an indemnity agreement?
A An "indemnity agreement" is an important aspect because
when you write surety bonds, generally a company looks at a zero loss ratio position. So since they want a zero loss ratio, they want to be able to, if they make a payment on a claim, their goal is to be able to get the money back from the person that they insured.

Q How does the enforcement of that indemnification agreement occur, in practice?
A Well, how it actually works, once a claim is paid, they go back to the indemnification agreement and put the person on notice that payment has been made, and they intend to collect from cash, or cash equivalents. Sometimes there's also collateral or a line of credit that they would look forward to get reimbursement from.

THE COURT: It would be pretty funny if I said I don't know what the heck he's talking about.

Mr. Robert, you didn't find that funny.
MR. ROBERT: That's why you need expert testimony.
THE COURT: Understood. I don't think you got the

21 A "Capacity" means how much money are they willing to put

\section*{MR. FIELDS: I did, your Honor.}

MR. AMER: New York sarcasm, I guess.
Q You mentioned cash or cash equivalents, are there certain metrics that underwriters find important for surety bond underwriting?
A Could you clarify.
Q Sure.
What is are some of the important things that a surety bond underwriter is looking for when deciding whether to underwrite a surety bond?
A Well, basically they are looking at what we call the three Cs ; character, capacity, and capitalization liquidity.
Q What is character?
A "Character" is the overall reputation of the person that you are looking at. Do they seem to have a good position, or are they well-known in their industry? What type of person or what type of organization, is the overall perspective look good them as an underwriter.
Q And capacity? up on behalf of the company. And in this case, they basically had put out \(\$ 6\) million for any one surety bond, with a maximum of 20 million for the program.
Q So when you say " 20 million", would that have been the
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\section*{13}
maximum possible exposure so Zurich?
A Yes, that's correct.
Q And the last \(\mathbf{C}\) was capital assets?
A Capital assets. Basically, in the surety business,
that means liquid funds, cash equivalents in order to get repaid.
Q Why is the liquid fund so important?
A As I stated, a surety underwriter is looking at a zero loss ratio. So while they put out money temporarily, they expect to collect it back.
Q From your review of the documents, did you get the sense that Zurich was ever concerned with The Trump Organization's character?
A No.
Q How about the Trump Organization's capital assets?
A No.
Q In practice, when considering liquidity, is the surety more concerned with the aggregate bond limit or the individual bond limit?
A Well, they take into consideration both, but the most important aspect would be any one single bond, because they want to make sure -- in my 40 years I've never seen an entire bonding program get called all at one time. So while you have different
D. Miller - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields) -- you may have a court bond. You may have a license permit
25 bond. You may have, you know, different types of surety bonds.

And the likelihood of the whole program getting called at once is pretty minimal. So their real exposure is what is the largest single bond that they would put out there. And in this case, I think the largest bond that I saw issued was about 5.7 million under the 6 million per bond aggregate that they were willing to -- or per bond that they were willing to put out there. And on average, their bonding program, over the years, pretty much stayed under 10 million for most of the bonding period, from 2007 to 2021.
Q Are brokers often involved in this surety relationship between the principal and the surety?
A Yes.
Q And how do the brokers affect that line of business?
A Well, quite often surety is not the lead business. You
know, surety is something you do as an accommodation. So at the time, Aon, who is a large multinational broker, um, has a lot of influence in the marketplace because of their ability to do business and the size of their organization. So at that time they had other business and a track record with the Trump
Organization, and they came to Zurich and said, could you do us a favor, basically, and write the surety bonds.

MR. AMER: I'm going to object as to what Aon said to Zurich. It's hearsay, and there's no foundation for that.

THE COURT: Sustained, unless I've given some

\section*{Miller - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)}
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\section*{exception.}

Q Well, Mr. Miller, from your review of the documents, did you get the sense that Aon had a big influence on Zurich's decision to underwrite these bonds?

MR. AMER: Same objection, your Honor.
THE COURT: I get the sense - I don't know that's
a standard of proof that we could use, "get the sense."
MR. FIELDS: Was it his understanding.
THE COURT: I think you would have to lay a foundation.
A I can speak to what the underwriter --
MR. AMER: Your Honor, we have an objection that's pending.

THE COURT: Sustained. I'm assuming he's asking a different question now.
Q I think you testified that you reviewed Zurich's underwriter memorandums in this case?

A Yes.
Q Did Zurich's underwriter memorandums reference Aon?
A Yes.
Q And from those references in the underwriter memorandums, did you gather an understanding as to what the relationship was like between Aon and Zurich?

A Yes.
Q What was that understanding?

A In the testimony that I read from the underwriter, Ms. Caulfield, she stated that the relationship in keeping a broker, Aon, happy was very important to her. So when they presented the writing the surety business, she stated in her testimony that the reason for writing it was keeping a broker happy and keeping existing business on the books.

MR. AMER: So I'll object on relevance since Ms. Caulfield's underwriting of the program predates 2018 and anything that is at issue in this case on the fraud claim. It relates to an earlier period of the relationship, your Honor. We were involved with Ms. Mouradian's underwriting of the program from 2017 forward. And it's also hearsay.

MR. FIELDS: To the hearsay, he's an expert, first of all.

Second of all, as to Ms. Caulfield, she was the longest serving underwriter on this account, and a lot of the underwriting that occurred happened on her watch. And it's important for the Court to understand what was going on in the years that preceded what the government has alleged is the alleged insurance fraud. So that's the purpose of all of this.

THE COURT: I'm just going to let it in. You know, it's funny to object on hearsay, and it's also irrelevant -well, if it's irrelevant, so what.

I remember the testimony and some of the documents. It, um -- it makes a certain sense, so I'll leave it at that. It's in.

That's the most famous objection, "Yeah, I just want to hear it", so.
Q I don't know if this has been answered, but if it has I'm shower I'll hear an "asked and answered objection."

How can a broker the size of Aon affect, in this case, Zurich's underwriting determinations?
A Well, my experience in being a chief underwriting officer is very similar to having an Aon and a Zurich, and that is my largest producers, come in and they'll try to influence you and say, I have a lot of business with you. I would like you to do me a favor. And they kind of put pressure on you to do something, whether you want to or not. So you make an exception, or you say, "Okay, I'll write this line of business for you", um, because you don't want to lose all their business, and you want to keep good favor with that broker.
Q How, if at all, would an insurance company the size of Zurich's be affected by losing business from Aon?
A Well, Aon is one of the largest brokers in the world, so it could be a substantial hit to their bottom line to lose all their business. If Aon decided they didn't want to do business with Zurich and decided to move all their business to another carrier, it could be a substantial loss.

Q Did you form an opinion regarding -- I should have asked you this earlier, Mr. Miller, but any opinion that you give today, please give to a reasonable degree of professional certainty based on your experience in the industry; is that fair?
A Yes.
Q All right.
Did you form an opinion regarding Zurich's underwriting of The Trump Organization Surety Bond Program?
A Yes.
Q What is that opinion?
A Well.
MR. AMER: Can we get a time frame?
MR. FIELDS: The whole program. From inception to end.

THE COURT: I'll allow it.
A Yes. My perception was there was not a lot of technical underwriting that took place, um, because it was done as what I would perceive -- what I would call a business decision. They wanted to write the business to keep the relationship between Aon and Zurich in place. They wanted to keep other business that they had with the organization in place. So I would call what they did as basically a business decision as to the capacity and the risk they were willing to take in the surety program, particularly since they had an
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indemnification agreement in place.
Q Why would the indemnification agreement affect the underwriting decisions?
A Because if they had to pay a claim, they would get repaid.
Q You mentioned that there was "not a lot of technical underwriting." Was that the phrase that you used?
A Yes.
Q What do you mean by "technical underwriting"?
A I would mean the nuts and bolts. Really, you know, getting into the different aspects, you know, doing -- ordering clue reports, past claim reports, um, analyzing different reports that are available in the industry. None of that was really done in this case.

Q In your experience, do sureties approve bonds where the surety has doubt about the indemnitor's ability to fulfill the obligation under the indemnity agreement?
A No. From my experience companies -- insurance companies don't waste their dollars.
Q And in your experience, do sureties write bonds for a company if they feel that company has defrauded them?
A No, if they felt that way, they would stop the -- the program and not write bonds for them.
Q You mentioned the term "accommodation." What is an accommodation?

A "Accommodation" basically, in simple terms, would be a favor. You are doing it as a reason to keep other things in place, whether it's the relationship, the business relationship, or other business relations that you have in place.

So you are doing it as a business decision rather than possibly a technical underwriting decision.
Q How common are accommodations in the industry?
A Probably too common. There's a lot of pressure in the industry to -- that, um, you know, large brokers can assert in order to get their way.

Q Are accommodations more common when you talk about surety bonds, or less common?

A Because of the nature of surety bonds and the ability to get repaid on surety bonds, they are done as an accommodation because the exposure, the risk is potentially pretty minimal to companies.
Q So is it your opinion that Zurich was writing the surety bond program as an accommodation? MR. AMER: Leading, your Honor. THE COURT: Sustained. Leading.
Q Why was Zurich underwriting the surety bond program with The Trump Organization, in your opinion?
A Well, I can only go by the testimony that I wrote -that I read, and that was, um, the things that the underwriter specifically said; the relationship with Aon was very important,
and keeping business intact was very important. Those were two things that were considered of high importance to the surety underwriting.

THE COURT: So that opinion is based only on the evidence, not on your expert opinion; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Um, that's what I read. And if you would like to rephrase that, I'm sorry.

MR. FIELDS: I think based on his years of experience is what Mr. Miller is saying. His experience working at insurance companies and understanding the reasons that they do things or don't do things.

THE COURT: But he specifically said based solely or based only on the correspondence or testimony he read. So I'm saying, well, if it's based only on what you read, then it's not based on your expertise.

THE WITNESS: Well, let me rephrase that, your
Honor. That's what I read. And from my personal experience and my expertise in the surety business, very often surety is written as an accommodation to other lines of business.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. AMER: Your Honor --
THE WITNESS: As an underwriting officer of a Fortune 500 company, that's my experience.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, his opinion is based on the
testimony and, and one underwriter. I think we should know who that underwriter is. And I think it's Ms. Caulfield and not Ms. Mouradian, from my understanding of his prior testimony. So if we could get that clarification, please.

THE COURT: Do you remember which witness you'rr inferring to?

THE WITNESS: I believe that was Ms. Caulfield, but in context, Ms. Mouradian was a continuation of the program and the basis. It was set up by Ms. Caulfield, and she just continued that program.

So the basis of the program was already in place when Ms. Mouradian took over the account.
Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at your report to see exactly what testimony you read in connection with drafting your report?

\section*{A Sure.}

MR. AMER: I think his recollection is spot on. It was Ms. Caulfield. So I don't know why we need to look at his report to refresh his recollection.

THE COURT: Well, he said it was based on Caulfield and then Mouradian, quote, "continued the program." But I have no problem with no objection to his looking at the expert affidavit.

MR. AMER: But, your Honor, just to be clear, I don't think he said he relied on Ms. Mouradian's testimony.
D. Miller - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)
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I think he said Ms. Mouradian was successor underwriter to Ms. Caulfield.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q On the final page of your report, Mr. Miller.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see at the bottom of page C-i?
A Yes.
Q Whose deposition transcript did you review?
A Joanne Caulfield's.
Q And then on the next page?
A And Regina, Michael Holl and Claudia Mouradian, and Ms. Wu.

MR. AMER: The question is not whose testimony did he review. The question is what testimony is he relying on for his opinion?

THE COURT: Correct.
MR. FIELDS: Okay.
THE COURT: So we need to know which testimony he is relying on, not just which ones he read.
Q What information or testimony did you rely on in forming your opinion in this case?
A Um, both underwriting depositions --
Q Okay.
A -- from the underwriters. And that would be from
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Ms. Mouradian and Ms. Caulfield.
Q Did you also have the opportunity to read
Ms. Mouradian's trial testimony?
A Yes.
Q And did that change your opinion in any way?
A No.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
Q How, if at all, does an accommodation affect the underwriting process?

A Well, an accommodation generally means that you've already made the decision to write it, or you are going to write it, because of the situation that you are being asked to do.

So, in general, it probably loosens or eliminates the underwriting standards, because you already know you are going to do it, so you just do it.

Q Is there anything that requires the surety to make the underwriting -- withdrawn.

Is there anything that requires the surety to make an accommodation?

A Pressure from the broker.
Q Trying to develop more business?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Leading.
25 MR. AMER: I was trying to get there quickly.
D. Miller - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)

Page 4822
THE COURT: We've heard this several times already, so it doesn't really matter.

MR. FIELDS: Fair enough.
Q How are surety bonds priced?
A Surety bonds are priced by rates filed with the Department of Insurance. They file a standard rate, and then
they file a debit and credit that they can apply to that standard filed rate.
Q From your review of the information in this case, do you know what the standard rate was?

A I believe the standard rate was \(\$ 15\) per thousand of exposure.

Q And do you recall what the rate was at the beginning of the relationship?

A Yes. At the beginning of the relationship it was -the account was debited 33 percent, and they used a \(\$ 20\) rate per thousand.

Q And why would an underwriter debit an account like that?

A Possibly it's a new program and they want to get experience with a person before they give them -- they have the ability to charge more. They want to get experience, so they charge more.
Q And did there come a time that the account in this case was credited?

A Yes. At one point, because the -- over the years the business had been profitable. They reduced the rate to the standard filed rate of \(\$ 15\), and then at one point, I believe it's in 2015 or 2016, Arch Insurance company came in and they saw that the surety business was profitable and is something that they wanted to write, and they offered it at \(\$ 10\) per thousand, I believe. And so at that point, because of competitive reasons, Zurich lowered their rate to \(\$ 10\) in order to maintain the business.

MR. FIELDS: May I have one moment, your Honor. THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)
Q All right, Mr. Miller, I would like to show you a couple of documents that you reviewed in providing your opinion or arriving at your opinions in this case.

MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up D-43, please.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q Do you recall reviewing this?
A Yes.
Q And does it appear to be the memorandum - underwriting memorandum from 2009?
A Yes, it does.

Q Do you see under the subheading "Financial Review and Summary" toward the top?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q Does it appear to you that the it has been filled in the way you would expect to see an underwriting memorandum?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, just note my objection to the 2009 underwriting document. It's irrelevant.

THE COURT: What's the relevance of this?
MR. FIELDS: Again, to establish the relationship, your Honor, and what it eventually evolved into. MR. AMER: How does that --
THE COURT: Mr. Amer, what years would you consider relevant, if any?

MR. AMER: 2019 and 2020 underwriting renewal meetings that Ms. Mouradian attended on site at the Trump office building. That's where the fraud occurred, and that's the only relevance to our fraud claims.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, respectfully, we've sat here for several weeks, as has the Court, and the government has gone into documents going back over a decade. And it's almost a joke every time we objected, you know, "statute of limitations." We tried to do it in as least an intrusive way possible, and the government kept saying, well, it's still appropriate and it's something to deal with the equitable relief they are seeking. I still don't understand
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r}
\hline D. Miller - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields) & Page 4825 & Mille \\
& & \\
1 & they're method, but be that as it may, we're just trying to & 1 \\
2 & show and establish what happened prior to the alleged fraud. & 2 \\
3 & And, quite frankly, one thing I may agree with & 3 \\
4 & Mr. Amer on is, I don't think there's any fraud here, so the & 4 \\
5 & fact that he thinks it's only limited to -- what did he say? & 5 \\
6 & THE COURT: 2019. & 6 \\
7 & MR. ROBERT: 2019, I don't even think that's the & 7 \\
8 & case. If he wants to agree and put on record there is no & 8 \\
9 & claim for any misconduct prior to 2019, I'm certainly & 9 \\
10 & willing to accept that on behalf of my clients, and I'm sure & 10 \\
11 & my colleagues are as well. & 11 \\
12 & MS. HABBA: I'm happy to. & 12 \\
13 & THE COURT: But you -- but you would still want to & 13 \\
14 & put this evidence in, even if they accepted your offer, & 14 \\
15 & right? & 15 \\
16 & MR. ROBERT: I will take a moment to think about & 16 \\
17 & it. I haven't heard they accepted my offer, but I stand & 17 \\
18 & here eagerly awaiting what Mr. Amer has to say. & 18 \\
19 & THE COURT: Do you accept the offer if they say not & 19 \\
20 & that there is no fraud, but they are not claiming any fraud, & 20 \\
21 & right, prior to 2019? & 21 \\
22 & MR. ROBERT: Again, your Honor, if they are trying & 22 \\
23 & to use any conduct prior to 2019, as they have been arguing & 23 \\
24 & before you for purposes of their equitable claim, then it's & 24 \\
25 & most certainly appropriate that we are able to explain why & 25
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MR. FIELDS: I don't know if \(I\) ever -- was there an end to the question? I don't think so. Let me reask it.
Q The financial review and summary section, does it appear to you that it has been completed the way you would expect to see this in an underwriting memorandum?

A No. It looks like no underwriting was done actually.
Q How can you tell that?
A There is nothing filled in.
Q So what would you expect to see based on your experience?

A I would expect to see all of the ratios and everything filled in and completed.

MR. FIELDS: If we could then go to page three?
Q What did the single bond and aggregate bond limits appear to be in 2009?

A Single bond 1.1 million and the program 2.5 million.
MR. FIELDS: Okay. I'll move to admit D-43.
THE COURT: I'll admit it, with just the comment it sounded like -- well, it is relevant to the
relationship, but they didn't make the relationship. They
didn't make a deal. Is that? Let me ask the witness.
Did this lead to any indemnity, insurance,
anything?
THE WITNESS: There was -- there was no claims


Q Do you see that she writes in this bullet point that: Zurich lost the insurance program in May of 2011 , I performed an on site review of Trump's personal financial statements?

A Yes.
Q So did you understand that to be a relationship, property and casualty relationship that predated the surety bond program?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
A Yeah.
THE COURT: Sustained. Sorry. THE WITNESS: Sorry.
Q What, if any, relationship in terms of insurance existed prior to the surety bond program, to your understanding?

A My understanding there was a property casualty relationship.

Q How does that affect the ultimate decision for a surety to write bonds if they have just lost a property and casualty program?

A Well, because the surety program was already approved prior to this, while they had the relationship and it had no claims lost from an underwriting standpoint, my experience is you don't throw out a profitable line of business because you lost the other business. You try to keep it and hope to get the other line of business back.
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MR. FIELDS: If we could go to page two, please?
Q Under the rates section, do you see that it says rate charged is \(\$ 20\) per thousand?

A Yes. That's the -- that's the debited rate that I referred to.

Q And when you say, "debited," do you mean because it is higher than the standard rate?

A 33 percent higher than the filed rate, the standard rate.

MR. FIELDS: If we could then move to -- I'll move to admit D-44.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 44 by the Court.)

MR. FIELDS: If we can move to D-45, please? (Handing)
Q This is now a 2012 memorandum, Mr. Miller?
A Yes.
Q The second bullet point under Personal Financial Analysis section. Do you see where Ms. Caulfield writes: "When Zurich lost the insurance program in May of 2011, I performed an on site review of Trump's personal financial statements. This option has not been presented as an option at this time, although it has been requested many times"?

A Yes.
Q And on the next bullet point, do you see the underwriter writes something about Forbes and Donald Trump's personal net worth estimated at 3.2 billion?

A Yes.
Q In your experience, have you ever seen underwriters rely on media publications in making underwriting determinations?

A Prior to this, no.
Q And the last bullet point at the bottom of the page. Do you see that Ms. Caulfield writes: "Bonds already written may continue to renew as an accommodation to AON, New York"?

A Yes.
Q Do you understand that to mean the same accommodation that you have testified about here this morning?

A That's correct.
THE COURT: Leading. MR. AMER: Leading. THE COURT: I beat you to it that time. Objection sustained. It was totally leading.
Q What do you understand the term "accommodation" to mean in this context?

A That means they were writing the bonds, because they initially wrote it as a favor to AON and they were still writing it as a favor to AON as of this date.

Q On the last page, the second bullet point at the top beginning with, "no new bonds."

Do you see that the underwriter writes: "No new bonds to be approved or issued unless financial disclosure is provided"?

A Yes.
Q And below that, the line below it, what is the single and aggregate bond limit as of this time?

A 500,000 for any single bond and a million-dollar aggregate.

MR. FIELDS: Move to admit D-45.
THE COURT: I am sorry, what was that?
MR. FIELDS: Move to admit Defense 45. THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 45 by the Court.)

MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up D-47, please? (Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q All right. At the bottom of the page -- or
Mr. Miller, let me just ask you. What year does this appear to be a memorandum for?

A 2013.
Q At the bottom of the page, do you see where

Ms. Caulfield writes: The account was cut off from any new bonds in January of 2012 due to failure to pay fronting fees for a bond issued in Scotland?

A Yes.
Q And she continues: This bond has since been released, also the account's failure to provide updated underwiting information prohibits us from taking on any new liability?

A Yes.
Q From your review of this, does it appear that the underwriter is not inclined to take on additional risk? MR. AMER: Objection.
A That's what was written, but in reality -THE COURT: Hold on. Go ahead, Mr. Amer.
A Thanks. That's what was written -THE COURT: Hold on. MR. AMER: It is leading. He is suggesting the answer. THE COURT: It is leading. Sustained. MR. FIELDS: Okay.
Q What does this sentence mean to you as a former or current underwriter?

A That means that they weren't -- they didn't want to write anymore business at the time. But the reality from my
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recollection is when they were requested to write additional bonds, they continued to write bonds because of the relationship with AON.

THE COURT: I often tell my staff that "they" is
a bad word. Can you just be --
THE WITNESS: Zurich.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. FIELDS: All right. And if we could go to the fourth page, please.
Q What does the single and aggregate bond limits appear to be?

A 500,000 and a million.
MR. FIELDS: Move to admit Defense 47.
THE COURT: Sustained. It is in -- Sorry. It
is like duck, duck, goose, right?
Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 47 by the Court.)

MR. FIELDS: Defense 48, please.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q What year is this, Mr. Miller?
A 2014.
Q At the third bullet point under -- beginning with "a
press release." Does it appear that the underwriter is now referring to a different media publication?

A Yes.
Q And what publication is that?
A USA Today.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. FIELDS: And on the next page, page two.
Q Under the first heading or the first bullet point at the top, do you see where the underwriter writes: "Bonds already written may continue to renew as an accommodation to AON, New York, no further material expansion to the program until the financial review of DJT's personal statements has been completed."

A Yes.
MR. FIELDS: And if we could then go to page four.
Q Under the FYE Account Program Recommendation subheading in the middle?
A Yes.
Q The -- I guess what would be technically the second bullet point, "line to be increased." Do you see where the underwriter writes: "Line to be increased to 2.2 million single and 3 million aggregate. No material further expansion to the program without the on site review of personal financial statements?

Q And if we could -- or the top paragraph here under July 23, 2015; do you see where the underwriter writes: "Financial statements are not provided and the previous supporting insurance relationship with Zurich is no longer in force. Given Mr. Trump's personal wealth versus the type and size of program we are on, the recommendation is to renew the line for billing purposes of the existing bond renewals." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q All right. Now at the bottom of the page under SDA History. What are the single and aggregate bond limits?

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I am going to object. Why are we having this witness just confirm what the document says without asking him any questions about what the document says? This is a waste of time.

THE COURT: I was surprised that there was no question about that last statement.

MR. FIELDS: My next question I think is going to be important, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, if it is important I'll let you ask it. But I take Mr. Amer's points and I ask you to take it into consideration. There is generally no point in just: Do you see that statement?

Yes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & by Defendant - Direct (Fields) Page 4837 \\
\hline & Okay. There it is. \\
\hline 2 & But anyway, let's hear the important question. \\
\hline 3 & MR. FIELDS: I don't think he answered what the \\
\hline 4 & gile and aggregate bond limits were. If he could \\
\hline 5 & answer, then I'll ask a question that I think is important \\
\hline 6 & for the Court to understand in terms of how the program is \\
\hline 7 & expanding. \\
\hline 8 & A 2,200,00 and 3,000,000. \\
\hline 9 & Q Okay. And do you recall that in the last year the \\
\hline 10 & single was 500,000 and the aggregate was 1 million? \\
\hline 11 & A That's correct. \\
\hline 12 & Q Does it appear to you as an underwriter that the \\
\hline 13 & ogram has expanded without the underwriter reviewing any \\
\hline & updated financial information? \\
\hline 15 & MR. AMER: Objection, leading. \\
\hline 16 & THE COURT: Leading. \\
\hline 17 & I let you go first that time. I knew right \\
\hline 18 & away. \\
\hline 19 & Leading sustained. \\
\hline 20 & MR. FIELDS: Okay. \\
\hline 21 & Q So the single bond limit last -- the prior year we \\
\hline 22 & looked at was 2012 -- I am sorry, 2013, was 500,000; do you \\
\hline 23 & recall that? \\
\hline 24 & A Yes. \\
\hline 25 & Q And now how much is it \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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A 2.2.
Q And from your review of the documents, did the underwriter review financial information between when it was 500,000 to now being \(\$ 2.2\) million?

A No.
Q And I would ask you the same question for the aggregate. Did it appear that there was any underwriting information or financial review information done to make the determination to take it from 1 million to 3 million?

A There wasn't anything done.
MR. FIELDS: I move to admit Defense 48. THE COURT: It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 48 by the Court.)

MR. FIELDS: Two minutes?
THE COURT: Two minutes warning.
MR. FIELDS: Okay. Defense 49, please. (Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: One minute warning.
Q Does this appear to be another 2014 memorandum?
A Yes.
Q I'll represent to you that the one we just looked at,
Defense 48, was current date at the top was July 23, 2015.

What date at the top is reflected here on this document?
A \(11 / 6 / 2015\).
Q So would that be approximately give or take four months later?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And if you would flip to the second page. At the top above operations/management review. Do you see where there is a November 6, 2015 entry?

A Yes.
Q Does it appear that there was an additional bond in the amount of \(\$ 2\) million added that brought the overall capacity to 5.2 million?

A Yes.
Q From your review of the document, was there any underwriting -- withdrawn.

From your review of the documents was there any financial information looked at by the underwriter from July of 2015 to November of 2015?

A No, there wasn't.
THE COURT: Okay. Ten minute break. See you all in 15 minutes.
(Whereupon there was a pause in the proceeding.) COURT OFFICER: All rise.
Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.
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THE COURT: When Tommy does that people in Foley Square Park stand up. It is amazing.
(The witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: Please continue.
MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could pull up, please, Defense 49 that we were just discussing a minute ago, perhaps 15 minutes ago. And the second page, bottom of the page, under the \(11 / 6 / 2015\). Joanne Caulfield all the way at the bottom.
Q Mr. Miller, do you see the term Arch used here?
A Yes.
Q And you testified a little bit about Arch earlier, but what is Arch?

A And is an insurance carrier.
Q And what does it appear that the underwriter is doing here as a result of Arch?

A Decreasing the premium, they are crediting the premium in order to stay competitive.

MR. FIELDS: All right. Moving Defense 49. THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 49 by the Court.)

MR. FIELDS: I would like to move to 2019. So this is Plaintiff's 1552 in evidence.

Q All right. Let me just ask you, what year is this underwriting memorandum from?

A 2019-- 2020. I am sorry.
Q And at the top left here, can you see who the underwriter is?

A Yes. Claudia Mar --
Q Markarian?
A Markarian.
Q I'll represent to you that Ms. Markarian was married and changed her last name. And her last name is now Mouradian, so I'll refer to her as Ms. Mouradian.

Under the financial review and summary section, does it appear that Ms. Mouradian has filled out this section the way you would expect to see it in an underwriting memorandum? MR. AMER: Objection, leading. THE COURT: Sustained as to leading.
Q What do you gather from the financial review and summary section in front of you?

A Nothing changed from the prior years. It doesn't look like little or no underwriting was done.

Q If we could then zoom out and go down a little further under personal financial analysis. The first bullet point that begins with "I reviewed."

Do you see where Ms. Mouradian writes that she reviewed the personal financial statements of Donald J. Trump
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on November 20, 2018 ?
A Yes.
Q And once again -- withdrawn.
Under the third bullet point beginning with, "cash on
hand." How much cash on hand does Ms. Mouradian note in this bullet point?

A 76.2 million.
Q And how much in escrow funds?
A 22.7 million.
\(Q\) On the next page, page two, under the surety program heading, first paragraph. How many bonds as of November 27, 2018 was Zurich or did Zurich have in terms of outstanding liability?

A They had about approximately 21 bonds and exposure of 10.9 million.

Q And on page three under the rates section. What is the account rate at this time?

A \(\$ 10\) per thousand.
THE COURT: Is that per thousand or per million?
Q Could you explain, Mr. Miller, what the \$10/m generally means?

MR. AMER: We will stipulate that's per
thousand. Two m-s would be million.
THE COURT: That's what I sort of figured. I
always sort of use K , but.

Q Then lastly, if we could go to page five, the second bullet point under FYE account program recommendations that begins with "although the indemnity package."

Do you see where Ms. Mouradian writes: "Although the indemnity package and financial disclosure is not perfect, it is highly unlikely the Trump Organization would allow any of our bonds to end up in a claims situation. There is also substantial liquidity within the company to cover any bond need should there be an issue"?

A Yes.
Q And do you see where she continues: "The CFO and Controller were highly professional, well-educated and conscientious about the work that they do. I learned that many employees have worked for the Trump Organization for extended periods of time. There is a loyalty to the organization that is widespread and the employees enjoy working for the Trump family"?

A Yes.
Q Why is this important in making an underwriting determination?

A It falls back to the three Cs. And the character of the organization. So, from an underwriting standpoint she said that the character seems to be a good fit for surety operations.

And their capacity, the other C , is overall this is a
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very small program for a company like Zurich or even for an organization as large as this. It is a relatively small program by industry standards. Not a lot of exposure.

Really in this case, because of the liquidity
situation and the indemnity agreement, Zurich is looking at a zero exposure, which is perfect for the surety business.

MR. FIELDS: And if we could zoom out and then
just look at the rate down at the bottom of the page. I
am sorry not the rate, the single and aggregate exposure.
Q What is the single and aggregate exposure at this time?

A Actually the underwriter had raised from the prior, I believe it was 2 million and about 3 million, increased the overall program to 6 million to any one single bond and \(\$ 20\) million aggregate exposure for all bonds.

Q What does the \(\$ 20\) million figure represent in terms of the worst possible scenario for the surety?

A Worst possible scenario 20 million aggregate means if all of the bonds defaulted at once, they would have a \(\$ 20\) million exposure.

MR. FIELDS: I would like to shift gears and talk to you about Directors \& Officers insurance for a moment.
Q How, generally, does Directors \& Officers insurance work?

A Well, Directors \& Officers is liability cover for the directors and management of a company. And it avoids the cost - the cost of expensive litigation.

Q What steps do underwriters in the directors and officers world take when deciding whether to underwrite a D\&O policy?

A Generally an underwriter will look at a series of things. They are going to look at claims histories is very prominent in the D\&O world. They want to know have they had prior claims; do they have a claims history; have they had a lot of problems.

They also look at have they had HR problems, employee problems. Do they have international worldwide exposures. Where is their location. What industry are they in; some industries are more prone to litigation than others. They are going to look at diversity of business, and that means do they specialize in one business or are they operating businesses that are completely different. From a D\&O perspective as an underwriter, I prefer from experience having somebody that specializes in one area because you are getting more expertise and less likelihood of a D\&O exposure.

And from a liquidity standpoint, as a D\&O underwriter you are looking at how big the retention is on the policy. In this case it was approximately 2.5 million dollars. And you want to make sure if there is a claim that they have the
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ability to pay the \(\$ 2.5\) million retention in order to make the policy work correctly.

MR. FIELDS: And Your Honor, I apologize, I think I forgot to move in Defense 49. So I move in Defense 49?

THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 49 by the Court.)
Q How does underwriting D\&O insurance differ between private and public organizations?

A Well, generally in public there is a lot more information available. They have certain requirements that are required to do certain type of financial statements. They are required to do certain SEC filings. They are required to do -make more information publicly known.

Generally, private companies don't have that same standard of reporting requirement.

And so from my experience I know writing a private company there is going to be less information available, less -- generally, you know, it is not quite as easy to rectify it or check it because it is in a private nature compared to a public filing. So you just understand when you are writing a private company, you are not going to probably get as good information as you are in a public company that has to, really,

\section*{1}
expose everything they do.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

Q Do D\&O underwriters always review financials, or are there instances in which they would not?
A In my experience, I have seen very large carriers, um, not even look at financials in the private sector. They are more interested in claims history and the type of business and location, because they feel that's more indicative of future performance. So if they have a lot of claims, their probably gonna have a lot more claims. If they've not had claims, they are probably not going to have claims. Statistically, it seems to work that way. So they are going to look at those factors.

And they also understand that, you know, any financials from a private company are a mere snapshot. So while it may be true today, they could change tomorrow. So the relevance or how much weight they put into those are not as extensive as the other items they look at.

Q You mentioned "claims history." Do you recall, from your review of the documents or testimony regarding the D\&O policy, whether The Trump Organization had a long claims history for its \(\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}\) ?

A I don't believe I saw any claims submitted under the D\&O policies I reviewed.

Q In your experience when -- well, let me withdraw that.
In your experience, do D\&O carriers and insureds disagree or litigate over policy coverage frequently?

A Yes. The nature of D\&O, the agreements or the
\begin{tabular}{rl|r} 
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1 & requirements under a director's and officer's liability policy & 1 \\
2 & basically state that if you have any inclination that something & 2 \\
3 & might arise or lead to a claim, you have to report it. So that & 3 \\
4 & causes, you know, people to be cautious, report things that may & 4 \\
5 & never come to fruition, may never cause a claim, um, may never & 5 \\
6 & possibly be covered. And so that's up to the company to decide & 6 \\
7 & once that is reported. & 7 \\
8 & And in certain cases, there's, um, the policy limits & 8 \\
9 & who has to report a potential claim. And in this case, it was & 9 \\
10 & only the risk manager or the general counsel. If they had & 10 \\
11 & knowledge of a potential claim, they had to report it. & 11 \\
12 & So once that knowledge was done, they have to report to & 12 \\
13 & the claim. And then, you know, the insurance company is going & 13 \\
14 & to decide if they think it's covered, not covered. And then if & 14 \\
15 & that's not decided, then litigation occurs and the Court & 15 \\
16 & ultimately decides coverage, no coverage. So that's how it goes & 16 \\
17 & down the road, usually. & 17 \\
18 & Q All right. & 18 \\
19 & I would like to show you, just for a second, what's & 19 \\
20 & been admitted as Plaintiffs 597. & 20 \\
21 & (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 21 \\
22 & Screen.) & 22 \\
23 & (The exhibit was handed to the witness.) & 23 \\
24 & THE WITNESS: Thank you. & 24 \\
25 & Q Do you recognize what's in front of you as Plaintiffss & 25
\end{tabular}
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A Yes. This is the director's and officer's liability policy.

Q And who is the carrier?
A Um, Tokio Marine, HCC -- HAC.
Q Under item one, "Named Organization", what is the named organization?

A The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust.
Q What does that mean? What does the "Named Organization" mean?

A They are the first named insured. They are the first named insured. The important person in the policy.

Q And "Policy Period" here is from January of 2017 to January of 2018?

A That's correct.
MR. AMER: January 30th, your Honor.
A January 30th.
THE COURT: Exactly.
MR. FIELDS: I still - that's accurate, January
of '17 to January of ' 18 . MR. AMER: It's not January 1st.
MR. FIELDS: I didn't say January 1st. I said January, but fair enough.
Q All right. Then you see under the section, item four, that says "Retention"?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And what is the retention here?
A \(\$ 2.5\) million.
Q And generally, how does a retention work?
A That generally retention works -- means if there's a claim, and it's a covered claim and there's defense costs, um, or something paid out, the client, in this case, The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, would be responsible for the first \(\$ 2.5\) million of payment.
Q And the premium here is how much?
A Two hundred ninety-five thousand per year, for the policy period.

MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could scroll down to the bottom of the page where it says "Notice."
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
Q What is this?
A That's the standard notice that's put on excess surplus lines policy, which policies -- which is basically putting A person on notice that they have -- they don't have the protection of the, in this case, the New York Insurance Department looking at it or approving the forms. It means that they are going to use forms that aren't approved. They could use rates that are their judgment. So it just means that, you know, you have to pay attention because they aren't filed and

\section*{Miller - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Fields)}
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regulated by the particular state.
Q Okay.
Did you have an opportunity to review some of this policy?
A Yes.
Q And does the policy provide for who the named insureds are?
A Yes, there is different endorsements where over -- they
added different named insureds and expanded the insureds on the policy.

Q And is there also a provision that discusses who has the requirement to report a claim if they have knowledge of a potential claim?
A Yes. That is the endorsement that I referred to. That's what we call a limiting endorsement. And they say that it doesn't matter who else knows about a potential incident.

In this case, they are only required to report it for coverage under the policy if the risk manager or the general counsel, whoever holds those two position, they are the required reporters of potential claims.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'm going to object and move to strike that answer after the word, "Yes." And his opinion on this notice provision is improper because it's not an opinion that was in his report, and disclosed.

It was not anything we had an opportunity to

1
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question him about during his deposition. And I might add, is not relevant because late notice is not anything to do with this case.

MR. FIELDS: He can be cross examined on it, number one. But number two, this policy is in evidence, and he is just identifying that he reviewed it and that it provides for provisions for who has to have notice of a potential claim, so.

THE COURT: Well, I understand what you are asking, but I don't see the relevance at all.

MR. FIELDS: Well, the relevance is the government is alleging that this carrier, under this policy, was defrauded by the defendants. And if the Court --

THE COURT: But it has nothing to do with notice; right?

MR. FIELDS: It does, absolutely, because the government is going -- has put in evidence already about notice of claims. They did it on Friday. So it's an important provision that the Court has to be aware of, and it was just a highlighting that for the Court.

MR. AMER: So if we are going to discuss this, we may want to excuse the witness, but there's the second objection which Mr. Fields hasn't addressed, which is, the witness did not include any opinions on notice in his report.

MR. FIELDS: He --
THE COURT: Does it matter? If so we'll excuse the witness and fight about it.

MR. AMER: Well, that goes to relevance. I would like the witness to be excused if we are going to talk about relevance.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask the witness to be excused.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the witness stand and exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Amer.
MR. AMER: Our insurance fraud claim involves disclosure during the underwriting negotiations, before the policy was in effect. It has nothing to do with notice of claim during the policy period. It's completely irrelevant.

THE COURT: Mr. Field, so far my understanding is, well, it's part of the policy, so it's relevant, but I don't see the relevance. But I don't see the point in objecting, either. So I'm caught between a rock and a hard place.

MR. AMER: Well, it would have been nice to have gotten this opinion at a point in time where I could have asked him questions about it during his deposition. So now I'm going ask him questions about it, and I hope I think I know what he's going to say in response, but I don't have it locked down in a deposition the way I would. And my line of
questioning is going to be all about the fact that this notice provision has absolutely nothing to do with the obligation of an applicant to disclose information about pending or threatened litigation during the underwriting negotiations. And I would guess, if I asked him at his deposition, he would agree. But now it's a little bit of a question.

THE COURT: So Mr. Fields, what about the objection that this was not included in his expert disclosure?

MR. FIELDS: Well, I think he's not really providing an opinion. He's interpreting a document that's in evidence based on his experience. He's not really saying, "I opine that this is what it says, or it's not." It's just what it says.

So I think the Court can look at it, determine what it says, and he could be cross examined over it. But that's really to the extent of what the questioning was going to be.

MR. AMER: That's actually a third reason not to admit this, your Honor. He's just asking him for his view of a contract language in an insurance policy. New York is clear, insurance policies are contracts, and they can be interpreted by the Court without the assistance of any expert witness. So why do we need to hear what this witness thinks about this notice provision, even if it were relevant
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1 and even if it were a disclosed opinion.
MR. ROBERT: So can we just agree that it says what it says as to who is required to give notice, and we could shut this down.

THE COURT: Well, it says what it says.
MR. ROBERT: Is Mr. Amer is willing to agree?
MR. AMER: Then strike the answer and withdraw the question and we'll agree it says what it says.

MR. ROBERT: That's fine. Then there's no cross examination on that issue then either; that's fine.

THE COURT: The question is withdrawn. The answer is stricken.

MR. ROBERT: Good.
THE COURT: It says what it says, then let's move on.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Judge.
MR. FIELDS: No further questions.
THE COURT: Thank you for cutting through that. Who was it that cut through the Gordian Knot. Remember that mythology?

MR. ROBERT: I didn't hear that.
THE COURT: In Greek mythology, one of the heroes cuts through the Gordian Knot. No one else could untangle it; just cut right through it. Let's get the witness back.

By the way, does anybody know which novel that


THE COURT: Seems completely inconsistent. What am I missing?

MR. FIELDS: It's not, because these are just examples. It's not -- it doesn't suggest that he did, "has seen Forbes and USA Today used." He just put examples how it came up in this case.

MS. HABBA: I can say very clearly the question originally was, "What have you seen? He answered that question.

This is about what happened or that he knows happens. But the question is, "What has he seen?" He said he hadn't seen it before. It's not inconsistent.

THE COURT: That's not how I interpret the testimony.

Objection overruled.
Do we need a read back.
MR. AMER: I would like a read back.
THE COURT: Read back of the question, please.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)
Q Yes or no?
A No.
Q When you testified under oath earlier this morning that it was the first time you had ever seen a surety underwriter rely on such information as an article in Forbes, that was
incorrect, wasn't it?
A No.
Q And here, in your report, you are saying that in your experience, some underwriters do, in fact rely on other means other than financials such as Forbes and USA Today. That's what you are saying here; right?
A Well --
Q Yes or no?
A I'm specifically referring to this case, that they used Forbes and USA Today.
Q Let's just look at what you wrote on the top of page nine of your expert report. You say - let's back up. Because the lead in to this.

MR. AMER: If we could go to the sentence before.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q So we have it in context. You say "Whereas a public company may supply, audit the financial statements, privately held companies are far more likely to provide financial compilations, like The Trump Organization did here." See that?
A Yes.
Q Then you go on to say, "In some cases, because there is no legal requirement to have financial statements to write surety bonds, some underwriters..." -- let me stop there. That's some underwriters, not just Zurich underwriters, but some
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underwriters in your expert experience; right?
A In that some underwriters do not require financials, that statement is correct.
Q Okay.
"And some underwriters" is not specific to some Zurich underwriters. You are talking, generally, some underwriters do not require financials; right?
A That's correct.
Q Okay.
And you go onto say "....and instead use their experience and other means, such as Forbes and USA Today, to satisfy their underwriting needs"; right?

A That's correct.
Q And here you are talking about, in general, underwriters, not specifically Zurich underwriters?
A No, that's not correct. The parens are referring, in this case, the Zurich underwriter used Forbes and USA Today.

Have I seen underwriters use internet searches or different things that they do, or, you know, when I say they use different experience or different means, um, or different -different things to satisfy their underwriting needs, but this was the first time that I say it specifically the use of Forbes and USA Today as specifically named, those two specific media publications, this was the first time that I saw those two specific, Forbes and USA Today, used as an underwriting tool in


Q And your last position there was senior vice president, division officer; is that right?
A Senior vice president, division officer, chief underwriting officer, and corporate risk manager.
Q And at some point during your time at Erie, you were responsible for the company's underwriting of all commercial lines of insurance; correct?
A That's correct.
Q And one of those lines of insurance, commercial lines of insurance was surety; correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q So, can we agree that there is no doubt, based on your many years of experience as an underwriter, that surety is, in fact, a form of commercial insurance?

A It's part of the -- it was part of my commercial division, yes.
Q Different question. I just want to clarify that
there's no doubt, based on your experience as an underwriter, that surety is, in fact, a form of commercial insurance?

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: No. No, it calls for an expert opinion.

MR. FIELDS: Then I would ask that the question be referred to what state he's referring to, because insurance
is regulated by the state.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's give a state context.
Q Every state in the country.
A I -- I don't know the regulation of every state in the country, but in general, I would say the answer is, yes.
Q And specifically in New York, is the answer yes?
A I would I believe it can be considered commercial business, yes.
Q Commercial insurance business, yes?
A Yes.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q You would agree that a surety underwriter should look at reasonable risk factors when considering whether to underwrite a surety bond program for a particular applicant for insurance, right?

A The answer to that is that that doesn't always happen because of business considerations.

Q I didn't ask what typically happens. I asked you "should."

Let me rephrase the question and just answer my question, if you could.

You would agree that a surety underwriter should look at reasonable risk factors when considering whether to underwrite a surety bond program for a particular applicant, yes?

A I think it depends on the situation.
Q Well, you determined that Zurich had normal underwriting guidelines that included sufficient liquidity as a reasonable risk factor, right?

A I believe that surety in general they would look at, you know, that's part of the 3Cs I discussed earlier.

Q That wasn't my question because it is specific to Zurich. You determined that Zurich had normal underwriting guidelines that included sufficient liquidity as a reasonable risk factor; isn't that correct?

A I don't believe I stated that they had normal
guidelines that -- that -- that included liquidity, I don't believe. In the testimony this morning I said that --

Q Well, let's look at your deposition testimony, which you gave prior to today. And let's look at page 31.

A Okay.
Q And you recall you gave testimony under oath at a deposition, right?

A That's correct.
Q Looking at line 19: "And so my question to you is, did you make an assessment that Zurich had normal underwriting guidelines that included sufficient liquidity as a reasonable risk factor?
"ANSWER: Yes, I made that from my experience and knowledge of the business."

That was your sworn testimony at your deposition, correct?
A Yes, but I --
Q Are you --
A I believe your question was different than what you just asked. Yes, I agree with what I said there.

Q I actually, sir, think that my question was exactly the question that is up on the screen. But, you are not disavowing your deposition testimony are you?

A No.
Q And you agree that liquidity is an important risk
factor that should be part of the underwriting guidelines for a company issuing surety insurance, correct?

A I believe liquidity is what they look at to make sure they are going to get repaid in case there is a claim, yes.

Q But my question was different, sir. You agree that liquidity is an important risk factor that should be part of the underwriting guidelines for a company issuing surety insurance, yes?

A Yes, I believe they look for liquidity.
Q And it is an important risk factor, yes?
A Well, to make sure they can repay the claim. That's the purpose of the indemnification.

Q Yes or no; is it an important risk factor?
A Liquidity?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's look at the 2019 underwriter's
review, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 1552 in evidence.
Q This is a document you reviewed and relied upon in forming your opinions in this case, yes?

A Yes.
Q And I believe you testified that nothing changed between this review and the prior underwriting review which would have been by Ms. Caulfield; is that your testimony?

A My testimony was referring to the financial review
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summary section, and it being filled in or not being filled in. And in the case I said it wasn't filled in, like the other ones weren't filled in. I believe that's what I said.

Q So when you said, nothing changed, you were only referring to this first financial review and summary section, correct?

A That section not being completed, that's correct.
Q You weren't intending to suggest that nothing had changed in terms of the underwriting that had been done, right?

A The -- of what - no, the question that was asked was specifically, my recollection, was specifically about that section.

Q I wasn't asking about the question that was asked. I was asking a new question.

You are not suggesting, are you, sir -THE COURT: Speak up.
Q You are not suggesting, are you, sir, that nothing had changed from the prior underwriting year from an underwriting standpoint?

A I would have to compare what was done.
Q Okay. Well let's look at what was done.
And if we go down to the personal financial analysis section, you understood from your review of this document that Ms. Markarian, now Mouradian, conducted an on-site review in the latter part of November 2018 for the 2019 renewal of the
surety program, right?
A Correct.
Q So, that is a new underwriting change, isn't it?
A Her review of the financials?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q And the third bullet -- well, and what she reviewed at that in-person review was the \(6 / 30 / 2018\) personal financial statement of Donald J. Trump, correct?

A Correct.
Q And that was something that was new that Ms. Caulfield hadn't done, right?

A I believe that's correct.
MR. FIELDS: Objection. That was misleading. Is he referring specifically to the June 30, 2018
Statement of Financial Condition or any Statement of Financial Condition prior to then?

MR. AMER: My question was that that was new because Ms. Caulfield hadn't reviewed that 2018 financial statement.
Q Right?
A Correct. She was no longer with the company.
Q And in the third bullet Ms. Mouradian states that there was cash on hand of 76.2 million as reflected on Mr. Trump's 2018 statement, right?
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A Correct.
Q And as an underwriter, you understand that figure is a measure of Mr. Trump's liquidity, correct?

A Correct.
Q And you would agree that Ms. Mouradian in this annual review determined, based on the cash on hand amount disclosed in the 2018 statement, that Mr. Trump had sufficient liquidity to cover her risk of acceptance on behalf of Zurich, yes? A Yes.

MR. AMER: And let's look at Plaintiffs Exhibit
1561 in evidence, which is the next year's underwriting review.
Q And if you go further down. Under party name, you will see it indicates that this is an in-person review of the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition. Right?

A Yes.
Q And the second bullet indicates that Ms. Mouradian reviewed this 2019 statement on January 15, 2020, correct?

A Correct.
Q And that was not anything that Ms. Caulfield had done in her prior underwriting of the risk, correct?

A She didn't look at the \(6 / 19\) personal statements, no.
Q And I am correct that you did not review or consider this document in forming your opinions in this case, correct?

A I believe it didn't change my opinions, so there was
no reason to note it.
Q Different question.
THE COURT: That wasn't the question.
Q My question is, you did not review or consider this document in forming your opinions in this case, correct?

A Is it -- I don't know the answer to that without looking at my - can I look and see if the number of that document is listed that I reviewed it?

Q Sure.
A Okay. And what -- the 2019 annual review Zurich -\(008507 ?\) Is that the document, sir?

Q Go down to the Bates number.
A I don't see that one listed.
Q Would it refresh your recollection if I tell you that you saw this document for the first time at your deposition?

A Okay.
Q Does that refresh your recollection?
A Yes. I thought I saw it but I didn't see it on my list here.

Q So we can agree that in forming your opinions you did not consider any of the information Ms. Mouradian recounts in this document about her in-person review of the 2019 statement, correct?

A Correct.
Q And you see in the fourth bullet Ms. Mouradian notes
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from her review of the Statement of Financial Condition that cash on hand was \(\$ 87\) million, yes?

A Yes.
Q And again, you understand that that's a measure of Mr. Trump's liquidity, correct?

A Yes.
Q And you would agree that Ms. Mouradian in this annual review determined based on the cash on hand amount disclosed in the 2019 statement that Mr. Trump had sufficient liquidity to cover her risk of acceptance on behalf of Zurich, yes?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And can we agree based on the two annual reviews we just looked at relating to Ms. Mouradian's on-site reviews of the 2018 and 2019 statements, that she considered the reasonable risk factor of sufficient liquidity when underwriting this risk?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q In your experience, is it the case that surety underwriters are permitted and encouraged to use their discretion considering, among other things, the character of the insured?

A Yes.
Q That's what you referred to as one of the three Cs right?

A Correct.

Q And that would also include for this particular program the character of the indemnitor guaranteeing payment if there is a loss, Mr. Trump, right?

A Correct.
Q Would you agree that it would negatively impact your assessment as an underwriter of an indemnitor's character if you learned during the underwriting negotiations that the indemnitor had fraudulently inflated the amount of cash on hand listed on his personal financial statement by 25 percent or more?

A I would have no way of knowing that as the underwriter.

Q That wasn't my question.
THE COURT: That wasn't the question.
A Okay. Well --
MR. AMER: Can I get a readback, Your Honor? THE COURT: Please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
Q That's a yes or no question.
A I don't think it is quite that simple, but yes.
Q During the period that Ms. Caulfield was the underwriter on the account, she did look at Mr. Trump's personal financial statement, correct?

A I believe so.

A I believe so, correct.
Q In forming your opinions, you did review
Ms. Mouradian's sworn testimony from her interview with my office that took place in December of 2021, right?

A Correct.
Q And nothing about her testimony indicated to you that she had deviated from Zurich's normal guidelines about the need for Mr. Trump, as the indemnitor on the program, to have sufficient liquidity, correct?

A Correct.
Q Let's look again at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1552 in evidence, which is the annual review where Ms. Mouradian looked at the 2018 statement. And I want to direct your attention to the fourth bullet. And the second sentence of that bullet that starts, "the fair value."

Are you with me?
A Yes.
Q She writes: "The fair value of the properties is determined by professional firms (such as Cushman \& Wakefield) using cap rates and net operating income as factors." Do you see that?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: I would like to put up on the screen
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Miller - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) & Page 4881 \\
1 & some of Ms. Mouradian's trial testimony about this \\
2 & language. And let's pull up -- it is from the exhibit \\
3 & that we put into evidence of her report. \\
4 & It is Plaintiff's Exhibit 3324. And let's go to \\
5 & page 48, line 21. We will blow it up for you so you can \\
6 & see it. \\
7 & Actually, it is 49. Sorry. Can we start at 49? \\
8 & We are going too far, sorry. Back down. \\
9 & 49:10. \\
10 & "QUESTION: And then you write after noting the \\
11 & 6 billion connected to his real estate and Golf Club \\
12 & resorts, quote, 'The fair value of the properties is \\
13 & determined by professional firms (such as Cushman \& \\
14 & Wakefield) using cap rates and net operating income as \\
15 & factors.' Where did you get that information from? \\
16 & "ANSWER: I got that from Allen Weisselberg. \\
17 & "QUESTION: And what do you remember \\
18 & Mr. Weisselberg telling you about the fair value of the \\
19 & properties? \\
20 & "He was telling me that they use professional \\
21 & appraisal firms to get the values that they then -- that I \\
22 & would then see on the personal financial statements I was \\
23 & reviewing." \\
24 & MR. AMER: And then skip down to -- keep going. \\
25 & Keep going. You went too far. \(51: 17\).
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Miller - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) Page 4882} \\
\hline 1 & "Did this information about Cushman \& Wakefield \\
\hline 2 & determining the valuations, have any bearing on your \\
\hline 3 & assessment of the 2018 statement? \\
\hline 4 & "ANSWER: Yes, it had bearing. \\
\hline 5 & "QUESTION: And how so? \\
\hline 6 & "ANSWER: Well, it was important to note because \\
\hline 7 & they were using a third-party appraisal firm to get the \\
\hline 8 & values. And I thought that was a good thing. And I noted \\
\hline 9 & that." \\
\hline 10 & Mr. Miller, as an expert witness you are not offering \\
\hline 11 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{12 that we just read, are you?} \\
\hline 13 & A No. \\
\hline 14 & Q You are prepared to accept her testimony as true, \\
\hline 15 & correct? \\
\hline 16 & A Yes. \\
\hline 17 & Q And you understand that the Trump Organization is a \\
\hline 18 & privately held company, right? \\
\hline 19 & A Yes. \\
\hline 20 & Q And you would agree that for a privately held \\
\hline 21 & company, typically an underwriter would have no independent \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{22 means to verify information provided by the insured during the} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{23 underwriting process, yes?} \\
\hline 24 & A Correct. \\
\hline 25 & Q And therefore it is more difficult for the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
underwriter to get independent verification of financial information for a private company as compared to a public company, yes?

A Correct.
Q And you don't have any opinion as to what information would have been available to Ms. Mouradian to allow her to verify the figures in the 2018 statement, right?

A Correct.
Q And you would agree that Zurich's decision to renew the surety program in 2019 and 2020 was a competent business decision, correct?

A It was a business decision, yes, I agree with that.
Q That wasn't my question though.
A You said competent.
Q I said "competent." And you would agree that it was a competent business decision, wouldn't you?

A They were making money on the program, so I would consider that a solid underwriting decision.

Q Was it a competent business decision, sir; yes or no?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Miller, please get a little closer to the mic, you are a mile away -- or at least a yard away.
Q And you are not offering an opinion one way or the other about whether Trump Organization representatives made
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misrepresentations to Ms. Mouradian during her on site reviews, correct?

A Correct.
Q And similarly, you are not offering an opinion one way or the other about whether Trump Organization representatives made misrepresentations to HCC or Tokio during the renewal of the D\&O coverage, correct?

A Correct.
Q And I do want to shift now to the D\&O coverage. You are familiar with the so-called warranty question that a D\&O underwriter appropriately and typically asks an applicant who is seeking to purchase new coverage, correct?

A Correct.
Q And generally speaking, the warranty question is a question that asks the applicant if they are aware of any facts, situation or circumstance that might reasonably be expected to give rise to a claim, right?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And you would agree that the warranty question should prompt the applicant to disclose if there are any pending or threatening government investigations that the applicant reasonably expects to give rise to a claim, correct?

A Well, the question is whether -- the question of the warranty statement is if their perception is that it is going to give rise to it. And I don't know what their perception of

\section*{Page 4886}

I am correct, you did not review or consider this document in forming your opinions in the case about D\&O coverage, right?

A Correct.
Q You saw this document for the first time in your deposition after you had already submitted your expert report, correct?

A Correct.
Q Let me draw your attention to the fourth paragraph up from the bottom, the one that begins: There is a best practices manual. Do you see that?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And I want to ask you about the last sentence in that paragraph, where Mr. Holl writes: "No material litigation or communications from anyone." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And I want to show you Mr. Holl's trial testimony about that sentence and then ask you a question about it. This is from the trial testimony page 2500 , line 20 :
"QUESTION: Directing your attention to the next paragraph, you say in the last sentence of this paragraph, 'no material litigation or communications from anyone.' What did you intend to reflect in that comment?
"ANSWER: Its standard practice in meetings with management to ask them if they are aware of something
that's not public; that is, litigation or notices or communications that could lead to litigation that would implicate the D\&O policy."

\section*{Do you see that?}

A Yes.
Q Do you agree with Mr. Holl that it is standard practice to ask the insured this type of question?

A They could ask the question but the -- the warranty statement as you talked about is industry standard, is that it is only permissible to ask that at the first time you write the policy. So upon writing a policy they ask the warranty statement. And at renewal time it is not appropriate to ask for a warranty statement. It is a representation, which is different, as you know. So, they can ask about that but it wouldn't be considered a warranty statement for the policy purposes.

Q You understand that at the January 10, 2017 underwriting meeting the Trump Organization was seeking to add coverage up to \(\$ 50\) million beyond the \(\$ 5\) million that they already had in place. Correct?

A Correct.
Q And so that was all new coverage, wasn't it?
A But \(I\)-- I don't believe that was ever put in place.
Q Was it new coverage or not, that they were seeking?
A They were looking for new coverage, yes, but I don't
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believe it was put in place.
Q And Mr. Holl testified at trial that he didn't
believe that the stub policy in December that he had quoted was
actually ever bound; were you aware of that?
A Yes.
Q And so this in January 10, 2017 this was new coverage for HCC, wasn't it?

A Well --
Q Yes or no?
A It would have been a new layer for them, yes. But it --

Q Well, if they hadn't bound the stub policy it would have been a new risk for them entirely because they weren't already on the risk, right?

A Yeah, but he said he didn't believe that it was bound. I don't know whether it was bound or not from what I read. I can't tell. I guess the only people that know that is maybe AON, the broker, if they bound it or not, because I wasn't clear whether it was ever bound or not. So they either had to follow the fortunes of the lead company and they put in a layer, of which then they wanted to become the primary to have more control over the policy.

Q I think you agreed not too long ago that as an expert witness you don't - you are not here to give opinions about the credibility of witness testimony, right?

A Correct.
Q So when Mr. Holl says he doesn't believe that the stub policy was ever bound, you are prepared to accept that, aren't you, as an expert witness?

A I am willing to accept that that's his belief, yes.
Q And let's just put up your deposition testimony on page 138 , line 18: Where the question begins:
"Mr. Holl says, 'It is pretty standard fare to ask if there is any litigation or inquiry that could potentially lead to a claim.'

Do you agree with that?
"ANSWER: When they are discussing the warranty statement I believe that would be, you know, a question that they were asked just verifying the warranty statement.
"QUESTION: Standard fare to do that, correct? "ANSWER: Pretty much, yes.
Q That was your sworn testimony at your deposition, right?

A And I agree with that.
Q You discussed claims history on the D\&O cover, correct, in your direct testimony?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3394. We don't have copies of it. We will just

MR. FIELDS: He just said for all purposes, Your Honor.

MR. AMER: It is not hearsay. This is a letter from an agent of the Trust acting in their capacity as agent; and it comes in as an exception to hearsay.

THE COURT: It comes in for various reasons. It is not a statement -- is it a statement of some independent facts?
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & by Defendant - Cross (Amer) Page 4890 \\
\hline & put it up on the screen. \\
\hline 2 & Q This is a letter -- \\
\hline 3 & MR. AMER: We do have copies of it. \\
\hline 4 & (Handing) \\
\hline 5 & MR. AMER: You are the best to the right of me. \\
\hline 6 & Q This is a letter from AON dated February 8, 2019 to \\
\hline 7 & HCC, correct? \\
\hline 8 & A Yes. \\
\hline 9 & Q And this is a letter providing notice of claims on \\
\hline 10 & behalf of the Trump Revocable Trust, correct? Do you see that \\
\hline 11 & in the first sentence? \\
\hline 12 & A Yes. \\
\hline 13 & Q And there are a list of claims in bullet points on \\
\hline 14 & this first page. Do you see it references receipt of various \\
\hline 15 & inquiries from Congress, and other items in the other bullets; \\
\hline 16 & do you see that? \\
\hline 17 & A Yes. \\
\hline 18 & Q And this was a -- this was tinder of notice of claims \\
\hline 19 & by the trusts broker of record, AON, correct? \\
\hline 20 & A Yes, that's where it appears it came from. \\
\hline 21 & MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move that this be \\
\hline 22 & admitted into evidence for all purposes. \\
\hline 23 & MR. FIELDS: Objection, hearsay. \\
\hline 24 & THE COURT: It is not being introduced to prove \\
\hline 25 & the truth of its contents, it is notice. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MR. AMER: It's a statement of claim notice.
THE COURT: Well, what do you want to introduce it for?

MR. AMER: That the Trust gave notice as indicated by this letter, because they received these various inquiries --

THE COURT: It's in, clearly.
MR. FIELDS: For the truth of the matter, sir, or just for notice?

MR. AMER: For everything.
MR. FIELDS: I'm asking the Court.
MR. AMER: Sorry.
THE COURT: For the truth of the matter asserted. Statements against interest, who is going to say we are being investigated when they are not being investigated.

MR. FIELDS: Certainly the -- not this witness.
THE COURT: Common sense, too.
MR. FIELDS: This witness cannot say he's not an expert. I don't think the foundation has been laid for that.

THE COURT: The foundation is the document itself. Mr. Amer, you want it in for all purposes?

MR. AMER: Correct.
THE COURT: It's in for all purposes.
MR. AMER: Thank you. You could put that down,
D. Miller - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer) Page 4893

1 because I have no questions to ask you beyond what I've

\section*{Page 4894} already asked you about that document.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. AMER: Okay.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)

MR. AMER: Can you give me just a minute, your Honor.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)
Q Just a couple of quick questions, and then I'm done, so we could actually be done with the witness before lunch, unless there's redirect.

In a surety program, often surety bonds, once issued cannot be cancelled; is that right?

A Yeah, it depends on the wording. That's correct.
Q Can you confirm from your review of the documents in this case that some of the bonds issued by Zurich for The Trump Organization are non-cancellable; correct?
A Yes. We reviewed that.
Q So even if a surety insurer were to cancel or non-renew a surety program, if there are non-cancellable bonds that have been issued, those would remain in place and the surety insurer would remain on the hook; correct?
A Correct.
```

MR. AMER: That's all I have, your Honor. THE COURT: Any redirect?
MR. FIELDS: Briefly, your Honor.
THE COURT: Great. Redirect.

```

\section*{REDIRECT EXAMINATION}
```

BY MR. FIELDS:

```

Q Mr. Miller, you were asked by Counsel about a 2019 annual review that you saw for the first time at your deposition. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q After reviewing that, did you change your opinion?
A No, I did not.
Q Same thing for Ms. Mouradian's trial testimony, did anything that Ms. Mouradian said in her trial testimony change any opinion you had?
A Not at all.
Q You were also asked by Counsel about Ms. Mouradian's inability to verify figures in the Statement of Financial Condition from 2018. Do you recall that?
A Yes.
Q Could Ms. Mouradian have asked to see bank accounts?
A Yes.
Q From your review of the records, did she do that?
A I don't believe so, no.
Q Could Ms. Mouradian ask to have seen the appraisals
that she alleged were discussed with her?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Objection. That's -- that mischaracterizes the testimony. I don't think she talked about appraisals. She talked about valuations.

MR. FIELDS: The word "appraisal" comes up on the --

THE COURT: Overruled.
Q Did she, based on your review of the documents, did she ask to see the appraisals?

A No.
Q And then you were also asked by Counsel about character, specifically with regard to if an underwriter becomes
aware that property values have been inflated and how that would affect the character of the company.

Do you recall that?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Objection. I didn't say properties. I said, "Cash on hand."

MR. FIELDS: I think you said "asset values", but be that as it may.

MR. AMER: I said, "Cash on hand was inflated."
THE COURT: I think it was cash on hand. MR. FIELDS: Fair enough.
Q Mr. Miller, you were asked about cash on hand and
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whether the cash on hand had been inflated and how that would affect the underwriter's decision; right?

A Yes.
Q And I think Mr. Amer asked you if that would negatively impact the underwriter's decision; do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q In your experience, when a government entity has alleged that a company has committed fraud, does the insurer continue to do business and write bonds for that company?

MR. AMER: Objection. It's irrelevant to what I asked the witness.

THE COURT: Let's just hear the answer. Overruled.
A If the company would become aware that there were fraudulent statements, um, the likelihood that they would continue doing business is not very high.

Q Have you ever, in your experience, seen carriers agree to continue doing business with somebody that a government entity has alleged has defrauded them?

A In my 40 years, no.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Any recross?
MR. AMER: No.
THE COURT: Okay. Right on time. See you all at
\(2: 15\). And the witness is excused.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the

\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Proceedings & Page 4898 \\
1 & witnesses, Mr. Williams, Mr. Sullivan, Ms. Pereless and \\
2 & Ms. Vrablic. \\
3 & MS. GREENFIELD: And we think they will take two \\
4 & days? \\
5 & MR. ROBERT: Yes. Thursday, like I said, might be \\
6 & Birney, and probably Mr. Unell on Thursday as well, but \\
7 & we'll keep you posted. \\
8 & MS. GREENFIELD: Assuming we get through Hawthom \\
9 & and Birney on Monday, who will Thursday be? \\
10 & MR. ROBERT: Unell. \\
11 & MS. GREENFIELD: And -- \\
12 & MR. ROBERT: Unell will take the better part of \\
13 & day, maybe even more. \\
14 & MS. GREENFIELD: How much direct do you have? \\
15 & MR. ROBERT: I'm not doing the direct. I don't \\
16 & know, but I know it will probably be the most part of the \\
17 & day. \\
18 & MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. And Friday? \\
19 & MR. ROBERT: I don't know yet. \\
\hline 20 & THE COURT: And some housekeeping by the \\
21 & plaintiff's side. \\
22 & MS. FAHERTY: Yes, your Honor. During the \\
23 & examination of Dr. Laposa, we projected on the screen prior \\
24 & testimony. It has been our common practice that once we put \\
25 & prior testimony on screen, we generate a report with the
\end{tabular}
witness stand.)
(The case on trial was adjourned until 2:15 p.m. for the luncheon recess.)
\(\underset{*}{\text { AFTERNOON}} \underset{*}{*} \underset{*}{\text { SESSION }}\)
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: That time the people in Battery Park stood up. You want to talk scheduling for a few moments?

Okay, I'll turn the microphone over to the person

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. So who do we now have for

MR. ROBERT: For the 27th is going to be
MS. GREENFIELD: Is that going to be a whole day?
MR. ROBERT: It might very well be. We may call
Mr. Birney on the 27th, although more likely than not Mr. Birney on the 30th.

MS. GREENFIELD: Assuming we have Hawthorn and

MR. ROBERT: The 28th and 29th combined, we don't know where the spillover will be. It will the Deutsche Bank
questions and answers shown. We've marked as an exhibit, PX-3393, that portion of prior testimony that was displayed. I've shown it to defense Counsel. I don't think they have any objection to this.

MR. ROBERT: Based on Ms. Faherty's representation, we have no objection.

MS. FAHERTY: So I move to admit PX-3393, your Honor.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you.
THE COURT: Would defendants like to call their next witness.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, good afternoon. The defense calls Jeff McConney.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. (The witness complied.)
THE COURT OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
JEFFREY S. McCONNEY, called by and on behalf of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
    A Whatever was prescribed by the requirements. I
remember cost accounting, tax, federal taxes, other than that, I
don't remember the specific courses.

Q Do you have any other post-college education?
A No, sir.
Q Are you a CPA?
A No, sir.
Q Have you ever been a CPA?

A No, sir.
2 Q Where were you employed after college?
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taxes involved.
But in those days, pre-computers, it was all basically manual work. As time went on, I did more accounting work. I worked on President Trump's father -- Fred Trump had a real estate business in Brooklyn, and I worked on that account for a number of years. And I worked my way up the ladder, kind of managed the account for the CPA firm.

Q Was that the account for President Trump's father, Fred Trump?

A Yes.
Q And as the CPA firm, what work, if any, did you do for President Trump?
A President Trump was still, back in 1986, was still working out of his father's office in Brooklyn. Um, the accounting office was located in -- basically, Fred Trump's office was in an apartment building, the first floor of an apartment building. So he just gutted a bunch apartments, so you had to walk your way through a maze; Fred Trump and President Trump in the back. We called it the "executive office", something like that. Outside of that was the accounting office, so that's where I would deal with the accountants.

At a certain point in time, I think it was somewhere in the end of 1986, President Trump moved to New York City and started his business in New York City.
J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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He had a few properties that I dealt with. One was Penn Yards, which was on the West Side. It's now called Hudson Waterfront, I believe. Um, he had USFL Generals, which was just winding down. I think he was mainly in casinos at that point. He had a partnership with the Pritzker family for the Hyatt Hotel by Grand Central Station or above Grand Central Station. Um, I think he had finished construction of the co-op on Third Avenue on East 61st Street called Trump Plaza. I believe Trump
Tower was finished -- well, I know Trump Tower was finished when I started working there. So he had the condominium there, um, and the commercial space. And the Trump Corporation, um, basically is about the entities that I could think of right now.
Q What work did Spahr Lacher, the Spahr Lacher firm, do for President Trump while you were there?
A They -- I know they prepared the tax returns for the entities that Mr. Trump had, like Penn Yards associates. Um, they prepared, when I later became involved in the President's Statement of Financial Condition, um, one of the last items I dealt with before I started working for The Trump Organization is Jerry Rosenblum provided me with the financial statement that he prepared for the company, the company being The Trump Organization. And he asked me, he said, "Go through it. This is the items you'll be dealing with over time."

I've come to learn that Jerry basically did all the valuations, wrote the footnotes. He was a great writer. Jerry
J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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was my mentor. I'm sorry, and Jerry wrote the footnotes.
Q How did you come to work at The Trump Organization?
A Allen Weisselberg worked for Fred Trump in the accounting department. The controller for that accounting department for Fred Trump's properties left. A new controller came in and he said to Allen, "I need a desk to hire another accountant to do Fred's work. Why don't you go up, speak to the president, who was Mr. Trump at the time, and see if he can put you in an office up there."

Allen went to work for President Trump in Manhattan in October of '86. The Generals, like I said, were winding down, so at that point in time the controller for the Generals, his name is Ray Shetzel (phonetic), came to work with Allen as the assistant controller. Ray was a sports guy. He ended up working for the Nets. And then Allen hired me in April of ' 87.

Q What were your responsibilities at The Trump Organization when you were first hired?

A Um, in those days it was a lot smaller. The staff was smaller, the number of properties we owned, managed, developed was smaller. Um, I was manually posting general ledgers where, um, you have a cash receipts book where you -- it's all done by hand. You would write up the deposits. You have a cash disbursements book, you write up all the checks and debits to the account. You extend them to certain general ledger accounts. They were summarized. And I would do the posting to
these general ledgers by hand, kind of tidy up the football Generals, their kind of business. Basically, I was cleaning out the office with a couple of other people.

Um, they dealt with the property manager down in Mar-a-Lago. At that point in time it was a private residence, so I would give this gentleman a budget, kind of monitor his budget over time. Um, and I remember I was given directions, tell the gentleman that the GM -- I forget his name, that he has a million dollars to spend for Mar-a-Lago. I call him up, I said, "Dude, a million dollars, what are you spending all the money on?" He comes up with a list. At some point in time I go down there and I looked around; 117 rooms, 17 acres, you've got grass you have to mow, you have a building to maintain. I said, "How do you run this on a million bucks?" So I didn't really understand the size of the asset until I actually went to see it.

Mr. Trump had casino licenses, so I was in charge of, at the beginning, helping to fill out the renewal forms. These were mainly in Atlantic City at the time. Later on as Mr. -President Trump went to look at various other locations, Nevada, California, I was in charge of putting together the applications and then dealing with the investigators as they came in to explain all the information we provided to them.

Um, over time it just grew. As we, um, obtained new properties, we developed new properties, my job just grew, and I
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would take whatever work I could get.
Q And during this time did you continue to work with the Spahr Lacher Naimer firm?

A All the time. We had such a small staff that we usually kept our books on what's called a cash basis, which just means money in the bank; money out of the bank from January 1st to December 31st. Even though we only had a few entities, we didn't have the bandwidth to actually take those cash basis general ledgers and make them accrual basis general ledgers, or work papers to prepare tax returns.

Um, what I mean from "cash" to "accrual" is, say, if you have an insurance policy that covers from July 1st to June 30th, you can't take that full expense in one year. You've got to spread it over two years. So Mazars, Spahr Lacher would make journal entries to set up the right prepaid expenses. If it was a rental property or a condominium, just set up the accounts receivable, the accounts payable, and then they would prepare the tax returns for us. So they kind of an extension of what we would do.

Q Did Spahr Lacher eventually become Mazars?
A Over time, yes. There were a number of mergers or acquisitions. I don't know who acquired who, but they came what they are today, Mazars.
Q When did you first begin working with Donald Bender on matters relating to The Trump Organization?

A Donald Bender was hired, I think, two or three years after I was working at Spahr Lacher. Donald would work on his thing, I would do my clients -- he would have his clients; I would have my clients. At some point in time, Jerry Rosenblum retired and Donald Bender took over the Trump account, and it was around that time I would start dealing with Donald daily, weekly, monthly, whatever we needed.

Q And?
MR. AMER: Excuse me. Can I ask, since we have multiple Donalds in the case --

THE WITNESS: Can I call him Bender, if I remember, and President Trump, and Don, Jr.?

MR. SUAREZ: Mr. Amer, we finally found a point of agreement. I was about to make the same point. MR. AMER: It had to happen eventually. MR. SUAREZ: Eventually.
Q What work did Mr. -- withdrawn.
What work would Mr. Bender do for The Trump Organization when you first joined?

A It's a long time ago. Again, he would take our cash basis general ledgers, produce work papers from that, like booking all these journal entries. He would prepare the tax returns. And know I know his baby -- he used to call it his baby. It was Trump Tower. The commercial space, the stores and the office space. And he would take -- originally we had a

McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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managing agent that did most of those receipts and disbursements. Later on we brought it in-house, and he would take that information, make all his journal entries, and he would tell me, "I did 70 entries this year. I did 60 entries this year to bring it to an accrual basis statement and prepare the financial statements and the tax returns."

\section*{Um --}

Q In what year did you first start working with Mr. Bender on Trump Organization matters?

A It was probably from day one when I started there. He was -- what I remember, he was involved in everything.

Q What involvement, if any, did Mr. Bender have in maintaining the books and ledgers of entities under the Trump Organization umbrella?

A He basically was, I would say, an extension of our accounting department, because our staff was so small, and he would take our cash basis statements and go through them. He would look at the expenses to see if we miss posted an expense from, say, elevator maintenance that should be have been HVAC, or whatever. So he went through our receipts to make sure if there was a rental property, all the rents were collected. The accounts receivable entry was booked, so if we knew tenants owed us money, we book a an accounts receivable entry. If they prepaid December 31st, they paid for January, he would set up the prepaid expenses.

He would book the depreciation. He would go through all our capital costs, set up a depreciation schedule to review for tax on the financial statements. He would work on the footnotes and give any details that needed to be disclosed according to the guidelines he had to live with.

Q What level of access did Mr. Bender have to the Trump Organization's books and records?
A At times he, during the audit season, early in the year when he would come in to work on the audits, one of the jobs they had, when I first started, was computerizing these books from manual books to a computerized system. That was in 1990 or 1991. So at that point in time he would -- we would give him reports, we would print out the general ledgers for him, cash receipts books, cash disbursements, whatever he wanted to use. We didn't want to waste paper. We didn't want to kill a lot of trees, so we wouldn't -- there's no need for us to print out the cash receipts book the cash receipts for the month to put in a drawer for someone to look at, not look at. So whatever he asked for, we gave him. If he wanted to look invoices, we pull out the invoices or have one of his -- somebody from the accounting staff go with our accounts payable person. Her name is Deb. Deb would show the accounts payable person or the accountant where the accounts payable were for that entity, and the person would -- Bender's office would go through, pull whatever invoice he had.

\section*{J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
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Once we became computerized, after a number of years, we started scanning all the invoices. So we set up laptops in our conference room. We had a Bender come in with a number of his people and they could look at our general ledger cash receipts. They had access to all our books and records that most people in the accounting department had access to.

Q How long did this arrangement with Mr. Bender stay in effect?
A Up until -- I mean, I retired in February of this year. I think up until 2022 when we ended up getting a new accounting firm.

Q What was your first title when you joined The Trump Organization?

A I was the assistant controller.
Q And were you promoted from that position?
A At some point I became the controller, vice president/controller, or vice versa.

Q When you became controller, what were your responsibilities at The Trump Organization?
A Basically the same. It was just -- Allen Weisselberg was -- is controller. He moved up to the CFO position. I took over Allen's position. The work really didn't change.
Q Who did you report to as controller?
A I always reported to Allen Weisselberg. My ultimate boss would be President Trump, but on a day-to-day basis, Allen

\section*{Weisselberg was my boss.}

Q Were you responsible for overseeing the accounting department at The Trump Organization?

A Allen basically oversaw it, but he was busy doing his thing. So anybody that had a question, you come to me and ask me a question. They could go to Allen, but they knew it would be easier to get a hold of me and get an answer.

Q In the last ten years, how many accountants worked at The Trump Organization?
A Accountants or people in the accounting department?
Q People in the accounting department.
A In the accounting department, 12, 13, somewhere around there.

Q And what books and records were maintained by the accounting department at The Trump Organization?
A I'm trying to figure out how to say this. We started acquiring golf courses at a certain point in time. The Mar-a-Lago Club is not a golf course. The Mar-a-Lago Club, I think, was the first entity that we set up, um, where it had its own accounting staff.

We came to learn that these entities would have so much volume of work, small chit items. We go to a restaurant, you have a hamburger and you have a beer, um, you have tee times. So we were used to selling apartments for a few million dollars, and this was a lot of -- that was one transaction. This would
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be a lot of smaller transactions. So we hired an accounting department to take care of the Mar-a-Lago property.

So as we bought or developed more golf courses, we had an accounting department in each one of those locations. They just took care of the one property. So a lot of the other entities, you had Trump Park Condominium, you had Trump Towers Condominium, Trump Tower Condominium. The development we had on the West Side where we managed those properties, um, they were maintained in the New York office. I don't have a list, so I don't have an actual number, um, of entities.

Q Were there -- what was the relationship like between the accounting departments on the properties and the accounting department that you worked with at Trump Tower?
A Um, they were basically separate - they would send reports up to Trump Tower. Um, I don't think we really got that down, except for the last couple of years. Now we have two people dedicated just to the golf courses, because we have a number of them, but they would talk to Allen and/or they would take talk to me. They would produce maybe monthly reports or quarterly reports, but I never really looked at them. Allen Weisselberg may have looked at them.

Q What involvement did Mr. Bender have with the accounting department at Trump Tower?

A When it came time to produce tax returns, the property -- the golf courses were able, because they were just one entity
and they had a decent size staff they could produce their own accrual basis statements. They would send that information to Donald Bender. Bender, if he had any questions, ask them, you know, "Show me this invoice. Why is this one prepaid? Member deposits are \(X\) amount." He had a schedule for it. So he would interact with the golf courses to get any information he needed.

Q Would Mr. Bender go through you to obtain information?
A He could. He didn't have to. The way it was set up,
Bender could come in and talk to anybody he wanted to. It's not a structured company, "it" being The Trump Organization, not a structured company that like IBM. I never worked for a large company like that where you had direct reports and you could only talk to this person. Anybody in the accounting department could talk to anybody, whether it was Allen Weisselberg, myself, or the accounts payable person next to him.

Bender was so familiar with us, and, I mean, I've known Bender for 40 years when I was working at Spahr Lacher. I mean, we used to go to lunch every day. We used to go to -- put together a group of people and go down to Atlantic City. I was at his wedding. He was at my kid's bris. It was just like a family. So Bender had access to anything he wanted to. He's done the work for so long, he knew everything in the accounting department, so he could ask Deborah Tarasoff what drawer do I look at for these bills, or he could just go there.

If he had any question on the rents or leases, he would

Q Did you ever hide any information from Donald Bender?
A No.
Q How, if at all, would you rely on Donald Bender to help you do your job as comptroller?

A I am sorry, can you -- I didn't hear the first word.
Q Sure. How, if at all, would you rely on Donald Bender to help you do your job as comptroller?

A I relied on him for a lot of stuff. I think it got to the point where we set up a new entity. We were doing licensing deals, we needed an identification number, \(I\) think he would fill out the form for us. If he didn't fill out the form and somebody in my office was filling it out, we would ask Bender if it was a cash-basis taxpayer or an accrual-basis taxpayer. He would make all of these tax decisions.

He would do President Trump's tax planning. I am not a tax guy.

So he would, in his Bender brain, come up with what he knew how he wanted to structure something, and then we would work around what he told us to do.

THE COURT: I hear the reporters may have a
little trouble keeping up, so slow down a little bit. THE WITNESS: Sorry.
Q What, if any, other members of Mr. Bender's team did you work with, Mr. McConney?

A Bender was like us, he had a loyal staff that stayed
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just speak to the people in the accounts receivable department. So we gave him access to anything he wanted to.
(Continued on the next page.)
with him for years. A few of the names I remember was Jennifer Safron, who I think is now a partner; Ellen Fegen; Maryann Richter; Chris Schreiber. And there were a number of other people over the course of the time I was working with him.

Q Can you describe, generally, how the in-house accounting team at the Trump Organization would work with Mr. Bender's team at Mazars?

A They asked the question, we gave them an answer. They asked for backup, we gave them backup. It was just as if Bender was asking the question. So we gave them unfettered access to any information we had or he wanted.

Q Was there any -- excuse me. Was there ever any concern as to whether Mr. Bender was independent of the Trump Organization?

A I am not an independence person, I don't know what effect that has on him as a CPA. He may have raised the issue. I had never really thought about it.

Q Did he raise the issue with you?
A I think he may have, but I really don't remember.
Q What was your involvement in preparing the Statements of Financial Condition for President Trump?

A Like I was talking about before with Jerry Rosenblum, when I started getting involved -- and I don't remember exactly what year it was, I started 35 years ago, at some point I was working with Jerry Rosenblum before he retired. Jerry would
just ask us questions; provide me with the information about \(X\), can you give me the backup for Y. And Jerry produced a financial statement. He produced valuations, the numbers in the footnotes, and he would put everything together.

Over time, I mean, I would see some of his notes, but I was really not organized. When Jerry retired and Bender took over the account as partner, he said this is a lot of papers, can we kind of make it a little more organized. At some point in time I came up with the Jeff Supporting Data schedule listing off of the assets year after year, how we would value them, and I would provide that to Bender. Bender would ask for backup to whatever number we saw or ship them all to backup that we used to prepare my spreadsheet.

Bender would go through those numbers and our backup, put the information on the Statement of Financial Condition, put the actual dollar amounts. Modify the footnotes; or I would give him footnotes with some adjustments to them. Like if there was a mortgage and the interest rate changed or the due date changed or the principal amount changed or we had a new property, we would give him some information about the new property and he would put everything together. He had it in Word, or I think it was Word. Put together documents, send us a draft, we review it going back and forth, me and Allen Weisselberg; and Allen Weisselberg would say go and tell them to print it, we are done.

\section*{McConney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez)}
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Q Can you describe the annual process for preparing the Statement of Financial Condition?

A As the years went on it took us longer and longer to produce it. There were more and more assets. At the end when I was there I think we had over 500 entities now -- tax returns, sorry, entities and tax returns we had to prepare. So, there was a lot more information we had to gather.

Now, not every single one of those entities would be separately valued on the financial statement, but it gives you an idea of how much we have grown over time.

So I am sorry. I forgot your question.
Q If you could, walk me through the annual process.
A The process, sorry.
So we would start -- I would start, originally, and we passed this out, parceled it out over the years, I would go through and see what information I would need from whomever I needed it from. Cash and Marketable Securities, a lot of those entities we maintained in a New York office.

But again, the golf courses had their own accounting staffs, so we would ask them for bank reconciliations and bank statements. The same thing with escrow deposits, ask them if they had any real estate tax escrows. We would know who had a mortgage and who didn't, we knew who had escrow deposits.

I would ask the real estate department, the Trump International Reality for selling prices or values for unsold
units. So, it was just a matter of, I would go out, send out e-mails to a lot of people, gather the information and start putting it into my spreadsheet.

Q Was it necessary for you to reach out to a lot of people to gather the information that you put on your spreadsheets?

A Yes. I mean, the cash alone we would have to really reach out to every single entity that had a bank account. Those were all of the properties over the years that have grown, but every property that had their own accounting staff.

Same thing, we would value accounts payable on the statements to get an accounts payable schedule or get the balance sheet that they prepared in-house. We used Cushman \& Wakefield or received reports from Cushman \& Wakefield with cap rates on it, so I would have to reach out to Doug Larson or whoever else was in his department at the time. And I know he had a gentleman in California we would deal with for one of the Vornado properties.

This literally took months. We would start in July and finish usually sometimes in October, except for a couple of years we were late.

Q Who was your principal point of contact at Mazars in connection with the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Donald Bender was the partner, so whether it was a Statement of Financial Condition or whether it was an audited
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financial statement for one of the properties that he was preparing for the loan -- because it had a loan on it, anything that we needed accounting help for we would go through Donald Bender.

Q And in the period of -- the period in which you prepared the Statements of Financial Condition from 2011 forward, during that period of time, what other engagements was Mazars involved with for the Trump Organization?

A Besides preparing all of the tax returns for every entity except for one, there was a period of time -- there was a period of time he lost the golf courses. He didn't lose the golf courses, he said he had too much work, he wanted us to give the golf courses to another accounting firm, so we did that. But basically he got all of the golf courses back. That was basically many years ago.

So he prepared the tax returns. Last year it was around 500 entities. He did all but one of the entity's tax returns.

He prepared the personal tax returns for Donald J. Trump Jr. and his wife, when he was married. Eric Trump and his wife, when he was married. Ivanka Trump up until she got married, and then she went to use Jared Kushner's accountant.

He worked on the financial statements, the audited financial statements that were required; or in one case there was a review that was required.

\section*{McConney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez)}

We would give him, if we were negotiating a loan, we would give him the loan documents to make sure he could adhere to or reduce the required financial information at a certain point in time. A lot of the loans have financial statements due by April 30, and that's -- when you have that done, and then right before that you have personal tax extensions done, we just want to make sure he had enough bandwidth to get all of this done.

I am sure I am missing something, but that's all I can remember.

Q Would it have been possible for the accounting department of the Trump Organization to operate without the assistance of Mazars?

\section*{A No way.}

Q From the period of 2011 forward, what audit engagements was Mr. Bender and Mazars involved with in the Trump Organization?

A Trump Tower commercial, which is Trump Tower, the commercial piece.

There is a property called TIHT Commercial, which is a small commercial property, I think a couple of stores. Trump Plaza LLC.
There was a review for 40 Wall Street.
Prior to that we had before the loan was paid off we had Chicago, we had a -- at some point we had financial
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statement requirements for Trump International Hotel Chicago. Las Vegas Trump International Hotel, Las Vegas, we had financial statements that were due.

I think that's about it.
Q Was all of Mr. Bender's team at Mazars afforded the same level of access that he was to the Trump Organization's books and records?

A Yes. If they had a question we would give them an answer.

Q In the period of 2011 forward, how often would you speak with Mr. Bender concerning your work at the Trump Organization?

A It depended a lot on what time of the year it was. If it was a quiet time, and there is very rarely a quiet time, I would maybe go a week or two without talking to him. If we were preparing President Trump's tax return or one of the larger tax returns, he would call, talk, every ten, 15 minutes.

So it really depended what he was -- what was going on and what he was trying to finish at the time.

Q Going back to the Statements of Financial Condition, when did you begin the process to prepare the information for each year?

A Sometime -- because it took so long, sometime in July. And the easiest thing to get would be the bank reconciliations and bank statements. Statements were dated

June 30. So, the banks usually issued bank statements before -- they were available online by maybe the 15 th or 20th. Once they were available online, you could get them by the first of the month. So that was a large number -- a large number of entities and that would be the first step.

We would send out e-mails and some comptrollers would send the information right away. Some you would have to go after a little bit. And -- I am sorry.

Q No, no, please.
A And some comptrollers would just, you would wait a week or two so it was a matter of gathering the information and putting it together and putting it on my spreadsheet.

Q Why did you have to reach out to all of the comptrollers of the properties to gather information?

A The way we value the assets, we kind of broke it down in components. We had cash and Marketable Securities. So we needed their cash balance. We had escrow deposits. If we knew there were escrow deposits, that's a separate line item. The biggest number is the asset valuation. That's just the asset, not cash or payables.

We needed the accounts payable from the property, because they would have that on site. And I know the loan balances, if any, because we kind of oversaw the loan payments.

Q When did the process of reaching out to all of the individual properties, when did that begin?

A It depended on who was doing it. If I was in the middle of a project I would try to squeeze in getting some of those e-mails out. If it was somebody else, I would just say, pass them in the hall, Haroula, we have to get the cash done, start working on it because it is a big deal.

So it kind of varied. There were one or two years we were really busy and didn't start until real late. There was no date on my calendar that says, send out e-mail today. It was whenever we did it.

Q Throughout the course of the year, what -- how much of your time was occupied by preparing the Statements of Financial Condition as opposed to other duties you had at the company?

A I -- basically my time was spent between July and October. Not 100 percent of my time, just a portion of my time. And that's why we would spread it out, gather the information and put the spreadsheet together. Other than that, really very little time outside of that timeframe.

Q You had other responsibilities as the comptroller of the Trump Organization other than preparing the Statements of Financial Condition?

A Yes, sir.
Q What were those other responsibilities?
A We had a 401 K plan. There is something called a non-discrimination test which is mandated by the Department of

Labor that you have to provide a ton of information about all of your employees, the salaries, 401 K deferrals and a whole bunch of other information. We sent that to our 401 K provider. It has been Fidelity for a number of. That would take a while.

We have had payroll tax returns, we have had \(\mathrm{W}-2 \mathrm{~s}\), 1099s. We would have to start the engagements on the audits sometimes maybe in February to start that information, gathering, Mazars would come in and start working on that.

We would have started in January. We would try to feed Mazars as many entities, we call them baby entities, one or two or three transactions, usually licensing deals. I would get a fee once a year or no fees because the deal was at a certain point in time where it wouldn't get fees.

Send Bender -- sorry, Mazars, Bender, a lot of these baby entities so that they could start preparing the baby tax returns before they got busy.

Middle of March we would have to finish up the larger entities and get extensions out. Middle of April we had the personal tax returns, including the President's and his children. Finish up the audits in April. When he was President, before he became President, we had the annual filing, his annual -- The President's annual disclosure form. We had to spend a lot of time on that. Later in the year we had to finalize all of the corporate tax returns, all of the personal tax returns. Daily basis, every day, we would have

A Sorry, yes he being Donald Bender.
Q Would Mr. Bender request information from you?
A Yes. If he -- if I did not provide enough backup to him or we had a question on something or wanted more information about whatever he was asking about, we provided him whatever he needed. "He" being Donald Bender.

Q What other documentation did you send him along with the supporting spreadsheet each year?

A Anything I used to back up my numbers. If there was a line item I would say 32 apartments for sale \(\$ 200\) million. I would send him a spreadsheet listing the apartment number and value of those numbers.

If we had a third party like Cushman \& Wakefield provide us with information about comparable sales, we would send him that piece of the document, along with the cap rates and whatever I had on the statement.

For Vornado we would send him, I think, the P\&Ls from the June Vornado statements: 555 California Street and 1290 Avenue of the Americas.

The rent management department would print out rent-rolls. So we valued some properties based on rent-rolls. So the small shopping centers with two or three stores we would send him the rent rolls.

We would send him -- I am not sure if we sent him all of the accounts payable, all of the invoices. A schedule of
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wire transfers that I had to review and release. I did the corporate payroll every other week. Answer questions. Answer e-mails. It kept me busy.

Q At what point in the year did you send Mazars, generally, the supporting data spreadsheet for the Statements of Financial Condition?

A Probably somewhere in September, late September and October. It depends how busy we were. If I could get a large chunk of it done and have a few open questions I would try to get him started and send him the spreadsheet and backup so he could start reviewing it or somebody in his accounting department could review it, instead of just dumping it on his lap. Because he had to still prepare all of these tax returns, he and his department. The big one being the President's return, which is the roll-up of all of these 500 entities. We didn't want to inundate him too late. We wanted to give him time to digest everything.

Q He being Mr. Bender?
A He being Donald Bender.
Q In general terms, what would happen after you sent him your supporting data?

A He would sit and go through it. He had questions. Told me I made a mistake, I had to change something. We would have conversations.

Q "He," again, being Mr. Bender?
accounts payable, all of the loans that we had listed as liabilities we would send him a copy of the June 30 loan statement or whatever showed the balance as of June 30.

Basically any backup that I used to -- that was reflected on the spreadsheet I was putting together.

Q Would you send Bender -- Mr. Bender any information that you didn't use in preparing your Statements of Financial Condition?

A No. I only sent him the information that I relied on to prepare the spreadsheet.

Q Once you sent the information to Mazars, what steps did Mazars take to compile the information that you sent?

A They would review it because -- I know they would review it because he made some changes to some values or had some comments about some of the assets. They would -- well, once it was finalized and I sent them my finalized spreadsheet, they would put together the document, the actual Statement of Financial Condition and the footnotes.

MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up the 2015 Statement of Financial Condition, please. Plaintiff's Exhibit 729.
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. McConney? A Yes.
Q So once you sent your supporting data over to Mazars, what additional steps did Mazars take before they issued the compilation report that appears at the second page?
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A They looked through our numbers, compared them to the backup, because they would -- when I would get some information back sometimes from them, I would see their tick marks. And, I guess, just get a comfort level with what the information was I provided to them.

Q Did you have conversations with Mr. Bender about the information?

A If he had a question, yes. If there was something I needed to explain to him, yes.

Q What did these conversations generally entail?
A If he had a question about the information I provided him. One year I know for the valuation for Trump Park Avenue I provided a list of apartments and values for them. And he said to me, Jeff, Ivanka rents one of those apartments. I said, okay.
Well, she has an agreement with her dad in the lease that she can buy the apartment for X. So you can't use Y. You have to substitute it -- substitute the number in the lease.

I didn't know she had a lease. I knew she lived there, I didn't know she had a lease. I didn't know the value of the purchase price of the apartment, so I changed the value.

So it would be items like that. He had a question once on Trump Tower. We were valuing the vacant space. Trump Organization has three floors, there is also at times other vacant space in the building. When I sat down with Allen
so it was kind of dictated originally from the original Jerry Rosenblum Statement of Financial Condition.

Q And how, for example, did you decide whether to list a specific real estate asset on the list of real estate operating properties as opposed to categorizing it as another asset?

MR. AMER: Objection to the use of "you." I think there is no foundation that this witness made those decisions.

MR. SUAREZ: Okay. Withdrawn.
THE COURT: All right.
Q Who decided which assets to specifically list as a real or operating entity and which to list as other assets?

A Probably Allen Weisselberg.
Q And are you aware if those decisions were made in consultation with Donald Bender?

A I don't know.
Q How was it decided which assets would, for example, be listed out as a separate entity and which would be included in club facilities and related real estate?

MR. AMER: Objection. Lack of foundation. The witness said he didn't make the decision.

MR. SUAREZ: He didn't make the decision, that doesn't mean he doesn't know how it was done.

MR. AMER: I think you have to establish that.
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Weisselberg we used \(X\) amount of dollars per square foot.
He said, Jeff, on the cert just prepared or prepared a couple of months ago, you used \(Y\) amount, so you have to change it. So we changed it.

So if we had items that we needed to reflect on a statement, to change it, we made the changes.

Q "He," again, being Mr. Bender?
A Sorry. He being Donald Bender.
Q Was there ever a time that Mr. Bender requested you make a change to the Statement of Financial Condition and you didn't follow his request?

A Not that I can remember, no.
Q Did you ever ignore a request from Mr. Bender for information?

A No.
MR. SUAREZ: We can turn to the third page of
the document, the fourth page of the exhibit.
Q Mr. McConney, how are the asset -- withdrawn.
Mr. McConney, how did you decide which assets would be listed on the statement of assets?

A This is, I think, basically the layout that Jerry Rosenblum put together back in 1987. We no longer owned the casinos, so they came off the list. And as we acquired properties or developed properties, we would add them into the categories, real and operating properties in the partnerships,

THE COURT: This is that old conundrum, do you have to ask do you know and then ask the question. But, yeah, that's a -- I think that is the rule. So, you have to ask him does he know.

MR. SUAREZ: I'll withdraw that, and I'll keep going.
Q Let's turn over to the notes.
Mr. McConney, who wrote the notes here to the Statement of Financial Condition?

A They have been modified over time. But a lot of these notes still, for assets that were around when Jerry Rosenblum was around, a lot of this was Jerry Rosenblum's writing.

Q How did the words, "such valuation methods include but are not limited to the use of appraisals, capitalization of anticipated earnings, recent sales and offers and estimates of current values as determined by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates, and in some instances, outside professionals." Do you see those words on the page?

A Yes, sir.
Q How did those words end up on that page?
A Again, the basic premise of the valuation, valuation methods was a Jerry, I am pretty sure it was a Jerry starting point. Depending on how I valued the assets that year, sometimes it would change, sometimes I would use a cap rate,
sometimes I would use a comp. That is how these -- the part where it says appraisals, capitalization of anticipated earnings, recent sales and offers and estimates of current values, those may change from year to year. That was basically Donald Bender.

THE COURT: I don't think that answered the question at all. Wasn't the question where did the language come from? Not what particular items are on there? It is your question.

MR. SUAREZ: No that's -- that's correct. It is where did the language come from. And he gave me the answer as to where that language came from.

THE COURT: I don't think he did that at all.
MR. SUAREZ: It started with Jerry Rosenblum and morphed in, and depending on the year, Bender would give him the words to put on that page. It was perfectly responsive answer to the question.

THE COURT: It is your question. Okay. Withdrawn.

MR. AMER: I'll note the testimony is what the witness said, not what Mr. Suarez just repeated, so the record is what it is.

THE COURT: I didn't think it did.
MR. SUAREZ: The witness is testifying.
THE COURT: But he didn't say what you said he
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said. Is that Mr. Amer's point?
MR. AMER: Exactly, Your Honor.
MR. SUAREZ: We could argue what the record is.
I am certain the court reporter is doing a wonderful job of getting it down.
Q The following words on that page: "Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop the related estimates of current value." Whose words are those, Mr. McConney?

A That came from Mazars, Jerry or Bender.
Q And the following statement: "Accordingly the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized upon the disposition of the assets or payment of the related liabilities." Whose words are those, Mr. McConney?

A Again, Mazars, either Jerry Rosenblum or Donald Bender.

Q And the final sentence on this page: "The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimates current value amounts." Whose words are those?

A Mazars, either Jerry Rosenblum or Donald Bender.
Q What role did you have in deciding what information to include in the footnotes?

A Basically what I was concerned with were the
footnotes that dealt with mortgages, financings, refinancings. So I concentrated on mortgage balance, interest rates, due dates, if there was a refinancing or a loan extension that was considered, for me, that was the nuts and bolts of the numbers.

Q Was the information that you placed on the notes to the Statements of Financial Condition reviewed by Mr. Bender?

A Yes, I would -- the normal -- what I would normally do is take the prior statement, go through the footnotes, mark them up. And then send that marked up financial statement to Donald Bender.

Then when it came back I would just review it to make sure what I wrote for those pieces was -- he interpreted or typed it. I had it typed the way it was supposed to be. Occasionally I would modify footnotes if I would get information from somebody dealing with the property. Bedminister was putting in some men's locker rooms. Miller property may have been putting in a gym or finishing a gym. So I would try to get those - that information from the property and then update the footnotes a little bit.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

Q To the best of your understanding, what does the term "outside professionals" in this paragraph mean?
A It was individuals other than Trump employees. MR. SUAREZ: If we could zoom out.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was zoomed out.)

MR. SUAREZ: Come down to the paragraph that says "Pursuant to GAAP."
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
Q This paragraph, Mr. McConney, the first sentence, the words "Pursuant to GAAP, this financial statement does not reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation; however, the brand value has afforded Mr. Trump the opportunity to participate in licensing deals around the globe as reflected on the balance sheet herein."

Whose words were those?
A Mazars's.
Q The following sentence, "Mr. Trump's name conveys a high degree of quality and profitability. This prestige significantly enhances the value of the properties reflected in this financial statement, as well as that of his future projects."

Whose words were those, Mr. McConney?
A Mazars'.

1 Q And the rest of the paragraph which says, "The brand, along with the level of quality of Mr. Trump's residential developments, has allowed the selling price per square foot in Trump properties to be amongst the highest among prominent real estate developers. The goodwill attached to the Trump name has significant financial value that has not been reflected in the preparation of this financial statement."

Whose words are those, Mr. McConney?
A Mazars'.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn over to the Trump
Tower note.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you know how this note ended up on this page, Mr. McConney?

A Again, I think that the -- the basic description of
Trump Tower probably went back to Jerry Rosenblum. I would talk
to Allen Weisselberg or the management person, who sent out the monthly rent bills for Trump Tower to see who the current tenants were; that would basically have brand or name recognition to somebody reading it.

So the bulk of it came from Jerry and Mazars. The tenants listed at the end of the paragraph, either Allen or I would update.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn or put up Plaintiffs
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\section*{Exhibit 731.}
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: If we scroll down to Trump Tower. (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
Q Can you briefly describe this property?
A Trump Tower?
Q Yes.
A Um, the parts still owned by Mr. Trump is the atrium, when you walk in, the retail stores, which I think is first number of floors. After that it's the office space above it.

Down below there's space where there's a restaurant and buffet and an ice cream parlor. That's what is owned by Trump Tower Commercial, LLC.

Q And with respect to this specific asset, how would you - how did you reach a value for this asset in the year 2015?

A There is a building across the street, literally if you walk out the front of Trump Tower across Fifth Avenue, there's a building called the Crown Building. It was sold, I guess, sometime in 2015, and we received information about the selling price, the breakdown between the retail and the office space, um, and we came up with a value per square foot, multiplied by

1 what we had at that time for the comparable retail and office space for Trump Tower, and then added those numbers together to come up with the value.

Q How did you identify 730 Fifth Avenue as a comparable sale for that building?
A Um, we probably reached out to Doug Larson, asked him for his report that he would always send us for Midtown class A buildings, and, um, the building across the street was listed there. So that's how we probably found that building.

Q How did you know to reach out to Doug Larson?
A We were reaching out to Doug for years. I don't know how far back it went, but for years we reached out to Doug. I don't know how it first started.

Q What kind of information would you have gathered from Doug Larson each year when you were preparing the supporting data to the Statements of Financial Condition?

A The report he would send us would be on buildings sold or up for sale. He had different reports, one for downtown; one for Midtown. I don't know how many other reports he had. I just received those two.

It would have various properties, about where the properties located, the selling price, um, cap rates, um, and we would just go through those properties and see what was near us. In Manhattan, a one or two block difference can make a big difference in the selling price.

And then we would use the information in that report to come up with these values -- I would use the information on this report to come up with these values.

Q And in 2014, do you see the method that you used to come up with a value in \(2014 ?\)

A Yes.
Q How did that method -- withdrawn.
How did you come up with a value in 2014 for Trump Tower?

A It was based on the income divided by a cap rate, net income after expenses.

Q If we go to the cell where you have the income in 2014 for Trump Tower, how did you learn what number to put there on the supporting data?

A Somebody in the accounting department, in my guess it would be Donna Kidder, who was familiar with the property, ran a report which provided the actual information through August of that year, and is a budget that was prepared for Trump Tower at the beginning of the year, um, and we added the numbers together to come up to the \(\$ 32\) million number.

Q Can you describe the adjustments that you made for rental income for space used by T Corp., not billed?

A T Corp. is a Trump Corporation, occupies three floors in Trump Tower, which if we weren't in this space, we could rent out to a third party, receive rental income, and it would
increase our NOI or net operating income, so we took the square footage of the floors, multiplied by what we thought we could receive in rents, and then added that amount to the value, or the income, sorry.

Q And were those adjustments disclosed to Mazars?
A Yes. It's right there on the spreadsheet.
Q And how did you, in 2014, how did you derive an NOI to apply to that value?
A Well, we added the income, the actual, and the budget, added the rental space. We own a restaurant that's in the lower level, like I told you before, which has a buffet and restaurant and ice cream parlor, and there is also a bar on the first floor. They have a percentage rent deal, so we added the rent that the restaurant would have to pay, which looks like 2013 rent. The total of that is the \(\$ 36.6\) million.

We looked at the -- probably the December 31st, 2013 audited financial statements, but provided or prepared by Mazars, adjusted for some noncash expenses like depreciation, amortization, they are not part of NOI, net operating expenses, usually noncash expenses, except for the interest. The net number was divided by the cap rate of 3.13 to come up with the value.

Q How did you settle on the cap rate of 3.13?
A When we were preparing the June of 2014 Statement of Financial Condition, I probably reached out to Doug Larson, who
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you take the comparable and use it to reach the asset value for Trump Tower?

A Well, I believe Kurt, who worked with Doug, provided us information where we could split the selling price between the retail space and the office space, divided that by the square footage of each -- from the retail and office space, came up with a value per square foot, multiplied that by the retail space for Trump Tower, the office space for Trump Tower, and came up with the value and added those two numbers together. You could see Bender's tick marks there checking the numbers.

Q What role, if any, did Mazars have in confirming that the information in your supporting data and the information on the notes was consistent?
A They would go through the footnotes. They looked at the spreadsheet. They would make the changes. I said, now paragraph the -- the paragraph we were looking at before, sometimes the valuation methodology would change. So he would just make sure that whatever methodology we used, we were relying on him to put that in the footnotes. So that was the first footnote number one or two.

And then later on on the valuation when we listed the asset by itself, because the Trump Tower is listed here with a -- it's own footnote, to make sure that description or the method I used was in the description of the footnote. THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
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provided me his report, um, the comps in the neighborhood, and based on that we selected some buildings and used -- came up with that comp. rate, cap rate.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the "probably." I don't think the witness should be testifying about things that he's not -- he can't say actually happened.

THE WITNESS: Can I clarify "probably"?
THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Okay. Doug had associates, one was named Michael -- he had a long last name that began with \(P\). I'm not sure if I reached out to Doug, to Michael, somebody from Cushman \& Wakefield.

Is that a proper answer? I would reach out to Cushman \& Wakefield. Or if it's -- at this point in time he was working for Newmark, I would reach out to where I thought Doug was working, and ask for information. THE COURT: Okay.
Q Why did you change the method of valuing Trump Tower in 2015 from 2014?

A Well, again, one or two blocks in Manhattan can make a big difference in a value of a building. For me there was no better value than some building across the street. Um, so we decided to use -- I decided, or selected that building.

Q And in 2015, when you selected that building, how did

McConney - by Defense - Direct
Page 4944

Q And when you placed, here on the spreadsheet, a statement that per 10/26/15 e-mail from Kurt Clauss of Cushman \& Wakefield, which reflects information on the sale of the "Crown Building" what did you mean by that?
A Kurt would've sent me an e-mail with an attachment with the information on it for the Crown Building. There could have been other properties there, too. Um, so I was just telling Bender where the information was coming from in which I would attach, as part of the backup, when I sent him the spreadsheet and the various backup we use -- I used for this spreadsheet.

Q What would you -- withdrawn.
Do I understand correctly that you would send Bender the same e-mail that you had relied on in preparing this valuation together with your supporting data?

\section*{MR. AMER: Objection. Leading.} THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What would you provide to Bender, specifically with respect to the selection of the Crown Building as a comparable?
A In this instance, whatever -- in every instance, whatever information or documents I used and put together, I would send to Bender.

In this case I would send him the e-mail, whatever, um, Kurt Clauss sent me that was relevant for the Crown Building, and I would just send him that -- send him those documents. MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'm at a good stopping
point on my outline, for now, for the break.
THE COURT: All right. So we'll break, but you are going to continue after the break?

MR. SUAREZ: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Ten-minute break. See you all in 15 minutes.
(Whereupon, a 15-minute break was agreed upon and taken by all parties.)

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, any idea how much longer on the direct?

MR. SUAREZ: On direct? Through tomorrow morning.
THE COURT: The rest of the day?
MR. SUAREZ: The rest of the day today, yes.
THE COURT: And you think --
MR. SUAREZ: And into tomorrow morning, yes. THE COURT: Okay.
Any idea how long the cross examination will be? MR. AMER: Not yet.
THE COURT: All right. Let's continue.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could move onto row 167.
Q Mr. McConney, can you briefly describe the Trump Park Avenue.
A Trump Park Avenue was the old -- it was the Delmonico
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Hotel on Park Avenue, and it's 59th Street. Mr. Trump bought that in conjunction with GE. They gutted what they could of the building, built new residential apartments. There are some units in the hotel that --

THE COURT: Hold on.
MS. GREENFIELD: The realtime is not working.
(Whereupon, the realtime feeds were adjusted and
began working again.)
Q Mr. McConney, can you briefly describe the Trump Park Avenue?
A Trump Park Avenue was a joint venture between GE and President Trump, or the entity that Mr. Trump owns. It was the old Delmonico Hotel, which was shutdown. The apartments were renovated for sale as a residential condominium building. There are a few units in there that are hotel units, and the rest were free market units. And there is a commercial space on the bottom. There -- in the basement there are storage units for unit owners to store stuff if they don't have enough room in their apartment, like seasonal stuff, like Christmas -Christmas trees and ornaments, decorations, stuff like that.
Q What does it mean for something to be a hotel unit?
A The way we interpreted "a hotel unit" was it was -there were tenants in there that had certain rights similar to rent stabilized units or rent controlled units -- I'm not a lawyer -- and they were like hotel stabilized units. There are

1 certain rights they had. It's not like when we bought the hotel
we could say, your apartment is gone.
So those tenants stayed in these units. Some of them we made deals with, we bought out their leases. Some of them passed away. A couple of them passed away, and then they became free market units, or units that we could just sell. We could sell all the units at any time, but they just became, um there was no tenant in the unit.

Q What prior experience, if any, did you have with repositioning a hotel asset on behalf of Trump Organization?

A When I was still working for Spahr Lacher, an entity called Park South Associates, which was owned by President
Trump, bought the old Barbizon Hotel on Sixth Avenue and Central Park South. It consisted of the hotel, the main entity was a hotel, which became Trump Park Avenue Condo - I'm sorry, Trump Park Condo, and there was a small residential building of 60 some-odd units which became Trump Park East Condominium. A lot or most of the units in the Trump Park East building were rent stabilized apartments.
Q And what happened to the rent stabilized apartments at the Trump Park East building?

A We negotiated by -- when I -- I found, between working for the accounting firm and then working for The Trump Organization, there was some buyouts of tenants, some tenants passed away. There are still a number of tenants, handful of
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tenants that still lived there from when the property was purchased, I think it was 1981. When the condominium unit, or, sorry, a stabilized unit becomes vacant, we fix it up, and then we put it on the market for sale. Again, it's for sale now, but it's got a stabilized tenant in it, so.

Q Where would you have gotten -- withdrawn.
Can you describe the method that you used to value the Trump Park Avenue in the year 2014?
A I reached out to our sales entity. TIR is Trump International Realty. I reached out to the head of the TIR sales office and asked them to provide me with the apartment number of unsold units, and an amount that each unit would sell for, or we could sell for. So that's the first line, where it says "unsold units." Those are residential units.

There's the -- I think there are two remaining commercial space units where we rent out to -- one time it was a Capital One Bank, and I think it was a gym; that would get the rent roll from our leasing department -- I'm sorry, our rent management department, which reflected the monthly rent for the two units, multiplied by 12 to come up with an annual rent, multiply that by ten. I know "cap rate" is probably not the right term, but that's what I used, to come up with the value for the commercial space. And there are a number of unsold storage rooms, cages, I think they were, in the basement that we valued at the 819,000 . The total of those three amounts is the
\$251 million number reflected on the spreadsheet for June of 2015.

Q Up at the top where it says "Valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold residential units and the selling price or the rental income stream to be derived from the commercial space." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q What did you mean by that?
A Well, the residential units, there are a number of unsold residential units which the TIR division valued. Um, so that was the first part. The unsold residential units and the selling price. The rental income stream would be the commercial space where we took the monthly rent, annualized it and multiplied it by ten.

The storage rooms, I think came from the operations department, because they would deal with the storage room sales. They would provide me a list, or a comp, and then we just multiplied that by the number of units there are, something like that.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 736.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q Mr. McConney, do you recognize this document?
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MR. SUAREZ: This is already in evidence.
A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A This would be the listing I received from the TIR sales office reflecting the unit numbers, price, it had the number of square feet, dollars per square feet, which they provided to me per my request.

Q Why did you provide the sponsor unit inventory valuation -- actually, withdrawn.

Did you provide this document to Mazars?
A Yes. Mazars wouldn't have taken the \(\$ 200\) million valuation without some backup.

Q Okay. Why did you provide this document to Mazars?
A This is what I relied on to come up with the
\(\$ 233\) million, which I'm pretty sure was on the prior sheet.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up Plaintiffs Exhibit 0173, native, side by side.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)

MR. SUAREZ: Plaintiff's 01073. Actually, I'm corrected. 736 is not in evidence. I move to have that admitted into evidence.

MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Exhibit 736 was admitted in evidence.)

1 Q Do you recognize the spreadsheet at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1076?

A Where would I find number ten --
Q The spreadsheet on the right in the native cell format.
A Okay, yes.
Q What is the spreadsheet in the native cell format which
appears as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1073, native?
A The spreadsheet on the right also would have been
prepared by the -- let me see. It would have been prepared by the TIR sales office.

Q And what's the difference between the two spreadsheets?
A The dollar value -- the dollar amount for the offer plan -- per the offering plan price was different. It looks like two different years. The spreadsheet on the right has an offering plan price, current market value. The one on the left has square feet, dollars per square feet, which is not on the other spreadsheet.

Q Did you provide the current market value or the offering plan price to Mazars?

A For based on which spreadsheet? The one on the left or the one on the right?

Q Well, did you ever provide the current market value to Mazars?

A I don't remember.
Q What's the difference on the spreadsheet on the right,

McConney - by Defense - Direct
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at Plaintiff's Exhibit 1073, between the offering plan price and the current market value?

A You are talking about the math difference or? I mean -

Q What's the difference in -- your understanding of the difference between current market value and offering plan price?

A Well, current market value may have been what the TIR thought they could sell the unit for, sell a unit for. Offering plan price would be the most we could sell the unit for.

Q Would the offering plan price -- withdrawn.
Was the offering plan price a measure of what Trump Organization would be willing to sell a unit for?

MR. AMER: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Hold on. Sustained. Leading.
Q In your mind, was there a difference between offering plan price and current market value?

MR. AMER: I think it's been asked and answered, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, let's hear it again.
A The current market value is what they thought the current value would be -- could sell it for, and the offering plan price is what we filed with the offering plan.

Q Would the current market value include rent stabilized units?

MR. AMER: Objection. Leading.
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r|r}
\hline J. McConney - by Defense - Direct & Page 4953 & McCo \\
1 & MR. SUAREZ: I'm not suggesting an answer. & 1 \\
2 & THE COURT: Sustained. You could ask it in a more & 2 \\
3 & general way. & 3 \\
4 & Q \(\quad\) Does the current market value include rent stabilized & 4 \\
5 & units? & 5 \\
6 & A & Yes. & 6 \\
7 & MR. AMER: Same objection, your Honor. It's & 7 \\
8 & leading. Why doesn't he ask, "What does it include?" & 8 \\
9 & THE COURT: Sustained. & 9 \\
10 & Q \(\quad\) What does the current market value include? & 10 \\
11 & A That would be the list of all the units available to be & 11 \\
12 & purchased at Trump Park Avenue, whether it was regulated or not. & 12 \\
13 & Q What information did The Trump Organization provide to & 13 \\
14 & Mazars concerning the existence of rent stabilized units? & 14 \\
15 & A I'm pretty sure Mazars knew that there were stabilized & 15 \\
16 & units and non-stabilized units, or regulated units. Um, there & 16 \\
17 & are certiorari reports that are produced or filed every year on & 17 \\
18 & behalf of various buildings that we own or manage. And I & 18 \\
19 & believe you have to separate the regulated amounts from the fair & 19 \\
20 & market amounts, or, um -- & 20 \\
21 & MR. AMER: Hold on, your Honor, I'm going to move & 21 \\
22 & to strike that answer. I don't think there's any foundation & 22 \\
23 & for this witness to know whether Mazars knew or didn't know & 23 \\
24 & about the rent stabilized units. And his answer was, "I'm & 24 \\
25 & pretty sure", but there is no indication as to how he would & 25
\end{tabular}

\section*{know that.}

THE COURT: Sustained.
(Continued on the next page.)
separate the -- or would separate the regulated rents, which are stabilized units or hotel stabilized units, from the free market apartments or unregulated units.

MR. AMER: I would object to the "she would probably" do something. I don't know how he knows what she would probably do.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, this is the stuff of cross examination. If they want to cross examine on his answers they are free to do so. But there is nothing wrong with his testimony. It is perfectly responsive to my questions.

MR. AMER: It goes to foundation as to whether the witness has the knowledge to opine on what other people did or didn't do.

MR. SUAREZ: I get that it is an inconvenient fact for them that Mazars knew that these units were regulated, but the documents bare it out. He is the comptroller. He has the foundation. He knows who Ms. Lennig is. He knows why she keeps this information. I think he is being careful to avoid -- he is being careful to appropriately respond when he says "probably," but that doesn't mean his answers lack foundation.

THE COURT: You know, that brings up the whole issue of is "probably" -- okay. Well, if we have, you know, a standard of proof that is just probably, yes. In
any event, overruled. But subject to cross examination, preponderance of the evidence.
Q Why would you be providing this information to Donald Bender?

A He was asking for it.
Q What would Mazars do with the information that you provided with -- from Ms. Lennig?

A Mazars was - assisted Stephanie in filing the certs. The one -- one of the few things I know about the certs, they usually ask for the rents as of January of either that year or the prior year. They usually filed early in the year. Based on a March 12 date, which I think is around the due date, and based on the rents being as of January of 2020, Bender was probably -- was assembling the information to put -- whatever information Bender would provide to Stephanie was working on, and then would put it together and provide it to Stephanie so she could file the certs.

Q What is a cert?
A It is a proceeding where you protest your real estate taxes for real estate tax reductions.

Q And why would the information on the rent generated from a regulated or unregulated unit be relevant to a cert petition?

\section*{A I really don't know.}

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Plaintiffs
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behalf of the condominium or the Trump-owned entity.
Q Do you see where it says the "to" field; is that your name there?

A The one from Irene to --
Q Yes.
A March 28? Yes. That's Jeff McConney. That's me.
Q Who is Patrick Oberlies?
A Patrick is part of Bender's accounting team.
Q Who is Ellen Pagan?
A Ellen Pagan is part of Bender's accounting team.
Q And obviously the next e-mail there is Donald Bender.
A He is the accounting team.
Q Why would this information be provided by the lease administrator to Donald Bender's accounting team?

MR. AMER: I object on relevance. This document relates to Central Park South. It has nothing to do with Trump Park Avenue. I don't know why it is relevant to this case.

MR. SUAREZ: I am -- the document shows that Mazars was perfectly aware that units in the converted hotels have rent regulations, that we were dealing with rent regulations on a regular basis. I am not going to belabor the point, but we are going to keep going through another series of e-mails where Mazars has knowledge of rent-regulated apartments in these buildings.

McConney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez)

Exhibit D-1023 into evidence. (sic.)
THE COURT: Granted it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1023 by the Court.)

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up
Defendant's Exhibit 1027.
Q Mr. Bender, who is Irene Caprino?
A Irene Caprino, she works in the rent management department and oversees certain buildings.

Q What is the rent management department?
A It is -- we call it the rent management department. They, on rental -- a building that has rental rents, 100 Central Park South or Trump Park East, they would enter the leases into our computer system. They would enter lease start dates, lease end dates, tenant names, whatever contact information, whatever information you needed to get ahold of the tenants.

On condominiums they would have unit owners move in and out with any contact information for the unit owner.

They would send out the rent bills. They would answer questions from the tenants or unit owners.

They would, if it is a commercial property, they would sent out real estate tax escalation bills or operating expense escalation bills, anything to collect the rent on

But I do want to question your pronunciation. You said Pagan (Pay-gan); wouldn't it be Pagan (Pa-gon)?

MR. SUAREZ: I have heard it both ways. I don't know.

THE COURT: You would know better than I would. So overruled.
MR. SUAREZ: Why would I know better?
THE COURT: Why would you know better?
MR. SUAREZ: Yeah. The pronunciation, I mean, I live in the world where I think it is a triplex(try-plex). Your Honor, respectfully said it is a triplex (trip-lex) but I understand.

THE COURT: Well, I guess you don't know where I come from, so. I am not sure where you come from either. But Florida, right?

MR. SUAREZ: Florida.
THE COURT: Well, I would think that's a common -- is that a common name in Florida, P-A-G-A-N? MR. SUAREZ: I am unfamiliar with it. THE COURT: What is that? MR. SUAREZ: I am not familiar with it. THE COURT: Then I was wrong.
Q Why would Ms.-- why would the Trump Organization be sending this information over to Bender?

A They were asking for it. Anything they asked for we provided to them.

Q And do you see where it says: "For 14-G, only the notice that tenant is attached as this was rent regulated -excuse me, a rent regulated unit without a lease (and this tenant has vacated in 2017)"?

A I see that, yes.
Q How were rent-regulated units managed by the Trump Organization?

A In 100 Central Park South or Trump CPS LLC you are dealing with the address and the legal name. From the day we -- the building was purchased, we have always had -- the Trump Organization has always had a managing agent manage these stabilized units. The reason being is the accounting staff was not familiar with the rent stabilized laws and the rules and regulations and increases in any -- there are a lot of restrictions on a stabilized -- rent-stabilized apartment, rent-controlled apartment. We weren't set up for that.

So we hired a managing agent. At this point in time it was probably Gumley Haft. There were other managing agents going back through the ownership. They would manage the leases. They would send out the leases. They would send out the rent bills. Collect the rents from the tenants. And once a month send Trump's CPS LLC a check for the net cash flow. MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Defendant's

On the top? Yeah.
Q Do you see the document as an e-mail forwarding an attachment?
A Yes. Jeff McConney is me.
Q If we turn to the next page, do you recognize the attachment?

A Yes, that's the first page of the -I think it is the first page of the management report that Gumley Haft sent to us.

THE WITNESS: There is no hyphen, sorry. My mistake.
Q And what does -- turning to the page ending Mazars NGJS 00168341, on the exhibit; what does this information disclose?

A It looks like a partial list -- it is a list of
tenants. I am not sure if it is all of the tenants. That would list the apartment number, the tenant name, in essence if he was stabilized or controlled, base charge, any other charges, arrears, prepayments, collections. This is the rent billing and the associated information for the month.

Q And if you take, for example, a unit rented at 11-F to Miguel Rivera, do you see where it has Miguel Rivera 11-F three quarters of the way down the page?

A Yes, sir.
Q If we could walk through this one as an example. You

Page 4962
Exhibit 1024?
Q Do you see where it says: "Ellen, for your request to Jeffrey McConney"?

A Yes.
Q Why would -- withdrawn.
What request would Ellen Pagan make of you that would cause this e-mail to be sent?

A Stephanie was our contact or had a contact at Gumley Haft. Gumley Haft is a managing agent. G-U-M-L-E-Y I think there's a hyphen H-A-F-T. They were the managing agent.

Stephanie knew somebody there and would ask for the monthly reports at times. Gumley Haft didn't always send them to us like they probably should have. So Stephanie would just reach out to her contact and get a report.

This is a year-end report which Mazars needed in order to book the rental income, prepaid rents, arrears, for the stabilized units. Because all we booked on the general ledger of Trump's CPS LLC the entity that owned the stabilized units and the free market units, was just a cash. Mazars would reconcile that number back to the management report to see if the cash received equaled the cash sent. And then reflect the rental income on the tax return.

Q Is that your e-mail address there at the cc, Jeff McConney?

A I don't see an e-mail. I just see, cc Jeff McConney.
McConney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez)
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have Miguel Rivera. You have the letter S. Do you know what that \(S\) stands for?

A I am pretty sure it stands for stabilized.
Q And 989 is?
A The monthly rent for an apartment on Sixth Avenue in Central Park South.

MR. SUAREZ: Now, Your Honor, I know I am not from around here, I ask the Court to take judicial notice that \(\$ 989\) for an apartment on Central Park South, any New Yorker would understand that that's a rent-stabilized apartment.

MR. AMER: I don't think that's an appropriate fact for the Court to take judicial notice of, Your Honor.

MR. SUAREZ: I can withdraw that.
We move Defendant's 1024 into evidence.
MR. AMER: We object on relevance grounds, Your Honor. This is about Central Park South. It has nothing to do with Trump Park Avenue.

MR. SUAREZ: My next question to the witness is going to be whether they went through a similar process for Trump Park Avenue.

THE COURT: Well, why didn't we just do it for Trump Park Avenue?

MR. SUAREZ: I am sorry?
THE COURT: Why didn't we just do it for Trump
McConney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) Page 4965

Park Avenue?

MR. SUAREZ: Because we will get there. THE COURT: Okay.
MR. AMER: I haven't seen this type of report for Trump Park Avenue. I haven't seen this type of document for Trump Park Avenue. So I think they are trying to put this in, instead of something that relates to Trump Park Avenue.

MR. SUAREZ: The same valuation method for Trump Central -- Trump TPC -- Trump CPS, Trump Central Park South, reflects the same information, the same data, the same -- the same facts on the supporting data, as it does for Trump Park Avenue.

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, what do you suggest at this point?

MR. AMER: I suggest we don't admit a document that is irrelevant to the case. And if he has a document that relates to Trump Park Avenue, then he can try and get that in.

MR. ROBERT: Respectfully, it is not irrelevant. We are showing that the same valuation for this building is the same valuation process used for a building that the government is complaining we valued improperly. So I see absolutely nothing wrong. I understand you don't like it, but that doesn't mean it is not admissible and it doesn't
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mean it is not relevant.
MR. AMER: I neither like it or don't like it. It is irrelevant to the case.

MR. ROBERT: How is that when your whole case is based on the way in which we value properties. And we already provided testimony that we were compliant with the appropriate GAAP rules and GAAP exceptions, and now Mr. McConney is explaining the actual methodology used. And clearly Mazars is aware of all of this methodology and signed off on it. Nothing inappropriate. It is totally admissible and totally relevant.

MR. AMER: I don't know how knowledge about rent-stabilized apartments at Central Park South has anything to do with Trump Park Avenue.

MR. ROBERT: Are you not claiming that the valuation for Trump Park Avenue is wrong because the rent stabilization was not part of the analysis for coming to the value? Maybe I am not understanding your claim.

MS. HABBA: More importantly is, part of your claim is you believe, which we have now disproven, is that we gave Mazars those documents. I am a little lost on that as well. This is proof further that we gave Mazars everything, including information of what was and wasn't rent stabilized. Part of your case, not mine.

MR. AMER: I am not going to argue with them,

Your Honor. I think I made my objection. If we are going to have more argument we should excuse the witness, because it is not appropriate for him to be listening.

THE COURT: Let's excuse the witness and argue.
(Whereupon the witness stepped down from the stand and exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: All right. I am going to start at a very simple level. These are two different buildings, correct, Mr. Robert?

MR. ROBERT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So what does what somebody knew or didn't know about one building have to do with the building that the Attorney General is focusing on?

MR. ROBERT: Several issues, several reasons. The first is that it now shows that Mazars knows that we have assets with there are rent-stabilized apartments in it. They knew this was a conversion. They knew that Trump Park Avenue was a conversion.

Point two. They're questioning the way in which we valued Trump Park Avenue. What Mr. Suarez is showing is that the same methodology that was used here, that Mazars signed off on, is the same methodology we used for Trump Park Avenue that they signed off on. So the underlying mechanics are the same. Therefore, this is relevant.

THE COURT: You didn't convince me.
Did he convince you, Mr. Amer?
MR. AMER: No, he didn't.
THE COURT: Do you want to say why?
MR. AMER: Because they are two different buildings.

THE COURT: They are two different buildings.
MR. ROBERT: Maybe the government can clear it up for me then.

Are you not claiming that we misvalued Trump Park Avenue because we didn't take into account the stabilized apartments?

THE COURT: Yes, they are. I can answer that.
MR. AMER: And the Court has already ruled that the value of Trump Park Avenue was fraudulently inflated because it didn't properly account for the rent-stabilized status of apartments. There has been no argument in this case about Central Park South. Period. Full stop.

MR. ROBERT: I understand. But when you are looking at whether the defendants intended to do anything wrong or intended to do something with the valuation, here is an example of the way we valued it exactly the same way. And it is another example where Mazars had no problem with the way that we did it.

THE COURT: What --
1 MR. ROBERT: I am sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt.

THE COURT: What is the evidence that they valued it the exact same way.

MR. ROBERT: Mr. Suarez will get to that. When
Mr. McConney explains on his spreadsheet how he came to a value for Trump Park Avenue and how he came to a value for Central Park South.

Mr. Suarez, am I misstating it?
MR. AMER: I still don't see how it is relevant.
THE COURT: I don't see the relevance either. You can have two buildings and evaluate one correctly and evaluate one fraudulently, which I have already found.

MR. SUAREZ: Justice Engoron, Exhibit D-1023, which we moved in, has TPA and TPE. And you have got the Park East, which provides unregulated and regulated. You have got Trump Park Avenue, unregulated and regulated. They were -- there were numerous e-mails every year for the cert petitions that went to -- that went to Mazars concerning the regulated and unregulated nature of these units.

MR. AMER: I actually don't recall them moving it in. But even if it is in evidence, it is a March 12, 2021 e-mail. So, I don't know how it has to do with knowledge that predates that. I still don't see how it is
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relevant.
THE COURT: Well, I'll let them try to make their case. So it is in and you can ask him what you want.

Let's get the witness back.
(Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.)
Q To be clear, Mr. McConney, what roles did Gumley Haft have in properties owned by Trump Organization entities?

A The only job they had was to deal -- send out leases for the rent-stabilized apartments in 100 Central Park South. Send out the leases, collect the rents, go after the tenants if they were in arrears. Notify us if a tenant was leaving so then we can -- we being my office, could then start taking over the billing for the free market apartment.

Q How was the role -- withdrawn.
Did Gumley Haft have that same role with respect to the Trump Park Avenue?

A No. That was done by our operations department. There is an attorney there, Sonja Talesnek who dealt with the hotel units and the attorneys for matters relating to those hotel units.

Q How was the rent collected on the regulated apartments at the Trump Park Avenue?

A That was done through my office at 725 Fifth Avenue.
Q And how was that information provided to Mr. Bender
for the regulated units?
MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: How was it provided?
MR. AMER: It kind of assumes that it does.
THE COURT: It is lack of foundation maybe?
MR. AMER: Well, it is both.
Q Was the information provided to Mazars concerning the rents generated from the regulated units?

A Yes. There was a rent-roll every month the person -Irene Caprino for arguments sake, would -- could print out a rent-roll listing of all of the tenants in the regulated units or unregulated units in the commercial space with the billing amounts, collections, arrears, prepaid rents.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Plaintiffs
Exhibit 736 and put it side by side with Plaintiff's
Exhibit 731?
Q Do you see in the Trump Park Avenue where it says that the valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold residential units and the selling price or the rental income stream from the commercial space?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up the supporting data for the Trump Park East?
Q Do you see there where it says the valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold units?
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A Yes.
Q And where it says for unsold units per e-mail from sales office?

A Yes.
Q Did you use the same method for valuing units at the Trump Central Park South as you did for the Trump Park Avenue?

A Yes, it was a little different where we reduced it by some construction costs, because those units really haven't been worked on in years.

Q And why would it be appropriate to reduce those units by the construction costs?

A That would properly reflect the amount we expected to receive net of -- from the sale of the unit.

Q If you pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 736, is it clear in that document, Mr. McConney, that the numbers used were offering plan prices and not market prices?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Mr. McConney, why was the information provided to Mazars provided for the offering plan prices and not the market prices?

A That's what we felt properly reflected the value of the asset.

Q And why was that?
A I am trying to figure, I don't know how to put it
into words, it is just what we thought the right value was for these units.

Q Okay. And turning briefly to Ms. Trump's apartment. Was there a correction made with respect to the price of Ms. Trump's apartment?

A Yes. I believe on the original spreadsheet I had the amount that was provided by the sales office. Donald Bender called me up and said Ivanka Trump had a lease. There was a buy-out provision in the lease which I didn't know about. And he said to put the amount there. So we did. The amount of \$14 million for Penthouse 20.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. SUAREZ: I am ready to turn to another subject. Should I start with the other subject?

THE COURT: We have six minutes. Go ahead. MR. SUAREZ: Okay. If we can turn to 40 Wall Street.
Q Can you briefly describe this property?
A Forty Wall Street is a commercial office building in the downtown area of Manhattan.
\(Q\) How did you value this property?
A In both years it was based on a net operating income divided by a cap rate.

Q And how did you arrive at the cap rate?
A We reached out to Doug Larson. It looks like he was
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still at Cushman \& Wakefield this year. Asked him for, in both years, asked him for his report he has been sending us in prior years for downtown class A properties. And we went through the various properties to see what was as comparable as we could get to 40 Wall Street; and then went from there.

Q And how did you determine the appropriate cap rate to use in June of 2014?

A Well, in that year they were based on the information that Doug provided. There was only one class A building with a cap rate of 4.97 percent. So there was a class \(B\) building which is below a class A building. It is not as -- it is just not as good as a class A building. That's -- if that's a term. Which had a rate of 4.46. I asked -- I called Doug and asked him, what the spread or the changing cap rate would be from a class A to a class B building. Doug said it is between 50 and 100 basis points. We were conservative, instead of using 100 basis points, we split the middle and used 75 basis points.

So we reduced the 4.46 percent to 3.71 percent and then took the average of the two; the two being 4.97 and 3.71.

Q And how did that change between 2014 and 2015?
A In 2015 we found a 100 Wall Street, and I get lost downtown, but I know 100 Wall Street is close to 40 Wall Street. So we had - there was a cap rate of a building at 100 Wall Street at 3.04 percent. Forty Wall Street has a ground lease, which means you don't own the land, you pay rent for
that land to the owner of the land. And basically Doug said there is a - since it is a longterm ground lease, the cap rate is a minimal effect or charge to the cap rate. So we increased - increased the cap rate on 100 Wall Street from 3.04 to 3.29 percent.

Q And were you aware in 2015 that there were appraisals done on the 40 Wall Street property?

A Yes.
Q Why didn't you use the appraisal values?
A I didn't think it reflected the value of the -properly reflected the value of the property.

MR. SUAREZ: Let's move to the notes under cap rate and focus on 2014 first.
Q Did you speak to Mr. Larson on the phone?
A I believe I did, and usually I put a date down, but that's the only way I would get this information about the difference between class \(A\) and class \(B\) buildings.

Q And in 2015, do you see the note there?
A Yes.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

Q Did you have another conversation that year with Mr. Larson?

A Yes. This time I did state it was a telephone conversation, and the date of the conversation with Doug, Doug Larson.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Plaintiffs Exhibit 3004.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: And put it next to Plaintiff's Exhibit 731.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And turn to native one on Plaintiff's 3004?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: Move to the section on 40 Wall Street -- actually, let's start at the top and show Mr. McConney the top.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A That's my spreadsheet, at some point in time.
Q Is this a draft of the spreadsheet that was ultimately,
on the right, used for the 2015 Statement of Financial Condition?
A I'm sorry, can you ask that again. I was trying to
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline J. McConney - by Defense - Direct Page 4977 & J. McConney - by Defense - Direct Page 4979 \\
\hline 1 read something. & 1 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline 2 Q Yeah. Is the spreadsheet on the left, plaintiff's & 2 screen.) \\
\hline 3 0304, native one, an earlier version of the spreadsheet that & 3 MR. SUAREZ: At row 117. \\
\hline 4 appears at 731? & 4 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline 5 MR. AMER: Just so the record is clear it's 3004, & 5 screen.) \\
\hline 63004. & 6 Q Was the Dean \& DeLuca income on the final spreadsheet? \\
\hline 7 MR. SUAREZ: We could pull up June 2015. & 7 A Can you shrink it so I could see the numbers in column \\
\hline 8 THE COURT OFFICER: The plaintiff's number? & 8 G. \\
\hline 9 MR. SUAREZ: We just had it up a second ago. The & 9 (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was \\
\hline 10 2015, can we pull that up, Statement of Financial Condition. & 10 zoomed out.) \\
\hline 11 A The one on the right, can we just scroll up to the top. & 11 A Okay. I'm sorry, what was the question? \\
\hline 12 (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was & 12 Q Is the Dean \& DeLuca lease in the final spreadsheet \\
\hline 13 scrolled through.) & 13 that appears at Plaintiff's Exhibit 731? \\
\hline 14 Q Let's look at the one on the right. Let's look at the & 14 A No. \\
\hline 15 value for 40 Wall. Plaintiffs 729 is the Statement of & 15 Q Do you know why the Dean \& DeLuca lease doesn't appear \\
\hline 16 Financial Condition. & 16 in the final spreadsheet? \\
\hline 17 MR. SUAREZ: We could turn to the page with the & 17 A I don't know. \\
\hline 18 statement of assets. & 18 THE COURT: Um -- \\
\hline 19 (The exhibit was handed to the witness.) & 19 MR. SUAREZ: I think for today I'm at a good \\
\hline 20 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 20 stopping point on my outline. \\
\hline 21 screen.) & 21 THE COURT: Okay. \\
\hline 22 Q Do you see here the value for 40 Wall ? & 22 I'll direct the witness not to discuss the case or \\
\hline 23 A Yes, \$735,400,000. & 23 his testimony, or anything related about it, until tomorrow, \\
\hline 24 MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit & 24 until you are no longer a witness, and we'll resume at 10:00 \\
\hline 25 3004, native one, side by side. & 25 tomorrow; right? \\
\hline J. McConney - by Defense - Direct Page 4978 & Page 4980 \\
\hline 1 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 1 MR. ROBERT: Thank you, your Honor. \\
\hline 2 screen.) & 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. \\
\hline 3 MR. SUAREZ: And scroll down to 40 Wall. & 3 (The case on trial was adjourned to Tuesday, \\
\hline 4 (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was & 4 November 21, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.) \\
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\hline 4 & el & en & 17 & 0:18 \\
\hline duty & 4802:19;4804: & 4799:10 & escrows ( & 72:21;4916:23 \\
\hline 4792:2 & elevator (1) & enhances (1) & 4918:22 & 4934:2;4968:22 \\
\hline & 4908:19 & 4936.21 & ESQ & EXAMINATION (9) \\
\hline E & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { eliminates } \\
4821: 14
\end{array}
\] & enj & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 781: 23,24 ; 4782: 5, \\
& 10,19
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4784:9;4856:10; } \\
& \text { 4857:14;4894:5; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline eage & Elle & enough (7) & essence (1) & 4898:23;4900:8; \\
\hline 48 & 4916:2;4959:9, & \[
4822: 3 ; 4850: 2
\] & 4963:17 & 4945:19;4956:8; \\
\hline earlier (6) & \[
4962: 2,6
\] & \[
1: 13 ; 4895: 2
\] & establish & \[
4957: 1
\] \\
\hline 4813:10;4815:2; & else (4) & :7;4927:3 & 24:9;4825: & examinations (1) \\
\hline \[
4840: 12 ; 4862: 23
\] & 4852:16;485 & 4946:18 & 4931:25 & 4799:24 \\
\hline 4871:20;4977:3 & 4919:16;4924 & entail & estate (16) & examine (2) \\
\hline early (2) & e-mail (15) & 4929:10 & 4881:11;4901:4, & 4857:10;4956:8 \\
\hline 4909:8;4957:11 & 4885:20;4924:8; & enter (2) & 902:5;4918:22,24; & examined (4) \\
\hline earnings (2) & 4944:2,5,13,22;4955:5, & 4958:14 & 31:4,4,20;4937:5; & 4783:21;485 \\
\hline 4932:16;4933:3 & 17;4959:11;4962:7,23, & entire & 4955:12,13,13; & 4855:16;4899:24 \\
\hline easier (1) & 25;4963:2;4969:24; & 4810 & 4957:19,20;4958:24 & example (7) \\
\hline 4911:7 & 4972:2 & entire & estimate (2) & 4793:5;4931:3,18; \\
\hline easiest (1) & e-mails & 88 & 4868:14, & 4963:21,25;4968:22, \\
\hline 4922:24 & 4919:2;4923:6; & entities (19) & estimated (1) & examples (3) \\
\hline East (9) & 4924:3;4926:3; & \[
4903: 12,16 ; 4906: 7
\] & 4831:4 & 4861:20;4862:4,5 \\
\hline 4782:18;4784:2; & 4959:24;4969:18 & 4908:13;4911:21; & estimates (5) & exams (3) \\
\hline 4903:8;4947:17,18,21; & employed (3) & \[
4912: 6,10 ; 4918: 5,6,8,
\] & 4932:16;4933: & \[
4800: 1,2,10
\] \\
\hline 4958:14;4969:16; & 4784:19;4787:13 & 18;4920:17;4923:5; & \[
4934: 8,12,20
\] & except (5) \\
\hline 4971:23 & 4901:2 & 4925:10,10,15,18; & estimation (1) & 995:22;4912:16; \\
\hline easy (2) & employee & 4926:15;4970:8 & 4934:19 & 4919:20;4920:10; \\
\hline 4846:21;4860:13 & 4845:12 & entity (16) & evaluate (2) & 4941:20 \\
\hline Edinboro (4) & employees (8) & 4896:7,18;4909:23 & \[
4969: 12,1
\] & exception (3) \\
\hline 4784:14;4857:20,21, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4794: 9,11 ; 4796: 6,7 \\
& \text { 4843:14,16:4925:2; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4911:19;4912:25; } \\
& \text { 4915:9;4919:8; }
\end{aligned}
\] & even (12) & 4812:1;4814:16; \\
\hline \[
21
\] & 4843:14,16;4925:2; & 4915:9;4919: & \[
4803: 5 ; 4825: 7,1
\] & 4891:5 \\
\hline E-D-I-N-B-O-R-O (1) & 4936:3 & 4920:10;4931:13,19; & 4844:1;4848: & exceptions \\
\hline \[
4857: 22
\] & encouraged & 4946:12;4947:11,14; & 4855:25;4856:1; & 4966:7 \\
\hline educate (1) & 4878:19 & 4948:9;4959:1; & 4893:21;4898:13 & excess (6) \\
\hline 4796:14 & end (9) & & 4901:13;4906:7; & 4789:20,21,23; \\
\hline educated (1) & 4804:15;4815:15; & entity's (1) & 4969:23 & 4790:1,3;4851:18 \\
\hline 4798:19 & 4827:2;4843:7; & 4920:1 & event ( & exclusions (1) \\
\hline education (1) & 4902:24;4918:4; & entries (5) & 4957:1 & 4790:9 \\
\hline 4900:21 & 4932:21;4937:23; & 4906:15;4907 & events (1) & excuse (7) \\
\hline educational (7) & 4958:16 & 4908:3,4,4 & 4807:6 & 4853:22;4854:2; \\
\hline 4794:10;4796:5; & ENDEAVOR (1) & entry (3) & eventually (5) & 4907:9;4916:12; \\
\hline 4797:10,13;4798:5; & 4781:9 & 4839:8;4908:22,23 & 24:10;4868:2 & 4961:5;4967:2,4 \\
\hline 4900:12;4901:13 & ended (3) & environmental (1) & 4906:20;4907:15,16 & excused (3) \\
\hline Eerie (24) & 4904:14;4910:10; & 4901:18 & everyday (1) & 4854:5,8;4896:24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline cutive (1) & 4829:22;4831:6; & facilities (1) & 4817:2;4831:24,25 & \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 4992:19 } \\
\text { axhihit (7) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 5:19;4846:19; &  & February (3) 4890:6.4910. & 4854:3 \\
\hline \[
4820: 3,5 ; 48
\] & 50:22;4863:4; &  & \[
25: 7
\] & fg \\
\hline 3:18,20;4828:10 & 11,20;4865:3,9; & 10,25;4802:13; & federal (1) & 76:2;491 \\
\hline 4830:14;4832:16; & 4869:13,18;4872:13 & 3:9;4825:5;4855:1; & 4900:19 & 72:25;4978:15 \\
\hline 4834:18;4838:14; & 4878:18;4896:7,16; & 61:1,9,17;4863:4; & fee (1) & figured (2) \\
\hline 4840:22;4846:8; & 4947:9 & 866:5;4869:14,19; & (92 & 4804:12; \\
\hline 4849:21,23;4851: & expert (37) & 4956:16;4964:13 & & figures (2) \\
\hline 4860:6;4863:15; & 4795:22,2 & factor (8) & 49 & 4883:774894:18 \\
\hline 4865:21;4868:2 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 16,21,23,25;4801: } \\
& \text { 16;4802:17;4803:1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 4871:18, & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { feeds ( } \\
4946
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { file (3) } \\
& 4822: 6,7 ; 4957: 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4880:13;4881:2,4 & ,22;4805:5;4806:3,7, & 878:15 & feel (2) & filed (10) \\
\hline 4885:18;4889:25; & 13,14,18,20;4807:2; & factors (4) & 4816:21;4848: & 4790:10;4822:5,8; \\
\hline 4893:5;4899:1; & 08:24;4813:14; & 4848:10;4871:2,1 & fees (3) & 4823:3;4830:8 \\
\hline 4928:20;4930:17; & 18:5;4819:23; & 880:22 & 4833:2 & 851:25;4952 \\
\hline 4936:5,9;4937:12; & 55:9,24;4863 & factors' (1) & feet (4) & 953:17,4955:1 \\
\hline 4938:1,2,7;4949:21 & 64:1;4869:22 & 881 & 4950:6,6; & 495 \\
\hline 24;4950:17,25;4951:2, & 4882:10;4 & & (1) & files \\
\hline 7;4952:1;4955:2; & 888:23;4889:4 & 884:16;4891: & 4916:2 & 4792:9 \\
\hline 4958:1,4,7;4962:1 & 4892:19
expertise (5) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4965:12 } \\
& \text { FAHERTY (5) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Fellow (1)
4800:24 & filing (6) 4846:23:4925:22. \\
\hline 4963:13;4969:14;
4971:15,16;4972:1 & expertise (5)
\[
4802: 14 ; 4
\] & FAHERTY (5) & 4800:24
felt (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4846: 23 ; 4925: 22 ; \\
& 495: 2023 \cdot 24: 495
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4976:7,8,11,12,15 & \[
318: 15,18 ; 484
\] & \[
4898: 22 ; 4899: 7,
\] & 4816:22;4972:22 & filings (1) \\
\hline 4977:12,19,20,24 & experts (1) & Faherty's (1) & few (10) & 4846:15 \\
\hline 4978:1,4,8,23,25 & 04 & 899: & 4806:20 & fill (3) \\
\hline 4979:1,4,9,13 & explain (8) & failure (2) & 4897:11;4903:1; & 4905:18;4915:11,11 \\
\hline existed (1) & 4793:1,2; & 4833:2, & 4906:7;4911:24 & filled (8) \\
\hline 4829:13
existence (1) & 803:1;4825:25; & fair (10)
4815:5;4822:3 & 4916:1;4926:9 & 4824:4;4827:9,1 \\
\hline existence (1) & 842:20;4905:23 & 4815:5;48 & 4946:15;4957 & 4841:13;4874:1, \\
\hline 4953:14 & 4929:9 & \[
50: 2
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Fidelity (1) } \\
\text { 4925:4 }
\end{gathered}
\] & filling (1) \\
\hline 4813:6;4836:8; & 66 & 18;4895:24;4953:1 & field (4) & Films (1) \\
\hline 4861:20 & explains (1) & faith (1) & 4801:24 & 4901:17 \\
\hline exited (2) & 969:6 & 797:2 & 4854:16;4959 & final (8) \\
\hline 4854:10;4967:6 & expose (1) & falling (1) & FIELDS (76) & 4787:12;4792:12,14 \\
\hline expanded (2) & 847:1 & 793:1 & 4783:13,16 & 4820:4;4934:18 \\
\hline 4837:13;4852:9 & exposure (14) & falls (1) & 8,10;4801:4;4806:19, & 4979:6,12, \\
\hline \(\underset{4837: 7}{\operatorname{expanding}} \mathbf{( 1 )}\) & 792:13;4793:13 & 4843:21 & 21;4807:3;4809:2; & finalize (1)
4925.24 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 4837:7 } \\
\text { expansion (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 30:1;4811:2; } \\
& \text { 17:15:4822:12 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { familiar (7) } \\
4800: 6 ; 48
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4812: 8 ; 4813: 14 ; \\
& 4815: 14 ; 4818: 8 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
4925:24 \\
finalized (3)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline expansion (2) & 42:14;4844:3,6,9,10, & \[
4913: 16 ; 4940: 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4815: 14 ; 4818 \\
& 4820: 18 ; 4822
\end{aligned}
\] & finalized (3) \\
\hline expect (0) & 5,20;4845:21 & 4955:25;4960:22 & 10,17;4824: & finally (1) \\
\hline 4810:10;4824:5 & exposures (1) & 61:15 & 26:6;4827:1,14,18; & 4907:13 \\
\hline 4827:6,10,12;4841:14 & 4845:13 & family (3) & 4828:12;4830:1,10,16; & Financial (84) \\
\hline expected (2) 4884:17;4972:12 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { extend (1) } \\
4904: 24
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4843:17;4903:5; } \\
& 4913: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4832:11,13,18; } \\
& \text { 4833:21;4834:8,13,20; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4800:22,24;4824:1; } \\
& 4827: 4 ; 4828: 20,21,2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline expects (2) & extended (1) & famous (1) & 4835:7,15;4836:19; & 4829:3;4830:20,23; \\
\hline 4884:22;4885:13 & 4843:14 & 4814:4 & 4837:3,20;4838:11,16, & 4832:4;4835:12,2 \\
\hline expense (3)
\(4906: 13: 4908: 18\) & extension (3) & far (7) & 18;4840:5,19,24; & \begin{tabular}{l}
4836:4;4837:14; \\
4838.3.8.4839.17;
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4906:13;4908:18; } \\
& \text { 4958:25 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4906:18;4908:15; } \\
& \text { 4935:3 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4798: 3 ; 4802: 21 \\
& 4854: 16 ; 4863: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4844:7,21;4846:3; } \\
& 4850: 19,22 ; 4851: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4838:3,8;4839:17; } \\
& \text { 4841:12,17,22,25; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline expenses (7) & extensions (2) & 4881:8,25;4939:1 & 4853:4,11,16,23; & 4843:5;4846:14; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4906:15;4908:18,25; } \\
& \text { 4940:11;4941:18,19,20 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
4921: 6 ; 4925: 18
\] extensive (1) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { fare (2) } \\
4889: 8,1
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4854: 1 ; 4855: 8,10 \\
& 4856: 17 ; 4861: 19 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4860:20;4863:18,19, } \\
& 23 ; 4873: 25 ; 4874: 5,22 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline expensive (1) & \[
4848: 14
\] & fast (1) & 4862:3;4869:20,2 & 4875:8,16,17,19; \\
\hline 4845:3 & extent & 4826:4 & 4875:14;4890:23 & 4876:15;4878: \\
\hline experience (38) & extinguishers (1) & father (2) & 4891:1;4892:8,11,16, & 4879:9,24;4881 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4788: 13 ; 4793: 4,19 \\
& 4797: 15 ; 4814: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { extinguishers (1) } \\
& 4794: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 4902:4,8 } \\
\text { father's (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 18;4894:3,6;4895:6,20, } \\
& 24 ; 4896: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4883:1;4894:18; } \\
& 4903: 18,20 ; 4908
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4815:4;4816:15,18,20; & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ther's (1) } \\
& 4902: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & Fifth (3) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4903: 18,20 ; 4908 \\
& 4909: 3 ; 4916: 21 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4818:9,9,17,23; & F & favor (6) 4811:21;4814:14,18; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4938:21;4939:4; } \\
& 4970: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4917:3,15;4918:2,9; } \\
& \text { 4919:23,25;4920:1,6, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4822:21,22;4827:11; & & 4811:21;4814:14,18; & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
23,24 ; 4921: 3,4,25
\] & 4975:13 & 4786:20;4799:4; & 4813:9,21;4824:16,17; & garage (3) \\
\hline 4922:3,20;4924:12,21; & fit (1) & 4836:6 & 4825:2,4,20,20; & 4788:18;4791:4,5 \\
\hline 4926:6;4928:7,18,20; & 4843:23 & forget (1) & 4854:12;4896:8 & gather (7) \\
\hline 4930:10;4931:2; & fits (1) & 4905:8 & fraudulent (1) & 4812:22;4841:17; \\
\hline 4932:9;4935:6,9; & 4806:25 & forgot (2) & 4896:14 & 4918:7;4919:2,5; \\
\hline 4936:12,22;4937:6,7; & five (4) & 4846:4;4918:11 & fraudulently (3) & 4923:14;4924:16 \\
\hline 4939:16;4941:17,25; & 4790:23;4800:1,10; & form (7) & 4879:8;4968:15 & gathered (2) \\
\hline 4976:23;4977:10,16; & 4843:1 & 4815:1,8;4869:14, & 4969:13 & 4807:13;4939:14 \\
\hline 4978:16 & Five-minute (4) & 19;4915:11,11; & Fred (6) & gathering (2) \\
\hline financials (8) & 4835:6;4893:3; & 4925:22 & 4902:4,8,15,18 & 4923:11;4925:8 \\
\hline 4848:1,4,11; & 4943:25;4973:12 & format (2) & 4904:3,5 & gave (11) \\
\hline 4860:21;4863:5; & fix (1) & 4951:4,6 & Fred's (1) & 4872:4,6;4892:4; \\
\hline 4864:2,7;4875:4 & 4948:3 & former (1) & 4904:7 & 4909:19;4914: \\
\hline financings (1) & flip (1) & 4833:22 & free (7) & 4916:8,9,10;4933:11; \\
\hline 4935:1 & 4839:6 & forming (8) & 4858:21;4946:16 & 4966:21,22 \\
\hline find (4) & floor (2) & 4820:22;4865:12; & 4947:6;4956:2,9 & GE (2) \\
\hline 4788:11;4808:23; & 4902:16;4941:13 & 4873:20;4876:24; & \[
4962: 19 ; 4970: 14
\] & 4946:2,11 \\
\hline 4809:5;4951:3 & floors (4) & 4877:5,20;4880:4; & frequently (1) & gears (2) \\
\hline finder (3) & 4929:24;4938:14; & 4886:2 & 4848:24 & 4799:12;4844:21 \\
\hline 4801:10,25;4802:13 & 4940:23;4941:2 & forms (4) & Friday (2) & GENERAL (25) \\
\hline fine (2) 4856:9,10 & Florida (4)
4784:3:4960 & 4790:7;4851:22,23; & 4853:18;4898:18 & 4781:3,20;4800:13; \\
\hline 4856:9,10 & 4784:3;4960:16 & 49 & front & 4807:21;4821:14; \\
\hline finish (4) & 19 & forth (2) & 4841:18;4849:25; & 4849:10;4852:18; \\
\hline 4919:20;4922:19; & flow & 4804:9;4917:23 & 4938:21 & 4864:14;4865:2; \\
\hline 4925:17,20 & 4961:2 & Fortune (1) & fronting (1) & 4870:5;4871:19; \\
\hline finished (3) & focus (2) & 4818:23 & 4833:2 & 4885:8;4901:23; \\
\hline 4903:7,9,9 & 4860:15;4975:13 & fortunes (1) & fruition (1) & 4904:20,24;4905:1; \\
\hline finishing (1) & focusing (1) & 4888:20 & 4849:5 & 4906:9,9;4907:21; \\
\hline 4935:17 & 4967:13 & Forty (3) & fulfill (1) & 4909:13;4910:4; \\
\hline fire (1) & Foley (1) & 4801:3;4973:19 & 4816:16 & 4926:20;4953:3; \\
\hline 4794:3 & 4840:1 & 4974:24 & full (2) & 4962:17;4967:13 \\
\hline firm (12) & follow (4) & forward (5) & 4906:13;4968:18 & generally (22) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4882:7;4901:3,5,6,9; } \\
& \text { 4902:7,11;4903:13; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4790: 6,7 ; 4888: 20 \\
& 4930: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4808: 19 ; 4813: 12 \\
& 4920: 7 ; 4921: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { functions (1) } \\
4955: 14
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4792:22,23;4793:18; } \\
& \text { 4803:23:4807:9.14; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4906:3;4910:11; & following (8) & \[
4922: 10
\] & fund (1) & 4808:8;4821:11; \\
\hline 4920:13;4947:23 & 4805:6;4847:2; & forwarded (1) & 4810:7 & 4836:23;4842:21; \\
\hline firms (4) & 4891:9;4934:6,11; & \[
4955: 7
\] & funds (2) & 4844:24;4845:7; \\
\hline 4786:2;4880:21; & 4935:20;4936:19; & forwarding (1) & 4810:5;4842:8 & 4846:12,17,21;4851:4, \\
\hline 4881:13,21 & 4975:20 & 4963:2 & funny (3) & 5;4864:6;4884:14; \\
\hline first (60) & follows (2) & found (6) & 4808:21,23;4813:24 & 4916:5;4926:5; \\
\hline 4783:21;4785:9; & 4783:22;4899:25 & 4804:20;4907:13 & further (6) & 4929:10 \\
\hline 4791:15,15;4798:8; & foot (4) & 4939:9;4947:22; & 4835:11,23;4841:22; & Generals (4) \\
\hline 4806:17;4813:14; & 4930:1;4937:3; & 4969:13;4974:2 & 4856:17;4876:13; & 4903:3;4904:11,12; \\
\hline 4835:8,8;4837:17; & 4938:25;4943:7 & foundation & 4966:22 & 4905:2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4841:22;4842:11; } \\
& \text { 4850:11,11;4851: }
\end{aligned}
\] & footage (2)
4941:2;4 & 4803:23;4811:23 & future (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { generate (1) } \\
& 4802.55
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 4859:16,25;4861:1,7, & football (1) & 4931:8,21;4953:22; & FYE (2) & generated (2) \\
\hline 12;4862:24;4864:22, & 4905:1 & 4956:12,18,22;4971:5 & 4835:17;4843:2 & 4957:21;4971:8 \\
\hline 24;4866:15,18,21,25; & footnote (3) & founded (1) & & gentleman (3) \\
\hline 4867:3,9;4874:5; & \[
4943: 20,23,24
\] & 4794:24 & G & 4905:6,8;4919:17 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4877:15;4886:5; } \\
& \text { 4887:10;4890:11,14; }
\end{aligned}
\] & footnotes (14)
\(4903: 25 ; 4904\) & four (3)
\[
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's ask the witness to come back to the witness stand and continue with the direct examination of Mr. McConney.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I'll remind the witness, like I always do, that he is still under oath.

And Mr. Suarez --
MR. SUAREZ: Good morning, your Honor.
Q Good morning, Mr. McConney.
A Good morning. How are you.
Q I'm doing great. Thank you.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up page 4970 of yesterday's transcript.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. McConney, do you remember yesterday when I asked you about how information about rent regulated apartments was provided to Mazars or Mr. Bender?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn over to the next page.
Q I would just like to show you yesterday's transcript.
You described rent roll that would be sent to Mazars?
A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Please pull up Defendant's
Exhibit 1042 that we pre-marked for identification.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. McConney, do you see on the first page your name?
A Yes, sir.
Q And the date, October 3, 2012.
A Yes, sir.
Q Is this the type of rent roll that you were describing yesterday?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we please turn to the second page of the exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And focus in on Apartment 6B?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Here in the notes it says that it's a -- do you see

\(1 \quad Q \quad\) Unit 10 E on page five.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What does that say in the notes?
A "Rent stabilized, no one charged SD when they signed the two-year lease, \(\$ 72.53 . "\)

Q In page six, Unit 12E, do you recognize the note there?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A Yes. Should I read it?
Q Sure.
A "7/7/15, per Sonja." Sonja is Toleznik. She works in the operations department. She is one of the lawyers that would deal with these leases. "7/7/15, per Sonja, life estate, 12E is free market, but occupied by Luisa Flynn who has a lifetime tenancy", in parenthesis, "and is to be treated as a stabilized tenant with rent adjustments based on "monthly common charges and real estate taxes." Apartment is not being registered with DHCR.

Q And if we could turn to 1045, page nine, Penthouse 20. Who is the tenant in Penthouse 20?

\section*{A Ivanka Trump.}

Q What does the note say there?
A "Exclusive right during term of lease to purchase units for \(\$ 14,264,000\), notwithstanding the filing of any amendment to
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offering plan that may increase price of unit."
Q Was this information disclosed to Mazars?
A It was sent to Mazars. It's right on the rent roll --
I'm sorry, the answer is yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's
Exhibit 1045 into evidence.
MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 1045 was admitted in evidence.)

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up pre-marked Defendant's Exhibit 1043.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. McConney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes. This is a similar rent roll for Trump Park Avenue, LLC, for the month of December, 2014.
Q Do you know what PBC on the top left-hand corner means?
A The accounting firm would put that on documents. It means prepared by client.
Q The accounting firm was Mazars?
A I'm sorry, yes, Mazars.
Q Mr. McConney, why would this document have been
provided to Mazars?
A It's a rent roll. They would check to make sure -- I'm
sorry, let me go back. Trump Park Avenue is an accrual basis taxpayer. You would need to have to know if there are any arrears for tenants and any prepaid rents. What the rents were for the year took --

THE COURT REPORTER: im sory, what the rents were for the --
A Year reflected properly on the tax return. So this would give Mazars the information as of the end of the year, what tenants were in there, what the rents -- their rents were, and any notes that were associated with that unit.
\(Q\) Can you read the note for unit 6B?
A "Rent stabilized, preferential rent, lease expires 8/31/15.
Q And does that same note appear for Unit 7C with a modification to the end date of the lease?
A I don't see 7C. 7D?
Q Seven D, I'm sorry.
A Yes. 7D, "rent stabilized, preferential rent, lease expires \(10 / 31 / 15\).
Q If we could turn to Unit 8 H , which appears at page seven of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What is the first note here at page seven of this document?
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A For 8 H , "hotel stabilized, no increase permitted."
Q How about the note at Unit 15AB at page eight?
A 15 AB , first paragraph says, "rent stabilized,
preferential rent, will not accept rent unless Meghan Kane
approves, per Meghan, okay. TYO, accept if current." Below
that, 10/28/14, "October payment bounced. Meghan forwarded to
BBWG."
Meghan Kane works in the operations department. She was like, not a building manager, but her responsibility was just to oversee certain buildings, and I believe this is one of the buildings she oversaw.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit 1043 into evidence.

MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 1043 was admitted in evidence.)
Q Mr. McConney, did you ever attempt to withhold from Mazars that there were rent stabilized units at the Trump Park Avenue?
A Absolutely not.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could go back to Plaintiffs Exhibit 731.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: At row 71.
Q Do you see there Niketown?
A Yes.
Q Can you briefly describe this property?
A Um, Niketown is -- was Nike's premiere retail or
flagship store. It was opened a number of years ago. It's on
57th Street right off of Fifth Avenue. I think it was 50,000 square feet.

There was a building on that site prior to Nike signing this lease, and I think they actually had a bond offering, um, to pay for the improvements, or pay for something. They raised that building and put in this huge retail place where they sold Nike equipment.
Q How did you value this property in the year 2014?
A In 2014, well, there are two ground leases under the property. We were receiving rental payments from Nike, so that's the first line, the rental income. There was an annual charge for an operating charge of half million dollars, so that's the total income we received from Nike, a little over 11 million bucks.

The two ground lease payments are the payments we have because we didn't own the land. We made ground lease payments to, um, I don't know if it's the -- the person's name was Minskoff an another one called Kandell. The total of those payments were \(\$ 1.9\) million. We had an NOI of a little under

\section*{information that appears here in your spreadsheet?}

A The rental income we billed out. "We" being The Trump Organization rent department would send out a monthly rent bill to whomever the person at Nike was. Um, we paid the ground lease payments from the same bank account. We maintained a bank account in the office where I worked.

Q And how would you collect that information to put it on this page?

A I would run reports or look at information within multi-data systems. Multi-data was our general ledger package. So I would see whatever income came in. I probably ran a report of some sort, and I probably used the leases, looked at the leases to see what the multi payments were. Probably also looked at -- Im sorry. I also looked at the general ledger to see if all the payments were made.
Q How did you come up with the cap rate?
A Doug Larson, we mentioned yesterday, would send us these reports when we needed them, or asked for them. Which we used for -- we used those cap rates in the Statement of Financial Condition. I'm sure if you scroll down a little bit there's probably a footnote. We received some information from Doug or Cushman.

MR. SUAREZ: Sure. Let's scroll down a little bit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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\(\$ 9.2\) million. We used a cap rate of 2.6 percent to come up with the value of \(\$ 348.8\) million.

Q How did you learn the specifics of the ground lease mechanism?
A There were two leases from prior to Nike having the space. I said there was another building there. We had the ground leases were in place. So we knew that we had to make grand lease payments on a monthly basis, or maybe there was an annual charge, also, to the two ground lease owners.

When Nike did the bond offering, I believe all the rental income and these ground lease payments were paid within the Nike bond deal. Once the bond was paid off, then we were responsible for billing Nike, collecting that money and paying the ground lease payments. And there were two ground leases that were negotiated a long time ago that had set monthly payments on.

Q How did you learn that specific information?
A I know when the bond deal was around. I remember David Malitzky and Allen Weisselberg were negotiating the Nike deal with whoever they were negotiating with. And I know they were negotiating, either extending or fixing the terms of the ground lease. So we -- there were conversations that I was part of. I don't remember what the conversations were, but, um, that's how I learned about the ground leases.

Q How would you have compiled the specific property

J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)

Page 4998

Q Who did you discuss the selection of cap rates with? A Definitely discussed it with Donald Bender. I remember one time when he -- I just remember one time when he actually was questioning it and we had to provide more documentation for him. Um, not too sure what year it was, but I think we were using a four percent cap rate. And I don't remember what his question was, but we had to reach out and provide more information to him. I believe we left the cap rate at four percent.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we please play Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3297 marked for identification.
(Audio played in open court.)
Q Mr. McConney, is that your voice on the recording? A Yes.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, to the extent it's not already in evidence, I move Defendant's Exhibit 3297 into evidence.

> MR. AMER: It's in.

Q Mr. McConney, does that voicemail refresh your recollection as to specific conversations with Mr. Bender concerning the selection of cap rates?
A The conversation with Ray Flores, our finance person, was the result of the conversation with Donald Bender.
Q Why would Mr. Bender be giving you a hard time about the cap rates that you used to value your property?

A It was like a lot of the other valuations, if not all the valuations. We went through it and he had questions.
Q When Mr. Bender had questions, how did you respond?
A Either a lot of times we had telephone conversations,
sometimes they were e-mails. If he needed more than my
telephone conversation, somebody would provide backup to him.
E-mail, I guess we would e-mail the backup nowadays. In the old days we got it to him somehow. Fed-Ex or some other means. Whatever he asked for, we gave him.

Q Was the information always within your knowledge? A No.
Q When it wasn't within your knowledge, how would you get the information to Mr. Bender?
A I would either speak to the person, the person who had
knowledge, or have that person directly call Donald Bender, or e-mail Donald Bender.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to row 699. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Can you briefly describe the Seven Springs property that appears at row 699 of the spreadsheet?
A Seven Springs is the old Rockefeller estate. It's, it's -- I don't remember how many acres, but it's a large piece of property. I think it's a couple of hundred acres, and it's in three towns, New Castle, North Castle, and the third one I
cConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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forget. It was a property purchased by Mr. Trump years ago, and over the course of time there were various visions for the property; golf course, high-end golf course, luxury homes, so depending on what year it was, the vision changed.
Q Can I direct your attention to the note for June 30, 2015?
A Okay.
Q Can you read that into the record, please.
A June 30, 2015, "Conservation easement property moved to other assets."

Q Why did the -- let me rephrase that, did the method of valuing the Seven Springs property change in \(2015 ?\)

A Yes.
Q Why?
A It was no longer for development. I was told that they were going to donate, and from what I've learned from my prior testimony, donate, I guess, investment rights. I'm not sure that's correct, but that's what I've been told, they were donating the development rights and they were going to get a charitable contribution for the donation of, I think, part of the land.
Q What do you know about conservation easements?
A Very little.
Q How would you have come up with a value for the conservation easement that you placed on your spreadsheet?

1 A It was an appraisal done specifically for the conservation easement that had a before donation and after donation valuation.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we move to row 895.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see that number?
A Yes. Can you scroll up so I could see. I think that's June of 15 column. I want to see the year it's for. Scroll up a little more.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
A Okay. Yes.
Q And is that the value that you used in 2015 for Seven Springs?

A Yes.
Q Moving onto the triplex apartment?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see there where you have a value for the triplex apartment?

A Yes.
Q And do you see -- can you explain to me the basis for valuing the triplex apartment?

A For the June of 2015 statement?
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Q Yes.
A We reached out to our sales office, Trump International
Realty, and asked them to provide us with an amount per square
foot so we could -- which we used to value the, um, triplex. I believe the e-mail said -- the triplex is 30,000 square feet. It was something about \(\$ 10,900\) per square foot. Multiply the two and came up with the \(\$ 327\) million.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q Why was the value of \(\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}\) square feet input into the spreadsheet?

A It was a mechanism so I knew from year to year what the value was based on. Sometimes I would just look at the spreadsheet and this way I didn't have to go to all of the voluminous backup we had. It reminded me how we valued the property at that point in time.

Q Where would you have come up with the number 30,000 square feet?

A The person running TIR at the time, Kevin Sneddon, sent me an e-mail that the triplex was \(\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}\) square feet.

Q Was the \(\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}\) square feet figure used to inflate the price of the triplex?

A It was used based on the information I received from somebody who knows. He is a broker. I would rely on him because I figured he knew the property a lot better than I did.

Q Have you, Mr. McConney, ever personally walked through the entirety of the triplex?

A The entirety, no.
Q Have you ever measured how many square feet the triplex is?

A No.
MR. SUAREZ: If we can move on to Vornado. And we can pull up the Statement of Financial Condition and put it side by side with the supporting data.

Q Mr. McConney, what are the Vornado Partnership interests?

A President Trump owns 30 percent of two buildings, the other 70 percent is owned by the Vornado -- I think it is the Vornado Realty Trust. There is one building in California, the 555 California Street; one building in Manhattan, that is 1290 Sixth Avenue.

Q How were the Vornado Partnership interests acquired by the Trump Organization?

A It goes back a long way. Mr. Trump originally was developing the west side to an entity called Penn Yard Associates, back in the late '80s early '90s, with Abe Hirschfeld. Mr. Trump ran into some financial difficulties in the '90s. I think the bank took -- the banks took back the properties and they sold them to a Hong Kong group. I don't remember the name of the entity.

They changed their name, I believe, to Hudson Waterfront Associates and gave Mr. Trump a 30 percent limited interest in this property for his name, for his brand, for his business acumen in developing the properties, and to help promote the properties.

The Hong Kong group built a couple of buildings, I don't know what kind of zoning or whatever they had for the properties. One building, which was Hudson -- they also developed there was one big property, I think 70 or 80 acres on
the west side, split it up into five separate partnerships.
Hudson Waterfront Associates II, they developed a condominium on that site and sold the condominium units.

I don't really remember the transaction of what happened, but they sold to, I think it was Sam Zell and somebody else, Hudson Waterfront I and III, IV and V.

I believe the Hong Kong group purchased these two buildings, and then at some point sold the buildings to Vornado -- or Vornado purchased the buildings, I don't know how you want to look at it. And Mr. Trump was just -- Mr. Trump still owned 30 percent of the partnership, so he just went from the ownership of vacant land or semi-developed land to these two office buildings.

MR. SUAREZ: Please pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 2587.

Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. McConney?
A Yes.
Q And can you please take a look at row 11? What does row 11 represent?
A This spreadsheet is -- was used to compile the information for the cash and marketable securities line on the President's Statement of Financial Condition.

This is a lot of columns. We try to lay it out the best we could to identify the banks where the money was. Line 11 is the cash that is reflected on the balance sheet as of
the cash balance.
Q And in row 18? Can you explain row 18 to me?
A 18, HWA Waterfront Associates LP V, or five, is also one of the three partners in 1290 Avenue of the Americas. We took 30 percent of the cash on the balance sheet as of June 30 , 2016, and that's the \(\$ 1\) million reflected in the amount column.

Q And again, why did you place the 30 percent of cash on the balance sheet there on that row?

A So anybody looking at it would know we only took 30 percent of the cash.

Q And what is the cash at row 19?
A 19, HWA Waterfront Associates LP IV, or four, is the third partner in 1290 Avenue of the Americas. We took 30 percent of the cash on that balance sheet and reflected the \(\$ 21,000\) in the amount column.

Q Why does it say 30 percent of the cash there on the balance sheet?

A So we could disclose to everybody that we didn't take 100 percent of the cash.

Q Was this disclosed to Mazars?
A Yes, it was.
Q What involvement did Mazars have with respect to the Trump Organization's interest in HWA Waterfront Associates, if any?

A Every year Donald Bender would e-mail me or call me,
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June 30, 2016 for 1290 Avenue of the Americas. We got a footnote there that we only own 30 percent of the property. So we multiplied that cash balance by 30 percent, and reflected the \(\$ 7\) million number on the -- in the amount column.

Q If you can look at row 16? Can you please identify for me the cash that is reflected on row \(16 ?\)

A Hudson Waterfront, HWA Waterfront Associates LP I owned 555 California Street. And again, we took the balance sheet from that entity, took 30 percent of the cash and reflected it on this cash schedule.

Q Why did you place there in parentheses ( \(\mathbf{3 0}\) percent of cash on balance sheet)?

A Full disclosure, just so somebody wouldn't think it was 100 percent of the cash.

Q And moving to row 17, what does that cash represent?
A HWA Waterfront Associates LP III is one of three partners in 1290 Avenue of the Americas. It was kind of a tiered entity. I think it is called a tenancy-in-common. I am not sure what that means on the legal side, but there was another balance sheet for that entity. That entity had cash. We multiplied that cash on the balance sheet times 30 percent and reflected the \(\$ 4\) million in the amount column.

Q And why did you list there in the row, 30 percent of cash on balance sheet?

A Again, so nobody would think we took 100 percent of
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remind me to get -- the information was provided to the Trump Organization and Mazars via K-1. When you have a partnership your income, your ownership percentage, any other various items that need to be reflected on the owner's tax returns, is reflected on a K-1. Bender would remind me to call the tax department for Vornado and ask for the K-1 or get an estimated K-1, so we could do the extensions in April; the final K-1 so we could finish the President's tax return in October. So I would send to Bender -- e-mail to Bender these K-1s every year or a couple of times a year.

Q Do you see at the top there in blue letters -MR. SUAREZ: We can scroll over.
Q It says in blue: Per discussion with J. McConney on 2/27/17 cash balances are from bank reconciliations and not from individual balance sheets.

A Yes.
Q What does that mean?
A At a certain point in time we started valuing our golf courses based on their assets. So we would use -- we would get a monthly financial statement or June financial monthly statement, showing other assets and liabilities. I think at some point we were using the cash amounts on those balance sheets. This is reflected that we had actually had bank reconciliations, copies of bank statements.

A bank reconciliation is when you reconcile your book
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\hline & A Yes & & (Whereupon, the recor & ack by the \\
\hline 8 & ur Honor, we move Plaintif & 8 & court reporter. & \\
\hline 9 & hibit 2552 into evidenc & 9 & THE COURT: We & rike it. \\
\hline 10 & MER: No obj & 10 & his understanding of what & appened. \\
\hline 11 & IE COURT: Granted, it is in evidence. & 11 & So, overruled. & \\
\hline 12 & (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed & 12 & MR. SUAREZ: If we & the cash -- \\
\hline 13 & marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2552 by & 13 & I am sorry, to the supporting & s Exhibit \\
\hline 14 & Cour & 14 & 731. And go back to the & erships. \\
\hline 15 & R. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Plaintiffs & 15 & \(Q\) How did you value in 2 & st in 555 \\
\hline 16 & Exhibit 2622? If you could scroll down? & 16 & California Street? & \\
\hline & Q Do you recognize this exhibit? & 17 & A We used the financial state & to us from \\
\hline 18 & A Yes. & & Vornado. We used the NOI, net oper & ff the P\&L \\
\hline 19 & Q Does this exhibit contain the Waterfront Associates & & statement of income. I don't know & term was \\
\hline & or Vornado Partnership interests? & & that year. We took the -- we divided & he cap rate. \\
\hline 21 & A This tab represents the cash -- these are the & & Came up with a value based on that cap & Reduced \\
\hline & entities and cash balances we have that were below \(\$ 50,000\). If & & it by the existing mortgage on the pro & e up with a \\
\hline & you go to the first tab, the 06.30.12, I think they should be & & net property value. & \\
\hline & in this schedu & 24 & Q And what did you do with & rty value? \\
\hline 25 & Can we go back to the prior report? Prior? & 25 & A Ultimately we multiplied & value by \\
\hline & ney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) Page 5014 & & nney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) & Page 5016 \\
\hline & Can we scroll down a little bit, please? & 1 & 30 percent to determine the val & Trump. \\
\hline 2 & HE COURT: I'll ask the witness to remember & 2 & Q And why did you multiply & y, the net \\
\hline 3 & speak right into the m & 3 & property value by 30 percent? & \\
\hline 4 & THE WITNESS: Sorry & 4 & A The same reason we multipli & 0 percent, \\
\hline 5 & THE COURT: I know it is tough when you are & 5 & that was President Trump's partn & est in the \\
\hline 6 & looking at the screen and the other screen. & 6 & partnership. & \\
\hline 7 & THE WITNESS: I don't see them. & 7 & Q Was that disclosed to & \\
\hline 8 & MR. SUAREZ: Okay. Can we check Marketable & 8 & A Yes, they had the K-1s. Th & ht off the \\
\hline 9 & Securities? & 9 & \(\mathrm{K}-1 \mathrm{~s}\) that there was a 30 perce & interest. \\
\hline 10 & Q Not there either? & 10 & Q And how did you select & in 2014? \\
\hline 11 & A No, sir. & 11 & MR. AMER: Can we j & as to which \\
\hline & Q Do you recall why in 2013 those assets were included? & 12 & cap rate? & \\
\hline 13 & A Probably realized we were undervaluing our cash & 13 & MR. SUAREZ: Sure. & \\
\hline 14 & assets, because we never included those numbers, and they are & 14 & Q How did you select the cap & California \\
\hline 15 & substantial numbers. & 15 & Street in 2014? & \\
\hline 16 & Q Was the decision to include those assets discussed & 16 & A Doug Larson, who works for & ed to work \\
\hline 17 & with Mazars? & & r Cushman \& Wakefield, put us in & t Farwell, \\
\hline 18 & A I don't know if we discussed them when we added them. & & o worked in California, or San F & ovided us \\
\hline 19 & But knowing Bender, he asked us questions about them, why we & & with some information. It wasn't & hat Doug \\
\hline 20 & added them, where the information came from, and we provided & & provided us, but he provided us some & ation. And \\
\hline 21 & him any information he needed. & & based on that information we came \(u\) & with the cap \\
\hline 22 & Q Was Mr. Bender -- & & rate. & \\
\hline 23 & MR. AMER: Excuse me. I am sorry. Excuse me. & 23 & Q And how about for 129 & ue? \\
\hline 24 & I am going to move to strike the last answer & 24 & A Can you scroll, I don't kn & n, but -- \\
\hline 25 & because he said, "I don't know if we discussed them." And & 25 & There we go. Thanks. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{McConney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez)}

It was based on Doug's -- like we spoke about before, we contacted Doug Larson. He provided us with his reports for midtown for class A buildings. And based on that information we selected the cap rates used.

Q In 2015, how did you select a cap rate for 555 -- for the 555 California Street building?

A This time we were in contact with John Walsh from Cushman \& Wakefield in San Francisco, who provided us with information about class A office buildings in San Francisco. And based on those comps, we selected the two -- the two cap rates and came up with an average cap rate.

Q When you say, "we selected" who selected the cap rate?

A I selected the cap rate. Sorry.
Q Was your selection of the cap rate based on your discussions with the gentleman from Cushman \& Wakefield? MR. AMER: Objection, leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What was your selection of the cap rates based on?
A It would be based on the e-mail in 2015, the e-mail that came from John. I don't have here a note about a phone call, so I don't remember if I actually spoke to him or not.

Q Did you intend for your notes to be accurate, Mr. McConney?

A This is the best I could, yes.
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Q What was the purpose of writing these notes on the supporting data?

A To let the reader of these spreadsheets know where the numbers were coming from.

Q And in 2015, how did you select the cap rate for 1290 Avenue of the Americas?

A Doug Larson, we reached out to Doug, Doug provided us his report for comps, midtown class A buildings, and there were four properties. There were four properties we used as comps to come up with the average cap rate, the 3.11 percent.

Q Moving onto Mar-a-Lago at row 191.
Do you see for Mar-a-Lago where it says: Value if sold to an individual?

A Yes.
Q Why does that note appear on your spreadsheet?
A Because we didn't value it as an operating club. We valued it as if the house, the mansion, all of the additions to the mansion, the cabanas, private beach, were sold to an individual.

Q And why was it not valued as a private club?
A I don't remember off the top of my head.
Q What did you do in 2014 to reach a value for Mar-a-Lago?

A Whenever we valued Mar-a-Lago we tried to find comps in the area. There is nothing like Mar-a-Lago. It is

17 acres. It goes from the ocean to the intercoastal. The properties we found were always smaller properties. They may have been on the intercoastal, may have been on the ocean, may have been landlocked. But there was nothing as huge as this estate. We tried to comp it, come up with a comp the best we could to come up with a value.

In 2014 there was, I think it was one big deal where 530 and 540 South Ocean Boulevard -- so you know, Mar-a-Lago is on 1100 South Ocean Boulevard. So it is not close, but not far away. And 61 Middle Road, which I believe is all connected to the 530 and 540 South Ocean Boulevard property. Took the selling price, divided by the number of acres, came up with an average price breaker, multiplied it by the 18 acres which is the size of the Mar-a-Lago property, came up with \(\$ 309\) million.

To that we added some of the structures that we -that the Trump Organization put on the property, the grand ballroom didn't exist. The beach cabanas was basically just a beach originally when it was a private residence. When Mr. Trump owned it, President Trump owned it as a private mansion. And then we had a tennis pavilion and tea house that we improved. So those amounts were added to the value.

Q What, if any, discussions did you have with Mazars concerning the method of valuing the Mar-a-Lago club?

A I don't remember having a specific conversation with Mazars on this.

Q How did you value the 2015 -- how did you value Mar-a-Lago in 2015? Excuse me.

A It was basically the same process. We tried to find a property that had a selling price in this year. In the neighborhood of Palm Beach we found this one for \(\$ 71\) million. Came up with the same value - came up with a value per acre. Multiplied it by the number of acres for Mar-a-Lago. Came up with the valuation of 261 million. And then we added the value of the grand ballroom and beach cabanas.

Q Why did the value of Mar-a-Lago change by more than \(\$ 57\) million? Why was it reduced by \(\$ 57\) million from 2014 to 2015?

A The value per acre dropped from 13 million -13.8 million to 16.4 million. It is the best comp we came up with.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
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1 Q Were you trying to be accurate when you reduced the value in Mar-a-Lago from 405 million to 347 million from 2014 to 2015?

MR. AMER: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Were you trying to --
MR. SUAREZ: Be accurate.
THE COURT: -- be accurate?
A I always try to be --
THE COURT: Hold on, hold on.
MR. AMER: It is a yes, no question, your Honor.
THE COURT: It's leading. Granted - I mean, overruled.

MS. FAHERTY: Sustained.
THE COURT: Sustained. I knew I would get it sooner or later.

You could ask him why he did it.
Q What, if any, was your intention in reducing the value from \(\$ 405\) million to \(\$ 347\) million of Mar-a-Lago from 2014 to 2015?

A Our intention was always to reflect the best we could the value of these properties.

Q Do you see where it says the Mar-a-Lago Club value, if sold to an individual?

A Yes.
Q Why is the Mar-a-Lago Club listed under club

\section*{J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
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\section*{facilities?}

A Mar-a-Lago is a social club, um. When -- at some point in time it was added under club facilities, and we just included it there, just like the golf courses. I don't remember any or reason.

Q How was it determined to put all of the -- withdrawn.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we scroll down to the first golf course.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
Q Trump International Golf Club in Florida.
Mr. McConney, how did you come up with the valuation method for the Trump International Golf Club in Florida?

A We were trying to figure out a way to best value the property. We thought at this point in time that if we took the purchase price and the improvements to the property, we would have an idea of what it cost to fix up or to get the property to the luxurious level, five-star level that President Trump wanted.

This was the case that we developed it from scratch, the vacant land was literally a garbage dump that the town or county had. Mr. Trump had a vision to develop it into a world class golf course. And this is what it cost to clean up the property and develop, or grow -- to put the golf course in place. I don't know what the right word is.

Mr. Trump went in, bought out the partners, so we had a purchase price there and then developed the golf course. This came off the balance sheet from the accounting department at the Bedminster golf course.
Q And how about Colts Neck? How did you come up with the value for the asset for Colts Neck?

A Colts Neck, I know, had a housing component around it. We didn't purchase the housing component. We just purchased the golf course.

Again, it was, I think -- it was either an existing golf course or it was almost finished. I think it was an existing golf course that was operational. We purchased it. I'm not sure if the developer was in bankruptcy or close to bankruptcy. He was having financial problems. So we purchased the golf course, which is included in fixed assets, or part of the purchase was included in fixed assets, and any improvements we made to the property.

Q Why was there a premium for a fully operational branded facility added to the Colts Neck property?
A There are golf courses which we, you can say, built from scratch, which would be Briarcliff, Trump International in Florida, Bedminster. And there were properties that were already in existence. Mr. Trump spent funds in order to bring
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
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1 & those properties, and there are a number of them, from their \\
2 & whatever status they were to the luxurious status, the five-star \\
3 & status that he wants his properties to have. So that was the \\
4 & premium that was added. \\
5 & Q \\
6 & Was the addition of that premium disclosed to Mazars? \\
7 & Q \\
8 & Yes. \\
8 & of that, if any, response did Mazars give to the addition \\
9 & A I don't remember them saying anything or fighting back \\
10 & or arguing with us. \\
11 & Q If we could go through the Trump National Golf Club in \\
12 & Washington, D.C. How was the valuation methodology for this if \\
13 & golf club determined? \\
14 & A \\
15 & This was similar to Colts Neck. The developer -- I was an existing golf course already. The developer was \\
16 & -- we bought it from a developer at a discounted price, and we \\
17 & made improvements to the property. And, again, since we didn't \\
18 & develop it from scratch, we had the operational premium to it. \\
19 & Q How about the Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia. \\
20 & Was how was valuation methodology achieved? \\
21 & A \(\quad\) We purchased Philadelphia, Pine Hill and Hudson Valley \\
22 & in one transaction. I believe the purchase price was \\
23 & \(\$ 7\) million. It was a bankruptcy, so that's why the price was so \\
24 & low. Mr. Trump spent money bringing it up to his standard. So \\
25 & the value on the fixed assets would be the purchase price and \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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the improvements, and then we added the operational premium.
Q And that was also true of Hudson Valley?
A Yes. That was one transaction. Two separate closing statements, but it was one transaction. So we bought them both at the same time. I believe it was the same developer.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could keep scrolling down.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was
scrolled through.)
Q How about the Trump National Golf Club in LA? How did you come up with that valuation method?
A Basically the same concept. There was a golf course that was originally an 18 -hole golf course in LA. There was some sort of earthquake or something that I think one or two holes were, I wouldn't say lost in the ocean, but separated from the property.

Mr. Trump purchased that asset, spent a lot of money fixing it up, bringing the missing holes -- I think it was a 15-hole golf course for a number of years, and then it became the 18 -hole golf course. So we just added that, whatever the asset value was. The asset value was on the balance sheet.
Q How did that valuation change between 2014 and 2015?
A There was a conservation easement made for part of the property. I think -- well, it was part of the property where some of the lots -- the property was divided into a number of lots. A number of the lots were donated and for a conservation

\section*{easement.}

Q And what effect did that have on the value of the property from 2014 to 2015 ?

A It reduced it about \(\$ 18\) million.
Q What does this 72,979,000 figure at J-404 reflect?
A I tried to keep track. That was like a little proof I had to keep track, or total, um the change for the net worth for the year in certain assets. That's the reduction in the golf course value from June of 2014 to June of 2015.

Q And that's for the Trump National Golf Club in LA? A Just for the LA property, yes.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could keep scrolling down.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed was scrolled through on the screen.)
Q How did you value the Charlotte club, Mr. McConney?
A Charlotte was an existing course we purchased, I think,
from the club. I don't know the reason why the club wanted to sell it, but they wanted Mr. Trump to take it over.

So that would have been the purchase price and any funds we expended -- the company expended to fix up the golf course, and then we added the operational premium.

Q How did we -- withdrawn.

MR. SUAREZ: Scroll down to Jupiter, Mr. McConney. (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was
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\section*{scrolled through.)}

A Okay.
Q How did you come up with a valuation methodology for the Jupiter club?
A Jupiter, I know we had a small cash purchase price for it. We assumed -- I'm pretty sure on the DC golf course and Charlotte golf course, we assumed liabilities, plus whatever amount we expended to bring the property up to the five-star Trump level.
Q Can we pull up Defendant's Exhibit 1021 marked for identification and put it side by side?
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)

MR. SUAREZ: I think the computers are also ready for Thanksgiving.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. McConney?
A Yes, sir.
Q What does this document describe?
A This was an allocation into -- I believe it was prepared by an accounting firm to allocate the purchase price, which was the cash and the liabilities for member deposits that we assumed, plus some closing costs, allocate that to purchase price to the tax return. It wasn't done for the tax return, so
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5029 & J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5031 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
we could allocate the purchase price between various assets or expenses; building, building improvements, land, furniture fixtures, which was needed in order to give us a basis to depreciate those assets if possible. Land you don't depreciate. Land improvements, I believe you can. So for the tax return, we needed to allocate it. \\
Q How -- I'm sorry go ahead. \\
A There's also a form that needs to be attached to the tax return showing the allocation of the purchase price and, I think, its broad categories. The tax return was prepared by Mazars, so they had all of this. \\
Q Was the allocation of the purchase price disclosed to Mazars? \\
A Yes. \\
Q And how was -- withdrawn, why is the membership deposit liability assumed part of the purchase price? \\
A This -- the seller of the property has two \\
alternatives. You could pay off your membership deposits and then you could up your price, or you could just say, take the membership deposits and pay them off. So it's like a loan. You have an obligation to pay off these deposits or settle these deposits at some point. So that's according to what I've been told by the accountants that's part of your purchase price. \\
MR. SUAREZ: All right. We could go back to Plaintiff's Exhibit 731.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
specifically? \\
A Yes. This was -- there are four golf courses, a spa, hotel rooms, banquet facilities, for -- this is all of Doral. It's a large piece of land in Miami. \\
Q When you had an appraisal for the property, did you always use it for the basis for valuation? \\
A No. \\
Q What instances would you not use it? \\
A Most appraisals are done -- to my knowledge all appraisals -- I shouldn't say that. What I know of appraisals, they are done for a specific purpose. The -- this appraisal was done to value the property. Other appraisals are not conservation easement is not done to value of the property. It's done for valuation for a conservation easement. So appraisals are done for different reasons. Just because it is an appraisal done doesn't mean it's going to properly reflect the value of that property. \\
MR. SUAREZ: Can we scroll back up to 40 Wall. (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.) \\
Q In 2015, what was the basis used for valuing 40 Wall? \\
A A budget for the property was prepared for 2016. We \\
used the operating income, the operating expenses to come up with a net operating income. Donna Kidder and Allen \\
25 Weisselberg, who worked on this, adjusted the rent roll to bring
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) \\
Q How did you come up with the valuation method, turning your attention to the Trump golf links, Ferry Point? \\
A It was kind of hard to value Ferry Point. Ferry, at this point, Ferry Point was a licensed deal with the City of New York. When we came up with this, let's just value it at whatever The Trump Organization had invested or spent on the golf course, or acquiring the golf course. So that's the amounts that are reflected here. \\
Q And what is the Ferry Point property? \\
A It's a golf course in the Bronx that the City was \\
trying to develop for years, like Wollman Rink, they couldn't \\
finish the project. President Trump took over, finished it, made it a great golf course. It's a links golf course. \\
Q How did you come up with the value for Doral? \\
A In 2015? \\
Q In 2015, yes. \\
A In 2015 there was an appraisal done. We used the amount on that appraisal. \\
Q Why did you use the amount in the appraisal? \\
A Um, Allen Weisselberg was usually involved in these appraisals, told me, "Use the appraisal. Use this amount." \\
24 It's best reflects the value at that time of the property. \\
25 Q Was that with respect to the Doral property
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
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it to a stabilized basis. There were leases being negotiated. \\
There was some vacant space. We came up with a \(\$ 24\) million NOI, divided by a cap rate, and came up with the valuation of \(\$ 735\) million. \\
Q And what did you disclose to Mazars about the basis for valuing 40 Wall in \(2015 ?\) \\
A We gave them the budget. We listed the vacant space or gave them a schedule of the vacant space, a schedule of the leases. Um, we gave them the backup we would have received from Doug Larson from Cushman \& Wakefield of where the cap rates came from. \\
Q Who would have selected the cap rate in 2015? \\
A I would have. \\
Q And what value did this valuation method yield for 2015? \\
A \(\$ 735\) million. \\
MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 868. \\
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) \\
Q Mr. McConney, do you see your name at the top, from? \\
A Yes. \\
Q What is the date of this e-mail? \\
A December 29th, 2015. \\
Q Who did you send this e-mail to?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{A Donald Bender.}

Q If you could please take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 868.

THE COURT OFFICER: Do you have it in evidence? MR. SUAREZ: I believe it is.
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you.
Q Do you recognize this e-mail?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A Um, it's an e-mail to Donald Bender with an appraisal done in 2015 by Ladder Capital. Ladder Capital was an investment bank. We were looking to pay off the existing loan with Capital One, and Ladder Capital came in to do - provide us with a loan.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we turn to the second page of the appraisal.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What is the date of this appraisal?
A June 25th, 2015.
Q Do you see the market value as-is in the third page of this exhibit?
A Yes.
Q Do you see the value is -- I'm sorry, what do you see
J. McConney - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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as the value conclusion?
A The value conclusion for market value as-is as of June 1st, 2015, for a leasehold estate was \(\$ 540\) million.
Q Is that less than the number that appeared in your supporting data?
A Yes.
Q Why did you use a different value than that which was in this appraisal?
A Well, we didn't think this valuation properly reflected what we thought the building was worth.
Q Did you send the entire appraisal to Mr. Bender?
A I'm not sure if \(I\) did. If he needed it, we would have sent it to him. I don't remember if we actually did or not.
Q If there are less pages here than the entire -- than contained in the entire appraisal?
A Yes, there are.
Q Why would you have sent Mr. Bender only a limited number of pages from the appraisal?
A At the time we were -- we were working on a draft.
This -- these were the documents I would have relied on to value 40 Wall Street when I submitted that draft to Bender. Um, no use sending a ton of documents. I mean, if you want them, he could've had them, but this is what I relied on at that point in time for the valuation.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could go back to the plaintiff's
supporting data at Plaintiffs 731.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: And go to Briarcliff -- I'm sorry, Aberdeen?

MR. AMER: Just to be clear, it's not "the plaintiff's supporting data", it's defendant's supporting data.

MR. SUAREZ: Plaintiffs's Exhibit, Mr. Amer. I misspoke. Please excuse me.

MR. AMER: I'm just correcting it for the record. MR. SUAREZ: And I appreciate it.
Q How did you come up with the valuation for the Aberdeen club, which I know it appears on your schedule as Trump International Golf Club Scotland?

\section*{A For June of 2015?}

Q Well, how about we start with 2014?
A In 2014, we started with, from inception to date, the capital contributions made by The Trump Organization to acquire the various lots. Um, there wasn't one large parcel of land we purchased. There were a number of lots. I don't know how many.
There were a number of lots we purchased and assembled in order to build the golf course in whatever future vision President Trump had. That's the \(\$ 62\) million.

There's an adjustment for the land that we were going
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to use for the hotel and residential structures, and we came up with the balance, added a premium for assembling all these land parcels together, and came up with a value of \(\$ 74\) million for that land.
Q Was the premium for the assembly of the land parcels disclosed to Mazars?
A Yes, it was.
Q What, if any, reaction did Mazars have to the inclusion of that premium?
A They accepted it, because they didn't say anything that I remember.
Q How did the -- I'm sorry, how did you value the property in 2015?
A In 2015 we used the TIGCS, which stands for Trump International Golf Club, Scotland. They -- I presume at that point in time they had an accounting staff in place who provided us with a year-end, or December 31st, 2014, financial statement. We used the fixed assets on that statement.

It provided us with any fixed asset additions for the first six months of 2015, um, came up with the total. Their financial statements in pounds, we converted it to US dollars, subtracted the value of the land that was going to be used for the hotel and residential space, added the 30 percent premium, and came up with a \(\$ 60\) million value.

THE COURT: Four-minute warning.
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involved in the day-to-day operations to the property, she would have provided me the number.
Q What personal knowledge did you have concerning construction plans for Aberdeen?
A Other than what they told me, none.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'm at a good breaking point for the morning, for the morning break.

THE COURT: I understand. I have a quick question for the witness.

You were asked many times by Mr. Suarez, "Did you disclose X, Y and Z to Mazars?"

And you would say, "Yes." Was that by these supporting documents or was that some independent communication that you would inform them?

THE WITNESS: What I can -- what I can prove is what's here on the spreadsheets. Bender was intimately involved in our day-to-day operations. I keep saying he knew more about the company than I did, and I sat there in the building. Um, I can't prove conversations I had with him, but he knew what we were doing with various properties. He was the person in charge of, um, formulating Mr. Trump's, President Trump's tax strategy. We would ask him how he would structure purchases, um, what information would you use in a loan document. So other than knowing Bender for 40 years and talking to him all the time about all the

MR. SUAREZ: Can we scroll down.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

MR. SUAREZ: Too far. Further up. No, no, go back.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

MR. SUAREZ: Back to Scotland.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see here where it says "Value of residential parcel based on purchase of land by Persimmons, Hopecroft, Bucksburn, value of land per home"?
A Yes.
Q And it has then a number of homes to build?
A Yes.
Q How did you arrive at the number of homes to build of 2,500?
A George Sorial, who worked out of The Trump Organization
office where I worked, was the person overseeing this project in
-- and the person in charge of getting various approvals to do
-- the President wanted to do at the location. It was either
verbal information, or a verbal phone call, or an e-mail that
George would have sent me. If it didn't come from George, Sarah
Malone was the general manager for the property, was intimately
assets we had, I can't prove it other than I've typed it here on the spreadsheet.

THE COURT: All right. So can I summarize that long answer as information was on the spreadsheet and/or we might have separately communicated it, and he knew everything anyway?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm good at condensing. Perfect timing. See you all in 15 minutes.
(Continued on the next page.)

COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session.

Please be seated and come to order.
(The witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: Okay. Let's continue.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, before I forget, Defendant's Exhibit 1027, if we could pull that up?

I would like to move this into evidence. This concerned a discussion that we had yesterday about the Trump Central Park South, the Trump Park East and the method of valuing that and the consistency in the procedures with Mazars.

MR. AMER: I objected before. I'll continue to object on relevance. I understand Your Honor's ruling.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1027 by the Court.)

MR. SUAREZ: And Defendant's Exhibit 1021, which we just reviewed with the witness, can you pull that up? Defendant's 1021, which is the allocation of the Jupiter purchase price, I would like to move that into evidence.

MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed

\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline McConney - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) Page 5045 \\
1 & MR. AMER: As long as it is clear, it is not \\
2 & coming in for the truth of the matter asserted. \\
3 & THE COURT: Right, only coming in for its affect \\
4 & on the witness. Understood, everybody? \\
5 & MR. ROBERT: That's what it was intended for. \\
6 & MR. SUAREZ: I have no further questions on \\
7 & direct. \\
8 & Thank you, Mr. McConney. \\
9 & THE WITNESS: Thank you. \\
10 & THE COURT: Will there be any cross examination? \\
11 & MR. AMER: There will. \\
12 & THE COURT: Mr. McConney, are you okay or you \\
13 & need a couple of minutes? \\
14 & MS. HABBA: I was going to say, do you want a \\
15 & minute. \\
16 & THE WITNESS: No, I am okay. \\
17 & THE COURT: Okay. Let's do it. \\
18 & THE WITNESS: Thank you. \\
19 & Thank you, sir. \\
20 & MR. AMER: Can you just make sure that mic is \\
21 & off? \\
22 & MR. SUAREZ: It is off. \\
23 & MR. AMER: Okay. \\
24 & THE COURT: Please proceed. \\
25 & MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor.
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline & Conney - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) Page 5046 \\
\hline & CROSS-EXAMINATION \\
\hline 2 & BY MR. AMER: \\
\hline 3 & Q Mr. McConney, are you okay to proceed? \\
\hline 4 & A Yes, sir. Thank you. \\
\hline 5 & Q Okay. So, I want to begin by talking about a \\
\hline 6 & discussion that you had yesterday in response to questions from \\
\hline 7 & Mr. Suarez about who wrote particular portions of the 2015 \\
\hline 8 & Statement of Financial Condition. Do you recall that exchange? \\
\hline 9 & A Yes. \\
\hline 10 & MR. AMER: Why don't we put up Plaintiff's \\
\hline 11 & Exhibit 729 in evidence. \\
\hline 12 & Q And do you recall that there was language that \\
\hline 13 & Mr. Suarez asked you about that you stated came from Mazars, \\
\hline 14 & either Jerry Rosenblum or Donald Bender; do you recall that? \\
\hline 15 & A Yes, that was in the footnotes, yes. \\
\hline 16 & Q Now, it is the case that ultimately the language in \\
\hline 17 & the 2015 statement was reviewed and approved by the Trump \\
\hline 18 & Organization, yes? \\
\hline 19 & A Yes. \\
\hline 20 & Q And you testified yesterday that you would review the \\
\hline 21 & draft statement with Mr. Weisselberg, and then Mr. Weisselberg \\
\hline 22 & would say, go and tell Mazars to print it. Do you recall that \\
\hline 23 & testimony? \\
\hline 24 & A Yeah, I don't know that those were the exact words, \\
\hline & but he was fine with the statement to be finished. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Q And I am just talking about your testimony yesterday about it.

A I apologize. Yes, I believe that's the words I used.
Q Okay. But there was a step in-between that involved Donald Trump prior to 2017, wasn't there?

A I don't know - I don't know what Allen -- what the next step was. I finished my conversations or my presentation, my documentation with Allen. I don't know what he did or did not do with the President.

Q It was your understanding, however, that before Mr. Weisselberg would give the okay to print, Donald Trump was to get final review of the Statement of Financial Condition for the years where you were primarily responsible for preparing the statement, correct?

A That was my understanding, yes.
Q So between the time that you and Mr. Weisselberg reviewed the draft statement and Mr. Weisselberg gave the okay to have Mazars print it, it was your understanding that Mr. Weisselberg would share the draft statement with Donald Trump for his final review; at least for the years where you were the primary drafter of the statement, correct?

A That was my understanding, yes.
Q In terms of Donald Trump's role in having the final review, am I correct that he ceased having that role in 2017 when he became President?
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A Yes.
Q And do you recall that there was an internal restructuring of the Trump Organization as a result of Mr. Trump becoming President to remove him from his executive positions to avoid a conflict of interest?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: If we could put up what we have marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1329 ?
(Handing)
Q Mr. McConney, do you recognize this as the internal restructuring document that was used to implement what we just discussed about Mr. Trump being removed from executive positions as a result of his inauguration?

A I don't remember seeing it -- I never saw it in this formal presentation: Trump Organization internal restructuring and the closing dates. I do remember seeing through page nine of 34. Seeing these various assignments of membership or partnership interests from various entities to, I believe, the Trust or the holdings company. You want to ask the legal department about who the current owner was of these entities. I kind of lost track after a while.

Q Let me show you the summary on page two. It says Summary of Transaction. It says: "Through various assignments dated as of December 31, 2016, January 1, 2017 and January 19, 2017, DJT transferred all of his direct interests in the Trump
title page I would have no idea what was inside of it.
I, at times, asked the legal department who the owner of this entity was because of the change. And I would - they would send me some information about the assignment of ownership interest and I would go through and find the entity that I needed.

THE COURT: All right. So, plaintiff, would you agree then that it can't be authenticated through this witness? He said he wouldn't even have recognized it.

MR. AMER: I don't think we have to authenticate it. That's agreed to. All documents produced are authentic.

THE COURT: That's a different argument.
MR. ROBERT: Authentic. The fact this was produced by Trump Organization is not in dispute. Is this a draft? Is this something that was circulated?

THE COURT: We could -- we could look into that and you could argue about that. But of course I am letting it in.

MR. ROBERT: As to what?
THE COURT: You agreed that -- my understanding is that you agreed if you turned it over it is admissible in evidence.

MR. ROBERT: No, we agreed it is admissible as to authentication. This is created by us. What it was
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seen it in its entirety.
MR. AMER: This is a document from their file.
THE COURT: You said -- it is going back to Mr. Suarez, he testified he hasn't seen it in its entirety.

MR. SUAREZ: I think his testimony was that he had seen pieces of it, but he can't identify the document. When I asked him if he recognized it, he said not in this form, or something to that effect.

MR. AMER: I don't think we have any authentication problem based on the initial agreement between the parties. He has indicated this comports with his recollection of what happened. And this is a document from their own files.

MR. ROBERT: I don't know that it has ever been determined that this was final or this was circulated. I think this was questioned at one or more depositions as well and I think that was the same answer given. Not sure exactly what this document is. I am not necessarily disagreeing with the contents of it, as this witness said, but not knowing exactly what this is.

THE COURT: What, if anything, is your knowledge of this document? Maybe looking at the title page would be best.

THE WITNESS: If I just would have seen the
created for, I can't speak to that right now. And I believe at one or more depositions the government asked the witnesses what this was, and they had answers similar to Mr. McConney. Don't remember seeing this before but it appears to be kind of a summary of what happened.

We don't know what this actually became. We don't dispute that this was created by the Trump Organization.

THE COURT: And do you dispute that there was an agreement that if you turned something over, maybe with or without more, that it was admissible?

MR. ROBERT: No. Then there wouldn't have been an exchange of exhibit lists before the trial started. The agreement was to authentication, unless there was some extraordinary reason to object saying it was inauthentic. That was the stipulation. Otherwise why would we have an exhibit list and constantly update it every day if everything that was exchanged was admissible.

THE COURT: What does authentication mean? What is it worth?

MR. ROBERT: It is worth so you don't have to have a certification under the CPLR or have a witness come in to authenticate that the document is what it is. If this is something that says the Trump Organization, it is labeled internal structuring. It is 34 pages. We don't


Q And are you certain of that answer?
A That's my recollection. And again, Mazars is Jerry Rosenblum and Donald Bender.

Q And on the top of page 4937 of the transcript.
Mr. Suarez asked you about the remainder of the same paragraph.
"QUESTION: And the rest of the paragraph which says "the brand along with the level of quality of Mr. Trump's residential developments, has allowed the selling price per square foot in Trump properties to be amongst the highest among prominent real estate developers. The good will attached to the Trump name has significant financial value that has not been reflected in the preparation of this financial statement." Close quote.

Who words are those, Mr. McConney?
"ANSWER: Mazars'."
You recall that testimony, correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And are you certain about that answer?
A That's my recollection, yes.
MR. AMER: Okay. Let's go ahead and keep
Plaintiff's Exhibit 729 on the screen and let's put up next to it Plaintiff's 3054 in evidence.

I am just going to wait for you to get a copy. (Handing)

Q Now, Mr. McConney, I showed you Plaintiff's Exhibit 34, you may recall, when you testified last month. And you confirmed that all of the handwriting on Plaintiff's 3054 is yours. Do you recall that?

A If this is the same financial statement, yes. And I am through a few pages and so far those are - that's my handwriting.

Q Do you recall on the second page I got you to confirm your handwritten note: DJT to get final review. Do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q Let's turn to the paragraph that begins: "Pursuant to GAAP" in this exhibit, which you will find on the bottom of page six of 27.

Are you there?
A Yes.
Q The paragraph has markups by you, correct?
A Yes.
Q You have crossed out three sentences and you have added two riders, \(A\) and \(B\). Correct?

A Yeah, there is a reference to \(A\) and \(B\) because it is a rider.

Q If you look at the next page, those are the riders. This is page seven of 27. Those are the riders in your handwriting. Correct?

A Yes.
Q Isn't it a fact, Mr. McConney, that you wrote the majority of the language in this paragraph, not Mazars?

A I haven't counted the words, but I will agree that that is my handwriting.

Q Well, you made substantial changes to the paragraph that appear in the final version, right?

A I or somebody else at the Trump Organization, yes.
Q And it is your handwriting that was forwarded to Mazars with changes that made their way into the final version of this statement, correct?

A Correct.
Q So can we agree that when you gave sworn testimony yesterday that Mazars wrote this paragraph, you were wrong?

A My memory was incorrect.
Q And isn't it fair to say that you actually don't remember who wrote what portions of the notes in the 2015 statement?

A I wouldn't say that.
Q At least as to this paragraph you got it wrong?
A This paragraph I agree with you.
Q Do you have any reason to believe your recollection is better with respect to other paragraphs in this document than it is with this paragraph?

A Unless you can show me something that disproves it,
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the CPA firm Mazars are the GAAP experts. They would put together the notes. If I made changes, I made changes. If you can show me where I have a bad memory, I'll look at it.

Q My question was very simple. Do you have any reason to believe that your recollection, your incorrect recollection about who wrote this paragraph, the pursuant to GAAP paragraph, is any better than your recollection with respect to other portions of this document?

A I don't think -- I don't feel my recollections were incorrect in other paragraphs. You can ask the question again.

Q Well, we have already agreed your recollection was incorrect as to the pursuant to GAAP paragraph, right?

MR. SUAREZ: Objection argumentative. His testimony was actually that the notes carried over from year to year through the progression. He has only read a certain portion of his testimony, not the parts where he says it goes through from year to year and carried over; and that the original structure of the notes belonged to Mr. Rosenblum's initial drafts.

THE COURT: I think Mr. Amer read every sentence in the GAAP paragraph. And the witness said Mazars (May-zars) wrote it, or Mazars (Mah-zars). So I don't think he is misinterpreting the testimony.

But anyway, what in particular are you looking to do?
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & onney - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) Page 5061 & J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer) Page 5063 \\
\hline & MR. SUAREZ: It is argumentative. It is not & \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And that says "applying a capitaliz \\
\hline & incorrect. He testified it is out of context, but it is & 2 well, why don't you read it so I don't get your handwriting \\
\hline 3 & incorrect. & 3 incorrect. \\
\hline 4 & THE COURT: He testified it was incorrect. & 4 A "Applying to a capitalization rate to the cash flow to \\
\hline 5 & Isn't that word in the transcript? & 5 be derived from the commercial space." \\
\hline 6 & MR. AMER: Yes, it is & 6 MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Plaintiff's \\
\hline 7 & MR. SUAREZ: Well & 7 Exhibit 3 \\
\hline 8 & THE COURT: Today's transcript? & 8 . (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3401 was deemed marked for \\
\hline 9 & MR. SUAREZ: Mr. Amer asked -- & 9 identification.) \\
\hline 10 & THE COURT: I am sorry. All right. Withdrawn. & 10 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline 11 & Continue. & 11 screen.) \\
\hline 12 & MR. AMER: Can I also ask, Your Honor, if we are & 12 Q The first page has an e-mail from you to Mr. Bender who \\
\hline 13 & going to get these kind of objections that we excuse the & 13 I think we established before you called by the nickname Doc; is \\
\hline 14 & witness first? & 14 that right? \\
\hline 15 & THE COURT: All right. I hope there won't be a & 15 A Yes, sir. \\
\hline 16 & lot more of these objections, but yes, we will excuse the & 16 Q And you are sending him your comments on the draft 2012 \\
\hline 17 & witness. You know, remind me if you think I am not doing & 17 Statement of Financial Condition, or actually it's - well, is \\
\hline 18 & it appropriately; or the defendant can remind me also. & 18 that what you are doing? \\
\hline 19 & Q Isn't it the case, Mr. McConney, that you frequently & 19 (Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the \\
\hline 20 & made changes to the language of the footnotes describing & 20 testimony.) \\
\hline \[
21
\] & valuation methods in the draft statements? & 21 A Yes. Most of these are modifications or updates. Yes, \\
\hline 22 & A Yes. & 22 yes, these are my change \\
\hline 23 & Q And if you look at page nine of 27 of this exhibit, & 23 Q So this is all your handwriting on this document; \\
\hline 24 & the changes you make to the paragraph just above 40 Wall & 24 correct? \\
\hline 25 & Street. & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline & - Panney - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) 5062 & J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer) Page 5064 \\
\hline 1 & MR. AMER: If we could blow that up? & 1 MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit 3401. \\
\hline 2 & Q You see the paragraph that says "the current value & 2 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. \\
\hline 3 & of," those are your handwritten changes and it relates to the & 3 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 3401 was admitted in \\
\hline 4 & method of valuing the property, correct? & 4 evidence.) \\
\hline 5 & A Yes. & 5 MR. AMER: And I want to just ask you about a \\
\hline 6 & Q And if we go to -- actually, can you read for us your & 6 couple of comments that you have in here. First let's go to \\
\hline 7 & handwriting at -- that you have added at the bottom? & 7 page eight of 25. \\
\hline 8 & A Applying a capitalization rate to the cash flow to be & 8 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline 9 & derived pursuant to the building's net rental stream. & 9 screen.) \\
\hline 10 & \(Q\) Okay. And you have stricken the portion of the & 10 Q You make a change to the cash and marketable securities \\
\hline & sentence that has the line through it, correct? & 11 paragraph where you insert the words "wholly owned" before \\
\hline 12 & A Yes. & 12 operating entities; is that right? \\
\hline 13 & Q And if you go to page 11 of 27 under Trump Park & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 & Avenue. & 14 Q And in the second to the bottom paragraph you indicate \\
\hline 15 & MR. AMER: We can blow that up. & 15 with this change "applying a cap rate to the cash flow to be \\
\hline 16 & Q The top paragraph you have, again, added language & 16 derived from the building's operations"; is that right? \\
\hline 17 & relating to the valuation method, correct? & 17 A Yes. \\
\hline 18 & A Yes. & 18 Q And both of these comments relate to -- well, at least \\
\hline 19 & (The following proceedings were stenographically & 19 -- sorry, withdrawn. \\
\hline 20 & recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.) & 20 This last comment relates to method of valuation; \\
\hline 21 & & 21 right? \\
\hline 22 & & 22 A Applying a cap rate, yada, yada, yada, yes. \\
\hline 23 & & 23 Q And on the next page, page nine of 25, are you \\
\hline 24 & & 24 indicating you want the bottom paragraph to be -- that that's \\
\hline 25 & & 25 okay? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q And this is an e-mail you sent to Mr. Bender on September 25th, 2013. So this would be in connection with the 2013 statement; right?

A Yes.
Q And you are telling him to see the revised note for the
Westchester golf course in Briarcliff; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And if you turn to the second page of this exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Your note adds a zone for 71 units. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And then you've also indicated certain language you want deleted; correct?

A Yes.
Q And so this note goes to the method for valuing the property; right?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit 3405.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 3405 was admitted in evidence.)

MR. AMER: Let's mark Plaintiff's Exhibit 3412.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 3412 was deemed marked for
J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)

A I'm sorry, what's the question?
Q Are you indicating to Mr. Bender that you are okay with that bottom paragraph?
A Yes.
Q And that relates to the method of valuing Niketown; right?

A Yes.
Q Actually, that is -- let's go to page 20 of 25.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q You are adding a rider, A, attached, and you are asking
Mr. Bender to delete that entire paragraph; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And then if you go to page 24, that's the rider that you are telling Mr. Bender to add; correct?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark as the next exhibit, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3405.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 3405 was deemed marked for identification.)
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)

\section*{identification.)}
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)
Q The first page of this exhibit is an e-mail from you to Mr. Bender dated October 31st, 2014. So this relates to the 2014 statement?
A Correct. It should.
Q And the subject is "another change" do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And the second page is --
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q -- the change that you are sending to Mr. Bender for him to implement in the statement; correct?
A Yes.
Q And you've crossed out some language and you've added
at the bottom. The language that you want put in; correct?
A Yes.
Q And at the bottom of your handwritten comments -- why don't you read for me the entire handwritten comment at the bottom so we have your testimony about what you wrote in the record?
A "For these properties -- for these properties is shown on a cost basis subject to any debt reflected under liabilities
cConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)
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and is net of" -- that's not my handwriting, so it's hard to read. "Net of", I think, "refundable" -- I don't know. I'm -I'm guessing it's "membership deposits were applicable. In those cases where a residential component exists, comparative sales were utilized in arriving at their values."
\(Q\) The original handwriting that's not yours, is that Mr. Weisselberg's?
A Yes, it is.
MR. AMER: I move to admit this exhibit, your Honor.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 3412 was admitted in evidence.)
Q And that additional language that you and Mr. Bender I'm sorry, you and Mr. Weisselberg have drafted and sent to Mr. Bender relates, in part, to valuing the property; correct? A The properties in this category, yes.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 806.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 806 was deemed marked for identification.)
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q The first page is an e-mail from you to Mr. Bender, the subject is "DJT SOFC", and it's dated December 29, 2015. So
J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer) Page 5069
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Dean \& DeLuca into the description of the major tenants; correct?

A Yes.
Q And if you look at the bottom of page 21 of 27?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And this relates to Trump Plaza. Can you just read your handwriting at the bottom?

A The very --
Q I think it says "as well as applying", that line?
A That relates to Trump International Hotel and Tower.
Q Yes.
A "As well as applying a capitalization rate to the cash flow to be derived from the condominium elements."

Q And that's a comment relating to the method of valuing that property; correct?

A That's correct.
Q And then let's go to page 25 of 27.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And I want to ask you about your comment in the middle of the page. And this relates to licensing developments; correct? I'm on page 25 of 27.

A Yeah, I'm just trying to see -- there it is. Real estate licensing developments, yes.

1 Q And you circled the following sentence "Mr. Trump and his management considered only situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exist and fees and other compensation which he will earn are reasonably quantifiable."

And then you've linked that to a note. Why don't you read your handwritten note that's in the margin.

A "The computation also includes forecasted deals that have not signed yet with a value of", I think, "\$151 million. Do you want to delete these deals?"
Q So am I correct that you were concerned about including those forecasted but not yet signed deals, because the note you are linking it to says that you've only considered situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exist?
A Yes. I was asking Donald's opinion on what he thought we should do.

\section*{Q Because --}

A Donald Bender's opinion.
Q Because you were concerned about the conflict between including forecasted deals not yet signed and having a note that says "it only includes situations where signed arrangements exist"; correct?
A That's probably part of it. We may have wanted to modify the footnote.
J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)
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MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up, alongside this, Plaintiffs Exhibit 729, which is the final version of the 2015 statement. And let's just look at page 23 of 26, which is that -- where that note appears.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And you see in the top paragraph, the last sentence, in the final version it didn't change. It still says "In preparing
that assessment Mr. Trump and his management considered only situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exist and fees and other compensation which he will earn are reasonably quantifiable." So it didn't change?

A Then I guess Donald Bender was fine with it.
Q I'm just asking whether it changed or not.
A I understand that, but I'm saying Mr. Bender was probably fine not changing it.

Q And you kept in "the deals that were forecasted but had not been signed"; correct?

A Can you show me the Jeff supporting spreadsheet with the valuation on it.

Q You don't remember one way or the other whether you kept in the forecasted deals?

A Off the top of my head without looking at the 25 spreadsheet, no.


\section*{J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)}
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A That's correct.
Q And if you look at the second page of this exhibit up at the top, that's your handwritten note that says "Open, ET golf courses, and Amanda OPO"; correct?

A Correct.
Q That is a reference to the fact that there is an open issue with Eric Trump giving you feedback on golf courses; correct?

A Correct.
Q And if we go to page 15 of 25.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Your note in the margin on the left says "ET to review footnotes on European clubs"; correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And again ET is a reference to Eric Trump?
A Yes.
Q And is it correct that it was not uncommon for you, in this time frame, to ask Eric Trump to review portions of the notes to the Statement of Financial Condition?
A I know when we were developing properties and Eric was part of the development team I would ask whoever it was that would have knowledge of enhancing the information on these footnotes, so whether it was Eric or Sarah Malone, or somebody else, I would ask.

Q So it would be common for you to reach out to Eric Trump to get information for purposes of valuing assets in the Statement of Financial Condition where Eric Trump was involved in the property; correct?
A Well, footnotes, not so much, but for this one, yes.
MR. AMER: I move to admit Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3399, your Honor.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 3399 was admitted in evidence.)
Q I'm going to switch topics now, Mr. McConney. When you gave testimony last month, you mentioned that you had received a severance package of \(\$ 500,000\). And as of when you testified, which was October 5th, you had been paid 375,000 of that amount, with a final payment of 125,000 still to go. Is that final payment still outstanding?

A Yes, it is.
Q And I want to put up on the screen Plaintiff's Exhibit 1751 in evidence.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This is Mr. Weisselberg's severance agreement. And I want to show you a portion of his agreement and ask you if your severance agreement contains the same or similar provision. We'll put it up on the screen. It's page two of nine.
J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And if you look at page -- I'm sorry, two of eight. And I just want to ask you about paragraph three, "Employee promises", where it says "In exchange for the benefits provided in paragraph two, employee promises." And then paragraph B says, "Not to verbally, or in writing, disparage, criticize or denigrate the company or any of its current or former entities, officers, directors, managers, employees, owners or representatives."

Does your severance agreement contain the same or similar language, Mr. McConney?

A I would have to go back and look. I've signed my severance agreement maybe almost a year ago. So I don't want to say yes or no.

Q You had testified last month that you had very little to do with the Statement of Financial Condition after the hand off to Patrick Birney. Do you recall that?
A Yes.
Q Was it the case, Mr. McConney, that you participated in a virtual meeting with Patrick Birney, Ray Flores, Eric Trump, Donald Trump, Jr. and Allen Garten in October of 2021 concerning the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?

A I don't remember having a virtual meeting. Are you talking like a Zoom meeting or a telephone call?

1 Q A Zoom meeting or an equivalent of a Zoom meeting.
2 A Yeah, that doesn't sound familiar. It's possible. I just don't remember it.

Q I would like to show you some of Mr. Birney's trial testimony to see if this refreshes your recollection. This is the transcript at 1389, starting on line 21.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
"QUESTION: Mr. Birney, we are going to switch topics to did there come a point in 2021 when you participated in a virtual meeting about the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: Did that meeting occur over a service called Google Meet?
"ANSWER: Probably."
I'll skip the discussion of what Google Meet is and go down.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
Q Question, line 13:
"QUESTION: Sure. Specifically a meeting over Google Meet about the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition?
"ANSWER: There were multiple meetings in 2021 as I was remote.
J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)
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"QUESTION: Were there any meetings about the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition in which Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump participated?
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: So about -- speaking about that meeting, how many other participants were there other than those two people?
"ANSWER: Myself, Alan Garten, Ray Flores, Jeff McConney."

And then he asked, "Allen Weisselberg was not in the meeting?
"ANSWER: I don't think Allen Weisselberg was in that meeting."

Does that refresh your recollection that in the fall of 2021 you participated with these other individuals in a Google Meet virtual meeting to discuss the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition.
A It does not jog my memory.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark Plaintiff's Exhibit 1361.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1361 was deemed marked for identification.)
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The exhibit was handed to the witness.)

Q Mr. McConney, you are certainly aware that as of 2021, Mazars was no longer the accounting firm preparing the compilation, but that had been moved over to Whitley Penn; right?
A I know Mazars -- we switched from Mazars to Whitley Penn. It didn't seem that long ago, but yes.

Q And the first page of Exhibit 1361 has an e-mail to you from Steven McKinnell at Whitley Penn; correct?
A Yes, Patrick, myself, Camron Harris and Greg were partners at Whitley Penn.

MR. AMER: Okay. Your Honor I move to admit this into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 1361 was admitted in evidence.)
Q All of the handwriting on this document is yours; correct?
A Yes.
Q And if you turn to the third page of this document, which is the first e-mail in the sequence.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This is an e-mail to you from Camron Harris at Whitley Penn on October 22nd, 2021; correct?

A Correct.
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Q And it begins by saying, "Jeff, thank you for bringing to our attention the current stock activity of DWACW, the SPAC that is assumed to be raising money to purchase the Trump Media and Trump Technology Group."

So he's referencing an issue that you brought to Whitley Penn's attention; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And the question that was being raised was whether to include this in the 2021 statement; right?

A I believe my question, the reason I rose it, was, yes, does it have a value and does it need to be added to the SOFC.

Q And does this refresh your recollection that you were, in fact, involved in the preparation of the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition, notwithstanding the fact that this was after the hand off to Mr. Birney?
A So your statement that my few comments were in preparation of the statement, if you take a few comments and noting an item that probably should've been, or could've been added to the SOFC so it was properly - the asset was properly reflected? Yes. But to me participating would be a lot more involved than just these little items.
Q Well, we also just looked at Mr. Birney's testimony where he said you were in the meeting that took place in the fall of 2021, with other people, to discuss the Statement of 25 Financial Condition; correct?

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & onney - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) Page 5085 & McConney - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) Page 5087 \\
\hline 1 & * * & 1 A Off and on, yes. \\
\hline 2 & AFTERNOON SESSION & 2 Q And when he was there, post January 21, did he follow \\
\hline 3 & * * * & 3 an open-door policy as it related to you? \\
\hline 4 & COURT OFFICER: All rise. & 4 A I don't remember going in there. I would assume so, \\
\hline 5 & Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge & 5 but I don't remember going to see him once he came back from \\
\hline 6 & Arthur Engoron presiding. & 6 DC. \\
\hline 7 & Please be seated and come to order. & \(7 \quad\) Q But as far as you were concerned, at least your \\
\hline 8 & THE COURT: Starting four minutes early. & 8 understanding was, if you needed to see him about anything, you \\
\hline 9 & Okay. Let's get the witness back on the stand. & 9 could go to his office and talk to him, yes? \\
\hline 10 & (Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.) & 10 A I believe so, yes. \\
\hline 11 & THE COURT: And Mr. Amer, please continue. & 11 Q Now, you mentioned yesterday as well that the clubs \\
\hline 12 & MR. AMER: Thank you, Your Honor. & 12 set up their own accounting departments that were separate from \\
\hline 13 & Q Mr. McConney, I want to ask you a couple of quick & 13 corporate accounting where you worked at Trump Tower, correct? \\
\hline 14 & questions about some testimony you gave yesterday. I believe & 14 A Physically separate, yes. \\
\hline 15 & you said that the Trump Organization was not a structured & 15 Q And they also had separate staff, right? \\
\hline 16 & company like an IBM. Do you recall that testimony? & 16 A Separate staff, separate general ledgers, yes. \\
\hline 17 & A I remember, yes. & 17 Q And that included Mar-a-Lago, right? Mar-a-Lago had \\
\hline 18 & Q Is it fair to say that the Trump Organization, while & 18 its own accounting department down in Florida and its own \\
\hline 19 & you were there, had an open-door policy? & 19 staff, correct? \\
\hline 20 & A Yes. & 20 A Separate from the New York office, yes. \\
\hline 21 & Q And so if anybody wanted to see anybody else within & 21 Q They had their own director of finance, right? \\
\hline & the organization, their door was always open and you didn't & 22 A Right. \\
\hline 23 & have to go through whoever was your direct report, correct? & 23 Q And can we agree that Mar-a-Lago needed its own \\
\hline 24 & A That's correct. & 24 accounting department because it was being operated as a \\
\hline 25 & Q And I take it that open-door policy applied to Mark & 25 commercial enterprise? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Hawthorn's office, yes?}

A You would have to ask Mark.
Q Well, you were somebody who mentioned that anybody could go see anybody else. Did you understand that you could go walk into Hawthorn's office to ask him a question if you needed to?

A Yes.
Q And was the same true with Mr. Flores, did you feel you could walk into Mr. Flores' office and ask him a question if you needed to?

A Yes.
Q Did Eric Trump follow the open-door policy while you were at the company?

A I had an open-door policy with Eric. I don't know if he limited it to anybody.

Q What about Donald Trump Jr., did you have an open door policy with him?

A Yes, I did.
Q And before Donald Trump left for DC in January of 2017, did you have -- enjoy an open-door policy with him?

A Yes.
Q And what has been the -- what was the situation after January 2021 after Donald Trump was no longer President? Did he come back to Trump Tower and occupy an office -- his office there?
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A I won't say it was because it was a commercial enterprise. More so probably because of the volume of work they had to take care of. The number of employees they had to prepare payroll for and they also took care of the Trump International Golf Club in Florida. So they had two large entities that they oversaw.

Q Well, with respect to Mar-a-Lago, you mentioned payroll, they had payroll and a large staff because they were being operated as a commercial business, right?

A Right.
Q Now, we did see that you had some involvement reviewing the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition based on the document we had reviewed just before the lunch break, correct?

A Right. That was when Whitley Penn took over the assignment and I was the contact person with Whitley Penn.

Q And so just to go back to my question, we can agree that you did have some involvement in the preparation of the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition, correct?

A Again, your definition of preparation, I had a little -- a little input into it.

Q You had the input that was reflected in the document we were looking at that had all of your handwritten notes on it, right?

A That's correct.

Q Do you recall that there was a change in the valuation method for the Golf Clubs from 2020 to 2021?

A I am not that familiar with the spreadsheet that was prepared for those years.

Q I didn't ask if you were familiar with the spreadsheet. I asked if you had a recollection that there was a change in valuation method for the Golf Clubs from 2020 to 2021?

A I don't remember that, no.
Q Okay. Well, let's go ahead and look at Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1352, which is the 2021 supporting data spreadsheet, to see if we can fresh your recollection. And let's go ahead and look at row 306. And just to go back up to orient us, this is on Briarcliff. Do you see that?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And in row 306 there is a note that says: 6/30/21. "Upon advice and guidance of Marcus \& Millichap, golf course industry experts, a normal golf course with a negative EBITDA trades at 2-3 times gross revenue. We took an average of 3 times gross revenue and net fixed assets."

\section*{Do you see that?}

A I see the note, yes.
Q And if you look at the note for the prior year, which is in 308, it says "Upon advice and guidance of Marcus \& Millichap, golf course industry experts, we left the golf
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course value unchanged from 2019."
Do you see that these notes indicate there is a change in the method of valuation?

A Yes.
Q And does reading note 306 and the advice of Marcus \& Millichap about using a 2-3 times gross revenue multiplier, refresh your recollection about being aware of this change in the method for valuing the golf courses?

A No.
Q Were you familiar at this point in time with a method for valuing an ongoing business that used a gross revenue multiplier?

A Can you ask that again?
MR. AMER: Can I have a readback, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
A Yes.
Q And was your understanding that you take the gross revenue and you multiply it by an appropriate gross revenue multiplier to generate a value for the asset that is the business you are looking at?

> A Yes.
> MR. AMER: If we could put up Plaintiff's
> Exhibit 2265 ?

We are marking it in evidence, so we need to -(Handing).
Q Mr. McConney, this document, the first page is an e-mail where the subject is Mar-a-Lago, October 15 financials. And it is from Ed Raymundo to Mr. Weisselberg and others at the Trump Organization. And it is dated November 21, 2015. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Now, Mr. Raymundo he was the director of finance in this separate accounting department that was down in Mar-a-Lago, correct?

A Correct.
Q And this attaches an income statement from Mar-a-Lago for 2014 to 2015, correct?

A Yes.
Q And this income statement would be one of the typical types of documents that these local accounting departments for these Trump clubs would prepare, correct?

A Yes.
Q And these numbers would they roll up into the corporate ledgers that you were responsible for?

A No.
Q You have seen these type of income statements before though, correct?

A Yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 2265.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 2265 by the Court.)
Q If we look at the first page of the income statement, you will see that there is, on the right, this has an annual budget, correct?

A Yes.
Q And the annual budget for total income, would that be gross income?

A Each golf course at this point in time created different P\&Ls. Some had income items net of expenses. Some listed income on the top and expenses on the bottom. I am pretty sure this is gross income, but I am really not 100 percent sure.

Q If we look at it, it does list income in that top section and expenses blow that. So if you look at the total income figure above the word, "expenses," that would be gross income, right?

A Again, some properties netted on the income side, they netted, not all. Some departments had expenses there. Based on these expenses that are -- the expense categories listed, and the income categories listed, I am pretty sure
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A Yes.
Q So you took the value that you got from the comps and adjusted it to account for items that existed at Mar-a-Lago that didn't exist with the comps, correct?

A There were major items that were added to the facility. I don't remember specifically if they were or were not on the comps. My guess is they were not with the comps.

Q Well, we can agree that the comps you used didn't have a grand ballroom or cabanas, right?

A The grand ballroom, definitely not. The cabanas maybe, maybe not.

Q Okay. At any rate you adjusted the value upward to account for -- to equate the property you were valuing, Mar-a-Lago, with the comps that you were using, correct?

A We tried, yes.
Q Now, you also testified about using a comp to value Trump Tower in 2015. And you discussed using the sale of the Crown Building. Do you recall that?

A Yes.
Q And am I correct that you did not do any upward or downward adjustment to account for any differences between Trump Tower and the Crown Building, right?

A That's correct.
MR. AMER: Let's pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 731
in native. And let's go to row 48.

Q And this is the section that you discussed on your direct exam where you talked about using the Crown Building as the comp, right?

A Yes.
Q And based on the information on this spreadsheet you took the sale price, you divided it by the number of square feet, and you calculated a value per square foot for the comp, which is the Crown Building, right?

A That's correct.
Q And then you simply multiplied that value per square foot by the number of square feet in Trump Tower, correct?

A Correct.
Q And that's how you came up with the value, correct?
A Correct.
Q So this assumes there are no differences at all between the Crown Building and Trump Tower that would have necessitated some type of adjustment up or down to level the comp with Trump Tower, correct?

A We didn't make any adjustments, up or down.
Q Right. But my question is, then you are - the way you did it assumed that there was no difference between the two buildings that would have warranted making an adjustment up or down, correct?
A There is always a difference between buildings, there has to be size, location, whatever. But this was the way we
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valued it. It is what we thought was the best value that we came up with.

Q So -- Go ahead. Finish your answer.
A We didn't make the adjustments that you are suggesting.

Q So knowing that there is always a difference between buildings, you didn't take that into account, fair?

A Fair.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and pull up -- or go
to row 590.
Q And this is 100 Central Park South. Do you recall you discussed this with Mr. Suarez yesterday, right?

A Correct.
Q And line 597 is where there is a value for 18 unsold units. Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q And I want to understand your testimony correctly. Was it your testimony that all 18 unsold units were rent stabilized in this building?

A I don't think so, because I know there are some stabilized and some free market.

Q So some of these 18 unsold units are stabilized and some are free market; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q And they were all valued as though they could be sold

at the time.
Q At any rate, as you sit here today, you don't know one way or the other what condition those rent-stabilized apartments were in for Trump Park Avenue; is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And you mentioned Trump Park Avenue -- Trump Central Park South, I think you called it the Barbizon Hotel. When did that get converted to residential condo?

A I believe somewhere around 1985, 1986, I think.
Q So almost 40 years ago, yes?
A Yes.
Q And so despite the fact that it has been almost 40 years, there are still rent-stabilized units in that building, correct?

A Yes.
Q And is it fair to say that you were consistent in how you valued rent-stabilized apartments across both of these buildings, in that you purposely valued them as if the stabilized units could be sold without regard to rent stabilization restrictions, correct?
A Right.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up Defendant's 1042 in evidence.
Q Which is one of the rent-rolls you were shown. Do you recall seeing this rent-roll that you were forwarding to
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to be fixed up because they wouldn't have been renovated during the period of the stabilized tenancy?

A Right. These apartments haven't been renovated for years.

Q So it is deducting from the value of the sale of the unit what Trump Organization would need to put into the unit to fix it up before it could be sold once it becomes a free market apartment, correct?

A Yes.
Q You didn't do that for any of the stabilized units at Trump Park Avenue, did you?

A No, I did not.
Q So the effect of not deducting the cost of fixing up the stabilized units when they became vacant for Trump Park Avenue would be to inflate the value of those apartments with respect to valuing them as free market apartments, correct?

A Unless there was some work done. We purchased the Delmonico, I think it is the Delmonico Hotel, more recently than the Barbizon. The Barbizon Hotel was Trump Park East. They may have done some construction work when they were renovating the other apartments, I don't know if they did or didn't. But nobody told me we had to expend money to fix these stabilized apartments, hotel units, whatever.

Q Did you ask somebody?
A I am sure Allen Weisselberg would have said something

A Can you just scroll to where the rent-roll begins, please?

Q Sure. And maybe we can get you a copy of it.
A Yes, that looks familiar. (Handing)
Q Am I correct that the purpose in sending the -- this rent-roll to Mazars, was to allow Mazars to prepare tax returns for the building?

A It was probably one of the purposes. I don't know of the exact purpose why they would ask for it.

Q Can we agree that it was not sent for any purpose relating to the preparation of the Statement of Financial Conditions?

A I can't say that one way or the other. I don't remember.

Q You are the one who sent it, right?
A Yeah, I sent it in 2012, and I have sent a few e-mails since then.

What this -- it happens to be the same date as the June of 2012 Statement of Financial Condition. I am not going to tell you one way or the other why I sent this or why they asked for it.

MR. AMER: Can we go back to the first page?
Q Nothing in the subject line indicates that it has



1 A If you show me the finalized spreadsheet, I could probably answer it better. Um --

Q Let's start with your recollection.
A My recollection was that if we didn't convince Donald Bender that the four percent was right, it would have been changed to what Donald Bender suggested.

Q What was Donald Bender suggesting, if you remember?
A That I don't remember. Again, that's why I would like to see the spreadsheet, the final spreadsheet.

Q And to the extent you're leaving a voicemail message for Mr. Flores to help convince Mr. Bender to accept the four percent cap rate, you are involving yourself in the preparation of the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition; correct?

A If your definition of involving myself, a phone call, I wouldn't consider that involving myself. It's having a conversation with Ray.

Q You intervened to leave a message for Mr. Flores to assist in getting Mr. Bender to accept the four percent cap rate; fair?

A Intervened? I asked Ray for backup to come up with the backup for -- to justify the four percent cap rate we used.

Q Mr. Birney could have left a voicemail message, but he didn't. You did; right?

A I don't know what Patrick Birney was doing at the time. He may have been busy, he may have been out of country, so I
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don't know.
Q I may have misspoken, by the way. This relates to the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition, right, not the 2021. I think I misspoke.

A Yes, it relates to the 2020 statement.
Q Regardless of whether you knew what Mr. Birney was doing or not, you are the one who left the message for Ray, not him; correct?

A That's correct.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 857, native.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. AMER: Let's go to row 86. I think you have to go to the left.
Q So this is Niketown. This is the 2020 final supporting spreadsheet, because it has "PBC" on the upper left; correct?

A Yes.
Q And this has both the 2019 and the 2020 values; correct?

A Correct.
Q And you'll see for 2019 it's -- the method is NOI divided by cap rate to get the value; correct?

A For 2019, yes.
Q And that value is \(\$ 445\) million; right?
come up with a 20 -year discounted cash flow in order to justify the value that would be used for 2020 ?

A I will state that the value was reduced. I don't know the sequence of events, whether Ray, on his own, came up with the 20-year cash flow, because he thought he couldn't justify the four percent. Or Bender was saying no way in heck I'm going to it take it, come up with a different method.

I wasn't part of that conversation, that I remember, but I will state that there is a reduction in the value to \(\$ 252\) million and change.

Q But there's also a change in the methodology; right? There is no longer the NOI divided by cap rate. There's, instead, a discounted cash flow that's being used as the basis; correct?

A So. There are many methods to value a property.
A Yes.
Q And there's an entirely different method used for 2020 that doesn't use a cap rate. It just says, in row 106, "Value per 20-year discounted cash flow from Ray Flores." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And the value drops to 252 million and change; right?
A Yes.
Q So, does it appear from this that Mr. Bender did not accept the four percent cap rate and instead Mr. Flores had to
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer) Page 5109 & J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer) Page 5111 \\
\hline 1 parenthetical you disclosed to people that you took 30 percent & 1 direct? \\
\hline 2 and not 100 percent of the balance sheet amount; is that right? & 2 A \\
\hline 3 A Yes. & 3 Q And am I correct that the fixed assets value shown on \\
\hline 4 Q Am I also correct, though, that there's nowhere on this & 4 row 299 includes the purchase price plus the costs of \\
\hline 5 document where you disclose that the bank account where the & 5 improv \\
\hline 6 Vornado cash sits was not under Mr. Trump's control? & 6 A Corre \\
\hline 7 A Correct. & 7 Q And then I believe you said that the brand premium \\
\hline 8 Q And if I asked you the same question about the other & 8 reflects the fact that Donald Trump spent funds to bring the \\
\hline 9 cash and short-term investment spreadsheets that you looked at & 9 club up to five-star status; is that right? \\
\hline 10 on your direct, would you have the same answer? & 10 A It's -- it's not the same type of brand as discussed in \\
\hline 11 A Relating to the Huds & 11 the footnote where Donald Trump has a brand, a Trump brand. \\
\hline 12 Q Yes, and the fact that it does not disclose anywhere & 12 This is an operational branded adjustment for the money spent to \\
\hline 13 that the bank account where the money sits was not under & 13 bring the property up to the five-star rating that Mr. Trump \\
\hline 14 Mr. Trump's control. & 14 likes. \\
\hline 15 Would your answer be the same with respect to those & 15 Q I think you got there eventually, but let me just \\
\hline 16 documents? & 16 confirm it. \\
\hline 17 A It was not & 17 The brand premium that's added in rows 300 and 301 is \\
\hline 18 MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and pull up Defendant's & 18 to account for the money that Donald Trump spent to bring the \\
\hline 19 Exhibit 1021 in evidence & 19 club up to five-star status; is that correct? \\
\hline 20 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 20 A Yes. \\
\hline 21 screen.) & 21 Q And those same funds are also included in the value of \\
\hline 22 MR. & 22 fixed assets, based on the testimony you gave us a minute ago; \\
\hline 23 Q Do you recall reviewing this document on your direct & 23 correct? \\
\hline 24 relating to the allocation of the purchase price for Jupiter? 25 A Yes. & 24 A Not necessarily all of them. It could be repairs -25 um, not every penny that was spent would have been capitalized. \\
\hline & 25 um, not every penny that was spent would have been capitalized. \\
\hline J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer) Page 5110 & J. McConney - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer) Page 5112 \\
\hline 1 Q And am I correct that the purchase price includes the & 1 So if we did a repair to a building, we replaced the carpeting \\
\hline 2 membership deposit liabilities? & 2 or something, they may or may not be in there. So I didn't \\
\hline 3 A That we assumed, yes. & 3 trace every single penny we spent. \\
\hline Q Okay. And if you look down at the bottom -- I'm sorry, & 4 Q There's some overlap in terms of including money to fix \\
\hline 5 the very bottom where the tabs are, do you see there's a second & 5 up the club that's included in the value fixed assets and the \\
\hline 6 tab that says alloc, okay by Bob Salmore. Do you see that? & 6 money spent to bring it up to five-star status; correct? \\
\hline A Yes. & 7 A There was some overlap, yes. \\
\hline 8 Q Am I correct that Mr. Salmore is an accountant with the & 8 Q And with respect to the money that's in this overlap \\
\hline 9 McGladrey firm down in Florida? & 9 bucket, you are counting it twice; aren't you? \\
\hline 10 A He was a partner. He has since retired, or retired as & 10 A We didn't think of it that way. \\
\hline 11 of now. & 11 Q Well, but you are; aren't you? \\
\hline 12 Q But with a firm that was named McGladrey; correct? & 12 A Um, it -- no. We felt that this - these improvements \\
\hline 13 A RSM McGladrey, McGladrey Pullen. It's like Spahr & 13 would just bring it up to the five-star status. \\
\hline 14 Lacher, they had mergers over time. & 14 Q Right. And you are including it as a justification for \\
\hline 15 Q Just to be clear, this spreadsheet that we are looking & 15 adding a premium, and you are also including it in the value in \\
\hline 16 at was prepared by his accounting firm not Mazars; right? & 16 row 299 ; yes? \\
\hline 17 A I thought I said that, but yes. & 17 A Can I get a read back, or can you say that again, \\
\hline 18 MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and pull up Plaintiff's & 18 please. \\
\hline 19 Exhibit 731, in native, which is the 2015 Jeff's Supporting & 19 MR. AMER: We could have a read back, your Honor. \\
\hline 20 Data spreadsheet. & 20 THE COURT: Read back, please. \\
\hline 21 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 21 A I still don't agree with your theory. But I'm trying \\
\hline 22 screen.) & 22 to find a way to explain it better. \\
\hline 23 MR. AMER: Let's go to row 280 -- I'm sorry, row & 23 Q It's not a theory. It's a yes or no question. \\
\hline 24 297, which is Colts Neck. & 24 A No. \\
\hline 25 Q Do you recall testifying about this section on your & 25 MR. AMER: Okay. I have nothing further, your \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r} 
Proceedings & Page 5113 & \\
1 & Honor. & 1 \\
2 & THE COURT: Nothing further. Okay. & 2 \\
3 & Will there be any redirect. & 3 \\
4 & MR. SUAREZ: We have no further questions, your & 4 \\
5 & Honor. & 5 \\
6 & THE COURT: Okay. Then we are through with this & 6 \\
7 & witness. & 7 \\
8 & Thank you, Mr. McConney. You are free to go. & 8 \\
9 & We would like to do a little scheduling discussion, & 9 \\
10 & including I know -- wondering what's going to happen a week & 10 \\
11 & from this Friday. So next Friday. I'll turn the microphone & 11 \\
12 & over to Allison. & 12 \\
13 & MR. ROBERT: As things stand now, that will & 13 \\
14 & probably the spillover for Unell, but we'll have a better & 14 \\
15 & sense of that on Monday once we get through the witnesses & 15 \\
16 & Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday. & 16 \\
17 & You want to start with Monday? You said next & 17 \\
18 & Friday. & 18 \\
19 & THE COURT: That's what I'm asking you about. & 19 \\
20 & MR. ROBERT: Next Friday, I think, is still going & 20 \\
21 & to be the spillover from Unell. Based on how quickly we are & 21 \\
22 & getting through Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday, I'll then & 22 \\
23 & know if we have somebody else on Friday, depending on when & 23 \\
24 & Unell is going to start. & 24 \\
25 & Right now, as things stand, we have booked for & 25
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|cc} 
Proceedings \\
1 & Monday, Tuesday Wednesday and Thursday. \\
2 & THE COURT: Can you have a backup ready in case \\
3 & there is no spillover? \\
4 & MR. ROBERT: We will by the time -- we are talking \\
5 & to witnesses about moving things along, but I don't know \\
6 & yet, based on how things are going to go Monday, Tuesday and \\
7 & Wednesday. I could report back Monday morning at that point \\
8 & as to where we are going to be by Friday. \\
9 & THE COURT: Okay. \\
10 & MR. ROBERT: It's easy. \\
11 & MR. WALLACE: Do you have a sense of how long \\
12 & direct for Hawthorn is expected to take? \\
13 & MR. ROBERT: I don't. I would expect it to be \\
14 & several hours. Hopefully I could get it done by the lunch \\
15 & break. I just don't know. \\
16 & Do you have any sense of the cross of him? \\
17 & MR. WALLACE: Depends on what we hear, but I \\
18 & imagine it would be shorter than that. If that means three \\
19 & hours, I don't imagine it would be longer than an hour. \\
20 & MR. ROBERT: Just so we are clear, depending on \\
21 & what happens on Monday, we may have to break in terms of \\
22 & stopping a witness in the middle, because Tuesday we have \\
23 & the Deutsche Bank people coming in pursuant to our \\
24 & subpoenas, so with the Court's permission, since \\
25 & Mr. Hawthorn and Mr. Birney are employees of ours, we'll
\end{tabular}
then accommodate the people coming in from out of town.
THE COURT: It's okay with me.
MR. WALLACE: They accommodated that for us to do it with Mr. Haigh, so I don't see -- it's actually an appropriate time for the goose-gander rule, I think.

MR. ROBERT: In the Thanksgiving spirit, we accept that.

THE COURT: Well, everybody, that's is it. Have a great --

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: -- couple of days off. Eat well, drink well, be merry. So long. 10:00 Monday.

MR. ROBERT: Yes, sir.
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned to Monday, November 27, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.)
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\text { Allison (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 1(1) \\
& 5007: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & Abe (1) 5004:12 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4996:25;4997:1,2; } \\
& 5099: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4987: 8 ; 4990: 9 \\
& 4992: 16 ; 5064: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5113: 12 \\
& \text { alloc (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$1.9 (1) & Aberdeen (4) & activity (1) & 5066:22;5068:12; & \[
5110: 6
\] \\
\hline 4993:25 & 5035:5,13;5038:4; & 5080:2 & 5073:3,6;5075:9; & allocate (4) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 10,900(1) \\
5007 \cdot 6
\end{gathered}
\] & 5041:5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { actually (13) } \\
& \text { 4993:10;4998:3; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5079: 14 \\
\text { advice (3) }
\end{array}
\] & 5028:22,24;5029:1,6 allocation (5) \\
\hline 5002:6 & able (2)
5042:15;5053:3 & 5008:23;5017:22; & 5089:17,24;5090:5 & 5028:21;5029:9,12; \\
\hline 4985:25 & above (2) & 5034:13;5052:6; & affect (1) & 5040:21;5109:24 \\
\hline \$14,264,000 (1) & 5061:24;5092:20 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5059:16;5060:14; } \\
& \text { 5062:6;5063:17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5045:3 \\
affiliated (1)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
allow (1) \\
5100:8
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 4989:25 & absolutely (2)
\(4983 \cdot 5 \cdot 4992\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5062: 6 ; 5063: 17 ; \\
& 5065: 8 ; 5093: 4 ; 5115: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { affiliated (1) } \\
5049: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5100:8 \\
allowed (2)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5071:9 & accept (10) & acumen (1) & afforded (1) & 4983:6;5057:8 \\
\hline \$18 (1) & 4992:4,5;5104:12, & 5004:20 & 5056:6 & almost (5) \\
\hline 5027:4 & 17,25;5105:11,18; & Adam (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { again (17) } \\
& 5006 \cdot 8) 5 \cdot 5007 \cdot 7 .
\end{aligned}
\] & 4996:19;5024:13; \\
\hline \$21,000 (1) & 5107:10;5108:9; &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
5006:8,25;5007:7; \\
5024:12.5025:17.
\end{tabular} & 5076:14;5099:10,12 \\
\hline 5007:15 & 5115:6 & \begin{tabular}{l}
add (2) \\
5065:15;5069:25
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5024:12;5025:17; } \\
& \text { 5057:2;5060:10; }
\end{aligned}
\] & along (2) 5057:7;5114:5 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 24(1) \\
& 5032: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { accepted (1) } \\
5036: 10
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5065:15;5069:25 \\
added (22)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5057:2;5060:10; } \\
& \text { 5062:16;5074:16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5057:7;5114:5 \\
alongside (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \$251 (1) & accommodate (1) & 5014:18,20;5019:15, & 5084:10;5088:20; & 5072:1 \\
\hline 5097:10 & 5115:1 & 21;5020:8;5022:3; & 5090:13;5092:22; & alternatives (1) \\
\hline \$252 (1) & accommodated (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
5024:21;5025:4; \\
5026:1,19-5027:21.
\end{tabular} & 5093:1;5104:14; & 5029:18 \\
\hline 5107:19 & 5115:3 & 5026:1,19;5027:21; & 5105:8;5112:17 & always (9)
4983:12:4999:10: \\
\hline \$309 (1)
5019:14 & according (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5036:2,23;5058:20; } \\
& \text { 5062:7,16;5067:17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { against (1) } \\
5053: 18
\end{gathered}
\] & 4983:12;4999:10; \\
\hline 5019:14
\(\mathbf{\$ 3 2 7}\) (1) & 5029:22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5062:7,16;5067:17; } \\
& \text { 5080:11,19;5093:24; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5053:18 } \\
& \text { ago (7) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5019:2;5021:8,20; } \\
& \text { 5031:6;5085:22; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 327(1) \\
5002: 7
\end{gathered}
\] & account (9) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5080: 11,19 ; 5093: 24 ; \\
& 5094: 5 ; 5111: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ago (7) } \\
& 4993: 6 ; 4994: 15 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5031: 6 ; 5085: 22 ; \\
& \text { 5095:24;5096:6 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$347 (1) & 21;5096:7;5109:5,13; & adding (3) & 5000:1;5076:14; & Amanda (1) \\
\hline 5021:18 & 5111:18 & 5012:25;5065:11; & 5079:6;5099:10; & 5074:4 \\
\hline \$348.8 (1) & accountant (3) & 5112:15 & 5111:22 & amendment (1) \\
\hline 4994:2 & 5042:10,15;5110:8 & addition (2) & agree (12) & 4989:25 \\
\hline \$4 (1) & accountants (2) & 5025:5,7 & 5009:3;5051:8; & AMER (80) 4987:6;4990.7 \\
\hline 5006:22 & 4997:22;5029:23 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { additional (1) } \\
5068: 14
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5059:4,13,21;5073:17; } \\
& \text { 5087:23;5088:17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4987:6;4990:7; } \\
& \text { 4992:14;4997:13,18; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 405(1) \\
& 5021: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & accounting (18) & \[
\begin{gathered}
5068: 14 \\
\text { additions (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 5094:8;5100:12; & 4998:18;5011:7,25; \\
\hline \$445 (1) & 5023:7,7,12;5024:5; & 5018:17;5036:19 & 5101:2;5112:21 & 5013:10;5014:23; \\
\hline 5106:25 & 5028:22;5036:16; & addressing (1) & agreed (5) & 5016:11;5017:17; \\
\hline \$50,000 (1) & 5042:12;5079:2; & 5081:8 & 5051:11,21,22,24; & 5021:4,10;5035:6,9,11; \\
\hline 5013:22 & 5087:12,13,18,24; & adds (1) 5066:12 & 5060:11 & 5040:13,23;5044:14, 16,20.5045:1,11,20,23 \\
\hline \(\mathbf{\$ 5 0 0 , 0 0 0 ~ ( 1 ) ~}\)
\(\mathbf{5 0 7 5 . 1 3}\) & 5091:10,17;5110:16 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 5066:12 } \\
\text { adjourned (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { agreement (8) } \\
& 5050: 11 ; 5052: 10,14 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 16,20;5045:1,11,20,23, } \\
& \text { 25;5046:2,10;5048:7; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5075:13
\(\mathbf{\$ 5 4 0}(1)\) & accounts (5)
5009:11-5010:10,21; & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { adjourned (1) } \\
5115: 14
\end{gathered}
\] & 5050:11;5052:10,14; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 25;5046:2,10;5048:7; } \\
& \text { 5049:22;5050:2,10; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
540(1) \\
5034: 3
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
5023: 13,13
\] & adjust (2) & 5076:11,14 & 5051:10;5053:11,14, \\
\hline \$57 (2) & accrual (1) & 4996:15;5009:2 & ahead (19) & 15;5054:8,11,17; \\
\hline 5020:11,11 & 4991:1 & adjusted (3) & 5029:7;5043:21; & 5055:2,15,23;5057:21; \\
\hline \$60 (1) & accurate (6) & 5031:25;5094:3,12 & 5055:2;5057:21; & 5060:20;5061:6,9,12; \\
\hline 5036:24 & 5017:23;5021:1,6,7; & adjustment (5) & 5063:6;5065:19; & 5062:1,15;5063:6; \\
\hline \$62 (1) & 5053:5;5054:6 & 5035:25;5094:21; & 5068:18;5072:1; & 5064:1,5;5065:19; \\
\hline 5035:24 & accused (1) & 5095:17,22;5111:12 & 5073:7;5078:19; & 5066:20,24;5068:9,18;
5069:10.5072.1. \\
\hline \$7 (2) & 5042:25 & adjustments (4) & 5089:10,12;5096:3,9; & 5069:10;5072:1; \\
\hline 5006:4;5025:23 & achieved (1) & 4989:17;4996:20; & 5097:16;5099:22; & 5073:3,7;5075:6; \\
\hline \$71 (1) & 5025:20 & 5095:19;5096:4 & 5106:10;5109:18; & 5078:19;5079:11; \\
\hline 5020:5 & acquire (1) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { admissibility (2) } \\
5053: 9,17
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
5110: 18
\] \\
Alan (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5084:19;5085:11,12; } \\
& \text { 5090:14,24;5092:1; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 72.53(1) \\
4989: 6
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5035: 19 \\
\text { acquired (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & admissible (4) & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { Alan (1) } \\
5078: 8
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5090: 14,24 ; 5092: 1 ; \\
& 5094: 24 ; 5096: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$735 (2) & 5004:8 & 5051:22,24;5052:11, & Allen (11) & 5099:22;5100:24; \\
\hline 5032:4,16 & acquiring (1) & \[
18
\] & 4994:19;5030:22; & 5102:10;5106:10,14; \\
\hline \[
\$ 74 \text { (1) }
\]
\[
5036: 3
\] & \(5030: 9\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { admit (9) } \\
& 5049: 22 ; 5053: 22 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5031:24;5044:9; \\
5047:6,8;5069:5;
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
5108:3,15;5109:18,22; \\
5110:18,23;5112:19,25
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(5036: 3\) & acre (2)
\(5020: 6\) & 5054:13;5064:1; & 5076:22;5078:10,12; & Americas (10) \\
\hline 4994:1 & acres (7) & 5066:20;5068:9; & 5098:25 & 5006:1,17;5007:4, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 13;5009:24;5010:1; & \[
1,15,18
\] & 5000:10;5008:19 & 4993:7;4997:1,3; & bankruptcy (3) \\
\hline 5012:16,17,22;5018 & appraisals (6) & 2,14,16 & 5004:7;5006:1,17; & 5024:15,16-502 \\
\hline among (1) & 5030:23;5031:9,10 & :17,18;5025:25; & 5009:22,24; & banks (2) \\
\hline 5057:10 & 1215 & :8;5029:1,4; & 1;5012:16,16,17, & 5004:14;5005:2 \\
\hline amo & appreciate & 5036:18;5039:1 & 16:23;5018:6; & banquet (1) \\
\hline 5057:10 & 5035:12 & 5042:13,25;5043:1 & 62:14;5097:5,10,13; & 5031:3 \\
\hline amo & Apprentice & 7;5055:8;5075:2; & 5098:11,15;5099:4,6 & Barbizon (3) \\
\hline 5002:3;5006:4,22 & 5042:13 & 89:20;5111:3,2 & average (4) & 5098:19,19 \\
\hline 5007:6,15;5009:1 & ap & 112: & 5017:11;5018 & based (26) \\
\hline 8. & 5 & a & 5019:13;5089:1 & 899:17; \\
\hline 20 & appropriately (1) & 5051:5;5088: & avoi & 003:4,14;5008:1 \\
\hline 5075:14;5109:2 & 5061:18 & assignments ( & 5048:5;5049: & 5015:21;5016:21; \\
\hline amo & a & & awa & 017:1,3,10,15,19,20; \\
\hline 500 & - & assis & \[
5079: 1 ; 5090
\] & 5037:12;5043:16; \\
\hline 30:1 & ap & 5105:18 & away ( & 5044:7;5050:11; \\
\hline 5081:16 & 5046:17 & as & 5019:10 & 069:17;5088:1 \\
\hline  &  & \[
\mathbf{A}
\] & B & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 092: 24 ; 5093: 1 \\
& 095: 5 ; 5108: 8 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline annual (6) & Ap & 5004:12,18;5005:2; & & 111:22;5113:2 \\
\hline 4993:17 & 5008:7 & 07:3,12 & & 5114:6 \\
\hline 5092:8,11;5093:2 & & & & basically (5) \\
\hline anym & & 5011:17;5012: & 91:1;4992:22 & 4987:3;4996:16 \\
\hline 5042:5, & argu & 5013 & 5004:10,12,14; & 019:17;5020:3 \\
\hline Apartment & 5051:18;5053:20,20 & assu & 009:15;5013 & 5026:11 \\
\hline & argu & 5054:12; & 5,7 & basis (13) \\
\hline 4986:2,13;4988:6,11; & 5025:10 & assumed (7) & 25 & 4991:1;49 \\
\hline 4989:18;5001:17,21, & ar & & 7-5 & 996:17,20;500 \\
\hline 24;5098:8 & &  & \(5034: 25 ; 5037: 5\),
\(5040: 1: 5041: 4\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5029: 3 ; 5031: 6,21 \\
& 5032: 1.5: 5054: 5:
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline apartm & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { argumentative (2) } \\
5060: 13 ; 5061: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & 5095:21;5110:3 & 5040:1;5041:4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5032: 1,5 ; 5054: 5 ; \\
& 5067: 25 ; 5107: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5098:3,15,16,21,23 & arou & & 5054:19;5076:13 & BBWG (1) \\
\hline 5099:4,17 & & At & 5085:5,9;5086:2 & 4992:7 \\
\hline apologize (1) & - & & 17. & beach (5) \\
\hline 5047:3 & 93:6;5099:9 & atta & 5089:13;5090:15,1 & 5018:18;5 \\
\hline appear & arra & & 097:17;5100:24; & 5020:5,9 \\
\hline 4991:14 & 5071:3,1 & & 5108:3,4,5;5112:17,19, & became (4) \\
\hline 5018:15;5059:7; & 2.11 & 057 & 20;5114:7 & 5026:18;5047:25; \\
\hline 5107:9 & ar & & bac & 5052:6;509 \\
\hline app & & at & 4999:6,7;5003 & becomes (1) \\
\hline \[
50
\] & & & & \[
5098
\] \\
\hline \[
49
\] & arri & 4992:18 & ba & 5048:4 \\
\hline 4999:21;5009:20 & & at & 5060:3 & Bedminster (4) \\
\hline 5035:14;5052:5; & Art & 2 & balance & 5023:20,22;5024:6, \\
\hline 5072:4;5108:9 & A & 5041:6;5080:2, & 5005: & 24 \\
\hline & & Attor & 12,20,21,24,5007.1,5 & begin (1) \\
\hline 4996:16 & 33:22 & 5042 & 8,14,17;5008:15,23; & 5046:5 \\
\hline applicable & assembled & Audio & 5009:1,1,2;5011:2 & beginning (2) \\
\hline 5049:13;50 & 5035:22 & 99 & 5012:23,24;5023:16 & 5055:19,25 \\
\hline applied (1) & asse & & 18;5024:5;5026:20; & begins (5) \\
\hline 5085:25 & asse & & 5108:20-5109:2 & 055:21;5058:12 \\
\hline Applyi & asse & & 5108:20;5109 & 5069:14;5080:1; \\
\hline 5064:15 22.5069: & 5036: & 52 & bala & 5100:2 \\
\hline \(5064: 15,22 ; 5069\)
\(5070: 10,13\) & & & . 2 & behind (1) \\
\hline 5070:10, &  & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
5104:3 \\
belonged
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5049:13 &  & \[
51: 2
\] & ball & \[
5060: 18
\] \\
\hline appointing & asset (10) & 5052:14,19;5053:1 & 50 & Below (2) \\
\hline 5049:16 & 5010:7,7 & automatica & bank (15) & 4992:5;5013:22 \\
\hline appraisal & 5024:8;5026:16,20 & 4987 & 5,5 & Bender (58) \\
\hline 5001:1;5030 & 5090:21 & A & 5009:1,3,4,1,5033 & 4987:2, \\
\hline 21,23;5031:5,11,16; & 5090:21 & 4988:2;4990:17; & 5009:1,3,4,11;5033:13; & 4987:2,3;4997:12,16; \\
\hline 5033:11,17,20;5034:8, & assets (25) & 4991:1;4992:20; & 5109:5,13;5114:23 & 4998:2,20,23,24; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4999:3,13,15,16; & books (1) & bucket (1) & called (8) & 5035:19 \\
\hline 5007:25;5008:5,9,9 & 5023:9 & & 4987:17;4993:24; & capitalization (5) \\
\hline 5009:12;5014:19,22 & both & bucks & 7:10;5004:11 & ,4; \\
\hline 5015:3;5033:1,11; & 5026 & 993: & 06:18;5063:13 & 5069:15;5070:13 \\
\hline 5034:11,17,21; & 64:18; & B & 7:15;5099:7 & apitali \\
\hline 5038:16,24;5043 & 6:19 & 13 & cam & 5111:25 \\
\hline 46:14-5057-3. & & & 4 & are (2) \\
\hline 63:12;5065:2,12,15; & 42:19 & 22, & 14:20;5015:1,21,22; & 5088:3 \\
\hline 5066:2;5067:6,14; & bo & :9,11;5093: & 21;5017:11,21; & carpeting ( \\
\hline 5068:14,16,24; & 4988:23;5055:22 & d & :6,6,7, & 5112:1 \\
\hline 5072:14,16;5073:14; & 8:13; & 093: & 5024:5;5030:7; & carried (2) \\
\hline 5100:1;5104:12,17,25; & 5064:14,24;5065:3 & build & 032:2,3,10;5033:1 & 5060:14, \\
\hline 5105:5,6,7,11,18; & 5067:18,20,22;5070:4, & 5023:24;5035:2 & \[
36: 1,3,20,24
\] & case (8) \\
\hline 5107:9,16;5108:9 & 5082:2;5083:5; & 7:15,17 & 46: & 5022:20 \\
\hline Bender's (3) & 5092:15;5110:4 & 992 & 5:13 & 046:16;5061:19 \\
\hline 4987:1,22 & bous & 2:9;4993 & 096:2;5101:1 & 5076:20;5084:21; \\
\hline beneath (1) & 4:3;5025 & \[
: 6 ; 4996: 24,25
\] & 5107:1 & 5114:2;5115:14 \\
\hline 4985:6 & 5026:4 & :3,5,7,9;5004:5 & Camron (2) & cases (1) \\
\hline ben & Bo & 5017:6;5029:2,2; & 5079:9,23 & 5068:4 \\
\hline 76:5 & 19:8, & 10.5038.19. & Can (74) & cash (57) \\
\hline best & bounced (1) & :16;5094:18,22; & 4984:18;4985 & 5005:21 \\
\hline 5005:24;5017:25; & 92:6 & 096:19; & 4991:11;4993 & 6,9,10,12,14,15,20,21, \\
\hline 9: & & 5097:6;5099:13; & 4996:16,23;4998 & 24;5007:1,5,7,10,11, \\
\hline 5021: & 8:7 & 5100:9;5112:1 & 4999:20;5000:5,8; & 14,16,19;5008:14,22; \\
\hline 5030:24;5050:24; & br & b & 5001:4,8,23;5003:2 & 5009:12,13;5010:3,5,6, \\
\hline 5096:1 & 04:19 & 92:10,11;500 & 24;5005:18;5006:5, & 21,23;5011:2,17; \\
\hline better & 7:7;511 & 5:8,8,9,13 & 5007:2;5008:12; & 5012:25;5013:4,21,22; \\
\hline \[
5003: 16
\] & \[
11,17
\] & \[
3,9 ; 5018: 8
\] & \[
5010: 9,15 ; 5012
\] & 5014:13;5015:12; \\
\hline 5060:7; & brande & 22,24;5096: & 5013:25;5014:1 & 5016:4;5023:10,10; \\
\hline 5112:22;5113:14 & 5024:20 & 99:18 & 5016:11,24;5022: & 5028:5,23;5062:8; \\
\hline & break (5) & building's (2) & 5024:22;5028:10 & \[
5063: 4 ; 5064: 10,15
\] \\
\hline 5004:25 & & 5062:9;506 & 5029:5 & 5069:16;5070:13; \\
\hline bill (1) & \[
5088
\] & & \[
5032: 17 ; 5033: 16
\] & 5107:4,11,15,23; \\
\hline 4995:3 & breakd &  & \[
5037: 1 ; 5038: 15,1
\] & \[
5108: 20,23 ; 5109: 6,9,
\] \\
\hline billed ( & d & & 5039:3;5040:20; & 11 \\
\hline 4995:2 & breaker (1) & 5093 & 5045:20;5054:1 & casino (1) \\
\hline billing & 5019:13 & b & 5055:16;5059:13 & \[
5043: 14
\] \\
\hline 4994:13 & breaking & 5081:1 & 5060:3,10;5061:12,18; & Castle (2) \\
\hline billings (1) & 5038:6 & bus & & 4999:25,25 \\
\hline 5023:11 & Briarcliff & & 5072:20;5073:17; & categories (3) \\
\hline Birney (12) & 5024:23 & 5090:11,22;5093:13 & 5081:1:5084:9 & 5029:10;5092:24,25 \\
\hline 5076.18 & 5066:7;508 & busy (1) & 5087:23;5088:17; & category (1) \\
\hline 5080:15 & brief & 5:2 & 5089:12-5090:13,1 & 5068:17 \\
\hline 05-22 & br & C & & c'd (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5105:22,24;510 } \\
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\hline 5063:23;5073:3; & 5012:8;5013:16; & Eat (1) & entirely (1) & 5051:23;5053:19,22; \\
\hline 5079:16,19;5081:12; & 5014:1;5016:24; & 5115:11 & 5107:2 & 5054:15;5055:18; \\
\hline 5088:13,22;5091:3; & 5022:7;5023:19; & EBITDA (1) & entirety (4) & 5057:23;5064:4; \\
\hline 5092:4;5108:18; & 5026:6;5027:12,24; & 5089:18 & 5003:18,19;5050:1, & 5066:23;5068:13 \\
\hline 5109:5,23 & 5037:1;5044:3; & economic (3) & entities (14) & 5073:4,6;5075:10,19; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { documentation (2) } \\
4998: 4 ; 5047: 8
\end{gathered}
\] & 5077:18;5087:18;
5091:10:5095:17,19 & \[
5041: 12,13,13
\] & 5009:19,21;5010:9; & 5079:12,15;5091:1;
5092.5.5099.23. \\
\hline documents (7) & \[
23 ; 5104: 8 ; 5110: 4,9
\] & \[
5091: 5
\] & 5048:18,20;5049:1,13, & 5102:12;5109:19 \\
\hline 4990:19;5034:20,22; & downturn (2) & effect (3) & 20;5064:12;5076:8; & evolved (3) \\
\hline 5038:13;5051:11; & 5041:13,15 & 5027:2;5050:9 & 5088:6 & 5071:2,14;5072:10 \\
\hline 5091:17;5109:16 & downward (1) & 5098:13 & entity (10) & exact (2) \\
\hline dollars (3) & 5094:21 & eight (3) & 5004:11,16;5006:9 & 5046:24;5100:11 \\
\hline 4986:1;4993:18; & draft (14) & 4992:2;5064:7 & 18,20,20;5010:12; & exactly (3) \\
\hline 5036:21 & 5034:19,21;5046:21; & 5076:3 & 5012:15;5051:3,6 & 5012:21;5050:19,21 \\
\hline Don (3) & 5047:17,19;5051:16; & either (7) & equate (1) & exam (2) \\
\hline 5083:6,12;5084:5 & 5054:2,19;5061:21; & 4994:21;4999:4,14; & 5094:13 & 5095:2;5100:1 \\
\hline Donald (37) & 5063:16;5069:1; & 5014:10;5024:12; & equipment (1) & examination (3) \\
\hline 4986:24,25;4987:1, & 5102:13,17;5104:9 & 5037:22;5046:14 & 4993:13 & 4983:9;5045:10; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 22 ; 4997: 12,16 ; 4998: 2 \\
& 23: 4999: 15,16:
\end{aligned}
\] & drafted (3) 5054:9,11-5068:15 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { elements (1) } \\
5070: 14
\end{gathered}
\] & equivalent (1) & 5108:19 \\
\hline \[
5007: 25 ; 5033: 1,11 ;
\] & drafter (1) & else (9) & Eric (24) & 5046:8;5052:13; \\
\hline 5046:14;5047:5,11,19, & 5047:21 & 5005:6;5044:8; & 5049:17;5073:21,25, & 5076:5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline exchanged (1) & exists (1) & famous (1) & Financial (49) & fix (7) \\
\hline 5052:18 & 5068:4 & 5023:25 & 4995:20;4997:23; & 5022:17;5027:20; \\
\hline Exclusive (1) & expect (2) & far (6) & 5003:24;5004:13 & 5097:18,21;5098:7,22; \\
\hline 4989:24 & 5093:20;5114:13 & 5019:9;5037:4; & 5005:22;5008:20,20 & 5112:4 \\
\hline Excuse (10) & expected (1) & 5053:2,3;5058:6; & 5009:14;5013:3,4,5; & fixed (11) \\
\hline 5014:23,23;5020:2; & 5114:12 & 5087:7 & 5015:4,17;5024:16; & 5024:17,18;5025:25; \\
\hline 5035:10;5042:6; & expend (1) & Farkas (3) & 5036:17,21;5041:18, & 5036:18,19;5081:17; \\
\hline 5044:14,16;5049:5; & 5098:22 & 4986:25;4987:2 & 23;5043:17;5046:8; & 5089:20;5098:1; \\
\hline 5061:13,16 & expended (3) & 5100:1 & 5047:12;5055:6; & 5111:3,22;5112:5 \\
\hline executive (5) & 5027:20,20;5028:8 & Farwell (1) & 5056:4,20;5057:12,13; & fixing (3) \\
\hline 5048:4,12;5049:8, & expense (1) & 5016:17 & 5058:5;5063:17; & \[
4994: 21 ; 5026: 17
\] \\
\hline 17;5084:1 & 5092:24 & February (2) & 5073:18;5074:20; & 5098:13 \\
\hline exhibit (149) & expe & 4987:25;5073:16 & 5075:3;5076:17,23 & fixtures (1) \\
\hline 4983:21;4984:8,9, & 5029:2;5031:23 & Fed-Ex (1) & 5077:12,23;5078:2,17; & 5029:3 \\
\hline 19,20,23;4985:19; & 5092:14,15,19,20,23, & 4999:8 & 5080:14,25;5083:22; & flagship (1) \\
\hline 4986:15,22;4987:5,8, & 24;5093:19,20 & feedback (1) & 5084:16;5088:12,19; & 4993:6 \\
\hline 11;4988:5,15,21; & experts (3) & 5074:7 & 5093:5;5100:13,21; & flew (2) \\
\hline 4989:2,8;4990:6,9,12, & 5060:1;5089:18,25 & feel (5) & 5101:1;5105:13; & 5044:3,3 \\
\hline 13;4991:22;4992:13, & expiration (1) & 5041:22,24;5043:10; & 5106:3 & Flores (16) \\
\hline 16,23,24;4995:24; & 4985:25 & 5060:9;5086:8 & financials (1) & 4998:22;5076:21; \\
\hline 4998:11,16;4999:18; & expires (6) & fees (2) & 5091:4 & 5078:8;5086:8;5102:5; \\
\hline 5001:5,11,18;5005:14; & 4985:4,11,4988:9, & 5071:4;5072:1 & find (5) & 5104:1,2,7,11,16,19, \\
\hline 5009:16,20;5010:17 & explain (3) & feet (9 & 18:24;5020:3 & 24;5105:11,17;5107:4, \\
\hline 5013:9,13,16,17,19; & 5001:23;5007:2; & 5003:1,9,11,12,20; & 5112:22 & Flores' (1) \\
\hline \[
5015: 13 ; 5022: 9 ;
\] & \[
5112: 22
\] & \[
5095: 7,11
\] & fine (3) & \[
5086: 9
\] \\
\hline 5026:7;5027:13,25; & explaining & felt (4) & 5046:25;5072:14,17 & Florida (8) \\
\hline 5028:10,16;5029:25; & 5044:25 & 5041:14;5044:8,9; & fine-tooth (1) & 5022:11,13;5023:8; \\
\hline 5030:1;5031:19; & extending (1) & 5112:12 & 5108:12 & 5024:24;5044:4; \\
\hline 5032:18,19;5033:3,6, & 4994:21 & Ferry (5) & finish (3) & 5087:18;5088:5; \\
\hline 18,23;5035:2,9;5037:2, & extensions (1) & 5030:4,5,5,6,1 & 5008:8;5030:14; & 5110:9 \\
\hline 6,9;5040:7,17,19; & 5008:7 & few (5) & 5096:3 & flow (10) \\
\hline 5041:1,4;5046:11; & extent (2) & 5058:6;5080:16,17; & finished (4) & 5062:8;5063:1,4; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5048: 8 ; 5049: 22 \\
& \text { 5052:13,17;5055: }
\end{aligned}
\] & 4998:15;5105:10 & 5081:13;5100:18 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5024: 13 ; 5030: 1 \\
& 5046: 25 ; 5047: 7
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5064: 15 ; 5069: 16 ; \\
& 5070: 14 ; 5107: 4,11,15,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
5057: 22 ; 5058: 1,13
\] & \[
5052: 15
\] & 4993:7;4997:1,3 & finishing (1) & 23 \\
\hline 5061:23;5063:7,8,10; & & fighting (1) & 5024:1 & Flynn (1) \\
\hline 5064:3,8;5065:9,16,20, & F & 025: & firm & 4989:15 \\
\hline 20,21,23,25;5066:9,10, & & figure (4) & 4990:19,21;5009:6 & focus (3) \\
\hline 22,24,25;5067:2,4,5, & facilities (3) & 5003:12;5022:14 & 5028:22;5042:11; & 4984:22;5069:13; \\
\hline 12;5068:9,12,19,20,22; & 5022:1,3;5031:3 & 5027:5;5092:20 & 5060:1;5079:2;5110:9, & 5083:5 \\
\hline 5069:11,23;5070:5,19; & facility (2) & figured (1) & 12,16 & follow (5) \\
\hline 5072:2,5;5073:6,8,9, & 5024:21;5094:6 & 5003:16 & first (24) & 4986:11;5082:8,9; \\
\hline 11;5074:2,11;5075:7,9, & fact (8) & file (1) & 4984:11;4986:20 & 5086:12;5087:2 \\
\hline 19,20;5076:1;5077:7, & 5051:14;5059:2; & 5050:2 & 4991:24;4992:3; & followed (2) \\
\hline 19;5078:20,21,23,25; & 5074:6;5080:13,14; & files (1) & 4993:17;4996:2; & 5082:10,11 \\
\hline 5079:7,14,21;5089:11; & 5099:12;5109:12; & 5050:14 & 5013:23;5022:7; & following (7) \\
\hline 5090:25;5092:2,5; & 5111:8 & filing & 5036:20;5056:3; & 5020:16;5056:1,1, \\
\hline 5094:24;5102:14; & faded (1) & 4989:2 & 5061:14;5063:12 & 17;5062:19;5071:1; \\
\hline 5106:11,12;5108:13, & 4985:18 & filled (1) & 5064:6;5067:5; & 5103:2 \\
\hline 16;5109:19,20; & FAHERTY (1) & 5043:16 & 5068:24;5073:13; & foot (5) \\
\hline 5110:19,21 & 5021:13 & final (17) & 5079:7,20;5081:6,9,15; & 5002:4,6;5057:9; \\
\hline exist (6) & fair (7) & 5008:7;5047:12,20, & 5091:3;5092:7; & 5095:7,11 \\
\hline 5019:17;5071:3,15, & 5041:14;5059:16; & 23;5050:16;5053:4; & 5100:24 & footnote (6) \\
\hline 23;5072:11;5094:4 & 5085:18;5096:7,8; & 5054:2,12;5058:9; & five (3) & 4995:21;5006:2; \\
\hline existed (1) 5094:3 & 5099:16;5105:19
fall (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5059: 7,10 ; 5072: 2,8 \\
& 5075: 15.15: 5105: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4989:1;5005:1; } \\
& 5007: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5071: 25 ; 5081: 14,15 ; \\
& 5111: 11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
5094: 3 \\
\text { existence (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & fail (2)
5078:15;5080:24 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5075: 15,1 \\
& 5106: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & five-minute (1) & \(\xrightarrow{\text { footnotes (5) }}\) \\
\hline 5024:25 & familiar (5) & finalized (1) & 5081:5 & 5046:15;5061: \\
\hline existing (0) & 5077:2;5089:3,5; & 5105:1 & five-star (8) & 5074:14,24;5075:5 \\
\hline 5015:22;5024:12,14; & 5090:10;5100:5 & finance (4) & 5022:18;5025:2; & forecasted (5) \\
\hline 5025:15;5027:16; & family (4) & 4998:22;5023:15; & 5028:8;5111:9,13,19; & 5071:8,12,21; \\
\hline 5033:13 & 5043:4,6,7,9 & 5087:21;5091:9 & & 5072:18,23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Attorney General of the State of New York \(\mathbf{v}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline forget (2) & 5111:8,21 & 5026:9,11,12,18,19; & & higher (1) \\
\hline 5000:1;5040:6 & furniture (1) & 5027:8,10,20;5028:6,7; & H & 4996:22 \\
\hline form (2) & 5029:2 & 5030:4,9,9,12,15,15; & & highest (1) \\
\hline 5029:8;5050:9 & Further (7) & 5031:2;5035:15,23; & (2) & 5057:10 \\
\hline formal (1) & 5037:4;5044:15 & 5036:15;5066:7; & 5042:7;5045:14 & high-profile (1) \\
\hline 5048:15 & 5045:6;5054:17 & 5073:21;5074:4,7; & Haigh (1) & 5104:4 \\
\hline former (1) & 5112:25;5113:2, & 5088:5;5089:2,7,17,18, & \[
5115: 4
\] & Hill (1) \\
\hline 5076:8 & Future (5) & 25,25;5090:8;5092:13 & half (1) & 5025:21 \\
\hline forms (1) & \[
5023: 25 ; 5035: 23
\] & Good (9) & 4993:18 & Hirschfeld (1) \\
\hline 5043 & 5056:20;5 & 4983:15,16,17 & hand (2) & 50 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { formulating (1) } \\
& 5038: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & G & 38:6;5039:8; & \[
5076: 17 ; 5080: 15
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hit (1) } \\
& 5044: 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline forth (1) & & 5084:19,23 & 33:6;5065:2 & hold (2) \\
\hline \[
5081: 13
\] & GAAP (6) & Google (4) & 5067:4;5078:25 & \[
5021: 9,9
\] \\
\hline forwarded (2) 4992:6;5059: & 5055:21;5058:13
5060:16,12,21 & 5077:15,17,23; & Handing (5) & holdings (1) \\
\hline  & 5060:1,6,
GAAP' & 5078:16 & 5042:9;5048:9 & \[
50
\] \\
\hline 5099:25 & GAAP' \({ }_{\text {5056:3 }}\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { goose-gand } \\
5115: 5
\end{gathered}
\] & 57:25;5091:2; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 10les (2) } \\
& 5026: 14,
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline found (9) & gaming (1) & gospel (1) & handwriting (13) & home (1) \\
\hline 5012:13,18,20,21,21, & 5043:15 & 5053:13 & 5058:3,7,25;5059:5, & 5037:13 \\
\hline 24,24;5019:2;5020:5 & garbage (1) & government (1) & 9;5062:7;5063:2,23; & homes (3) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { foundation (1) } \\
& \text { 4997:17 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 5022:21 & 5052:2 & \[
5068: 1,6 ; 5070: 8
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5000:3;5037:15,17 } \\
& \text { Hong (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline four (21) & 5076:22;5078:8 & 4994:8;5019:16 & handwritten (9) & 5004:15,22;5005:7 \\
\hline 4985:13;4998:6,8; & gave (13) & 5020:9;5042:22; & 5058:9;5062:3; & Honor (30) \\
\hline 5007:12;5018:9,9; & 4999:9;5004:18 & 5094:9,10 & 5067:20,21;5071:7; & 4983:15;4987:4; \\
\hline 5031:2;5055:22; & 5032:7,8,9;5044:12 & Granted (16) & 5074:3;5081:24; & 4990:5;4992:12; \\
\hline 5081:15;5085:8; & 5047:17;5056:1; & 4987:7,4990:8 & 5083:4;5088:23 & 4998:15;5011:5,23; \\
\hline 5104:12,17,21,25. & 5059:13;5075:12, & 4992:15;5011:8 & happen (1) & 5013:8;5021:10; \\
\hline 5105:5,11,18,21;
5107:10,16-5108 & 5085:14;5104:3; & 5012:1;5013:11 & 5113:10 & 5038:6;5040:6; \\
\hline 5107:10,16;5108:9 & 5111:22 & :15 & happened & 4 \\
\hline \[
5036: 25
\] & gene & & 5005:5;5015:10; & 064:1;5066:20; \\
\hline fourth (1) & 14;5023:10;5037:25; & 5075:8;5079:13; & 5052:5 & 5068:10;5073:4; \\
\hline 4988:20 & 5042:21;5087:16 & 5092:3 & happens (2) & 5075:7;5079:11; \\
\hline frame (1) & generate (1) & great (4) & 5100:20;5114:21 & 5085:12;5090:14; \\
\hline 5074:19 & 5090:21 & 4983:18;5030:15 & hard (3) & 5092:1;5108:3; \\
\hline Francisco (3) 5016:18;5017:8,9 & generated (1) & 5041:24;5115:9
Greg (1) & \[
4998: 24 ; 5030:
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5112: 19 ; 5113: 1,5 ; \\
& 5115: 10
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5016:18;5017:8,9 & & & 5068: & 5115:10 \\
\hline Free (9)
4985:2 & gene & 5079:9 & Harris & Honorable (2) \\
\hline 4985:22,23;4989:15; & 保 & gr & 79:9, & 4983:2;5085:5 \\
\hline 5054:20;5096:21,23;
5098:7,16.5113:8 & gentleman (1) & 19,20;5090 & Hawthorn (2) & Honor's (1) \\
\hline 5098:7,16;5113:8 & 5017:16 & 11,19,20;5092:12,16, & 5114:12,25 & 5040:14 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { frequently (1) } \\
5061: 19
\end{gathered}
\] & George (4) & 20;5093:1,13,14,16
ground (12) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Hawthorn's (2) \\
5086:15
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hope (1) } \\
& 5061: 15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5061: 1 \\
& \text { fresh (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5037: 19 \\
& 5041: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & groun & 086: & \begin{tabular}{l}
5061:15 \\
Hopecroft
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5089:12 & given (3) & 4994:3,7,9,11,14,14,21, & 5018:21;5044:10; & 5037:12 \\
\hline Friday ( & 5009:11;5050:18 & 24;4995:4 & 5072:24 & Hopefully (1) \\
\hline 5043:6;5113:11,11, & 5102:21 & gr & hear (2) & 5114:14 \\
\hline 18,20,23;5114:8 & giving (3) & 5004:15,22;5005 & \[
5053: 11 ; 5114: 1
\] & Hotel (13) \\
\hline front (2) 4985:14.5042.22 & 4998:24;5056:11
5074:7 & 5023:23;5080:4 & hearsay (2) & 4986:7,8,19;
4988:19;4992:1 \\
\hline 4985:14;5042:22 & 5074:7 & grow & 5044:18;5053:1 & 988:19;49 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { frustrating (1) } \\
& 5044: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & gla & 5022:2 & heck (1) & 031:3;5036:1,23; \\
\hline Full (1) & goes (4) & 999:7;500 & help (4) & 23;5099:7 \\
\hline 5006:13 & 5004:10 & 5024:1;5072:14; & 04:20;5104:11,1 & hour (1) \\
\hline fully (3) & 5060:17;5066:17 & 5094:7 & 5105:11 & 5114:19 \\
\hline 5024:20;5043:12; & golf (60) & guessing & herein & hours (3) \\
\hline 5044:5 & \[
5000: 3,3 ; 5008: 19
\] & 5068:3 & 5056 & 5042:18;5114:14,19 \\
\hline fun (1) & \[
5022: 4,7,11,13,23,24
\] & guidance (2) & high & house (2) \\
\hline 5042:12 & 5023:7,8,12,20,22,24; & 5089:17,24 & 5056:18;5102:23 & 5018:17;5019:20 \\
\hline funds (4) & 5024:2,4,6,11,13,14,17, & guidelines (1) & high-end (1) & housing (2) \\
\hline 5024:25;5027:20; & 22;5025:11,13,15,19; & 4986:10 & \[
5000: 3
\] & 5024:9,10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Hudson (8) & 5111:21;5112:5 & 5075:2;5093:16; & 5044:23 & \[
5084: 7,15 ; 5086: 16
\] \\
\hline 5004:17,24;5005:2, & includes (7) & 995:5 & invested (1) & Judge (3) \\
\hline 6;5006:7;5025:21; & 5071:8,22;5081:16 & in & 5030:8 & 4983:2;5042:7 \\
\hline 5026:2;5109:11 & 997:11,21;5110:1; & 50:11;5060:19 & investigator (1) & 085:5 \\
\hline huge (2) & 5111:4 & imput (3) & 5043:18 & June (17) \\
\hline 4993:12;5019:4 & incl & 5003:1;5088:21,22 & investigators (4) & 5000:5,9;5001:9,25 \\
\hline hundred (1) & 5071:11,21;5097:1, & insert (1) & 5043:15;5044:3,17, & 5006:1;5007:5; \\
\hline 4999:24 & 18;5112:4,14,15; & 5064:1 & 20 & 008:20;5010:19,22; \\
\hline HWA (11) & 5113:10 & inside (1) & investment (3) & 023:16;5027:9, \\
\hline 5006:7,16;5007:3, & inclusion (1) & 5051:1 & 5000:17;5033:13; & \[
5033: 21 ; 5034: 3 ;
\] \\
\hline \[
12,23 ; 5009: 23,25
\] & 5036:8 & instances (2) & \[
5109: 9
\] & 5035:16;5093:6; \\
\hline 5011:17;5012:8,14,23 & income (27) & 5031:8;5101:25 & invoices (1) & \[
5100: 21
\] \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4993:17,19;4994:11; } \\
& \text { 4995:2,11;5008:3; }
\end{aligned}
\] & instead (3) & 5023:11 & Jupiter (5) \\
\hline I & 4995:2,11;5008:3 & 4996:21;5107:10,23 & involved (8) & 5027:24;5028:4,5; \\
\hline & 24;5091:13,16,23; & 5017:23;5042:1, & 047:4;5073:17 & Juries (1) \\
\hline \[
5085: 16
\] & 5092:7,11,12,14,15,16, & intended (1) & 5075:3;5080:13,21 & 5042:23 \\
\hline idea (2) & 18,20,21,22,25;5093:1, & 5045:5 & involvement (4) & justification (1) \\
\hline 5022:17;5051:1 & \[
3,9
\] & intention (2) & 5007:22;5088:11,18; & \[
5112: 14
\] \\
\hline identification (10) & incorrect (8) & 5021:17,20 & 5093:7 & justified (1) \\
\hline 4984:8;4987:11; & 5059:15;5060:5,10, & interactions (1) & involves (1) & 5043:11 \\
\hline 4998:11;5028:11; & 12;5061:2,3,4;5063:3 & 5044:7 & 4997:24 & justify (3) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& 5063: 9 ; 5065: 22 ; \\
& 5067: 1 ; 5068: 21 ;
\end{aligned}
\]} & in & interco & involving & 5105:21;5107:11,15 \\
\hline & \[
4990: 1 ; 4992: 1
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5019: 1,3 \\
\text { interest (9) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5105:12,14, } \\
& \text { issue (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & K \\
\hline identify (5) & increased (1) & & 5074:7;5080: & \\
\hline 5005:24;5006:5; & 5041:19 & 5015:15;5016:5,9; & issues (1) & K-1 (5) \\
\hline 5049:25;5050:7; & increases (1) & 5048:5;5049:9;5051:5; & \[
5041: 13
\] & \[
5008: 2,5,6,7,7
\] \\
\hline 5053:24 & 4986:11 & 053:19 & item (3) & K-1s (3) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{II (1)} & independent (1) & interests (7) & 5080:18;5082:11; & 5008:9;5016:8,9 \\
\hline & 5038:13 & 5004:2,8;5009:18 & 5083:1 & Kandell (1) \\
\hline III (3) & indicate (4) & 5010:2;5013:20; & items (6) & 4993:24 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5005:6;5006:16; } \\
& \text { 5009:25 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 5064:14;5069:7
\(5084 \cdot 5 \cdot 5090 \cdot 2\) & 5048:18,25 & \(5008: 3 ; 5080: 21 ;\)
\(5082 \cdot 3 \cdot 5092 \cdot 14\) & Kane (2)
4992:4.8 \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
5084:5;5090:2 \\
indicated (2)
\end{tabular} & internal (4) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5082: 3 ; 5092: 14 ; \\
& 5094: 3.5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
4992: 4,8
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { nagme (2) } \\
5114: 18,19
\end{gathered}
\] & 5050:12;5066:14 & \[
5052: 25
\] & IV (3) & 5009:9;5026: \\
\hline implement (2) & indicates (2) & International (10) & 5005:6;5007:12; & 5027:6,7,12;5038:17; \\
\hline 5048:11;5067:15 & 5081:13;5100:25 & 5002:2;5022:11,13; & 5009:25 & 5057:21 \\
\hline import (1) & indicating (2) & 5023:8;5024:23; & Ivanka (1) & kept (2) \\
\hline 5053:2 & 5064:24;5065:2 & 5035:15;5036:15; & 4989:22 & 5072:18,23 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & individual (4) & 5044:4;5070:11; & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Kevin (1) } \\
5003: 10
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5008: 15 ; 5018: 13,19 \\
& 5021: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 5088:5 } \\
\text { intervened }
\end{gathered}
\] & J & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 5003:10 } \\
\text { Kidder (1) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 4993:11;5022:16; & individuals (1) & \[
5105: 17,20
\] & J-404 (1) & 5031:24 \\
\hline 5024:18;5025:17; & 5078:15 & intimately (2) & 5027:5 & kind (9) \\
\hline 5026:1;5029:2,5; & industry (2) & 5037:25;5038:16 & January (7) & 4983:5;5004:23; \\
\hline 5111:5;5112:12 & 5089:18,25 & into (34) & \[
5048: 24,24 ; 5049: 4
\] & 5006:17;5010:12; \\
\hline inaccurate (1) & inflate (2) & 4983:10;4985:3 & 11;5086:19,23;5087:2 & 5030:5;5048:21; \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
5042:3 \\
inauguratio
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5003:12;5098:15 } \\
& \text { inform (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4987:5;4990:6; } \\
& \text { 4992:13:4998:1 }
\end{aligned}
\] & Jeff (4)
5043:24-5072.20 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5052: 5 ; 5061: 13 ; \\
& 508 \Delta \cdot
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
5048: 13
\] & \[
5038: 14
\] & 5000:8;5003:1; & \[
5078: 8 ; 5080: 1
\] & 5084:3 \\
\hline inauthentic (1) & information (34) & 5004:13;5005:1; & Jeff's (1) & 4987:2;4994:7; \\
\hline 5052:15 & 4983:24;4990:2; & 5011:6,23;5013:9; & 5110:19 & 5003:3,16;5013:2,3; \\
\hline in-between (1) & 4991:8;4994:17; & 5014:3;5022:22; & Jerry (4) & 5021:14;5038:18,20; \\
\hline \[
5047: 4
\] & 4995:1,7,9,21;4997:4 & 5023:5;5026:24; & 5046:14;5055:9,9; & 5039:5;5043:8;5106:6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { inception (1) } \\
5035: 18
\end{gathered}
\] & 4998:8;4999:10,13; & 5028:21;5040:8,22; & \[
5057: 2
\] & knowing (4) \\
\hline 5035:18 & 5003:14;5005:21; & 5051:17;5053:19,22; & \[
\mathbf{j o g}(1)
\] & 5014:19;5038:24; \\
\hline include (3) & 5008:1;5010:21; & 5059:10;5070:1; & \[
5078: 18
\] & 5050:21;5096:6 \\
\hline 5014:16;5049:16;
5080.9 & 5012:25;5014:20,21;
\[
5016: 19,20,21 ; 5017: 3
\] & 5073:4;5079:12; & John (2) & knowledge (7) 4999.10,1215. \\
\hline 5080:9 & 9;5023:1,17;5037:23; & 5086:5,9;5088:21; & Jr (7) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4999:10,12,15; } \\
& \text { 5031:9;5038:3; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5014:12,14;5022:3; & 5038:23;5039:4; & 5098:6;5102:11 & 5049:16;5076:22; & 5050:22;5074:23 \\
\hline 5024:17,18;5087:17; & 5051:4;5074:23; & introduced (1) & 5078:2;5083:12; & knows (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 5003:15 & 5005:23 & 5056:7;5070:22,25 & 5107:22;5114:19 & maintained (2) \\
\hline Kong (3) & Leading (7) & life (1) & look (31) & 4995:5;5023:9 \\
\hline 5004:15,22;5005:7 & 4997:13,18,23,25; & 4989:14 & 4995:9;4996:1; & major (2) \\
\hline Kurt (1) & 5017:17;5021:4,11 & lifetime (1) & 5003:4;5005:10,18; & 5070:1;5094:5 \\
\hline 4997:3 & learn (2) & 4989:15 & 5006:5;5033:2; & majority (1) \\
\hline L & \[
\begin{gathered}
4994: 3,17 \\
\text { learned (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
likes (1) \\
5111
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
5051:17;5056:16; \\
5058:23-5060.3.
\end{tabular} & \[
5059: 3
\] \\
\hline L & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { learned (2) } \\
& 4994: 24 ; 5000: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 5111:14 } \\
\text { limited (3) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5058: 23 ; 5060: 3 \\
& 5061: 23 ; 5070: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { making (1) } \\
5095: 22
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline LA (4) & lease (22) & 5004:18;5034:17 & 5072:3;5074:2;5076:3, & Malitzky (1) \\
\hline 5026:9,12;5027:10, & 4985:4,11,25 & \[
5086: 15
\] & 13;5089:10,13,23; & 4994:19 \\
\hline 11 & 4988:9,12;4989:6,24; & line (16) & 5092:7,18,19;5093:19; & Malone (2) \\
\hline labeled (1) & 4991:12,15,18; & 4993:17;5005:21,24; & 5097:16,17;5102:15; & 5037:25;5074:24 \\
\hline 5052:25 & 4993:10,21,22;4994:3, & 5055:19,25;5056:16; & 5104:1,7;5108:11; & managed (1) \\
\hline Lacher (1) & 8,9,11,14,22;4995:5; & 5062:11;5069:3; & 5110:4 & 5104:24 \\
\hline 5110:14 & 5023:3,4 & 5070:10;5077:6,21; & looked (6) & management (2) \\
\hline Lack (1) & leasehold (2) & 5096:14;5100:25; & 4995:12,14,14; & 5071:2;5072:9 \\
\hline 4997:17 & 5023:3;5034:3 & 5102:19;5104:1,20 & 5080:22;5093:2; & manager (2) \\
\hline Ladder (3) & leases (10) & lines (1) & 5109:9 & 4992:9;5037:25 \\
\hline 5033:12,12,14 & 4989:14;4993:15 & 5055:4 & looking (12) & managers (1) \\
\hline laid (1) & 4994:5,7,14,24; & linked (1) & 5007:9;5014:6; & 5076:9 \\
\hline 4996:10 & 4995:12,13;5032:1,9 & 5071:6 & 5033:13;5050:23; & Manhattan (1) \\
\hline land (18) & least (4) & linking (1) & 5060:24;5072:24; & 5004:6 \\
\hline 4993:22;5000:21; & 5047:20;5059:20 & 5071:13 & 5088:23;5090:22; & mansion (3) \\
\hline 5005:12,12;5022:21; & 5064:18;5087:7 & links (2) & 5102:19;5104:11,16; & 5018:17,18;5019:20 \\
\hline 5023:4;5029:2,4,5; & leave (1) & 5030:4,15 & 5110:15 & many (6) \\
\hline 5031:4;5035:20,25; & 5105:17 & list (3) & looks (1) & 4999:23;5003:20; \\
\hline 5036:2,4,5,22;5037:12, & leaving (1) & 5006:23;5052:17; & 5100:5 & 5035:21;5038:10; \\
\hline 13 & 5105:10 & 5092:18 & lost (2) & 5078:6;5107:25 \\
\hline landlocked (1) & ledger (5) & listed (6) & 5026:14;5048:21 & Mar-a-Lago (30) \\
\hline 5019:4 & 4987:15,20;4995:10, & 5010:9;5021:25; & lot (12) & 5018:11,12,23,24,25; \\
\hline language (12) & 14;5023:10 & 5032:7;5092:15,25,25 & 4997:7;4999:1,4; & 5019:8,14,23;5020:2,7, \\
\hline \[
5046: 12,16 ; 5055: 14
\] & ledgers (2) & lists (3) & 5003:16;5005:23; & 10;5021:2,18,22,25; \\
\hline 16;5059:3;5061:20; & 5087:16;5091:21 & 5049:3;5052:13; & 5026:16;5042:12,14; & 5022:2;5023:9;5044:4; \\
\hline 5062:16;5066:14; & left (10) & 5082:3 & 5055:8,9;5061:16; & 5087:17,17,23;5088:7; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5067:17,18;5068:14; } \\
& 5076: 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4998:8;5074:13; } \\
& 5086: 19 ; 5089: 25 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & literally (2) 4996:25;5022:2 & \[
5080: 20
\] & 5091:4,11,13;5093:9, \\
\hline Laptops (1) & 5102:5,16;5105:22; & little (15) & 5026:24,25,25; & Marcus (3) \\
\hline 4983:3 & 5106:7,15,17 & 4985:18;4993:19,25; & 5035:20,21,22 & 5089:17,24;5090:5 \\
\hline large (5) & left-hand (1) & 4995:20,23;5000:23; & love (1) & margin (2) \\
\hline 4999:23;5031:4; & 4990:18 & 5001:10;5014:1; & 5043:1 & 5071:7;5074:13 \\
\hline 5035:20;5088:5,8 & legal (4) & 5027:6;5043:7; & low (1) & Mark (11) \\
\hline larger (1) 5009.9 & 5006:19;5012:15; & 5076:16;5080:21; & 5025:24 & \[
4986: 25 ; 4987: 2
\] \\
\hline 5009:9 & 5048:19;5051:2 & 5088:21,21;5113:9 & LP (0) & 5063:6;5065:19; \\
\hline Larson (10)
4995.17-4996.4 & less (2) & LLC (2) & 5006:7,16;5007:3, & 5066:24;5068:18; \\
\hline 5016:16;5017:2; & 5034:4, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 4990:17;5012:1 } \\
\operatorname{loan}(4)
\end{gathered}
\] & 12;5009:23,25 & \begin{tabular}{l}
5073:7;5078:19; \\
5085:25;5086:2
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5018:7;5032:10; & 5008:11 & 5029:20;5033:13,15; & 4989:15 & 5100:1 \\
\hline 5101:12,16,22 & letting (1) & 5038:24 & lunch (5) & marked (18) \\
\hline Las (1) & 5051:19 & loans (2) & 5043:5,8;5084:22; & 4987:11;4998:11; \\
\hline 5043:14 & level (7) & 5010:11,11 & 5088:13;5114:14 & 5011:10;5012:3; \\
\hline last (10) & 5012:19,22;5022:18, & local (1) & luncheon (1) & 5013:13;5028:10; \\
\hline 5014:24;5058:2; & 18;5028:9;5057:7; & 5091:17 & 5084:24 & 5040:17;5041:1; \\
\hline 5064:20;5069:3; & 5095:17 & location (4) & luxurious (2) & 5048:8;5063:8; \\
\hline 5072:7;5073:1; & liabilities (5) & 5037:22;5095:25; & 5022:18;5025:2 & 5065:21;5066:25; \\
\hline 5075:12;5076:16; & 5008:21;5028:7,23;
5067.25.5110.2 & 5102:21;5104:3 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { luxury (1) } \\
5000: 3
\end{gathered}
\] & 5068:20;5069:4; \\
\hline 5093:7;5108:22
late (2) & 5067:25;5110:2 & long (9) & 5000:3 & 5073:9,20;5078:21; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { late (2) } \\
& 5004: 12 ; 5084: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { liability (2) } \\
5010: 11 ; 5029: 16
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4994:15;5004:10; } \\
& \text { 5023:4;5039:4;5045:1; }
\end{aligned}
\] & M & 5092:5 \\
\hline later (2) & license (2) & 5079:6;5081:1; & & 4985:22,23;4989:15; \\
\hline 5021:15;5053:21 & 5043:14;5081:22 & 5114:11;5115:12 & Mah-zars (1) & 5033:22;5034:2; \\
\hline lawyers (1) & licensed (1) & longer (6) & 5060:22 & 5096:21,23;5097:25; \\
\hline 4989:13 & 5030:6 & 5000:15;5044:24; & main (1) & 5098:7,16;5101:17 \\
\hline lay (1) & licensing (3) & 5079:2;5086:23; & 5013:5 & marketable (6) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5005:21;5010:5,6, & McGladrey (4) & 5022:12;5023:21; & mistake (1) & 5018:11;5114:5 \\
\hline 21;5014:8;5064:10 & 5110:9,12,13,13 & 5026:10;5030:3; & 5043:24 & much (1) \\
\hline marking (1) & McKinnell (1) & 5032:14;5040:11; & mixed-use (1) & 5075:5 \\
\hline 5091:1 & 5079:8 & 5062:4,17;5064:20; & 4997:9 & multi (1) \\
\hline markups (1) & MDS (3) & 5065:5;5066:17; & modification (1) & 4995:13 \\
\hline 5058:17 & 4987:15,17,17 & 5069:19;5070:15; & 4991:15 & multi-data (4) \\
\hline married (1) & mdsreport@trumporgcom (2) & 5089:2,7;5090:3,8,10; & modifications (1) & 4987:16,16;4995:10, \\
\hline 5043:9 & 4987:12,14 & 5106:22;5107:2,17; & 5063:21 & 10 \\
\hline matter (3) & mean (9) & 5108:8 & modified (1) & multiple (1) \\
\hline 5045:2;5054:3,14 & 4985:23;5008:17; & methodology (4) & 5055:7 & 5077:24 \\
\hline may (13) & 5012:18;5021:11; & 5025:12,20;5028:3; & modify (1) & multiplied (7) \\
\hline 4990:1;5019:2,3,3; & 5031:16;5034:22; & 5107:21 & 5071:25 & 5006:3,21;5015:25; \\
\hline 5058:2;5071:24; & 5052:19;5053:11,13 & methods (2) & Monday (10) & 5016:4;5019:13; \\
\hline 5098:20;5105:25,25; & means (6) & 5061:21;5107:25 & 5113:15,16,17,22; & 5020:7;5095:10 \\
\hline 5106:2;5112:2,2; & 4990:18,20;4999:8; & Miami (1) & 5114:1,6,7,21;5115:12, & multiplier (4) \\
\hline 5114:21 & 5006:19;5053:20; & 5031:4 & 15 & 5090:6,12,21; \\
\hline maybe (8) & 5114:18 & mic (2) & money (13) & 5093:13 \\
\hline 4994:8;502 & measured (1) & 5014:3;5045:20 & 4994:13;5005:24 & Multiply (3) \\
\hline 5050:23;5052:10; & 5003:20 & Michael (3) & 5023:5;5025:24; & 5002:6;5016:2; \\
\hline 5076:14;5094:11,11;
\(5100: 4\) & mechanism (2) & 5020:17;5062:20;
5103.3 & 5026:16;5080:3; & 5090:20 \\
\hline 5100:4 & 4994:4;5003:3 & 5103:3 & 5098:22;5109:13; & myself (5) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { May-zars (1) } \\
5060: 22
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Media (2) } \\
& 5080: 3 ; 5083: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { microphone (1) } \\
5113: 11
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5111:12,18;5112:4,6,8 } \\
& \text { month (7) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5044: 9 ; 5078: 8 \\
& 5079: 9 ; 5105: 14,15
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Mazars (48) & Meet (4) & middle (4) & 4988:3;4990:17; & \\
\hline 4983:25;4984:5; & 5077:15,17,23; & 4988:17;5019:10; & 5058:2;5073:1; & \(\mathbf{N}\) \\
\hline 4987:23;4990:2,3,21, & 5078:16 & 5070:21;5114:22 & 5075:12;5076:16; & \\
\hline 22,24;4991:8;4992:19; & meeting (14) & midtown (2) & 5108:22 & name (8) \\
\hline 5007:20,22;5008:2; & 5076:21,24,25 & 5017:3;5018:8 & monthly ( & 4984:11;49 \\
\hline 5013:6;5014:17; &  & \[
5039: 5
\] & \[
4995: 3 ; 5008: 20,21
\] & 5032:21:5056:17. \\
\hline 5016:7;5019:22,25; & 5080:23;5081:2 & Millichap (3) & months (1) & 5057:11 \\
\hline 5025:5,7;5029:11,13; & meetings (2) & 5089:17,25;5090:6 & 5036:20 & named (1) \\
\hline 5032:5;5036:6,8; & 5077:24;5078:1 & million (38) & more (17) & 5110:12 \\
\hline 5038:11;5040:12; & Meghan (4) & 4986:1;4993:18,20, & 4998:4,7;4999:5; & National (6) \\
\hline 5043:3;5046:13,22; & 4992:4,5,6,8 & 25;4994:1,2;5002:7; & 5001:10;5012:20; & 5023:20,22;5025:11, \\
\hline 5047:18;5057:2; & member (2) & 5006:4,22;5007:6; & 5020:10;5038:18; & 19;5026:9;5027:10 \\
\hline 5059:3,10,14;5060:1, & 5023:11;5028:23 & 5019:14;5020:5,8,11, & 5042:12,13;5050:17; & native (4) \\
\hline 21,22;5079:2,5,5; & members (1) & 11,13,14,14;5021:2,2, & 5052:2,11;5061:16; & 5094:25;5106:11; \\
\hline 5100:8,8;5101:6; & 4983:4 & 18,18;5025:23;5027:4; & 5080:20;5088:2; & 5108:14;5110:19 \\
\hline 5110:16 & membership (6) & 5032:2,4,16;5034:3; & 5098:18;5104:20 & necessarily (2) \\
\hline Mazars' (4) & 5029:15,18,20; & 5035:24;5036:3,24; & morning (7) & 5050:19;5111:24 \\
\hline 5009:7;5056:10,23; & 5048:17;5068:3; & 5071:9;5093:10; & 4983:15,16,17; & necessitated (1) \\
\hline 5057:16 & 5110:2 & 5097:6,10;5106:25; & 5038:7,7;5069:8; & 5095:17 \\
\hline McConney (47) & memory (3) & 5107:7,20 & 5114:7 & Neck (6) \\
\hline 4983:9,16,23; & 5059:15;5060:3; & Minskoff (1) & mortgage (1) & 5024:7,8,9,21; \\
\hline 4984:11;4985:14; & 5078:18 & 4993:24 & 5015:22 & 5025:14;5110:24 \\
\hline 4990:15,23;4992:18; & mentioned (7) & minute (2) & Most (3) & need (7) \\
\hline 4998:13,19;5003:17; & 4995:17;5075:12 & 5045:15;5111:22 & 5031:9;5063:21; & 4991:2;5008:4; \\
\hline 5004:1;5005:16; & 5086:3;5087:11; & minutes (3) & 5084:1 & 5045:13;5080:11; \\
\hline 5008:13;5009:18; & 5088:7;5099:6; & 39:9;5045:13; & move (20) & 5091:1;5097:25; \\
\hline 5010:18;5012:6; & 510 & 5085:8 & 4987:4;4990:5; & 5098:6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5017: 24 ; 5022: 12 ; \\
& \text { 5027:15,24;5028:18; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5110: 14
\] & \[
5060: 23
\] & 5001:4;5003:23; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { needed (12) } \\
& 4995: 18 ; 4997: 9
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5032:21;5041:6,18; & merry (1) & mislead (1) & 5011:5,23;5013:8; & 4999:5;5014:21; \\
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\hline 5037:22;5038:22; & 5103:2 & 5044:23;5054:13 & 5003:25;5010:6,20,20; & 19,22;4998:1,21,25; \\
\hline 5043:13,19,22,23; & process ( & provide (6) & 5011:12;5016:17, & 5017:4,11,19;5032:10 \\
\hline 5047:9,25;5048:4; & 5009:7;5020:3 & 4998:4,7;4999:6; & 5019:16;5022:6,2 & rating (1) \\
\hline 5086:23 & produced (3) & 5002:3;5023:16; & 5023:5;5028:11; & 5111:13 \\
\hline President's (4) & 4987:18;5051:11,15 & 5033:14 & 5042:11;5046:10 & Ray (9) \\
\hline 5005:22;5008:8; & profile (1) & provided (18) & 5048:7;5055:2; & 4998:22;5076:21; \\
\hline 5041:18,23 & 5102:23 & 4983:25;4990:2 & 5057:22;5060:1 & 5078:8;5102:5; \\
\hline presiding (2) & profitability (1) & 4997:4;5008:1; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5067: 18 ; 5069: 25 ; \\
& 5072 \cdot 1 ; 5075: 18,25
\end{aligned}
\] & 5105:16,20;5106:7; \\
\hline 4983:2;5085:6 & 5056:18 & 5010:25;5014:20; & 5072:1;5075:18,25; & 5107:4,14 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { press (1) } \\
4983: 4
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { program (2) } \\
& 4987: 15: 5081: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & 5015:17;5016:18,20, & 5090:24;5098:6; & Raymundo (2) \\
\hline pres & progression (1) & \[
5036: 16.19: 5038: 2
\] & 5099:22;5102:10,11; &  \\
\hline \[
5056: 18
\] & \[
5060: 15
\] & 5073:20;5076:5 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5104: 8 ; 5 \\
& 5108: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & 4998:7;5018:22; \\
\hline presume (1) & project (2) & provision (1) & PX (1) & 5075:1 \\
\hline 5036:15 & 5030:14;5037:20 & 5075:24 & 5055:17 & reached (2) \\
\hline pretty (3) 5028:6:5092:16, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { projects (1) } \\
5056: 21
\end{gathered}
\] & proximity (2) 5102:22;5104 & & 5002:2;5018:7 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5028: 6 ; 5 \\
& \text { price }(29)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 5056:21 } \\
\text { prominent (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & puff (1) & Q & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { reaction (1) } \\
5036: 8
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 4990:1;5003: & 5057:10 & 5073:22 & & read (24) \\
\hline 5019:12,13;5020:4; & promises (2) & pull (17) & 5056:18;5057:7 & 4985:3;4989:10; \\
\hline 5022:16;5023:1,2; & 5076:5,6 & 4983:19;4984:7; & & 4991:11;5000:8; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5015:5,7;5053:13; & recognize (10) & 5031:16;5041:15; & \[
501
\] & 5114:7 \\
\hline 5060:15,20;5062:6; & 4989:7;4990:15; & 5056:4 & & EPORTER (7) \\
\hline 5063:2;5067:21; & 05:16;5010:18; & reflected & 036:11;5048:14,16 & 4991:5;5015:8; \\
\hline 5068:2;5070:7;5071:7; & 5011:14;5012:6; & 4991:7;5005 & 5049:20;5052:4; & 5020:17;5062:20; \\
\hline 5084:4;5090:15,16; & 5013:17;5028:18 & 5006:3,6,10,22;5007:6, & 5058:10;5059:17 & 5090:17;5103:3; \\
\hline 5108:3,4,5;5112:17,19, & 5033:8;5048:10 & 14;5008:4,5,23; & 5072:22;5076:24 & 5108:6 \\
\hline 20 & reco & 5009:13;5030:10 & 5077:3;5081:4; & ports (13) \\
\hline readback & 5050:8;5051: & 5034:9;5041:20; & 5083:18;5084:18 & 4987:17;4995:9,18; \\
\hline 5090.14 & 5097:24 & 056:7,19;5057: & 5085:17;5087:4, & 4996:14;4997:23 \\
\hline rea & r & 25;5080:2 & 5089:9;5093:15 & 5017:2;5101:12,16,16 \\
\hline 5018:3 & 4998:20;5049:7 & 5088:22 & 5094:6;5100:16; & 17,20,20,21 \\
\hline read & 5050:13;5056:1 & refle & 5102:6,6;5105:7, & represent (5) \\
\hline 5090 & 5057:2,20;5059:22 & 5030:24;511 & 5107:18;5108:23 & 5005:19;5006:15; \\
\hline ready (2) & 5060:5,5,7,11;5077:5; & refresh (4) & remind (5) & 5041:10;5043:12; \\
\hline 5028:14; & 5078:14;5080:12; & 4998:19;5078:1 & 4983:12;5008:1, & 5102:8 \\
\hline real (3) & 5084:14;5089:6,12; & 5080:12;5090:7 & 061:17,18 & representatives (1) \\
\hline 4989:18; & 5090:7;5105:3,4 & refreshes & reminded & 5076:10 \\
\hline 5070:24 & recollecti & 5077:5 & 5003:6 & represents (1) \\
\hline reali & 5060:9 & refundable & remote (1) & 5013:21 \\
\hline 5014:13 & reconci & 68:2 & \(7: 25\) & reputation ( \\
\hline reall & 5008:25 & regard (1) & remove (2) & 5056:5 \\
\hline \[
4996: 9 ; 500
\] & reconciliatio & 5099:19 & 5048:4;5049 & requested (1) \\
\hline 5044:11,19;5092:16 & 5008:25 & Regardless & removed (1) & \[
5108: 5
\] \\
\hline Realty (2) & reconciliation & 5106:6 & 5048:12 & require (1) \\
\hline 5002:3;500 & 5008:14,2 & registered & renovated ( & 5081:18 \\
\hline reason (8) & record (5) & 4989:18 & 5098:1,3 & residence (1) \\
\hline \[
5016: 4 ; 5
\] & 5000:8;5015 & regulated & renovating ( & 5019:18 \\
\hline 5027:17;5052:15; & 5035:11;5067:23 & 4983:24 & 5098:21 & residential \\
\hline 5053:21;5059:22; & 5090:16 & regulation & rent & 5036:1,23;5037:11; \\
\hline 5060:4;5080:10 & record & 4985:24;4986:10, & 4983:24;4984:5,15; & 5057:8;5068:4; \\
\hline reasonably (2) & 5020:17;5062:20 & relate (1) & \[
985: 4,4,11,11,22,23
\] & 5097:12;5099:8 \\
\hline 5071:4;5072:12 & 5103:3 & 64:18 & 2,9,9, & respect (9) \\
\hline reasoning & recor & related (1) & 25,25;4989:5,17; & 5007:22;5030:25; \\
\hline 5104:3,20 & 4983:5;4998 & 5087:3 & 4990:3,16,25;4991:12, & 5044:16;5059:23; \\
\hline reasons (1) & records (1) & rela & 12,18,18;4992:3,4,4, & 5060:7;5088:7; \\
\hline 5031:15 & 5023:10 & 5062:3;5 & 19;4995:3,3;5031:25; & 5098:16;5109:15; \\
\hline reall (28) & redirect (1) & 5065:5;5067:6; & 096:18;5097:1,21,24; & 5112:8 \\
\hline 4996:7; & 5113:3 & 5068:16;5069:1,19 & 5099:19 & respond (2) \\
\hline \[
5046: 8,12,14,22
\] & redu & 5070:7,11,22;5073:14; & re & 4999:3;5081:19 \\
\hline \[
5048: 2 ; 5054: 24 ;
\] & 4996:20;5041:14,17 & 5081:22;5106:2,5; & 4993:16,17;4994:1 & responded (1) \\
\hline 5055:11;5056:11,24; & Reduced (5) & 5108:19 & 4995:2;5062:9 & 4987:2 \\
\hline 5057:17;5058:2,4,8; &  & rel & & responding \\
\hline 5076:18;5085:16; & 5021:1;5027:4 & 5062:17;5070:1 & 5099:25;5100:2,8 & \[
5101: 10
\] \\
\hline 5089:1;5093:25; & 5107:13 & 5100:13;5101:10 & rent-rolls (2) & response (3) \\
\hline 5094:18;5096:11; & redu & 5109:11,24 & 24;5101 & 5025:7;5046: \\
\hline 5099:25;5101:10,13; & 5021:17 & relationshi & ren & 5055:3 \\
\hline 5102:4;5108:18; & redu & 15:3 & 4991:3, & responsibilities (1) \\
\hline 5109:23;5110:25 & 5027:8;5107 & & rent-stabilized ( & 5084:3 \\
\hline receipts (1) & reference (4) & 42 & 99:3,13,1 & responsibility (1) \\
\hline 5023:10 & 5058:21;5073:25 & relevance & & 4992:9 \\
\hline receivable & 5074:6,16 & 5040:14 & 5112:1 & responsible (3) \\
\hline 5023:13 & referencing & re & repairs (1) & 4994:13;5047:13 \\
\hline received (7) & 5080 & 5034:20 & \[
5111: 24
\] & 5091:21 \\
\hline 4993:19;4995:21; & refer & & re & rest (1) \\
\hline 5003:14;5013:3; & 011.9,5012.2 & & 5104:8 & 5057:6 \\
\hline 5032:9;5075:12; & 5013:12;5040:16,2 & remainder (1) & rephrase ( & restriction (1) \\
\hline 5081:16 & 5092:4;5108:22 & 5057: & 000:11 & 5097:1 \\
\hline receiving (1) & referring & re & replaced & restrictions \\
\hline 4993:16 & 5081:19 & 4983:23;4994:18,2 & 5112:1 & 5099:20 \\
\hline recently (1) & refers (2) & 4996:9,11;4998:2,3,6 & rep & restructuri \\
\hline 5098:18 & 5083:10,12 & 4999:23;5004:1 & 95.1 & 5048:3,11,15;50 \\
\hline (1) & reflect (5) & \[
5005: 4 ; 5014: 2
\] & 5018:8;5081: & result (4) \\
\hline 5084:24 & 5021:20;5027:5; & 5017:22;5018:21; & 5085:23;5102:1; & 4998:23;5048:3,13; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5054:21 & 5113:25 & 5040:14 & \[
50
\] & \[
: 4,10,12,12,14
\] \\
\hline resumed (2) & rig & run & 5;JU & 2:12 \\
\hline :4;5085:10 & 5069:25 & 4987:17;49 & 31:1 & selection (5) \\
\hline retail (7) & rights & running (1) & 5032:20;5033:1 & 4997:11;4998:1,21; \\
\hline 4993:5,12;499 & 5000:17 & 5003:10 & 37:2,6,1 & 5017:15,19 \\
\hline retained (1) & Rink & & 057:22; & sell (1) \\
\hline retained (1) & 30: & S & 5063:11;5064:9; & 5027:18 \\
\hline 22 & ris & & 10,17,24 & seller (1) \\
\hline retir & 4983:1;5040:1 & sale & 5066:11;5067:3,13 & 5029:17 \\
\hline 5110:10, & 5085:4 & 5094:17;5095:6; & 5068:23;5069:12,2 & selling (3) \\
\hline return (7) & R & 98 & 5070:6,20;5072:6; & 5019:12;50 \\
\hline 4991:7;5 & 5019:10 & sales (3) & 5073:12;5074:12; & 5057:9 \\
\hline 5028:25,25;5029 & Robert (20) & \[
002: 2 ; 5068:
\] & 5075:18,21,25;5076:2; & semi-developed (1) \\
\hline 10 & \[
5016: 17 ;
\] & 5069:17 & \[
5077: 8,19 ; 5078: 24
\] & \[
5005: 12
\] \\
\hline returns & 50:1 & Salmore (2) & 5079:22;5102:13; & send (8) \\
\hline 5008:4;5100:8 & 24;5052:12,21;5053:8, & 5110:6,8 & 5106:13;5108:17; & 4987:19;4995:3,17; \\
\hline revenue (9) & 23;5054:10;5113:13, & Sam (1) & 5109:21;5110:22 & 5008:9;5032:25; \\
\hline 5089:19, & 20;5114:4,10,13,20; & 5005:5 & scroll (16) & 5034:11;5051:4; \\
\hline 11,20,20;5093:13,14, & \[
5115: 6,10,13
\] & same (29) & 4995:20,23;5001: & 5101:12 \\
\hline 16 & Rockefelle & 4991:14;499 & 5008:12;5011:1 & sending (5) \\
\hline review & 9:2 & 5009:21;5011:19 & 12:8;5013:16; & 5034:22;5063:1 \\
\hline 5009:8;50 & ro & 5016:4,19;5020:3,6; & 5014:1;5016:24; & 5067:14;5100:7 \\
\hline 5047 & 5009:7; & 5026:5,5,11;5041:19; & 5022:7;5023:19; & 5101:16 \\
\hline 50 & roll (8) & 5043:6;5050:18; & 027:24;5031:18 & Senior (4) \\
\hline 5074:13,19;5081:10; & 4984:5,1 & 5057:5;5058:5; & 5037:1;5100:2 & \[
5020: 17 ; 5062
\] \\
\hline 5083:6,14,21 & 990:3,16,25;5031:25; & 5075:24;5076:1 & scrolled (10) & 5084:1;5103 \\
\hline reviewed (5) & 091:20 & 5086:8;5100:20 & 4995:25;50 & sense (3)
5113.15 .5114 .11 .16 \\
\hline 5040:20;5046:17; & rooms (1) & 5101:4,7;5102:23 & 5022:10;5026:8 & 5113:15;5114:11,16 \\
\hline 5047:17;5088:13;
5101.5 & 5031:3 & 5109:8,10,15;5111:10, & 5027:13;5028:1 & sent (16) \\
\hline \(1 \cdot 5\) & rose (1) & 21 & 5031:20;5037:3,7 & 4984:5;4990:3; \\
\hline reviewing & 5080:1 & San (3) & 5077:20 & 5003:11;5034:13,17; \\
\hline 5073:17
5088:12 & Rosen (1) & 5016:18;5017:8, & scrolling (2) & 5037:24;5043:15; \\
\hline \[
5
\] & 5054:9
Rosenbl & Sarah & 5026:6;502 & 5066:2;506 \\
\hline 5066:6 & 46:14;505 & sat & 89 & 5101:6,18 \\
\hline rider (3) & 5057:3 & 038:1 & seated (3) & sentence (6) \\
\hline 5058:22;5065:11, & Rosenblum's & saw (2) & 4983:6;5040: & 5056:3,17;5060:2 \\
\hline riders (3) & 5055:10;5 & 4988 & 085:7 & 062:11;5071:1; \\
\hline 5058:20,2 & roughly & saying (7) & second (14) & 5072:7 \\
\hline right (77) & 5097:13 & 5025:9 & 4984:18;4988 & sentences (2) \\
\hline 4985:6; & round (1) & 5052:15;5054:11 & 23;5012:13;5033:1 & 5056:15;5058:19 \\
\hline 4990:3;4993:7 & 5081:9 & 5072:16;5080:1; & 5058:8;5064:14; & separate (9) \\
\hline 5009:20;5010:10,15; & row (32) & 5107:16 & 5066:9;5067:11; & 5005:1;5026:3; \\
\hline 5013:5;5014:3;5016:8; & 4993:1;4999:17,21 & schedule (6) & \[
5074: 2 ; 5083: 1,4
\] & 5087:12,14,15,16,16, \\
\hline 5022:25;5029:24; & 5001:4;5005:18,19; & 5006:10;5013 & 5110:5 & 20;5091:10 \\
\hline 5039:3;5043:22; & 5006:5,6,15,23;5007:2, & 5032:8,8;5035:14 & Secondarily & separated (2) \\
\hline 5045:3;5051:7;5052:1; & 2,8,11;5018:11; & 5109:17 & 5054:1 & 5010:12;5026:14 \\
\hline 5059:7;5060:12; & 5041:7;5089:13 & scheduling & sec & separately (2) \\
\hline 5061:10,15;5063:14; & 5094:25;5096:10 & 5113:9 & :19;509 & 5010:3;5039:5 \\
\hline 5064:12,16,21;5065:6, & 5097:5,9,17,18; & Scotland & 5110:25 & separation (1) \\
\hline 12;5066:4,7,18;5069:8, & 5102:18; & 5035:15; & securities & 5010:14 \\
\hline 17,20;5079:4;5080:6, & 5106:14;5107:3; & 5037:8;5041:11, & 005:21;5010:5,6 & September (1) \\
\hline 9;5081:22;5087:15,17, & 5110:23,23;5111:4; & scratch (3) & 21,22;5014:9;5064:10 & 5066:3 \\
\hline 21,22;5088:9,10,15,24; & 5112:16 & 22:20;5024:23 & & sequence (2) \\
\hline 5092:8,21;5093:5,21; & ro & 5025:18 & 14,16,17 & 5079:20;5107:14 \\
\hline 5094:9,22;5095:3,8,20; & 5111:17 & screen (67) & 5049:20;5052:4 & service (1) \\
\hline 5096:12,23;5097:2,19, & RSM (1) & 4983:22;4984:10 & 5099:25 & 5077:14 \\
\hline 22;5098:3;5099:21; & 5110:13 & 24;4985:16,17,2 & see & services (2) \\
\hline 5100:17;5102:2,17; & ru & 4986:16,2 & 5079:6 & 4987:16;5081:18 \\
\hline 5105:5,23;5106:3,25; & 15:5 & 22;4989:3,9;4990:14 & select (4) & session (3) \\
\hline 5107:7,21;5108:10,24; & rules (2) & 4991:23;49 & 016:10,14;5017:5; & 4983:2;5040:2; \\
\hline 5109:2;5110:16; & 6:10 & 4995:2 & 5018 & 5085:5 \\
\hline 5111:9;5112:14; & ruling (1) & 5001:6,11,19;5014:6,6; & selected (6) & set (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4994:15;5087:12 & 4989:5;5071:3,9,12, & 5096:25;5097:19,22; & 5078:5 & 5085:9,10;5113:13,25 \\
\hline setting (2) & 14,21,22;5072:10,19; & 5098:7;5099:19 & specific (7) & standard (1) \\
\hline 5043:7;5081:13 & 5076:13 & somebody (10) & 4994:17,25;4998:20; & 5025:24 \\
\hline settle (1) & significant (1) & 4999:6;5003:1 & 016:11;5019:24; & stands (1) \\
\hline 5029:21 & 5057:12 & 5005:6;5006:13 & 5031:11;5055:13 & 5036:14 \\
\hline Seven (11) & significantly (1) & 5041:11;5059:8 & specifically (6) & start (5) \\
\hline 4991:17,21,24; & 5056:19 & 5074:24;5086:3 & 5001:1;5031:1; & 4996:2;5035:17 \\
\hline 4999:20,22;5000:12 & signing (1) & 5098:24;5113:2 & 049:19;5077:22 & 5105:3;5113:17,24 \\
\hline 5001:14;5042:22; & 4993:9 & somehow (2) & 5094:6;5101:22 & started (4) \\
\hline 5055:4;5058:24; & sil & 4996:15;4999: & specifics (1) & 5008:18;5012:25; \\
\hline 5104:2 & & someone (1) & 4994:3 & 5035:18;5052:13 \\
\hline several (1) & Similar (8) & 5054:10 & speculation (2) & starting (5) \\
\hline 5114:14 & 4988:3;4990:16 & sometimes (2) & 5015:1,2 & 5055:14;5056:16; \\
\hline severance (5) & 5023:11,23;5025:14; & 4999:5;5003 & spent (10) & 5077:6;5085:8; \\
\hline 5075:13,22,24 & 5052:3;5075:24; & somewhere (1) & 5024:25;5025:2 & 5104:19 \\
\hline 5076:11,14 & 5076:12 & 99:9 & 6;5030:8 & state (3) \\
\hline share (2) & simple (2) & Sonja (3) & 5111:8,12,18,25; & 5073:21;5107:13,19 \\
\hline 5047:19; & 4997:25;5060:4 & 4989:12,12,1 & 5112:3,6 & stated (1) \\
\hline shared (1) & simply (1) & sooner (1) & spillover (3) & 5046:13 \\
\hline 5084:3 & 5095:10 & 5021:15 & 5113:14,21;5114:3 & Statement (72) \\
\hline sharing (1) & single (1) & Sorial (2) & spirit (1) & 4995:19;5001:25; \\
\hline 5081:12 & 5112:3 & 5037:19;5041:12 & 5115:6 & 5003:24;5005:22; \\
\hline sheet (23) & sit (1) & sorry (29) & split (3) & 5008:20,21;5009:3,13; \\
\hline 5005:25;5006:9,12, & 5099:2 & 4985:12,15;4986:14; & 5005:1;5055:15; & 5010:13;5015:17,19; \\
\hline 20,21,24;5007:5,8,14, & site (2) & 4990:4,22;4991:1,5,17; & \[
5102: 12
\] & 5036:17,18;5041:18, \\
\hline 17;5010:23,25;5011:2, & 4993:9;5005:3 & 4995:14;5014:4,23; & spoke & 23;5043:17;5046:8,17, \\
\hline 3,20;5023:16,18; & sits (2) & 5015:13;5016:2 & 5017:1, & 21,25;5047:12,14,17, \\
\hline 5024:5;5026:20; & 5109:6,1 & 5017:14;5029:7; & spreadsheet (29) & 19,21;5049:21; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5056:8;5093:19; } \\
& \text { 5108:20;5109:2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & sitting (1)
5043:19 & 5033:25;5035:4; & 4995:1;4999:21; & 5053:18;5054:25; 5055:6.5056:420 \\
\hline sheets (4) & situation (1) & 5061:10;5064:19; & 5005:20;5009:10,20; & 5057:13;5058:5; \\
\hline 5008:15,23;5012:24, & 5086:22 & 5065:1;5068:15; & 5010:19;5018:15; & 5059:11,18;5063:17; \\
\hline 24 & situations (4) & 5076:3;5104:14 & 5039:2,4;5072:20,25; & 5066:4;5067:7,15; \\
\hline Sheri (2) & 5071:2,13,22
5072.10 & 5108:2;5110:4,2 & 5089:3,6,11;5095:5; & 5069:1;5072:3; \\
\hline 5082:4,6 & 5072:10 & sort (2) & 5102:14,18,19,21; & 5073:18;5074:20; \\
\hline shorter (1) & six (4) & 4995:12;5026:13 & 5104:9;5105:1,9,9 & 5075:3;5076:17,23; \\
\hline 5114:18 & 4989:7;5036:20 & sound (1) & 5106:17;5110:15,20 & 5077:11,23;5078:2,17; \\
\hline short-term (1) & 5055:18;5058:14 & 5077:2 & spreadsheets (3) & 5080:9,13,16,17,24; \\
\hline 5109:9 & Sixth (4) & Sounds (1) & 5018:3;5038:16; & 5082:12;5083:21; \\
\hline show (11) & 5004:7;5009:22; & 5108:24 & 5109:9 & 5084:16;5088:12,19; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 4984:4;4985:17; } \\
& 5048: 22 ; 5059: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5012: 17 ; 5016: 23 \\
& \text { size (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & South (8) 5019:8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Springs (4) } \\
& 4999: 20
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5091: 13,16 ; 5092: 7 \\
& 5093: 4,6 ; 5100: 13,2
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5060:3;5072:20; & size (2)
5019:14;5095:25 & 5040:10;5096:1 & \[
5001: 15
\] & 5101:1,3;5105:13; \\
\hline 5075:23;5077:4; & skip (1) & 5097:14,17;5099:7 & square (14) & 5106:3,5 \\
\hline 5084:9;5102:13; & 5077:17 & Southern (1) & 4993:8;5002:3,5,6; & statements (11) \\
\hline 5105:1 & slightly (1) & 5042:17 & 5003:1,9,11,12,20; & 5008:24;5009:4; \\
\hline showed (2) & 5012:10 & spa (1) & 5057:9;5095:6,7,10,11 & 5013:3,4,5;5015:4; \\
\hline 5044:4;5058:1 & small & 5031: & stabilization (1) & 5026:4;5036:21; \\
\hline showing (3) & 5028 & SPAC (1) & 5099:20 & 5054:19;5061:21; \\
\hline 5008:21;5029:9; & smaller (2) & 5080:2 & stabilized (28) & 5091:23 \\
\hline 5042:19 & 5009:11;5019:2 & space (11) & 4985:4,11;4986:7,8, & stating (1) \\
\hline shown (3) & Sneddon (1) & 4994:6;4997:2,8,8,9; & 19;4988:9,12,19,25; & 5009:3 \\
\hline 5067:24;5099:24 & 5003:10 & 5032:2,7,8;5036:23; & 4989:5,16;4991:12,18; & status (7) \\
\hline 5111:3 & social (2) & 5063:5;5097:11 & 4992:1,3,19;5032:1; & 5025:2,2,3;5111:9, \\
\hline shows (1) & 5022:2;5093:21 & spaces (1) & 5096:19,21,22;5097:1, & 19;5112:6,13 \\
\hline 5044:2 & SOFC (8) & 4996:14 & 22,25;5098:2,10,14,23; & stenographically (3) \\
\hline side (14) & 5068:25;5069:4; & Spahr (1) & 5099:19 & 5020:16;5062:19; \\
\hline 5003:25,25;5004:11 & 5073:14,20;5080:11, & 5110:13 & staff ( & 5103:2 \\
\hline 5005:1;5006:19; & 19;5083:6,14 & speak (5) & 023:7,8,12 & step (2) \\
\hline 5009:17,17;5010:8,10; & sold (14) & 4996:4;4999:14; & 5036:16;5087:15,16, & 5047:4,7 \\
\hline 5028:11,11;5055:23, & 4993:12; & 5014:3;5052:1; & 19;5088:8 & stepped (1) \\
\hline 24;5092:22 & 5004:15;5005:3,5,8; & 5101:15 & stand (7) & 4983:10 \\
\hline signed (10) & 5018:13,18;5021:23; & speaking (1) & 4983:8,11;5040:4; & Steven (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Attorney General of the State of New York \(\mathbf{v}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5079:8 & 50 & 5092:16,17,25;5093:1; & :23 & 4 \\
\hline still & 5026:6;5027:12,2 & 00:4 & 104: & 8 \\
\hline 4983:13; & 5028:14-5029-24 & 04 & te & 5076:4;5114:18 \\
\hline 5055:8; & 5031:18;5032:17 & Sus & 4991:3,9;507 & Thursday (1) \\
\hline 3:17;5075:15 & 5033:5,7,16;5034 & 4997:15;5017:18 & & \\
\hline 2:2 & 5035:4,9,12;5037:1,4 & 13,14 & & tier (1) \\
\hline 13:20 & 8;5038:6,10;5040:6, & & ter & 5012:13 \\
\hline st & 1:3;5044:15,19; & & 24 & tered (1) \\
\hline 505 & 5 & sw & 5023:4 & 5006:18 \\
\hline sti & 5049:24;5050:4,6; & & terms (4) & TIGCS (1) \\
\hline \[
5
\] & \[
5054: 24 ; 5055: 13,
\] & sw & \[
4: 21
\] & \[
5036: 14
\] \\
\hline stip & 057.5.5060:13. & & :4;5114:21 & times (12) \\
\hline &  & sy: & testif & 4997:11;4 \\
\hline stock & \[
5101: 5 ; 5113: 4
\] & 5081:1 &  & \[
5006: 21 ; 5008: 10
\] \\
\hline \[
5080:
\] & sut & systems (2) & , & 5038:10;5042:22; \\
\hline stop & \[
5067: 9,25
\] & 4987:16;4995:10 & \[
5050: 4 ; 5058: 2 ; 5061: 2,
\] & \[
5051: 2 ; 5089: 19,2
\] \\
\hline \[
5042: 25
\] & 5091:4;510 & & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
4 ; 5075: 13 ; 5076: 16
\] \\
5084:6.5093.23.
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5090: 6 ; 5093: 14 ; \\
& 5097 \cdot 14
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline stopp & \[
\begin{array}{|r}
\mid \text { submitte } \\
5034: 2
\end{array}
\] & T & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5084: 6 ; 5093: 23 ; \\
& 5094: 16 ; 5108: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5097: 14 \\
\text { timing (1) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline sto & sul & & test & 5039:9 \\
\hline \[
5
\] & \[
5042: 17
\] & \[
5013: 21,23 ; 5110
\] &  & IR (1) \\
\hline st & su & & test & 5003:10 \\
\hline & & 5053: & 5108:18;5110:25 & issue (1) \\
\hline 50 & & & tes & 42:8 \\
\hline 50 & & 5110 & 5000:17;5028:13; & title (2) \\
\hline stream & & tal & & 050:2 \\
\hline 5062:9 & 5049:2 & 5043:22 & 5050:6;5055:11; & titles (1) \\
\hline Street & sub-spread & talked (1) &  & 5049:20 \\
\hline 4993 & 5009:10 & 5095: & 9:13;5060:14,16, & today (1) \\
\hline \[
5004:
\] & suh & talking & \[
\text { ; } 5067: 22
\] & 5099:2 \\
\hline \[
5009: 22,24 ; 5010
\] & \[
5014: 15 ; 505
\] &  & 5073:1;5075:12; & Today's (1) \\
\hline 5012:15;5015:16 & subtracted (1) & 5047:1;5069:7; & 80:2 & 506 \\
\hline 5016:15;5017:6; & S & 076:25;5101:16 &  & together (7) \\
\hline \[
5034: 21 ; 5061: 25
\] & sug: & 5114:4 & \[
085: 14,16 ; 5093
\] & \[
5010: 20,20
\] \\
\hline stricken (1) & Su & & 5096:17,18;5101:9 & 5036:3;5042:1 \\
\hline \[
5
\] & sugg & 82: & 5102.9.5104.24. & \begin{tabular}{l}
5043:8;5060:2 \\
told (9)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5014:24; & & & & 4996.17.5000.15,18. \\
\hline 5044:17 & & 8:25,25;5029:5,9, & 5053:25 & 29:2 \\
\hline str & summari & \[
10 ; 5038: 22 ; 5100
\] & & \[
038: 5 ; 5041: 1
\] \\
\hline \[
5038: 23 ; 506
\] & \[
5039: 3
\] & taxes (1) &  & 5042:18;5098:2 \\
\hline structured (1) & sum & 4989 & Thanksgiving ( & Toleznik (1) \\
\hline \[
5
\] &  & & \[
15 ; 5115
\] & 4989:12 \\
\hline structures (2)
5019:15;503 & supp & tea & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { theory (2) } \\
& 5112: 21,23
\end{aligned}
\] & 5069:7 \\
\hline ructuring & & 5019:2 & & ton (1) \\
\hline 5052:25 & 5018:2;5034:5;5035:1, & tea & 5049:2 & 5034:22 \\
\hline stuff & \[
7,7 ; 5038: 13 ; 5072: 20
\] & \[
4987: 1
\] & therewith & took (22) \\
\hline \[
5073: 22
\] & 5089:11;5102:13; & teammate &  & 4991.4 \\
\hline Suarez (84) & \[
5106: 16 ; 5110: 19
\] & 4987.1 & third (4) & \[
5006: 8,9,25 ; 5007: 5,9,
\] \\
\hline \[
4983: 14,15,19
\] & supposed (1) & Technolo &  & 13;5013:4;5015:20; \\
\hline 4984:2,7,18;4986:13,
\[
20: 4987: 4.10: 4988: 4
\] & \[
5042: 18
\] & \[
5080: 4
\] & 5033:22;5079:19 & 5019:11;5022:15; \\
\hline 20;4987:4,10;4988:4; & Sura & tele & thoug & 5030:14;5043:23; \\
\hline 4993:1;4995:23 & & &  & 5080:23;5088:4,15; \\
\hline 4997:21;4998:10,15; & 4 & \(5: 1\) & th & 5095:6;51 \\
\hline 4999:17;5001:4; & 4989:11; & ten & 5022:15;5034:10; & top (12) \\
\hline 5003:23;5005:14 & 4995:20,23;4998:5 & 5097 & 20;5071:16 & 4990:18;50 \\
\hline 5008:12;5009:15; & 5000:17;5006:19; & tenancy (2) & 5083:21,23,25;5084 & 5018:21;5032:21; \\
\hline 5010:16;5011:5,12,16, & 5016:13;5024:15; & 4989:16; & 5096:1;5107:15; & 5057:4;5062:16; \\
\hline 23;5012 & 5028:6;5 & tenancy-in & & 069:25;5072:7,2 \\
\hline 15;5014:8;5015:2,12
5016:13:5021:6; & 50 & 5006:18 & three (8) & 5074:3;5092:15,18 \\
\hline 5016:13;5021:6; & 5056:13;5077:22; & tenant (4) & 4988:14;4999:25; & topics (2) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5075:11;5077:10 & 4997:1,2,7;5000:1; & 4999:17;5033:16; & 5045:4 & 5052:17;5073:21 \\
\hline total (9) & 5002:2;5004:3,9,10,13, & 5058:12;5066:9; & undervaluing (1) & updates (1) \\
\hline 4993:19,24;5027:7; & 18;5005:10,10; & 5069:22;5079:19 & 14:13 & 5063:21 \\
\hline 5036:20;5092:11,19; & 5007:23;5008:1 & 5081:6;5083:4; & Unell (3) & Upon (3) \\
\hline 5093:2,9;5097:6 & 5010:8;5016:1; & 5113:11 & 5113:14,21 & 5089:17,24;5102:1 \\
\hline touch (1) & 5019:16,19,19 & turned (2) & unit (15) & upper (1) \\
\hline 5016:17 & 5022:11,13,18,22; & 5051:22;5052:10 & 4985:21,24;4986:19; & 5106:17 \\
\hline tough (1) & 5023:8,19,22;5024:3, & turning (1) & 4988:14,23;4989:1,7; & upset (1) \\
\hline 5014:5 & 23,25;5025:11,19,24; & 5030:3 & 4990:1;4991:10,11,14, & 5044:25 \\
\hline tour (1) & 5026:9,16;5027:10,18; & twice & 20;4992:2;5098:6, & upward (2) \\
\hline 5043:23 & 5028:9;5030:4,8,14; & 5112:9 & units (16) & 5094:12,2 \\
\hline Tower (16) & 5035:14,19,24; & two (28) & 4989:24;4992:19; & use (14) \\
\hline 4997:1,2,7;5010:8; & 5036:14;5037:19; & 4993:15,21;4994:5, & 5005:3;5066:12; & 4997:6;5008:19 \\
\hline 5069:13;5070:11; & 5040:10,10;5042:5,12; & 9,14;5002:7;5004:3; & 5096:15,18,22; & \[
5030: 21,23,23 ; 5031: 6
\] \\
\hline 5086:24;5087:13; & 5043:6,13;5044:4,8; & 5005:7,13;5013:3; & 5097:12,12,19,22; & 8;5034:7,22;5036:1; \\
\hline 5094:17,22;5095:11, & 5046:17;5047:5,11,20; & 5017:10,10;5026:3,13; & 5098:10,14,23; & 5038:24;5043:10; \\
\hline 16,18;5102:22,24; & 5048:3,4,12,15,25; & 5029:17;5042:18; & 5099:13,19 & 5104:21;5107:3 \\
\hline 5104:5 & 5049:8,16,17;5051:15; & 5044:3,17,20;5048:22; & unless (4) & used (37) \\
\hline town (2) & 5052:7,24;5054:11; & 5056:15;5058:20; & 4992:4;5052:1 & 4987:16;4994:1; \\
\hline 5022:21; & 5056:6;5057:9,11; & 5075:25;5076:3,6; & 5059:25;5098:17 & 4995:12,19,19; \\
\hline towns (1) & 5059:8;5062:13; & 5078:7;5088:5; & unsold (4) & 4996:21;4998:2 \\
\hline 4999:25 & 5069:13;5070:7,11; & 5095:21 & 5096:14,18,22 & 5001:14;5002:4 \\
\hline trace (1) & 5071:1;5072:9; & two-year (1) & 5097:12 & 5003:12,14;5005:20; \\
\hline 5112:3 & 5073:25;5074:7,16,19; & 4989:6 & up (117) & 5009:3;5010:20; \\
\hline track (3) & 5075:2,3;5076:21,22; & TYO (1) & 4983:19;4984:7 & 5015:17,18;5016:16; \\
\hline 5027:6,7;5048:21 & 5078:2,3;5080:3,4; & 4992:5 & 4987:10;4990:1 & 5017:4;5018:9; \\
\hline tracking (1) & 5081:11;5083:1,10,12, & type (5) & 4994:1;4995:16; & 5030:19;5031:21,23; \\
\hline 5082:11 & 21;5084:7,7,15,16; & 4984:15;5091:23; & \[
4996: 21 ; 5000: 24
\] & 5036:14,18,22;5047:3; \\
\hline trades (1) & 5085:15,18;5086:12, & 5093:20;5095:17; & 5001:8,9;5002:7; & 5048:11;5053:4; \\
\hline 5089:19 & 16,19,23,24;5087:13; & 5111:10 & 5003:8,24;5005:1,14; & 5081:11;5090:11; \\
\hline transaction (6) & 5088:4;5091:6,18; & typed ( & 5009:4,15;5010:16; & 5094:8;5102:23; \\
\hline 5005:4;5025: & 5094:17,22;5095:11, & 5039:1 & 5011:12;5013:15; & 5105:21;5107:2,12,23; \\
\hline 5026:3,4;5048:23; & 16,18;5097:5,9,13; & ty & 5015:21,22;5016:21, & 5108:9 \\
\hline 5049:12 & 5098:6,11,14,19; & 5091:17 & 24;5017:11;5018:10; & using (9) \\
\hline transcript (10) & 5099:4,6,6;5101:21,23; & typical (1) & 5019:5,6,12,14;5020:6, & 4998:6;5008:2 \\
\hline 4983:20;4984:4; & 5102:22,24;5104:4,5; & 5091:16 & 6,7,14;5022:12,17,23; & 5090:6;5093:13,23; \\
\hline \[
5061: 5,8 ; 5077: 6
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5111:8,11,11,13,18 \\
Trump's (10)
\end{tabular} & \(\mathbf{U}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5023:21;5024:7; } \\
& \text { 5025:24;5026:10,17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5094:14,16,17;5095:2 } \\
& \text { usual (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5102:11,15 & 5016:5;5038:21,22; & & 5027:20;5028:3,8,10; & 5084:21 \\
\hline transferred (1) & 5043:19;5047:23; & Ultimately (3) & 5029:19;5030:3,7,16; & usually (1) \\
\hline 5048:25 & 5056:5,17;5057:8; & 5015:25;5046:16 & 5031:18,23;5032:2,3, & \[
5030: 22
\] \\
\hline transit (1) & 5109:6,14 & 5053:9 & 17;5035:13;5036:1,3, & utilized (1) \\
\hline 5009:2 & Trust (3) & Um (22) & 20,24;5037:4;5040:7, & 5068:5 \\
\hline treated (1) & 5004:5;5048:19 & 4993:5,10,23; & 20;5041:15;5042:19; & \\
\hline 4989:16 & 5049:1 & 4994:23;4995:4; & 5044:12;5046:10; & V \\
\hline trial (3)
5052.13.5077.4. & truth (4) & 4997:8;4998:5;5002:4; & 5048:7;5055:2; & \\
\hline 5052:13;5077:4;
5115:14 & 5044:23;5045:2 & \[
5022: 2 ; 5027: 7
\] & 5057:22;5062:1,15; & vacant (6) \\
\hline  & 5054:3,13
try (3) &  &  &  \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ried (5) } \\
5018 \cdot ?
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ry (3) } \\
5005
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
35: 20 ; 5036: 20
\] & \[
5075: 18,25 ; 5082
\] & 5032:2,7,8;5098:14 \\
\hline 5020:3;5027:6; & 5021:8 & 5038:19,21,23;5105:2; & 10,12;5087:12; & 5025:21;5026:2 \\
\hline 5094:15 & trying (9) & 5111:25;5112:12 & 5089:13;5090:24; & valuation (27) \\
\hline triplex (10) & \[
4996: 14 ; 5021: 1,5
\] & unchanged (1) & 5091:20;5094:24; & 4996:22;5001:3; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5001: 17,20,24 ; \\
& 5007.45 \cdot 503 \cdot 1113
\end{aligned}
\] & 5022:14;5023:23; & 5090:1 & 5095:13,17,19,22; & 5009:12;5020:8; \\
\hline 5002:4,5;5003:11,13, & 5030:13;5054:12; & uncommon (1) & 5096:2,9;5097:19,21; & 5022:12;5023:21; \\
\hline 18,21;5043:20 & 5070:24;5112:21 & 5074:18 & 5098:1,7,13;5099:22; & 5025:12,20;5026:10, \\
\hline true (3) & Tuesday (5) & under (11) & 5101:11;5102:1,10; & 21;5028:3;5030:3; \\
\hline 5026:2;5086:8;
\(5101: 25\) & 5113:16,22;5114:1, & 4983:13;4993:15,25;
\(5021.25 \cdot 5022 \cdot 3\) & 5104:20;5105:20;
\(5106: 10 \cdot 5107: 11,14\) & 5031:6,14;5032:3,14;
\(5034: 924 \cdot 5035: 13\) \\
\hline 5101:25 & 6,22 & 5021:25;5022:3; & 5106:10;5107:11,14, & 5034:9,24;5035:13; \\
\hline Trump (143) & turn (17) & 5049:12;5052:22; & 17;5108:15;5109:18; & 5061:21;5062:17; \\
\hline 4988:2;4989:22; & 4984:2,18;4985:13; & 5062:13;5067:25; & 5110:18;5111:9,13,19; & 5064:20;5072:21; \\
\hline 4990:16;4991:1; & 4986:13,20;4988:4; & 5109:6,13 & \[
5112: 5,6,13
\] & 5089:2,7;5090:3; \\
\hline 4992:19;4995:2; & 4989:20;4991:20; & Understood (1) & update (2) & 5102:20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline valuations (3) & vibrant (1) & way (20) & Whitley (10) & orks (5) \\
\hline 4999:1,2;5044:10 & 5042:13 & 5003:5;5004:10; & 5079:3,5,8,10,23; & 4989:12;4992:8; \\
\hline value (106) & virtual (4) & 5010:4,13;5022:14 & 080:6;5081:8,10; & 4997:3,4;5016:16 \\
\hline 4993:14;4994:2 & 5076:21,24;5077:11 & 5041:19;5054:18; & 5088:15,16 & worksheets (1) \\
\hline 4998:25;5000:24; & 5078:16 & 055:23;5059:10 & holly (1) & 5042:11 \\
\hline 5001:14,20;5002:4; & vision (3) & 5072:22;5093:3; & 5064:11 & world (1) \\
\hline 5003:1,4;5010:2,7; & 5000:4;5022:22 & 095:20,25;5099:3; & whomever (1) & 5022:22 \\
\hline 5015:15,21,23,24,25; & 035:23 & 100:15,22;5106:2; & 4995:4 & worldwide (1) \\
\hline 5016:1,3;5018:12,16, & visions (1) & 5107:16;5112:10,22 & whose (3) & 5056:5 \\
\hline 22;5019:6,21;5020:1,1, & 5000:2 & weddings (1) & 4987:21;5056:9,22 & worth (6) \\
\hline 6,6,8,10,13;5021:2,17, & voice (1) & 5043:4 & withdrawn (5) & 5027:7;5034:10; \\
\hline 21,22;5022:14;5024:8; & 4998:13 & Wednesday (4) & 5022:6;5027:22 & 5041:20;5043:13; \\
\hline 5025:25;5026:20,20; & voicemail & 5113:16,22;5114:1,7 & 029:15;5061:10 & 5052:20,21 \\
\hline 5027:2,9,15;5030:5,7, & 4998:19;5102:4,7 & week (1) & 5064:19 & writes (1) \\
\hline 16,24;5031:12,13,17; & 11,16;5105:10,22 & 5113:10 & withhold (1) & 5081:8 \\
\hline 5032:14;5033:22,25; & volume (1) & weigh (1) & 4992:18 & writing (3) \\
\hline 5034:1,2,2,7,20; & 5088:2 & 5053:14 & within (6) & 5018:1;5055:1 \\
\hline 5036:3,12,22,24; & volumino & Weisselberg (16) & 4994:11;49 & 5076:7 \\
\hline 5037:11,13;5041:14, & 5003:6 & 4994:19;5030:22 & 4999:10,12;5049:17; & written (1) \\
\hline 17,20;5044:1;5056:4,5, & Vornado & 5031:25;5044:9; & 5085:21 & 5069:17 \\
\hline 19;5057:12;5062:2; & 003:23;5004: & 5046:21,21;5047:11, & without (4) & wrong (2) \\
\hline 5069:14;5071:9; & 8;5005:9,9;5008:6; & 16,17,19;5054:20; & 5052:11;5072:2 & 5059:14,20 \\
\hline 5080:11;5090:1,21; & 5009:18;5010:2,3,23; & 5068:15;5078:10,12; & 5097:1;5099:19 & wrote (10) \\
\hline 5093:24;5094:2,12,16; & 5013:4,20;5015:14,18; & 5091:5;5098:25 & witness (30) & 5046:7;5054:2 \\
\hline 5095:7,10,13;5096:1, & 5108:20,23;5109:6 & Weisselberg's (3) & 4983:7,8,10,10 & 5055:5;5056:15; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 14 ; 5097: 4,5,6,9,13,14 ; \\
& \text { 5098:5,15;5106:23,25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & W & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5068:7; } 5069: 5 ; \\
& 5075: 22
\end{aligned}
\] & 5014:2,4,7;5033: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5059: 2,14,17 ; 5060: 6, \\
& 22 ; 5067: 22
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5107:3,7,12,13,19,25; & & west (2) & 5040:4,20;5045:4,9,16, & \\
\hline 5111:3,21;5112:5,15 & & 5004:11;5005 & 18;5050:20,25;5051:9; & Y \\
\hline 4996.11.5 & & Westches & & \\
\hline 4996:11;5003:6;
5010:4;5018:17,20,24. & waiting (1) & 5066:7 & 5054:13;5060:21 & yada (3) \\
\hline 5010:4;5018:17,20,24;
\(5043 \cdot 20 \cdot 5044: 6\) & 5073:22 & what's & 5061:14,17;5065:25 & 5064:22,22,22 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5043: 20 ; 5044: 6 \\
& 5096: 1,25 ; 5099
\end{aligned}
\] & Wak & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5038: 16 ; 5 \\
& 5113: 10
\end{aligned}
\] &  & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Yard (1) } \\
5004: 11
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline values (3) & 5017:8,16;5032:10; & Whenever (1) & 5085:9,10;5113:7 & ear (31) \\
\hline 5068:5;5081:17 & 5101:17,19 & 5018:24 & 5114:22 & 4985:25;4988:3; \\
\hline 5106:19 & walk (4) & Whereupon (73) & witnesses (3) & 4991:4,7,8;4993:14; \\
\hline valuing (20) & 4997:1,2;5086:5,9 & 4983:10,21;4984:9 & \[
5052: 3 ; 5113: 1
\] & 4996:11,11,11,11; \\
\hline 5000:12;5001:24; & walked (1) & 20,23;4985:19; & \[
5114: 5
\] & 4998:5;5000:4;5001:9; \\
\hline 5008:18;5019:23; & 5003:17 & 4986:15,22;4988:15, & Wollman (1) & 5003:3,3;5007:25; \\
\hline 5031:21;5032:6; & Wall (6) & 21;4989:2,8;4990:13; & \[
5030: 13
\] & \[
5008: 9,10 ; 5013: 2
\] \\
\hline 5040:11;5062:4; & 5010:8;5031:18,21; & 4991:22;4992:24; & wondering (1) & 5015:3,20;5020:4; \\
\hline 5065:5;5066:17; & 5032:6;5034:21; & 4995:24;4999:18; & 5113:10 & 5023:17;5027:8; \\
\hline 5068:16;5069:19; & 5061:24 & 5001:5,11,18;5011:9; & word (4) & 5060:15,15,17,17; \\
\hline 5070:15;5075:2; & WALLACE (3) & 5012:2;5013:12; & 5022:25;5043:10 & 5076:14;5089:23; \\
\hline 5090:8,11;5093:12,23; & 5114:11,17;5115:3 & 5015:7;5022:9;5026:7; & 5061:5;5092:20 & 5102:6 \\
\hline 5094:13;5098:16 & Walsh (1) & 5027:13,25;5028:12, & words (8) & year-end (1) \\
\hline various (11) & 5017:7 & 16;5030:1;5031:19; & 46:24;5047:3 & 5036:17 \\
\hline 5000:2;5008:3; & wants (3) & 5032:19;5033:18; & 5056:3,9,22;5057:15; & years (15) \\
\hline 5029:1;5035:20; & 5025:3;5053:10 & 5035:2;5037:2,6,9; & 5059:4;5064:11 & 4993:6;5000:1; \\
\hline 5037:21;5038:20; & 5073:21 & 5040:16,25;5063:10, & work (11) & 5012:20;5013:1; \\
\hline 5048:17,18,23;5049:8, & w & 19;5064:8;5065:9,16,
23:5066:10.5067.2,12. & 16 & 5026:18;5030:13; \\
\hline Vegas (1) & warranted & 23;5066:10;5067:2,12; & 5088.2.5098.17 & 5038:25;5042:16; \\
\hline 5043:14 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { arranted }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5070: 5,19 ; 5072: 5
\] & 5104:20 & 5089:4;5098:4; \\
\hline verbal (2) & Washington & 5073:11;5074:11; & worked (9) & 5099:10,13 \\
\hline 5037:23,23 & 5025:12 & 5075:20;5076:1; & 4987:23;4995:6; & yesterday (14) \\
\hline verbally (1) & Waterfront (15) & 5077:7,19;5078:23; & 5015:4;5016:18; & 4983:23;4984:16; \\
\hline 5076:7 & 5004:18;5005:2,6; & 5079:21;5084:24; & 5031:25;5037:19,20; & 4995:17;4996:25; \\
\hline version (4) & 5006:7,7,16;5007:3,12, & \[
5085: 10 ; 5090: 16
\] & 5043:3;5087:13 & 5023:6;5040:9;5046:6 \\
\hline 5059:7,10;5072:2,8 & 23;5009:23,25; & 5092:4;5106:12; & working (4) & 20;5047:1;5054:25; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{via}(1) \\
& 5008: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5011: 17 ; 5012: 9 \\
& 5013: 19 ; 5109: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5108: 5,16 ; 5109: 20 \\
& 5110: 21 ; 5115: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5034: 19 ; 5043: 1,4 \\
& 5044: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & 5059:14;5085:14; 5087:11:5096:12 \\
\hline 5008:2 & 5013:19;5109:11 & 5110:21;5115:14 & 5044:24 & 5087:11;5096:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5004:6;5006:1,17; } \\
& \text { 5007:4,13;5009:22,24, } \\
& \text { 25;5012:16,16,22; } \\
& \text { 5016:23;5018:5 }
\end{aligned}
\]} & 2/27/17 (1) & 21 (4) & 11,21,23;5007:5,5,7, \\
\hline Zoom (3) & & 5008:14 & 5070:4;5077:6; & 10,14,16;5011:2; \\
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\hline & 5077:21 & 4984:13;5063:16; & 5072:3 & \(5111: 17\) \\
\hline 1 & 13.8 (1) & 5100:18,21 & 2-3 (2) & 301 (1) \\
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\hline 1021 (5) & 5026:18 & 5027:3,9;5030:17,18, & 5005:15;5009:21; & 3293 (1) \\
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\begin{aligned}
& 16.4(1) \\
& 5020: 14
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\hline 1043 (3) & 5075:19 & 4987:25;5006:1; & 27 (9) & 5063:7,8;5064:1,3 \\
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COURT OFFICER: All rise.
Part 37 is now in session.
The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom.

Now be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: Defendants would you like to call your next witness?

MR. ROBERT: Yes, Your Honor. The defendants call Mark Hawthorn.
(Whereupon, the witness took the stand.)
COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand.
MARK HAWTHORN, after having first been duly sworn was examined and testified as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
State your full name and home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: My name is Mark Hawthorn, and my business address is 725 Fifth Avenue, New York.

THE COURT: Zip code?
THE WITNESS: 10022.
THE COURT: We probably heard that before.
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Mr. Robert, would you like to proceed?
MR. ROBERT: Thank you. Good morning, Your
Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERT:

Q Good morning, Mr. Hawthorn.
A Good morning.
Q I know you testified a few weeks ago. But I, just to put things context, I want to go briefly through your educational background and professional history. Okay, sir?

A Okay.
Q Please tell us about your educational background from college on.
A So, I attended the University of Florida and I obtained a Bachelor's degree and a Master's degree in accounting in the year 2000.

Subsequent to graduation I had my first employment at Arthur Anderson, which is a public accounting firm in Fort
Lauderdale, Florida. I worked at Arthur Anderson from 2000 to
2002. From 2002 to --

Q I'll break it down.
Prior to your work at Arthur Anderson, did you obtain any professional certification?
A Yes. I obtained a CPA license in the state of Florida.

Q And when did you obtain that license, sir?
A I obtained that license in 2000.
Q Okay. So now talking about your time at Arthur Anderson, which I believe you said was 2000 to 2002, sir?

A Correct.
Q What did you do at Arthur Anderson during that time?
A I was in the audit practice, so I worked on audits of both public and private companies as a staff auditor; with increasing responsibility to a senior auditor overseeing audit engagements for the firm.

Q And in its most basic sense, what is an audit, sir?
A An audit is a -- when a company requests that a firm, such as an accounting firm, comes in and does work and procedures to check the books and records of the company; and to issue -- potentially issue audited financial statements of the company.

Q When an accounting firm reviews -- \(I\) know review is a term of art, I will not use that word. When an accounting firm analyzes financial records of a client of theirs, are there different levels of review?

A Yes.
Q What are those different levels?
A Generally speaking there is a compilation; there is a review; there is an audit; and there is maybe something else called agreed-upon procedures.
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Q Okay. Taking - leaving the agreed-upon procedures out for a moment. Very briefly, can you explain those three things?

A Sure. So, in terms of the level of work that an accounting firm would undergo, the compilation is the lowest level of work and assurance. So a report that is issued with a compilation opinion states that.

Whereas, a review would be additional procedures that are done.

And an audit would be the highest level of assurance that there is substantive testing and other procedures done by the accounting firm with respect to the financial statements.

Q And when you discussed your experience at Arthur Anderson, was that solely in the audit, meaning one of those three, or did that encompass more than just audits?

A My role encompassed everything, because we had clients that had different needs. So I would have a client that has a need to issue a compilation report or I might have a client, same client, have a need to issue an audit report.

Generally speaking, an audit report is required for publicly traded companies that file financial statements with the SEC or have public debt.

Whereas a compilation would be more for another purpose. If, for example, a company needed to provide numbers to a lender or something like that, generally speaking.

Q And, sir, when you just testified about your work at Arthur Anderson, you said your role kind of expanded during those two years. What did you mean by that?

A I started out as a staff person, so I was on client engagements in a staff capacity doing work at the direction of an audit senior, an audit manager. And after about a year was promoted to an audit senior, where you are doing more of the planning, you are doing more of the client interaction and different levels of responsibility in a supervisory capacity over an audit staff, if that makes sense.

Q It does.
What was the role you had at the time you left Arthur Anderson in 2002?

A I was an audit senior.
Q Where did you go from Arthur Anderson, sir?
A In 2002 I went to Ernst \& Young. It effectively took over the practice of Arthur Anderson in Fort Lauderdale at the time. So I was in the same office with the same clients. It was just that the Ernst \& Young firm took over that office from Arthur Anderson at the time in 2002.

Q How long were you there with Ernst \& Young?
A Ernst \& Young, I was there for two years from 2002 to 2004. And I started as an audit senior, and continued in that role as a - an experienced audit senior when I left in 2004.

Q And did your responsibilities change in any way
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during those two years from 2002 to 2004?
A They did. I had increasing levels of responsibility as I became more senior to the firm and more senior on the client engagements that I was on. So I had more in supervisory responsibilities, I had more interactions with the client on more technical accounting matters, as I have gotten more, at the time skilled in certain transactions and understanding accounting rules and financial reporting disclosure.

Q Where did you go after Ernst \& Young?
A I left Ernst \& Young in June of 2004, and I joined a firm called Kerzner International, which is a company, not an audit firm. So Kerzner International at the time, based in Plantation, Florida, was the owner and operator of luxury resort hotels. And I served as my first role as the manager of financial reporting.

Q And what made you decide to go to Kerzner International in 2004?

A It was a unique opportunity to work in private industry, which I had an affinity to do. And it was a good circumstance for me because the individual they were looking for had my -- I believed I fit the background for what they were looking for, meaning some level of public accounting experience, and an understanding also of the hospitality industry.

At Ernst \& Young I had worked on some hospitality
clients as well, so it gave me an opportunity to expand my career and work at a private company in a capacity where I had the right skill set.

Q And from what years did you work at Kerzner International, sir?

A I worked at Kerzner International from 2004 to 2016.
Q And can you describe for the Court how your role, if in any way, changed at that time period in Kerzner?

A Over the course of my employment at Kerzner I again had increasing levels of responsibility. So I started as a manager of financial reporting. At the time in 2004 to 2006 the company was a public company. So I was in charge of overseeing the financial statements that were filed with the SEC.

In 2006 the company went private on private transaction. And I continued in a role of financial reporting but more so as a private company where you report to the ownership interests of the company versus public company reporting.

And then as the -- my role expanded in 2000 -- let's say 12 and thereafter, I got involved more also in treasury. Became appointed as the vice president of treasury, where I still held financial reporting oversight and responsibilities because of my knowledge of that area.

But also got involved working with the company's
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lenders; working with the company's other stakeholders in terms of financial matters. Not only historical financial matters, which is what the financial statements oversee or tell, but also prospective financial matters like, you know, how the company is capitalized, how the company is working with its lenders on debt arrangements and things like that.

Q You used a phrase, "vice president of treasury;" what exactly does that mean or did it mean?

A That meant my role was expanded to not just be with financial reporting, but also work with lenders and also oversee the cash flow of the company. So in treasury you are also dealing with banks; you are dealing with lenders; you are dealing with cash flow forecasting for the operations of the company. Not necessarily just financial reporting, which is a more historical-type role where you are issuing financials of what happened in the past. But my role also expanded to be more operational in terms of how the company is looking forward prospectively and doing its business.

Q You testified that you dealt with lenders and stakeholders, what did you mean by stakeholders?

A Board members of the company. So even though it is a private company, the board was comprised of certain individuals appointed to direct the operations of the company. So, we would also, in my role, provide to the board of the company, like, quarterly updates. So, I would help provide board
packages, so to speak, that gave, you know, information on quarterly board meetings to the ownership of the company and directors of the company.

Q When you testified a little earlier, you talked about the "public accounting background," what do you mean by the term "public accounting"?

A Public accounting is generally referred to, again, a firm like Arthur Anderson, Ernst \& Young that is engaged in the audits or other work of companies that are public registrants. Again, so a company that might have stock traded on a stock exchange or public debt. So, generally speaking, that's what public accounting means. It deals with companies that have those type of more stringent requirements than a private enterprise that wouldn't necessarily have those thresholds of reporting requirements.

THE COURT: Mr. Robert, I am not sure everyone can hear you as well as I can. Raise that and raise your voice.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Your Honor. First time I am accused of that.

THE COURT: If you switch places with him you are fine.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, you are familiar with a term called GAAP, right?

A Yes.

Page 5127
Q What is GAAP?
A GAAP is an acronym for Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.

Q And what is your general understanding of what GAAP is?

A GAAP is effectively the rules and guidelines around accounting practice in companies that are, you know, recording books and records and how transactions are recorded and reported.

Q Okay. I now want to take you past 2016, where did you go after Kerzner in 2016?

A So in February of 2016 I was hired as the Chief Accounting Officer of Trump Hotels based in New York.

Q And what is the role -- what was the role of Chief Accounting Officer at the Trump Hotels in New York?

A So my role when I started was reporting to the CEO of Trump Hotels, a gentleman named Eric Danziger at the time. My role encompassed overseeing all of the financial and accounting aspects of the hotel division of the Trump Organization.

Q And how, if in any way, did your title and/or role change since that time in 2016 ?

A In 2016 while my title was effectively Chief Accounting Officer, I was the highest ranking finance and accounting executive in the hotel division. So, in January of 2020 my title was effectively updated to Chief Financial

Officer of Trump Hotels. Although I continued to still report to the CEO of Trump Hotels and was effectively doing the same job.

Q Did the scope of your job then change at all from 2016 to '20, or just your title?

A The title. The scope was effectively the same.
Q Okay. And what, if anything -- withdrawn.
Since 2020, what position have you held with the company?

A So in May of 2022, the CEO of Trump Hotels, Mr. Eric Danziger, left the company. So the company promoted me to Chief Operating Officer of Trump Hotels. I still have continuing responsibility over finance and accounting, but I now oversee the operational aspects of the hotel portfolio as the highest executive level in the hotel division. Not just for finance and accounting but also for operations.

Q And you had mentioned you had report -- withdrawn.
When Mr. Danziger -- was he the CEO of the hotel group you said?

A Yes.
Q At that point he was your direct report?
A Yes.
Q Since he left the company, who is your direct report?
A My direct report is Eric Trump.
Q Okay. And that's the same today, correct?
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A Correct.
Q And is there a CEO of the hotel division?
A No, there is no one with that title. But I , as the Chief Operating Officer or COO, I am at the highest executive level of the hotel division of the company.

Q And I think you described it a few moments ago, but just to be clear, what exactly are the responsibilities of the Chief Operating Officer of the hotel division? Is it okay if I call it the hotel division?

A That's accurate. It is not just finance and accounting but it is everything related to the hotel division operations, including sales and marketing; including operational aspects of how to operate a hotel; how we set rates for room rates; and how we employ, employees over across the hotel portfolio. So not just financing accountant, but all operational aspects.

Q I referred to the hotel division. What, if any, other divisions does the Trump Organization have?

A So broadly speaking there is commercial real estate in the organization. Then there is hospitality-related assets like hotels. And then similar to that there is a golf division. So there is private and public golf courses in the company.

Q And do any of the golf courses fall within your bucket?

A There is some overlap because certain of the hotels are resort hotels, meaning that they have golf courses on the property. And so there is some overlap where the golf course is a component of the resort hotel, and its financials roll up into the reporting of that hotel resort.

Q And would Doral be one of those?
A Doral is a good example.
Q Okay. Going to the hotel division specifically, if you could explain how the accounting team in the hotel division works?

A I would describe our structure as very decentralized, meaning that each hotel has its own general manager who oversees the entire property, and has its own finance department that does the day-to-day finance of the hotel.

So, for example, if you mention Doral, Doral has its own general manager. Doral has its own director of finance. Each hotel has its own departments like that to do the day-to-day accounting and finance work and day-to-day operations; under the auspices and the direction of the corporate office where I reside, but obviously we entrust the teams at the properties to handle the day-to-day of the operations.

Q So looking at it from an organizational chart, for the purpose if you are sitting at the role of the COO of the hotel division, who is it in the hotels that reports to you?

A All of the general managers of the hotels. So the leaders of the actual hotel properties.

Q And when you want financial information about these individual hotels, how do you go about getting it?

A Each individual hotel prepares its own financial information; maintains its own books and records or general ledger, is a common term for books and records. So at the property level those are all maintained. We have a mechanism at the corporate office where we have a reporting timeline where the hotels will report to us in a very standardized way. There are monthly financial reports.

And our other applicable reports that we will review, and discuss and review these with the properties, to get a better understanding and be, kind of, on top of the operation and understanding what is happening in the business.

Q I know you are familiar with the name Allen Weisselberg, correct?

A Yes.
Q Where was Allen Weisselberg within the corporate structure?

A Allen Weisselberg was the Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Organization.

Q And what, if anywhere -- withdrawn.
How, if in any way, did your role change after Mr. Weisselberg left the company?

A After Mr. Weisselberg left the company I have been called upon from time to time as needed to assist with how the company is operating generally in other divisions, so not just the hotel division. And I have been called upon to, kind of, ask how we could replicate certain practices and procedures that we do in the hotel division across the company.

Q And if you could add a little more detail to that?
A Sure. I mean, I think the hotel division, in my humble opinion, because I have -- I am overseeing it, we have a good set of procedures and controls and ways we go about doing the day-to-day business in the operations. So, for example, we have a standardized chart of accounts. I know it sounds kind of boring, but if every hotel has the same general chart of accounts, it is easy to have consistency and roll up across the company.

And do you want me to keep explaining?
Q Let me break it down a little.
A Okay.
Q Did there come a time that someone within the Trump Organization asked you to assist in these other projects after Mr. Weisselberg left?

A Yes. I think Eric Trump has asked me -- well, I know Eric Trump has asked me to assist in other matters where I can be of assistance to the company.

Q Okay. And just so that we are clear, that assistance
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\section*{is in what form?}

A It is helping to utilize certain of the
characteristics of the hotel division and other ways to create, you know, more efficiencies, better procedures and practices across the company as a whole.

Q You talked about uniformity, and I think you used a phrase "roll up" a couple of minutes ago. What did you discover when you were asked to help -- I'll use the word "modernize" the other divisions?

A So as an example, I think as I was explaining before, the hotel division has the benefit of already an existing structure where every hotel is accounted for in the same way. As I was asked to, kind of, assist in other areas of the business, for example in the golf division, which is similar to the hospitality division hotels, the golf division at the time of, let's say, summer of 2021, didn't have that same uniformity. As the golf division grew over time, golf courses were acquired and the property level comptrollers entrusted to oversee the books and records, either continued to use the same chart of accounts that they had on a previous ownership, or had discretion in how they could book transactions in a chart of accounts that made sense for their property.

So as an example, one of the projects that I helped to oversee over the last year and a half with some team members from my team, is to help standardize the chart of accounts for
golf, so that you could consistently compare across every golf course the operations, the performance and understand, if everything is booked the same way and the same accounts, you can have consistency. That's an example.

Q You used the phrase, "chart of accounts," what do you mean by that?

A The chart of accounts is the, for lack of a better term, the books and records of the company, where all of the debits and credits go when you book journal entries. So there is typically a number assigned to a certain general ledger account. A description assigned to a certain general ledger account that comprises the assets, liabilities and equity of the books and records of the trial balance. And so it is much more efficient as a corporate oversight to evaluate the performance of your portfolio if everyone is on the same chart.

Now, that's not to say that each entity had anything wrong with it. It is just that each entity maybe booked things in a different account than a different entity. So if you are trying to cross compare certain things, it may not be as noticeable because they are not on an apples to apples basis. So this is kind of inherent, you know, efficiency in the organization and the golf division similar to what we had in the hotel division as an example.

Q Has there been any new accounting software that has been used as a result of your involvement in these other

\section*{divisions?}

A So, in addition to the chart of accounts project at golf, which is utilizing the same software which is golf specific, there is an undertaking ongoing now at the Trump Organization corporate accounting level to input new general ledger accounting software, so to be more modern, to be more like the hotel division. Meaning in a way that today in 2023 there is software packages available that helps people do their jobs a little bit easier, more consistent and have more control, standardization.

So we have a -- I am assisting with a project at the corporate office accounting level to put in a new system that will help in this effort to improve the efficiency and the reporting and the technical capabilities of the -- the other divisions of the company, like the commercial real estate and the corporate office and that sort of thing.

Q When you talk about the corporate office, what are you referring to?

A So, the corporate office resides generally at 725 Fifth Avenue in New York, which has a back office accounting function. There is a team that oversees those assets. Whereas I oversee the hospitality hotel assets.

Q And what is the difference between the corporate accounting level you used -- you phrased, as opposed to how things work at the hotels or golf courses?

A Maybe to put it in the appropriate context, that was kind of the corporate office's, kind of, generally referred to the prior regime of Jeff McConney and Allen Weisselberg. Whereas now we have other individuals in certain roles overseeing the commercial assets, the real estate assets of the portfolio.

Q And the commercial assets are no longer governed by the corporate accounting department, or are they?

A They are.
Q Is there a distinction between who is running -- who is in the corporate accounting department versus who is at the golf courses and hotels? If so, what is that?

A There is. So we have, again, myself in hotels. We have an individual in golf. We have individuals in the corporate accounting function today.

You know, for example, I think you may know Donna Kidder. She continues to be in the capacity of an assistant comptroller. We have a director of finance now that is running the oversight of that department, that runs that day-to-day.

Q What, if any, involvement did you have in the last few years with the check issuing system at the Trump Organization?

A Can you repeat the question?
Q Sure. What, if any, involvement have you had in the last few years with the check issuing system of the Trump

Q What were the circumstances surrounding that, sir?
A So, just for perspective and background, right, the way that the corporate office functioned, had previously worked, is that there was only -- if you are only paying with check and you only have three signers who can sign checks, you are very limited on how you can make disbursements. And the three signers that were always entrusted with overseeing the bank accounts were Allen Weisselberg, Donald Trump Jr. and Eric Trump. So, in practice, Allen Weisselberg in his role, to my understanding, would be the one that would review check disbursements and sign them so that they would go out, because that was his role.

I guess at the time of summer of 2021 Allen Weisselberg was no longer in the role of Chief Financial Officer. He was removed from those duties. So, when the operation keeps needing to run, checks need to be signed. So now you only have two signers who can sign checks, Donald J. Trump Jr. and Eric Trump. So I recall walking into Eric Trump's office and he called me in to assist, and he had a stack of checks to sign that was very high.

And he said: Well, what do I do with all of these? Because typically Eric would never sign checks. It would be Allen. Allen would sign all of the checks and Eric would work on the operation. But if you need to pay vendors, who is going to sign the check? It is really Eric or Don.
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A By check issuing system you are referring to disbursements?

Q Yes, disbursements, paying bills.
A Sure. So as an example of, kind of, how the company used to do things versus how it does it now, the company under the prior regime, you know, ran as it did as a family run enterprise using very basic functions. For example, to pay bills and vendors everything was always paid via paper check. That's -- that worked in the 1980s and it still works today. But there is other ways to get vendors paid more quickly, more timely, more accurately. So, I was asked to assist in, kind of, helping the corporate office, kind of, maybe update modernize the way things are done, because we do things in the hotel division that is more, let's say, in the way companies operate today.

Q Did there come a point in time someone at the Trump Organization specifically asked you to assist with this disbursement issue?

A Yes.
Q And who was that person?
A Eric Trump.
Q And what were the circumstances surrounding -- first of all, when was that, if you recall?

A It was probably in the summer of 2021.
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So Eric came to me and he said: Well, Mark, how do you do this in the hotel division?

I said: Well, we don't do it like this. We pay through ACH. We pay through virtual means, electronic means. We have systems and processes that are available and tools that are available to the company to modernize, kind of, the functionality. So that's how I got involved in that project.

So commensurate with, kind of, the upgrade of the general ledger package of the Trump Organization at large and the corporate office, my role has helped assist in replicating some of the hotel division efficiencies to the organization so that we are able to pay vendors in a more efficient way. Saves a lot of time and resources when you are not needing to put a stamp on envelopes and trace and track down outstanding checks. So, kind of one of the initiatives I have helped with. And Eric Trump, I know, is proud of being as paperless as we can in disbursements.

Q You have heard of something during the course of this trial called a Statement of Financial Condition, correct, sir?

A Yes.
Q And did you have any involvement in the preparation of President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A I did not.
Q Did there come a time that you became aware of a document called President Trump's Statement of Financial

\section*{Condition?}

A Yes.
Q And when was that approximately?
A In connection with one of the hotel audits that I was working on. There was a request from one of those auditors to get some more information about the parent company of the hotel. And I was doing some diligence to try to provide the best available information. In doing so, I was aware that there was this document that existed. And I helped to facilitate a meeting with the accounting team in the hotel -that was doing the audit of the hotel, and the accounting team that was familiar with the statement, so that they could discuss it and understand whatever questions they had.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

Q When you talk about the accounting team who was familiar, who are you referring to?
A At the time that was Whitley Penn.
Q So when was this --was this meeting virtual, in person or something else, telephone?
A Um, so this was a meeting that I helped to coordinate. It was effectively a video conference on the Google Meet platform that was, I think, in November of 2021, if I'm correct.
That helped to facilitate the Whitley Penn accounting team. The other team involved was an audit firm called Johnston Carmichael. They are one of the audit firms that we use for the Scotland entities. So we have a couple of assets in Scotland. That was the team that needed some information about the parent. And so I helped to facilitate that virtual meeting.

Q Other than your participation in facilitating this virtual meeting in 2021, do you recall having any other knowledge or involvement with the President Trump Statement of Financial Condition?
A No.
Q Are you aware of whether The Trump Organization still prepares a Statement of Financial Condition for President Trump?

A It is not prepared, to my knowledge.
Q And what, if anything, has replaced that now at The Trump Organization, if you are aware of it?

A There is not a roll up financial statement of the
company. It's not required by any lender currently, and/or any other constituency.

Q Okay.
I want to switch topics for a minute and talk to you about Mazars. Are you familiar with a company known as Mazars?

A Yes.
Q And what is Mazars?
A Mazars is an accounting firm. It was the main accounting firm of The Trump Organization when I started in 2016, and I guess prior to that they had a long standing relationship with The Trump Organization to be the, um, you know, financial, um, statement preparers and auditors, as well as the tax preparers for the company.

Q And who was the main point of contact that you dealt with at Mazars while they were the outside accountants to The Trump Organization?
A The main audit partner was Donald Bender.
Q How often would you be in contact with Mr. Bender?
A I would be in contact with him frequently as it related to the audits and compilations of the hotel assets that we were entrusted, to insure that financial reports were issued for those entities.
Q So with regard to your interaction with Mr. Bender, what services were you, on behalf of the hotel group, if you will, seeking from Mazars and Mr. Bender?
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A It would be compilation services and audit services.
Q What about tax services or tax advice?
A Limited for me. My role is primarily related to financial reporting of individual entities in the hospitality portfolio.

Q What do you mean by "compilation services"?
A A "compilation" is effectively the assistance of the accounting firm to put together the annual financial statements of the entity that's being asked to be done on, where the accounting firm would put together the balance sheet, income statement, um, statement of cash flows, in a summarized annualized report.

Q And would they do this for all of the hotel properties?
A They would do it for hotel properties that required to have a compilation statement.

Q And who, if anyone, would be making this requirement?
A It was required by certain lenders.
Q And do the extent that those properties fell within your division or your wheelhouse, you would have had involvement with Mr. Bender with those; correct?
A Correct.
Q And you talked about audit services, too. What were you referring to there?

A Audit services was -- are engagements that Mazars was entered into an engagement with the company to do an actual
financial audit of an entity. So we had a property, a hotel
property that required an audit from its, um -- under the terms of its lease agreement, and also under the terms of its debt.
So one property under those requirements needed to have an audit issued versus a compilation.

Q If you, on behalf of your division, had accounting questions, who, if anyone, would you turn to outside of The Trump Organization?

A We would turn to Mazars.
Q And who, if anyone, would you turn to within The Trump Organization during that time period that Mazars was the outside accountant?

A It would be myself.
Q Other than consulting with yourself, is it fair to say that Mazars would have been the only other entity you had contacted with?

A Correct.
Q Primarily that would have been Mr. Bender?
A Correct. I could still hold a CPA license. I'm not a practicing CPA. You know, I'm -- I worked work for a private company, so while I still keep up with accounting rules and regulations and changes, relied heavily on Mazars to understand what the current pronouncements are in accounting so that when we are issuing -- when they are issuing financial statements, that we make sure that we are properly disclosing required
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disclosures that were ensuring that we are properly recording entries appropriately and in accordance with the latest standards.
Q How did you communicate with Mazars?
A Telephone, e-mail, um, frequent communication as needed.
Q In-person meetings as well?
A In-person, of course.
Q What kind of information did you give Mazars about the hotels in your division?

A We would give them the information that they would require to put together the compilation or for their audit, which would be the underlying financial statements of the entity, and any supporting backup, or schedules, or detail that they required so that they could conduct audit testing.

Q Do you recall ever an instance where Mazars asked you for something and you didn't provide it to them?

A No.
Q At some point in time, Mazars was no longer the outside accountants for The Trump Organization; correct?
A Correct.
Q Do you remember approximately when that was?
A Um, I don't recall exactly, but it would have been 2020 or 2021, around there.
Q And who comes in to be the new outside accountants for
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A A firm called Whitley Penn.
Q And what, if any involvement, did you have in the selection of Whitley Penn to be the new external accountants for The Trump Organization?

A I had some involvement because the audits and compilations under my responsibility, I, you know, took great pride in to make sure were accurate. We wanted to make sure we had a good firm that had the capability to do the work. So the initial meetings, I was part of it with respect to the hospitality properties that we needed to have certain work performed, such as these audits and compilations.

Q Were you involved in the selection of the Whitley Penn firm, or after they were selected, for lack of a better word, to help get them up to speed, or both?

A A little bit of both. My input was valued based on my kind of interactions with Whitley Penn at the onset, and the questions that I would ask if they were capable of handling the work. Um, and then I was one of the probably primary liaisons with the audit partners at Whitley Penn, especially with respect of the hospitality properties.

Q And the primary point of contact at Whitley Penn was, and is?

A On the audit, same gentleman named Camron Harris.
Q You talked about on the audit side. What do you mean
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A Whitley Penn was taking over the kind of the role of Mazars previously. Whitley Penn also has a tax division. So the company relies on Whitley Penn, and its tax division of its firm to help with the tax preparation of tax filings of all the entities that require them. So there's another audit partner and another component of that practice that oversees, let's say, tax compliance work versus audit and financial accounting work.

Q So when we refer to an audit partner -- I know this confused me for the longest time -- it doesn't necessarily just mean an audit; correct?

A Correct. It means attestation services is a fancy word for it.

Q My question is, what is an attestation service?
A It's work that is engaged with a firm and a client, to whatever extent they both agree, that the client has engaged the firm to do work to substantiate the books and records of the company. If it's an audit, to understand the policies and practices of the company.

So effectively, the value of an audit firm to any company, right, is that they want to evidence to an outside party that someone else has looked at these numbers or looked at these books and records and has done procedures to understand them, and the issuance of the final report is also being represented by this firm.

1 Q With regard to your division, how, if in any way, is Whitley Penn tasked with anything different than Mazars?

A It's a similar -- it's similar generally. They are tasked in my division to be the firm that, again, prepares the compilation statements, that does the audit work for the entities that need audits.

And then while I'm not a tax person, I still have some involvement with their tax division when it comes to the hospitality assets and certain information that they might require.

Q You mentioned a little while ago that when the process of, I guess, on boarding a new accounting firm -- do you know what I mean when I say "on boarding"?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q That you were involved not just as it related to your division, but more generally, can you explain a little bit more about that?

A Um, again, I had some input based on my background as a former accounting firm personnel and auditor myself to, to, um, meet with Whitley Penn during the selection process if we wanted them to be our firm. So I, you know, got a chance to meet with them in person, on the phone, um, kind of understand their qualifications, their staffing levels, that they could handle the work. So I was probably just another helpful resource to, um, vouch that we believed that they had the capability to, you

Q You testified a few moments ago that generally speaking the scope of work between Whitley Penn and Mazars was basically the same; fair?
A Yes.
Q What kind of -- and I'm going to take you back to the period 2011. What kind of reporting did Mazars do for The Trump Organization?

MR. AMER: Objection. Foundation. The witness said he didn't start to be employed until, I think, 2016.

MR. ROBERT: The witness is now the COO of the hotel division.
Q When you first joined the company in 2016, what, if anything, did you come to learn about how things had been done previously to help do you your job, if anything.
A I had to understand how the books and records were prepared prior to my joining the company. I also had to review various agreements, contracts and financial statements going back many years to understand how these assets performed previously and what the reporting requirements, um, you know, are of these entities.

Q Did you review financial statements that had been prepared by Mazars for The Trump Organization from 2011 forward?

A I did.
Q And what did you come to learn from those?
A That every year, as required, these financial
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know, be our accounting firm.
Q Switching topics slightly, if I use the phrase
"financial reporting", what does that mean to someone with your background?

A "Financial reporting" is very generally just the um, financial summary of an entity. Um, reporting could be very simply internal reporting from management to review operational performance and make decisions. It's a very broad term. Financial reporting can go from very, you know, like I said, very internal books and records to external reporting, which is a more formal report that's issued on an annual basis, or quarterly basis, required for any purpose.

Generally speaking, financial reporting, between, if it's internal, sometimes referred to as management reporting, it's very much customary based on the industry that you are in. So, like, for example, in the hospitality industry, the hospitality accounts, we refer to the Uniform System for Accounts for the Lodging Industry, USALI. So anyone in hotel accounting would know that term because it's an internal management financial reporting guidelines and structure, so that we, as a hotel company, are booking things and recording things similar to other hospitality companies; that's not necessarily GAAP required, right? Whereas GAAP and other more stringent financial metrics are applicable to external reporting audits, compilations, things like that.
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statements were issued; that they were submitted to lenders as required, and that they were completed as needed.

Q So going back, let's say, to 2011, how many of these different financial reports did Mazars do that you had looked at?

A Hard to say. Probably over a hundred. Mazars, maybe 50, something like that.

Q And what ran the scope of what kind of reports these were?

A Compilations, reviews, audits. They did a lot of work for the company in terms of issuing entity-level financial statements.
Q In addition to you reviewing these records back in 2016 when you joined the company, did you have an opportunity to look at them, again, before you testified here today?
A I did.
Q And did you prepare a chart outlining all of the
various reports that Mazars and Whitley Penn have done for The Trump Organization since 2011?

A I did.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I would like to put on the screen D-1054, which is a demonstrative exhibit that was prepared by Mr. Hawthorn.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. ROBERT: We have a copy to hand up, please. (The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, what is this document?
A So this is a summary document that illustrates, for the years 2011 to 2022, all of the financial statements that were issued by various accounting firms on behalf of the company for various entities. The chart is simply showing that from 2011 to 2022, across numerous United States based properties, that there have been 82 financial statements, annual financial statements issued for these entities. It indicates in the box whether it was a compilation, an audit or a review.

And then also on the lower part of the chart it also includes other accounting firms. I think I mentioned the Scotland property in the past that had an audit firm named JCCA, an Ireland audit done by a firm called BDO, both are internationally recognized accounting firms in those areas.

So those areas also required audited financial statements for years presented. So it provides a snapshot for all of the work that the accounting firms and the company at large has done over the last ten years to issue compliant financial statements.
Q Sir, to Mr. Amer's point, from 2011 to 2015, you did not work at The Trump Organization; correct?

A Correct.
Q And the preparation of these reports in 2011 to 2015,

1 this entity, so the entity, Trump Endeavor 12, has a loan outstanding with, at the time, Deutsche Bank. Under the terms of the loan agreement, the lender requires annual compilation financial statements of the borrower. So that's why these were prepared from 2016 onward.

Q And do you recall Mazars asking you for any information, with regard to this compilation, that you didn't provide to them?

A Say that again.
Q Do you recall ever being asked by Mazars to provide you information to prepare this compilation that you didn't provide to Mazars?

A No. We provided them everything they asked for.
Q Going to the second item on 2016, what, if any, involvement did you have in the compilation for the 401 North Wabash, LLC entity?

A So similar to the above, so 401 North Wabash, LLC that is the legal entity of the ownership of the commercial space and unsold units at the Trump Chicago. Again, that entity has, at that time, debt outstanding with Deutsche Bank under the loan agreement.

The loan agreement requires that the borrower provides entity-level compilation financial statements to the lender. So from all those years presented, those were provided.

Q And I know I pronounced it wrong. I didn't say
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"triplex", but I was close enough. So it's 401 North Wabash? THE COURT: Wabash.
MR. ROBERT: I'll call it the Chicago property?
A That's the address of the Chicago property.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, moving to the third item, Trump Old Post Office, LLC, what, if any, involvement did you have in the audit that was performed by Mazars in 2016 ?

A So I was involved in that similar to the above. The procedures were more detailed than a compilation, because it's an audit. So the entity, Trump Old Post Office, LLC, again, at the time had a loan outstanding. The loan required that the borrower provide audited financial statements.

In the other cases they were compilation financial statements. So just following the terms of the loan, Trump Old Post Office, LLC's requirement was for an audit.

So in a similar fashion, I oversaw and was a liaison for Mazars to get them the information they needed for completing those audits. There's also another line that says "compilation." So that was an entity where we had both an audit and a compilation done for a certain period of time. Um, that was also required as a result of the loan instrument requiring certain testing at a different date than the audit date. So, therefore, in a certain period of time, as you see from 2019 to 2021, a compilation was also performed.

MR. ROBERT: Don't worry, Judge. Im not going to
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\section*{2016?}

A Yes.
Q What, if any, involvement did you have in an audit?
A I did not have involvement in that one.
5 Q Same question for TIHT Commercial?
6 A I did not have direct involvement.
7 Q Trump Plaza, LLC?
8 A I did not have any involvement.
\(9 \quad\) Q Trump Tower Commercial?
10 A I did not have direct involvement.
11 Q Why is it, sir, that with regard to 40 Wall Street,
12 TIHT Commercial, LLC, Trump Plaza, LLC, or Trump Tower

18 Q And 10 Wall TiHT Cosi the assets meaning 40 Wall, TIHT Commercial, Trump Plaza, Trump Tower Commercial, your answer would be the same for -- from 2011
20 -- well, from 2016 to 2022, those were not under your 21 supervision; fair enough?

A Correct.
Q Okay. Moving down in 2016, what is JCCA?
A That's an abbreviation for a firm called Johnston
25 Carmichael. That is an audit firm of Scotland entities.

Q And, again, fair to say that starting in 2016, that's when you became involved in those?
A Correct.
Q And what, if any, involvement did you have -withdrawn.

\section*{What is SLC Turnberry, Ltd?}

A That is the legal entity that is the ownership entity of the hotel property named Trump Turnberry in Scotland.
Q What, if any, involvement did you have in the 2016 audit of the SLC Turnberry, Ltd. property, sir?

A Similar to Trump Old Post Office, LLC, my involvement was to oversee the relationship with the audit firm and make sure they got all the information they needed so the report could be issued.
Q What, if any, difference was there the way you had to deal with audits of international properties versus domestic properties?

A There's some difference because accounting standards are different in the UK and Ireland as opposed to the US. But generally speaking, it's the similar broad based responsibilities.

Q And what, if any, involvement did you have in the 2016 audit of -- withdrawn.

What is Trump International Golf Club Scotland, Ltd?
A That is the legal entity name of the golf course and
M. Hawthom - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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small hotel in Aberdeen, Scotland.
Q What, if any, involvement did you have in the audit of that property in 2016?
A I had the same involvement as Turnberry. I interacted with the audit firm, as necessary, to assist with the issuance of those financials.

Q Continuing to move down, who is BDO?
A BDO is another accounting firm. It's an international accounting firm, in this case, based out of Ireland. So they oversaw the audits of our Ireland properties.

Q Sir, what is TIGL Ireland Enterprises, Ltd?
A That is the legal entity of that property. So both those entities are two legal entities that comprise the ownership and operation of Trump Doonbeg, which is another property in Ireland.

Q What is the difference between TIGL Ireland Enterprises Ltd. and TIGL Management?

A They just oversee different functions of the resort. One oversees the hotel accommodation. One oversees the golf course component. Just generally speaking, it required two legal entities for its set up.

Q What, if any, involvement did you have in the audit of TIGL Ireland Enterprise, Ltd. audit, 2016 ?

A Same as Scotland. Oversight, ensuring that the audit firm got what they needed to do the audits.
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a compilation for the DSCR testing period.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I'm going to try to finish this chart very quickly, but to do that I would like to move D-1054, rather than demonstrative, into evidence, otherwise I have to ask him a question about each line item to get the testimony out. And I want to make it simpler, so I could refer to the document as a whole.

MR. AMER: My initial question is, why aren't we marking this as demonstrative instead of an exhibit.

MR. ROBERT: It should be an exhibit.
MR. AMER: It's got D-1054. Why don't we mark it as demonstrative?

MR. ROBERT: Let's introduce this in evidence as D-1054, a document created by Mr. Hawthorn. I'm fine with that. It would make this go much faster.

MR. AMER: I thought we weren't introducing into evidence demonstratives.

MR. ROBERT: No, I'm changing my mind, because it will take me at least a half hour to go through each item with Mr. Hawthorn. This is much easier.

THE COURT: I don't think demonstratives just go in as ordinary evidence.

MR. ROBERT: So I'll withdraw the request to put it in as a demonstrative and enter it as a piece of evidence. It's a document created by Mr. Hawthorn based on his review
of documents in explaining what was done for each property.
If the Court and Attorney General don't want it to come in evidence that way, I'll go through each year and ask him was there an audit, what involvement did you have. I'm happy to do it. That's fine.

MR. AMER: I think the problem is the best evidence rule. If you are going to put in evidence about each of these audits, then you should put in the audit or compilation. You shouldn't just put in a chart that summarizes something that isn't already in evidence.

MR. ROBERT: It's not --
MR. AMER: It's a problem, I think.
MR. ROBERT: I'm not attempting to get into the bona fides of what was there, just that these were done and he was involved in getting them done. I'm not suggesting and I don't want to get into having to put in 116 financial statements.

MR. AMER: Well, so this document we saw for the first time last night, and I don't think it was created prior to that or shortly before that. And it purports to summarize a lot of evidence, but that evidence has not been introduced into -- as exhibits in this case. So it's just not proper to use a summary chart in this fashion.

MR. ROBERT: I'm happy to do it the long way, Judge.
M. Hawthom - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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THE COURT: Do it the long way. MR. ROBERT: Okay.
THE COURT: If that's what plaintiff wants. MR. ROBERT: That's fine.
Q Drawing your attention to 2017, Mr. Hawthorn --
A Yes.
Q -- were you involved in a compilation involving Trump Endeavor 12, LLC?
A Yes.
Q What was your role with regard to that compilation?
MR. AMER: Different objection, and I am going to have to involve you with the relevance fray, your Honor. I don't see how any of this is relevant.

We are not challenging the audits or compilations that were submitted to any lender by the borrowing entity. This case is all about Donald J. Trump's financial disclosure under the guaranties in the form of his Statement of Financial Condition.

As far as I'm aware, this chart doesn't include any entry relating to the compilation that was the Statement of Financial Condition for Mr. Trump under the guaranty. So I just don't see how it's relevant.

MR. ROBERT: So, first of all, your Honor, this is the first time I'm hearing that the government is not making a claim against any of the guarantor's of the loan, so if
that's news --
MR. AMER: That's not what I said. For disclosure of their compilation or "their" being the borrower's compilation or audit financials.

MR. ROBERT: But the named defendants in the case include, in particular, Trump Endeavor 12, 401 North Wabash --

THE COURT: Wabash.
MR. ROBERT: Wabash, and Trump Old Post Office,
LLC. So their conduct is clearly an issue, unless you want to withdraw the claims against those corporate or business entities.

What we are demonstrating through this is that these entities prepared financial information. This financial information was prepared either by Mazars or Whitley Penn, or by the international accountants. Mr. Hawthom was involved in this, and there was no issue with these. I don't see any issue with that at all.
(Continued on the next page.)

MR. AMER: It is irrelevant to the case. We are not challenging the financial statements that were prepared and submitted by the borrowing entities on their own behalf. We are challenging the Statements of Financial Condition by the guarantor.

MR. ROBERT: Then what is your claim against the individual defendants if it is not for the financial -- if it is not for them entering into the loan agreements?

I am sorry, Judge.
THE COURT: Overruled. I believe they are relevant. And we will save time just letting him go the long way.

MR. ROBERT: Could I have the last question read back, please?

THE COURT: Read back the last question, which is a ways back.

MR. ROBERT: That's why I forgot it.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
A Same as my role in the previous year, seeing that the information was provided to the accounting firm so that they could issue the report.

Q And were you involved in an audit of Trump Old Post Office in 2017?

A Yes.

Q And what, if any, involvement did you have with that -- withdrawn.

What was your role with regard to that?
A Same role as in 2016: Overseeing the audit, that the audit firm got the information they required to issue their report.

Q Were you -- what, if any, involvement did you have with -- just trying to read this, Your Honor -- withdrawn.

In 2017, were you involved in an audit involving SLC Turnberry Limited?

A Yes.
Q As well as an audit of Trump International Golf Club Scotland Limited in 2017?

A Yes.
Q And what was your involvement, sir?
A Overseeing the audit from the client's side to see that the audit firm got the information they needed to issue the report.

Q Was there an audit that was done for the Doonbeg properties in Ireland in 2017?

A Yes.
Q And how many audits were there?
A Two.
Q What was your involvement with those?
A Overseeing the audit engagement process to ensure the
audit firm got the information they needed to issue their report.

Q Turning to 2018. Mr. Hawthorn, was there a compilation prepared by Mazars for Trump Endeavor 12 LLC?

A Yes.
Q What, if any, involvement did you have with that?
A Overseeing that to see that the audit firm got the information they required to issue their report.

Q And sir, was there a compilation report in 2018 prepared by Mazars for 401 North Wabash LLC?

A Yes.
THE COURT: Better, but still not there.
MR. ROBERT: What is the right pronunciation?
MR. KISE: He may need to listen to Johnny Cash on the weekends to learn about Wabash.

THE WITNESS: I would pronounce it Wabash. (wah-bash)

MR. AMER: Just to be clear, I am not against Mr. Robert collapsing these questions into a single question to deal with multiple years or multiple entities. So, that wasn't -- I don't know that the long way means he has to ask a separate question for each year.

THE COURT: Let me just try what I would do here. I would just let it in as a demonstrative, as demonstrative evidence after asking him whether his answer

1 would be the same. You say it is irrelevant, so let's just let it in and move.

MR. AMER: We are fine with that. That wasn't my issue.

MR. ROBERT: If it is solely in for
demonstrative purposes, there is nothing in the record that what is contained in here is exactly what he prepared and he was involved in the audits. If we are saying I can ask a generalized question and it goes in for -- that's why I sought to introduce this as a piece of evidence as opposed to as for demonstrative.

THE COURT: I changed my mind. Let's do it your way. As long as plaintiff agrees and we can agree on some language that he would testify that he was aware -- I am not sure of the right word, of the -- all of the audits, compilations and anything else in here actually occurred.

MR. AMER: That's fine with me. My only point was Mr. Robert could ask a question for the years 2018 to 2021, were you involved in preparing the compilation for Trump Endeavor 12 LLC. And we would deal with all of those years in one question, instead of having to ask the same question for each year separately.

MR. KISE: Perhaps Mr. Amer would want to do the direct and cross today and move it along to feel better?

MR. AMER: I am just trying to be helpful,
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\section*{Mr. Kise.}

MR. KISE: I know you are. I just couldn't resist.

THE COURT: I think I can just do this myself.
Mr. Hawthorn, this is a chart that you prepared, correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And submitted it last night, apparently, to the plaintiffs. Or maybe you don't know that.

MR. ROBERT: I can attest that that's right.
THE COURT: Okay. And this list, I see, reviews audits and compilations of the entities listed on the left, for the years listed on the right; is that correct?

\section*{THE WITNESS: Yes.}

THE COURT: And if you can summarize, what was your involvement in or knowledge of these various reports, I'll call them?

THE WITNESS: So my knowledge is that there is an individual report for each one of those entries. So there is \(\mathbf{1 1 6}\) sets of financial statements that are available to cite and to see for these demarcations here. THE COURT: And --
THE WITNESS: Personally, I was involved from my 2016 joining the company, thereafter. When I joined the
company in 2016 my role required me to understand the history of these entities and therefore I was able to cite and see and review the years prior to my joining the company.

THE COURT: And I am not asking if you read every line, but did you actually see either hard copy or digital copy each one of these 116 "reports," I'll call it?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: All right. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1054 by the Court.)

THE COURT: And I want to compliment the witness. He is the first one to really be able to stare at me and still have his voice picked up by the microphone. You are very good at that. It is a skill.

All right. It is in evidence for the limited purposes. But if there is any further objection I'll reconsider.

MR. AMER: That's fine, Your Honor, for the limited purposes.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q So with regard to Trump Endeavor 12 and 401 North 25
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of loans that were procured, correct?
A Correct.
Q And what is your familiarity, if any, with the Chicago and Doral loans?

A I am familiar that those entities named are the borrowers under those loans. I am familiar in my role of overseeing the hotel division -- I am familiar with the loan instrument. I had to read it. I had to understand it as part of my job function.

Q And those two properties fall within your purview of your division, correct?

A Correct, they are hotel properties.
Q So I want to talk to you about the Chicago loan. Okay, sir?

A Yes, sir.
Q And when was the Chicago loan taken out, approximately?

A My understanding is that the Chicago loan was taken out in June of 2014 with an original principal balance of \(\$ 69\) million.

Q And pursuant to the loan documents back in June of 2014, was there a guaranty that was attached to it?

A Yes, I believe there was.
Q And what, if anything, do you know about that guaranty in terms of a net worth requirement?

MR. ROBERT: He testified that he has reviewed the loan documents. He knows the loan documents. He is familiar with them because this is now one of his properties that he is overseeing and in charge of, so he knows what happened to the loan. And eventually we are going to get into what happened from 2016 on. And the loan documents are in evidence already.

MR. AMER: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Wouldn't this be hearsay?
MR. ROBERT: No.
THE COURT: Anyway, Mr. Amer?
MR. AMER: I think if we have lengthier discussion on this line of questioning that probably requires us to excuse the witness, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask you to step in the back.
(Whereupon the witness stepped down from the stand and exited the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Amer.
MR. AMER: I haven't heard any foundation that would explain why this fact witness would have all of this knowledge about the loan servicing, which I understand was done through corporate accounting, which he didn't work in. And \(I\) am concerned that what is happening here is that we are turning a fact witness into an expert witness
on the loans and what happened with the loans over the life of the loans. And there is no evidence in the record that this witness in his role that was circumscribed to the hotel division, would have had that type of responsibility or involvement in how the loans operated. So, and I think to turn this witness from a fact witness into an expert witness on the loans, is improper. He wasn't designated as an expert and it is, you know, not anything that was ever disclosed to us in the form of an expert report. So he shouldn't be allowed to become an expert in these loans.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Amer, I have a different take. I don't think he needs to be an expert to say a loan was paid off. But he wasn't there. So, to me it is hearsay.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, this witness is going to be laying out certain facts. And we will have expert testimony from Mr. Unell later in the week, which is the banking expert that would be akin to an expert. But Mr. Hawthorn clearly would know what was paid and when it was paid, as his role, he was the CFO and now the COO of the hotel division. This loan was operational before it was paid off during his time as CFO and then COO. So he certainly would know what the term of the loan was, when payments were made to the loan; and what the implications
of those payments were for the Trump Organization and for the guarantor. That is certainly something he would know.

THE COURT: And when was this paid off?
MR. ROBERT: Well, the original loan of 69 million was reduced to 45 million in 2014. And then the loan was paid off in October of '23, just a couple of weeks ago.

THE COURT: Well, how does he know what happened in 2014 ?

MR. ROBERT: He is going to know that from the fact that he has reviewed the documents. And there is also an e-mail that I am about to introduce, which is between Emily Schroeder of Deutsche Bank and Jeff McConney, that confirms that this payment was made in December of -- in 2014.

MR. AMER: That's the point. Right? They had Mr. McConney on the stand. He was in corporate accounting. He had a role to play with the loan. I haven't heard anything about this witness's job description that would suggest that he had anything other than a passing knowledge of the fact that these loans existed. But not that he had any responsibility for maintaining the loans or interacting with the bank personnel about these loans. So I think this is just having this witness review a bunch of documents so that he

1 can testify about loans that he didn't have any responsibility for.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise? You are standing so you are either leaving or you want to say something.

MR. KISE: I am happy to go back to Florida, I'll tell you that. Do I need to speak? That's the next question, before I violate your first principle.

THE COURT: Well, I want to split the baby. What happened in 2014 he wouldn't know if he says it was paid off later.

But let me ask plaintiffs a direct question. Is there some doubt that this stuff happened? If yes, yes. But if not, why should we fight about it?

MR. AMER: Because they are not getting it in through a witness who has any actual knowledge about what happened. If they want to put it in through a different witness, if they want to call Mr. Garten or somebody else who had a role. We can do this on a foundational basis. If you want to just see if they can lay the proper foundation based on questions about his role and responsibility, we could do it that way.

MR. ROBERT: First --
THE COURT: You are not answering my question. Is the -- do you doubt what he is saying, what he is going to say, that the loan was reduced and then paid off?

Hawthom - by Defendant - Direct (Robert)
MR. AMER: I think there are some things in the exhibits that we have seen that we are disputing. Particularly whether there was a continuing requirement for the guarantor to submit a certification, at least in the documents we have seen, that they sent us last night. There is going to be a dispute over that.

MR. ROBERT: Whether --
THE COURT: That can't be done in cross examination?

MR. AMER: If the testimony is going to come in on that, it should come in through a witness who actually knows about it, not a witness who has just read a bunch of documents to, you know, come to testify today about it for the first time.

THE COURT: Well then the cross-examining could be: How do you know? Oh, you don't really know.

MR. AMER: Well, then --
THE COURT: I am not telling you how to run your show, but.

MR. AMER: I understand that. But there is a need for foundation. And that's a common objection, and there is a reason for it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Robert, can you deal with the objection?

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I can lay a foundation
through the witness. In his role as the CFO and COO he reviews loan documents; he is intimately involved; has intimate knowledge of the provisions of the loans.

I was trying to be simple because Ms. Schroeder, who is testifying tomorrow, it is her e-mail, he can get it in through her. But it makes more sense to do it this way.

I'll do whatever the Court wants.
THE COURT: Lay a foundation.
MR. ROBERT: Okay, fine.
THE COURT: Let's get the witness back. MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Judge.
(Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: Welcome back.
Okay. Next question.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, in your combined role as CFO of the hotel group and now COO of the hotel group what, if any, involvement do you have in overseeing the Chicago loan?

A So, I oversee the compliance of the loan in terms of the property level obligations. So, that includes the oversight of the compilation report that was submitted, oversight of the DSCR test that needs to be or had to be submitted; and also to ensure that the interest is paid on time. Because the borrower pays monthly interest to ensure that the loan is compliant with its terms.

Hawthorn - by Defendant - Direct (Robert)
Page 5179
Q What is a DSCR test?
A So that is the debt service coverage ratio test. It is a very common ratio that lenders put into loan agreements to help provide evidence that the borrower has sufficient cash flow or net operating income, sometimes is what it is called, to service the loan.

Q And what, if anything, do you need to know about the loan documents themselves to be able to understand how to prepare a DSCR?

A You need to understand definitions in the loan agreement, defined terms, how things are calculated. You need to understand provisions in the loan regarding financial reporting, and other compliance matters. As well as just the loan generally to understand how you can be compliant with your obligation as a borrower.

Q Is one of the issues of the DSCR how much of the principal amount is still due to the bank?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What are some of the factors that you must look at to determine whether the DSCR is satisfied?

A The DSCR is a periodic test. It is an annual test derived from the income statement of the loan. The revenues and expenses, which derives the net operating income, which is your numerator. And then the interest expense is the
denominator.
Q Are you familiar in these loans of a concept known as a step down?

A Yes.
Q What is a step down?
A A step down is a concept relating to the guaranty of the loan, and the percentage of which the loan is guaranteed.

Q And what are the factors that go into the, in particular, the Doral loan -- withdrawn.

What are the factors specific with the Chicago loan that you need to understand to understand the step down percentage?

A The step down percentage is effectively dependent on the DSCR and/or another term called the loan-to-value or the LTV, another acronym.

Q And this may sound very simplistic, sir, but the loan-to-value, by definition you have to know how much of the principal loan is still outstanding, correct? MR. AMER: Objection, leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What are the factors you need to consider in determining the LTV, sir?

A You need to know the principal of the loan. And we don't determine the value, the bank would determine the value.

Q Those are the two factors necessary to determine the
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LTV, correct?
A Correct.
Q So I am going to ask you again, sir, what, if anything, happened in December of 2014 with regard to the principal of this loan?

A So the principal was paid down.
MR. AMER: Objection, foundation grounds. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Were you -- did there come a time that you became aware of what the principal amount of the loan was?

A Yes.
Q And what is the -- what was the principal amount of the loan prior to October of 2023?

MR. AMER: Objection. Can we find out how he
became aware as part of the foundation?
THE COURT: Yes. Sustained.
Q Did you become aware of this, sir?
A It is in the financial statements that I prepare with the accounting firm.

Q So, again, sir, in December of 2014, was there -what, if anything, happened with regard to the principal balance of this loan?

A It was \(\$ 45\) million. It was reduced from 69 million to 45 million.

MR. ROBERT: I am going to show the witness a
document that has been marked as D-1055 for identification.

THE COURT: And six-minute warning. MR. ROBERT: Thank you. (Handing)
Q I ask the witness to take a look at the document for a moment.

Putting the document to the side for a minute, going back to the notion of a step down percentage; what is the significance of that?

A It is the percentage of the loan principal that is guaranteed by the guarantor.

Q And what, if anything, under the terms of this loan happened to the guaranty in December of 2014 ? MR. AMER: Objection, foundation. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, are there provisions in this loan that speak of what happens to the guaranty as the principal amount is reduced?

A Yes.
Q And what factors under the terms of the loan are looked at in determining what happens to the guaranty?

A The principal, the DSCR and/or the LTV.
Q Is there some sort of formula that is then used?
A Yes.

A The loan-to-value is the bank appraised value over the principal. The DSCR is the net operating income over the interest expense.

Q And did there come a time in 2014 that the guaranty was changed on the Chicago loan?

MR. AMER: Objection, foundation.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What, if anything, are you aware of with regard to the guaranty in or around December of 2014 as relates to the Chicago loan?

MR. AMER: Objection, foundation.
THE COURT: What, if anything, are you aware of? Sustained.
Q Was there -- withdrawn.
As a result of the payment of the \(\$ 15\) million in December of 2014, what, if anything -- what, if any effect, did that have on the guaranty?

MR. AMER: Objection, foundation.
THE COURT: To the -- the actual payment? Is that?

MR. AMER: To the affect on the guaranty of a payment made two years before he started working for the company.

THE COURT: If he knows the payment was made as
\begin{tabular}{|cc|r}
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1 & an accountant, well, I suppose -- & 1 \\
2 & MR. ROBERT: He is also the one doing the DSCR & 2 \\
3 & test every month and looking at the loan-to-value ratio, & 3 \\
4 & so he clearly knows this. & 4 \\
5 & MR. KISE: Yes, Your Honor. All of this & 5 \\
6 & foundation stuff seems to be dancing on the head of a pin. & 6 \\
7 & Corporations only speak through appropriate individuals. & 7 \\
8 & So if someone leaves the company, and a new person comes & 8 \\
9 & in, and that new person has to learn what happened before & 9 \\
10 & they got there, that doesn't mean everything that they now & 10 \\
11 & know can't be -- they can't testify to. I mean, what if & 11 \\
12 & the other person is dead? What if the other person who & 12 \\
13 & left is no longer employed? So it is kind of, I don't & 13 \\
14 & want to use the word, silly, but it is almost silly that a & 14 \\
15 & person who is now the de facto CFO/COO that is in the role & 15 \\
16 & in the company that would have to have this broad & 16 \\
17 & understanding of what took place historically, can't & 17 \\
18 & testify. & 18 \\
19 & This man testified as the corporate & 19 \\
20 & representative in their own deposition. He was the & 20 \\
21 & designee. So I don't really understand all of this & 21 \\
22 & foundational stuff. Just because someone in a corporation & 22 \\
23 & wasn't there -- there were things that happened in the & 23 \\
24 & corporation in the 1980s and 1990s, but as the person now & 24 \\
25 & responsible for that, he would have to know that. And & 25
\end{tabular}
company at the time. Any CFO or COO that takes over in a role is going to have that limitation that they weren't there prior to when they arrived. That doesn't mean they can't testify with competence and knowledge about matters within the ambit of their responsibilities.

THE COURT: I'll pick up on something you said. What he learned. That's why plaintiff is entitled to ask how did he learn it.

MR. KISE: How did he learn it, that's fair, and they can ask that. How did he learn it. If they want to question the --

THE COURT: Well --
MR. KISE: -- the limits of that, that's fine.
THE COURT: It is not just the cross examination point. It is a foundation point.

MR. KISE: How did he learn? He reviewed the documents.

MR. AMER: So.
MR. ROBERT: I think as the CFO --
MR. AMER: Excuse me. Excuse me. We are at a point where I think the witness needs to be excused again, or you want to take a break?

THE COURT: We will break for lunch and order the witness not to discuss the case or his testimony during the break.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
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\hline 1 & they can't be precluded from testifying because they & 1 \\
\hline 2 & weren't actually present at the time, as long as they have & 2 \\
\hline 3 & a sufficient basis of knowledge in their current role in & 3 \\
\hline 4 & the company to testify about it. & 4 \\
\hline 5 & And as Your Honor pointed out correctly, if they & 5 \\
\hline 6 & want to explore the limits of that knowledge on cross & 6 \\
\hline 7 & examination, then they can explore the limits of that & 7 \\
\hline 8 & knowledge on cross examination. But to say that the & 8 \\
\hline 9 & person in that role now can't testify because he wasn't & 9 \\
\hline 10 & actually there, doesn't make any legal sense. & 10 \\
\hline 11 & THE COURT: I think this is an interesting & 11 \\
\hline 12 & philosophical issue. I tend to take a somewhat hard line & 12 \\
\hline 13 & view on -- I think I have said this before -- witnesses, & 13 \\
\hline 14 & either you are a fact witness or an expert witness. If & 14 \\
\hline 15 & you are a fact witness, how do you know. Did you see it? & 15 \\
\hline 16 & Did you hear it? & 16 \\
\hline 17 & MR. KISE: Did you learn it though? He is not & 17 \\
\hline 18 & an expert witness. He is not an expert witness because he & 18 \\
\hline 19 & is testifying about factual matters that took place before & 19 \\
\hline 20 & he joined the company. He is a fact witness for the & 20 \\
\hline 21 & company. And if he learned it through reviewing & 21 \\
\hline 22 & documents, reviewing things in his role that would & 22 \\
\hline 23 & naturally encompass these issues, then there is just & 23 \\
\hline 24 & really no reason to preclude his testimony based on & 24 \\
\hline 25 & foundation simply because he wasn't physically at the & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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payment made in 2014 before he was working there. And I believe the witness has said that his foundation for that is the preparation of financial statements in 2016 and later. And I just don't understand how preparing a financial statement would give him any knowledge of what the effect of the payment was on the guaranty two years earlier.

So those are my two points, your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, here's what I plan to do. I'll just repeat, you are either a fact witness or you are an expert witness. He's not an expert. He's a fact witness, so what did he see? What did he hear?

I'll see to Mr. Amer's point, and we'll ask the foundation of his knowledge, if there's a question that is not obvious that he would know. If he says, as I expect may be, well, I saw a document. I saw a receipt. You know, I saw it on the screen. Um, I'll let him say that, but I'm the Judge, and whether the document -- the record is reliable, and whether I believe he actually saw it. And that will be enough foundation for him to say it, but I'll decide what it means in the long run.

Mr. Amer, is that -- and Mr. Robert, any comments?
MR. ROBERT: I don't have a problem with that at all.

MR. AMER: I think --
THE COURT: You don't have a problem?
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in 1 session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: I have to ask the witness to go in the back for just another minute or two. I hope it's not so bad back there. I spend half my day back there.

Where is your buddy.
MR. ROBERT: He went back to Florida, maybe.
THE COURT: Here's what I'm going to do. As I often do, or sometimes do, I'll telegraph what I plan to do, and you can argue strenuously if you really feel strongly.

MR. AMER: I did want a quick opportunity to respond to Mr. Kise.

There were two things that Mr. Kise said that I think need a response. One is, he referred to this witness as the "de facto CFO" of the company. And I want to make clear that I don't believe that is supported by the testimony. I think he said his role was in the hotel division, and, in fact, the corporate accounting department has a new director of finance, whose name remains undisclosed, but we'll get to that.

But the other thing that he said in terms of foundation, I think is also unsupported. The witness - and this is really the crux of it. I think we are entitled to the foundation for how this witness knows what happened to the guaranty, the effect on the guaranty, based on some

MR. ROBERT: No, sir. It's fair game.
THE COURT: Mr. Amer?
MR. WALLACE: I think if he is going to rely on a document or a function that he performed, then the dots need to be connected. He can't just say he relies on a document if the document actually doesn't shed light on what it is he is about to testify to.

MR. ROBERT: So two things, your Honor. Well --
THE COURT: Maybe you should quit while you are ahead.

MR. ROBERT: Sounds like a good idea. That's why I'm stopping.

THE COURT: Which is another way of saying, rule number one.

All right. Let's get the witness again.
MR. ROBERT: Yes, sir.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

MR. ROBERT: May I proceed?
THE COURT: Please.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, how did you come to the knowledge that in December of 2014, a \(\$ 15\) million payment was made toward principal on the Chicago loan?
A The balance of 45 million is stated in the financial statements for that year that I've reviewed.
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Q And why -- what exactly do you review in the financial statements that led you to see that?
A The balance sheet.
Q Is the balance sheet something that you review every year?
A Yes.
Q And you started that practice in 2016 ?
A Yes.
Q And when you assumed your role in 2016, had you looked at the balance sheet for prior years?
A Yes.
Q How many years back did you look at those, if you remember?
A As far back as I needed to to understand the history of the entity.
Q Would that certainly include 2014 and 2015?
A Yes.
Q And sir, so the testimony is clear, it is from that review that you know that the \(\$ 15\) million payment was made; correct?
A Correct.
Q Okay.
I'm going to draw -- and, sir, in addition to knowing that the payment was made, how, if in any way in your preparation of the financials, are you concerned about what
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{effect the guaranty has on that loan?} \\
\hline 2 & MR. AMER: Objection. Leading \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{3 THE COURT: Can you read} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{(Whereupon, the requested question was read back by} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{5 the court reporter.)} \\
\hline 6 & THE COURT: Well, it's convoluted, but if you could \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{7 answer, I'll allow it.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{8 A I understand that the guaranty is linked to the} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{9 stepdown percentage in the loan agreement.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{10 Q And why would that matter to you in your role as CFO} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{11 and COO of the hotel group, with regard to this loan?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{12 A Because I oversee the hotel portfolio and I oversee all} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{13 aspects relating to hotel assets.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{14 Q But more specific than that, is there a financial} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{15 reason in your role as to why you would want to know whether the 16 guaranty was in effect or not?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{17 MR. AMER: Objection. Leading.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{19 What do you want to understand with regard to the loans 20 that are -- withdrawn.}} \\
\hline & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{21 Part of your role is to oversee the loans for the} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{22 properties in your division; correct?} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{23 A Yes.} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} & Q And what are the factors that you look at when \\
\hline & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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What do you want to know about those loans?
A I want to know everything about them so I could understand them to do my job.

Q I can't ask you a leading question, so what would that include?
A That would include terms and conditions of the loan, it would include the principal balance, it would include interest rates, it would include any guarantee provisions and anything contained therein that we, as a company, need to be compliant with.

Q Now, you just focused on the guarantee provisions. Why would you want to know about the guarantee provisions?

A To have an understanding of the loan and the obligations of borrower.

Q The examples that you just gave, did you do that process with regard to the Chicago loan?

A I did.
Q And did you do that process when you took over your role in 2016?

A I did.
Q And could you do this every subsequent year with regard to this loan?

A Yes.
Q And sir, after this payment was made in December of 2014, what was your understanding as to the effect of the

\section*{guaranty?}

A My understanding is that in connection with the principal reduction, that the stepdown percentage, effectively the guaranty percentage, was reduced to zero.

Q And do you know if The Trump Organization received confirmation from anyone about that fact?

MR. AMER: Objection. Lack of foundation. We are talking about 2014 now, right?
MR. ROBERT: Right. So Im asking him if he knows in or around 2014, or '15, whether The Trump Organization had confirmation of that from anyone other than you. MR. AMER: Lack of foundation.
THE COURT: I think that is a foundational question.

MR. ROBERT: Yeah.
THE COURT: Overruled.
A So I reviewed correspondence with the lender and the company's representatives about the matter.

Q And in front of you has been presented Defendant's Exhibit 1055. Is this one of the documents that you reviewed?

A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A This is an e-mail from Deutsche Bank to Jeff McConney in 2015.

Q Let's go to the bottom of it and work our way up.
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What do you see occurring on January 21st, 2015, at 12:12 p.m?

MR. AMER: I'm going to object, your Honor. This is a witness just reading a document that was sent before he worked for the company. How is this appropriate?

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I may, first of all, I spent hours listening to having the Attorney General have people read from e-mails.

Secondarily, this is one of the documents --
THE COURT: But, well, a lot of those e-mails they sent, they received, they were there at the time, had independent confirmation.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, this witness said this was one of the e-mails he reviewed in coming to his assessment. I think the e-mail comes into evidence because it's a corporate record, but nonetheless, I'll introduce it subject to connection, because Ms. Schroeder is going to be here tomorrow or Wednesday -- I'm not sure what day she is testifying -- and say she sent this e-mail exchange to Mr. McConney. The e-mail is coming in whether we do it today, tomorrow or subject to connection, but it's coming in.
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Schroeder, inquiring that the loan is now paid down to 45 million and that the DJT guaranty is gone.

Q And do you see a response to that e-mail on the earlier page?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q
A I do.
Q And what is Ms. Schroeder's response?
A Her response is "confirmed."
Q Let's go in between, Mr. Hawthorn. It starts at the bottom of the page.

A Her response is, "I'm pretty sure you are correct. I just want to take a quick look at the docs and will get back to you to it confirm."
Q Does Mr. McConney respond to that on January 21st about an hour and change later?
A He does. He says, "Thanks, Emily."
Q And does Ms. Schroeder respond to him a half hour later?

A She does.
Q What did she say?
A She says, "Confirmed, Jeff. Once the loan is at 45 million or below, the LTV versus the apprised value of 133 million is 34 percent, which is below the 35 percent threshold

THE COURT: Why should it come in with this witness?

MR. ROBERT: Because he claims that this is one of the documents that he used to confirm his knowledge that Deutsche Bank agreed with his assessment that the guaranty was no longer in existence once there was the pay down.

THE COURT: That satisfied Engoron's two prong test.

Overruled. I'm changing my mind, overruled.
MR. ROBERT: So we then have 1055 in evidence, correct, sir?

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
MR. ROBERT: D-1055 is in evidence, correct?
THE COURT: You didn't move it into evidence before. You were just asking him to --

MR. ROBERT: Okay. I'll refer and just go through the questions and move it in afterwards, subject to connection, if you want, for tomorrow.

THE COURT: Let's do that.
MR. ROBERT: If I could have the question read back, please.

THE COURT: Please.
(Whereupon, the requested question was read back by the court reporter.)
A I see an e-mail inquiry to Jeffrey McConney, to Emily
for the required guaranty. Thus, Mr. Trump's guaranty burns down to zero percent."

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit 1055 into evidence.

MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 1055 was admitted in evidence.)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, what obligations, if any, did you understand The Trump Organization had from 2015 through August of 2020 with regard to this loan?

A Um, I'm familiar with the borrower's reporting requirements, which included the issuance and the delivery of the compilation financial statements, as well as the DSCR test on an annual basis, accompanied with a compliance certificate certifying that the amounts presented to the lender were accurate.

Q And these were presented every year, sir?
A Yes, sir.
MR. ROBERT: I'm not going to take him through each year, Judge.

If we could slow the witness and the Court Defendant's Exhibit 1047, please, and cull it up on the screen.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
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screen.)
MR. ROBERT: We also have a copy for the Attorney General.
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, you've been handed a document identified as Defendant's Exhibit 1047. What is this document?

A This document is correspondence that I prepared and submitted to Deutsche Bank in connection with the annual compliance testing.

Q Who is Joshua Frank, sir?
A Joshua Frank is a representative of Deutsche Bank. He was my primary contact at the bank when dealing with any matters relating to this loan.

Q And what do we find on the page identified as 1047-2, and 3 , and 4?

A So attached to my cover letter, which is dash one, dash two is the annual compliance certificate of 401 North Wabash Venture, LLC for the 2018 year.
Q And the rest of the document D1047-five through D-1047-12?

A Those are the accompanying financial statements, the actual issued compilation statements of the entity for the year 2018.

Q And this is the package that you sent to Mr. Frank on April 30th, 2019?

\section*{A Correct.}

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit 1047 into evidence.

\section*{MR. AMER: No objection.}

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
Q Drawing your attention to page two of that, which would be 1047-2, 3 and 4, what, if any, involvement did you have in the preparation of the compliance certificate?

A I prepared it.
Q And what did you do to prepare that certificate, sir, specifically?

A I had to review the 2018 issued financial statements and the numbers therein. I had to also compute the DSCR for the period. And then I had to list out those amounts on this certificate for visibility, and the computation of the DSCR for that period.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And what are we looking at on the top of D-1047? It's actually the bottom of D-1047-2 onto the top of page three. What are we looking at this there?

A Section two is a confirmation of the debt service coverage ratios, the DSCR, and its components for that fiscal year.

Q What are those components, sir?
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Page 5201

A Those components are the operating income and operating contributions over less operating expenses, and that amount divided by the debt service expense for the period, coming to a calculation of 2.384 for this period.
Q What, if any, significance is there to the \(2.38 ?\)
A The 2.38 is compared to the threshold required in the loan agreement. If you see in section two, it says that they are required to maintain a DSCR of 1.25 . So it's exceeding that. And therefore, the ratio in this particular year is complied with.

Q I'm then going to draw your attention to D1047-4.
A Okay.
Q Who signed this document?
A This was signed by Donald Trump, Jr., as president of the 401 North Wabash Venture, LLC entity.

Q What, if any, involvement did you have in Mr. Trump executing this agreement, referring to Mr. Donald Trump, Jr?

A I provided Donald Trump, Jr. this packet, noting that it was complete, that the team, led by myself, prepared it; that it was ready to be submitted so that he may sign the certificate so it could be submitted.

Q And this was a conversation you would have had with him; correct?

A Yes. Probably also documented in an e-mail.
Q Okay. And what are we looking at at D-1047-5 through
\(12 ?\)
A These are the compilation financial statements of this entity for this fiscal year.
\(Q\) And these were required under the terms of the loan?
A Correct.
Q Okay. Thank you, sir.
I'm now going to ask the witness to look at what was has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 1051, and ask that it be handed to the witness, the Court and Attorney General.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, you've been handed what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 1051 for identification. Do you recognize this document?

A Yes.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, what is this document?
A This is an e-mail from myself to Joshua Frank at Deutsche Bank, with the same information that was shown previously, but for this time it's the 2019 year.
\(Q\) And for saving time, attached to this is your cover letter; correct?

A Correct.
Q And what is on pages three through five?
A It's the compliance certificate for the 2019 year.
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\section*{down and not able to conduct business. So that year was a year} where, on its face, we would not have met the DSCR requirement, because the net operating income would not have exceeded the interest expense for the period, although, the borrower continued to make its monthly interest payments and was not in default of any obligation. It was still able to make the payments.

The calculation in and of itself was not meeting the threshold because of a low economic environment, and people not able to stay at the hotel.
Q What, if anything, happened with regard to this loan as a result of that?

A What happened is if in this period you do not meet the DSCR, the lender, at any time during the loan, has the opportunity to do its own fair value assessment, doing an appraisal of the loan to determine the loan to value. So the fact that this DSCR was not met, it allows them the opportunity to do that.

There's also, I guess, three prongs by which a DSCR can -- if it's failed on its face, can be effectively remedied. One is, the lender would commission an appraisal as I described.

The other is the lender could have the borrower post collateral of a certain amount to satisfy the lender.

And the third is that the borrower can pay down the loan to a certain amount to satisfy the lender per the terms of
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the agreement.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I'm going to move to strike the answer. The question was what, if anything, happened with regard to this loan as a result of that, "that" meaning COVID. I don't think the answer responded to that.

MR. ROBERT: He's -- I'm sorry, your Honor.
THE COURT: Granted. The question was a lot simpler than the answer.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.
THE COURT: These things happen.
Q With regard to this particular loan, did The Trump Organization receive notice from Deutsche Bank?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And what did Deutsche Bank -- what was your
understanding as to what the Trump Organization -- withdrawn.
Did The Trump Organization DSCR fall below the acceptable limit?

A Correct.
Q What, if any, options did the Trump Organization have at that time with regard to this loan?
A They could either post collateral, pay down the loan, or reinstate the stepdown percentage to an acceptable level, which would have been ten percent at that point in time.

Q And what does that mean in practical terms for The Trump Organization if they were going to increase the stepdown
at that point?
A So the stepdown percentage being the amount of loan
that's guaranteed, it basically means that the loan would then be guaranteed no longer at zero percent, but it would be guaranteed at ten percent. So in August of 2020, the loan would then be guaranteed as 4.5 million.

In addition, the net worth requirement, which before was zero of the guarantor, now goes to ten percent of 2.5 billion, the original amount, therefore, the net worth requirement is 250 million.

Q Did The Trump Organization take Deutsche Bank up on the offer to increase the stepdown basis to zero -- to ten percent?

A Yes.
Q And at the same time did Trump Organization agree to have a minimum net worth of \(\$ 250\) million?
A Yes.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I would like to show the witness Defendant's Exhibit 1050.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, what do you recognize this document to be?
A This is correspondence from Deutsche Bank to the attention of the entity 401 North Wabash Venture.
Q And do you recognize the signatures on page three of
. Hawthom - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Robert)
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this letter?
A Yes.
Q Whose signatures are those?
A Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump.
Q Could these signatures indicate this is the official
acceptance of that proposal with the increase of the stepdown basis that you just referenced?

A Yes.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit 1050 into evidence.

MR. AMER: For notice purposes or for more than notice purposes.

MR. ROBERT: For the fact that the Trump Organization did, in fact, agree to increase the stepdown percentage, which had been zero, to 10 percent.

THE COURT: If that's all he wants it admitted for, I'm fine with that.

Mr. Amer, do you agree?
MR. AMER: That's fine.
THE COURT: It's in for that purpose.
MR. ROBERT: Well, to be clear, it would be everything contained in the paragraph that says, "This will also confirm that" because that's what The Trump Organization is confirming.

THE COURT: Well, they are saying "we confirm it."
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MR. ROBERT: And then The Trump Organization, signed by Eric Trump and Donald Trump, Jr. on behalf of the corporate entity, are agreeing to that term.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. AMER: Just so we are clear, it's coming in for the acknowledgment and agreement that appears on the final page that's signed by the Trump employees; is that right?

MR. ROBERT: Can I just read what you just said. I'm going to read it from there.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)

MR. ROBERT: Yeah, that The Trump Organization is agreeing to what Deutsche Bank offered them, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. That's what it's in for.
Q What, if any, impact did this have, then, on the loan, sir?

A So this increased the stepdown percentage or the guaranty percentage from zero to 10 percent.
Q And again, as to the net worth?
A The net worth was now 250 million.
Q What, if anything, happened with regard to this loan a year or so later in July of 2021?

A Performance since rebounded after the pandemic subsided. Hotel guests were able to come to the hotel again. So in the subsequent reporting, the DSCR test, because revenues
were able to rebound, the DSCR test was, um, met on the face
Q And what, if any, impact did that have on the loan and revival of the guaranty you just spoke of?

\section*{A At that point in time, then the company had the option} to take the stepdown percentage back down to zero and remove the guaranty entirely again.

Q What, if anything, did The Trump Organization do with that?

MR. AMER: I'm sorry. Again, I'll move to strike the answer, because I think the question was what impact did it have on the guaranty, and answer was the company had the option to do something. But that doesn't respond to what actually happened.

MR. ROBERT: I think it does, because he's explaining, as a result of what they do with the guaranty that affects the company's interest rate.

THE COURT: I understand Mr. Amer's point.
MR. ROBERT: Let me see the question and I'll ask it again. Can I scroll this up?
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)
Q Let's break it down.
What, if any, impact did this development in the change of the DSCR have in July of 2021 as to the loan itself? Not the guaranty, just the loan.

1 A The interest rate increased.
2 Q And what, if any, impact did this new DSCR in July of 2021 have on the guaranty?

A The guaranty went away again.
Q And did The Trump Organization, in fact, allow the guaranty to go away in July of 2021?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained. Leading.
Q And what, if any, impact was -- what, if any, impact was there on the guaranty in July of 2021 as a result of the DSCR now being above the limit?

A The guaranty went away.
Q And what, if any, impact did the guaranty going away
have on the loan itself?
A No guaranty means no net worth requirement of the guarantor.
Q And what, if any, impact did it have on the interest rate?

A It increased the interest rate 25 basis points.
Q Now, I would like to show the witness what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 1046?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)

Q Mr. Hawthorn, I've shown you what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit D-1046 for identification.

What do you recognize this document to be?
A This is an e-mail correspondence from myself to Julie Brand, who, at the time, was the director of finance for the Trump Chicago Hotel.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I move Defendant's
Exhibit 1046 into evidence.
MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 1046 was admitted in evidence.)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, if you could please read what you are telling -- withdrawn.

Who is Ms. Brand again?
A She, at the time, was the director of finance for the Trump Chicago Hotel.

Q And what, if any, role would the director of finance have with regard to this loan?

A At the property level, the property is responsible for paying the interest, the monthly interest from available cash
flows at the property, so she would receive the monthly invoice of the loan every month.

Q And what did you exactly tell Ms. Brand in this e-mail, if you could read it to the Court, please.

Q And Mr. Hawthorn, to your understanding, why is it that the interest rate went up by 25 basis points at this time?

A Because the step down percentage was reduced from 10 percent to zero.

Q Is it your understanding from based on your review of the loan documents and your review of the various financial statements, that from the time the guaranty was voided in December of 14 through August of 2020, that the Trump Organization was required to send Statements of Financial Condition to the bank?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained, leading.
Q What, if any, obligations of the loan that had existed prior to December of 2014 were no longer in effect from 2014 to 2020, if any?

MR. AMER: Objection, foundation.
THE COURT: Can I ask for a readback.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: Overruled, based on the totality of
his prior testimony.
A There is no requirement to submit guarantor financials.

Q And sir, what, if anything, changed in August of 2020 with regard to the guarantor financials?

A I said, "Julie, FYI below, the good news for the company is that the results allow for the partial guaranty to go And then I say, "The not so good news for the hotel is that the margin on the LIBOR interest rate now goes up by 25 bext pin next month's invoice, and expect the monthly interest to be (Continued on the next page.)

A Because the step down percentage went back up to 10 percent, the requirement was reinstated to submit guarantor financials.

Q And then with regard to that what, if anything, happened in July of 2021?

A Because the step down percentage went back down to zero percent, there is no longer a requirement to submit guarantor financials.

Q And, sir, was this loan paid in full?
A Yes.
Q And when was this loan paid in full?
A October of 2023.
Q And, sir, what, if any, requirement was there with regard to presenting the bank with a Statement of Financial Condition for this loan from July of 2021 to the time the loan was repaid in August of 2023?

A None.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I would like to show the witness what has been marked as DD-2, this time truly a demonstrative piece of evidence. If we can show it to the witness, the Court, and put it up on the screen, please?

Do we have copies of it? Sorry, I am still old school with the paper.
(Handing)

Q Mr. Hawthorn, you have been handed what has been marked as a demonstrative exhibit marked as DD-2; do you recognize this document?

A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A This is a timeline of the loan and key events from June 2014 through October 2023.

Q Did you prepare this document?
A I assisted with the preparation of it.
Q And does this document reflect the testimony you just gave about when the SOFC was required by Deutsche Bank and when it wasn't?

A Yes.
Q So according to this demonstrative piece of evidence from December of 2014 through August of 2020, the SOFC was not required, correct?

MR. AMER: Can we have some clarity on what Statement of Financial Condition this is relating to? Because we have this SFCs of the borrower entities; there is also the guarantor SFC; so it is unclear what this is.

MR. ROBERT: I think it is a disingenuous objection because this case is about the SOFC. But so the record is clear, this is evidencing President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition, which is the guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition, which is the basis of
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the lawsuit that the Attorney General brought. That's what this is referencing.

THE COURT: Well, now we know. So okay. MR. AMER: Thank you.
MR. ROBERT: If I could have the last question read back, please?

THE COURT: Please read back.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
A Correct.
Q And sir, based on this demonstrative, the SOFC was also not required from July of 2021 through August of 2023, correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q Okay. I want to now draw your attention to the Doral loan. Okay, sir?

A Okay.
Q What, if any, involvement did you have in your role as CFO/COO of the hotel unit with regard to the Doral loan?

A So in my role I am responsible for the oversight of the hotel portfolio, including Doral, including the understanding of the loan agreement, under which Doral is a borrower, and understanding its terms.

I was also responsible for the preparation of the annual financial statements, along with the accounting firm, to
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be submitted to the borrower.
And just like the Chicago loan, there is also a DSCR annual test.

And also a step down percentage test.
So very similar document, very similar
responsibilities on my part in my role to understand the document and to ensure our compliance with it.

Q And in your role of overseeing these various financial statements and documents for the -- for Doral, did you become familiar with the loan itself and the amount of the loan?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And in that course of your work, did you also become familiar with the terms and conditions of that loan?

A Yes.
Q And why would your knowledge of the terms and conditions of that loan be important to do your job as CFO/COO of the hotels?

A Again to understand the obligations of that particular property, which falls under my responsibility.

Q Are you aware, sir, that there was a loan taken out with Deutsche Bank for Doral?

A Yes.
Q And do you know approximately when that loan was?
A I believe it was in 2012.

Q And do you know the principal amount of that loan, sir?

A 125 million.
Q And, sir, do you have an understanding as to whether there was guaranty associated with that loan?

A Yes.
Q And do you have an understanding as to what the terms of that guaranty were back in June of 2012 ?

A My understanding is it was a 100 percent guaranty.
Q And anything with regard to a net worth requirement?
A My understanding is that the original loan at the onset had a \(\$ 2.5\) billion net worth provision.

Q What, if anything, happened with regard to this loan in August of 2013?

A I understand that the loan had an amendment.
Q And what was the import of that amendment?
A The import of the amendment was to bring it to the concept of the step down percentage, similar to Chicago, to allow for a partial guaranty of the loan.

Q And that was in August of 2013?
A Yes.
Q And without going through everything, it is similar in concept to what we just talked about with regard to Chicago?

A Yes.
Q And sir, what, if anything, happened with regard to

\section*{this loan in August of 2015?}

A My understanding is that the step down percentage was elected to be 10 percent. And that meant that the guarantors guaranty is 10 percent of the loan balance. So effectively the guarantor is guaranteeing 12.5 million of the loan at that point in time, no longer 100 percent of the loan. And at the same time because it is a 10 percent step down percentage, the net worth requirement of the guarantor is 250 million at that point in time.

Q And did that net worth requirement from August of 2015 of \(\$ 250\) million change from then to the time the loan was changed?

A It did not. It remained the same.
Q When was this loan paid in full, sir?
A The loan was repaid in May of 2022.
Q Going back to the period of August of 2015 and the step down basis, what, if any, option was given to the Trump Organization to exercise the step down basis?

A The company had the option to elect the step down percentage to go all the way to zero percent because the LTV was satisfied to allow that to occur. The company, however, elected to keep the step down percentage at 10 percent at that point in time.

Q Which then led to the \(\$ 250\) million net worth requirement and the 12 and a half million dollar guaranty
limit, correct?
A Correct.
Q And, sir, during the course of this loan what, if any, requirements of reporting did the Trump Organization have, or particularly Trump Endeavor 12?

A Trump Endeavor 12 had compilation financial statements that were prepared annually every year, issued by Mazars and then Whitley Penn. Those financial statements were submitted to the borrower and also submitted along with a compliance certificate and a DSCR calculation every year, just like the Chicago loan we looked at previously.

THE COURT: Was the question what they did or what they were obligated to do?

MR. ROBERT: First it is what they are obligated
to do. And now I am going to show documents that showed what they did that were consistent.

THE COURT: He didn't answer what they were obligated to do. He answered what they did.
Q The answer you just gave, what they were supposed to do, did the Trump Organization, in fact, do that?

A Yes.
MR. ROBERT: Just give me a second. I want to
limit this to one year to make it faster.
Q And these financials were required to be provided once a year, correct, sir?
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A Correct.
Q I would like to show the witness Exhibit D-1048. (Handing)

MR. ROBERT: And Ill make the second year very fast Judge, don't worry.

THE COURT: You read my mind. MR. ROBERT: I try.
Q Mr. Hawthorn, I have handed you what has been marked as Exhibit 1048 for identification. Do you recognize this document?

A Yes.
Q What do you recognize this document to be?
A This is my correspondence to Joshua Frank at Deutsche Bank relating to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC.

Q What is attached to the letter?
A Annual compliance certificates for the year 2018, along with a calculation of the DSCR, along with the annual financial statements of the entity.

Q And the -- what, if any, role did you have in the preparation of the compliance certificate?

A I prepared it.
Q And the same steps that you testified about earlier for the Chicago loan would apply to the Trump Endeavor 12 loan?

A Correct.
Q And the signatory on page D1048-4 is whose?

A Donald Trump Jr.
Q And the process that you articulated earlier with how you would present this to Mr. Trump Jr. for his execution with regard to the Chicago property, would be the same as for this?

A Correct.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I move Defendant's
Exhibit 1048 into evidence.
MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1048 by the Court.)

MR. ROBERT: I would like to show the witness what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 1052. (Handing)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, what do you recognize Defendant's Exhibit 1052 for identification to be?
A This is my correspondence to Deutsche Bank in a similar fashion; but this time it is for the year 2019.
Q And this, again, has your letter attached as well as the compliance certificate and the financial statement prepared by Mazars, correct?

A Correct.
MR. ROBERT: I move Defendant's Exhibit 1052 into evidence.
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MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1052 by the Court.)
Q And the same questions I asked you, sir. What was your involvement in the preparation of the compliance certificate?

A I prepared it.
Q And in the same manner as you earlier testified?
A Yes.
Q And this is signed by Donald Trump Jr. on behalf of Trump Endeavor 12?

A Yes.
Q And the manner in which you present -- withdrawn. Did you present this to him for signature?
A Yes, I did.
Q And the manner in which you presented it to him for signature is the same as you testified to earlier?

A Yes.
MR. ROBERT: I would now like to show the
witness what has been marked for demonstrative purposes Defendant's Exhibit DD-3.
Q And sir, while that is being handed up. When was the Trump National Doral loan paid in full?

Page 5225

\section*{that loan in terms of reporting?}

A Annual financial statements to be submitted with a compliance certificate similar to these other two loans; as well as a DSCR calculation similar to these other two loans.

Q And sir, is that, in fact, what happened with regard to this particular loan?

A Yes.
Q Now, a little earlier you testified that this property had two certifications each year, correct?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q I am going to take you through each one separately,
but I am going to start with the one in May of 2019.
MR. ROBERT: If we could show the witness

\section*{defense exhibit 1049?}

And this time, Judge, I am only going to use one
year's worth.
(Handing)
Q Sir, this would be consistent with each year of the loan, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
Sir, you have been handed what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 1049 for identification. What do you recognize this document to be?

A This is my correspondence to Joshua Frank and

Deutsche Bank relating to the Trump Old Post Office LLC loan.
And this is for the year -- this is for the 12 months ending
January 31, 2019.
Q And sir, there is a compliance certificate attached to it?

A Yes.
Q And what, if any, involvement did you have in the preparation of the compliance certificate?

A I prepared it.
Q Using the same methods that you talked about earlier?
A Yes.
Q And this is signed by Donald Trump Jr. on behalf of Trump Old Post Office LLC?

A Yes.
Q Did you present this to Mr. Trump Jr. for signature?
A Yes.
Q Is the manner in which you did that the same as you testified to earlier on the other documents?

A Yes.
Q What is the final document from Mazars attached to this?

A This is the compilation financial statement for the entity for the year ended -- for the 12 months ended January 31, 2019.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's

Exhibit 1049 into evidence.
MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1049 by the Court.)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, in a moment I am going to show you an e-mail -- hold this -- hold this to the side for a moment.

MR. ROBERT: I would like to show the witness
Exhibit PX-497. We have copies of it.
Yes, Andy, I am actually using one of yours.
(Handing)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, do you recognize the document that has been identified for identification as PX-497?

A Yes.
Q And what is this document, sir?
A This is my correspondence to Deutsche Bank for the Trump Old Post Office LLC loan, and its reporting requirements for the year ended August 31, 2019.

MR. ROBERT: I move Plaintiffs Exhibit 497 into
evidence. I don't think it has already been introduced.
MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in evidence.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 497 by the
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A In clarification with the lender, that I did personally and with other representatives, we confirmed that the DSCR testing period for this loan was on a 12 -month ended January 31, period. And therefore the DSCR was not computed off of the annual financial statements which were on an August 31, 2019, year. So we had to do two sets of financial statements for compliance purposes, the audited financial statements which were satisfying that requirement, the entities on a fiscal year ending August. Then the DSCR was required to be due on a 12 -month January period. And again, only a compilation was required.

Q And sir, how would you describe the difference, and I know when we started the exam you gave us the difference between an audit, a review and compilation, but specifically, aside from you explaining that the dates are different, how did this audit of Trump Old Post Office LLC differ from the compilation that is provided carlier in the year?

A Well, you can see in the audit itself it has a full set of footnotes. The work done by Mazars and the audit opinion indicates it was an audit. So it was much more detailed procedures for an audit. There was substantive testing done of the entity. Whereas, a compilation is less testing, more putting the numbers together in a document.

Q I am going to switch gears now. You can put that aside. Thank you, Mr. Hawthorn. And talk to you about the
monitor who has been put in place in the Trump Organization. What, if any, involvement have you had with the monitor?

A I have had significant involvement with Judge Barbara Jones, who is the appointed monitor that we work with regularly.

Q When did you first come into contact with Judge Jones?

A In November of 2022.
Q And what were the circumstances surrounding that first meeting with her?

A She came to our offices with certain of her staff members and therefore -

Q Let's break it down. Who was it that came with her, if you remember?

A Members of her team. She is with a firm called Bracewell, so there were representatives from Bracewell on her team. And then on our side it was myself, it was Alan Garten and I believe certain of our attorneys were present as well.
\(Q\) And what was discussed at that first meeting?
MR. AMER: I am going to object, Your Honor, to hearsay, to the extent that it is going to include what Judge Jones or any of her colleagues said to the Trump Organization individuals.

MR. ROBERT: This is as good a time as any. We are going to add Barbara Jones and Tom Kokakis to the
witness list. I ask if we need a subpoena issued by the Court or can just serve them with a subpoena, because their testimony is crucial to the equitable relief you are seeking. So we might as well clear that up as well.

MR. AMER: It doesn't relate to my objection.
MR. ROBERT: I'll rephrase the question.
But you raise a good point. We will need her testimony. So we can handle that in the break, talk to the Court about that.

MR. AMER: Again, I think the witness can say what the Trump Organization employees told Judge Jones and her staff, but I don't think it is appropriate to have this witness relay what they were told, because that's hearsay.

THE COURT: You want to make sure that I am not arguing for you before you argue I see.

MR. KISE: Right.
THE COURT: I think -- I am surprised at the objection. But why don't we see what the specific questions are.

MR. AMER: I think the question I objected to was: Tell us what was discussed. So it raises the issue, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't think what was discussed would be hearsay. What was discussed.

Hawthom - by Defendant - Direct (Robert)
You were there, right? Overruled.
What was discussed? The topics, not the statements which we can then address.

MR. AMER: As long as it is limited to the topics I don't have any problem.

MR. ROBERT: Does the Attorney General have any objection to us calling Judge Jones or her colleagues to testify?

MR. AMER: I am not going to be able to answer that right now. I have to discuss it with my colleagues.

THE COURT: Okay. So what was discussed generally, not did Judge Jones say.
A So, the November 2022 meeting was an introductory meeting. Judge Jones and her team were interested in learning about the company, its structure, its organization, and its key executives in place running the company, and how it runs today. So that was an introductory meeting that set in motion a very cooperative, transparent, regular partnership where myself, as one of the individuals on behalf of the company, meets regularly with Judge Jones and her team members.

At the onset of the meeting it was clear that it would take some time for the monitor and their representatives to fully understand the depth and the complexity of the organization. So they did ask a lot of questions to understand
we respond to them timely and get them what they need. So, ongoing it has been very detailed. It has been very thorough. And you know, any and all questions that they ask we have been transparent and open and happy to assist them in whatever information they need.

Q So you --
MR. AMER: My only objection is to the phrase, mutual, good mutual understanding. I think that implies that it went both ways. He can certainly testify as to his understanding. But I don't think it is appropriate for him to testify about what Judge Jones and her staff had an understanding of.

THE COURT: He wouldn't know what was in their mind.

As long as I have you, Mr. Amer, I am assuming that Ms. Jones was there to ask questions and listen, not just say things. And anything she said was probably not going to be introduced to prove the truth of its contents here, so, that's why I found that a strange objection.

MR. ROBERT: I would respectfully disagree.
MR. AMER: Since I don't know what this witness will say about what Judge Jones may have said at the meeting, it is hard to know in advance whether it is just for notice purposes or not.

But, in terms of my prior objection on good
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the entity's structure, who does what in the organization, how process flows work. So it was definitely a significant undertaking on our side to make sure we were being fully compliant, answering all of their questions diligently, responding to inquiries and so forth.

Q What was the matter -- withdrawn.
Were requests for information made of you and the Trump Organization?

A Yes.
Q And how did you provide that information to the monitor -- withdrawn.

Did the monitor request it be sent to her or to others?

A As an administrative matter the monitor and their -her designees set up a data room that allowed for information that they requested to be provided to them in the format that they requested. The onset of the monitorship obviously was a lot of questions, again, to get an understanding of the company which is, you know, has a lot of entities and a lot of business components to it, and a lot of operating businesses.

So, as they, meaning the monitor and their designees, asked questions, we would provide the information they requested. There would be a lot of follow-up questions. And through the course of since November 2022, we have gotten into a pretty good mutual understanding of what they require and how
mutual understanding?
THE COURT: Yes, sustained. I'll note for the record that the witness wouldn't know what was really in Judge Jones' mind. He might have heard hearsay, you people have been great.

MR. ROBERT: On that point, yes, there was conversations. Judge Jones made observations and comments which I think are plainly relevant.

I'll serve this as an opportunity to give notice under our order that we intend to call Judge Jones and Tom Kokakis in our case in our defense. We will work out the scheduling with the Attorney General and the Court.
Q But in addition to that, are you aware of any request the monitor made of the Trump Organization that was not complied with?

A No.
Q Are you aware of whether the monitor found anything wrong in all of the months she has been looking at Trump Organization records?

A No.
Q Have you been advised that she uncovered any fraud at the Trump Organization?

A No.
Q Are you advised as to whether she uncovered anything improper?

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION \\ BY MR. AMER:}

Q Good afternoon, Mr. Hawthorn.
A Good afternoon.
Q I want to go through a number of comments you made during your direct to seek some clarification. You talked about compilation being the lowest level of assurance; do you recall that?

\section*{A Yes.}
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)
the numbers, maybe do some analytical procedures to see how it all looks, and ask some questions. But it would definitely be less substantive procedures than an audit.

Q Just to go back to my question, which was specific to testing in a compilation, there's no substantive testing of the figures; yes?

A Generally speaking, yes.
Q I'm correct, yes?
A There's what I would call analytical procedures, but no testing of underlying documents.

Q And you indicated that for the entities that you were responsible for, they had both compilations and audits; correct?

A Correct.
Q And am I correct that there was no reason why The Trump Organization could not have retained Mazars to do an audit of
Donald J. Trump's financial statements as opposed to just a compilation; right?

A I don't know.
Q You don't know of any reason why they couldn't have hired Mazars to do an audit; right?

A I don't know. It's not -- that wouldn't be my area, being in the hospitality division. So if you are asking a hypothetical --

Q No, I'm asking, as you sit here today, you were aware of no reason why The Trump Organization could not have retained

A Correct.
Q And you oversee the hotel brand operations and are the most senior executive person within the hotel division; correct? A Yes.
Q And am I correct that your responsibilities as Chief
Operating Officer of Trump Hotels is limited to the owned and managed hotels of the portfolio of the hotel division?
A No.
Q Can we go ahead and put up Mr. Hawthorn's trial testimony from last month? It's at lines 14 -- page 1417, starts at line 17 ?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. AMER: Sixteen.
Q "So are you the most senior executive person within the hotel's division at The Trump Organization?
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: And can you just explain to us how the hotel's division fits within the overall corporate structure of The Trump Organization?
"ANSWER: Everything related to the hotel
azars to do an audit instead of a compilation; is that fair?
A And I think I previously testified there is no requirement for an audit. So if the company -- if any private company doesn't have a requirement for an audit for some constituency like a lender or a government agency, there's really no need for an audit.
Q Despite the fact --
THE COURT: You are not answering the question. Am
I correct, Mr. Amer? You agree?
MR. AMER: Correct. I agree.
THE COURT: But you are asking it in the negative.
Why don't you ask it in the positive.
Is there any reason -- and Mr. Amer, if you want to ask a different question, or whatever, is there any reason The Trump Organization could not have asked for an audit?

THE WITNESS: I would just say, other than time and resources and the cost of it, no.

THE COURT: Did I ask it in the negative? Sorry.
Let me rephrase it. We are going to get the same answer. MR. AMER: It's harder than it looks.
A The same answer, I think.
THE COURT: Could The Trump Organization have asked for an audit if they were willing to pay for it? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. See, it's not so hard, Mr. Amer.
properties that we own and/or manage. So the organization, as you may be familiar, owns commercial real estate, operates golf courses and has other holdings. So the hotel division operates specifically to the owned and managed hotels in the hotel portfolio."

So in terms of what's within the hotel's division, that is just the owned and managed hotel portfolio; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And --
THE COURT: If you want another few minutes, fine, or we could break now. It's up to you.

MR. AMER: We could break now. That's fine.
THE COURT: You're hungry. Okay. 2:15 as usual.
And I'll direct the witness not to discuss the case, or his testimony, of course. You've heard that several times.
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned until 2:15 for the luncheon recess.)

AFTERNOON SESSION
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.
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THE COURT: Im going to ask Mr. Amer to hold his horses for a few moments, but he could stand at the podium.

I have considered defendant's late request to add Judge Barbara Jones and attorney Tom Kokakis of her staff to the witness list. I hereby preclude their testimony. Besides being untimely, defendant's request is inappropriate as Judge Jones and her staff are arms of the court, and you cannot question the Court in this matter.

The independent monitor order stated that they are to report back to the Court via their reports, and the reports speak for themselves. I also do not want to create the possibility of any conflicts of interest of any kind. The last thing this case needs is to have Judge Jones need to step aside.

Finally, I am not aware of a single instance in which a litigant asked to examine an independent monitor, or anything like an independent monitor, and I spent part of the lunch break researching the issue. I couldn't find any examples. And for sure I'm not aware of any court granting or allowing this.

But I'll hear from the defendants if they have authority for their request.

MR. KISE: We don't at this time, your Honor, but to the extent that we research the issue and decide to raise it, then we'll return to it.
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Q And is the partial point the responsibility that you were asked to assist in in standardizing the accounting methodology across various divisions?

A That's a good example.
Q What else, aside from that standardization project, do you consider to be responsibility that Mr. Weisselberg had that you now have?

A I would say just helpful guidance and accounting knowledge to any arms of the business that are needing of assistance.

Q Were you ever asked to provide helpful guidance and accounting knowledge with respect to the preparation of Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

\section*{A No.}

MR. AMER: I would like to show you some trial testimony from Donald Trump, Jr., just so we could further clarify your role. It's page 3987 starting at line 13 , continuing onto the next page, line two.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. AMER: So if we could get the rest of the answer on the page on the screen.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This is Donald Trump, Jr.'s trial testimony during his
M. Hawthorn - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)

MR. ROBERT: Will there be a formal order or will you so order the transcript?

THE COURT: I would ask that you just so order the transcript.

And yes, Mr. Kise, I would grant your request. If you find some authority for the proposition, of course I'll hear it.

MR. KISE: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the witness back on the witness stand.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, please continue.
MR. AMER: Thank you, your Honor.
Q We were discussing your role and responsibilities at The Trump Organization. And I want to ask you a few more questions to clarify what that role is.

Have you ever worked in the corporate accounting department at Trump Tower, which is the department that Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney worked in?

A No.
Q Did you take over Mr. Weisselberg's responsibilities that he had when he was CFO of the corporate accounting department after he left the organization?

A Partially.
direct examination by Mr. Robert:
"QUESTION: So when you testified a week ago, you mentioned that Mark Hawthorn was the CFO of The Trump Organization. Is that actually his official title?
"ANSWER: I think he's still chief financial officer. He, he's assumed that role, I guess I just said, sort of. So he is the, you know, the finance guy within Trump, Trump world now and has taken on all those decisional responsibilities. He is an actual CPA and does that. So it may not -- again, we are not as -- not as big on title, but he's functioning in that capacity, correct."

Are you functioning in the capacity as the chief financial officer of The Trump Organization?
A No.
Q I think you mentioned that others are -- well, first of all, do you oversee the corporate accounting department?
A No.
Q I think you mentioned that others are overseeing the corporate accounting department in the wake of Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg leaving the company; correct?
A Yes.
Q And you mentioned Donna Kidder. Is she the assistant controller now?
A Yes.
Q You also mentioned that there is now a director of

\section*{. Hawthom - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)}
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finance; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And what is that person's name?
A His name is Michael love chuck.
Q And when did he assume the position of director of finance?

A He's been a director of finance at the company for over 20 years. He served as the director of finance for the Trump International Hotel and Tower in New York, a hotel property.

Subsequently to that, he took on the same role for the Trump International SoHo Hotel and the Trump International Washington, D.C. Hotel when that hotel was sold in May of 2022.

He did not leave the company. He was able to use the skills and expertise in the corporate accounting function as the director of finance.
Q Is it your testimony that he is now functioning in the capacity as the chief financial officer of The Trump Organization?

A No.
Q Is there anyone else other than Ms. Kidder and Mr. Love chuck, who is overseeing the corporate accounting department?

A ^ Splg Mr. Love chuck would be the highest individual of financial expertise in that area.

Q I'm just trying to find out if there are any other people?
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A There's a team, yes.
Q Who are they?
A They are the accounting staff for that team.
Q The same staff that was there before Mr. Weisselberg left?
A Some yes, some are now.
Q Has any individual in the corporate accounting department taken over in the capacity as the chief financial officer of The Trump Organization?

A No.
Q And to the extent --
MR. AMER: Can we put up Mr. Trump's testimony we were just looking at.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And to the extent that Donald Trump, Jr.'s testimony could be read to suggest that you are functioning in the capacity of CFO of The Trump Organization, that would be incorrect?
A There is no CFO of The Trump Organization.
Q And to the extent that his testimony could be read to mean that you have taken on all of the decisional
responsibilities that Mr. Weisselberg had, his testimony would be inaccurate; correct?

MR. ROBERT: Objection.
M. Hawthorn - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Amer)
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THE COURT: What's the objection?
MR. ROBERT: He's saying to the extent his testimony could be interpreted that way. It's either the testimony is or it isn't. This witness is not there to extrapolate how one could interpret Mr. Trump, Jr.'s testimony.

MR. AMER: I'll withdraw --
THE COURT: Rephrase.
MR. AMER: -- and rephrase.
Q When Mr. Trump testified that you have taken on all those decisional responsibilities of the CFO of The Trump Organization, he was wrong; correct?

A I think the word "all" makes it incorrect.
Q And we spoke about the project to standardize across the various divisions how the general ledgers are kept; correct? A Yes.
Q Was that a project that you undertook with an outside consulting firm named Ankura?
A No.
Q Were you involved in a project related to updating the accounting processes with Ankura?
A The company engaged Ankura to assist with an evaluation of the finance function so that areas of improvement could be identified.

Q And that was something that you worked with Ankura on;

\section*{correct?}

A Yes.
Q Were you ever asked by anyone within The Trump
Organization to assist with respect to any other engagement with Ankura?

\section*{A No.}

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up D-1054.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Do you recall you testified about this chart?
A Yes.
Q Correct? And I believe you said you prepared this chart; is that right?
A Yes.
Q And with respect to the statements that predate your arrival at The Trump Organization in 2016, am I correct that your knowledge of those prior statements was based on your review of those statements upon your arrival?
A Upon or thereafter.
Q Well, how far after?
A In the process of being subpoenaed for information, I was part of the team that helps provide all of these documents, as requested. And as a process of understanding and going through the history of how these documents were prepared and
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issued, I've seen all these documents. So we have an electronic folder of keeping record of all of these individual statements.

Q Let me just clarify, because I want to zero in on when you reviewed the statements from 2011 to 2015, did you review those upon arriving at the organization in 2016 in order to be able to perform your job functions?

A Certainly, yes, the ones related to the hotel division that I was getting up to speed on. Certainly the other ones relating to the commercial aspect, I didn't learn about until much later.
Q So specifically let's identify those. The ones that relate to commercial properties, that wouldn't have been -- that aren't within the auspices of the hotel division, that's 40 Wall Street, TIH Commercial, LLC, Trump Plaza and Trump Tower Commercial; correct?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And is it the case, then, that the statements for those entities from 2011 all the way through 2022 were not statements you - that you reviewed until you prepared to testify here at this trial; is that right?

A Not exactly.
Q What is incorrect about that?
A In my role as being the liaison for the monitor, I'm now copied on all correspondence of any financial documents submitted to lenders. So in addition to all these annual
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A Correct.

Q You testified on direct that you were not aware of any instance with respect to the preparation of the statements on this chart where Mazars asked for information and didn't receive it; is that right?
A Correct.
Q Okay.
But with respect to the four commercial properties, since you weren't involved in the preparation of those statements, is it fair to say you wouldn't know what Mazars asked for or what was provided in response to those requests; right?

A Yes, I was thinking about the hotel statements.
Q So your response to Mr. Robert's question with respect to not knowing of any instance where Mazars asked for something and didn't get it in return, it excluded the four commercial property statements; right?
A That's fair.
Q And similarly, you had zero involvement in preparing Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition; correct?

A Correct.
Q And so you would have no knowledge of any requests that Mazars made during the course of the preparation of those statements; right?

A Correct.
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\section*{A The e-mail does not say that.}

MR. AMER: And if we look at demonstrative DD2.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This is a document I believe you testified you assisted in preparing; correct?

A Yes.
Q Were there others involved in its preparation?
A In-house counsel and myself. I drew it on a piece of paper out of my mind, and they helped put it into a nice format.

Q Other than formatting, is the entirety of the contents of this document something you prepared?
A Um, most of it.
Q Well, which part isn't?
A The dates, the key terms, just the timeline, right. I could go back to the loan documents, understand the timeline of the loan to help simplify for the explanation of the history of this particular instrument.

Q Well, how about what's in red? Is that yours?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And what was the basis for your concluding that the Donald J. Trump Statement of Financial Condition was not required between December 2014 and August 2020?
A My understanding of the loan documents.
Q And was that also the basis for your understanding that
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline 1 -- was that also the basis for your stating that Donald J. & 1 screen.) \\
\hline 2 Trump's Statement of Financial Condition was not required & 2 MR. AMER: Actually, if we could just flip throug \\
\hline 3 between July 2021 and October 2023? & 3 until we get to the Chicago one. \\
\hline 4 A Yes, the loan document & 4 (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was \\
\hline 5 MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and look at a document & 5 scrolled through.) \\
\hline 6 that we've & 6 (The witness was handed the exhibit.) \\
\hline 7 & MR. AMER: Go back to five. \\
\hline 8 screen.) & 8 Q Do you see, on page five, there is a compliance \\
\hline 9 MR. & 9 certificate. And that's on the Chicago loan? \\
\hline 10 (The witness was handed the exhibit.) & 10 A Yes. \\
\hline 11 Q This is an e-mail from Joshua Frank at Deutsche Bank & 11 Q We could go back. You saw that it was in respect to \\
\hline 12 that has & 12 the three loans, that included Chicago; right? \\
\hline \[
13
\] & 13 A Mm-hmm. \\
\hline 14 (Whereupon, the exhib & 14 Q Had you seen this document before preparing the chart? \\
\hline 15 screen & 15 A No. \\
\hline 16 Q You' & 16 Q And so this is a 2018 compliance certificate attaching \\
\hline 17 certificate. Do you see that? & 17 the 2018 Statement of Financial Condition. Do you see that? \\
\hline 18 A & 18 A \\
\hline 19 Q And & 19 Q And it's your understanding that this was submitted \\
\hline 20 guarantor, Donald J. Trump & 20 notwithstanding your view that there was no obligation to do so; \\
\hline A I s & 21 is that rig \\
\hline 22 Q & 22 A Correct. \\
\hline \[
23 \quad \text { A }
\] & 23 Q \\
\hline 24 MR. AMER: Can we put the side by side with the 25 demonstrative chart that we were just looking at. & \(24 \quad\) MR. AMER: Plaintiff's Exhibit 502 .
\(25 \quad\) (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
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\hline 1 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 1 screen.) \\
\hline 2 screen.) & 2 Q And this is a year later. This compliance certificate \\
\hline 3 Q You'll see that this is a compliance certificate being & 3 also for the Chicago loan; correct? \\
\hline 4 sent during the period of time where you've written in red in & 4 A Yes. \\
\hline 5 this chart that the Statement of Financial Condition was not & 5 Q Have you seen this before? \\
\hline 6 required. Do you see that? & 6 A No. \\
\hline 7 A Yes. & 7 Q This is a compliance certificate that was submitted \\
\hline 8 Q Were you aware, when you were preparing this chart, & 8 attaching the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition for Donald \\
\hline 9 that, in fact, The Trump Organization had submitted a compliance & 9 J. Trump as guarantor; right? \\
\hline 10 certificate in May 2016 that attached the 2015 Statement of & 10 A Yes. \\
\hline 11 Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump as guarantor? & 11 Q And it's your understanding that this was submitted \\
\hline 12 A Yes. & 12 notwithstanding your view that there was no obligation to do so; \\
\hline 13 Q So it's your understanding that despite your view that & 13 is that correct? \\
\hline 14 there was no obligation to provide a compliance certificate, & 14 A Correct. \\
\hline 15 that nevertheless, the company did submit a compliance & 15 MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and mark as Plaintiff's \\
\hline 16 certificate? & 16 Exhibit 5 -- I'm sorr \\
\hline 7 A & 17 Your Honor, I'm not sure that 502 was admitted into \\
\hline 18 M & 18 evidence, but if it hasn't been, I would ask that it be \\
\hline 19 Q By the way, did you ask to see any of the compliance & 19 admitted. \\
\hline 20 certificates that had been submitted during the period where you & 20 THE COURT: Granted. It's in \\
\hline 21 write in red that the statement was not required? & 21 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 502 was deemed marked and \\
\hline 22 A I did & 22 admitted in evidence.) \\
\hline 23 MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and show Plaintiff's & 23 MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and look at Plaintiff's \\
\hline 24 Exhibit 393 in & 24 Exhibit 563. \\
\hline 25 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 25 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 1 screen.) & 1 THE COURT: Okay. Hold on. \\
\hline 2 (The witness was handed the exhibit.) & 2 Mr. Kise? \\
\hline 3 (Continued on the next page.) & 3 MR. KISE: Your Honor -- \\
\hline 4 & 4 MR. AMER: Do we need to excuse the witness if \\
\hline 5 & 5 this is going to be a lengthy -- \\
\hline 6 & 6 MR. KISE: No. It is a quick question. \\
\hline 7 & 7 Turnabout is fair play, I think is the phrase. \\
\hline 8 & 8 What is the foundation about asking this witness \\
\hline 9 & 9 for this document? It is hearsay and he is reading it \\
\hline 10 & 10 into evidence between two individuals, neither of which is \\
\hline 11 & 11 the witness. I don't know what the -- he is just reading \\
\hline 12 & 12 it into evidence. It is hearsay. What is the purpose \\
\hline 13 & 13 of -- \\
\hline 14 & 14 THE COURT: Well, we didn't let him finish and \\
\hline 15 & 15 then ask questions. \\
\hline 16 & 16 MR. KISE: Maybe we should excuse the witness \\
\hline 17 & 17 then, because we kind of need to know what the question is \\
\hline 18 & 18 before he reads the entire thing into evidence. \\
\hline 19 & 19 MR. AMER: The witness has indicated he prepared \\
\hline 20 & 20 a chart that says in this timeframe no Statement of \\
\hline 21 & 21 Financial Condition was required to be submitted. And \\
\hline 22 & 22 that's his view that there was no such obligation. This \\
\hline 23 & 23 letter goes directly to that point, Your Honor. \\
\hline 24 & 24 THE COURT: Objection overruled. \\
\hline 25 & 25 MR. KISE: If he has never seen it before. \\
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\hline 1 Q You will see, Mr. Hawthorn, that this is an e-mail & 1 THE COURT: So? \\
\hline 2 from Gregory Candela to Adam Rosen dated September 23, 2022. & 2 MR. KISE: Okay. \\
\hline 3 Do you see that? & 3 THE COURT: Okay, overruled. \\
\hline 4 A Yes, I see it. & 4 If you want to continue reading, or whatever. \\
\hline \(5 \quad\) Q And this is during the period of time where you & 5 MR. AMER: I do, just the next sentence. \\
\hline 6 indicated in your chart in red that a Statement of Financial & 6 Q "The modified financial reporting you have proposed \\
\hline 7 Condition is not required, right? & 7 is not acceptable to Deutsche Bank." \\
\hline 8 A Correct. & 8 Do you see that? \\
\hline 9 Q And this is -- the subject of this is the Chicago & 9 A I see it. \\
\hline 10 loan, correct? & 10 Q Were you aware that there was a request made to \\
\hline 11 A Correct. & 11 excuse the Trump Organization from having to submit Donald J. \\
\hline 12 Q I am going to read to you from this document. Have & 12 Trump's annual Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30, \\
\hline 13 you seen this e-mail before? & 132022 during the period where you wrote in your chart that no \\
\hline 14 A No. & 14 such obligation existed? \\
\hline 15 Q "Adam, I write in response to your request, & 15 A I was aware of a disagreement between the parties on \\
\hline 16 communicated to me on September 8, that in connection with the & 16 the interpretation of the loan. \\
\hline 17 amended and restated guaranty of Donald J. Trump with respect & \(17 \quad Q \quad\) Well, this is not a disagreement, this is a request \\
\hline 18 to the outstanding loan by Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas & 18 that the bank accept something in lieu of the Statement of \\
\hline 19 to 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Deutsche Bank agree to accept, & 19 Financial Condition. And the bank says no, it is not \\
\hline 20 in lieu of the annual Statement of Financial Condition of the & 20 acceptable. Right? \\
\hline 21 guarantor as of June 30, 2022, required by section 11(i)(A) of & 21 MR. KISE: Your Honor, now he is testifying and \\
\hline 22 the guaranty to be delivered to Deutsche Bank by October 28, & 22 arguing with the witness. The witness answered his \\
\hline 23 2022, a one-page spreadsheet that shows his material assets and & 23 question. \\
\hline 24 liabilities, but does not show any valuations of real estate & 24 MR. ROBERT: I am curious if Mr. Amer is going \\
\hline \[
25 \text { assets." }
\] & 25 to show the response from Mr. Rosen to Mr. Candela. Which \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { maybe is the next document to show the witness? } \\
& \text { MR. AMER: I am going to conduct my exam the way } \\
& \text { I am going to conduct it. } \\
& \text { MR. ROBERT: For completeness, I would think you } \\
& \text { want to respond. } \\
& \text { MR. AMER: You can do redirect. } \\
& \text { THE COURT: There is a little bit of testimony } \\
& \text { in your question. Can you rephrase? } \\
& \text { MR. AMER: The witness characterized this as a } \\
& \text { disagreement. } \\
& \text { Q Where in this letter do you see a disagreement? } \\
& \text { A Not in this e-mail. } \\
& \text { Q Okay. This e-mail is a rejection of a proposal, } \\
& \text { correct? } \\
& \text { A Yes. } \\
& \text { Q And at the bottom of this e-mail, if we could go down } \\
& \text { to the bottom, it says Deutsche Bank will not agree to waive or } \\
& \text { otherwise modify the guarantor's obligation to deliver to } \\
& \text { Deutsche Bank no later than October } 28,2022, \text { his annual } \\
& \text { Statement of Financial Condition (as defined in the guaranty) } \\
& \text { as of June } 30,2022 \text {. } \\
& \text { Do you see that? } \\
& \text { A I see it. } \\
& \text { Q Were you aware in September 2022 that Deutsche Bank's } \\
& \text { view was that the guarantor had an obligation to deliver Donald }
\end{aligned}
\]
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J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
Q And your view is that no such obligation existed; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And your view is based on the loan documentation that is the same documentation that Deutsche Bank is looking at when they write this e-mail, correct?

\section*{A Yes.}

THE COURT: Well, he wouldn't necessarily know
what Deutsche Bank was looking at. But I understand.
Q It is the same loan documentation that Deutsche Bank had in its files. Right?

THE COURT: He doesn't know what they looked at. But you could -- we will take the question to mean, did they have the same information that the witness looked at. And his answer is yes.

THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.
MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit Plaintiffs Exhibit 563.

THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
MR. ROBERT: Same objection.
THE COURT: Overruled.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 563 by the

Court reporter.)
Q Let's go ahead and look at Plaintiffs Exhibit 562. THE COURT: Mr. Kise?
MR. KISE: Your Honor, this e-mail that he is moving into evidence, the one between Candela and Rosen, I mean, it is one thing to question him about it for impeachment, but to move it into evidence substantively without any foundation, that's quite a different matter altogether. What is the foundation? This isn't between either of the recipients -- the recipient or the sender. And it is being offered to prove what, the truth of the matter asserted? It is a hearsay document.

THE COURT: The credibility of the witness. MR. KISE: That's impeachment.
THE COURT: The correctness of the witness.
MR. KISE: That's impeachment, not substantive evidence. I don't see how this comes in as substantive evidence. It is hearsay.

MR. AMER: We can limit it for purposes of notice, Your Honor, to Adam Rosen of the Trump Organization.

THE COURT: Admitted as evidence of notice?
MR. AMER: Notice of the contents -- notice of the contents of the letter, the e-mail which is Deutsche Bank's position. Notice to Adam Rosen of Deutsche Bank's
position that they were not accepting the proposal.
THE COURT: Sounds good to me. Seems to be an important part of this case.

MR. KISE: Notice of Deutsche Bank's position? THE COURT: Right.
MR. KISE: Same objection.
Q This is another e-mail from Mr. Candela to Mr. Rosen. This one dated a month later in October of 2022. This is still during the period of time where you have written in red that there was no obligation to submit a compliance certificate, correct?

A Yes.
Q Have you seen this e-mail before?
A No.
Q And in the second paragraph, which I'll just read to you, Mr. Candela writes to Mr. Rosen at the Trump Organization:

Having considered the request, Deutsche Bank is willing to agree to extend the guarantor's time by which to deliver the three financial reports specified above by from October 28 to December 31 on the condition that:

And then little (ii): The guarantor timely delivers his annual certificate of compliance required by section 11 (i)(D) of the guaranty by the due date of October 28. Do you see that?
A Yes.
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Q Were you aware when you were preparing your chart indicating there was no obligation for the guarantor to provide a Statement of Financial Condition, that the bank's view was that the extension -- that an extension would be granted to December 31 on the condition that the guarantor timely delivers his annual certificate of compliance?

A I am sorry, that was a long question, you lost me. THE COURT: Do you want a readback? MR. AMER: If I could get a readback. THE COURT: Read back, please. (Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
A I was probably not aware, no.
Q Would you agree that the bank's position as set forth in this e-mail is inconsistent with your view that there was no obligation to provide a Statement of Financial Condition for the guarantor in this time period?

\section*{A Correct.}

Q And if you look further down in this e-mail,
Mr. Candela writes: For your awareness and in considering the request and proposing the agreement described above, Deutsche Bank considered various factors, including but not limited to the following:

And then I want to mention the second bullet, which says:

By operation of the guaranty, because the loan-to-value ratio produced by last year's appraisal was less than 35 percent, the step-down percentage of the guaranty is currently zero percent.

Do you understand that to be a confirmation that the guaranty has burned off?

A Correct.
Q And so notwithstanding the fact that the guaranty has burned off, Deutsche Bank is still insisting that the guarantor must deliver Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition as part of a certificate of compliance, correct?

A That's what this e-mail says.
Q And the next bullet says:
Also by operation of the guaranty, because the step-down percentage of the guaranty is currently zero percent, the guarantor is not currently subject to a minimum net worth requirement.

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And can we agree that notwithstanding the fact that there is no minimum net worth requirement, Deutsche Bank is still insisting that Donald J. Trump submit a certificate of compliance with his Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
Q So, would you agree that Deutsche Bank views the
guarantor as being under an obligation to submit a certificate of compliance with Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition, notwithstanding the fact that the guaranty has burned down to zero and there is no net worth requirement?

A I don't know if this is the only e-mail related to the exchange, so I don't know if this was the final conclusion. Again, there is likely responses from Mr. Rosen that iterate our position as a company, so I can't say if this was the final determination or not. This is just one e-mail.

Q Well, can we agree that based on this e-mail that is what the bank's position is?

A As of the date of this e-mail and as of the time of this e-mail, yes.

MR. AMER: Your Honor, I move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 562 for notice purpose.

THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 562 by the Court.)
Q I want to show you Defendant's Exhibit 1047 which you were asked about on direct.

I believe Mr. Hawthorn, your testimony was that you prepared this compliance certificate, right?

A Yes.
Q And I think you said that in order to prepare this
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you had to review the borrowing entity's compilation statement and the numbers in that statement; is that right?

A Yes.
Q And is that what you believe you were required to do as the person preparing this compliance certificate?

A Yes.
Q And am I correct that you were never asked by anyone to prepare the compliance certificate for any year for the guarantor on the loans?

A Correct.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and pull up demonstrative DD-3.
Q And this is a chart you prepared relating to the Doral loan?

A Yes.
(Handing)
Q Did you receive any assistance preparing this, other than assistance in formatting?
A Mostly my work.
Q Well, you say "mostly," is there work that isn't yours?

A Um, no. Again, it was probably in consultation with in-house counsel.

Q And am I correct that you are not suggesting on this chart that there was ever a point in the life of this loan
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r}
\hline Hawthorn - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) & Page 5272 & Haw \\
1 & where the guarantor ceased to have an obligation to submit a & 1 \\
2 & compliance certificate with Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial & 2 \\
3 & Condition? & 3 \\
4 & A & I am sorry, could you say it one more time? \\
5 & Q & 4 \\
6 & Sure. I'll rephrase it. & 5 \\
7 & life of this loan where the guarantor ceased to have an & 7 \\
8 & obligation to submit a compliance certificate attaching & 8 \\
9 & Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition? & 9 \\
10 & A No, I am not suggesting that. & 10 \\
11 & Q Mr. Hawthorn, you also testified about your role in & 11 \\
12 & liaising with the monitor; do you recall that? & 12 \\
13 & A \(\quad\) Yes. & 13 \\
14 & Q And you characterized the relationship with the & 14 \\
15 & monitor in various ways. I would like to share with you some & 15 \\
16 & portion of the Judge's summary judgment decision discussing the & 16 \\
17 & monitor's reporting, and ask you if you are familiar with it. & 17 \\
18 & MR. AMER: If we could pull up the Court's & 18 \\
19 & decision at page 33? & 19 \\
20 & Q First of all, have you read the Court's summary & 20 \\
21 & judgment decision in this case? & 21 \\
22 & A Not wholly, but the section you have there I am & 22 \\
23 & familiar with. & 23 \\
24 & Q And the decision states on August 3, 2023 Judge Jones & 24 \\
25 & reported as follows: & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Since my appointment, I have reviewed material, financial and accounting information submitted by the Trump Organization. As part of my review, I have made preliminary observations regarding certain current financial disclosures with respect to the Trump Organization's reporting of financial information.

Specifically, I have observed that information regarding certain are material liabilities provided to lenders -- such as intercompany loans between or among Trust entities and Donald J. Trump, certain of the Trust's contingent liabilities, as well as refundable golf club membership deposits -- has been incomplete.

Were you aware that Judge Jones had issued a report indicating that an aspect of the organization's reporting of financial information has been incomplete?

A Yes, we are aware of this letter.
Q I was asking more if you were aware of the specific statement conclusion that Judge Jones reached that certain reporting had been incomplete?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q She goes on to -- Judge Jones goes on to say the Trust has also not consistently provided all required annual and quarterly certifications attesting to the accuracy of certain financial statements.

Were you aware that Judge Jones reported that?

1 A Yes
Q And she -- and Judge Jones finally states:
In addition, annual audited financial statements for certain entities prepared by an external accounting firm list depreciation expenses. However, interim internally prepared financial statements provided to third parties for these same entities inconsistently report depreciation expenses.

Were you aware that Judge Jones had identified such inconsistencies?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: That's all I have, Your Honor. THE COURT: Any redirect?
MR. ROBERT: We have some, but we will need to print a few documents we would need to use. I ask if we can take our afternoon break a few minutes earlier and then resume

THE COURT: Okay. All right, 3:25.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Can we discuss scheduling, particularly Friday of this week and next week, the following week.

I'll ask defendants in the first instance what
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they are intending.
MR. KISE: I think, Judge, the witnesses
identified for this week will take us through Friday, the ones that we already have, the Deutsche Bank witnesses.

THE COURT: Let's go over who they are so we are sure we are on the same page.

MR. KISE: Williams, Sullivan, Pereless. Well, Mr. Birney will probably spill over until tomorrow, some tomorrow. And then we have, I think, Williams, Sullivan, Pereless, Bravlik and then Unell, who is the banking expert.

We will start -- we may not be done with
Ms. Bravlik until Thursday morning, so I think, you know, the plan anyway, is that Unell will take us through Friday.

THE COURT: I'll turn the microphone over to my person who sits alongside of me.

MS. GREENFIELD: Just in case, can we have another witness for Friday? Who were you planning to call next Monday? Can they be available just in case we finish that witness early?

MR. KISE: So Mr. Chin is in another trial on Friday, who is called for Monday.

MS. GREENFIELD: Who do we have for Monday? MR. KISE: Monday is Fred Chin.
\begin{tabular}{|cc|c}
\hline Hawthom - by Defendant - Cross (Amer) & Page 5276 & Hawt \\
1 & THE COURT: And you expect that to be an entire & 1 \\
2 & day. & 2 \\
3 & MR. KISE: That one, yes, he will be. And then & 3 \\
4 & Moens, Schubin, on Tuesday. & 4 \\
5 & MS. GREENFIELD: I am sorry, can you spell & 5 \\
6 & those? & 6 \\
7 & MR. KISE: M-O-E-N-S, Lawrence Moens. & 7 \\
8 & And then John Schubin S-C-H-U-B-I-N. & 8 \\
9 & And then he will continue over a little bit on & 9 \\
10 & Wednesday. And then we will have Eric Trump on Wednesday & 10 \\
11 & the 6th, either late morning or early afternoon. And then & 11 \\
12 & Elie Bartov B-A-R-T-O-V will be the Thursday and over into & 12 \\
13 & Friday. & 13 \\
14 & MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. And then who else? & 14 \\
15 & MR. KISE: And then the Monday will be President & 15 \\
16 & Trump, the 11 th, at least that's the plan. I don't have & 16 \\
17 & final confirmation that's his schedule, but I think we can & 17 \\
18 & make that work. & 18 \\
19 & THE COURT: And that's your final witness? & 19 \\
20 & MR. KISE: Right. So there may be, kind of like & 20 \\
21 & we had with the plaintiffs case, there may be a little & 21 \\
22 & gap between when Bartov is done and Trump takes the stand, & 22 \\
23 & because I don't know that we can get him here on the 8th. & 23 \\
24 & Fridays and Saturdays tend to be pretty challenging. & 24 \\
25 & THE COURT: Okay. & 25 \\
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{REDIRECT EXAMINATION \\ BY MR. ROBERT:}

Q Mr. Hawthorn, when Mr. Amer was questioning you he asked you some questions regarding the analytical procedures used for a compilation report; do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q And you testified that there was no testing involved, correct?

A Yes.
Q What, if in any way, does GAAP affect the procedures to follow for a compilation?

A GAAP is still to be followed and also complied with.
Q You were also asked a series of questions about the loan for Chicago; do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q And Mr. Amer showed you some e-mails that set forth Deutsche Bank's position; do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q After that exchange of e-mails in October of 2022, did the Trump Organization ever submit a Statement of Financial Condition to Deutsche Bank?

A No.
Q And did the bank ever send a default notice to the Trump Organization after October of 2022?

A No.

Q And ultimately that loan was paid off, correct?
A Correct.
Q Mr. Amer also was questioning you about portions of Justice Engoron's summary judgment decision; do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q And specifically he was asking you questions related to Justice Engoron quoting from Barbara Jones' August 3, 2023 letter; do you remember that?

\section*{A Yes.}

MR. ROBERT: I would like to present to the witness Defendant's Exhibit 1057 for identification. (Handing)
Q Mr. Hawthorn, what do you recognize this document to be?

A This is a letter from Judge Jones on Bracewell letterhead updating the Court on the status of the monitorship.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I'll represent that this is filed on the public docket as NYSCEF 647. And I ask that this document be introduced into evidence as
Defense Exhibit 1057.
MR. AMER: No objection.
THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed
marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 1057 by
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\section*{the Court.)}

Q Mr. Hawthorn, I am going to draw your attention to page two of this letter.

MR. ROBERT: If you could put it up on the
screen, Nate, as well?
Q And I start by drawing your attention to the first paragraph. Do you see that, sir?

A Yes.
Q Let's go through the first paragraph. What does the first sentence says?

A As noted above, since my appointment I have reviewed material, financial and accounting information submitted by the Trump Organization.

Q Continue, sir.
A As part of my review I have made preliminary observations regarding certain -- certain financial disclosures with respect to the Trump's organizations reporting of financial information.

Q Continue.
A Specifically, I have observed that information regarding certain material liabilities provided to lenders -such as intercompany loans between or among Trust entities and Donald J. Trump, certain of the Trust's contingent liabilities, as well as refundable golf club membership deposits -- has been incomplete.

Q Do you have an understanding of what Judge Jones is saying in that last sentence?

A Ido.
Q What is that?
A During the course of the monitorship, as I mentioned, we had a lot of discourse between the monitor of what they observed and what our response to those observations were. So, ten months into the monitorship we were advised by the monitor's representatives that they would be advising the Court of some updates. They provided to us in a meeting certain of those matters for discussion, with which we provided responses.

Specifically to the matters that are raised here, that's what this is talking about.

Q And, sir, was the information incomplete?
A It is our view that it was not because of our response to the information. Specifically, for each point noted here, the observation of information regarding certain material provided to lenders, as you know the company no longer prepares a Statement of Financial Condition. It is not required by any lender. The company simply prepares a statement of assets, material assets and material liabilities to lenders. So one of the lenders, for example, today, that has the Doral loan, receives that information.

Obviously because it is being provided to a lender it is provided to the monitor. And the monitor had some questions
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about it. One of the questions arose relating to one of our Scotland entities. So if you remember, we have a hotel in Scotland, Trump Turnberry. We also have Trump Aberdeen. In connection with the monitor's also review of those financial statements, because they review all of the financial statements, they identified to us and had questions about what was disclosed in those financial statements about an intercompany loan to the Trust.

Our response was, yes, that exists because the company upon purchasing the Trump Turnberry asset in 2014 effectively loaned money to the Scotland entity, was set up as a shareholder loan, and therefore that entity owes back money to the Trust, to the parent entity.

This is what is referred to as an intercompany loan. It is not a loan to a third party. It is not a loan that is callable accept by the owner of the loan, which is the same party.

So, the monitor's observation to us was, your statement of liabilities doesn't include this loan. Why does it not?

And our response was, well, if you are going to put the loan as a liability, you also have to put the asset, the receivable as well, because the Trust is owed that money from itself, effectively.

They asked why was that information not included if
you are submitting this to lenders. And our response is, it is not something a lender would be concerned about because it is not to a third party, it is to yourself. So in our view the statement that we provided and continue to provide, is completely accurate and completely transparent.

However, they had -- even though no lender had asked us to make a change about it, the monitor said, would you take our question under advisement and perhaps going forward you could make a footnote on the schedule identifying that this is an intercompany loan. So that's what this item is related to. It is simply a loan within the Trust, not owed to a third party.

So for someone to say it is incomplete, we actually disagree with that because it is not something a lender would be interested in. It is not an obligation outside of yourself. If you are going to put a loan, you also have to put the receivable. We didn't think it made sense to gross up assets and liabilities. Rather, just if you want us to disclose it as a footnote, we will. So prospectively we have done that. We have made that revision.
(Whereupon, the following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

Q We now go on Mr. Hawthorn, to the last sentence of this paragraph. Can you read that?
A Sorry, the other comment in the sentence says "that certain contingent liabilities, as well as refundable golf club membership deposits has been incomplete. We were also aware that the statement of material liabilities" --

MR. AMER: I'm sorry, your Honor, I don't think this responds to the question. I'm not sure.

MR. ROBERT: I told him to finish the sentence.
MR. AMER: I thought you asked him to read the next sentence.

MR. ROBERT: I apologize if I said the next sentence.
A There's two items noted in that sentence I want to make sure I address it for completeness. The monitor asked us why certain membership deposits at golf clubs would not be reflected in the statement of material liabilities. The only reason that the monitor observed these particular liabilities is that they inquired of us for any and all financial reporting.

So when I made a statement before about a mutual understanding, it was a mutual understanding about materiality. At the onset of the monitorship, we were being as diligent and forthright as we could, disclosing everything we possibly could. The order said provide sales tax returns, financial information to any party, and so we did that. Included in some

1 of those submissions in January and February of 2023, included certain balance sheet information and income statement information of our golf courses to, um, leasing companies for golf course maintenance equipment. Certainly not material things in the scope of the company at large, but because it was going to a leasing company, we wanted to make sure the monitor saw that. Included on those balance sheets for those individual, um, golf clubs includes a liability for refundable membership deposits.

Most of those deposits come about when The Trump Organization acquired a club in the past, and the policy of the club was that those membership deposits for people who joined the club were refundable. So therefore it's a liability on your balance sheet.

Um, the reality is prospectively, and now, the company doesn't have that policy. It doesn't have refundable membership deposits. If someone wants to join the club, they have to pay the cost to join the club now, and those deposits are generally non-refundable.

Now, the monitor identified, well, okay certain of these golf clubs that you are giving to a golf course maintenance leasing company shows these liabilities, why wouldn't you have that on the statement of material assets and liabilities to the main lender, and why would it not be disclosed.
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And our response is, they are not included on there because if you included those liabilities, there's actually a greater asset value associated with them. If those members leave, a new member would have to join. You know, for a new member to join, those members leave, and you would get a much greater value from the new membership coming in. So from our perspective and our disclosure to the monitor, by not including those liabilities, we are actually being conservative, otherwise we will have to put a much larger asset value offsetting those liabilities, if that makes sense.

THE COURT: I follow that. What if they couldn't find somebody to become a new member?

THE WITNESS: That's a possibility. But, um, in our experience, membership changes very frequently. Certain clubs, there are waiting lists to join membership. So in most instances people are waiting to join, for old members to leave for new members to come in.

Nonetheless, the statement of assets and liabilities has a footnote that literally says, um, certain contingent liabilities may be excluded. And, again, the unfortunate thing about this disclosure doesn't mention anything about materiality. In my experience, as an auditor and public accounting, like none of these items are material to the organization's financial representation as a whole, if you are talking about these types of liabilities.

THE COURT: How much was the average membership back then?

THE WITNESS: Um, it's hard to say. It varies by club.

THE COURT: Several hundred thousand dollars? THE WITNESS: Sure.
THE COURT: Where are we drawing the line on materiality?

THE WITNESS: We are talking about billions of dollars of asset value, and liability value of much less than that.

THE COURT: All right. Just continue.
Q Fair to say, Mr. Hawthorn, that you respectfully disagree with the statement that it's incomplete?

A We explained to the monitor, we don't think it's incomplete. We think it's accurate. In working with the monitor in good faith, we understood their requests. We understood that even though the lender asked for us to include this or has a concern with this, we would take their advice and prospectively take the footnote and make it clear.
Q Let's go down to the last sentence in this paragraph. If you could read that.
A "The trust also has not consistently provided all required annual and quarterly certifications attesting to the accuracy of certain financial statements."
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Q What is your view as to that statement, sir?
A Again, all of the multitude of financial statements, quarterly compliance, annual compliance certificates that the monitor reviewed, they identified that for a handful of them, and those would relate to the commercial properties we talked about earlier, like Trump Plaza, Trump International Commercial, that historically, if you look at the actual loan document, which was written many years ago, the financial reporting section says the submission of these financials should also be accompanied by a certification signed, right. And if you look going back to the inception of these loans, every time it was submitted by the company, there was no manual signature on the paper that it was scanned and delivered to the lender.

Our response was, well, we understand that that's not technically what the loan document says, but the bank has never asked us to sign it over many years, so the practice has always just continued. Um, and frankly when you submit something on its face to a lender, you are already de facto, you know, disclaiming the accuracy of it saying these are the statements that you need. However, we said, "No problem. Going forward, if you would like to add a signature line and someone to physically sign the statement, we will do that." And we did that going forward.

So, again, I get to say that something relating to a statement --

THE COURT REPORTER: Im sorry, something relating --
A To say that something is incomplete is very broad and general, but I think the specificity of it, again, in my experience, this is nothing adversely material. This is nothing misleading. This is nothing with an intent to defraud. These are all observations that we discussed with the monitor when they were advising of us these points.

Q I move to the next paragraph, Mr. Hawthorn.
MR. ROBERT: If we could bring that up on the screen.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A So this says, "In addition, annual audited financial statements for certain entities, prepared by an external accounting firm, list depreciation expenses. However, interim internally prepared financial statements provided to third parties for these same entities inconsistently report depreciation expenses."

Again, this arose from the same disclosure of financial information sent to a golf course maintenance lender, back in January and February, that even if we were to do it today, it may not even meet the materiality threshold. But nonetheless, those statements, if you are signing up to lease golf course maintenance equipment like mowers and blowers and things like
. Hawthom - by Defense - Redirect (Mr. Robert)
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that, the leasing company wants to understand that you have available cash flow and available financial wherewithal when you submit your financial statements.

They don't require audited financial statements, which would be in accordance with GAAP and have noncash charges, such as depreciation expense. So we simply provide internally prepared management financial expense, revenue, expected net operating income. On those statements, what they observed, and what we agreed with, is that the depreciation line was blank and it was zero.

And our response was, at the time these were needed to be prepared, depreciation expense was not yet finalized. Depreciation expense for these entities is usually done on an annual basis at the end of the period, because these entities don't have audited financial statements. They only are needed for tax returns and other purposes for filing taxes, and therefore the accounting firm, Whitley Penn, Mazars, previously, would do that calculation on behalf of the company at the end of the year.

So our point was, yes, we agree with that statement, and, again, our response was, number one, it's okay, because it's an internal statement. It's not intended to be an audited statement.

And number two, the receiving party doesn't really care about depreciation because it's a noncash charge. It doesn't
impact a lender's analysis if you have cash flow to service a lease.

So we did have an internal conference call with the monitor in August, or probably in July of 2023 as they reviewed these points with us. They were, again, cordial, they were explaining to us. We explained our responses very clearly. Um, they did give us a draft of this before it went out. We did strongly disagree with their draft because of the way it was worded. It's very, you know, it's very vague and doesn't give materiality. So I think to someone reading it without the context might assume certain conclusions. But, again, with me providing some specificity over these things being not adversely material to the company, and our responses to them being, you know, what we believed to be accurate, I just wanted to clarify that point.

Q For this last paragraph we just read are you telling us that those third parties are literally the golf course maintenance contracts for the plow and the lawn mower?

A Correct.
Q Let's go to the next paragraph, Mr. Hawthorn.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q If you could read that, please.
A "The defendants maintain that its practices related to these items are adequate. However, in the interest of
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cooperation and transparency, defendants have agreed to address, in future disclosures to lenders, the items I have identified, and otherwise adjust their practices based upon my observations. The Trump Organization will continue to inform the monitor regarding the form and substance of these disclosures."

Q And is this paragraph consistent with the conversations that you had with the monitor at or around the time of this letter?
A It is consistent. Again, these are observations that they identified to us. We provided our responses that we believed everything was adequate, correct, materially correct.
But also in good faith working with the monitor, we are happy to amend certain things to satisfy their observations. Even if lenders didn't ask us, we'll go ahead and do that.

Q Mr. Hawthorn, I'm going to draw your attention to the last paragraph and ask you to read the first sentence?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A "Based upon the foregoing, and having carefully reviewed the information provided to me, it appears that the
defendants continue to cooperate with me and the requirements of the Court's orders."
Q Sir, at any time did the monitor accuse The Trump Organization of disseminating false and misleading information? A No.

MR. ROBERT: I have no further questions, your Honor. Thank you.

\section*{THE COURT: Any recross?}

MR. AMER: Just a few questions. Can I do it from here?

THE COURT: Sure.

\section*{RECROSS EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. AMER:}

Q Mr. Hawthorn, am I correct that you had no role or responsibility with respect to golf courses that were just golf courses?

A Now I do, in some capacity, but not previously, because the golf courses are part of the chart of accounts conversion project, for example.

Q And prior to that point in time when you would have had some responsibility for just the golf courses, you wouldn't have had any involvement in the membership deposit - the refundable deposit membership liabilities?

A I would have with respect to the hotels that have that component. So, for example, Doral has that component.

Q My question was golf courses that are just golf courses.

A Oh, correct.
Q And all of the explanations that you've given on redirect were all things that you told to Judge Jones her staff;
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correct?
A Yes.
Q And those were all things that you told Judge Jones and her staff before she finalized the letter that was then quoted by the Judge in his decision; correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: That's all I have, your Honor. THE COURT: Any re-redirect?
MR. ROBERT: Nothing further, your Honor, except I would renew our request, and we'll brief it for you, about our ability to call Judge Jones, because I think the Attorney General just reopened the door asking about the conversations and what she was aware of at the time the letter was written.

Apparently the Attorney General is not accepting the language in that letter to not be critical of The Trump Organization, notwithstanding the language at the end that says that "they cooperated with me and the requirements of this Court's orders." So clearly the government is of the view that somehow this letter says what it doesn't say.

So, therefore, we would renew our application to have Judge Jones testify to either explain what she meant by this, because right now there is clearly a disputed issue between us and the government as to what it means.

THE COURT: Do you want to call -- let them call

\section*{Judge Jones?}

MR. AMER: No. And we think your Honor is correct, that the case law does not permit it. I would also say that the point of sharing the portion of the decision that discusses Judge Jones's observations was to contrast that with what this witness characterized as the relationship between The Organization and Judge Jones and her staff, which I think is inconsistent -- we would submit is inconsistent with what the Judge's observations were in her August 3rd letter.

MR. ROBERT: We would respectfully disagree, because it was this Court that used the words "fraud" and "misleading information" accusing The Trump Organization of engaging in that. Those words appear nowhere in Judge Jones' report. They appear nowhere in the earlier reports submitted to Court. It was never a word or a concept that was ever a disseminated to this witness, or anyone else involved in the monitorship process, whether by Judge Jones, the accountants she retained, or anyone else.
Notwithstanding the hundreds of thousands of dollars that have been paid to the monitor and the accountant, which is evidence of their due diligence of combing through voluminous financial records, and there has been no evidence of any false or misleading information.

THE COURT: Well, go ahead.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, and again, we'll brief this, but just as an aside, in Bankruptcy Court, monitors are called examiners, they routinely testify. In SEC receivership proceedings, the examiner or the receiver routinely testifies, they're fiduciaries.

THE COURT: That's receiver, not monitor; right?
MR. KISE: But it's the same concept. It's the exact same concept. The fact that they are arms of the court and have fiduciary responsibilities does not in any shape, form or fashion preclude them from testifying.

Additionally, a monitor can't communicate through a report, because the report by itself is hearsay. The report, itself, is hearsay. You can't take a report and use that as truth of the matter asserted. The report, itself, is hearsay.

As you could see the challenges that arise from doing that, without the monitor here to testify, I mean, they obviously have a very different view of what that letter says than we do. They have opened the door by asking this witness direct questions. I mean, they were cute. They took your quoting of the letter, but it's the same principle. So they -- what the monitor thinks is clearly and squarely at issue with respect to equitable relief that this Court is considering.

If the monitor is going to come in here, which I'm
virtually certain she will, and say what it doesn't say in that letter; there is no fraud. There's no -- hasn't been any indicia of fraud. There hasn't been any ongoing indicia of inappropriate activity. We are talking about, as this witness just testified, minor accounting discrepancies, all of which occur in a major corporation all the time.

So we need the monitor here to be able to, at least present live testimony that can be considered, not hearsay, in the record, as to whether or not there needs to be some equitable relief to address things that we think do not exist. We think she will say they don't exist, but the government is clearly pounding the table saying, "No, no, no. This is a fraudulent enterprise. We have to shut it down and put them out of business." When you have a monitor that's been in place for now 14 or 15 months and hasn't uncovered any evidence of that, has a cooperative relationship with the company, and clearly has confidence that what the company is doing now is fine.

The idea that the government could even assert that somehow we need to put these people out of business and shut them down seems a nonstarter. It's almost as much as a nonstarter as the whole certification roundabout we went through, even when the guaranty is zero and there is no net worth requirement, somehow or other there is harm if there's a problem with the certification.

But, in any event, I don't see how monitor testimony is not squarely and highly relevant here. And there is nothing to preclude the monitor from testifying simply because she's got fiduciary obligations to the Court. She is an independent monitor, just like in a Bankruptcy Court, an independent examiner; just like in an SEC proceeding, they are all the same.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Kise, I won't even ask you, I'll just suggest that every time you want to speak about a particular issue that maybe you don't have to argue the whole case.

MR. KISE: I feel like I have to, because we don't seem to be getting anywhere. We don't seem to be communicating at all. We have evidence that comes in after -- witness after witness after witness, there's no problem. There's no -- even today with the certifications, okay. So even assuming they are right, there is a problem with the certification, how does that go to equitable relief when this is no guaranty. It's zero.

The document that they put into evidence says that the guaranty was zero or 10 percent. Has there ever been any allegation that the net worth of Donald Trump was below \(\$ 250\) million? No. So all we are doing is having this sort of circuitous discussion about them jumping up and down saying, "There's fraud. There's fraud. There's fraud."
he should be in his little anteroom in the Judge's chambers, and I told Ms. Hernandez to watch the proceedings so she knows when to come in.

MR. WALLACE: Ms. Hernandez is a lawyer on the defendant's team, and if she's watching the case, that is different than a fact witness.

THE COURT: All right. So we are good on that.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you for the clarification.
THE COURT: Quick front bar.
(Whereupon, there is a discussion held off the record, at the bench, among the Court and all Counsel.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Is the court ready for the witness?

THE COURT: We're ready.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Good afternoon, your Honor.
THE COURT: Good afternoon.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. (The witness complied.)
THE COURT OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
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PATRICK BIRNE Y, called by and on behalf of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. State your
full name and home or business address for the record.
THE WITNESS: Patrick Birney, 725 Fifth Avenue,
New York, New York 10022.
THE COURT: Okay. Please proceed.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, your Honor.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MS. HERNANDEZ:
Q Mr. Birney, what is your educational background after high school?

A I earned a degree in economics from the University of Michigan.

Q Where did you work after college?
A AON Risk Solutions.
Q What was your position at AON?
A Account specialist.
Q What were your responsibilities as an accountant specialist?

A I worked on an account management and sales team. Um, I had a lot of clients who I worked on their renewals for schedules of insurance, renewal exposures, auto ID cards, invoicing, et cetera.
\begin{tabular}{|r|l|l|}
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1 & Q & And where did you work after you left AON? \\
2 & A & The Trump Organization. \\
3 & Q & What was your title when you began at The Trump \\
4 & Organization? \\
5 & A & Senior financial analyst. \\
6 & Q & What were your responsibilities as a senior financial \\
7 & analyst? \\
8 & A & Um, I worked on the insurance renewals and the, um, \\
9 & golf course financials and operations. \\
10 & Q And what year did you start working at The Trump \\
11 & Organization? \\
12 & A & 2015. \\
13 & Q & So after senior financial analyst, what was the next \\
14 & position you held at The Trump Organization? \\
15 & A & Associate. \\
16 & Q & And what were your responsibilities as an associate? \\
17 & A & Similar responsibilities, worked on insurance and the \\
18 & golf course financials. I worked on other projects. I did a \\
19 & lot. & \\
20 & Q & And what position did you hold after associate? \\
21 & A & Assistant vice president of financial operations. \\
22 & Q & And what were your responsibilities as an assistant \\
23 & vice president? \\
24 & A & Similar, similar responsibilities; insurance, golf \\
25 & courses. I do a lot of things for the company.
\end{tabular}
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1 Q And what position did you hold after assistant vice president?

A Vice president financial operations.
Q And what are your responsibilities as vice president?
5 A Same thing.
6 Q And when did you get that title?
7 A About a year ago.
8 Q Is that your current title at The Trump Organization?
9 A Yes.
10 Q When did you become involved in the preparation of
President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?
A 2016.
Q Why did you become involved?
A Um, because Jeff McConney told me I'm going to work on something.

Q And what years were you involved in working on the Statements of Financial Condition?

A 2016 through 2021.
Q And in the first year that you were working on it in 2016, what did Jeff McConney explain to you about the process to prepare the Statements of Financial Condition?

A I think he told me that I was going to work on something, um, and he e-mailed me the supporting data spreadsheet for the Statement of Financial Condition. Um, I don't know how much detail he gave in the initial briefing, um,
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but I went to him for any question that I had at that point.
Q So throughout the preparation of the 2016 statement,
what was the interface between you and Mr. McConney as you got used to this new role?

A I worked with Jeff a lot in 2016. Any question that I had, anything I had to gather, I went to him.

Q And what was your role in preparing these Statements of Financial Condition?

A I gathered information and inputted it into multiple spreadsheets.
Q What spreadsheets were those?
A The, um, supporting data spreadsheet. Um, there was a loan spreadsheet, um, escrow spreadsheet, a cash spreadsheet. I think there was a fifth spreadsheet, um, and then any of the
backup information that -- that was used for those spreadsheets.
Q Okay.
And you mentioned the support data spreadsheet, what was your intention in maintaining and updating that support data spreadsheet?

A Can you repeat the question, please.
Q Sure. What was your intention when you were maintaining and updating the support data spreadsheet for the Statement of Financial Condition?

A So every new year, um, I would just copy and paste the spreadsheet from the year before so that it would have one year
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was the previous year and one year was the current year. And then I would update those numbers and that information for the current year.

Q And who did you intend to look at the supporting data spreadsheet?

A Anybody who worked on the spreadsheet. Um, Jeff
McConney, Allen Weisselberg, ultimately, the accounting firm.
Q And what did you expect them to learn by looking through the spreadsheet?

A How assets were valued.
Q And did you intend to be accurate when maintaining and updating the spreadsheet?

A Yes.
(Continued on the next page.)
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\section*{would be sent to Mazars.}

Mazars would call with questions, reach out to us with questions. There was back and forth in that final month, generally.

Q Thank you. And who at Mazars would you normally speak to about the statement?

A Donald Bender and Jenn Safron.
Q So you mentioned follow-up questions Mazars would ask. Did they ever ask you about appraisals that were not utilized in the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Not that I can recall.
Q And did they ever ask you to change the methodology that a property was valued by?

A Not that I can recall.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. So \(I\) am going to pull up what has already been admitted into evidence as PX758.
Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Birney?
A Yes.
Q What is it?
A It is a version of the Statement of Financial Condition supporting data spreadsheet for 2017.

Q And does this appear to be the final version for 2017?

A I don't know that.
Q Do you see in column E? What would those numbers
indicate there?
A I believe those numbers are from Mazars.
Q So would this appear to be the spreadsheet that Mazars checked for 2017?

MR. HAREN: Objection as to "checked."
THE COURT: I am sorry.
MR. HAREN: Objection as to the word "checked."
THE COURT: Do you have a different word?
MR. HAREN: I think she is trying to establish whether this was or was not the final version and whether the numbers on the spreadsheet indicate that Mazars processed -- processed it in some way.

But whether Mazars did some kind of process that resulted in those numbers is not the same as Mazars checking the numbers, so.

MS. HERNANDEZ: I am happy to change it to processed.
Q Does this appear to be the spreadsheet that Mazars processed?

A It appears to be a spreadsheet that -- a spreadsheet that Mazars processed.

Q Okay. And so I am going to take you to row 30 of the spreadsheet.

Can you tell me a little bit about Trump Tower?
A As it relates to the spreadsheet?
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Q Sure. Just in general, a little bit about the property.

A Sure. Trump Tower is a commercial and residential real estate asset. It is a condominium for residential, and then it also has office space and retail space.

Q And how was Trump Tower valued in 2017?
THE WITNESS: Can you scroll down slightly, please?
(The image on the screen was scrolled)
A It was valued by dividing net operating income into a cap rate.

Q And how would you have gathered the information used to value Trump Tower in \(2017 ?\)

THE WITNESS: Can you scroll up just slightly, please?
(The document on the screen was scrolled.)
A I would have asked Jeff McConney for the
December 2016 financial statement for Trump Tower. And then I probably would have asked Jeff for everything else that is here, unless it was already in the backup from the previous year's SOFC backup.

Q Would you look at the previous year's SOFC in compiling a new year's information?

MR. WALLACE: Objection to the aspect of
"would," as opposed to whether the witness did something.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
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\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Birmey - by Defendant - Direct (Hemandez)} \\
\hline & THE COURT: Let's start with did, rather than & 1 & December 31, 2016 for Trump \\
\hline 2 & d. & 2 & Q And why would you h \\
\hline 3 & Q Did you look at the previous year's Statement of & 3 & A Because it is the backup \\
\hline & Financial Condition? & 4 & mbers that were used on the su \\
\hline & MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading & 5 & \(Q\) And who would have d \\
\hline 6 & S. HERNANDEZ: You tricked me there, Kevin. & 6 & middle of the document? \\
\hline 7 & is leading, sorry. We led you & 7 & A I think I did. \\
\hline 8 & the wrong pa & 8 & Q And why would you \\
\hline 9 & MS. HERNANDE & 9 & A To indicate the calcul \\
\hline 10 & Q How did the previous year's Statement of Financial & 10 & Q And what calculation \\
\hline & ondition play into the process for creating or maintaining and & 11 & A The top one is for, it lo \\
\hline & dating the spreadsheet for a new & 12 & Ast without excessive security \\
\hline 13 & A My process would have started by doing what we did in & 13 & The next one is for op \\
\hline & previous year. So obtaining the same sort of information. & 14 & Q So why did you have to dod \\
\hline \[
15
\] & nd as we are looking at 2017, & 15 & for this year? \\
\hline 16 & Q Correct. & 16 & A Because I was told to. \\
\hline \[
17
\] & A I would have had the backup for 2016, because I & 17 & MS. HERNANDE' \\
\hline & worked on that statement, and I probably would have looked at & 18 & page four. \\
\hline & same backup for 2016 and requested that for the updated & 19 & Q What is this document? \\
\hline & ar. & 20 & document? \\
\hline & Q So it would build upon each other every year? & 21 & A It looks like it indicates \\
\hline 22 & A Generally, yes. & 2 & lease information. \\
\hline \[
23
\] & ERNANDEZ: And so, for Trump Tower I am & 23 & Q And what role did thi \\
\hline 24 & going to pull up what has been premarked in evidence as & 24 & A Can you show the sprea \\
\hline 25 & PX-761. & 25 & Q Sure. \\
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\hline & ( & 1 & MS. HERNANDE \\
\hline 2 & Q Do you recognize this document, Mr. Birney? & 2 & again? \\
\hline 3 & THE COURT: While he is looking, is this in & 3 & A It has something \\
\hline 4 & dence? & & acant campaign-related space line \\
\hline 5 & MS. H & 5 & preadsheet. I just can't pinp \\
\hline 6 & THE COURT: Not yet. Okay. & 6 & Q Okay. But this would ha \\
\hline 7 & A Yes. & 7 & looking at when putting these figu \\
\hline 8 & Q What is this document? & 8 & spreadsheet? \\
\hline 9 & A The backup to the 2017 Trump Tower commercial & 9 & A I believe so. \\
\hline & uation, the backup to the information in the supporting data & 10 & S. HERNAN \\
\hline & spreadsheet. & 11 & actually pull it up side by \\
\hline 12 & Q Would you -- is this the information you would have & 12 & And can you go to \\
\hline 13 & sent to Mazars? & 13 & And zoom in on -- \\
\hline 14 & A This is the information that was sent to Mazars. & 14 & Q Well, let me ask you fir \\
\hline 15 & MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I would like to move & 15 & last page of the backup for ' \\
\hline 16 & Plaintiff's Exhibit 761 into evidence. & 16 & A It is a list of class A o \\
\hline 17 & & 17 & anhattan, in midtown Manhattan, \\
\hline 18 & (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed & 18 & that was used for this year; \\
\hline 19 & marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 761 by the & 19 & MS. HERNANDE \\
\hline 20 & Court.) & 20 & five with the star? \\
\hline 21 & Q So whose handwriting is this on the first page, & 21 & It is okay if you hav \\
\hline 22 & Mr. Birney? & 22 & data spreadsheet down. \\
\hline 23 & A Mine. & 23 & Q Is this the star you are r \\
\hline 24 & Q And what is the first page of this document? & 24 & A Yes. \\
\hline 25 & A It is an income statement for the year ending & 25 & Q What does that star ind \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{gathered}
5134: 11,12,18 ; \\
5303: 19,22 \\
\text { accountant }(5)
\end{gathered}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { acquired (2) } \\
& \text { 5133:18;5286:11 } \\
& \text { acronym (3) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { admit (2) } \\
& \text { 5265:19;5270:14 } \\
& \text { admitted (9) }
\end{aligned}
\]} & \\
\hline \$ & & & & agree (15) \\
\hline \$15 & 5129:15;5144:12; & \[
5127: 2 ; 5160: 21
\] & 5198:7;5203:20; & 206:14;5207:14,18; \\
\hline 5183:16;5190:22; & 5184:1;5296:21; & 5180:15 & 207:16;5211:11 & 5240:9,10;5261:19 \\
\hline \[
5191: 19
\] & 5303:20 & across (8) & 259:17,19,22; & 5264:17;5267:18; \\
\hline \$2.5 (2) & \begin{tabular}{l}
accountants (6) \\
5142:15:5145:20,25
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5129: 14 ; 5132: 6,14 ; \\
& \text { 5133:5:5134:1:5152:8: }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5266: 22 ; 5309: 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5268: 14 ; 5269: 20,25 ; \\
& 5270: 10 ; 5291: 20
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5172:3;5218:12 & 5142:15;5145:20,25 & 5133:5;5134:1;5152:8; & advance (1) & 5270:10;5291:20 \\
\hline \$250 (5) & 64:1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
5245:3;5249:14 \\
activity (1)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
5234:23 \\
adversely (2)
\end{tabular} & agre \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5206: 15 ; 5219: 11,24 ; \\
& 5224: 11: 5299: 23
\end{aligned}
\] & accounted (1) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { activity } \\
5298: 4
\end{array}
\] & \[
5290: 5 ; 5292: 1
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5196: 5 ; \\
& 5293: 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$45 (1) & 5133 & ac & ad & agreed-upon (2) \\
\hline 5181:2 & accounting (80 & 1:2;5 & 43:2;5288:19 & 5120:25;5121 \\
\hline \$69 (1) & 5119:16,18;5120:13, & 176:15;5183:20 & advise (1) & agreeing (2) \\
\hline 5171:20 & 17 & 199:22;5246:9; & 5203 & 5208:3,13 \\
\hline A & 7,12;5127:2,7,13,15, & actu & 5235:21,24;5282:8 & 5144: \\
\hline & & 年7:11;5185:2,10, & 5284:8 & 22:9 \\
\hline 5157:24 & 5134:24;5135:5,6,12, & 189:18;5190:6; & advising (2) & 5203:11;5205:1; \\
\hline Aberdeen ( & 21,24;5136:8,11,15; & 5200:20;5209:13; & 5282:9;5290: & 5208:6;5216:22; \\
\hline 5159:1;5160:7; & 5140:10,11;5141:1,9; & 5227:11;5246:4; & affect (2) & 5268:21 \\
\hline \[
5283: 3
\] & 5142:8,9;5143:8,10; & 5256:9;5258:2; & 5183:22;5279:10 & agreements (3) \\
\hline abeyance (1) & 5144:6,21,23;5147:8; & 5284:13;5287:2,8; & affects (1) & 5150:17;5165:8; \\
\hline 5278:8 & 5148:12,19;5149:1,19; & 315:11 & 5209:1 & 5179:3 \\
\hline ability (1) & 5152:6,13,16,19; & Adam (4) & affinity (1) & agrees (1) \\
\hline 5295:11 & 5158:18;5159:8,9 & 5261:2,15;5266:20, & 5123:19 & 5168:13 \\
\hline able (13) & 5165:21;5173:23; & 25 & affirm ( & ahead (14) \\
\hline 5139:12;5170:2,15; & 5175:18;5181:19; & add (6) & \[
5302: 22
\] & \[
5173: 19 ; 5190: 1
\] \\
\hline 5179:8;5204:1,6,10; & \begin{tabular}{l}
5188:18;5216:25; \\
5238:4 \(25 \cdot 5244: 18,23\).
\end{tabular} & 5132:7;5230:25; & afternoon (6) & 5241:13;5250: \\
\hline 5208:24;5209:1; & 5238:4,25;5244:18,23; & 5243:3;5289:21; & 5237:3,4;5274:15 & 5254:1;5256: \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
5232:10;5247:13; \\
5251:6;5298:7
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5245:2,8,12;5246:16, } \\
& \text { 19;5247:14,21;5248:3, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5318: 4, \\
\text { added (2) }
\end{array}
\] & 5276:11;5302:16, afterwards (1) & \[
; 5266: 2 ; 5271: 11
\] \\
\hline above (6) & 7;5249:21;5273:2; & 5318:2,1 & 5196:17 & 5293:14;5296:25 \\
\hline 5154:17;5155:8; & 5274:4;5281:12 & adding (1) & again (47) & kin (1) \\
\hline 5210:12;5267:19; & 5287:23;5290:16 & 5314:5 & 5124:9;5126:7,10, & 5174:19 \\
\hline 5268:21;5281:11 & 5291:17;5298:5; & addition (9) & 5136:13;5148:4,18; & Alan (1) \\
\hline absolutely (2) & 5307:7 & 5135:2;5151:13; & 5151:15;5154:9,19; & \[
5230: 17
\] \\
\hline 5118:6;5156:18 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { accounts ( } \\
5132: 12,
\end{gathered}
\] & 60:14;5191:2
06:7;5235:13; & 155:10:5157:15 & allegation \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
accept (3) \\
5261:19;
\end{tabular} & \[
22,25 ; 5134: 3,5,7
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 206:7;5235:13; } \\
& 251: 25 ; 5274: 3 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5186: 21 ; 5190: 15
\] & SLLEN (11) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5201: 19 ; 2 \\
& 528: 16
\end{aligned}
\] & 5135:2;5138:8 & 5290:14 & 5208:19,24;5209:6,9, & 5116:7;5131:16 \\
\hline acceptable (4) & 5149:17,18;5294:13 & additional (1) & 19;5210:4;5211:15; & 21;5136:3;5138:8,9,13, \\
\hline 5205:17,22;5263:7, & accuracy (3) & 5121:8 & \[
5217: 19 ; 5222: 20
\] & \[
23,23 ; 5307: 7
\] \\
\hline 20 & 5273:23;5288:25;
5289.19 & Additionally (1) & 5229:10;5231:10; & allow (5) \\
\hline acceptance (1) & 5289:19 &  & 5233:18;5246:10; & 5192:7;5210:5 \\
\hline 5207:6 & accurate (8)
5129:10;5 & address (8)
\[
5118: 20,22 ; 51
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5270:7;5271:22; } \\
& \text { 5287:20;5289:2,24; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5212: 2 ; 52 \\
& 5219: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Accepted (1) } \\
5127: 2
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
5153: 5 ; 5198: 17
\] & \[
5232: 4 ; 5285: 15
\] & \[
5290: 4,20 ; 5291: 21 ;
\] & allowed (4) \\
\hline accepting (2) & 5284:5;5288:16; & 5293:1;5298:10; & 5292:5,11;5293:9; & 5118:7;5174:10; \\
\hline \[
5267: 1 ; 5295: 15
\] & 5292:14;5307:11 & 5303:5 & 5297:1;5300:18; & 5233:15;5278:6 \\
\hline accommodation (2) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { accurately (1 } \\
5137: 12
\end{gathered}
\] & adequate 5292.25 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 15:2;5316:9,23; } \\
& \mathbf{1 8 : 1 , 1 9}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { allowing (1) } \\
5243: 20
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 5159:19;5160:8 & accuse & \[
\begin{array}{r}
52 \\
\text { ade }
\end{array}
\] & & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { accompanied (2) } \\
& 5198: 15 ; 5289: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & 5293:23 & 5236: & \[
5163: 25 ; 5164: 11 ;
\] & 5204:17 \\
\hline accompanying (1) & accused (1) & adjacent & \[
5165: 6 ; 5167: 18
\] & alluded (1) \\
\hline 5199:21 & & & agency (1) & 5160:11 \\
\hline accordance (3) & accusing (1) & adjourned (2) & 5240:5 & almost (2) \\
\hline \(5145: 2 ; 5160: 18 ;\)
\(5291 \cdot 5\) &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
5242:18;5319:14 \\
adjust (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { ago (10) } \\
5119: 8 ;
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5184:14;5298:21 \\
along (6)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5291:5 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { ACH (1) } \\
5139: 4
\end{array}
\] & \[
5293: 3
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5119: 8 ; 5129: 6 ; \\
& 5133: 7 ; 5148: 11 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 5156:11;5168:24; \\
\hline \[
5215: 14
\] & acknowledgment (1) & administrative (1) & 5150:1;5175:7; & 5216:25;5220:9; \\
\hline account (5) & 5208:6 & 5233:14 & 5228:13;5246:2; & 5221:17,17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline alongside (1) & Americas (1) & anymore (1) & arms (3) & 5138:19,513910 \\
\hline 5275:17 & 5261:18 & 156:23 & 5243:7;5245:9; & 5138:19;5139:10 \\
\hline Although (2)
\(5128: 1.5204: 4\) & Amer's (3) & AON (3) & 5297:8 & 59:5;5234:4;5245 \\
\hline 5128:1;5204:4 & 5152:22;5189:12; & 5303:17,18;5304:1 & arose (2) & 5249:22;5250:4 \\
\hline altogether (1) & 209:17 & apologize (2) & 5283:1;5290:20 & sistance (6) \\
\hline 5266:9 & among (4) & 5285:12;5300 & around (5) & 5132:24,25; \\
\hline always (3) & 5238:9;5273:9 & apparently (2) & 5127:6;5145:24; & 5245:10;5271:17, \\
\hline 5137:9;5138:7; & 81:22;5302: & 5169:9;5295: & 83:10;5194:10 & sistant (5) \\
\hline 289:16 & am & appear (5) & 93:7 & 5136:17;524 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ambit (1) } \\
5186: 5
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5179:17;5181:10,12; } \\
& \text { 5182:18;5201:2; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5296: 14,15 ; 5309: 22 ; \\
& 5310: 3,18
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { arrange (1) } \\
5277: 25
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5304:21,22;5305 } \\
& \text { sisted (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline amend (1) & 204:23,25;5206:2,9; & appears (3) & arrangem & 5215:9;5224:5; \\
\hline 5293:13 & 217:10;5218:1 & 5208:6;5293:20 & 5125:6 & 255:5 \\
\hline amended (1) & amounts (2) & 10:20 & arrival (2) & assisting (1) \\
\hline 5261:17 & 5198:16;5200:1 & apples (2) & 5250:17 & 5135:11 \\
\hline amendment (3) & amused (1) & 134:20,20 & arrived (1) & Associate (3) \\
\hline 5218:15,16,17 & 301:14 & applicable (2) & 5186:3 & 5304:15,16,20 \\
\hline amenity (1) & analysis (1) & 5131:12;5149:2 & arriving & associated (2) \\
\hline \(5160: 9\)
AMER (142) & 292:1 & application (1) & 5251 & 5218:5;5287:3 \\
\hline AMER (142) 5150:8.5156 & analyst (3) 5304-5 713 & 5295:21 & art & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { assume (2) } \\
& 5247: 5 ; 5292: 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
5161: 8,11,16 ; 5162: 6,
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5304: 5,7,13 \\
\text { analytical (3) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
5221: 23
\] & Arthur (14) & assumed (2) \\
\hline 12,18;5163:11;5164:2; & 5239:1,9;5279:4 & appointed (4) & 5118:3;5119:18,19, & 5191:9;5246:6 \\
\hline 5165:1;5167:18; & analyzes (1) & 124:22;5125:23 & :3,6;5121:1 & assuming (2) \\
\hline 5168:3,17,23,25; & 120:19 & 0:4;5252:11 & 15,17,20 & 5234:15;5299:17 \\
\hline 5170:21;5172:8,23; & and/or (5) & appointment (2 & 5126:8;5242:24 & assurance (8) \\
\hline 5173:8,11,12,19,20 & 127:20; & 1;5281: & articulated (1) & 5121:6,10;5 \\
\hline 5174:12;5175:16;
5176:14;5177:1,10,17, & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5180: 14 ; 5182: 23 ; \\
& 5242: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
appraisal (3) \\
5204:1621.5269:2
\end{tabular} & 5222:2 & \(5238: 1,2\),
ssure (1) \\
\hline 20;5179:18;5180:19; & Anderson (12) & appraisals (1) &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { issure (1) } \\
& 5156: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5181:7,14;5182:15; & 119:18,19,22, & 5309:9 & 43:14;5245:5; & attached (10) \\
\hline 5183:7,12,19,22; & 20:4,6;5121:14; & appraised (1) & 5297:2 & 5171:22;5199:16 \\
\hline 5186:18,20;5188:11; & 22:2,13,15,17,20 & 183:2 & aspect (3) & 202:21;5221:15 \\
\hline 5189:21,24;5190:2; & 5126:8 & apprised (1) & 5251:9;5273:1 & 22:20;5226:4,20 \\
\hline 5192:2,17;5194:7,12; & Andy (1) & 197:24 & 311:24 & 5228:10,18;5257:10 \\
\hline 5195:5;5198:5;5200:4; & 5227:11 & approach (1) & aspects (5) & attaching (4) \\
\hline 5203:18;5205:2;
\(52071118.19 .19208 \cdot 5\) & Ankura (5)
\(5249: 18,21,22,25 ;\) & 5316:21 & 5127:19;5128:14; & 5254:24;5258:16 \\
\hline 5207:11,18,19;5208:5;
5209:9;5210:8;5211:9; & 5249:18,21,22,25; & appropriate (5) & 5129:13,16;5192:13 & 5259:8;5272:8 \\
\hline 5213:11,16;5215:17; & announce (1) & 59:7;5231:12 & ass & 5162:13 \\
\hline 5216:4;5222:8;5223:1; & 5236:13 & 34:10 & asserted (2) & attended (1) \\
\hline 5227:2,22;5230:20; & annual (3) & appropriately (1) & 5266:12;5297:14 & 5119:14 \\
\hline 5231:5,10,21;5232:5, & 5143:8;5149:11 & 145:2 & assessment (3) & attention (12) \\
\hline 10;5234:7,15,21; & 5152:9;5154:3; & approximately (4) & 5195:17;5196:5 & 5160:10,11;5163: \\
\hline 5236:14,16,23;5237:2; & 5160:19,20;5179:22; & 140:3;5145:22; & 5204:15 & 200:6;5201:11; \\
\hline 5238:23;5240:9,10,13, & 5198:15;5199:8,17; & 5171:17;5217:24 & asset (9) & 206:24;521 \\
\hline 20,25;5241:1,18; & 5216:25;5217:3; & April (1) & 83:1 & 5281:2,6;5293: \\
\hline 5242:13;5243:1; & 5221:16,17;5225:2; & 199:25 & 9;5288:10;5308:3,18; & 5318:6,16 \\
\hline 5244:13,14;5245:15, & 5228:8,11,17;5229:5; & area (3) & 5311:4;5317:10 & attest (1) \\
\hline 21;5248:12;5249:7,9; & 5251:25;5261:20; & 5124:24;5239:2
524723 & assets (23) \({ }_{\text {5120 }}\) & 5169:11
attestation (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5250:7;5254:1;5255:2; } \\
& 5256: 5,9,13,24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5263:12;5264:19; } \\
& \text { 5267:22;5268:6; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5247: 23 \\
& \text { areas (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5129:20;5134:12; \\
5135:22,22;5136:5,5,7;
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { attestation (2) } \\
5147: 12,14
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 5257:18,23;5258:2,7, & 5273:22;5274:3; & 133:13;5152:16,17 & 5141:12;5142:20; & attesting (2) \\
\hline 24;5259:15,23;5262:4, & 5288:24;5289:3; & 249:23 & 148:9.5150:18 & 5273:23;5288:24 \\
\hline 19;5263:5,24;5264:2,6, & 5290:14;5291:14 & argue (4) & 57:17,18;5192:1 & ATTORNEY (14) \\
\hline 9;5265:19;5266:19,23; & annualized (1) & 188:10;5231:16; & 261:23,25;5282:2 & 5116:3,20;5162:2; \\
\hline 5268:9;5270:14; & 5143:12 & 278:12;5299:10 & ;5284:17;5286:2 & 5195:10;5199:2 \\
\hline 5271:11;5272:18; & annually (1) & arguing (2) & 5237:18;5307:10; & 5202:9;5216:1;5 \\
\hline 5274:11;5279:3,16; & 220:7 & 231:16;5263:22 & 5308:11 & 5235:12;5243 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5280:3,22;5285:7,10; } \\
& 5200 \cdot 4.5205 \cdot 7 .
\end{aligned}
\] & answered (2)
5220:18;5263:22 & \begin{tabular}{l}
arise (1) \\
5297:16
\end{tabular} & assigned (2) 5134:10,11 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5277:18;5295:12,15; } \\
& 5300: 24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5294:4,8;5295:7; } \\
& \text { 5296:2;5300:12,17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5220:18;5263:22 } \\
& \text { anteroom (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 5297:16 } \\
\text { ARMEN (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5134: 10,1 \\
\text { assist (13) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5300: 24 \\
\text { Attorneys (4) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 5301:12 & 5302:1 & 5117:19 & 5132:2,20,23; & 5116:21;5117:13,22; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5230:18 & 5140:8;5169:22 & bad (1) & 5134:20;5149:11,12; & 5315:14,23;5317:6 \\
\hline audit (93) & 5211:21;5275:20; & 5188:4 & 5176:18;5185:3; & bit (8) \\
\hline 5120:7,9,11,12,24; & 5291:2,2 & balance (14) & 5198:15;5206:12 & 5135:9;5146:16; \\
\hline 5121:10,14,19,20; & Avenue (3) & 5134:13;5143:10 & 5207:7;5210:20 & 5148:16;5264:7; \\
\hline 5122:6,6,7,10,14,23, & 5118:22;5135:20; & 5171:19;5181:22; & 5212:6;5213:2; & 5276:9;5310:24; \\
\hline 24;5123:12;5140:11; & 5303:6 & 5190:24;5191:3,4,10; & 5215:25;5219:17,18; & 5311:1;5316:17 \\
\hline 5141:10,11;5142:17; & average (3) & 5193:7;5219:4;5286:2, & 5255:21,25;5256:1; & blank (1) \\
\hline 5143:1,22,24;5144:1,2, & 5288:1;5314:11,14 & 7,14;5308:5 & 5277:22;5291:14 & 5291:9 \\
\hline 4;5145:12,15;5146:20, & aware (32) & bank (52) & BDO (3) & blowers (1) \\
\hline 24,25;5147:6,8,9,11, & 5139:24;5140:8 & 5138:8;5154:2,20 & 5152:15;5159:7, & 5290:25 \\
\hline 18,20;5148:5;5152:11, & 5141:20,24;5157:15 & 5175:13,23;5179:17; & became (7) & Board (5) \\
\hline \[
14,15 ; 5155: 6,10,15,19,
\] & \[
5163: 19 ; 5168: 14
\] & \[
5180: 24 ; 5183: 2
\] & 5123:3;5124:22 & 5125:21,22,24,25; \\
\hline 22;5157:3,25;5158:10, & 5181:10,15,17;5183:9, & 5194:23;5196:5; & 5139:24;5158:2; & 5126:2 \\
\hline 12,23;5159:2,5,22,23, & 13;5217:21;5235:13, & 5199:8,11,12;5202:19; & 5181:9,15;5308:2 & boarding (2) \\
\hline 24;5160:14,25;5162:4, & 17;5239:24;5243:15, & 5205:12,14;5206:11, & become (7) & 5148:12,13 \\
\hline 8;5164:4;5165:23; & 19;5253:2;5257:8; & 23;5208:13;5213:10; & 5174:10;5181:17 & bona (1) \\
\hline 5166:4,5,9,12,16,17,19, & 5263:10,15;5264:24 & 5214:14;5215:11; & 5217:10,13;5287:12; & 5162:14 \\
\hline 25;5167:1,7;5228:21; & 5268:1,13;5273:13,16, & 5217:22;5221:14; & 5305:10,13 & book (2) \\
\hline 5229:14,16,18,19,20, & 17,25;5274:8;5285:5; & 5222:18;5226:1; & Bedminster (1) & 5133:21;5134:9 \\
\hline 21;5238:5,15,22,23; & 5295:13 & 5227:17;5228:25 & 5117:14 & booked (2) \\
\hline 5239:3,15,20;5240:1,3, & awareness (1) & 5252:2;5256:11; & began (1) & 5134:3,17 \\
\hline \[
4,6,15,23
\] & \[
5268: 20
\] & 5261:18,19,22;5263:7, & \[
5304: 3
\] & booking (1) \\
\hline audited (14) & away (5) & 18,19;5264:17,19; & begin (1) & 5149:21 \\
\hline 5120:15;515 & \[
5210: 4,6,13,14
\] & 5265:7,11,12;5267:17; & 5308:22 & books (11) \\
\hline 5155:12;5160:19 & \[
5212: 3
\] & 5268:22;5269:9,21,25; & behalf (12) & 5120:14;51 \\
\hline 5228:8,11,24;5229:7; & B & :4; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5142: 24 ; 5144: 6 \\
& 5152: 6 ; 5153: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5131: 6,7 ; 51
\] \\
\hline \[
5290: 14 ; 5291: 4,15,22
\] & B & 5300:4 & \[
5165: 4 ; 5208: 2
\] & \[
5149: 10 ; 5150: 15
\] \\
\hline auditor (6) & & ban & :12;5226:1 & boring (1) \\
\hline 5120:8,9; & 5176:8 & 5174:19;5275 & 5232:20;5291:18; & 5132:13 \\
\hline 5238:19,24;5287:22 & Bachelor's (1) & Bankruptcy (2) & 5303:1 & borrower (15) \\
\hline auditors (2) & 5119:15 & 5297:2; & below (5) & 5154:4,22;5155 \\
\hline 5140:5;5142:12 & back (54) & banks (1) & 5197:24,25;5205:1 & 5178:24;5179:4,15; \\
\hline audits (23) & 5135:20;5150:5,18; & \[
5125: 12
\] & 5212:1;5299:22 & 5193:14;5204:4,22,24; \\
\hline \[
5120: 7 ; 5121: 15
\] & 5151:3,13;5165:14,15, & Bank's (8) & Bench (2) & 5215:19;5216:23; \\
\hline 5126:9;5140:4; & 16,18;5171:21; & 5264:24;5266:25,25; & 5116:12;5302:11 & 5217:1;5220:9; \\
\hline 5142:20;5146:6,12; & 5173:16;5176:5 & 5267:4;5268:3,14; & Bender (7) & 5224:22 \\
\hline 5148:6;5149:24; & 5178:11,14;5182:9 & 5270:11;5279:17 & 5142:17,18,23,2 & borrowers (1) \\
\hline 5151:10;5155:18; & 5188:1,4,5,5,7; & bar (1) & 5143:20;5144:18 & 5171:6 \\
\hline 5157:16;5158:16; & 5191:12,14;5192:3,4; & 5302:9 & 5309:7 & borrower's (2) \\
\hline 5159:10,25;5162:8; & 5196:21,23;5197:14; & Barbara (4) & benefit (1) & 5164:3;5198:12 \\
\hline 5163:14;5166:22; & 5209:5;5213:18; & 5230:3,25;5243: & 5133:11 & borrowing (3) \\
\hline 5168:8,15;5169:13; & \(5214: 1,6 ; 5216: 6,7,8\)
\(5218 \cdot 5 \cdot 5219 \cdot 16\). & 5280:8 & Besides (1) & 5163:15;5165:3; \\
\hline 5228:18;5239:12 & 5218:8;5219:16; & Bartov (2) & 3:6 & 5271:1 \\
\hline August (26) & 5239:4;5242:23; & 5276:12,22 & best (2) & both (12) \\
\hline \[
5160: 25,25 ; 5198: 10
\] & 5243:10;5244:9; & B-A-R-T-O-V (1) & \[
5140: 8 ; 5162: 6
\] & 5120:8;5146:15,16; \\
\hline \[
5203: 23,24 ; 5206: 5
\] & 5254:12;5255:16; & \[
5276: 12
\] & better (6) & 5147:16;5152:15; \\
\hline 5213:8,24;5214:16; & 5258:7,11;5268:10,11; & based (20) & 5131:14;5133:4; & 5155:19;5159:12; \\
\hline 5215:15;5216:12; & 5274:20;5277:11; & 5123:12;5127:13; & 5134:7;5146:14; & 5172:13;5234:9; \\
\hline 5218:14,20;5219:1,10, & 5283:12;5288:2; & 5146:16;5148:18; & 5167:12;5168:24 & 5239:12;5318:22,22 \\
\hline 16;5224:10;5227:19; & 5289:11;5290:21 & 5149:15; & big (1) & bottom (7) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5228: 10 ; 5229: 6, \\
& 5255: 23 ; 5272: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
53 \\
\text { back }
\end{array}
\] & 5158:20;5159:9; & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5246 \\
\text { billion }
\end{array}
\] & 194:25; \\
\hline 5280:8;5292:4; & 5119:10,12;5123: & 5185:24;5188:25 & 5172:3;5206 & 5318:11,14 \\
\hline 5296:10 & 5126:5;5138:2; & 5213:5,20;5216:11; & 5218:12 & box (1) \\
\hline auspices (2) & 5148:18;5149:4; & 5250:18;5265:6; & billions (1) & 5152:10 \\
\hline 5130:19;5251:13 & 5303:12 & 5270:10;5293:3,19 & 5288:9 & Bracewell (3) \\
\hline authority (2) & backup (17) & basic (2) & bills (2) & 5230:16,16;5280:16 \\
\hline 5243:22;5244:6 & 5145:14;5306 & 5120:11;5137:8 & 5137:4, & Brand (4) \\
\hline auto (1) & 5308:8,9,10,17,23; & basically (4) & Birney (12) & 5211:5,15,24;5241:6 \\
\hline 5303:24 & 5311:20,21;5312:17, & 5150:2;5206:3; & 5275:8;5277:1; & Bravlik (2) \\
\hline available (9) & 19;5313:9,10;5314:3; & 5301:3;5308:12 & & 5275:10,13 \\
\hline 5135:8;5139:5,6; & 5315:15;5317:9,22 & basis (19) & 5309:17;5313:2,22; & break (16) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5119:21;5132:17; & call (17) & 5316:9,12,17;5318:19 & 5174:17;5204:23,25; & \[
7 ;
\] \\
\hline 5187:5; & 5118:9 & Candela (6) & & 0: \\
\hline 09:22;5230:13; & 55:3;5160:20; & 5261:2;5263:25 & 238:24;5273:4,8,10, & changed (5) \\
\hline 31-8.5236:14. & 69:18;5170:7 & 67:7, & 8,24;5274:4;5281:16, & 5124:8;5168:12; \\
\hline 5242:12,13;5243:18; & 6:17;5235:1 & 68:2 & 17; & 183:6;5213:24; \\
\hline 5;5277:3; & 239:9;5275:19 & cap & 285:4,16;5286:2,20; & 5219:12 \\
\hline 19:1 & 92:3;5295:11,25,25; & 531 & 287:14,19;5288:25; & changes (2) \\
\hline brief (4) & 5301:20;5309:2 & 5316:2,3,10,22 & 290:15;5292:11; & 5144:22;5287:14 \\
\hline 5208:10; & callable (1) & capa & 5293:13;5298:1 & changing (2) \\
\hline \[
5295: 10
\] & 83 & 5135:14 & certainly (8) & 5161:18;5196 \\
\hline briefed (1) & called (21) & capability & 5174:24;5175 & characteristics (1) \\
\hline 5278:7 & 5120:25; & 5146:9.5 & & 5133:3 \\
\hline briefing & 5126:23;5132:2, & capable (1) & 5236:7;5251:7, & characterized (3) \\
\hline 5305:2 & 5138:19;5139:19, & 5146:18 & 5286:4 & 5264:9;5272:14; \\
\hline brie & 5141:10;5146:2; & ca & certificate & 5296:6 \\
\hline 5119:9;5 & 5152:15;5157:24 & 5122:5,9;512 & 5198:15;5199 & charge (3) \\
\hline bring (5) & 5160:21;5179:5 & 17;5246:11,12 & 5200:8,10,15;5201:20; & \[
5124: 12 ; 5173
\] \\
\hline 5 & 5180:14;5187:5 & 5247:17;5248:8,18; & \[
5202: 25 ; 5203: 5 ;
\] & \[
5291: 25
\] \\
\hline 0:10;5300:6,25 & 5230:15;5275:23 & 294:12 & 5220:10;5221:2 & charges (1) \\
\hline broad (4) & 5297:3;5301:24; & ca & 5222:21;5223:8; & 5291:5 \\
\hline 49:8 & 5303:1 & 5125:5 & 5 & chart (37) \\
\hline 5184:16;52 & calling (1) & ca & 6:17 & 5130:23;51 \\
\hline broadly (1) & 5232:8 & 03 & 4,16; & 5133:20,21,25;5134:5, \\
\hline 5129:19 & came (4) & ca & 258:9,16;5259:2,7 & 7,15;5135:2;5151:17; \\
\hline broug & 5139:1;5230: & 5291:24 & 5267:10,22;5268:6 & 5152:7,12;5156:4,14, \\
\hline 5216:1;53 & 5254:12 & career & 5269:11,22;5270:1,23; & 15,24;5161:3;5162:9, \\
\hline bucket (1) & campaig & 24 & 5271:5,8;5272:2,8 & 23;5163:19;5169:5; \\
\hline 5129:25 & 5300:11,15 & carefull & certificate & 5250:11,14;5252:21; \\
\hline buddy (1) & 21 & 5293 & 5221:16;5257:2 & 253:4;5256:25; \\
\hline 88:6 & campaig & C & 5289:3 & 257:5,8;5258:14; \\
\hline build (1) & 5315:4 & 5141:11;5157:2 & certification & 5261:6;5262:20; \\
\hline 12:21 & Camr & case (24) & 5119:23;5177: & 263:13;5268:1 \\
\hline building & 5146:2 & 5159:9,5162 & \(5289: 10 ; 5298: 22\)
\(5299: 18\) & 5271:13,25;5294:13 \\
\hline 5315:16 & can (87) & 5163:16;516 & 5299:18 & check (8) \\
\hline bullet & 5121:2; & 5165:1;5186 & certifications & 5120:14;5136:21,25 \\
\hline 5268:24; & 5126:17,17;5132 & 5215:22 & 5225:9;5273:23 & 5137:2,9;5138:5,10,25 \\
\hline bunch (3) & 5134:4;5136:23; & 5242:16,18;5243:13 & 5288:24;5299:1 & checked (3) \\
\hline \[
5175: 25 ;
\] & 5138:5,6,17;5139 & \[
5251: 17 ; 5267: 3
\] & certifying (1) & \[
5310: 4,5,7
\] \\
\hline 5301:2 & 5148:16;5149:9, & 5272:21;5275:18 & 5198:16 & checking (1) \\
\hline burned & 5156:4;5168:8,13 & & ce & 5310:15 \\
\hline 5269:6,9; & 5169:4,11,16;5172:10 & 5299:11;5300:7,8 & 5303:25 & checks (7) \\
\hline burns (3) & 5176:1,18,19;5177:23, & 5301:25;5302:5; & CFO (13) & 5138:5,16,17,20,22, \\
\hline 5198:1;5254:19,23 & 25;5178:5;5179:14; & 5319:11 & 174:21,23;5178:1 & \[
23 ; 5139: 14
\] \\
\hline business (13) & 5181:14;5185:7; & cases (2) & 16;5186:1,19;5188:15; & Chicago (29) \\
\hline \[
5118: 19,22
\] & \[
5186: 10 ; 5188: 10
\] & \[
5155: 13
\] & \[
5192: 10 ; 5244: 23
\] & \[
5154: 19 ; 5155: 3,4
\] \\
\hline 5131:15;5132:11; & 5192:3;5204:19,20,24; & cash (9) & \[
5246: 3 ; 5248: 18,20
\] & \[
5171: 4,13,16,18
\] \\
\hline 5133:14;5164:11; & 5208:8;5209:19; & 5125:11,13;5143 & 5249:11 & 5178:18;5180:10; \\
\hline 5204:1;5233:19; & 5213:17;5214:20; & 5167:14;5179:4 & CFO/COO (3) & 5183:6,11;5190:23; \\
\hline 5245:9;5298:14,20; & 5215:17;5229:18,24; & 5211:21;5291:2; & 5184:15;5216:19; & 5193:16;5211:6,17; \\
\hline 5303:5 & 5231:2,8,10;5232:4; & 5292:1;5306:13 & 5217:17 & 5217:2;5218:18,23; \\
\hline businesses (2)
\(5203.25 .5233:\) & 5234:9;5236:16; & c & challenges & 220:11;5221:23; \\
\hline 5203:25;5233:20 & 5241:13,22;5248 & & & \\
\hline C & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5264: 6,8 ; 5266: 19 \\
& 5269: 20 ; 5270: 10
\end{aligned}
\] &  & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5163: 14 ; 5165 \\
& 5276: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5259: 3 ; 5261: 9 ; \\
& 5279: 14
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline calc & 5274:15,22;5275:18 & 5127:16 & chambers & Chief (14) \\
\hline & 20;5276:5,17,23; & 18;5129:2 & 5302.1 & 5127:12,14,22,25; \\
\hline calculation (6) & 5277:25;5278:22; & certain (45) & chance (1) & 5128:12;5129:4,8; \\
\hline 201:4;5204:8 & 5285:2;5294:4;529 & 5123:7;51 & 5148:21 & 5131:21;5138:14; \\
\hline 220:10;5221:17; & 5306:20;5308:8,19 & 5130:1;5132:5;5133 & change (10) & 5241:9;5246:5,12; \\
\hline 5225:4;5291:18 & 5309:11,14;5310:24; & 5134:10,11,19;5136: & 5122:25;5127:21 & 5247:17;5248:8 \\
\hline calculations (2) & \[
5311: 7,14 ; 5314: 24
\] & \[
5143: 17 ; 5146: 11
\] & 5128:4;5131:24; & Chin (2) \\
\hline 5314:9,10 & 5315:1,10,12,19; & 5148:9;5155:20,22,23; & 5197:17;5209:23; & 5275:22,25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline choices (1) & 17,18;5288:4 & \[
512
\] & 3;5228:20; & pt (7) \\
\hline 5238:10 & clubs (4) 5285:16;5286:8,21 & 5124:2,12,12,15,17,18, 18;5125:5,5,11,14,17, & compiling & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5180:2,6;5218:18, } \\
& \text { 23:5296:16;5297:7,8 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5117.5 & &  & 5311:23 & concern (1) \\
\hline chuck (3) & & 10;5128:9,11,11,23 & complete (1) & 5288:19 \\
\hline 7:4,21 & & & 5201:19 & ned (3) \\
\hline circuitous (1) & collapsing & 5132:1,3,6,15,24; & & 5173:24;519 \\
\hline 99:24 & & & & \\
\hline cumscribe & & & & ding \\
\hline 5174:3 & 04:23;52 & 5139:6;5140:6;5142:1, & 4:5,5 & 5:21 \\
\hline circumstance (1) & colleagues (3) & 5,13;5143:25;5144:21; & completeness (2) & conclusion (2) \\
\hline 5123:20 & 5230:22;5232:8,11 & 5147:4,18,19,21; & 5264:4;5285: & 5270:6;5273:18 \\
\hline circumstances (3) & COLLEEN (1) & 5149:21;5150:12,16 & completing (1) & conclusions (1) \\
\hline 5137:23;5138:1; & 116:23 & 5151:11,14;5152:6,19; & 5155:18 & 5292:11 \\
\hline 5230:9 & college (2) & 5153:21;5169:25; & complexity & Condition (53) \\
\hline cite (2) & 5119:13;5303 & 5170:1,4;5183:24; & 5232:24 & 5139:19,22;51 \\
\hline 5169:22;5170:2 & column (1) & 5184:8,16;5185:4,2 & compliance & 5141:18,21;5163 \\
\hline claim (2) & 5309:25 & 21;5186:1;5188:15; & 5147:8;5178:19, & 21;5165:5;5213:1 \\
\hline 5163:25;5165:6 & combined (1) & 5193:9;5195:7;5209:4, & 5179:13;5198:15; & 5214:15;5215:18,24, \\
\hline claims (2) 5164:11:5196:3 & 5178:16 & 11;5212:2;5219:19,21; & 5199:9,17;5200:8; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 25;5245:13;5253:20; } \\
& \text { 575u-.5.5;55.7. }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline clarification (3) & 5296:22 & \[
5233: 18 ; 5240: 3,4 ;
\] & \[
5217: 7 ; 5220: 10 ;
\] & 5256:2;5257:5,11 \\
\hline 5229:1;5237:6; & comfortable & 5246:20;5247:7,13 & 21:16,20;5222:2 & 5258:17;5259:8; \\
\hline 5302:8 & 203:13 & 5249:22;5257:15; & 7;5225:3;5226:4, & 261:7,20;5262:2 \\
\hline clarify (4) & coming (6) & 5261:18;5270:8; & 29:7;5252:2; & 5263:12,19;5264:20; \\
\hline 5244:17;5245:17; & 5195:17,2 & 5282:18,20;5283:10 & 4;5256:1 & 265:1;5267:20; \\
\hline 5251:3;5292:14 & 201:3;5208:5;5287:6 & 5286:5,6,15,22; & 3,9,14,15,19 & 5268:3,5,16;5269:10, \\
\hline clarity (1) & commensurate (1) & 5289:12;5291:1,18; & 8:8,16 & 23;5270:3;5272:3,9; \\
\hline 5215:17 & 139:8 & 5292:13;5298:17,18 & 67:10,22;5268:6; & 5279:21;5282:19; \\
\hline class (1)
5315:16 & comment (1) & \[
\begin{gathered}
5304: 25 \\
\text { company's (5) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 269: 11,23 ; 5270: 2,23 ; \\
& 771.6 .570 .9
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5305:11,17,21,24; \\
5306:8,23;5308:20,22
\end{tabular} \\
\hline clear (14) & comments (3) & 5124:25;5125:1 & 5289:3,3 & 25;5309:10,21;5312:4 \\
\hline 5129:7;5132:25; & 5189:21;5235:7 & 5153:23;5194:18 & compliant (5) & 11 \\
\hline 5160:3;5167:18; & 237:5 & 5209:16 & 52:20;5178:2 & conditions (5) \\
\hline 5188:16;5191:18; & commercial (31) & compare (2) & 79:14;5193:9 & 5193:6;5217:14,17; \\
\hline 5207:21;5208:5; & 5129:19;5135:15; & 5134:1,19 & 23:4 & 5224:21,24 \\
\hline 5215:23;5231:4; & 5136:5,7;5154:18; & compared (1) & complied (4) & condominium (1) \\
\hline 5232:22;5252:15; & 5157:5,9,12,13,15,18, & 5201:6 & 5201:10;52351 & 5311:4 \\
\hline 5277:1;5288:20 & 19;5242:2;5251:9,12, & \({ }_{5186}^{\text {competence (1) }}\) & 5279:12;5302:2 & \(\xrightarrow{\text { conduct (5) }}\) \\
\hline clearly (9)
5164:10;5174:20; & 14,15;5252:5,6,16,17, & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 5186:4 } \\
\text { compilation (61) }
\end{gathered}
\] & compliment (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5155:15;5164:10; } \\
& \text { 5204:1:5264:2, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5164:10;5174:20; } \\
& \text { 5184:4;5292:6; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 17,21,25;5253:8,16; } \\
& \text { 5289:5,6;5311:3; }
\end{aligned}
\] & compilation (61) 5120:23;5121:5 & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\text { 5170:14 } \\
\text { component (5) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5204:1;5264:2,3 } \\
& \text { conference (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5295:19,23;5297:22; & 5313:9;5314:1 & \[
18,23 ; 5143: 1,6,7,
\] & 30:4;5147: & 5141:7;5292:3 \\
\hline 5298:12,17 & commission (1) & 5144:5;5145:12; & 5159:20;5294:20,2 & confidence (1) \\
\hline client (13) & 204:21 & 5148:5;5152:11; & components (4) & 5298:17 \\
\hline 5120:19;5121:17,19, & common (3) & 5153:12,19,22,24,2 & 5200:23,25;5201: & confirm (4) \\
\hline 19;5122:4,8;5123:4,5; & 5131:7;5177:21 & 5154:3,7,11,15, & 5233:20 & 5196:4;5197 \\
\hline 5147:15,16;5238:1,3,8 & 5179:3 & 5155:9,13,19,20,2
\(5160 \cdot 14 \cdot 5161 \cdot 1\). & comprise (1) & 5207:23,25 \\
\hline clients (4) & communicat & 5160:14;5161:1 & 59:13 & confirmation \\
\hline 5121:17;5122:18; & 5145:4;5297:11
communicated (2) & 5162:9;5163:7,10,20; & \({ }_{5125: 22}^{\text {comprised (1) }}\) & 5194:6,11;5195:1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5124:1;5303:23 } \\
& \text { client's (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & communicated (2)
\(5236: 9 ; 5261: 16\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5164: 3,4 ; 5167: 4,9 \\
& \text { 5168:19;5178:21; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \text { 5125:22 (1) } \\
\text { comprises (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5200: 22 ; 5269: 5 ; \\
& 5276: 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5166:16 & communicating (1) & 5198:14;5199:22; & 5134:12 & confirmed (3) \\
\hline CLIFFORD (1) & 5299:14 & 5202:2;5203:2;5220:6; & comptroller (1) & 5197:10,23;5229 \\
\hline 5117:10 & communication (1) & 5226:22;5229:11,14 & 5136:18 & confirming (1) \\
\hline close (1) & 145:5 & 17,22;523777;5238:12, & comptrollers (1) & 5207:24 \\
\hline 5155:1 & companies (8) & 17,19,25;5239:5,17 & 5133:18 & confirms (1) \\
\hline closed (1) & 5120:8;5121 & 5240:1;5271:1;5279:5, & computation (1) & 5175:14 \\
\hline 5153:10 & 5126:9,12;5127:7; & & 00:15 & nflicts (1) \\
\hline Club (11) & 5137:15;5149:22; & compilations (10) & compute (1) & 5243:12 \\
\hline 5158:24;5166:12;
5273:11:5281:24, & \(\stackrel{5286: 3}{\text { company (105) }}\) & 5142:20;5146:7,12;
5149-25:5151:10; & \[
5200: 13
\] & confused (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5273:11;5281:24; } \\
& \text { 5285:4;5286:11,12,13, }
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\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5263:12;5264:21 } \\
& \text { Justice (3) } \\
& 5116: 16 ; 5280: 4,8
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5217:16;5245:9,12; } \\
& \text { 5250:18;5253:22 } \\
& \text { known (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline K & knows (8) \\
\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{keep (3)} & 73:2,5;5177:12 \\
\hline & 5183:25;5184:4; \\
\hline 5132:16;5144:21; & 5188:24;5194:9; \\
\hline 5219:22 & 5302:3 \\
\hline keeping (1) & Kokakis (3) \\
\hline 5251:2 & 5230:25;5235:11; \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l|l} 
keeps (1) 5243:4
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \[
5138: 16
\] & L \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kept (1) } \\
& 5249: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & L \\
\hline Kerzner (8) & lack (5) \\
\hline 5123:11,12,16; & 5134:7;5146:14; \\
\hline 5124:4,6,8,9;5127:11 & 5172:8;5194:7,12 \\
\hline Kevin (1) & language (3) \\
\hline 5312:6 & 5168:14;5295:16,17 \\
\hline key (3) & Laptops (1) \\
\hline 5215:6;5232:16; & 5118:4 \\
\hline 5255:15 & large (3) \\
\hline Kidder (3) & 5139:9;5152:20; \\
\hline 5136:17;5246:22; & 5286:5 \\
\hline 5247:20 & larger (1) \\
\hline kind (30) & 5287:9 \\
\hline 5118:7;5122:2; & last (23) \\
\hline 5131:14;5132:4,12; & 5133:24;5136:20,25; \\
\hline 5133:13;5134:21; & 5152:20;5162:19; \\
\hline 5136:2,2;5137:5,12,13; & 5165:13,15;5169:8; \\
\hline 5139:6,8,15;5145:9; & 5177:5;5216:5; \\
\hline 5146:17;5147:2; & 5241:14;5243:13; \\
\hline 5148:22;5150:5,6; & 5254:19;5269:2; \\
\hline 5151:8;5160:11; & 5277:5;5282:2;5285:1; \\
\hline 5184:13;5238:20; & 5288:21;5292:16; \\
\hline 5243:12;5262:17; & 5293:16;5308:21; \\
\hline 5276:20;5300:8; & 5315:12,15 \\
\hline 5310:13 & late (2) \\
\hline KISE (46) & 5243:3;5276:11 \\
\hline 5117:5;5167:14; & later (12) \\
\hline 5168:23;5169:1,2; & 5172:7,21;5174:18; \\
\hline 5176:3,5;5184:5; & 5176:10;5189:3; \\
\hline 5185:17;5186:9,13,16; & 5197:17,20;5208:22; \\
\hline 5188:12,13;5231:17; & 5251:10;5259:2; \\
\hline 5243:23;5244:5,8; & 5264:19;5267:8 \\
\hline 5262:2,3,6,16,25; & latest (1) \\
\hline 5263:2,21;5266:3,4,14, & 5145:2 \\
\hline 16;5267:4,6;5275:2,7, & Lauderdale (2) \\
\hline 22,25;5276:3,7,15,20; & 5119:19;5122:17 \\
\hline 5278:12;5297:1,7; & LAW (2) \\
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\hline 5175:21;5176:15; & 5302:4 \\
\hline 5178:3;5185:3,6,8; & lay (3) \\
\hline 5186:4;5189:5,13; & 5176:19;5177:25; \\
\hline 5190:21;5196:4; & 5178:9 \\
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\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline laying & 5229:1;5240:5; & liaisons (1) & live & 24;5176:1;5178:3; \\
\hline & 284.2,6, & & & 5180:2;5192:19,2 \\
\hline leaders (1) & 14;5286:24;5288:18; & LIBOR (1) & LLC (32) & 193:1;5225:3,4; \\
\hline 5131:2 & 5289:13,18;5290:21 & 5212 & & 5258:12;5271:9 \\
\hline Leading & lenders (18) & license (4) & 5154:16,17;5155:6,10; & 5273:9;5281:22; \\
\hline 56 & 5125:1,6,10, & 5119:24;512 & 5156:25;5157:7,12,12, & 5289:11 \\
\hline 5180:19;5192:2,17,18; & 5143:17;5151:1 & 5144:19 & 13;5158:11;5160:12; & loan-to-value (5) \\
\hline 5193:4;5210:8,9; & 5179:3;5251:25 & lieu (2) & 5163:8;5164:10; & 5180:14,17;5183:2; \\
\hline \[
5213: 11,12 ; 5312
\] & 5252:7;5 & \[
5261: 20 ; 5263: 1
\] & \[
5167: 4,10 ; 5168: 20
\] & 5184:3;5269:2 \\
\hline 18:12 & 5281:21;5282:18,22 & & 5199:18;5201:15; & located (1) \\
\hline learn (11) & (1) & 74:2 & 5221:14;5226:1,1 & 5316:18 \\
\hline 5150:13 & lender's (1) & 272:7 & 227:18;5229:16; & Lodging (1) \\
\hline 5184:9 & & & 2:5,5 & 5149:18 \\
\hline 5186:8,9, & le & 5190: & 5261:19;5314:1 & ong (12) \\
\hline 5307:8 & 73:12 & 1 l & LLC's (1) & 5122:21;5142:10; \\
\hline le & & 5270:7 & 515 & 5162:24;5163:1 \\
\hline 5185:21; & 5262:5 & limit (5) & loan (173) & 5165:12;5167:21; \\
\hline learning (1) & less (5) & 5205:17;5210:12; & 5154:1,3,20 & 5168:13;5185:2; \\
\hline \[
5232: 15
\] & 5201:2;5229:22 & 66: & \[
5155: 11,11,14,2
\] & 5189:20;5232:5; \\
\hline lease (6) & 5239:3; & li & 5160:22;5163:25 & 5234:15;5268:7 \\
\hline : & 5288:10 & 5186 & 516 & longer (11) \\
\hline 92:2;5 & lett & lim & 18,21;5172:1,3,6,1 & 5136:7;5138:14; \\
\hline 5317:23,2 & 5199:16;5202:22 & 5138:6 & 14,16,18;5173:2,2,5 & 5145:19;5184:13 \\
\hline leasing (4) & 5 & -5232.5.5241:10 & 22;5174:14,22,24,2 & 196:6;5206:4; \\
\hline 5286:3, & 522 & ;5232:5;5241:10; & 5175:4,6,18;5176:2 & 5213:14;5214:7; \\
\hline least (4) & 5264:11 & 5268:22 & 5178:2,18,19,25; & 5219:6;5254:24; \\
\hline 5161:19;5177: & 5273:16;5280:9, & li & 5179:3,6,8,10, & 5282:18 \\
\hline 5276:16 & 5281:3;5293:8;5295 & 5185:6, & 23;5180:7,7,9,10,18 & longest (1) \\
\hline leave (4) & 14,16,20;5296:10; & line (13) & 23;5181:5,10,13,22 & 5147:10 \\
\hline 5247:13 & 5297:19,21;5298: & 55:18 & 5182:11,13,17,21; & look (22) \\
\hline leaves (1) & letter & 85: & 5183:6,11;5190:2 & 5151:14;5153:11 \\
\hline 51 & 5280: & 185:12 & 5192:1,9,11;5193:6,13, & 5179:20;5182:6; \\
\hline leavi & let & 589:21-5291:9 & 16,22;5197:1,23; & 5191:12;5192:2 \\
\hline 5121:1;5 & 5165:11 & 5289-21.5291.9. & 5198:11;5199:1 & 5197:14;5202:7; \\
\hline 5246:20 & leve & 5315:4 & 5201:7;5202:4; & 5228:2;5254:1; \\
\hline led (4) & 5121:4, & lines (1) & 5203:23;5204:11 & 256:5,13;5258 \\
\hline \[
5191: 2
\] & 5123:22 & 5241 & \[
16,16,25 ; 5205: 4,11,20,
\] & 259:23;5266:2 \\
\hline 5219:24 & 5123.5,5131.8 & linked (1) & 21;5206:2,3,5;5208:15, & 268:19;5289:7,1 \\
\hline ledger (5) & 5133:18;5135:5,1 & 5192:8 & 21;5209:2,24,25; & 307:4;5311:22; \\
\hline 5135 & 5178:20;5205:22 & & 5210:15;521 & 5312:3 \\
\hline 5135:6;5139:9 & 5211:20;5224:22,2 & 5 & 5215:6,13,5214.9,11, & looked (11) \\
\hline ledgers (1) & 5237:7;5238:3,6,9 & 5231:1;5243:5;5274:4; & 15,15;5215:6;5216:16, & 47 \\
\hline 5249 & & (3) & 17,21,515:10,11,14, & 5182:22,5191:9; \\
\hline left (13) & 5120:20,22;5122: & listed (3) & 17,21,24;5218:1,5,11, & 220:11;5254:11,13; \\
\hline 5122:1 & 5123:2;5124 & 5169:13, & 13,15,19;5219:1,4,5,6, & 5265:14,16;5312:18 \\
\hline 5128:11,23;5131:25 & 5148:23 & & 11,14,15;5220:3,11; & looking (18) \\
\hline 5132:1,21;5169:14 & lia & 5167:14;5234:16 & 5221:23,2 & 123:20,22;51 \\
\hline 5184:13;5244:2 & & liste & 5224:17,18,21;5225:1, & 130:23;5184:3; \\
\hline 5248:5;5304:1 & 5273:8,11;5281:21 & 5195: & 6,19;5226:1;5227:18; & 200:19,21;5201:2 \\
\hline legal (11) & 5282:21;5283:19; & & 5229:3;5255:16,17,24; & 235:18;5248:13; \\
\hline  & 5284:18;5285:4,6,17 & 87:1 & 5256:4;5258:9;5259:3; & 256:25;5265:7 \\
\hline 5158:7,25; & 18;5286:22,24;5287:2, & 1 & 5261:10,18;5263:16 & 307:8;5312:1 \\
\hline 21;5185:10;5277:18, & 8,10,19,20,25;5294:18; & 87:19;52 & 5265:6,12;5271:14,25; & 5313:3;5315:7, \\
\hline 21;5278:6 & 530 & & 5272:7;5279:14; & looks (4) \\
\hline legality (1) & liability & 5243: & 5280:1;5282:23; & 5239:2;5240 \\
\hline 5278:4 & 5283:22;5286:8,13; & little (16) & 5283:8,12,14,15,15,16, & 5314:11,21 \\
\hline nder & 5 & 5126:4;5132:7,17 & 5284:10,11,16; & lost (1) \\
\hline 5121:25; & liaisin & 5135: & 5289:7,15;5306:13 & 5268:7 \\
\hline 5153:25;5154:3,2 & & 5 & loaned (1) & t (16) \\
\hline 5160:18; & liaison (5) & 5 & 5283:11 & 5139:13;5151:10; \\
\hline 5194:17;5198:16; & 5153:21;5155:16; & 5276:9,21;5302:1; & loans (25) & 5162:21;5195:13; \\
\hline 5203:15;5204:14,21 & 5251:23;5252:8; & \[
5310: 24 ; 5311: 1 ;
\] & 5171:1,4,6;5174:1,1, & 5205:7;5232:25; \\
\hline 22,23,25;5224:23; & 5254:16 & 5316:17 & 2,5,7,11;5175:21,23, & 5233:18,19,19,20,23; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5282: 6 ; 5303: 23 ; \\
& 5304: 19,25 ; 5306: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { managers (1) } \\
5131: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { maturity (1) } \\
5172: 16
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { means (12) } \\
& 5126: 12 ; 5139: 4,4 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 5117:24 } \\
\text { Michigan (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline love (3) & Manhattan (2) & May (27) & 147:12;5167:21 & 5303:15 \\
\hline 5247:4,20,22 & 5315:17,17 & 5128:10;5134:19 & 189:20;5206:3; & microphone (2) \\
\hline low (1) & manner (4) & 5136:16;5167:14 & 10:16;5212: & 5170:17;5275:16 \\
\hline 5204:9 & 5223:10,15,18; & 5180:16;5189:14; & 238:5;5254:23 & middle (2) \\
\hline lower (2) & 5226:17 & 5190:19;5195:9; & 5295:24 & 5277:10;5314:6 \\
\hline 5152:12;5 & manual (1) & 201:20;5219:15 & meant (4) & midtown (1) \\
\hline lowest (5) & 5289:12 & 5224:1,10;5225:1 & 5125:9;5187 & 5315:17 \\
\hline 1:5;5 & many (6) & 8:6;5234:22; & 5219:3;5295:22 & might (7) \\
\hline 5238:3,6,9 & 5150:18;5151:3 & 5242:2;5246:10; & mechanism (1) & 5121:18;512 \\
\hline Ltd (6) & 5166:22;5191:12 & 5247:12;5256:16 & 5131:8 & 5148:9;5231:4;5235 \\
\hline 58: & 5289:8,16 & 257:10;5275:12 & Meet (6) & 5292:11;5300:20 \\
\hline 5159:11,17 & Mar-a-Lago & 276:20,21;5277:2,23; & 5141:7;5148:20,21; & million (25) \\
\hline LTV (6) & 5277:19 & 5287:20;5290:23 & 5204:13;5290:23; & 5171:20;5175:5, \\
\hline 5180:15, & margin (1) & maybe (12) & 5301:16 & 5181:23,23,24; \\
\hline 5182:23;51 & 5212:5 & 5120:24;5134 & meeting (1) & 5183:16;5190:22,24; \\
\hline 5219:20 & Mark (6) & 5136:1;5137:13; & 40:10;5141 & 5191:19;5197:2,24,25; \\
\hline lunch (3) & 5118:12,21;5139: & 5151:6;5169:9;5188:7; & 14,16;5204:8;5230:10, & 5206:6,10,15;5208:20; \\
\hline 5186:23; & 5161:11;5246:3; & 5190:9;5239:1; & 19;5232:14,15,18,22; & 5218:3;5219:5,8,11,24, \\
\hline 5243:18 & 5259:15 & 5262:16;5264:1; & 5234:23;5282:10 & 25;5224:11;5299:23 \\
\hline luncheon (1) & marked (24) & 5299:10 & meetings (4) & mind (7) \\
\hline 5242:19 & 5170:12;51 & Mazars (68) & 5126:2;514 & 5161:18;5168:12; \\
\hline luxury (1) & 5202:8,13;5210:22; & 5142:5,5,7,8,15,25 & 5146:10;5236:8 & 5196:9;5221:6; \\
\hline 5123:13 & 5211:1;5214:19; & 5143:24;5144:9,11,15, & meets (1) & \(5234: 14 ; 5235: 4 ;\)
\(5255: 10\) \\
\hline M & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5215:2,2;5221:8; } \\
& \text { 5222:11,14;5223:4,22; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 22;5145:4,9,16,19; } \\
& \text { 5147:3;5148:2;5150:2, }
\end{aligned}
\] & 5232:20
MEMBER & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5255: 10 \\
\text { Mine (1) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline & 5225:22;5227:5,2 & 6,22,5151.4,6,18, & 5116:9;5287:4,5, & 3:23 \\
\hline main & 5256:6;5259:21; & 5153:13,19,22;5154:6, & members (10) & minimal (1) \\
\hline 5142:8,14, & 5265:25;5270:18 & 10,12;5155:7,17; & 5118:5;5125:2 & 5277:13 \\
\hline 5286:24 & 5280:25;5313:19 & 5156:5,17,20;5164:15; & 5133:24;5230:12,15; & minimum (3) \\
\hline maintain (2) & 5317:19 & 5167:4,10;5220:8; & 5232:21;5287:3,5,16, & 5206:15;5269:16,21 \\
\hline 5201:8;5292:24 & market (1) & 5222:22;5226:20; & 17 & minor (1) \\
\hline maintained (1) & 5316:6 & 5229:19;5239:15,20; & membership & 5298:5 \\
\hline 5131:8 & marketing & 5240:1;5253:4,10,15, & 5273:11;5281:24 & minute (5) \\
\hline maintaining (5) & 5129:12 & 23;5291:17;5308:8,19, & 5285:5,16;5286:9,12, & 5142:4;5156:1; \\
\hline \[
5175: 23 ; 5306: 18,22
\] & marking (1) & \[
23 ; 5309: 1,2,5,8
\] & 16;5287:6,14,15; & 5182:8;5188:4; \\
\hline \[
5307: 11 ; 5312: 11
\] & 5161:9 & \[
5310: 2,4,11,13,14,18
\] & \[
5288: 1 ; 5294: 17,1
\] & 5236:14 \\
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\hline Page 5320 & Proceedings Page 5322 \\
\hline  & \begin{tabular}{l}
THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order. \\
THE COURT: Good moming everyone. So, defendants, I understand that you have a new witness and that Mr. Birney will be back to finish at a later time. Okay. \\
Would you like to call your new witness? \\
MR. SUAREZ: Good morning, your Honor. The defense calls Dave Williams of Deutsche Bank. And also in the courtroom today I would like to point out to the Court that Deutsche Bank's Counsel, David Zensky, is also here. \\
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Let's get the next witness. \\
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. \\
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.) \\
THE COURT OFFICER: Raise your right hand. \\
(The witness complied.) \\
THE COURT OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear or affimm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth? \\
THE WITNESS: I do.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
CONTINENTHAL, PLLC \\
attorneys for the Defendants \\
101 North Monroe Street, Suite 750 \\
Tallahassee, Florida 32302 \\
BY: CHRISTOPHER M. KISE, ESQ. \\
JESUS M. SUAREZ, ESQ. \\
ROBERT \& ROBERT, PILC \\
attorneys for the Defendants \\
526 RXR Plaza \\
Uniondale, New York 11556 \\
BY: CIIFFORD ROBERT, ESQ. \\
HABBA MADATO \& ASSOCTATES, LIP \\
attorneys for the Defendants \\
1430 US Highway 296, Suite 240 \\
Bedminster, New Jersey 07921 \\
BY: ALIMA HABBA, ESQ. \\
MORTAN LAW, PITC \\
attorneys for the Defendants \\
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4600 \\
New York, New York 10165 \\
BY: ARMIEN MORTAN, ESQ. \\
Michael Ranita \\
Michele Panteloukas \\
Senior Court Reporters
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) \\
1 DAVID COSBY WILLIAMS, called by and on behalf of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: \\
THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. Please state your full name and home or business address for the record. \\
THE WITNESS: David Cosby Williams, 890 Hammocks Way, Edisto Island, South Carolina. \\
DIRECT EXAMINATION \\
BY MR. SUAREZ: \\
Q Mr. Williams, good morning. \\
A Good morning. \\
Q I'm JESUS Suarez. I represent certain of the defendants in this case. \\
Briefly, Mr. Williams, what is your educational background? \\
A I have an undergraduate degree in political science and \\
a master's of business administration. \\
THE COURT: Did you take public speaking at all? \\
You have to speak much louder right into the mic. As close as possible. \\
THE WITNESS: I got you. \\
Q Are you employed at Deutsche Bank? \\
A Yes. \\
Q How long have you been employed at Deutsche Bank?
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

2 Q In what capacities have you been employed at Deutsche Bank?

A As a senior lender and team leader.
Q Do you currently work in the Private Wealth Management Group at Deutsche Bank?

A Yes, Wealth Management.
Q How long have you worked in that division?
A Seventeen years.
Q And what have your titles been since you joined that division?
A Senior lender and team leader, also a managing director.

Q What is the role of a senior lender in the Private Wealth Management Division?
A So, we underwrite structured loans, credit requests for high net worth individuals.

Q Can you describe the underwriting process for high net worth individuals, in general terms.

A Sure. Um, typically I would consider myself to be a product specialist, my product being credit. Um, generally speaking, um, credit specialist or profit specialist is called in when a credit opportunity is identified.

Um, we speak with client or clients representatives in their office about the credit requests. We gather information,

A For structured lending products, it's generally anyone that, um, has a net worth in excess of a hundred million and investable assets of at least 10 million.

Q Were you involved in loans made by Deutsche Bank to entities sponsored by President Donald J. Trump?
A Yes, I was involved.
Q What was your involvement in those loan transactions?
A So I did not get involved until, I believe, 2014, um,
as a reviewer and supporter of what ended up being the last loan that we made to Mr. Trump.
Q Was Mr. Trump qualified by the bank -- there are a lot of folks named Trump in this case. I'll refer to him as President Trump just as an honorary.

Was President Trump qualified as an ultrahigh net worth individual by the Private Wealth Management Division by Deutsche Bank?
A Yes.
Q How is net worth typically calculated by the Wealth Management Division at Deutsche Bank to determine whether someone is an ultrahigh net worth individual?
A Um, to determine that, typically it's on the basis of the, um, financial information that the client provides.

Q And what is the significance from a lender's perspective of a hundred million dollar net worth?

A Um, I would say that would demonstrate that they have
D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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um, we prepare our due diligence, um, we underwrite the loan, submit for internal credit approval, work with typically outside legal counsel to document the loan, and then we coordinate with client's counsel to close the loan, fund the loan, and then we monitor the loan for -- during its lifetime, so to speak.

Q What is a high net worth individual from the
perspective of Deutsche Bank's Wealth Management Division?
A Generally, I would say that anyone that has a net worth in excess of 25 or \(\$ 50\) million.
Q Are you familiar with the term "ultrahigh net worth"? A Yes.
Q What is an ultrahigh net worth individual from the perspective of Deutsche Bank's Wealth Management Division?

A Generally, someone that has a net worth in excess of 100 million.
Q How does someone become a client of the Wealth Management Division of Deutsche Bank?

A Sometimes the client could be referred to the bank, um, by, um, an attorney or accountant or other professional. Often times a client, um, is sourced by what we refer to as a relationship manager or a banker within Deutsche Bank who, um, makes introduction, and then, um, provided that the client meets our, um, target market profile, um, that individual is then, um, put through our KYC process.
Q What is a "target market profile"?

Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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the financial wherewithal to provide financial support to a credit transaction.

Q In underwriting a loan, is it possible for the bank to calculate an individual's net worth to mathematical certainty?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Okay. I think the question is sort of harmless, but --

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I understand that I'm allowed to ask, with your Honor's permission, certain leading questions just to get the frame of reference going. I'm not suggesting an answer, and he's free to elaborate. It's not a yes or no question.

THE COURT: I think they are called preliminary questions that are leading, but accessible or introductory questions. I didn't think this was one of those. And I think this is all about nothing, but can you rephrase it somehow so that it's more of a general ask than, "isn't this the case?"
Q What, if anything, does the underwriting process endeavor to do to calculate an individual's assets to a mathematical certainty?
A Um, I don't believe that's possible.
Q Why not?
A I think an individual's net worth is, as it's reported, is largely subjective or is subject to the use of estimates.
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1 Q What, if anything, do you do as a lender to make sure that the bank's view of asset values is appropriate in light of a client's self-reported asset values?

A So as part of our due diligence and underwriting process, we subject clients' reported information through or to some adjustments to account for that.

Q How does the bank view adjustments that it makes to a client's stated asset values in the underwriting process?

A I'm sorry, can you repeat that.
Q Sure. How does the bank react to differences in the adjusted values of -- reached by the bank as opposed to those reported by the client?

A Again, it's part of our underwriting process. Um, it's a process that we apply to every client, um, regardless of what's reported.

Q Is a difference of opinion in asset values between the client and the bank a disqualifying factor to extend credit?

A No.
Q Why not?
A I think, again, it's -- it's a difference of opinion.
Um, I think we expect, um, a clients provided information to be, um, accurate. At the same time, um, it's not an industry standard that these financial statements are audited. Um, they are largely relying on the use of estimates. Um, that said, we account for that and make some adjustments as a conservative
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\section*{measure.}

Q What does it mean to "make adjustments as a conservative measure"?
A Well, again, I would say it's a factor of, um, the type of financial information that is, um, typically provided by, um, high net worth and ultrahigh net worth individuals. Um, again, we -- you go into it with the expectation or understanding that, um, there is a use of estimates, um, in the preparation of the financial statements.

MR. SUAREZ: Could we please pull up pre-marked Exhibit D-66 for identification.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Mr. Williams, do you recognize this document?
MR. SUAREZ: We'll give you a hard copy right now. (The witness was handed the exhibit.)
A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A Credit Risk Management Credit Guidelines for Private
Wealth Management Americas, dated June of 2012.
MR. SUAREZ: If you could please turn to page 17 of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Which is page 17 of the exhibit, page 16 of the actual
document.
A Okay.
Q And if I could direct your attention to the section that says "2.9 Commercial Real Estate." Are you familiar with this section?

A Yes.
Q Do you see where it says, "The commercial real estate lending team within PWM are considered commercial properties as collateral for borrowers short-term bridge financing needs, as well as longer term financing for up to ten years."

A Yes.
Q And then, "In both cases, loans will have recourse to one or more guarantors."

A Yes.
Q And then it goes onto list a client's eligibility criteria. And then it says, "Typical borrowers will have a net worth of over \(\$ 50\) million."

A Yes, I see that.
Q Did President Trump meet this criteria in connection with the loans obtained from Deutsche Bank?
A Yes.
Q And then it says, "Borrowers must have a proven successful track records" -- excuse me. "Borrowers must have proven successful track records in the US commercial real estate markets." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Did President Trump satisfy that criterion in connection with the loans obtained from Deutsche Bank? MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: I feel like I have to sustain that. It's leading.
Q What, if any, view did Deutsche Bank have as to whether President Trump satisfied that criteria?

A He met this criteria.
Q Going on, there is a section that says "PWM commercial real estate lending may offer: Interim loan facilities for up to five years for the purpose of acquisition or repositioning a particular property." Do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Were the loans offered by the Wealth Management Division and guaranteed by President Trump consistent with that criteria?

A Yes.
Q Then it goes onto say "Long term loan facilities for stabilized properties of up to eight years designed to give borrowers some flexibility when compared to traditional conduit financing."

What does it mean "to give borrowers some flexibility when compared to traditional conduit financing"?
A So "conduit financing" is nonrecourse financing. By
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5332 & D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5334 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 that, I mean, generally speaking, no individual is signed on as \\
2 a guarantor for the loan. Structures are a little bit more \\
3 rigid in terms of prepayments, um, might be a little bit higher \\
4 priced. Loan-to-value on the collateral may be slightly lower.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
MR. SUAREZ: Can we zoom in on section 4.25, Verification of Material Facts. \\
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 5 So within Wealth Management lending for commercial real estate & \(5 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Where it says "It is the credit officer's \\
\hline 6 transactions with a personal guaranty, we might offer more & 6 responsibility to independently verify all material facts \\
\hline 7 flexible terms in terms of all of those things, higher & 7 pertaining to a credit (e.g., if told that an entity benefits \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
8 loan-to-value, better pricing, more flexibility in terms of \\
9 repayment.
\end{tabular} & 8 from a statutory guarantee, evidence should be obtained to 9 support this view; if told that a line is fully utilized, this \\
\hline 10 Q And moving on to the "additional criteria." It says & 10 should be checked; if told an entity is a subsidiary of another \\
\hline 11 "PWM lending will consider a maximum advance rate of up to & 11 entity, this should be supported by evidence on the KYC form) \\
\hline 1285 percent, depending upon term, loan covenants, property type, & 12 these examples are meant to be illustrative rather than \\
\hline 13 debt service, coverage ratios, and markets in which the property & 13 exhaus \\
\hline 14 exists." Do you see that? & 14 What does this mean, Mr. Williams? \\
\hline 15 A Yes & 15 A This is illustrative or a summary of, um, significant \\
\hline 16 Q Which of any of those factors are determinat & 16 part of our due diligence in which, as it states, we \\
\hline 17 bank's & 17 independently verify all material facts as they pertain to a \\
\hline 18 A I'm sorry, can you repeat the question. & 18 credit transaction. \\
\hline 19 Q Are any of those factors determinative of -- in the & 19 Q And was this process adhered to in connection with the \\
\hline 20 bank's decision to make the loan? & 20 loan made by the Wealth Management Division of Deutsche Bank to \\
\hline 21 A Yes, I would say those are all factors. & 21 the Trump Old Post Office, LLC? \\
\hline 22 Q Are they all factors that are considered by the bank & 22 A Y \\
\hline 23 its underwriting process? & 23 Q Was it adhered to in the loan made by the Wealth \\
\hline 24 A Ye & 24 Management Division to 401 North Wabash? \\
\hline 25 Q And do you see where it says, "It is anticipated that & 25 A I belie \\
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\hline 1 this program will appeal to those borrowers who are acquiring o & 1 MR. WALLACE: Objection. \\
\hline 2 repositioning non-stabilized properties"? & 2 A Yes \\
\hline 3 A Yes. & 3 MR. WALLACE: Objection. Foundation. \\
\hline 4 Q What, if any, view do you have as to whether the loans & 4 THE COURT: I thought you were going to object to \\
\hline 5 extended by the Wealth Management Division and guaranteed & 5 leading. \\
\hline 6 President Trump satisfied this criteria? & 6 MR. WALLACE: It is leading, but I'm trying to \\
\hline 7 A They satisfied this criteria. & 7 us get through this. \\
\hline 8 MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's & 8 THE COURT: So far through his own ingenuity or my \\
\hline 9 Exhibit 66 into evidence. & 9 liberality, Mr. Suarez has circumvented all your leading \\
\hline 10 THE COURT: Granted. & 10 objections. He gets it in any way. \\
\hline 11 (Defendant's Exhibit 66 was deemed marked and & 11 Was this adhered to in the Wabash loan, was that \\
\hline 12 admitted in evidence.) & 12 basically the question? \\
\hline 13 MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up pre-marked & 13 MR. SUAREZ: Yes. \\
\hline 14 for identification Defendant's Exhibit 378. & 14 THE COURT: Do you want to add leading to the list? \\
\hline 15 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 15 MR. WALLACE: I will add leading to the list, your \\
\hline 16 screen.) & 16 Honor, and I will add that we haven't established which \\
\hline 17 Q Mr. Williams, do you recognize this document? & 17 specific loans using the terms Mr. Suarez is using here. \\
\hline 18 A Yes. & 18 THE COURT: We would have to identify the loans a \\
\hline 19 Q What is this document? & 19 little bit more, or the loan. \\
\hline 20 A It's the Private Wealth Management Credit Process Guide & 20 Q Are you aware of any instance in which Deutsche Bank \\
\hline 21 from February of 2012. & 21 failed to adhere to its own credit lending guidelines when \\
\hline 22 Q Can you please turn to page 17 of this document, which & 22 making loans guaranteed by President Trump? \\
\hline 23 is also page 17 of the exhibit? & \[
23 \text { A No. }
\] \\
\hline 24 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 24 MR. SUAREZ: If you could please turn to page 18. \\
\hline 25 screen.) & 25 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A Yes.
Q What is a payment default?
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
A It's when a client or a borrower fails to make a timely payment on a loan.

Q Are you familiar with a covenant default?
A Yes.
Q What is a covenant default?
A When a borrower or client fails to adhere to
requirements of a particular financial or reporting covenant.
Q What, if anything -- withdrawn.
How, if at all, does the bank react differently to a payment of default as opposed to a covenant default?
A Generally speaking, a payment default is a more material default than a covenant default.
Q Why is that?
A It speaks definitively to the repayment of the loan. (Continued on the next page.)

Q Is the repayment of a loan the bank's primary consideration when underwriting the loan?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Why is the bank concerned with a repayment on its loans?

A When we make a loan I would say that's a key or perhaps the primary consideration, is how we are anticipating or expecting to be repaid in full.

Q And how does that affect the bank's reaction to a payment default as opposed to a covenant default; if at all?

A A covenant default would occur if the client or borrower failed to adhere to a particular covenant. Covenants are generally set at the loan's origination as guardrails; an opportunity to come back to the table, so to speak, with the client in the event a covenant default is triggered.

A payment default alternatively speaks, again, definitively to client's ability to repay the loan.

Q Can you describe what you mean by a guardrail that permits the bank to come back to the table?

A I can provide an example.
Q Sure.
A We may require a guarantor to maintain a minimum level of stated net worth. If throughout the life of the loan the guarantor fails to adhere to that minimal requirement, it
gives us an opportunity to discuss that with the client, better understand what may have triggered that default, and then determine a course of action going forward.

Q What, if any, payment default on a credit facility guaranteed by President Trump are you familiar with?

A I am not aware of any payment defaults.
Q What, if any, covenant default are you aware of with respect to a credit facility guaranteed by President Trump?

A I am not aware of any covenant defaults.
MR. SUAREZ: Let's please pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 293, previously in evidence.
(Handing)
MR. SUAREZ: Can you please turn to page five of this document? Which is page five of the exhibit, page four of the document. And focus on the section in the bottom of the page labeled "liquidity."
Q Do you see where it says on December 20, 2011, a structured lending team of Dave Williams and Emily Schroder visited the offices of the guarantor and reviewed bank and brokerage statements that confirmed 178 million in cash balances and 51.8 million marketable securities; totaling 229 million held in the name of the guarantor. In addition, they also reviewed statements for operating companies that were wholly owned by the guarantor, which evidenced another 29 million cash and marketable securities?
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A Yes.
Q What role, if any, did you have in connection with
loans guaranteed by President Trump in 2011?
A So I was not otherwise involved, but I was here in
Manhattan on that date. Our manager at the time, Tom Sullivan, was otherwise unavailable, so he asked me to accompany Ms. Schroder on that visit to the client's offices.

Q And did -- did you, in fact, visit the offices of President Trump on that day?

A Yes.
Q How long did you spend at the office?
A I would say 90 minutes.
Q Who did you meet with at the office?
A The one person I recall was Allen Weisselberg.
Q What documents did you review?
A The bank and brokerage statements referenced in the exhibit, as well as Mr. Trump's personal tax returns.

Q Why did you endeavor to verify the bank and brokerage accounts containing cash?

A So that goes back to the credit policy that we previously discussed with respect to verification of any material facts. Client had reported certain amount of liquidity on his financial statements, and this was simply part of the process of verifying the amounts of those accounts.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to the next page.

Q What importance, if any, did the underwriting of the guarantor place on a 50 percent discount in his stated liquidity?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, both on foundation and he said he wasn't involved in the underwriting, and clarity.

THE COURT: I don't see the relevance here, but go ahead, Mr. Suarez.

MR. SUAREZ: He said he was involved in the visit to confirm the cash. And that he is an underwriter familiar with Deutsche Bank's Private Wealth Management Group, now the Wealth Management Division's underwriting guidelines.

THE COURT: I'll overruled myself. I understand. All right. Let's just get an answer.

Do you need a readback?
THE WITNESS: Please.
THE COURT: Read it back, please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: The question was, "did the underwriter," right?

MR. SUAREZ: Correct.
THE COURT: He wasn't the underwriter, correct?
MR. SUAREZ: It is the underwriting of the
guaranty by the underwriter. I'll try to rephrase it.
Q What, if any, importance was placed by the underwriter on the fact that the guarantor's stated liquidity was adjusted by 50 percent?

MR. WALLACE: I am going to object again. He is being asked -- it is just not clear to me if he is being asked what another person who was the underwriter placed on the importance of the question. It is just unclear to me what the witness is being asked.

THE COURT: Let's try to get to the heart of the matter.

Were you the underwriter on this loan?
THE WITNESS: No, sir.
THE COURT: Would you know what the underwriter thought about this adjustment?

THE WITNESS: Not necessarily.
THE COURT: Objection sustained.
Q In your experience as an underwriter with the Wealth Management Division, what, if any, reaction would you have to a guarantor's stated liquidity being reduced by 50 percent?

A So, I think it is atypical, but not entirely unusual, just depends on the circumstances.

Q What, if anything, does the adjustment of the stated liquidity, in your experience as an underwriter at Deutsche Bank -- withdrawn.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Williams - by Defendant - Direct ( Suarez) Page 5344} \\
\hline 1 & We will slice that one later. \\
\hline 2 & Are you aware of how -- \\
\hline 3 & MR. SUAREZ: If we can turn to the first page of \\
\hline 4 & this document? \\
\hline 5 & Q Are you familiar with an entity named Trump Endeavor \\
\hline 6 & 12 LLC ? \\
\hline 7 & A Yes. \\
\hline 8 & Q How are you familiar with that entity? \\
\hline 9 & A That was one of the borrowers for one of our loans or \\
\hline 10 & credit transactions. \\
\hline 11 & Q What was the collateral for the loan made to the \\
\hline 12 & Trump Endeavor 12 LLC entity? \\
\hline 13 & A As stated here in the exhibit, it is the first \\
\hline & mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the Doral \\
\hline 15 & Golf Resort and Spa located in Miami, Florida. \\
\hline 16 & MR. WALLACE: Can I note, to clarify for the \\
\hline 17 & record, that Trump Endeavor 12 LLC does not appear on the \\
\hline 18 & page that we are looking at? \\
\hline 19 & MR. SUAREZ: Sure it does. \\
\hline 20 & MR. WALLACE: Where? \\
\hline 21 & The borrower is TBD SPV acceptable lender. \\
\hline 22 & MR. SUAREZ: Okay. Let's scroll up. \\
\hline 23 & Q Are you aware what entity the SPV acceptable lender \\
\hline & ultimately was for the loan collateralized for the Doral Golf \\
\hline & Course? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Williams - by Defendant - Direct ( Suarez)
Page 5345

\footnotetext{
A Ultimately it was Trump Endeavor.
Q That's Trump Endeavor 12 LLC?
A Yes.
Q Is that a loan that the Wealth Management division made to that entity for the purposes of acquiring a golf course in Doral?

A Yes.
Q May we refer to that as the Doral loan?
A Fine by me.
Q Okay.
MR. SUAREZ: If we turn to Plaintiffs Exhibit 290.

Q Do you see there it says: Borrower, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: And then if we scroll down.
Q Do you see where it says: The collateral property consists of a 622-acre golf course and spa that includes four tournament class golf courses; and goes on to list additional characteristics of the golf course?

A Yes.
Q And the resort?
A Yes.
Q Is that consistent with your understanding of the Trump Doral loan?
}

1 A Yes

Q Are you familiar with the concept of a step-down?
A Yes.
Q What is a step-down?
A I believe in the context you are referring to, it pertains to a step-down of a personal guaranty.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we please turn to Plaintiff's
Exhibit 291?
(Handing)
Q Mr. Williams, are you familiar with a borrower 401 North Wabash Venture LLC?

A Yes.
Q How are you familiar with that borrower?
A It was one of three loans that we ultimately extended to Mr. Trump.

Q If I refer to this loan as the Trump Chicago loan or the Chicago loan, will you understand what I am referring to?

A Yes.
Q Was there a step-down in the Trump Chicago loan?
A I believe so, yes.
Q Was there a step-down in the Doral loan? MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained. It does suggest the
answer and it is a yes or no question.
Q What, if anything, are you aware of concerning the
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existence of a step-down in the Trump Doral loan?
A I believe that loan had a step-down provision as well.

Q Are step-down provisions consistent with the Wealth Management Group's approved business strategies?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.
Q How, if at all, are step-down's consistent with Deutsche Bank's Wealth Management Group's approved business strategies?

A They are considered from time to time.
Q On what basis are step-down provisions approved by the Wealth Management Group?

A Typically it depends on the strength of the collateral, specifically the loan-to-value. If the loan-to-value is lower we might be inclined to consider a step-down or perhaps no personal guaranty.

Q Why is that?
A If the loan-to-value against the collateral is lower, then arguably there is less reliance on the personal guaranty.

Q And what, if anything, does the loan-to-value of the collateral affect the bank's consideration on whether to agree to a step-down on the guaranty?

A Can you rephrase the question?
Q How, if at all, is the bank's decision to agree to a step-down impacted by the quality of the collateral?

A So, again, the lower the loan-to-value, the more inclined we might be willing to consider a partial guaranty or perhaps no guaranty.

Q Why is that the case?
A Again, because there is arguably less reliance on the personal guaranty, more on the collateral. Is the collateral sufficiently strong enough to support the loan perhaps, without the addition of the personal guaranty.

Q And how, if at all, does the likelihood of repayment affect the bank's decision to agree to a step-down on a guaranty?

A That can also be a factor, as determined by, typically, debt service coverage of a particular property type or collateral.

Q And how, if at all, is that consistent with the Wealth Management Group's approved business strategies?

A It is consistent.
MR. SUAREZ: We can bring up PX-290, which is
already in evidence. And turn to page four of 20. And
zoom in on the section that says "guaranty."
Q Do you see where it says: Donald Trump shall continue to personally guarantee a percentage of principal, interest and operating shortfalls. However, the percentage of such guaranty shall be maintained in accordance with the defined LTV range as indicated in the table below?
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A I see that.
Q Is that consistent with your understanding of a step-down?

A Yes check
Q It says: Any reduction in such guaranty levels will be considered permanent, unless the guarantor elects to increase the guaranty level for purposes of curing any shortfalls in accordance with the max LTV requirement. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Is that consistent with your understanding of a step-down?

A Yes.
Q From a lending perspective, why, if at all, are step-downs an appropriate business term?

A So I think previously we discussed flexibility of wealth management lending relative to conduit financing, which requires no personal guaranty. So I would consider this as sort of a hybrid between conduit financing, which requires no personal guaranty, and wealth management lending, which generally requires a personal guaranty.

Q How does a reduction in the guaranty level affect, if at all, the minimum net worth covenant on the Doral loan?

A It doesn't affect it.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we please turn to page five of

20? We can zoom in here on required net worth.
Q It says: Guarantor shall maintain a net worth of not less than the product of \(\mathrm{X}, \$ 2.5\) billion, times Y , the applicable guaranty level.

Are you familiar with the Trump Chicago loan had a different provision here on the required net worth?

A Yes, now that you are showing that to me, I am reminded of that.

Q And that's, to be clear, that's the Trump Chicago loan term?

MR. SUAREZ: No. I am sorry. Let me rephrase that.
Q Do you see on the left it says: At all times during the term of the loan --

MR. SUAREZ: And it might be helpful if we scroll up to the top of page two where it says "facility details." Page two of the exhibit.
Q And this was a reference to the Trump Doral, correct?
A Yes. At this time or this memo pertains to the Trump Doral facility, which, I believe at this time was the only credit facility we had in place.

Q And the terms were revised, correct?
A Yes, that appears to be the case.
Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: I would like to note a foundation
objection, since I believe this document dates prior to the time that the witness said he was working on the loans for the Trump Organization, which is 2014.

THE COURT: Couldn't he know if they were revised later?

MR. WALLACE: I am not suggesting that he might not. I am asking if there is a foundation to establish his familiarity with the information contained in the document.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's get a foundation.
Q Have you become familiar with the terms of the Trump Doral loan?

A Yes.
Q How have you become familiar with the terms of the Trump Doral loan?

A So, once I got involved in the day-to-day of this relationship in, again as I recall, it was in 2014, it was my responsibility to become familiar with the terms of the entire relationship and the history.

MR. SUAREZ: And on that basis I would ask about changes to the loan part of his involvement?

THE COURT: Well, I guess there might be one more foundation question.

Are you familiar with the history of the loan?
MR. SUAREZ: I believe he just said he was.

Q Is the change in the required net worth covenant consistent with Deutsche Bank's approved business strategies? MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What, if anything, is consistent with the change in the required net worth covenant with Deutsche Bank's approved business strategies?

A I go back to my earlier answer when I said net worth is typically not a consideration for a step-down. Um, it appears that at some point, the date of this memo, um, it was determined that that was an appropriate change to make.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 2960.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: Previously admitted into evidence.
Q If you could take a look at page four of this document, the signature sections. Do you identify the signature above where it says "Dave Williams"?
A Ido.
Q Is that your signature?
A Yes.
Q Are you familiar with this document?
A Yes.
Q And what, if anything, does it mean that this document
bears your signature?
A So in Wealth Management lending, we sign in support of any given or particular transaction.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page three of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q At the top it says "Guaranty type." Do you see there where it says "Based on the updated appraised of 366 million, the current LTV is 34 percent, which would drop Donald Trump's personal guarantee in full (this was documented at the loan's origination). However, Trump has requested to maintain a ten percent guarantee on the combined loan amount of both tranches resulting in the facility being priced at L plus 1.75 percent. Retaining a ten percent guaranty level clearly strengthens the credit."
A I see that, yes.
Q What does that mean?
A So based on an appraisal updated appraisal of the collateral property received at this time, the LTV was 34 percent, which brought it below the maximum LTV of 35 percent that would have -- above which would have required his guarantee, personal guaranty. There was some optionality to that, um, wherein if he decided to retain or offer to continue to maintain his personal guaranty, pricing would have been
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reduced by, I think it was about ten basis points, .10 percent.
Q And what, if anything, does the report show about how the bank's proved exposure on this loan accorded with the banks approved business strategy?

A I'm not sure that it does.
Q Is anything in this report inconsistent with -- let me rephrase that.

What, if anything, is inconsistent with the bank making its own analysis with respect to an approved business strategy of the bank?
A I mean, when I think of a business strategy, I'm not necessarily thinking about, um, the guaranteed level on a loan. I'm thinking more about the individual's broader relationship with the bank.

Q And what does it mean that the guarantor is requesting to maintain ten percent of the guarantee level?

A So, again, as I recall, it was some optionality to the personal guaranty where if we retain some percentage of guarantee, the pricing -- the credit facility was reduced somewhat.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page two of this report, the section where it says "interest rate."
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Does this section, which says, "L plus 1.75 percent or
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5356 & D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5358 \\
\hline 1 prime minus . 75 percent, with step-up to L plus two percent or & 1 Wabash Venture, LLC? \\
\hline 2 prime minus . 5 percent, if guaranty level falls below ten & 2 A Yes. \\
\hline 3 percent, see be & 3 Q The second column has the original approved terms; is \\
\hline 4 A I see t & 4 that right? \\
\hline \(5 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Is that the optionality that you were describing? & 5 A Yes. \\
\hline 6 A Yes. & 6 Q And the second column -- excuse me, the third column \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) Q What is the affect on the interest rate of terminating & 7 has the proposed revised terms? \\
\hline 8 the guaranty, & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline 9 A So the interest rate was scheduled to increase from & 9 Q Why would the loan terms be revised during the term of \\
\hline 10 LIBOR plus 1.75 percent to LIBOR plus two percent. So a & 10 the lo \\
\hline 11 difference of & 11 A So one of the reasons for presentation, as mentioned, \\
\hline 12 MR. SUAREZ: Let's turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 294. & 12 was to review, but also to increase the loan amount for this \\
\hline 13 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 13 particular facility, the Chicago Facility. So at that time it \\
\hline 14 screen.) & 14 would not be unusual to revisit some of the original terms. \\
\hline 15 MR. & 15 MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page six. \\
\hline 16 document & 16 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline 17 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 17 screen.) \\
\hline 18 screen.) & 18 Q Do you see the section where it says "Guaranty"? \\
\hline 19 Q Mr. Williams, do you recognize your signature on this & 19 A Yes. \\
\hline 20 page & 20 Q And the second column it says, "Donald Trump to \\
\hline 21 A & 21 personally guarantee a hundred percent of the principal, \\
\hline 22 Q Does it appear above the line where it says "Dave & 22 interest and operating shortfalls." \\
\hline 23 Williams"? & 23 A Yes. \\
\hline 24 A It do & 24 Q And do you see in the third column there is a change to \\
\hline 25 Q And what does your signature on this credit & 25 that term? \\
\hline D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5357 & D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5359 \\
\hline 1 m & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 A Again, that as a -- someone in lending, or lender, I've & 2 Q It says, "Donald Trump shall continue to personally \\
\hline 3 signed on in support of this tr & 3 guarantee a percentage of principal, interest and operating \\
\hline 4 MR. SUAREZ: If we could go back to page one of the & 4 shortfalls. However, once the principal balance of the loan \\
\hline 5 report. & 5 falls below \$45 million, the percentage of such guaranty shall \\
\hline 6 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 6 be maintained in accordance with defined LTV range as indicated \\
\hline 7 screen.) & 7 in the table below. Any reduction in such guaranty levels will \\
\hline 8 Q What is the purpose of this rep & 8 be considered permanent, unless guarantor elects to increase the \\
\hline 9 A So in the reason for presentation it describes three & 9 guaranty level for purposes of curing any shortfalls in \\
\hline 10 things, annual review of the Trump Endeavor loan, annual review & 10 accordance with the max LTV requirement." \\
\hline 11 -- increase of the Chicago loan, and the approval of the Trump & 11 Why would the bank agree to that change in the loan \\
\hline 12 Old Post Office loan. & 12 term? \\
\hline 13 Q And the Trump Old Post Office loan, that's the Trump & 13 MR. WALLACE: Im just going to note my objection \\
\hline 14 OPO loan that we discussed earlier; correct? & 14 to the "would" as opposed to why did the bank agree to the \\
\hline A Yes. & 15 change in the loan term. \\
\hline 16 Q Was a step-down present in the Chicago loan? & 16 Q I'm happy to restate it. \\
\hline 17 A Yes, I believe so. & 17 Why did the bank agree to the loan - the change in the \\
\hline 18 MR. SUAREZ: Can we take a look at page six of & 18 loan term? \\
\hline 19 Plaintiff's Exhibit & 19 A I believe it was in response to similar changes that we \\
\hline 20 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 20 had made at this point -- by this point on the Doral loan, the \\
\hline 21 screen.) & 21 Trump Endeavor loan. \\
\hline 22 MR. SUAREZ: Actually, let's start at page fiver & 22 Q And how, if at all, would providing a step-down on the \\
\hline 23 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 23 guaranty be consistent with the bank's approved business \\
\hline 24 screen.) & 24 strategy? \\
\hline 25 Q Do you see at the top where it says Loan B, 401 North & 25 A So, again, it's consistent in certain cases. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you recognize your signature on this page?
A Yes.
Q What does your signature on this page mean?
A That I signed in support of this particular credit memo.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'm at a good place in my outline to take our break.

THE COURT: Okay. We'll tack on another two minutes and see you all at 11:35.
(Whereupon, a 15-minute break was agreed upon and taken by all parties.)

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Okay. Do we have our witness?
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT: At the start of the break I forgot to admonish the witness not to talk to anybody about his testimony, or this case, or anything related. I trust and assume he didn't, so let's just proceed with the direct examination.
Q Mr. Williams, if we could please go back to Plaintiff's
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broader non-credit relationship with a client.
Q Was the guaranty on the Chicago loan ever terminated? A Yes.

MR. SUAREZ: Can we take a look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 298.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: At page four.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see the section that where it says "Guaranty"?
A Yes.
Q See where it says "Guaranty NA, Donald Trump's personal guaranty has been eliminated due to the fact that the facility LTV is below the 35 percent threshold." Then it says, "based on the latest appraisal completed, the hotel collateral was valued at 133 million, which results in a 34 percent LTV?

A Yes.
Q How, if at all, was the termination of the guarantee on the Chicago loan consistent with the bank's approved business strategy?
A It was consistent with the strategy that was originally set out when the loans were originated.

MR. SUAREZ: If we turn to page seven of this document.
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\section*{Exhibit 294.}
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to the second page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q "Assets under management." Do you see where the credit report states that "Donald J. Trump had 40.4 million in cash deposits"?

A Yes.
Q How, if at all, does that accord with the bank's approved business strategy?

A It's consistent in that, again, when we were making a loan, we looked to establish a broader non-credit relationship with the client.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page seven of the loan.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What is the effect, if any, of the termination of the Doral guaranty on the minimum liquidity covenant?

A This appears to be in the document referring to the Chicago loan on page five.

Q I'm sorry. That's correct.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could go back to page four.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see where it says, "The DSC covenant shall be increased to correspond with the defined step-downs in the guaranty levels as indicated in the chart below." What does that mean?
A So it looks like we were just adjusting this covenant so that it was consistent with, um -- it was just adjusted to correspond with adjusted guaranty levels.
Q What is the effect of the termination of the guaranty level on the DSC covenant?
A Can you repeat the question.
Q Sure. What, if any, effect on the DSC covenant results from the termination of the guaranty on the Doral loan?
A So it looks like anytime the debt service coverage was in excess of 1.25 times, the guaranty level reduced to zero, if that's what you are asking.
Q Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: If you could turn to page ten.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: To the section that says
"recommendation."
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)

Q Do you see where it says, "Approval of (i) the annual review for Facility A (Doral), (ii), the modification increase to Facility B (Trump Chicago Hotel)", and then it says (ii) again, "the origination of Facility C (Trump Old Post Office) are being recommended based on"?
A Yes, I see that.
Q And then it says, "financial strength of the guarantor.
The financial profile of the guarantor includes, on an adjusted
basis, a net worth of 2.6 billion with 154.5 million in unencumbered liquidity."
A Yes, I see that.
Q What does "on an adjusted basis" mean?
A That refers to our credit underwriting and due diligence process in which we make adjustments to client's financial statements and stated net worth.
Q How, if anything, does the adjusted basis -- withdrawn.
How, if at all, does the adjusted basis reflect the bank's own analysis of the guarantor's financial strength?
A Um, it reflects our analysis.
Q And then in operating experience it says, "DJT's extensive experience in operating private golf country clubs. His current portfolio includes 13 such clubs, with a reported value of 1.66 billion, and DB adjusted value of 680.6 million"?

A Yes.
Q What does "DB adjusted value" mean?

A So that's the value that's reflective of any adjustments that we might have made to the value of those certain assets.
Q And how, if at all, was a difference of almost \(\$ 1\) billion between the reported value and the DB adjusted value, impact the underwriting?
A So it's part of the underwriting process, as I mentioned earlier. It's a conservative measure to make these adjustments. You might even say it's a stress test to ensure that even after these adjustments, the guarantor exhibits a certain level of financial strength that can support the loan.
Q And how, if at all, did you react to the reduction by almost \(\$ 1\) billion of the reported value of the guarantor's club portfolio from the DB adjusted value of 680 million?
A I don't recall having any particular reaction. I would say that was not an unusual adjustment.
Q Then it says, "DB relationship." It says, "DJT continues to develop his relationship with DB as Facility B will be the fourth credit facility we have originated with him or his family (three with DJT, one with DJT, Jr.) DJT has transferred 40 million in liquidity to DB , and has indicated he is interested in continued -- to grow his noncredit relationship with the firm." Do you see that?

A I see that, yes.
Q What does "noncredit relationship with the firm" mean?
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A By its definition, it's anything that is not credit related. So in this case it's referring to deposits or other liquid assets that are held at Deutsche Bank.

MR. WALLACE: I apologize, your Honor. When that got read into the record, it was read Facility B instead of Facility C.

MR. SUAREZ: I think I said "Facility C." If I misspoke. I apologize.

MR. WALLACE: I just wanted to correct it.
THE COURT: It's corrected for the record.
Q How is Facility \(C\) a continued development of the relationship with Deutsche Bank?

A So, as it stated. It happened to be the fourth credit facility we were originating with Mr. Trump, or his family.

Q How, if at all, does the fact that Facility \(C\) was the fourth credit facility originated with President Trump or his family support the recommendations contained in this credit report?

A I think it was indicative of the growing or expansive nature of the overall relationship and our comfort with it.

Q Then going down to Facility A, it says, "Equity injection of the guarantor: While the initial equity injection of the guarantor was estimated at 50 million, we have been told that the estimate has been increased to anywhere from 150 million to 160 million, with approximately \(\mathbf{3 0}\) million already
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Page 5368 & Williams - by Defendant - Direct ( Suarez) Page 5370 \\
\hline 1 invested." & 1 this screen? \\
\hline 2 A I see that, yes. & 2 MR. SUAREZ: Sure. If you notice there is page \\
\hline \(3 \quad Q\) How did that support the recommendation with respect to & 3 ten which has the recommendations and then -- \\
\hline 4 Facility A, if at all? & 4 THE COURT: I think he means the screen right in \\
\hline 5 A So as noted, this was an annual review of Facility A. & 5 front of him \\
\hline 6 It had already been on-the-books, so to speak, for a number of & 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, there is nothing on it. \\
\hline 7 years, and this statement is indicative of the amount of equity & 7 MR. SUAREZ: It looks like we lost the cable \\
\hline 8 Mr . Trump put into the project, the Doral project, with which we & 8 then. We might need technical help. \\
\hline 9 would take some comfort, because that reduces -- would reduce & 9 THE COURT: A technician, technician. \\
\hline 10 the amount of the loan facility that he would need. Said & 10 Tommy, is there any way to see if -- \\
\hline 11 another way, he is putting more of his own money in and less of & 11 (Pause) \\
\hline 12 ours. & 12 THE COURT: Thank you. \\
\hline 13 (Continued on the next page.) & 13 Q If you notice, the pagination on the credit report \\
\hline 14 & 14 jumps around. Page ten has the recommendations; and then page \\
\hline 15 & 1511 has the signatures; and then page 12, the recommendations \\
\hline 16 & 16 appear to continue up top there. \\
\hline 17 & 17 And it says: Facility A and B leverage levels \\
\hline 18 & 18 required for step-down of the guaranty. \\
\hline 19 & 19 It says: The LTV levels required for decrease in the \\
\hline 20 & 20 guaranty levels are low enough to ensure that the loan is more \\
\hline 21 & 21 than adequately collateralized. \\
\hline 22 & 22 What does that mean? \\
\hline 23 & 23 A So it is a -- like a restatement or reminder, again \\
\hline 24 & 24 this is all part of the annual review of these two facilities \\
\hline 25 & 25 that have been on the books, I believe, for some time at this \\
\hline Williams - by Defendant - Direct ( Suarez) Page 5369 & Williams - by Defendant - Direct ( Suarez) Page 5371 \\
\hline \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And why does the fact that the guarantor is putting & 1 point, that the loan-to-value levels on the properties \\
\hline 2 more of his own money in provide the bank a level of comfort? & 2 corresponded with the decrease in the guaranty, such that as \\
\hline 3 A He has more at stake in that particular asset and & 3 the loan-to-value of the collateral for each of these \\
\hline 4 transaction. & 4 corresponding properties decreased, the guaranty would decrease \\
\hline \(5 \quad\) Q You see it goes on to say: Inspected enhanced value & 5 with that. \\
\hline 6 due to Capex? & \(6 \quad\) Q And moving on to Facility B. It says: Property \\
\hline 7 And it says: The resort is a world class location & 7 produces sufficient cash flow to support the requested increase \\
\hline 8 that has been home to a PGA event every year since the resort & 8 in debt at a 1.74X (P\&I) and 2.45X (I/O) coverage ratio. \\
\hline 9 opened in 1962. As DJT expects to invest approximately & 9 How, if at all, is that meaningful to the \\
\hline 10 150-160 million in capital improvements, it is expected that & 10 underwriting decisions in connection with this facility? \\
\hline 11 the value of our collateral will increase significantly over & 11 A So that's one of the underwriting considerations with \\
\hline 12 the term of the facility? & 12 respect to our due diligence for the collateral property, is \\
\hline 13 A I see that, yes. & 13 its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to cover the \\
\hline 14 Q How did that impact the recommendation contained in & 14 repayment of the loan, both on an amortizing or principal and \\
\hline 15 this credit report with respect to Facility A? & 15 interest basis, as well as an interest only basis. I believe \\
\hline 16 A So in conjunction with the capital improvements that & 16 this loan was scheduled for repayment on an interest only \\
\hline 17 Mr. Trump was putting in personally through his own financial & 17 basis. \\
\hline 18 resources, as a result of those the anticipation was that we & 18 Q And how, if at all, is the cash flow generated by the \\
\hline 19 would expect to -- could reasonably expect to see some & 19 collateral meaningful to the bank's underwriting of a facility? \\
\hline 20 increased value in the property over time. Particularly since & 20 A It is very meaningful. \\
\hline 21 up to that time it had hosted a professional golf event every & 21 Q And how, if at all, is the cash flow of a guarantor \\
\hline 22 year for the previous 60 years. & 22 relevant to the bank's underwriting of a proposed credit \\
\hline 23 MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page 12 of this & 23 facility? \\
\hline 24 document? & 24 A It is definitely also a meaningful consideration. \\
\hline 25 THE WITNESS: Would it be possible to see it on & 25 Q In Facility B it goes on to say: Quality of the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A So it was also a consideration in so far as -- As the loan amount is paid down, the loan-to-value decreases, therefore, increasing our comfort with the credit.

Q And for Facility C, that was the Old Post Office loan, correct?

A Yes, that's correct.
Q It says: Equity injection of the guarantor. While the initial equity injection of the guarantor was established (sic.) at 42M -

THE COURT: Not established.
MR. SUAREZ: Estimated.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you. I am sorry.
Q -- at \$42 million, we have been told that the estimate has been increased to anywhere from 150-160 million with approximately 30 million already invested.

Do you see that?
A I see that, yes.
Q How was that relevant to the credit decisions reflected in this memorandum?

A So, similar to, I believe it was the Doral loan, Mr. Trump as the guarantor/principal owner, was putting in or investing a significant amount of his own equity and liquidity into the project, which gives us an increased level of comfort given that he has more at stake in this particular transaction.

And it would also conceivably reduce our loan amount because he is not borrowing that amount, he is putting that amount in from his own proceeds.

Q And how does that equity injection by the guarantor, if at all, impact the risk to the bank on that specific credit facility?

A It arguably reduces our risk if he has got more invested in his own money. He is certainly less inclined to see the project fail.

MR. SUAREZ: If we can turn to page \(14 ?\)
Q Are you familiar with the chart which appears here at the center of page \(14 ?\)

A Yes.
Q What does this chart represent?
A It is illustrative of Mr. Trump's reported financial statements, as well as some adjustments that we made as part of our standard underwriting and due diligence process.

Q For the year ending June 30, 2013, for the last two columns from the right side of the page, what does the column: DJT June 30, 2013 client reported, represent?

A That represents information that was provided with his June 30, 2013 financial statement, personal financial statement.

Q And what does the column: DJT June 30, 2013 (DB adjusted) represent?

A The same financial statement after we apply our standard adjustments, or they are also referred to as "haircuts" to the assets and liabilities.

Q And what, if anything, does that column with the DB adjusted values reflect of Deutsche Bank's own analysis of the financial statement?

A Again, it is -- it is after we have made what I would say are generally our standard adjustments that we apply to really any given high-net-worth individual or ultra-high-net-worth individual's provided financial statements.

Q Now, if we start with liquidity, it says that the guarantor reports liquidity of 339 million as of June 30, 2013 consisting of 150 million in Mr. Trump's name personally and 154 million held in various entities.

THE COURT: I think you misstated the number
there. Didn't you say 150 instead of 185 ?
MR. SUAREZ: Consisting of - I can start again if I was unclear. I don't have the realtime, so I can't --

THE COURT: I don't either, but I am pretty sure you said 150 instead of 185.

I am correct I am told.
MR. SUAREZ: All right. I'll withdraw that.
Q In the section that says liquidity, it says: The
understanding is they were consulted in particular with respect to these four, as they were referred to, trophy properties and the valuation of those properties.

Q And how, if at all, were the values reported by the guarantor adjusted by the DB Valuation Services Group?

A Based on the memo I am reminded that in one particular case there was a recent appraisal that we were privy to that resulted in an adjustment. That's one particular example of where or how an adjustment was made.

Q Was that a standard adjustment?
A I think if information was available that could augment our analysis and adjustment of a particular property, then yeah, I would say that that was typical.

Q What judgment, if any, did the underwriters use to adjust the basis of value for client reported assets?

A So I think it was -- the underwriters were particularly relying on the Valuation Services Group, given this is their level of expertise -- rather, their area of expertise.

Q Why was the DB Valuation Services Group's area of expertise relevant to the underwriting of these loan facilities?

MR. WALLACE: Can I just note a foundation objection? I also think this memo is from before the witness was working on the loans. So, it is unclear, he
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is talking about the actual preparation of this memo or general bank procedures?

THE COURT: Is that either/or? We can ask one way or ask it the other way?

MR. WALLACE: I think that the question should just be clear as to whether they are asking about the preparation of this memo and whether the witness had involvement in it; or if he is being asked about general bank practices with this; as the foundation for the question.

MR. SUAREZ: The witness signed this memo.
THE COURT: He signed it?
MR. KISE: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. I think that resolves that.
MR. GABER: I believe he said this portion here on trophy properties predated his involvement. He was not involved in this. Perhaps we can seek clarification as to whether he was involved in this part of the underwriting and adjustment?

THE COURT: How could he sign it if he -- if it predated him? What am I missing? Let's hear it from the witness, the horse's mouth.

THE WITNESS: In this particular instance I signed off on this particular memo. However, this analysis was done, I believe -- was certainly at a time
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline Williams - by Defendant - Direct ( Suarez) Page 5380 \\
1 & that predated my involvement. I believe it was either \\
2 & with the origination of the original credit request or the \\
3 & second credit request, which both predated my involvement. \\
4 & Q And what, if anything, would you have done to become \\
5 & familiar with this analysis before you signed the credit memo? \\
6 & A \\
7 & I would have read those details. \\
8 & by the DB Valuation Services Group have impacted your decision \\
9 & to support the credit recommendations contained in this memo? \\
10 & A I am certain they gave me additional comfort. \\
11 & Q And what, if any, reaction did you have to the fact \\
12 & that the net equity reported by the guarantor and the DB \\
13 & adjusted net equity had a difference of almost \$1.4 billion? \\
14 & A No reaction. I would have felt that was within \\
15 & reasonably expected adjustments. \\
16 & Q And why would that be a reasonably expected \\
17 & adjustment? \\
18 & A I think absent these details and the support of the \\
19 & Valuation Services Group, we may have haircut these assets as \\
20 & much as 50 percent or more. \\
21 & Q And in this case the adjustment to the equity -- let \\
22 & me withdraw that. \\
23 & How, if at all, does the DB adjusted net equity \\
24 & reflect the analysis performed by the DB Valuation Services \\
25 & Group?
\end{tabular}
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A It is fully reflective of the input we received from DB Valuation Services Group.

Q And how, if at all, was the input you received from the DB Valuation Services Group specific to each of the properties identified in the credit memo?

A So my understanding is the underwriting team or individual who conducted this analysis, wrote this part of the credit memo, relied a fair amount on the feedback they received from the Valuation Services Group.

Q And how, if at all, was the Valuation Services Group qualified to provide that information to the underwriters in connection with this credit memo?

A So as stated, this group sat within the investment bank, specifically as part of the commercial real estate division within the investment bank, so this is their area of expertise. That is, being familiar with large commercial real estate properties. And in particular there may have been some familiarity with these four trophy properties as they are referred to, given that our investment bank had some prior relationship with Mr. Trump.

Q And why would -- withdrawn.
Why did the underwriting team request input from DB Valuation Services Group instead of accepting the values submitted by the applicant in this case?

A So, again, there is a reasonable expectation that
the -- there is a use of estimates in the client's provided financial statements. These particular assets represented a fair amount or might even say a significant amount of his stated net worth. Looking back to the credit policy, I believe it said we are expected to conduct some due diligence and verify the information provided to the extent that is possible. Again, given the Valuation Services Group's familiarity with these properties and perhaps even with the client, it only made sense that we availed ourselves to the Valuation Services Group to get their opinion and feedback as we are doing our due diligence and underwriting.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could go back to page \(14 ?\)
Go back to the last two columns on the right that we were discussing earlier.
Q Do you see that the June 30, 2013 client-reported net worth was \(\$ 4.9\) billion approximately?

A Yes.
Q And the DB adjusted net worth was \(\$ 2.6\) billion approximately?

A Yes.
Q What is the difference between the DB adjusted net worth and the client reported net worth for the year ending June 30, 2013?

A Our adjustment was approximately 50 percent of the client's reported net worth.

Q And how, if at all, did that adjustment reflect Deutsche Bank's own analysis of the guarantor's financial condition?

A It fully reflected our analysis.
Q And the \(\$ 2,645,000,000\) number that appears on this page, how is that number consistent with the figure at page ten which states: For all facilities, financial strength of the guarantor; the financial profile of the guarantor includes, on an adjusted basis, a net worth of 2.6 billion with 154.5 million in unencumbered liquidity?

\section*{A It is consistent.}
(Whereupon the proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

1 Q And what reaction, if any, did you have to the magnitude of the reduction between the guarantor's reported net worth and Deutsche Bank's own adjusted value?

A My reaction was probably pretty measured, considering it's not unusual or atypical for any client's provided financial statements to be adjusted to this level, or to this extent.

Q And what, if anything, concerning the basis for the decision to make that adjustment is reflected by this credit memorandum?

A Can you repeat the question?
Q Sure.
What, if anything, is reflected in this credit
memorandum concerning the basis for Deutsche Bank's decision to make the adjustment in the reported net worth?

A I'm not sure I understand the question.
MR. SUAREZ: I'll withdraw it.
If we could turn to page 16 of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see the section that says "Net cash flow"?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And it says, "The guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which are generally recurring by nature"?

A Yes, I see that.
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Q Why is the guarantor's net cash flow a factor evaluated in this credit memorandum?
A It would also be looked to as a potential source of repayment for the loan.

Q And what, if any, adjustments does Deutsche Bank make to the client's reported net cash flow?

A So, in our analysis, one potential adjustment could be whether or not a source of cash flow is recurring or nonrecurring.

Q And in this analysis --
MR. SUAREZ: If we could zoom back into the page, the chart below.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see the second column says "DB adjusted fiscal year June 30, 2013"?

A Yes.
Q And "Client reported fiscal year June 30, 2013"?
A Yes.
Q What was the client reported fiscal year June 30, 2013 net cash flow?

A Approximately 150 million.
Q And what was the DB adjusted net cash flow for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2013?
A A negative, approximately, 26 million. year to year positive to negative.
Q Is the bank capable of reaching it's own judgment based on the evaluation it makes of the guarantor's financial condition?

A Certainly, yes.
Q And how was the evaluation of the credit facilities in this memorandum, if at all, consistent with the bank's approved business strategies?
A It's consistent.
Q With respect to the Trump Chicago loan made to 401 North Wabash Venture, LLC, at page 294 -- I'm sorry, Plaintiff's Exhibit 294, page six?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q What is the effect of the LTV on the loan dropping to 35 percent and below?

A It would have the effect of eliminating Mr. Trump's personal guaranty.
Q And was the guaranty on the Trump Chicago loan eliminated?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Asked and answered. THE COURT: Déjà vu all over again.
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) \\
1 & MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry. \\
2 & THE COURT: He said, "Asked and answered." He \\
3 & objected, "Asked and answered", and I said, "Déjà vu all \\
4 & over again." \\
5 & I can't remember whether this was the exact same \\
6 & document or different, but it's the same point; right? \\
7 & MR. SUAREZ: Ill make a connection to the question \\
8 & and then I'll move on. \\
9 & A So ultimately, yes, the personal guaranty was reduced \\
10 & to zero on the Chicago loan. \\
11 & Q And moving onto page seven of this document for the \\
12 & minimum liquidity covenant. \\
13 & (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
14 & screen.) \\
15 & Q What was the effect of reducing the guaranty level to \\
16 & zero on the Trump Chicago loan? \\
17 & A Mr. Trump would have no longer been required to \\
18 & maintain any unencumbered liquidity, um, even, um, that he held \\
19 & at Deutsche Bank. \\
20 & Q What was the effect of the guaranty level, the \\
21 & reduction of the guaranty level to zero, if anything, with \\
22 & respect to the net worth covenant? \\
23 & A Once the guaranty reduced to zero, the net worth \\
24 & covenant was reduced to zero. Or said another way, it was \\
25 & eliminated or deleted.
\end{tabular}
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MR. SUAREZ: Okay. We could take this down. (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
Q What responsibilities does a lending officer have for determining the interest rate that is included in a credit facility?

A It's one of the considerations that a lending officer makes, or takes into consideration when making a loan.

Q And what obligation, if any, does a lending officer
have to ensure that the approved exposures with respect to a credit facility are in accordance with the approved business strategies of the bank?
A A lending officer has some responsibility in that regard.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up Defendant's Exhibit 205, previously admitted.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Are you familiar with the pricing grid that appears on Defendant's Exhibit 205?

A Yes.
Q What does this pricing grid reflect?
A It reflects recommended pricing for various locations
where Deutsche Bank does business, including the Americas, and
then across several different, what we refer to as product
types, which are driven by the collateral type securing the loan.

Q Who is responsible for maintaining the pricing grid?
A That is the responsibility of what we refer to as the business, which is the client facing group, as well as, and more specifically, the lending group, um, drives the pricing ranges that are shown here on this grid.

Q How does the pricing grid affect pricing, if at all?
A When the lending group is making or considering making a loan secured by a particular type of asset, or maybe even unsecured as the grid shows to the far right, a lender is supposed to take into consideration these ranges when considering pricing.

Q Is a lender allowed to deviate from the range provided on this grid?
A Yes.
Q In what circumstances can a lender deviate from the ranges provided on this grid?

A So if the recommended pricing falls particularly below what's recommended here, some additional levels of approval would be required.

Q How does competition in the marketplace drive, if at all, the decision to deviate from this grid?

A It can be a factor.
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Q How can it be a factor?
A If while we are underwriting or performing our due diligence and we are recommending or suggesting pricing that falls within this grid, at some point we'll propose that to the client, or prospective client, and the feedback we might receive from the client is that maybe his existing loans with another institution are priced below what we are proposing; that would be one example, the feedback that we would receive that would indicate perhaps our pricing is above what's considered market.

Q Would that be a basis to deviate downwards from the pricing grid?

A Yes, perhaps.
Q Are you aware of any circumstances where there was an upward deviation from the pricing grid?
A It can happen, yes.
Q Can you think of any time since you've been at Deutsche Bank where an upward deviation from the pricing grid has been imposed?
A Yes.
Q How frequently?
A It happens from time to time.
Q Are there any criteria that affect the range provided in the pricing grid?

A Yeah, so in addition to competitive forces, um, a client's relationship with the bank, noncredit relationship, for
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l}
\hline D. Williams - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5392 \\
1 & example, investable assets could be a factor, as well as our \\
2 & internal risk rating of a client. \\
3 & Q \(\quad\) How, if at all, does the collateral type affect where \\
4 & in the range a loan is priced? \\
5 & A \(\quad\) So it's definitely a factor, as illustrated here by the \\
6 & grid, given that the grid shows a number of different collateral \\
7 & types, and within each of those collateral types is a range. \\
8 & Q \(\quad\) Where on this grid did the Trump Doral loan fall? \\
9 & A So they were all commercial real estate secured \\
10 & transactions, which would fall under that column on the pricing \\
11 & grid with the recommended pricing of between two percent and \\
12 & two-and-a-half percent. \\
13 & Q And when you say they were all, to move things along, \\
14 & would that mean the Trump Chicago, Trump OPO and Trump Doral \\
15 & loans? \\
16 & A Yes, all three loans were commercial real estate \\
17 & secured. \\
18 & Q Would the financial wherewithal of the guarantor play a \\
19 & role in determining where on the range identified in this \\
20 & pricing grid a loan would fall? \\
21 & A Yes. That's a determinant in the risk rating, the \\
22 & internal risk rating that we arrive at. \\
23 & Q And how would the financial wherewithal of the \\
24 & guarantor affect the point within this range where a loan would \\
25 & be priced?
\end{tabular}
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1 A So, I guess to begin, if we were even at this point in our analysis, um, the presumption is that the client meets our target market minimum requirements for investable assets, stated net worth. Beyond that, if the client exhibits a certain amount of financial strength, well above or in excess of those minimum requirements, that could be a factor.

Q How would you determine circumstances in which the financial strength of the guarantor would merit pricing at the low end of the range?

A So, again, it would be a factor of risk rating, strength of the collateral, strength of the guarantor as reflected in the risk rating, also external market factors, competitive factors.

Q And what, if anything, about President Trump's financial condition supported pricing at the lower end of the range?
A He reported both a net worth and investable assets that were well in excess of our minimum requirements.
Q What were the minimum requirements?
A For commercial real estate it's a hundred million net worth, 10 million of investable assets.

Q Did President Trump qualify for this pricing range with a net worth as adjusted by Deutsche Bank of approximately \(\$ 2.6\) billion?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.
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THE COURT: Sustained.
Q How, if at all, did Deutsche Bank's decision to price the loans we previously discussed reflect the bank's own adjusted net worth value of 2.5 billion for the guarantor?
A It was a consideration or a factor.
Q How, if at all, was an adjusted net worth of 2.5 billion -- withdrawn.

How, if at all, was a net worth of approximately 2.5 billion on an adjusted basis for President Trump used to qualify him for this pricing grid?
A Well, again, if we are even considering the loan, a client has to meet our stated minimums. When I say "has to meet", that's the target.

Some exceptions could be made if the client does not meet those minimums, but certainly in this case, even on adjusted basis, Mr. Trump met those minimums.
Q If Mr. Trump's adjusted basis net worth was 2 billion, would he have met these minimums?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Calls for speculation. THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?
THE COURT: That was overruled. Please answer.
A Yes. Even if his net worth was \(\$ 2\) billion, he would have met our minimum requirements.
Q If his net worth was in excess of a billion dollars on
an adjusted basis, would he have met these minimum requirements?
A Yes.
Q If his net worth was in excess of 500 million on an
adjusted basis, would he have met these minimum requirements?
A Yes.
Q If his net worth was in excess of a hundred million,
would he have met these minimum requirements?
A Yes.
Q In fact, if he had, on an adjusted basis, at least
\(\$ 100\) million in cash, in marketable securities, would he have met these requirements?
A Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, I consider those hypotheticals, not speculations, and I believe that the hypotheticals are admissible. MR. WALLACE: Noted, your Honor.
Q What is the bank's goal in pricing a credit facility?
A Well, I think it is our -- our, more or less, our sole determinant. If we are going to make a loan, it's up to us to decide if we are going to make a loan based on a certain pricing or not.
Q What, if anything, to your knowledge, concerning President Trump's financial condition, would have qualified him to stay within that range of 2.0 to 2.5 percent for commercial real estate in the Americas?

COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session, the Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Let's get the witness here.
MR. ROBERT: Some housekeeping issues, scheduling issues we want to bring to the Court's attention.

THE COURT: Ill turn the microphone over to the person who sits alongside me, scheduling expert.

MR. ROBERT: So I guess I am happy to report that Mr. Suarez, although I am not going to speak for him, has no more direct of the witness right now and is going to turn him over for cross.

MR. SUAREZ: That's correct.
MR. ROBERT: In light of that we don't anticipate calling Mr. Sullivan. We reserve our rights to do so, but don't plan to do so at this point.

We spoke with Mr. Wallace, who says that their cross examination of Mr. Williams is probably about a half hour or so, give or take.

We plan to call Emily Pereless. Her examination by Mr. Suarez should take the balance of the afternoon.

We then have Rosemary Vrablic, who is in New Rochelle. We spoke to the Attorney General, there doesn't seem to be a way she would be testifying this afternoon witness, don't discuss the case, don't discuss your testimony or anything related during the lunch break.

THE WITNESS: Understood, your Honor.
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned until 2:15 for the luncheon recess.)
anyway. We would like to tell her 10:00 tomorrow morning, even though we may not be done with Pereless at that point. And then Ms. Vrablic may take the better part of the day.

But to the extent there is any leftover time tomorrow, at this point we would anticipate either filling that with Patrick Birney or potentially Jack Weisselberg. And then followed by Mr. Unell, who will start Thursday morning, who is our next expert.

MS. GREENFIELD: And you still think Unell is going to take Thursday and Friday?

MR. ROBERT: Yeah at this point, yes, probably. Probably.

MS. GREENFIELD: What is your direct approximately?

MR. SUAREZ: I would anticipate that the Unell direct would take the balance of an entire day.

MS. GREENFIELD: Entire day.
And cross obviously.
MR. WALLACE: I am very curious what he says that day. But if he is finished on Thursday, we will be able to finish Friday, even though it is a half day.

MS. GREENFIELD: Next week is unchanged from what you said yesterday?

MR. ROBERT: For Monday definitely with Chin,
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and then Moens and Shubin.
And then Wednesday I think is tentatively Eric Trump, but we will let you know.

And Friday we will argue, I think, the motions in limine with the Court.

MS. GREENFIELD: You skipped Thursday. That's Bartov still?

MR. ROBERT: Bartov is Thursday, yes.
And this Friday motions in limine as to Shubin, correct?

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay.
MR. ROBERT: All right. Thank you. THE COURT: Witness.
(Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: I'll remind the witness, as usual, that he is still under oath.

And let's start the cross examination.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. GABER:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Williams. My name is Sherief Gaber. We met briefly at your deposition. It is good to see you again.

So I wanted to start with, hopefully get you through this quickly, I am going to pull up and hand you a copy of what has been marked as PX-498.
\begin{tabular}{|r|c|}
\hline Williams - by Defendant - Cross (Gaber) \\
\(\mathbf{1}\) & (Handing) \\
2 & Q And if you could just take a look at this document, \\
3 & Mr. Williams, and tell me if you are familiar with it? \\
4 & A \\
5 & Yes. \\
6 & Q \\
7 & Can you describe what this document is? \\
7 & annual review of the entire Trump credit relationship. \\
8 & Q And if we look to page nine of the exhibit, it is \\
9 & correct that this bears your signature if we go down to the \\
10 & signature block there? \\
11 & A \(\quad\) Yes, it appears to be. \\
12 & Q And I think Mr. Suarez asked you this, but can you \\
13 & tell me what your signature on this indicates? \\
14 & A It indicates that I sign in support of the credit \\
15 & transaction, or in this case the annual review. \\
16 & Q And you are phrasing that "signed in support," \\
17 & that's because you didn't have credit approval authority; is \\
18 & that correct? \\
19 & A \(\quad\) Correct. \\
20 & Q And it was Credit Risk Management that had credit \\
21 & approval authority at Deutsche Bank? \\
22 & A \(\quad\) Yes, correct. \\
23 & Q In the Private Wealth Division? \\
24 & And who are the Credit Risk Management officers who \\
25 & signed and ultimately approved this review?
\end{tabular}
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\section*{A Gaston Allegre and Jim Baldino.}

MR. GABER: I ask that PX-498 be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 498 by the Court.)
Q If I can now direct you, Mr. Williams, to page two of this document. And we will pull it up on the screen as well.

Under that last block where it says "Recommendation." It says: Approval of the annual review for Facility A (Doral); Facility B (Chicago) and Facility C (OPO). And for all facilities you will note the first item there says:

Financial strength of the guarantor. The financial profile of the guarantor includes a stated net worth of 6.12 billion which remains above the 2.5 billion minimum requirement, even on an adjusted basis. The guarantor maintains a strong unencumbered liquidity position of 76.2 million, a marginal increase from the previous year.

The \(\$ 6.12\) billion number here, that came from the Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump, correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q And the 76.2 million dollars liquidity position, that also came from the Statement of Financial Condition?

A It doesn't specify as such here. I would assume so.

Q You have no reason to believe that these numbers came from other than Donald J. Trump's personal financial statement?

A No. I just qualify my response that it doesn't appear it is subject to any bank adjustments.

Q Okay.
THE COURT: Mr. Gaber, just speak up. Sometimes
you are a little muffled somehow.
MR. GABER: I'll do my best to get into the microphone.
Q Mr. Williams, can we take a look at page 11 of this document quickly, maybe to clarify it a bit before you refresh your recollection.

It says here under "liquidity": The guarantor reports liquidity of \(\$ 76.2\) million as of \(6 / 30 / 18\), consisting of funds in Mr. Trump's name, et cetera.

And then it says: The client reported balances marginally increased from the prior year. In the earlier years, as Mr. Suarez had showed you, there was a description of you and/or others from Deutsche Bank going to Trump Tower to personally verify bank statements and other records to support that liquidity number. I'll represent that after -- I mean, Ill say, this memo doesn't mention your going to Trump Tower to check liquidity. That's because you didn't go in this year to check liquidity; is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q So these are client reported numbers only?
A That appears to be a correct statement, yes.
Q Okay. And so I'll represent that after 2014 all of the credit reports make no mention of anyone going to Trump Tower. So if that's the case, would I similarly be able to expect, if it is not mentioned in the memo that no one went to personally check?

MR. SUAREZ: We object to the representation as creating an evidentiary record. If the government wants to create an evidentiary record of what those subsequent years say or don't say, they should move the exhibits in. I don't see a basis to represent what they say or don't say. It is almost as if the government is introducing its own evidence without actually moving it in.

MR. GABER: I think it is a simple hypothetical.
THE COURT: I didn't think it was hypothetical.
I thought it was: You represented that they did not include mention of a visit.

MR. GABER: I can rephrase it as a hypothetical. THE COURT: Okay.
Q If a credit memo is silent on whether or not someone went to personally verify financials at Trump Tower, is it fair to assume that no one went?

A I think that's a fair assumption.
Q Thanks.


President Trump. I think you described this DSCR breach as a DSCR covenant default or breach. Is there a difference in your mind between the DSCR breach and covenant default?

A It depends on the nature of the breach. In this case the breach was considered also in conjunction with the loan-to-value of the property. And ultimately was determined that the loan-to-value cleared the debt service coverage breach.

Q Okay. And I will just ask that you recall that even though the loan-to-value came in below 35 percent, the Trump Org had, in fact, elected to maintain a 10 percent guaranty in order to keep a more favorable interest rate?

A I recall that he did that on at least one loan, yes.
Q It might refresh your recollection if we can take a quick look at page six of this document.

Under guaranty type, if you just take a look at that paragraph. The last sentence just says, or the last two sentences: As outlined below, the guaranty level at or below a 35 percent LTV was originally contemplated to be zero percent, at which time pricing was scheduled to increase to \(L\) plus two percent. Retaining a 10 percent guaranty level, clearly strengthens the credit.

Does that refresh your recollection that they maintained the guaranty in order to keep a more favorable pricing on the loan?

Williams - by Defendant - Cross (Gaber)
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figure for that year, equals the 1.19 times calculation.
Q Okay. And what is the affect of a breach of the DSCR covenant?

A So, it would have had an impact on the step-down percentage of the guaranty.

Q Can you elaborate what sort of impact it could have on the step-down percentage?

A So the chart there in the middle of the page outlines what occurs if and when a debt service coverage ratio exceeds -- meets or exceeds various multiples.
Q And so can you just explain then what it means that -- that the appraisal, the resulting LTV of the appraisal clears the DSCR breach? What does it mean that the appraisal or LTV clears the DSCR breach?

A So, depending on the results of the calculation, it looks like you compare that to various levels of debt service coverage relative to the percentage of guaranty that is required.

Q And so if the loan-to-value ratio had been above 35 percent, it could have increased the guaranty to 10 percent from zero?

A That appears to be correct, yes.
Q Okay. And I just want to clarify briefly, when you were speaking with Mr. Suarez you said that you were not aware of any covenant defaults in any of the loans guaranteed by
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A Yes.
MR. GABER: Okay. So can we move back to page
five? Move on to the Wabash property.
Q So, that paragraph starting Facility B: Facility B's DSCR breach was identified in a letter prepared by internal legal and sent to the borrower June 5, 2019. The letter noted the breach and DBTCA's intention to conduct an appraisal in order to test the loan-to-value in accordance with the loan agreement.

And then the next paragraph says: Appraisal detail is noted in the sections that follow, but the results LTV (26.9 percent) clears the DSCR breach and does not trigger any guaranty level changes called for in the below chart.

Can you explain what is meant by "guaranty level change"?

A So, similar to the Doral or Endeavor loan, the guaranty, Mr. Trump's personal guaranty step-down, depending on the loan-to-value of the collateral.

Q And if -- are there situations in which DSCR breach could trigger a level change upwards?

MR. KISE: Objection, calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Overruled. I don't think that's a legal question. I think that's an accounting question. \(Q\) Do you need a readback?
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A No, I think I understand.
If based on the results of an appraisal the
loan-to-value increased into a level which would have required the guaranty, then yes, I suppose that's possible that the guaranty could have been added back.

Q So, the --
MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. I move to strike that answer because that's speculation. He said "I suppose that's possible." That's not an answer, that's
just a guess. Does he know or does he not?
THE COURT: Okay. How certain or uncertain are you?

THE WITNESS: I would say actually \(I\) am not certain, because I do recall earlier in my testimony some discussion of once the guaranty comes off, it stays off. Q Maybe we can come back to that.

So in any case, this is indicating that the Chicago loan also had a DSCR breach in 2019 ?

A Yes.
Q Okay. So then moving onto OPO DSC covenant further down the page here:

Facility C's DSCR breach was identified in a letter prepared by internal legal and sent to the borrower June 21, 2019. It says: The letter noted the breach but noted DBTCA takes no position as to whether the operating contribution made
dated June 5, 2019. This one is addressed to 401 North Wabash Venture LLC. So, Mr. Williams is this the letter that was referenced -- or the notice that was referenced in the credit memo we were just looking at regarding the DSCR breaches?

A It appears to be, yes.
Q Okay. I would ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 520 by the Court.)
Q And so this letter informs the Trump Organization of the DSCR breach, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. I am going to ask you to take a look at the bottom of the page, at the cc, at the carbon copies. So this is cced to Donald J. Trump as guarantor. That's being sent to Donald J. Trump as guarantor because a DSCR breach could trigger some obligations under the guaranty, correct?

A I think there was an obligation to copy him according to the loan documents.

Q But that's because -- I mean, beyond the notice, the DSCR breach could potentially implicate the guaranty that he signed --

MR. SUAREZ: Objection, calls for a legal
by the borrower cures the breach. However, the letter did identify DBTCA's intention, at its own expense, to conduct an appraisal of the subject property to test the LTV as permitted under the loan agreement.

So this is indicating that the OPO loan also had a DSCR breach in June of 2019, correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. Do you understand what it means when it says "operating contribution" here? DBTCA takes no position as to whether the operating contribution made by the borrower cures the breach?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q Can you explain your understanding of that term?
A The borrower or perhaps the guarantor made a contribution to the borrower here, as noted, in the amount of 6.425 million, that in some ways could have been considered with the net operating income in determining the debt service coverage ratio.

Q Okay. I am going to now hand you PX -- a document marked as PX-520 for identification.
(Handing)
Q So there is a cover e-mail here and then the attachment. If you can just flip through -- well, flip to the second page here.

So, Mr. Williams, this is several copies of a letter
conclusion.
THE COURT: I think we spent a good part of the morning asking this witness what various contract provisions meant. Overruled.
A So, I suppose the letter was sent to him in copy because of the nature of his guaranty.

Q Thank you. I am going to now hand you an Exhibit that's been marked PX-521.

So like the last one, this is a cover e-mail, and then several copies of a letter dated June 5, 2019. These letters are addressed to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC.

So Mr. Williams, does this appear to be the letter referenced in the credit report we were just looking at regarding the DSCR breach at the Doral property?

A Yes.
Q And this one also carbon copies Donald J. Trump as guarantor, yes?

A Yes.
MR. GABER: I would ask this be admitted into evidence.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, again, our objection to testifying or eliciting testimony about this, and I understand he is a current bank employee, but he wasn't copied on the letter; and it is referring to agreements that aren't before him today. And he is being asked to
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r}
\hline Williams - by Defendant - Cross (Gaber) & Page 5412 & Willia \\
1 & give answers about what rights or responsibilities would & 1 \\
2 & be triggered under certain legal documents that also & 2 \\
3 & aren't before him. So on that basis, we would object to & 3 \\
4 & the admission of this document. & 4 \\
5 & MR. GABER: He gave foundation. He said that & 5 \\
6 & this is the letter that was referenced in the credit & 6 \\
7 & report that he prepared and signed. & 7 \\
8 & THE COURT: So he is obviously aware of it. & 8 \\
9 & Overruled. & 9 \\
10 & (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed & 10 \\
11 & marked for evidence as Plaintiffs Exhibit 521 by the & 11 \\
12 & Court.) & 12 \\
13 & Q So I am going to hand you, Mr. Williams, what has & 13 \\
14 & been marked as PX-522. & 14 \\
15 & This is the same as the previous two exhibits. & 15 \\
16 & In this case the mailing receipts are at the & 16 \\
17 & beginning of the exhibit. The letters begin on page six of the & 17 \\
18 & document, if that's helpful. & 18 \\
19 & Again, this letter is dated June 21, 2019. This one & 19 \\
20 & is addressed to Trump Old Post Office LLC. Is this the notice & 20 \\
21 & of DSCR breach for the Old Post Office loan that is referenced & 21 \\
22 & in the credit report we were just looking at? & 22 \\
23 & A It appears to be, yes. & 23 \\
24 & Q And this letter, likewise, is copied to Donald J. & 24 \\
25 & Trump as guarantor? & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{A Yes.}

MR. GABER: I ask that this letter or this exhibit PX-522 be admitted into evidence.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, one additional objection. I know you are going to overrule the other objection, but I'll make the same objection that Mr. Suarez made.

But, what is the relevance of these DSCR breach letters? I mean, it is not an issue in the case. And by the very documents that Mr. Gaber has put up, it was cured automatically by the loan-to-value ratio being acceptable. So the breach itself was cured by the very documents. So I am not sure what is the point of all of this.

THE COURT: Well --
MR. KISE: Is it academic?
THE COURT: That a breach was cured doesn't mean there wasn't a breach.

MR. KISE: What does that have to do with their case is the question. Not that there was a breach, what does that have to do with the underlying case is the question, respectfully.

THE COURT: I think it went to credibility of the witness somewhat. Maybe I missed something there.

But anyway, Mr. Wallace, let's give the official explanation.

MR. WALLACE: Ill try to avoid going too deep
in this with the witness on the stand.
The defendants have made representations relevant to their defenses that these loans were performing, never had any problems, were paid off. I think this is just going to the performance and the life of the loans, which they have tracked through many different iterations, including with this witness, when various guaranties are written down. I think this is part and parcel of what happened over the course of these loans, which defendants have introduced as relevant to their defense.

MR. KISE: So we are debating whether or not something was self-cured within the documents? Again, I don't see the relevance to the cause of action.

MR. WALLACE: We are presenting facts and we can debate at the end of the case when we make closing statements and put in our briefs.

MR. KISE: I guess I shouldn't object because these facts are helpful, but what are we wasting time for because they don't seem to have relevance. If there is an internal mechanism within the documents where there is some default and it is automatically cured by some other provision in the documents, then the net effect of all of it is zero, none. There is no -- just like it said in the Exhibit PX-498, it says that the breach was cured,
whatever DSCR breach may or may not have occurred, was cured by the low loan-to-value ratio. So again, I just don't see the relevance of this to any fact at actual issue in the case. It doesn't go to the Statements of Financial Condition. It doesn't have anything to do with the Statements of Financial Condition. Yes, it has something loosely to do with the loans, but there is not a problem with the loans based on the PX-498.

MR. WALLACE: How about I try to shorten the back and forth on this. The defendants opened the door by asking the witness to testify that, no, there were no covenant breaches. We are exploring that testimony now.

THE COURT: Overruled.
MR. KISE: If that's the reason, okay.
MR. GABER: Judge, can I get a ruling on admission into evidence of this document?

THE COURT: It is in evidence.
MR. GABER: Thank you, Judge.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 522 by the Court.)
Q Mr. Williams, can I now hand you an exhibit that has been marked as Plaintiff's PX-519? (Handing)
Q So there is a cover e-mail here from Gaston Allegre
\begin{tabular}{|rl|}
\hline Williams - by Defendant - Cross (Gaber) Page 5416 \\
1 & to James Baldino: Please find attached the annual report for \\
2 & the cc which we need to sign off by tomorrow, month end. The \\
3 & credit report sets out current position of the customer and \\
4 & requires your approval, by e-mail would be fine. And then the \\
5 & attachment. \\
6 & If you can take a look at that attachment and tell me \\
7 & if you recognize it. \\
8 & A Yes. \\
9 & (The following proceedings were stenographically \\
10 & recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.) \\
11
\end{tabular}

Q And this is dated June 30th, 2020; right?
A It appears to be July.
Q July. Excuse me.
A Of 2020 .
Q The dates all run together sometimes in this room.
So, Mr. Williams, this was produced by Deutsche Bank as the final version of the credit memo for 2020. I'll represent to you that this document doesn't have the signature block that we've seen in previous memos. Is it consistent with your experience of Deutsche Bank during 2020 that documents could be approved without physical signature?
A Yes.
Q Is that because people were working remotely or in different conditions due to the pandemic?
A I think that was a factor, yes.
Q So -- but you've got no reason to believe this version isn't the final version of the credit report for \(2020 ?\)
A No reason to believe it's not the final version, no. MR. GABER: I would ask that this document be admitted in evidence. THE COURT: Granted. It's in. (Plaintiff's Exhibit 519 was admitted in evidence.)

Q So, Mr. Williams, if I could direct your attention to page four of the document. The bottom block there under Recommendations.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q It stays, "Approval of the annual review for Facility A, Doral; Facility B, Chicago and Facility C, OPO," and then under "all facilities", like we saw the on the last one, "Financial strength of the guarantor. The financial profile of the guarantor includes a stated net worth of 6.1 billion, which remains above the \(\$ 2.5\) billion minimum requirement even on an adjusted basis, the guarantor maintains a strong stated unencumbered liquidity position of 87 million, an increase of about 10 million from the previous year."

And Mr. Williams, am I correct that that \(\$ 6.1\) billion came from Donald J. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes. I believe that's what is meant by "stated net worth."
Q Okay.
And the unencumbered liquidity position of \(\$ 87\) million, that also came from financial statements and documentation from the guarantor?
A I believe that's correct, yes.
Q Okay.
And so on page three, Mr. Williams, if I could direct

\section*{Williams - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Gaber)}
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\section*{your attention there.}
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q That "Relationship facility, highlights, changes since last review", that paragraph. The first bullet point there for Doral, "The Doral resort in Florida recently concluded it's fourth year as a full-service operation following its redevelopment. The property continues to produce positive numbers. The most recent appraisal resulted in a 34.7 percent loan-to-value which was deemed acceptable and resulted in no other changes. The guarantor continues to maintain a ten percent guaranty level."

So that's indicating that there's no change in status from the previous year, and the guarantor decided to continue to maintain that ten percent guaranty as an optional level for the financial benefit; correct?

A I believe that's an accurate statement, yes.
Q So, the second bullet point there, "The Old Post Office completed it's third full year of operation in 2019 and the loan remains in the post development period, see below. And updated appraisal was obtained last year. While the hotel reported negative operating income and a DSCR below the required 1.25 x , a supporting \(\$ 8.6\) million operating contribution to the hotel was made by DJT Holdings, LLC."

So that means for 2020 there was another DSCR breach
\begin{tabular}{|l}
\hline D. Williams - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Gaber) \\
1 \\
for the OPO loan; correct? \\
2
\end{tabular} A That sounds correct, yes.
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Quickly, before we move to the next point, the next bullet point says "The guarantor's personal net worth has decreased about \(\$ 500\) million year-over-year due to small fluctuations in real estate, equity, liquidity and the values of some his joint real estate -- some of his real estate joint ventures", excuse me.

So is it fair to conclude from this bullet point that Deutsche Bank is still tracking the net worth of Donald J. Trump as guarantor over the life of these loans at this point?

A I believe that's correct, though I will add 500 M would indicate -

Q 500,000?
A Yes, 500,000.
Q I'm still learning the nomenclature.
Mr. Williams, I'm going to hand you, now, a document that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 561 for identification.
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q If you could just take a look at this and let me know if you are familiar with this document?

A Yes, this is familiar.
Q Can you tell me what this document is?
A It's a credit report and end review for the Trump
credit relationship dated July 30th, 2021.
Q And Mr. Williams, you had a role in preparing this report; correct?

A I think my role was predominantly as a reviewer for this credit report.

Q Okay.
Mr. Williams, I also say that Deutsche Bank produced this as a final version of the 2021 credit report. This one doesn't have a signature block on it.

Is it consistent with your experience of how things continued to operate after 2020 at Deutsche Bank, that you aren't requiring physical signatures for approval of some credit reports?
A Correct. I would say, um, the majority if not all credit reports.

Q And you are welcome to review this, but do you have any reason to believe this isn't the final version of the 2021 credit report?

A I don't have any reason to believe it's not the final version.

Q So Mr. Williams, can I direct your attention to page four of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Under "Recommendation."
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MR. GABER: First, I ask that this be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 561 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)
Q Again, we see the language, "Approval of the annual review for Facility A, Doral; Facility B, Chicago and Facility C OPO" under all facilities. The first bullet point is "Financial strength of the guarantor."

Here, again, it says there is a stated net worth of \(\$ 4.7\) billion. That number came from the Statement of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump; correct?

A That's the implication of stated net worth, yes. Q Thank you.

And then further down it says, "The guarantor maintains a strong stated unencumbered liquidity position of \(\$ 92\) million." Again, it says, "Stated."

So is it the case that that number comes from information provided by the guarantor?

A Yes.
Q Thank you.
Okay. So I'm going to move on to page two of this document, Mr. Williams.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

\section*{D. Williams - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Gaber)} highlights, changes since last review", the first bullet point says, "All required monthly loan payments have been made in a timely manner."

So you would expect a borrower would make all of their loan payments in a timely manner?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
The next bullet point -- sorry, not the next one. The fourth bullet point says, "Metrics surrounding the performance of the collateral properties are discussed below. The financial performance of each property resulted in DSCR levels deemed to be below the required thresholds, resulting in updated appraisals being required at all three properties, the specifics of which are discussed herein."

So am I to understand from this that in 2021, in addition to the breaches that we had seen in prior years of the DSCR covenant, each of these three loans breached the DSCR covenants in 2021?
A Yes. That appears to be the case.
Q Okay.
And then in this case, updated appraisals were commissioned to test the loan-to-value to those properties?

A Correct, because there was this built-in mechanism in which we would order updated appraisals should the debt service

\section*{D. Williams - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Gaber)}

Page 5425
coverage levels drop below the requirements.
Q And the appraisals, I think in this year, cleared the DSCR breaches; is that correct?
A Um, I would have to review this document a little further to confirm that. It doesn't state that specifically here.
Q Do you remember if they do or not?
A I believe so, yes.
Q Okay. That's fine. Thank you.
Can I ask you to now look at the same page here, last bullet point there
"Protocol surrounding the relationship remains supervised by the covered client policy, business Risk Management, RM, and the ASC Group. A variety of precautionary measures are in place to maintain confidentiality and any business decisions surrounding the relationship are handled by the Reputational Risk Committee and senior bank management given the guarantor's status as former President of the United States. This population would also be responsible for advising of any additional measures to be taken as a result of any criminal charges regarding guarantor's company and related business entities."

Can you explain the protocols here described in this paragraph?
A So as described here is a variety of precautionary

1 measures that were put in place around the time Mr. Trump was 2 elected to office of the presidency in order to maintain confidentiality.

Q Now, moving -- sorry, I'm jumping around in the section here, but moving to the second bullet point, it says, "Delivery of all required reporting concerning the collateral properties and guarantor have been supplied in a timely manner. However, additional clarifications that have been requested from the client related to legal cases the client is facing have gone unanswered, and at present the client is tagged as an orderly exit."

What does it mean that "the client was tagged as an orderly exit"?
A So the decision was made internally by senior levels of bank management to exit the client's relationship on an orderly basis.

Q To the extent it says "There are additional clarifications that had been requested", were you involved in that process of trying to obtain updated information from the client?
A No, I was not.
Q Okay.
Can you tell me what it means to have "an orderly exit" in terms of the bank's policy or practice?
A So I would describe that in a context of a credit
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facility. As the loan matures, we would be opting not to renew or extend that credit facility, and we would advice the client with some advanced notice of that.

Q A single facility or all facilities related to that client?

A So in this case it says the client is to act as an orderly exit, so that would apply to all credit facilities as they matured.
Q Okay. Thank you?
MR. GABER: We could take that down.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
Q Mr. Williams, you testified in speaking with Mr. Suarez that you would expect a client would provide you with truthful and accurate information; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Okay.
Not just in a financial statement, but in all documents and information that a client submits to the bank; correct?

A Yes.
Q And as a lending officer, you have a regulatory obligation to make a report in circumstances where you believe counterparty has engaged in fraudulent conduct; correct?
A Um, there are internal procedures, steps that we would take if we ever determined that that was the case.
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\hline D. Williams - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Gaber) Page 5428 & D. Williams - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Gaber) Page 5430 \\
\hline 1 Q Can you describe your understanding of those 2 procedures? & 1 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the 2 screen.) \\
\hline 3 A Um, honestly, I can't recall in my entire career that & \(3 \quad Q \quad\) And if we look at line 15: \\
\hline 4 that's ever happened. So it would probably just start with my & 4 "QUESTION: Would you have recommended that the \\
\hline 5 manager or senior management within my division, and we would & 5 Private Wealth Management Division declare an event of \\
\hline 6 elevate as nece & 6 default if you had determined that President Trump's net \\
\hline 7 Q & 7 worth fell below the 2.5 billion at any time? \\
\hline 8 So in any case, what you are telling me is that a & 8 "ANSWER: Yes." \\
\hline 9 transaction couldn't just continue undisturbed, business as & 9 That was your testimony; correct? \\
\hline 10 usual, if the counterparty had engaged in fraudulent conduct & 10 A It appears to be, y \\
\hline 11 towards the bank; correct? & 11 MR. GABER: We could take that d \\
\hline 12 MR. KISE: Objection. Mischaracterizes the & 12 (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was \\
\hline 13 testimony. & 13 taken down.) \\
\hline 14 THE COURT: That question depends on testimony? & 14 Q Mr. Williams, during your time at Deutsche Bank, did \\
\hline 15 MR. GABER: Withdrawn. & 15 you ever underwrite a loan where a guarantor submitted a \\
\hline 16 MR. KISE: He said you were with & 16 financial statement that was determined by a court to be false \\
\hline 17 MR. GABER: Mr. Kise, I withdrew the question & 17 and misleading? \\
\hline 18 Thank you. & 18 A Can you restate the question, please. \\
\hline 19 THE COURT: Withdrawn & 19 Q Yeah, during your time at Deutsche Bank, did you ever \\
\hline 20 MR. GABER: I just want to save you the speech & 20 underwrite a loan where a guarantor submitted a financial \\
\hline 21 Q Mr. Williams, you testified that the net worth of & 21 statement in support of that loan that was determined by a court \\
\hline 22 Mr. Trump was a significant factor in the underwriting process & 22 to be false and misleading? \\
\hline 23 of these loan & 23 A No. \\
\hline 24 A Yes. It was definitely a & 24 MR. GABER: Nothing further. \\
\hline 25 Q And you also testified that you would have recommended & 25 THE COURT: Any redirect? \\
\hline D. Williams - by Defense - Cross (Mr. Gaber) Page 5429 & D. Williams - by Defense - Redirect (Mr. Suarez) Page 5431 \\
\hline 1 that Private Wealth Management declare and event of default if & 1 MR. SUAREZ: Yes. \\
\hline 2 you had determined Mr. Trump's net worth fell below the & 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION \\
\hline \(3 \$ 2.5\) million minimum net worth in the loan covenants; correct? & 3 BY MR. SUAREZ: \\
\hline 4 MR. SUAREZ: Objection. Mischaracterizes the prior & 4 Q Mr. Williams, good afternoon. \\
\hline 5 testimony. & 5 A Welcome back. \\
\hline 6 Q Billion, \$2.5 billi & 6 THE COURT REPORTER: \(\mathrm{I}^{\text {dontt tink }}\) your microphone \\
\hline 7 THE COURT: Was that the discrepancy? & 7 is on. \\
\hline 8 MR. GABER: He testified at his deposition. I & 8 Q Mr. Williams, good afternoon. \\
\hline 9 could pull it up. & 9 A Good afternoon. \\
\hline 10 MR. SUAREZ: I thought you were referring to & 10 Q What does it mean when a loan fails a DSCR test? \\
\hline 11 earlier today. & 11 A That the loan is in breach of that particular covenant. \\
\hline 12 THE COURT: I thought so, too & 12 Q Were DSCR test failures common during COVID? \\
\hline 13 MR. GABER: I said he has testified. You have & 13 A Yes. \\
\hline 14 testified. & 14 Q With respect to the loans that we've discussed today, \\
\hline 15 THE COU & 15 the Trump Old Post Office, Trump Chicago and Trump Doral, was \\
\hline 16 Q Mr. Williams, is it correct that you would have & 16 Deutsche Bank ultimately satisfied with the resolution of any \\
\hline 17 recommended that Private Wealth Management declare an event of & 17 failures in the debt service coverage ratio, the DSCR? \\
\hline 18 default if you had determined at any time that Mr. Trump's net & 18 A Yes, the bank was satisfied with the resolution. \\
\hline 19 worth fell below the \(\$ 2.5\) billion net worth covenant in the loan & 19 Q Why is that? \\
\hline 20 agreements? & 20 A So I believe in most cases there was a built-in \\
\hline 21 A So I think consistent with my testimony earlier today, & 21 mechanism that called for a reappraisal of property if the \\
\hline 22 a covenant breach gives you an opportunity to come to the table & 22 appraisal came in at an acceptable level, then that was \\
\hline 23 with the client and consider a course of action from there. & 23 determined to be an acceptable outcome. \\
\hline 24 Q I'm just going to pull up your deposition testimony & 24 In other instances, the client provided a capital \\
\hline 25 really quickly, page 190. & 25 infusion to the borrowers that otherwise enabled them to remain \\
\hline
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\hline 1 current on their payments and otherwise current with the loan. & 1 MR. SUAREZ: And if we could turn to page 13 of the \\
\hline 2 Q And in your experience at Deutsche Bank, was there & 2 exhibit, which is page 11 of the credit report, and focus in \\
\hline 3 anything uncommon about how those issues were resolved? & 3 on the net cash flow at the bottom of this page. \\
\hline 4 A No. & 4 Q Do you see it says, "Net cash flow, the guarantor \\
\hline 5 MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to Plaintiff's & 5 demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which is \\
\hline 6 Exhibit 4 & 6 generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes \\
\hline 7 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 7 the sources and uses of cash for the period of 2010 to 2018." \\
\hline 8 screen.) & 8 What does this credit report reflect with respect to \\
\hline \(9 \quad\) MR. SUAREZ: At page two of the exhibit. & 9 the guarantor's cash flow for the period reviewed? \\
\hline 10 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 10 A So it demonstrates a history of the client's, \\
\hline 11 screen.) & 11 guarantor's net cash flow over the period of which we had our \\
\hline 12 Q Do you see where it says, "Financial strength of the & 12 credit relationship with the client. \\
\hline 13 guarantor. The financial profile of the guarantor includes a & 13 Q Was the -- were the credit decisions reflected in this \\
\hline 14 stated net worth of 6.12 billion which remains above the & 14 credit report based on Deutsche Bank's own analysis of the \\
\hline 152.5 billion minimum requirement, even on an adjusted basis"? & 15 client reported figures? \\
\hline 16 A Yeah, I think it's at the bottom of this page. Yes, I & 16 MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. \\
\hline 17 see that. & 17 THE COURT: Sustained. \\
\hline 18 Q What does "even on an adjusted basis" mean? & 18 MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page 14. \\
\hline 19 A After allowing for bank adjustments to the clients & 19 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline 20 provided financial stateme & 20 screen.) \\
\hline 21 MR. SUAREZ: And if we could turn to page 11. And & 21 MR. SUAREZ: And zoom in where it says "unpledged \\
\hline 22 focus in on the two columns furthest to the right. & 22 adjusted liquid assets." \\
\hline 23 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 23 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline 24 screen.) & 24 screen.) \\
\hline 25 Q Do you see where it says, "DJT June 30, 2018, client & 25 Q What does the term "unpledged adjusted liquid assets" \\
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\hline 1 reported"? & 1 mean? \\
\hline A Yes. & 2 A That would represent the liquid assets that the \\
\hline 3 Q And "DJT June 30, 2018, DB adjusted." & 3 guarantor had on hand that were not pledged in support of any \\
\hline 4 A I think I'm looking at the wrong page. & 4 loans, and then after any bank adjustments. \\
\hline 5 Q Page 11 of the exhibit, page nine of the report. & \(5 \quad\) Q Were the bank adjustments -- withdrawn. \\
\hline 6 A Okay. Yes, I see that. & 6 How did the bank adjust the liquid assets reported by \\
\hline Q And in -- for purposes of this credit report, what was & 7 the guarantor? \\
\hline 8 the adjusted net worth that Deutsche Bank considered in & 8 A It doesn't appear that there were any adjustments that \\
\hline 9 connection with its credit recommendations and credit decisions? & 9 year. \\
\hline 10 A It looks like it was 2.515 billion. & 10 Q Does the -- what of it all is the effect of the \\
\hline 11 Q Going back to the prior page two. & 11 unpledged adjusted liquid assets used here in the key ratios \\
\hline 12 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 12 section of the credit report higher than the client reported \\
\hline 13 screen.) & 13 liquidity of \$78.2 million? \\
\hline 14 Q Is it correct that the approval of the facility was & 14 MR. WALLACE: Objection, your Honor. At this point \\
\hline 15 once again based on Deutsche Bank's own analysis as to the & 15 I think we are beyond the scope of cross. \\
\hline 16 adjusted net worth of the guarantor? & 16 THE COURT: Well, the rule is redirect is limited \\
\hline 17 A Yes. This was another credit report that served as an & 17 to the scope of cross. Was this covered in cross \\
\hline 18 annual review of the relationship, and upon receipt of updated & 18 examination? \\
\hline 19 financial information, we did our own due diligence and analysis & 19 MR. KISE: The liquidity certainly was, yes, your \\
\hline 20 and determined that his unadjusted basis -- & 20 Honor. And this goes directly to the liquidity. \\
\hline 21 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, that his - & 21 Mr. Gaber pointed out -- attempted to point out \\
\hline 22 (Whereupon, the answer was read back by the court & 22 that the bank relied on the reported cash flow without doing \\
\hline 23 reporter.) & 23 any of its own analysis, and Mr. Suarez is simply exploring \\
\hline 24 A That his adjusted net worth exceeded the minimum & 24 that with documentary evidence, the same exhibit that they \\
\hline 25 requirement. & 25 introduced. \\
\hline
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\hline 1 THE COURT: Overruled. & \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Does that mean you didn't check? \\
\hline 2 (Continued on the next page.) & 2 A No. I feel certain we checked. \\
\hline 3 & 3 MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn our attention to \\
\hline 4 & 4 Plaintiff's Exhibit 519. \\
\hline 5 & 5 (Handing) \\
\hline 6 & 6 MR. SUAREZ: And again, if we could turn to page \\
\hline 7 & 7 four of 35. \\
\hline 8 & 8 Q It states: For all facilities in the section, \\
\hline 9 & 9 financial strength of guarantor in the financial profile of the \\
\hline 10 & 10 guarantor include, as stated, net worth of 6.1 billion, which \\
\hline 11 & 11 remains above the 2.5 billion minimum requirement, even on an \\
\hline 12 & 12 adjusted basis. \\
\hline 13 & 13 What does the statement, "even on an adjusted basis" \\
\hline 14 & 14 mean? \\
\hline 15 & 15 A Again, after we make our, typically, our standard \\
\hline 16 & 16 adjustments to the client's stated net worth, the two and a \\
\hline 17 & 17 half billion is the minimum amount that is required for the \\
\hline 18 & 18 client to meet. \\
\hline 19 & 19 Q And if you look at page 11 of this memorandum -- \\
\hline 20 & 20 excuse me, ten of the memorandum, page 11 of the exhibit. Page \\
\hline 21 & 2111 of the exhibit. In the lower right-hand corner under the \\
\hline 22 & 22 column DJT 6/30/2019 (DB adjusted) it lists a net worth of \\
\hline 23 & 232.549 billion; is that correct? \\
\hline 24 & 24 A Yes. \\
\hline 25 & 25 Q And what does that number reflect? \\
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\hline 1 A So looking back to exhibit page 11, 98.9, would & 1 A Client's net worth after taking into account bank \\
\hline 2 appear to be the total of cash and marketable securities plus & 2 adjustments to his financial statement. \\
\hline 3 escrow and deposits 76.2 plus 22.7. & \(3 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And is that number consistent with the statement that \\
\hline 4 Q And was that number adjusted by Deutsche Bank to & 4 the client's -- excuse me, the guarantor's net worth remains \\
\hline 5 reach the unpledged check liquid assets figure? & 5 above the 2.5 billion minimum requirement, even on an adjusted \\
\hline 6 A It doesn't appear there were any adjustments. & 6 basis? \\
\hline 7 Q What analysis, if any, did Deutsche Bank conduct to & 7 A Yes. \\
\hline 8 assess the available net cash flow of the guarantor during this & 8 Q Would the adjustment of the client's net worth in \\
\hline 9 period? & 9 this credit report reflect Deutsche Bank's own analysis of the \\
\hline 10 A I don't see on page 13 of the document that there & 10 guarantor's financial strength? \\
\hline 11 were any, necessarily, any adjustments to cash flow in this & 11 A Yes. \\
\hline 12 particular year. & 12 Q Was the fact that Deutsche Bank did not adjust the \\
\hline 13 Q What analysis, if any, was conducted with respect to & 13 reported cash and marketable securities mean that Deutsche Bank \\
\hline 14 the guarantor's cash flow by Deutsche Bank? & 14 didn't conduct its own analysis? \\
\hline 15 A I suspect some -- there were -- there was some review & 15 MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading. \\
\hline 16 of the cash flow in terms of the recurring and non-recurring & 16 THE COURT: Sustained. \\
\hline 17 sources and uses. If we had determined any of the sources were & \(17 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) What does it mean that the cash and marketable \\
\hline 18 non-recurring, we might have excluded those. & 18 securities reported by the client at 87 million and the cash \\
\hline 19 Q What, if anything, is -- withdrawn. & 19 and marketable securities adjusted by Deutsche Bank of \\
\hline 20 How, if at all, does the credit report reflect an & 2087 million are the same number? \\
\hline 21 inconsistency between the client reported liquidity and what & 21 A We determined no adjustments were necessary. \\
\hline 22 Deutsche Bank thought the adjusted liquidity ought to be? & 22 Q Was that determination made after conducting your own \\
\hline 23 A Well, so in this particular year we determined no & 23 analysis? \\
\hline 24 adjustments to the client's reported or stated liquidity were & 24 A Yes. \\
\hline 25 necessary. & 25 MR. KISE: Your Honor, can we take our break \\
\hline
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1 & now? And that way we can see if we can -- we are going & 1 \\
2 & to -- it would truncate perhaps? & 2 \\
3 & THE COURT: It worked before. & 3 \\
4 & MR. KISE: It worked. It did. & 4 \\
5 & THE COURT: I would have given the five minute & 5 \\
6 & warning. All right, 15 minute break. & 6 \\
7 & I will direct the witness not to discuss the & 7 \\
8 & case or his testimony. & 8 \\
9 & (Pause in the proceedings.) & 9 \\
10 & COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in & 10 \\
11 & session. Please be seated and come to order. & 11 \\
12 & THE COURT: Well, Mr. Suarez, did Mr. Kise & 12 \\
13 & deliver on his promise to condense? & 13 \\
14 & MR. KISE: I believe so, Your Honor. It won't & 14 \\
15 & be long. & 15 \\
16 & THE COURT: We need a witness. & 16 \\
17 & (Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.) & 17 \\
18 & THE COURT: Okay. Let's continue. & 18 \\
19 & Q Mr. Williams, I would like to show you Defendant's & 19 \\
20 & Exhibit 387, which is already in evidence. & 20 \\
21 & MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I think the witness & 21 \\
22 & needs water. & 22 \\
23 & THE COURT: We don't want him to dehydrate. & 23 \\
24 & (Handing) & 24 \\
25 & Q Mr. Williams, do you see this document, the second & 25 \\
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amendment to the term loan agreement with Trump Endeavor 12 as borrower and Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas as lender? A Yes.

MR. SUAREZ: Could you turn to page six of the exhibit? And if we could focus in on the section that says: If borrower is not in compliance.
Q And if you could please read the section that says: If borrower is not in compliance on such DSCR test date.

A If borrower is not in compliance on such DSCR test date with the applicable required debt service coverage ratio and the step-down percentage is 40 percent, 20 percent or 10 percent, such failure shall not constitute an event of default, but rather lender shall only have the right to conduct an appraisal at borrower's expense, in order to test the loan-to-value ratio in accordance with section 4.6(B).

Q Is this section of the loan agreement consistent with what actually happened?

A I believe so, yes.
Q To the best of your knowledge, was an event of default ever declared by Deutsche Bank on the loans made to the Trump Organization?

A No.
MR. SUAREZ: I have no further questions, Your

\section*{Honor?}

THE COURT: Any cross?

MR. WALLACE: No.
THE COURT: Okay. The witness is excused.
Thank you.
(Whereupon the witness exited the courtroom).
THE COURT: Defendants' next witness.
MR. KISE: Before we call the next witness, just briefly. I am just going to renew, based on the testimony we just heard today, renew our motion for directed verdict. The evidence before the defense began was clear, there is no -- the government had not introduced enough evidence of intent, materiality, reliance or damages for disgorgement with this witness. And I don't know how many more witnesses we need to call to confirm this. But this witness has again testified the bank conducted its own due diligence; the bank had no problem with a \(\$ 2\) billion difference, a \(\$ 3\) billion difference; large changes to net worth were not unusual. They didn't say there was any issue between what the bank viewed and the client reported numbers.

So, there has been no demonstration of any materiality issue here at all. There is no reliance. The bank made, just as this witness testified, just like Mr. Haigh testified, the bank made decisions based on its own analysis. There is no damage or disgorgement possible because there was no change in position by the bank based
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Again, as this witness testified, as I said in my opening, President Trump was overqualified for the loan. To be a private wealth customer, as you heard this witness testify, \(\$ 10\) million in liquidity, \(\$ 100\) million in net worth. At no time were either of those thresholds ever in danger of breach. In most years President Trump's liquidity exceeded \(\$ 100\) million, perhaps not every year, but most years. So there could be no ill gotten gain.

Even the DSCR breach that we went round and round about is yet another red herring by the government. Number one, it was self cured. But number two is, as you just heard the witness testify and as evinced by the documentary evidence, the loan documents which govern this relationship, it is not an event of default. It simply gives rise to rights under the agreement for the lender to take certain actions. So, there is no establishment of intent, no establishment of materiality, no reliance, no ill gotten gain.

This is now the second bank witness who signed off on these loans to testify that there was no problem at any point with these loans and these wide disparities which the Attorney General labels as fraud. The bank, who is in the relationship, whose job it is to make these determinations, it is not the Attorney General's job to
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1 & make these determinations post hoc, and say ten years & 1 \\
2 & later, insert herself into a commercial transaction that & 2 \\
3 & was successfully consummated. & 3 \\
4 & So, they haven't met any of the requisite & 4 \\
5 & elements. And so we would, again, renew our motion for & 5 \\
6 & directed verdict. & 6 \\
7 & Thank you, Judge. & 7 \\
8 & THE COURT: Plaintiff, would you like to & 8 \\
9 & respond? & 9 \\
10 & MR. WALLACE: No. This is not -- I don't know & 10 \\
11 & what the point of this motion is. The witness did not say & 11 \\
12 & that none of this matters. The witness said that actually & 12 \\
13 & they expect their clients to tell them the truth and not & 13 \\
14 & lie and not submit fraudulent statements. The idea that & 14 \\
15 & this witness somehow exonerates defense -- & 15 \\
16 & I'll also note that to the extent we are talking & 16 \\
17 & about claims two through seven, they do not involve fraud & 17 \\
18 & directed at Deutsche Bank. They involve fraud directed at & 18 \\
19 & creating false financial records, false financial & 19 \\
20 & statements and insurance fraud. So, testimony from a & 20 \\
21 & Deutsche Bank witness would not be a basis for directing a & 21 \\
22 & verdict under any circumstances. & 22 \\
23 & I think the idea that you can't lie to a bank, & 23 \\
24 & is pretty well established. And that we do not -- there & 24 \\
25 & is no failure of proof in any event. & 25 \\
& & \\
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\section*{THE COURT: Very quick reply. MR. KISE: Just briefly, Your Honor.}

The question of whether or not the bank was lied to is not an abstract question. It is a question of whether the bank itself determined that the information submitted was satisfactory. None of us here, including respectfully, Your Honor, are here to supplant your own judgment, insert your own judgment as to what is accurate and what isn't for the parties to the transaction. This is not a situation where you have a complainant who is saying, I was defrauded, that there is an issue here. This is a highly sophisticated international financial institution which conducted significant due diligence. It is fully satisfied with the deal it struck. You have not heard anyone say to the contrary. We are just basically guessing and the Attorney General is substituting her own judgment for the parties'. There cannot be materiality if the party to the transaction, whose role it is to determine what is material to them and what isn't, this witness and Mr. Haigh have testified that the fluctuations in the numbers are not unusual. They are anticipated. Because, as you heard Dr. Laposa testify, as you heard me say, I am sure more times than you care to hear, this is a subjective exercise. There isn't a right answer. There isn't an, ah-ha, you picked the wrong number. The
question is, in the course of a sophisticated commercial transaction, was a bank like Deutsche Bank, conducting their own due diligence, satisfied with the information they received. Answer: yes, based on the evidence of record.

And so we can't just make up what we think should have happened. The Attorney General isn't free to just say, well, I don't care what that evidence says. I don't care what the bank thinks. I am here to be the protector. That's not our Capitalist system. That is not a free market system that we have in the United States of America, where an Attorney General --

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we have a witness waiting.

MR. KISE: I am just going to make my record.
MR. WALLACE: You made this record 100 times.
MR. KISE: I haven't made it 100 times.
MR. WALLACE: You made it at least 100 times.
MR. KISE: This is the third time. You are making it longer.

If everyone is of the view that evidence doesn't matter, fine. I guess I am wasting my time. I guess I am wasting my time and wasting my breath. Clearly the Attorney General thinks that actual witnesses who are actually involved in the transaction who signed the
documents, none of that matters. We have heard witness after witness come in and say that, and no one cares. If that is where we are, then I don't know what country we have landed in here in the State of New York.

But all I say is, I renew our motion for directed verdict. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, would you like a surreply or a witness?

MR. WALLACE: I will just rest on the extensive record, on the summary judgment decision, and the entire proceedings on this case. And ask that we actually bring in the witness who will testify as to the facts.

THE COURT: And I am not prepared to give a whole speech on this. I would just basically point out that the mere fact that the lenders were happy, doesn't mean that the statute wasn't violated. It doesn't mean other statutes weren't violated.

I'll take it under advisement.
Let's get the witness.
COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Whereupon the witness entered the courtroom and took the stand.)

COURT OFFICER: Raise your right hand for me. EMILY PERELESS, after having
first been duly sworn was examined and testified as
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\hline 2 consult with the DB Valuation Services Group with respect to & 2 A I don't recall. \\
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MR. SUAREZ: And if you could turn to page six of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Is that your signature?
A Yes.
Q What does the fact that this document contains your signature mean?

A That I was part of the group that compiled it.
MR. SUAREZ: And if we turn to page seven in the bottom paragraph of page seven?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q It says "Liquidity. On October 19, 2012, Tom Sullivan and Emily Schroeder visited the offices of the guarantor and reviewed bank and brokerage statements that confirmed 146.3 million in cash balances and marketable securities representing 86 percent of the client reported amount, and of which 118.4 million was held in the name of the guarantor and 27.9 million" -- excuse me, " 27.9 is held in operating accounts of entities that are wholly-owned by the guarantor. The client reported balance has decreased by 89.2 million from the prior year primarily due to the client's investment in property development, retirement of debt and asset acquisition."

What was the purpose of analyzing the client's
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liquidity in this credit report?
A I don't recall, specifically.
Q Does the credit report accurately reflect the work that
you did to verify the client's -- excuse me, the guarantor's stated liquidity?

A To my knowledge, yes.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 290.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
MR. SUAREZ: Which is already in evidence.
\(Q\) Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q Do you see the date of this document is July 24, 2013?
A Yes.
Q And are you the lender that's identified in the upper -- the box in the upper right-hand corner of this page?

A Yes.
Q What role did you have, if any, in preparing this credit report?
A Analyzing compiling the information provided.
Q And in doing so, did you comply with the bank's
policies and procedures?
MR. WALLACE: Objection leading.
E. Pereless - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Was your role in preparing this credit report
consistent with the bank's policies and procedures? MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What, if any, inconsistencies were there in the preparation of this credit report with respect to the bank's internal policies and procedures?
A I do not recall.
Q If you see page six of this document, do you see your signature on the bottom left-hand corner of this page?
A Yes.
Q What does your signature mean on this document?
A That I was part of the group that compiled the report.
Q And what role would you have had in preparing the text contained in this report, if any?
A I probably did the first draft of it.
Q And does the report, to your knowledge, accurately
reflect the work that was done in evaluating the guarantor's financial strength?
A I do not recall.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 294.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
E. Pereless - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q What is the date of this document?
A May 2nd, 2014.
Q And what role did you have in preparing this document, if any?

A I analyzed and compiled the information provided.
Q What do you mean by "analyzed"?
A I mean, to review and -- review and, and compile it inside the memo.

Q What role did you have as a lender in connection with the credit decisions memorialized by this credit report?
A We did not make credit decisions. Credit decisions were made by Credit Risk Management.

Q What role did you have in supporting, or not
supporting, decisions made by the bank in connection with this credit report, if any?

A I do not recall.
Q Do you see on page 11 of the this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q On the left-hand corner in the middle of the page, do you recognize your signature?
25 A Yes.

1 Q Why would your signature appear on this document?
2 A Because I was part of the group that compiled the memo.

\section*{refresh her recollection as to what --}

MR. WALLACE: I believe her testimony was March 2015.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I stand corrected. So she wasn't there. We all agree?

MR. SUAREZ: No, she wasn't there, I will withdraw this document.

May I have a moment to confer with my colleagues?
THE COURT: Of course.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the testimony.)

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up the document previously marked as Defendant's Exhibit 66.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Ido.
Q What is this document?
A It appears to be the Credit Risk Management Credit Guidelines, Private Wealth Management Americas.

Q And how -- are you familiar with this document?
A I'm not.
Q Were you familiar with this document at the time that you worked at Deutsche Bank?
E. Pereless - by Defense - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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1 A I was not.

2
3
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Q Earlier we looked at Plaintiff's Exhibit 293.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And we identified your -- that that was you as a lender on the upper right-hand corner.
A Mm-hmm.
Q Can you walk me through the mechanics of how this document would have been created?
A We would have been provided with financial statements regarding whatever the collateral is, and the sponsor, or the guarantor, and it would have been analyzed and presented in the memo form.

Q What role would you have had in that process?
A I would have taken the information and probably filled out some of the boxes and worked with the senior person to put together the terms and put them in the memo.
Q And in preparing the memo, would you have any reason to be inaccurate?
A Not that I recall.
Q How is the information -- withdrawn.
How would you receive the information that went into this memorandum?
A I do not recall specifically.
Q What interaction, if any, would you have with the individuals identified as relationship manager?

Q How is it that you recognize this document?
A Because I saw this in a deposition.
Q Prior to being shown this document in a deposition, had
you done anything to make yourself familiar with the contents of this document?
A No.
MR. SUAREZ: Okay. If we could pull up Plaintiffs Exhibit 378.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry, defendant's 378.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Do you recognize this document?
A The same thing, only from the deposition.
Q When you joined Deutsche Bank, what, if any, training did you receive on its policies and procedures?
A I do not recall.
Q While you were employed at Deutsche Bank, what, if any, continuing education did you receive concerning its internal policies and procedures?
A I do not recall.

1 A I don't recall specifically.
2 Q Who is Rosemary Vrablic?
3 A She is a banker. She was a banker.
4 Q What role did Rosemary Vrablic have in connection with 5 the credit facility identified by this credit report?
6 A She was the banker for the client.
7 Q Who is the client?
8 A Donald Trump.
9 Q Is that the beneficial owner that you pointed to up
10 there, Donald J. Trump?
11 A Yes. inue, I will note our objection at this point. This testimony is cumulative. This is the third witness that's
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been asked about these documents. There's no new information coming in. We are getting the same questions that we've gotten from Mr. Haigh and from Mr. Williams. So if it could be truncated to new information, I think that would be appropriate.

MR. KISE: We are certainly going to do that. And I appreciate Mr. Wallace recognizing that yet another bank employee is coming in and being asked the same questions, so back to my directed verdict motion. We'll leave it there.

Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: All right. 10:00 tomorrow, everyone. Ill direct the witness not to discuss the testimony or the case overnight, because you'll be a witness tomorrow.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned to Wednesday, November 29, 2023, at 10:00 a.m.)
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5398: 5
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { leverage (1) } \\
5370: 17
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 5445:12 & & 5461:6;5462:18 & legal (9) & liabilities (1) \\
\hline into (29) & J & known (1) & 5325:3;5404:7 & 5375:3 \\
\hline 5322:18;5323:20; & & 5448:19 & 5407:6,21,24;5408:23; & liberality (1) \\
\hline 5329:7;5333:9; & Jack (1) & KYC (2) & 5410:25;5412:2; & \[
5335: 9
\] \\
\hline 5336:13,21;5353:16; & 5398:7 & 5325:24;5334:11 & 5426:9 & LIBOR (2) \\
\hline 5362:18;5367:5; & James (1) & & lender (19) & 5356:10,10 \\
\hline 5368:8;5372:5; & 5416:1 & L & 5324:4,12,14 & lie (2) \\
\hline 5373:24;5377:23; & JESUS (2) & & 5328:1;5344:21,23;
\(5357.2 \cdot 5390 \cdot 1215,18\). & 5444:14,23 \\
\hline 5385:11;5389:8; & 5323:13;5448:13 & labeled (1) & 5357:2;5390:12,15,18; & lied (1) \\
\hline 5390:13;5401:2; & Jim (1) & 339:16 & 5441:2,13;5443:16; & 5445:3 \\
\hline 5402:8;5408:3;5410:6; & 5401: & labels & 5452:15;5459:18,22 & lien (1) \\
\hline 5415:16;5423:1; & 5443:24,25 & landed (1) & 5467:4 & life (3) \\
\hline 5439:1;5444:2;5448:7; & joined (2) & 5447:4 & lenders (3) & 5338:24;5414:5; \\
\hline 5452:5;5467:21 & 5324:10;5466:19 & language (1) & 5447:15;5452:10,10 & 5421:9 \\
\hline introduced (3) & joint (2) & 5423:6 & lender's (1) & lifetime (1) \\
\hline 5414:10;5435:25; & 5421:5,5 & Laposa (1) & 5326:23 & 5325:5 \\
\hline 5442:10 & Jr (1) & 5445:22 & lending (26) & life-to-date (1) \\
\hline introducing (1) & 5366:20 & Laptops (1) & 5326:1;5330:8; & 5341:8 \\
\hline 5403:13 & Judge (6) & 5322:3 & 5331:11;5332:5,11; & light (2) \\
\hline introduction (1)
5325:22 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5322: 2 ; 5397: 2 ; \\
& 5415: 15,18 ; 5444: 7 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
large (2) \\
5381:16.5442.16
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5335: 21 ; 5339: 18 \\
& 5341: 19 ; 5349: 14,17
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5328: 2 ; 5397: 15
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
5325:22 \\
introductory (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5415: 15,18 ; 5444: 7 ; \\
& 5469: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5381:16;5442:16 } \\
& \text { largely (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5341:19;5349:14,17, } \\
& \text { 20;5354:2;5357:2; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline \text { likelihood (1) } \\
5348: 9
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
5327: 14
\] & judgment (6) & \[
5327: 25 ; 5328: 24
\] & 5389:4,7,9,13;5390:7, & likewise (1) \\
\hline invest (1) & 5378:14;5387:5; & last (15) & 10;5427:21;5449:8,19; & 5412:24 \\
\hline 5369:9 & 5445:8,8,17;5447:10 & 5326:9;5341:1; & 5450:18;5451:2,5; & limine (2) \\
\hline investable (5) & July (4) & \[
5374: 18 ; 5376: 6
\] & 5454:13 & 5399:5,9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline limited (1) & 5364:14;5366:11; & & 359: & 3,12;5446:11; \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline line (5) & 5371:14,16;5372 & 10 & - & marketable (9) \\
\hline 5334:9; & 22;5373:2,5,21; & 16:6;5421:21 & - & , \\
\hline 5430:3;5458:19,22 & 5374:1;5378:21 & 25:10;5430:3 & & 37.2.543 \\
\hline liquid (7) & 5385:4;5387:13,18, & 5438:19 & 18;5418:12 & 5454:16;5460:17 \\
\hline 5367:3; & 5388:10,16;5389:8; & 10 & 5423:15 & marketplace (1) \\
\hline 5435:2,6 & 5390:3,11;5392:4,8,2 & 5363:14;5385:3 & & 5390:23 \\
\hline liquidity (57) & 24;5394:11;5395:19 & 467:1 & 457:1 & markets (2) \\
\hline 39 & 20;5404:3,11;5406:13, & 10 & - & 5330:25;5332 \\
\hline 5341:6,9,10,16,22 & 25;5407:8,16;5408:18; & 44:18 & & master's (1) \\
\hline 5342:3;5343:3,20, & 5409:4,5;5410:21; & 82:4-5410 & ma & 5323:18 \\
\hline 5360:9,11,14,16,22; & 5412:21;5419:19 & 1. & 5325:22;5328:7 & Material (6) \\
\hline 5363:21;5365:10; & 5420:1;5424:3,6; & 5433:4;5437:1;5458:9 & 5387:6;5389 & 5334:2,6,17; \\
\hline 5366:21;5373:23; & 5427:1;5429:3,19 & looks (5) & making & 5337:16;534 \\
\hline 5375:12,13,25;5376:1, & 5430:15,20,21; & 64:7 & & 5445:19 \\
\hline 7,7,22,24,25;5377:1,8; & 5431:10,11;5432 & 5405:16;5433:10 & 363:13;5389: & materiality (4) \\
\hline 5383:10;5388:12,18; & 5441:1,16;5443:4,14 & loosely (1) & 5390:10,10;5446 & 442:11,21; \\
\hline 5401:18,23;5402:13, & 5452:17,19;5458:10 & 415:7 & Management (46) & 5445:17 \\
\hline 14,21,23,24;5418:13, & loans (40) & 10 & 5324.5 & mathematic \\
\hline 20;5421:4;5423:16; & 5324:16;5326:4; & 5370 & & 5327:4,21 \\
\hline 5435:13,19,20; & 5330:12,20;5331:31 & lo & 19,20;5331:1 & matter (2) \\
\hline 5437 & 5333:4;5335:17,18,22; & 26 & 0. & 5343:11;5446:22 \\
\hline 8;5454:12;5460:14 & 5338:6;5340:3;5344:9; & lou & 2:11, & matters (2) \\
\hline 5461:1,5 & 5346:14;5351:2; & 23 & 5: & 5444:12;544 \\
\hline list (5) & 5361:23;5377:24 & & & matured (1) \\
\hline 5330 & 5378:25;5391:6; & 5448 & 5349:17,20;5354:2; & 5427:8 \\
\hline 5345:19; & 5392:15,16;5394:3 & low & ,24; & matures (1) \\
\hline listed (2) & 5405:25;5414:3,6,10 & 5370:20;5372:1 & 26:15; & 5427:1 \\
\hline 5457:12, & 5415:7,8;5421:9; & 5393:9; & 17. & \(\boldsymbol{m a x}(2)\) \\
\hline listing (1) & 5424:18;5428:23 & lower (7) & 23,25; & 5349:8;5359 \\
\hline 5457:17 & 5431:14; & (72: & 20:5451:9 & maximum (2) \\
\hline lists (1) & 5441:20;5443:21, & 5348:1;5393 & 5456:10,14;5463:15; & 5332:11;5354:2 \\
\hline 5438:22 & 5449:20;5450:18,19; & 5438:21;5 & \[
5465: 20,21
\] & may (19) \\
\hline little (6) & 5451:4 & LTV (20) & manager (4) & \[
5331: 11 ; 533
\] \\
\hline 5332:2,3;5335:19; & loan's & 5348:25;5349:8; & 1;5340: & 5338:23;5339:2; \\
\hline 5402:7;5425:4;5453: & 5338:14;5354:11 & 5354:10,20,21;5359:6, & 5428:5;5467:25 & 5345:8;5376:24; \\
\hline LLC (14) & Loan-to-value (26) & 10;5361:15,17; & managing (1) & 5380:19;5381:17 \\
\hline 5 & 48:1 & 5370:19;5372:1 & 5324:12 & 5396:5,13;5398:2, \\
\hline 17;5345:2,14;5346 & 15,18,20;5348:1; & 4:1 & Manhattan & 5415:1,1;5420:9; \\
\hline 5358:1;5387:14; & 5371:1,3;5372:15 & 406: & 40:5 & 5458:9;5463:5;5465:8 \\
\hline 5410:2;5411:11; & 促 & 9:3 & & 5468:15 \\
\hline 5412:20;5419:24 & 405:19;5406:6,7 & 5420:14 & :4,6;54 & maybe (6) \\
\hline 5420:4 & 407:8,18;5408:3; & & 54:25 & 90:1 \\
\hline loan ( & 413:10;5415:2; & 5396:13,15,20 & & 402:11;5408:16 \\
\hline 53 & 419:10;5420:12,23 & & 5414:6;5442:12 & 5413:22;5468:14 \\
\hline 5326:7,9;5327:3; & 5424:23;5441:15 & & & mean (46) \\
\hline 5331:11,19;5332:2,12, & located (2) & luxury (1) & 1:1;5465 & 5329:2;5331:23; \\
\hline & 5344:15; & & & 5334.14. \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5335:11,19;5337:6,18; } \\
& \text { 5338:1,2,7,18,24; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\mathbf{l o c}
\] & M & mar & 5338:19;5341:1 \\
\hline 5343:12;5344:11, & & & 5402:17 & 5355:11,15;5357 \\
\hline 5345:4,8,25;5346:16, & 5389 & & ma & 5362:5;5364:6; \\
\hline 16,17,19,21;5347:1,2; & & 5384:2;5386:6,7,14 & & 5365:12,25;5366: \\
\hline 5348:7;5349:23; & 5323:25;5324:8; & mailin & 5399:25;5401:6 & 5370:22;5376:12; \\
\hline 5350:5,10,14;5351:1 & 5331:19;5340:11; & 412 & 09:20;5410:10 & 5392:14;5402:21; \\
\hline 15,21,24;5352:4,9,14; & 5360:10;5440:15; & maintain & 5411:8;5412:11,14; & 5405:13;5410:22; \\
\hline 5354:13;5355:3,12; & 5450:3 & 3338:23;53 & 15:20,23;5421:16; & 5413:8,15;5426:12 \\
\hline 5357:10,11,12,13,14, & 1 & 352:9;5354:12, & 4;5451:15 & 5431:10;5432:18; \\
\hline 16,25;5358:9,10,12; & 5330:10;5388:17; & 355:16;5388:18 & 4;5459:3; & 5435:1;5438:1,14; \\
\hline 5359:4,11,15,17,18,20, & 5446:20;5468:12 & 106:11;5419:11,15; & 54 & 5439:13,17;5447:16 \\
\hline 21;5360:9,25;5361:2, & look (15) & 425:15;5426:2 & market (7) & 16;5452:14;5454:1,4 \\
\hline 20;5363:14,17,23; & 5353:17;5357:18; & maintained (3) & 5325:23,25;5391:9; & 5458:14,17;5459:17; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5460:8;5462:13; & 5403:6 & 7;5396:2,3;5401:16; & mortgage (1) & needs (2) \\
\hline 5463:9,10;5464:4,7 & mer & 5418:11;5429:3; & 5344: & 5330:9;5440:22 \\
\hline meaningful (5) & 5447:15 & 5 & m & egative (3) \\
\hline 5371:9,19,20,24; & merit (1) & 5438:11,17;5439 & 19:9;5431:20; & 5385:25;5387:4; \\
\hline 5372:7 & 5393. & minimums (4) & 43:7 & 5419:22 \\
\hline means & & 12,15,16,18 & motion (5) & (106) \\
\hline 5370:4;54 & 5331:9 & minus (2) & 5442:8;5444:5,11; & 5324:17, \\
\hline 5405:11;540 & 24;5395:1,4,7,1 & 5356:1 & 5447:5;5469 & 8,10,12,14;5326:2,14 \\
\hline 5419:25;5426:23; & 396:1;5399:2 & minute & motions (2) & 18,20,24;5327:4,24; \\
\hline 5452:16;5458:15 & 5444:4 & 5396:5;544 & 5399:4,9 & 5329:6,6;5330:16; \\
\hline meant (4) & Met & minutes (2) & outh & 5338:24;5341:6; \\
\hline 5334:12; & 5424:10 & 5340:12;5362 & 5379:2 & 5349:23;5350:1,2,6; \\
\hline 5411:4;5418: & Mia & Mischaracterizes & & 5352:9,15,15;5353:1,6, \\
\hline measure (3) & 5344:15 & 428:12;5429:4 & 33:8;533 & 8;5365:9,15;5380:12, \\
\hline 5329:1,3;5366:8 & mis & misleading (2) & 88:8;5392 & 13,23;5382:4,15,18,21, \\
\hline measured (1) & 23 & & 03:11;5407:2, & 22,25;5383:9;5384:2, \\
\hline 5384:4 & Michael & misse & 408:7;5421:1; & 14,20;5385:1,6,21,23; \\
\hline measures & \[
5352: 19 ; 5383: 1
\] & 5413:22 & 5423:22;5458:2 & 5386:1,2,8,9,15,16,20, \\
\hline \[
5425: 15,20 ; 5426
\] & \[
5416: 10 ; 5453: 12
\] & mi & moving & \[
20 ; 5387: 1,3 ; 5388: 22,
\] \\
\hline mechanics (1) & microphone (4) & 5379:21 & 5332:10 & 23;5393:4,17,20,23; \\
\hline 5467:7 & 131 & m & 403:1 & 5394:4,6,8,17,23,25; \\
\hline mechanism (3) & 5431:6;5448:7 & 5367:8;5459: & 08:20;5420:6 & 5395:3,6;5401:15; \\
\hline 5414:21;5424 & middle (2) & misstated & 26:4,5 & 5404:24;5409:17; \\
\hline \[
5431: 21
\] & & 5375:16 & much & 5414:23;5418:10,17; \\
\hline meet (7) & might & M & 5323:20;536 & 5421:2,8;5423:10,13; \\
\hline 5330:19;5340:13; & 5332:3,6;53 & 467 & 5380:20;5468:1 & 5428:21;5429:2,3,18 \\
\hline \[
5394: 12,13,15 ; 5396: 3 ;
\] & 5348:2;535 & moderate & muffled ( & 19;5430:6;5432:14; \\
\hline 5438:18 & 5351:6,22;5366 & 372:15 & 5402:7 & 5433:8,16,24;5434:3,4, \\
\hline meeting (1) & 5370:8;5377:5;5382:3 & modificat & mul & 11;5437:8;5438:10,16, \\
\hline 5454:18 & 5391:5;5406:14; & 365:2 & 5405:10 & 22;5439:1,4,8; \\
\hline meets (3) & 5437:18 & M & mus & 5442:16;5443:6; \\
\hline 5325:22;5 & millio & 5399:1 & 5330:22,23;53 & 5456:10,14 \\
\hline 5405:10 & 5325:9,15 & mom & myself (2) & new (10) \\
\hline members & 24;5330:17;5339:20 & & 5324:20;5342:1 & 5322:8,10;5397:23; \\
\hline 5322:4 & 21,22,25;5341:7,7,8; & Monday (1)
5398.25 & & 5420:7,15;5447:4;
\(5448 \cdot 4.5469 .14\) \\
\hline membership & 5354:9;5359:5; & 5398:25 & \(\mathbf{N}\) & \[
5448: 4,5 ; 5469: 1,4
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5341: 12 ; 5457: 20 \\
& 5458: 18
\end{aligned}
\] & 5360:15,18;5361 & money (3) & & next (16) \\
\hline 5458:18 & 5363:8;5365:9,23 & 368:11;5369:2 & & 5322:15;5337:19; \\
\hline memo (32) & 5366:14,21;5367:23 & 5374:8 & \[
5361: 13
\] & 5340:25;5352:13; \\
\hline 5350:19; & 25,25,25;5369:10; & monitor (1) & name (13) & 5368:13;5398:9,23; \\
\hline 5362:7;5378:6,24; & 5372:4,18,23;5373:14, & \[
5325: 5
\] & 5323:5;5339:2 & 5407:10;5420:6; \\
\hline 5379:1,7,11,24;5380:5, & 15,16;5375:13,14,15; & month (1) & \[
375: 14 ; 5376: 2
\] & 5421:1,1;5424:9,9; \\
\hline 9;5381:5,8,12; & 5376:1,2,3;5377:9,10; & 416: & 399:20;5402:15 & 5436:2;5442:5,6 \\
\hline 5402:22;5403:6,2 & 5383:10;5385:22,25; & monthly & 448:2,13,19,21; & nine (3) \\
\hline 5410:4;5417:10; & 5393:20,21;5395:3,6, & 5424:3 & 453:5;5454:17; & 5372:2;5400: \\
\hline 5452:23,24;5455:9,12, & 10;5401:19,23; & mon & 5460:19 & 5433:5 \\
\hline 14;5463:11;5464:2,6, & 5402:14;5409:16 & & named (3) & nomenclature (1) \\
\hline 15,18;5467:12,16,17 & 5418:13,14,20; & m & 5326:12;5344 & 5421:14 \\
\hline memorandum (12) & 5419:23;5420:3; & 5327:17;5330:13; & 5455:16 & noncredit (3) \\
\hline 5373:20;5377:14, & 5421:3;5423:16; & 5332:2,6,8;5335:19 & nature (5) & 5366:22,25;5391:25 \\
\hline 5384:9,13; & 5429:3;5435:13; & 5337:15;5348:1,6; & 5367:20;5384:24; & non-credit (2) \\
\hline 5386:10,17;5387:10; & 5439:18,20;5443:5,5,8; & 5351:23;5355:13; & 5406:4;5411:6;5434:6 & 5361:1;5363:14 \\
\hline 5438:19,20;5467:22 & 5454:15,16,17; & 5368:11;5369:2,3; & necessarily (4) & none (4) \\
\hline memorialize (1) & 5460:17,19,20,22 & \[
5370: 20 ; 5372: 18
\] & \[
5341: 14 ; 5343: 1
\] & 5414:24;5444: \\
\hline 5455:1 & mind & 5373:25;5374:7 & 5355:12;5437:11 & 5445:6;5447:1 \\
\hline memorialize & 54 & & necessary (5) & noninterest (1) \\
\hline 5463:13 & minimal (1) & 5395:18;5397:12; & 5428:6;5437:25; & 5457:20 \\
\hline memos (1) & 5338:25 & 5406:12,24;5442:13; & 5439:21;5458:3,11 & nonoperating (1) \\
\hline 5417:12 & minimum (23) & 544 & need & 5386:4 \\
\hline mention (3) & 5338:23;5349 & morning (8) & 5342:16;5368:10 & nonrecourse (1) \\
\hline 5402:22;540 & 5360:9;5363:2 & 5322:7,11 & \[
5370: 8 ; 5407: 25
\] & 5331:25 \\
\hline mentioned (3) & 5388:12;5393:3,5,18, & 12;5398:1,9;5411:3; & 5416:2;5420:13; & nonrecurring (2) \\
\hline 5358:11;5366:8; & 19;5394:24;5395:1,4, & 5468:16 & 5440:16;5442:13 & 5385:9;5386:5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline non-recurring (2) 5437:16,18 & 5468:24 & 5412:20,21;5419:18; & 5376:18 & \[
5325: 2
\] \\
\hline 5437:16,18 & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { objections (1) } \\
5335: 10
\end{array}
\] &  & opting & over (10)
5330:17 \\
\hline \[
5333: 2
\] & obligation (3) & 5351:16;5359:4 & optional (1) & 5387:25;5388:4; \\
\hline North (5) & 5389:9;5410:20; & 5388:23;5408:15 & 5419:15 & 5397:8,13;5414:9; \\
\hline 5334:24;5346:11; & 5427:22 & 5433:15;5468:15 & optionality (3) & 5421:9;5434:11 \\
\hline 5357:25;5387:14; & obligations (1) & one (35) & 5354:23;5355:17; & overall (2) \\
\hline 5410:1 & 5410:19 & 5327:15;5330:13 & 5356:5 & 5336:11;5367:20 \\
\hline note (8) & obtain (2) & 5336:10;5340:14 & order (12) & overnight (1) \\
\hline 5344:16;5350:25; & 5420:13;5426:19 & 5344:1,9,9;5346:14 & 5322:6;5362:15; & 5469:13 \\
\hline 5359:13;5378:23; & obtained (4) & 5351:22;5357:4; & 5396:18;5397:3; & overqualified (1) \\
\hline 5386:25;5401:13; & 5330:20;5331:3; & 5358:11;5366:20; & 5404:10;5406:12,24; & 5443:3 \\
\hline 5444:16;5468:24 & 5334:8;5419:21 & 5371:11;5378:6,8; & 5407:8;5424:25; & overrule (1) \\
\hline noted (12) & obviously (3) & 5379:3;5385:7;5389:7; & 5426:2;5440:11; & 5413:5 \\
\hline 5360:24;5368:5; & 5398:19;5412:8; & 5391:8;5403:6,23; & 5441:14 & overruled (8) \\
\hline 5387:1;5395:16; & 5468:13 & 5406:13;5410:1; & ordering (1) & 5342:14;5394:20,22; \\
\hline 5404:9,12;5407:6,11; & occur (1) & 5411:9,16;5412:19; & 5455:21 & 5407:23;5411:4; \\
\hline 5408:24,24;5409:15; & 5338:12 & 5413:4;5418:8;5422:8; & orderly (5) & 5412:9;5415:13; \\
\hline 5454:21 & occurred (1) & 5424:9;5443:12; & 5426:10,13,15,23; & 5436:1 \\
\hline notice (6) & 5415:1 & 5447:2;5448:4; & 5427:7 & Own (33) \\
\hline 5370:2,13;5410:3, & occurs (1) & 5452:16,18 & Org (1) & 5335:8,21;5341:16; \\
\hline 22;5412:20;5427:3 & 5405:9 & ongoing (1) & 5406:11 & 5355:9;5365:18; \\
\hline November (1) & October (3) & 5457:18 & Organization (3) & 5368:11;5369:2,17; \\
\hline 5469:16 & 5376:8;5377:3; & only (8) & 5351:3;5410:12; & 5373:23;5374:3,8; \\
\hline number (17) & 5460:14 & 5322:4;5350:20; & 5441:21 & 5375:5;5376:18; \\
\hline 5368:6;5375:16; & off (6) & 5371:15,16;5382:8; & original (4) & 5383:2;5384:3; \\
\hline 5383:5,6;5392:6; & 5379:24;5408:15,15; & 5403:1;5441:13; & 5358:3,14;5360:4; & 5386:21;5387:5; \\
\hline 5401:20;5402:21; & 5414:4;5416:2; & 5466:18 & 5380:2 & 5394:3;5403:14; \\
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\] & soon (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
spend (1) \\
5340:11
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5328: 23 ; 5329: \\
& 5339 \cdot 203 \cdot 53
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline sets (1) & & sophisticated (2) & spent (1) & 23;5365:15;537 \\
\hline 5416:3 & significance (3) & 5445:12;5446 & 5411:2 & 5375:11;5376:8 \\
\hline seven (8) & 5326:23;5336:9 & sorry (16) & spoke (3) & 5377:1,4,5;5382:2; \\
\hline 5360:1;5361 & 457:12 & 28:9; & 5341:18;5397:18,2 & 5384:6;5402:20 \\
\hline 5363:16;5372:20; & significant & 退30:11;5363:24 & sponsor (1) & 5414:17;5415:4 \\
\hline 5388:11;5444:17; & 33:15;5373:23 & 73:13;5376:14 & 5467:10 & 5418:21;5420:8 \\
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\hline 5348:21,24;5350:2 & 59:19; & 405:6;5458:9 & 5331:20 & 5446:11 \\
\hline 5359:2,5;5364:3; & 5407:16 & sounds & Stafford/Schroder (1) & status (2) \\
\hline 5441:12,13 & similarly (1) & 20 & 5452:10 & 5419:13;5425:18 \\
\hline Sherief (1)
5399.20 & 5403:5
simple (1) & source (5) & stake (2) & atute (1) \\
\hline 399:20 & \(\underset{5403.15}{\text { simple (1) }}\) & 72:11,12;5 & 5369:3;5
stand (7) & 5447:16
statutes (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { shorten (1) } \\
5415: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & 5403:15 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 8;5386:13 } \\
\text { snurced (1) }
\end{array}
\] & sta & tatutes (1) \\
\hline Shortfalls (5) & \[
5340: 23 ; 5435: 23 ;
\] & \[
5325: 20
\] & \[
5399: 14 ; 5414: 1 ;
\] & statutory (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5334:8 & 5324:16;5326:1; & substituting (1) & \[
5425: 12,16
\] & 5325:10;5330:10; \\
\hline stay (1) & 5339:18;5449:8,19; & 5445:16 & suspect (1) & 5331:19 \\
\hline 5395:24 & 5450:18;5451:5; & succe & 5437:1 & 5349:15;5350:10,14; \\
\hline stays (2) & 5454 & 0:23,2 & sustain ( & 5352:9,14;5358:9,25; \\
\hline 5408:15;5418:6 & Structures (1) & successfully (1) & 5331 & 5359:12,15,18; \\
\hline stenographically (4) & 5332 & 5444 & Sus & 5369:12;5409:13 \\
\hline 5352:18;5383:12; & SUAREZ (127) & sufficient (3) & 5338:4;5341:2 & 5434:25;5441:1; \\
\hline 5416:9;5453:12 & 5322:11;5323:10,13 & 5371:7,13;53 & 43:17;5346:23; & 5457:20 \\
\hline step & 5327:8;5329:10,15,21; & sufficiently (1) & 53:4;5376:21; & terminated ( \\
\hline 5346:2,4,6,19,21; & 5333:8,13;5334:1; & 5348:7 & 5394:1;5434:17; & \[
5361: 2
\] \\
\hline \[
5347: 1,2,4,11,16,22
\] & 5335:9,13,17,24; & suggest (1) & 5439:16;5454:7; & terminating (1) \\
\hline 25;5348:10;5349:3,12; & 5336:20;5339:10,13 & 5346:23 & 5462:1,5 & 5356:7 \\
\hline 5352:15;5353:9; & 5340:25;5342:8,9,23, & suggesting (3) & swear (1) & termination (4) \\
\hline \[
5357: 16 ; 5359: 22
\] & \[
25 ; 5344: 3,19,22
\] & \[
5327: 11 ; 5351: 6
\] & 5322:2 & 5361:19;5363:20; \\
\hline 5360:8,12;5370:18; & 5345:11,16;5346:7 & 5391:3 & sw & 5364:10,14 \\
\hline 5405:4,7;5407:17; & 5348:18;5349:25; & su & 5323:2;5 & terms (17) \\
\hline 5441:1 & 5350:11,15;5351:20, & & system & 5324:19;5332 \\
\hline step-d & 25;5352:2;5353:12,16; & Sullivan (4) & 5446:10,11 & 8;5335:17;5350:22; \\
\hline \[
5349: 15 ; 5364: 4
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5354: 4 ; 5355: 21 \\
& 5356: 12,15 ; 5357
\end{aligned}
\] & 340:5;5376:8; & T & 5351:11,14,18;5358:3, \\
\hline \[
5347: 7
\] & \[
22 ; 5358: 15 ; 5360: 1 ;
\] & summarizes (1) & & \[
5437: 16 ; 5467: 16
\] \\
\hline stepped & 5361:4,8,24;5362:8; & 5434:6 & table (6) & test (10) \\
\hline 5322:18;5362:18 & 5363:4,16,25;5364:19, & summary & 338:15,20;5348:25; & 5366:9;5404:10; \\
\hline steps (2) & 22;5367:7;5369:23; & 334:15;544 & 59:7;5429:22; & 5407:8;5409 \\
\hline 5427:24;5456:16 & 5370:2,7;5373:11,13; & supervised (1) & 5434:6 & 5424:23;5431:10,12; \\
\hline step-up (1) & 5374:10;5375:18,24; & 425:13 & tack (1) & 5441:8,9,14 \\
\hline 5356:1 & 5379:11;5382:12; & supplant (1) & 5362:10 & testified (13) \\
\hline still (5) & 5384:16;5385:11; & \[
5445: 7
\] & tagged (2) & 5323:3;5427:13; \\
\hline 5398:10;5399:7,16; & 5388:1,7;5389:1,15; & supplied (1) & \[
5426: 10,12
\] & 5428:21,25;5429:8,13, \\
\hline \[
5421: 8,14
\] & 5396:5,12,17;5397:11, & \[
5426: 7
\] & talk (2) & \[
14 ; 5442: 14,22,23
\] \\
\hline strategies (7) & 14,22;5398:16; & support (17) & 5362:21;5448: & 5443:2;5445:20; \\
\hline 5347:5,9;5348:16; & 5400:12;5402:18 & \[
5327: 1 ; 5334: 9
\] & talking (2) & \[
5447: 25
\] \\
\hline 5353:2,7;5387:11; & 5403:8;5405:24; & 5348:7;5354:2;5357:3; & 5379:1;5444:16 & testify (6) \\
\hline \[
5389: 12
\] & \[
5410: 25 ; 5411: 21
\] & \[
5362: 6 ; 5366: 11
\] & target (4) & \[
5415: 11 ; 5443: 5,13,
\] \\
\hline strategy (10) & 5413:6;5427:13; & 5367:17;5368:3 & 5325:23,25;5393:3 & 21;5445:22;5447:12 \\
\hline \[
5336: 7,11 ; 5355: 4,9,
\] & 5429:4,10;5431:1,3; & 5371:7;5380:9,18; & 5394:13 & testifying (2) \\
\hline 11;5359:24;5360:23; & 5432:5,9,21;5434:1,18, & 5400:14,16;5402:20; & \(\boldsymbol{t a x}\) (5) & 5397:25;5411:22 \\
\hline 5361:21,22;5363:12 & 21;5435:23;5438:3,6; & 5430:21;5435:3 & 5340:1 & testimony (20) \\
\hline stream (2) & 5440:12;5441:4,23; & supported (2) & 5457:17;5458:4,1 & \[
5322: 23 ; 5362: 2
\] \\
\hline 5384:24;5434:5 & 5448:12,13;5451:7,14; & 5334:11;5393:15 & taxable (1) & 5396:10,20;5408:14; \\
\hline strength (18) & 5452:1;5453:2;5454:8; & supporter (1) & \[
5341: 5
\] & 5411:22;5415:12; \\
\hline 5347:13;5365:7,18; & 5456:19;5457:5; & 5326:9 & TBD (1) & 5428:13,14;5429:5,21, \\
\hline 5366:11;5372:12; & 5458:25;5459:5,10; & supporting (7) & 5344:21 & 24;5430:9;5440:8; \\
\hline 5383:7;5386:22; & 5460:1,10;5461:7,12; & 5341:3;5419:23 & team (8) & 5442:7;5444:20; \\
\hline 5393:5,8,11,11; & 5462:22;5464:9,25; & 5452:10,15;5455:10; & 5324:4,12;5330: & 5465:2,11;5468:25; \\
\hline 5401:14;5418:9; & 5465:6,12;5466:8,13; & 5463:16,17 & 5339:18;5377:4; & 5469:12 \\
\hline 5423:9;5432:12; & 5468:12 & suppose (3) & 5381:6,22;5454:13 & Thanks (1) \\
\hline 5438:9;5439:10; & subject (4)
5327.25 .5328 .5 & 5408:4,9;5411: & technical (1) & \[
5403: 25
\] \\
\hline 5462:20 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5327: 25 ; 5328: 5 \\
& 5402: 4 ; 5409: 3
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { supposed (1) } \\
& 5390: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & 5370:8 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { therefore (1) } \\
5373: 3
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \[
5354: 15 ; 5406: 22
\] & subjective (2) & sure (17) & 5370:9, & thinking (3) \\
\hline stress (1) & 5327:25;5445:24 & 5322:3; & telling (1) & \[
5355: 12,13 ; 5468: 21
\] \\
\hline 5366:9 & su & 53 & \[
5428: 8
\] & third (6) \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { strike (1) } \\
5408: 8
\end{array}
\] & submits (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5344: 19 ; 5355: 5 \\
& 5364: 13 ; 5370: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
ten (17)
    5330:10;5354:12,15;
``` & \begin{tabular}{l}
5358:6,24;5360:5; \\
5419:19;5446:19;
\end{tabular} \\
\hline strong (4) & 5427:19 & 5375:21;5384:11,15 & 555:1,16;5356:2 & 5468:25 \\
\hline 5348:7;5401:18; & submitted (5) & 5396:7;5413:12; & 5360:13,13,17; & though (4) \\
\hline 5418:12;5423:16 & 5381:24;5430:15,20;
5443:1-5445:6 & 5420:17;5445:23; & 5364:19;5370:3,14; & 5398:2,22;5406:10 \\
\hline struck (1) & 5443:1;5445:6 & 5449:2 & 5383:6;5419:11,15; & 5421:10 \\
\hline 5445:14
structure (1) & subsequent (1) & surreply (1) & 5438:20;5444:1 & thought (6) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { structure (1 } \\
5457: 19
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5403:10 \\
subsidiary (1)
\end{tabular} & 5447:8 surrounding (4) & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { tentatively (1) } \\
5399: 2
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5335:4;5343:15; \\
5403:17:5429:10,1
\end{tabular} \\
\hline structured (8) & 5334:10 & 5420:15;5424:10; & term (19) & 5437:22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline three & track (2) & 542 & 5348:13;5354:8; & 5423:8;5424:1; \\
\hline 5346:14;5354:4; & 5330:23 & 15, & 11,5392 & 43:16; \\
\hline 5357:9;5366:20; & tracked (1) & ;5443:1, & 5406:16;5456:6 & 5444:22;5447:18; \\
\hline 5392:16;5418:25; & 14. & 22;5458:15; & types & 5448:19 \\
\hline 24:14,18 & tracking ( & 5468:8, & 90:2;5392:7 & undergraduate (1) \\
\hline threshold (1) & 21.8 & Trum & Typical & 5323:17 \\
\hline & & 5340:17;5354:10 & 5330:16;5378: & underlying (1) \\
\hline thresholds & 1:21,2 & 61:13;5374:15 & typically (9) & 5413:19 \\
\hline & t & 5375:14;5376:2 & 5324:20;5325: & Understood \\
\hline throughou & 5466:19 & 387:20;5393:1 & \[
5326: 18,21 ; 5329: 5
\] & 5396:21 \\
\hline 5338:24 & & 5394:17;5395:23 & 5347:13;5348:13; & undertake \\
\hline Thur & 5354:13 & 02:2,15;5407:1 & 5353:9;5438:15 & 5456:16 \\
\hline 5398:8,11 & transaction (14) & 418:16;5429:2,18 & & underwrite (4) \\
\hline 5399:6,8 & 5327:2;5334:18 & 430:6;5443:7 & U & 5324:16;5325 \\
\hline timely (4) & & trunc & & 30:15, \\
\hline 5337:5;5 & 5357:3;5369:4; & 596:13;5440:2 & ultimately & underwriter (10) \\
\hline \[
5426: 7
\] & 100:15 & 5468:15 & 5344:24;5345 & \[
5342: 10,22,24
\] \\
\hline times (11) & 4:2;5445:9, & truncated & 14;5388:9 & 5343:1,3,7,12,14,18,24 \\
\hline 5325:20; & 18;5446:2,25 & 469:4 & 25;5406:6; & underwriters (5) \\
\hline 5352:8,14;5364:16 & transactions (4) & tru & 5431:16 & 5341:19;5376:1 \\
\hline 5405:1;5445:23; & 2:6 & 5362:22;5441:2 & ultrahigh (5) & 5378:14,16;5381:11 \\
\hline 5446:16 & 5344:10;5392:1 & trut & 10,12 & underwriting (32) \\
\hline title (1) & transferred (1) & 5322:23,24,24 & 20;5329:6 & 5324:18;5327:3,19 \\
\hline 5450:3 & 20 & 5444:13 & ultra-high-net-worth (1) & 5328:4,8,13;5332:23; \\
\hline ti & tr & tru & 5375:10 & 5336:10;5338: \\
\hline & & & Un & 5341:23;53 \\
\hline today (7) & & & &  \\
\hline 5429:11,21;5431:14; & trigger & 5415: & 2,23,23,23;5326:2,8, & 5374:17;5377:4,24; \\
\hline 5442:8;5468:19 & 04:14; & trying & 25;5327:22; & 5378:21;5379:18; \\
\hline together (2) & 5410:19 & 5335:6;5426 & 3,14,21,21,22, & 5381:6,22;5382:11; \\
\hline 5417:8;546 & triggered & 5464:25 & 9:4,5,5,6, & 5391:2;5428:22; \\
\hline told (6) & 38:16;5339:2 & turn & :3;5334:15; & 5455:1 \\
\hline \[
5334:
\] & 5412:2 & 5329 & \[
353: 9,10 ; 5354: 24
\] & undisturbed \\
\hline 5367:23;5373:14; & trop & 5335:24;5339:13 & \[
355: 12: 5364: 8
\] & 5428:9 \\
\hline 5375:23 & 13 & 5340:25;5344:3; & 5386:11 & Unell (3) \\
\hline Tom (2) & 5379:16;5381 & 5345:11;5346:7 & 5388:18,18;5390:7; & 5398:8,10,16 \\
\hline 5340:5;546 & Trump (100) & 5348:19;5349:25 & 5391:24;5393:2; & unencumbered (9) \\
\hline Tommy (1) & \[
5326: 5,10,11,12
\] & 5354:4;5355:21; & 422:14;5425:4; & 5360:11,14;5365:10; \\
\hline 5370:10 & 14;5330:19;5331:2,8 & 5356:12,15;5358:1 & 427:24;5428:3; & 5383:10;5388:18; \\
\hline tomorrow & 16;5333:6;5334:21; & \[
5360: 1 ; 5361: 24
\] & 5458:9 & 5401:18;5418:13,20; \\
\hline \[
5398: 1,6 ; 5416: 2 ;
\] & 5335:22;5336:18; & \[
5363: 4,16 ; 5364: 1
\] & unadjusted & \[
5423: 16
\] \\
\hline 5468:16;5469:11,13 & 5339:5,8;5340:3,9 & 5369:23;5374:10; & 5433:20 & United (2) \\
\hline took (1) & 5341:14;5344:5,12,17; & 5384:17;5397:8,13 & unanswered & 5425:18;5446:11 \\
\hline 5447:22 & 5345:1,2,13,25; & 5432:5,21;5434:1,18 & 5426:10 & unless (3) \\
\hline top (7) & \[
5346: 15,16,19 ; 5347: 1 ;
\] & \[
5438: 3,6 ; 5441: 4 ;
\] & unavailable ( & 5349:6;5359: \\
\hline \[
5350: 16
\] & 5348:21;5350:5,9,18, & 5452:3;5453:2;5454:8; & 5340:6 & 5458:23 \\
\hline 5357:25;5370:16 & 19;5351:3,11,15; & 5457:5;5460:1,10; & uncertain & unpledged (4) \\
\hline 5453:4;5456:24; & 5354:12;5357:10 & 5461:7;5462:22 & 5408:11 & 5434:21,25;5435:11; \\
\hline 5458:14 & 13,13;5358:20;5359:2, & two & unchanged & 5437:5 \\
\hline total (1) & 21;5363:8;5365:3,4; & 5350:16,17;5355:21; & 5398:23 & unsecured ( \\
\hline 5437:2 & 5367:14,16;5368:8; & 5356:1,10;5362:10; & unclear & 5390:12 \\
\hline totaling (1) & 5369:17;5373:22; & 5370:24;5374:18; & 5343:8;5375:1 & unsold (2) \\
\hline 5339:21 & 5376:3;5381:20; & 5382:13;5392:11 & 5378.25 & 5372:2,6 \\
\hline totalling (1) & 5387:13,22;5388:16, & 5401:8;5406:17,21; & uncommon & unusual (8) \\
\hline 5454:16 & 17;5392:8,14,14,14; & 5412:15;5423:22; & 5432:3 & 5343:21;5358:1 \\
\hline tournamen & 5393:22;5394:9,16; & 5432:9,22;5433:11 & under (20) & 5366:16;5377:6; \\
\hline 5345:19 & 5399:3;5400:7; & 5438:16;5443:12; & 5363:7. & 5384:5;5387:3; \\
\hline towards (1) & 5401:21;5402:19,22; & 5444:17;5456:24 & 5399:16;5401:10 & 5442:17;5445:21 \\
\hline 5428:11 & 5403:4,22;5406:1,10; & two-and-a-half (1) & 5402:13;5404:3; & up (32) \\
\hline Tower (4) & 5410:12,17,18; & 5392:12 & 5406:16;5409:4; & 5326:9;5329:10, \\
\hline 5402:19,22;5403:5 & \[
5411: 11,16 ; 5412: 20,
\] & type (9) & \[
5410: 19 ; 5412: 2 ;
\] & \[
5330: 10 ; 5331: 11,20
\] \\
\hline & \[
25 ; 5417: 3 ; 5421: 8,25
\] & \[
5329: 4 ; 5332: 12
\] & \[
5418: 2,8 ; 5422: 25
\] & \[
5332: 11 ; 5333: 13
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 22; & 5381:23;5421:4 & 5346:11;5358:1; & week (1) & 5402:10;5404:16; \\
\hline 8:18;5350:16; & variable (1) & 7:14;5407:3 & 5398 & 09:25;5410:2 \\
\hline 52:2;5353:12; & 5377:11 & 0:1;5420:7 & eisselberg (2) & 411:12;5412:13; \\
\hline 69:21;5370:16; & iety (2) & waiting (1) & 5340:14;5398:7 & 5415:22;5417:9; \\
\hline 89:15;5395:19; & 525:14 & 5446:1 & lcome (2) & 5418:1,15,25;5421:15; \\
\hline 99:24;5401:9; & ious (7) & & 5422:16;5 & 5422:2,7,21;5423 \\
\hline 2:6;5413:9;5429:9, & 5375:15;5376:3; & 5467 & eren't (1) & 5427:13;5428:21; \\
\hline 24;5446:6;5451:7,14; & 9:24;5405:10,16; & WALLACE & 5447:17 & 5429:16;5430 \\
\hline 5456:19;5459:5; & 5411:3;5414:8
vary (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
5327:5;5331:4; \\
5335:1,3,6,15:5338:3;
\end{tabular} & what's (3) 5328:15:5390:21 & 5431:4,8;5440:19
\[
5454: 13 ; 5469: 3
\] \\
\hline 65:12 & vary (1) & 5335:1,3,6,15;5338:3; & 5328:15;5390:21; & 5454:13;5469:3 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5466:8;5468:9 } \\
& \text { updated (9) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5387: 3 \\
\text { Venture (4) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5341: 24 ; 5342: 4 ; \\
& 5343 \cdot 5 \cdot 5344 \cdot 16
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5391: 9 \\
\text { wherein (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { willing (1) } \\
5348: 2
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 5354:9,19;5372:14; & 5346:11;5358:1 & 346:22;5347:6; & 5354:24 & withdraw (4) \\
\hline 19:20;5424:13,22, & 7:14;5410:2 & 350:25;5351:6; & Whereupon (81) & 5375:24;5380:22 \\
\hline 25;5426:19;5433:18 & ventures (1) & 5353:3;5359:13 & 9:12, & 退316;346 \\
\hline upon (3) & 421:6 & 7:4,9;5376:20 & 15,24,333 & withdrawn (15) \\
\hline 5332:12;5362 & verdict (5) & 8:23;5379:5; & 5335:25;5337:3; & 5337:12;5343: \\
\hline 3:18 & 442:9;5444:6,2 & 37:24;5393:2 & 5342:19;5353:14; & 5360:20;5365:16; \\
\hline upper (8) & 5447:6;5469:9
Verification & 5394:19;5395:13, & 5354:6;5355:23; & 5381:21;5386:6 \\
\hline 5452:9;5453:4,5; & Verification (2)
\(5334: 2.5340: 2\) & 397:18;5398:20;
413:23:25:5414:1 & 5356:13,17;5357:6,20, & 394:7;5428:15,1 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5461:17,18;5464 } \\
& \text { 17;5467:5 }
\end{aligned}
\] & 5334:2;53 & 13:23,25;5414: & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 23 ; 5358: 16 ; 5360: 2 ; \\
& 5361: 6,9 ; 5362: 1,12,18 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 35:5;5437:19; \\
\hline upward (2) & 376:7;5456:10,14 & 435:14;5439:15, & 363:2,5,18;5364:1,20, & 5464:3;5467:2 \\
\hline 5391:14,17 & verify (7) & 42:1;5444:10; & 4;5383:12;5384:18, & withdrew (1) \\
\hline upwards (1) & 334:6,17;5340:18; & 46:13,16,18;5447:7, & 87:16; & 5428:17 \\
\hline 5407:20 & 82:6;5402:20; & 5454:6;5461:2 & :2,17; & within (15) \\
\hline use (5) & 403:22;5461:4 & 462:4;5464:23; & 5396:10,22;5399:14; & 5325:21;5 \\
\hline 5327:2 & verifying (1) & 465:2;5468:23 & 5401:5;5410:9; & 5332:5;5377:21; \\
\hline 5329:8;5378:14; & 340:24 & 69:7 & 5412:10;5415:1 & 5380:14;5381:13,15; \\
\hline 82:1 & version (7) & wants (1) & 5418:4;5419:2; & 5391:4;5392:7,24; \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
used (3) \\
5394.9.5435:11
\end{tabular} & 5417:10,19,20,21; & 5403:9 & 5421:19;5422:23; & 5395:24;5414:13,2 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5394:9;5435:11; } \\
& \text { 5458:9 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5422:8,17,20 } \\
& \text { versus (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & warning (3)
5360:19;5396:8 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5423:24;5427:11; } \\
& \text { 5430:1,12;5432:7,10, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5428:5;5449:22 } \\
& \text { without (5) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline uses (3) & 5377:1 & 440:6 & ;5433:12,22; & 5348:7;5403:1 \\
\hline 86:25;5434:7; & via (1) & wasting (4) & 34:19,23;5440:1 & 17:14;5420:13; \\
\hline 5437:17 & 5376:8 & 5414:19;5446:22,23 & 442:4;5447:21; & 5435:22 \\
\hline using (2) & Vice (7) & 23 & 5453:11;5454:10; & witness (88) \\
\hline 5335:17, & 449:16,17;5450:3, & water (1) & 456:21;5457:7; & 5322:8,10,16,17,18, \\
\hline usual (2)
\(5399 \cdot 15 \cdot 5428: 10\) & \begin{tabular}{c} 
5,8,11, \\
view \\
\hline
\end{tabular} & 5440:22 & 5459:7,12;5460:3,12, & 18,21,25,5323.7,2. \\
\hline 5399:15;5428:10
utilized (1) & view (7)
5328:2,7;5331:7; & Way (10) \({ }_{\text {5323:8;5335:10; }}\) & 5461:9;5462:24; & 5329:16;5342:17; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { utilized (1) } \\
5334: 9
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 28: 2,7 ; 5331: 7 ; \\
& 33: 4 ; 5334: 9 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5323: 8 ; 5335: 10 ; \\
& 5368: 11 ; 5370: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 463:21;5464:11; } \\
& \text { 465:10,14;5466:10, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 343: 9,13,16 ; 5351: 2 ; \\
& 362: 16,17,18,18,21 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline V & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
5446: 21 ; 5455:
\] \\
viewed (2)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5379:4,4;5388:24; } \\
& \text { 5397:25;5440:1; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 14;5467:2;5469:15 wherewithal (3) & \[
5369: 25 ; 5370: 6
\]
5378:25;5379:7,11,22, \\
\hline & 5377:4;5442:1 & 5468:17 & 5327:1;5392:18, & 23;5389:19;5394:21; \\
\hline valuation (23) & violated & ways (2) & whole (2) & 5396:18,19,21;5397: \\
\hline 5377:16,17,20; & 5447:16,1 & 409:16;54 & 5322:23;5447:14 & 12;5399:13,14,15; \\
\hline 5378:3,5,17,20;5380:8, & visit (4) & Wealth (39) & wholly (1) & 5408:13;5411 \\
\hline 19,24;5381:2,4,9,10, & 5340:7,8;5342
5403 & 5324:5,7,15;5325 & 5339:24 & 5413:22;5414:1,7; \\
\hline 23;5382:7,9;5455:16,
19,22;5456:2,6; & 5403:18 visited (3) & 13,16;5326:15,18 & wholly-owned (1)
\(5460: 21\) & 5415:11;5421:18; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 19,22 ; 5456: 2,6 ; \\
& 5457 ; 21
\end{aligned}
\] & visited (3)
5339:19; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5329: 20 ; 5331: 15 ; \\
& 5330 \cdot 5 ; 5332 \cdot 5 ; 0
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5460: 21
\]
whose (2) & 5440:7,16,17,21;
\[
5442: 2,4,5,6,12,1
\] \\
\hline value (17) & \[
5460: 15
\] & \[
5334: 20,23 ; 5342: 11,
\] & whose (2) & 5443:2,5,13,20; \\
\hline 5365:23,23,25 & Vrablic (4) & 12;5343:18;5345:4; & wide (1) & 5444:11,12,15,21; \\
\hline 5366:1,2,5,5,13,14; & 5397:23;5398:3; & 5347:4,8,12;5348:16; & 5443:22 & 5445:20;5446:13; \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
5369:5,11,20;5372:13; \\
5378:15;5384:3;
\end{tabular} & \[
5468: 2,4
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5349: 17,20 ; 5354: 2 ; \\
& 5400: 23 ; 5429: 1,17 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & Williams (43) 5322:12;5323:7,11, & 5447:1,2,8,12,19,20, 21;5448:4,8;5456:23; \\
\hline 5394:4;5457:22 & \[
5387: 25 ; 5388: 3
\] & \[
5430: 5 ; 5443: 4 ;
\] & \[
15 ; 5329: 14 ; 5333: 17 ;
\] & 5459:9,14;5461:11; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { valued (2) } \\
& 5361: 16 ; 5372: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & & 449:22,25;5450:20 & 5334:14;5339:18;
5346:10;5353:19; & \begin{tabular}{l}
5463:1;5464:13; \\
5465:16.5466:12
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5361:16;5372:4 } \\
& \text { values (9) }
\end{aligned}
\] & W & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5451: 3,8 ; \\
& 5465: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5346: 10 ; 5353: 19 ; \\
& 5356: 19,23 ; 5362: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
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COURT OFFICER: All rise.
Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding.

Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom.

Now please be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
And we are going to continue with Ms. Pereless, correct?

MR. KISE: Well Judge, three in a row now. As I told you yesterday on the break, we might be able to truncate it. So I think at this point to avoid cumulative testimony and prolong the proceedings, we are going to forego asking Ms. Pereless anymore questions. I don't know if the government has any questions. But, given that they said it was very cumulative, I am not sure they do. But we don't have -- in the interest of moving things along, we are prepared to proceed with Ms. Vrablic.

THE COURT: Well, we have a mutual interest. Okay. Plaintiff, would you like to?
MR. WALLACE: If Ms. Pereless is here we might have five to ten minutes of questions for her and we could get those out of the way.
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\section*{THE COURT: Let's do it.}

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, while we are waiting for the witness, to try to streamline things more.

I have Jack Weisselberg ready to come in this afternoon. In going through things last night, he was coming in as a custodian to introduce the Trump Tower loan agreement. So if the Attorney General would stipulate to these three documents, we don't need to bring Jack Weisselberg in this afternoon and can dispense with that as well.

MR. WALLACE: If you want to hand them to us we can take a look, and I think we can resolve it by the break.

MR. ROBERT: Sure. Just for the record, I am handing the Attorney General and I will hand up a copy to the Court Defendant's Exhibit 1058, which is the loan agreement between Trump Tower Commercial and Ladder Capital, dated August 30, 2012.

D-1059, which is the guaranty of property expenses for that loan.

And D-1060, which is the guaranty of recourse obligations.

I'll give one copy to the Attorney General and one to the Court. And if they could let us know during the first break so I can let Mr. Weisselberg and his
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the range of 110 to \(\$ 115\) million.
And then he also says in that last paragraph: "a DB commissioned appraisal might conclude to a value somewhere in the range of 120 to \(\$ 130\) million. I could conceivably support that value if the analyses and assumptions were well-researched, market-oriented and appropriately supported.

MR. LADOV: And then actually scrolling down for
a second to look at Mr. Ribolow's signature.
Q It says that he is in CIB/Credit Risk Management. Do you have an understanding of what that refers to?

A I think CIB stood for Corporate Investment Bank, but I am not positive. And Credit Risk Management is Credit Risk Management.

Q Okay. And then scrolling back up to the first page of the document. And you forwarded this e-mail from Mr. Ribolow to Tom Sullivan. Correct?

A Yes.
Q And who was Tom Sullivan?
A Tom Sullivan was my boss.
Q And what was his role in the underwriting process for the Doral facility?

A He was the senior lender.
Q And then again, I think you mentioned this before, but at the very top Mr. Sullivan forwarded this conversation to Marcus Mitchell. Who is Marcus Mitchell?

\section*{down.}

Q There are about three pages worth of objections to the appraisal.

MR. LADOV: And then if you scroll all the way down to the end.
Q After about 16 paragraphs Mr. Ribolow writes to you that: Based on his objections and adjustments that he is, quote, "comfortable with concluding a value for the property in

And I am not going to go through the whole e-mail, but in paragraph one Mr. Ribolow objects that, quote -- that the, quote, "appraiser states that his value includes a buyer's assumption of the cash liability of the refundable memberships, but presents no data or analysis as to how much that liability

If you look down to paragraph three, he talks a bit about the land use analysis in the appraisal. And he objects that the, quote, "area surrounding the subject is a new area of

And then at the end of that paragraph he says that this is, quote, "hardly conducive to the appraiser's utilizing trophy hotel property return parameters to value Doral. Resorts located in industrial zones alongside airport flight paths rarely achieve premium pricing.

And then it goes on.
MR. LADOV: Actually, if we can just scroll

A He was the head of the group.
Q And which group was that?
A The structured lending group.
Q And so what was his role in regard to the Doral facility?

A He was a just a senior member of the group.
Q And do you have any recollection of what happened as a result of this analysis of the appraisal on Doral?

A I do not recall.
Q Okay. So I want to show you one other document which was previously marked as Plaintiffs Exhibit 1836.

And Ms. Pereless, do you recognize this document?
A Ido.
Q And what is it?
A It looks like it is a -- it is a credit memo. I am not totally sure what for.

Q Okay.
MR. LADOV: Your Honor, I ask to move this into evidence as well.

MR. KISE: Objection, foundation.
THE COURT: We don't know what it is yet.
Q Ms. Pereless, I will note it is dated February 6, 2012. And if you look down in that box, you are listed as one of the lenders. And on the far left side there is a box checked off that says, "other amendment." Do you have an
understanding of what that might refer to?
A Not specifically what this was. But it just would have been another amendment to the facility.

MR. LADOV: Okay. And if we can scroll down a
little bit just to look at the top line.
Q Actually, do you know what facility this document refers to looking at it now, based on the date and any of the other information there?

A I don't, because it doesn't say.
Q If I represented to you that this was for the Doral facility, would that sound correct?

A It would make sense. But it doesn't say what the property was.

Q And so if we look at the top line there where it says: Existing terms, Revised terms and Reason.

In the far left column it says: Existing terms. Facility amount \(\$ 125\) million secured note.

Under revised terms it says: Facility amount \(\$ 125\) million as evidenced by (i) a secured tranche A note in the principal amount of \(\$ 106\) million. And (ii) an unsecured tranche B note in the principal amount of \(\$ 19\) million.

Do you have any recollection of whether the Doral facility was split into two tranches like this?

A I don't recall.
Q Okay. And under reason it says that: The
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\hline 20 that in eviden & 20 Q At a certain point in your career, were you employed \\
\hline 21 & 21 by Deutsche Bank? \\
\hline 22 Just to comment, the strangest thing about this & 22 A Y \\
\hline 23 whole trial is we keep seeing credit memos that don't say & 23 Q When were you employed by Deutsche Bank? \\
\hline 24 for what property & 24 A From 2006 to 2020. \\
\hline 25 MR. KISE: Statute of limitations objection. & 25 Q In what capacity were you employed at Deutsche Bank? \\
\hline Pereless - by Defendant - Cross (Ladov) Page 5483 & Vrablik - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) Page 5485 \\
\hline THE COURT: Overruled, but understood. & 1 A I held several positions. I was a relationship \\
\hline So it is in evidence & 2 manager. I was a team leader. And a I was an office manager. \\
\hline (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed & \(3 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) What were your job responsibilities as a relationship \\
\hline marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1836 by & 4 manager? \\
\hline the Court.) & 5 A Primary responsibility was servicing high-net-worth \\
\hline MR. LADOV: Thank you, Your Honor. We have no & 6 individuals. Bringing the customers in and meeting all of \\
\hline further questions for Ms. Pereless. & 7 their banking needs. \\
\hline 8 THE COURT: Okay. Anything for redirect? & 8 Q What division of Deutsche Bank were you employed by? \\
\hline 9 MR. SUAREZ: Nothing further on redirect, Your & 9 A Private Wealth Management. \\
\hline 10 Honor. & 10 Q What is the Private Wealth Management Division at \\
\hline 11 THE COURT: Okay. The witness is excused. & 11 Deutsche Bank? \\
\hline 12 Thank you. & 12 A It is a division that caters to high-net-worth \\
\hline 13 (Whereupon the witness stepped down from the & 13 individuals, domestic, in terms of banking investment services, \\
\hline 14 stand and exited the courtroo & 14 depository lending and trust services. \\
\hline 15 THE COURT: Defense, would you like to call your & 15 Q What were your responsibilities as a team leader at \\
\hline 16 next wi & 16 Deutsche Ban \\
\hline 17 MR. SUAREZ: The defense calls Rosemary Vrablic. & 17 A I had a team of four or five bankers that reported to \\
\hline 18 COURT OFFICER: All set, Judge? & 18 me doing the same type of business. \\
\hline 19 THE COURT: Yes, all ready. & 19 Q And how did your responsibilities change, if at all, \\
\hline 20 COURT OFFICER: Witness entering & 20 when you became an office manager? \\
\hline 21 (Whereupon the witness took the stand.) & 21 A I had more budgetary responsibilities. There was \\
\hline 22 COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. & 22 some mentoring and training of the staff. \\
\hline 23 ROSEMARY VRABLIK, after & 23 Q What is the business of the Private Wealth Management \\
\hline 24 having first been duly sworn was examined and & 24 Division at Deutsche Bank? \\
\hline & 25 A Again, to service high-net-worth individuals for the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 Q Do you see where it says "The Chicago condos are selling like hotcakes"?

A Yes.
\(4 \quad\) Q What did you mean by that?
5 A The loan that we had done was secured by condos that were up for sale, and the condos were selling at a faster pace than we had expected.

Q And you go on to write "The condo loan was 53 million and is down to 23 million already."

Why is that something that you would have communicated to Richard Byrne?
A He was the real estate private banker or banker for Mr. Trump, and he would always want to know what the existing facilities were like and outstanding.

Q And then you go on to write, "Doral is looking quite nice... the entry has been redone and their website shows the rooms being renovated. Quite nice. We are going down the first week of March to visit."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What did you mean by that?
A The - in keeping with the update, I was giving him an update of the renovations and construction that was being done at Doral. And my e-mail just gives him an outline of that. And that we were going to go down and make a site visit.

1 Q What was the meeting with Anshu Jain and President Trump about?

A It was to be introductory meeting for them to meet each other and to have lunch.

Q Was there a business agenda for the meeting?
A Nothing specific.
Q Why would the cochairman of Deutsche Bank want to meet with President Trump?

MR. WALLACE: Objection to the "would" again, as opposed to did.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Why did you want to set up a meeting between President Trump and Anshu Jain?

A It was requested, at the time, for me to do that.
Q Who made that request of you?
A Mr. Jain's office.
Q And why would Mr. Jain's office make that request of you?

A Periodically I would get calls from his office and other chairmans' offices to meet clients.

Q How, if at all, did the performance of the Chicago and Doral loans impact the decision to set up a meeting between President Trump and Mr. Jain?

A Um, it would have been a standard type of, um, meeting to be set up with a successful project like that.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & rablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) & Page 5495 \\
\hline 1 & MR. SUAREZ: If we could & three of this \\
\hline 2 & e-mail. & \\
\hline 3 & (Whereupon, the exhibit & d on the \\
\hline 4 & screen.) & \\
\hline 5 & Q Do you see where it says "Sub & Donald"? \\
\hline 6 & A Yes. & \\
\hline 7 & Q May I assume that Mr. Byrne was & Donald J. \\
\hline 8 & Trump? & \\
\hline 9 & A Yes. & \\
\hline 10 & Q He goes on to write "Hi Rosema & are well. \\
\hline & I hear that Anshu is scheduled to meet w & o weeks. \\
\hline 12 & Did you set this up? Are you tak & \\
\hline 13 & Who is Anshu? & \\
\hline 14 & A That was Anshu Jain. He was of & airman of \\
\hline 15 & Deutsche Bank at the time. & \\
\hline 16 & Q And then on page two, if & oll up? \\
\hline 17 & (Whereupon, the exhibit display & screen was \\
\hline 18 & scrolled through.) & \\
\hline 19 & Q It says "Anshu asked for a brid & banking \\
\hline 20 & activity with DJT. I will write up som & give it to \\
\hline & him. Do you have any business agenda & ting, or is it \\
\hline & just a meet and greet?" & \\
\hline 23 & And that was an e-mail from & to you on \\
\hline 24 & February 12, 2013. Do you see th & \\
\hline 25 & A Yes. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit 296 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 296 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

MR. SUAREZ: We'll move onto Defendant's Exhibit, pre-marked for identification, Exhibit D-297.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q Do you see at the top, Ms. Vrablic, that you were
copied on this e-mail on February 19, 2013?
A Yes.
Q What is this e-mail enclosing?
A From reading it, it looks as if it's a briefing for -on Mr. Trump.

Q Do you see where it says, "Subject: Briefing for Anshu"?
A Yes.
Q Why would Mr. Jain had been provided a briefing on Mr. Trump before the meeting?

A It was pretty standard for all meetings with the chairman that briefings like this would be done.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up pre-marked
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you recognize this document?
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
A Yes.
Q What is this document?
A This would have been the briefing that would have been provided to Mr. Jain.
Q If you see on the bottom of this second page of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see where it says, "Mr. Trump's personal financial statement reflects a net worth in excess of 2.5 billion as adjusted by DB Lending with liquidity of 100 million plus, and limited liabilities. He is risk rated \(\mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime}\) ?

A Yes.
Q Why would that have been relevant to include in a briefing for your meeting with President Trump and Mr. Jain?

A Again, I think it was standard practice for the financial listing to be there.
Q And do you see in "Background" it says, "The Trump relationship with AWM began in 2011 when Donald Trump, Jr. was introduced to Rosemary Vrablic by Jared Kushner, husband of
R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5499

Ivanka Trump." And then it goes on to describe the relationship and it lists a small loan and deposit relationship was started with Donald, Jr., and we were introduced to the Trump family. Donald Trump opened up a deposit IA accounts with us in December 2011."

What is the import of President Trump opening a deposit and IA account with Deutsche Bank in December of 2011, if any?

A Just that he was a customer.
Q And then towards the end of that paragraph it says, "As can be seen on the below chart, we have in excess of 200 million in loans, 30 million in investable assets, and closed on an asset interest rate swap generating capital markets income." What does that mean?
A Just that it was a broad-based relationship of various products that were being used by the Trump family.
Q Was this consistent with the goal of developing a relationship with President Trump?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q And you see a chart that appears in the following, um, below the paragraph that we were just discussing?

What does the chart reference when it says "revenue"?
A It would be revenue to the bank.
Q Revenue to the bank.
And in year end 2011 it showed revenue of 13,447 ; is that correct?
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1 A Yes.
2 Q How did the revenue change in year end 2012?
3 A Primarily, from the loan increase there would be
4 interest income plus the capital markets income.
5 Q What does pro forma 2013 mean?
6 A Based upon loans that had been closed in 2013, that 7 would be the projected revenue that would be generated by all of 8 the facilities.
9 Q What was the projected revenue in 2013 from the relationship with President Trump?

A Six million.
Q How did the relationship grow from 2011 to 2013?
A We had done three loans, Doral, Chicago and OPO, plus the depository and the capital markets business.
Q What does "loans AUM" mean?
A Assets under management.
Q And how do loans AUM affect the revenue generated by the bank?
A There is interest income earned on loans.
Q And how did the loans assets under management change from 2011 to 2012?
A As I said, we closed on Doral, um, Chicago, and then OPO would have been closed subsequently.
Q And what do deposits/IA AUM mean?
A They were deposits maintained at the bank, plus
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investment management accounts.
Q How, if at all, is that a source of revenue for the bank?

A The bank is earning money or deposits, plus investment management fees.

Q Was it an objective of the bank to increase assets and deposits under management from President Trump?

A Yes.
Q Was the bank successful in doing that?
A Yes.
Q And then it says, "asterisk, top five relationships in terms of revenue for Rosemary Vrablic and her team." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What did that mean?
A In terms of my client base, he would have been a top five name in terms of profitability.
Q And then it -- the bottom, it says, "Going forward we expect to continue to grow the relationship in all asset categories."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What, if anything, would that indicate about the bank's interest in further developing a relationship with President Trump?

A That we would have liked to have increased all the categories.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move Defendant's
Exhibits 297 and 298 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in, or they're in.
(Defendant's Exhibits 297 and 298 were deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)
Q If I could turn your attention to the "key asks." Do you see key ask number one, "Obtain more deposits and investment management assets."

What does that mean?
A To obtain more deposits and investment accounts.
Q What does it mean that it was a key ask?
A Usually the chairman would like to know things to ask the customers, and so that was one of the number one asks.

Q What was the second ask?
A That would be referrals from Mr. Trump.
Q Is that where it says "Strategically discuss leveraging Mr. Trump's personal and professional network within the real estate industry in New York for the benefit of DB and AWM"?

A Yes.
Q Why would that have been a key ask for the bank?
A The primary source of customers usually is referrals from existing customers.
25 Q And how could Mr. Trump, President Trump assist the
R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)

Page 5503

\section*{bank in developing referrals?}

A He would know other people who would be potentially
fitting in the high net worth category, or DB's real estate department.

Q What, if any, benefit did Deutsche Bank have to gain
from leveraging President Trump's personal and professional network?

A As I said, existing customers were the best source of additional customers.

Q Was this consistent with the bank's approved business strategies?

A Yes.
Q What, if anything, was communicated to President Trump concerning the bank's desire to continue doing business with him at the meeting with Mr. Jain?

A Just that we were -- again, the introduction to Mr. Jain, and to see if there was any other additional business to be done together.

Q How did Mr. Jain react to the meeting with President Trump?

> A The meeting went very well.

Q Why would you say it "went very well"?
A Well, they got along, um, and it was a very, very nice productive lunch.

Q As a result of the meeting, what, if any actions, were
taken to further the relationship with President Trump?
A I don't remember anything specifically.
Q Did Mr. Jain express that President Trump was under leveraged? MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What, if any view, did Mr. Jain share concerning
Mr. Trump's leverage around the time of this meeting?
A Um, Mr. Jain, um, thought that, um, if Mr. Trump wanted to, that there could be additional leverage provided among his world.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up pre-marked for identification Defendant's Exhibit 299.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q What, if any, professional relationship did you maintain with Ms. Ivanka Trump prior it -- excuse me, while you were employed at Deutsche Bank?
A Ivanka was my main liaison on the deal at specific points in this transaction.

Q Who was Michele Fassiola?
A Michele Fassiola was my boss and head of Asset Wealth Management.

Q Do you recognize this e-mail?
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A No.
Q Is that your e-mail address up top?
A Yes.
Q Were you employed by Deutsche Bank on August 7th, 2014?
A Yes.
Q Do you have any reason to doubt that you sent this e-mail to Ms. Trump?

A No.
Q Do you see where it says, "Ivanka hi! My direct boss, Michele Fassiola, he's Italian -- "Michele", I'm sorry, "Fassiola (he's Italian) would like to call you and your dad to thank you for being such great clients and for all the business we have been doing together. Your family is in the top ten revenue generating names of Asset and Wealth Management now, and he is thrilled with how it's grown."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Is that statement accurate?
MR. WALLACE: Objection.
A Yes.
THE COURT: What's the grounds of the objection?
MR. WALLACE: Im not sure which aspect of the statement she's being asked about.

THE COURT: I assume it was the last sentence that was highlighted. "Thrilled" and "how it's grown."
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline Q At the time you wrote that e-mail, do you have any & MR. SUAREZ: If we take a look at page three of \\
\hline reason to believe that wouldn't be accurate? & this document. \\
\hline A No. & (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the \\
\hline Q What would it mean "for your family to be in the top & screen.) \\
\hline ten revenue generating names of Asset Wealth Management" in & Q It says "This meeting is a lunch with Donald Trump, to \\
\hline terms of the bank's view on the relationship? & 6 which he invited you during your phone call on August 8th. He \\
\hline A Just that it was a top ten name within the business. & would like -- he would first like to visit with you in his \\
\hline Q What does it mean to be a "top ten revenue generating & office and then head downstairs for lunch in the Trump Tower \\
\hline name"? & 9 Grill." Do you see that? \\
\hline 10 A Nothing other than being top ten. & 10 A Yes. \\
\hline 11 Q I understand. My -- I'm -- the question was -- was, & 11 Q And then the third bullet point says, "Rosemary Vrablic \\
\hline 12 perhaps, a little more specific. What does the term "revenue & 12 has covered Donald, Ivanka and redacted, since 2011. Since \\
\hline 13 generating names" mean? How are revenue generating names & 13 then, our relationship has grown significantly with 2013 \\
\hline 14 considered? & 14 revenues of about \$6 million." \\
\hline 15 A I'm not following the question. I'm sorry. & 15 Do you see that? \\
\hline 16 Q How is the group being developed to determine what is a & 16 A Yes. \\
\hline 17 revenue generating name? & 17 Q And the "key asks and discussion points" below say, \\
\hline 18 A Um, as per the previous chart that was on the screen, & 18 "Please ask whether we can work on other opportunities with \\
\hline 19 that would be the revenue generating. & 19 him." \\
\hline 20 Q Would it have been the relationship with President & 20 Why was that a key ask and discussion point for this \\
\hline 21 Trump and his related entities? & 21 meeting? \\
\hline 22 A Yes. & 22 A The obtaining of additional business was one of the key \\
\hline 23 Q Why did your boss want to call Ivanka and President & 23 jobs. \\
\hline 24 Trump to thank them for being such great clients? & 24 Q Whose key jobs? \\
\hline 25 A It was standard practice for those type of calls to be & 25 A Mine. \\
\hline R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5507 & Page 5509 \\
\hline made. & 1 Q Were you successful in doing your job? \\
\hline MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move Defendant's & 2 A In general? \\
\hline Exhibit 299 into evidence. & Q Sure. \\
\hline THE COURT: Granted. It's in. & A Yes. \\
\hline (Defendant's Exhibit 299 was admitted in evidence.) & Q Were you successful in developing the bank's \\
\hline MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up pre-marked & relationship with President Trump? \\
\hline for identification Defendant's Exhibit 300. & A Yes. \\
\hline (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & (Continued on the next page.) \\
\hline screen.) & 9 (Coll \\
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\hline 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. & 11 \\
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\hline 14 Q What is this document? & 14 \\
\hline 15 A It is a briefing memo on Mr. Trump for a meeting with & 15 \\
\hline 16 Michele Fassiola. & 16 \\
\hline 17 MR. SUAREZ: Can we please turn to page two of this & 17 \\
\hline 18 document. & 18 \\
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\hline 22 President Trump and Mr. Fassiola? & 22 \\
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Kushner saying that they were going to be bidding on the Doral Golf Course and were looking for financing.

Q How, if at all, did you participate in the negotiation of that loan?

A I would be the intermediary between the credit and lending department and the customer.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Defendant's
Exhibit 311 and start with page three of the document.
Q Do you recognize this e-mail?
A Yes.
Q What does subject "Eagle" mean?
A That was the code name given for the deal.
Q And who is Thomas Bowers?
A He was my boss at the time.
Q And then he writes: Didn't realize LTV is 83 percent. Realize strength of the guarantor, but this definitely needs to be shown to PDW and BP. Can you give me an e-mail that discusses opportunity for additional business, both related to the deal and otherwise? Important to have PDW and BP on board.

Why would an e-mail discussing opportunities for additional business be relevant to this transaction?

A The intent was to cross sell all of our customers, so the additional other business is also an important category.

Q And then if we scroll up to the e-mail on page two.
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Do you recognize this as your response to Mr. Bowers e-mail?
A Yes.
Q And you write: Tom, it is 83 percent of purchase price of 150 mmm , what does mmm mean?

A 150 million.
Q The CBRE appraisal -- which was done for another bank -- that we will review -- is at 180 million, which could result in an LTV of 69 . We expect this report right after Christmas. The environmental was clean.

Why would the bank consider an appraisal prepared for another bank?

A You would have to talk to credit and lending on that.
Q And then you respond: The other business is significant deposit business. DJT has already moved in 20 million to us. He has another 200 million at a variety of other banks.

How is that relevant to this transaction?
A Again for cross selling purposes.
Q And then: Charlie Burrows is putting together the DBAG options to present to them in January. What is DBAG?
A That's the parent name of Deutsche Bank.
Q And what did it mean that Charlie Burrows was putting together other options to present for them?

A He provided products for cash management.

Q Was that another opportunity to cross sell to President Trump?

A Yes.
Q And then it says: Kevin Carey at RCM are also key for RCM since DJT has another \(\mathbf{6 0}\) million in treasuries and bonds.

Why was that relevant to this transaction?
A Another source of cross selling.
Q And then B says: Estate planning. DJT has done very
little. We will show them dynasty trusts as well as other capabilities.

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Why was that relevant to this transaction?
A Cross selling.
Q Why would the bank be interested in cross selling to President Trump?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, asked and answered.
THE COURT: They are trying to make money. Why
wouldn't they want to do more business with someone that they are already making money with?

MR. SUAREZ: I realize some of my questions sound a little obvious. I have to ask them this way because Your Honor wants me to not ask anything that is in the ballpark of a leading question. But I would be happy
\begin{tabular}{|cc} 
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1 & to move this along if the Court would grant me a little \\
2 & leeway. \\
3 & THE COURT: How about we do this. I'll sustain \\
4 & the objection to this question because it has been asked \\
5 & and answered several times, and it is obvious. But I \\
6 & won't restrict you at all, ask whatever you want. \\
7 & Q Do you see where it says: New clients/referrals? \\
8 & A \(\quad\) Yes. \\
9 & Q Why, if at all, were new clients and referrals \\
10 & relevant to this transaction? \\
11 & A Again, we like referrals coming from existing \\
12 & customers. \\
13 & Q How, if at all, does that affect the bank's \\
14 & profitability? \\
15 & A They would buy and use some of our services which \\
16 & would generate profitability for the bank. \\
17 & Q And then it says: Given the circles this family \\
18 & travels in, we expect to be introduced to the wealthiest people \\
19 & on the planet. \\
20 & Was that consistent with -- withdrawn. \\
21 & How, if at all, was that consistent with the bank's \\
22 & objective to develop additional business through its \\
23 & relationship with President Trump? \\
24 & A To get, you know, referrals to become new clients. \\
25 & Q And how, if at all, was this consistent with your job
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responsibilities at the bank at that time?
A It was consistent.
Q And then if we scroll to the top of the document, which I believe is another e-mail, you wrote to Mr. Bowers in response to an e-mail from him. It says: Tom, they are ecstatic with your performance, so I think we will get tremendous business from them. I think we can become the lead bank in short order.

What did this statement to Mr. Bowers mean?
A That the Trumps were happy with how the bank had performed and that we could become their lead bank.

Q Why -- let me rephrase that.
Would the bank - would it have been an objective of the bank to become their lead bank?

A Yes.
Q Why is that?
A In terms of private banking, if you are the
customer's lead bank you tend to get the first look at any type of transactions, products, things along that nature.

Q And how, if at all, would that impact the bank's ability to generate additional revenue from this relationship?

A If you did get a first look at different opportunities, you had the opportunity to turn down, do them. And again, that would generate more business, which would generate more revenues.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit 311 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 311 by the Court.)

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up premarked Defendant's Exhibit 312.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. SUAREZ: And if we could scroll down to the e-mail at the bottom of this page.
Q Do you see where it says: I would conclude first paragraph by saying, we recommend on the basis that it is a sound credit and even in the absence of a personal guaranty, but that the PG makes it a remarkably safe deal given the strength of the g-tor.

What does that mean?
A G-tor is guarantor.
Q And what was the import, if any, of Mr. Bowers concluding that it was a sound -- that he would recommend it on the basis of sound credit, even in the absence of a personal guarantee?

A I think Tom's intent would have been that the transaction itself was a very sound credit deal.
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\hline \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And then it says: Not to micromanage but I have to & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 escalate such risks to PDW and we could miss a great franchise & 2 Q That is a reference to the Doral loan? \\
\hline 3 opportunity (of which this is undoubtedly one) by not & 3 A Yes. \\
\hline 4 highlighting deal strengths. & 4 Q And you were copied on or rather this is an e-mail \\
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\hline 9 A Yes. & 9 strengths? \\
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\hline 13 Q That's a reference to the Doral transaction, correct? & 13 the family. Mr. Trump has already moved in 20 million in \\
\hline 14 A That's correct. & 14 deposits to DB. His son Donald Jr. is an existing client and \\
\hline 15 Q Would that be because an Eagle is a hole-in-one on a & 15 his daughter, Ivanka, will also become a client. We expect \\
\hline 16 golf course? I am not a golfer. & 16 that Mr. Trump will serve as an excellent referral source with \\
\hline 17 A I don't know. I didn't name & 17 his worldwide contacts with ultra-high-net-worth clients. \\
\hline 18 MR. WALLACE: Objection. An Eagle is not a & 18 Do you see that? \\
\hline 19 hole-in-one, although a hole-in-one is an Eagle. But I & 19 A Yes. \\
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\hline 1 Q Do you recognize this as your response to Mr. Bowers? & \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) And do you see where he says: I support the \\
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\hline 11 person or individual to be able to manage that kind of & 11 (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed \\
\hline 12 extensive property. And I thought that with Mr. Trump's & 12 marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 313 by the \\
\hline 13 expertise in all of those categories that it would be a & 13 Court.) \\
\hline 14 realistic story for him to be able to do that. & 14 MR. SUAREZ: And we will move to Defendant's \\
\hline 15 Q And was he able to do that? & 15 Exhibit 66. \\
\hline 16 A Yes. & 16 (Handing) \\
\hline 17 MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's & 17 THE WITNESS: Thank you. \\
\hline 18 Exhibit 312 into evidence. & \(18 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Are you familiar with this document? \\
\hline 19 THE COURT: Granted, it is in. & 19 A Yes. \\
\hline 20 (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed & 20 Q What is this document? \\
\hline 21 marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 312 by the & 21 A The credit guidelines from Credit Risk Management. \\
\hline 22 Court.) & 22 Q And if I could direct your attention to page 17 of \\
\hline 23 MR. SUAREZ: And we will pull up premarked & 23 the exhibit -- page 16 of the document. Towards the bottom of \\
\hline 24 Exhibit D-313. & 24 the page. It says: Commercial real estate. The commercial \\
\hline \(25 \quad\) Q And again, do you see where it says Project Eagle? & 25 real estate lending team with PWM will consider commercial \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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1 \(\quad\) Q And what were your impressions after visiting The Trump
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1 Q Do you see in the middle of page you forwarded an e-mail of Ms. Schroeder to Ivanka Trump on June 12, 2013 ?

A Yes.
4

Q And if I could direct your attention to paragraph two, labeled two. It says, "We had a great meeting today with Credit Risk, and they are very open to the extension and the burn off of your dad's guarantee. It was quite remarkable and a testament to you and your family in what you have achieved with us so quickly."

What is the reference to the "burn off of your dad's guarantee" referring to?
A There had been a request by Ivanka for her dad's full recourse guarantee to be either limited, or none, at some point.

Q And how does the bank evaluate those requests?
A The credit and underwriting team would do a full analysis and come up with a conclusion.

Q And what did you mean, "It was quite remarkable and a testament to you and your family in what you have achieved with us so quickly on our projects"?
A It was -- the request for something like that to be considered by the bank is somewhat unique, and so it was a testament to how fast they had progressed with all the properties.
Q Was it consistent with -- withdrawn.
Was the progression of the -- withdrawn.

Was the performance of the credit facilities consistent with the bank's expectations?
A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: We move Defendant's Exhibit 325 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit 325 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

MR. SUAREZ: I would next like to show the witness what's been marked for identification purposes as Defendant's Exhibit 326.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: And if we scroll to the bottom of the page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
Q It appears to start with an e-mail from Ivanka Trump to you, and it says, "Hi Rosemary, I just wanted to give you the heads up that I sent the attached Trump Chicago investment memo to Dave Goodman. I think it would be a great loan for DB and appreciate all your support in trying to make it happen."
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What was Ms. Trump referring to?
A It was a financing opportunity on the Chicago property that she was sending to Dave Goodman.

Q Who is Dave Goodman?
A He was a real estate banker.
Q And what, if anything, ultimately happened with that financing opportunity?

A Um, the loan was done in PWM.
Q Why was the loan done in PWM?
A Mr. Trump was willing to personally guarantee it.
Q And did the bank receive the personal guaranty that it requested?

A Yes.
Q And at the top it says "Ivanka, I will reach out to Dave today and make sure he is aware of the expansive PWM relationship and how important you and your family's business have become to the bank."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What was the purpose of reaching out to Dave Goodman with that information?
A Well, the -- Ivanka wanted to have, um, a potential deal, loan from both us and the real estate bank. And so it was important for him to see the full breadth of what was going on with the Trump family and the bank.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5530 & R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5532 \\
\hline 1 Q And what was the full breadth of was what was going on & 1 In general terms, what is your role in facilitating \\
\hline 2 with the Trump family and the bank at this time? & 2 discussions on terms between your client and the bank? \\
\hline 3 A I don't know exactly. & 3 A The process usually works that credit and lending will \\
\hline 4 Q And it says, "I will tell Dave that he has our full & 4 review all the material. They will come back with a term sheet. \\
\hline 5 support behind this deal. It looks sensational, by the way. & 5 They, or I, will send that to the customer or prospect. The \\
\hline 6 And to call me if I can be of any help." & 6 customer or prospect will come back with things that they like \\
\hline 7 Do you see that? & 7 or don't like, um, and then a dialogue is taking place between \\
\hline 8 A Yes & 8 the two groups, three groups. And it's my job to sort of \\
\hline \(9 \quad\) Q Were you ultimately of help on this deal? & 9 mediate, if, in fact, there is a big gap between the two \\
\hline 10 A It ultimately got done in the & 10 position \\
\hline 11 Q What role, if any, did you have in facilitating that & 11 Q And in general terms, what is the objective of the bank \\
\hline 12 deal getting done in the private bank? & 12 when setting an interest rate on a loan transaction? \\
\hline 13 A I was the intermediary between customer and lending and & 13 A The entire structure is set by the bank to, A, protect \\
\hline 14 credit. & 14 the assets of the bank. And then to generate appropriate \\
\hline 15 MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move Defendant's & 15 \\
\hline 16 Exhibit & 16 Q And generally, trying to negotiate the interest rate on \\
\hline 17 THE COURT: Granted. It's in & 17 the client's perspective, what is the objective of the client? \\
\hline 18 (Defendant's Exhibit 326 was deemed marked and & 18 A The client likes the lowest rate possib \\
\hline 19 admitted in evidence.) & 19 Q And what role, if any, do you play in bridging those \\
\hline 20 MR. SUAREZ: I would like to show the witness & 20 two objectives? \\
\hline 21 what's been pre-marked as Defendant's Exhibit 331. & 21 A I try to see if there is a deal that can be done that \\
\hline 22 (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the & 22 will bridge both of their objectives. \\
\hline 23 screen.) & 23 Q And with respect to the three loans that you were \\
\hline 24 (Th & 24 involved with with The Trump Organization that we've discussed \\
\hline 25 Q I would like to draw your attention to an e-mail that & 25 today, were you successful in meeting that objective? \\
\hline R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5531 & R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 5533 \\
\hline you sent to Balaji Prasanna. Who is Balaji Prasanna? & 1 A Yes. \\
\hline 2 A Balaji was the head of lending at that time. & 2 MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move Defendant's \\
\hline 3 Q And at the bottom it says, "Subject: Re: Trump & 3 Exhibit 331 into evidence. \\
\hline 4 Chicago revised terms." Do you see that? & 4 THE COURT: Granted. It's in. \\
\hline 5 A Yes. & 5 (Defendant's Exhibit 331 was deemed marked and \\
\hline 6 Q Is this the same transaction that you were discussing & 6 admitted in evidence.) \\
\hline 7 earlier with Dave Goodman? & 7 Q Do you see at the top where it says "No need" -- it's \\
\hline 8 A Yes. & 8 an e-mail from Tom Bowers to you. It says, "No need to get \\
\hline 9 Q And you write, "Balaji, you seem to ignore that we now & 9 adversarial at this stage. Balaji, I'm just reflecting MF's \\
\hline 10 have 36 percent LTV where we - where we were willing to go up & 10 desire to get an appropriate spread." \\
\hline 11 to 60 percent on the hotel and you have shortened her term on & 11 Do you see that? \\
\hline 12 the condos from five years to five years. The pricing is & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 appropriate for the risk. I will ask for the additional AUMs." & 13 Q If the bank had not -- did not achieve an appropriate \\
\hline 14 What did you mean by that? & 14 spread, would it have closed on the transaction? \\
\hline 15 A I think this was just my response to the new terms and & 15 A No. \\
\hline 16 conditions that lending and credit risk wanted. & 16 Q Do you see where it says "this is a superb deal." \\
\hline 17 Q And then it says "This is the deal she wants and will & 17 A Yes. \\
\hline 18 take. If you are not comfortable, we need to pass." & 18 Q Was Mr. Bowers' assessment of the deal consistent with \\
\hline 19 Do you see that? & 19 the bank's approved business strategies? \\
\hline 20 A Yes. & 20 A I'm not sure I understand that. \\
\hline 21 Q And was the bank ultimately comfortable with making & 21 Q I'll withdraw it. Was there -- would you agree with \\
\hline 22 this deal? & 22 Mr. Bowers' assessment of the deal? \\
\hline 23 A Yes. & 23 MR. WALLACE: Objection. Again, the "would" versus \\
\hline 24 Q And in general terms, how does the negotiation between & 24 did, do. \\
\hline 25 the credit side -- let me withdraw that. & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
25
\] \\
THE COURT: Sustained.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Q Did you agree at the time with Mr. Bowers' assessment of the deal?

A I thought it was a good deal, yes.
4 Q Was that from the perspective of the bank? 5 A Yes.

MR. SUAREZ: I would like to show the witness what has been pre-marked as Defendant's Exhibit 335.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to the e-mail dated October 15th, 2012, from you to Ivanka Trump. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.) MR. SUAREZ: On the second page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: I apologize, the e-mail dated October 15th, 2012.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you recognize this as an e-mail you sent to Ivanka Trump?

A Yes.
Q What is the subject matter of the e-mail?
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A Pricing update.
Q Is the discussion you had with Ms. Trump consistent with your general practice in negotiating deal terms for the bank?
A Yes.
Q And then you go on to provide pricing on a loan, and at the bottom you write, "Please review this revised pricing offer. I hope this is acceptable to you. And we look forward to closing this on Halloween."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Was this e-mail consistent with the manner in which you helped the bank and clients bridge loans, loan terms in your capacity at the bank?

\section*{A Yes.}

MR. SUAREZ: And then if we scroll up to the e-mail that you wrote Ms. Trump on October 15th.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q The subject matter regarding pricing update, it says, "I try to be fair and I think in this case both you and the bank are happy."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What did you mean by that?
    he was technically my backup on this.
        Q Do you see this e-mail was sent on Friday,
    November 9th, 2012, to Ivanka Trump?
            A Yes.
        Q And with respect to Ms. Trump, you were copied on this
    e-mail. Do you see this?
        A Yes.
        Q You see where it says, "Hi Ivanka. I want to thank you
    and your dad for once again giving us the opportunities to meet
    your financing needs. The professionalism and efficiency of
    both organizations has made for a smooth and timely closing of
    this transaction. Your organization is great to work with.
    Thanks again for the confidence you have in us, and we are very
    appreciative of receiving this additional business. Have a
    great weekend."
            Was this e-mail sent in reference to the Chicago
    transaction that we've discussed?
            A I would think so.
            Q And was this e-mail consistent with your own opinions
    of that transaction?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit 338 into evidence.


A Yes.
Q And you write: Hi. I just spoke with Ivanka Trump re your group taking a look at the above transaction. We have quite a robust and great relationship with the family in the private bank and can provide you with a good amount of background and exposure to senior management for your underwriting.

Do you know what you would have meant by "exposure to senior management"?

A Yes. It would have been the type of meetings with Michiel and/or Anshu Jain.

Q That would be Michiel Fassiola?
A That's correct.
Q And then you write: I know this is a highly competitive and time sensitive situation, so I am in the office tomorrow to discuss.

\section*{Do you know what you meant by that?}

A Well, after reading this they would have been another part of the bank potentially looking at this transaction, and I just would want to have been as helpful as I could.

Q Why would another part of the bank be looking at the transaction?

A There are different avenues within the bank that could potentially provide real estate financing. So, based upon this Ivanka would have reached out to Mr. Gustafson.

Meetings with credit on both your deals went well. Yeah.
Can we set some times later -- excuse me. Can we set some times late morning to go over each individually? Let me know.

With respect to Ms. Trump, what was this e-mail about?

A It probably would have been about OPO.
Q And what, if anything, do you recall about the discussions you had with Ms. Trump concerning credit meetings on that deal?

A I don't recall.
MR. SUAREZ: Scroll up to another part -- to a later part of the e-mail. I am sorry. Further up the exhibit on page one.
Q You write back to Ms. Trump: Ivanka, good morning. Tom and Emily have a few questions for Dave (they sent him an e-mail last night) and they will have a full term sheet for us -- maybe today if we are lucky -- or Monday the latest. Credit has given us the green light, so I see absolutely no issues.

What did you mean by "credit has given us the green light so I see absolutely no issues"?
A For a term sheet to be given out to a customer, credit had to approve the transaction. So they would have given us a green light to go forward.
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Q And what, if any, interest would Private Wealth Management have had to obtain the business as opposed to another division of the bank?

A Well certainly from our profitability it would be better for it to be booked in the Private Wealth Management. But ultimately we would just want to see a happy customer. So if it was done in another part of the bank that would be fine as well.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's
Exhibit 779 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 779 by the Court.)

MR. SUAREZ: I would like to show the witness
what has been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 342.
(Handing)
MR. SUAREZ: And if you could turn to the second page of the e-mail. At the bottom.
Q Do you recognize this as an e-mail that you sent on
November 26, 2013 to Ivanka Trump and Jared Kushner?
A Yes.
Q Do you see where it says: Subject Credit Meetings?
A Yes.
Q And you write: Hi. Hope you had a good flight.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & Vrablik - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) Page 5546 & Vrablik - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) Page 5548 \\
\hline & 1 When the bank issues a client a term sheet, is the & 1 Cour \\
\hline & 2 client required to consummate that deal? & 2 Q Earlier -- \\
\hline & 3 A & 3 I would like to show the witness an e-mail that's \\
\hline & 4 Q And what, if anything, can occur between the issuance & 4 been marked as Defendant's Exhibit 343 for identification \\
\hline & 5 of the term sheet and the ultimate -- and ultimately a & 5 purposes. \\
\hline & 6 transaction being consummated or not consummated? & 6 (Handing) \\
\hline & 7 A From a client's side they may find the transaction & \(7 \quad\) Q Do you recognize your e-mail at the top of the page? \\
\hline & 8 being done at another institution, if they find another deal & 8 A Yes. \\
\hline & 9 somewhere else. From the bank's standpoint they may or may not & \(9 \quad\) Q Who is Daniel Eisenberg? \\
\hline & 0 like the additional information they get. Or the bank and the & 10 A He was a junior banker on our team. \\
\hline & 1 customer can't come to an agreement on terms and conditions. & 11 Q Do you see here where you write: Hi -- \\
\hline & 2 Q Is that an ordinary description of a banking & 12 This is an e-mail directed to Tom Sullivan and Emily \\
\hline & 3 relationship between a client and the bank? & 13 Schroeder; is that correct? \\
\hline & 4 MR. WALLACE: Objection. & 14 A Yes. \\
\hline & 5 THE COURT: I am not sure what "that" was. Was & 15 Q It says: Hi. \\
\hline & 6 that your objection? & 16 Revenues 2011-13,477. \\
\hline 17 & 7 MR. WALLACE: & 17 2012-2.6 million. \\
\hline & 8 THE COURT: It doesn't have to be & 18 2013-3.1 million through October -- 3.5 million for \\
\hline 19 & 9 Q Is your description of the process to go from loan to & 19 full year -- Note: This is after the 50 BP liquidity fee for \\
\hline & 0 term sheet, generally speaking, consistent with banking & 202013 (not in prior years). \\
\hline & 1 practices between a bank and a client? & \(21 \quad\) What was the 50 BP liquidity fee? \\
\hline & 2 A Yes. & 22 A The bank had a 50 basis point capital charge from the \\
\hline 23 & 3 Q Then the e-mail goes on to state: One question that & 23 funding desk that was passed on to all loans. \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
4 was raised was on disclosure -- since the GSA/or some \\
5 government entity is involved -- will our terms and conditions
\end{tabular} & 24 Q And what does this revenue represent for the -25 withdrawn. \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & Vrablik - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) Page 5547 & Vrablik - by Defendant - Direct (Suarez) Page 5549 \\
\hline & 1 with you be made public? Not a credit issue, but we want to be & \(1 \quad\) What does this revenue represent? \\
\hline & 2 prepared if "other clients" see it and ask for the same deal. & 2 A It would be the revenue generated from all of the \\
\hline & 3 What concern were you conveying to Ms. Trump in that & 3 products used by the Trump Organization. \\
\hline & 4 sentence? & 4 Q Would those be the products used from the Private \\
\hline & 5 A Because most of the deals that are done with our & 5 Wealth Management group? \\
\hline & 6 private banking customers are private, they are not in the & 6 A Yes. \\
\hline & 7 public domain, so our concern was that if other customers saw & \(7 \quad\) Q And would these revenues be booked on the Private \\
\hline & 8 rates that were given, which are typically not known by other & 8 Wealth Management group's balance sheet? \\
\hline & 9 customers or prospects, that everybody would think they should & 9 A I would think so. \\
\hline & 0 get the same rates, same deals, same conditions, since it is a & 10 Q And then in 2014 it says: Proforma. \\
\hline & 1 competitive situation. And other banks would also know. & 11 Do you see that? \\
\hline & 2 Q And how, if at all, was that concern addressed? & 12 A Yes. \\
\hline 13 & 3 A I don't remember. & 13 Q Existing approximate run rate is 210 K per month, \\
\hline 14 & 4 Q Was there any follow-up discussion with respect to & 14 equals 2.520 M . \\
\hline & 5 this concern? & 15 What does that mean? \\
\hline 16 & 6 A & 16 A I assume whatever loan that was going to be booked \\
\hline 17 & \(1 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) Was this concern unique to this transaction? & 17 that would be the anticipated revenue generated for it in 2014. \\
\hline 18 & 8 A No. We always would keep the spreads and terms and & 18 Q What is an approximate run rate? How does that \\
\hline & 9 conditions private from other customers. We would not talk & 19 factor into the bank's analysis of revenue? \\
\hline & 0 about that. & 20 A It is just what is earned every month. \\
\hline 21 & 1 MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's & 21 Q And then OPO. It says: Fee. 170 million@50 \\
\hline 22 & 2 Exhibit 342 & 22 basis -- 50BP. \\
\hline 23 & 3 THE COU & 23 BP means basis point? \\
\hline 24 & 4 (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed & 24 A Yes. \\
\hline & 5 marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 342 by the & 25 Q Equals 850K. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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2014?
A Yes.
Q And what would be the purpose of sending this information to Mr. Sullivan and Ms. Schroeder?

A This sort of information would be available to the private banking side of the organization. And so Tom and Emily probably would have put that in their credit write-up or annual review. But that would be something they would need for their report.

Q And how would this information, if at all, inform the bank's decision-making on how it wanted to develop a relationship with the Trump Organization?

A It would just be a fact of what was generated from the business.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we move Defendant's
Exhibit 343 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 343 by the Court.)
Q What, if any, knowledge do you have concerning the performance of the OPO loan?

A When I was working at the bank it was current.
Q When, if at all, did you visit the OPO property?
A I was there for the first time to see it in its "as
is" condition before they did all of the work. There was another visit midway when the work was being done. And I believe there was a third for the ground breaking, something of that nature. And then I was there during the inauguration.

Q And what view, if any, do you have -- withdrawn.
What was your opinion at the time concerning the repositioning of the hotel project?

A Well, it was an empty shell, so it was neglected for a period of time. And it needed a wholesale redoing of the whole thing for it to become the vision that the Trumps had for it.

Q Was the performance of the OPO project consistent with your expectation for that project when the loan was originated?

A Yes. They took it from a shell to a fully operational hotel and event space.

MR. SUAREZ: I would like to turn your attention to what has been previously marked as Plaintiffs Exhibit 298.

\section*{(Handing)}

Q Do you see the date at the top right-hand corner of this document?
A Yes.
Q And were you employed at the bank on July 20, 2015?
A Yes.
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Q Do you see at the bottom there below the date it says: Relationship manager Vrablic/Scalzi?

A Yes.
Q What does that mean?
A We were both involved from the relationship management side on this transaction.

Q And do you see: Lender Williams/Frank?
Does that mean anything to you?
A Yes.
Q What does that mean?
A The two lenders were Dave Williams and Josh Frank.
Q And what is the role of the lender in the client relationship?
A They would be responsible for the underwriting of the transaction and working with the credit risk to get it approved.

Q And if you look at: Group. The Trump Family in the box to the left. Do you know what that grouping refers to?

A I would assume all of the deals that were done for the Trump family.

Q And then it identifies: Borrowers A, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC. B, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC. C, Trump Old Post Office LLC.

Do you see that?
A Yes.
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A It would generate more revenue and profitability for the bank.

Q Was that revenue captured in the prior analysis that we reviewed concerning revenue generated by the relationship with President Trump?

A I would think so.
Q Do you see where it says Associated Entities?
A Yes.
Q 86.49 million in cash deposits?
A Yes.
Q Were those additional deposits generated from your development of the relationship with President Trump?

A I would assume so.
Q Are you aware if those cash deposits were in excess of the cash deposits required of President Trump under the loan documents?

A That I don't know.
MR. SUAREZ: If you could turn to page six of
this document.
Q And see the section that says, Recommendation. At the bottom, under All Facilities, it says: DB relationship. In connection with the addition of Facility \(C\) DJT transferred 40 million in liquidity to DB. He has also indicated he is interested in continued to grow his non-credit relationship with the firm. The AWM banking team has been
introduced to each of DJT's three adult children and two have established relationships with the firm.

What role, if any, would you have been involved with in developing the relationship in this matter?

A I would have brought the banking teams to the customer to see if it was a good match for them to do business with them.

Q And then it says: In addition the \(C B\) and \(S\) real estate team has had a successful history with the family. Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And what role, if any, would you have had to develop the relationship for the AW and banking team, as opposed to the CB and S real estate team?

A The CBS would be a separate division. The only thing, as I had written earlier on some of the memos, would be to provide them any information if they needed it from the private bank.

Q Were there other credit facilities that you worked with the Trump Organization in developing that didn't close?

A I am sorry, could you say that again?
Q Sure. Were you involved with proposing other credit facilities to the Trump Organization that ultimately did not close?

A Yes.

Q What were those facilities?
A The -- they had requested a loan for the Turnberry golf course in Scotland, which was declined by the bank.

Q Why was the Turnberry facility declined by the bank?
A The -- at that time he was President of the United States or going to become President of the United States and the bank's position was that they did not want to increase the exposure at that time.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

1 Q Are you aware as to why the bank did not want to increase his exposure to then president-elect Trump at that time?
A Yes.
Q What was that reason?
A At that time, because he was president-elect and/or candidate, at that time I don't recall which one, but the bank felt that the increased exposure, scrutiny, it was an unprecedented situation to have a customer who was going to become President of the United States. And the bank's position was that it was not appropriate to go up in exposure, given the circumstances.

MR. SUAREZ: And if we could turn back to Plaintiff's Exhibit D-298.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This document, would you agree, Ms. Vrablic, is dated
February 27, 2012, -- or was prepared in advance of a meeting with Donald Trump on February 27, 2012 ?

A Yes.
Q And that was before President Trump entered the political arena?

A For president, yes.
Q And at that time, if we turn to the second page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
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\section*{screen.)}

Q One of the purposes of the meeting was "To continue to build out the existing AWM relationship of loans, deposits and investment management."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And then in the background section it says, "Rosemary
Vrablic, WM" -- "WM" means Wealth Management -- "developed over
the last 12 months a very strong relationship with Donald Trump,
Donald Trump, Jr., Ivanka Trump, and Jared Kushner."
Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Was that consistent with how the relationship ultimately played out with President Trump and his family until such time as he was elected president?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I know we are nearing the lunch break. I think if we could take the lunch break now, I would be in a position to greatly reduce the amount of time that I have left.

MR. KISE: I was going to suggest that we do that
because maybe --
THE COURT REPORTER: Im sory, because? I can't hear you.

THE COURT: Sure. We could do that. How much
longer do you have on direct? I know you don't know.
MR. KISE: I know, maybe if we have the time now to consult, maybe 30 minutes or so. No more than an hour. So we could substantially -- I think we could substantially --

THE COURT REPORTER: rm sorry, you just tumed the mic off.

MR. KISE: I think we can reduce it substantially based on what I've heard.

By the way, for the record, a hole-in-one might be an eagle, but it also can be an albatross. It could also be an albatross. I heard that before, there was a discussion when I was out of the courtroom.

THE COURT: I'm going to have to Google this. Okay. See you all at \(2: 15\). I'll direct the witness not to talk to anybody.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the witness stand.)
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned until 2:15 for the luncheon recess.)
\[
\underset{*}{\text { A F T ERNOON }} \underset{*}{ } \underset{*}{\text { SESSSION }}
\]

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: I believe the witness is on her way. MR. KISE: Judge, I'm going to take credit for four for four. We are going to keep this pretty short.

THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. THE COURT: Great.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)
Q Ms. Vrablic, good afternoon.
I would like to show you a document that's been previously admitted into evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 212?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Are you aware, approximately, when the loan to Trump
Endeavor 12, LLC closed?
A Based upon this, I would say June 11th, 2012.
Q And that's The Trump Doral loan; right?
A Yes.
Q And that was the first loan Deutsche Bank made to an entity that was personally guaranteed by President Trump and the Wealth Management Division?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: I would like to go back to Defendant's Exhibit 312.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the

\section*{screen.)}
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q And again, I direct your attention to the e-mail from
Marcus Mitchell to Thomas Bowers. Do you see that e-mail in -beginning in the second page of the exhibit?

A Yes.
Q What is the date of this e-mail?
A December 23rd, 2011.
Q Was this e-mail sent to Mr. Bowers before the Doral loan closed?

A Based upon the dates, yes.
Q Who is Mr. Bowers?
A He was my boss and head of Private Wealth Management domestic.

Q I would like to draw your attention to the middle of this paragraph, which says "The facility will be supported by a full and unconditional guarantee (the PG) provided by DJT." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And is that a reference to the guarantee that was ultimately provided by President Trump?

A Yes.
Q And then it says "Through our due diligence, we have concluded that DJT has an exceptionally strong financial profile consisting of a reported net worth of \(\$ 4.2\) billion, which we
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have adjusted to \(\$ 2.4\) billion, including 230 million in unencumbered liquidity, an extensive real estate portfolio including one billion in adjusted net equity held in four wholly-owned low leveraged class A New York City properties, only 2 million in personal debt and 114 million in secured contingents."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What basis, if any, do you have to disagree with the characterization of President Trump's financial condition in this e-mail?

A This would have been written by Marc Mitchell. I would have no reason to think differently.

Q Who is Marc Mitchell?
A He was the head of lending.
Q Was this information aware to the bank before it accepted a guarantee from President Trump?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. The formulation of the question.
Q I'm sorry. Was the bank aware of this information before it accepted a guarantee from President Trump?

A Yes.
Q And what does it mean, to your knowledge, the statement, "Through our due diligence, we have concluded that DJT has an exceptionally strong financial profile"?
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well diversified across his interests in real estate, licensing, entertainment, golf clubs, speaking, and several other forms of miscellaneous revenues."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And what basis, if any, do you have to disagree with
Mr. Mitchell's analysis of that?
A Again, I would not -- I would assume he did his work.
Q And then it says, "We are recommending the facility based on the strength of DJT's PG." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q And would that have been the strength -- withdrawn. Would your -- the strength of the facility was
recommended based on the strength of Donald's PG reflected in this document; is that your understanding?

A I'm sorry. I don't follow that.
Q It says, "We are recommending the facility based on the strength of DJT's PG." Is that a personal guarantee?

A Yes.
Q And would that follow based on the analysis that the bank conducted of President Trump's financial condition?

A Yes.
Q And then if you keep going it says -MR. SUAREZ: Scroll down.
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1 (Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was

\section*{Page 5567}

A I would have no basis to disagree.

24 A I would have no basis to dispute it.
Q And then a few lines down, do you see it says, "Total real estate debt of 302 million resulting in approximately 18 percent leverage on RE portfolio."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What basis, if any, do you have to dispute that the bank had that knowledge in its possession before accepting President Trump's guarantee?
A I would have no reason to dispute it.
Q And if we turn to page three of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see at the top it says, "Reported 4.2 billion
and adjusted based on DB due diligence to 2.4 billion." And that's under the section "Net Worth", which appears just a little bit above.

\section*{Do you see that?}

A Yes.
Q And what, if any, basis do you have to dispute that the bank was -- that the bank had adjusted President Trump's net worth from 4.2 billion to 2.4 billion prior to accepting his guarantee?

Q And if this analysis was acceptable to Mr. Bowers would
it have been acceptable to you?
A Yes.
Q And then if we scroll up to page one, you see an e-mail on December 23rd, 2011, from Mr. Bowers to Marcus Mitchell, that you, Dominic Scalzi and Thomas Sullivan are copied on?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And Mr. Bowers, you could see, is responding to
Mr. Mitchell, with a copy to you, and it says, "I would
conclude", first paragraph, "by saying we recommend on the basis
that it is a sound credit, and even in the absence of a personal guarantee, but that the PG makes it remarkably -- makes it a remarkably safe deal given the strength of the guarantor."

Then he goes on to write, "Mentioned that it is among the strongest personal balance sheets we have seen and totally unlike any of our major real estate developer clients in that we
observe an absence of personal debt, with huge asset base and diversified CF."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q What does "CF" mean?
A Cash flow.
Q And do you have any -- at the time, withdrawn.
Do you have any reason to disagree with Mr. Bowers assessment of President Trump's personal balance sheet?
R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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MR. WALLACE: Im just going to raise an objection. We had, now, a series of questions about whether she has any reason to disagree. I don't know if that even generates admissible testimony. She has no basis to disagree with something that isn't her statement, so I'm going to object, I guess, on relevance grounds.

THE COURT: It's an unusual approach, do you have any reason to disagree with a certain statement. I mean, I didn't stop it. And --
Q Do you agree with Mr. Bowers' assessment?
THE COURT: Did she agree.
Q Did she agree.
A Yes.
Q And, in fact, at the top.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)
Q You responded to Mr. Bowers and said, "Also told MM to discuss that DJT's expertise in successfully running world-class assets like as demonstrated by his extensive hotel, condos, golf clubs -- sorry, clubs, golf courses, make this asset purchase and repositioning a realistic and high probable success story." Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Was that, in fact, what happened?
A Yes.
\(1 \quad Q\) And was this information contained in this e-mail available to the bank before it accepted President Trump's guarantee?

A Yes.
MR. SUAREZ: I have no further questions.
THE COURT: Will there be any cross examination?
MR. WALLACE: A brief bit of cross examination.

\section*{CROSS EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. WALLACE:}

Q Good afternoon, Ms. Vrablic. I'm Kevin Wallace from the Attorney General's Office. We met at your deposition.

MR. WALLACE: If we could put back up on the screen
Defendant's 312 , which we were just looking at, I believe, and if we could put next to it Defendant's Exhibit 313.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Obviously you just discussed Defendant's 312 with Mr. Suarez. Do you recall that testimony? And you earlier, with him, discussed Defendant's Exhibit 313.

If I could just direct your attention on the first page. You had talked about the e-mail dated December 23rd, 2011, at 9:10, from Mr. Bowers to Mr. Mitchell, that had the language about, "we recommend this on the basis that it is a sound credit, and even in the absence of a personal guarantee..."
R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)

Page 5571

Do you remember that testimony?
A Yes.
Q I just want to focus on the next paragraph, which states, "Not to micromanage, but I have to escalate such risks to PDW, and we could miss a great franchise opportunity, of which this is undoubtedly one, by not highlighting deal strengths."

\section*{Who is PDW?}

A Pierre De-Weck was the global head of private banking at that time.
Q And why did Mr. Bowers have to escalate these risks to PDW -- to Mr. De-Weck, sorry?
A I don't know which risks Tom would have been mentioning or thinking at that time.

MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page three of Defendant's 313.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q And if we look down here -- actually, if we go down a little lower, there is a message from Dan McAvoy to Pierre De-Weck, copies Mr. Bowers among others.

Am I to understand, then, that the e-mail in
Defendant's 312 is a team of people working on the presentation of what's going to be sent to Mr. De-Weck here in Defendant's

Page 5573
Mr. De-Weck is making it clear that the bank needs an ironclad guarantee in order to extend this facility; correct?

A Correct.
Q Do you know if the credit approval for this transaction
was on the basis of a guarantee, or just on the strength of the facility on its own?

A I don't recall which one, but in -- I would assume both would be important.

Q But in the basis here, the loan was, in fact, not recommended on the basis that it was a sound credit in the absence of a personal guarantee; correct?

MR. KISE: Objection. Is he asking her to read what's written there or is he asking her what her understanding is?

THE COURT: I'll ask for a clarification.
Q Based on the e-mail to Mr. De-Weck and his response, the loan was, in fact, not recommended on basis that it was a sound credit independent of a guarantee; is that correct?

A That's correct.
MR. WALLACE: Can we give the witness Plaintiffs Exhibit 1129.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
Q Ms. Vrablic, you've been handed a document that's been
 particularly like giving recourse to their lenders, so they
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usually prefer to have nonrecourse type of financing.
Q And these are your clients are the kinds of developers you are talking about?

A Yes.
Q Do you have a sense of why your clients don't like recourse?

A I think most clients done like recourse.
Q What is the reason for not liking recourse?
A At the end of the day their personal assets are also
basically standing behind the credit facility, so should a
project or a real estate project not work out, the bank could
sue each person individually as well.
Q And their individual assets beyond the project could be at risk?

A That's correct.
Q So why is recourse a requirement for private banking?
A Usually because we are financing an asset that requires a personal guaranty, meaning it's a transitional type of asset, or because we are the private bank, we deal with people and we prefer them to have recourse for their facilities.

Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: If we could go down to the introductory note from Mr. Kushner. I believe it's on the next page, actually.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q And Mr. Kushner is addressing the message to Don Trump, Jr. And he writes, "While Rosemary only lends with recourse, the flexibility rates and service you get is unparalleled, so I would recommend you consider this highly."

First of all, can I ask, do you agree with that statement from Mr. Kushner?
A Yes.
Q And so why was the flexibility that you offered unparalleled?
A The -- I think based upon because people would give recourse, that we do have the flexibility on terms and conditions where if somebody is doing a nonrecourse loan, they are really just looking at the property or the business themselves. So it does give -- gives us the flexibility to be creative on some conclusions, because the person is standing behind it.

Q I think you kind of touched on this a little bit in your last answer, but why is the rate also unparalleled?

A Usually because, again, they are putting something up that is a little bit different than a nonrecourse loan. You do have the guarantee and the assets of the individual behind it, so that, um, the rate usually does reflect that.

MR. WALLACE: If we go up to the response from Donald Trump, Jr.
R. Vrablic - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)
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(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q He writes to you, "I fully understand the recourse position and don't have an issue with that."

May I ask, did any of the Trump family members you dealt with -- let me withdraw that question and I'll restate the question.

In your experience, did all of the Trump family members that you dealt with understand the recourse position of your lending from the Private Wealth Group at Deutsche Bank?

A Yes.
Q And what Trump family members did you deal with?
A Um, Don, Jr. directly, in terms of his loan. And then I would work with Ivanka and Mr. Trump.

Q Donald Trump, Sr?
A Yes.
Q Did you ever have to explain to any of those individuals why recourse was necessary for a Private Wealth loan?

A No.
MR. WALLACE: We could take that document down.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was taken down.)
Q Ms. Vrablic, I just want to make sure that I understand 25 your role in the origination process correctly.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\text { Page } 5578
\] & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Vrablik - by Defendant - Cross (Wallace) Page 5580} \\
\hline 1 At the time of origination of a loan, your role was & 1 & But I failed to move in Plaintiff's Exhibit \\
\hline 2 limited to recommending a transaction to lending; is that & 2 & 1129, so I ask that be moved into evidence. \\
\hline 3 correct? & 3 & MR. ROBERT: Exhibit what? \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & 4 & MR. WALLACE: 1129, the only one we introduced \\
\hline 5 Q And you had no role in trying to persuade the bank to & 5 & with this witness. \\
\hline 6 make a loan; is that fa & 6 & MR. ROBERT: It is in. \\
\hline 7 A I had my opinion, but I had no authority. & 7 & MR. WALLACE: I didn't think it was in. No \\
\hline 8 Q And you would stay involved in the deal and try to make & 8 & objection? \\
\hline 9 sure that it got done; is that fair? & 9 & MR. ROBERT: Objection, statute of limitations. \\
\hline 10 A Yes. & 10 & THE COURT: Overruled. \\
\hline 11 Q But if lending made a decision that they did not want & 11 & (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed \\
\hline 12 to proceed with a loan, you would honor the bank's decision at & 12 & marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1129 by \\
\hline 13 that point; is that correct? & 13 & the Court.) \\
\hline 14 A Yes. & 14 & THE COURT: I have one quick question for the \\
\hline 15 Q And as part of the underwriting of a loan, it was not & 15 & witness. Is there a difference between a loan and \\
\hline 16 your responsibility to review the financial statement of any of & 16 & facility? If there isn't, why do they call loans \\
\hline 17 your borrowers; is that correct? & 17 & facilities? \\
\hline 18 A That's correct. & 18 & THE WITNESS: A facility can be a line of credit \\
\hline 19 Q So you never saw Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial & 19 & or a loan. And so a line would go up and down like your \\
\hline 20 Condition during the course of your entire banking relationship & 20 & credit card; and the loan would be a one-time loan. \\
\hline 21 with Mr. Trump; is that correct? & 21 & THE COURT: Thank you. I have been wondering \\
\hline 22 A I saw it as a document, but I did not review it. & 22 & for weeks now. \\
\hline 23 (Continued on next page.) & 23 & Any redirect? \\
\hline 24 & 24 & MR. ROBERT: Just a second, Your Honor, please. \\
\hline 25 & 25 & THE COURT: And I am curious, where do you work \\
\hline Vrablik - by Defendant - Cross (Wallace) Page 5579 & & by Defendant - Cross (Wallace) Page 5581 \\
\hline \(1 \quad\) Q I believe you testified during your investigative & 1 & now, if you work? \\
\hline 2 examination that the first time you saw his Statement of & 2 & THE WITNESS: I am retired. Happily. \\
\hline 3 Financial Condition was when you were preparing to testify in & 3 & THE COURT: Well, you won't have to face anymore \\
\hline 4 front of the Attorney General during the investigation that led & 4 & of these, I guess. \\
\hline 5 to this action; is that correct? & 5 & MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I failed to move in \\
\hline 6 A Correct. & 6 & Defendant's Exhibit 297. I would like to move that in. \\
\hline \(7 \quad \mathrm{Q}\) It is in general not your practice to look at the & 7 & MR. WALLACE: No objection. \\
\hline 8 annual financial updates of your clients; is that correct? & 8 & THE COURT: Granted, it is in evidence. \\
\hline 9 A That's correct. & 9 & (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed \\
\hline 10 Q So during your time at Deutsche Bank, you were not & 10 & marked for evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 297 by the \\
\hline 11 specifically aware that Mr. Trump was required to assert the & 11 & Court.) \\
\hline 12 accuracy of his Statement of Financial Condition; is that & 12 & MR. SUAREZ: With that we have no further \\
\hline 13 correct? & 13 & questions. \\
\hline 14 A Well, I know customers had to do that. All customers & 14 & THE COURT: Anything else by anybody? \\
\hline 15 had to do that. & 15 & You are free to go. \\
\hline 16 Q Okay. And you have had an expectation that a & 16 & THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. \\
\hline 17 borrower like Mr. Trump would represent their financial & 17 & (Whereupon the witness stepped down from the \\
\hline 18 position fairly to the bank; is that correct? & 18 & stand and exited the courtroom. \\
\hline 19 A That's correct. & 19 & THE COURT: Is Mr. Birney here? \\
\hline 20 Q And that they would not include false or misleading & 20 & MR. ROBERT: He should be coming in. \\
\hline 21 information in their presentations to the bank; is that & 21 & THE COURT: I meant in the building. \\
\hline 22 correct? & 22 & MR. ROBERT: He is, Your Honor. \\
\hline 23 A That's correct. & 23 & COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. \\
\hline 24 MR. WALLACE: I have no more questions, Your & 24 & (Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.) \\
\hline 25 Honor. & 25 & THE COURT: Okay. Let's continue with the \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Q And what do the highlights indicate on this page?
A The highlights indicate figures that were used in the calculation of the 40 Wall supporting data spreadsheet valuation.

Q And how were these figured used?
A An average price per square feet was calculated. And then --

THE WITNESS: Can you pull up the spreadsheet?
MS. HERNANDEZ: Nate, can we pull them up side
by side, PX-758 and this document?
A The square footage was multiplied by the average square foot -- sorry, average price per square foot.

Q And is that what is reflected on the side of the exhibit on the left there in the adding?

A I don't understand the question. Sorry.
Q What is reflected on --
MS. HERNANDEZ: Could you scroll little bit to the right on PX-762? Yeah.
Q What is reflected on the adding tape there?
A That calculation is reflected in the average price per square foot.

Q And what is the second page of PX-762?
A It is where the square footage is coming from.
Q Where is the square footage coming from?
A The note says it is from the 40 Wall rent-roll.
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the square footage to value this property?
A In 2017?
Q Sure.
A Are you able to show me the backup for that year?
Q Sure I can.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Can we pull up PX-762?
I think we have copies over there.
(Handing)
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q And what is this document?
A It is the backup to the supporting data spreadsheet figures for 40 Wall Street.

Q And would you have sent this document to Mazars in connection with the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Probably.
Q And what is the first -- excuse me.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I would like to move
in Plaintiff's Exhibit 762 into evidence.
THE COURT: It is in. Granted.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 762 by the Court.)
Q What is the first page of this document, Mr. Birney?
A It is a list of recent downtown sales at this time.
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Q And that's in your -- is that in your handwriting? A Yes.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. And so we are going to now move to the Vornado Partnership on row 781 of the 758 native.
Q Could you briefly describe the Vornado Partnership for us, Mr. Birney?

A Mr. Trump owns a 30 percent limited partnership interest in two office buildings in New York and San Francisco: One at 555 California Street and one at 1290 Sixth Avenue.

Q And how was the Vornado Partnership valued in 2017?
A In 2017?
Q Correct.
A Net operating income divided by cap rate, less debt, and then multiplied by 30 percent.

MS. HERNANDEZ: And Nate, can we pull up PX-764
side by side with this document?
(Handing)
Q Mr. Birney, do you recognize PX-764?
A Yes.
Q What is that document?
A It is the backup to the 555 California Street section of the supporting data spreadsheet.
\(Q\) And was that sent to Mazars?
A Yes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & y - by Defendant - Direct (Hemandez) Page 5586 & \\
\hline & MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I would like to move & \\
\hline 2 & Plaintiff's Exhibit 764 into evidence. & \\
\hline 3 & THE COURT: Granted, it is in. & \\
\hline 4 & (Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed & \\
\hline 5 & marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 764 by the & \\
\hline 6 & Court.) & \\
\hline 7 & Q What does the star in the middle of the first page & \\
\hline 8 & indicate, Mr. Birney? & \\
\hline 9 & A It indicates that figure was used in the supporting & \\
\hline & data spreadsheet for the calculation of the valuation for 555 & \\
\hline & California Street. & \\
\hline 12 & Q And what figure is being indicated with the star? & \\
\hline 13 & A 62,482,000. & \\
\hline 14 & Q And what is that figure? & \\
\hline 15 & A The operating income in -- for the year ending & \\
\hline 16 & December 31, 2016. & \\
\hline 17 & Q And was -- was that used in the valuation for 555 & \\
\hline 18 & California Street in \(2017 ?\) & \\
\hline 19 & A Yes. & \\
\hline 20 & Q And looking to page three and four of PX-764, what -- & \\
\hline 21 & let's start with page three, what is page three of this & \\
\hline 22 & document? & \\
\hline 23 & A One of the sales that were used for the cap rate for & \\
\hline 24 & the valuation of 555 California Street. & \\
\hline 25 & \(Q\) And what is page four? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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A The other sale that was used for the cap rate for the valuation of 555 California Street.

MS. HERNANDEZ: And so if we can look at the note on row 808 of PX-758?
Q What is being reflected by that note?
A Do you want me to just read the note?
Q You can read it or summarize what it is saying.
A 555 cap rate based on information provided by Brian Hegarty and Michael Papagianopoulos of Cushman \& Wakefield in San Francisco, which contained comps for Class A office buildings. Cap rates for these buildings were 3.7 percent and 3.9 percent for an average of 3.8 percent.

Q And that's reflected in the backup of the two pages we just looked at of PX-764? Right?

A Yes.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Nate, if you could replace
PX-764 with PX-765?
(Handing)
Q Mr. Birney, have you seen PX-765 before?
THE WITNESS: Can you scroll out on the spreadsheet a little bit, or up?
A Yes.
Q What is PX-765?
A The backup to the supporting data spreadsheet for 1290 Avenue of the Americas.

Q And was PX-765 sent to Mazars in connection with the Statement of Financial Condition?

A Yes.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I would like to move
Plaintiff's Exhibit 765 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in. MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 765 by the Court.)
Q So what do the stars and highlights indicate there on PX-765?

A They indicate those figures were used in the calculation of the net operating income.

Q And what -- where did this document come from?
A I think it came from Vornado.
Q Sorry, I didn't hear your answer.
A From Vornado.
Q And what is it?
A What is what?
Q What is this from Vornado?
A It is a cash flow report or an income statement for 1290 Avenue of the Americas 4/20/16.

Q And so why would you have sent this to Mazars?
A Because it was used in the valuation of 1290 Avenue
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of the Americas in 2017.
MS. HERNANDEZ: okay. So, we are going to move
to the Las Vegas property now on the spreadsheet, which is row 821 .
Q How was the Las Vegas property in general valued in 2017?

A There were a few components. There was a value of the remaining unsold units. There was a development of fee. And there was distributable income that were added up for the ultimate value.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. And then looking to PX-766.
(Handing)
Q Have you seen Plaintiff's Exhibit 766 before?
A Yes.
Q What is Plaintiff's Exhibit 766?
A It is the backup to the value of remaining unsold units that was used in the valuation of TIHT, Las Vegas in 2017.

Q And was this document sent to Mazars?
A Yes.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I would like to move
Plaintiff's Exhibit 766 in evidence.
THE COURT: Granted, it is in.
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Birney - by Defendant - Direct (Hemandez) & Page 5590 \\
1 & marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit \\
\(\mathbf{1}\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Court) Court.)
Q So what does the red box on that first page indicate?
A It reads: This work paper is based on the sales units as of October 1, 2017. Since the proceeds of the sales of each unit are used to pay down the outstanding loan, the client value as of this date is deemed to be un-- deemed to be reasonable.

Q And who would have written that note?
A I don't know who wrote that note.
Q Did you write that note?
A I did not write that note.
Q In your experience, would Mazars have added notes to the supporting data as they reviewed them?

A Can you repeat the question, please?
Q In your experience, would Mazars have added notes to the backup to the supporting data when reviewing them?

A It is possible.
Q Do you have any reason to think this wasn't a note by Mazars?

A To me it seems like it was a note from Mazars.
Q And why do you say that?
A Because it refers to the client value, and Trump was a client of Mazars.

Q So moving on from the note now. Why would you have
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sent Mazars the remaining units and inventory for the Las Vegas property?

A Because it was used in the valuation of Las Vegas in 2017.

MS. HERNANDEZ: And going to page three of PX-766.
Q What is this e-mail chain?
A It is an e-mail chain that begins with me asking Jennifer Cook to send a current list of unsold units, including individual unit prices at that time.

Q Who is Jennifer Cook?
A The operations manager and sales executive of Trump International Realty and Trump Las Vegas.

Q Why did you have to ask Ms. Cook for this information?

A Because I didn't have it.
Q Why would you have had it?
A Because I would have asked Jeff if he had it. And Jeff would have probably said no, and reach out to Jennifer Cook, who does have it.

MS. HERNANDEZ: okay. So now we are going to
look at the triplex property, which is row 969.
Q Mr. Birney, can you describe how the triplex was valued in 2017?

A Can you scroll up, please?

MS. HERNANDEZ: Scroll up to where you see the year.

THE WITNESS: Just down a couple of rows, please. Up a couple of rows, please.
A Can you repeat the question?
Q Sure. How was the triplex valued in 2017 ?
A Square footage times price per square foot.
Q And how does the valuation in 2017 differ from the valuation in \(2016 ?\)

A It is a lot less.
Q Why is that?
A Because the inputs are different, specifically the square feet.

Q And why was the square footage different in 2017?
A Because there was an article written that said Mr. Trump's triplex is less than he is saying it is. And we looked into that and confirmed that it was actually 11,000 square feet.

THE COURT: Five minutes.
I am sorry. Go ahead.
A So we -- and so we updated the square footage on the supporting data spreadsheet.

Q Who looked into verify the accurate square footage?
A It was either Jeff McConney or Allen Weisselberg who, I think, asked Stephanie Lennig to look into the square footage
iimey - by Defendant - Direct (Hemandez)
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of the condo owned by Mr. Trump. And there is a piece of paper used in the backup that verifies the square footage is 10,996 .

Q We will get to that, the backup.
Was that article and those conversations the first time you became aware that the square footage was 10,996 square feet?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q When was the first time you became aware of the 10,996 square footage being the right square footage?

A When Stephanie Lennig confirmed it.
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline P. Birney - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hemandez) Page 5598 & P. Bimey - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hernandez) Page 5600 \\
\hline 1 Q What was the internal practice in considering different & 1 Foundation as to the whole Trump Organization as \\
\hline 2 valuation methodologies for the Statements of Financial & 2 opposed to this witness. \\
\hline 3 Condition? & 3 MS. HERNANDEZ: It's his recollection and his \\
\hline 4 A Can you repeat the question. & 4 knowledge. \\
\hline 5 Q Sure. What was the internal practice in considering & 5 THE COURT: Overruled. \\
\hline 6 different valuation methodologies in the Statements of Financial & 6 A Can you ask the question again, please. \\
\hline 7 Condition? & 7 MS. HERNANDEZ: Can I get a read back? \\
\hline 8 A It depended on the year. It depended on the asset. I & 8 THE COURT: It was a simple question, but read \\
\hline 9 would typically start with how we valued something in the & 9 back, please. \\
\hline 10 previous year and pull that information together, and then I & 10 MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you. \\
\hline 11 would discuss that draft of the information with either Jeff & 11 (Whereupon, the requested question was read back by \\
\hline 12 McConney and/or Allen Weisselberg. We would discuss it. We & 12 the court reporter.) \\
\hline 13 would discuss options. Again, it depended on the year; it & 13 A No. \\
\hline 14 depended on the asset. & 14 Q And do you recall any instance where The Trump \\
\hline 15 Q Were there various drafts every year of the Statement & 15 Organization did not provide any information or documentation \\
\hline 16 of Financial Condition support data? & 16 that Whitley Penn requested? \\
\hline 17 A Yes. & 17 A No. \\
\hline 18 Q Okay. & 18 Q In general, did you provide Mazars with all of the \\
\hline 19 And so, we walked through some of the support data and & 19 relevant information you used in preparing the Statement of \\
\hline 20 backup for 2017. How, if at all, did this process of submitting & 20 Financial Condition \\
\hline 21 support data with accompanying backup change, for the years 2018 & 21 A Yes. \\
\hline 22 to 2020, that Mazars was compiling the Statement of Financial & 22 Q And did you provide Whitley Penn with all of the \\
\hline 23 Condition? & 23 relevant information you used in preparing the Statement of \\
\hline 24 A Can you repeat the question, please. & 24 Financial Condition? \\
\hline 25 MS. HERNANDEZ: Can I get a read back of that one. & 25 A Yes. \\
\hline P. Birney - by Defense - Direct (Ms. Hemandez) Page 5599 & Proceedings Page 5601 \\
\hline 1 THE COURT: Read back, please. & 1 Q Were you ever directed to increase a number without \\
\hline 2 (Whereupon, the requested question was read back by & 2 there being a basis to support that increase for the Statement \\
\hline 3 the court reporter.) & 3 of Financial Condition? \\
\hline 4 THE COURT: Is the question, how is 2018 to 2020 & 4 A No. \\
\hline 5 different from 2017? & 5 MS. HERNANDEZ: No more questions, your Honor. \\
\hline 6 MS. HERNANDEZ: If at all. & 6 THE COURT: Okay. Any cross? \\
\hline 7 THE COURT: Okay & 7 MR. WALLACE: Nothing further for this witness, \\
\hline 8 A The only major difference I could think of as we & 8 your Honor. \\
\hline 9 started sending Mazars information through a portal instead of & 9 THE COURT: Okay. The witness is excused. Thank \\
\hline 10 via e-mail. & 10 you. \\
\hline 11 Q Okay. & 11 (Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the \\
\hline 12 And did the process change at all with Whitley Penn in & 12 witness stand.) \\
\hline 13 2021? & 13 THE COURT: Are defendants able to call their next \\
\hline 14 A I don't think so. There was a different portal being & 14 witness? \\
\hline 15 used. & 15 MR. ROBERT: No, your Honor. We are going to start \\
\hline 16 Q What was the first year you recall discussing the & 16 tomorrow morning, with the court's permission, with our \\
\hline 17 Statement of Financial Condition with Eric Trump? & 17 expert, Mr. Unell. \\
\hline 18 A 2021 & 18 I've already spoken to Mr. Wallace and advised that \\
\hline 19 Q And what was the first year you recall discussing the & 19 we hope to finish his direct in a full day. It would \\
\hline 20 Statement of Financial Condition with Donald Trump, Jr? & 20 certainly go into what I call "the third quarter" the way we \\
\hline 21 A 2021. & 21 have things in our own mind, but it may go the whole day. \\
\hline 22 Q And Mr. Birney, do you recall any instance where The & 22 So that way they can plan accordingly for their cross \\
\hline 23 Trump Organization did not provide any information or & 23 examinati \\
\hline 24 documentation that Mazars requested? & 24 THE COURT: AG, any issues with that? \\
\hline 25 MR. WALLACE: Objection. & 25 MR. WALLACE: I mean, I don't think there's a \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
witness. I understand the defendants don't have a witness available, so.

THE COURT: So it doesn't matter. We get a break. Okay, class dismissed.

MR. ROBERT: I feel like it's a snow day. Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: See you all at 10:00 tomorrow. MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir.
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned to Thursday, November 30, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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\hline 5589:5;5595:18; & 20;5531:7 & group's (1) & 5543:17;5548:6; & 5569:21 \\
\hline 5596:6;5597:16; & Google (1) & 5549:8 & 5552:20;5583:8; & highlighted (1) \\
\hline 5600:18 & 5560:13 & grow (3) & 5585:18;5587:18; & 5505:25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { highlighting (3) } \\
\text { 5518:4;5571:6; } \\
5572: 17
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
5487: 24 \\
\text { husband (1) } \\
5498: 25
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { indicated (2) } \\
& \text { 5555:24;5586:12 } \\
& \text { indicates (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { International (1) } \\
& 5591: 13 \\
& \text { into (47) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ironclad (3) } \\
& 5521: 2 ; 5572: 25 ; \\
& 5573: 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { highlights (3) } \\
& 5584: 1,2 ; 5588: 11
\end{aligned}
\] & I & \[
\begin{gathered}
5586: 9 \\
\text { indicative (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5476:9,16;5480:18; } \\
& \text { 5481:23;5488:11; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { issuance (4) } \\
& 5545: 1,2,16 ; 5546: 4
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline highly (2) & & 5540:19 & 5491:1,19,19;5492:25; & issue (3) \\
\hline 5542:14;5576:5 & IA (2) & individual & 5493:2,5,8;5497:2; & 5547:1;5574:20; \\
\hline \(\underset{\text { high-net-worth (4) }}{ }\) & 5499:4,7 & 488:3;5519:11; & 5502:4;5507:3;5510:2; & 577:4 \\
\hline 5485:5,12,25;5488:2 & identification (14) & 575:13;5576:22; & 5511:9;5517:2; & issued (2) \\
\hline history (1) & 5487:4;5493:13; & 591:10 & 5519:18;5521:9 & 5545:19,2 \\
\hline 5556:9 & 5497:7;5498:1; & individually (2) & 5525:4;5526:9;5528:4; & issues (4) \\
\hline holdings (1) & 5504:13;5507:7; & 5544:3;5575:12 & 5530:16;5533:3; & 5544:20,22;5546: \\
\hline 5572:12 & 5510:8;5525:9; & individuals (5) & 5536:8;5537:25; & 5601:24 \\
\hline hole-in-one (5) & 5526:14;5528:10; & 5485:6,13,25; & 5541:8;5543:10; & Italian (2) \\
\hline 5518:15,19,19,20; & 5536:14;5538:14; & 5491:24;5577:18 & 5547:22;5549:19; & 5505:10,11 \\
\hline 5560:9 & 5541:15;5548:4 & industrial (2) & 5551:16;5561:6,10; & item (1) \\
\hline home (1) & identified (1) & 5478:10,14 & 5572:6;5580:2; & 5596:7 \\
\hline 5484:2 & 5492:19 & industry (1) & \[
5583: 19 ; 5586: 2
\] & items (5) \\
\hline Honor (46) & identifies (1) & 5502:20 & 5588:5;5592:17,23,25; & 5475:10,14,18 \\
\hline 5473:2;5474:2,6; & 5553:21 & inform (1) & 5595:2,18;5597:2,23; & 5477:24;5545: \\
\hline 5476:15;5480:18; & ignore (1) & 5551:10 & 5601:20 & IVANKA (26) \\
\hline 5482:19;5483:6,10; & 5531:9 & information & introduce (1) & 5470:7;5499:1 \\
\hline 5488:10;5493:7; & ii (1) & 5481:8;5529:21; & 5473:6 & 5504:18,20;5505:9; \\
\hline 5497:1;5502:3;5507:2; & 5481:20 & 5546:10;5551:4,5,10; & introduced (5) & 5506:23;5508:12; \\
\hline 5510:1;5511:8; & impact (3) & 5556:17;5563:16,20; & 5498:25;5499:3; & 5520:15;5525:16; \\
\hline 5514:24;5517:1; & 5477:24;5496:22 & 5570:1;5579:21; & 5515:18;5556:1; & 5526:6;5527:2,12; \\
\hline 5519:17;5521:8; & 5516:20 & 5582:25;5587:8; & 5580:4 & 5528:21;5529:14,22; \\
\hline 5524:3;5526:8; & import (2) & 5591:15;5594:20; & introduction (2) & 5534:12,22;5537:5,10; \\
\hline 5530:15;5533:2; & 5499:6;5517:2 & 5598:10,11;5599:9,23; & 5489:1;5503:16 & 5539:1;5542:2,25; \\
\hline 5536:7;5537:24; & important (0) & 5600:15,19,23 & introductory (4) & 5543:21;5544:15; \\
\hline 5541:7;5543:9; & 5489:12;5512:19,24; & informed (1) & 5496:3;5572:9; & 5559:10;5577:14 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5547: 21 ; 5551: 15 ; \\
& 5559 \cdot 17 \cdot 5578 \cdot 12 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 5529:16,24;5573:8 & \[
5597: 4
\] & \[
5574: 16 ; 5575: 23
\] & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5559: 17 ; 5578: 1 \\
& 5579: 25: 5580:
\end{aligned}
\] & impression
\[
5526: 1
\] &  & \[
5591: 1
\] & J \\
\hline 5581:5,22;5582:3; & impressive (1) & imputs (1) & investable (1) & Jack (3) \\
\hline 5583:18;5586:1; & 5525:21 & 92:12 & 5499:11 & 5473:4,8;5524:9 \\
\hline 5588:4;5589:22; & inauguration (1) & instance (2) & investigation (1) & Jain (14) \\
\hline 5594:17;5597:4; & 5552:4 & 5599:22;5600:1 & . 5579:4 & 5495:14;5496:1,13, \\
\hline 5601:5,8,15;5602:6 & INC (1) & instead (1) & investigative (1) & 23;5497:21;5498:9,20; \\
\hline Honorable (2) & 5470:8 & 5599:9 & 5579:1 & 5503:15,17,19;5504:3, \\
\hline 5472:2;5560:24 & include (2) & institution (1) & investing (2) & 7,9;5542:11 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { honorary (1) } \\
5486: 14
\end{gathered}
\] & 5498:19;5579:20 & 5546:8
intent (2) & 5491:25;5492:23 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Jain's (2) } \\
& 5496: 16,17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & includes (2) & intent (2) & Investment (8) & 5496:16,17 \\
\hline hope (4) & 5478:3;5572 & 5512:23;5517 & 5479:11;5485:13; & JAMES (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5495: 10 ; 5535: 8 ; \\
& 5543: 25: 5601: 19
\end{aligned}
\] & including (4) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { intention (1) } \\
5489: 25
\end{gathered}
\] & 5501:1,4;5502:9,12; & 5470:3 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5543:25;5601:19 } \\
& \text { hoping (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5492: 24 ; 5563: 1,3 ; \\
& 5591: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5489:25 \\
interest (14)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
5528:23;5559:4 \\
investments (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { January (1) } \\
5513: 20
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \[
5595: 22
\] & income (9) & 5472:19,21;5477:23; & 5493:5 & Jared (6) \\
\hline hotcakes (1) & 5499:12;5500:4,4, & 5482:13;5499:12; & investor (1) & 5486:19;5498:25; \\
\hline 5494:2 & 19;5585:14;5586:15; & 5500:4,19;5501:24; & 5491:21 & 5511:25;5543:21; \\
\hline hotel (7) & 5588:14,22;5589:9 & 5532:12,16;5538:10; & investors (2) & 5559:10;5574:17 \\
\hline 5478:13;5519:5,10; & increase (7) & 5540:19;5543:1; & 5489:12;5491:11 & Jeff (4) \\
\hline 5531:11;5552:7,16; & 5500:3;5501:6 & 5585:9 & invited (1) & 5591:18,19;5592:24; \\
\hline 5569:19 & 5557:7;5558:2; & interested (3) & . 5508 & 5598:11 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { hour (1) } \\
5560: 3
\end{gathered}
\] & 5597:21;5601:1,2 & 5514:16;5554:24;
5555.24 & involve (1) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { JEFFREY (1) } \\
5470: 7
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline housekee & increased (2)
5502:1;5558 & \[
5
\] &  & Jennifer \\
\hline 5596:7 & independent (1) & 5565 & 5522:7;55 & 5591:9,11,19 \\
\hline huge (1) & 5573:18 & intermediary (4) & 5536:25;5546:25; & JESUS (2) \\
\hline 5568:17 & indicate (7) & 5486:7;5512:5 & 5553:5;5554:10; & 5471:6;5484:9 \\
\hline hundred (1) & 5501:23;5584:1,2; & 5530:13;5554:13 & 5556:3,22;5578:8 & job (4) \\
\hline 5492:20 & 5586:8;5588:11,13; & internal (2) & involvement (1) & 5485:3;5509:1; \\
\hline hunting (1) & 5590:3 & 5598:1,5 & 5511:16 & 5515:25;5532:8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline jobs (2)
\[
5508: 23,24
\] & & \[
\begin{gathered}
5574: 25 \\
\text { lending (27) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\mid l i q u i d i t y ~(7) ~ \\
5492: 15 ; 5498: 16 ;
\end{array}
\] & 5473:12;5475:13;
5476:3.9.11.21:5478:7: \\
\hline 5508:23,24 & L & \begin{tabular}{l}
lending (27) \\
5480.3.5485
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5492: 15 ; 5498: 16 ; \\
& 5548: 19,21 ; 5555: 23 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 776:3,9,11,21;5478:7; \\
\hline 5553:11 & labeled (1) & \[
5486: 8 ; 5489: 1,3,8
\] & 5563:2;5572:11 & 5481:5,14;5482:11; \\
\hline Jr (10) & 5527:5 & \[
5492: 23 ; 5498: 16
\] & list (6) & 5508:1;5516:18,22; \\
\hline 5498:24;5499:3; & Ladder (1) & 5512:6;5513:12; & 5475:10,14,18; & 5525:20;5535:8; \\
\hline 5520:14;5559:10; & 5473:17 & 5521:25;5526:21; & 5583:25;5591:9 & 5542:3;5545:9; \\
\hline 5574:17,19;5576:3,25; & LADOV (13) & 5530:13;5531:2,16; & 5595:16 & 5553:17;5571:19; \\
\hline 5577:13;5599:20 & 5475:2,4,15; & 5532:3;5545:11,14; & listed (1) & 5572:8,21;5579:7; \\
\hline Judge (6) & 5476:15,22;5478:17, & 5554:12;5563:15; & 5480:23 & 5587:3;5591:22; \\
\hline 5472:2,12;5474:14; & 21;5479:7;5480:18; & 5564:2,12;5566:11,21; & listing (1) & 5592:25 \\
\hline 5483:18;5560:24; & 5481:4;5482:7,19; & 5577:10;5578:2,11 & 5498:22 & looked (5) \\
\hline 5561:2 & 5483:6 & lends (1) & lists (1) & 5572:2,22;5587:14; \\
\hline July (2) & land (1) & 5576:3 & 5499:2 & 5592:17,23 \\
\hline 5541:22;5552:24 & 5478:8 & Lennig (5) & little (15) & looking (13) \\
\hline June (3) & language (2) & 5592:25;5593:11; & 5481:5;5482:8; & 5476:7;5477:19; \\
\hline 5488:19;5527:2; & 5570:23;5572:2 & 5594:6,11;5596:16 & 5506:12;5514:10,23; & 5481:7;5482:11,16; \\
\hline 5561:16 & Laptops (1) & less (4) & \[
5515: 1 ; 5540: 13
\] & 5494:15;5512:2; \\
\hline junior (1) & 5472:5 & 5564:16;5585:14; & 5567:17;5571:20; & 5542:19,21;5570:13; \\
\hline 5548:10 & Las (6) & 5592:10,16 & 5576:18,21;5582:14, & 5576:14;5586:20; \\
\hline Justice (1) & 5589:3,5,18;5591:1, & Leumi (1) & 18;5584:17;5587:21 & 5589:11 \\
\hline 5470:16 & 3,13 & 5484:18 & LLC (7) & looks (3) \\
\hline K & last (10) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { level (1) } \\
& 5476: 6
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5470: 9,10,10 ; \\
& 5553: 22,22,23 ; 5561: 15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5480: 15 ; 5497: 16 ; \\
& 5530: 5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline & 5479:2;5486:13; & leverage (4) & loan (55) & lot (1) \\
\hline keep (6) & 5487:2;5505:24; & 5504:8,10;5567:4; & 5473:6,16,20 & 5592:10 \\
\hline 5482:23;5489:20; & 5544:17;5559:9; & 5572:12 & 5475:11;5477:20; & louder (1) \\
\hline 5547:18;5561:3; & 5576:19;5596:12 & leveraged (2) & 5486:3,25;5487:1; & 5582:18 \\
\hline 5565:24;5596:3 & lasting (1) & 5504:4;5563:4 & 5493:3;5494:5,8; & low (2) \\
\hline keeping (1) & 5489:25 & leveraging (2) & 5499:2;5500:3; & 5563:4;5572:12 \\
\hline 5494:22 & late (1) & 5502:18;5503:6 & 5511:16;5512:4; & lower (1) \\
\hline Kevin (3) & 5544:3 & liabilities (2) & 5520:2;5528:24; & 5571:20 \\
\hline 5474:10;5514:4; & later (3) & 5492:17;5498:17 & 5529:8,9,23;5532:12; & lowest (1) \\
\hline 5570:10 & \[
5544: 2,13 ; 5595: 15
\] & liability (2) & 5535:6,13;5538:5; & 5532:18 \\
\hline key (9) & latest (1) & \[
5478: 4,5
\] & 5545:2;5546:19; & LTV (3) \\
\hline 5502:8,9,13,22; & \[
5544: 18
\] & liaison (1) & 5549:16;5550:4,12,14; & 5512:15;5513:8; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5508: 17,20,22,24 \\
& 5514: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & LAW (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
5504:20 \\
license (1)
\end{tabular} & 5551:22;5552:13; & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 5531:10 } \\
\text { luckv (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline kind (3) & 5471:17 & 5484:19 & 5561:14,17,19; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lucky (1) } \\
& 5544: 18
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5472:7;5519:11; & 5474:1 & licensing (1) & 5562:10;5564:17; & lunch (6) \\
\hline 5576:18 & lead (6) & 5565:1 & 5572:3;5573:9,17; & 5496:4;5503:24; \\
\hline kinds (1)
5575:2 & 5516:7,11,14,18; & \[
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \text { lien (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5576:13,21;5577:13, } \\
& \text { 19;5578:1,6,12,15; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5508:5,8;5559:18,18 \\
luncheon (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline SISE (10) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 5537:1,2 } \\
\text { leader (2) }
\end{array}
\] & light (3) & \[
5580: 15,19,20,20
\] & \[
5560: 19
\] \\
\hline 5471:5;5472:12;
\[
5476: 11: 5480: 20
\] & \[
5485: 2,15
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
5544: 19,22,25
\] \\
liked (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 5590:6 } \\
\text { loanc }
\end{array}
\] & M \\
\hline 5476:18;5480:20;
\[
5482: 25 ; 5559: 21 ;
\] & leading (5) & liked (1) 5502:1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
loans (26) \\
5486.6
\end{tabular} & M \\
\hline 5560:2,7;5561:2; & 504 & likes (1) & 5496:22;5499:11; & \\
\hline 5573:12 & 5593:7 & 5532:18 & 5500:6,13,15,17,19,20; & 5504:20 \\
\hline knowledgable (1) & lease (1) & liking (1) & 5511:7;5522:3,7,10,22; & maintain (1) \\
\hline 5477:11 & \[
5541: 4
\] & 5575:8 & 5532:23;5535:13; & 5504:18 \\
\hline knowledge (4) & led (1) & limitations (3) & 5536:23;5537:1; & maintained (1) \\
\hline 5551:21;5563:23; & 5579:4 & 5476:18;5482:25; & 5548:23;5554:1,5,9; & 5500:25 \\
\hline 5567:8;5600:4 & leeway (1) & 5580:9 & 5559:3;5580:16 & major (2) \\
\hline known (2)
5540.9.5547.8 & 5515:2 & limited (5) & located (2) & 5568:16;5599:8 \\
\hline 5540:9;5547:8 & left (5) & 5498:17;5527:13; & 5478:14;5482:14 & makes (4) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Kushner (9) \\
5486:19;5498:25;
\end{tabular} & \[
5480: 24 ; 5481: 16 ;
\] & 5578:2;5585:8;
5595:21 & long (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5517:16;5519:6; } \\
& 5568: 12,12
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
5486:19;5498:25; \\
5512:1;5543:21;
\end{tabular} & \[
5553: 18 ; 5559: 20
\] & 5595:21 & \[
\begin{array}{r}
5597: 11 \\
\text { longer (2) }
\end{array}
\] & 5568:12,12
making (3) \\
\hline 5559:10;5574:17; & \(5584: 14\)
lender (3) & 5481:5,14;5580:18, & 5522:2;5560:1 & 5514:21;5531:21; \\
\hline 5575:23;5576:2,7 & 5479:22;5553:7,12 & 19 & long-term (1) & 5573:1 \\
\hline KYC (2) & lenders (3) & lines (3) & \[
5541: 4
\] & manage (1) \\
\hline 5540:25;5541:6 & 5480:24;5553:11; & 5567:2;5594:18,21 & look (27) & 5519:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There's absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom. Now be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Would defendants like to call their next witness?

MR. WALLACE: Apologies, your Honor, but plaintiffs had a couple of housekeeping issues we wanted to raise.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. WALLACE: And we are springing this on the defendants a little bit, but I wanted to talk about the scheduling for the week of December 11 th, which is currently scheduled for Mr. Trump's testimony, which I believe will be the last witness for the defendants.

I think our view is is that to the extent plaintiffs have any rebuttal case, it could be presented on the 12th. I think it would, at most, be two witnesses, both of whom --

THE COURT: Just be one day.
MR. WALLACE: One day. Each witness would be an hour or less, would be our expectation, but that depends on a little bit what we here from Mr. Bartov on the seventh and eighth. That would be our expectation. So we could propose
that closing arguments be held on the 13 th and that we follow the process we did on openings, with 90 minutes for the government and two hours for the defense, with leave for them to ask for more time, if needed, since they are sharing.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. KISE: So Mr. Robert, as you know, is the CPLR expert and/or procedural. One thing that we had thought about proposing the Court, which in a case like this seems to make, at least to me, and I'll let Mr. Robert speak.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. KISE: It seems to make, at least to me, far more sense is for us to provide our posttrial submissions simultaneously, and then after the Court has a chance to look at those, we would come back for argument on those and you could provide -- Mr. Robert will do a better job on this than me. I'm sure in the interim you could provide us questions that you want us, after you've seen both parties' submissions. In most bench trials, for what it's worth, that I've ever handled have been done that way, where because a closing right after we are done with the evidence on a two-month trial, it's not like there is a jury here. You've got what's going to be probably a 9,000 page record. There's just an awful lot of ground to cover. I mean we can certainly make arguments on the 13th. I just don't know,
frankly, how productive they would be for the Court, because we are all just going to be saying things that -- that are sort of in brief as opposed to you having the opportunity to review everything.

I'll let Mr. Robert speak to the practice here in New York, but that's certainly been my practice.

MR. ROBERT: My experience has been, I don't think I've ever had a closing argument after the close of evidence in a bench trial, ever, in New York. Usually what I have seen, or probably on almost every instance, if not every instance, we prepare conclusions of law and the summary of our facts as we see them, usually like a 60-day window after the trial we submit it to the Court, both in a word version as well as in a PDF, or however the Court wants it.

And then what I've seen happen in the Commercial Division very often is after that submission, let's say the Court gives you 60 days to submit that. The Court will then analyze it and review it, as Mr. Kise said, since there's simultaneous submissions, there's no reply to it, but then the Court sets a time for what I'll call slash oral argument/closing, at which point sometimes the Court will send questions to the parties ahead of time to focus on. And also that's an opportunity to respond to the other side's submission.

So I think a closing, per se, like you would have
in a jury trial just wouldn't fit in a trial like this. It's certainly not something that we contemplated, because we figured it would be the normal route of a bench trial, which is findings of fact, conclusions of law, a period of time then, after that, and then make a formal presentation to the court.

So I guess at this point, whatever Mr. Wallace is saying, what I would ask for is when the close of evidence closes, that the Court then give us 60 days or so to submit it to the Court, and we could put a date on the calendar at that point, or now, as to when the Court would want us to come back to argue that. And then obviously, totally up to the Court, whether you want to key us to certain issues you want us to specifically answer at that argument date.

MR. KISE: We'll also, of course, have to have time for another directed verdict motion.

THE COURT: Well, good luck with that.
MR. WALLACE: I'll say, your Honor, I have done closings in bench trials in this courthouse in matters where there is public interest, and people have a desire to hear both sides summarize the case. So I don't know that there's a typical process. I do think it is typical, often not, to have openings or closings in bench trials, but, you know, each judge does as they see fit.

I certainly don't think we need 60 days to prepare
a posttrial briefing. This case has been extensively briefed and argued by all sides. Everyone is familiar with the record. I think -- we think a two-week period after the close of evidence is more than sufficient. I don't know that we have an objection to having an argument on -- after simultaneous briefing in lieu of closing, but I think 60 days, so stretching this out into, I guess, February at some point doesn't make sense from our perspective, but in terms of process, no objection from us to close the evidence, submit our findings of fact and conclusions of law, and if the Court wants argument, we could do argument.

MR. KISE: So the only thing I'll add, and I'll let Mr. Robert add, if your Honor is not interested in 60 days, I would try and maybe find somewhere between two weeks and 60 days, because the two weeks, as you know, happens to fall, most particularly on my birthday, but sort of the end of the year where it's going to be pretty compressed for everyone, particularly having been for those of us that have been a way for quite some time.

So if you are not interested in 60, which I think is a reasonable time period, but I understand the Court may have a different view, certainly something beyond the two-week period, maybe two weeks into January, maybe 30 or 45 days as opposed to -- and then the entire process would be wrapped up by the last week of January if we did that.

We wouldn't be into February, as Mr. Wallace is saying, and I understand what he is saying.

Keep in mind, also, that we do have, as we've had for 14,15 months now, there's no public exigency because there is a monitor in place. Everything is still operating pursuant to the Court's direction and supervision.

THE COURT: Give me one second. Okay. That's a second. I like the idea of the briefs then the argument; there seems to be an agreement on that. Two weeks seems very short and a month seems very long -- two months seems very long. I feel like -- what is the -- Goldilocks and the Three Bears; too hot, too cold, just right. So we'll come up with a just right. Let me sit on this. Obviously we have time. I think we'll all come to an accommodation.

Mr. Wallace.
MR. WALLACE: So two additional issues. These relate to expert testimony. First, tomorrow, in addition to our renewing our motion to preclude Mr. Shubin, we are also going to renew our applications to Mr . Moens. So these are two witnesses who both deal with Mar-a-Lago. We already submitted our briefs on this. We are not going to submit any new briefing, but we'll just raise it when we make the motion tomorrow.

THE COURT: Okay. MR. WALLACE: The other issue we wanted to raise is
the -- we received a number of updates last night to Mr. Unell's opinions in this matter. And we do not think that they have been timely disclosed and that they should not -- should not be allowed to include these opinions. In particular -- can we put up defendant's demonstrative Exhibit 5.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: So this is a chart that I believe is an adjustment that Mr. Unell prepared on the calculations prepared by Mr. McCarty. We got this last night around 9:30 at night -- Mr. Unell is here, maybe he should not --

THE COURT: Oh.
MR. WALLACE: -- be in the courtroom while we are testifying. Sorry, sir.
(Mr. Unell exited the courtroom.)
MR. WALLACE: We'll talk about him behind his back.
MR. SUAREZ: It was 7:47 p.m.
MR. WALLACE: Maybe it felt like 9:30.
MR. SUAREZ: I could see why.
MR. WALLACE: So I was just going to say that the -- well, let me see if I could -- it appears to now calculate interest differential based on a 25 basis points increase. I assume this is due to a guarantee. It appears that this is based on testimony from Mr. Williams about an
adjustment on the Doral loan in 2015.
The testimony simply confirmed what's in the documents that the defendants have had the entire time. The defendants could've prepared this rebuttal to Mr . McCarty as part of Mr. Unell's expert rebuttal report. Those were due in June. So for them to now introduce this the night before he's going to testify, um, is untimely and inappropriate. So we think the demonstrative and the new opinions should be struck.

MR. KISE: So, your Honor, a couple of things, and Mr. Robert may have things to add.

First of all, the supplement --
THE COURT: Mr. Kise, it might be easier if you sat or just --

MR. KISE: I'll hold it up here. Usually no one has a problem. Maybe I'm in a calm mood today. Usually they could hear me without the microphone.

So a couple of items on this. First of all, I believe the government, I'm pretty sure 11:00 on a Friday, before Mr . McCarty took the stand on Monday, provided a supplemental report as well, for Mr. McCarty, which modified his opinions to take into account events subsequent to his initial opinions. So back to the goose/gander proposition. Um, that's one, but I don't think necessarily controls, although it's certainly in our favor.

Two, if you look at Mr. Unell's report, he spends a considerable amount of time talking about the pricing of the loans and Mr. McCarty's analysis, and so on and so forth. So for him to now make observations -- this is a demonstrative exhibit. It's not being introduced as substantive evidence. It's just a demonstrative. For him to now make observations about documents in the record, he's reviewed all these documents before. He's not limited to the words that are exactly in the page. No expert is. It's the subject matter. He's fully covered on the subject matter about the pricing of the -- the loans about Mr. McCarty's analysis. And every expert is entitled to -to adjust based on what is presented at trial and make comments on what is presented at trial, and to offer rebuttal to what is presented at trial, as long as it's within the scope of the initial opinion.

And so if you look at pages -- I mean, there's so many pages here in this report. This would be Mr. Unell's June 30th report, just this one. Starting on pages six and going through 7, 8, 9, 10, I mean, there's all manner of discussion throughout this report about the credit pricing, the loan pricing, Mr. McCarty's then analysis, different from Mr. McCarty's analysis now, because as you heard me say, they changed their theory of the case. So Mr. McCarty's analysis is now morphed to fit that theory.

His original analysis was based on the loans, and now much of it was based on the certifications. And so just like they have done in terms of their numbers, we are doing the same thing. We are just challenging that analysis, and we are challenging his fundamental assumptions.

We don't -- as long as we've covered the subject matter, they were certainly free to ask in his deposition about the subject matter and so forth. So I don't know -again, I'll let Mr. Robert speak to New York specific issues, but all of this is covered, the subject matter and the scope, abundantly. The supplemental report wasn't even necessary. We did it more as an accommodation to them so that they would have a better understanding.

The demonstrative exhibit is just that. It's a demonstrative exhibit, and we are entitled to allow the use of demonstrative exhibits. It's not substantive evidence. And they could fully explore on cross examination.

The same documents that were available to us and Mr. Unell are also available to the government. So I don't see any prejudice, any basis to exclude any testimony in that regard.

MR. ROBERT: Just echoing on what Mr. Kise said, the government, as it was the business day before, sent us a supplement where they, as Mr. Kise said, changed, in theory, of what Mr. McCarty was going to testify about. This is
just our expert with a demonstrative exhibit commenting on the events that have happened in the testimony that came out during the trial.

So, again, we could've very easily just had the witness testify with it without incident. Candidly, we have this rule where we have to exchange demonstratives the night before, which is what we did, just as they did. They did more than that. They changed the substance of it the night before, and our expert is free to be able to comment on it and comment on the testimony that he heard or that he read about from Mr. Williams and others during the course of the trial. So I truly think this a nonissue.

THE COURT: A few words.
MR. WALLACE: So, your Honor, I'll say the change in opinion is nothing of the kind. On the left is the demonstrative that we produced the night before. On the right is the demonstrative that was contained in Mr. McCarty's opening report produced on May 30th of this year. And what he did is he eliminated interest for 2012 and 2013, and then extended for the amount of time that it continues from his report in May until the time of his testimony in November. You'll see this calculations remain the same. He is using the same process. He is using the same calculation to come up with a disgorgable amount.

The defendants --

THE COURT: I was going to say, I'm more interested in what you think they are doing than what they think you are doing.

MR. WALLACE: So let's pull up, if we could, DD5, which is their new opinion.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: If we could just have DD5 alone. So the 25 plus basis points, I assume, is their now opinion that this is the pricing difference between a loan with a guarantee and a loan without a guarantee. And I challenge anyone to find somewhere in their expert reports an opinion -- in Mr. Unell's expert reports from May and June, an opinion that the pricing difference between a loan with a guarantee, and a loan without a guarantee is 25 basis points. It's not in there. And I don't think this is just -- you know, it's the subject matter. And we need to, um, you know, inquire of him if his opinion is -- his reports are more than a hundred pages. So to say, well, he is discussing the topics and so the topics are fine. They had the report from Mr. McCarty. If his opinion was that Mr. McCarty had priced the interest difference improperly, and that the proper basis was to use 25 basis points, he should have disclosed it in the rebuttal report in June. We could have deposed him about it. We could've had our own
experts look at it. We could have spent the last five months thinking about it. Instead we get it the night before.

I would say there's nowhere in the reports that says the price difference between a guaranteed loan and unguaranteed loan is 25 basis points. It's not in there.

THE COURT: Nevertheless, plaintiff's objection is overruled. There's no jury. Let's just hear the evidence. You could question him on his conclusions. So --

MR. WALLACE: I would just note, your Honor, that the issue isn't just cross examination, the issue is notice. And we agreed to a process where we were supposed to have notice of everything the experts thought by the end of June. That was -- extra time was provided at the defendant's request because they were going to need to build in so much in their rebuttal reports, and we would then have a chance to examine, in detail, over seven hours, the expert's opinions. I guess we'll do it live now. It's not a question of do we get to examine the witness. It was a matter that we were supposed to have notice of his opinion many months ago.

THE COURT: I understand that. I'm not justifying or accepting everything defendants position is, but I'm letting it in, so.

MR. WALLACE: Understood, your Honor.

MR. SUAREZ: The defense calls Robert Unell. THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering. (Whereupon, the witness stepped into the witness stand.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Please raise your right hand. (The witness complied.)

THE COURT OFFICER: Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
ROBERT EVAN UNELL, called by and on behalf of the Defendant, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: State your name and either home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Robert Evan Unell. 5470 East
Idlewood Lane, Atlanta, Georgia.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q Mr. Unell, good morning.
A Good morning.
Q Please describe your educational background after high school.

A Yes. I attended the University of Georgia where I majored in real estate, which is part of the Terry College of Business. I graduated in 2000, and then subsequently went to go into the workforce.

Q What did you study at the University of Georgia College of Business?

A In the Terry College of Business, I majored in real estate, which is a program that combines the risk management side as well as finance and practical real life real estate experience and development.

Q Where did you work after you graduated from the University of Georgia?

A I began may career at Wachovia Bank, and was there until the merger with First Union. I then went to Bank of America, and I spent approximately 12 years there, or so, at Bank of America in the Commercial Real Estate Finance Group, as well as the Credit Risk Management Group of Special Assets, which handled real estate, specifically.

Q Let's go back to your time at Wachovia. What was your title at Wachovia?

A I started out as analyst, and then eventually rose to title of AVP, assistant vice president, but I'm not certainly of the exact title.

Q What was your job function a Wachovia?
A At Wachovia, I began in the underwriting process of
commercial real estate loans, and income producing, as well as homebuilder. And then eventually moved into the relationship management side of it, which would encompass more of the structuring of the deals, the client management, and the overall relationship with the entire bank.

Q And how did your responsibilities change when you moved over to Bank of America, if at all?

A The responsibilities when I first moved over to Bank of America did not change because I was hired as a relationship manager and managed a portfolio of builders, developers and commercial real estate developers. And in approximately late 2007 to early 2008, I was asked to move over to the Real Estate Special Assets Group, which was a function of Credit Risk Management, and was done in conjunction with the downturn in the commercial real estate markets. In that role I performed analysis and review and restructuring of deals that were in default or were stressed.

Q And while at Bank of America, what industries did you service?

A I serviced the commercial real estate industry, homebuilders -- homebuilders, cash flowing properties, as well as construction.

Q What type of deals did you work on when you were at Bank of America?

A At Bank of America I worked on deals that were as small
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as two and a half million dollars up to large syndicated facilities upwards of \(\$ 500\) million plus, encompassing all aspects of real estate collateral.

Q And what role, specifically, did you have on those deals?

A On those deals, both as a relationship manager and in the Credit Risk Management side at Special Assets, I was involved in the structuring, underwriting. And then as a Credit Risk Management side at Special Assets, I also did have a signatory for a small approval authority.

Q What training, if any, have you received in the private sector?

A In the private sector. So at Wachovia, there was not necessarily a formal training program, but I shadowed senior lenders. I took classes that were internal as it related to risk as is related to financial underwriting. And the same at Bank of America with continuing education that was required, both from a compliance perspective, as well as continuing to refine tools for underwriting and other trends in commercial real estate.

Q After you left Bank of America, where were you employed?

A Alvarez \& Marsal.
Q What responsibilities did you have at Alvarez \& Marsal?
A At Alvarez \& Marsal, I was part of the REAS Group,
which is Real Estate -- I don't know what it stood for. It was the real estate group at Alvarez \& Marsal.

The practice there consisted of a multitude of things. We liked to say we would take a real estate and we were able to go from essentially cradle to grave, which means from the beginning on the cradle side, um, we assisted multiple national lenders, both on the CMBS and the balance sheet side, with their underwriting, their valuation management, um, and we also have done a lot of advisory work for developers and real estate professionals.

And then also a tremendous amount of restructuring work, which involved, both from a lender perspective and a developer/borrower perspective of analyzing loans that were in distress or already in default.

Q What is the difference between your experience on CMBS loans and balance sheet loans?

A CMBS loans are nonrecourse loans that are originated by, typically, investment banks. They are then bundled up and securitized with other similar loans, or sometimes they are done what's called a "single asset" or "single borrower." That would be for a large asset. And they are a commoditized, very kind of fit in the box. If it doesn't fit in the box, move on. And that's because when they do bundle them together, they like to bundle similar assets so that they have similar cash flows and can also minimize risk through a multitude of diversified
assets.
Q Please elaborate on your experience working on loan restructuring?

A Loan restructuring can take on a multitude of different kind of looks. We worked on primarily assets that were already in default. They may not have been noticed of default, but there was an inherent risk of loss for the bank.

At that time those assets are transferred over to the Special Assets Group where we are trained and able to restructure deals, analyze deals, and assist in trying to come to a satisfactory agreement to restructure the loan on terms satisfactory, not only to the borrower, but also to the lender. And often times that worked out, and often times it did not.

If it did not, role also included the exercising of remedies to include foreclosure, as well as collection on guarantees and enforcement of other loan documents.

Q What industries did you serve at Alvarez \& Marsal?
A Strictly commercial real estate.
Q What was your title at Alvarez \& Marsal?
A I started as a director, and when I left I was a senior director.

Q And how did your responsibilities change from director to senior director, if at all?

A They did not change.
Q When did you leave Alvarez \& Marsal?

A Approximately five years ago or four and a half years ago. Myself and several colleagues left and we established the real estate practice at Ankura.

Q And what is your title at Ankura?
A Managing director.
Q What are your responsibilities as a managing director at Ankura?

A Very similar to what we did at Alvarez \& Marsal. The practice was designed to be the exact same. So both front end, from what we call "cradle to grave", which is origination through advisory, and then ultimately the restructuring disposition of commercial real estate finance assets.

Q Please elaborate on the origination section of your experience?

A So as part of the origination side of it, our team is contracted by several large lenders, insurance companies, balance sheet and CMBS lenders, to perform either the full underwriting and due diligence process, or it could be just a subset including the valuation management side of it, which is done by my peers, or environmental due diligence and engineering due diligence as well.

Q What does the advisory side consist of?
A The advisory side consists of helping operating real estate businesses become more efficient. We are able to help, I would say, in times of where they have large, heavy lifts where
we can come in and assist where they may not need full time personnel for special projects; that could be an acquisition. It could be a joint venture. It could be a very large project where they just need additional assistance.
(Continued on the next page.)

Q And then please describe the final stage, the "grave" part of your work.

A The grave part would be the restructuring aspect of it, which, as a lot of what we are doing now as you can imagine with the current market situation, and we represent both borrowers and lenders in various stages, anything from initial pre-default where borrowers come to us because they know that there could be a looming default that is coming and want to be proactive; and we do the same for lenders.

And then we also are involved in litigation as well as, you know, hopefully it doesn't come down to that, but also the disposition.

And also at the end of it perhaps trying to negotiate settlements as it would relate to various loan documents and guaranties of, you know, the interested parties.

Q What, if any, experience do you have evaluating personal financial statements?

A I have evaluated personal financial statements throughout my career as Wachovia, at Bank of America and at Alvarez \& Marsal and Ankura.

Q What experience, if any, do you have working with troubled assets?

A A tremendous amount of experience. Since about 2008 that has been the majority focus of my career since that time. So for approximately 15 years that has been where I have spent
the majority of my time working.
Q Have you previously testified as an expert witness?
A Yes, sir.
Q How many times have you been retained as an expert witness?

A I have been retained, I believe, five or six times; but testimony only once.

Q What area did you testify in?
A Commercial real estate finance.
Q Have you ever been qualified as an expert witness at trial?

A Yes, sir.
Q And what was your expertise in that trial?
A Commercial real estate finance and loan management and dealing with, I would say, course of dealing between a borrower and a lender.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, we tender the witness as an expert in the field of commercial real estate finance and banking.

THE COURT: Hearing no objection, granted.
MR. WALLACE: I am just curious, in describing his experience the witness mentioned that at Wachovia and later in his career part of his job responsibilities included evaluating personal financial statements. So I am just curious if the expertise is extending to the
evaluation of personal financial statements.
THE COURT: I am assuming not.
MR. SUAREZ: The witness is certainly prepared to testify about the bank's perspective in the evaluation of financial statements.

MR. WALLACE: Sure. I assume that means the process a bank might follow when they would receive a personal financial statement as part of a lending -- that sounds consistent with his testimony. I am not sure if evaluating means the witness will go through a personal financial statement and offer an opinion as to its accuracy, you know, the things we have heard before whether it complies with GAAP and evaluation issues.

MR. SUAREZ: Simply what the bank does with them and how they see them.

MR. WALLACE: That was it.
THE COURT: And Mr. Suarez, just in as few words as you can, what -- let's go over the exact description of his expertise.

MR. SUAREZ: Commercial real estate finance.
THE COURT: Right. That's it?
MR. SUAREZ: And banking.
THE COURT: The banking is a rather broad category.

Do you feel like you are an expert in banking,

Mr. Unell?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. As spending nearly approximately 15 years in the banking field, I have worked across multiple lines of business and collaborated with associates in multiple different fields at various institutions that I have worked at.

THE COURT: Okay. We will call you -- we will deem you a banking expert in the -- within the fields that you just mentioned.

So granted, and let's go ahead.
Q Mr. Unell, what is the scope of your engagement in this case?

A I was retained to provide opinions as it related to the loans -- the four subject loans and their process and how they were underwritten; and, I guess, the submission and the ordinary course of business as to how loans are originated and processed.

Q What do you mean by "the four subject loans"?
A The four subject loans would be Doral; the Old Post Office; 40 Wall Street and Chicago.

Q And just to be clear, Trump Chicago, Doral, and OPO were loans made by Deutsche Bank; and 40 Wall Street was a loan made by Ladder Capital, correct?

A Correct.
Q Generally, what information did you review to reach
your opinions in this case?
A I reviewed a multitude of documents, including Statements of Financial Condition, credit reports, appraisal reports, underwriting reports, annual reviews, as well as internal memos that were prepared by Deutsche Bank and Ladder Capital. Along with other correspondence, as well, as it related to these four subject loans.

Q Have you reviewed any of the proceedings in this case?

A Yes, sir.
Q What have you reviewed from the proceedings in this case?

A I have reviewed the initial complaint as well as some of the subsequent filings as well, including the motions for summary judgment by both sides.

Q Have you reviewed any of the testimony given in this case?

A Yes, sir. I have reviewed the deposition -- excuse me, not the deposition. I did review the depositions of several folks. But at trial I have read the transcript of Mr. Haigh. And then I was able to view the testimony of Mr. Williams and Ms. Vrablic and Ms. Pereless.

Q And are you able to offer opinions today to a reasonable degree of certainty in the field of commercial real estate finance and --

A Can I say one more thing?
Q Yes.
A I also did review the testimony and transcript of Mr. McCarty. I wanted to get that in there as well.

Do you mind repeating the question, please?
Q Sure.
Are you able to give opinions today to a reasonable degree of certainty in the field of commercial real estate finance and banking as described earlier?

A Yes, sir.
Q Are you being compensated for your work here?
A Yes, sir.
Q At what rate is Ankura being compensated for your work here?

A I believe it is around 900 or 950 an hour, I am not certain of the exact number.

Q Approximately how many hours have you spent on this engagement to date?

A A couple hundred probably, over the life of -- over, call it, nine months or so give or take. I am not exactly certain of that.

Q Has anyone asked you to testify in a particular manner in this case?

A Could you please repeat that?
Q Has anyone asked you to testify in any particular
manner in this case?
A No, sir.
Q Is your compensation conditioned on the opinions in this case that you offer?

A No, sir.
Q In your experience in the banking industry, what experience have you had with compiled financial statements provided by guarantors?

A Compiled financial statements are typically the norm of what I have seen. Either that or they are borrower-prepared. So compiled is a step up from that. And it is typical for larger relationships, especially on larger commercial real estate developers that have a multitude of assets of various types.

Q Have you reviewed President Trump's Statements of Financial Condition and compared those to compiled financial statements that you have previously reviewed in your career?

A Yes, I have. And in my opinion and based on my experience --

THE COURT: Wait. The answer is yes, you have reviewed it. You should wait until they ask you the next question.

THE WITNESS: I thought it was a compound
question. Sorry about that.
Go ahead.

Q What opinions, if any, have you reached after reviewing the Statements of Financial Condition?

A The Statements of Financial Conditions that were provided by the defendants are in line or, in my opinion and experience, were of better quality than those than what are typically received in commercial real estate finance transactions.

Q And what is the -- withdrawn.
Are you able to elaborate on that opinion?
A Yes. The level of detail provided allows for a roadmap of -- or a -- to figure out exactly how various assets were valued. It gives the user ample information in order to be able to evaluate a financial statement.

That information is nice to have in front of you. A lot of times you would have to go actually out and ask the questions of a borrower to be able to find that information. And it is very nice to see that a complete, kind of, disclosure, what I would call it, of information was available without having to go back and ask, what I would consider to be, a lot of questions to be able to properly analyze those statements.

Q In your experience, how do lenders use Statements of Financial Condition provided to them by borrowers or guarantors?

A As I just mentioned, the Statement of Financial

Condition in a commercial real estate loan is typically done to provide a roadmap for a lender to do their own analysis of the assets that are out there. It is truly an estimated opinion of the individual that is submitting it. And that it is the guide for which the lender can make their appropriate analysis and ask questions and form their own opinions.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I would like to show the witness Plaintiff's Exhibit 729 previously admitted into evidence.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q And I would like to draw your attention to page three of this exhibit. And specifically to the last paragraph, which states:

Because the significance and pervasiveness of the matters discussed above make it difficult to assess their impact on the Statement of Financial Condition, users of this financial statement should recognize that they might reach different conclusions about the financial condition of Donald J. Trump if they had access to a revised Statement of Financial Condition prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q Based on your experience in the banking industry, how
would a lender view that disclosure?
A A disclosure of that type is typical in financial statements, whether it be -- or excuse me, personal financial statements, whether it be compiled or if it is even on -- a lot of times there will be forms that banks provide. And there is typical language in there even on those that would state that it is, you know, up to the user to determine exactly what they would like to do out of this.

And it is -- I wouldn't say it is a "beware" but it does give notice to the user that it is, as it states, you know, that things could change and they may reach different conclusions. So it is typical.

Q And who is the user of a financial statement, of a personal financial statement in a lending transaction?

A In a commercial real estate transaction the user would be the financial institution, the lender.

Q And what affect, if any, does this disclosure have on a lender's ability to use a borrower or guarantor-provided financial statement?

A It doesn't change the ability to use it. What it does is, as I mentioned earlier, it provides the roadmap and the information to a user to be able to conduct their own commercial analysis.

Q And in your analysis of the four loans at issue, in your opinion, how, if at all, was the process followed by the
lenders different from what is traditionally used in the industry?

A From my review of the documents as well as the various items I have also reviewed, it is consistent with how I have seen it done throughout my career and in my experience.

Q Why is that?
A They, they being Deutsche Bank, as a user, took this information and conducted their own analysis, formed their own opinions, and utilized those opinions that they conducted and analysis themselves, to make a decision. And that is what the intent of this document is.

And they followed that through and did, in my opinion, conduct their own analysis and formed their own opinion.

Q How about Ladder Capital?
A Ladder Capital the same. They were also provided this and were able to form their own opinions and conduct their own analysis on the contents of this statement.

THE COURT: I can't help but jump in. Maybe this is obvious, but how do you know what you just testified to? How do you know what Ladder did and what Deutsche Bank did with this information?

THE WITNESS: I have been able to review the
credit memos. And in those credit memos there is analysis
of -- writeup of their review of the various assets and
how they viewed them. As well as a fairly large writeup about President Trump and his financial wherewithal. So, in reviewing those documents you are able to see that the analysis that went into it, as well as any adjustments that were made by the users of those statements.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I wanted to raise a belated objection to the witness's response about what the intent of the document was. I don't think he is being offered as an expert about the intent of Mazars, the Trump Organization, or any of the people that prepared the document.

THE COURT: Well, I wish it hadn't been so belated.

MR. WALLACE: Apologies, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You are objecting to his testimony about what the intent was of Mazars and the defendants; is that right?

MR. WALLACE: He testified as to what the intent of the document was. That's the piece I object to.

THE COURT: Overruled. I'll allow it as part of what he would understand about these things.

Q Was the analysis conducted by Deutsche Bank on President Trump's Statements of Financial Condition consistent with what you have seen in the lending industry?
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A Yes. It is consistent with what \(I\) have seen in my experience.

Q Same with Ladder Capital?
A Yes, sir.
Q What is the Office of Comptroller of Currency?
A The Office of Comptroller of Currency, also known as the OCC, is a federal agency that is one of the oversight for federally chartered banks.

Q What guidance, if any, does the OCC provide with the respect -- with respect to a lender's analysis of a proposed guarantor's financial statement?

A The OCC provides guidance in -- guidance for lenders, and in all aspects of underwriting to include guarantors. So there is guidance that they provide, and that guidance is expected to be incorporated into the various institutions that they regulate into their policies and procedures. And that is how it gets filtered down.

So the OCC guidance is guidance for how the institution should handle it. And the institutions are then charged with creating their own policies and procedures that would be in accordance with OCC guidelines.

Q And how, if at all, are the policies and procedures implemented at Deutsche Bank consistent with the OCC guidelines?

A In my review and experience I believe that the

Deutsche Bank policies and procedures are consistent with the guidance that is provided by the OCC.

Q And were the Deutsche Bank policies and procedures in your evaluation applied with respect to the three loans that they made at issue in your report?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q How, if at all, were the Deutsche Bank lending procedures applied in connection with the three loans at issue in your report that were made by them?

A In my review and experience I believe they were applied correctly and as prescribed in the OCC documents.

Q And is that opinion -- would your opinion be the same for 40 Wall?

A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I would like to show the witness Defendant's Exhibit 390 premarked for identification.

MR. WALLACE: I am just going to note an objection. I guess I am unclear as to whether the witness is saying that the OCC guidelines applied to Ladder Capital's loans? He mentioned Deutsche Bank, I just wasn't sure if that also encompassed Ladder Capital.

THE WITNESS: Ladder Capital, to my knowledge,
is not under the purview of the OCC.

MR. SUAREZ: My question was a little different. It was whether the process was consistent.

Q Mr. Unell, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, sir.
Q What is this document?
A This is the Safety and Soundness Commercial Real Estate Lending Handbook that is issued by the OCC dated March 2022.

Q How, if at all, did you rely on this document in recommending your expert opinions in this case.

A I reviewed it and took it into consideration when forming my opinions in my reports.

Q If you turn to page 34 of the exhibit, which is the number on the lower left-hand corner, I would like to turn your attention to the section titled: Analysis of Borrowers' and Guarantors' Financial Condition.

A \(\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{Hm}\).
Q How, if at all, does this guidance affect Deutsche Bank's underwriting of the three loans at issue in this case?

A This is the guidance that they would have followed in putting together their own policies and procedures. And in my review, it is my opinion that it was followed correctly.

MR. WALLACE: Again, note an objection to
foundation. If we are talking about guidance from 2022,
how it applies to loans that were made earlier than 2014.

THE COURT: I'll allow a follow-up. MR. SUAREZ: Sure.

Q How was the guidance relevant, if it is dated March 2022, to the loans at issue in this case?

A The guidance would not have changed over the period of time. It would be consistent and would still remain the same. You know, as the time goes on, one thing that does change -- doesn't change is really an underwriting process. You know, still the fundamentals and foundation is there from the beginning of when you do it. It is, you know, from the time I have been involved in my experience, there is nothing that has changed from this to, call it, back in 2000 when I began my career.

Q Do you see at the bottom paragraph where it says: Underwriting includes determining whether the borrower demonstrates the capacity to meet a realistic repayment plan from available cash flow and liquidity?

A Yes, sir.
Q How did you see that concept applied, if at all, in the underwriting of the Deutsche Bank loans?

A Well, I mean, it follows, in my opinion, what they had done is they looked to see whether or not how the sources of repayment would be made and how a loan gets repaid. And a loan typically gets repaid through cash flow or the liquidation of the asset to repay the debt, whether it be a sale of the
asset to retire the debt, or the cash flow generated in order to service the debt on a monthly or annual basis.

Q Is that consistent with the following sentence? It says: Cash flow from the underlying property or other indicators of borrower capacity is evaluated to determine whether and to what extent the borrower can adequately service interest and principal on a prospective loan?

A Yes, sir. And I think that it is key to note that this is the borrower here that is -- so you are not looking at the guarantor here, you are looking at the borrower, which is the owner of the subject collateral, which is able to provide that itself.

Q How does that distinction apply with respect to the three Deutsche Bank loans?

A There was an independent analysis conducted on the assets that were taken as collateral for those three loans, and it was determined by Deutsche Bank that they wanted to make the loans.

And the first thing that you do when you are looking at a deal is you would look at whether or not you want to do the deal based only the actual collateral in a real estate loan. If you don't like the collateral, you are not going to go beyond that. You are not going to go beyond what the loan or the collateral itself can service. So if, for example, Deutsche Bank did not like the Doral loan and the ability to
service itself, it would never have taken the next steps because it would have been a waste of everybody's time. If you don't like the collateral, that's the first step. And then you move on to looking at the other supports of credit such as a guaranty or other items that may support the credit in a time of need.

Q And how, if at all, did this concept apply to the loan made by Ladder Capital on 40 Wall?

A The same exact thing. It would look to the underlying collateral first to make sure they were comfortable with that, and make sure that they were comfortable with that as their collateral, because that's the primary source of repayment. And then from there they would look for other credit support items, anything from a personal guaranty, as it was in this case, or some of these loans, to letters of credit are sometimes posted; and sometimes cash escrow. There are multiple forms of credit support that can be provided out there.

Q Was the credit support provided to the collateral in the 40 Wall loan different than the credit support provided in the Deutsche Bank loans?

A Yes. The guaranties were different in that there was a full guaranty on the Deutsche Bank loans.

Q And how was that different in the 40 Wall loan?
A The 40 Wall had a limited guaranty.

MR. SUAREZ: If you can turn to the next page of the document?

Q Looking to the second paragraph, it says: When evaluating guarantor support, examiners should consider whether the guarantor has both the willingness and ability to provide support for the credit, and whether the guaranty is legally enforceable.

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q How was this concept -- withdrawn.
Was this concept consistent with your analysis of the
Deutsche Bank underwriting process?
A Yes, sir.
Q How so?
A They received -- they being Deutsche Bank, received the Statements of Financial Condition. They conducted their own analysis. They had conversations with the borrower and guarantor, which would indicate the willingness and the ability to do so. You know, the guaranty is beyond just the actual numbers behind it. It provides a level of engagement for the lender. And that level of engagement keeps them where, in a time like today, where we have office buildings all over that are going back on non-recourse loans. It is very easy for somebody without any personal recourse just to hand the keys back. And banks do not want that.

So, despite whether or not the guaranty is a full guaranty or a partial guaranty, the engagement of a borrower is vital to a lender in their underwriting. And it could be, as it says, the willingness is very key there. Because if you have somebody that is willing to provide a guaranty, but they are not going to be willing to utilize it to support the credit, then you may as well not have it because of the prolonged litigation that could take, in my experience it has been years of litigation, in order to be able to act on that guaranty, if they are not willing.

Q In your experience, how are guaranties typically enforced in troubled loan situations?

A Guaranties are typically enforced in a troubled loan situation in order to promote, I guess, cooperation. They are, typically, the last thing that you would file or go after. Obviously every state is different in that there are judicial and non-judicial Foreclosure Laws, and that can play into the strategy. But ultimately what matters most is is that the guaranty is there and that you have that engagement behind them which will allow the lender to know that there is something standing behind the asset. As well as the potential for there to be collection on guaranty if needed.

THE COURT: I think the question was how, not
why.
MR. SUAREZ: Why would have been the next
question.
THE COURT: Well, but no, you don't have to ask him why. He just answered why.

How are these guaranties enforced normally in your experience?

THE WITNESS: Guaranties are typically enforced -- to kind of step back. If there is a default under a loan, it depends whether it is a payment default or if it is a covenant default or a foot fault.

A foot fault would be a minor default. A non-payment default.

MR. WALLACE: He is from the south, Judge. I am probably one of the few people who understood what he meant.

THE COURT: I didn't get it. But I am not from the south.

MR. WALLACE: I got it. I am not from the south.

MR. SUAREZ: I am from Miami, I had no idea what he meant.

THE WITNESS: So, you know, it would trigger a conversation with the borrower and the guarantor. And that's what opens up the conversation for a restructuring. Typically enforcement and exercising of remedies is a last resort that any lender wants to go through.

So when you look at a guaranty, and that is typically a tertiary or beyond, sort of, repayment, but it is mainly there for engagement, you know.

The term "comfort guaranty" is there because the lender has comfort that there is somebody standing behind it. And it necessarily -- doesn't necessarily mean how much they have behind it. I mean, we have had guarantors that sign on the deals that have minimal net worth. But at the end of the day their engagement and their expertise and their ability to complete the project and keep the project generating cash flow, and cash flow is what services the debt, is what is important. And without that individual, the asset is not as valuable to the lender.

So, that level of engagement and comfort is almost, in my opinion, as important as the actual financials behind the guaranty.

THE COURT: I still don't think that answers the question. How do you enforce it if there is non-cooperation?

THE WITNESS: You would enforce it through litigation.

THE COURT: That answers the question. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: And I would say as a last resort. In my experience as a lender, litigation is not something
that you lead with. It would come after multiple attempts at negotiating a non-litigious outcome.

THE COURT: I think all of the lawyers in this room understand that it is a last resort.

Q When the collateral is being repositioned, what value, if any, does the guaranty have?

A Well, in underwriting any deals, as I mentioned earlier, you have to feel comfortable with the actual project. And the project not only includes what it is doing, but who is doing it and their experience and their view of the market. And what the market view of them is.

And also just an overall vision that real estate developers have. Lenders do not have the vision of a real estate developer. So that real estate developer, for lack of a better term, becomes their partner in the deal. It is not a financial partner but it is their completion partner. And without that partner, or a guarantor -- who is most of the time a guarantor, they need them in order to complete a very complex repositioning project from a construction standpoint, from a marketing standpoint and from a PR perspective.

Q How, if at all, did you observe that concept with respect to the Deutsche Bank location?

A It was very clear in my review of the various Deutsche Bank credit memos that the -- it was a high reliance upon the experience of President Trump and the Trump
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Q Then is goes on to say, "A presumption of willingness to provide borrower, open parenthesis, close parenthesis, project -- (project) security, when the guarantor has an economic incentive, is usually appropriate unless there is evidence to the contrary."

How is that factor implemented, if at all, in Deutsche Bank's underwriting of the loans?

A In the subject loans with Deutsche Bank there was a tremendous amount of equity placed into these deals. And the equity would be the economic incentive. So the borrower and guarantor would have the incentive to perform on the loan in order to protect their equity.

In the event that the asset was returned to the bank for litigation, that equity, which is in this case the economic incentive is wiped out, so if you do have the economic incentive, it does keep, once again, the engagement of that guarantor, because they do have the incentive to try to at least to recoup or try to garner back as much of their equity as they could in a distressed project. Or if it is something as a cost overrun that would provide a creative down the line, that it would provide them an economic incentive to perhaps place additional equity into a deal if needed for cost overruns or other items.

Q And with respect to the Deutsche Bank loans, was that concept -- was that concept in play, in your analysis of the
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credit memos?
A Yes, sir.
Q How so?
A If there was a focus and highlight of the amount of equity that was placed in the deals, the loan-to-value of those deals as well, and the structuring of those deals where there were various changes and loan terms based off of loan-to-value would really show how that economic incentive is tied to a guarantor.

Q Then it goes on to say "Examiners should consider weather a guarantor has demonstrated a willingness to fulfill previous obligations, has sufficient economic incentive, and has a significant investment in the project."

A Yes, sir.
Q Was that factor evaluated in Deutsche Bank's underwriting of President Trump's guarantee?

A Yes. In my review, I did see that the willingness to fulfill the economic incentive and the investment was all acknowledged in the various credit memos.

Q Then the following sentence says, "Analysis should consider the liquidity of any assets that collateralize the guarantee."

A Yes, sir.
Q Was that analysis conducted by Deutsche Bank in its
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underwriting of President Trump's guarantee?
MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.

Q How, if at all, was that analysis conducted by Deutsche Bank in its analysis of President Trump's guarantee?

A Deutsche Bank completed, in their credit memos, a full analysis of the guarantor's liquidity, all of their assets, and went above an beyond additional kind of diligence on various items, including the verification of liquidity, which is extremely important, amongst other things.

Q Then it goes on to say "guarantor's unpledged assets should not be considered a substitute for project equity."

What does that mean?
A It means that assets that are on the guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition balance sheet that do not have a liability assigned to them, or tied to them, that that is not a substitute for the collateral. The collateral stands on its own, which would be what the project is; that those non-collateral assets are -- should not -- they go on, but not tied to this particular loan or asset, but they are part of the whole guarantor's financial picture as -- and would be analyzed as such.

Q And how, if at all, was that concept implemented by Deutsche Bank in it's underwriting of President Trump's financial condition?

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

A In my review of the credit memos, Deutsche Bank took a fairly deep dive into various assets. They engaged their valuation services group to look at four very large assets, and conducted their own analysis on those assets.

In my experience, that is, you know, typical with very complex real estate assets, to engage experts within your own organization to do so, but it would not be something where we would require, in my experience, to provide any sort of appraisals for non-collateral assets.

Q The following says "Guarantor liquidity should be verified by the bank."

What does that mean?
A "Liquidity" is one of the most important items of a balance sheet. It's cash, it's readily available. And in order to be able to make sure that that is true and correct, typically bank statements, or brokerage statements, or other backup is provided to the lender to support that liquidity on the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q And how, if at all, was this concept implemented by Deutsche Bank in its review of President Trump's financial condition?

A In my review of the credit memos, on several occasions there were members of the Deutsche Bank team that, in person, per the credit memos, met at the guarantor and borrower's office to verify the liquidity.
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Q And how, if at all, were these concepts that we just discussed implemented by Ladder Capital in it's underwriting of the limited guarantee provided in that transaction?

A In similar fashion.
Q In the real world, Mr. Unell, how are these factors implemented in underwriting guarantees and the Statements of Financial Condition of guarantors?

A In the real kind of concept here is, is that if, as I said earlier, it provides a road map, the Statement of Financial Condition does. And allows for a lender or a user, in this case being Ladder Capital and Deutsche Bank, to make their own determination, whether it is the willingness, the sufficient economic incentive, or the investment in the project.

The Statement of Financial Condition allows for those various items to be analyzed on a deal-by-deal basis as it relates to the investment in the project, as well as the history of the borrower, um, to be able to know about their ability to fulfill previous obligations. And the liquidity, as I mentioned earlier, being one of the most important factors of analyzing a Statement of Financial Condition.

Q What, if anything, did you observe in connection with the underwriting of the Deutsche Bank loans was inconsistent with the way that it normally works in the real world?

A In my experience and my review, I believe that Deutsche Bank acted consistently with how I have seen it done at various
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lending institutions that I have worked at and worked with over the years.

Q Same question about Ladder Capital.
A The same answer. Would be the exact same, acted in a similar fashion.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I move Defendant's Exhibit D390 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Defendant's Exhibit D390 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

MR. SUAREZ: I would like to show the witness what's been previously admitted into evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 62.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
MR. SUAREZ: May I take the opportunity to commend the court officers for the fantastic job in keeping track of all of our exhibits?

THE COURT: You may. And I'm sure we all agree with you.

MR. SUAREZ: With your Honor's permission, I could start moving through the document that's on the screen.

THE COURT: I think that's perfectly reasonable.
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
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THE WITNESS: Thank you sir.
Q Mr. Unell, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, sir.
Q What is this document?
A This is titled, "The New Private Wealth Management US, Lending Product, Commercial Real Estate Finance." Reviewed April 23rd, 2014, in Private Wealth Management, Deutsche Bank.

Q And how, if at all, was this document relevant to the formulation of your opinions in this case?

A I reviewed this document and I took what was in it and applied it to kind of the underwriting and standards that were put forth in the credit memos by Deutsche Bank.

MR. SUAREZ: Let's take a look at the second page of this document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: In the middle of the first paragraph.
Q It says, "Real estate entrepreneurs and investors represent an important component of our client base and US wealth creation capacity."

A Yes, sir.
Q Is that consistent with your experience in banking?
A Yes, sir.
Q And how, if at all, is the contents of this memo reflective of your experience in -- in banking?
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A It's very similar to banks that I've worked at and their private wealth groups. There is a very good relationship with the institutions \(I\) worked at between the commercial real estate bank and the private wealth bank. And the same guidelines that apply from a regulatory standpoint that apply to a commercial real estate group, would also apply to the Private Wealth Group, if real estate is the main form of collateral.

Q Then it goes on to say "The offering of this product on competitive terms is a central aspect of PWM's initiative to expand our business with selected, proven and successful real estate entrepreneurs and investors."

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q Were the loans extended by Deutsche Bank, in your experience, those at issue here in the report, consistent with the objectives set forth in this memo?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q How, if at all, in your experience were the loans extended by Deutsche Bank consistent with the objectives set forth in this report?

A Very consistent. In with -- in my experience of dealing with high net wealth and ultrahigh net wealth developers, that credit is one of very -- it was one of -- is a leading item. And in order to expand the share of wallet, is
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what it would be referred to, and create other income opportunities for the bank, that this would be something that would bring them in. Real estate developers love the credit side of things, but with that comes the open relationship and cross selling which is a vital part of a large banking institution. And that cross selling would allow a bank to create additional revenue opportunities in the form of whether it be trust and estates, deposits, other items. It could be brokerage accounts, et cetera, that also provide what -- would be a total relationship value.

And the to the Al relationship value is something that is looked at at the highest levels of a bank. And while certain items may be, as I said, more competitive, other items are more commodities, and it is those commodities that lenders like to get their hands on -- I should say banks like to get their hands on, but they typically have to lead with credit, because credit is what is most important to those real estate developers. And that ultimately leads to a relationship where depository, trusts and other relationships are established within the bank.

Q What, if any, evidence have you observed in this trial that is consistent with that opinion?

A You know, hearing Ms. Vrablic's testimony, it was clear that she was driving the kind of the relationship, and was leading with credit, but also referenced multiple other opportunities for revenue from the bank to include, also,
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introduction to other high net worth individuals. I believe she testified, or she did testify that there were, you know, that referrals were their main source of new business, and that they felt that this was a very good client to -- in order to be able to grow the share of wallet, and also to bring in new business from other family members and friends.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning. MR. SUAREZ: Let's go to page ten of this document. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Do you see the section that says "Guarantees"?
A Yes, sir.
Q Then it says, "Although it is not standard in the industry, PWM will require personal guarantees, or a guarantee, from an acceptable creditworthy entity."

A Yes, sir.
Q How is the use of guarantees by the Private Wealth Management Group different than the standard in the industry, if at all?

A Private Wealth Management is -- it's a relationship business, and the private individual is typically where that relationship is driven.

And so as Ms. Vrablic also said, that is one of the factors that's in there, that the relationship is with that individual, which is why the guarantee is there.
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It also is, as I said, it provides that comfort level. The comfort guarantee that the individual or the entity will stand behind the project and be there in the event of it's need for additional capital, or that there are cash flow short falls during that period of time.

Q Then is goes on to say "The amount of guarantee can range from 25 to 100 percent depending on the deal structure and embedded risk."

A Yes, sir.
Q What do you understand that to mean?
A That the underwriting team and the deal structuring can range from, as it says 25 to a hundred percent, so either a limited to a full guarantee.

In my experience, it has usually started off as a full guarantee and then burn off, as it did in the case of several of the subject ones.

Q And how is accepting a guarantee for less than the full amount of the facility consistent with the bank's lending obligations, if at all?

A Banks are in the business of evaluating risk, and if a loan-to-value is extremely low, call it below 60 percent, and in some cases even lower than that, that the guarantee, whether it be at 25 percent, would probably, highly unlikely, if ever need to be utilized to fund a short fall. However, as I mentioned, it does provide that comfort and the ability to go back to a
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guarantor, or an entity that is standing behind it, in the event of cost overruns, cash flow short falls.

You can use COVID as an example, where there was massive cash flow short falls of office and retail because tenants were not paying. That is something where you would then, even under at a 25 percent guarantee, you would have the comfort of going back to the guarantor to say, "Okay, we need some level here. How are you going to fill the gap or bridge the gap between the cash flow and the debt service needs, or the capital needs?"

Q How, if at all, was this concept reflected in the underwriting of the loans extended -- the facilities extended by Deutsche Bank in this case?

A Deutsche Bank had mechanisms in several of the loans that would allow for the guarantee to stepdown from a full guarantee to various levels based off of, whether it be debt service coverage or LTV's.

Q In your experience in the industry, how, if at all, is that consistent with the use of guarantees in commercial real estate transactions?

A It's very consistent. Guarantor's, you know, they are -- would like to reduce their liability and contingent liabilities as much as possible. So a reduction from a hundred percent to 75 percent to 50 percent or 25 percent gives them that comfort. But on the flip side, a ten percent or 25 percent
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guarantee for the lender also provides them the comfort that they have engagement and a tertiary or beyond source of -additional source of repayment.

THE COURT: Before we break, which we are about to, I want to -- I'll admonish the witness not to discuss this case, or his testimony, or anything related to it during the break. We usually take ten minute breaks, but they take 15 minutes.

I want to make sure Counsel, all Counsel are aware, and they probably already are, that this morning the Appellate Division, First Department issued a decision vacating the stay on the two gag orders that I imposed earlier on this case. So I intend to enforce the gag orders rigorously and vigorously, and I want to make sure that Counsel inform their clients of the fact that the stay was vacated.

MR. KISE: We are aware, your Honor. It's a tragic day for the rule of law, but we are aware.

MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: It is what it is to a state of tautology. See you all at 11:35.
(Whereupon, a 15-minute break was agreed upon and taken by all parties.)

THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.
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THE COURT: Just before we resume, I've considered the request for the scheduling of the posttrial briefs and the oral argument, and I'll let the person sitting alongside of me announce what I've decided.

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. Given both parties seem to be comfortable with doing closing arguments after the briefs being due, so we are going to adopt that strategy.

The briefs are going to be due by noon on January 5th, and then we'll hold oral argument, or closing arguments, whatever you want to call it, January 9th at 10:00 a.m. We will no longer have access to this courtroom, so that will be in our normal courtroom, 418, and the space will just be limited.

THE COURT: And I will issue a decision a few weeks after the ninth. I would hope this month, but no guarantees.

Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, is there --
THE COURT: January. Sorry.
MR. KISE: I have conflicts on other cases. Is there any way we could have until the 8 th , and then have the hearing the following week, the 15th, sometime that following week? I just have -- everything, as you might imagine, in my professional life has been on hold for months, and I have those weeks -- I'll do whatever the Court
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decides, but is there any way to get until the 8 th to file something, and then have the hearing sometime the week of the 15th? Really, the 16 th, because I believe Monday, the 15th, is a holiday.

THE COURT: I certainly appreciate we've all put things on hold. The schedule I tentatively announced is -that was intended, very precisely, to shaving days here and there. Give me a moment.

MR. KISE: Even the end of that week would help, like the 11 th or 12 th would be better than the ninth.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, and everyone, is there any way to have -- would it help to have oral argument the first week in January instead of the second week?

MR. KISE: No, that would be even worse. I guess all I'm really asking is instead of the 9 th , like the 11 th or 12 th .

MS. HABBA: I'm out of state, your Honor.
THE COURT: Let's do this. Let me think about
this. We have plenty of time.
MR. KISE: That's fine.
THE COURT: Maybe we'll consult again.
MR. KISE: I'm talking about a two-day shift. The submission date is not really the problem; that's not really the issue, but the argument date, if there is any way I might be able to shift around things later in that week.
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THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Kise, did you say you could do oral argument the 11 th?

MR. KISE: Yeah, January 11th.
THE COURT: Yeah, we'll do that.
MR. KISE: All I'm saying is two days. I'm proposing the following week, that would be even easier, but if the 11 th is an option, or the 12 th .

MS. GREENFIELD: Okay. As long as we could still have the brief due January 5th at noon, we could move oral argument to the 11th. I just have to let parties know on another case.

MR. KISE: Can I have until five on the fifth?
MS. GREENFIELD: No.
MR. KISE: All right. Thanks.
THE COURT: Give me one more moment.
MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Attorney General, are you okay with the 5th and the 11th?

MR. WALLACE: Moving from the 9th to the 11th seems fine, I think, from our perspective.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's resume the direct examination.

Q Mr. Unell, drawing your attention to the section that says, "Covenants on the guarantor may include: Minimum net worth after adjusted contingent liabilities, and minimum
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unencumbered liquidity and/or cash flow."
How, if at all, are those covenants consistent with what you've seen applied in the real world?

A They are consistent with my experience.
Q And how, if at all, are those covenants utilized by lenders in real estate lending transactions?

A Covenants are typically utilized by lenders to monitor performance and would indicate a -- one way or the other, a positive or negative trend as they are required to be tested. And it gives a lender an idea of how their loan, or I should say not necessarily the loan, um, in this case, a guarantor covenant would be how the guarantor may have changed year over year in order to be able to assess how strong that guarantee is, and provide their own analysis.

Q And how, if at all, is that consistent with any observations that you may have made in Deutsche Bank's underwriting of the loans at issue in this case?

A It is consistent with what \(I\) have reviewed in this case.

Q How is that?
A Um, that the covenants on the subject Deutsche Bank loans did include a minimum net worth, as well as a liquidity covenant.

Q And how are the covenants, in your review of the credit analysis, applied in the subject loan transactions?
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A They were determined, obviously, by the bank in their sole discretion based off of where they believed it would set a threshold to allow them to monitor the performance and the capability of the guarantee, and conduct an analysis thereof.

Q Then is goes on to say "Nonrecourse loans would be considered on a very limited exception" -- excuse me, "very limited exception basis only for top tier clients on very low risk transactions."

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q How, if at all, is that consistent with the burn off of the guarantees in the two Deutsche Bank transactions in this case?

A It would be consistent in that it was reviewed and approved, and it was in conjunction with the risk profile of the transaction improving for the lender.

Q What, if anything, would Deutsche Bank's agreement to extinguish the guarantee in two of the transactions at issue in this case mean in the real world?

A Ideally, it would mean that the guarantor is off the hook, if you wanted to call it that. It would also mean that Deutsche Bank would have lost a tertiary, or beyond, source of repayment, or the ability to have the engagement as the -- what I would go back and say, of the guarantor, in the event that they needed it.
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Q And how, at all, is that consistent with the OCC guidelines on underwriting guarantees?

A It is consistent, and consistent that as the risk profile changes and becomes improved for the lender, that they would then drop certain restrictions and covenants and guarantees, because they're, as I said earlier, in the business of assessing risk. And if the collateral itself it a low loan-to-value and a positive cash flow is able to carry it on itself, then that would consider -- it would be considered a lower risk profile, and thus eliminate the need for additional support.

MR. WALLACE: Not an objection. Can the witness clarify when he says that "they're in the business of assessing risk", who he is referring to?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Deutsche Bank. Deutsche Bank, and banks in general.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, if I may show the witness what's been previously admitted into evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 515.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you very much.
Q Mr. Unell, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, sir.


Q What does this language mean in the real world, Mr. Unell?

A It means it is in the opinion of the person that submitted it, in this case it is the guarantor and the borrower, that the information that is being presented is correct in all material respects.

Q And I would like to focus your word -- your attention on the phrase "in all material respects -- "presents fairly in all material respects." How do banks interpret, or lenders more specifically, interpret the concept of presenting fairly in all material respects?

A Materiality is in the eye of the user, and that the lender is able to determine what they believe in their own risk rating, their own risk profile and underwriting and analysis, what is material to them. And that the Statements of Financial Condition, as well as this document is -- that it is -- they are the ones to decide what is material and not material, and at what levels those are.

Q And in your experience, how is a compliance certificate of this nature used by a lender in the real estate lending industry?

A The compliance certificate is kind of a -- I wouldn't say it is a check-the-box; it is a report card or a health check, and is done based on what the terms of the loan are, quarterly, annually. It could be -- obviously it is prescribed
in the loan documents. And what this allows a lender to do is to see if there have been any significant changes in the financial profile of both the borrower and the guarantor throughout the period of time. And allow for them to, as I said earlier, to see if there has been any positive or negative trends over the time period and able to allow them to compare them to each other.

Q And why do banks require this information?
A Banks require this information so that they can perhaps see a leading indicator if there is a decline. Even though it may not be a breach or a default of a covenant, allows to see if there are potential weaknesses and allow, potentially, for conversations.

On the flip side, it also can show that there has been improvement in the condition of a guarantor, whether it be liquidity, whether it be a reduction of liabilities, et cetera. It gives, as I said, an updated snapshot to make sure that they were in compliance.

Q And in your review of Deutsche Bank's underwriting of the loans at issue in this case, how, if at all, were the compliance certificates utilized by the bank?

A They were utilized as I would expect, and throughout my experience, in the manner that \(I\) just described, to monitor the condition of the borrower and the guarantor throughout the life of the loan.

Q What factors would you expect a bank to consider in determining whether the compliance certificate presents fairly in all material respects, the financial condition of the guarantor?

A Would you mind repeating that?
Q What factors?
A The factors? Whether the presentation is the same as it has been over the years for consistency, the sources, the information provided in their Statements of Financial Condition, and most importantly whether or not it provides ample information for the user to conduct their own analysis.

Q Are you aware in this case of a discrepancy in the reporting of the size of President Trump's triplex?

A Yes, sir.
Q And would a change in the reporting of the size of the guarantor's triplex, for example, be something that would factor into the bank's evaluation as to whether the guarantor's financial condition is presented fairly in all material respects?

A In my opinion it would not be material based on the other information and the amount of assets and liabilities that are included on the Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Why is that?
A Because when you look at it, it is a personal residence. It is -- was a minor portion of the overall
financial profile of the guarantor. And also, you know, in my experience it would be very rare for a lender to try to exercise any sort of remedy upon a personal residence.

Q Are you familiar with the net worth covenants contained in the loan documents on the four transactions that you have evaluated in this case?

A Yes, sir.
Q Can you briefly describe your understanding of the net worth covenant in the Deutsche Bank loans?

A Yes. The net worth covenant was \(\$ 2.5\) billion and was to be determined based off of the guarantor's submission as is usual practice.

Q What does the term adjusted net worth mean in the lending context?

A Adjusted net worth is a calculation that is undertaken once a lender has an analysis. And there are various adjustments that are made throughout in order to provide a conservative view of how the bank looks at a Statement of Financial Condition.

Q And in underwriting a guaranty, what is the purpose of the bank utilizing an adjusted net worth, if any?

A The adjusted net worth provides, as I said, a conservative view of what the assets are on there.

More importantly, it also does not adjust liability, so the liabilities remain. However, assets are analyzed
individually and are determined by the lender in their sole discretion as to where they would like that from a conservative basis. And it is done in order to, as I said, as banks are in the business of analyzing risk, and from a conservative approach, that is what is done on these sort of statements.

Q And how is the concept of an adjusted net worth used with respect to the determination of a minimum net worth covenant by a lender, if at all?

A They are unrelated.
Q Why is that?
A Because the covenant is based on the net worth of the guarantor, and is not the adjusted net worth. If the adjusted net worth were to be the determinant, because it is done by a lender, in their own discretion and under their own analysis, it would be unfair to a borrower because a lender could manufacture a default. If it is in their sole discretion and for whatever reason -- and there have been, I have worked on several deals where there have been claims by borrowers that defaults were manufactured -- it would result in very dirty litigation and lengthy litigation as it results to what is the value.

Q What options are available to a lender if there is a violation of a net worth covenant?

A There are several options. You know, first, it could be whether or not you want to issue a default; whether or not
how you approach the client. Typically in my experience, no matter what the relationship is with the borrower, whether it be adverse or whether it be in the form of a very good bank customer Private Wealth, that you would have a conversation with them to understand exactly what is going on. And that information would inform how the potential breach or covenant default would impact the loan and the ability for the loan to be repaid. And the ability for the loan to be debt serviced.

From there, conversations would then also then say, okay, well what caused that default. Is there a -- is this a long-term situation that will result in the net worth being lower than it had been prescribed previously? Or, is it a short-term blip because of a cash need for another project or waiting for sales, proceeds, et cetera.

But it opens up the conversation. And typically if it does not impact the repayment performance of a loan, it would be forbear-ed against or would perhaps even be readjusted downward if there was an indication that the net worth was going to be lower on an ongoing basis.

Q And how, if at all, was the use of minimum net worth covenants by Deutsche Bank consistent with your experience in the real world?

A In my experience, in my review of the Deutsche Bank credit memos, it is consistent with that.

Q Why is that?

A Because they -- they relied upon the -- their knowledge and their information to set the net worth covenant. And then consistent with my experience, the net worth covenant was determined by the guarantor submitted statements.

Q I just want to clarify one point. You said "inconsistent with" or "in consistency with"?

A In consistency with.
THE COURT: It is an important distinction. THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q Why is that?
A Are you asking why they are consistent?
Q Yes.
A Because that's -- that is how every single loan that I have worked on that has a net worth covenant is done. As I mentioned earlier, if you were to rely on an adjusted net worth, which is out of the control of the borrower or guarantor, that would create a multitude of problems down the line. But if it is in somebody's sole and absolute discretion, typically a borrower and guarantor are not given a peek behind the curtain to see what adjustments and analysis are done by a lender. Those are proprietary documents that, in this case, were obviously produced. But that is a behind the scenes approach in what a lender does. You know, every lender kind of has their own special sauce, if you will, as to what metrics they weigh differently. And that typically comes out in their
risk rating process. And risk rating processes are proprietary and, you know, kind of throw everything into a blender and algorithms, et cetera, that are created to define that and that's how that is done.

Q Are your observations in this case with respect to Ladder Capital's conduct any different from those of Deutsche Bank's conduct?

A No, sir.
MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.
Q How, if at all, are your observations concerning Ladder Capital's conduct different from those of Deutsche Bank?

MR. WALLACE: I am going to object to that as well. Saying how and then asking a leading question, how if at all, it is still a leading question that suggests the answer to the witness.

I haven't been objecting to it overall, but it is still a leading question.

MR. SUAREZ: It doesn't suggest the answer --
MR. WALLACE: It does.
MR. SUAREZ: -- which is the definition of what
a leading question is.
THE COURT: Give me a second.
MR. SUAREZ: I am not saying: Isn't it true that the Ladder Capital underwriting isn't any different. That would be a leading question because it would suggest
the answer. Even if I say, how is it different, that's not a leading question.

THE COURT: How was it different is not a leading question. Overruled, on the basis of common sense.

A In my review of the documents it is consistent with the other loans.

Q In the event of a violation of a minimum net worth covenant, what is the focus of the lender's analysis?

A What caused that it potential decline in net worth.
Q And why would that be the focus of that analysis?
A Because you want to, as I said earlier, figure out exactly what is causing it. Is it a one-time deal? Is it additional liabilities that came on which would have, obviously, decreased it? Is it a lack of liquidity or decline in liquidity? Is it a decline in assets? It could be a multitude of things. But that is -- it is important to see what exactly is causing it to then determine, as I said earlier, if it would impact repayment of the loan.

Q And in the real world what is bank practice when there has been a breach of a covenant that is not related to payment?

A That's typically known as what we call, little d, default. And would not be something that would really raise the eyebrows of the lending institution. It would certainly
open up a conversation to allow the lender to understand what has changes and if the risk profile has changed at all. But it would not necessarily and very limitedly, in my experience, would result in any sort of default being issued and enforcement of remedies and rights.

Q And how, if at all, did you observe the concepts that you described in Deutsche Bank's loan underwriting?

A It was consistent with my experience.
Q How so?
A In that if there was a breach, that they would reach out, they would discuss with the client and kind of go through, et cetera, what that would be. And then conduct their own analysis, as I said, through the annual review, et cetera, to assess their risk and the repayment.

Q Was your analysis any different for Ladder Capital's?
A No. No, sir.
Q What are the factors that a bank considers when pricing a loan?

A There are multitude of factors that are considered when pricing a loan. Obviously the loan itself, the collateral behind it is one. And how well that collateral protects the bank from a loan-to-value standpoint. What the debt service coverage ratio would be. Liquidity of not only the borrower and the guarantor; direct liabilities so that you understand what the overall cash flow of an entity and an individual is.

And then also, even going beyond that, which is also important, when you are looking at pricing is, the overall relationship that an individual or a relationship has at an institution. As discussed earlier, the cross selling is a huge push at all banks in my experience at both of the national banks that I worked at. And trying to drive non-revenue -- excuse me, non-interest income, fee income, is extremely important. And that fee income whether it be through Trust and Estates, whether it be through Derivatives and Swaps, et cetera, is something that weighs heavily as well. So there are a multitude of factors. But relationship is extremely important in determining that, in what the overall relationship value is. As well as risk adjusted return on capital. There are multiple metrics that go beyond just an individual debt product to determine what that pricing would be.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I would like to show the witness what has previously been marked as demonstrative DD-4.1.

Q Mr. Unell, do you recognize this chart?
A Yes, sir.
Q What is this chart?
A This chart is a chart of average mortgage terms that was sourced from Realty Rates which investor survey. Which shows the average spread for loans over the period of Q-1, 2011 to Q-4, 2012, based on various different asset classes:

Office; Golf Course and Country Clubs; and Lodging Facilities.
Q And where does the information from this chart -withdrawn.

Did you produce this chart?
A Yes, sir.
Q Where did you obtain the information that's contained on this chart?

A Our firm subscribes to Realty Rates and uses them frequently in our assignments.

Q What are Realty Rates?
A Realty Rates is a service that provides various surveys. This one is their investor survey. They have a developer survey and I believe they have one more.

Typically they will survey two to 300 various real estate professionals in development and banking and valuation and comply those results into a quarterly publication that gives an indicative view of rates, loan-to-values and other loan terms that are available in the marketplace.

Q And how does this chart relate to the pricing of the Deutsche Bank loans at issue in this case?

A For this particular chart the loans that were originated during this period of time from Q-1, 2011 through Q-4, 2012, would show what the spread would be on the various asset classes: Office; Golf Course and Country Club; and then finally Lodging Facilities full service. Which Doral would
have been falling under the Lodging Service full service facilities as well as Golf Course and Country Clubs; and the others would fall under Office as well.

MR. SUAREZ: And if we could please pull up Mr. McCarty's chart? PX-3302.

Q Mr. Unell, are you familiar with this chart?
A Yes, sir.
Q And how have you become familiar with this chart?
A I received it as part of Mr. McCarty's report, and then ultimately this version was updated and I am not sure when it was received. But I looked at it.

Q And what -- what work, if any, have you done to review this chart?

A I have gone through and reviewed to make sure that the -- it was accurate from a mathematical standpoint. As well as I have gone through and reviewed the -- the hypothetical CRE interest percentages that are contained in this document.

Q And what, if any, opinion do you have concerning the use of the hypothetical CRE interest rates?

A I do not agree with the rates that are the CRE interest percentage rates here.

Q Why is that?
A They are vastly different than the data that \(I\) have seen provided by Realty Rates, other data sources has shown commercial mortgage, as well as the actual loans and the loan
pricing grid that was -- that was prescribed and part of the Deutsche Bank Private Wealth Management practice.

Q What was the basis for Mr. McCarty's use of those CRE interest rates in his analysis?

A You know, I believe that on one of them he utilized a loan that was originated in 2005; made the assumption that the 2005 interest rate would still be applicable today. Market conditions, time has changed from 2005 during the origination, and utilizing a 2005 rate, in my opinion, is not a good measure for what should be. That being one.

And there was also no other support that I was able to find in Mr. McCarty's report to support that number. The others were -- one was based off of a non-binding term sheet that was non-negotiated and took it as it would be done.

And I am not sure of where the others came from. I know there was some discussion about others. But in general, I did not see any support for those numbers.

And also, you know, saying that what the CRE interest percentage is, to be able to state what a bank that does their own pricing CRE would be, would have to have knowledge of how their pricing would work internally to know, okay, this is 10 percent, it would not be negotiated. And the term sheet for the 10 percent was provided to show -- I am not exactly sure what it was provided. But it would not be atypical for a lender to issue a term sheet at rates or terms that were
obtuse, because they were trying to push business elsewhere or trying to contain it in the Private Wealth Group.

Q How, if at all, is that consistent with the evidence that you have heard in this case?

A It is a -- it is consistent with the evidence that I heard from the Deutsche Bank personnel that testified.

Q How so?
A They testified that the rate is determined by them. That there were a multitude of factors that went into the rate. One factor that was never considered was the complete elimination of the guaranty. And my understanding of what Mr. McCarty did is, is Mr. McCarty is stating that these rates are as if there is no guaranty. And there is a guaranty in place here. And so it would be very difficult to state that you go from a guaranty to no guaranty, and then also apply these to them. It also does not indicate whether or not that there were other options for financing at that time, that the defendants could have gone out and sought on their own. So it is a really, in my opinion, a very narrow-minded approach to come up with an interest percentage that I did not find any support for. As a matter of fact, find actually contrary support from Realty Rates and the testimony of Deutsche Bank.

MR. SUAREZ: If you pull up DD-4.1 next to Mr. McCarty's analysis.

Q Can you explain how the Realty Rates spreads are
inconsistent with the spreads suggested by Mr. McCarty, if at all?

A Yes. So, for instance on Doral, we can use that, where you came with the 10 percent.

First, I also think it is important to note that these loans were contractually done on an index versus spread. And the other problem with Mr. McCarty's analysis is Mr. McCarty does not take into account fluctuations in what the base rate is. And the base rate in this case is LIBOR. He is assuming a fixed rate across the board. These are not fixed-rate loans. These loans fluctuate based on LIBOR and the spread. The spread remains the same.

So, without knowing -- without having in front of me exactly what LIBOR is, the way that these loans would be priced would fall in-between, on Doral, somewhere between the Golf Course and Country Club and the Lodging Facility. So it would be LIBOR, call it, plus 400 in that range.

This also does not take into account the other credit support. It does not take into account other items that are in there. These are just basic as if the loan-to-value was 70 percent, plain vanilla. But it is also the best indication in the market at that time as to what actual rates were and what deals were getting done at. This is real life. This isn't a non-binding term sheet that threw out a number that was never discussed or negotiated.

These are actual deals that are surveyed by people in the market across the country that are living and breathing these deals daily. And it would indicate that the rate would be well below the 10 percent that Mr. McCarty has established in his exhibit.

Q In your answer just now, what did you mean by "credit support"?

A Credit support are other items outside of the collateral. So a guaranty could be considered credit support. Credit support could be the other assets of the borrower. It could be a multitude of items. It could be letters of credit. But, you know, it is, like I said, it is an average.

Unfortunately, because loan terms are by and large confidential, and we saw that yesterday in Ms. Vrablic's with the OPO thing, that banks are very guarded on giving out their terms. This, because it is a survey of two to 300 people on a quarterly basis, is the best indication for value. And is used across the commercial real estate industry to benchmark rates, especially when we are having to go back in time to determine whether or not a rate was -- was applicable; whether it was within a range of what would be considered acceptable. It is nearly impossible to place an exact interest rate on this looking back in time, because none of us have worked for Deutsche Bank. And the best indication as to what this rate would be, would be Deutsche Bank, because Deutsche Bank is the
evaluator of risk. They are the evaluator of materiality. And they are the ultimate user and the one where this matters. And it is their sole determination, based on their analysis, as to how they want to price the loan.

This also would not factor in any sort of relationship value and other products that the family of President Trump may have had with Deutsche Bank. And it is important to note that it is not just -- when a bank looks at it, they look at it as a relationship like a family. And it would consist not only of President Trump but his children as well. So any other loans -- that would fall off and we would all get credit for that relationship and the other items in their total relationship value.

Q And how is the analysis that you just described for the Doral loan -- how does that analysis apply to the Chicago loan?

A The same could be said. So Chicago, when you look at Chicago it would fall within on the Office side as well. And that would be on the lower spread. Spreads are obviously based on risk profile of various assets at various times.

For instance, during COVID spreads on Hospitality went through the roof because everybody thought that Hospitality was dying. Spreads on Retail went up dramatically. On the flip side, spreads on Industrial went down because of the large amount of -- there are a multitude of factors outside
of just what is on the paper and on this loan, that also play into the determination of what a rate is. There are macro level things. There are also individual items that the bank may have.

And also, banks may want to have a diversification of asset classes. Where if they do not want to have 100 percent of their commercial real estate in Office; or they want an even balance between Hospitality, between Retail, between Office, between Multi-family. And so without having the knowledge of what the actual business plan was at Deutsche Bank, but hearing their testimony, feel that they were within their right, as all banks are, to set the pricing based on the multitude of factors. Factors that are unknown to any of us, except for those at Deutsche Bank because it was their decision.

Q And how does your analysis of these loans apply to the OPO transaction?

A Same thing. OPO being a lodging facility. The closest examples that you would be able to find in the marketplace would be the green line on there, which would indicate a spread of, you know, approximately 400 basis points there. Which would be, you know, while the loan at OPO was lower, that also doesn't factor in the 400 basis points here. The various other items that would consist of total relationship value, which would assist in garnering competitive pricing from Deutsche Bank as outlined in their own memo on the
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MR. SUAREZ: If we could replace DD4.1 with DD4.2. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Do you recognize this chart, Mr. Unell?
A Yes, sir.
Q Did you prepare this chart?
A Yes, sir.
Q What does this chart represent?
A This is a similar chart. However, the data came from the Commercial Mortgage Commitments database. And the Commercial Mortgage Commitments database is compiled by the Association of Life Insurers, and it is done so -- life insurers place a lot of real estate debt. This is how they are able to benchmark each other to see how each individual life co is pricing various loans, and provides industry data not only for those individuals, but for banks to remain competitive with life cos, as life cos are typically a very good competitor to banks on the real estate lending side.

Q What, if anything, does this analysis reflect with respect to Mr . McCarty's selection of an eight percent rate on the OPO transaction?

A The data on this chart from Commercial Mortgage Commitments would refute that and be, um, not support that eight percent. It would be the spread plus approximately anywhere from 250 to -- at the lowest, um, call it from 300 basis points
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to 200 basis points, plus the applicable index.
Q And how about Doral?
A Doral would be the same. This would also indicate that figures utilized by Mr. McCarty are not supported by this data.

Q And how about Chicago?
A The same. It would show that the figures utilized by Mr. McCarty are not indicative to actual terms that were available in the market at the time.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up DD4.3.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q Mr. Unell, do you recognize this chart?
A Yes, sir. This is a chart of -- of -- from realty rates, once again, but this focuses on the average mortgage spread from Q1 2013 to Q4 2015, and focuses solely on full-service lodging facilities.

Q How, if at all, does this -- does the analysis contained within this chart inform your evaluation of the rates selected by Mr. McCarty?

A It would -- this does not support the rate that was utilized by Mr. McCarty.

Q And with respect to the Chicago transaction, why is that?

A Because the Chicago transaction, Mr. McCarty used a fixed 7.5 percent rate. Once again, this was not a fixed rate
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loan. It did go -- fluctuate based on the index. And not only that, the index plus the spread would have been below the 7.5 percent utilized as a fixed rate by Mr. McCarty.

Q And how does this analysis apply to the Doral loan?
A It would be the same. Once again, it would be much lower than the ten percent that was utilized by Mr. McCarty during this exercise.

Q And with respect to the Chicago loan?
A The Chicago loan, it would be the same, you know, fallen, and once again, below 7.5 percent.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up DD4.4.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Do you recognize this chart?
A Yes, sir.
Q Did you prepare this chart?
A Yes, sir.
Q What does this chart represent?
A This chart represents the loan-to-value ratio for lodging facilities from Q1 2013 to Q4 2015, and indicates that it remains stable at 70 percent loan-to-value was the average loan-to-value for full-service lodging facilities by lenders during this time period.

Q How does this chart relate to the pricing of defendant's loans?

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

A As the loan-to-value goes lower, the pricing would improve for the side of the borrower, because lower loan-to-value equates to better security for the lender, which equates to less risk, and if there's less risk, then the risk-based pricing would indicate that it would be lower.

Q And how is your analysis of market rates consistent, if at all, with the manner in which Deutsche Bank priced the loans at issue in your analysis?

A It was consistent, but it's also -- these are guidance. And once again, the pricing is in the sole discretion of the lender. And there are multiple factors that go into that. And as I mentioned, the only folks that are able to determine that are Deutsche Bank. And they did so, and it went through a lot of scrutiny, based off of the e-mails and the discussion \(I\) had, or discussions that I have seen, as it relates to pricing on these various assets.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up what's been previously admitted into evidence as Defendant's Exhibit 205.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q Mr. Unell, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, sir.
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Q What is this document?
A This document is the pricing grid that was utilized by the Private Wealth Management at Deutsche Bank.

Q And how was this grid utilized in determining the pricing on the three Deutsche Bank loans at issue in your analysis?

A Based on my review of documents and testimony, and the depositions I've read, that this was a chart that was used as guidance for all clients of the Private Wealth Management bank, and the pricing that was provided to the defendants was consistent with the 2.0 to 2.5 percent of commercial real estate as it states in the Americas line for commercial real estate.

Q And in the case of Deutsche Bank, how would someone qualify for this pricing grid?

A Based on documents and testimony, um, my understanding is that qualification of the Private Wealth Management would be a \(\$ 50\) million net worth.

Q And was President Trump qualified as a member of the Private Wealth Management Group at Deutsche Bank?

A Yes, sir.
Q What does the term "high net worth individual" mean in the banking industry?

A The "high net worth individual" typically is somebody that has a threshold of assets and/or liquidity, and at times there is also the ability for lenders to make exceptions for the
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Private Wealth Management Group if somebody has a closely held business, maybe expecting a liquidity event. Each institution has what their own definition of what high net worth and ultra high net worth are. And 50 million and a hundred million are typically what you see. At one point, 30 million, but as things have changed over the years and wealth has increased, that number has typically gone up to 50 million.

Q Why do banks seek out high net worth individuals as clients?

A High net worth individuals, as clients, provide a multitude of revenue sources for a lender, whether it be credit, whether it be trusts to cross sell opportunities out there. The derivatives for fixing rates, you know, deposits, investments, investment banking, all of that is, as I mentioned earlier, you know, noninterest income. And noninterest income equates to fee income, and banks love fee income.

A lot of times they will lead with credit and then try to grow the relationship so that they can get some of those more advantageous products with a client, such as the ongoing fee.

Debt is also typically a commoditized item in that it is not consistent where a borrower is going to come to you every year where the same request.

So in order to kind of establish that relationship, grow that relationship, you would want to have other tentacles, as I would call it, into there, which provide a multitude of
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revenue sources, which are a big factor into the pricing of a loan.

MR. SUAREZ: I already know, when your Honor looks at me like that, you have a question.

THE COURT: Can I get a read back of the last question.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, do you know who Willie Sutton was?

MR. SUAREZ: I don't know.
THE COURT: He was a bank robber, and he was asked why he robbed the banks. And his answer was, "Because that's where the money is."

Why do they seek out high net worth individuals? That's because they have money. But we received a much more detailed answer, which is fine.

MR. WALLACE: I think that's been covered by this witness and many others as to why banks like rich people.

MR. KISE: I thought it was the Attorney General's position that President Trump doesn't have any money and that's why he had to go to the banks.

MR. WALLACE: If Mr. Kise carefully read our
papers, it didn't say he had no money.
MR. KISE: He only had \$3 billion, not five. I got
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it.

THE COURT: Anyway sorry for the interruption. Let's continue.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to defendant's demonstrative DD4.7.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Do you recognize this demonstrative?
A Yes, sir.
Q What is demonstrative?
A This is a chart that I prepared utilizing data from Forbes that shows the number of billionaires, worldwide and in the United States, by year.

Q And in the period of 2010 to 2012, approximately how many billionaires were there in the world?

A Just over 400.
Q In the world?
A In the world? Between a 1,000 and 1,200.
Q And how many were there in the United States?
A Between 400 and 424.
Q According to Deutsche Bank's own analysis, would
President Trump qualify as one of those 400 or so billionaires in the United States?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
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Q What, if anything, did you see in Deutsche Bank's analysis as to whether President Trump would qualify as 400 or so billionaires in the United States during that time period?

A Based on my review of the analysis conducted by Deutsche Bank, they firmly believed that he was one of the 400 individuals in the United States that qualified as a billionaire.

Q What capacity does a bank like Deutsche Bank have, if any, to underwrite the financial conditions of a billionaire?

A Deutsche Bank, as a sophisticated international lender, would have ample ability to underwrite and make their own analysis and decisions onto the financial wherewithal of an individual.

Q And what, if anything, is different as to how you underwrite the financial condition of one of these very few people in this country that are worth more than one billion dollars?

A It presents a unique opportunity, and it takes a lot of review work and analysis, which was conducted by the Deutsche Bank team, as evidenced by their review of liquidity, by their engagement of their evaluation services group, as well as the other analysis where they have reviewed and conducted their own adjusted net worth.

Q And what, if anything, about the fact that President Trump was among these very few number of individuals in this
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country that are billionaires, would have lent credit support to the transactions entered into by Deutsche Bank in this case?

A It would have carried a lot of weight for their ability to grow the relationship and increase the share of wallet.

Q Why is that?
A Because of as I mentioned earlier, leading with credit is typically a good way to win over the hearts and minds of high net worth real estate developers, or any real estate developer in that matter, but with that comes, as I mentioned earlier, the other opportunities to generate revenue from a high net worth individual, or in this case, an ultrahigh net worth individual.

And I also believe that, as stated by Ms. Vrablic, that it also presented an opportunity for introductions, which would also help Deutsche Bank grow their Private Wealth business.

Q What, if anything, about the financial characteristics of a billionaire would make them attractive to a bank as a guarantor?

A You know, when there is only 400 or so of them in the United States, it really kind of puts them up there in what I consider rare air. And when you look at the security and the ability to support a credit, it would place them in, you know, the top quarter percent of individuals in the United States in their ability to support a credit.

MR. SUAREZ: Going back to your Honor's analogy
about why banks like billionaires, I think that's where the
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money is.
THE COURT: If there is one thing we've learned in the last eight weeks, or whatever it's been, is that banks love billionaires. It could be a motto.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up defendant's demonstrative 4.5.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Do you recognize this chart, Mr. Unell?
A Yes.
Q Did you prepare this chart?
A Yes, sir.
Q What does this chart represent?
A This chart represents President Trump's net worth as shown on the blue line. It also represents what the Deutsche Bank minimum requirement was for net worth. It also then has the Deutsche Bank adjusted net worth as determined by them.

And then finally the red line down on the bottom shows the Ladder Capital minimum liquidity requirement -- net worth, excuse me, requirement, not liquidity.

Q What, in your experience, does it mean that the DB adjusted net worth and the DB minimum requirement on certain of the loans are so close together?

A That's not indicative of it. What would be indicative of what Deutsche Bank would look at and also as collaborated by
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their testimony is that when you are looking at, it would be the blue line versus the purple line and not the green line.

Q And would Deutsche Bank -- withdrawn.
How, in your experience, would it be consistent with real world banking practices for there to be a difference between the stated net worth of the guarantor and the adjusted net worth of the lender?

A It is very typical to have a deviation between the net worth reported by a borrower and the adjusted net worth as determined by a lender in their sole discretion.

Q And is that consistent with what you observed in the relationship between Deutsche Bank and President Trump, if at all?

A Yes, sir. It would be consistent with all real estate loans that I've worked on, whether somebody is worth one hundred thousand dollars, a million dollars, one hundred million dollars, or several billion dollars, that this would still remain the same as to what to look at, which would be the net worth versus the requirement, and not the adjusted net worth.

Q How would you describe the difference between the Ladder Capital minimum requirement and President Trump's net worth?

A Close to about -- in 2010 there was about a \(\$ 4\) billion gap, and by 2015, that gap had increased to approximately \$6 billion difference.
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Q And what, if any, conclusions do you draw from that gap?

A That there was a wide margin there. Um, that it was -that the -- also that the net worth requirement imposed by Ladder was not something that they felt very strongly on. To place it at such a low minimum, it was probably put in there, in my opinion, as to, "Hey, we've got to have a net worth requirement. Let's just put something in there." But it was based off of its low -- it's not something that was factored into their overall decision.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could put up D-205 next to this chart.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the
screen.)
Q What opinion do you have, if any, as to whether President Trump's net worth qualified him for the pricing grid on D-205?

A Based on the net worth, the adjusted net worth, the Deutsche Bank minimum requirement that the threshold would have been met to qualify for the 2 to 2.5 percent, as prescribed in this document.

Q And how, if at all, would a decrease in the loan-to-value of the loans, throughout their course, affect the pricing?

A A lower loan-to-value equates to a more secure loan for
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the lender, and return for that -- as going back to risk-based pricing, and return for that, the lender typically offers a lower interest rate because they are basing their pricing off of risk. And with a lower loan-to-value, the risk profile is diminished. And so with a lower risk profile comes a lower rate. Like I said, risk-based pricing as determined by Deutsche Bank.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 293 at page five.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q Mr. Unell, is this a document that you reviewed in connection with your -- in connection with preparing your opinions in this case?

A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: Can we turn to the recommendations.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: Which may be at page five of the document, not the exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: Go forward.
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(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was scrolled through.)

MR. SUAREZ: Page three.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: Zoom in on recommendations.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was enlarged.)

Q Do you see here the analysis contained in the Deutsche Bank credit memo?

A Yes, sir.
Q Have you reviewed this credit memo?
A Yes, sir.
Q Have you seen the recommendations made by Deutsche Bank in this credit memo?

A Yes, sir.
Q And what was the basis for recommending this facility, according to the credit memo?

A The financial strength of the guarantor, the nature of the guarantee, the operating experience, and the expected enhanced value due to Capex.

Q Is this consistent with your experience in the real world?

A With one exception. I think it goes without saying, the facility would not be recommended at all if Deutsche Bank
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was not comfortable with the actual collateral.
So I think that this is additional comfort, because there is a whole section on collateral, and I think it's important to note that none of this comes into play if the lender is not satisfied with the actual project that they are lending on as collateral. So beyond that, it would be consistent.

Q What does the credit memo reflect concerning the strength of the guarantor with respect to Deutsche Bank's own analysis?

A It actually, from this it shows that it is on an adjusted basis. That it shows that the unencumbered liquidity, and then it clearly states on adjusted basis the \(\$ 2.4\) billion of net worth is what the financial strength of the guarantor was, per this document.

Q Is that consistent with your experience in the real world?

A Yes, sir.
Q How so?
A Once again, going back to what I stated earlier about adjusted net worth, you know, banks have their own internal kind of things, and that's what we are looking at here. But on the outside, borrowers don't know about the adjusted net worth. So this is the conservative of view placed forward by the bank showing how they feel about the financial strength of the
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guarantor on an adjusted basis, based off of their own independent analysis of the Statement of Financial Condition submitted by President Trump.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page four of the exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Do you see the section that says "financial analysis"?
A Yes, sir.
Q With respect to the guarantee actually provided by President Trump, what does this analysis represent?

A This analysis represents Deutsche Bank's independent analysis of the Statement of Financial Condition submitted by President Trump, and it's consistent with transactions and credit memos that I have worked on and reviewed in my experience.

Q And what, if anything, in your experience would explain the difference between the client reported net worth of \(\$ 4.2\) billion, approximately, and the DB adjusted net worth of \(\$ 2.365\) billion?

A You know, if you look at this in detail, the -- you know, the main differential there is the net equity in real estate. There is a change between the adjusted, downward, however, the other assets is adjusted upward by approximately 100 million.
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So the lenders don't necessarily make adjustments all downward. It's their own independent analysis that allows them to arrive at their own decision based off of, not only the document, but their own experience and various other sorts of assets, and also in discussions with the provider of this so that they can better understand the statements.

Q With respect to the guarantee, regardless of whether there's a difference in the value of the assets that support the guarantee, does that change the nature of the assets that support the guarantee?

A Absolutely not. The guarantee stands on its own, despite what is behind it. And that goes whether or not somebody looses everything. The guarantee still remains in place. It's not necessarily tied to any particular asset. There's no portion of the guarantee that says, you have to have this, you have to have that. The guarantee remains in place no matter what the ultimate financial profile is of the guarantor.

Q And how, if at all, does the guarantee change depending on the adjustments to the value of the assets of the guarantor?

A It does not change. It still provides the same level of guarantee that was -- no matter -- that was submitted. The guarantee does not change.

As I mentioned, it still keeps the engagement of the borrower, of the guarantor. Um, it makes them, you know, want to be engaged in the event, as I mentioned earlier, that there
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was a COVID situation where there was cash flow light. If you don't have the guarantor there, if they've got a dollar or a hundred million dollars, they are going to potentially find a way to help you if they have a dollar, versus trying to be dragged through a legal proceeding to try to enforce a guarantee.

They are going to cooperate. In my experience, it may not be an ultimate cooperation, but you will get a seat at the table with somebody, where if you do not have that guarantee, you are not guaranteed, pun intended, to get a seat at the table to restructure a loan.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
Q And in your experience in the real world, what does it mean that Deutsche Bank accepted President Trump's guarantee, even after having a different view of his financial condition?

A That after conducting their own analysis and review, that they felt comfortable with the Statement of Financial Condition and the strength of the guarantor to support the credit as proposed in this document.

Q And in your review of the Deutsche Bank's analysis of these credit facilities during their lifetime, what, if anything, changed about those conclusions?

A None. That the guarantee would still remain in place no matter what the adjusted net worth was.

Q And in the real world, what does that mean?
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A It means that the bank got what they wanted and that they have a guarantee. They have a warm body that is going to stand behind the loan and provide additional credit support, if needed.

Q And how, if at all, is this inconsistent with any of the other transactions that you've been involved with in your professional career?

A It is consistent with the transactions that I've worked on throughout my career.

MR. SUAREZ: I'm at good stopping point on my outline.

THE COURT: Okay. I have a quick question for the witness.

In your review of the record in this case, did you see the part about how I wrote an opinion, as risk rises, interest rates rise?

THE WITNESS: I believe I have reviewed a portion of it, but not in detail, your Honor.

THE COURT: Just to refresh your recollection, I wrote an opinion. As risk rises, interest rates rise. And you said that several times yourself today.

When the witness was asked whether he agreed with that statement, you said, well, yes, but I would have used slightly different words. And I asked him, "What words would you have used", so that I could use that next time I
have a case like this. So we agree on risk, interest rates rising.

All right, lunchtime. So whatever you are going to do for the next hour, see you at 2:15.

MR. WALLACE: I don't know if you have a sense of how long you have left. My point is, I don't think we have much, but we could do this later.

THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, any idea how much longer with this witness?

MR. SUAREZ: I expect to finish in the third quarter.

THE COURT: In the?
MR. SUAREZ: Of the day. In the later half of the afternoon.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll admonish the witness, order the witness not to discuss the case.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: This isn't your first rodeo.
(Whereupon, the case on trial was adjourned until
2:15 for the luncheon recess.)
(Continued on the next page.)

COURT OFFICER: All rise.
Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor --
THE COURT: The witness.
MR. SUAREZ: -- we recall the witness.
(Whereupon, the witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: As usual I will remind the witness that he is still under oath.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Hope you had a good lunch.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up the McCarty chart, Plaintiff's 3302 at page four. And pull up next to it Plaintiff's Exhibit 552.

Defendant's Exhibit 552.
Q If I could draw your attention, Mr. Unell, it says:
All. Attached is the RUC memo for 40 Wall Street?
A Yes, sir.
Q Are you familiar with the RUC memo for 40 Wall
Street?
A Yes.
Q What is an RUC memo?
A It is a credit memo in the term utilized by Ladder Capital.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page three of
the exhibit?
Q What is a committee presentation?
A A committee presentation is a formal presentation to a credit committee at a lending institution.

Q How is a committee presentation used, if at all, to determine the price of a loan?

A It is part of the overall approval process typically. Typically it would be presented, as it says here, by an originator, which in this case was Jack Weisselberg, and the underwriters, who present this and recommend it for approval. At which time this document, typically, along with the conversation, would formulate the approval or revision of terms or a denial of the credit as presented by the originator and his underwriting team.

Q Now, on the left, do you see the presentation of 40 Wall in Mr. McCarty's analysis?

A Yes, sir.
Q Have you reviewed Mr. McCarty's presentation for 40 Wall?

A Yes, sir.
Q And have you reached any opinions concerning the analysis performed by Mr. McCarty?

A Yes, sir. Yeah.
Q What opinions have you reached?
A I have reached that, in my opinion, that the interest
rate that is utilized is not commiserate with what the market was at the time.

MR. SUAREZ: Now, if we could turn to page four of the RUC presentation?

Q And if I could direct your attention to the occupancy rate for the collateral.

How does the collateral -- withdrawn.
How do the characteristics of the collateral, if at all, affect pricing?

A Well, collateral in a real estate secured loan is the primary source of repayment, and what lenders look to to not only service the debt, but ultimately to payoff the note, whether it be through sale, refinance, et cetera.

The occupancy is a direct factor in what cash flow is. Occupancy, obviously, has an impact on revenue and is one of multiple factors that a lender would look at in determining whether or not this asset was stabilized and what other opportunities may arise for a particular asset.

Q How does an improvement in the quality of the collateral affect pricing?

A The better the collateral, the better the pricing. It is a direct correlation between risk and risk-based pricing. You know, the lower the risk, the lower the pricing. The higher the risk, the higher the pricing. As a LTV decreases, that places a lender in a better position from a collateral
standpoint, therefore de-risking the loan profile.
Q How does a -- how does the occupancy rate in 40 Wall, at the time this memorandum was prepared, affect pricing?

A Well, it would show that the asset is well occupied and most likely stabilized. Typically when you look at any office facility above in the mid 90 s, that would represent that it is a stabilized asset and is performing as planned.

Q And how would an LTV of 29.6, how would that factor affect the quality of the collateral in a real estate loan?

A That is an extremely good loan-to-value and would represent that 30 percent, approximately, loan-to-value, so that the loan would be approximately 30 percent of the appraised value of their collateral, therefore providing the lender with a very large margin for a slippage in value. And this would be the primary source of repayment, therefore providing a much larger than normal spread.

Q And how would a loan -- a low loan-to-value affect pricing?

A The lower the loan-to-value, the more secure the loan. The more secure the loan, the less risky. And the less risky then equates to lower pricing based off of risk-based pricing.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page six of the exhibit?

Q If I could turn your attention to the third full
paragraph. It says: Loan proceeds will be utilized to refinance an existing first mortgage originated by Capital One Bank, defined as the prior loan, which featured a principal balance of \(\$ 160\) million ( \(\$ 137.31\) per square foot). In connection with the refinance of the prior loan, the borrower will pay a swap breakage fee, currently estimated at \$6 million, inclusive of closing costs, and upfront reserves, the borrower will invest a total of \(\$ 8.6\) million in cash equity at closing in order to effect the refinancing of the prior loan.

\section*{What does that mean?}

A It means that prior to the loan at Ladder Capital, in order to pay off Capital One Bank, there would be a \(\$ 6\) million fee that would need to be paid to break that swap. In addition, it states that the borrower will invest \(\$ 8.6\) million in cash equity, therefore lowering the amount of the balance owed. Which is an equity infusion, thereby lowering the loan amount that was issued by Ladder Capital.

Q Is that reflected in Mr. McCarty's analysis?
A I did not see where it is reflected in Mr. McCarty's analysis.

Q If Mr. McCarty had reflected it in his analysis, how would that affect the conclusions that he offers with respect to 40 Wall?

A The dynamics of the loan would certainly change. And
the dynamics of the loan with an \(\$ 8.6\) million cash equity infusion would improve the bank's position from a collateral and a credit standpoint, which would really make the loan features in the terms of the loan very different than the existing Capital One loan, because the existing Capital One loan had the swap breakage fee and the \(\$ 8.6\) million is in cash equity infusion, which also would make the Ladder Capital loan more secure than the Capital One loan, and not make them where they can be compared, because the principal balances would be different. And the characteristics of the loan at origination, despite the fact that they were done approximately ten years apart, the actual loan dynamics are different between 20 -2005 and the time of origination of the Ladder Capital loan.

MR. SUAREZ: And if we could turn to page nine of Defendant's Exhibit 552?

Q What is represented in this page, Mr. Unell?
A This is what I would typically see or you would typically see in a credit memo that would outline the strengths of a credit -- typically a credit memo would outline the strengths, the weaknesses, the opportunities and the threats, kind of a squad analysis, as it is typically called. And anything that is not mitigated by the strengths would need to be also addressed by the lender in this. And this is typical deal strengths that looks very familiar to what I have seen throughout my experience with highlighting the various loan
metrics of the loan-to-value.
The dark value is of interest as well. That is something that has, over the years, become a more applicable metric, as especially when you look at assets that have a -- a high, I guess, concentration of occupancy.

So a large tenant, for example, you would look at that analysis and say, well, if the large tenant left.

The cash in refinance is another thing where the sponsor investing \(\$ 8.6\) million into the transaction in closing is providing not only the support of the borrower, but also lowering the risk by reducing the principal amount.

The loan structure, once again, very similar in that the low interest -- the -- excuse me, the interest rate of 3.665 percent and then also the rent-roll, as we talked about with the occupancy.

And then finally, on number six, it looks like they are really addressing what the market is, and looking at the property and its ability to continue to perform at a high level of occupancy. And utilizes its historical occupancy as well as talking about where the activity is. And that is important because while the occupancy still may be 94.5 percent, strong interest in a building shows that when you are looking at, obviously, when you are looking at where the future value may be, and when it says, kind of, is this thing going to be able to maintain where it is at. And all indications are, based off
of this, and in my experience this writeup would indicate that this asset was performing strong and had a bright future ahead.

Q How would these factors affect the evaluation of the collateral for purposes of pricing alone?

A These are all very, very, very important factors of pricing when it comes to the collateral. Just as it was the -as I said, you would never go down and make a loan if you didn't feel comfortable about the asset itself and your underlying collateral. That is what this is displaying here, and the comfort level that Ladder Capital had with the metrics of the collateral.

Q The seventh item here that we -- that we see on this page: High quality landmark collateral. What is the affect of this characteristic of 40 Wall on the quality of the collateral for purposes of loan pricing?

A It is -- it does have some historic value to it. It is something that cannot be replaced in its current condition. And there are users, i.e. tenants, out there that look to be in trophy office buildings, to have trophy addresses, et cetera. And this asset, in the opinion of Ladder Capital, was a trophy asset that was highly desirable in the market for multiple reasons.

Q And in the following page, you see reference to experienced and well-capitalized sponsorship?

A Yes, sir.

Q How does that affect the collateral for purposes of pricing alone?

A Obviously the experience of an owner/developer in the real estate world is extremely important for their ability to continue to operate the asset, as the asset is the primary source of repayment. Not only of the debt service from the cash flow, but also upon sale or refinance. So, it is extremely important to have somebody behind it, whether it is the organization or individual, that is able to perform on the plan that is set forth, and continue to execute the plan to make sure that the bank remains secure, and the same position as when the loan was originated.

Q Do you see there it says Mr. Trump reports a net worth of nearly 5.8 billion and a liquidity in excess of \(\$ 300\) million?

A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: If we turn to page 12 of this
exhibit.
Q And focus in on: Key principal net worth covenant.
A Yes, sir.
Q What is the key principal net worth covenant on this loan?

A A net worth of \(\$ 160\) million, minimum net worth.
Q And with respect to liquidity?
A At least 15 million.

Q And how is the principal net worth covenant, in your experience, for this loan comparable to the net worth of the sponsor and liquidity of the sponsor?

A This was a fraction of the net worth and a fraction of the liquidity that the guarantor had.

Q What affect would any fluctuations in the net worth or liquidity of the sponsor with respect to this loan have on the pricing of the loan?

A Going by the materiality side, it would have some, but not as much, because Ladder Capital, in my opinion in reading this, felt that the security in the form of the collateral was sufficient and that the pricing was really driven off of that.

Now, having the covenant here would obviously provide a belt and suspenders approach, and also provide for a tertiary or beyond additional source of repayment in the event of, you know, call it an Armageddon situation. However, based off the loan-to-value, the likelihood that either of these would come into play is extremely minimal.

Q And how were the characteristics of this loan transaction reflected in Mr. McCarty's analysis, if at all?

A I don't see how that was done. Because my understanding is, is that 5.71 percent that was utilized was based off of a 2005 loan that had different loan characteristics.

MR. SUAREZ: Okay. If we could turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 293 on the right.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q If you see here, this is a credit report in connection with the first mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the Doral Golf Resort by Deutsche Bank?

A Yes, sir.
Q It was the initial credit report on the Deutsche Bank loan, correct?

A Yes, sir, dated 12/20/2011.
Q If you look on the second page of the commitment fee, you see a commitment fee. And it says .25 percent of facility commitment, which shall be fully earned and payable on the execution date of this commitment letter.

A Yes, sir.
Q What is the purpose of the commitment fee from the bank's perspective?

A That is really where the loan documentation process would begin, where the bank would have to start spending dollars for appraisals, engineering, environmental reports, and other items. So it is, I don't want to call it a posit, but it is the commitment of the borrower to move forward, which therefore allows the lender to feel comfortable to go spend some of those out-of-pocket expenses. You know, there have
been cases where a facility commitment, in my experience, was not required, and ultimately a borrower would pull out of a transaction, therefore leaving the lender with expenses that they have sought to be reimbursed. And in my experience that's very difficult, because nobody wants to go litigate over \(\$ 100,000\) on something they would just probably decline to do business with that person further.

Q Do you see a little further down it says: Facility fee. 1 percent of facility commitment payable on the closing date of the facility?

A Yes, sir.
Q How does the payment of the 1 percent facility fee affect the pricing of the loan, if at all?

A It is factored into, as I mentioned in prior testimony, that one of the things that is looked at is the total relationship value in RAROC, Risk Adjusted Return on Capital. So when a lender is looking at the RAROC, one of the factors is what the fee is, because that fee is direct income to the bottom line of the bank and is factored into the returns that they are looking at when measuring what the profitability of not only a loan is, but also what the overall relationship value is.

MR. SUAREZ: And if you turn to the next page of this document? In the recommendation box. The fourth bullet.

Q Do you see where it says: Expected enhanced value due to Capex?

A Yes, sir.
Q It says: The resort is a world class location that has been home to a PGA event every year since the resort opened in 1962. As DJT expects to invest approximately \(\$ 50\) million on capital improvements, it is expected that the value of our collateral will increase significantly over the term of the loan?

A Yes, sir.
Q How would that feature in the transaction affect loan pricing, if at all?

A It would have a factor into the loan pricing. It would also have a factor into how the lender would look at the additional capital. The additional capital is a commitment. As we mentioned, as I mentioned earlier I should say, the capital is one of the things that is looked at heavily. And what the investment is, the equity investment. The more equity investment that an individual or an entity has into a project, the more likely they are to continue to spend on that project, whether it is to increase the value, or perhaps in a distress situation continue to support the project so that they may recoup a portion of their previous investment, as opposed to just walking away.

Q And what affect, if at all, does it have on the
quality of the collateral?
A It absolutely improves the collateral, to the benefit of the lenders, because it is a direct investment made by the sponsor into the asset. And that asset serves as collateral for the lender. And the more money that is put into that asset, improves the value of it, which therefore reduces the loan-to-value, which makes the loan more secure to the lender.

Q And how would that affect the risk of the transaction?

A It de-risks the transaction.
Q What do you mean what you say de-risks, for us non-finance folks?

A It makes the transaction less risky with that capital infusion than it otherwise would.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to Plaintiff's --
Q One second, before we move on.
Is the analysis you just conducted concerning this transaction, reflected in Mr. McCarty's selection of a 10 percent CRE rate for the Doral property in his analysis?

A I do not believe so.
Q And what is the result of failing to consider these features of that transaction on the analysis performed by Mr. McCarty?

A It would render that analysis flawed without taking into account all of the factors that contribute to pricing of a
loan.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could move on to Plaintiff's Exhibit 291?
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: A little housekeeping here.
Thank you.
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page five of the exhibit? Which is also page five of the document.

Q Do you see at the top there are two facility fees?
A Yes, sir.
Q A fee of .625 percent of Facility A payable on the closing date. And . 75 of Facility B payable on the closing date of that facility?

A Yes, sir.
Q What affect, if any, does the payment of that facility fee have on the profitability of this transaction for the lender?

A Similar to the other transactions, it would have an impact on the overall return and to the lender and their overall pricing strategy.

Q What affect, if any, does it have on the pricing of the transaction?

A It would have an impact on the pricing because that
would be factored into the overall returns that the bank would be expecting to receive for the risk that they are under taking as part of this transaction.

MR. SUAREZ: And if we turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit -- actually before we do that. Yeah, if we turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 294.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q And on the first page can we take a look at the Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago. Do you see that?

A Yes, sir. Collateral B?
Q Collateral B.
A Yes, sir.
Q And you see at the bottom it has a loan-to-value of 51 percent?

A Yes, sir.
Q How would the -- how would a loan-to-value of
51 percent on that collateral affect the risk of the loan secured by the Trump Chicago?

A Loan-to-value of 51 percent would be below normal, as illustrated, actually, by the Realty Rates data presented earlier. And being below the average, which is not only on Realty Rates, but in my experience of 50 percent value being low, would once again de-risk or make this collateral -- this collateral would make the loan less risky based off of that
loan-to-value.
Q And are any of the factors that we have just analyzed on the Trump Chicago facility reflected in the analysis performed by Mr. McCarty that we looked at earlier?

A Not that I can tell.
Q And what is the affect of his failure to consider these factors in that analysis?

A Without considering all of the factors that go into pricing of a loan, it would render the analysis flawed.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page nine of this exhibit? Actually let's start with page eight so we can see the heading here.

Q Do you see at the top it says: Loan C, Trump Old Post Office?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And if we see on page nine. Fees. This loan also has a facility fee, this time in the amount of half a percent?

A Yes, sir.
Q What affect does the payment of a facility fee have on the pricing of the transaction?

A Similar to the other transactions, it would have a direct impact on the profitability and returns expected by the lender.

MR. SUAREZ: And if we look at page ten?

Q Do you see for All Facilities, it lists in the recommendations --

MR. SUAREZ: The next box on down.
Q Here it is recommending the approval of the annual review for Doral modification to the Trump Chicago and the origination of the Trump Old Post Office. But specifically I would like to draw your attention to the section that says: All Facilities.

The third bullet point says DB relationship. And it says: DJT continues to develop his relationship with DB as Facility C will be the fourth credit facility we have originated with him or his family (three with DJT, one with DJT Jr.) DJT has transferred 40 million in liquidity to DB and has indicated he is interested in continued to grow his non-credit relationship with the firm.

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q How would that affect the pricing on the credit facility for the Old Post Office?

A It would have a direct impact on pricing. As stated earlier, the relationship value to a bank is an important factor. And as it states here, and consistent with the testimony that I heard from Ms. Vrablic, that the goal was to continue to increase the share of business with President Trump and his family from deposits and other items, including, as I
said the non-credit relationship earlier, which would amount to more fee income.

For real estate developers, typically leading with credit as was done here, is a very common practice in real estate, because that is what real estate developers care most about. And then from there if you can gain the trust and the ability to provide them the debt, they will follow with other services, which make the relationship even more profitable.

MR. SUAREZ: And if we move on to page 12.
Facility C.
Q The recommendation for Facility \(C\), which is the Old Post Office. It says there: Equity injection of the guarantor. While the initial equity injection of the guarantor was estimated at \(\$ 42\) million plus, we have been told that the estimate has been increased to anywhere from 150 to \(\$ 160\) million with approximately 30 million already invested?

A Yes, sir.
Q What affect would that equity injection by the guarantor have on the risk of the collateral?

A The equity injection would have a direct impact on the value of the collateral, therefore making it more valuable. Which ultimately results in a loan lower-to-value. The lower loan-to-value then results in a lower risk profile for that particular loan. And that should be reflected into the pricing.
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Q And over the life of the OPO Facility, was the risk to the bank increasing or decreasing?

A Decreasing.
Q Why is that?
A Um, the asset was continuing to be repositioned in a positive manner. The infusion of capital, as well as the operating expertise of the borrower and the entities that are running it, improved the overall value, which, once again, improved value results in a lower loan-to-value. A lower loan-to-value results in a more secure and less risky loan for the lender.

Q And are these characteristics of the OPO Facility reflected in Mr. McCarty's analysis?

A I do not see where they were reflected.
Q What is the effect of the failure to reflect these characteristics in Mr. McCarty's analysis on his analysis?

A That the assumed interest rate utilized in this chart would be incorrect.

Q With respect to the Doral transaction, if we could turn your attention to Plaintiff's Exhibit 2960?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q Do you recognize this document?

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

A Yes, sir.
Q Have you reviewed this document?
A Yes, sir.
Q Do you see at page three of this document?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q It recommends "Approval of a step-down of the personal guarantee from 100 percent to 10 percent, per the loan agreement."

A Yes, sir.
Q In your experience in the real world, what is that indicative of?

A The step-down of the guarantee?
Q Yes.
A That the lender felt that they were secured and that the risk level had declined to a point where that they wanted to have a guarantee for a comfort level, but, however, did not feel that a hundred percent guarantee was needed at this time.

Q And do you see the note down below that says "With an LTV of 34 percent, the entire personal guaranty can be reduced to zero."

A Yes, sir.
Q "However the client has requested to retain a ten percent guaranty on both Tranche A and Tranche B, which corresponds to a spread of L plus 1.75 percent."

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

A Yes, sir.
Q Do you see that? What does that mean?
A That means that the client has elected to keep the ten percent guaranty in place in order to save 25 basis points, which was the reduction in pricing without the guaranty.

Q And from the lenders perspective, what, if anything, can be inferred from the ten percent guaranty resulting in a 25 basis points savings on the spread?

A That Deutsche Bank would value the guaranty of 25 basis points to have the comfort.

Q Now, in Mr. McCarty's analysis, is the guaranty -- the existence of the guaranty at ten percent reflected in his analysis?

A No, sir.
MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.
Q How, if at all --
MR. SUAREZ: I'll rephrase it.
Q How, if at all, is the step-down of the guaranty reflected in Mr. McCarty's analysis?

A I don't believe it's reflected.
MR. WALLACE: I'm going to renew my objection at
this point, your Honor, that the opinions Mr. Unell is expressing here, including his prior opinions about the failure to account for the fees in the loans, were not disclosed in his expert reports.

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

MR. KISE: I mean, in keeping with your rule, you've already ruled on this, first of all.

THE COURT: I don't mind if he asks for a reconsideration.

MR. KISE: But if -- I'm not going to argue if you are going to overrule it, but if you want me to argue, I will.

MR. WALLACE: I think we are going through a series of opinions that were not disclosed in his report. We are hearing them for the first time. And the purpose of expert disclosure is so that the parties know ahead of time what opinions the expert is going to offer and have time to analyze those opinions, which are very complex and go into a great deal of detail about the financing of loans, and have an opportunity to conduct a fair analysis, and ideally, depose the witness on those issues before trial, but at the very least giving us time to understand the opinions before we have to cross examine him.

THE COURT: Is your particular objection just to the last answer, to the last half hour of answers?

MR. WALLACE: I believe -- I'm trying to find it in the report as we are going along, but I don't think this specific analysis -- the step-down is definitely not in the report, as far as we can tell. I'm not sure the specific analysis to the fees, as they relate to Mr. McCarty's

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
opinion, were contained in the -- either the expert report or the rebuttal expert report, either. We are sort -- we are sort of live checking as we go through this.

MR. KISE: So, your Honor, again, the subject matter, every last detail of every last opinion that the expert has is never disclosed. As the trial comes forward, you have evidence that needs to be considered by the expert in the context that has been presented at trial; that's what's happened here.

Mr. McCarty adjusted his position. I know they have a different description of it, but he, in fact, adjusted his position and offered a supplemental report, which was accepted by this Court, and his testimony reflected that supplemental report and his supplemental analysis. So -- and some of that was based on testimony that had happened during the trial, and events that had taken place subsequent, including your Honor's decision. So to say, now, that the defense can't make necessary adjustments to address the evidence that they are faced with at trial, evidence that -- that was available to the government, just as much as it's available to us, about the same subject matter that forms the basis for this expert's opinion, he's opining on -- he's saying the same thing. He is just offering relevant justifications based on the testimony that's been educed at trial, based on the

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
documents that have been introduced at trial, and based on the scope of the issues that are now before us at trial.

So as you said earlier, and I think it was the correct ruling, you can allow this in in a bench trial -there's no opportunity for confusion -- and you can decide what to give weight to and what not to give weight to, but to exclude it wholesale when they've had the opportunity to have an expert come in and modify his opinions, we would have to get into a whole ground war over exactly how he modified it, what he did, which is what we did before.

And I think the more efficient and more balanced approach in a bench trial is to do what your Honor has already decided to do, which is allow it in and then give it the weight that you determine.

MR. WALLACE: I will just note that this is not a question of relevance or repetitiveness, or anything. This is question of whether we had fair notice of this expert's opinion.

I will reiterate that it is very clear from what we did, that Mr. McCarty simply changed the time frame of his analysis. The analysis stayed the same.

If there is an issue with a criticism of Mr. McCarty's report, or if this witness had an opinion about the actual spread on the guaranty based on this step-down, all of that information was available at the time

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
of his reports in May and June. He has not disclosed -- if the defendants can show me where this opinion is covered in either of the two reports, I'm happy to withdraw the objection.

But it is simply, this step-down, . 25 analysis does not appear in this -- either of this witness's reports. THE COURT: Objection overruled for the same and usual reasons. There's no jury. I'll decide what weight to give it. You could cross examine him. If you need a little time before the cross examination to consult, and I also, to a certain extent, accept Mr. Kise's point that you could never finalize things. And there's been a trial since expert disclosure. And I understand the value of expert disclosure so you can prepare, et cetera, but it's in. Let's just move on.

MR. SUAREZ: Can you repeat the last question. THE COURT: The last question. MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry, your Honor, may I have a read back.

THE COURT: A read back of the last question.
(Whereupon, the requested question was read back by the court reporter.)

A I don't believe it is reflected in his analysis.
Q What is the result of any of his failure to consider
the step-down in the opinions that he gives?

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

A Similar to utilizing a fixed spread, it would not render the analysis as accurate, because it would change throughout the life of the loan, or have the opportunity to, based on the election of the guarantor.

Q What, if any -- withdrawn.
The -- if I could turn your attention to page three of the Exhibit 2960 down to the section where it says "Expected enhanced value due to Capex" again.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

A \(\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hmm}\).
Q And then the last sentence says "As noted, DJT has invested nearly \(\$ 250\) million on capital improvements since 2012, and the results has been an increase in collateral value to \(\$ 366\) million. This value should continue to increase significantly over the term of the Facility." Do you see that?

A Yes, sir.
Q How did the risk of the collateral that secured the Doral Facility change over time, if at all?

A Just as on the other loans where there was an injection of capital for improvements, it improved the bank's collateral position, therefore, making the loan less risky because the collateral had more value.

Q And how, if at all, is the lower risk nature of the Facility over time reflected in Mr. McCarty's analysis?

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

A I don't believe it's reflected since the interest rate remained the same throughout the life of the loan in his analysis.

Q And with respect to the Chicago Facility, was that guaranty also -- withdrawn.

Was the guaranty provided in connection with the Chicago Facility extinguished at any point in time?

A I believe there was an opportunity to do so, but I'm not certain if it ever was.

MR. SUAREZ: Could we please pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 298, at page seven, on the right-hand side.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: And if we could zoom up to where it says, Leverage levels required for step-down of the guaranty."
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q And it says, "The LTV levels required to for a decrease in the guaranty levels are low enough to ensure that the loan is more than adequately collateralized as evidenced by the current Facility B, in which the guaranty was eliminated once the loan was paid down to \(\$ 45\) million (about 34 percent LTV)."

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to the first page of this exhibit.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q Do you see the date up there at the top?
A Yes, sir. July 20th, 2015.
Q Yes.
Does this refresh your recollection as to when the guaranty of the credit facility secured by The Trump Chicago was extinguished?

A Yes, sir.
Q When was that?
A In July of 2015.
Q And how, if at all, did the -- how, if at all, did the collateral securing The Trump Chicago credit Facility from Deutsche Bank change over the course of that Facility?

A Do you mind repeating the question.
Q Sure, how, if at all, did the risk of the collateral securing The Trump Chicago property change over the life of that Facility?

A The collateral improved, therefore, making the loan to value lower, which ultimately made the loan less risky for the lender.

Q And is the de-risking of the loan of the Chicago credit

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

Facility reflected in Mr. McCarty's analysis?
A Not that I can tell.
Q What is the result of the prelude of -- reflect that in his analysis?

A That the increased interest rate does not account for that -- excuse me. The interest rate throughout the life of the loan remains the same, in his analysis, at 7.5 percent, which would not reflect the terms of the loan and the reduction of the guaranty and the improvement of the collateral.

Q And in each of the three credit facilities that we have discussed today with respect to Deutsche Bank, did the value of the collateral increase or decrease over time?

A The value of the collateral increased over time.
Q And what effect did the increase in the value of the collateral over time have on the risk to the bank in connection with the loan?

THE COURT: Oh, come on. Even I could answer that
at this point. We've had a whole tutorial on that every
day. The more value the collateral, the less risk, the
lower the interest rates. Okay, we get it already.
Go ahead, Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, I think --
THE COURT: We heard this 20 times today.
MR. KISE: Well, but I think he is framing this in
the context of answers that are going to follow from that
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conclusion.
I don't want to talk about this with the witness present, but, I mean, I think -- I would think within the next question or two he's going to connect it up. I could be wrong, but I think that's where we are headed, and then we'll move on.

But that proposition forms the foundation. I'm glad you are accepting that proposition, but it forms the foundation for something to follow.

So I would ask the Court to just let him ask a couple of more questions, or we could have a more wholesome debate --

MR. SUAREZ: That was last question I had before I moved on.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. KISE: There you go.
THE COURT: As usual, I'm not looking to, and I'm not restricting. I'm just pointing out it's déjà vu all over again, times 20.

So anywhere, where are we Mr. Suarez? Do you need the question or do you want a read back?

MR. SUAREZ: Yes, please.
THE COURT: Read back the last question.
MR. SUAREZ: That was Yogi Berra, "Déjà vu all over again"?

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

THE COURT: He was from New Jersey.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

A The increase in the value of the collateral would result in a lower loan-to-value, which would make the loan less risky for the lender.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could, please, pull up what's been marked as Defense demonstrative 5 ?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. SUAREZ: If we could zoom in a little bit so it's centered there on the page.
(Whereupon, the exhibit displayed on the screen was enlarged.)

Q Do you recall this demonstrative?
A Yes, sir.
Q Who prepared this demonstrative exhibit?
A I did.
Q And what does this demonstrative exhibit represent?
A This demonstrative exhibit -- excuse me there -represents the differential in interest if there was no guaranty, in a hypothetical situation.

Q With respect to Doral, the Doral credit Facility, can you walk us through the analysis that you undertook to reach the rebuttal to Mr. McCarty's opinion?

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

A Yes, sir. So we started very similar utilizing this the same chart as Mr. McCarty did for ease of understanding for everybody.

What we have done here is we've taken the actual interest percent, which matches up with the same thing that Mr. McCarty had. However, instead of utilizing his assumed CRE interest rates, we have added 25 basis points to reflect the difference that was opined on by Deutsche Bank, and also represented in the documents, that the guaranty was worth 25 basis points.

From there we calculated the delta between the actual interest rate, and what the hypothetical interest rate would be with the additional 25 basis points, and have run that out throughout from origination of the loan through the pay off of the loan.

Q And what hypothetical differential and interest rate results over that period of time if you increase it by the 25 basis points that you suggested is appropriate?

A For this loan in particular, \(\$ 2,458,048\).
Q And with respect to the Old Post Office credit Facility could you please walk us through your analysis on that transaction?

A Okay. Similar to Doral, we did the exact same exercise utilizing the same format as Mr. McCarty, however, instead of utilizing his assumed interest rates, we added the 25 basis

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
points from a hypothetical situation of no guaranty being in place, and ran that out throughout the life of the loan from origination through pay off, and that resulted in a hypothetical lost amount of \(\$ 2.567\) million.

Q And why was it appropriate to do that?
A Why was it appropriate to do that? Because we believed, and based off of the testimony that the value of the guaranty as shown in the mind of Deutsche Bank, and in my experience, would be 25 basis points.

Q And in the case of the Old Post Office Facility, was that guaranty in place throughout this entire time horizon?

A Yes.
Q And if the bank had called that guaranty, would the bank have had the benefit of that guaranty in place on that facility?

A Absolutely. It would have had the benefit of that guaranty. And Mr. McCarty's analysis did not take into account any guaranty at all.

Q And if the values of assets owned by the guarantor were to change, would that, in any way, reduce the credit support available to the Facility?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.
MR. SUAREZ: I could rephrase it.
THE COURT: Withdrawn.
Q How, if at all, would a change in the values of the

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
assets owned by the guarantor change the credit support available to Deutsche Bank in connection with this Facility?

A In this case it wouldn't, because it would be immaterial.

Q Why is that?
A Because as referenced earlier, part of the reason for a guaranty is not only the financial support, but also the ongoing and continual support for the operation, and ongoing ability to operate the asset in a manner that would maintain value, and enable it to provide enough cash flow for debt service.

Q Would your answer be the same for the Doral Facility during the periods of time that that guaranty was in place?

A Yes, sir.
Q Would your answer be the same for the Chicago Facility during the periods of time when the guaranty was in place?

A Yes, sir.
Q All right.
Moving onto 40 Wall, how, if at all, would the analysis -- would the analysis that you performed change with respect to 40 Wall, to that performed by Mr. McCarty?

A Utilizing the same 25 basis points, it would result in a \(\$ 2.966\) million delta .

Q And what was the basis for doing so?
A The basis was similar to the other assets, that if there was not a guaranty, even though at some points the lower

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
thresholds and minimum net worth, um, erring on the side of conservatism, we applied the same methodology here as we did to the Deutsche Bank ones.

Q Was a limited guaranty, in fact, in place during the life of the 40 Wall transaction with Ladder Capital?

A Yes.
Q And if I were to ask you the same question about the assets of the guarantor with respect to that guaranty and the effect of the credit support for that transaction, would you give me the same answer?

A Yes, sir.
Q And then if we go to the bottom right hand section. (Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the

\section*{screen.)}

Q Can you please walk us through your analysis here.
A Yes, sir. So the \(\$ 9,006,000--\$ 9,006,603\) is the cumulative amount of the four loans; the Doral, OPO, Chicago and 40 Wall.

We also have considered the SWAP breakage fee, which was a cost of doing business at that point, in order to enter into the transaction, which they were not required to do so. There was an out-of-pocket expense of \(\$ 6\) million, and therefore, the hypothetical number that we have derived, or I have derived, is \(\$ 3,006,603\). And I do note that the SWAP breakage fee is an estimate.

\section*{R. Unell - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}

Q And why do you call it a hypothetical lost interest figure?

A Because I don't believe, in my opinion, that there has been any losses to anybody on any of these loan transactions.

Q And why is that?
A Because all contractual obligations were paid. Deutsche Bank did their own analysis. They derived their own interest rates. They negotiated those documents. They executed those documents. The borrower and guarantors performed as prescribed on those documents. And as the user of the information, they were able to make their own informed decisions and not have to rely upon outside parties to do so after the fact.

Q And in your professional experience, is that commonplace with how transactions operate in the marketplace?

A Yes, it is, absolutely one hundred percent. Private transactions are negotiated between sophisticated parties, and in this case both were very sophisticated parties. And everybody was able to make their own decisions based off the information that was provided to them. And nobody, in the process of negotiating or originating a loan, wants to have to worry about, after the fact, having a non-party to their agreement come in and second guess their decision making, which they do for a living.

MR. WALLACE: Objection. I think if he is
suggesting that a law enforcement investigation is improper or something, it seems well beyond the scope of his expertise.

MR. SUAREZ: I don't think that's what he said.
THE COURT: Can I just say, point duly noted.
MR. WALLACE: Fair enough, your Honor.
MR. SUAREZ: May I confer with my colleagues, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.
(Whereupon, Counsel conferred off the record.)
MR. KISE: Your Honor, I'm going to try for, maybe a fifth time now, if we take our afternoon break now and come back, we may be able to truncate this as well.

THE COURT: AG, okay with you?
MR. WALLACE: I thought he was going to ask for a directed verdict.

MR. KISE: That will be after he testifies, after he testifies.

MR. ROBERT: We could do it now if it makes you feel better.

THE COURT: Perfect timing. See you at 3:30.
(Continued on the next page.)

COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in session.

Please be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: I have a question for plaintiff, plaintiff's lawyers.

Well, let met ask the question, it may moot out.
MR. KISE: Sure.
THE COURT: I am happy to hear from you.
Do you want to -- are we through with the direct examination?

MR. KISE: We are.
THE COURT: Would plaintiff like to start the cross examination tomorrow in light of the late filing by defendants, and if you think you are entitled to and need, overnight to put together your thoughts.

MR. WALLACE: I would certainly appreciate that, Your Honor. If I could ask the witness just, like, two or three clarifying questions. I do think in essence he and Mr. McCarty are ships in the night. So I can have a very truncated -- I have one question about the . 25 basis points that would clarify this and let us have a truncated examination tomorrow, if that's possible.

THE COURT: Okay. Does that take care of your concerns?

MR. KISE: Yes.

THE COURT: Let's ask Mr. Unell back to the stand.

MR. ROBERT: He is five for five, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I didn't catch that.
MR. ROBERT: All Mr. Kise caressed about is he is five for five in truncating things.

THE COURT: He has a pristine record.
(Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.)
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Mr. Unell, just a quick question to make sure I understand the 25 basis points.

A Yes, sir.
MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 298 and go to page three?
Q And if we look under interest rates. It says there: L plus 1.75 or prime minus 0.75 with step-up to \(L 2.0\) percent or prime minus .5, if guaranty level falls below 10 percent.

Am I understanding that this is the calculation that led you to identify the 25 basis points as the appropriate number for the value of the guaranty in the Deutsche Bank loan?

A That was one factor, along with the testimony of the Deutsche Bank personnel which confirmed this.

Q About this section of the credit memo, correct?
A Yes, sir.

MR. WALLACE: Okay. And if we turn to the next page. And we flip up to the section on guaranty. Q I am just going to note that, if the guaranty level falls to zero percent at 35 percent or below, and so the situation where this is occurring and the guaranty burns off is where the LTV is down to 35 percent and below; is that right? A In this instance, yes, sir. Q Okay.

MR. WALLACE: That was all I needed to clarify. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. So tomorrow at 10:00 everyone?

And I'll direct the witness not to discuss this case or his testimony or anything related to it overnight because you are still a witness.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Whereupon the trial stood adjourned to Friday December 1, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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\hline co (1) & 5623:18;5624:12 & :18;5653: & Conditions & considering (1) \\
\hline 5690:14 & 5627:9,14,18; & 5734:13 & 5633:3;5683:8 & 5727:8 \\
\hline cold (1) & 5628:20;5630:24; & compliance & 5698:9 & considers (1) \\
\hline 5610:12 & 5631:8;5632:13; & 5621:18;5669:2 & conduct (10) & 5679:17 \\
\hline collaborated (2) & 5633:6;5634:1; & 5670:19,22; & 5635:22; & consist (3) \\
\hline 5629:4;5700:25 & 5635:15,23; & 5671:18,21;5672:2 & 5636:13,17; & 5624:22; \\
\hline collateral (63) & 5640:6;5656:6 & complied (1) & 5667:4;5672:1 & 5687:10;5688:23 \\
\hline 5621:3;5642:11 & 5657:3,6;5661:19; & 5618:6 & 5677:6,7,11; & consisted (1) \\
\hline 16,21,22,24; & 5682:25;5686:18; & complies (1) & 5679:12;5734: & 5622:3 \\
\hline 5643:3,10,12,19; & 5688:7;5689:1; & 5628:13 & conducted (12) & consistency (3) \\
\hline 5648:5;5652:17, & 5690:10,11,22; & comply (1) & 5636:8,9; & 5672:8;5676:6,7 \\
\hline 17;5657:7;5668:7; & 5694:11,12 & 5681:16 & 5637:23;5642:15; & consistent (48) \\
\hline 5679:20,21; & commiserate (1) & component ( & 5644:16;5651:25; & 5628:9;5636:4; \\
\hline 5686:9;5705:1,3,6; & 5713 & 5656:19 & 5652:4;5653:4; & 5637:24;5638:1 \\
\hline 5713:6,7,8,10,20, & commitment (9) & compound & 5698:4,19,22; & 23;5639:1;5640:2; \\
\hline 21,25;5714:9,13; & 5721:12,13,14, & 5632:23 & 5724:17 & 5641:6;5642:3; \\
\hline 5716:2;5718:4,6,9, & 15,17,23;5722:1,9; & compressed & conducting (1) & 5644:11;5656:22; \\
\hline 11,13,14;5719:1; & 5723:15 & 5609:17 & 5708:16 & 5657:15,20,22; \\
\hline 5720:12;5723:8; & Commitments (3) & Comptroller & confer (1) & 5658:21;5660:18; \\
\hline 5724:1,2,4; & 5690:10,11,23 & 5638:5,6 & 5749:7 & 5661:19,21; \\
\hline 5726:11,12,18,2 & committee (4) & concentration & conferred & 5666:2,4,15,18 \\
\hline 25;5729:19,21; & 5712:2,3,4,5 & 5717:5 & 5749:10 & 5667:11,14; \\
\hline 5738:14,18,21,23; & commodities (2) & concept (13) & confidential ( & 5668:1,3,3; \\
\hline 5740:16,19,22; & 5658:14,1 & 5641:19;5643:7 & 5686:14 & 5675:21,24 \\
\hline 5741:9,12,13,15, & commoditized (2) & 5644:10,11; & confirmed (2) & 5676:3,11;5678:6; \\
\hline 19;5743:4 & 1;5695:20 & 5648:21;5650:25, & 5612:2;5751:23 & 5679:8;5684:3,5; \\
\hline 5651:22 (1) & common (2) & 5653:19.565, & conflicts (1) & 5693:6,9;5694:11; \\
\hline 5651:22 & 5678:4;572 & 5653:19;5654:8; & 5663:20 & 5695:21;5701:4, \\
\hline collateralize & commonplace (1) & 5661:11;5670:10; & conformity (1) & 11,14;5704:22; \\
\hline 5739:23 & 5748:15 & 5674:6 & 5634:21 & 5705:7,16; \\
\hline colleagues (2) & companies (1) & co & confusion (1) & 5706:14;5709: \\
\hline 5624:2;5749:7 & 5624:16 & 5654.1,5679 & 5736:5 & 5728:22 \\
\hline collection (2) & Company (1) & concerning (5) & conjunction (2) & consistently (1) \\
\hline 5623:15;5645:22 & 5669:3 & 5677:10; & 5620:14;5667:1 & 5654:25 \\
\hline College (3) & comparable (1) & 5682:18; & connect (1) & consists (1) \\
\hline 5619:2,5,7 & 5720:2 & 5712:21;5724:17 & 5742 & 5624:23 \\
\hline combines (1) & compare (1) & concerns (1) & connection (9) & construction (2) \\
\hline 5619:8 & 5671:6 & 5750:24 & 5639:9;5654:21; & 5620:22;5648:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline consult (2) & correlation (1) & 570 & 5613:21; & 5686:3 \\
\hline 5664:21;5737:10 & 5713:22 & 5710:8,12, & 5619:17;5620:13; & dark (1) \\
\hline contain (1) & correspondence (1) & 5711:1,5,8,11 & 5621:7,8;5630:3; & 5717:2 \\
\hline 5684:2 & 5630:6 & 5730:2;5734:3,19; & 5636:24,24; & data (8) \\
\hline contained (7) & corresponds (1) & 5735:13;5737:7, & 5643:4,5,14,15,17, & 5682:23,24 \\
\hline 5615:17;5673:5; & 5732:25 & 17,20,22;5741:17, & \[
19,20 ; 5644: 6 ;
\] & 5690:9,15,22; \\
\hline 5681:6;5682:17; & \(\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s }}\) (2) & 23;5742:10,15,17, & 5645:7;5648:24; & 5691:4;5697:11; \\
\hline 5691:18;5704:9; & 5690:17,1 & 23;5743:1,3; & 5649:2;5651:1,20; & 5726:21 \\
\hline 5735:1 & cost (4) & 5745:24;5749:5,9 & 5652:6;5653:1,22, & database (2) \\
\hline contemplated (1) & \[
5650: 19,22
\] & 14,21;5750:1,4,8, & 24;5656:12; & 5690:10,11 \\
\hline \[
5608: 2
\] & \[
5661: 2 ; 5747: 20
\] & \[
12,23 ; 5751: 1,4,7
\] & 5657:24;5658:3 & date (10) \\
\hline contents & costs (1) & 5752:11,17 & 16,16,24;5666:24; & 5608:10,14; \\
\hline 5636:18;5656:24 & 715:7 & courthouse (1) & 5675:24;5685:18; & 5631:18;5664:23, \\
\hline context (3) & Counsel (4) & 5608:19 & 5686:6,8,9,10,11; & 24;5721:15; \\
\hline 5673:14;5735:8; & 5662:9,9,15 & courtroom (5) & 5687:12;5695:11, & 5722:10;5725:14, \\
\hline 5741:25 & 5749:10 & 5605:6;5611:1 & 17;5699:1,6,21,23; & 15;5740:6 \\
\hline contingent & Country (7) & 16;5663:11,12 & 5704:10,12,15,18; & dated (4) \\
\hline 5661:22;5665:25 & 5681:1,24; & Court's (1) & 5705:8;5706:15; & \[
5640: 7 ; 5641: 3 ;
\] \\
\hline continual (1) & 5682:2;5685:16 & 5610:6 & \[
5708: 19,21
\] & \[
5669: 3 ; 5721: 11
\] \\
\hline 5746:8 & 5686:2;5698:16; & covenant (19) & 5709:3;5711:23; & day (7) \\
\hline continue (8) & 5699:1 & 5646:9;5666:1 & 5712:4,13;5716:3, & 5605:21,22; \\
\hline 5697:3;5717:18; & couple (5) & 23;5671:11; & 18,19,19;5721:5,9; & 5614:23;5647:9; \\
\hline 5719:5,10; & 5605:10; & 5673:9,10;5674:8, & 5728:11,18; & 5662:18;5710:13; \\
\hline 5723:20,22 & 5612:10, & 11,23;5675:6; & 5729:4;5740:10 & 5741:19 \\
\hline 5728:24;5738:15 & 5631:19;5742:11 & 5676:2,3,14; & 16,25;5741:10; & days (9) \\
\hline Continued (5) & course (10) & 5678:9,21; & 5743:23;5744:20; & 5607:17;5608:9, \\
\hline 5625:5;5669:9; & 5608:15; & 5719:19,21 & 5745:20;5746:1; & 25;5609:7,13,15, \\
\hline 5710:21;5728:14; & 5615:11;562 & 5720:1,14 & 5747:9;5751:24 & 24;5664:7;5665:5 \\
\hline 5749:22 & 5629:16;5681:1, & Covenants (9) & creditworthy (1) & DB (6) \\
\hline continues (2) & 24;5682:2; & 5665:24;5666:2 & 5659:15 & 5700:21,22 \\
\hline 5615:21;5728:10 & 5685:16;5702:2 & 5,7,21,24;5668:5 & criticism (1) & 5706:19;5728:9, \\
\hline continuing (3) & 5740:17 & 5673:4;5675:21 & 22 & 10,13 \\
\hline 5621:17,18; & COURT (133) & cover (1) & cross (10) & DD4.1 (1) \\
\hline 5731:5 & 5605:1,7,11,21; & 5606:24 & 5614:17; & 5690:1 \\
\hline contracted (1) & 5606:6,9,11,14; & coverage (2) & 5617:11;5658:5,6; & DD-4.1 (2) \\
\hline 5624:16 & 5607:1,13,14,17, & 5661:17;5679:23 & 5680:4;5695:12; & 5680:18;5684:23 \\
\hline contractual (1) & 17,20,21;5608:6,9, & covered (5) & 5734:18;5737:9, & DD4.2 (1) \\
\hline 5748:6 & 10,11,13,17; & 5613:10;5614:6 & 10;5750:13 & \[
5690: 1
\] \\
\hline contractually (1) & 5609:11,21; & 10;5696:18;5737:2 & CROSS-EXAMINATION (1) & DD4.3 (1) \\
\hline 5685:6 & 5610:7,24; & COVID (3) & 5751:9 & 5691:9 \\
\hline contrary & 5611:13;5612:13 & 5661:3;5687:21; & cumulative & DD4.4 (1) \\
\hline 5650:5;5684:21 & 5615:13;5616:1; & 5708:1 & 5747:17 & 5692:11 \\
\hline contribute (1) & 5617:7,22;5618:2, & CPLR (1) & curious (2) & DD4.7 (1) \\
\hline 5724:25 & 5,7,11,16;5627:20; & 5606:7 & 5627:21,2 & 5697:5 \\
\hline control (1) & 5628:2,17,21,23; & cradle (3) & Currency (2) & DD5 (2) \\
\hline 5676:16 & 5629:7;5632:20; & 5622:5,6; & 5638:5, & 5616:4,8 \\
\hline controls (1) & 5636:19;5637:6, & 5624:10 & current (3) & deal (10) \\
\hline 5612:24 & 13,16,21;5639:7; & CRE (8) & 5626:5;5718:17; & 5610:20; \\
\hline conversation (6) & 5641:1;5645:23; & 5682:16,19,20 & 5739:23 & 5642:20,21; \\
\hline 5646:22,23; & 5646:2,15; & 5683:3,18,20; & currently (2) & 5648:15;5650:22; \\
\hline 5675:4,15;5679:1;
\(5712 \cdot 12\) & 5647:17,22;
\[
5648: 3 ; 5649: 4
\] & 5724:19;5744:6 & \[
5605: 14 ; 5715: 6
\] & 5660:7,11; \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
5712:12 \\
conversation
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5648: 3 ; 5649: 4 ; \\
& 5652: 3 ; 5655: 8,
\end{aligned}
\] & create (3) & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline \text { curtain (1) } \\
5676: 20
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5678:13;5716:24; } \\
& 5734: 14
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5644:17; & 20,24;5657:18; & 5676:17 & customer (1) & deal-by-deal (1) \\
\hline 5671:13;5675:9 & 5659:7;5662:4,20, & created (1) & 5675:4 & 5654:15 \\
\hline cooperate (1) 5708.7 & 24;5663:1,14,19, & 5677:3 & & dealing (3) \\
\hline cooperation (2) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 25 ; \\
& 21 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5638: 20
\] & D & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5627: 15,15 ; \\
& 5657: 23
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5645:14;5708:8 & 21;5676:8; & creation (1) & D-205 (2) & deals (18) \\
\hline corner (1) & 5677:22;5678:3 & 5656:20 & 702:11,17 & 5620:4,1 \\
\hline 5640:14 & 5689:12;5696:5,8, & creative (1) & D390 (2) & 5621:5,6;5623:10, \\
\hline correctly (2) & 9,12;5697:2,25; & 5650:20 & 5655:7,9 & 10;5647:8;5648:7; \\
\hline 5639:12;5640:22 & 5700:2;5708:12; & credit (77) & daily (1) & 5650:9;5651:5,6,6; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Attorney General of the State of New York \(\mathbf{v}\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5674:18;5685:23; & defendants (13) & 5658:18 & 5629:22;5630:5; & 5701:5,20,25; \\
\hline 5686:1,3 & 5605:7,13,16; & deposits (3) & 56 & 8; \\
\hline debate (1) & 5612:3,4;5615:25; & 5658:8;5695:13 & 5637:23;5638:23; & 5744:8 \\
\hline 5742:12 & 5617:23;5633:4; & 5728:25 & 5639:1,3,8,22; & different (29) \\
\hline debt & 5637:17;5684:18; & de-risk ( & 5640:18;5641:20; & 5609:22; \\
\hline 5641:25;564 & 5694:10;5737:2; & 5726:24 & 5642:14,17,25; & 5613:22;5623:4; \\
\hline 2;5647:12;5661:9, & 5750:14 & de-risking (2) & 5643:21,23; & 5629:5;5634:19; \\
\hline 16;5675:8; & defendant's (12) & 5714:1;5740:25 & \[
5644: 12,15
\] & \[
5635: 11 ; 5636: 1 ;
\] \\
\hline 5679:22;5680:14; & 5611:5;5617:14; & de-risks (2) & 5648:22,24; & 5640:1;5643:20, \\
\hline 5690:13;5695:20; & 5639:17;5655:6,9, & 5724:10,1 & 5650:6,8,24 & 22,24;5645:16; \\
\hline 5713:12;5719:6; & 12;5692:25; & Derivatives (2) & 5651:16,25; & 5659:18;5677:6, \\
\hline 5729:7;5746:10 & 5693:18;5697:4 & 5680:9;5695:13 & 5652:4,6,24; & 11,24;5678:1,3; \\
\hline December (2) & 5700:5;5711:15 & derived (3) & 5653:1,20,23 & 5679:15;5680:25; \\
\hline 5605:14;5752:20 & 5716:15 & 5747:23,23 & 5654:11,22,2 & 5682:23;5698:14; \\
\hline decide (3) & defense (4) & 5748:7 & 5656:7,12; & 5708:15;5709:24; \\
\hline 5670:17;5736:5; & 5606:3;561 & describe (4) & 5657:14,20; & 5716:4,10,12; \\
\hline 5737:8 & 5735:18;5743:8 & 5618:24;562 & 5661:13,14; & 5720:24;5735:11 \\
\hline decided (2) & define (1) & 8;5701:2 & 5666:16,21 & differential (4) \\
\hline 5663:4;5736:13 & 5677:3 & described (4) & 5667:12,17,22 & 5611:23; \\
\hline decides (1) & defined (1) & 631:9;5671 & 5668:15,15; & 5706:22;5743:21; \\
\hline 5664:1 & 5715:3 & 679:7;5687:1 & 5669:2;5671:1 & 5744:16 \\
\hline 5636.10 & definitely & describing & 56 & differently (1) \\
\hline 5636:10; & 5734:23 & 5627:21 & 23;5677:6,11; & 5676:25 \\
\hline 5662:11;5663:14; & definition & description & 5679:7;5681:20 & difficult (3) \\
\hline 5688:14;5702:10; & 5677:20;5695:3 & 5628:18;5735:11 & 5683:2;5684:6,22; & 5634:16; \\
\hline 5707:3;5735:17; & degr & designed (1) & 5686:24,25,25; & 5684:14;5722:5 \\
\hline 5748:23 & 24;563 & & 5687:7;5688:10 & diligence (4) \\
\hline decisions (3) & & desirable ( & & \(5652 \cdot 8\) \\
\hline 5748:11,19 & delta & de & 5,13,19;5697:21; & diminished (1) \\
\hline decline (5) & 5744:11;5746:22 & 5608:20 & 5698:1,5,8,10,19; & 5703:5 \\
\hline 5671:10; & demonstrated (1) & despite (3) & 5699:2,14; & DIRECT (13) \\
\hline 5678:10,15,16; & \[
5651: 11
\] & \[
5645: 1 ; 5707: 12
\] & \[
5700: 15,17,25
\] & 5618:20; \\
\hline 5722: & demonstrat & 5716:11 & 5701:3,12; & 5665:21;5679:24; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { declined (1) } \\
5732: 16
\end{gathered}
\] & 5641:16
demonstrative (20) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { detail (6) } \\
5617: 17
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5702:19;5703: } \\
& \text { 5704:9,14,25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5713:5,14,22; } \\
& \text { 5722:18;5724:3; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline decrease (3) & 5611:5;5612:8 & 5633:10;5706:21; & 5705:9;5706:12 & 5727:23;5728:20; \\
\hline 5702:22; & 5613:5,6;5614:14, & 5709:18;5734:14; & 5708:14,20; & 5729:20;5750:9; \\
\hline 5739:21;5741:12 & 15,16;5615:1,16, & 5735:5 & 1,9;5733: & 5752:13 \\
\hline decreased & 17;5680:18; & de & 5740:17;5741:1 & directed (2) \\
\hline 5678:15 & 5697:5,8,10; & 6:1 & 5744:8;5745:8; & 5608:16;5749:16 \\
\hline decreases (1) & 5700:6;5743:8,15, & determinant (1) & 5746:2;5747:3; & direction (1) \\
\hline 5713:24 & 17,19,20 & 74:1 & 5748:7;5751:21,23 & 5610:6 \\
\hline decreasing & demonstrativ & determination (4) & develop (1) & director (0) \\
\hline 5731:2,3 & 5615:6 & 5654:12;5674:7; & 5728:10 & 5623:20,21,22 \\
\hline deem (1) & denial (1) & 5687:3;5688: & developer (4) & 23;5624:5,6 \\
\hline 5629:8 & 5712:13 & determine (9) & 48:14,14; & dirty (1) \\
\hline deemed (1) & Department (1) & 5635:7;5642:5; & 5681:13;5699:8 & 5674:19 \\
\hline 5655:9 & 5662:11 & 5670:13;5678:18; & developer/borrower (1) & disclosed (6) \\
\hline deep (1) & depending (2) & 5680:15;5686:19; & 5622:13 & 5611:3;5616:24; \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
5653: 2 \\
\text { default (1 }
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
5660:7;5707:18 \\
depends (2)
\end{tabular} & 5693:12;5712:6; & developers (11) 5620:10,11; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5733: 25 ; 5734: 9 \\
& 5735: 6 ; 5737: 1
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5620:17; & \[
5605: 23 ; 5646: 8
\] & determined & \[
5622: 9 ; 5632: 13 ;
\] & disclosure (7) \\
\hline 5622:14;5623:6,6 & depose (1) & 5642:17;5667:1; & 5648:13;5657:24; & 5633:18;5635:1, \\
\hline 5626:8;5646:7,8,9, & 5734:16 & 5673:11;5674:1; & 5658:3,17;5699:8; & 2,17;5734:11; \\
\hline 10,11;5671:11; & deposed (1) & 4;5684:8; & & 5737:13,14 \\
\hline 5674:16,25; & 5616 & 5700:17;5701:10 & development (2) & discrepancy (1) \\
\hline 5675:7,10; & deposition (3) & 5703:6 & 5619:10;5681:15 & 5672:12 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5678:24;5679:4 } \\
& \text { efaults (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 5614:7;5630:18, & determining (5) & deviation (1) & discretion (7) \\
\hline 5674:19 & depositions (2) & 5680:12;5694:4; & difference (11) & 14,16;5676:18; \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Defendant (1) } \\
5618: 14
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
5630: 19 ; 5694: 8
\] & \[
5713: 16
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5616: 10,14,22 \\
& 5667.5 \cdot 502.15 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
5693: 10 ; 5701: 10
\] \\
\hline 5618:14 & depository (1) & Deutsche (108) & 5617:5;5622:15; & discuss (4) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5662:5;5679:11; & 10;5731:25; & 5687:23 & 5618:18 & 5664:9 \\
\hline 5710:16;5752:13 & 5732.2,4 & & & enforce (4) \\
\hline discussed (5) & documentation (1) & 5634:12;5669:4; & 5644:23 & 5647:18,20; \\
\hline 5634:16;5654:2; & 5721:1 & 5702:1;5711:16; & echoing (1) & 5662:13;5708:5 \\
\hline 5680:4;5685:25; & documents (21) & 5728:7 & 5614:22 & enforceable (1) \\
\hline 5741:11 & 5612:3;5613:7,8; & drawing (1) & economic (9) & 5644:7 \\
\hline discussing (1) & 5614:18;5623:16; & 5665:23 & 5650:4,10,14,15, & enforced (4) \\
\hline 5616:20 & 5626:14;5630:2; & drive (1) & 21;5651:8,12,19; & 5645:12,13; \\
\hline discussion (3) & 5636:3;5637:3; & 5680: & 5654:13 & 5646:4,7 \\
\hline 5613:21; & 5639:12;5671:1; & driven (2) & education (1) & enforcement (4) \\
\hline 5683:16;5693 & 5673:5;5676:21; & 5659:22;5720:13 & 5621:17 & 5623:16; \\
\hline discussions (2) & 5678:6;5694:7,15; & driving (1) & educational (1) & 5646:24;5679:5; \\
\hline 5693:15;5707:5 & 5736:1;5744:9; & 5658:23 & 5618:24 & 5749:1 \\
\hline disgorgable (1) & 5748:8,9,10 & drop & educed (1) & engage (1) \\
\hline 5615:24 & dollar (2) & 5668: & 5735:25 & 5653:6 \\
\hline displayed (29) & 5708:2,4 & due (11) & effect (4) & engaged (2) \\
\hline \[
5611: 7 ; 5616: 6
\] & dollars (8) & 5611:24;5612: & 5715:9;5731:1 & \[
5653: 2 ; 5707: 25
\] \\
\hline 5655:14;5656:15; & 5621:1;5698:17 & 5624:18,20,21; & 5741:14;5747:9 & engagement (14) \\
\hline 5659:9;5668:20; & 5701:16,16,17,17; & 5663:7,8;5665:9; & efficient (2) & 5629:11; \\
\hline 5690:2;5691:10; & 5708:3;5721:21 & 5704:21;5723:2; & 5624:24;5736:11 & 5631:18;5644:20, \\
\hline 5692:12;5693:20; & Donald (1) & 5738:8 & eight (4) & 21;5645:2,19; \\
\hline 5697:6;5700:7; & 5634:19 & duly (2) & 5690:20,2 & 5647:3,9,14; \\
\hline 5702:13;5703:10, & done (28) & 5618:14;5749: & 5700:3;5727:11 & 5650:16;5662:2; \\
\hline 19,23;5704:1,4,7; & 5606:20,21; & during (15) & eighth (1) & 5667:23;5698:21; \\
\hline 5706:6;5731:21; & 5608:18;5614:3; & 5615:3,11 & 5605:25 & 5707:23 \\
\hline 5732:5;5738:9; & 5620:14;5622:9, & 5660:5;5662:6 & either (9) & engineering (2) \\
\hline 5739:12,19; & 19;5624:20; & 5681:22;5683:8; & 5618:16; & 5624:20;5721:21 \\
\hline 5740:4;5743:9,13; & 5634:1;5636:5; & 5687:21;5692:7, & 5624:17;5632:10; & Engoron (1) \\
\hline 5747:13 & 5641:22;5654:25; & 23;5698:3; & 5660:12;5720:18; & 5605:2 \\
\hline displaying (1) & 5670:24;5674:3,5, & 5708:21;5735:16; & 5735:1,2;5737:3,6 & enhanced (3) \\
\hline 5718:9 & 13;5676:14,20; & 5746:12,15;5747:4 & claborate (3) & 5704:21;5723: \\
\hline disposition (2) & 5677:4;5682:12; & dying (1) & 5623:2;5624:13; & 5738:8 \\
\hline 5624:12;5626 & 5683:14;5685:6, & 5687:23 & 5633:9 & enlarged (2) \\
\hline distinction (2) & 23;5690:12; & dynamics (3) & elected (1) & 5704:8;5743:14 \\
\hline 5642:13;5676:8 & 5716:11;5720:22; & 5715:25;5716:1, & \(3: 3\) & enough (3) \\
\hline distress (2) & 5729:4;5744:4 & 12 & election (1) & 5739:22; \\
\hline 5622:14;5723:21 & Doral (21) & & 5738:4 & 5746:10;5749:6 \\
\hline distressed (1) & 5612:1;5629:19, & E & eliminate (1) & ensure (1) \\
\hline 5650:19 & 21;5642:25; & & \[
5668: 10
\] & 5739:22 \\
\hline dive (1) & 5681:25;5685:3, & earlier (25) & eliminated (2) & enter (1) \\
\hline 5653:2 & \[
15 ; 5687: 15
\] & 5631:9;5635:21; & 5615:19;5739:2 & 5747:20 \\
\hline diversification (1) & 5691:2,3;5692:4; & 5640:25;5648:8; & elimination (1) & entered (1) \\
\hline 5688:5 & 5721:7;5724:19; & 5654:9,19; & 5684:11 & 5699:2 \\
\hline diversified (1) & 5728:5;5731:19; & 5662:13;5668:6; & elsewhere (1) & entering (1) \\
\hline 5622:25 & 5738:19;5743:23, & 5671:5;5676:15; & 5684:1 & 5618:2 \\
\hline Division (2) & 23;5744:23; & 5678:12,19; & e-mails (1) & entire (5) \\
\hline \[
5607: 16 ; 5662: 11
\] & 5746:11;5747:17 & 5680:4;5695:14; & 5693:14 & 5609:24;5612:3; \\
\hline DJT (6) & down (13) & 5699:6,9;5705:20; & embedded (1) & 5620:5;5732:20; \\
\hline \[
5723: 6 ; 5728: 10,
\] & 5626:11; & 5707:25;5723:16; & 5660:8 & 5745:11 \\
\hline 12,12,13;5738:12 & 5638:17;5650:20; & 5726:22;5727:4; & employed (1) & entities (1) \\
\hline document (35) & 5676:17;5687:24; & 5728:21;5729:1; & 5621:22 & 5731:7 \\
\hline 5636:11;5637:9, & 5700:18;5718:7; & 5736:3;5746:6 & enable (1) & entitled (3) \\
\hline 12,20;5640:3,5,9; & 5722:8;5728:3; & early (1) & 5746:10 & 5613:12; \\
\hline 5644:2;5655:23; & 5732:19;5738:7; & 5620:12 & encompass (1) & 5614:15;5750:14 \\
\hline 5656:2,4,8,10,14; & 5739:25;5752:6 & earned (1) & 5620:3 & entity (5) \\
\hline 5659:8;5668:24; & downturn (1) & 5721:14 & encompassed (1) & 5659:15;5660:2; \\
\hline 5669:1;5670:16; & 5620:14 & ease (1) & 5639:23 & 5661:1;5679:25; \\
\hline 5682:17;5693:24 & downward (3) & 744:2 & encompassing (1) & 5723:19 \\
\hline 5694:1,2;5702:21; & 5675:18; & easier (2) & & entrepreneurs (2) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
5703:14,22; \\
5705:15;5707:4;
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5706:23;5707:2 } \\
& \text { dragged (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 5612:13;5665:6 & end (6) 5609:1 & \[
5656: 18 ; 5657: 11
\] \\
\hline 5708:19;5712:11; & 5708:5 & 5615:4 & 5617:13;5624: & 5624:20;5721:21 \\
\hline 5722:24;5725:7, & dramatically (1) & East (1) & 5626:13;5647:9; & equates (5) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5693:3,4; & 5680:9;5713:13; & 5643:9;5655:4 & 20,22;5686:5; & 5660:14;5661:18; \\
\hline 5695:15;5702:25; & 5718:19;5737:14 & 5686:22;5744:23 & 5690:2;5691:10; & 5666:4;5670:19; \\
\hline 5714:21 & evaluate (1) & exactly (10) & 5692:12;5693:19, & 5671:23;5673:2; \\
\hline equity (20) & & 5613:9;5631:20 & 20,22;5697:6; & 5675:1,21,23; \\
\hline 5650:9,10,12,14, & evaluated (4) & 5633:11;5635:7; & 5700:7;5702:13; & 5676:3;5679:3,8; \\
\hline 18,22;5651:5; & 5626:18;5642:5 & 5675:5;5678:13, & 5703:9,10,12,19, & 5680:5;5700:21; \\
\hline 5652:12;5706:22; & 5651:16;5673:6 & 18;5683:23; & 22,23;5704:1,4,7; & 5701:4;5704:20, \\
\hline 5715:8,16,17; & evaluating (5) & 5685:14;5736: & 5706:5,6;5711:14, & 22;5705:16; \\
\hline 5716:1,7;5723:18, & 5626:16; & examination (8) & 15;5712:1; & 5706:16,17; \\
\hline 18;5729:12,13,18, & 5627:24;5628:10; & 5614:17; & 5714:24;5716:15; & 5707:4;5708:7,13; \\
\hline 20 & 5644:4;5660:20 & 5617:11;5618 & 5719:18;5721:2; & 5716:25;5718:1; \\
\hline erring (1) & evaluation (8) & 5665:22;5737:10; & 5725:3,10;5726:5, & 5719:3;5720:2; \\
\hline 5747:1 & 5628:1,4,13; & 5750:10,13,22 & 6;5727:11; & 5722:1,4;5726:23; \\
\hline escrow (1) & 5639:4;5672:17 & examine (4) & 5731:20,21,23; & 5732:11;5745:9; \\
\hline 5643:16 & 5691:18;5698:21; & 5617:17,19 & 5732:5;5738:7,9 & 5748:14 \\
\hline especially (3) & 5718:3 & 5734:18;573 & 5739:11,12,14,19; & experienced (1) \\
\hline 5632:12; & evaluator ( & examined (1) & 5740:3,4;5743:9, & \[
5718: 24
\] \\
\hline 5686:19;5717:4 & 5687:1,1 & 5618:14 & 13,17,19,20; & expert (27) \\
\hline essence (1) & Evan (1) & examiners (2) & 5747:13;5751:15 & 5606:8;5610:17; \\
\hline 5750:18 & 5618:18 & 5644:4;5651:1 & exhibits (2) & 5612:5;5613:9,12; \\
\hline essentially (1) & even (18) & example (4) & 5614:16;5655:19 & 5615:1,9;5616:12, \\
\hline \[
5622: 5
\] & 5614:11;5635:4, & 5642:24;5661 & exigency (1) & 13;5627:2,4,10,18; \\
\hline establish (1) & 6;5660:22;5661:6; & 5672:16;5717 & 5610:4 & 5628:25;5629:8; \\
\hline 5695:23 & 5664:9,14;5665:6; & examples (1) & existence (1) & 5637:10;5640:10; \\
\hline established (3) & 5671:10;5675:17; & 5688:18 & 5733:12 & 5733:25;5734:10, \\
\hline 5624:2;5658:19; & 5678:1;5680:1; & except (1) & existing (3) & 12;5735:1,2,6,7; \\
\hline \[
5686: 4
\] & 5688:7;5689:6; & 5688:13 & 5715:2;5716:5,5 & 5736:8;5737:13,13 \\
\hline estate (65) & 5708:15;5729:8; & exception (3) & exited (1) & expertise (6) \\
\hline 5619:2,8,9 & 5741:17;5746:25 & \[
5667: 6,7 ;
\] & 5611:16 & 5627:13,25; \\
\hline 18;5620:1,11,12, & event (9) & \[
5704: 24
\] & expand (2) & 5628:19;5647:9; \\
\hline 15,20;5621:3,20; & 5650:13;5660:3 & exceptions ( & 5657:10,25 & 5731:7;5749:3 \\
\hline 5622:1,2,4,9; & 5661:1;5667:24; & 5694:25 & expect (3) & experts (3) \\
\hline 5623:18;5624:3, & 5678:8;5695:2; & excess & 5671:22;5672: & 5617:1,13; \\
\hline 12,24;5627:9,14, & 5707:25;5720:16; & 5719:14 & 5710:10 & 5653:6 \\
\hline 18;5628:20; & 5723 & exchange (1) & expectation (2) & expert's (3) \\
\hline 5630:25;5631:8; & events (3) & 5615:6 & 5605:23,25 & 5617:17; \\
\hline 5632:13;5633:6; & 5612:22;5615:2 & exclude (2) & expected (6) & 5735:22;5736:17 \\
\hline 5634:1;5635:15; & 5735:16 & 5614:20;5736:7 & 5638:15; & explain (2) \\
\hline 5640:7;5642:21; & eventually & excuse (8) & 5704:20;5723:1,7; & 5684:25;5706:17 \\
\hline 5648:12,14,14; & 5619:21;5620:2 & 5630:18;5635:3 & 5727:23;5738:7 & explore (1) \\
\hline 5653:6;5656:6,18; & everybody (3) & 5667:6;5680:6; & expecting (2) & 5614:17 \\
\hline 5657:4,6,7,11; & 5687:22;5744:3 & 5700:20;5717:13 & 5695:2;5726:2 & expressing (1) \\
\hline 5658:3,17; & 5748:19 & 5741:6;5743:20 & expects (1) & 5733:23 \\
\hline 5661:20;5666:6; & everybody's (1) & execute (1) & 723 & extended (5) \\
\hline 5670:20;5681:15; & 5643:2 & 5719:10 & expense (1) & 5615:20; \\
\hline 5686:18;5688:7; & Everyone (4) & executed (1) & 5747:22 & 5657:14,20; \\
\hline 5689:1;5690:13, & 5609:2,18; & 5748:8 & expenses & 5661:12,12 \\
\hline 18;5694:11,12; & 5664:11;5752:12 & execution (1) & 5721:25;5722:3 & extending (1) \\
\hline 5699:8,8;5701:14; & evidence (21) & 5721:15 & experience (67) & 5627:25 \\
\hline 5706:23;5713:10; & 5606:21;5607:8; & exercise (3) & 5607:7;5619:10; & extensively (1) \\
\hline 5714:9;5719:4; & 5608:8;5609:4,10; & 5673:3;5692: & 5622:15;5623:2; & 5609:1 \\
\hline 5729:3,5,5 & 5613:6;5614:16; & 5744:23 & 5624:14;5626:16, & extent (3) \\
\hline estates (2) & 5617:8;5634:9; & exercising (2) & 21,23;5627:22; & 5605:17;5642:6; \\
\hline 5658:8;5680:8 & 5650:5;5655:7,10, & 5623:14;5646:2 & 5632:6,7,19; & 5737:11 \\
\hline estimate (2) & 12;5658:20; & Exhibit (74) & 5633:5,22; & extinguish (1) \\
\hline 5729:15;5747:25 & 5668:18;5684:3,5; & 5611:6,7;5613: & 5634:25;5636:5; & 5667:18 \\
\hline estimated (3) & 5693:18;5735:7, & 5614:14,15; & 5638:2,25; & extinguished (2) \\
\hline 5634:3;5715:6; & 19,20 & 5615:1;5616:6 & 5639:11;5641:11; & 5739:7;5740:11 \\
\hline 5729:14 & evidenced (2) & 5634:8,13; & 5645:8,11;5646:5; & extra (1) \\
\hline et (10) & 5698:20;5739:23 & 5639:17;5640:13; & 5647:25;5648:10, & 5617:14 \\
\hline 5658:9;5671:16; & exact (8) & 5655:6,9,13,14,16, & 25;5653:5,8; & extremely (8) \\
\hline 5675:14;5677:3; & \[
5619: 23 ; 5624: 9
\] & \[
25 ; 5656: 15
\] & \[
5654: 24 ; 5656: 22
\] & \[
5652: 10
\] \\
\hline 5679:12,13; & 5628:18;5631:16; & 5659:9;5668:19, & \[
25 ; 5657: 15,19,22
\] & 5660:21;5680:7, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 11;5714:10; & failing (1) & 5610:11; & 5635:2,3,13,14,16, & 5685:10; \\
\hline 5719:4,8;5720:19 & 5724:21 & 5628:25;5648:8; & 19;5637:2,24; & 691:25,25; \\
\hline eye (1) & failure (4) & 5688:11;5705:25; & 5638:11;5640:16; & 5692:3;5738:1 \\
\hline 5670:12 & 5727:6;57 & 5718:8;5721:24; & 5644:16;5648:16; & fixed-rate (1) \\
\hline eyebrows (1) & 5733:24;5737:24 & 5732:17;5749:20 & 5652:15,21,25; & 5685:11 \\
\hline 5678:25 & fair (3) & fees (4) & 5653:18,20; & fixing (1) \\
\hline & 5734 & 5725:11 & 5654:7,9,14,20; & 5695:13 \\
\hline F & (7) & 5727:16;5733:24; & 5669:6;5670:15; & flawed (2) \\
\hline & fairly (7) & 5734:25 & 5671:3;5672:3,9, & 5724:24;5727:9 \\
\hline faced & 5637:1;5653:2 & felt (6) & 18,22;5673:1,19; & flip (4) \\
\hline 5735:19 & 5669:5;5670:8,10; & 5611:19;5659:4; & 5698:9,12,15; & 5661:25; \\
\hline facilities (12) & 5672:2,18 & 5702:5;5708:17; & 5699:15;5704:19; & 5671:14;5687:24; \\
\hline 5621:2;5661:12; & fall (6) & \[
5720: 11 ; 5732: 15
\] & 5705:14,25; & 5752:2 \\
\hline 5681:1,25;5682:2; & 5609:16; & few (6) & 5706:2,8,13; & flow (17) \\
\hline 5691:16;5692:20, & 5660:24;5682:3 & 5615:13 & 5707:17;5708:15, & 5641:17,2 \\
\hline 22;5708:21; & 5685:15;5687:11, & 5628:17;5646:13; & 17;5746:7 & 5642:1,4;5647:11, \\
\hline 5728:1,8;5741:10 & 18 & 5663:14;5698:15, & financials (1) & 11;5660:4;5661:2, \\
\hline facility (46) & fallen (1) & 25 & 5647:16 & 4,9;5666:1;5668:8; \\
\hline 5660:18; & 5692:10 & field (4) & financing (2) & 5679:25;5708:1; \\
\hline 5685:16;5688:17; & falling (1) & 5627:18;5629:3; & 5684:17;5734:14 & 5713:14;5719:7; \\
\hline 5704:17,25; & 5682:1 & 5630:24;5631:8 & find (9) & 5746:10 \\
\hline 5714:6;5721:13; & falls (5) & fields (2) & 5609:14; & flowing (1) \\
\hline 5722:1,8,9,10,12; & 5660:4;5661:2,4; & 5629:5,8 & 5616:12;5633:16; & \[
5620: 21
\] \\
\hline 5725:11,13,14,15, & 5751:18;5752:4 & fifth (2) & 5683:12;5684:20, & flows (1) \\
\hline 18;5727:3,17,20; & familiar (6) & 5665:12;5749:12 & 21;5688:18; & 5622:24 \\
\hline 5728:11,11,19; & 5609:2;56 & figure (3) & 5708:3;5734:21 & fluctuate (2) \\
\hline 5729:10,11; & 5682:6,8;5711:19; & 5633:11; & findings (2) & 5685:11;5692:1 \\
\hline 5731:1,12; & 5716:24 & 5678:12;5748:2 & 5608:4;5609:10 & fluctuations (2) \\
\hline 5738:16,19, & family (5) & figured (1) & fine (4) & 5685:8;5720:6 \\
\hline 5739:4,7,24 & 5659:6;5687:6,9 & 5608:3 & 5616:20 & focus (7) \\
\hline 5740:10,16,17,21; & 5728:12,25 & figures (2) & 5664:20;5665:20; & 5607:22; \\
\hline 5741:1;5743:23; & fantastic (1) & 5691:4,6 & 5696:17 & 5626:24;5651:4; \\
\hline 5744:20;5745:10, & 5655:18 & file (2) & finish (1) & 5670:7;5678:9,11; \\
\hline 15,21;5746:2,11,14 & far (2) & 5645:15;5664 & 710:10 & 5719:19 \\
\hline fact (10) & 5606:12;5734:24 & filing (1) & firm (2) & focuses (2) \\
\hline 5608:4;5609:10; & fashion (2) & 5750:13 & 5681:8;5728:15 & 5691:14,15 \\
\hline 5662:15;5684:21; & 5654:4;5655:5 & filings (1) & firmly (1) & folks (3) \\
\hline 5698:24;5716:11; & fault (2) & 5630:14 & 5698:5 & 5630:20; \\
\hline 5735:11;5747:4; & 5646:9,1 & fill (1) & First (23) & 5693:12;5724:12 \\
\hline 5748:13,22 & favor (1) & 5661:8 & 5610:17; & follow (5) \\
\hline factor (13) & 5612:25 & filtered (1) & 5612:12,18; & 5606:2;5628:7; \\
\hline 5650:6;5651:16; & feature (1) & 5638:17 & 5618:14;5619:14; & 5729:7;5741:25; \\
\hline 5672:17;5684:10; & 5723:11 & final (1) & 5620:8;5642:19; & 5742:9 \\
\hline 5687:5;5688:22; & featured (1) & 5626:1 & 5643:3,10; & followed (4) \\
\hline 5696:1;5713:14; & 5715:3 & finalize & 5656:17;5662:11; & 5635:25; \\
\hline 5714:8;5723:13, & features & 5737:12 & 5664:12;5669:4; & 5636:12;5640:20, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 14;5728:22; } \\
& \text { 5751:22 }
\end{aligned}
\] & Febru & finall & \(5674: 24 ; 5685: 5 ;\)
\(5710 \cdot 18.5715 \cdot 2\) & \[
22
\] \\
\hline factored (4) & 5609:7;5610:1 & 5700:18;5717:16 & 5721:6,6;5726:9; & 5642:3;5649:3; \\
\hline 5702:9;5722:14, & federal (1) & finance (1 & 5734:2,10;5740:2 & 5651:21;5653:10; \\
\hline 19;5726:1 & 5638:7 & 5619:9,16; & fit (5) & 5663:22,23; \\
\hline factors (22) & federally (1) & 5624:12;5627:9 & 5608:1,24 & 5665:6;5689:11; \\
\hline 5654:5,19; & 5638:8 & 14,19;5628:20; & 5613:25;5622:22, & 5718:23;5730:1 \\
\hline 5659:24;5672:1,6, & fee (22) & 5630:25;5631:9; & 22 & follows (2) \\
\hline 7;5679:17,19; & 5680:7,8; & 5633:6;5656:6 & five (13) & 5618:15;5641:21 \\
\hline 5680:11;5684:9; & 5695:15,16,19; & financial (66) & 5617:1;5624:1; & follow-up (1) \\
\hline 5687:25;5688:13, & 5715:6,14;5716:6; & 5621:16; & 5627:6;5665:12; & 5641:1 \\
\hline 13;5693:11; & 5721:12,13,17; & 5626:17,18; & 5696:25;5703:9, & foot (3) \\
\hline 5713:16;5718:3,5; & 5722:9,12,18,18; & 5627:24;5628:1,5, & 21;5725:9,10; & 5646:9,10; \\
\hline 5722:18;5724:25; & 5725:13,18; & 8,11;5630:3; & 5751:3,3,6,6 & 5715:4 \\
\hline 5727:2,7,8 & 5727:17,20; & 5632:7,9,16,16; & Five-minute (2) & forbear-ed (1) \\
\hline facts (1) & 5729:2;5747:19,24 & 5633:2,3,13,23,25; & 5659:7;5708:12 & 5675:17 \\
\hline 5607:12 & feel (9) & 5634:17,18,19,20; & fixed (5) & Forbes (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5697:12 & 5685:13 & given (3) & 5622:2;5623:9; & guarantors (6) \\
\hline foreclosure (2) & fulfill (3) & 5630:16;5663:5; & 5653:3;5657:6,7; & 5632:8;5633:24; \\
\hline 5623:15;5645:17 & 5651:11,19; & 5676:19 & 5659:18;5684:2; & 5638:13;5647:7; \\
\hline foregoing (1) & 5654:18 & gives (7) & 5694:19;5695:1; & 5654:7;5748:9 \\
\hline 5669:5 & full (12) & 5607:17; & 5698:21 & Guarantors' (1) \\
\hline form (6) & 5624:17;5625:1; & 5633:12;5661:24; & groups (1) & 5640:16 \\
\hline 5634:6;5636:17; & 5643:23;5645:1; & 5666:10;5671:17; & 5657:2 & guarantor's (9) \\
\hline 5657:7;5658:7; & 5652:6;5660:13, & 5681:17;5737:25 & grow (6) & 5638:11;5652:7, \\
\hline 5675:3;5720:11 & 14,17;5661:15; & giving (2) & 5659:5;5695:18, & 11,14,21;5661:21; \\
\hline formal (3) & 5681:25;5682:1; & 5686:15;5734:17 & 24;5699:4,14; & 5672:16,17; \\
\hline 5608:5;5621:14; & 5714:25 & glad (1) & 5728:14 & 5673:11 \\
\hline 5712:3 & full-service (2) & 5742:8 & guarantee (46) & anty (61) \\
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\hline 5646:24;5647:24; & 5646:25;5647:5 & 5644:20,2 & 5641:24 & 5719:12,22; \\
\hline 5648:4;5696:5; & 13,25;5653:17; & 5647:14;5660:1 & liquidity (27) & 5720:2,7,8,20,24, \\
\hline 5700:3;5734:20, & 5654:10;5662:1; & 5661:8;5688:3; & 5641:17; & 24;5721:10,19; \\
\hline 20;5735:5,5 & 5666:10;5667:16; & 5707:20;5717:18 & 5651:22;5652:7,9; & 5722:13,21; \\
\hline 5737:16,17,20 & 5668:4;5670:13, & 5718:10;5732:16, & 5653:10,13,17,25; & 5723:9,11,13; \\
\hline 5738:12;5742:13, & 20;5671:1;5673:2, & 17;5751:18;5752:3 & 5654:18;5666:1, & 5724:7;5725:1; \\
\hline 23 & 16;5674:1,8,14,15, & levels (6) & 22;5671:16; & 5726:18,25; \\
\hline late (2) & 22;5676:21,23,23; & 5658:12; & 5678:15,16; & 5727:9,13,16; \\
\hline 5620:11 & 5679:1;5683:25; & 5661:16;5670:18; & 5679:23;5694:24; & 5729:22,24; \\
\hline later (4) & 5693:3,11; & 5739:17,21,22 & 5695:2;5698:20; & 5731:10;5732:8; \\
\hline 5627:23 & 5695:11;5698:10; & Leverage (1) & 5700:19,20; & 5738:3,22;5739:2, \\
\hline 5664:25;5710:7,13 & 5701:7,10;5703:1, & 5739:17 & 5705:12;5719:14, & 22,24;5740:22,23, \\
\hline law (5) & 2;5705:5;5713:16, & liabilities (7) & 24;5720:3,5,7; & 25;5741:7,8,16; \\
\hline 5607:11;5608:4; & 25;5714:14; & 5661:23; & 5728:13 & 5743:5;5744:14, \\
\hline 5609:11;5662:18; & 5716:23;5721:24; & 5665:25;5671:16; & lists (1) & 15,19;5745:2; \\
\hline 5749:1 & 5722:3,17; & 5672:21;5673:25; & 5728:1 & 5748:4,21;5751:21 \\
\hline Laws (1) & 5723:14;5724:5,7; & 5678:14;5679:24 & litigate (1) & loans (68) \\
\hline 5645:17 & 5725:19,21; & liability (3) & 5722:5 & 5613:3,11; \\
\hline lawyers (2) & 5727:24;5731:11; & 5652:16; & litigation (8) & 5614:1;5620:1; \\
\hline 5648:3;5750:5 & 5732:15;5740:24; & 5661:22;5673:24 & 5626:10;5645:8, & 5622:13,16,16,17, \\
\hline lead (3) & 5743:6 & LIBOR (4) & 9;5647:21,25; & 17,19;5629:14,14, \\
\hline 5648:1;5658:16 & lenders (20) & 5685:9,11,14,17 & 5650:14;5674:20, & 16,18,19,22; \\
\hline 5695:17 & 5621:15;5622:7 & lien (1) & 20 & 5630:7;5635:24; \\
\hline leading (19) & 5624:16,17; & 5721:6 & little (8) & 5639:4,9,22; \\
\hline 5639:6;565 & 5626:6,9;5633:22; & lieu (1) & 5605:13,2 & 5640:19,25; \\
\hline 5657:17,25 & 5636:1;5638:12; & 5609:6 & 5640:1;5678:23; & 5641:4,20; \\
\hline 5658:24;5671:10; & 5648:13;5658:14; & life (17) & 5722:8;5725:5; & 5642:14,16,18; \\
\hline 5677:9,13,14,17, & 5666:6,7;5670:9; & 5619:9;5631:19 & 5737:9;5743:11 & 5643:15,21,23; \\
\hline 21,25;5678:2,4; & 5692:22;5694:25; & 5663:24;5671:25; & live (2) & 5644:23;5650:7,8, \\
\hline 5697:24;5699:6; & 5707:1;5713:11; & 5685:23;5690:12, & 5617:18;5735:3 & 24;5654:22; \\
\hline 5729:3;5733:15; & 5724:3;5733:6 & 12,14,16,17; & living (2) & 5657:14,19; \\
\hline 5745:22 & lender's (3) & 5731:1;5738:3; & 5686:2;5748:24 & 5661:12,14; \\
\hline leads (1) & 5635:18; & 5739:2;5740:20; & loan (139) & 5666:17,22; \\
\hline 5658:18 & 5638:10;5678:9 & 5741:6;5745:2; & 5612:1;5613:22; & 5667:5;5671:20; \\
\hline learned (1) & lending (15) & 5747:5 & 5616:10,11,14,15; & 5673:9;5678:7; \\
\hline 5700:2 & 5628:8;5635:14; & lifetime (1) & 5617:5,6;5623:2,4, & 5680:24;5681:20, \\
\hline least (5) & 5637:25;5639:8; & 5708:21 & 11,16;5626:14; & 21;5682:25; \\
\hline 5606:10,12; & 5640:7;5655:1; & lifts (1) & 5627:14;5629:22; & 5685:6,11,11,14; \\
\hline 5650:17;5719:25; & 5656:6;5660:18; & 5624:25 & 5634:1;5641:23, & 5687:11;5688:15; \\
\hline 5734:17 & 5666:6;5670:21; & light (2) & 24;5642:7,22,23, & 5689:1;5690:15; \\
\hline leave (2) & 5673:14;5678:25; & 5708:1;5750:13 & 25;5643:8,20,24; & 5692:25;5693:7; \\
\hline 5606:3;5623:25 & 5690:18;5705:6; & liked (1) & 5645:12,13; & 5694:5;5700:23; \\
\hline leaving (1) & 5712:4 & 5622:4 & 5646:8;5650:11; & 5701:15;5702:23; \\
\hline 5722:3 & lengthy (1) & likelihood (1) & 5651:7;5652:20; & 5733:24;5734:14; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5738:20;5747:17 & \[
5626: 8
\] & \[
5688: 2
\] & 5713:1;5717:17; & \[
5637: 10,17
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ioan-to-value (29) } \\
& 5651: 5,7 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & looses (1) 5707:13 & main (3) 5657:7;5659: &  & McCarty (33) 5611:11;561 \\
\hline 5660:21;56 & loss (1) & 5706:22 & 5648:20 & 20,21;5614:25 \\
\hline 5679:22;5685:20; & 5623:7 & mainly (1) & marketplace (3) & 5616:21,22; \\
\hline 5692:19,21,22; & losses (1) & 5647:3 & 5681:18; & 5631:4;5684:12, \\
\hline 5693:1,3;5702:23, & 5748:4 & maintain (2) & 5688:19;5748:15 & 12;5685:1,8; \\
\hline 25;5703:4; & lost (3) & 5717:25;5746:9 & markets (1) & 5686:4;5689:2; \\
\hline 5714:10,11,17,19; & 5667:22;5745:4 & majored (2) & 5620:15 & 5691:4,7,19,21,24; \\
\hline 5717:1;5720:18; & 5748:1 & 5619:2,7 & Marsal (9) & 5692:3,6;5711:13; \\
\hline 5724:7;5726:14, & lot (11) & majority (2) & 5621:23,24 & 5712:22;5715:22; \\
\hline 17,20;5727:1; & 5606:24;562 & 5626:24;5627 & 5622:2;5623:17, & 5724:23;5727:4; \\
\hline 5729:23;5731:9, & 5626:4;5633:15, & makes (4) & 19,25;5624:8; & 5735:10;5736:20; \\
\hline 10;5743:5 & 20;5635:4; & 5707:24;5724:7, & 5626:20 & 5744:2,6,24; \\
\hline loan-to-values (1) & 5690:13;5693:13; & 13;5749:19 & massive (1) & 5746:20;5750:19 \\
\hline 5681:17 & 5695:17;5698:18; & making (4) & 5661:4 & McCarty's (29) \\
\hline location (2) & 5699:3 & 5729:21; & matches (1) & 5613:3,12,22,23, \\
\hline 5648:22;5723:4 & love (3) & 5738:22;5740:22; & 5744:5 & 25;5615:18; \\
\hline Lodging (8) & 5658:3;5695:16; & 5748:23 & material (11) & 5682:5,9;5683:3, \\
\hline 5681:1,25; & 5700:4 & managed (1) & 5669:6;5670:6,8, & 12;5684:24; \\
\hline 5682:1;5685:16; & low (9) & 5620:10 & 9,11,15,17,17; & 5685:7;5690:20; \\
\hline 5688:17;5691:16; & 5660:2 & management (20) & 5672:3,18,20 & 5712:16,18; \\
\hline 5692:20,22 & 5668:7;5702:6,9; & 5619:8,17; & Materiality (3) & 5715:19,20; \\
\hline long (6) & 5714:17;5717:13; & 5620:3,4,14 & 5670:12;5687:1; & 5720:21;5724:18; \\
\hline 5610:10, & 5726:24;5739:22 & 5621:7,9;5622:8; & 5720:9 & 5731:13,16; \\
\hline 5613:15;5614:6; & lower (29) & 5624:19;5627:14; & mathematical (1) & 5733:11,19; \\
\hline 5665:8;5710:6 & 5640:14; & 5656:5,7;5659:18, & 5682:15 & 5734:25;5736:23; \\
\hline longer (2) & 5660:22;5668:10; & 20;5683:2;5694:3, & matter (16) & 5738:25;5741:1; \\
\hline 5663:11;5710:8 & 5675:12,19; & 9,16,19;5695:1 & 5611:2;5613:10, & 5743:25;5745:17 \\
\hline long-term (1) & 5687:19;5688:22; & manager (2) & 11;5614:7,8,10; & mean (25) \\
\hline 5675:11 & 5692:6;5693:1,2,5; & 5620:10;5621:6 & 5616:17;5617:20; & 5606:24; \\
\hline look (28) & 5702:25;5703:3,4, & Managing (2) & 5675:2;5684:21; & 5613:17,20; \\
\hline 5606:15;5613:1, & 5,5;5713:23,23; & 5624:5,6 & 5699:9;5707:17, & 5629:18;5641:21; \\
\hline 17;5617:1; & 5714:19,21; & manner (7) & 21;5708:24; & 5647:6,7;5652:13; \\
\hline 5642:20;5643:9, & 5729:22,23; & 5613:20; & 5735:5,22 & 5653:12;5660:10; \\
\hline 13;5647:1;5653:3; & 5731:9,9;5738:24; & 5631:23;5632:1; & matters (4) & 5667:19,20,21; \\
\hline 5656:13;5672:24; & 5740:23;5741:20; & 5671:23;5693:7; & 5608:19; & 5670:1;5673:13; \\
\hline 5687:9,17; & 5743:5;5746:25 & 5731:6;5746:9 & 5634:16;5645:18; & 5686:6;5694:21; \\
\hline 5699:20;5700:25; & lowering (3) & manufacture (1) & 5687:2 & 5700:21;5708:14, \\
\hline 5701:18;5706:21; & 5715:16,17; & 674:16 & may (33) & 25;5715:11; \\
\hline 5713:11,16; & 5717:11 & manufactured (1) & 5609:21; & 5724:11;5733:2; \\
\hline 5714:5;5717:4,6; & lower-to-value (1) & 5674:19 & 5612:11;5615:18, & 5734:1;5742:3 \\
\hline 5718:18;5721:12; & 5729:22 & many (7) & 21;5616:13; & means (8) \\
\hline 5723:14;5726:9; & lowest (1) & 5613:18; & 5619:13;5623:6; & 5622:5;5628:6, \\
\hline 5727:25;5751:16 & 5690:25 & 5617:21;5627:4; & 5625:1;5635:11; & 0;5652:14; \\
\hline looked (6) & LTV (6) & 5631:17;5696:19; & 5643:5;5645:7; & 5670:3;5709:1; \\
\hline 5641:22; & 5713:24;5714:8; & 5697:15,19 & 5655:17,20; & 5715:12;5733:3 \\
\hline 5658:12;5682:11; & 5732:20;5739:21, & map (1) & 5658:13;5665:24; & meant (2) \\
\hline 5722:15;5723:17; & 25;5752:6 & 5654:9 & 5666:12,16; & 5646:14,20 \\
\hline 5727:4 & LTV's (1) & Mar-a-Lago (1) & 5668:17;5671:11; & measure (1) \\
\hline looking (15) & 5661:17 & 5610:20 & 5687:7;5688:4,5; & 5683:9 \\
\hline 5642:9,10,19; & luck (1) & March (2) & 5703:21;5708:7; & measuring (1) \\
\hline 5643:4;5644:3; & 5608:17 & 5640:8;5641: & 5713:18;5717:21, & 5722:20 \\
\hline 5680:2;5686:23; & lunch ( & margin (2) & 23;5723:22; & mechanisms (1) \\
\hline 5701:1;5705:22; & 5711:1 & 5702:3;5714:14 & 5737:1,18;5749:7, & 5661:14 \\
\hline 5717:17,22,23; & Iuncheon (1) & marked (3) & 13;5750:6 & meet (1) \\
\hline 5722:17,20; & 5710:20 & 5655:9;5680:17; & maybe (10) & 5641:16 \\
\hline 5742:17 & lunchtime (1) & 5743:8 & 5609:14,23,23 & member (1) \\
\hline looks (6) & 5710:3 & market (11) & 5611:12,19; & 5694:18 \\
\hline 5623:5;5673:18; 5687:8;5696:3; & M & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5626:5;5648:10, } \\
& 11 ; 5683: 7 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & 5612:16;5636:19; 5664:21;5695:2; & members (3) 5605:4;5653 \\
\hline \[
5716: 24 ; 5717: 16
\] & & \[
5685: 22 ; 5686: 2
\] & \[
5749: 11
\] & 5659:6 \\
\hline looming (1) & macro (1) & 5691:8;5693:6; & Mazars (2) & memo (15) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 5656:24; & 5738:13,15; & 5750:6 & 5720:10;5735:21 & negotiate (1) \\
\hline 5657:16;5688:25; & 5739:25;5745:4; & more (39) & Multi-family (1) & 5626:13 \\
\hline 5704:10,12,15,18; & 5746:22;5747:22 & 5606:4,13; & 5688:9 & negotiated (4) \\
\hline 5705:8;5711:17, & mind (6) & 09:4;5614:12; & multiple (10) & 5683:22; \\
\hline 19,22,23;5716:18, & 5610:3;5631:5 & 5615:8;5616:1,19; & 5622:6;5629:4,5; & 5685:25;5748:8,17 \\
\hline 19;5751:24 & 5672:5;5734:3 & 5620:3;5624:24; & 5643:17;5648:1 & gotiating (2) \\
\hline memorandum (1) & 5740:18;5745:8 & 5631:1;5658:13, & 5658:24;5680:13; & 5648:2;5748:21 \\
\hline 5714:3 & minds (1) & 13;5665:15; & 5693:11;5713:16; & (74) \\
\hline memos (14) & 569 & 5670:10;5673:24 & \[
5718: 21
\] & 5647:8;5657:23, \\
\hline 5630:5;5636:24, & minimal (2) & 5681:13;5695:18; & multitude (15) & 23;5659:1; \\
\hline \[
24 ; 5648: 24
\] & 5647:8;5720 & 5696:16;5698:16; & 5622:3,25; & 5665:24;5666:22; \\
\hline 5649:2;5651:1,20; & minimize (1) & 5702:25;5714:19, & 5623:4;5630:2; & 5673:4,9,10,13,15, \\
\hline 5652:6;5653:1,22, & 5622:25 & 20;5716:8;5717:3; & 5632:13;5676:17; & 21,22;5674:6,7,11, \\
\hline 24;5656:12; & Minimum (14) & 5723:18,20; & 5678:17;5679:19; & 12,13,23;5675:11, \\
\hline 5675:24;5706:15 & 5665:24,25; & 5724:5,7;5729:2,8, & 5680:11;5684:9; & 18,20;5676:2,3,14, \\
\hline mentioned (18) & 5666:22;5674:7; & 21;5731:10; & 5686:11;5687:25; & 15;5678:8,10; \\
\hline 5627:22;5629:9; & 5675:20;5678:8; & 5736:11,11; & 5688:12;5695:11, & 5694:17,21,23; \\
\hline 5633:25;5635:21; & 5700:16,19,22; & 5738:23;5739:23; & \[
25
\] & 5695:3,4,8,10; \\
\hline 5639:22;5648:7; & 5701:21;5702:6, & 5741:19;5742:11, & Myself (1) & 5696:15;5698:23; \\
\hline 5654:18;5660:24; & 19;5719:23;5747:1 & 11 & 5624:2 & 5699:8,10,11; \\
\hline 5676:15;5693:12; & minor (2) & morning (3) & & 5700:14,16,17,19, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5695:14;5699:6,9; } \\
& \text { 5707:23,25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 5646:10;5672:25 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5618: 22,23 ; \\
& 5662: 10
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\mathbf{N}\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 22;5701:6,7,8,9,18, } \\
& \text { 19,21;5702:4,7,16, }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 5722:14;5723:16, & & morphed ( & (1) & 18,18;5705:14,21, \\
\hline 16 & minute (1) & 5613:25 & 618:16 & 23;5706:18,19,22; \\
\hline merger & 62:7 & mortgage (8) & narrow-minded (1) & 5708:24;5719:13, \\
\hline 5619:14 & minutes (2) & 5680:22; & 5684:19 & 19,21,23,23; \\
\hline met (3) & 5606:2;56 & 5682:25;5690:10, & national (2) & 5720:1,2,4,6; \\
\hline 5653:24 & mitigated & 5691:14 & 5622:6;5680 & 5747:1 \\
\hline 5702:20;5750:6 & 5716:22 & 5715:2;5721:6 & nature (4) & Nevertheless (1) \\
\hline methodology (1) & Mm-Hm (1) & most ( & 5670:20; & 5617:7 \\
\hline 5747:2 & 5640:17 & 5605:19 & 5704:19;5707: & New (10) \\
\hline metric (1) & Mm-hmm & 5606:19;5609:16 & 5738:24 & 5607:6,9; \\
\hline 5717:4 & 5738:11 & 5645:18;5648:17; & nearly (4) & 5610:22;5612:8; \\
\hline metrics (4) & modification & 5653:13;5654:19; & 5629:2;5686 & 5614:9;5616:5; \\
\hline 5676:24; & 5728:5 & 5658:17;5672:10; & 5719:14;5738:13 & 5656:5;5659:3,5; \\
\hline 5680:14;5717:
\(5718 \cdot 10\) & mod & 5714:5;5729 & necessarily ( & 5743:1 \\
\hline 5718 & 5612.21, & motion (3) & 512.24, & \(x t\) (18) \\
\hline Miami (1) & modify (1) & 5608:16; & 5621:14;5647:6,6; & 5605:8;5625:5; \\
\hline 5646:19 & 5736:8 & 5610:18,23 & 5666:11;5679:3; & 5632:21;5643:1; \\
\hline Michael (3) & Moens (1) & motions (1) & 5707:1,14 & 5644:1;5645:25; \\
\hline 5649:4;5689:12 & 5610:19 & 5630:14 & necessary (2) & 5669:9;5684:23; \\
\hline 5730:2 & moment (2) & motto (1) & 5614:12;5735:18 & 5702:11;5709:25; \\
\hline microphone & 5664:8;566 & 4 & need (17) & 5710:4,21; \\
\hline 5612:17 & Monday (2) & move (11) & 5608:25 & 5711:14;5722:23; \\
\hline mid (1) & 5612:20;5664:3 & 5620:12 & 5616:17;5617:15; & 5728:3;5742:4; \\
\hline 5714:6 & money (6) & 5622:22;5643:4; & 5625:1,4;5643:6; & 5749:22;5752:1 \\
\hline middle (2) & 5696:14,16,21, & 5655:6;5665:9; & 5648:18;5660:3, & nice (2) \\
\hline 5656:17;5669:4 & 24;5700:1;5724:5 & 5721:23;5724:16 & 23;5661:7; & 5633:14,17 \\
\hline might (5) & monitor (4) & 5725:2;5729:9; & 5668:10;5675:13; & night (9) \\
\hline 5612:13;5628:7 & 5610:5;5666:7 & 5737:15;5742:6 & 5715:14;5716:22; & 5611:1,11,12; \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
5634:18;5663:23; \\
5664:25
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 5667:3;5671:23 } \\
& \text { month (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & moved (4) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 5737: 9 ; 5742: 20 ; \\
& 5750: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & 5612:6;5615:6,8,
\[
16: 5617: 2: 5750: 19
\] \\
\hline million (33) & 5610:10;5663:15 & 574 & needed (7) & ine (4) \\
\hline 5621:1,2; & monthly (1) & moving (3) & 5606:4;5645:22; & 5631:20; \\
\hline 5694:17;5695:4,4, & 5642:2 & 5655:23; & 5650:22;5667:25; & 5716:14;5727:10, \\
\hline 5,7;5701:16,16; & months (6) & 5665:19;5746:18 & 5709:4;5732:18; & 16 \\
\hline 5706:25;5708:3; & 5610:4,10; & much (13) & 5752:9 & ninth (2) \\
\hline 5715:4,7,8,13,15; & 5617:2,21; & 5614:2;5617:15; & needs (3) & 5663:15;5664:10 \\
\hline 5716:1,6;5717:9; & 5631:20;5663:25 & 5647:7;5650:18; & 5661:9,10 & nobody (2) \\
\hline 5719:15,23,25; & mood (1) & 5661:23;5668:23; & 5735:7 & 5722:5;5748:20 \\
\hline 5723:6;5728:13; & 5612:16 & 5692:5;5696:16; & negative (2) & non-binding (2) \\
\hline 5729:14,16,16; & \(\boldsymbol{m o o t}(1)\) & 5710:7,8;5714:16; & 5666:9;5671:5 & 5683:13;5685:24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Attorney General of the State of New York v.
Donald J. Trump, et. al.
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline non-collateral (2) \\
\(5652: 19 ; 5653: 9\) \\
non-cooperation (1) \\
5647:19 \\
non-credit (2) \\
\(5728: 14 ; 5729: 1\) \\
none (3) \\
\(5686: 23 ; 5705: 4 ;\) \\
\(5708: 23\) \\
non-finance (1) \\
\(5724: 12\) \\
noninterest (2) \\
50 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|} 
5640:14;5683:12; \\
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COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is now in session. The Honorable Judge Arthur Engoron presiding. Make sure all cell phones are on silent. Laptops and cell phones will be permitted, but only to members of the press. There is absolutely no recording or photography of any kind allowed in the courtroom.

Now be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, would you like to continue your cross examination of the current witness? MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor. The People will continue their cross examination of Mr. Unell.

THE COURT: Let me ask you, do you feel you had enough time to prepare for this under the exigent circumstances?

MR. WALLACE: We will see how I do.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Good morning, Mr. Unell.
A Good morning.
Q I want to talk first about the engagement through Ankura for the Trump Organization. I believe you testified yesterday that you are around 900,950 - your time is being billed at between \(\$ 900\) per hour and \(\$ 950\) per hour, right?

A I believe that's about the range.
Q I think you said you performed about 200 hours of

Unell - by Defendant - Cross (Wallace)
Page 5756
work on this engagement; is that correct?
A Give or take, yes.
Q You also performed other engagements for the Trump Organization while at Ankura, correct?

A Yes.
Q What have those engagements been?
A I have worked on one engagement, and that was on a potential conservation easement valuation of the Doral property.

Q And when did you begin your work on that engagement?
A Sometime probably about a year ago.
Q Okay. So would this have been before you were retained as an expert for this case?

A I am not certain of the exact timing.
Q It might have been close to the same time?
A Around then, yes.
Q Do you have an sense of how much time you spent on that engagement?

A Probably less than 10 or 15 hours.
Q And do you know what your time was billed at for that engagement?

A That's a fixed-fee engagement that is actually led by our valuation team.

Q So your time is not being charged at an hourly rate for that engagement; am I understanding that correctly?

A No, sir.
Q Do you have a sense overall --
THE COURT: Mr. Wallace. Classic negative question. "It is not" and then he says "no." Does that mean, no, it is not; does that mean, no, you are wrong?

MR. WALLACE: Fair point, Your Honor.
I think the prior answer was clear. I tried to clarify it and made it less clear. I'll leave it be.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q Do you have a sense of the total billings for Ankura to the Trump Organization over the past two years?

A No, sir.
Q So going to your expert opinions, I would like start off with the difference between your analysis of lost interest and Mr. McCarty's analysis.

MR. WALLACE: If we could put up on the screen DD-5?
Q This is the chart reflecting your --
MR. WALLACE: There we are.
Q This is the chart reflecting your analysis of the differential of interest on the Deutsche Bank loans if there was no guaranty; is that correct?

A This is a hypothetical that was done to illustrate what the difference would be, based off of the information that was derived from the Deutsche Bank testimony and the loan
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documents utilizing the 25 basis point differential between a guaranty and a limited guaranty.

Q Okay. But it is an analysis of the difference in the pricing of the Deutsche Bank loans with the guaranty -- let me back up.

So it is a hypothetical analysis, correct?
A It is an illustrative analysis to show a hypothetical and really the differential between Mr. McCarty's analysis and the analysis that would be reflective with the 25 basis points as shown in the documentation and from the testimony of the Deutsche Bank personnel.

THE COURT: The question was whether these were
hypothetical numbers. I assume the answer is yes?
THE WITNESS: They are hypothetical, yes.
Q Okay. And I'll tell you, I think you described this in detail, I am trying to understand the pieces of it. I'll take it piece by piece. And many of the questions will be yes or no.

So, the hypothetical analysis is what the difference might be in the Deutsche Bank loans that were actually extended here with the guaranty and without the guaranty; is that fair?

A No. It is -- to some extent it is. But what it is trying to show is, is what the actual interest percentage was, and then without a guaranty, where it would go. And that is based off of the \(\mathbf{2 5}\) basis points as outlined in the loan
documentation based off of the step-down and the contractual obligations between the borrower and the lender.

Q Okay. So you took the actual loans as they existed, fully signed and executed by the Private Wealth Group at Deutsche Bank and the Trump Organization and then backed out the personal guaranty in the loan; is that fair?

A I did not back out the personal guaranty of the loan.
Q What did you do?
A I assumed an additional 25 basis points, an elimination of the guaranty in conjunction with the loan documents as prescribed, especially in the Doral loan. The loan -- the Doral loan documents state that there is an additional 25 basis points that would be added when the guaranty burned off. However, the defendants had the option of maintaining a guaranty in the limited basis for the difference of 25 basis points.

Q Okay. I'll go back to this in a minute. But I believe the 25 basis point reduction we saw yesterday was going from 10 percent guaranty to zero; is that right?

A I am not following that.
Q Well, the guaranty at the time it was written off, when the price went from 1.75 to 2.0 , the guaranty went from zero to 10 percent. Do you recall that?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. So the 25 basis points is the difference
between no guaranty and a 10 percent guaranty; is that correct?
A You can't look at it that way, because a guaranty at 10 percent is still \(\$ 17\) million. You have a 40 some odd percent loan-to-value. You are trying to say that there is one factor that is involved in this. As testified yesterday, there are numerous factors that go into it. And it is not just the guaranty. It is the fact that you have a warm body behind it. Whether it is 100 percent or 10 percent, it doesn't matter. The fact is that, in my experience, and in dealing with loans, a 10 percent guarantee at \(\$ 17\) million on a 40 percent LTV is what is called a comfort guaranty. It is there. It is probably never going to be called upon. It is well secured. And that 25 basis points is what they place a premium on it for.

But, you know, you are asking to make an assumption here and trying to say that today is Tuesday, when everybody in this courtroom here knows that today is Friday.

You can't make that judgment and sit here and say, the documents state what it is and I am not going to deviate from what the documents say. The documents state that with the guaranty it is 25 basis points less. Without a guaranty is 25 basis points more. And in my experience, the 10 percent, 25 percent, 50 percent or 100 percent of the guaranty based off of the other metrics with the loan, does not change that opinion.

MR. WALLACE: I move to strike the answer as non-responsive.

THE COURT: You know, yesterday not everybody could tell you it was Thursday, but today everybody can tell you it is Friday.

Denied. I think he was trying. I'll determine whether he was successful or not; maybe whether I credit what he said or not.
Q For the purposes of this analysis, you determined that the guaranty was worth 25 basis points; is that correct?

A A guaranty.
Q What is the difference you see between A guaranty and \(B\) guaranty?

A There are various levels of a guaranty that are described throughout the loan documents. A guaranty, a limited guaranty, the fact that the guaranty is in place, as I testified yesterday, no matter whether it is a limited guaranty or not, the function of a guaranty as looked at by Deutsche Bank, as looked at by bankers, as looked at in my experience and as looked at in the real world, is that no matter if it is at \(\mathbf{1 0}\) percent or if it is at \(\mathbf{1 0 0}\) percent, that guaranty to them was valuable for 25 basis points for the engagement of a warm body of a billionaire to stand behind the loan in his equity infusion and capital there. So yes, 25 basis points per the documents and my experience.
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MR. WALLACE: I guess I asked for that one.
Q And is it your understanding that Mr. McCarty was pricing out the interest rate on a loan for the properties listed here that was only based only the collateral itself with no guaranty? Yes or no?

A I do not know because I do not know exactly what Mr. McCarty did. I have an idea of what he did, but I can't speak to what Mr. McCarty did. That would have to be in his testimony as to how he derived the 10 percent and what factors went into that. And if you are trying to state that, okay, the 10 percent is without a guaranty, that, once again, is the guaranty was in place. So it is not a -- that is not a scenario that would ever come into play into this case because the guaranty was in place at all times.

Q So your scenarios do not incorporate the idea that there could be no guaranty, correct?

A This scenario states that if there was not a guaranty, that the additional premium would be 25 basis points.

Q Okay. Did you form a view, one way or the other, as to what the market interest rate would be for a commercial real estate loan on these four properties with no guaranty at the time they were originated?

A No. Because the only person, as I testified earlier, that is able to do that is Deutsche Bank. The Deutsche Bank is the trier of the ability to set their own fees. I am not in a
position to set those fees. I don't risk write loans at Deutsche Bank, the Attorney General doesn't risk write loans at Deutsche Bank. And certainly Mr. McCarty doesn't -- I did not make any assumptions as to what the interest rate would be.

The only group that can speculate or actually state what the interest rate would be is Deutsche Bank, because they are the ones that were the users of the documents, the ones that entered into the loan agreement and the ones that offered the terms to the defendants.

Q Okay. So to the extent Mr. McCarty was pricing out the market interest rate for a commercial real estate loan on these four properties, with no personal guaranty at the time they were originated, you did not try to recreate his analysis?

A No. I tried to give an illustrative of what it would be based off of the loan documents. I am not trying to recreate what he did.

THE COURT: Let me jump in. Are you testifying that with your experience, your expertise, your knowledge of the facts in this case, you could not possibly estimate what Deutsche Bank would have charged as an interest rate in any particular situation, because it is all up to them?

THE WITNESS: Yes. I can give a range and give historical as to what has been out there and show illustrative examples of it, but at the end of the day as referenced in the Deutsche Bank documents, all of their
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risk rating, all of their pricing is proprietary. None of us have that information. None of us have that ability. None of us understand the total relationship value. We can try to do our best to understand it based off of the testimony that has been provided, as well as the documents. But the only person that has the ability to determine the risk and the interest rate and the overall relationship value, is the lender.

THE COURT: So you said you could estimate or guesstimate within a certain range, but you just couldn't give an exact?

THE WITNESS: And I did not give an exact. I have provided data to show how the defendants -- excuse me, how the plaintiffs at 10 percent was inaccurate and also not reflective of the actual loan, because the loan contained a guaranty. And the assumption that the loan did not have a guaranty is incorrect. Because at the 10 percent level, the loan had an effective guaranty in all times. At no point in any testimony, in any deposition or any record have I seen that the guaranty was invalid. So running the estimate at 10 percent and stating that there is no guaranty, is an analysis that is worthless.

THE COURT: Well, just as a general point, and the attorneys know this, I allow in my discretion
hypothetical questions. So, if you are asked a hypothetical, to say: Oh , but that's not the fact. That's not answering the question.

THE WITNESS: So hypothetically 10 percent is unsupported, as not the way that anybody would do it. It was tied to bonds. Bonds are not loans. It was tied to Dell and Club Corp. which are corporate entities. And the support for it was unfounded and inaccurate in his report. So yes, I full-heartedly disagree with the 10 percent that Doral would have been at. And I firmly believe it would be closer, if not lower than the 25 basis points as described here and in the loan documents, and in the testimony of Deutsche Bank.
Q So let me clarify one thing. Well, let me ask then, so are you saying that actually the commercial real estate loan, no guaranty, issued by the Commercial Real Estate group at Deutsche Bank or some other Commercial Real Estate division, would have been priced even closer to the private wealth loans than your hypothetical here with the 25 basis points added?

A That's not correct.
Q So what are you saying? I don't understand what you are saying.

A What I am trying to say is that 10 percent is unfounded.

Q And you said, I think it would be closer to the
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numbers reflected here, even more than the 25 basis points?
A Absolutely. And that's reflected in the loan documents.

Q So, sir, do you have an opinion, one way or the other, as to what the market rate would be for a commercial real estate loan with no personal guaranty for these four properties?

A It would be in the range of where I have it here.
Q So close to the private wealth amounts?
A Yes. As illustrated in the loan documents.
Q So if it is close to the Private Wealth Group -close to the private wealth amounts, why did Donald Trump agree to a guaranty? Why put his own assets at risk?

A That's a great question. I am happy you asked it. Because real estate developers that believe in their projects, that place a tremendous amount of equity in their projects, it gives credence to their plan. Especially when you are a redevelopment and repositioning assets. That's what President Trump does.

And that is part of what makes his success, is his ability to stand behind his deals, place his name behind it, place extremely large amounts of capital into the deal. And therefore it is looked upon by banks, in my experience, as Deutsche Bank did in their experience, as a well-sounded loan. And guaranties for people that stick behind their deals goes a
really long way in a bank. And as we have already said, banks love billionaires because billionaires repay loans.
Billionaires that repay loans with a guaranty makes it more secure.

Q You are telling us that Donald Trump -- when the guaranties were at 100 percent -- when the guaranty was at 100 percent on Doral, how much capital was at risk for Mr. Trump?

A Several hundred million dollars, because he had placed \(\$ 250\) million of capital in there.

Q I am asking on the guaranty. When he had the guaranty on the Doral loan and the guaranty is 100 percent, how much of Mr. Trump's capital was at risk in that guaranty?

A \(\$ 125\) million.
Q You are saying that Mr. Trump put \(\$ 125\) million of his own capital at risk unnecessarily because he could have gotten the same interest rate --

MR. WALLACE: Actually, let me strike that question if I can, or withdraw that question, if I can,
Your Honor.
THE COURT: Withdrawn.
Q Mr. Trump guaranteed the Doral loan for \(\$ 125\) million, for which he was personally responsible, but received no interest rate benefit for that guaranty; is that your testimony?
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A No. He did receive an interest rate benefit. You are looking at it right here, 25 basis points.

Q So the --
A And actually, in actuality, he elected to keep his guaranty in place when he didn't have to for 25 basis points. That is actually what happened. So we are not even looking at a hypothetical here as to, oh, Mr. Trump didn't have his guaranty, what is it worth. We see what it is worth. And we see to him that he elected to keep the guaranty because that was important to him and was worth 25 basis points.

Q In your description of why Mr. Trump chose to have a guaranty in order to obtain a 25 basis-point benefit, what did you do -- what documents did you review in your analysis to come to that conclusion?

A The credit memos, the loan agreements and the actual what happened with the loan, in that he did elect to keep the guaranty in exchange for a 25 basis-point reduction.

Q Did you review any testimony from Mr. Trump about why he chose to have a guaranty on the Doral property?

A I did not.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: Just, the court reporter would like you to speak a little slower, generally.

MR. WALLACE: Me too.
THE COURT: You are not the first person.
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THE WITNESS: We will slow it down a little bit.
Q Did you review any contemporaneous documents from the Trump Organization during the period of negotiation for the Doral loan explaining that these were the reasons for including a guaranty on the loan for a 25 basis-point improvement?

A At which point? That's -- I mean, the loan was originated from 2014 to 2022, had multiple iterations to it. I want to make sure we are at the right time.

Q The origination of loan in 2011 and 2012.
A No. But it was -- during that -- the loan documents state what the step-down would be and what the increase of interest would be. It is in the documents.

Q So you are extrapolating Mr. Trump's intent from the loan documents?

A What actually happened. He did decide that he was going to keep a limited guaranty in exchange for a 25 basis-point change.

Q I believe you said you were here for Ms. Vrablic's testimony on Wednesday; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And do you recall that Ms. Vrablic testified that most of her clients do not like recourse; do you recall that testimony?

A Yeah, that's -- that's correct. Most people don't like recourse.
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Q And so it is your professional opinion that a 25 basis-point improvement in interest rates is sufficient for a client to put aside that dislike of recourse?

A Absolutely. If it is that -- if it is their business decision, that's their business decision. And Deutsche Bank obviously felt comfortable with it by approving it and placing it in the loan documents. I can't speak to the business decision of somebody. There is a lot of other factors that go into a decision besides that. And also, if you are a real estate developer, while some don't like it, those that are confident, that have success, they will put their name behind it.

Q In the course of preparing your reports, did you come across any evidence indicating that Donald Trump had decided by 2011 that he never wanted to do a recourse loan again?

A I believe I read some of that, but things change. Metrics change. As I mentioned earlier, within five seconds ago, there are a lot of things that can change, including what is happening with his personal life, what is happening with his professional life, what is happening with his corporate life, as well as what is happening in the overall capital markets.

THE COURT: Two things. One, slow down.
And two, the question was, did you come across a
certain statement. Not your interpretation of what then
happened. The other side could redirect you on what your
interpretation was.
Q Mr. Unell, if I could hand you a document. It is already in evidence. I think it is easier for everyone.

MR. WALLACE: It is Plaintiff's Exhibit 1251. (Handing)
Q This is an e-mail chain between Ivanka Trump, Jason Greenblatt, Allen Weisselberg, and David Orowitz from December of 2011. Mr. Unell, did you review this document in the course of preparing your report?

A I don't recall.
MR. WALLACE: If we turn to page two of this document.
Q At the bottom there is an e-mail from Rosemary Vrablic. She is forwarding an attached summary of terms, the proposed acquisition of the Doral resort.

Ivanka Trump responds -- forwards this on to Mr. Weisselberg, Mr. Greenblatt, Mr. Orowitz. And writes: It doesn't get better than this. Let's discuss ASAP. I want to call Rosemary and thank her, but if there are any asks I would like to give her an indication. I am tempted not to negotiate this, though.

Do you remember reviewing that e-mail from Ms. Trump?
A I have seen that before, yes, sir.
Q And if you look at the response from Jason Greenblatt above, page one. Do you know who Jason Greenblatt is?
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A No, sir.
Q He is an attorney at the Trump Organization, I'll represent that to you.

He writes: I will review. But not immediately -- I am sorry. I will review but not -- it is supposed to be "note" -- immediately that this is a full principal and interest and operating expenses personal guaranty. Is DJT willing to do that? Also, the net worth covenants and DJT indebtedness limitations would seem to me like a problem.

Do you know if you determined why Mr. Greenblatt felt the net worth covenants and indebtedness limitations would be a problem?

A I can't speak on behalf of Mr. Greenblatt.
Q If we look at the next e-mail in the chain from Ivanka Trump, she writes: That we have known from day one. We wanted to get a great rate, and the only way to get proceeds/term and principal where we want them is to guarantee the deal. As the market has illustrated, getting leverage on resorts right now is not easy. (i.e. 125 plus an equity kicker for another 25 percent or Beal with full cash flow sweeps and steep prepayment penalties).

Did you review that e-mail in preparation?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So, Ms. Trump believes that the only way to get a great rate and the terms and principal they wanted, were

1 guarantee the deal. Is that indicative of the benefit that
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they were going to be able to obtain by guaranteeing the deal? Is that fair?

A Yes.
Q If you look at the response from Mr. Greenblatt. He states: Obviously this is not my decision, but this is completely inconsistent with what he told me he would ever do again when we had the Chi and Vegas issues, and the magnitude of this is much bigger. He was so angry that he got himself "into the Chi/Vegas mess" and told me he never wanted to do this again. I understand that he has changed his mind. And that's fine. But he has to recall that banks do sue under payment guaranties and he may have to write a check north of 125 million if there is any default on the loan.

I think we can agree though, that despite these concerns of Mr. Greenblatt, Mr. Trump agreed to guarantee these loans, correct?

A Yes. He was the ultimate decision maker as the guarantor.

Q It is your opinion that he did this to obtain a 25 basis-point improvement in the interest rate on the loans; is that right?

A No, that's not it. That's not what I stated.
Q What are you saying?
A I am stating he did it to obtain a preferential rate
that go with an interest rate. It is not just, oh, guaranty or no guaranty 25 bits. You can't put this in a square box in a vacuum. You are ignoring multiple other facts that go into the pricing of a loan.

Q So, I am going to represent to you that what is happening is an effort to figure out how much disgorgement, how much benefit the Trump Organization obtained, and we are just trying to obtain a reasonable approximation.

So, let me ask, is there -- what -- I'll withdraw.
I'll restate the question.
Can you state what the interest rate benefit was from having a guaranteed loan as opposed to a non-guaranteed loan on the Doral property?

A I cannot state what the exact benefit is. However, there was a benefit.
Q Okay. And so I take it -- and I apologize if I did cover this one. You did not reach an independent opinion on what the market rate for a commercial real estate loan with no personal guaranty for these four loans would be, right?

A No.
Q Okay. So Mr. Unell, I will represent to you that as part of the assignment Mr. McCarty received, he was asked to assume that Mr. Trump did not have access to the Private Wealth Management group because Mr. Trump had engaged in fraud in the preparation of his financial statements.

Does your interest differential calculation include that assumption?

A No, because that's not what my assignment was, because there was a guaranty in place.

Q So putting aside the fraud piece. Does your interest differential calculation include an assumption that Mr. Trump does not have access to the Private Wealth Management group at Deutsche Bank?

A No, because he did have access to it.
Q And so if that was Mr. McCarty's assignment, you two aren't really measuring the same thing, are you?

A I can measure that his rates --
Q That's not my question.
My question is, you and Mr. McCarty were not measuring, if that was Mr. McCarty's assignment, you and Mr. McCarty were not measuring the same thing.

MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. I think
Mr. Wallace's hypothetical presumes facts contrary to the evidence. This is an improper hypothetical.

MR. WALLACE: It is a hypothetical, so.
MR. KISE: You can't pose a hypothetical that is squarely contrary. That's like saying assume today is Tuesday. It is not Tuesday, it is Friday.

THE COURT: Okay. I am not sure he is doing that.
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MR. KISE: But he is. If you want to excuse the witness, we can, but that's definitely what he is doing.

His hypothetical -- his hypothetical presumes in the first instance that President Trump wouldn't have qualified for the private wealth bank. He did. You already heard testimony, 10 million and 100 million, that's it. 10 million liquidity, 100 million net worth. Once you are there, you are in the private bank. That's it, you are in. Period. It doesn't matter beyond that. So it is like assuming that he didn't qualify.

MR. WALLACE: Now we should excuse the witness. MR. KISE: That's fine. I offered.
(Whereupon the witness stepped down from the stand and exited the courtroom.)
(Whereupon the following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, do you need to finish or -MR. KISE: Yeah, no, it's -- it's like going back and debating whether the world is round or whether the world is flat. I mean, the evidence in the record demonstrates he qualified for the Private Wealth Bank; 10 million liquidity, 100 million in net worth. I mean, that's just undisputed. So once you are in the Private Wealth Bank, and maybe this is where the disconnect is. Once you are in the Private Wealth Bank, your interest rates are different, period, full stop. They are different. And they are different because, as you heard the testimony, they are different because the bank wants you as a client. He's a whale of a client. He's a billionaire. He is one of -- so they are willing to give him rates because they are going to get deposits; they are going to get access to his assets; they are going to write other loans. You heard all this testimony. So they are willing to do things for this client that they are not going to do for just somebody who comes in off the street.

So, yes, you have to give a personal guaranty, but the pricing to on the personal guaranty is not the pricing between the regular world and Private Wealth. The pricing is within the Private Wealth parameters. And that's exactly what this witness is saying is, okay, there's a range you heard -- you heard the witness, Mr. Williams, testify to it, 2 percent to 2.5 percent. So that's 25 basis points. So
that's what the guaranty is worth. Once you are in the private bank, you are in this sort of rarified air and you get access to these rates. So it's a flawed premise. It's an improper hypothetical to say, well, no, no, we have to compare it to the outside world, and this witness has to accept that premise. I mean, yes, if I assume that today is Tuesday not Friday, then tomorrow will be Wednesday and not Saturday. I mean, right --

MR. WALLACE: This is - your Honor, this is not an evidentiary objection. Mr. Kise --

MR. KISE: It is an evidentiary.
MR. WALLACE: - he does this all the time. You argue your case. I would say it also shows, Mr. Kise, something you never talk about, that the Court found Mr. Trump committed fraud to get into the --

MR. KISE: No.
MR. WALLACE: To get into Private Wealth Group, he committed fraud.

MR. KISE: No.
MR. WALLACE: He did.
MR. KISE: No.
MR. WALLACE: The Court has found that.
MR. KISE: No. The Court has found that he violated 63(12), which expressly means only that he violated the statute and not fraud; that's what we are here about.
R. Unell - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)
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This is the problem with the Attorney General's view. They haven't proven -- in order to establish fraud, intent, materiality, reliance and damage. I mean, that was what you have to establish; that's what is at issue with these remaining counts. They wanted a trial on these remaining counts. That's what this trial is about.

MR. WALLACE: I believe.
MR. KISE: 63(12) violation, as your Honor has held, a 63(12) violation doesn't require those elements. So to call it fraud in the generic sense because we use that term in association with 63(12), doesn't mean that it's fraud in the sense of what's at issue in this case.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, your Honor.
THE COURT REPORTER: I can't hear when you are both --

MR. KISE: I'm not arguing a case. It's an evidence objection. A hypothetical, it's an improper hypothetical.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, do you have anything to say?

MR. WALLACE: Are you about to strike my examination of this witness?

THE COURT: Your what?
MR. WALLACE: My examination of this witness. Mr. Kise is saying I shouldn't be allowed to because they

1 are improper hypotheticals.
MR. KISE: It was one question. It wasn't the whole examination. I liked most of the rest of it.

THE COURT: Would you like to explain --
MR. WALLACE: No, I don't want to rephrase my question.

THE COURT: I'm --
MR. WALLACE: I'm sorry. I interrupted you.
THE COURT: I'm not asking you to rephrase. Im asking for you to defend it.

MR. WALLACE: It is completely consistent with our disgorgement theory, which also relates to 63(12) fraud, that he lied to the Private Wealth Group to get these loans, and therefore, we are looking as to what the interest rates would have been if he had not had access to the group that he lied to. That is the simple measure, and the Court will be free to decide whether it likes that measure or it prefers Mr. Unell's measure at the end of the day.

MR. KISE: We are right back to circuitous again.
MR. WALLACE: It's less circuitous if 1 just finish the exam.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. We could argue all day. Let's get the witness back. You could ask him hypotheticals. I don't see a problem with that, and I accept Mr. Wallace's explanation.
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(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceedings as the witness enters the courtroom and steps into the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I should explain "I accept his explanation." I think so his explanation is correct. Thank you.

Okay. Next question.
Q Mr. Unell, I think you said that you did not accept --
MR. WALLACE: Why dont we put up DDS and PDX4 side by side.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q So this is your analysis, and it should hopefully be Mr. McCarty's analysis, both which are very hard to view on this screen, but I can say that -- we talked about this, but Mr. McCarty uses a ten percent rate for Doral; correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And I believe you, yesterday, criticized Mr. McCarty for using a fixed rate rather than a flat rate; is that correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And that's because the DB loans had floating rates; is that correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q You reviewed Mr. McCarty's trial testimony; is that right?
but he doesn't account for when it goes down to 1.8042 percent, which is below the origination at 1.9035 .

THE COURT: Slower, still.
Q I believe Mr. McCarty testified that he did do that, and it was more conservative, but you didn't actually run an analysis whether fixed or flat was more conservative?

A I have not, and I have not seen any indication or evidence to support Mr. McCarty's claim that it was conservative.
Q Well, I mean, it's math. Somebody can just run it tomorrow and figure it out.

A But it hasn't been provided to me, so I'm sure it could be done, and it could've been done beforehand, but it hasn't.

Q Mr. Unell, I'm going to hand you a document that's been marked Plaintiff's Exhibit 2658. This is the Realty Rates Investor Survey for the fourth quarter of 2011.

Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q And this is one of the reports you reviewed in the preparation of your report; correct?
A Yes, sir. And it was also utilized in the deposition.
Q Okay.
A I recognize the --
Q You see that.
So if we could go to page six of this document, if we
could pull up -- focus on the chart, I think. Well, just the chart is fine.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q This is the table that you utilized in preparing your analysis of the increases -- the flow of interest rates -- let me rephrase that.

This is the information you used in preparing your
tables showing the changes in interest rate spreads over time; is that right?
A Actually, I believe that it was taken from the various individual pages throughout here that, for instance, when you would look at page 23 of 41 where it says "lodging facilities, full-service", that that would provide that information.

I'm not certain that it ties back out to the overall on this page. So it -- no, it was not. It was more so both this, and it ties back to 23 .

Q We could pull up --
A 11 and 23. I want to make sure I'm saying that all the data was. This was a portion of it, but 23, also.

Q So let's pull up page 23.
A Yes.
THE COURT: One at a time, and slower. MR. WALLACE: Apologies.
A Mr. Wallace, I'm not saying it wasn't. I want to make
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sure this was also placed out there, too.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Presumably the information, the two tables, correlates.
A I believe so. This is the more detailed table, yes.
Q Why don't we just go to the broad table then. I'm not going to get into too much detail.
A That's fine. I want to make sure we are working off of the same numbers.

MR. WALLACE: Okay. So if we go back to page six, the table.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q So your analysis, you are using the first row at the top, correct, which is spread over base?

A We've used several of these. We've used the loan-to-value, we've used interest rate.

THE COURT REPORTER: im sorry, weve used interst rate and --
A Spread over base, interest rate and loan-to-value.
MR. WALLACE: Why don't we pull up DD4, page one, if we could.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q For this chart --

1 A Yes.
Q -- you looked at yesterday, is this using the spread over base?

A Spread over base for office. Yes, because this is based off of the average, yes, sir.
Q And if we look at the notations, the two stars next to spread over base, at the bottom it says this is a spread over base over the ten-year treasury rate.

Does that mean that it's measuring the interest spread for these categories over the ten-year treasury bond?

A It would mean the ten-year treasury would be the base rate.

Q And the Doral loan was priced as a spread over LIBOR; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And these -- the data presented here, and we could open up, are Class A, Class B properties; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And I believe you testified at your deposition that Doral, at this time, was a Class B property; is that correct?

A It was a full-service lodging facility. I'm not certain if it was a Class B, but it would have been factored into Class A based off the renovations that were going to be undertaken by the defendants.

Q I guess that's my question. Is the plan at the time of

Page 5788
the Doral loan being initiated was for Mr. Trump to do extensive renovations to the resort; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q I believe he had said that the brand had been
misaligned and that it had been miss run by the Marriott group; is that correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q So he eventually spent more than \(\$ 200\) million renovating the property; is that right?

A That's correct.
Q But it's your position that at the time they're initiating this loan, with all that renovation still to come, it was appropriate to price it as a Class A property?
A Absolutely. It was a first-class facility, and just because it needs renovation does not mean that it is not a Class A facility.

There are multiple examples and items or projects that I've worked on throughout my career that are renovation projects that are treated as Class A.

Class A not only has to do with the condition. It has to do with the location, the actual asset itself in its competitive set. You cannot just state the condition. The condition was not really a factor in determining whether it's a Class A asset or Class B asset at the time.
25 Q So -- I apologize.

1 Just to set some ground rules, some ground work, Mr. Trump paid 150 million to purchase the resort out of bankruptcy; correct?

A Correct.
Q And he was then going to invest more than \(\$ 200\) million of his own funds in the property?

A Correct.
Q So the fact that the property was going to need
\(\$ 200\) million of renovation over several years, to your professional view, did not add any risk to the loan for the Doral property?

A Additional risk over what?
Q That the project would be successful; that the project would be repaid?

A It's what banks do. They analyze the risk on a construction loan. That's what they do.

Q And --
A So it's no more risky than any other, you know, repositioning loan that's out there.

Q My question is, these are for operating properties.
Was the fact that Doral was going to require extensive renovations, \(\$ 200\) million, did that add any risk that should be considered in pricing the loan for that property?
A Add any risk to what? I'm trying to figure out what we are adding risk to.
R. Unell - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Wallace)
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\(1 \quad\) Q You said that banks set interest rates based on their assessment of the risk in the loan.

A Okay. And that was factored in to their analysis of the project in the plan.

Q I'm asking -- the "they" you are referring to is Deutsche Bank?
A Deutsche Bank, yes, sir.
Q I'm not asking about Deutsche Bank at this point. My question is whether the Doral loan is more risky because the property needed to be renovated, over an operating property that is not planning on extensive renovations?

A If you are trying to compare it between a property that needs renovation and doesn't need renovation, it could. However, there are many examples of luxury properties that go through a renovation that are accretive and do not create additional risk. So to measure that risk, you would have to dive into the actual details of what the plan was, the timing of it, the impact on ADR, how would it affect occupancy, how it affects cash flow, the timing of it. So in order for seasonality, there are multiple factors that go into that that I did not evaluate that were evaluated by Deutsche Bank in that analysis of the project.
Q Let's go back to the sort of market rate information you were talking about. If we look at golf, Doral also had a golf element; correct?

1 A Correct.
2 Q That's why you included the golf courses and country club number in your chart; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q If we look at the spread for golf, the minimum is 1.2 , 6 the maximum is 11.5 , and the average is 5.84 . Actually, why
7 don't we look at the interest rate instead, because I believe
8 the two percent number that -- the two point -- the two plus
9 number that you were using was the actual interest rate, so that
included the spread plus whatever the base rate would have been; correct?

A Um, we looked at it from multiple different angles, so happy to look at it however you like.

Q So since Mr. McCarty, in his chart, and you, in your chart, include natural interest rate, let's look at the interest rate column. For golf, the minimum is 3.5 ; correct?

A Correct.
Q And so that's higher than the two plus rate that Mr. Trump actually obtained; correct?

A It is.
Q And the maximum is 13.8 ; is that correct?
A Correct.
Q And the average is 8.14 ; correct?
A Correct.
Q So that eight percent -- so the ten percent used by
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Mr. McCarty is between the maximum and the average; is that correct?

A Yeah.
Q Okay.
Why don't we take a look at another document. Let me ask, Mr. Unell, did you consider any contemporaneous documents about the potential commercial real estate loans, without a personal guaranty, that were being offered on Doral?

A I reviewed nonbinding term sheets.
Q And you decided not to use those documents; correct?
A They were nonbinding.
Q So you didn't rely on them.
A They were not binding and not relied in my opinion. They were not to be relied on.

Q And so you, in fact, did not rely on them; correct?
A In my expert opinion, in my experience, a nonbinding term sheet is not an indication of terms, so therefore, I did not include it.

THE COURT: All you had to say was no, or no, I didn't rely on it. He didn't ask for a reason. Please, we'll save a lot of time if you answer yes or no questions with yes or no answers.

As long as I have -- I just wanted to follow up on the last topic. I think what Mr. Wallace was asking -maybe I'm wrong -- all other things being equal, because

1 Mr. Unell, you like to bring in all these various factors,
which often are very important about the pricing of a loan, but if all other things are equal, is there more risk to a lender in lending to a fully built Class A property worth approximately \(\$ 350\) million as opposed to a potential Class A property that's being purchased for \(\$ 150\) million and there's an estimate of \(\$ 200\) million to bring it up to Class A? Wouldn't there be more risk? I could ask leading questions I hope.

THE WITNESS: There is, but there is also more reward there. And the upside is more. So you're initial investment of \(\$ 150\) million for the lender is less than the \(\$ 350\) million loan that they would make. So with the equity infusion of the \(\$ 200\) million, the guarantor and borrower had more money invested into this asset than the lender did. And so I would have to say with -- it's not all things equal. You would have to look at everything there. So you are trying to say a \(\$ 350\) million stabilized loan or \(\$ 150\) million stabilized loan with \(\$ 200\) million of equity in it, that's not an apples to apples comparison. And there is no way to compare that without doing extreme analysis that was conducted by Deutsche Bank to determine where the risk profile is.

Those are two completely different animals that -you are talking about different amounts of leverage; you are
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talking about a different amount of equity contribution; you are talking about a different future value. And more importantly, you are talking about a different principal amount of 150 versus 350 million.

THE COURT: What if the principal amount of the loans was equal?

THE WITNESS: Well, if it was 150 million and 150 million, you wouldn't be able to -- how would you come up with the \(\$ 200\) million of equity to purchase a \(\$ 350\) million loan. Nobody is going to go out and buy a \(\$ 350\) million operating stabilized hotel and only take out \(\$ 150\) million of debt. They would leverage it up to 65 to 75 percent, as is done and shown in the investor survey, that the average LTV was 70 percent. So you can't compare \(\$ 150\) million loan to a \(\$ 150\) million loan that is at a completely different loan-to-value with a different profile in equity contribution. It's not -- it's not fair to for anybody to do.

It would be impossible to do, as I sit here today, without having all of those factors in front of me, and to underwrite them side by side and tell you what that would be. It's not an equal comparison. They are two different loan products.

THE COURT: All right. Next question.
MR. WALLACE: We are going to hand the witness a
R.
document that's been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 369.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.
Q Mr. Unell, you reviewed this document preparing your report; is that correct?
A Let me make sure this is it. Yes, sir.
Q And this is a transaction overview of a proposed loan
by Doral for the CRE Group in Deutsche Bank, dated November 21, 2011; correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q This proposal does not include a personal guaranty,
does it?
A No, it doesn't.
MR. WALLACE: Turn to page five of the document.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Under the bar marked "Facility", it states the amount of the loan would be 130 million dollars, and the transaction would be LIBOR 800 -- LIBOR plus 800, with a LIBOR floor of 200 BPS, or two percent; is that correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And so this rate matches the ten percent rate that Mr. McCarty used; is that correct?
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A Yes, it does.
Q And it is your opinion that this proposal from Deutsche Bank does not reflect the market rate; is that correct?
A Yes, it does not reflect market rate -- it does not reflect market rate.

Q So your opinion of the rate of LIBOR plus 800 with a
floor of 200 BPS would not have been standard in that time?
A That is my opinion.
Q You reviewed the deposition testimony of Donald J.
Trump in this action, in the process of preparing your report; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
MR. WALLACE: Could we pull up the excerpt of his testimony, starting page 307.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q If you see there is -- I'll represent to you this is a discussion of the same document we are looking at. It states:
"Mr. Trump, we've handed -- the court reporter has handed you a document that has been marked at Exhibit 22. It's a golf resort -- Doral Golf Resort and Spa, Miami, a set of discussion materials from Deutsche Bank." There's the production number, and it says, "I don't know if you saw
-- I don't think you saw this document."
He says, "No."

\section*{Commercial Real Estate Group at Deutsche Bank; is that} correct?"

> "No, I didn't."

So Mr. Trump is stating that two plus 800 BPS is pretty standard; correct?
A I mean, he says it was sort of standard. He doesn't say that. He said it was sort of standard. If you would scroll back up, he is actually talking about the floor is sort of standard, not the 800 over that.

Q Well, we could each interpret --
A Could you please scroll up so that I could read into the whole thing so that I can have my answer correct, please. My reading of this in the opinion is that the floor was standard, not the 800 BPS was standard.

Q Well, he then says:
"So it would be -- no it would mean the two plus 800 BPS, okay. And I think this was pretty standard."

That was after the discussion of ten percent. Your interpretation of this is it's only the two -- LIBOR floor of two?
A That's correct.
Q If Mr. Trump was, in fact, saying that LIBOR floor of two plus 800 , or 10 percent was standard, you would disagree with that?
A Yes, based off of my experience.

Q Okay.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I would move to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 369 into evidence.

THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Plaintiff's Exhibit 369 was deemed marked and admitted in evidence.)

MR. WALLACE: If we could tum to another document, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3232.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you sir.
Q Mr. Unell, I'll give you a second to look at it, but did you review this document in the process of preparing your opinions.
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay.
It's an e-mail dated November 15th, 2011, from Steve Harvey, at CSG Investments, to Ivanka Trump and Dave Orowitz. Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q Are you familiar with CSG Investments?
A I am not.
Q So I will represent to you that is the investment advisor for Beal Bank. Are familiar with that entity?
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A I am familiar with Beal Bank and have worked with them in the past.

Q As you could see, he is sending an attachment that is entitled Doral term sheet.

A Yes.
Q If we could turn to the second page of this document, there is a set of terms that start with "The borrower, Trump Endeavor 12, LLC," that is the borrower for Doral; correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay.
And the loan amount here, you could see there is a change from 80 million to 95 million. Do you see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q Okay.
And it states that the interest rate is LIBOR plus 550; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And so, it's your opinion that even this would be above market; is that correct?
A Yes, because Beal Bank is not a traditional lender.
Q And if The Trump Organization were to have accepted this loan, I believe it would mean they would have had to add another \(\$ 30\) million of their own equity to the project; is that correct?
A To be determined, because they would already had gone
above and placed the 250 above --
THE COURT REPORTER: Im sorry, to to detemined,
because they already had gone above --
A They already gone above 250 million, and the plans could've changed based off of the financing.
Q But to use a turn of phrase, all other things being equal, this loan, as opposed to the Deutsche Bank loan, they would have had to have \(\$ 30\) million of their own equity added?

A If the development plan would have stayed the same, yes, sir.

MR. WALLACE: If we could do another document,
Plaintiffs Exhibit 3243.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

MR. WALLACE: It's in evidence.
(The witness was handed the exhibit.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q So this is an e-mail between Ivanka Trump, Ronnie Levine, Ralph Herzka, and Dave Orowitz, dated November 1, 2011.

Mr. Unell, did you review this document in the course of preparing your report?
A I don't believe so.
(Continued on the next page.)
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Q Do you know who Ronny Levine at Meridian Capital is?
A No, sir.
Q If you look at the e-mail from Mr. Levine to Ivanka Trump he writes: Heard back from Blackstone a little while ago and they are a pass on the deal. Didn't get a definitive answer as to why. But Peter mentioned to me this morning they over weighted on hotels in the debt fund.

Do you know if the Trump Organization approached Blackstone about financing for the Doral resort?

A I am not aware if they did or not.
Q A little further down he writes about following up with Jeff Weissman from Macquaire.

Do you know if the Trump Organization approached Macquaire for the loan?

A Macquaire is an investment bank. I am not certain what their ability to finance that is or not.

Q The next paragraph he mentions a reach-out to Chuck Rosenzweig at Criterion Partners. Do you know if Trump Organization approached Criterion Partners about financing for the Doral project?

A I do not.
Q If you look down he writes: He has been trying to reach out to Todd Sammann at Colony.

Do you know if the Trump Organization approached Colony about financing for the Doral transaction?

A I am not aware of that.
Q At the end he says: We have lunch with HSBC tomorrow and will be discussing the deal with them.

Do you know if the Trump Organization approached HSBC about financing for the Doral transaction?

A I am not aware.
Q If we go to the top e-mail from Ms. Trump she writes: I actually spoke to Tom Harrison at Colony today. I know Todd through Jared as well, as we are partners on another deal together. He said that we wouldn't want to do a deal with them on the debt side, as they are too expensive for what we would be looking for (low teens).

Do you have a view as to whether or not a rate in the low teens would have been a market rate for debt on the Doral project?

A Perhaps from a non-bank lender such as Colony or these other firms that are out there from a debt fund.

Q I think we have heard Mr. Suarez say the Trump Organization could have approached thousands of banks about these loans. First of all, do you know how many banks the Trump Organization approached to finance these transactions?

A I do not.
Q Do you know how many non-banks they approached to finance these transactions?

A I do not.

MR. WALLACE: I think we can put that document aside.

To further continue a theme, Your Honor, if we want to take a slightly early break I may be able to condense.

THE WITNESS: You would be one for one overall.
MR. ROBERT: As long as he has the same batting average, we have no objection.

MR. WALLACE: I think we can shorten things.
THE COURT: You are taking a page from Mr. Kise's playbook.

MR. WALLACE: As often as I can, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right, so 20 after we will see you then.
(Pause in the proceedings.)
COURT OFFICER: All rise.
Part 37 is back in session.
Please be seated and come to order.
(Whereupon the witness resumed the stand.)
THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, are you ready to continue?

MR. WALLACE: I am, Your Honor.
Q Mr. Unell, just a couple of quick questions to close out the Doral loan. I believe you said that the offers from the Deutsche Bank CRE group had not been fully negotiated, they
Unell - by Defendant - Cross (Wallace) Page 5805
didn't have as detailed an understanding of the property, and that that's some of the reasons you didn't consider those to be market estimates of value; is that fair?

A Yes, sir.
MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up Plaintiffs
Exhibit 3111. This is already in evidence.
Q I apologize, I don't have a hard copy. But this is an e-mail chain from November 2011 between Dave Orowitz and Allen Weisselberg and others at Deutsche Bank. The top e-mail Mr. Orowitz writes: Mark, it was good speaking with you earlier today.

Please find a link to a folder containing the due diligence materials that you requested.

I'll just note that the cc also includes David
Goodman. Do you know if the people on this e-mail chain were
in the CRE group of Deutsche Bank or the Private Wealth Management group of Deutsche Bank?

A I do not, no.
Q I'll represent to you that Dave Goodman is in the CRE group at Deutsche Bank.

MR. WALLACE: If we could go down to, I believe,
page two of this document?
\(Q\) This is after Ivanka Trump has written an introductory e-mail and she writes: Thank you for providing us with the investment memo and projections for the Doral Golf
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Resort and Spa in Miami, Florida. We at Deutsche Bank are very familiar with the asset, as we have financed this loan several times over the years for previous ownership.

Then it states: The Commercial Real Estate group in conjunction with the Leverage Finance group are giving immediate attention to your request.

Do you know what the Leverage Finance group at Deutsche Bank is?

A No, sir.
Q You will see that there is an attachment of a due diligence file. Do you know how far the due diligence went between the Commercial Real Estate group and the Trump Organization on the financing of the Doral transaction?

A I have seen no evidence to indicate one way or the other.

MR. WALLACE: We can put Doral to the side.
Q I just want to clarify one point, actually, in the affidavit that you submitted two nights ago.

MR. WALLACE: So if we could put up on the screen Defendant's Exhibit 1061.
\(Q\) This is the affidavit you prepared that, among other things, updated your opinion. And I believe was the basis for the table we looked at calculating a potential interest differential. Is this correct?

A Yes. This was a portion of that basis, yes.

Q And I just wanted to check on one thing on page 11, on the last page. I am sorry, paragraph 11.

A I was going to say, I am missing part of it. It is Friday.
Q Paragraph 11, page four. You write that given the testimony and documents presented at trial that show 1) Deutsche Bank relied on adjusted financial numbers for approving loan origination and reviews. 2) Step-downs on Doral and OPO were in place that decreased or eliminated Trump's financial covenants.

I just want to make sure that the reference to OPO was an error and it should be Doral and Chicago. Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: It also says President Trump and not Trump's financial covenants?

MR. WALLACE: I thought I said President, I apologize.
Q I want to talk briefly about 40 Wall Street.
MR. WALLACE: If we could put up DD-5 and PDX-4, again?
Q Again, it is all very difficult to see.
A It is easier on this little monitor up here.
Q So, Mr. McCarty estimates a market rate for 40 Wall Street of 5.71 percent, correct?
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A Yes, sir.
Q And you estimate a fixed rate of 3.9150 , correct?
A Yes, sir.
Q And that's because the 40 W all loan, in all instances was a fixed-rate loan, correct?

A Yes. Representing this was an illustrative example of the differences.

Q And can I ask you a question about the \(\$ 6\) million swap breakage fee? First of all, you testified yesterday that's an estimate, correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q And the -- that fee was paid by the Trump
Organization to get out of its existing loan with Capital One, is that correct?

A It was paid to get out of the existing swap agreement, not the loan.

Q Fair enough.
Are you aware that there was a \(\$ 5\) million capital contribution due on the 40 Wall loan in November 2015?

A Yes.
Q And so there was going to be at least some payment by the Trump Organization, even if they maintained the loan with Capital One; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And if we look at your analysis, your illustrative
interest rates, are both outside the existing loan with Capital One, correct?

A I am not following that.
Q Well, my point is just that for either the 3.6650
rate or the 3.9150 rate to come into effect, the Trump Organization would have had to pay the swap breakage fee, correct?

A I am not following that -- what you are asking.
Q Well, your point, I believe, was that to get to this rate there was a cost to the Trump Organization of \(\$ 6\) million. So if they wanted to get this 3.6650 fee -- rate, they had to pay a swap breakage fee. Right?

A It was factored in. I am still not following how the 6 million -- how you are trying to or what you are trying to ask as related to the \(\$ 6\) million and where it went.

Q Any transaction where they were no longer in the Capital One loan as of 2015, there would have had to have been a swap breakage fee, correct?

A Not necessarily. It depends on the loan documents in the ISDA agreement. So without knowing that, the agreements, the swap agreement and the loan agreement could have not been tied together. There could be an opportunity for the borrower to keep the swap in place if it wasn't directly tied and secured by that, without having the underlying documents to review that. But it was a cost of doing business, so it would
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not have been necessarily tied to that. Borrowers can sometimes keep a swap in place because if it is not directly tied to an asset, it is an instrument that is utilized to fix an interest rate, where essentially you pay a fee in order to fix a rate. And it is -- the risk is taken on for that fixed rate by somebody else. So the counterparty to that may not have been Capital One, so I can't say with certainty, Mr. Wallace, that that would not have been one way or the other.

THE COURT: Mr. Unell, I often say something but
I don't know that you have ever heard it. The person with
the hardest job in this entire room, not me, not you, it is the reporter. Please slow down.

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She just asked you to do so, and I have asked you to do so several times.
Q So the 3.9150 rate does not include any separate analysis of whether the swap breakage fee would have had to be paid; is that correct?

A That's correct, yes.
Q I believe that in your testimony yesterday you criticize Mr. McCarty's use of the 5.71 percent interest rate because it reflected an assumption that the 2005 interest rate would still be applicable today, and conditions have changed since that time. Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes, sir.
Q And I think your testimony was today, I assume that you mean that at the time of the swap of the breakage or the change in the loan in 2015; is that fair?

A Yes, in 2015 . Yes, sir.
Q Now, that 5.71 percent interest rate was from a loan that was in existence in 2015 and continued into the future; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q So in fact, just staying in place with the 5.71 percent interest rate going into the future, if the Trump Organization had maintained that loan they would not have had to pay the swap breakage fee; is that fair?

A It would have been a business decision. The swap breakage fee, depending on what market rates do, they may have decided if it was in the money or out of the money to have broken it or not. It could have gone one way or the other. They are not directly tied to each other.
\(Q\) It wouldn't have been necessitated by refinancing the loan?

A Correct.
Q And I take it you have an independent view that a 5.71 percent interest rate was not market in July of 2015 ; is that correct?

A I have seen nothing to indicate that it is.
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Q I thought you -- well, I believe that you - when you testified about the Ladder Capital loan, your testimony was that much of that was driven by the underlying collateral and the LTV on the transaction; is that fair?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And that was based on a loan of \(\$ 160\) million and an appraised value of \(\$ 540\) million; is that correct?

A I believe so, yes.
Q Did you review any contemporaneous documents from other market participants concerning the market rate for a loan on 40 Wall Street?

A No, sir.
MR. WALLACE: If we could take a look at
Plaintiff's Exhibit 268.
(Handing)
THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. I got two. It is Friday.
Q Mr. Unell, did you review this document in the course of preparing your expert report?
A Yes, sir.
Q And what is this document?
A This is the annual review from Capital One of the 40 Wall Street loan. And the date is September 9, 2005 is the origination date and the --

Q I'll direct your attention to the current review date
\begin{tabular}{c|r} 
of October 31, 2014 in the middle of the page. & 1 \\
A There it is, I see it. Yes, sir. & 2 \\
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we move Plaintiffs & 3 \\
Exhibit 268 into evidence. & 4 \\
THE COURT: Granted, it is in. & 5 \\
(Whereupon, the document referred to was deemed & 6 \\
marked for evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit 268 by the & 7 \\
Court.) & 8 \\
Q So if we look at the summary underneath the heading & 9 \\
"Appraisal dates," you see that on the third row is listed an & 10 \\
Internal value of \$257,729,000? & 11 \\
A Yes. & 12 \\
Q And that generates an LTV/LTC of 62.1 percent. Do & 13 \\
you see that? & 14 \\
A Yes, sir. & 15 \\
Q And so this would be a difference of \$283 million & 16 \\
over the valuation of the 40 Wall appraisal that was part of & 17 \\
the Ladder Capital transaction, correct? & 18 \\
A Yes. & 19 \\
Q And would a difference of \(\$ 283\) million in the value & 20 \\
of the underlying building impact how a banker would price a & 21 \\
commercial real estate loan for that property? & 22 \\
A Yes. & 23 \\
MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page 14 of & 24 \\
this document? & 25
\end{tabular}
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Q If you look underneath the table that is at the top of the page, there is a box entitled refinancing scenario. And underneath it it states that there is a five-year extension available if the following are achieved: One, a 1.25X DSCR. Two, a 75 percent LTV. And three, if the aggregate dollar amount of all principal reductions by borrower plus the amount of all funds in the cash -- excess cash flow collateral accounts during the internal term equal or exceed 20 million. I'll actually stop there.
Do you know if any of those conditions were met?
A I do not.
Q If you look at the next paragraph it says: Based on a projected 2017 NOI of 18.7 million and a minimum DSCR of 1.35X per UW guideline, the loan can support maximum proceeds of 179.3 million assuming a sensitized 6 percent interest rate and a 25-year amortization.

First of all, do you understand the notation UW guideline to mean underwriting guideline?

A Yes, sir.
Q Okay. And so the terms listed here are roughly consistent with the existing loan terms at the time of the credit report in October of 2014; is that fair?

A I am looking to tie it out here.
Q Sure.
A Yes, it is consistent.

MR. WALLACE: We can put that document down.
Q The Ladder loan on 40 Wall Street was securitized; is that correct?

A I believe so.
Q And can you just explain to the Court, what does it mean to securitize a loan?

A A securitized loan is a loan that is the cash flow streams are essentially sold to investors within the market.

Q And in the course of preparing your reports, did you review any analysts reports concerning the securities that included the 40 Wall Street loan?

A I did not.
Q I am going to hand you a document that has been marked as Plaintiffs Exhibit 3186. It is 135 pages. So I think we can just go through it on the screen.

A Absolutely.
Q I think it is a little easier.
I'll state that this is a Morningstar report with preliminary ratings on a Wells Fargo commercial mortgage trust as of September 9, 2015. Are you familiar with Morningstar? MR. SUAREZ: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What's the ground of the objection?
MR. SUAREZ: Outside of the scope of my direct and outside of the scope of the witness's testimony to talk about the securitization of the loans and the ratings
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by outside agencies. He is not being offered as an expert in that area.

MR. WALLACE: I think it is relevant regardless of whether -- but I am going to tie it into LTVs and things that this witness has talked about. So I am happy to have you reconsider it once I have shown where we are going with this.

THE COURT: All right. Let's see where we are going with this.
Q I believe the question pending that was objected to was, are you familiar with Morningstar?

A I am aware of Morningstar, yes.
Q And I will represent to you that the securities covered in this report include the 40 Wall Street loan?

MR. WALLACE: And if we could go to page 33 of the document.
Q Again, it is probably easiest to do it up on the screen here. You will see this is the section of the report that addresses the 40 Wall Street loan by Ladder Capital. And I want to walk through the metrics very quickly.

MR. WALLACE: Since we are not looking at the full thing, if we can go down one more page.
Q I don't want to be accused of misleading you. If you look at the top under the Morningstar perspective, it says that Morningstar has a favorable opinion
of the loan to 40 Wall Street, primarily due to the positive loan metrics, the granular rent-roll and amortization through the loan term.

So, I will let you know, they have a positive view of the loan.

\section*{A Yes.}

MR. WALLACE: If we can go back to the summary
page. If you zoom in on the Morningstar analytics.
Q You can see that Morningstar states that the value of the building is \(\$ 262,270,494\). And that Morningstar calculates an LTV of 61 percent. Beginning LTV.

This was an amortizing loan correct?
A Yes.
Q So the beginning LTV would be higher than the ending LTV?

A That's correct.
Q Okay. So Morningstar calculates a higher LTV than Ladder Capital did in their analysis, correct?

A Yes.
Q And these amounts of the value of the building and the LTV might affect how a banker would underwrite the loan if they came to a different conclusion?

A It is difficult to tell, because without the backup to know where this valuation came from, I don't know what it is based off of. So I couldn't tell you because rating agencies

Q Mr. Unell, yesterday you testified about a discrepancy in the reporting of the size of Mr.-- President Trump's triplex. Do you recall that?

A Yes, sir.
Q And that discrepancy involved an inflation of the value of Mr. Trump's triplex apartment by at least \(\$ 200\) million; is that correct?

A I am not certain of the exact amount.
Q If the -- well, it was your testimony yesterday that the discrepancy would not be material based on the other information and the amount of assets and liabilities that are included on the Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q So if the overstatement was \(\$ 200\) million, is it your position that it is okay for a guarantor to intentionally inflate their net worth as reported to a bank by \(\$ 200\) million?

A No, it is not okay, because it was subsequently corrected; and was immaterial.

Q I am not asking about a correction. I am asking about if a guarantor intentionally inflates their assets by \(\$ 200\) million in a representation they are making to their banker, is that acceptable under -- is that acceptable?

A If it was intentional, it is not acceptable.
Q Okay. Are you aware that Mr. Trump has acknowledged
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in my experience do different ways that they look at it. They also look at it from a loss perspective versus whether or not they are a independent agency. It is outside of my scope to be able to speculate on the value and compare it to anything and how Morningstar calculated value.

Q I'll say that it mentions that they had a site visit. As you can see it says: Morningstar site visit, yes.

But my question -- let's step back from what
Morningstar did. If a banker came to a different conclusion about the LTV of the property and the value of the property that would affect how the banker would underwrite the loan. Is that fair?

A A banker would rely on an appraisal report commissioned by them, not a Morningstar number without any backup behind it.

Q I am asking about using this. I am saying if a banker -- if someone comes to a different analysis of the asset value and the LTV, that's going to affect the pricing per loan? A It could.

MR. WALLACE: We can put that down. THE COURT: Objection overruled. MR. WALLACE: Oh. Thank you. THE WITNESS: I was following you. MR. WALLACE: To borrow a phrase from Mr. Unell, it is Friday.
- by Defendant - Cross (Wallace)
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that his estate at Seven Springs was also inflated by \(\$ 200\) million?

A I am not aware of that.
Q So you didn't consider that information in forming your opinions, correct?

A No.
THE COURT: That was a negative question with an ambiguous answer.

MR. KISE: I would just, for the record, object to the characterization. I am not exactly sure that President Trump said it was inflated by \(\$ 200\) million. I think he said it was a higher -- it was higher than it should have been. I don't know that he put a precise number on it. I could be wrong about that, but I don't think so.

\section*{THE COURT: Okay.}

Q So any inflation - did you consider any inflation in the Seven Springs property in preparing your report?

A No, I did not do any valuation work.
Q You testified that you had read some of the Court's summary judgment decision; is that correct?

A Yes, sir.
Q I would like to ask if you happened to read a portion of his opinion that appears on page 19 when he writes: OAG has submitted conclusive evidence that between 2014 and 2021,
defendants overvalued the assets reported in the SFCs between 17.27 and 38.51 percent. This amounts to a discrepancy of between \(\$ 812\) million and \(\$ 2.2\) billion.

Did you review that section of the summary judgment decision?

A Yes, sir.
Q And in your professional opinion, is it acceptable for a guarantor, like Mr. Trump, to intentionally inflate his assets as reported to a bank by \(\$ 2.2\) billion?

A If it was intentional, then it would not be acceptable.

Q You testified yesterday that the net worth covenants on the Deutsche Bank loans are solely determined by the guarantor's stated assets. In your professional view, is it acceptable for a guarantor to fraudulently inflate their assets to meet a net worth covenant?

A It is not acceptable to fraudulently inflate assets.
MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up DD-4, page;
seven.
\(Q\) This is your chart showing the number of billionaires in the United States and the world between 2010 and 2020.

Mr. Unell, would it have been acceptable under the bank regulations, as you understand them, for the 492 billionaires in the United States as of 2015 to lie about their asset values in seeking lending from a bank?
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A I am not certain I follow the question.
Q Is there anything special about the 492 billionaires that United States had in 2015 that would make it acceptable for them to lie about their asset values when seeking financing from a bank?

A No, as I just testified, it would not be acceptable for anybody.

MR. WALLACE: Nothing further, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Any redirect?
MR. SUAREZ: Briefly, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay.

\section*{REDIRECT EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. SUAREZ:}

Q Mr. Unell, good morning.
A Good morning. We are almost at the afternoon.
Q Almost.
MR. SUAREZ: If we could please pull up what has
previously been admitted as Defendant's Exhibit 62, and turn to page five.

First let's put up page one.
Q Are you familiar with this document, Mr. Unell?
A Yes, sir.
Q What is this document?
A This is the new Private Wealth Management U.S.
Lending Product Commercial Real Estate Finance Guidelines.

Q If I could direct your attention to page five of this document? The section that says Client Segmentation and Coverage.

A Yes, sir.
Q It says: Our target client base for this initiative will have the following general characteristics.

And the first bullet says: Individuals or families actively investing in U.S. commercial real estate properties, with proven, successful track records in this sector. As a private wealth management business, we will view the principals and their families as our primary clients.

How, if at all, did President Trump fit in this criteria?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, asked and answered. Cumulative. Beyond the scope of cross.

THE COURT: I got the gist, but read back of the question, please.
(Whereupon, the record was read back by the court reporter.)
(The following proceedings were stenographically recorded by Senior Court Reporter Michael Ranita.)

THE COURT: Objection sustained for the three or four reasons given. We've already been over this.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor if I may ask yes or no questions, I could cut 30 questions into three. They are not leading questions. They are simply yes or no questions. I'll try it that way.

THE COURT: I don't think the objection was leading.

MR. SUAREZ: No, I know, but I was doing it that way in order to avoid the inevitable leading question when I ask a yes or no question. But if I could just cut to the chase, I'll cut to the chase.

THE COURT: You can't ask a question that goes beyond the scope of cross examination, especially since you discussed this ad nauseam yesterday.

MR. SUAREZ: I'll try it this way.
Q Did President Trump meet this criteria to qualify for a commercial real estate loan in the private bank?

MR. WALLACE: Same objection.
THE COURT: Same ruling. Sustained.
MR. SUAREZ: All right. Let's put up Defendant's Exhibit 205.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
A I don't need it. I could look at it on here. Save the
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\section*{walk.}

Q What, if anything, did President Trump have to do to qualify for this pricing grid?

MR. WALLACE: Same objection.
THE COURT: What did he have to do -- let's take a simple ground. Is this -- are you claiming, Mr. Wallace, it's outside the scope of the cross?

MR. WALLACE: Outside of cross, was covered yesterday extensively.

THE COURT: That's enough. Sustained.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, I don't see how it's outside the scope of cross, because what Mr. Wallace was talking about was the whole differential in interest rates. It was a whole colloquy with the witness about the differential in interest rates, and what Mr. McCarty did, and the CRE rates versus these rates.

And what Mr. Suarez is doing is simply going back and pointing out why this witness believes that the range, his range is the appropriate range.

Well, I mean, you challenged this witness -- the government challenged this witness's opinion that the range that point -- the two 25-basis points was incorrect. And the presumption behind that was that Mr. McCarty was more correct because his CRE rates, his ten percent rates, were the better way to go; that was the more acceptable method.
R. Unell - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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3

So what Mr. Suarez is doing is simply going, on redirect, over those same -- over those issues, and it's certainly within scope. And we are certainly entitled, even if we covered it some yesterday, we are certainly entitled to focus, now, on exactly what the Government brought out this morning, and demonstrate, or at least attempt to demonstrate for the Court why this witness has the right view.

So I think it's fully within the scope of the cross examination, because they've spent a good deal of time on that very issue, and that's all we are doing. We are not going to go through back through the entire litany of it. It's just focusing on the precise pieces that were covered this morning.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I will just say Mr. Kise is, again, telling the witness what he would like him to present through this redirect.

I believe what Mr. Kise is, at base, saying is that they are going to go back through certain portions of yesterday's testimony to reiterate things that have been established; that is cumulative, that is repetitive, it is not appropriate. And I will also say that the focus of my examination was that Mr. McCarty and Mr. Unell were doing completely different things.

So I retain my objection to this as cumulative,
R. Unell - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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repetitive, asked and answered, and beyond the scope of my cross.

THE COURT: I changed my mind. Overruled, for the reasons Mr. Kise stated.
Q Once President Trump was admitted into the private bank, would he have had access to this pricing group?
A Yes.
Q And do you see the spread of 2.0 to 2.5 percent?
A Yes, sir.
Q Would he have had to negotiate between that spread,
what interest rate he and the bank ultimately settled on? MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q How, if at all, would the commercial real estate range of 2.0 to 2.5 percent have resulted in the interest rate used on the specific bank transactions?

A It would have provided the guidance for Deutsche Bank to appropriately price the loan once President Trump was admitted into the Private Wealth Management Group.
Q And in order -- what, if anything, would President Trump have had to do to qualify for pricing within that range?

A He would have had to have been a client of the Private Wealth Management Bank. And in order to qualify for that would have needed to have had a minimum amount of assets, which he clearly qualified for.

Q And if he didn't have the minimum amount of assets required to qualify for the Private Wealth Group, would he have had access to this pricing grid?
A No. This pricing grid is reserved for clients in the Private Wealth Management Group.
Q And have you seen anything in the record or the testimony that you've reviewed that suggests that President Trump, under any measure, would have failed to qualify for the Private Wealth Management Group?
A I have not seen or heard any evidence that would indicate that President Trump was not qualified to be in the Private Wealth Management Group.
Q And in your real world experience in banking, would President Trump have qualified for the Private Wealth Group under any measure of his net worth?

\section*{MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading.}

THE COURT: I was expecting asked and answered. We knew the answer to that question. We know the witness's answer, not necessarily the answer.

MR. WALLACE: These are all asked and answered. I thought I've been overruled on that, your Honor.

THE COURT: My philosophy -I don't know how other judges do this -- if the witness, on direct, says " X " and on cross examination there's evidence of anti \(X\), I will let redirect say, okay, but \(X\), even if it's asked and answered,
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1 even if it's cumulative, so let's just move along.
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billion"?
A Yes, sir.
Q Does the word "intentional" appear anywhere there?
A No, sir.
Q Can we pull up Defendant's Exhibit 312 and put it side by side to the summary judgment order?
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Have you reviewed this e-mail prior to today? A Yes, sir.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could turn to page three of this e-mail.
Q Do you see where it says --
MR. SUAREZ: Actually, let's start at page two.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q Do you see where it says "DJT financial profile"?
A Yes, sir.
Q And here where it says "net worth" at the bottom of page two.

A Yes, sir.
MR. SUAREZ: And then if we could scroll to the next paragraph.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)

Q It says, "Reported 4.2 billion and adjusted based on DB due diligence of 2.4 billion."
A Yes, sir.
Q Is Deutsche Bank's adjustment to the President's net worth of - more or less what the Court has concluded in its summary judgment order to be a difference between what the OAG submitted in evidence and what the bank concluded was --

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading, foundation.
MR. SUAREZ: It's a math question.
MR. WALLACE: It's a leading question.
MR. SUAREZ: Okay.
MR. WALLACE: And you haven't given him all the math.

THE COURT: It's leading, and I could do the math, too.

MR. SUAREZ: Okay.
Q Having concluded, according to DB due diligence that the President had a net worth of 2.4 billion, is that adjustment within the range that the Court determined the OAG had submitted evidence to adjust the value of assets in the Statement of Financial Condition?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. That mischaracterizes the evidence that has gone on since summary judgment, that there was, like, an adjustment.

THE COURT: I'll say again, I could do the math. I
R. Unell - by Defendant - Redirect (Mr. Suarez)
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don't need him to say that \(X\) is within the range of \(Y\) to \(Z\). Q Is an adjustment in that range to the net worth of the sponsor, in this case President Trump, unusual in your experience in the banking world?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. There's two documents on the screen right now. It's not clear which one he is referring to.

MR. SUAREZ: They really don't want this to come out.

MR. WALLACE: If you could ask a proper question it can come out. The Judge understands what you are getting at.

THE COURT: I don't think the question relied on the documents. It was just a standard question. Overruled.

Is it unusual to have that kind of adjustment? Was that the question?

\section*{MR. SUAREZ: Correct.}

A No, sir, it would not be unusual.
Q Why is that?
A Because after based off the analysis and the way that banks review things and take their approaches, that that is customary in the commercial real estate finance world. Q Now, after having adjusted the President's net worth by -- do you see the last sentence, it says, "Defendants have failed to identify any authority for the notion that the
discrepancy of the magnitude demonstrated here could be considered immaterial"?

A Yes, sir.
Q Do you agree with that?
A No, sir.
Q Why?
A Based on the evidence that I've received, the reports that l've reviewed, the testimony l've heard, that it would be
immaterial, in my opinion, as evidenced by the adjustments done by Deutsche Bank in and my own analysis and experience.

THE COURT: I will just point out that I'm -- he is certainly entitled to that opinion.

This opinion is law of the case, and I believe it's under appeal. So it is what it is, to repeat what I said yesterday.

MR. SUAREZ: And if we could turn to the first page of Defendant's Exhibit 312.
(Whereupon, the exhibit was displayed on the screen.)
Q I would like to draw your attention to the sentence that says, in the e-mail from Thomas Bowers to Marcus Mitchell, "Mentioned that it is among the strongest personal balance sheets we have seen, and totally unlike any of our major real estate developer clients in that we observe an absence of personal debt, with huge asset base and diversified CF." Do you
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see that?
A Yes, sir.
Q Based upon your experience in the real world, is that a statement that you agree with?
A Absolutely, that the analysis that they were looking at is an analysis that I would look at in my experience. Personal debt, liabilities are something that is of most importance because of the ability for -- to carry that debt. And also, a diversified cash flow allows for a changes in market to perhaps be offset by different asset classes.

And it is different than most other real estate developers because most real estate developers stay in one lane, whether they are industrial developer, multifamily developer, hotel developer. Very few successfully have a diversified cash flow stream such as President Trump and The Trump Organization. THE COURT: Hold on.
Mr. Wallace, is that question and answer beyond the scope of your cross examination?

MR. WALLACE: I think all of this is beyond the cross examination, with the possible exception of asking him about the same passages that I asked him about in the summary judgment decision.

But I understood we were sort of letting them continue with these lines of questions. It is beyond the scope of my -

THE COURT: I'm not going to strike the answer. There is no -- or question. There is no jury here. When I said that I'll allow, on redirect, things covered on direct, I'm hoping that they'll be a lot less of that.

And I think -- I don't remember any cross examination about whether Mr. Trump was one of the richest people in the world.

Mr. Kise?
MR. KISE: You made your ruling. I'll stop there.
THE COURT: Wise move.
(Counsel conferred with his colleagues off the record.)

\section*{MR. SUAREZ: No further questions.}

THE COURT: Okay. Any recross.
MR. WALLACE: Just one.

\section*{RECROSS EXAMINATION}

BY MR. WALLACE:
Q I believe, Mr. Unell, you testified yesterday about the qualifications for the Private Wealth Management Group and the
loan chart we saw, and it was \(\$ 50\) million of assets for a borrower; is that correct?

A I believe it was 50 . We've seen different numbers. Between 50 and a hundred.

Q And the hundred is the ultrahigh net worth category; is that correct?

A That's my understanding, yes, sir.
Q In your professional experience, have you seen any individuals with \(\$ 50\) million of net worth obtain \(\$ 378\) million of credit from a lending institution?

A Yes, sir.
Q And in what instances have you seen that?
A When I was at Bank of America and there were multiple instances of individuals with guarantees in the 10 to \(\$ 15\) million range that had facilities that were guaranteed upwards of 500 plus million dollars. And they were syndicated facilities run across multiple banks with similar collateral.

Q And did the individuals guarantee the entirety of the debt, or was the guarantee limited to the full amount of their net worth?
A It varied based off of the different deals.
MR. WALLACE: Okay.
THE COURT: Any other questions from this witness
from anyone? The witness is excused.
THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness stepped down from the witness stand.)

MR. KISE: Could we just have five minutes to make sure the witness's transportation --

THE COURT: Sure. And then I'll address motions.

1 MR. KISE: Yes, your Honor.
(Whereupon, there is a brief pause in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Did Mr. Unell have a successful departure?

MR. KISE: He did.
THE COURT: Okay. We are up to housekeeping, motions, and anything else that we can accomplish in the next 35,40 minutes.

MR. WALLACE: So, your Honor, I guess we are the movant, so I will start with our motion to strike the testimony of Mr. Shubin.

I'll state this very basically. He is offering illegal opinions. He is offering illegal conclusions about the interpretation of the various easement donations and restrictions on the Doral -- on the, I'm sorry, Mar-a-Lago property. And that is improper expert testimony.

You've already found this twice in this case when the defendants have submitted judicial opinions as expert's affidavits for various legal issues. It's the same principle. Mr. Shubin's testimony is not helpful to the Court because the Court is the one who finds the law.

MR. KISE: I didn't know he was done. Sorry, your Honor.

So, briefly, your Honor, you have our submissions.
demeaning of a determine in a statute. So if a witness comes in, a lawyer witness, or any expert comes in and says that, you know, best efforts means X, well, that's a legal conclusion. But here, Mr. Shubin's testimony addresses facts. They are complex facts. He identifies the relevant documents, and then the application of those facts to the subject property. That subject matter is unquestionably beyond the knowledge or understanding, or will dispel misconceptions of a typical finder of fact. And that's cited in the Guide to New York Evidence rule. I'm still getting used to the fact that you all don't have an evidence code here. It's all done by case law.

But importantly as well, Mr. Shubin doesn't opine on the seminal legal issue to be decided in the case. His testimony would be admissible, even if it did embrace an ultimate issue, but I would say, your Honor, it does not. To the extent it would, or could, then that should be decided when Mr. Shubin is here. And if there is a particular question asked, or series of questions asked that your Honor finds that invade that province, then that can be addressed then, but to wholesale discard his testimony isn't supported.

We've cited the Court to case where expert witnesses may offer opinions as to the applicability of documents and regulatory schemes. The Zohar case,
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
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1 & Mr. Shubin's testimony is both material and necessary for \\
2 & the defendants case. He will provide critical evidence as \\
3 & to the scope of and application of the documents, not legal \\
4 & conclusions. And I will get to that. \\
5 & It's uncontested, still, that Mr. Shubin possesses \\
6 & the requisite experience, training and knowledge. I mean, \\
7 & there's no challenge there. \\
8 & So Mr. Shubin does two things. First he identifies \\
9 & the relevant universe of documents, of governing documents \\
10 & that apply to the situation. That exercise alone requires \\
11 & expertise. That's not something that the average person, \\
12 & the average fact finder could do, and, in fact, the average \\
13 & lawyer couldn't do. Land use and zoning is very insular, \\
14 & unique, specialized, I'm sorry, area of the law. And so it \\
15 & requires expertise to go look at the public record, and of \\
16 & the 500 documents in the public record, pick out which ones, \\
17 & actually, are important to look at for purposes of whatever \\
18 & analysis you are doing. So that's the first thing that he \\
19 & does. \\
20 & And then he explains which provisions of those \\
21 & documents are pertinent to the Court's ultimate \\
22 & determination, focusing the Court on the specific provisions \\
23 & of those documents. \\
24 & So it's not a legal conclusion. A bare legal \\
25 & conclusion is demeaning of a specific contract term, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 Z-O-H-A-R, the Lurie case, L-U-R-I-E, the Loscalzo, 2 L-O-S-C-A-L-Z-O -- I won't cite them. They are in our 3 papers.

1 testimony is properly admitted. We cited the Court to one

MR. KISE: He is an expert witness. He is the not a fact witness.

THE COURT: You keep talking about how he is going to give facts.

MR. KISE: No, he is not going to give facts. He's going to advise the Court as to the facts. He's going to explain the facts that are relevant. He is going to take facts that are there, but it takes his expert opinion to identify what those documents are. What the provisions are.

I mean, you or I -- well, I don't want to speak for the Court. I know that if I took a stack of land use and zoning documents, it would take me a long time just to figure out which one relates and which one doesn't.

So to the extent that the Court finds that he is offering some conclusion, legal conclusion to be drawn from the those documents, that's a very different matter than saying he can't come in and explain how the system works. It's a fairly complicated regulatory structure. And the cases that we cited say that same thing.

So explaining to the fact finder how all that works and what these facts mean is purely within the province of expert testimony. And he's not drawing legal conclusions, even though he happens to be a lawyer.

THE COURT: Any reply?
MR. WALLACE: Yes. What Mr. Kise is eloquently and

1 in great length describing is a legal opinion where you take
2 the facts, you understand the legal restrictions as they 3 apply to those facts, and analyze them.

Mr. Shubin testified that the analysis he performed for this case was the same that he would perform for a client, but in actually less detail. He cites one case that says you have to interpret the plain meaning of an easement -- of restriction document on a piece of property. I think the Court is capable of analyzing any legal issues that it needs to, but I certainly think the plain meaning of an easement on a piece of property is certainly within the Court's ability, and, in fact, is the Court's responsibility.

So he's a hundred percent an expert on legal analysis of an easement on the property in Doral. It is not a specialized area. It is not an area that is beyond the pen of the Court. It is a waste of our time. He can -- his analysis can be incorporated into the briefs that the defendants prepare. They can have him, I don't know, try to file an amicus, but it is not appropriate for expert testimony.

THE COURT: No more argument, but, I want to ask, if I would allow him to testify in some limited capacity, when would he do so and for how long?

MR. KISE: I think he is on the schedule for
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Tuesday. And it probably would not take half a day. I mean not a long --

MR. WALLACE: Half a day?
MR. KISE: I don't think it's a lengthy
examination, depending on what they have on cross. It's pretty abbreviated.

THE COURT: Although, I have already ruled, twice, that expert testimony on the law is not allowed, and defendants' Counsel have acknowledged this solid rule, I will allow Mr. Shubin to testify as to his expert opinion about the arguably complex interrelationships, well described by Mr. Kise, between and the practical meaning of the various deeds, covenants, restrictions, easements, zoning rules and local and state statutes, et cetera, governing use of the Mar-a-Lago property. Although, I will not allow his opinion on the law. I will do my best to draw the line between what is practical and business experience and what is a legal conclusion.

So consistent with what both sides said, if the AG wants to object that something is just a pure question of law, I will allow it.

THE COURT REPORTER: Im sorry, Judge, "If the AG wants to object that something is just a pure question of law..." did you say, "I will allow it"?

THE COURT: I will allow him to testify subject to
the limitations I've just indicated.
(Continued on the next page.)
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THE COURT: Okay. So, motion granted only to the extent --

MR. WALLACE: Motion is denied I thought? Our motion was to preclude Mr. Shubin, I believe. It has been denied.

THE COURT: I am precluding him from testifying as to certain areas.

MR. WALLACE: I'll move on to Mr. Moens, although it seems like anything an expert wants to say is going to at least be heard.

Mr. Moens, in particular, provides no formal basis. He is an ipse dixit expert. He has said his calculations cannot be recreated. He says that he used his gut feeling; that he didn't verify information; that it would not be possible for another person to reproduce his analysis. His testimony stated that he did not, his testimony did not depend on whether the property could be used as a private residence, only a social a club. A fact which this Court has already found.

He has stated that he has not reviewed any of the data showing how Mr. Trump actually prepared his valuation of the Statements of Financial Condition, so he is offering nothing that is relevant to the Court's analysis of whether what the Trump Organization did contemporaneously throughout the Statements of Financial

1 Condition was accurate. He literally said that he came up 2 with the price for Mar-a-Lago based on a fantasy list that included, quote, "anyone from Elon Musk to Bill Gates and everyone in-between, kings, emperors and heads of state." He is purely just offering an opinion with no re-creatable process, no re-creatable values.

The defendants take the position that he is not bound by Frye because he is offering just is professional experience. He is extremely different from a doctor talking about how he would conduct a surgery. He is providing a valuation advice.

And I believe is our case, The Matter of New York Central, it is 106 AD3d 1152, struck an expert on valuation who was, in fact, an appraiser who could not disclose facts, figures or calculations underlying his determinations. That is Mr. Moens to a tee. There are no facts. There are no figures. There is no re-creatable process. He is a real estate broker, friendly with Donald Trump, who is going to come in and say I think it is worth 1.5 billion with no analysis that can be recreated or tested.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise?
MR. KISE: So, I don't know where to begin.
I think the government raises two separate questions.

THE COURT: I am not sure the microphone is picking you up.

MR. KISE: Sorry, Judge.
First of all, the government raises two separate questions. One, whether the Frye analysis applies. And two, some form of Mr. Moens isn't qualified. I don't hear him saying that, but that to me is built into their analysis.

So with respect to the first, and we cite this in our cases. The Oddone case and the Wernick case. As well as the Guide to New York Evidence. Frye requires an expert witness to demonstrate that the theory or opinion he or she is espousing follows generally accepted principles and methodologies only where such testimony is not based on the witness's personal training and experience.

And so it is very clear that where a witness is testifying based on their experiences, their personal training and knowledge and experience, that Frye doesn't necessarily apply. He doesn't have to have a re-creatable process. In fact, what makes Mr. Moens successful, is that other people can't recreate his process. And you will hear him testify to that. To say that an individual, who has been in the real estate industry, the preeminent broker in Palm Beach, who has been doing this for 40-plus

1 years and closed billions of dollars of transactions in

Again, it is a bench trial. The Court can weigh it and determine it. If they want to challenge the credibility of Mr. Moens and the foundation for his opinion or whether or not it squares with someone else, someone else's opinion or an appraised opinion, that's fine.

Also importantly, Judge, ASC 274, which you know I like to talk about, ASC 274, it expressly provides for reliance on this type of information. As we cite in papers, ASC 274-10-55-6, provides specifically that information of this kind, estimates of selling prices and selling costs obtained from independent real estate agents or brokers familiar with similar properties in similar locations; estimated current values based on sales of similar property in similar circumstances. That's what Mr. Moens is going to testify about. He is going to testify about sales with similar properties and similar circumstances.

As to the point about valuation, and he is not going back to what we did before, well, none of us are really doing that. We are all looking here in 2023 with the benefit of hindsight. We are all backward looking. And so Mr. Moens is providing his view of the market. A market that he has been in. That he has been fully immersed in for 40-plus years.

And so there is no basis to exclude his testimony simply because he doesn't meet the Frye standard. He is certainly qualified.

Mr. Robert may have more CPLR based analysis than me. But, issues relevant to his qualifications and competency aren't -- I just don't think that there is a plausible basis to argue that he isn't, given his vast experience.

We have a right to present a complete defense and the denial of critical expert testimony is, as Your Honor I think has recognized when you have said it over and over again, a fundamental basis for reversal.

You can accord whatever weight that you deem appropriate to the testimony. And any purported lack of experience or any purported lack of merit of his opinions can be well brought out on cross examination. But none of that rises to the level of excluding Mr. Moens testimony.

He is an expert in this industry. And I think Your Honor will be very satisfied that he has the requisite qualifications.

MR. ROBERT: If I may briefly, Your Honor?
And I think Mr. Kise is learning the CPLR just great. So that's actually not what I am going to talk about for the moment.

Mr. Moens qualifications are world renowned, and

\section*{Proceedings}
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you will see. I just want to talk about a factual issue. In the government's own case when they called Mr. McArdle to the stand from Cushman \& Wakefield, one of the things they asked him about is to how he valued certain property. And he talked about bringing in a local expert to help him value property. And it is at page 1986 of the trial transcript, line nine to line 16 :
"QUESTION: Who was that expert?
"ANSWER: The local expert was, I selected, was a gentleman named David Turner from a highly regarded large residential brokerage firm in the Westchester area called Houlihan Lawrence. So David was one of the top producers in the luxury marketplace that would include home sales and land. I approached him and asked him if he would be interested in helping me with my understanding of land values in Bedford, and he quickly agreed.

So, their own witness affirms the fact that local real estate brokers who are highly qualified and top producers, and it is beyond any dispute that Mr. Moens is a top producer and he works in the luxury marketplace, and Palm Beach is his specialized area, that he could certainly provide information that would be relevant and information that would be necessary for this Court to hear.

Thank you, sir.
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THE COURT: Thanks.
Mr. Kise, when this trial is over, not until
then, but when it is over, I will accept an offer to
condense everything you ever write or say.
MR. KISE: Most people that know me would.
THE COURT: You should follow your buddy there
THE COURT: Of course, in a second.
Let me try to condense things right now. Okay?
So, am I correct, and there are a lot of
point.
MR. WALLA left, Mr. Robert. He is very succinct and to the
witnesses here, this potential expert witness is going to
basically come in and say, I am an expert, and I can,
based on my experience, and I'll tell you what the
property is worth, I'll opine what the property is worth.
MR. KISE: Loosely speaking, I think that's

> THE COURT: Thanks. Mr. Kise, when this trial is over, not until then, but when it is over, I will accept an offer to condense everything you ever write or say. MR. KISE: Most people that know me would. THE COURT: You should follow your buddy there on your left, Mr. Robert. He is very succinct and to the point. MR. WALLACE: Can I be heard on one point? THE COURT: Of course, in a second. Let me try to condense things right now. Okay? So, am I correct, and there are a lot of witnesses here, this potential expert witness is going to basically come in and say, I am an expert, and I can, based on my experience, and I'll tell you what the property is worth, I'll opine what the property is worth. MR. KISE: Loosely speaking, I think that's right. Loosely speaking, yes. THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, any quick response? MR. WALLACE: I would direct Your Honor to page 26 of your summary judgment opinion where Mr. Moens' opinion is discussed at length. You write that: It is well settled that where an expert's ultimate assertions are speculative or unsupported by any evidentiary foundation, however, the
\begin{tabular}{|cc} 
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\(\mathbf{1}\) & opinion should be given no probative force and is \\
\(\mathbf{2}\) & insufficient to withstand summary judgment. \\
\(\mathbf{3}\) & You have already decided the issue of whether \\
\(\mathbf{4}\) & the easement donations and the easement deeds restrict the \\
5 & use of the Mar-a-Lago property. The defendants rare now \\
6 & proposing to spend a full trial day valuing, establishing \\
7 & that, in fact, you are wrong, and the easement does not \\
8 & restrict the use of the Mar-a-Lago property. And that in \\
9 & turn, it should be valued at \$1 billion because Elon Musk \\
10 & might want to move to Palm Beach. That will be a full day \\
11 & of this trial. \\
12 & THE COURT: And that's what we are going to do. \\
13 & Motion to preclude denied. \\
14 & And if I am being inconsistent -- what is it? A \\
15 & foolish consistency is the hobgoblin of little minds. \\
16 & Let him testify. It seems sort of \\
17 & straightforward. \\
18 & Any other housekeeping or motions? \\
19 & MR. KISE: We wanted to address briefly the \\
20 & Judge Jones testimony you asked us -- I don't think you \\
21 & asked us, you allowed us. \\
22 & THE COURT: That's a more accurate word. \\
23 & MR. KISE: That's a better statement. \\
\hline 24 & THE COURT: I read some or all of your \\
25 & submissions on this issue; and the others to a certain
\end{tabular}

1 extent.
So, go ahead. And you know I am very reluctant to allow this. But make your best case.

MR. KISE: But I think that we have to start from the foundational premise. The foundation premises is the public has a right to everyman's evidence. We didn't cite this in our papers but Branzburg v Hayes 408 US 665. A Supreme Court of the United States case which stands for a fundamental foundational proposition that we are entitled to evidence unless there is some constitutional or privilege, you know, some reason to exclude.

None of that applies here. All adults are competent to testify. The evidence is highly relevant and probative. Under the CPLR, material and necessary as used in section 3101 must be interpreted liberally to require disclosure.

THE COURT: Mr. Robert probably gave that to you.

MR. KISE: He did, as a matter of fact.
And we have cited cases on this. Judge Jones can certainly testify as to the specific issues addressed in her reports, her observations and conclusions to date as to the defendant's business practices, accounting, compliance practices, et cetera, are extremely probative, we would submit, to certainly the issue of the need for
ongoing injunctive relief and the scope of any such relief.

And the reports, respectfully, are hearsay. And so to the extent that the Court has or intends to rely on the reports, then, as with all hearsay, this isn't a situation, respectfully, where we are talking about the interpretation of a contract or a legal document. It is an interpretation of a factual record. And the witness is readily available. There has already been disputes between the parties as to what these various reports mean. And so we should be entitled to at least the benefit of having Judge Jones here to respond to those questions about any ambiguities that might exist in her reports.

So, for those reasons and the reasons stated, in the interest of brevity, for those reasons and the reasons stated in our papers, we think Judge Jones can and should be called as a witness.

THE COURT: Time goes fast when you are having a good time.

MR. AMER: If I may be heard on this?
THE COURT: Sure, Mr. Amer.
MR. AMER: So the challenge that the Court laid down was to have defendants find a case that said that the court-appointed monitor can be compelled to testify. I don't believe they found such a case in their letter.

And certainly this Court has broad discretion when looking at relevance and prejudice. And of course Your Honor already identified one point of prejudice, which is a potential conflict that could arise.

But we actually have found cases that go the opposite way of your request to defendants that demonstrate that a court-appointed monitor cannot be compelled to testify. And there is really two bases in these cases, and it all comes down to immunity.

New York State recognizes the Doctrine of Judicial Immunity extends to non-Judges in the cloak of quasi judicial immunity where they perform discretionary acts of a judicial nature. And in the Clapper v Guria case 153 Misc2d 726. That's a 1992 New York County Supreme Court decision. The State defendants on behalf of the disciplinary committee performed a judicial function in their investigation of plaintiff's alleged misconduct and commencement of the petition. And in this case the Court recognized that the defendants there were cloaked with quasi judicial immunity.

It has also been recognized in the decision Pertilla v Genetic Design Inc., that's 166 Misc2d 843. Generally the doctrine of judicial immunity extends to those parties acting in a quasi judicial capacity in the course of their performance of court-appointed duties.
subpoena was served on our office, Office of the Attorney General, where we were a non-party in a 1983 case. And the Court held that that subpoena was invalid and struck the subpoena because our office enjoyed sovereign immunity. And the same holds here. Any effort to subpoena Judge Jones in her role as a court-appointed monitor, and therefore an arm of the state, would not be valid because of sovereign immunity. And so, because she is immune from process, that would be a subpoena to compel her to come here to testify. The defendants request to compel her should not be allowed.

THE COURT: Very quick reply, partly because we are running out of time.

MR. KISE: Yes, Your Honor.
First of all, a monitor is not --
Well, let me start with, you asked us to come up with a case that said the monitor is subject to testimony. Yes. Branzburg v Hayes, we are entitled to everyman's evidence --

THE COURT: That doesn't do it. Come on. MR. KISE: No, it isn't. It isn't. There are only 17 cases in the system that can be located that even use the word monitor. And five of the references in the case law are to this case. So that only leaves like 12 others.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
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\hline 1 & THE COURT: But wait a minute. Immunity from \\
\hline 2 & what? Is this -- \\
\hline 3 & MR. AMER: My next case. \\
\hline 4 & THE COURT: Okay. \\
\hline 5 & MR. AMER: Just to finish quoting from Pertilla: \\
\hline 6 & This privilege is based upon the public policy that expert \\
\hline 7 & witnesses must being encouraged to perform public services \\
\hline 8 & ithout fear of harassment or threat of litigation. \\
\hline 9 & And then finally the First Department, to \\
\hline 10 & address Your Honor's point in Alvarez v Schneider that's \\
\hline 11 & 264 AD2d 27 at page 34, noted that: As with other forms \\
\hline 12 & of immunity, judicial immunity is an immunity from suit, \\
\hline 13 & not just from ultimate assessment of damages. And suit is \\
\hline 14 & recognized as a judicial proceeding that compels someone \\
\hline 15 & to act or refrain from acting. So the act of compelling \\
\hline 16 & Judge Jones to come to this court and testify would be a \\
\hline 17 & suit within the meaning of these immunity doctrines. \\
\hline 18 & Then there is a second separate immunity that \\
\hline 19 & applies here, Your Honor. And that's sovereign immunity. \\
\hline 20 & Judge Jones, because she is an arm of this Court, is a \\
\hline 21 & state actor who is entitled to broad state sovereign \\
\hline 22 & immunity. State immunity applies to both states and their \\
\hline 23 & agents. And she would be an agent of the state. Recently \\
\hline 24 & in an Eastern District decision by Magistrate Judge Lock. \\
\hline 25 & It is Felix v County of Nassau, 2023 Westlaw 5978189, \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The Court itself, this Court itself drew a distinction between a monitor, which is undefined, and a receiver, which is defined as an arm of the court, specifically in its order. And we cite that in the November 3, 2022 decision, where you state that they, in fact, perform two different functions. And that a limited function of a monitor is entirely different from the functions of a receiver. So the receiver case law and the case law that applies to immunity is inapposite here.

Even if a receiver were an arm of the court, we cited the Court the cases, the Continental case, the Urinski case, and other cases where receivers have been called to testify. As I mentioned to the Court last week, in the bankruptcy and other context, SEC context, they are called frequently to testify. And the notion that a monitor in this context enjoys some broad sovereign immunity, I don't think that enjoys any support in the case law.

For those reasons and the reasons in our papers, we think she is a qualified witness that should be called.

THE COURT: Just putting my thoughts together here quickly.
(Pause in the proceeding)
THE COURT: The request to subpoena Judge Jones is denied.
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First of all, as has already come up, there is no authority for subpoenaing a monitor to testify. And I'll just use the word "monitor" as opposed to "independent monitor." I don't think there is a difference in this case.

Secondly, as argued by Mr. Amer, I think it would be a dangerous infringement on court immunity and prerogatives.

Third of all, I basically appointed her to assist me in making sure that everything was correct -- I will use that bland word -- in the defendant's business practices or documents. I appointed her to assist me. What she has -- her reports have already generated all sorts of criticisms, I assume that will continue, or at least commentary. The post-trial briefs can discuss whatever they want about those reports.

I am not afraid go out on a limb, but I am not going to be the first Judge to say that a monitor can be subpoenaed.

And by the way, my research assistant, who is sitting alongside me, claims to have found 472 cases, state and federal, just searching for the character string "independent monitor." So, not that this is dispositive, but there seems to be dispute about how many cases there are that talk about independent monitors.

And that's the ruling.
Any other business we can finish today?
Have a great weekend everybody.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: See you Monday at 10:00 as usual. MR. KISE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I would admonish the witness but there is no witness to admonish.
(Whereupon, the trial stood adjourned to Monday December 4, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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THE COURT: Good morning, everyone.
Defendants, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. FIELDS: Good morning, your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Fields.
MR. FIELDS: The Defendants call Frederick Chin.
FREDRICK CHIN, called as a witness, having been first duly sworn by the Clerk of the Court, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT OFFICER: Please state your name and either home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Frederick Elliott Chin.
I reside at 16046 North 113th Way, Scottsdale, Arizona.

THE COURT: Mr. Fields, please proceed.
MR. FIELDS: Thank you.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. FIELDS:
Q Good morning, Mr. Chin.
Could you please state your education background after high school?
A Certainly. I attended the University of Arizona, and graduated in 1984 with a bachelor's in a concentration of finance and in real estate.

Q And moving on to your professional background, where

Chin-Defendant Direct-by Mr. Fields-
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did you begin your professional career?
A My career began in Tucson, Arizona, with a firm called
Solot \& Associates in 1979. I was a real estate researcher and essentially an apprentice appraiser.
Q Were what were some of your responsibilities at Solot \& Associates?

A I would do title searchs. I would measure properties when we were valuing real estate. I would gather sales information and later then perform the valuation of various types of property.

Q And about how long were you there?
A From 1979 until 1981.
Q Where did you go next?
A In 1981 I left with one of the gentleman from Solot and he formed a company called Greenberg Consultants. We were a real estate appraisal and market research firm.

Q What were some of your responsibilities at Greenberg?
A My responsibilities continued. I was a real estate, continued as real estate appraiser. I was also responsible for reviewing the reports of others that were members or employees of the firm.

So between 1981 and through 1984 the firm grew considerably. I became a named partner in 1984 and we had, I believe, six appraisers that were performing all sorts of real estate valuations and I was responsible for, if you will,
quality control of reviewing those appraisals as well as performing my own appraisals.

Q Approximately how many appraisals did you perform with Greenberg, do you have any idea?
A Probably a few thousand.
Q All right. And do you have a general sense of when you left Greenberg, approximately?

A Yes, it was, I believe, it was in 1988.
\(Q\) And where did you go next?
A I moved to Los Angeles to work at Kenneth Leventhal \& Company.

Q And what was Kenneth Leventhal \& Company?
A At the time part of the Big Ten accounting firms in the country. Kenneth Leventhal was very different, it provided accounting, tax and consulting services specifically for the real estate industry.

Q All right. I think your testimony was that while you were at Greenberg you had been a partner. Why did you choose to leave your partnership and go to Kenneth Leventhal?

A For me, I wanted to expand my use and application of real estate appraisals tools that I had. So while I had the real estate appraisal tools, I always believed they could be used in different capacities.

So my perspective is that real estate valuation is a tool that is used in many different regards and I needed a
-F. Chin-Defendant Direct-by Mr. Fields-
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larger platform to use my tools in different regards and the accounting firm environment, particularly with a firm that specialized in real estate, I believe I would be able to expand my use of those tools.
Q How did you use the tools, to borrow your phrase, at Kenneth Leventhal?
A They were used in many different regards. So I was brought in and Kenneth Leventhal had somewhat of a unique operating style unlike the other Big Four firms. Usually, meetings would involve an audit tax and consulting partner, I would be considered consulting person and decisions would be made in that context. Because if a valuation or real estate analysis was done it had impacts on how a structure might be or transaction might be structured and what the tax impacts might be and what the resulting accounting impacts would be.

So I was the real estate side of that evaluation and analysis. And it afforded me the ability to work on many different things that were well outside the appraisal realm. Instead of doing single asset properties, I was advising and part of the team that was working on tax respinoffs of companies, divestitures, restructurings of debt and restructurings of company, the dispositions of portfolios and assets.

So I was part of that team and that gave me a much broader perspective than doing single asset individual property
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\section*{appraisals.}

Q Did you have some exposure to financial statements while at Kenneth Leventhal?

A Yes.
Q And to what extent?
A The firm often did Statements of Financial Condition for various of its clients. I was usually asked by an accounting partner to meet with the client, talk about their real estate holdings and talk about what they might be doing with their real estate and then provide feedback to the accounting people who would then use that however they might in their accounting reports.

Q At some point did Kenneth Leventhal merge with another entity?

A Yes, they did.
Q What entity was that?
A That was Ernst \& Young.
Q Did you remain employed by Ernst \& Young after the merger?

A Yes.
Q In what capacity?
A I was a partner at Ernst \& Young when we had merged into Ernst \& Young.

Q So you would have been a partner at Ernst \& Young after the merger?

Chin-Defendant Direct-by Mr. Fields-
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A Yes.
2

Q Do you have to be an accountant or CPA to be partner at an accounting firm?
A No. Ernst \& Young had many partners. There were non accountants. The accounting firms were broadening their business scope into, a lot time at that time was information technology consulting, real estate consulting and all sorts of process improvement, performance improvement initiatives that were undertaken by accounting firms. They admitted a lot of nonCPA partners and I was among one of them.

THE COURT: Just a moment. The question was do you have to be accountant to be partner at Ernst \& Young? If there's yes or no question, please just answer it yes or no.

THE WITNESS: My apologies, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. It takes a lot to get the hang of this.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q How, if at all, did your responsibilities change in terms of your work after the merger?
A I took on many managerial roles. Mainly, I was still based in the Los Angeles office and that was the largest real estate consulting office of Ernst \& Young. The reason why Kenneth Leventhal Group was a specialty group in Ernst \& Young. At that time I oversaw a department of 75 people including eight partners. We provided all sorts of services,
including the birth, if you will, have residential
mortgage-backed securities, restructuring, capital formation, capital access for real estate clients, repositioning and process improvement type of services all were within that domain conducted out of the Los Angeles office and I offer saw those activities.
Q Did you ever testify as an expert during your time at Ernst \& Young?
A Yes.
Q About how many times and what subject matters?
A My testimony was all real estate based. So relating to real estate valuation, damages, contract disputes, inverse condemnation, regular condemnation situations and \(I\) actually was a subject matter expert for real estate nationally as well as lead their real estate litigation practice while at Ernst \& Young.
Q Do you have a sense for approximately how many times you testified as an expert while you were at E\&Y?
A It was over 25 times.
Q What were some of the more notable cases that you testified as an expert about while at Ernst \& Young?
A A few notable ones. I was involved in a dispute involving the Mall of America, it was the largest mall in Minnesota. There was a dispute between the partners and I was brought in to testify on that regard.
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Another situation notable in my career, I was the expert witness involving the State of Arizona condemnation of land through a master plan community. It was the states's largest condemnation matter, the damage claim was over \(\$ 100\) million. This is going back to the early 90 's. The property owner had claimed that the properties were damaged because of the construction of the roadway improvements.

I was representing the State and their Attorney General rendered the opinion that there was no damage to these particular properties partly because the highest and best use of those properties hadn't changed as well as the acceleration of demand that would occur as a result of the construction of those improvements. It was a jury trial. And I had rendered basically no damage other than the physical land being taken. But there was no extenuating damages and the jury ruled at my opinion.

Lastly, another notable one was, which was the -- in Los Angeles was the Mid-Wilshire construction of an underground subway. There was -- the Metropolitan Transit Authority was in the process of constructing an underground subway underneath Wilshire Boulevard. All the property owners who had not had their properties condemned filed an inverse condemnation action against the MTA that their property values had diminished. Because of that subway construction even though their properties were not physically taken.
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fees payable to those operating partners were triggered by appraisal values. I did that for several years, every quarter reviewing appraisals performed by others of properties that were held by Calpers.

Another notable one I was involved in, purchase price allocation of Pebble Beach, which was home of the U.S. Open. But there was a group of investors, Peter Ueberroth and Clint Eastwood who had purchased Pebble Beach in 1989 for 800-something million dollars. As part of normal subsequent acquisition purposes, usually the purchase prices are allocated for tax purposes because there's, my understanding, brief understanding there's different tax treatments for different allocations of property.

So I was involved in the purchase price allocation involving Pebble Beach.

Q To be clear, when you say Pebble Beach, are you referring to series of golf courses on the northern coast of California?

A Yes, there are several golf courses. The most iconic being Pebble Beach, but there's Spyglass, and a public one, Monterey Golf Course as well.

Q While you were at Ernst \& Young, were you also involved with the valuations of other golf courses other than Pebble Beach?

A Yes. I have appraised and also been a part of a team
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involving many golf courses on the Kohala Coast, K-O-H-A-L-A, that were proposed for construction. There was several resort hotels. We did the valuation work on the hotels as well as the golf courses there.

There was a major acquisition in the Palm Desert area, PGA West in La Quinta that was acquired in the early '90s for 250 million plus. I was involved in the valuation of that golf course.

I've done quite a bit of golf course work over my career. I have advised National Golf Investors, I forget, they owned a portfolio of municipal golf courses, probably 100 of them. I was consulting with them on ground rent payments and how to restructure some of their obligations to improve their profitability.
Q Why -- did you leave Ernst \& Young at some point?
A I did.
Q Why?
A I left in 1995. What was happening at that time, there was a federal regulation, Sarbanes Oxley, S-A-R-B-A-N-E-S O-X-L-E-Y, that came into law which effectively limited the amount of work other non-accounting work that accounting firms were doing.

Ernst \& Young was very concerned about Sarbanes-Oxley. And all the consulting businesses like information technology, management consulting, process improvement, many of the ones I
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mentioned, including real estate became under fire. I think Ernst \& Young was very concerned about that.

So at that time I was doing quite a bit of real estate litigation work. I think the pinnacle for me was I was going to be the expert on the World Trade Center one-occurrence two-occurrence situation. I had developed a damage theory regarding the two occurrences.

As we normally do before we accept litigation assignments, we run a conflict check sadly, Ernst \& Young audits most of the reinsurers that were insuring the loss and said there's no way you could do this.

I had repeatedly run into situations where the conflict of interest was precluding my ability to do litigation work.

In the context of expert witness litigation work it is usually the individual that gets hired and then the firm comes along with it. And that was probably the final straw that caused me to leave.
Q Where did you go next?
A After that, and actually prior to that I was consulting with a private home builder and land developer in Las Vegas. I had approached the subject of actually being on the other side of the table, being on the operating side instead of the consulting side and I joined him as chief operating officer.

He had large growth plans, a lack of liquidity and needed access to additional dollars to fund his growth plans.
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So I believed I could help him in that regard and I joined him, which was called Sagebrush Enterprises, which, at the time was a home builder locally known as Rhodes Homes.

Q What was your involvement -- what were your roles at Sagebrush and what did those roles entail?

A My role was to help him improve his liquidity so that he could actually realize his growth plans. He had considerable land Holdings, so he was land rich and cash poor.

In order to do so, it involved essentially a revamping of his entire organization. I had to recruit and bring in several experts in various departments. So land development, we needed much more discipline with respect to how the business was being operated. So my involvement there helped actively recruit some key people that started to build the organization.

Concurrently with that, I sought to seek liquidity for the company and located the source that would provide secured financing to the company. And started the process of essentially preparing the company and then executing raising capital for the company.

We put a credit facility together of about
\(\$ 525\) million. It was reviewed by the RAID agencies. I made all the presentations to the raid agencies, formulated -- it was in front of the RAID agencies and other investors who were funding this 525 million.

It was a significant key to the success of the
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organization because at the time the company had no more than \(\$ 10\) million of liquidity and lots of land holdings so now the land holdings and capital that was available was more in balance so that we could achieve the growth plans.

Subsequent to that, I then tried to acquire on behalf of the company a private, publicly registered privately traded company in Albuquerque, New Mexico, which had owned 54000 acres of land. I had essentially secured the right to acquire that on behalf of the company.

As part of that, I raised a 250 or \(\$ 275\) million credit facility to support that. That transaction did not go through. We were overbid at the final curtain and did not complete that transaction.

Q What were your actual titles at -- withdraw that.
I think you said this company that you were with was called Sagebrush Enterprises?

A Yes, that was the parent company.
Q What were your titles while there?
A I was the originally hired as the COO and then ultimately became the CEO of Sagebrush.

Q And after Sagebrush did you stay in the Las Vegas area?
A I did, yes.
Q What was your next stop for employment?
A I was -- so I left Sagebrush after I thought I had 25 completed what I needed to do. I was named as Chief

Restructuring Officer of Lake at Las Vegas Companies.
Lake of Las Vegas Companies was the owner of a 3600 acre master plan community that included three existing golf courses and two planned golf courses, built around a manmade 320 acre lake that had entitlement to construct up to 5000 residential units.

There was a default by the borrower of, I believe, \(\$ 500\) million credit facility and as part of the forbearance the lender group nominated me to oversee the activities of the borrower until the time -- at which time the Borrower was going to repay the entire debt, but I was reporting to the lender group with respect to the activities, the obligations, the situation operating-wise and otherwise that I saw at Lake at Las Vegas.
Q So you began as chief restructuring officer, did you hold any other titles at Lake at Las Vegas?
A Yes. So in October I was appointed CRO on January 2nd because the borrower failed to repay the loan. The lender group appointed me as the new owner of Lake at Las Vegas and I became the CEO of Lake at Las Vegas Company to evaluate the company, to essentially run and improve it and to handle all the operations which included the golf courses.

It also included a Ritz Carlton Hotel which we had owned as well as all the land development activities.
Q How much debt existed at this time on this property?

A I think all total it was over 600-something million. There was debt against the Ritz Carlton Hotel separately and then debt against the land holdings, golf courses and operating businesses of Lake at Las Vegas.
Q Shifting gears a little bit into your next stop. Where did you work at Lake at Las Vegas?
A So after Lake at Las Vegas, I went to Los Angels and became the chief operating officer of MPG Office Trust. We had gotten Lake at Las Vegas out of bankruptcy, so before, when I took over the ownership my recommendation was to file Chapter 11 and clean it through, to clean the company and exit bankruptcy.

Then I went to MPG Office. They had a significant liquidity problem and a balance, too much debt against assets. MR. AMER: Could we get a year, I lost track of the time.
Q When did you leave Lake at Las Vegas?
A 2010.
Q And you mentioned you started with the MPG Office Trust, would that have been in 2010, give or take?

A Yes.
Q And approximately how long were you with the MPG Office Trust?

A Until 2013.
Q So what is the MPG Office Trust?
A MPG Office was a publicly traded real estate investment
trust also known as a REIT. They owned approximately 16 million square feet of commercial office buildings, hotels and some retail. And it was a largest holdings in Southern California of an office REIT and besides that, they own most of the high rises in downtown Los Angels. They had approximately a 40 percent market share of high quality of office space in downtown Los Angels.
(Whereupon, Cheryl-Lee Lorient relieved Deborah
Rothrock as the official court reporter.)
(Continued on next page.)

\section*{CROSS EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. FIELDS:}
Q. What was your title at MPG?
A. It was Chief Operating Officer.
Q. What were some of your responsibilities as COO ?
A. I was responsible and co-worked with the CEO to improve the liquidity of the company and, essentially, deleverage the balance sheet and prepare it for either a sale or continued operations.
Q. Did you become involved with a merger while at MPG?
A. Yes. It was one of the exit plans that we had discussed with the Board of Directors. And they had evaluated options and believed that a merger, after the company had been cleaned up -- we spent many years cleaning it up to prepare it for merger.
Q. Who was the merger with?
A. It was with Brooksfield Properties.
Q. And what were your responsibilities relative to that merger?
A. I was, eminently, involved in most of the aspects of that merger. So I prepared the company for sale; so for gathering all necessary documents so that due diligence could be performed on the portfolio.

We went through all of the systems, all the
people, all of the processes to, basically, display the story of what MPG was and what the opportunity was.

We went through every lease -- so, there was over
five hundred leases -- to make sure that all the information
was input correctly and that people could do their own projections and forecasts.

I worked on the investment memorandum that was sent. I did property tours with potential investors or buyers of the company, answered and fielded many questions that they had during their due diligence periods. And then, the merger was effective. There was lots of legal documents that I helped get completed.

And then, post merger, I was involved in the handoff of making sure that the systems and all of the things that we knew about our company were transferred to Brookfield efficiently.
Q. How, if at all, did you utilize appraisal information while you were at MPG?
A. We had gotten appraisals on some of our properties. Because, as I mentioned, a lot of our properties were over-leveraged.

We did have appraisal information that was either required by potential buyers -- as we did try to and we did sell several of our assets.

And appraisal information was oftentimes required
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by the buyers or it was something that somebody wanted to see how much they might be able to borrow against a piece of property.
Q. Did you find that the appraisal information that you received was always indicative of the selling price of a particular asset?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.

\section*{Q. How were appraisals -Withdrawn.}

How did you use appraisals in determining what the potential selling price of an asset would be?
A. I, actually, didn't. We had appraisal
information. I found from the instances we had appraisal information, it was grossly inaccurate.
Q. Are there any examples that come to mind?
A. I do.
Q. What examples?
A. We had an office building in Pasadena called Plaza Los Fuentes. It was a multi-tenant office building. I looked at the valuation. I thought it was, probably, worth around \(\$ 60\) million. We had it appraised by a national appraisal firm. They appraised the property for about 33 million. We took it to market, shortly after we got the appraisal, and we sold the property for 75 million.
Q. And you said, shortly after you got the appraisal, about how much time from the time you received the appraisal to when you, actually, sold the property, could you estimate?
A. It was, probably, within three months.
Q. Were there any other examples?
A. Yes. Two others come to mind. We had another office building. We, internally, valued it for, approximately, 110 million. Appraisals were about 80 or 90 million. And the property ended up transacting at 150 million.

All within the same time period. Usually, the appraisals were a condition of some pre-losing requirements that the buyer had wanted.

Most notably, we had U.S. Bank Tower, which, at the time, was the largest, tallest building west of the Mississippi. It was a 73 -story highrise structure; a very iconic structure for downtown LA.

There again, we had, we thought, lots of value. Appraisers did not think so. But, we, internally, valued it at somewhere around 300 million. The appraisal numbers came in about 250 . And we sold the property for \(\$ 367\) million.

So, we -- I've had many arguments with appraisers. They were very adamant that their values were right. And I believe the market test, when you put it out there, is the
F. Chin - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Fields
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best demonstration of value.
Q. All right. So, we talked about the merger with Brooksfield.

\section*{When did you leave your MPG?}
A. In 2013 .
Q. What was your next -- where were you employed next?
A. I had my own company called CPG Advisors. Actually, I created it before MPG but, I was pretty much full-time. It was my own business. And, I started doing consulting work under that tent.
Q. What kind of consulting work did CPG do?
A. It was general real estate advisory. It varied. I looked at site selection for Sonic Restaurants.

I did an evaluation involving environmental contamination of a property in Northern California. I looked at the damages on that.

I also was involved in the city of Stockton bankruptcy. So it was a Chapter 9 bankruptcy. So I was a valuation expert on three golf courses that secured a loan that Franklin Templeton made. They were municipal golf courses.

There was a valuation dispute. The city's appraiser had valued these municipal golf courses, essentially, at zero or maybe it was \(\$ 100,000\). My opinion

\section*{was, I believe, right around \(\$ 5\) million.}

And, there was a valuation testimony a valuation, if you will, fight. And, it ended up that the creditor, Franklin Templeton received a claim at my appraised number.
Q. Was that in Federal Bankruptcy Court?
A. Yes.
Q. Were there other instances of expert testimony that you provided during this time at CPG?
A. That was -- there was another one which was a previous of fiduciary duty. One of the partners had sued the owner of a company that was involved in land development across many different states. And, there was a dispute, a valuation dispute. I was named as the expert regarding the valuation and the potential damages.
Q. Where were you employed after CPG?
A. I moved to a company called Province, which was a, generally, creditor advisory firm. They advise companies, creditor committees that are in bankruptcy, as well as just general trustee work so post Chapter 11 liquidating plans usually had a trust and province did quite a bit of trust work.
Q. What was your position and some of your responsibilities while you were there?
A. I was a senior director. I, basically, was
involved in anything that dealt with real estate. So if
there was a financial advisory role Province had that involved real estate, I was involved in that. If there was some general litigation consulting work that was involved in as well at Province.
Q. Did you provide expert testimony while you were at province? And if so, do you recall what the instances were?
A. I didn't, ultimately, provide testimony. But it was involving an involuntary bankruptcy and the appropriateness of that filing. It was involving Master planning --

MR. AMER: Can I just object, your Honor. I
think the answer was no. So, anything beyond that is non-responsive.

THE COURT: Objection, sustained.
THE WITNESS: My apologies, your Honor.
MR. FIELDS: It was a bad question. Don't worry. It is not your fault.
Q. Did you provide or any expert opinion work in instances that did not result in testimony?

\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. Okay. And, what were those?
A. It was involving the involuntarily bankruptcy of a master planning community in Henderson, Nevada.

MR. AMER: Again, can we get a year for when
he went to Providence?

THE COURT: I was wondering myself. When did you go to Providence?
THE WITNESS: 2015.
Q. Do you recall when you left Providence?
A. It was January 2018.
Q. Where did you go next?
A. I was appointed the CEO of Woodbridge Group of Companies.
Q. Who were you appointed by?
A. I was appointed by a reconstituted Board of Directors that was overseeing a company that had just filed Chapter 11.
Q. What did Woodbridge do? Can you describe that a little bit?
A. Well, Woodbridge was involved in many activities; mostly, real estate investment. However, various state and securities exchange commissions had concerns that there was a Ponzi scheme being operated by Woodbridge. It was before my arrival.

As a result of some of that and some liquidity issues, the company filed for bankruptcy in December 2017. The debtor and, alleged, perpetrator of the Ponzi scheme remained in place.

Through the Court hearings, there was actions to, basically, replace him with a Chapter 7 Trustee, which was a
liquidation. The Judge had, basically, authorized a total removal of the debtor, reconstitution of the Board of Directors.

And a new reconstituted Board was put in place. I was interviewed to be the CEO to guide the company through bankruptcy and out of it. And, I was appointed to the CEO position in January of 2018.
Q. What were some of your responsibilities as CEO?
A. Well, besides the normal Chapter 11 responsibilities of providing all the creditor constituency's information about the company, more importantly, there were 200 pieces of real estate that needed to be planned and organized in how they would be disposed of.

And, I was responsible for, essentially, formulating the reorganization plan and more specifically the action plans of how the real estate was going to be handled through the bankruptcy and after.
Q. Could you just, generally, describe what steps you took to determine what to do with these 200 or so assets?
A. Yes. I went through and looked at asset, which were concentrated in Colorado and California. I looked at what their status was. Much of the land was planned for new luxury homes.

And I went through and, essentially, established
business plans for each asset, which was either to sell as is or to develop and construct the home and then sell it later.

And then, not only devise the plan, determine what the economics might be. And then, also to implement the plan. So, have the appropriate staff and organizational structure to support that as well as manage the cost of construction during that process.
Q. What are some of the considerations that you undertook in determining whether to sell an asset as is or to develop it and sell it later?
A. In every situation I looked at what I thought the sale price might be. So I looked at "Is there the appropriateness to sell now and minimize the risk?" Or "Was there a certain amount of risk that could be undertaken that might maximize the recovery to the 9,000 victims of the Ponzi scheme."

So, many cases, I decided that the finished value, minus its cost, would yield much greater that selling the property as is.
Q. What sorts of properties were involved in these 200 or so?
A. The composition included lots, finished lots, that were available for development; land that was entitled for certain construction of larger luxury single family homes
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and homes that were under construction that were again luxury homes.

Many of the properties, namely about 50, were relocated and concentrated in LA in some of the highest value areas available. So, Bel Air, Beverly Hills, Hollywood Hills, Hidden Hills, all prime locations in the Southern California, Los Angeles area. And, many of the properties were very valuable.

The company had acquired, prior to my arrival, a property that was almost a 100 million dollars in acquisition price.
Q. How was the bankruptcy, ultimately, resolved?
A. So, we existed bankruptcy. We had sold off various assets. The plan that I had designed was a self-funding one. So, it didn't require further risk to the victims of the Ponzi scheme.

And, I managed to, essentially, monetize all its assets. So, I did 125 plus transactions that yielded or grossed about 700 plus million dollars. That was, ultimately, distributed to the trust, which, ultimately, got distributed to the victims of the Ponzi scheme.
Q. Did you continue working there after the conclusion of the bankruptcy?
A. Yes.
Q. In what role?
A. The company exited bankruptcy in --I believe it was 2019. And I continued to operate the company, as a CEO, until I left and finished my job in December of 2022.
Q. And what was your involvement?

From the exit of bankruptcy in 2019 to 2022, what were some of your responsibilities?
A. I was responsible for all the operations of the company. Additionally, we changed from private company to a public reporting company. So we had to deal with all that structure to basically be SEC compliant.

Besides that, it was the normal operations of making sure the homes were constructed in a certain style to maximize value, that we were on time and on budget and then, also negotiating all the transactions involved in the sale of the properties that were available for sale.
Q. Did your experience in the liquidation of the Woodbridge Properties include the sale of a rent stabilized unit?
A. Yes.
Q. And where was this unit?
A. Upper East Side.
Q. Of New York City?
A. New York City. My apologies. Yes.
Q. Did you, ultimately, sell the rent stabilized unit?
F. Chin - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Fields
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A. We did.
Q. Do you recall when?
A. I believe it closed earlier this year. It was negotiated during my time at -- when I was a CEO.
Q. How were you able to execute the sale of a rent stabilized unit?
A. Well, leading up to the sale, beforehand, I evaluated our options. So, one was to sell the unit with the tenant in place.

And, we had gotten, essentially, indications of value that with the tenant in place it was worth maybe 150 or \(\$ 200,000\).

The tenant had been there for decades. And, there was indications that they were already planning to transfer it to siblings or others. So there was a concern about how long they may be in place.

At the same time, we looked at the value of the unit as if it was unencumbered. And, that number was well over \(\$ 2\) million. So we had a spread there.

We decided, with the approval of the Board of Directors, to try to buyout the tenant and then, librate the unit so it could be sold in the open market.

After some period of time, we were able to negotiate that. We, basically, negotiated a buyout of the tenant for a little over half a million dollars. We got
full releases. She left the unit. And then, we put the unit on the market.

It was immediately put into escrow. And, we ended up selling that unit for a little less than \(\$ 2\) million. So, our net was, I think, after cost, somewhere around a million three or a million five after the buyout price.

So instead of being realizing what it would have been if we just sold it as is, versus working through that process, and putting a little money into it, we were able to cover, significantly, more.
Q. At the time of the sale, was the unit still encumbered by the rent stabilization?

\section*{A. No.}

MR. AMER: You mean, after the sale closed?
I'm sorry. I didn't understand the question.
Q. Did the new owner of the property have a rent stabled or non-rent stabilized property on their hands?
A. The owner had it free and clear. And, they were going to move in.

MR. AMER: So after the close. I got it.
THE COURT: I'm sorry. Say that again?
MR. AMER: So, after the closing. That's what I understood.

THE COURT: I think we, probably, all
understand there was a buyout and the rent regulated

\section*{Providence again?}
A. I am.
Q. What kind of work are you doing for them now?
A. Province is a financial adviser to one of the creditors. It's involved -- this particular assignment involves a portfolio of real estate. I am the real estate, I guess if you will, expert or adviser regarding how the real estate is handled in the bankruptcy.
Q. All right. Are you involved in the sale process of a portfolio of properties, right now, through your employment with Province?
A. I am.
Q. Is that in bankruptcy?
A. Yes, the company is in bankruptcy.
Q. What's going on with some of those properties right now?
A. Well, this was a closure of a company. I can't discuss what the company is. But, I can give you general description. They are liquidating, approximately, 170 properties across United States.

They, as part of that -- to repay the creditors. And as part of that analysis or part of that liquidation they had gotten appraisals on all 170 assets that are located across almost all 50 states.

The appraisal was done by national firm. That
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tenant left and then it was an unregulated apartment.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q. Okay. Mr. Chin, just to get my bearings here, I think you said you left Woodbridge in late last year, December of 2022?
A. Correct.
Q. And where did you go next?
A. I went back to my old personal firm CPG Advisors and was going to figure out what the next assignment was going to be.
Q. Is that where you are now?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. In terms of professional employment, not literally where you are right now. But --
A. Yes.
Q. All right. Do you also do some work for Ankura?
A. This assignment. And, I do other work, some work subcontracting work for Ankura.
Q. Just generally, what are some of your responsibilities or tasks you've taken on now with CPG?
A. Ankura had been hired to help a bank evaluate its assets for possible sale. There was a default by a borrower and they had asked Ankura to evaluate its options. And I was asked to look at one of those assets.
Q. All right. Are you also doing some work for
F. Chin - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Fields
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national firm, I think, had 40 plus appraisers valued in its portfolio.
Q. And what were the ranges, if any, that the portfolio was appraised at?
A. It's been publically reported in -- I don't want to breach confidences. But, the appraised value was, approximately, 1.1 billion. But there was also another valuation provided by the firm which was just under 900 million, which reflected -- it wasn't characterized as this, as a liquidation value, but it was called a "go dark" value; meaning, that the owner would vacate the premises and what would be the value as if nothing was there. So it was, basically, 900 million to a billion one was the appraised value.
Q. Had there been any bids for these properties?
A. Yes, there have been.
Q. Where have the bids fallen in terms of value?
A. There have been stalking horse bidders that, initially, were, approximately, 1.3 billion and now we're up to about a billion 525 is a stocking horse Court-approved bid for the same set of assets.
Q. And the appraised value, what type of value did the appraisal appraise -- or the appraisals I should say?
A. The appraisals were all based on market value.
Q. So Mr. Chin, overall, about how long have you been
working in the real estate valuation industry?
A. Over 40 years.
Q. I'd like to talk to you, for a moment, about some of your designations.

Do you hold any professional designations?
A. I do.
Q. Can you describe some of them?
A. I hold the MAI designation. I hold the CIRA, Certified Insolvency Restructuring Adviser, designation; CTP, Certified Turnaround Professional and CRE, Certified Consultant of Real Estate.
Q. Counselor of Real Estate maybe.
A. Counselor of Real Estate. Thank you. Sorry.
Q. Let start with the MAI. What is a MAI designation?
A. It's issued by the appraisal institute of
professional organization. It's based on scope of work and experience levels, various reports that are reviewed by your peers, passing and attending classes all in appraisal and then being awarded that designation.
(Whereupon, the following proceedings was stenographically recorded by Deborah Rothrock.)

Q About how long have you been a member The Appraisal Institute?
A Since 1984.
Q You also mentioned you are certified as an insolvency and structure advisor?

A Yes.
Q What is that?
A That is another professional organization that deals solely in, generally bankrupt situations, restructuring situations. Here it is based upon their regimen of class test which deal in law, finance and management. It is based on years of experience and between the testing and the experience they accord the CIRA designation.

Q And you mentioned CTP, which I believe Certified Turnaround Professional?

A Yes, correct.
Q What is that?
A That is another designation like the CIRA that is awarded to people with experience in restructuring. I think it is, in this case, I've been doing it for the last decade or more and based upon testing.
Q And lastly, the Council of Real Estate, how did you obtain that?
A That is by invitation only and I believe the minimum requirement is at least ten years of some sort of real estate
consulting or other type of experience.
Q In terms of the appraisals that you have performed
yourself, you had mentioned it earlier, about how many have you, appraisals have you done in your career?

A Probably a couple thousand.
Q What sorts of properties have you appraised?
A All of the major property types, so that would be
industrial, office, retail, residential, several special purpose
preponderance, if you will, fire station, church or cemetery.
Some of those types, but generally all property types.
Q Have you done hotels?
A Yes, I have, yes.
Q And golf courses?
A Yes.
Q How many times, if you could approximate, have you testified as an expert witness at trial?

A Probably 25 or so.
Q And based on your time in the industry, how would you describe the importance of the interplay between real estate valuation, market analysis and market operations?

A The tools of real estate valuation is used in so many different regards of real estate. Developers use valuation tools, restructuring professionals use real estate valuation tools. As an operator you're also trying to determine whether you're maximizing value and value is measured there. It is an
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integral tool. It's used every which way in the real estate industry done by developers, investor, lenders, all sorts of purposes for real estate valuation and having valuation tools. They're so interrelated. You could, in my opinion, you can't separate them without knowing the other aspects.

Q All right. We talked a little earlier about your time about accounting firms. I would like to ask questions regarding your experience specifically with accounting.

First of all, are you an accountant?
A No, I'm not an accountant.
Q Could you describe your understanding of accounting principles?

MR. AMER: Objection. Lack of foundation. Relevance.

THE COURT: Vague. I don't understand.
You asked him basically to explain accounting after he just said he's not an accountant.

MR. FIELDS: I asked about his understanding of accounting principles.

THE COURT: Subtle distinction.
What is your understanding of accounting? Don't you go to school for eight years to become an accountant?

MR. FIELDS: Certainly at a high level. I'm trying to establish so that the Court understands where Mr. Chin is coming from with regard to, if there's any cross-examination

1 on accounting. If there's not going to be anything, I'll
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move on.
MR. AMER: So, I don't understand the relevance of asking someone who is not accountant what their understanding is of the field. You might as well ask: What is your understanding of neurosurgery is for all its worth.

THE COURT: I think that is a little far off.
Sustained.
MR. FIELDS: I assume there will be no cross-examination at all of accounting principles, or anything of the sort?

MR. AMER: I am not going to agree to anything in advance of hearing what the witness will testify to.

MR. FIELDS: I don't know that that's fair, your Honor. He's saying that he can't opine on -- he can't mention what he knows about accounting then I think it is not fair to be asked anything about accounting.

MR. AMER: You could make that objection if I ask him about that. I'm not agreeing in advance to anything. That is not an appropriate thing to ask.

THE COURT: Now the question is: What is the understanding of accounting? What does he understand about accounting?

MR. FIELDS: I'm asking his general understanding of the accounting world and accounting principles. He's
worked at an accounting firm. I wanted the Court to understand what he knows just generally about accounting, that's all.

THE COURT: Is there still an objection?
MR. AMER: Yes, it's incredibly vague.
THE COURT: Sustained. This is ridiculous.
MR. FIELDS: Okay.
(Continued)

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION:}

Q Have you worked with accountants throughout your career?
A Yes. Particularly during my time at Kenneth Leventhal \& Company and Ernst \& Young.

MR. AMER: It's been asked and answered too.
THE COURT: By the way, you asked how many times he's testified as an expert. The first time he said
"something like 25 at least, or 25 plus." The second time he said "around 25 ". So that was asked and answered.

Anyway, let's get back to the current topic.
You asked if he's been around accounting work or familiar with it.

MR. FIELDS: I asked if he had worked with accountants through his career.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. AMER: Asked and answered. We have heard
almost an hour of testimony about his background, more than an hour. In many instances he's testified about the work he's done in accounting firms as a member of a team where an accounting partner was part of the team. We've done through this already.

THE COURT: Sustained. Objection sustained.
MR. FIELDS: May I have a moment, your Honor, please.

THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) (Continued)

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION:}

Q To the extent you were ever confronted with an accounting issue, who would you rely on for advice?

A I would rely on the experts and people designated in the firm that dealt with accounting matters.

\section*{Q All right.}

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, I move to qualify Mr. Chin as an expert relating to real estate valuations, market analysis and real estate operations.

THE COURT: Let me write this down. Real estate valuations.

MR. FIELDS: Market analysis.
THE COURT: Can that be more specific? Can we say real estate market analysis?
-F. Chin-Defendant-Direct-by Mr. Fields-
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MR. FIELDS: Yes.
THE COURT: And the third thing, real estate -MR. FIELDS: Real estate operations.
MR. AMER: I have no objection to first designation real estate valuation. I do object to the other two. I think they're incredibly vague. I don't know that his experience goes beyond just real estate valuations.

MR. FIELDS: I think, as Mr. Amer just noted, eloquently, we spent an hour talking about his experience developing properties, managing portfolios, determining when to sell or hold assets.

I think that is all covered within market analysis and operations, as well. I think that is totally appropriate for this witness to be qualified in that respect.

\section*{THE COURT: Granted.}

MR. AMER: I was going to make a suggestion. I think the two areas, real estate market analysis and real estate operations are just incredibly broad. If it's confined as to real estate valuation, I would be okay with it.

THE COURT: Deem an expert. Overruled. I deem him an expert in all three areas.
(Continued)
25 DIRECT EXAMINATION:

1 Q All right. Mr. Chin, I am going to ask any opinions you give in this case you give to reasonable degree of certainty based upon your experience in the fields that you've worked in. Okay?
A Yes, I understand.
THE COURT: You might have to talk louder. THE WITNESS: Sorry, my apologies.
THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
(Continued)

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

MR. FIELDS:
Q Did you have the occasion to review the Attorney Gencral's Complaint in this case?

A Yes.
Q When you reviewed the complaint, did you review the exhibits that are attached to it as well?

A Yes.
Q And as part of those exhibits, did you review the Statements of Financial Condition and supporting data spreadsheets that were attached?

A Yes.
Q Did you form an opinion regarding what the Attorney
General's theory of valuation was after reading the complaint? MR. AMER: Objection.
25 THE COURT: I'm not sure the theory of valuation,
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does the Attorney General have a single theory of valuation? What were you going to say?

MR. AMER: I think the opinions need to be tied to the evidence that we put in on our case. And not just to what is stated in the complaint where we have not put in evidence in our case.

As the Court knows, we've truncated our case based upon the Court's summary judgment ruling.

THE COURT: I don't - this is another one of the times when I'll sustain the objection on different grounds. I don't understand.

Mr. Fields, could you explain what that question means?

MR. FIELDS: Sure. It's understanding his impression about what the allegations are with regard to valuation. That is what the question is getting at from his perspective as an expert in real estate valuations.

THE COURT: What the allegations are?
MR. FIELDS: Yes. In addition to that, citing to the evidence that is cited in the complaint, not just the words, but also the evidence that is included as part of the complaint which have now been moved into evidence in this case.

MR. AMER: That goes to the point of my objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: State it again, please.
MR. AMER: They're going to offer opinions about the complaint, not about the evidence we have put in on our case. And I think it is appropriate for the expert's testimony to address what we're putting in in terms of evidence and theories on our case in chief.

MR. FIELDS: I think it is equally permissible for an expert to testify about why the theories that are being argued to this Court are plausible, implausible, accurate, inaccurate, reasonable or unreasonable. That is what we're getting at.

THE COURT: How about if we did this, let me try for a second.

You said you read the complaint?
THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: And you read the exhibits?
THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE COURT: Which were the background, backbone of the complaint, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: And do you understand what I'll call the theories of the complaint?

THE WITNESS: I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Okay. And do you think - I think this is Mr. Fields' question but more direct: Do you feel that
-F. Chin-Defendant-Direct-by Mr. Fields-
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the evidence sustains the theories?
THE WITNESS: No, I don't.
THE COURT: Okay. Next question.
Did that do the trick Mr. Fields?
MR. FIELDS: I suppose if I could ask why not as follow-up.

THE COURT: Yes.
(Continued)
DIRECT EXAMINATION:
Q Why not?
A It is my opinion that there are different perspectives of value. That the Attorney General has a perspective of what must be reported and the owner, Trump's financials have a different perspective of value.
Q And in terms of perspective of value, is that something you're familiar with based upon your 40 years in the industry? MR. AMER: Objection.
THE COURT: Sustained. Leading.
Q Have you encountered these perspectives of value in the past?
A Yes.
Q In your experience?
A Yes.
Q How frequently or infrequently do you encounter them?
A Frequently.

\section*{Q And why?}

A There are many, many reasons why appraisal information either lags or is incongruent with the way the market works.

Number one, most or all appraisal information is retrospective. It is based upon historical transactions that have occurred in perhaps a different market environment than as of date of appraisal.

One example would be appraisals lag when markets are going up, and they lag when markets go down. They either overstate or overvalve, particularly in those situations where market cycles are more pronounced.

The appraisal process in general is governed by a certain set of rules that appraisers must follow that often times differ than, if you will, the free market. Investors, individuals may have perspectives of value. It is not to say the appraisal process is inherently wrong, but it tends to normalize a lot of potential nuances that are influential on value estimates.

I think those two things are prominent in my mind of why there's a pretty big divergence between appraisal estimates and the actual market test of what properties are really worth.

THE COURT: Okay. See you all the 11:40. (Whereupon, a recess taken.)
THE COURT: Mr. Fields, would you like to continue. MR. FIELDS: If I may.
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\section*{THE COURT: Please. MR. FIELDS: Thank you. \\ (CONTINUED) \\ DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. FIELDS:}

Q Okay. Mr. Chin, let me just ask you first: At what rate are you being compensated for your work in this case?
A \(\$ 550\) an hour.
Q Do you have a sense for how many hours approximately you've spent on this engagement to date?
A Probably about a thousand.
Q And is your compensation conditioned on any opinion you give in this case?
A No.
Q All right. Have you heard the terms "as is" and "as if" value before?

\section*{A Yes.}

Q Could you describe them, please?
A "As is" generally connotates to a condition that exists at the time, a specific date, generally often times referred to as market value.
"As if" is a condition that will be expected to be -expected to be completed or expected to be received either kind of a hypothetical condition that might exist in the future.
Q Could you give an example of what such hypothetical
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\section*{condition might be?}

A One might be a partially completed home that is assumed to be completed as of a certain date, that would be an "as if" analysis. Of a land development, if there was a raw piece of land it was going to be converted with a golf course and residential lots around the golf course, looking at its future,
assuming that was completed that would be and "as if" value.
Q Is there another way to do so "as if" values?
A Yes.
Q What is that way?
A Could be considered an investment value.
Q From your review of the complaint and the exhibits, did you form an opinion with regard to "as is" or "as if" valuations with regard to the valuations that were described in the complaint?
A Yes.
Q And what is that?
A There were differences of perspective of whether "as is" or "as if" valuations would apply.
Q And what were some of those differences of perspective that you're mentioning?
A In the Trump financial statements there are many situations and instances where to be completed or expected to be received was included which would be an "as if" situation in perspective.
. Chin-Defendant-Direct-by Mr. Fields-
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That differed many times from the perspective of "as is" values that were argued in the AG's complaint.

Q And you mentioned the term "appraisals."
From your review of the documentation, what type of value did the appraisals describe?

A Appraisals commonly refer to the "as is" value.
Q Now, there are different types of "as if" values?
A Yes.
Q What are some of those different types?
A One comes to mind would be if a building is partially completed or planned to be constructed that would be and "as if" completed value.

If a property is just opened and it is scheduled or hoped to be leased up over time, there's the "as if" occupied valuation.

If a proposed roadway was going to affect a property, one might value "as if" the roadway is completed and done. And value would be performed "as if" completed.

THE COURT: Just a second. The question was: Are there different types of "as if" values, something like that, I don't have it in front of me.

But you gave to me examples of "as if" values not different types of "as if" values, am I right?

MR. FIELDS: I think I asked what are some types "as if" values.
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what is property is worth five years ago, am I correct that you're trying to figure out what is property is worth right now, though, two weeks later it is in the past.

THE WITNESS: You're partly right, your Honor, but at the same time it is reliant on retrospective data. Sales --

THE COURT: That is the only data we have, right?
THE WITNESS: Correct. But there's also projections and anticipation that other people prognosticate.

THE COURT: I'm in unchartered territory here but is there something called a prospective appraisal or is that what "as if" is?

THE WITNESS: Yes, many people characterize that as prospective or projected, forecasted, those types of terms.

THE COURT: Thank you.
(CONTINUED)
DIRECT EXAMINATION:
Q On that note, do -- the perspective appraisals, what are those called?

A Often times they're characterized as "as if".
Q In your career, are those frequently created by
appraisers or how often do you come across those is what I am asking?

A They come across occasionally. Particularly if a
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building is proposed so as opposed to valuing it with partially completed steel and partially completed materials, they look at it "as if" it is finished and "as if" it is leased.

It is a relevant point for a lending institution about what its terminal value, if you will, or future "as if" value might be. Those are common in new construction, common in proposed projects, common in partially completed projects.
(Whereupon, Cheryl-Lee Lorient relieved Deborah Rothrock as the official court reporter.)
(Continued on next page.)
Q. How will you know, by picking up an appraisal, whether it's "as is" or "as if?"
A. The appraisal should be very clear. I believe even FIRREA, the Federal Institution -- I'm not sure -Reform Recovery, better known as F-I-R-R-E-A, I believe mandates that there is "as is" appraisals and there could be other variants besides "as is" that's reported.
Q. Are you familiar with the term value creation?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. It's at phrase that -- common in the development industry -- that they are or a developer is going to undertake certain activities to differentiate or create an environment or end product that may be a typical or different in the marketplace and that there is a value increment above and beyond that could be received by creating a value.

The best example, as I mentioned before, is taking a raw piece of land and proposing a golf course -- land that's for a luxury housing. And in that particular case, by developing that and believing that there's market demand, that, they created value that others may not, necessarily, see on that vacant land.

THE COURT: I just want to pindown my
understanding, the difference between "as is, as if."

1 least, construction cost, plus the value creation above 2 a land value that might be an "as if" value.

THE COURT: All right. So again, using arbitrary numbers, what's the "as is" value of the vacant lot, unrestricted lot.

THE WITNESS: So in that case, 500 million.
THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And "as if" might be two billion.

THE COURT: Okays. That's the difference. Well, are you adding a factor -- I'll call it, the genius factor. It would be worth 500 million. But, if a genius owns, it's now worth 2 billion?

THE WITNESS: Generally, in those "as if" appraisals, it's not, per say, specific to an individual. It's based on if it were done today, what would the economics look like as if it were done. And that is that "as if" value.

THE COURT: Then why are you giving any values to the "as is?"

THE WITNESS: Well, in that situation, it could be, somebody might have a different plan for it and say, "I want to build an office building." That might yield a different "as if" number.

THE COURT: All right. So, depending upon
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the intelligence, if you will, of the plan, it could be worth 500 million or two billion.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: I think we've heard investment value. Is that what the as -- you know, I think you've said that. But that's, basically, the same thing as "as if."

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay. Thanks. I understand much better now.
Q. So perhaps, as a corollary to that, just to clear it up, frankly, for myself, in a situation where there's 500 million for the vacant plot of land, that 500 million, what does it represent?

What is worth \(\$ 500\) million if that situation? A. In that case, given the appraisal lease it's the highest and best use. So, it could be used for multiple of uses subject to its zoning and other allowable things.

So it may not be just a hotel. It might be an office, it might be a retail complex, it might be a specialty convention center. It could be a variety of things.
Q. But the bottom line is, the land, itself, is the value in that situation?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
on that same vacant plot of land and we said that it could be worth a billion and a half or two billion dollars.

What are the differences in value reflecting for those two situations?

THE COURT: That sounds like the old joke about what's the difference between an old penny and a new dime? Nine cents.

Mr. Fields, you asked is the value in the "as is" only about just the value of the land. I would say, it can't be just the value of the land because, it wouldn't generate any income.

Isn't it assuming that there'd be some type of building or development just not a genius building?

THE WITNESS: Yes. The land is available for all sorts of types of uses based upon its zoning.

THE COURT: So, the use is what's value not the land itself?

THE WITNESS: The uses and allowances create the value of the land.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thanks.
Q. But the land, itself, possesses value independent of whatever use somebody seeks for it?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: So, what if there is a zoning or trust and estate's restriction middle of the city,

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. In the situation that the Court was describing, where there's a vacant plot of land in the middle of New York City, and we threw around the term -- the figure -\(\$ 500\) million, does that figure represent with something constructed on it, if it's market value or just vacant land that could sell vacant?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: I'll let him.
MR. AMER: He could just ask "What does it represent," and let the witness tell us what he thinks it's representing as opposed to giving him two options that he gets to choose from.

THE COURT: Well, you're right. That's leading. Okay. Sustained.

You can't ask "isn't A the same as B," you can't ask "Isn't \(A\) the same as \(B\) or \(C\)." It doesn't matter how many possibilities you're giving. It's still leading.

MR. FIELDS: All right. Let me come at it from a different direction.
Q. So, we talked about the vacant plot of land, in middle of New York City, being worth \(\$ 500\) million as what we'll call market value.

And then we also talked about developing something
could be a two billion hotel, could be 500 million dollars office building but, you can't build anything on it, what's the value of that.

THE WITNESS: Well, significantly less than 500 million. One would try to see, maybe in that particular case, a hypothetical you mentioned, it might be for a park, it might be just for a parking lot. There may be a use for it and it would be valued as such.

THE COURT: So let's assume, again, arbitrarily - as a parking lot, it might be worth 100 thousand.

\section*{THE WITNESS: Correct.}

THE COURT: Something like that. As a park, it's not worth anything to the owner if the municipality is just going to let people play there, right?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. And this gets a little more nuanced. There's a value to the park or the owner -- the municipality -- but there may be an owner or that person who does own it. So there's some sort of value to him.

But in your example, yeah, in municipal use there is no public value if you will.

THE COURT: Well, there is no private value.
F. Chin - Defendant - Direct/by Mr. Fields
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There's a public value. But, it's not measurable.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. But, if you look at this in combination, if somebody just said, "Well, just give me your park because, it's not worth anything," there's a value to it.

THE COURT: Okay.
Q. Taking the Court's question regarding the piece of land that you can't develop, how would the market value and investment values differ for that piece of property that the Court was just describing?

MR. AMER: Objection. I think it presents they would differ. Can we just ask how they would relate to each other.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A. Do you mind repeating that question.
Q. Sure.

THE COURT: Read back or you'll do it?
Q. Taking the Court's question regarding the piece of land that you can't develop, how would the market value and investment value different for that piece of proper that the Court was just describing?
A. Well, the market value, in the case, could be zero. And, to an owner, they believe it's, in their view, it's worth a lot. There could be a significant difference.
Q. Why? Why could an owner think that?
A. Could be for sentimental reasons because, it's been in the family forever and -- or there may be restrictions that I can't transfer this property no matter what. You know, the extenuating circumstances. It may be something that they had history with that property and they just cannot let it go.

THE COURT: Well, that's a whole different
kettle of fish. Sentimental value? That's not any kind of market value, right?

THE WITNESS: No, it's not, sir.
THE COURT: So, it's totally subject to
"Can't build. But, I love it. So, it's worth a lot to me."

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
Q. How does the -- how do the restriction is -- withdrawn.

How does whether or not the restrictions or can or will continue into the future, how can that effect a property owner's "as if" value?
A. Well, it is a limitation on the ability to, if you will, maximize the utility of that property. So, if there's a short duration, it limits the alternatives that could be used for a property; especially, if they're going to plan it and construct it and develop it.
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Obviously, the longer period of time that's given, the more flexibility there is to consider options and alternatives.
Q. You discussed, earlier, rent stabilization restrictions.

Do you recall we were talking about that earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that an example of what you were just describing with regard to restrictions and holding something for long term?
A. That would be an example. I think in the real estate market, they think of the bundle of rights -- a whole bunch of rights.

And if certain things are removed or there is encumbrances or restrictions then that takes away certain rights of a property owner that have to be considered.
Q. Going back to talking a little bit about
developers, what are some of the factors or considerations that developers look to when determining an "as if" value for an asset?
A. There are many. They might look at the market and see that there is something that is not there that they believe would have high demand, that, they could build and construct.

They may see that the market cycle is going to
improve and they believe that they want to take advantage of that situation and build something that will be in high demand when the market cycle continues, hopefilly, to improve.

They look at situations that could be an underutilized property where they might envision something that "I can do more with this than currently being done."

And, therefore, there's a creation of value that if they exercise certain initiatives that, that value could be substantial and better than what it would cost them to buy and change.
Q. How, if at all, do the interest rates effect developer's decisions to buy or sell real property?
A. Interest rates have a large bearing on several aspects that effect an owner or developer. It is a cost of capital.

Certainly, when cost or capital are higher, interest rates increase. The obligations increase. And, it may make a development less feasible. Because, it's going to cost more in interest that doesn't result in a higher property value.

Interest rates could and have effected capitalization rates, the rates of returns that are expected by buyers and sellers of property.

It does provide an indication as to the health of
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the economy. So if it's high interest rates, there's going to be retraction, perhaps less demand, if you are a retail building owner, that might curtail your retail sales. So interest rates have a huge overall effect on the demand for supply of and the pricing of real estate.
Q. Similarly how do -- how if at all does a developer's experience or expertise effect their decisions to evaluate property and the necessary -- withdrawn. Let me withdraw that.

How, if at all, do the developer's experience and expertise in real estate development effect what types of property they invest in and the resulting values?
A. Developer's experience is extremely important, from a standpoint, if they're particularly constructing. Things don't oftentimes go as planned. And developers have to make adjustments in terms of how they respond to that market.

As commonly understood, real estate markets are very cyclical. They go up, they go down, depending upon various economic factors.

And, usually, the investors and owners who've been through many cycles, understand more where people are, where they are, in the investment cycle.

That might cause them to buy when other people are selling and disposing of assets. It may give them an
indication, when a market is peeking, that they might sell at the top of a market.

I think that the more you've been in real estate, the longer you've been in it, you're more sensitive to what impacts how you create or make money versus someone who's never developed, has a limited perspective and may not have been subject to the vagaries of market cycles or changes in demand or changes in interest rates or other things that effect their profitability.
Q. Can a developers experience or expertise effect that developers -- the manner in which a developer values their properties "as if"?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. How does a developer's experience or expertise effect how that developer values their properties "as if"?
A. They're focused on trying to anticipate and forecast where the market is headed. So, if they believe too many buildings are being proposed, they may retract their decisions to building or to undertake more construction activities.

If they believe that pricing does not allow them sufficient profit with having to take on more risks, they may decide to withdraw or temporarily hold their development activities.
F. Chin - Defendant - Direct/by Mr. Fields
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So, they're, usually, attuned to what they think their projections are of the future and how that my impact real estate demand and prices.
Q. How, if at all, do the projections you just described effect assumptions used for "as if" values?
A. The assumptions are highly influenced by their perspectives of the market.
Q. In your 40 years of experience, did you project "as if" values for different types of property?
A. I have.
Q. How did you use those "as if" values?
A. Well, most notably, like in the Woodbridge example, I had to project what the selling price of a finished luxury home would be in the future, knowing that it's going to take some time to construct it, build it, maybe there is COVID and supplier issues.

But, I had to project what I thought the prices were because, I had to disseminate that information to, essentially, the victims of the Ponzi scheme. So they knew what sort of recovery might be possible from a sell out of these homes.

So, in all those cases -- and, there were fifteen luxury homes that I had built in LA. As of 2018, I had to project them in 2022, 2021 about what I thought those houses would sell for.
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Q. How significant, in those instances, was current market value appraisals?
A. They were irrelevant. Telling me what a property is worth when I have a business plan to build and construct a home that is underway -- I didn't care.

I was focused on how the market would respond, where the market was headed, the potential demand for these houses. So, I was very concerned about the "as if," if you will, values. And I didn't care about the "as is" because I wasn't selling. I'm not selling properties as it was.
Q. How old you describe the real estate market conditions from a developer's point of view in the 2011 to 2019 years?
A. Very strong. It was a time -- I think about it as before 2011 we had just had the greatest financial collapse that occurred. So there was a total retraction of capital for anything. And a lot of uncertainty.

I know this particular city has been hit very hard because, it was a financial capital of, essentially, the world. But, a lot of uncertainty.

Someone who understands real estate cycles would see, "Okay. We had this condition in 2008. What a great buying opportunity at some point in time." That the market cycle would go backup.
So, what happened -- and it did happen -- as

\section*{F. Chin - Defendant - Direct/by Mr. Fields}
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uncertainty decreased from that tragic situation of 2008, markets started firming, prices started increasing, cap rates started decreasing, valuations became greater and higher as we moved on from 2011. Up until 2019, when we hit kind of a high point in terms of the strength of the market and we started entering an era of uncertainty.

That combined with a buildup of inventory that happened, essentially, between 2016 to 2019, a lot of new construction came on board. And it presumed that everything would continue forward.

But then COVID hit. No one really knew how that would effect demand. And then, now, we're in this situation where we have massive uncertainty. We have an oversupplied commercial real estate market and we're in another cycle.
Q. What type of value do developers generally rely on when assessing a project's economic potential?
A. They -- and, I put myself in the same boat. They are clearly focused on the future value, the "as if" value. And, myself and others are hopeful that the market will continue to be strong and bolstered but, we could be wrong.
Q. And how common is it for developers to use "as if" values to project economic potential for a project?
A. Very common.
Q. How do developers differ from passive real estate owners and investors in perceiving and managing risks?
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A. A couple of things. One is, if they have to. Sometimes for tax reasons. Or if they feel that market pricing is so high that they need to take advantage of the cycle; that, we're at top of market, they may project that the market is not going to be as strong and, therefore, they should say, "Wow, we can take our chips off the table and then maybe wait for the next cycle."
Q. In your experience, do developers build, sell or liquidate assets at a discount?
MR. AMER: Objection; leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. How, if at all, does bulk selling or liquidating
ssets, at a discount, effect a developer's decision to
Q. How, if at all, does bulk selling or liquidating
assets, at a discount, effect a developer's decision to sell?
A. I'm not sure I get the clarity. I didn't understand your question.
Q. Sure. How, if at all, do developers consider --
let me withdraw that.
Are you familiar with the terms bulk selling or liquidating assets at a discount?
A. Yes.
Q. How often, in your experience, have you seen developers do that; and if they do, do it, why?
A. There's a significant difference between passive owners and developers. It is all premised on construction risks.

So you have construction risks which is the taking on the risk of building something -- excuse me -- on time, on budget. And, hopefiully, the market will be strong enough to demand the product you're building. That is risky.

A lot of times things do not go as planned. Costs are overrun, the time it takes to build is too long or some other factor happens.

Notwithstanding that during that construction process, the market cycle could change, that, it could go from being very strong to very weak as you're still under construction.

Contrast that with a passive owner, which would, generally, buy it today. They know what they have, they don't have to undertake any sort of construction risk and start producing income right off the bat if they own an office building.

So, that construction period. And then, there's the lease up, that, I have to fill my building once I build it. So, massive risks in this "as if" scenario. But, they're rewarded if they, essentially, bet right.
Q. What considerations go into a developer's decision to sell an asset?

MR. AMER: Compound question.
THE COURT: Let makes one question at a

MR. FIELDS: Sure.
THE COURT: These are tough questions.
(Whereupon, the following proceedings was stenographically recorded by Deborah Rothrock.)

Q How often do you see developers both selling or liquidating assets at a discount?
A Not frequently, unless they're under substantial pressure to do so.
Q And in your experience, what examples of substantial financial pressure come to mind?
A I've seen it in the debt restructuring world that I am in where there's an impasse between the lender and the borrower and the properties need certain work, need monies invested and the bank wouldn't do it. The borrower owes a lot of money and sometimes they decide, let's just liquidate the portfolio.
Q I would like to shift gears and talk to you about enterprise value.

Are you familiar with that them?
A Yes.
Q What is an enterprise?
A An enterprise is typically a collection or a grouping of real estate assets that are owned, operated, managed similarly under one umbrella.

So the best example would be like a Four Seasons is an enterprise or Four Season Hotels. Ritz Carlton Hotel Group, its an enterprise. They are lots of interrelated parts that are leveraged off of a single brand name.
Q Is there value associated with an enterprise?
A Definitely.
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\section*{Q Could you describe that?}

A Let's use a Ritz Carlton.
There are certain systems, reservation systems, operating protocols, financial reporting, reservation systems that are all tied together that affect \({ }^{\wedge}\) all those properties.

So they kind of perform under one umbrella, they move in one group. There's a standard for purchasing the same glassware, the same uniforms, everything. They operate as a group with a specific brand. They're marketed and merchandised as a brand.

Therefore, this is an enterprise that includes all those parts which were all tied together to this brand.
Q What types of properties do enterprise value generally apply to?
A Enterprise value usually associates with several properties. So a single property doesn't -- wouldn't have an enterprise value. The more properties that are operating similarly or under a same type of name would be more considered a part of that enterprise.
Q In terms of the use of the property, you mentioned Ritz Carlton. What types of properties and their uses do enterprise value generally apply to?
A Generally operating type of businesses, so obviously hotels, you can consider motels as well. Golf courses, private clubs, could be maybe marinas if they're operated similarly. In
some cases, apartment complexes if they're dominating a certain area. Could be office buildings, a collection of office buildings that are dominated by a single owner. Those would be some examples.
Q What are some of the key indicia for a real state professional such as yourself to determine whether there's enterprise value associated with a certain subset of properties?
A I consider the commonalities of the market. The commonalities of the systems, if you call it, the back of the house. How people are supposed to operate, how they price things, how they purchase items to operate a property. The people that they all march, if you will, kind of to a similar type of beat, that they have certain standards.

Those are elements that I consider contributors to an enterprise type of value.
Q What, if any, shortcomings in terms of valuation could exist when valuing a real estate portfolio but not accounting for enterprise value?
A Well, there are several situations that happen when one looks at the just the individual assets. Unless someone takes out the benefit or the effect of that association with marketing, then it is missing this increment of value that is attributable to the property that is the result of the association with the enterprise.
Q How does supply or leverage and purchasing power affect

\section*{enterprise value?}

MR. AMER: I will object to the line of questioning. I don't think enterprise value is relevant to the case. It is not anything that was valued in the Statements of Financial Conditions. So I don't see how it is relevant.

THE COURT: I see your point. I will allow it. The Appellate Division might not see the point. It is overruled.

\section*{Q So I'll reask it.}

How does supply or leverage and purchasing power, if at all, affect enterprise value?
A As I mentioned, it is one component of maybe an indicia of how an enterprise might exist.

In an example, if someone is purchasing, let's say, dishes, Ritz Carlton dishes. They can negotiate probably stronger as a group as a Ritz Carlton than an individual property owner can go and say I will buy a thousand cobalt-looking glasses. That purchasing power and leverage of the organization or enterprise is much greater when negotiating contracts and others.

THE COURT: Wouldn't that be called economies of scale?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Thank you.

Q From the perspective of a buyer of an enterprise, why would a buyer of an enterprise care about these indicia that you just described?
A They will care about it because it's easy to make decisions about changing the organization. So if one day someone decides at an enterprise we want to change our pricing policy, it can be done very quickly and implemented very quickly versus if it was disbursed assets you have to tell this general manager and that property person and that and see how it unfolds.

So the benefits of existing systems that can respond generally quickly to changing events, is the strength of an enterprise.

To give an example would be a security threat. So that can be disseminated very quickly through an enterprise. They can say here is what needs to be done, it is crisis management, you need to have the following protocols in place, here is how you respond to the public.

If you own 50 different assets with different owners it would be difficult to implement that on a system-wide basis.
Q In your experience have you found that enterprise value positively or negatively affects the ultimate value of the selling price of a property?

MR. AMER: Note my continuing objection. I know your Honor has overruled me, but for the record.
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\section*{greater than if its individual value.}

THE COURT: The whole is worth more than the sum of
the parts?
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: There we go.
(CONTINUED)
DIRECT EXAMINATION:
Q Do you have any experience with managing and selling individual assets and also selling assets as part of an enterprise?

A Yes.
Q What experience do you have in that?
A The MPG Office was certainly both selling individual assets and then selling the entirety of the company.

Q In your experience how does the number of properties in a portfolio affect whether enterprise value exists or could exist?

A In general, the greater the number of the properties the larger the enterprise, potentially the higher the valuation.

But it really depends if they're of similar ilks. Some properties are not as contributory to or benefit from being associated with the enterprise.
Q And same question for operating entities or operating companies?

A Same answer.
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Q Why?
2 A Depends on what the criteria is. So if I were to say market value that would be willing buyer willing seller normal time no duress as contrasted to liquidation value, which might say we need to sell this in a certain time period perhaps as is, with as it sits. So that could be a very different value.
Q Are you familiar with lender-ordered appraisals?
A Yes.
Q What type of value do lender-ordered appraisals generally predict?
A Market value is common for lender appraisals.
Q And for what period of time does the appraisal predict a market value?
A It is very specific as of a specific date.
Q From an appraiser's perspective, what function do lender-ordered appraisals serve?

A It provides a lender information about what the collateral may be worth. If they have to -- if there's a default, if there is a foreclosure it provides them the amount they believe they could recover. And it also provides them a basis for their own internal analysis, so usually lenders have loan-to-value ratios they try to comply with and that value sets what they believe the maximum loan amount could be.

Q And when doing lender-ordered appraisals what sort of data are appraisers looking to?
today, how does that effect values in real estate, if it does at all tomorrow and then going forward.

They're crystal balls, they have them but it is probably murky. Everybody, like everybody in this room could have a different perspective of what the next day and the future holds.

THE COURT: Five-minute warning.
MR. KISE: I'm going to go out on a limb. If we take our break now, there's a pretty good likelihood we that could turn this up for this afternoon.

MR. FIELDS: Okay.
THE COURT: Everybody okay with that? Okay. See you 2:15.
I'll direct the witness please do not discuss your testimony or anything related to it during the lunch break.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
(Lunch recess taken at this time.)
(Continued next page.)
A Usually they're looking at comparable sales, sales that have been transacted and consummated. Looking at the case lease, leases that have been executed. There's some consideration to listings or offers to purchase that might be pending on a property although they're given less weight because they have not occurred.

But generally looking at the economic indicators that have occurred up to that date and time.
Q How much emphasis do appraisals put on potential market changes for lender appraisals?
A I think appraisers try to, if you will, simulate what might happen. But they really make, they don't overtly state their prognostications. Again, it is more reliant on historical trend of economics, of the health of the market up to that point. Demand and supply up to a point up to the date of appraisal and they don't forecast, project overtly in a report about what they see or expect to happen.
Q Why not?
A I think a couple reasons. Number one, it is not common in the appraisal world to do that. And I think much like everything there's differences of opinion about how markets may evolve.

There's no one definitive treatise about how the economy might recover after a catastrophe or after an unusual economic event or if a stock market dropped a thousand points
determinations into a "as if" appraisal?
A Any sort of restriction that might limit the possible uses would affect the value negatively usually.

Q But could an appraiser assume an "as if" appraisal that that restriction does not exist at some point in the future for purposes of an "as if" appraisal?

MR. AMER: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q What basis do developers have to plan investments based upon future zoning changes to property currently encumbered by a zoning restriction?

A They consider what might be possible based upon those limitations and they will plan accordingly in terms of usually number of lots that can be built or the size of the lots and how some of the common areas, perhaps parks and other things, might be laid out.
Q In your experience how, if at all, do developers -- how frequently do developers seek to change land use restrictions
that might currently encumber a property that they're seeking to change?
A As a developer is essentially trying to get approvals for his project for a land development as you might be referring to, there are constant changes that depending if the cities don't approve a certain element of the plan the developer has to adjust.

MR. AMER: Ill object and move to strike. I think the question was: How frequently does it happen.

THE COURT: Was that indeed the question?
MR. FIELDS: I have to go back and look.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
Q Sure. How frequently do developers seek the land use --

THE COURT: I'll strike the prior answer, prior answer is stricken. Go ahead.
Q How frequently do developers seek to change land use restrictions that might currently encumber a property that the developer is seeking to change in the future?

A As frequently as they can.
Q Did you review in this case appraisals for 40 Wall Street done by Cushman \& Wakefield for the years 2011, 2012 and 2015?

A Yes.
Q Were those "as is" or "as if" appraisals?
A Those were "as is" appraisals.
Q In the years 2011 and 2012 were there multiple values and if so, what were those values?

A There were two different values that I recall. In
2011, I believe, it was 200 million. And in 2012 it was 220 million.

MR. FIELDS: If we could pull up Plaintiffs 1573
that has been admitted.
(Shown.)
MR. FIELDS: If we could go to Page 3 at the
bottom.
(Scrolling.)
Q I'm showing you Plaintiff's 1573, Mr. Chin.
Do you see at the bottom there's \(\$ 200\) million figure?
A Yes.
Q And as of what date does that value reflect?
A As of November 1st, 2011.
Q And if we flip to Page 4, do you see the heading, "Perspective Market Value."

A Yes.
Q What is the value and what is date is it as of?
A As of November 1st, 2014, the estimated value was \(\$ 270\) million.

Q So what value is this value here reflecting \(\$ 270\) million?

A I would consider it an "as if" value based upon various assumptions that the appraiser made as of 2011.

Q And generally speaking, what were the general sentiments -- withdrawn.

From your review, did the same appraiser conduct all three of the appraisals; '11, '12 and '15 or the same appraisers, I should say?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q And generally speaking, in 2011, 2012, what were the sentiments that the appraiser was suggesting with regard to the market at the time?

A The sentiments or attitudes were clearly negative in 2011. If you recall, again, it is coming out of the great financial crisis in 2008. So there's many cautionary statements made in the 2011 valuation report that are reduced in quality and number in 2012.

So there's much more negative sentiment that is expressed in the appraisal, warning signs, uncertainty indications regarding the financial markets here and unemployment, which lessened in its quantity and I guess disclaimer amount in the 2012 report.

Q In your experience, in this timeframe, was it common for appraisers to have negative sentiments in 2011 and 2012?

A Yes, it was uncertain. It was an uncertain time coming out of 2008. And the rate of recovery at that point wasn't clear.
(Whereupon, Cheryl-Lee Lorient relieved Deborah Rothrock as the official court reporter.)
(Continued on next page.)
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Q. We talked a little bit about this earlier. But, in the same time period, were you in -- what role were you in, in 2011 or 2012 ?
A. I was running the office portfolio and office company in Los Angeles.
Q. And from your perspective, at that time, what were the sentiments with regard to the market in '11 and ' 12.
A. I think there was a different kind of rate of recovery than, necessarily, New York. But, it was more optimistic.

There was much more investment going on, expansion of tenant spaces and more confidence that the market was recovering after 2008.

MR. FIELDS: All right. If we could -- on Plaintiff's 1573. Please, go to page 169.
Q. Mr. Chin, can you see the heading that says, "Direct Capitalization Valuation Method Perspective Mark Value as of November 1, 2014?"
A. Yes.
Q. In the top paragraph, it says, "In the direct capitalization method, we estimated market value my dividing the stabilized net operating income by an overall rate derived from our analyses of market sales and computed by dividing the net operating income from a sold property by its sale price."

What does that tell you as an appraiser? What are they doing?
A. Basically, taking an income stream, net operating income, dividing it by a rate to reflect value.
Q. And the next to sentence says, "We estimated the capitalization rate to be 7 percent."

What -- to ask an open ended question, what is the capitalization rate and what does it reflect?
A. The capitalization rate reflects the ratio of net operating income to a sales price. Appraisers will get that information either from surveys or they'll have actual transaction data where they will compare that ratio and depict it as they did here in this report.
Q. The next sentence says, "The overall capitalization rates derived from the improved property sales are between 4.21 and 6.74 percent."

What are these numbers reflecting that the appraiser is discussing in this sentence?
A. These number reflect the results of transactions that had occurred. So, there were individual transactions that occurred.

The appraiser looked at net operating income for a property and compared that to the sales price and got the overall rate or capitalization rate which is the ratio.
Q. Why are these properties important for purposes of
F. Chin - Defendant -Direct/ by Mr. Fields

Page 5956
this appraisal that are listed here between numbers one and seven?
A. The appraiser deemed that they were appropriate for comparison to the subject property being appraised.

MR. FIELDS: All right. And if we could
scroll down, a little bit, to the statistics box.
Q. What is the lowest capitalization rate selected by this appraiser for purposes of this appraisal?
A. Four point 21 percent.
Q. And what is the highest?
A. Six point 74 percent.
Q. And what is the capitalization rate that this appraiser chose to use?
A. 7 percent.
Q. What does the appraiser selection of a 7 percent capitalization rate tell you as an appraiser?
A. In light of the sales information provided, which showed a range of 4.2 to 6.7 percent, the appraiser was more conservative or had a higher cap rate, which resulted in a lower value relative to the sales information that demonstrated lower capitalization rates.
Q. And in your experience, how often do you see appraisers selecting capitalization rates or using a capitalization rate that is higher than the highest of the comparable selected?
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A. Not common. Usually, there is some sort of explanation why. But this is -- there is no explanation.

THE COURT: Quick question. Do you mean there is no possible explanation or do you mean there is no explanation given?

THE WITNESS: There is no explanation given.
THE COURT: Are there possible explanations.
THE WITNESS: Perhaps. I'd be speculating.
THE COURT: No, we don't allow speculation.
We allow guesstimation.
I mean, isn't it just possible that the
appraisers just felt conservative to use your word and were expecting downturn?

\section*{THE WITNESS: It's a possibility. THE COURT: Okay.}
Q. From an appraiser's perspective, in 2011 and 2012, was there a concern that the real estate market could revert back to the levels that it was at in 2008, 2009?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. All right. To go through it again, how did you describe the real estate market in 2008 and 2009 ?
A. Well, at that time, specifically, very stressed. Very -- tons of uncertainty about when things might get better, if they might get better. It effected all aspects
F. Chin - Defendant -Direct/ by Mr. Fields
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of finance.
Q. How would you compare that to 2011 and 2012?
A. Well, it varied depending on region of country. But, in general, it was firming from the standpoint the uncertainty was being weaned away; that, there was less uncertainty about how and if the economy was going to recover. There was job growth versus job losses. There was a strengthening of the economy relative to what it was in 2008 and 2009.
Q. Generally speaking, when you compare the two timeframes, was there more positive sentiment or negative sentiment in 2011 than 2012?
A. There was more positive attributes in 2012. There had already been the demonstration that the economy and the employment was coming back, that, there was a recovery. And, it was being demonstrated in real estate prices in general.

MR. FIELDS: If we could now turn to 2012, Plaintiff's 3208, that's been admitted. Page 165. Q. All right. Mr. Chin, there's a paragraph immediately above the section that says, "Terminal capitalization rate section." Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. It's highlighted on the screen. This
is 2012.
The appraiser writes "In addition to IRR decreases" -- what's IRR?
A. It's referred to as the internal rate of return or yield rate that is commonly used to value properties.
Q. And what does a decreasing internal rate of return tell you as an evaluation professional?
A. Decreased risks, decreased yield expectations.
Q. Appraiser continues "overall capitalization rates OAR for Manhattan Office have also decreased by 127 basis points from the peak of 6.65 percent during fouth quarter 2009 to 5.38 percent as of second quarter 2012.
"Terminal capitalization rates have declined from their high achieved during second quarter 2009 open paren 7.5 percent, by 142 basis point range to its current level of 5.38 percent as of second quarter 2012."

So, generally speaking, what is the appraiser conveying about the state of the market now in 2012?
A. This demonstration, there's strong evidence that the market has improved, property prices are increasing evidenced by yield rates or cap rates coming down.

MR. FIELDS: If we could go to page 175, please.
Q. Again, Mr. Chin, the heading here says, "Direct Capitalization Valuation Method Upon Achieving Stabilized

\section*{Occupancy as of November 1, 2015."}

What is the range of capitalization rates that this appraiser selected as comparables to use in this section?
A. The range is from 3.62 percent to 6.74 percent.

MR. FIELDS: And, if we can scroll down a
little further.
Q. What does the appraiser select as a capitalization rate for this appraisal?
A. Seven percent.
Q. Is that the same capitalization rate that was used in the prior year?
A. Yes.
Q. As an appraiser, what does it tell you that the appraiser is suggesting positive sentiments about the market but keeping the same capitalization rate from the prior year?
A. It's inconsistent with what the market evidence is showing. But without speculating, there may be some other reason why he is doing that.

MR. FIELDS: If we can now go to plaintiff's
118, page 170 , please.
Q. All right. Mr. Chin you see at the top it says, "Direct Capitalization Valuation Method"?

\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. I'll represent to you this is the 2015, 40 Wall Street appraisal.

What is the range of capitalization rates selected by this appraiser?
A. The range is from 2.68 percent to 7.68 percent.

MR. FIELDS: And if we can scroll down all
the way to the bottom, please.
Q. What capitalization rate does this appraiser select?
A. Four point 25 percent.
Q. What does the selection of a 4.25 percent, given the range, tell you about this appraisal relative to the market at the time?
A. He's deeming it to be within the category or comparable set that's demonstrated by market sales.
Q. What is your opinion with regard to the estimate of value, in this 2015 appraisal, as compared to the two that we just looked at?
A. I believe that the 2015 appraisal is much more accurate and in line with the market information than the prior years.
Q. From your review of the complaint and -- from your review of the complaint, did you identify another source of valuation for 40 Wall Street in 2015 ?
A. Yes.
A. There was an investor report by Morningstar that listed out details of 40 Wall Street.
Q. What's the purpose of Morningstar's investor report?
A. Morningstar does reports on certain types of investments. In this particular case, there was mortgage backed securities that were issued that included 40 Wall Street.

So, Morningstar would, basically, do an analysis of what they see of the investment pool as well as its asset. And they perform various stress tests to evaluate what the risk factors might be for the investment and for a property.
Q. How does Morningstar's valuation methodology differ from that of an appraiser if at all?
A. The methodology is very different. There are -in general, they use the same general tools. But, the assumptions that they apply and how they look at the property is very different.
Q. All right.

MR. FIELDS: If we can pull up what has not been admitted in evidence, the Morningstar report plaintiffs 3186.
Q. Let's go to page 40. Mr. Chin, you mentioned a

\section*{moment ago that there were different assumptions relied upon} in the Morningstar report than in the 40 Wall Street appraisal.

What is one of the different assumptions that was used in the Morningstar report?
A. The Morningstar report calculates value based on net cash flow versus net operating income. As we were discussing how the appraisers were using it, they were using net operating income and applying a capitalization rate. Morningstar uses net cash flow, which is different than net operating income.
Q. How are the two different?
A. Net cash flow is lower. Net cash flow is taking net operating income minus leasing commissions and tenant improvements, reserves for replacement. And that results in a lower amount that would then be capitalized for purposes of calculating value.
Q. So, in the -- if you could look at the last sentence that's highlighted there on the -- let me withdraw that.

Under the subheading "valuation drivers," do you see that it says, "Morningstar underwrote a NCF of \(18,860,112\) and applied a direct capitalization rate of 7 percent for a term value of 270.7 million," what does the NCF stand for in your parlance?
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A. That is the net cash flow. So, that is net operating income minus various expenses to get to net cash flow.
Q. And then, if we look at the last sentence in that paragraph -- in the middle of the paragraph. Let's start with where it says, "For the balloon value, Morningstar made a cash flow deduction of \(643,559,000\) which represents the variance between the average ground rent payments over the term of the loan and the calculated average ground rent payments for years 11 to 20.
"This deduction, through NCF, was capitalized at 7 percent for a balloon value of 261.5 million or \(\$ 224\) per square foot.

As a comparison, the appraisal concluded a capitalization rate of 4.25 percent and value of 540 million or \$464 per square foot."

So how does the use of net cash flow as opposed to net operating income, in the Morningstar report, ultimately, effect the value reached by Morningstar?
A. The deductions that they make for net cash flow, significantly, decreases the value that would, otherwise, be calculated by an appraiser.
Q. And, what capitalization rate is Morningstar using for its value?
A. Seven percent.
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Q. And what capitalization rate does Morningstar site as one that was used for the appraisal?
A. 4.25 percent.
Q. All right. I'd like to shift gears and talk to you, for a moment, about Seven Springs.

Are you, generally, familiar with the Seven Springs property?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you familiar with conservation easement appraisals?
A. Yes, generally.
Q. How, if at all, do conservation easement appraisals take into account the identity of the property owner?
A. It doesn't.
Q. And what do you mean by that?
A. It's ambiguous. There's no defined buyer or profile of a specific owner that is used to calculate a value.
Q. Is it a hypothetical scenario?

MR. AMER: Objection. Leading.
THE COURT: Sustained. I don't see why the owner would have an affect on the conservation easement values.

MR. FIELDS: The appraisal, your Honor.
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We're talking about the appraisal, the conservation easement appraisal.

THE COURT: I don't see why it would effect that either. But, I'll learn.
Q. In a general sense, can the identity of the owner, in some instances, have an effect on "as if" versus "as if" -- or "as if" appraisals?

MR. AMER: I'm going to object. I think you misspoke. You said "as if" versus "as if."

MR. FIELDS: I meant "as is" and "as if."
MR. AMER: Maybe we could just get the question again.

THE COURT: You want to just give it another go.
Q. In a general sense, could an appraiser subscribe some value, whether in an "as is" or "as if" appraisal, to the property based on who owns that property?

MR. AMER: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. How could the identity of the property owner affect, if at all, an "as is" or "as if" appraisal?
A. There's no affect.
Q. Did you review the values in the Statements of Financial Condition from 2011 to 2014 relative to Seven Springs?

MR. AMER: I'll object. I think it assumes testimony he hasn't given.

THE COURT: You want to rephrase.
Q. Mr. Chin, earlier, you testified about your experience with a rent stabilized unit, I believe, last year in New York City, right?
A. Yes.
Q. From a developer's perspective, how do developers consider encumbrances, such as rent stabilized units, when forecasting value?
A. I would, generally, say that they're optimistic. They're optimistic that, at some point in time, there is the possibility, that a tenant may vacate, that the rent could go to market. And then, they might be liberated and either rent it at a current market rate or that it might be sold.

THE COURT: Well, if it's sold, wouldn't it receive less money for the sale?

THE WITNESS: If it's sold with the rent stabilization in place?

THE COURT: Exactly.
THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. The value is, certainly, lower with rent stabilization. But, there's the opportunity, if it was removed, then the value would be --

THE COURT: Of course, yes.
A. Yes.
Q. And what is your opinion as to what type of value was used in those years?
A. Clearly, "as if" values were used in those Statements of Financial Condition.
Q. Why?
A. They presumed a situation that didn't exist; from the standpoint, while there were plans to develop a property and build houses, those did not exist and they are future conditions that were expected to be happening at the property.
Q. All right. And then, shifting gear for a moment, did you have an opportunity to review a 2010 Oxford appraisal for a Trump Park Avenue?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is your understanding of the appraisals valuation of the rent stabilized units in that appraisal?
A. There were evaluations that assumed that they were always rent stabilized. And then, there was another evaluation perspective that parts of them would not be rent stabilized.
Q. And did you have an opportunity to review -- let me withdraw that.
In your experience, why are rent stabilized units not necessarily roadblocks for a developer?
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(Whereupon, the following proceedings was stenographically recorded by Deborah Rothrock.)

MR. FIELDS: May I have a moment your Honor. THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.)
MR. FIELDS: No further questions at this time.
THE COURT: Nothing further, okay.
Will there be cross-examination?
MR. AMER: I have a few questions. THE COURT: You may proceed. MR. AMER: Thank you.
THE COURT: Please proceed.
MR. AMER: Thank you, your Honor.

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. AMER:}

Q Mr. Chin, we have not met before. My name is Andrew Amer. I'm with the Attorney General's office. I have a couple of quick questions first on your rates.

You mentioned you were charging \$550 hour, correct?
A Yes, correct.
Q You are engaged through Ankura, correct?
A Yes.
Q They are charging for your time \(\$ 850\) per hour?
A I believe so, yes.
Q I think you said you're close to thousand hours; is that right?
A Yes.

1 Q So for your expert work in this case Ankura has charged almost \(\$ 850,000\), is that right?

A That's my understanding.
4 Q You confirm for us that you're not accountant, correct?
5 A Yes.
6 Q And you have never worked as a banker, correct?
7 A Correct.
8 Q Now, I believe in connection with preparing your May 9 expert report you reviewed accounting literature, right?
        A Yes.
        Q And among the accounting literature you reviewed was
    AASC 274 which governs personal financial statements; is that
    right?
        A Yes, correct.
        Q You agree that pursuant AASC 274 personal financial
        statements are required to present assets at estimated --
            MR. FIELDS: Objection. Outside the scope of
        direct examination. The Court limited my questions about
        accounting because of the witness' knowledge of accounting.
            So I would respectfully request that the Court also
        limit the Attorney General's ability to examine him over
        accounting and accounting standards.
            THE COURT: I think your questions were generally
        what is accounting or what do you think about accounting --
        MR. FIELDS: My question was what his understanding
-F. Chin-Cross-Defendant-by Mr. Amer-
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was of accounting principles and the Court said I could not ask him that question. So now --

THE COURT: I stand by that one. What kind of question is that: What is your understanding of accounting principles?

MR. FIELDS: As I explained, your Honor, I was laying a foundation for what his knowledge of accounting was. And now Mr. Amer is asking him about accounting standards. After the Court limiting me from being able to ask him accounting standards, it is outside the scope of direct.

THE COURT: You want to risk reversal?
MR. AMER: The witness confirmed he viewed this in coming to his opinions. And he's giving testimony about the financial statements here. I'm asking him about the financial statements.

THE COURT: Objection overruled.
MR. AMER: Could I get read back?
THE COURT: Read back, please.
(The record was read back by the court reporter.)
Q -- present assets at estimated current value. Right?
A Yes.
Q And when you were reviewing the financial statements, you had in mind a definition of what estimated current value was, didn't you?

A Yes.
Q And let me show you from the Parties' 202 statement, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3041. It is Paragraph 31, up on the screen.

You see it says (Reading:) GAAP defines estimated current value as, quote, the amount at which the item could be exchanged between a buyer and seller each of whom is well informed and willing and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell. And that is the undisputed fact in this case.

Is that the definition you had in mind for estimated current value when you were preparing your expert opinions?

MR. FIELDS: Objection, same objection.
THE COURT: You're comparing apples to elephants. I really don't understand. Nothing somebody could say, you keep repeating it because I can't remember your exact question:

What is your understanding of accounting? Is that the question?

MR. FIELDS: Yes, I asked basically what he knew about accounting. Now we're asking him about GAAP and he's not been qualified as an expert in accounting. So...

THE COURT: Because he said he used it, he knew this.

MR. FIELDS: But the Court prohibited me from asking those questions. Now the Attorney General is cross-examining him on it.
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THE COURT: If you had asked him: What is your understanding of GAAP through 274, that might have been different. But what is your understanding of accounting? If you did not follow-up in the right way, I'm sorry.

MR. FIELDS: Could I have a standing objection to this line of questioning and anything about accounting, please.

\section*{THE COURT: Of course.}

MR. AMER: Could we get a read back.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read.)
Q You see in this paragraph it says (Reading:) Estimated current value is, quote, the amount at which the item could be exchanged between a buyer and seller each of whom is well informed and willing and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell.

Is this the definition you had in mind for estimated current value when preparing your opinions?
A May I ask, is this the exact quotation because it is in quotes because I don't know where.

Q This is what the parties have agreed is the definition of estimated current value.

MR. FIELDS: I have an objection, that is just false. That is a definition of estimated current value. We have spent days and days with several experts here
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1 discussing how estimated current value is applied, what
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don't have to.
MR. AMER: Let me respond. If we have to have another round, I will excuse the witness. I think the witness should have been excused by Mr. Suarez before he gave that objection.

THE COURT: I agree.
MR. AMER: My question was whether this definition was what he had in mind. He could say yes or no if he doesn't want to agree to it.

THE COURT: That is what I say. The idea that well, this is just one definition of estimated current value. That is not what the response says. It doesn't say one definition, it says undisputed.

Do you need the question said or read again?
THE WITNESS: No, I was just, you know, it is quoted. I don't know. I don't know. It is taken out of context. I want to make sure. It would be nice to see the document where this came from.

THE COURT: You don't need to see the document. The question is: Is this the definition that you were using in your valuations?

THE WITNESS: I had many definitions in mind. THE COURT: That is the answer.
\(Q \quad\) Was this one of them?
A Yes.

MR. AMER: Let's put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 756 in evidence. This is Statement of Financial Condition for 2016.
(Shown.)
Q This is one of the statements that you reviewed, right?
A Yes.
Q Let's go to Page 5 of this exhibit. Let's look at the third paragraph. The first sentence of this paragraph says: "Assets are stated at their estimated current values." Let me stop there.

You understood when you read this that it was representing to the reader that the assets contained in this statement were estimated current values, right?

MR. FIELDS: Objection, relevance to what he understood and it was suggesting to the reader. Lack of foundation as well and speculation.

THE COURT: I just want to go back a second about something that irked me.

Mr. Amer asked the witness before: Was this the definition you were using? Something like that. All of a sudden Mr. Suarez says; well, there are several definitions.

What's the answer?
The answer is, well, there were several definitions.

Please next time let's have the witness excused.
-F. Chin-Cross-Defendant-by Mr. Amer-
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Could we have a readback or Mr. Amer, do you want to rephrase, either way.
Q When you reviewed this statement --
THE COURT: So you're withdrawing.
MR. AMER: I'll rephrase it and with draw.
Q Mr. Chin, when you reviewed this statement of financial conditions, did you understand that the statement was representing to the reader of the statement that the assets contained in the statement were being presented at their estimated current values, yes or no?
A Yes.
Q And one of the definitions you had in mind when reviewing the statement for estimated current values, was willing buyer willing seller that we had on the screen before, correct?
A Yes, that is one element.
Q And am I correct that it is your opinion that there are various methods or techniques that can be used to value properties for a personal financial statement?

A Yes.
Q Would you agree regardless of what method or technique one uses to value properties for personal financial statement, at the end of the day, the resulting value must be the amount at which the asset would be exchanged between a willing buyer and a willing seller both well informed and neither of whom is
compelled to buy or sell; yes or no?
MR. FIELDS: Objection. Speculation. Lack foundation.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A No. Let me explain --
Q No.
Let me show you some testimony from Jason Flemmons who is another expert for the Defendants in this case. I'm going to put up page 4495 of the trial transcript, lines 4 to 11.

Again, this is one of the Defendants' own experts in this case. (Reading:)
"QUESTION: Now, if you are going to use one of those techniques that we were discussing AASC 274 , would still require that number reflect the amount at which an item could be exchanged between a buyer and a seller each of whom is well informed and willing and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell, is that correct?
"ANSWER: That is the definition of current estimated current value, so, yes."

Do you disagree with Mr. Flemmons' response here?
MR. FIELDS: Objection, your Honor. We're now impeaching this non-accountant expert.

MR. AMER: You need to excuse the witness, I think, your Honor.

MR. FIELDS: Improper impeachment, impeaching a

\section*{-Proceedings-}
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non-accounting expert with an accountant's opinion. THE COURT: Let's excuse the witness. (Witness exits.)
(The following the heard outside the presence of the witness.)

THE COURT: You know, Defendants, you can't object just because you don't like what is happening. It is not impeaching, it is asking him whether he agreed or disagreed with Mr. Flemmons. It doesn't make it an impeachment.

MR. FIELDS: Respectfully, how could this witness who has not been qualified as an expert in accounting be asked to render an opinion about whether he agrees or disagrees with an accounting expert. It seems widely unfair.

THE COURT: He asked whether he agreed or disagreed with this statement.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor. Sorry, I'm a little bit lost. I think if Mr. Amer could give us some direction here as to what we're doing, how much we're going into accounting, so that we don't have to go back and forth. He's not accounting expert as my collogue said. If he's going to talk about things that are within the scope of what we did on direct, that's one thing. But we're outside of the scope now.

I have to make record that this is completely
outside the scope and you did mentioned that you did not want him to be qualified as an accountant and all that, that is on the record, we checked it again. This is completely improper.

I'm not sure what the purpose is, he's not a rebuttal expert. What are we doing?

Maybe Mr. Amer could let me know while the witness is not here so what we're doing and we can finish him today.

MR. AMER: The last thing you're going to get from me is a road map to my cross-examination.

MS. HABBA: He's not here to rebut an accounting expert.

MR. AMER: You did not offer him as an accounting expert --

MS. HABBA: Thank you for recognizing THAT.
MR. AMER: So what --
MS. HABBA: Excuse me?
MR. AMER: So what.
MS. HABBA: There are court rules that I follow.
You can't ask whatever you want of an expert that wasn't qualified as an accounting expert.

THE COURT: His whole testimony was about valuing real estate. Now we're asking him how he values real estate and you don't like the answer.

MS. HABBA: I have no problem with the answers,
-F. Chin-Cross-Defendant-Mr. Amer-
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he's our expert. Keep going. I'll keep objecting.
THE COURT: His answer is different from Mr. Flemmons' answer.

Mr. Amer asked the exact question here and he's getting totally a different answer.

At the end of the day, estimated current value is the willing buyer willing seller, et cetera, et cetera. I'm saying that without a jury, obviously.

Let's get the witness back.
Any outstanding objection is overruled.
You can have your standing objection.
(Witness enters.)
MR. AMER: May I proceed?
THE COURT: Please.
(Continued)

\section*{CROSS-EXAMINATION:}

MR. AMER: We can remove that from the screen.
Q Mr. Chin, am I correct that there are different methods that can be used to value a property some of which you've called "as if" methods and others that you've called "as is" methods.

Did I understand you correctly?
A Yes, sir.
Q Would an example of an "as is" method value of property be taking net operating income and dividing by the cap rate for commercial building?

Q Am I correct that an "as if" method would be to value a future development to build homes on an empty lot?
A Yes.
Q And that's the situation that occurred with Seven Springs, right?
A You're correct.
Q And to calculate future profit from a planned
development like Seven Springs, am I correct that you need to come up with an estimated future projection of what you can sell the homes for, yes?
A Yes.
Q And then you would need to subtract out the costs associated with building out those homes, right?
A One could. It's not mandatory, but one could do that.
Q Well, are you saying that you can do an "as if" value for future development without taking into account the costs that you incur to actually build out that development; is that your testimony?
A Yes. If one is looking at the exit value of how much I could realize from the sale of the homes, you would not deduct out costs.
Q Would you at least need to know when the homes would be built?
A There's an expectation as to timing of when that might
    discount factor to that projection, yes?
    A If you wanted to get to "as is," if you were trying to
    do that, yes.
    Q That was not my question.
            If you wanted to get to a current estimated value,
    wouldn't you have to apply a discount factor to the projected
    future profit?
    A It is one option, yes.
    Q Are you saying you don't have to do that?
    A Are we speaking about a statement of financial
    condition?
    Q For a statement of financial condition, yes, in order
    to come up with an estimated current value for future projected
    profit from a development don't you need to apply a discount
    factor to bring the future profit to present value?
    A No.
        MR. AMER: Let's pull up --
    Q You gave a deposition in this case, correct?
    A Yes.
        MR. AMER: Why don't we pull up your deposition and
        look at Page 119.
            (Shown.)
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hit the market, yes.
Q If you wanted to express the future projected profit of development as a current value you would need to apply a

A If you wanted to get to "as is," if you were trying to do that, yes.

If you wanted to get to a current estimated value,
If you wanted to get to a current estimated value,
wouldn't you have to apply a discount factor to the projected future profit?
A It is one option, yes.
A Are we speaking about a statement of financial ondition?
Q For a statement of financial condition, yes, in order to come up with an estimated current value for future projected factor to bring the future profit to present value?
A No.
MR. AMER: Let's pull up --
Q You gave a deposition in this case, correct?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: Why don't we pull up your deposition and look at Page 119.
(Shown.)

A Yes, one method.

Q I'm going to read to you from line 6 to line 13:
"QUESTION: In order to make projections with current value one would need to apply discount rate, yes?
"ANSWER: If one were to get it to -- excuse me, I'm sorry -- a current value, one would discount future estimates and projections into a current value."

That was your sworn testimony at your deposition, correct?

MR. FIELDS: Objection. He asked today about estimated current value and this is talking about current value. We're talking about two different values.

THE COURT: What difference does the word "estimated" mean? These are all estimates. That is one of your big points in this whole litigation, all estimates.

MR. FIELDS: Your Honor, the estimated current value is a term of art that I know the Court has heard ad nauseam about at this trial. And current value could be viewed as --

THE COURT: Let's excuse the witness.
MR. AMER: My question was whether this was his sworn testimony, yes or no.

THE COURT: All right. Start with that. Objection overruled. This is his sworn testimony.

MR. FIELDS: You can't impeach him with something that is not a prior inconsistent statement.
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MR. AMER: That's his redirect.
MR. FIELDS: He asked about estimated current value. This is not about estimated current value.

THE COURT: Was your question: Was this your testimony at your deposition?

MR. AMER: Sworn testimony at his deposition. -
THE COURT: Thank you.
Objection overruled.
If you keep objecting like this, I'll have you taken out of the room. Get that.

MR. FIELDS: I'm trying to represent my clients, I'm certainly not trying to inflame the Court.

THE COURT: The question was a simple yes or no. If you want to object to the follow-up, object to the follow-up.

Ask it again, please.
Q Was this your sworn testimony at your deposition, Mr. Chin, yes or no?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: We can take that down.
Q For Woodbridge, you gave us testimony about doing a sellout of 15 luxury homes. Do you recall that testimony?

A Ido.
Q And were those homes already built?
A No.

1 Q When -- if you were to value those 15 luxury homes for purposes of a personal financial statement, would you agree that you would need to determine how long it would take you to build those homes and then discount to present value if you were presenting in your financial statement estimated current value of that development?
A No. Let me explain.
Q I don't need you to explain, I just need your answer; yes or no?

THE COURT: The answer is no, there could be re-direct if the Defendants want to ask for the explanation. Q Now, did you review, I think you said you reviewed the supporting date spreadsheets when forming your opinion, correct? A Yes.
Q Did you review --
MR. AMER: Let's put up Plaintiff's 708 in native,
which is the 2013 Jeff supporting data spreadsheet, in evidence.
(Shown.)
\(Q\) In this one of the spreadsheets you reviewed?
A It looks like it, yes.
MR. AMER: And if we go to Row 646.
(Scrolling.)
Q You'll see that this is the section that values the seven mansions that had been approved but not yet built on the
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property.
Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q It assumes a sales price for each residence of \(\$ 35\) million, correct?

A Correct.
Q And it assumes a cost to develop each residence of \(\$ 12\) million for net profit 23 million per mansion, correct? A Yes.
Q And could you tell me from this spreadsheet or any other material you've reviewed when it was projected that the first mansion will be built and ready for sale?

A It does not appear on this spreadsheet.
Q My question was little different, whether you could tell from this spreadsheet or any other material you've reviewed.

A Not that I recall.
Q And it could take a year or ten years to sell the first home, right, you don't know based on the information you've reviewed, correct?

A Correct.
Q Could you tell me from this spreadsheet or any other material you reviewed how long it was projected to take for all seven mansions to be hold?

A It wasn't evident, no, I could not.

Q So it could take a year or ten years to sell all seven homes, you don't know from any of the information you reviewed, correct?
A Correct.
Q Let me show you Mr. McConney's testimony discussing valuation of the seven mansions at Page 717 of the trial transcript beginning line 14 :
"QUESTION: Am I correct that the value of \$161 million for the seven homes in Bedford does not apply any discount factor to take into account how long it would take to construct the infrastructure, build the homes and then sell the home for \(\$ 35\) million each?
"ANSWER: That's correct.
"QUESTION: You didn't factor into the valuation whether the homes were going to be built today, tomorrow or in a number of years?
"ANSWER: Correct.
"QUESTION: If it took five years to complete the new homes and they were sold two years after that, your valuation doesn't account for that at all, correct?
"ANSWER: Correct.
"QUESTION: Can we agree that this \(\$ 161\) million attributable to these seven homes is by necessity going to be future income because the homes don't exist as of the date of these valuations?
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"ANSWER: Correct.
"QUESTION: You have treated for purposes of this valuation the profit of 23 million per home for all seven homes as if it were realized immediately as of June 30th in each year?
"ANSWER: Yes."
Mr. Chin, were you aware of that Mr. McConney followed this approach in valuing the seven mansions at Seven Springs?

A No, I'm not aware of what McConney thought or said or did.

Q And in your expert opinion does the method described by Mr. McConney to value the seven mansions result in an amount at which the empty lots could be exchange as of June 30, 2013, between a willing buyer and willing seller each of whom is well informed and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell; yes or no?

MR. FIELDS: Objection.
THE COURT: What's the objection?
MR. SWAREZ: Outside the scope and he's not offered as an accounting witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Amer, go ahead.
MR. AMER: The witness has testified about Seven Springs and about valuations of properties in the Statements of Financial Condition which he has reviewed. This is perfectly proper cross-examination.
Q. Is the method, in your expert opinion, that Mr. McConney used to value the seven mansions and Seven Springs a proper method?
A. It's a method.
Q. That wasn't my question whether it was a method. My question was, is it a proper method that you, as an expert in the field, would endorse?
A. No, it would depend on the circumstances that I was being asked to evaluate.

As I mentioned before, at Woodbridge, I looked at the exit prices of houses. And, that was the only consideration.
Q. We have a context for this description. It's the seven mansions at Seven Springs.

And you've reviewed the financial statements in connection with how that valuation was done, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you've reviewed the Jeff Supporting Data Spreadsheet on that property, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And we've just looked at Mr. McConney's testimony as to the method he employed, right?
A. That's his testimony, correct.
Q. And so, for Seven Springs, am I correct that you, as an expert, would not endorse his method?

\section*{Yes or no? \\ MR. SUAREZ: Same objection. \\ THE COURT: Overruled.}
A. No, I would use, potentially, different methods besides that approach. That is one approach, of many, of looking at a, to-be-developed project that was proposed for homes. And it depends if you're looking at it in the future, without cost, at a different time period. There's many ways to approach it.
Q. I will ask it a slightly different way. As an expert, do you think Mr. McConney's method for valuing the seven mansions at Seven Springs was reasonable "yes" or "no"?
A. Yes. It is one method.
Q. I didn't ask if it was a method. I asked you if you thought it was reasonable. Do you think it's reasonable "yes" or "no"?
A. No. It's one of those that is of many different dimensions and perspectives evaluation.
Q. Let me ask you some questions about appraisals which you testified about on direct.

You identified a number of different types of appraisals.

Do you recall that?
A. Yes.
wouldn't it incorporate "as if" valuation to the extent that the highest and best use is a use that is not the current use of the property?
A. The highest and best use contemplates all sorts of potential uses; legal, financial, regulatory and environmental. And, it does contemplate a variety of different potential uses; nothing specific.

So, it does contemplate what possible uses are for a property. It isn't expressed specifically as "as if" and it is available to a property owner as of the date of valuation.
Q. Well, when you said that a market value appraisal is an "as is" appraisal --

\section*{A. Yes.}
Q. -- I mean, that's wrong to the extent that the highest and best use that the appraiser takes into account is a use that's not the current use of the property, right?
A. No, I disagree. The reason for "highest and best use" is to look at its potential and alternatives of use despite its current use. And that reflects the price that would be paid for that potential as of the date of appraisal.
Q. Do developers get to value a report for a use that's higher and better than the highest and best use?
A. Not always.
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Q. What does it mean to say that a use a higher and better than the "highest and best use" as appraisers use that term?
A. So, appraisers look at "highest and best use" in two different ways. As is or as vacant or as improved. They will look at -- sometimes a situation will exist or certain improvements on the property that don't put a property to its highest and best use as a vacant.

So, for instance, if a gas station is on a corner site and there are mid rise new apartments all around it, the "highest and best use" of that site, as a vacant, would be for that type of higher and better use.

As improved, that gas station might still be economically productive. And, that could be the highest and best use as it's improved.
Q. Is "as improved" synonomous with "as if"? Yes or no?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware that there is an appraisal for Seven Springs?
A. Are you referring -- yes, there is one regarding the conservation easement.
Q. Let's go ahead and look at Defendant's Exhibit 1016 which is in evidence already.

THE COURT: Five minute warning.

MR. AMER: I'm just waiting for them to get your copy.
Q. You'll see there are page numbers on the lower left. So let's go to -- if you go to page two, you'll see this is an appraisal of Seven Springs estate as of December 1, 2015.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you reviewed this appraisal in connection with your expert work in this case?
A. Yes.
Q. If we go to page five, if you look at the very top line, we can agree this is a market value appraisal, right? It says "The following opinions of market value."

Do you see that?
A. (No response.)
Q. Top line.
A. Oh, yes. Thank you.
Q. So this is a market value appraisal, right?
A. Correct.
Q. And if we look at the glossary, which is page 65 , for the definition of "market value," that's a definition of "market value" and "appraisals" that you're familiar with, correct?
A. Yes. This one says, "The agency's appraisal
regulation." So, I'm not familiar with the specific agency.
Q. The definition is "the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably and assuming the price is not effected by undue stimulus."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is that, in your opinion, synonomous with the willing buyer, willing seller definition that -- was one of the definitions you had in mind when reaching your opinions.
A. (No response.)
Q. Yes or no?
A. No. Only from a standpoint that this is a specific agency that has its own definition. And I know various governmental agencies, banks, have different definitions of value which are very similar sounding. And similar sometimes in content but, differ slightly.
Q. Doesn't this definition of market value include "as if" evaluations?
A. No. This one is based on "as is".
Q. This one is based on "as is"?
A. It appears to be.
Q. Let's go to the prior page. You see there is a separate definition at the top for "as is" market value?
A. Yes.
Q. So, isn't it the case that there is "as is market value" and then there's "market value" in this glossary? There are two separate terms defined differently, yes?
A. It does appear that way, two different agencies have different -- this is the office of the -- Office of Comptroller of Currency and the other one says, "agency." And, I'm not sure what agency that is.
Q. And the "as is market value" says, "The estimate of the market value of real property in its current physical condition use and zoning as of the appraisal date." That's your "as is" valuation, correct?
A. Correct. That's what's defined.
Q. And market value, which is defined on the next page, which talks about buyer and seller, that includes the concept of "as is" because, it can take into account future development of the property; isn't that right?
A. Its embedded, yes. It's embedded in the "highest and best use" analysis.
Q. And if you go to the prior page, there's something known as hypothetical conditions. You know what those are correct, right?
A. Yes.
Q. If we look at that, a condition directly related to a specific assignment which is contrary to what is known
improved" and under "as improved" it says, "A subdivision of the subject parcels and development of a single family residential development, including 24 vacant residential lots."

\section*{That's "as if," isn't it?}
A. It appears to be, yes.
Q. And if we go to "highest and best use" in the definition of it on page 33, of the appraisal, that's the section that defines "highest and best use," correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, if we look at the "highest and best use" of the property as vacant, that would apply to the vacant lots that are going to be developed into the 24 homes, correct?
A. I believe so, yes. That's what I recall.
Q. And under "highest and best use" the criteria is that it must be, legally, permissible, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. It must be, physically, possible, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And it must be financially feasible and maximally productive, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Mr. Chin, does a developer, in coming up with a value, an "as if" value, have to comply with those same criteria; namely, does the developer's, "as if" value need
by the appraiser to exist on the effective date of the assignment results but, is used for purposes of the analysis.

That's "as if", right?
A. Correct.
Q. So, this market value appraisal includes "as if" valuation, doesn't it?
A. No.

MR. AMER: I don't know if I'm at my five minutes yet.

THE COURT: Basically, yes. All right. See you all at 3:55.

And, I'll order the witness not to discuss the case or his testimony.

THE WITNESS: Understood. Thank you.
(A recess was taken.)
(After the recess the following occurred:)
THE COURT: Please, continue.
MR. AMER: Thank you, your Honor.

\section*{CROSS EXAMINATION}

BY MR. AMER:
Q. Mr. Chin, I want to ask you a few more questions about the Seven Springs appraisal. If we go to page seven of the appraisal, and look at the section entitled "highest and best use," you see it says "as vacant" and then "as
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to be based on a use that's, legally, permissible, physically possible and financially feasible and maximally productive?

Yes or no?
A. No.
Q. So a developer's "as if" value can be based on a use that is, legally, impermissible; is that your testimony?
A. No.
Q. So, a developer's "as if" value has to be based on a use that is, legally, permissible, is that your testimony?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. May I explain?
Q. No.

And a developer's "as if" value, in your opinion, can be based on a use that is, physically, impossible is that your opinion, yes or no?
A. No.
Q. So, a developer's "as if" value has to be based on a use that is, physically, possible, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. And a developer's "as if" value, does it need to be based on a use that's, financially, feasible and maximally productive.

Yes or no?
A. No.
Q. Now, if you turn to page 37, you'll see there is a scenario, "One approach, before donation, that envisions a subdivision sellout analysis."

Do you see that.
A. (No response.)
Q. Page 37?
A. Yeah, I'm sorry. I was looking at the Bates number versus the -- sorry. My apologies. Yes.
Q. And that's an "as if" valuation method, correct? "Subdivision sellout analysis?"
A. Yes.
Q. And, if we turn to page 51, you'll see there's a further discussion of the sell-out analysis. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And, if you'll turn to the next page, am I correct that the sell-out analysis projects, over five years, the number of homes that would be sold in each year, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And, on the next page, the appraiser does a present value analysis to come to the sell-out analysis value, correct?
A. Correct.
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Q. And is it your testimony, expert testimony, that, a developer doing an "as if" value does not need to do that calculation?
A. Yes, it is my opinion.
Q. So, they can just come to a value without projecting out over how many years it would take to build and sell the homes.

Is that your opinion?
A. Yes. In the context of the Statement of Financial Condition and that representation, yes.
\(Q\). In your view, that still gets to an estimated current value.

Yes or no?
A. Yes. According to the Statement of Financial Condition and the conditions of that.
Q. Okay. Does that get to a value that a willing buyer and a willing seller would exchange the property for in your view?

\section*{A. No, not necessarily.}
Q. And, it's your view that a developer doing an "as if' value, doesn't need to calculate the present value as of the date of the statement of financial condition; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And, is it your opinion that even though there's
no present value calculation done, the developers "as if" value is still an estimated current value?
A. Yes, for purposes of the Statement of Financial Condition.
Q. And, is it your expert opinion that, that value is what the property would be exchanged at between a willing buyer and a willing seller, both of whom are fully informed and neither of whom is under a compulsion to buy?
A. No, not necessarily.
Q. That's because any willing buyer, who is fully informed and not under a compulsion to buy, would calculate the present value, right?
A. They may. They may not.
Q. Can you think of any circumstances where a willing buyer would agree to pay, based on a future profit, that's not discounted to present value?
A. Yes, I could.
Q. What would that be?
A. Something that might be a staged transaction. So a price is agreed upon now, with basic hurdles, to perform and construct certain improvements over time.
Q. But, if you're valuing the property as of the date of the financial statement and you're saying that's the value that a willing buyer would pay, who's fully informed and not under a compulsion to buy, wouldn't you agree that,

Chin - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Amer
Page 6006
that buyer would insist that any future profit, that's part of the value, be discounted to the present value of the date of the financial statement?
A. No, not necessarily.
Q. Let's turn to the concept of a developers perspective of value. And we've been talking a little bit about that.

In your expert opinion, does there need to be a reasonable, factual basis for the developer's perspective of value that he puts in a Statement of Financial Condition?
A. Yes.
Q. And would you agree that it would be improper for a developer to put in a Statement of Financial Condition a perspective of value that is based on pie in the sky dreams of concocted value?
A. I would agree with that.
Q. Let's talk about Trump Park Avenue.

You testified about that on direct, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you understand that Trump Park Avenue is one of the properties valued in Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition from 2011 to 2021, right?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: A little closer to the mike a little louder; one or the other.

MR. AMER: Let's put up 708 native, which is the 2013 Jeff Supporting Data Spreadsheet in evidence that we were looking at before. And if we could go to row 166 , which is where - that's where trump@parkavenue begins.

If you look at row 172, you'll see it says, "unsold units." And, in 2013, the value for the unsold units is almost \(\$ 327\) million.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And, can we agree that, that is nearly the entire total value of the property which is shown on row 187 at 346.1 million?
A. Yes.
Q. And, you're aware that some of the unsold units that make up the value, in row 172, are a part and subject to rent stabilization laws, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And I believe you testified that you have your own personal business experience evaluating a rent stabilized apartment in New York City, correct?
A. Or selling it, then valuing it.
Q. And that is when you were CEO of Woodbridge; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And the company owned the rent stabilized apartment that was located on the Upper East Side; is that right?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Do you remember the address of the building?
A. I don't. But, I am happy to get it for you.
Q. And, am I correct that your company purchased the shares to own that co-op apartment, in 2019, on the basis of an appraisal that did not disclose the fact that the apartment was subject to rent stabilization laws? Yes?
A. No, I believe we made a loan to someone on the basis of that appraisal. And then, I believe the borrower defaulted. So then, the company received, essentially, a deed in lieu. And that's how we received the title to the unit.
Q. But the appraisal did not disclose that the unit was rent stabilized, right?
A. That was our argument when we were looking back and doing our forensic analysis of this transaction.
Q. So, you made the loan based on the appraisal believing that the apartment was a free market apartment only to later find out that it was rent stabilized; is that right?
A. I didn't make the loan. This pre-existed my
tenure at the company. It was done many years before.
Q. Well, isn't it a fact that your company considered suing the appraisers and the attorneys who were involved in the sale of the unit based on the fact that the appraisal didn't disclose that the apartment was rent stabilized, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And, I think you mentioned this but, let's just confirm. During negotiations to buyout the tenant, the tenant indicated that she had relatives, I think including grandchildren, right, who were going to come move into the apartment?
A. Yes, or had been, actually, having rooms there.
Q. And you understood, at the time, that there were succession rights to tenancy with respect to rent stabilization, right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you mentioned the terms of the buyout consisting of a payment of, approximately, half a million dollars to the tenant, is that right?
A. Correct. Might have been 525,000 . Somewhere in that area.
Q. Aren't you leaving out another component of the buyout?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Didn't the buyout also include a profit sharing arrangement with the tenant if the sales price hit a certain point?
A. Oh, yes. You're correct.
Q. Uh-huh. Okay. So you paid the tenant a little \(\$ 500,000\) but, you also agreed to a profit sharing arrangement, with the tenant, based on the sale price, correct?
A. If a sale price exceeded a certain amount then, the tenant would participate in that amount over a hurdle rate that we had established.
Q. Do you recall if the ultimate sale price, which I believe you said was almost \(\$ 2\) million, triggered the profit sharing arrangement under the buyout deal?
A. I believe it did.
Q. And so, I think you said the net profit was somewhere between 1.3 and 1.5 million after taking into account the amount you had to pay for the buyout, right?
A. That as well as closing costs and I think we had some legal fees as well. It was the net recovery that we could get back from the sale.

So we look at all the different costs besides just the buyout; the legal cost, I think we had to replace an electric panel and a couple of other things.
Q. So I'm just trying to understand the math. If the
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buyout was slightly over \(\$ 500,000\), and there was a profit share and you sold the unit for just under 2 million, the net profit had to be closer to the 1.3. It couldn't have been 1.5 , right?
A. I don't recall the exact closing price because, it closed after I left the company. I think there was some concession that might have been made to the buyer. So, I'm not sure what the exact proceeds are.
Q. Do you agree that if an owner of a rent stabilized apartment wanted to value the apartment, for purposes of a personal financial statement, based on what the apartment would sell for on the open market, the owner would need to include in the value calculation the cost to remove the legal restriction to the sale that exists under rent stabilization laws?
A. Yes. If you use the exit price being unencumbered as the amount you would deduct that from.
(Whereupon, the following proceedings was stenographically recorded by Deborah Rothrock.)

Q Let me ask you a hypothetical:
Consider a situation where someone owns 20 apartments in a New York City building and ten of them rent stabilized.

Would you agree if the owner values all 20 apartments
in his personal financial statement to be provided to a bank as
if they are all free market units without disclosing that half
are subject to rent stabilization laws, that would be a pretty
significant omission that should be disclosed; yes?
A In that hypothetical, I would agree with that.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 708, native.
(Shown.)
Q Did you review how the figure in Row 172 was derived?
A I don't recall.
Q Do you have any understanding as you sit here today as to how that value of just under \(\$ 327\) million was calculated?

A I don't recall.
Q Have you reviewed any of the testimony of Mr. McConney given at the trial about how the value was calculated?

A No.
Q Let me go ahead and show you his trial testimony at transcript Page 711, starting at line 7. And it goes over to the section on the following page as well:
"QUESTION: Mr. McConney, you were aware that Park Avenue had these rent stabilized units when you prepared the

\section*{valuations, correct?} "ANSWER: Yes."
Then we tick off the 12 units that were rent stabilized and then picking up line 17:
"QUESTION: And you valued them without any regard to their rent stabilized status; is that correct?
"ANSWER: I didn't valve them, TIR valued them, but I believe that's correct.
"QUESTION: You put them into your spreadsheet that is goes to Donald Bender; is that correct?
"ANSWER: Correct.
"QUESTION: And the values you relied on when you put them in your spreadsheet and sent them to Donald Bender were the offering plan price values knowing that these were rent stabilized units, correct?
"ANSWER: Correct.
"QUESTION: And you followed the same approach for every statement you reviewed, correct?
"ANSWER: Correct.
"QUESTION: And it was intentional, correct?
"ANSWER: Correct.
"QUESTION: And it was something you discussed with
Mr. Weisselberg, correct?
"ANSWER: I believe so, yes.
"QUESTION: And he agreed that that was the way
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that you were going to do it, correct?
"ANSWER: Yes. "
Do you see in this description that Mr. McConney says that the rent stabilized units were valued based on the offering plan prices?

A That is what it appears the testimony to be, yes.
Q And would you agree that by using offering plan pricing of the units without deducting the cost to remove the legal restrictions that exist under rent stabilization laws, Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg inflated the valve of those units, yes?
A No, they provided a perspective of value that was based upon their analysis that was shared with Mazars.
Q So you think it is fine for them to have valued the rent stabilized apartments based on using offering plan prices without disclosing in the statements that that is what they were doing, is that your expert opinion?

A No. Mine was if it was disclosed - I don't know because I didn't read this testimony and I wasn't there, but it was disclosed to Mazars so...
Q Well --
A I would need to look at what they might have said or didn't say in their Statement of Financial Condition.

Q Do you know if it was disclosed to the reader of the 25 Statement of Financial Condition?
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stabilized and yet were value based on offering plan prices?
Do you see that information disclosed anywhere in this paragraph?

A No.
Q Would you agree that the failure to disclose that some of the condo units were rent stabilized and yet were valued at their offering plan prices without regard to their rent stabilization status was a pretty significant omission?

MR. FIELDS: Objection. Lack of foundation. It is an accounting question.

\section*{THE COURT: Overruled.}

A It would have been helpful to include.
Q That wasn't my question.
A That was my answer but please go ahead.
MR. AMER: Could I get a readback so that I could get an answer to my question.
(Whereupon, the requested portion of the record was read.)
Q Would you agree that the failure to disclose that some of the condo units were rent stabilized and yet were valued at their offering plan prices without their rent stabilization status disclosed is a pretty significant omission; yes or no?

A No. May I explain?
Q Let me show you some testimony from your deposition.
THE COURT: He asked if he could explain.

A Not off the top of my head.
Q Well, let's look at Plaintiff's Exhibit 707 which is the Statement of Financial Condition for 2013.
(Shown.)
Let's turn to Page 10 of 25.
You'll see that has the description of estimated current value for Trump Park Avenue on the top paragraph, you see that?
A Yes.
Q And it says (Reading:) The estimated current value of 346.1 million is based upon an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of the amount that he will earn as a result of the sale of the remaining condominiums as well as the residual value of the commercial space.

Can we agree there's no mention of the fact that some of the remaining condo units are subject to rent stabilization laws but were valued based on offering plan prices?
A No, it says (Reading:) As a result of the sale the remaining condominium units.

It is not explicit as to rent stabilized or not but this would infer that it says we're selling the remaining condominium units.
Q My question simply is whether this discloses to the reader of the statement that some of the units are rent

MR. AMER: No.
Q Let me show you testimony from your deposition. It's Page 168 and it starts on line 3. And this is the part of your deposition where you were discussing with my colleague the co-op that you were selling that was rent stabilized.
"QUESTION: I mean what if I'm presenting a value of a building where \(I\) own certain units and \(I\) don't disclose that some of them are subject to rent stabilization or I value them as free market?
"ANSWER: I think that's a pretty significant omission that should be disclosed."

That was your testimony in response to those questions, right?
A Yes.
Q Let me ask you some questions about 40 Wall Street.
MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 1573.

\section*{(Shown.)}

Q You testified about this. This is the 2011 appraisal for 40 Wall Street.

Do you recall testifying about this on direct?
A Yes.
MR. AMER: And let's go ahead and look at Page 3. (Shown.)
MR. AMER: If we could go forward to Page 3 of the
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2 Q And I believe the Judge asked you if you saw any explanation from Cushman in this appraisal for why they choose the seven percent. Do you recall that exchange?
A I recall that, yes.
6 Q I think your testimony was that you didn't see any explanation, right?

\section*{A Correct.}

MR. AMER: Could you go a little further down on the page.
(Scrolling.)
Q You see the paragraph that is just below the bottom box that says Statistics. I'll read it:
"The current market conditions require higher rates as a result of the recent credit market correction in the context of the direct capitalization method, a going in rate of seven percent is considered reasonable compensating the typical buyer for the risk inherent in investing in this building."

Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Isn't that explanation of why the appraiser chose the seven percent, just yes or no?

A It is not, No.
Q Now, we looked at the 2015 appraisal which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 118. If we could pull that up. And
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Page 170, there is a selection here of a cap rate that was within the range of the high and low, right?

A Yes.
Q I believe your testimony was that this appraisal was
much more accurate and in line with market information than the earlier appraisals, is that right?

A Correct.
MR. AMER: Could we go ahead and pull up
Plaintiff's demonstrative Exhibit 3 and go to the page that
has 40 Wall Street.
(Shown.)
Q By the way, do you recall what the value was for the 2015 appraisal that you said was more accurate and in line with market information?

A I believe it was \(\$ 540\) million.
Q And it is the case that the value used in the Statement of Financial Condition for 2015 was nearly \(\$ 200\) million more than that 2015 appraised value, correct?

A Yes.
Q And it was almost \(\$ 250\) million more than the value used in the 2016 Statement of Financial Condition, correct?

A Correct.
Q And the Morning Star analysis also reached a value of \(\$ 540\) million, correct?

A I believe Morning Star was more like 260 million, 267
million. It cited the Cushman appraisal of 540, but I believe
their own calculation was somewhere, if I recall, 267.5 million,
something around there.
Q That is hundreds of dollars even lower than the Cushman 2015 appraisal, correct?

A Yes.
MR. AMER: We are right at 4:30.
THE COURT: Just to get more done, I was hoping to
go to 4:45. If that is impossible. Let's keep going. MR. AMER: We can.
Q On direct examination you offered your expert opinions about the quality of the 2011 and 2012 Cushman appraisals; is that correct?
A Yes.
Q And your opinions are critical of the quality of the 2011 and 2012 Cushman appraisals; is that fair?
A Yes.
Q You expressed your expert opinion that those appraisals were underestimating the "as is" values of 40 Wall Street; is that fair?

A Yes, relative to 540 and some of the analysis that I prepared.

Q Now, Mr. Chin, I think you confirmed you are a member of The Appraisal Institute, so you are what is known as MAI for short, right?
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A Yes.
Q Am I correct that as an MAI appraiser you are required to adhere to the Uniformed Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice known as USPAP for short?

A Yes, for conducting specific property appraisals, yes.
Q Am I correct as an MAI appraiser you are required to
adhere to USPAP in the preparation, analysis and reporting of appraisal results, right?

A Yes, under the USPAP standards, correct.
Q You're familiar with something known as an appraisal review under USPAP?

A Yes.
Q And I think you testified about some notable cases that you handled and you mentioned a case involving Calpers. Did I get that right?
A It wasn't a case, it was an ongoing consulting assignment.
Q And I think you said that for that Calpers assignment you reviewed dozens of appraisals; is that right?

A Yes, every quarter.
Q Were those appraisal reviews?
A They were appraisal reviews based upon an agreement with Calpers.
Q So, you understand the standards under USPAP that apply to appraisal reviews, correct?

1 A As following USPAP, correct.
2 Q And you complied, I presume, with those standards when you did the appraisal reviews for the Calpers engagement; is that right?

A Generally, I believe we had an agreement that I was doing file memoranda, that basically, I think, mimic USPAP, but it wasn't per se. I don't know if it was verbatim how bank appraisers do an individual appraisal review for very specific property.

MR. AMER: Let's go ahead and look at Plaintiff's
Exhibit 3397 which are the USPAP standards.
(Shown.)
Q Let me ask you just to confirm something.
This says that they're effective through December 31st, 2021.

Is it your understanding that these standards have been extended through the end of this year?
A I believe so.
MR. AMER: So, your Honor, if we could, I would
distribute this exhibit. This is a new exhibit, it is not in evidence.
(Handing.)
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
Q And if we could turn to Page 5 of 34 . You'll see there's a definition of Appraisal Review.
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Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q I'm going to read it: "Appraisal review when used as a noun means the act or process of developing an opinion about the quality of another appraiser's work, i.e., a report, part of a report, a work file or some combination of these that was performed as part of an appraisal or an appraisal review assignment."

Do you see that?
A Yes.
Q Because we've established that you rendered an opinion about the quality of the Cushman 2011 and 2012 appraisals, would you agree that your opinions constitute an appraisal review as defined by USPAP in this paragraph; yes or no?

A No. There is something that --
Q I just asked yes or no. You've answered my question.
So it's your view that what you did with respect to the 2011 and 2012 Cushman appraisals was not appraisal review as defined by USPAP; is that your testimony?

A Yes. In the context of the overall statement of financial condition and as I recorded in my report which was looking at the totality of a Statement of Financial Condition and the various entries for all the different properties in all the different years.
Q When an MAI does an act or process of developing an
opinion about the quality of another appraiser's work, they need to comply with USPAP Standard 4 which relates to appraisal reviews, correct?

A Yes, if there's an assignment to do a specific appraisal review.
Q And you did not believe that your critique of the 2011 or 2012 Cushman appraisals triggered your obligation to follow those standards because in your view you were weren't doing an appraisal review; is that fair?

A Correct.
Q And so you, in fact, did not follow the standards laid out USPAP for engaging in the act or process of developing an opinion about the quality of another appraisers's work, right?

A No, that is not correct.
Q Did you -- let's look at 4.3, which is on Page 30.
You see Standard 4.3 requires a certification as an integral part of the appraisal review report; do you see that?

A Yes.
Q Can we agree you did not produce a signed certification that meets the requirements of 4.3 ?
A That's correct.
MR. AMER: If you give me a minute, your Honor. THE COURT: Of course.
(Whereupon, there is a pause in the proceedings.) THE COURT: Let's go ahead.
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MR. AMER: Your Honor, I have no further questions. THE COURT: We can break five minutes early. MR. AMER: Well, do they have re-direct?
THE COURT: Could you start your re-direct?
Are you going to have re-direct.
MR. FIELDS: I expect so and it will probably be longer than five minutes. Could we break for the evening. THE COURT: Sure.
I'll direct the witness again not to discuss his testimony or this case.
(Witness exits.)
(Whereupon, the trial stood adjourned to December 5,2023 at 10:00 a.m.)
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\hline 5938:20;5941:15; & expansion (1) & 5987:11;6019:3,7,21 & family (3) & 5932:22 \\
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & - Defendant - Redirect/Mr. Suarez Page 6031 & & Defendant - Redirect/Mr. Suarez Page 6033 \\
\hline & government ASC 274. How did you obtain your understanding & & his understanding. He provides his understanding. \\
\hline 2 & the definition of the term estimated current valu & 2 & not an accountant. We went round and round with \\
\hline 3 & ku & 3 & ay. And, he's just going \\
\hline 4 f & for definitions & 4 & , \\
\hline 5 & Q. And, how did you obtain your understanding o & 5 & What his understanding is doesn't have any \\
\hline 6 A & ACS-274 for purposes of the opinions that you have offere & 6 & basis, ultimately, on the Court's determinatio \\
\hline 7 i & cou & 7 & hat would be the province \\
\hline 8 & A. & 8 & \\
\hline 9 t & the definition as well as the methods that are used to & 9 & And as Mr. Amer pointed out yesterday and as \\
\hline 10 & calculated & 10 & itness testified to, he's not an accounting \\
\hline 11 & nd what is your understanding, for purposes & 11 & ert. So, all we're really trying to get at is what \\
\hline 12 t & the opi & 12 & was in his head \\
\hline 13 & definition of estimated current value contained in ASC-274 & 13 & k your Honor made this pornt yesterday \\
\hline 14 & A. There are, certainly, many ways of calculating & 14 & e just trying to get at what was in his head when \\
\hline 15 & the estimated current value, and there are a number of & 15 & he provided his opinions. So, his answer is h \\
\hline 16 & ethods that & 16 & answer. That's \\
\hline 17 & caus & 17 & They've asked him about it. They've put up, \\
\hline 18 & uest & 18 & mischaracterization of the record by showing \\
\hline 19 & inition, not what are the methods that can be used & 19 & m only part of it. They've prohibited this witness \\
\hline 20 & et there & 20 & from explaining what he mea \\
\hline 21 & MR. KISE: Your Honor, those are one in the & 21 & So we're just on redirect asking him "What's \\
\hline 22 & er & 22 & ur understanding?" I think it's perfectis \\
\hline 23 & ss & 23 & ptable. And his understanding is necessarily going \\
\hline 24 & Mr. Fle & 24 & dg \\
\hline 25 & to & 25 & nci \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{F.Chin - Defendant - Redirect/Mr. Suarez Page 6032} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{F.Chin - Defendant - Redirect/Mr. Suarez Page 6034} \\
\hline 1 & MR. WALLACE: Do we want to excus & 1 & We're just trying to get at what this witness \\
\hline 2 & ness? & 2 & to say about how it impacted his decisions. That's \\
\hline 3 & R. & 3 & it. But, I don't think his answer was nonresponsive \\
\hline 4 & The witness just answered as to what his & 4 & THE COURT: Well, Mr. Kise, we missed you \\
\hline 5 & understanding is, and that understanding, as he ju & 5 & rday. But, I'm not going to revisit the rulings. \\
\hline 6 & testified, inclu & 6 & our statement that the question or that th \\
\hline 7 & That's consistent with what Mr. Flemmon & 7 & tatement was simply, "Yes, that's what it says," I \\
\hline 8 & ified to. That's consistent with & 8 & don't, necessarily, agree with that \\
\hline 9 & accounting experts & 9 & Mr. Amer, do you agree with that statement h \\
\hline 10 & The only thing it's not co & 10 & made? \\
\hline 11 & misleading interpretation of the definition & 11 & MR. AMER: I don't. I think the 202 \\
\hline 12 & estimate & 12 & graph was clear. I think it was undisputed. Its \\
\hline 13 & By taking a 202 Statement and saying, "Oh, & 13 & deemed admitted for purposes of this trial. And, we \\
\hline 14 & well, you've admitted that this is the definition." No & 1 & could all read English. We know what the 202 Statement \\
\hline 15 & we admitted that that's what it says. And, given where & 15 & sai \\
\hline 16 & it & 1 & P ASC \\
\hline 17 & al statement in the ECV is what it says, then I'm & 17 & nition of est \\
\hline 18 & likely to have faced some kind of sanction. & 18 & en, it sets forth a definition. They did not dispute \\
\hline 19 & So if you look at the remainder of the 202 & 19 & that. So that has legal consequences. And they can't \\
\hline 20 & ment, it becomes apparent. If you look at the & 20 & try and redo their response to the 202 paragraph now. \\
\hline 21 & tesmon & 21 & think we all know what's going on here, \\
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\hline 23 & me into this Court to say other than the government, & 23 & asked what his understanding of the definition was. \\
\hline 24 & that it's altogether & 2 & And, instead, he gave a response that \\
\hline 25 & And so, this witness is being asked what's & 25 & discussed the methods you can use to get to estimated \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|rl|r}
1 & current value. Those are two different things. The & 1 \\
2 & definition is one thing. And the methods you used to & 2 \\
3 & get there are another. & 3 \\
4 & So, that's why I think his answer was & 4 \\
5 & unresponsive, your Honor. So if they want to ask him & 5 \\
6 & about the methods, that's a different question. & 6 \\
7 & \(\quad\) MR. KISE: So, your Honor, as Mr. Flemmons, & 7 \\
8 & who's the only accounting expert that's testified about & 8 \\
9 & this, has testified, they're altogether. It's not that & 9 \\
10 & there's one piece and two pieces. It's all one piece. & 10 \\
11 & \(\quad\) And so, if you use any of the methods -- this & 11 \\
12 & is the part that was misleading. If you use any of the & 12 \\
13 & methods, you, by definition, get back to estimated & 13 \\
14 & current value. So, if you have a top line, then you & 14 \\
15 & have the remainder. & 15 \\
16 & \(\quad\) So the 202 Statement is a red herring. & 16 \\
17 & They're trying to isolate a response that is, & 17 \\
18 & technically, accurate and ignore the fundamentals of & 18 \\
19 & accounting principles that are in the record and & 19 \\
20 & established in the record. And they're ignoring the & 20 \\
21 & remainder of the 202 Statements which we're not going & 21 \\
22 & to get into. & 22 \\
23 & But, at all events, we're still not really & 23 \\
24 & here to debate what the actual definition is with this & 24 \\
25 & witness. We're just asking this witness "What's your & 25
\end{tabular}

He's not stuck with the 202 Statement. He has nothing to do the 202 Statement. He's not stuck with Flemmons' testimony, he has nothing to do with Flemmons' testimony.

What he's here to testify about is what his understanding is and why he derived the opinions that he derived as an appraisal expert not an accounting expert.

So, I just don't see how its possible that his answer, when he's telling the Court "This is my understanding," how anyone can disagree with that and say, "No, you're wrong." They can argue he's wrong. Fine. But, he's answering the question.

THE COURT: Well, in your posttrial briefs, you can argue about the effect of the defendant's statement on the 202 Statement.

As for the particular question that's in front of me, since the wording was "What's your understanding of" -- his understanding of how it works or how you get there and the different methods, I'll allow it.

I will agree with Mr. Kise's general point there. The plaintiff can always, you know, recross if need be. That's his understanding.

Let's get him back. I hope the rest of the

1 understanding of how it's derived."
The definition of "How do you get there?" I mean, that's it. His definition is, "You used these methods and you get to estimated current value."

And that's consistent with what Mr. Flemmons testified to. That's exactly what Mr. Flemmons testified to in that manner.

And that's the part that they didn't allow the witness to explain yesterday when he answered "no" to the question about "Is that your same definition of estimated current value?"

He said, "No, may I explain?"
And Mr. Amer said, "No, you can't."
Okay. That's cross examination. So all we're doing is asking this witness "What's your understanding?" It's fairly straightforward.

But this witness is not here to testify about what the definition is. That's the providence of the county expert.

He's just here to testify about -- so there's nothing to revisit about Your Honor's ruling from yesterday. Respectfully, I don't think there is anything to revisit. I think there's just what this witnesses' understanding is. And that's all he can testify to.
F.Chin - Defendant - Redirect/Mr. Suarez
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1 morning is not a revisit of yesterday.
MR. KISE: Our plan was to be done by now.
FREDRICK CHIN, having been
previously sworn, testified further as follows:

\section*{REDIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q. Mr. Chin, how are the methods used to determine estimated current value relevant to your understanding of estimated current value if at all?
A. Very relevant. Those methods were, definitely, considered and provided a guidance in terms of how individuals might be able to use it and estimate their current values.
Q. What opinions, if any, do you offer concerning the interpretation of ASC 274?
A. With respect to the statements of financial condition?
Q. Yes.
A. I believe that they were appropriately stated following the estimated current value guidance.
Q. What opinions, if any, do you offer concerning the interpretation of the term "estimated current value" under the accounting standards?
A. Do you mind repeating that question?
Q. Sure.
F.Chin - Defendant - Redirect/Mr. Suarez
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A. Sorry.
Q. What opinion, if any, do you offer concerning the interpretation of the definition of estimated current value as provided in the accounting standards?
A. I believe it offers a pretty broad interpretation in terms of how real estate or assets could be valued.
Q. How, if at all, are the opinions you've given in this trial consistent with USPAP?
A. I believe they are different, that, the estimate the current value is different than how normal USPAP market value appraisals are done.

THE COURT: And please louder and closer to the microphone.

THE WITNESS: My apologies, your Honor.
Q. And how, Mr. Chin, are your opinions at trial compliant with USPAP?
A. Very different function. They're different. They are. My opinions are based on the totality of the statement of financial condition which has estimated current values as part of that statement of financial condition.
Q. Do your opinions comply with USPAP?
A. No, they don't; not in the strict sense.
Q. Why not?
A. Well, there are several reasons.

The first one is that my assignment wasn't to do,
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specifically, an appraisal review of a property. It was to evaluate the statement of financial condition.

Number two, there's a jurisdictional exception
that is in USPAP that if there is, in this case, a
litigation or otherwise and there will be testimony then there's an allowance that strict compliance with USPAP is not necessary.

And number three, most, importantly, a lot of the time that I spent that we talked about in terms of hours, was spent reviewing the AG's appraisals of the property, which their appraisals signed as MAIs, provided almost five hundred separate opinions of value. And they were not, at all, compliant with USPAP.
Q. In reviewing the Statements of Financial Condition at issue in this case, what evidence, if any, have you identified that the valuations are based on pie-in-the-sky dreams of concocted value?
A. None.

MR. SUAREZ: No further questions on redirect, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Recross.
MR. AMER: I have just a quick couple of questions. But, I can do it from here, your Honor. THE COURT: Oh, sure.

\section*{RECROSS EXAMINATION}

BY MR. AMER:
Q. If we could just put up, from yesterday's transcript, page 6006. I just want to read to you, from line eight on it and confirm that this is -- that this is still your testimony.
"QUESTION: In your expert opinion, does there need to be a reasonable factual basis for the developer's perspective of value that he puts in a statement of financial condition.
"ANSWER: Yes.
Q. Do you standby that testimony?
A. I do.
"QUESTION: And would you agree that it would be improper for a developer to put in a Statement of Financial Condition a perspective of value that is based on pie-in-the-sky dreams of concoted value?
"ANSWER: I would agree with that.
Q. Is that still testimony that you standby?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Am I correct that in this case, you have not
rendered any opinions of value with respect to any of the assets in the Statement of Financial Condition?
A. You're correct.

MR. AMER: That's all I have, your Honor.
F.Chin - Defendant - Recross/Mr.Amer
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THE COURT: Any re redirect?
MR. SUAREZ: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. If there are no other questions, the witness is excused. Nice to meet you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
(Witness excused.)
THE COURT: Defendant, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. ROBERT: Yes, your Honor. Defendants call John Shubin. Let's get Mr. Shubin.

COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
Raise your right hand.
Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any testimony you give will be the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
J O HN S H UBIN, called as a witness by the Defendants, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Please, have a seat. Please, state your name, business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Yes, good morning. My name is John Shubin. My business address is 150 West Flagler Street, Miami Florida, 33130.
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THE COURT: Mr. Robert, please proceed. MR. ROBERT: Thank you, your Honor.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBERT:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Shubin?
A. Good morning.
Q. If you could start by advising the Court of your educational background from college on, sir.
A. I graduate Harvard College in 1983. I then went on to Oxford University, St. John's College where I received a post graduate MA in politics, philosophy and economics. In 1985, attended the University of Miami Law School graduated in 1988.
Q. And sir, are you admitted to practice law?
A. I'm admitted to practice law.
Q. And where are you admitted to practice law, sir?
A. State of Florida.
Q. And sir, can you briefly describe for the Court your professional background since you graduated law school.
A. I've been a practicing lawyer since 1988. I have tried cases in State and Federal Courts in front of jury's nonjuries.

I've participated in hundreds of legislative and quasi judicial hearings and other forms of administrative hearings.

I have argued between fifty and hundred appeals in various forum -- both in state and federal Appellate forum -- and have had a very active practice over the last 35 years.
Q. And what about your employment history since the time you graduated law school, sir?
A. I started at the Law Firm of Schultz \& Bowen in Miami, proceeded to the firm of Sterns Weaver and then started my own firm in 1993.
Q. Sir, what if any specialty are you engaged in the practice of law in?
A. For the last 20 years, in particular, l've focused on real estate as it relates to entitlement, land use, planning. Anything that relates to real estate.

The business of Florida often is real estate. And so, even as a trial lawyer, most of the matters that I handle have some aspect of real estate related to them.
Q. I'm going to talk, in a few moments, about and drilling down a little bit more about that. But what, if any, other employment have you been engaged in other than the practice of law.
A. I also own a consulting firm called the Euclid Group which advises investors and individuals and entities on strategies related to the use and development of real estate.
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Q. And is that limited to Florida or anywhere else?
A. It's not limited to Florida. We have represented clients in multiple jurisdictions.
Q. So I want to talk to you a little bit about the areas that you said you primarily practice in. What is land use?
A. Land use is what are the rules and regulations that effect how an individual, an owner or a tenant, can utilize a piece of real estate.

What uses can occur, what structures can occur, the size, the dimensions of those structures; anything related to the use and operation of a piece of property.
Q. And what is planning?
A. Planning is the method by which government effectuate the regulation of land. They look into the future, they determine the needs, the requirements of a community and they provide long term -- they generate long term planning documents that guide how zoning, which is the implementation of planning, occurs in different jurisdictions.
Q. And what are entitlements?
A. Entitlements are commonly the approvals, the governmental approvals, usually, that run with a piece of land that are secured by the owner to allow certain uses of that property.
Q. And finally zoning?
A. Zoning is the implementation of planning. It's the rules and regulations that govern the use, the intensity, the density the shape of property.
Q. Now, you mentioned before that your practice is, primarily, limited to this over the last 20 years. How much of your practice is devoted to these four areas?
A. Probably -- it was always about 50 percent. Now, its about 80 percent.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, at this point, I would like to tender John Shubin as a land use, planning, entitlements and zoning expert.

THE COURT: Can you repeat that slowly.
MR. ROBERT: Sure. Yes, your Honor. Land use, planning, entitlements and zoning expert. I figured it was easier than just staying real estate which was a little too broad.

THE COURT: What has plaintiff have to say?
MR. WALLACE: I would renew our objection, that, this is, essentially, a legal expert. I would assume the witness's expertise in this area is limited to interpretations of laws, regulations and contracts that govern these areas; and hence, is an improper area for expert testimony.

I believe, though, to the extent the

|  | ngs Page 6047 | J.Shubin - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Robert Page 6049 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | defendants suggested, in the motion to preclude | 1 just posit based on what -- |
| 2 | Mr. Shubin's testimony, that, his expertise would show | 2 MR. ROBERT: We can limit it to Mar-a-lago |
| 3 | which documents are relevant, if they want to go that | 3 where he practices. His consulting business is beyond |
| 4 | far, I would take it, they can identify documents. | 4 Florida but, the questions are going to be dealing only |
| 5 | But, as to the interpretation of those | 5 with Mar-a-lago. |
| 6 | documents, we object to him having expertise that is | 6 MR. WALLACE: I appreciate that. |
| 7 | useful to the court in the manner in which an expert is | 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 8 | supposed to be qualified. | 8 BY MR. ROBERT |
| 9 | MR. ROBERT: I think Mr. Wallace may have | 9 Q. The series of questions I'm going to ask you today |
| 10 | looked at my notes to see what's coming next. This | 10 are, ultimately, from your opinion, Mr. Shubin. Will you be |
| 11 | testimony is going to be about Mr. Shubin's experience | 11 able to give them to me with a reasonable degree of |
| 12 | in knowing what to look for, why you are looking for it | 12 certainty? |
| 13 | and where to find it. It's not legal conclusions. | 13 THE COURT: Mr. Robert, you are a little |
| 14 | MR. WALLACE: I have a suspension we'll | 14 down. Maybe you speak up. |
| 15 | disagree as to what constitutes legal conclusion as we | 15 MR. ROBERT: I think it is my throat, your |
| 16 | proceed. If his familiarity with the nature and | 16 Honor. |
| 17 | location of these covenants, restrictions and deeds | 17 THE COURT: The witness too, you have to be |
| 18 | we're happy to hear from the witness on those issues. | 18 very close, speak loudly and slowly and clearly. |
| 19 | THE COURT: Mr. Shubin, good morning. | 19 THE WITNESS: I'll do my best. |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: Good morning. | 20 THE COURT: Hear the difference? |
| 21 | THE COURT: Have you been qualified as an | 21 THE WITNESS: Yes. Absolutely. |
| 22 | expert in these areas or any areas before? | 22 THE COURT: You have to hear yourself over |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: I've never testified as an | 23 the PA or you're not doing your job. |
| 24 | expert before | 24 THE WITNESS: Don't feel like I'm ignoring |
| 25 | THE COURT: Are you aware of the dispute | 25 you. |
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| 1 | that the lawyers have had about limitations on your | 1 THE COURT: I won't. |
| 2 | testimony as to the law which is what I'm here for. | 2 Q. Did there come a time that you were asked to |
| 3 | THE WITNESS: I have heard from counsel that | 3 provide opinion information in this case? |
| 4 | there has been a dispute. And, as a practicing lawyer, | 4 A. Yes. |
| 5 | I'm very familiar with the issues related to the | 5 Q. What were the circumstances surrounding that? |
| 6 | dispute. I've tried to tie myself to the mass so I | 6 A. I received a phone call from Chris Kise and I |
| 7 | don't know too much. But, I'm certainly familiar with | 7 think there was another individual on the line, Greg |
| 8 | the issues regarding a lawyer testifying. | 8 Coleman. And, they asked me if I would consider being an |
| 9 | THE COURT: All right. I hereby deem you, | 9 expert witness in a matter. |
| 10 | for the first time, an expert in land use planning, | 10 Q. And what was your understanding of what the |
| 11 | entitlement and zoning. | 11 assignment was that you were given? |
| 12 | And as you, l'm sure, just heard the lawyers | 12 A. My understanding of the assignment, at the time, |
| 13 | discuss, I'm not looking for -- I'm not allowing the | 13 was to ascertain what restrictions, if any, applied to the |
| 14 | testimony on the law itself but, where documents are, | 14 use of Mar-a-lago in Palm Beach, Florida. |
| 15 | how they may interact with each other, with the | 15 Q. What, if anything, did you do to -- |
| 16 | upshot -- well, I'll leave out "the upshot." I'm sure | 16 Did you come to a conclusion after reviewing |
| 17 | Mr. Roberts will keep the questioning within the right | 17 documents? |
| 18 | areas. | 18 A. I did. |
| 19 | MR. ROBERT: In about a half-hour, we'll | 19 Q. What documents did you review? |
| 20 | decide whether we agree or not. I'm hoping that we do. | 20 A. I reviewed a variety of documents all of which are |
| 21 | MR. WALLACE: I would ask for one | 21 set forth in my expert witness report. But, essentially, |
| 22 | clarification. I don't think it's relevant to the | 22 what I did was what is my common practice, I ordered a title |
| 23 | nature of the testimony Mr. Shubin is going to provide | 23 report on Mar-a-lago. |
| 24 | but, are we qualifying him as an expert to the | 24 And a title report identifies and produces all |
| 25 | jurisdiction of Florida or somewhere else. I would | 25 documents that effect the title to the property that are |

recorded and contain their legal description.
I reviewed the universe of those documents compared them to some of the documents that Mr. Kise had provided to me that he thought were relevant. And there was substantial overlap.

I then also conducted a search, a public records search, of the town of Palm Beach's records to determine what records they had in their possession that might be important for me to review prior to giving any opinion.
Q. And what opinion did you, ultimately, come to?
A. The opinion that $I$ reached is that there is,
absolutely, no prohibition on the use of Mar-a-lago as a single-family residence.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we object to that
opinions. That is a legal conclusion.
THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. Mr. Shubin, is there anything in the document that you reviewed that showed that there was any prohibition of Mar-a-lago being used as a single-family residence.
A. I did not see any document that contained that prohibition.

MR. WALLACE: Objection. That's a
rephrasing of the same question.
MR. ROBERT: No. I'm asking him if there is
anything in any of the documents that I'm about to go
doesn't somehow, magically, transform what he's saying into a legal conclusion. It's still a factual determination that he's making and providing to the Court. You will then -- so, the testimony doesn't get excluded on that basis.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace, I assume you disagree with that.

MR. WALLACE: The question is, what is permitted based on the laws, regulations and covenants which are, essentially, contracts. And he is offering his opinion as to what is permitted under that.

That's, inherently, a legal conclusion. If they want to walk through all the documents and say, "These are the ones your Honor should consider," that's fine.

But saying, "The end result of these documents is, there's no restrictions on using Mar-a-lago as a private home," that's the legal conclusion.

So no objection to him identifying what he found in his title search in terms of what the documents are but, his interpretation of those documents is, inherently, a legal conclusion.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, we're going to take the witness through the document -- sections of the
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1 through that shows that there was a restriction. And
there were not.
That's a factual issue. It helps the Court
with the ultimate conclusion but, it's not a legal conclusion. That's up to your Honor at the end of the day.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I just want to point out, land use experts, land planner that aren't lawyers that work in government or in the private sector, they make these factual determinations everyday. It's not legal conclusion to say what Mr. Shubin is saying.

A lawyer can make that determination. But, ultimately, you have to decide the legal ramifications of the factual input. But, it's not a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: That's why we don't allow testimony on the law because, I make those decisions.

MR. KISE: Right. But, the testimony isn't
a conclusion. The testimony is, factually, the application of these provisions.

It's just like you said, a land -- if we brought in a land use planner, if we brought in a zoning expert, if we brought in a planning expert -which this witness is qualified to be --

Just because Mr. Shubin has a law degree,
J.Shubin - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Robert
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document. But the question was, did he find anything in the documents that prohibited it.

Again, that's not a legal conclusion. The first question I asked is did he reach an opinion on it. And his Honor sustained the objection. I understood that sustaining of the objection. That's why I reworded the question.

THE COURT: It, absolutely, is a legal conclusion. What I think -- maybe we can agree on this. You can ask the witness what documents exist that might effect this property. And then, ask him what they say.

MR. ROBERT: That's exactly where I'm headed next.

THE COURT: That's a lot different from "Do any of the documents prohibit the use of the property as a single-family residence."

MR. ROBERT: I, respectfully, disagree. At the end, I'm going to come back to it because, I think your Honor will see that it's based on what he's giving an opinion on not as a lawyer. It's not a legal conclusion. It's based on the interlay as I said.

Mr. Shubin's testimony is based on his experience of knowing what to look for, what is relevant and where you find it.

stipulated and admitted into evidence.
MR. AMER: The People agree.
THE COURT: Great. The People agree one time.
MR. ROBERTS: We'll also asking the Court to take
judicial notice of the code ordinances of the Town of Palm Beach, Section 134-2(b) and Sections 134-786 and 134-787. Those are also two documents that Mr. Shubin had relied on as part of his report. We have that marked and put into evidence, they are sections and we just did it for the ease of the Court so that you don't have to look to Florida statutes and laws.

MR. WALLACE: No objection from the People.
THE COURT: I'm glad we have those agreements in place.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you.
Q Mr. Shubin, turning your attention to where we left off which is D-478-5. What is the significance of what you have just read?

A Again, this accompanied an application in order to seek a private club in Palm Beach. At this point in time we've to file an application, it is uncommon to accompany these applications with a narrative. So this is a narrative that talks about what could or could not be done at Mar-a-Logo as an alternative. And one of the alternatives is a private social club, that is parentheses seven.
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$1 \quad$ Q And who was it that had prepared this document?
A It would be someone on behalf of the owner of the property, that was Donald Trump or an entity on Donald Trump's behalf.

Q Now, drawing your attention to Page 69, D-478-69. What is this document, sir, contained within this exhibit?
A It is common in an application and maybe with the Court's permission I can talk about what a special exception is, it is what they applied for. They applied for a special exception. So they are proffering and addressing what type of conditions they would consider being applied to their application should it be approved.

Q And, Mr. Shubin, if you could read into the record the first paragraph starting "in its conversion of this document."

A It states (Reading:) In its conversion from a
so-called large residential estate to a private social club, the actual usage of Mar-a-Logo will not change. No new activity will not occur which cannot, does not, or has not taken place in the past under the existing zoning of this property. The
applicant seeks no physical change whatsoever with the property. (Such as, for instance, the addition or demolition of any improvement.)
Q And what, if any, significance does this paragraph have?
J. Shubin-Defendant-Direct-Mr. Roberts
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1 A It is significant in that it identifies what uses
2 occurred on the property and it's a commitment as to what uses
3 will occur on the property should the application be granted.
4 Q And what is your understanding of what the property is used for today?
A It's used as a private social club.
Q And any other use, sir?
A There are other uses that occur on the club. They're recreational uses that occur in connection with a social club,
which are ordinary and customary and Mr. Trump has a residence at the club, an owner's residence.

Q That would be consistent with the fact that the actual usage of Mar-a-Logo will not change, correct, sir?

## MR. WALLACE: Objection, Leading?

THE COURT: Leading, sustained.
Q What is the significance of Mar-a-Logo not changing mean to you, sir?
A It means that and in other portions of the narrative
describe how Ms. Post, Marjorie Merriweather Post, how she used the property in the past and it describes how the property will be used in the future.
Q And how did Ms. Post used the property in the past, sir?

A She lived there, she entertained, she had opened it up occasionally to the public, to the residence of Palm Beach but
J. Shubin-Defendant-Direct-Mr. Roberts
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she lived there and it was her residence.
Q And drawing your attention to Page 154 of that document, Mr. Shubin.
(Pausing.)
Is this what you were referring to?
A Yes.
Q If you could read the first sentence, please?
A (Reading:) Ms. Post used Mar-a-Logo as a residence during winter months of the year and was a place to entertain houseguests and other guests who were invited on a daily basis.

Q Okay. If we could show the witness Defendant's Exhibit 359 in evidence.
(Shown.)
Q Mr. Shubin, what is the document?
A This is a declaration of use agreement between the Town of Palm Beach, the Mar-A-Logo Club, Inc. and Donald Trump.
$Q$ And what does such a document signify?
A This document reflects the intention of the parties to the agreement to agree to certain conditions related to the use of Mar-a-Logo in connection with the approval of the special exception application.
Q And that is the document we just looked at before, correct?

A Yes.
Q And how do these two documents work with each other?

A It is very common particularly with special exceptions for a municipality to impose conditions on the use of what they're permitting. They're permitting a use on a property and the municipality may say that they may want to have certain restrictions, certain condition, certain limitations. In order to make those limitations enforceable they typically ask for them not only to be conditions of the approval, but to be set forth and memorialized in a written agreement than binding between the parties.
Q And drawing your attention to Page 5 of that document, Mr. Shubin, under Article 2 where it says, Club Use.
A Yes.
Q If you could read the first few lines?
A (Reading:) The use of the land shall be for a private social club in compliance with all of the information and exhibits included in the application not inconsistent with the terms set forth herein and subject to such uses not inconsistent with the terms set forth herein, set forth in the application for special exception. In the Mar-a-Logo Club a special exception use and preservation plan as amended and submitted to the town.

THE COURT: You keep saying could you read the first line, you really mean could you read the first sentence.

MR. ROBERTS: I thought I said the first few lines,
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your Honor, I'll take the first few lines.
THE WITNESS: I didn't want to object on my own, your Honor.

MR. ROBERTS: You could have.
THE COURT: I mean, it would be much more specific to just say could you please read the first sentence, rather than the first few lines; how many are a few?

MR. ROBERTS: There are so many semicolons in terms of this document and the other -- I'll take that advice going forward.

THE COURT: All right.
Q Mr. Shubin, is this what you are referring to as the interplay between the two documents?

A Yes.
Q And what does this document mean when it says, subject to such uses not inconsistent with the terms set forth herein, but more importantly, set forth in the application for special exception in Number 11-183--

MR. WALLACE: Objection. The meaning of the contract language would be giving an opinion.

THE COURT: Sustained. By the way, some of this stuff is just so obvious.

MR. ROBERTS: I tend to think it is obvious, the Attorney General doesn't. Their position still is that Mar-A-Logo cannot be used as a single family residence that
is why we're bringing this to the Court's attention. Ms. Faherty is saying that nothing inconsistent with the document we looked at before, the application for special exception, which made it clear that the existing use at the time it was converted to the club. It always has the ability to have that use which was a private residence just as Ms. Post had done years before Mr. Trump bought it. THE COURT: You can't do it.

Q Article 9 is titled what?
A Article 9 is titled Liability Abandonment of Club Use.
Q If we could go to the top of Page 10, following his Honor's advice please read the first sentence?
A (Reading:) If the club is unintentionally abandoned for a period of one (one year) after the club has been in operation, or is intentionally abandoned at any time, the use of the land shall revert to a single family residence and the ownership of the owner.
Q Now I would like to show the witness Exhibit D360 in evidence.

## (Shown.)

MR. ROBERTS: Your Honor, for the record, to move things along. Each time a document is shown to the witness, I would have asked him what his conclusion was or his opinion was as a result of that. I'm following your direction at this point, or your order, which is he's not to
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give those types of legal opinions in your mind. I want the record to be clear, otherwise I will have to ask each time and it will just prolong things.

THE COURT: Okay. Understood. Thank you.
Q Mr. Shubin, what is Defendant's Exhibit 360 in evidence?

A This is a deed from Donald Trump to the National Trust for Historic Preservation. It is a deed that conveys a conservation and preservation easement as to the Mar-a-Logo property.
Q And what is the significance of this document?
A This is a document that was memorialized after the approval of the special exception. So the special exception was in 1993, this deed was subsequent to that in 1995 and it is a commitment by Mr. Trump to preserve and conserve and to restore and agree to restore certain specific features of the Mar-a-Logo property.
Q I want to draw your attention specifically to Page 14 of that document under Section 5 guarantor's rights. Do you see that sir?
A Yes.
Q I would ask you to read into the record Section 5.1 with Romanette A?
A (Reading:) It is titled rights not requiring further
25 approval by grantee. The following rights, uses and activities
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of, or by grantor, on, over, or under the property are permitted by this easement and by grantee without further approval by grantee.

Subsection (a) states, the right to engage in those acts or uses permitted by governmental statute or regulation that are not expressly prohibited or regulated by this easement.

Q I'll ask you to continue to $B$ and read that as well?
A (Reading:) Sub (b), the right to perform work,
exercise the rights and privileges contemplated by, and engage in those uses of the property permitted by the plan and by the declaration of use agreement. (The "Declaration"), dated August 10th, 1993 between the Town of Palm Beach, the Mar-a-Logo Club and grantor recorded in the public records of Palm Beach County, Florida. Official record book 7933, Page 22, as the plan and/or the Declaration may be amended from time to time provided that ( $i$, such uses are not specifically prohibited or regulated by this easement). ii, such amends to the plan and/or declaration not inconsistent of the purpose of this easement.

Q Turning your attention to page 22, D-360-22. Do you see that, sir?
A Which specific provision?
Q Paragraph 11, Limitation of 11, if you could read that into the record, sir.

A (Reading:) Limitations on amendment. If circumstances
arise under which amendment to or modification of this easement
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were to be appropriate, grantor and grantee made by mutual written agreement jointly amend this easement provided that no amendment shall be made that will adversely affect the qualification of these easement or the status of grantee under any applicable laws, including Section 170(h) and 501 (c)(3) of the code and the laws of the State of Florida.

Q Mr. Shubin, how does the land use of this document have any interplay with the previous documents that we looked at?

A They -- it describes the circumstances under which
future uses can occur on the property, alterations to the
property can occur, essentially what can and cannot occur and what is the process for seeking an amendment to those conditions that are part are the preservation easement.

Q Now I would like to show the witness
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1013 in evidence.
(Shown.)
Q Mr. Shubin, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, I do.
Q What is this document?
A One, it is a Deed of Development Rights from the
Mar-a-Logo Club, LLC to the National Trust For Historical Preservation. Chronically it is subsequent to the previous
document that I reviewed, that was also a deed and conveyance of the easement to the National Trust.
Q Drawing your attention to Page 2 of this document, sir.
J. Shubin-Defendant-Direct-Mr. Roberts
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(Pausing.)
If you could read that into the record.
A (Reading:) The witnesseth, the Club and Trump for good and invaluable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged by these presence do hereby transfer, grant, bargain, sell, alienize, release, convey, and confirm on to the National Trust. To the extent such rights, if not already been transferred through the Deed of Conservation and Preservation Easement and any and all of their rights to develop the property for any usage other than club usage.
Q Sir, earlier we looked at Defendant's Exhibit 360, which was the Deed of Conservation and Preservation Easement. How, if any way, is this document read in relation to that document?
A If you go to the first page of this document it makes numerous references to the 1995 Conservation Easement. I think it is also a defined term and there are multiple references in the whereas clause as to the previous document.
Q And in this document anywhere is there any conveyance to the right to occupy the premise as a single family residence?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. KISE: On this point, I'll talk about legal issues but if you want to excuse the witness we could do
that in case we have any overlap.
THE COURT: Plaintiff, do you want to excuse the witness?

MR. WALLACE: Yes.
THE COURT: Why don't we excuse the witness.
(Whereupon, the following is heard outside the presence and hearing of the witness.)

THE COURT: So far I think the Plaintiff has been liberal in what they're not objecting to; but go ahead.

MR. WALLACE: If he's describing a document generally about trying to convey legal implications, I'm trying to allow it to move it through. For the most part he's reading documents that are part of the record. But...

MR. KISE: Again, without going into the characterization of liberality. His conclusions as a land use zoning planning expert are not necessarily legal conclusions.

## THE COURT: I disagree with you.

MR. KISE: I understand that. But for the Appellate purpose of this, you've stricken his answers. We need to develop some way to preserve the proffer of his testimony. If you would like us to do that it in writing so that it is preserved for the record. But your denial of an answer to the question denies the Appellate Court the opportunity to understand what this expert's opinion is in

THE COURT: So I could be reversed on not allowing him to give us his legal or other conclusions.

MR. KISE: Without having a record of what that answer would be, the Appellate Court is deprived of understanding that, which may itself be a reason for

We would submit, your Honor, that we should at least be allowed to put into the record the proffer of what his answers would have been to those questions and you-understanding it is the Court's ruling, so that it is there for the Appellate Court to know exactly what the testimony would be as a proffer. That is just simply a proffer. We will not take up time today, we can ask the questions, you can make your rulings. We need a complete record on this. We disagree with your Honor's interpretation and we need to make sure the Appellate Court is advised. That's all.

THE COURT: I understand. Plaintiff?
MR. WALLACE: I believe his opinions are contained in his expert report. The report is in the record as part of their summary judgment application. I tried to accommodate Mr. Kise and have the entire report and witness struck but I was overruled on that. Based on his proffer that this witness could talk about documents and direct the Court to the parts of the record concerning property that

## full so... <br> 1 full so...

 reversal.
## -Proceedings-
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1 are irrelevant which should be considered by the judge in
making a conclusion, legal conclusion that I will note based on summary judgment is law of the case and does not need to be revisited.

If they want to -- so I don't know if there's much more of a record that needs to be established.

MR. KISE: So, your Honor, I'm noting that after 8 or 9 weeks Mr. Wallace is learning how to give my kind of speeches, there's a whole bunch of additional narratives so I'm glad of that.

Just briefly. The opinion --the reports themselves are not part of the trial record. They may be part of the summary judgment record, they're not part of the trial record. And for Appellate purposes, those are two very different -- summary judgment is a proceeding on its own Appellate track and it is not necessarily the case. In fact, it may not at all be the case that the plenary appeal from the final judgment is subsumed --the summary judgment of appeals is subsumed by the final judgment. I don't think that's necessarily going to happen.

So if there'll agree to allow us to put the
opinions in the record for purposes of preserving what they are, into the trial record so that it is there in the trial record, that is fine, and your ruling will stand as to your determination as to whether or not they're legal
conclusions, that is preferable acceptable. I don't know why they would oppose that since they are saying it is of the record. I disagree from an Appellate standpoint the summary judgment record it is traveling on its own track right now and the briefing on that may be done in a matter of weeks. That is a completely different record.

We need some way -- I'm happy to have the opinions themselves introduced as a proffer and leave it at that.

THE COURT: I could see this is all new. If the Plaintiff would agree that the Defendants could put the opinions submitted in support or, again, the summary judgment motion, into the trial record, I'm --I'm not sure if I agree with Mr. Kise because it is proceeding on separate Appellate tracts. You could not automatically use one or the other; but Plaintiff what could we do here?

MR. WALLACE: I disagree. I disagree that there's not a sufficient record based on what is going up on summary judgment. I also note that I don't believe it is an evidentiary requirement that every single piece of evidence that is excluded for evidentiary purposes, including opinions, needs to be produced so that it could then be fully studied by the Court of Appeals.

If he wants to mark Mr. Shubin's reports, it is not evidence but it is an exhibit to the trial record, that is fine, so that it could be seen by the Court of Appeals. I
think they would have it in time, there'll be a judgment at some point that will be incorporated. I think it may be angles dancing on the head of a pin at this point, but I'm happy to have it flagged so that it is in the record and if the fact is what was included in his expert report.

THE COURT: All right. Let's make sure we're all on the same page.

Plaintiff, are you agreeing that its expert reports that Mr. Shubin submitted on the summary judgment motion could be included in the appeal of the trial?

MR. WALLACE: Yes, perfectly acceptable.
MR. KISE: I'll also note that the angels dancing on the head of a pin are the province of the Appellate Court, that is why we're engaging in the exercise.

THE COURT: Sometimes I feel like I'm the angel dancing on the pin. All right. Thanks for dealing with that. Let's get the witness back.

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, sir.
THE COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
(Witness enters the courtroom.)
MR. ROBERTS: Could I have the last question read back to see where I left off.

THE COURT: Yes.
Well, the last question I assume was an objection and I sustained the objection.
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 MR. ROBERTS: I know. It will tell me in my mind | $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ What is this document? |
| 2 where we left o | 2 A This is a reproduction of the minutes of the Town |
| 3 THE COURT: Fine | 3 Council meeting for the Town of Palm Beach, held February 9, |
| 4 MR. ROBERTS: I didn't think you would change your | 4202 |
| 5 mind on another read back, don't worry Judge. | 5 Q What, if anything, at this meeting had to do with the |
| 6 THE COURT: You would be surprised with what | 6 Mar-a-Logo property? |
| 7 lawyers try to get a ways with. | 7 A There was a discussion on the record regarding several |
| 8 (Whereupon, the requested portion of the record wa | 8 complaints that had been brought by neighbors of Mar-a-Logo who |
| 9 read.) | 9 were complaining that Mr. Trump could not reside at Ma-a-Logo. |
| 10 MR. ROBERTS: The objection was sustained. We're | 10 Q This is when, |
| 11 going to read -- I'm going to show the witness D-427. | 11 A This is February 9, 2021. |
| 12 (Shown.) | 12 Q Drawing your attention to Page 22 of that document. |
| 13 Q Mr. Shubin, do you recognize this document? | 13 A Ye |
| 14 A Ido. | 14 |
| 15 Q What do you recognize this documents to be? | 15 Q Is this section the section in the minutes that reflect |
| 16 A These are the published Rules and Regulations of the | 16 the discussion that you just spoke of? |
| 17 Mar-a-Logo Club. | 17 A It does |
| 18 Q Drawing your attention to page D-427-4. To the right | 18 Q And drawing your attention to the third full paragraph, |
| 19 hand side (c) where it says, revokable license. If you could | 19 if you could read that, |
| 20 read that please, sir? | 20 A (Reading:) Town attorney, John C. Randolph, summarized |
| 21 A Yes. (Reading:) Revokable license. Membership in the | 21 his written report provided to counsel. He indicated this was |
| 22 club is acquired on an non-equity basis. It does not infer any | 22 for informational purposes only. |
| 23 vested or scripted right to easement in and/or to use the club | 23 Attorney Randolph stated that this was not a quasi |
| 24 in its facilities. Members require only a revokable license to 25 use the club or its facilities. They have no ownership or | 24 judicial hearing or hearing and there will be no cross-examine. 25 Q Putting that document to the side for a second. I |
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| 1 voting interest in the Mar-a-Logo Club, LLC, which operates the 2 club. | 1 would like to hand to the witness Defendant's Exhibit 429 in 2 evidence. |
| 3 Q Under the next section (e), Declaration of the | 3 (Handing.) |
| 4 Agreement. Do you see that, sir? | 4 Q Mr. Shubin, when you had been looking at Defendant's |
| 5 A Yes. | 5484 in evidence, that referenced John Randolph summarized his |
| 6 Q If you could read the bottom paragraph labeled number | 6 written report. Is what you're looking at, Defendant's 429, in |
| three? | 7 fact, that written report? |
| 8 A (Reading:) Declaration of Agreement: The club will at | 8 A Yes, it is. |
| 9 all times comply with the declaration of use of agreement, among | $9 \quad$ Q Okay. This is from John Randolph going to who? |
| 10 the Town of Palm Beach, the Mar-a-Logo Club, LLC and Donald J. | 10 A To the Mayor \& Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach. |
| 11 Trump, dated August 11, 1993 and recorded in the official | 11 Q If you could start reading the first paragraph? |
| 12 records of Palm Beach County, Florida. This Agreement includes | 12 A (Reading:) Each of you are aware of the allegations of |
| 13 without limitation the following finding corporations. | 13 neighbors of the Mar-a-Logo Club, that former President Donald |
| 14 Q Moving to number three at the bottom of the page? | 14 J. Trump is not allowed to reside at Mar-a-Logo since it was |
| 15 A (Reading:) If the club is intentionally abandoned for | 15 converted in 1993 to a private club. In sum, it is argued |
| 16 a period one (one year) after the club has been in operation, or | 16 Mar-a-Logo is either a private residence or a club but cannot be |
| 17 if the club is intentionally abandoned any time, Mar-a-Logo | 17 both. |
| 18 shall revert to use as a private single family residence of | 18 Q Ultimately you will read the whole thing. |
| 19 Donald J. Trump and under such circumstances, all membership | 19 A I hope I am reading slow enough for the court reporter. |
| 20 deposits shall be refunded without interests. | 20 MR. WALLACE: If we are going to read, I believe |
| 21 Q Now, I would like to show the witness what has been | 21 the document is in evidence. Is he going to read the |
| 22 Marked as Defendant's Exhibit 484 in evidence. | 22 entirety of the document? |
| 23 (Shown.) | 23 MR. ROBERTS: The first two pages. Since I'm |
| 24 Q Do you recognize this document, sir? | 24 limited in that I cannot ask Mr. Shubin to draw conclusions. |
| 25 A I do. | 25 Ordinarily I would say, did you review the document, can you |

summarize the document, what is your conclusion on it? I want to read into the record what the evidence is. It is the only way I could do it.

MR. WALLACE: The letter is in the record.
MR. ROBERTS: I know. It will help assist the Judge in understanding what it is that he reviewed and what the facts are that are in evidence.

THE COURT: I will allow the witness to read the first two pages.

MR. ROBERTS: Thank you, sir.
A (Reading:) I have previously provided you with historical documents relating to the conversion of Mar-a-Logo from a private residence to a private club, including the application, minutes of applicable meetings, the Declaration of Use agreement relating to the club, amendments to said agreement and the zoning ordinance which defines private club. These documents shed light on the conditions of approval of the club and the question of whether Trump may reside at Mar-a-Logo subsequent to it having been converted to a private club.

The application for special exception 11-93 was submitted by the Mar-a-Logo Club, Inc. on April 29, 1993, requesting a special exception to convert Mar-a-Logo from a residential use to a private club. The application was filed pursuant to that section of the town zoning code relating to District R-AA, large estate residential which allows "private,

President Trump's residing at the club. The language in the agreement pertaining only to the member's use of the guest suites. Because the Agreement is silent in regard to specific prohibition on Trump residing at the club, the Town should look to its zoning code to determine whether there's any prohibition on former President Trump residing at the Mar-a-Logo. The code prohibits living quarters within the club, except for bonafide employees.

The definition of employee in the town's code provides as follows: Employee means any person generally working on-site for the establishment and includes sole proprietors, partners, limited partners, corporate officers, and the like."

I believe this issue, therefore, hinges primarily on whether former President Trump is a bonafide employee of the club. In that regard, please see the attached letter from John Marion representing former President Trump in the Mar-a-Logo Club, Inc. This letter includes representations relating to former President Trump's residency at Mar-a-Logo, both before and after its conversion to a club, which although of historical significance, are honestly irrelevant, in my opinion, is the representations regarding whether or not former President Trump is a bonafide employee of the club. If he is a bonafide employee of the club, absent a specific restriction prohibiting former President Trump from residing at the club, it appears the zoning code permits him to reside at the club.
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I recommend that the Mayor and Town Council hear presentations in regard to this matter from all interested parties, including, but not limited to, the neighbors to Mar-a-Logo, their representatives, representatives of former President Trump, the Mar-A-Lagos Club and other interested parties, after entertaining all of the relevant presentations, the Town Council should deliberate on this matter and determine what action, if any, should be taken.

I will be here to answer any questions the Mayor and Town Council have in regard to this matter.

MR. ROBERTS: Before I ask the next question, do you want some water?

THE WITNESS: I'm out of wasn't, I'm fine.
(Whereupon, Cheryl-Lee Lorient relieved Deborah Rothrock as the official court reporter.)
(Continued on next page.)
J.Shubin - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Robert
Q. Drawing your attention to the next document in here 429-3.

Is this the letter that is referred to in the town attorney's memo?
A. Yes.
Q. And sir, what is, if any, action did the town of

Palm Beach take at that meeting with regard to this issue?
A. At the action.
Q. Thank you very much.
A. At the meeting itself, the minutes reflect that they took no action. They took no action.
Q. And that does that mean?
A. It means they simply chose not to agree with the position of the neighbors. And I have, actually, reviewed the files of the town of Palm Beach. And there has been no action taken against Mar-a-lago subsequent too that meeting.
Q. And does President Trump, currently, reside at the Mar-a-lago?
A. Yes.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I could have a moment to speak with my colleagues?

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you.
(Pause in proceedings.)
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, this may be a good

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE COURT: Sustains.
MR. ROBERT: No further questions. Thanks, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well done.
MR. WALLACE: Good morning.
THE COURT: I guess there will be cross examination.

MR. WALLACE: Very brief, your Honor. I promise. If we could put back on the screen
Defendant's 478.
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. WALLACE:
Q. You've done such a wonderful job. I just want you to read one more piece of this document into the record. If you could turn to page six of the documents and if you could read the first four sentences at the top of the page.
A. "As to item paren one, it is impractical for a single individual to continuously own Mar-a-lago as a private estate at his or her sole expense.
"When the Post Foundation marketed the property after its return to the foundation, from the US Government, it was almost impossible to sell.
"About 80 qualified buyers, thoroughly screened,
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time to take the morning break and see if we can trim this down.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir.
THE COURT: Perfect timing. I'll see you
all at a quarter to twelve. And I will order the witness not to discuss this case, his testimony or anything related to this during the break.

THE WITNESS: I will not.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(A recess was taken.)
(After the recess the following occurred:)
THE COURT: Let's get the witness back and continue with the direct. Please continue.

MR. ROBERT: As usual, your Honor, the break does wonders in streamlining things. I just have one question left. I already told Mr. Wallace I'll go slow for a second because, I have a funny feeling I know what he's going to do.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. ROBERT:
Q. Mr. Shubin, based on a review of the documents
that we went through this morning, do you have an opinion as to whether Mar-a-lago could be used as a single-family residence?
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inspected Mar-a-lago and elected even against making an offer. H. Ross Perot was one prospect. Although, quote, everything is for sale at a price, end of quote, no one would step forward to make any offer for this so called, quote, white elephant."

MR. WALLACE: We can take that down.
Q. Mr. Shubin, are you being compensated for your testimony today?
A. Yes, I'm.
Q. How much are you being compensated?
A. I believe, for trial time, $\$ 1,595$ an hour.
Q. And for your work outside of trial time, how much are you being compensated?
A. $\$ 1,395$ an hour.
Q. I believe you testified at your deposition that your standard billing rate for South Florida, with no New York, Chicago or Los Angeles component is probably a thousand dollars an hour.

Does that sound right?
A. That sounds right.
Q. And your billing rate could go lower than that depending on the matter; is that correct?
A. Or higher, yes.
Q. It could go as low as 700 per hour?
A. I haven't charged 700 an hour in a long time.

school, correct, sir?
A. Not yet.
Q. Sir, let's talk about your professional career after high school. What, if anything, did you do after high school?
A. Let's see, during high school, I left home and washing dishes was my first job. After that, I employed some people to do work for me putting leaflets on car windshields in parking lots for advertising purposes. I think I was making three times what the principle of my high school was making at the time. I sold that company when I was 17.

And then, I went into another line of work which was furniture manufacturing; made a lot of tables, had my first plane at 19; fifty-four employees, when I sold the company at 20.
Q. What did do you after that Mr. Moens.
A. You know, Thomas Jefferson said the basis of all true wealth is in the land. You can mind it, you can farm it, you can build on it and there's only so much of it. So, I went into real estate.
Q. And what does it mean you went into real estate.
A. I went to work for a very wealthy couple of
families Sam Bronfman and Nate Cummings were my first bosses in a development in Palm Beach, Florida called the Sun \&
L.Moens - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Robert
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Surf.
They converted two buildings that were for rental purposes into condominium apartments. So, I worked for them showing those condominium apartments.
Q. What did do you after that?
A. Opened my own office. Let's see. I started in the late 70 's. I opened my office in ' 82 and went into the general residential real estate business.
Q. Are you a licensed real estate broker, sir?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. When did you become a licensed real estate broker?
A. I think it was about the time I opened the company. I got that license, I believe, in ' 82 .
Q. And sir, what are your duties -- withdraw.

When you started the firm, what did the firm do?
A. Work very hard to try and sell rich people properties in Palm Beach.
Q. And other than selling property in Palm Beach what, if anything, did your company do?
A. Basically, just hustled to make a bunch of sales in the real estate arena of a very small island 13 miles long -- Palm Beach.
Q. And what was the name of the company that you founded in the early 1980's?
A. I couldn't think of another name. So, I just
L.Moens - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Robert
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named it Lawrence A. Moens Associates, Inc.
Q. Sir, is that the company that you work for today?
A. I still work for them.
Q. Okay. Can you describe how things started out at Lawrence A. Moens and how it's progressed over 40 years at this point?
A. Well, it started out as "on a wing and a prayer" and it's done pretty well since then.
Q. Well, when you say it started out as "a wing and a prayer," do you remember when it was you first sold a home as Lawrence Moens Associates?
A. It was in 1982.
Q. Do you happen to remember how much that home sold for?
A. Actually, I do. It was to Irving and Doris

Morris. It was $\mathbf{1 2 7 , 6 0 0}$. And yeah, I sold it four times since.
Q. Since that time.

And sir, has your practice been mostly consisting of real estate in Palm Beach?
A. Mostly.
Q. How would you describe what has happened to the company since 1982 through today?
A. It's grown a bit.
Q. Well, Mr. Moens, I understand its grown a bit.

Tell us in a little more detail, sir.
A. Our sales now are many time exceeding a billion dollars a year in sales.
Q. Sir, since 2020, for 2020, '21, '22 combined, can you approximate how much in residential real estate in Palm Beach you have sold?
A. A lot. Maybe a couple billion dollars.
Q. And sir, to your knowledge, is there anyone in the Palm Beach real estate market that has sold as much real estate as you have?
A. They don't exist.
Q. And sir, are you aware of properties that have
sold for over a hundred million dollars over the last few years in Palm Beach?
A. I am.
Q. How many of them are there or were there?
A. Let's see. There were ten in Palm Beach, one in Manalapan and one in Miami. So there were twelve sales in the entire state of Florida.
Q. And sir, what, if any, involvement did you have in those twelve sales in excess of a hundred million dollars?
A. I sold ten of the twelve.
Q. I'm sorry. I didn't hear that?
A. I sold ten out of the twelve.
Q. And sir, as a licensed real estate broker, do you
give opinions of value?
A. I give opinions of value almost daily.
Q. What is an opinion of value?
A. It's taking a look at something and trying to come up with a valuation based on data or information that's around you.
Q. Are you a MAI appraiser?
A. No, I am not.
Q. Are you licensed to give an appraisal?
A. No, I am not.
Q. What is the distinction between an appraisal and an evaluation?
A. Well, it's semantics. I am asked every week to give an appraisal. I don't know don't always give a lesson that I don't or won't perform an appraisal to someone who just lost her husband and needs it for estate tax purposes.

I know what she means by giving an opinion of value. I know what she means by giving an estimate of a value.

I always direct them to an MAI appraiser; even though I can give her a letter giving her my opinion, I tell her the best thing to do is to hire an appraiser and submit both documents to the proper authorities when there is an opinion of value needed or even an appraisal by an appraiser.
Q. In your experience as a real estate broker, having your own business for the last 40 plus years, have you given opinions of value as to residential real estate in Palm Beach?
A. Thousands of time.
Q. And in those thousands of times, to whom would you be giving those opinions?
A. Usually, the person asking me for my opinion.
Q. I should have expected that answer. Who are the people that asked you for those opinions?
A. It ranges from appraisers, attorneys, rich people, poor people. All kind of people ask me for help and I try and give it if they cross my path.
Q. In your experience why do appraisers, MAI appraisers, ask you for your opinion of value?
A. Well, I'm on the front lines everyday of selling
properties. And I have a pretty good handle as to what's happening, currently, in the market.

Markets don't just go up. They go down. Markets go up and down; have since the beginning of time. Values change --
(Phone rings.)
THE WITNESS: Sorry, Judge. I'll get that off.

THE COURT: That's a first.
(Pause in proceedings.)
THE WITNESS: Sorry about that. I did turn it off, Judge. He's 91. I had to take the call.

THE COURT: Okay. Great.
MR. ROBERT: If I could just have the last question and answer read back.

THE COURT: Read back.
(The testimony as requested was read by the reporter.)

MR. WALLACE: Note my objection to that question. It calls for hearsay.

THE COURT: Which part?
MR. WALLACE: Why do MAI appraisers ask for your opinions of value.

THE COURT: Sustained.
Q. Do you have an understanding, Mr. Moens, as to why MAI appraisers would go to you for a valuation?
A. Because they know they'll get a straight answer and an accurate reading of where the market is.
Q. So when you say a straight answer and an accurate reading, I need to dig down a little, what does that mean?
A. It means, my numbers are, usually, right.
Q. And what do you do to come up with a number or value for a piece of property in Palm Beach?
A. It's everything from getting information on square footage, how much land is involved or how many units in a building -- if it's a multifamily situation -- data from sources -- public sources -- the Palm Beach property appraisers office to verify numbers and 45 years experience in selling billions of dollars worth of real estate.
Q. What is it that you attribute to your 45 years of success selling real estate in Palm Beach?
A. Knowing my left ear from my right elbow.
Q. Well, is there anything more than that?
A. A little luck.
Q. What, if anything, about how you treat your clients?
A. I treat everyone the same.
Q. Well, Mr. Moens, if we were to go to your website, there's no picture of you on the website, right?
A. I prefer not to be photographed.
Q. Well, why is there no picture of you on your website?
A. Because, I don't believe in self-promotion. I don't believe in egos that have to see their name or their face in a newspaper or magazine.
Q. So, notwithstanding the fact that you had ten of the twelve largest transactions in Florida, you're not out in the press that much are you?
L.Moens - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Robert
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A. I don't speak to the media

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, at this point, I would tender Mr. Moens as an expert in the evaluation of Palm Beach real estate.

THE COURT: I think the testimony was ten of the twelve largest sales in Palm Beach, not in all of Florida; is that correct.

THE WITNESS: I think I had nine in Palm
Beach, one in Manalapan Florida -- an exclusive enclave just south of Palm Beach where Larry Ellison bought his house. Yeah, so, nine in Palm Beach.

THE COURT: Nine of the largest twelve or ten or --

THE WITNESS: Well, there's twelve in the state of Florida that exceeded a hundred million dollars.

THE COURT: Oh, okay.
THE WITNESS: Nine were in Palm Beach, one in Manalapan and one in Miami.

THE COURT: And Mr. Robert, exactly, what do you want him to be deemed an expert on?

MR. ROBERT: In being able to value residential real estate or real estate in Palm Beach. That 13-mile island, which is highly specialized and highly particularized, the witness has testified he's

Page 6100
L.Moens - Defendant - Direct/Mr.Robert

1 given valuations thousands of times appraisers call him
because he's --

THE COURT: I just asked you what --
MR. ROBERT: Sorry, your Honor. I guess I've been hanging out with Mr. Kiser too long. I'm sorry, your Honor.

THE COURT: An expert in valuing residential property in Palm Beach?

MR. ROBERT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Moens, have you ever been qualified to testify as an expert before?

THE WITNESS: Not as an expert. Probably, as a fact witness in cases or trials.

THE COURT: And you realize those are different.

THE WITNESS: I realize they are different.
THE COURT: AG, any comments or questions?
MR. WALLACE: I believe Your Honor limited the qualification to residential property. So we certainly agree with that limitation.

I believe Mr. Moens' description of his valuation techniques is what, I believe, is technically called a little squishy. But, I think that's something we can deal with on cross.

MR. ROBERT: To be clear, your Honor, it's
L.Moens - Defendant - Voir Dire/Mr.Wallace
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evaluation of Palm Beach in general, not just residential. His life has been in Palm Beach.

MR. WALLACE: That I disagree with.
THE COURT: I thought we had agreed or you had asked that. I think you said residential.

MR. WALLACE: If I did, it should be the value of Palm Beach real estate. I may have misspoke if I said, "residential." It is value of Palm Beach real estate.

THE COURT: Mr. Moens, do you deal in commercial properties.

THE WITNESS: I do.
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Wallace.
MR. WALLACE: I'd appreciate an opportunity to voir dire him, a little bit, I guess, then on the scope of his expertise beyond residential real estate.

THE COURT: Sure.

## VOIR DIRE

BY MR. WALLACE:
Q. Mr. Moens, you do not have any experience brokering, selling or valuing country clubs; is that correct?
A. I haven't seen any come for sale.
Q. And you don't have any experience brokering, selling or valuing social clubs; is that correct?
A. Never have done.
Q. And you've never sold or acted as a broker in the sale of a private club; is that correct?
A. Don't know of any that have ever come up.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I think the witness should be limited to residential real estate.

MR. ROBERT: Well, your Honor, the issue
here is, he's going to be giving his opinion as to
Mar-a-lago and the surrounding homes to Mar-a-lago that are all owned by President Trump.

THE COURT: Well, you said the surrounding homes. So that means the only arguably nonresidence would be Mar-a-lago itself.

MR. ROBERT: Correct, your Honor. Our position is, obviously, it's a single family residence. That's what Mr. Moens is going to testify about. I just wanted to be clear about that with Mr. Moens.

We're not going to ask him his opinion about an office building in Palm Beach -- not that I think there is one in Palm Beach. But, we're not going to ask that.

THE COURT: We agree on -- whether or not I agree he's an expert yet, but can we agree that the request is that he's an expert evaluator of residential real estate, including Mar-a-lago, whether or not it is

facts so that I can give a clear number. That, combined with all the experience I've had -- because I'm a lot older than you -- and having done this for as many years as I have, it's kind of a formula, which is usually very accurate.

Q And Mr. Moens, what if any objective information do you need to make such an assessment?

A I need everything at my disposal to come up with a valuation.

Q What if anything did you use from the Palm Beach County Property Appraiser's Office?

A Same thing I stated. In her files, when you go in the Property Appraiser's Office, it usually gives number of dwellings. It will say how many square feet. It will say approximately, or it will state a number, whether it's right or wrong, what the number of acres is, or square feet of land.

All of those technical things do have something to do with the value. You have to know what you're valuing and how big it is. Not just the dwelling, but also the land. It's whether or not it's on the water. If it's not on the water; if it is on the water. If it's in the estate section; not in the estate section. There's -- location has a lot to do with it, but facts, real numbers and all the facts, aren't always right. Even when I look at different reports, or the property appraiser, sometimes field officers get things wrong. There are mistakes.
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Q With regard to the tax assessor, what if anything does the assessment in taxable value have to do with the selling price of the property?

A Absolutely none.
Q With regard to the Mar-A-Lago valuation, that was one of the properties you valued; correct, sir?

A Yes, it is.
$Q$ And have you visited the property?
A Many times, since the 1980s.
Q And this is as good a time as any. How, if at all, or did you ever, meet President Trump?

A I was asked to meet him by Larry Fisher -- God rest his soul. He was a nice guy. One of the first billionaires in America -- to help guide him on a property that he was doing in West Palm Beach, Florida, and give him an opinion.

Q And when was that, sir?
A I would say somewhere in the late 1980s.
Q And how would you describe your relationship with President Trump, from that point in time to now?

A Cordial.
Q And how is it that you came to be an expert for us in this case?

A President Trump was at Mar-A-Lago, and we bumped into each other. I hadn't seen him in quite some time, and he said, Can I ask you if you would help me with something? I've got an
3
issue in New York that I'm dealing with. I would like to see if you could render an opinion for my attorneys, and that's first time I heard the name Chris Kise. And he asked if it will be all right for Chris to call me, and I said it would be.

Q And what, if any -- what was the specific assignment that Mr. Kise gave you?

A Well, Mr. Kise asked me to take a look at properties which are the subject of this trial, I guess. In Palm Beach, not the other properties that are subject to this. But, I looked at the four properties in Palm Beach, and he offered me an amount of money per hour. I think it was 975, I think it was, per hour, to take a look at and give an opinion of the values of those four properties.

Q So, let's starts with the Mar-A-Lago property, sir. You already testified that the first thing you did is you went and you got square footage and acreage; correct?

A Correct.
Q What if anything did you do next?
A I looked at anything. I've got a great office
manager, highest paid, probably, in Palm Beach. Very good at what she does. She went through all of our files. She pulled records. We did properties in the area. We did comparable sales. We looked at the facts that I told you earlier from the courthouse. We looked at, even, Les Evans' report, which is no longer published, but at the time of the years 2011 to 2021, I
think, they were published.
So, anything that was out there that I could tap into to come up with a real number, including my own experience and gut feeling correlating that information.

Q Before we talk about your experience and your gut feeling, what is the Evans report?

A It's no longer published, but it's an attorney in Palm Beach that used to report sales and their numbers and their frequency, and some of the information in there was wrong. I would know that -- he would have a recorded price on a property at, you know, 63 million, and it actually sold for 67 million. The reason there's a discrepancy is sometimes properties sell, and they are legally allowed to take things off, like furnishings, or artwork, or greedy brokers' fees. They're able to deduct certain expenses from the number, so that the recorded number is less than the actual transfer and consideration of money.

Q What else do you use to determine comparables sales?
A We try and get as close as we can to similar
properties in the area. When they sold, what was involved. You have additions and subtractions for additional reasons, based on their location, size, the proximity of the property, and it's kind of a recipe to come up with a final number.

Q What if anything does uniqueness of a property have to do, in determining value?

MR. WALLACE: I'll just note my objection, your Honor. It's a marketing video. It's got swelling sound tracks and crane shots and drone shots. It is not, I believe, relevant, and I'm not sure if it's qualifying as a demonstrative of the nature of the property, or if it's -how it's supposed to be entered into evidence.
Q Mr. Moens, that was produced for this testimony; it's not a marketing --

A It was, absolutely. I would never use that as marketing.

Q Well, how do you market --
MR. ROBERT: Withdrawn.
Q What is the typical client that you deal with, or who is the typical client that you deal with?

A They're usually very wealthy people that come to Palm Beach because so it's damn expensive to live there.

Q And would a marketing -- would the video, that Mr. Wallace is suggesting that this is a marketing video, be a way that someone like you would sell a home like this?

A I don't use videos to market my properties.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I would like to show the
Court Exhibit 1063, which is the video.
THE COURT: Objection overruled. Let's see it. MR. ROBERT: Let's hope the technology works. It's all on you.
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was turned into a club, and it's quite amazing to see, if you haven't seen it.

I invited the Attorney General's Office to come see it anytime. That offer stands, if you ever want to go in there. I'll make sure he is not there when you come, but I'll get you in there to see it, because it's something breathtaking. It's something amazing to see.

Q You mentioned a video. Mr. Moens, did you prepare a video for your testimony here today?

A I did. I thought it would be good for the judge, or --

Q And what does that video depict?
A It just gives you a flavor. It's very short. It's only seven and a half minutes, or less, and it just gives you an overview of what we are talking about with regard to that structure.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I would like to put, on the board, a video, Defendant's Exhibit 1063, which is the video that Mr. Moens prepared of Mar-A-Lago. Instead of having a site visit, which I don't think is practicable, to take the Court and everyone else to Mar-A-Lago, we figured it's the simplest way to do it, and then Mr. Moens will explain after, what it is we're seeing.

THE COURT: If it gets any colder outside, I'll take him up on the offer.
L. Moens - Direct by Mr. Robert
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(Whereupon, a video was played.)
A I'm sorry. The flag was at half mast in honor of the first lady, who had just recently passed away when we did this. Roslyn Carter.

MR. WALLACE: Who was not a member of Mar-A-Lago, I believe.

MR. ROBERT: I believe so.
Q Mr. Moens, obviously that was an impressive presentation in the video. What, if anything of great significant -- and I'll not use the word significance -- great significant, in terms of your opinion of value, would you like to specifically draw our attention to.

MR. ROBERT: Without looking at it again, your Honor.
Q Just certain specific things, Mr. Moens.
A Well, if I narrated it, I would have pointed out things like the hand-laid stones in the huge courtyard to the west of the main facade of the house. Each one was laid by an individual person, from Marjorie Post, when the house, or the castle, was built.

The winged ceilings -- it's called the room of a thousand wings -- has actual gold applied to it. At the time, millions of dollars worth of gold were used. I don't know what it would be today, but it would be far -- it would cost many times what it did then, in 1927, completed in ' 28 , to try and
replicate what's in that house.
Hand-carved stone. There were workmen there for years just doing trades, from all over the world, carving and creating what Mar-A-Lago is today. That's why I encouraged the Attorney General to come and see it, do a field view of the property, to understand what it is. That was just a seven-minute snippet of what is there. I think it's important to know that it's different from other residential, or clubs, whatever label you put on it, that exist in the world. It's unto itself. One of the most important properties.

You have got Mar-A-Lago. You have got San Simeon in California, the Hearst castle. Harold Vanderbilt's house in North Carolina. These are castles, sometimes turned in museums or clubs, but they were residences lived in by the original families, and other families that occupied, after the original family either didn't want the property anymore, or they died.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, at this point we move
Defendant's Exhibit 1063 into evidence.
THE COURT: Granted. It's in.
(Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 1063 was received in evidence.)
Q Mr. Moens, before we talk about the actual value, there came a point in time that you placed a valuation on Mar-A-Lago; correct?

A Yes.
L. Moens - Direct by Mr. Robert
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Q And that was for the period of 2011 through 2021; correct?

A I did those years, yes. Ten years.
Q We spoke about the objective things that you looked at. What else did you add to the mix, if anything, in coming up to the value?

A Well, it's just comparing it to properties of great importance that sell in the town that I have my business, and surrounding towns like Jupiter Island, Manalapan, but primarily Palm Beach, Florida. I came up with my numbers by using all the information at my fingertips, and 45 years of doing this business in the Palm Beach market.

Q Is there an analogy that you like to use that explains how you interject your 45 years of experience into these objective numbers?

A I don't understand.
Q Okay.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I would like to put, on the board, demonstrative Exhibit DD6.

THE COURT: Which is?
MR. ROBERT: We are going to hand it up. It's a chart from Mr. Moens' report that has his valuation. It's just going to be easier to take him through.

THE COURT: So, this is going to be his basic bottom line?

MR. ROBERT: Correct.
(Whereupon, a document was handed to the Court and the witness.)

THE COURT: I'm going to ask that the witness be excused for a minute. I did to discuss and address certain issues.

We'll see you back soon.
THE WITNESS: Oh. You want me to leave? Sorry. I didn't know I was being ejected.

THE COURT: We have an interrogation room in the back. We will work you over.

THE WITNESS: I hope it's sound proof.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused from the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Something that I wrestled with, this whole case, is what exactly is the Attorney General basing its case on, in this. What is the real claim, here. And I've tried to make clear in my own mind, and in the summary judgment motion, I don't see this case as -- you ask the person on the street, what's the case about. They over-valued the property. I see this case about the documents, whether the defendants used false documents in transacting business, which is what 6312 is basically about. And the other six causes of action, one of them is false documents, insurance fraud, I think somewhat similar.
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So, I'll allow Mr. Moens' testimony, either as a demonstrative or orally, what he thinks Mar-A-Lago is worth, but that's not the bottom issue here for me, and in fact I said, and maybe in a footnote in the summary judgment motion, I'm not trying to figure out what the value is. I'm trying to figure out whether or not the defendants violated 6312 by using false documents in the transaction of business.

So, I'll let it in, with the understanding that I don't necessarily even consider it relevant. But again, sort of taking on a cue from Mr. Kise, on appeal, maybe the Appellate Division or the higher courts will think, Well, no, it is relevant, so we wanted the testimony.

MR. ROBERT: And I know better than to say anything when it's coming in, so I will keep my mouth shut.

THE COURT: Plaintiff, any comments, agreement, disagreement, anything you want to say?

MR. WALLACE: No, I certainly agree, it's irrelevant. I do think that our case is based on the use of false information and the preparation the valuations that went into the statement. We have not ever taken the position that because something is objectively wrong, or that, you know, you need to have a certain appraisal or certain piece. It is based on the information that existed, that was available to the defendants at the time

```
    they were preparing these, and the choices they made in how
    they undertook to present the values.
    So, I agree. We are not presenting -- I think
    this is actually going to be the only witness who is
    valuing a property so far, in the entire case, who is
    offering sort of any independent estimate of value for any
    individual property, and that's because I don't think it's
    really relevant to the issue, as well.
THE COURT: Did I say anything with which you disagree?
MR. WALLACE: No, your Honor.
THE COURT: You don't have to say no.
MR. WALLACE: I can go back and look, but why don't I do that over lunch.
THE COURT: And Mr. Kise, what's your philosophy here?
MR. KISE: Well, my philosophy is, it's coming in, so I'm not going to say much, but I will say that you said a lot that I don't agree with, but we'll deal with that at another time.
THE COURT: A lot that you disagree.
MR. KISE: I disagree, but that's for --
THE COURT: Another time.
MR. KISE: Another time. It's coming in.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the witness and see
to the witness.)
A Ido.
Q And do you recognize these numbers?
A Yes. I prepared them.
Q Okay. And where did you prepare them?
A In my office, with my office manager and bookkeeper and receptionist.

Q Are these the numbers that you determined to be THE value of Mar-A-Lago for particular years?

A Yes, they are.
Q So, looking to 2011, what was the value that you placed on Mar-A-Lago in 2011, as a single -MR. ROBERT: Withdrawn.
Q What is this value based on?
A What I could get for it in the open market in that year, 2011, if he would hire me to sell it. Which, he did not.

Q And for what would it be, as a single-family house, something else? As what?

A As a club, as a single-family residence. As what it exists in its present form today, or in 2011.

Q And what was the value you placed on it in 2011, sir?
A \(\$ 655\) million.
Q And what is the comment to the right that says, Moens value, with memberships? Explain that, sir.

A Well, I just wanted the Court to understand that
L. Moens - Direct by Mr. Robert
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the demonstrative.
MR. KISE: Thank you.
I was just going to say, it may be, now that I've seen where we are, if we take our lunch break now, we can truncate. If I confer with Mr. Robert, we can probably -THE COURT: Let's keep going. We have got -MR. KISE: That's fine.
(Whereupon, the witness resumed the witness stand.)

THE COURT: I appreciate the offer.
MR. ROBERT: What time do you want to stop? So I keep --

THE COURT: About five to 1:00.
MR. ROBERT: Sure.
THE COURT: So, we have got ten minutes.
THE WITNESS: Can we finish me in ten?
THE COURT: He could finish you in ten, but I don't think they could.

MR. ROBERT: Even I couldn't finish him in ten. Maybe twelve, but not ten.
CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. ROBERT:

Q Mr. Moens, you have in front of you what's been marked as Defendant's Demonstrative Exhibit DD6. Do you see that?
(Whereupon, a document was displayed, and handed
there's an underlying additional thing to consider here. I'm looking at bricks and mortar. I'm looking at what it is, where it is, when I come up with my value.

In addition to my value, because it allows to be a club and has memberships that are available, there's additional monies or revenue that can be derived from selling those memberships, if one chose to sell the memberships. As Mar-A-Lago, in 2011, they were getting an average of 100,000 for a membership. Each year, it bounces around, but I was able to obtain the numbers from the club manager, Bernd Lembcke, who was able to give me the numbers of what the club collected for memberships, during the ten years that I was asked to do this analysis.
(Continued on the next page.)
Q. And what was the value you placed on the Mar-a-lago sale with membership in 2011?
A. \(\$ 705\) million.
Q. And Mr. Moens, on the left, next to the year, it says, "SOFC value" what's that?
A. I'm not really good with acronyms but, I think it's Statement of Financial Condition or something.
Q. What is the number that's listed underneath that

Statement of Financial Condition value?
A. \(\$ 426,529,614\).
Q. And moving to 2012, Mr. Moens, what was the value that you placed on Mar-a-lago without memberships?
A. \(\$ 675\) million.
Q. And the value you placed on it with memberships in 2012?
A. \(\$ 725\) million.
Q. In 2013, the value you placed on it without memberships?
A. \(\$ 660\) million.
Q. And in 2013, the value you placed on it with memberships?

\section*{A. \(\$ 697,500,000\).}

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I just want to take this opportunity to interpose objection on the membership amounts.

\section*{L.Moens - Defendants - Direct/Mr. Robert}

The source for this these amounts that came from the club manager was not disclosed in his report. I don't know that it is material but, I just wanted to note that this is -- the source of this data was not disclosed as the source of material reviewed.

MR. ROBERT: I believe the question was asked at his deposition.

THE COURT: Well, the exhibit is in Evidence. And I will decide how to treat it.
Q. So did I have an answer as to what the value of the membership was in 2013.
A. I'm sorry, which value?
Q. With memberships in 2013.
A. Six hundred ninety seven million five hundred thousand dollars.
Q. In 2014 what was the value without memberships?
A. \(\$ 685\) million.
Q. And the value with memberships in 2014?
A. \(\$ 735\) million.
Q. The value in 2015 without memberships?
A. \(\$ 720\) million.
Q. And the value with memberships in 2015?
A. 770 million.
Q. In 2016, Mr. Moens, your value without memberships?
A. \(\$ 760\) million.
Q. And your value with memberships in 2016 ?
A. \(\$ 835\) million.
Q. And in 2017, your value without memberships?
A. \(\$ 790\) million.
Q. And in 2017, your value with memberships?
A. \(\$ 890\) million.
Q. And in 2018, Mr. Moens, your value without memberships?
A. \(\$ 825\) million.
Q. And in 2018, your value with memberships?
A. \(\$ 950\) million.
Q. And Mr. Moens, in 2019, your value without memberships?
A. \(\$ 865\) million.
Q. And your value with memberships in 2019.
A. \(\$ 990\) million.
Q. And Mr. Moens, in 2020, what was the value placed on Mar-a-lago without memberships for 2020?
A. \(\$ 950\) million.
Q. And in 2020, the value you placed on Mar-a-lago with memberships?
A. One billion seventy five million dollars.
Q. Mr. Moens, for 2012, what was the value you placed on Mar-a-lago?

THE COURT: You don't mean 2012.
MR. ROBERT: I'm sorry, your Honor. Forgive me.
Q. Mr. Moens, in 2021, what was the value you placed on Mar-a-lago without memberships?
A. One billion forty million dollars.
Q. And the value you placed on Mar-a-lago for 2021 with memberships?
A. \(\$ 1,215,000,000\).

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I think this may be a good time to break for lunch.

THE COURT: That's a deal. I'm hungry. See you all at 2:15 then.

MS. FAHERTY: Can we get an admonition, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
THE COURT: Do not discuss this case, your testimony or anything related to it during the lunch break.

THE WITNESS: I'm honoring your wish. THE COURT: Thank you.
(A luncheon recess was taken.)
(After the luncheon recess, the following occurred:)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
AFTERNOON SESSION. \\
(The trial continued.)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 3 & THE COURT: Welcome back. First order of \\
\hline 4 & business is to discuss schedule. Who's going to take \\
\hline 5 & the lead on that? \\
\hline 6 & MR. KISE: Okay. \\
\hline 7 & MR. ROBERT: To try to streamline things, we \\
\hline 8 & have decided not to call Eric Trump. We're pleased \\
\hline 9 & with his earlier testimony. \\
\hline 10 & THE COURT: Do you have any other witness? \\
\hline 11 & MR. KISE: We have Professor Bartov and \\
\hline 12 & President Trump who are the two remaining witnesses. \\
\hline 13 & Professor Bartov will be Thursday. He \\
\hline 14 & teaches tomorrow. We tried to move him up. He teaches \\
\hline 15 & at NYU. \\
\hline 16 & I'm not sure, shouldn't they be out of school \\
\hline 17 & by now? It is December 11th. It's next week. It's \\
\hline 18 & not Florida. Apparently, not. But yes, we tried to \\
\hline 19 & move him up but, apparently not. \\
\hline 20 & And then, we have one -- we have several \\
\hline 21 & documents that -- they are just corporate documents -- \\
\hline 22 & that we can present to the government. And, if they \\
\hline 23 & will agree to just admit them, fine. If they won't, \\
\hline 24 & then we can bring a witness in to admit the documents. \\
\hline 25 & But that would be the only other witness. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
on what we hear from Mr. Bartov if we call a rebuttal expert on accounting to respond to Mr. Flemmons and to Mr. Bartov. And beyond that, I don't know if we have -- oh, Kevin Sneddon would be the other potential witness we would call, who is a fifteen-minute witness from our perspective.

THE COURT: Okay. And no comments on the schedule?

MR. WALLACE: At this point, we're almost to the end. Let's just get there.

MR. KISE: And to the extent they call -again, as Mr. Wallace said, we don't know what their accounting witness is going to say but, to the extent they call an accounting witness, that requires us then to bring Mr. Bartov and Mr. Flemmons back, we would do that.

Depending on what they're proffering this witness for -- it may be that they're -- it really depends on what they're proffering the witness for -certainly, our position is going to be that they can't bring in a witness now to testify to things that they, certainly, could have done in their case and chief. But, we don't know because we don't know exactly what the proffer of the testimony is.

But we can't have -- Mr. Flemmons and

And again, if they won't do it by stipulation, we can bring the witness in Thursday morning. It would take fifteen minutes -- if that. But that's the current plan, your Honor.

THE COURT: Plaintiff, in response?
MR. WALLACE: Well, the devil is in the details of the document. But, so far, we have been able to agree.

I think we would want to see what they are proposing. It's not our case. So, beyond that, I don't think -- if the proposal is workout some stipulation on documents or spend fifteen minutes with everyone coming to court to deal with that, I think that would not be our preference. Happy to see what the defendants have and are proposing.

And another option is always if people offer affidavits or lay a foundation for a document. Why don't we just see what the defendants have.

MR. KISE: Sure.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, at this point, if the prosecution could let us know if they have a rebuttal case and who they will call so that we can prepare because, that would start Tuesday morning presumably.

MR. WALLACE: I think it depends, somewhat,
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Professor Bartov aren't going to testify about what their expert said necessarily directly because, that's not in the trial record. The only way they would have an opportunity to do that is if they called that witness and then we would have an actual rebuttal.

THE COURT: Okay. So we're down tomorrow; is that \(i t ?\)

MR. KISE: I believe that's correct.
MR. ROBERT: I'm not going to be long with the witness this afternoon. I don't think the government is going to be long.

MR. WALLACE: We're not going to be long either.

THE COURT: I will turn the microphone over to the person who's sitting next to me.

MS. GREENFIELD: We're going to be down tomorrow. Bartov is going to start Thursday morning?

MR. ROBERT: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that?
MR. KISE: Yes, Professor Bartov is starting Thursday morning.

MS. GREENFIELD: And is he expected to go into Friday or is that going to be one day.

MR. KISE: We're certainly going to take a good amount of Thursday not the whole day Thursday I would anticipate. It depends on how much cross
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & examination the government has. But I'm hoping it \\
\hline 2 & doesn't spill over to Friday. But, that's certainly a \\
\hline 3 & distinct possibility. \\
\hline 4 & MS. GREENFIELD: So if it does not spill \\
\hline 5 & into Friday, do you anticipate Friday being another \\
\hline 6 & down day? \\
\hline 7 & MR. KISE: It will be because, the only \\
\hline 8 & other remaining witness would be President Trump. And \\
\hline 9 & I have one other issue on that. \\
\hline 10 & MS. GREENFIELD: There's no other \\
\hline 11 & administrative tasks that can be done, that needs to be \\
\hline 12 & done? \\
\hline 13 & MR. KISE: Not that I'm aware of. \\
\hline 14 & THE COURT: Okay. We're all set. \\
\hline 15 & MR. KISE: As to President Trump, given, as \\
\hline 16 & the Court may be aware of, that we're in the process of \\
\hline 17 & seeking expedited review, by the New York Court \\
\hline 18 & Appeals, as to the gag order issues, we would ask the \\
\hline 19 & Court to postpone his testimony until after that is \\
\hline 20 & resolved. Because, at this point, he's not capable of \\
\hline 21 & fully testifying because, he's going to be subject to a \\
\hline 22 & gag order. \\
\hline 23 & So, we would ask the Court to put off his \\
\hline 24 & Monday testimony until such time as the New York Court \\
\hline 25 & of Appeals resolves, one way or the other, the gag \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
A. I do.
Q. Sir, were those opinions of value with a degree of certainty?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Mr. Moens, what went into the factors in coming up with these values?
A. Well, several factors. It's factual evidence or prima facie evidence that exists in the world to come up with what we're talking about in terms of size, not only of the land but of the structures, you know, where the economic conditions are of the market at that time that I'm asked the question. It may change, from year to year, as markets change.

Forty-five years that I've been doing this business, you develop after, over ten billion in sales, you start developing a feel for pricing if you're doing it everyday pretty much seven days a week for that many years.

So, it's an analysis, it's a gut feeling, it's factual evidence. It's like a recipe. It's, like, putting a cake together. You need the milk, the flour, the chocolate, the eggs. I make the best chocolate cake in the world by the way. It's amazing.

But, to do that cake or make that recipe work, you have to have everything. And it's not an exacting science. You have to have a feel for it. You have to know, "A little

\section*{order.}

Because, we don't think that a civil defendant should be called to the witness stand in his own case, under any, any limitations as to what he can testify about.

THE COURT: Plaintiff?
MR. WALLACE: Absolutely, not.
THE COURT: Absolutely, not. No way. No
how. Nonstarter. Not allowing that. If he's going to testify, it will be Monday. And, that's that.

MR. KISE: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: But, you tried. I gave it to
you. You could tell. Let's get the witness back?
MR. ROBERT: Yes, Judge.
May I proceed, your Honor?
THE COURT: Please do.
MR. ROBERT: If we can call back on the screen demonstrative KD-61.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. ROBERT:
Q. Mr. Moens, before the lunch break, I had taken you through this and you had set forth, for the Court, your value both with and without memberships for Mar-a-lago from 2011 to 2021.

Do you remember that?

1 pinch of this, a little coffee instead, sneak a little something else in, vanilla" things that aren't on a recipe.

So, what \(I\) do is a little different from other people. I've been doing this for a long time. It seems to work the way I'm doing it. So I'm going to keep baking cakes the way I do.
Q. What are those things that are the ingredients in the cake, if you will, for real estate valuations such as for Mar-a-lago and other properties?
A. What I said before. It's square footage, it's quality of construction. It's, with Mar-a-lago, you've gold gilded ceilings that you saw in the video, hand carved cherubs on the doors. There are, you know, dozens and dozens of these hand carved his cherubs that are attached to each door in the living room.

A single person hand carved each one of those pieces. They don't do that anymore today. Hand-painted tiles, the carved stone outside.

There were individuals that were carving the stone out of blocks and turning it into the beauty that you saw in the video. I'd encourage you to see the video again to see some of the detail in it and not just watch a short film. My first short film. I hope it's an Academy Award winner but, I don't know that I'm a film maker. But, I tried to give you a snippet of Mar-a-lago. I tried to get you there
since we're not there we're in New York.
Q. You mentioned earlier something about the estate section. Is that where Mar-a-lago is located?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. What is the estate section of Palm Beach?
A. Well, it's known as the properties that exists
between Worth Avenue and, probably, Mar-a-lago at Southern Boulevard.

It drifts a little bit south a couple blocks
south; assembled over, probably, nine years; over six hundred million dollars worth of land for one family.

And that's just the land. We're not talking about structures. Now, they're going to build for hundreds of millions of dollars a structure and have another billion dollar house that would exist in Palm Beach. It has yet to be created but, it took years and years to put together that many properties. I think it was 13.
Q. How big is the acreage of that \(\$ 600\) million property?
A. Over twenty.
Q. And is that in the estate section?
A. Well, it's in the -- it's in the estate section
but, it's the south of the southern estate section. So they say, its just south of the estate section.
Q. And what if any impact does the beach front have
A. I started in 1977. I started my own label company in 1982.
Q. In 1993, were you, actively, involved in the sale of real estate in Palm Beach?
A. Very much so.
Q. If we could draw your attention to 478-6.

Mr. Wallace asked the last witness to comment on something. I would like to show it to you. Okay.

I'm taking the Judge's advise. It's the first sentence that goes almost two lines. And it says, "As to item one, it is impractical for a single individual to, continuously, own Mar-a-lago as a private estate at his or her sole expense."

Do you see is that, sir?
A. I see it very clearly.
Q. Is that something you would have agreed was accurate back in 1993?
A. Absolutely.
Q. And is that something you agree is accurate today?
A. Not at all.
Q. Why?
A. When this was done there weren't -- there wasn't
the wealth that there is today in America. You have a lot of entrepreneurs, a lot of very wealthy multi, multi, billionaires that can afford to buy expensive housing.
on value of Mar-a-lago?
A. Well, beachfront, generally, is hard to get
anymore in Palm Beach. I fortunately have a house on the beach and bought it 21 years ago. Thank God I bought it because, I wouldn't be able to afford it in today dollars.
Q. Do you know how much beachfront area Mar-a-lago has?
A. Mar-a-lago has 365 feet on the ocean. I think it's similar on the lake. But, it might be a little different.

MR. ROBERT: Before we leave Mar-a-lago and go to the other properties, I'd like to show the witness Defendant's Exhibit 4782 in evidence.
Q. And I draw your attention, specifically, to page three -- actually, let's start at the first page for a minute or the second page actually.

Do you recognize this document, Mr. Moens?
A. I do.
Q. And what is this document?
A. Well, it's regarding the club. It's special
exception use and preservation plan.
Q. What is the year of this document?
A. I think '93, March 12 of '93.
Q. And just to orient ourselves, Lawrence Moens \&

Associates started selling Palm Beach real estate in ' 82.

Before just a few years ago, there were no homes for over a hundred million dollars. They didn't exist. They do now.

The wealth isn't, you know, a hundred million dollars anymore. I still think that's a lot of money. But now you're talking billion. And then, you're talking billion of billion. Then you talk about net worth of guys that have over a hundred billion dollars which to me is staggering. It's incredible.

If I had the right properties, like a Mar-a-lago or something of its caliber, I would have people that would want to obtain it. The problem is, it's hard to get properties like that. They don't really often come up.

They usually come up through attrition. People die. People change their mind or move to a different part of the world. But, they don't often come up.
Q. In addition to Mar-a-lago, were you asked to value 1094 South Ocean Boulevard?
A. I was.
Q. What is 1094 South Ocean Boulevard.
A. Single family home. Located just north of Mar-a-lago.
Q. And do you know how big a parcel that is?
A. I don't have the facts in front of me. But, I can
look at my notes and tell you it's probably three quarters

of an acre or less.
Q. And sir -- let's put on DT the defendant's demonstrative Exhibit DD6-2.

And just before we go into this, Mr. Moens, what would it take to recreate a Mar-a-lago today?

MR. WALLACE: Objection.
THE COURT: On the ground.
MR. WALLACE: It goes beyond the scope of
his expertise or his opinions or testimony in this

THE COURT: Well, he's been there. He's
filmed it. I will let him say in layperson's terms what it would take to recreate it.
A. May I answer?
Q. Yes. The Judge said yes.
A. I just want to make sure. I don't want to overstep.

THE COURT: Overruled.
A. Your Honor, I build homes from the ground up. I'm a developer not just a real estate broker. I know what costs are in terms of building homes or renovating homes -which I do more than I do -- I try and save landmark homes.

But to do Mar-a-lago, its almost unimaginable to think of how to get the people that would even know how to carve the stone or get the labor to hand lay each stone into
a mosaic pattern in the floor.
It can be done if you can find it I'm sure. If you can afford to pay for it. Building costs in Palm Beach range anywhere from \(\$ 900\) to probably -- one of my clients is spending 3200 a foot.

But, the norm, the average, is probably in the twelve to \(\$ 1400\) square foot range for the average house. Mar-a-lago would not be considered -- especially if you saw the video -- an average house.

There's tapestry and carved doors and carved floors and gold gilded -- with real gold -- ceilings and things that would be difficult but, not impossible, to recreate.

If you were to try and recreate it, the way it is today, and get as close as you could, I would imagine the number per foot would be into the seven, eight, 9,000 a square foot range.
Q. As a practical matter, is it even possible to recreate that today?
A. I'm not sure I know the answer to that question.

I think you could try and get close. I don't know if you could do it exactly because, some of the technology, the old world technology, labor, to do it, the knowledge of how to do it is kind of a lost art. I don't know that you could.
Q. Drawing your attention to --

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, those answers I'm going to note, again, my objection that they are bond the scope of his opinion. And I don't know that it is anymore relevant than any of the rest of this. It is well beyond anything that was disclosed as part of his opinion.

THE COURT: Well beyond the scope of anything disclosed in --
MR. WALLACE: In his report.
THE COURT: Doesn't matter one way or the other. I saw the video. I wasn't born yesterday. I know how difficult it is to get carved stone, et cetera. Let's just leave it in. Objection noted and overruled.
Q. Drawing your attention, Mr. Moens, to demonstrative Exhibit DD6-2 for 1094 South Ocean Boulevard. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Was one of the tasks that you were asked to place a value on this property as well?
A. It was.
Q. And sir, did you, in fact, place a value on this property?
A. I did.
Q. And without having to go through everything you
went through before -- and I see the Judge agreeing with me -- the methodology that you described in how you came to values for Mar-a-lago, would that be consistent, the same, for 1094 South Ocean Boulevard, 124 Woodbridge and 1125 South Ocean Boulevard?
A. It is with the exception of Mar-a-lago is a special entity that took a lot more effort, time and hours because, the others were much easier to formulate numbers with.
Q. Understood. But, the same Moens' formula, if you will, is used for all of them, correct?
A. It's always the same when I make my cake.
Q. Okay. Drawing your attention to 2011 for 1094

South Ocean Boulevard. Do you have see that?
What was the Moens value for that year for this property sir?
A. \(9,700,000\).
Q. What does this in this chart or -- withdrawn.

Was there an additional value you gave for each year 2011 to 2021?
A. There were different values.
Q. When you talk about Moens value with memberships, what does that mean?
A. I just did the same thing I did with the

Mar-a-lago that we went through earlier in adding that years



A 1125 South Ocean Boulevard.
MR. ROBERT: Okay. You can take that down and put back up DD6-4.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed and handed to the witness.)
Q And this is, in fact, the property that we were just speaking of, and I just showed you in the photographs in Defendant's Exhibit 1019-23; correct?

A That would be correct.
Q Okay. And the same methods for value you used for this property as you did for all the others; correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay. And for 2017 --
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor was ahead of me. Listed
for sale. Thank you, your Honor.
Q And you explained what that meant. What was the Moens value that you put on that property, sir?

A In 2017?
Q Yes, sir?
A 19,250,000.
Q And that was without membership; correct?
A I'm sorry.
Q That was without a membership correct?
A That was without a membership.
Q And what was the value with membership for 2017, sir?
proceedings.)
MR. ROBERT: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay.
Q Mr. Moens, you spoke a little while ago about the collection of parcels that you put together that were south of where Mar-A-Lago is. Do you remember that?

A I remember speaking about that with you.
Q And that was south of the estate sections; correct?
A It's two blocks south of Mar-A-Lago.
Q And was that a piece -- how many years did it take you to put those pieces together?

A It took little over nine years.
Q And what, again, was the price for just the land?
A In excess of \(\$ 600\) million.
Q Okay.
MR. ROBERT: I'm going to put, on the board, a demonstrative. What is the number of it? DD7?
(Whereupon, a document was displayed and handed to the witness.)
Q Do you see this, Mr. Moens?
A Ido.
Q What do you recognize this to be?
A Bunch of land. Bunch of houses.
Q Okay. Fair point. Does this map demonstrate the parcel that you were just speaking of here, of those parcels you
L. Moens - Direct by Mr. Robert
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A Slightly higher, at \(19,450,000\).
Q And in 2018, what was the Moens value of 1125 South
Ocean Boulevard?
A 24,500,000.
Q And the Moens value with membership?
A 24,750,000.
Q And in 2019, the Moens value without membership?
A \(\$ 29,300,000\).
Q And the Moens value with membership, for 2019?
A \(20-29,550,000\).
Q And in 2020, Mr. Moens, what was your value for the property, without memberships?

A \(\$ 35\) million.
Q And the value with memberships?
A 35,250,000.
Q And in 2021, Mr. Moens, the value that you placed on that property?

A 42,500,000.
Q And the value with memberships for that year?
A 42,850,000.
I was low. I got an offer. Much higher.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, if I can have a moment to speak with my colleagues, please?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the
put together for that person, south of Mar-A-Lago?
A Yes.
Q Is that what's reflected in just the red, or the whole thing in the picture?

A Well, it's not the whole thing in the picture. It's most of the picture.

Q Okay. And is that a parcel that goes from Intracoastal to ocean?

A In the assemblage, there were properties that were direct ocean. There were properties that were direct lakefront. There was one property, not pictured here to the south -- I don't know if you can move the picture. It's further down, toward the bottom, that had -- there you go.

So, if you look at the word boulevard, or the abbreviation of the word boulevard, below that there's an estate with a tile roofed, and across from that there's a vacant parcel on the Intracoastal. That house is still there, on that, and that vacant parcel looks like the picture. That was owned by one person, so it was two actual sales in one. So, it was one family, two sales. So, that was the only one that you could say could have been called ocean to lake, but it was two separate parcel numbers. So, it was really two separate properties, but they were contiguous. If I'm answering that accurately.

Q In the estate section of Palm Beach, where Mar-A-Lago is located, how many properties are there that go from -- you

\section*{call it lake?}

A Well, the Intracoastal waterway is also known, synonymously, as the lake.

Q So in the estate section of Palm Beach, how many properties are there that go from lake to ocean?

A Two.
Q And what are those two properties?
A Mar-A-Lago, and one that's owned by a gentlemen by the name of William Koch.

Q And how big is Mr. Koch's property, if you know?
A It's probably four plus, or minus acres.
Q So the only two properties in the estate section of Palm Beach that go from lake to ocean are Mr. Koch's 14 acres and Mar-A-Lago's 17-plus acres. Is that fair?

A That would be correct.
Q Finally, Mr. Moens, throughout the course of this case, at your deposition, you had -- you were quoted as having said you were a dreamer. Do you remember that?

A You may say I'm a dreamer, but I'm not the only one.
No, you have -- it's true. I mean, you have the likes of great Americans like Thomas Jefferson, or John Fitzgerald Kennedy, or Martin Luther King, or any of these people. Donald J. Trump. He is a dreamer, for sure. If you have a dreamer, and a great American, I don't think that's a bad thing. You can call me a dreamer, any time.
L. Moens - Cross by Mr. Wallace
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MR. ROBERT: I have no further questions for this witness. Thank you.

MR. WALLACE: Just want to note, on the record, an objection on the last demonstrative. That was actually one of the requests. That was not disclosed last night. I understand the defendants just posted that at the lunch period. I will ask that the defendants honor our commitment to exchange demonstratives the night before they come into testimony, before they come about with a witness.

MR. KISE: That may have been inadvertent.
That's what I was trying to discover. That's what took us all the time -- we have honored the commitment, and I apologize, your Honor. That was the confusion at the momentary pause. But, I do apologize for that.

THE COURT: Okay. Cross examination?
MR. WALLACE: I have a couple of things, your Honor, so just give me a moment.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. WALLACE:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Moens. My name is Kevin Wallace. I'm an attorney with the Attorney General's office. I would like to go over a few more things that you talked about at your deposition.

First, I believe that at your deposition you testified that someone who lacked your specific expertise would not be able to reproduce your evaluation of these properties. Is that fair?

A I think it's fair. I don't think the numbers would ever be the same.

Q And you doubted that anyone else had the experience that you have in the industry to be able to come up with these valuations; is that fair?
A They didn't sell as much real estate as I have.
Q Okay. And I believe you said that in order to understand your valuation methodology, someone would actually have to go inside your head; is that correct?

A It would help them to get to the number, I'm sure.
Q But it would be difficult for anyone else to recreate the analysis that you performed. It's sui generis.

A They can do the same technological things that I did, or take the same steps. It's just rare, if you have three MAI appraisers, let's say, and you hire them to analyze the value of a certain property, with the same address, usually you are going to get three different numbers. Even though they have the same qualifications and they have the same data, they formulate some of their own opinions which don't always correlate to the same end number.
Q But you are not running a process that's re-creatable.
L. Moens - Cross by Mr. Wallace
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You are not doing a discounted cash flow analysis, or some other kind of equation, to come up with these numbers. Is that fair?

A That's fair.
Q Okay. And I think we may be -- Mr. Robert, to coin a phrase, talked about the Moens formula. You didn't use, actually, any specific equations in coming up with these numbers; is that fair?

A That's fair.
Q Mr. Moens, you talked about some of the facts that you looked at in the course of preparing your opinion. Some of the data might be from the Appraiser's Office. It could come from other sources. I think you mentioned your own collection of data that you have gathered over the years. Is that a fair summary of some of the areas that you looked at?
A Yes.
Q Okay. And do you agree that easements and deed restrictions are relevant to a property's value? Is that correct?
A In most cases, yes.
Q And in your process, you would consider any easements on a piece of property to come up with a valuation; is that correct?
A I always do.
Q And you would consider any deed restrictions on a piece of property in coming up with a valuation; is that
correct?
A I would.
Q And easements that negatively impact a property would take away from its value; is that correct?

A If they are negative, they will take away. If they are positive, they would add to.

MR. WALLACE: If we could put back up on the screen Defendant's Exhibit 360.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed and handed to the witness.)
Q This is the deed of conservation and preservation easement from Donald J. Trump to the National Trust for Historic Preservation of the United States.

Mr. Moens, did you review this document in preparing your opinion?

A I did.
MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page nine of this document.
Q If we look at the second sentence, under Grantor's
Covenants, item two is covenants to maintain. Item 2.1 says, In general -- and there's a small A. I'm going focus on the second sentence here, which states, "Grantor's obligation to maintain shall require replacement, rebuilding, repair, and reconstruction by grantor, whenever reasonably necessary to preserve the critical features in substantially the form and
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condition, with substantially similar materials, and as appropriate, with substantially similar plantings, vegetation, and natural screening, to that existing on the date -- on the effective date of this easement."

Mr. Moens, did you undertake any analysis to determine the cost of maintaining the critical features of Mar-A-Lago, consistent with this deed of conservation easement?

A Yes. I mean, I know it's very expensive to maintain it. It would be smart to make it a private club and charge the members, and use that money to maintain it. I'm glad it's a club being maintained properly.

Q And you talked about some of the other facts that are in the Appraiser's Office. Mar-A-Lago is currently being taxed as a club; correct?

A To my knowledge, the Tax Appraiser is taxing it as a club.

Q And it's currently operating as a club?
A Well, I understand it's being operated as a club, and as a personal residence for the President.

Q Are you a member of the club?
A Iam.
Q You are still able to use the club, consistent with your standard membership that you have, and had, since you --

A I don't go too often. I don't like clubs.
Q When did you join?

A '95 or \(\mathbf{- 6}\), I'm guessing.
MR. WALLACE: If we could put, just, back up on
the screen for a moment, DD6.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed.)
Q You talked a little bit with the judge and with
Mr. Robert about the SOFC values. Did you actually review
Mr. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition in preparing this report?

A I saw the statements of financial condition after I prepared my valuations.
Q So you saw, for each year, the actual document that contains this Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?

A It's -- you are talking about the numbers on the left?
Q I'm talking about the actually document. Are you familiar with it?

A If you show it to me, I'll confirm that.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the
proceedings.)
MR. WALLACE: Why don't we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 730.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed and handed to the witness.)

MR. WALLACE: I don't know if he needs to flip through it.
Q Do you recognize the cover, up on the screen?
(Whereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)
A Well, they were provided to my office.
Q Okay. And who, in your office, put them into the chart?

A Christine Curry, my office manager.
Q Okay. So, you didn't look at the, I'll represent to you, a bunch of pages talking about how the property was valued. You didn't look at this in preparing your report?

A I didn't think it was relevant.
Q And you did not review any supporting spreadsheets that showed the calculations that went into Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition; is that fair?
A That's fair.
Q I believe you were shown the supporting data spreadsheet at your deposition, though. Does that sound familiar to you?

A It does. They were very thorough in providing just about everything for me.

MR. WALLACE: Why don't we pull up, on the screen, a copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 719.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed.)
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there.
Q And does he work in the same field that you do, generally?

A Pretty much so, yes.
THE WITNESS: Sorry. I apologize. It was really
low.
MR. WALLACE: If we could pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 2310.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed and handed to the witness.)
Q This is an email from Paul Rampell to Jeff McConney at the Trump Organization with the re: line, Houses. This is the email that's referencing the supporting data. If I can direct your attention to point one, at the top, it says, 1094 South Ocean Boulevard, Palm Beach, and the text under it reads, "The Palm Beach County Appraiser valued this residence at \(\$ 7,140,343\) as of January 1, 2012. I suggest that this is the low end of the range of its current value. In speaking with Lawrence Moens, the most successful broker in Palm Beach, he would value it at \(\$ 7,000,500\) [sic]. I suspect that this -- that this may still be low. My guess is, on the high end, is 8 or even 9 million, given its proximity to the Mar-A-Lago club, the Trump pedigree, and the club privileges the owner would enjoy."

I believe at your deposition you said you had no recollection of having such a conversation with Mr. Rampell, but
that if he said he spoke to you, you agreed that it probably happened. Does that sound fair?

A That is fair.
Q Okay. And the next property, for 124 Woodbridge, Palm Beach, states the value from the PBC Appraiser at \(\$ 2,323,412\). He then writes "Again, this is the low end of the range. Moens values it at about \(\$ 2,750,000\). Since the house itself is mediocre, the land is where the value lies. I tend to think Moens is accurate in his estimation."

First, let me ask, is it in fact the case that the house itself at 124 Woodbridge is mediocre, and that the land is where the value lies?

A At that time. Since then, I believe there's been renovations to make it less mediocre.

MR. WALLACE: If we could put this email up, side
by side with Defendant's Demonstrative Exhibit 6, page 2.
(Whereupon, a document was displayed.)
Q So, this is the valuation of South Ocean Boulevard. I'll just direct your attention to the 2013 valuation. The email states that you informed Mr. Rampell that the value was \(\$ 7,500,000\), but your valuation in the chart is \(\$ 9,600,000\). Is that correct?
A That's what it says.
Q Okay. And if we flip to the next page of the demonstrative DDX-3, for 124 Woodbridge Road, the email values
the property in 2013. It says that you advised a value of \(\$ 2,750,000\), and that matches the reported value in the SOFC, but your value now is \(\$ 4,950,000\). Do you see that?

A I do, but that's easily explained.
Q That's okay. My question is actually, did you have a more detailed understanding of the Palm Beach market as it stood in 2013, at the time of the email, August 14, 2013, or August -August 2013 or today, a decade later?

A The email left two important things out.
Q That's not the question. My question is simply, was your knowledge of the market, as it existed in 2013, better in August 2013, or ten years later, in 2023?

A I would say it's the same.
Q So there's been no loss of knowledge over the ten years?

A I hope not. I think I still got my marbles. (Continued on the next page.)
Q. Did anyone ever ask you -- let me go back to one other point. I believe you said that Mar-a-lago, unlike some of these other properties, was a special entity that took much more time and effort to value.

Is that a fair summary about what you said about Mar-a-lago?

I'm happy to restate the question, Mr. Moens. I believe you said that Mar-a-lago was a special entity, more so than the other properties, and it took much more time and effort to value that property; is that fair?
A. With the elements of carved stone and hand laid pieces and all of the things that I had mentioned earlier, it took a little bit more effort.
Q. Okay. And, at no time, from 2011 until 2021, did anyone, from the Trump Organization, ask you to help them in valuing Mar-a-lago for the purposes of Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition; is that correct?
A. Wait. Could you repeat the question.
Q. Sure.

MR. WALLACE: Could we get a read back please?

THE COURT: Read it back, please.
(The testimony as requested was read by the reporter.)
A. That would be correct.

MR. WALLACE: Yes. We just want to show that they received this information.

MR. ROBERT: So then the answers that the witness -- fair enough. I will deal with it on redirect.

THE COURT: So, it is to a defendant.
MR. KISE: The notice also extends to then Mr. Rampell's communication and his estimate as well. I mean, we're all -- I just want to make sure we're clear if we're talking about notices, it's notice of all numbers in there, not just the notice of what Mr. Moens, allegedly, provided.

MR. WALLACE: The entire content of the e-mail has been -- the Trump Organization has notification of everything that's in the e-mail.

MR. KISE: I want to be sure. That's not always the case with the Government. Okay. That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. So notice of the entire e-mail chain.

THE WITNESS: Who's Jeff McConney.
MR. WALLACE: We can take these down.
Q. Mr. Moens, just one more topic. If we could put back up Plaintiff's Exhibit 719 and go to row 207.

I think you said in your evaluation that you were
Q. Mr. Moens, if we go back --

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I need to move Plaintiffs 2310 in Evidence.

MR. ROBERT: It's an e-mail that's not to or from witness. It is from Paul Rampell to Jeff McConney.

MR. WALLACE: It's to Jeff McConney. It's authenticated because it's from the defendants. It's notice to them.

MR. ROBERT: I'm sorry. But it can't be admitted for the truth of the matter asserted. This is a conversation from Paul Rampell saying something to Jeff McConney. That's clearly hearsay.

MR. WALLACE: I can clear it up for everyone if you want to ask me to ask it.

MR. ROBERT: Just a moment.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, it's notice to the Trump Organization that they had this report from Mr. Rampell. Whether or not it's for the truth of the matter asserted, it should certainly come in.

MR. ROBERT: Notice of what to who? This is an e-mail from Paul Rampell to Jeff McConney making certain -- you want to make it that there is a e-mail from Rampell to McConney but, not for the truth of the matter asserted.
adding back in the value of the memberships at Mar-a-lago because, that's something that the future owner -- a future owner could monetize, that, they could sell the memberships and get the benefit of that. Is that a fair summary of how you added back in that value.
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Five minute warning.
MR. WALLACE: Yes.
Q. If we take a look at row 240 , here, you will see the Trump Organization -- and this is their valuation of Mar-a-lago -- has a line that says, "less member deposits" where they deduct a little over \(\$ 37\) million from the overall value of the property because, for it to be sold to a private individual, the members would have to get their money back.

That's not consistent with your evaluation technique, is that fair?
A. In that, some get paid back and some are nonrefundable is what I understand.
Q. But their deducting the value of memberships, whereas you added it back in.
A. I don't know what their methodology is in those numbers.

MR. WALLACE: Nothing further, your Honor. THE COURT: Any redirect?
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\hline 7 & Mr. Wallace, about the difference in numbers from the & \\
\hline & mail, Plaintiff's Exhibit 2310 and your chart. And your & \\
\hline 9 & swer was, it's easily explained. But, Mr. Wallace & \\
\hline 10 & uldn't let you explain it. & \\
\hline 11 & What's your explanation Mr. Moens? & \\
\hline 12 & A. Well, first, can someone tell me who Jeff somebody & \\
\hline & is? & \\
\hline 14 & No, I don't know who & \\
\hline 5 & dible guy. And I think that we had the discussion, & \\
\hline & ugh, when the Attorney General's Office clearly asked me & \\
\hline 7 & I had a conversation that I could recall, ten years & \\
\hline 18 & arlier, with Paul Rampell, I couldn't recall it. I still, & \\
\hline 19 & to this day, can't recall it. & \\
\hline 20 & But I will say that it, probably, happened & \\
\hline 21 & ause, I find Paul to be an honest credible person. Even & \\
\hline 22 & ough he's a lawyer -- sorry. Second one. & \\
\hline 23 & ld have given him whatever he did in the & \\
\hline & ail but, it didn't include my range. I only give two & \\
\hline & numbers. I don't give one. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

MR. KISE: Okay. Thank you.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, can we have a front bar with the Government for a second.

THE COURT: Sure.
(Discussion held at the bench, off the record.)
(The discussion off the record concluded, and the following occurred in open court:)
(The trial was adjourned to December 7, 2023, at 10:00 p.m.)

If he took my loan number at two seven fifty to five million or on the other property five million to seven fifty and didn't put the higher number in -- I always give a range. So he didn't give a range when he sent that e-mail to whoever Jeff is.

MR. ROBERT: Thank you. I have no further questions your Honor.

THE COURT: Any recross?
MR. WALLACE: No.
THE COURT: If there are no further questions, the witness is excused. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Judge.
(Witness excused.)
THE COURT: Ten o'clock on Thursday. Anything before then or --

MR. KISE: The only question I have as regarding the accounting of rebuttal witness, do they know which one it's going to be because, that's a huge difference whether it is Mr. Lewis or --

MR. WALLACE: We only have one accounting expert, your Honor. That's professor Lewis. I thought that was clear.

MR. KISE: I won't take a shot at him. It is Professor Lewis.

MR. WALLACE: It is Professor Lewis.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \$720 (1) & 6081:23 & active (1) & adversely (1) \\
\hline \$ & 6124:21 & Absolutely (10) & 6044:3 & 6068:3 \\
\hline & \$725 (1) & 6049:21;6051:12; & actively (1) & advertising (1) \\
\hline \$1,215,000,000 (1) & 6123:16 & 6054:8;6108:4;6113:9; & 6137:3 & 6091:9 \\
\hline 6126:9 & \$735 (2) & 6132:7,8;6133:4; & activities (1) & advice (2) \\
\hline \$1,395 (1) & 6088:9;6124:19 & 6137:18;6146:10 & 6066:25 & 6064:9;6065:12 \\
\hline 6086:14 & \$760 (1) & Academy (1) & activity (1) & advise (1) \\
\hline \$1,595 (1) & 612 & \[
6134
\] & 6060:18 & 6137:9 \\
\hline 6086:11 & \[
\$ 790(1)
\] & accept (1) 6104:24 & acts (1)
6067:5 & advised (2)
\[
6071: 17 ; 6166: 1
\] \\
\hline \$11 (1) & \$8,300,000 (1) & acceptable (4) & actual (13) & advises (1) \\
\hline \[
\$ 13,200,000(1)
\] & 6148:7 & 6033:23;6073:1; & 6032:17,22;6033:6; & 6044:23 \\
\hline 6145:2 & \$825 (1) & 6074:11;6105:19 & 6035:24;6060:18; & advising (1) \\
\hline \[
\$ 14,325,000(1)
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6125:10 } \\
& \$ 835(1)
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { access (1) } \\
6111: 13
\end{array}
\] & 6061:12;6110:16; 6114:22;6115:22; & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6043: 7 \\
\text { affect (1) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
6145: 7 \\
\$ 1400(1)
\end{array}
\] & 3835 (1) 6125 & accommodate (1) & 6130:5;6143:3; & 6068:3 \\
\hline 6140:7 & \$865 (1) & 6071:22 & 6154:19;6161:11 & affidavits (1) \\
\hline \$2,323,412 (1) & 6125:15 & accompanied (2) & actually (15) & 6128:17 \\
\hline 6165:5 & \$890 (1) & 6057:2;6059:19 & 6083:14;6093:15; & affirm (2) \\
\hline \$2,750,000 (2) & 6125:7 & accompanies (1) & 6110:11;6111:23; & 6042:13;6089:22 \\
\hline 6165:7;6166:2 & \$9,600,000 (1) & 6058:14 & 6119:4;6136:15,16; & afford (3) \\
\hline \[
\$ 29,300,000(1)
\] & \(6165: 21\)
\(\mathbf{\$ 9 0 0}(1)\) & accompany (1) & 6149:11;6156:4; 6157:12;6158:6; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6136:5;6137:25; } \\
& \text { 6140:3 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6152:8 & 6140:4 & accountant (1) & 6161:6,14;6162:1; & afternoon (2) \\
\hline 6152:13 & \$935 (1) & 6033:2 & 6166:5 & 6130:10;6156:22 \\
\hline \$37 (1) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6088: 8 \\
\$ 950(2)
\end{array}
\] & accounting (15) & \begin{tabular}{l}
add (3) \\
6103.20:6116.5.
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { AG (1) } \\
& 6100: 17
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6170:12
\(\mathbf{\$ 4 , 8 2 5 , 0 0 0}\)
(1) & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \$ 950(2) \\
6125: 12,20
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6031:3;6032:9; } \\
& \text { 6033:8,10,25;6035:8, }
\end{aligned}
\] & 6103:20;6116:5;
6159:6 & again (18) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 4,825,000(1) \\
6146: 13
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\$ 990(1)
\] & 19;6037:7;6038:23; & added (4) & 6033:18;6054:3; \\
\hline \$4,950,000 (1) & 6125:17 & 6039:4;6129:2,13,14; & 6111:6,25;6170:5,21 & 6056:19;6059:19; \\
\hline 6166:3 & & 6172:17,20 & adding (2) 6142:25;6170:1 & \begin{tabular}{l}
6070:14;6073:11; \\
6103:16:6106:19.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \$426,529,614 (1) & & accurate (7) 6035:18;6097:20,21; & 6142:25;6170:1
addition (5) & \begin{tabular}{l}
6103:16;6106:19; \\
6114:13;6118:10;
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 6123:10 & * (1) & 6107:4;6137:17,19; & 6056:2;6060:22; & 6128:1;6129:12; \\
\hline 6147:10 & 6126:25 & 6165:9 & 6122:4;6138:17; & 6134:21;6141:2; \\
\hline \$6,300,000 (1) & & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { accurately (1) } \\
6154: 23
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
6143: 2
\] & 6146:7;6150:24; \\
\hline 6147:14 & I & 6154:23 & additional (7) & 6153:13;6165:6 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 600(2) \\
& \quad 6135: 18 ; 6153: 14
\end{aligned}
\] & [sic] (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { acknowledged (1) } \\
& 6069: 5
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6072:9;6104:11; } \\
& \text { 6110:21;6122:1,5; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { against (2) } \\
& \text { 6083:16;6086:1 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$655 (1) & 6164:20 & acquaintance (1) & 6142:19;6148:8 & against- (1) \\
\hline 6121:22 & A & acquired (1) & additions (1) & 6027:5 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ 660\) (1) \\
6123:19
\end{tabular} & A & acquired (1) 6075:22 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 6110:21 } \\
\text { address (7) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ago (4) } \\
& 6136: 4 ; 6138:
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \$675 (1) & abandoned (4) & acre (4) & 6042:21,24;6090:8, & 6153:4;6171:6 \\
\hline 6123:13 & 6065:13,15;6076:15, & 6106:21,22,23; & 9;6117:5;6150:24; & agree (28) \\
\hline \$685 (1) & 17 & 6139:1 & 6157:20 & 6034:8,9;6037:22; \\
\hline 6124:17 & Abandonment (1) & acreage (4) & addressing (1) & 6041:14,18;6048:20; \\
\hline \$697,500,000 (1) & 6065:10 & 6106:20,20;6109:16; & 6060:11 & 6054:9;6059:2,3; \\
\hline 6123:22 & abbreviation (1) & 6135:18 & adjoining (1) & 6062:19;6066:16; \\
\hline \$7,000,500 (1) & 6154:15 & acres (5) & 6150:7 & 6072:21;6073:10,13; \\
\hline 6164:20 & ability (2) & 6107:15;6111:16 & adjourned (1) & 6083:13;6100:20; \\
\hline \[
\$ 7,140,343 \text { (1) }
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6065:6;6104:10 \\
able (11)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
6155:11,13,14 \\
acronyms (2)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6173:9 } \\
& \text { administrative (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6102:22,23,23;6103:3; } \\
& \text { 6106:5;6118:18; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{|c}
\(\mathbf{6 7 , 3 5 0 , 0 0 0}\) \\
(1)
\end{tabular} & 6038:12;6049:11; & 6123:6;6149:15 & 6043:24;6131:11 & 6119:3,19;6127:23; \\
\hline 6148:3 & 6099:22;6110:14; & across (1) & admit (4) & 6128:8;6137:19; \\
\hline \$7,500,000 (1) & 6122:9,11;6128:8; & 6154:16 & 6032:16;6103:17; & 6158:16 \\
\hline 6165:21 & 6136:5;6157:3,8; & ACS-274 (1) & 6127:23,24 & agreed (5) \\
\hline \$7,950,000 (1) & 6160:22 & 6031:6 & admitted (8) & 6058:21;6080:24; \\
\hline 6148:5 & above (1) & acted (1) & 6032:14,15;6034:13; & 6101:4;6137:16; \\
\hline \$700 (1) & 6163:15 & 6102:2 & 6043:14,15,16;6059:1; & 6165:1 \\
\hline 6087:11 & absence (1) & action (7) & 6168:11 & agreeing (2) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\$ 705(1) \\
6123: 3
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6031: 22 \\
\text { absent (1) }
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6082:8;6083:6,8,11, } \\
& 11,16 ; 6117: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { admonition (1) } \\
6126: 14
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 6074:8;6142:1 } \\
\text { agreement (16) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6058:18;6062:15,19; & 6065:5;6095:14,20; & 6056:17;6115:16; & 07:10,12;6158:11; & ascertain (1) \\
\hline 6063:8;6067:11; & 6106:2;6107:22; & 6134:17;6136:3; & 6160:13 & 6050:13 \\
\hline 6068:2;6076:4,8,9,12; & 6128:16;6142:12; & 6138:5;6141: & appreciate (3) & aspect (1) \\
\hline 6079:15,15;6080:24; & 6157:23;6158:23 & apartments (2) & 6049:6;6101:14 & 6044:17 \\
\hline 6081:2,3;6118:16 & 6169:17;6172:3 & 6092:3,4 & 6120:10 & assemblage (1) \\
\hline agreements (1) & amazing (3) & apologies (1) & approach (1) & 6154:9 \\
\hline 6059:13 & 6112:1,7;6133:22 & 6039:14 & 6106:25 & assembled (1) \\
\hline AG's (1) & amend (1) & apologize (4) & appropriate (3) & 6135:10 \\
\hline 6040:10 & 6068:2 & 6150:7;6156:13,14; & 6029:5;6068:1 & asserted (3) \\
\hline ahead (6) & amended (2) & 6164:5 & 6160:2 & 6168:11,20,25 \\
\hline 6030:3;6056 & 6063:20;6067:15 & apparent (1) & appropriately (1) & assessment (2) \\
\hline 6058:4;6070:9; & amendment (4) & 6032:20 & 6038:19 & 6107:6;6108:2 \\
\hline 6101:13;6151:14 & 6067:24,25;6068:3, & Apparently (2) & approval (6) & assessor (1) \\
\hline ALEX (1) & 12 & 6127:18,19 & 6062:20;6063:7; & 6108:1 \\
\hline 6027:23 & amendments (1) & appeal (3) & 6066:13,25;6067:2 & assets (2) \\
\hline alienize (1) & 6079 & 6072:17;6074:10 & 6079:17 & 6039:6;6041:23 \\
\hline 60 & ame & 18:11 & approv & assignment (5) \\
\hline ALINA (1) & 6067:17 & appeals (6) & 6045:22,23 & 6039:25;6050:11,12; \\
\hline 6028:16 & AMER (13) & 6044:1;6072:19 & approved (1) & 6106:10;6109:5 \\
\hline allegations (1) & 6027:20.5;6029:4,6, & 6073:22,25;6131:18,25 & 6060:13 & assist (2) \\
\hline 6078:12 & 23,25;6033:9;6034:9, & appearances (2) & approximate ( & 6079:5;6087:25 \\
\hline allegedly (1) & 11;6036:13;6040:22; & 6027:25;6028:2 & 6094:5 & ASSOCIATES (4) \\
\hline 6169:12 & 6041:2,25;6059:2 & appears (1) & Approximately (2) & 6028:14;6093:1,11; \\
\hline ALLEN (1) & America (2) & 081:24 & 6088:4;6107:14 & 6136:25 \\
\hline 6027:6.5 & 6108:14;6137:23 & Appellate (12) & April (1) & assume (3) \\
\hline all-in (1) & American (1) & 6044:2;6070:20,24; & 6079:21 & 6046:21;6053:6; \\
\hline 6088:19 & 6155:24 & 6071:5,12,17;6072:14, & area (5) & 6074:24 \\
\hline allow (10) 6036:8;6 & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { Americans (1) } \\
6155: 21
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 16;6073:3,14;6074:13; } \\
& \text { 6118:12 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6046:21,23;6109:22; \\
6110:20;6136:6
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
attached (2) \\
6081:15;6134:14
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 6045:24;6052:16; & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 6155:21 } \\
\text { among (2) }
\end{array}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6118:12 \\
appendix
\end{tabular} & 6110:20;613 & attempt (1) \\
\hline 6056:16;6057:4; & 6029:17;6076:9 & 6149 & 6045:5;6046:7,23 & 6031:24 \\
\hline 6070:12;6072:21; & amount (2) & applicable (2) & 6047:22,22;6048:18; & attempts (1) \\
\hline 6079:8;6118:1 & 6109:11;6130:24 & 6068:5;6079:1 & 6158:14 & 6104:8 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { allowance (1) } \\
& \text { 6040:6 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { amounts (2) } \\
6123: 25 ; 6124: 1
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { applicant (2) } \\
6060: 21 ; 6080: 14
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|r}
\text { arena (1) } \\
6092: 21
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { attended (1) } \\
6043: 12
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline allowed (3) & analogy (1) & application (19) & arguably (1) & attention (21) \\
\hline 6071:9;6078:14; & 6116:13 & 6052:20;6057:3 & 6102:12 & 6057:13;6059:16; \\
\hline 6110:13 & analysis (5) & 58:14;6059:19,21; & argue (2) & 6060:5;6062:2; \\
\hline allowing (3) & 6122:13;6133:18. & 6060:8,13;6061:3; & 6037:12,1 & \[
6063: 10 ; 6065: 1
\] \\
\hline 6048:13;6071:2;
6132.9 & 6157:16;6158:1;
6160.5 & 6062:21;6063:16,18;
6064:17:6065.3. & argued (2) & 6066:18;6067:19; 6068:25:6075.18. \\
\hline 6132:9 & 6160:5 & 6064:17;6065:3; & 6044:1;6078:1 & 6068:25;6075:18; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { allows (2) } \\
& 6079: 25 ; 6122: 4
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline \text { analyze (1) } \\
6157: 19
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6071:21;6079:14,20, } \\
& \text { 23;6080:11;6087:13 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { argument (5) } \\
& \quad 6103: 9 ; 6104: 15,1
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6077:12,18;6083:1; } \\
& \text { 6114:12;6136:14; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline almost (7) & and/or (4) & applications (1) & 19,20 & 6137:6;6140:25; \\
\hline 6040:11;6085:24; & 6067:15,17;6075:23; & 6059:22 & arguments (1) & 6141:15;6142:13; \\
\hline 6090:23;6095:2; & 6149:7 & applied (5) & 6105:2 & 6164:14,6165:19 \\
\hline 6129:9;6137:10; & ANDREW & 50:13;6060:10,10, & aris & ATTORNEY (14) \\
\hline 6139:23 & 6027:20.5 & 12;6114:22 & ar & 027:3,17;6058:19 \\
\hline \[
6065: 22
\] & \[
6074: 15
\] & \[
6037: 7 ; 6040: 1
\] & 6095:6;6122:9 & 6087:5;6110:7;6112:3; \\
\hline alterations (1) & Angeles (1) & \[
6095: 9,11,14,15,24
\] & art (1) & 6115:4;6117:16; \\
\hline 6068:10 & 86:17 & 6118:23 & 6140:2 & 6156:23,23;6171:16 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { alternative (2) } \\
& 6057: 15 ; 6059: 24
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { angels (1) } \\
6074: 12
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \text { appraisals (3) } \\
6039: 11 ; 6040: 10,11
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ARTHUR (1 } \\
6027: 14.5
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|l}
\text { Attorneys (7) } \\
\text { 6027:18;6028:5,9. }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline alternatives (1) & angles (1) & appraiser (8) & Article (3) & 14.5;6088:8;6096:12; \\
\hline 6059:24 & 6074:3 & 6095:7,20,22,25; & 6063:11;6065:9,10 & 6109:2 \\
\hline although (2) 6081:19;6086:2 & Ankura (1) & 6107:24;6160:15 & \begin{tabular}{l}
artwork (1) \\
6110:14
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { attorney's (1) } \\
6083: 4
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
6081:19;6086:2 \\
altogether (3)
\end{tabular} & 6031:3 answered & 6164:16;6165:5 appraisers (8) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6110:14 } \\
& \text { ASC (3) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\text { 6083:4 } \\
\text { attribute (1) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 6032:24;6035:9; & 6032:4;6036: & 6096:12,15,16; & 031:1;6034:16; & 6098:7 \\
\hline 6104:25 & anticipate (2) & 6097:14,18;6098:5; & 6038:15 & attrition (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { always (13) } \\
& \text { 6037:23;6046:8; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6130:25;6131:5 } \\
& \text { anymore (6) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6100:1;6157:19 } \\
& \text { Appraiser's (4) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { ASC-274 (1) } \\
6031: 13
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6138: 14 \\
\text { August (6) }
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6067:12;6076:11; & 6084:22;6095:5; & best (4) & bottom (6) & buildings (2) \\
\hline 6166:7,7,8,12 & 6110:21;6118:19,24; & 6049:19;6058:10; & 6057:8;6076:6,14; & \[
6092: 2 ; 6111: 22
\] \\
\hline authenticated (1) & 6121:14;6145:15 & 6095:22;6133:21 & 16:25;6118:3; & built (1) \\
\hline 6168:8 & basic (1) & better (2) & 6154:13 & 6114:20 \\
\hline authorities (1) & 6116: & 6118:14;6166 & bo & bumped ( \\
\hline & Basically (2) & beyond (9) & 5:7;6099: & 6108:23 \\
\hline authority (1) & 6092:20;6117:23 & 6049:3;6101:1 & 6105:6;6136:4, & bunch (5) \\
\hline 6029:24 & basing (1) & 103:13;6105:2 & Boulevard (17) & 6072:9;6092:2 \\
\hline automatically (1) & 6117:16 & 6128:10;6129:3 & 6090:10;6135:8 & 6153:23,23;6162:11 \\
\hline 6073:14 & ba & 39:8;6141:5, & 38:18,20;6141:16; & business (12) \\
\hline available (2) & 6033:6;604 & big (7) & 6142:4,5,14;6148:11; & 6042:21,24;6044:15; \\
\hline 6118:25;6122:5 & 6053:5;6062:10 & 6107:18;6111:15,20; & 6150:5;6151:1;6152:3; & 6049:3;6092:8;6096:2; \\
\hline Avenue (1) & 6075:22;6091:18 & 6135:18;6138:23; & 154:14,15;6163:12; & 116:8,12;6117:23; \\
\hline 6135:7 & Beach (75) & 6149:7;6155:10 & 6164:15;6165:18 & 6118:8;6127:4; \\
\hline average (4) & 6050:14;6059:6,20; & billed (1) & bounces (1) & 6133:15 \\
\hline 6122:8;6140:6,7,9 & \[
6061: 25 ; 6062: 16 ;
\] & 6088:8 & \[
6122: 9
\] & buy (3) \\
\hline Award (1) & 6067:12,13;6076:10, & billing (4) & Bowen (1) & 6105:14;6137:25; \\
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\hline 6142:3;6160:7,22;
6170:16 & 6108:20
corner (2) & \begin{tabular}{l}
6082:15;6083:22; \\
6084:3,5,10,13;6085:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { cross-examine (1) } \\
6077: 24
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DD6-4 (1) } \\
6151: 3
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline consisting (1) & 6057:9;6149:12 & 6,8;6089:10,12,15,17, & cue (1) & DD7 (1) \\
\hline 6093:19 & corporate (2) & 20;6090:4,6,7;6097:1, & 6118:11 & 6153:17 \\
\hline constitutes (1) & 6081:12;6127:21 & 5,8,13,16;6099:5,12, & cultural (1) & DDX-3 (1) \\
\hline 6047:15 & corporations (1) & 17,20;6100:3,7,10,14, & 6057:18 & \[
6165: 25
\] \\
\hline construction (1) & 6076:13 & 17;6101:4,10,13,17; & Cummings (1) & de (1) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6088:15 & 6078:1,4,6;6085:12; & 6163:7 & 6049:20;6106:23; & dishes (1) \\
\hline deal (8) & 6112:18;6115:18,20; & deposits (2) & 6111:24;6171:7; & displayed \\
\hline 6100:24;6101:10; & 6120:24;6136:13; & 6076:20;6170:11 & 61 & displayed (10) \\
\hline 6113:13,14;6119:19; & 6139:2;6149:20; & deprived (1) & different (22) & 6120:25;6149:24 \\
\hline 6126:12;6128:13; & 6151:8;6159:8
\(6165: 16\) & 6071:5 & 6029:4;6035:1,6; & 151:4;6153:18 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 6169:4 } \\
\text { dealing (4) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 6165:16
defense (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { derived (4) } \\
& 6036: 1 ; 60
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6037:20;6039:9,10,17, } \\
& \text { 17;6045:19;6054:15; }
\end{aligned}
\] &  \\
\hline 49:4;6074:16; & 608 & 22 & 7072:15;6073:6; & 7 \\
\hline 6109:1;6111:2 & defined (1) & describe (5) & 100:15,16;6107:23; & disposal (1) \\
\hline debate (2) & 6069:17 & 6043:18;6061:19 & 6111:1;6115:8;6134:3; & 6107:7 \\
\hline 6035:24;6056:6 & defines (1) & 6093:4,22;6108:18 & 6136:10;6138:15; & dispositive (1) \\
\hline DEBORAH (3) & 6079:16 & described (1) & 6142:21;6157:21 & 6080:19 \\
\hline 6028:19;6055:13 & definitely (1) & 6142:2 & difficult (3) & dispute (6) \\
\hline d & 603 & des & 6140:12;6141:1 & ;6047:25; \\
\hline decade (1) & & 6061:20;60 & & 6105:2 \\
\hline December (3) & 6032:11,14;603 & 6070:10 & 6097:22 & disputed (1) \\
\hline 6027:13;6127 & 6034:17,18,23;6035:2, & description (2) & digress (1) & 6104:16 \\
\hline 6173:9 & 13,24;6036:2,3,10,18; & 6051:1;6100:21 & 6149:17 & dissimilar (1) \\
\hline decide (3) & 6039:3;6080:2;6081 & detail (2) & dimensions (1) & 6145:11 \\
\hline 6048:20; & definitions (1) & 6094:1;6134:22 & 6045:11 & distinct (1) \\
\hline 24:9 &  & detailed (1) & dire (2) & 6131:3 \\
\hline 6127:8 & 49:11;6052:25; & details (1) & DIRECT (14) & 6095:11 \\
\hline decisi & 6133:2 & 12 & 6049 & District (2) \\
\hline 6034:2;605 & deliberate (2) & determination (5) & 71:24;6080:2 & 6079:25;6080:10 \\
\hline declaratio & 6031:24;6082:7 & 6033:6;6052:12 & 84:14,20;6090:11; & districts (1) \\
\hline 6062:15;6067:11, & demand (1) & 53:3;6057:24 & 995:20;6120:21; & 6080:4 \\
\hline 15,18;6076:3,8,9; & 6111:7 & 6072:25 & 132:19;6154:10,10; & Division (1) \\
\hline 6079:14;6080:23 & DeMel & determinations (1) & 6164:13;6165:19 & 6118:12 \\
\hline deduct (2) & 6088:6,12,16 & 6052:10 & direction (1) & DJT (1) \\
\hline 6110:15;6170:12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
demolition \\
\(6060 \cdot 22\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
determine (8 \\
6038.7;604
\end{tabular} & 6065:25 & 6027:8 \\
\hline 6170:20 & demonstrate (1) & 6051:7;6081:5;6082:7; & \[
6130: 2
\] & \[
6051: 17,20 ; 6053: 2
\] \\
\hline deed & 6153:24 & 106:15;61 10:18; & disagree (1 & 6054:1;6055:1,7 \\
\hline 66:7,8 & demonstrative (12) & 6160:5 & 637:11;6047:15 & 6056:22,24;6057:1 \\
\hline 3;6 & 6113:5;6116:19 & determined & 4:18 & 6060:1,6,15;6062:3,14, \\
\hline 6160:7 & 6118:2;6120:1,24;
6132:18;6139:3; & 6121 &  &  \\
\hline deeds (1) & 6141:16;6153:17; & 6110:25 & 6119:10,21,22 & 6066:11,12,19;6068:7, \\
\hline 6047:17 & 6156:4;6165:16,25 & develop (3) & disagreement (1) & 17,19,23,25;6069:13, \\
\hline deem (3) & demonstratives (1) & 6069:9;6070:2 & 6118:17 & 14,15,18,19;6070:10; \\
\hline 6048:9;6103:21; & 6156: & 6133:15 & disclos & 6075:13;6076:24; \\
\hline 6106:6 & den & developer (2) & 6124:2,5;6141:5,8 & 6077:1,12,25;6078:21, \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { deemed (2) } \\
& 6034: 13 ; 6099: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { 6070:23 } \\
\text { denies (1) }
\end{array}
\] & 6041:15;6139:20 developer's (1) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 6156:5 } \\
\text { disclosing (1) }
\end{gathered}
\] & 6085:16;6087:8; \\
\hline Defendant (5) & 6070:24 & 6041:9 & 6088:7 & 6117:2;6120:25; \\
\hline 28:5;6042 & dens & developing ( & discounted ( & 6128:7,17;6136:17,19, \\
\hline 6090:2;6132:3;6169:6 & 6046:4 & 6133:16 & 58 & 22;6149:24;6151:4; \\
\hline Defendants (17) 6027:11;6028:9 & dependin 6086:22 & development (3) 6044:24;6068:2 & \begin{tabular}{l}
discover (1) \\
6156:1
\end{tabular} & 6153:18;6159:9,14,18; \\
\hline 14.5;6042:9,18; & 6129:17 & \[
6091: 25
\] & discrepancy & 6162:4,25;6164:9; \\
\hline 6047:1;6073:10; & depends (3) & devil (1) & - & 6165:17 \\
\hline 6089:17;6103:8; & 6128:25;6129:19; & 6128:6 & discuss (7) & documentation (1) \\
\hline 6117:22;6118:7,25; & 6130:25 & devoted (1) & 48:13;6084:7 & 6031:16 \\
\hline 6128:15,18;6156:6,7; & depict (1) & 6046: & 16;6106:16 & documents (43) \\
\hline 6168:8 & 6112:12 & die & 6117:5;6126:17;
6127:4 & 18;6047: \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { efendant's (31) } \\
& \text { 6037:15;6056:11,20; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { deposition (8) } \\
& \text { 6086:15;6088:16; }
\end{aligned}
\] & died (1) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
612 \\
\text { discus }
\end{array}
\] & 20,25;6051:2,3,25; \\
\hline 6058:13,21,22,22,23, & 6124:7;6155:17; & 6115:16 & 6 & \[
6053: 13,17
\] \\
\hline 23,24,24,25,25; & 6156:25;6157:1; & differ (1) & discussion (5) & 6054:2,10,16;6055:4, \\
\hline 6062:11;6066:5; & 6162:19;6164:24 & 6029:15 & 6077:7,16;6171:15; & \[
10 ; 6056: 8 ; 6057: 5 ;
\] \\
\hline 6069:11;6076:22; & depositions (1) & difference (5) & \[
6173: 5,7
\] & 6059:7;6062:25; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6064:13;6068:8; & drifts (1) & effective (1) & 6027:8.5 & \[
21,21.5,22.5,23
\] \\
\hline 6070:13;6071:24; & \[
6135: 9
\] & \[
6160: 4
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
ended (1) \\
\(6150 \cdot 20\)
\end{tabular} & 6028:6.5,7,11,16 \\
\hline 6084:22;6085:17; & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { drilling (1) } \\
6044: 19
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { effectuate (1) } \\
6045: 15
\end{gathered}
\] & enforceable (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { essentially (5) } \\
& 6046: 20 ; 6050: 21 ;
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6095:23;6117:22,22, & Drive (1) & effort (4) & 6063:6 & 6053:10;6057:2; \\
\hline 25;6118:7;6127:21,21, & 6163:12 & 6142:7;6167:4,10,13 & engage (2) & 6068:11 \\
\hline 24;6128:12 & drone (1) & eggs (1) & 6067:4,9 & established (2) \\
\hline dollar (1) & 6113:3 & 6133:21 & engaged (3) & 6035:20;6072:6 \\
\hline 6135:15 & DT (1) & egos (1) & 6030:2;6044:10,20 & establishment (1) \\
\hline dollars (18) & 6139:2 & 6098:21 & engagement (1) & 6081:11 \\
\hline 6086:18;6089:1; & dues (1) & eight (2) & 6029:8 & estate (58) \\
\hline 6094:3,7,13,21;6098:6; & 6143: & 6041:5;6140:16 & engaging (1) & 6039:6;6044:13,14, \\
\hline 6099:16;6114:23; & duly (2) & eighty (1) & & 15,17,25;6045:9; \\
\hline 6124:15;6125:23; & 6042:18;6090:2 & 6087:21 & English (1) & 6046:16;6057:17; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6126:6;6135:11,14; } \\
& \text { 6136:5;6138:2,5,8 }
\end{aligned}
\] & during (6) 6062:9;6080:2 & either (4) 6078:16 & 6034:14
NGOROI & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6060:17;6079:25; } \\
& \text { 6085:21;6091:21,2 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Don (1) & 6084:8;6091:6; & 6118:1;6130:13 & 6027:14.5 & 6092:8,9,11,21 \\
\hline 6149:11 & 6122:12;6126:18 & ejected (1) & enjoy (1) & 6093:20;6094:5,9,10, \\
\hline DONALD (12) & duties (1) & 6117:9 & 6164:2 & 25;6095:16;6096:1,3; \\
\hline 6027:6,6,7;6060:3,3; & 6092:14 & elb & enough (2) & 6098:6,8;6099:4,23,23; \\
\hline 6062:16;6066:7 & dwelling (1) & \[
6098:
\] & 6078:19;6169: & 6101:7,9,16;6102:6,25 \\
\hline 6155:22;6159:12 & dwellings (1) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { elected (1) } \\
6086: 1
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\text { entered (1) } \\
6113: 6
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6103:23;6106:3,7; } \\
& \text { 6107:20,21;6134:8; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline done (16) & 6107:13 & elements ( & entering (3) & 6135:2,5,21,22,23,24 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6038:2;6039:11; } \\
& \text { 6059:23;6065:7; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(\mathbf{E}\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
6167:11 \\
elephant
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6042:11;6074:19 } \\
& \text { 6089:20 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6136:25;6137:4,12; } \\
& \text { 6139:20;6153:8; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6073:5;6085:6,15 & & 6086:5 & enters (1) & 6154:15,24;6155:4,12; \\
\hline 6087:15;6093:8; & ear (1) & Ellison (1) & 6074:20 & 6157:10 \\
\hline 6102:1;6107:3; & 098:9 & 6099:10 & entertain (1) & estimate (5) \\
\hline 6129:22;6131:11,12; & earlier (9) & else (9) & 6062:9 & 6038:12;6039:9; \\
\hline 6137:22;6140:2 & 6069:11;6106:19; & 6045:1;6048:25 & entertained (1) & 6095:18;6119:6; \\
\hline door (1) & 6109:23;6127:9; & 6110:18;6112:21 & 6061:24 & 6169:8 \\
\hline 6134:15 & 6135:2;6142:25 & 6116:5;6121:18; & entertaining (1) & estimated (17) \\
\hline doors (2) & 6146:8;6167:12 & 6134:2;6157:7,15 & 6082:6 & \[
6031: 2,4,10,13,15
\] \\
\hline 6134:13;6140:10 & 6171:18 & email (8) & entire (5) & 6032:12;6034:17,25; \\
\hline Doris (1) 6093:15 & early (1) 6092.2 & 6163:16;6164:11,13; & 6071:22;6094:1 & 6035:13;6036:4,11; \\
\hline 6093:15 & 6092:24 & 6165:15,20,25;6166:7, & 6119:5;6169:13, & \begin{tabular}{l}
6038:8,9,20,22;6039:3, \\
19
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
6157: 7
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ease (1) } \\
& 6059: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & e-mail (9) & 6078:22 & estimation (1) \\
\hline down (13) & easement (17) & 6168:4,22,23; & entities (1) & 6165:9 \\
\hline 6044:19;6049:14; & 6066:9;6067:2,6,17, & 6169:14,15,20;6171:8, & 6044:23 & et (1) \\
\hline 6084:2;6086:6; & 18,25;6068:2,4,13,24; & 24;6172:4 & entitled (1) & 6141:12 \\
\hline 6096:20,21;6097:22; & 6069:9,12,16;6075:23; & employed (1) & 6080:18 & Euclid (1) \\
\hline 6130:6,16;6131:6; & 6159:12;6160:4,7 & 6091:7 & entitlement (2) & 6044:22 \\
\hline 6151:2;6154:12; & easements (3) & employee (5) & 6044:13;6048:1 & evaluate (1) \\
\hline 6169:22 & 6158:16,20;6159:3 & 6081:9,10,14,22,23 & entitlements (4) & 6040:2 \\
\hline dozens (2) & easier (3) & employees (4) & 6045:21,22;6046:12, & evaluation (6) \\
\hline 6134:13,14 & 6046:16;6116:23; & 6080:5,7;6081:8; & \[
15
\] & 6095:12;6099:3; \\
\hline draw (5) & 6142:8 & 6091:15 & entity (4) & 6101:1;6157:3; \\
\hline 6066:18;6078:24; 6114:12;6136:14; & easily (2) 6166:4;6171:9 & employment (2) 6044:5,20 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6060:3;6142:7; } \\
& \text { 6167:3,8 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6169:25;6170:16 } \\
& \text { valuator (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6137:6 & economic (1) & enclave (1) & entrepreneurs (1) & \[
6102: 24
\] \\
\hline drawing (12) & 6133:10 & 6099:9 & 6137:24 & Evans (1) \\
\hline 6057:13;6060:5; & economics (1) & encourage (1) & equation (1) & 6110:6 \\
\hline 6062:2;6063:10; & 6043:11 & 6134:21 & 6158:2 & Evans' (1) \\
\hline 6068:25;6075:18; & ECV (1) & encouraged (1) & equations (1) & 6109:24 \\
\hline 6077:12,18;6083:1; & 6032:17 & 115:4 & 6158:6 & even (14) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6140:25;6141:15; } \\
& \text { 6142:13 }
\end{aligned}
\] & educational (2) 6043:8;6090:16 & end (9) 6052:5;6053:16 & ERIC (3) 6027:6,21;6127 & \begin{tabular}{l}
6044:16;6086:1; \\
6095:20,24:6107:23.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline dreamer (5) & effect (5) & 6054:19;6086:3; & especially (1) & 6109:24;6111:4; \\
\hline 6155:18,19,23,23,25 & 6037:15;6045:8; & 6129:10;6157:24; & 6140:8 & 6118:10;6120:19; \\
\hline dreams (2) 6040:17;6041:17 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6050:25;6054:11; } \\
& \text { 6088:24 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6164:17,21;6165:6 \\
ENDEAVOR (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline \operatorname{ESQ}(11) \\
6027: 19.5,20,20.5,
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6139:24;6140:18; } \\
& \text { 6157:21;6164:21; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6040:17;6041:17 & & & 6027:19.5,20,20.5, & 6157:21;6164:21; \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6171:21 & excluded (2) & 6157:7 & 6098:23;6100:13; & 6133:16,25 \\
\hline events (1) & 6053:5;6073:20 & experienced (1) & 6106:13;6118:4; & feeling (4) \\
\hline 6035:23 & exclusive (1) & 6088:1 & 6141:22;6149:16; & 6084:18;6110:4,6; \\
\hline everyday (3) 6052:10;609 & 6099: & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { expert (43) } \\
6029: 15 ; 6
\end{array}
\] & 6151:6;6165:10 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6133:18 } \\
& \text { fees (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6133:17 & 6032:1;6069:25; & 6033:11;6035:8; & 6133:5, & 6110:14 \\
\hline everyone (5) & 6070:2,5;6144:21 & 6036:19;6037:7,8; & facts (9) & feet (4) \\
\hline 6029:1;6098:14; & excused (8) & 6041:7;6046:12,15,20, & 6079:7;6106:18 & 6107:13,15;6111:21; \\
\hline 6112:21;6128:13; & 6042:4,6;6089:13, & 24;6047:7,22,24; & 6107:1,22,22;6109:23; & 6136:8 \\
\hline 6168:14 & 16;6117:5,13;6172:11, & 6048:10,24;6050:9,21; & 6138:24;6158:9; & few (11) \\
\hline evidence (31) & 13 & 6052:23,23;6070:16; & 6160:12 & 6044:18;6063:13,25; \\
\hline 6040:15;6056:12; & executed & 6071:20;6074:5,8; & factual (10) & 6064:1,7,7;6094:13; \\
\hline 6058:9;6059:1,9; & 6080:24 & 6099:3,21;6100:7,11, & 6041:8;6052:3,10, & 6111:5;6138:1; \\
\hline 6062:12;6065:19; & exercise (2) & 12;6102:23,24; & 14;6053:2;6104:15,20; & 6156:24;6171:6 \\
\hline 6066:6;6068:15; & 6067:9;6074:14 & 6103:14,17,21,23; & 6105:1;6133:7,19 & field (3) \\
\hline 6073:19,24;6076:22; & Exhibit (41) & 6104:4;6106:2,7; & factually (1) & 6107:24;6115:5; \\
\hline 6078:2,5,21;6079:2,7; & 6056:11,20;6058:13, & 6108:21;6129:2; & 6052:19 & 6164:2 \\
\hline 6105:1,1;6113:6; & 21,22,22,23,23,24,24, & 6130:2;6172:21 & FAHERTY (3) & Fields (5) \\
\hline 6115:18,21;6124:9 & 25,25;6060:7;6062:11; & expertise (7) & 6027:20;6065:2 & 6029:7,9,17;6030:2, \\
\hline 6133:7,8,19;6136:13 & 6065:18;6066:5; & 6046:21;6047:2,6; & 26:14 & 18 \\
\hline 6149:21;6163:2,4; & 6068:15;6069:11; & 6101:16;6103:6; & Fair (19) & fifteen (3) \\
\hline 6168:3 & 6073:24;6076:22; & 6139:9;6157:2 & 6153:24;6155:1 & 6088:2;6128:3,12 \\
\hline evidencing (1) & 6078:1;6087:4; & experts (4) & 6157:4,5,9;6158:2,3,7, & fifteen-minute (1) \\
\hline 6145:13 & 6112:18;6113:22; & 6031:3;6032:9 & 8,13;6162:16,17; & 6129:5 \\
\hline evidentiary (2) & 6115:18,20;6116:19; & 6033:8;6052:8 & 6165:2,3;6167:5,10; & fifty (4) \\
\hline 6073:19,20 & 6120:24;6124:8; & expert's (1) & 6169:4;6170:4,17 & 6044:1;6089:6; \\
\hline exacting (1) & 6136:13;6139:3; & 6070:25 & fairly (1) & 6172:1,3 \\
\hline 6133:24 & 6141:16;6149:20; & explain (7) & 6036:16 & fifty-four (1) \\
\hline exactly (8) & 6151:8;6159:8; & 6036:9,12;6055:4; & fairness (1) & 6091:15 \\
\hline 6036:6;6054:13; & 6161:20;6162:24; & 6058:4;6112:23; & 6057:23 & figure (2) \\
\hline 6055:9;6071:12; & 6164:8;6165:16; & 6121:24;6171:10 & false (4) & 6118:5,6 \\
\hline 6099:20;6117:16; & 6169:24;6171:8 & explained (3) & 6117:22,25;6118:7, & figured (2) \\
\hline 6129:23;6140:22 & exhibits (2) & 6151:16;6166:4 & 20 & 6046:16;6112:21 \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{exam}(1) \\
6089: 8
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6058:20;6063:16 } \\
& \text { xist (5) }
\end{aligned}
\] & 6171:9 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { familiar (4) } \\
& \quad 6048: 5,7 ; 6161: 1 .
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { file (1) } \\
& 6059: 21
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline EXAMINATION (16) & 6054:10;6094:11; & 6033:20 & 6162:20 & filed (2) \\
\hline 6030:23;6036:14; & 6115:9;6135:15; & explains (1) & familiarity (1) & 6057:3;6079:23 \\
\hline 6038:5;6041:1;6043:3; & \[
6138: 2
\] & 6116:13 & 6047:16 & files (3) \\
\hline 6049:7;6084:20; & existed (2) & explanation (2) & families (3) & 6083:15;6107:11; \\
\hline 6085:9,13;6090:11 & 6118:25;6166:11 & 6030:1;6171:1 & 6091:24;6115:15,15 & 6109:21 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6120:21;6131:1; } \\
& \text { 613:19;6156:15,20; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { existing (4) } \\
& \text { 6057:21;6060:20; }
\end{aligned}
\] & expressly ( 6067:6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { family (10) } \\
& \text { 6057:20;6064:25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { film (3) } \\
& 6134: 22,23,24
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6171:4 & 6065:4;6160:3 & extends (1) & 6065:16;6069:20; & filmed (1) \\
\hline exceeded (1) & exists (3) & 6169 & 6076:18;6102:15; & 6139:12 \\
\hline 6099: & 6121:20;6133:8 & extent (4) & 6115:16;6135:11; & final (3) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { exceeding (1) } \\
& 6094: 2
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 6135:6 } \\
\text { expected (2) }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6046:25;6069:7 } \\
& \text { 6129:11,13 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6138:21;6154:20 } \\
& \text { far (5) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6072:18,19;6110:2 } \\
& \text { finally (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
except (3) \\
6080:7,1
\end{tabular} & 6096:9;6130:21 & & \begin{tabular}{l}
6047:4;6070:8 \\
6114-24:6119
\end{tabular} & 6046:1;6155:16 \\
\hline exception & expedited 6131:17 & & 6114:24;6119:
6128:7 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { financial (20) } \\
& \mathbf{6 0 3 8 : 1 6 ; 6 0 3 9 : 1 9}
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6040:3;6057:3; & expense (3) & facade & farm (1) & 6040:2,14;6041:10,16, \\
\hline 6058:15;6060:9,11; & 6057:17;6085:21 & 6114:18 & 6091:19 & 23;6123:7,9;6145:10, \\
\hline 6062:21;6063:19,20; & 6137:13 & face (1) & features (3) & 15,18;6148:15;6161:7, \\
\hline 6064:18;6065:4; & expenses (1) & 6098:2 & 6066:16;6159:25; & 9,12;6162:16;6163:5; \\
\hline 6066:13,13;6079:20, & 6110:15 & faced (1) & 6160:6 & 6167:17 \\
\hline 22;6080:2,11;6136:21;
6142:6 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { expensive (3) } \\
& \quad 6113: 16 ; 6137: 25 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6032:18 \\
facie (1)
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { February (2) } \\
\mathbf{6 0 7 7 : 3 , 1 1}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { find (6) } \\
& 6047: 13 ; 6054: 1,25 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline exceptions (1) & 6160:8 & \[
6133: 8
\] & Federal (2) & 6140:2;6149:11; \\
\hline 6063:1 & experienc 6047:11 & facilities (2) & \begin{tabular}{l}
6043:21;6044 \\
feeds (1)
\end{tabular} & 6171:21
finding (1) \\
\hline 6094:21;6153:14 & 6096:1,15;6098:5; & fact (12) & 61 & 6076:13 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { exchange (1) } \\
6156: 8
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6101:20,24;6107:2 \\
6110:3,5;6116:14;
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6061:12;6072:17; } \\
& \text { 6074:5;6078:7; }
\end{aligned}
\] & feel (4) 6049:24;6074:15; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { fine (14) } \\
& 6029: 25 ; 6030: 5,11 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6032:3;6037:13; } \\
& \text { 6053:15;6072:24; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6037:3,4 } \\
& \text { flip (2) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6142:8;6157:22 } \\
& \text { Fort (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6045:16;6061:21; } \\
& \text { 6068:10;6170:2,2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6114:22,23;6134:11; } \\
& 6140: 11,11
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6073:25;6075:3; } \\
& 6082: 13 ; 6120: 7
\end{aligned}
\] & 6161:23;6165:24
floor (1) & 6090:20 & & golf (3) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
6082:13;6120:7; \\
6127:23;6149:23;
\end{tabular} & floor (1) 6140:1 & \[
\begin{array}{|r|}
\hline \text { forth (9) } \\
6034: 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\] & G & \begin{tabular}{l}
6080:1;6111:5,6 \\
Good (24)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 6169:18 & floors (1) & 63:8,17,18,18; & GAAP (1) & 6029:1;6030:13,15; \\
\hline fingertips (1) & 6140:1 & 6064:16,17;6132:22 & 6034:16 & 6042:23;6043:5,6; \\
\hline 6116:11 & Florida (26) & fortunately (1) & GABER (1) & 6047:19,20;6069:3 \\
\hline finish (3) & 6028:6;6042:25 & 6136:3 & 6027:22.5 & 6083:25;6085:7; \\
\hline 6120:16,17 & 6043:17;6044:15 & forty (1) & gag (3) & 6090:5,6,14,15; \\
\hline finished (1) & 6045:1,2;6048:25 & 6126:6 & 6131:18,22,2 & 6096:18;6108:10; \\
\hline 6090:23 & 6049:4;6050:14; & Forty-five ( & gather (1) & 6109:20;6112:10; \\
\hline FINKELSTEIN (1) & 6059:10;6067:14; & 6133:14 & 6148:25 & 6123:6;6126:11; \\
\hline & & & & 6156:22 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { fired (1) } \\
6030: 4
\end{gathered}
\] & 6086:16;6090:10,20 & :2 & 6158:13 & 156:22 \\
\hline firm (11) & 6098:24;6099:7,9,15 & 6086 & 6034:2 & 6:3 \\
\hline 6029:12,2 & 6108:15;6116:10; & found (1) & 6132:12;6142:19 & government (13) \\
\hline 8,9,22;6087:23; & 6127:18 & 53:2 & GENERAL (9) & 6031:1,24;6032:23; \\
\hline 6088:11,14;6092:15,15 & flour (1) & Foundat & 6027:3,17;6037:22; & 6045:14;6052:9; \\
\hline first (39) & 6133:20 & 6085:22,23;6128:17 & 6064:24;6092:8; & 6055:1;6057:18 \\
\hline 6030:18;6039:25; & flow (1) & founded (1) & 6101:1;6115:5; & 6085:23;6127:22; \\
\hline 6042:18;6048:10; & 6158:1 & 6092:24 & 6117:16;6159:2 & 6130:11;6131:1; \\
\hline 6054:4;6057:14; & focus (1) & four (8) & generally (4) & 6169:17;6173:3 \\
\hline 6060:15;6062:7; & 6159:21 & 046:7;6085:18 & 6070:11;6081:10 & governmental (2) \\
\hline 6063:13,23,23,25; & focused (1) & 93:16;6106:11,16; & 6136:2;6164:3 & 6045:23;6067:5 \\
\hline 6064:1,6,7;6065:12; & 6044:12 & 6109:10,13;6155:11 & General's (4) & grade (1) \\
\hline 6069:15;6078:11,23; & foll & fraud (1) & 6058:19;6112: & 6090:22 \\
\hline 6079:9;6080:11; & 038 & 6117:2 & 6156:23;6171:1 & graduate (3) \\
\hline 6085:18;6090:2; & 6055:12;6065:11,24 & free (1) & generate (1) & 6043:9,11;6090:25 \\
\hline 6091:7,15,24;6093:10; & 6066:25;6070:6; & 103:1 & 6045:17 & graduated (3) \\
\hline 6097:1;6108:13; & 6076:13;6080:13 & frequency (1) & generis (1) & 6043:13,19;6044:6 \\
\hline 6109:2,15;6114:3; & 6084:12;6105:24 & 10:9 & 6157:16 & grant (1) \\
\hline 6127:3;6134:23; & 6126:23;6145:20 & Friday & gentlemen (1) & 6069:6 \\
\hline 6136:15;6137:9; & 6173:8 & 6130:22;6131:2,5,5 & 6155:8 & granted (2) \\
\hline 6157:1;6165:10; & follows (4) & friend (1) & gets & 6061:3;6115:19 \\
\hline 6171:12 & 6038:4;6042:19 & 6163:20 & 6112:24 & grantee (5) \\
\hline Fisher (1) & 6081:10;6090:3 & front (8) & Gibbons & 6066:25;6067:2,3; \\
\hline 6108:12 & foot (4) & 037:18;6043:21; & 6090:21 & 6068:1,4 \\
\hline fit (2) & 6140:5,7,16,1 & 6096:17;6120:23; & gilded (2) & grantor (4) \\
\hline 6111:9;6163:25 & footage (3) & 6135:25;6138:24; & 6134:12;6140:1 & 6067:1,13;6068:1; \\
\hline Fitzgerald (1) & 6098:2;6109:16; & 6150:1;6173:2 & given (9) & 6159:24 \\
\hline 6155:21 & 6134:10 & full (2) & 632:15;6039:7 & Grantor's (2) \\
\hline five (8) & footages (1) & 6071:1;6077:1 & 6050:11;6096:2; & 6159:19,22 \\
\hline 6040:11;6088:5; & 6106:19 & fully (2) & 6100:1;6106:10; & Great (8) \\
\hline 6120:13;6124:14; & footnote ( & 6073:22;6131:21 & 6131:15;6164:22 & 6059:3;6097: \\
\hline 6125:23;6170:7; & 6118:4 & function (1) & 6171:23 & 6109:19;6114:9,10; \\
\hline 6172:2,2 & Forachi (2) & 6039:17 & gives (4) & 6116:7;6155:21,24 \\
\hline flag (1) & 6088:10,1 & fundamentals (1) & 6107:12;6111:6 & greater (1) \\
\hline 6114:2 & Forgive (1) & 6035 & 6112:13,14 & 6055:4 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { flagged (1) } \\
6074: 4
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{r}
6126: 2 \\
\text { form (2) }
\end{array}
\] & funny (1) 6084:18 & giving (8) 6051:9;60 & \begin{tabular}{l}
greedy (1) \\
6110:14
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Flagler (1) & \[
6121: 20 ; 6159: 2
\] & furnishing & 6064:20;6095:17,18, & GREENFIEL \\
\hline 6042:24 & former (10) & 6110: & 21;6096:7;6102:8 & 6130:16,21;6131:4, \\
\hline flashlight ( & 6078:13;6080:19,25; & furniture & glad (4) & 10 \\
\hline 6143:1 & 6081:6,14,16,18,21,24; & 6091:14 & 29:6;6059:13 & Greg (1) \\
\hline flavor (1) & 6082:4 & further (10) & 6072:10;6160:10 & 6050:7 \\
\hline 6112:13 & forms (1) & :4;6040:19; & God (2) & gross (1) \\
\hline Flemmons (8) & 6043:24 & 6066:24;6067:2; & 6108:12;6136:4 & 6031:23 \\
\hline 6031:24;6032:7; & formula (4) & 6085:4;6154:12; & goes (3) & ground (2) \\
\hline 6035:7;6036:5,6; & 6107:4;6142:10 & 6156:1;6170:24; & 6137:10;6139:8; & 6139:7,19 \\
\hline 6129:2,15,25 & 6146:8;6158:5 & 6172:6,10 & 6154:7 & Group (1) \\
\hline Flemmons' (2) & formulate (2) & future (5) & gold (5) & 6044:23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline grown (2) & 6096:19 & 6109:20 & 6027:14.5 & 6055:6 \\
\hline 6093:24,25 & happy (6) & highly (2) & honored (1) & identified (1) \\
\hline guarantor's (1) & 6047:18;6058:7; & 6099:24,25 & 6156: & 6040:16 \\
\hline 6066:19 & 6073:7;6074:4; & Highway (1) & honoring (1) & identifies (2) \\
\hline guess (7) & 6128:14;6167:7 & 6028:15 & 6126:20 & 6050:24;6061:1 \\
\hline 6085:8;6088:18; & hard (5) & hinges & Honor's & identify (2) \\
\hline 6089:6;6100:4; & 6056:21;6092:16; & 6081:13 & 6036:21;6065:12; & 6047:4;6058:12 \\
\hline 6101:15;6109:8; & 6136:2;6138:12; & hire (3) & 6071 & identifying \\
\hline 6164:21 & 6163:3 & 57:19 & hope (6) & 6053:20 \\
\hline guessing (1) & HAREN (1) & 6157:1 & 6037:25;6078:19 & ie (1) \\
\hline 6161:1 & 027:21 & Historic (2) & 6113:24;6117:12; & 6080:20 \\
\hline guest (3) & Harold (1) & 6066:8;6159:12 & 6134:23;6166:16 & ignore (1) \\
\hline 6080:18,20;6081:2 & 6115:12 & Historical (3) & hoping (2) & 6035:18 \\
\hline guests (1) & Harvard (1) & 6068:21;6079:12; & 6048:20;6131 & ignoring (2) \\
\hline 6062: & 043 & 6081:19 & hotel (1) & 6035:20;6049 \\
\hline guidance (2) 6038:11,20 & head (5) & history (1) 6044:5 & 6080:9 & ii (1) 6067:17 \\
\hline guide (2) & \[
13 ; 6157: 13
\] & hold (1) & \[
6086: 11,14,18,24,2
\] & ill (1) \\
\hline 6045:18;6108:14 & headed (1) & 6056:21 & 6087:11;6088:9,9; & 6029:10 \\
\hline gut (3) & 6054:13 & HOLDINGS (1) & 6109:11,12 & imagine (2) \\
\hline 6110:4,5;6133:18 & hear (8) & 6027:8 & hourly (2) & 6029:23;6140:15 \\
\hline guy (2) 6108:13:6171:15 & 6047:18;6049:20,22 & home (8) & 6087:9;6088:22 & impact (2) \\
\hline 6108:13;6171:15 & 6082:1;6094:23; & 6053:18;6091:6; & hours (7) & 6135:25;6159:3 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { guys (2) } \\
& 6138: 7 ; 6163: 25
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6103:18;6129:1; } \\
& \text { 6130:18 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6093:10,13;6111:20, } \\
& 21 ; 6113: 19 ; 6138: 21
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6040:9;6087:21; } \\
& \text { 6088:1,2,17,21;6142:7 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { impacted (1) } \\
6034: 2
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline & heard (6) & homes (10) & house (19) & implementation (2) \\
\hline H & 6048:3,12;6070:6 6080:11;6104:16; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6057:20;6102:9,12; } \\
& \text { 6138:1;6139:19,21,21, }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6099:11;6111:2,3,4, } \\
& 5 ; 6114: 18,19 ; 6115: 1
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6045:19;6046:2 \\
implications (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline HABBA (2) & 6109:3 & 22;6143:5;6163:10 & 12;6121:17;6135:15; & 6070:11 \\
\hline 6028:14,16 & hearing (3) & honest (1) & 6136:3;6140:7,9; & importance (1) \\
\hline half (2) & 6070:7;6077:24,2 & 6171:21 & 6149:8,12;6154:17; & 6116:8 \\
\hline 6112:14;61 & hearings (2) & honestly (1) & \[
6165: 7,11
\] & important (5) \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { half-hour (1) } \\
\text { 6048:19 }
\end{gathered}
\] & 6043:24,2 & 6081:20 & houseguests (1) & 6051:9;6080:2 \\
\hline hand (10) & 6097:12;6168:13 & 6029:3,4;6031:21 & houses (4) & importantly (2) \\
\hline 6042:12;6075:19; & Hearst (1) & \[
6033: 13 ; 6034: 22
\] & 6149:8;6150:7; & 6040:8;6064:17 \\
\hline 6078:1;6089:21; & 6115:12 & 6035:5,7;6039:14; & 6153:23;6164:12 & impose (1) \\
\hline 6116:21;6134:12,14, & heck (1) 6058:9 & 6040:20,23;6041:25 & housing (1) 6137:25 & \begin{tabular}{l}
6063:2 \\
impossib
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Hand-carved (1) & held (2) & 6046:10,14;6049:16; & huge (3) & 6085:24;6140:12 \\
\hline 6115:2 & 6077:3;6173: & 6051:14;6052:5,7; & 6106:23;6114:1 & impractical (2) \\
\hline handed (10) & help (7) & 6053:14,24;6054:5,20; & 6172:18 & 6085:19;6137:11 \\
\hline 6058:12;6117:2; & 6056:14;6079:5; & 6055:6;6056:1; & hundred (17) & impressive (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6120:25;6149:24; } \\
& \text { 6151:4;6153:18; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6096:13;6108:14,25; } \\
& \text { 6157:14;6167:15 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6058:17;6064:1,3; } \\
& \text { 6065:21;6071:8; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6040:12;6044:1; } \\
& \text { 6087:21;6088:21,21; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6114:8 \\
improper (2)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 6159:9;6161:21; & helps (1) & 6072:7;6083:20,25; & 6089:3,5,5;6094:13,21; & 6041:15;6046:23 \\
\hline 6162:4;6164:9 & 6052:3 & 6084:15;6085:5,10; & 6099:15;6124:14,14; & improvement (1) \\
\hline Handing (2) & hence (1) & 6089:9,18;6099:2; & 6135:11;6138:2,4,8 & 6060:23 \\
\hline 6056:23;6078:3 & 6046:23 & \begin{tabular}{l}
6100:4,6,18,25;6102:5, \\
7,14;6104:14;6106:5;
\end{tabular} & hundreds (4) 6043:23;6088:5, & \begin{tabular}{l}
inadvertent (1) \\
6156:10
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 6114:17 & 6048:9;6069:5; & 6112:17;6113:2,21; & 6135:13 & INC (5) \\
\hline handle (2) & 6106:6 & 6114:2,14;6115:17; & hungry (1) & 6027:8;6062:16; \\
\hline 6044:17;6096:18 & herein (3) & 6116:18;6119:11; & 6126:12 & 6079:21;6081:17; \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hand-painted (1) } \\
& 6134: 17
\end{aligned}
\] & herring (1) & \[
6128: 4,20 ; 6132: 15
\] & \[
6095: 16
\] & include (1) \\
\hline hanging (1) & 6035:16 & 6139:19;6141:1; & hustled (1) & 6171:24 \\
\hline 6100:5 & high (8) & 6149:14,16;6150:6,12; & & included (4) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { happen (2) } \\
& 6072: 20 ; 6093: 13
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6090:19,24,25; } \\
& \text { 6091:4,4,6,10;6164:21 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6151:14,15;6152:22; } \\
& \text { 6153:2;6156:7,13,17; }
\end{aligned}
\] & I & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6063:16;6074:5,10; } \\
& \text { 6103:7 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline happened (4) & higher (5) & 6168:2,17;6170:24; & & includes (4) \\
\hline 6030:19;6093:22; & 6086:23;6118:12; & 6171:2;6172:7,21; & & 6032:6;6076:12; \\
\hline 6165:2;6171:20 & 6152:1,21;6172:3 & 6173:2 & 6088:6 & 6081:11,17 \\
\hline happening (1) & highest (1) & HONORABLE (1) & & including (7) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6068:5;6073:20; & 6057:19 & 6137:3 & joke (1) & 6071:4,22;6072:7; \\
\hline 6079:13;6082:3; & intensity (1) & involvement (1) & 6089:7 & 6073:13;6074:12; \\
\hline 6102:25;6103:24; & 6046:4 & 6094:20 & \[
\begin{array}{|c|}
\hline \text { JR (2) } \\
\mathbf{6 0 2 7}
\end{array}
\] & 6103:15,16,20; \\
\hline inconsistent (5) & 6062:18 & 6072:1;6081:20; & judge (13) & 6118:11;6119:15,17, \\
\hline 6063:16,17;6064:16; & intentionally (3) & 6118:19 & 6072:1;6075:5 & 22,24;6120:2,7;6127:6, \\
\hline 6065:2;6067:18 & 6065:15;6076:15,17 & Irving (1) & 6079:6;6090:5; & 11;6128:19;6129:11; \\
\hline incorporate (1) & interact (1) & \[
6093: 15
\] & 6096:24;6097:4; & 6130:8,19,23;6131:7, \\
\hline 6080:25 & 6048:15 & island (3) & 6112:10;6132:11,14; & 13,15;6132:11; \\
\hline incorporated (1) & interest (1) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6092:21;6099:24; } \\
& 6116: 9
\end{aligned}
\] & 6139:15;6142:1; & 6156:10;6169:7,16; \\
\hline incredible (1) & interested (2) & isolate (1) & Judge's (1) & Kiser (1) \\
\hline 6138:9 & 6082:2,5 & 6035:17 & 6137:9 & 6100:5 \\
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\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6051:7;6059:5; } \\
& \text { 6062:15;6063:2 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6061:10;6062:16; } \\
& 6065: 7 ; 6066: 7,15
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6057:14;6059:7; } \\
& \text { 6062:25;6064:13; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
6065: 13
\] \\
Uniondale (1)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 6155:16 & 6067:12;6076:10; & 6069:3;6076:11,19; & 6072:14;6078:23; & \[
6028: 10.5
\] \\
\hline Thursday (7) & 6077:2,3,20;6078:10, & 6077:9;6078:14; & 6079:9;6087:25; & unique (2) \\
\hline 6127:13;6128:2; & 10;6079:24;6080:12; & 6079:18;6080:16,20; & 6090:13;6092:2; & 6087:1;6111:10 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { uniqueness (1) } \\
6110: 24
\end{gathered}
\] & 6057:4;6061:5,6,19,21, & 6110:25;6114:11; & videos (1) & \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { watch (1) } \\
6134: 22
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline United (1) & 6084:24;6105:12 & 6118:6;6119:6;6121:9, & view (2) & water (6) \\
\hline 6159:13 & 6110:8;6114:23; & 11,14,21,24;6122:3,4; & 6030:13;6115 & 6082:12;6107:19,19, \\
\hline units (1) & 6117:22;6142:11 & 6123:1,5,9,11,14,17, & violated (1) & 20;6111:3,6 \\
\hline 6098:2 & 6151:10 & 20;6124:10,12,16,18 & 6118 & waterfront (1) \\
\hline universe (1) & useful (1) & 20,22,24;6125:2,4,6,8, & visit (1) & 6111:1 \\
\hline 6051:2 & 6047:7 & 11,13,16,18,21,24; & 6112:20 & waterway (1) \\
\hline University (2) & , & 6126:4,7;6132:23; & visited (1) & 6155:2 \\
\hline 6043:10, & 45:10,2 & 6133:2;6136:1; & 6108:8 & way (17) \\
\hline unlike (1) & 61:1,2,8,9;6063:17; & 6138:17;6141:20,22 & voir & 6064:21;6069:13; \\
\hline 6167:2 & 6064:16;6066:25; & 6142:15,19,22;6143:2, & 6101:15,18 & 6070:21;6073:7; \\
\hline unresponsive (1) & 6067:5,10,16;6068:10; & 8,11,14,16,19,22,25; & voting (1) & 6079:3;6111:13; \\
\hline 6035:5 & 080:9;6104:4 & 6144:3,6,8,10,12,14,16, & 6076:1 & 6112:22;6113:19; \\
\hline unto (1) & using (5) & 18,20,22,24;6145:1,3, & & 6130:3;6131:25; \\
\hline 6115:9 & 6053:17;608 & 6,9;6146:5,11,14,16, & W & 6132:8;6133:22; \\
\hline up (46) & 6116:10;6118:7 & 18,20,24;6147:1,3,5,7, & & 6134:5,6;6140:14; \\
\hline 6033:17; & 6146:8 & 9,11,13,15,18,20,23,25; & WABASH & 6141:10;6150:3 \\
\hline 6049:14 & USPAP & 6148:2,4,6,9;6151:10, & 6027.9 & ways (2) \\
\hline 6056:11;6061:24 & 39:8,10,16 & 17,25;6152:2,5,7,9,11, & Wait & 6031:14;6075:7 \\
\hline 6071:14;6073:17; & 6040:4,6,13 & 14,16,19;6157:19; & 6167 & wealth (3) \\
\hline 6087:3;6095:5; & usual (1) & 6158:17;6159:4; & walk ( & 6091:19;6137:23; \\
\hline 6096:20,21;6097: & 84: & 6164:18,19;6165:5,8, & 6053:1 & 6138:4 \\
\hline 6102:4;6107:7;6110:3, & usua & 12,20;6166:1,2,3; & WALL & wealthy (3) \\
\hline 23;6112:25;6116:5,10, & 6045:23;6096:8 & 6167:4,10;6170:1,5,13, & 6027:9.5 & 6091:23;6113:15; \\
\hline 21;6122:3;6127:14,19; & 6097:23;6106:19,20, & 20 & WALLACE (95) & 6137:24 \\
\hline 6133:5,8;6138:13,14, & 21;6107:4,12;6113:15; & valued & 6027:19.5;6030:8 & Weaver (1) \\
\hline 16;6139:19;6150:20; & 6138:14;6157:20 & 6039:6;6108:6 & 6031:17;6032:1; & 6044:8 \\
\hline 6151:3;6157:8;6158:2, & utilize (1) & 62:11;6163:13 & 6046:19;6047:9,14; & website (3) \\
\hline 6,21,25;6159:7;6161:2, & 6045:9 & 6164:16 & 6048:21;6049:6; & 6098:15,16,19 \\
\hline 19,25;6162:23;6164:7; & & values (12) & 6051:14,22;6053:6,8; & \begin{tabular}{l}
week (3) \\
6095:13,6127:17.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6165:15;6168:14; } \\
& \text { 6169:24 }
\end{aligned}
\] & & 6038:13;6039:19; & 6056:1;6059:12; & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6095:13;6127:17; } \\
& \text { 6133:17 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline upshot & v & 6119:2;6133:6;6142:3, & 6069:21;6070:4,10 & weeks (2) \\
\hline 6048:16,16 & 6154:16,18 & 21;6161:6;6162:2; & 6071:19;6072:8; & 6072:8;6073:6 \\
\hline upwards (1) & valid (1) & \[
6165: 7,25
\] & 6073:16;6074:11; & WEISSELBERG (1) \\
\hline 6088:25 & 057:23 & valuing (7) & 6078:20;6079:4; & 6027:6.5 \\
\hline usage (4) & valuable (1) & 6100:7;6101:21 & 6084:17;6085:1,7,1 & Welcome (1) \\
\hline 6060:18;6061:13 & 6111:7 & 6103:11;6107:17 & 14;6086:6;6087:3; & 6127:3 \\
\hline 6069:10,10 & valuation (14) & 6119:5;6167:16 & 6089:9;6090:12; & welfare (1) \\
\hline use (60) & 6095:5;6097:18; & Vanderbilt's (1) & 6097:11,14;6100:18; & 6057:24 \\
\hline 6034:25;6035:11,12; & 6100:22;6107:8; & 6115:12 & \[
6101: 3,6,13,14,19
\] & weren't (2) \\
\hline 6038:12;6044:13,24; & 6108:5;6115:23; & vanilla (1) & 6103:3;6104:6;6106:5; & 6137:22;6145:11 \\
\hline 6045:6,7,12;6046:3,11, & 6116:22;6157:12; & 6134:2 & 6113:1,18;6114:5; & West (3) \\
\hline 15;6048:10;6050:14; & 6158:21,25;6165:18 & variety (1) & 6118:18;6119:11,13; & 6042:24;6108:15; \\
\hline 6051:12;6052:8,22; & 19,21;6170:10 & 6050:20 & 6123:23;6128:6,25; & 6114:18 \\
\hline 6054:16;6061:7; & valuations (6) & various (1) & 6129:9,12;6130:12; & what's (16) \\
\hline 6062:15,19;6063:2,3, & 6040:16;6100:1 & 6044:2 & 6132:7;6137:7;6139:6, & 6031:18;6032:25; \\
\hline 11,14,20;6065:4,6,10, & 6118:20;6134:8; & vegetation & 8;6141:1,9;6148:16; & 6033:21;6034:21; \\
\hline 15;6067:11;6068:7; & 6157:9;6161:10 & 6160:2 & 6156:3,16,21,22; & 6035:25;6036:15; \\
\hline 6070:16;6073:14; & value (169) & VENTURE (1) & 6159:7,17;6161:2,19, & 6037:18;6047:10; \\
\hline 6075:23,25;6076:9,18; & 6031: & 027:9 & ;6162:23;6163:3,8, & 6096:18;6115:1; \\
\hline 6079:15,23;6080:2,9,
18,20 24:6081-2. & 6032:12;6034:17 & verify (2) & 22;6164:7;6165:15; & 6117:20;6119:15 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 18,20,24;60 } \\
& \text { 6105:15,15, }
\end{aligned}
\] & 6035:1,14;6036:4, & 3:5;6106 & \[
6168: 2,7,14
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6120:23;6123:5; } \\
& \text { 6154:3;6171:11 }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6110:18;6113:9,20; & \[
10,11 ; 6040: 12,17
\] & 6106:22;6111:3 & 6170:8,24;6171:7,9; & whatsoever (1) \\
\hline 6114:10;6116:13; & \[
6041: 9,16,17,22
\] & vested (1) & \[
6172: 9,20,25
\] & 6060:21 \\
\hline 6118:19;6136:21; & 6095:1,2,3,18,19,24; & 6075:23 & wants (2) & whenever (1) \\
\hline 6158:5;6160:10,22 & 6096:3,16;6097:15,25; & video (17) & 6073:23;6105:14 & 6159:24 \\
\hline used (22) & 6099:22;6101:7,8; & 6111:23;6112:8,9, & warning (1) & whereas (3) \\
\hline 6031:9,19;6035:2; & 6104:8,9;6105:17; & 12,18,19;6113:2,17,18, & 6170:7 & 6069:18;6104: \\
\hline 6036:3;6038:7; & 6106:7,12,16,25; & 22;6114:1,9;6134:12, & washing (1) & 6170:21 \\
\hline 6051:19;6055:2; & 6107:17;6108:2; & 21,21;6140:9;6141:11 & 6091:7 & Whereupon (27) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6027:25;6055:12; & 6029:2;6030:15,20, & 6052:9;6062:25; & 6153:10,12;6158:13; & 6138:18,20;6141:16; \\
\hline 6057:12;6070:6; & 22;6032:2,4,25; & 6067:8;6086:12; & 6163:20;6166:12,15; & 6142:4,13;6163:11; \\
\hline 6075:8;6082:14; & 6033:10,19;6034:1; & 6087:15,24;6091:8,13, & 6171:17 & 6164:14 \\
\hline 6105:24;6114:1; & 6035:25,25;6036:9,15, & 23;6092:16;6093:2,3; & yesterday (10) & 10th (1) \\
\hline 6115:20;6117:2,13; & 17;6039:14;6042:4,5,6, & 6117:11;6133:23; & 6030:25;6031:22; & 6067:12 \\
\hline 6120:8,25;6145:20; & 8,11,16,17,23;6047:18, & 6134:5;6164:2 & 6033:3,9,13;6034:5; & 11 (3) \\
\hline 6149:24;6151:4; & 20,23;6048:3;6049:17, & worked (1) & 6036:9,22;6038:1; & 6067:22,22;6076:11 \\
\hline 6152:25;6153:18; & 19,21,24;6050:9,21; & 6092:3 & 6141:1 & 11,375,000 (1) \\
\hline 6156:18;6159:9; & 6052:24;6053:25; & working (1) & yesterday's (1) & 6144:5 \\
\hline 6161:4,17,21;6162:4, & 6054:10;6055:3; & 6081:10 & 6041:3 & 11,475,000 (1) \\
\hline 25;6164:9;6165:17 & 6058:2,2;6062:11 & workmen (1) & YORK (15) & 6144:7 \\
\hline white (1) & 6064:2;6065:18,22 & 6115:2 & 6027:1,1.5,2.5,3.5, & 11,500,000 (1) \\
\hline 6086:5 & 6068:14;6069:25; & workout (1) & 12.5,12.5,17.5,19,19; & 6144:9 \\
\hline whole (8) & 6070:3,5,7;6071:22,24; & 6128:11 & 6028:10.5;6086:17; & 11,650,000 (1) \\
\hline 6042:14;6072 & 6074:17,19,20; & works (2) & 6109:1;6131:17,24; & 6144:11 \\
\hline 6078:18;6089:23; & 6075:11;6076:21 & 6037:19;6113:2 & 6135:1 & 11,750,000 (1) \\
\hline 6117:16;6130:24; & 6078:1;6079:8; & world (6) & you- (1) & 6144:13 \\
\hline 6154:3,5 & 6082:13;6084:7,9,13; & 6115:3,9;6133:8,22; & & 11,850,000 (1) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { who's (4) } \\
& \quad 6035: 8 ; 6127: 4 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6089:13,14,16,17,20, } \\
& 25 ; 6090: 1,5,9 ;
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6138:16;6140:23 } \\
& \text { worry (1) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Z & \[
\begin{gathered}
6144: 17 \\
\mathbf{1 , 9 5 0 , 0 0 0}
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 6130:15;6169:21 & 6096:24;6097:3; & 6075 & & 6144:15 \\
\hline whose (1) & 6099:8,14,18,25; & worth (8) & zoning (15) & 11-183-(1) \\
\hline 6149:1 & 6100:12,13,16; & 6098:6;6104:2 & 6045:18;6046:1,2, & 6064:18 \\
\hline William (1) & 6101:12;6102:6; & 6105:14;6114:23 & \[
12,15 ; 6048: 11 ;
\] & 1125 (5) \\
\hline 6155:9 & 6104:7,8;6106:6; & 6118:3;6135:7,11 & 6052:23;6060:20; & 6142:4;6148:11; \\
\hline windshields (1) & 6117:3,4,8,12,13; & 6138:7 & 6070:16;6079:16,24; & 6150:5;6151:1;6152:2 \\
\hline 6091:9 & 6119:4,25;6120:8,8,16; & wrestled (1) & 6080:3,4;6081:5,25 & 11556 (1) \\
\hline 6093:7,9 & 6121:1;6126:16,20;
6127:10,24,25;6128:2; & writes (1) & 0 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6028: 10.5 \\
& 11-93(1)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline winged (1) & 6129:5,5,13,14,18,19, & 6165:6 & & 6079:20 \\
\hline 6114:21 & 21;6130:5,10;6131:8; & writing (1) & \[
07921 \text { (1) }
\] & 11th (1) \\
\hline wings (1) & 6132:3,13;6136:13; & 6070:22 & \[
6028: 15.5
\] & 6127:17 \\
\hline 6114:22 & 6137:7;6148:16,21; & written (5) & & \begin{tabular}{l}
12 (2) \\
6027:8:5;6136:23
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { winner (1) } \\
6134: 23
\end{gathered}
\] & 6149:15,25;6150:16, 19,23;6151:5;6153:19; & 6063:8;6068:2; 6077:21;6078:6 & 1 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6027:8.5;6136:23 } \\
& 12,100,000(2)
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline winter (1) & 6156:2,9;6159:10; & wrong (6) & 1 (2) & 6144:19,21 \\
\hline 6062:9 & 6161:22;6162:5; & 6037:12,12;6107:15, & 6057:16;6164:17 & 12,350,000 (1) \\
\hline wish (2) & 6163:25;6164:5,10; & 24;6110:9;6118:22 & 1:00 (1) & 6144:23 \\
\hline 6088:20;6126:20 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6168:5;6169:4,21; } \\
& \text { 6172:11.12.13.17 }
\end{aligned}
\] & Y & 6120:13 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12,950,000 (1) } \\
6144: 25
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline 6029:25;6092:14; & witness' (1) & Y & 6065:11 & \[
124 \text { (6) }
\] \\
\hline 6163:22 & 6056:2 & yacht (1) & 10,900,000 (1) & 6142:4;6146:4; \\
\hline Withdrawn (3) & witnesses (1) & 6080:1 & 6143:24 & 6163:12;6165:4,11,25 \\
\hline 6113:12;6121:13; & 6127:12 & year (19) & 10:00 (1) & 127,600 (1) \\
\hline 6142:18 & witnesses' (1) & 6062:9;6065:14; & 6173:10 & 6093:16 \\
\hline within (4) & 6036:24 & 6076:16;6080:22; & 100,000 (1) & 13 (4) \\
\hline 6048:17; & witnesseth (1) & 6094:3;6121:16; & 6122:8 & 6080:12;6087 \\
\hline 6080:3;6081:7
without (42) & 6069:3
witness's (1) & 6122:9;6123:4; & 10005 (1) & \begin{tabular}{l}
6092:21;6135:17 \\
13975
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ithout (42) } \\
& \text { 6055:10;6067:2; }
\end{aligned}
\] & 6046:21 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6133:12,12;6136:22; } \\
& \text { 6142:15,20;6143:25; }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{array}{|c}
\hline 6027: 1 \\
101(1)
\end{array}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 13,975,000 (1) } \\
& 6145: 5
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline 6070:14;6071:4; & wonderful (1) & 6145:6;6147:5,9; & 6028:5.5 & 134-2b (1) \\
\hline 6076:13,20;6105:18; & 6085:15 & 6152:19;6161:11 & 1013 (1) & 6059:6 \\
\hline 6111:17,18;6114:13; & wonders (1) & years (35) & 6068:15 & 134-786 (1) \\
\hline 6123:12,17;6124:16, & 6084:16 & 6044:4,12;6046:6 & 1019 (1) & 6059:6 \\
\hline 20,24;6125:4,8,13,19; & Woodbridge (6) & 6065:7;6093:5; & 6149:20 & 134-787 (1) \\
\hline 6126:5;6132:23; & 6142:4;6146:4; & 6094:14;6096:2; & 1019-23 (1) & 6059:6 \\
\hline 6141:25;6143:11,16, & 6163:12;6165:4,11,25 & 6098:5,7;6104:18 & 6151:8 & 13-mile (1) \\
\hline 22;6144:4,12,16,20,24; & word (4) & 6107:3;6109:25; & 1063 (4) & 6099:24 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
6145:3;6146:16,22; \\
6147:3,7,11,15;
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6056:17;61 14:10; } \\
& \text { 6154:14.15 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
6111:25;6115:2; \\
6116:3,3,11,14;6121:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6112:18;6113:22; } \\
& 6115: 18,20
\end{aligned}
\] & 14 (3) 6066:18;6155:13 \\
\hline \[
6151: 21,23,24 ; 6152: 7,
\] & wording (1) & 6122:12;6133:14,17; & \[
1066 \text { (1) }
\] & 6166:7 \\
\hline \[
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1 that.

MR. WALLACE: No, that would be, potentially, a new opinion. But, it was not disclosed during the period in which the Court ordered the parties to provide their expert disclosure.

THE COURT: So, I don't think being in the affidavit, on a summary judgment motion would -- I don't know.

MR. KISE: Respectfully, your Honor, it is because with summary judgment, we are responding to their summary judgment just like they submitted things in response to our summary judgment.

So the experts -- I can't predict, with certainty, exactly, what it is that the Government is going to say in their summary judgment.

MR. WALLACE: We presented no experts in our summary judgment motion.

MR. KISE: And, if I could finish.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. KISE: But I know I do that to them all the time. So, I'm only kidding, Mr. Wallace.

So, in affidavit paragraphs 27 and 28, I will point out that Professor Bartov referenced his report.

There is nothing really new in his affidavit, with respect to these issues, that wasn't in his

He's offering an opinion. He's not making the ultimate determination. That's what you would do. But, he's providing input that would go to the ultimate determination as to the counts that remain at issue here. These determinations must include the governing accounting standards.

I don't think it's a secret. No, we don't believe that your summary judgment ruling, in this regard, actually, took into account the full GAAP Standards and the Governing Accounting Standards. Be that as it may, we are where we are.

But, the defense cannot be precluded from introducing expert testimony regarding the appropriate standards and expert opinion as to whether, based on those standards, there is evidence of a material misstatement.

The Attorney General's complaint is replete with claims that the SOFC's violated GAAP. You heard the witness say that yesterday.

But, as Professor Bartov testified, no GAAP violations were identified. The Attorney General's response to that is, simply, "Oh well, the complaint was a long time ago."

That is, respectfully, an untenable and absurd position. The governing standard is the
report. I'm just pointing out that this is another source of notice -- a word that we hear a lot about.

An expert testimony that helps clarify an issue calling for professional or technical knowledge, possessed by the expert, is properly admitted.

That's the DeLong, D-E-L-O-N-G, case, Court of Appeals 60 NY2d 296.

As the Court knows, Professor Bartov was qualified as an expert in accounting, credit analysis, valuation and financial reporting misconduct; clearly entitled to provide an opinion about whether the documents he reviewed support his opinion as to the SOFC's compliance with GAAP or any violations of GAAP.

This opinion includes whether he determined there was any evidence of a material misstatement in the SOFCs. That's, verbatim, allegations of material misstatements from his June 30th report.

It also includes his opinion, disclosed, that not all accounting errors or departures from GAAP have a significant impact on financial statement users.

His views on what the documents provide, respectfully, Judge, is no different than what you did on summary judgment. You looked at the SOFCs. You looked at documents and made determinations about those documents based on the evidence.
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governing, whether it was in the complaint a long time ago or whether we talk about it here, GAAP is the standard. It's not different because that referenced it last year.

So Professor Bartov is entitled to opine on the preparation and presentation of the SOFCs, their compliance with GAAP and whether under governing accounting standards there was any material misstatement under those standards, based on that review.

And I'll cite the Court to the Hudson Insurance case. It is found at 31 misc -- M-I-S C -3d 1203(A) where, in that case, the defense called an accounting expert to testify regarding his review of the documentary and other evidence and offer an opinion as to whether or not there was any indicia of fraud.

The plaintiffs argue, similarly to here, that the issue of whether evidence of fraud exists was not properly within the expert's purview but, is instead an issue to be decided by, in that case, the jury -- a much higher standard, as you know, for admission of expert testimony when there's a jury present.

This is a bench trial. So the opportunity for confusion and misleading is limited, if it, at all, exists.

The Court in that case held that the expert may opine, as he hads, regarding his opinion on the defendant's activities.

He may testify as to how the defendant's activities compared to accepted accounting practices; and in doing so, the expert may thus provide a standard against which the fact finder may judge the defendant's activities.

Further, the expert may state his opinion upon whether fraud occurred. He may not broach the next step and state that fraud occurred as a matter of law. It is up to the Court to make that determination.

I would say that, that case is about as close to on point as you're going to find in this context. The Attorney General cases, that they cited yesterday -- as I mentioned yesterday but, I'll be more specific today -- are wholly inapposite.

The SEC case involved testimony as to a specific state of mind of the defendant. The Kincey, K-I-N C E-Y, case involved testimony as to whether the defendant, quote, intended to seriously injure or kill the victim. I don't think that there's anything, at all, that we could borrow from that analysis in this case.

Most particularly, Professor Bartov is not
offering an opinion on, as I said yesterday, on the intent or state of mind of anyone -- the defendants or anyone else.

His testimony is directly related to disputed issues at trial. He's testifying as to whether he found evidence of material misstatements in the SOFCs and other documentation based on his understanding of GAAP and other governing accounting standards.

The question of misstatement, with respect to these counts -- and frankly, we think the whole case, must be considered in the context of GAAP and the governing accounting standards.

And, the defense cannot be precluded from introducing expert opinions as to the compliance with those standards and or whether, in the opinion of the expert, there was any indicia of material misstatement or fraud pursuant to those standards.

It is then up to the Court to take that evidence and do what it will with it. But, we're entitled to put on that defense because, that's meeting the allegation that's been made against us as defendants.

So, for all those reasons and based on the cases that I cited, we would ask the Court to revisit the ruling and allow Professor Bartov to testify about

1 matters that were disclosed in his report.
THE COURT: Mr. Kise, I admire your thoroughness. I'm open to or I will revisit -especially because the witness is still available. Sometimes less is more. But that's not your style. I understand.

What I would like to do is ask you, as simply as possible, what you want the witness to say. He's not here, obviously, so I don't think there's any prejudice. However, that's what I would like to know.

But, if the Attorney General would rather weigh in first, go ahead.

MR. WALLACE: I'm eager to hear what this testimony would entail. So, I will wait until Mr. Kise answers the question before I weigh in.

THE COURT: Are we talking about ten questions and answers, three questions and answers thirty?

MR. KISE: Two things on that. Number one, to the extent the testimony from yesterday isn't stricken, if you lift that ruling -- you revisit that ruling and don't strike that testimony, number one, that solves a lot of issues because, then, the testimony is already in the record. We don't have to revisit those questions.

THE COURT: I'm not going to do that. But, I'm giving you the chance to say what you want him to be able to say.

MR. KISE: Okay. What we want him to be able to say is ostensibly what he said yesterday; that, based on my review of the SOFCs, the relevant supporting documentation, the relevant testimony, that, that I saw in indicia of material misstatement or fraud. And that's the short of it.

And I would think that, that can be accomplished -- I mean, I'm not going to speak for my colleague, Mr. Suarez. But, I would think that that's a half a dozen questions or so. I mean, it's not a complicated proposition. But, the point is, he's done a review, he's looked at the --

THE COURT: Okay. To me, that's one question. Having reviewed everything -- you don't have to repeat what he reviewed -- did you find any indicia of fraud -- except that's leading but, something like that.

MR. KISE: Well, something like that. I mean, you asked me in sum and substance.

THE COURT: That's what I wanted to know. You want him to be able to say I examined the SOFCs, blah, blah, blah and I didn't see any indicia of fraud.
\begin{tabular}{ll}
1 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ MR. KISE: The only caveat to that, in terms } \\
2 & of the numbers of question is, there would just be a \\
3 & series of questions. \\
4 & As you know, we have to go year by year. \\
5 & It's the same question, like, in 2016, you examined the \\
6 & SOFC, did you determine any -- based on your review of \\
7 & these records, was there any indicia of fraud or what \\
8 & did that reveal to you. But, '16, '17, '18. \\
9 & THE COURT: He's going to say "no" for every \\
10 & year. Why do we -- \\
11 & MR. KISE: Because it's important; \\
12 & particularly, when we get to the statute of limitation \\
13 & question that we have in the record that this is \\
14 & segregated out because, I do think that is going to \\
15 & become very important on appeal -- without question it \\
16 & is. \\
17 & THE COURT: *Fine. You can ask that \\
18 & question. \\
19 & MR. KISE: You asked me "that's it?" That's \\
20 & it on what we want to ask. I don't think I'm leaving \\
21 & anything out unless Mr. Robert has anything to add. \\
22 & MR. ROBERT: I don't. \\
23 & THE COURT: So, Attorney General, would \\
24 & you -- do you object -- \\
25 & MR. WALLACE: I do.
\end{tabular}
particularly, when we get to the statute of limitation
question that we have in the record that this is
segregated out because, I do think that is going to
become very important on appeal -- without question it is.

THE COURT: *Fine. You can ask that
MR. KISE: You asked me "that's it?" That's

He has not really reviewed much of the testimony. He hasn't reviewed the testimony of -- or he, certainly, doesn't rely in his reports on the testimony of Mr. Weisselberg or Mr. McConney or Mr. Birney or any of the people who actually prepared the reports.

So this is a reason why you disclose, in your report, what your opinions are and the foundation for those opinions. So, we could have investigated that at the time we were doing expert discovery.

So this fraud opinion is new. It is not disclosed in his reports. And, I will note, I'm looking at the first citation Mr. Kise gave us, paragraph 25 to 32 of his report.

Paragraph 25 says, clearly, the original financial statement information serves as the -- it doesn't relate to fraud. It relates to the process for preparing financial statements. It relates to standard credit analysis theory which is in paragraph 27.

Paragraph 28 begins, "To what extent do lenders rely on financial statement information in their credit risk analysis?"

So I don't know if Mr. Kise is citing to the wrong paragraphs but, any proprietary or academic analysis that Professor Bartov performed in order to
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Proc & dings Page 6371 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Proceedings Page 6373} \\
\hline & THE COURT: -- to what you judgment heard? & 1 & determine whether or not there was fraud, was not \\
\hline 2 & MR. WALLACE: Yes, I still object. I think & 2 & disclosed in his report and is, therefore, not an \\
\hline 3 & we're confusing the issues. Yesterday we objected to, & 3 & appropriate grounds for him to be testifying now. \\
\hline 4 & indeed, questions that would have Professor Bartov talk & 4 & I will just add that Professor Bartov has \\
\hline 5 & about intent of defendants. & 5 & been granted broad latitude to testify about how \\
\hline 6 & That is the reason we cited the cases we & 6 & Deutsche Bank should have done credit analysis, what \\
\hline 7 & cited. We were not citing that as the basis to exclude & 7 & his thoughts are on the quality of Statements of \\
\hline 8 & his testimony about some investigation he conducted and & 8 & Financial Condition -- they're not tethered to much \\
\hline 9 & the determinations as to whether or not there was fraud & 9 & except "I look at the documents and it's obvious." \\
\hline 10 & in this case. & 10 & This witness has been given broad latitude to \\
\hline 11 & Those questions we objected to because, as a & 11 & go, well beyond, the scope of his core expertise. We \\
\hline 12 & new opinion, it was not included in his original & 12 & would posit he, actually, has demonstrated very little \\
\hline 13 & disclosures. And if he -- I believe the introductory & 13 & to no expertise to someone doing a credit analysis. \\
\hline 14 & question to that was, do you have some process that you & 14 & But I think letting him now bootstrap and \\
\hline 15 & follow for determining whether or not there is fraud in & 15 & bring in a new opinion about fraud, they should have \\
\hline 16 & the financial statement. & 16 & disclosed it in his report. And he's not allowed to \\
\hline 17 & I believe this was going to go to some & 17 & testify to it now. \\
\hline 18 & econometric or academic analysis he performs when he & 18 & MR. KISE: So, I am just going to read from \\
\hline 19 & looks at statements. And that's how he knows -- just & 19 & page 36 of the June 30, 2023 report. Allegations of \\
\hline 20 & by looking at the face of the statement -- whether or & 20 & material accounting misstatements -- otherwise known as \\
\hline 21 & not there's fraud. & 21 & fraud in this case -- allegations of material \\
\hline 22 & So he is applying some sort of professional & 22 & accounting misstatements and use of inappropriate \\
\hline 23 & nique to determine there's fraud. Because, I will & 23 & valuation methods in the compilation reports, due to \\
\hline 24 & posit, Professor Bartov has not read the supporting & 24 & GAAP violations, are based on invalid premises and \\
\hline 25 & data. He testified to that in his deposition. & 25 & incomplete and flawed analysis of relevant facts. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

So the invalid premises would be the interpretation of GAAP and the GAAP violations.

No, we did not disclose every word of what Professor Bartov was going to testify to. The trial testimony of the witnesses that he's referencing was not available at the time he prepared his reports.

The subject matter, though -- to say that the subject matter of material accounting misstatements and his testimony and his views on whether or not the SOFCs contain material accounting misstatements is disingenuous at best. And far worse, from a different perspective, for the Government to argue that they weren't on notice of these opinions, I mean, they there.

The subject matter that I referenced earlier is in the broader sense subject matter. But if the Court is concerned about the use of "misstatement" versus the use of the word "fraud" as I said yesterday, fine, then he can say material accounting misstatements if that's going to satisfy the notice requirement then -- I don't see a different because, that's really what's at issue in the case.

Fraud is judged based on whether or not there are material accounting misstatements. Frankly, a 6312 Violation is judged based on whether or not there are
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\hline Proceedings \\
\(\mathbf{1}\) & material accounting misstatements. And that's why I \\
2 & say that the GAAP considerations were relevant for \\
3 & purposes of summary judgment. \\
4 & So, I don't see there's any disclosure issue. \\
5 & And, it appearances as though we're in violent \\
6 & agreement on the idea that he can testify to this \\
7 & subject matter. It's just they're claiming it wasn't \\
8 & disclosed. Just that one quote from page 36 of this \\
9 & June 30th report places him on notice. \\
10 & MR. WALLACE: I will be, exceedingly, brief. \\
11 & It doesn't say, "fraud." He was asked a question about \\
12 & how you detect fraud in the financial statement. \\
13 & If he was asked questions about material \\
14 & misstatements, we would, probably, have a different \\
15 & response but, judge the questions as they were asked. \\
16 & I will just also note, I'm not suggesting the \\
17 & problem with Professor Bartov is that he didn't review \\
18 & trial testimony. The problem is he didn't review \\
19 & investigative testimony from any of those people. \\
20 & The issues with reliability of anything on \\
21 & this count and the failure to disclose on this subject \\
22 & matter remain. And there was no disclosure of any \\
23 & fraud analysis that Professor Bartov performed. \\
24 & THE COURT: And that's the short response. \\
25 & I'm going to speak freely and maybe subjectively.
\end{tabular}

1 Mr. Wallace, you're as loquacious as Mr. Kise. I mean,
2 I, specifically, said I wasn't going to revisit what
3 happened yesterday. And then, you go into a whole
4 speak about yesterday. No harm done. I'm just 5 pointing that out.

And also, what really surprises me is, I got Mr. Kise to limit what he wanted to do so narrowly and you open a whole can of worms about what expert reports say or don't say. But, all right. I don't want to be more loquacious than the attorneys. I stopped being that a long time ago.

How about this? Here's my suggestion. I like to, you know, have compromise and agreement. We'll let Mr. Suarez ask Mr. Bartov, whether having read -- and, you can list the things he read -- for the year -- each year as Mr. Kise wanted -- whether he found any indicia of material accounting misstatement.

Mr. Solomon is happy. And let's keep in mind we only have this morning.

Mr. Wallace, do you agree with your colleague there?

MR. WALLACE: If Professor Bartov is answering the questions and not providing speeches, than yes.

THE COURT: Who would give speeches?

And defendants, are you okay with that? MR. KISE: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Any other housekeeping matters?

MR. KISE: One other item -- which now that I've looked at it, I know we said we were going to converse with the AG about the issue of Professor Bartov's supplemental disclosure relative to the monitor reports, once I had an opportunity -- through no fault of theirs -- to, actually, review everything and their position, I realized that while they're nice to talk to, negotiation wouldn't go anywhere because, they have \(\mathbf{a}\)-- their position is " A " and ours " B ". And, I don't see any compromise.

So in that regard, I'll just state briefly, that, this supplemental disclosure is necessary because the items about which Professor Bartov is opining, number one, most particularly the August 3rd and November 29, 2023, reports of the monitors were not available to Professor Bartov prior to him issuing his reports.

Number two, the reports that predate that don't contain much of anything. But they needed to be reviewed by him. But there wasn't a basis to draw -- there wasn't a need to draw any conclusion
prior to this Court's issuance of the summary judgment quite frankly.

Number three, because of this Court's issuance of the summary judgment and its apparent reliance on the August 3, 2023, report of the monitor -- which I'll note for the record, nowhere contains the words "fraud, indicia of fraud, suspected fraud or suspicious activity;" nonetheless, the Court went on to conclude that somehow or another the August 2023 letter was evidence of ongoing fraud. I believe that's the words in the order.

The defense needs to meet that assertion somehow. You have precluded our ability from calling the actual author of the letters -- which, for the record, we have disagreed with and continue to.

But, nonetheless, Professor Bartov is the only option the defense has to meet those allegations and that concern.

I fully anticipate that the Attorney General will argue, again, that these letters, somehow, indicate that there's a need for ongoing monitoring or there's some other problem. And so, the defense is, certainly, entitled to meet that allegation.

It's new evidence that wasn't available
before. There's no prejudice to them. They've had the
estate company. I don't even know -- they literally said -- he just said he's the last witness and that's why they're going to have him do this.

So it's not anything that requires expertise. And, it's not an appropriate subject for expert testimony.

\section*{MR. KISE: Your Honor -- \\ THE COURT: Briefly.}

MR. KISE: Your Honor, it clearly requires expertise. It clearly requires -- if someone -- if the fact finder is going to take the letters from the monitor and make determinations that there's persistent fraud when the word "fraud" isn't even used, that tells me, respectfully, that there's expertise required, that, someone with an accounting background needs to address the issues.

Professor Bartov is not opining on the ultimate issue. Professor Bartov is saying, based on the accounting issue -- it says it right here. Based on the minor accounting issues identified in the reports, he's got vast experience in evaluating financial statements. He's got vast experience in making determinations about whether or not there's financial reporting misconduct. That's been established.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & dings Page 6379 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Proceedings Page 6381} \\
\hline & letters the same time we've had the letters. And, if & & And so, he can look and see the types of \\
\hline 2 & they want to rebut it somehow or they want to cross & 2 & issues that were identified and opine as from an \\
\hline 3 & examine Professor Bartov, that's fine. & 3 & accounting perspective "Does this indicate that there's \\
\hline 4 & But, the idea that we can't meet evidence & 4 & a problem, that, there's fraud, that, there's suspected \\
\hline 5 & that is clearly going to be used or considered in a & 5 & fraud?" \\
\hline 6 & manner that might result in prejudice to the defense, I & 6 & So, I don't think it's -- with all due \\
\hline 7 & think would be -- not only is it objectionable but, I & 7 & respect to my colleague, I don't think it's \\
\hline 8 & think it would be, respectfully, reversible. & 8 & something -- particularly, given the history of the use \\
\hline 9 & THE COURT: And plaintiff? & 9 & of these letters -- that can be decided in the absence \\
\hline 10 & MR. WALLACE: Does your Honor have -- I'm & 10 & of someone who has this expertise. \\
\hline 11 & not sure how much background you need on the proposed & 11 & And, it's not because he's the last witness. \\
\hline 12 & affidavit, again. But, it's, basically, Professor & 12 & It's because he's a qualified witness and because we're \\
\hline 13 & Bartov reads the reports from the monitor, reviews & 13 & not allowed to speak to the authors of the letters. \\
\hline 14 & Mr. Hawthorn's testimony and says, "It's my conclusion & 14 & (Whereupon, the following proceedings were \\
\hline 15 & they have been cooperating and there is no fraud." & 15 & stenographically recorded Shameeka Harris.) \\
\hline 16 & If they want evidence to meet this challenge, & 16 & \\
\hline 17 & those are the pieces of evidence; the actual reports & 17 & \\
\hline 18 & from the monitor and the testimony from Mr. Hawthorn. & 18 & \\
\hline 19 & And that's a legal argument to say, "These & 19 & \\
\hline 20 & don't use the word fraud," as Mr. Kise just said. And & 20 & \\
\hline 21 & that's it. This isn't anything that requires & 21 & \\
\hline 22 & expertise. & 22 & \\
\hline 23 & This isn't anything that Professor Bartov has & 23 & \\
\hline 24 & a particular expertise in. He's never been a monitor. & 24 & \\
\hline 25 & He's never been in the oversight function of a real & 25 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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THE COURT: That testimony is absolutely precluded. I read every monitor report. I don't need an expert to tell me what they mean. To the extent that the monitor did not use the word fraud and I did, somewhat based on what was written, maybe that was my interpretation. Maybe, I should have chosen a different, slightly different word. In terms of appeal, Defendants, you have the reports. You have -you'll -- you have what the summary judgement decision said. You'll have what the post-trial decision say. You can appeal but there is no way I am going to let Professor Bartov expertly opine on reports written for my benefit.

I appointed her as an honor of the court, and I found her reports very, what's the word, easy to digest. That's more than one word. So, that's the ruling.

MR. KISE: So then, Your Honor, the only other ask we have is, I believe, that as we did with Mr. Shubin, that we put this into the record as a proffer just so that it's there in the record for appellate purpose. Otherwise, the appellate court will not see what's there. We did this the other day with the witness with Mr. Shubin. You allowed us to just mark it and include it as part --

THE COURT: I understand. What's the harm in that?
MR. WALLACE: Purely as a determination as a matter to go into the record that Your Honor has decided not to allow in, that's fine. It's hearsay. There are other

1 AL 2 of the Defendant, upon being previously duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT: As usual, I will remind you you are still under oath. We are trying, and I'm sure I hope the attorneys will agree with me, to finish your testimony, your cross-examination, your -- any redirect this morning. So, I would appreciate answers that are short and to the point. Do you think we can finish this morning?

MR. SOLOMON: If Mr. Suarez has another 40 minutes for direct, it will be unlikely we will finish.

THE COURT: Witness, we may need you Tuesday or Wednesday.

MR. KISE: Tuesday. He teaches on Monday. I know he is not available in addition to the other witness.

MR. WALLACE: Sometimes things happen. Why don't we discuss this after the break.

MR. KISE: It's their cross. If they want to waive it on Monday --

MR. WALLACE: I am going to ask about other witnesses. Let's do it on the break.

THE COURT: Mr. Suarez, why don't you continue now.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
issues. It's not evidence. It's actually in the record. Just as notice for the basis of your decision that you reviewed that proposed affidavit and said you are not going to allow it, that's fine by our -- that's fine from our end.

THE COURT: The bottom line is you agree as with the Shubin proffer that this can go into the record but it won't be part of the testimony.

MR. WALLACE: And it won't be evidence, correct.
THE COURT: Okay. Great. Let's - and let me just make sure.

Mr. Suarez, I'm sure you have been listening
intently and you understand basically for every year for everything he read, you want to ask him did he -- again, this is sort of leading but let's just call it preliminary matter. Did you find any indicia of material accounting misstatements, right?

MR. WALLACE: Not to be too loquacious, Your Honor, I will add going through having the witness explain exactly what he reviewed to reach those conclusions is one of the things we also wanted to hear. If he wants to put the testimony in as the foundational basis for the testimony.

THE COURT: All right. Let's get the witness.
And, Mr. Suarez, about how long will your direct continue, just trying to balance the morning?

MR. SUAREZ: About 40 minutes.

Q Good morning, Professor Bartov.
A Good morning.
Q In connection with your review of materials in this case, have you identified any indicia of material accounting misstatements in President Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, lacks foundation.
THE COURT: You would like the documents.
MR. WALLACE: What exactly did he review that is going to lead to the opinions that we will hear.

MR. SUAREZ: We went through it yesterday. I am happy to do it again. I am happy to --

THE COURT: He will tie it more closely to this particular response.

MR. SUAREZ: If I can get an answer, then I can walk him through everything and then I'll get it out.

THE COURT: I will have to walk him through, then get the answer I think.

\section*{CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. SUAREZ:}

Q Okay. In that case, Professor Bartov, I'd like to show you what's been premarked Plaintiff's Exhibit 34, 39.

A Yes.
Q What is this document, Professor Bartov?
A This is Conceptual Framework of Financial Reporting

Chapter Three Quantitative Characteristics of useful financial information.
Q And what does this conceptual framework provide?
A Conceptual framework is the foundation based on which
FASB, the Financial Accounting Standard Board, developed the accounting standards.

Q And if I could please turn your attention to page eight of this document to a section entitled materiality. And I'd like to specifically draw your attention to QC-11 which states, "Relevance and materiality are defined by what influences or makes a difference to an investor or other decision maker; however, the two concepts can be distinguished from each other. Relevance is a general notion about what type of information is useful to investors, materiality is entity specific.

The omission or misstatement of an item in a financial report is material if, in light of surrounding circumstances, the magnitude of the item is such that it is probable that the judgment of a reasonable person relying upon the report would have been changed or influenced by the inclusion or correction of the item."

Do you see that, Professor?
A Ido.
Q What does that mean?
A This is the definition of materiality according to the 25 accounting literature.

\section*{Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)}
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Q And in order to assess materiality in connection with a statement of financial condition, what information would you need to review?
A Well, in order to decide materiality, I need to review the information from the user perspective. So through the lens of the user, what information user used and whether a
misstatement, had it been corrected, the correction would change the -- would change the judgment of the user.

Q And in performing your analysis in this case, what information did you review in order to conduct the materiality analysis?

A So a good question is what information was used by the user. So the starting point is what information was used by the user and then the question is what was the true information, the information that should have been reported based on the correct application of GAAP. So, this is the question.

So if Deutsche Bank used -- used a net worth of 2 billion or 2.4 billion and the true net worth, based on the correct application of Generally Accepted Accounting Principle, is around this number -- because there is a lot of uncertainty around the estimation of the items on the statement of financial condition. So, obviously, you don't -- you don't expect the number to be accurate but -- but the point is that if -- if the user arrived at a correct information, then, then there is no -then from the perspective of the user, there is no misstatement.

1 So the analysis is from the perspective of the user what information the user relied on when they made the decision.

MR. WALLACE: Objection, Your Honor. The answer was not responsive. The question is what information did you review.

MR. SUAREZ: He is describing broadly the information that he needed to review.

THE COURT: No. Sustained. Clearly. Let me just say, common sense, I find that completely clear. I don't need an expert to tell me what that means. Your explanation went here, there, everywhere. Sometimes less is more. It seems to be the phrase of the day. So, but to get back to the basics, the question was what did you review not what this means, right?

\section*{CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. SUAREZ:}

Q In order to conduct this analysis, Professor, what specific information did you --

THE COURT: No, the question was what documents you reviewed, right?

MR. SUAREZ: Not just documents. It could be trial testimony. It could be deposition testimony.
A The key information is the credit reports because the credit reports show you the -- the credit report show you what information, credit to be used when they make their decision so
that's what the key information for me to - to analyze when I consider whether there was a material misstatement in the statement of financial condition.

Q And was there any other information that you reviewed in connection with that analysis?
A Yes. I obviously reviewed also the information in the statement of financial condition. And I want to make sure that there was no violation of GAAP. And as I said yesterday, every
financial statement, especially an audited financial statement where you contain an error, but the fact that a financial statement contain an error, if the error is -- if the error was inadvertent and then the error is inadvertent and they would not effect, would not change the judgment of the - of the user. Had the user had the correct information then it's -- then the financial statements are not - are not a - materially misstated.
Q And just to give a complete picture as to everything that you looked at, can you please walk us through all of the different categories of information that you looked at?
A Yes, I looked on the statement of financial condition. I looked on the -- I looked on the credit reports. I looked on the manuals to understand better the process that DB used in order to come up with the information. I looked on some trial testimony, before that deposition testimony, and before that even I looked on -- on very briefly -- I didn't spend much time
on it but if memory serves, this was like 7 or 8 years -- 7 or 8 months ago, I think that I reviewed quickly, I think, that I reviewed quickly a few -- very few work papers that were -- that were provided to Mazars.

I didn't focus on it. But if memory serve, I
definitely reviewed them through the report of Dr. Lewis because
Dr. Lewis cut and paste some of the -- some of the work papers used by Mazars. So it was eight months ago so I don't remember -- so I can't tell you accurately but I look on testimony. I look on the reports of also the other experts, Dr. -- Mr. Haigh and Mr. Korologos. That's about it.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, since this is proceedings subject to agreement, can the witness expand on the trial testimony and deposition testimony that he actually reviewed?

THE COURT: Please, let's get that out in the open.
Q What trial testimony did you review from the trial from these proceedings?
A From the trial, I reviewed -- I reviewed the testimony
of McConney, the testimony of Mr. McConney, the testimony of Mr. Flemmons. I reviewed a couple more, the testimony of Mr. McCarty. And I reviewed the testimony of Mr. Bender. You know, I can't remember right now all of them, but I think this is the most important ones.

Q Did you review the testimony of any of the Deutsche

Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez)
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Bankers?
A Oh, yes, sorry. Thank you. I reviewed -- you know, sorry, you know --

THE COURT: That's okay.
A I didn't know I come to a memory test. To my students are allowed to bring material to the exam. So I don't give them memory test. So thank you. I looked on Mr. Williams and Mr. Haigh, and Miss Vrablic.

MR. WALLACE: I don't want to put the witness through a deposition test. I definitely interrupted. I apologize for that. For the deposition testimony, was that all disclosed in your reports or is there any additional deposition testimony?

MR. SUAREZ: This strikes me as stuff for cross-examination.

MR. WALLACE: We agreed to this as a compromise which is the reason I am acting out of order. I acknowledge and I apologize.

MR. KISE: As the compromise allows them to get into an examination in the middle of a direct? I don't see how that matters.

MR. WALLACE: It is akin to voir dire.
THE COURT: It seems to me axiomatic. If the witness is going to offer an expert opinion and the other side is entitled to know what he relied on when he formed
his opinions.
MR. KISE: He is testifying about it on direct. If they want to followup on cross like they normally can, did you review anything else, did you review this or that as opposed to this other stuff.

MR. WALLACE: I apologize. Your Honor had said the witness should explain what trial and deposition testimony that he reviewed. I was trying to offer a means, since I didn't want to offer it as a memory test, for everything he may have done. That's why I suggested that as an option.

THE COURT: Let's just continue.

\section*{CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION}

\section*{BY MR. SUAREZ:}

Q Are there any materials that you reviewed that you can think of right now?

A Other materials?
Q Yes.
A No. Right now, I don't remember. If you remind -- if
you ask me specific questions, then I can maybe, maybe I can respond more specifically.

Q In connection with preparing your reports, did you review any deposition transcripts?

A Deposition transcripts? I did. I did review -- I actually attended -- I attended the deposition of Dr. Lewis. And I -- I reviewed the depositions. You know, I think I -- I
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think - I also look on the deposition of Wisselberg. I -- and I look on a few of the deposition testimony too but I can't remember now what they are.

Q And in your report, did you include a list of the documents that you relied on in coming up with your opinions?

A I did, yeah. I didn't list everything that I reviewed.
I list only the material that I relied on in my report.
Q And in connection with the materials that you reviewed in this case, have you identified any indicia of material accounting misstatement in President Trump's Statements of Financial Condition?

MR. WALLACE: I am just going to note our objection. We don't think this witness has laid a foundation for this opinion.

THE COURT: Read back, please.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: Overruled.
Q You can answer.
A As my report clearly indicate, I did not find any
evidence of material misstatement in any of the statement of financial condition.

Q And how was this standard QC11 relevant to that analysis?
A Well, given the definition of materiality that you have
to -- so materiality is a test and this definition tell you how to -- how, from the accounting standpoint, you need to perform this test. You need to perform this test based on this definition.
Q And then QC11A says, "A decision not to disclose certain information or recognize an economic phenomenon may be made, for example, because the amounts involved are too small to make a difference to an investor or other decision marker, (they're immaterial)." Then it says, "However magnitude by itself without regard to the nature of the item and the
circumstances in which the judgment has to be made, generally is not a sufficient basis for a materiality judgment."

How does this section of the guidance of -- apply to your analysis?
A Well, the section -- this section actually makes two points. Point one is actually a -- it's actually mentioned in ASC 105 Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, principles when it says that GAAP need not be applied to immaterial items which means that if you deviate from GAAP but the deviation does not arise to material deviation, then you're still within GAAP. So GAAP does not require that every single item will be prepared according to GAAP. Only material items must be prepared according to GAAP. This is the first sentence.

The second sentence says, what I think I already said before, which is that when you judge whether an alleged
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misstatement is material, you cannot look on the magnitude by itself. The magnitude by itself is -- the magnitude mean the difference between the correct number and the stated number. The difference by itself is insufficient to decide whether there is material or not. You have to apply additional consideration which in accounting they're called qualitative analysis. You have to perform qualitative analysis that will guide you whether the omission of the misstatement is material or not.

Q And the following section QC11B, Professor, it says, "No general standards of materiality could be formulated to take into account all the considerations that enter into judgments made by an experienced reasonable provider of financial information. That is because materiality judgments can properly be made only by those that understand the reporting entity's pertinent facts and circumstances. Whenever an authoritative body imposes materiality rules or standards, it is substituting generalized collective judgments for specific individual judgments, and there is no reason to suppose that the collective judgments always are superior."

Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q How does this section apply to your analysis if at all?
A So this section is talking about the second step. So
there are two steps in materiality test. Step number one is to quantify the misstatement. Step number two is to apply
qualitative analysis to decide whether the misstatement that was quantified in step one is material or not. And all this tells you is, number one, you have to be an accounting expert to do this analysis and number two that this analysis is to a large extent subjective and require a lot of knowledge about the details of the company and the surrounding circumstances.
Q And if we can pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 290. Do you recognize this document, Professor?
A I do, yeah. Yes, I do.
Q What is it?
A It is a credit report prepared by the commercial real estate group of DB on July 24, 2013, as opposed to the Private Wealth Management Group.

Q And do you see, Professor -- if I could -- is it the CRE mean Commercial Real Estate or Commercial Real Estate Group. I think it is the CRE report for the Private Wealth Management Group?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Leading. He just gave the witness the answer.
A You know what, sorry. Go to the bottom of the -Q I withdraw the -- I just want to --

THE COURT: That's stricken.
MR. WALLACE: The damage is done.
A Sorry.
Q Do you see --
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MR. KISE: Your Honor, I think Mr. Wallace's cynicism is continuing over from yesterday, and I don't understand it. I really don't. He didn't give him the answer. The document is up there on the screen.

MR. WALLACE: If the witness wants to leave, I'm happy to discuss it. I don't think we need to discuss it.

THE COURT: Let's just move on.
MR. SUAREZ: We can put the whole document up.
\(Q\) Do you have a copy of it in front of you?
A Yeah. Sorry. This is Deutsche Bank Private Wealth Management Group.

Q Does this refresh your recollection?
A Yes. On the bottom, yeah. That's what I -- sorry. Yeah. So, yes. So I -- it's definitely there. Other reports that I reviewed actually are from the Private Wealth Management Group. So, yeah, it says on the bottom. That's why I asked you to look on the bottom of the document.
Q And what conclusion, if any, did you reach about whether Deutsche Bank relied on the president's statements of financial condition in 2013?

A So -- so, you can see, and this is common to all the credit reports, you can see that in page seven and page eight they have the Donald J. Trump valuation and they have DB valuation. And you see that the numbers used by DB for their purpose are substantially different than the number used by

yesterday, which is why we're going through this exercise today.

THE COURT: To the extent there was an objection, I overruled it. I let him say his conclusion about these credit reports. As is often the case, let's just move on. Okay? The record says what it says.

\section*{DIRECT EXAMINATION}

BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q. Professor, within the context of a material accounting misstatement, what conclusion, if any, did you reach about Deutsche Bank's reliance on the Statements of Financial Condition?
A. Yes, you know when --
Q. In this year in particular.
A. I just want to make a comment. When people don't understand me, it's my fault. It's on me. So, I have a continuous communication problem with Mr. Wallace. So let me just explain the language. So maybe it will save a lot of time of objection.

When I say, "obvious," it's obvious to someone -an accounting expert. When I say, "fraud," if there's no misstatement -- if there's no misstatement, there is no fraud. These are interchangeable.

In order to qualify for a fraud, you first have to
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have a misstatement. So if you like me to say there is no misstatement, I'll say there's no misstatement here. There is no material misstatement here. There's to evidence here of material misstatement.
Q. Thank you.

MR. WALLACE: Objection. That wasn't responsive to a question, your Honor. And I don't think the witness is supposed to be addressing me. He's supposed to be asking you.

MR. KISE: You keep asking raising objections.

THE COURT: We can't keep doing this as a short question and a long answer and then it wasn't responsive. I have to get a read back.

Mr. Wallace, is it important? If it is, I
will get a read back.
MR. WALLACE: It is not important.
THE COURT: Let's move on.
MR. SUAREZ: Can you pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 300.
Q. Do you recognize this document, Professor?
A. Yes. This is the review for July 20 -- this is the review for July 20, 2016.
Q. And again in the context of accounting -- excuse
me of a material accounting misstatement, what conclusion,
if any, did you reach about Deutsche Bank's reliance on President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition in the year 2016?
A. So, I see here a detailed analysis of a few pages just like before. And, this analysis is summarized on page 11; when again, they show a chart that compare Deutsche Bank valuation to the DGT valuation.

They show that they are aware of DGT valuation. In this case, the total portfolio, according to the DGT valuation is 5.7 billion. According to their own analysis, it's 2.5 billion.

So again, consider the entire report. And particularly, the summary on page 11, clearly indicate, to a reasonable expert, that, Deutsche Bank performed their own analysis of valuation before they make their lending decisions.
Q. And what conclusion, if any, did you reach concerning their reliance on the Statement of Financial Condition in this year?
A. So there is no evidence here of a material misstatement.
Q. And, if we turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 3137, I'd ask you if you recognize this document Professor? And they will hand you a paper copy just now.
A. Yes. So again, this is Deutsche Bank Private

Wealth Management Risk Management Group analysis of debt from July 20, 2017.
Q. What conclusion, if any, did you reach about

Deutsche Bank's reliance on President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition in July of -- in the year 2017? Excuse me.
A. So again, the recent report -- the credit report is very detailed. They did a number of different independent analysis. And the conclusion, again, from all of this report -- this report contain a lot of analysis, just like the other report.

And based on this analysis -- sorry. Just give me a second. so based on this analysis, this data and analysis, page twelve they show you the results which they say the valuation is 2.5 billion, where Donald J. Trump says the valuation is 4.3 billion which indicate that the financial statement - the Statement of Financial Condition were not, materially, misstated.
Q. If we can turn to Plaintiff's Exhibit 302, I will ask you professor if you recognize this document.
A. Yes, the same thing. It is a document but, different date. The date here is July 20, 2018. It was produced by the risk management group of Deutsche Bank Private Wealth Management.
Q. And what conclusion, if any, did you reach about

Deutsche Bank's reliance on President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition in the year 2018?
A. Again, there is a very detailed independent analysis, just like in all the other ones. And, at the end of this analysis, on page -- let me just find the page. So there is a very detailed analysis here of many different type of analysis as you would expect from a bank.

And, again, if you look, for example, on page ten, they summarize the detailed analysis that they performed and they show that the total portfolio, based on the Statement of Financial Condition, was 4.39 billion. According to their end analysis, it was 2.515 billion.

The evidence will show that they did not rely on the Statement of Financial Condition numbers but, they relied on their own numbers that were almost 50 percent or 40 percent lower than the number in the Statement of Financial Condition.
Q. From credit analysis perspective, as with the other years, what does this tell you Professor?
A. It tell you that they rely -- that, they did a very detailed analysis, independent analysis, using information in the footnotes and using additional information that they received directly from Mr. Trump. And, they based their conclusion on their own analysis, not on the numbers -- not on the raw numbers -- that were
property, for example, in the Statement of Financial Condition, it was reported at value of two billion. They arrived to the conclusion, on their analysis, that, the value, for their purposes, is one point 1.16 billion, almost 50 percent lower than the number reported in the Statement of Financial Condition.

So, they did not -- to me, as an expert, it's obvious that they did not rely on the raw numbers that are reported in the Statement of Financial Condition but, instead, they do a very extensive independent analysis derived, completely, different numbers and rely on this adjusted numbers in their lending decisions.
Q. Was that consistent with the analysis that Deutsche Bank performed in each year of the credit relationship?
A. Yes, they -- in each year, they perform, in spirit, more or less the same type of analysis. And the analysis relies, substantially, on information that is not even available in the Statement of Financial Condition.

As I said yesterday, the information of financial condition, does not provide income statement, does not provide statement of cash flow and there is no way that any bank can rely on the statement when they make their lending decisions.
Q. And if we could move on, I'd like to show you
A.Bartov - Defendants - Direct/Mr. Suarez
1
reported in the Statement of Financial Condition.
2 Q. And, if I could show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 498.0407 .
A.Bartov - Defendants - Direct/Mr. Suarez
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\section*{Plaintiff's Exhibit 519.}
A. Thank you.
Q. Do you recognize this document, Professor? If you turn to the second page of the hard copy.
A. Yes, this is the credit report dated July 30, 2020.
Q. What conclusion, if any, did you reach about Deutsche Bank's reliance on President Trump's Statement of Financial Condition in 2020?
A. Again, you see a very detailed analysis here of a different type of analysis. And, based on this analysis, on page 11 of this document, they provide a table that contrast, just like they did in other statement -- other credit report, they contrast the valuation on the Statement of Financial Condition with the valuation that will develop by DB independently.

And you see that the total portfolio value, based on the Statement of Financial Condition is 4.7 billion, approximately. But, the DB valuation is only 2.7 , approximately, billion. So, you see there's another differential of 43 percent.

Now, you see also that every year the differential in percentage is not the same. Which tell you that they did not use a mechanical, automatic discount to the asset.

And you see also that each asset -- the difference
\begin{tabular}{|rc|r|r|}
\hline A.Bartov - Defendants - Direct/Mr. Suarez & Page 6410 & A.B \\
1 & between the valuation on the Statement of Financial & 1 \\
2 & Condition and the valuation of the DB, each asset get a & 2 \\
3 & different discount; which again, show you that they did not & 3 \\
4 & use a standardized haircut when they computed their own & 4 \\
5 & valuation. & 5 \\
6 & Q. And in connection with Plaintiffs Exhibit 561 if & 6 \\
7 & we could pull up plaintiffs 56. & 7 \\
8 & MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I just want to & 8 \\
9 & note an objection to the testimony about the last & 9 \\
10 & document. That document did not appear in either of & 10 \\
11 & the disclosures that Professor Bartov issued. & 11 \\
12 & \(\quad\) So, I don't know when he, actually, reviewed & 12 \\
13 & these documents. But, it appears not to have been as & 13 \\
14 & part of his preparation of the two reports. & 14 \\
15 & \(\quad\) THE COURT: Overruled. & 15 \\
16 & Q. Do you recognize Plaintiffs Exhibit 561? & 16 \\
17 & A. Yes. So, this is the credit report for July 30, & 17 \\
18 & 2021. & 18 \\
19 & Q. What conclusions, if any, did you reach about & 19 \\
20 & whether Deutsche Bank relied on President Trump's Statement & 20 \\
21 & of Financial Condition in the year 2021? & 21 \\
22 & A. Again, if you review -- if you go page by page, & 22 \\
23 & you see that they perform an extensive analysis using & 23 \\
24 & information not only from other sources as well information & 24 \\
25 & from the footnotes of the Statement of Financial Condition. & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

So, in accounting, we determine fraud by objective criteria. And the objective criteria are, as I said before, falsified documents, concealment of facts, faked transaction, forged document are provided to the accountant.

If it would be helpful for the Judge, I can give couple of examples that would demonstrate what is the difference between accidental error and fraud in accounting.

THE WITNESS: If I give you real world example, would that be helpful?

THE COURT: How about start with one example.

THE WITNESS: One example. So let's take an example for Computer Associates. Computer Associates is a company that quarter was in Long Island.

What they did, they took revenues, in January, and they booked them as if the revenue was earned in December. So they take revenues that were earned in January and they book them --

THE COURT: They took earnings from December and put them in the later year.

THE WITNESS: They did transaction in January and they book it as if it is December in order to reflect the revenue in December.

Now, this maybe -- as I describe it so far -it may be an accidental error or a fraud. What make it
\begin{tabular}{|ll|}
\hline A.Bartov - Defendants - Direct/Mr. Suarez & Page 6411 \\
1 & And you can see here, on page 11, that total portfolio, \\
2 & according to the Statement of Financial Condition, was 3.8 \\
3 & billion and DB valuation was only 2.2 billion. \\
4 & And again, you see, that for each asset the \\
5 & difference between the DB valuation and the Statement of \\
6 & Financial Condition valuation, the difference in percentage \\
7 & is different. \\
8 & Again, inconsistent with the claim that they use \\
9 & standardized haircut to arrive at the suggested numbers and \\
10 & not detailed independent analysis. \\
11 & Q. Is there anything in the materials that you \\
12 & reviewed that demonstrate the Statements of Financial \\
13 & Condition were altered or manipulated to conceal a material \\
14 & accounting misstatement? \\
15 & A. So concealment is a major criterion for fraud in \\
16 & accounting. So, in accounting, we have objective criteria \\
17 & to identify fraud. Concealment is one of them. \\
18 & Falsification of material that is provided to the accountant \\
19 & is another one. Fake transaction is a third one. \\
20 & So, there is a number of indicators that indicate \\
21 & fraud. Because, otherwise, how would you distinguish \\
22 & between accidental error and fraud? \\
23 & Fraud is an error. An accidental error is also an \\
24 & error. Fraud is an intentional error. But, in accounting \\
25 & we don't do -- I am not psychiatrist.
\end{tabular}

1 a fraud was that when they provided the documents about this transaction to the auditors, they white out the date of the contract, which was January 15th, and they replace it with December 5. So this make it fraud rather than accidental error.

If, for example, they did not forge the document then, it would be considered an accidental error. Accidental errors occur all the time. But, if you've deceived your auditor, if you provide your auditor forged documents, if you provide your auditors documents that conceal the true evidence, then the accidental error become fraud.

THE COURT: That one example is fine.
Let's move on.
MR. SUAREZ: You Honor, I'm at a helpful point in my outline to take a morning break if possible.

THE COURT: How much longer is your direct?
MR. SUAREZ: I don't think I have got a whole lot left. But, it would be helpful to review that over the break. And, I also need a break.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's break. I will see you all at 11:45. Let's make is 11:40-- a quickie.

Professor, as usual, I always say, you can leave everything just take your wallet. And don't
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline A.Bartov - Defendants - Direct/Mr. Suarez Page 6414 & Proceedings Page 6416 \\
\hline 1 discuss the case, of course, during the break. & 1 Professor Lewis and Mr. Sneddon. \\
\hline 2 THE WITNESS: Sure. & 2 THE COURT: That's all the information you can \\
\hline 3 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were & 3 give? \\
\hline 4 stenographically recorded Shameeka Harris.) & 4 MR. WALLACE: Correct. \\
\hline 5 & 5 MR. KISE: He certainly can narrow it down. They \\
\hline 6 & 6 have, like we had, dozens of witnesses on their list. He \\
\hline 7 & 7 certainly can narrow it down to, okay, if it's somebody, it \\
\hline 8 & 8 can be these handful of people. I am not asking them to do \\
\hline 9 & 9 it right this moment, but the idea that we are going to get \\
\hline 10 & 10 to Monday afternoon or Tuesday morning and say, oh, we have \\
\hline 11 & 11 to add this person or that person it would just be \\
\hline 12 & 12 extraordinarily unfair. \\
\hline 13 & 13 THE COURT: I will make sure they won't abuse the \\
\hline 14 & 14 privilege. \\
\hline 15 & 15 MR. KISE: With respect to Mr. Sneddon, and \\
\hline 16 & 16 Mr. Robert may be the better person to do this but I'll \\
\hline 17 & 17 introduce it, we would like a proffer as to what exactly \\
\hline 18 & 18 Sneddon is going to testify about and what that would be in \\
\hline 19 & 19 rebuttal to. \\
\hline 20 & 20 MR. WALLACE: What basis? He's been on our list. \\
\hline 21 & 21 He worked at TIR. He was a Trump Organization employee. \\
\hline 22 & 22 They have his documents. \\
\hline 23 & 23 MR. KISE: But what issues is he rebutting in our \\
\hline \[
24
\] & 24 case. They could have called him in their case. What issue \\
\hline \[
25
\] & 25 in our case is he rebutting? \\
\hline Proceedings Page 6415 & Proceedings Page 6417 \\
\hline 1 (Whereupon a recess was taken.) & 1 THE COURT: You feel obligated to answer that? \\
\hline 2 COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in & 2 MR. WALLACE: I don't think I'm under an obligation \\
\hline 3 session. Please be seated and come to order. & 3 to answer that. \\
\hline 4 MR. KISE: Your Honor, just one brief housekeeping & 4 MR. KISE: He is clearly under an obligation to \\
\hline 5 matter. At this point, Monday is occupied with President & 5 answer that. It is a rebuttal case. Unless we are going to \\
\hline 6 Trump's testimony. I did confirm with Miss Greenfield that & 6 have this fight next week when he shows up and he starts \\
\hline 7 Professor Bartov will be available at 10 a.m. on Tuesday & 7 asking questions, it would be for more efficient to \\
\hline 8 ready to go to finish his testimony. And then I just want & 8 determine in advance if he's an appropriate rebuttal \\
\hline 9 to be sure from the government because I got an answer but & 9 witness. We didn't call Mr. Weisselberg in our case. The \\
\hline 10 I'm not sure it's a complete answer. As of -- we have & 10 only relevance we can see in his testimony relates to some \\
\hline 11 Professor Lewis and Mr. Sneddon are the two that they've & 11 purported communication between Mr. Sneddon and \\
\hline 12 identified as potential rebuttal witnesses. I just want to & 12 Mr. Weisselberg that should have been introduced on their \\
\hline 13 be sure. It is Friday and these witnesses are going to come & 13 case-in-chief. They can't use rebuttal to backfield their \\
\hline 14 next week. If there is anybody else they have in mind, & 14 case-in-chief. What issue is it that he is being called to \\
\hline 15 theoretically, we are entitled to know that. We can at & 15 testify about? \\
\hline 16 least be prepared, not wait until we get to Monday or & 16 THE COURT: I don't know the answer to what extent, \\
\hline 17 Tuesday. Is there anyone else? & 17 if any, somebody has to announce the subject matter of a \\
\hline 18 MR. WALLACE: I am going to tell Mr. Kise the same & 18 rebuttal witness. So I'll accept any advice. You can send \\
\hline 19 thing I told him at sidebar. Those are the people we are & 19 it to me at midnight tonight. So, I just have to leave it \\
\hline 20 planning to bring. This witness is saying a lot of things & 20 at that at this point. So, okay. Let's get the witness \\
\hline 21 about Deutsche Bank. Maybe, I want to recall a Deutsche & 21 back. \\
\hline 22 Bank witness. I don't know. President Trump can say any & 22 (Whereupon, the witness resumes the witness stand.) \\
\hline 23 number of things on the stand as we are all aware. I may & 23 THE COURT: Okay, next question. \\
\hline 24 want to call those people. They would be on our list. & 24 MR. SUAREZ: Thank you. Your Honor, just a \\
\hline 25 Right now, the only people we are planning to call are & 25 housekeeping matter, Plaintiff's Exhibit 34 and 39, which we \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 6418 & Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 6420 \\
\hline 1 previously discussed with the witness, I'd like to move that & 1 determining the value of an asset in a financial statement? \\
\hline 2 into evidence & 2 MR. SUAREZ: So here is a letter from Deutsche Bank \\
\hline COURT: Granted. It's in & , \\
\hline 4 MR. SUAREZ: And I'd like to show the witnes & 4 MR. WALLACE: At this point, can we excuse the \\
\hline 5 Defendant's Exhibit 1068, Defendant's Exhibit 1068 & 5 witness if he is going to explain the relevance of this \\
\hline 6 CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION & 6 testimony. \\
\hline 7 BY MR. SUARE & THE COURT: I will have to excuse the witness if \\
\hline 8 Q Mr. Bartov, if I could draw your attention to this & 8 you are going to do this. \\
\hline 9 letter, the subject matter being The Trump International Tower & 9 (Whereupon, the witness was excused from the \\
\hline 10 at 401 North Wabash Avenue in Chicago? & 10 stand.) \\
\hline 11 A Y & 11 THE COURT: Okay. Can you give us the whole smear \\
\hline 12 Q And it says, "Dear Miss Trump, copies of the following & 12 her \\
\hline 13 externally generated reports of the above referenced property & 13 MR. SUAREZ: Yeah. I think I know what that means. \\
\hline 14 are being made available to you for information purposes only"? & 14 THE COURT: That's because there are a lot of \\
\hline 15 A Yes. & 15 northers in Florida I thi \\
\hline 16 Q Why does Deutsche Bank order appraisals of property & 16 MR. SUAREZ: One of the theories of the plaintiff's \\
\hline 17 that's pledged to it as collateral? & 17 case is that we have had appraisals that we ought -- that we \\
\hline 18 MR. WALLACE: Objection. This is beyond the scope & 18 had ought to have disclosed to Mazars and somehow failed to \\
\hline 19 of this witness's expertise, and I would also say painfully & 19 do so. But what's clear from this evidence is that those \\
\hline 20 obvious and covered by other witnesses & 20 appraisals could not be used as the basis of generating \\
\hline 21 THE COURT: What was that third point and it's & 21 values because they were given to us under very strict \\
\hline 22 MR. WALLACE: Covered by other witnesses why & 22 restrictions from Deutsche Bank that they could not be used \\
\hline 23 Deutsche Bank orders appraisals. & 23 for any other purpose. \\
\hline 24 MR. SUAREZ: I am trying to lay a very brief & 24 MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, this is Chicago which \\
\hline 25 foundation for the next couple of questions I am going & 25 does not appear on the statement of financial condition. \\
\hline Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 6419 & Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 6421 \\
\hline but if Your Honor would rather I just jump into it. & 1 They got appraisals from Deutsche Bank for Doral which they \\
\hline 2 THE COURT: If it's brief, go ahead and do it. & 2 did use on the financial statement. This letter is \\
\hline 3 A The -- this is standard practice by banks when they & 3 addressed from Emile Pereless whom they could have asked \\
\hline 4 give you a mortgage they order an appraisal to know the value of & 4 about this when she was on the stand. Professor Bartov is \\
\hline 5 the -- of the asset according to their definition of value. & 5 not a buffet platter where they can go up and make sure \\
\hline 6 Q And then it goes on in the third paragraph to say, "It & 6 they're getting all of their theories into the case. I \\
\hline 7 should be noted that the subject reports were prepared for & 7 don't know what expertise he is providing on this. It is \\
\hline 8 Deutsche Bank trust company Americas and/or its affiliates, & 8 not available to the Court to just read a document and make \\
\hline 9 successors or assigns for a specific purpose and should not be & 9 an assessment of it \\
\hline 10 relied on by you for any other purpose." & 10 THE COURT: For some or all of those reasons, \\
\hline 11 Do you see that? & 11 sustained. \\
\hline 12 A Ido. & 12 MR. SUAREZ: Okay. \\
\hline 13 Q And with that in mind, would it be appropriate to use & 13 THE COURT: Let's get the witness. \\
\hline 14 appraisals commissioned by a bank for other purposes when & 14 (Whereupon, the witness resumes the witness stand.) \\
\hline 15 valuing property on a statement of financial condition? & 15 CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION \\
\hline 16 MR. WALLACE: Objection. What is the basis for & 16 BY MR. SUAREZ: \\
\hline 17 this witness offering an opinion on this? He is not a & 17 Q I'd like to pull back up Plaintiff's Exhibit 293 an \\
\hline 18 appraisal expert. I'll also note this document was not & 18 I'd like to direct the witness to page 6 of 293. And, again, \\
\hline 19 reviewed by the witness in the course of preparing his two & 19 pull up the tower, the Trophy Property valuation chart there in \\
\hline 20 reports so this is new. & 20 the middle. \\
\hline 21 THE COURT: Sustained for various reasons. & 21 Do you see the column for the Deutsche Bank valuations \\
\hline 22 MR. SUAREZ: I am asking the witness what the & 22 in 2011 of the 4 Trophy Properties? \\
\hline 23 utility of that report would be in placing a value on an & 23 A Yes, I \\
\hline 24 asset in a financial statemen & 24 Q If you could take a second just to review the document. \\
\hline 25 THE COURT: What utility would an appraisal be in & 25 It says 2011? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 A Yeah, it's December 20, 2011.
2 Q Okay. Do you see the Deutsche Bank values Trump Tower at 308.2 million that year?

A Ido.
Q The New York Attorney General values Trump Tower that year at \$369.2 million?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. What is the evidentiary foundation for how the Attorney General valued this property?

THE COURT: It's not on that sheet, is it? Am I missing something?

MR. SUAREZ: Do you deny that that's the value you assigned to the property?

MR. WALLACE: Based on what? It is a big record.
MR. KISE: We can ask as a hypothetical.
THE COURT: Hold on. You can ask it as a
hypothetical. I don't know that it's going to mean much but go ahead. If the New York Attorney General valued Trump Tower at 369 million.
Q If the New York Attorney General valued Trump Tower at 369 million that year, what would that tell you about the New York Attorney General's analysis?
A To me it would be -- to me it would be very surprising because the NY Attorney General was supposed to value the Trump Tower based on the definition of estimated current value.

1 hypothetical questions but that hypothetical, what would 2 that tell you if the Attorney General valued it, the witness wouldn't -- wouldn't have any basis to say what would that tell you. I don't understand. That whole answer was a cockamamie --

MR. KISE: On the hypothetical, the witness would have a basis to determine in his opinion -- the witness would have a basis to opine as to what conclusion he would draw from the number being lower than the Deutsche Bank number and he's answering a hypothetical. If the number is -- if the number were higher -- he's just provided the testimony that if estimated current value is going to result in a higher valuation, then he said it, liquidation value or fair value.

THE COURT: For one thing, there was -- I don't think -- I don't know that he could say because the number was low, oh, that must have been a liquidation value.

MR. KISE: That's in the record already. They are using fair value and liquidation value. Mr. Haigh testified to that.

THE COURT: Is that the case?
MR. KISE: Mr. Haigh testified to that. That's what they're doing, yes.

MR. WALLACE: I think he said adverse scenario.
MR. KISE: He's basically -- what Professor Bartov
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 6423 & Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Direct (Mr. Suarez) Page 6425 \\
\hline 1 Deutsche Bank value their -- the building based on liquidation & 1 is testifying to is from an accounting perspective that the \\
\hline 2 value. Liquidation value is the lowest value. The definition & 2 valuation estimated current value is always going to be \\
\hline 3 of liquidation value would get you the lowest valuation. And & 3 higher than liquidation value or fair value. So that's the \\
\hline 4 you can see that consistently every time every comparison DB & 4 proposition that he is responding to in the hypothetical. \\
\hline 5 valuation is lower than Donald Trump valuation. This is & 5 That's certainly fully relevant because the Attorney General \\
\hline 6 expected because by definition they're using a measure that will & 6 is challenging our numbers. \\
\hline 7 give you much lower number. & MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I think I can clarify \\
\hline 8 AG was supposed to value this asset not by liquidation & 8 that they're apparently referring to a recalculation that \\
\hline 9 value, which is not recognized by GAAP as a legitimate & was run by Constantine Korologos, an expert we have not put \\
\hline 10 methodology. They were supposed to value it based on estimated & 10 into evidence. We are not seeking to run values on these \\
\hline 11 current value. So you would expect their valuation for each and & 11 properties for years. So I think that is the height of the \\
\hline 12 every asset to be higher than the valuation of Deutsche Bank & 12 relevance. He is now rebutting an expert who has not \\
\hline 13 If their valuation is even lower than the valuation of Deutsche & 13 testified and is not in front of the court and we're \\
\hline 14 Bank, it tells you that something is -- that their valuation & 14 definitely not planning on calling Mr. Korologos on Tuesday. \\
\hline 15 is -- it's an indication that their indication is unreliable to & 15 So, I'll also -- the witness is here, but he did something \\
\hline 16 me as an expert in valuation. & 16 different than just do estimated current value. He was \\
\hline 17 Q And if the New York Attorney General in the year 2011 & 17 rerunning the same numbers provided by the Trump \\
\hline 18 valued Nike Tow & 18 Organization. \\
\hline 19 THE COURT: Hold on. Would there be an objection & 19 THE COURT: I mean, my issue, objection, is still \\
\hline 20 to that last answer? & 20 hypothetically, if they did this, would they have done this \\
\hline 21 MR. WALLACE: None of this is relevant. I don't & 21 because. Well, they didn't do it so how does he know \\
\hline 22 know why we need hypothetical questions about what the & 22 what -- why they would have done it if they didn't do it or \\
\hline 23 Attorney General has valued things as. All of this is & 23 assuming they didn't do it \\
\hline 24 irrelev & 24 MR. KISE: The allegation in the complaint, which I \\
\hline 25 THE COURT: As you've all heard me say, I allow & 25 know they don't want to talk about the complaint anymore, is \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
that we overvalued our properties and the correct value should be something different. So we're just using hypotheticals. We are using actual evidence. We're responding to that allegation. Just because they chose not to call an expert doesn't mean that we're limited in the presentation of our defense. These are very simple questions and had the Attorney General not objected we would be done by now.

MR. WALLACE: These are asinine questions.
THE COURT: I was the one who objected to them to set the record straight. Since the Attorney General didn't object, let's move on.

MR. WALLACE: I objected to the next round of questions as a relevance. Why don't we get an actual question in front of the witness.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, I'd like to -- I have three more questions on this.

THE COURT: Go ahead.
CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q If the New York Attomey General had valued Nike Town at 136.5 million what would that tell you about the valuation?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, irrelevant.
THE COURT: Objection, irrelevant. Sustained.
MR. KISE: So then, Your Honor, how is it possible,
way, this gets us back to something that I had said and you disagreed and we never really discussed it. To me, the case isn't about valuation. It's about statements and financial condition. Section 6312 in the Executive Law basically says you can't use false statements in business. That's what the summary judgement motion was -- decision was all about. I think it is pretty much what the rest of this case is about. So whether a particular property is worth "X" dollars or "Y" dollars, that's not what the case is about.

MR. KISE: So how then -- so then, respectfully, this is a completely rudderless ship. You are just going to determine on your own whether the right values, whether there is a misstatement of values based on your own determination. That's why we have experts.

MR. WALLACE: We are rearguing summary judgement.
THE COURT: I'm not determining values.
MR. KISE: The only way to know if there is a misstatement is to know whether the value is correct. The statements contain values. That's it. If the value of Trump Tower is acceptable and accurate under accounting principles and it's done correctly, then by definition there can't be a misstatement. We just can't make up misstatements like the Attorney General wants to do and say it's wrong because I say it's wrong. I have been saying since the beginning and now this is what's bearing out in
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1 if they are not introducing any evidence that our values
aren't accurate, they haven't called anybody to dispute our values, how is it then possible that they've proven their case? I'm back to directed verdict. I know you didn't want to hear it. I was going to put a sign up here so every time I open my computer it says directed verdict, but I don't see -- we have to meet the allegations in the complaint. This is meeting the allegations in the complaint. It's four questions. And I frankly think it's highly relevant and highly probative of the claims that they have made in this case. They are given to extraordinary hyperbole in their arguments, in their analysis and so we are meeting everything that we can possibly meet given the constraints that we have been provided. But they can't tactically box us out by saying, well, I am going to save my expert for rebuttal. We will get to that argument next week about Professor Lewis but that's a whole different set of arguments.

So I think this witness can clearly testify and respond to hypotheticals. If they want to ask him about different hypotheticals, they can as well. But it is highly relevant and probative to the issues that are in the case.

THE COURT: Again, the question was if the Attorney General had valued the property at a certain amount what would that tell you. I don't see the point. And by the
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the record. This is exactly what's going on here. They are just basically saying, oh, I don't like 490 . Why don't you like 490 . It's wrong. Why it wrong? If we don't get down to the value and why the value is wrong, there's no way to determine it. You can't just say it is a misstatement because we feel like it. There has to be a standard. Otherwise, it's completely --

THE COURT: The standard is truth.
MR. KISE: Truth. What's truth? The truth based on -- the truth based on the Attorney General? The truth based on the Court? The truth based on the person on the street? This has to be -- it has to be more to some sort of standard. Otherwise, I mean, otherwise, this entire thing -- I really don't want to be back here trying this again, but if it is not worth to a standard, that's exactly where we are going to be.

MS. HABBA: I agree with my co-counsel's sentiments. I just want to be heard on where I think there is a major problem in faimess and commonly. We have heard now for a second day a witness who is an expert on accounting, accounting, which is the core of the AG's complaint. It's a GAAP violation. It is. We can't have fraud. We can't have misstatements without some violation of the rules.

So, I think what my co-counsel is saying is that if

1 you are going to argue that DJT's valuations had to be

1 I can echo everything that my colleagues have said,
\[
2
\]
materiality, all of those things have to be proven. That's why we have laws, 6312. Whether they want to use it in this cockamamie way or not, fine, but they still have a case to prove. And I think we are sitting here wasting time and money from looking at your responses and looking at their objections because they are not accountant experts. They are lawyers. And we have experts here to teach us what happened.

Accountants did this, not lawyers. Accounting firms, not lawyers. So, quite honestly, Your Honor, I also echo that we should have a directed verdict. We should have had a directed verdict ten weeks ago. They haven't proven their case and now they are -- frankly, I also have to address Mr. Wallace, who likes to giggle and be disruptive and I find it highly offensive, frankly. I think this is not a joke. You are talking about a company. We have to take this seriously, and we have to apply law to facts and that is what is not being heard.

All that's being heard is commotions and he gets all worked up. I've never seen anything like it, quite honestly. But we have to stop what we're doing if we are not going to seriously listen to these experts and take what they are saying as expert opinion. We are not experts on accounting. Nobody in this room is. He is. I also move

1 for a directed verdict again. Quite honestly, Your Honor, 2 this case -- they have not proven their case. They have 3 not. Thank you.

THE COURT: Denied. Would the plaintiff like to respond about anything that has been said?

MR. AMER: Just briefly, Your Honor. We had an extensive briefing on summary judgement which the CPLR allows voluminous exhibits including affidavits from all of the experts we have been hearing from. The Court issued its decision and resolved the issues against defendant that are relevant to valuation. We didn't put on any valuation experts because it was unnecessary.

Their arguments about valuation are for appeal because the issues have already been decided. This is a very narrow trial about intent to defraud, about the equitable relief we're seeking and about disgorgement. They want to put on their experts. It's the one thing I agree with Miss Habba about it's a complete waste of time. The issues that their experts are speaking to other than their banking expert, who addressed the disgorgement question, is simply irrelevant to the case. Those issues are no longer here. They had been decided and so I don't know why they are constantly rearguing things that they lost on summary judgement and make those arguments on appeal. That's fine. We're wasting a huge amount of time in this courtroom on
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issues that have already been decided. That's all I want to say, Your Honor.

MR. KISE: So, Your Honor, Mr. Amer just contradicted you because he said you decided values. You just told us that you didn't decide values. The --

MR. AMER: I said valuation issues.
MR. KISE: Valuation issues. And it's not possible to decide valuation issues without deciding values at least not in the world that I live in so that's first.
Secondly --
THE COURT: I disagree with that. It almost sounds commonsensical but how you got to those values. We see documents that show how certain individuals got to certain values. Whether or not the absolute number is right is not the main issue here.

MR. KISE: How they got to the number is exactly the issue and it's certainly the issue irrespective of summary judgement, irrespective of summary judgement. In summary judgement, there was no question of intent. There was no question of materiality. There was no question of reliance. No question of damages. So we threw all of that out the window and now what the Attorney General wants to do, and it's clear, is say, no, no, we are going to take a statute that doesn't require any of the elements of fraud and then use that to prove elements of statutes that clearly

1 require elements of the actual elements of fraud, intent,
materiality, reliance.

And so you can't just collapse it all in one analysis. That's preposterous that you could do that on a legal basis. The issue of the propriety of the valuation derivation, they're propriety under GAAP. Whether or not the statements were prepared in accordance with GAAP, that all goes squarely to intent. It is not possible to determine that someone had an intent to defraud if they followed every rule that Professor Bartov says they needed to follow. It's not possible.

It is highly relevant and probative in this case irrespective of the summary judgement. The summary judgement is a whole nother set of issues but certainly with respect to this proceeding all of the issues that we're dealing with now goes squarely to intent. They go squarely to materiality and they go squarely to disgorgement including Professor Bartov's testimony. So I don't see where the Attorney General is coming from in that regard at all.

THE COURT: Just one very small slice of what you just said. I still believe the law is that expert testimony on intent is not admissible.

MR. KISE: He's not testifying about intent, Your Honor. He is testifying about what happened. He's telling
Q. Professor, after reviewing this credit report, assume a student walked into your class, with respect to Niketown, in 2011, and told you that they had valued it at \(\$ 136.5\) million, what would you tell that student?
A. I would ask the student "What is the definition of value that you used."
Q. If the student told you "estimated current value."
A. If he told me "estimated current value" I would say, "Listen, this is impossible because, liquidation value must be much lower than estimated current value. If you find the estimated current value is much lower than liquidation value, this is simply impossible."
Q. If that same student walked in, in 2011, and told you that 40 Wall Street, after having reviewed this credit memo, was worth \(\$ 200\) million, what would you tell that student?
A. Again, I would tell them the same thing. I will say, "This is impossible."
Q. And in 2011, if that same student walked in and told you that, after reviewing this credit memo, they had concluded that the Trump Park Avenue was worth \(\$ 95.7\) million, what would you tell that student?
A. Well, at that point, I'll give up on him.
Q. If that student was the New York Attorney General -- I'll withdraw the question.
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you whether or not it satisfied the rules of accounting.
THE COURT: Well, the specific thing that got us
off this was assume that the Attorney General had done
something that we don't know that they actually did. So, enough. Let's move on.

Witness.
(Whereupon, the witness resumes the witness stand.)
THE COURT: Okay. Continue, please.
(Continued on next page)
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A. No. These are good people.
Q. Professor --

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, may I confer with Mr. Kise for a moment?

\section*{THE COURT: Sure.}

MR. SUAREZ: Thank you.
(Pause in proceedings.)
MR. SUAREZ: Can we please pull up the 2015 supporting data, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 731.
Q. You see there, Professor, where it says, "PBC?"
A. Yes.
Q. Does that mark indicate that it was provided by the client to the --

MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading.
THE COURT: Sustained. He knows. We all know at this point.
Q. What does that mark indicate Professor?
A. Provided by client.
Q. If we turn to the Trump National Golf Club in

Colts Neck, which is at page ten of my hard copy but, that reference might not work.

Do you see that calculation of estimated current value for the Trump National Golf Club at Colts Neck?
A. Hold on a second.
Q. If you are having trouble with the screen I can --
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & tov - Defendant -Direct/Mr.Suarez Page 6438 & \\
\hline & A. No, I don't have trouble. I'm good. I just want & \\
\hline 2 & to -- there are two views. There is fourteen and fifteen. & \\
\hline 3 & There are two comparative years. & \\
\hline 4 & Q. Right. Fifteen is column \(\mathbf{G}\) and fourteen is column & \\
\hline 5 & & \\
\hline 6 & A. What are you referring to now? & \\
\hline 7 & Q. Do you see there it has value of fixed asset? & \\
\hline 8 & A. I see, yes. & \\
\hline 9 & Q. Do you see that it has a premium for a fully & \\
\hline 10 & operational branded facility? & \\
\hline 11 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 12 & Q. From an accounting perspective, Professor, what, & \\
\hline 13 & if anything, is inappropriate about adding that fully & \\
\hline 14 & operational branded facility -- & \\
\hline 15 & MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading. Also, & \\
\hline 16 & your Honor, you explicitly rejected these opinions from & \\
\hline 17 & Professor Bartov on summary judgment. & \\
\hline 18 & THE COURT: That was based on the wording of & \\
\hline 19 & the SFCs? Is that -- can you expand a little on what I & \\
\hline 20 & did? & \\
\hline 21 & MR. WALLACE: In direct, your Honor, pages & \\
\hline 22 & 28 and 29 of the summary judgment. & \\
\hline 23 & THE COURT: We need to have the witness go & \\
\hline 24 & out again? & \\
\hline 25 & MR. WALLACE: Sure. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
A.Bartov - Defendant -Direct/Mr.Suarez \\
1 & \multicolumn{1}{c}{ THE WITNESS: It is good exercise. } \\
2 & THE COURT: I appreciate that. \\
3 & MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, on page \\
4 & 28 -- there's two aspects. They're is discussion of \\
5 & this on page 29. There's discussion of fixed assets. \\
6 & You write that defendants further rely on the \\
7 & Bartov affidavit, which states in wholly conclusory \\
8 & fashion that the assertion that, quote, using fixed \\
9 & assets approach, does not present the golf clubs at \\
10 & their estimated current value because, the approach \\
11 & ignores market condition and the behavior of informed \\
12 & buyers and sellers is unsubstantiated and false. You \\
13 & then state, Bartov is incorrect and explain your \\
14 & reasoning. \\
15 & On page 28, "Regarding the Trump Brand \\
16 & Premium, which I assume is also going to be discussed \\
17 & here, you write that, in opposition, the defendants \\
18 & submit the affidavit of Eli Bartov, an accounting \\
19 & professor in New York University who distinguishes \\
20 & between overall brand value and brand value ascribed to \\
21 & individual golf courses. \\
22 & "His points, ensconced in numerous lines of \\
23 & academic jargon, seems to be that the defendant said \\
24 & that they were issuing the former and opting only for \\
25 & the latter. This is a red herring and, factually,
\end{tabular}
A. No, I don't have trouble. I'm good. I just want to -- there are two views. There is fourteen and fifteen
here are two comparative years.
H.
A. What are you referring to now?
Q. Do you see there it has value of fixed asset?
A. I see, yes.
Q. Do you see that it has a premium for a fully perational branded facility?
A. Yes.
Q. From an accounting perspective, Professor, what, if anything, is inappropriate about adding that fully operational branded facility --

MR. WALLACE: Objection, leading. Also,
your Honor, you explicitly rejected these opinions from Professor Bartov on summary judgment.

THE COURT: That was based on the wording of the SFCs? Is that -- can you expand a little on what I

MR. WALLACE: In direct, your Honor, pages

THE COURT: We need to have the witness go

MR. WALLACE: Sure.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, on page
28 -- there's two aspects. They're is discussion of this on page 29. There's discussion of fixed assets.

You write that defendants further rely on the Bartov affidavit, which states in wholly conclusory fashion that the assertion that, quote, using fixed , in ignores market condition and the behavior of informed buyers and sellers is unsubstantiated and false. You then state, Bartov is incorrect and explain your

On page 28, "Regarding the Trump Brand Premium, which I assume is also going to be discussed here, you write that, in opposition, the defendants submit the affidavit of Eli Bartov, an accounting professor in New York University who distinguishes between overall brand value and brand value ascribed to
"His points, ensconced in numerous lines of that they were issuing the former and opting only for the latter. This is a red herring and, factually,
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A. Well, you know, I think the confusion about this item coming from misinterpretation of the footnotes. In the footnote, Mr. Trump says, that those went to GAAP.
```

        incorrect."
    ```
        incorrect."
            This is the law of the case. It's been
            This is the law of the case. It's been
        decided you saw his opinions on summary judgment I
        decided you saw his opinions on summary judgment I
        should say, saw and considered.
        should say, saw and considered.
            THE COURT: Objection, overruled. Let's let
            THE COURT: Objection, overruled. Let's let
        it in.
        it in.
            Get the witness, please.
            Get the witness, please.
            MR. WALLACE: I still maintain the leading
            MR. WALLACE: I still maintain the leading
        objection, your Honor.
        objection, your Honor.
            THE COURT: I don't remember the exact
            THE COURT: I don't remember the exact
        wording. I didn't think it was leading or material.
        wording. I didn't think it was leading or material.
            MR. WALLACE: I think he said why was it
            MR. WALLACE: I think he said why was it
        improper.
        improper.
            THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, please.
            THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, please.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
BY MR. SUAREZ:
    Q. Professor, if I can draw your attention back to
    Q. Professor, if I can draw your attention back to
the Trump National Golf in Colts Neck and, specifically, to
the Trump National Golf in Colts Neck and, specifically, to
                the inclusion of a premium for a fully operational branded
                the inclusion of a premium for a fully operational branded
                facility, how, if at all, is the inclusion of that premium
                facility, how, if at all, is the inclusion of that premium
                for a fully operational branded facility consistent with
                for a fully operational branded facility consistent with
                GAAP?
                GAAP?
            fo, Mr. Trump says, that those went to GAAP.
```

            fo, Mr. Trump says, that those went to GAAP.
    ```
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            Following GAAP, he does not recognize the brand value of the
                Trump name on the Statement of Financial Condition as a
                                standalone asset. Following GAAP he doesn't do that.
            GAAP limit the ability -- as I discussed
        yesterday, GAAP limit the ability of companies to recognize
        internally developed intangible asset as a standalone asset
        on the balance sheet.
            GAAP does not limit the ability of companies to
        include the effect of brand name on the value of tangible
        assets.

Tangible assets are subject to a completely different valuation rules than intangible asset; and therefore, and actually, as I explained in my report, GAAP require companies to include, as part of the valuation of intangible asset, any intangible asset that is related to them.

I put it in my report. I also cite opinion from
Price Waterhouse Coopers, one of the leading accounting firm in the U.S., that says the same thing.

So, when Mr. Trump included the premium associated with his grand name in the valuation of tangible asset, there's no GAAP violation here.

Now, when I say that I'm not doing valuation here, it means that I'm not opining whether the 30 percent is the right number or maybe it should be 27 or maybe it should be
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline A.Bartov - Defendant -Direct/Mr.Suarez & Page 6442 \\
1 & 32. I'm not doing expert analysis in term of valuation. \\
2 & But, I do opine on the methodology. I do say -- I \\
3 & did opine in my report and I am able to opine now whether \\
4 & methodology, in general, is consistent with GAAP or if it is \\
5 & inconsistent with GAAP. \\
6 & This was also, according to the accounting rules, \\
7 & this was also the responsibility of the external accountant \\
8 & that performed the compilation. \\
9 & ARC 80 clearly states that the accountant that \\
10 & performed the compilation -- and it's also in my report. \\
11 & The accountant that performed the compilation must review \\
12 & the methodologies used by the preparer. Moreover, they also \\
13 & must inform themself of the methodologies that are used in \\
14 & the industry. \\
15 & And if they find that the methodology used by the \\
16 & preparer violated GAAP or inconsistent with the position in \\
17 & the industry, they have to flag it as a GAAP departure. \\
18 & Q. What, if anything, about this valuation here -- \\
19 & withdrawn. \\
20 & How, if at all, does this valuation method \\
21 & disclose this supporting data? \\
22 & A. You know, to be honest with you, if I were to do \\
23 & this compilation report, I would ask maybe -- it's also \\
24 & not -- it is not the responsibility of the person performing \\
25 & the compilation report, it's not his responsibility to check \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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whether the 30 percent is the correct number or not.
But, there is no details here how the 30 percent and 50 percent was computed. If I want to be extra careful and I perform this evaluation, perhaps I would ask for some information that will help me understand how this 30 percent or 15 percent was derived.

But again, this is -- formerly, it's not the responsibility of the company. This is an audit. In audit you have to do this. When you do compilations, you don't have to do it.

MR. WALLACE: Objection, your Honor. That answer was not responsive. And this is also an area that this witness has already said he didn't focus on. So, I'm not sure what the foundation is for this testimony.

THE COURT: Overruled. I thought it answered the question.
Q. Professor, how are you being compensated in this case?
A. My billing rate is \(\$ 1,350\) per hour.
Q. How many hours have you spent working on this engagement?
A. About 650 hours.
Q. Is your compensation, in any way, contingent on
the opinions that you've expressed?
```
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## A. No.

MR. SUAREZ: No further questions on direct examination.

THE COURT: And, I'm sorry, the per hour was $\$ 1000$.

## THE WITNESS: 350.

## THE COURT: Fifteen.

THE WITNESS: Fifty. One three five zero.
THE COURT: Okay. Will there be any cross examination?

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, your Honor.
THE COURT: Can we start now?

## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOLOMON:
Q. Good afternoon, Professor.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Following up on the last couple of questions that you were asked, who is paying your fees in this matter?
A. So, I submit the bills, my invoices, to the Trump Organization. And, on the -- some of it get paid by the Trump Organization and some of it is paid by Save America.
Q. That's the Save America PAC, Political Action Committee, is that correct?
A. I have no idea. I can tell you just what I see on my bank statement. On my bank statement, some number say
"Trump Organization." And some number says, "Save America." I got no additional detail that I can share with you.
Q. Other than -- let's just go through some background if we can.

Other than for yourself, have you prepared a personal financial statement, for anyone else, in the last 30 years.
A. The last time I prepare it, I think it was 1984 or 1985. So you do the math. If it was 30 years ago or longer. But, the last one I think it was around 1984 or 1985.
Q. Other than for yourself, have you valued real property, for inclusion, on a financial statement in the last 30 years?
A. No.
Q. Other than for yourself, have you valued any property, for inclusion, on a personal financial statement in the last 30 years?
A. No.
Q. Prior to issuing your reports in this case and providing your testimony, yesterday and today, did you perform any valuation of any of the assets on Donald Trump's Statements of Financial Condition for any year?
A. No.

MR. SOLOMON: Could we please pull up PX

|  | rtov - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Solomon Page 6446 | A.Ba | - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Solomon Page 6448 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3439 and go to page eight. | 1 | basically? |
| 2 | It might be in front of the witness. It was | 2 | I want to ask about the second step there, |
| 3 | used on his direct examination earlier. | 3 | qualitative analys |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: No, this is not it. | 4 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 5 | MS. FAHERTY: It is PX 3439. | 5 | THE COURT: What I remember from the |
| 6 | A. Thank you. | 6 | document was "Well, it's -- it was all very vague." It |
| 7 | Q. Professor, do you recall being asked several | 7 | was, you had to look at the particular reporting |
|  | questions about the materiality section, beginning on page | 8 | entity, et cetera. |
| 9 | eight of this document QC11? | 9 | Wouldn't one of the main elements of |
| 10 | A. I do. | 10 | materiality be the relative -- the discrepancy relative |
| 11 | Q. And in rendering your opinions, had you previously | 11 | to the absolute number? |
| 12 | reviewed the materiality section from this document? | 12 | THE WITNESS: So, you know, I am instructed |
| 13 | A. I did. | 13 | to give short answers. |
| 14 | Q. Did you rely on the material amount section from | 14 | THE COURT: To give -- |
| 15 | this document? | 15 | THE WITNESS: I am instructed to give short |
| 16 | A. I rely on this and other references. | 16 | answers. So I didn't tell you the whole story. If you |
| 17 | Q. My question was, did you rely on this document. | 17 | want to tell me the whole story about materiality, I |
| 18 | This will go a lot faster if you just listen to my | 18 | can tell you the whole story. |
| 19 | questions and answer my questions, please. You'll have an | 19 | THE COURT: I'm not looking for the whole |
| 20 | opportunity to explain anything you need to on redirect. | 20 | story. I am just looking for an answer to my question. |
| 21 | So did you rely, in any way, on the materiality | 21 | Wouldn't relative difference between |
| 22 | section contained in PX 3439? | 22 | THE WITNESS: So, as I said, there are two |
| 23 | A. I did. | 23 | steps. You can't see it from here because, this is |
|  | Q. And does that include QC11, 11-A and 11-B? | 24 | just a general framework. You have to look to the |
|  | A. Yes. | 25 | accounting literature. |
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|  | Q. Did the information contained in QC11, 11-A and | 1 | So, the idea is, if accounting question is |
|  | 11-B provide the framework for your opinions about | 2 | ot answered by the codification, then in order to |
|  | materiality? | 3 | answer the question, you refer to other sources of the |
|  | A. General framework, yes. | 4 | accounting literature. |
| 5 | Q. As an accounting expert, sir, are you aware that | 5 | So there is a source in the accounting |
|  | the Statement of Financial Accounting concepts are not, | 6 | literature that lists potential -- that lists potential |
| 7 | actually, part of the SAB Accounting Standards Codification, | 7 | ement that must be considered when you do the |
|  | presently, in effect. | 8 | qualitative analysis. |
| 9 | A. Of course. | 9 | It is very clear from this guidance, that, |
| 10 | Q. Did you disclose that in your direct testimony | 10 | the absolute value of the discrepancy may affect your |
|  | that you were aware that this was not part of this existing | 11 | decision or conclusion whether it is material or not. |
|  | codification? | 12 | But, this is just one of many other consideration. |
| 13 | A. I don't remember. Maybe I didn't. | 13 | For example, what are other consideration? |
| 14 | Q. Isn't it true, sir, that these concepts are merely | 14 | One consideration will be, according to the accounting |
|  | concepts that will be considered in developing future | 15 | literature -- and again, if you want me to emphasize, I |
| 16 | financial reporting standards. | 16 | will say, this is not in the codification but, it's |
|  | "Yes" or "no," question, si | 17 | still in the accounting literature; and therefore, it's |
| 18 | A. Yes | 18 | a guidance that people are using. So, one element, for |
| 19 | MR. SOLOMON: Can we, please, mark PX3437? | 19 | example, would say, you have to consider -- |
| 20 | THE COURT: Before we get there -- sorry to | 20 | THE COURT: No. No. I asked a simple "yes" |
| 21 | backtrack a bit. Professor, I appreciated your two | 21 | or "no," question. |
| 22 | step test for materiality. | 22 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes. | 23 | THE COURT: Should I ask it again? I don't |
| 24 | THE COURT: Quantitatively having a | 24 | want a speech. I just want an answer, "yes" or "no." |
| 25 | difference between the realty and the report, right, | 25 | THE WITNESS: This is one of many element |
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| ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | that need to be considered when you determine |  |
| 2 | materiality. |  |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. And I think my specific |  |
| 4 | question was, is it one of the important -- is it a |  |
| 5 | fairly important factor? |  |
| 6 | THE WITNESS: According to literature, no. |  |
| 7 | THE COURT: Okay. |  |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: If you want, I can give you an |  |
| 9 | example. |  |
| 10 | MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I am going to | 1 |
| 11 | explore this issue on my cross. I don't know if it | 1 |
| 12 | would be beneficial to see if we cover that during the | 12 |
| 13 | cross or if you want to have the witness continue on. | 1 |
| 14 | I want to volunteer that as a possibility. | 1 |
| 15 | THE COURT: He answered my question. I want | 1 |
| 16 | you to ask the next one. | 1 |
| 17 | MR. SOLOMON: I will, Your Honor. | 1 |
| 18 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 1 |
| 19 | BY MR. SOLOMON: | 1 |
| 20 | Q. Sir, are you familiar with what's been marked as | 20 |
| 21 | PX 3437? | 21 |
| 22 | A. I am. | 22 |
| 23 | MR. SOLOMON: Can we offer it into evidence? | 23 |
| 24 | Your Honor, can we offer it into Evidence. | 24 |
| 25 | THE COURT: Sorry. Granted. It's in. | 2 |
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    you determine
    THE COURT: Okay. And I think my specific
    question was, is it one of the important -- is it a
    THE WITNESS: According to literature, no.
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        cross or if you want to have the witness continue on.
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            MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
            If we could turn to the fourth page of the
        document.
        Q. The very top, first sentence. The conceptual
        framework is intended to set forth fundamental concepts that
        will be the basis for development of financial accounting
        and reporting standards. "Will be" future tense, correct?
            "Yes" or "no," sir?
        A. This is not a "yes" or "no," question. I will
        tell you why not. Because --
            Q. Sir, is the word will --
            A. Listen, you are going to mislead the Judge. This
        document --
            Q. I am not --
            A. Let me explain. This document is not relevant to
        this case. I'm sorry. This document is not relevant to
        this case. And the reason is, look at the top of the
        document what it says. Go to the top -- please, go to the
        top of the document and see what it says.
            MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, move to strike as
        non-responsive.
            THE COURT: Stricken as non-responsive.
            We have certain rules here. And the rule is,
        he asks the question, you answer the question. Not to
        make a speech. Your attorneys can ask you about that
    on redirect.
MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, the witness said, "It is not capable of being answer 'yes or no'." Under the way we've been proceeding here, that would be acceptable to his.

THE COURT: Was that his response?
MR. ROBERT: He was trying to explain. And Mr. Solomon said "yes or no" pointing at the witness.

MR. SOLOMON: I was pointing at the document. I wasn't pointing at the witness. Would be?

MR. ROBERT: It didn't look way.
MR. KISE: You've already harassed him. You had him on the stand fifteen minutes and you're already being insulting. It is obvious what --

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, I object to this characterization. Mr. Kise is here wasting time now. We already have to bring this witness back on Tuesday. If I can proceed with my cross I'd appreciate it. Any claims I'm harassing the witness are wholly without merit.

MR. KISE: His tone and demeanor are extraordinarily harassing. I've wasted fifteen months of my life in this courtroom because of these folks and so has my client. He needs to ask proper questions and not be so hostile to a person who has earned with
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## respect.

MR. SOLOMON: I provided witness respect number one. Number two, every question was proper. There hasn't been a single objection from your table. So let's not characterize the record.

THE COURT: How about, rather than a read back, can you just ask the question again.
Q. Sir, the word "will" shows up in the first sentence there.

Do you see that, yes or no?
A. I do.
Q. Is the word "will" future?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you.

MR. SOLOMON: Can we go to the paragraph beginning with concept statement. It's the next to last one on this page.
Q. Quote, concept statements are not part of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification which is the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities (topic 105 of generally accepted accounting principles).
A. Yes.
Q. Were you aware of that statement when you provided your opinions in this case and gave your testimony, on
direct examination, in the courtroom?
A. Okay. So I did not review the statement because this statement was issue on December 2021. So look on the date when it became effective.

This issue, this statement, was effective after the period that I discussed in this. So this is hindsight using document that was not available to anyone that prepare the financial statement during this time.
Q. Sir, my question wasn't whether this document --
A. You are using document that was not available during the time. Can you do that?

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, move to strike as I was in the middle of a question so, it could not have been responsive.

THE COURT: Stricken. And I'll direct the witness just answer the questions. If the statement didn't exist, then I guess he didn't rely on it.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, that wasn't the testimony. I believe the statement existed in 2021. And if I'm correct -- and I'm not trying to testify for the witness -- the issue had to do with the SOFCs that the witness was addressing. My question was different.

My question was, was the witness aware of and did he consider this statement, that existed in 2021, when you submitted your expert reports, in 2023, and
and introduce those standards into the case as well and say that these standards is what apply. It is a rudderless ship, your Honor. This is totally improper cross examination.

THE COURT: The question was, totally, proper. Objection overruled. Please stop the speeches. Please stop the ad hominem.
A. Okay. Sure. So what's the question.
Q. Did you consider the limitation or statement
that's contained in the paragraph that we read, when you prepared your expert reports in this case, in 2023, and gave testimony in this courtroom in 2023?
A. So, when I do --
Q. It is a "yes" or "no," question.

THE COURT: It is a yes or no question.
A. No, I didn't rely on this statement. I didn't rely on this statement. I didn't rely on this statement at all.
Q. Now, sir, you address that it was, as amended, December 2021. Correct?
A. Yes.
Q. When was it first adopted?
A. I used the -- you know, I -- if it was amended in December 2021, it could not be effective before this date.
Q. Was there a version of this that was similar, in

| A.Bartov - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Solomon |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 | testified here in court on December 7, 2023. |
| 2 | That was my question. 6455 |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Sorry. I misinterpreted. |
| 4 | MR. KISE: If that was the question, it is |
| 5 | an improper question because, the scope of cross is |
| 6 | limited to what he talked about on direct. |
| 7 | So, it's not to pull in something now that |
| 8 | wasn't -- just like the witness said, to pull in |
| 9 | something that's available now - these statements were |
| 10 | prepared 2011 through 2021. So, if this wasn't |
| 11 | available, it is an improper -- |
| 12 | THE COURT: But it was. |
| 13 | MR. KISE: -- cross examination. He |
| 14 | couldn't have relied on it. It is like asking him if |
| 15 | he relied on the physics calculation on Mars. |
| 16 | MR. SOLOMON: I'm asking if this witness |
| 17 | relied on this in connection with his report not in |
| 18 | connection with the Statements of Financial Condition. |
| 19 | This witness didn't prepare the SOFCs as far as I am |
| 20 | aware. |
| 21 | MR. KISE: The report relates to the |
| 22 | governing standards applicable at the time of the |
| 23 | preparation of the Statements of Financial condition. |
| 24 | Every time I think their position can't get |
| 25 | more ridiculous it does. Why don't we wait until 2024 |
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form or sustenance, that was adopted in 2010 to your knowledge?
A. Yes, there was.
Q. And did it contain, in form or substance, the same limitation that concept statements are not part of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification. Yes or no?
A. Yes.
Q. That was in 2010, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

Will you agree, sir, with me that there is no defined materiality test contained in the codification?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. In your testimony, yesterday, you made reference, I believe, to the SEC.

Am I recalling that correctly?
A. To what?
Q. The SEC, the Securities and Exchange Commission?
A. Remind me. What did I say?
Q. Are you aware that the SEC publishes staff accounting bulletins?
A. I do.
Q. And do you rely on those in connection with your work?
A. Absolutely.

| A.Bartov - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Solomon Page 6458 | Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon) Page 6460 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q. And do you rely on those in connection with the various papers that you write? <br> A. Absolutely. <br> Q. And the articles that you write? <br> A. Absolutely. <br> Q. Do you believe them to be authoritative? <br> A. I do. <br> MR. SOLOMON: Could we please mark PX 3446? <br> Q. Do you have a copy of the Exhibit? <br> A. I do. <br> Q. Have you had a moment to review it? (Whereupon, the following proceedings were stenographically recorded Shameeka Harris.) | 1 the one who prepares the compilation. So I want to understand. <br> 2 When you use the term preparer, you are talking about in this <br> 3 case Donald J. Trump; is that correct? <br> 4 A Yes. <br> 5 Q Thank you. Could you please turn to page five? <br> 6 A Okay. <br> 7 Q The title is Aggregating and Netting Misstatements. <br> 8 A Yes. <br> 9 Q The very first paragraph. "In determining whether <br> 10 multiple misstatements cause the financial statements to be <br> 11 materially misstated, registrants and the auditors of the <br> 12 financial statements should consider each misstatement <br> 13 separately and the aggregate effect of all misstatements." <br> 14 A Yes. <br> 15 Q Were you aware of that direction or interpretation from <br> 16 the SEC with respect to financial statements? <br> 17 A Yes. <br> 18 Go down a little bit to the paragraph beginning even. <br> 19 "Even though a misstatement of an individual amount may not <br> 20 cause the financial statements taken as a whole to be materially <br> 21 misstated, it may, nonetheless, when aggregated with other <br> 22 misstatements, render the financial statements taken as a whole <br> 23 to be materially misleading." <br> 24 Were you aware of that part of the interpretation <br> 25 before today? |
| Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon) Page 6459 | Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon) Page 6461 |
| MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, Friday we go until one, correct? <br> THE COURT: We go to 5 of 1 . Is that all right with everyone? <br> MR. SOLOMON: I know during the week we break at 12:45. I just want to get through an area and not leave it over the weekend. <br> THE COURT: Let's be simple. When would you like to break? <br> MR. SOLOMON: If you said 5 to 1 , we will. I will make that work. <br> CONT'D CROSS-EXAMINATION <br> BY MR. SOLOMON: <br> A All right. I refreshed my memory about it, yes. <br> Q Have you seen this -- first off, what is an SEC staff accounting bulletin? <br> A This is opinion of the SEC about a question that preparer may have and they want to clarify. And they clarify for preparer interpretation of accounting practices. <br> Q And when you say preparer, I think there was --I had a little confusion yesterday. Who are you referring to as a preparer? Is that the issuer, the actual company whose financial statements are being published? <br> A Yes. <br> Q Because I think we also heard the term preparer is also | A I was. <br> Q Did you consider that in connection with any of your opinions in this case? <br> A Absolutely. <br> Q And your opinions looked at aggregating any potential misstatement to determine materiality? <br> A Absolutely. <br> Q And you did a materiality test after you aggregated any individual misstatement? <br> A Absolutely. <br> Q We can turn to the second page. Excuse me, page six, the next page. Immaterial misstatements that are intentional. Now, here, it's a little bit different, Professor. It gives you facts, then a question, and an interpretive response; do you see that? <br> A Yes, I do. <br> Q Is that common in an SEC bulletin? <br> A Yes. <br> Q And could you explain what the purpose is of putting it in that format in an SEC bulletin? <br> A They are trying to answer -- interpret the accounting rules by raising a question and give the facts and give the answer so preparer will know how to address a problem that is <br> 24 similar to the question that was asked given the fact pattern <br> 25 that is up here. |

## Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon)

$1 \quad$ Q And given the fact pattern the question presented to the SEC which, again, you said is helpful guidance when doing financial statements, correct?
A Absolutely.
Q In the staff, a registrant make intentional immaterial misstatements in its financial statements, the first word no?

A Of course not.
Q Do you agree then that a registrant or someone
preparing their SOFC's, in this case, Mr. Trump, may not make intentional immaterial misstatements in his financial 10 statements, correct?
A Correct.
Q Let's go back one page, please, to the paragraph beginning if the misstatement.
"If the misstatement of an individual amount causes the financial statements as a whole to be materially misstated, that effect cannot be eliminated by other misstatements whose effect may be to diminish the impact of the misstatement on other financial statement items."

Did I read that correctly?
A Absolutely.
Q What does that mean?
A It means that you -- if you exaggerate value of one
asset and you underreported the value of another asset, this
does not mean or if you consider the overall, you consider the

Q Okay.
MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, this would be a convenient point to take a break. I'm sorry, for the day, for the day. I said break.

THE COURT: Monday $10 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. We will pick up this case. And, Professor Bartov, again, please do not discuss the case or do not discuss the case or your testimony or anything related thereto between now and Tuesday. I'm sure you have better things to talk about.

THE WITNESS: Have a good weekend.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused from the stand.)
(Whereupon, the trial is adjourned until Monday, December 11, 2023, at 10 o'clock a.m.)
overall, the error may cancel out. But when you -- but this is not -- this is not -- this is not the reason to say that the financial statement are not materially misstated.
Q So --
A By cancelling error does not reduce the consideration.
Q Okay. Thank you. Have you completed your answer, sir?
A What?
Q Have you completed your answer?
A Yes, Idid.
Q I asked a question why so I wanted to give you an opportunity to finish. Let me see if I can give you a real world example. Let's assume, just for purposes of this case, that I own a building in lower Manhattan, 60 Wall Street, and the true value -- the true estimated current value is $\$ 1$ but I put it down on my financial statement as $\$ 100$ million. If I have another building in midtown that is worth $\$ 100$ million and I put it down as $\$ 1$, in both instances, in that instance, my net worth is still one hundred million and one dollar.
Notwithstanding that, that would still be a GAAP violation, correct?

MR. KISE: Objection. Where is he getting these values from? Where are they?

THE COURT: They are hypothetical, overruled. MR. KISE: Okay.
A Hypothetical, yes, you're right.

|  | according (11) | 6456:7 | 6405:7,9;6406:3,8; | 6411:13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$ | 6386:24;6394:22,23; | add (4) | 10;6409:10; | always (3) |
| \$1 (2) | 6411:2;6419:5;6442:6; | 6383:18;6416:11 | 6421:18;6427:23; | 6425:2 |
| 6463:14,17 | 49:14;6450:6 | adding (1) | 6429:15;6432:1 | amended (2) |
| \$1,350 (1) | 364:9.63 |  | 443:7.6449-15 | 6456:19,2 |
| 6443:20 | 6364:9;639 | addition (1) | 443:7;6449:15,2 | AMER (3) |
| \$100 (2) | accountant (6) | $6384$ | 6453:7;6462:2;6464: | 6432:6;6433:3, |
| 6463:15, | 6411:18;6412:4; <br> 6431:7;6442:7,9,11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { additional (5) } \\ & \text { 6391:12;6395:5; } \end{aligned}$ | against (3) <br> 6366:7:6367:21. | America (3) <br> 6444:21,22;6445:1 |
| $\$ 1000(1)$ | Accountants (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6391:12;6395:5; } \\ & \text { 6406:22;6407:13; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6366:7;6367:21; } \\ & 6432: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 6444:21,22;6445:1 <br> Americas (1) |
| \$136.5 (1) | 6431:10 | 6445:2 | aggregate (1) | 6419:8 |
| 6436:4 | accounting (72) | address (6) | 6460:13 | amount (5) |
| \$200 (1) | 6361:6,20;6363:9, $19 \cdot 6364 \cdot 610 \cdot 6365 \cdot 8$, | 6360:3;6361:16; | aggregated (2) <br> 6460:21:6461. | 6427:24;6432:25; <br> 6446:14:6460.19. |
| 6436:15 | 19;6364:6,10;6365:8, | 6380:16;6431:15; | 6460:21;6461:8 | 6446:14;6460:19; |
| \$369.2 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14;6366:5;6367:8,12; } \\ & \text { 6373:20,22;6374:8,10, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6456:19;6461:23 } \\ & \text { addressed (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aggregating (2) } \\ \text { 6460:7:6461:5 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6462: 15 \\ \text { amounts (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6422:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6373:20,22;6374:8,10, } \\ & \text { 19,24;6375:1;6376:17; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { addressed (2) } \\ & 6421: 3 ; 6432: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 6460:7;6461:5 <br> ago (7) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { amounts (1) } \\ 6394: 7 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 95.7(1) \\ & 6436: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19,24;6375:1;6376:17; } \\ & \text { 6380:15,19,20;6381:3; } \end{aligned}$ | 6421:3;6432:20 <br> addresses (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ago (7) } \\ & 6364: 23 ; 6365: 2 ; \end{aligned}$ | analysis (60) |
|  | 6383:15;6385:4; | 6361:8,9 | 6376:11;6390:2,8; | 6361:15;6363:9; |
| * | 6386:5,6,25;6387:19; | addressing (2 6403:8.645 | 6431:13;6445:9 |  |
|  |  |  | 6376:20 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { *Fine (1) } \\ & \text { 6370:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $6402: 11,22 ; 6403: 24$ | $6464: 13$ | 6384:6;6429:17; | 11;6388:1,17;6389: |
|  | ;6411:14,16,16,24; | ad | 432:17;6457:11; | 6393:24;6394:14; |
| A | 6412:1,7;6425:1; | 6408:12 | 6462:8 | 6395:6,7,22;6396:1,4, |
|  | 6431:10,25;6435:1 | 6368 | 60:8,14,1 | 6405:1,9,10,12,13,14; |
| 6378:13;6441:4,5,8 | 6438:12;6439:18; | admissible (1) | 6391:16 | $6406: 4,5,6,7,9,12,18$ |
| able (4) | 6441:18;6442:6; 6447:5,6,7;6448:25; | 6434:23 <br> admission ( | $\begin{aligned} & \text { agreement (4) } \\ & \text { 6375:6;6376:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21,21,24;6407:11,12, } \\ & \text { 15,18,19,22;6408:3,10, } \end{aligned}$ |
| above (1) | $6449: 1,4,5,14,17$ | d | 6390:13;6400: | 13,17,18;6409:10,11, |
| 6418:13 | 6451:6;6453:19,22; | admitted (2) | AG's (3) | 11;6410:23;6411:10; |
| absence (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6457:6,21;6459:16,19; } \\ & \text { 6461:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6360:11;6363: } \\ & \text { adopted (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6361: 23 ; 6429: 21 ; \\ & 6430: 2 \end{aligned}$ | $6422: 22 ; 6427: 12$ <br> 6434:4;6442:1;6448:3; |
| $6381: 9$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6461:21 } \\ \text { accurate (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { adopted (2) } \\ & 6456: 22 ; 6457 \end{aligned}$ | ahead (5) | 6449:8 |
| 6433:14;6448:11; | 6387:23;6427:2; | advance (1) | 68:12;6419:2 | analyze (1) |
| 6449:10 | 6428:20 | $6417: 8$ | 6422:18;6426:18; | 6389:1 |
| absolutely (9) | accurately (1) | adverse (1) | $6440: 14$ | and/or (1) |
| 6382:1;6457:25; | 6390:9 <br> acknowledge (1) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 6424:24 } \\ \text { advice (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { akin (1) } \\ & 6391: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 6419:8 <br> announce (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6458:3,5;6461:4,7,10; } \\ & 6462: 4,21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { acknowledge (1) } \\ & 6391: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { advice (1) } \\ 6417: 18 \end{array}$ | allegation (6) | announce (1) 6417:17 |
| absurd (1) | acting (1) | affect (1) | 6367:21;6378:23; | annual (2) |
| 6364:25 | 6391:17 | 6449:10 | 6401:7,18;6425:24; | 6399:7,8 |
| abuse (1) | Action (1) | affidavit (9) | 6426:4 | answered (3) |
| 6416:13 | 6444:22 | 6361:18,23;6362:7, | allegations (7) | 6443:17;6449: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { academic (3) } \\ & 6371: 18 ; 6372: 24 ; \end{aligned}$ | activities (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22,24;6379:12;6383:3; } \\ & \text { 6439:7,18 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6361:13;6363:16; } \\ & \text { 6373:19,21;6378:17; } \end{aligned}$ | anticipate (1) |
| 6439:23 | activity (1) | affidavits (1) | 6427:7,8 | 6378:19 |
| accept (1) | 6378:8 | 432:8 | alleged (1) | anymore (1) |
| 6417:18 | actual (6) <br> 6378:14.6379.17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { affiliate } \\ & 6419 . \end{aligned}$ | 6394:25 | 6425:25 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { acceptable (2) } \\ & 6428: 20 ; 6452: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6426:3,14;6434:1; | afternoon (3) | 6367:25;6382:25; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pologize (3) } \\ & 6391: 11,18 ; 6392: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| accepted (4) | 6459:22 <br> actually (10) | 6416:10;6444:15,16 | 6383:4;6423:25 <br> allowed (4) | $\underset{6378: 4}{\operatorname{apparent}(1)}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6366:5;6387:19; } \\ & \text { 6394:17:6453:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { actually (16) } \\ & 6364: 9 ; 6372: 5 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AG (3) } \\ & \mathbf{6 3 7 7 : 7 ; 6 4 2 3 : 8 ;} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { allowed (4) } \\ & \text { 6373:16;6381:13; } \end{aligned}$ | 6378:4 <br> apparently (1) |
| accidental (8) | 6373:12;6377:10; | 6430:1 | 6382:20;6391:6 | 6425:8 |
| $6411: 22,23 ; 6412: 7$ | $6383: 1 ; 6390: 14$ |  | allows (2) | appeal (5) |
| 25;6413:5,7,8,12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6392:24;6394:15,16, } \\ & \text { 16;6397:15;6410:12; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6361:15;6378:20; } \\ & \text { 6379:12;6383:13; } \end{aligned}$ | 6391:19;6432:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6370:15; } 6382: 7,10 ; \\ & 6432: 13.24 \end{aligned}$ |
| accomplished (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16;6397:15;6410:12; } \\ & \text { 6430:23;6435:4; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6379:12;6383:13; } \\ & \text { 6385:12;6399:3,5,7,12, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { almost (3) } \\ & \text { 6406:15;6408:4; } \end{aligned}$ | 6432:13,24 <br> Appeals (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6369:11 } \\ & \text { accordance (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 6430:23;6435:4; 6441:13;6447:7 | 13,14;6401:3,19; | 6406:15,6408:11 6433:11 | ¢0363:7 |
|  | ad (1) | 6403:24;6404:6,12,25; | altered (1) | appear (2) |


| 6410:10;6420:25 | ascribed (1) | 6378:14 | 6402:12;6404:1; | 6365:23;6430:20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| appearances (1) | 6439:20 | authoritative (3) | 6405:4;6406:1;6409:8 | Bender (1) |
| 6375:5 | asinine | 6395:15;6453:20; | Bartov (38) | 6390:22 |
| appears (1) | 6426:9 | 58 | 6362:23;6363: | beneficial (1) |
| 6410:13 | aspects (1) | authors (1) | 6364:20;6365: | 6450:12 |
| appellate (2 | 6439:4 | 6381:13 | 6366:25;6367:2 | enefit (1) |
| 6382:18,19 | assertion (2) | automatic (1) | 6371:4,24;6372:25 | 6382:11 |
| applicable (1) | 6378:12;6439:8 | 6409:24 | 6373:4;6374:4; | best (1) |
| $6455: 22$ | assess (1) | available (15) | 6375:17,23;6376:14, | 6374:11 |
| application (2) | 6387:1 | 6368:4;6374:6 | 22;6377:17,20; | better (3) |
| 6387:16,19 | assessment (1) | $6377: 20 ; 6378: 24$ | 6378:16;6379:3,13,23; | 6389:22;6416:16; |
| applied (2) | $6421: 9$ | 6384:15;6407:12,20; | 6380:17,18;6382:11; | $6464: 9$ |
| 6394:18;6453:20 | $\operatorname{asset}(19)$ | 6408:19;6415:7; | 6385:1,21,24;6410:11; | beyond (2) |
| apply (0) | 6409:24,25;6410:2; | $6418: 14 ; 6421: 8$ | 6415:7;6418:8;6421:4; | 6373:11;6418:18 |
| 6394:13;6395:5,22, | 6411:4;6419:5,24; | 6454:7,10;6455:9,11 | 6424:25;6434:10; | big (1) |
| 25;6431:18;6456:2 | 6420:1;6423:8,12; | Avenue (2) | 6438:17;6439:7,13,18; | 6422:14 |
| $\underset{6371: 22}{\text { applying (1) }}$ | 6438:7;6441:3,6,6,12, | 6418:10;6436:21 | 6464:6 | billing (1) |
| $6 ?$ | 15,15,21;6462:24,24 assets (5) | aw | Bar | 6443:20 |
| 6382:12 | 6439:5,9;6441:10, | 6447:5,11;6453:24; | 6434:18 | 6387:18,18;6398:3, |
| appraisal | 11;6445:22 | 6454:23;6455:20; | based (40) | 3,5,6;6399:15,16; |
| 6419:4,18,25 | assigned (1) | $6457: 20 ; 6460: 15,24$ | $6361: 5,14 ; 6363: 25 ;$ | $6404: 10,11 ; 6405: 15$ |
| appraisals (6) ${ }^{\text {a }}$. | 6422:13 | $\underset{6391: 23}{\text { axiomatic (1) }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6364:14;6365:9; } \\ & 6367 \cdot 7 \text { ?2.6360.6. } \end{aligned}$ | 16;6406:11,12;6408:2, |
| 6418:16,23;6419:14; | $\begin{gathered} \text { assigns (1) } \\ 6419: 9 \end{gathered}$ |  | 6367:7,23;6369:6; | 4;6409:18,20;6411:3,3 bills (1) |
| appreciate (3) | associated (1) | B | 6374:23,25;6380:1 | 6444:19 |
| 6384:8;6439:2 | 6441:20 |  | 9;6382:4;6386:4; | Birney (1) |
| 6452:18 | Associate | back (18) | 887:15,18;6394:3 | 6372:5 |
| appreciated | 6412:13,1 | $6388: 12 ; 6393: 15,1$ | 6400:11;6405:12,13 | bit (3) |
| 6447:21 | assume (4) | 6400:7;6401:11; | 6406:10,24;6407:13, | 6447:21;6460:18; |
| approach (2) | 6435:3;6436:2; | 6403:14,16;6415:2 | 15,17,24;6409:11,17; | 6461:13 |
| 6439:9,10 | 6439:16;6463:12 | 6417:21;6421:17; | 6422:14,25;6423:1,10; | blah (3) |
| appropriate (6) | assuming (1) | 6427:4;6428:1; | 6428:13;6429:9,10,11, | $6369: 25,25,2$ |
| 6364:13;6373:3; | 6425:23 | $6429: 14 ; 6430: 2$ | 11;6438:18 | Board (1) |
| 6380:5;6400:16; | attended (2) | 6440:17;6452:17 | basically (7) | 6386:5 |
| 6417:8;6419:13 | 6392:24,24 | 6453:7;6462:13 | $6379: 12 ; 6383: 1$ | body (1) |
| approximately (2) | attention (4) | backfield (1) | 6400:8;6424:25; | 6395:16 |
| $\overline{6409: 19,20}$ | 6386:7,9;6418:8; | 6417:13 | 6428:4;6429:2;6448:1 | book (2) |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { ARC (1) } \\ 6442: 9 \end{array}$ | 6440:17 | background (3) 6379:11;6380:1 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { basics (1) } \\ 6388: 13 \end{array}$ | $6412: 18,22$ <br> booked (1) |
| area (2) | Attorney (17,21;6366:15; | 6445:4 | basis (13) | 6412:16 |
| 6443:12;6459:6 | 6368:11;6370:23; | backtrack (1) | 371:7;6377:2 | bootstrap (1) |
| argue (4) | 6378:19;6422:5,8,18, | 6447:21 | 6383:2,21;6394:12; | 6373:14 |
| 6365:17;6374:12; | 20,22,24;6423:17,23; | balance (2) | 6416:20;6419:16; | borrow (1) |
| 6378:20;6430:1 | 6424:2;6425:5;6426:7, | $6383: 24 ; 6441: 7$ | 6420:20;6424:3,7,8; | 6366:23 |
| argument (2) <br> 6379:19:6427:16 | 11,21;6427:23; 6428:23:6429:10 | Bank (32) <br> 6373:6:6387:17 | 6434:5;6451:6 | both (1) |
| arguments (4) | 6433:22;6434:19; | 6;6387:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bearing (1) } \\ & \mathbf{6 4 2 8 : 2 5} \end{aligned}$ | bother (1) |
| 6427:12,18;6432:13, | 6435:3;6436:24 | 6404:6,14,25;6405:23; | became (1) | 6401:23 |
| $24$ | attorneys (3) | 6406:7;6407:8; | 6454:4 | bottom (5) |
| arise (1) | 6376:10;6384:6 | 6408:14,23;6410:20; | become (2) | 6383:5;6396:20; |
| 6394:20 | 6451:25 | 6415:21,22;6418:16, | 6370:15;6413:12 | 6397:13,16,17 |
| around | aud | 23;6419:8,14;6420:2 | beg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { box (1) } \\ & 6427: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| arrive (1) | audited (1) | 6423:1,12,14;6424:9; | 6453:16;6460:18; | Brand (5) |
| 6411:9 | 6389:9 | 6444:25,25 | 6462:14 | 6439:15,20,2 |
| arrived (3) | auditor (2) | Bankers (1) | begins (1) | 6441:1,9 |
| 6387:24;6407:15; | 6413:9,10 | 6391:1 | 6372:20 | branded (4) |
| 6408:3 | auditors (3) | banking (1) | behalf (1) | 6438:10,14;6440:19 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { articles (1) } \\ 6458: 4 \end{gathered}$ | 6413:2,10;6460:11 | 6432:20 | 6384:1 | $21$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 6394:17 | author (1) |  |  | 21,21,22;6414:1; |


| 6459:5,9;6464:3,4 | 6415:15,22;6416:2,5,7, | 163.5,7,6425.5 | 6363:10;6372:15 | 6402:18;6417:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| brief (4) | 8;6417:10,18;6420:4, | 33:17;6434:1 | 79:5;6380:9,10 | companies (3) |
| 6375:10;6415:4 | 11;6421:5;6422:15,16; | certainty (1) | 388:8;6393:20 | 6441:5,8,14 |
| 6418:24;6419:2 | 6423:4;6425:7 | 6362.14 | 404:13;6417: | company (7) |
| briefing (1) | 6427:13,19,21;6430:7; | ce | 427:19;6433:25 | 6380:1;6396:6; |
| 6432:7 | 6431:1;6437:8,25; | 6448: | 42: | 412:14;6419:8; |
| briefly (5) | 6438:19;6440:17; | challenge (1) | client (3) | 6431:17;6443:8; |
| 6360:20;6377 | 6444:12,24;6445:2,4 | 6379:16 | 6437:13,18;6452:24 | 6459:22 |
| 6380:8;6389:25 | 6447:19;6448:18; | challenging ( | close (1) | comparative (1) |
| 6432:6 | 6450:8,23,24;6451:25; | 6425:6 | 6366 | 6438:3 |
| bring (4) | 452:18;6453:7,15 | chan | closely (1) | compare (1) |
| 6373:15; | 454:11;6461:11; | 6369:2 | 6385:13 | 6404:6 |
| 6415:20;6452:17 | 6463 | change (3) | Club | compared (1) |
| broach (1) | cancel (1) | 6387:7,8;6389:13 | 6437:19,2 | 6366:5 |
| 6366:10 | 6463:1 | changed (1) | club | comparison (1) |
| broad (2) 6373:5,1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { cancelling (1) } \\ 6463: 5 \end{gathered}$ | $6: 1$ | 9:9 | $6423: 4$ |
| broader (1) | capable (1) |  | cockamamie (2) | 6443:18 |
| 374:16 | 6452:3 | Characteristics (1) | co-counsel (1) | compensation (1) |
| broadly (1) | careful (1) | 6386:1 | 6429:25 | 6443:24 |
| 6388: | 3:3 | characterization (1) | co-counsel's (1) | compilation |
| buffet (1) | cares (1) | 6452:16 | 429:17 | 6373:23;6442:8,10, |
| 6421:5 | 6430:20 | characteri | Cod | 1,23,25;6460:1 |
| building | case (60) | 6453:5 | 47:7,12;6449:2 | compilations (1) |
| 6423:1;6463:13, | 6360:25;6363:6 | chart (2) | 16;6453:19;6457:6,12 | 6443:9 |
| bulletin (3) | 6365:12,13,20;6366:1, | 6404:6;6421:1 | collapse (1) | complaint (9) |
| 6459:16;6461:17,20 | 13,18,20,24;6367:10; | check (1) | 6434:3 | 6364:17,22;6365: |
| bulletins (1) | 6371:10;6373:21; | 6442:2 | collateral | 6425:24,25;6427:7,8; |
| 64 | 6374:22;6385:4,21; | Chi | 8:17 | 6429:22;6430:17 |
| business | 6387:9;6393:9;6402:6; | 6418:10;6420:2 | colleague ( | complete (3) |
| 6428:5 | 6404:9;6407:25; | chose (1) | 12;6376:2 | 6389:17;6415:10; |
| buyers (1) | 6414:1;6416:24,24,25; | 6426 | 6381:7 | 6432:18 |
| 6439:12 | 6417:5,9;6420:17; | chosen | colleagues (1) | completed (2) |
| C | $21 ;$ | 6382:6 <br> circumstances | $131: 1$ <br> ctive | $6463: 6,8$ |
|  | ;6430:4,4,25;6431:4, | 86:16;6394:11; | 6395:17,18 | 388:9;6408:11; |
| calculation | 14;6432:2,2,21; | 6395:15;6396:6 | Colts (3) | 6428:11;6429:7; |
| 6437:22;6455:15 | $6434: 12 ; 6440: 2$ | citation (1) | $6437: 20,23 ; 6440: 18$ | 6441:11 |
| call (5) | 6443:19;6445:20; | 6372:13 | column (3) | compliance (3) |
| 6383:14;6415:24,25; | 6451:16,17;6453:25; | cite (3) | 6421:21;6438:4, | 6363:13;6365:7; |
| 6417:9;6426:5 | 156:1,11;6460:3; 161:3;6462:9; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6360:25;6365:1 } \\ & \text { 6441:17 } \end{aligned}$ | coming (4) | 6367:14 |
| $\text { alled (6) } \quad 6365: 13 ; 6384: 1$ | 6463:12;6464:6,7,7 | cited (5) | 6434:19;6440:2 | 6369:14 |
| 6395:6;6416:24 | case-in-chief (2) | 6360:24:6366:1 | comment (1) | compromise (4) |
| 6417:14;6427:2 | 6417:13, | 6367:24;6371:6 | 402:16 | 6376:13;6377:14; |
| calling (3) | cases (4) | citing (2) | commercial (3) | 6391:16,19 |
| $6363: 4 ; 6378: 13$ | $6360: 24 ; 6366: 1$ | $6371: 7 ; 6372: 2$ | $6396: 11,15,15$ | computed (2) |
| 6425:14 | 6367:24;6371:6 | cl | Commission (1) | 6410:4;6443:3 |
| 6369:10;6370:17; | $\begin{gathered} \text { cash (1) } \\ 6408: 22 \end{gathered}$ | claiming ( | commissioned | $6412: 13,13 ; 6427: 6$ |
| 6374:19;6375:6; | categories ( | 6375:7 | 6419:1 | conceal (2) |
| 6376:8,15;6381:1,9; | 6389:19 | claims (3) | Committee ( | 6411:13;6413:11 |
| 6382:9;6383:6;6384:9; | cause (2) | 6364:18;6427:10 | 6444:23 | concealment (3) |
| 6385:15,15;6386:12; | 6460:10 | 6452:19 | common (3) | 6411:15,17;6412:3 |
| 6389:18;6390:13; | causes (1) | clarify (5) 6363:3:6400:10 | 6388:9;6397:2 | concept (3) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6392:3,14,19,19; } \\ & \text { 6393:19;6395:13; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 6462:15 } \\ \text { caveat (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6363:3;6400:10; } \\ & \text { 6425:7;6459:18,18 } \end{aligned}$ | commonly ( | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6453:16,18;6457:5 } \\ & \text { concepts (5) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6396:7;6397:8,21,22; | 6370:1 | class (1) | 6429:19 | 6386:12;6447:6,14, |
| 6398:1;6399:1; | certain (5) | $6436: 2$ | commonsensical (1) | 15;6451:5 |
| 6400:20;6401:2,3; 6403:19:6405:19. | 6394:6;6427:24; | clear (4) 6388:9;6420:19 | 6433:12 | Conceptual (4) |
| 6408:23:6411:1; | 6433:13,13;6451:23 |  | commotion 6431:20 | 6385:25;6386:3, 451:4 |
| 6412:5;6413:24; |  |  |  |  |


| 78:18 | consideration (5) | 63 | .18,23,6439.2 | 069 (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| concerned (1) | 6395:5;6449:12,13, | Coopers (1) | 0:5,10,14;6443:16; | 6360:12 |
| 6374:17 | ;6463:5 | 6441:18 | :4,7,9,12;6447:20 | 1070 (1) |
| concerning (1) | considerations ( | copies (1) | 4;6448:5,14,19 | 6360:13 |
| 6404:18 | 6375.2.6395.11 | 6418:1 | 6449:20,23;6450:3,7 | D-1071 (1) |
| conclude | conside | copy | 15,25;6451:22;6452:6; | 6360:13 |
| 6378:9 | 6367:11;6379: | 6397:9;640 | 6453:6;6454:15; | D-1072 (1) |
| concluded (1) | 13:7;6440:4 | 409:4;6437:20 458.9 | 6455:1,3,12;6456:5,15; | $6360: 13$ |
| c | 15;6449: | 58 | 59:3,8;6463:23; | damage (1) |
| co |  |  |  | 6396:23 |
| 8;6399:9 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { considerin } \\ 6430: 23 \end{array}$ | corrected | 6401:14;6432:2 | $\begin{gathered} \text { damages (1) } \\ 6433: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| ;6402:5 |  |  | 6452:23;6454:1; | data (4) |
| 6403:25;6404:17; | 00:5;6408 | correction (2) | 6456:12 | 6371:25;6405:13; |
| 5:3,9,25;6406:24 | 40:21;6442: | 386:19;6387 | Court's (2) | 6437:9;6442:21 |
| 6408:3; | co | correctly | 1) | date (5) |
| 6409:7;6424:8; | 423: | 28:21;6457:16; | cover (1) | 6405:22,22;6413:3; |
| 9:11 | Co | 0 | 6450:12 | 54:4;6456:2 |
| 6 | 6425:9 | co | covered (3) | lated (3) |
| 6383:19;6410: | constantly | 375 | 6400:14;6418:20,2 | 6399:8;6407:5; |
| conclusory (1) | 6432:23 | counts (2) | CPLR (1) | 6409:5 |
| 6439:7 | constraints | 364:4;6367:1 | 6432:7 | day (6) |
| Conditi | 6427:13 | couple (4) | CRE | 6360:7;6382:2 |
| 6373:8;6385 | contain | 6390:21 | $6396: 15,1$ | 6388:12;6429:20; |
| $63$ |  | 6444: | credit (23) | $4: 3,4$ |
|  |  | cour | $\begin{aligned} & ; 6372: 19,22 ; \\ & \text {;13;6388:23,24, } \end{aligned}$ | DB (13) |
| 6404:2,19;6405:5,17; | cont | 6447:9;6462 | 389:2 | 397:23,24;6398:4,6; |
| 6406:2,11,14,17; | 6446:22;6447:1; | course | 96:11;6397:2 | 399:19;6409:16,1 |
| 6407:1,9,13,20, | 56:10;6457:12 | 6439 | 02:5;6405:7; | 410:2;641 1:3,5; |
| 6408:2,6,9,19,2 | contain | COUR | 07: | 6423:4 |
| 6409:9,15,18;6410:2, | 6378:7 | 360:1,5,14, | 08:14;6409:5,1 | dealing (1) |
| 21,25;6411:2,6,13; | CONT'D | 6361:10,22;636 | 6410:17;6436:1,14,20 | 6434:16 |
| 6 | 85:19;6388:15; | 19 | criteria (3) | Dear (1) |
| 6428:4;6439:1 | 6392:12;6418:6; | 6366:1,12;6367:18,24; | 6411:16;6412:2 | 6418:12 |
| 6441:2;6445:23 | 6421:15;6426:19; | 6368:2,16;6369:1,16, | criterion | debt (1) |
| 6455:18,23 |  | 23.6370.917 | 6411:15 | 6405:1 |
|  |  |  |  | deceived (1) |
| onducted (1) | 6402:10;6403:24 | 6377:3;6378:8;6379:9; | 6392:3;6444:9,13; | December (11) |
| 6371: | contingent | 6380:8;6382:1,12,19 | :11,13,18 | 6412:17,19,22 |
| confer (1) | 43:24 | 22;6383:5,9,22;6384:4, | 52:18;6455:5,13; | 413:4;6422:1;645 |
|  | cont | 12,22,6385:8,1317. | 6456:4 | 6455:1;6456:20,24; |
| onfirm ( | 6378:15;6383:24; | 6391:8, ${ }^{\text {a }}$,690:11, | cross-examination (3) | 6464:14 |
| 6415:6 | 6384:22;6392:11; | 6391:4,23;6392:11; | 84:7;6391:15; | decide (5) |
| confusing | 6435:8;6450:13 | 6393:15,17,18; | 6459:12 | 6387:4;6395:4 |
| 6 | Con | 6396:22;6397:7; | cumulative | 6396:1;6433:5,8 |
|  | co | 6399:25;6400:8,23 |  | decided (8) |
| 6459:21 | $6397$ | $6403: 12,18 ; 6410: 1$ |  | $5382: 24 ; 6432: 14,22$ |
| connec | continuous | 6412:10,19;6413:13 | 6424:12;6425:2,16; | 6433:1,4;6440:3 |
| 63 | 6402:18 | 18,22;6415:2;6416:2 |  | deciding (1) |
| 6 | cont |  |  | 6433:8 |
| 6455:17,18;6457:23; | contradict | $25 ; 6420: 7,11,14$ |  |  |
| 6458:1;6461:2 | 6433:4 | 6421:8,10,13;6422:10, | 6 | 6386:11;6388:2,25 |
| nsider (9) | contrast (2) | 16;6423:19,25 | cynicism (1) | 394:5,8;6398:9; |
| 6389:2;6404:12; | 6409:13 | 6424:15,21:642 | 6397:2 | 428:6;6432:10; |
| $5454: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { convenient ( } 1 \\ & 6464: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | D | 6449:11 <br> decisions (3) |
| $6461: 2 ; 6462: 25,25$ | converse ( | $6429: 8,11 ; 6432: 4,9$ |  | 6404:16;6408:12,24 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { considerable (1) } \\ 6399: 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6377:7 } \\ & \text { cooperating (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6433:11;6434:21; } \\ & 6435: 2,8 ; 6437: 5,15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { D-1068 (1) } \\ 6360: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { defendant (5) } \\ & \quad 6366: 19,21 ; 6384: 2 ; \end{aligned}$ |


| 6432:10;6439:23 | detail (1) | 6363:22;6365:3; | 6414:1;6464:6,7 | Doral (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| defendants (7) | 6445:2 | 6374:11,21;6375:14; | discussed (6) | 6421:1 |
| 6367:2,22;6371:5; | detailed (11) | 6382:6,6;6389:19; | 6360:7;6418:1; | down (7) |
| 6377:1;6382:7;6439:6, | 6404:4;6405:8; | 6397:25;6398:10,11, | 6428:2;6439:16; | 6416:5,7;6429:3; |
| 17 | 6406:3,6,9,21;6407:11, | 12;6399:21;6400:10; | 6441:4;6454:6 | 6430:15;6460:18; |
| defendant's (5) | 18,18;6409:10; | 6405:8,22;6406:6; | discussion (2) | 6463:15,17 |
| 6366:3,4,7;6418:5,5 | 6411:10 | 6408:11;6409:11; | 6439:4,5 | dozen (1) |
| defense (9) | details (2) | 6410:3;6411:7; | disgorgement (3) | 6369:13 |
| 6364:12;6365:13; | 6396:6;6443:2 | 6425:16;6426:2 | 6432:16,20;6434:17 | dozens (1) |
| 6367:13,20;6378:12, | detect (1) | 6427:17,21;6441:12; | disingenuous (1) | 6416:6 |
| 17,22;6379:6;6426:6 | 6375:12 | 6454:22;6461:13 | 6374:11 | Dr (4) |
| defined (2) | determination (5) | differential (2) | dispute (2) | 6390:6,7,11;6392:24 |
| 6386:10;6457:12 | 6364:2,4;6366:12 | 6409:21,22 | 6401:5;6427:2 | draw (6) |
| defi |  | digest (1) $6382: 13$ | disputed (1) 6367:4 | 6377:25,25;6386 |
| 6397:14;6425:14 | 6363:24;6364:5; | diminish (1) | disruptive (1) | 6440:17 |
| definition (15) | 6371:9;6380:12,23 | 6462:18 | 6431:15 | due (2) |
| 6386:24;6393:25; | determine (11) | dire (1) | distinguish (1) | 6373:23;6381:6 |
| 6394:1,4;6398:11,11; | 6370:6;6371:23; | 6391:22 | $6411: 21$ | duly (1) |
| 6399:21,25;6400:1; | 6373:1;6412:1;6417:8; | direct (22) | distinguished (1) | $6384: 2$ |
| 6419:5;6422 | 6424:7;6428: | 6383:23;6384:11,24; | 6386:12 | during (6) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6423: 2,6 \\ & 6436: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6429:5; } \\ & \text { 6461:6 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6385:19;6388:15; } \\ & \text { 6391:20;6392:2,12; } \end{aligned}$ | 6439:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6362:4;6414:1; } \\ & \text { 6450:12;6454:8,11; } \end{aligned}$ |
| defraud (2) | determined (1) | 6402:8;6413:18; | DJT's (1) | 6459:5 |
| 432:15;6434:9 | 6363:14 | 6418:6;6421:15,18 | $6430: 1$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { dèjá (1) } \\ & 6401: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { determining (4) } \\ & 6371: 15 ; 6420: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6426:19;6438:21; } \\ & \text { 6440:15;6444:2; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { document (38) } \\ 6385: 24 ; 6386: 8 ; \end{array}$ | $\mathbf{E}$ |
| DeLong (1) | 6428:16;6460:9 | 6446:3;6447:10; | 6396:8;6397:4,8,17; | eager (1) |
| 6363:6 | Deutsche (33) | 6454:1,15;6455:6 | 6399:4,4,5;6403:21; | 6368:13 |
| D-E-L-O-N-G (1) | 6373:6;6387:17; | directed (5) | 6404:23;6405:20,21; | earlier (2) |
| 6363:6 | 6390:25;6397:10,19; | 6427:4,6;6431:12, | 6407:4;6409:3,12; | 6374:15;6446:3 |
| demeanor (1) | 6399:5,10;6402:12; | 13;6432:1 | 6410:10,10;6412:4; | earned (3) |
| 6452:21 | 6404:1,6,14,25;6405:4, | direction (1) | 6413:7;6419:18; | 6412:17,18;6452:25 |
| dem | 23;6406:1;6407:8; |  | 6421:8,24;6446:9,12, | earnings (1) |
| demonstrated (1) | 6410:20;6415:21,21; | 6361:12,12;6367:4; | $13,15,16,18,19$ | easy (1) |
| $6373: 12$ | $6418: 16,23 ; 6419: 8$ | 6406:23 | $6452: 10 ; 6454: 7,9,10$ | $6382: 13$ |
| Denied (1) | 6420:2,22;6421:1,21; | disagree (1) | documentary (1) | echo (2) |
| 6432:4 | 6422:2;6423:1,12,13; | 6433:11 | 6365:15 | 6431:1,12 |
| deny (1) | 6424:9 | disagreed (2) | documentation (2) | econometric (1) |
| $6422: 12$ | develop (1) | 6378:15;6428:2 | 6367:7;6369:7 | 6371:18 |
| 6442:17 | developed (2) | 6372:7;6374:3; | $6360: 10 ; 6363: 12,21$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { economic (1) } \\ & 6394: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| departures (1) | 6386:5;6441:6 | 6375:21;6394:5; | 24,25;6373:9;6385:8; | effect (6) |
| 6363:19 | developing (1) | 6442:21;6447:10 | 6388:19,21;6393:5; | 6389:13;6441:9; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { deposition (13) } \\ & \text { 6371:25;6388:22; } \end{aligned}$ | 6447:15 <br> development (1) | disclosed (9) 6362:3;6363:18; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6410:13;6412:3; } \\ & \text { 6413:1,10,11;6416:22; } \end{aligned}$ | 6447:8;6460:13; 6462:17,17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6371:25;6388:22; } \\ & \text { 6389:24;6390:14; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { development (1) } \\ & 6451: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6362:3;6363:18; } \\ & 6368: 1 ; 6372: 12 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6413:1,10,11;6416:22; } \\ & \text { 6433:13 } \end{aligned}$ | effective (3) |
| 6391:10,11,13;6392:7, | deviate (1) | 6373:2,16;6375:8; | dollar (1) | 6454:4,5;6456:24 |
| 22,23,24;6393:1,2 | 6394:19 | 6391:12;6420:18 | 6463:18 | efficient (1) |
| depositions (1) | de | disclosure (6) | dol | 6417:7 |
| 6392:25 | 6394:19,20 <br> DGT (3) | 6361:25;6362:5; | 6428:8,9 | eight (8) |
| 6434:6 | 6404:7,8,9 | disclosures (2) | $6397: 23 ; 6398: 1,5,9$ | $6397: 22 ; 6398: 1,2 ;$ |
| derived (3) | difference (11) | 6371:13;6410:11 | 6399:13,15,19; | 6399:12;6446:1,9 |
| 6407:25;6408:11; | 6386:11;6394:8; | discount (2) | 6405:15;6423:5; | either (1) |
| 6443:6 | 6395:3,4;6399:18; | 6409:24;6410:3 | 6445:22;6460:3 | 6410:10 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { descending (1) } \\ 6401: 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6409:25;6411:5,6; } \\ & \text { 6412:7;6447:25; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { discovery (1) } \\ 6372: 10 \end{array}$ | done (10) 6369:14;6373:6; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { element (3) } \\ & 6449: 7,18,25 \end{aligned}$ |
| describe (1) | 6448:21 | discrepancy (2) | 6376:4;6392:10; | elements (5) |
| 6412:24 | differences (2) | 6448:10;6449:10 | 6396:23;6425:20,22; | 6433:24,25;6434:1, |
| describing (1) | 6398:4;6399:15 | discuss (6) | 6426:8;6428:21; | 1;6448:9 |
|  | different (28) | 6384:17;6397:6,6; |  | Eli (1) |


| 6439:18 | 6448:8 | 6369:19;6373:9; | expertise (10) | 6462:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| eliminated (1) | evaluating (1) | 401:14 | 6373:11,13;6379:22, | factor (1) |
| 6462:17 | 6380:2 | Exchange ( | 4;6380:4,10,14; | 6450:5 |
| else (6) | evaluation (1) | 6457:18 | 681:10;6418:19 | facts (0) |
| 6367:3;6392:4; | 6443:4 | exclude (1) | 6421:7 | 6373:25;6395:15; |
| 6401:14;6415:14,17; | even | 6371: | expertly (1) | 6412:3;6431:18; |
| 6445:6 | 6380:1,13;6389:25 | excuse (5) | 6382:11 | 6461:14,22 |
| Emile (1) | 6407:19;6408:19; | 6403:24;6405:5; | experts (15) | factually (1) |
| 6421:3 | $6423: 13 ; 6430:$ | $6420: 4,7 ; 6461: 11$ | 6362:13,16;6390:10; | 6439:25 |
| emphasize | 6460:18,19 | excused (3) | 6428:14;6430:6,22,24; | FAHERTY (1) |
| 6449:15 | everyo | 6420:9;6430: | 31:7,8,23,24;6432:9, | 6446:5 |
| employee ( | 6459:4 | 6464:11 | 12,17,19 | failed (1) |
| 6416:21 | everywher | Executive ( | expert's (1) | 6420:18 |
| end (3) | 6388:11 | 6428:4 | 6365:19 | failure (1) |
| 6383:4;6406:4,12 | Evidence (28) | exercise (2) | explain (9) | 6375:21 |
| engagement (1) | 6360:13,18;6363:1 | 6402:2;6 | 6383:18;639 | fair (3) |
| 6443:22 | 25;6364:15;6365:15, | Exhibit (17) | 6402:19;6420:5 | 6424:14,19;6425:3 |
| enough (1) | 18;6367:6,19;6378:10, | 6385:22;6396:7 | 439:13;6446:20 | fairly (1) |
| 6435:5 | 24;6379:4,16,17; | 6398:14;6399:1; | 6451:15;6452:7; | 6450:5 |
| ensconced | 6383:1,8;6393:21 | 6403:20;6404:22; | 6461:19 | fairness (1) |
| 6439:22 | 6403:3;6404:20; | 05:19;6407:2; | explained ( | 6429:19 |
| entail (1) | 6406:13;6413:11 | 6409:1;6410:6,16; | 6441:13 | Fake (1) |
| 6368:14 | 6418:2;6420:19; | 6417:25;6418:5,5; | explanation (1) | 6411:19 |
| enter | 6425:10;6426:3; | 421:17;6437:9; | 6388:10 | faked (1) |
| 6395:11 | 6427:1;6450:23,2 | . 9 | exp | $6412: 3$ |
| 6404:12;6429:13 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { evidentiaı } \\ 6422: 7 \end{array}$ | $6360: 7 ; 6432:$ | explore (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { false (2) } \\ & 6428: 5 ; 6439: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| entities (1) | exac | exist (1) | 6450:11 | Falsification (1) |
| 6453:21 <br> ntitled (7) | $6440: 10$ | $6454: 17$ <br> existed (2) | expressed <br> 6443:25 | 6411:18 <br> falsified (1) |
| 6363:11; | 6362:14;6383:18 | 6454:19,2 | extensive | 6412:3 |
| 6367:20;6378:23 | 6385:9;6416:17 | existing (1) | 6408:10;6410:23 | familiar (1) |
| 6386:8;6391:25; | 6429:1,15;6430:16 | 6447:11 | 6432:7 | 6450:20 |
| 6415:15 | 6433:16 | exist | extensively ( | far (3) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { entity (2) } \\ & 6386: 14 ; 6448: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { exaggerate } \\ 6462: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6365:18,2 } \\ & \text { expand (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 6400:14 } \\ \text { extent (8) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6374:11;6412:24; } \\ & 6455: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| entity's (1) | exam (1) | 6390:13;6438:1 | 6361:4;6368:2 | FASB (4) |
| 6395:14 | 6391:6 | expect (3) | 6372:20;6382:3; | 6386:5;6453:18,20; |
| equitable (1) | EXAMINATION (18) | 6387:22;6406:7 | 6396:5;6401:6;6402:3; | 6457:5 |
| 6432:16 | 6384:24;6385:19; | 6423:11 | 6417:16 | fashion (1) |
| error (18) | 6388:15;6391:20; | expect | external (1) | 6439:8 |
| 6389:10,1 | 6392:12;6402:8; | 6399:22;6423 | 6442:7 | faster (1) |
| 6399:20;6411:22,23, | 6418:6;6421:15; | experience (3) | externally | 6446:18 |
| 23,24,24;6412:7,25; | 6426:19;6440:15 | 880:21,22;6400:22 | 18:1 | fault (2) |
| 6413:5,8,12;6463:1,5 | 6444:3,10,13;6446:3 | experienced (1) | e | 6377:10;6402:17 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { errol } \\ 63 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | feel (3) |
| especially (2) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 6455:13;6 } \\ \text { examine (1) } \end{array}$ | $6361: 24 ; 6362: 5$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { extraordinarily }(2) \\ 6416: 12 ; 6452: 22 \end{array}$ | 6430:12 |
| 6368:4;6389:9 | $6379: 3$ | $6363: 3,5,9 ; 6364: 13,14$ | extraordinary (1) | feels (1) |
| established (1) | examined (3) | 6365:14,22;6366:1,6,9; | 6427:11 | 6430:20 |
| 6380:25 | 6369:24;6370:5 | 6367:14,16;6372:10; | E-Y | fees (1) |
| tat | 6384:2 | 6376:8;6380:5;6382:2; | 6366:20 | 6444:18 |
| 6380:1;6396:12,15, 15 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { example (14) } \\ 6394: 7 ; 639 \end{array}$ | 6396:3;6400:1 | F | few (6) 6360:4,10; $6390: 3,3 ;$ |
| estimated (12) | 6406:8;6408:1;6412:9 | 6402:22;6404:14 |  | 6393:2;6404:4 |
| $6422: 25 ; 6423: 10$ | 11,12,13;6413:6,13; | 6408:7;6419:18; |  | field (1) |
| 6424:12;6425:2,16; | 6449:13,19;6450:9; | 6423:16;6425:9,12; | 6371:20 | $6401: 7$ |
| 6436:7,8,10,11; | 6463:12 | 6426:5;6427:15; | facility (4) | fifteen (5) |
| 6437:22;6439:10; | exam | 6429:20;6430:14; | 6438:10,14;6440:20, | 6438:2,4;6444:7; |
| 6463:14 |  | 6431:24;6432:20; | $21$ | 6452:13,22 |
| estimation (1) | exceedingly | 6434:22;6442:1; | fact (5) | Fifty (1) |
| 6387:21 | 6375:10 | 6447:5;6454:25; | 6366:7;6380:11; | 6444:8 |
| et (1) | except (3) | 6456:11 | 6389:10;6461:24; | fight (1) |


| 6417:6 | flow (1) | 6367:10;6374:24; | 6366:15;6368:11; | 6373:3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| financial (88) | 6408:22 | 6378:2;6427:9; | 6370:23;6378:19; | group (9) |
| 6363:10,20;6371:16; | focus (2) | 6430:14,20;6431:14,16 | 6386:13;6395:10; | 6396:12,13,15,17; |
| 6372:16,18,21;6373:8; | 6390:5;6443:13 | fraud (65) | 6422:5,8,18,20,24; | 6397:11,16;6399:6; |
| 6375:12;6380:22,24; | folks (2) | 6361:15;6365:16,18; | 6423:17,23;6424:2; | 6405:1,23 |
| 6385:5,25;6386:1,5,15; | 6401:11;6452:23 | 6366:10,11;6367:17; | 6425:5;6426:7,11,21; | guess (1) |
| 6387:2,21;6389:3,7,9, | follow (2) | 6369:9,19,25;6370:7; | 6427:24;6428:23; | 6454:17 |
| 9,10,15,20;6393:11,22; | 6371:15;6434:11 | 6371:9,15,21,23; | 6429:10;6433:22; | guidance (4) |
| 6395:12;6397:20; | followed (1) | 6372:11,17;6373:1,15, | 6434:19;6435:3; | 6394:13;6449:9,18; |
| 6399:11;6402:13; | 6434:10 | 21;6374:18,23; | 6436:25;6442:4; | 6462:2 |
| 6404:2,18;6405:5,17, | following (8) | 6375:11,12,23;6378:7, | 6447:4;6448:24 | guide (1) |
| 17;6406:2,11,14,17; | 6381:14;6395:9; | 7,8,10;6379:15,20; | generalized (1) | 6395:7 |
| $6407: 1,9,13,20,21$ | 6414:3;6418:12; | $6380: 13,13 ; 6381: 4,5 ;$ | 6395:17 | H |
| $6409: 9,15,18 ; 6410: 1$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6441:1,3;6444:17; } \\ & \text { 6458:12 } \end{aligned}$ | 6382:4;6399:20,24; | $\begin{gathered} \text { enerally (4) } \\ \text { 6387:19;6394: } \end{gathered}$ | H |
| 21,25;6411:2,6,12; | follows (1) | 6402:22,24,25; | 6453:21 | HABBA (3) |
| 6419:15,24;6420:1,25; | 6384:3 | 6411:15,17,21,22,23, | General's (3) | 6429:17;6430:11; |
| 6421:2;6428:3;6441:2; | followup (1) | 24;6412:1,7,25;6413:1, | 6364:17,21;6422:22 | 6432:18 |
| 6445:6,13,17,23; | 6392:3 | 4,12;6429:23;6430:3, | generated (1) | hads (1) |
| 6447:6,16;6451:6; | footnote (2) | 17,17;6433:24;6434:1 | 6418:13 | 6366:2 |
| 6454:8;6455:18,23; | 6407:23;6440:25 | freely (1) | generating (1) | Haigh (4) |
| 6459:23;6460:10,12, | footnotes (3) | 6375:25 | 6420:20 | 6390:11;6391:8; |
| 16,20,22;6462:3,6,10, | 6406:22;6410:25; | Friday (2) | gets (2) | 6424:19,22 |
| 16,19;6463:3,15 | 6440:24 | 6415:13;6459:1 | 6428:1;6431:20 | haircut (2) |
| find (9) | forge (1) | front (4) | giggle (1) | 6410:4;6411:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6366:14;6369:18; } \\ & \text { 6383:15;6388:9; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6413: 6 \\ \text { forged (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6397:9;6425:13; } \\ & \text { 6426:15;6446:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $6431: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { half (1) } \\ & 6369: 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6393:20;6406:5; | 6412:4;6413:10 | frustrated (1) | 6373:10;6381:8 | hand (1) |
| 6431:16;6436:11; | form (2) | 6430:13 | 6393:25;6420:21; | 6404:24 |
| 6442:15 | 6457:1,4 | full (2) | 6427:11,13;6461:24; | handful (1) |
| finder (2) | format (1) | 6364:9;6399:4 | 6462:1 | 6416:8 |
| 6366:7;6380:11 | 6461:20 | fully (6) | gives (1) | happen (1) |
| fine (10) | formed (1) | 6378:19;6425:5; | 6461:13 | 6384:16 |
| 6374:19;6379:3; | 6391:25 | 6438:9,13;6440:19,21 | giving (1) | happened (3) |
| 6382:25;6383:4,4; | former (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { function (1) } \\ & 6379: 25 \end{aligned}$ | 6369:2 | 6376:3;6431:9; |
| 6413:13;6431:4; | formerly (1) | fundamental (1) | 6419:6;6434:8,16 | happy (4) |
| 6432:24 | 6443:7 | 6451:5 | Golf (5) | 6376:18;6385:12,12; |
| finish (6) | formulated (1) | Further (3) | 6437:19,23;6439:9, | 6397:6 |
| 6362:18;6384:6,9, 11:6415:8:6463:11 | 6395:10 | 6366:9;6439:6; | $21 ; 6440: 18$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { harassed (1) } \\ 6452: 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| 11;6415:8;6463:11 | forth (1) | 6444:2 | Good (10) | 6452:12 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { firm (1) } \\ & 6441: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 6451:5 | future (3) | 6360:5;6385:1,2; | harassing (2) |
| firms (1) | 6365:12;6367:6; | 6453:12 | 6438:1;6439:1 | hard (2) |
| 6431:11 | 6376:17;6382:13 |  | 6444:15,16;6464:10 |  |
| first (11) | foundation (7) | G | governing (9) | harm (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6368: 12 ; 6372: 13 \\ & \text { 6394:23;6402:25; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6372:8;6385:7; } \\ & \text { 6386:4;6393:14; } \end{aligned}$ | GAAP (43) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6361:6;6364:5,10, } \\ & \text { 25;6365:1,7;6367:8, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6376:4;6382:22 } \\ & \text { Harris (3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6433:9;6451:4;6453:8; | 6418:25;6422:8; | 6361:5,14;6363:13, | 12;6455:22 | 6381:15;6414:4; |
| 6456:22;6459:15; | 6443:14 | 13,19;6364:9,18,20; | government (5) | 6458:13 |
| 6460:9;6462:6 | foundational (1) | 6365:2,7;6367:8,11; | 6360:24;6361:16; | Hawthorn (1) |
| five (2) 6444:8:6460.5 | $6383: 21$ | 6373:24;6374:2,2; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6362:14;6374:12; } \\ & 6415.9 \end{aligned}$ | 6379:18 |
| 6444:8;6460:5 | four (2) <br> 6407:25;64 | 6375:2;6387:16; | 6415:9 | Hawthorn's (1) |
| $6438: 7 ; 6439: 5,8$ | fourteen (2) | $21,22,23 ; 6423: 9$ | $6441: 21$ | hear (6) |
| flag (1) | 6438:2,4 | 6429:22;6434:6,7; | granted (3) | 6363:2;6368:13; |
| 6442:17 | fourth (1) | 6440:22,25;6441:1,3,4, | 6373:5;6418:3; | 6383:20;6385:10; |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { flawed (1) } \\ 6373: 25 \end{array}$ | 6451:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5,8,13,22;6442:4,5,16, } \\ & 17: 6453: 20: 6463: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 6450:25 | 6427:5;6430:20 |
| Flemmons | Framework (7) | 17;6453:20;6463:19 | $6383: 9$ | heard (10) |
| 6390:21 | 6447:2,4;6448:24; | 6372:13;6396:18; | Greenfield (1) | 6423:25;6429:18,19; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Florida (1) } \\ 6420: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6451: 5 \\ \text { frankly (8) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6453:25;6456:11 } \\ & \text { General (28) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6415:6 } \\ \text { grounds (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6430:13,19;6431:19, } \\ & 20 ; 6459: 25 \end{aligned}$ |


| hearing (2) | 6454:12,18;6456:3; | inappropriate (2) | 6372:16,21;6386:2, | 6395:11,11;6418:2; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6430:3;6432:9 | 6459:1;6464:2 | 6373:22;6438:13 | 13;6387:2,5,6,10,12 | 6419:1;6421:6; |
| hearsay (1) | hope (1) | include | 13,14,15,24;6388:2,4, | 6425:10;6436:2 |
| 6382:25 | 6384: | 6364:5;6382:2 | 7,18,23,25;6389:1,4,6, | 6450:23,24;6456 |
| height (1) | hostile (1) | 393:4;6441:9,14; | 14,19,23;6394:6; | introduce (2) |
| 425:11 | 6452:2 | 446:2 | 6395:13;6406:22,23; | 6416:17;6456:1 |
| held (1) | hour (2) | included (2) | 6407:13,19,23,24; | introduced (1) |
| 366:1 | 6443:20;644 | 6371:12;6441:2 | 6408:18,20;6410:24, | 6417: |
| help (1) | hours (2) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | includes (2) | 24;6416:2;6418:14; | introducing (3) |
| 6443:5 | 6443:21,23 | 6363:14,18 | 6430:15;6443:5; | 6364:13;6367:14; |
| helpful (5) | housekeeping | including (2) | 6447: | 6427:1 |
| 6412:5,9;6413:15, | 6360:4;6377:3 | 6432:8;6434:18 | informed (1) | introductory (1) |
| 20;6462:2 | 6415:4;6417:25 | inclusion (5) | 6439:11 | 6371:13 |
| helps (1) | Hudson (1) | 6386:19;6440:19,20; | injure (1) | invalid (3) |
| 6363:3 | 6365:11 | 6445:13,17 | 6366:21 | 6361:15;6373:24; |
| Here's (1) | huge | income (1) | input (1) | 6374:1 |
| 6376:12 | 6432:25 | 6408:21 | 6364:3 | investigated (1) |
| Hernandez (2) | hundred (1) | incomplete (1) | instance (1) | 6372:9 |
| 6360:6,9 | 6463:18 | 6373:25 | 6463:17 | investigation (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { herring (1) } \\ 6439: 25 \end{gathered}$ | hyperbole (1) | inconsistent (3) 6411:8;6442:5 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { instances ( } 1 \\ 6463: 17 \end{array}$ | 6371:8 <br> investigati |
| Hi (1) | hypothetical (10) | incorrect (2) | instead (2) | 6375:19 |
| 6360:9 | 6422:15,17;6423:22; | 6439:13;6440: | 6365:19;6408:10 | investor (2) |
| higher (5) | 6424:1,1,6,10;6425:4; | incredibly (1) | instructed (2) | 6386:11;6394:8 |
| 6365:21;6423:12 $6424: 11,13 ; 6425$ | 6463:23,25 <br> hypothetically (1) | 6430:12 | 6448:12,15 | investors (1) |
| highly (5) | hypothetically (1) 6425:20 | ind | insufficient | 6386:14 <br> invoices (1) |
| 6427:9,10, | hypotheticals (3) | independent | insulting | 6444:19 |
|  |  | 6405:9;6406:3,21; |  | involved (3) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { hindsight (1) } \\ & \text { 6454:6 } \end{aligned}$ | I | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6407:11,16;6408:1 } \\ & \text { 6411:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Insurance (1) } \\ 6365: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6366:18,20;6394:7 } \\ & \text { irrelevant (4) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { history (1) } \\ 6381: 8 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { independently (1) } \\ & 6409: 16 \end{aligned}$ | intangible (4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6423:24;6426:23,24; } \\ & 6432: 21 \end{aligned}$ |
| Hold (3) | 375:6;6379:4; | indicate (8) | intended (2) | irrespective (3) |
| 6422:16;6423:19 | 6416:9;6444:24; | 6378:21;6381:3 | 6366:21;6451: | 6433:17,18;6434:13 |
| 6437:24 | 6449:1 | 393:20;6404:13 | intent (10) | Island (1) |
| hominem (1) | identified (6) | 6405:16;6411:20 | 6367:2;6371:5 | 6412:14 |
| 6456:7 | 6364:21;6380:20; | 6437:12,17 | 6432:15;6433:19; | issuance (2) |
| honest (1) | 6381:2;6385:4;6393:9; | indication (2) | 6434:1,8,9,16,23,24 | $6378: 1,4$ |
| 6442:22 | 6415:12 | 6423:15,15 | intentional (4) | issue (23) |
| honestly (4) | identify (1) | indicators (1) | 6411:24;6461:12; | $6360: 23 ; 6361: 2,3$ |
| 6430:5;6431:11,22; | $6411: 17$ | 6411:20 | $6462: 5,10$ | 6363:4;6364:4; |
| 6432:1 | ignores (1) | indicia (11) | intently | 6365:18,20;6374:22; |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { Honor (54) } \\ 6360: 9,15 \end{array}$ | 6439: | 65:16;6367 | 6383:12 <br> interchang | $\begin{aligned} & 6375: 4 ; 6377: 7 ; \\ & 6380: 18,19 ; 641 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6362:9;6377:2; |  | 6376:17;6378:7; | 6402:24 | 6417:14;6425:19; |
| 6379:10;6380:7,9; | 6462:5,10 | 6383:15;6385:4; | internally | 6433:15,17,17;6434:5; |
| 6382:12,15,24; | impact (2) | 6393:9 | 6441:6 | 6450:11;6454:3,5,21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6383:17;6384:23; } \\ & \text { 6388:3;6390:12; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6363:20;6462:1 } \\ & \text { important (7) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { individual (5) } \\ & \text { 6395:17;6439:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { International ( } \\ & 6418: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { issued (2) } \\ & 6410: 11 ; 6432: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6392:6;6397:1;6400:5; | 6370:11,15;6390:24; | 6460:19;6461:9; | interpret (1) | issuer (1) |
| 6403:7;6410:8; | $6403: 15,17 ; 6450: 4,5$ | 6462:15 | 6461:21 | 6459:22 |
| 6413:15;6415:4; | imposes (1) | individuals (1) | interpretation (5) | issues (21) |
| 6417:24;6419:1; | 6395:16 | 6433:13 | 6374:2;6382:5; | 6362:25;6367:5; |
| 6420:24;6425:7; | impossible (3) | industry (2) | 6459:19;6460:15,24 | 6368:23;6371:3; |
| 6426:16,25;6430:25; <br> 6431:11:6432.16. | 6436:9,12,18 |  | interpretive (1) 6461.14 | 6375:20;6380:16,20; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6431:11;6432:1,6; } \\ & \text { 6433:2,3;6434:25; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { improper (4) } \\ & 6440: 13 ; 6455: 5,11 ; \end{aligned}$ | 6386:19 | interrupted (1) | 6416:23;6427:22; |
| 6437:3;6438:16,21; | 6456:3 | influences | 6391:10 | $6432: 10,14,19,21$ |
| 6439:3;6440:9; 6443:11:6444:11 | inadvertent (2) | 6386:10 | into (18) | 6433:1,6,7,8;6434:14, |
| 6443:11;6444:11; | 6389:12,12 | inform (1) | 6360:11,13;6364:9; | 15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6450:10,17,24; } \\ & \text { 6451:20;6452:2,15; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { inapposite (1) } \\ 6366: 17 \end{gathered}$ | 6442:13 <br> information (42) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6376:3;6382:17,24; } \\ & \text { 6383:6;6391:20; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { issuing (3) } \\ & \text { 6377:20;6439:24; } \end{aligned}$ |


| 6445:20 | kill (1) | laws (1) | 6457:5 | 6432:23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| item (7) | 6366:21 | 643 | limited (3) | lot (11) |
| 6377:5;6386:15,17, | I-N (1) | lawyers (3) | 6365:24;6426:5; | 6361:9;6363:2; |
| 20;6394:10,21; | 6366:20 | 6431:8,10 | 455:6 | 6368:23;6387:20; |
| 6440:24 | Kincey (1) | lay (2) | line (1) | 6396:5;6402:19 |
| items (6) | 6366:19 | 6401:16;6418:24 | 6383 | 6405:10;6413:20 |
| 6360:4;6377:17; | KISE (66) | lead (1) | lines (1) | 6415:20;6420:14; |
| 6387:21;6394:18,22; | 6360:3,6,20;6362:9, | 6385:10 | 6439:2 | 6446: |
| 6462:19 | 8,20;6368:2,14,19; | leading (8) | liquidation (10) | low (1) |
| J | 59:4,21;6370:1,11, | $\begin{aligned} & 6369: 19 ; 6383: 14 ; \\ & 6396 \cdot 18: 6437 \cdot 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6423: 1,2,3,8 \\ & 6424: 13,17,19 ; 6425: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6424: 17 \\ \text { lower (9) } \end{array}$ |
|  | 6373:18;6376:1,7,16; | 438:15;6440:8,11; | 6436:9,1 | 6406:16;64 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { January (4) } \\ & 6412: 16,18,22 ; \\ & 6413: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6377:2,5;6379:20; } \\ & \text { 6380:7,9;6382:15; } \\ & \text { 6384:14,18;6391:19; } \end{aligned}$ | 6441:18 | list (7) | 6423:5,7,13;6424 |
|  |  | least (3) <br> 6401:11;6415:16; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6376:15;6393:4,6,7; } \\ & \text { 6415:24;6416:6,20 } \end{aligned}$ | $6436: 10,11 ; 6463: 1$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6392:2;6397:1;6400:5, } \\ & \text { 20;6401:2,9,25; } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { listed (1) } \\ & 6399: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { lowest (2) } \\ 6423: 2,3 \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { jargon (1) } \\ 6439: 23 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 6433:8 } \\ \text { leave (6) } \end{array}$ |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { joke (1) } \\ & \text { 6431:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6403:10;6415:4,18; } \\ & \text { 6416:5,15,23;6417:4; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6397: 5 ; 6401: 22 \\ & 6413: 25 ; 6417: 19 \end{aligned}$6430:8;6459:6 | listen (4)6431:23;6436:9; | M |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Judge (6)6363:22:6366:7; | 6422:15;6424:6,18,22, |  | 6446:18;6451:12 |  |
|  | 25;6425:24;6426:25; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6430:8;6459:6 } \\ & \text { leaving (1) } \end{aligned}$ | listening (1) | $6386: 17 ; 6394: 9$ |
| 6375:15;6394:25; | 6428:10,17;6429:9; | 6370:20 | $6383: 11$ | $6395: 1,2,2$ |
| 6412:5;6451:12 | 6433:3,7,16;6434:24; | lef | lists | main (2) |
| judged (2) | 6437:4;6452:12,16,21; | 6413:20 | 6449:6, | 6433:15;6448:9 |
| 6374:23,25 | 6455:4,13,21;6463:21, | legal (5) | literally ( | maintain (1) |
| judgement (11) | $24$ | 6400:4,24;6434:5 | $6380: 1$ | 6440:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6382:8;6428:6,15; } \\ & \text { 6430:21;6432:7,24; } \end{aligned}$ | now |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { literature (7) } \\ & \text { 6386:25;6448:25; } \\ & 6449: 4,6,15,17 ; 6450: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { major (2) } \\ & \quad 6411: 15 ; 6429: 19 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6433:18,18,19; | 6457:2 | 6423:9 |  | maker (1) |
| 6434:13,14 | known (1) | lenders (1) | little (6) | 6386:11 |
| judgment (22) | 6373:20knows (3) | 6372:21lending (4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6373:12;6407:22; } \\ & \text { 6438:19;6459:21; } \end{aligned}$ | makes (2) |
| $6361: 19,23 ; 6362: 7$ |  |  |  | 6386:11;6394:15 |
| 10,11,12,15,17; | 6363:8;6371:19 | 6398:8;6404:15; | 6460:18;6461:13 | making (2) |
| 6363:23;6364:8; | 6437:15 | 6408:12,23 | live (1) | 6364:1;63 |
| 4;6386:18;6387:8; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Korologos (3) } \\ & 6390: 11 ; 6425: 9,14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lens (1) } \\ & 6387: 5 \end{aligned}$ | long (6) | 6396:13,16;6397:11, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6389:13;6394:11,12; } \\ & 6438: 17,22 ; 6440: 3 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { less (3) } \\ 6368: 5 ; 6388: 11 ; \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6364:23;6365:1; } \\ & \text { 6376:11;6383:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15;6399:6,6;6405:1,1, } \\ & 23,24 \end{aligned}$ |
| judgments (5) |  | 6408:17 | 6403:13;6412:14 | Manhattan (1) |
| 6395:11,13,17,18,19 | lacks (1) | letter (4) | longer (3) | 6463:13 |
| July (9) | 6385:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6378:10;6418:9; } \\ & \text { 6420:2;6421:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6413:18;6432:21; } \\ & 6445: 10 \end{aligned}$ | manipulated (1) |
| 6396:12;6399:8; |  |  |  | 6411:13 |
| 6403:22,23;6405:2,5, | laid (1) $6393: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6420:2;6421:2 } \\ & \text { letters (7) } \end{aligned}$ | look (16) | manner (1) |
| 22;6409:5;6410:17 | language (1) | 6378:14,20;6379:1, | 6373:9;6381:1; | 6379:6 |
| jump (1) | 6402:19 | 1;6380:11;6381:9,13 | 6390:9,10;6393:1,2; | manuals (1) |
| 6419:1 | 6396:4 | letting (1) | 6395:1;6397:17; | 6389:22 |
| June (4) |  | 6373:1 | 6398:13;6399:1; | many (4) |
| 6361:11;6363:17 6373:19;6375:9 | last (12) | Lewis (6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6406:8;6448:7,24; } \\ & \text { 6451:17;6452:11; } \end{aligned}$ | 6406:6;6443:21; |
| jury (2) | 6365:4;6380:2; <br> 6381:11;6410:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6390:6,7;6392:24; } \\ & \text { 6415:11;6416:1; } \end{aligned}$ |  | mark (5) |
|  | 6423:20;6444:17; | 6427:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { looked (14) } \\ & 6363: 23,24 ; 6369: 15 ; \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{mark}(5) \\ & 6382: 21 ; 6437: 12,17 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| K | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6445:6,8,10,14,18; } \\ & \text { 6453:17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { life (1) } \\ & 6452: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  | 6447:19;6458:8 marked (1) |
|  | later (1) | lift (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 21,21,21,23,25 ; 6391: 7 \\ & 6461: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 6450:20 |
| keep (5) | 6412:20 | 6368:21 |  | marker (1) |
| 6376:18;6399:4; | latitude (2)6373:5,10 |  | looking (6) | 6394:8 |
| 6403:10,12;6430:21 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6371:20;6372:13; } \\ & \text { 6431:6,6;6448:19,20 } \end{aligned}$ | market (1) |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { keeps (1) } \\ 6400: 14 \end{array}$ | latter (1) 6439:25 | likes (1) |  | 6439:11 Mars (1) |
| key (2)$6388: 23$ | law (5)6366.12.6428.4. | limit (4) | 6371:19 | 6455:15 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6376:7;6441:4,5,8 } \\ & \text { limitation (3) } \\ & \text { 6370:12;6456:9; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { loquacious (3) } \\ & \text { 6376:1,10;6383:17 } \\ & \text { lost (1) } \end{aligned}$ | material (41) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { kidding (1) } \\ 6362: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6366:12;6428:4; } \\ & \text { 6431:18;6434:22; } \\ & \text { 6440:2 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6361:13;6363:15,16; } \\ & \text { 6364:15;6365:8; } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 6367:6,16;6369 | 6374:13;6376 | 6365:12 | $2,8 ; 6452: 22$ | Neck (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6373:20,21;6374:8,10, | 6382.3,6386.23 | m | more (13) | 6437:20,23;6440:18 |
| 19,24;6375:1,13; | 395:2;6396:15 | 6365 | $6366 \cdot 16$ | eed (17) |
| 6 | 01:4;6422 |  | 10;6382:1 | 6377:25;6378:2 |
| 6385:4;6386:16; | 6425:19;6426:5 | 6363:10; | 5:13;6388:1 | 6379:11;6382:2 |
| 6389:2;6391:6;6393:7, | 6429:13;6462:2 | misinterpretation | 0:21;6392:20 | 63 |
| 9,21;6394:20,22; | means (7) | 6440:24 | 17;6417:7 | 388:10;6394:2,3,18; |
| 6395:1,5,8;6396:2 | 6388:10,1 | misinterpreted | 26:17;6429:12 | 6397:6;6413:21; |
| 02:10;6403:3,4,25 04:20;6411:13,18 | $\begin{aligned} & 94: 19 ; 6420: \\ & 41: 24 ; 6462: \end{aligned}$ | $6455: 3$ mislead (1 | 6455:25 <br> Moreover (1) | 6423:22;6438:23 <br> 6446:20;6450:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6404:20;6411:13,18; } \\ & \text { 6440:11;6446:14; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6441:24;6462: } \\ & \text { measure (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { mislead (1 } \\ 6451: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Moreover (1) } \\ 6442: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6446:20;6450:1 } \\ & \text { needed (3) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6449:11 |  | m | mor | 6377:23;6388:7; |
| mate | m | 6365:24;6460:23 | 6376:19;6383:24; | 6434:10 |
| 6386:8,10,14,24 |  | Miss | ;6385:1,2 | needs (3) |
| 6387:1,4,10;6393:25; | me | 6391:8;6 | 6413:16;6416:10 | 6378:12;6380:15; |
| 6394:1,12;6395:10,13, ${ }^{\text {6 }}$, | 6378:12,17,23; 6379:4,16:6427.7,13 | 6418:12;6432:1 | mortgage (1) | 6452:24 |
| 16,24;6431:2;6433:20; | 6379:4,16;6427:7,1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { missing (1) } \\ 6422: 11 \end{gathered}$ | 6419 | $\underset{6377: 12}{\substack{\text { negotiation (1) }}}$ |
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| 6396:7;6403:19; | quarter (1) | reasonable (3) | 6362:23;6365:? | 6419:10;6455:14,15,17 |
| 6410:7;6421:17,19; | 6412:14 | 6386:18;6395:12; | 6374:15;6418:13 | relief (1) |
| 6455:7,8 | 6413:23 | reasoning (1) | 6446:16 | relies (1) |
| Purely (1) | quickly (2) | 6439:14 | referencing (1) | 6408:18 |
| 6382:23 | 6390:2,3 | reasons (3) | $6374: 5$ | rely (19) |
| purported (2) | quite (5) | 6367:23;6419:21 | referring (4) ${ }^{\text {6425.8.6430.21 }}$. | 6372:3,21;6398:9; |
| 6361:13;6417:11 | 6378:2;6430:5; | 6421:10 | 6425:8;6430:21 | 6406:13,20;6408:8,11, |
| purpose (6) | $6431: 11,21 ; 6432: 1$ | rebut (1) $6379: 2$ | $6438: 6 ; 6459: 21$ | $23 ; 6439: 6 ; 6446: 14,16,$ |
| 6382:18;6397:25 | quote (4) <br> 6366:21:6375.8 | 6379:2 | reflect (1) | 17,21;6454:17; <br> 6456.1617.17 |
| 6461:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 636:21;6375:8; } \\ & 649: 8 ; 6453: 18 \end{aligned}$ | 6415:12;6416:1 | refresh (1) | 6457:23;6458:1 |
| purposes (6) |  | 17:5,8,13,18 | 6397:1 | relying (1) |
| 6375:3;6399:16; | $\mathbf{R}$ | 6427:16 | refreshed (1) 6459:14 | 6386:18 |
| 6408:4;6418:14; |  | rebutting (3) | 6459:14 | remain (2) |
| 6419:14;6463:12 <br> ursuant (1) | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { raised (1) } \\ 6361: 2 \end{array}$ | 6416:23,25;6425:12 <br> recalculation (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { regard (4) } \\ & 6364: 9 ; 6377: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 6364:4;6375:22 <br> remember (7) |
| 6367:17 | raising (2) | $6425: 8$ | 6394:10;6434:1 | 6390:9,23;6392:18; |
| purview (1) | 6403:10;6461:22 | recall (2) | regarding (4) | 6393:3;6440:10; |
| 6365:19 | rate (1) | 6415:21;6446 | $6364: 13 ; 6365: 1$ | 6447:13;6448:5 |
| put (14) | 6443:20 | recalling (1) | 6366:2;6439:15 | remind (3) |
| 6367:20;6382:17 | rather (4) | 6457:16 | registrant (2) | 6384:4;6392:18; |
| 6383:20;6391:9; | 6368:11;6413:5 | received (2) | 6462:5,8 | 6457:19 |
| 6397:8;6400:18; | 6419:1;6453:6 | 6406:23;6407:1 | registrants (1) | render (1) |
| 6412:20;6425:9; | raw (2) | recent (1) | 6460:11 | 6460:22 |
| 6427:5;6432:11,17; | 6406:25;6408:8 | 6405: | rejected (1) | rendering (1) |
| 6441:17;6463:15,17 | reach (11) | recess (1) | 6438:16 | 6446:11 |
| putting (1) | 6383:19;6397:18 | 6415: | relate ( | repeat (1) |
| 6461:19 | 6399:9;6402:12; | recognize (11) | 6372:17 | 6369:18 |
| PX (5) | 6404:1,17;6405:3,25; | 6394:6;6396:8; | related (3) | replace (1) |
| 6445:25;6446:5,22; | 6407:7;6409:7; | 6399:3;6403:21; | $6367: 4 ; 6441: 15$ | $6413: 4$ |
| 6450:21;6458:8 | 6410:19 | 6404:23;6405:20 | 6464:8 | replete (1) |
| PX3437 (1) | read (14) | 6407:4;6409:3; | relates (4) | 6364:17 |
| 6447:19 | 6371:24;6373:18; | 6410:16;6441:1, | 6372:17,18;6417:10; | report (46) |
| Q | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6376:15,15;6382:2; } \\ & \text { 6383:13;6393:15,16; } \end{aligned}$ | recognized (2) 6423:9;6453:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6455:21 } \\ & \text { relationship (1) } \\ & \text { 6408:15 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6361:7,11;6362:23; } \\ & \text { 6363:1,17;6368:1; } \end{aligned}$ |
| QC11 (4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6403:14,16;6421:8; } \\ & \text { 6453:6;6456:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { recollection (1) } \\ 6397: 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6408:15 } \\ \text { relative (4) } \end{gathered}$ | 19;6375:9;6378:5; |
| 6393:23;6446:9,24; | 6462:20 | record (16) | 6377:8;6448:10,10 | 6382:2;6386:16,18; |
| 6447:1 | reads (1) | 6360:11;6368:24; | 21 | 6388:24;6390:6; |
| QC-11 (1) | 6379:13 | 6370:13;6378:6,15; | Relevance (6) | 6393:4,7,20;6396:11, |
| 6386:9 | ready (1) | 6382:17,18,24;6383:1, | 6386:10,13;6417:10; | 16;6399:7,12;6404:12; |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6378:7;6381:4 } \\ & \text { suspicious (1) } \\ & \text { 6378:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6371:25;6384:3; } \\ & \text { 6424:19,22;6425:13; } \\ & 6455: 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6430:16;6447:14; } \\ & 6463: 14,14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ultimate (3) } \\ & \text { 6364:2,3;6380:18 } \\ & \text { uncertainty (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sustained (5) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { thoughts (1) } \\ 6373: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { truly (1) } \\ 6430: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 6387:20 |
| 388:8;6419:21 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { testify (12) } \\ & 6361: 3 ; 6365: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6368:17;6378:3; } \\ & \text { 6386:1;6426:17; } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { under (9) } \\ & 6365: 7,9 ; 6384: 5 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 21:11;6426:24; |  |  | Trump (36) |  |
| 37:15 | 6366: |  | 10,6399,148.1,19, | 6417:2,4;6420:21; |
| sustenance | :5,17;6374: | threw ( | 10;6399:14,15,19; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6428:20;6434:6; } \\ & \text { 6452:3 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6457:1 | 7375 | 6433:21 | 15 |  |
| sworn (1) | ;6427:19 | thus (1) | 1 | underreported (1) $6462 \cdot 24$ |
| 6384:2 | 6454:20 | 6366 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6422:2,5,18,20,24; } \\ & \text { 6423:5;6425:17; } \end{aligned}$ | 6462:24 |
|  | testifying (6) | tie (1) |  | unfair (1) |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TIR (1) } \\ 6416: 21 \end{array}$ | 6428:20;6436:21; | University (1)6439:19 |
| table (3) | 6434:24, |  | 6437:19,23;6439:15; |  |
| 6401:14;6409:12; | testimony (65) | title (1) 6460:7 | 6444:19,21;6445:1; | unless (3) |
| 53:4 | 6360:22;6363 |  |  | 6370:21;6417:5; |
| tactically (1) | 6364:13;6365:22 | $\begin{array}{r} 6460: 7 \\ \text { today (4) } \end{array}$ | 6460:3;6462:9 | 6430:11 |
| 6427:14 | 6366:18,20;6367:4 | 6366:17;6402:2; | Trump's (11) | unlikely (1) |
| talk (5) | 6368:14,20,22,24; | 6445:21;6460:25 | 6385:5;6393:10; | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6384:11 } \\ \text { unnecessary (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $6365: 2 ; 637$ | 6369:7;6371:8;6372:2, | told (7) | 6399:10;6404:2; |  |
| 6377:12;6425:25; | 2,4;6374:5,9;6375:18, | $\begin{aligned} & 6415: 19 ; 6433: 5 ; \\ & 6436: 3,7,8,13,20 \end{aligned}$ | 6405:4;6406:1;6407:8; | $\begin{gathered} \text { unnecessary (1) } \\ 6432: 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| 6464:9 | 19;6379:14,18;6380:6; |  | 409:8;6410:20; | unreliable (1) |
| talked (1) | 6382:1;6383:7,21,21; | tone (1) | 6415:6;6445:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6423:15 } \\ & \text { unsubstantiated (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| lking | 6389:24,24;6390:10, | tonight (1) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6439:12 } \\ \text { untenable (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 6368:16;6395:23; | 14,14,17,19,20,20,21, | 6417:1 | truth (7) |  |
| 6431:17;6460:2 | 22,25;6391:11,13; | took (3) | 6429:8,9,9,9,10,1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6364:24 } \\ & \text { up (24) } \end{aligned}$ |
| talks (1) | 6392:7;6393:2,16; | 6364:9;6412:15,19 | 11 |  |
| 6361:1 | 6400:16;6401:21; | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \operatorname{top}(4) \\ 6451: 4,17,18,19 \end{array}$ | trying (11) | 6366:12;6367:18; |
| tangible (3) | 6410:9;6415:6,8; |  | 6361:10;6383:2 | 6389:23;6393:5; |
| 6441:9,11,2 | 6417:10;6420:6; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6451:4,17,18,19 } \\ & \text { topic (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 6384:5;6392:8; | 6396:7;6397:4,8; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { teach (1) } \\ & 6431: 8 \end{aligned}$ | 6424:12;6434:18,22; 6443:15;6445:21; | 6453:2 | 6418:24;6429:14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6399:4;6403:19; } \\ & \text { 6410:7;6417:6;6421:5, } \end{aligned}$ |
| teaches (1) | 6447:10;6453:25; | 6398:2,5;6404:9; | 6454:20;6461:21 | 6410:7;6417:6;6421:5, |
| 6384:14 | 6454:19;6456:12; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6406:10;6409:17; } \\ & \text { 6411:1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 17,19;6427:5;6428:22; 6431:21;6436:23; |
| technical (1) | 6457:14;6464:7 |  | Tuesday (8) 6384:12,14 | 6431:21;6436:23; |
| $6363: 4$ | tethered (1) | totally (3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 17;6416:10;6425:14; } \\ & \text { 6452:17;6464:8 } \end{aligned}$ | 6445:25;6453:8; |
| technique (1) | 6373:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6399:21;6456:3,5 } \\ & \text { Tower (8) } \end{aligned}$ |  | 6461:25;6464:5 |
| 6371:23 | theirs (1) |  | turn (8) | upon (3) |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { telling (1) } \\ 6434: 25 \end{array}$ | 6377:10 <br> theoretically | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6418:9;6421:19; } \\ & 6422: 2,5,19,20,25 ; \end{aligned}$6428:20 | 6386:7;6404:22; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6366:10;6384:2; } \\ & \text { 6386:18 } \end{aligned}$ |
| tells (3) | 6415:15 |  | 37:19;6451:2 | use (21) |
| 6380:13;6396:2; | theories (2) | Town (2) | 6460:5;6461:11 | 6379:20;6381:8; |
| 6423:14 | 6420:16;6421:6 | 6423:18;6426:21 | twelve (1) |  |
| ten (4) | theory (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { transaction (4) } \\ & \text { 6411:19;6412:4,21; } \\ & \text { 6413:2 } \end{aligned}$ | 6405:14 | $6399: 16,20 ; 6401: 3$ |
| 6368:16;6406:8; <br> 6431:13;6437:20 | 6372:19 <br> therefore ( |  | Two (17) | 6399:16,20;6401:3 6409:24;6410:4; |
| tend (1) | 6373:2;6441:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6413:2 } \\ & \text { transcripts (2) } \end{aligned}$ | 6386:12;6394:15; | 6411:8;6417:13; |
| 6430:11 | 6449: | $6392: 22,23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6395:24,25;6396:4 } \\ & \text { 6408:2;6410:14; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6419:13;6421:2; } \\ & \text { 6428:5;6431:3; } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { tense (1) } \\ 6451: 7 \end{array}$ | thereto (1) $6464: 8$ | trial (13) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6408:2;6410:14; } \\ & \text { 6415:11;6419:19; } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| term (3) | third (3) | $6365: 23 ; 6367: 4$ | 6438:2,3;6439:4; | used (24) |
| 6442:1;6459:25; | 6411:19;6418:2 6419.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6388:21;6389:23; } \\ & 6390: 13,17,17,19 \end{aligned}$ | 6447:21;6448:22; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6379:5;6380:13; } \\ & \text { 6387:6,12,13,17,17; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6460:2 | $\begin{array}{r} 6419: 6 \\ \text { thirty (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6390:13,17,17,19; } \\ & \text { 6392:7;6432:15; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6453:3 } \\ & \text { type (4) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6370:1;6382:6 | 6368:1 | 6464:1 | 6386:13;640 | 6390:8;6397:24,25; |
| test (11) | thoroughness | Trophy (4) | 6408:17;6409:1 | $6407: 19 ; 6420: 20,22$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6391:5,7,10;6392:9; } \\ & \text { 6394:1,3,3;6395:24; } \end{aligned}$ | 6368:3 <br> though (4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6398:2;6407:25; } \\ & \text { 6421:19,22 } \end{aligned}$ | types (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6407:19;6420:20,22; } \\ & \text { 6430:14;6436:6; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:22;6457:12; | $6374: 7 ; 6375: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6421:19,2 } \\ & \text { trouble (2) } \end{aligned}$ | 6381:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6430:14;6436:6; } \\ & \text { 6442:12,13,15;6446:3; } \\ & \text { 6456:23 } \\ & \text { useful (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6461:8 | 6400:13;6460:19 | 6437:25;6438:1 | U |  |
| testified (8) | thought (1) | true (7) |  |  |


| :1,14 | 6433:4,5,8,12,14; | 6436:2,13,19 | weren't (1) | 8;6424:2,6,7; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| user (12) | 6463 | Wall (2) | 6374:13 | 6425:15;6426:15; |
| 6387:5,6,6,8,13,14, | valuing (1) | 6436:14;6463:13 | What's (13) | 6427:19;6429:20; |
| 24,25;6388:1,2; | 6419:15 | WALLACE (62) | 6360:19;6374:22 | 6430:7,9;6435:6,7,7; |
| 6389:13,14 | variety (1) | 6362:2,16,21 | 832:13,19,22 | 6438:23;6439:1; |
| users (1) | 6407:11 | 6368:13;6370:25; | 6385:22;6401:13; | 6440:7;6443:13; |
| 6363:20 | various (2) | 6371:2;6375:10 | 6420:19;6428:25 | 444:6,8;6446:2,4 |
| using (11) | 6419:21;6458:2 | 6376:1,20,22;6379:10; | 6429:1,9;6450:20; | 6447:23;6448:4,12,15, |
| 6406:21,22;6410:23 | vast (2) | 6382:23;6383:8,17; | 6456:8 | 22;6449:22,25;6450:6, |
| 23:6;6424 |  | 6384:16,20;6385:7,9 | Whenever ( | 8,13;6452:2,8,10,17, |
| 6426:2,3;6439:8; | verbatim (1) | 6388:3;6390:12; | 6395:15 | 19;6453:2;6454:16,21, |
| 6449:18;6454:7,10 | 363:16 | 6391:9,16,22;6392:6; | Whereupon (12) | 22,23;6455:8,16,19; |
| usual (2) | verdict (5) | 6393:12;6396:18,23; | 6381:14;6393:16; | 6464:10,11 |
| 6384:4;6413:24 | 6427:4,6;6431:12, | 6397:5;6399:23; | 6414:3;6415:1; | witnesses (8) |
| utility (2) | 13;6432:1 | 6400:9;6402:18; | 6417:22;6420:9 | 6374:5;6384:21 |
| 6419:23,25 | version (1) | 6403:6,15,17;6410:8; | 6421:14;6430:9; | 6401:15;6415:12,13; |
| $\mathbf{V}$ | 6456:25 | 6415:18;6416:4,20; | 6435:7;6458:12; | 6416:6;6418:20,22 |
|  | 6374:18;6400:9 | 6419:16;6420:4,24; | white (1) | 6418:19 |
| vague (1) | victim (1) | 6422:7,14;6423:21; | 6413: | word (17) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6448:6 } \\ & \text { valuation (53) } \end{aligned}$ | 6366:22 | 6424:24;6425:7; | whole (16) | 6363:2;6374:3,18; |
|  | view (1) | 6426:9,13,23;6428:15; | 6367:10;6376:3,8; | 6379:20;6380:13; |
| 6363:10;6373:23; | 6361:25 | 6431:15;6437:14; | 6397:8;6413:20; | $6382: 4,6,13,14 ; 6400: 6$ |
| 6397:23,24;6399:13, | views (3) | 6438:15,21,25;6439:3; | 6420:11;6424:4 | 7,24;6401:3;6451:11; |
| 14,16,17;6404:7,7,8, | 6363:21;6374:9 | 6440:8,12;6443:11 | 6427:17;6434:14; | 6453:8,12;6462:6 |
| 10,15;6405:15,16; | 6438:2 | Wallace's (1) | 6448:16,17,18,19; | wording (2) |
| 6409:14,15,19;6410:1, | violate (1) | 6397: | 6460:20,22;6462:16 | 6438:18;6440:11 |
| 2,5;6411:3,5,6; | 6430:7 | wallet (1) | wholly (3) | words (3) |
| 6421:19;6423:3,5,5,11, | violated (2) | 6413:25 | 6366:17;6439:7 | 6378:7,11;6430:23 |
| 12,13,13,14,16; | 6364:18;6442:16 | wants (4) | 6452:19 | work (5) |
| 6424:13;6425:2 | Violation (6) | 6383:20;63 | who | 6390:3,7;6437:21 |
| 6426:22;6428 | :25;6389:8 | :23;6433:22 | 22;64 | $4 ; 645$ |
| $7,8 ; 6434: 5 ; 6441: 12,14,$ | 6463:19 | 6432:18 | 6391:7 | $6416: 21 ; 6431: 21$ |
| 21,23;6442:1,18,20; | violations | wasted (1) | window (1) | working (1) |
| 6445:22 | 6361:14;6363:13; | 6452:22 | 6433:22 | 6443:21 |
| valuations (3) | 6364:21;6373:24; | wasting (4) | Wisselberg (1) | works (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6398:12;6421:21; } \\ & \text { 6430:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 6374:2 | $6430: 22 ; 6431: 5$ | 6393:1 | 6430:7 |
|  | viol | 6432:25;6452:16 | with | world (3) |
| value (57) |  | Waterhouse <br> 6441-18 | 5396:21;6436: | 6412:8;643 |
| 6398:11,12;6399:21; | 6391:22 | way (11) | 6442:19 | worms (1) |
| 6409:17;6419:4,5,23; | voluminous (1) | 6382:10;6400:1 | within (3) | 6376:8 |
| $6420: 1 ; 6422: 12,24,25$ | 6432:8 | 6408:22;6428:1,17; | 6365:19;6394:20; | worse (1) |
| $6423: 1,2,2,2,3,8,9,10 \text {, }$ | volunteer (1) | 6429:4;6431:4; | 6402:10 | 6374:11 |
| 11;6424:12,13,14,17, | 6450: | 6443:24;6446:21 | without (0) | worth (8) |
| 19,19;6425:2,3,3,16; | Vrablic | 6452:4,1 | 6370:15;6394:1 | 6387:17,18;6428:8; |
| 6426:1;6428:18,19; 6429:4,4;6436:6,7,8,9, | $\begin{array}{\|c} 6391 \\ \text { vu (1) } \end{array}$ | 6396:13,16;6397:10 | 6433:8;6452:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6429:15;643 } \\ & 6463: 16,18 \end{aligned}$ |
| $10,11,12 ; 6437: 23 ;$ | 6401:8 | 15;6399:6;6405:1,24 | witness (91) | write (4) |
| 6438:7;6439:10,20,20; 6441:1,9;6449:10; 6462:23,24;6463:14,14 | W | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wednesday (1) } \\ & 6384: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6360: 2 ; 6364: 19 ; \\ & 6368: 4,8 ; 6373: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6439:6,17;6458:2,4 } \\ & \text { written (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | week (4) | 6380:2;6381:11,12; | 6382:5,11 |
| valued (15) | Wabash (1) | 6415:14;6417:6; | 6382:20;6383:18,22; | wrong (7) |
| 6398:3,3,5,6;6422:8, | $\begin{aligned} & 6418: 10 \\ & \text { wait (3) } \end{aligned}$ | 6427:16;6459:5 <br> weekend (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6384:1,12,15;6390:13; } \\ & \text { 6391:9,24;6392:7; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6372:24;6428:24,24; } \\ & 6429: 3,3,4 ; 6430: 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| 18,20;6423:18,23; | wait (3) 6368:14;6415:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { weekend (2) } \\ & 6459: 7 ; 6464: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6391:9,24;6392:7; } \\ & \text { 6393:13;6396:19; } \end{aligned}$ | 6429:3,3,4;6430:2 |
| 6427:24;6436:3;6445:12,16 | 6455:25 | weeks | 6397:5;6400:18 | Y |
|  | waive (1) | 6431:13 | 6403:8;6412:8,12,21 |  |
| values (16) | 6384:18 | weigh (2) | 6414:2;6415:20,22; |  |
| 6420:21;6422:2,5; | walk (3) | 6368:12,1 | 6417:9,18,20,22,22; | 6365:4;6370:4,4,10; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6425:10;6427:1,3; } \\ & \text { 6428:12,13,16,19; } \end{aligned}$ | 6385:16,17;6389:18 | Weisselberg (3) | 6418:1,4;6419:17,19, | 6376:16,16;6383:12; |
|  | walked (3) | 6372:4;6417:9,12 | 22;6420:5,7,9;6421:13, | 6402:15;6404:3,19; |


| 6405:5;6406:2;6407:9; | 136.5 (1) | 6373:19;6377:19; | 3439 (3) | 60 (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6408:14,16;6409:22; | 6426:22 | 6378:5,10;6454:25; | 6446:1,5,22 | 6363:7;6463:13 |
| 6410:21;6412:20; | 15 (1) | 6455:1;6456:11,12; | 3446 (1) | 6312 (3) |
| 6422:3,6,21;6423:17; | 6443:6 | 6464:14 | 6458:8 | 6374:24;6428:4; |
| 6445:23 | 15th (1) | 2024 (1) | 350 (1) | 6431:3 |
| years (9) | 6413:3 | $6455: 25$ | 6444:6 | 650 (1) |
| 6390:1;6400:22; | 16 (1) | 24 (1) | 36 (3) | 6443:23 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6406:19;6425:11; } \\ & \text { 6438:3;6445:7,9,14,18 } \end{aligned}$ | 6370:8 17 (2) | 6396:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6361:8;6373:19; } \\ & \text { 6375:8 } \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| yesterday (23) | 6370:8;6407:6 | 6361:7;6372:14,15 | 369 (2) |  |
| 6360:21;6361:12; | 18 (1) | 26th (1) | 6422:19,21 | 7 (3) |
| 6364:19;6366:16,16; | 6370:8 | 6361:7 | 37 (1) | 6390:1,1;6455:1 |
| 6367:1;6368:20; | 1984 (2) | 27 (3) | 6415:2 | 731 (1) |
| 6369:5;6371:3; | 6445:8,10 | 6362:22;6372:19; | 39 (2) | 6437:9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6374:18;6376:3,4; } \\ & 6385: 11 ; 6389: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1985(2) \\ & 6445: 9,11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6441: 25 \\ & 28(5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6385:22;6417:25 } \\ & \text { 3d (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
| 6397:2;6401:12,24; |  | 6362:22;6372:20; | 6365:13 |  |
| 6402:1;6408:20; | 2 | 6438:22;6439:4,15 | 3rd (1) | 8 (2) |
| 6441:5;6445:21; |  | 29 (3) | 6377:18 | 6390:1,1 |
| 6457:14;6459:21 yesterday's (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 2(1) \\ & 6387: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6377:19;6438:22; } \\ & 6439: 5 \end{aligned}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 80(1) \\ & 6442: 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6360:1 | 2.1 (1) | 290 (1) |  |  |
| York (8) | 6398:6 | 6396:7 | 4 (2) |  |
| 6422:5,18,20,22; | 2.2 (1) | 293 (2) | 6398:2;6421:22 |  |
| 6423:17;6426:21; | 6411:3 | 6421:17,18 | 4.3 (2) |  |
| 6436:24;6439:19 | 2.4 (1) | 296 (1) | 6399:15;6405:16 |  |
| $\mathbf{Z}$ | 6387:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 6363: 7 \\ & 298(2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.39(1) \\ & 6406: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 6399:16;6404:11; | 6398:14;6399:2 | 4.7 (1) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { zero (1) } \\ & 6444: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6405: 15 \\ & 2.515(1) \end{aligned}$ | 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 6409: 18 \\ & 40(5) \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 6406:12 |  | 6383:25;6384:10; |  |
| 1 | 2.7 (1) | 3 (1) | 6400:21;6406:16; |  |
|  | 6409:19 | 6378:5 | 6436:14 |  |
| 1 (2) | 20 (6) | 3.6 (1) | 401 (1) |  |
| 6459:3,10 | 6399:8;6403:22,23; | 6398:5 | 6418:10 |  |
| 1.16 (1) | 6405:2,22;6422:1 | 3.8 (1) | 43 (2) |  |
| 6408:4 | 2010 (2) | 6411:2 | 6361:8;6409:21 |  |
| $1.2 \text { (1) }$ | 6457:1,8 | $30 \text { (11) }$ | $490 \text { (2) }$ |  |
| 6398:3 | 2011 (8) | 6373:19;6409:5; | 6429:2,3 |  |
| 1.6 (1) | 6421:22,25;6422:1; | 6410:17;6441:24; | 498 (1) |  |
| 6398:3 | 6423:17;6436:3,13,19; | 6443:1,2,5;6445:7,9, | 6407:2 |  |
| $10 \text { (3) }$ 6415:7;6464:5,14 | $\begin{array}{r} 6455: 10 \\ 2013(2) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14,18 \\ 300(1) \end{gathered}$ | 5 |  |
| $105 \text { (2) }$ | 6396:12;6397:20 | 6403:20 |  |  |
| 6394:17;6453:21 | 2015 (3) | 302 (1) | 5 (3) |  |
| 1068 (2) | 6399:8,11;6437:8 | 6405:19 | 6413:4;6459:3,10 |  |
| 6418:5,5 | 2016 (3) | 308.2 (1) | 5.7 (1) |  |
| 11 (5) | 6370:5;6403:23; | 6422:3 | 6404:10 |  |
| 6404:6,13;6409:12; | 6404:3 | 30th (3) | 50 (3) |  |
| 6411:1;6464:14 | 2017 (2) | 6361:11;6363:17; | 6406:15;6408:5; |  |
| 11:40 (1) | 6405:2,5 | 6375:9 | 6443:3 |  |
| 6413:23 | 2018 (2) | 31 (1) | 519 (1) |  |
| 11:45 (1) | 6405:22;6406:2 | 6365:12 | 6409:1 |  |
| 6413:23 | 2019 (2) | 3137 (1) | 56 (1) |  |
| 11-A (2) | 6407:6,9 | 6404:22 | $6410: 7$ |  |
| 6446:24;6447:1 | 2020 (2) | 32 (3) | 561 (2) |  |
| 11-B (2) | 6409:6,9 | 6361:8;6372:14; | 6410:6,16 |  |
| 6446:24;6447:2 12:45 (1) | 2021 (8) $\quad 6410: 18,21 ; 6454: 3$, | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 6442: 1 \\ 34(2) \end{array}$ | 6 |  |
| 6459:6 | 19,24;6455:10; | 6385:22;6417:25 |  |  |
| 1203A (1) | 6456:20,24 | 3437 (1) | $6(1)$ |  |
| 6365:13 | 2023 (9) | 6450:21 | 6421:18 |  |
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| Proceedings | Page 6469 | Pro |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | reason why you're not producing the original. I think that | 1 |
| 2 | rule goes back centuries to when we didn't have | 2 |
| 3 | photocopiers, digital reproduction. We had scribblers so | 3 |
| 4 | they can make errors. That's why you wanted the original | 4 |
| 5 | but the rule still exist but that's its limitation. | 5 |
| 6 | $\quad$ It is not some sort of catch all, well, we think | 6 |
| 7 | they could have introduced better evidence so this isn't the | 7 |
| 8 | best evidence. No. I would say there is no general | 8 |
| 9 | prohibition on introducing evidence that may not be the | 9 |
| 10 | best. You could have trials on what's the best evidence, | 10 |
| 11 | trials within trials. So, I reject that particular | 11 |
| 12 | assertion. I see no reason not to allow these two purported | 12 |
| 13 | experts to testify subject to objections on the particular | 13 |
| 14 | questions being offered. | 14 |
| 15 | $\quad$ MR. WALLACE: May I just offer one correction, Your | 15 |
| 16 | Honor. One is a fact witness, that's Mr. Sneddon. And one | 16 |
| 17 | is an expert, that's Professor Lewis. | 17 |
| 18 | $\quad$ THE COURT: Most of the letter was about Lewis so. | 18 |
| 19 | Anything else before I turn to defendants? I see no reason | 19 |
| 20 | to allow -- not to allow these experts, but if you want to | 20 |
| 21 | reargue the letter, which I read very carefully, go ahead. | 21 |
| 22 | MR. KISE: I won't reargue the letter. Thank you, | 22 |
| 23 | Your Honor. I am not going to reargue the letter, but I am | 23 |
| 24 | going to point out that this is clearly -- the Government | 24 |
| 25 | has held these witnesses back. They could have called these | 25 |
|  |  |  |


| Proceedings |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | witnesses, particularly Mr. Sneddon, on their -- in their |
| 2 | affirmative case. The case law from the Court of Appeals is |
| 3 | quite clear that rebuttal is limited to just that rebuttal. |
| 4 | If we didn't introduce the issue in our case, they can't now |
| 5 | say, oh, well, I'm rebutting your case by calling a witness |
| 6 | that was fully available to them. They called Allen |
| 7 | Weisselberg. They cross-examined Allen Weisselberg. They |
| 8 | called Jeff McConney. They cross-examined Jeff McConney. |
| 9 | When Jeff McConney took the stand in our case, they also |
| 10 | cross-examined Jeff McConney. |
| 11 | $\quad$ None of the issues that Mr. Sneddon -- at least |
| 12 | from what we can see. Again, we have no proffer which I |
| 13 | tried to get out of the Government last week and that was |
| 14 | rejected -- but none of the issues that Mr. Sneddon can |
| 15 | possibly speak to relate to anything that happened in our |
| 16 | case. They may relate to testimony that they elicited in |
| 17 | their case but the time to have dealt with that has passed. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. I do -- plaintiff, do you agree |
| 19 | with what was just said? |
| 20 | MR. WALLACE: I do not agree. And, I believe, when |
| 21 | Mr. Sneddon testifies, it will be obvious that he is |
| 22 | rebutting evidence that came in during the defendants' case. |
| 23 | THE COURT: And I completely agree with you, |
| 24 | Mr. Kise, as a general proposition. You can't just hold |
| 25 | back on your case-in-chief and then say, oh, by the way, we |

1 misnomer because they were all exchanged at the same time. 2 So the experts were designated in most cases as both. They 3 are not really one or the other. Professor Lewis put on an

4 affirmative -- provided an affirmative report. He also provided what we are calling our rebuttal report in this case which responds to our experts, but in the nature of the timing, the way the case, according to the case law, should have laid out is if the Government wanted to introduce Professor Lewis testimony, they would have called him in their case-in-chief and introduced that testimony.

Then we would have rebutted it in the ordinary course. We would have had the opportunity to challenge that testimony in the ordinary course. And then to the extent that new issues were raised or issues requiring rebuttal along the lines of the case law that Your Honor is acknowledging, they would then be able to, perhaps, recall Professor Lewis. But to come along now and introduce Professor Lewis opinions -- and I'll put that word in quotes because we are going to renew our challenge -- to the extent the Court is going to allow him to testify, we are going to renew our motion in limine. We can take that up after Professor Bartov is done so we don't waste court time at this moment. But there has to be some opportunity for the defense then to meet whatever evidence they've held back.

They don't get to, like, through some sort of
have this surprised witness that we could have called earlier. So it would be limited to rebutting but go ahead.

MR. KISE: The same is true of Professor Lewis. If the Court is going to ignore the Black Letter Law and allow Professor Lewis to testify under these circumstances where he clearly is their expert, should have been called, could have been called and should have been called in their case-in-chief to present affirmative evidence, evidence that we're not able to meet now because our experts can't testify about what they think Lewis is going to say on the stand or any other expert that hasn't testified. Mr. Wallace made a big pitch on that last week when we even talked about hypothetical numbers.

Mr. Wallace said, oh, well, those numbers aren't in the record and they can't talk about this and they can't talk about that. It's all in the record. So, okay, if our expert can't talk about anything because it's not in the trial record, they don't get to tactically now say, all right, now, we are going to bring in Lewis and deprive you of any opportunity to rebut -- to provide a sur-rebuttal to Lewis.

I mean, in the first instance, any of his testimony should have been provided on direct. They had full access to the experts. As you know, from prior conversations, our initial and rebuttal reports are really somewhat of a

| Proceedings |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| 1 | parlor tricks -- this is exactly what the case law from the |
| 2 | Court of Appeals speaks to, that the two reasons for not |
| 3 | allowing this sort of practice is, one, to preclude |
| 4 | gamesmanship which there's -- |
| 5 | MR. WALLACE: I apologize for interrupting |
| 6 | Mr. Kise. Mr. Lewis will testify in response to evidence |
| 7 | that Mr. Flemmons put in. That would be the scope of his |
| 8 | testimony so that is rebuttal testimony. Flemmons offered |
| 9 | testimony about what GAAP says concerning some of the |
| 10 | compilation and the standards applicable. Professor Lewis |
| 11 | will testify to that. He's not testifying as to valuations. |
| 12 | He's not doing other work. Flemmons said "X". Lewis will |
| 13 | say not "X". That will be the scope of the testimony. |
| 14 | MR. KISE: So with that proffer -- that's certainly |
| 15 | helpful and I appreciate that and ordinarily I don't |
| 16 | appreciate the interruption but in this case it is helpful. |
| 17 | If I am understanding clearly, nothing that is contained in |
| 18 | Professor Lewis initial report will be the subject of his |
| 19 | testimony today; is that right? |
| 20 | MR. WALLACE: It could be issues that are |
| 21 | responsive to -- he has a view of ASC 274 that is different |
| 22 | from Mr. Flemmons. It may have been included in his |
| 23 | original report. We are not relying on ASC 274 as part of |
| 24 | our case-in-chief, but the defendants have presented |
| 25 | evidence on it. He is going to respond to that evidence. |

1 parlor tricks -- this is exactly what the case law from the 2 Court of Appeals speaks to, that the two reasons for not allowing this sort of practice is, one, to preclude gamesmanship which there's --

MR. WALLACE: I apologize for interrupting
Mr. Kise. Mr. Lewis will testify in response to evidence that Mr. Flemmons put in. That would be the scope of his testimony so that is rebuttal testimony. Flemmons offered testimony about what GAAP says concerning some of the compilation and the standards applicable. Professor Lewis will testify to that. He's not testifying as to valuations. He's not doing other work. Flemmons said "X". Lewis will say not "X". That will be the scope of the testimony.

MR. KISE: So with that proffer -- that's certainly helpful and I appreciate that and ordinarily I don't appreciate the interruption but in this case it is helpful. If I am understanding clearly, nothing that is contained in Professor Lewis initial report will be the subject of his testimony today; is that right?

MR. WALLACE: It could be issues that are responsive to -- he has a view of ASC 274 that is different from Mr. Flemmons. It may have been included in his original report. We are not relying on ASC 274 as part of our case-in-chief, but the defendants have presented evidence on it. He is going to respond to that evidence.


1 our directed verdict motion, we had no choice but to put 2 Mr. Flemmons on to testify to make sure that the record was 3 clear from the defense standpoint. We couldn't waive that 4 opportunity but that doesn't now give them license to come 5 back in afterwards realizing that there is a hole, realizing 6 that they haven't established what they needed to establish 7 under ASC 274, whatever it is that Professor Lewis purports 8 to testify about, those were matters that must and should 9 have been taken up in their affirmative case.

And, respectfully, I would suggest to this court to reconsider. This is reversible error respectfully. Letting this in under these circumstances where they had clear notice of what the issues were, they had the clear burden of proof. They failed to meet that burden of proof. And I'm not being disrespectful to the Court. I'm just laying this out. They had the clear burden of the proof and now to allow them to come along and backfill their case and then later deprive us of any responsive opportunity on top of that, I just don't see how that is supported under any of the cases that we've cited or any of the case law in New York.

And I don't think that the Government can cite a single case where this can be allowed to happen where they could lay and wait, not prove their case, wait to see what we put on and then now come in and attack it as rebutting. 11

1 They are not rebutting anything. This is part of their 2 affirmative case and that's what the case law speaks to as 3 well. It's not really rebuttal evidence when it is -4 directly corresponds to an affirmative element in their 5 case. There is nothing new here. So we would object to 6 Your Honor allowing either of these witnesses to speak even
given the limitation that Mr. Wallace has so kindly provided. That still demonstrates that the testimony goes to their affirmative burden.

And if they haven't met their affirmative burden before that witness takes the stand, then -- then there's no basis for rebuttal before our case is closed and our directed verdict motion should be granted on the basis that they have admitted they've left out a key element of their case.

THE COURT: So now that the specter of reversal has been raised again, do you still want to call these witnesses?

MR. WALLACE: Yes, Your Honor. This is in sane that we are spending 20 minutes, 15 minutes discussing this issue. This is -- he is going to respond to points, as I said, defendants chose to put in their case. It doesn't go to our bread. It is classic rebuttal. It is pure rebuttal. They can object to individual questions, but we should move. And we have witnesses waiting and start taking the
witnesses.
MR. KISE: The only other thing I'll add to that, Your Honor, I would, again, direct the Court's attention to the cases we cited particularly the Reinoso, R-E-I-N-O-S-O, recent First Department case.
"The plaintiff's failure to offer expert testimony as parts of case-in-chief, deprived her of the right to make use of it as affirmative evidence."

And that's clearly what's taking place here. They did not offer Professor Lewis testimony as part of their case-in-chief. Simply because the evidence is evidence that -- that contradicts our evidence, that doesn't make it rebuttal evidence. But what you have to look to is whether or not it was part of their initial burden. And this evidence that Mr. Wallace is describing was clearly part of their initial burden. They clearly had the burden to establish that if there were any GAAP violations or any ASC 274 violations this is in their complaint.

Their complaint alleges these types of violations. They are bound to prove the claim that they have asserted in their complaint and part of that would be to call an expert and to testify about whether or not there were GAAP violations, accounting violations, violations of ASC 274, etc. The failure to do that doesn't mean that now they can come along and bring in evidence that contradicts -- that
case-in-chief.
So, apparently, there is some discretion even in the defendants' case. Mr. Kise, as usual, you're the soul of reasonableness. I agree with a good portion, I wouldn't try to put a percentage on that, of the basic principles you're citing. I just totally disagree with your application. I know we got facts and we got law. And you're not applying your reasonable correct law to the procedural history of this case in my view.

And, finally, I just want to mention that you refer to Mr. Wallace's something like gracious limitation of what rebuttal will be. Well, that's not Mr. Wallace. That's what the law is. A rebuttal witness is there to rebut. So we wasn't really limiting plaintiff at all. Let's get the witness in, okay. Thanks Mike.

COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
ELI B ARTOV, a witness called by and on behalf of
the Defendant, upon being previously duly swom, was examined and testified as follows:

THE COURT: I'll remind the witness as usual that he's still under oath.

And, Mr. Solomon, please continue.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
CONT'D CROSS-EXAMINATION
5 BY MR. SOLOMON:

## Proceedings

Page 6478

1
contradicts our evidence. It's not a rebuttal. It's filling a hole. It is classic backfilling and clearly within the purview of the cases from the Court of Appeals on down and the First Department that say that this gamesmanship is not to be -- not to be allowed.

Mr. Robert may have something to add.
MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we have been talking about this for 20 minutes. We already had your ruling.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Kise likes to rebut my rulings.

MR. ROBERT: If it makes you feel better, Mr. Wallace, I'll be brief. Your Honor, based on your ruling, are you then denying the application for a sur-rebuttal witness being called by us?

THE COURT: Most likely but I'll see.
MR. ROBERT: Then I will reserve argument on that until you make a decision on it. Fair enough. Just wanted to check.

See, Kevin, it was quick.
THE COURT: This was from Reinoso versus New York City Transit Authority. My research department has come up with a quote, moreover in civil cases, the trial court has discretion to allow rebuttal testimony that wouldn't have been, I guess, that would have been more -- would more appropriately have been adduced as part of the parties'

Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon)
Page 6480
Q Good morning, Professor.
A Good morning.
Q Do you recall that the SOFC, Statements of Financial
Condition, at issue in case are complications?
A Ido.
Q Would Donald Trump free to have order audited SOFC's if he chose?
A If he chose to, of course.
9 Q Do you recall that Mazars at first and then Whitley
Penn were the external accountants?
A Yes.
Q With respect to the SOFC's in this case and the
external accountants in this case, do you agree with the following statement, quote, importantly, since the external accountant that prepared the SOFC's has not audited the SOFC's, it is in no position to express an opinion on whether the SOFC's are GAAP compliant.

Do you agree with that statement?
A Absolutely.
Q Do you agree with the statement that Mr. Haigh Trump was responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the statements in accordance with GAAP and let me limit that beyond that quote to the years 2011 through 2016, the June 30, 2016, SOFC?
25 A Yes.

## Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon)

Page 6481
1 Q After Mr. Haigh Trump became president, did you -- do you understand that the trustees were then responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the statements in accordance with GAAP?

A Yes.
Q Can we please pull up PX519. It's already in evidence, I believe.

Do you recognize this? Do you recognize this document, Professor?

A Give me a chance to review it. Well, I review it at one point not recently but this is the credit report prepared by Deutsche Bank Private Wealth Management, Risk Management.

Q If we could please turn to page 11. At the top, it carries over from the bottom of ten, Financial
Analysis-Guarantor. So pages 10 to 11 Financial Analysis Guarantor. Do you see that, Professor?

A Mine is page nine. Mine version is page 9 and 10, I think.

MR. ROBERT: Mr. Solomon, I think he is looking at the document number as opposed to how much produced at the bottom.
Q If you look at the bottom PX519 and --
A Yes, I see that.
Q So, the carryover paragraph, the last two sentences
"based on the results of this due diligence, we made certain

Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon)
Page 6483

1

A Well, in practice, you can say, hey, here are the numbers. They reported a hundred million dollars cash. I'll take a 50 percent discount, and I just consider it to be 50 million. They reported $\$ 1$ billion of asset. I take 50 percent off, and I consider it as 500 million, etc. So there is no economic consideration whatsoever. It is completely arbitrary.
Q So I understand the process, it could work that the lender here, Deutsche Bank, takes an amount that's stated on the financial condition, say a million dollars, gives it a
50 percent reduction or haircut then values it at 500,000 , right?
A Only if they -- if the $\mathbf{5 0}$ percent is the determined arbitrarily without any economic analysis.
Q In my example, I would say they take 50 percent. I want to make sure I understand how the math works. You take the million dollars from the stated number on the SOFC, 50 percent of that Deutsche Bank decides that's the number they want to use so the Deutsche Bank adjusted value for that asset would be $\$ 500,000$ ?

MR. KISE: Is he stating that this is what Deutsche Bank or he is making up a hypothetical?

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, can I finish my examination or we excuse the witness.

MR. KISE: There is no excusing the witness. The question is whether he is mischaracterizing the evidence

## Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon) <br> Page 6482

assumptions that resulted in adjustments to reported values.
Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Pardon me. It's three sentences. Additional details are included in the guarantor financial statements."

The we in the first sentence, do you understand that to be Deutsche Bank?
A It sounds like it, yeah. I didn't read the whole document, but it sounds like due diligent -- due diligence was prepared by Deutsche Bank, yes.

Q Do you recall testifying on your direct that when you reviewed credit memos, you came to the conclusion that Deutsche Bank did not perform a mechanical automatic discount to the value of the assets on the statements of financial condition? A Well, to be accurate, yeah. That's true. That's true. Yes, that's true. Okay.

Q That was your testimony on direct?
A That was testimony. I provided explanation why but this was my testimony, yes.

Q What did you mean by a mechanical automatic discount to the value of assets on the statements of financial condition?

A Mechanical adjustment means that it doesn't base on economic analysis. You don't care about economic analysis. You care about arbitrary with the percentage, you take it off of the reported numbers without economic considerations.

Q So how would that work in practice?

Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon)
Page 6484 that comes before or whether he is stating a hypothetical. THE COURT: I believe it is a hypothetical.
MR. SOLOMON: For the moment, Your Honor, it is a hypothetical.

MR. KISE: If it is a hypothetical, it is an improper hypothetical because it fully contradicts the evidence in the record.

THE COURT: Totally disagree. Overruled.
Q I am asking the mechanics of it. Thank you. If I understand your testimony is that the mechanical automatic discount was not done for the assets identified in Mr. Trump's statement of financial condition?

## A That's true.

Q Can we please look at PX302 which is the 2018 DB credit memo.

THE COURT: I just want to add, I understand your point, Mr. Kise, and I shouldn't have shut it down like that but it was just a hypothetical. If you're going to use this type of method, it is sort of automatic haircut, that's how you would do it.

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor. That question at that the time was a hypothetical.

THE COURT: Okay. So it's not assuming that that's what Deutsche Bank did. That's just if they did that that's what it would have --

MR. SOLOMON: At that time, the question was a hypothetical, that assumption, yes.
Q If you could please turn to page ten -- withdrawn. Do you recognize this document, Professor?

A I do. I do recognize it, yes.
Q And what do you recognize it to be?
A This is the credit report, again, just like before different date.

Q And this is one that you looked at on your direct examination, correct?

A Idid, yeah.
Q Could you please turn to page ten and if you look under 4 Trophy Properties.
A Yes.
Q Was that the analysis you were looking at on your
direct when you said that DB did its own valuation and did not rely on the valuations in the statements of financial condition?

A Yes, I did.
Q Do you recall testifying on your direct examination in
response to questions from counsel that this analysis
demonstrates to you that Deutsche Bank did not use the numbers in the SOFC's but rather did its on valuation?
A This analysis means not only the table also the amount of the language, the comment above and below. The -- you have to consider the entire document not just the table in order to

|  |  | Page 6486 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | figure out that the analysis was based independently and not on, |  |
| 2 | yeah, if you read the entire document, that's the conclusion. |  |
| 3 | Q | So your conclusion is that Deutsche Bank did not use |
| 4 | the numbers in the SOFC's but rather did its own valuation? |  |
| 5 | A | Based on the entire document. |
| 6 | Q | Based on? |
| 7 | A | The entire document. |
| 8 | Q | The entire document? |
| 9 | A | Yes. |
| 10 | Q | Thank you. |
| 11 |  | (Continued on next page) |
| 12 |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SOLOMON:

MR. SOLOMON: Let's go back to page nine of
25 on this document.
Q. Professor, if you look at the bottom of PX 302, it will tell you page nine of 25 .
A. I see.
Q. Are you on this page that's on the screen?
A. I am.
Q. Here, again, the last three sentences are the same or similar to the ones we saw in the earlier credit memo, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. I want you to look at the chart below now.
A. Okay.
Q. Please, focus on the third row "real estate net equity."
A. Okay.
Q. If you go to the column "DJT 6/30/2014 client reporting" do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. That is - is this $3,000,000,867$ or $3,867,000$ ?
A. My reading it is three billion.
Q. $3,000,000,867$. If you look right to $i t$, to the right, "DB adjusted."
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Do you see that? |
| 2 | A. I do. |
| 3 | Q. 1,933, 000,500 , right? |
| 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. You can check my math if you want. But, I get |
| 6 | that that's, exactly, fifty percent of the reported value, |
| 7 | right? |
| 8 | A. Yes. Maybe. I trust your -- that's okay. Yes, I |
| 9 | accept that. |
| 10 | Q. Don't accept it. Is that, exactly, fifty percent |
| 11 | of the client to credit -- |
| 12 | A. Yes, it is. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Now, look for the years 2015, client |
| 14 | reported 4,390,000,000, DB adjusted $2,000,000,195$; fifty |
| 15 | percent again? |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. 2016, fifty percent again? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. For the DB adjusted amount as compared to client |
| 20 | reported, right? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | Q. 2017, fifty percent? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. That's the adjustment Deutsche Bank did again? |
| 25 | A. Yes. |



Is that your testimony, sir?
Yes or no?
A. This is my testimony because, you distorted the mechanism. They didn't do it in a vacuum. They first did an analysis of a number of assets, very detailed analysis, and they have the Valuation Services Group, a specific group that does the analysis.

Once he satisfied their needs for the collateral for the guaranty then, as I explained, clearly, yesterday, banks do not burn cash for nothing. They do not take analysis that give them no benefit.

So, once they perform the analysis and they figure out that the four trophy asset valuation is about one billion dollars -- that is well, well, below the 125 million-dollar loan -- then, to save money -- and, I think that in some places they did -- in some areas of the credit record, they say in consultation with the Valuation Services Group "We take fifty percent of the remaining asset" because, it won't make a difference either way. So, they just want to save money.

So this fifty percent that they took on several assets -- actually, in one asset, they took 75 percent haircut -- they did it after an economic analysis. This is economic analysis based is not mechanical haircut.

MR. SOLOMON: Move to strike, your Honor.

## Do you see that?

A. Yes.
Q. Did you review Mr. Haigh's trial testimony?
A. I have.
Q. Were you aware that Mr. Haigh testified, in form or substance, that, in instances the reported value was adjusted in their financial analysis by fifty percent, which was, he thought, a standardized number for commercial real estate assets?
A. I do remember this testimony, yes.
Q. So you are aware of Mr. Haigh's testimony that DB, Deutsche Bank, reduced certain of the categories, by fifty percent, from the amounts reported on the Statements of Financial Condition, yet you testified, on direct, that Deutsche Bank, at no time, relied upon the amounts in the Statements of Financial Condition.
A. Absolutely, there is no contradiction here at all.

THE COURT: "There is no contradiction at all," is that what you said?

THE WITNESS: Yes, there is no contradiction at all between these two statements.
Q. If I take the number from the Statement of

Financial Condition and apply a haircut to it, I'm not relying on that number in the first instance to get to my final number?

1 It was a "yes" or "no," question.
MR. ROBERT: He started out with a question. He didn't like the answer. Then he tried to change it to "yes or no." It was, totally, responsive.

MR. SOLOMON: The answer was "yes or no."
MR. ROBERT: No. I do what it says there.
But that's not how the question came out. You stopped it after Professor Bartov started to answer the question.

THE COURT: What was the question that was "yes or no?"

MR. SOLOMON: If I take the number from the Statement of Financial Condition and apply a haircut to it, I'm not relying on that number in the first instance to get to my final number.

Is that your testimony, sir, yes or no?
THE COURT: That's a "yes or no." The answer is stricken.

MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, I would ask if the Court reporter check her records. Because, there was another question before that.

The witness started to answer the question then, Mr. Solomon rephrased it into a "yes or no" once Mr. Bartov was a sentence in.

THE COURT: So?

| Page 6493 |  | E.Bartov - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Solomon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1$ | MR. ROBERT: It wasn't a "yes or no." He |  | take a high school dropout and have him apply the fifty percent haircut. |
| 3 | reflected in the transcript but, that's what I heard. | 3 | MR. SOLOMON: Again your Honor, move |
| 4 | THE COURT: I don't have the transcript in | 4 | everything after the "yes or no" type response |
| 5 | front of me. I'm not disputing that there might have | 5 | to my question. |
| 6 | been some interruption to the question. | 6 | THE COURT: Was it "yes" or "no?" |
| 7 | MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, the question | 7 | MR. SOLOMON: I believe it was, of course. |
| 8 | before that, it say -- | 8 | And then, context dependent. Then, I asked if he was |
| 9 | HE COURT: There is no contradiction a | 9 | re. And, he said, "I was aware it was context |
| 10 | all? Is that what you said? | 10 | dependent, " and then, went on to explain. |
| 11 | MR. SOLOMON: Witness answered, "Yes, there | 11 | THE COURT: After that -- |
| 12 | is no contradiction at all between the two statements." | 12 | MS. HABBA: Your question was not "were you |
| 13 | And then, I asked the question that I | 13 | aware. Let's read the record accurately. That was not |
| 14 | ously read. | 14 | your question. Your question was: |
| 15 | THE COURT: That prior question has nothing | 15 | "My question was that Deutsche Bank had a |
| 16 | to do with this which is a simple, straightforward | 16 | standardized adjustment of fifty percent for commercial |
| 17 | independent "yes" or "no," question. | 17 | real estate." |
| 18 | Mr. Solomon, do you want to repeat it? You | 18 | He said, it applied to context. |
| 19 | want a read back? | 19 | That was your question. You just misstated |
| 20 | MR. SOLOMON: So, is your Honor striking the | 20 | your question. |
| 21 | answer. | 21 | MR. SOLOMON: The next question was, that |
| 22 | THE COURT: Yes | 22 | as my question, was that Deutsche Bank had a |
| 23 | MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, your Honor. | 23 | ndardized adjustment of fifty percent for commercial |
| 24 | TH | 24 | real estate. |
| 25 |  | 25 | The witness responses "I was aware of it but, |
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| 1 | CROSS EXAMINATION | 1 | applied -- depends on the context." |
| 2 | BY MR. SOLOMON | 2 | And then, he goes on. |
| 3 | Q. If I take the number from the Statement of | 3 | Question was, "Was he aware of it?" |
| 4 | Financial Condition, take that number exactly as it appears | 4 | THE COURT: The objection was overruled. |
| 5 | there and apply the haircut to it, is it your testimony that | 5 | The answer is stricken after and what Mr. Solomon just |
| 6 | I'm not relying on that number in the first instance to get | 6 | said. |
| 7 | to my adjusted value? | 7 | R. SOLOMON: Let's go to page 11, again, at |
| 8 | Yes or no? | 8 | the bottom 11 of 25 |
| 9 | A. In this context, no. In this context, no. In | 9 | THE COURT: The part about "context |
| 10 | accounting -- | 10 | dependent" that could have been stricken too. I'm not |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: Judge, I want to tell you if I | 11 | doing that because, you didn't ask for that. |
| 12 | may. | 12 | MS. HABBA: Your Honor, while we're stopped, |
| 13 | THE COURT: No, you can't. You can be | 13 | I'm not sure what's going on with -- it says, "my |
| 14 | redirected | 14 | notes" now. If you look, line -- |
| 15 | Q. Were you aware, as Mr. Haigh testified in this | 15 | (Pause in proceedings.) |
| 16 | case, that, Deutsche Bank had a standardized adjusted of | 16 | THE COURT: Mr. Solomon. |
| 17 | fifty percent for commercial real estate? | 17 | Q. Did Mr. Haigh testify, to your knowledge, that, it |
| 18 | A. Of course; context dependent. |  | was context dependent as to the haircut to be applied to the |
| 19 | Q. That wasn't my question. It was that, Deutsche | 19 | assets? |
| 20 | Bank had a standardized adjustment of fifty percent for | 20 | A. I don't remember these details. You know, I read |
| 21 | commercial real estate? |  | it a few days ago and I read so many other trial testimonies |
| 22 | A. I was aware of it but, it applied -- depend on the |  | that I don't remember whether he did or did not. I don't |
| 23 | context. They don't make it -- if they don't apply fifty | 23 | remember if you asked this question to him or not. |
| 24 | percent haircut, they don't need the valuation services. | 24 | MR. SOLOMON: Can we please put up |
| 25 | They don't need Mr. -- they don't need Mr. Haigh. They can | 25 | Mr. Haigh's trial testimony page 1016 lines three |

MR. ROBERT: It wasn't a "yes or no." He cut him off in the middle of his answer. It's not reflected in the transcript but, that's what I heard.

THE COURT: I don't have the transcript in front of me. I'm not disputing that there might have been some interruption to the question.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, the question before that, it say --
"THE COURT: There is no contradiction at all? Is that what you said?

MR. SOLOMON: Witness answered, "Yes, there is no contradiction at all between the two statements."

And then, I asked the question that I previously read.

THE COURT: That prior question has nothing to do with this which is a simple, straightforward independent "yes" or "no," question.

Mr. Solomon, do you want to repeat it? You want a read back?

MR. SOLOMON: So, is your Honor striking the answer.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, your Honor.
THE COURT: It is pure "yes or no."
E.Bartov - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Solomon
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```
    through 16?
    "QUESTION: Do you know why, for purposes of
    the analysis, in the credit report, the $1.3 billion in
    reported value of the club facilities was reduced by
    fifty percent for deriving an adjusted value?
    "ANSWER: The value, the reported value was
    adjusted in our financial analysis by fifty percent
    which was a, I think, standardized number for
    commercial real estate assets. And the purpose of
    doing that was just to have a broad sense of what those
    assets might be worth.
    "If we were in bad market conditions and we
    needed to seek repayment from the client and the
    client's asset had fallen in value, fifty percent
    represented a number which would be significant and yet
    we could still look at those assets and see whether
    there was any equity in those assets; meaning" --
        And that's the end of the answer. Do you see
    that?
        A. I do.
        Q. Do you see anything about it being context
        dependent?
        Yes or no, sir?
        A. Implicitly, yes.
        MR. SOLOMON: Let's go back to page 11 of
```

Q. Again, you can read the entirety of the paragraph if you need. But the last sentence, "For purposes of our analysis, the $\$ 1.3$ billion in reported value has been reduced, by fifty percent, for deriving an adjusted value."

## Do you see that?

A. I do.
Q. Were you aware of that statement in the credit report before you testified on your direct examination?
A. Absolutely.

MR. SOLOMON: Can you turn to page ten of
26 -- excuse me -- ten of 16 . Actually, you know what?
Let's pull that down for a moment. We'll come back to that.
Q. Before we leave this area, I have a fairly simple math question for you?
A. Hold on a second. He didn't qualify me as a mathematician.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. He didn't qualify me as a mathematician, so is it okay.

THE COURT: Did you pass high school math?
Q. It shouldn't pose a problem.
A. Okay.
Q. I would like you to assume for a moment --
assumption, hypothetical. Let's be clear -- that a stated

PX302 please.
Q. If you look at the entry for "real estate
licensing" do you see?
A. I do.
Q. You can read the entire of the paragraph. I would like to focus here on the last sentence. "For purposes of deriving an adjusted value, we assumed a fifty percent haircut of this asset." Were you aware that have statement in the credit report before you testified on direct examination?
A. I was.

MR. SOLOMON: Would you please pull up PX293.
Q. Do you mean recognize this document, Professor?
A. I do.
Q. What do you recognize it to be.
A. Same documents as before, different date;

December 20, 2011.
Q. It's the Deutsche Bank credit report credit memo that we were talking about?
A. It is. Yes.
Q. Could you turn to page six. That's on the bottom six of sixteen.

And if you look under "club facilities" --
A. Yes.
E.Bartov - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Solomon
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value on the Statement of Financial Condition for an asset is not the estimated current value. Okay? Assume that for the moment.

If Deutsche Bank takes a fifty percent haircut of that number, is it fair to conclude that, that Deutsche Bank adjusted number is not fifty percent of the estimated current value?

Yes or no?
A. You know, can you repeat the question. It's --

MR. SOLOMON: Can I have a read back, your Honor?

THE COURT: Read --
THE WITNESS: Because, it's a very confusing question I find it.

THE COURT: I passed high school math and I understood the question.

THE WITNESS: You did?
THE COURT: Let's have a read back.
(The testimony as requested was read by the reporter.)
A. Yes, I understand now. Yes, sorry. You're right
it's a simple question. I make too much of it. Thank you for your inquiry. Thanks.

THE COURT: Sometimes the simple approach is the best.

THE WITNESS: That's true. MR. SOLOMON: If we could please pull up PX293.
Q. Do you have it in front of you, sir?
A. I do yes.
Q. Okay. The date of this report is December 20, 2011, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you reviewed this credit memo on your direct testimony; do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Please turn to page six of 16.

You reviewed the valuation for Trump Tower on your direct testimony.

Do you recall that?
A. I do.
Q. Am I correct that you testified that Deutsche Bank must have received information from the Trump Organization as to NOI, net operation income?
A. I couldn't find NOI -- unless I missed it. I
don't remember finding it on the Statement of Financial Condition. So I assume they got it from Mr. Trump directly. If it is on the Statement of Financial Condition then, it's oversight on my part.
Q. Is it your understanding, though, assuming there
A. I would say it is a most likely explanation.
Q. Here you see the NOI is 26.2 million. Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. Remember that number if we can. Just keep in mind. I believe 26.2 is the distance for a marathon if I recall correctly.

THE COURT: Is the what?
MR. SOLOMON: Distance for a marathon. THE COURT: I am sure it is 26 point something.

A rare concession by Mr. Robert.
MR. SOLOMON: Now that we have done this, we'll agree it is 26.2.

Did you review any documents to confirm whether any of the NOIs provided by the Trump Organization to Deutsche Bank were accurate?
A. I didn't perform any audit. I didn't audit any of the document to verify their accuracy.

MR. SOLOMON: Can we please pull up PX 1573 which was already admitted.
Q. Do you recognize this as an appraisal of 40 Wall Street?
A. I do.

MR. SOLOMON: Actually, let's just take a
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was no oversight, that, the net operating income for Trump Tower, that's on the Deutsche Bank credit report, came from the Trump Organization?
A. Either from the Trump Organization or from other sources. My assumption is that most likely source would be the Trump Organization.
Q. Now, if we look further down on this chart, can we agree that Deutsche Bank conducted a similar analysis when it calculated the adjusted value for 40 Wall Street?
A. Similar to what?
Q. Similar to the analysis that was done for Trump Tower that you testified to on your direct?
A. Well, I have to read the --
Q. Please read the analysis as to what they did for 40 Wall Street.
A. Can you go down? Can you scroll down, please? Okay.

Yes, let me just read.
That's okay. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you. Yes, it looks similar.
Q. Assuming you didn't miss something or it wasn't in the Statement of Financial Condition, am I correct that the only place that Deutsche Bank could have received the information as to the net operating income and the cap rate, used by the Trump Organization, was the Trump Organization? it along.

Can we go to row 118.
Q. Do you recognize the format of this document, sir?
A. Yes, this is the -- I believe the raw paper that was provided to Mazars.
Q. It was prepared by someone at the Trump Organization, correct?
A. Yes.

MR. SOLOMON: Can we please turn to row 118 for 40 Wall Street?
Q. Do you see the NOI on this document is 26.234 -excuse me, $\$ 26,234,400$ for 40 Wall Street?
A. I do.
Q. That matches with the 26.2 number we saw on the DB credit memo, right?
A. Yes.

MR. SOLOMON: Let's take that down and go back to the appraisal.
Q. And this is as of November 1, 2011, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And it's done by Cushman \& Wakefield, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And they are a well respected firm?
A. Not in the business but, I have no reason to disagree with you.
Q. You're saying you're not in the appraisal business?
A. I'm not in the appraisal business. Yeah, I have my limitation too.
Q. Have you seen this document before, Professor?
A. You know, I don't think so. I don't think so.
Q. This was about fifty days -- November 1st -roughly, fifty days before the date of the credit memo that we were just looking at, correct?
A. Yes.

THE COURT: Yes.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. Can we turn it page 140 of the appraisal? This is a chart showing revenue and expenses, correct?
A. Yes.

MR. SOLOMON: If we scroll down to the bottom, the last line, "net operating income."
Q. Do you see that?
A. Where? Can you highlight it?

MR. SOLOMON: Please?
A. Yes, I see it.
Q. The net operating income, according to this appraisal for 40 Wall Street is $\$ 6,236,273$.

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. But as you recall, the number given to Deutsche Bank, by Trump Organization and as reflected on the supporting spreadsheet, was 26.2 million, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Before I show this to you, were you aware that the

Trump Organization provided a different number for the net operating income, for 40 W all, that was more than four times greater than the NOI contained in the appraisal?
A. I didn't review the appraisal. So --
Q. Is that a no?
A. I didn't review the appraisal. There is no way for me to know about it.
Q. Assume that the Trump Organization provided a grossly inflated number to Deutsche Bank for the net operating income, then the DB adjusted valuation, for 40 Wall, would be inflated as well, correct?
A. I didn't -- I don't agree with your premise. So, your question doesn't make sense to me.
Q. I am asking you -- okay.

You don't agree with the premise that the Trump
Organization provided inflated number to Deutsche Bank for
E.Bartov - Defendant - Cross/Mr.Solomon
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the net operating income at 40 Wall?
3 $\quad$ A. Absolutely, no. $\quad$ Page 6507
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A. Absolutely, no.
Q. Let's assume they did.
Q. Assume that the number was inflated?
A. Okay.
Q. Would the Deutsche Bank adjusted number for 40 Wall be inflated?

Yes or no?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall being asked, on direct examination, some questions about 40 W all Street and what you would do if a student walked into your class and told you they valued 40 Wall at 200 million?
A. Yeah. Yes.
Q. If you don't remember the testimony, I can put up the testimony.
A. I think, I remember.
Q. What did you say you would do?
A. I said that my definition -- "By definition, estimated current value must be higher than liquidation value. So if a student come with a estimated current value that is well below liquidation value, this valuation must be
Q. Did you say that if the student came to you with
Q. So, it could apply to real estate.
A. Hypothetically, yes. But, expert accountants would not use it. They would use a paragraph that directly relate to real estate; that say, "For real estate this is the guidance."

If I have a direct guidance for real estate, why would I use guidance that does not, directly, relate to real estate?

I'm just telling you how expert accountants works. That's all.

MR. SOLOMON: Move to strike anything after the yes, your Honor?

THE COURT: Please reread the question and the first word of the answer.
(The testimony as requested was read by the reporter.)

THE COURT: Stricken after that. Could apply to real estate. Than could be redirected. Q. Sir, in your opinion, looking at this provision if recent sales information, involving similar assets, is available, is someone preparing a personal financial statement, in accordance with these guidelines, free to use any of the other methods?
A. I think so. We talk about real estate. When we take about real estate, yes. Because, the guidance for real
estimated current value," correct?
A. Yes.
Q. "This implementation guidance addresses estimated current value. Recent transactions involving similar assets and liabilities in similar circumstances ordinarily provide a satisfactory basis for determining the estimated current value of an asset and the estimated current amount of a liability.
"If recent sales information is unavailable, other methods that may be used include any of the following" --

And then, it goes on to list five other methods, correct?
A. This is not related to real estate. For real estate, there's a different paragraph.
Q. Is it your testimony that you -- that one cannot use this particular provision, 55-1, for estimating the current value of real estate?
A. I am saying that --
Q. Yes or no?
A. Context dependent. 7 7 8 9 109
02
Q. Do you recognize this document, Professor?
A. Yes, it seem to be ASC 274.
Q. If we could please turn to page 13 of 21 . So the number 13 on the bottom. If you look at 55-1.

That's under "Implementation guidance for
I
estate is different than this guidance. It is different than this guidance.
(Whereupon, the following proceedings were stenographically recorded Shameeka Harris.)

## CONT'D CROSS-EXAMINATION

 BY MR. SOLOMON:Q Can we please pull up - is it your testimony that the specific section with respect to real estate trumps $55-1$ when it comes to valuing real estate assets for estimated current value?

A That's my opinion, yes.
Q And is that because you have to ensure, when looking at 274, that all of the guidance is considered when reaching a conclusion?

A No, because in accounting when a specific provision about the transaction, this specific provision trumps general provision. That's the rule in accounting.

Q Is there anywhere in this general provision that says you cannot apply it to real estate?

A That's not the question. You can do whatever you want but that's not how accountants do it.

THE COURT: It is --
A Accountants look for the best solution not the worst solution.

THE COURT: That does not answer the question.
That's what we call nonresponsive. Do you want the question read back?

MR. SOLOMON: Please.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, that's responsive in his field.

## Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon)

THE COURT: It was not. Overruled. Read back.
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)
Q Yes or no question, sir?
A No, it's impossible to answer. I'll say, no, you can't. If you want a misleading answer, I'll say no.

THE COURT: Okay. That answers the question. The answer was no. It was obvious just from looking at the guidance.
Q Can we please pull up 787 --
MR. KISE: Now, we want misleading answers. That's what we are encouraging in the courtroom. The witness said he can't answer the question. If you want a misleading answer, I'll say no. That's acceptable? It's preposterous.

MR. SOLOMON: With all due respect, the witness should have stopped with I can't answer the question. I don't think that's anywhere in any of my questions.

MR. KISE: Every one of your questions is asking for a misleading answer. This whole thing is just a gotcha game. That's fine. Objection noted. I don't think that we should possibly be allowing misleading answers. The witness says he is being mislead and the Court is just going to allow that to continue then, then that alone should be a basis for a mistrial. You can't have evidence come in that isn't -- I know it's funny to the Government because they
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## Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon)

1 don't really care about evidentiary rules or anything else.
2 So that's fine. I know they want to chuckle and laugh like they are in grade school so...

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, do we actually need this. The witness has given an answer. Counsel has made his objection on the record as to the situation.

MR. KISE: Fair enough. My objection is on the record.

MR. SOLOMON: The record is clear.
THE COURT: You know, this trial is complicated enough. When the question is is this language include certain -- does this statement include certain language and it doesn't, the answer is no. I don't see what we are arguing about here.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, I'm sorry. I am reading his answer. He says it is impossible to answer. Then we are cornering the witness to give a yes or no answer when he's saying it's impossible to answer.

THE COURT: How could it not be possible to answer the question whether certain language is in a statement that is on the screen.

MS. HABBA: Your Honor, we can't force the witness to give a yes or no answer when he can't give a yes or no answer. That's completely improper. I join Mr. Kise in his objection.

THE COURT: Mr. Solomon, what would you like to do? MR. SOLOMON: I would like to note when the witness said it is not possible I didn't ask a followup question. I didn't force him to answer yes or no. If I tried to pursue that, they would have said I was harassing the witness. I asked. He said it is impossible to answer yes or no and then he proceeded to say if you want a misleading answer. I am satisfied with the answer it's impossible to say yes or no.

THE COURT: We will interpret it as we see fit. Let's move on.

## CONT'D CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SOLOMON:

Q We have pulled up on the screen PX787. That's the June 30, 2011, statement of financial condition. If you turn to page six. That's on the bottom, $\mathbf{6}$ of 22 . Under basis of presentation, the second paragraph, do you recall being asked questions on direct examination about the paragraph beginning such valuation methods include?
A I do.
Q If an issue includes this paragraph in a statement of financial condition, is it free to misrepresent valuations or other information in its statement of financial condition?

A No.
Q If an issue include this paragraph in its statement of
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financial condition, is it free to knowingly misrepresent facts within its knowledge in the statement of financial condition?

A What do you mean? Can you give me an example of knowledge and facts specifically here what are you talking about?

Q We've talked about dates in this trial. So today is Tuesday, right?
A Yes.
Q If a statement of financial condition it -- the person who's issuing the financial statement knows it's Tuesday but puts in the statement of financial condition that today is Wednesday, that's a knowing misrepresentation, right, with that example? Is an issuer, if they include this paragraph, free to knowingly misrepresent facts in its knowledge in the statement of financial condition?
A No.
Q Do you recall testifying on direct examination in form or substance that you tell your students every day in class that every word matters, every single word matters?
A Ido.
Q Can we pull up his affidavit from August 31, 2023.
$\mathrm{Sir}, \mathrm{sir}$, it's on the screen. If we can turn to paragraph 24 of your affidavit. Actually, before we do that, do you recall signing this affidavit in this case?
A Ido.
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Q Let's go to paragraph 24. Quote, Mr. Trump's SOFC's for 2011 through 2021 did not impact significantly Deutsche Bank decisions to extend loans to defendants or to set these loans interest rate, paren, see Exhibit A at 25-32 period, close paren.

Do you see that?
A I do.
Q You chose in your affidavit to modify and limit your
statement by using the word significantly, correct?
A Did I modify from what?
Q Your statement in paragraph 24 --
A Yes.
Q -- is modified. It is not absolute. It does not say did not impact. It says did not impact significantly. You chose to use the word or add the word significantly, correct?

A Yes, I do. And maybe I should have used the word materially.

THE COURT: Stricken. If you want.
MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Professor Bartov, the question was did you use that word.

THE WITNESS: Significant, yeah. I use it right here.

THE COURT: That answers the question. THE WITNESS: I see.
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$1 \quad Q$ It was an intentional choice by you to use the word significantly; is that correct?

A I don't know what you mean.
Q Yes or no?
A I don't know what you mean by intentionally.
Q Did you write this affidavit?
A I did.
Q Did you review it before you signed it?
A I did.
Q Did you ensure that everything in this affidavit was correct before you signed it?

A I did, yes.
Q So you chose to use the word significantly?
A I did.
Q Is that correct?
A Yes.
Q Let's look at the next paragraph 25. And you can look at the entirety of the paragraph, but I'd like to focus on after the dash demonstrates that Deutsche Bank's reliance on the information in the SOFC's was marginal in deciding whether to extend the subject loans and what interest rate to charge; do you see that?

A Ido.
Q Here again you chose to limit your statement this time by using the word marginal, right?
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A That's true.
Q And was that intentional on your part?
A I mean, maybe, marginal is not the best word. Maybe, there is another word that will slightly describe it better but the idea is that, yes, I choose to communicate this idea this way but, you know, if your point is marginal is not the best word then I should use maybe slightly a different word in the dictionary, that may be but the idea I definitely support the idea here.
Q Sir, I'm not criticizing in any way, shape or form what word you chose to use, but I am asking you to analyze and analyze for this court the word you chose to use, very succinctly. You chose to use the word marginal instead of having an absolute statement, correct?
A What do you mean by absolute statement?
Q An absolute statement would be Deutsche Bank did not rely on the information in the SOFC's. You said Deutsche Bank's reliance of - the information in the SOFC's was marginal. You qualified that, correct, yes or no?

A Yes, I did.
Q Okay. Can we go back to paragraph 17. "Mr. Trump's SOFC's for 2011 through 2021 were prepared in a personal financial statement format in accordance with ASC 274 and the valuation of investment properties recognized in those SOFC's were generally consistent with the notion of estimated current
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value." Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Here again you chose to limit your statement by using the word generally instead of having an absolute; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q And that was intentional on your part, correct?
A That was intentional, yes.
Q Is it your opinion, as you sit here -- withdrawn.
Did the inclusion of the word generally have any significance?

A No.
Q Did the inclusion of the word marginal in the other paragraph have any significance?

A No, in the context of this litigation. Just the way of talking but it is the same thing. If I have generally, omit generally, in this litigation, the message is the same.
Marginal, I put it in, you put it out, the message is the same.
Q Keeping in mind your prior testimony that every single word matters?
A It does.
Q Please let me finish. Your testimony was that every single word matters. Now, it's your testimony that the inclusion of those words have no significance, yes or no?
A This words has no significance on the general message
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that I am trying to convey here.
Q Professor Bartov, assume that in various statements of financial condition between 2011 and 2021 the following facts. Mr. Trump Triplex was overvalued. Seven Springs, do you remember that property in Westchester, that was overvalued.
Mr. Trumps US golf courses were overvalued. The rent stabilized units at Trump Park Avenue were overvalued in the statement of financial condition. 40 Wall Street was overvalued. Mar-a-Lago was overvalued. The Aberdeen Golf Course from Scotland was overvalued, unrestricted cash was overstated, licensing deals were overvalued. Assume all of those facts for the moment, okay.
A These are hypotheticals. They are no related to this case. They are hypotheticals, yes.

Q They are related to the case insofar as I describe properties in the statement of financial condition. As a hypothetical, I am asking you to assume all of those facts are true that I just read off?

A Okay.
Q If all of those foregoing facts were true, would you consider the cumulative effect of each individual misstatements in determining materiality, yes or no?

A There is no way I can answer it as a yes or no question because it depends on the methodology I used to calibrate materiality. There are many different ways, as the accounting
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## A Yes.

Q -- either of the following:
One, the methods used in determining the estimated current values of major assets and the estimated current amounts of major liabilities.

Two, the methods used in determining the major categories of assets and liabilities; do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Should there have been an "or" between 1 and 2. Withdrawn.

How would you read that? Would you read in the word "or" between 1 and 2?

A Yes.
Q So it's in the alternative?
A Yes.
Q Have you formed an opinion as to whether Donald Trump's statements of financial condition for all of the years between 2011 and 2021 satisfy this requirement in "C"?

A Well, as far as I remember, in my reports, I don't
address this question, as far as I remember. My memory is also that Mr. Flemmons did address this. This is my recollection, but I did not address it in my report.

MR. SOLOMON: May I have a read back of the question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Read back the question, please.
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literature clearly say, there are many, many differentapproaches to test materiality and depending on the approach youchoose.
Q Would you consider all of them at least in determining which approach you might use to determine materiality?
A I definitely consider the quality of this valuation and if you want, I can explain what it means.
Q I'm not asking you to explain it. I am asking you if you would consider all of those facts that I've asked you to assume when you're determining the methodology or other ways that you would look at materiality? So would you consider all of those facts, yes or no?
A Yes.
Q Let's talk about the notes to the statement of
financial condition. If we can pull up PX1609, please, and go to page ten. You'll see that -- well, are you familiar with this section, sir?
A Yes.
Q This is the disclosure-general, right?
A Yes.
Q Okay. 50-2, personal financial statement disclosure shall include, but are not limited to, all of the following:
And then it lists several items; do you see that?
A Ido.
25 Q Under C --
(Whereupon, the requested testimony was read back by the court reporter.)

MR. SOLOMON: I'm not sure the answer was responsive. He indicated it was not in his report. I am asking if he formed an opinion.

THE COURT: I don't know where we are. I understand the question.

MR. SOLOMON: Right. His answer was he didn't form an -- he didn't put it in his report. So could I have a direction to the witness to answer the question?

THE COURT: That's not responsive. Did you consider whether according to " C ", 1 or 2 is used.
A Okay. I just don't want to clarify, yes. So, I did consider it. I did consider it. Yeah, but I -- yes, I did consider it at one point.

Q And did you reach a conclusion as to whether all of the statements of financial condition between 2011 and 2021 complied with "C"?
A Well, my -- my opinion was that -- that the statement did not violate this paragraph.

THE COURT: Did not violate.
Q Did not violate. So you believe that all of the statements of financial condition complied with paragraph "C"; is that correct?

A That's was my -- again, this is my recollection.
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Q As you sit here today, do you know, one way or the
other, if all of the -- I want to make sure I read this so I
don't get an objection. "All of either the methods used in
determining the estimated current values of major assets and the
estimated current amounts of major liabilities or the methods
used in determining the major categories of assets and
liabilities are disclosed and accurate in this statement of financial condition"?

A We don't use accurate in accounting. Accurate is not defined. We say fairly represent. But the point is my -- my -you didn't ask me how I form my opinion. I form my opinion based on reading the financial statement and you have a "or". You have either "or". So either the methodology or the classification of the assets on the balance sheet. Right, the second -- what is the second -- the categories, right, the second talk about so you don't have to disclose both it's either --
Q The second, just so we're clear, could you put it back up on the screen so there is no misunderstanding, please. The

## Professor Bartov - by Defendant - Cross (Mr. Solomon)

Page 6527
1 either one or two are described for all of the major assets, right?
A Yes, that is my testimony
Q Are you aware of any situation where whatever that disclosure or description is it is not accurate or doesn't fairly represent the actual method that was used, yes or no?

A Materially, materially or not materially? Not materially it doesn't matter. Materially, I don't remember. I don't remember finding any material misstatement with connection to the category -- this -- describing the categories of estimated liability. I didn't look for --
Q What about not materially?
A I didn't look for them.
THE COURT: Okay. Perfect timing. See you all at 11:45. I will order the witness not to discuss his testimony of the case or anything related to them during the break.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused from the stand.)
(Whereupon, a recess was taken.)
COURT OFFICER: All rise, Part 37 is back in session. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Okay. Let's get the witness back, right.
(Whereupon, the witness resumes the witness stand.)
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second speaks to method.
A Yeah, method of what? Method of -- method of --
Q Let's put it up on the screen so there is no
misunderstanding, sir.
A Methods used to determine the major categories of assets and liabilities. The major categories of asset
liabilities in my opinion are clearly stated on the statement of financial condition.

Q And the second part of my question was, as you sit here
today, do you believe that they are -- I used the word accurate,
let's use your word -- fairly represented on the statement of financial condition?
A My opinion is, yes, the second one, the second one, method used to determine major categories, are clearly stated in the statement of financial condition.

Q And are you aware of any situation in which a method that is described on the statement of financial condition is not the method that was used to obtain the estimated current value of the asset referred to or stated on the SOFC's?
A You're talking about categories of asset. Where are where do you get estimated current value here. You just talking about method used to determine major categories. This --
Q Are you aware, as you sit here today, of any situation in which either one or two under " C ", which is described in the statement of financial condition, it's your testimony that
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MR. SOLOMON: May I proceed, Your Honor. THE COURT: Please do. MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.

## CONT'D CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SOLOMON:

Q Professor Bartov, you testified on direct that given his cash on hand in June of 2011 Mr. Trump could have self-financed the Doral Project, do you recall that?
A Ido.
Q And you later testified that given his cash on hand in 2013 Mr. Trump could have self-financed all of the 232 million he borrowed on the Doral and Chicago Project; do you recall that?
A Yes, I do.
Q That was where we looked at the 125 and 107 and added those together?

A Yes.
THE COURT: One at a time. Don't talk over each other.
Q Could we please pull up PX707 and go to page four. Under cash and marketable securities, 339,100,000; do you see that?
A Ido.
Q And was that the number you were using when you
25 testified that Mr. Trump could have self-financed the projects?
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A No.
Q What number were you using?
A I talk roughly but this number need to be adjusted based on the information in the footnote. You never read the financial statement by restricting yourself to what is written in the body of the statement. What is written in the footnote is an integral part of the title. You have to read it altogether. If you read the footnote, you can see that some assets are not as liquid as some other assets so the numbers need to be adjusted. I didn't do the exact calculation. I say ballpark he could have financed it by himself. If not, he might need to borrow 20 million or 30 million or whatever. He didn't have to borrow 200 something million. That's what I meant by that.

Q So today you use the term ballpark. I -- did you use that term yesterday in response to questions on your direct examination when you were saying he could have financed the project himself?
A They didn't - they didn't ask me about the 339. They didn't ask me about the specific number. They didn't ask me to provide this detail. So you asked me a different question, so you got a different answer.

Q So with respect to the cash and marketable securities that Mr. Trump held, as adjusted based upon whatever adjustment you would make, would you consider that Mr. Trump would have to
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the operating entities when you testified under oath that Mr. Trump could have self-financed the projects, yes or no?

A I use my general recollection not the specific number.
So the answer is no, whatever you want it to be. I just explained. I just give you the details.
Q Did you consider how much cash these operating entities needed to fund their daily operations when you testified under oath that Mr. Trump could have self-financed?
A Yes.
Q Did the amount of cash needed by these entities to fund their operations figure into your conclusion that Mr. Trump could have self-financed these projects?
A Roughly, yes.
Q Are you aware that Mr. Trump had committed to put equity into the Doral resort in order to bring it back to a luxury condition?
A Yes.
Q Are you aware that at the origination of the Doral loan Mr. Trump had committed to put $\$ 50$ million of equity into the resort?
A Yes.
Q And are you aware that by 2013 that equity infusion had risen to between 150 and $\$ 160$ million?

A Yes.
Q And did that equity infusion of 150 to $\$ 160$ million
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sell marketable securities to finance the projects?
A Absolutely.
Q Did you calculate or consider how much he would have to pay in taxes on any potential gains on those sales?
A No.
Q Did the amount of potential taxes figure into your answer on self-financing?

A Well, as I said --
Q Yes or no question, sir?
A I used ballpark numbers.
Q Did the amount of taxes Mr. Trump would have to pay on any potential gain factor into your answer when you said --

A Yes, it did. Yes.
Q So a moment ago you said you didn't know if there would be any gains but you factored in taxes?

A I used ballpark numbers, rough number.
Q Do you know how much of the cash in any -- at any point in time was held in operating entities?
A It varies over here, I believe.
Q Did you know how much cash was held in operating entities when you concluded that he could have self-financed the projects?
A Again, I use it a ballpark number. And I remember that they use -- in different fields, different numbers.
Q Did you know, yes or no, sir, how much cash was held in
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factor into your conclusion that Mr. Trump could have self-financed the projects?
A If he self-financed the project, he might not have -if he self-financed the Doral loan, he might not have done this project, this additional injection of money. This is a completely hypothetical situation.

Q Isn't the fact or isn't your claim that he could have self-financed these projects a completely hypothetical situation since he didn't do it, yes or no?

A Say it again?
Q Sure. You are saying that the equity infusion is a hypothetical if Mr. Trump had self-financed. Isn't your hypothetical that he could have self-financed because he didn't actually do it?
A Of course it is a hypothetical. Everything, yes.
Q So, let's assume that he did put in the $150-150$ million to $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ million to renovate Doral, okay. Assume that for the moment. Would that alter your conclusion that he could have self-financed both projects?
A The investment --
Q Yes or no, sir. Would it have altered your conclusions?
A This question is inaccurate because first he took the loan and then he put the money. So if he took -- if he
self-financed, he may not put the money in later on to keep
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money.
Q So Doral would have remained a rundown old facility as it was when Marriott owned; it is that your testimony?
A If you know better how to manage the business of Trump, you could do it. I'm not managing the business for him. I'm just saying it's all hypothetical. It's all hypothetical.
Those questions arose because hypothetically DB would not have given him the loan. So this is all hypothetical. So there is no evidence of it, but, hypothetically, if DB would not have given him the loan, hypothetically, then, hypothetically, one way to deal with it would be to self-finance it. That's all I'm saying. It's all hypothetical.

Q If he self-financed it, it wouldn't be the beautiful luxury resort that it's claimed to be; is that correct?

A I don't know what to do next. It is a hypothetical.
Q He would not have the cash to do that, correct?
MR. KISE: Objection. Is that hypothetically he
wouldn't or actually he wouldn't?
THE COURT: I guess it is hypothetically. MR. SOLOMON: Hypothetically.
Q He didn't self-finance, but if he did, hypothetically, as the example you said you've given, the self-finance is a hypothetical, would he have been able to bring back Doral to a luxury resort status?

A This is absolutely hypothetical, and I can't answer
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this question because he didn't do it.
Q Okay.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, I keep waiting for Alice or the white rabbit to come through the door. I mean, I don't really understand where we are right now. These hypotheticals are so widely inappropriate.

MR. WALLACE: So is counsel commenting on the
questions and answers of the witness.
THE COURT: I'll allow Mr. Solomon to proceed.
MR. SOLOMON: Thank you, Your Honor.
Q Do you know, if by 2013 The Trump Organization had provided an equity infusion into Doral of between 150 and $\$ 160$ million?

A They did.
Q They did. That's not a hypothetical, right?
A That is not a hypothetical.
Q Can we put up the -- do you understand the equity
infusion refers to Donald Trump's own funds or The Trump Organization own funds?
A Yes.
Q Do you know how much money The Trump Organization of their own monies wind up spending to renovate Doral?
A My recollection, 160 million.
Q Could we pull up D1019 at page 84. This is an exhibit
from the defendants, Professor Bartov. Do you see the statement
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1 on the screen that The Trump Organization invested over
$2 \$ 250$ million into renovating every inch of this iconic property 3 including the feigned blue monster golf course?
4 A I see that, yeah.
5 Q Did you consider the $\$ 250$ million that was allegedly 6 spent in renovating every inch of the property in reaching your conclusion that Mr. Trump could have self-financed the two projects, yes or no?
A It's irrelevant. It's irrelevant because as I said if he hypothetically self-financed the $\$ 125$ million loan, he might take a different course of action in term of the renovation. It is completely hypothetical. I don't know. You have to ask Mr. Trump what would have he done had he self-finance it. My point is that in 2012 before he invest this he could have self-finance the Doral loan himself that's all.
$Q$ Then would you agree with me if he self-financed he wouldn't have the $\$ 250$ million in his own funds to renovate every inch of the iconic property if he self-financed?

A I have no idea. He could get a partner in to help him finance it. He could sell another asset to find something. He could maybe generate. He doesn't have to pay interest now. So he'll have more cash. I don't know. It's too many
hypotheticals to know what -- what he would have done had he self-financed.
Q So you don't know one way or the other, correct?

1 A That's right.
2 Q Let's talk about reverse engineering for the moment?
3 A Sure.
4 Q Are you familiar with that term?
5 A I do. I used it in one of my reports, I believe, right. Maybe not. Yeah.

Q With respect to valuations on a statement of financial condition, what does the term reverse engineering mean?

A Reverse engineering mean that you first pick up a value
and then you pick a model in an assumption to justify this value.
Q In one of your reports or affidavits, on direct
testimony, you mentioned Aswath Damodaran, did I pronounce that correctly?
A I think so, yeah.
Q Who's is he again?
A He is my colleague. He is a very well-known professor in the finance department of NYU and on Wall Street he is known as the dean of valuation.

Q Do you agree with his statement that you cite to in your affidavit that, in fact, in many valuations, the price gets set first and the valuation follows?
A I don't have a reason to disagree with him. He is the leader in this area.

Q I understand you may not have a reason to disagree with
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him but do you agree with him?
A I do agree with him, yes.
Q Do you understand that statement to mean that the
price; namely, the desirable result of the valuation exercise, is set first and then the valuation model and assumptions are chosen to justify the preset target price?
A That's what is the -- reverse engineering is.
Q Do you know if any of the stated valuations for any of
the assets on the statements of financial condition between 2011
and 2021 were reversed engineered?
A I didn't see any evidence of that.
Q Did you look?
A I did look for the methodology. I -- I'm not serving as a valuation expert, but I did investigate the methodologies and the methodologies that Mr. Trump used to value these assets
are 100 percent consistent with GAAP. I didn't find any GAAP violation in the methodology used.
Q My question though wasn't whether you found any GAAP valuation. My question was do you know one way or the other if any of the valuations on the statements of financial condition were reversed engineer? Whether or not they complied with GAAP, do you know if they were reversed engineer?

A I have no knowledge of that.
Q Okay. You talked about what you -- you just mentioned
about what you had reviewed. Let's talk about what you reviewed
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1 what I looked at.
Q Is it your testimony that you reviewed all of the -all of Mazars work papers before your testimony today?

A Not Mazars work paper, the supporting data that was provided to Mazars by Trump Organization.

Q So are you referring to that supporting data
spreadsheet we looked at earlier today?
A Yes.
Q And your testimony is that you reviewed all of those supporting data spreadsheets?
A In preparation for the trial, yes.
Q But not in preparation for your report or your
affidavit, right?
A That's true.
Q Or your rebuttal report, right?
A That's true.
Q You didn't -- did you review Mazars work papers in connection with either your opinion, your affidavits or your testimony here today?
A As I said, if they are included in Dr. Lewis report, I did but I didn't look at them independently. I don't remember whether they are in included in Dr. Lewis report or not.
Q But in coming to your opinions, you didn't think the Mazars supporting -- withdrawn.

In coming to a conclusion or coming to your opinions in
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before providing your opinions in testifying. We looked at an excel spreadsheet earlier; do you recall that?

A Ido.
Q Is it your understanding those were delivered to Mazars to help them do their compilation process each year?

A Yes, I agree. That's it, yes. Absolutely.
Q In the course of preparing your opinion though, you
only reviewed 2 or 3 of those supporting data spreadsheets, correct?

A That's true. I used like --
Q That's because after reviewing those 2 or 3
spreadsheets you determined they were not relevant to your analysis, correct?
A That's true.
Q Did you review any of the work papers prepared by Mazars in the course of compiling the statements in connection with either your opinions, your affidavits or your testimony here?
A The work papers -- so I looked in preparation for this -- for this testimony today. I did look on all the supporting documents that were delivered to -- that were delivered to Mazars. And I looked also, before delivering my rebuttal report, I read Professor Lewis report and Professor Lewis I don't remember if he had the supporting document or he have the Mazars work paper. I don't remember that but that's
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your reports, did you think the Mazars work papers were relevant?
A To the question that I was answering in my rebuttal report in my affirmative report, I didn't think that I need them.
Q Okay. Is that, sir, because once you have enough
evidence to support your analysis and conclusion you stop looking?
A That's true, yeah, that was my argument in the deposition, yes.

Q Professor Bartov, did you read the opinion on the party respective summary judgement motions?

A I did read the opinion a few weeks ago when it first came out, but I don't remember all the details now as I sit here. I didn't read it just yesterday or something like that.

Q Did you believe it was relevant to know what the Court has already decided in this action before testifying in court?

A Yes, I think it was very informative to me to read the summary judgement, yes.
Q And you are aware what the Court has already decided in this case, correct?
A I'm not a legal expert, but I think I have a general understanding of the ruling.
Q I think you testified in connection with your
qualifications as an expert witness or at some point on direct
that you were deemed an expert and testified at trial in one 1 other case; is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Was that the People of the State of New York v Exxon?
A Yes.
Q And that trial was in this court, correct?
A Yes, I think so.
Q And it was Justice Ostrager was the judge?
A Yes.
Q Can we pull up that opinion just the first page. Is this the decision after trial in the one case in which you were deemed to be or qualified as an expert witness?
A I didn't go. I didn't read it so I don't know. I -once I gave my testimony, I didn't followup on the case.

Q So you haven't read this opinion?
A No. The opinion, no. I didn't read the opinion.
Q Well, let me show you something. If we could please turn to page 46.
(Continued on next page)
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It is the Government's point that their own witness, in Exxon, was rejected by Judge Ostrager. I'm not sure what relevance that has to these proceedings.

MR. WALLACE: Fool me once.
MR. KISE: This demonstrates that
Mr. Wallace loss that case. And this is one of the reasons why. I'm not sure what relevance that has to this proceeding. I just move to strike the question and answer.

MR. SOLOMON: Your Honor, what another Justice of this Court, has thought about this witness' testimony and reliability and his views as compared to the weight of the evidence is highly relevant in this case.

MR. KISE: That's totally fact dependent. It's not saying he's not qualified as an expert. It is not saying he wasn't qualified to render opinion. It's just based on whatever evidence the government failed to present in Exxon. That was the interpretation of his opinion in that context. But, it has no relevance here. It makes no difference at all.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, this was the basis for his qualification, this was used to bolster his credentials, part of the basis for why he was used as an expert. It is rebuttal to those points.
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## CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. SOLOMON:
Q. "As with Dr. Bartov's testimony about the alleged materiality of an alleged impairment in 2015 of an ExxonMobil facility, in Mobile Bay, in the golf of Mexico, discussed infra, the Court rejects Dr. Bartov's expert testimony as unpersuasive.
"And, in the case of his testimony about the mobile facility, finds Dr. Bartov's testimony to be flatly contradicted by the weight of the evidence."

Before I put this up on the screen, were you aware of Justice Ostrager's holding in that case with respect to your testimony?
A. No.

MR. SOLOMON: Nothing further, your Honor.
THE COURT: Will there be any redirect?
MR. SOLOMON: One housekeeping matter. I want to -- I neglected to -- move PX 03446 into Evidence. That's the staff accounting bulletin that we talked about on Friday.

THE COURT: Granted. It is in.
MR. KISE: Your Honor, while we're waiting, now I am reading the last question and answer, I would just move to strike the last question and answer as irrelevant.

THE COURT: It seems to me the simplist approach here, if it is relevant that he's testified as to whether he should be deemed an expert again, then the fact finder's decision in that case is relevant.

People make mistakes. And I will reserve to the extent if somebody comes up with authority on this situation where if somebody is deemed an expert testifies and the testimony is rejected -- it's probably come up at some point before -- whether that's relevant or not.

So I will leave it in. But, defendants, if you come up with something in particular, obviously, I will consider it.

Okay. Mr. Suarez, you have the honor.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you, your Honor.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q. Professor, a moment ago, you told the Government that the Mazars work paper were not something that you relied on.

## Do you recall?

A. Yes.
Q. How, if at all, were the Mazars work papers relevant to the opinions you have given in this case?
A. The Mazars work paper, to my opinion -- the
opinion that I render -- are irrelevant.
MR. SUAREZ: We could pull backup
Defendants' Exhibit 1019.
Q. Mr. Solomon showed you this document a second ago?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the affect, if any, on Deutsche Bank's
collateral of President Trump investing over $\$ 160$ million into the Doral property?
A. The collateral become much more valuable.
Q. As a result of the collateral becoming much more valuable, what, if any, was the affect of that investment on the risk to the loan or credit facility given by Deutsche Bank?
A. The risk was, substantially, reduced.
Q. Reduced?
A. Reduced.
Q. Thank you.

MR. SUAREZ: We could pull up Plaintiff's
Exhibit 1609, which we reviewed a couple minutes ago with the Government, and turn to page 13 of 21.
Q. Do you recall discussing this with Mr. Solomon a couple minutes ago?
A. Yes.
Q. How is the implementation of estimated current
value, for real estate, which appears at ASC 274 10-55-6 to
very, very, hard to grasp, definition. Because, as I said, I think, a couple of days ago, ASC 274 is the stepchild of the codification.

The definition, particularly, of estimated current value, is extremely unusual. It doesn't use anywhere in the codification other than 274. And it doesn't use anywhere in reality; appraisers don't use it, in economic we don't use it.

So we don't have to create another level of confusion by using the wrong guidance. The guidance is part of the definition. And if you use the wrong guidance, what do you benefit? You just create more confusion.

So you rather use the specific guidance. You must use the specific guidance.

MR. SUAREZ: If we could pull up Plaintiffs
Exhibit 3437.
Q. Do you recall having a discussion, about this document, with Mr. Solomon earlier in his examination?
A. I do.
Q. You wanted to provide context for the use of this document.

Do you recall that?
A. Yes. So, we use this -- I believe I got the definition of materiality from this document, just like did Dr. Lewis which is the defense -- the plaintiff expert.
be read in reference to the definition -- the implementation guidance for estimated current value that appears at academic 274-10-55-1?
A. As I said, if you have a specific provision, the specific provision trump the general provision. Therefore, as I say, hypothetical, people can use whatever they want. But, in realty, I'm not -- I can't believe that any reasonable expert will rely on 55.1 rather than 55.6 . I can't imagine it will ever happen.
Q. What do you base that opinion on, Professor?
A. I base my opinion on my 40 years of accounting
knowledge and my research and my - and everything else that I experience during the last 40 years.

It's really absurd to say that you have a specific provision, that give you specific guidelines for real estate, how to apply the estimated current value and you use general provision that have nothing to do with real estate.
Q. If a student in your class told you that they wanted to use the general language instead of the applicable specific language, what would you tell your student?
A. I will tell him this is wrong. We don't do it this way in accounting. It just get confusion. That's all.
Q. Why, in accounting, would you want to avoid creating confusion at all?
A. Because as it is, the estimated current value is a
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And, actually, I used this because, I use it as a rebuttal to Dr. Lewis expert. And, I agreed with Dr. Lewis that this is the correct source for the definition of materiality.

So, just like Dr. Lewis used it, and just like Dr. Lewis did not want to confuse the Court and explained that this is non-authoritative statement that should be considered -- the definition should be considered -- as part of GAAP, didn't want to get into this philosophy, so just like Dr. Lewis didn't highlight it, I didn't highlight either.

It is highlighted in SAB Staff Accounting Bulletin 99. In 99, they highlight that this definition is the one to be used together with the definition of the Supreme Court for materiality that they explain. It's, in substance, identical.

The definition, by Supreme Court, on materiality is, in substance, identical to the definition -- Statement of Financial Condition number eight. And, in the SAB 99 they use it interchangeably when they explain how to apply the materiality test.
Q. Now if you see here, this one was amended in December 2021?
A. Yes.
Q. What was the affect of the December 2021

## amendment?

A. Well, as I said, this is not the one, the version that I used. Because, you have to put yourself in the shoes of the user. And this was not available to the user in December 2021.

However, if there is any difference, the differences are minor. So, in practice, there is no difference between using this version and the version that Dr. Lewis and I used.

But I just point out that because every detail here seem to be important so, I just pointed out that this is the wrong version to use. And I did not use it. And, Dr. Lewis did not use it.
Q. Now, if we could pull backup the August affidavit. And, while we're doing that, Professor, which materiality test did you employ in your analysis?
A. So, the accounting literature and the SEC says that they are not going to formulate materiality tests because, there are so many possibilities to do materiality tests depending on the circumstances of the case.

In this case, I had a choice between a number of alternatives. I considered all the alternatives that I could come up with. And, I decided to pick the alternative that I thought was most appropriate in this case.

For example, Dr. Lewis use another methodology.
would use, I will use the experts from the defense. And, using the same methodology, I would arrive at the opposite conclusion that the financial statement are not materially misstated.

But, I thought that was wrong way to go because, this is unfair for -- this would be, totally, unfair for plaintiff. Why should I pick your - the defense expert and not the plaintiff expert?

So, therefore, I said this methodology is inappropriate in this case. And I have to do totally another methodology.

I used totally another methodology that doesn't favor the plaintiff and doesn't favor the defense. But, it is an objective methodology that follow directly from the definition of materiality. And, it should be undisputed that this is valid methodology and the conclusion is, completely, valid.

MR. WALLACE: Objection, your Honor. Non-responsive. The question was, "What materiality test did you have -- did you employ in your analysis?"

THE COURT: Sustained. That wasn't responsive to the question.

MR. SUAREZ: My next question would have been why. We could go through it again if it helps the Government break it up for their purposes.

Dr. Lewis compare the numbers from the financial statement with the numbers provided by the expert from the -- from plaintiff.

Why? Because according to his report, he was instructed -- he was instructed, by the plaintiff, to use these numbers.

This, by itself, should cancel his report.
Because, you never tell an expert what critical assumption you use in your analysis.

If you call me and tell me "I want you to use this assumption in your analysis," I tell you, immediately, "I resign. You should look for another expert."

Now, why is it so critical here? Because, they instructed him to use the valuation of their own experts. And based on this assumption, he concluded that the financial statement are material misstatement.

If the plaintiff would have instructed him to use the valuation, made by your experts, by Mr. Trump's experts, then Dr. Lewis will come up to the opposite conclusion that there is no material the -- the statements are not materially misstated.

In other words, his conclusion follow, directly, from the assumption used that was instructed by the plaintiff to use which is unheard of and make no sense.

Now, if I follow the same methodology, what I
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THE COURT: How do you want to try to rehabilitate that if you do?

MR. SUAREZ: There would have been a series of questions. He got ahead of my question.

THE COURT: I still strike the answer
because, it wasn't responsive.
MR. SUAREZ: Okay.
THE WITNESS: I am just trying to save time.
Q. Which method, Professor, did you select in your materiality analysis?
A. I selected the method that directly analyzed the information used by DB. Because, in the definition, they say that the financial statement would be --
Q. Don't give me the "why" yet. We'll ask you the "why."
A. So I use the methodology that depends on the analysis used by DB.
Q. Why did you select that methodology over other methodologies that were, perhaps, available to you?
A. So as I said before. And I'll say it again.

There are many methodology. I consider a few of them.
Definitely, I considered the methodology used by Dr. Lewis.
But Dr. Lewis methodology compares the number in the financial statement to the valuation produced by plaintiff expert. This is how he quantify the misstatement.

And based on this number, without doing any qualitative analysis, he computed. Then, the number is large. He doesn't tell us how large is large. But, he computed, in the number, the difference is large.

And based on this, he concluded that the financial statement are materially misstated. However -- he used this assumption, this critical assumption, because according to him he was instructed, by plaintiff, to use this assumption.

Now, the assumptions shape the opinion. You never take assumption from the client you represent or you testify for. This is absurd.

So -- how you can say it? If Dr. Lewis had used the valuation produced by defendant's expert, he would have concluded that there is no material misstatement.

So I want to be fair to plaintiffs. And I did not want to pick methodology that favor the defense. So I could do the same thing as Dr. Lewis but, instead of using the valuation of the plaintiff experts, I use the valuation of the defense experts.

And just by doing this, changing one assumption, I had an opposite conclusion that the statements are not material misstated.

But, this would not be fair to the plaintiff
because, I am using information that is advantageous to defense.
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So I picked a methodology that is objective. It doesn't favor the defense and it doesn't favor the plaintiff and it is follow directly from the definition of materiality.
Q. Now, if we turn to your affidavit and you see, at paragraph 17 on page five, it state, "Mr. Trump's SOFCs for 2011 through 2021 were prepared in a personal financial statement format, in accordance with ASC 274. And the valuation of the investment properties recognized in those SOFCs were generally consistent with the notion of estimated current value."

Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. What do you mean by "generally consistent"

## Professor?

A. So, you know, I want to clarify. I did say that, in accounting, every word matters. But, not when you write a report to Courts or not when I communicate with my wife. What I meant is that every word matters when you read a financial statement.

I am not producing your financial statement. I am writing an opinion. So maybe I was not as careful and I should not use the word "generally."

But, I did not pay attention to this minor thing that "generally" is not even considered -- not mean defined
in GAAP. I just wanted to deliver the message that they are consistent.

By "generally" I mean, maybe here and there, there is a random inadvertent deviation from GAAP. But, if there is a deviation, the deviation is not material. So that's what I mean by "generally."

So maybe it was not the best word to use here. Maybe I should use maybe something like "They are not materially misstated" -- or whatever. But, this was -- this was my intention.

MR. WALLACE: Objection, non-responsive Court.

MR. SUAREZ: How is it nonresponsive? It is his interpretation.

## THE COURT: Overruled.

Q. If we go to paragraph 24, it says, "Mr. Trump's SOFCs, for 2011 through 2021, did not impact, significantly, Deutsche Bank's decision to extend loans to defendants or to set these loan's interest rates."

Do you see that?
A. I do, yes.
Q. What did you mean by the word "significantly" in this statement?
A. Well, I mean, it didn't make a difference
for -- did not impact, significantly, Deutsche Bank decision

Page 6556
to extend loans. I mean, it didn't change. It didn't change the decision of Deutsche Bank to extend this loan.
Q. And if we turn to paragraph 25, it says, "Deutsche Bank's independent, rigorous, and subjective valuation process -- which involves models employing a multitude of variables from several data sources, independent appraisals, and a variety of validity checks -- demonstrates that Deutsche Bank's reliance on the information in the SOFCs was marginal in deciding whether to extent the subject loans and what interest rate to require."

Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. What did you mean by the term "marginal?"
A. Again, this is not a financial statement. I am not using GAAP language. By "marginal" I mean, that it didn't have any significant affect or any -- it didn't change. It didn't change the -- it didn't change the decision whether to extend loans or the interest rate.
Q. And if we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 293 --

MR. SUAREZ: And just leave this up here side by side for a second.

Can we turn Plaintiff's 293 to page six.
Q. And we look at "Club Facilities." At the bottom it says, "For purposes of our analysis, the 1.3 billion in reported value has been reduced by fifty percent for

```
deriving unadjusted value."
    Do you see that?
    A. I do. Yes, I do see it.
    Q. How is that reduction consistent with the analysis
    that you laid out in your affidavit?
    A. Well, as I said before, you cannot take one
    sentence and try to understand it. And the same thing is
    when you try to interpret GAAP. You cannot take one
    sentence and interpret it in isolation.
    In order to interpret this sentence, you have to
    consider the entire report; the 20 page or something entire
    report.
    And if you read the entire report, you understand
    that this fifty percent reduction was based on the economic
    analysis. It is not an arbitrary number they pick and
    therefore, they rely on the financial statement.
    There is no reliance here on the financial
    statement per se. This is a result of their analysis --
    economic analysis -- their experience with other assets of
    Mr. Trump and their knowledge of the properties.
    And if memory serve, I think that even in some
    reports they say, "We pick this 50 percent after
    consultation with the or in consultation with the Valuation
    Services Group."
    So, this is not the first asset that they
```
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evaluate. Before this, they evaluate many, many -- several
other assets in detail. They understand the relationship.
Because, remember, they use liquidation value.
Mr. Trump use estimated current value. These are completely
different concept.
They did analysis, they figure out that based on
their analysis and based on the significance of this
valuation to them, that fifty percent will be appropriate.
Q. What expertise, Professor, is needed to apply a
fifty percent reduction?
A. That's what I said before. If they just take
arbitrary reduction rate, they don't need -- they don't need
the Valuation Services Group. They don't need the risk
management group. They can higher a high school drop out.
And he can plug these numbers into excel sheet and get the
answer.
This is really, you know -- I cannot even
understand where this argument is coming from or what they
are thinking. I have no idea.
Q. If you look, Professor, on the following page, at
page seven, for partnerships and joint ventures, again it
says, "Due to uncertainty, we have taken a fifty percent
haircut on reported value."
Why is it that they just apply fifty percent
again?
evaluate. Before this, they evaluate many, many -- several other assets in detail. They understand the relationship.

Because, remember, they use liquidation value.
ump use estimated current value. These are completely different concept.

They did analysis, they figure out that based on their analysis and based on the significance of this valuation to them, that fifty percent will be appropriate. fifty percent reduction?
A. That's what I said before. If they just take arbitrary reduction rate, they don't need -- they don't need the Valuation Services Group. They don't need the risk management group. They can higher a high school drop out. answer.

This is really, you know -- I cannot even
understand where this argument is coming from or what they are thinking. I have no idea.
Q. If you look, Professor, on the following page, at page seven, for partnerships and joint ventures, again it says, "Due to uncertainty, we have taken a fifty percent haircut on reported value."

Why is it that they just apply fifty percent
again?
A. Again, this is based on economic analysis. And, in order to believe that this is a arbitrary fifty percent without considering the economic environment here and the entire set of assets and the entire analysis that they perform before -- because remember, this is after they already performed a significant economic analysis.

In order to believe that this is arbitrary -- the reduction -- with no economic analysis, you have to believe that Deutsche Bank is not aware of the guidance in FASB. They are not aware of the guidance for the Federal Reserve. They are not aware what every accounting textbook, every scientific paper, every -- many market participant say again and again and again, that, you cannot rely on the numbers as reported in the financial statement. You have to make your own independent analysis, come up with your own numbers based on the information in the footnotes and based on the information in other sources and make decision based on the independent analysis that you perform.

Dr. Lewis is here in the audience. And I am sure that when Dr. Lewis teaches financial statement analysis, he tell his students don't take the numbers by their face value.

That's what financial statement analysis courses, in every school - I have heard many of them -- that's what we teach the entire semester; how to take the financial
statement, come up with your own valuation and use your own valuation in your analysis.
Q. Professor --

MR. WALLACE: Objection, your Honor;
foundation, relevance on what professor Lewis teaches.
Move to strike that section.
THE COURT: Overruled.
Q. Professor, if a student of yours turned in this
credit report as their homework and you saw the level of analysis performed on partnerships and joint ventures and compared it to the level of analysis done on net cash flow, for example, what would you tell the student about their homework on partnerships and joint ventures?
A. The partnership analysis -- partnership and joint venture analysis was preceded by a detailed analysis of other assets.

So, you cannot look on this, independently, as if it is the only asset that they are evaluating -- that DB is evaluating. You have to read this in the context of the entire analysis, the entire 20 page analysis.
Q. In the context of performing that analysis, why do you say that banks don't like to burn money?
A. Well, banks don't want to burn money because, this is not banks. Every company that is for business - every company that is for business trying to be efficient, they
don't want to burn their investor money.
So, if you don't need to do an analysis, because you already know the outcome and you know what you need then, you save the money and you do this shortcut.

They know that even if they take a 75 percent reduction -- whatever it will take -- it will not affect their analysis. This is immaterial -- this is inconsequential for them. That's why they did this.
Q. Okay. And, let's take a look now at the analysis on page six as it relates to 40 Wall.

You see here it says the property has a NOI of 26.2 million?
A. Ido.
Q. Okay. And, we had a discussion about how 26.2 million is a marathon.

What does -- excuse me. 26.2 is a marathon not 26.2 million.

What does the fact that they used a NOI that doesn't appear on the Statement of Financial Condition tell you about the credit memo?
A. Well, it means that they got this number elsewhere.
Q. Now, if we pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 798, at line --

MR. SUAREZ: Well, let's pull up Plaintiff's
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Exhibit 798.
Q. Do you recognize this document?
A. Can you blow it up a little bit? Sorry. Okay.
Yes, I do remember it. Yes, I review it.
Q. Do you see that it's the supporting data for the

2011 Statement of Financial Condition?
A. Yes.
Q. If we go down to line 112, do you see where it says, "40 Wall Street?"
A. I do.
Q. Do you see it has an "NOI" there for 40 Wall

Street of 26,234,000?
A. I do.
Q. And, do you see what the basis for reaching that NOI is right above?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see that it's an average income for the five-year period subtracting an average expense for the five-year period to reach that NOI?
A. I do. This is known as stabilize net operating income. So, they don't took the number from the statement whatever -- whatever statement they use. Because, net operating income is not even GAAP.
Q. Let's take it piece by piece.

What does it mean that the average income minus the average expenses were subjected from each other to reach a NOI in connection with this?
A. This mean that this is a calculation. There is no GAAP here. This is an economic approach that is recommended by economic theory in order to compute the stabilized NOI; meaning, the NOI which is expecting to recur in the future.

If you take one year NOI, there is a lot of randomness in this number. So, what people do, they average it over a few years.

Statistically, when you average random variables over a few years, you remove the random error and you get an estimate -- it's still an estimate. It's still subject to estimation error. But, statistically, this estimate will be more accurate than if you take one year of data.
Q. And was that method disclosed on the face of the supporting data?
A. Yes, right here. Yes, of course. It says average income for five years, average expenses for five years.
Q. And if you see there, it says 2013 to 2017?
A. Yes.
Q. This was prepared in 2011, correct?
A. Right. So, this is, actually, based on future -on forecasted numbers. So, when you compute a normalized NOI, you can compute it based on past data. You can compute
it based on forecasted data.
In this case, it appears from what is given here that they based stabilized NOI -- so they base their NOI on predictions what will happen, according to them, in the next five years. And they take the average -- totally acceptable. Many companies are doing that. And, it's totally acceptable.
Q. Why is it done that way?
A. Well, it is done that way because, according to economic theory, this will give you a good indication of the value of the asset if you take the NOI and you divide it by the cap rate.

MR. SUAREZ: And I think I previously referred to this as Plaintiff's Exhibit 798. Just so the record is clear, it's Plaintiff's Exhibit 788 in native format.
Q. How does that NOI, on the supporting data, tie to the NOI that was reflected in the credit report?
A. Which credit report.
Q. Plaintiff's Exhibit 293 that we just had up?
A. Oh, I thought they used the same number. They used the same NOI in the one that is reported here.
Q. And what does that tell you about the manner in which Deutsche Bank used the Statement of Financial Condition if anything?
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A. I think it is appropriate. In appropriate way. There is no -- there is no -- there is no, no obvious inflation or distortion of the valuation.
Q. As a matter of fact, if you look at the document that the Government showed you, Plaintiff's Exhibit 1573, and we turn to the six million dollar figure that they wanted you to focus on, in that appraisal --

MR. SUAREZ: Do we have that up?
(Whereupon, the following proceedings were stenographically recorded Shameeka Harris.)

COURT OFFICER: All rise, Part 37 is back in session, the Honorable Arthur Engoron presiding. Please be seated and come to order.

THE COURT: Okay, let's continue with the redirect.
(Whereupon, the witness resumes the witness stand.)
THE COURT: Welcome back, Professor. I will remind
you you are still under oath as you know, okay.
Mr. Suarez, please continue.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

## CONT'D REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q Professor, earlier today in discussion you had with
Mr. Solomon in form or substance, you discussed that when you
find enough evidence to support your analysis for an opinion you stop looking; do you remember that testimony generally?

A Yes.
Q What did you mean by that?
A Well, you know, in - it's when you do an audit, when an accountant does an audit, they have an audit plan and it's impossible to examine all documents because the documents are millions of documents. So what they do, they take a small sample of documents. And if they don't find any suspicious evidence, they would stop. If they find suspicious evidence, then they will -- they will increase the investigation. So in this sense what I meant is I made a plan for myself what
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1 documents I need to review. I reviewed the documents as I
2 thought the most important, the least important, and I concluded
3 that I have sufficient evidence and there is no suspicion, there
4 is no suspicious evidence that will require me to increase my --
5 my investigation of the documents so that's when I stop. If I found suspicious documents, I will expand the investigation.

Q And how, if at all, is that consistent with a manner in which you conduct your academic research?
A Well, academic research is -- is somewhat different because my academic research is typically based on computerized databases. So the computer analyze all the data. So the data analysis course is very small. But even in academia when we hand collect data, we do the same thing. We take a sample and we do the analysis based on the sample. We are not going to collect data from the entire population because this is prohibitedly (sic) costly. If I was using every single available data in this case, I will still be reading documents. I will never have time to write a report.
Q And how is that consistent with the manner in which Deutsche Bank conducts credit analysis if at all?
A Well, from the -- from the credit reports, you see that they actually adopted the same strategy. They started with major assets that are most important to them and they analyzed them in detail. And once they got sufficient confidence that the financial health of the Trump Organization that it is

1 satisfactory, then they move to a different type of analysis where it was based less on -- on data analysis and more of a more general approach.

Q And if we can pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 293, and I could turn your attention to page four of this document. Do you see where it says key ratios? Do you see where it says unsecured lending guidelines?

A Yes.
Q And, again, if you take a look at page eight, key
ratios, it says, "to demonstrate the strength of the guarantor, we have applied the unsecured lending guidelines." Do you see that?
A I do.
Q Now, I'd like to show you Defendants' Exhibit 193 which are the unsecured lending guidelines and turn your attention to page eight of the unsecured lending guidelines where it says, "based on their evaluation of specific concentrated assets, lending officers use their judgment in setting the appropriate adjustments to achieve conservative valuations of concentrated assets."

How, if at all, is that consistent with the manner in which Deutsche Bank performed credit analysis, the credit analysis reflected in the memos?
A Yeah, this is exactly what they did. They perform independent analysis based on their judgment and assumption.

They didn't choose Mr. Trump's assumptions.
Q And if we turn to Defendants' Exhibit 193 at page nine, do you see where it says, "commercial real estate direct private equity and art"?
A Yes, I do.
Q And it says to be determined case-by-case based on an appropriate valuation formula or acceptable appraisal?

A I see.
$Q$ Is that consistent with your evaluation of the credit analysis performed by the banks and the credit memos?

A That is exactly what I said before. They do their own analysis and they decide on case-by-case how to deal with it.

Q And with respect to the discussions you've had here today and the questions from Mr. Solomon, how, at all, has your opinion changed as to whether the statements contained any material misstatements if at all?
A My opinion doesn't change because I assume and I treat it, the credit reports, and under this assumption that they use their judgment and they make asset-by-asset valuation.

MR. SUAREZ: Your Honor, may I confer with my colleagues for a second?

THE COURT: Of course.
Q Professor, at the conclusion of your discussion with Mr. Solomon, they brought us back to the Exxon case that the New 25 York Attorney General filed here in the Sate Supreme Court of
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1
1 New York; do you recall that testimony?
questions for the witness, the witness is excused. Thank you.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused from the stand.)

MR. KISE: Judge, we have a couple of followup housekeeping items.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. KISE: Ms. Hernandez, will probably go through these far better than me, but they relate to things that happened during the course of the defense case with respect to Mr. Shubin, Professor Bartov. Remember we wee going to introduce, for record purposes, copies of their reports that the Court didn't allow. And I believe there were some documents associated with Patrick Birney's testimony that we are trying to do by agreement with the Attorney General so that it will save us all the time associated with having Mr. Birney go through those documents.

I believe that happened a couple of weeks or so ago when Mr. Birney was on the stand, but I will let Miss Hernandez touch on those three issues.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Hi, Your Honor. We would like to move into evidence D1079 which is Shubin's report just for appellate purposes as we discussed.

MR. WALLACE: For appellate purposes, no objection.
THE COURT: No objection. It's in.
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MS. HERNANDEZ: And the same thing for D1073 which is Mr. Bartov's supplemental report disclosure.

MR. WALLACE: Again, for appellate purposes, no objection.

THE COURT: It's in.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Then exhibits D1074, D1075, D1076 and D1078 which are the exhibits referenced in Mr. Bartov's supplemental disclosure. Those are the Brace Wall Reports that he references in the supplemental disclosure.

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Those are in the record, those are the reports to the monitor.

THE COURT: They are in the record?
MR. WALLACE: They are already in. There is no need to put them in. It's like exhibits as to Mr. Bartov's affidavit or letters.

MR. KISE: They are just referencing Bartov's letter. I guess they are on the NYSCEF so they would be available. If they are part of the record anyway, then we don't need to double it up. It is just for appellate purposes in any event so, yes.

THE COURT: You are going to appeal?
MR. KISE: I don't know. This will be number 7 or 8 in one case so probably.

THE COURT: All right. We all agree that those four documents are in evidence.

MR. WALLACE: They already in the record. We object to adding those as separate exhibits.

MR. AMER: These are Judge Jones' letters that were filed on NYSCEF.

MR. KISE: As long as they are part of the trial record because some of that predates the trial. Some of the letters predate the trial. Most all of them predate the trial. So we are just including it. They're referenced in Professor Bartov's supplemental report so it is just all part of the same package.

MR. WALLACE: I believe everything on NYSCEF is part of the record. We'll waive our objection to the four addition documents being repeated in the record.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. WALLACE: For appellate purposes.
MS. HERNANDEZ: And then one more thing that Mr. Kise didn't mention is we would like to move in the clips that we used with Mr. Bender on October 4th and October 5th so that's D1062 into evidence.

THE COURT: In the clips?
MS. HERNANDEZ: It is the clip report for the videos that were played on October 4th and --

MR. ROBERT: I think they did the same thing where they put the clips in for the purpose of the audios that they had played as well.

MR. WALLACE: I will accept the defendants' representation that this report reflects the clips that were actually played, but if that's the case, then we have no objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Then --
MS. HERNANDEZ: Then the last --
THE COURT: They're in.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. The last housekeeping is we would like to move in the backup Mazars documents that were sent to Mazars as we discussed during Mr. Birney's testimony. It is a lengthy list of documents so I'll go through them and then we'll see if plaintiff has an objection.

MR. WALLACE: We do. I believe this is the list of 182 documents that we got at 9:30 something last night. That's not the few documents that they were going to potentially enter with Mr. Birney. 182 documents would represent a significant fraction of the entire record in this case and does not strike us as appropriate that those just come in. Certainly, we have not had any chance to go through the list of all those documents to figure to out which ones are in there, but it doesn't strike as appropriate that exhibits on that volume.

THE COURT: So what if I deny the request without prejudice? Well, I mean, you don't know what 182 are,
right? So, maybe, you won't object to some or all of them.
MS. HERNANDEZ: All of these documents were Mazars Bates labeled and on plaintiffs exhibit list.

MR. AMER: They're not calling a witness to get these documents in.

MR. KISE: That was the whole point. It was going to save us the trouble of having Mr. Bimey come here and go through one by one by one. As the Court may recall, Mr. Birney answer questions fairly slowly. So, we weren't going to go through those, but we're happy to give them more time to look at them. I don't know about the timing. That was the purpose of the colloquy when Mr. Birney was here, as I recall, is that we are going to -- we can bring him to get them in.

MR. AMER: The purpose was to share with us a list of the handful of documents that they were otherwise going to get through Mr. Birney and, you know, we got it last night at 9:30. I think the bigger point is it's not appropriate to try and get in over a 180 documents without having a witness on the stand or even to put us to the
burden of having to review those documents when we should be -- you know, they should be resting right now and we should be moving on to our rebuttal case, then we have a posttrial brief.

MR. KISE: So, Your Honor, two things. One, this
was pursuant to the discussion that took place. We can go back to the discussions that took place on the record with regard to Mr. Birney. I don't know that anyone used the term handful of documents, but we can certainly look at the record, one.

Two, all of these documents are on plaintiff's exhibit list. So there's -- they clearly reviewed them and understand what they are fully. Whether they decided to use them or not it's another matter. It is not like they're new documents. And we can get Mr. Birney down here, if we need to, to go through that exercise, but I would suggest that we would start that at roughly 3 o'clock or $3: 15$ and then it would take the balance of day tomorrow to go through document by document. If they're prepared to do what Your Honor suggest, which is let them look at the documents, you know, and --

THE COURT: Decide whether they want to --
MR. KISE: Decide later. If we need to call
Mr. Birney and bring him in, then we'll be prepared to do that but --

MR. AMER: Can I point out we had a day off yesterday. Why is this coming up now. If they were serious about putting in over 180 documents, then why didn't we get Mr. Birney on the stand yesterday and go through this exercise. This is really unfair to the Court and to us and

1 it's totally inappropriate. And just because a document is 2 a plaintiff's exhibit, doesn't mean they get to put it in
without having a witness on the stand. We can put in documents that are party admissions against interest without necessarily having the author of the document on the witness stand. But if it's their document, they don't get to do that because it's hearsay for them.

So, it is just totally inappropriate to, you know, minutes before you rest your case to say, oh, by the way, I have a 180 some odd documents I want to put into evidence. It is not the way that they should approach this at all.

THE COURT: I mean, just as a general matter of how cases are -- how trials are conducted, plaintiff's points are very valid unless the defendants can point to, you know, some earlier agreement. Apparently, according to the person that sits alongside me, where a handful was used, 182 is an awful lot of documents.

MR. KISE: We can go back and look at the transcript. There was an agreement and an understanding that we would take Mr. Birney off the stand and we would avoid having to go through document by document by document. And, frankly, we never anticipated -- it's not really -- it is the substance of the document not the number. I mean, we never anticipated there was any controversy. It was on their exhibit list. They are just backup documentation

1 want the case to end.
MS. HERNANDEZ: Me too.
MR. WALLACE: On November 29th, colloquy with Mr. Robert was, I think the deal that we made, I'll let Mr. Wallace confirm it, is that we will, later tonight, Miss Hernandez will send a list of documents that we believe constitute the backup that this witness would have authenticated and put into evidence. The Attorney General then review it. If they have anything else they want to add, there may be some discussion. So we may have to bring Mr. Birney back for that limited purpose. We are hoping not to.

If someone had 182 documents sitting in this courtroom ready to have Mr. Birney authenticate on November 29th, there was no reason to wait until last night at 9:30 p.m. to send them to us and ask for us to agree to them. I think the witnesses -- the defendants, they do not have a witness, should be resting. It shouldn't be, well, we can call Mr. Birney back tomorrow. I don't know where the 182 came from.

It strikes me that it would appear they spent the last two weeks finding additional documents they would like to get in using this agreement we had two weeks ago and it's just not appropriate at this point to just dump these documents on us, to dump them into the record and to dump
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them on the Court. So we object to this process in its entirety. If the defendants don't have a witness ready to go right now, they should be resting.

MR. KISE: So, Your Honor, might I ask the Court's indulgence because even the number 182 to me seems like a high number. Can we have ten minutes and I can figure out why the number is so high and that may solve the problem itself. I'm not sure why we have 182, that number, that number does seem high because I wouldn't think -- maybe, it's something to do how they're structured, that we can explain to the court. But I don't want to make any representations as to why that number is high or low or perhaps it can be substantially compressed without -without having just a few minutes to review the situation and understand.

THE COURT: Maybe, it's one booklet with 182 pages. See what you can do. I still think the fair thing to do here is that I would deny it without prejudice send them a list of the documents and you can have as long as you want. We're just trying to get them in the record. I mean, it's -- just almost a theoretical construct. Okay, they were listed on an exhibit, are they in the record, so what. Why don't you can see why there are so many. I'll be in the back briefly.
(Whereupon, there was a pause in the proceedings.)


1
use different definition of value than estimated current value So there is no surprise that appraisers would come up with completely different valuation than the estimated current value. There is no contradiction here. It is just expected differences between different values that will derive based on different definitions and they will derive by different people. That's totally expected.
Q And if you look at this appraisal from 2015 and if I could specifically draw your attention to page 15 of the appraisal, which reports a net operating income of $\$ 23,203,919$; do you see that?
A Ido.
Q How does that compare to the estimate that was used in 2011 on a forward looking basis?
A Very close. Every estimate is subject to estimation error but this is extremely close to the -- to the realization.

Q And what does that tell you about the method used in 2011 to determine the estimated current value of 40 Wall in the statement of financial condition?
A So scientists don't assess the integrity or the quality of a focus in hindsight based on the realization. We don't do it this way because even if this number was vastly different than the -- than the predicted number, then the focus is still no evidence of any fraud or any inflation. It just says that the estimation error was lodged because of unforeseen events

THE COURT: We're back on the record. What did you discover?

MR. KISE: Yes, your Honor. So, apparently, the number is what it is just because of the way they were broken out. I can show the Court, if you will allow me to hand this up, an example.

THE COURT: Do you have another copy so plaintiff can see it?

MR. KISE: It's on their exhibit list. It is Plaintiffs Exhibit 701. The point is, Judge, as you can see, its just comparable backup data. It's all routine matter that, really, shouldn't be controversial at all. It's just what Patrick Birney would testify, "Yes, this is on 40 Wall Street. We sent him this piece of paper." It is not there for the truth of the matter asserted.

One thing we could do is just simply submit an affidavit, from Mr. Birney, that says, "Yes, this is all the backup." And that would solve the problem completely without having to call him as a witness.

But, this is it. It's just the documents that we sent to -- that we, the defendants' sent, Mr. Birney to Mazars. And we would never have anticipated there would be any controversy over such a ministerial document. Frankly, there shouldn't be.
that happened between the time the focus was made and the realization. But the fact that this is very close, the fact that this is very close is totally inconsistent with assertion that the focus was inflated or distorted.

THE COURT: Okay. See you all at 2:15. And, witness, you're tired of hearing me say this, you can't discuss the case or your testimony with anybody.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused from the stand.)
(Whereupon a luncheon recess was taken.)

If your Honor wants to deny without prejudice, we could simply save us all a lot of -- we can submit an affidavit, by tonight, that they're business records.

And he can ask the witness without saving the Court the time of calling someone here to just walk-through all of that routine matter, there's nothing particularly controversial in there. They are not being offered for the truth of the matter asserted.

THE COURT: Plaintiff, do you agree they're not being introduced to prove the matter asserted therein?

MR. WALLACE: I am not, entirely, clear because, I don't have a witness saying for what purpose they're being put in for.

These are also the versions that were contained within the Mazars file so, likely contain, oftentimes, notations from Mazars other work.

I would think if we were presenting these, through Mr. Birney, and he were to say, "This is what I gave to Mazars," there would be questions about "Is there information that wasn't sent to Mr. Mazars about, say, I don't know an appraisal."

The fact that they're just noncontroversial, I don't know. I haven't looked at all 182. So, I
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | purpose they serve. But at all events, we'll try and |  | or do not need a written response from plaintiffs. |
| 2 | work it ou | 2 | Because, my preference would be not to bother |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay | 3 | responding if the Court is going to do what it's been |
| 4 | MR. KISE: That's all we can do | 4 | doing, which is denying them as they are admitted. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Defendants, do you rest at this | 5 | THE COURT: You know, for one thing, |
| 6 | poin | 6 | s to me motion for directive verdict that's denied |
| 7 | MR. KISE: Yes, your Honor. Subject to the | 7 | at the end of the plaintiff's case is -- that's almost |
| 8 | one issue that you left open, we do rest. Also, at | 8 | never going to be granted during the defendant's case. |
| 9 | this time, we are moving for directed verdict and | 9 | Either the plaintiffs made out a prima facie |
| 10 | asking for the termination of the case | 10 | case or they didn't. I think they did. That's what |
| 11 | Rather than take the Court's time this | 11 | I've already ruled. So, you'd be wasting your time. |
| 12 | afternoon, we'll submit something by Friday -- if | 12 | But I'm not going to tell you not to send me something. |
| 13 | that's okay. But whatever timeline you want -- with | 13 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, two things. My last |
| 14 | respect to the directed verdict. | 14 | recollection of the presentation that we did before we |
| 15 | But, rather than take the Court's time today | 15 | began our evidence, we moved for directed verdict at |
| 16 | with any oral presentation, we'll just submit our | 16 | the close of their cas |
| 17 | presentation then seeking, on the same basis we have | 17 | My last recollection, unless you are now |
| 18 | before, that, now at the conclusion of the defense | 18 | saying that you are denying it, was that you took it |
| 19 | case, there is no evidence, no fraud, no victim, no | 19 | under advisement. That's the last thing I recall you |
| 20 | damage. There is no -- they haven't satisfied the | 20 | saying on that. |
| 21 | elements. | 21 | THE COURT: True. |
| 22 | And the existence of the rebuttal witness, | 22 | MR. KISE: For record purposes, it is now |
| 23 | Professor Lewis, indicates, fully, that they have a | 23 | the close of our case, and we need to move for directed |
| 24 | hole in their case that they're trying to now backfill. | 24 | rdict. |
| 25 | And you've heard our arguments on that. | 25 | Frankly we will -- and, this is why I |
| Proc | ngs Page 6594 |  | ings Page 6596 |
| 1 | But, that would be the -- yeah, we'll submit | 1 | suggested Friday for our submission to streamline this |
| 2 | something, in writing to the Court, Friday morning. | 2 | process. Frankly, once they are done with their |
| 3 | THE COURT: I have a general policy of | 3 | rebuttal case, we'll need again, for record purposes, |
|  | anybody wants to write me, send me something, go ahead | 4 | to make the motion for directed verdict so we could |
| 5 | and do it. There's no way I'm going to grant that. | 5 | submit one brief to the Court or one submission -- it's |
| 6 | But if you want to send something, send it. Plaintiff, | 6 | really not a brief, one submission to the Court, in |
| 7 | do you strongly object to my allowing that? | 7 | lieu of doing what is normally done, which is we |
| 8 | MR. WALLACE: I think I object on a logical | 8 | present it live on the record. But in order to save |
| 9 | basis. There was another motion, for directed verdict, | 9 | the Court that time, we're just going to do it the way |
| 10 | from Ms. Habba, three days ago, that was denied. | 10 | I suggested if that's acceptable to the Court. |
| 11 | By Friday, we'll have certainly rested our | 11 | MR. ROBERT: So the record is clear, that's |
| 12 | rebuttal case. The case will be over. We're already | 12 | on behalf of all defendants, your Honor. Thank you. |
| 13 | scheduled for briefing and arguments. | 13 | THE COURT: How about we do this. You know, |
| 14 | So, adding satellite briefing, strikes us as | 14 | I like to discuss things in a reasonable manner. |
| 15 | unnecessary and not necessary -- relevant. And quite | 15 | So Mr. Kise -- all defendants I should say |
| 16 | frankly, a waste of Court resources. | 16 | Mr. Robert, Ms. Habba et cetera, move for a directed |
| 17 | Maybe Mr. Amer has additional points. | 17 | verdict. It doesn't have to be formal. Send whatever |
| 18 | MR. AMER: I would say if you're going to | 18 | you want. You can move orally so, why not be informal |
| 19 | entertain a written motion from defendants -- | 19 | in writing? |
| 20 | THE COURT: It is more like I'll accept it. | 20 | And I'll give the AG a couple of business |
| 21 | It doesn't mean I will entertain it. | 21 | days to decide whether they need to respond. And then, |
| 22 | MR. KISE: I think you already said it. | 22 | if they say no, it's no. If you say yes, I will give |
| 23 | THE COURT: I will disregard it or ignore | 23 | you what time you need. |
| 24 | it. | 24 | Yes? |
| 25 | MR. AMER: I would like to know if you need | 25 | MR. WALLACE: This is a colossal waste of |
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| 1 | resources if people are going to be writing, briefing |  |
| 2 | the same thing we're about to be briefing in less than |  |
| 3 | a month. |  |
| 4 | I would ask that -- the defendants have |  |
| 5 | indicated they're moving for a directed verdict. If |  |
| 6 | your Honor is not going to grant the directive verdict, |  |
| 7 | we just ask that it be denied. |  |
| 8 | This is -- we already had a 45 page |  |
| 9 | power-point presentation on directed verdict from |  |
| 10 | Mr. Kise. I've never seen -- that's highly irregular |  |
| 11 | if we're going to talk about things that we've seen. |  |
| 12 | The defendants are not entitled to infinite |  |
| 13 | directive verdict motions every time they think there |  |
| 14 | is a good piece of evidence or they don't like |  |
| 15 | something. This is silly. And I don't know what |  |
| 16 | record Mr. Kise is claiming he needs to keep. They |  |
| 17 | have made multiple motions for directed verdict. None |  |
| 18 | have been granted. |  |
| 19 | We've all been here for weeks. I think we |  |
| 20 | know -- we've already won on summary judgment. I don't |  |
| 21 | even know what we're pretending is happening here. |  |
| 22 | But, for us to be now doing letter briefing |  |
| 23 | on the same subjects that are about to be the subject |  |
| 24 | of posttrial briefing, strikes me as the height of |  |
| 25 | waste of resources. |  |

MR. WALLACE: Yeah, I mean, we're getting close to -- taking your position that you're not going to preclude him from sending things in. Understood, your Honor. We appreciate the time that you have granted us.

THE COURT: Okay. I get letters all the time from people that didn't ask for permission. This is just another one of those but, in a big case.

So that's solved. Now, we have a witness Professor Lewis -- Mr. Lewis. I believe that was -there was another letter over lunchtime.

MR. KISE: There is. Are they calling Mr. Sneddon first so we can, at least, move him along?

THE COURT: You want to do that first.
MR. KISE: Yes, just to save the Court -the witness time.

THE COURT: Okay. Would you like to call your first rebuttal witness?

MS. FAHERTY: Yes, your Honor. The People call, as their first rebuttal witness, Kevin Sneddon, please.

MR. KISE: And we, for the record, renew our objection to the allowance of this rebuttal testimony.

THE COURT: Basically, denied without prejudice. If you think something is not a proper

| Proceedings |  |
| :--- | :--- |
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| 2 | This is -- he's going to have his chance to |
| 3 | make all these arguments as of January 5 and appear |
| 4 | before your Honor on January 11 and get a decision on |
| 5 | letter briefing. |
| 6 | I don't think there is any world in which |
| 7 | we'll respond to this. And if we're talking two extra |
| 8 | days, I guess we're talking to Tuesday of next week. |
| 9 | If you want to allow him to send it in, that's fine. |
| 10 | But, this strikes us as a colossal waste of resources |
| 11 | and time. |
| 12 | THE COURT: To me, it is somewhat analogous |
| 13 | to a prior restraint. You can ask me for something. |
| 14 | No, ask me what you want. Plaintiff, you can decide |
| 15 | whether you need to respond to it or not. I think you |
| 16 | have a lot to go by at this point since I have denied |
| 17 | it, at least, five times or so. |
| 18 | So, by Friday, send me whatever you want. |
| 19 | I'm not promising to read it even. I probably will. |
| 20 | But, you know, I don't want to tell you not to ask me |
| 21 | to do something. So that's it. |
| 22 | Two business days later, plaintiff you will |
| 23 | let me know if you need to respond. And, I hope you |
| 24 | will only need two or three days after that. |
| 25 | Fair enough? |

subject of rebuttal, then you can object.
COURT OFFICER: Witness entering.
Please raise your right hand.
Do you solemnly swear or affirm that any
testimony you give will be the truth the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.
KEVIN SNEDDON, called as a witness by the People, having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:

COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. Please state your name, either home or business address for the record.

THE WITNESS: Kevin Sneddon, 17 Will Merry
Lane, Greenwich, Connecticut.
THE COURT: Welcome.
Ms. Faherty, please, proceed.
MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, your Honor.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. FAHERTY:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Sneddon.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Can you please state your educational background, please?
A. Sure. I have a Bachelor of Science, I did 30
credits of post-graduate study and then, I worked in

| K.Sneddon - Plaintiff - Direct/Ms.Faherty Page 6601 | K.Sneddon - Plaintiff - Direct/Ms.Faherty Page 6603 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 corporate America before I got into real estate. | 1 A. To broker real estate on behalf of the Trump Org |
| 2 Q. And, where did you get your Bachelor's Degree | 2 and to oversee salespersons. |
| 3 from, sir? | 3 Q. How many persons did you oversee? |
| 4 A. East Stroudsburg University, in Pennsylvania. | 4 A. Eight to ten. |
| 5 Q. The 30 extra credits -- post-graduate credits -- | 5 Q. Focusing on your time period working at TIR, were |
| 6 you received, where was that from? | 6 you aware that Donald J. Trump owned a personal residence at |
| 7 A. St. John's University. | 7 Trump Tower? |
| 8 Q. Do you currently hold any professional licenses, | 8 A. I was aware. |
| 9 sir. | 9 MR. KISE: Objection. |
| 10 A. Real estate brokers license; the State of New York | 10 THE COURT: Ground. |
| 11 and State of Connecticut. | 11 MR. KISE: What is this rebutting? It is |
| 12 Q. Any other licenses? | 12 back to the same objection. This is something that |
| 13 A. No. | 13 could easily have been elicited on their direct case. |
| 14 Q. How long have you held your real estate broker's | 14 What issue does this go to? |
| 15 license in the State of New York? | 15 THE COURT: I assume this is just some sort |
| 16 A. Since 2004. | 16 of background to questions about that. |
| 17 Q. And that is still a, currently, active real estate | 17 MS. FAHERTY: This is two questions away |
| 18 broker's license, correct? | 18 from putting the transcript up for which I need this |
| 19 A. Yes. | 19 witnesses' rebuttal testimony, your Honor. |
| 20 Q. Are you, currently, employed? | 20 THE COURT: There is, certainly, no |
| 21 A. I am an independent contractor, associate broker | 21 prejudice. There is no jury. Even if there was a |
| 22 with Compass. | 22 jury, there is no prejudice. We all know this fact. |
| 23 Q. And what are you employed to do in your associated | 23 So again, I am just considering you're sort of focusing |
| 24 work with Compass? | 24 the witnesses' attention on something? |
| 25 A. Sell residential real estate. | 25 MS. FAHERTY: I am, your Honor. |
| K.Sneddon - Plaintiff - Direct/Ms.Faherty Page 6602 | K.Sneddon - Plaintiff - Direct/Ms.Faherty Page 6604 |
| Q. For how long have you been doing that? | 1 THE COURT: Overruled, without prejudice. |
| 2 A. Since 2004. | 2 MS. FAHERTY: Can we get a read back of my |
| 3 Q. How long have you been doing that for Compass -- | 3 question? I don't believe there was an answer. |
| 4 in connection with your association with Compass? | 4 THE COURT: Read back the question, please. |
| 5 A. Since 2018. | 5 (The testimony as requested was read by the |
| 6 Q. Where were you before 2018 in your employment? | 6 reporter.) |
| 7 A. I was an independent broker, had my own business. | 7 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, your Honor. |
| 8 Q. Did there ever come a point in time when you were | 8 A. Yes. |
| 9 employed with an organization known as Trump International | 9 Q. Just, generally, describe for me what that |
| 10 Realty? | 10 awareness was you had about Mr. Trump's residence? |
| 11 A. Yes. | 11 A. It was well-documented in the press. You know, I |
| 12 Q. At what period of time, in your professional | 12 knew before I worked there. |
| 13 career, were you employed with and -- if I say, "TIR" would | 13 Q. Was it a Triplex living abode in which he had a |
| 14 you recognize that entity? | 14 personal residence at Trump Tower? |
| 15 A. That's fine. | 15 Does that sound familiar? |
| 16 Q. What period of time were you with TIR, sir? | 16 MR. KISE: Objection, leading. |
| 17 A. I would say late winter 2011 through late winter | 17 THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 182012. | 18 Q. Can you describe the general description of the |
| 19 Q. What title did you hold while you were with TIR? | 19 living situation Mr. Trump held at his personal residence at |
| 20 A. I was the managing director of the brokerage | 20 Trump Tower? |
| 21 office. | 21 A. I just knew it was the penthouse. I didn't really |
| 22 Q. Was managing director the title you held? | 22 know much about the apartment itself. |
| 23 A. Yes. | 23 Q. Okay. I'm going to put on the screen testimony we |
| 24 Q. Broadly speaking, what were the duties and | 24 heard earlier in this trial. |
| 25 responsibilities you had at TIR? | $25$ <br> MS. FAHERTY: If we could pull up the |
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|  | testimony of Jeff McConney, starting at transcript page | 1 | "QUESTION: Have you Mr. McConney ever |
| 2 | 500 | 2 | personally walked through the entirety of the Triplex? |
| 3 | Q. And, we'll direct your attention to line 20. And | 3 | "ANSWER: The entirety? No. |
| 4 | we're going to continue onto page 5003. | 4 | "QUESTION: Have you ever measured how many |
| 5 | MS. FAHERTY: Counsel, directing your | 5 | re feet the Triplex is? |
| 6 | ntion to th | 6 | ANSWER: No. |
| 7 | Q. And, I am going to read this testimony to you in a | 7 | MR. KISE: Is there a question there, your |
| 8 | question and answer form. And, I am going to ask some | 8 | Honor. |
| 9 | questions. Okay? | 9 | THE COURT: Not yet. |
| 10 | A. Okay. | 10 | MS. FAHERTY: I appreciate the pause, |
| 11 | Q. Beginning at line twenty, there was a question. | 11 | Mr. Kise. |
| 12 | "Do you see there where you have a value for the | 12 | Q. Mr. Sneddon, do you recall sending Mr. McConney an |
| 13 | Triplex apartment?" | 13 | e-mail advising him that the Triplex was 30,000 square feet? |
| 14 | MS. FAHERTY: Mr. McConney responds, yes. | 14 | MR. KISE: Objection, your Honor. So, this |
| 15 | "QUESTION: Do you see -- can you explain to | 15 | squarely within the case law that we've already |
| 16 | me the basis for valuing the Triplex apartment? | 16 | cited to your Honor. |
| 17 | "ANSWER: For the June of 2015 statement? | 17 | Rebuttal evidence is not merely evidence |
| 18 | THE COURT: Question mark | 18 | which contradicts the defendant's evidence and |
| 19 | MS. FAHERTY: Yes, question mark. | 19 | corroborates that of the plaintiff. It is evidence |
| 20 | "QUESTION: Yes. | 20 | overcomes some new matter that the defendant has |
| 21 | "ANSWER: We've reached out to our sale's | 21 | proffered in reply. |
| 22 | office, Trump International Realty, and asked them to | 22 | This subject matter was covered when they |
| 23 | provide us with an amount per square foot so we | 23 | called Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg in their case |
| 24 | could -- which we used to value the um Triplex. | 24 | and chief. They could have called and should have |
| 25 | Believe the e-mail said -- the Triplex is $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ square |  | called Mr. Sneddon at that time during their case and |
|  | ddon - Plaintiff - Direct/Ms.Faherty Page 6606 |  | ddon - Plaintiff - Direct/Ms.Faherty Page 6608 |
|  | feet. It was something about \$10,900 per square foot | 1 | chief |
| 2 | multiply the two and came up with the | 2 | The fact that it came up again in the defense |
| 3 | 327 million-dollar. | 3 | case, on Mr. McConney's second trip to the stand, does |
| 4 | MS. FAHERTY: And we'll scroll to the next | 4 | not now render this witness' testimony rebuttal |
| 5 | page. It continues on. On page 5003. | 5 | testimony. |
| 6 | "QUESTION: What was the value of 30,000 | 6 | It may contradict or it may be different |
| 7 | square feet input into the spreadsheet. | 7 | than, in there view, what Mr. McConney testified to. |
| 8 | MS. FAHERTY: Mr. McConney's answer | 8 | But this is squarely within the prohibition of the |
| 9 | "It was the mechanism so I knew, from year to | 9 | cases we cited to your Honor from the Court of Appeals |
| 10 | year, what the value was based on. Sometimes I would | 10 | on down. There's no basis to admit this now. |
| 11 | just look at the spreadsheet. And this way, I didn't | 11 | Again, a hole in their case that they would |
| 12 | have to go to all of the voluminous backup we had. It | 12 | like to backfill. And, this is -- they certainly could |
| 13 | reminded me how we valued the property at that point in | 13 | have asked Mr. Weisselberg in their case and chief. |
| 14 | time. | 14 | They called him. They could have asked Mr. McConney in |
| 15 | "QUESTION: Where would you have come up | 15 | their case and chief. They called him. And, they |
| 16 | with the number 30,000 square feet? | 16 | certainly could have called Mr. Sneddon to address |
| 17 | "ANSWER: The person running TIR at the | 17 | these points. |
| 18 | time, Kevin Sneddon sent me an e-mail that the Triplex | 18 | These are affirmative points that go straight |
| 19 | was 30,000 square feet. | 19 | their burden of proof. This is not a new matter |
| 20 | "QUESTION: Was the 30,000 square feet | 20 | at we have brought up or some new fact that has come |
| 21 | figure used to inflate the price of the Triplex. | 21 | to light. These are facts that are well -- this is |
| 22 | "ANSWER: It was used based on the | 22 | known and have been well known for some time. |
| 23 | information I received from somebody who knows. He is | 23 | So there is just no basis to admit any |
| 24 | the broker. I would rely on him because, I figured he | 24 | testimony relevant to the |
| 25 | knew the property a lot better than I did. | 25 | MR. WALLACE: If we're going to talk about |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | this, the witness should be excused if we're going to |  | chief that Mr. McConney, for the first time, identified |
| 2 | repeat this. But, I think we covered this ground | 2 | this witness, Mr. Sneddon, as the source of the 30,000 |
| 3 | extensively. | 3 | square foot figure |
| 4 | THE COURT: Do you want him excused or not? | 4 | And if we are allowed to elicit the testimony |
| 5 | R. WALLACE: Please | 5 | from this witness, on rebuttal, the Court would hear |
| 6 | ERTY: Sorry, Mr. Sneddon | 6 | that he did not calculate that number, that, that |
| 7 | MR. KISE: Your Honor | 7 | number was provided to him by Mr. Weisselberg. |
| 8 | THE COURT: I think Mr. Wallace | 8 | That's why we need this testimony. Because, |
| 9 | MR. KISE: I had something to add for the | 9 | for the first time, on their case, they put in evidence |
| 10 | record that I think is relevant a specific reference. | 10 | suggesting or indicating that Mr. Sneddon was the one |
| 11 | On Mr. McConney, when they called | 11 | who calculated the 30,000 square feet. That's wrong. |
| 12 | Mr. McConney on their case, at page 637 of th | 12 | We're entitled, on rebuttal, to establish |
| 13 | transcript, they asked, specifically, about this | 13 | that, that evidence, that was put in on their case, not |
| 14 | e-mail. | 14 | on our direct case -- there's nothing on page 637 that |
| 15 | "And then, you asked him to send you the | 15 | identifies who calculated that figure. |
| 16 | e-mail he sent to Mr. Weisselberg, right? | 16 | And it is certainly within your Honor's |
| 17 | This is Mr. Amer's examination of | 17 | discretion to allow this under the cases we were citing |
| 18 | Mr. McConney | 18 | before. |
| 19 | "ANSWER: Yes. | 19 | THE COURT: Should you have asked him where |
| 20 | MR. KISE: And then he responds at, quote, | 20 | he got this from? |
| 21 | at 30,000 square feet. DJT Triplex is worth 4,000 to | 21 | MR. KISE: Absolutely. That's the point. |
| 22 | 6,000 per foot or 120 million to 180 million, right? | 22 | The e-mail is mentioned right there at the top of page |
| 23 | "ANSWER: Yes. | 23 | 637. And that's exactly right. They had every |
| 24 | "Okay. And this is a point in time where | 24 | opportunity. |
| 25 | you're still using 30,000 square feet for the valuation | 25 | The testimony was elicited on by them in both |
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| 1 | of Mr. Trum | 1 | instances; on their direct case and in our case. They |
| 2 | "Righ | 2 | certainly were aware of this e-mail and this issue. |
| 3 | So, this is on page 637. | 3 | they interviewed Mr. Sneddon some three plus years ago. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Slow down a little. | 4 | They've known about this issue. They asked about it in |
| 5 | MR. KISE: Sorry. 637. I am just looking | 5 | depositions. They asked about it with Mr. Weisselberg. |
| 6 | at lines one through ten where this issue came up in | 6 | MR. AMER: That's not the standard for |
| 7 | their case and chief. And again, this falls, squarely, | 7 | rebuttal. We had no obligation it elicit the |
| 8 | within the prohibition set forth in the case law that | 8 | testimony. But, once it was elicited, on their case, |
| 9 | we have recited to your Honor that -- they haven't | 9 | we're entitled to rebut it |
| 10 | opposed any of that case law or cited any exception to | 10 | MR. KISE: They put the e-mail in evidence |
| 11 | it. | 11 | in their case I believe; PX 1052. |
| 12 | So its just not the proper subject of | 12 | MR. AMER: The e-mail says nothing about who |
| 13 | rebuttal testimony. This is backfilling their case | 13 | calculated the value. |
| 14 | with testimony. | 14 | MR. KISE: As your Honor said, that's your |
| 15 | Simply because it contradicts our evidence | 15 | fault for not asking the question. That's the whole |
| 16 | doesn't make it rebuttal testimony. And that's what | 16 | point of the case law. If you don't ask the question, |
| 17 | the cases provide. | 17 | if you decide, in your affirmative case, not to elicit |
| 18 | MR. AMER: If I may, your Honor since I | 18 | the testimony that you believe necessary to establish |
| 19 | elicited this testimony back on, believe it or not, on | 19 | your case, you can't hold it back and then wait and see |
| 20 | October 5th. | 20 | what happens, in our case, and then declare it all |
| 21 | The testimony was about 30,000 square feet | 21 | rebuttal. |
| 22 | being something that was communicated to Mr. McConney. | 22 | That's, essentially, what they've done with |
| 23 | But, at no time, was Mr. McConney asked where he got | 23 | most of their witnesses. If you look, their witness |
| 24 | that square footage from or who calculated it. It was | 24 | list is filled with rebuttal witnesses. |
| 25 | only when they called Mr. McConney on their case and | 25 | MR. WALLACE: Whom we are not calling |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | because -- | 1 "Oh, no, you gotta go out in the hallway for 20 |
| 2 | THE COURT: I'm sorry? | 2 minutes." |
| 3 | MR. WALLACE: Whom we're not calling. We're | 3 Okay. Mr. Faherty, please continue. |
| 4 | calling two witnesses to respond to testimony that was | 4 MS. FAHERTY: I think there was a question |
| 5 | presented in the defendants' case. | 5 pending, your Honor. May I have a read back please. |
| 6 | This is not controversial. This is not -- we | 6 THE COURT: Please. |
| 7 | have three lawyers standing up at the defense table | 7 (The testimony as requested was read by the |
| 8 | over a witness who's going to testify -- if we can get | 8 reporter.) |
| 9 | him back in here -- in less time that it takes Mr. Kise | 9 |
| 10 | to make an argument. | 10 |
| 11 | MR. KISE: We don't suspend the rules | 11 |
| 12 | because it's one or two witnesses and it won't take a | 12 |
| 13 | lot of the time. The rules are the rules. They know | 13 |
| 14 | the game. They know how to play the game. If they | 14 |
| 15 | don't, then it's their fault. | 15 |
| 16 | THE COURT: Plaintiff, was it essential to | 16 |
| 17 | your case to get this evidence or testimony in? | 17 |
| 18 | MR. AMER: It was not essential because we | 18 |
| 19 | had the e-mail that established that Mr. McConney was | 19 |
| 20 | told it was 30,000 square feet. We didn't have to | 20 |
| 21 | establish who calculated that value. | 21 |
| 22 | But, once they put in evidence, on their | 22 |
| 23 | case, saying that it was Mr. Sneddon, we are certainly | 23 |
| 24 | entitled to challenge that factual assertion on | 24 |
| 25 | rebuttal. That's what rebuttal is for. Because they | 25 |
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| 1 | are going to make a big deal out of it on their case. | 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 2 | MR. KISE: So your Honor, by putting the | 2 BY MS. FAHERTY: |
| 3 | e-mail in, the only evidence at the close of their case | 3 A I don't recall. |
| 4 | was that the e-mail was sent from Mr. Sneddon to | 4 Q Okay. Let's put up plaintiff's exhibit PX10? |
| 5 | Mr. McConney. That was the state of the evidence at | 5 MR. KISE: I don't think the answer made it into |
| 6 | the end of their case. | 6 the record. I don't see that there. |
| 7 | If they wanted to dispute the idea that | 7 THE COURT: Okay, next question. |
| 8 | Mr. Sneddon didn't provide that number that's reflected | 8 Q Turning your attention to the document that's on the |
| 9 | in the documentary evidence -- they were always talking | 9 screen in front of you, the court officer is handing it up to |
| 10 | about this is a documents case, this is one of those | 10 you. You can look at either, sir. Just looking at the cover |
| 11 | documents. | 11 e-mail on this document, do you recognize that e-mail in the |
| 12 | If they wanted to dispute that, then they | 12 "from line" entry there? |
| 13 | certainly should have done so in their case and chief. | 13 A Yes. |
| 14 | They knew about this. They introduced this document. | 14 Q Did you have an e-mail address associated with the work |
| 15 | They asked about the e-mail in the transcript. There | 15 you performed at Trump International Realty? |
| 16 | is no question that this is improper rebuttal. And its | 16 A Yes. |
| 17 | no question that this is just backfilling a hole in | 17 Q And were you employed at TIR on September 20, 2012? |
| 18 | their case. | 18 A Yes. |
| 19 | THE COURT: And there is no question but | 19 Q Okay. Let's scroll down to the bottom of the first |
| 20 | that. I'm going to overrule the objection. It's new. | 20 page. And I'll just represent to you the bottom e-mail carries |
| 21 | And it wasn't part of the case they had to put in. The | 21 over on to the second page so actually if you could put them |
| 22 | defendants' witness brought it up. Now, I am allowing | 22 both on the screen. |
| 23 | the rebuttal. | 23 MR. KISE: Your Honor, just for record, this is |
| 24 | Let's get the witness back. | 24 exact same e-mail PX1052 that they introduced already in |
| 25 | Its like being invited to a dinner party and | 25 their case and they are now going to ask him questions about |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 it on a rebuttal case when this was in the record at the | 1 A Yes. |
| 2 time of their cas | 2 Q And just turning your attention to that very first |
| 3 THE COURT: Objection. Overruled. | 3 sentence, I already valued DJT's Triplex for Allen. Who's |
| 4 Q Drawing your attention to this e-mail from you sent on | 4 Allen? |
| 5 Wednesday, September 19, 2012. It's addressed to Cathy K. with | 5 A Allen Wiesselberg. |
| 6 a "CC" to Jeff McConney and that's your name again there, Kevin | 6 MR. KISE: Same objection. Your Honor, can we just |
| 7 Sneddon, right? | 7 have a standing objection to this entire line of questioning |
| 8 A Yes. | 8 so we can move this along. This is all improper rebuttal in |
| 9 Q Do you see the subject there is re, colon, forward | 9 our view |
| 10 colon, DJT Triplex? | 10 THE COURT: You got the standing objection. Let's |
| 11 A Yes. | 11 go. |
| 12 Q Okay. And do you recognize the name Cathy K.? | 12 Q Sorry. Can you repeat back your answer for me. Who's |
| 13 A Yes, she was my direct supervisor at TIR. | 13 Allen the reference to? |
| 14 Q And do you recognize the name Jeff McConney? | 14 A Allen Weisselberg. |
| 15 A Yes. | 15 Q Who's Allen Weisselberg? |
| 16 MR. ROBERT: Objection. Miss Faherty said the | 16 A He was the CFO of Trump Org. |
| 17 e-mail you sent. The e-mail she is talking about is from | 17 Q What are you referring to when you write DJT's Triplex |
| 18 Cathy K. to Mr. Sneddon. Mr. Sneddon didn't send the | 18 in this message? |
| 19 e-mail. | 19 A Mr. Trump's Triplex penthouse, Trump Tower. |
| 20 THE COURT: She's talking about the other earlier. | 20 Q Were you ever asked to assess the value of Mr. Trump's |
| 21 MS. FAHERTY: Counsel, I will go quicker if I can | 21 Triplex apartment? |
| 22 proceed. | 22 A Yes. |
| 23 MR. ROBERT: If you can ask a proper question. | 23 Q Who asked you to value Mr. Trump's Triplex apartment? |
| 24 MS. FAHERTY: It was a proper question. | 24 A Allen Weisselberg. |
| 25 MR. ROBERT: You don't need to raise your voice to | 25 Q In what way or in what manner did Mr. Weisselberg ask |
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| 1 m | 1 you to value Mr. Trump's Triplex apartment? |
| 2 MS. FAHERTY: I said at the bottom of the first | 2 A He called me on the phone. |
| 3 page that carries over to the top of the second page. I am | 3 MR. KISE: Objection. Vague. |
| 4 going slowly so you can follow along. I can slow it down | 4 THE COURT: Overruled. |
| 5 more if you would like. | 5 A He called me on the phone and asked me if I could give |
| 6 MR. ROBERT: Maybe, if you would, that would be | 6 him a rough, you know, market value of the Triplex. |
| 7 great. | 7 Q Other than asking you for a rough market value of the |
| 8 THE COURT: Just go at a reasonable speed. | 8 Triplex, did he say anything else? |
| 9 MS. FAHERTY: Thank you, Your Honor. | 9 A No. |
| 10 CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION | 10 Q What did you say in response to his request to provide |
| 11 BY MS. FAHERTY: | 11 a value for Mr. Trump's Triplex? |
| 12 Q I believe my question was who was Cathy $K$ ? | 12 A I asked if I could see it, and he said that was not |
| 13 A My direct supervisor at TIR. | 13 possible. So I asked if there was a floor plan or any specks |
| 14 Q And in the body of this e-mail it says, "I already | 14 and he said he didn't have any of that information. So I asked |
| 15 valued DJT's Triplex for Allen our 75MM - is that million? | 15 him, well, you know, what size is it. |
| 16 A Yes. | 16 Q And what do you recall did he say to you in response to |
| 17 Q - Triplex listing is in 240 RSB, period. Total square | 17 the question what is that size? |
| 18 footage is 14.5 K including main residence, guest residence, and | 18 A He said I think -- he said it's quite large. I think |
| 19 staff residence, period. As is 5K plus per foot." | 19 it's around 30,000 square feet. |
| 20 Do you see that there? | 20 Q And why would the size of the apartment be information |
| 21 A Yes. | 21 that you need in order to calculate a value for the Triplex? |
| 22 Q Looking at this e-mail, do you recall sending this | 22 A Well, one metric in valuing residential real estate is |
| 23 message on September 19, 2012? | 23 square footage times, you know, the average selling price per |
| 24 MR. KISE: Objection. Improper rebuttal. | 24 square foot. |
| 25 THE COURT: Overruled. | 25 Q Is that a metric you used today in your professional |


| K. Sneddon - by Plaintiff - Direct (Ms. Faherty) Page 6621 | K. Sneddon - by Plaintiff - Direct (Ms. Faherty) Page 6623 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 experience? | 1 Allen Weisselberg along to Jeff McConney in this message? Was |
| 2 A It's one metric, yeah. | 2 this a reflection of the phone call you had with |
| 3 Q Did Mr. Weisselberg tell you why he was calling for | 3 Mr. Weisselberg? |
| 4 your input on what Mr. Trump's Triplex would sale for? | 4 MR. KISE: Objection. Leading. |
| 5 A Because we were valuing some of these sponsored units | 5 THE COURT: Sustained. |
| 6 in various Trump buildings. And he called to ask about that | 6 Q This message to Mr. McConney is this a -- what is this |
| 7 process and asked if we could add the Triplex into that process. | 7 a reflection |
| 8 Q And in regard to that call with Mr. Weisselberg and | 8 MR. KISE: Objection. Asked and answered. A |
| 9 after you received a square footage for the apartment, what was | 9 leading question. She just gave the witness the answer. |
| 10 the response you gave to him about how to value the apartment? | 10 Would you like to write it down for him so he can read the |
| 11 A I believe I gave him a range of I think around 4 to | 11 answer bac |
| 12 6,000 a foot at that time. | 12 THE COURT: Objection overruled. |
| 13 Q Okay. Turning your attention back to Exhibit 105 -- | 13 I can't begin to count the amount of times that |
| 14 1052, Plaintiffs Exhibit 1052? | 14 your team did this and I allowed |
| 15 MR. KISE: Your Honor, all of these questions and | 15 MR. KISE: Disagreed completely, Your Honor. This |
| 16 answers relate to communication that this witness had with | 16 is a free fall but, okay. |
| 17 Mr. Weisselberg. Mr. Weisselberg did not testify in our | 17 A I know I spoke to Allen on the phone. I don't recall |
| 18 case. He testified in their case. The purported rebuttal | 18 sending him an e-mail. Jeff might have thought I did. I don't |
| 19 is necessary for, as they say, Mr. McConney's testimony. | 19 remember. It was a long time ago. |
| 20 Nothing here relates to any communication this witness had | 20 MS. FAHERTY: I think that's it, Your Honor. |
| 21 with Mr. McConney or any e-mails with Mr. McConney. This is | 21 THE COURT: That's it? |
| 22 all the witness testifying about communications with | 22 MS. FAHERTY: That's it. |
| 23 Mr. Wiesselberg, the subject of their direct case, could | 23 THE COURT: Okay. |
| 24 have been asked. It's all improper rebuttal. This is just | 24 Any cross-examination of the rebuttal witness? |
| 25 filling the holes back in in their direct case, but this has | 25 MR. ROBERT: Yes, Your Honor. |
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| nothing to do with Mr. McConney's testimony. So that's | 1 Good afternoon. May I proceed, Your Honor? |
| 2 the -- an additional reason why this is improper rebuttal. | 2 THE COURT: Please. |
| 3 THE COURT: Plaintiff, any response? | 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 4 MR. AMER: It has everything to do with | 4 BY MR. ROBERT: |
| 5 Mr. McConney's testimony that we saw on the screen and we've | 5 Q Good afternoon, Mr. Sneddon. |
| 6 already argued this so I -- | 6 A Good afternoon. |
| 7 THE COURT: Objection overruled, but incorporate it | 7 Q In answering Miss Faherty's questions, you used the |
| 8 in the standing objection | 8 word Jeff to refer to Jeff McConney; do you remember that? |
| 9 CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION | 9 A Yes. |
| 10 BY MS. FAHERTY: | 10 Q You never met Jeff McConney before, did you? |
| 11 MS. FAHERTY: Can we scroll up to the next e-mail, | 11 A I don't recall. I worked in a different building, |
| 12 higher up in this document, please, Ashley. | 12 different location. |
| 13 Q Okay. There's an e-mail from Jeff McConney to you and | 13 Q I am going to ask you a series of questions this |
| 14 Miss K. It states, "Thanks Kevin. Can you please send to me | 14 afternoon, sir. I am going to ask you to answer them yes or no. |
| 15 the e-mail you sent to Allen." | 15 If you can't, you tell me and I'll rephrase them. Fair enough, |
| 16 And then if we scroll up to the next message dated | 16 sir? Sir, you heard what I just said? |
| 17 Thursday, September 20, 2012, it is from you to Jeff McConney | 17 A Yes. |
| 18 and Cathy K, still the same subject, "at 30,000 square feet, | 18 Q If you don't understand the question, you tell me and |
| 19 DJT's Triplex is worth between 4 K to 6K per foot or 120 million | 19 will rephrase it, okay? |
| 20 to 180 million. You sent that message, correct? | 20 A Okay. |
| 21 A Correct. | 21 Q Otherwise, if you can answer my questions yes or no |
| 22 Q This isn't a forward of an e-mail you sent to | 22 understood? |
| 23 Mr. Weisselberg, right? | 23 A Understood. |
| 24 A | 24 Q So you didn't know Jeff McConney when you worked at the |
| 25 Q How were you forwarding information you sent to | 25 Trump Organization, correct? |
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A Correct. | 1 Q And during that interview, she explained to you the |
| 2 Q As a matter of fact -- withdrawn. | 2 issue of the square footage of the Triplex, correct? |
| 3 You taken an examination under oath that Miss Faherty | 3 A Ye |
| 4 asked you the questions of a long time ago back in March of | 4 MR. ROBERT: So, Your Honor, I got Triplex this |
| 5 2021; do you remember that? | 5 time. I'm learning. May be the last day of the trial, but |
| 6 A Yes. | 6 I am learning. |
| 7 Q And prior to that official interview -- withdrawn. | 7 Q Prior to Miss Faherty -- |
| 8 That interview on March 4, 2021, that was with a court | 8 THE COURT: Five-minute warning. |
| 9 reporter, right? | 9 Q Prior to Miss Faherty mentioning to you the importance |
| 10 A Yes. | 10 of this $\mathbf{3 0 , 0 0 0}$ square foot Triplex issue you were unaware of it, |
| 11 Q And -- | 11 correct? |
| 12 THE COURT: Hold on. You need to speak closer to | 12 A Yes. |
| 13 the mic, louder, clearer. | 13 Q She told you an important part of the case was you |
| 14 Q And you remember there was a court reporter? | 14 being able to say that you're not the person that came up with |
| 15 A Yes. | 15 the 30,000 feet, correct? |
| 16 Q You promised to tell the truth? | 16 A Yes. |
| 17 A Is this -- yeah. Yes. | 17 MR. ROBERT: Your Honor, this may be a good time to |
| 18 Q You took an oath to tell the truth that day, correct? | 18 take the afternoon break now. I may be able to truncate |
| 19 A Yes. | 19 this a lot. |
| 20 Q Prior to that interview under oath, you had a | 20 THE COURT: Let's meet at 10 to 4. |
| 21 conversation with Miss Faherty, correct? | 21 MR. ROBERT: Thank you, sir. |
| 22 A Yes. | 22 THE COURT: I'll direct the witness don't discuss |
| 23 Q And that conversation was about a month or so earlier, | 23 this case or your testimony or anything related to it during |
| 24 correct? | 24 the break, okay. How about 5 to 4. |
| 25 A Yes. | 25 //I |
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| $1 \quad \mathrm{Q}$ And that conversation -- and during that conversation, | 1 (Whereupon, the witness was excused from the |
| 2 Miss Faherty explained to you who Jeff McConney was, right? | 2 stand.) |
| 3 A Yes. | 3 (Whereupon, a recess was taken.) |
| 4 Q Because prior to Miss Faherty explaining to you who | 4 COURT OFFICER: All rise. Part 37 is back in |
| 5 Jeff McConney was, you had no idea, right? | 5 session. Please be seated and come to order. |
| 6 A Correct. | 6 THE COURT: I apologize for the delay. Let's get |
| 7 Q Okay. And during that conversation with Miss Faherty, | 7 right to it. |
| 8 she explained to you the theory of the Attorney General's case, | 8 MR. ROBERT: Im done with the witness, Your Honor. |
| 9 correct? | 9 No further questions. |
| 10 A Yes. | 10 THE COURT: Will there be any redirect? |
| 11 Q As a matter of fact, it was the first time you had ever | 11 MS. FAHERTY: No redirect, Your Honor. |
| 12 heard of something called a statement of financial condition, | 12 THE COURT: Everybody is finished. Witness |
| 13 correct? | 13 excused. Thank you |
| 14 A Yes. | 14 (Whereupon, the witness was excused from the |
| 15 Q And Miss Faherty explained to you that part of this | 15 stand.) |
| 16 case had to deal with the statement of financial condition, | 16 THE COURT: Okay. Before we call the next witness, |
| 17 correct? | 17 let's discuss -- he's not here, right? |
| 18 A Yes. | 18 MR. WALLACE: The witness is here, Your Honor. He |
| 19 Q And at that point, she told you based on how the | 19 is an expert. So, if we need to excuse him to discuss |
| 20 interview went she would decide whether to have you come and | 20 defendants' motion. |
| 21 testify under oath, correct? | 21 THE COURT: Just right outside the door. I hope |
| 22 A Yes. | 22 this will be brief. We need to discuss the substance of the |
| 23 Q And then based on that interview, she decided to have | 23 latest letter about this witness's report and its |
| 24 you testify under oath, correct? | 24 admissibility or lack thereof. |
| 25 A Yes. | 25 MR. KISE: So, Your Honor, we submitted our letter. |

1 I'll be brief. I won't go through every point. As Your

Honor knows, to be qualified as an expert, the witness must possess the requisite, skill, training, education, knowledge or experience from which it can be assumed the opinion rendered is reliable. And we cite to the Court the Schechter, S-C-H-E-C-H-T-E-R, case from the First Department and several others.

Mr. Lewis does not possess the requisite qualifications. He's not a certified public accountant. He's never sat for the certified public accounting exam. His accounting degree is -- his accounting degree, in quotes, his Ph.D. is from an engineering school. His Ph.D. is actually in engineering not accounting. He doesn't even have an undergraduate degree in accounting. He has no experience at all practicing accounting, none. He's got no experience preparing, reviewing or using compilation statements. He has got no experience auditing financial statements. He's got no experience preparing or using personal financial statements.

He's a professor of practice with no experience in the practice of accounting. He has no lectures that we can uncover that he's identified relative to compilations of personal financial statements or any of the other issues at issue herein. He has no publications or research relative to compilation personal financial statements or any of the

In the Fortich case, there was a general surgeon offering testimony regarding plastic surgery procedures outside of his field of practice and the Court of Appeals held that that expert doesn't possess sufficient knowledge or expertise to testify outside his or her specialty.

Here, Professor Lewis doesn't even have a specialty. Also, Professor Lewis admits that his materiality test was made up, that he created his own test to determine whether the statements or omissions in the statements of financial condition were material to his readers. And we cite the Court in our letter to specific language where he admits that.
"QUESTION: I just wanted to make sure that that was your test, meaning the materiality test, and not anything that's mandated by or per literature?
"ANSWER: Correct."
MR. KISE: So under Frye, as the Court knows, the techniques have to be, when properly performed, have to generate results accepted as reliable within the relevant community. A test that Mr. Lewis his self-developed mandate is definitionally not supported by GAAP and it doesn't pass the Frye test. It doesn't even attempt to pass the Frye test. A test that he created himself cannot conceivably be one that is generally acceptable in the field of accounting.

So his opinions -- and we cite the Court to a

1 other issues here. in this case. reliable. the functions of brakes.

He has no peer review publications at all. And at least as far as our research indicates, there are zero citations to any of his published works. His most recent publications relate to taxpayer identification numbers. I'm not sure that that has anything to do with any of the issues

In 2021 and 2019, again, these aren't peer review publications. They are just publications about understanding taxpayer identification numbers and the history of taxpayer identification numbers. He's simply offering his general opinion after reading the relative accounting guidance, but where an expert is generally familiar with the subject area but otherwise has no specific training or experience pertaining to that subject area, then the expert clearly lacks the requisite skill, knowledge, training or experience from which it can be assumed he is

The Lessard case, L-E-S-S-A-R-D, the Beeley case, B-E-L - B-E-E-L-E-Y, the Fortich case, F-O-R-T-I-C-H, and those three cases, two of those are the Beeley and Fortich cases are Court of Appeals cases that make this same point. In the Beeley case, there was an accident reconstruction specialist that lacked the qualifications to testify about
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number of cases on that point. I believe the Cornell case, curiously enough, no relation, but Cornell 22 NY 3d at 7662, I believe that's a Court of Appeals case, and several other cases that deal with the relevant Frye standard and the proposition that his opinion should be disregarded where he has no authority or standard to cite or support it and he has created his own test.

There are several other matters with respect to his opinions raised in our letters. I won't go through all of them, but in sum and substance, this witness doesn't even come close to possessing the requisite qualifications to testify about the subject matters at issue in this case.
And we would also renew our objection on -- on the rebuttal evidence. It's improper rebuttal evidence.

THE COURT: Do you want to give up and go home?
MR. WALLACE: I will take the answer in two parts. I don't want to give up, but I do want to go home. So, sort of Cornell was mentioned in passing. Professor Lewis is a professor of accounting at Cornell. He directs, he masters --

THE COURT: You don't think so?
MR. KISE: No. He heads the master of -- Master of Professional Studies which, from what I can tell, is a ten-month program for nonbusiness majors and you don't need any minimal GPA to get in. It is intended to be

1 introductory in nature. It is cursory business review course. No. As I said, he is the professor of practice which isn't like Professor Bartov on the tenure track and contributing to the research and development of accounting. He is professor of practice that doesn't even have any experience in the practice of accounting.

MR. WALLACE: As opposed to experience in Israel 40 years ago. Some of the things that I'm hearing here also could have been said about Professor Bartov. He is not a practitioner. In fact, I think you heard from Professor Bartov that there is no materiality test in the literature, that that is not required and Professor Bartov came up with his own based on how he reacted to Professor Lewis's materiality test.

This idea that there's some standard that Professor Lewis hasn't passed is laughable. He's been qualified as an expert in this court.

THE COURT: As a what? In what case by what judge?
MR. WALLACE: It was by Judge Debra James. It was, I believe, in accounting and valuation. He's been qualified as an expert in accounting valuation in multiple cases which is one of the things we were planning to walk him through as we move to qualify him. If Mr. Kise is not particularly impressed with professors of practice or a professor who doesn't have tenure, that's fine. He's now established that
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and they can explore that further on cross-examination. Heis eminently familiar with the accounting standards. He iseminently qualified to an expert.You know, the slight of hand in their papers are alittle silly. They can claim his Ph.D. is in engineering,engineering systems. He has a concentration in accounting.His doctorate dissertation was in an examination of the useof accounting based risk measures for the valuation ofclosely held businesses. The idea that he's not qualifiedto be an expert is laughable.MR. KISE: So, Your Honor --THE COURT: Quickly.MR. KISE: -- there is a materiality test. WhatProfessor Bartov just testified to today is there aremateriality tests in the literature.THE COURT: Let's not talk about Bartov.MR. KISE: Because it's relevant to what he said.THE COURT: Maybe, I am ignoring that part of what 18
he said. I just want to hear about Lewis. What's hisofficial title?MR. KISE: Professor Lewis, the difference isProfessor Lewis made up his own test. That's different thantaking a test -- taking a -- choices from the availablerepresentations and it is sleight of hand to say that hisdegree -- I got my accounting degree from business school.
engineering degree. I have an accounting degree. This man has a Ph.D. in engineering systems and he claims that it's somehow or another related to accounting as if the Cornell business school wants to pass him off as that as a professor of practice with no experience in the practice, that's fine. But for purposes of qualifying him as an expert on the issues in this case, in this case, I don't know about any other cases, but the issues in this case, he doesn't qualify.

THE COURT: Can we bring him in and question him for five minutes or so?

MR. WALLACE: Why not, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: Then I would have an opportunity to voir dire him then.

THE COURT: Of course.
MR. KISE: Thank you.
COURT OFFICER:
ERIC LEWIS, a witness called by and on behalf of the Plaintiff, upon being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

THE WITNESS: I do.
COURT OFFICER: Please have a seat. Please state your name either home or business address for the record. THE WITNESS: My name is Eric Lewis. I reside at 9
That's where you get accounting degrees from. I don't have


Westview Ct. Clifford Park, New York.
(Continued on next page)
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T: Mr. Wallace, go ahead | 1 | before you began teaching. |
|  | DI | 2 | Do you hold any advan |
|  | ALLA | 3 | have an MBA in accounting |
| 4 | Q. Good afternoon, Professor Lew |  | a Ph.D. The specific title i |
| 5 | demi | 5 | inistrative and Engineering Systems with a Concentration |
|  | itio | 6 | , |
|  | . Currently, I serve as a Professor of Practice and | 7 | n |
|  | th | 8 | accounting? |
| 9 | Program in Managemen | 9 | A. The broad degree -- this was at Union College -- |
| 10 | Business at Cornell University. |  | inistrative and engineering systems was a single |
| 11 | hat are your responsibilities in those positions? |  | corate that the college offered. And, within tha |
| 12 | A. So as a Professor of Practice, I teach several | 12 | rate, there were concentration are |
| 13 | a class in Advanced Financial Accounting | 13 | ncentration areas were really what eac |
| 14 | I teach a class that is a core undergraduate class in |  | student would study and would do their dissertation |
| 15 | gerial accounting. I teach the sequel to that course, | 15 | and would learn to teach and all the elements that a |
|  | unting. |  | ctoral student would do. My area was accounting |
| 17 | ing and assurance course. I teach another course | 17 | Q. What was the subject of your dissertation? |
| 18 | elop -- I developed several of those courses. I teach | 18 | A. My dissertation was using mathematics from the |
| 19 | a course in accounting systems and analytics that I |  | asset pricing models to establish values for closely |
| 20 | developed. | 20 | held businesses. |
| 21 | he | 21 | Q. Do you hold any other degrees? |
| 22 | I was on the creation committee. So I wrote most of |  | A. I have an undergraduate degree in financ |
| 23 |  |  | Q. Where is that degree from |
| 24 | that program through the New York State |  | A. |
| 25 | Education Department Process for Certification. I meet | 25 | Q. Prior to starting your current academic position, |
| Lewis - Plaintiff - Direct/Mr. Wallace Page 6638 |  | Lewis - Plaintiff - Direct/Mr. Wallace Page 6640 |  |
|  | y |  | ll, have you held any other academic appointments? |
|  | who will teach in the program, oversee changes | 2 | appointments since |
|  | riculum, sit on the admission's | 3 | began my academic career at a wonderful liberal |
|  | ything that needs to be handled between students and |  | ts college up in Saratoga, Springs called Skidmore |
|  | faculty or students with each other. And I manage the | 5 | College. That was the first place where I earned tenure. |
|  | budgeting for both of | 6 | ore College to Ithaca College; |
|  | Q. Do you teach any courses |  | ere, again, I earned tenure for a second time. Left |
| 8 | an |  | College for Union Graduate College -- which was the |
| 9 | A. In the advanced accounting |  | w name for one of my alma maters - and became the Dean of |
|  | dule on partnerships. And as part of that module |  | School of Business at Union Graduate College. Once |
|  | ship |  | in tenured and a full professor at thather |
|  | erships -- when partnerships breakup -- often persona | 12 | ubsequently went back to another of my alma |
| 13 | cial statements are the basis for determining |  | ers, Siena College, where I was for five years until |
| 14 | ces of indiv | 14 | rnell contacte |
| 15 | So there | 15 | I had done some visiting appointments at Cornell. |
| 16 | m |  | as a visiting associate professor from 2007 to 2010. And |
|  |  |  | ted me that they had a full-time position. And, I |
| 18 | , |  | for it. And, that's the position I've currently |
| 19 | teach any courses that cover compilation |  | d. I'm in my eighth year of that position at Cornell |
| 20 | engagements by an accountant as a topic? |  | University. |
| 21 | A. So on a rotational basis, I teach the a | 21 | While I was a doctoral student, I also accepted |
| 22 |  |  | and taught a full-time appointment at a State University of |
| 23 | ce |  | ork |
| 24 | services |  | your current position, at Cornell, tenure |
|  | Q. Let's talk a little bit about your background |  | eligible? |

A. It is not.
Q. So why would you give up a tenure position for a non-tenure position.
A. So as you hear from my history, I have given up tenure positions multiple times. So I don't really ascribe the value to tenure that maybe some of my colleagues do. I like new ventures and new opportunities. And so, I have never really let tenure be the reason that I don't pursue something new.
Q. And so, why did you decide to pursue the current position at Cornell?
A. So the current position is really interesting.

There was an opportunity, at Cornell, to create new programs. Of course, the students are very bright. And, you know, they challenge me everyday. So, it's just a wonderful thing to teach there. And I have tremendous colleagues.

And, of course, Cornell is a well-known university. It has a lot of resources so that I am very well treated at Cornell. And I am given free reign to create curriculum in ways that I wasn't at other places.

I have created more classes in the graduate program and the undergraduate program at Cornell than probably at all of the other places combined.
Q. Just going back to -- I won't make you go back
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through the entire history of your academic appointment.
What were, generally, the subjects you taught throughout those positions?
A. Consistently, I have taught advanced financial accounting. That's the class that has the module on partnerships where we discuss Statements of Financial Condition, personal financial statements in general.
Q. So, you've taught advanced financial accounting throughout your academic career?
A. Yes, right; about 30 years now.
Q. Do you also conduct academic research?
A. Ido.
Q. What are some of the areas that you focus on?
A. So early in my career I was doing research that was, pretty closely, related to my doctoral dissertation. It was business valuation type research, valuing assets that are a little bit difficult to value because they don't have a ready market.

So the first thing that I published post doctoral dissertation was a paper called "Valuing Intellectual Assets." That was published in the Journal of Legal Economics.

I've also published papers on valuing companies that are in cyclical industries, valuing businesses that are sitting on large holding gains, preparing businesses for
evaluation if we're getting ready to sell a business.
In the later years now -- in my current position, it doesn't require me to do research. But I have started to do advocacy type research.

The last few things that I have done have related to representing low income taxpayers in front of the IRS or in tax Court. I just published a chapter for the American Bar Association on that.
Q. And were any of your publications in peer reviewed journals?
A. Yes. So, that journal of Legal Economics that I mentioned is a peer review journal, with a fairly low acceptance rate, which is one of the measures by which in academia we say, "Well, that's a good publication."

Two of the other valuation papers that I mentioned were published in the Journal of Business Valuation and Economic Loss Analysis.

And that's a journal where, after I published the second paper in that journal, in 2009, I was invited to join the editorial board. And I still do serve on that editorial board.
Q. And, is the Journal of Business Valuation and Economic Loss Analysis peer reviewed?
A. It is also peer reviewed.
Q. What are your responsibilities on the editorial
board of that journal?
A. So as a member of the editorial board, I fairly, regularly, receive papers that are candidates for publication in the journal.

And, I am asked to review them for quality, make comments, propose edits and then recommend them to the editor for rejection, review and resubmittal or ultimate acceptance.
Q. In addition to your work on the editorial board, for the journal, have you held any other professional affiliations?
A. So, I keep a loose affiliation with the association of certified fraud examiners. I'm not a certified fraud examiner. But, I use some of publications -- Fraud Magazine being a big one -- so I stay associated with them.

I have been an officer, held leadership positions, in the American Accounting Association which is the academic association for accountants -- mostly who teach at colleges and universities. But, also a few professional accountants.

I have been the president of the northeast region of the American Accounting Association which is the region, roughly, from Maine to Rhode Island. And, around a thousand, a little bit over a thousand, accountants are part of that.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I have also been on the northeast Region Steering | 1 | So if they needed something from our CFO, I want |
| 2 | Committee and have been paper chair. So every year the | 2 | to know that they were getting every bit of information that |
|  | region holds a conference -- the conference rotates from | 3 | they needed. |
|  | Boston to Hartford to Providence -- and somebody has to | 4 | So I worked, in that sense, in that role with |
| 5 | organize all of the academic papers that are going to be | 5 | ose is |
|  | presented, decide who gets to present and who can't present. | 6 | Q. Mr. Lewis, are you being compensated for your work |
|  | It's kind of like a journal review sort of process. I | 7 | on this case? |
|  | managed that process before I became region president. | 8 | A. I am. |
| 9 | Q. Any other associations that you are involved with? | 9 | Q. At what rate are you being compensated? |
| 10 | A. The Institute of Management Accountants. I do |  | A. $\$ 750$ per hour. |
|  | teach managerial accounting and cost accounting. So I'm a | 11 | Q. Approximately, how many hours have you spent on |
| 12 | member of the Institute of Management Accountants as well. |  | this matter sense you were retained? |
| 13 | Q. You're not a CPA; is that correct? |  | A. Approximately, 200. |
| 14 | A. I am not. |  | Q. Have you, previously, appeared as an expert |
| 15 | Q. Do you have any affiliation with CPA industry |  | witness at trial? |
| 16 | organizations? |  | A. Yes, I have |
| 17 | A. I do not. |  | Q. On about how many occasions? |
| 18 | Q. You do? |  | A. I believe this is the fourth occasion. |
| 19 | A. I do not. |  | Q. Fourth occasion? |
| 20 | Q. Okay. Do you, currently, hold any positions that |  | A. Yes. |
| $21$ | involve real world financial reporting? |  | Q. What were the subjects of your prior expert |
| 22 | A. I do. So, I am the current chair of the board of |  | engagements? |
| 23 | the Albany Academies. |  | A. They were business valuation and financial |
| 24 | Q. And what are the Albany Academies? |  | accounting. |
| 25 | A. The Albany Academies are a boy school and a girl |  | Q. Were you qualified, as an expert, in those case? |
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|  | school that are a private school in Albany New York, about |  | A. I was. |
|  | 210 years old. | 2 | Q. Were any of those cases in New York State Supreme |
|  | And, I have served on the Board of Trustees for 14 | 3 | Court? |
|  | years. I believe this is my 14th year on the Board of |  | A. They were. |
|  | rustees. | 5 | Q. New York County? |
|  | And I have held positions of audit committee |  | A. Yes. |
|  | chair, finance committee chair and also on the finance | 7 | Q. Do you remember the Judge who was presiding in |
|  | committee, I have been on the subcommittee that managing the |  | that case. |
|  | endowment for the school. |  | A. I believe it was Judge James. |
| 10 | Q. And what is the budget of the Albany Academies? |  | Q. And were you qualified as an expert in that case? |
|  | A. It's about $\$ 18$ million a year. |  | A. Yes, I was. |
| 12 | Q. And, so what was your role again in the budget -- | 12 | Q. Has a Court ever declined to qualify you as an |
| 13 | A. So, I was first the chair of the finance and audit |  | expert? |
|  | committee simultaneously. Then some New York State |  | A. No. |
|  | regulations changed and one couldn't be chair of both. So I | 15 | MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we move to qualify |
| 16 | chose chair of the finance committee. | 16 | Professor Lewis as an expert in the field of |
| 17 | With the finance committee, I was overseeing the | 17 | accounting? |
| 18 | budget, working with the accountants who prepare the tax | 18 | THE COURT: Accounting generally? |
| 19 | returns for institution, signing those returns -- because, | 19 | MR. WALLACE: It's the same qualification we |
| 20 | the finance chair was also the treasurer of the institution. | 20 | had for Mr. Flemmons. So, the field of accounting. |
| 21 | As the audit committee chair, I would work with | 21 | THE COURT: As we had from Mr. -- |
| 22 | the auditors to understand the scope of their work, how | 22 | MR. WALLACE: Flemmons? |
| 23 | their work was going, whether they were having any | 23 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 24 | difficulties with the leadership team, getting the | 24 | Mr. Kise, go ahead. |
|  | information that they needed. | 25 | MR. KISE: So, generally, just accounting? |


Q. It's in engineering that's your degree.

THE COURT: Slow down.
Q. It's in engineering?
A. In my opinion, it is in accounting.
Q. I didn't ask what your opinion is. I asked what the piece of paper says. The piece of paper says, "engineering."
A. It says, "Concentration: Accounting." It says, "Administrative and Engineering Systems."
Q. You have no experience preparing, reviewing or using compilation statements in practice, correct?
A. Very brief time in public accounting in the early 1990s.
Q. So, thirty years ago I believe you testified that you were a member of the audit staff not as a CPA, you were like a clerk?
A. I wasn't a clerk. I was a staff auditor.
Q. You weren't a CPA?
A. No.
Q. That's the sole extent of your experience with practical accounting?
A. Well, we discussed what I currently do. And my --
Q. You're a teacher. I am talking about your
profession as a practicing accountant?
A. As practicing accountant, yes.
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Q. You are a professor at practice, with no experience in the practice of accounting?
A. Some experience.
Q. The limited experience you described thirty years ago?
A. Yes.
Q. You mentioned some peer reviewed publications.

Describe for me -- what year was the Journal of Legal Economics publication?
A. 2002, I believe.
Q. 2002. What year was the Journal of Business Valuation article?
A. I believe -- there were two. So, I'm trying to do my CV from memory. But, I would say 2007 and 2009 or ten. Somewhere in there.
Q. So, in the last ten years, it's fair to say you haven't published anything, in a peer review journal or otherwise, that relates to personal financial statement or compilations, correct?
A. Personal financial statements and compilations?

Yes, correct.
Q. Your last two publications -- I believe you made reference to this, maybe it is the last three, I have two, one from 2021 -- that relates to understanding taxpayer identification numbers; is that correct?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. And the summer of 2021 ; is that correct; sound about right?
A. Yeah, there are two in there; one of them is effectively representing low income taxpayers and the other is understanding alternate tax ID numbers.
Q. Representing low income taxpayers has nothing to do with the issues in this case, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Tell me, again, what, exactly, is a Professor of Practice? Explain that to me.
A. So Cornell has three different tracks for faculty; they have research track faculty, who have a lower teaching load and a very high requirement for producing academic research.

They have the track that I am on, which is the Professor of Practice track. And that has a higher teaching load. It does not carry a research requirement but, it carries a requirement to stay current with professional standards, with current practice.

Because it's the job of those of us who are in the Professor of Practice role to prepare our students, our graduates, to go out and do CPA work, to work in private and public practice.

The third track that Cornell has is what is
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referred to as the lecturer track. Each of those has ranks. I hold the rank of professor which is the highest of those in the Professor of Practice track.
Q. Do I have it correct that your role as a Professor of Practice is not to prepare students to go out into the world and become CPAs?
A. That's what it.
Q. Even though you have no experience at all practicing accounting?
A. We've discussed some experience.
Q. The time isn't on the staffer.

So how does your experience --
Are you familiar with Professor Godwin

## G-O-D-W-I-N?

A. Yes.
Q. He holds a Ph.D in accounting, a Master's and Bachelor's Degree in accounting and he worked, extensively, for a national public accounting firm.

He is a Professor of Practice, right?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you consider yourself more or less qualified to teach students, to prepare students, to go out into the world and become CPAs then Professor Godwin?

MR. WALLACE: Objection, relevance.
MR. KISE: It is his qualification.
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | THE COURT: Sustained. It is not a question |  | requisite skills, knowledge and training. |
| 2 | of whether he's more or less qualified than someone | 2 | He may be a fine administer. It sounds like, |
| 3 | else. It is a question of whether he is qualified. | 3 | om his testimony, that's, exactly, what he is, is he |
| 4 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, we're trying to | 4 | administrators the program and does a great job. And |
| 5 | establish a benchmark and explain for the record and | 5 | he teaches some courses that we'll get |
| 6 | for the Court, hopefully, the differences. | 6 | But, we need to have some benchmark to |
| 7 | The government has proffered this individual | 7 | understand whether or not he's qualified to even have |
| 8 | as an expert. He's just told you that despite the fact | 8 | tle as Professor of Practice so the Court |
| 9 | that he only has what appear to be days -- | 9 | understands what his qualifications, actually, are. |
| 10 | I , probably, have more experience in the | 10 | THE COURT: Perhaps Professor Godwin has 40 |
| 11 | practice of accounting than this witness. I suppose I |  | ars of accounting experience. That's not relevant. |
| 12 | should qualify myself as an expert. | 12 | Objection, sustained. Let's move on. |
| 13 | But this witness has limited experience. | 13 | And please, stop making speeches every time |
| 14 | He's described it for you. And I'm trying to establish | 14 | we have to discuss something. |
| 15 | some foundation, some benchmark as to what Cornell | 15 | MR. KISE: Your Honor, I am not making |
| 16 | believes prepares students to go out into the world to | 16 | eeches. I'm trying to point out, for the record, the |
| 17 | practice CPA. | 17 | point we're trying to make. The government has paraded |
| 18 | So if his got qualifications -- if his | 18 | this individual in here as an expert and -- |
| 19 | qualifications are sufficient, he can recite them. If | 19 | THE COURT: This is a speech. |
| 20 | they're not they are not. I'm just trying to come up | 20 | MR. KISE: We, obviously, disagree. |
| 21 | with some benchmark. | 21 | THE COURT: Proving my point. |
| 22 | MR. WALLACE: Mr. Kise could ask | 22 | MR. KISE: We, obviously, disagree. |
| 23 | factual-based questions without being rude. And he | 23 | Q. How, if at all, does your experience differ from |
| 24 | also -- I don't know why he's asking him to compare to | 24 | Professor Libby at the -- |
| 25 | other faculty members on different staffs. | 25 | MR. WALLACE: Objection. |
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|  | This isn't necessary for qualification. I | 1 | MR. KISE: I'm trying to understand how his |
| 2 | don't see how any of this is relevant to whether this | 2 | experience differs. I didn't ask him to compare |
| 3 | witness has information, has knowledge and experience | 3 | hisself. |
| 4 | that is useful to the Court. | 4 | Q. I'm trying to understand how your experience |
| 5 | THE COURT: I'm only ruling on the | 5 | differs, at all, from Professor Libby's. |
| 6 | objection. The question was, basically, how does his | 6 | THE COURT: Objection sustained. |
| 7 | experience compare to another professor -- Godwin or | 7 | MR. WALLACE: Mr. Kise is now questioning |
| 8 | something -- at Cornell. | 8 | the witness. He's not sitting at the table giving |
| 9 | I am sustaining the objection. The question | 9 | speeches. This is -- every objection gets answered |
|  | isn't whether he is more or less qualified than someone | 10 | with an extensive speech. It is inappropriate. |
| 11 | else. The question is whether he is qualified. | 11 | MR. KISE: I'm not sure what it has to do |
| 12 | Q. Are you equally qualified, as Professor Godwin, to | 12 | with me sitting there or coming over here. You had the |
| 13 | prepare students to go out into the world and practice | 13 | same objection over there. I don't know if that |
| 14 | accounting? | 14 | changes my physical locus. |
| 15 | MR. WALLACE: Objection. We're not offering | 15 | VOIR DIRE |
| 16 | him as an expert to go -- objection. Sorry. | 16 | BY MR. KISE: |
| 17 | MR. KISE: The government is offering him. | 17 | Q. Have you published any books in accounting lik |
| 18 | And they have touted the fact that this individual is a | 18 | this book? |
| 19 | Professor of Practice. And they are parading that all | 19 | A. No |
| 20 | around the courtroom that he's a Professor of Practice. | 20 | Q. You used this book in your course materials, |
| 21 | I'm trying to get to the point that he really | 21 | though, yes? |
| 22 | doesn't have to the qualifications even as a Professor | 22 | A. Which book do you have? |
| 23 | of Practice within his own school. He's not there. |  | Q. The Libby book on financial accounting. |
| 24 | We need to have some comparison here to |  | A. I have used it. |
|  | demonstrate that this individual doesn't possess the | 25 | Q. This is your colleague, Professor Libby, correct? |

A. Yes.
Q. You've used that book?
A. I have.
Q. What are you teaching right now? I understand that you are planning to teach an on-line course in managerial accounting in Winter 2024; is that correct?
A. I do that every winter term.
Q. Describe, for the Court, how, if at all, that relates to the issues in this litigation; managerial accounting.
A. That course, specifically, doesn't. The courses I just concluded, in the Fall term, certainly do.
Q. Okay. Is that the course you mentioned, the module, that has a module or partnerships?
A. Advanced financial accounting.
Q. I believe your testimony was there was a mini-pieces on ASC 274, correct?
A. It has a section on it.

THE COURT: Slow down.
MR. KISE: I'm trying to get us out of here.
THE COURT: Well, the reporter has to take
it down whether you want to get out of here or not.
Q. I believe your testimony was that it has a
mini-piece of ASC 274, correct?
A. Correct.
things that they will encounter in an accounting context with regard to those technologies and topics.
Q. I mean, that's all lovely. But, what does it have to do with the issues in this case, from your understanding, about personal financial statements, compilation reports?
A. The advance financial accounting course would have more of that. Within the systems course, we discuss the types of analytics that are performed in different levels of service because, it is an accounting course. So that's built into the discussions.
Q. So maybe -- would you call it, sort of, a drive by associated with the issues; a tangential relationship to the issues in this case?
A. Yes, it's one of the things we discussed.
Q. That course we keep coming back to, that's the one with the module on partnerships, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. The mini-piece. All right.

So, let me ask you, I didn't quite catch it.
At the Albany Academy, where you're on the Board of this school, did you say an $\$ 18$ million budget or $\$ 18$ billion budget?
A. No, I wish; 18 million.
Q. 18 million. Okay. How, if at all, does that experience compare with the multibillion dollar -- analyzing
Q. In the fall term, I looked at several of your course offerings. And what I have come up with are cost accounting and management control, in the Fall 2021, systems in analytics and accounting in the Spring of 2021, cost accounting and management control in the Fall term of 2020, managerial accounting in the Spring term of 2020, managerial reporting in the Fall term of 2019, managerial accounting in the spring of 2019.

I mean, I'm just going back through your course offerings. It seems that that's more of your focus. Is that fair to say; the cost accounting and management controls are more --
A. You have missed a few in there. Those are some of the courses I teach.
Q. Which ones did I miss?
A. Systems and analytics courses that I teach.
Q. Sorry. Systems and analytics accounting?
A. Yes.
Q. How, if at all, does that relate to -- it says
here that the topics include big data, data visualization, optimization tools, block chain and AI.

Maybe it's me, I am confused. How does that relate to the issue in this litigation.
A. Those are all applied in an accounting context to
prepare students, who are going out into practice, for the
the financial statements of a multibillion dollar international real estate empire?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Does this go to qualification?

MR. KISE: Yes. How does it qualify him to render opinions in that industry?

MR. WALLACE: My objections are to the Court. I'm not asking Mr. Kise to answer a question.

THE COURT: Sorry. I lost the train of thought.

MR. WALLACE: Mr. Kise is responding to me. I am saying my objections are to the Court. We're supposed to address the Court.

MR. KISE: I did address the Court. I'm just standing here next to you, so you feel more close to me. Maybe I should sit over there.

Do we need a read back?
THE COURT: Is there --
MR. KISE: I think there was a question.
THE COURT: Was there an objection to question?

MR. WALLACE: How is it going to qualifications at this point?

THE COURT: What was the question?
MR. KISE: Right. We'll need a read back.
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| :---: | :---: |
| THE COURT: Read back, please. <br> MR. KISE: I can rephrase it so we can move. <br> Q. How, if at all, sir, does your experience, on the <br> Board of the private school of Albany Academy, render you qualified to opine about matters relative to the personal financial statements and compilations associated with a multibillion dollar international real estate corporation? <br> A. So I deal with the same accounting issues. Many accounting issues, like, say audits are audits; whether they are the audits of a very small entity or the audits of a more extensive one. A lot of it is scaling. And understanding those issues is important. <br> The audit is that top level of service that an accounting firm offers, in terms of assurance, in compilations are at the bottom. <br> Q. You don't perform any audits? <br> A. I do not. <br> Q. You haven't since 30 years ago when you were an audit staff, correct? <br> A. That's correct. <br> Q. I'm not sure you answered my question. How does your service -- strike that. Is it your position that anyone with any background in accounting is qualified to render opinions in a case like this; involving a multinational, multibillion | CROSS-EXAMINATION <br> BY MR. KISE: <br> THE COURT: You're completely misinterpreting, mischaracterizing. He had a very, very varied career. <br> Witness, would you agree? You have done a lot of different things? <br> THE WITNESS: I agree. <br> THE COURT: Mr. Kise, please don't ask him as to each thing he did. How does that help you? I'm no assuming that everything he did qualifies him as an expert. He answered your question. The -- but I'm not allowing -- is it your position that anybody that knows anything about accounting can be deemed an expert. That's a question for a judge. Not for a witness. <br> MR. KISE: Okay, Your Honor. What I am trying to get to is not whether every piece of his background qualifies him as an expert. I'm trying to find out whether any piece. See, we're on the same page for once, last day of trial, maybe. We are on the same page. Any aspect of his background. So far, the only thing I've heard -THE COURT: I don't need a speech. <br> MR. KISE: Any piece. <br> THE COURT: Let me suggest this. Finish the voir dire. Ask him questions, then you can make a speech. MR. KISE: Okay. |
| ```Lewis - Plaintiff - Voir Dire/Mr.Kise Page 6666 dollar real estate empire? As long as you have some walking around understanding of accounting, that's sufficient for you to be an expert in your opinion? MR. WALLACE: Objection. We're not asking this witness to opine on his own qualification. MR. KISE: Yes. THE COURT: Objection sustained. It's a silly question. MR. KISE: It is not a silly question. Does he believe himself qualified? I am trying to explore the limits of his qualification in his own mind. If this witness just testified that his service on the board of a private school with $18 million budget -- THE COURT: You are completely misinterpreting, mischaracterizes. (Whereupon, the following proceedings were stenographically recorded Shameeka Harris.)``` | E. Lewis - by Plaintiff - Voir Dire (Mr. Kise) <br> Q So the master of professional studies program you mentioned that. That's a ten-month program, correct? <br> A It is a one year program, yeah. <br> Q Ten months? With four modules in ten months? <br> A Yes. <br> Q It's for nonbusiness majors? <br> A No, it is -- the master of professional studies in <br> management, yes. It's for students who majored in something <br> other than business and then want a graduate business degree. <br> Q And is that program designed for a focus on accounting? <br> A No. <br> Q Okay. <br> MR. KISE: Just give me one minute, Your Honor. <br> I'm making sure I covered all of these. <br> THE COURT: Sure. <br> MR. KISE: Nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you. <br> We can give the speeches tomorrow morning, perhaps, or do you want them tonight? <br> MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, we renew our request to qualify Professor Lewis as an expert in the field of accounting. <br> THE COURT: I don't see the need for a speech, <br> Mr. Kise. Do you want two minutes or something, go ahead. <br> MR. KISE: I will do less than two minutes, Your <br> Honor. Again, the witness placed on his own testimony the |

1 only thing he identified that he is even remotely relevant
in his experience to testify as an expert in this case, I mean, I am sure that he does a wonderful job for Cornell in the areas that he teaches and practices in. That's not the question. The question is whether he's qualified to render opinions in a case of this nature with these issues. And the on thing in his background, the only thing he has mentioned is one module in a course that he teaches on a rotational basis which, in his own words, contain, quote, a mini piece on ASC 274. The rest of his experience is broad but irrelevant in the accounting sense, and it is no different than a general surgeon coming in to provide the Court testimony upon plastic surgery. They cut me off that might be a reason.

So, Your Honor, his qualifications, while they may, again, render him qualified in a different accounting context, in this case, they've -- the Government has identified absolutely nothing. In the last ten years, he has no publications. His service on the board of a private school, while admirable, is irrelevant and immaterial to his qualifications here.

The journals that he's mentioned that are peer review journals, although we can locate no citations to his publication, are from more than a decade ago. Anything that he's published recently, peered review or otherwise, relates
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if it seems that this particular -- the witness's particular expertise in accounting would not cover that and, obviously, I'll give whatever weight I want to give to his opinions. So I think --

MR. KISE: Your Honor, just one point for the record, this is precisely my point, cost accounting is a completely different field. So you're saying he is teaching courses in accounting, yes. He may be great at cost accounting. I took cost accounting. I hated cost accounting. It has nothing to do with personal financial statements. It has everything to do with how management, cost factors. It is accounting but it is no different. At all events, you deemed him qualified over our objection and we'll -- we will take it up on question by question basis as you direct.

MR. WALLACE: I am just going to say I really hope we don't have question by question because we just heard from days from a professor at NYU who says NYU doesn't teach ASC 274. The issue is the field of accounting. Your Honor, I -- given the hour, should we start the substance of this testimony tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Yes, at 10 o'clock.
MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And I'll direct the witness, witness, witness don't discuss this case or your testimony or
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1 to taxpayer identification numbers involving representations of low income taxpayers. Again, while that's admirable, it is completely irrelevant and immaterial to the issues in case and it does not render him even remotely qualified.

It is as if saying, as I mentioned before, because I have an accounting degree that I obtained 30 years ago, four years ago now, that I am somehow qualified to render opinions in this case. It's beyond absurd that the Government would proffer this witness when right at Cornell they have Professor Livy (Phonetic) who would be arguably qualified sitting in the office right next to this individual.

So, the reason clearly that they brought this witness in here is because there's not anyone in the actual recognized profession that would come in and sustain the opinions that they're asking of the witness. So he is not qualified and his -- it does have nothing to do with, A, the trier of fact.

THE COURT: Mr. Kise, in your case, accountings law was laws game so you've probably made the right career choice. Mr. Kise, you're asking him about all of these courses, managerial accounting. I don't know, whatever else accounting, everything but something accounting. It sounds like accounting to me. I hereby deemed him an expert but, obviously, the defendants can object to particular questions
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| 6671:19 | 6609:21 | 6573:19;6610:20 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 274-10-55-1 (1) } \\ & 6546: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4,390,000,000 (1) } \\ & 6488: 14 \end{aligned}$ | 6 |  |  |
| 28 (1) | 40 (31) |  |  |  |
| 6465:18.5 | 6465:9.5;6502:9,15; | 6 (2) |  |  |
| 293 (5) | 6503:22;6504:14,16; | 6515:16;6583:3 |  |  |
| 6556:19,22;6564:20; | 6506:2,11,19;6507:1,7, | 6,000 (2) |  |  |
| 6568:4;6583:3 | 12,13;6508:1;6521:8; | 6609:22;6621:12 |  |  |
| 296 (1) | 6546:11,13;6561:10; | 6/30/2014 (2) |  |  |
| 6466:15 | 6562:10,12;6583:5,13, | 6487:19;6489:2 |  |  |
| 29th (2) | 16,17,22;6584:2,10; | 60 (1) |  |  |
| 6579:3,15 | 6585:18;6587:14; | 6465:12 |  |  |
| 3 | 6633:8;6659:10 | $\begin{aligned} & 637(5) \\ & 6609: 12 ; 6610: 3,5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 6465:9 | 6611:14,23 |  |  |
| 3 (3) | 4252 (1) | 6K (1) |  |  |
| 6538:8,11;6576:12 | 6649:8 | 6622:19 |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 3,000,000,867 \\ 6487: 22,24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 438 \text { (1) } \\ & 6583: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 7 |  |  |
| 3,867,000 (1) | 45 (1) |  |  |  |
| 6487:22 | 6597:8 |  |  |  |
| 3:15 (1) | 452564/2022 (1) | 6572:22 |  |  |
| 6576:12 | 6465:5 | 701 (1) |  |  |
| 30 (9) | 46 (1) | 6587:10 |  |  |
| 6480:23;6515:15; | 6541:18 | 75 (2) |  |  |
| 6525:4;6529:12; | 4K (1) | 6491:22;6561:5 |  |  |
| 6600:24;6601:5; | 6622:19 | 750 (1) |  |  |
| 6642:10;6665:18; | 4th (2) | 6466:5.5 |  |  |
| 6670:6 | 6573:18,22 | 75MM (1) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 30,000(16) \\ & \text { 6605:25;6606:6,16, } \end{aligned}$ | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 6618: 15 \\ & 7662(1) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 19,20;6607:13; |  | $6632: 2$ |  |  |
| 6609:21,25;6610:21; | 5 (3) | 787 (1) |  |  |
| 6611:2,11;6613:20; | 6583:1;6598:2; | 6513:10 |  |  |
| 6620:19;6622:18; | 6627:24 | 788 (2) |  |  |
| 6627:10,15 | 50 (10) | 6504:3;6564:15 |  |  |
| 302 (2) | $6483: 3,3,4,10,12,14$ | $798 \text { (3) }$ |  |  |
| 6487:5;6583:8 | $16 ; 6489: 8,16 ; 6557: 22$ | $6561: 23 ; 6562: 1 ;$ |  |  |
| 31 (1) | 500 (1) | 6564:14 |  |  |
| 6516:21 | $\begin{gathered} 6483: 5 \\ 500,000(1) \end{gathered}$ | 8 |  |  |
| 6606:3 | $\begin{gathered} 0,000(1) \\ 6483: 10 \end{gathered}$ | 8 |  |  |
| Min-U-Script ${ }^{(8)}$ |  | Cheryl-Lee Lorien |  | (31) 25-32-99 |
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expert discovery.
A. I believe -- the depositions were in the original document.
Q. They are not listed but, were there depositions that you reviewed?
A. Yes.
Q. What depositions did you review?
A. Donald Binder, Jason Flemmons, Frederick Chin, Eli

Bartov. A few others. But, there was -- there were depositions and then there were trial transcripts. So, that was kind of a combination.
Q. We'll get to those in a moment.
A. Probably Weisselberg. I don't know if that was a deposition or a --
Q. Do you remember reading testimony from Mr. Weisselberg?
A. I do.
Q. Did you review the summary judgments in this action?
A. I did.
Q. Have you reviewed materials from the trial record in this action?
A. Yes.
Q. What materials, from the trial record in this action, did you review?
A. City trial transcript from testimony.
Q. From what witness do you recall you reviewed trial transcripts?
A. I recall Mr. Weisselberg, McConney, Birney, Hawthorn, Haigh, of course, Flemmons, Chin, day one of Bartov. Those are the ones that come to mind.
Q. You were also in the audience for the second day of Mr. Bartov's testimony?
A. Third day. I think I missed day two in there.
Q. I would like to focus, primarily, on the testimony of Mr. Flemmons today.

Professor Lewis, did you review Mr. Flemmons testimony concerning ASC 274 ?
A. I did.
Q. Just to reorient us, very briefly, what is ASC 274 ?
A. ASC 274 is the portion of the -- ASC is Accounting Standard Codification. It's the portion of the accounting standards produced by the FASB that governs and determines how personal financial statements are presented.
Q. I'm going to hand you a copy of a document that's already in evidence.

MR. WALLACE: Just for ease of reference, this is a document that has been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1609.
Q. Professor Lewis, do you recognize this document?
A. Yes. This appears to be, at least -- I would have to look through it but -- ASC 274.
Q. If you want to take a minute, feel free to flip through it.
A. Yeah. Yes, this is ASC 274 in written -- in printed form.
Q. If I could direct your attention to page 2 of 21 in this document, under the heading "Basis of presentation of personal financial statements" -- actually, let me stop there for a moment.

What is a basis of presentation?
A. It's really quite like it sounds. It determines how -- in this case, elements within the Statement of Financial Condition are going to be presented. It gives a description of the definition that's going to be used.
Q. And, where is the basis of presentation for personal financial statements to be found in this document?
A. This is --

MR. KISE: Objection, your Honor. We have now gone from just background and context of his generally view to matters which, clearly, could and should have been presented in their direct case.

This is going through the standards of ASC
274, how they apply, what they are. There's no reason

1 that this should be presented on rebuttal.
MR. WALLACE: Yes, there is.
MR. KISE: This witness shouldn't be allowed to testify about general items with respect to the standards. If he's rebutting something specific, let him rebut something specific.

As you know, we don't think that any of his testimony should be admissible on rebuttal. But, certainly, here we're now getting into sort of -- as the witness just did -- narrative on "What the standard is." And the next question is going to be "How does this work." The questions asked. So none of this is proper rebuttal. And, we would object to it.

THE COURT: Overruled without prejudice. I am waiting to see where it goes at this point.

MR. WALLACE: Do I need to explain then. Because, there is a broader explanation. We didn't put in any evidence of ASC 274. The defendants did. And this witness is responding to that.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, that's exactly the point. They had the obligation to introduce that evidence as part of their case and chief. That's the governing standard.

And if they wanted to demonstrate that they had proven therapy case in accordance with the
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1 governing standard, then they should have done that in
governing standard, then they should have done that in their case.

They can't wait -- back to the Court of Appeals cases. They can't lay and wait and see what we do and then respond to it.

Just because the evidence is contradictory to our evidence doesn't make it rebuttal evidence. It's still evidence that was necessary to be presented in their case and chief. Because, that is the governing standard as they admit -- freely admit as this witness testified. This is the standard that applies.

As part of their prima facie case, they would need to establish that we violated this standard in some shape, form or fashion.

If they didn't do it in their prima facie case, it's too late to bring in a witness to say, now, that we violated it. They would have had to have done that in the prima facie case.

THE COURT: If they had to do that in the prima facia case or "prima facia case" and they didn't and you moved for a directed verdict then, I should have granted it. But I didn't because, I didn't think they had to.

Now, commonsense tells me that if you bring in something in defense case that they haven't
mentioned, they have the right to rebut it. That's
what a rebuttal witness is. So, overruled.
Mr. Wallace if you want to --
MR. WALLACE: I will just proceed with my exam, your Honor, if that's okay.
Q. Mr. Lewis, I believe the question that was pending, prior to the objection was, "and, where is the basis of presentation for personal financial statements to be found in the document?"
A. So, you will see it there underlined and highlighted, not by me or you, but by the FASB in 274-10-05-2.
Q. The underlined highlighted language you are referring to is?
A. Estimated current value.
Q. Can you read the sentence that includes that highlighting into the record?
A. The primary focus of personal financial statements is a person's assets and liabilities. The primary users of personal financial statements normally consider estimated to current value information to be more relevant for their decisions than historical cost information.
Q. Professor Lewis, I'm going to direct your
attention to Exhibit 1715 which is already in evidence. I don't know that you are going to need to have a copy of it
though. If we could turn to page two. Is this the links definition of estimated current value you were referring to in the passage you just read?
A. Yes. In the codification -- the digitized codification that was --

THE COURT: It was "yes" or "no," question.
The answer was yes.
A. Sorry.
Q. And so, could you tell us, could you provide for the record, what is the definition of estimated current value?
A. Should I read it?
Q. Sure.
A. For an asset, the amount at which the item could
be exchanged between a buyer and seller each of whom is well-informed and willing and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell.
Q. Could you explain for us, in your own words, what estimated current value means in connection with the preparation of a personal financial statement?
A. So --

MR. KISE: Objection. In his own words or the words on the page or in conjunction with the authoritative guidance that's included in the ASC 274?

THE COURT: You want it in his own words?

MR. WALLACE: Correct. That was the question.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll allow it. I am still waiting for how this is a rebuttal. Obviously, I will give you some leeway.

One way to approach this, I would think, would be to tell us all what you're rebutting and then rebut it. But I will allow you, again, some leeway.

MR. WALLACE: Fair enough, your Honor. I will get there momentarily.
Q. So, again, could I ask, Professor Lewis, in your own words, what is --

MR. KISE: Just to be clear, your Honor, just on that last point, we have a standing objection as to this entire line of questioning with respect to it being improper rebuttal. Just so I don't have to keep interrupting because, I know that bothers Mr. Wallace.

THE COURT: I hope the rest of your staff takes note of the idea of a standing objection. I have been sort of holding back on this one but, the redirect of Bartov, I believe, arguably, opened the door to all sorts of rebuttal. So we'll keep that for what it's

Continue.

MR. WALLACE: I will try to move through this, expeditiously, your Honor.
Q. Professor Lewis, could you please explain for us, in your own words, what estimated current value means in connection with the preparation of a personal financial statement?
A. So estimated current value is -- because it's the basis for presentation, it's the top of the hierarchy of this standard.

So any candidate quantitative result for estimated current value needs to be measured against this definition. FASB created this rational measure against which any quantitative result can be then measured as a final step to determine whether, in fact, an estimated current value has been arrived at.

MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. This is clearly now the province of their direct case. This is, exactly, their theory. This has been their theory all along. You heard it from prior to summary judgment.

Now they're coming in, for the first time, on rebuttal and introducing a witness to support the core theory in their case.

This is wholly improper -- wholly improper -that they can bring in, on rebuttal, something that
they clearly had to have done in their case and chief; irrespective of whether the defense introduced evidence on it.

It doesn't matter whether we introduced evidence on it. That doesn't open the door to rebuttal because we introduced evidence on it.

Because we established our defense doesn't forgive them for failing to carry their burden in the first instance.

What this witness just testified to is their core position. That is not rebuttal. That is their core position.

There is no case law, of any kind, that supports the introduction of this sort of testimony on rebuttal.

THE COURT: I will rest on what I said earlier, which I think summed it up very well if I say so myself.

I do have a different objection of sorts, the question was, "What does this mean in your own words?" It's so clear. I must have learned it in accounting 101 in law school.

I think I've said, maybe once, I am so used to cases where the objection is "the document speaks for itself." Here, the document speaks for itself.

And, frankly, I didn't really get much out of that last answer.

MR. WALLACE: Sure. And your Honor, I appreciate that. And in fact, I'm taking the criticism.

Some of this about the GAAP was undisputed on summary judgment and is in the record. I am laying a foundation for how Professor Lewis was going to respond. I was, literally, about to get the testimony from Mr. Flemmons that he is going to respond to.

THE COURT: Let's do it.
MR. WALLACE: I seem to be getting interrupted quite frequently.
Q. Professor Lewis, as part of your view of

Mr. Flemmons' testimony about ASC 274, did you consider his testimony concerning estimated current value?
A. I did.
Q. Professor Lewis, if we could put up on the screen an excerpt of Mr. Flemmons' testimony that begins on page 4258 of the record. I am just going to use this to orient you.

Mr. Flemmons is directed to page 13 of ASC 274.
Do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. If we look down, just a bit, on his answer that
begins on Line 15 , he refers to the standards under paragraph 55-1A.

Are you familiar with that section of ASC 274 ?
A. I am.
Q. Are you familiar with Mr. Flemmons' testimony
concerning ASC 27410 sections 55 ?
A. Yes.

MR. WALLACE: If we could put up 1609 back on the screen.

If we can go to page 13 of 21.
Q. I'm going to just cut through and ask you, what is reflected in section 55-1?
A. Section 55-1, as it's named there, is the
implementation guidance. And it discusses methods that may
be used to create candidates for estimated current value; candidate quantitative results.
Q. And, what does it mean it's the implementation guidance of ASC 274 ?
A. Here FASB has given some instruction as to allowable methods that can be used within the standard.
Q. I believe you mentioned, in your prior response, there's a hierarchy.

Can you explain what you meant that there's a hierarchy in responses.
A. 551 isn't the end of the any analysis because, the

I'm going to strike the answer as I don't understand it. So, without prejudice, I just didn't understand. You're trying to summarize or explain and didn't explain it for me.

So, without -- I'll just deny without prejudice Mr. Kise's objection because, I am striking the answer. I don't understand it. And, I want to. Q. Professor Lewis, could you give us an example, a practical example, of how the hierarchy, that you referred to in your earlier answer and in the answer that was just struck, plays out in the real world?

MR. KISE: Objection; foundation. This witness has no experience in the real world. He's testified to that. He has no experience in the real world. He's testified to it.

MR. WALLACE: He's been qualified as an expert.

MR. KISE: But not in the real world.
MR. WALLACE: That is not what the Judge said.

Your Honor, at this point, he's just disrupting the exam. He's saying the same things over and over again. This is out of order. This is ridiculous.

MR. KISE: It is not out of order. If there
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definition is important here. If you take a number that results from one of the methods described in 551 , and then don't compare it to the definition, you've, effectively, written the definition out of the standard if you don't say, "I've arrived at a number. Does that number fit this definition of the willing buyer and willing seller of estimated current value."

Failing to do that, you've said, "Hey, that
definition isn't important. I just do one of these definitions and then I am done. And I take the number." When, in fact, the definition is part of the standard. In fact, it is the basis of presentation for the standard.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I move to strike that answer. That was not responsive. That was just his opinion. He didn't cite to anything in the guidance that speaks to hierarchy. The word "hierarchy" doesn't appear anywhere.

This is just a Professor of Practice with no practical experience talking about how this is supposed to be done in the real world without any citation nothing. It's completely nonresponsive.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor --
THE COURT: The definition of "estimated current value" speaks -- as I said before -- I believe it speaks for itself. We were going fast here.
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is a witness that has no experience, in the real world, on any of these issues, of any kind -- none, other than as a clerk back 30 years ago -- then he has no foundation to render the opinion. None.

MR. WALLACE: You've been overruled on that.
MR. KISE: I haven't been overruled on this question.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. I'll take the answer for what its worth. I hope I understand it better this time.

There is also a standing objection. I realize there is general standing objections and there's specific objections. But we understand you don't approve of this line of questioning but, I am allowing it.

MR. WALLACE: Your Honor, I am just going to say, at this point, defendants spent four days going over, quite frankly, extremely repetitive testimony from their expert and we didn't disrupt and you allowed in.

We would like to lay the foundation for what this witness has to say without interruptions from Mr. Kise on every instance. I've asked the witness -That wasn't an invitation for more speeches. MR. KISE: Your Honor, if the witness has a
proper foundation to support the question then, he can get it in. If he doesn't, he doesn't.

THE COURT: You are making the same objection I just overruled. And please, Mr. Kise, try to keep the objections -- I know you have to do what you have to do. Try to keep them to a minimum so we don't break the flow.

And, whether you agree with this or not I
believe I gave a lot of leeway to your witnesses. Agree or disagree.

MR. KISE: Do you really want me to answer?
THE COURT: No. The record will speak for itself, right?

Hopefully, without further interruption,
Mr. Wallace continue.
Q. Professor Lewis, do you have a real world example for how the hierarchy you describe works?
A. It might be helpful to give a practical example that I think whether you have accounting expertise or you don't have accounting expertise you can understand.

Let's say I have a Derek Jeter rookie baseball card from the 1990s, early or mid 90s, and it is part of my personal assets. So I need to establish an estimated current value.

When I bought it, I bought it in a pack that
included some bubble gum and some other cards. So if I look at the cost of that card, it might be ten cents. So the cost basis for my card is ten cents.

But, I can look at recent sales of that Derek Jeter rookie card and see that depending on the card and condition it may be tens of thousands of dollar -- worth tens of thousands of dollars.

And that's another one of these choices. So
choice (c) would be, in 551, would be the cost, the historical cost; which I maybe adjust for inflation between 1995, let's say, or six. And now, it might get up to a dollar.

So, I got a dollar as my adjusted cost basis or I've got tens of thousands of dollars as my recent transactions, similar assets, similar situations. They can't both be the estimated current value. They're not equally valid as estimated current value.

How do I figure out which one is the estimated current value or better? I put them both to the "willing buyer/willing seller test."

I know because, I can look on-line and see what the card is selling for; where the willing buyers and willing sellers are exchanging.

I wouldn't be willing to sell the card for a dollar. I'd get a lot of willing buyers at that price.

But, I know where the willing buyers and willing sellers who are informed by the cards are.

And that's why you have to take the method or methods. You can run as many methods as you would like. But, a result has to be compared to the definition in order for it to be an estimated current value. That's a practical example.
Q. If we could put up trial transcript page 4276 and 4277. Professor Lewis, I would like to direct your attention to an exchange with Mr. Flemmons that begins on 4276 of the trial transcript.

This is at the end of an exchange, with the Court and then with counsel, about the potential magnitude of variations and valuation using the methods laid out in ACS 274.

Are you familiar with this testimony?
A. Yes, I am.
Q. If we could look at the section that begins on line one of 4277 there's a question.
"So even though the values derived from the various methods are very different or could be very different, would using any one of these numbers comply with ASC 274?"
"ANSWER: Yes.
"QUESTION: And even though the values
derived from the various methods could be very different, would using any one of the different numbers still comply with GAAP?
"ANSWER: That's correct. ASC 274 is GAAP. So to the extent that GAAP is the selected convention to prepare values for personal financial statements, one would be complying with GAAP if using one of these methods."
Q. Professor Lewis, do you agree with Mr. Flemmons' analysis?
A. I do not. I agree ASC 274 is GAAP on this. But, based on -- the example that $I$ just gave is a good example of why I don't agree that you can just use one of the methods. And then, you have an estimated current value when you are done.
Q. So, describe in more detail what is the nature of your disagreement?
A. I don't believe you are complying with GAAP just by using one of the methods.
Q. What do you still need to do?
A. You need to compare the result of that method back to the definition of estimated current value.
Q. The definition you are referring to is the willing buyer/willing seller definition?
A. That's correct.
Q. Does ASC -- let me ask -- let me cut through this. Who has, ultimate, responsibility for determining estimated current value?
A. The issuer of the statement.
Q. And, in the examples, you have seen, from this case, who is the issuer?
A. Mr. Trump or the Trust depending on the year.
Q. Who has ultimate responsibility for compliance
with the standards in ACS 274 ?
A. The issuer.
Q. In this case, who is the issuer?
A. Again, Mr. Trump or the Trust.
Q. Okay. I think way can leave it there. If we could move away from the responsibilities of issuer and talk about the responsibilities of the accountant.

Professor Lewis, did you review testimony from
Mr. Flemmons concerning the obligations of an accountant in the course of the compilation engagement?
A. I did.
Q. Just to orient us, could you tell us what
standards govern the work of an accountant in the performance of compilation engagement?
A. These are standards produced by AICPA. The current standard is ARC 80. The standard that was in effect, from 2009 through 2015, was AR 80.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. WALLACE:

Q Professor Lewis, this is another excerpt of
Mr. Flemmons' testimony. I am going to direct your attention to an exchange that appears at the bottom of page 4381. There is a series of questions. They're withdrawn and then begin on line 24.
"QUESTION: What obligation would the accounting firm have in placing an asset value on the statement of financial condition based on the information that's been provided in the supporting data?
"ANSWER: Well, the accounting firms's obligation is to understand the methods that are being used and consider the appropriateness of those methods, you know, based on the assets that are being valued and ultimately determine whether they are a GAAP compliance method. And to the extent they are not, the standards require them to perform followup inquires, perhaps, include language in their accountants's report if those amounts are reported in the manner that is inconsistent with GAAP."

Do you agree with Mr. Flemmons' opinion here?
MR. KISE: Objection, Your Honor. I just want to note for the record back to the general objection, on the specific obligation, here, specifically. Again, this is subject matter that was covered in their direct case with
E.Lewis - Plaintiff - Direct/Mr.Wallace Page 6698
Q. I believe Mr. Flemmons testified about this. But, are there any substantive differences between AR 80 and ARC 80 that would affect the work performed by the accountants, at Mazars, in compiling Donald Trump's Statement of Financial Condition between 2011 and 2020 ?
A. No.

MR. WALLACE: If we could put up page 4381 and 4382 of the trial transcript.
(Whereupon, the following proceedings were stenographically recorded Shameeka Harris.)

Mr. Bender and I believe with Mr. Kelly.
Certainly, if they had evidence to offer regarding the governing standards and the accounting firm's obligation, that must have been done on their case-in-chief. It is inappropriate rebuttal.

THE COURT: Overruled. It's what your witness testified and they are trying to rebut it.

MR. KISE: But, Your Honor, he testified in response to their case and they -- their case didn't include their expert by their own choice. They had Mr. Kelly talk about this. I believe they had Mr. Bender talk about this and so that evidence was there and Mr. Flemmons testified in response to their case. The fact that they chose not to call an expert in their case isn't the defenses' fault or problem. It's their fault.

THE COURT: They did call an expert, correct? Mr. --

MR. KISE: Not an accounting expert. They called McCarty. McCarty was the expert. Yes, alleged expert.

THE COURT: Can anybody remember what Mr. McCarty was deemed an expert in?

MR. WALLACE: Banking.
MR. KISE: I believe it was banking, Your Honor. I don't think it was accounting. In all events, they should have called this accounting expert particularly on this

1 subject which was clearly covered in their case-in-chief.
THE COURT: You are still making the same basic objection. It should have been in their direct case. I don't think it had to be. The law of the cases it didn't have to be. Let's move on. Objection overruled.

MR. KISE: Can we get a read back of the question, please?

MR. WALLACE: No, it was an objection to the answer so...

## CONT'D DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WALLACE:
Q You did not agree with Mr. Flemmons in this analysis? THE COURT: That's leading.
Q To re-orient us to a question that was interrupted, do you agree with Mr. Flemmons' analysis?

A There are a lot of pieces and opinions, lots of and's in there but in general I do not.

Q Well, we can take it in the pieces. Why don't we start with the section you agreed that an accounting firm performing a compilation has an obligation to understand the methods that are being used?

A Yes.
Q What does it mean that they have that obligation?
A It means that as part of a client acceptance process,
25 the firm goes through, do we understand the framework that we're
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being asked to engage and do we understand the methods that the client, the issuers are telling us that they are using to abide by, to comply with the framework. They have an obligation to do that.

Q Okay. Move down. Do you agree that an accounting firm performing a compilation has an obligation to consider the appropriateness of the methods being used by the issuer?
A Only to the extent that they are among the allowed methods.
Q Looking next, do you agree that an accounting firm performing a compilation has a obligation to ultimately determine whether the methods being used by the issuer are a GAAP compliant method?
A No.
Q Why not?
A Because GAAP compliance is the responsibility of the issuer.
Q And is that responsibility spelled out anywhere in the performance standards?
A It is.
Q And where would you find this?
A So, if ARC 80, there are two places where it is kind of
right up front in that document. It is sections 2 and 4,
paragraph 2 and 4.
Q Can we pull up -- I have a spare copy. It is already
in evidence, Defendant's Exhibit 26.
Professor Lewis, do you recognize this document?
A Yes, this is ARC Section 80.
Q And so what are the sections you're referring to?
A These are paragraphs 2 and 4.
Q And could you just read paragraph two into the record?
A Sure.
"Because a compilation engagement is not an assurance engagement, a compilation engagement does not require the accountant to verify the accuracy or completeness of the
information provided by management or otherwise gather evidence to express an opinion or a conclusion on the financial statements."
Q And what is the significance of that language?
A This sets the foundation for the relationship between the issuer, the client and the accountants.

Q I believe you said the other -- what was the other paragraph you --

A Paragraph four.
Q And that's under the heading objective?
A It is.
Q Could you read that into the record, please.
A Yes. That's a long one but, yes.
"The objective of the accountant in a compilation engagement is to apply accounting and financial reporting
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expertise to assist management in the presentation of financial statements and report, in accordance with this section, without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for them to be in accordance with the applicable financial reporting framework."
$Q$ And what is the impact of that language?
A Again, that's reinforcing that the accountants are not in a compilation obligated by the these performance standards to determine whether the statements materially comply with GAAP. GAAP is the financial reporting framework here.

Q If you could turn to page five of this document and this time I'll read this into the record. I just want to direct your attention to the heading, "The Accountant's Knowledge and Understanding of the Entities Financial Reporting Framework." It's item 12 and it states, "The accountant should obtain an understanding of the applicable financial reporting framework and the significant accounting policies intended to be used in the preparation of the financial statements."

What is the significance of -- what does that paragraph mean?

A So this reinforces what we read essentially in paragraph two. So it's saying here's what the accountants' responsibilities are. This is what they -- this is what they need to do.
$1 \quad$ Q And does anything in this paragraph affect the issuer's ultimate responsibility for the contents of the financial statement?
A No.
MR. KISE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q Does this paragraph have any affect on the issuer's responsibility for the contents of the financial statement? MR. KISE: Same objection. Leading. THE COURT: Sustained.
Q How, if at all, does this paragraph have an affect on the issuer's ultimate responsibility for the contents of the financial statement?
A It has no affect.
THE COURT: That technique worked for Mr. Suarez.
It can work for you too.
MR. KISE: That's why I didn't object.
Q If we look at the next section under the heading
compilation procedures, there are four paragraphs number 13 through 16. How if, in any way, does the guidance in this section affect the issuer's responsibility for the contents of the financial statement?
A It does not change the issuer's responsibility. The entirety of ARC 80 is the accountants' responsibilities. The issuer responsibilities are not impacted by it.
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Q What obligation do these compilation procedures impose on an accounting firm with respect to ensuring compliance with GAAP?
A No responsibility for ensuring compliance with GAAP. That goes back to paragraph two.
Q Are there any circumstances under which an accounting
firm might need to address GAAP compliance in a compilation engagement?
A Yes.
Q And what would those circumstances be?
A If while doing the compilation, not doing extra work or investigation, but during the compilation something comes to the attention of the accountants, they have that it could be a GAAP departure. It could be a problem. They have the responsibility to bring that issue to the issuer, to the client.
Q What comfort, if any, should an issuer take from the fact that an accountant performing a compilation engagement did not identify a specific GAAP departure?
A Absolutely none.
Q What comfort, if any, should -- I'm sorry. Withdrawn. What comfort, if any, should the user of a financial statement take from the fact that an accountant performing a compilation engagement did not identify a specific GAAP departure?
A Same. None.
$Q$ If we could put up on the screen a demonstrative that has been marked defendant's demonstrative one and go to page two.

Professor Lewis, do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q And do you understand what's being reflected on this chart?
A Yes, I do.
Q And what do you understand as being reflected on this chart?
A On the vertical, the Y axis as it were, there is a level of assurance, what level of assurance is the accountant providing in the various levels of service that accountants can provide with regard to financial statements.
Q I'll just ask do you know who prepared this chart?
A I think this came from Mr. Flemmons' report.
Q I want to ask you about the three boxes on the row
18 marked compilation. Does that -- does the analysis in that row 19 make sense to you?

A Not really. I mean, certainly, the no assurance part 21 makes sense. The fact that the check mark is in compilation and 22 we are down at the bottom of the $Y$ axis and there is no 23 assurance given. But in a compilation, the accountants don't 24 characterize their compilation report, that would go to their 25 report and the character of it.
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1 In higher levels of service, really in the audit, they characterize their report based on what they found. But in the compilation, they are not trying to find anything so they can't characterize their findings.

Q When you say characterize findings, you're referring to the boxes that are listed as adverse, qualified, nonqualified; is that correct?

A That's correct.
$Q$ If we can focus on the $Y$ axis, what level of assurance is offered in a compilation engagement?
A No assurance. It's not even considered to be an assurance engagement.

Q And what level of assurance is offered in a review engagement?
A In a review engagement, accountants offer a level of assurance that's referred to as negative assurance where, essentially, they are saying we did some procedures, not extensive procedures, and we didn't find any problems. They are not saying there aren't problems there, it's just we didn't find any. It's called negative assurance.
Q And what level of assurance is offered in an audit engagement?
A In an audit engagement, the auditors offer positive assurance. They are saying in their statement this is what we found.
$1 \quad Q$ And what kind of work is involved in a audit engagement to reach that level of assurance?

A It's very extensive. It's doing things like verifying
the accuracy of supporting documentation, tracing documents back
to source documents, tracing numbers in the financial statements
back to individual transactions, lots of sampling, an extensive
amount of work goes into the audit.
Q And in a nonengagement, what happens if the auditor misses a GAAP departure?

A It is a failure, a performance failure.
Q And in review engagement, what happens if the accountant misses a GAAP departure?
A There it is not clearly a performance failure as long as they completed all of the procedures that are normally part of a review.
Q And what about a compilation engagement? What happens when an accountant misses a GAAP departure?
A They are not responsible for finding GAAP departures, so it is not a performance failure to not find a GAAP departure.

Q What if there was a GAAP departure that was obvious but they didn't catch?
A If it was obvious to them and they didn't catch it, then that is a performance failure by the accountants.
Q Who ultimately makes the decision about which level of
25 review to select?
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A The issuer.
Q And in general, is one level of review more expensive than another?
A Yes, the audit is very expensive compared to the compilation.
Q And the standards for the compilation are consistent
with what you reviewed in ARC 80; is that correct?
A That's correct. Those are the standards for a compilation.

Q Are the standards that are laid out in AR 80 and ARC 80
reflected anywhere in the communications between the audit firms
of Mazars and Whitley Penn and the Trump Organization? MR. KISE: Objection. Leading. THE COURT: Well, it is leading but it is a preliminary. Is there any way to rephrase so I don't have to rule.

MR. WALLACE: Okay.
Q Professor Lewis, how, if at all, are the standards laid out in AR 80 and ARC 80 reflected in the communications between accounting firms Mazars and Whitley Penn and The Trump Organization?
A They can be found in two places. So they're in the
23 engagement letters between the accountants and the issuer and
24 they are also in the management representations letter where the
25 issuer acknowledges those -- the responsibilities of the various
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1 parties.
Q Anywhere else?
A So this is a communication in general not necessarily
between those two, but in the accountant's compilation report, they are repeated again.
Q Professor Lewis, are you familiar with Mr. Flemmons' testimony to the effect that it was a red flag that certain
future revenues in the supporting data spreadsheets were not discounted to present value?

A Yes.
Q And why was that a red flag?
A Well, each of the methods allowed under the
implementation guidance in 274 requires that amount to be brought to present value so the amounts to be discounted.

Q And does that constitute a GAAP failure of not bringing future amounts to present value?

A Yes. It's a technical GAAP failure, written right in the standards, written right into the standards.

Q In the course of your report, did you identify any
valuations in the statement of financial condition that failed to discount revenues to present values?

MR. KISE: Objection. In the course of performing his duties, he simply relied on the valuation numbers from others. So this witness have absolutely no basis to make that determination in the absence of testimony from other

1 experts that are not in the trial record at all. Hirsh and
2 Korologos, he based his opinions on those. He has no 3 independent valuation analysis and he didn't conduct any.

MR. WALLACE: It is not a valuation question. It is a disclosure question.

MR. KISE: No, it is a valuation question. In order to determine whether or not there is an adequate disclosure, you have to look at the valuation and make an assessment. The only way he was able to do that is to rely on what other people that the AG spoon fed him and told him. He didn't conduct any independent analysis of any kind and because they've chosen not to call those experts they can't backdoor that into the case.

THE COURT: Mr. Wallace.
Q Professor Lewis, did you independently review --
THE COURT: You're withdrawing the last question?
MR. WALLACE: If I can lay some foundation for the basis of his opinion, but I was moving on to something else. I can lay further foundation if Mr. Kise thinks it is inappropriate because he is relying on other people. It is not the case.

THE COURT: You are withdrawing the last question? MR. WALLACE: Sure.
THE COURT: You say now you're going to lay the foundation. Okay. Go ahead.

Q Professor Lewis, did you conduct analysis in the course of preparing your report as to whether or not values in the statements of financial condition had been discounted to present value?

## A I did.

Q And so did you independently form a view as to whether or not there were valuations in the statement of financial condition that were not discounted to present value?
A Yes, I did.
Q And did you agree with Mr. Flemmons' testimony that there were amounts in the statements of financial condition that had not been discounted to present value?

A I agree with Mr. Flemmons on that, yes.
MR. KISE: Objection. Mischaracterizes
Mr. Flemmons' testimony.
THE COURT: My objection, if this is a rebuttal, why are we asking him if he agrees.

MR. WALLACE: I am going to the next point. My examinations are being continually interrupted so if they make --

MR. KISE: It is continually interrupted based on improper questions and lack of foundation. Your Honor, this witness didn't conduct an independent analysis other than to rely on those two reports. There is no way that he could have conducted a valuation analysis without relying on those
two reports based on the language of his own reports. It is not possible.

THE COURT: I disagree. Overruled.
Q In your view, was the GAAP departure of not discounting amounts to present value disclosed in the statement of financial condition?

MR. KISE: Objection. Leading.
MR. WALLACE: It is a foundational question.
MR. KISE: That's a substantive question, Your
Honor. That's a substantive question.
THE COURT: Can we do -- can we do the Suarez maneuver.
Q How, if at all, were the GAAP departures disclosed in the statement of financial condition?
A The failure to discount was not disclosed in the statements of financial condition.
Q Okay. I'd like to pull up a section of Mr. Flemmons' testimony concerning the use of undiscounted cash flows in valuing golf clubs. If we can pull up pages 4415 and 4416 of the trial transcript.

Are you familiar with this testimony from Mr. Flemmons? A Yes.
the appropriate disclosure of this methodology -- well, strike that. Withdrawn.

If we look at the first question and answer starting at line seven, the question and answer beginning at line seven.
"QUESTION: Was the lack of apparent discounting disclosed by the supporting data to Mazars?
"ANSWER: In my view, it is clear on the face of the -- this document that there is no discounting. Again, with the very remote caveat that is included in some of these values, that my conclusion from reviewing this document would be that there is no discounting applied."

The next question.
"Would professional accounting standards require Mazars to provide guidance to management on the appropriate disclosure of this methodology?
"ANSWER: Yes. Under professional standards, their obligation is to review the support for obvious GAAP departures. I believe Mr. Bender testified to that as well."

Let's stop there. Do you agree with Mr. Flemmons that under professional standards an accountant performing a compilation engagement has an obligation to review supporting documents for obvious GAAP departures?
A So according to the performance, no, I don't.
Q Why not?
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## A Because --

THE COURT: He's learning.
A So according to the performance standards, what the accountant has the obligation to review is the financial statements, the final statements. So it says very clearly in their performance standards that they will not verify accuracy of supporting information. So if, on the other hand, if they noticed something without doing an investigation, they have an obligation to point it out to the issuer but they don't have an obligation to review supporting standards for evidence of GAAP departures, even obvious ones.

Q If we continue in his answer, he states, quote, and to me, this constitutes an obvious GAAP departure that the accountants that are performing the compilation would then have duties under professional standards to followup on. I believe I referred earlier to the requirement to perform inquires, resolve the discrepancies either through the form of having adjustments made to apply the discounting in this case or to include the disclosure in the accountant's report.

Stop there. Do you agree with Mr. Flemmons that under professional standards an accountant performing a compilation engagement is required to perform inquires and resolve discrepancies if they identify a GAAP departure?

A If they identify a GAAP departure, the first step is to 25 bring that to the issuer and then that produces a decision point

## based on the issuer's response.

THE COURT: That was a yes or no question.
A Yes, they have some responsibilities.
Q Now, let's focus on the last section. It says, "I will say that we have seen other examples of lack of discounting and Mr. Bender, as I have said before, testified that the absence of discounting he believed was subsumed in the disclosure in the accountant's report related to the lack of using ECV for a substantial part of the assets reported in the statement of financial condition."

Professor Lewis, do you have an understanding of what opinion Mr. Flemmons is offering here?
A So, I don't. The way I read it he is not actually offering an opinion. He's relating what he believes Mr. Bender testified to.
Q Well, it says as I said before. Let's see if some additional context might be helpful. If we can pull up pages 4339 and 4340 from the transcript. This is another excerpt of testimony from Mr. Flemmons. And as you can see, this is a section at the top addressing the 2014 statement of financial condition in line four. Mr. Flemmons is then asked on line 17:
"QUESTION: And what does it mean in a GAAP departure, does -- excuse me, in a GAAP disclosure when it says that, quote, several of the values have been based on future interests that in some instances are not for fixed or
standards.
Q That's in ASC 274?
A ASC 274-10-35.
Q If we can -- so, if we could put up, split screen,
Plaintiff's Exhibit 1609 and turn to page seven. If we look toward the top of the page, there's a heading that reads, "future interests in a similar assets."

This is the section you are referring to, Professor Lewis?

A It is, yes.
Q I am happy to read this one into the record. It is 3511 "Nonforfeitable rights to receive future sums that have all of the following characteristics shall be presented as assets at their discounted amounts. A, the rights are for fixed or determinable amounts. B, the rights are not contingent upon the holder's life expectancy or the occurrence of a particular event such as disability or death; $\mathbf{C}$, the rights do not require future performance of service by the holder. Under this provision of ASC 274, how is the future interest to be presented?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, I've given Mr. Wallace some latitude. We are so far beyond the bounds of proper rebuttal. All of this, including the SOFC disclosure that he just read into the record, was all the subject of their case-in-chief, the Bible disclosures. I am not sure whether, based on the testimony that he put up, whether
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1 determinable amounts and in some instances are based on
21 quoted in the question we were just looking at?
22 A Yes, that's -- that language is the same.
23 Q And are you familiar with this disclosure regarding
24 future interests in the statement of financial condition?
performance of future services?"
I'll stop there. Are you familiar with the
language that's being quoted here?
A Yes. My guess -- I don't want to guess. I believe that comes from Section 35.
Q If we could put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 730. We have a hard copy for you. This is already in evidence. If you could turn to page two of the document. If you look at the fourth paragraph on page two, I'll read it into the record. It states, "Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that in order to reflect amounts to be received in the future at estimated current values the rights must be nonforfeitable, fixed and determinable, and not require any future services. As discussed in notes 3, 4, 5, and 6, several of the values expressed have been based on future interests that in some instances are not for fixed or determinable amounts and in some instances are based on performance of future services."

Do you see if this is a section of the SOFC that was quoted in the question we were just looking at?
A Yes, that's -- that language is the same.
Q And are you familiar with this disclosure regarding future interests in the statement of financial condition?
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1 we're rebutting Mr. Flemmons' testimony or Mr. Bender's 2 testimony because both of them testified the same way. 3 That's what the provision that Mr. Wallace just read. So 4 are they now using their expert on rebuttal to rebut what 5 Mr. Bender said about these disclosures or about what

Mr. Flemmons said? I mean --

THE COURT: You said they said the same thing.
MR. KISE: How do you rebut your own witness? How do you use an expert -- this is the whole reason that this rebuttal is so highly inappropriate because we're wandering off now. Are there no limits of any kind? It appears in this courtroom there are no limits of any kind on what they can do on rebuttal. Let's just -- let's ask whatever question we want even though this was all fully available to them. Under the guise of rebuttal now, we are just back filling, back filling and back filling a giant hole in their case. It his highly objectionable and respectfully just flat reversal. Everything is not but this certainly is.

MR. WALLACE: It is only reversible if the judge relies on it.

THE COURT: I'm lost. And I'm not sure -- again, this may not be Mr. Kise exact objection but you jump from A to $B$ to $C$ and can you put this together and tie it more to Flemmons' testimony?

MR. WALLACE: I am trying to get there, Your Honor.
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This is an insane standard. I am being continually interrupted. I would like a chance to just present the witness, and we are getting to the point eventually. I guess I need another speech before we continue.

MR. KISE: It is not another speech and petulant and outburst don't really play well in a courtroom. I am not sure you know that, Kevin. The bottom line is I am just as confused. I didn't add that because I figured no one would listen. There is just no guidepost here at all. We are just wandering around in the abyss of letting this witness testify about anything. It is such an improper rebuttal. I don't see how they are allowed to continue.
(Continued on next page)
now been asked what the purpose is and I'm going to say it.

MR. KISE: Again, your Honor, they're rebutting their own witness? They're rebutting Donald Binder with this witness?

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't really matter whether they're rebutting one of their witnesses and one of your witnesses, as long as they are rebutting one of your witnesses.

MR. KISE: Oh, as long as we can just rebut anyone, let's just pick Donald Bender's testimony and allow this witness to rebut their own witness.

THE COURT: I believe there are some strictures and limitations on rebutting your own witness. But, let me ask the plaintiffs a question.

Was Mr. Bender, whom you called, a friendly or a hostile witness?

MR. WALLACE: We did not cross examine him as a hostile witness. He was someone we called on our case and chief.

THE COURT: So are you impeaching your own witness?

MR. WALLACE: We didn't feel the need to as part of our case and chief. They decided to present an expert to say that it was correct. But, we are

THE COURT: You can raise that on appeal. Objection, overruled.

And, you can cross examine, Mr. Wallace.
Continue.
MR. WALLACE: I'm trying to find my place again, your Honor. I believe we read into the record this section of 3511 .
Q. Are you familiar with this section,

Professor Lewis?
A. I am.
Q. So under this provision of ASC 274, how is a future interest being presented?
A. At discounted amounts as the first sentence says.
Q. Based on the disclosure in the SOFC that we just looked at, in what way does the inclusion of future interests fail to comply with GAAP?

MR. KISE: Your Honor, how does that rebut
Mr. Flemmons' testimony? He's asking about the SOFC and whether or not the SOFC complies with this standard. That's not rebuttal. That's just testimony.

MR. WALLACE: Disclosure has nothing to do with discounting the present value. So, to the extent that that's the opinion of Mr. Flemmons and that's the opinion of Mr. Binder, they are wrong. And we're trying to get the witness to explain that. So, I've
rebutting what Mr. Flemmons said.
MR. KISE: This is the whole point. This was in their case and chief. If they thought Mr. Bender's testimony wasn't correct then, in their case and chief, they should have called this witness to explain that and fix it in their case and chief not backfill it out.

THE COURT: Objection overruled. I want to hear what they have to say. That's my general principle at trials. You know, I want to hear what people have to say. Then, I will do my best to reach the correct solution, resolution. Objection, overruled.

Again, you have a standing objection. You don't like any of this, Mr. Kise. That's clearly understood. And, you will have a chance to cross examine. You will have a chance to argue in posttrial submissions. You will have a chance to argue on appeal if you choose to do so. Let's move on with fewer interruptions.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WALLACE:
Q. Professor Lewis, does the disclosure that we were looking at delay, in any way, the failure to discount present value?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. There is no mention of discounting or present value in the disclosure.
Q. And, future interest and similar assets, do those need to be discounted to present value even if they are included in financial statement?
A. They do.
Q. Does ASC 274 provide any guidance on what kinds of assets are encompassed within the kinds of future interests being addressed in section 3511 ?
A. It does.
Q. Where would that be found?
A. There is a link to it if you have a live document.

But, it's in a much later section. Its down in the fifties.
MR. WALLACE: Okay. If we could open up the highlighted section to show a couple of lines below here please?
A. So there at 55-7.

MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to page 14 of Plaintiffs Exhibit 1609.
Q. If we look at section 55-7, under the heading
"future interest and similar assets" it states, "This
paragraph provides implementation guidance on the
application of paragraph
above; separately carved out. And, they don't fit any of the characteristics.

MR. WALLACE: If we could turn to the trial transcript, pages 4339 and 4340.
Q. If we look at the question at the bottom of page 4339, starting on-line 17 :
"QUESTION: And what does it mean in a GAAP departure does --

MR. WALLACE: I will strike that. We already read that section. I will just go to the answer of that section where Mr. Flemmons says, "Well, again, this is a fairly broad disclosure that could cover a variety of different issues with regard to GAAP accounting.
"I know that one of them that this addresses -- and, this was also consistent with Mr. Bender's deposition testimony -- related to certain assets that were not discounted even though the future cash flows was used as a basis for determining the estimated current value. Um, but I think there are several items that would fall under this umbrella." Q. To the extent Mr. Flemmons is expressing an opinion that the disclosure language we were reviewing, concerning future interests related to certain assets that were not discounted, do you agree with that opinion?

I will stop right there. Is that the section you were just looking at?
A. That's where we were, yes.
Q. It continues, "Non-forcible rights that may have
those characteristics include all of the following (a) guaranteed minimum portions of pensions (b) vested interest in pension or profit sharing plans (c) deferred compensation contracts (d) beneficial interests in trust (e) remainder interests in property subject to life estates (f) annuities and (g) fixed amounts of alimony for a definite future period."

Do you know, one way or the other, if the Statements of Financial Condition, for Mr. Trump, valued any assets similar to what's being described in this section?
A. The only one might be (d) the beneficial interests in trust.
Q. Mr. Trump's Trust was a revocable trust; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. In your opinion, does future anticipated real estate developments fit within the category of future interests as that term is used in ACS 274?
A. No.
Q. Why not?
A. Because those are dealt with in the section just

## A. No.

Q. To the extent that Mr. Bender, at his deposition, may have been expressing an opinion that the disclosure language we were reviewing, concerning future interests related to certain assets that were not discounted, do you agree with that opinion?
A. So, I don't know what they tried to do. But, no, it doesn't. It doesn't disclose that.

MR. WALLACE: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Any redirect?
MR. WALLACE: Cross.
THE COURT: I'm sorry. How could I forget that.

MR. KISE: Your Honor, given that you've allowed this witness to come in as a rebuttal witness, we had no idea prior to the time he took the stand, exactly, what he was going to say, we would ask the Court for a break so that we can, at least, organize our cross.

THE COURT: Sure. How long a break do you want?

MR. KISE: Hold on one moment.
If your Honor would give us until 11:45. I'm assuming, your Honor, based on your ruling yesterday or our discussion yesterday, you're not allowing us to
call our rebuttal witnesses?
THE COURT: Correct. 11:45, plaintiff, is that reasonable for them to --

How long do you think the cross examination will be?

MR. KISE: We should be done by the lunch break if not before.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. 11:45.
MR. WALLACE: If it is before the lunch
break, no objection then.
THE COURT: That's the important part.
Okay. 11:45, everyone.
MS. FAHERTY: Judge, you need to admonish the witness.

THE COURT: Mr. Lewis, please do not or I order you not to discuss this case or your testimony or anything related to it during the long break.
(A recess was taken.)
(After the recess the following occurred:)
COURT OFFICER: All right, Part 37 is back
in session. Please, be seated and come to order.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's start the cross examination of the rebuttal witness.

Please, proceed.
MR. SUAREZ: Thank you.
E.Lewis - Plaintiff - Cross/Mr.Suarez

Page 6730
CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q. Mr. Lewis, you've been paid about 150,000 in this case so far?
A. That's what I billed. Yes.
Q. That's what you billed?
A. Yes.
Q. That's about 40 percent of the 360,000 a year you make as a Professor of Practice at Cornell?
A. Yeah.
Q. How much were the taxpayers billed for the work of the lady from Kroll that has been sitting here in the audience.
A. I have no idea.
Q. How much has Kroll charged the taxpayer for your testimony in this case?
A. Just my billings I believe.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. As far as I understand, just my billings.
Q. So Kroll is not making a markup on you?
A. No.
Q. How do you know that?
A. They haven't indicated that.
Q. Okay. And how much time has Kroll spent preparing
your opinions in this case?
A. They didn't prepare my opinions.
Q. How much time has Kroll spent assisting you in preparing your opinions in this case?
A. I don't know.
Q. At your deposition, do you recall telling me that you had been retained by Kroll in this case?
A. I do.
Q. Why didn't you disclose that in your initial report?
A. My client, in my view, is the Office of the Attorney of General.
Q. When I asked you at your deposition, you said it was Kroll. So who asked you to change that.
A. I wasn't asked to change it. Really, you pointed it out. So --
Q. Okay. So, it's my fault that you recognized that the Attorney General and not Kroll is your client?
A. I recognize the Attorney General as my client. When you asked who retained me, I didn't take it in a legal sense.
Q. Because you're not a lawyer?
A. Correct.
Q. You don't practice law?
A. That's true.
Q. You don't practice accounting either?

## Q. So then --

MR. SUAREZ: Well, we're just trying to understand here, Judge, what the basis for his statements on the record are today.

THE COURT: That's fine but, don't ask the same question four times Which we already knew from testimony yesterday I believe.
Q. Then, you would say that your work at Cornell, teaching accounting, qualifies you to interpret accounting standards?
A. I teach students how to interpret accounting standards.
Q. Are you aware that your students describe you as the worse professor in the Cornell Accounting program?
A. I understand what rate my professor is in cherrypicking.
Q. And that your students say that your lectures lack any useful content for assignments or professionally, so you don't learn much?
A. Did you pick any good ones for balance?
Q. Yeah. Here's a good one. It says, "It's a good class if you want to chill and not learn much."

Does that count as a good one?
A. I wouldn't say so.
Q. Or how about the one that says, "He doesn't teach.
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know that it is zero?
A. I don't know that it is zero.
Q. But you don't know how many you have?
A. No.
Q. If we pull up ASC 274 -- I just want to clarify
what the basis is for certain statements that you made here in Court today under oath.

And we can do that by --
MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up the same one that
Mr. Wallace used so there is no confusion.
MR. WALLACE: 1609.
Q. 1609. And here we go. If we can turn to --

Let me ask you a simple question. Would you agree, Professor, that regardless of what method or technique one uses to value properties for personal financial statements, at the end of the day, the resulting value must be the amount at which the asset would be exchanged between a willing seller and a willing buyer, both well informed and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell?
A. Yes, that's the definition.
Q. That's, actually, not the definition, Professor.

MR. SUAREZ: Let's pull up the definition.
A. Okay.
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| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | He only likes to share mid-age crisis life in the class?" |
| 2 | You claim -- |
| 3 | MR. WALLACE: Objection; no question. |
| 4 | THE COURT: You need to ask questions not |
| 5 | make speeches. |
| 6 | Q. Is that reflective of your experience practicing |
| 7 | accounting? |
| 8 | A. Absolutely, not. |
| 9 | Q. All right. So, in connection with your practice |
| 10 | of accounting, as a teacher at the Cornell school, you |
| 11 | haven't published any works related to ASC 274, correct? |
| 12 | A. Correct. |
| 13 | Q. In fact, when you go to Google scholar, you can't |
| 14 | even find the name Eric Lewis; is that right? |
| 15 | A. That's not right when I go there. |
| 16 | Q. In reference to you I should say. There are other |
| 17 | "Eric Lewis." |
| 18 | A. I am in Google Scholar. And a bunch of other -- |
| 19 | Q. A bunch of other ones? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. You don't have 14,000 citations in Google scholar, |
| 22 | do you? |
| 23 | A. No. |
| 24 | Q. Do you know how many citations you have in Google |
| 25 | Scholar? |

MR. SUAREZ: Can we pull up the definition?
Q. You see "estimated current value for an asset.

The amount at which the item could be exchanged between a buyer and a seller?"
A. Yes.
Q. Does the distinction between the term "could" and "would" mean anything to you, Mr. Lewis?
A. Sure. So, "could" indicates a possibility as does "would."
Q. So, if we go to the glossary definition of estimated current value -- we could pull that up from Plaintiff's Exhibit 1609.

Let's just clear something up here. If we go to page two, you said, "The primary focus of personal financial statements is a person's assets and liabilities. And the primary users of personal financial statements normally consider estimated current value information to be more relevant than their historical cost information."

Do you see is that?
A. I see it. Yeah, you read the first lines of paragraph two.
Q. You see here where it says, "Estimated current value" you said it was bold and underlined by FASB?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what a hyperlink is?
"could" rather than "would." And, so, sorry.
Q. You're the expert in accounting or that's what
we've been asked to believe, right?

## MR. WALLACE: Objection.

MR. SUAREZ: Withdrawn.
Q. If you were to tell your students -- if a student
were to come in and tell you that the definition, provided in the glossary, of "estimated current value" is "the amount at which an item would be exchanged," would you tell that student that he's wrong?
A. We might go look at that together.
Q. Okay. And, is that what the ASC 274 says? Does it say, "would" or does it say, "could?"
A. It says, "could."
Q. So if you used the term "would" it would be an incorrect recitation of what the ASC guidance says, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. You would agree with me --

THE COURT: Hold on.
Witness excuse for a minute.
Question for Mr. Suarez or anyone on the
team. What difference does it make whether it's "could" or "would" in your opinion.

MR. KISE: It's not my opinion. It's the
opinion of actual accounting experts the "would" and

## "could."

As you heard Professor Bartov and, I believe, also, Mr. Flemmons testify that this witness is, apparently, seemingly that "There is no distinction between 'could' and 'would'." Perhaps it's a demonstration of his lack of knowledge. But the point is that the FASB --

THE COURT: Let me jump in. Is this "'Could is more future oriented and 'would' is more present oriented?"

MR. KISE: Right. "Would" is today. And, if you see, we're going to pullup the definition of "fair market value" and compare them side by side.

The definition of "fair market value" means, "What is it today?"
"Estimated current value" which, as you've heard the testimony, is a, completely, different concept. It is, as Professor Bartov calls it, the stepchild. It is a very different concept.

And it doesn't incorporate terms of "currently" and "today." It is a different concept all together. That's why it has different rules and different guidance.

That's why FASB has gone to the trouble of creating two separate definitions. If it was all
supposed to be the same, they would have just used
"fair market value."
THE COURT: To me it is. I'll leave it at that. Let's get the witness back.

Mr. Suarez, do you agree with Mr. Kise?
MR. SUAREZ: Yes, sir, your Honor.
MR. ROBERT: We always agree with Mr. Kise.
MR. KISE: Not always.
Q. Now, Mr. Lewis, are you familiar with the other definitions in the accounting standards for value?
A. I'm familiar with fair value.
Q. What's the definition of fair value?
A. You could -- we were just looking at it. So, I'm not going to recite it as a memory test. If you want to show it to me --
Q. In your own words, what's the definition of fair value?
A. Fair value looks to establish a market-based approach to valuing an asset by looking at three different levels; one, is an active and engaged market, the second level is a model and the third level is an estimate. The word-for-word definition, I won't try to recite.
Q. That's a different value than -- excuse me.

That's a different measure of value than estimated current value, correct?
A. It is a different definition.
Q. ASC 274 doesn't use fair value, does it?
A. ASC 274, no, it does not.
Q. And, just like the FASB was precise when it wrote the definition of estimated current value, it wasn't an accident that they didn't just use the definition of current value?
A. I think they tried to be precise.
Q. So if we go back to ASC 274 and we look at the implementation guidance at ASC 274-10-55, you would agree with me that there is implementation value at 55-7 for future interests and similar assets, right?
A. Yes, that's what 55-7 discusses.
Q. And there is also implementation guidance for real estate, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And the implementation guidance for future
interest and similar assets is different than the one for real estate, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But in your mind or at least according to what you've told the Court today, you believe that those with the glossary definition of estimated current value?
A. With the definition, yes, I don't think that 55-6
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and 55-7 -- because, they're specialty areas, they both go back up to 55-1.
Q. They don't both go back up to 55-1.
A. According to you?
Q. No, according to you.
A. No, they do go back up to 55-1.
Q. You are the teacher and account, right?
A. According to me, they go back up to 55-1. And
these are specialty areas. Four, six and seven say, "If you
have this kind of asset, here are some things you should consider."
Q. And they all have to fit back within the
definition -- is it your view that they all have to fit back within the definition in the glossary?
A. Of estimated current value?
Q. Yes.
A. Absolutely.
Q. I'm sorry?
A. Yes.
Q. Where does it say that?
A. The definition is part of the standard.
Q. Not the question I asked.

Where does it say that in ACS 274 ?
A. In the definition.
Q. Where does it say that?
A. Where it says that assets must be presented at current -- at estimated current fair current value.
Q. You are misunderstanding my question. So I will try to ask it better.

Where does it say, in ASC 274, that the implementation guidance has to be harmonized with the definition in the glossary?
A. Where it says that assets must be presented at estimated current value.
Q. Point it out to me. Because, I don't see where it says what you're saying that it says.

## A. Okay.

THE COURT: Can we put it on the screen.
Q. I understand that there is a different glossary definition. But, where does it say, in this definition, that, the glossary definition overrides the implementation guidelines?
A. In 35-1. At 274-10-35-1.
Q. Where it says, "Personal financial statement shall present assets at their estimated current values and liabilities of their estimated current amounts on the date of the financial statements?"
A. Yes.
Q. Where does it say that the glossary definition trumps the implementation guidelines?
A. That is the glossary. You've got your hyperlink there to the glossary definition that's telling you they are going to be presented at estimated current value.

The implementation guidance tells you how you might seek to establish an estimated current value.
Q. The hyperlink which previously you discussed was FASB's effort to underline, in bold, the glossary definition --
A. In the document.
Q. In the document.
A. You saw how it worked --
Q. So now, if we were to read into the definition the fact that "Because there is a hyperlink, that trumps all the other guidance" --

MR. WALLACE: Objection. At this point, he's just arguing with the witness.
A. In 35-1, it tells you how the assets are going to be presented.

THE COURT: "Withdrawn" since he's answering?

MR. WALLACE: I think he should stop arguing with the witness. But he seems to have answered the question to the extent there was a question.

THE COURT: I'll deem it withdrawn.
So, go ahead.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. SUAREZ:
Q When in the real world -- withdrawn.
You haven't actually been asked to interpret this
definition in the real world outside of this case in a classroom, have you?
A This case in a classroom would be those occasions.
Q You have no real world experience outside of this case
in a classroom as to how that definition is applied?
MR. WALLACE: Objection. Asked and answered and the question is unclear.

THE COURT: Let's stay with the asked and answered.
Q Do you have any other real world experience other than in a classroom and in this case interpreting ASC 274 ?

MR. WALLACE: Objection. Asked and answered.
MR. SUAREZ: I asked him about the specific one and this time I was asking him about generally.

If you would agree with me, Mr. Wallace, if that's the case, I guess I don't need to ask anything further. You want to stipulate to that?

THE COURT: Yes or no, do you want to stipulate?
MR. WALLACE: I am standing on my objection. I am not withdrawing the objection.

THE COURT: You know, I try to be a practical judge. Mr. Suarez, I am giving you all sorts of leeway
because you have promised and Mr. Kise has promised that we would be finished by, let's say, 12:50 or so. By the way, would there be any redirect of the rebuttal?

MR. WALLACE: So far no.
THE COURT: So I am going to be very liberal. It's your time. If you think this is the best way you can use it, go ahead.
CONT'D CROSS-EXAMINATION

## BY MR. SUAREZ:

Q All right. So, can you cite to any accounting
literature that supports your opinion?
A This is the accounting literature.
Q This is your interpretation of the accounting
literature?
A That we're looking at the accounting literature.
Q Can you cite to any scholarly articles that support your opinion?

A No.
19 Q Can you cite to any interpretive guidance that supports your opinion?

A This is the guidance.
Q I understand that that's what you think ASC 274 says.
I am asking you outside of ASC 274 is there any academic work
that supports your opinion?
A I don't believe there is any academic work that doesn't
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1 or contradicts it.
2 Q Not what I asked.
3 A No, I don't know of any.
4 Q Do you know of any guidance promulgated by FASB that 5 supports your opinion?
6 A This is the guidance by FASB.
7 Q Other than ASC 274?
8 A Other than ASC 274, no. The ASC 274 is the guidance.
9 Q Are you aware of any guidance by the AICPA that supports your opinion?

A The AICPA writes guidance on performance standards for accountants.

Q The answer is no?
A They don't write the standards so the answer is no.
Q Would you agree with me that Mazars had an obligation to read and understand the supporting data provided in connection with its preparation of the compilation reports?

A Not the supporting data, not the statement themselves.
Q Would you agree with me that Mazars has an obligation to understand the basis of valuation for each asset listed in the statement of financial condition?

A I believe they have the obligation to understand the basis.
24 Q Yes or no?
25 A Yes.

1 Q Would you agree with me that they must also confirm that the valuation method is consistent with the definition of estimated current value?
A It's not a yes or no answer. I can give a short answer.
Q Yes or no?
A Then no.
Q You know who disagrees with you, Professor, the general counsel of Mazars who sat here and testified exactly the opposite than you did.

MR. WALLACE: Objection. That's not a question. I think if he wants to present the testimony from the general counsel of Mazars, he should do that through the form of a question and an answer and not a representation.

THE COURT: It's stricken because it is just a representation without being a question.
Q Well --
THE COURT: You can try to prove that.
MR. SUAREZ: His inconsistent answer is not stricken. My question as to whether he is aware that Mazars did is, correct?
A I can reconcile it for you if you would like.
Q See here where it says on page 2150 of the trial
transcript, "And you would agree with me that Mazars has an obligation to understand the basis of valuation for each asset
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listed in the statement of financial condition."
A Correct.
Q "And confirm that the valuation method is consistent with the definition of estimated current value?" Do you disagree with the general counsel of Mazars?
A I don't disagree with the second part of it.
Q So you don't disagree that Mazars had an obligation to confirm that the valuation method is consistent with the definition of estimated current value?

A The method that was presented to them as having been the valuation method, I don't disagree with that.

Q That's not what that says.
A That's not what that says.
Q You are reading words into it again?
A So it is either not a complete question or not a
complete answer.
Q He seems to understand it?
A Okay.
Q He is a general counsel of Mazars. He actually works in an accounting firm. Then it says, you would agree that -"you would agree that Mazars has an obligation to confirm that the notes to a statement of financial condition are consistent to the supporting data when preparing an accountant's compilation report, correct?"

Do you agree with that?

A I disagree with that.
Q Okay. So you disagree with the general counsel of Mazars. Perfect. We can take this down.

MR. WALLACE: We are not supposed to say okay at the end of the question. I think perfect is also inappropriate.

MR. SUAREZ: He just impeached your own witness. I think that's pretty perfect.

THE COURT: That's separate. I have maintained, during the whole trial, the questioner should not listen to an answer and then say okay, perfect, yes. Just do the next question. Ask the next question.
Q We can go back to the trial transcript. If I could
just draw your attention back to the question. "You would agree
with me that Mazars had an obligation to read and understand the
supporting data provided in connection with preparing the compilation of a statement of financial condition?"

Do you see that?
A Ido.
Q Did you read the supporting data?
A Some of the supporting data.
Q But not all of it?
A Not all of it.
Q Okay.
THE COURT: Try not to say okay.

MR. SUAREZ: I'm sorry. That wasn't on purpose this time.
Q Let's take a look at ARC 88, Defendant's Exhibit 26 that you just reviewed with Mr. Wallace. Can we take a look at page 4 at section 13. Section 13, compilation procedure says, "The accountant should read the financial statements in light of the accountant's understanding of the applicable financial reporting framework and the significant accounting policies adopted by management and consider whether such financial statements appear to be appropriate in form and free from obvious material misstatements."

Do you see that?
A Yes, I do.
Q Would you agree that that's a standard?
A I agree that that is the performance standards.
Q And would you agree that Mazars had an obligation to comply with that standard?

A Absolutely.
Q And that Mazars, in fact, look at the supporting data?
A This doesn't reference the supporting data.
Q My question is would you agree with me that Mazars, in fact, looked at the supporting data?

A I believe they did. I think that's fair.
Q But you didn't?
A I looked at some of it.
$1 \quad Q \quad$ But not all of it?
2 A Right.
Q So then Mazars would have an obligation to consider if the financial statements are free of obvious material misstatements, correct?
A If they have the appearance of being free of obvious material --
Q They would have an obligation to consider if the
financial statements are free of obvious material misstatements under the standards, do you agree with that?

A No, that's not what it says.
Q That's not based on -- that's based on your real world experience?

A It's based on reading the standards.
Q Okay. Which you have interpreted in the real world how many times other than in this case?

A A whole bunch of times.
Q In the real world outside of your classroom?
MR. WALLACE: Objection. Asked and answered.
THE COURT: Sustained. Asked and answered many times.
Q Did they teach you how to read the standards in
engineering school?
24 A Who's they?
25 Q Whoever you learned -- withdrawn.
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MR. SUAREZ: Give me one second, Your Honor. THE COURT: Sure.
Q Professor, would it surprise you to learn that a Derek Jeter baseball card recently traded for over $\$ 600,000$ ?
A That doesn't surprise me at all.
Q So under your example, you would've got an estimated current value was wrong?

MR. SUAREZ: I withdraw the question. I have nothing further.

MR. WALLACE: No redirect, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Derek Jeter was such an amazing player. It doesn't surprise me. We are excusing the witness. Let's start with that. Witness, you are excused.
(Whereupon, the witness was excused from the stand.)

THE COURT: Any other housekeeping besides the reminder that briefs -- posttrial briefs are due January 5 th and oral argument will be 10 o'clock January 11th. We will be in our regular courtroom 418.

MR. WALLACE: For procedure, I will just confirm the People rest.

THE COURT: I should have asked for that.
MR. KISE: For the record, at the close of their rebuttal case, we, on behalf of all defendants, again, move for a directed verdict. We'll submit the papers as we

1 mentioned on Friday.
As to the posttrial, we plan to do our submissions as we have done to the Court before in the same format. We would just ask for, as I believe, as you did with the summary judgement, that the word limit, if there is going to be a word limit, it be 25,000 words which I think is what we had for summary judgement. I think.

THE COURT: What's the --
MR. WALLACE: No objection to that number. I don't know that the rules call for -- it's not technically. I assume we are putting in findings of fact -- proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. I'm sure whatever word limits the Court would like to use -- I think that -- I think that was built in to my question, Your Honor, or my statement. It wasn't a question with perhaps I should have asked based on Mr. Wallace.

We were planning to proceed as we have done before with filing likes the summary judgement filing or the dismissal papers, not findings of fact and conclusions of law. If the courts want -- it's whatever the Court wants. Some judges find that far more cumbersome to work with than briefs, but I'll leave that to your discretion.

THE COURT: Any opinion on this?
MR. WALLACE: No strong preferences, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I would like findings of fact and
conclusions of law because that's what I'll be doing.
MR. KISE: Then we don't need to deal with word limits, as Mr. Wallace said, there is no word limits associated with those kind of filings that I am aware of. Not that we are going to exceed the number, it is technical point.

THE COURT: 50 pages is a limit.
MR. KISE: I think I would rather go with word limits because pages. I'd rather to go back to the 25,000 words that we had for summary judgement.

MR. WALLACE: No objection to that.
MR. KISE: Probably will work out to be about the same but...

THE COURT: All right. So, what was it? How many words 25,000 words?

MR. AMER: Was there a time for when the filings need to be made.

MR. WALLACE: It was noon, I believe.
MR. AMER: Just to confirm that on the record.
THE COURT: Did we say noon?
MR. AMER: I think we said noon.
MR. KISE: Do we want noon, okay?
THE COURT: Is there still an open matter about the Allen Weisselberg docs that we just recently spoke about?

MR. WALLACE: We need to send Your Honor -- it does
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1 not affect our resting of the case. We'll send you where we left things with the documents. It is immaterial to us closing our case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: That's it.
MR. KISE: I'm not sure about the prosecution, we certainly, as defense, would like to thank the Court for taking all the time that it did in the case. We most particularly would like to thank the court reporters who diligently worked and all of the court officers that are here that expended a considerable amount of time and I think overtime. So on behalf of the defendants, we certainly thank all of them that participated in what is truly an extraordinary effort even in the New York State court system.

MR. WALLACE: At the end of case, we finally found a point of an agreement between the plaintiffs and the defendants. So we share their position.

THE COURT: I think the most amazing part of the case, the one that I was happiness with is that we actually got to trial on October 2nd come hell or high water written in stone. I know how much work that took, all the reports, depositions, motions. And so I wish you all a happy holiday season and see you next year.

MR. WALLACE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. KISE: Thank you.
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(Whereupon, the trial is adjourned until Thursday, January 11, 2024, at 10 o'clock a.m.)
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| 6709:12,17;6715:21; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { addressing (1) } \\ 6717: 20 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6697:23;6732:11; } \\ & \text { 6748:9,11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Appeals (1) } \\ 6682: 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6684:24;6688:15,22; } \\ & \text { 6689:3,6,18;6695:23; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6716:4,21;6752:6 ccountants (11) | adequate (1) | alimony (1) | appear (2) | 6696:4,11;6697:1; |
| 6698:3;6703:16; | 6712:7 | 6726:10 | 6690:17;6752:1 | 6719:2,3,19;6722:11; |
| 6704:8;6706:13; | adjourned (1) | alleged (1) | appearance (1) | 6725:9;6734:11; |
| 6707:13,23;6708:15 | adjust (1) | Allen (1) | appears (3) | $6741: 2.9 .10: 6743: 5 .$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6709:23;6710:23; } \\ & \text { 6716:14;6748:12 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { adjust (1) } \\ \mathbf{6 6 9 4 : 1 0} \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Allen (1) } \\ 6756: 24 \end{gathered}$ | appears (3) 6680:2;6699: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6741:2,3,9,10;6743:5; } \\ & \text { 6745:18;6746:14; } \end{aligned}$ |
| accountants' (2) | adjusted (1) | allow (3) | 6720:11 | 6747:22,23;6748:7,8,8 |
| 6704:23;6705:24 | 6694:13 | 6685:3,8;6723:12 | Appendix (4) | aside (1) |
| Accountant's (6) | adjustments (1) | allowable (1) | 6676:4,5;6677:11,13 | 6676:25 |
| 6704:14;6711:4; | 6716:17 | 689:20 |  | assessment (1) |
| 6716:19;6717:8; |  |  | 6704:6,17;6752:7 | 6712:9 |
| 6750:23;6752:7 |  | 6675:6;6681:3; $6692: 19 ; 6702: 8$ | $6725: 25$ | $34: 1$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { accountants's (1) } \\ & 6699: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 6682:10,10 | 6711:12;6721:12; | 62 applied (2) | 6735:18;6736:2; |
| Accounting (43) | admonish (1) | 6728:15 | 6715:11;6746: | 6740:19;6742:10; |
| 6679:17,18;6687:21 | 6729:13 | allowing (2) | applies (1) | 6748:20;6749:25 |
| 6693:19,20;6699:8,12; | adopted (1) | 6692:15;6728:25 | 6682:11 | assets (22) |
| 6700:3,18,24,25; | d |  | ap | 6683:19;6693:2 |
| 6701:19;6702:5,10; | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { adverse (1) } \\ 6708: 6 \end{array}$ | 6686:19 <br> always (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6680:25;6703:25; } \\ & 6716: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6694:15;6699:15; } \\ & \text { 6717:9;6719:7,13; } \end{aligned}$ |


| 6725:5,10,23;6726:14; | 12,13;6751:13,14; | 6723:16;6728:2 | bubble (1) | 6727:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6727:18,24;6728:5; | 6756:9 | Bender's (4) | 6694:1 | case (52) |
| 6736:15;6737:14; | backdoor (1) | 6720:1;6723:11; | built ( | 6680:14,23;6681:22, |
| 6741:12,18;6743:1,8, |  | 6724:4;6727:1 | $6755: 14$ | 25;6682:2,9,12,16,18, |
| 20;6744:17 | backfill (1) | beneficial (2) | bunch (3) | 20,20,25;6686:17,23; |
| assignments (1) | 6724: | 6726:8,15 | 6734:18,19;6753:17 | 6687:1,13;6697:6,11; |
| 6733:18 | background (1) | besides (1) | burden (1) | 6699:25;6700:9,9,13, |
| assist (1) | 6680:21 | 6754:16 | 6687:8 | 14;6701:3;6712:13,21; |
| 6704:1 | backups (1) | best (2) | buy (3) | $6716: 18 ; 6720: 17$ |
| assisting (1) | 6677:19 | 6724:11;6747:6 | 6684:17;6735:20 | 6723:20,24;6724:3,5,6; |
| 31:2 | balance (1) | better (3) | 6737:17 | 6729:16;6730:4,16,25; |
| associated (1) | 6733:20 | 6692:10;6694:19; | buyer (5) | 6731:3,6;6737:24; |
| 6756:4 | Banking (2) | 6743:4 | 6684:15;6690:6; | 6746:5,7,8,14,19; |
| assume (1) | 6700:22,2 | beyond (1) | 6735:19;6736: | 6753:16;6754:24; |
| 6755:11 | bar (1) | 6719:21 | 6737:16 | 6757:1,3,7,15,19 |
| assuming | 6675:1 | Bible (1) | buyer/willing | case-in-chief (3) |
| 6728:24 | Bartov (7) | 6719:24 | 6694:20;6696:24 | 6700:4;6701:1; |
| assurance (10) | 6677:8,9;6678:9; | billed (3) | buyers (3) <br> 6694:22,25;6695:1 | 6719:24 |
| 6703:8;6704:3; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6679:6;6685:22; } \\ & 6739: 2,18 \end{aligned}$ | 6730:5,6,1 <br> billings (2) |  | cases (3) <br> 6682:4;6687:24 |
| 6708:9,11,12,13,16,16, | Bartov's (1) | 6730:17,19 | C | 6701:4 |
| 20,21,24;6709:2 | 6679:8 | Binder (3) |  | cash (2) |
| attention (8) | bas | 6678:8;6722:2 | call (5) | 6714:18;6727:19 |
| 6680:8;6 | 6693:21;6754:4 | 6723:5 | 6700:14,16;6712:12; | catch (2) |
| 6695:9;6699 | based (20) | Birney (1) | 6729:1;6755:10 | 6709:21,22 |
| 6704:14;6706:13; | 6696:12;6699:10,15 | 6679:4 | called (6) | category (1) |
| 6714:23;6751:14 | 6708:2;6712:2; | bit (1) | 6700:18,25;6708:20; | 6726:21 |
| Attorney (3) | 6713:21;6714:1 | 6688:25 | 6723:16,19;6724:5 | caveat (1) |
| 6731:11,17 | 6717:1,24;6718:1,16, | bold (4) | calls (1) | 6715:9 |
| attorneys (1) | 18;6719:25;6722:14; | 6736:23;6737:2,3; | 6739:18 | cellphones (2) |
| 6675:11 | 6728:24;6745:17; | 6744:7 | came (1) | 6675:3,4 |
| audience (2) | 6753:12,12,14;6755:16 | both (6) | 6707:1 | cents (2) |
| 6679:7;6730:13 | basic | 6694:16,19;6720:2 | can (54) | 6694:2,3 |
| $6708:$ | $\begin{array}{r} 67 \\ \text { Basi } \end{array}$ | 7735:19;6742 bothers (1) | 6676:4,25;6677:12 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { certain (5) } \\ 6711: 7 ; 6 \end{array}$ |
| 6709:1,7;6710:4,11 | 6680:9,12,17 | 6685:17 | 6689:10,20,23;6693:1, | 6728:5;6735:7 |
| auditor (1) | 6683:8;6686:8; | bottom (4) | 20;6694:4,21;6695:4; | certainly (6) |
| 6709:8 | 6690:12;6694:3,13; | 6699:5;6707:22 | 6696:13;6697:13; | 6681:9;6700:2; |
| auditors (1) | 6711:24;6712:18; | 6721:7;6727:5 | 6700:20;6701:6,1 | 6707:20;6720:18; |
| 6708:2 | 6727:19;6733:3 | bought | 6702:25;6705:16; | 6757:6,11 |
| authoritati 6684:24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6735:7;6748:20,23 } \\ & \text { 6749:25 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 6707:13;6708:9 | $\begin{gathered} \text { chance (4) } \\ 6721: 2 ; 6 \end{gathered}$ |
| available (1) | begin (1) | 6719:21 | $6714: 11,11,19$ | 18 |
| 6720:14 | 6699:6 | boxes (2) | 6717:17,19;6719:4; | change (3) |
| aware (4) | beginning (1) | 6707:17;6708: | 6720:13,23;6722:1,3; | 6705:23;6731:13,14 |
| 6733:13;6748:9; | $6715: 4$ | break (6) | 6723:10;6728:18; | character (1) |
| 6749:20;6756:4 | begins (4) | 6693:7;6728:18,20; | $6735: 9,13 ; 6736: 1$ | 6707:25 |
| away (1) | 6688:19;6689:1 6695:10,18 | 6729:7,10,17 briefly (1) | 6737:4,10;6743:13; 6747:6,10,16,19 | characteristics (3) $6719 \cdot 13 ; 6726: 5$ |
| 6697:14 | 6695:10,18 | briefly (1) | 6747:6,10,16,19; | 6719:13;6726:5; |
| axis (3) 6707:11,22;6708:9 | behalf (4) | 6679:15 | 6749:4,18,22;6751:3, | 6727:2 |
| 6707:11,22;6708:9 | 6745:15,16;6754:24; 6757:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { briefs (3) } \\ & 6754: 17,17 ; 6755: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 13;6752:4 } \\ \text { candidate (2) } \end{array}$ | characterize (4) 6707:24;6708:2,4,5 |
| B | behind (1) | bring (5) | 6686:10;6689:16 | charged (1) |
|  | 6676:4 | 6682:16,24;6686:25; | candidates (1) | 6730:15 |
| back (26) | believes (1) | 6706:15;6716:25 | 6689:15 | chart (3) |
| 6675:16;6682:3; | 6717:14 | bringing (1) | card (8) | 6707:7,10,15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6685:21;6689:8; } \\ & \text { 6692:3;6696:21; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { below (1) } \\ 6725: 17 \end{gathered}$ | 6711:15 broad (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6693:22;6694:2,3,5, } \\ & 5,22,24 ; 6754: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { check (1) } \\ 6707: 21 \end{array}$ |
| 6699:23;6701:6; | bench (1) | 6727:12 | cards ( | cherrypicking (1) |
| 6706:5;6709:4,6; | 6675:12 | broader (1) | 6694:1;6695:2 | 6733:16 |
| 6720:15,16,16; | Bender (8) | 6681:17 | carry (1) | chief (8) |
| 6729:20;6740:4; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6700:1,11;6715:18; } \\ & 6717 \cdot 614 \cdot 6730.5 \end{aligned}$ | brought (1) | 6687:8 | 6681:22;6682:9; |
| 6741:9;6742:2,3,6,8, | 6717:6,14;6720:5; |  | carved (1) | 6687:1;6723:20,24; |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6724:3,5,6 } \\ & \text { chill (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6706:16,20,21 } \\ & \text { coming (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6703:12;6715:10 } \\ & \text { conclusions (3) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6726: 4 \\ \text { contracts (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6740:3;6741:22; } \\ & \text { 6743:13;6744:19,24; } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chin (3) | commonsense (1) | Condition (19) | contradictory (1) | 6747:5;6749:15,18; |
| 6677:9;6678:8; | 6682:24 | 6680:15;6694:6 | 6682:6 | 6751:9,25;6753:20 |
| 6679:5 | communication (1) | 698:5;6699:10 | contradicts (1) | 6754:2,11,16,22; |
| choice (2) | 6711:3 | 711:20;6713:3,8,11; | 6748:1 | 6755:3,8,13,20,23,25 |
| 6694:9;6700:10 | communications (2) | 6,14,16;6717:10, | convention (1) | 6756:7,14,20,23 |
| choices (1) | 6710:11,19 | 1;6718:24;6726:13; | 6696:5 | 6757:4,6,8,9,13,18 |
| 6694:8 | compare (3) | 748:21;6750:1,22; | copy (5) | courtroom (4) |
| choose (1) | 6690:3;6696:21; | 6751:17 | 6675:22;6679:21 | 6675:6;6720:12; |
| 6724:19 | 6739:13 | conduct (4) | 6683:25;6702:25; | 6721:6;6754:19 |
| chose (1) | compared (2) | 6712:3,11;6713:1,23 | 6718:8 | courts (1) |
| 6700:13 | 6695:5;6710:4 | conducted (1) | core (3) | 6755:20 |
| chosen (1) | compelled (3) | 6713:25 | 6686:22;6687:11,12 | cover (1) |
| 6712:12 | 6684:16;6735:20; | confirm (6) | Cornell (6) | 6727:13 |
| circumstances (2) | 6737:17 | 6749:1;6750:3,8,21; | 6730:9;6732:7,20 | covered (2) |
| 6706:6,10 | compensation (1) | 54:20;6756:19 | 6733:8,14;6734:10 | 6699:25;6701: |
| citation (1) | 6726:7 | confused (1) | cost (7) | CPA (6) |
| 6690:20 | compilatio | 6721:8 | 6683:22;6694:2,3,9, | 6732:2,4,14,15,20,21 |
| citations | 6697:18,22;6701:20; | confusion (1) | 10,13;6736:18 | create (1) |
| 6734:21,24 | 6702:6,11;6703:8,9,24; | 6735:11 | could' (1) | $6689: 15$ |
| cite (4) | 6704:9;6705:19; | conjunction (1) | 6739:5 | created (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6690: 15 ; 6747: 10,16, \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6706:1,7,11,12,17,23; } \\ & \text { 6707:18,21,23,24; } \end{aligned}$ | 6684:23 <br> connection |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6686: 12 \\ \text { creating (1 } \end{array}$ |
| City (1) | 6708:3,10;6709:16; | 6684:19;6686:5; |  | 6739:25 |
| 6679:1 | 6710:5,6,9;6711:4; | 6734:9;6748:17; | 'Could (1) | crisis (1) |
| claim (1) | 6715:22;6716:14,21; | 6751:16 | $6739: 8$ | 6734:1 |
| 6734:2 | 6748:17;6750:24; | consider (9) |  | criticism (1) |
| clarify (1) <br> 6735:6 | 6751 | 6683:20;668 | C | 6688:5 |
| class (2) | $6698: 4$ | 6736:17;6742:11; |  | $6722: 3 ; 6723: 18$ |
| 6733:22;6734:1 | complete | 6752:9;6753:3,8 | 6695:13;6749:9,13; | 6724:16;6728:11,19; |
| classroom (5) | 6750:15,16 | considerable (1) | 6750:5,19;6751:2 | 6729:4,22;6730:1 |
| 6746:6,7,9,14; | completed (1) | 6757:10 | count (1) | CROSS-EXAMINATION (2) |
| 6753:18 | 6709:14 | considered (1) | 6733:2 | 6746:1;6747:8 |
| clear (4) | completely (2) 6690:21;673 | 6708:1 | couple (2) | cumbersome (1) |
| 6715:7;6736:13 | completeness (1) | 710:6;6727:16 | course (8) | current (50) |
| clearly (7) | 6703:10 | $6749: 2 ; 6750: 3,8,22$ | $6676: 21 ; 6677: 21,25$ | $6675: 17 ; 6683: 15,21$ <br> 6684:2,10,19:6686:4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6680:22;6686:17; } \\ & \text { 6687:1;6701:1; } \end{aligned}$ | compliance (6) 6697:8;6699: | $\begin{gathered} \text { constitute (1) } \\ 6711: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6679:5;6697:18; } \\ & \text { 6711:19,22;6713:1 } \end{aligned}$ | 6684:2,10,19;6686:4,7, <br> 11,14;6688:16; |
| 6709:13;6716:5; | 6702:16;6706:2,4,7 | constitutes (1) | courses (2) | 6689:15;6690:7,24; |
| 6724:15 | compliant (1) | 6716:13 | 6732:2,20 | 6693:24;6694:16,17, |
| clerk (1) | 6702:13 | CONT'D (2) | COURT (95) | 19;6695:6;6696:14,22; |
| 6692:3 | complies (1) | 6701:10;6747: | 6675:1,8,15,16,19 | 6697:3,24;6718:13; |
| client (7) | 6722:19 | content (1) | 6681:14;6682:3,19; | 6727:20;6736:2,11,17, |
| 6701:24;6702:2; | comply (6) | 6733:18 | 6684:6,25;6685:3,19; | 22;6737:8,22;6738:8; |
| 6703:16;6706:15; | 6695:22;6696:3; | contents (4) | 6687:16;6688:11; | 6739:16;6740:25; |
| 6731:10,17,18 | 6702:3;6704:10; 6722:16;6752:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6705:2,8,12,21 } \\ & \text { context (2) } \end{aligned}$ | 6690:23;6692:8; | 6741:5,6,24;6742:15; <br> 6743:2,2,2,9,20,21; |
| 6754:23 | complying (2) | $6680: 21 ; 6717: 1$ | $\mathbf{6 7 0 0 : 6 , 1 6 , 2 0 ; 6 7 0 1 : 2 ,}$ | 6744:3,5;6749:3; |
| closing (1) | $6696: 7,18$ | contingent (1) | 13;6705:6,10,15; | 6750:4,9;6754:7 |
| 6757:3 | concept (3) | 6719:15 | 6710:14;6712:14,16, | currently (1) |
| clubs (1) 6714:19 | 6739:18,19,21 concerning (7) | continually (3) 6713:19,21;6721:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 22,24;6713:16;6714:3, } \\ & \text { 11:6716:2:6717:2: } \end{aligned}$ | $6739: 21$ |
| 6714:19 code (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { concerning (7) } \\ & 6679: 13 ; 6688: 16 ; \end{aligned}$ | 6713:19,21;6721:1 <br> Continue (6) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 11;6716:2;6717:2; } \\ & \text { 6720:7,21;6722:1; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cut (2) } \\ & \quad 6689: 11 ; 6697: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6677:20 | 6689:6;6697:17; | 6685:25;6693:15; | $6723: 6,13,21 ; 6724: 8$ | 6689.11,6697.1 |
| Codification (3) | 6714:18;6727:24; | 6716:12;6721:4,12; | 6728:10,12,18,20; | D |
| 6679:18;6684:4,5 | conc | $672$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:2,8,11,15,20,22 } \\ & 32: 25: 6733: 5: \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6678:11 | $6675: 14$ | $6721: 13$ | 6734:4;6735:8; | 6699:11;6711:8 |
| comfort (3) |  |  |  |  |


| 6750:23;6751:16,20, | demonstrative (2) | digitized (1) |  | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21;6752:19,20,22 | 6707:1,2 | did | 6755:1 | 6717: |
| date (1) | deny (1) $6691:$ | diligently (1) | disrupt | effect (2) |
| day (5) | depar | DIR | disrupting (1) | eff |
| 6679:5 | 6706:14,18,24 |  | 6691:22 | 6690:3 |
| 6735:17 | 9:9,12,17,19 | 6680:8,23;6683:23; | distinctio | effort (2) |
| d | 16:13,23,24 |  | 6736:6;6739 | 6744:7;6757 |
|  | 6717:23;6727: | 6699:1,4,25;6701:3,10; | do | eith |
|  | de | $\begin{aligned} & 6704: 13 ; \\ & 6724: 21 \end{aligned}$ | do | 6731:25;6750 |
| d | 6715:18,23;6716:11 |  | 6675:23,24;6676:25; | elements ( |
| 6:2 |  |  | 6677:1,3,11;6678:3; | 6680:14 |
| de | 6694:5;6697 | 6754:25 | 4;6680:1,9, | Eli (2) |
| 6719:17 | deposition (6) | dis | 6687:24,25; | 6677:8;6678 |
| decided | 678: | 6719:17 |  | else (2) |
| 6723:2 | 7;6728:2 | dis | 04:12;6707: | 6711:2;6712:18 |
|  | 6731:5,12 |  | 11;6718: | emphasis (1) |
| dect | dep | 6750:5,6,7,11;6751:1,2 | 14;6737:4,4 | 6737:15 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { decisions ( } \\ 6683: 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6678:2,4,7,10 } \\ & 6757: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { disagreement (1) } \\ 6696: 17 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 6744:9,10 } \\ \text { documentation (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { encompassed } \\ 6725: 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| dee | De | disagr | 6709:4 | end (5) |
| 67 | 1;669 | 6749 | documents (12) | 689: |
| deem 67 | $: 3,11$ | disclo | $8,10,13,1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 735: 17 ; 6751 \\ & 757: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| defendants | , | dis | 5;6715:23;6757 | engage (1) |
| 6681:18;66 | describe (5) | 6714:5,13,15;6715:6 | dollar (4) | 6702:1 |
| 6754:24;6757:11, | 6677 | disclosure (16) | 6694:6,12,13,2 | engaged (1) |
| Defendant's (3) | :17;6696:16 | 5,8;6715:1,15; |  | 6740:20 |
|  | 6733:13 |  | 6694:7,14 | engagem |
| def |  | 6722:14,21;6724:23; | 6678:8;6698:4; | ,1 |
|  | d | 27:12,23 | 6723:4,1 | 6708:10,12,14,15,22, |
|  |  |  | do | $3 ; 6709: 1,11,16 ;$ |
| defenses' 6700:14 | de1 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { disclosures (2) } \\ 6719: 24 ; 6720 \end{array}$ | 10,20;6696: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6710:23;6715:2 } \\ & 6716: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| deferred | determinable (4) | discoun | 729:6 | engineering (1) |
| 6726:7 | 18:1,14,18; | 6711:21;6714:1 | 6732:23;6755:3,17 | 6753:23 |
| defi | 6719:15 | d |  | Engoron (1) |
| $6$ | determination 6711:25 | disco | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6685:22;6 } \\ & \text { down (5) } \end{aligned}$ | 6675:2 <br> enough |
| 6680:16 | de | $14 ; 6722: 13$ | do | $\begin{gathered} \text { enough (1 } \\ 6685: 9 \end{gathered}$ |
| 6686:11;6690:1,3,4,6, | 6686:14;6699 | 6725:6;6727:18,25; | 6707:22;6725:1 | ensuring (2) |
| 9,11,23;6695:5; | 6702:12;6704:1 | $6728: 5$ | $6751: 3$ | 6706:2,4 |
| 6696:22,23,24; | 6712:7 | discounting | draw | entire (1) |
| 6735:22,23,24; | det | 6714:4;6715:5 | 51:1 | 6685:15 |
| 10;6737:1,5,8,21,23 | 6679:19;6680 |  | d | entirety (1) 6705:24 |
| 6738:7;6739:12,14; <br> 6740:12,16,22;6741:1 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { determining (2) } \\ 6697: 2 ; 6727: 1 \end{array}$ | 6722:22;672 <br> discovery (3) | 6754:1 <br> during | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { 6705:24 } \\ \text { Entities (1) } \end{array}$ |
| 5,6,24,25;6742:13,14, | developments (1) | 6676:16;6677:2 | 6677:21,25;6706:12; | 6704:15 |
| 21,24;6743:7,15,15,16, | 6726:21 | 667 | 6729:17;675 | equally (1) |
|  | dif | discrepanc | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { duties (2) } \\ 6711: 23 ; 6716: 15 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6694:17 } \\ & \text { Eric (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 50:4,9 | di | discretion |  | 6734:14,17 |
| definitions (3) |  |  | E | essentially (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6690:10;6739:25; } \\ & \text { 6740:10 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | 6704:22;6708:17 <br> establish (4) |
| delay | 6696:2,2;6727:13; | discussed | 6687:17;6691:10; | 6682:13;6693:2 |
| 6724:24 | 6732:11;6739:17,19, | 6718:15;6 | 6716:1 | 6740:18;6744:5 |
| demonstrat | $\begin{aligned} & 21,22,23 ; 6740: 19,23 \\ & 24: 6741: 1.18: 6743: 1 \end{aligned}$ | discu 668 | early 66 | established (1) |
|  |  |  |  | 3) |
| 6739:6 | $6737: 4$ | $6675: 12,14 ; 6728: 25$ | 6679:23 | $6726: 21 ; 6741: 15,1$ |


| estates (1) | 6756:5 | extensive (3) | 6686:12;6689:19; | 12,19,25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6726:9 | excerpt (3) | 6708:18;6709:3,6 | 736:23;6739:7,24; | fine (1) |
| estimate (1) | 6688:19;6699:3; | extent (7) | 6741:4;6748:4,6 | 6733: |
| 6740:21 | 18 | 6696:5;6699:17; | FASB's (1) | finished (1) |
| Estimated (45) | exchange (3) | 6702:8;6722:22; | 6744:7 | 6747:2 |
| 6683:15,20;6684:2, | 6695:10,12;6699:5 | 727:22;6728:2; | fashion (1) | firm (8) |
| 10,19;6686:4,7,10,14; | exchanged (5) | 6744:23 | 6682:14 | 6699:9; |
| 6688:16;6689:15; | 6684:15;6735:19 | extra (1) | fast (1) | 6702:5,10;6706:2,7; |
| 6690:7,23;6693:23; | 6736:3;6737:15; | 6706 | 690:2 | 6750:20 |
| 6694:16,17,18;6695:6; | 6738:9 | extraordinary (1) | fault (3) | firms (2) |
| 6696:14,22;6697:3; | exchanging | 6757:13 | 6700:14,15;6731:16 | 6710:11,20 |
| 6718:13;6727:20; | 6694:23 | extremely (1) | fed (1) | firm's (1) |
| 6736:2,11,17,22; | excuse (3) | 6692:18 | 6712: | 6700:3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6737:8,22;6738:8; } \\ & \text { 6739:16;6740:24; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6717:23;6738:20; } \\ & 6740: 23 \end{aligned}$ | F | $\begin{aligned} & \text { feel (2) } \\ & 6680: 4 ; 6723: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { firms's (1) } \\ \mathbf{6 6 9 9 : 1 2} \end{array}$ |
| 6741:5,24;6742:15; | excused (2) |  | few (1) | first (6) |
| 6743:2,9,20,21;6744:3, | 6754:13,14 | face (1) | 6678: | 6686:21;6687:9; |
| 5;6749:3;6750:4,9; | excusing (1) | 6715:7 | fewer (1) | 6715:3;6716:24; |
| 6754:6 | 54:12 | facia (2) | 724:1 | 6722:13;6736:20 |
| even (9) | Exhibit (10) | 6682:20,20 | fifties (1) | fit (5) |
| 6695:20,25;6708: | 6675:23;6677 | facie (3) | 6725:15 | 6690:5;6726:2 |
| 6716:11;6720:14 | 6679:25;6683:24; | 6682:12,15,18 | figure (1) | 6727:1;6742:12,13 |
| 6725:6;6727:18; | 6703:1;6718:7;6719:5; | fact (17) | 6694:18 | five (1) |
| 6734:14;6757:13 | 6725:21;6736:12; | 6686:14;6688:4 | figured (1) | 6704:12 |
| event (1) | 6752:3 | 6690:11,12;6700:13; | 6721:8 | fix (1) |
| 6719:16 | expectancy | 6706:17,22;6707:21; | file ( | 6724:6 |
| events (1) | 6719:16 | 6732:11;6734:13; | 6737:3 | fixed (5) |
| 6700:24 | expeditiously (1) | 6744:13;6752:19,22; | filing (2) | 6717:25;6718:14,17; |
| eventually (1) | 6686:2 | 6755:11,12,19,25 | 6755:18,1 | 6719:14;6726:10 |
| $6721: 3$ | expended (1) | FAHERTY (1) | filings (2) | flag (2) |
| everyone (1) | 6757:10 | 6729:13 | 6756:4,1 | 6711:7,1 |
| $6729: 12$ | expensive (2) | fail (1) | filling (3) | flat (1) |
| evidence (17) | 6710:2,4 | 6722:16 | 6720:16,16,16 | 6720:18 |
| 6679:22;6681:18,22 | experience (9) | failed (1) | final (2) | Flemmons (25) |
| 6682:6,7,7,8;6683:24; | 6675:10;6690:19, | 6711:20 | 6686:13;6716:5 | 6677:9;6678:8; |
| 6687:2,5,6;6700:2,12; | 6691:13,14;6692:1; | failing (2) | finally (1) | 6679:5,11,12;6688:10, |
| 6703:1,11;6716:10; | 6734:6;6746:8,13; | 6687:8;6690:8 | 6757:15 | 22;6695:10;6697:17; |
| 6718:8 | 6753:13 | failure (9) | financial (55) | 6698:1;6700:12; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{exact}(1) \\ & 6720: 22 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \text { expert (19) } \\ 6676: 2,1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6709:10,10,13,19,23; } \\ & \text { 6711:15.17:6714:15: } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6679:20;6680:10,15, } \\ & \text { 18;6683:8,18,20; } \end{aligned}$ | 6701:12;6713:13; <br> 6714:21:6715:20; |
| exactly (5) | $21 ; 6678: 1$ | 6724:24 | $668$ | 6716:20;6717:12, |
| 6681:20;6686:18; | 6692:19;6700:10,14, | Fair (11) | 6696:6;6698:5 | 21;6720:6;6722:23; |
| 6728:17;6745:7; 6749:9 | 16,18,19,19,21,25; | 6685:9;6739:13,14; | 6699:10;6703:12,25; | 6724:1;6727:11,22; |
| 6749:9 | 6720:4,9;6723:25; | $6740: 2,11,12,16,18$ | 6704:1,4,6,11,15,17, | 6739:3 |
| exam (6) | 6738:2 | 6741:2;6743:2; | 19;6705:2,8,13,22; | Flemmons' (15) |
| 6683:5;6691:22; | expertise (3) | 6752:23 | $6706: 21 ; 6707: 14$ | 6688:15,19;6689:5; |
| 6732:4,14,16,22 | 6693:19,20;6704:1 | fairly (1) | 6709:5;6711:20; | 6696:9;6699:4,21; |
| EXAMINATION (7) | experts (5) <br> 6676:12,12;6712:1 | 6727:12 | 6713:3,7,11;6714:5,14, 16:6716:4:6717:10,20. | 6701:15;6707:16; 6711:6;6713.10,15. |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 675:20;6699:1; } \\ & \text { 6701:10;6724:21 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6676:12,12;6712:1, } \\ & 12 ; 6738: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $6727: 2$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16;6716:4;6717:10,20; } \\ & \text { 6718:24;6725:7; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6711:6;6713:10,15; } \\ & \text { 6714:17;6720:1,24; } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6729:4,23;6730:1 | explain (8) | false (1) | 6726:13;6735:17; | 6722:18 |
| examinations (2) | $6681: 16 ; 6$ <br> 6686:3.668 | $6737: 2$ | 6736:14,16;6743:19, | flip (4) |
| 6676:10;6713:19 | 6686:3;6 | familiar (10) | 6750:1,22; | 6676:4;6677:10,11; |
| examine (3) $6722: 3: 6723:$ | 6691:3,4;6722:25; | 6689:3,5;6695:1 <br> 6711:6:6714.21 | 6751:17;6752:6,7,9; |  |
| 6724:17 | explanation | 6718:3,23;6722:8; | find (8) | 6693:7 |
| example (8) | 6681:17 | 6740:9, | 02:21;6708:3,1 | flows (2) |
| 6691:8,9;6693:16, | express (1) | far (5) | 19;6709:19;6722:5; | 6714:18;6727:19 |
| 18;6695:7;6696:12,12; | 6703:12 | 6719:21;6730:4,19; | 6734:14;6755:21 | focus (6) |
| 6754:6 | expressed (1) | 6747:4;6755:21 | finding (1) | 6679:10;6683:18; |
| examples (2) | 6718:16 | FASB (12) | 6709:18 | 6708:9;6717:4; |
| 6697:5;6717:5 | expressing (2) | 6676:12;6677:19; | findings (6) | 6736:14;6737:11 |
| exceed (1) | 6727:22;6728:3 | 6679:19;6683:11; | 6708:4,5;6755:11, | following (6) |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6675:15;6698:9; } \\ & \text { 6719:13;6726:5; } \\ & \text { 6729:19;6745:23 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6722:12,15;6725:5,10, } \\ & \text { 23;6726:10,20,21; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6679: 19 \\ \text { granted (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { here's (2) } \\ & 6704: 23 ; 6733: 21 \end{aligned}$ | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| followup (2) | 6739:9;6741:12,17 | guaranteed (1) | $90:$ | Id |
| 6699:18;6716:15 forget (1) | G | 726 | hierarchy (7) | 685:20;6728:16; |
| 6728:12 | G | 6676:15;6718:5, | $90: 16,16 ; 6691: 9$ | 730:14 |
| forgive (1) | GAAP (40) | 21:4;6745 | 6693:17 | 6706:18,23;6711:19; |
| 6687:8 | 18,669.1,4,5,7 | 46:19 | high | 6716:23,24 |
| form (6) | ,18;6699:16,20; | guidance (26) | 6757:20 | immaterial (1) |
| 6680:7; | 6702:13,16;6704:10, | 6684:24;6689:14,18; | higher (1) | 6757:2 |
| 6713:6;6716:17 | 11;6706:3,4,7,13,18, | 690:15;6705:20; | 6708:1 | impact (1) |
| 6749:13;6752:10 | 23;6709:9,12,17,18,19, | 11:13;6714:25 | highlighted (3) | 6704:7 |
| format (1) 6755.3 | 20;6711:15,17;6714:4, 13:6715.17,23. | 6715:14;6725:9,24. | 6683:11,13;6725:17 | impacted (1) |
| 6755:3 found (7) | 13;6715:17,23; | 6738:16;6739:23; | highlighting (1) | 6705:25 |
| found (7) | 6716:10,13,23,24 | 41:10,14,17;6743:6; | 6683:17 | impeached (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6680:18 } \\ & \text { 6708:2, } \end{aligned}$ | 6717:22,23;6722:1 6727:7,13 | :4,14;6745:3; | hig | 6751:7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6708:2,25;6710:2 } \\ & 6725: 13 ; 6757: 15 \end{aligned}$ | gat |  | Hi | $\begin{gathered} \text { impeaching (1) } \\ 6723: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| foundation (10) | g |  | 12 | implementation (14) |
| 6688:8;6691:12; | gave (2) | 6741:23;674 | historical (3) | 6689:14,17;6711:13; |
| 6692:4,21;6693:1; | 6693:9;6696 | guidepost (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6683:22;6694:1 } \\ & 6736: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $25: 24 ; 6741: 10,11$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6703:15;6712:17,19, } \\ & \text { 25;6713:22 } \end{aligned}$ | general (15) <br> 6681:4:6 | $6721$ | 6736:18 | $14,17,23 ; 6743: 6,16,25$ |
| foundational | 6699:23;6701:17 | 6720: | 6728:22;6738:19 | important (3) |
| 6714:8 | 710:2;6711:3;6724:9; | gum | holder (1) | 6690:1,9;6729:11 |
| four (6) | 6731:11,17,18;6749:8, | 6694:1 | 6719:1 | impose (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6692:17;6703:19; } \\ & \text { 6705:19;6717:21; } \end{aligned}$ | $12 ; 6750: 5,19 ; 6751: 2$ <br> generally (3) | H | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { holder's } \\ 6719: 16 \end{array}$ | 6706:1 |
| 6705:19;6717:21; | generally (3) | H |  | improper |
| fourth (2) | 46:17 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6685:16;6686:24,2 } \\ & \text { 6713:22;6721:11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6718:9;6732:25 | gi | ha | hole | inappropriate (4) |
| framework | 6720:16 | hand (4) | $6720: 1$ | 6700:5;6712:20; |
| 6701:25; | given (4) | $6675: 22 ; 6677: 1 ;$ | holiday ( | 6720:10;6751:6 |
| 6704:6,11,15,17; | 6689:19 | 6679:21;6716:7 | 6757:22 | include (4) |
| 6752:8 | 19:20 | happens ( | Hon | 6699:18;6700:9; |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { frankly (2) } \\ \mathbf{6 6 8 8 : 1 ; 6} \end{array}$ |  | 8 | 675:18;6680:2 | 6716:18;6726:5 |
| Frederick | giv | $757: 19$ | $: 9,13 ; 6686: 2,16$ | 684:24;6694:1; |
| 6677:9;667 | 6746:25 | happy (2) | 88:3;6690:13,22; | 6715:9;6725:7 |
| free (5) | glossary | 6719:11;6757:22 | 691:21;6692:16,25; | includes (1) |
| 6680:4;675 | 6736:10;6737:7 | hard (2) | 99:22;6700:8,23; | 6683:16 |
| 6753:4,6,9 | 6738:8;6741:24; | 6676:24;6718: | 6713:22;6714:10 | including (2) |
| freely (1) 6682.10 | 6742:14;6743:7,14,16, | harmonized (2) | 6719:20;6720:25 | 6719:22;6732:11 |
|  | 24;674 | 6741:23;6743 | 6722:6,17;6723:3 | inclusion (1) |
| 6688:13 | 1:15;6701:2 | 6698:10;6745:2 | 754:1,10 | 6722:15 |
| Friday (1) | 6706:5;6709:7 | Hawthorn (1) | 55:14,24;6756:25; | 6699:20;6749:19 |
| 6755:1 | golf (1) | 6679:5 | 6757:3,24 | incorporate (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { friendly (1) } \\ 6723: 16 \end{gathered}$ | 6714:19 |  | Honorable (1) | 6739:20 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 6723: 1 \\ \text { front (2) } \end{array}$ |  | 6703:20; |  | incorrect (1) |
| 6675:11;6702:23 | 21,23 | 6719:6;6725:22 | 6685:19;6692 | independent (3) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fully (1) } \\ & 6720: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Google (4) } \\ & 6734: 13,18,21,24 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hear (3) } \\ & 6724: 9,10 ; 6737: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hopefully (1) } \\ 6693: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6712:3,11;6713:23 } \\ & \text { independently (2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| further (5) | govern (1) | heard (3) | hostile (2) | 6712:15;6713:6 |
|  |  | 86:19;6739:2,17 |  | indicated (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6728:9;6746:19; } \\ & \text { 6754:9 } \end{aligned}$ | go | 6675 | $4: 1$ | 6730:23 |
| future (27) | $6700: 3$ | hell (1) |  | indicates |
| 6711:8,16;6717:25 | Government (1) | 75 | 6736:25;6737:1,4,6 | individual |
| 6718:2,13,15,16,19,24; | 6737:23 | helpful (2) | 6744:1,6,13 | 6709:6 |
| 6719:7,12,17,19; | governs (1) | 6693:18;6717:17 |  | inflation (1) |


| 6694:10 | 6687:2,4,6 | keep (4) | last (5) | 6693:16;6695:9; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| information (7) | introducing (1) | 6685:17,23;6693:5,6 | 6685:14;6688:1; | 6696:9;6697:16; |
| 6683:21,22;6699:10; | 6686:22 | Kelly (2) | 6712:16,22;6717:4 | 6699:3;6703:2;6707:4; |
| 6703:11;6716:7; | introduction (1) | 700:1,10 | late (1) | 6710:18;6711:6; |
| 6736:17,18 | 6687:14 | Kevin (1) | 6682:16 | 6712:15;6713:1; |
| informed (3) | investigation (2) | 721:7 | later (1) | 6717:11;6719:9; |
| 6695:2;6735:20; | 6706:12;6716:8 | kind (12) | 6725:15 | 6722:9;6724:23; |
| 6737:16 | invitation (1) | 6675:6;6676:8 | latitude (1) | 6729:15;6730:3; |
| initial (2) | 6692:24 | 6678:11;6687:13; | 6719:21 | 6734:14,17;6736:7; |
| 6676:2;6731:8 | involved (1) | 6692:2;6702:22; | law (7) | $6740: 9$ |
| inquires (3) <br> 6699:18.6716 | 6709:1 | 6709:1;6712:11; | 6687:13,22;6701:4; | liabilities (3) |
| insane (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { irrespectí } \\ 6687: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6720:11,12;6742: } \\ & 6756: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6731:23;6755:12,20; } \\ & \text { 6756:1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6683:19;6736:15; } \\ & \text { 6743:21 } \end{aligned}$ |
| 6721:1 | issue (1) | kinds (2) | lawyer (1) | liberal (1) |
| instance (2) | 6706:15 | 6725:9,10 | 6731:21 | 6747:5 |
| 6687:9;6692:23 | issuer (17) | KISE (50) | lay (5) | license (1) |
| instances (4) | 6697:4,6,10,11,14; | 6680:20;6681:3,20 | 6682:4;6692:2 | 6732:15 |
| 6717:25;6718:1,17, | 6702:7,12,17;6703:16; | 6684:22;6685:13; | 6712:17,19,24 | licensure (3) |
| $18$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6705:25;6706:15,16; } \\ & \text { 6710:1.23.25:6716:9. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 686:16;6690:13; } \\ & \text { 691:12,18,25;6692:6, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { laying (1) } \\ 6688: 7 \end{gathered}$ | $6732: 13,14,15$ |
| 6689:19 | $25$ | 23,25;6693:4,11; | leading (6) | 6719:16;6726:9; |
| intended (1) | issuers (1) | 699:22;6700:8,18,23; | 6701:13;6705:5, | 6734:1 |
| 6704:18 | 6702:2 | 701:6;6705:5,9,17; | 6710:13,14;6714:7 | light (1) |
| interest (6) | issuer's (6) | 10:13;6711:22; | learn (3) | 6752:6 |
| 6719:19;6722:12; | 6705:1,7,12,21,23; | 6712:6,19;6713:14,21; | 6733:19,22;6754:3 | likes (2) |
| 6725:5,23;6726:6; 6741:18 | 6717:1 issues (2) | 6714:7,9;6719:20; <br> 6720:8,22;6721:5; | learned (2) <br> 6687:21;6753:25 | 6734:1;6755:18 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { 6741:18 } \\ \text { interests (13) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { issues (2) } \\ & 6692: 2 ; 6727: 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6720:8,22;6721:5; } \\ & \text { 6722:17;6723:3,10; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6687:21;6753:25 } \\ & \text { learning (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{limit}(3) \\ & 6755: 5,6 ; 6756: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6717:25;6718:17,24; | item (5) | 6724:2,15;6728:14,22; | $6716: 2$ | limitations (1) |
| 6719:7;6722:16; | 6684:14;6704:16; | 6729:6;6738:24; | least (3) | 6723:14 |
| 6725:10;6726:8,9,15, | 6736:3;6737:15; | 6739:11;6740:5,7,8 | 6680:2;6728:18; | limits (6) |
| 22;6727:24;6728:4; | 6738:9 | 6747:1;6754:23; | 6741:21 | 6720:11,12;6755:13; |
| 6741:12 | item | 756:2,8,12,22;6757:5, | leave (3) | 6756:3,3,9 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iterpret (3) } \\ & \text { 6733:9,11;6746:4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6676:16;6681:4; } \\ & \text { 6727:21 } \end{aligned}$ | Kise's | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6697:13; } \\ & \text { 6755:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 6685:15;6689: |
| interpretation (4) |  | 69 | lectures (1) | 6692:14;6695:19; |
| 6745:12,13,18; | J | knew (1) | 6733:17 | 6699:6;6715:4,4; |
| 6747:13 |  | 6733:6 | leeway (4) | 6717:21,21;6721:7 |
| interpreted (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January (4) } \\ & \mathbf{6 6 7 5 : 9 ; 6 7 5 4 : 1 7 ,} \end{aligned}$ | Knowledge (2) 6704:14:6739 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6685:5,8;6693:9; } \\ & \text { 6746:25 } \end{aligned}$ | lines (2) 6725:17:6736:20 |
| interpreting (2) | 6758:2 | Korologos | left (1) | link (1) |
| 6745:20;6746:14 | Jason (2) | 6712:2 | 6757:2 | 6725:14 |
| interpretive (1) | 6677:9;6678:8 | Kroll (8) | legal (1) | links (1) |
| 6747:19 | Jeter (4) | $6730: 12,15,20,24$ | $6731: 19$ | 6684:1 |
| interrupted (5) | 6693:21;6694:5 | 6731:2,6,13,17 | letter (1) | list (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6688:13;6701:14; } \\ & \text { 6713:19,21;6721:2 } \end{aligned}$ | $6754: 4,11$ |  | $6710: 24$ | 6676:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6713:19,21;6721:2 } \\ & \text { interrupting (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Judge (6) } \\ & \mathbf{6 6 7 5 : 2 ; 6 6 9 1 : 1 9 ; ~} \end{aligned}$ | L | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { letters (1) } \\ 6710: 23 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { listed (0) } \\ & \quad 6676: 16,19 ; 6678: 4 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 6685:17 | 6720:19;6729:13 | lack (6) | letting (1) | 6708:6;6748:20; |
| interruption (1) | 6733:3;6746:25 | 6713:22;6715:5; | $6721: 10$ | 6750:1 |
| 6693:14 | judgement (4) | 6717:5,8;6733:17; | level (11) | listen (2) |
| interruptions (2) | $6755: 5,7,18 ; 6756: 10$ | 6739:6 | 6707:12,12;6708:9, | 6721:9;6751:10 |
| 6692:22;6724:20 | judges (1) | lady 6 | 13,15,21;6709:2,24; | listing (1) |
| 6681:9;6683:17; | judgment | laid (3) | levels (3) | literal (2) |
| 6703:6,22;6704:13; | 6686:20;6688:7 | 6695:14;6710:10,18 | 6707:13;6708:1 | 6745:10,11 |
| 6709:7;6711:18; | judgments (1) | language (9) | 6740:20 | literally (1) |
| 6712:13;6718:10; | 6678:18 | 6683:13;6699:18; | Lewis (35) | 6688:9 |
| 6719:11,23;6722:6; | jump (2) | 6703:14;6704:7; | 6675:16,22,2 | literature (4) |
| 6744:12;6750:14 | 6720:22;6739:8 | 6714:1;6718:4,22; | 6677:3;6679:12 | 6747:11,12,14,15 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { introduce (1) } \\ & \text { 6681:21 } \end{aligned}$ | K | 6727:23;6728:4 <br> Laptops (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6680:1;6683:6,23; } \\ & \text { 6685:11;6686:3; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { live (1) } \\ & 6725: 14 \end{aligned}$ |
| introduced (3) |  |  |  | long (7) |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6703:23;6709:13; } \\ & \text { 6723:8,10;6728:20; } \\ & \text { 6729:4,17 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { markings (1) } \\ \text { 6676:14 } \\ \text { markup (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6750: 3,8,10,11 \\ \text { methodology (2) } \\ 6715 \cdot 115 \end{gathered}$ | moving (1) 6712:18 much (9) | $\begin{aligned} & 6690: 21 \\ & \text { noon (4) } \\ & 6756: 18,20,21,2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| look (18) | 6730:20 | methods (19) | 6688:1;6725:15; | normally (3) |
| 6680:3;6688:25; | material (5) | 6689:14,20;6690:2; | 30:11,15,24;6731:2; | 6683:20;6709:14; |
| 6694:1,4,21;6695:18; | 6704:4;6752:11 | 6695:4,4,14,21;6696:1, | 6733:19,22;6757:21 | 6736: |
| 6705:18;6712:8; | 6753:4,7,9 | 14,19;6699:13,14; | must (7) | note (2) |
| 6715:3;6718:9;6719:5; | materially (1) | 6701:20;6702:1,7,9,12; | 6687:21;670 | 6685:20;6699:23 |
| 6725:22;6727:5; | 6704-10 | 6711:12 | 18:14;6735:18; | notes (2) |
| 6738:11;6741:9; | materials (5) | mid (1) | 6743:1,8;6749:1 | 6718:15;6750:22 |
| 6752:3,4,19 | 6676:19,21;6677:22 | 6693 | myself (1) | noticed (1) |
| looked (3) | 6678:21,24 | mid-age (1) | 6687:18 | 6716:8 |
| 6722:15;6752:22,25 | matter (4) | 6734:1 | $\mathbf{N}$ | number (8) |
| Looking (7) |  | mi | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6690:1,5,5,10; } \\ & 6705: 19 ; 6732: 11 ; \end{aligned}$ |
| 6724:24;6726:2; | matters (1) | 06:7;6717:17 | name (1) | 6755:9;6756 |
| 6740:13,19;6747:15 | 6680:22 | 726:15;6738:11 | 6734:14 | numbers (4) |
| looks (1) | May (7) | 6744:5 | named (2) | 6695:22;6696:2; |
| 6740:18 | 6675:18;6676:22; | mind (2) | 6676:24;6689:13 | 6709:5;6711:23 |
| lost (1) <br> 6720 | 6689:14;6694:6; 6720:22;6726:4; | 6679:6;6741:21 mine (1) | narrative (1) |  |
| 6720:21 | 6720:22;6726: | mine (1) |  | 0 |
| 6693 | m | minimu | 6696:16 |  |
| 6701:16;6745:13,14,15 | 6687:23;6694:10 | 6693:6;6726: | necessarily (1) | 6676:11;6735:8 |
| lots (2) | Mazars (23) | minute (2) | 6711:3 | object (2) |
| 6701:16;6709:6 | 6676:14;6698:4 | 6680:4;6738:20 | necessary (1) | 6681:13;6705:17 |
| lunch (2) | 6710:12,20;6714:25; | Mischaracterizes (1) | 6682:8 | Objection (43) |
| 6729:6,9 | 6715:6,14;6748:15,19; | 6713:14 | need (18) | 6680:20;6683:7; |
| M | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6749:9,13,20,24; } \\ & 6750: 5,7,19,21 ; 675 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { miss }( \\ & 667 \end{aligned}$ | 6681:16;6682:13; | 6684:22;6685:14,20; |
|  | 15;6752:16,19,21; | missed (1) | 6696:20,21;6704:25; | 6691:6,12;6692:8,11; |
| magnitude (1) | 6753:3 | 6679:9 | 6706:7;6721:4; | 6693:4;6699:22,23; |
| 6695:13 | McCarty | misses (3) | 6723:23;6725:6; | 6701:3,5,8;6705:5,9; |
| maintained (1) | 6700:19,19,20 | 6709:9,12,17 | 6729:13;6732:21; | 6710:13;6711:22; |
| 6751:9 | McConney (1) | misstatements (3) | 6734:4;6746:19; | 6713:14,16;6714:7; |
| makes (3) | $6679: 4$ | 6752:11;6753:5,9 | 6756:2,17,25 | 6720:22;6722:2; |
| 6676:15;6707:21 | mean (9) | misunderstanding (1) | needs (1) | $6724: 8,12,14 ; 6729: 10$ |
| 6709:24 | 6687:20;6689:17; | $6743: 3$ | 6686:11 | 6734:3;6738:4; |
| making (3) | 6701:23;6704:21; | model (1) | negative (2) | 6744:15;6745:4; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6693:3;6701:2; } \\ & 6730: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 6707:20;6717:22; 6720:6.6727:7.6736.7 | $6740: 21$ | 6708:16,20 | 6746:10,15,22,23; |
| 6730:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6720:6;6727:7;6736:7 } \\ & \text { means (4) } \end{aligned}$ | modifications 6704:4 | neit | 6749:11;6753:19; |
| 6703:11;6704:1; | 6684:19;6686:4; | moment (3) | 6737:17 | objectionable (1) |
| 6710:24;6714:25; | 6701:24;6739:14 | 6678:12;6680:11; | New (1) | 6720:17 |
| 6715:14;6752:9 | meant (1) | 6728:22 | 6757:13 | objections (3) |
| maneuver (1) | 6689:23 | momentarily (1) | next (10) | 6692:12,13;6693:5 |
| 6714:12 | measure (2) | 6685:10 | 6677:11;6681:11 | objective (2) |
| manner (1) | 6686:12;6740:24 | more (9) 6683.21.6692.24. | 6702:10;6705:18; | 6703:20,24 |
| 6699:20 | measured (2) 6686:11,13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6683:21;6692:24; } \\ & \text { 6696:16;6710:2; } \end{aligned}$ | 6713:18;6715:12; | obligated (1) |
| 6695:4;6734:24; | members (1) | $6720: 23 ; 6736: 17$ | 6757:23 | obligation (26) |
| 6735:4;6753:16,20; | 6675:4 | 6739:9,9;6755:21 | none (5) | $6681: 21 ; 6699: 8,12,$ |
| 6756:14 | memory (1) | most | 6681:12;6692:2,4; | 24;6700:4;6701:20,23; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { mark (1) } \\ 6707: 21 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6740:14 } \\ \text { mention (1) } \end{gathered}$ | motions (1) | 6706:19,25 <br> nonengagement (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6702:3,6,11;6706:1; } \\ & \text { 6715:17,22;6716:4,9, } \end{aligned}$ |
| marked (5) | 6725:3 | 6757:22 | 6709:8 | 10;6748:15,19,22; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6675:23;6677:2; } \\ & \text { 6679:24;6707:2,18 } \end{aligned}$ | mentioned (4) <br> 6677:18:668 | move (7) <br> 6686:1;6690: | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Non-forcible (1) } \\ 6726: 4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6749:25;6750:7,21; } \\ & \text { 6751:15;6752:16; } \end{aligned}$ |
| market (4) | 6689:21;6755:1 | 6697:14;6701:5; | nonforfeitable (2) | $6753: 3,8$ |
| 6739:13,14;6740:2, | method (10) | 6702:5;6724:19; | 6718:14;6719:12 | obligations (1) |
| 20 | 6695:3;6696:21 | 6754:24 | nonqualified (1) | 6697:17 |
| market-based (1) $6740 \cdot 18$ | 6699:16;6702:13; 6735:15:6749:2: | moved (1) | 6708:6 | obtain (2) |
| 6740:18 | 6735:15;6749:2; | 6682:21 | nonresponsive (1) | 6704:3,16 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { obvious (10) } \\ & \text { 6709:20,22;6715:17, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6712:18;6717:12,14; } \\ & \text { 6722:23,24;6726:20; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12,14,21;6740:16; } \\ & \text { 6751:7 } \end{aligned}$ | people (6) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6755:2 } \\ \text { planning } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23;6716:11,13; } \\ & \text { 6752:11;6753:4,6,9 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6727:23,25;6728:3,6; } \\ & \text { 6738:23.24.25: } \end{aligned}$ | P | $10,20 ; 6724: 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6755: 17 \\ & \text { plans (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Obviously (1) | $6747: 11,17,20,24$ |  | people's (1) | P726 |
| $6685: 4$ | $6748: 5,10 ; 6755: 2$ |  | 6745:13 | play (1) |
| occasions |  |  | percent (1) | 6721:6 |
|  | - | p |  | pla |
| occurred | 0: | 6676:3;6677:10,12; | Per | 67 |
| urrence | oppos | 10 | perform | 6691:11 |
| 719:16 | 6749:10 | 6699:5; | 6699:18;6 | pleading |
|  |  |  |  | 6676 |
| 6754:18;6758:2 | 6675:8;6754 | 6718:9,10;6719:5, | 6697:22;6702:19; | Please (9) |
| October (1) | order (9) | $: 13 ; 6725: 20 ;$ | $: 10,13,19$ | 6675:19; |
| 6757:20 | 6675:7;6691:23,25; | 75;6736:1 | 15:24;6716:3, | 4;6701:7 |
| off (3) | 5;6704:5;6712:7 | 6749:23;6752 | 2,19;6719:18; | 703:22;6725:18; |
| 6675:1 | 6718:12;6729:16,21 | pages (5) | 2.15 | 6729:15,21,24 |
| offer (3) | Organization (2) | 6714:19;6717:17 | performed | poi |
|  |  | 6727:4;6 | 6698:3 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| ering | or | pa | 17,22;6711:22 | 有1:3 |
| 6717:12, |  | 6737:3 | 6715:21;6716:14,2 | 743:10;6744:15; |
| Office (1) | orie | papers (5) | perhaps (3) | 6756:6;6757:16 |
| 6731.10 |  | :13 | 18;6739 | pointed (1) |
| OFFICER | original (2) | 6754:25;6755:1 | 755:15 | 6731:14 |
| 6675:1;6729 | 6676:11;6 | par | pe | policies (2) |
| officers (1) | others (2) | 20 | permitted | 6704:18;6752 |
| 6757:9 |  | ;6704:20, | permitted | portion (2) |
| once 66 | 0 |  | 75 | $6679: 17,1$ |
| ne (39) | out | 36:2 | 6679:20;6680:10,18; | 6726:6 |
| 6679:5 | 6688:1;6690:4 | par | ,20;6684:20; | position (4) |
| 6690:2 | 6691:11,23,25 | 6703:5;6705:1 | 693:23; | 6887:11,12;67 |
| 6695:19,22;6696:2,7,7, | 6702:18,6710:10 | parroting | 6.6,6735:16, | 757:17 |
| 13,19;6703:23;6707:2; | 6702:18;6710:10,19 | 737:23 | 6736:14,16;6743:19 | positive (1) |
| 6710:2;6719:11 | 9;6724:7;6727:1; | Pa |  | 6708:23 |
| 6721:8 | 1:15;6743:10; | 6675:1;6681:22; | 6683:19;6736:15 | possibility |
| 6726:12,15;6727:15 | 56:12 | 6682:12;6688:14; | petulant (1) | 6736:8 |
| 6728:22;6732:12; | ou | $3: 22$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { possible ( } \\ 6714: 2 \end{array}$ |
| 6735:10,16;6737:9,10 | ou |  | $75$ | Post (2) |
| 6740:20;6741:18; | , |  |  | 6677:24,2 |
| 6746:16;6754:1; | 6753:18 | 21; | 6723:11;6733:20 | posttrial (3) |
| 6757:19 | ove | 6750:6;6757:18 |  | 6724:17;67 |
| ones (4) | 6 | participat | 6701:16, | $6755: 2$ |
| 6679:6;6716:11; | 6692:18;6737:23,2 6754:4 | 6757:12 particular | place (1) <br> 6722 | potential (1) 6695:13 |
| 6733:20;6734:19 | $675$ | par | $6722:$ | 6695:13 |
| 6694:21;6727:6 | 6743:16;6745 |  | 0:22 | 690:19;6691:9; |
| only (6) | Ove | 57: |  | 933:18;6695 |
| 6675:4;670 | 83:2 |  | 6699:9 | 6746:24 |
| 6712:9;6 |  |  |  | Practice (8) |
| 6726:15;6734:1 | 6700:6;6701:5;6714:3 |  |  | 6731:23, 25 |
| open (4) | 6722:2;6724:8,13 | 84 | plaintiffs | 731:23,25;67 |
| 6725:16;6756:23 | $67$ | 6683:7 | Plai | practicing (1) |
| pened (1) | Ow |  |  | 6734:6 |
| 6685:22 | 66 | 0:12, | 4;6718:7; | precise (2) |
| in | 6685:12;6686:4 | pension (1) | 719:5;6725:21; | 6741:4,8 |
| 6690: | 6687:20;6700:10; | $6726: 7$ | 6736:12 | preferences (1) |
| 6699:21;6703:12; | 6714:1;6720:8;6723:4, | pensions (1) |  | 6755:24 |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prejudice (3) | problems (2) <br> 6708:18,19 | 6681:25 |  | 4,7,8,14;6724:1 |
| preliminary (1) | procedure (2) | 6684:9;6704:3; |  | $6679: 2,4 ; 6731: 5$ |
| 6710:15 | 6752:5;6754:20 | 07:14;6714:25; | raise (1) | receive (1) |
| preparation (4) | procedures (5) | 6715:14;6725:9 | $6722: 1$ | 6719:12 |
| 6684:20;6686:5; | 6705:19;6706:1; | provided (5) | rate (1) | received (1) |
| prepare (2) | proceed (4) | 6738:7;6748:16; | $\begin{array}{r} 6733: 15 \\ \text { rather (3) } \end{array}$ | recent (2) |
| 6696:6;6731 | 6675:18;6683: | 6751:16 | 6738:1;6756:8,9 | 6694:4,14 |
| prepared (1) | 6729:24;6755:17 | provides (1) | rational (1) | recently (2) |
|  | $\mathbf{P}$ |  | 6686:12 | $67$ |
| 6676:8;6677:17; | process | 6707:13 | 6709:2;6724:11 | $6729: 18,19$ |
| 6713:2;6730:24; | 6701:24 | province (1) | read (22) | recitation (1) |
| 6731:3;6750:23; | produce (1) | 6686:17 | 6683:16;6684:3,12; | 6738:16 |
|  |  | provision (3) 6719:18;67 | 6701:6;6703:6,22; | recite (2) |
| 6711:9,14,16,21; | 6679:19;6697:23 | 6722:11 | 6718:10;6719:11,23; | recognize (6) |
| 6713:3,8,12;6714:5; | produces (1) | publication (1) | 6720:3;6722:6; | 6675:24;6677:3; |
| $6721: 2 ; 6722: 22 ;$ $6723 \cdot 24 \cdot 6724 \cdot 25$. | 6716:25 | 6676:12 | 6727:10;6736:20; | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6680:1;6703:2;6707:4; } \\ & 6731 \cdot 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6723:24;6724:25; | $\begin{array}{r} \text { professional (6) } \\ 6714: 24 ; 6715: \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { published (1) } \\ 6734: 11 \end{gathered}$ | 6744:12;6748:16; | 6731:18 <br> recognized (2) |
| 6743:20;6749:12 | $21 ; 6716: 15,21$ | pull (11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6751:15,20;6752:6 } \\ & \text { 6753:22 } \end{aligned}$ | 6731:16;6732:10 |
| presentation (7) | professionally (1) | 6702:25;6714:17,19; | reading (3) | reconcile (1) |
| 6680:9,12,17; | 6733:18 | 6717:17;6735:6,10,24; | $6678: 15 ; 6750: 14$ | 6749:22 |
| 6690:12;6704:1 |  | 6736:1,11;6737 pullup (1) | 6753:14 | reco |
| presented (13) | 9;6679:12;6680:1; | 6739:12 | reads (1) | 24;6683:17;6684:10; |
| 6679:20;6680:15,23; | 6683:23;6685:11; | purpose (2) | real (17) | 6688:7,20;6693:12; |
| 6681:1;6682:8; | 6686:3;6688:8,14,18; | 6723:1;6752 | 6690:20;6691:11,13, | 6699:23;6703:6,22; |
| 6719:13,19;6722:12; | 6690:18;6691:8; | put (13) | $14,18 ; 6692: 1 ; 6693: 16$ | 6704:13;6712:1; |
| 6743:1,8;6744:3,18; 6750:10 | 6693:16;6695:9; | 6676:25;6681:17 | $6726: 20 ; 6741: 14,19$ | 6718:10;6719:11,23; |
| 6750:10 | 6696:9;6697:16; 6699:3;6703:2;6707:4; | 6688:18;6689:8 | 6746:3,5,8,13;6753:12, | 6722:6;6733:4; |
| 6675:2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6699:3;6703:2;6707:4; } \\ & \text { 6710:18;6711:6; } \end{aligned}$ | 98:7;6707:1;6718:7; | $\begin{gathered} 15,18 \\ \text { realize (1) } \end{gathered}$ | recorded (2) |
| press (1) | 6712:15;6713:1; | 6719:4,25;6720:23; | $66$ | 6698:10;6745:24 |
| 6675:5 | 6717:11;6719:8; | 6743:13 | really | recording (1) |
| retty (1) | 6722:9;6724:23; | putting (1) | 6677:19;6680:13 | 6675:5 |
| 6751:8 | 6730:9;6733:14,15; | 6755:11 | 6688:1;6693:11; | red (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { previously (1) } \\ & 6744: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6735:15,23;6739:2,18; } \\ & \text { 6749:8;6754:3 } \end{aligned}$ | Q | 6707:20;6708:1; | $\begin{array}{r} 6711: 7,11 \\ \text { redirect (4) } \end{array}$ |
| price (1) | profit (1) |  | $6731: 14$ | 6685:21;6728:10; |
| 94:25 | 6726 | qualified (4) | reason (2) | 6747:3;6754:10 |
| prima (5) | program (1) | 6691:16;6708: | $6680: 25 ; 6720$ | reference (3) |
| 6682:12,15,18,20,20 | 6733:14 | 6732:19,21 | reasonable (1) | 6679:23;6734:16; |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { primarily (1) } \\ 6679: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { promised (2) } \\ 6747: 1,1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { qualifies (1) } \\ 6733.9 \end{gathered}$ | 6729:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6752:20 } \\ \text { referred (3) } \end{gathered}$ |
| primary (4) | promulgated (1) | qualify (1) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { rebut (9) } \\ 6681: 6 ; 6683: 1 ; \end{array}$ | 6691:9;6708:16; |
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| 6754:19 | 1;6748:17;6757:21 | reversible (1) | 67 | send (2) |
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| relating | requir | reviewed (5) | 6734:13,18,21,2 | $6727: 1$ |
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| $6722: 14,18,19$ | 24;6721:1;6722:20; | 6757:21 | 09:4;6711:8;6715:6, | test (2) |
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| 6723:19 | 6702:19;6704:9; | 6675:9 | 24;6748:5,10 | 6717:6,15;6720:2; |
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| 6684:8;6706:20; | 6711:18,18;6714:25; | 6749:15,20 | $6690: 19 ; 6740: 1$ | testify (3) |
| 6728:12;6730:18; | 6715:13,16,21;6716:3, | strictures (1) | 6751:4 | 6681:4;6721:11; |
| 6738:1;6742:18; | $6,10,15,21 ; 6719: 1$ | $6723: 14$ | sure (14) | 6739:3 |
| $6752: 1$ | $6733: 10,12 ; 6740: 10$ | strike (4) | 6675:3;6684:13 | testimony (37) |
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| 6681:5,6;6692:13; | 6743:19;6748:18,21; | 6751:7;6752:1;6754:1, | $6730: 15$ | 6684:1;6695:20,25; |
| 6699:24;6706:18,23; | 6750:1,22;6751:17; | 8 | taxpayers (1) | 6720:14;6727:18 |
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| 6721:4,5 | 6703:13;6704:2,5,10, | 6724:18;6755:2 | 6734:10;6742:7 | three (3) |
| speeches (2) | 19;6707:14;6709:5; | submit (1) | teaching (4) | 6677:18;6707:1 |
| 6692:24;6734:5 | 6713:3,11;6714:16; | 754:25 | 6732:7,12,20;6733:9 | 6740:19 |
| spelled (1) | 6716:5,5;6726:13; | substantial (1) | team (1) | Thursday ( |
| 6702:18 | 6733:4;6735:7,17; | 6717:9 | 6738:2 | 6758:1 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |
| 6712:10;674 | 6679:9 | 6755:1 | 727:1 | 6724:24;6726:12 |
| took (2) | 6702-22 67 | us |  | 6747:2,6 |
| 6728:16;6757:21 | 6704:23;6706:5 | 16,6687 | 6695:21;6696: | ways (2) |
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| ) | 54:6 | valuations (2) | 6685:1,9,18;6686: | 6757:22 |
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| 6697:7,12;6710:12, | $6704: 3$ | 699:9;6711:9,14,1c | $722: 3,5,21 ; 6723: 18,$ | $6753: 25$ |
| 20;6726:13 | undiscount | 13:4,8,12;6714:5 | ;6724:22;6725:16, | within (6) |
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| 6686:1;6693:4,6; | 6695:8;6698:7; | 9;6744:3,5;6749:3; | 6755:9,16,24;6756:3, | 6749:16 |
| 6740:22;6743:4; | 6702:23,25;6707:1; | 6750:4,9;6754:7 | 11,18,25;6757:15,24 | witness (44) |
| 6746:24;6749:18; | 6714:17,19;6717:17 | valued (2) | wandering (2) | 675:17;66 |
| 6751:25 | 6718:7;6719:4,25; | 6699:15;6 | 6720:10;6721:1 | 6681:3,10,19;6682:1 |
| trying (7) | 6725:16;6735:6,10,24 | values (10) | wants (2) | 16;6683:2;6686:22; |
| $6691: 3 ; 670$ | 6736:1,11,13;6737:7,9; | $6695: 20,25 ; 6696$ | 6749:12;6755:20 | 6687:10;6691:13; |
| 6708:3;6720:25; | $6742: 2,3,6,8$ | 6711:21;6713:2; | water (1) | 6692:1,22,23,25; |
| 6722:5,25;6733:2 | upon (4) | 15:10;6717:24; | 6757:20 | 6700:6;6711:24; |
| turn (8) | 6676:6,20;6677:15; | 6718:13,16;6743:20 | way (14) | 6713:23;6720:8; |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6676:3;6684:1; } \\ & \text { 6704:12;6718:9; } \end{aligned}$ | 6719:15 <br> use (8) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { valuing (2) } \\ & 6714: 19 ; 6740: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6675:9;6685:6; } \\ & \text { 6697:13;6705:20; } \end{aligned}$ | 6721:3,11;6722:25; $6723: 4,5,12,12,15,17$ |


| 19,22;6724:5;6728:15, | 6757:23 | 6703:5 | 35-1 (2) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15;6729:14,23; | years (1) | 2009 (1) | 6743:18;6744:17 | 6 |
| 6738:20;6739:3; | 6692:3 | 6697:25 | 3511 (3) |  |
| 6740:4;6744:16,22; | yesterday (3) | 2011 (1) | 6719:12;6722:7; | 6 (1) |
| 6751:7;6754:12,13,14 | 6728:24,25;6733:7 | 6698:5 | 6725:11 | 6718:15 |
| witnesses (5) | York (1) | 2014 (1) | 360,000 (1) |  |
| 6693:9;6723:7,8,9; |  | 6717:20 | $6730: 8$ | 7 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 6729: 1 \\ \text { word (7) } \end{array}$ | $\mathbf{Z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2015 \text { (1) } \\ & 6697: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \text { (2) } \\ & 6675: 1 ; 6729: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 72 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6690:16;6755:5,6, } \\ & \text { 13;6756:2,3,8 } \end{aligned}$ | zero (2) | $\begin{array}{r\|} \hline 2020(1) \\ 6698: 5 \end{array}$ | 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 6676: 3 \\ & 730(1) \end{aligned}$ |
| word-for-word (1) | 6735:2,3 | 2024 (1) |  | 6718:7 |
| words (16) | 1 | 21 (3) | 6702:23,24;6703:5; | 8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6684:18,22,23,25; } \\ & \text { 6685:12;6686:4; } \end{aligned}$ | 10 (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6677:10;6680:8; } \\ & \text { 6689:10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6718: 15 ; 6752: 5 \\ & 40 \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6687:20;6740:16; | 6754:18;6758:2 | $2150 \text { (1) }$ | $6730: 8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \text { (12) } \\ & 6697: 24,25 ; 6698: 2, \end{aligned}$ |
| 6745:9,10,11;6750:14; | 101 (1) | 6749:23 | $418 \text { (1) }$ | 3;6702:22;6703:3; |
| 6755:6;6756:10,15,15 work (10) | $6687: 22$ 11 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \text { (1) } \\ & 6699: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6754: 19 \\ 4258(1) \end{gathered}$ | $6705: 24 ; 6710: 7,10,10$ |
| 6676:15,21;6681:12; | 6758:2 | $25,000(3)$ | 6688:20 | $\begin{aligned} & 19,19 \\ & 88(1) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6697:21;6698:3; 6705:16:6706:11: | 11:45 (4) $6728: 23: 6729: 2.8 .12$ | $6755: 6 ; 6756: 10,15$ | $4276 \text { (2) }$ | 68752:3 |
| 6709:1,7;6730:11; | 11th (2) | 6703:1;6752:3 | $4277 \text { (2) }$ | 9 |
| 6733:8;6747:23,25; | 6675:9;6754:18 | 274 (38) | 6695:9,19 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6755:21;6756:12; } \\ & 6757: 21 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12(1) \\ & 6704: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6679:13,16,17; } \\ & \text { 6680:3,6,25;6681:18; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4339 \text { (3) } \\ & \quad 6717: 18 ; 6727: 4,6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90s (1) } \\ & 6693: 22 \end{aligned}$ |
| worked (3) | 12:50 (1) | 6684:24;6688:15,22; | 4340 (2) | 92 (1) |
| 6705:15;6744:11; | 6747:2 | 6689:3,18;6695:15,23; | 6717:18;6727:4 | 6676:3 |
| $6757: 9$ | 13 (5) | 6696:4,11;6697:9; | $4381 \text { (2) }$ |  |
| works (3) | 6688:22;6689:10; | 6711:13;6719:2,19; | 6698:7;6699:5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6693:17;6734:11; } \\ & 6750: 19 \end{aligned}$ | 6705:19;6752:5,5 $14 \text { (2) }$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6722:11;6725:9; } \\ & \text { 6726:22;6734:11; } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 4382(1) \\ 6698: 8 \end{array}$ |  |
| world (14) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 7(2) } \\ & \text { 6714:24;6725:20 } \end{aligned}$ | 6735:6;6738:12; | $4415(1)$ |  |
| 6690:20;6691:11,13, | 14,000 (1) | 6741:2,3,9;6742:23; | 6714:19 |  |
| 15,18;6692:1;6693:16; | 6734:21 | 6743:5;6745:18; | 4416 (1) |  |
| 6746:3,5,8,13;6753:12, | 15 (2) | 6746:14;6747:22,23; | 6714:19 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 15,18 \\ \text { worse (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6677:10;6689:1 } \\ & 150,000(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6748: 7,8,8 \\ & 27410(1) \end{aligned}$ | 5 |  |
| 6733:14 | 6730:3 | 6689:6 |  |  |
| worth (3) | 16 (1) | 274-10-05-2 (1) | 5 (1) |  |
| 6685:24;6692:9; | 6705:20 | 6683:12 | 6718:15 |  |
| 6694:6 | 1609 (7) | 274-10-20 (1) | 50 (1) |  |
| would' (2) | 6679:25;6689:8; | 6737:10 | 6756:7 |  |
| 6739:5,9 | 6719:5;6725:21; | 274-10-35 (1) | 55 (1) |  |
| write (1) | 6735:12,13;6736:12 | 6719:3 | 6689:6 |  |
| 6748:14 | 17 (2) | 274-10-35-1 (2) | 551 (3) |  |
| writes (1) | 6717:21;6727:6 | 6743:18;6745:2 | 6689:25;6690:2; |  |
| 6748:11 | 1715 (1) | 6725.25 (1) | 6694:9 |  |
| written (6) | 6683:24 | 6725:25 | 55-1 (6) |  |
| 6677:20;6680:6; <br> 6690:4:6711:17,18. | $\begin{aligned} & 1778(1) \\ & 6675: 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 274-10-55 (1) } \\ 6741 \cdot 10 \end{array}$ | $6689: 12,13 ; 6742: 2,$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6690:4;6711:17,18; } \\ & 6757 \cdot 00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6675: 23 \\ & 1783 \text { (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 2nd (1) | $\begin{gathered} 3,6,8 \\ \text { 55-1A (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| wrong (3) | 6677:2 | 6757:20 | 6689:2 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6722: 24 ; 6738: 10 ; \\ & 6754: 7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1990s (1) } \\ 6693: 22 \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { 55-6 (1) } \\ 6741: 25 \end{array}$ |  |
| wrote (1) | $1995 \text { (1) }$ |  | 55-7 (5) |  |
| 6741:4 | 6694:11 | 3 (1) | 6725:19,22;6741:11, |  |
| Y | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 6718: 15 \\ & 30(1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13;6742:1 } \\ & \text { 5th (1) } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  | 6692:3 | 6754:17 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { year (3) } \\ & 6697: 7 ; 6730: 8 ; \end{aligned}$ | 2 (4) 6680:8;6702:23,24; | $\begin{aligned} & 35(2) \\ & 6718: 6,25 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
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Pursuant to Section $202.8-\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{b})$ of the Uniform Civil Rules for the Supreme Court and County Court and the Court's Order dated June 9, 2023 (NYSCEF No. 636), Plaintiff the People of the State of New York, by their attorney, Letitia James, Attorney General of the State of New York ("OAG"), submit the following response to Defendants' Statement of Material Facts ("Defs.' Statement"):

## General Objections:

1. Defendants have either failed to maintain and preserve or failed to produce documents that would establish certain factual assertions made here. For example, Defendants assert that "Mr. Trump previously served as a Trustee for the [Donald J. Trump Revocable] Trust for a period of time." See infra ๆ1. Defendants, however, have failed to produce complete records of the trust, including the specific periods of time when Mr. Trump and others were Trustees. Faherty Opp. Aff. at $9 \mathbb{T l |} 4-7 .{ }^{1}$ Similarly, Defendants assert that Jeffrey McConney "was employed as the Controller of the Trump Corporation until 2021." See infra $\mathbb{\|}$ 5. However, they have failed to produce any severance agreement signed by Mr. McConney, or any evidence that such an agreement exists and dictates the terms of Mr. McConney's severance payments. Faherty Opp. Aff. at $9 \mathbb{l l l} 8$-11. Accordingly, Plaintiff objects to Defendants' assertion of a material fact that is based on documents demanded by Plaintiff, but which Defendants could not produce.
2. Throughout Defendants' Statement of Material Facts, Defendants refer to Donald J. Trump as "President Trump." Plaintiff asserts a general objection to the repeated use of such defined

[^13]term because that shorthand factually mischaracterizes Mr. Trump's status for the time period prior to Jan. 20, 2017, as well as periods after Jan. 20, 2021 (when Mr. Trump became the "former President"). See Former Presidents Act, Pub. L. 85-745, 3 U.S.C. § 102 note, available at https://www.archives.gov/about/laws/former-presidents.html (defining "former President" as a person "(1) who shall have held the office of President of the United States of America; (2) whose service in such office shall have terminated other than by removal pursuant to section 4 of article $\Pi$ of the Constitution of the United States of America; and (3) who does not then currently hold such office.") Plaintiff generally disputes the use of this abbreviation when it is factually inaccurate, even when that objection is not stated specifically.
3. Plaintiff generally objects to and otherwise disputes Defendants' reliance on Mr. Trump's 2021 Statement of Financial Condition ("SFC" or "SOFC") (NYSCEF No. 15) in Paragraphs 18-44, infra, as evidence for any assertions of undisputed material fact, given the evidence that information set forth in Mr. Trump's SOFCs is often unreliable, misleading and/or false. See, e.g., Response to Defs.' Statement 『 44, infra.

## Specific Objections and Responses to Defendants' Statement of Material Facts:

## I. Parties

1. Donald J. Trump ("President Trump") is the 45th President of the United States and the sole beneficiary of The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended (the "Trust"). Robert Aff., Ex. A ("Compl.") ${ }^{1}$ qT $29-30$. President Trump previously served as a Trustee for the Trust for a period of time. See, e.g., Robert Aff., Ex. AAA. President Trump also served as President and Chairman of the Trump Organization, Inc. and Trump Organization, LLC until January 19, 2017. NYSCEF No. 501 || 29.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Mr. Trump was the 45th President of the United States between Jan. 20,

2017 and Jan. 20, 2021. It is further undisputed that Mr. Trump is the sole beneficiary of The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended (the "Trust") and has served as a Trustee for the Trust; however, Defendants have failed to document the structure of and amendments to the Trust, including the specific time periods when Mr. Trump and others served as Trustees. See supra, Gen. Obj. ${ }^{-1} 1$.

Defs' Statement Footnote 1: Citations to the Complaint by paragraph number in support of averments made in this Statement of Undisputed Material Facts are intended only to provide support for such averments, and are not intended to adopt all allegations set forth in the cited paragraph as undisputed facts.

RESPONSE: To the extent that Defendants cite to the Complaint as evidence for facts that the parties agree are undisputed, Plaintiff accepts these factual representations, which have been signed and sworn to by Defendants' counsel, as formal judicial admissions that are binding on all Defendants. See Morel ex rel. Hernandez v. Schenker, 64 A.D.3d 403, 403 (1st Dep’t 2009) ("[A]dmissions made by counsel on behalf of their clients are binding").
2. Donald Trump, Jr. is a trustee of the Trust, Compl. ๆ 38, and served, or currently serves, as the Executive Vice President ("EVP") for various corporate entities held by the Trust, NYSCEF No. 501 § 32; Compl. ๆ| 31, Ex. 2.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
3. Eric Trump is Chairman of the Advisory Board of the Trust, Compl. © 35, and served, or currently serves, as the EVP for various corporate entities held by the Trust, NYSCEF No. 501 \| 32; Compl. ${ }^{[141, ~ E x . ~} 2$.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
4. Allen Weisselberg was employed as the Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Corporation from 2003 until July 2021. NYSCEF No. 501 \| 37. Mr. Weisselberg also served as Trustee for the Trust beginning on or about 2017 through 2021. NYSCEF No. 501 『 38. On January 20, 2021, Mr. Weisselberg was removed as Trustee for the Trust. See Robert Aff. at Ex. AP.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Allen Weisselberg served as Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Corporation but disputed to the extent that admitted fact implies his role within the Trump Organization was limited to the Trump Corporation. See, e.g., Plaintiff's Rule 202.8-g Statement of Material Facts ("Pl.'s Statement") ${ }^{9} \boldsymbol{q} 7$ 710-735. Further disputed to the extent the assertion implies Mr. Weisselberg left the employ of the Trump Organization in July 2021. Mr. Weisselberg testified he retained the role of Senior Advisor after his guilty plea. Ex. 1001 at 291-293. Moreover, Mr. Weisselberg's severance agreement-between him and "The Trump Corporation, and/or its parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, and related entities," and produced by counsel in this action to OAG-states that his employment ended on December 30, 2022 and that he remains subject to obligations as a condition of receiving severance over a period of years. Ex. 1002. That agreement is dated January 9, 2023.
5. Jeffrey McConney was employed as the Controller of the Trump Corporation until 2021. Compl. ๆ 39; NYSCEF No. 501 § 39.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the representation that Mr. McConney ceased to be the Controller in 2021. Jeffrey McConney was still employed by the Trump Organization as of February 28, 2023 and signed a severance agreement. Ex. 1003 at 345:15-347:23, 351:20-353:07. Moreover, Mr. McConney's email signature block on communications related to Trump Organization financial records included the title of "SVP/Controller" and listed the Trump Organization's business address (725 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10022) as late as November 2022. Ex.
$1004 .{ }^{2}$
6. The Trust is a Florida trust that was created under the laws of the state of New York and owns various companies for the exclusive benefit of President Trump. NYSCEF No. 501 \| 30.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
7. The Trump Organization, Inc. is a New York entity. NYSCEF No. 501 || 27.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
8. Trump Organization LLC is a New York entity. NYSCEF 501 ๆ 27.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
9. DJT Holdings LLC is a Delaware limited liability company with a principal place of business in New York. Compl. $\mathbb{T}$ 27(c).

RESPONSE: Undisputed
10. DJT Holdings Managing Member is a Delaware limited liability company registered to do business in New York. Compl. \|| 27(d).

RESPONSE: Undisputed
11. Trump Endeavour 12 LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that owns Trump National Doral. Compl. 『| 28(a); NYSCEF No. 501 \| 28.

RESPONSE: Undisputed except to note the correct spelling is Trump Endeavor 12 LLC. See Pl. Statement Ex. 254 at 1.
12. 401 North Wabash Venture LLC is a Delaware limited liability company that owns Trump International Hotel \& Tower Chicago. Compl. ๆ 28(b); NYSCEF No. 501 || 28.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
13. Trump Old Post Office LLC is Delaware limited liability company that held a ground

[^14]lease to operate Trump International Hotel, Washington, DC. Compl. ๆ 28(c); NYSCEF No. 501 \| 28.
RESPONSE: Undisputed
14. 40 Wall Street LLC is a New York limited liability company that holds a ground lease for 40 Wall Street, New York, NY. Compl. ๆ 28(d); NYSCEF No. 501 ๆ| 28.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
15. Seven Springs LLC is a New York limited liability company that owns the Seven Springs property located within the towns of Bedford, New Castle, and North Castle in Westchester County, New York. Compl. 【1 28(e); NYSCEF No. 501 \| 28.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
16. The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, The Trump Organization, Inc., Trump Organization LLC, DJT Holdings LLC, DJT Holdings Managing Member, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, 40 Wall Street LLC, and Seven Springs, LLC are all distinct entities. See Robert Aff., Ex. S ("Pereless Dep.") 148:13-152:8.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Further disputed because all entities within the Trump Organization are under common control and financially interdependent. As the Trump Organization's Assistant Controller, Donna Kidder, testified, "Prior to Donald Trump becoming President of the United States, everything that needed funding for properties that he owned would flow through him . . . And if there was a distribution for excess cash, the chain would have flowed the same way only up to DJT, Donald J. Trump." Ex. 1003 at 103:25-104:10. Donna Kidder further testified that, after Mr. Trump became President, funding for properties that required funding would have come from the Trust and distributions from properties with positive cash flow could have distributed funds to the Trust through a variety of other entities. Ex. 1003 at 104:22-107:6. Donna Kidder further testified, for example, that she typically obtained weekly updates from Trump Organization properties regarding their cash positions because they might either have excess cash that
could be swept to headquarters or require funding for their operations, and that she would "fund" such requests. Ex. 1003 at 246:19-248:15.

## II. Relevant Assets

17. Below is a list of the relevant assets listed in the SOFCs that are beneficially owned by President Trump. See generally Compl. at Exs. 3-13.

RESPONSE: No response needed.

## a. Cash and Cash Equivalents

18. Figures for cash and cash equivalents represent amounts held by President Trump and amounts in operating entities. NYSCEF No. 15, p.4.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, as the cited 2021 SOFC does not accurately represent the cash and cash equivalents that were under Mr. Trump's control. See Pl.'s Statement qT 384 - 406 and accompanying exhibits; see also General Objections ๆ 3, supra.

## b. Real and Operating Properties

19. Trump Tower. Trump Tower is a sixty-eight-story mixed used property located at 725 Fifth Avenue in New York, NY. NYSCEF No. 15, p.10. The building has commercial space, which includes residential condominiums owned by the residents. NYSCEF No. 15, p.10; Compl. ๆ 51(c). The property includes the Manhattan flagship retail location of Gucci America Inc., as well as office tenants such as IOCC Industries, Inc., S.S. Steiner, Inc., and Industrial and Commercial Bank of China. NYSCEF No. 15, p. 10.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Trump Tower is a commercial and residential property located at 725 Fifth Avenue in New York, NY, except that Defendants' own records-as provided to Allen Weisselberg by email-state that the height of Trump Tower according to the Certificate of Occupancy on file with
the New York City Department of Buildings is 58 stories and 661 feet. Ex. 1005; Ex. 1006 at -67.
20. Trump Tower Triplex. This is a triplex apartment on the top three floors of Trump Tower. NYSCEF No. 15, p. 16.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
21. Niketown. Niketown represents two long-term ground leasehold estates for the land and building located between Fifth and Madison Avenues and principally on 57th Street in New York City. NYSCEF No. 15, p.10; Compl. ๆ1 51(e). The property, leased to NIKE Retail Services Inc., is subleased to Tiffany \& Co as its flagship store. NYSCEF No. 15, p.10.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, except that the cited sublease is described in the cited document as a temporary sublease to Tiffany \& Co. while it performed major renovations on its flagship store.
22. 40 Wall Street. 40 Wall Street is a 72-story tower located in lower Manhattan that contains a mix of office and retail space. NYSCEF No. 15, p.11; Compl. q\| 41 (f), 113. 40 Wall Street LLC owns the long-term ground lease for this property. NYSCEF No. 15, p.11.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, except that certificate of occupancy filings in the Trump Organization's possession state that 40 Wall Street is 70 stories tall, and other Trump Organization documents state the building is only leasable up to the 63rd floor. Ex. 1007; Ex. 1008.
23. Trump Park Avenue. Trump Park Avenue is a property consisting of 134 residential condominium units that range from one to seven bedrooms. NYSCEF No. 15, p.11. The property also includes three commercial condominium units containing approximately 30,000 square feet of commercial space. NYSCEF No. 15, p.11.

RESPONSE: Undisputed except to the extent the asserted fact suggests that Mr. Trump or the Trump Organization own 134 residential units or that none are rent-stabilized. See Pl.'s Statement q\|I 336-363. The backup material for the Trump Park Avenue valuation for the 2012 Statement, for example, identifies only 21 units (Pl.'s Statement Ex. 147), and the backup material for that property's valuation for the 2021

Statement identifies only 17 units (Pl.'s Statement Ex. 156).
24. Mansion at Seven Springs. Seven Springs is a property in Bedford, New York, consisting of over 200 acres of land, a mansion, and other buildings. NYSCEF No. 15, p.16. A portion of the land is encumbered by a conservation easement. NYSCEF No. 15, p.16. Seven Springs LLC owns the Seven Springs property. NYSCEF No. 15, p.16. Compl. ๆ| 51(h).

RESPONSE: Undisputed except that the Seven Springs Property is in the towns of Bedford, North Castle, and New Castle, New York, as acknowledged previously by Defendants. See supra ๆ15.
25. Trump International Hotel \& Tower, Chicago ("Trump Chicago"). Trump Chicago is a condominium-hotel building located in Chicago, Illinois. Compl. \| 51(i). 401 North Wabash Venture LLC owns the building doing business as Trump Chicago. Compl. 『 28(b).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
26. Trump Old Post Office, Washington, DC ("OPO"). OPO refers to the Old Post Office on Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington, D.C. Compl. ๆ 51(j). In February 2012, Trump Old Post Office LLC was awarded a ground lease from the General Services Administration ("GSA") to redevelop the "Old Post Office" on Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington, D.C. Compl.
q\| $51(\mathrm{j}), 626$.
RESPONSE: Undisputed.
27. The Mar-a-Lago Club ("Mar-a-Lago"). Mar-a-Lago is an exclusive, private club consisting of 117 rooms in Palm Beach, Florida. NYSCEF No. 15, p.4. Mar-a-Lago features a 20,000 square foot Louix [sic] XIV style ballroom, dining, tennis courts, a spa, cabanas, and guest cottages. NYSCEF No. 15, p. 4.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Mar-a-Lago is a private club in Palm Beach, Florida, and otherwise disputed as unsupported by the cited evidence.
28. Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff Manor ("TNGC Briarcliff"). TNGC

Briarcliff is a golf club in Briarcliff Manor, New York. NYSCEF No. 15, p.5.
RESPONSE: Undisputed.
29. Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Valley ("TNGC Hudson Valley"). TNGC Hudson Valley is a golf club in Hopewell Junction, New York. NYSCEF No. 15, p.7.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
30. Trump National Golf Club, Jupiter ("TNGC Jupiter"). TNGC Jupiter is a golf club located just north of Palm Beach, Florida. NYSCEF No. 15, p.8.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
31. Trump National Golf Club, Los Angeles ("TNGC LA"). TNGC LA is a golf club located on the bluffs of the southernmost point of the Palos Verdes Peninsula in California. NYSCEF No. 15, p. 5 .

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
32. Trump National Golf Club, Bedminster ("TNGC Bedminster"). TNGC Bedminster is a 580-acre golf club in Bedminster, New Jersey. NYSCEF No. 15, p.6.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that TNGC Bedminster is a golf club in Bedminster, New Jersey. The acreage figure is disputed by other records prepared by the Trump Organization or its agents. Ex. 1009 at -44 ; Ex. 1010.
33. Trump National Golf Club, Washington, DC ("TNGC DC"). TNGC DC is a golf club outside of Washington, DC. NYSCEF No. 15, p.6.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
34. Trump National Golf Club, Philadelphia ("TNGC Philadelphia"). TNGC Philadelphia is a 365 -acre property with views of the Philadelphia skyline in Pine Hill, New Jersey. NYSCEF No. 15, p. 7.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
35. Trump National Golf Club, Charlotte ("TNGC Charlotte"). TNGC Charlotte is a golf club located in Mooresville, North Carolina. NYSCEF No. 15, p.8. RESPONSE: Undisputed.
36. Trump National Doral ("Doral"). Doral is a golf club located on over 650 acres in Doral, Florida. NYSCEF No. 15, p.7. Trump Endeavor 12 LLC owns the property doing business as Trump Doral. Compl. \|| 28(a).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
37. Trump International Golf Club, Scotland, Aberdeen ("Trump Aberdeen"). Trump Aberdeen is a golf club located on over 1,200 acres on the Northeast Coast of Scotland. NYSCEF No. 15, p. 9.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
38. Trump International Golf Club in Scotland, Turnberry ("Trump Turnberry"). Trump Turnberry is a golf club located in South Ayrshire, Scotland. NYSCEF No. 15, p.9. Trump Turnberry is home to the renowned Ailsa golf course, which hosted the Open Championship in 1977. NYSCEF No. 15, p. 9 .

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
39. Trump National Golf Club, Colts Neck ("TNGC Colts Neck"). TNGC Colts Neck is a golf club located in Colts Neck, New Jersey. NYSCEF No. 15, p.6.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
40. Palm Beach Properties. This includes three properties in Palm Beach, Florida: 1094 South Ocean Boulevard, 124 Woodbridge Drive, and 1125 South Ocean Boulevard. Robert Aff., Ex. V ("Donald Trump Dep.") at 225-227.

RESPONSE: Undisputed

## c. Partnerships and Joint Ventures

41. $\mathbf{1 2 9 0}$ Avenue of the Americas in New York, NY (" 1290 Avenue of the Americas") and 555 California Street in San Francisco, California ("555 California Street") (collectively, "Vornado Partnership Interests"). In May 2017, President Trump and Vornado Realty Trust became partners in two properties: 1290 Avenue of the Americas and 555 California Street. NYSCEF No. 15, p.14. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containing approximately $2,000,000$ leasable square feet and 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office buildings comprising approximately $1,700,000$ leasable square feet along with a subterranean garage. NYSCEF No. 15, p. 14.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence, including because the cited document states that Mr. Trump and Vornado became partners in these two properties in 2007.
42. Trump International Hotel and Tower, Las Vegas, Nevada ("Trump Las Vegas"). Trump Las Vegas is a luxury hotel condominium tower near the Las Vegas Strip that was built in a joint venture with Phillip Ruffin. NYSCEF No. 15, p.15. The property is the tallest hotel condominium tower in Las Vegas with over 1,200 hotel condominium units. NYSCEF No. 15, p.15.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Trump Las Vegas is a hotel condominium building located at 2000 Fashion Show Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada, and that it was built in a joint venture with Philip Ruffin; otherwise disputed. Concerning the building's height, an appraisal prepared by an agent of the Trump Organization states that the building is approximately 624 feet tall. Ex. 1011 at -847. Whether that figure is taller than other buildings or hotel condominium towers in Las Vegas is immaterial but not established by the cited evidence.

## d. Real Estate Licensing Developments

43. Figures for real estate licensing developments represent expected cash flow to be derived from associations with developers of quality property seeking to do business with President

Trump because of his skill and reputation. NYSCEF No. 15, p.16.
RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted facts. Further disputed because the amounts shown on the SOFC under "Real Estate Licensing Developments" include intercompany contracts that are not with outside developers, as well as purely speculative


## III. The Statements of Financial Condition

44. The 2011 through 2021 Statements of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump were annual compilation reports which identified and described the assets and liabilities of President Trump, and later, of the Trust, and provided President Trump's net worth (hereinafter, "SOFC" or "SOFCs"). NYSCEF No. 501 ๆ 51; Compl. q\| 6, 52-54.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the 2011 through 2021 Statements of Financial Condition of Donald J. Trump were annual compilation reports that purported to describe the assets and liabilities of Mr. Trump and the Trust as well as Mr. Trump's net worth, but disputed that they did so truthfully and accurately and without misleading content, including for the following reasons.

Plaintiff's valuation experts, Constantine Korologos and Laurence Hirsh, have provided guidance regarding the real estate valuation methodologies used and the respective conclusions of estimated current value provided in Mr. Trump's SFCs for each of the years 2011 through 2021. Ex. 1012 \| 1; Ex. 1013 at 2. Mr. Korologos analyzed the valuation methodologies provided in the defendant's estimated current values conclusions, and adjusted them giving consideration for inconsistencies, omissions, nonmarket methodologies applied and factually incorrect assumptions, for certain commercial and real estate properties listed in Mr. Trump's SFCs for each of the years 2011 through 2021. Ex. 1012 || 1. Mr. Korologos concluded that " $[t]$ he values of certain assets listed in the SOFCs contain inconsistencies, omissions, and misleading information, and do not utilize methodologies and procedures used by
informed buyers and sellers in the marketplace and are therefore unreliable and misleading." Ex. 1012 \| 15. By taking Defendants' valuations as a starting point and adjusting for discernable factual or methodological errors (such as Defendants' failure to discount cash flows to estimate current value, use of low unsupported cap rates, and use of incorrect square footage values), Mr. Korologos concluded that these adjustments resulted in "significant reduction in value for the assets that [he] assessed" was warranted. Ex. 1012 || 15. Mr. Korologos then calculated a range of values reflecting the minimum estimated overstatement of value for each of the properties he considered. See Ex. 1012 qT1 87 (40 Wall Street); 105 (Trump Tower); 119 (Niketown); 135 (Trump Park Avenue); 152 (Vornado Partnerships); 165 (Seven Springs); 177 (Triplex); 188 (TNGC-LA Subdivision); 198 (TNGC-Briarcliff Subdivision); 219 (Aberdeen Residential Development).

Mr. Hirsh similarly identified significant discrepancies between the valuation methods employed by Defendants when valuing golf and club properties on Mr. Trump's SOFCs and "generally accepted valuation methodology" used by buyers and sellers of such properties. See Ex. 1013 at 15-24. Using the SFCs' valuations as a starting point and correcting for identifiable errors, Mr. Hirsh concluded that "the Statements of Financial Condition contain gross overstatements of golf club property values, which would likely be greater once an analysis of membership refund liability is completed and once an analysis of deferred maintenance was done." Ex. 1013 at 43. Mr. Hirsh then estimated a range of potential value overstatements by applying valuation methodologies accepted in the golf property marketplace to Defendants' own data, including the application of a market-based capitalization rate to net operating income for profitable courses and clubs (the Overall Rate or "OAR" method) and the application of a Gross Income Multiplier (or "GIM") to revenues for properties, including those with a negative cash flow. Ex. 1013 at 9-10, 12-13. Mr. Hirsh concluded that "[c]umulative value differences for the properties range from roughly $\$ 655.3$ million to $\$ 1.45$ Billion (OAR) and $\$ 740.3$ million to $\$ 1.3$ Billion (GIM), depending on the year examined." Ex. 1013 at 43.

OAG also asked accounting professor and expert Eric Lewis to review the valuation opinions of Mr. Korologos and Mr. Hirsh. Prof. Lewis assumed "that the highest values listed for the assets examined in Mr. Korologos' and Mr. Hirsh's reports are the appropriate adjusted amount of current value for purposes of my analysis." Ex. 1014 ๆ 138. Using this conservative approach to the valuation expert reports, and after considering only "the impact of the misstatements of value relating to the real estate assets reviewed by Mr. Korologos and Mr. Hirsh" but not "other material misstatements that are not considered in this analysis but would impact users of the Statements," Prof. Lewis concluded that Mr. Trump's SFCs "contained material misstatements regarding certain real estate assets" in each of the years between 2011 and 2021. Ex. 1014 I 138-39.

Based on this analysis, Prof. Lewis identified a "total minimum overstatement" of Mr. Trump's net worth as stated in the SFCs between 2011 and 2021 of between $\$ 1.46$ billion and $\$ 2.94$ billion depending on the year being evaluated. See Ex. 1014 TTI 143-164. By taking the SFCs’ statements of Mr. Trump’s net worth and subtracting the "total minimum overstatement" identified through the work of Mr. Korologos, Mr. Hirsh and Prof. Lewis, OAG's banking expert Michiel McCarty further calculated an adjusted net worth for Mr. Trump ranging from $\$ 1,943,690,000$ in 2011 to $\$ 3,070,648,756$ in 2021. See Ex. 1015 || 30.
45. Mazars, an accounting firm, compiled the SOFCs until 2020. Compl. ๆf 53.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
46. Another accounting firm, Whitley Penn, LLP, compiled the 2021 SOFC. Compl. \| 59

## RESPONSE: Undisputed

47. The asset values were prepared by personnel who were, in some instances, working in conjunction with outside professionals. Compl. ๆ 1 54; NYSCEF No. 15, p.3.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Further disputed because the cited evidence references the work of accounting firms Mazars and Whitley Penn in compiling the SOFCs, whereas the SOFCs falsely and misleadingly claimed that Mr. Trump and other Defendants had valued his properties in conjunction with "outside professionals." See, e.g., Pl.'s

Statement $9 \mathbb{T}$ 108-113 and supporting exhibits. Mazars and Whitley Penn, by the very nature of their working relationship in compiling the SOFCs, cannot have been the "outside professional" referenced in the SOFCs as "working in conjunction" with Trump Organization personnel to prepare asset values. See Pl.'s Statement qTI 1-27 and supporting exhibits.

By contrast, evidence shows that Defendants' specific claims to have worked with outside professionals to value their assets for the SOFCs were false and misleading. For example, when an appraiser with Cushman \& Wakefield was shown workpapers representing that he had provided a cap rate used to value Trump Tower in the 2016 SOFC, he testified that he had not provided the cap rate, that it was not being used appropriately in the valuation, and that it would be "inaccurate" for the SOFC and supporting papers to assert that he worked in conjunction with anyone at the Trump Organization to perform a valuation of Trump Tower in 2016-he performed no such work. Ex. 1016 at 143:16-147:20.
48. The asset values were then forwarded to the accounting firm, who would then use that data, among other things, to generate a compilation report of those valuations (i.e., the SOFC). Compl. q\| 6, 54; NYSCEF No. 501 || 61.

RESPONSE: Undisputed except as to the vague phrase "among other things," which Defendants inserted in one or more of their answers without clarification. NYSCEF No. 501 \| 61.
49. In addition to providing a schedule of assets and liabilities, the SOFC provided President Trump's net worth as of June 30 of the year it covered. Compl. If 6.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the SOFC listed certain assets and liabilities, and purported to provide Mr. Trump's net worth as of June 30 of the year it covered, but disputed that the net worth figure was true and accurate or otherwise not misleading. See supra, Response to Defs.' Statement q 44.
50. Unlike public companies, "private companies in the US need not prepare financial statements based on GAAP. However, for a variety of reasons (e.g., obtaining financing) private companies may choose to follow GAAP and although not subject to an external audit requirement, private
companies may choose voluntarily to have their financial statements audited." Robert Aff., Ex. AK ("Bartov Aff."), Ex. A ("Bartov Expert Report") ๆ 20.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, but this statement is not a material fact.
51. Nonetheless, the SOFCs were prepared pursuant to GAAP in compilation format in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 274. See Bartov Expert Report ${ }^{\text {| }}$ 32; Robert Aff., Ex. AI ("Flemmons Aff."), Ex. A ("Flemmons Expert Report") 『 25.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the SOFCs contain language stating that they were prepared in accordance with GAAP, and undisputed that they were prepared pursuant to compilation engagements, but disputed that the SOFC's actually complied with ASC 274 or otherwise complied with GAAP. See Ex. 1014 โी $61-137$ (concluding that while "Mr. Trump consistently represented that his financial statements were prepared and fairly presented in accordance with GAAP," the SOFCs in fact included significant departures from GAAP that "had a material impact on the financial statements and were not adequately disclosed by Mr. Trump in his Statements").
52. ASC 274 establishes "estimated current value" as the "valuation standard applicable to personal financial statements." Bartov Expert Report at ${ }^{\text {I }} 33$.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
53. Under GAAP preparers of financial statements have significant latitude when reporting asset values. Flemmons Expert Report at 4-7.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and unsupported by the cited evidence. Further disputed as contrary to the language of ASC 274, which requires that valuation methods "provide a satisfactory basis for determining the estimated current value of an asset" and which requires "sufficient disclosures to make the statements adequately informative." See Ex. 1014 qT/ 32-34, 63. Prof. Bartov similarly testified that any method used to determine a value for a Statement of Financial Condition must have the "goal of reaching estimated current value" and the "principle of estimated current value." See Robert Aff. Ex. AJ
at 338:13-19, 340:11-16.
54. "GAAP does not require a specific method to be used to estimate current value for a particular asset for personal financial statements, nor does GAAP require the same method to be used for all assets in the same group." Flemmons Expert Report ๆ| 31.

RESPONSE: Disputed, because under GAAP estimated current value must be determined using methods that reflect a potential exchange between a buyer and seller, each of whom is well-informed and willing, and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell. See Ex. 1014 9TI 32-34, 63. Prof. Bartov similarly testified that any method used to determine a value for a Statement of Financial Condition must have the "goal of reaching estimated current value" and the "principle of estimated current value." See Robert Aff. Ex. AJ at 338:13-19, 340:11-16.
55. Estimated current value "affords preparers substantial latitude in the selection of asset valuation models and the assumptions underlying those models." Bartov Expert Report ๆ| 33.

RESPONSE: Disputed, because under GAAP estimated current value must be determined using methods that reflect a potential exchange between a buyer and seller, each of whom is well-informed and willing, and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell. See Ex. 1014 q\| 32-34, 63 (citing ASC Topic 274). Prof. Bartov testified that any method used to determine a value for a Statement of Financial Condition must have the "goal of reaching estimated current value" and the "principle of estimated current value." See Robert Aff. Ex. AJ at 338:13-19, 340:11-16. Mr. Flemmons similarly testified that the valuation techniques listed in ASC 274 are intended to "meet that estimated current value definition," which is "the value at which an asset could be exchanged between a buyer and a seller." Robert Aff. Ex. AH at 86:2-91:12.
56. In a compilation engagement, an accountant provides no assurance or opinion with his or her services. Flemmons Expert Report at 8.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
57. "A compilation does not contemplate performing inquiry, analytical procedures, or other procedures performed in a review." Id. (quoting AR § 80.03).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
58. Accordingly, each SOFC states "[w]e have not audited or reviewed the accompanying financial statement and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or provide any assurance about whether the financial statement is in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America." Compl. at Ex. 3, p.1.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the SFCs for individual years contain different language, but undisputed that certain SOFCs contain this quotation. See Pl.'s Statement Exs. 1-11.
59. The SOFCs also explicitly note that they contain departures from GAAP. Compl. ๆ 60, Ex. 3-13, p.1; see also Bartov Expert Report ๆ 46.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
60. The Independent Accountants' Compilation Report included with each SOFC explicitly warns users that due to the "significance and pervasiveness" of GAAP departures in the SOFCs, users "might reach different conclusions about the financial condition of Donald J. Trump if they had access to a revised statement of financial condition prepared in conformity with accounting principles accepted in the United States." See, e.g., Compl. at Ex. 3 at p.2.

RESPONSE: Disputed as an inaccurate and misleading citation of the language quoted here. The quote from the Independent Accountants' Compilation Report cited in this paragraph states in full: "Because the significance and pervasiveness of the matters discussed above make it difficult to assess their impact on the statement of financial condition, users of this financial statement should recognize that they might reach different conclusions about the financial condition of Donald J. Trump if they had access to a revised statement of financial condition without the above referenced exceptions to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America." Pl.'s Statement Ex. 1 at p. 2 (emphasis added). The
reference to "matters discussed above" in the compilation report refers to the SFCs' representations of GAAP compliance but for expressly enumerated departures. Thus, no user was warned of any undisclosed GAAP departure.
61. This paragraph constitutes the "highest level of warning an accountant can raise in its communication to users when there are significant departures from GAAP." Flemmons Expert Report q 59.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and misleading because the cited paragraph does not affect Mr. Trump's obligation to identify and disclose each known departure from GAAP in his personal financial statement, and because the SFCs included numerous undisclosed departures from standard accounting principles that inflated the value of Mr. Trump's assets and net worth. See Ex. 1014 Tथा 61-68.
62. "While it is customary for the accountants' report to be addressed to the client, accounting standards establish that the users of the financial statements expand far beyond the client, including investors, vendors considering executing a contract with the company, banks seeking to lend to the entity, among many other purposes." Flemmons Expert Report \| 40.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

63. Additionally, "GAAP acknowledges that immaterial financial statement items do not need to comply with all the detailed requirements of GAAP, and thus allows preparers a reasonable level of flexibility in applying GAAP." Bartov Aff., Ex. B ("Bartov Rebuttal Report")

II 54 (emphasis added).
RESPONSE: Disputed, including because the departures from GAAP that Mr. Trump failed to disclose in his personal financial statement were material under the applicable accounting standards. See Ex. 1014 TTIT 61-68.
64. ' $[F]$ or an omission or misstatement in the financial statements to be material through the lens of a user, the user must rely on the information in the financial statements in his/her decision-
making process." Id. \|\| 63 (emphasis in original).
RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Furthermore, disputed because Prof. Bartov is purporting to proffer legal analysis and opinion (see Robert Aff. Ex. AK, Ex. B $\ddagger$ \| 58 -61) which is improperly presented as fact and is not an admissible use of expert testimony. See Measom v. Greenwich \& Perry St. Hous. Corp., 268 A.D.2d 156, 159 (1st Dep’t 2000) ("Expert testimony as to a legal conclusion is impermissible."). Furthermore, disputed that the assertion accurately characterizes "materiality" as defined by applicable accounting standards. See Ex. 1014 -TT 44-45, 140-142.
65. Viewing the SOFCs through the lens of a user like Deutsche Bank, "the SOFCs did not contain material misstatements." Bartov Rebuttal Report ๆ 174.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence, which is opinion testimony that includes inadmissible legal argument and lacks an evidentiary foundation. See Diaz $v$. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d 542, 544 (2002) ("Where the expert's ultimate assertions are speculative or unsupported by any evidentiary foundation . . . the opinion should be given no probative force and is insufficient to withstand summary judgment."); Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d 327, 327 (1st Dep't 2006) (finding that expert affidavit has no probative value on summary judgment where it "contained speculative, conclusory assertions" and "cited to various broad or inapt . . . rules, regulations and standards"); Measom v. Greenwich \& Perry St. Hous. Corp., 268 A.D. 2 d at 159. Further disputed because the Deutsche Bank credit risk officer who had credit issuance authority, and who bore responsibility, for approving the recommendations made by lending officers on loans to the Trump Organization testified that the bank would likely have declined the Doral loan had he been aware of only a select number of specific misstatements identified and demonstrated through evidence shown to him by OAG. See Ex. 1017 at 177:25-178:19; 194:2-12; 195:19-196:15. That bank official also testified (i) he was "shocked at the numbers reported on Mr. Trump's financial statement" for 40 Wall Street given
the then-existing appraised values of that property; and (ii) had he learned of discrepancies between net operating income figures used in appraisals of 40 Wall Street and those used for Mr. Trump's SFCs, he would have questioned the accuracy of other information provided and would have asked whether the bank should continue doing business with Mr. Trump. See Ex. 1017 at 237:2-241:25.

Similarly, Plaintiff's expert witness Michiel McCarty has concluded, based on his banking experience and his review of the record in this case, that "if during the loan evaluation process by DB's PWM Group of the first loan for Doral, the Statements had been found to be purposely false and misleading, the Doral loan and those that followed would not have been approved." Ex. 1015 § 40. Mr. McCarty further opines, based on his review of the PWM underwriting memos in this record, that Deutsche Bank would likely not have approved the low interest rate PWM loans to the Trump Organization if it had performed its underwriting analysis using adjusted net worth figures provided by Plaintiff's valuation and accounting experts "in place of the inflated figures found in Mr. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition." Id. qT1 41-47.

## IV. Transactions with Lenders and Insurers

66. The SOFCs were submitted in connection with the loans with Deutsche Bank's Private Wealth Management division for the Doral, Chicago, and OPO properties, the loan with Ladder Capital for the 40 Wall Street property, the loan with Royal Bank of America/Bryn Mawr for the Seven Springs property, the surety bond program with Zurich North America, and the Directors \& Officers ("D\&O") liability coverage with Tokio Marine HCC Insurance Company. See infra §§IV(a)-(f).

RESPONSE: Undisputed
67. In analyzing the SOFCs, banks are aware that the SOFCs are "truly an estimate" and they provide "knowledge to a reader and the user more than anything for them to be able to make their own informed decision." Robert Aff., Ex. AL ("Unell Dep.") 195:7-196:18, Unell Dep. 175:20-22
("[L]enders are trained not to rely on"SOFCs, "which is why the independent analysis in the credit memo is done.").

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, and because Defendants submitted those Statements knowing that the banks relied on the SFCs' data in order to analyze Mr. Trump's personal guaranty as set forth in the banks' credit memos. See infra $\left.\right|^{1 /[105 ; 106 ;}$ 110; 126; 127; 149. Further disputed because lenders including Deutsche Bank expect and rely on their clients to provide financial information that is "fairly" presented and "true and correct." See Robert Aff. Ex. P at 300:19-301:9; Robert Aff. Ex. S at 53:7-22; Ex. 1018 qT111-17 (opining that "[t]he accuracy of the Statements of Financial Condition (SOFCs) was a crucial foundation to the Deutsche Bank Private Wealth Management approval process," and that Deutsche Bank "relied upon numbers from the SOFCs, as well as variables from other sources" in its underwriting calculations).
68. The SOFCs are a "roadmap" for banks to do their own independent analysis (Unell Dep. 197:2-11) and are just one of many factors that banks use to approve loan transactions and provide loan terms. Robert Aff., Ex. AM ("Unell Aff."), Ex. A ("Unell Expert Report") If 6.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, but undisputed that SFCs can be one factor that banks use when determining whether to approve loan transactions and provide loan terms.
69. Banks also consider "loan-to-value, cash flow, debt service coverage ratio, and the experience of the borrower in operating similar assets" in determining the pricing of loans. Unell Expert Report ${ }^{\|} 6$.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, but undisputed that the cited factors may be used by banks to determine the price of loans under certain circumstances.
70. "SOFCs provide ample information . . . for a sophisticated lender to be able to make. . .
their own determination," as those documents "provide the actual amounts" and "how they were calculated" such that if any bank had concerns, it "had an opportunity to challenge those assumptions that were utilized in the preparation of the SOFC." Unell Dep. 112:12-113:2.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, and because the assertion is contradicted by the record. For example, the SFCs identify categories of assets represented to be worth billions, or hundreds of millions, of dollars with category-wide valuations; thus, for the assets in those categories, no "actual amounts" were disclosed nor do the SFCs disclose "how they were calculated." See Pl.'s Statement Exs. 1-11 (categories of "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate" and "Other Assets"). Similarly, the 2014 SFC notes on Trump Tower represented that the property was valued by "applying a capitalization rate to the cash flow to be derived from the building operations," but failed to provide the actual NOI or capitalization rate needed to evaluate that calculation. Robert Aff. Ex. AH at 126:17-128:18. The notes in the SFCs also provided inaccurate information about calculations, for example by misstating the method used to value Niketown in the 2011, 2012 and 2013 SFCs. Robert Aff. Ex. AI, Ex. B q\| 51-54; Ex. 1014 \| 94.
71. Additionally, banks are focused on the collateral itself as the primary source of repayment in loan transactions. Unell Expert Report IT 7.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact.

## a. Deutsche Bank

72. Beginning in 2011, President Trump and his businesses commenced a relationship with a Deutsche Bank Private Wealth Management division ("PWM") banker. Compl. ๆ 563.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
73. To qualify as a customer of the PWM division, an individual generally needed to have a minimum total net worth of over $\$ 50$ million. See Robert Aff., Ex. AAD ("Sullivan Dep.") 100:2-8;

Robert Aff., Ex. AAE at 16. ('"Typical borrowers will have a net worth of over $\$ 50$ million). RESPONSE: Undisputed.
74. Pricing on loans made to PWM customers was according to an internal pricing grid based on the particular type of collateral involved. Robert Aff., Ex. P ("Williams Dep.") at 210:17-213:15.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the Deutsche Bank ("DB") Private Wealth Management ("PWM") pricing grid applied only to recourse loans supported by a personal guaranty, and that non-recourse loans based on the same collateral would be priced much differently. See Pl.'s Statement $9 \mathbb{T}$ 440-44, 461-70, 499-503, 543-49 and supporting exhibits. DB PWM employees and former employees deposed by Defendants testified that PWM approved and priced its loans based on the financial resources of the individual client, and not primarily based on the collateral as would have been the case for a non-recourse loan made by the investment bank's commercial real estate division. See Robert Aff. Ex. P at 180:17-181:23 (testifying that the "distinction between lending on commercial real estate within Wealth Management as opposed to lending secured by commercial real estate in the investment bank" was that "[w]ithin Wealth Management, we're focused . . . predominantly on the individual first. In the investment bank, the approach is to the commercial real estate asset primarily."); Robert Aff. Ex. S at 353:7-356:12; Robert Aff Ex. AAD at 229:19-230:17.
75. The pricing grid "provides a range of spread over LIBOR . . . depending on the collateral type of the credit transaction." Id. at 210:23-25.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the DB PWM pricing grid applied only to recourse loans supported by a personal guaranty, and that non-recourse loans based on the same collateral would be priced much differently. See Pl.'s Statement $941440-44,461-70,499-503,543-49$ and supporting exhibits. Furthermore, DB PWM employees and former employees deposed by Defendants testified that PWM approved and priced its loans based on the financial resources of the individual client, and not primarily based on the collateral, as would have been the case for a non-recourse loan made by the
investment bank's commercial real estate division. See Robert Aff. Ex. P at 180:17-181:23; Robert Aff. Ex. S at 353:7-356:12; Robert Aff Ex. AAD at 229:19-230:17.
76. Interest rates may have deviated lower than the recommended amount for "competitive reasons," and would not be subject to an upward deviation to a range higher than listed on the pricing grid absent a determination that "that the risk commensurate with a particular credit transaction warrant[ed] charging a higher rate[.]" Id. at 213:2-214:5

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the DB PWM pricing grid applied only to recourse loans supported by a personal guaranty, and that non-recourse loans based on the same collateral would be priced much differently. See Pl.'s Statement qTl 440-44, 461-70, 499-503, 543-49 and supporting exhibits.
77. The factors that PWM looked at when pricing a loan were the collateral, risk, and cost of funding. Id. at 214-215.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, including because PWM would only price recourse loans supported by a personal guaranty, but undisputed that Mr. Williams testified that "collateral type," "risk rating" and "[t]he bank's internal cost to funds" are among the criteria used in loan underwriting.
78. The PWM pricing grid indicated a price of 2-2.5\% above LIBOR for commercial real estate collateral in the Americas, as applicable to President Trump. Id. at 271:16-25; Robert Aff., Ex. AB.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the DB PWM pricing grid applied only to recourse loans supported by a personal guaranty, and that non-recourse loans based on the same collateral would be priced much differently. See Pl.’s Statement $9 \uparrow 440-44,461-70,499-503,543-49$ and supporting exhibits. Further clarification that PWM approved and priced its loans primarily based on the financial resources of the individual client, rather than the collateral as would have been the case for a non-recourse
loan made by the investment bank's commercial real estate division. See Robert Aff. Ex. P at 180:17181:23; Robert Aff. Ex. S at 353:7-356:12; Robert Aff Ex. AAD at 229:19-230:17.
79. President Trump's financial profile qualified him to be at the lower range of the pricing guidelines contained on the grid-even potentially qualifying him for a downward deviation-and this pricing would not have changed even if President Trump's net worth was \$1 billion. Id. at 272:20275:17. Mr. Williams was of the opinion that a net worth of $\$ 1$ billion would not have affected the pricing on the loans, even when compared to a net worth of $\$ 4.3$ billion, because a net worth in excess of 1 billion constitutes a strong borrower or guarantor. Id. at 274:6-17.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence (which includes objectionable, speculative deposition testimony that was prompted by leading questions and incomplete hypotheticals) fails to support the asserted facts. Further disputed because the Deutsche Bank credit risk officer who bore responsibility for approving the recommendations made by lending officers (including Mr. Williams) testified that the bank would likely have declined the Doral loan had he been aware of specific misstatements identified in OAG's Complaint and documented by the evidence submitted in support of Plaintiff's Statement of Material Facts. See Ex. 1017 at 177:25-178:19; 194:2-12; 195:19-196:15; 237:2-241:25. Similarly, Plaintiff's expert witness Michiel McCarty concluded, based on his banking experience and his review of the record in this case, that "if during the loan evaluation process by DB's PWM Group of the first loan for Doral, the Statements had been found to be purposely false and misleading, the Doral loan and those that followed would not have been approved." Ex. 1015 § 40. Mr. McCarty further opines, based on his review of the PWM underwriting memos in this record, that Deutsche Bank would likely not have approved the low interest rate PWM loans to the Trump Organization if it had performed its underwriting analysis using adjusted net worth figures provided by Plaintiff's valuation and accounting experts "in place of the inflated figures found in Mr. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition." Ex. 1015 qा 41 47.
80. In Deutsche Bank's view, President Trump "had a verifiable net worth in a top tier of
the regional market." Id. at 160:14-161:7.
RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact.
81. Ultimately, when it came to pricing, Deutsche Bank's "goal is to remain within the range set forth in th[e] pricing grid[,]" Id. at 274:2-4.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact.
82. At all times, Deutsche Bank believed that President Trump had "a proven successful track record in the United States commercial real estate market." Id. at 125:2-6.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Further disputed as overbroad considering the history of prior loan defaults and litigation between Mr. Trump and Deutsche Bank. See Ex. 1018 | 22-23.
83. In total, Trump guaranteed three loans with Deutsche Bank's PWM division: (1) the Trump National Doral loan for Trump Endeavor 12 LLC ("Doral loan"), (2) the Trump International Hotel \& Tower Chicago loan for 401 North Wabash Venture LLC ("Chicago loan"), and (3) the Old Post Office Hotel loan for Trump Old Post Office LLC ("OPO loan"). See infra §§ IV(a)(i)-(iii).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
84. As part of the due diligence process for these loans, Deutsche Bank lenders met with Jeff McConney and reviewed bank statements representing liquid assets and synthesized that information into the Deutsche Bank prepared credit memos. Pereless Dep. 165:23-167:7.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
85. Deutsche Bank was "[c]omfortable with the level of assets" that President Trump held and was "comfortable that the recordation of that amount of liquid assets that were included in the credit memo" were "accurate." Pereless Dep. 167:8-168:8.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited testimony does not support the asserted fact, and because Defendants misrepresent the testimony of the former Deutsche Bank employee whose deposition
is quoted here. See Robert Aff. Ex. S at 167:8-19:
Q. And as you sit here today, any recollection of having any level of uncertainty about the amount of President Trump's liquid assets based on the due diligence review you performed on these two or three or four occasions?

MR. LADOV: Objection.
A. Yeah, I don't think they ever equaled the amount exactly that would be on the personal financial statement, but I think they did come close. It was enough to -- that we were comfortable.
86. Deutsche Bank also applied "haircuts" to the values listed on the SOFCs. Haircuts are "[d]iscounts to clients' stated values" (Williams Dep. 31:6-7) that are meant to serve as an "adverse scenario analysis" to determine "what happens if the client's financial position is under stress." Robert Aff., Ex. O ("Haigh Dep.") 148:8-21.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
87. These haircuts are Deutsche Bank's independent assessments of value that it calculates during its application of "stresses" on the client's reported asset values (Pereless Dep. 265:4-8) to determine a "conservative value" (Pereless Dep. 224:22-225:8) of the asset.

RESPONSE: Disputed that Deutsche Bank's "haircuts" constitute "independent assessments of value," but undisputed that the bank applied standard "haircuts" or "stresses" to a client's reported asset values to determine a "conservative" or "adverse scenario analysis" that "is trying to see what happens if the client's financial position is under stress." See Robert Aff. Ex. S at 224:3-225:8; Ex. 1017 at 75:11-77:10; 79:7-24; 148:6-149:21; Robert Ex. O at 147:15-148:21.
88. A "haircut" thus results in an "adjusted value," otherwise synonymous with the "DB adjusted" value. Pereless Dep. 224:11-21.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
89. Deutsche Bank was "focused on [its] own independent view, so [it] didn't spend a lot of time determining . . . what was disclosed." Sullivan Dep. 83:19-84:13.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and because the cited evidence does not support
the asserted fact, but undisputed that the bank "didn't spend a lot of time determining . . . what was disclosed" because it expected its clients to submit accurate information. See Robert Aff. Ex. P at 300:19301:9; Robert Aff. Ex. S at 53:7-22; Ex. 1018 q\| 11-17.
90. Ultimately, Deutsche Bank was "comfortable with the assessment [it] did independently." Sullivan Dep. 84:4-13.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, but undisputed that Deutsche Bank's internal credit memos recommended approval of loans to the Trump Organization based on the information known to the bank at the time, including the information provided by Mr. Trump's SOFCs. See infra $\uparrow \mathbb{T} T 105 ; 106 ; 110 ; 126 ; 127 ; 149$ (admitting that Deutsche Bank's underwriting relied upon values provided in Mr. Trump's SOFCs); Ex. 1018 9世/ 11-17 (opining that " $[t]$ he accuracy of the Statements of Financial Condition (SOFCs) was a crucial foundation to the Deutsche Bank Private Wealth Management approval process, and that Deutsche Bank "relied upon numbers from the SOFCs, as well as variables from other sources" in its underwriting calculations); Robert Aff. Ex. S at 206:4-6 (when asked about underwriting review at 2023 deposition, responding that "I don't have a view other than we supported the facility at the time.").
91. In fact, "Deutsche Bank had ample opportunity to investigate anything" in the SOFCs, as "Deutsche Bank had ample material listed in the Statement of Financial Condition to make their own informed decision." Unell Dep. 110:25-113:18.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact. Further disputed because the cited expert opinion testimony lacks a foundation in evidence and therefore should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.

Further disputed because the assertion that a bank has an "opportunity to investigate anything" in the SOFCs conflicts with the standard business practices that apply to a bank's review of non-collateral
assets. As defendants' expert Mr. Unell testified at deposition, banks must take personal financial statements at "face value":

As a lender, you don't go into something with the idea that it's wrong. You take it for -- you trust your client. You take it for worth. They are the ones that know these assets better than anybody. They're non-collateral assets. You don't go get an appraisal on non-collateral assets. It's unrealistic. It's too time consuming, too costly, et cetera.

Robert Aff. Ex. AL at 178:18-179:2, 179:24-180:3. Deutsche Bank accordingly did not conduct an appraisal or any comparable independent valuation for non-pledged commercial real estate assets owned by Mr. Trump. See Robert Aff. Ex. S at 128:25-130:7; 236:25-238:21. Deutsche Bank instead expected and relied on Mr. Trump to provide financial information that was "fairly" presented and "true and correct." See Robert Aff. Ex. P at 300:19-301:9; Robert Aff. Ex. S at 53:7-22.
92. Even if the allegations in the Complaint were true, "the net worth was still sufficient to qualify for inclusion in the private wealth bank" and liquidity is "material" to the bank and that the bank "went and verified it." Unell Dep. 110:25-112:5.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact. Further disputed because the cited expert opinion testimony lacks a foundation in evidence and therefore should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d 542 at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327. Further disputed because the cited expert testimony is inadmissible as evidence to support Defendants' legal claims concerning the materiality of misstatements in their SFCs. See Measom v. Greenwich \& Perry St. Hous. Corp., 268 A.D.2d at 159.
93. Generally, materiality "is in the eye of the beholder, not the eye of a third party, not the eye of a regulator, not the eye of, in this case, the Attorney General" and Deutsche Bank "did what they were supposed to do and verified" certain items and "anything else would have been immaterial." Unell Dep. 190:9-17.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and the cited evidence fails to support the
asserted fact. Further disputed because the cited expert opinion testimony lacks a foundation in evidence and therefore should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d 542 at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327. Further disputed because the cited expert testimony is purporting to offer an opinion concerning "materiality" from the perspective of a regulatory or enforcement agency; such testimony lacks foundation and is inadmissible as a legal opinion. See Measom v. Greenwich \& Perry St. Hous. Corp., 268 A.D.2d at 159.
94. '"T]he information in the Compilation Reports did not impact Deutsche Bank's decisions whether or not to extend loans to Defendants and what interest rate to require." Bartov Expert Report ${ }^{\|} 107$.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact. Further disputed because Prof. Bartov lacks any experience in commercial banking or underwriting, Robert Aff. Ex. AJ at 142:13-24, and his unsupported opinion that Mr. Trump's SFCs were immaterial to Deutsche Bank's lending decisions is contrary to the evidence and therefore inadmissible. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327. Further disputed because Deutsche Bank evaluated Mr. Trump's SFCs as part of its underwriting of his personal guaranty, which the bank's Private Wealth Management division relied upon to offer loans at significantly lower interest rates than the investment bank's Commercial Real Estate division could offer to the Trump Organization. See generally Pl.'s Statement qT/ 438-574 and accompanying exhibits.
95. In general, the bank's relationship with President Trump was profitable. See Robert Aff., Ex. AAB ("Vrablic Dep.") 306:3-13.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact. Although it is undisputed that the bank earned income from its loans to the Trump Organization, Defendants offer no evidence to establish how much of that income was "profit."

Furthermore, Plaintiff's expert witness Michiel McCarty has concluded, based on his banking experience and his review of the record in this case, that banks who relied upon Mr. Trump's materially-misstated SOFCs to underwrite his personal guaranty took on more Credit Risk than they had bargained for, and that these banks "suffered a loss by charging less interest than was warranted by the Credit Risk profile of the loans." Ex. 1015 种 48-62, 113.
96. There was never a covenant or payment default involving any entity affiliated with President Trump in a credit transaction made by the PWM division. Williams Dep. 187:9-15; 189:1016; 192:13-193:4.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, and because the misrepresentations and false statements in Defendants' submissions to Deutsche Bank constituted Events of Default under relevant loan documents, as Deutsche Bank stated to the Trump Organization in 2020. See Pl.'s Statement q/T 447-451, 485, 490-91, 517-19, 558-60 and supporting exhibits. Further disputed because Deutsche Bank contacted the Trump Organization in 2019 after determining that the Doral, Chicago and OPO borrowing entities were "not in compliance with the Debt Service Coverage Ratio ("DSCR") covenant set forth" in each of the applicable loan agreements. Ex. 1050; Ex. 1051; Ex. 1052; see also Pl.'s Statement Ex. 272 (DB credit memo discussing DSCR covenant breaches at Trump properties).
97. [A] $]^{3}$ There was never a recommendation at any time that there was a basis to declare default based on President Trump's failure to maintain a net worth of at least $\$ 2.5$ billion. Williams Dep. 190:25-191:10; Sullivan Dep. 81:21-82:4; Vrablic Dep. 305:21-306:16.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact.
97. [B] Additionally, numerous former Deutsche Bank employees testified they did not

[^15]believe there was any material misrepresentation made to the PWM division in connection with any loan affiliated with President Trump. See Williams Dep. 184:21-185:1; Sullivan Dep. 81:21-83:7, 293:7-20; Vrablic Dep. 32:3-13, 229:16-23 ("Q: And as you sit here today, do you have any reason to believe that at any time between January 1, 2011, and the time that you left Deutsche Bank, Eric Trump submitted any materially misleading statements to Deutsche Bank? A: To the best of my knowledge, no."), 229:25230:7 ("Q: And as you sit here today, do you have any reason to believe that at any time between January 1, 2011 and the time that you left Deutsche Bank, Donald Trump, Jr. submitted any materially misleading statement to Deutsche Bank? A: To the best of my knowledge, no.")

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence (which includes objectionable, speculative deposition testimony that was prompted by leading questions and incomplete hypotheticals) does not support the asserted fact. Further disputed as lacking foundation, because these witnesses also testified that they had not reviewed the specific allegations in the Complaint (see Robert Aff. Ex. P at 16:16-22; Robert Aff. Ex. AAD at 18:9-25) or that they were only responding "to the best of [their] knowledge" (Robert Aff. Ex. AAB at 229:16-230:7). Moreover, Defendants did not present any of these Deutsche Bank witnesses with the specific allegations raised in the Complaint about Mr. Trump's SOFCs, or the evidence that OAG has submitted to the Court in support of its allegations. See generally Robert Aff. Exs. P, AAD, S, AAB.

By contrast, during the OAG's investigation, a former Head of Credit Risk Management for Deutsche Bank's PWM Americas division was asked to review evidence of specific misstatements in Mr. Trump's SFCs, including evidence showing that Mr. Trump reported an inflated valuation for his interest in 40 Wall Street despite possessing an appraisal showing a valuation of $\$ 200$ million as of Nov. 1, 2011, and that Mr. Trump had reported a Net Operating Income (NOI) for 40 Wall Street that was approximately four times the actual NOI used in this same appraisal. See Ex. 1017 at 172:2-177:24. When asked how he would have responded if these discrepancies had come to his attention during the
credit review, he testified that he "would have treated [Mr. Trump's] financial disclosure with - generally with a larger degree of skepticism and specifically I would have adjusted the equity value of that specific asset," and that "if The Trump Organization could not have provided a reasonable explanation then I think I would have recommended declining the transaction." Ex. 1017 at 177:25-178:19. That official also testified he was "shocked at the numbers reported on Mr. Trump's financial statement" for 40 Wall Street given the then-existing appraised values of that property, and that had he learned of discrepancies between net operating income figures used in appraisals of 40 Wall Street and those used for Mr. Trump's Statements he would have questioned the accuracy of other information provided and would have asked whether the bank should continue doing business with Mr. Trump. Ex. 1017 at 237:2-241:25.
98. When Mr. Williams was interviewed by the NYAG as part of their investigation, he was not concerned about whether any of the SOFCs were misleading because "Deutsche Bank has a reasonable expectation the client's, any client's financial reporting that is provided to the bank is true and accurate in all material respects." Williams Dep. 34:23-35:21, 36:16-37:4. Mr. Williams believes this expectation is still reasonable as it relates to the SOFCs. Williams Dep. 37:5-12.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, but undisputed that "Deutsche Bank has a reasonable expectation the client's, any client's financial reporting that is provided to the bank is true and accurate in all material respects." See also Robert Aff. Ex. P at 300:19-301:9; Robert Aff. Ex. S at 53:7-22.
99. During his deposition, Mr. Williams testified that he still had no concern that the SOFCs were misleading. Williams Dep. 36:9-15.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence (which includes objectionable, speculative deposition testimony that was prompted by leading questions and incomplete hypotheticals) does not support the asserted fact. Further disputed as lacking foundation because Mr. Williams also testified that he had not reviewed the Complaint. See Robert Aff. Ex. P at 16:10-22.
100. Moreover, Deutsche Bank earned millions of dollars in revenue from dealing with President Trump. See Robert Aff., Exs. AAI, AAH, AAG, Ex. AAQ ("Garten Aff.") 『 5. RESPONSE: Undisputed.
101. The available revenue figures are as follows: $\$ 13,477$ (2011), $\$ 2.6$ million (2012); $\$ 3.5$ million (2013); projected $\$ 6.8$ million (2014) $\$ 3,305,699$ (2015) $\$ 2,733,072$ (2016), for an estimated total revenue between 2011-2016 alone that ranges upwards of $\$ 15$ million. Robert Aff., Exs. AAI, AAH, AAG.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

## i. Trump Endeavor 12 LLC (2012)

102. In November of 2011, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC executed a $\$ 150$ million purchase and sale agreement for Trump Doral. Compl. $q$ q 471 , 587. Trump Endeavor 12 LLC held plans to invest another $\$ 50$ million of its own capital in a luxurious renovation. Robert Aff., Ex. T.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
103. The PWM division offered a loan to support the purchase of Trump Doral with a total loan amount of $\$ 125$ million (Compl. $\| 583$ ), $\$ 19$ million of which was an unsecured personal loan. Compl. ๆ 586. The loan was supported by an appraisal, (Compl. \| 585), and the confirmation that President Trump had roughly $\$ 258.9$ million in liquid assets at the time the loan was negotiated through the review of bank and brokerage statements. Williams Dep. 198:5-201:8.

RESPONSE: Disputed that PWM offered a loan to support the purchase of Doral based just on the support of an appraisal and a review of Mr. Trump's liquidity, because PWM also required the loan to be supported by Mr. Trump's personal guaranty and his personal financial statements, but undisputed that Mr. Williams' testimony refers to the confirmation of roughly $\$ 258.9$ million in "cash and marketable securities."

Further disputed because Deutsche Bank's internal review of this appraisal raised extensive objections, including concerns over the location of the resort, which led the bank's internal reviewer to believe that the unrenovated value of Doral was less than $\$ 150$ million, and that he was "comfortable with concluding a value for the property in the range of $\$ 110-\$ 115$ million." Ex. 1019; Ex. 1020 at -16. Deutsche Bank's valuation of Doral prompted the bank to split the Doral into secured and unsecured tranches, further demonstrating the importance of Mr. Trump's personal guaranty to the underwriting and pricing of this loan. Pl.'s Statement Ex. 266 at DB-NYAG-105524.
104. Deutsche Bank expected the value of the collateral to "increase significantly over the term of the facility" considering the " $\$ 50$ million in capital expenditures" on renovations. Pereless Dep. 268:8-24.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that PWM would not have made this loan based only on the collateral without Mr. Trump's personal guaranty. See Robert Aff. Ex. AAD at 185:15-188:15 (testifying that Doral was "a development type transaction, and the amount of the loan versus the uncertainty of the value because it was a property that was going to be developed" highlighted the importance of "relying on a guarantee . . . as part of the underwriting"). Additional clarification that the value of the Doral collateral was initially insufficient in Deutsche Bank's view to provide security for the full loan, requiring the loan to be split into secured and unsecured tranches, further demonstrating the importance of Mr. Trump's personal guaranty to the bank's credit analysis. See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 266 at DB-NYAG-105524.
105. A condition of the loan was that the 2011 SOFC be provided to Deutsche Bank.

Compl. ๆ 588.
RESPONSE: Undisputed.
106. In reviewing the 2011 SOFC, Deutsche Bank calculated its own values of President Trump's assets by applying "haircuts" to the values reported in the 2011 SOFC. Compl. $\mathbb{1}$ 584; Pereless

Dep. 265:4-17.
RESPONSE: Undisputed that Deutsche Bank applied "haircuts" to the stated values from the 2011 SFC, but dispute Defendants' characterization because the cited testimony states that these adjustments are "the application of stresses or haircuts," and not an independent calculation of the bank's "own values." See also supra, Response to Defs.' Statement q 87.
107. Lending officers completed their "due diligence" in compliance with Deutsche Bank's operational policies (Pereless Dep. 227:14-25) by evaluating specific assets and using "their judgment in setting the appropriate adjustments to achieve conservative valuations of concentrated assets." Pereless Dep. Ex. 13 at 8.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the assertions are vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Further disputed because most of the "due diligence" conducted for the assets of a PWM client consisted of applying standardized reductions of stated value, including those generated by a "standard spreadsheet" used by Deutsche Bank, to help analyze a "conservative" or "adverse scenario analysis" that "is trying to see what happens if the client's financial position is under stress." See Ex. 1017 at 75:11-77:10; 79:7-24; 148:6-149:21; Robert Ex. $O$ at 147:15-148:21. To the extent that lending offers evaluated specific assets during this process, they took the information provided by the bank's clients at "face value," because they relied on the bank's clients to provide financial information that was "fairly" presented and "true and correct," and then applied a "stress" to reach a "stressed value" or "more conservative value." Robert Aff. Ex. AL at 178:18-179:2, 179:24-180:3; Robert Aff. Ex. P at 300:19301:9; Robert Aff. Ex. S at 53:7-22, 224:3-226:7. Therefore, because the Trump Organization provided Deutsche Bank with inflated valuations in the SFCs, as well as other inaccurate information about Mr. Trump's four "trophy" properties (Trump Tower, Niketown, 40 Wall Street and Trump Park Avenue), the bank's adjusted value for those properties was improperly inflated as well. See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 226 at 6.

For example, the Trump Organization told Deutsche Bank that it had reached an "estimated current value of $\$ 524,700,000$ " for 40 Wall Street by applying a $5.00 \%$ cap rate to the property's Net Operating Income (NOI) of \$26.2 million. Pl.'s Statement Ex. 1 at 9; Pl.'s Statement Ex. 226 at 6. The bank then adjusted this valuation by applying a more conservative cap rate of $6.00 \%$ to reach an adjusted valuation of $\$ 438$ million. Pl.'s Statement Ex. 226 at 6 . But even this adjusted valuation was approximately double the current value of 40 Wall Street found by contemporaneous appraisals. See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 70 at 1-2 (appraisal valuation of $\$ 200$ million as of August 1, 2010); Pl.'s Statement Ex. 73 at 1-2 (appraisal valuation of $\$ 200$ million as of November 1, 2011).

This overstatement of value occurred because the $\$ 26.2$ million NOI provided by the Trump Organization to Deutsche Bank was inaccurate and inflated, as demonstrated by the much lower NOI figures provided in a professional appraisal that relied on the Trump Organization's internal accounting spreadsheets. See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 73 at $-3496-3497$; Ex. 1003 at $150: 6-154: 16$. The Trump Organization's use of a $5.00 \%$ cap rate was similarly in conflict with the appraiser's market analysis. See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 73 at $-3365-3368$. The Deutsche Bank credit risk officer who had credit issuance authority, and who bore responsibility, for approving the recommendations made by lending officers on loans to the Trump Organization testified that he was "shocked at the numbers reported on Mr. Trump's financial statement" for 40 Wall Street given the then-existing appraised values of that property, and that had he learned of discrepancies between net operating income figures used in appraisals of 40 Wall Street and those used for Mr. Trump's SFCs he would have questioned the accuracy of other information provided and would have asked whether the bank should continue doing business with Mr. Trump. See Ex. 1017 at 237:2-241:25.

Similarly, Deutsche Bank adjusted the value of Trump Park Avenue by applying an "approximate $35 \%$ haircut on the reported value" of $\$ 311.6$ million to reach an adjusted valuation of $\$ 206.3$ million. Pl.'s Statement Ex. 226 at 6. However, that "reported value" was wildly inflated by the Trump

Organization, including by ignoring the rent-stabilization status of units at the property, by valuing units above the option prices agreed to between the Trump Organization and Ivanka Trump, and by using offering plan prices that exceeded current market values. Pl.'s Statement q\| $334-83$ and supporting exhibits. As a result, even Deutsche Bank's adjusted value far exceeded the appraised market value of $\$ 72,500,000$ that was found by an appraisal performed in 2010. Pl.'s Statement Exs. 141 at $-905,142$, 143, 144.
108. In connection with the proposal from PWM, an internal credit memo evaluated assets reported on the 2011 SOFC. Compl. If 584; Robert Aff., Ex. T. These assets included: Trump Tower, Niketown, 40 Wall Street, Trump Park Ave, "Club Facilities," "Other Property interests," and "Properties under Development." Robert Aff., Ex. T at 5.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
109. Deutsche Bank chose to perform a "higher level of due diligence on the assets being pledged than [those] not being pledged" because "[the bank was] taking a mortgage on those [pledged] assets and potentially the bank could own those assets[.]". Pereless Dep. 238:8-21.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
110. Ultimately, Deutsche Bank adjusted the net worth reported in the 2011 SOFC based on haircuts it performed. Compl. ๆ 584; see generally Robert Aff., Ex. T at 4-7.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
111. This "DB adjusted value" was one that Deutsche Bank lending officers were "comfortable with." That is, "[c]omfortable with the level of liquid assets that Mr. Trump held" and "comfortable that the recordation of that amount of liquid assets that were included in the credit memo" were "accurate." Pereless Dep. 167:8-168:8.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted facts, but undisputed that Ms. Pereless testified at her March 15, 2023 deposition that she recalled being "[c]omfortable with
the level of liquid assets that Mr. Trump held" based on the information available to her during the underwriting process for the Doral loan in 2011.
112. Deutsche Bank lending officers recommended approval of the Doral Loan and determined that President Trump had the financial wherewithal to fully repay the loan if needed as well. Sullivan Dep. 110:19-111:9, 120:21-121:10; Robert Aff., Ex. T.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that Deutsche Bank lending officers recommended approval of the Doral loan in 2011 based on Mr. Trump's personal guaranty and the information available to them at the time, including the asset values reported in the 2011 SFC.
113. Deutsche Bank's adjusted net worth for President Trump when underwriting the Doral Loan was set at \$2.365B. Robert Aff., Ex. T at 4.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the "adjusted net worth" was based on standardized reductions of stated value used to analyze a "conservative" or "adverse scenario analysis" that "is trying to see what happens if the client's financial position is under stress." See Ex. 1017 at 75:11-77:10; 79:7-24; 148:6-149:21; Robert Ex. O at 147:15-148:21. See also supra, Response to Defs.' Statement ${ }^{\text {§ }} 107$.
114. Other factors considered as a basis for Structured Lending's recommendation of approval for the Trump Doral credit facility included "President Trump's operating experience" as "any client's historical success in a certain business model would be a credit enhancement if ... approving a similar business model[,]" President Trump's "financial profile[,]" Deutsche Bank's "due diligence" conducted at the Trump "family office[,]" and "adjustments to [President Trump's] reported values." Pereless Dep. 266:2-19; Robert Aff., Ex. T at 4.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
115. The Trump Doral loan closed on June 11, 2012, with a loan to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC.

Compl. $\ddagger 587$.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

116. As part of the loan, President Trump signed a personal guarantee with requirements that, inter alia, he maintain: (i) $\$ 50$ million in unencumbered liquidity and (ii) a $\$ 2.5$ billion net worth to be tested and certified on an annual basis based upon the SOFC delivered to Deutsche Bank. Compl. ๆ 592. RESPONSE: Undisputed.
117. The primary and secondary form of repayment on the Doral loan were the underlying collateral, while the Guarantee would only be implicated as a tertiary form of repayment. Robert Aff., Ex. T at 3 ("Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Resort with long-term financing following the completion of the Renovation Period or upon expiration of the 5-year term. Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort following the Renovation Period. Based on projections the Resort will be able to satisfactorily service principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule. Tertiary Source of Repayment: Full and unconditional guarantee of DJT which eliminates any shortfall associated with operating and liquidating collateral.")

RESPONSE: Undisputed that this accurately states the sources of repayment listed in the cited Deutsche Bank credit memo, but disputed that this meant that Mr. Trump's personal guaranty was of less importance than the collateral to PWM's underwriting of the Doral loan. See Pl.'s Statement $9 \uparrow 452-478$ (describing the different underwriting processes used by Deutsche Bank's CRE and PWM divisions, and the significantly lower interest rate paid by Mr. Trump when he entered into a recourse loan with PWM that relied on his personal guaranty). Further disputed because Doral's cash flow was not expected to support repayment of the loan during the Renovation Period; therefore, Mr. Trump's guaranty of both loan payments and operating shortfalls was critical for the bank's underwriting. See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 226 at DB-NYAG-001691 ("The Facility will also be supported by a full and unconditional guarantee provided by DJT of (I) Principal and Interest due under the Facility, and (ii) operating shortfalls of the Resort (it being understood that Borrower shall be permitted to utilize all revenues from the Resort to
operate the Resort to reduce the amount of such shortfall).").
118. Simply by closing on the Doral Loan, Deutsche Bank generated fees in the sum of $1.25 \%$ of the loan amount, a $.25 \%$ commitment fee which would be fully earned at the execution of a Commitment Letter, and a $1 \%$ commitment fee payable at closing. Id. at 2 . With a $\$ 125$ million commitment amount, the fees generated by Deutsche Bank at the closing of Doral were upward of $\$ 1.5$ million ( $\$ 125$ million $X 1.25 \%=\$ 1,562,500$ ).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
119. The primary and secondary form of repayment on the Doral loan were the underlying collateral, while the Guarantee would only be implicated as a tertiary form of repayment. Robert Aff., Ex. T at 3 ("Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Resort with long-term financing following the completion of the Renovation Period or upon expiration of the 5-year term. Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort following the Renovation Period. Based on projections the Resort will be able to satisfactorily service principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule. Tertiary Source of Repayment: Full and unconditional guarantee of DJT which eliminates any shortfall associated with operating and liquidating collateral.")

RESPONSE: See supra, Response to identical Paragraph 117.
120. In July 2013, Deutsche Bank approved a modified version of the guarantee that enabled President Trump's guarantee obligation and net worth covenant to step down as the loan- to-value ratio of the loan was reduced, which minimized the "risk profile" absorbed by Deutsche Bank. Compl. $\mathbb{1}$ 596; Pereless Dep. 269:4-17.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that a modified version of the guaranty was executed, with the clarification that the cited testimony describes a lower-risk profile occurring as a general matter if the loan-to-value ratio goes down on a loan; it does not describe the effect of the amended guaranty Mr. Trump executed.
121. Overall, Deutsche Bank believed the Trump Doral loan had "performed quite well,
enough to warrant considering increasing the loan amount secured by the property." Williams Dep. 221:10-19. Deutsche Bank determined this performance rating based on "predominantly the value of the property" and the improvement in terms of "cash flow" and "loan-to-value." Williams Dep. 221:20222:14.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
122. To certify the maintenance of the net worth covenant, Deutsche Bank considered a representation from the Guarantor, President Trump (or others on his behalf in subsequent years), "sufficient . . . to comply with his obligations under the guarantee[,]" the certification was to effect of:
[T] o the best of Guarantor's current knowledge and information, and Guarantor currently not being aware of facts, circumstances or events that individually, or in the aggregate, establish the contrary conclusion, 'net worth of Guarantor for the period ending June 30th is not less than $\mathrm{X} \$ 2,500,000,000$ times Y , the applicable stepdown percentage on the date hereof.

See Robert Aff., Ex. R. Deutsche Bank accepted this representation from President Trump as a form of compliance with the net worth covenant. Williams Dep. 309:24-310:21.

RESPONSE: Disputed, because Deutsche Bank did not accept this representation on its own as a form of compliance with the net worth covenant, but also required (as a condition in the Doral, Chicago and OPO loan documents) that Mr. Trump submit his statement of financial condition along with this certification annually to demonstrate compliance. See Pl.’s Statement ${ }^{T l l} 489,515,528,554,572$ and supporting exhibits. The importance of this annual submission was demonstrated when the Trump Organization advised Deutsche Bank on or about September 14, 2020 that it would not be providing a financial statement for Mr. Trump as required by its loan documents. In response, the bank's legal counsel held discussions with the Trump Organization, and "Guarantor's request that DBTCA forgo his Statement of Financial Condition entirely this year was modified to a request for an extension of time, from October 28, 2020 to December 31, 2020." Ex. 1021 at 5. After further delays, "[ t$]$ he required Statement of Financial Condition was received on January 12, 2021" by the bank. Id.
123. On May 26, 2022, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC refinanced the Doral Loan through Axos

Bank, repaying the $\$ 125$ million of principal outstanding to Deutsche Bank. Compl. $\uparrow \mathbb{T}$ 587, 600. RESPONSE: Undisputed.

## ii. 401 North Wabash Venture LLC (2012)

124. In June 2012, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC sought a loan from the PWM division at Deutsche Bank to refinance an existing $\$ 130$ million loan from the CRE division of Deutsche Bank secured by the Trump Chicago property. Compl. ๆ 601.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the Trump Organization also discussed a refinance loan with Deutsche Bank's CRE division in 2012, but pursued a recourse loan with a lower interest rate from PWM after receiving proposals from both divisions of the bank. See Pl.'s Statement q\|q 499-503 and accompanying exhibits.
125. The 2012 SOFC was provided to Deutsche Bank as part of the Chicago loan. Compl. $\ddagger 607$.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
126. Just as with the Trump Doral loan, Deutsche Bank again assessed its own "DB adjusted values" and conducted its due diligence of President Trump's assets by applying "haircuts" to the values reported in the 2011 and 2012 SOFC. Compl. $\llbracket 605$. The assets considered again included: Trump Tower, Niketown, 40 Wall Street, Trump Park Ave, "Club Facilities," and "Other Property interests." Robert Aff., Ex. U at 8; Pereless Dep. 383:7-17.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarifications noted above (see supra, Responses to Defs.' Statement T17 106-107) concerning Defendants' characterization of the "haircuts" applied during Deutsche Bank's underwriting process.
127. Deutsche Bank calculated its "DB adjusted" values and recommended approval for the Chicago facility based on its independent analysis of President Trump's 2011 and 2012 SOFCs. Robert Aff., Ex. U at 7-9. Ultimately, Deutsche Bank reached an adjusted net worth for President Trump of
$\$ 2.436$ billion. Id. at 7 .
RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarifications noted above (see supra, Responses to Defs.' Statement TIT1 106-107) concerning Defendants' characterization of the "haircuts" applied during Deutsche Bank's underwriting process.
128. Lending officers and bankers understood the Chicago transaction to be a "better loan" in terms of being a lower risk loan in comparison to Trump Doral. Pereless Dep. 359:9-17, 360:2-5. RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact.
129. Ultimately, Deutsche Bank lending officers held no reservations in supporting and going forward with the Chicago transaction. Pereless Dep. 360:22-361:6.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact.
130. Under the Chicago Loan, President Trump was required to: (i) maintain a minimum net worth of \$2.5 billion and (ii) provide a SOFC to Deutsche Bank annually. Compl. ๆ 609.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
131. The loan for 401 North Wabash Venture LLC closed on November 9, 2012. Compl. वा 606.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
132. The $\$ 107$ million loan from Deutsche Bank was broken down into two credit facilities given the mixed nature of the hotel-condo property. Id. $1 \mathbb{T l}$ 28(b), 603. The first facility concerned the residential component and the second facility concerned the commercial component. Compl. $\llbracket 63$. Both facilities were supported by President Trump's personal guarantee. Compl. ๆ 604.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
133. The Chicago Loan was a "superb deal" to the bank that was "structured properly" with pricing that was "appropriate" making it a "very, very good safe deal for the bank" based on the "loan-to-values-and the guarantees involved." Vrablic Dep. 267:9-22.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, but undisputed that the assertion quotes opinions expressed by Ms. Vrablic at her April 24, 2023 deposition.
134. Simply by closing on the Chicago Loan, Deutsche Bank generated fees in the amount of $.625 \%$ for facility A and $.75 \%$ on Facility B. Robert Aff., Ex. U at 4.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
135. With a loan amount of $\$ 62$ million on Facility A and $\$ 45$ million on Facility B, Deutsche Bank was projected to generate $\$ 725,000$ in fees at the closing on of the Chicago Loan at the time of loan approval ((.625\% X \$62 million) + (.75\% X 45 million $)=\$ 725,000)$.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

136. For both the commercial and the residential loan facilities of the Chicago Loan, the primary and secondary form of repayment would be the underlying collateral, while President Trump's Guarantee would only be used as a tertiary repayment source to eliminate shortfalls (if any) in the collateral's performance. Robert Aff., Ex. U at 5 ("Primary Source of Repayment: Facility A: Sale of the remaining un-sold condo Units. Facility B: Cash flow generated by the Commercial Component of the collateral. Secondary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the collateral property. Tertiary Source of Repayment: Full and unconditional guarantee of DJT which eliminates any shortfall associated with operating and liquidation of the collateral.")

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the quoted exhibit accurately states the sources of repayment listed in the cited Deutsche Bank credit memo, including Mr. Trump's guaranty to cover any operating shortfalls for the property during its operations by the Trump Organization, but disputed as to Defendants' characterization of the Guaranty or that this meant Mr. Trump's personal guaranty was of less importance than the collateral to PWM's underwriting of the Chicago loan. See Pl.'s Statement qTI 499-509 (describing Deutsche Bank's dueling proposals for a non-recourse CRE loan and a recourse PWM loan for the Chicago refinance, and the significantly lower interest rate paid by Mr. Trump when he entered
into a recourse loan with PWM that relied on his personal guaranty).
137. In 2014, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC obtained an additional $\$ 54$ million loan for Trump Chicago. Compl. $\|\|$ 28(b), 615. This additional loan included a step-down guarantee like the Trump Doral loan, with the personal guarantee and net worth covenant stepping down based on the loan-to-value ratio. Compl. ๆ| 615.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
138. The loan was amended in 2014. Compl. ๆ| 616.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
139. Again, as with earlier internal credit memos, the 2014 credit memo prepared in conjunction with this additional loan, (which also recommended approval for the Old Post Office transaction discussed further below), evaluated President Trump's 2011, 2012, and 2013 SOFCs. Compl. q| 617; Robert Aff., Ex. Q.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
140. Deutsche Bank again reached its "DB adjusted" values of the assets. Robert Aff., Ex. Q at 13-16. The assets considered were Trump Tower, Niketown, 40 Wall Street, Trump Park Ave, Club Facilities, and Other Property Interest. Id. at 14. As of 2015, the personal guarantee was eliminated because the loan-to-value ratio was below the threshold in the step-down provision. Compl. $\mathbb{\|} 619$.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that to the extent that Defendants appear to be incorporating by reference ("again") their earlier assertions about Deutsche Bank's adjustments, Plaintiff similarly incorporates its clarifications concerning the standardized haircuts used by the bank to analyze a "conservative" or "adverse scenario analysis" that "is trying to see what happens if the client's financial position is under stress." See Ex. 1017 at 75:11-77:10; 79:7-24; 148:6-149:21; Robert Ex. O at 147:15148:21; see also supra, Response to Defs.' Statement ๆ 107. Further clarified that Defendants resumed their submission of SFCs and certifications relating to the Trump Chicago loan starting in 2018. See Pl.'s

Statement Exs. 260, 283, 284 \& 285.
141. As with the initial loan, the additional loan on Trump Chicago would be primarily and secondarily repaid through the collateral, and the step-down Guarantee would be the tertiary form of repayment on the loan. Robert Aff., Ex. Q at 10.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that this assertion summarizes the sources of repayment listed in the cited Deutsche Bank credit memo, but disputed that this meant Mr. Trump's personal guaranty was of less importance than the collateral to PWM's underwriting of the Chicago loan. See Pl.'s Statement 9T4 499509 (describing Deutsche Bank's dueling proposals for a non-recourse CRE loan and a recourse PWM loan for the Chicago refinance, and the significantly lower interest rate paid by Mr. Trump when he entered into a recourse loan with PWM that relied on his personal guaranty).
142. 401 North Wabash Venture LLC never defaulted on the loan or missed a payment. See generally Williams Dep. 187:9-15.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, including because Deutsche Bank alerted 401 North Wabash Venture LLC of a DSCR covenant breach in 2019, Ex. 1050, and because the misrepresentations and false statements in Defendants' submissions to Deutsche Bank constituted Events of Default under relevant loan documents, as Deutsche Bank stated to the Trump Organization in 2020, Pl.'s Statement $\uparrow \mathbb{T} \| 47$-451, 517-19 and accompanying exhibits.

## iii. Trump Old Post Office LLC (2014)

143. In 2011, Trump Old Post Office LLC bid on a ground lease from the General Services Administration ("GSA") to redevelop the Old Post Office on Pennsylvania Avenue in Washington, D.C. Compl. $\|_{\|}$51(j), 624 . As required by the GSA, the 2008-2010 SOFCs were submitted as part of the bid. Compl. $941623-24$; RFP at 18 (requesting "[f]inancial statements for the past three years prior to the RFP issuance date").

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
144. Trump's Proposal to the GSA stated: "[t]he attached Statement of Financial Condition was compiled under GAAP, but it should be noted that there are departures from GAAP that are described in the Accountant's Compilation Report attached to the Statement of Financial Condition. Most personal financial statements contain GAAP exceptions. WeiserMazars, LLP has compiled these financials." See Robert Aff., Ex. AQ.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
145. Additionally, in response to the GSA's inquiries, Trump's presentation indicated again "[u]nlike the statements of public companies, it is not uncommon for personal financial statements to include GAAP exceptions." Robert Aff., Ex. AR. With respect to separately held entities, Trump's presentation explained:

Mr. Trump owns approximately 400 entities that are in various businesses. Unlike Mr. Trump's personal financial statements, which are completed as of June 30th each year, the books for these individual entities are generally accounted for on a calendar year. Including these entities on the June 30th statement would require the books of the 400 entities to be closed twice per year, which would be highly unconventional and costly. Mr. Trump finds that the level of detail that is provided is adequate for his purposes.

Id. Trump's presentation also addressed other inquiries relating to the SOFCs. See generally id.
RESPONSE: Undisputed.
146. In its Source Selection Evaluation Report and Recommendation, the GSA stated: "The Trump Organization presented one of the strongest financial teams of all offerors," while noting that a weakness of Trump's proposal was that "[ f$]$ inancial statements provided by Mr. Trump were qualified by his accountants as not complying with GAAP." Robert Aff., Ex. AS at 13-14. Trump Old Post Office LLC was ultimately selected by GSA in February 2012 to redevelop the OPO property and signed a lease for that purpose on August 5, 2013. Compl. qTI 51(j), 626.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
147. In advance of executing the lease, Deutsche Bank's CRE and PWM groups were consulted about potential financing for the project. Compl. $\| 627$. The PWM proposal required a personal
guarantee from President Trump. Compl. \|| 631.
RESPONSE: Undisputed.
148. The terms of the $\$ 170$ million included the following requirements: (i) maintaining a minimum net worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion, $\$ 50$ million in unencumbered liquidity, and no additional indebtedness exceeding $\$ 500$ million and (ii) providing SOFC to Deutsche Bank annually. Compl. \| 632.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
149. A May 2014 Deutsche Bank credit memo, which incorporated information from the 2011, 2012, and 2013 SOFC, approved the $\$ 170$ million loan to Trump Old Post Office LLC. Compl. II 633; Robert Aff., Ex. Q.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
150. Like in previous years, Deutsche Bank reached its "DB adjusted" performing haircuts on the values of assets, including Trump Tower, Niketown, 40 Wall Street, Trump Park Ave, Club Facilities, and Other Property Interest. Robert Aff., Ex. Q at 13-16.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that to the extent that Defendants appear to be incorporating by reference ("Like in previous years") their earlier assertions about Deutsche Bank's adjustments, Plaintiff similarly incorporates its clarifications concerning the standardized haircuts used by the bank to estimate the potential liquidation value of a client's stated assets. See Ex. 1017 at 75:1177:10; 79:7-24; 148:6-149:21; supra, Response to $\mathbb{\|} 107$.
151. Deutsche Bank internally adjusted President Trump's net worth to $\$ 2.645$ billion. Id. at 13.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarifications that Deutsche Bank's internal adjustments were standardized reductions of stated value used to help analyze a "conservative" or "adverse scenario analysis" that "is trying to see what happens if the client's financial position is under stress," (Ex. 1017
at 75:11-77:10; 79:7-24; 148:6-149:21; Robert Ex. O at 147:15-148:21), and that the bank relied on the inaccurate information provided in the SFCs and by the Trump Organization and therefore reached inflated adjusted valuations of Mr. Trump's net worth. See supra, Response to \| 107.
152. A term sheet with the material terms of the OPO Loan was agreed to and "executed on January 13 and 14, 2014." Compl. ๆ| 634. Thereafter, the OPO loan closed on August 12, 2014. Compl. $\mathbb{\|} 634$.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
153. Simply to close the OPO Loan, Deutsche Bank was projected to generate a $.5 \%$ fee of the facility amount, which is equivalent to $\$ 850,000$ based on a $\$ 170$ million loan facility ( $\$ 170$ million X $.5 \%=\$ 850,000$ ). See Robert Aff., Ex. Q at 8-9.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
154. Trump Old Post Office LLC never defaulted on the loan or missed a payment under the loan. See Williams Dep. 187:9-15; 189:10-16; 295:13-17. The Old Post Office loan was a successful credit transaction for Deutsche Bank, as the property was "redeveloped and opened and was operating successfully," and the loan was performing such that "all interest payments and covenants were being met." See Vrablic Dep. 310:7-311:6.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, including because Deutsche Bank alerted Trump Old Post Office LLC of a DSCR covenant breach in 2019, Ex. 1052, and because the misrepresentations and false statements in Defendants' submissions to Deutsche Bank constituted Events of Default under relevant loan documents, as Deutsche Bank stated to the Trump Organization in 2020, Pl.'s Statement $\uparrow \mathbb{T} 447-451,558-60$ and accompanying exhibits.
155. The primary and secondary source of repayment on the OPO Loan were the collateral, while President's Trump guarantee would only be implicated as a tertiary source of repayment. Robert Aff., Ex. Q at 10 ("Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property. Secondary

Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Hotel following the Redevelopment Period. Based on projections, the Hotel should be able to satisfactorily service the debt paying principal and interest based on a 25 year amortization schedule. Tertiary Source of Repayment: DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee of the entire facility for the term.").

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the quoted exhibit states the sources of repayment listed in the cited Deutsche Bank credit memo, but disputed as to Defendants' characterization of the Guaranty or that this meant Mr. Trump's personal guaranty was of less importance than the collateral to PWM's underwriting of the OPO loan. See Pl.'s Statement $\mathbb{T} \|$ 543-552 (describing the Trump Organization's negotiations with Deutsche Bank's CRE and PWM divisions over financing for the OPO project, and the Trump Organization's decision to enter into a recourse loan with PWM that had a lower interest rate and relied on Mr. Trump's personal guaranty). Further disputed because Deutsche Bank's internal underwriting analysis considered the Old Post Office lease to be "weak collateral," and accordingly the "rating for that transaction was entirely reliant on the borrower rather than the collateral." Ex. 1017 at 262:18-265:18.
156. On or about May 11, 2022, Trump Old Post Office LLC sold the OPO property for \$375 million, of which \$170 million was used to repay the loan to Deutsche Bank. Compl. ๆ 646. RESPONSE: Undisputed.

## b. Ladder Capital

## i. 40 Wall Street LLC (2015)

157. In November 2015, 40 Wall Street LLC refinanced an existing $\$ 160$ million mortgage from Capital One Bank for 40 Wall Street through Ladder Capital Finance ("Ladder Capital"). Compl. TTT125, 647.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
158. 40 Wall Street LLC never defaulted on the loan or missed a payment. See Garten Aff.
|l 3.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, including because Mr. Garten's sworn statement that " 40 Wall Street LLC has never received notice of the occurrence of an Event of Default under the loan originated by Ladder Capital Finance I LLC" is not proof that 40 Wall Street LLC has never engaged in conduct that would constitute an event of default under the applicable loan documents.

Further, although not evidence of an event of default, the 40 Wall Street loan was placed on a servicer watchlist in 2016 due to the financial performance of the property. Internal correspondence at Ladder Capital concluded that servicer Wells Fargo placed the loan on a watchlist because "of the free rent periods that have not fully burnt off and [W]ells must not be counting on the guarantee for those rents." Ex. 1022. When responding to a press inquiry about this loan, Allen Weisselberg similarly highlighted the importance of Mr. Trump's personal guarantee to the loan underwriting; Mr. Weisselberg suggested that the loan was not a "default risk," even though "the reported financial performance has not yet reached the underwritten level," in part because the "loan was structured with a guarantee in lieu of an upfront escrow to cover the free rent period." Ex. 1023. In connection with Mr. Trump's personal guaranty, Mr. Weisselberg, as trustee, certified to the accuracy of summaries of net worth that were based on the inflated SFCs and Mr. McConney submitted those certifications to Wells Fargo. Exs. 1041-1045.
159. Under the terms of the loan, President Trump had to maintain a net worth of $\$ 160$ million and a liquidity of at least $\$ 15$ million. See Robert Aff., Ex. AX at 10.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
160. A 2015 appraisal ordered by the Bank appraised 40 Wall Street at a value of $\$ 540,00,000$, which resulted in loan-to-value of $29.6 \%$. See id. at 4. Cushman also appraised the dark value of the Property at $\$ 440,000,000, \$ 280,000,000$ in excess of the loan amount. Id.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that Defendants fraudulently inflated the value of this 2015 appraisal by providing appraisers with false and misleading information to arrive at a pre-
determined value.
For example, Allen Weisselberg directed the Trump Organization's Assistant Controller, Donna Kidder, to reduce the management fee listed in an expense and cash flow report for 40 Wall Street from $\$ 1,007,988$ to $\$ 100,000$, and to send the revised report to his son Jack Weisselberg at Ladder Capital, which had commissioned the appraisal. Ex. 1003 at 69:21-73:19. Ladder Capital then shared this revised and inaccurate management fee amount with appraisers at Cushman \& Wakefield. Ex. 1057, Ex. 1058. The appraisers incorporated that information into the Net Operating Income in the final 2015 appraisal for 40 Wall Street, which helped to increase the property's value from $\$ 500$ million in a draft appraisal to the Trump Organization's target valuation of $\$ 540$ million in the final appraisal report. Ex. 1024 at $-178,-317$ (draft appraisal revenue and expense analysis); Ex. 1025 at $-324,-465$ (final appraisal revenue and expense analysis); see also Ex. 1026 TTI 22-23 (reviewing underlying assumptions that changed during the course of the Cushman 2015 appraisal).

Defendants similarly inflated income at 40 Wall. The appraisal (which was dated June 25, 2015) included as part of the rent roll a $\$ 1.4$ million dollar lease with Dean \& Deluca, even though the lease was still under negotiation and had not yet been signed. Ex. 1027, Tab RENT ROLL. While Dean \& Deluca did eventually sign a lease for the space, it never commenced operations in the building, it declared bankruptcy, and the Trump Organization sued in federal court for unpaid rent. Ex. 1028.

## c. Royal Bank America/Bryn Mawr Bank

## i. Seven Springs LLC

161. On July 17, 2000, Seven Springs LLC obtained an approximately $\$ 8$ million loan from RBA, which was later acquired by Bryn Mawr Bank in 2017. Compl. ๆ654. President Trump personally guaranteed the loan. Compl. I| 654.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
162. Seven Springs LLC never defaulted on the loan or missed a payment. See, e.g., Garten Aff., § 4; see also Robert Aff., Ex. AAL at 6 (indicating no events of default).

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, including because Mr. Garten's sworn statement that "Seven Springs LLC has never received notice of the occurrence of an Event of Default under the loan currently held by the Bryn Mawr Trust Company" is not proof that Seven Springs LLC has never engaged in conduct that would constitute an event of default under the applicable loan documents.
163. A June 17, 2014 Memorandum prepared by Bryn Mawr to analyze a proposed existing loan renewal indicates the "current value [of the Seven Springs property] more than supports the debt." See Robert Aff., Ex. AAN at 6.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the referenced credit memo also notes there is "Strong Guarantor Support" and recommended renewal based on the "Personal financial strength of Mr. Trump, evidenced by a reported net worth of $\$ 5$ Billion and liquid assets of $\$ 354 \mathrm{MM}^{\prime \prime}$ as well as Mr . Trump's personal support for the project. See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 338; see also Pl.'s Statement q||l| 599616 and supporting exhibits (demonstrating importance of Mr. Trump's personal guaranty and SFCs to this loan). Additionally, since the property was not income-producing the guarantor's financials were important to the transaction and the bank relied on the SFCs from 2011-2019. See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 345 at 61:12-19; 132:13-18, 138:01-17, 183:03-11
164. Additionally, in connection with the 2019 modification of the loan, the 2019 Credit Approval Memorandum prepared by Bryn Mawr explicitly notes that the Mazars "does not express an opinion, conclusion or any form of assurance on the personal financial statement." See Robert Aff., Ex. AAM 2019 at 7. The loan to value rate was $16.6 \%$ as of the May 30, 2019 appraisal on the property (which gave the property an appraised value of $\$ 37,650,000$ ). See id. at 5 .

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the referenced credit memo states that the property
had a negative NOI, $\$ 1.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ of cash was injected into the LLC to support the loan statements and the guarantor's liquidity and willingness to support the project were noted as reasons to give the loan a pass rating that it otherwise did not qualify for. See Robert Aff., Ex. AAM at 8. When asked about this document a representative from Bryn Mawr said he obtained the liquidity information from the guarantor's personal financial statement and that the bank relied on the representations in that statement in connection with the Seven Springs Loan. See Ex Pl.'s Statement Ex. 345 at 131:20-132:18.

## d. Investors Bank

## i. Trump Park Avenue

165. Investors Bank funded a $\$ 23$ million loan secured by Trump Park Avenue that closed on July 23, 2010. Compl. ๆ| 85-86; NYSECF No. 205.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

## e. Zurich North America Insurance Company

166. From 2007 through 2021, Zurich North America Insurance Company ("Zurich") underwrote a surety bond program for President Trump's businesses through insurance broker AON Risk Solutions ("AON"). Compl. \| 679. Under the program, Zurich issued surety bonds on behalf of President Trump's businesses in exchange for premium calculated based on a set rate. Compl. ๆ 679. Most of the bonds were statutorily required for President Trump's businesses, such as liquor license bonds for golf courses or release of lien bonds for construction projects. Compl. ब| 679.

RESPONSE: Disputed because it misstates the cited evidence; otherwise, undisputed that from 2007 through 2021, Zurich underwrote a surety bond program for the Trump Organization (Donald J. Trump's businesses) through AON, and that most of the bonds were statutorily required for Mr. Trump's businesses.
167. President Trump entered into a General Indemnity Agreement ("GIA") with Zurich, which indemnified Zurich against any loss incurred by Zurich on the surety bonds underwritten for President Trump's businesses. Compl. q\| 680-81.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

168. The GIA executed by President Trump on October 22, 2009, did not have an annual requirement that President Trump disclose to Zurich's underwriter the SOFC. Robert Aff., Ex. X ("Caulfield Dep.") 57:4-8; ZurichNA_008990.

RESPONSE: Disputed as the GIA was an integral part of a larger agreement between Zurich and the Trump Organization that required disclosure of Mr. Trump's SFC. See Ex. 1030. (Surety Term Sheet for Trump Organization and Aon Risk Services requiring "Annual review of Personal Financial Statements of Donald J. Trump (on-site review)"); Pl.'s Statement Ex. 348 at 34:12-35:14; Ex. 1032 at 224:18226:15 (explaining that the conditions listed in this term sheet "were things that were going to have to happen or else they wouldn't be issuing new insurance").
169. It is common practice for a surety underwriter to require disclosure of financial statements, but Zurich's surety underwriter knew of no legal or contractual provision that required disclosure of financial statements. Caulfield Dep. 56:11-57:3.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence. Although Zurich's surety underwriter testified that she did not know of a specific legal or contractual provision, she testified that disclosure of financial statements was definitively required. Robert Aff. Ex. X at 56:957:3 ("It's a requirement. If they want bonds, they have to give us their financial statements. That's how we underwrite."). Further disputed because the asserted fact ignores the testimony of Zurich's other surety underwriter who took over the account in late 2017, and who testified that annual review of Mr. Trump's personal financial statements was a requirement of this surety program. Pl.'s Statement Ex. 348 at 34:12-35:14.
170. Prior to Zurich's underwriting of the surety program, Zurich had a longstanding insurance relationship with President Trump's businesses that ended in May 2011. Caulfield Dep. 48:26. During that insurance relationship, an insurance underwriter shared financial information from his
review of the SOFC with the surety underwriter. Caulfield Dep. 47:15-25.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
171. When the insurance relationship ended, Zurich's underwriter reviewed the 2010 SOFC at Trump Tower in July 2011. Caulfield Dep. 66:5-12.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
172. Between July 2011 and January 2017, Zurich's underwriter did not review the SOFC and routinely threatened to stop writing new bonds until she was given access to updated financial information. Caulfield Dep. at 82:18-21, 102:19-103:10; Robert Aff., Ex. AAO at ZurichNA_008206. Nevertheless, between July 2011 and January 2017, Zurich continued to expand the surety program by adding new bonds based, in part, on media publications reporting President Trump's net worth, including Forbes and USA Today. Caulfield Dep. at 81:5-24, 88:7-21, 93:11-25, 94:2-7.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted facts are not supported by the cited evidence, but undisputed that between July 2011 and January 2017 Zurich expanded the surety program.
173. In 2013, the sole basis for supporting Zurich's underwriting decision was a Forbes publication that estimated President Trump's net worth at \$3.2 billion. Caulfield Dep. 81:5-24.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence, and excludes the witness's subsequent testimony, which identifies as part of the reason she supported renewing coverage her receipt of an accountant's letter stating Mr. Trump's net worth. See Robert Aff. Ex. X at 88:22-89:18.
174. In 2014, Zurich's surety underwriter underwrote the surety program by relying on a Forbes publication that estimated President Trump's net worth at $\$ 4.1$ billion and a USA Today press release in connection with President Trump's run for President that estimated his net worth at $\$ 10$ billion.

Caulfield Dep. 93:16-94:7
RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence, and because it
ignores the information contained in the witness's annual underwriting reports (see, e.g. Ex. 1054) and testimony, which identifies additional support for underwriting the surety program, including the accountants' letter submitted in 2014. Robert Aff. Ex. X at 88:22-89:18; Ex. 1055 at 115:2-117:9.
175. In 2015, Zurich's surety underwriter underwrote the surety program by relying on a Forbes publication that estimated President Trump's net worth at $\$ 4.5$ billion and a USA Today press release in connection with President Trump's run for President that estimated his net worth at $\$ 10$ billion. Caulfield Dep. 111:20-112:11.

RESPONSE: Disputed as unsupported by the cited evidence, and because it ignores the information contained in the witness's annual underwriting reports (see, e.g. Ex. 1056 at -225 ) and testimony. Ex. 1055 at 123:5-13.
176. Despite not receiving traditional financial disclosure of the SOFC from July 2011 to January 2017, Zurich increased its exposure and renewed bonds as an accommodation to AON. Caulfield Dep. 85:19-87:16, 90:6-15, 98:10-17.

RESPONSE: Disputed as unsupported by the cited evidence that the increased exposure and renewed bonds were made solely as an accommodation to AON and because this ignores other testimony that clarifies that Zurich renewed the program for other reasons (Robert Aff. Ex. X at 114:17-20); otherwise, undisputed that Zurich increased its exposure and renewed bonds between July 2011 and January 2017.
177. Zurich's reliance on information provided by Forbes and other media publications continued through January 2017 when Zurich's surety underwriter visited Trump Tower to review the 2015 SOFC. Caulfield Dep. 115:19-116:14

RESPONSE: Disputed as unsupported by the cited evidence; but otherwise, undisputed in January 2017 the Zurich surety underwriter visited Trump Tower and reviewed the 2015 SOFC.
178. In January 2017, Zurich agreed to add DJT Holdings LLC as an additional indemnitor because of concerns Zurich had involving enforcement of the GIA during President Trump's term of
office. Caulfield Dep. 119:4-18.
RESPONSE: Undisputed.
179. The rates charged by Zurich for the surety program were rates filed with insurance regulators in the state of New York. Robert Aff., Ex. AA ("Miller Dep.") 60:17-61:8.

RESPONSE: Disputed because this assertion is unsupported by the cited testimony. Mr. Miller's full testimony explained that filed rates were a starting point, but that an underwriter had discretion to deviate up or down within certain limits from that starting rate, as Zurich did to determine the rates that were charged. See Robert Aff. Ex. AA at 60:17-62:14.
180. Zurich reduced the rate President Trump's businesses were paying as an accommodation to AON and to stave off another insurance company seeking to take the surety program from Zurich. Caulfield Dep. 104:6-105:15. The account rate was lowered despite Zurich not having reviewed updated SOFCs in approximately four years. Caulfield Dep. 105:20-106:3.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted facts; otherwise, undisputed that the premium rate Zurich charged for the Trump Organization account was reduced in 2015 and undisputed that the Trump Organization failed to provide Zurich with an opportunity to review the SOFC financials between 2011-2016. Robert Aff. Ex. X at 102:19-103:10.
181. The total exposure extended to President Trump's businesses in connection with the surety program never exceeded $\$ 20$ million. Caulfield Dep. 133:3-7; Robert Aff., Ex. Y ("Potter Dep.") 68:5-8, 70:2-7, 72:20-25, 73:5-8.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
182. Zurich did not focus on individual asset values because their focus was on President Trump's liquidity to satisfy any claims on the indemnity agreement. Caulfield Dep. 71:8-14, 95:16-18, 117:23-118:2, 154:20-25; Miller Dep. 93:24-94:7 ("Zurich didn't rely on an asset valuations at all. They looked at liquidity and they looked at keeping AON happy and they looked at keeping a customer and
those were the primary focus that they had in determining whether theywould keep the risk and write the bonding program"); Robert Aff., Ex. Z ("Giulietti Dep.") 108:9-19; 113:4-8 ("Yes, based on our previous conversation, that's all they're relying on, cash, all the way back in the relationship.")

RESPONSE: Disputed because the evidence cited does not support the factual assertions and is contrary to the testimony given by the underwriter who took over the account in late 2017 that she considered both Mr. Trump's liquidity and the asset values (Pl.'s Statement Ex. 348 at 46:13-47:19; 48:2-16); otherwise, undisputed that Mr. Trump's liquidity was a factor in the underwriter's assessment of the surety bond program. (Pl.'s Statement Ex. 348 at 47:13-19; Robert Aff. Ex. X at 95:16-18).
183. Liquidity is an important factor for a surety underwriter in determining if an indemnitor can meet its obligation under an indemnity agreement. Miller Dep. 31:25-32:6. To determine accuracy of financial information provided to a surety, the underwriter can look at previous loss information, S\&P reporting, Comprehensive Loss Underwriting Information. Miller Dep. 97:7-12.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that there are no publicly-available financial filings for a privately-held company as there are for a public company. Robert Aff. Ex. AA at 117:2-9.
184. To determine accuracy of financial information provided to a surety, the underwriter can request independent appraisals. Miller Dep. 98:15-17.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and conclusory opinion testimony that conflicts with evidence in the record confirming that such appraisals are economically infeasible and therefore are not done. Ex. 1032 at 222:7-223:17 (explaining that surety rates are "very low" and "if they had to run around doing appraisals, which are very expensive, it would definitely raise their costs and would have to increase their premiums."); see also Robert Aff. Ex. X at 150:14-152:16 (testifying that "insurance companies don't appraise things" for surety underwriting). This type of unsupported expert speculation cannot on its own create evidentiary support for Defendants' factual assertions. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d 542, 544, 784 N.E.2d 68, 70 (2002) ("Where the expert's ultimate assertions are speculative or unsupported by any evidentiary foundation . . . the opinion should be given no probative force and is insufficient to withstand
summary judgment."); Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d 327, 327, 818 N.Y.S.2d 199, 200 (1st Dept 2006) (finding that expert affidavit has no probative value on summary judgment where it "contained speculative, conclusory assertions" and "cited to various broad or inapt . . . rules, regulations and standards").
185. Ms. Caulfield indicated that during her time at Zurich, she was never concerned with President Trump's financial health. Caulfield Dep. 146:2-8.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited testimony was prompted by objectionable, leading questions and therefore is not sufficient evidence to support the asserted fact.
186. There were no claims ever made on the surety bonds underwritten by Zurich. Caulfield Dep. 155:2-6; Potter Dep. 103:20-22. Thus, Zurich did not incur financial harm because of the surety program. Caulfield Dep. 155:7-12.

RESPONSE: Disputed as to whether Zurich suffered "financial harm" because there is evidence that Zurich incurred unnecessary risk and was accordingly harmed (Ex. 1047 at 926 (g)(i-ii); and Ex. 1032 at 53:19-54:9); and disputed whether claims made on the surety program is material; otherwise, undisputed that any claims were made on the surety bond program.
187. In connection with the surety bond program, Zurich never communicated with Donald Trump, Jr. or Eric Trump. See Caulfield Dep. 144:21-145:2.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact.

## f. Tokio Marine HCC Insurance Company

188. As of December 2016, the Trust had in place D\&O consisting of a single primary policy providing a limit of $\$ 5,000,000$ from Everest National Insurance Company ("Everest") at a premium of \$125,000. Compl. ๆ| 692.

RESPONSE: Disputed that the "Trust" had in place the D\&O policy because it is unsupported by the cited evidence; otherwise, undisputed that the D\&O policy in place for the Trump Organization as of the
beginning of December 2016 consisted of a single primary policy providing a limit of $\$ 5,000,000$ from Everest at a premium of $\$ 125,000$.
189. On December 6, 2016, AON, President Trump's insurance broker, obtained a quote from Tokio Marine HCC ("HCC") for additional limits of $\$ 5,000,000$ to sit above the Everest policy. Compl. ๆ 695. Without reviewing a SOFC, HCC quoted a policy to sit above the Everest policy through the expiration date of February 17,2017 , in exchange for a premium of $\$ 40,000$ subject to reviewing financials at renewal. Compl. $\left.\frac{\pi}{} \right\rvert\, T$ 695-96.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that AON was the insurance broker for the Trump Organization. Ex. 1031 at 31:23-32:3.
190. In advance of renewal, an HCC underwriter met with Trump personnel at Trump Tower on January 10, 2017. Compl. ๆ 697. The HCC underwriter reviewed a balance sheet for year-end 2015, which showed total assets of $\$ 6.6$ billion, $\$ 192$ million liquidity, and total debt of $\$ 519$ million. Compl. 『| 698.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
191. On January 20, 2017, HCC offered the Trust terms for a primary $\$ 10,000,000 \mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}$ policy with a $\$ 2,500,000$ retention for an annual premium of $\$ 295,000$. Compl. ๆ 700. Coverage per these terms was bound on January 31, 2017, with effective dates of January 30, 2017, to January 30, 2018. Compl. 『 700.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, except that the cited evidence does not support the assertion that terms were offered by HCC to the "Trust."
192. HCC agreed to renew the D\&O policy on the same terms for another twelve months, with a policy expiration date of February 10, 2019. Compl. ๆ| 710.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
193. The HCC D\&O policy contained a provision that specified who had to know about a
claim under the policy before it had to be reported to HCC. See Robert Aff., Ex. AD at HCC_00000724. RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence is policy language that was superseded on May 4, 2017 (Ex. 1029 at -894) and not material because the policy notice provision has no relevance to an insurance applicant's disclosure obligations under the penal law during the application process.
194. Under the terms of the policy, only when the risk manager or general counsel became aware of a claim did the insured have to provide written notice. See Robert Aff., Ex. AD at HCC_00000724.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence is policy language that was superseded on May 4, 2017 (Ex. 1029 at 894) and not material because the policy notice provision has no relevance to an insurance applicant's disclosure obligations under the penal law during the application process.
195. The policy required notice to HCC as soon as practicable after the risk manager or general counsel become aware of a claim, but in no event later than ninety days after the end of the policy period, which ended January 30, 2018. See Robert Aff., Ex. AD at HCC_00000724.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence is policy language that was superseded on May 4, 2017 (Ex. 1029 at 894) and not material because the policy notice provision has no relevance to an insurance applicant's disclosure obligations under the penal law during the application process.
196. On February 8, 2019, AON provided notice to HCC of various "claims and/or circumstances which may reasonably be expected to give rise to Claims." Compl. ๆ 712.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
197. If there has been materially false information provided by an applicant to a D\&O carrier, the carrier can issue a reservation of rights letter, deny coverage, and rescind a policy. Miller Dep. 75:19-76:8.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that these actions are available to the carrier only if it has become aware that materially false information was provided.
198. HCC was not required to follow filed rates with New York regulators for the D\&O policy. See Robert Aff., Ex. AD at HCC_00000684.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted statement.

## g. The Defendants' Roles in the SOFC Transactions

199. Allen Weisselberg, Jeffrey McConney, Patrick Birney, and President Trump were the only Trump personnel involved in the preparation of the SOFC. Robert Aff., Ex. AAJ ("Weisselberg Dep.") 86:3-10, 106:8-18, 114:19-115:21, 286:24-287:8; Robert Aff., Ex. W
("Eric Trump Dep.") 273:9-20, 280:2-11, 286:22-288:13, 294:14-295:9, 304:2-5; Robert Aff., Ex. AAP ("Bender Dep.") 142:16-20, 143:2-7.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence, and is contrary to other record evidence showing that Mr. Trump, Mr. Weisselberg, Mr. McConney and Mr. Birney relied on the involvement of other Trump personnel in the preparation of the SOFCs. For example, notes in the underlying work papers for the 2012 SOFC state that the valuation of Seven Springs included information "[p]er telephone conversation with Eric Trump (9/24/2012)." Pl.'s Statement, Ex. 14 at 24 (MAZARS-NYAG-00003456). See also Pl.'s Statement, Ex. 15 at 9 (Rows 253-273) (citing valuation information for TNGC-Briarcliff as "per telephone conversation with Eric Trump" dated 9/25/2013); Pl.'s Statement $\uparrow$ ๆ 696-709 and supporting exhibits (describing Eric Trump's role in SOFCs, guaranties and loans involving Trump Organization); Pl.'s Statement 9T1 680-695 and supporting exhibits (describing Donald Trump Jr.'s role in SOFCs, guaranties and loans involving Trump Organization); Pl.'s Statement Ex. 138 at 1183:181186:18, 1194:10-1195:13, 1196:24-1197:09 (investigative testimony of Patrick Birney describing presentation of 2021 SOFC to Trump personnel including Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump and others).
200. Eric Trump was not involved in the preparation of the SOFCs. See Eric Trump Dep. 273:9-20 ("I know nothing about the Statement of Financial Condition. I, certainly, wouldn't know anything about the backup to the Statement of Financial Condition. It's just not what I did."), 280:2-11 ("I
do not ever recall speaking about a Statement of Financial Condition with Jeff McConney. This is not an exercise I was involved in."), 287:2-25 ("To the best of my knowledge, I never saw or ever even remotely worked on the Statement of Financial Condition. This was not in my purview. This is not what I did."), 288:2-13 ("I had nothing to do with the valuation process in the company. That just was not my domain"), 294:14-295:9 ("I knew just about nothing about the Statement of Financial Condition. I had, to the best of my knowledge, never seen the document, never worked on the document"), 304:2-5; Bender Dep. 142:16-20 ("Q: Did you have a discussion with Eric Trump concerning the preparation of the President's Statement of Financial Condition? A: Not to my recollection").

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence and is contrary to other record evidence. Eric Trump was involved in the preparation of the SOFC, including by directly providing information used in asset valuations and by participating in discussions about the preparation of the SOFC. See, e.g., Pl.'s Statement, Ex. 14 at 24 (MAZARS-NYAG-00003456) (notes in 2012 SOFC workpapers stating that the valuation of Seven Springs included information "[p]er telephone conversation with Eric Trump (9/24/2012)); Pl.'s Statement, Ex. 15 at 9 (Rows 253-273) (citing valuation information for TNGC-Briarcliff as "per telephone conversation with Eric Trump" dated 9/25/2013); Pl.'s Statement ๆा 696-709 and supporting exhibits (describing Eric Trump's role in SOFCs, guaranties and loans involving Trump Organization); Pl.'s Statement Ex. 138 at 1183:18-1186:18, 1194:10-1195:13, 1196:241197:09 (investigative testimony of Patrick Birney describing presentation of 2021 SOFC to Trump personnel including Eric Trump); Ex. 1033 at 225:14-228:24, 234:4-235:6, 240:21-245:12 (testifying to specific conversations with Eric Trump regarding SOFC valuations of Seven Springs over multiple years).
201. Eric Trump stated he relied on the work of the accounting department when certifying the accuracy of the 2021 SOFC. See Robert Aff. Ex. W at 336:11-338:7.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
202. Donald Trump Jr. was not involved in the preparation of the SOFCs. See Bender Dep.

143:2-7 ("Q: Did you have any discussion Donald Trump Jr. in connection with the preparation of the President's Statement of Financial Condition? A: Discussions? No, I did not have - not to the best of my recollection").

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence and is contrary to other record evidence. Donald Trump Jr. has been involved in the preparation of the SOFCs in various capacities in his roles as a Trustee for the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust and an Executive Vice President with the Trump Organization and for various corporate entities whose assets are valued on the SOFCs. See, e.g., Pl.'s Statement $9 \mathbb{T l}$ 680-695 and supporting exhibits (describing Donald Trump Jr.'s role in SOFCs, guaranties and loans involving Trump Organization); Pl.'s Statement Ex. 138 at 1183:181186:18, 1194:10-1195:13, 1196:24-1197:09 (investigative testimony of Patrick Birney describing presentation of 2021 SOFC to Trump personnel including Donald Trump, Jr.).

Further disputed because the SOFCs from 2016 to 2021 expressly identify the Trustees of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust as the persons responsible for the statements' contents, and Donald Trump, Jr. was one of two trustees of that trust. Pl.’s Statement $\|_{\|} \mathbb{\|}$ 681, 743, 750, 756. Mr. McConney testified that Donald Trump Jr. thus would have had final approval authority over the SFC as trustee. Ex. 1033 at 190:3-193:10) (regarding 2017 SOFC, "Q. So at this point in time when this statement was finalized who had final approval authority over it? A. It would be the trustees. It would be Allen and Donald, Don Junior.").
203. With respect to the Deutsche Bank loans, Eric Trump had no role in securing the loan for the Chicago, Doral, or Old Post Office transactions. See Vrablic Dep. 173:18-174:12, 232:17233:18; see also Pereless Dep. 93:10-14; Sullivan Dep. 88:15-89:2 ("Q: As you sit here today, do you recall any involvement that Eric Trump had with respect to the guarantee on the Doral property? A. He was not involved.").

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence and is contrary to
other record evidence. Eric Trump is an Executive Vice President with the Trump Organization, and has been an officer of 401 North Wabash Venture LLC and Trump Old Post Office LLC (Pl. Statement ๆ 709), and is therefore involved in managing the businesses and entities that are parties to these transactions. Eric Trump (along with his siblings Ivanka Trump and Donald Trump Jr.) was also an "indirect beneficial owner" of Trump Old Post Office LLC, and in that capacity "guaranteed a portion of the Loan Agreement" by agreeing to help pay up to $\$ 10$ million of any money paid by Mr. Trump to Deutsche Bank under his personal guaranty of the loan. Ex. 1053.
204. With respect to the Deutsche Bank loans, Donald Trump Jr. was not involved in the Doral transaction. Vrablic 174:8-12, 229:16-23, Sullivan Dep. 89:3-13 ("Q. Do you recall whether Donald Trump, Jr. had any involvement in the guarantee on the Doral property? A. He did not.").

RESPONSE: Disputed because the asserted fact is not supported by the cited evidence and is contrary to other record evidence. Donald Trump Jr. was already a client of the Deutsche Bank PWM division at the time of the Doral transaction (P1.'s Statement Ex. 226 at DB-NYAG-001691), and he has served in various capacities at Trump Endeavor 12 LLC during the time period covered by this litigation (Pl. Statement Ex. 51 \| 16). Further disputed because Donald Trump Jr. was an executive with the Trump Organization, and he has testified that he worked on an equal footing alongside his siblings Eric Trump and Ivanka Trump on a variety of deals, including "trying to grow the Trump Hotel Collection" through deals including Doral. Ex. 1034 at 69:20-74:21 ("[W]e were heavily involved in just finding the original deal. . . constructing it, and then, you know, ultimately operating it as well, as an operating, you know, hotel/resort in the case of Doral and golf course.").
205. Moreover, Ms. Vrablic, a former Managing Director at Deutsche Bank, did not believe President Trump, Eric Trump, or Donald Trump, Jr. had ever submitted any materially false or misleading statements to Deutsche Bank. See Vrablic Dep. 229:16-23, 232:17-234:6; 234:17-20 ("Q. Are you aware of any false oral statements that President Trump ever made to anyone at Deutsche Bank?
A. Not to the best of my knowledge.") 235:8-16 ("Q. Are you aware of any false written statements that President Trump ever made to anyone at Deutsche Bank? A. To the best of my knowledge, no. Q. Are you aware of any false information that Donald Trump, President Trump, ever provided to anyone at Deutsche Bank? A. To the best of my knowledge, no.")

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence (including deposition responses to leading questions and incomplete hypotheticals offered "to the best of [the witness's] knowledge") does not support the asserted fact.

## V. Other SOFC Submissions

## a. Additional $\mathbf{\$ 5 0}$ Million Loan from Deutsche Bank

206. In February 2016, Deutsche Bank considered extending an additional $\$ 50$ million loan secured by Trump Doral. Compl. \|| 662.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
207. Ultimately, Deutsche Bank declined to extend further credit due to President Trump's then-campaign for office because it could lead to the perception that Deutsche Bank was not politically neutral, which posed a level of reputational risk. Compl. ๆ 666.

RESPONSE: Undisputed

## b. Buffalo Bills

208. In July 2014, President Trump bid to purchase the Buffalo Bills football team.

Compl. ๆ| 667. In support of its bid, President Trump obtained a confidence letter from Deutsche Bank indicating that President Trump would have the financial wherewithal to fund his bid to purchase the Buffalo Bills. Compl. $1 / \pi$ 667, 669.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that Deutsche Bank provided a confidence letter based upon the bank's "preliminary review of the current financial information of Mr. Donald J. Trump made
available to us, including liquidity and asset value." Ex. 1036. Further clarification that when sending his bid package, Mr. Trump wrote a personal letter offering to pay $\$ 1$ billion dollars in cash, with an immediate closing, and stating that his net worth was in excess of "Eight Billion Dollars (financial statements to be provided upon request)." Ex. 1035.
209. In connection with the confidence letter, Jeff McConney certified that, as of June 30, 2014, there had been no material decrease from the 2013 SOFC. Compl. $\|\|$ 668, 670.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
210. President Trump did not purchase the Buffalo Bills. Compl. ๆ 669.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.

## c. Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point in Bronx, New York

211. In 2010, an offer was submitted to the City of New York for a concession to operate, maintain, and manage an 18 -hole golf course and related facilities at Ferry Point Park, Bronx, New York. Compl. \| 671.

RESPONSE: Undisputed
212. The contract award included a personal guarantee by President Trump. Compl. © 674. The guarantee stated that the 2010 SOFC had been furnished to the City of New York. Compl. 『| 674.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed

213. After being awarded the contract in 2012, President Trump was required to periodically represent there had been no material change in his financial position. Compl. ๆ| 675. Mazars submitted such letters to the City of New York in 2010, 2011, 2013, 2016, 2017, 2018, and 2021. Compl. ๆ 675.

RESPONSE: Disputed as the cited evidence does not support the proposition, including because the Trump Organization and not Mazars submitted such letters to the City of New York. Compl. ๆ1 675; Ex. 1037 at -396-399 (letter from Trump Organization CFO Allen Weisselberg stating "We have enclosed a statement
from the Certified Public Accounting firm of Weiser LLP, indicating a net worth in excess of $\$ 3$ billion and cash on-hand in excess of \$200 million.").

## VI. Methods of Asset Valuation

214. The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") provide for various methods to value real property. Robert Aff., Ex. AO ("Chin Aff."), Ex. A ("Chin Expert Report") \| 4142.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, and because the cited evidence misstates the AICPA standards referenced therein. The applicable accounting guidance for calculating the current values for real estate assets in a personal financial statement can be found in ASC Topic 274. Ex. 1014 § 34 (quoting ASC Topic 274-10-55, paragraph 6); see also Robert Aff. Ex. AI Ex. A q\|\| 25-29 (quoting same ASC Topic 274 methods for estimating the current value of real estate in a personal financial statement). Prof. Bartov testified that any method used to determine a value for a Statement of Financial Condition must have the "goal of reaching estimated current value" and the "principle of estimated current value." See Robert Aff. Ex. AJ at 338:13-19, 340:11-16. Moreover, Prof. Bartov testified that in applying the definition of estimated current value to a personal financial statement, the definition is applied to reach a value at the date of the financial statement. See Robert Aff. Ex. AJ at 311:3-312:22.
215. The market value ("As Is") and investment value ("As If") for a property may produce differences in estimated valuation because they provide different perspectives. Robert Aff., Ex. AN ("Chin Dep.") 98:3-19, 108:4-10.. ${ }^{4}$

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited testimony is vague, fails to define these terms sufficiently and lacks evidentiary support, but undisputed that "market value" and "investment value" as understood by

[^16]professional appraisers are different valuation methods. See Ex. 1026 at 9T17-11. Furthermore, this statement of opinion is immaterial because the SFCs state that they present Mr. Trump's assets and net worth at estimated current value, which is consistent with the accounting principles that govern personal financial statements. See Ex. 1014 q\| 32-34; Robert Aff. Ex. AI Ex. A $\mid \mathbb{\|}$ 25-29.
216. Market value is generally described as "As Is," as of a specific date, reflective of a price that a willing buyer and seller would agree upon in an open and competitive market. Chin Expert Report II 43.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
217. Investment value is "the value of the property to a particular investor based on that person's (or entity's) investment requirements rather than market norms." Robert Aff., Ex. AAC ("Laposa Dep.") 74:16-75:24, 135:9-11.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited testimony is vague, fails to define these terms sufficiently and lacks evidentiary support, but undisputed that "investment value" is an appraisal term of art that differs from market value, see Ex. 1026 at ๆ| 8, and that also differs from estimated current value, see Robert Aff., Ex. AAC at 137:7-138:6; 139:22-140:25. Furthermore, this statement of opinion is immaterial because the SFCs state that they present Mr. Trump's assets and net worth at estimated current value, which is consistent with the accounting principles that govern personal financial statements. See Ex. 1014 ๆ\| 32-34; Robert Aff. Ex. AI Ex. A $\uparrow \mathbb{\|} \|$ 25-29.
218. Investment value is usually estimated based on anticipated future market and property conditions from the vantage point of a specific investor or owner and is often expressed as an "As If" value. Chin Expert Report || 42; Chin Dep. 90:3-19, 91:24-92:8.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited testimony is vague, fails to define these terms sufficiently and lacks evidentiary support, but undisputed that "investment value" is an appraisal term of art that differs from market value, see Ex. 1026 at ๆ| 8, and that also differs from estimated current value, see Robert

Aff., Ex. AAC at 137:7-138:6; 139:22-140:25. Furthermore, this statement of opinion is immaterial because the SFCs state that they present Mr. Trump's assets and net worth at estimated current value, which is consistent with the accounting principles that govern personal financial statements. See Ex. 1014 T\|\| 32-34; Robert Aff. Ex. AI Ex. A $\uparrow \mathbb{\|} \|$ 25-29.
219. The AICPA does not mandate or require reporting "As Is" values in compilation reports, nor does it mandate or require that a market value definition be applied. Chin Expert Report ๆ| 42.

RESPONSE: Disputed, because ASC 274 requires the use of estimated current value in personal financial statements, and the applicable accounting standards do not establish different valuation standards depending on whether the personal financial statement is presented in a "compilation" or other type of format. See Ex. 1014 ๆ32; Robert Aff. Ex. AI, Ex. A q\|26; and Robert Aff. Ex. AK, Ex. A q33. Further disputed because Mr. Trump's SFCs state that they contain estimated current values of his assets, and therefore the SFCs were required to apply that standard on that basis alone.
220. Appraised values prepared by certified professional appraisers generally reflect as is market values. Chin Dep. 91:24-92:8, 104:23-105:5.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and not supported by the cited evidence, but undisputed that appraisals prepared by certified professional appraisals generally describe a current value as of a specific date, subject to any disclosed conditions or assumptions. See Ex. 1026 ||l| 27-37.
221. Bank and developer appraisals often yield contrasting value estimates due to their distinct perspectives and considerations. Chin Expert Report 9| 53. As lenders, banks prioritize safeguarding their investment and therefore approach collateral valuation with a conservative mindset, focusing on worst-case scenarios. Chin Expert Report II 53. Bank-ordered appraisals heavily rely on historical data and performance, while potential market changes that could impact values may receive less emphasis. Chin Expert Report § 53.

RESPONSE: Disputed, because "the type of value sought drives the basis for the opinions" of value in an appraisal regardless of who ordered the appraisal. See Ex. 1026 \$16 ("The purpose of an appraisal might be for financing or financial reporting, but the type of value sought drives the basis for the opinions. . . . Lenders, appraisers, and investors (both buyers and owner/sellers) seek to estimate the value of an asset under a common definition, typically market value."). As The Appraisal of Real Estate states: "appraisers are professionals with training and expertise in accepted valuation methods and techniques who have an ethical obligation to remain disinterested and unbiased while performing an appraisal." The Appraisal of Real Estate (15 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ed. 2020), at 2. Moreover, appraisers are subject to licensing and/or certification requirements, and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice "defines an appraiser as 'one who is expected to perform valuation services competently and in a manner that is independent, impartial, and objective.'"Id. Further disputed because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, and therefore should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., $L L C, 30$ A.D.3d at 327.
222. Developers are typically more optimistic about the property's profit potential and prospects. Chin Expert Report ๆ 54. Developers presume that favorable market conditions will persist, leading to higher property values. Chin Expert Report II 54.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited opinion is vague and lacking evidentiary support. Furthermore, the stated opinion is immaterial, because the SFCs claimed to list assets at their estimated current value (as required by the applicable accounting standards), and not at speculative "As If" or "investment value" as stated by Defendants' experts. See Ex. 1026 § 9 ("The SOFCs do not disclose that the values are based on investment value rather than current value."); Robert Aff., Ex. AAC at 137:7-138:6; 139:22-140:25 (admitting that "estimated current value" and "investment value" are different concepts). Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no
probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
223. Uncertainty exists in the accuracy of appraisals, as "appraisal[s] are not always accurate." Laposa Dep. 163:14-22.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited opinion is vague and lacking evidentiary support. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
224. Generally, there can be "divergent opinions between investors and owners and developers versus other stakeholders." Laposa Dep. 167:6-9.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited opinion is vague and lacking evidentiary support. Further disputed as immaterial, because the SOFCs claimed to list assets at their estimated current value (as required by the applicable accounting standards) and not at speculative "As If" or "investment value" as stated by Defendants’ expert. See Ex. 1026 ๆ 9; Robert Aff., Ex. AAC at 137:7-138:6; 139:22-140:25. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
225. Developers typically have a unique insight and perspective on creating value through development. Chin Expert Report IT 48. Developers perceive and manage risks different than more passive real estate owners and investors, and have definitive, often controversial, views on how a development or sell-out process could unfold. Chin Expert Report $\mathbb{\|} 48$.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited opinion is vague and lacking evidentiary support. Further disputed as immaterial, because the SFCs claimed to list assets at their estimated current value (as required by the applicable accounting standards); therefore, opinions concerning speculative "As If" or
"investment value" as stated by Defendants' experts have no relevance. See, e.g., Robert Aff., Ex. AAC at 137:7-138:6; 139:22-140:25. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
226. Many of the assets listed in the SOFC reflect "As If" valuation estimates based on President Trump's understanding and perspective of those assets. Chin Dep. 159:15-160:11.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, including because Mr. Chin's opinion is not based on any evidence demonstrating Mr. Trump's "understanding and perspective" of assets listed on his SFCs, and because the SFCs themselves assert throughout that they
 $123,130,134,139,153,157,161,165,169,173,177,181,185,189,193,266,269$ and supporting exhibits (citing references to the use of estimated current value in 2021-2021 SOFCs). Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
227. The SOFC include assumptions made by President Trump, such as As If stabilized, As If developed, As If realized, As If projected or anticipated, and As If earned. Chin Expert Report II 44.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, including because Mr. Chin's opinion is not based on any evidence demonstrating Mr. Trump's "understanding and perspective" of assets listed on his SFCs, and because the SFCs themselves assert throughout that they are presenting assets at estimated current value. See Pl.'s Statement $9 \mathbb{T} 12,23-27,80,88,93,98,108$, $123,130,134,139,153,157,161,165,169,173,177,181,185,189,193,266,269$ and supporting exhibits (citing references to the use of estimated current value in 2021-2021 SOFCs). Because the cited
expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.

Further disputed because the record shows that Mr. Trump instructed employees including Allen Weisselberg and Michael Cohen to inflate the value of the assets listed on his SFCs in order to "attain the number that Mr. Trump wanted" as his total net worth. As Michael Cohen testified at deposition, Ex. 1048 at 90:9-92:17:

Mr. Trump would call Allen and I into the office, and let's say it said he was worth $\$ 6$ billion. Well, he wanted to be higher on the Forbes list, and he then said, "I'm actually not worth 6 billion. Im worth 7. In fact, I think it's actually now worth 8 with everything that's going on." Allen and I were tasked with taking the assets, increasing each of those asset classes in order to accommodate that $\$ 8$ billion number.

See also Ex. 1046 at 958:17-963:05 ("Allen Weisselberg told me Donald likes to see [his net worth] go up").
228. The value of President Trump's businesses, a privately owned collective of assets ("Enterprise"), is not only the sum of its real estate assets, business units, and subsidiaries. Chin Report If 51. A significant portion of the asset values is derived from the synergies and strategic advantages resulting from integrating and coordinating its various business units operating under a single brand with complete ownership control. Chin Expert Report ${ }^{\|}$| 51. These synergies and advantages arise from more efficient portfolio management, improved market position, increased diversification, differentiation and pricing of product offerings, increased supplier leverage and purchasing power, and improved operating efficiencies that combined, create a more competitive advantage over non-branded, single property ownerships. Chin Expert Report If 51.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that "ownership" exercises "complete . . . control" over the Trump Organization and its subsidiary entities, but otherwise disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. See Ex. 1026 『 26 ("Mr. Chin also attempts to make the claim that the assets contain synergies and
interdependencies that increase the value. Mr. Chin does not provide any support for this assertion nor any examples beyond potential cost savings that would already factor into the net operating income of the underlying properties."). Further disputed because the supporting data spreadsheets for the SOFCs reach specific values for individual assets that are then summed into a total; those spreadsheets do not assign a separate, different value to a whole enterprise. Pl.'s Statement Exs. 13-23. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
229. If the Enterprise were put up for sale, buyers would recognize the Enterprise's synergies and would pay a premium to own and control this position. Chin Expert Report If 51.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. See Ex. 1026 ๆ 26 ("Mr. Chin also attempts to utilize the argument that there is an accretive value to the entire portfolio that is not being considered by the OAG. Mr. Chin provides no support for this assertion nor is it accurate. A portfolio of multi-family properties sold as one might have an incremental premium because of aggregation costs and timing. Mr. Trump's portfolio consists of different asset types, different markets, and different risk profiles. It is my professional opinion that I have not observed over my career, a portfolio of assets such as those in this matter, that have sold to one buyer, let alone having included a portfolio value premium. Additionally, if there was a supportable approach to incorporate a portfolio premium as Mr. Chin suggests there is, the SOFCs should have included that analysis so that the parties relying on the opinions of value for decision-making, could evaluate the distinction. This was not done nor supported by Mr. Chin."). Further disputed because the supporting data spreadsheets for the SOFCs reach specific values for individual assets that are then summed into a total; those spreadsheets do not assign a separate, different value to a whole enterprise. Pl.'s Statement Exs. 13-23. Because the cited expert opinion
testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., $L L C, 30$ A.D.3d at 327.
230. A control premium exists for President Trump's businesses as they have the unique, unilateral ability to make strategic decisions that directly impact company's operations and future profit. Chin Expert Report If 52.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence is vague, fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. See Ex. 1026 § 26. Further disputed because the supporting data spreadsheets for the SOFCs reach specific values for individual assets that are then summed into a total; those spreadsheets do not assign a separate, different value to a whole enterprise. Pl.'s Statement Exs. 13-23. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
231. Net Operating Income approach, otherwise known as NOI, is commonly defined as "[t]he actual or anticipated net income that remains after all operating expenses are deducted from the effective gross income but before mortgage debt service and book depreciation are deducted." Appraisal Institute, The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal 158 (6 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ed. 2015). Compl. ๆ117.

RESPONSE: Undisputed as to the definition of Net Operating Income found in The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal but disputed that "Net Operating Income approach" is otherwise known as NOI or that "Net Operating Income approach" is defined in The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal.
232. In practice, the NOI approach takes the form of a calculation considering a "discounted cash flow analysis of a property and discounting the cash flows and net operating income to a present value and then capping it in some way." Laposa Dep. 48:4-25. The resulting number is the "estimated
value of that property." Laposa Dep. 88:15-89:20.. ${ }^{5}$
RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
233. Cushman \& Wakefield determined "the appraised market value," (Laposa Dep.

47:13-18) by using the NOI approach and sought support from "sales comparisons" as is "typical for [ap]praisers." Laposa Dep. 47:19-48:3.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327. To the extent any particular appraisal is the subject of the asserted fact, the appraisal itself can be examined to determine how a value was reached.
234. "[C]aping it" (Laposa Dep. 48:9) refers to capitalization rate or "[c]ap rate," which is the overall rate used to divide NOI to determine the value the appraisal seeks. Laposa Dep. 49:2-12.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, but undisputed that "capping it" refers in the cited testimony to capitalization rate or cap rate which can be used along with NOI as one means of conducting an income approach to value. Further dispute the use of the word "seeks" to the extent it implies an appraiser generally "seeks" a value. To the extent any particular appraisal is the subject of the asserted fact, the appraisal itself can be examined to determine how a value was reached.
235. Many factors are considered in an NOI and a cap rate. Laposa Dep. 90:18-20. A

[^17]"market cap rate" is one determined through an analysis of sufficient number of sales comparable whereby the cap rate is known, qualified, investigated, and sometimes adjusted. Laposa Dep. 91:8-18.

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the asserted fact provides a general description of how a "market cap rate" can be determined.
236. Under the NOI approach, when using the discounted cash flow analysis, otherwise known as "DCF," the value determined-whether it is market value or investment value depends on who is conducting it and what assumptions are included in the model. Laposa Dep. 141:8-142:8.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, including the existence of an 'NOI approach." Further disputed because while a valuation model's assumptions will affect its determination of value, the application of a disclosed and consistent methodology to a set of objective facts (such as a property's income and expenses) should result in a consistent valuation, and the valuation should not change depending on "who is conducting it." See Ex. 1026 $1 \pi$ 12-15 (explaining that a "standard of value must follow accepted valuation principles for that value definition and does not change based on who is providing the valuation opinion").
237. Dividing the NOI by the market cap rate, as it is defined above, equals the "estimated current value" as defined under FASB-assuming there exists a willing buyer and a willing seller behind the calculation. Laposa Dep. 93:15-20.

RESPONSE: Undisputed, with the clarification that the citation provided does not match where the cited language appears in the exhibit.
238. The "investment value" is determined under the NOI approach, in accordance with the "Appraisal Institute's $15^{\text {th }}$ edition-.. if the specific investor's criteria and expectations are mirrored with the market value...." Laposa Dep. 94:15-22.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, including because Dr. Laposa's testimony was merely that "investment value" and "market value"
(calculated by taking NOI and dividing it by a market-based cap rate) could reach the same result in a "fortuitous" case where "the specific investor's criteria and expectations are mirrored with the market value." Robert Aff. Ex. AAC at 97:24-98:10. That opinion is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, and should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327. Furthermore, this opinion is immaterial, because the SOFCs claimed to list assets at their estimated current value (as required by the applicable accounting standards); therefore, opinions concerning "investment value" as stated by Defendants' experts are irrelevant. See Robert Aff., Ex. AAC at 137:7-138:6; 139:22-140:25 (admitting that "estimated current value" and "investment value" are different concepts).

## a. Cash and Cash Equivalents

239. To determine whether the cash and cash equivalents were materially misstated under GAAP, it is irrelevant to consider whether President Trump was entitled to access the cash because under ASC 274 there is no classification for current and non-current assets. Robert Aff., Ex. AJ ("Bartov Dep.") 177:4-18.

RESPONSE: Disputed because under governing GAAP definitions, none of the cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests was Mr. Trump's cash, cash equivalent, or marketable security; rather, ASC 274 specifically directs that the estimated current value of an investment in a separate entity, such as a partnership, be shown in one amount, and that assets and liabilities of the separate entity shall not be combined with similar personal items. See Ex. 1014 qT/ 77-86. Mr. Flemmons, one of Defendants’ experts, similarly testified: "My view is that we've looked at the definition of cash that's sitting in the partnership is not cash that Mr. Trump can withdraw and has control over, so it would not be cash that would be really reportable as a separate line item on Mr. Trump's financial statements." Robert Aff. Ex. AH at 283:19-284:20. Prof. Bartov similarly agreed that Mr. Trump would only have the right to access the Vornado-held cash and control others' access to it at some point "in the future." See Robert Aff. Ex.

AJ at 182:16-183:7.
Further disputed because when asked about a series of disclosures regarding the liquid-asset category on the SOFCs for 2013, 2018, and 2021, Prof. Bartov was unable to tell from the written disclosure that cash held in the Vornado partnership entities was included. Robert Aff. Ex. AJ at 190:1320, 191:14-25, 192:22-193:7.

## b. Real Properties

## i. 40 Wall Street

240. Cushman \& Wakefield appraisals for 2011, 2012, and 2015 valued 40 Wall Street at $\$ 200,000,000, \$ 220,000,000$, and $\$ 540,000,000$, respectively. Compl. ๆ| 122; Chin Expert Report $\mathbb{I} 56$. RESPONSE: Undisputed.
241. The 2011 and 2012 Cushman appraisals significantly understated the market value by using market rental rate assumptions in the discounted cash flow analysis that did not accurately reflect the actual leasing conditions at the property. Chin Expert Report ๆ 58. Moreover, the 2011 and 2012 Cushman appraisals also used a capitalization rate that was inconsistent with market sales. Chin Expert Report ${ }^{\|} 66$.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, and because Mr. Chin's opinion testimony concerning the 2011 and 2012 Cushman appraisals is inaccurate and misreads the underlying documents. See Ex. 1026 qT17-20. For example, Mr. Korologos explains that Mr. Chin's "selective" criticism of the capitalization rate is misleading because he is "highlighting the capitalization rate which does not factor into the 'As Is' valuation but failing to address the discount rate, which significantly impacts the 'As Is' value in both the 2011 and 2012 Cushman Appraisals." Id. - 19.
242. The 2015 Cushman appraisal recognized the underestimation of their market rental rate assumptions and incorporated the actual improved occupancy and market conditions into their 2015
discounted cash flow leasing assumptions. Chin Expert Report ๆ 65.
RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Plaintiff's valuation expert Constantine Korologos has opined that Mr. Chin's opinion testimony concerning the 2015 Cushman appraisal is inaccurate and not supported by evidence. See Ex. 1026 q/ 21-23. For example, Mr. Korologos observes that "[t]he only direct reference [in the Chin Expert Report] to the 2015 Cushman Appraisal assumptions is a table of the rent assumptions, however, this fails to include a similar comparison to actual rents that he performed for the 2011 and 2012 Cushman Appraisals. Mr. Chin makes several general comments that the 2015 Cushman Appraisal incorporated the actual improved occupancy and market conditions but provides no support for this conclusion." Id. II 21. Mr. Korologos additionally described a series of "assumption changes that in the aggregate increased the final value conclusion" of the 2015 appraisal "by a significant amount," id. $\mathbb{\|}$ 22, and further observed that "in my professional experience, the terms of the 40 Wall Street refinancing were not consistent with the implied loan-to-value ('LTV') of 29.6 percent based on a $\$ 160$ million loan and a $\$ 540$ million valuation, indicating that the market may not have entirely agreed with the appraisal conclusions." Id. || 23 \& n. 38.

## ii. Trump Tower

243. With the exception 2015, the valuations of Trump Tower from 2011 through 2019 were derived by dividing the net operating income by a capitalization rate. Compl. ๆ 199.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, and because this assertion ignores the misleading or incorrect assumptions used by Defendants to artificially inflate the value of Trump Tower in the SOFCs during those years. See Ex. 1012 q/T 91-105
244. In 2015, the valuation of Trump Tower was determined based on the sale of a comparable nearby building. Compl. 『199.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact, and
because this assertion ignores Defendants' fraudulent manipulation of this methodology to value Trump Tower in 2015. As Mr. Korologos articulated in his report, "Inexplicably, despite using a direct capitalization approach for every other assessed year, for the 2015 SOFC, the methodology was switched to a market sales approach. The methodology failed to assess the comparability of the underlying property by applying the unadjusted sales price per square foot of a single transaction, the Crown Building." Ex. 1012 ๆ 95. Mr. Korologos concluded, for that year, based on his recalculations of value, that the value of Trump Tower was misstated by more than $\$ 500$ million. Id. $\mathbb{} \| 105$.

## iii. Trump Tower Triplex

245. The Trump Tower Triplex valuations from 2011 to 2016 were unintentional errors because they relied on a misapprehension of the square footage. Donald Trump Dep. 212:4-22, 219:1024.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited testimony of Mr. Trump does not support Defendants' assertion that the inflated valuations of the Trump Tower Triplex from 2011 to 2016 were "unintentional errors" that "relied on a misapprehension of the square footage." In addition to failing to identify with any specificity who made these errors or to provide any support for any alleged "misapprehension" by these unnamed individuals, this testimony fails to rebut the evidence submitted by Plaintiff demonstrating that nearly tripling the square footage was done intentionally to inflate the value of the asset. See Pl.'s Statement q\|I 36-48 and supporting exhibits.

Further disputed because in September 2014, Mr. Weisselberg expressed to Mr. McConney and a reporter from Forbes that Mr. Trump's triplex "consists of 6 apartments." Ex. 1038. That specific knowledge mirrors the terms of the First Amendment to the Declaration of Trump Tower Condominium showing the square footage of the triplex apartment. That amendment identifies the triplex apartment as a combination of three lettered components of one triplex, two lettered components of a duplex, and one component of another triplex (i.e., six apartments). See Pl.'s Statement Ex. 48 at -30 (in item (4),
identifying new unit 66/67/68N (three floors) as combined with neighboring duplex (two floors) and "third or top floor" (one floor) of another neighboring unit, with square footage of $10,996.39$ square feet).
246. The error in valuing the Trump Tower Triplex did not materially affect the value of the Enterprise. Chin Dep. 209:4-22.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The errors in valuing the Triplex materially affected the value of that asset, inflating it by roughly $\$ 100$ to $\$ 200$ million. See Pl.'s Statement $q\|\|$ 38-40 and accompanying exhibits; Robert Aff. Ex. AN at 212:14-20 ("[Q: Isn't] a misstatement like this material to the value of the asset? A. In an isolated situation for the particular asset, it's wrong. Q. By a factor of three, yes, at least? A. Correct."). Moreover, Mr. Chin's opinion that this was an isolated error that did not "materially affect the value of the Enterprise" is unsupported by the record evidence, or by any relevant expertise or analysis. As a person who professed not to be an accountant, and to have difficulty evaluating when and whether ASC 274 would apply to personal financial statements, Mr. Chin cannot offer an opinion about materiality that is based in accounting. See, e.g., Robert Aff. Ex. AN at 120:5-121:9, 157:10-158:6. By contrast, Plaintiff's accounting expert Eric Lewis has opined, based on his review of evidence in the record and the expert opinions prepared by Plaintiff's valuation experts, that Mr. Trump's SOFCs "materially misstate the value of Mr. Trump's real estate assets." Ex. 1014 qT/ 138-164.
247. The error was corrected in future statements once Trump personnel became aware of it. Donald Trump Dep. 212:4-22, 219:10-24.

RESPONSE: Disputed, because the cited evidence does not support Defendants' assertion that this correction occurred "once Trump personnel became aware of it." Plaintiff has submitted evidence showing that Defendants were in fact aware of this error long before correcting it. See Pl.'s Statement q\|I 41-46 and supporting exhibits.

## iv. Club Facilities

248. President Trump's golf club facilities are trophy assets with a high-quality reputation.

Robert Aff., Ex AG ("Christovich Dep.") 254:17-255:16. The golf club facilities are in high end markets and are maintained and resourced and recapitalized on an ongoing basis. Christovich Dep. 254:22-255:2. RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact. Further disputed because Mr. Christovich's testimony lacks foundation; he acknowledged that his "focus was around beginning in 2020 forward," and that "not all of the financial information documents that were in the vault from 2011 through 2021 did I view" (evidently referring to documents provided by defense counsel). Robert Aff. Ex. AG at 159:23-160:22.

Further disputed because plaintiff's expert-an appraiser who has completed over 3,500 golf assignments over his career-explained, "there are a very limited number of golf and club properties that are believed to have 'trophy value'. . . . These properties might include those that have been venues for major championships, that have some historic relevance in golf or have are recognized as a worldwide destination for golfers. Only Turnberry would meet those tests among the TTO golf properties. Otherwise, any such premium would already be reflected in the economic performance of the properties through enhanced revenues from membership, dues, fees and ancillary revenues." Ex. 1013 at 43. Mr. Hirsh also explained that Mar-a-Lago has historical significance "as reflected in the substantial restrictions already placed on the property" and that "the expenses associated with substantial preservation restrictions likely are substantial." Id.

## 1. Mar-a-Lago

249. After President Trump purchased the Mar-a-Lago property, the Town of Palm Beach approved an application for a special exception to use the property as a private social club without abandoning its use as a single-family residence. Robert Aff., Ex. AE ("Shubin Dep.") 54:11-21. This resulted in a Declaration of Use Agreement between President Trump and the Town of Palm Beach. Shubin Dep. 55:15-56:15.

RESPONSE: Disputed as lacking any evidentiary support, because the cited testimony from Mr. Shubin
is legal analysis and opinion which is not admissible expert testimony. See Good Hill Master Fund L.P. v. Deutsche Bank AG, 146 A.D.3d 632, 637 ( $1^{\text {st }}$ Dep't 2017) (defendant's proffered expert was properly precluded from testifying about the interpretation of a disputed contract provision); Colon v. Rent-A-Ctr., Inc., 276 A.D.2d 58, 61-62 ( $1^{\text {st }}$ Dep’t 2000) ("[E]xpert witnesses should not be called to offer opinion as to the legal obligations of parties under a contract; that is an issue to be determined by the trial court."); Levy v. Zimmerman, 72 Misc. 3d 1213(A), 150 N.Y.S.3d 233 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Cnty 2021) (holding that expert testimony is "inadmissible to offer an opinion as to the legal rights and obligations of the parties under the unambiguous contract").

Further disputed that the cited Declaration of Use agreement, which barred any subdivision of the property, condominiumization of the property, or any change to a cooperative form of ownership, did not abandon the use of Mar-a-Lago as a single-family residence. Pl.'s Statement Ex. 107, at --698. Indeed, Mr. Shubin's report attached a document-not produced by defendants in discovery or in response to investigative subpoenas-indicating that Mr. Trump's representative advised the locality in 1993 that he would not continue to live at the club. Ex. 1039 at 1.
250. In 2002, President Trump executed a deed in favor of the National Trust for Historic Preservation in the United States to convey rights to develop Mar-a-Lago for any usage other than club usage. Shubin Dep. 63:7-64:2; Robert Aff., Ex. AF. This deed did not restrict President Trump from using Mar-a-Lago as a single-family residence in connection with its use as a private club. Shubin Dep. 64:3-13.

RESPONSE: Disputed as lacking any evidentiary support, because the cited testimony from Mr. Shubin is legal analysis and opinion which is not admissible expert testimony. See Good Hill Master Fund L.P. v. Deutsche Bank AG, 146 A.D.3d at 637; Colon v. Rent-A-Ctr., Inc., 276 A.D.2d at 61-62; Levy v. Zimmerman, 150 N.Y.S.3d 233. Furthermore, dispute that the 2002 deed of development rights with the National Trust for Historic Preservation in the United States did not restrict Mr. Trump from using Mar-
a-Lago as a single-family residence. See Pl.'s Statement $\ddagger \uparrow 144-152,197$ and supporting exhibits.
251. Mar-a-Lago can be used by President Trump as an exclusive private residence for him and his family while simultaneously being used as a private social club. Shubin Dep. 38:9-40:13.

RESPONSE: Disputed as vague and lacking any evidentiary support, because the cited testimony from Mr. Shubin is legal analysis and opinion which is not admissible expert testimony. See Good Hill Master Fund L.P. v. Deutsche Bank AG, 146 A.D.3d at 637; Colon v. Rent-A-Ctr., Inc., 276 A.D.2d at 61-62; Levy v. Zimmerman, 150 N.Y.S.3d 233. Further disputed as inaccurate and unsupported by the factual record. See Pl.'s Statement ๆq 144-152, 197 and supporting exhibits.
252. Mar-A-Lago could also be used as a private residence without having a social club simultaneously operating. Shubin Dep. 41:6-8.

RESPONSE: Disputed as lacking any evidentiary support, because the cited testimony from Mr. Shubin is legal analysis and opinion which is not admissible expert testimony. See Good Hill Master Fund L.P. v. Deutsche Bank AG, 146 A.D.3d at 637; Colon v. Rent-A-Ctr., Inc., 276 A.D.2d at 61-62; Levy v. Zimmerman, 150 N.Y.S.3d 233. Further disputed as inaccurate and unsupported by the factual record. See Pl.'s Statement $\uparrow \uparrow 1$ 144-152, 197 and supporting exhibits.

## 2. The Remaining Club Facilities (Trump Aberdeen, Trump Turnberry, TNGC Jupiter, TNGC Briarcliff, TNGC LA, TNGC Colts Neck, TNGC Philadelphia, TNGC DC, TNGC Charlotte, TNGC Hudson Valley)

253. The SOFC values for the remaining club facilities represent "As If" valuations because they are future oriented with no plans for bulk selloffs or discounted liquidations prior to the competition of development. Chin Aff., Ex. B ("Chin Rebuttal Report") $\left\|^{\|}\right\|$105-07.

RESPONSE: Disputed and lacking support in evidence, including because the SOFCs present valuations as "estimated current values." See Pl.'s Statement 9T1 12, 23-27 and supporting exhibits; see also supra, II 52 (admitting that "ASC 274 establishes 'estimated current value' as the 'valuation standard applicable to personal financial statements"). In fact, the SFCs used a "fixed assets" approach to valuation in nearly
all years for nearly all of the clubs based on historical cost-in some instances misstating the treatment of assumed liabilities to inflate valuations. Pl.'s Statement qTI 315-333 and supporting exhibits. Furthermore, Mr. Chin defines an "As If" valuation as based on speculation ("something that could happen in the future that may or may not"), but admits this is a different definition of value than "estimates of current value," which is what the SOFCs claim to present. (Robert Aff. Ex. AN at 163:13164:8.) Therefore, the cited opinion testimony is immaterial, speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, and it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327. See also Ex. 1040 |TIT 29-32 ("Mr. Chin's opinion that the Statements 'appropriately include As If, proforma, or anticipated or projected estimates' is based on incorrect and irrelevant accounting guidance and is false."). Further disputed because the referenced paragraphs from Mr. Chin's report only refer to TNGC LA, TNGC Briarcliff, Aberdeen and Seven Springs.
254. An asset-by-asset approach, as opposed to valuing the Enterprise as a whole, ignores significant operating, marketing, financial and competitive differences, and benefits that accrue from the ownership and operation of an Enterprise. Chin Rebuttal Report $\mathbb{T} 146$.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. As explained by Plaintiff's valuation experts, any "brand premium" that accrued to the Trump Organization's golf clubs as a whole would be reflected in the economic performance and valuation of the individual properties themselves. See Ex. 1026 \| 26; Ex. 1013 at 7, 20. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
255. A Going-Concern Value of the Enterprise analysis ("GCEV") is an acceptable form of valuation that reflects the actual operating status of the Enterprise, as well as the tangible and intangible
assets, the future earnings potential, growth prospects, market position, customer base, brand reputation, financial statements, and other factors that contribute to the ongoing profitability and value of the Enterprise. Chin Rebuttal Report ๆ 148.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. See Ex. 1013 at 6-15 (describing methodologies accepted in the marketplace to value operating club facilities). Further disputed as immaterial because Defendants fail to cite to any evidence that any of the Defendants in fact used this method when valuing these assets on Mr. Trump's SOFCs, and Mr. Chin fails to cite any such evidence as support for his opinion. See Ex. 1013 at 15-24 (describing valuation errors made by Defendants in the SFCs and supporting workpapers, none of which reflect a reliance on a GCEV methodology or the factors described by Mr. Chin). Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327 .
256. The GCEV involves assessing the present value of expected future cash flows and applying appropriate valuation methods such as discounted cash flow analysis, market multiples, or comparable transactions. Chin Rebuttal Report \| 148. Once the GCEV is established, allocations to each property can then be made. Chin Rebuttal Report ๆ148.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. See Ex. 1013 at 6-15. Further disputed as immaterial because Defendants fail to cite to any evidence that any of the Defendants in fact used this method when valuing these assets on Mr. Trump's SOFCs, and Mr. Chin fails to cite any such evidence as support for his opinion. See Ex. 1013 at 15-24. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30

## A.D. 3 d at 327.

257. The GCEV is a holistic assessment of an Enterprise's total value, while market value As Is focuses on the present worth of individual assets at a specific point in time. Chin Rebuttal Report \| 149.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. See Ex. 1013 at 6-15. Further disputed as immaterial because Defendants fail to cite to any evidence that any of the Defendants in fact used this method when valuing these assets on Mr. Trump's SOFCs, and Mr. Chin fails to cite any such evidence as support for his opinion. See Ex. 1013 at 15-24. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
258. The GCEV most accurately reflects the ownership, operations, and marketing of the Enterprise. Chin Rebuttal Report ${ }^{\|}$150. The GCEV approach is consistent with the Enterprise's current use and conforms to the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 820, which requires that an Enterprise operated and marketed under a single name should be valued as a unit. Chin Rebuttal Report \| 151.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. See Ex. 1013 at 6-15. Further disputed as immaterial because Defendants fail to cite to any evidence that any of the Defendants in fact used this method when valuing these assets on Mr. Trump's SOFCs, and Mr. Chin fails to cite any such evidence as support for his opinion. See Ex. 1013 at 15-24. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30

## A.D. 3 d at 327.

259. The break-up value of each individual asset for separate sale is not applicable since the highest value is achieved by operating the Enterprise as a single unit. Chin Rebuttal Report ๆ153.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. As Plaintiff's valuation experts have explained, any "brand premium" that accrued to the Trump Organization's golf clubs as a whole would be reflected in the economic performance and valuation of the individual properties themselves. See Ex. 1026 I 26 ; Ex. 1013 at 7, 20. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
260. Given the existing operating condition of the Enterprise, the GCEV valuation provides a more accurate reflection of the value of the Enterprise because it recognizes the continued operations, synergies, and income-generating aspects of the enterprise as a whole, rather than isolating and valuing the assets as separate entities in a liquidation scenario. Chin Rebuttal Report $\mathbb{\|} 161$.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. See Ex. 1013 at 6-15. Further disputed as immaterial because Defendants fail to cite to any evidence that any of the Defendants in fact used this method when valuing these assets on Mr. Trump's SOFCs, and Mr. Chin fails to cite any such evidence as support for his opinion. See Ex. 1013 at 15-24. Further disputed because Plaintiff's valuation experts have explained that this assertion is inaccurate, and that any "brand premium" that accrued to the Trump Organization's golf clubs as a whole would be reflected in the economic performance and valuation of the individual properties themselves. See Ex. 1026 § 26; Ex. 1013 at 7, 20. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan

Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
261. Applying an asset-by-asset approach fails to capture the integrated value created by the Enterprise as a unified entity. Chin Rebuttal Report ๆ166.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. As Plaintiff's valuation experts have explained, any "brand premium" that accrued to the Trump Organization's golf clubs as a whole would be reflected in the economic performance and valuation of the individual properties themselves. See Ex. 1026 I 26 ; Ex. 1013 at 7, 20. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
262. The intangible value associated with a brand name is a permissible valuation consideration. Chin Rebuttal Report 9 168. And the use of the Trump brand value as part of the value of the reported tangible assets was also properly disclosed in the SOFC. See, e.g., Compl., Ex. 3 at 4; Flemmons Expert Report $9|1|$ 69-72.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. As Plaintiff's valuation experts have explained, any "brand premium" that accrued to the Trump Organization's golf clubs as a whole would be reflected in the economic performance and valuation of the individual properties themselves. See Ex. 1026 \| 26; Ex. 1013 at 7, 20; Ex. 1040 q\| 26-28. Further disputed because GAAP prohibits the recording of internally generated intangible assets (such as the "Trump" brand name) on a personal financial statement, and because the use of the purported Trump brand value was not properly disclosed on the SFCs. See Ex. 1014 q\| 69-76. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
263. As compared to an asset-by-asset valuation, a GCEV valuation that considers intangible assets such as President Trump's brand, should reflect lower capitalization rates or higher adjusted gross income multipliers. Chin Rebuttal Report If 170.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. As Plaintiff's valuation experts have explained, any "brand premium" that accrued to the Trump Organization's golf clubs as a whole would be reflected in the economic performance and valuation of the individual properties themselves. See Ex. 1026\| 26; Ex. 1013 at 7, 20; Ex. 1040 ๆ\| 26-28. Further disputed because GAAP prohibits the recording of internally generated intangible assets (such as the "Trump" brand name) on a personal financial statement, and because the use of the purported Trump brand value was not properly disclosed on the SFCs. See Ex. 1014 T\| 69-76; Ex. 1040 q/ 26 -28. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.
264. The assets of President Trump's Enterprise hold more value when operated and marketed under a single name. Chin Rebuttal Report II 154.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence fails to support the asserted fact, and because the cited opinion is incorrect and lacking any foundation in evidence. As Plaintiff's valuation experts have explained, any "brand premium" that accrued to the Trump Organization's golf clubs as a whole would be reflected in the economic performance and valuation of the individual properties themselves. See Ex. 1026 『 26; Ex. 1013 at 7, 20; Ex. 1040 ๆ 4 26-28. Further disputed because GAAP prohibits the recording of internally generated intangible assets (such as the "Trump" brand name) on a personal financial statement, and because the use of the purported Trump brand value was not properly disclosed on the SFCs. See Ex. 1014 TTI 69-76; Ex. 1040 9Tl 26-28. Because the cited expert opinion testimony is
speculative and lacks a foundation in evidence, it should be given no probative weight by the Court. See Diaz v. New York Downtown Hosp., 99 N.Y.2d at 544; Amaya v. Denihan Ownership Co., LLC, 30 A.D.3d at 327.

## VII. Tolling Agreement

265. On August 27, 2021, the Trump Organization, through its EVP/Chief Legal Officer, Alan Garten, entered into a tolling agreement with the NYAG to toll the statute of limitations for any "action commenced by OAG asserting any Potential Civil Claim" (hereinafter, the "Tolling Agreement"). See generally Robert Aff., Ex. AT ("Tolling Agreement").

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
266. The Tolling Agreement defines the Trump Organization as follows: "[T]he 'Trump Organization' as used herein includes The Trump Organization, Inc.; DJT Holdings, LLC; DJT Holdings Managing Member LLC; and any predecessors, successors, present or former parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates, whether direct or indirect, and all directors, officers, partners, employees, agents, contractors, consultants, representatives, and attorneys of the foregoing, and any other Persons associated with or acting on behalf of the foregoing, or acting on behalf of any predecessors, successors, or affiliates of the foregoing." Tolling Agreement at 1.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
267. The sole signatories on the Tolling Agreement were Alan Garten, in his capacity as officer of the Trump Organization, and Kevin Wallace on behalf of the NYAG.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
268. The Tolling Agreement also indicates "[e]ach of the undersigned representatives of the Parties certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into this Tolling Agreement and to execute and bind such Party to this document." Tolling Agreement $\mathbb{\|} 16$.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

269. The first draft of the Tolling Agreement circulated by the NYAG on May 3, 2021 explicitly named Donald J. Trump, Eric Trump, Allen Weisselberg, and Jeffrey McConney as parties to the agreement, and had a signature block each individual. See Robert Aff., Ex. AT ("Draft Tolling Agreement") at 1, 3-4.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the asserted fact.
270. On June 16, 2021, the "Trump Organization" circulated an updated draft of the agreement that included a footnote to clarify that the agreement would not "toll any civil claims that might in the future be asserted by the OAG against any individuals, including any directors, officers, partners, employees, agents, contractors, consultants, representatives, and/or attorneys of the Trump Organization." See Robert Aff., Ex. AU. NYAG counsel responded via email on June 17, 2021, noting that this proposed change to the footnote at issue was "generally acceptable." See Robert Aff., Ex. AV. RESPONSE: Undisputed that the Trump Organization's updated draft of the tolling agreement included a footnote with proposed language stating that the agreement would not toll civil claims against "individuals (i.e. natural persons)," except disputed that the asserted fact accurately quotes the proposed language. Further disputed that the cited email stating that "changes to footnote $1 \ldots$ are generally acceptable" reflected OAG's final position given that the proposed language was later deleted from the final tolling agreement.
271. Despite the AG's indication that the language was "generally acceptable," the proposed footnote in the June 16, 2021 draft was not incorporated into the final executed Tolling Agreement. See Tolling Agreement.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
272. The executed Tolling Agreement did not mention Donald J. Trump, Eric Trump, Allen Weisselberg, or Jeffrey McConney and removed the signature blocks for these individuals. See generally

## Tolling Agreement.

RESPONSE: Disputed because the cited evidence does not support the factual assertion as Donald J.
Trump, Eric Trump, Allen Weisselberg and Jeffrey McConney are all covered by the definition of "Trump Organization" in the Tolling Agreement.
273. The NYAG also stated at an April 25, 2022, hearing that: "Donald J. Trump is not a party to the tolling agreement, that tolling agreement only applies to the Trump Organization." See Robert Aff., Ex. AW at 58:8-10.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
274. In an appellate brief dated December 7, 2022, the NYAG stated: "OAG and the Trump Organization entered a six-month tolling agreement, to which Mr. Trump was not a party." Robert Aff., Ex. AY at 39 n. 13.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
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LICENSE AGREEMENT ("License," "License Agreement" or "Agreement") made this 21st day of February, 2012, between the City of New York (the "City") acting by and through the New York City Department of Parks \& Recreation ("Parks"), whose address is The Arsenal, 830 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10065, and Trump Ferry Point LLC ("Licensee"), a Delaware limited liability company, whose address is c/o The Trump Organization, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10022, Attention: Allen Weisselberg and Ron Lieberman. The Parks, City and Licensee are sometimes hereinafter referred to collectively as the "Parties" or individually as a "Party".

WHEREAS, Parks, pursuant to the City Charter, has jurisdiction over parklands of the City of New York and facilities therein; and

WHEREAS, Ferry Point Park in the Borough of the Bronx ("Ferry Point Park") is property under the jurisdiction and control of Parks; and

WHEREAS, the City is constructing certain improvements to its property at Ferry Point Park consisting of the Golf Course, the Practice Facility, which includes the Driving Range (each as defined in the Development Agreement (as hereinafter defined)); the Golf Course Snack Bar and the Park Snack Bar (each as defined in the Development Agreement, collectively, the "Snack Bars") and certain other improvements defined as the "City's Work" in the Development Agreement, all as more particularly described in Schedule 2 to the Development Agreement (the Golf Course, the Practice Facility, Golf Course Snack Bar and other improvements constituting the City's Work, other than the Park Snack Bar being hereinafter referred to as the "Golf Course Facilities"); and

WHEREAS, Parks and Licensee have entered into that certain Development Agreement dated as of the date hereof (the "Development Agreement"), a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit C and made a part hereof, relating to, among other things, the construction of the City's Work by the City, the construction process and procedures and the conditions for the delivery of the Licensed Premises (as defined below) to Licensee; and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner desires to provide for the operation, management and maintenance of the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar (when delivered to Licensee in accordance with the Development Agreement), and the design, construction, operation, management and maintenance of a permanent clubhouse with a food service facility and pro-shop (the "Clubhouse", such definition as used herein shall include any temporary clubhouse) within the Golf Course Facilities at Ferry Point Park for the accommodation and convenience of and use by the public; and

WHEREAS, the Franchise and Concession Review Committee ("FCRC") has authorized Parks to utilize a different procedure to enter into the Concession (as hereinafter defined) with Licensee; and

WHEREAS, Licensee desires to operate, manage and maintain the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar, and design, construct, operate, manage and maintain the Clubhouse at Ferry Point Park for the benefit of the public in accordance with the terms set forth herein; and

WHEREAS, Parks and Licensee desire to enter into this License Agreement specifying rights and obligations with respect to the design, construction, operation, management and maintenance of the Licensed Premises (as defined herein).

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and covenants contained herein, the Parties hereby do agree as follows:

## 1. GRANT

1.1 Parks hereby grants to Licensee and Licensee hereby accepts from Parks a license to operate, manage and maintain the Licensed Premises, including, without limitation, the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar, which shall be constructed on the Licensed Premises by Parks in accordance with the Development Agreement, and to design, construct, operate, manage and maintain the Clubhouse at the Licensed Premises (the operation, management and maintenance of the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar, and the design, construction, operation, management and maintenance of the Clubhouse collectively, the "Concession") for the enjoyment and convenience of the public in accordance with the terms herein and to the satisfaction of the Commissioner. Subject to the prior written approval of Parks, Licensee shall construct a temporary clubhouse to be open to the public at the Concession Commencement Date (as hereinafter defined). The City represents to Licensee that the area described as the Licensed Premises on Exhibit A attached hereto is the same area that is outlined in red on Exhibit A-1 attached hereto.
1.2 (a) Except for and without limiting the Licensee's obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), the City and Parks shall obtain, at their sole cost and expense, any Governmental Approvals required for all of the City's Work to be performed by the City and to develop the remainder of the Licensed Premises, except for the Clubhouse and any other structures (temporary or otherwise) constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises. The City and Parks shall comply with the Prior Determinations (as hereinafter defined) and other applicable Environmental Laws.
(b) Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee shall obtain, at its sole cost and expense, any Governmental Approvals required for the Grow-In and for the design, construction, operation, management and maintenance of the Clubhouse and any other structures (temporary or otherwise) constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises and for any City Work performed by Licensee pursuant to Section 10.8 of the Development Agreement. Parks, the Commissioner and the City shall cooperate with Licensee in obtaining any and all Governmental Approvals, if any, required for the Grow-In or any Capital Improvements to be performed by Licensee, including without limitation, any temporary maintenance facility, temporary clubhouse or temporary golf cart storage facility which may be constructed by Licensee. The Licensee agrees as a material term that the design and construction of the Clubhouse and any other work or construction at the Licensed Premises by Licensee (w) shall comply with applicable Environmental Laws, (x) shall be performed in accordance with the ULURP No. C000090 MCX determination dated December 22, 1999 and the SEQRA/CEQR assessment dated April 27, 2005 (as modified by all subsequent technical memoranda prepared pursuant to SEQRA/CEQR, including, the Technical Environmental Assessment for the Ferry Point Park Recreation Facility, dated February 11, 2002, as amended September 29, 2004; Technical Environmental Assessment for Ferry Point Recreation Facility, dated November 16, 2004; and Environmental Assessment Statement, dated September 30, 1999, as well as any subsequent technical memoranda prepared by

Parks with input from Licensee) for Ferry Point Park, subject to Section 12.19(c) (collectively, the "Prior Determinations"), as may be modified from time to time in accordance with applicable Legal Requirements, (y) will not otherwise require additional ULURP review, ( z ) will not otherwise require additional SEQRA or CEQR review, except to the extent applicable Legal Requirements require additional SEQRA or CEQR review with respect to Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises (including construction obligations), including the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises (provided, that in the event any additional SEQRA or CEQR review is required, the City shall undertake such SEQRA or CEQR review at its sole cost and expense), and (aa) shall comply with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and all other similarly applicable Legal Requirements with respect to the ADA. If Licensee fails in any material respect to (i) design and construct the Clubhouse or (ii) perform any other work or construction at the Licensed Premises, in each case in accordance with clauses (w), (x), (y), (z) and (aa) of this Section 1.2(b), such failure shall be deemed a material breach of this License Agreement; provided however, that notwithstanding the foregoing, any failure by Licensee to comply with clauses ( x ), ( y ), or ( z ) above in this paragraph shall not be a breach of this License Agreement if the representations of the City set forth below in Section 1.2(c) are not true, accurate and correct. Additionally, (i) the City agrees that Licensee is not required to comply with SEQRA/CEQR, the DEC Part 360 Permit or any other applicable laws to the extent Licensee's noncompliance is caused by Licensee's failure to comply with the statement contained in the SEQRA/CEQR assessment dated April 27, 2005 for Ferry Point Park that herbicides or pesticides will not be used at the Licensed Premises, that Parks acknowledges was made in error, and (ii) Licensee's failure to comply with SEQRA/CEQR, the DEC Part 360 Permit or any other applicable laws for the reasons set forth in the previous clause (i) of this sentence shall not be a breach of this Agreement. Except as previously reviewed and approved through the Prior Determinations and except as provided in Section 1.2(b)(z), in no event shall Licensee cause any threshold of the major concession rules promulgated by the City Planning Commission, codified in 62 RCNY Chapter 7, to be exceeded, or take any action under this Agreement that would require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement under SEQRA or CEQR.
(c) The City represents that (i) the (x) construction of a Clubhouse of up to 31,000 square feet and golf cart storage facilities, and (y) the paving and finishing of the Supplemental Parking Lot (as defined in the Development Agreement) (consisting of approximately seventy-five spaces within the area designated "Clubhouse Area" on Exhibit A-1 to this License Agreement) shall be permissible under the Prior Determinations and will not require additional ULURP, SEQRA or CEQR review; (ii) based on the preliminary concept drawings provided by Licensee to the City for review by the New York City Department of City Planning (a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit U), the construction and operation of Licensee's proposed Clubhouse and golf cart storage facilities and the paving and finishing of the Supplemental Parking Lot will not cause any threshold of the major concession rules promulgated by the City Planning Commission, codified in 62 RCNY Chapter 7, to be exceeded, or require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement under SEQRA or CEQR, is consistent with the Prior Determinations and will not require additional ULURP, SEQRA or CEQR review; (iii) to the best of the City's knowledge, the Grow-In and the operation of the Licensed Premises (including Licensee's construction obligations) in accordance with this Agreement and the Development Agreement will not require additional SEQRA or CEQR review, except if applicable Legal Requirements require additional SEQRA or CEQR review with respect to Licensee's use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises (provided, that in the event any additional SEQRA or CEQR review is required, the City shall undertake such $\operatorname{SEQRA}$ or $C E Q R$ review at its sole cost and expense); (iv) except with respect to the additional SEQRA or CEQR review required as set forth in clause (iii) of this Section
1.2(c), the Grow-In and the operation of the Licensed Premises in accordance with this Agreement and the Development Agreement shall be permissible under the Prior Determinations and will not require additional ULURP review; and (v) except with respect to any additional work or construction that may be required in connection with the SEQRA or CEQR review as set forth in clause (iii) of Section 1.2(c), any other work or construction contemplated by this Agreement or the Development Agreement shall be permissible under the Prior Determinations and will not require additional ULURP, SEQRA or CEQR review. The City and Parks acknowledge that the (x) drawings submitted by Licensee to the City and attached hereto as Exhibit U are preliminary concept drawings and may undergo substantial changes before being finalized, which finalized drawings shall be subject to approval by Parks and other government agencies having jurisdiction, as applicable, in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, ( $y$ ) that in no event shall Licensee be required to construct the Clubhouse or any other improvements shown on such drawings in accordance with such drawings, and that $(z)$ such drawings were submitted by Licensee for the purpose of aiding the City in making the representation provided in this paragraph.
(d) Notwithstanding the agreements and representations contained in this Section 1.2, the Parties acknowledge that the City has applied for a renewed and modified Part 360 permit ("New Permit") to succeed the DEC Part 360 Permit. In the event that Licensee proposes to engage in any activity prior to issuance of the New Permit that is inconsistent with the current DEC Part 360 Permit, the City agrees to promptly seek in good faith (taking into consideration any input from Licensee and Licensee agrees to reasonably cooperate with the City in such effort), informal written approval from DEC of such activity (and to diligently pursue such approval) and Licensees' performance of such activity consistent with such DEC approval shall not be considered a breach of its representations under this Section 1.2 and the City shall be deemed to be in compliance with its representations under this $\underline{\text { Section 1.2. Licensee }}$ may not engage in any activity inconsistent with the current DEC Part 360 Permit prior to receipt by the parties of informal written approval from DEC or issuance of the New Permit.
(e) If the City requests that Licensee assist in a SEQRA or CEQR review undertaken by the City pursuant to this Section 1.2 and Licensee, in its sole discretion, agrees to provide assistance, then the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee for any reasonable costs and expenses actually paid or incurred by Licensee in connection with rendering such assistance (including the cost of any consultants) within sixty ( 60 ) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs and expenses, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. In the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and expenses and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10.
1.3 The City and Parks shall construct the Golf Course, the Snack Bars and the other City's Work at the Licensed Premises in accordance with the Development Agreement. The City shall deliver possession of the Licensed Premises to Licensee in accordance with and upon satisfaction of the conditions set forth in the Development Agreement. As set forth in the Development Agreement, the City shall deliver to Licensee a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. The City represents and Licensee acknowledges that delivery of the Licensed Premises as required by this Agreement and the Development Agreement and the satisfaction of all of the conditions to delivery set forth in Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 3}$ of the Development Agreement (including, without limitation, the delivery to Licensee of a certification from Nicklaus Design that the Golf Course as completed meets the design standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course) shall constitute delivery of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement or the Development Agreement,
in the event that at any time any element of the Licensed Premises or the operation of the Licensed Premises (or any part thereof) does not meet the standards required under the Nicklaus Subcontract to the extent such deficiency is due to any element of the construction of the Licensed Premises performed by (or on behalf of) the City or any item of the City's Work, Licensee shall not be responsible for the correction of such deficiency to such extent and any such required corrections to comply with the Nicklaus Subcontract shall be the responsibility of the City at its sole cost and expense.
1.4 Licensee shall obtain any and all Governmental Approvals which are or may become necessary for the lawful operation of the Licensed Premises in accordance with the terms of this License Agreement other than Governmental Approvals to be obtained by the City pursuant to this Agreement or the Development Agreement, including for all of the City's Work (except if the City's Work is performed by Licensee pursuant to Section 10.8 of the Development Agreement, as applicable, in which case Licensee shall be responsible to obtain such Governmental Approvals) and the development of the remainder of the Licensed Premises, except for the Clubhouse and any other structures (temporary or otherwise) constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises. Parks, the Commissioner and the City shall cooperate with Licensee in obtaining (x) Governmental Approvals required for the Capital Improvements performed by Licensee or (y) any Government Approvals that Licensee is required to obtain for the operation of the Licensed Premises or any part thereof. Parks, the Commissioner and the City shall also cooperate with Licensee in obtaining the agreement of the Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority and the New York State Department of Transportation to construct or cause to be constructed, at no cost to Licensee or the City, prior to the Concession Commencement Date, an exit ramp from the Bronx bound lanes of the Bronx-Whitestone Bridge to enhance access to the Licensed Premises.
1.5 (a) Whenever any act, consent, approval or permission is required of the City, Parks or the Commissioner under this License Agreement, the same shall be valid only if it is, in each instance, in writing and signed by the Commissioner or his duly authorized representative. Unless a different standard is specifically provided herein, whenever any act, consent, approval or permission is required of the City, Parks or the Commissioner under this License Agreement, the same shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed, and whenever this License Agreement provides that consent approval or permission shall not be unreasonably withheld, such provision shall be deemed to include that such consent, approval or permission shall not be unreasonably conditioned or delayed, and terms such as satisfactory and acceptable shall be deemed to mean reasonably satisfactory and reasonably acceptable. No variance, alteration, amendment, or modification of this instrument shall be valid or binding upon the City, Parks, the Commissioner, Licensee or their respective agents, unless the same is, in each instance, in writing and duly signed by the Commissioner or his duly authorized representative and the Licensee. As used in this Agreement, including all Exhibits and Schedules, the words "include", "includes", or "including" shall be deemed to be followed by the words "without limitation".
(b) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement, (i) any references to any consent, approval or permission required of the City (without any reference to any City agency), Parks or the Commissioner under this Agreement (including documentation, parties and other matters requiring the satisfaction of or are required to be satisfactory to the City, Parks or the Commissioner, as applicable) shall be deemed a reference to Parks and any requests for such consent, approval or permission shall be submitted to Parks, (ii) any such consent, approval or permission granted pursuant to this Agreement to Licensee by Parks shall be deemed given by the City, Parks and/or the Commissioner, as applicable, (iii) any covenants, obligations, responsibilities,
acts or omissions of Parks under this Agreement shall mean the City acting by and through Parks, (iv) notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in clause (iii) of this Section 1.5(b) and subject to Section 7.2 of the Development Agreement, any covenants, obligations, responsibilities or acts of Parks or the City, as they pertain to the City's Work under this Agreement, shall mean the City acting by and through Parks or the DDC, as the case may be, or the City acting by and through any other appropriate City agency, and (v) where provision is made herein for notice or other communication to be given in writing or otherwise or for the submission of a document or other item, to the City (without any reference to any City agency), Parks or the Commissioner, the provision shall be deemed a reference to Parks, whose address is provided at the beginning of this Agreement.
1.6 It is expressly understood that no land, building, space, improvement, or equipment is leased to Licensee, but that during the Term (as hereinafter defined) of this License Agreement, Licensee shall have the sole and exclusive use of the Licensed Premises for the purpose herein provided, subject to the rights of Parks and others to enter upon the Licensed Premises during the Term as set forth herein and in the Development Agreement. Except as provided in this License Agreement and/or the Development Agreement, Licensee has the right to occupy and operate the Licensed Premises so long as this License Agreement is not terminated in accordance with the terms of this License Agreement or the Development Agreement.
1.7 (a) Licensee represents that Donald J. Trump ("Trump"), the principal of the Licensee and the Guarantor, has the rights to the designation and trademark "Trump" and variations thereof, and all other trademarks of Trump, together with the goodwill that is symbolized by such trademarks, which are used in connection with the planning, construction, operation and management of golf courses, and certain other rights in the names, trademarks, service marks, designations, and identifications "Trump", including, without limitation, the "Trump Marks". The term "Trump Marks" shall mean those trademarks identified in the annexed Exhibit N, each a "Trump Mark". The City represents that the City is the owner of the designation and trademark "Ferry Point" and "Ferry Point Park" and variations thereof, and all other designations and trademarks of Parks, including Parks signage and the distinctive Parks leaf logo, together with the goodwill that is symbolized by such names, trademarks, service marks, designations and identifications, including, without limitation, the "City Marks". The term "City Marks" shall mean those trademarks identified in the annexed Exhibit $\mathbf{O}$, each a "City Mark". Licensee represents and warrants to the City that Trump has granted to Licensee the right to use and license the Trump Marks for the purposes set forth herein.
(b) Except as specifically approved herein, any business or trade name which Licensee proposes to use in identifying the Licensed Premises or any part of the Licensed Premises shall be subject to the prior written approval of Parks.
(c) The Licensed Premises, other than the Park Snack Bar, shall be operated, marketed and promoted, in each such case by Licensee, under the name "Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park" (the "Composite Mark"), and the Park Snack Bar shall be operated, marketed and promoted, in each such case by Licensee, under the name "Donald's Joint!" (or similar name designated by Licensee and approved in advance in writing by Parks) (the "Snack Bar Mark"). The Composite Mark and the Snack Bar Mark are hereby approved by the Commissioner. Licensee shall not use (and shall not permit Trump or any of its or his Affiliates to use) the Composite Mark as the name of any other golf course other than the Licensed Premises; however, the foregoing shall not in any way restrict Trump, Licensee or any of his or its Affiliates' rights to use the Trump Marks in any manner whatsoever or to use the word "Point", "Ferry" or "Park" in any manner whatsoever so long as such
use is not likely to cause confusion with the City Marks and is not part of the phrase "Ferry Point" or "Ferry Point Park" and the foregoing shall not in any way restrict the City or any of its Afffiliates rights to use "Golf" and "Links" in any manner whatsoever so long as such use is not likely to cause confusion with the Trump Marks and is not part of the Composite Mark.
(d) Upon expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement, the Licensee shall immediately cease use of any Licensed City IP (as defined in Exhibit H) and the City and Parks shall immediately cease use of any Licensed Trump IP (as defined in Exhibit H) in identifying the Licensed Premises and the Park Snack Bar; however, the foregoing is not intended to restrict Trump, Licensee or any of his or its Affiliates, the City, Parks or any of their Affiliates from using their respective marks in any way. In addition, in the event Licensee elects to terminate this License Agreement with respect to the Park Snack Bar in accordance with Section 1.9, the City and Parks shall cease all use of the Snack Bar Mark and Snack Bar Logo (as defined in Exhibit H) and the Licensee shall cease all use of any City Marks for the Park Snack Bar.
(e) Additional rights and obligations of Trump, Licensee, Parks and the City with respect to the Licensed City IP and Licensed Trump IP are set forth on Exhibit H annexed hereto.
1.8 (a) Pursuant to that certain Nicklaus Design Golf Design Subcontract Agreement ("Nicklaus Subcontract") between the City, Sanford Golf Design and Nicklaus Design, LLC ("Nicklaus Design"), a copy of which is annexed hereto as Exhibit L, the City has the right to use the Endorsement (as defined in the Nicklaus Subcontract), including, without limitation, the names "Nicklaus Design", "Jack Nicklaus Signature" and "Jack Nicklaus" and certain other intangible rights of Nicklaus Design. The City licenses its rights under Section 5 of the Nicklaus Subcontract to Licensee in connection with the operation, advertising, marketing and promotion of the Golf Course, provided that in no event shall Licensee be responsible for the payment of any fees and expenses payable under the Nicklaus Subcontract, provided, however that Licensee shall pay actual third party out-of-pocket expenses, such as shipping and postage costs, if any, incurred by Nicklaus Design in connection with any request for consent or approval of Nicklaus Design by Licensee pursuant to the Nicklaus Subcontract or this Agreement. The City represents that pursuant to the Nicklaus Subcontract, the City has a license to use the Endorsement and to sublicense the Endorsement to Licensee as provided herein. Licensee acknowledges receipt of a copy of the Nicklaus Subcontract, and agrees to conduct Licensee's activities under this Agreement in accordance with (x) the applicable terms and conditions set forth in Section 5 , Section 10, and Section 11 (but subject to Section 18.6 of this License Agreement), of the Nicklaus Subcontract and (y) any other Sections of the Nicklaus Subcontract that are applicable to Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises as contemplated by this License Agreement and within the control of Licensee, which for the sake of clarity, shall not include (without limiting terms and conditions that are not applicable to Licensee) any of the terms or conditions of the Nicklaus Subcontract that pertain to the construction of the Licensed Premises (or any part thereof) by (or on behalf of) the City (including the "Construction Work" as defined in the Nicklaus Subcontract and the City's Work). Moreover, the foregoing in the prior sentence shall not limit the City's or the Consultant's (as defined in the Nicklaus Subcontract) obligations under the Nicklaus Subcontract. Wherever Licensee shall be required to obtain the consent of Nicklaus Design, if the City reasonably agrees to the substance of the matter for which Licensee is seeking consent, the City shall cooperate with Licensee in obtaining such consent.
(b) The City represents that it has given notice to Nicklaus Design of this license describing the authority of Licensee to use the Endorsement and the City shall otherwise comply with
the requirements of Section 5D of the Nicklaus Subcontract. The Licensee has provided Nicklaus Design with a written acknowledgement of its receipt of a copy of the Nicklaus Subcontract, which is attached hereto as Exhibit L, together with its written agreement to conduct its activities under this Agreement and the Development Agreement in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Nicklaus Subcontract, which is attached hereto as Exhibit $\mathbf{L}_{\text {, }}$ and to maintain the integrity of the golf course at Ferry Point Park as designed by Nicklaus Design (such written acknowledgement, the "Nicklaus Acknowledgement"). Licensee shall not be required to comply with any amendments to the Nicklaus Subcontract attached hereto as Exhibit L without Licensee's prior written consent to any such amendments (such consent not to be unreasonably withheld). The Parties acknowledge that Nicklaus Design has given its approval to Licensee acting under the terms of this Agreement as it pertains to the Endorsement and the Nicklaus Subcontract, such approval to be effective upon the commencement of the Term of this Agreement.
(c) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 9.22, Licensee shall not use the Endorsement to advertise, publicize, market or promote the Concession, without in each instance either (i) having obtained the express prior written approval of Nicklaus Design, and providing Parks with evidence of such approval, or (ii) having obtained the express prior written approval of Parks, which approval shall be granted by Parks in the event that Nicklaus Design approves the use of the Endorsement. In the event Licensee requests the approval of Parks to use the Endorsement, Parks shall promptly submit such request to Nicklaus Design, shall use good faith efforts to cause Nicklaus Design to respond to such request within ten (10) business days in accordance with the Nicklaus Subcontract and shall approve such request within five (5) days after such request is approved by Nicklaus Design.
(d) Notwithstanding anything in Section 9.37 to the contrary, any agreement that Licensee proposes to enter into that would involve the use of the Endorsement must receive the prior written approval of Parks or Nicklaus Design in accordance with the terms of the Nicklaus Subcontract and Section 1.8(b).
(e) In the event Licensee uses the Endorsement in violation of Section 5 of the Nicklaus Subcontract or this Sectiom 1.8, such use shall constitute a material breach of this License Agreement and Licensee shall cease such use of the Endorsement immediately upon notice from Parks. In addition, the City may elect to immediately terminate this License Agreement and/or the license given herein to Licensee to use the Endorsement if such violation is not cured within ten (10) days after notice from the City to Licensee. Such notice shall be given either by hand or by overnight courier and shall be deemed given when delivered if by hand or one day after mailing if sent by overnight courier.
1.9 Upon delivery of the Park Snack Bar to Licensee in accordance with the Development Agreement, the Licensed Premises shall include the Park Snack Bar. The conditions for delivery of the Park Snack Bar are set forth in the Development Agreement, and include, among other things, completion of the waterfront park to be constructed by the City adjacent to the Licensed Premises. The Parties acknowledge that the Park Snack Bar may not be delivered to Licensee until after the Concession Commencement Date and shall not be part of the Licensed Premises until possession thereof is delivered to Licensee in accordance with the Development Agreement. Licensee shall have the right to terminate this License Agreement with respect to the Park Snack Bar at any time, on one hundred eighty ( 180 ) days prior written notice to Parks, and upon the expiration of such one hundred eighty (180) day period the License will terminate with respect to the Park Snack Bar and Licensee shall have no further rights or obligations hereunder with respect thereto. In the event the

City receives such notice of termination, the City shall have the right to commence a process for a new operator at the Park Snack Bar as of the date of such notice and to subsequently enter into an agreement with a new operator. Licensee's termination of this License Agreement with respect to the Park Snack Bar shall not affect in any way Licensee's rights and obligations under this License Agreement with respect to the remainder of the Licensed Premises.

## 2. DEFINITIONS

2.1 As used throughout this License Agreement, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth below:
(a) "Affiliate(s)" shall mean, with respect to any person or entity, any other person or entity that such person or entity Controls, is Controlled by or is under common Control with such person or entity. "Control" shall mean (a) the ownership, directly or indirectly, of more than fifty percent ( $50 \%$ ) of the equity interests in a person or entity, and (b) the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of such person or entity, whether through the ownership of equity interests, by statute, or by contract.
(b) "Capital Improvements" shall mean all construction, reconstruction or renovation of the Licensed Premises, including, without limitation, architectural and engineering design services necessary to implement such construction, reconstruction or renovation of the Licensed Premises. Capital Improvements shall also include installation of all "Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment," as that term is defined in this Section, which the Licensee installs or causes to be installed on the Licensed Premises. Capital Improvements shall not include routine maintenance and repairs required to be performed in the normal course of management and operation of the Licensed Premises.
(c) "Capital Improvements Costs" shall mean all reasonable hard and soft costs necessary for the construction, reconstruction or renovation of the Licensed Premises, including, without limitation, architectural and engineering design fees necessary to implement such construction, reconstruction or renovation of the Licensed Premises. Hard costs shall include, without limitation, all construction material and labor. Soft costs shall include, without limitation, architectural and engineering design fees necessary to implement the Capital Improvements, cost of any and all New York State and City of New York mandated environmental review procedures and studies, costs to comply with all requirements of ULURP, SEQRA, CEQR and other applicable Legal Requirements and Environmental Laws, costs to secure all approvals required by the City Charter and other applicable laws, costs of inspection and testing, pre-development costs, including, but not limited to, permitting costs, fixtures, furnishing and equipment, financing costs, insurance, brokers, legal, accounting and development fees and the value of the time of Licensee's in-house construction, operations and management staff expended in connection with the Capital Improvements provided that detailed records, satisfactory to the City, showing the time expended by such staff members with respect to the Capital Improvement are provided to the City and further provided that the cost of such staff time in connection with the Required Capital Improvements does not exceed the amount allocated for such item in the Preliminary Capital Budget attached hereto as Exhibit K (as the same may be amended from time to time) without the consent of Parks. Capital Improvements Costs shall also include the costs of all Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment and the cost of installation thereof which the Licensee installs or causes to be installed on the Licensed Premises. Capital Improvements Costs shall not include cost of routine maintenance and repairs required to be
performed in the normal course of management and operation of the Licensed Premises and shall not include costs incurred prior to the date of issuance of the Registration Notice.
(d) "Commissioner" shall mean the Commissioner of the New York City Department of Parks \& Recreation or his designee.
(e) "Comptroller" shall mean the Comptroller of the City of New York.
(f) "Consumer Price Index" ("CPI") shall mean the Consumer Price Index for all urban consumers; all items indexed (CPI-U) for the New York, New York/Northeastern New Jersey area, by the United States Department of Labor, Bureau of labor Statistics. In the event the index shall hereafter be converted to a different standard reference base or otherwise revised, the determination of the increase shall be made with the use of conversion factor, formula or table for converting the index as may be published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. In the event the index shall cease to be published, then for the purpose of this License Agreement there shall be substituted for the index such other index as Parks and Licensee shall agree upon.
(g) "CPI Adjustment" means an adjustment made by multiplying the dollar amount to be adjusted by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the CPI for the calendar month prior to the month in which the adjustment is to occur, and the denominator of which shall be the CPI for the calendar month prior to the date of this Agreement. In no event shall any CPI Adjustment result in a downward adjustment.
(h) "Environment" shall mean all air, water vapor, surface water, groundwater, drinking water supply or land, including land surface or subsurface, and includes all fish, wildlife, biota and all other natural resources.
(i) "Environmental Conditions" shall mean (i) any land settlement that is significantly more extensive than settlement that typically occurs at new golf courses or adversely affects playability of the Golf Course, (ii) the presence of leachate, landfill gases, municipal solid waste, or Hazardous Substances in, on, under or about the Licensed Premises, or (iii) the failure of the Licensed Premises to comply with Environmental Laws at the time of or at any time prior to the Concession Commencement Date or during the Term of the License.
(j) "Environmental Laws" shall mean all federal, state or local environmental, land use, health, chemical use, safety and sanitation laws, statutes, ordinances, rules, regulations and codes, as in effect on the date hereof or promulgated hereafter, relating to the protection of the Environment and/or governing the discharge of pollutants or the use, storage, treatment, generation, transportation, processing, handling, production or disposal of Hazardous Substances, including but not limited to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 as amended ("RCRA"), the Clean Air Act as amended, the Clean Water Act as amended, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 as amended ("CERCLA"), the Toxic Substances Control Act, as amended, and federal, state or local laws, ordinances, rules or regulations similar to or based upon the foregoing, as the same are in effect on the date hereof or promulgated hereafter.
(k) "Expendable Equipment" shall mean all equipment, other than Fixed Equipment, provided by Licensee.
(l) "Final Completion" or "Finally Complete" shall mean that the construction of an improvement to the Licensed Premises has been completed to such an extent that the Commissioner certifies in writing that it has been finally completed and no further work is required pursuant to this License Agreement in connection with the construction of said improvement. Notwithstanding the issuance of any such certification, Licensee and/or the City, as applicable, shall be liable for any claims arising out of such construction and shall be responsible for any other obligations (including maintenance, repair and indemnity) set forth in this License Agreement.
(m) "Fixed Equipment" shall mean any property affixed to the Licensed Premises in such a way that removal of said equipment would materially damage the Licensed Premises.
(i) "Additional Fixed Equipment" shall mean Fixed Equipment affixed to Licensed Premises subsequent to the Interim Period.
(ii) "Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment" shall refer to Fixed Equipment and Additional Fixed Equipment jointly and severally.
(i) "Force Majeure" shall mean (i) circumstances beyond the reasonable control of the Party claiming Force Majeure, including acts of God, weather, war, enemies or hostile government actions, revolutions, terrorism, insurrection, riots, civil commotion, strikes, lockouts, labor unrest, disturbances or job actions, governmental restrictions, Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions, fire or other casualty, condemnation, or delays in granting or a failure to grant approvals, licenses or permits by governmental agencies which delays are not caused by the Party claiming Force Majeure, or (ii) any other circumstances beyond the reasonable control and without the fault or negligence of the Party claiming Force Majeure. For the purposes of Force Majeure, a Party's failure to submit a complete application, as applicable, for any permit, license or approval required by an applicable agency shall not constitute a circumstance beyond the reasonable control of such Party. Additionally, unless a Party is challenging in good faith any actions, determinations, denials or conditions of any agency charged with granting any license, permit or approval, failure to make diligent and good faith efforts to comply with all conditions of any agency charged with granting any such license, permit or approval shall not constitute a circumstance beyond the reasonable control of such Party; provided that the denial by a governmental agency of a required license, permit or approval beyond any right of appeal shall terminate the Force Majeure unless such agency prohibits the construction of a particular Capital Improvement regardless of Licensee's compliance with agency conditions. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a Force Majeure shall be deemed to have occurred ( x ) only to the extent that despite the reasonable efforts of the applicable Party, such Party has been unable to prevent or mitigate such Force Majeure; provided that the foregoing in this clause ( x ) shall not be deemed to limit a Party's right to challenge any agency actions, determination, denials or conditions in good faith and any such challenge shall not be deemed to end a Force Majeure until the denial by a governmental agency of a required license, permit or approval beyond any right of appeal (unless such agency prohibits the construction of a particular Capital Improvement regardless of Licensee's compliance with agency conditions); and (y) in each case the Party claiming Force Majeure shall have notified the other Party in writing within a reasonable period of time after the claiming Party first had any knowledge of the occurrence of the Force Majeure. In addition, it is understood and agreed that (i) Licensee's or the City's financial condition or inability to obtain financing shall not constitute a Force Majeure and (ii) any delays by a City contractor or consultant as a result of a force majeure event pursuant to such contractor's or consultant's agreement with the City as they exist on the date that this Agreement is executed shall constitute a Force Majeure.
(0) "Governmental Approvals" shall mean, collectively: (a) any and all approvals, permits, inspections, reviews and licenses required by federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and orders, including, without limitation, all applicable Environmental Laws, which are or may become necessary for the design, development, construction and operation of any portion of the Licensed Premises, including, without limitation, any Certificate of Occupancy or Place of Assembly permit required for the use and operation of any portion of the Licensed Premises; (b) any and all environmental tests, reviews and studies required by any applicable Environmental Law or zoning regulations, including without limitation, the Uniform Land Use Review Procedure ("ULURP"), the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA") and the City Environmental Quality Review ("CEQR") required in conjunction with the design, development and construction of any portion of the Licensed Premises, and (c) any and all approvals, permits, inspections, reviews and licenses required by federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and orders by reason of the use of the Licensed Premises as a Landfill.
(p) (i) "Gross Receipts" shall include without limitation all funds received by Licensee, without deduction or set-off of any kind, from the sale of food and beverages, wares, merchandise or services of any kind, provided that Gross Receipts shall exclude (A) the amount of any federal, state or City sales taxes, which may now or hereafter be imposed upon or be required to be collected and paid by Licensee as against its sales, (B) Sublicense Gross Receipts (as hereinafter defined), (C) the items described in subsection (ix) of this definition, and (D) any other items excluded from Gross Receipts pursuant to this definition of Gross Receipts. Gross Receipts shall include all funds received by Licensee for orders placed with Licensee or made at Licensed Premises, although delivery of merchandise or services may be made outside, or away from the Licensed Premises, and shall include all funds received by Licensee for services to be rendered or orders taken at the Licensed Premises for services to be rendered by Licensee outside thereof. For example, should Licensee receive a one thousand dollar ( $\$ 1,000$ ) deposit for services to be rendered at a later date, the deposit must be reported at the time of payment, not when the service is provided. All sales made or services rendered by Licensee from the Licensed Premises shall be construed as made and completed therein even though payment therefor may be made at some other place, and although delivery of merchandise sold or services rendered from Licensed Premises may be made at a location other than at the Licensed Premises. Gross Receipts shall include the wholesale value of any goods and services received in lieu of cash as Greens Fees.
(ii) Gross Receipts shall include receipts from all sponsorships, whether in cash or as discounts against purchase price of materials, equipment or commodities. Gross Receipts shall include the net (but only the net) income received by Licensee in connection with the direct, live or taped broadcasting, in the United States and internationally, of on-site golf tournaments at the Licensed Premises, whether by network, cable, time delayed broadcast, pay per view or other device or system for contemporaneous viewing of on-site golf tournaments at the Licensed Premises (provided, however, the following shall not be included within Gross Receipts (a) any pass-through fees or costs collected by Licensee on behalf of a third-party broadcaster and (b) any income received by Licensee in connection with broadcasts of "The Apprentice" or any similar successor television show).
(iii) Gross Receipts shall not include any funds received by any other operator or operators ("Sublicensed Operators") using the Licensed Premises under a properly authorized sublicense or subcontract agreement in accordance with Section 18 hereof ("Sublicense Gross Receipts"). However, subject to Section 4.1, Licensee shall pay or cause to be paid to the City, three percent (3\%) of such Sublicense Gross Receipts. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this

Section 2.1(p)(iii), Sublicensee Gross Receipts shall not include any funds received by an operator or operators who are sublicensees under a properly authorized sublicense, in accordance with Section 18 hereof, of the food service facility in the Clubhouse and any such funds shall be considered Gross Receipts (and, for the sake of clarity, any funds received by an operator or operators, who are sublicensees under a properly authorized sublicense of any food service facility that comprises or is part of the banquet or catering facility, even if connected to or part of the Clubhouse, shall be considered Sublicense Gross Receipts and not Gross Receipts). Gross Receipts shall include income from rental and sublicense or subcontracting fees and commissions received by Licensee from any Sublicensed Operator in connection with all services provided by any Sublicensed Operator ("Sublicense Fees"). For example, if Licensee sub-licenses the rental of golf clubs (or otherwise contracts with a third party to rent golf clubs) and receives Sublicense Fees of $\$ 1,000$ and the funds received by such Sublicensed Operator total $\$ 5,000$, Gross Receipts shall include the Sublicense Fees of $\$ 1,000$ and three percent ( $3 \%$ ) of the Sublicense Gross Receipts of $\$ 5,000$ would be payable to the City in the form of License Fees subject to the terms of this License Agreement.
(iv) Gross Receipts shall include sales made for cash or credit (credit sales shall be included in Gross Receipts as of the date of the sale) regardless of whether the sales are paid or uncollected, it being the distinct intention and agreement of the Parties that all sums due to be received by Licensee from all sources from the operation of this License Agreement shall be included in Gross Receipts, provided however that any Gratuities (as herein defined) shall not be included within Gross Receipts. For purposes of this subsection (iv):
(A) With respect to non-catered restaurant services, a "Gratuity" shall mean a charge that: (i) is separately stated on the bill or invoice given to Licensee's customer, (ii) is specifically designated as a gratuity, or purports to be a gratuity, and (iii) Licensee receives and pays over in total to its employees who are primarily engaged in the serving of food or beverage to guests, patrons or customers, including but not limited to, wait staff, bartenders, captains, bussing personnel and similar staff who are paid a cash wage as a "food service worker" pursuant to NY Labor Law §652(4). Licensee shall provide documentation reasonably satisfactory to Parks to prove that Gratuities were paid to employees in addition to their regular salaries, and were otherwise in accordance with the foregoing provisions. Such documentation shall be signed and verified by an officer of Licensee.
(B) With respect to catered events, a "Gratuity" shall be an amount no greater than twenty percent ( $20 \%$ ) of the catering food and beverage sales for the event, provided that such Gratuity is a charge that: (i) is separately stated on the bill or invoice given to Licensee's customer, (ii) is specifically designated as a gratuity, or purports to be a gratuity, and (iii) is paid over by Licensee in total to its employees who actually provide services at the event, and who are primarily engaged in the serving of food or beverages to guests, patrons or customers, including, but not limited to, wait staff, bartenders, captains, busing personnel, and similar staff. "Regular Salary" for purposes of this subsection shall mean the set hourly wage for the applicable employee. Licensee shall provide documentation reasonably satisfactory to Parks to prove that Gratuities were paid to employees in addition to their regular salaries, and were otherwise in accordance with the foregoing provisions. Such documentation shall be signed and verified by an officer of Licensee.
(v) Gross Receipts shall include all funds received for services rendered by the Licensee, including any non-refundable down payments or partial payments made in relation to said services.
(vi) Notwithstanding any other provision in subsection (i) of this definition of Gross Receipts to the contrary, any third party service charges billed by Licensee for the convenience of the patrons of the Licensed Premises and paid directly to such third party service provider (such as charges for entertainment, videos, photographs, bands, floral arrangements, transportation, awards, trophies, outside maintenance, security personnel, etc.) shall not be included in Gross Receipts except to the extent such charges are retained by Licensee, provided only that such third party charges shall be included as Gross Receipts if Licensee owns any interest in such third party service provider.
(vii) Gross Receipt shall include the net (but only the net) income received by Licensee in connection with services provided by golf instructors. For clarity, if Licensee charges a student fifty dollars ( $\$ 50.00$ ) for a lesson, and subsequently pays the golf instructor thirty dollars ( $\$ 30.00$ ), the amount to be reported with the Gross Receipts is the net amount of twenty dollars (\$20.00).
(viii) Gross Receipts shall include only net revenues received by Licensee from vending machines for the sale of food, drink, or other items as approved by Parks.
(ix) Gross Receipts shall not include (1) cash and credit refunds, (2) income generated from Parks Sponsored Special Events (including income from all food service connected to said Parks Sponsored Special Events) as defined in Section 16 herein, (3) proceeds of the sale of any other asset of Licensee not sold in the ordinary course of business, or (4) the proceeds of any loans or financings.
(q) "Grow-In" shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in the Development Agreement.
(r) "Guarantor" shall mean Donald J. Trump.
(s) "Guaranty" shall mean a written agreement executed by Guarantor in the form set forth in Exhibit V to this Agreement.
(t) "Hazardous Substances" shall mean, without regard to amount or concentration (i) any element, compound, gas or chemical that is defined, listed or otherwise classified as a toxic pollutant, toxic or hazardous substance, extremely hazardous substance or chemical, hazardous material, hazardous waste, medical waste, biohazardous or infectious waste, or special waste under any Environmental Laws; (ii) petroleum, petroleum-based or petroleum-derived products; (iii) any substance that poses a present or potential hazard to human health or the Environment, including, without limitation, any substance containing polychlorinated biphenyls, asbestos, lead, urea formaldehyde, radon gas; methane or other gases or leachate, (iv) any other substance that by law, rule or regulation, whether federal, state or local, requires special handling in its collection, storage, treatment or disposal; or (v) any highly combustible substance, provided, however that all chemicals and other material used in the ordinary course of maintenance of a golf course will not be considered Hazardous Substances.
(u) "Interest Rate" shall mean the Prompt Payment Act interest rate as certified by the Bureau of the Public Debt from time to time and posted at bttps://www treasurydirect gov/govitrates/tcir/teir 201001 opdirsemi.hm.
(v) "Legal Requirements" shall mean all laws, statutes, ordinances, orders, rules and regulations, directives and requirements of all federal, state, county, regional, local or municipal governments (including any agency or political subdivision of any of the foregoing), any
governmental or quasi-governmental agency, authority (including stamp and registration authorities), board, public utility, bureau, commission, department, instrumentality, or public body, and any person with jurisdiction exercising executive, legislative, judicial (including any court or tribunal), regulatory or administrative functions of or pertaining to government or quasi-governmental issues, which are or may be applicable to the Licensed Premises or any part thereof or related thereto, whether now or hereafter in force including building codes and zoning regulations and ordinances.
(w) "License Fee Credit" shall mean any credit against License Fees and other amounts payable by Licensee pursuant to this License Agreement that Licensee is entitled to pursuant to certain provisions of this License Agreement or the Development Agreement for amounts paid or incurred by Licensee pursuant to such provisions.
(x) "Licensed Premises" shall mean the area in Ferry Point Park, Borough of the Bronx described on Exhibit A annexed hereto and shown on Exhibit A-1, annexed hereto (the City representing to Licensee that the area shown on Exhibit A-1 is the same area described in Exhibit A) and the Park Snack Bar as shown on Exhibit A-3 and shall include the Golf Course, the Golf Course Snack Bar, the Park Snack Bar (when delivered in accordance with the Development Agreement), the Practice Facility, the Maintenance Building (as defined in the Development Agreement), and the remainder of the City's Work and any other improvements constructed thereon, provided that if the Licensed Premises are delivered to Licensee in phases as more particularly described in Section 10 of the Development Agreement, the Licensed Premises shall, at any time prior to delivery of all of the Licensed Premises to Licensee, consist of only those portions or phases that are delivered to Licensee pursuant to the provisions of the Development Agreement. Licensed Premises shall also include the Clubhouse upon construction pursuant to this Agreement.
(y) "Substantial Completion" or "Substantially Complete" shall mean that the Commissioner certifies that an improvement to the Licensed Premises has been substantially completed in accordance with the Designs and Plans (as defined in Section 10.2) approved by Parks and that such improvement is ready to be occupied and used for its intended purpose by the public, as expressed in the Designs and Plans, notwithstanding that some incomplete elements remain to be finished and punch list work determined in accordance with Section 10.19 remains to be completed.
(z) "Year" or "Operating Year" shall mean the period commencing on the Concession Commencement Date or the anniversary of the Concession Commencement Date in any calendar year and ending on the day before the anniversary of the Concession Commencement Date in the following calendar year.
2.2 Capitalized terms used in this License Agreement but not otherwise defined shall have the meaning ascribed thereto in the Development Agreement. The foregoing recitals are made a part of this Agreement. All Exhibits and Schedules hereto are incorporated herein and expressly made a part hereof.

## 3. TERM

3.1 (a) The Term of this License Agreement shall begin upon written notice from Parks to Licensee (the "Registration Notice") that the License Agreement is registered with the Comptroller of the City of New York.
(b) The term of the Concession shall commence upon written Notice to Proceed from Parks (such date, the "Concession Commencement Date"), which shall occur on the earlier of (A) the date the Golf Course Facilities and the Clubhouse are ready to be opened for play to the public as determined by Licensee and approved by Parks or (B) the fourteen (14) month anniversary of the date that the last Hole (as defined in the Development Agreement) is delivered to Licensee pursuant to and in accordance with the Development Agreement (such fourteen (14) month anniversary date, the " 14 Month Date"), provided that the 14 Month Date shall be extended on a day for day basis, as applicable (i) for the length of any Force Majeure, (ii) for the length of any delays from the Estimated Completion Dates, as defined in the Development Agreement (other than any delays from the Estimated Completion Dates with respect to the delivery of the Holes) that materially and adversely impact the Grow-In, except to the extent that such delay is attributable to Licensee pursuant to any of the reasons set forth in clauses (ii) - (v) of Section 4.3 of the Development Agreement, (iii) for the length of any interference with the Grow-In caused by Environmental Conditions (except to the extent such Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees) and/or any repairs, replacements or remediation conducted on the Licensed Premises by the City which materially and adversely interfere with the Grow-In; (iv) for the length of any interference with the Grow-In caused by City's Reconstruction Activities (as hereinafter defined) which materially and adversely interfere with the Grow-In; or (v) for the length of time until the City has delivered possession of the entire Licensed Premises (other than the Park Snack Bar) to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of the Development Agreement if such delivery has not occurred by the 14 Month Date; provided further that if the 14 Month Date would otherwise be between the period of September $1^{\text {st }}$ and the following March 31st, then the 14 Month Date would be extended until the April ${ }^{\text {st }}$ date that immediately follows, subject to any further extension pursuant to Section 3.3(c), as applicable, and provided further that in no event shall the 14 Month Date, as extended pursuant to this Section 3.1(b), occur before the expiration of the five (5) month period after delivery of the Practice Facility to Licensee pursuant to and in accordance with the Development Agreement (such five month period, the " $\mathbf{5}$ Month Practice Facility Date"). In the event that ( x ) the 14 Month Date, as extended pursuant to this Section 3.1(b), occurs after the 5 Month Practice Facility Date but before the expiration of the twelve (12) month period after delivery of the Practice Facility to Licensee pursuant to and in accordance with the Development Agreement and (y) the Grow-In of the Practice Facility is not yet complete on the 14 Month Date (as extended pursuant to this Section 3.1(b)), then in such event the 14 Month Date shall occur and the Concession Commencement Date shall occur, but Licensee shall continue to have the responsibility to conduct the grow-in of the Practice Facility as though it had the Grow-In obligation under the Development Agreement and the costs of such grow-in incurred by Licensee for the remainder of such twelve (12) month period shall be treated as though they were Grow-In Costs under the Development Agreement.
(c) In the event the Concession Commencement Date occurs after June $30^{\text {th }}$ but prior to November $1^{\text {st }}$ of the applicable calendar year, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit (with interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof) in Operating Year 5 in an amount equal to the Minimum Annual Fee payable in Operating Year 5, multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the number of days between April $1^{\text {st }}$ and the Concession Commencement Date, and the denominator of which is 214. Licensee shall not be entitled to any License Fee Credit pursuant to this Section 3.1(c), if the Concession Commencement Date occurs between November $1^{\text {st }}$ of the applicable calendar year and June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the following year.
(d) The Concession Period and the Term of the License shall terminate upon the earlier of twenty (20) years from the Concession Commencement Date (the "Full Term") or upon
expiration or termination of this License Agreement pursuant to any provision hereof ("Termination Date"). The period between the Concession Commencement Date and Termination Date shall be the Concession Period ("Concession Period"). The period between the Registration Notice and Termination Date shall be the Term of the License Agreement ("Term"). The period between the Registration Notice and the Concession Commencement Date shall be referred to as the "Interim Period". Licensee shall not commence Concession operations at the Licensed Premises under this License Agreement until it has received Notice to Proceed from Parks. Upon expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement, Licensee shall reasonably cooperate with the City and, as directed by the City, any entity approved by the City to achieve an orderly transition of operations in order to avoid disruption of services to the general public and minimize transition expenses, provided, however, Licensee shall not be required to expend more than a deminimus amount in connection with the foregoing set forth in this sentence. Licensee shall not divert any potential patrons intending to make reservations at the Licensed Premises to other facilities owned or operated by the Licensee or its Affiliates; provided that, for the sake of clarity, the foregoing shall not limit Licensee's right to advertise or promote other facilities owned or operated by Licensee or its Affiliates.
(e) After the Registration Notice but prior to the Concession Commencement Date, Licensee shall have all of the rights of Licensee under this Agreement, provided, however, that Licensee shall not commence Concession operations at the Licensed Premises under this License Agreement until it has received Notice to Proceed from Parks. All of the obligations of Licensee with respect to the Licensed Premises after the Registration Notice but prior to the Concession Commencement Date shall be set forth in the Development Agreement, including, without limitation, any of the provisions of this License Agreement incorporated by reference in the Development Agreement. From and after the Concession Commencement Date, Licensee shall have all of the rights and shall perform all of the obligations of Licensee under this Agreement.
3.2 (a) Notwithstanding any language to the contrary contained herein, this License Agreement (including both the License and the Concession) is terminable at will by the Commissioner in his sole and absolute discretion, at any time; however, such termination shall not be arbitrary or capricious. For purposes hereof, Parks and Licensee agree that termination by the Commissioner will not be considered arbitrary or capricious where a determination is made by the Commissioner that the Licensed Premises is needed for an alternative park-related use other than golf. The Commissioner shall not so terminate solely for the purpose of issuing a new license to another party (including, without limitation, to another party at a higher license fee) for the operation of a golf course and/or banquet facility. However, the above is not an exhaustive list of the arbitrary or capricious reasons to terminate by the Commissioner. Such termination shall be effective twentyfive (25) days after the date such written notice is received by Licensee. Except as set forth in Section 3.2(b) below, the Commissioner, the City, its employees and agents shall not be liable for damages to Licensee in the event of termination by Commissioner in accordance with the terms of this License Agreement.
(b) In the event (i) that the Commissioner shall exercise its right to terminate this License Agreement at will (i.e., not for an Event of Default under this License by Licensee which remains uncured after all applicable notice and cure periods have expired) or (ii) this License Agreement is terminated in certain other circumstances specifically provided for in this License Agreement or the Development Agreement and in those circumstances the respective provision applicable to termination provides for a Termination Payment, then, in each such case, Parks shall pay to Licensee a termination payment (the "Termination Payment") equal to the sum of (A) the Capital

Improvement Costs expended by Licensee for Capital Improvements and the Grow-In Costs (as defined in the Development Agreement) expended by Licensee in connection with the Grow-In pursuant to the Development Agreement (less amortization as described below), provided that documentation of such costs, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks, plus ( $B$ ) (x) with respect to any contracts or orders for services or materials which were made prior to the receipt of written notice of termination by Licensee but not yet received, that cannot be canceled, any amounts due under such contracts or orders, and (y) for contracts or orders for services or materials that can be canceled, the payment of a cancellation fee or penalty related to such early cancellation, provided that Licensee makes prompt attempts to mitigate such costs and provides documentation of such attempts by Licensee, which shall be subject to audit and review by Parks, and provided further that the cancellation fee or penalty as mitigated is not greater than payment of the amount due, plus (C) any License Fee Credits to which Licensee is entitled, with interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, plus (D) the amount on deposit in the Capital Reserve Fund. For the purpose of determining the Termination Payment, the Capital Improvement Costs and Grow-In Costs (prior to amortization) shall not exceed the amounts stated in the Preliminary Capital Budget attached hereto as Exhibit K (which Preliminary Capital Budget shall be updated from time to time, subject to Parks approval, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed, to reflect actual Grow-In Costs expended by Licensee, any costs related to the washout of a Hole after it has been delivered to Licensee in accordance with the Development Agreement and the estimated costs of Capital Improvements reasonably approved by Parks). The unamortized portion of such costs shall be derived from a twenty (20) year, straight line amortization schedule, which amortization shall begin on the Concession Commencement Date. Parks shall use reasonable good faith efforts to pay the Termination Payment within sixty (60) days after the Termination Date. Interest on the unpaid portion of the Termination Payment at the Interest Rate shall begin to accrue sixty (60) days after the Termination Date, provided, however, that: (A) to the extent Licensee is required to provide documentation of costs to Parks, interest shall not accrue until the later of sixty (60) days after the Termination Date or thirty (30) days after Parks' receipt of documentation satisfactory to Parks, and $(B)$ in the event of a dispute as to the amount of the Termination Payment, the City shall pay interest only on the actual amount of the Termination Payment determined to be due to Licensee, if any, upon resolution of such dispute.
(c) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 3.2(b), no payment (including, without limitation, the Termination Payment) shall be required (1) in the event that this License Agreement is terminated pursuant to Section 3.3 of this License Agreement; (2) in the event that this License Agreement is terminated by operation of law (other than as a result of any acts or omissions of the City or Parks); and (3) in the event that this License Agreement is terminated because Parks is unable to obtain a new permit from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation ("DEC"), or to extend or renew that certain permit required under the Environmental Conservation Law issued by the DEC on November 18, 2005 under DEC Permit No. 2-6006-00014/00013, as attached as Schedule 9 to the Development Agreement, as amended, modified, renewed or replaced (the "DEC Part 360 Permit") that is required in order to complete construction of the City's Work, by reason of the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees. The City agrees to use good faith efforts to obtain any renewals or extensions of the DEC Part 360 Permit required to complete construction of and operate the Licensed Premises.
(d) Subject to the remainder of this Paragraph, the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) hereof, paid in relation to any termination of this License pursuant to this Section 3.2 shall be in full and final settlement of any claim of the Licensee against Parks and the City for such termination and the

Licensee shall be excluded from all other rights and remedies in respect of any such termination where such Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) hereof, is paid to Licensee pursuant to this Section 3.2. Other than the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) hereof and any damages attributable to Claims for personal injury, death, property damage or Claims described in Sections 5(a) and 6(a) of Exhibit H for which the City is obligated to indemnify, (which damages, in each case, shall not include any lost sales or profit or any indirect, consequential, special, exemplary or incidental damages) regardless of whether such damages are attributable to the event that led to the termination of this License Agreement in accordance with its terms or otherwise, the City shall not be responsible for any other damages of any kind (including, without limitation, actual, special or consequential, exemplary or incidental or any other form of damages or lost sales or profit of Licensee or other expenditures of Licensee) or any other fees or expenses; provided that for the sake of clarity, the foregoing in this paragraph is not intended to abrogate the City's obligations under this Agreement to indemnify, defend, protect and hold harmless the Licensee Indemnitees in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, as applicable, to the extent that such obligations relate to third-party claims.
(e) This Section 3.2 and any other section of this License Agreement pursuant to which the City is required to pay or Licensee is entitled to receive the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) hereof, shall survive the termination of this License Agreement.
3.3 (a) The occurrence of any of the following events, and the expiration of any applicable notice, grace and cure period set forth herein, shall constitute an "Event of Default" under this License Agreement:
(i) (a) If Licensee defaults in the payment of License Fees, deposits to the Capital Reserve Fund or other amount payable by Licensee under this License Agreement (except for payments of any unpaid portion of the premiums due for all insurance policies required to be procured and maintained by Licensee under this License Agreement which are addressed below in the proviso to this Section 3.3(a)(i)) and such default shall continue for more than ten (10) business days after Parks has delivered notice thereof to Licensee; provided, however, that with respect to a default in payment of any unpaid portion of the premiums due for all insurance policies required to be procured and maintained by Licensee under this License Agreement, no notice by Parks shall be required and an Event of Default shall occur upon the failure to pay the amount due to the applicable insurance company prior to expiration of the period of time before the cancellation of the applicable insurance policy (unless such policy is replaced prior to such time).
(b) If Licensee uses the Endorsement in violation of Section 5 of the Nicklaus Subcontract or Section 1.8 of this License Agreement and Licensee fails to cure such violation within ten (10) days after notice from City to Licensee;
(c) If Licensee fails to maintain the Golf Course to a quality level consistent with the reasonable standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, and such failure shall continue for more than twenty-five (25) days after Parks has delivered a Default Notice (as defined below) to Licensee, provided, however, that notwithstanding the foregoing if such failure cannot reasonably be cured within such twenty-five (25) day period, Licensee shall have such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such default, provided that Licensee shall have commenced curing such default within such twenty-five (25) day period and Licensee thereafter
diligently prosecutes such cure, to the reasonable satisfaction of Parks and Nicklaus Design, to completion;
(ii) Should Licensee materially breach or fail to substantially comply with any of the other material provisions of this License Agreement and such default shall continue for more than twenty-five (25) days after Parks has delivered notice thereof to Licensee (such notice a "Default Notice"), provided, however, that notwithstanding the foregoing if such default cannot reasonably be cured within such twenty-five (25) day period, Licensee shall have such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such default, provided that Licensee shall have commenced curing such default within such twenty-five (25) day period and Licensee thereafter diligently prosecutes such cure to completion and, provided, further that, notwithstanding the foregoing, if a Default Notice alleges a material breach or failure to substantially comply with any of the material provisions of this License Agreement relating to an alleged failure of Licensee to comply with any Legal Requirements and Licensee challenges (through administrative or judicial process, as applicable) such alleged breach or failure in good faith, Licensee shall not be deemed to have breached or failed to comply with this License Agreement until such time as Licensee's challenge is denied beyond any right of appeal, and if denied (beyond any right of appeal), Licensee shall have the cure periods stated in this paragraph which shall run from the date of such denial, provided, however, that Licensee shall comply with all applicable legal orders requiring action or discontinuance of action during the pendency of such challenge. For purposes of this provision, a "material breach or failure to substantially comply" shall be those breaches or failures specifically identified as such in this License Agreement, any time any of Licensee's representations contained in this Agreement are found to be materially untrue, inaccurate or incorrect, or such other breaches or failures as are material under the circumstances;
(iii) (1) the appointment of any receiver, without the express written consent of Parks, of Licensee's assets; (2) Licensee making a general assignment for the benefit of creditors without the express written consent of Parks; (3) the occurrence of any act, which results in the permanent deprivation of Licensee's rights, powers and privileges necessary for the conduct and operation of the License; or (4) the levy of any attachment or execution which substantially interferes with Licensee's operations under this License Agreement, which attachment or execution is not vacated, dismissed, stayed or set aside within a period of sixty (60) days;
(iv) if a material breach or failure to substantially comply with any provisions of this License Agreement by Licensee occurs and is corrected within the time periods specified in Sections 3.3(a)(i) or (a)(ii) hereof, and a repeated violation of the same provision occurs more than two (2) additional times in any twelve (12) month period;
(v) if the Development Agreement is terminated by the Commissioner pursuant to Section 16.2(i), Section 16.2(ii) or Section 16.2 (iii) thereof; or
(vi) if, (x) upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an Event of Default under this License Agreement or the Development Agreement and (y) after Parks has provided written notice to Guarantor that Parks intends to pursue its rights under the Guaranty in accordance with the terms of the Guaranty, Guarantor shall default, beyond any applicable notice or cure periods, in his obligations under that certain Guaranty.
(b) Upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an Event of Default, the Commissioner may in addition to any other remedy which the City may have under this Agreement,
including but not limited to pursuing any rights the City may have under the Guaranty (provided, however, that for the sake of clarity, as set forth in the Guaranty, the Guaranty may not be enforced until the expiration of any applicable notice and cure periods and then only in accordance with the terms of the Guaranty), give notice, in writing, to Licensee terminating this License Agreement, and this License Agreement shall terminate ten (10) days after receipt by Licensee of such termination notice from the Commissioner. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that the Event of Default underlying the termination notice is a default in payment of any unpaid portion of the premiums due for all insurance policies required to be procured and maintained by Licensee under this License Agreement, then in such event, and in such event only, Licensee may cure such Event of Default within such 10 day period. For the purposes of the foregoing sentence, "cure" shall mean (i) payment of all unpaid portions of premiums due, and (ii) the unconditional agreement to defend, indemnify, and hold the City harmless (and the subsequent defense, indemnification, and holding the City harmless) for all Claims that Licensee must indemnify the City for under the terms of Article 23 (even if such Claims arose during any period where Licensee did not have all insurance required pursuant to this Agreement).
(c) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement or the Development Agreement, where the Licensee's failure to fulfill any of its obligations under this License Agreement (including operating a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course) is the result of Force Majeure or any of the other reasons set forth in Section 12.19(g) of this Agreement (where such reasons set forth in Section $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 9 ( g )}$ are not caused by the fault of Licensee), Licensee's obligation to perform shall be extended for a reasonable period of time commensurate with the nature of the event causing the failure of Licensee to fulfill its obligations and no breach or default shall exist and no liquidated or other damages shall be payable with respect to such extended period. In addition, if a Force Majeure event described in Section 2.1(n) results in a delay after Notice to Proceed, subject to compliance with applicable laws, the Concession Period shall be extended for a reasonable period of time commensurate with the nature of the event causing the delay, as reasonably determined by the Commissioner.
(d) Upon the occurrence and during the continuation of an Event of Default resulting from Licensee's failure to perform its repair, maintenance and construction obligations hereunder, Parks may complete all repair, maintenance and construction work required to be performed by Licensee hereunder and may reasonably repair and reasonably alter any portion(s) of the Licensed Premises in such manner as Parks may deem reasonably necessary or advisable without relieving Licensee of any liability under this License Agreement or otherwise affecting any such liability.
(e) Licensee shall have the right to appeal the termination of this License Agreement pursuant to Section 3.3(b) to the Commissioner as follows: for any termination with respect to Section 3.3(a)(i)(b), regarding the Endorsement (an "Endorsement Termination"), Licensee shall have the right to appeal such termination within five (5) days of service of a notice of termination on Licensee. For any termination other than an Endorsement Termination, Licensee shall have the right to appeal such termination with ten (10) days of service of notice of termination on Licensee. All appeals shall be in writing, detailing the grounds for appeal together with relevant documentation, and shall be addressed to the Commissioner, The Arsenal, 830 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10021 and sent by overnight courier or by hand delivery to such address. The filing of any such appeal shall stay termination of this License Agreement and any actions by the City to enforce such termination or recover possession of the Licensed Premises until ten (10) days (or five (5) days with respect to an Endorsement Termination) after Licensee is served with a final determination from the Commissioner with respect to such appeal. Upon receipt of the appeal, the Commissioner may, in

Commissioner's sole discretion, schedule a hearing with respect to such appeal, to be held within thirty (30) days (or five (5) days with respect to an Endorsement Termination) after receipt of the notice of appeal. Licensee shall have the right to present witnesses and other evidence at any such hearing. The Commissioner shall review the claims addressed in Licensee's appeal and, within thirty (30) days (or five (5) days with respect to an Endorsement Termination) after receipt of the notice of appeal or the hearing, if any, issue a final determination, which shall have a rational basis. Such determination by the Commissioner shall be deemed a final determination for purposes of Article 78 of the New York Civil Practice Laws and Rules (the "CPLR") and Licensee shall have the right to challenge any such final determination by a proceeding under Article 78 of the CPLR. In the event that Licensee does not appeal to the Commissioner pursuant to this subdivision, the termination pursuant to Section 3.3(b) shall be the final agency action.
3.4 Except as provided in Section 3.2(b), or as otherwise specifically set forth in this License Agreement or the Development Agreement, upon expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement by Commissioner in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, all rights of Licensee herein shall be forfeited without claim for loss, damages, refund of investment or any other payment whatsoever against Commissioner, Parks or City.
3.5 In the event Commissioner terminates this License Agreement in accordance with Section 3.3(b) above, any property of the Licensee on the Licensed Premises, other than leased property, may be held and used by Commissioner in order to operate the Licensed Premises during the balance of the calendar year and may be held and used thereafter until all indebtedness, if any, of the Licensee hereunder, at the time of termination of this License Agreement, is paid in full. At the time of such termination: Licensee shall have the right to remove its personalty (whether owned or leased) once such indebtedness has been satisfied.
3.6 Subject to Section 3.3(e) and Section 3.7, Licensee agrees that upon the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement, it shall immediately cease all operations pursuant to this License Agreement and shall vacate the Licensed Premises without any further notice by City and without resort to any judicial proceeding by the City. Subject to Section 3.3(e), upon the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement, City reserves the right to take possession of the Licensed Premises.
3.7 Subject to Section 3.3(e), Section 3.5 and Section 13.3 hereof, Licensee shall, within thirty (30) days following the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement ("Removal Period"), remove all personal possessions from the Licensed Premises. All of the provisions of this License Agreement, including but not limited to the insurance and indemnification provisions, shall apply during the Removal Period. Licensee acknowledges that any personal property remaining on the Licensed Premises after the Removal Period is intended by Licensee to be abandoned. Licensee shall remain liable to the City for any damages, including the cost of removal or disposal of property, should Licensee fail to cease operations, vacate the Licensed Premises or remove all possessions from the Licensed Premises during the time prescribed in this Agreement. Pursuant to Section 4.4 herein, City may seize the Security Deposit to recover such damages in part or in whole. If all or any part of the Security Deposit remains unexpended, the City agrees to return such balance to Licensee within sixty (60) days following the Removal Period, provided Licensee is otherwise in compliance with the provisions of this License Agreement.
3.8 Subject to Section 3.3(e), if this License Agreement is terminated as provided in Section 3.3 of this License Agreement, Parks may, without notice, re-enter and repossess the Licensed Premises
using such force for that purpose as may be necessary without being liable to indictment, prosecution or damages therefor and may dispossess Licensee by summary proceedings or otherwise, without court order or other judicial approval.

## 3.9 (a) If this License Agreement is terminated for an Event of Default as provided in Section 3.3 hereof:

(i) Parks may draw down on the Security Deposit in accordance with Section 4.4;
(ii) Licensee shall pay to Parks all License Fees and any other fees then due and payable under this License Agreement by Licensee to Parks prior to the Termination Date (but, for the sake of clarity, not subsequent to the Termination Date); and
(iii) (A) Without limiting Licensee's right to receive License Fee Credits to which it is entitled pursuant to this Agreement or the Development Agreement, and all interest accrued thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, Licensee shall pay to the City, as agreed upon and negotiated liquidated damages, the amount set forth in Section 3.9(a)(iii)(B) commencing on the later of (w) the first day of the month following the month in which the Termination Date occurs or ( x ) the fifth (5th) anniversary of the Concession Commencement Date and ending on the earlier of (i) the date that City finds a replacement operator for the Licensed Premises (irrespective of the Park Snack Bar), or a substantial portion thereof, or otherwise re-opens the Licensed Premises (irrespective of the Park Snack Bar), or a substantial portion thereof, for use by the general public on a nontemporary basis (such date as set forth in this clause (i), the "Replacement/Reopening Date"); or (ii) the date that is three (3) years from the Termination Date (the "Three Year End Date"); or (iii) twenty (20) years from the Concession Commencement Date. The date on which payment of liquidated damages commences, as applicable, under clauses (w) and (x) of this Section 3.9(a)(iii)(A), shall be referred to herein as the "Liquidated Damages Commencement Date". The date on which payment of liquidated damages terminates in accordance with clauses (i), (ii) or (iii) of this Section 3.9(a)(iii)(A), as applicable, shall be referred to herein as the "Liquidated Damages Termination Date". For the sake of clarity, upon the occurrence of any of the events set forth in clauses (i), (ii) or (iii) of this Section 3.9(a)(iii)(A), Licensee shall no longer be obligated to pay any further liquidated damages.
(B) Subject to the remainder of this Section 3.9(a)(iii), the amount of liquidated damages that would be payable between the Liquidated Damages Commencement Date and the Liquidated Damages Termination Date shall be equal to Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars ( $\$ 25,000$ ) per month, due on or before the first day of each calendar month from and after the Liquidated Damages Commencement Date until the Liquidated Damages Termination Date.
(C) Notwithstanding the foregoing in Section 3.9(a)(iii)(B), for the Operating Year in which the Termination Date occurs, if any liquidated damages are due to the City in accordance with this Section 3.9(a)(iii), the amount of the liquidated damages that would be due for the remainder of the Operating Year shall be reduced for such Operating Year on a dollar-for-dollar basis by the amount of any License Fees paid by Licensee for the period prior to the Termination Date for such Operating Year (and the amount of liquidated damages to be paid for such Operating Year shall be spread out in equal monthly installments over the remainder of such Operating Year and each monthly installment shall be payable on the first
day of each calendar month for the remainder of such Operating Year or until the Liquidated Damages Termination Date, if earlier).
(D) For the last installment of liquidated damages due in accordance with this Section 3.9(a)(iii), the amount due shall be prorated based on a thirty (30) day month in the event that the Liquidated Damages Termination Date falls in the middle of a month.
(E) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Section 3.9(a)(iii), for clarity, if this Agreement is terminated prior to the fifth $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$ anniversary of the Concession Commencement Date and the Replacement/Reopening Date or the Three Year End Date occurs prior to the fifth $\left(5^{\text {th }}\right)$ anniversary of the Concession Commencement Date, then Licensee shall not be obligated to pay any liquidated damages.
(F) The liquidated damages set forth in this Section 3.9(a)(iii) are hereby stipulated and agreed by the Parties to be the City's liquidated damages in the event the License Agreement is terminated due to an Event of Default, it being fully understood and agreed by the Parties that it is or would be difficult to estimate or otherwise determine the total amount of damages that would be incurred by the City or Parks should Licensee default in its obligations under this Agreement or Guarantor default in its obligations under the Guaranty. Other than (x) the liquidated damages under this Section 3.9(a)(iii) and (y) the fees due and payable under Section 3.9(a)(i) and (ii) and (z) any damages attributable to any Claims for personal injury, death, property damage or Claims described in Sections 5(a) and 6(b) of Exhibit H for which Licensee is obligated to indemnify (which damages, in each case, shall not include any lost sales or profit or any indirect, consequential, special, exemplary or incidental damages) regardless of whether such damages are attributable to the default that led to the termination of this License Agreement in accordance with its terms or otherwise, Licensee shall not be responsible for any other damages of any kind (including, without limitation, actual, special or consequential, exemplary or incidental or any other form of damages or lost sales or profit of the City or Parks or other expenditures of the City or Parks) or any other fees or expenses, including, without limitation, License Fees; provided that for the sake of clarity, the foregoing in this paragraph is not intended to abrogate Licensee's obligations under this Agreement to indemnify, defend, protect and hold harmless the Indemnitees in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, as applicable, to the extent that such obligations relate to third-party claims.
(G) The Development Agreement shall terminate upon the Replacement/Reopening Date if it has not yet been terminated in accordance with its terms.
(b) For the sake of clarity, the License Agreement shall terminate upon the Replacement/Reopening Date if it has not yet been terminated in accordance with its terms.
3.10 Unless expressly agreed otherwise by the Parties hereto, no receipt of moneys by Parks from Licensee after the termination of this License Agreement, or after the giving of any notice of the termination of this License Agreement, shall reinstate, continue or extend the Term or affect any notice theretofore given to Licensee, or operate as a waiver of the right of Parks to enforce the payment of fees payable by Licensee hereunder or thereafter falling due, or operate as a waiver of the right of Parks to recover possession of the Licensed Premises. After the service of notice to terminate this License Agreement or the commencement of any suit or summary proceedings or after a final order or judgment for the possession of the Licensed Premises, Parks may demand, receive
and collect any moneys due or thereafter falling due without in any manner affecting the notice, proceeding, order, suit or judgment, all such moneys collected being deemed payments on account of the use and occupation of the Licensed Premises or, at the election of Parks, on account of Licensee's liability hereunder.
3.11 If the Development Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms for any reason other than for a default by Licensee thereunder, Licensee shall have the right to terminate this License Agreement on ten (10) days notice to the City. If Licensee terminates this Agreement pursuant to this Section 3.11, the City shall pay the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) hereof, to Licensee, provided, however, that the City shall not owe the Termination Payment in the event the License Agreement is terminated in accordance with its terms as a result of the default of Licensee or in the event the Development Agreement is terminated pursuant to Sections 3.9(a)(iii)(G), 3.9(b), 6.3 or 9.40 hereof or Section 16.3 of the Development Agreement.
3.12 Without limiting Licensee's rights set forth in this Agreement or in the Development Agreement, the following shall constitute a default by the City and Parks under this License Agreement, in the event that: (a) the Nicklaus Subcontract is terminated (except if such termination is due to the actions of or failure to act by any of the Licensee Indemnitees); or (b) the City or Parks materially breach or fail to substantially comply with any of the other material provisions of this Agreement and such default shall continue for more than twenty-five (25) days after Licensee has delivered notice thereof to the City, provided, however, that notwithstanding the foregoing if such default cannot reasonably be cured within such twenty-five (25) day period, Parks and the City shall have such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such default, provided that Parks and the City shall have commenced curing such default within such twenty-five (25) day period and Parks and the City thereafter diligently prosecutes such cure to completion, and provided further that, notwithstanding the foregoing, if such notice alleges a material breach or failure to substantially comply with any of the material provisions of this License Agreement relating to an alleged failure of the City or Parks to comply with any Legal Requirements and City or Parks challenges (through administrative or judicial process, as applicable) such alleged breach or failure in good faith, the City and Parks shall not be deemed to have breached or failed to comply with this License Agreement until such time as the City's or Park's challenge is denied beyond any right of appeal, and if denied (beyond any right of appeal), City and Parks shall have the cure periods stated in this paragraph which shall run from the date of such denial (provided, however, that City and Parks shall comply with all applicable Legal Requirements during the pendency of such challenge)). If the City or Parks shall default under this License Agreement pursuant to clause (a) or (b) above under this Section 3.12, then in any such case, Licensee shall have the right to seek all appropriate legal and equitable remedies and the City shall be liable to Licensee for such amounts to which Licensee may be entitled in law or equity in any action brought by Licensee against the City on account of any of the foregoing in this Section 3.12 and Licensee shall have the right to (in addition to any other remedy which Licensee may have under this Agreement) give notice to the City, in writing, terminating this License Agreement, and this License Agreement shall terminate ten (10) days after receipt by the City of such termination notice from Licensee. For purposes of this provision, a "material breach or failure to substantially comply" shall either be those breaches or failures specifically identified as such in this License Agreement or such other breaches or failures as are material under the circumstances.

## 4. PAYMENT TO CITY

4.1 (a) Commencing in Operating Year 5 through the end of the Concession Period, Licensee shall pay the City license fees ("License Fees") for such Operating Years in an amount equal to the greater of (1) the minimum annual fee ("Minimum Annual Fee") set forth below or (2) (i) the annual percentage of Gross Receipts derived from the operation of the Licensed Premises (the "Percentage Fee"), set forth below, plus (ii) three percent (3\%) of Sublicense Gross Receipts (the "Sublicense Percentage Fee") for such Operating Year:

| Operating Year | Minimum Annual Fee | Percentage Fee and Sublicense Percentage Fee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | \$300,000 | $7 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 6 | \$310,000 | $7 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 7 | \$320,000 | $7 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 8 | \$330,000 | $7 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 9 | \$340,000 | $7 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 10 | \$360,000 | $7 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 11 | \$370,000 | $7 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 12 | \$380,000 | $7 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 13 | \$390,000 | $8 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 14 | \$400,000 | $8 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 15 | \$410,000 | $8 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 16 | \$420,000 | $10 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus $3 \%$ of Sublicense Gross Receipts |


| 17 | $\$ 440,000$ |  | $10 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus 3\% of <br> Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | $\$ 450,000$ |  | $10 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus 3\% of <br> Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 19 | $\$ 460,000$ |  | $10 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus 3\% of <br> Sublicense Gross Receipts |
| 20 | $\$ 470,000$ |  | $10 \%$ of Gross Receipts plus 3\% of <br> Sublicense Gross Receipts |

(b) Licensee shall make deposits to a Capital Reserve Fund (as hereinafter defined) in accordance with Section 10.29 herein.
4.2 (a) The Minimum Annual Fee payable during each Operating Year shall be paid to the City in six (6) equal installments on or before the first ( $\left(^{15}\right.$ ) day of each month between May and October of Operating Years 5 to 20, subject to any License Fee Credits, with accrued interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, to which Licensee may be entitled pursuant to this License Agreement or the Development Agreement.
(b) The amount, if any, by which the Percentage Fee and the Sublicense Percentage Fee for any Operating Year calculated in accordance with Section 4.1(a) based upon Gross Receipts and Sublicense Gross Receipts for such Operating Year exceeds the Minimum Annual Fee for such Operating Year, shall be paid to the City within forty five (45) days after the end of each Operating Year, subject to any License Fee Credits, with accrued interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, to which Licensee may be entitled pursuant to this License Agreement or the Development Agreement.
(c) On or before the thirtieth ( $\left.30^{\text {th }}\right)$ day following the end of each quarter of each Operating Year, Licensee shall submit to Parks, in a format acceptable to Parks, a report of rounds of golf played at the Golf Course during the preceding quarter, signed and verified by an officer of Licensee.
4.3 Late charges shall be assessed on any payment which is overdue for more than ten (10) days. In the event that payment of License Fees or any other charges shall become overdue for ten (10) days following the date on which such fees are due and payable as provided in this License Agreement, a late charge of two percent ( $2 \%$ ) per month on the sums so overdue (computed on a thirty day month) from the date such payments were due and payable until the date such amounts have been paid, shall become immediately due and payable to Parks as liquidated damages for the administrative cost and expenses incurred by Parks by reason of Licensee's failure to make prompt payment, and said late charges shall be payable by Licensee without notice or demand. If such late charges and all arrearages (including prior two percent ( $2 \%$ ) late charges) are not paid by the tenth ( $10^{\text {th }}$ ) day of the month following the month in which such late charges became due, an additional charge of two percent $(2 \%)$ of the total of such late charges and arrears shall be added thereto and shall be payable and collectable with the next monthly License Fee installment. The failure to pay License Fees resulting in the imposition of late charges pursuant to this Section 4.3 three (3) times in any twelve (12) month period shall be presumed to be a failure to substantially comply with the
terms, conditions and covenants of this License Agreement and shall be a default hereunder. No failure by Commissioner to bill Licensee for late charges shall constitute a waiver by Commissioner of such late charges or his right to enforce the provisions of this Section. If any local, state or federal law or regulation which limits the rate of interest which can be charged pursuant to this Section is enacted, the rate of interest set forth in this Section shall not exceed the maximum rate permitted under such law or regulation.

## 4.4 (a) Licensee shall, upon signing this License Agreement, deposit with the City, the

 amount of one hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 100,000)$ as its security deposit ("Security Deposit"). The Security Deposit shall be held by the City, without liability for the City to pay interest thereon, as security for the full, faithful and prompt performance of and compliance with each and every term and condition of this License Agreement to be observed and performed by Licensee. The Security Deposit shall remain with the City throughout the Term of this License Agreement.(b) The Security Deposit shall consist of cash, a certified check payable to the City of New York or a negotiable instrument (other than a letter of credit) payable to bearer or the City of New York which the Comptroller shall approve as being of equal market value with the sum so required. The Security Deposit shall be held by the City, without liability for interest thereon, as security for the full and faithful performance by Licensee of each and every term and condition of this License Agreement on the part of Licensee to be observed and performed. Licensee may submit to the City an interest bearing or non-interest bearing bond (with a minimum market value sufficient to cover the amount of the required Security Deposit) to serve as said Security Deposit. In such event, Licensee shall collect or receive annually any interest or income earned on such negotiable instrument. In connection with any security deposited by Licensee with the City pursuant to this Section 4.4, Licensee shall be charged annual maintenance and transaction fees which the City is or may hereafter be entitled or authorized by law to charge in connection with such deposit. Currently, the annual account maintenance fee is $\$ 300.00$ per year combined with a separate $\$ 20$ fee for each deposit, substitution and release transaction, which fees may be adjusted by the Office of the Comptroller. The City shall not be obligated to place or to keep any cash deposited hereunder in interest-bearing bank accounts.
(c) If any fees or other charges or sums payable by Licensee to the City shall be overdue and unpaid or should the City make payments on behalf of Licensee, or should Licensee fail to perform any of the terms of this License Agreement, then Parks may, at its option, and without prejudice to any other remedy which the City may have on account thereof, after ten (10) days' notice, apply the Security Deposit, or as much thereof as may be necessary to compensate the City, toward the payment of License Fees, late charges, liquidated damages or other sums due from Licensee in accordance with the terms of this License Agreement or towards any loss, damage or expense sustained by the City resulting from such default on the part of Licensee. In such event, Licensee shall restore the Security Deposit to the original sum deposited within ten (10) days after written demand therefor. In the event Licensee shall fully and faithfully comply with all of the terms, covenants and conditions of this License Agreement and pay all License Fees and other charges and sums payable by Licensee to the City, the Security Deposit shall be returned to Licensee, along with any accrued interest thereon, if applicable, following the surrender of the Licensed Premises by Licensee in compliance with the provisions of this License Agreement.
4.5 (a) On or before the thirtieth ( $30^{\text {th }}$ ) day following the end of each quarter of each Operating Year, Licensee shall submit to Parks, in a form satisfactory to Parks, a statement of Gross Receipts, signed and verified by an officer of Licensee, reporting any Gross Receipts generated at the

Licensed Premises during the preceding quarter. Licensee shall also submit a summary report of Gross Receipts for each Operating Year within forty five (45) days of the end of each Operating Year of this License Agreement. Each of the reports referenced in the preceding two sentences shall report the Gross Receipts generated at the Licensed Premises in the categories as specified on Exhibit B and Exhibit B-1 (the "Quarterly Gross Receipts Forms"), including, without limitation, the following categories:

| Greens Fees | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the rounds of golf played at the Licensed Premises; and |
| :---: | :---: |
| Golf Cart Rentals | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the rental of golf carts at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Golf Club Rentals | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the rental of golf clubs at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Lessons | Net Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to golf lessons at the Licensed Premises less expenses for such lessons; and |
| ID Cards | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the sale of resident ID cards at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Club Repair | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the repair of golf clubs at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Locker Rentals | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the rental of lockers at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Pro Shop | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the Pro Shop at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Golf Reservations | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to golf reservations at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Secured Parking | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to secured parking at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Food Service Facility | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the food service facility at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Vending Machines | Net Receipts from placement and operation of vending machines at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Driving Range | Gross Receipts from rates and charges made at the point of sale related to the buckets of balls provided at the Driving Range at the Licensed Premises; and |
| Snack Bar | Gross Receipts from any snack bar(s) (reported separately) at the Licensed Premises operated in addition to the main food service facility; and |
| Permitted Sponsorship Activity | Gross receipts from any sponsorship activity; and |
| Broadcasting | Net Receipts from the broadcasting of on-site golf tournaments at the Licensed Premises (provided, however, the following shall not |


| Sublicense Gross Receipts | All Sublicense Gross Receipts generated at and realized from any <br> Sublicensed Operator's operation at the Licensed Premises. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sublicense Fee | All Sublicense Fees paid to Licensee by any Sublicensed Operator. |
| Miscellaneous | All other Gross Receipts generated at and realized from Licensee's <br> operation of the Licensed Premises. |

be included within Net Receipts (a) any pass-through fees or costs collected by Licensee on behalf of a third-party broadcaster and (b) any income received by Licensee in connection with broadcasts of "The Apprentice" or any similar successor television show).

All Sublicense Gross Receipts generated at and realized from any Sublicensed Operator's operation at the Licensed Premises.

All Sublicense Fees paid to Licensee by any Sublicensed Operator.
operation of the Licensed Premises.
(b) Licensee shall indicate on its statement of Gross Receipts whether or not these amounts are inclusive of sales tax collected.
(c) Licensee is solely responsible for the payment of all federal, state and local taxes applicable to the operation of the Licensed Premises. With the exception of federal, state and City sales tax, no such applicable taxes, including but not limited to the New York City Commercial Rent Tax, may be deducted from Gross Receipts or from the compensation due under this License Agreement.
(d) In the event Licensee sublicenses any operations to a Sublicensed Operator in accordance with Section 18 hereof, Licensee shall not report and include in the Gross Receipts on which payment of the Percentage Fee is based, the Sublicense Gross Receipts, but shall include the Sublicense Fees payable by the Sublicensed Operator to Licensee pursuant to such sublicense agreement and shall separately report Sublicense Gross Receipts.
4.6 On or before the ninetieth $\left(90^{\text {th }}\right)$ day following the end of each Operating Year, Licensee shall submit to Parks an income and expense statement pertaining to operations under this License Agreement, signed and verified by an officer of Licensee. The reports referenced in the preceding sentence shall be in such format as Parks shall reasonably approve.
4.7 (a) Licensee, during the Term of this License Agreement, shall maintain adequate internal control systems and shall keep complete and accurate records, books of account and data, including daily sales and receipt records, which shall show in detail the total business transacted by Licensee and the Gross Receipts therefrom and the Grow-In Costs. This internal control system must include maintaining detailed sales information from each sales transaction. Specifically, sales information must be recorded electronically, via a point-of-sale system, and must include details on each sales transaction, including the item(s) sold, time, date of sale and price of the item sold. Licensee must also document each Licensee Special Event (as hereinafter defined) via signed sequentially pre-printed, pre-numbered contracts that capture event information, including the time and date of the event, a range of the number of attendees, if available, and required payment. Licensee must also establish a dedicated bank account for all deposits related to this Concession's revenue. All accounting and internal control related records, including the detailed sales information described above, shall be maintained for a minimum of six (6) years from the date of creation of the record. Additionally, all books and records maintained pursuant to this License Agreement shall be conveniently segregated from other business matters of Licensee and shall include, but not be limited to: all federal, state and local tax returns and schedules of the Licensee, records of daily bank deposits of the entire receipts from transactions in, at, on or from the Licensed Premises; sales slips,
daily dated point of sale system receipts, and sales books; and duplicate bank deposit slips and bank statements.
(b) Licensee shall use such accounting and internal control methods and procedures and keep such additional books and records as are reasonably acceptable to Parks and/or the Comptroller. Parks acknowledges that, subject to the prior approval of Parks, the Licensee's point of sales system may be modified to accommodate the fact that internet, cable TV and telephone service will not be provided to the Snack Bars; nonetheless, Licensee agrees that such modified point-of-sale system shall provide the sales information required for the point-of-sales system under Section 4.7(a). Parks and/or the Comptroller shall have the right to examine the recordkeeping procedures of the Licensee prior to the commencement of the Term of this License Agreement, and at any time thereafter, in order to assure that the procedures are adequate to reveal the true, correct and entire business conducted by the Licensee. Licensee shall maintain each year's records, books of account and data for a minimum of six (6) years from the date of creation of the record.
(c) The failure or refusal of the Licensee to furnish any of the statements required to be furnished under this Section within thirty (30) days after notice, the failure or refusal of the Licensee to maintain adequate internal controls within thirty (30) days after notice (or such longer period as may be reasonably determined by Parks to be necessary to implement such internal controls provided that Licensee commences actions to implement such controls within thirty (30) days after notice), or to keep any of the records as required by this Section or the existence, more than two (2) times in any five (5) Year period, of any unexplained discrepancy in the amount of fees required to be paid hereunder, as disclosed by audit conducted by Parks or the Comptroller, of more than five percent ( $5 \%$ ) in any two out of three consecutive months or more than ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) in one month (except in the case of lost or missing months), shall be presumed to be a failure to substantially comply with the terms and conditions of this License Agreement and a default hereunder, which shall entitle Parks, at its option, to terminate this License Agreement in accordance with and subject to the terms of Section 3.3. The failure or refusal of Licensee to furnish the required statements, to keep the required records or to maintain adequate internal controls shall authorize Parks or the Comptroller to make reasonable projections of the amount of Gross Receipts which would have been disclosed had the required statements been furnished or the required records maintained, based upon such extrinsic factors as the auditors reasonably deem appropriate in making such projections. With respect to audits or other reviews conducted by Parks pertaining to the calculation of percentage of gross receipts payments during a period with missing or lost records, Parks shall have the right to use the highest grossing month over the past five years (multiplied by the applicable CPI) to replace any missing monthly records, provided that the prior year's month is the same month for which records are missing. For example, if April 2007's gross receipts are missing and the highest April gross receipts occurred in April 2004, then April 2007's "revised" gross receipts shall be calculated using April 2004's figures multiplied by the applicable CPI increases during that period.

Licensee shall pay any assessment based upon such reasonable projections, net of any previous payments made by Licensee, within fifteen (15) days after receipt thereof, and the failure to do so shall constitute an additional substantial violation of this License Agreement and a default hereunder.
4.8 In the event Parks determines that Licensee or Licensee's employees, agents, sublicensees, or subcontractors have breached any of the provisions contained in Sections 4.5 through Section 4.7 hereinabove Licensee may be subject to a charge of $\$ 500.00$ with respect to each incident of breach
as liquidated damages, provided that Licensee has been given reasonable notice of such breach and has willfully failed to cure within thirty ( 30 ) days of such notice.
4.9 License Fees and other amounts payable hereunder shall be made payable (notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein in this Agreement) to the City of New York Department of Parks \& Recreation and delivered or mailed in time to arrive by the due date at the following address:

City of New York Department of Parks \& Recreation, Revenue Division
The Arsenal - Room 407
830 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10065
Attn: Director of Concessions
4.10 Licensee shall be entitled to License Fee Credits as set forth in this License Agreement and the Development Agreement. In the event that Licensee shall be entitled to any License Fee Credits, Licensee shall be entitled to interest on the amount of such License Fee Credits at the Interest Rate, compounded monthly, from the date that Licensee first becomes entitled to such License Fee Credit until the date that Licensee is first able to apply such License Fee Credit against License Fees or other amounts payable under this License Agreement, provided, however, to the extent Licensee is required to provide documentation of costs to Parks, interest shall not accrue until thirty (30) days after Parks' receipt of documentation satisfactory to Parks. To the extent that at any time the License Fee Credit that Licensee is entitled to exceeds the License Fees payable hereunder during the next sixty (60) days, amounts in the Capital Reserve Fund shall be paid to Licensee, up to the amount of the License Fee Credit, and all License Fees and other amounts thereafter payable under this License Agreement shall be applied to replenish the Capital Reserve Fund, up to the amount of Capital Reserve Funds paid to Licensee on account of the License Fee Credit. Upon expiration or termination of this Agreement for any reason, the City shall pay all outstanding License Fee Credits, and all accrued interest thereon, if any, to Licensee within sixty (60) days after such termination or expiration.

## 5. RIGHT TO AUDIT

5.1 Parks, the Comptroller and other duly authorized representatives of the City shall have the right, upon reasonable notice and during business hours, to examine, audit or photocopy the records, books of account and data of the Licensee for the purpose of examination, audit, review or any purpose they reasonably deem necessary. Licensee shall also permit upon reasonable notice, and at reasonable times, the inspection by Parks, Comptroller or other duly authorized representatives of the City of any equipment used by Licensee, including, but not limited to, point of sale equipment, and all reports or data generated from or by the equipment. Licensee shall cooperate fully and assist Parks, the Comptroller or any other duly authorized representative of the City in any examination or audit thereof. In the event that the Licensee's books and records, including supporting documentation, are situated at a location 50 miles or more from the City, the records must be brought to the City for examination and audit or Licensee must pay the food, board and travel costs incidental to two auditors representing the City, conducting such examination or audit at said location.
5.2 The failure or refusal of the Licensee, after Parks has given reasonable notice, to permit, during reasonable business hours, Parks, the Comptroller or any other duly authorized representative of the City to audit and examine the Licensee's records, books of account and data, or the interference in any way by the Licensee in such an audit or examination is presumed to be a failure to
substantially comply with the terms and conditions of this License Agreement and a default hereunder which shall entitle Parks to terminate this License Agreement subject to and in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.3 of this License Agreement.
5.3 Notwithstanding anything in this License Agreement, the Parties acknowledge and agree that the powers, duties and obligations of the Comptroller pursuant to the provisions of the New York City Charter shall not be diminished, compromised or abridged in any way.

## 6. GUARANTY: ORDER OF APPLICATION OF PAYMENT; CREDITOR-DEBTOR PROCEEDINGS

6.1 Simultaneous with the execution of this Agreement, Licensee shall have secured and delivered to the City a Guaranty executed by Guarantor which shall have been authorized, executed and delivered by the Guarantor.
6.2 In the event any bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or other creditor-debtor proceedings shall be instituted by or against the Licensee or its successors or assigns, or the Guarantor, if any, subject to the requirements and limitations set forth under the United States Bankruptcy Code, as well as New York State's debtor-creditor laws, the Security Deposit shall be deemed to be applied first to the payment of License Fees and/or other charges due the City for all periods prior to the institution of such proceedings and the balance, if any, of the Security Deposit may be retained by the City in partial liquidation of the City's damages hereunder.
6.3 Without limiting Licensee's other rights or remedies under this Agreement, if for any reason through no fault of Licensee, construction of the Required Capital Improvements or Additional Capital Improvements other than the Clubhouse or operation of the Licensed Premises is no longer reasonably economically feasible for Licensee and/or it is not reasonably possible for Licensee to operate the Licensed Premises as a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course for a profit, and Licensee has completed the Grow-In and construction of the Clubhouse, Licensee shall provide Parks with written documentation of same, and thereafter Licensee and Parks shall meet as soon as possible after notice from Licensee to Parks requesting a meeting (and in any event no later than within five (5) business days after such notice from Licensee to Parks) and cooperate in good faith to agree to an equitable solution. While the Parties shall use good faith efforts to agree to an equitable solution as quickly as possible, Parks shall provide its proposed solution no later than fifteen (15) business days from the Parties' meeting in accordance with the preceding sentence. Without limiting the scope of potential equitable solutions, the Parties recognize that an equitable solution may, depending on the circumstances and subject to compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, include, among other things, modifying the Guaranty to limit Guarantor's obligations thereunder, providing reimbursements to Licensee, providing License Fee Credits, reducing the Minimum Annual Fee, and allowing Licensee to operate and/or maintain the Licensed Premises to a standard lower than that required under the License Agreement; provided that an equitable solution shall not include lowering the standard of operation or maintenance below that of a "first class" golf course facility unless Parks and Licensee mutually agree to such reduction in each such parties sole discretion. Nothing in this Section shall in and of itself create a cause of action for Licensee, provided, however, that in the event Parks does not use good faith efforts to agree to an equitable solution, as set forth above, Licensee shall have the right to seek all appropriate legal and equitable remedies arising from such failure to cooperate. In the event that the Parties, acting in good faith, are unable to reach an equitable solution, Parks agrees that it will, at Licensee's sole option, use good faith efforts to resolicit for the Concession (or substantial portion thereof) or for another use by the
general public of the Licensed Premises (or substantial portion thereof) provided however that Licensee shall continue to perform the Concession obligations during the Term that are applicable to Licensee as set forth in this Agreement and the Development Agreement until such time as a new operator of the Licensed Premises (or substantial portion thereof) is selected by Parks. Upon selection of a new operator, this License Agreement and the Development Agreement shall immediately terminate and Licensee shall be released from all obligations hereunder other than (x) for License Fees and any other fees then due and payable under this License Agreement by Licensee prior to the date of termination (but, for the sake of clarity, not subsequent to the date of termination) and (y) any damages attributable to any Claims that accrued prior to the Termination Date for personal injury, death, property damage or Claims described in Sections 5(a) and 6(b) of Exhibit H for which Licensee is obligated to indemnify (which damages, in each case, shall not include any lost sales or profit or any indirect, consequential, special, exemplary or incidental damages); provided that for the sake of clarity, the foregoing in this paragraph is not intended to abrogate Licensee's obligations under this Agreement to indemnify, defend, protect and hold harmless the Indemnitees in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, as applicable, to the extent that such obligations relate to third-party claims.

## 7. UTILITIES

7.1 Except as otherwise provided for herein, including without limitation in Section 7.3 relating to the payment of water and sewer charges, and Section 7.6, beginning on the Concession Commencement Date, Licensee shall directly pay for all utility costs associated with the operation and maintenance of the Golf Course Facilities (and the Park Snack Bar after it is delivered to Licensee pursuant to and in accordance with the Development Agreement) and the construction, operation and maintenance of the Clubhouse at the Licensed Premises. For the avoidance of doubt, except for the Licensee's responsibility for the cost of temporary utility connections and use of Temporary Utilities during the Interim Period as set forth in Section 9.1 of the Development Agreement, Licensee shall not be responsible for Utilities prior to the Concession Commencement Date, and subject to the terms set forth in Section 9.1 of the Development Agreement, Parks shall directly pay for all Utilities prior to the Concession Commencement Date. "Utilities", as described in this License Agreement, shall include, but shall not be limited to, electricity, natural gas, telephone, water and sanitary and storm sewer.
7.2 Licensee shall have the right to provide separate metering for its sublicensees, and upon notice to the City of such separate metering, City shall accept separate payments for utilities from such sublicensees, provided that it is expressly understood that such payment arrangement is made solely as an accommodation to Licensee and in no way relieves Licensee of its obligation to ensure full payment of such costs in accordance with this License Agreement.
7.3 Except for water and sewage costs associated with the construction and operation of any banquet or catering facility that may be constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises, in Licensee's sole discretion (which costs shall be the sole responsibility of Licensee), Parks shall be responsible for payment of all water and sewage costs incurred at the Licensed Premises during the Term.
7.4 (a) Except for and without limiting Parks' and the City's obligations for maintenance, repair and replacement of utility systems, connections, and equipment or any other materials or items under the Development Agreement, if any, and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee, at its sole cost and expense, shall maintain, repair and replace as needed (i) all utility systems, connections and equipment or any other materials or items
located at or above the surface of the Licensed Premises, and (ii) based upon and subject to the City's compliance with the covenants of this Section 7.4 and the representations of the City set forth in this Section 7.4 being true, accurate and correct, (x) the irrigation and related systems and any other golf related utility system, connection or equipment or any other golf related materials or items located below the surface of the Licensed Premises and above the layer of municipal solid waste, so long as such maintenance, repairs and replacement can be performed using ordinary means and methods without having to disturb or excavate the layer of municipal solid waste (provided however that Licensee shall perform such maintenance, repairs and replacement even when such work requires the disturbance or excavation of the layer of municipal solid waste (and in such cases Licensee shall additionally dispose of the municipal solid waste, as applicable, in compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, the DEC Part 360 Permit (as applicable), the DEC Deed (as applicable) and all applicable Environmental Laws) to the extent it becomes necessary to disturb or excavate the layer of municipal solid waste due to the negligence or willful misconduct of the Licensee in performing its obligations under this Agreement or the Development Agreement), and (y) the electric conduits, wires, connections and equipment associated with the irrigation and related systems and any other golf related utility system, connection or equipment, which may be within or partially within the municipal solid waste, so long as such maintenance, repairs and replacement can, in each case, be performed using ordinary means and methods without having to disturb or excavate the layer of municipal solid waste (provided however that Licensee shall perform such maintenance, repairs and replacement even when such work requires the disturbance or excavation of the layer of municipal solid waste (and in such cases Licensee shall additionally dispose of the municipal solid waste, as applicable, in compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, the DEC Part 360 Permit (as applicable), the DEC Deed (as applicable) and all applicable Environmental Laws) to the extent it becomes necessary to disturb or excavate the layer of municipal solid waste due to the negligence or willful misconduct of the Licensee in performing its obligations under this Agreement or the Development Agreement).
(b) The City represents and warrants to Licensee that (i) all irrigation and related systems and all utility systems (other than electric conduits and wires) are located above the level of the municipal solid waste, except that certain connections and equipment associated with the utility systems may be partially within the municipal solid waste, but are in all cases located within and accessible by vaults so that maintenance of such connections and equipment will not require any disturbance or excavation of municipal solid waste; (ii) all electric conduits and wires are accessible by manholes and maintenance of such electric conduits and wires will not require any disturbance or excavation of municipal solid waste; and (iii) orange snow fencing has been installed over the layer of municipal solid waste in all areas of the Licensed Premises where the fill over the layer of municipal solid waste is less than five feet.
(c) Prior to the Concession Commencement Date, the City shall provide to Licensee "as built" plans certified by the Engineer (as defined in the Development Agreement) which the City agrees shall show the underground location of the irrigation and related systems, connections and equipment and all other utility systems, connections and equipment and the level of fill over the layer of municipal solid waste throughout the Licensed Premises. Licensee's maintenance, repair and replacement obligations required pursuant to Section 7.4(a) above for any items below the surface of the Licensed Premises shall not commence until the City has delivered and Licensee has received the "as built" plans pursuant to this Section 7.4 and such maintenance, repair and replacement obligations shall remain with the City until such time, provided, however, prior to receipt of the "as built" plans, Licensee shall have the right but shall not have the obligation to perform such maintenance, repairs and replacements in Licensee's sole discretion.
(d) Licensee shall not be deemed negligent for purposes of this Agreement or the Development Agreement if (x) Licensee causes or exacerbates an Environmental Condition and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions or (y) disturbs the municipal solid waste, in each case of the foregoing clauses ( x ) or ( y ) based upon its reliance upon the City's representations contained in, or the "as built" plans delivered by the City, pursuant to this Section 7.4, and in each case of the foregoing clauses ( $x$ ) and (y), the City shall be responsible for such Environmental Condition and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions and for the municipal solid waste which has been disturbed or excavated and, in each case, any liability with respect thereto. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement and without limiting the City's obligations under this Agreement, the City shall be responsible for maintaining, repairing and replacing any irrigation or related systems, connections or equipment or any other utility systems, connections or equipment or any other materials or items (i) that cannot be maintained, repaired or replaced by Licensee based on the "as built" plans delivered by the City pursuant to this Section 7.4 without going into the municipal solid waste, unless Licensee otherwise has the obligation to so maintain, repair or replace under this Agreement regardless of the presence of such systems, connections or equipment in the municipal solid waste; or (ii) which Licensee is unable to locate based on the "as built" plans delivered by the City pursuant to this Section 7.4 despite Licensee's reasonable efforts, unless the City locates it for Licensee in which case Licensee will have all of the obligations applicable to Licensee as set forth in this License Agreement.
(e) If in connection with Licensee's construction of the Clubhouse, (i) Licensee disturbs or excavates the layer of municipal solid waste or (ii) Licensee relocates any portions of any utility system, connection or equipment to an area that requires work within the layer of municipal solid waste, then Licensee shall perform such activities in compliance with all applicable Legal Requirements, which includes the disposal of municipal solid waste, as applicable, in compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, the DEC Part 360 Permit (as applicable), the DEC Deed (as applicable), and all applicable Environmental Laws.
(f) In no event shall Licensee be responsible for any maintenance, repairs or replacements of any utility systems, connections or equipment or any other materials or items located below the surface of the Licensed Premises that are operated and/or controlled by any governmental agency or authority other than Parks, including the Emerson Avenue concrete sewer operated by the DEP (as hereinafter defined).
7.5 Licensee shall comply with all Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP") directives and restrictions concerning droughts or water conservation. In the event that by reason of such drought or water conservation directives or restrictions, Licensee shall incur any costs and expenses in excess of thirty one thousand two hundred fifty dollars $(\$ 31,250.00)$ to prevent material damage to the Golf Course or to protect the tees and greens, Licensee shall be entitled to use amounts in the Capital Reserve Fund to pay such costs and expenses and all License Fees and other amounts thereafter payable under this License Agreement shall be applied to replenish the Capital Reserve Fund, up to the amount of Capital Reserve Funds paid to Licensee on account of such costs and expenses. If amounts in the Capital Reserve Fund are insufficient to reimburse Licensee for such costs and expenses, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in an amount equal to such costs and expenses, with interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof. In the event that any portion of the Golf Course is unplayable as a result of the drought or the inability to irrigate the Golf Course because of any drought or water conservation restrictions or if play during such drought would result in material damage to the Golf Course, then, subject to compliance with applicable laws, the Concession Period shall be extended on an equitable basis to allow Licensee to
recoup the reasonable value of any such interruption of Licensee's business operations as contemplated herein and realize the full value of its twenty (20) year Concession Period. Licensee may propose and submit for the Commissioner's approval a plan to equitably address the impact of the closure in accordance with the foregoing.
7.6 Parks agrees to use good faith efforts to obtain electricity for the Licensed Premises from NYS Power Authority ("PASNY") and to bill Licensee for the electricity costs at the rates payable by Parks to PASNY.

## 8. INFLAMMABLES

8.1 Except for gasoline or diesel fuel properly stored in accordance with permits issued by the FDNY (as hereinafter defined) or de minimus amounts or painting and cleaning substances and other substances which are required for the daily operation of the Licensed Premises, Licensee shall not use or permit the storage of any illuminating oils, oil lamps, turpentine, benzene, naphtha, or similar substances or explosives of any kind or any substances or items prohibited in the standard policies of insurance companies in the State of New York.

## 9. OPERATIONS

9.1 (a) During the Concession Period, Licensee, in accordance with this License Agreement, shall operate the Concession for the use and enjoyment of the general public during such seasons and times of day and in such manner as set forth herein and as permitted by the laws, rules, regulations and orders of government agencies having jurisdiction. Licensee shall be permitted, in its sole discretion and without the approval of Parks and/or the City, to close the Licensed Premises, or any part thereof, (i) at any date or time, between December $1^{\text {st }}$ and March $1^{\text {st }}$ of each Year; (ii) at any date or time in connection with adverse weather conditions (i.e., if the temperature is under forty (40) degrees or the weather may cause damage to the Golf Course in Licensee's reasonable discretion), (iii) at any date or time in connection with required course maintenance, (iv) in the event of Force Majeure that affects the Licensed Premises. In the event of an emergency, Licensee may close the Licensed Premises, or any part thereof, without prior notice to or approval of Parks and/or the City, but shall give Parks reasonably prompt notice of such closing. Nothing in this Section 9.1(a) shall limit the Licensee's other obligations under the License Agreement, including maintenance obligations and the obligation to pay the License Fees.
(b) Licensee shall provide the necessary number of personnel having the requisite skills together with the necessary equipment and consumable supplies and shall perform or cause to be performed the following services at the Licensed Premises:
(i) Operate and maintain the Golf Course Facilities (and the Park Snack Bar after it is delivered to Licensee pursuant to and in accordance with the Development Agreement);
(ii) Design, construct, operate and maintain the Clubhouse;

Perform such ongoing and preventive maintenance activities reasonably necessary to maintain the Licensed Premises in good order and repair (and consistent with a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and to a quality level consistent with the reasonable standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf courses), and in conformance with any and all Environmental Laws as they relate to general
maintenance and care of the Licensed Premises (except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement)) and, as applicable, in conformance with the Maintenance Guidelines (as hereinafter defined) and the Grow-In Standards (as defined in the Development Agreement).
9.2 Subject to Licensee's rights to close the Licensed Premises, or any part thereof, in accordance with Section 9.1(a), Licensee shall provide an adequate number of staff members possessing the appropriate qualifications to conduct all its operations at the Licensed Premises seven (7) days a week for such hours as the Commissioner shall reasonably approve. Licensee's employees at the Licensed Premises shall be qualified for their respective functions and shall be made to wear appropriate uniforms, subject to approval of the Commissioner.
9.3 (a) (i) Licensee shall be entitled, in each case without prior notice to or the approval of either Parks and/or the City, to conduct tournaments, outings, league play and junior or youth programs at the Licensed Premises; provided that (A) with respect to tournaments and outings only (i.e. not league play and junior or youth programs), not more than twenty percent ( $20 \%$ ) of the amount of available starting times on Mondays thru Fridays in any Operating Year may be used for such tournaments and/or outings without Parks prior written approval, (B) Licensee shall obtain Parks' prior written approval, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed: (i) for complete day closures of the Golf Course to the public on any day for tournaments, outings, league play and junior or youth programs and (ii) for the closure of the Golf Course to the public at any time on Saturdays or Sundays for tournaments, outings, league play and junior or youth programs. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no prior notice to or the approval of either Parks and/or the City shall be required for professional or amateur tournaments or tournaments sponsored by the City or Parks.
(ii) During (x) hours and days that the Golf Course is not ordinarily open to the public, or (y) hours and days that the Golf Course is open to the public, so long as some food service facility is available to the public, Licensee shall be entitled to conduct special events in any banquet or other catering facility ("Licensee Special Events"), in each case without prior notice to or the approval of either Parks and/or the City, except that (A) Licensee shall provide notice to Parks of all events of five hundred (500) guests or more; and (B) if there are more than five (5) Licensee Special Events of five hundred (500) guests or more in any month, Licensee shall obtain the approval of Parks for any such Licensee Special Events in excess of five (5) occurring in such month.
(iii) In the event that Parks' approval is required in connection with this Section 9.3(a), Parks shall respond to any approval request from Licensee within five (5) business days of receipt of such request or such request shall be deemed approved.
(b) Subject to Licensee's rights to conduct certain events without notice to or approval of the City and/or Parks under Section 9.3(a), Licensee shall notify the Commissioner within five (5) business days after Licensee tentatively schedules any Licensee Special Event at the Licensed Premises (e.g., private parties) that would completely close the Golf Course or all of the food service facilities to the general public during hours that the Licensed Premises would ordinarily be open to the public. Except as permitted in this License Agreement, including, without limitation, Section $\underline{\mathbf{9 . 3 ( a )}}$, in no event shall Licensee completely close the Golf Course or all of the food service facilities to conduct Licensee Special Events during public hours of use except when such activities are specifically approved by Parks. Parks shall respond to any approval request from Licensee within
five (5) days of receipt of such request or such request shall be deemed approved. Except as provided in Section 9.1(a), any complete closure of the Golf Course or all of the food service facilities which Licensee seeks to schedule during public hours of use must be announced to the public, by posting notification of such closure, at the Licensed Premises at least one (1) week in advance. In addition, Parks may make use of the Licensed Premises, as provided in Section 16 herein. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Licensee may close any banquet or other catering facility at the Licensed Premises to conduct a Licensee Special Event during public hours of use without notification to the Commissioner, Parks' or the City's approval or announcement to the public.
(c) Any and all banquet or catering use of the food service facility must be booked for events primarily related to dining and/or sporting activities. Events for which dining is merely incidental to the primary activities during the event is prohibited. For example, and without limiting permissible events, the events listed on Exhibit J are permitted but a training seminar for business people featuring only a light lunch and other food service is prohibited.
9.4 (a) The City shall deliver to Licensee all Certificates of Occupancy that may be required for the Golf Course, the Maintenance Building (as defined in Schedule 2 of the Development Agreement) and the Golf Course Snack Bar and all other portions of the Golf Course Facilities constructed by the City or Parks prior to and as a condition of the Concession Commencement Date and all Certificates of Occupancy that may be required for the Park Snack Bar promptly upon completion thereof. Except as set forth in the prior sentence, Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, obtain all licenses and permits, including any necessary Certificate(s) of Occupancy, that may be required to operate the Clubhouse and any other structures (temporary or otherwise) constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises in accordance with applicable law.
(b) Without otherwise limiting the City's or Parks' obligations under this License Agreement and the Development Agreement and subject to Section 9.41, Licensee shall operate and occupy the Licensed Premises in accordance with all applicable laws and, as applicable, the provisions of the DEC Part 360 Permit (including any declaration of covenants and restrictions recorded against the Licensed Premises pursuant to the DEC Part 360 Permit, as applicable (such declaration, the "DEC Deed"), any informal approval from DEC as described in Section 1.2(d), the New Permit, and any other licenses or permits required by Legal Requirements.
(c) In the event that, at the completion of the Clubhouse, the Licensee does not have a Certificate of Occupancy for the Clubhouse because one is not legally required, then Licensee shall obtain a "Letter of No Objection" from the Department of Buildings. Furthermore, in the event that, at the completion of the Clubhouse, or at any time thereafter during the Concession Period, the Licensee does not have a Certificate of Occupancy for the Clubhouse, where required, and does not have a "Letter of No Objection", Licensee may conduct its operations in temporary structures that have been approved by Parks in its reasonable discretion. Licensee shall obtain any necessary licenses and permits for such temporary structures before the commencement of operations in such structures. However, if in such situation, the Licensee nonetheless chooses not to conduct operations of the Clubhouse in temporary structures, then such operations shall not take place unless and until Licensee has obtained the necessary Certificate(s) of Occupancy, if required, or "Letter(s) of No Objection". In the event that, at the Concession Commencement Date with respect to the Golf Course Facilities or the completion date with respect to the Clubhouse, or at any time thereafter during the Concession Period, the Department of Buildings rescinds any Letter of No Objection through no fault of Licensee, Parks and Licensee shall attempt in good faith to negotiate a mutually
acceptable solution. Nothing in this Section 9.4 shall limit the Licensee's other obligations under the License Agreement, including maintenance obligations and the obligation to pay the License Fees.
(d) The City represents and warrants that (i) attached as Schedule 9 to the Development Agreement is an accurate and complete copy of the DEC Part 360 Permit and that as of the date of this Agreement, the DEC Part 360 Permit has not been modified or amended in any way, (ii) it has submitted a timely application to renew and modify the current DEC Part 360 Permit, which expired on November 30, 2008, (iii) the application is being reviewed by the DEC, and (iv) notwithstanding the expiration of the existing DEC Part 360 Permit, since Parks has submitted an application to renew and modify the existing DEC Part 360 Permit, the existing DEC Part 360 Permit remains in full force and effect. The City agrees to provide to Licensee drafts of the renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit and any DEC Deed prior to finalizing each such document with the DEC and with sufficient time to allow Licensee to review each such document. Licensee shall have the right (but not the obligation) to propose revisions to be included in the final renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit and/or any DEC Deed, and the City shall reasonably consider such proposed revisions and, in the City's reasonable discretion, propose such revisions to the DEC and/or include such proposed revisions in the renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit and/or any DEC Deed, subject to the approval of the DEC. In addition, subject to Sections $1.2(\mathrm{c})(\mathrm{iiii}), \mathbf{1 . 2 ( c ) ( i v ) , 1 . 2 ( c ) ( v )}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 ( d )}$, the City and Parks represent that the execution and delivery of this Agreement and the Development Agreement by the City and Parks, and compliance with the provisions thereof, do not and will not conflict with or constitute a violation of or default under any provision of applicable law, charter, ordinance or regulation or, to the extent of the City's and Parks' knowledge, of any material agreement, judgment, injunction, order, decree or other instrument binding upon the City or Parks or result in the creation or imposition of any lien or encumbrance on any asset of the City or Parks. The City acknowledges that Licensee is relying upon the truth, accuracy and correctness of the City's representations contained in this Section $9.4(\mathrm{~d})$. If at any time any of the City's representations contained in this Section 9.4(d) are found to be materially untrue, inaccurate or incorrect, such occurrence shall be deemed a material breach or failure to substantially comply with this Agreement and Licensee shall be entitled to its rights and remedies pursuant to Section $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ of this Agreement.
(e) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, in the event that any agreements, permits, licenses, judgments, injunctions, charters, orders, decrees or other instruments binding upon the City, Parks, or the Licensed Premises (or any part thereof) in effect as of the date of this Agreement have not been disclosed to Licensee by the City, adversely affects Licensee's GrowIn or operation of the Licensed Premises or Licensee's construction of the Required Capital Improvements (including adverse economic effects), Licensee shall provide Parks with written documentation of the same, and thereafter Licensee and Parks shall meet as soon as possible after notice from Licensee to Parks requesting a meeting (and in any event no later than within five (5) business days after such notice from Licensee to Parks) and cooperate in good faith to agree to an equitable solution to minimize such adverse effect, it being acknowledged and agreed by the Parties that Licensee is not assuming the risk with respect to such undisclosed items. While the Parties shall use good faith efforts to agree to an equitable solution as quickly as possible, Parks shall provide its proposed solution no later than fifteen (15) business days from the Parties' meeting in accordance with the preceding sentence. Without limiting the scope of potential equitable solutions, the Parties recognize that an equitable solution may, depending on the circumstances and subject to compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, include, among other things, providing License Fee Credits, reducing the Minimum Annual Fee, and allowing Licensee to operate and/or maintain the Licensed Premises to a standard lower than that required under the License Agreement; provided that an equitable solution shall not include lowering the standard of operation or maintenance below that of a
"first class" golf course facility unless Parks and Licensee mutually agree to such reduction in each such Party's sole discretion. If Licensee acts in good faith to reach an equitable solution and the Parties are unable to reach an equitable solution, Licensee shall have the right to seek all appropriate legal and equitable remedies.
9.5 Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, print, frame, and prominently display in a place and manner designated by Commissioner, the current approved schedule of operating days, hours, fees and rates. Annexed hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit D are the Schedules of Operating Hours and Fees for the first Operating Year in which the Licensed Premises is open to the general public. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, should Licensee choose not to charge the maximum allowable prices, this shall in no way be interpreted as a waiver of Licensee's right to charge such maximum allowable prices at any other time. Any discounts on Greens Fees for a particular category, as set forth on Exhibit D, shall apply uniformly to all persons seeking to play in such category. Licensee is permitted to increase Greens Fees annually as set forth on Exhibit D. Any other changes in such approved Schedules of Operating Hours and Fees at any time during the Concession Period must be previously approved in writing by the Commissioner. In addition, Licensee shall give the Commissioner prior written notice and obtain approval of any plans to alter approved operating hours due solely to unprofitable operations. If the request is granted by the Commissioner, the Licensee will continue to be responsible for all other obligations under the License Agreement, including maintenance obligations and the payment of all License Fees.
9.6 Licensee acknowledges that pursuant to Section 4(A) of the Nicklaus Subcontract, Nicklaus Design has the right, at Nicklaus Design's cost, to have the Golf Course inspected by Nicklaus Design's staff agronomist or an independent agronomist selected by Nicklaus Design at any time and from time to time during the Term in order to review and assist in resolving agronomic issues which, in the reasonable opinion of Nicklaus Design, may adversely affect the proper grow-in of turf surfaces or otherwise impact the ability to maintain the quality of the Golf Course as required under the Nicklaus Subcontract. In order to effectuate this provision, Licensee agrees that it shall provide access to the Licensed Premises upon reasonable notice during normal business hours to such staff agronomist and/or independent agronomist. City shall use good faith efforts to cause such staff agronomist and/or independent agronomist to conduct its activities so as to avoid interference with Licensee's operations at the Licensed Premises.
9.7 Licensee warrants that all services provided, merchandise sold and vending operations provided pursuant to this License Agreement shall be of high grade and good quality. Licensee shall operate the Golf Course Snack Bar (and the Park Snack Bar after it is delivered to Licensee in accordance with the Development Agreement) and any other food service facility at the Licensed Premises in such a manner as to maintain a passing health inspection rating of the NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene ("DOHMH"). Licensee shall maintain an adequate inventory to assure a constant supply of food, beverages, and merchandise. The food service facility and any staff assigned by Licensee to sell food must possess all required federal, state, and City authorizations and possess, and at all times display, all appropriate DOHMH permits. The price of all food and beverage items is subject to Parks' prior written approval.
9.8 Licensee shall not use or permit the use of any polystyrene foam products in connection with services or merchandise offered under this License Agreement.
9.9 Licensee shall employ an operations manager(s) ("Manager(s)") possessing appropriate experience to manage operations at the Licensed Premises in accordance with this License

Agreement. The Manager must be available by telephone during all hours of operation, and Licensee shall notify the Commissioner and the Parks Enforcement Patrol Communications Division of a 24hour pager or cellular telephone number through which Parks may contact the Manager in event of an emergency. Licensee shall replace any Manager, employee, subcontractor or sub-licensee whenever reasonably demanded by Commissioner for cause only. Any such demand shall be in writing and shall state the reason for such termination.
9.10 Licensee shall provide security equipment for all monies received. Licensee shall provide for the transfer of all monies collected to Licensee's banking institution. Licensee shall bear the loss of any lost, stolen, misappropriated or counterfeit monies derived from operations under this License Agreement.
9.11 Except as may be set forth in the Development Agreement or this License Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19 and Section 9.39 of this License Agreement) Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense provide, hire, train, supervise, and be responsible for the acts of all personnel necessary for the Licensee's operations contemplated in this License Agreement, including but not limited to:
(a) collecting and safeguarding all monies generated under this License Agreement;
(b) maintaining the Licensed Premises;
(c) conducting and supervising all activities to be engaged in at the Licensed Premises including but not limited to the provision of qualified food service personnel and cashier(s); and
(d) securing the Licensed Premises in accordance with the provisions of Section 9.15.
9.12 Except as provided in the Development Agreement as part of the City's Work, Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, provide any lighting, music, music programming and sound equipment which Licensee determines may be necessary for its operations under this License Agreement. Licensee shall operate and play such sound equipment and music in accordance with the Rules of the City of New York, Title 56 RCNY §1-05(d)(2), the Administrative Code of the City of New York, §24-201 et. seq., and only at a sound level and at times reasonably acceptable to the Commissioner. Licensee shall be responsible for payment of any and all fees or royalties to ASCAP, BMI or such other entity as they may require for such music or music programming in connection with its operation of the Licensed Premises.
9.13 Installation of additional fixed lighting or fixed sound equipment by the Licensee on the Licensed Premises shall require the prior written approval of the Commissioner. Said approval shall not be unreasonably withheld. This applies to all Concession components, including the lighted Driving Range.
9.14 (a) Without otherwise limiting Licensee's obligations under this License Agreement and the Development Agreement, Licensee shall provide a Clubhouse with facilities, in accordance with this License Agreement and the Development Agreement, which meet the ADA requirements and all City, State and Federal codes and regulations, including but not limited to, providing ADA compliant restrooms for men and women on each floor. Parks shall provide the two Snack Bars and related bathroom facilities in full compliance with ADA requirements, all City, State and Federal codes and regulations, and in accordance with the Development Agreement. Licensee shall comply with all

City, State and Federal laws relating to access for persons with disabilities to the Clubhouse, and to the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar to be constructed by Parks upon delivery to Licensee in accordance with the Development Agreement, provided however that to the extent the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar constructed by Parks do not comply with the ADA or any City, State and Federal codes and regulations, it shall not be Licensee's responsibility to remediate such deficiency or operate in compliance with the ADA or any City, State and Federal codes and regulations with respect to such deficiency to the extent that the Golf Course Facilities or the Park Snack Bar do not comply with the ADA or any City, State and Federal codes and regulations.
(b) In addition to the foregoing provisions set forth in Section 9.14(a) above, Licensee shall:
(i) provide safe and accessible recreational opportunities for everyone, including persons with disabilities;
(ii) post signs which clearly indicate accessibility at the Licensed Premises;
(iii) provide an ADA liaison (i) knowledgeable about the services/programs available at the Licensed Premises, and (ii) to assist patrons with disabilities who may require additional accommodation;
(iv) provide brochures formatted with dark/light contrast and large font for patrons with visual impairments;
(v) post ADA compliance information prominently on Licensee's Website (as hereinafter defined);
(vi) provide at least one accessible golf cart;
(vii) provide accessible customer service counters at the pro-shop, Clubhouse, Snack Bars and all other customer service counters at the Licensed Premises;
(viii) provide designated accessible seating in the grill room, Snack Bars and any banquet/catering facility;
(ix) provide accessible access to all public areas of the Clubhouse. Level changes shall be accommodated with accessible ramps, elevators or lifts;
(x) provide the required number of accessible parking, ensuring that the number, placement and specifications of all accessible spaces comply with ADA guidelines as well as with all City, State and Federal regulations, including striping and signage specifications;
(xi) provide accessible restrooms on all floors of the Clubhouse and requisite ADA signage; and
(xii) make reasonable accommodations and designing accessible work areas throughout the facility for employees.

Notwithstanding the foregoing in this Section 9.14, to the extent any of the construction related requirements referred to in this Section 9.14 conflict with the ADA requirements and/or any City, State and/or Federal codes and regulations, then the provisions of the ADA requirements and/or such City, State and/or Federal codes and regulations shall govern and control.
9.15 The City shall construct and maintain until City's Final Completion of the City's Work (as such terms are defined in the Development Agreement) (other than the Park Snack Bar) a security fence around the entire perimeter of the Licensed Premises (other than the Park Snack Bar) and other than the West Parking Lot (as defined in the Development Agreement) in accordance with the Development Agreement, which fence shall be maintained by Licensee during the Concession Period. Upon the City's Final Completion of the City's Work (other than the Park Snack Bar, where the City's obligation for security at the Park Snack Bar shall continue until the City's Final Completion of the City's Work with respect to the Park Snack Bar), Licensee shall be responsible for security at the Licensed Premises at all times, including locking and securing the fence during offhours of operation. In addition, in connection with its construction of the Clubhouse, Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, install in the Clubhouse an alarm system for the Clubhouse approved by Parks. Upon the City's Final Completion of the Park Snack Bar, Licensee shall be responsible for security at the Park Snack Bar at all times, including locking and securing the facility during offhours of operation.
9.16 Licensee shall prepare and provide to Parks operational status reports and reports of major accidents or unusual incidents occurring at the Licensed Premises, on a regular basis and in a format reasonably acceptable to the Commissioner. Licensee shall promptly notify Parks, in writing, of any claim for injury, death, property damage or theft which is asserted against Licensee with respect to the Licensed Premises. Licensee shall also designate a person to handle all such claims, including all claims for loss or damage pertaining to the operations of the Licensed Premises, and Licensee shall notify Parks in writing as to said person's name and address.
9.17 Licensee shall promptly notify Parks' personnel of any unusual conditions that may develop in the course of the operation of this License Agreement such as, but not limited to, fire, flood, casualty and substantial damage of any character, but excluding the existence of methane gases or settlement, which Parks is responsible for monitoring under this License Agreement.

### 9.18 Intentionally Omitted.

9.19 Licensee shall cooperate with Parks in conducting free and discounted community outreach programs and in providing use of the Licensed Premises for programs conducted by or arranged for by Parks in accordance with Section 16.
9.20 Licensee shall maintain close liaison with Parks' Enforcement Patrol ("PEP"), the New York City Police Department ("NYPD") and other police officials, and shall reasonably cooperate with all efforts to remove illegal vendors from the Licensed Premises. Licensee shall use commercially reasonable efforts to prevent illegal activity on the Licensed Premises.
9.21 The Commissioner shall have the right to approve the days and times on which deliveries to Licensee may be made. The Commissioner shall not unreasonably deny such access and any rules
regarding deliveries shall not be inconsistent with the rights of Licensee to operate the Concession at the Licensed Premises.
9.22 (a) Subject to Sections 1.8 and $9.22(b)$ and (c), Licensee may establish a reasonable advertising and promotion program and shall have the right to advertise and promote the Concession in a manner that is usual and customary in the golf course industry. The Commissioner shall have prior approval as to design, content and distribution of all advertising and promotional materials, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. Licensee shall have the right to print or to arrange for the printing of promotional materials for events containing any advertising matter, except advertising matter which, in the Commissioner's reasonable discretion, is indecent, in obvious bad taste, demonstrates a lack of respect for public morals or conduct, or which adversely affects the reputation of the Licensed Premises, Parks or the City of New York. Licensee may release news items to the media as it sees fit. If the Commissioner in his reasonable discretion, however, finds any releases to be unacceptable because they are indecent, in obvious bad taste, demonstrate a lack of respect for public morals or conduct, or adversely affects the reputation of the Licensed Premises, Parks or the City of New York, then Licensee shall cease or alter such releases as reasonably directed by the Commissioner. Parks agrees to use its reasonable efforts to cooperate with Licensee in obtaining authorizations from other agencies having jurisdiction for posting signs designed to inform the public of the operations conducted at the Licensed Premises.
(b) Upon the approval of the Commissioner or his designee, Licensee shall have the right to erect signs related to its operations at the Licensed Premises. Such signs, including any commercial sponsorship information or signs identifying products available for sale at the Licensed Premises, which may contain appropriate sponsor recognition or identification or identification of those products available for sale at the Licensed Premises, are subject to the approval of Parks. However, no tobacco sponsorship or identification will be allowed. Except for signs identifying the Licensed Premises as Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park and those required for directional or instructional purposes, all signs must face inward towards the Golf Course and not out towards the other areas of Ferry Point Park. The Commissioner may require removal of such signs if the Commissioner, in his reasonable discretion, finds any such sign or material to be unacceptable because they are indecent, in obvious bad taste or demonstrate a lack of respect for public morals or conduct. Licensee shall not advertise any product brands without Parks' prior approval. Licensee is not permitted to place advertisements in the Licensed Premises or on the exterior of any building or structure on the Licensed Premises without Parks' prior written approval. Nothing contained herein shall be deemed to require Licensee to obtain approval from Parks for the display of items for sale at the Licensed Premises. The display or placement of tobacco advertising shall not be permitted. The advertising of alcoholic beverages shall not be permitted within 250 feet of any school, day care center, or house of worship. In the event advertising is allowed, the following standards will apply: Any type of advertising which is false or misleading, which promotes unlawful or illegal goods, services or activities, or which is otherwise unlawful, including but not limited to advertising that constitutes the public display of offensive sexual material in violation of Penal Law Section 245.11, shall also be prohibited. Any such prohibited material displayed or placed shall be immediately removed by the Licensee upon notice from Parks.
(c) A sample of each new proposed sign and/or advertisement that requires approval of Parks in accordance with the terms of this License Agreement shall be sent for Parks' approval to Parks' Revenue Division, 830 Fifth Avenue, Central Park, New York, NY 10065. Parks shall respond to any request for approval under this Section 9.22 within five (5) business days of its
receipt of such request, and the failure of Parks to respond within such (5) business day period shall be deemed approval.
(d) Parks reserves the right to place advertising at the Licensed Premises, at any time during the Term of this License Agreement, at locations determined through consultation with the Licensee. Parks shall cooperate with Licensee in connection with Parks and City related advertising on the Licensed Premises, with respect to design, location, and quantity of such advertising within the Licensed Premises to ensure that such advertising does not materially interfere with Licensee operating in a first class standard.
(e) Licensee shall have the right to erect a flag pole and flag and place a clock on the Licensed Premises in each case in Licensee's reasonable discretion and subject to any applicable Legal Requirements.
9.23 (a) Licensee shall display at the Licensed Premises, in an appropriate manner, all permits and licenses required to operate the Licensed Premises.
(b) Licensee shall prominently display signage at the Licensed Premises listing all prices, rates and hours and days of operations. The placement, design and content of all such signage are subject to Parks' prior written approval.
(c) Subject to Sections 1.8 and $\mathbf{9 . 2 2 ( b )}$ and (c), any sign posted by Licensee at the Licensed Premises, or any advertisement used in connection with such facility, shall be subject to the prior written approval of the Commissioner. One sign posted conspicuously at the entrance to the Licensed Premises shall state that the Licensed Premises is a New York City municipal concession operated by Licensee, and such a statement shall be included on other signs at the Licensed Premises if requested by Parks. In addition, Licensee may display signage for the purpose of advertising upcoming events at the Licensed Premises, the design, location, size and type of which shall be aesthetically appropriate and subject to the approval of Parks and, if required, the Public Design Commission of the City of New York (the "PDC").
9.24 Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, post throughout the Licensed Premises such signs as may be reasonably necessary to direct patrons to its services and facilities. It is expressly understood that if Licensee contemplates placing any signs off-site, such as on nearby highways or streets, it shall be Licensee's responsibility to obtain any necessary approvals or permits from any governmental agency having jurisdiction over such highways, streets or locations. Parks and the City understand that signs announcing the location of the Golf Course on all highways in the vicinity of the Licensed Premises and providing directions to the Golf Course from all the exits from such highways are critical to the success of the Concession and shall cooperate with Licensee in obtaining any necessary approvals or permits for such signs as reasonably requested by Licensee. The design and content of all such signs are subject to Commissioner's reasonable prior approval.
9.25 The sale or advertising, or, to the extent prohibited by law, smoking, of cigarettes or any other tobacco product, is strictly prohibited at the Licensed Premises. Licensee shall adhere to and enforce this policy which may include the placement of signage as may be necessary to comply with this provision.
9.26 The sale of beverages in glass bottles for consumption outside of the snack bar / food service facility seating area and the use of styrofoam are both strictly prohibited. All beverages for
consumption outside the snack bar / food service facility shall be in non-glass, shatter-proof containers.

### 9.27 Intentionally omitted.

9.28 Licensee, or a sublicensee approved by Parks to operate the parking facility, may charge for parking in the parking area at the Licensed Premises at such rates as may be approved in advance in writing by Parks. Annexed hereto as Exhibit D is the Schedule of Operating Hours and Fees for the commencement of operations hereunder.
9.29 (a) Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, provide the link to the "Licensee Website" (as herein defined) for the Licensed Premises to the City's Website (as defined herein) and shall be the exclusive owner of the domain names as displayed in the URL addresses used in connection with the business conducted by and through an internet website created and maintained by Licensee during the Term and identified in Exhibit P attached hereto (the "Licensee Website"). The Licensee Website shall be accessible from the "City Website" identified in Exhibit $\mathbf{R}$ (the "City Website") and the City shall provide access from the "City Website" to the Licensee Website through one of the hoplinks listed in Exhibit $\mathbf{Q}$ and attached hereto (the "Hoplinks"). For purposes of clarity, Licensee shall acquire no trademark rights in any City Marks contained in the domain names identified in Exhibits $\underline{\mathbf{P}}, \underline{\mathbf{Q}}$ or $\underline{\mathbf{R}}$. Licensee shall operate and maintain, or participate in (in Licensee's discretion) a computerized, online reservation system, accessible via the internet and telephone. At all times during the Term of this License Agreement, Licensee shall have the right, but not the obligation, to use its own golf reservation system. All reservations and tee times shall be made through the golf reservation system in use by Licensee. Without limiting Licensee's rights under this Section 9.29(a), Licensee shall participate in any centralized reservation system that the City may develop solely by reasonably cooperating with the City to provide a link, links or other means to access the golf reservation system in use by Licensee from the City's Website and the City shall ensure that the Licensee's golf reservation system is accessible through the centralized reservation system. The City and Parks agree that Licensee shall have the right to use Licensed City IP on any Licensee Website established by Licensee for the Licensed Premises in a manner preapproved in writing by Parks and pursuant to Section 1.7 and Exhibit H, and Licensee agrees that the City and Parks shall have the right to use the Licensed Trump IP on the City Website and City social media pages or posts to indicate, refer to or promote the Licensed Premises in a manner preapproved in writing by Licensee and pursuant to Section 1.7 and Exhibit H. Any Licensee Website or Hoplink reflected on Exhibit Q and/or Exhibit P that includes the word "club" shall be used exclusively as a reserved name to prevent confusingly similar domain name registrations by third parties, but shall in no event be used to publicly identify or refer to the Licensed Premises or to refer individuals to the Licensee Website or the City Website.
(b) The Licensee Website and the Hoplinks shall be acquired in the name of, and shall be owned by, Licensee, and may be used by Licensee during the Term. Except for the "Transfer Websites" (as hereinafter defined), the Licensee Website and Hoplinks shall not be used by either Party or any Affiliate thereof after the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement. The term "Transfer Websites" shall mean the domain names as displayed in the URL addresses identified in Exhibit S attached hereto. Licensee shall own and direct all copyrights in and to the content of the Licensee Website, to the extent that such content does not consist of any copyrightable material owned by the City or Parks. Upon the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement, Licensee shall discontinue use of any Licensee Website and disable any Hoplinks, unless the continued use of such domain name and URL shall be approved by the City or Parks in writing.

Within ten (10) business days from the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement, Licensee shall transfer ownership of the Transfer Websites to the City.
(c) Additional domain names to be registered by Licensee or Trump and used as links or redirects to the Licensee Website, other than those listed in Exhibit P and Exhibit Q, shall be mutually agreed upon by the Parties in writing and in advance of use or registration of any additional domain names.
9.30 Subject in all cases to Article 16, Parks, acting on behalf of the City, reserves the right to host a number of annual events at the Licensed Premises, including but not limited to benefits and other non-profit and public events.
9.31 (a) Except for and without limiting the City's and Park's obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee shall, in each Operating Year throughout the Concession Period, provide a safe environment for the public at the Licensed Premises, including but not limited to:
(i) Installing snow fencing around all bodies of water thereon no later than December 1, subject to weather conditions and removing all such snow fencing no earlier than March 20 , subject to weather conditions;
(ii) Providing sufficient numbers of rescue ladders within appropriate proximity of any water bodies on the Licensed Premises;
(iii) Erecting and maintaining warning signs, as necessary, warning against ice conditions, prohibition of swimming at water bodies, and any other hazardous conditions; and
(iv) Complying with all national safety guidelines, Environmental Laws and Legal Requirements related to the renovation, operation, and maintenance of the Golf Course.
(b) Licensee agrees to employ reasonable preventative maintenance techniques to discourage errant golf balls outside of the Licensed Premises.

Failure to comply with this Section 9.31 shall be deemed a material breach of this License Agreement.
9.32 (a) Licensee shall promote a junior development or youth program with scholarship and fee-based membership (including, but not be limited to the following components: teaching programs, special tournaments, exhibitions, clinics and league play), in each case, by providing use of the Golf Course for such programs. In connection with such programs, Licensee shall provide free course access for up to twenty-five (25) foursomes (for one (1) round each) for Parks-sponsored youth instruction and development programs; such access shall be after 3:00 p.m. on Mondays thru Thursdays during the months of July and August of each Operating Year.
(b) Licensee shall accommodate school athletic programs on a reasonable basis after 3:00 p.m. on Mondays thru Thursdays. In addition, Licensee shall develop and promote a Junior Golf Program for high school and college students. Parks encourages the Licensee to cooperate with school golf coaches and athletic directors to establish a schedule to accommodate school athletic programs.
(c) Licensee shall submit to Parks an annual report of such community and youth programs within thirty (30) days of the end of each Operating Year.
9.33 The greens fees listed in Exhibit D apply to residents of the five (5) boroughs of New York City and may be adjusted as provided in Exhibit D. Licensee may institute a surcharge for nonresidents, which shall be subject to the prior written approval of Parks if such non-resident fees are more than the amounts permitted on Exhibit D. In addition, Licensee may issue New York City resident ID cards ("Resident ID Cards"), which Resident ID Cards may be used at City owned golf courses citywide, for a fee listed on Exhibit D, which fees are subject to change upon the reasonable prior written approval of the Commissioner, which approval shall be granted if such fees are consistent with other City golf courses.
9.34 Licensee recognizes that the City has developed Citywide Beverage Vending Machines Standards ("Standards"), which are attached to this License Agreement as Exhibit E. In the event that Licensee, or any properly authorized sublicensee, installs vending machines on the Licensed Premises, Licensee will be required to comply (and shall ensure that its sublicensee complies) with these Standards. Food standards for vending machines may be implemented by the City during the Term of this License Agreement. In addition, the City's beverage and / or food vending standards may be changed during the Term of this License Agreement. In the event that Licensee, or any properly authorized sublicensee, operates vending machines on the Licensed Premises, Licensee will be required to comply (and shall ensure that its sublicensee complies) with any new and/or changed food or beverage standards in the operation of vending machines at all vending machine locations in the Licensed Premises. If Licensee fails to comply with any new and/or changed food or beverage standards, as directed by Parks, Licensee shall remove any vending machines on the Licensed Premises.
9.35 Licensee may serve alcoholic beverages at Licensed Premises, provided that it obtains the appropriate license from the State Liquor Authority as well as any other required licenses or permits. Licensee shall use commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that alcoholic beverages served on the Licensed Premises are consumed in designated areas approved by Parks and are not removed from the Licensed Premises. Licensee shall use commercially reasonable efforts to keep alcohol consumption discrete.
9.36 Licensee's operations shall include the sale of golf merchandise, supplies and equipment from a well-stocked pro shop, the size and location of which are subject to Parks' prior written approval.
9.37 Licensee shall obtain the written approval of Parks prior to entering into any marketing or sponsorship agreement which grants rights to use the name of or association with the Golf Course in marketing its products, (such as, for example, an agreement allowing a golf ball manufacturer to advertise that its golf ball are used at the Golf Course), which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. Parks shall use its best efforts to respond to Licensee within five (5) business days of receipt of any approval request and the failure of Parks to respond within such five (5) business day period shall be deemed approval. This provision shall not in any way affect Licensee's rights to enter into exclusive purchasing or sales agreements (such as for example, an agreement to sell only Taylor Made Golf Clubs at the Licensed Premises), so long as such agreements do not contain marketing, promotional or sponsorship rights, other than the right to display the product at the Licensed Premises. In the event Licensee breaches this provision, Licensee shall take any reasonable action that the City may deem necessary to protect the City's interests.
9.39 Licensee shall address geese population related to the Licensed Premises according to the following:
(a) In connection with the City's goose mitigation efforts at the Licensed Premises, Licensee agrees solely to (a) cause one (1) member of Licensee's staff to be trained in wildlife hazard management, (b) post and maintain "no feeding" signs at the Clubhouse, the Maintenance Building, the parking areas at the Licensed Premises, and the Golf Course Snack Bar and (c) cause one (1) representative of Licensee to attend any bi-annual wildlife meeting between Parks, the Department of Environmental Protection and the FAA (as hereinafter defined) where Parks has provided Licensee with reasonable advance written notice of such meeting (the foregoing activities, collectively the "Licensee Goose Related Activities"). Parks acknowledges and agrees that Licensee shall not be required to bear more than a minimal expense in connection with the Licensee Goose Related Activities. The City shall promptly provide to Licensee a copy of any Wildlife Hazard Management Plan that the City or Parks develops with the FAA and any amendments thereto.
(b) Except as provided in Section 9.39(a), the City acknowledges and agrees that the City shall be responsible at its cost and expense for all wildlife hazard mitigation and monitoring measures, including without limitation, the monitoring and mitigation of geese populations at the Licensed Premises (and any lethal removal of geese), in each case, in accordance with all Legal Requirements (including, without limitation, any requirements of the Federal Aviation Administration (the "FAA")).
9.40 Without limiting Licensee's other rights or remedies under this Agreement, in the event that: (x) compliance by Licensee with Legal Requirements applicable to the Licensed Premises (including, without limitation, any conditions of a renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit, any DEC Deed, and/or any conditions imposed by the DEC and/or any SEQRA or CEQR review with respect to Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises, including the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises) will have a material adverse effect on Licensee's Grow-In, construction of the Required Capital Improvements or operation of the Licensed Premises (including adverse economic effects), (y) Licensee reasonably believes that any condition of any agency granting any license, permit or other approval is commercially unreasonable (provided however that the Parties agree that Licensee must comply with all Legal Requirements) and compliance with such condition will have a material adverse effect on Licensee's Grow-In, construction of the Required Capital Improvements or operation of the Licensed Premises (including materially adverse economic effects), which would include any condition that would reasonably be expected to cause Licensee to spend in excess of an additional five percent in Capital Improvement Costs, in the aggregate, to Finally Complete the Required Capital Improvements or (z) Licensee's Grow-In is adversely and materially interrupted, impacted or restricted due to repairs, alterations, improvements, additions or maintenance work or City's Reconstruction Activities being performed by or on behalf of the City and/or Parks pursuant to Section 19.3; then, in each case of clauses (x), (y) and (z), Licensee shall provide Parks with written documentation of same, and thereafter Licensee and Parks shall meet as soon as possible after notice from Licensee to Parks requesting a meeting (and in any event no later than within five (5) business days after such notice from Licensee to Parks) and cooperate in good faith to agree to an equitable solution to minimize such adverse effect. While the Parties shall use good faith efforts to agree to an equitable solution as quickly as possible, Parks shall provide its proposed solution no later than fifteen (15) business days from the Parties' meeting in accordance with the preceding sentence. Without limiting the scope of potential equitable solutions, the Parties recognize that an equitable solution may, depending on the circumstances and subject to compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, include, among other
things, providing License Fee Credits, directly reimbursing Licensee for reasonable costs and expenses actually paid or incurred by Licensee, reducing the Minimum Annual Fee, and allowing Licensee to operate and/or maintain the Licensed Premises to a standard lower than that required under the License Agreement; provided that an equitable solution shall not include lowering the standard of operation or maintenance below that of a "first class" golf course facility unless Parks and Licensee mutually agree to such reduction in each such parties sole discretion. Nothing in this Section shall in and of itself create a cause of action for Licensee, provided, however, that in the event Parks does not use good faith efforts to agree to an equitable solution, as set forth above, Licensee shall have the right to seek all appropriate legal and equitable remedies arising from such failure to cooperate. In the event that the Parties, acting in good faith, are unable to reach an equitable solution, Parks agrees that it will, at Licensee's sole option, use commercially reasonable efforts to resolicit for the Concession (or substantial portion thereof) or for another use by the general public of the Licensed Premises (or substantial portion thereof) provided however that Licensee shall continue to perform the Concession obligations during the Term that are applicable to Licensee as set forth in this Agreement and the Development Agreement until such time as a new operator of the Licensed Premises (or substantial portion thereof) is selected by Parks. Upon selection of a new operator, this License Agreement and the Development Agreement shall immediately terminate and Licensee shall be released from all obligations hereunder other than (x) for License Fees and any other fees then due and payable under this License Agreement by Licensee to Parks prior to the Termination Date (but, for the sake of clarity, not subsequent to the Termination Date) and (y) any damages attributable to any Claims that accrued prior to the Termination Date for personal injury, death, property damage or Claims described in Sections 5(a) and 6(b) of Exhibit H for which Licensee is obligated to indemnify (which damages, in each case, shall not include any lost sales or profit or any indirect, consequential, special, exemplary or incidental damages); provided that for the sake of clarity, the foregoing in this paragraph is not intended to abrogate Licensee's obligations under this Agreement to indemnify, defend, protect and hold harmless the Indemnitees in accordance with the terms of this Agreement, as applicable, to the extent that such obligations relate to third-party claims. The Parties hereby agree that Licensee shall not be considered in breach of this License Agreement if Legal Requirements applicable to the Licensed Premises (including, without limitation, any conditions of a renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit, any DEC Deed and/or any conditions imposed by the DEC and/or any SEQRA or CEQR review with respect to Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises, including the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises) have a material adverse effect on Licensee's Grow-In, construction of the Required Capital Improvements or operation of the Licensed Premises (including adverse economic effects) and Licensee complies with such Legal Requirements and/or conditions. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement, in the event that conditions imposed by Legal Requirements applicable to the Licensed Premises (including, without limitation, any conditions of a renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit, any DEC Deed and/or any conditions imposed by the DEC and/or any SEQRA or CEQR review with respect to Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises, including the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises) require any City's Reconstruction Activities, Licensee shall not be responsible for any such work and the City shall perform such work at its sole cost and expense.
9.41 If Licensee incurs any costs or expenses that are required for Licensee's activities contemplated by this Agreement or the Development Agreement to be in compliance with the DEC Part 360 Permit, any conditions of a renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit, any DEC Deed and/or any conditions imposed by the DEC and/or any SEQRA or CEQR review with respect to Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises, including the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises (in each case other than in connection with Licensee's
construction of the foundation of the Clubhouse or in the course of Licensee performing its responsibilities pursuant to Section 12.16(b) of this Agreement), then the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee for such costs and expenses actually paid or incurred by Licensee within sixty (60) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs and expenses, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks and provided further, to the extent such costs and expenses are Grow-In Costs, the City shall reimburse Licensee for all of these Grow-In Costs incurred by Licensee to the extent that Licensee has otherwise expended seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ( $\$ 750,000$ ), in the aggregate, for Grow-In Costs. Except as otherwise provided in Section 5.2 of the Development Agreement, in the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty ( 60 ) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and expenses and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ hereof, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10.

## 10. CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

10.1 Licensee shall, during the Term, at its sole cost and expense, perform and complete or cause to be performed and completed, the Capital Improvements described conceptually on the Schedule of Capital Improvements annexed hereto as Exhibit F (the "Required Capital Improvements").
Subject to the last sentence of this Section 10.1, Licensee shall spend or cause to be expended Capital Improvement Costs of at least Ten Million Dollars ( $\$ 10,000,000$ ) (the "Minimum Capital Improvement Cost") for the construction of the Required Capital Improvements. The Minimum Capital Improvement Cost shall not include the Design Review Fee, as defined in Section 10.3, but shall include the cost of any temporary Clubhouse. All Additional Fixed Equipment and Expendable Equipment installed in connection with the Required Capital Improvements and included in satisfying the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost shall become the property of Parks upon installation, at Parks' option. Notwithstanding Licensee's obligation to expend the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost for the construction of the Required Capital Improvements, in the event that all of the Required Capital Improvements are Finally Complete and Licensee has expended less than Ten Million Dollars ( $\$ 10,000,000$ ) in Capital Improvement Costs for the Required Capital Improvement in the aggregate, Licensee shall remit to the Capital Reserve Fund the amount that equals the difference between Ten Million Dollars $(\$ 10,000,000)$ and the amount that Licensee has expended on Capital Improvement Costs for the Required Capital Improvements.
10.2 (a) Licensee shall perform and complete all Capital Improvements in accordance with all plans, designs, specifications, schematics, working and mechanical drawings (the "Designs and Plans") approved by Parks and other government agencies having jurisdiction, as applicable (the Designs and Plans as so approved, the "Approved Designs and Plans"). Parks acknowledges that time is of the essence in connection with its approval of Designs and Plans of the construction of Capital Improvements so as not to delay the construction of Capital Improvements, and Parks will act reasonably and use its best efforts to approve or disapprove Licensee's Designs and Plans within thirty business (30) days of receipt thereof, and if disapproved shall state the reason for such disapproval and the changes required by Parks.
(b) Parks and Licensee acknowledge that (x) Licensee does not intend to spend in excess of Ten Million Dollars ( $\$ 10,000,000$ ) for Capital Improvement Costs in the aggregate for the Required Capital Improvements and (y) the Designs and Plans for the Required Capital Improvements to be submitted by Licensee to Parks are intended to set forth Designs and Plans for the Required Capital

Improvements that can reasonably be built to Final Completion for Ten Million Dollars ( $\$ 10,000,000$ ) in Capital Improvement Costs or less in the aggregate. In exercising Parks' approval rights over Licensee's Designs and Plans for the Required Capital Improvements as set forth in this Agreement, Parks agrees (i) it shall not require any modifications to the Designs and Plans that would reasonably cause the Capital Improvement Costs to Finally Complete such Required Capital Improvements, in the aggregate, to increase by more than five (5) percent (any such increase a "Parks Required Increase") (the measured value estimated at the time Licensee's Designs and Plans are submitted for approval by Parks), and (ii) that it would be unreasonable for Parks to disapprove Licensee's Designs and Plans for the Required Capital Improvements if the changes required by Parks would reasonably be expected to cause Licensee to spend in excess of Ten Million Dollars ( $\$ 10,000,000$ ) (the measured value estimated at the time Licensee's Designs and Plans are submitted for approval by Parks) for Capital Improvement Costs in the aggregate on the Required Capital Improvements. In the event of any Parks Required Increase, Licensee shall be entitled to a credit against amounts to be deposited in the Capital Reserve Fund under Section 10.29(a), commencing in Operating Year 5, in the dollar amount of such Parks Required Increase. Parks shall cooperate with Licensee in obtaining Governmental Approvals from other City agencies (including the PDC) that may have jurisdiction for approval over Licensee's Designs and Plans for the Required Capital Improvements (if such Designs and Plans are approved by Parks), which cooperation shall include supporting Licensee's submissions to other applicable City agencies (including the PDC).
10.3 A fee will be charged to Licensee for design review by Parks personnel (the "Design Review Fee"). The Design Review Fee shall be a onetime charge of one hundred thousand dollars ( $\$ 100,000$ ) and shall be deposited by Licensee into the Capital Reserve Fund upon the earlier of (i) the date that Licensee has expended amounts equal to seven hundred fifty thousand dollars $(\$ 750,000)$ in connection with the Grow-In pursuant to the Development Agreement, or (ii) the Concession Commencement Date. Licensee shall be entitled to draw down on the Capital Reserve Fund in accordance with the terms of this License Agreement, including, without limitation, for the avoidance of doubt, in connection with the Grow-In pursuant to the Development Agreement.
10.4 Capital Improvement Costs, including the Capital Improvement Costs of the Required Capital Improvements to determine if the Minimum Capital Improvement Costs have been expended, shall be reasonably determined by the Commissioner based upon construction documents, invoices, labor time sheets, cancelled checks, credit card receipts, bank statements and such other supporting documents or other data as the Commissioner may reasonably require. In making the determination of the Capital Improvements Costs, Commissioner may request any information the Commissioner reasonably believes would be helpful to make such a determination. Licensee shall forward such information to the Commissioner upon Commissioner's request. Licensee may appeal the Commissioner's determination of the Capital Improvements Costs within thirty (30) business days of receipt of such determination. Such appeal shall be in writing, detailing the grounds for appeal together with relevant documentation, and shall be addressed to the Commissioner, 830 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10065. Upon receipt of the appeal, the Commissioner shall review the claims addressed in Licensee's appeal and, within thirty (30) business days, issue a final determination, which shall be reasonable. Licensee reserves its rights to challenge any such final determination via an appropriate legal proceeding.
10.5 (a) Licensee shall pay all applicable fees in connection with its Designs and Plans, which shall be signed and sealed by a New York State Registered Architect or Licensed Professional Engineer, who will oversee the entire construction project (the "Architect/ Engineer"). All Designs and Plans shall be in such detail as Parks shall reasonably require. All work shall be undertaken in
accordance with the Designs and Plans approved in writing in advance by Parks. The Architect/Engineer shall be engaged by Licensee at Licensee's sole cost and expense to ensure that all construction conforms in all material respects to the Designs and Plans approved by Parks and all City, state and federal agencies having jurisdiction. Licensee shall submit the Architect's/Engineer's qualifications to Parks for prior approval. No Capital Improvement shall be deemed Finally Completed until the Commissioner certifies in writing that the Capital Improvement has been completed to his reasonable satisfaction; provided that the Commissioner agrees to comply with the procedures set forth in Section 10.19 of this Agreement.
(b) Intentionally omitted.
(c) To the extent required by Environmental Laws, an independent environmental monitor ("IEM") shall be present during Licensee's Grow-In and/or construction of Required or Additional Capital Improvements. In the event that the IEM hired by Parks is required to be onsite at such times in any event, because of other obligations of the City under the DEC Part 360 Permit, then Licensee shall be entitled to utilize the services of such IEM. Licensee shall reimburse Parks for the incremental cost of the IEM attributable to the IEM's activities in relation to work performed on behalf of Licensee described in the two immediately preceding sentences, provided that documentation of such costs, satisfactory to Licensee, is submitted to Licensee. In the event the IEM hired by Parks is not onsite as described, if required by applicable Environmental Law, Licensee shall engage, at Licensee's sole cost and expense, an IEM to monitor the work described above in this Section 10.5(c). Notwithstanding the foregoing, Licensee shall have the right, at any time, to employ its own IEM that satisfies the requirements of the applicable Environmental Laws. Any cost of an IEM to Licensee in connection with Licensee's construction of the foundation of the Clubhouse shall be a Capital Improvement Cost that is credited against the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost to be expended by Licensee under the License Agreement to the extent such cost is incurred in connection with Licensee's construction of the foundation of the Clubhouse. If Licensee is required to have an IEM present pursuant to applicable Environmental Law for any reason other than (x) the Licensee's construction of the foundation of the Clubhouse or (y) in connection with Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions that are, in each case, caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, then the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee in an amount equal to the costs and expenses of the IEM actually paid or incurred by Licensee within sixty (60) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs and expenses, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. In the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and expenses and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10.
10.6 Upon approval by Parks of drawings submitted by Licensee, Licensee may commence the construction of the Required Capital Improvements. Subject to Section 3.3(c), Licensee shall complete or cause to be completed all Required Capital Improvements within the time periods set forth in Exhibit F. In the event Licensee is delayed or prevented from completing all Required Capital Improvements within the time periods set forth in Exhibit $\mathbf{F}$ due to any of the conditions set forth in Section 3.3(c) or unreasonable delays attributable to Parks, the Licensee shall propose for the Commissioner's reasonable approval a revised completion schedule and if approved, Licensee shall complete the Required Capital Improvements in accordance with such approved revised schedule. The number of days by which performance may be extended shall be reasonably determined by the Commissioner after consultation with Licensee.
10.7 Licensee shall use commercially reasonable efforts to minimize the extent to which the public use of Ferry Point Park is disrupted in connection with its construction, installation, operation and maintenance activities at the Licensed Premises.
10.8 Intentionally Omitted.
10.9 Intentionally Omitted.
10.10 Licensee, within three (3) months of certification of Final Completion of the Capital Improvements or as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter, shall furnish the Commissioner with a certified statement, issued by Licensee, detailing the actual costs of construction. Accompanying such statement shall be construction documents, bills, invoices, labor time books, accounts payable, daily reports, bank deposit books, bank statements, checkbooks and canceled checks, all to the extent applicable. Licensee shall maintain accurate books and records of account of construction costs, which shall be segregated from other accounts, or shall itemize and specify those costs attributable to the Licensed Premises to permit audit by Parks and/or the New York City Comptroller upon request.

### 10.11 Intentionally Omitted.

10.12 At Parks' request, after certification by the Commissioner of Final Completion by Licensee of the Capital Improvements hereunder, Licensee shall provide Parks with one complete set of final, approved plans (where such plans are applicable in connection with such Capital Improvement, it being understood that not all Capital Improvements entail the development of plans) in a format acceptable to Parks. Acceptable manual drafting methods include ink or plastic film pencil. Right reading fixed line photo on 0.4 millimeter Mylar may be substituted for original drawings. If the fixed line photo process is used, the resultant film negative must be submitted with the drawings. CADD-generated drawings must be printed right-reading with either a pen or ink jet plotter. CADD generated PDF files in electronic form (CD or DVD) and one set of paper drawing shall satisfy the requirements of this Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 1 2}$. Drawings produced by diazo4, electrostatic (i.e. Xerographic), laser, copy press (i.e. OCE), or other means utilizing toner will not be accepted. Each drawing shall contain the name, address and telephone number of the Architect/Engineer and the Contractor. Each drawing shall also include the Parks property number, Block and Lot numbers for the Parks facility in which the work was performed, and, if applicable, the Department of Buildings approval / application number.
10.13 (a) For any Capital Improvements commenced under this License Agreement by Licensee, Licensee shall apply for applicable licenses from the Revenue Division prior to commencement of work. Licensee shall commence Capital Improvements only after the issuance of a construction license from Parks and a building permit issued by the Department of Buildings if required by applicable laws. Further, all designs for the Clubhouse to be constructed at the Licensed Premises will require prior approval from Parks (which approval shall be subject to Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 ( b )}$ ) and the PDC, and any other agencies having jurisdiction. Licensee shall notify Commissioner of the specific date on which construction shall begin.
(b) Licensee shall not commence any Capital Improvements unless and until (i) Licensee shall have obtained and delivered to Parks copies of all permits, consents, certificates and approvals of all governmental authorities, if any, which are necessary for the work to be done, certified by Licensee or the Architect/Engineer, and (ii) Licensee shall have delivered to Parks certified copies of the policies of insurance required to be carried pursuant to the provisions of Section 25 hereof.
(c) Licensee shall obtain the permits, consents, certificates and approvals required for the Capital Improvements performed by Licensee and any necessary utility easements, and Parks shall not unreasonably withhold its consent to signing any accurate application made by Licensee required to obtain such permits, consents, certificates, approvals and easements and shall otherwise cooperate with Licensee in obtaining the required permits, consents, certificates, approvals and easements.
(d) To guarantee prompt payment of moneys due to a contractor or his or her subcontractors and to all persons furnishing labor and materials to the contractor or his or her subcontractors in the prosecution of any construction, reconstruction, renovation or Alteration of the Licensed Premises with an estimated cost exceeding two hundred fifty thousand dollars ( $\$ 250,000$ ), Licensee shall post a payment bond or other form of undertaking in the amount of one hundred percent $(100 \%)$ of the cost of each phase of such construction, reconstruction, renovation or Alteration in a form acceptable to Parks before commencing each phase of such work.
10.14 No temporary storage or other ancillary structures may be erected and maintained at the Licensed Premises without a permit obtained from Parks' Construction Division, Permit Office, except that Licensee shall be permitted to construct a temporary maintenance facility and a temporary clubhouse in accordance with the provisions of the Development Agreement.
10.15 Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), (i) during the Term, Licensee shall be responsible for the protection of the finished and unfinished Capital Improvements being performed by Licensee against any damage, loss or injury in the performance of Capital Improvements, and up to the date of Final Completion thereof and (ii) in the event of such damage, loss or injury up to the date of Final Completion, Licensee shall promptly replace or repair such Capital Improvements at its sole cost and expense.
10.16 Licensee shall perform all of Licensee's Capital Improvements in accordance with Legal Requirements, and industry standards, and with materials as set forth in the Approved Designs and Plans. All equipment and materials installed as part of Licensee's Capital Improvements shall be new, free of material defects, of a quality suitable for the purpose intended and furnished in sufficient quantities to prevent delays. Licensee shall obtain all manufacturer's standard warranties and guarantees for all such equipment and materials in the name of the Licensee and shall assign same to the City when and if the City exercises its option to take title to such equipment and materials in accordance with the terms of this License Agreement except to the extent that Licensee retains the obligation to maintain such work or components and systems under the License Agreement. In furtherance of the preceding sentence, as applicable, Licensee shall execute and deliver to the City any documents reasonably requested by the City in order to enable the City to enforce such guaranties and warranties. All of the City's rights and title and interest in and to said manufacturers' warranties and guaranties may be assigned by the City to any subsequent licensees of the Licensed Premises.
10.17 As required by Section 24-216 of the New York City Administrative Code, devices and activities which will be operated, conducted, constructed or manufactured pursuant to this License Agreement and which are subject to the provisions of the New York City Noise Control Code (the "Code") shall be operated, conducted, constructed or manufactured without causing a violation of such Code. Such devices and activities shall incorporate advances in the art of noise control developed for the kind and level of noise emitted or produced by such devices and activities, in accordance with regulations issued pursuant to federal, state, City laws, rules, regulations and orders.
10.18 Licensee shall choose the means and methods of completing the Capital Improvements, unless, Commissioner reasonably determines that such means and methods constitute or create a hazard to the Capital Improvements or to persons or property or will not produce finished Capital Improvements in accordance with the Schedule of Capital Improvements on Exhibit F. Specific work plans and actions that pertain to the construction of the Clubhouse foundation shall be submitted to Parks for review and approval (and Parks shall act reasonably and use its best efforts to approve or disapprove such plans and actions within thirty business (30) days of receipt thereof, and if disapproved shall state the reason for such disapproval and the changes required by Parks, and in accordance with Section 10.2(a)).
10.19 (a) Licensee shall provide written notice to the Commissioner when a Capital Improvement is Substantially Complete. Within five (5) business days after receiving such notice, the Commissioner shall promptly inspect such Capital Improvement. Within five (5) business days of such inspection, the Commissioner shall either (x) certify to Licensee in writing that the applicable Capital Improvement is Substantially Complete or (y) provide notice to Licensee that the Commissioner does not reasonably find the applicable Capital Improvement to be Substantially Complete (such notice a "Substantial Completion Deficiency Notice"). Any Substantial Completion Deficiency Notice shall contain a reasonably detailed list of items concerning work to be completed to the reasonable satisfaction of the Commissioner in order to achieve Substantial Completion. Following Licensee's receipt of any Substantial Completion Deficiency Notice, when Licensee reasonably believes that a Capital Improvement has achieved Substantial Completion, Licensee shall provide notice to the Commissioner. Within five (5) business days after receiving such notice, Commissioner shall promptly re-inspect such Capital Improvements. The Commissioner and Licensee shall follow the notice and inspection procedures and applicable time periods set forth above in this Section 10.19(a) until the Commissioner provides the certification of Substantial Completion, whereby the Commissioner certifies in writing that the Capital Improvement has been Substantially Completed to his reasonable satisfaction. The City and Parks acknowledge and agree that as long as a Capital Improvement ( x ) is constructed in compliance with the Approved Designs and Plans for such Capital Improvement (notwithstanding that some incomplete elements that do not prevent legal use and occupancy and punch list work determined in accordance with Section 10.19(b) below remain to be completed) in all material respects and (y) is ready to be occupied and used for its intended purpose by the public, it would be unreasonable for Commissioner to withhold, condition or delay Commissioner's certification of Substantial Completion.
(b) When Licensee reasonably believes that a Capital Improvement has achieved Final Completion, Licensee shall provide notice to the Commissioner and within five (5) business days after receiving such notice, Commissioner shall promptly inspect such Capital Improvements. Within five (5) business days of such inspection, Commissioner shall either ( x ) certify to Licensee in writing that the applicable Capital Improvement is Finally Complete or (y) provide notice to Licensee that the Commissioner does not reasonably find the applicable Capital Improvement to be Finally Complete (such notice a "Final Completion Deficiency Notice"). Any Final Completion Deficiency Notice shall contain a reasonably detailed "punch list" of items concerning work to be completed to the reasonable satisfaction of the Commissioner in order to achieve Final Completion. Following Licensee's receipt of any Final Completion Deficiency Notice, when Licensee reasonably believes that a Capital Improvement has achieved Final Completion, Licensee shall provide notice to the Commissioner. Within five (5) business days after receiving such notice, Commissioner shall promptly re-inspect such Capital Improvements. The Commissioner and Licensee shall follow the notice and inspection procedures and applicable time periods set forth above in this Section 10.19(b) until the Commissioner provides the certification of Final Completion, whereby the Commissioner
certifies in writing that the Capital Improvement has been Finally Completed to his reasonable satisfaction; provided that notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, the City and Parks acknowledge and agree that as long as a Capital Improvement is constructed in compliance with the Approved Designs and Plans for such Capital Improvement in all material respects, it would be unreasonable for Commissioner to withhold, condition or delay Commissioner's certification of Final Completion.
10.20 Licensee shall provide Parks with discharges for any and all liens which may be levied against the Capital Improvements performed by Licensee during construction of such improvements. Licensee shall use commercially reasonable efforts to discharge such liens within forty five (45) days of receipt of lien by Licensee.
10.21 Licensee shall promptly repair, replace, restore, or rebuild as the Commissioner reasonably may determine, items of Capital Improvements performed by Licensee in which material defects of materials, workmanship or design may appear or to which damages may occur because of such defects, during the one year period subsequent to the date of the Final Completion of such Capital Improvements.
10.22 Neither Parks, nor the City, its agencies, officers, agents, employees or assigns thereof, shall be bound, precluded or estopped by any determination, decision, approval, order, letter, payment or certificate made or given under or in connection with this License Agreement by the City, the Commissioner, or any other officer, agent or employee of the City, before the Final Completion and acceptance of any individual Capital Improvement, from showing that such Capital Improvement or any part thereof does not in fact conform to the requirements of this License Agreement and, in addition to any other remedies available to Parks or the City under this Agreement or the Development Agreement, at law or in equity, from demanding and recovering from the Licensee such damages as Parks or the City may sustain by reason of Licensee's failure to perform each and every material part of this License Agreement in accordance with its terms (subject to all applicable notice and cure periods), unless such determination, decision, approval order, letter, payment or certificate shall be made pursuant to a specific waiver of this Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 2}$ signed by the Commissioner or his authorized representative. For purposes of this paragraph, the following shall be considered an authorized representative of the Commissioner: the First Deputy Commissioner and any other Deputy Commissioners, the Assistant Commissioner for Revenue and the Director of Revenue.
10.23 Licensee warrants that it is financially solvent and sufficiently experienced and competent to perform, or cause to be performed, the Capital Improvements required pursuant to this License Agreement.
10.24 Intentionally omitted.
10.25 Licensee shall keep Parks reasonably informed of Licensee's progress in the performance of all Capital Improvements. Upon request of Parks, Licensee shall promptly provide Parks with copies of all materials normally or actually provided to a construction lender including, but not limited to, scheduling of payments and projections on a monthly basis, and all construction documents and all plans and specifications reasonably specified by Parks to assist Parks in monitoring said progress by Licensee.
10.26 All risks of construction and development of the Capital Improvements constructed by Licensee are hereby expressly assumed by Licensee except as may be specifically provided otherwise in this License Agreement or in the Development Agreement. Except as set forth in this License Agreement and in the Development Agreement, all development of the Capital Improvements constructed by Licensee will be designed, constructed, maintained, secured and insured entirely at Licensee's expense without reimbursement by Parks or credit or offset of any kind for cost overruns or otherwise, and Licensee shall pay all municipal and any other fees and impositions in connection therewith.
10.27 Upon installation, title to all construction, renovation, improvements, and fixtures made to the Licensed Premises and to all Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment (which shall specifically exclude equipment leased by Licensee) accepted by Parks as Capital Improvements shall vest in and thereafter belong to the City at the City's option, which may be exercised at any time after the Substantial Completion of the construction, renovation, improvement, affixing, placement or installation. To the extent the City chooses not to exercise its option with respect to any of the construction, renovation, improvements, equipment or fixtures made to the Licensed Premises by Licensee, it shall be the responsibility of Licensee, during the Removal Period, to remove such items and restore the Licensed Premises to the satisfaction of the Commissioner at the sole cost and expense of the Licensee. For avoidance of doubt the Parties agree that Licensee shall not under any circumstances be required to remove completed buildings, heating, plumbing, air conditioning, electrical wiring, elevators, windows and ventilation fixtures. The City shall provide to Licensee a "Contractor Exempt Purchase Certificate" in connection with the construction, renovation and improvements, made to or fixtures installed at the Licensed Premises by Licensee.
10.28 Subject to Section 3.3(c) and Section 10.6 and the remainder of this Section 10.28, in the event the Licensee fails to Finally Complete a particular Required Capital Improvement by the date specified for Final Completion, in accordance with Exhibit F (except for immaterial or punch list items), Licensee may be required to pay the City liquidated damages of one hundred dollars (\$100) per day until the outstanding Required Capital Improvement is completed. In the event Licensee is unable to comply with any phase of the schedules for the Required Capital Improvements for a period of thirty (30) days following written notice from Commissioner, subject to the provisions of Section 3.3(c) and Section 10.6 herein, and provided that Licensee is diligently performing such Capital Improvements, the Licensee may propose for the Commissioner's reasonable approval a revised completion schedule for such phase and if approved, Licensee shall complete such phase of the Capital Improvements in accordance with such approved revised schedule. The number of days by which performance may be extended shall be reasonably determined by the Commissioner after consultation with Licensee.
10.29 (a) Licensee shall establish a dedicated account (the "Capital Reserve Fund") with an institutional lender ("Depository") selected by Licensee and satisfactory to Parks that shall be available exclusively to pay directly, or to reimburse Licensee for its payment of costs of Capital Improvements, major repairs and replacements of and purchases of new improvements or equipment for or at the Licensed Premises approved by Parks (if required pursuant to this License Agreement) ("Eligible Work"), but not for ordinary repair and maintenance. The Design Review Fee payable pursuant to Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 3}$ shall be deposited into the Capital Reserve Fund. For purposes of insuring that the provisions of this Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 2 9}$ are complied with, Licensee's agreement with Depository shall be subject to the prior written approval of Parks. Commencing in Operating Year 5, Licensee shall make deposits to the Capital Reserve Fund calculated in accordance with the following table:

| Operating Year | Reserve Fund Deposit |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5-12$ | $3 \%$ of Gross Receipts |
| $13-15$ | $2 \%$ of Gross Receipts |

On or before the thirtieth ( $30^{\text {th }}$ ) day after the end of each quarter of each Operating Year commencing in Operating Year 5 and until the end of Operating Year 15, Licensee shall deposit to the Capital Reserve Fund an amount equal to the applicable Reserve Fund Deposit for the prior quarter. Such Capital Reserve Fund deposits shall not be deducted from total Gross Receipts. Licensee shall use commercially reasonable efforts to ensure that the Capital Reserve Fund is expended to depletion during the Term of this License Agreement for the purposes outlined herein and for Concession projects mutually agreeable to Parks and Licensee. It is expressly understood that neither Parks, nor Licensee, shall unreasonably withhold its consent to the undertaking of any reasonable project aimed at or intended to enhance the Licensed Premises.
(b) Licensee shall not be entitled to use the Capital Reserve Fund without obtaining Park's written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed, except where Licensee has the right to use the Capital Reserve Fund as expressly provided in this Agreement, including without limitation, Sections 4.10, 12.3, 12.18 and 19.1(b) (where no consent shall be required). Except as otherwise set forth in this Agreement, all Eligible Work that constitutes additional Capital Improvements at the Licensed Premises shall be subject to Parks' reasonable approval. In the event that prior to Operating Year 5, Licensee incurs Capital Improvement Costs in excess of the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost, Licensee shall be entitled to a credit against amounts to be deposited into the Capital Reserve Funds under Section 10.29(a) commencing in Operating Year 5 to reimburse Licensee for any such Capital Improvement Costs in excess of the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost.
(c) Disbursements from the Capital Reserve Fund shall be made as follows:
(i) Subject to Section 10.29(b) above, Parks shall instruct Depository to pay to Licensee promptly (but in any event no later than five (5) business days after Licensee has submitted all information necessary to qualify for a disbursement) such amounts out of the Capital Reserve Fund as necessary to pay for amounts paid or then payable by Licensee for Eligible Work, upon application to be submitted by Licensee to Parks showing the cost of labor and the cost of materials, fixtures and equipment that either have (A) been incorporated in the Eligible Work since the last previous application and paid for or then payable by Licensee, or (B) not been incorporated in the Eligible Work but have been purchased since the last previous application and paid for or then payable by Licensee.
(ii) It shall be a condition precedent to each disbursement of the Capital Reserve Fund, that Licensee submit to Parks, a certificate of the Architect/Engineer, if applicable, or a certificate signed and verified by the managing member or other duly authorized officer of Licensee, stating that:
(A) The sum then requested to be withdrawn either has been paid by Licensee or is justly due to contractors, subcontractors, materialmen, engineers, architects or other persons (whose names and addresses shall be stated), who will render or furnish or have rendered or
furnished services or materials for the work, and giving a brief description of such services and materials and the principal subdivisions or categories thereof and the several amounts so paid or due to each of such persons with respect thereto, and stating, in reasonable detail, the progress of the Eligible Work up to the date of the certificate;
(B) The costs for which sums have been requested have not yet been paid or covered in any previous requisition for Capital Reserve Funds;
(C) The sum then requested does not exceed the cost of the services and materials described in the certificate; and
(D) The materials, fixtures and equipment, for which payment is being requested, to the extent applicable, are equal in quality to the items being restored or replaced and in substantial accordance with the approved plans for the Eligible Work (if such plans are required pursuant to this License Agreement).
(d) Licensee shall provide to Parks, an annual report detailing the deposits and balance of the Capital Reserve Fund. In addition, the reports shall include all disbursements from the Capital Reserve Fund as well as the Eligible Work financed by such disbursements.
(e) Upon the expiration or earlier termination of this License Agreement, Licensee shall not be entitled to retain the remainder of the Capital Reserve Fund except as otherwise specifically provided herein. Such remaining funds shall be disbursed to Parks immediately following the expiration or earlier termination of this License Agreement.

## 11. IMPROVEMENT AND/OR CORRECTION IN OPERATIONS

11.1 Subject to Section 3.3(c), should the Commissioner reasonably decide that Licensee is not operating the Licensed Premises in accordance with this License Agreement in all material respects, Commissioner may give notice in writing to Licensee to correct such conditions as Commissioner may reasonably deem unsatisfactory. In the event that Licensee fails to substantially comply with such written notice or respond in a manner reasonably satisfactory to the Commissioner within twenty-five (25) days from the receipt of said notice, or, if compliance cannot reasonably be completed within such twenty-five (25) day period, if Licensee does not commence cure within such twenty-five (25) day period and thereafter diligently prosecute such cure to completion, Commissioner may terminate this License Agreement subject to and in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.3 hereof.
11.2 (a) Subject to Section 11.2(b), should Commissioner, in Commissioner's reasonable judgment, decide that an unsafe or emergency condition exists on the Licensed Premises, after written notification to Licensee, Licensee shall have twenty-four (24) hours to correct such unsafe or emergency condition. During any period where the Commissioner determines that an unsafe or emergency condition exists on the Licensed Premises then the Commissioner may require a partial or complete suspension of operation in the area affected by the unsafe or emergency condition. If such unsafe or emergency condition cannot be corrected within said twenty-four (24) hour period, the Licensee shall notify the Commissioner in writing and indicate the period within which such condition can be corrected. Commissioner may, in Commissioner's reasonable discretion, extend such period of time in order to permit Licensee to cure, under such terms and conditions as are reasonably appropriate. Such consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.
(b) Notwithstanding the foregoing in this Section 11.2, to the extent that any such unsafe or emergency condition is caused by any condition that the City or Parks is responsible for under the License Agreement or the Development Agreement, Licensee shall have no obligation to cure such condition and the City shall cure such condition in accordance with the provisions of the respective agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, the City shall not be responsible for any Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions to the extent that such Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees.
11.3 Licensee shall provide access to the Licensed Premises to the Commissioner or his representatives and to other City, State and Federal officials having jurisdiction for any lawful purpose or inspection purposes. Inspectors from Parks may visit the Licensed Premises unannounced to inspect operations and ensure proper maintenance of the Licensed Premises. Based on their inspections, Parks may issue written notices to Licensee regarding deficiencies, and except where the City shall be obligated to remedy such deficiency pursuant to the terms of this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a)), Licensee shall be obligated to rectify such deficiencies within the time specified in such notices, which in no event shall be less than fourteen (14) days, plus such additional time as shall be reasonably required to cure such deficiency, provided, however, that prior to issuing any such notice, Parks and Licensee shall have informal discussions to attempt to resolve any such deficiencies. Subject to Section 3.3(c) or delays by Parks or the City, if Licensee fails to cure any deficiency for which it is responsible under the term of this Agreement within the timeframe set forth in the notice, Parks may, at its option and in addition to any other remedies available to it, require Licensee to pay as liquidated damages five hundred dollars (\$500) per day from the date of the notice, with respect to each violation of the License Agreement, until the deficiencies have been corrected. If any liquidated damages due in accordance with this Agreement are not paid promptly by Licensee, Parks may deduct the amount thereof from the Security Deposit.

## 12. MAINTENANCE, SANITATION AND REPAIRS

12.1 (a) Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee shall:
(i) on a year-round basis, at its sole cost and expense (or through third party arrangements) and to the reasonable satisfaction of Commissioner, maintain, repair and keep the Licensed Premises in a good and safe condition and in accordance with industry standards and in conformance with any and all applicable Legal Requirements as they relate to general maintenance and care of the Golf Course and the remainder of the Licensed Premises;
(ii) at all times, at its sole cost and expense, keep the Licensed Premises clean, litter free, neat and, with respect to the food service operations, fumigated, disinfected, deodorized and sanitary;
(iii) at its sole cost and expense, provide regular cleaning and maintenance services for the Licensed Premises, collect and remove all waste, refuse, rubbish, litter, debris and garbage therefrom and remove snow from paved areas of the Golf Course Facilities during such days that the Golf Course is open to the public; and
(iv) provide for the regular cleaning and maintenance of the perimeter of the Licensed Premises, including but not limited to the timely removal of all litter, debris and garbage, tree pruning, dead tree and dead tree limb removal, and perimeter fence maintenance and repair.

For avoidance of doubt, except as set forth on Exhibit A-2, the Parties agree that License shall only be responsible for the cleaning, maintenance and repair of the area on the inside of the perimeter fence of the Licensed Premises to be constructed by the City in accordance with the Development Agreement and all roadways, parking lots, perimeter landscaping and perimeter sidewalks and all other portions of Ferry Point Park outside the perimeter fence shall be cleaned, maintained and repaired by the City and/or Parks. In the event the City dumps snow or ice on the Licensed Premises, Parks will, within a reasonable time after notice from Licensee, remove any debris from the Licensed Premises left behind by the melting of the dumped snow or ice. In addition, with respect to the Park Snack Bar, Licensee shall only be responsible for the cleaning, maintenance and repair of the interior and exterior of the Park Snack Bar and shall not be responsible for any area outside the Park Snack Bar, except as set forth on Exhibit A-2.
(b) Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' repair and maintenance obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee shall (i) repair and maintain in good working order any and all equipment installed at the Licensed Premises necessary for the operation of this License Agreement; (ii) provide equipment maintenance contracts, or directly provide maintenance services deemed by Parks to be equivalent to service contracts, for the equipment on the Licensed Premises; and (iii) use commercially reasonable efforts to adhere to the maintenance schedules recommended by the manufacturers for all mechanical systems and equipment.
(c) To ensure Parks' satisfaction with Licensee's compliance with this Section 12.1, Licensee will provide Parks with access to the Licensed Premises.
12.2 Licensee shall maintain the Licensed Premises in accordance with this License Agreement to the reasonable satisfaction of the Commissioner. All such maintenance shall be performed by Licensee in a good and worker-like manner. In part to secure Licensee's obligation to maintain and repair the Licensed Premises, Licensee shall provide Parks with a Security Deposit as provided in Section 4.4(a).
12.3 (a) Subject to the availability of funding, Parks shall maintain and repair and keep in good order and repair or cause the maintenance and repair and keeping in good order and repair of (x) roads that are under the jurisdiction of Parks, as more particularly shown on Exhibit T, (y) grass areas, trees, shrubs, signs and other landscaping leading to and from the Licensed Premises that are under the jurisdiction of Parks, and (z) the areas of Ferry Point Park immediately surrounding the Licensed Premises (except for the areas immediately surrounding the Park Snack Bar).
(b) In the event that Parks fails to perform such repairs and/or maintenance specified in Section 12.3(a) within sixty (60) days after written notice, and the Parties reasonably agree that the failure to perform such repairs and/or maintenance materially adversely affects the use or appearance of the entrance to the Licensed Premises, the roads leading to the Licensed Premises or any other portion of the Licensed Premises, Licensee shall have the right, but not the obligation, to perform any and all such repairs and/or maintenance, subject to the prior written approval of Parks, provided that
the failure of Parks to perform any such repairs and/or maintenance shall not be a default by the City hereunder or give rise to any termination rights on the part of Licensee.
(c) Licensee shall have the right to submit bids to Parks for any work which Licensee proposes to perform under Section 12.3(b), and Parks shall approve or reject any such bids within ten (10) days after receipt and Parks' failure to respond within such ten (10) day period shall be deemed approval. To the extent that such work outside the Licensed Premises constitutes "public work" within the meaning of Section 220 of the Labor Law, the Licensee and its contractors will be required to pay prevailing wages and otherwise comply with the requirements of Section 220 of the Labor Law, according to prevailing wage rate schedules prepared by the New York City Comptroller (copies of which may be obtained from Parks), as such schedules may be amended. All reports mandated by Section 220 of the Labor Law shall be provided to Parks. To the extent Licensee pays prevailing wages for the performance of such work, such work shall be considered Capital Improvements and Licensee shall be entitled to use Capital Reserve Funds to pay for such work. To the extent that Capital Reserve Funds are used to pay for such repairs and/or maintenance, all License Fees and other amounts thereafter payable under this License Agreement shall be applied to replenish the Capital Reserve Fund, up to the amount of Capital Reserve Funds paid to Licensee on account of such work. If Licensee performs such repairs and/or maintenance and the Capital Reserve Funds are not sufficient to pay or reimburse Licensee for such repairs and/or maintenance, the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee in an amount equal to the reasonable cost of the repairs and/or maintenance actually paid or incurred by Licensee (which costs shall be deemed reasonable if approved or deemed approved by Parks as set forth herein), provided that documentation of such costs, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. In the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, which, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10 and the following sentence, will be the sole remedy of Licensee hereunder for the cost of such repairs and/or maintenance. Alternatively, Licensee, in its discretion, may propose and submit for the Commissioner's approval a plan to equitably address the cost to Licensee for performing such repairs and/or maintenance.
(d) Licensee shall have the right, but not the obligation, at its sole option, to maintain and repair or cause the maintenance and repair of the roads and signs, if any, leading to and from the Licensed Premises that are not within the jurisdiction of Parks, provided Licensee obtains all necessary approvals, including but not limited to approvals of any federal, state and City agencies having jurisdiction over such work. If Licensee performs such repairs, Licensee shall be entitled to reimbursement from the Capital Reserve Fund equal to the reasonable cost of the repairs actually paid or incurred by Licensee, provided that documentation of such costs, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. To the extent that Capital Reserve Funds are used to pay for such repairs, all License Fees and other amounts thereafter payable under this License Agreement shall be applied to replenish the Capital Reserve Fund, up to the amount of Capital Reserve Funds paid to Licensee on account of such work. If Licensee performs such repairs and the Capital Reserve Funds are not sufficient to pay or reimburse Licensee for the cost of such repair, the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee in an amount equal to the reasonable cost of the repairs actually paid or incurred by Licensee. In the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, which, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10 and the following sentence, will be the sole remedy of Licensee hereunder for the cost of such repairs. Alternatively,

Licensee, in its discretion, may propose and submit for the Commissioner's approval a plan to equitably address the cost to Licensee for performing such repairs.
12.4 (a) Licensee shall provide adequate waste and recycling receptacles approved by Parks at the Licensed Premises and have these receptacles emptied on a regular basis and removed by a private carter. All debris, waste, garbage, refuse, rubbish and litter which collect upon the Licensed Premises and within the area described on Exhibit A-2 hereto, without regard for its source (other than debris, waste, garbage, refuse, rubbish and litter resulting from any work being performed by the City or Parks under the terms of this License Agreement or the Development Agreement), shall be daily collected, recycled if possible, bagged, and removed from the Licensed Premises at a frequency satisfactory to the Commissioner, all at the Licensee's sole cost and expense. In performing its duties under this Section 12.4, Licensee shall comply with all applicable Legal Requirements regarding recycling.
(b) Where feasible, Licensee shall provide for its patrons' use appropriately sized and well-positioned blue plastic recycling bins or receptacles for bottles and cans, and green bins or receptacles for papers, catalogs and magazines. These containers shall be properly labeled with recycling logos and the containers, and the areas around them, shall be maintained in a clean, sanitary, and graffiti-free state.
(c) Licensee shall bundle and/or separate, as required, for pickup pursuant to City, State, and Federal law, all corrugated cardboard, magazines and catalogs, newspapers, high grade office paper and envelopes, computer paper, phone books, paper bags, cardboard boxes, pizza boxes, nonstyrofoam egg cartons, milk and juice cartons, aluminum products (including foil and trays), metal cans, plastic and glass bottles, detergent bottles, glass jars, milk jugs, metals (pans, irons), aerosol cans, wire hangers, and paint cans. These recyclables must be rinsed or rid of all food products, as necessary.
12.5 Licensee shall be responsible for regular pest control inspections and extermination. To the extent Licensee applies pesticides to any property owned or leased by the City, Licensee or any subcontractor hired by Licensee shall comply with Chapter 12 of Title 17 of the Administrative Code and limit the environmental impact of its pesticide use.

### 12.6 Intentionally Deleted.

12.7 At its sole cost and expense, Licensee shall keep all signs and structures on the Licensed Premises in good condition and shall remove any and all graffiti which may appear on the signs, buildings and structures on the Licensed Premises. Such graffiti removal shall be commenced within twenty-four (24) hours following discovery of same, and shall continue until such graffiti is removed.
12.8 Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee shall maintain the Licensed Premises including, but not limited to, cleaning, restoration, repair and maintenance necessary to maintain the Licensed Premises in a first class condition, preserving its character and significance. Maintenance shall also include the horticulture at and the cleaning of the Licensed Premises.
12.9 Under no conditions shall Licensee cut down, remove, replant, or move any tree, living or dead, in conjunction with Licensee's Capital Improvements, or with any other of Licensee's rights or
duties under this License Agreement, without the express written permission of Parks. Moreover, Licensee acknowledges that Parks does not intend to authorize the removal of any living trees in conjunction with any of Licensee's rights or duties detailed herein. Attachments to trees, such as lights, are not permitted. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Licensee shall prune trees on the Licensed Premises as needed with Parks' prior written approval.
12.10 At its sole cost and expense, Licensee shall maintain and keep the parking areas and driveways in the Licensed Premises clean, neat, and free of litter and debris. Such maintenance shall include snow removal, pavement repairs, curb repair, and removal of all litter, debris, and garbage.
12.11 (a) Licensee hereby acknowledges that the Fire Department of New York City ("FDNY") has issued a fuel tank variance to Ferry Point Golf Course permitting the dispensation of petroleum from an above ground tank protected by a fuel containment system. The City shall install two above ground tanks and fire suppression system in accordance with the Development Agreement (including, Section 6.6 and Schedule 2 of the Development Agreement), and the requirements of the FDNY variance, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit G and any other applicable Legal Requirements.
(b) Licensee shall operate, inspect and properly maintain the tanks provided by the City referenced in Exhibit G, and as set forth in Section 12.11(a) above, in accordance with (i) the FDNY variance, if applicable, (ii) any applicable amendments thereto, and (iii) any other applicable Legal Requirements.
(c) Any changes, removals or additions of tanks must be pre-approved by Parks and FDNY.
12.12 Licensee shall clean and maintain all exhaust vents, screens, grease traps and exhaust on a regular basis.
12.13 During the hours of operation of the Licensed Premises, Licensee shall clean and maintain the public restrooms located thereon. The restrooms shall be cleaned and maintained in accordance with the manner approved by Parks.
12.14 Licensee shall provide adequate staff in order to maintain the Golf Course as a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course. Licensee shall maintain the Golf Course and implement turf care programs, such as aerification, fertilization, fungicide, seeding and sodding as well as yearround maintenance schedules in compliance with the turf management guidelines for the Golf Course set forth in Exhibit M, attached hereto (the "Maintenance Guidelines"). If pursuant to the Nicklaus Subcontract, a turf management program for the Golf Course is to be developed at the City's expense by a qualified agronomist approved by Nicklaus Design, the City shall make good faith efforts to cause such agronomist to consult with and coordinate its activities with Licensee's golf course superintendant; Licensee shall be required to maintain the Golf Course in compliance with such turf management program to the extent that it is consistent with the reasonable standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. For the avoidance of doubt, the Parties agree that Licensee must maintain the Golf Course as a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and to the quality level consistent with a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course notwithstanding Licensee's compliance with the Maintenance Guidelines and subject to Section 3.3(a)(i)(c) and Section 11.1 of this Agreement, as applicable.
12.15 (a) Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations for maintenance, repairs or replacements under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), and subject to the provisions of Section 7.4:
(i) Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, operate and maintain the irrigation system in good repair and working order, including but not limited to: the repair and replacement of all equipment and material as needed, including the booster pump system, lake lift pump system, electrical system, weather station, radio system, computer system, control, decoder and/or satellite system, irrigation heads and lines, pump house structure and all other associated equipment and materials substantially in accordance with operation and maintenance manuals;
(ii) each fall, Licensee shall winterize the entire irrigation system, and each spring Licensee shall start up, pressurize and fill the system;
(iii) Licensee shall repair any leaks, replace any damaged or missing irrigation heads, and maintain all equipment and pump houses in a clean and orderly manner; and
(iv) Licensee shall maintain the grounds and overflow structures, keeping them free from algae, debris and trash, and making repairs as needed.

For the avoidance of doubt, the Parties agree that except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations for maintenance, repairs or replacements under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee must maintain the irrigation system in good repair and working order notwithstanding Licensee's compliance with the operation and maintenance manuals.
(b) Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, retain the services of qualified technicians and/or service firms to fully comply with all provisions of the irrigation system's operation and maintenance manual, as issued by the manufacturer of the irrigation system.
12.16 (a) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein or in the Development Agreement, neither the City nor Parks shall be responsible to remediate or remove any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions or indemnify, protect, defend or hold harmless any of the Licensee Indemnitees with respect to any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions or any liability with respect thereto to the extent that such Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions is caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees.
(b) If changes to the Golf Course requested by Licensee that are required to obtain a professional PGA tournament at the Licensed Premises would require the disturbance or excavation of the municipal solid waste below the surface of the Licensed Premises, Licensee shall be responsible for the performance of such work and the cost of such work with respect to any municipal solid waste that may be excavated or disturbed by such work being performed by Licensee, including the cost of disposing of such municipal solid waste, if required, in compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, the DEC Part 360 Permit (as applicable), the DEC Deed (as applicable) and all applicable Environmental Laws, provided, however, that Licensee shall not be responsible for any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Envirommental Conditions or any
liability with respect thereto arising from Licensee's work unless caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees.
12.17 Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, provide normal maintenance for the greens, tees, fairways and sand bunkers, which shall include but not be limited to the following:

- Greens: Provide for the cutting and the applications of appropriate fungicides, herbicides and insecticides as part of a complete treatment and prevention program.
- Tees: Provide normal maintenance which will include cutting, fertilizing and applications of appropriate chemicals.
- Fairways: Provide normal maintenance including cutting, application of appropriate chemicals, and spot seeding where necessary.
- Sand Bunkers: Provide normal maintenance including raking, weeding, and keeping proper sand levels.
12.18 (a) Licensee's obligation to make or cause to be made, major repairs or Capital Improvements are limited by the monetary levels established herein. Except as set forth in Section 12.18 (b) or 12.18(c), in no event shall Licensee be obligated to spend amounts or perform any major repairs or Capital Improvements that are not Required Capital Improvements (collectively
"Additional Capital Improvements") in excess of (i) the ten million dollars ( $\$ 10,000,000$ ) that Licensee is required to spend on Required Capital Improvements pursuant to Section 10.1, plus any additional amounts that may be required to complete the Required Capital Improvements described on Exhibit F, plus (ii) amounts set aside in the Capital Reserve Fund pursuant to Section 10.29. For the avoidance of doubt, the cost of any temporary Clubhouse shall be applied to the Minimum Capital Improvements Costs to be expended by Licensee hereunder.
(b) In no event shall Licensee be responsible for Additional Capital Improvements that are required by reason of Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions (except Licensee shall be responsible for Additional Capital Improvements and shall perform such Additional Capital Improvements at its sole cost and expense pursuant to Section 12.19(a) to the extent that such Additional Capital Improvements are required by Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions that are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees), which Additional Capital Improvements shall be performed by Parks at its sole cost and expense, pursuant to Section 12.19(a).
(c) In addition to the Required Capital Improvements to be made by Licensee pursuant to Section 10.1 of this License Agreement (or Additional Capital Improvements required pursuant to Section 12.18(b), as applicable), Licensee agrees to make Additional Capital Improvements at the Licensed Premises which may be required at the Licensed Premises (and such work shall be governed by the terms of this Agreement) under the terms of this Agreement to be made by Licensee, provided that the cost of such Additional Capital Improvements shall not exceed $\$ 100,000$ in any Operating Year in the aggregate for such Operating Year, in excess of amounts available in the Capital Reserve Fund. In the event the cost of all such Additional Capital Improvements in any Operating Year shall exceed $\$ 100,000$ in the aggregate, in excess of amounts available in the Capital Reserve Fund, Licensee shall have no obligation to perform same, provided, however, in the event any Additional Capital Improvements are critical to the continued operation of the Licensed

Premises, as determined by the Parties, and the estimated cost thereof exceeds $\$ 100,000$ but is less than or equal to $\$ 500,000$ in such Operating Year in the aggregate, in each case in excess of amounts available in the Capital Reserve Fund, and the Parties cannot reasonably agree on how to share the expenses or effect the Additional Capital Improvements, Licensee shall perform such Additional Capital Improvements and the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee in an amount equal to the reasonable cost of such Additional Capital Improvements in excess of $\$ 100,000$ in any Operating Year in the aggregate for such Operating Year, in excess of amounts available in the Capital Reserve Fund, actually paid or incurred by Licensee, provided that documentation of such costs, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. In the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, which, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10, will be the sole remedy of Licensee hereunder for such costs of Additional Capital Improvements. In the event the estimated cost of such Additional Capital Improvements exceed $\$ 500,000$ in any Operating Year in the aggregate for such Operating Year, in excess of amounts available in the Capital Reserve Fund, either the City shall pay the cost of such Additional Capital Improvement in excess of $\$ 500,000$ over the amounts available in the Capital Reserve Fund, or Licensee shall have the right to terminate this License Agreement on thirty (30) days notice to the City. If Licensee terminates this License Agreement pursuant to this Section 12.18(c), the City shall pay the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) hereof, to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.2.
(d) Beginning in Operating Year 5 of this License Agreement, the amounts stated in Section 12.18(c) (for the aggregate Additional Capital Improvements) shall increase every Operating Year in accordance with increases in the CPI. For purposes of calculating any increase in the amounts of individual and aggregate Additional Capital Improvements as a result of an increase in the CPI, the CPI for the Operating Year 5 shall be considered the base year. In no event shall such amounts be adjusted downward.
12.19 (a) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, the City, at its sole cost and expense, shall:
(i) (x) perform all maintenance and make all repairs, replacements and Capital Improvements to the Licensed Premises, including, without limitation, all utility systems and connections, including but not limited to, underground utility lines located within the Licensed Premises, that are required by reason of Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, in which case Licensee, at its sole cost and expense, shall be responsible for the maintenance, repairs, replacements and Capital Improvements that are required by reason of such negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees), and (y) subject to Section 11.3(b) of the Development Agreement, repair, replace, restore or rebuild any of the City's Work or any other Capital Improvements performed by or on behalf of the City in which material defects of design, manufacture, construction or installation may appear or to which damage may occur because of such defects up to the one (1) year ammiversary of the date of Substantial Completion with respect to any applicable item of the City's Work or other Capital Improvement, or in each case such longer period of time that the applicable item of the City's Work or Capital Improvement may be under warranty or guarantee. If Licensee discovers or becomes aware of (without any obligation to investigate) any material defects of design, manufacture, construction or installation or damage that has occurred because of such
defects, then Licensee shall send a written notice to the City in accordance with Section 12.19(e)(i) of this Agreement.
(ii) promptly undertake and diligently complete the removal and/or the remediation of Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, in which case Licensee, at its sole cost and expense, shall be responsible for the removal and/or remediation that is required by reason of such negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees) at the Licensed Premises which require remediation or removal under applicable Environmental Laws or which materially and adversely interfere with the Grow-In or which materially and adversely interfere with the use of the Licensed Premises for any of the purposes or uses permitted hereunder, so that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions no longer materially and adversely interfere with the Grow-In or the use of the Licensed Premises, if applicable, and in all events in accordance with all applicable Environmental Laws, to the satisfaction of all federal, state and City agencies having jurisdiction over such work and the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, provided, however, that without limiting any of Licensee's, City's or Parks' rights or remedies under this Agreement (as the case may be), the City or Licensee (as the case may be) shall not be responsible to undertake such removal and/or remediation until it has received DEC approval for such removal and/or remediation, if required, provided that City or Licensee (as the case may be) shall use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain DEC approval for such removal and/or remediation, if required, as expeditiously as reasonably practicable and further provided that any of Licensee's submissions to DEC shall require the prior approval of Parks in accordance with Section 12.19(e).
(iii) maintain, repair and replace as needed the irrigation and related systems and any other utility systems, connections or equipment or any other materials or items at depths at or below the municipal solid waste layer and/or where the maintenance, repair and/or replacement thereof will disturb or require excavation of the layer of municipal solid waste located below the surface of the Licensed Premises, except to the extent that Licensee has such obligations under Section 7.4;
(iv) be responsible for (i) the operation, maintenance and repair, if any, of the Licensed Premises as a site for the disposal of solid, hazardous or other waste materials by burial (a "Landfill") in compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, including, without limitation all applicable Environmental Laws, including compliance with the DEC Part 360 Permit and the DEC Deed, (ii) any Environmental Conditions and/or the effects thereof with respect to the Licensed Premises and/or resulting liability, which are caused by the Landfill, whether such Environmental Conditions and/or the effects thereof and resulting liability is presently existing or arises after the execution of this Agreement, (iii) any Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions that result from any conduct or condition that occurred or existed prior to the Concession Commencement Date, whether such Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are presently existing or arises after the Concession Commencement Date, except to the extent that such Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, in which case Licensee, at its sole cost and expense, shall be responsible for such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions caused or exacerbated by reason of such negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, and (iv) obtaining any required

Governmental Approvals relating to Environmental Conditions, except that Licensee, at its sole cost and expense, shall be responsible for obtaining such Governmental Approvals to the extent required by Environmental Conditions that are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees;
(v) subject to the provisos in the next to last sentence of this Section 12.19(a)(v), provide and install all required methane monitoring equipment and equipment required for the monitoring of settlement, provide staff to conduct the monitoring and reporting activities necessary for monitoring methane and settlement issues related to the Landfill and operate the methane monitoring equipment and settlement monitoring equipment installed by or on behalf of Parks, and be solely responsible for the management of such staff and the operation of such equipment and for reporting the results of such monitoring, in each case, in accordance with all applicable Environmental Laws, applicable Governmental Approvals, the DEC Part 360 Permit and the DEC Deed. For the sake of clarity, and without limiting the City's or Parks' obligations under this Agreement, applicable Legal Requirements, the DEC Part 360 Permit or the DEC Deed, the City and/or Parks shall conduct all inspections, maintenance, repair and monitoring activities of all on and off-site portions of the monitoring wells, gas venting trenches, active and passive gas venting systems, and piezometers required by the DEC Part 360 Permit, the DEC Deed and applicable Legal Requirements. Except as specifically provided in the provisos at the end of this sentence, Licensee shall have no responsibility for installing, affixing, replacing, operating, repairing, preserving or maintaining any equipment for the monitoring of methane and other gases, settlement and other Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions at the Licensed Premises and the active and passive gas venting systems, provided, however, Licensee shall be responsible at its cost and expense solely (i) for the removal of bio growth for the portion of the venting trench within the Licensed Premises; (ii) for the portion of the venting trench within the Licensed Premises, for the annual replacement of mulch and the prompt replacement of mulch following any soil washouts of the mulch cover and (iii) for promptly repairing and/or replacing any such monitoring equipment at the Licensed Premises that is damaged due to the operations or activities of Licensee (ordinary wear and tear excepted); provided further that ( x ) if Licensee actually becomes aware of (without any obligation to investigate) any overgrowth of bio growth or that a soil washout of the mulch has occurred to a portion of the venting trench within the Licensed Premises, and Licensee cannot reasonably remove the bio growth or replace the mulch within forty-eight (48) hours of discovery, as the case may be, then Licensee shall promptly report such damage to Parks in writing and Licensee shall remove the bio growth or replace the mulch, as the case may be, within two weeks of discovery by Licensee, and (y) for the portion of the venting trench within the Licensed Premises, if Parks discovers the overgrowth of bio growth or that a soil washout of the mulch has occurred, Parks shall promptly notify Licensee and the IEM (as required by the DEC Part 360 Permit) in writing and Licensee shall remove the bio growth or replace the mulch, as the case may be, within two (2) weeks of receipt of such notification from Parks. In the event of any damage due to Licensee's operations or activities as set forth in clauses (ii) and (iii) of the preceding sentence, such damage shall be promptly reported to Parks in writing. Any work conducted or performed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises shall be in accordance with all applicable Environmental Laws, applicable Legal Requirements, applicable Governmental Approvals, the DEC Part 360 Permit (as applicable), the DEC Deed (as applicable) and any other licenses or permits required by applicable Legal Requirements; and
(vi) perform any reconstruction of, additional construction to, repair or renovation of the City's Work or any element of the Licensed Premises (including the installation of Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment) required by Legal Requirements applicable to the Licensed Premises
(including, without limitation, any conditions of a renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit, any DEC Deed and/or any conditions imposed by the DEC and/or any SEQRA or CEQR review with respect to Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises, including the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises) (each, a "City's Reconstruction Activity"), provided, that the City will not be required to perform any reconstruction of, additional construction to, repair or renovation of the Required Capital Improvements unless otherwise required to pursuant to this License Agreement and the Development Agreement, and provided further, that the City will not be required to perform any reconstruction of, additional construction to, repair or renovation of the City's Work or any element of the Licensed Premises to the extent that the need for such reconstruction, construction, repair or renovation has arisen as a result of Licensee's negligence, willful misconduct, or default or failure to perform under this License Agreement or the Development Agreement. Licensee shall not be responsible for any of City's Reconstruction Activities, which shall be performed by the City at the City's sole cost and expense. The City shall be responsible, at its sole cost and expense, for the restoration of any Hole or any portion of the Licensed Premises to the condition prior to the commencement of the City's Reconstruction Activities after the completion of the City's Reconstruction Activity on such Hole or portion of the Licensed Premises.
(b) Parks and the City hereby represent, warrant and covenant to the Licensee that (i) no portion of the Licensed Premises are actively being used for or during the Term will be used for the disposal of municipal solid waste, (ii) (1) no disposal of Hazardous Substances are currently permitted at Ferry Point Park and (2) during the Term and the term of the Development Agreement, no disposal of Hazardous Substances will be permitted at Ferry Point Park, (iii) any soil or other fill material brought to the Licensed Premises for the purpose of providing cover for the Landfill (except as brought by the Licensee in accordance with this Agreement and the Development Agreement) (x) shall not contain any Hazardous Substances in amounts that would result in a violation of Environmental Laws, the DEC Part 360 Permit or the DEC Deed or pose a threat to the safety or health of persons or the Environment, and (y) shall not compromise the integrity of the Golf Course or any building foundations, and (iv) the City will only undertake disposal of any solid waste that is in compliance with DEC Part 360 Permit, the DEC Deed and all applicable Environmental Laws and Legal Requirements.
(c) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this License Agreement, the City shall indemnify, protect, defend and hold harmless Licensee, its members, partners, officers, directors, employees, agents, Affiliates, successors and assigns ("Licensee Indemnitees") from and against any and all claims, demands, losses, liabilities, obligations, fines, damages, penalties, lawsuits, costs, charges and expenses, including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements (collectively, "Claims"), relating to or in connection with: (i) a default or breach by Parks or the City under this License Agreement, including a breach of the representations set forth in this Agreement; (ii) the operation, maintenance, repair or regulatory compliance of the Landfill required under any Environmental Laws, (iii) the existence, exposure or disturbance of municipal solid waste at the Licensed Premises and the failure of the City to properly dispose of and/or remediate such municipal solid waste in accordance with applicable Legal Requirements (except to the extent that Licensee is required to dispose of and/or remediate such municipal solid waste pursuant to the terms of this Agreement or the Development Agreement) or pursuant to this Agreement or the Development Agreement (except to the extent such waste is exposed or disturbed by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, including but not limited to the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees in the course of performing Licensee's responsibilities pursuant to Section 7.4 or Section 12.16(b) of this Agreement
or Section 11.4 or Section 12.1 of the Development Agreement), (iv) Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions, whether such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are presently existing or arise after the date hereof, except to the extent that such Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, (v) the ownership or operation of the Licensed Premises (or any part therof) prior to the turnover of such portion of the Licensed Premises to Licensee pursuant to the Development Agreement or this Agreement (except if Licensee performs any Capital Improvement or other activities on any portion of the Licensed Premises prior to the turnover of such portion of the Licensed Premises to Licensee pursuant to this Agreement or the Development Agreement, then subject to the terms of this Agreement and without limiting Parks' or the City's obligations under this Agreement and the Development Agreement, Licensee shall assume the risk for such Capital Improvement or activity); (vi) in connection with the City's Work (except to the extent that such City's Work was performed by Licensee in accordance with Section 10.8 of the Development Agreement; provided that even where the City's work is performed by Licensee in accordance with Section 10.8 of the Development Agreement, the foregoing indemnity shall apply to the extent any Claim is related to or in connection with Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions except to the extent such Environmental Conditions and/or the effect of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees) and/or the City's Reconstruction Activities; (vii) wildlife hazards to nearby airports or air navigation resulting from geese and/or other wildlife at the Licensed Premises and/or any other City property within the vicinity of the Licensed Premises (including Ferry Point Park) and/or the City's control and/or mitigation of geese and/or other wildlife populations at any of the foregoing areas (including the lethal removal of geese) (except to the extent any such Claims set forth in this clause arise from the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees in performing any of the Licensee Goose Related Activities); (viii) Licensee's failure to comply with SEQRA/CEQR, the DEC Part 360 Permit or any other applicable laws to the extent Licensee's non-compliance is caused by Licensee's failure to comply with the statement contained in the SEQRA/CEQR assessment dated April 27, 2005 for Ferry Point Park that herbicides or pesticides will not be used at the Licensed Premises, that Parks acknowledges was made in error, (ix) any payment obligations under the Nicklaus Subcontract, except for remedies due to Nicklaus Design, if any, arising from the breach of this License Agreement by any of the Licensee Indemnitees or the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, and (x) any obligations under the Nicklaus Subcontract to the extent that City is required to perform such obligations under this Agreement and/or the Development Agreement or if such obligations are required to be performed by or on behalf of Sanford Golf Design under the Nicklaus Subcontract. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the provisions of the City's indemnification shall not be construed to indemnify or provide for the defense of any of the Licensee Indemnitees to the extent any Claims are attributable to the acts or omissions of any of the Licensee Indemnitees (provided, however, notwithstanding the foregoing, the City's indemnification obligations shall apply to Claims related to or in connection with any Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions, except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees). The foregoing indemnification shall survive any termination or expiration of this License Agreement.

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this License Agreement, Licensee shall indemnify, protect, defend and hold harmless City, Parks, their agents and employees (collectively, "Indemnitees") from and against any and all Claims relating to or in connection with: (i) a default or breach by Licensee under this License Agreement, including a breach of the representations set forth
in this Agreement; (ii) the exposure or disturbance of municipal solid waste at the Licensed Premises to the extent such municipal solid waste is exposed or disturbed by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees and the failure of Licensee to properly dispose of and/or remediate such municipal solid waste in accordance with applicable Legal Requirements if required of Licensee by this Agreement in connection with such exposure or disturbance; (iii) the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, including but not limited to, in the course of performing Licensee's responsibilities pursuant to Section 7.4 or Section 12.16(b) of this Agreement or Section 11.4 or Section 12.1 of the Development Agreement, (iv) the failure of Licensee to properly dispose of municipal solid waste, if required by this Agreement or the Development Agreement, in accordance with Legal Requirements, in the course of performing Licensee's responsibilities pursuant to Section 7.4 or Section 12.16(b) of this Agreement or Section 11.4 or Section 12.10f the Development Agreement; (v) Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions, whether such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are presently existing or arise after the date hereof, to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and /or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees; and/or (vi) the performance by Licensee of the City's Work in accordance with Section 10.8 of the Development Agreement (provided that the foregoing in this clause (vi) shall not apply to the extent any Claim is related to or in connection with Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions except to the extent such Environmental Conditions and/or the effect of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees); (vii) wildlife hazards to nearby airports or air navigation resulting from geese and/or other wildlife at the Licensed Premises and/or the Licensee's control and/or mitigation of geese and /or other wildlife populations at the Licensed Premises to the extent any such Claims set forth in this clause arise from the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees in performing any of the Licensee Goose Related Activities. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the provisions of Licensee's indemnification shall not be construed to indemnify or provide for the defense of any Indemnitees to the extent any Claims are attributable to the acts or omissions of the Indemnitees. The foregoing indemnification shall survive any termination or expiration of this License Agreement.
(d) Licensee shall at its sole cost and expense provide all new cover over the Landfill required by Licensee to replace cover that is removed or disturbed due to Licensee's construction and maintenance activities, Golf Course operations and maintenance. Licensee represents, warrants and covenants that any soil or other fill material brought to the Licensed Premises for the purpose of providing cover for the Landfill (x) shall not contain any Hazardous Substances in amounts that would result in a violation of Environmental Laws, the DEC Part 360 Permit or the DEC Deed or pose a threat to the safety or health of persons or the Environment, and (y) shall not compromise the integrity of the Golf Course or any building foundations
(e) (i) If (x) Licensee discovers (without any obligation to investigate) or becomes aware of any maintenance, repair, replacement, removal, remediation or other work ("Repair or Remediation") required to be performed by the City pursuant to Section 12.19(a), then within sixty (60) days of Licensee discovering (without any obligation to investigate) or becoming aware of any Repair or Remediation, Licensee shall send a written notice to the City (the "Repair or Remediation Notice") requesting that the City comply with such Repair or Remediation obligations, or (y) the City or Parks, as the case may be, discovers any Repair or Remediation that the City is required to perform pursuant to Section 12.19(a), then the City or Parks, as the case may be, shall send a written notification to Licensee.
(ii) If the subject Repair or Remediation does not require Repair or Remediation of an Environmental Condition, the City shall, within one hundred twenty (120) days (or one hundred eighty (180) days where the City needs to obtain a new or replacement Contractor to perform such Repair or Remediation) after receipt of such Repair or Remediation Notice or after the City or Parks, as the case may be, has sent a written notice to Licensee pursuant to Section 12.19(e)(i) above, commence such Repair or Remediation and shall diligently complete such Repair or Remediation, to the satisfaction of all federal, state and City agencies having jurisdiction over such work and the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. If the City fails to commence the Repair or Remediation within the applicable period or fails to diligently complete such Repair or Remediation in accordance with the standards set forth herein within one hundred eighty (180) days of commencing such Repair or Remediation, Licensee may either (i) terminate this License Agreement or (ii) perform such Repair or Remediation.
(iii) If the subject Repair or Remediation requires Repair or Remediation of an Environmental Condition, within one hundred twenty (120) days (or one hundred eighty (180) days where the City needs to obtain a new or replacement Contractor to perform such Repair or Remediation) after its receipt of such Repair or Remediation Notice or after the City or Parks, as the case may be, has sent a written notice to Licensee pursuant to Section 12.19(e)(i) above, the City shall give written notice to Licensee whether or not the City will perform the Repair or Remediation, and, if the City elects to perform the Repair or Remediation, the amount of time, including any time required to obtain DEC approval of the proposed Repair or Remediation action, if required, that the City estimates will be required to complete the Repair or Remediation. In the event the City elects to undertake such Repair or Remediation the City shall, promptly thereafter, use commercially reasonable efforts to obtain DEC approval in accordance with Section 12.19(a)(ii) of this Agreement, and after receipt of DEC approval of any proposed Repair or Remediation action, if required, commence such Repair or Remediation and shall diligently complete such Repair or Remediation, to the satisfaction of all federal, state and City agencies having jurisdiction over such work and the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. If the City elects not to undertake such Repair or Remediation or, having elected to perform such Repair or Remediation, fails to promptly commence the Repair or Remediation or to diligently complete such Repair or Remediation in accordance with the standards set forth herein within one hundred eighty (180) days of commencing such Repair or Remediation, Licensee may either (i) terminate this License Agreement or (ii) perform such Repair or Remediation, subject to DEC approval of any proposed Repair or Remediation action, if required.
(iv) In the event that the Repair or Remediation described above in this Section 12.19(e) is required due to an emergency condition or a condition that materially and adversely affects Licensee's Grow-In, construction of the Required Capital Improvements or operation of the Licensed Premises, or any part thereof (whether or not such emergency qualifies as an emergency pursuant to the New York City Charter or New York General Municipal Law, Article 5-A, §103, subsection 4), Licensee may request a meeting with Parks to discuss a plan for expediting the remediation, repair or replacement, as applicable, and Licensee and Parks shall attempt in good faith to promptly negotiate a mutually acceptable solution to expedite the remediation, repair, or replacement.
(v) In the event that the time to complete such Repair or Remediation under this Section 12.19(e), including any time required to obtain any required DEC approval, as reasonably estimated by the City, exceeds twelve (12) months, and if, during such twelve (12) month period,

Licensee would not be able to conduct business at the Licensed Premises, Licensee shall have the right to terminate this Agreement.
(vi) (A) If (x) the City or Parks discovers (without any obligation to investigate) any maintenance, repair, replacement, removal, remediation or other work required to be performed by Licensee pursuant to Section 12.19(a) ("Licensee Repair or Remediation"), then the City or Parks, as the case may be, shall send a written notice to Licensee (the "Licensee Repair or Remediation Notice") requesting that Licensee comply with such Licensee Repair or Remediation obligations, or (y) Licensee discovers any Licensee Repair or Remediation that Licensee is required to perform pursuant to Section 12.19(a), then Licensee shall send a written notice to the City. The Parties agree that Licensee's failure to perform a Licensee Repair or Remediation in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement shall be deemed a material breach or failure to substantially comply with this Agreement.
(B) If the subject Licensee Repair or Remediation does not require Licensee Repair or Remediation of an Environmental Condition, then Licensee shall, within one hundred twenty (120) days after receipt of such Licensee Repair or Remediation Notice or after Licensee has sent a written notice to the City pursuant to Section 12.19(e)(vi)(A) above, commence such Licensee Repair or Remediation and shall diligently complete such Licensee Repair or Remediation, to the satisfaction of all federal, state and City agencies having jurisdiction over such work and the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course within one hundred eighty (180) days of commencing such Licensee Repair or Remediation.
(C) If the subject Licensee Repair or Remediation requires Licensee Repair or Remediation of an Environmental Condition, within one hundred twenty (120) days after its receipt of such Licensee Repair or Remediation Notice or after Licensee has sent a written notice to the City pursuant to Section $12.19(\mathrm{e})(\mathrm{vi})(\mathbf{A})$ above, Licensee shall give written notice to the City of the amount of time, including any time required to obtain DEC approval of the proposed Licensee Repair or Remediation action, if required, that Licensee estimates will be required to complete the Licensee Repair or Remediation. Licensee shall, (x) promptly thereafter, submit its proposed submission for DEC to Parks for Parks' approval, which approval shall be given or withheld (and if withheld shall state the reason for such withholding and the changes required by Parks) as expeditiously as reasonably possible to avoid any delay in the proposed submission to the DEC and in no event later than the earlier of (i) two (2) days prior to the time the submission is due to the DEC or (ii) fifteen (15) business days from the date of receipt of such request for approval by Parks and (y) after receipt of DEC approval of any proposed Licensee Repair or Remediation action, if required, commence such Licensee Repair or Remediation and shall diligently complete such Licensee Repair or Remediation, to the satisfaction of all federal, state and City agencies having jurisdiction over such work and the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course within one hundred eighty (180) days of commencing such Licensee Repair or Remediation.
(f) (i) If Licensee conducts a Repair or Remediation action at the Licensed Premises, as set forth in Section 12.19(e) (other then a Licensee Repair or Remediation action that Licensee is required to perform pursuant to Section 12.19(a)), then the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee in an amount equal to the reasonable cost of the Repair or Remediation (including all consultants, experts' and attorneys' fees) actually paid or incurred by Licensee, provided that documentation of
such costs, satisfactory to the City, is submitted to the City. In the event that the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee for such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof, which License Fee Credit and interest, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10, shall be Licensee's sole remedy hereunder for such costs of the Repair or Remediation. For the sake of clarity, the City shall not pay or reimburse Licensee and Licensee shall not be entitled to any License Fee Credit or any other remedy for conducting a Licensee Repair or Remediation action at the Licensed Premises that Licensee is required to perform pursuant to Section 12.19(a).
(ii) Without limiting Licensee's rights under this Agreement, if Licensee performs any maintenance, repairs, replacement, restoration, or rebuilding that is required by reason of material defects of design, manufacture, construction or installation of the City's Work or any other Capital Improvements performed by or on behalf of the City which may appear or to which damage may occur because of such defect during the period beginning after the one (1) year anniversary of Substantial Completion with respect to any applicable item of the City's Work or other Capital Improvement, then the costs and expenses of such work shall be a Capital Improvement Cost and shall be credited against the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost to be expended by Licensee under this Agreement.
(g) If Licensee's operation of (A) at least two (2) Holes in accordance with the terms of this Agreement is adversely interrupted, impacted or restricted for (x) at least two (2) consecutive months during the golf season (which shall mean the period commencing on May $1^{\text {st }}$ of a calendar year and ending on September $30^{\text {th }}$ of the same calendar year) or (y) at least three (3) consecutive months at any time, or (B) the Practice Facility is adversely interrupted, impacted or restricted for at least the period between May $1^{\text {st }}$ of a calendar year and September $30^{\text {th }}$ of the same calendar year, through no fault of Licensee, due to (i) repairs, alterations, improvements, additions or maintenance work being performed by or on behalf of the City and/or Parks pursuant to Section 19.3; (ii) where such matter shall be within the City's and/or Parks' control, the City's and/or Parks' failure to provide (or cause to be provided) Utilities or other services that they are required to provide hereunder; (iii) Repair or Remediation being performed by or on behalf of the City and/or Parks pursuant to Section 12.19(a), including any Repair or Remediation being performed by Licensee on behalf of the City pursuant to Section 12.19(e); (iv) failure of the City and/or Parks to perform their Repair or Remediation obligations under Section 12.19(a); (v) the existence or remediation of Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions by or on behalf of either the City or Parks or Licensee (except to the extent that the Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees), or (v) Force Majeure, then, in such an event Licensee shall provide Parks with written documentation of same, and thereafter Licensee and Parks shall meet as soon as possible after notice from Licensee to Parks requesting a meeting (and in any event no later than within five (5) business days after such notice from Licensee to Parks) and cooperate in good faith to agree to an equitable solution to minimize such adverse effect, it being acknowledged and agreed by the Parties that Licensee is not assuming the risk with respect to the foregoing items listed above in this Section $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 9 ( \mathbf { g } )}$. While the Parties shall use good faith efforts to agree to an equitable solution as quickly as possible, Parks shall provide its proposed solution no later than fifteen (15) business days from the Parties' meeting in accordance with the preceding sentence. Without limiting the scope of potential equitable solutions, the Parties recognize that an equitable solution may, depending on the circumstances and subject to compliance with applicable Legal Requirements, include, among other things, providing License Fee Credits; directly reimbursing Licensee for reasonable costs and expenses actually paid or incurred by Licensee, reducing the Minimum Annual Fee; extension of the

Concession Period; and allowing Licensee to operate and/or maintain the Licensed Premises to a standard lower than that required under the License Agreement; provided that an equitable solution shall not include lowering the standard of operation or maintenance below that of a "first class" golf course facility unless Parks and Licensee mutually agree to such reduction in each such Party's sole discretion. If Licensee acts in good faith to reach an equitable solution and the Parties are unable to reach an equitable solution, Licensee shall have the right to seek all appropriate legal and equitable remedies.
(h) In the event that the Golf Course Facilities and the Clubhouse cannot be reasonably operated for a period of twelve (12) consecutive months to the standard of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course, by reason of any of the conditions set forth in Section 12.19(g), then Licensee may elect to terminate this License Agreement. If by reason of the conditions set forth in Section 9.40(z) Licensee is unable to complete the Grow-In so that the Licensed Premises can be operated to the standard of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course within the period of two (2) years following the City's receipt of a notice pursuant to Legal Requirements (including any notice from the DEC) requiring the City to perform any City's Reconstruction Activity (a "Reconstruction Notice"), then Licensee may elect to terminate this License Agreement, provided, however, if the City challenges (through administrative or judicial process, as applicable) a Reconstruction Notice in good faith, then the two (2) year period following City's receipt of such Reconstruction Notice shall be tolled beginning on the date that the City initiates its challenge (through administrative or judicial process, as applicable) until the earlier of: (i) such time that the City's challenge is denied beyond any right of appeal, and the two (2) year period shall begin or continue rumning, as the case may be, from the date of such denial or (ii) if the City is required pursuant to Legal Requirements to perform City's Reconstruction Activities regardless of its good faith challenge of the Reconstruction Notice, such time that the City commences performance of City's Reconstruction Activities after initiating the City's challenge (through administrative or judicial process, as applicable) of the Reconstruction Notice. The City shall provide to Licensee notice of its receipt of any Reconstruction Notice within ten (10) business days after the City's receipt thereof.
(i) If Licensee terminates this License Agreement pursuant to Sections 12.19(e)(ii), (iii), $(\mathbf{v})$ or (h), the City shall pay the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) hereof, to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.2. The exercise of all options granted to Licensee under this Section 12.19 shall be solely at the discretion of the Licensee and shall be effective upon thirty (30) days written notice to the Commissioner.

## 13. EQUIPMENT

13.1 Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense and to the satisfaction of Commissioner, acquire, provide, install or affix and replace, if necessary, all equipment materials and supplies necessary for the operation of the Licensed Premises, and put, keep, repair, preserve and maintain in good order all equipment found on, placed in, installed in or affixed to the Licensed Premises.
13.2 Commissioner represents that City has title to all Fixed Equipment. Licensee shall have the use of all Fixed Equipment located on the Licensed Premises.
13.3 Title to any Additional Fixed Equipment, and to all construction, renovation, or improvements made to the Licensed Premises shall vest in and belong to the City at the City's option, which option may be exercised at any time after the Substantial Completion of the affixing of said equipment or the Substantial Completion of such construction, renovation or improvement. To the extent City chooses not to exercise such option, it shall provide written notice thereof to Licensee, and it shall be the responsibility of Licensee, at its sole cost and expense, to remove such equipment during the Removal Period. For avoidance of doubt the Parties agree that Licensee shall not under any circumstances be required to remove completed buildings, heating, plumbing, air conditioning, electrical wiring, elevators, windows and ventilation fixtures. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Licensee shall have the right, at its sole cost and expense, to dispose of and replace any equipment subject to applicable law, including, without limitation, all Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment and Expendable Equipment that is obsolete or that exceeds its useful life, provided that such Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment is replaced with equipment of similar quality.

## 14. EXPENDABLE EOUIPMENT

14.1 Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement) Licensee shall supply, at its own cost and expense, all Expendable Equipment, consumables and operating supplies and equipment reasonably required for the operation of this License Agreement, including, but not limited to, tables and chairs, and office furniture, and replace same at its own cost and expense when requested by Commissioner.
14.2 Licensee shall, to the reasonable satisfaction of Parks, supply a fleet of a sufficient number of golf carts for the successful operation of the Golf Course, maintain them in good condition, and replace them as reasonably necessary over the length of the Concession Period.
14.3 Except as provided in Section 10.1, title to all Expendable Equipment obtained by Licensee shall remain in Licensee and such equipment shall be removed by Licensee at the termination or expiration of this License Agreement. In the event Expendable Equipment remains in the Licensed Premises following such termination or expiration and after the Removal Period without Parks' express permission, Commissioner may treat such Expendable Equipment as abandoned and charge all reasonable costs and expenses incurred in the removal thereof to Licensee.
14.4 The Expendable Equipment to be removed by Licensee pursuant to Section 14.3 above shall be removed from the Licensed Premises in such a way as shall cause no damage to the Licensed Premises. Notwithstanding its vacating and surrender of the Licensed Premises, Licensee shall remain liable to City for any damage it caused to the Licensed Premises.

## 15. CONDITION UPON SURRENDER

15.1 At the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement, Licensee shall surrender the Licensed Premises, and the Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment to which City holds title, in at least as good a condition as said Licensed Premises and the Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment were found by Licensee, reasonable wear and tear excepted.
15.2 Except as provided in this License Agreement and/or the Development Agreement, Licensee acknowledges that it is acquiring a license to use the Licensed Premises solely in reliance on its own
investigation and that no representations, warranties or statements have been made by the City concerning the fitness thereof.

## 16. RESERVATION FOR PARKS SPECIAL EVENTS

16.1 (a) For the purposes of this Section 16.1 and Section 16.2 only, the term "Parks Sponsored Special Event(s)" shall mean any event conducted, sponsored or contracted by Parks or its designee for which Parks has issued a Special Event Permit and which is consistent with the intended uses of the Licensed Premises. Licensee agrees to reserve all or a portion of the Licensed Premises as requested by Parks for Parks Sponsored Special Events; provided that (i) Licensee shall only be required to make the Licensed Premises available to Parks for up to six (6) Parks Sponsored Special Events per Operating Year (each of which such Parks Sponsored Special Events shall be no longer than twelve (12) hours); (ii) Parks will use its best efforts not to interfere with or impede Licensee's income generating activities under the terms and conditions of this License Agreement or any of the Licensee's other rights, powers and privileges necessary for the proper conduct and operation of the License; (iii) the scheduling of any Parks Sponsored Special Event is subject to the prior approval of Licensee, which may be withheld if Licensee has any event scheduled pursuant to Section 9.3(a) (and for the sake of clarity, Parks shall not require Licensee to cancel or postpone any such scheduled event); and (iv) Parks agrees to schedule such Parks Sponsored Special Events no less than thirty (30) days prior to the Parks Sponsored Special Event.
(b) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, Parks will use its reasonable efforts to ensure that any third party sponsoring or promoting any Parks Sponsored Special Event pursuant to Section 16.1(a) will be responsible for maintenance, repair and clean-up associated with any such Parks Sponsored Special Event and Parks shall require any such third party to (i) purchase insurance for said special event, naming Licensee as an additional insured party; (ii) post a clean-up and restoration bond to ensure clean-up and restoration of the Licensed Premises; and (iii) indemnify Licensee Indemnitees for such losses, claims, suits, damages and costs associated with any such Parks Sponsored Special Event including reasonable attorney's fees, to the extent that such losses, claims, suits, damages and costs are not attributable to the actions or omissions of any of the Licensee Indemnitees. Commissioner represents to Licensee that Commissioner has not granted to any other person or entity any license, permit, or right of possession or use which would prevent Licensee in any way from performing its obligations and realizing its rights under this License Agreement.
16.2 Parks agrees to notify any third party operator or sponsor of Parks Sponsored Special Events of Licensee's rights to the Licensed Premises and to provide same with the name and telephone number of Licensee's Manager.

## 17. PROHIBITION AGAINST TRANSFER

17.1 Except as provided in this License Agreement (including, without limitation, use of the Concession by the public as a golf course and Sections 18.1 thru 18.4 hereof), Licensee shall not sell, transfer, assign, sublicense or encumber in any way this License Agreement hereby granted, a majority of the shares of Licensee, or any equipment furnished as provided herein (provided that Licensee shall have the right to enter into equipment leases for or grant security interests in Expendable Equipment), or any interest therein, or consent, allow or permit any other person or party to use any part of the Licensed Premises, building, space or facilities covered by this License Agreement, nor shall this License Agreement be transferred by operation of law, unless approved in
advance in writing by Commissioner, it being the purpose of this License Agreement to grant this License Agreement solely to Licensee herein named.

## 18. ASSIGNMENTS AND SUBLICENSES

18.1 Licensee may assign or sublicense its interest in whole or in part in this License Agreement provided that Licensee obtains the Commissioner's prior written approval, as follows:
(a) No assignment or other transfer of any interest in this License Agreement shall be permitted which, alone or in combination with other prior or simultaneous transfers or assignments, would have the effect of changing the ownership and/or control, whether direct or indirect, of more than forty-nine percent ( $49 \%$ ) of stock or voting control of Licensee in the Licensed Premises without the prior written consent of Commissioner. Licensee shall present to Commissioner the assignment or sublicense agreement for approval, together with any and all information as may be required by the City for such approval including a statement prepared by a certified public accountant indicating that the proposed assignee or sublicensee has a financial net worth reasonably acceptable to the Commissioner together with a certification that it shall provide management control reasonably acceptable to the Commissioner for the management and operation of the Licensed Premises. The constraints contained herein are intended to assure the City that the Licensed Premises are operated by persons, firms and corporations that are experienced and reputable operators and are not intended to diminish Licensee's interest in the Licensed Premises or to create any rights to payment as a condition of the granting of any required consent or approval.
(b) As used in this Section 18 the term "assignment" shall be deemed to include any direct or indirect assignment, sublet, sale, pledge, mortgage, transfer of or change in more than fortynine percent ( $49 \%$ ) in stock and/or voting control of the Licensee, including any transfer by operation of law. No sale or transfer of the stock owned by Licensee or its nominee may be made under any circumstance if such sale will result in a change of control violative of the intent of this Section 18.
18.2 Should Licensee choose to assign or sublicense the management and operation of any element of the Licensed Premises to another party, Licensee shall seek the approval of the Commissioner by submitting a written request including proposed assignment documents as provided above. The Commissioner may request any additional information Commissioner reasonably deems necessary and Licensee shall promptly comply with such requests.
18.3 No consent to or approval of any assignment or sublicense granted pursuant to this Section 18 shall constitute consent to or approval of any subsequent assignment or sublicense. Failure to comply with this provision shall cause the immediate termination of this License Agreement.
18.4 Except as set forth in Section 18.6 below, nothwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement, Licensee shall have the right to assign this License Agreement and the direct and indirect members of Licensee shall have the right to transfer of any direct or indirect ownership interests in Licensee, without the consent of the City, Parks or the Commissioner to (i) Donald J. Trump, (ii) the spouse and descendants of Donald J. Trump (including any related trusts controlled by, and established and maintained for the benefit of Donald J. Trump or such spouse or descendants), (iii) the estate of any of the foregoing or (iv) any entity in which Donald J. Trump and/or any of the parties referred to in clauses (i), (ii) or (iii) above has an ownership interest, provided that the proposed assignee/transferee is found by Parks, acting reasonably, to be responsible consistent with Section 1-07 of the FCRC Concession Rules, and further provided that the proposed
assignee agrees in writing to assume all of Licensee's responsibilities and obligations under the Agreement, and further provided that the Development Agreement, if then in effect, is simultaneously assigned to such entity. Licensee and proposed assignee/transferee shall comply with Vendex procedures in connection with any such assignment/transfer.
18.5 This License Agreement may be assigned by the City to any governmental corporation, governmental agency or governmental instrumentality having authority to accept such assignment provided such assignee assumes all of the City's obligations hereunder, and further provided that the Development Agreement is simultaneously assigned to such entity. The City shall provide the Licensee with prior written notice of any such assignment.
18.6 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary set forth in this Article 18, any assignment or sublicense by Licensee of any of its obligations under this Agreement that impacts upon the use of the Endorsement or the Nicklaus Subcontract shall be subject to the approval of Nicklaus Design (not to be unreasonably withheld or denied) and the provisions of Section 1.8 above; provided that, notwithstanding anything in the foregoing to the contrary, the direct or indirect transfer of ownership interests in Licensee shall not require the approval of Nicklaus Design as long as the proposed transferee/assignee is found by Parks, acting reasonably, to be responsible consistent with Section 107 of the FCRC Concession Rules.

## 19. ALTERATIONS

19.1 (a) "Alteration" shall mean (excepting ordinary repair and maintenance):
(i) any restoration (to original premises or in the event of fire or other cause), rehabilitation, modification, addition or improvement to Licensed Premises; or
(ii) any work affecting the plumbing, heating, electrical, water, mechanical, ventilating or other systems of Licensed Premises.
(b) Licensee may make Alterations and Capital Improvements to the Licensed Premises only in accordance with the requirements of subsection (c) of this Section 19.1. Capital Improvements shall not include routine maintenance and repairs required to be performed in the normal course of management and operation of the Licensed Premises which may be undertaken by Licensee without approval by Nicklaus Design or Parks and/or the City. Licensee may use Capital Reserve Funds to pay for Alterations to the extent such Alterations are Capital Improvements. Alterations shall become property of the City, at the option of the City, upon their attachment, installation or affixing to the Licensed Premises.
(c) In order to make Alterations and Capital Improvements to the Licensed Premises pursuant to subsection (b) of this Section 19.1, Licensee shall:
(i) submit to Parks whatever designs, plans, specifications, cost estimates, agreements and contractual understandings that may pertain to the contemplated Alterations or Capital Improvement;
(ii) obtain the approval of the Commissioner, and, if required hereunder, of Nicklaus Design, to such Alterations or Capital Improvements in accordance with subsection (d) of this Section 19.1;
(iii) insure that work performed and Alterations made on Licensed Premises are undertaken and completed in accordance with submissions approved pursuant to subsection (i) of this Section 19.1(c), in a good and workmanlike manner, and within a reasonable time; and
(iv) notify Commissioner of completion of, and the making final payment for, any Alteration within ten (10) days after the occurrence of said completion or final payment.
(d) (i) With regard to the Golf Course (but subject to Section 19.1(d)(ii) below), pursuant to the Nicklaus Subcontract, no substantial changes can be made to the Golf Course without the prior written approval of Nicklaus Design. Licensee acknowledges and agrees that Licensee shall obtain (x) a written determination from Nicklaus Design as to whether any proposed Alterations or Capital Improvements to the Golf Course are substantial in nature, and, if so, the express prior written approval of Nicklaus Design to such Alterations or Capital Improvements; and (y) the express prior written approval of Parks prior to making any Alterations or Capital Improvements to the Golf Course. Licensee shall request approval to commence Alterations or Capital Improvements from Parks and shall simultaneously provide Parks with copies of the documents set forth in subsection (i) of Section 19.1(c) together with the determination and approval, if required, from Nicklaus Design as described herein. In the event that the proposed Alterations or Capital Improvements have a cost of less than one hundred thousand dollars ( $\$ 100,000$ ), Parks shall respond to any such approval request from Licensee within ten (10) business days of receipt of such request or such request shall be deemed approved. In the event that the proposed Alterations or Capital Improvements have a cost of one hundred thousand dollars ( $\$ 100,000$ ) or greater, Parks shall use best efforts to respond to any such approval request from Licensee within thirty (30) business days of receipt of such request.
(ii) Licensee shall have the right from time to time to make Capital Improvements or Alterations with regard to the Clubhouse, Snack Bars, shelter houses, sanitary facilities, drinking fountains, maintenance facilities, irrigation system, storm drainage system, dams, bridges, walls, cart paths, utility lines or other similar improvements, facilities or structures incidental to the Golf Course, subject to the prior written approval of the Commissioner. For the sake of clarity and notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in Section 19.1(d)(i), Licensee is not required to obtain the approval of Nicklaus Design in connection with the Capital Improvements or Alterations described in this Section 19.1(d)(ii). Parks shall have the right, but not the obligation, to submit any such request for approval to make such Alterations or Capital Improvements to Nicklaus Design for its written approval or its confirmation that its approval is not required. For all such proposed Alterations or Capital Improvements, Parks shall use best efforts to respond to any such approval request from Licensee within thirty (30) business days of receipt of such request, provided, however, that in the event such Alteration or Capital Improvement is needed to address an emergency condition, Licensee may address such emergency immediately upon notice to Parks, and Parks shall use best efforts to respond to Licensee's approval request for the final Alteration or Capital Improvement within ten (10) days.
(iii) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, (A) the Commissioner's approval shall not be required for Alterations or Capital Improvements to the interior of the Clubhouse or any other building or structure located on the Licensed Premises having
a cost of less than one hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 100,000)$ from Operating Year 1 until the end of Operating Year 5, one hundred and fifty thousand dollars $(\$ 150,000)$ from Operating Year 6 until the end of Operating Year 10, two hundred thousand dollars ( $\$ 200,000$ ) from Operating Year 11 until the end of Operating Year 15, and two hundred and fifty thousand dollars ( $\$ 250,000$ ) from Operating Year 16 until the end of Operating Year 20, and (B) the approval of Nicklaus Design shall not be required for Alterations or Capital Improvements to the interior of the Clubhouse or any other building or structure located on the Licensed Premises.
19.2 In the event of an emergency or for health and safety reasons or following a default by Licensee in its obligations to repair and maintain the Licensed Premises for twenty five (25) days after notice, plus, if the default cannot be cured within such twenty five (25) day period, such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such default, provided that Licensee promptly commences and diligently prosecutes such cure, the Commissioner may, in his reasonable discretion, make repairs, alterations, additions or improvements to Licensed Premises, but nothing in this Section 19.2 shall be deemed to obligate or require Commissioner to make any repairs, alterations, additions or improvements, nor shall this provision in any way affect or impair Licensee's obligation herein in any respect. Commissioner may also make repairs, alterations, additions, or improvements at the City's expense in other cases, provided however that in such cases the prior written approval of the Licensee must be obtained, such approval not to be unreasonably withheld, and this provision shall not in any way affect or impair Licensee's obligation herein in any respect.
19.3 Parks reserves the right to perform construction or maintenance work at the Licensed Premises pursuant to Section $\mathbf{1 9 . 2}$ or as required to be performed by Parks pursuant to this License Agreement at any time during the Term of this License Agreement (including pursuant to Sections $\mathbf{9 . 4 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 9 ( a ) ( v i ) ) , ~ p r o v i d e d ~ t h a t , ~ e x c e p t ~ i n ~ t h e ~ c a s e ~ o f ~ a n ~ e m e r g e n c y ~ o r ~ f o r ~ h e a l t h ~ a n d ~ s a f e t y ~}$ reasons, if requested by Licensee, after the Concession Commencement Date, Parks shall use best efforts to perform such works at the Licensed Premises during the off season or in a manner that minimizes disruption of the Golf Course operations between April $1^{\text {st }}$ and October $31^{\text {st }}$ of any year. Licensee agrees to cooperate with Parks, to accommodate any such work by Parks and provide public and construction access through the Licensed Premises as deemed necessary by the Commissioner. Parks shall use its best efforts to give Licensee at least fourteen (14) days' written notice of any such work and to not unreasonably interfere with Licensee's Grow-In, operations or use of the Licensed Premises. In performing their obligations under this License Agreement, Parks and the City shall use commercially reasonable efforts to minimize the extent to which the Grow-In and use of the Golf Course and the Licensed Premises are disrupted. Parks shall coordinate its work pursuant to this Section 19.3 with Licensee. Parks or the City, as the case may be, shall be responsible for restoration of the Licensed Premises (subject to Section 12.19(a)(vi)) after the completion of any such work at the City's sole cost and expense, provided however that if Parks performs work following a default by Licensee as set forth in Section 19.2, then subject to Section 4.4(c), Parks or the City may apply the Security Deposit, or as much thereof as may be necessary to compensate Parks or the City for the expense of performance of such work and/or restoration. Parks may temporarily close a part or all of the Licensed Premises for a Parks purpose as determined by the Commissioner, provided that if such closure adversely interrupts, impacts or restricts Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises, then Licensee shall be entitled to exercise its rights and remedies pursuant to Section 12.19(g) of this Agreement. For the sake of clarity, all City's Reconstruction Activities shall be performed pursuant to and in accordance with this Section 19.3.

## 20. COMPLIANCE WITH LAWS

20.1 Except as otherwise specifically provided herein and without limiting Park's or the City's obligations under this License Agreement, in the performance of Licensee's duties hereunder, Licensee shall comply and cause its employees and agents to comply with all laws (including but not limited to Environmental Laws), rules, regulations, orders and Governmental Approvals now or hereafter reasonably prescribed by Commissioner applicable to Licensee's particular use of the Licensed Premises, and to comply with all Legal Requirements (including but not limited to Environmental Laws) applicable to Licensee's particular use and occupation of the Licensed Premises.
20.2 Licensee shall not use or allow the Licensed Premises, or any portion thereof, to be used or occupied for any unlawful purpose or in any manner violative of a certificate pertaining to occupancy or use during the Term of this License Agreement.

## 21. NON-DISCRIMINATION

21.1 With respect to all employment decisions, Licensee shall not unlawfully discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, creed, color, national origin, age, sex, handicap, marital status, or sexual orientation.
21.2 All advertising for employment shall indicate that Licensee is an Equal Opportunity Employer.

## 22. NO WAIVER OF RIGHTS

22.1 No acceptance by Commissioner of any compensation, fees, penalty sums, charges or other payments in whole or in part for any periods after a default of any terms and conditions herein shall be deemed a waiver of any right on the part of Commissioner to terminate this License Agreement. No waiver by Commissioner or Licensee of any default on the part of the other party hereto in performance of any of the terms and conditions herein shall be construed to be a waiver of any other or subsequent default in the performance of any of the said terms and conditions.

## 23. INDEMNIFICATION

23.1 To the fullest extent permitted by law, Licensee shall defend, indemnify and hold the Indemnitees harmless against any and all Claims, for which they are or may be liable as a result of any personal injury, death or property damage arising, in whole or in part, out of the work, activities or operations of any of the Licensee Indemnitees at the Licensed Premises pursuant to this License Agreement, except for Claims arising from Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions, whether such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are presently existing or arise after the date hereof except to the extent that such Environmental Condition and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the provisions of this indemnification shall not be construed to indemnify or provide for the defense of any Indemnitees to the extent any Claims are attributable to the acts or omissions of the Indemnitees provided, however, for the sake of clarity, notwithstanding the foregoing, the indemnity or provision for the defense of Indemnitees shall apply to the extent any Claims are attributable to any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions
to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees. Licensee's duty to defend, indemnify and hold the Indemnitees harmless, as provided in this Section 23.1, shall survive the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement.

### 23.2 Intentionally Omitted

23.3 Licensee's duty to defend, indemnify and hold the Indemnitees harmless, as provided in Section 23.1, shall not be abrogated, diminished or otherwise affected by Licensee's further duty on their behalf to procure and maintain insurance pursuant to the provisions of Section 25 hereof, nor by their failure to avail themselves of the benefits of such insurance by due and timely demand upon the insurers therefor, and shall survive the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement.
23.4 Except as expressly provided in this License Agreement and/or in the Development Agreement, Licensee assumes all risk in the operation of this License Agreement. For the sake of clarity, and without limiting the City's or Parks' obligations under this Agreement, Licensee shall not be deemed to assume the risks associated with (a) Parks Sponsored Special Events, (b) any portion of the Licensed Premises prior to delivery of possession of such portion of the Licensed Premises to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of this License Agreement and the Development Agreement (except if Licensee performs any Capital Improvement or other activities on any portion of the Licensed Premises prior to delivery thereof to Licensee, then subject to the terms of this Agreement and the Development Agreement and without limiting Parks' or the City's obligations under this Agreement and the Development Agreement, Licensee shall assume the risk for such Capital Improvement or activity), (c) the City's or Parks' construction of the Golf Course, the Snack Bars and other facilities to be constructed by the City or Parks pursuant to this License Agreement and the Development Agreement (including City's Reconstruction Activities), (d) any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions whether such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are presently existing or arise after the execution of this Agreement (except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees), (e) any settlement due to the Landfill, and (f) any of the City's or Parks' responsibilities under the DEC Part 360 Permit, the DEC Deed or other applicable Legal Requirements with respect to Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions, except as otherwise provided in this License Agreement or the Development Agreement.
23.5 Without limiting the City's and Parks obligations under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(c) of this Agreement), the City shall defend, indemnify and hold the Licensee Indemnitees harmless against any and all Claims for which they are or may be liable as a result of any personal injury, death or property damage arising, in whole or in part, out of the work, activities or operations of the City or Parks, or the City's or Park's employees, contractors or other agents, at the Licensed Premises, including, without limitation, the City's or Park's construction of the Golf Course, the Snack Bars and other facilities to be constructed by the City or Parks pursuant to the Development Agreement (including City's Reconstruction Activities), and any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions presently existing or arising after the execution of this Agreement (except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees) and any conditions existing on any portion of the Licensed Premises delivered to Licensee existing as of the date of delivery of such portion of the Licensed Premises to Licensee pursuant to this Agreement and
the Development Agreement, except to the extent caused by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees prior to delivery of such portion of the Licensed Premises to Licensee. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the provisions of this indemnification shall not be construed to indemnify or provide for the defense of Licensee Indemnitees to the extent any Claims are attributable to the acts or omissions of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, provided, however, for the sake of clarity, notwithstanding the foregoing, the indemnity or provision for the defense of Licensee Indemnitees shall apply to the extent any Claims are attributable to any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees). The City's duty to defend, indemnify and hold the Licensee Indemnitees harmless, as provided in this Section 23.5, shall survive the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement.
23.6 (a) In the City's defense of the Licensee Indemnitees (or any one of them), as applicable, in accordance with the terms of this Agreement (including, without limitation Section 12.19(c) and Article 23), the City shall not, without the prior written consent of Licensee, (i) make any nonmonetary settlement of any Claims against any of the Licensee Indemnitees or (ii) make any monetary settlement of any Claims against any of the Licensee Indemnitees unless such monetary settlement is free of any admission of guilt or wrongdoing by any of the Licensee Indemnitees. Additionally, the City agrees (i) to make good faith efforts to consult with Licensee regarding legal strategy in the defense of any Claims against any of the Licensee Indemnitees (including positions asserted, claims and counterclaims) and (ii) not to portray any of the Licensee Indemnitees in a negative light.
(b) In Licensee's defense of the Indemnitees (or any one of them), as applicable, in accordance with the terms of this Agreement (including, without limitation Section 12.19(c) and Article 23), Licensee shall not, without the prior written consent of the City, (i) make any nonmonetary settlement of any Claims against any of the Indemnitees or (ii) make any monetary settlement of any Claims against any of the Indemnitees unless such monetary settlement is free of any admission of guilt or wrongdoing by any of the Indemnitees. Additionally, Licensee agrees (i) to make good faith efforts to consult with the City regarding legal strategy in the defense of any Claims against any of the Indemnitees (including positions asserted, claims and counterclaims) and (ii) not to portray the City in a negative light.

## 24. WAIVER OF COMPENSATION

24.1 Except as otherwise provided in this Agreement or in the Development Agreement, including, without limitation, any provisions which provide for a License Fee Credit (with interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 hereof) or Termination Payment (with interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) hereof) to Licensee, Licensee hereby expressly (i) waives any and all claims for compensation for any and all loss or damage sustained by reason of or arising from, and (ii) releases and discharges Parks, the Commissioner, his agents, and City from, any and all demands, claims, actions, and causes of action arising from, (1) any defects, including, but not limited to, deficiency or impairment of the water supply system, gas mains, electrical apparatus or wires furnished for the Licensed Premises, or (2) any loss of any gas supply, water supply, heat or current which may occur from time to time, or (3) fire, water, windstorm, tornado, explosion, civil commotion, strike or riot, except, in each case, to the extent arising from (A) the negligence or willful misconduct of Parks or the City or due to Environmental

Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees), (B) the Landfill at the Licensed Premises, or (C) any material defects in design, manufacture, construction or installation by the City or Parks or their contractors or other agents.
24.2 Except as set forth in Section 3.2(b) and any other provision of this Agreement or the Development Agreement which specifically provides for the payment of a Termination Payment, Licensee expressly waives any and all claims for compensation, loss of profit, or refund of its investment, if any, or any other payment whatsoever, in the event this License Agreement is terminated in accordance with the terms of this License Agreement; provided that for the sake of clarity, Licensee shall be entitled to interest, as applicable, on any Termination Payment at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) of this Agreement.

## 25. INSURANCE

25.1 Licensee shall, at its own cost and expense, procure and maintain on or before the Concession Commencement Date and thereafter during the Term of this License Agreement, such insurance as will:
(a) protect Licensee from Worker's Compensation, including Employer's Liability and Disability claims;
(b) insure Licensee, its agents and sublicensees, and Licensee Indemnitees, the City, Parks, and their respective officials, agents and employees against any and all Claims, for which they, or any of them, are or may be liable as a result of any bodily injury, including death, or property damage arising, in whole or in part, from Licensee's operations pursuant to this License Agreement, including but not limited to any accident occurring on the Licensed Premises, the operation of the Licensed Premises, and the design, construction, installation, operation, repair, maintenance, replacement or removal of any Capital Improvements by Licensee or any of the Licensee Indemnitees. For the sake of clarity, such insurance shall not apply to the extent Claims are related to ( $x$ ) an Environmental Condition and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of Licensee or any of the Licensee Indemnitees), or (y) any other matter for which the City or Parks is responsible or liable under this Agreement or the Development Agreement;
(c) provide coverage against business interruption losses; and
(d) insure the Licensed Premises, including without limitation all structures and the Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment, against any damage from any cause whatsoever.
25.2 (a) The policies shall provide the amounts of insurance hereafter mentioned, and before the Concession Commencement Date, Certificates of Insurance and Broker's Certification in forms satisfactory to the Commissioner shall be submitted to Commissioner for his approval and retention. Each policy shall be endorsed to reflect that "No cancellation of or change in this policy shall become effective until after thirty (30) days notice by Certified Mail to Asst. Commissioner for Revenue and Marketing, Department of Parks \& Recreation, The Arsenal, 830 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10065." Licensee shall be solely responsible for the payment of all premiums,
deductibles and other costs relating to the policies of insurance required under this License Agreement. Licensee shall obtain from the insurance broker accounting statements providing evidence that the premiums for the insurance policies have been paid and shall submit such accounting statements to Commissioner. There shall be no self-insurance program relating to any such insurance, unless approved in writing by the Commissioner, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. Licensee shall be required to demonstrate to the Commissioner's reasonable satisfaction that such self-insurance program provides coverage at least as broad as required herein and provides the City and Parks with all rights required herein.
(b) Each policy shall also provide that the insurer is obligated to provide a legal defense in the event any claim is made against the City regarding the operation of this License Agreement, provided that the foregoing in this Section 25.2 shall not apply where such claims are related exclusively to (x) an Environmental Condition and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of Licensee or any of the Licensee Indemnitees) or ( y ) any other matter for which the City or Parks is solely responsible or liable under this Agreement or the Development Agreement. For the sake of clarity, this Section 25.2(b) relates only to issues of legal defense and does not relate to indemnification or liability under any policy. If, at any time, any of said policies shall reasonably become unsatisfactory to Commissioner as to form or substance, or if a company issuing any such policies shall reasonably become unsatisfactory to Commissioner, Licensee shall promptly (within not more than fifteen (15) business days) obtain a new policy, and submit the same to Commissioner for written approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld, and for retention thereof as hereinabove provided.
(c) If, at any time, any of said policies shall terminate, Licensee shall, prior to the termination of such existing policy, promptly obtain a new policy, and submit the required Certificate of Insurance and Broker's Certification (or binder) to Commissioner for written approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld, and for retention thereof as hereinbefore provided. In the event any insurance is suspended, discontinued, or terminated, Licensee shall have the right, prior to such suspension, discontinuation, or termination, to secure replacement insurance satisfying the requirements of this Section 25 and provide Parks with a Certificate of Insurance and Broker's Certification (or binder) evidencing such insurance. Upon failure of Licensee to maintain, furnish and deliver insurance (including renewal or replacement insurance) or to provide Certificate(s) of Insurance and Broker's Certification (or binder(s)) as above provided in this Section 25, this License Agreement may, at the election of Commissioner, be immediately suspended and/or may be terminated in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.3 and any and all payments made by Licensee on account of this License Agreement shall thereupon be retained by Commissioner as additional liquidated damages along with the Security Deposit. Failure of Licensee to take out and/or maintain or the taking out or maintenance of any required insurance shall not relieve Licensee from any liability under this License Agreement, nor shall the insurance requirements be construed to conflict with or limit the obligations of Licensee concerning indemnification or otherwise.
25.3 If the Licensed Premises, including without limitation all structures and the Fixed and Additional Fixed Equipment shall be damaged or destroyed by fire or other cause, such damage shall be promptly repaired or replaced so that the Licensed Premises are in the same condition as prior to such damage; provided for the sake of clarity, if such repair or replacement shall be the responsibility of the City (rather than Licensee) under Section 12.19 of this Agreement, then the City shall be liable for the repair and replacement at its sole cost and expense and an insurance claim shall not be filed under Licensee's insurance policies unless Licensee agrees, in its sole discretion. Except for and
without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations for any repair or restoration under the Development Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of this Agreement), Licensee shall promptly commence and diligently prosecute to completion any restoration or repair within six months (or such longer period as is reasonably necessary to complete such restoration and repairs) after Licensee is notified by Commissioner, that insurance proceeds have been received and are available for such work. If insurance proceeds are received by the City, at Licensee's request, the City shall advance such insurance proceeds in accordance with Section $\mathbf{2 5 . 4}$ of this Agreement, except that such payments shall in no event exceed the amount actually collected and received by Commissioner under the insurance policies. Any extension of time for the completion of Restoration shall be granted at the reasonable discretion of Commissioner. For the sake of clarity, Licensee's insurance policies and the proceeds of such insurance policies are intended to apply to any repair or restoration that are the responsibility of Licensee under this License Agreement. In no event shall Licensee's insurance policies and the proceeds of such insurance policies be utilized for any repair or restoration that are the responsibility of the City under this License Agreement.
25.4 (a) Subject to Section 25.3 of this Agreement, to the extent the insurance proceeds are paid to the City under the All-Risk insurance policies procured under this License Agreement on account of such damage or destruction, such insurance proceeds less the reasonable costs of the City with the recovery or adjustment of the losses, shall be applied by the City to the payment of the cost of the restoration, repairs, replacements, rebuilding or alterations, including the costs of temporary repairs, provided such temporary repairs have been approved by Commissioner in writing, for the protection of property pending the completion of permanent restoration, repairs, replacements, rebuilding or alterations (collectively referred to as the "Restoration"), and shall be paid out from time to time, on a monthly basis, if requested by Licensee, as such restoration progresses upon the written request of the Licensee which shall be accompanied by:
(i) a certificate signed by an executive officer of Licensee and signed by the Architect/Engineer in charge of Restoration (who shall be satisfactory to the Commissioner) dated not more than thirty (30) days prior to such request, setting forth the following:
(A) that the sum then requested either has been paid by Licensee, or if in the event the Licensee is unable to pay for the Restoration, and funds are to be advanced by the City pursuant to Section 25.3, that said sum is justly due or shall become due to contractors, subcontractors, suppliers, engineers, architects or other persons who shall or have rendered services or furnished materials for said Restoration, and giving a brief description of such services and materials and the several amounts so paid and/or due or to become due to each of said persons in respect thereof and the sum then requested does not exceed the cost of the services and materials described in the certificate;
(B) that except for the amount, if any, stated in said certificate pursuant to this Section 25.4, i.e., to be due for services or materials, there is no outstanding indebtedness known to Licensee, after due inquiry, which is then due for labor, wages, materials, supplies or services in connection with Restoration; and
(C) that the cost, as estimated by such Architect/Engineer, of the Restoration required to be done subsequent to the date of such certificate in order to complete the same does not exceed the insurance money remaining in the hands of the City after payment of the sum requested in such certificate; and
(ii) A Title Company search or other evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner showing that there has not been filed with respect to the Licensed Premises any mechanic's or other lien which has not been discharged of record or appropriately bonded.
(b) Within ten (10) days after compliance by Licensee with this Section 25.4, the City, shall, on behalf of the Licensee out of such insurance money, pay or cause to be paid to the persons named in the certificate, pursuant to Section 25.4(a)(i), the respective amounts stated in said certificate to be due to them and/or shall pay or cause to be paid to Licensee the amount stated in said Certificate to have been paid by Licensee. Licensee shall have the right to make requests for disbursement of insurance proceeds on a monthly basis. Notwithstanding the foregoing, where a Restoration is Licensee's responsibility under this Agreement, in the event that Licensee fails to undertake the Restoration of Licensed Premises as a result of damage or destruction by fire or other casualty in accordance with Section 25.3, the Commissioner may but shall not be obligated to proceed with such Restoration using insurance proceeds received for such purpose and may terminate this License Agreement upon written notice to Licensee. However, if this License Agreement is terminated as provided in this Section 25.4, Licensee shall be responsible for the payment for any fees or other sums then due and owing to the City and the City reserves any and all rights it may have against the Licensee in law or in equity as a result of the termination of this License Agreement.
25.5 Should Licensee fail, after notice from the City of the need thereof, to perform its obligations required under Sections $\mathbf{2 5 . 3}$ or $\mathbf{2 5 . 4}$, the City in addition to all other available remedies may, but shall not be so obligated to enter upon the Licensed Premises and perform Licensee's said failed obligations using any equipment or materials on the Premises suitable for such purposes. Licensee shall forthwith on demand reimburse City for all costs and expenses so incurred.
25.6 All required insurance must be issued by companies which have an A.M. Best rating of at least A-7 and are duly licensed to do business in the State of New York and must be in effect and continue so from and after the Concession Commencement Date during the Term in not less than the following amounts (or such higher amounts as the Commissioner may hereafter reasonably require):

| Workers' Compensation and Disability Insurance | Per Statute |
| :--- | :--- |
| Employer's Liability Insurance | As required by the laws of the State of <br> New York |
| Comprehensive General Liability Insurance dedicated to <br> Licensee's operations at the Licensed Premises (with Broad <br> Form Property Damage, Personal Injury Liability, <br> Products/Completed Operations Liability, Contractual <br> Liability, Independent Contractors, Fire/Legal Liability, <br> Host Liquor Liability, Property Insurance Endorsements), <br> for any one occurrence | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Any Auto, Hired Auto, and Non-Owned Auto Insurance, for <br> any one occurrence | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Umbrella/Excess Liability dedicated to Licensee's <br> operations at the Licensed Premises | $\$ 3,000,000$ |


| All Risk Insurance, for any one occurrence | The full replacement value of the <br> buildings on the Licensed Premises, <br> including without limitation all <br> structures and the Fixed and Additional <br> Fixed Equipment, which shall be <br> reassessed every year or at Parks <br> reasonable discretion. |
| :--- | :--- |

In the event that Licensee maintains Pollution Legal Liability Insurance with regard to any operations under this Agreement or requires any of Licensee's Contractors to procure Contractors Pollution Liability Insurance, then Licensee shall or Licensee shall cause Licensee's Contractors to name the City, including its officials and employees, as an additional insured with coverage at least as broad as ISO Form CG 2026. For the sake of clarity, Licensee has the right, but not the obligation, to maintain or cause Licensee's Contractors to procure and maintain such insurance.

Further, for the sake of clarity, such insurance shall not protect the City, Parks and their respective agents and employees, with regards to Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions, except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of Licensee or any of the Licensee Indemnitees.
25.7 In the event that claims in excess of these amounts (including Licensee's umbrella insurance policy) are filed against the City, the amount of excess of such claims, or any portion thereof, may be withheld from any payment due or to become due to Licensee until such time as Licensee shall furnish such additional security covering such claims as may be reasonably determined by Commissioner; provided that the foregoing in this Section 25.7 shall not apply to the extent such claims are related to (x) an Environmental Condition and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of Licensee or any of the Licensee Indemnitees) or (y) any other matter for which the City or Parks is responsible or liable under this Agreement or the License Agreement.
25.8 All policies other than Worker's Compensation, Disability Benefits, Employer's Liability and All Risk shall name the City, including its officials and employees, as Additional Insured with coverage at least as broad as Insurance Services Office (ISO) Form CG 20 26. The All Risk policy shall name the Licensee as named insured and the City as an additional loss payee, as their interests may appear.
25.9 Endorsement to Policies - The following additional endorsements shall be made part of all policies other than Worker's Compensation, Disability Benefits, and Employer's Liability:
(a) This policy shall not be canceled, terminated, modified, or the coverage thereof reduced, until thirty ( 30 ) days after receipt of written notice thereof by certified mail addressed to the Commissioner.
(b) If and insofar as knowledge of an "occurrence", "claim", or "suit" is relevant to the City of New York as additional insured under this policy, such knowledge by an agent, servant, official or employee of the City of New York will not be considered knowledge on the part of the

City of New York of the "occurrence", "claim", or "suit" unless notice thereof is received by the: Insurance Claims Specialist, Affirmative Litigation Division, New York City Law Department.
(c) Any notice demand or other writing by or on behalf of the named insured to the insurance company shall also be deemed to be a notice, demand or other writing on behalf of the City as additional insured. Any response by the Insurance Company to such notice, demand or other writing shall be addressed to the named insured and to the City at the following address: Insurance Claims Specialist, Affirmative Litigation Division, New York City Law Department, 100 Church Street, New York, New York 10007.
(d) The presence of representatives of the City on the Licensed Premises shall not invalidate this policy.
(e) Violation of any of the terms of any other policy issued by the Insurance Company to the Licensee shall not invalidate this policy.

## 26. INVESTIGATIONS

26.1 (a) The Parties to this License Agreement shall cooperate fully and faithfully with any investigation, audit or inquiry conducted by a State of New York (hereinafter "State") or City governmental agency or authority that is empowered directly or by designation to compel the attendance of witnesses and to examine witnesses under oath, or conducted by the Inspector General of a governmental agency that is a party in interest to the transaction, submitted bid, submitted proposal, contract, lease, permit, or license that is the subject of the investigation, audit or inquiry.
(b) (i) If any person who has been advised that his or her statement, and any information from such statement, will not be used against him or her in any subsequent criminal proceeding refuses to testify before a grand jury or other governmental agency or authority empowered directly or by designation to compel the attendance of witnesses and to examine witnesses under oath concerning the award of or performance under any transaction, agreement, lease, permit, contract, or license entered into with the City, the State, or any political subdivision or public authority thereof, or the Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, or any local development corporation within the City, or any public benefit corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York; or
(ii) If any person refuses to testify for a reason other than the assertion of his or her privilege against self incrimination in an investigation, audit or inquiry conducted by a City or State governmental agency or authority empowered directly or by designation to compel the attendance of witnesses and to take testimony concerning the award of, or performance under, any transaction, agreement, lease, permit, contract, or license entered into with the City, the State, or any political subdivision thereof or any local development corporation within the City, then:
(c) (i) The Commissioner or agency head whose agency is a party in interest to the transaction, submitted bid, submitted proposal, contract, lease, permit, or license shall convene a hearing, upon not less than five (5) days written notice to the parties involved to determine if any penalties should attach for the failure of any person to testify.
(ii) If any non-governmental party to the hearing requests an adjournment, the Commissioner or agency head who convened the hearing may, upon granting the adjournment,
suspend any contract, lease, permit, or license pending the final determination pursuant to Section 26.1(e) below without the City incurring any penalty or damages for delay or otherwise.
(d) The penalties which may attach after a final determination by the Commissioner or agency head may include but shall not exceed:
(i) The disqualification for a period not to exceed five (5) years from the date of an adverse determination of any person or entity of which such person was a member at the time the testimony was sought, from submitting bids for, or transacting business with, or entering into or obtaining any contract, lease, permit or license with or from the City; and/or
(ii) The cancellation or termination of any and all existing City contracts, leases, permits, or licenses that the refusal to testify concerns and that have not been assigned as permitted under this License Agreement, nor the proceeds of which pledged, to an unaffiliated and unrelated institutional lender for fair value prior to the issuance of the notice scheduling the hearing, without the City incurring any penalty or damages on account of such cancellation or termination; monies lawfully due for goods delivered, work done, rentals, or fees accrued prior to the cancellation or termination shall be paid by the City.
(e) The Commissioner or agency head shall consider and address in reaching his or her determination and in assessing an appropriate penalty the factors in Section 26.1(e)(i) and (ii) below. He or she may also consider, if relevant and appropriate, the criteria established in Sections 26.1(e)(iii) and (iv) below in addition to any other information which may be relevant and appropriate.
(i) The party's good faith endeavors or lack thereof to cooperate fully and faithfully with any governmental investigation or audit, including but not limited to the discipline, discharge, or disassociation of any person failing to testify, the production of accurate and complete books and records, and the forthcoming testimony of all other members, agents, assignees or fiduciaries whose testimony is sought.
(ii) The relationship of the person who refused to testify to any entity that is a party to the hearing, including, but not limited to, whether the person whose testimony is sought has an ownership interest in the entity and/or the degree of authority and responsibility the person has within the entity.
(iii) The nexus of the testimony sought to the subject entity and its contracts, leases, permits or licenses with the City.
(iv) The effect a penalty may have on an unaffiliated and unrelated party or entity that has a significant interest in an entity subject to penalties under Section 26.1(d) above, provided that the party or entity has given actual notice to the Commissioner or agency head upon the acquisition of the interest, or at the hearing called for in Section 26.1(c)(i) above gives notice and proves that such interest was previously acquired. Under either circumstance the party or entity must present evidence at the hearing demonstrating the potentially adverse impact a penalty will have on such person or entity.
(f) (i) The term "license" or "permit" as used herein shall be defined as a license, permit, franchise or concession not granted as a matter of right.
(ii) The term "person" as used herein shall be defined as any natural person doing business alone or associated with another person or entity as a partner, director, officer, principal or employee.
(iii) The term "entity" as used herein shall be defined as any firm, partnership, corporation, association, or person that receives monies, benefits, licenses, leases, or permits from or through the City or otherwise transacts business with the City.
(iv) The term "member" as used herein shall be defined as any person associated with another person or entity as a partner, director, officer, principal or employee.
(g) In addition to and notwithstanding any other provision of this License Agreement the Commissioner or agency head may in his or her sole discretion terminate this License Agreement upon not less than three (3) days written notice in the event Licensee fails to promptly report in writing to the Commissioner of Investigation of the City of New York any solicitation of money, goods, requests for future employment or other benefit or thing of value, by or on behalf of any employee of the City of other person, firm, corporation or entity for any purpose which may be related to the procurement or obtaining of this License Agreement by the Licensee, or affecting the performance or this License Agreement.

## 27. CHOICE OF LAW, CONSENT TO JURISDICTION AND VENUE

27.1 This License Agreement shall be deemed to be executed in the City of New York, State of New York, regardless of the domicile of the Licensee, and shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.
27.2 Any and all claims asserted by or against the City (which for purposes of this Article 27 includes the Commissioner) or Licensee arising under this License Agreement or related thereto shall be heard and determined either in the courts of the United States located in New York City ("Federal Courts") or in the courts of the State of New York ("New York State Courts") located in the City and County of New York. To effect this License Agreement and its intent, Licensee and the City agree:
(a) If any such action or proceeding is brought in Federal Court or in New York State Court, service of process may be made on the City or Licensee, as the case may be, by personal service in accordance with the provisions of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules ("CPLR"), wherever such party may be found (and if the City is the party being served, process shall be served on the Corporation Counsel, 100 Church Street, New York, New York 10007); provided, however, that in so far as service is to be made upon the Licensee, as an alternative to personal service in accordance with the provisions of the CPLR, service of process upon Licensee may be made in such other manner and at such other address for Licensee in each case only as Licensee may provide in writing to the City; and
(b) With respect to any action between the City and the Licensee in New York State Court, the Licensee and the City each hereby expressly waives and relinquishes any right it might otherwise have (i) to move to dismiss on grounds of forum non conveniens, (ii) to remove to Federal Court; and (iii) to move for a change of venue to a New York State Court outside New York County.
(c) With respect to any action between the City and the Licensee in Federal Court located in New York City, each of them expressly waives and relinquishes any right it might otherwise have to move to transfer the action to a United States Court outside the City of New York.
27.3 If the Licensee or the City commences any action arising under or in connection with this License Agreement against any of them in a court located other than in the City and State of New York, upon request of any of the other of such Parties, the commencing party shall either consent to a transfer of the action to a court of competent jurisdiction located in the City and State of New York or, if the court where the action is initially brought will not or cannot transfer the action, the commencing party shall consent to dismiss such action without prejudice and may thereafter reinstitute the action in a court of competent jurisdiction in New York City.

## 28. EMPLOYEES OF LICENSEE/CITY

28.1 (a) All experts, consultants and employees of Licensee who are employed by Licensee to perform work under this License Agreement or the Development Agreement on behalf of Licensee are not employees of the City with respect to such work and shall not be deemed to be under contract to the City for such work, and Licensee alone is responsible for their work, direction, compensation and personal conduct while engaged under this License Agreement or the Development Agreement for such work. Except as may be expressly set forth in this Agreement or the Development Agreement, nothing in this License Agreement or the Development Agreement shall impose any liability or duty on the City for (A) acts, omissions, liabilities or obligations of (i) Licensee or (ii) any person, firm, company, agency, association, corporation or organization engaged by Licensee as expert, consultant, independent contractor, specialist, trainee, employee, servant, or agent for or arising from work to be done on behalf of Licensee (any such person or entity so engaged, a "Licensee Party") or (B) taxes of any nature including but not limited to unemployment insurance, workers' compensation, disability benefits and social security with respect to Licensee or any Licensee Party.
(b) All experts, consultants and employees of the City who are employed by the City to perform work under this License Agreement or the Development Agreement on behalf of the City are not employees of Licensee with respect to such work and shall not be deemed to be under contract to Licensee for such work, and the City alone is responsible for their work, direction, compensation and personal conduct while engaged under this License Agreement or the Development Agreement for such work. Except as may be expressly set forth in this Agreement or the Development Agreement, nothing in this License Agreement or the Development Agreement shall impose any liability or duty on the Licensee for (A) acts, omissions, liabilities or obligations of (i) the City or (ii) any person, firm, company, agency, association, corporation or organization engaged by the City as expert, consultant, independent contractor, specialist, trainee, employee, servant, or agent for or arising from work to be done on behalf of the City (any such person or entity so engaged, a "City Party") or (B) taxes of any nature including but not limited to unemployment insurance, workers' compensation, disability benefits and social security with respect to the City or any City Party.

## 29. INDEPENDENT STATUS OF LICENSEE

29.1 Licensee is not an employee of Parks or the City and in accordance with such independent status neither Licensee nor its employees or agents will hold themselves out as, nor claim to be
officers or employees of the City, or of any department, agency, or unit thereof, and they will not make any claim, demand, or application to or for, any right or privilege applicable to an officer of, or employee of, the City, including but not limited to, workers' compensation coverage, unemployment insurance benefits, social security coverage or employee retirement membership or credit.

## 30. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

30.1 Licensee represents and warrants that neither it nor any of its members, partners, officers, directors, or Affiliates, has any interest nor shall it acquire any interest, directly or indirectly, which would or may conflict in any manner or degree with the performance or rendering of the services herein provided. Licensee further represents and warrants that, to its knowledge, none of its employees has any interest, which would or may conflict in any manner or degree with the performance or rendering of the services herein provided. Parks and the City acknowledge and agree that the present or future ownership and operation of other golf courses by Trump or any of his Affiliates, or any of their members, partners, officers, directors or employees does not constitute such a conflict and shall not violate this provision. Licensee further represents and warrants that in the performance of this License Agreement no person having such interest or who acquires such interest or possible interest shall be knowingly employed by it, provided, however, if Licensee unknowingly has employed or employs a person having such interest or who acquires such interest and such conflicting interest is subsequently discovered by Licensee, then Licensee shall take prompt steps to remedy the conflict. No elected official or other officer or employee of the City or Parks, nor any person whose salary is payable, in whole or part, from the City treasury, shall participate in any decision relating to this License Agreement which affects his/her personal interest or the interest of any corporation, partnership or association in which he/she is, directly or indirectly, interested nor shall any such person have any interest, direct or indirect, in this License Agreement or in the proceeds thereof.

## 31. PROCUREMENT OF AGREEMENT

31.1 Licensee and the City and Parks represent and warrant to each other that no person or selling agency has been employed or retained to solicit or secure this License Agreement upon an agreement or understanding for a commission, percentage, brokerage fee, contingent fee or any other compensation. Licensee and the City agree to indemnify and hold the other party harmless from any loss, cost, damage or expense incurred by the other party as a result of a breach of the foregoing representation.
31.2 Licensee further represents and warrants that no payment, gift or thing of value has been made, given or promised to obtain this or any other agreement between the Parties. Licensee makes such representations and warranties to induce the City to enter into this License Agreement and the City relies upon such representations and warranties in the execution hereof. For a breach or violation of such representations or warranties, the Commissioner shall have the right to annul this License Agreement without liability, entitling the City to recover all monies paid hereunder, if any and the Licensee shall not make any claim for, or be entitled to recover, any sum or sums due under this License Agreement. This remedy, if effected, shall not constitute the sole remedy afforded the City for the falsity or breach, nor shall it constitute a waiver of the City's right to claim damages or refuse payment or to take any other action provided by law or pursuant to this License Agreement.

## 32. ALL LEGAL PROVISIONS DEEMED INCLUDED

32.1 Each and every provision of law required to be inserted in this License Agreement shall be and is inserted herein. Every such provision is to be deemed to be inserted herein, and if, through mistake or otherwise, any such provision is not inserted, or is not inserted in correct form, then this License Agreement shall, forthwith upon the application of either party, be amended by such insertion so as to comply strictly with the law and without prejudice to the rights of either party hereunder.

## 33. SEVERABILITY: INVALIDITY OF PARTICULAR PROVISIONS

33.1 If any term or provision of this License Agreement or the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall, to any extent, be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this License Agreement, or the application of such term or provision to persons or circumstances other than those as to which it is held invalid or unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby, and each term and provision of this License Agreement shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law, provided that the purposes intended by the Parties including the economic purposes shall remain substantially in effect.
33.2 In the event that any condition or provision of this License Agreement is declared void or of no effect, then in such an event the Parties shall use best efforts to modify this License Agreement to the extent possible, consistent with the Parties' intent not to convey any interest in real property, to provide the Parties an opportunity to continue the License on economic terms and for the public purposes intended; provided, however, that any such modification shall be subject to all necessary City approvals and authorizations and compliance with all City procedures and processes. In the event continuation of the License cannot be lawfully achieved, the Parties shall negotiate an orderly and equitable termination of the License Agreement on such terms as may be just and equitable and that avoid an unjust enrichment. For the avoidance of doubt, Grow-In Costs and Capital Improvement Costs shall be considered in the determination of an equitable result.

## 34. JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION

34.1 Should any provision of this License Agreement require judicial interpretation, it is agreed that the court interpreting or considering same shall not apply the presumption that the terms hereof shall be more strictly construed against a party by reason of the rule of construction that a document should be construed more strictly against the party who itself or through its agent prepared the same, it being agreed that all Parties hereto have participated in the preparation of this License Agreement and that legal counsel was consulted by each responsible party before the execution of this License Agreement.

## 35. MODIFICATION OF AGREEMENT

35.1 This License Agreement constitutes the whole of the agreement between the Parties hereto, and no other representation made heretofore shall be binding upon the Parties hereto. This License Agreement may be modified from time to time by agreement in writing, but no modification of this License Agreement shall be in effect until such modification has been agreed to in writing and duly executed by the Party or Parties affected by said modification.

## 36. NOTICES

36.1 Except as set forth in Section 3.3(e) of this Agreement, where provision is made herein for notice or other communication to be given in writing, the same shall be given by hand delivery, by mailing a copy of such notice or other communication by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by overnight courier service addressed to Commissioner or to the attention of Licensee at their respective addresses provided at the beginning of this License Agreement, or to any other address that Licensee shall have filed with Commissioner. In addition, in the case of any notice or other communication required or permitted to be given to Licensee under this License Agreement, an additional copy thereof shall be delivered in accordance with the foregoing to each of the following persons at the following address: Trump Ferry Point, LLC, c/o The Trump Organization LLC, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022, Attention: Jason Blacksberg, Esq., Allen Weisselberg and Ron Lieberman.

## 37. NO CLAIM AGAINST OFFICERS, AGENTS OR EMPLOYEES

37.1 No claim whatsoever shall be made by the Licensee against any officer, agent or employee of the City for, or on account of, anything done or omitted in connection with this License Agreement.

## 38. CREDITOR-DEBTOR PROCEEDINGS

38.1 In the event any bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization or other creditor-debtor proceedings shall be instituted by or against the Licensee or its successors or assigns, or the Guarantor, if any, the Security Deposit shall be deemed to be applied first to the payment of License Fees and/or other charges due the City for all periods prior to the institution of such proceedings and the balance, if any, of the Security Deposit may be retained by the City in partial liquidation of the City's damages.

## 39. CLAIMS AND ACTIONS THEREON

39.1 (a) No action at law or proceeding in equity against the City or Parks shall lie or be maintained upon any claim based upon this License Agreement or arising out of this License Agreement or in any way connected with this License Agreement unless Licensee shall have strictly complied with all requirements relating to the giving of notice and of information with respect to such claims, all as herein provided.
(b) In the event any claim is made or any action brought in any way relating to the License Agreement herein other than an action or proceeding in which Licensee and Parks are adverse parties, Licensee shall render to Parks and/or the City of New York, without additional compensation, any and all assistance which Parks and/or the City of New York may reasonably require of Licensee.

## 40. SURVIVAL

40.1 In addition to the provisions of this Agreement that specifically survive termination of this Agreement, any provisions of this Agreement which by their nature would survive termination shall be deemed to do so.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this License Agreement to be signed and sealed on the day and year first above written.

CITY OF NEW YORK
TRUMP FERRY POINT LLD DEPARTMENT OF PARKS \& RECREATION


Elizabeth W. Smith,
Assistant Commissioner for Revenue
Dated:


By:
Donald J. Trump, President

Dated: $\qquad$

## APPROVED AS TO FORM

CERTIFIED AS TO LEGAL AUTHORITY

## Acting Corporation Counsel

## STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK
On this $\mathcal{1}$ day of February, 2012 before me personally came Elizabeth W. Smith, to me known, and known to be the Assistant Commissioner for Revenue of the Department of Parks and Recreation of the City of New York, and the said person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and she acknowledged that she executed the same in her official capacity and for the purpose mentioned therein.

STATE OF NEW YORK
ss:
COUNTY OF


On this
day of $\qquad$ , 2012, before me personally came Donald J. Trump; -who, being duly sworn by me did depose and say that he is the President of Trump Ferry Point LLC and that he executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes mentioned therein in such capacity.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this License Agreement to be signed and sealed on the day and year first above written.

## CITY OF NEW YORK <br> DEPARTMENT OF PARKS \& RECREATION

By:
Elizabeth W. Smith,
Assistant Commissioner for Revenue
Dated: $\qquad$


Dated: February 21,2012

APPROVED AS TO FORM CERTIFIED AS TO LEGAL AUTHORITY

Acting Corporation Counsel
STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
On this day of $\qquad$ , 2012 before me personally came Elizabeth W. Smith, to me known, and known to be the Assistant Commissioner for Revenue of the Department of Parks and Recreation of the City of New York, and the said person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and she acknowledged that she executed the same in her official capacity and for the purpose mentioned therein.

## STATE OF NEW YORK

## Notary-Public

## COUNTY OF NEW YORK

On this 21 st day of Februany $\qquad$ , 2012, before me personally came Donald J. Trump, who, being duly sworn by me did depose and say that he is the President of Trump Ferry Point LLC and that he executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes mentioned therein in such capacity.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this License Agreement to be signed and sealed on the day and year first above written.

## CITY OF NEW YORK

TRUMP FERRY POINT LLC
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS \& RECREATION

By:
Elizabeth W. Smith, Assistant Commissioner for Revenue

Dated; $\qquad$

By:
Donald J. Trump, President

Dated: $\qquad$

APPROVED AS TO FORM CERTIFIED AS TO LEGAL AUTMORTY


Acting Corporation Counsel TEB 21 m?

STATE OF NEW YORK
ss:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
On this
day of $\qquad$ 2012 before me personally came Elizabeth W. Smith, to me known, and known to be the Assistant Commissioner for Revenue of the Department of Parks and Recreation of the City of New York, and the said person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and she acknowledged that she executed the same in her official capacity and for the purpose mentioned therein.

Notary Public
STATE OF NEW YORK
SS:
COUNTY OF
On this
day of $\qquad$ , 2012, before me personally came Donald J. Trump, who, being duly swom by me did depose and say that he is the President of Trump Ferry Point LLC and that he executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes mentioned therein in such capacity.

## EXHIBIT A

## Description of Licensed Premises

The Licensed Premises shall include all areas of Ferry Point Park (Block 5622, Lot 1; Block: 5583 Lot: 100; Block: 5583 Lot: 8901 ; and Block: 5583 Lot: 8900 ) located within the golf course perimeter security fence (set forth on Pages 19-27 of Part 2 - Final_Golf_Course_Drawings Perimeter Fence and Landscaping, which is attached to the Development Agreement as Schedule 45) and shall also include the Park Snack Bar building in the adjacent waterfront park. The Licensed Premises is approximately bounded on the west side by the eastern Hutchinson River Parkway Service Road and Whitestone Bridge approach. On the north side, the Licensed Premises is approximately bounded by southern limit of the property which is currently known as St. Raymond's Cemetery (Block: 5574 Lot: 1, Block: 5570 Lot: 156, Block: 5570 Lot: 1) and Schley Ave. from Butterick Ave. to the edge of the community park which is located on Balcom Ave. between Schley Ave. and Miles Ave. On the eastern side, the Licensed Premises is approximately bounded by the community park, Balcom Ave. between the southern end of the community park (approximately half way between Sampson Ave. and Miles Ave.) to Miles Ave., Miles Ave. from Balcom Ave. to Emerson Ave., and Emerson Ave. from Balcom Ave. to Harding Ave. The south side of the Licensed Premises is approximately bounded by the northern limit of the waterfront park, which is situated between the Whitestone Bridge approach and Emerson Road along the East River. The City represents that the portion of Licensed Premises described on this Exhibit A (which excludes the Park Snack Bar) is the area that is outlined in red on Exhibit A-1 attached hereto. The City represents that the Park Snack Bar is shown on Exhibit A-3 attached hereto.

## EXHIBIT A-1

Ferry Point Park Golf Course Site Map December 21, 2010 (attached)

Ferry Point park, The Bronx. Ny


## EXHIBIT A-2

## Additional Garbage Removal, Cleanup and Snow Removal Responsibilities

The Licensee will also be responsible, at its sole cost and expense, for the removal of snow from any sidewalks and for the clean-up and removal of all debris, waste, garbage, refuse, rubbish, and litter (other than debris, waste, garbage, refuse, rubbish and litter resulting from any work being performed by the City or Parks under the terms of this License Agreement or the Development Agreement), in each case, solely from the following areas, all of which areas are highlighted on Exhibit A-1:

- From the western golf course perimeter security fence to the curb of the adjacent roadway of the eastern Hutchinson River Parkway Service Road and Whitestone Bridge approach between the waterfront park and the property currently known as St. Raymond's Cemetery.
- From the northern golf course perimeter security fence to the curb of the adjacent roadway of Schley Ave. from Butterick Ave. to the western edge of the community park.
- From the eastern golf course perimeter security fence to the curb of the adjacent roadway of Balcom Ave. from the southern end of the community park (approximately half way between Sampson Ave. and Miles Ave.) to Miles Ave.
- From the eastern golf course perimeter security fence to the curb of the adjacent roadway of Miles Ave. from Balcom Ave. to Emerson Ave.
- After delivery of the Park Snack Bar to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of the Development Agreement, all paved areas adjacent to the Park Snack Bar marked Terrace on Exhibit A-3 attached hereto, solely during the months that the Park Snack Bar is open.


## EXHIBIT A-3

Park Snack Bar
(attached)





EXHIBIT B
QUARTERLY GROSS RECEIPTS AND GOLF COURSE ACTIVITIES
(conducted through the last day of the quarter)
FERRY POINT PARK GOLF COURSE

| QUARTER |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| REVENUE CATEGORY |  |
| Golf Course Greens Fees: |  |
| Resident |  |
| Non-Resident |  |
| Total Greens Fees*** |  |
| Golf Cart / Club Rental Fees |  |
| Club Repairs |  |
| Locker Rentals (if any) |  |
| Reservation Fees |  |
| Secured Parking Fees |  |
| Vending Machine Sales (net receipts)(if any) |  |
| Food \& Beverages Sales (other than Banquet Facility) |  |
| Banquet Facility |  |
| Lessons and Commissions (net receipts) |  |
| ID Card Fees |  |
| Pro Shop Merchandise Sales |  |
| Driving Range |  |
| Payments from Sublicensees |  |
| Sponsorship Activity |  |
| Broadcasting (net receipts) |  |
| Misc. | $\$$ |
| TOTAL GROSS RECEIPTS |  |
| SUBLICENSE GROSS RECEIPTS |  |
| GOLF COURSE ACTIVITY |  |
| Number of Non-Resident Rounds |  |
| Total Rounds Played |  |
| NUMBER OF ID CARDS ISSUED |  |
| AT \$6.00 (adult fee) |  |
| AT \$2.00 (junior and senior citizen fee) |  |

***See attached Greens Fee Report
Certified Correct:
Signature: $\qquad$ Date:

[^18]Name \& Title:

## EXHIBIT B-1

FERRY POINT PARK GOLF COURSE

GREENS FEE REPORT FOR THE QUARTER ENDED:
(Gross Revenue and the Number of Rounds Played)

|  | \# of <br> Rounds <br> Played | Total Revenue | Revenue <br> Subject to <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Greens Fee Category ${ }^{2}$ : |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| FRIDAYS, WEEKENDS AND <br> HOLIDAYS |  |  |  |
| Early Morning (9 Holes) |  |  |  |
| 18 Holes |  |  |  |
| Twilight |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| WEEKDAYS (OTHER THAN <br> FRIDAYS) |  |  |  |
| Early Morning (9 Holes) |  |  |  |
| 18 Holes |  |  |  |
| Twilight |  |  |  |
| Seniors |  |  |  |
| Juniors |  |  |  |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |

Certified Correct:
Signature: $\qquad$ Date: $\qquad$
Name \& Title: $\qquad$
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## EXHIBIT C

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

## EXHIBIT C

# DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT 

## BETWEEN

# TRUMP FERRY POINT LLC 

## AND <br> CITY OF NEW YORK <br> DEPARTMENT OF <br> PARKS \& RECREATION

DATED: February 21, 2012

1. PURPOSE ..... 1
2. DEFINITIONS ..... 1
3. CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES ..... 2
4. ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE ..... 3
5. GROW-IN. ..... 5
6. PROCEDURES DURING CONSTRUCTION ..... 6
7. COORDINATION OF CONTRACTORS ..... 11
8. CHANGES TO THE WORK ..... 12
9. UTILITIES ..... 12
10. DELIVERY OF LICENSED PREMISES ..... 13
11. CORRECTION OF DEFECTS; WARRANTIES ..... 17
12. INTENTIONALLY OMITTED ..... 19
13. INDEMNIFICATION ..... 19
14. INSURANCE ..... 21
15. PROHIBITION AGAINST TRANSFERS ..... 24
16. TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT ..... 25
17. CONSENTS AND APPROVALS ..... 28
18. CHOICE OF LAW, CONSENT TO JURISDICTION AND VENUE ..... 29
19. CONFLICT OF INTEREST ..... 29
20. SEVERABILITY: INVALIDITY OF PARTICULAR PROVISIONS ..... 30
21. JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION ..... 30
22. MODIFICATION OF AGREEMENT ..... 30
23. NOTICES ..... 31
24. NO CLAIM AGAINST OFFICERS, AGENTS OR EMPLOYEES ..... 31
25. CLAIMS AND ACTIONS THEREON ..... 31
26. PROVISIONS OF LICENSE AGREEMENT ..... 31
27. REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS TO COMPLY WITH DEC PART 360 PERMIT ..... 31
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ANNEX 1 - CD Containing Detailed Plans and Specifications

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ("Agreement") made this 21st day of February, 2012, between the City of New York (the "City") acting by and through the New York City Department of Parks \& Recreation ("Parks"), whose address is The Arsenal, 830 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10065 (Fax No. 212-360-3434), and Trump Ferry Point LLC ("Licensee"), a Delaware limited liability company, whose address is c/o The Trump Organization, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10022, Attention: Allen Weisselberg and Ron Lieberman.

WHEREAS, Parks, pursuant to the City Charter, has jurisdiction over parklands of the City of New York and facilities therein; and

WHEREAS, Ferry Point Park in the Borough of the Bronx ("Ferry Point Park") is property under the jurisdiction and control of Parks; and

WHEREAS, the City is constructing on the Project Site an 18 -hole Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course and related facilities pursuant to separate contracts; and

WHEREAS, simultaneously with the execution of this Agreement, the City, acting by and through Parks and Licensee (a wholly owned Affiliate of Trump), have entered into that certain License Agreement dated as of the date hereof (the "License Agreement") for the operation, management and maintenance of the Golf Course Facilities (as hereinafter defined) and the Park Snack Bar (as hereinafter defined), and the design, construction, operation, management and maintenance of a permanent clubhouse with a food service facility and pro-shop (the "Clubhouse", such definition as used herein shall include any temporary clubhouse) at the Golf Course Facilities for the accommodation and convenience of and use by the public; and

WHEREAS, Parks and Licensee desire to enter into this Agreement to specify rights and obligations of the parties with respect to the delivery of the Licensed Premises, including, among other things, the completion of the construction process and procedures and conditions for the City's Final. Completion and delivery of the Licensed Premises to Licensee;

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the premises and covenants contained herein, the parties hereby do agree as follows:

## 1. PURPOSE

1.1 Donald J. Trump ("Trump"), the principal of Licensee is a world renowned developer and operator of high quality golf courses. The City has chosen Licensee to operate and manage the Golf Course which the City intends to develop as a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. The purpose of this Agreement is to (i) specify the major elements of the Golf Course and related facilities being constructed by the City; (ii) specify the rights and obligations of the City and Licensee during the construction period and the Grow-In Period; (iii) coordinate operations of the City and Licensee and their respective contractors on the Project Site during the Interim Period; (iv) specify the conditions for the completion and delivery to Licensee of the City's Work; (vi) and specify procedures for correcting material defects and enforcing warranties.

## 2. DEFINITIONS

2.1 As used throughout this Agreement, capitalized terms shall have the meanings set forth on Schedule 3. Capitalized terms used herein but not otherwise defined shall have the meaning set forth in the License Agreement.
2.2 The foregoing recitals are made a part of this Agreement. All Schedules hereto are incorporated herein and expressly made a part hereof.

## 3. CONSTRUCTION OF FACILITIES

3.1 The City, at its sole cost and expense, shall perform or cause to be performed the work described on Schedule 2 (collectively, the "City's Work").
3.2 Prior to or simultaneously with the execution of this Agreement, the City shall deliver to Licensee the most recent construction schedule which has been approved by Parks, from the City's Contractors pursuant to their Construction Contracts. Any updated schedules approved by Parks shall be promptly provided to the Licensee by Parks.
3.3 The City, at its sole cost and expense, shall perform, or cause to be performed, the City's Work at the Project Site in accordance with this Agreement, the Construction Documents and the Plans so as to deliver to Licensee a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. The City's Work shall be constructed in a good and workmanlike fashion, in accordance with all applicable Legal Requirements, and with materials as set forth in the Plans or changes thereto approved by Nicklaus Design (to the extent approval is required by Nicklaus Design) and Parks and Licensee (to the extent Licensee's approval is required pursuant to Section 8.1). The City represents and Licensee acknowledges that delivery of the Licensed Premises as required by this Agreement and the License Agreement and the satisfaction of all of the conditions to delivery set forth in Section 10.3 of this Agreement, including, without limitation, the delivery to Licensee of a certification from Nicklaus Design that the Golf Course, as completed, meets the design standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, shall constitute delivery of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement or the License Agreement, in the event that at any time any element of the Licensed Premises or the operation of the Licensed Premises (or any part thereof) does not meet the standards required under the Nicklaus Subcontract to the extent such deficiency is due to any element of the construction of the Licensed Premises performed by (or on behalf of) the City or any item of the City's Work, Licensee shall not be responsible for the correction of such deficiency to such extent and any such required corrections to comply with the Nicklaus Subcontract shall be the responsibility of the City at its sole cost and expense.
3.4 Except for and without limiting the Licensee's obligations under the License Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of the License Agreement), the City and Parks shall obtain, at their sole cost and expense, any Governmental Approvals required for the Golf Course, the Practice Facility, the Maintenance Building, the Snack Bars and all of the other City's Work (except if the City's Work is performed by Licensee pursuant to Section 10.8 of this Agreement, as applicable, in which case Licensee shall be responsible to obtain such Governmental Approvals for such work performed by Licensee). The City and Parks shall comply with the Prior Determinations (as hereinafter defined) and other applicable Environmental Laws.
3.5 Except for and without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under the License Agreement and this Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(a) of the License Agreement), Licensee shall obtain, at its sole cost and expense, any Governmental Approvals required for the Grow-In and for any Capital Improvements to be performed by Licensee during the Interim Period. Parks, the Commissioner and the City shall cooperate with Licensee in obtaining any and all Governmental Approvals, if any, required for the Grow-In or any Capital Improvements to be performed by Licensee during the Interim Period, including without limitation, any temporary maintenance facility, temporary clubhouse or temporary golf cart storage facility which may be constructed by Licensee.
3.6 All of the City's Work, including, without limitation, the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar, and the bathroom facilities contained in the Snack Bars and the other facilities, to be constructed by the City under this Agreement shall fully comply in all respects with the requirements of the ADA and all other similarly applicable Legal Requirements upon delivery thereof to Licensee in accordance with this Agreement. ADA compliant accessibility shall be clearly indicated by signs to be installed by the City as part of the City's Work.
3.7 The City represents and covenants to Licensee that Schedule 5 annexed hereto and made a part hereof is a true, correct and complete list of all of the Construction Contracts and Design Contracts in effect as of the date hereof relating to the Project Site, true correct and complete copies of which have been delivered by the City to Licensee. Notwithstanding the above, Licensee acknowledges that the City may have entered into additional contracts for the performance of portions of the City's Work subsequent to December 16, 2011, and Licensee agrees that the entering into of such contracts shall not be a breach by the City of the representation and covenant in this Section 3.7. Upon registration of any such contracts, the City shall deliver true, correct, and complete copies to Licensee.
3.8 Without Licensee's prior consent, between the date hereof and the Concession Commencement Date, the City and/or Parks shall not enter into any contracts, agreements, commitments, orders, licenses, leases and/or other instruments, arrangements and understandings (whether written or oral), not already disclosed to Licensee as of the date hereof, that will materially and adversely affect Licensee's operations at the Licensed Premises, Licensee's rights or obligations hereunder or under the License Agreement or the Licensed Premises. Notwithstanding the above, Licensee acknowledges that the City may enter into contracts for the performance of the City's Work without Licensee's consent.

## 4. ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SCHEDULE

4.1 The following schedule sets forth the estimated completion dates for each of the major elements of the City's Work (each an "Estimated Completion Date").

| Work | Deadline for Completion |
| :--- | :--- |
| Completion of the first six (6) Holes of the Golf <br> Course with all seeding complete in accordance <br> with Section 10.1. | May 31, 2012 |
| Completion of the first nine (9) Holes of the Golf <br> Course with all seeding complete in accordance <br> with Section 10.1. | City shall use best efforts to complete the first <br> nine (9) Holes of the Golf Course with all <br> seeding complete in accordance with Section <br> lo.1 by September 1, 2012, but in no event <br> later than October 1, 2012. |
| Completion of all additional Holes of the Golf <br> Course with all seeding complete in accordance <br> with Section 10.1. | May 30, 2013 |
| Maintenance Building | June 15, 2013 |
| Golf Course Snack Bar | June 15, 2013 |


| Park Snack Bar | To be agreed upon |
| :--- | :--- |
| Practice Facility | May 30, 2013 |
| Parking Lot | May 30, 2013 <br> sim Holes |
| Security Fence | June 15, 2013 |
| Rain Shelter (Stand alone Storm Shelter) | June 15, 2013 <br> September15, 2013 (in no event later than <br> December 1, 2013) |
| Contractor Substantial Completion of all of City's <br> Work, except the Park Snack Bar | City's Final Completion of all of City's Work, <br> except the Park Snack Bar |

4.2 The City shall keep Licensee advised as to the progress of the City's Work on a regular basis. The City shall deliver to Licensee periodic reports updating the progress of the City's Work and advising Licensee as to whether the City anticipates that Contractor's Substantial Completion or City's Final Completion of all of the City's Work, except the Park Snack Bar, as applicable, will not be achieved with respect to any portion of the City's Work on or prior to the Estimated Completion Date applicable thereto.
4.3 Where the City's failure to commence the City's Work or comply with the Estimated Completion Date for any phase or portion of the City's Work is the result of (i) Force Majeure, (ii) the period of time necessary for the City to review Licensee's objection to the City's acceptance of any of the City's Work as set forth in Section 10.2 and perform or cause to be performed any changes to the work, (iii) implementation of a Licensee Proposed Change as set forth in Section 8.2, (iv) interference with any of the City's Work caused by Environmental Conditions to the extent caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitess, (v) any repairs, replacements or remediation conducted on the Licensed Premises by the Licensee which materially and adversely interfere with the City's Work (and which shall be conducted by the Licensee in accordance with the terms of this Agreement), or (vi) with respect to the delivery of the first nine (9) Holes and despite City's diligent good faith efforts, City's failure to obtain a DEC Permit Compliance Document required pursuant to Section $10.3(\mathrm{~m})($ vii) in order to permit Licensee to use the Grow-In Materials for its Grow-In if use of such Grow-In Materials (without a DEC Permit Compliance Document) would be inconsistent with the DEC Part 360 Permit (if still in effect) or the New DEC Permit, the Estimated Completion Dates shall be extended day for day, as applicable, for the length of such Force Majeure, the reasonable period of time necessary for the City to review Licensee's objection and, if determined necessary by the City, reasonably perform or cause to be performed any changes to the City's Work accordingly, the reasonable period of time necessary for implementation of a Licensee Proposed Change, the length of any interference with any of the City's Work caused by Environmental Conditions (to the extent caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees) and/or any repairs, replacements or remediation conducted on the Licensed Premises by the Licensee which materially and adversely interfere with the City's Work (and which shall be conducted by the Licensee in accordance with the terms of this Agreement) and/or such additional time necessary for the City to diligently and in good faith pursue and obtain receipt of a required DEC Permit Compliance Document in order to permit Licensee to use the Grow-In Materials for its Grow-In if use of such Grow-In Materials (without a DEC Permit Compliance Document) would be inconsistent with the DEC Part 360 Permit (if still in effect) or the New DEC Permit. Notwithstanding the above, in no event shall the date for City's Final Completion of all of the

City's Work, except the Park Snack Bar, be extended beyond December 1, 2013, unless implementation of a Licensee Proposed Change requires such extension beyond December 1, 2013.

## 5. GROW-IN

5.1 (a) Licensee shall conduct the Grow-In of the Holes and the Practice Facility. Within fourteen (14) months after the delivery of all Holes to Licensee in accordance with Section 10.3, Licensee agrees that it shall have performed Grow-In activities such that the grass on the Holes shall have been sufficiently established so as to be suitable for golf play. Within one (1) year after delivery of the completed Practice Facility to Licensee in accordance with Section 10.3, Licensee agrees that it shall have performed Grow-In activities such that the grass on the Practice Facility shall have been sufficiently established so as to be suitable for use as a Practice Facility. Notwithstanding the foregoing in this Section 5.1(a), the fourteen (14) month period and one (1) year period referenced above in this paragraph shall be extended day for day, as applicable, for (i) the length of any Force Majeure, (ii) the length of any delays from the Estimated Completion Dates (other than any delays from the Estimated Completion Dates with respect to the delivery of the Holes) that materially and adversely impact the Grow-In, except to the extent that such delay is atributable to Licensee pursuant to any of the reasons set forth in clauses (ii) (v) of Section 4.3 of this Agreement, (iii) the length of any interference with the Grow-In caused by Environmental Conditions (except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees) and/or any repairs, replacements or remediation conducted on the Licensed Premises by the City which materially and adversely interfere with the Grow-In (which repairs, replacements or remediation shall be conducted by the City in accordance with the terms of this Agreement), and (iv) for the length of any interference with the Grow-In caused by City's Reconstruction Activities (as defined in the License Agreement) which materially and adversely interfere with the Grow-In. Licensee shall not commence its Grow-In obligations with respect to any given Hole or the Practice Facility at the Licensed Premises until such Hole or the Practice Facility, as applicable, has been delivered to Licensee in accordance with Section 10.3. For the sake of clarity, notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement and subject to Section 12.19(h) of the License Agreement, the period of time constituting the Grow-In shall continue until the Concession Commencement Date.
(b) Upon the turnover of any Hole or the Practice Facility to Licensee in accordance with Section 10.3, the parties acknowledge that the grass may not yet have germinated on such Hole or the Practice Facility, as applicable. If the grass seed for any Hole (or any portion thereof) or the Practice Facility, as applicable, turned over to Licensee does not germinate, as reasonably determined by Licensee, then Licensee shall notify Parks and Parks, at its option, shall: (A) re-seed such Hole (or any portion thereof) or the Practice Facility, as applicable, or (B) direct Licensee to re-seed such Hole (or any portion thereof) or the Practice Facility, as applicable, and the City shall reimburse the Licensee for the cost of such reseeding, including, without limitation, the cost of labor and grass seed (unless the failure of the grass seed to germinate is caused by Licensee's failure to comply with the Grow-In Standards). The City shall reimburse Licensee, as applicable, for the costs of such re-seeding within sixty (60) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs, reasonably satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. Notwithstanding the foregoing in this Section 5.1(b), the City shall not reimburse Licensee for the cost of any grass seed provided at no cost to Licensee by the City.
(c) Within thirty (30) days of the execution of this Agreement, Licensee shall deliver to the City a list of pesticides, herbicides, fungicides and fertilizers expected to be used by Licensee for the Grow-In of the Golf Course (such pesticides, herbicides, fungicides and fertilizers, the "Grow-In Materials") each of which Licensee represents shall generally be permitted by applicable law for use in New York State in connection with golf course operations.
5.2 Licensee shall not be obligated to expend in excess of seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars $(\$ 750,000)$ for all Grow-In Costs. In the event Licensee's Grow-In Costs exceed seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ( $\$ 750,000$ ), Licensee shall be entitled to withdraw up to one hundred thousand dollars $(\$ 100,000)$ from the Capital Reserve Fund established pursuant to the License Agreement to cover such excess costs. In the event that the Grow-In Costs exceed eight hundred and fifty thousand dollars ( $\$ 850,000$ ) (the "Grow-In Cap"), the City shall pay for or reimburse Licensee for all Grow-In Costs in excess of the Grow-In Cap within sixty ( 60 ) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs, reasonably satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. If the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee for such excess Grow-In Costs within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and written demand, Licensee shall continue to pay such excess Grow-In Costs and Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in the amount by which the Grow-In Costs exceed the Grow-In Cap, provided that documentation of such costs, reasonably satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks, together with interest at the Interest Rate, compounded monthly from the date that such excess Grow-In Costs are paid by Licensee to the earlier of the date that such amounts are paid to Licensee or the Licensee is first able to apply such License Fee Credit to License Fees or other amounts payable by Licensee pursuant to the License Agreement, which License Fee Credit and interest, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10 of the License Agreement, will be the sole remedy of Licensee hereunder with respect to such excess Grow-In Costs. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement and the License Agreement, the City shall reimburse Licensee for all Grow-In Costs incurred by Licensee directly or indirectly as a result of the City's Reconstruction Activities to the extent that Licensee has otherwise expended seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ( $\$ 750,000$ ), in the aggregate, for Grow-In Costs, within sixty (60) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs, reasonably satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks.
5.3 Licensee shall perform the Grow-In in compliance with: (a) the Maintenance Guidelines, and (b) the grow-in program standards set forth on Schedule 8 attached hereto (the "Grow-In Standards"). For the avoidance of doubt, the parties agree that Licensee must perform the Grow-In to the reasonable standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course and a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course notwithstanding Licensee's compliance with the Maintenance Guidelines and the Grow-In Standards, and subject to Section 16.2 of this Agreement.
5.4 If during the course of maintenance and Grow-In operations, cover material is removed by Licensee, Licensee shall maintain at all times a minimum depth of one foot ( $l^{\prime}$ ) of material over the shaping layer in conformance with the DEC Part 360 Permit requirements and, where applicable, the Grassing Specifications, the Grow-In Standards and the Maintenance Guidelines.
5.5 Licensee represents, warrants and covenants that any soil or other fill material brought to the Licensed Premises for the purpose of providing cover for the Landfill (x) shall not contain any Hazardous Substances in amounts that would result in a violation of Environmental Laws, the DEC Part 360 Permit or the DEC Deed or pose a threat to the safety or health of persons or the Environment, and (y) shall not compromise the integrity of the Golf Course or any building foundations.

## 6. PROCEDURES DURING CONSTRUCTION

6.1 (a) Subject to Section 7.1 of this Agreement, the City shall provide to Licensee access and use of the Project Site twenty-four (24) hours per day and seven days per week (including holidays) for the purpose of performing Licensee's rights and obligations under this Agreement (including, without limitation, conducting the Grow-In and designing, planning and constructing the Capital Improvements that Licensee is required to construct pursuant to the License Agreement (including, without limitation, a temporary clubhouse and temporary golf cart storage facility it being acknowledged and agreed that

Licensee will not commence construction of the permanent Clubhouse prior to the Concession Commencement Date).
(b) Intentionally omitted.
(c) The City shall employ an independent environmental monitor ("IEM") in accordance with the DEC Part 360 Permit for monitoring services required on the Project Site by the DEC Part 360 Permit for the duration of the DEC Part 360 Permit. To the extent required by Environmental Laws, an IEM shall be present during Licensee's Grow-In and/or construction of Required or Additional Capital Improvements (as defined in the License Agreement). In the event that the IEM hired by Parks is required to be onsite at such times in any event, because of other obligations of the City under the DEC Part 360 Permit, then Licensee shall be entitled to utilize the services of such IEM. Licensee shall reimburse Parks for the incremental cost of the IEM attributable to the IEM's activities in relation to work performed on behalf of Licensee described in the two immediately preceding sentences, provided that documentation of such costs, satisfactory to Licensee, is submitted to Licensee. In the event the IEM hired by Parks is not onsite as described, if required by applicable Environmental Law, Licensee shall engage, at Licensee's sole cost and expense, an IEM to monitor the work described above in this Section 6.1(c). Notwithstanding the foregoing, Licensee shall have the right, at any time, to employ its own IEM that satisfies the requirements of the applicable Environmental Laws. Any cost of an IEM to Licensee in connection with Licensee's construction of the foundation of the Clubhouse shall be a Capital Improvement Cost that is credited against the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost to be expended by Licensee under the License Agreement to the extent such cost is incurred in connection with Licensee's construction of the foundation of the Clubhouse. If Licensee is required to have an IEM present pursuant to applicable Environmental Law for any reason other than ( $x$ ) the Licensee's construction of the foundation of the Clubhouse or ( y ) in connection with Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions that are, in each case, caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees, then the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee in an amount equal to the costs and expenses of the IEM actually paid or incurred by Licensee within sixty ( 60 ) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs and expenses, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. In the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and expenses and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 of the License Agreement, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10 of the License Agreement.
6.2 (a) During the progress of the City's Work up to the date of City's Final Completion, if the City holds regularly scheduled meetings with representatives of the Contractors, the Consultants and/or Nicklaus Design, Parks shall give Licensee notice of such meetings and Licensee shall have the right, but not the obligation, to attend such meetings as follows: The first portion of such a meeting will involve review of the progress schedule, construction issues, changes to the City's Work and other matters that are not of a financial or other confidential nature and Licensee shall have the right to attend and observe (but not participate in, except as provided herein) such review, provided, however, that Parks may, in its sole discretion, have Licensee leave the meeting if financial or other confidential matters arise. Once the portion of the meeting that Licensee has the right to attend has concluded, the meeting will continue and address financial or other confidential matters or non-construction related contract administration matters without Licensee's presence. A representative of Parks Revenue Division will be present at all such meetings referred to in this Section 6.2 and Licensee shall have the right to provide comments and questions to the Parks representative at the meeting and such representative of Parks shall make Licensee's comments and questions known at the meeting. Parks agrees to consider in good faith and address any reasonable questions, issues or concerns identified and raised by Licensee. Following the
meeting, Parks will circulate minutes, including to Licensee, and Licensee will have the right to comment on such minutes, provided that Licensee's failure to provide comments shall not affect any of Licensee's other rights or obligations under this Agreement, and further provided, if Licensee discovers any material errors or omissions in such minutes, Licensee shall promptly notify Parks of any such errors or omissions. The provisions of this Section 6.2(a) shall not apply to Parks' regularly scheduled meetings with DEC which shall instead be governed by Section 6.2 (b) below.
(b) Following any meetings of Parks with the DEC, Parks shall, within three (3) business days of such meeting, send an update to Licensee which shall summarize in reasonable detail the non-confidential issues or topics discussed at the meeting with the DEC which, in Parks' reasonable judgment, could affect the Grow-In, Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises and/or Licensee's construction of any Capital Improvements. If Parks becomes aware of any issue or topic to be discussed at an upcoming meeting with the DEC, which may be relevant to the Grow-In, Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises and/or Licensee's construction of any Capital Improvements, then Parks shall promptly notify Licensee of such meeting and such issue or topic. Without limiting any of Licensee's rights under this Agreement, the License Agreement or otherwise, Licensee shall have the right to request attendance at any upcoming meeting, and Parks shall, in its reasonable discretion, use reasonable efforts to obtain the DEC's permission to permit Licensee to attend such upcoming meeting. Additionally, without limiting any of Licensee's rights under this Agreement, the License Agreement or otherwise, if Licensee desires to discuss any issues with the DEC, then Parks shall, in its reasonable discretion, use reasonable efforts to arrange a meeting for Licensee with the DEC to discuss such issues.
(c) Parks shall hold regularly scheduled meetings with Licensee to discuss construction issues and the status of the City's Work.
6.3 During the progress of the City's Work up to the date of City's Final Completion, the City shall afford Licensee and its design and construction representatives access to the Project Site for purposes of inspecting all of the City's Work performed and being performed at the Project Site on a regular basis during days and hours that construction is taking place on the Project Site.
6.4 The City shall be responsible, at its sole cost and expense, for security at the entire Project Site until City's Final Completion of the City's Work. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the City's obligation for security at the Golf Course Facilities shall terminate upon City's Final Completion of the City's Work with respect to the Golf Course Facilities but shall continue with respect to the Park Snack Bar until City's Final Completion of the City's Work with respect to the Park Snack Bar. The City shall construct a Security Fence around the entire perimeter of the Licensed Premises (other than the Park Snack Bar) and other than the West Parking Lot (which is not part of the Licensed Premises) in accordance with Schedule 2, the Plans, as applicable, and the Estimated Completion Date for such Security Fence set forth in Section 4.1 of this Agreement. The City shall maintain the Security Fence until City's Final Completion of all of the City's Work, other than the Park Snack Bar. The Security Fence shall be locked and secured during days and hours that construction is not taking place, except that Licensee shall have access to all portions of the Licensed Premises that have been delivered to Licensee for all Grow-In related activities at days and hours as required by Licensee. Until City's Final Completion of the City's Work other than the Park Snack Bar, the City shall be responsible for damage to the Golf Course as a result of its failure to secure the Project Site. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Licensee is responsible for security of its equipment and for areas of the Licensed Premises that have been delivered to Licensee that are damaged by Licensee or Licensee's Contractors.
6.5 (a) All risks of construction and development of the City's Work (including City's Reconstruction Activities) are hereby expressly assumed by the City except as may be specifically provided otherwise in this Agreement. Prior to delivery to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of

Section 10.3, the City's Work shall be designed, constructed, maintained, secured and insured entirely at the City's expense without reimbursement by Licensee or credit or offset of any kind for cost overruns or otherwise, and the City shall pay all municipal fees and impositions in connection therewith. The City agrees that neither any provision of this Agreement nor Licensee's reviews, inspections, recommendations, approvals, or advice furnished by Licensee under this Agreement shall be deemed to be warranties or guarantees or constitute the performance of professional services for the benefit of the City, but instead, are intended solely to facilitate the delivery of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course to Licensee for operation under the License Agreement. Such inspections, recommendations, approvals or advice shall not constitute a representation, warranty or opinion by Licensee as to the compliance with the Construction Documents or any Legal Requirement with respect to any of the City's Work. The City and Licensee hereby acknowledge and agree that, with respect to City's Work, compliance with the Construction Documents and all Legal Requirements is and shall remain the sole responsibility of the City, except if Licensee elects to perform the City's Work pursuant to Section 10.8 of this Agreement, in which case compliance with all Legal Requirements shall be the sole responsibility of Licensee with respect to any work performed by Licensee.
(b) Licensee shall assume the obligations pertaining to Licensee under this Agreement with respect to any portion of the Licensed Premises upon delivery of possession of such portion of the Licensed Premises to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement, provided, however, that except as otherwise provided for in this Agreement and/or the License Agreement, in no event shall Licensee assume any risk, liability or obligation with respect to: (a) any area that is located at or below the layer of municipal solid waste (provided, however that Licensee shall be responsible for the cost of work with respect to any municipal solid waste that may be excavated or disturbed by Licensee's construction of the Clubhouse or Licensee's performance of its other obligations under this Agreement or the License Agreement, including the cost of disposing of such municipal solid waste, if required, provided, further, that Licensee shall not be responsible for any Environmental Conditions or liability with respect thereto, arising from Licensee's work except to the extent caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees), and (b) Environmental Conditions, including Environmental Conditions or liability with respect thereto arising from Licensee's work, and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions or liability with respect thereto and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees).
6.6 (a) The City shall provide to Licensee free access to and use of a temporary staging area for the Grow-In and any other work which may be performed by Licensee hereunder or under the License Agreement. Until the fuel storage tanks to be installed by the City are installed, Licensee shall have the right to temporary storage of fuel for its equipment, in a manner satisfactory to Parks, provided however that Licensee shall obtain, at its sole cost and expense, all required approvals for such storage of fuel, including but not limited to the approval of the FDNY (as defined in the License Agreement), as applicable. The temporary staging area shall be located as shown on Schedule 1-1. Licensee shall have the right to construct a temporary maintenance facility on the Project Site as shown on Schedule 1-1 and to remove such temporary maintenance facility upon completion of the Maintenance Building to be constructed as part of the City's Work. The plans, design and location of such temporary maintenance facility shall be subject to the prior written approval of Parks, which shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. The cost of construction (including for temporary utility connections), operation and removal of the temporary maintenance facility shall be a Grow-In Cost. Licensee shall be responsible for restoration of the Licensed Premises, including the restoration of any landscaping, as applicable, after the removal of any temporary maintenance facility and/or any temporary staging area at Licensee's cost and expense; the reasonable cost of such restoration shall be a Grow-In Cost. From the effective date of this Agreement until the earlier of completion of the temporary maintenance facility, if any, or the Maintenance Building, the City shall provide to Licensee, at no cost to Licensee, space at its facilities at
the Project Site as reasonably requested by Licensee to be used by Licensee to conduct its operations hereunder. The space for the temporary maintenance facility shall be made available to Licensee not less than two (2) months prior to the delivery of the first six (6) Holes to Licensee.
(b) Subject to the prior written approval of Parks, Licensee shall construct on the Licensed Premises, at the location shown on Schedule 1-3, a temporary clubhouse and temporary cart storage facility to be open to the public by the time of the Concession Commencement Date. Licensee shall have the right to remove such temporary clubhouse and temporary cart storage facility upon completion of the Clubhouse to be constructed by Licensee pursuant to the License Agreement. Licensee shall be responsible for restoration of the Licensed Premises, including the restoration of any landscaping, as applicable, after the removal of any temporary clubhouse and temporary cart storage facility and/or any construction staging area at Licensee's cost and expense; the reasonable cost and expense of such restoration shall be a Capital Improvement Cost and shall be credited against the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost to be expended by Licensee under the License Agreement. The cost of construction and removal of the temporary clubhouse and temporary cart storage facility shall be a Capital Improvement Cost and shall be credited against the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost to be expended by Licensee under the License Agreement.
(c) The City shall provide to Licensee access to all Utilities necessary for the operation of such temporary clubhouse, temporary maintenance facility and temporary cart storage facility, including, without limitation, temporary power, water, and access to sanitary sewer and empty conduits for phones, cable and internet service (together "Temporary Utilities"). The cost of the use of such Temporary Utilities shall be paid for as provided in Section 9.1.
6.7 Licensee shall provide an adequate number of staff members possessing the appropriate qualifications to conduct their respective functions for Grow-In and other construction operations at the Licensed Premises.
6.8 The City reserves the right to perform construction or maintenance work on any portion of the Licensed Premises that has been delivered to Licensee in accordance with the Agreement, in its reasonable discretion or as required to be performed by the City pursuant to this Agreement (including pursuant to Sections $\mathbf{9 . 4 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 2 . 1 9 ( a ) ( v i )}$ of the License Agreement). Licensee agrees to cooperate with the City, to accommodate any such work by the City and provide access through the Licensed Premises as reasonably deemed necessary by the Commissioner. The City shall use its best efforts to give Licensee at least fourteen (14) days' written notice of any such work and to not unreasonably interfere with the GrowIn or Licensee's construction activity on the Licensed Premises, if any. In performing such work, the City shall use commercially reasonable efforts to minimize the extent to which the Grow-In and Licensee's construction, if any, are disrupted. The City shall coordinate its work pursuant to this Section 6.8 with Licensee. The City shall be responsible for restoration of the Licensed Premises (subject to Section 12.19(a)(vi) of the License Agreement) after the completion of any such work at the City's sole cost and expense. For the sake of clarity, all City's Reconstruction Activities shall be performed pursuant to and in accordance with this Section 6.8.
6.9 In the event that the Licensee requires use of the West Parking Lot located on the west side of the Hutchinson River Parkway, as more particularly depicted on Schedule 7 (the "West Parking Lot"), as a staging area during the Grow-In or construction of the Clubhouse, Licensee and the City shall enter in to a separate agreement for the West Parking Lot. For avoidance of doubt, the parties acknowledge that West Parking Lot is not part of the Licensed Premises.

## 7. COORDINATION OF CONTRACTORS

7.1 The City and Licensee understand and acknowledge that (a) the City's Work is part of an overall development of Ferry Point Park at the Project Site, and (b) the Grow-In and Capital Improvements on the Licensed Premises may be performed by Licensee or separate contractors retained by Licensee ("Licensee's Contractors") during and after the performance of the City's Work. The City agrees that the City shall, to the extent applicable, (i) coordinate the work of the City and the City's Contractors with the work being performed by Licensee or Licensee's Contractors so as to avoid interference with any work being performed by or on behalf of Licensee and to work in harmony with any of Licensee's Contractors operating at the Project Site, (ii) make any portion of the Project Site in which the City or any of the City's Contractors are performing the City's Work available to Licensee and Licensee's Contractors, when necessary, and (iii) not hinder, delay or interfere with the work of Licensee or Licensee's Contractors. Licensee agrees that Licensee shall, to the extent applicable, (a) coordinate the work of Licensee and the Licensee's Contractors with the work being performed by the City or the City's Contractors so as to avoid interference with any work being performed by or on behalf of City and to work in harmony with any of the City's Contractors operating at the Licensed Premises, (b) make any portion of the Licensed Premises available to the City and the City's Contractors, when necessary, and (c) not hinder, delay or interfere with the work of the City or the City's Contractors. None of the City, Licensee, or any of the City's Contractors or the Licensee's Contractors shall be entitled to any fee in connection with such cooperation and coordination. The City's fill importation activities shall be managed by the City so as not to materially and adversely affect the proper implementation of the GrowIn by Licensee in accordance with the Grow-In Standards and in a manner consistent with a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course.
7.2 (a) For purposes of construction of the Maintenance Building, the Golf Course Snack Bar and the Storm Shelters (as more particularly described in Schedule 2 to this Agreement) the Parties acknowledge that such construction may be performed by an agency of the City other than Parks, which may include the New York City Department of Design and Construction (the "DDC"). The City and Parks acknowledge and agree that ( x ) the City shall be responsible for the performance and the completion of all of the City's Work in accordance with the terms of this Agreement regardless of whether or not such City's Work is performed by an agency of the City other than Parks, such as the DDC (except to the extent that the City's Work is performed by Licensee pursuant to Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 8}$ of this Agreement, as applicable, in which case Licensee shall be responsible for the completion of such work performed by Licensee); and (y) the City shall not be relieved of any obligations under this Agreement, the License Agreement or any applicable Legal Requirements due to the performance of any of the City's Work by an agency other than Parks.
(b) Within fifteen (15) days following the execution of this Agreement, Parks shall designate in writing to Licensee two (2) Parks representatives (each a "Parks Representative" and collectively the "Parks Representatives") each of which shall individually be authorized to deal with Licensee on any matters related to the Maintenance Building, the Golf Course Snack Bar and the Storm Shelters (as more particularly described in Schedule 2 to this Agreement) or any other work performed by the DDC on the Project Site (such matters collectively, the "DDC Matters"). Prior to the commencement of construction of any of the Maintenance Building, the Golf Course Snack Bar or the Storm Shelters, Parks shall cause the DDC to designate in writing to Licensee and Parks two (2) DDC representatives (each a "DDC Representative" and collectively the "DDC Representatives") each of which shall individually be authorized to deal with Licensee on any matters related to the DDC Matters. Licensee agrees to include at least one (1) Parks Representative in Licensee's communications with either of the DDC Representatives; provided that Parks agrees to cause the Parks Representatives to be reasonably available for such communications. Any notices from Licensee to the DDC shall be provided to Parks at the
address provided at the beginning of this Agreement and to the DDC at such address or in such other manner as the DDC may designate to Licensee.

## 8. CHANGES TO THE WORK

8.1 The City shall give Licensee notice of any proposed changes to the Plans or the City's Work, which notice shall provide sufficient details of the proposed changes to enable Licensee to identify and evaluate the proposed change. No changes in the Construction Documents, City's Work or the Plans are permitted to be made that (i) are inconsistent with the scope of the City's Work set forth in Schedule 2 to this Agreement; or (ii) would diminish the quality of the City's Work below the level of quality of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course (each of such changes a "Material Changes"), without, in each case, the prior written consent of Licensee, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. In the event that there are any Material Changes that have not been approved in writing by Licensee, Licensee shall have the right to terminate this Agreement and the License Agreement upon ten (10) days notice to the City, and in the event of such termination, the City shall pay the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) of the License Agreement, to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.2 of the License Agreement.
8.2 So that Licensee may assist the City in the development of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, Licensee shall have the right to request reasonable changes to the City's Work and/or the Plans consistent with the scope of the City's Work under this Agreement and with (i) the Design Contracts, (ii) the Construction Contracts or (iii) the Nicklaus Subcontract ("Proposed Changes"). Licensee shall submit requests for Proposed Changes to the City for consideration and implementation of Licensee's Proposed Changes by the City, in its reasonable discretion, provided however, Licensee's requests for Proposed Changes to the Nicklaus Subcontract, including but not limited to the proposed routing plan (as prepared and delivered pursuant to Section 2 of the Nicklaus Subcontract) and the Plan Documents (as defined in the Nicklaus Subcontract), which consist of a general strategy plan, a clearing plan (if necessary), contour plans, a conceptual golf course drainage plan, a preliminary grassing and planting plan, a bunker study plan, and supplemental specifications to such plans, where applicable, shall be considered and implemented by the City in its sole discretion. If the City accepts and implements any Proposed Changes, the relevant Estimated Completion Dates shall be extended day for day for the period of time reasonably necessary for the City to implement such Proposed Changes. Licensee's objection to the delivery of any of the City's Work for failure to comply with the Construction Documents or the provisions of this Agreement, which failures the City agrees to correct, shall not be a Licensee Proposed Change. Licensee shall provide any such objection promptly after Licensee receives notice under Section 10.3(a).

## 9. UTILITIES

9.1 The City shall directly pay all Utility costs associated with the development and construction of the City's Work, and the Grow-In prior to the Concession Commencement Date. Licensee shall be responsible for the cost of temporary utility connections associated with the development, construction, operation and use of the temporary clubhouse and temporary cart storage facility, and of the temporary maintenance facility subject to the terms set forth in Section $6.6(\mathrm{a})$, prior to the Concession Commencement Date. Licensee shall also be responsible for the cost of the use of Temporary Utilities, other than water and sewer (which shall be the City's responsibility), associated with the development, construction, operation and use of the temporary clubhouse and temporary cart storage facility prior to the Concession Commencement Date. The City shall be responsible for the cost of use of Temporary Utilities associated with the development, construction, operation and use of the temporary maintenance
facility, excluding the use of phones, cable and internet services, prior to the Concession Commencement Date.

## 10. DELIVERY OF LICENSED PREMISES

10.1 The initial delivery of Golf Course holes by the City, including, without limitation, tee boxes, greens, fairways, sand traps, roughs and any other areas adjacent to any hole ("Holes") shall include no less than six (6) Holes. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, the City agrees that (x) the City shall use best efforts to deliver the first nine (9) Holes of the Golf Course in accordance with Section 10.3 by September 1, 2012, but in no event later than October 1, 2012 and (y) the City shall use best efforts to deliver all additional Holes of the Golf Course in accordance with Section 10.3 by May 30 , 2013. Holes and the Practice Facility shall only be delivered to Licensee between April $15^{\text {th }}$ and May $30^{\text {th }}$ and between August $15^{\text {th }}$ and October $15^{\text {th }}$ of each year and during suitable conditions for the conduct of the Grow-In and Licensee shall not be required to accept delivery of Holes at any other time, unless otherwise agreed to by the parties hereto.
10.2 (a) Upon the City's receipt of a request from a Contractor for an inspection to determine Contractor's Substantial Completion or Final Acceptance of the work being performed under the applicable Construction Contract or any portion thereof in accordance with such Construction Contract, the City shall give notice of such inspection to Licensee not less than two (2) business days prior to the date of such proposed inspection. Licensee shall have the right to attend all such inspections and utilize its expertise in the design, development and construction of similar high quality golf courses to assist the City in determining, as applicable, whether Contractor Substantial Completion in accordance with the Construction Contract, has been achieved, in developing the Final Approved Punch List, and in determining if the punch list work has been completed and if the Contractor's work is ready for Final Acceptance in accordance with the Construction Contract. Within five (5) business days following any inspection to determine if Contractor Substantial Completion has been achieved, Licensee shall have the right to propose a list of punch list items to be included on the Final Approved Punch List in accordance with the Construction Contract, and the City may, in its reasonable discretion, include all such punch list items noted by Licensee in the Final Approved Punch List to be prepared by the Engineer. Once the Contractor has submitted a re-inspection notice for the punch list items on any Final Approved Punch List, the City shall give notice of such re-inspection to Licensee not less than two (2) business days prior to the date of such proposed re-inspection. Within five (5) business days following any such reinspection to determine if the Final Approved Punch List items have been completed, Licensee shall have the right to provide a written objection to the punch list work and to provide a list of punch list items that still need to be performed or have not been adequately performed, and the City may, in its sole discretion, direct the Engineer to notify the Contractor that such punch list items need to be performed or require additional work, as the case may be. The City and Licensee shall follow the notice and inspection procedure set forth in this Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 2}$ until Final Acceptance of the work being performed under the applicable Construction Contract or any portion thereof.
(b) The City may, in its reasonable discretion, delay acceptance of any of the work as having achieved Contractor Substantial Completion or Final Acceptance of any work if Licensee submits a written objection, which Parks determines to be reasonable, to such work having achieved Contractor Substantial Completion or being ready for Final Acceptance. Licensee's participation in the above noted procedures for Contractor Substantial Completion and Final Acceptance and production of comments shall not be deemed verification of completion by Licensee. Licensee's failure to provide a proposed list of punch list items, written objection and/or comments, pursuant to the above noted procedures for Contractor Substantial Completion and Final Acceptance, shall not affect any of Licensee's other rights or obligations under this Agreement (as Licensec has the right but not the obligation to provide a proposed
list of punch list items, written objection and/or comments, pursuant to the above noted procedures for Contractor Substantial Completion and Final Acceptance).
10.3 Delivery of the Licensed Premises, or such portion thereof being delivered to Licensee, shall occur upon Final Acceptance of the City's Work for the entire Licensed Premises, or the portion thereof being delivered, which work shall be in accordance with Schedule 2 and in all material respects in accordance with the Plans and Construction Documents, to the standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, without any Material Change to the scope of work set forth in Schedule 2 or the Plans and Construction Documents unless approved by Licensee in accordance with Section 8.1, and the satisfaction of the following conditions:
(a) The City shall provide notice to Licensee not less than five (5) days prior to the proposed delivery of the Licensed Premises (or the portion thereof being delivered to Licensee), which notice shall provide that the City's Work (or the portion thereof being delivered to Licensee) has been constructed in accordance with Schedule 2 hereto and in all material respects in accordance with all applicable Plans and Construction Documents to the standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course and that there has been no Material Change to the scope of work set forth in Schedule 2 or the Plans and Construction Documents that has not been approved by Licensee in accordance with Section 8.1;
(b) Licensee's receipt of a copy of the City's written acceptance of the City's Work (or the portion thereof that is being delivered to Licensee) to its Contractor, including acceptance of the Final Approved Punch List items;
(c) Licensee's receipt of a copy of the certification from the Engineer that the City's Work (or the portion thereof that is being delivered to Licensee) is fully complete and ready for Final Acceptance and that all items of work set forth on the Final Approved Punch List have been completed;
(d) Delivery to Licensee of a full and complete set of "as built" plans and specifications together with a certification by the Engineer that the "as built" plans and specifications are a true and accurate representation of the completed work, or, with respect to delivery of any Hole or the Practice Facility or any other portion (but not the whole) of the City's Work, only partial "as built" plans and specifications of the Holes, the Practice Facility or the other portion of the City's Work being delivered to Licensee, together with the certification by the Engineer described above (for the sake of clarity, "as built" plans and specifications as used in this paragraph shall be deemed to include Plans and Specifications that represent the original design of any particular part of the City's Work where there have been no changes to the original design set forth in such Plans and Specifications and such Plans and Specifications represent a true and accurate representation of the completed City's Work). Delivery to Licensee of the final post-construction as-built drawings for the Licensed Premises which shall, at a minimum, include detailed cross sections illustrating the depth of fill placed and location of all utilities and associated structures, roadways, and storm water drainage control structures for the project. The final post-construction as-built drawings shall be signed by a professional engineer licensed in New York State and shall be approved by DEC where such approval is required;
(e) Delivery to Licensee, prior to or simultaneously with delivery of the Licensed Premises, of all applicable Governmental Approvals for all portions of the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar, as applicable, provided that the City shall not be required to provide such Governmental Approvals for any areas that are at or below the municipal solid waste located below the surface of the Licensed Premises, unless such Governmental Approvals are required for the lawful use or operation of the Licensed Premises. If any portion or phase of the Licensed Premises to be delivered to Licensee prior to City's Final Completion of all of the City's Work requires any Governmental Approvals, the City shall
deliver such Governmental Approvals as a condition to Licensee's obligation to take possession of such portions of the Licensed Premises;
(f) Delivery of an assignment by the City to Licensee of guaranties and warranties in accordance with Section 11.2;
(g) Delivery to Licensee of written acceptance by Sanford Golf Design (and any other applicable Consultant whose approval is required pursuant to the terms of any Design Contract) of the City's Work, or the portion thereof being delivered to Licensee, as fully complete in all material respects in accordance with the Construction Documents and delivery to Licensee of a written certification from Nicklaus Design to Parks that the Golf Course, or portion thereof being delivered to Licensee meets the design standards for a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course;
(h) Delivery to Licensee of a certification by the City that City's Work is in full compliance with the ULURP No. C000090 MCX determination dated December 22, 1999 for Ferry Point Park and all applicable Governmental Approvals, including, without limitation, any required Certificate of Occupancy, and other applicable Legal Requirements. Notwithstanding the foregoing in this Section 10.3(h), the Parties agree that as set forth in Sections 1.2(c)(iii), (iv) and (v) of the License Agreement, the City may be required to undertake additional SEQRA or CEQR review (at the City's sole cost and expense) if applicable Legal Requirements require such review in connection with Licensee's use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises. If any of City's Reconstruction Activities are required in connection with such additional SEQRA or CEQR review, such City's Reconstruction Activity in and of itself shall not prevent the City from satisfying this Section 10.3(h) unless the City knows or should have known that such City's Reconstruction Activity would completely prevent Licensee's Grow-In activities on all of the portion of the Licensed Premises being delivered to Licensec for the reasonably foresecable future. For the sake of clarity, the satisfaction of all other conditions set forth in this Section 10.3 shall continue to constitute conditions of delivery;
(i) The Licensed Premises, or the portion thereof delivered to Licensee shall be substantially free of any construction debris and equipment, provided that any remaining debris or equipment during Grow-In does not interfere with the Grow-In. At City's Final Completion of all of the City's Work for the Golf Course Facilities and for the Park Snack Bar, as applicable, in the event that the Licensed Premises are not substantially free of any such debris and equipment, City shall within thirty (30) days of written notice thereof from Licensee remove all such debris and equipment from the Licensed Premises or Licensee may remove and dispose of such debris or equipment at the City's cost;
(j) The Licensed Premises, or the portion thereof delivered to Licensee shall be filled with the proper depth of cover material pursuant to the DEC Part 360 Permit;
(k) All Utilities (including the communications conduits but not the wiring therefor) have been completed and accurately depicted (plus or minus six inches ( $\pm 6$ ") ) in a set of "as built" plans and specifications;
(l) All areas of the Licensed Premises being delivered to Licensee shall have been separated from the non-delivered areas with snow fencing or by other means that provide for clear demarcation; and
(m) With respect to the delivery of any Holes and the Practice Area:
(i) Seeding and/or sodding of all Holes being delivered or the Practice Facility, as applicable, shall have been completed, all required fertilizing prior to the initial seeding shall have occurred and the initial round of watering shall have been completed, in each case in accordance
with the Grassing Specification Changes dated May 3, 2010 by Consultant and Section 137 of the Construction Contract and Specification attached hereto as Schedule 4-1 (the "Grassing Specifications');
(ii) The Irrigation System and Drainage System servicing such Holes or the Practice Facility, as applicable, shall be fully operational, all Utility lines located under such Holes or the Practice Facility, as applicable, shall have been installed and functioning and all golf cart paths and other paved areas serving such Holes or the Practice Facility, as applicable, shall have been completed as specified in the City's Work;
(iii) Access to the temporary staging areas for Grow-In has been given to Licensee;
(iv) All bunkers have been completed and sand installed as specified in the City's Work;
(v) The Hole layout, shaping, topography, drainage and all other elements of the Hole have been accepted in writing by the applicable Consultant (and a copy of such acceptance has been delivered to Licensee), and delivery to Licensee of a written certification from Nicklaus Design to Parks that the Hole layout, shaping, topography, strategy, and all other elements relating to the playability of the Hole itself (as opposed to, e.g., Landfill related elements present at a Hole) have been accepted by Nicklaus Design as meeting the standards for a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course;
(vi) A qualified agronomist hired by Sanford pursuant to the Nicklaus Subcontract has confirmed in writing to Parks that the plantings and seeding have satisfied all of the requirements of the Grassing Specifications and the other Construction Documents and such confirmation has been delivered to Licensee;
(vii) If Licensee's use of the Grow-In Materials for its Grow-In would be inconsistent with the DEC Part 360 Permit (if still in effect) or the New Permit (as defined in the License Agreement), the delivery by Parks to Licensec of documentation from DEC reasonably demonstrating that the use of such Grow-In Materials is permissible under the DEC Part 360 Permit or the New DEC Permit, as applicable (such documentation, a "DEC Permit Compliance Document", referred to in Section 1.2(d) of the License Agreement as "informal written documentation") (including, for illustrative purposes only, an approval from the DEC stating that the use of such Grow-In Materials in connection with Licensee's Grow-In is permitted under the DEC Part 360 Permit or the New DEC Permit, as applicable)

### 10.4 Intentionally Omitted.

10.5 The City's Work under this Agreement shall include the construction of the Park Snack Bar. The parties agree that the Park Snack Bar may not be completed at the time of City's Final Completion of the Golf Course Facilities. In addition to the other conditions to City's Final Completion set forth herein, City's Final Completion and delivery of the Park Snack Bar to Licensee shall be conditioned upon completion of construction of the entire waterfront park to be constructed by the City in Ferry Point Park adjacent to the Licensed Premises and the opening of such waterfront park to the public. The parties acknowledge that the Park Snack Bar may not be delivered to Licensee until after the Concession Commencement Date and shall not be part of the Licensed Premises until possession thereof is delivered to Licensee in accordance with this Agreement.
10.6 Licensee shall not be bound, precluded or estopped by its occupancy or operation of the Licensed Premises from claiming that the City's Work, or any part thereof, does not in fact conform to the
requirements of this Agreement by reason of the failure of Parks or the City to perform the City's Work in accordance with this Agreement.
10.7 Upon delivery of the entire Licensed Premises, other than the Park Snack Bar, to Licensee, the City shall, at Licensee's option, provide to Licensee any excess materials and supplies (such as, for example, soil, seed, fertilizer, sand, chemicals, mulch, sod) and other so called "extra surplus materials" that are provided to the City by its Contractors pursuant to any of the Construction Documents.
10.8 In the event that the City fails to perform the City's Work described in Section p (Roads) of Schedule 2 on or before November 30, 2012, which date shall be subject to extension pursuant to Section 4.3, Licensee shall have the right, but not the obligation, to perform such work in accordance with this Agreement and the License Agreement, including obtaining Governmental Approvals required to perform such work. If Licensee performs such work, the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee in an amount equal to the reasonable cost of the work actually paid or incurred by Licensee, provided that documentation of such costs, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. In the event that the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee for such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and written demand, Licensec shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon at the Interest Rate, which License Fee Credit and interest, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10 of the License Agreement, will be the sole remedy of Licensee hereunder for the cost of such work. The provisions of this Section 10.8 shall survive any termination or expiration of this Agreement

## 11. CORRECTION OF DEFECTS; WARRANTIES

### 11.1 Intentionally Omitted.

11.2 The City shall obtain all manufacturer's standard warranties and guarantees for all equipment and materials included in the City's Work. At City's Final Completion, the City shall assign to Licensee all applicable guaranties and other warranties with respect to any portion of the City's Work and specific components and systems thereof that are delivered to the City under the Construction Documents or otherwise and all warranties for any products included in the City's Work, except to the extent the City retains the obligation to maintain such City's Work or components or systems or products under this Agreement or the License Agreement, and at the request of Licensee from time to time, the City shall execute and deliver to Licensee any documents reasonably requested by Licensee in order to enable Licensee to enforce such guaranties and warranties.
11.3 (a) After City's Final Completion, to the extent the City has retained warranties and guarantees, at the request of Licensee, the City shall promptly take such actions as Licensee may reasonably request, including, without limitation, the enforcement of any guaranties and warranties that the City received for any portion of the City's Work or any products, materials and equipment included therein or made a part thereof, and the commencement and prosecution of litigation, to enforce the rights of the City under the Design Contracts, the Nicklaus Subcontract, the Construction Contracts and any other contracts for the City's Work for the benefit of Licensee with respect to claims relating to, among other things, breach of contract, professional negligence or material defects in design, manufacture, construction or installation or effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitecs, in which case the City shall take such actions as it deems appropriate, in its sole discretion), and shall, if reasonably requested by Licensee, execute such documents as may be requested by Licensee to permit Licensee to enforce any such guaranties and warranties and to commence or prosecute any such action or proceeding in its own name, or, if required by law, in the name of the City.
(b) To the extent the City has assigned warranties and guaranties to Licensee, the enforcement of any such warranties or guaranties and the commencement or prosecuting of litigation to enforce the rights of Licensee thereunder shall be at the option of Licensec; provided that in no event shall Licensee be obligated to expend more than a de minimus amount for any litigation or other enforcement action that may be required to enforce any warranties or guaranties that City has assigned to Licensec. If Licensee determines that the costs of litigation or other enforcement action ("Costs") are greater than a de minimus amount, Licensee shall submit an estimate of such Costs to the City and the City shall thereafter have the option to (i) take actions to enforce any guaranty or warranty or commence and prosecute litigation to enforce the rights of City and/or Licensee under any guaranty or warranty, (ii) take responsibility for correcting warranty or guaranty defects or issues in lieu of pursuing a warranty or guaranty claim against a third party, or (iii) direct Licensee to take actions to enforce any guaranty or warranty or commence and prosecute litigation to enforce the rights of the City and/or Licensee under any guaranty or warranty. If the City directs Licensee to take actions pursuant to clause (iii) in this Section 11.3(b), then the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee in an amount equal to the reasonable Costs actually paid or incurred by Licensee. In the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such Costs and written demand from Licensee, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 of the License Agreement, which, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10 of the License Agreement, will be the sole remedy of Licensee hereunder. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the amount of the Costs owed to Licensee shall be reduced by any amount recovered from the applicable Contractor or Consultant under the applicable warranty/guaranty and actually paid to Licensee, provided, however, the amount of the Costs owed to Licensee shall not be reduced to the extent any amount recovered is paid to the City. In the event that Licensee enforces any warranty or guarantee that has been assigned from the City to Licensee, the City shall execute such documents to permit Licensee to enforce any such guaranties and warranties and to commence or prosecute any such action or proceeding in its own name, or, if required by law, in the name of the City.
11.4 Licensee shall promptly repair, replace, restore or rebuild or cause to be repaired, replaced, restored or rebuilt, as the City shall reasonably determine, any of the Capital Improvements provided by Licensee in which material defects of design, materials or workmanship may appear or to which damage may occur because of such defects during the one (1) year period subsequent to the date of Final Completion by Licensee with respect to such Capital Improvements, as applicable, except to the extent such defect or damages are the result of ( x ) the negligence or willful misconduct of the City, its agents and its Contractors and Consultants, or (y) Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensec Indemnitees), and provided that in no event shall Licensee be required to maintain, repair, replace, restore or rebuild any portion of the Licensed Premises that are at or under the layer of municipal solid waste located below the surface of the Licensed Premises (provided however that Licensee shall be required to maintain, repair, replace, restore or rebuild any portion of the Licensed Premises that are at or under the layer of municipal solid waste located below the surface of the Licensed Premises: (i) in the areas where the foundation for the Clubhouse is installed by Licensee if required to maintain, repair, replace, restore or rebuild Licensee's work, unless caused by Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees), and (ii) in other areas if, due to the negligence or willful misconduct of the Licensce in performing its obligations under this Agreement or the License Agreement, it becomes necessary to disturb or excavate the layer of municipal solid waste). Parks shall provide Licensee with written notification of any material defects of design materials or workmanship or damage due to such defects within sixty (60) days of Parks discovering or becoming aware thereof.
11.5 Licensee shall obtain, in Licensee's name, all manufacturer's standard warranties and guarantees for all equipment and materials included in the Capital Improvements. Licensee shall assign to the City all applicable guaranties and other warranties with respect to any portion of the Licensee's Capital Improvements and specific components and systems thereof that are delivered to the City under this Agreement and the License Agreement when and if the City exercises its option to take title to such equipment and materials or specific components and systems thereof. In accordance with the foregoing in this Section 11.5, as may be requested by the City from time to time, Licensee shall execute and deliver to the City any documents reasonably requested by the City in order to enable the City to enforce such guaranties and warranties. All of the City's rights and title and interest in and to said manufacturers' warranties and guaranties may be assigned by the City to any subsequent licensees of the Licensed Premises.
11.6 The provisions of this Article 11 shall survive any termination or expiration of this Agreement.

## 12. MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE REMEDIATION

12.1 In the event that, in connection with the performance of the City's Work, any municipal solid waste is exposed, disturbed or otherwise affected, the City shall remove and otherwise remediate, as applicable, such municipal solid waste from the Project Site in accordance with all applicable Environmental Laws (except if the City's Work is performed by Licensee pursuant to Section 10.8 of this Agreement, as applicable, in which case Licensee, at its sole cost and expense, shall be responsible for such removal and remediation, as applicable, in connection with such work being performed by Licensee, provided, however, Licensee shall not be responsible for any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions or any liability with respect thereto arising from Licensee's work except to the extent caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees). Likewise, if it is discovered after commencement of the Grow-In that some areas of the Licensed Premises do not have the minimum cover depth over the municipal solid waste required by the DEC Part 360 Permit, the City shall promptly remediate the condition at its sole cost and expense. The City represents and warrants that the depth of fill material over all areas of the municipal solid waste at the Licensed Premises is a minimum of two (2) feet. Notwithstanding the foregoing, subject to Section 5.5, Licensee shall, at its sole cost and expense, provide all new cover, required by Licensee to replace cover that is removed or disturbed due to Licensee's activities at the Licensed Premises.
12.2 The provisions of this Article 12 shall survive any termination or expiration of this Agreement.

## 13. INDEMNIFICATION

13.1 To the fullest extent permitted by law (and without limiting Licensee's obligations under this Agreement and the License Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(c) of the License Agreement)), Licensee shall defend, indemnify and hold the Indemnitees harmless against any and all Claims, for which they are or may be liable as a result of any personal injury, death or property damage arising, in whole or in part, out of the work, activities or operations of any of the Licensee Indemnitees at the Licensed Premises pursuant to this Agreement, except for Claims arising from Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions, whether such Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are presently existing or arise after the date hereof except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the provisions of this indemnification shall not be construed to indemnify or provide for the defense of any Indemnitees to the extent any Claims are attributable to the acts or omissions of the Indemnitees, provided, however, for the sake of clarity, notwithstanding the foregoing, the indemnity or provision for the defense of Indemnitees shall apply to
the extent any Claims are attributable to any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitces. Licensee's duty to defend, indemnify and hold the Indemnitees harmless, as provided in this Section 13.1, shall survive the expiration or sooner termination of this Agreement.

### 13.2 Intentionally Omitted.

13.3 Licensee's duty to defend, indemnify and hold the Indemnitees harmless, as provided in Section 13.1, shall not be abrogated, diminished or otherwise affected by Licensee's further duty on their behalf to procure and maintain insurance pursuant to the provisions of Section 14 hereof, nor by their failure to avail themselves of the benefits of such insurance by due and timely demand upon the insurers therefor, and shall survive the expiration or sooner termination of this Agreement.
13.4 Except as expressly provided in this Agreement and/or in the License Agreement, Licensee assumes all risk in the operation of this Agreement. For the sake of clarity, and without limiting the City's or Parks' obligations under this Agreement, Licensee shall not be deemed to assume the risks associated with (a) any portion of the Project Site prior to delivery of possession of such portion of the Project Site to Licensee in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement and the License Agreement (except if Licensee performs any Capital Improvement or other activities on any portion of the Project Site prior to delivery thereof to Licensee, then subject to the terms of this Agreement and the License Agreement and without limiting Parks' or the City's obligations under this Agreement and the License Agreement, Licensee shall assume the risk for such Capital Improvement or activity), (b) the City's or Parks' construction of the City's Work pursuant to this Agreement and the License Agreement (including City's Reconstruction Activities), (c) any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions whether such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are presently existing or arise after the execution of this Agreement (except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees), (d) any settlement due to the Landfill, and (e) any of the City's or Parks' responsibilities under the DEC Part 360 Permit, the DEC Deed or other applicable Legal Requirements with respect to Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions, except as otherwise provided in this Agreement and the License Agreement.
13.5 Without limiting the City's and Parks' obligations under this Agreement and the License Agreement (including, without limitation, Section 12.19(c) of the License Agreement), the City shall defend, indemnify and hold the Licensec Indemnitees harmless against any and all Claims for which they are or may be liable as a result of any personal injury, death or property damage arising, in whole or in part, out of the work, activities or operations of the City or Parks, or the City's or Park's employees, contractors or other agents, in connection with the City's or Park's activities and operations on the Project Site, including, without limitation, the City's or Park's construction of the Golf Course, the Practice Facility, the Snack Bars and other facilities to be constructed by the City or Parks pursuant to this Agreement (including City's Reconstruction Activities), and any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions presently existing or arising after the execution of this Agreement (except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees) and any conditions existing on any portion of the Licensed Premises delivered to Licensee existing as of the date of delivery of such portion of the Licensed Premises to Licensee pursuant to this Agreement and the License Agreement, except to the extent caused by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees prior to delivery of such portion of the Licensed Premises to Licensee. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the provisions of this indemnification shall not be construed to indemnify or provide for the defense of Licensee Indemnitees to the extent any

Claims are attributable to the acts or omissions of any of the Licensee Indemnitecs, provided, however, for the sake of clarity, notwithstanding the foregoing, the indemnity or provision for the defense of Licensee Indemnitees shall apply to the extent any Claims are attributable to any Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent that such Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees). The City's duty to defend, indemnify and hold the Licensee Indemnitees harmless, as provided in this Section 13.5, shall survive the expiration or sooner termination of this Agreement.
13.6 (a) In the City's defense of the Licensee Indemnitees (or any one of them), as applicable, in accordance with the terms of this Agreement (including, without limitation Article 13), the City shall not, without the prior written consent of Licensee, (i) make any non-monetary settlement of any Claims against any of the Licensee Indemnitees or (ii) make any monetary settlement of any Claims against any of the Licensee Indemnitees unless such monetary settlement is free of any admission of guilt or wrongdoing by any of the Licensee Indemnitees. Additionally, the City agrees (i) to make good faith efforts to consult with Licensee regarding legal strategy in the defense of any Claims against any of the Licensee Indemnitees (including positions asserted, claims and counterclaims) and (ii) not to portray any of the Licensee Indemnitees in a negative light.
(b) In Licensee's defense of the Indemnitees (or any one of them), as applicable, in accordance with the terms of this Agreement (including, without limitation Article 13), Licensee shall not, without the prior written consent of the City, (i) make any non-monetary settlement of any Claims against any of the Indemnitees or (ii) make any monetary settlement of any Claims against any of the Indemnitees unless such monetary settlement is free of any admission of guilt or wrongdoing by any of the Indemnitees. Additionally, Licensee agrees (i) to make good faith efforts to consult with the City regarding legal strategy in the defense of any Claims against any of the Indemnitees (including positions asserted, claims and counterclaims) and (ii) not to portray the City in a negative light.

## 14. INSURANCE

14.1 Licensee shall, at its own cost and expense, procure and maintain, and shall require any of its contractors or subcontractors to procure and maintain, from the date Licensee commences use of the temporary staging area on the Project Site pursuant to Section 6.6(a) of this Agreement until the Concession Commencement Date of the License Agreement, such insurance as will:
(a) protect Licensee and any contractors or subcontractors from Worker's Compensation, including Employer's Liability and Disability claims;
(b) insure Licensee, its agents and sublicensees, and Licensee Indemnitees, the City, Parks, and their respective officials, agents and employees against any and all Claims, for which they, or any of them, are or may be liable as a result of any bodily injury, including death, or property damage arising, in whole or in part, from Licensee's operations pursuant to this Agreement, including but not limited to any accident occurring on the Project Site, the design, construction, installation, operation, repair, maintenance, replacement or removal of any Capital Improvements by Licensee or any of the Licensee Indemnitees. For the sake of clarity, such insurance shall not apply to the extent Claims are related to (x) an Environmental Condition and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of Licensee or any of the Licensee Indemnitees), or (y) any other matter for which the City or Parks is responsible or liable under this Agreement or the License Agreement; and
(c) insure the property and equipment of the City and Parks against any damage whatsoever.
14.2 (a) The policies shall provide the amounts of insurance hereafter mentioned, and on or before the date Licensee commences use of the temporary staging area on the Project Site pursuant to Section 6.6(a) of this Agreement, Certificates of Insurance and Broker's Certification in forms satisfactory to the Commissioner shall be submitted to Commissioner for his approval and retention. Each policy shall be endorsed to reflect that: "No cancellation of or change in this policy shall become effective until after thirty (30) days notice by Certified Mail to Asst. Commissioner for Revenue and Marketing, New York City Department of Parks \& Recreation, The Arsenal, 830 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10065." Licensee shall be solely responsible for the payment of all premiums, deductibles and other costs relating to the policies of insurance required under this Agreement. Licensee shall obtain from the insurance broker accounting statements providing evidence that the premiums for the insurance policies have been paid and shall submit such accounting statements to Commissioner. There shall be no self-insurance program relating to any such insurance, unless approved in writing by the Commissioner, which approval shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed. Licensee shall be required to demonstrate to the Commissioner's reasonable satisfaction that such self-insurance program provides coverage at least as broad as required herein and provides the City and Parks with all rights required herein.
(b) Each policy shall also provide that the insurer is obligated to provide a legal defense in the event any claim is made against the City regarding Licensee's operations on the Project Site, provided that the foregoing in this Section 14.2 shall not apply where such claims are related exclusively to ( x ) an Environmental Condition and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of Licensec or any of the Licensee Indemnites) or ( $y$ ) any other matter for which the City or Parks is solely responsible or liable under this Agreement or the License Agreement. For the sake of clarity, this Section 14.2(b) relates only to issues of legal defense and does not relate to indemnification or liability under any policy. If, at any time, any of said policies shall reasonably become unsatisfactory to Commissioner as to form or substance, or if a company issuing any such policies shall reasonably become unsatisfactory to the Commissioner, Licensee shall promptly (within not more than fifteen (15) business days) obtain a new policy, and submit the same to Commissioner for written approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld, and for retention thereof as hereinabove provided.
(c) If, at any time, any of said policies shall terminate, Licensee shall, prior to the termination of such existing policy, promptly obtain a new policy, and submit the required Certificate of Insurance and Broker's Certification (or binder) to Commissioner for written approval, which shall not be unreasonably withheld, and for retention thereof as hereinbefore provided. In the event any insurance is suspended, discontinued or terminated, Licensee shall have the right, prior to such suspension, discontinuation, or termination, to secure replacement insurance satisfying the requirements of this Section 14, and provide Parks with a Certificate of Insurance and Broker's Certification (or binder) evidencing such insurance. Upon failure of Licensee to maintain, furnish and deliver insurance (including renewal or replacement insurance) or to provide Certificate(s) of Insurance and Broker's Certification (or binder(s)) as above provided in this Section 14, this Agreement may, at the election of the Commissioner be immediately suspended and/or may be terminated in accordance with the provisions of Section 16.2. Failure of Licensee to take out and/or maintain or the taking out or maintenance of any required insurance shall not relieve Licensee from any liability under this Agreement, nor shall the insurance requirements be construed to conflict with or limit the obligations of Licensee concerning indemnification or otherwise.
14.3 Endorsement to Policies - The following additional endorsements shall be made part of the insurance policies described in this Agreement other than Worker's Compensation, Disability Benefits
and Employer's Liability, as specified below:
(i) This policy shall not be canceled, terminated, modified, or the coverage thereof reduced, until thirty (30) days after receipt of written notice thereof by certified mail addressed to the Commissioner.
(ii) If and insofar as knowledge of an "occurrence", "claim", or "suit" is relevant to the City of New York as additional insured under this policy, such knowledge by an agent, servant, official or employee of the City of New York will not be considered knowledge on the part of the City of New York of the "occurrence", "claim", or "suit" unless notice thereof is received by the: Insurance Claims Specialist, Affirmative Litigation Division, New York City Law Department.
(iii) Any notice demand or other writing by or on behalf of the named insured to the insurance company shall also be deemed to be a notice, demand or other writing on behalf of the City as an additional insured. Any response by the Insurance Company to such notice, demand or other writing shall be addressed to the named insured and to the City at the following address: Insurance Claims Specialist, Affirmative Litigation Division, New York City Law Department, 100 Church Street, New York, New York 10007.
(iv) The presence of representatives of the City on the Project Site shall not invalidate this policy.
(v) Violation of any of the terms of any other policy issued by the Insurance Company to the Licensee shall not invalidate this policy.
14.4 All policies required by this Agreement shall be issued by an insurance company or companics authorized to do business in the State of New York having an A.M. Best rating of at least A-7 and, except for Workers' Compensation, Disability Benefits and Employer's Liability insurance, must specifically name the City, including its officials and employees, as Additional Insured with coverage at least as broad as set forth in ISO Form CG 2026 and must be in effect and continue so from and after the date Licensee commences use of the temporary staging area on the Project Site pursuant to Section 6.6(a) of this Agreement until the Concession Commencement Date of the License Agreement in not less than the following amounts (or such higher amounts as the Commissioner may hereafter reasonably require):

| Workers' Compensation and Disability Insurance | Per Statute |
| :--- | :--- |
| Employer's Liability Insurance | As required by the laws of the State <br> of New York |
| Comprehensive General Liability Insurance dedicated to <br> Licensee's operations at the Licensed Premises (with Broad Form <br> Property Damage, Personal Injury Liability, Products/Completed <br> Operations Liability, Contractual Liability, Independent <br> Contractors, Fire/Legal Liability, Property Insurance <br> Endorsements), for any one occurrence | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Any Auto, Hired Auto, and Non-Owned Auto Insurance, for any <br> one occurrence | $\$ 1,000,000$ |
| Umbrella/Excess Liability dedicated to Licensee's operations at <br> the Licensed Premises | $\$ 3,000,000$ |

In the event that Licensee maintains Pollution Legal Liability Insurance with regard to any operations under this Agreement or requires any of Licensee's Contractors to procure Contractors Pollution Liability Insurance, then Licensee shall or Licensee shall cause Licensee's Contractors to name the City, including its officials and employees, as an additional insured with coverage at least as broad as ISO Form CG 2026. For the sake of clarity, Licensee has the right, but not the obligation, to maintain or cause Licensee's Contractors to procure and maintain such insurance.

Further, for the sake of clarity, such insurance shall not protect the City, Parks and their respective agents and employees, with regards to Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions, except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of Licensee or any of the Licensee Indemnitees.
14.5 The insurance certificates for all policies required by this Agreement shall include the Agreement No. and shall indicate the location of the work. Licensee shall provide copies of all such Certificates of Insurance and Brokers Certifications to Parks on or prior to the date Licensee commences use of the temporary staging area on the Project Site pursuant to Section 6.6(a) of this Agreement.

### 14.6 Intentionally Omitted.

14.7 In the event that claims in excess of these amounts (including Licensee's umbrella insurance policy) are filed against the City, the amount of excess of such claims, or any portion thereof, may be withheld from any payment due or to become duc to Licensec until such time as Licensee shall furnish such additional security covering such claims as may be reasonably determined by Commissioner; provided that the foregoing in this Section 14.7 shall not apply to the extent such claims are related to (x) an Environmental Condition and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions (except to the extent the Environmental Conditions and/or the effects of Environmental Conditions are caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of Licensec or any of the Licensee Indemnitess) or (y) any other matter for which the City or Parks is responsible or liable under this Agreement or the License Agreement.

## 15. PROHIBITION AGAINST TRANSFERS

15.1 Licensee shall not sell, transfer, assign, sublicense or encumber in any way this Agreement or a majority of the shares of Licensee, or any Fixed Equipment furnished as provided herein (provided that Licensee shall have the right to enter into equipment leases for or grant security interests in Expendable Equipment (as defined in the License Agreement)), or any interest therein, or consent, allow or permit any other person or party to use any part of the Licensed Premises, building, space or facilities covered by this Agreement except as contemplated in connection with Licensee's activities under this Agreement, nor shall this Agreement be transferred by operation of law, unless approved in advance in writing by Commissioner, it being the purpose of this Agreement to grant this Agreement solely to Licensee herein named. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, (a) Licensee shall have the right to assign or transfer this Agreement to an assignee or transferee of the License Agreement made in accordance with the License Agreement provided that the proposed assignee or transferee agrees in writing to assume all of Licensee's responsibilities and obligations under this Agreement, and (b) transfers of interests in Licensee permitted by the License Agreement shall be permitted hereunder.
15.2 This Agreement may be assigned, in whole or in part, by the City to any governmental corporation, governmental agency or governmental instrumentality having authority to accept such assignment provided such assignee assumes all of the City's obligations hereunder and further provided
that the License Agreement is simultaneously assigned to such entity. The City shall provide the Licensee with prior written notice of any such assignment.

## 16. TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

16.1 Notwithstanding any language to the contrary contained herein, this Agreement is terminable at will by the Commissioner in his sole and absolute discretion, provided that the Commissioner simultaneously terminates the License Agreement in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.2(a) of the License Agreement and pays to Licensee the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) of the License Agreement, payable to Licensee in accordance with Section 3.2 of the License Agreement.
16.2 (a) The Commissioner shall have the right to terminate this Agrcement on ten (10) days notice to Licensee upon the occurrence of any of the following events and the expiration of any applicable notice, grace and cure period as set forth herein, and each such event shall constitute an "Event of Default" under this Agreement: (i) Licensee defaults in the payment of any due and unpaid amount payable by Licensee under this Development Agreement (except for payments of any unpaid portion of the premiums due for all insurance policies required to be procured and maintained by Licensee under this Development Agreement which are addressed below in the proviso to this Section 16.2(a)(i)) and such default shall continue for more than ten (10) business days after Parks has delivered notice thereof to Licensee; provided, however, that with respect to a default in payment of any unpaid portion of the premiums due for all insurance policies required to be procured and maintained by Licensee under this Development Agreement, no notice by Parks shall be required and an Event of Default shall occur upon the failure to pay the amount due to the applicable insurance company prior to expiration of the period of time before the cancellation of the applicable insurance policy (unless such policy is replaced prior to such time); (ii) Licensee materially breaches or fails to substantially comply with any of the material provisions of this Agreement and such default shall continue for more than twenty-five (25) days after Parks has delivered notice thereof to Licensee (such notice a "Default Notice"), provided, however, that notwithstanding the foregoing if such default cannot reasonably be cured within such twenty-five (25) day period, Licensee shall have such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such default, provided that Licensee shall have commenced curing such default within such twentyfive (25) day period and Licensee thereafter diligently prosecutes such cure to completion and, provided, further that, notwithstanding the foregoing, if a Default Notice alleges a material breach or failure to substantially comply with any of the material provisions of this License Agreement relating to an alleged failure of Licensee to comply with any Legal Requirements and Licensee challenges (through administrative or judicial process, as applicable) such alleged breach or failure in good faith, Licensee shall not be deemed to have breached or failed to comply with this License Agreement until such time as Licensee's challenge is denied beyond any right of appeal, and if denied (beyond any right of appeal), Licensee shall have the cure periods stated in this paragraph which shall run from the date of such denial provided, however, that Licensee shall comply with all applicable legal orders requiring action or discontinuance of action during the pendency of such challenge. For purposes of this provision and for Section 16.3 below, a material breach or failure to substantially comply shall be those breaches or failures specifically identified as such in this Agreement, such other breaches or failures as are material under the circumstances, or any time any of Licensce's representations contained in this Agreement are found to be materially untrue, inaccurate or incorrect; (iii) if a material breach or failure to substantially comply with any provisions of this Development Agreement by Licensee occurs and is corrected within the time periods specified in this Section 16.2, and a repeated violation of the same provision occurs more than two (2) additional times in any twelve (12) month period; (iv) if, (x) upon the occurrence and during the continuance of an Event of Default under this Development Agreement or the License Agreement and (y) after Parks has provided written notice to Guarantor that Parks intends to pursue
its rights under the Guaranty in accordance with the terms of the Guaranty, Guarantor shall default, beyond any applicable notice or cure periods, in his obligations under that certain Guaranty or (v) the Commissioner terminates the License Agreement in accordance with the provisions of Section 3.3 of the License Agreement. In addition to the remedies set forth herein, the City may pursue any rights the City may have under the Guaranty as set forth in Exhibit V of the License Agreement, provided, however, that for the sake of clarity, as set forth in the Guaranty, the Guaranty may not be enforced until the expiration of any applicable notice and cure periods and then only in accordance with the terms of the Guaranty.
(b) Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that the Event of Default underlying the termination notice is a default in payment of any unpaid portion of the premiums due for all insurance policies required to be procured and maintained by Licensee under this Agreement, then in such event, and in such event only, Licensee may cure such Event of Default within such ten (10) day period. For the purposes of the foregoing sentence, "cure" shall mean (i) payment of all unpaid portions of premiums due, and (ii) the unconditional agreement to defend, indemnify, and hold the City harmless (and the subsequent defense, indemnification, and holding of the City harmless) for all Claims that Licensee must indemnify the City for under the terms of Article 13 (even if such Claims arose during any period where Licensee did not have all insurance required pursuant to this Agreement).
16.3 In the event that (a) the City fails to comply with the Estimated Completion Dates, as same may be adjusted pursuant to Section 4.3, for any phase or portion of the City's Work for a period of one hundred twenty (120) days (or one hundred eighty (180) days where the City needs to obtain a replacement Contractor to complete the City's Work or the applicable portion thereof) following written notice from Licensee, provided, that the Estimated Completion Dates shall be extended day for day, as applicable, for (i) the length of any Force Majeure, (ii) the reasonable period of time necessary for the City to review Licensee's objection to the City's acceptance of any of the City's Work as set forth in Section 10.2 and, if determined necessary by the City, the reasonable period of time to perform or cause to be performed any changes to the City's Work accordingly, (iii) the reasonable period of time necessary for implementation of a Licensee Proposed Change, (iv) the length of any interference with any of the City's Work caused by Environmental Conditions (to the extent caused or exacerbated by the negligence or willful misconduct of any of the Licensee Indemnitees) and/or any repairs, replacements or remediation conducted on the Licensed Premises by the Licensee which materially and adversely interfere with the City's Work (and which shall be conducted by the Licensee in accordance with the terms of this Agreement), and/or (v) with respect to the delivery of the first nine (9) Holes, to the extent that the reason that the City fails to comply with the Estimated Completion Dates is that a DEC Permit Compliance Document is required pursuant to Section $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 ( \mathrm { m } ) \text { (vii) and despite the diligent good faith efforts of the }}$ City to obtain such DEC Permit Compliance Document, the City is unable to do so, such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to obtain such DEC Permit Compliance Document, provided the City shall diligently and in good faith pursue receipt of such DEC Permit Compliance Document until receipt thereof, provided that no such cure rights in this clause (a) shall extend the date for City's Final Completion of all of the City's Work and delivery of all of the Licensed Premises (other than the Park Snack Bar) to Licensee beyond December 1, 2013, unless implementation of a Licensee Proposed Change as set forth in Section 8.2 requires such extension beyond December 1, 2013, (b) the City fails to deliver possession of the entire Licensed Premises (other than the Park Snack Bar) to Licensee in accordance with this Agreement and with City's Final Completion of all of the City's Work on or prior to December 1, 2013, unless implementation of a Licensee Proposed Change as set forth in Section 8.2 requires such extension beyond December 1, 2013, (c) the Nicklaus Subcontract is terminated (except if such termination is due to the actions of or failure to act by any of the Licensee Indemnitees), or (d) the City or Parks materially breach or fail to substantially comply with any of the other material provisions of this Agreement and such default shall continue for more than twenty-five (25) days after Licensee has
delivered notice thereof to the City, provided, however, that notwithstanding the foregoing if such default cannot reasonably be cured within such twenty-five (25) day period, Parks and the City shall have such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such default, provided that Parks and the City shall have commenced curing such default within such twenty-five (25) day period and Parks and the City thereafter diligently prosecutes such cure to completion, and, provided, further that, notwithstanding the foregoing, if such notice alleges a material breach or failure to substantially comply with any of the material provisions of this License Agreement relating to an alleged failure of the City or Parks to comply with any Legal Requirements and City or Parks challenges (through administrative or judicial process, as applicable) such alleged breach or failure in good faith, the City and Parks shall not be deemed to have breached or failed to comply with this Development Agreement until such time as the City's or Park's challenge is denied beyond any right of appeal, and if denied (beyond any right of appeal), City and Parks shall have the cure periods stated in this paragraph which shall run from the date of such denial (provided, however, that City and Parks shall comply with all applicable Legal Requirements during the pendency of such challenge)), then, in any such case, Licensee shall have the right to seek all appropriate legal and equitable remedies and the City shall be liable to Licensee for such amounts to which Licensee may be entitled in law or equity in any action brought by Licensee against the City on account of any of the foregoing in this Section 16.3 and Licensec shall have the right to (in addition to any other remedy which Licensee may have under this Agreement) give notice to the City, in writing, terminating this Agreement, and this Agreement shall terminate ten (10) days after receipt by the City of such termination notice from Licensee. For purposes of this provision, a "material breach or failure to substantially comply" shall either be those breaches or failures specifically identified as such in this Agreement or such other breaches or failures as are material under the circumstances. For the sake of clarity, termination under this Section 16.3 shall not entitle Licensee to a Termination Payment under the License Agreement.
16.4 Subject to Section 16.5 of this Agreement and Section 3.3(e) of the License Agreement, Licensee agrees that upon the expiration or sooner termination of this Agreement, it shall immediately cease all operations pursuant to this Agreement and shall vacate the Licensed Premises without any further notice by City and without resort to any judicial proceeding by the City. Subject to Section 3.3(e) of the License Agreement, upon the expiration or sooner termination of this Agreement, City reserves the right to take possession of the Licensed Premises.
16.5 Subject to Section 3.3(e), Section 3.5, and Section 13.3 of the License Agreement, Licensee shall, within thirty (30) days following the expiration or sooner termination of this Agreement ("Removal Period"), remove all personal possessions from the Licensed Premises. All of the provisions of this Agreement, including but not limited to the insurance and indemnification provisions, shall apply during the Removal Period. Licensee acknowledges that any personal property remaining on the Licensed Premises after the Removal Period is intended by Licensee to be abandoned. Licensee shall remain liable to the City for any damages, including the cost of removal or disposal of property, should Licensee fail to cease operations, vacate the Licensed Premises or remove all possessions from the Licensed Premises during the time prescribed in this Agreement. Pursuant to Section 4.4 of the License Agreement, the City may seize the Security Deposit to recover such damages in part or in whole. If all or any part of the Security Deposit remains unexpended, then the City agrees to return such balance to Licensee within sixty (60) days following the Removal Period, provided Licensee is otherwise in compliance with the provisions of this Agreement.
16.6 This Agreement shall be effective upon written notice from Parks to Licensee that the License Agreement (which includes this Agreement as Exhibit C) has been registered with the Comptroller of the City of New York. Subject to the provisions of this Agreement that specifically survive termination or which by their nature would survive, unless this Agreement is sooner terminated pursuant to the
provisions hereof, the City's obligations under this Agreement shall terminate upon the City's Final Completion of all of the City's Work, notwithstanding that the Park Snack Bar may not have been delivered to Licensee on or prior to the Concession Commencement Date, and Licensee's obligations hereunder shall terminate upon the Concession Commencement Date (provided that the foregoing shall not limit Licensce's obligation under the License Agreement to maintain the Golf Course consistent with a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and to the reasonable standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, including, if necessary, continuing to grow-in the Practice Facility as though the Grow-In obligation continues after the Concession Commencement Date under this Agreement and the costs of such grow-in incurred by Licensee shall be treated as though they were Grow-In Costs under this Agreement). This Agreement shall terminate when both Parties' obligations have terminated.
16.7 In the event that the License Agreement is terminated for any reason, Licensee and/or the City shall have the right to terminate this Agreement.
16.8 The City's obligations to pay the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) of the License Agreement, in accordance with the License Agreement and the Development Agreement and all of the provisions of this Agreement pursuant to which Licensee is entitled to receive the Termination Payment, with accrued interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 3.2(b) of the License Agreement, shall survive the termination of this Agreement.

## 17. CONSENTS AND APPROVALS

17.1 Whenever any act, consent, approval or permission is required of the City, Parks or the Commissioner under this Agreement, the same shall be valid only if it is, in each instance, in writing and signed by the Commissioner or his duly authorized representative. Unless a different standard is specifically provided herein, whenever any act, consent, approval or permission is required of the City, Parks or the Commissioner under this Agreement, the same shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed, and whenever this Agreement provides that consent approval or permission shall not be unreasonably withheld, such provision shall be deemed to include that such consent, approval or permission shall not be unreasonably conditioned or delayed, and terms such as satisfactory and acceptable shall be deemed to mean reasonably satisfactory and reasonably acceptable. No variance, alteration, amendment, or modification of this instrument shall be valid or binding upon the City, Parks, the Commissioner, Licensee or their respective agents, unless the same is, in each instance, in writing and duly signed by the Commissioner or his duly authorized representative and the Licensee. As used in this Agreement, including all Exhibits and Schedules, the words "include", "includes", or "including" shall be deemed to be followed by the words "without limitation."
17.2 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in this Agreement, (i) any references to any consent, approval or permission required of the City (without any reference to any City agency), Parks or the Commissioner under this Agreement (including documentation, parties and other matters requiring the satisfaction of or are required to be satisfactory to the City, Parks or the Commissioner, as applicable) shall be deemed a reference to Parks and any requests for such consent, approval or permission shall be submitted to Parks, (ii) any such consent, approval, or permission granted pursuant to this Agreement to Licensee by Parks shall be deemed given by the City, Parks and/or the Commissioner, as applicable, (iii) any covenants, obligations, responsibilities, acts or omissions of Parks under this Agreement shall mean the City acting by and through Parks, (iv) notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in clause (iii) of this Section 17.2 and subject to Section 7.2, any covenants, obligations, responsibilities or acts of Parks or the City, as they pertain to the City's Work under this Agreement, shall mean the City acting by and through Parks or the DDC, as the case may be, or the City acting by and through any other
appropriate City agency, and (v) where provision is made herein for notice or other communication to be given in writing or otherwise or for the submission of a document or other item, to the City (without any reference to any City agency), Parks or the Commissioner, the provision shall be deemed a reference to Parks, whose address is provided at the beginning of this Agreement.

## 18. CHOICE OF LAW, CONSENT TO JURISDICTION AND VENUE

18.1 This Agreement shall be deemed to be executed in the City of New York, State of New York, regardless of the domicile of the Licensee, and shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York.
18.2 Any and all claims asserted by or against the City (which for purposes of this Article 18 includes the Commissioner) or Licensee arising under this Agreement or related thereto shall be heard and determined either in the courts of the United States located in New York City ("Federal Courts") or in the courts of the State of New York ("New York State Courts") located in the City and County of New York. To effect this Agreement and its intent, Licensee and the City agree:
(a) If any such action or proceeding is brought in Federal Court or in New York State Court, service of process may be made on the City or Licensee, as the case may be, by personal service, in accordance with the provisions of the New York Civil Practice Law and Rules ("CPLR"), wherever such party may be found, (and if the City is the party being served, process shall be served on the Corporation Counsel, 100 Church Street, New York, New York 10007); provided, however, that in so far as service is to be made upon the Licensee, as an alternative to personal service in accordance with the provisions of the CPLR, service of process upon Licensee may be made in such other manner and at other address for Licensec in each case only as Licensee may provide in writing to the City; and
(b) With respect to any action between the City and the Licensec in New York State Court, the Licensee and the City each hereby expressly waives and relinquishes any right it might otherwise have (i) to move to dismiss on grounds of forum non conveniens, (ii) to remove to Federal Court; and (iii) to move for a change of venue to a New York State Court outside New York County.
(c) With respect to any action between the City and the Licensee in Federal Court located in New York City, each of them expressly waives and relinquishes any right it might otherwise have to move to transfer the action to a United States Court outside the City of New York.
18.3 If the Licensee or City commences any action arising under or in connection with this Agreement against any of them in a court located other than in the City and State of New York, upon request of any of the other of such parties, the commencing party shall either consent to a transfer of the action to a court of competent jurisdiction located in the City and State of New York or, if the court where the action is initially brought will not or cannot transfer the action, the commencing party shall consent to dismiss such action without prejudice and may thereafter reinstitute the action in a court of competent jurisdiction in New York City.

## 19. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

19.1 Licensee represents and warrants that neither it nor any of its directors, officers, members, partners or Affiliates, has any interest nor shall it acquire any interest, directly or indirectly, which would or may conflict in any manner or degree with the performance or rendering of the services herein provided. Licensee further represents and warrants that, to its knowledge, none of its employees has any interest, which would or may conflict in any manner or degree with the performance or rendering of the services herein provided. Parks and the City acknowledge and agree that the present or future ownership
and operation of other golf courses by Trump or any of his Affiliates, or any of their directors, officers, members, employees or partners does not constitute such a conflict and shall not violate this provision. Licensee further represents and warrants that in the performance of this Agreement no person having such interest or possible interest shall be knowingly employed by it, provided, however, if Licensee unknowingly has employed or employs a person having such interest or who acquires such interest and such conflicting interest is subsequently discovered by Licensee, then Licensee shall take prompt steps to remedy the conflict. No elected official or other officer or employee of the City or Parks, nor any person whose salary is payable, in whole or part, from the City treasury, shall participate in any decision relating to this Agreement which affects his/her personal interest or the interest of any corporation, partnership or association in which he/she is, directly or indirectly, interested nor shall any such person have any interest, direct or indirect, in this Agreement or in the proceeds thereof.

## 20. SEVERABILITY: INVALIDITY OF PARTICULAR PROVISIONS

20.1 If any term or provision of this Agreement or the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall, to any extent, be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement, or the application of such term or provision to persons or circumstances other than those as to which it is held invalid or unenforceable, shall not be affected thereby, and each term and provision of this Agreement shall be valid and enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law, provided that the purposes intended by the parties including the economic purposes shall remain substantially in effect.
20.2 In the event that any condition or provision of this Agreement is declared void or of no effect, then in such an event the parties shall use best efforts to modify this Agreement to the extent possible, consistent with the parties intent not to convey any interest in real property, to provide the parties an opportunity to continue the Agreement on economic terms and for the public purposes intended; provided, however, that any such modification shall be subject to all necessary City approvals and authorizations and compliance with all City procedures and processes. In the event continuation of the Agreement cannot be lawfully achieved, the parties shall negotiate an orderly and equitable termination of the Agreement on such terms as may be just and equitable and that avoid an unjust enrichment. For the avoidance of doubt, Grow-In Costs and Capital Improvement Costs shall be considered in the determination of an equitable result.

## 21. JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION

21.1 Should any provision of this Agreement require judicial interpretation, it is agreed that the court interpreting or considering same shall not apply the presumption that the terms hereof shall be more strictly construed against a party by reason of the rule of construction that a document should be construed more strictly against the party who itself or through its agent prepared the same, it being agreed that all parties hereto have participated in the preparation of this Agreement and that legal counsel was consulted by each responsible party before the execution of this Agrecment.

## 22. MODIFICATION OF AGREEMENT

22.1 This Agreement constitutes the whole of the agreement between the parties hereto, and no other representation made heretofore shall be binding upon the parties hereto. This Agreement may be modified from time to time by agreement in writing, but no modification of this Agreement shall be in effect until such modification has been agreed to in writing and duly executed by the party or parties affected by said modification.

## 23. NOTICES

23.1 Where provision is made herein for notice or other communication to be given in writing, the same shall be given by hand delivery, by mailing a copy of such notice or other communication by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by overnight courier service addressed to Commissioner or to the attention of Licensee at their respective addresses provided at the beginning of this Agreement, or to any other address that Licensee shall have filed with Commissioner. In addition, in the case of any notice or other communication required or permitted to be given to Licensee under this Agreement, an additional copy thereof shall be delivered in accordance with the foregoing to each of the following persons at the following address: Trump Ferry Point LLC, c/o The Trump Organization LLC, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022, Attention: Jason Blacksberg, Esq., Allen Weisselberg and Ron Lieberman.

## 24. NO CLAIM AGAINST OFFICERS, AGENTS OR EMPLOYEES

24.1 No claim whatsoever shall be made by the Licensee against any officer, agent or employec of the City for, or on account of, anything done or omitted in connection with this Agreement.

## 25. CLAIMS AND ACTIONS THEREON

25.1 (a) No action at law or proceeding in equity against the City or Parks shall lie or be maintained upon any claim based upon this Agreement or arising out of this Agreement or in any way connected with this Agreement unless Licensee shall have strictly complied with all requirements relating to the giving of notice and of information with respect to such claims, all as herein provided.
(b) In the event any claim is made or any action brought in any way relating to the License Agreement herein other than an action or proceeding in which Licensee and Parks are adverse parties, Licensee shall render to Parks and/or the City of New York, without additional compensation, any and all assistance which Parks and/or the City of New York may reasonably require of Licensee.

## 26. PROVISIONS OF LICENSE AGREEMENT

26.1 The following provisions of the License Agreement shall apply during the term of this Agreement and shall be incorporated herein by reference, mutatis mutandis, as if fully set forth herein: Sections $1.2(\mathrm{~b}), 1.2(\mathrm{c}), 1.2(\mathrm{~d}), 1.2(\mathrm{e}), 1.6,1.8,3.2(\mathrm{a}), 3.2(\mathrm{~b}), 3.3(\mathrm{e}), 3.4,3.5,4.7,4.8$ (but only as it pertains to Section 4.7), 4.10, 7.1, 7.4, 7.5, 9.4(b), 9.4(d), 9.4(e), 9.6, 9.15, 9.16, 9.17, 9.20, 9.21, 9.22, 9.25, $9.39,9.40,11.2,11.3,12.3(\mathrm{~d}), 12.4,12.9,12.11,12.16(\mathrm{a}), 12.18(\mathrm{a}), 12.18(\mathrm{~b}), 12.19$ (other than $12.19(\mathrm{~g})$ ), 13.3, Articles 5, 8, 10 (except for Sections 10.8, 10.9, 10.11 and 10.24), 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, $24,26,28,29,31,32,38$ and Exhibit F - "Schedule of Capital Improvements".

## 27. REIMBURSEMENT FOR COSTS TO COMPLY WITH DEC PART 360 PERMIT

27.1 If Licensee incurs any costs or expenses that are required for Licensee's activities contemplated by this Agreement or the License Agreement to be in compliance with the DEC Part 360 Permit, any conditions of a renewed, modified or amended DEC Part 360 Permit, any DEC Deed and/or any conditions imposed by the DEC and/or any SEQRA or CEQR review with respect to Licensee's operation of the Licensed Premises, including the use of pesticides and fertilizers in the Grow-In and/or the operation of the Licensed Premises (in each case other than in connection with Licensee's construction of the foundation of the Clubhouse or in the course of Licensee performing its responsibilities pursuant to Section 12.16(b) of the License Agreement), then the City shall pay or reimburse Licensee for such costs and expenses
actually paid or incurred by Licensee within sixty ( 60 ) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs and expenses, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks, and provided further, to the extent such costs and expenses are Grow-In Costs, the City shall reimburse Licensee for all of these Grow-In Costs incurred by Licensee to the extent that Licensee has otherwise expended seven hundred and fifty thousand dollars ( $\$ 750,000$ ), in the aggregate, for Grow-In Costs. Except as otherwise provided in Section 5.2, in the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and expenses and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 of the License Agreement, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10 of the License Agreement.
[LEFT BLANK INTENTIONALLY]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be signed and sealed on the day and year first above written.

CITY OF NEW YORK
PARKS \& RECREATION

By:


Assistant Commissioner for Revenue
Dated: $\qquad$

TRUMP FERRY POINT LLC

By:
Donald J. Trump, President

Dated: $\qquad$
APPROVED AS TO FORM
CERTIFIED AS TO LEGAL AUTHORITY

Acting Corporation Counsel
STATE OF NEW YORK
SS:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
On this $\partial 1^{81}$ day of Tebruary 2012 before me personally came Elizabeth W. Smith, to me known, and known to be the Assistant Comnissioner for Revenue of the Department of Parks and Recreation of the City of New York, and the said person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and she acknowledged that she executed the same in her official capacity and for the purpose mentioned therein.

## STATE OF NEW YORK

ss:
COUNTY OF
On this day of $\qquad$ 2012 before me personatly came Donald J. Trump, who, being duly sworn by me did depose and say that he is the President of Trump Ferry Pomit LLC and that he executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes mentioned therein.

Notary Public

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be signed and sealed on the day and year first above written.

CITY OF NEW YORK
PARKS \& RECREATION

By: $\qquad$
Assistant Commissioner for Revenue
Dated: $\qquad$


Dated: February 21,2012
APPROVED AS TO FORM
CERTIFIED AS TO LEGAL AUTHORITY

Acting Corporation Counsel
STATE OF NEW YORK
ss:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
On this day of _ 2012 before me personally came Elizabeth W. Smith, to me known, and known to be the Assistant Commissioner for Revenue of the Department of Parks and Recreation of the City of New York, and the said person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and she acknowledged that she executed the same in her official capacity and for the purpose mentioned therein.

Notary Public

## STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK
On this 215t day of February, 2012 before me personally came Donald J. Trump, who, being duly sworn by me did depose and say that he is the President of Trump Ferry Point LLC and that he executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes mentioned therein.


SHARON HWAN
Notary Public, State of New York
No. O2HW6106147
Qualified in New York County
Commission Expires March 1, 2012

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have caused this Agreement to be signed and sealed on the day and year first above written.

CITY OF NEW YORK
PARKS \& RECREATION

By $\qquad$
Assistant Commissioner for Revenue
Dated; $\qquad$

TRUMP FERRY POINT LLC

APPROVED AS TOFORM


Acting Corporation Counsel FEB 212012
STATE OF NEW YORK
ss:
COUNTY OF NEW YORK
On this day of , 2012 before me personally came Elizabeth W. Smith, to me known, and known to be the Assistant Commissioner for Revenue of the Department of Parks and Recreation of the City of New York, and the said person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument and she acknowledged that she executed the same in her official capacity and for the purpose mentioned therein.

STATE OF NEW YORK
Notary Public
ss:
COUNTY OF
On this day of $\qquad$ 2012 before me personally came Donald J. Trump, who, being duly sworn by me did depose and say that he is the President of Trump Ferry Point LLC and that he executed the foregoing instrument for the purposes mentioned therein.

## SCHEDULE 1

## Plans and Specifications

Certain Plans and Specifications for the Golf Course, Practice Facility, Maintenance Building, Snack Bars, Parking Lots, Security Fence, golf cart paths, temporary clubhouse, temporary staging area and temporary maintenance facility and environmental monitoring facilities

| Schedule 1-1 | Temporary Staging Area/Grow-In |
| :--- | :--- |
| Schedule 1-2 | Intentionally Omitted |
| Schedule 1-3 | Temporary Clubhouse Area |
| Schedule 1-4 | Practice Facility/Driving Range |
| Schedule 1-5 | Golf Course Snack Bar (3 pages) |
| Schedule 1-6 | Park Snack Bar (4 pages) |
| Schedule 1-7 | Maintenance Building Location (2 pages) |
| Schedule 1-8 | Security Fence |
| Schedule 1-9 | Golf Cart Paths |
| Schedule 1-10 | Primary Parking Lot (2 pages) |
| Schedule 1-11 | Supplemental Parking Lot |
| Schedule 1-12 | Environmental Monitoring Facility |
| Schedule 1-13 | Maintenance Building Plans (11 pages) |

Temporary Staging area/Grow-In




Schedule 1-5

## GOLF COURSE SNACK BAR

(3 Pages)
Note: The attached schedule is only a section of the plans and specifications for the Golf Course Snack Bar and is being attached hereto for illustrative purposes only. The full scope of the plans and specifications for the Golf Course Snack Bar can be found in the plans and specifications on the CD annexed to this Development Agreement, as Amex 1.











## Schedule 1-8

## SECURITY FENCE

Note: The attached schedule is only a section of the plans and specifications for the Security Fence and is being attached hereto for illustrative purposes only. The full scope of the plans and specifications for the Security Fence can be found in the plans and specifications on the CD amnexed to this Development Agreement, as Annex 1.




## Schedule 1-9

## GOLF CARTPATHS

Note: The attached schedule is only a section of the plans and specifications for the golf cart paths and is being attached hereto for illustrative purposes only. The full scope of the plans and specifications for the golf cart paths can be found in the plans and specifications on the CD annexed to this Development Agreement, as Annex 1.




## Schedule 1-10

## PRIMARY PARKING LOT

Note: The attached schedule is only a section of the plans and specifications for the Primary Parking Lot and is being attached hereto for illustrative purposes only. The full scope of the plans and specifications for the Primary Parking Lot can be found in the plans and specifications on the CD amnexed to this Development Agreement, as Annex 1.



## Schedule 1-11

## SUPPLEMENTAL PARKING LOT

Note: The attached schedule is only a section of the plans and specifications for the Supplemental Parking Lot and is being attached hereto for illustrative purposes only. The full scope of the plans and specifications for the Supplemental Parking Lot can be found in the plans and specifications on the CD annexed to this Development Agreement, as Annex 1.


## Schedule 1-12 Environmental Monitoring Faciluty



## Schedule 1-13

MAINTENANCE BULLDING

Note: The attached schedule is only a section of the plans and specifications for the Maintenance Building and is being attached hereto for illustrative purposes only. The full scope of the plans and specifications for the Maintenance Building can be found in the plans and specifications on the CD annexed to this Development Agreement, as Annex 1.










## SCHEDULE 2

## City's Work

(a) 18 -hole Jack Nicklaus Signature Golf Course: Construction of an 18 hole Jack Nicklaus Signature Golf Course (the "Golf Course"). The Golf Course will be links style and a total of approximately 7,158 yards long from the black tee. The City will provide for the shaping, seeding and/or sodding of all fairways, greens, tees, bunkers and roughs in accordance with the course routing plan set forth on Page 1 of Part 2 - Final_Golf_Course_Drawings, which is attached as Schedule 4-2, as such drawing may be modified by Nicklaus Design, pursuant to the Nicklaus Subcontract, subject to the provisions of Section 8.1 of this Agreement. As part of the construction of the Golf Course, the City will perform (or cause to be performed) the following: all staging areas will be cleaned up, bunkers will be installed per Nicklaus Design concept, sand will be installed in all bunkers and traps, and cart paths will be paved with asphalt and tied in to the grade of the Golf Course. Licensee shall receive an actual finished Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course and Licensee's obligations hereunder with respect to the construction of the Golf Course shall be limited to the Grow-In.
(b) Practice Facility: Construction of the practice facility (the "Practice Facility"), which shall include an approximately 350 yard open air driving range (the "Driving Range") as shown on Schedule 1-4. The driving range will have lights for after dark play and will include contoured features and greens for multiple target areas. The tee boxes will be constructed of a natural turf grass playing surface and will include a section of synthetic turf that is approximately 270 feet in length. The Practice Facility will also include a putting green with sand traps for short game practice.
(c) Golf Course Snack Bar and Comfort Station: The City shall construct a move in ready snack bar building (the "Golf Course Snack Bar"), located at the intersection of the $5^{\text {th }}, 14^{\text {th }}$ and $15^{\text {th }}$ holes and as set forth on Schedule 1-5, and containing approximately 715 total square feet. The Golf Course Snack Bar will include finished floors, walls, ceilings, and lighting and will also include a complete mechanical and electrical system capable of operating a finished snack bar along with finished restrooms including sewer lift station. Both Men's \& Women's restrooms in the building will be ADA compliant and will include new toilets, sinks, and relevant restroom fixtures in good working order, with tile floors, hot and cold water, exhaust, and appropriate lighting. The food service/snack bar area will include appropriate rough in for electric, water, exhaust and sewer for Licensee's equipment and will also include an empty conduit for telephone/internet service.

Electric work to be provided by City:

1. City will install (or cause Contractor to install) conduit \& wire from Balcom Avenue to the Golf Course Snack Bar (Power \& Low Voltage) to service \& distribution equipment with meter and pay Con-Ed Excess Distribution Facility (EDF) cost to obtain this additional service.
(d) Park Snack Bar:

The City will construct a snack bar building in the adjacent waterfront park and located as set forth on Schedule 1-6 (the "Park Snack Bar", and together with the Golf Course Snack Bar, collectively, the "Snack Bars"), containing approximately 700 square feet. The Park Snack Bar will include finished floors, walls, ceilings, and lighting and will also include a complete mechanical and electrical system capable of operating a finished snack bar along with finished

Schedule 2-1
restrooms, including a lift station for the forced sewer system. The City shall use best efforts to connect the Park Snack Bar's forced sewer system with a pipe connection to the City sewer system. If the City reasonably determines that connecting the Park Snack Bar's forced sewer system to the City sewer system is not possible, then, if reasonably possible, Parks and Licensee will discuss a plan to connect the Park Snack Bar's foreed sewer system to the Clubhouse at the City's sole cost and expense. In the event that the Park Snack Bar's forced sewer system is connected to the Clubhouse's forced sewer system, then the City will bring the Park Snack Bar's forced sewer main within approximately twenty-five feet (25') of the building foundation of the Clubhouse. The City shall be responsible for any increase in the cost of operation, maintenance, construction or repair of the Clubhouse (including the Clubhouse lift station and forced sewer system) to the extent due to any connection of the Park Snack Bar's forced sewer system to the Clubhouse (such increase in costs and expenses, the "Park Snack Bar Sewer Cost Increase") or any other cost or expense to Licensee to the extent due to any comnection of the Park Snack Bar's forced sewer system to the Clubhouse (including the installation of any and all monitoring equipment needed to measure the Park Snack Bar Sewer Cost Increase) and the City shall reimburse Licensee for the Park Snack Bar Sewer Cost Increase and any other such costs and expenses within sixty (60) days after demand, provided that documentation of such costs and expenses, satisfactory to Parks, is submitted to Parks. In the event the City fails to pay or reimburse Licensee such amount within sixty (60) days after receipt of satisfactory documentation of such costs and written demand, Licensee shall be entitled to a License Fee Credit in such amount, with interest thereon, as applicable, at the Interest Rate as set forth in Section 4.10 of the License Agreement, which, subject to the last sentence of Section 4.10 of the License Agreement will be the sole remedy of Licensee hereunder for the Park Snack Bar Sewer Cost Increase.

If Parks desires to connect the Park Snack Bar's forced sewer system to the Clubhouse, for the sake of clarity, Licensee shall not be required to construct the Clubhouse sooner than set forth in the License Agreement. Both Men's \& Women's restrooms in the Park Snack Bar will be ADA compliant and will include new toilets, sinks, and relevant restroom fixtures in good working order, with tile floors, hot and cold water, exhaust, and appropriate lighting. The food service/snack bar area will include appropriate rough in for electric, water, exhaust and sewer for Licensee's equipment and an empty conduit for telephone/internet service.

Electric work to be provided by City:

1. City will install (or cause Contractor to install) conduit \& wire from the nearest adjacent utility power source to the Park Snack Bar (Power) to service \& distribution equipment with meter and pay Con-Ed Excess Distribution Facility (EDF) cost to obtain this additional service.
(e) Maintenance Building: The City shall construct a complete maintenance building in accordance with the plans set forth on Schedule 1-13 to house typical maintenance equipment (which equipment shall be provided by Licensee) (the "Maintenance Building"), situated on the northwestern edge of the Project Site as shown on [Schedule 1-7]. The Maintenance Building will be approximately 12,000 square feet and will include a code compliant mechanical and electrical system inclusive of water, sprinkler, sanitary and storm sewer, natural gas, electric, firc alarm, an empty conduit for telephone/cable/internet service, and security wiring. The maintenance facility site will include two above ground fuel tanks for the storage of gasoline and diesel fuel inclusive of the appropriate dispensing nozzles and approved fire suppression system. The site will also include appropriate lightning protection, a chemical mixing area, wash area for equipment with

Schedule 2-2
appropriate storm sewer connections, concrete pad for soil, dumpster enclosures, and an adequate parking lot for Licensee's employees with adequate lighting.

Electric Work to be provided by the City.

1. The City to cause Contractor to install ductbank and 5 kV primary wire from property line at Schley Avenue to pad mounted Con-Ed owned transformer adjacent to building. Contractor to install empty secondary conduits and wire from transformer to service and distribution equipment which shall include a meter within the Maintenance Building, as well as all other electrical equipment devices, fixtures, and systems that are part of the building including wire and conduit for low voltage systems within the building. An empty conduit for Telephone/Cable/Internet Service will be included for service from Hutchinson River Parkway to the building.
2. The Irrigation pump controller furnished as part of the irrigation system will include a temporary pad \& power connection for approximately 15 months or as long as needed while the Grow-In and construction of the maintenance building is ongoing. The permanent installation of the irrigation controls system will be relocated by City's contractor within the Maintenance Building when it is ready for the permanent installation.
3. The City will install a temporary power panel for the Licensee's use while operating from the temporary grow-in facility located just adjacent to the maintenance facility site.
(f) Irrigation System and Irrigation Pond: The City will install an irrigation system (the "Irrigation System") in accordance with the course irrigation plans set forth on Pages 9-18 of Part 2 Final_Golf_Course_Drawings, which is attached hereto as Schedule 4-3. The Irrigation System will include an approximately 3.75 acre fully lined irrigation pond, which collects runoff water from approximately $20 \%$ of the Licensed Premises. The pond has an average depth of approximately eight (8) feet (three (3) feet around the edge and twelve (12) feet at its deepest) and should be able to provide approximately a ten (10) day supply of water for the Golf Course, if necessary. The system is fed through a connection to the City's water supply. The irrigation system will provide irrigation to all portions the Licensed Premises critical to Golf Course health, including, at a minimum, coverage of all tees, greens, fairways and inner roughs and the Practice Facility. The berms and landscaped areas at the entrance to the Licensed Premises will have quick couplers for irrigation purposes.
(g) Drainage System: The City will provide a complete drainage system (the "Drainage System") for the Golf Course which has been constructed to meet the projected drainage requirements of the completed Golf Course as identified by the City's professional consultants. The drainage system is designed so that a percentage of runoff water is collected in an irrigation pond as described above.
(h) Submerged Pump Station: The submerged pump station will include skid mounted pumps within the irrigation pond located adjacent to the Maintenance Building and be capable of producing adequate pressure and water volume to operate the entire irrigation system. All electrical and control wiring will be installed by the City in a code compliant manner. All electrical and control wiring will be ready for temporary use as noted above in Section (e)(2) and (3) until the final installation can take place.
(i) Utilities: Installation of all utility lines, service lines, cables, conduits, meters, pipes and supplies of power, in each case, including, without limitation, potable water, sanitary and storm sewer,
natural gas and electric lines ("Utilities"), necessary for the operation of the Golf Course, the lighted Practice Facility, the Maintenance Building, the Clubhouse (excluding the temporary clubhouse, which will utilize the utilities for the permanent Clubhouse) and the Snack Bars. The Utilities shall be fully installed and operational in the case of the Golf Course, Practice Facility, Maintenance Building and the Snack Bars and capped to approximately twenty-five feet (25') from the foundation wall or grade beam line of the Clubhouse (layout of Clubhouse to be coordinated with construction of Utility system) as further described below.

Utilities for the Clubhouse: Water, sanitary sewer, gas and electric service will be brought to an area of the Clubhouse within approximately twenty-five (25') feet of the foundation.

Telephone and Internet: A telephone conduit and wire system with pullboxes will be brought to within approximately twenty-five (25) feet of the Clubhouse foundation. The Licensee shall extend these conduits into the Clubhouse (telephone room) and coordinate with a telephone and internet provider for wire installation and services required, (i.e., phone, internet, TV).

Gas Service: A 2" gas service adequate of approximately $1,220 \mathrm{cfh}$ of gas at 3 psi will be provided for the Clubhouse complete with service valve and capped entry piping within approximately twenty five (25) feet of the foundation. The Licensee shall extend the gas service pipe into the Clubhouse and provide Con-Edison metering equipment. The Licensce will be responsible for evaluating the gas usage and provide a booster pump if required.

Electric Service: The City's Contractor to install the primary ductbank and 5 kV primary wire to pad mounted/Con-Ed owned transformer in a location adjacent to the future Clubhouse. The City's Contractor will provide permanent outdoor rated switchgear, conduit and wire, to power the Practice Facility lighting, primary parking lot lighting, water service backflow hotbox, and empty conduit stubs for the temporary clubhouse and temporary cart storage facility from the distribution panel. The electrical service will be located at a location mutually agreed on by the City and the Licensee and will include permanent distribution equipment adequately sized to operate all items noted above, and temporary service for the construction of the permanent Clubhouse. Licensee at its option may choose to relocate the above noted system as part of the Clubhouse construction.

Water service: Potable water service shall be installed complete with service shut off valves and brought to a location within approximately twenty-five feet ( 25 ') of the proposed Clubhouse. The service provided will include a backflow preventor enclosed in a hotbox adjacent to the entry of the site.

Storm sewer: The City will provide future connections within approximately twenty five feet (25') of the Clubhouse foundation for all leader drain and storm water piping discharged by the future Clubhouse.

Sanitary Forced Sewer Main: The City will provide a sanitary forced sewer main within approximately twenty five feet ( $25^{\prime}$ ) of the building foundation of the proposed Clubhouse for use by the Licensee. The forced main system should be adequately sized to accommodate the needs of the proposed Clubhouse. Subject to paragraph (d) above in "Park Snack Bar", the future waterfront Park Snack Bar is to be provided with a separate independent lift station and forced sewer main to by-pass the Clubhouse system.
(j) Sccurity Fence and Perimeter Planting. The City will install an approximately 7 foot high aluminum security fence and gates, which will extend around the perimeter of the Licensed
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Premises (other than the Park Snack Bar) as shown on Schedule 1-8 (the "Security Fence"). The perimeter will be bermed and planted by the City. The fence location and planting specifications are set forth on Pages 20-28 of Part 2 - Final_Golf_Course_Drawings, which is attached as Schedule 4-5.
(k) Golf Cart Paths. The Golf Course will include golf cart paths paved with asphalt as shown on Schedule 1-9 with curbs as required.
(l) Parking Lots. An approximately 200 space paved primary parking lot shown on Schedule 1-10 (the "Primary Parking Lot") will be completed by the City including all drainage, curbing, environmental piping, paving, striping, signage, landscaping and lighting. There shall be a supplemental parking lot consisting of approximately seventy-five (75) spaces immediately adjacent to the Primary Parking Lot as shown on Schedule 1-11 (the "Supplemental Parking Lot"). The City will provide paving, drainage and sewer systems for approximately fifty (50) Supplemental Parking Lot spaces and shall provide drainage and sewer for any additional parking spaces that the City elects to pave.
(m) Seeding and/or Sodding. Without limiting the City's obligations under Section 5.1, the City shall be responsible for all sodding and/or seeding of the Golf Course and the Practice Facility and for the initial watering of new turf in accordance with the Grassing Specifications.
(n) Environmental Monitoring Facilities. The City has installed 22 on-site monitoring wells, 45 offsite semi permanent wells, and a $1 / 2$ mile long venting trench, of which 20 on-site monitoring wells and the $11 / 2$ mile long venting trench are shown on Schedule 1-12.
(o) Storm Shelters. The City shall construct a storm shelter located at the Golf Course Snack Bar and a stand alone storm shelter (Rain Shelter) located between the $7^{\text {th }}$ and the $8^{\text {th }}$ holes. Shelters shall be constructed to include room for up to two golf carts.
(p) Roads. The City shall complete all roads and finished pavement inclusive of all traffic management stripping and signage as necessary on the Project Site, except for the Supplemental Parking Lot, which will be completed by the Licensee, if necessary as determined by Licensee and approved by Parks. The Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority ("TBTA") has agreed to repair the portion of the access road from and to the Hutchinson River Parkway shown on Schedule 6 that was damaged during construction of the Whitestone Bridge after the work on the Bronx side of the Whitestone Bridge project is completed. The City shall use good faith efforts to cause such repair to be completed prior to the Concession Commencement Date.
(q) Landscaping. The City shall install all landscaping around the Golf Course (excluding the Clubhouse and the entrance to the Licensed Premises), the Practice Facility, the Maintenance Building and the Snack Bars in accordance with the Plans annexed hereto as Schedule 4-5. Licensee is responsible for all landscaping surrounding the Clubhouse and at the entrance to the Licensed Premises.
(r) Entry Sign. The City shall furnish and place entry sign masonry columns ready to receive an entry sign in accordance with the Plans and Specifications set forth on Contract Drawings For: Construction of a Maintenance Building, a Comfort Station and One Rain Shelter within the Ferry Point Golf Course Bounded by the East River, Balcom Avenue, Miles Avenue and the Whitestone Bridge Approach in Ferry Point Park, Borough of the Bronx, Contract No. X126209M, Drawing No.'s S001.00 and S002.00. Licensee shall have the right (i) to propose the design and content of the entry sign (including content identifying the Licensed Premises as
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Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park), which design and content shall be subject to Parks' reasonable prior approval, and (ii) to install such entry sign at its sole cost and expense.

## SCHEDULE 3

## Defined Terms

As used throughout this Agreement, the following terms shall have the meanings set forth below:
(a) "ADA" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 3.5.
(b) "Affiliate" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(c) "Agreement" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Introductory Paragraph.
(d) "Capital Improvement Costs" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(e) "Capital Improvements" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(f) "Capital Reserve Fund" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(g) "CEQR" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(h) "City" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Introductory Paragraph.
(i) "City's Final Completion" shall mean that the City's Work (or the portion thereof being delivered), including all work set forth on the Final Approved Punch List, has been fully performed by the Contractor and that all of the conditions set forth in Section 10.3 for the delivery of possession of the Licensed Premises, or any portion thereof, to Licensee have been satisfied. City's Final Completion shall apply separately to the Golf Course Facilities and the Park Snack Bar.
(j) "City's Work" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 3.1.
(k) "Claims" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(l) "Clubhouse" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Recitals.
(m) "Commissioner" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(n) "Concession Commencement Date" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(o) "Construction Contracts" shall mean the Contract for Construction of Tournament Quality Golf Course (Contract X126 109M) dated July 29, 2009 (the "Laws Contract") between the City and Laws Construction Corp.("Laws") and any other contract or agreement between the City and any other Contractor with respect to the construction of any of the City's Work.
(p) "Construction Documents" shall mean the Design Contracts, the Construction Contracts, the Nicklaus Subcontract and the Plans.
(q) "Consultant" shall mean the designer, architect, engineer, consultant or other design professional under any of the Design Contracts.
(r) "Contractor" shall mean any contractor performing any of the City's Work pursuant to the Construction Contracts or otherwise.
(s) "Contractor Substantial Completion" shall mean, with respect to any Construction Contract or portion thereof that (a) the Engineer has inspected the City's Work or portion thereof being performed pursuant to such Construction Contract and has made a written determination that such work is substantially complete and (b) the Engineer has furnished to the applicable Contractor, Licensee and the City, a Final Approved Punch List.
(t) "DEC Part 360 Permit" shall mean that certain Permit under Environmental Conservation Law issued by the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation on November 18, 2005 under DEC Permit Number 2-6006-00014/00013 as attached as Schedule 9 hereto, as amended, modified, renewed or replaced.
(u) "DEC" shall have the meaning ascribed to that term in the License Agreement.
(v) "Design Contracts" shall mean the Contract for Services of Consultant (Contract X126 308M) dated August 28, 2008 (the "Sanford Contract") between the City and Planning Design Inc. $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$ Sanford Golf Design ("Sanford"), the Nicklaus Subcontract and any other contract between the City and any other designer, architect, engineer, consultant or other design professional with respect to any of the City's Work.
(w) "Drainage System" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(x) "Driving Range" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(y) "Engineer" shall mean any engineers, architects or other design professionals selected by the City to supervise, inspect and/or certify the work performed by any of the Contractors pursuant to any of the Construction Contracts.
(z) "Environment" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(aa) "Environmental Conditions" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(bb) "Environmental Laws" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
"Estimated Completion Date" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 4.1.
(dd) "Federal Courts" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 18.2.
(ee) "Ferry Point Park" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Recitals.
(ff) "Final Acceptance" shall mean, with respect to any Construction Contract or portion thereof, that (a) the Engineer has certified that all items on the Final Approved Punch List for either the entire Construction Contract or portion of the City's Work being delivered has been completed and no further City's Work remains to be done pursuant to such contract for either the entire Construction Contract or portion of the City's Work being delivered, and (b) the Commissioner has issued a written determination of Final Acceptance as to all of the work completed under such contract or portion thereof to be delivered to Licensee.
(gg) "Final Approved Punch List" shall mean the punch list prepared by the Engineer after inspection to determine if Contractor Substantial Completion of the City's Work has been achieved, and reviewed by Licensee and approved by the City in accordance with Section 10.2 specifying all items of the City's Work to be completed and the estimated dates for completion of such items of the City's Work.
(hh) "Final Completion" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(ii) "Force Majeure" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(ji) "Golf Course" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(kk) "Golf Course Facilities" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(II) "Golf Course Snack Bar" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(mm) "Governmental Approvals" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(nn) "Grassing Specifications" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 10.3(m)(i).
(oo) "Grow-In" shall mean the establishment and maintenance of the new turf to be planted at the Golf Course Facilities by the City as may be necessary to ready the Golf Course and Practice Facility for play, until the Concession Commencement Date.
(pp) "Grow-In Cap" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 5.2.
(qq) "Grow-In Costs" shall mean all reasonable costs and expenses incurred by Licensee in connection with the Grow-In, including, without limitation, equipment, personnel, chemicals, fertilizer, fertigration, additional seed and sod, as necessary, equipment repair, fuel, energy usage, insurance costs, tools and supplies, costs of operating and maintaining the Irrigation System and the Drainage System, cost of normal maintenance of the greens, tees, fairways and bunkers, administrative costs, supervisory costs, site office costs and incidental costs such as security, telephones, copiers, fax machines, computers, trash removal and other similar costs and the value of the time of Licensee's in-house construction, operations and management staff expended in connection with the Grow-In, provided that detailed records, satisfactory to the City, showing the time expended by such staff members with respect to the Grow-In are provided to the City and further provided that the cost of such staff time in connection with the Grow-In does not excced the amount allocated for the Grow-In on Exhibit K to the License Agreement (as the same may be amended from time to time) without the consent of Parks. Grow-In Costs shall also include accessories for the Golf Course, such as tee markers, signs, landscape furniture, pins, yardage markers, and similar items, and, if the Maintenance Building is not completed at the commencement of the Grow-In, the costs of erecting, maintaining, dismantling and removing a temporary maintenance facility (such as a barrell tent) and restoring the temporary maintenance area to its permanent use after completion of the Maintenance Building.
(rr) "Guaranty" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(ss) "Hazardous Substances" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
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(tt) "Holes" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 10.1.
(uu) "Indemnitees" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(vv) "Interest Rate" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(ww) "Interim Period" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(xx) "Irrigation System" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(yy) "Landfill" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(zz) "Legal Requirements" shall mean all laws, statutes, ordinances, orders, rules and regulations, directives and requirements of all federal, state, county, regional, local or municipal governments (including any agency or political subdivision of any of the foregoing), any governmental or quasi-governmental agency, authority (including stamp and registration authorities), board, public utility, bureau, commission, department, instrumentality, or public body, and any person with jurisdiction exercising executive, legislative, judicial (including any court or tribunal), regulatory or administrative functions of or pertaining to government or quasi-governmental issues, which are or may be applicable to City's Work and/or the Project Site or any part thereof or related thereto, whether now or hereafter in force including building codes and zoning regulations and ordinances.
(aaa) "License Agreement" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Recitals.
(bbb) "License Fee Credit" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(ccc) "Licensed Premises" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(ddd) "Licensee" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Introductory Paragraph.
(eee) "Licensee Indemnitee" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(fff) "Licensee's Contractors" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 7.1.
(ggg) "Maintenance Building" shall have the mcaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(hhh) "Material Change" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 8.1.
(iii) "Minimum Capital Improvement Cost" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agrecment.
(jij) "New York State Courts" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 18.2.
(kkk) "Grow-In Standards" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 5.3.
(III) "Maintenance Guidelines" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(mmm) "Nicklaus Subcontract shall mean the Golf Design Subcontract Agreement among Nicklaus Design LLC ("Nicklaus Design"), Consultant and the City dated August 14, 2008.
(nnn) "Park Snack Bar" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(ooo) "Parks" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the Introductory Paragraph.
(ppp) "Plans" shall mean, collectively, the plans, drawing, contract drawings and specifications, attached to, referred to in or made a part of any Construction Document.
(qqq) "Practice Facility" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(rrr) "Primary Parking Lot" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(sss) "Prior Determinations" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(ttt) "Project Site" shall mean the areas in Ferry Point Park, Borough of the Bronx described on Exhibit A of the License Agreement and shown on Exhibit A-1 of the License Agreement and the Park Snack Bar as shown on Exhibit A-3 of the License Agreement.
(uuu) "Proposed Changes" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 8.2.
(vvv) "Removal Period" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 16.5.
(www) "Required Capital Improvements" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(xxx) "Security Fence" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(yyy) "SEQRA" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(zzz) "Snack Bars" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(aaaa) "Specifications" shall have the meaning set forth in the Construction Contract.
(bbbb) "Supplemental Parking Lot" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(cccc) "TBTA" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(dddd) "Termination Payment" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(eeee) "Trump" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 1.1.
(ffff) "ULURP" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in the License Agreement.
(gggg) "Utilities" shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Schedule 2.
(hhhh) "West Parking Lot " shall have the meaning ascribed to such term in Section 6.9
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## SCHEDULE 4

## Plans and Specifications of the City's Work

Schedule 4-1 Grassing Specification Changes dated May 3, 2010 by Consultant and Section 137 of the Construction Contract and Specification (5 pages)

Schedule 4-2 Page 1 of Part 2 - Final_Golf_Course_Drawings - Layout
Schedule 4-3 Pages 9-18 of Part 2 - Final_Golf_Course_Drawings - Irrigation
Schedule 4-4 Intentionally Omitted
Schedule 4-5 Pages 19-27 of Part 2 - Final_Golf_Course_Drawings - Perimeter Fence and Landscaping

## SCHEDULE 4-1 (PAGE 1)

## Memo

To: Rob Gantzer <robethanizer@parks.nyc, goy>
Co: Bo Kim <Bo.Km@parks.nyc, gov>, Gary Kessener <kessener@aol,com>, John Sanford [John@santordgolldesign.com](mailto:John@santordgolldesign.com), Ilm Upe" <lim.lipe@nicklaus.comp. Im Welss <TWelsointrcsoluIINS.com>, Joe Voss" <bssyssoattnet>, Ken Dubin <Kennelh.Dubinoparks nyc.gov. Jon scolt jon.scottonicklaus.com>. Hope Koufman <hope kauman@parkinvagoy>
From: David V. Ferls, Jr, RLA, ASLA
Date: May 3, 2010
Re: Grassing Speaflicallon Changes

Deat Mr. Gantzer,
Please be advise and diect the contracior accordingly that Nicklaus Design has revised the Grossing Specflcation. I have included a memo from Jon Scott, Vice President of Agronomlo Services for Nicklous Deslgn, with further explanation for the changes and probable cost implications.

Best Regards,


David V. Fents, $I$.

# SCHEDULE 4.1 (PAGE2) <br>  <br> MEMO 

$12 / 4 / 2009$

To: Jolm Shnford
From: Jon Scoll
Re: Grassing Specincaton Clnnge
John,
As yon know, Tack Nicklans recenty visited and played Sobonack and noted that the the fescue farways were not in good condion after the summer stess pentiod. Since he knows that Ferty Point will recelve at least as moch play or thore than Sebonack, ho has requested that we change the farway gassing specification to creeping bentgrass whion whll tolerate the wear mach better than fue foscue. The rough specification and outer rongl native will remain the same as histed, as will the green gpecification. To keep things consistent, the tee specincalion will nilso be changed to the some as the farways.

The now firway specification will be Centifed Seaside If oreeping bentgrass at 65 Ib . per acre.
The now tee specffcation will be Certhed Seaside If creeping bentgrass at 1.5 Ib per thousand squate feet,

If you have any guesions, please give me a oall.

## Jon

Jon Soots
Vlce Preskient of Agronomio Services
Nokeus Deskis
§ot-885-4770

Oe: Rose Garto (for Jnok Nokbus), Jm Lpe, Dave Fearls, Doug Moroy, Ray Bell, Noe Voss

$$
-2 \text { of } 5-
$$

GRASSINGSEECIMCATIONS Recsed

## WOXKS:

 with tho phas, specithations, and directions of ho Destgher, mod wher comments mod direction of fhe Projeot


## MADEREXALS:

Greens
Tyco Cropping Beutgrass
Seethg Rate: 1.5 low 1000 Squac Feet
Tomunys
Cortified Seaside II Cropiug Bentgerss
Rato 1.5 lo per thonemd square feat
Teesit
Certifed Seasido II Creoping Bentgass
Seeding Rate: 1,516 /1000 Squave Feet
qumay Roughe
40 \% IEnnuoky Bluegeass
$13.3 \%$ Aeadia
$13,3 \%$ Endilem
$13.3 \%$ SR 2284
$30 \%$ Chewings Foscue SR 5130
$30 \%$ Strong Greping Red Fexcuo SR 5210
Seeding Rata: $150 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{h}$
Natlye Aress:
$25 \%$ Strous Creaping Red Fercuo SR 5210
$25 \%$ Chewhigs Fescue SR SR $\$ 130$
$25 \%$ Hard Fescue $\$ \mathrm{R} 3150$
$15 \%$ Indim grass
$5 \%$ Switdigrass
$5 \%$ Litie Bluestom
Seeding Rate: 150 Liss/s
(Note: Recommended to Hydro-Seed this dre too the size of tho Litte Bhersten seed)
Soul:
All grass vaieties nsed for sot prodection shall manch the specifie seed speolfontions noted nowe for the areas where sod is deened applicable as approved by the Proped Agronomist, aud revieved by the Obmer d Ropesentotve and the Destgnen All sod shatimath the grass lype(s) as syecifed for the area where it is to be installed. All sod will be certified free of weeds, pests, and ns to the purity of the stated waioties. All sod must be certified by the Stato ho whol

 the sod will be inspected prior to harvesting and hathlation.

It is suggested for puposes of bidding that the Commator shatl provide for a minimum of $1,000,000$ SF of pproved sod whess othervise diected by the Pioject Agronowis and the Owner' Ropresontaivo.
 proved by the Profed Agronomist, the Owher's Repyesenative nid the Destigner:
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 within 48 hous after it has beon havosted. No gaps shall oxist between sod squares. Phating shall be at tight augles to the slopes in order to ninimize erosion of theso arets. The Pofod Agronomist, the Ownel's Repuesentafve and he




## Gwhe Chosion Control Metling

 nomist and the Owners Repesentalle may nequise fhe Confrodor to intall Culox 1 and Culex 2 (deponding on the sloys angle to be coverci), Quich Grass Green expelsior netting or its equimient as apposed by the Profect Agro.

 an Exelsior for general specifontions nad my aditional prodict infomation.

## CRASSING WINDOW:

The grasing window shall be from April 15 hrough Oetober 15 , whess oherwise appovel by the Profoc Agronomsts.

## GROW-IRAND MAMIUNANCT OTTHIE RLANTED AREAS:

After each hole has been phanted, the Cominotor will be wasponsible for the butial waterng of the phated areas. After the inthl watoring, wo other golf comse mantenance will be requited of the Conmetat, whess pavionsly agred upon between the Owner and the Controctor. It shall be ha Owhers rosponsibinty to mantain tho plated areas, of



## MLASUMEMCWTAND EAYMTOT:



 wilh the regurements of this work med this contrat.

## SCHEDULE H-I (PAGES)

# Ferry Point Park <br> Seed Change for Tees and Fainvays 

## 1. Tee Seed Change

a. Orignal Tee Seed $=\$ 3,114.00-$ Dominant/ SR111 1007
$240 \times 1.5=360 \mathrm{bs}, \times \$ 8,65=\$ 3,114,00$, divide by $240,000=$ approximately $\$ 0.013 / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{Ft}$.
b. Proposed Tee Seed $\sim$ Seaside II Seed $=\$ 2,700.00 / \$ 414$ Credit

The Soaside II is less expensive than the DominantSR111/007 so there should be a slight oredil for the seed swith)
$240 \times 1.5=360 \mathrm{bs} . \times \$ 7.50$, includes freight) $=\$ 2,700.00$, divide by $240,000=$ approximatoly $\$ 0.011 / \mathrm{Sq} . \mathrm{Ft}$.
c. Credit of $\$ 414.00$

## 2. Fatwway Seed Change

a* The original falway seed mix estimated cost is $\$ 1.55$ per ib (not lnoluding freight). The seeding rate is 130 lbs per acre. $130 \times 1.55=\$ 201.50$ per acre. $201.50 \times$ 44,71 acres $=\$ 9,009.07$
With frelght estimated on the high end $(.25 / \mathrm{b})$ the total would be:
Orginal: $1.65+.25=1.80$ per lb. $130 \times 1.80=\$ 234$. per acre. $234 \times 44.71=$ $\$ 10,462.14$

Faimay Links Seed Researoh
$50 \%$ SR 5100 or SR 6130 Chewings Fescue
$40 \%$ SR 5210 or 5260 Strong Creeping Red Fesoue
$10 \%$ SR 7150 Colonial Bentgiass
Seeding Rate: 130 lbs / AC
Cost Estimate: $\$ 1.56$ per lb. plus freight
b. The new Seaside II seed spedficaton for the faiway areas has an estmated cost of $\$ 7.25$ per tb (not induding freight). The seeding rate is 65 bs per acre. $65 x$ $7.25=\$ 471.25$ per acre. $471.25 \times 44.71$ acres $=\$ 21,069.59$ With fretght estimated on the high end (.25/b) the total would be:
Proposed: $7.25+.25=7.50$ per Ib. $65 \times 7.50=\$ 487.50$ per aore. $487.50 \times$ 44.71= $\$ 21,706.13$

Cerilfied Seaside II Creeping Bentyrass
Tee Seeding Rate: $1.5 \mathrm{bbs} / 1,000$ SF
Cost Estimate: 97.25 per 10 plus froight
c. Cost incease of $11,333.99$
3. Total Cost Increase $=10,919.99$
SCHEDULE 4-2
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## st PDC Schematic Design Submission for <br> Maintenance Bulling, Comtor Station and <br> Rain Shelter <br> Ferry Point Golf Course January 13, 2009 <br> City or New kork <br> 
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## COURSE IRRIGATION PLANS

Note: The attached schedule is only a section of the plans and specifications for the course irrigation plans and is being attached hereto for illustrative purposes only. The full scope of the plans and specifications for the course irrigation plans can be found in the plans and specifications on the CD amexed to this Development Agreement, as Annex 1.
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GOLF IRRIGATION PUMP STATION
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## PERIMETER OF SECURITY TENCE AND LANDSCAPING

Note: The attached schedule is only a section of the plaws and specifications for the perimeter of the Security Fence and perimeter landscaping and is being attached hereto for illustrative purposes only. The full scope of the plans and specifications for the Security Fence and the perimeter landscaping can be found in the plans and specifications on the CD ammexed to this Development Agreement, as Annex 1.






## SCHEDULE 5

## Construction Contracts and Design Contracts for the City's Work

1. Contract for Construction of Tournament Quality Golf Course (Contract X126 109M) between the City and Laws Construction Corp. ("Laws") dated July 29, 2009 (the "Laws Contract")
2. Contract for Services of Consultant (Contract X126 308M) between the City and Planning Design Inc. d/b/a Sanford Golf Design ("Sanford") dated August 22, 2008 (the "Sanford Contract")
3. Golf Design Subcontract Agreement among Nicklaus Design LLC ("Nicklaus Design"), Planning Design Inc. $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{b} / \mathrm{a}$ Sanford Golf Design and the City dated August 14, 2008. (the "Nicklaus Subcontract")

Portion of Access Road to be repaired by TBTA
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## SCHEDULE 7

West Parking Lot


Schedule 7-1

## SCHEDULE 8

## Grow In Maintenance for Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park

The following is an outline for the grow-in operations of the golf course at Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park, with the intention of providing the highest quality turf at the opening of the facility. The procedures outlined in these specifications may be altered at the superintendent's reasonable discretion as weather or conditions dictate as long as the original intent of the specification is not materially changed.

## GREENS

Greens will be walk mowed as needed to ensure that no more than $1 / 3$ of the leaf blade is being removed at any one time. The height of cut shall range from $.140^{\prime \prime}$ to $.180^{\prime \prime}$ as conditions and maturity dictate. Greens will be rolled with a turf type roller towards the end of the Grow-In to promote a smooth putting surface. The goal here is to work toward a smooth, healthy turfgrass that will be mature at the time of opening.

Greens will be regularly topdressed with the same sand as used to construct the rootzone to promote smoothing the surface. Topdressing must not cause a layering effect in the green profile. The fertility program and soil amendments for pH adjustment, salinity management, cation exchange enhancement, etc., should be based on an initial soil test by a certified soils lab familiar with golf course turfgrasses.

As greens mature they will be lightly verticut to manage thatch and to promote a healthy surface.
A soil nutrient and plant protection program will be established with the goal of bringing the turfgrass to maturity as rapidly as possible and to prevent loss of turf due to insects, disease, and environmental stresses. Care will be taken to ensure that applications are timely and accurate to minimize leeching and run off according to accepted Best Management Practices.

Greens will be watered to prevent the upper portion of the root zone from drying out during early states of development. As greens mature they will be watered based on Evapo Transpiration ("ET") to prevent becoming excessively dry. After turf is established, greens will not be allowed to dry out as much as a mature green that is open for play and they will not be allowed to become oversaturated and wet.

Once turf maturity levels allow, greens will remain weed, disease and pest free through use of Best Management Practices and a sound Integrated Pest Management Program.

Any thin or weak areas will be seeded with the same turfgrass variety as originally planted in those locations to ensure a full and healthy turf stand.

Once the turf is mature enough, it will be core aerified to eliminate the development of a "grow-in layer" that generally develops when establishing new turfgrass.

## TEES

Tees will be walk mowed as needed to ensure that no more than $1 / 3$ of the leaf blade is being removed at any one time. The height of cut shall range from .350 to .500 as conditions and maturity dictate.

Tees will be regularly topdressed with the same sand as used to construct the root zone to promote smoothing the surface. Topdressing must not cause a layering effect in the tee rootzone profile. The fertility program and soil amendments for PH adjustment, salinity management, cation exchange
enhancement, etc. should be based on an initial soil test by a certified soils lab familiar with golf course turfgrasses.

As tees mature they will be lightly verticut to manage thatch build up and to promote a healthy surface.
A soil nutrient and plant protection program will be established with the goal of bringing the turfgrass to maturity as rapidly as possible and to prevent loss of turf due to insects, disease, and environmental stresses. Care will be taken to ensure that applications are timely and accurate to minimize leeching and run off according to accepted Best Management Practices.

Tees will be watered based off of ET to prevent becoming excessively dry, so that they will not be allowed to dry out as much as mature turf that is open for play and they will not be allowed to become oversaturated and wet after turf is established.

Once turf maturity levels allow, tees will remain weed, disease and pest free through use of Best Management Practices and a sound Integrated Pest Management Program.

Any thin or weak areas will be seeded with the same turfgrass variety as originally planted in those locations to ensure a full and healthy turf stand.

Once the turf is mature enough it will be core aerified to eliminate the development of a "grow-in layer" that generally develops when establishing new turfgrass.

FAIRWAYS
Fairways will be cut as needed to ensure that no more than $1 / 3$ of the leaf blade is being removed at any one time. The height of cut shall range from .375 to .600 as conditions and maturity dictate.

As fairways mature they will be lightly verticut to manage thatch and to promote a healthy surface.
A soil nutrient and plant protection program will be established with the goal of bringing the turfgrass to maturity as rapidly as possible and to prevent loss of turf due to insects, disease, and environmental stresses. Care will be taken to ensure that applications are timely and accurate to minimize leeching and run off according to accepted Best Management Practices. The fertility program and soil amendments for PH, salinity, cation exchange, etc should be based an a initial soil test by a certified soils lab familiar with golf course turfgrasses.

Fairways will be watered based on ET and physical observation of the grass plant and soils to prevent both from becoming excessively dry. Fairways will not be allowed to dry out as much as mature turf that is open for play, but also will not be allowed to become oversaturated and wet after turf is established. Supplemental watering methods should be used as needed to augment the automatic irrigation cycles.

Once turf maturity levels allow, fairways will remain weed, disease and pest free through use of Best Management Practices and a sound Integrated Pest Management Program.

Any thin or weak areas will be seeded with the same turfgrass variety as originally planted in those locations to ensure a full and healthy turf stand. If sod is used for erosion repair or other turf replacement reasons, it must also be of the same variety as previously planted in those areas. The sod must be soil free or grown on soil matching the type that will be installed on the golf course.

Preventative measures should be taken to anticipate and reduce the amount and severity of washouts. Severe washouts should be repaired, re-seeded or sodded, and then stabilized with appropriate erosion control measures.

Once the turf is mature enough it will be core aerified to eliminate the development of a "grow-in layer" that generally develops when establishing new turfgrass.

Sprinklers will be trimmed around as needed to prevent turf from interfering with the operation of the rotor and to allow for easy location.

Drain tops will be trimmed around as needed basis to prevent turf from interfering with the flow of water into the cateh basins.

ROUGHS:
Roughs will be mowed at 3 " to 4 " with a rotary type mower. It will be mowed on a schedule to prevent more than $1 / 3$ of the leaf blade from being removed at one time.

A soil nutrient and plant protection program will be established with the goal of bringing the turfgrass to maturity as rapidly as possible and to prevent loss of turf due to insects, disease, and environmental stresses. Care will be taken to ensure that applications are timely and accurate to minimize leeching and run off according to accepted Best Management Practices.

Irrigated rough areas will be watered based on ET and physical observation of the grass plant and soils to prevent both from becoming excessively dry. Irrigated rough areas will not be allowed to dry out as much as mature turf that is open for play, but also will not be allowed to become oversaturated and wet after turf is established. Supplemental watering methods should be used as needed to augment the automatic irrigation cycles.

Once maturity levels allow, roughs will remain weed, disease and pest free through use of Best Management Practices and a sound Integrated Pest Management Program.
Sprinklers will be edged on an as needed basis to prevent the turf from interfering with the operation of the rotor and to allow for easy location.

Drain tops will be edged on an as needed basis to prevent turf from interfering with the flow of water into the catch basins

Any thin or weak areas will be seeded with the same turfgrass variety as originally planted in those locations to ensure a full and healthy turf stand. If sod is used for erosion repair or other turf replacement reasons, it must also be of the same variety as previously planted in those areas. The sod must be soil free or grown on soil matching the type that will be installed on the golf course.

Preventative measures should be taken to anticipate and reduce the amount and severity of washouts. Severe washouts should be repaired, re-seeded or sodded, and then stabilized with appropriate erosion control measures.

## NATIVE AREAS

A fertility/amendment soil nutrient and plant protection program will be established with the goal of bringing the turfgrass to maturity as rapidly as possible and to prevent loss of turf due to insects, disease,
and environmental stresses. Care will be taken to ensure that applications are timely and accurate to minimize leeching and run off according to accepted Best Management Practices.

Native Areas will be mowed on an as needed basis to promote development and vigor of the desirable grass species

Native areas will be watered as needed to promote development and vigor of the desirable grass species, with special emphasis on seedling germination and establishment.

Any thin or weak areas will be seeded with the same native grass variety as originally planted in those locations to ensure a healthy natural grass cover. Preventative measures should be taken to anticipate and reduce the amount and severity of washouts post seeding and pre-establishment. Severe washouts should be repaired, re-seeded and then stabilized with appropriate erosion control measures.

## BUNKERS:

Bunkers will be raked or cultivated as needed to prevent excessive weed growth during the Grow-In. Weeds will be pulled around the edges and washouts will be repaired immediately following rain as required to prevent sand contamination. As needed, bunker edges will be trimmed, contaminated sand replaced, additional sand added, and all rock, trash and organic debris will be removed. Watering around the bunker should be managed so as to prevent other materials from washing into the bunkers.

## IRRIGATION AND WATERING

The irrigation system is to be maintained in accordance to the manufacturers recommendations so that it is in working order at all times during the growing season. Visual checks shall be conducted on a regular basis as well as an analysis of the computer data from the prior night's irrigation cycle to ensure everything is working properly. All repairs and adjustments shall be made by qualified personnel under the direction of the superintendent. The pump stations shall be serviced in a regular manner according to manufacturer recommendations.

The theory behind all watering practices during grow in is to not let the seeds or establishing turfgrass become overly dry or heat stressed. Turf needs shall be monitored on a daily basis visually and by use of weather station data and in ground moisture sensors.

It is understood by Licensee that supplied irrigation water is NYC potable water and amendments will potentially be needed and are to be added through the fertigation and acid injection to meet turf growing water quality standards as prescribed by accredited test reports from an agronomic laboratory familiar with golf course use.

The irrigation system should be emptied of all free water in the late fall in strict accordance with the manufacturer's specifications and guidelines to prevent freeze damage to the irrigation system during the winter season. Care will be taken in the spring to prevent damage when recharging the system.

Salt Management Practices may be necessary to maintain turfgrass health, especially during prolonged periods of drought.

## CART PATHS

Care shall be taken to prevent any damage to or dirtying of cart paths during the Grow-In of the golf course.

## POND MANAGEMENT

Irrigation pond and detention ponds shall be maintained in order to control storm water and remain in compliance with all applicable laws, rules, regulations and guidelines related to lake/water management, including but not limited to the Clean Water Act.

The grass and rip rap edges surrounding the irrigation pond and the grass surrounding the detention ponds shall be regularly maintained to ensure they are in keeping with the design intentions.

A weed and algae control program for the irrigation pond shall be implemented as part of the maintenance program. A weed control and reseed program for the detention ponds and designated wetlands shall be implemented as part of the maintenance program to maintain original design intentions and in strict compliance with any City, State, and Federal laws, rules, regulations and guidelines.

## EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE

All equipment shall be used and maintained in accordance to the manufacturer's specifications, recommendations and guidelines as provided in the owner's manual and any subsequent notices or bulletins issued by the manufacturer. Employees will be thoroughly trained and educated on the proper use of the equipment.

All lubricants and fluids shall be regularly checked and changed as outlined in the service manual. A sound preventative maintenance program shall be developed by the golf course mechanic under the direction of the superintendent.

## SCHEDULE 9

DEC PART 360 PERMIT

# New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Division of Environmental Permits 47-40 $21^{\text {sT }}$ Street, Long isiand City, NY 1.1101-5407 <br> Phone: (718) 482-4997. - FAX: (718) 482-4975. <br> Website: ww,dec.state.ny,us 

December 27, 2005

## Tax and First Class Mail

Joanne Imohiosen
NYC Department of Parks and Recreation
830 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10021
J. Pierre Gagne

Ferry Point Partnérs, LLC
c/o Gagne Development Corp.
422 Summer Street
Standford, CT. 06901

Re: . DEC Permit No. 2.6006-00014/00013-0
Ferry Point Park Golf Course Construction, Bronx County

Dear Assistant Commissioner Imohiosen and Mr. Pierre Gagne:
The above referenced permit, issued November 18,2005 is hereby revised as follows:

## DESCRIPTION OF AUTHORIZED ACTIVITY:

Construction of a Golf Course, Community Park and Waterfront Park on 222 acres of • Ferry Point Park, requiring a total of 2,370,000 cubic yards of material in-place volume. This material will be imported to the Site by truck and consist exolusively of: 1) recognizable and uncontaminated concrete or concrete products (including.steel or fiberglass reinforcing rods that are embedded in the concrete), brick, soil, sand, grayel; and rock (hereafter referred to in this permit as select exempt construction and demolition debris) totaling approximately $2,120,000$ cubic yards for the shaping layer; and 2) uncontaminated soil totaling approximately 250,000 cubic yards for the cover layer. The imported material will be used to attain the final approved grades and contours.

All other conditions and requirement of the issued permit remain as previously written.

Page 2
You are required to provide a copy of this notice to all agents, contractors and employees performing any part of the permitted activities, maintain an accessible copy at the project site and at the document repository established for this project.

Very truly yours,

cc: S. Kass, Esq, Cater, Ledyard and Milbum
C. King, Esq., Now York City Law Department
S. Grogg, TRC Raymond Keyes Assoicates
J. Nehila, Esq., NYSDEC;DLA
K. Brezner, NYSDEC, DSHEM, R2
M. Redis, NYSDEC, DSEM, R2

Scott Menrath, NYSDEC, BSWRR, Albany
J. Kaufman, Capt., NYSDEC, DLE

File

| DEC PBRMIT NUMRER: |
| :--- |
| $2-6006-00014 / 00013$ |
| FACILITY: |
| Ferry Point Park Golf Course |

NYSDEC PBRKITS
6003
NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF ENVIROMMENTAL CONSERVATTON


## 玉artcle 27, Titte 7: 6 NYCRR

360: Solld Waste Management
$\square$ Article 17, Titles 7, E: SPDES

D 6 NYCRR 608: Watar Quallity Certifeation
$\square$ Artcle 25: Tldal Wetiands
(1) Atticle 15, Tille 15: Long Island Wells
$\square$ Article 13, Titte 5: Prolection of Waters

| PERMIT ISSUED TO: Owneri NYC Department of Parks and Recreation and Operator: Plerto Gague, Ferry Point Partners, LLC | TELEPHONE: <br> 212-360-8111(AYCDPR) <br> 212-699-1915 (FPP, LLC) |
| :---: | :---: |
| ADDRESG OR PERMITTEE: <br> NYCDPR, $8305^{\text {th }}$ Avenue, New York, NY 10021-7001 <br> FPP, LLC, c/o Gagne Development Compuny, 422 summer Street, Standford, Ct 06901 |  |
| CONTACT PERSON FOR PERMITTLD WORK: <br> J. Pierre Gagne <br> Gagne Dovalopment Company, LLC ' | TELEYYOONE: 212-699-1915 |
| PROJECT/FACILITY NANE: Perry Point park Golf Course Construation |  |
| PROJECTTFACILITY ADDRESS; EAstern portion of Rong Foint Park, bounded by the Bronx Whitestone Bridge, Balcom, Miles nid Emorson Avenues, Schley Avenue and the East Rivor, Bronx Cuunty. Block 5563, Lot 100, Block 5622, Lot 1 |  |
| DESCRIPTION OF AUTHORIZED ACTIVITY: |  |
| 'Constmotion of a Golf Course, CommunityPark and WaterfrontPark on 222 acres of Ferry Poiat Park'; requiring a total of approximately $2,543,729$ cubic yards of matertal in-places volume. This matcrigl will be imported to the Site by trupk and consist explusively of: 1) recognicable and uncontaminated concrete or concrete products |  |
| (including steel or fiberglass reinforcing rods tinat tute emb (hereafter referred to in this permit as select exempt cons 2,370,000 cubic yards for the shaping layer, and 2) unco yards for the cover layer. The imported material will be | soil, sand, gravel, and rook ris) totaling approximately rroximately 250,000 cubic oved grades and contours. |






## NOTIFICATION OF OTHER PERHITTEE OBLIGATIONS

Itom A; Pormiteg Accopts Legni fopponsibillty and Agnos to indominifortion
The Pemiltee has aceapled expressly, by the execution of tis eppllcalion for the oublact wom, the ti
and cosis, direch or Indirect, of whatever nakure and by whomover wiffered for to Iths permit orin noncompllance whtt this permitath has a and costs of every neme and description resulting from such astuly.

## Itern E: No-Right to Treopaes or interfora with Rlpanan Rights

This permit does not convey to tha Pomnitee any right to traspass upon the lends of inlerfane with the ripariant rights of others in order to perform the sublact work nor does it authorize the inparmont of any rights, titte, ar inlerest in real or personal property held or vester in a porson not a party to the pormit.

## GENERAL CONOTIONS

General Condiflon 1: Faclify Inspection by the Depurtinent
The subject facity, Including relavant roconds, Is sublect io inspectlon at reasonable houre and intervala by an auphotized representative of the Daparimenl of Envifonmental Gonservation (the Depantinant) to datermine whether ita Pomittecls compling with thila pemplit and the ECL. Such representalive may ordar the subject work ouspended pursuant to ECL 710301 and SAPA 401(3).
The Pemithee must provide a person fo accompany the Departmonta representative dufthy an inspection of the subfect facilty when the Depariment provides witten or vertal notflaulion lo the Pemitioe at least 24 hours prior bo such inspacilon.
A copy of this permith hactuding all general and spectal onnditions thereln, all amendmente therefo, and all documents roigrenced therein
 violaton of this pemilt

Any sign provided by the Departnent with this permit muat be prolecisd from the wealher and pested in a conspicuoue location at the sublect work sthe Hroughout the peribd durling which any of the subjowt work owolife.
Generel Condituon 2; Relationship of thla Fermit to OtherDoparinont Oriora and Dofaminadons
Unfess expresery provided for by the Deparment, thls permit doos nof modify, superseda, or rascind any ordo

Gengral Candition 3: Appllastons Tor Pormit Ronewals or Modificatiora
The Ferritites must submit a spparato witen applicatlon to the Deportinent for fors
 requires,"Any renawal, modificolion, op transfer grented by then must ineludo any foms or supplememtal finformallion the Depantment appleation at loast:
 Managemeni Faclifies, major Ast Pollution Conlrol (APC) and Bolld Whedte Managemant Ficellitige; and
b) 30 days before tho expiralion of all other pomint types,

Submission of spplicatlons for permit renewal, modificalion, or transfor are to to aubmithed to:
NYsDEC Regioinal Pormit Adminlatrabr, Region 2, 47-4021 Streel, Long laland Clty, NY 11101 (tel, 718/482a49a7),
General Gondition 4: Permit Modifuatlons, Suspansione, and Revooatlone by He Dopartmont
The Departhyent reserves the dight to mosily, suspend, or revake this permut whin:
B) the scope of the parnitied actlyty texcepded of a volation of any oondition of the pemft or provisibnt of tha ECL. End - - pertinenl fegulations is folund:
b) the porsnit was oblained by misnepresentabion or fallure to disalose relevant facta;
c) nevt materlal infomation la ditwcovered; or
d) envirormental conditions, relevant techineloyy, or appllable law or ragulation have materially changed since he perait
yas lssued.

Generel Gondition 5: Compliance with Other Regulatory Requiremonta

 and federal regulatory requirementa.
Genoral Gondition 6: Fomittipe to Ensure thatits Contractora to Comply with Permit


 *

7. That If tuture operations by the State of Now York require of afination in the pegifion of the gtructure or work harem authotixed, ar if, in the opinion of the Deparment lt shall easuse unreasonpbla ehatruclion la the flee navigalion of sati waters of flood flows or endanger the health, aftety or welfere of the people of the Stale, of cauge loss or dastruction of the natural resoufcts of the State, the owmor may be ordered by the Depantment to cempive or aller the sinsictural Work obstrucilons, or hazads caused lheraby without expense to the Sitato and ff, ypon the expltation of revocatlon or ints permit, the stoucture, fill, axeayation, of other modification of the watercourso hefalyy authorteed must nol be complated, the ownera, must, withouf oxpuriva if line Stals, and io such extorit ond in glich fiote and menner as the Doparimenth may pagulif, remove atl or any portion of the uncomplated strutture of fill and reftore to ths fomior condilan the navtgable und flod capady of the watoreours. Ng chan thall to mede atelnst he Gate of New Yoik on gedount of any such rempval or afleraton.
-. The State of Nery York must in no case be liablater any damage ar infury
to the structure or wolk beivin puthorized whtch may ta caused by or rosul from. future aperationg undertakoh by the siate for the consorvalion or
 compensaton thall accrus fiom any such damege.
9. All necestary precaulions must be taken to preclude contaminetion of any welland orwaliway by auspanded soldes, aedments, huels, sohyerds, pubribanfor, opoxy coatinge, paints, concrete, leachate, or any other envitonmontally delelarious malertola asaocolated with the projo Any creosole-treated rimber must be whathered for at jeast tox menths buitera il is braughl to the cubject work stite.
io. Any material diedeed in sssociation with the wiok hetoln pormited
 acresp the bed of a widerwhy of flood plain, or ilasp holes that may
 a wale pway, water quality, sodimenl quality, or bianthle hatitat
 Whrk heroln a aithorited

 oxponsio to Iha stata, and to such extent and its such lime and mannor ate tha Dapartnant may requitre, remove all or any partion of the

 any auch remtoyal or alyeration.'
 the ploled will be frot from fiooding.
1A, If granted under a EYCRR Part tobe, the Depardman hareby certifien that thi wubjoct projuck yill not contravand oflhyani hmitallans or othor limitations of shandardg under sactons 301, 30\%, 303, 305, and 307 of the Clion Watde Act of $1 \$ 77$ (PL 8e-217) provded that all of then tondllome lifodod homin are met
15. In acogtdances with Thlog 19, Pan 600, (c) of the New York Dade of Rume and RegulaHons, the Departmont heraby bortifies (hat the. action feacribed and approyed in this pemalt, ritoseted withim the Coasdul Zonie, [s conatstent to the maximum expori practicable with the pollectot and purpotod of tho New York Chy Whatertionl Revigllagiton Prosrapn.

## SPRCLAL CONDITIONS

Site Work

1. All activities authoized by this permilt shall conform to all docurnents supporting the original permit, DFC No. 2-6006-00014/00011, dated yuly 17, 2000 and modified Qotober 18,2002 as modified by the following:

- Technical Envitonmental Assessment for the Ferry'Point Park Recreation Facility prepared by Allee, King, Rosen and Fleming dated February 11, 2002 and, amended Septernber 29, 2004;
- Geotechnical Engineering Study prepared by Langan Enginearing and Enviroumental Services, the. dated July 2, 2002;
- Response to Request for Additional Infomation preparea by TRC Raymond Koyes Associates dated July 2, 2002;
- Fill Procurement Protocol shall be as per the Department Letters dated May 21, 2001 and May 23, 2001 from John Nohila to Steve Kass;
- Fenry Point Park: Offite Methane Monitoring-Bronx, New York prepaxed by Akier, Mino, October 12, 2001;
- Landfill Gas (LFG) Prssive Vent Trench Along Miles And Emerson Avenues Plan and Detait, V-1, Sheet 1 of 4 prepared by TRC with checked date 02/04/02 ;
- Landfill Gas (LFG) Passive Vent Trench Along Balcom, Miles And Schley Ayenues Plan and Datail, V-2, Sheet 2 of 4 prapared by TRC with chacked date 02/04/02;
- Landfill Gas (LFG) Passive Vent Trench Along Norithem Property Boundary Plant and Detail, Vi3, Sheet 3 of 4 prepared by TRC with chacked date 02/04/02;
- LRG Yent Trench and Pigzometer Network Profile, V-4, Sheet 4 of 4 prepared by TRC with chceked date 02/04/02;
- Landfill Gas (LFG) Passive Vent Trench Along Balcom, And Schley Avenues Plan and Detail, C-2, Sheet 1 of 1 prepared by TRC with checked date 07/20/0);

| dec Perati numar 2-6006-00014/00013 | FAcILTY <br> Fery Point Park Golf Course | Page 3.0' 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

- Landfill Gas ( IFG ) Passive Yent Trenoh Along Balcom beween Sampson \& Dewey Avenues Plang and Detail, C-1, Sheet 1 of 1 preparcd by TRC with checked date 03/09/01;
- Volumet1, Application for Modification to the Solid Waste Management Facility Pennit for Construction of Feriy Point Park Recreation Facillty, Brom, NY, Engineering Report, prepared by IRCC Raymond Keyes Associates, dated June 30, 2005, (nevisce)
- Volume $\# 2$, Application for Modification to the Solid Waste Management Facility Permit for Construction of Ferry PointPark Recreation Facility, Brom. WYY, Enginsering Report, prapared by IRC Raymond Keyes Associates, dated June 30, 2005.
- Settement Evaluation and Long Tem Monitoring Plan, Ferry Point Golf Course, Ferry Point Park, Bronx, NY, prepared by Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc.a dated Jararry 26, 2005 ,
- Respunse to Department Comments prepared by TRE Raymond Kcycs Associates, dated August2,2005 and by Langan Dugineering and Environmental Servlces, Inc, dated June 30, 2005.
- Application for Modification to thie Solid Waste Management Faoility Permit for Constuction of Ferry Point Park Recreation Fegility, Bronx, NX, "Second Suppilement to Engineering Report," prepared by TRC Raymond Keyes Associates', dated August 23, 2004
- Site plans Jabeled "Ferry Point Park Publio Recrsational Pacility Bulk Earthwork, Fetry Point Park Public Reoreation Facility, Fery'Point Park, Bronx, Now York" prepared by TRC Raymond Keyes Associates, dated April 22, 2005 (revised) consisting of prawings:
- GC-2, G1 and G-2 dated 7/01/05 (last revised);
- G-3, and G-4 dated 1/21/0S (last rovised);
- D-1 daleḍ 7/1/04 (last revised);
= D-2 dated 7/1/05 (last revised);
- V-1, V-2, V-3 and V-4 dated 02/04/04 (last revised);
- C-1 dated 3/09/01 (last revised);
- C-2 dated 7/20/01
- SW-1 . 00 dated 4/13/04;
- SW LAOI dated 2/18/04;
- SW $1 B .01$ and $S W 16,02$ dated 4/13/04;
- Irrigation Design Phasc I lerry Point Park Apprgval Set, labeled "Fary Point Park 0514-AS. R04-060704, Rhase 1 Approval Sét" dated 06/07/04 (last revised), sheets numbered 1, 2, 3 and 4 dated 5/22/03 and sheets punberod I, II and III dated 5/22/03,

2. Activities authorized by this pernit include development of a golf course and parks, as well as the construction of associated structures, utility lines, gas venting and control systems. All aspects of the project must be constructed in accordance with the Departmentapprowed desigaplans, epecificationsiand documents noted in Special Condition \#1. All physical aspects of the project including project localion referenced in this permit shall be referred to as'the "Site." All construotion aspects of the permitted activities referenced in this permit shall bo veferred to as the "Project."
3. In accordance with "Itera A" of the Notification of Other.Pemittee Obllgations" found on page 2 of this permit, the Department in general accepis no liability for the structural integrity and/or the adequacy of the buildings and/or foundation desiges of the proposed buildings or other structures associated with the development of the golf course. In addition, the Department specifically does not guarantee nor accept

liabllity in regards to the measuras proposed to prevent the subsidence of any buildings, foundations and other structures built on or adjacent to the Site, or to prevent hat migration of explosive gas.
4. All operations at the Site shall occur Monday through Saturday during daylight hours, between sunrise and sunset, inless otherwise authorized by the Department.
5. All tuck traffic entering and leaving the Site shall use only an thorized truck traffic routes, and conform to the consiruction tuaftic management plans noted in Exhibit $\bar{K}$ of Volume\#t, Application for Modification to the Solid Waste Management Facility Pernit for Construction of Ferry Polnt Park Recreation Facllity, Bronx, New Yorx, Engincering Report, prepared by TRC Raypnond Keyes Associates, dated Iune 30, 2005 (revised).
a) All truckers sball receive written instruction on the truck access routes to and from the Site.
b) All tucks entering the site sholl be tarped or sufficlently covered to complywith local and state highway regulations. The Site access and exit routes shall bo maintained so that thoy are free from dust, dirt and debris resulting from the track traflic.
6. No fill oan be brought to the Site nor can any compaction activities begin until all gas monitoring wills, gas geoprobe points, laodfill vent trench piezometers, and othet methane monitoring devices referenced in reports and plans made a part of this permit have beeninstalledland are demonstrated to be working properly, The Departnent reserves the right to require expeditious installation of additional monitoring or control devices should data or other information suggest that the venthg trench has failed to perform as designed, or that landfill gas from the Site has migrated off-site or otherwige poges a threat to public health or the enviromenent.
7. Short-ierm settlement monitoting must strictly conform to the recommendations listed in the Settlement Evaluationund Long TermMonitoring Plan, Fery Point Golf Course Ferry Point Park, Bronx, NY, propared by Langan Engineering and Envíroumental Services, Inc., dated January 26, 2005.
a) Short-term monitoring must continue until at least six months after the completion of fill placement.
b) Prior to resumption of any filling the Perniltees must fepair all short-term mondtoring points, and either continue the bi-weekly monitoring or recelve approval from the Depatment for amodified shortterm sethement monitoring program.
8. The long-term settlement monitoring must stictly conform to the recommendations listed in tho Sottiement Evaluation and Long Temn Monitoring Plan, Ferry Point Golf Course Ferry Poiat Park, Bronx, NY; prepared by Langan Enginearing and Euriromnental Services, Inc., dated January 26, 2005.
a) The long-term monitoring shall continue for 30 years with quarterly testing of all points for the first five years, semi-nnmual testing for the next five years and arnual testing for the next twenty years, unless otherwise approved by the Deportment.

## Full Importation

9. The Permittees are authorized to import a total of $2,370,000$ cuble yards of in-place fill ratetial to the Site, The fill material shall corsist of approximately $2,120,000$ cubic yards of select exempt constrution and demolition (C\&D) debris for the shaping layer, and \&pproximately 250,000 cubic yards of uncontaminated soil for the cover layer. The linported material shall be used on Site to attain the finul approved grades aud contours approved by the Department, and referenced on plans made a part of this permit.
a) The use of asphalt as fill material on the Site is prohibited,
b) The use of dredge spoils as fill material on the Site is prohibited,
c) There shall be no mechanical separation of steel and fiberglass reinforing rods froth conctete and concrete products at the Site.
d) No size reduction or material processing may occur on Site, except with earth movine equipment in the courso of placement. However, the breakdown and removal of stumps and wood previpusly lett on Sits by the New York City Department of Sanitation (DOS) is permitted.
b) All solid waste material excavated from the Site shall be properly eecured immediately; and then ternoved fom the Site and legaliy disposed of within seven (7) days,
f) All unauthorized solid waste or fill material found on the $S$ te shall be properly secured immediately, and thea removed and legally disposed of within five ( 5 ) days of its discovery by Permittees.
g) All select exempt construction and demolition (C\&D) depris for the shaplog layer and the cover layer material must be free of all non-erempt C票D recognizable debris.
10. The quandification of all inported fill material shall be contiruously tracked by both truck volume, and periodic topographic survey of the imported $\ln$-place fill volume. Sinee compacted in-place fill volumes will not equal truck volumes of fill; a 41 percent (41\%) correation factor shall be applied. -The correction factor for converting truck yolume futo in-plape yolume may be modified by the Department.
11. The Permittees nust cease the haportation of fill material if
a) The.final approved grades are attainea pior to the permitted amount of fill material being imported;
b) $2,120,000$ cubic yards of select exempt constuction and demolition debris for the shaping layer, as measured by in-place fill volume determined through toppgraphic survey, are accepted, All material imported to the Site is considered shaping layer material, unless testing determines otherwises or
c) 423,729 cubic yards of uncontaminated soil for the cover layer, as measured by truck volume, are accepted, is the Permittees shall terminate such fill importation activities.

12. Within 60 days of the effective date of this permit, the Permiffes must provide a list of all DEC registered construption and demolition debris processing facitites (DisC registered C\&D facilities or registration facilities) used to obtain select exempt oonstuotion and demolition debris for till material to the Department for fts approval prior to use. The subsequent transportation and use of fill material ftom other additional registration facilitics requites written Departmental approva4. Suoh requests must be submitted to the Department for approval not less than ten (10) business days phiorto any proposed date for importation from the new locations. No fill material may be recaived from unspproved facilities or locations.
13. Select exempt construction and demolition debris to be used as fill material at the Site may beaceepted from DEC registered C\&D facilities, provided that the facilities segregate and clearly tnark each stockpile with a specifte identification number, the date eaoh stockpile was statted and the date wherit was sampled by the Pcrmittecs. The Department shall be notified by Permittees at least 24 hours before any sampling event at a DECC registered C\&D facility. All sampling reports shall bo faxed to the Department dizfeotly from the certified testing laboratory,
14. The Permittees shall be responsible for ensuring that topsoll is procured from a DEC approved source, and it meets all permit specifications. If the topsoil is manufantured, the soil used in its marufacture must not originate from any industrial sites or DEC registered C\&D facilities.
15. The Pernittees shall be responsible for ensuring that the shaping and cover soll meet the relevant sections of the June 30, 2005 Engineering Report, Volume 1, Section B, General Operations Plan, as well as the following:
a) In order for select exempt construction and detnolition debris material to be used on 9lte, it must be sampled both prior to acceptance and after the importation of each additlonal 10,000 cubio yards (in truck volumes). Each soil sample is to be analyzed for foxicity Charaoteristic Leachate Procedure (TCLP), volatle organic compounds (VOCs), somil volatile organic compounds (SVOCS), polychiorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and metale (including Resourco Conservation amd:Recovery Act (RCRA) metals).
b) In order for topsoil material to be used on Site for the cover layer, it must be sampled prior to .

- acceptance and after the importation of each edditional 2,000 cubic yards (in truck volumes). Enoh soil sample ts to be analyzed for the entire analyite list in the NYSDEC Techpical and Adraintitrative Guidnace Memorandum (TAGM) 4046, total volatle organic compounds (VOCs), total semiyolatile organic compounds (SVOC3), pesticides and horbioides, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and metals (including Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) metals).
c) The shaping layor must neet the following ohemical limits:
i. Total carcinogenic SVOC levels must be Jess than 3 ppm if any one of the carcinogenic SVOCs exceed TAGM 4046. The following are the list of cacinogenic SVOCs that are subject to this 3 ppm Imit: Benzo(a)pyrene, Dibenzo(a,h)anthracens, Benzó(z)anthracene, Benzo(b)fluoranthene, Indeno( $1,2,3$-ed)pyrone, Benzo(k)fluorapthene, and Chrysene.

NYSDEC PERHTTS
ii. Total SVOCs must be less thar 500 ppm
iii. Metals:

* Arsenic less than 28 ppm ;
- Barium less than 2,000 ppra;
- Cadmium less thar 20 ppm ;
- Chromium less than 1,000 ppm;
- Copper less than 1000 ppm ;
- Ieed Iess than 400 pprn;
- Mercury less than 0.57 ppm
- Selealun less than 11 ppm
- Silver less than 100 ppm
iv, Asbestos for all Jayers must be $0.5 \%$ or Jess
y. Total sulfur for all layers must be $0.5 \%$ or less
vi. Total PCBs less than 10 ppm
vii. Total Pesticides less than 10 ppm
viii. VOC's (all individual analyites leiss than TAGM
$4046)$
d) The final placernent (including top soil), looation, thickness, and detailed chemical limits of the final cover layers on the Site, including the community park and waterfiont park, ane subject to the specifications, directions and requirements determined by NYS Department of Healih (NYSDOH) to be applicable. It is the responsibility of the Permiteces to obtain a witten detetmination from NYSDOH. The Permittees must provide the Department with a copy of NYSDOH's'deternination. No cover layer material may be placed on the Site, until the Deparlment acknowleciges rocelpt of NYSDOH's determination.

16. The major components (greens, contours, heights and top soil) of the cover layer shall meet the Nicklaus Design Techuical Specification, as noted in Exhibit C of the June 30, 2005 EngineeringReport, and as follows:
r Greens - Greens mix imported to the site shall be tested as per matorial testing requirements. Fertilizer and soil amendments may be applied on the greens mix after spreading to construction specifications and finally grassed, as per the apecifications provided by the agronomist.

- Topsoil - Topsoil imported shall be consistent with native topsoil and shall be fee of rock and debris greater tham three guarters of 'an juch as por Exhibit Cof the Engineering Report. Soll amendments, fertilizer applications and seed may pe applied to tee, fnirway and rough areas, as per Exhibit C of the June 30, 2005 Engineming Report.

17. The Department may conduct joint sampling or take split samples as necessary for verification purposes.

## Fill Prograssion Monitoring

18. The Permittees shall placc grade stakes indicating elevations for proposed fingl grades iff fill and cover material.

| DE C EERMTS NUMGER <br> 2-60066-00014/00013 | facility <br> Ferty Polnt Park Goff Course | Paga 8 of 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

```
STECIAL CONDITIONS
```


19. The Parmittees shall place benchmarks throughout the Site, in a manner necoptable to the Department, for the purpose of periodically measuring and surveying filfelevations. Benchmerks are to be maintained at all times. If it becomes necessary, they shall be ropaired promptly,

## Project Completion Schedule

20. The project shall progress according to the Construction Sohedule provided in Volume ${ }^{\text {Hi }}$, Application for Modification to the Solid Waste Management Facility Patmit for Construation of Ferry Point Park - Reoroation Facility, Bronx, NY, Inginicering Report, prepapcd by TRCRaymond Keyes Associates, dated June 30, 2005 (as revised), Exhibit A. The effective date fot implementation of the construotionscheduile is the effective date of this permit.
21. Within 60 days of the effective date of this permit, the Pernittes shall submita plan to the Deparment for its approval which delineates the previously approved imported material volume for the following areas or purpose: Eastern Area, Northwestern Area, Southern Area, Park Area and landiseaping for the .Park.

22: In accordanee with the approved plan in Special Condition t 1 , placement of fill materialin each section of the Site shall not exceed the dosigned and approved final contours for cach section.
23. Only cover material may be stockpiled in a section upon completion of the placement of inll matorial for the shapinglayer in that section as per design calculations. Fraddition, cover matorial may be stockpiled in a section where the completion of fill plecement has no occurred, if such stookpile is'segregated and secured from constrution and demolition debris used ton the shaping layer.
24. Upon complation of filling operations to approved gradef in a section, the Pormittees shall commence the rough shaping and placement of cover materinl, and the installation of drainage, irrigation and utilities, as per Construction Schedule noted in Special Cquidition \#20, Prior to placement of this cover material, Permittees must comply with the requirements of Special Condition \# $15(\mathrm{~d})$. .

Independent Environmental Momitor
25. An Independent Environmental Monithor (IEM), accountable to the Department, shall be retained by the Permittees to provide environmental oversight of all notivities authorized by this permit ror the duration of its pernitted ferm, inoluding, but not limited to, the quantification, importation and placoment of fill, and the monitoring of the Sito.
a) It shall be the IEM's responsibility to provide notice of any deviation from the activities authorized by this permit and any deviation from New York State Environmestal Regulations (GNYCRR Part 360) to the Department inmediately; butin no event later than 24!hours after such deviation is detected, Thels notification mast indilude the date and time of the potential noncompliance, the ature of the non-compliance, anyjborrcotive action, and any additional measures the LEM will be undertaking to track the issue unth corrected. Should the IEM
as to whether such a doviation has occirred, the matter shall be promptly reported to the Department for a detarmination. For the purposes of this permit, a deviation(s) shall mean any of the following:
i. An apparent violation of ony condition of this permit;
ii. An apparent violation of Federal State or Hocal Law;
iii. A material difference between construolion ormonitoring data obtalned by the Pertnittees or their consultants, and that obtained by the IEM;
iv. Any raceipt of fill that doos not meet the speoifioations authorized in this permit;
v. Any receipt of fill from a site not authorized under the permit or by the Deparment.
v. Any condition, activity or occurcnce that may endanger or pose a threat to publip health, safcty or the environment.
b) Notwithstanding any other reporting this permit requires, the IEMi shall submit a detajled written report to the Department no less frequently than every threa mionths detalling tha IEM's activities at the Site, the estimated total volunse of fill matergal (including cover material) recesived during the reporting period based on truck volume, the fotal volume of fill in place at the gite, the percentage of filling to final grades, and the numboge $(s)$ of trueks prohibited from ettering the Site. The report also shall summarize any site mectinge amongst the Pornitters, theit consultants or others, verify that all samples taken and monltigring done at the Site by the Permittees or consultants conform to this permit, detail any subgtantive change in the coudition of the Site or project, fully explain any deviations occurring durfig the reporting period and their disposition, and describe any other pecurscnec, circumstance or condition that mhay have a bearing upon thas safe and environmentally sound operation of the troject or Site.
c) The IEM must be approved by the Department prior to the finalization of any contactual agreement with the Permittces, and the indtal receipt of any fill raterial at the Site.
d) The continued retention, discharge, or replacement of the IEM shall be at the sole discretion of the Depertment. The Permittees waive any night to seak judicial review of the Department's exercise of that discretion. The Permittees must farly pay all invoices and bills from the IEM within sixty days of subnission. The IEM or its Departrient approved representative shall be present on Site whenever it is open. Under no circumstances shall Project ectivities, including the receipt or managenent of fill, take place while the EEM or its designated representative is absent from the Site,
e) The Department shall have access to eny information obtained by the IEM at any time. The IEM shall keepallinformationinoluding, butnotlimited to, fillxecords, inspection reports, fioldnotes, monitoring dath, graphics, databases, finanolal tecords, minutes of incetings and other information in a form approved by the Department
f) The XEM, if an individual, shall possess a Now Yprk State Professlonal Engincer License, If an conglieering firm serves as the IEM, the firmmust provide an cmployee of that firen who is aNew York State licensed professional engineer to perifim all functions and certifichions noted in
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Section 1.1 of the Engineering Report. In addition the IEM shall;
i. monitor all aspects of the Pemittes' fillopenations at the Ferry Point Park ("FPP") facility;
ii. have unrestricted access to all personnel exployed by the Permittees at the PPP facility, and to all files and records maintained by the Pemittees at the FPP facility pursuant to this perrait;
iii. inspect the proposed solutes of fill, revien the documbatation about the sources of the material, inoluding without limitation, aiby off-site sampling, and certify as to the aceeptability of the matcrisi for use at the site, in nccordance with the criteria speciffed in the Engineering Report, Section 2. The IEM shall notify the Depariment at least 24 hours before any onsite sampling;
iv. visually inspect each truckload of imported fill material at the sits, and undertake reasonable verification activities including directing, whers appropriate, verifigation sampling, analysis and certification by the/EEM on a Projeot specitic traoking form that it meets criteria for acceptable fill at the Site;
Y. inspect each truakload of material upon tion dumping on Site with a Photo-lonization Detector (31D).
vi. prohibit any fruck from leaving the dumping area before the load has beenimspected, and the manifest signed by the IEM. If any lout is rejected, the rejected fill material shall be reloaded onto the samc truck immediatelyhand legally transported off-site. However, if the rejected fill material is a DEC segulatet solld waste or hazardous waste, it must be segregated immediately and secured on-site, until it is removed by a DEC permitted hauler for appropriate disposal. Such regnlated or hazarious waste mest be removed from the Site within 3 diys of its discovery;
vii. menitor the offlonding of all material at the unloading station. No truck shall be allowed to off load unless the IEM is prescht, shoh truck shall only be allowed to leave the station after the load ts tested and found apceptable.
wiin. certify that the sarupling of material al the project Site conforms to the permit requirements, and review the results of anl haboratory tents:
ix. notfy the Department daily about the recept of unaccentable material or any violations of conditions set forth in this permit;
x. maintain files on Site of fill sampling data, site histortes, and intermal mapifest (trackdng) forms;

xi. Ieport and certify to the Department that the onsite gas well monitoring has been conducted as required by this permit. Notify the Department immediately iflevels exceed $25 \%$ of the lower cxplosive limit (LEL) infany gas monitoring well;
xii. certify that: (a) all grades of the shaping layer of a section are correct prior, to the placoment of cover material on that section; (b) a minimum of two feet of fill material covers the existing municipal solid waste llayer; and (c) the final cover laycr meets the appropriate specifioations of the Engineorlog Report noted in Special Condition \#1;
xiii, during fill delivery and earthwork, submit bieweekly reports to the Department summarizing all Site activities set forth byithis permit. Each report shall provide details of all rejected fill material, quantify the amount of material imported ontio the Site using truck volumes, and the results of all on-sitit gas monitoring for that peried;
xiv. review the final post-construction report and certify that the fill operation was performed in accordance with the permit documents. The certified post-constructionreport musi be submitted by the TEM to the Department within slxty (60) calendar days after the completion of the earthwork portion of the Projcet;
xv. - report all operational upsets, emergencie , unusual ciremstances (holuding, but not limited to, unauthorized entries, security beaches, equppnent broakdowns, fires, thefts, acts of vandalism, and on-site actidents to the Regional Solid Waste Engineer by telephone (at 718/482-4996) within 24 honrs of the ocourrence, and in writing within $\$$ business days advising the engineer of the gature of the event, and providing a description of how the event was handled.

## Gas Venting Trench

26. During the duration of this pecmit, the Permittees shall inspeot the gas venting trench monthly to verify the integrify of the venting trench and its mulch cover. 'Ghe Permittecs shall maintain the gas venting tench at all times, and promptly repair any soil washouts dfthe mulch cover, as necessary. If any portion of the venting trench or mulch cover is found in need ofrepair, thals faot must be reported to the IEM immediatoly and all necessary repairs must be made within two weeks of discovery. In addilion, the mulch cover shall be replaced, at a minimum, every sping to maintain the effectiveness of the gas venting tench. The Permittees shall maintain the gas venting trench for a minimum of at least five years after the completion of vonstruction of the golf course, or longer if requized by the Department.
a) Any request for changes to these requirements shall not be considered by the Department prior to the termination of tho five-year minimum mondtoring period, which follows the completion of construction of the golf coutse. A subsequent tequest for relfof from these provisions must denionstrate to the Departaent's satisfaction that an alternative schedule is warranted.
27. Monthly monitoring of the gas venting trench shall continue for the duration of the permit, Thexeafter, the Permittees shall monitor the ges venting trench monthly for one year after the completion of construction of the golf course, and then quarterty for a minimum of four additional ycars. Any request for changes to these requirements shall iot be constacred by the Department prior to the termination of the minimum five-ycar monitoring period following construction of the golf course. A request for relief from these provisions must demonstrate to the Deparment's satisfaction that an altermative schodule is warranted.
28. The gas venting trench shall be inspected quartcriy, as welf as atter major rainfall svents (5-yeat atotms with at least 4 inches of rain over a 24 -hour period) for a niniunum of five years after the completion of construetion of the golf course. Any request for changes to these requirements shall not be consldered by the Departunent prior to the termination of the minfmen five-year monitoring poriot following the completion of construction of the golfcourse. Arequest fortelisf from these provisions must demonstrate to the Department's satisfaction that an alteriative schedule is warranted. If any well or point used to monitor the cffcetivencss of the gas venting tsench is found to require xepairs, this fact must be reported to the IEM immediately and all necessary repairs must bemade within two weck of discovery.
29. Ges venting wench inspection reports shall incilude the ifispection date, the name of inspector, and a desoription of repairs, monitoring results and maintenance performed. A log of these self-inspections must be maintained including the detes, times and results of the inspections. A copy of this gas veating trench inspection $\log$ shall be submitted to the Department within 72 hours after the inspection period specificd in Special Conditions No, 26 through 28.

## Piezometers

30. The Permittees shall conduct monthly monitoring of the depth of ground water in each plezometer installed at the gas venting trenoh, watil the completion of the construotion of the golf course. All readings shall be subrnitted to the Department in a monthly report. The piezometer water clevation monitoring shall continue quarterly for period of five years after the cormpletion of construction of the golf course. Any request for proposed changes to these requirements shall not be considered prior to the termination of the minimum five-year monitoring period following construction of the golf course. A request for relief from this provision must demonstate to the Departrient's satisfaotion that an alternative schedule Is warronted. If any piezometer is found to require repeirs, this fact must be reporfed to the IEM immedlately, and all necessary repairs must be made within two weeks of discovery.
31. Within 60 days of their receipt of this permit, the Pcrmitteces shall submit a Response Acdion Plan to the Department. This Flan shall sct forth the measures to be indplemented by the Permiteps, if the piezometer readings indicate that the groundwater table has dropped below the depth of the gas veriting trench.
32. The Permittees shall sample the perimeter gas monitoring fwelle H0, \#1A, \#1 to \#3, \#5 to \#12, and \#14 to \#18, and the on-site monitoring wells \#13 and \#19 on ai bi-werkly basis to detect any explosive gas migration, Copies of the bi-weekly monitoring field notes shall bo faxed to the Department within 24 hours after the sampling, and a copy of this roport shall be submitted to the Departnent within 3 business reported to the IEM immediately and all necessary repairs must be made within two weeks of diseovery.
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33. The Permittees shall maintain the perimeter gas monitorinis wells \#0, \#1A, \#1 to \#3, \#5 to \#12, and \#14 to \#18, and the on-site monitoring wells \#13 and \#19, until a minimum of at least six'months after the completion of construction of the golf course on the Site. Any reduction to this malntenarice sohedule shath not be considered by the Department, until after the minimum maintenanoe period has elapscd, A request for relief from this provisionmust demonstrate to the Department's satisfaction that an alternative schedule is warranted. If any perimeter gas monitoring well is found to require repairs, this fact must be reported to the IEM immediately and all necessary repairs must bemade within wo weoks of discovery. The Permittees shall maintain on-site gas monitoring well \#19, and the cxisting gas collection pipes for the operating life of the golf coursc.
34. All perimeter gas monitoring wells should be tested at least on a bi-weekly basis. However, if any individual monitoring point has a bi-weekly reading, that equals or exceeds $100 \%$ LEL, or three consecutive bi-weekly reading are greater than $25 \%$ LEL, a modified methane gas action plan shall be implemented by the Permittees. Such plan shall require, at minimum, weakly testing of tho individual monitoring point(s) with the elevated readings. The Department also may requine any additional measures it deems necessary. Any individual point under themodified methane gas action plan may teturn to the regular bi-vyeekly monitoring schedule, when three (3) consecutive weekly readings axe oll less than the $25 \% \mathrm{LEL}$ action level.

## Deed Restriction

35. Within 30 days of the offective date of this permit, the Permittees shall prepare dratt languge for a Deed Restriction. The deed testriction, which shall run with the land in perpetuity, shall recite and require compliance with this permit's provisions as regards to: (B) on-site and oft-site monitoriag; (b) the maintenance of the gas venting tronohes, piezometers, fnd perimeter gas monitoring wells and (c) eriteria for abandonment of the site as specified in Special Condition 38 of this permit. Upon Deparmental approval of the final deed restriction, it shall be filed immediatcly at the Bronx County Clerk's Office, A certified copy of the deed rostriction shall be proyided to the DEC.

## Off-site Gas Monitoring Network

36. The Permittees shall conduot bl-weekly monitoring of the Off-site Gas Monitoring Network. Acopy of the bi-weekly monitoring field notes shall be, fered to the Department within 24 hours, and a copy of the monitoring report shall be submitted to the Department withln 3 days of the completion of each round of monitoring. Any reduction of the monitoring frequency shall not be considered by the Department, until at least six montigs after the completion' of the golf course on the Site. A nequest for relief from this provision mustdemonstrate to the Department's satisfaction that an allemative sohedule is warranted. Each bi-weekly monitoring report shall include the geoprobe monitoring point(s) installed on or near Buttrick, Schley, Balcom, Miles and Emerson Avenues, as described in the niethanc monitoringreponts referenced in Special Condition the of this permit,


| SPECLAL CONDITION <br>  <br> 37. The Permittees shall maintain, and upgrade iffequired, the Off-site Gas Monitoring Notworkiduting facility construction and after completion of tha golf course. Any reduction of these requirements shall not be considered by the Departaent, until six months after the completion of the construction of the golf course. A reduction request must demorstrate to the Department's artisfaction that an alternative sohedule is warranted. <br> a) The Permittees may request Departmental apptoval to substitute for all, or part of, the Off-site Gas Monitoring Network by installing geoprobe soil gas moditoring points of a placemeat and devign suitable to the Department. Such points must be at linear intervals no greater than 50 feet (on 50 -foot centers) along either segments of the perimeter boundary of the.gas yenting trenoh or the drainege ditch. |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

b) If any poin! used for off-site gas monitoring is found to require repairs, this fact must be teported to the IEM immediately and all necessary repairs must be made within two weeks of discovery, $A$ copy of the off-site gas monitoring well results also rinust be sent to the New York City Housing Authority.
c) All off-site gas monitoriog points shall be tegted on abl-weckly basis, If any individual monitoring point Has a bi-weekly reading that equals or exceeds $100 \% \mathrm{LEL}$, or threc consecutive bi-weekiy readings that are grater than $25 \%$ JEL, sampling of that point shall be done weekly. Any individual point under the later modified methane gas action plan may setum to the regular bl-weekly monitoring schedule, if there are three (3) consecutive weekly readings all less than the $25 \% \mathrm{LEL}$ action level,
d) None of the requirements specified in Special Conditions Nos. 26 through 34,36 or 37 (a) through (b) may, be terminated whthout written approval from the Department.

## Project Abandonment and Enviromimentally Sound Clasure

38. Should the Project be abandoned, the entire 222 acte Site must be closed, monitored and maintained after closure in accordance with 6NYCRR Secoi, 360-2,15 as a landfil in operatlon since 2000.
a) Within 120 days after notice from the Department that the Site has been deemed abandoned, the Permittees must subint a closure plan for DEC's approval. The closure plan must include an implementation schedule, which provides that olosure must be certified as complate no later than three years after the Department's determination that the Site has been abandoned. The closure plan also shall specity in detail how it will bs implemented. It addition, the elosure plan must include a post-closure maintenance and monitoring plan for the Sito, which addresses existing environmental conditions on the Site. Upon Departuent approval of the plan the Permittees shall begln to implement olosture of the Site immediately, and afterclosure is implemented fully shall submit a complete olosure certification report to tho Department. This report must be stamped byaprofeesional ergizeor licensed in New York State.
b) For the purposes of this permit, abandonmentshall mean any of the following :
i. Filling operations authorized under this permitcense for a period of 12 consecutive months, as provided in 6 NYCRR Sect. 360-1.11(t);
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ii. Failure to complete the Project in accordance with this permit, as detexmized by the Department, by the expiration date of this permit; or
iii Termination of this Project as a result of enforcement acdon by the Dopartanent or mother government agency for nonecompliance with this permit, or with applicable Federal, State or Local Inw.
c) The terms of this Special Prowision shall govern, if in conflict with the terms of Gerteral Condition No. 12.

Submittals
39. Within 60 days of completion of the filling operation on the Site and construction of the earthwork portion of the Project, the Pernittees shat! provide:
a) as-built drawings of the Project signed by a professional enginser Licensed in Nesy York State, for DEC's approval. Tho post-constuction as builtdrawings shall, at a minimum, incluđe, detalied cross. sections illustrating the depth of finl placed and location of all utilitics and associated structures, road ways, and storm weter drainage control structures for the Project. The as-built plans also shatl include the locatlon of all monitoring and control systems and devices (including gas control and monitoring, wator quality and elevation monitoring, and setilement monitoriag).
b) a written post-construction certification report for review and approval by the Dopartment and certification by the Independent Environnemtal Monitor. This repart shall inclune:approved "asbuilt" drawings demonstratigg that the earthwork and filling operation was accomplished in accordance with the Department approved plans and this permit. If as-built drawings are not approved by DEC within 120 days of the completion of the filling operation, the site is deemed abandoned as per Special Condition \#38,
40. All reports and written submissions shall be sent in duplicate to the regional office of the DEC, with nn. additional copy to DEC Central Office, and another copy to the document repository as noted bolow. Epchsubmission shall have a transmittal page; whoh references the DEC permitnumber ayd tha relevant Special Conditionnumber,

## Kenneth B. Brezner, P.E.

Regional Solid Materials Engineor
NYS DEC, Region 2
47-40 $21^{\text {T }}$ Street
Long Island City, NY 11101 .
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ANNEX 1
CD CONTAINING PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS

## EXHIBIT D

## SCHEDULE OF OPERATING HOURS AND FEES

Hours and Days of Operation will, in all cases, be subject to Section 9.1(a):

GOLF COURSE AND GOLF COURSE SNACK BAR

Seven (7) days a week from dawn until dusk, weather permitting.

## DRIVING RANGE AND PRACTICE FACILITY

At a minimum, during the hours that the Golf
Course is open for play, weather permitting.

GRILL ROOM/FOOD SERVICE RESTAURANT IN CLUBHOUSE

During the hours that the Golf Course is open for play.

## PARK SNACK BAR/WATERFRONT PARK CONCESSION

At a minimum, May $1^{\text {st }}$ to October $31^{\text {st }}$, between the hours of 11:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., weather permitting

Without limiting the foregoing, all facilities may be operated within the opening hours of Ferry Point Park but in no event shall the Licensed Premises or any portion thereof remain open after 1 a.m.

RESIDENT GOLF RATES ("GREENS FEES")

| Days | Category $^{\mathbf{3}}$ | Rates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monday - Thursday | 18 holes | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Twilight | $45.00-75.00$ |
|  | Seniors (62 years old and over) | $40.00-55.00$ |
|  | Juniors (16 years old and under) | $25.00-30.00$ |
|  |  | 125.00 |
|  <br> Sunday, Holidays | 18 holes | $70.00-100.00$ |
|  |  |  |
|  | Twilight |  |

The rates set forth above ("Resident Rates") are based upon May, 2010 dollars. For Operating Year 1 , such rates shall be increased by the percentage increase in the resident green fees charged by other New York City owned golf courses between the rate for the start of the 2010 golf season and rate for the year in which the Concession Commencement Date occurs, rounded to the highest whole dollar.

After Operating Year 1, Licensee will be permitted, without the approval of Parks or the City, to increase the Resident Rates annually (a) with respect to the 18 Hole Resident Rate for Friday, Saturday \& Sunday and Holidays, up to an amount equal to the product obtained by multiplying such Resident Rate for Operating Year 1 by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the CPI for the calendar month prior to the month in which the adjustment is to occur, and the denominator of which shall be the CPI for the month in which the Concession Commencement Date occurs, rounded to the highest whole dollar; and (b) with respect to all other Resident Rates, up to an amount equal to the greater of (i) the product obtained by multiplying the applicable Resident Rate for Operating Year 1 by a fraction, the numerator of which is the expenses incurred by Licensee in operating the Licensed Premises (other than in operating any banquet or catering facility constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises) for the prior Operating Year and the denominator of which is the operating expenses for Operating Year 1 (other than those expenses incurred in operating any banquet or catering facility constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises), but in no event shall the percentage increase be greater than five percent ( $5 \%$ ) of the Resident Rate for the prior Operating Year in any one year, and (ii) the product obtained by multiplying such Resident Rate for Operating Year 1 by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the CPI for the calendar month prior to the month in which the adjustment is to occur, and the denominator of which shall be the CPI for the month in which the Concession Commencement Date occurs, in each case, rounded to the highest whole dollar; any greater increases are subject to Parks' approval.

By way of example only, if (i) for Operating Year 1, the Friday, Saturday, Sunday and Holiday rate for 18 Holes was $\$ 135.00$ and the Monday thru Thursday rate for 18 Holes was $\$ 110.00$, (ii) the CPI for the month in which the Concession Commencement Date occurred was 100, the CPI for the month before Operating Year 2 was 107, and the CPI for the month before Operating Year 3 was 111 , and (iii) the operating expenses for Operating Year 1 were $\$ 100,000$, the operating expenses for

[^20]Operating Year 2 were $\$ 115,000$ and the operating expenses for Operating Year 3 were $\$ 125,000$, then (a) Licensee would be permitted to raise the Friday, Saturday Sunday and holiday rates for 18 Holes for Residents up to $\$ 145.00((\$ 135.00 \times 1.07=\$ 144.45$, rounded to the highest dollar) in Operating Year 2 and up to $\$ 150.00(\$ 135.00 \times 1.11=\$ 149.85$, rounded to the highest dollar) in Operating Year 3, and (b) Licensee would be permitted to raise the Monday through Thursday rates for 18 Holes for Residents up to $\$ 118.00$ ( $\$ 110.00 \times 1.07$ (based on CPI increase) $=\$ 117.70$, rounded to the highest dollar) in Operating Year 2, and up to $\$ 124.00$ ( $\$ 110.00 \times 1.15$ (based on operating expense increases) $=\$ 126.50$, but capped at a $5 \%$ increase over $\$ 118.00$ or $\$ 123.90$, rounded to the highest dollar) in Operating Year 3 (increase based on operating expense rather than CPI because $\$ 124.00$ is greater than applicable CPI increase).

Licensee shall be permitted, in Licensee's discretion (and without the approval of Parks or the City), to charge non-resident rates for those who are not residents of New York City, that are higher than the corresponding Resident Rates as follows:
(a) with respect to the 18 Hole Rate for Friday, Saturday \& Sunday and Holidays, in Operating Year 1 , Licensee may charge up to $\$ 25$ higher than the corresponding Resident Rate in Operating Year 1 ; for each subsequent Operating Year after Operating Year 1, Licensee shall be permitted to add an additional $\$ 1$ to the amount that Licensee shall be permitted to charge non-residents in excess of the corresponding Resident Rate. Therefore, for illustrative purposes, (i) in Operating Year 2, Licensee may charge up to $\$ 26$ higher than the corresponding Resident Rate in Operating Year 2; (ii) in Operating Year 3, Licensee may charge up to $\$ 27$ higher than the corresponding Resident Rate in Operating Year 3; and (iii) in Operating Year 4, Licensee may charge up to $\$ 28$ higher than the corresponding Resident Rate in Operating Year 4, and so on throughout the Term;
(b) with respect to all other rates (other than the 18 Hole Rate for Friday, Saturday \& Sunday and Holidays), Licensee may charge up to twenty five percent ( $25 \%$ ) higher than corresponding Resident Rates, rounded to the highest whole dollar; and
(c) any greater increases are subject to Parks' approval.

## OTHER RATES \& FEES

| Category $^{4}$ | Approved Rate |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reservation Fee | $\$ 4.00$ |
|  |  |
| Golf Cart Rental (daily) | $\$ 25.00$ per rider |
| Hand/Pull Cart Rental (daily) | $\$ 10.00$ |
|  | TBD |
| Locker Rental (seasonal) |  |
|  | $\$ 5.00$ |
| Secured Parking Fee |  |
|  |  |

[^21]| Resident ID Cards | $\$ 6.00$ for adults <br>  <br> $\$ 2.00$ for juniors <br> and seniors |
| :--- | :--- |

The rates set forth above are based upon May, 2010 dollars. The Licensee will be permitted, without the approval of Parks or the City, to increase the rates annually up to an amount equal to the greater of (i) the product obtained by multiplying applicable rate set forth above by a fraction, the numerator of which is the expenses incurred by Licensee in operating the Licensed Premises (other than in operating any banquet or catering facility constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises) for the prior Operating Year and the denominator of which is the operating expenses for Operating Year 1 (other than those expenses incurred in operating any banquet or catering facility constructed by Licensee at the Licensed Premises), but in no event shall the percentage increase be greater than five percent ( $5 \%$ ) of the rate for the prior Operating Year in any one year, and (ii) the product obtained by multiplying the applicable rate set forth above by a fraction, the numerator of which shall be the CPI for the calendar month prior to the month in which the adjustment is to occur, and the denominator of which shall be the CPI for May 2010, in each case, rounded to the highest whole dollar; any greater increases are subject to Parks' approval.

## EXHIBIT E

## Citywide Beverage Vending Machines Standards

## For Vending Locations Regulary Used by Adults

All of the foliowing criteria must be met

## A) Specifications regarding the product mix:

1) No more than two columns (or "butans") may be unimited calone beverages (the maximum of two colums applies irrespective of the total rmmber of columns in the machine)
2) Unless otherwise approved by the Ciby in witing, water is required to be stocked for a mimimum of 2 columis (or "buttons"). Unless otherwise approved by the Ciy, in its sole dscretion in wing, water for the purposes of these Standards shall mean botted water that is intended for human consumpton, that contains 0 calories per 8 or, and contans no added flavor, color, or sweeteners of any ind. Any product containing water modified whith added flavors, colors or sweeteners or with calonies in excess of 0 calonies per a oz shall not be considered water for the purposes of these Standarcs.
3) The rentaining products must be $\leq 25$ calories per 8 oz
B) Specifications regarding product display placement:
4) Weter mugit be placed in te position with the fighest selling poterfial.
5) "High Calorie" beverages (ciefned as any beverage $>25$ calories per 8 oz) must be placed in the position with the fowes selling potential.
6) For machines where the buttons are arrayed vertically, highest selling potential means those closest to eye level, usually the top bettons, and lowes selling potertial means those furthest from eye level, ussaly the botion butons. Or as deternined by industry best practices
7) However, because machines have different disptay arrangentents, the City will have sole discretion to approve all product display and placement.

## C) Specifications regarding size;

1) An beverage selectione with the exception of waker and seltzer are linited to 12 az. For the purposes of these Standands, seltier is defined as water naturaly or artificilly impregnated with minera salts or gasses, hawing 0 calories per 8 oz and no atificial sweeteness.
2) Al water and seltzer sefections must be at least 12 oz.
3) Pontion sizes maller than 12 oz are encouraged for High Calorie beverages.
D) Calorie labeling:
I) Every wachine muss display the total calonie content for each item, as sold, clearly and conspacucusly, adjacent or in dose proxinity so as to be cleanty assocated with the tem, using a font and fermat that is at least as prominent, is size and appearance as that used to post efther the name or price of the beverage where it can be seen before the consumer presses the buton to choose the beverage. Existing nutrition iabeling on the beverages does not meet this requirement. The City will have sole discretion regarding the display of cabrie information. (adapted from HC Sev 50)
E) Promotional space:
4) Promotional space on the vending machines (ie. sides, front graphic panel, etc.) including but not limited to the language and graphics, if used, is subject to the approval of the City in its sole discretion and must be used only to promote healthy beverage choices ( $\leq 25$ calonies per 802 ) andior healthy activities.
F) Price:

If Fricing models that encourage healiny choices (e g. by establishing lower prices for healtry beverage choices ( 25 calories per 8 oz) relative to "Hinh Calone" beverages ( 25 calonies per Boz) are encouraged.

## For Vending Locations Requiarty Used by Children ane 18 and under

## A) Specifications regarding the product mix:

1) Beverage vendirg machines can onty incude:

- Water

Unless othenwise approved by the City, in its sole discretion in writhg, water for he purposes of these Standards shall mean bottled water that is intended for human consumption, that contans 0 cabines per B oz, and contains no added flavor, colof, of sweeteners of any knod Any product containing water modified with added flavors, colors or sweeteners or with calories in excess of 0 calories per 8 oz shall not be considered water for the purposes of these Standards.

- Unsweetened mik, $1 \%$ or nonfat orly
- Beverages with $\leq 2.2$ calonies per 8 oz
- Carbonation and caffeine are allowed

2) Prohibited:

- Artificial sweeteners
* Other "nathral" non-nutritive or vey low-calonie sweetenem (e.g. stevia, eythrio)
- Atificial flavors and colors

3) If the location is reguarly used by programs serving children age 12 or younger (e.g. afterschool locations, summer camph in ardition to the standards above, products:

- Should not be caffeinated
- Should be $\leq 10$ calories per 8 oz
B) Calorie labeling:

1) Every machine mast display the total calorie content for each dem, as sold, clearly and conspicuously, adjacent or in close proximity so as to be clearty associated with the item, using a font and format that is at least as prominent, in size and appearance, as that used to post either the fame of price of the beverage where it can be seen before the consumer presses the buton to choose the beverage. Existing nutition labeling on the beverages does not meet this requmenent. The City will have sole discretion regarding the display of caforie infomation.
(edapted from HC sel 50)

## C) Promotional space:

I) Promotional space on the vending machines (i.e sides, front graphic panel, etc.) including but not limited to the language and graphics, if used, is subject to the approval of the City in its sole discretion and must be used only to promote heathy beverage choices ( 525 catofies per 8 oz) andlor healthy activities.
Wote that New York Gity beverage vending standands may be feysed or updated in the future Vendors woul have time to come into complance whith any changes.

## EXHIBIT F

## SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS

| Capital Improvement | Amount | Complete Date |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permanent Clubhouse, including golf cart storage <br> facility. |  | 5 years from the <br> Concession <br> Commencement Date |
| Temporary clubhouse and cart storage and charging <br> facility |  | Prior to the Concession <br> Commencement Date |
| Outfitting of the Golf Course Snack Bar |  | Prior to the Concession <br> Commencement Date |
|  |  | The April 1 st immediately <br> following the expiration of the Park Snack Bar <br> the six (6) month period <br> after delivery by the City <br> in accordance with the <br> Development Agreement |
| Total | $\$ 10,000,000$ |  |

For the sake of clarity, the costs of construction of the temporary clubhouse and temporary cart storage facility shall be a Capital Improvement Cost and shall be included in calculating the Minimum Capital Improvement Costs to be expended by Licensee.

Completion of the Supplemental Parking Lot (as defined in the Development Agreement) (which Parks estimates can hold approximately 75 spaces) shall not be a Required Capital Improvement under this License Agreement. However, if Licensee determines that Licensee would like to complete the Supplemental Parking Lot, as determined by Licensee and approved by Parks, in addition to the Primary Parking Lot (as defined in the Development Agreement) being constructed by the City, or if the completion of the Supplemental Parking Lot is required by applicable law in connection with the construction of the Clubhouse or any banquet or other catering facility constructed by Licensee, Licensee shall perform such Capital Improvement and complete the Supplemental Parking Lot in accordance with the terms of this Agreement and the Development Agreement and shall complete the Supplemental Parking Lot within a period approved by Parks and the cost of the Supplemental Parking Lot shall be a Capital Improvement Cost and shall be included in calculating the Minimum Capital Improvement Costs to be expended by Licensee. For the sake
of clarity, the foregoing in this paragraph shall not limit Parks' obligations with respect to the Supplemental Parking Lot set forth in the Development Agreement.

## EXHIBIT G



# FIRE DEPARTMENT 







Olmated Center - Furbua Mealym Corman Fab
Fhaxhas. NY 1368

We: VARMNOE APMCATON<br><br><br>

## Den Mx. Natok



















 Mixwereranancel fockitom.




 amacmiondive





 matuthence failiy































为

Hz* $\$ 3$















 कalinul in th bresping


[^22]*, \%

## EXHIBIT H

Use of Intellectual Property

## 1. License of Licensed Trump IP to Parks.

(a) Grant. During the Term, Licensee grants to Parks and the City (a) a non-exclusive, nontransferable right, license and privilege (without the right to sublicense) to use the Trump Mark as part of (and only as part of) the Composite Mark, and as part of (and only as part of) the particular Composite Mark logo shown or described on Exhibit I-1 attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Composite Logo"), the Snack Bar Mark, and the particular Snack Bar Mark logo shown or described on Exhibit I-2 attached hereto and made a part hereof (the "Snack Bar Logo") (together, the Trump Marks, the Trump Mark as incorporated in the Composite Mark, and the Trump Mark as incorporated in the Composite Logo, the Snack Bar Mark, and the Snack Bar Logo shall be referred to as the "Licensed Trump IP") solely in connection with identification or promotion of the Licensed Premises and for no other purpose. Promotion of the Licensed Premises using the Licensed Trump IP shall not include promotional merchandising. Nothing shall prohibit Parks or the City from using the name of the facility or any Parks property during or after the Term for promotional merchandising or any other purpose where such use does not include Licensed Trump IP.
(b) Licensed Trump IP Approval Rights. Prior to Parks' or the City's use of any of the Licensed Trump IP in each instance, Parks or the City must obtain Licensee's prior written approval, in Licensee's discretion, to the form, substance, means and manner of each proposed use of the Licensed Trump IP. A sample of each proposed use that requires approval of Licensee in accordance with the terms of this Exhibit H shall be sent for Licensee's approval in accordance with Section 36 of this License Agreement, which for the sake of clarity shall include a separate copy of such notice sent to each of Allen Weisselberg, Ron Lieberman and Jason Blacksberg, Esq., at Trump Ferry Point, LLC, c/o the Trump Organization LLC, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10022. Licensee shall respond to any request for approval under this Section 1 of Exhibit H within five (5) business days of its receipt of such request, and the failure of Licensee to respond within such (5) business day period shall be deemed approval.
(c) Protective Measures.

Parks and the City agree that Licensee shall have the right to take all other steps necessary to ensure that, in such cases as Licensee may reasonably require, the use or display of any of the Licensed Trump IP is in a manner sufficient to indicate that Licensee or Trump owns all right, title and interest in and to the Licensed Trump IP. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Parks and the City agrees to use its best efforts to include in any use of the Licensed Trump IP such appropriate language and/or legal notices and which is set forth as follows:

The City and Parks shall include the trademark designation legally required or useful for enforcement (e.g. "TM", "SM" or $\mathbb{B}$, as applicable) in connection with the City's or Parks' use of the Licensed Trump IP. Unless otherwise specified by Licensee, the City and Parks shall include the following statement on all materials
bearing the Licensed Trump IP, and in any such other cases of the City's or Parks' use of the Licensed Trump IP:
"Trump" is a registered trademark or trademark of Donald J. Trump; or
"Donald" is a registered trademark or trademark of Donald J. Trump.
In addition, if Licensee provides Parks or the City with any materials subject to copyright protection and in connection with the Licensed Trump IP, for use in connection with this Agreement, all use by Parks or the City of such materials shall bear the following copyright notice: © [INSERT YEAR OF FIRST PUBLICATION, e.g., 2011] Donald J. Trump. All rights reserved.

## 2. License of Licensed City IP to Licensee.

(a) Grant. During the Term, City grants to Licensee a non-exclusive, non-transferable right, license and privilege (without the right to sublicense) to use the City Mark as part of (and only as part of) the Composite Mark, and as part of (and only as part of) the particular Composite Logo shown or described on Exhibit I-1 (together, the City Marks, the City Mark as incorporated in the Composite Mark, and the City Mark as incorporated in the Composite Logo, shall be referred to as the "Licensed City IP") solely in connection with identification or promotion of the Licensed Premises and for no other purpose. Promotion of the Licensed Premises using the Licensed City IP shall not include promotional merchandising. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing herein shall prohibit Licensee from promoting the Licensed Premises using the Composite Mark or the Composite Logo, including promotional merchandising; provided, however, that all promotional merchandise using the Composite Mark or Composite Logo shall be reviewed and approved by Parks in writing prior to distribution or sale. A sample of each proposed use that requires approval of Parks in accordance with the terms of the immediately foregoing sentence shall be sent for Parks' approval to Parks' Revenue Division, 830 Fifth Avenue, Central Park, New York, NY 10065 in accordance with Section 36 of this License Agreement. Parks shall respond to any request for approval under this Section 2(a) of Exhibit H within five (5) business days of its receipt of such request, and the failure of Parks to respond within such (5) business day period shall be deemed approval.
(b) Licensed City IP Approval Rights. Prior to Licensee's use of any of the Licensed City IP in each instance, Licensee must obtain Parks' prior written approval, in Parks' discretion, to the form, substance, means and manner of each proposed use of the Licensed City IP. A sample of each proposed use that requires approval of Parks in accordance with the terms of this Exhibit H shall be sent for Parks' approval to Parks' Revenue Division, 830 Fifth Avenue, Central Park, New York, NY 10065. Parks shall respond to any request for approval under this Section 2 of Exhibit $\mathbf{H}$ within five (5) business days of its receipt of such request, and the failure of Parks to respond within such (5) business day period shall be deemed approval.
(c) Protective Measures.

Licensee agrees that Parks and the City shall have the right to take all other steps necessary to ensure that, in such cases as Parks and the City may reasonably require, the use or display of any of the Licensed City IP is in a manner sufficient to indicate that Parks and the City own all right, title and interest in and to the Licensed City IP. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Licensee agrees to use its best efforts to include in any use of the Licensed City IP such appropriate language and/or legal notices and which is set forth as follows:

The Licensee shall include the trademark designation legally required or useful for enforcement (e.g. "TM", "SM" or $\mathbb{B}$, as applicable) in connection with Licensee's use of the Licensed City IP. Unless otherwise specified by Parks or the City, the Licensee shall include the following statement on all materials bearing the Licensed City IP, and in any such other cases of the Licensee's use of the Licensed City IP:

The leaf logo is a registered trademark or trademark of the City of New York; or
"Ferry Point Park" is a registered trademark or trademark of the City of New York.

In addition, if Parks or the City provides Licensee with any materials subject to copyright protection in connection with the Licensed City IP, for use in connection with this Agreement, all use by Licensee of such materials shall bear the following copyright notice: © [INSERT YEAR OF FIRST PUBLICATION, e.g., 2011] City of New York. All rights reserved.

## 3. Rights in the Trump Marks.

(a) Exclusive Ownership. Parks and the City recognize Licensee's and/or Trump's sole and exclusive ownership of all rights in the Licensed Trump IP and agree that neither Parks nor the City have any rights to use (and shall not permit Commissioner or its or their respective Affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents and consultants to use) the Licensed Trump IP, except for the use expressly provided in this License Agreement.
(b) No Registration; No Claim to Ownership/Goodwill. Neither Parks nor the City shall acquire or seek to acquire a trademark or domain name or Internet name in any jurisdiction for any term that incorporates the Licensed Trump IP. Parks and the City acknowledge that the Licensed Trump IP represents significant goodwill of Licensee and of Trump, and that all use of the Licensed Trump IP hereunder shall inure solely to the benefit of Licensee and/or Trump. Neither Parks nor the City shall challenge Licensee's or Trump's rights in or registration(s) for the Licensed Trump IP, or themselves assert independent rights therein. Parks and the City further acknowledge that any use of any of the Licensed Trump IP that violates the provisions of this License Agreement would cause Licensee and Trump irreparable harm.
(c) Quality. Parks' and the City's use of, and services and activities conducted under, the Licensed Trump IP, shall at all times be in keeping with the stature and high standards of
quality of Licensee and of Trump; shall not dilute or tarnish the Licensed Trump IP; and shall not violate any applicable Legal Requirements.
(d) City and Parks Cooperation. Parks and the City agree to execute any and all documents necessary to maintain all of Licensee's and/or Trump's rights in the Licensed Trump IP and complete any other actions necessary to perfect Licensee's or Trump's rights with respect thereto.
(e) Disassociation of the Mark. Upon the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement (i) neither Parks nor the City nor any other person or entity shall have any right to use the Licensed Trump IP and (ii) Licensee shall have the right to take all steps reasonably determined by Licensee to be necessary or helpful to disassociate the Licensed Premises from the Licensed Trump IP, including the removal of any exterior or interior signage, equipment and supplies in each case bearing any of the Licensed Trump IP, provided however that upon expiration of the Term or termination by the City due to an Event of Default, Licensee shall be responsible to repair or restore the Licensed Premises or damage to the Licensed Premises resulting from such removal to the satisfaction of the Commissioner, at the sole cost and expense of the Licensee. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the event that Licensee terminates this License Agreement in accordance with its terms prior to the expiration of the Term, the City shall be responsible for the reasonable cost and expense involved in the disassociation of the Licensed Premises from the Licensed Trump IP, including the removal of any exterior or interior signage, equipment and supplies in each case bearing any of the Licensed Trump IP.
(f) Rights and Remedies. In addition to any other right or remedy of Licensee hereunder or at law or in equity, Licensee shall have the absolute right, but not the obligation, to terminate this License Agreement if any of the Licensed Trump IP is used by the City, Parks, the Commissioner, or any of its or their Affiliates, directors, officers, agents and consultants in any manner that is not expressly permitted under this License Agreement and the City and/or Parks do not cure such violation within five (5) business days of receipt of notice by Licensee of such violation; provided, that such five (5) business day period shall be extended to twenty (20) business days of receipt of notice for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 1(c) of Exhibit H with respect to uses of the Licensed Trump IP on the City Website, and provided further that, notwithstanding the foregoing if such violation cannot reasonably be cured within the time period set forth in this Section 3(f) of Exhibit H, the City and/or Parks shall have such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such violation, provided that the City and/or Parks shall have commenced curing such violation within such time period set forth in this Section 3(f) of Exhibit H and shall thereafter diligently prosecute such cure to completion. In the event of a breach of this Exhibit $\mathbf{H}$, then in addition to all of the other remedies available to Licensee hereunder for a breach of this License Agreement, Licensee and Trump shall be entitled to immediate injunctive relief and all other applicable remedies, including damages in connection therewith, against the City and/or Parks.
(g) Copyrights in Composite Logo and Snack Bar Logo. To the extent that the Composite Logo and Snack Bar Logo contain pre-existing copyrightable material owned by Licensee or the City, ownership of such pre-existing copyrights shall be retained by

Licensee or the City, as applicable. To the extent that the Composite Logo and the Snack Bar Logo contain newly developed copyrightable material, such copyrights shall be deemed jointly owned.
(h) If Licensee determines, in its sole discretion, that the use of Licensed Trump IP by the City or Parks infringes upon the rights of any third party or weakens or impairs Licensee's or Trump's rights in the Licensed Trump IP, due to any actual or threatened Trademark Claim, then the City and Parks agree to immediately terminate the offending use and/or cure such infringement (if cure is possible) and/or to take any other actions in accordance with Licensee's written instructions, which may include removal of the Licensed Trump IP from the Licensed Premises.

## 4. Rights in the City's Mark.

(a) Exclusive Ownership. Licensee recognizes the City's sole and exclusive ownership of all rights in the Licensed City IP and agrees that the Licensee has no right to use (and shall not permit Trump or his respective Affiliates, directors, officers, employees, agents and consultants to use) the Licensed City IP, except for the use expressly provided in this License Agreement.
(b) No Registration; No Claim to Ownership/Goodwill. Licensee shall not acquire or seek to acquire a trademark or domain name or Internet name in any jurisdiction for any term that incorporates the Licensed City IP, except for the Licensee Website and Hoplinks identified in Exhibit P and Exhibit Q, or other domain name or URL registered by Licensee in accordance with Section 9.29 of the License Agreement. Licensee acknowledges that the Licensed City IP represents significant goodwill of the City and Parks, and that all use of the Licensed City IP hereunder shall inure solely to the benefit of the City and/or Parks. Licensee shall not challenge the City's rights in or registration(s) for the Licensed City IP, or assert independent rights therein. Licensee further acknowledges that any use of any of the Licensed City IP that violates the provisions of this License Agreement would cause the City and Parks irreparable harm.
(c) Quality. Licensee's use of, and services and activities conducted under, the Licensed City IP, shall at all times be in keeping with the stature and high standards of quality of Parks and City; shall not dilute or tarnish the Licensed City IP; and shall not violate any applicable Legal Requirements.
(d) Licensee's Cooperation. Licensee agrees to execute any and all documents necessary to maintain all of the City's rights in the Licensed City IP, and complete any other actions necessary to perfect the City's rights with respect thereto.
(e) Disassociation of the Mark. Upon the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement (i) neither Licensee nor Trump nor any other person or entity shall have any right to use the Licensed City IP and (ii) the City shall, at its own expense, have the right to take all steps reasonably determined by the City to be necessary or helpful to disassociate the Licensed Premises from the Licensed City IP, including the removal of any exterior or interior signage, equipment and supplies in each case bearing any of the Licensed City IP.
(f) Rights and Remedies. In addition to any other right or remedy of the City hereunder or at law or in equity, the City shall have the absolute right, but not the obligation, to terminate this License Agreement if any of the Licensed City IP are used by the Licensee, Trump, or any of its or their Affiliates, directors, officers, agents and consultants in any manner that is not expressly permitted under this License Agreement and the Licensee and/or Trump do not cure such violation within five (5) business days of receipt of notice by the City of such violation provided, that such five (5) business day period shall be extended to twenty (20) business days of receipt of notice for failure to comply with the provisions of Section 2(c) of Exhibit H with respect to uses of the Licensed City IP on the Licensee Website, and provided further that, notwithstanding the foregoing if such violation cannot reasonably be cured within the time period set forth in this Section 4(f) of Exhibit H, the Licensee shall have such additional time as may be reasonably necessary to cure such violation, provided that Licensee shall have commenced curing such violation within such time period set forth in this Section 4(f) of Exhibit H and shall thereafter diligently prosecute such cure to completion. In the event of a breach of this Section 4 of Exhibit H then in addition to all of the other remedies available to the City hereunder for a breach of this License Agreement, the City shall be entitled to immediate injunctive relief and all other applicable remedies, including damages in connection therewith, against the Licensee.

## 5. Infringement.

(a) Indemnification of Trademark Claims. If any trademark or copyright action, proceeding or claim is instituted in the United States against the Indemnitees based on the City or Parks' use of the Licensed Trump IP during the Term, or against the Licensee Indemnitees based on Licensee's use of the Licensed City IP during the Term, in each case pursuant to the terms of this License Agreement (any of the foregoing, a "Trademark Claim"), the Party that holds or has rights to the applicable trademark (the "TM Indemnitor") hereby agrees, subject to the other provisions of this Section $\mathbf{5}$ of Exhibit H to indemnify, defend, and hold free and harmless the other Party (the "TM Indemnitee") from and against the Trademark Claim and reasonable out-ofpocket expenses, including interest, penalties, reasonable attorneys and third party fees which may be suffered, incurred or paid by the TM Indemnitee. The right to indemnification provided in this Section 5 of Exhibit $\mathbf{H}$ shall be the sole and entire remedy of the Parties hereto, and the TM Indemnitor shall not be responsible for any damages of any kind, including special or consequential damages or projected lost sales or profit or other expenditures of the TM Indemnitee. The City and Parks shall promptly notify Licensee of any Trademark Claim based on their use of the Licensed Trump IP and Licensee shall promptly notify the City and Parks of any Trademark Claim based on its use of the Licensed City IP.
(b) Cooperation in Defense of Trademark Claims. The Parties hereto agree to each fully cooperate with the other Party in the defense of any Trademark Claim or threat of any Trademark Claim and to take no actions of any kind regarding such Trademark Claim, or threat of Trademark Claim, without the prior written consent of the other Party. The Parties hereto shall each take all steps reasonably recommended by the TM Indemnitor to mitigate its damages incurred, or potential damages which it might reasonably be expected to incur (in the TM Indemnitor's reasonable discretion) including permitting the TM Indemnitor to remove the Licensed Trump IP and/or Licensed City IP, as
applicable, from the Licensed Premises and to discontinue any use of the Licensed Trump IP and/or Licensed City IP, as applicable, in which event the TM Indemnitor shall, to the extent possible, propose a substitute composite mark which is reasonably acceptable to the TM Indemnitee. To the extent either Party accepts such proposed substitute composite mark, all references in this License Agreement to "Composite Mark" shall be deemed to refer to such substitute composite mark.
(c) Enforcement of Rights. If during the Term of this License Agreement Licensee, Trump or his designee, the City and/or Parks becomes aware of a third party's usage of a trademark or logo that is substantially similar to and infringes upon the Licensed Trump IP and/or the Licensed City IP, then such party(ies) shall give notice to the other party(ies) within a reasonable time after the discovery of such infringement. In the event of a third party's infringement of the Composite Marks and/or Composite Logo, the parties shall work together in good faith and, if necessary, upon the recommendation of their counsel to determine the most commercially reasonable course of action for the parties given the circumstances of the infringement. If the parties are unable to reach a mutually agreeable course of action, then any party may institute and prosecute a lawsuit for such infringement after thirty (30) days written notice to the other party(ies), solely at the expense of the party bringing suit and all sums recovered shall be retained by the party bringing suit unless otherwise agreed. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing herein contained shall prevent Licensee and/or Trump from prosecuting lawsuits, in its or his sole discretion, against third persons for infringement of the Licensed Trump IP or the City and/or Parks from prosecuting lawsuits, in its sole discretion, against third persons for infringement of the Licensed City IP.
(d) The provisions of Section $\mathbf{5}$ of this Exhibit $\mathbf{H}$ shall survive the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement.

## 6. Indemnification.

(a) The City and Parks hereby, jointly and severally, agree to indemnify, defend, and hold free and harmless the Licensee Indemnitees from and against any and all Claims, excluding Trademark Claims already governed by Section 5(a) of Exhibit H which may be suffered, incurred or paid by the Licensee Indemnitees or any of them arising, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, from or out of or relating to (i) the Indemnitees' (or any one of their) acts or omissions, in connection with the use of any of the Licensed Trump IP (except for such use authorized by this License Agreement), (ii) any trademark, copyright, domain name, right of publicity, right of privacy action, proceeding or claim, or threat of such action, proceeding or claim, arising from the Indemnitees' (or any one of their) use of the Licensed Trump IP in violation of this License Agreement or any trademarks not approved by Licensee, (iii) any breach of or default by the City or Parks of any of the terms, covenants or provisions of this License Agreement relating to the Licensed Trump IP, or (iv) any breach of the warranties and representations made by the City in Section 1.7 of the License Agreement or this Exhibit H to the License Agreement.
(b) The Licensee hereby agrees to indemnify, defend, and hold free and harmless the Indemnitees from and against any and all Claims, excluding Trademark Claims already
governed by Section 5(a) of Exhibit H, which may be suffered, incurred or paid by the Indemnitees or any of them arising, in whole or in part, directly or indirectly, from or out of or relating to (i) the Licensee Indemnitees' (or any one of their) acts or omissions, in connection with the use of any of the Licensed City IP (except for such use authorized by this License Agreement), (ii) any trademark, copyright, domain name, right of publicity, right of privacy action, proceeding or claim, or threat of such action, proceeding or claim, arising from Trump or Licensee Indemnitees (or any one of their) use of the Licensed City IP in violation of this License Agreement or its use of any Composite Logos or any trademarks not approved by Parks, (iii) any breach of or default by Trump or the Licensee of any of the terms, covenants or provisions of this License Agreement relating to the Licensed City IP, or (iv) any breach of the warranties and representations made by Licensee in Section 1.7 of the License Agreement or this Exhibit H to the License Agreement.

The provisions of Section $\mathbf{6}$ of this Exhibit H shall survive the expiration or sooner termination of this License Agreement.

## EXHIBIT I-1

## Composite Logo

The Composite Logo shall be any particular logo that Licensee and Parks shall, during the Term, have approved in writing as the Composite Logo, from and after the granting of such approval.

## EXHIBIT I-2

Snack Bar Logo
The Snack Bar Logo shall be any particular Snack Bar Logo that Licensee and Parks, during the Term, shall have approved in writing as the Snack Bar Logo, from and after the granting of such approval.

## EXHIBIT J

## Potential Banquet Events

Weddings, Civil Unions and Renewals of Vows
Bridal/Baby Showers
Anniversaries
Ceremonies
Birthdays
Parties
Family Reunions
New Year's Eve Galas
Luncheons
Afternoon Teas
Holiday parties
Graduation/Degree Parties
Employee Appreciation
Client Appreciation
Retirements
Fund Raisers
Awards Dinners
Not-for-profit Functions
Company Anniversary
School Banquets and Functions
Any other events or functions that are permitted to take place at any of the other golf courses owned
or operated by the City.

## EXHIBIT K

## Preliminary Capital Budget

As of the date hereof, Licensee does not intend to spend in excess of ten million dollars $(\$ 10,000,000)$ in the aggregate on the Required Capital Improvements. As it pertains to the Required Capital Improvements, this preliminary capital budget is presented in the context of determining (i) the allowable Termination Payment in accordance with the terms of the License Agreement and (ii) the portion of the value of time of Licensee's in-house construction, operations and management staff expended in connection with the Capital Improvements that may be included in Capital Improvement Costs.

## REQUIRED CAPITAL <br> IMPROVEMENTS:

|  | Origital Capilal limprovement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( Description |  |  |  |
| Soft Costs ${ }^{5}$ | \$1,750,000 | to | \$2,125,000 |
| Hard Costs | \$6,500,000 | to | \$8,750,000 |
| Contingency | \$650,000 | to | \$875,000 |
| Temp Facilities | \$100,000 | to | \$250,000 |
|  | \$9,000,000 | to | \$12,000,000 |

## GROW-IN:

| Description | Original Grow-In Range |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| General \& Administration | $\$ 125,000$ | to | $\$ 450,000$ |  |
| Labor ${ }^{6}$ | $\$ 350,000$ | to | $\$ 1,350,000$ |  |
| Equipment | $\$ 100,000$ | to | $\$ 400,000$ |  |
| Materials \& Supplies | $\$ 150,000$ | to | $\$ 600,000$ |  |
| Temp Facilities | $\$ 25,000$ | to | $\$ 100,000$ |  |
|  | $\$ 750,000$ | to | $\$ 2,900,000$ |  |
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## EXHIBIT L

## Copy of Nicklaus Subcontract

## NICKLAUS DESIGN ${ }^{\text {SM }}$ <br> Golf Design Subcontract Agreement

This Agreement is entered into between NICKLAUS DESIGN, LLC, a Florida limited liability company ("Company"), whose address is 11780 U.S. Highway No. 1, Suite 500 , North Palm Beach, Florida 33408, SANFORD GOLF DESIGN ("Consultant"), whose address is 4238 West Main Street, Jupiter, Florida 33458, and THE CITY OF NEW YORK, acting by and through its Department of Parks and Recreation, whose address is I17-02 Roosevelt Ave., Flushing, NY 11368, who hereby agree as set forth below.

1. Introduction. Consultant has been retained by the City of New York, New York (the "Owner"), through its Parks \& Recreation Department, to provide those design and construction management services required to develop a championship quality golf course (the "Golf Course") and related facilities on a site in the Borough of Bronx known as "Fenry Point", as more particularly described in Exhibit "A" annexed hereto (the "Site"). Prior to the date of this Agreement, Company had been retained to design an 18 -hole Jack Nicklaus Signature Golf Course on the Site by a prior contractor with Owner whose interest in the Site was terminated by Owner, and Company has developed and has retained ownership of and rights in certain intellectual property related to the design of the proposed Golf Course (the "Existing Intellectual Property"). In furtherance of that certain Contract for Services of Consultant dated $\qquad$ 2008, between Owner and Consultant (the "Master Contract"), Consultant has agreed to retain Company to act as lead designer of the Golf Course, and in such capacity, Company has agreed to provide Consultant and Owner with those specific services of Company's staff and its principal, Jack Nicklaus, as set forth in this Agreement. Atthough the parties have agreed that Consultant shall initially be responsible, either directly or through other sub-consultants retained by Consultant pursuant to the Master Contract, for providing on behalf of Owner those project management and other professional services which the Company normally requires developers of Jack Nicklaus Signature golf courses to provide to Company's staff, the parties understand that this Agreement is for the benefit of Owner and that Owner shall succeed to all of the rights and obligations of Consultant hereunder upon completion of the Golf Course as provided in Section 19.
2. Design Services. Consultant hereby engages Company to design a Jack Nìcklaus Signature Golf Course for Owner on the Site, including preparation of all plans, specifications, and drawings (the "Plan Documents") that are necessary to illustrate the course layout, design features, and construction methods required by Company to complete the Golf Course according to Company's design quality standards for use of the Endorsement (as defined in Section 5, below). The Plan Documents will consist of a general strategy plan, a clearing plan (if necessary), contour plans, a conceptual golf course drainage plan (the "Conceptual Course Drainage Plan"), a preliminary grassing and planting plan, and a bunker study plan. The Conceptual Course Drainage Plan will be limited to showing locations and proposed sizing of perforated drainage pipes and catch basins. It is the intent of Consultant and Company that Company yill provide its Conceptual Course Drainge Plan. to the engineer employed by
 Course drainage characteristics and requirements so that the engineer can prepare a Golf Course drainage plan in final form to be used by the golf course contractor and integrate the Golf Course
drainage as indicated in such plan into the storm drainage system prepared by such engineer for Owner's entire Site. The Plan Documents will also include specifications supplemental to the above plans, where applicable, but will not include shop drawings or engineering plans or drawings required to implement the Plan Documents. The parties acknowledge and agree that Company may utilize and incorporate Existing Intellectual Property into the Plan Documents where Nicklaus determines that such use is appropriate to his design vision for the Site. Company will own and retain the copyright to and all other intellectual property rights in the Plan Documents and all other plans or other written or electronically recorded materials prepared by Company for the Golf Course and delivered to Consultant and/or Owner. However, Company agrees to permit the duplication and use of such written materials and excerpts therefrom by Consultant and Owner and persons authorized by Consultant and Owner as reasonably necessary to complete the design and construction of the Golf Course and by Owner to permit the ongoing use and maintenance of the Golf Course as built. Consultant and Owner agree not to use or permit the use of Company's Plan Documents or related materials or design concepts for the construction of any other golf course facility, or make or permit any other use of any of such materials without Company's prior express witten consent, except for those promotional uses approved by Company under Section 5. Notwithstanding the above, Owner (1) may allow access to all documents as required by law, and (2) may use all documents to the extent that it is acting in a governmental (as opposed to commercial) capacity. For the purposes of the preceding sentence, the design or construction of another golf course shall be considered to be acting in a commercial capacity.

Company will have no responsibility to prepare plans, specifications, or drawings for the Golf Course clubhouse, half-way house, shelter houses, sanitary facilities, drinking fountains, maintenance facilities, irrigation system, storm drainage system, dams, bridges, walls, cart paths, utility lines, or any other similar improvements, facilities, or structures incidental to the Golf Course; provided that Company will render advice to Consultant and Owner, when requested, as to the conceptual location of such facilities or structures in relation to the Golf Course and will include proposed locations for such facilities or structures in its Plan Documents. Company will also have no responsibility whatsoever with respect to the location, design, engineering, or construction of-improvements to-real estate-adjacent-to the-boundaries of-the Golf Course, including but not limited to roadways, utility lines, drainage, patking lots, recreation facilities, or commercial facilities developed by any party at or adjacent to the Site in conjunction with the Golf Course. Company will not have any liability to Consultant or Owner with respect to the design, engincering, location, or construction of such improvements, facilities, or structures or any architectural, engineering, or construction work required to integrate such facilities and structures into Company's design for the Golf Course.

Consultant will have the right to select, subject to Owner's right to approve subcontractors to Consultant and Company's reasonable right of approval, an irrigation consultant to design the Golf Course irrigation system and to integrate such system into Company's design. Consultant will pay all fees and expenses charged by the iurigation consultait fot the irvigation design services Consultant will be responsible for retaining the sevites of such intigation consultant, or other persons approved byr company, for staking and inspecting the installation of the inrigation system in the Golf Course during the construction process, añd Consultant will pay all fees and expenses associated with such services.

Before commencing any of the Plan Documents, Company will prepare and deliver to Consultant a proposed routing plan for the Golf Course, which will set forth Company's preliminary concepts for location and layout of the Golf Course pending receipt of all necessary Site Documents, as defined in Subsection 7C. After receipt of all such Site Documents, Company will cooperate with Consultant, Owner and the other professional consultants retained by Consultant under the Master Contract in formulating the final routing plan and will make adjustments to its preliminary routing as required by governmental authorities and in consideration of Owner's wishes and development requirements, but in a manner consistent with Company's design concepts.

After Consultant's and Owner's approval of the final routing plan, Company will not commence preparation of the Plan Documents until requested to do so by Consultant in writing. Company will use its best efforts to conform to Consultant's schedule for the delivery of the Plan Documents. Consultant acknowledges that it normally takes Company approximately sixty (60) days to prepare the Plan Documents after receipt of Consultant's request and the Site Documents.

Company's principal, Jack Nicklaus ("Nicklaus"), will be personally involved in the design of the Golf Course, and he will give his personal attention to the strategy and the design details. He will have the right, in his discretion, to personally approve or disapprove all matters affecting the integrity of the design for the Golf Course.

Company's standard golf course specifications do not include specifications for the sand and organic materials used in the tees and greens. Consultant agrees to use the standard recommendations of the United States Golf Association ("USGA") unless unforeseen circumstances prevent Consultant from using such standard USGA recommendations. In such event, Consultant will notify Company of any modifications deemed necessary by Consultant or Owner and the reasons such modifications are required. Consultant acknowledges that Company will not be responsible for any specifications for sand or organic materials used in the tees or greens and that Company will not have-any-liability to-Consultant, Owner, the golf-course contractor, or any subcontractor, or any supplier of materials with respect to sand or organic materials or the performance thereof in the tees or greens.
3. Consulting Services in Connection with Construction. After approval by Consultant and Owner of the final Plan Documents, Company will continue to provide design consulting services to Consultant in regard to the development and implementation of Company's design for the Golf Course as more fully described in this Section at no additional cost or expense to Consultant or Owner other than those payments required under Sections 8 and 9 of this Agreement:

## A. Consultation Concerning Contracts.

Company will consult with Consultant and owner in regard to the letting. of construction contracts with the golf coursis contractorr(s) for construction of the Golf Course (the "Construction Work"), and Company shall render the following consulting services:
(1) Company shall assist Consultant in soliciting price bids for Owner from responsible contractors;
(2) Company shall assist Consultant in the review of bids and qualifications of prospective contractors and advise Consultant of price comparison information based upon Company's prior experience with similar projects for private entities; and
(3) Company shall consult with Consultant regarding schedules for the Construction Work and assist Consultant in recommending a desired schedule for the contract work for Owner's approval and execution.

Consultant acknowledges that the actual bid prices or negotiated contract prices for the Construction Work will be subject to market forces at the time contracts are solicited, and Company does not represent or warrant that actual prices for work and materials will be within the limits of any cost estimates or budgets developed for Owner prior to the bidding or negotiation of contracts.

In connection with the letting of contracts for the Construction Work, Company will provide to Consultant Company's standard forms of (i) General Conditions to the Construction Contract (the "General Conditions") and (ii) Technical Specifications for Golf Course Construction (the "Specifications"). Consultant acknowledges that the Specifications include methods required to assure the integrity of Company's design and must be incorporated in the construction contracts to be entered into between Owner and the general contractor selected by Owner for the Construction Work, with only those modifications reasonably approved by Company in writing. Consultant further acknowledges that the General Conditions have been developed by Company to reflect its historical role as a consultant to golf course owners and their representatives relative to the Construction Work. The parties acknowledge that Owner is entitled to utilize such parts, if any, of Company's form of General Conditions with such changes as Owner's legal counsel may recommend; provided, however, that Company will not, under any circumstances,-be-liable to-Consultant, Owner-or any third-parties for-Company's failure as a subcontractor to discharge any responsibilities assigned to the "designer" under the final form of golf course construction agreement adopted by Owner or adhere to any procedures required by such construction contract that are not set forth in the General Conditions as furnished to Consultant by Company or otherwise required by this Agreement. Owner shall be responsible for obtaining appropriate legal representation in connection with the preparation and review of all construction contracts and documents that are necessary or prudent under applicable law, and the parties acknowledge that Company's provision of consulting services or forms of documents is not intended as legal advice by Company and shall not be relied upon as such by Owner.

## B. Consultation Concerning Implementation of Plan Documents

 personnel (the "Design Associate') to consult with Constitant and ownet on a regilar basis: The Design Associate will have the right to tevilew all issucs relating to the performance of the Construction Work ini order to assist Consultant and Owner in evaluating whether or not the PlanDocuments are implemented during the Construction Work. Company will direct the Design Associate to periodically visit the Golf Course site as deemed necessary by Company and Consultant in order to ascertain the contractor's actual adherence to the Plan Documents and Nicklaus' design concepts and to review his conclusions with Consultant, and if requested by Consultant, with Owner,

Consultant and Company acknowledge that Company reserves the right to review the Construction Work at such times and under such circumstances as it may deem appropriate in order to determine any contractor's substantial compliance with the design concepts and specifications as expressed in the Plan Documents. Company may, where appropriate, recommend that Owner disapprove or reject any work as failing to conform to the Plan Documents and relevant construction contracts, or Company may, with Consultant's and Owner's approval, make such adjustments to the design of the Golf Course as Company may deem appropriate, in its discretion, to conform the Plan Documents to any Construction Work asbuilt. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Company will not be responsibie for the performance of, or for any improper work by, the contractor or any subcontractor or specialty contractor performing any of the Construction Work, and the review of any Construction Work by Company will not release any contractor from its obligations to Owner to perform such work according to contract or relieve Consultant or any other sub-consultant from his duty to monitor the performance of such work. Company will not be required to supervise the performance of any contractor or subcontractor on behalf of Consultant, or to make exhaustive or continuous on-site inspections to check the quality or quantity of the Construction Work. Company will not be responsible for the means, methods, techniques, sequences, or procedures of construction, or the safety programs and precautions incident thereto, of any contractor retained by Owner to construct the Golf Course or of any subcontractor and Company will not be responsible for any contractor's or subcontractor's failure to perform the Construction Work in accordance with the Plan Documents.
4. Agronomy and Landscaping Consulting Services. Consultant acknowledges and understands that proper selection and care of turf grass and other plantings required in connection with the-Golf-Course- are essential-to-the maintenance-of-the-quality-standards associated with the Company's designs, and that the provisions of this Section are intended by the parties to identify the manner in which agronomy services required by Company in connection with the design of the Golf Course and by Consultant and Owner in connection with the construction and maintenance of the Golf Course will be provided.
A. Consultant shall provide the services of a qualified agronomist approved by Company to consult with Owner and Company's staff agronomist for a period commencing on or before the time Company requests production of the Plan Documents and expiring three (3) months after final grassing of the Golf Course. Consultant's agronomist will be responsible for reviewing and resolving any agronomic issues of the Site which affect the design of the Golf Course with Company's agronomist and for developing a grassing plan, grassing specifications

 quality standards for a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course. Company shall not be responsible for the means, methods or results of Owner's agronomy consultant, or for any judgrnents made
by such consultant relating to the development, implementation or modification of the final grassing and turf management plan for the Golf Course. However, Company shall have the right, at its cost, to have the Golf Course inspected by its staff agronomist or an independent agronomist selected by Company at any time and from time to time during the Term of Service or License Period in order to review and assist the parties in resolving agronomic issues which, in the reasonable opinion of Company, may adversely affect the proper grow in of turf surfaces or otherwise impact the Owner's ability to maintain the quality of the Golf Course as required under this Agreement.
B. The landscape architect and/or other qualified landscaping consultants retained by Consultant and/or Owner under the Master Contract will be responsible for developing initial landscaping plans and specifications for the other planted areas of the Golf Course for review and approval by Consultant and Owner, and Company shall have the right to review and approve such plans and specifications in order to assure that they are consistent with Company's design for the Golf Course. After approval of the preliminary plans and specifications, the landscape consultants will be responsible for preparation of final landscape plans and specifications consistent with the plans and specifications approved by Company and otherwise meeting the requirements of the Master Contract with respect to Iandscaping services. Such plans and specifications will include, at a minimum, an identification of the species, quantities and preferred sizes of plant materials other than turf grass to be utilized, planting locations and specifications, and such cost estimates, bid documents and detail drawings as required by Consultant under the Master Contract. Company will not be responsible for the means, methods, judgments or results of the landscaping consultants retained by Consultant and/or Owner, nor will Company be liable in any event for any costs, losses or liabilities incurred in connection with the development, implementation, or modification of the final landscape plans and/or landscaping specifications for the Golf Course or the resolution of any field issues regarding landscaping which may arise during the Construction Work. It is also understood that Company shall not be responsible or liable for the growth or performance of turf or landscaping seed or plant materials furnished to or installed by any contractor.
5. Marketing Rights and Services. During the License Term (as defined in Section 12), Owner is authorized to use the following intangible rights of Company (collectively, the "Endorsement") to advertise, publicize, and market the Golf Course, subject to the terms and conditions of this Section: (i) the names "Nicklaus Design", "Jack Nicklaus Signature", and "Jack Nicklaus", (ii) Nicklaus' likeness, facsimile signature, and other jdentifying information relating to his career as a professional golfer and golf course designer, (iii) Company's Nicklaus Design logo, and (iv) copies or replicas of plans, artist's renderings, or other documents or data files prepared by Company and delivered to Consultant and Owner. Owner acknowledges that Company does not have the right to authorize the use of the "Golden Bear" name, or any use of the Golden Bear symbol other than as a part of Company's Nicklaus Design logo (the "Golden Bear Marks"), that the right to use the Golden Bear Marks is not included in the Endorsement licensed to Owner hereunder, and that Owner will not be authorized under this Agreement to utilize the Golden Beal: Marks or any name or logo similar to such marks or derived therefrom in
 with Owner, as soon as practicable after the commencement of this Agreement; to determine a strategy consistent with the further terms of this Section and Company's eustomary standards and practices for using Nicklaus' role as designer to promote the Golf Course in advertising,
promotional, and public relations materials developed by Owner to promote the Golf Course and related recreational facilities developed by Owner at or adjacent to the Site.
A. Owner acknowledges and agrees that all uses of the Endorsement under this Agreement will be limited and directed to the role of Nicklaus and Company's staff in the design of the Golf Course. Owner is not authorized to utilize the Endorsement hereunder in any manner to represent or imply that.(i) Company or Nicklaus has any other role in real estate development activities being undertaken by Owner or its designees or concessionaires in connection with the Golf Course, (ii) any non-golf facilities or commercial real estate at or adjacent to the Site other than the Golf Course have been endorsed or approved by Company or Nicklaus, or (iii) Company or Nicklaus will be involved in, or either of them has endorsed or approved of, the membership structure, management, or operation of the Golf Course and/or any related recreational facilities developed by Owner in conjunction with the Golf Course. Subject to the foregoing, Owner may include references to the fact that Company and/or Nicklaus designed the Golf Course in promotional materials utilized by Consultant to promote recreational facilities and real estate at or adjacent to the Site which are developed with the Golf Course as part of a single multi-use recreational facility. Owner will be responsible for enforcing compliance with the provisions of this Section by all other parties involved with the Golf Course and related facilities, and for assuring that persons authorized by Owner to participate in the operation and marketing of the Golf Course or development or marketing of related facilities do not make any unauthorized or improper uses of the Endorsement in connection with such activities.
B. Owner may make authorized uses of the Endorsement in brochures, sales films and videotapes, press releases, and similar promotional materials and in print media advertisements. Owner will not in any way make or permit any use of any part of the Endorsement without the express written approval of Company prior to use, which approvals are reserved by Company in order to assure that the form and context in which the Endorsement is used meets the positioning and stylistic requirements and quality standards generally applied by the Company to its licensees of Jack Nicklaus Signature ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ golf courses. The parties acknowledge that Company's review of materials under this Subsection will thus be limited to determining the manner in-which the Endorsement-is utilized, and Owner will be entitled to develop the other content of such materials and will be solely responsible for the accuracy and completeness of such content. If requested by Owner, Company will approve standard advertising formats for use of the Endorsement, which may be utilized thereafter in the same manner approved by Company without any further approval required of Company. Owner will submit a sample of any proposed use of the Endorsement to Company for approval prior to use, and Company will use its best efforts to respond to Owner within ten (10) days of its receipt of each sample. Unless otherwise agreed in writing by Company, samples submitted for approval will require actual production photography, text, and layouts for print media uses and proposed final edits for audiovisual media uses. Owner acknowledges that it is essential for the protection of the reputation and financial interests of Company, Nicklaus, and Company's design clients (including Owner) that Company has continuing control over the manner in which the Endorsementianutilized to marketgolf courses designed by Company Accordingly in the eyent that any use of the Efdorsement atithorizedumder this section becontes teasonably objectionthe to Company due to subsequent circumstances or events, Owner will, as soon as reasonably practicable after receift of a writter notice from Company requesting such termination and stating a good faith reason for such request, terminate the objectionable use, and to use its best
efforts to terminate the continued use by other parties authorized by Owner to participate in marketing activities, of any advertising, promotional, or publicity materials that make such objectionable use of the Endorsement.
C. Owner is also authorized to photograph and record images of the likenesses and voices of Nicklaus and Company's staff in connection with site visits and public relations activities performed in connection with the Golf Course, provided that any such materials and artwork or transcriptions made from such materials shall be considered part of the Endorsement and subject to the terms and restrictions of this Section 5. Owner will fumish Company with copies of all photographs taken, film and videotape footage shot, and audio recordings made by or on behalf of Owner which involve Nicklaus, and Company will have the right to use, free of charge, all or any part of such materials and any reproductions thereof.
D. It is understood that Owner may assign its rights and delegate its duties under this Section to independent contractors retained by Owner and/or persons authorized by Owner to operate the Golf Course (the "Marketing Representatives"), and Company agrees to provide its services directly to a Marketing Representative subject to Company's prior receipt of a written notice from Owner describing the authority of such Marketing Representative and a written acknowledgment from such Marketing Representative of its receipt of a copy of this Agreement and agreement to conduct its activities hereunder according to the terms and conditions set forth herein.
6. Shaping Services. Consultant recogrizes the importance of the final shaping work for the Golf Course in order to assure that the special features generally associated with a golf course designed by Company are incorporated into the Golf Course. Therefore, Consultant has agreed to obtain the approval of Company as to the subcontractor of the prime construction contractor retained by Owner to perform such shaping work. Although Company will not employ or contract with the shaper for the Golf Course, and will not be responsible for the means, methods, or results utilized to perform the work assigned to him, Consultant acknowledges that Company and Nicklaus will establish design parameters for the shaping work and will have the right to approve or request the modification of final shaping as part of the field design services provided unḍer this Agreement.
7. Consultant's Responsibilities. So that Company can adequately perform its duties herein:
A. Consultant will provide to Company full, accurate, and complete information regarding the requirements of Consultant and Owner for the Golf Course, and Consultant will use its best efforts to notify Company regarding the requirements and/or recommendations of Owner and other govermmental agencies and third party consultants retained by Consultant and Owner that may restrict or otherwise impact the design of the Golf Course.
B. Consultant will employ a qualified and experienced project manager on a fulltime pasis to supervise the pe formance of the Construction Work and to represent Owiner in its
 poist mather render decisions required by Company pertaining to the Golf Course promptly during the
development and implementation of the Plan Documents in order to avoid any unnecessary delay in the progress of the services to be performed by Company under this Agreement.
C. Consultant will furnish, at no charge to Company, before Company prepares the final routing plan for the Golf Course, a certified land survey illustating grades and lines of streets and adjoining properties, rights-of-way, restrictions, easements, zoning, deed restrictions, boundaries and contours of the site, locations, dimensions, and complete data pertaining to existing buildings, other improvements, and trees, and full information conceming available service and utility lines, both public and private, above and below grade, including inverts and depths, aerial photographs, topographical maps, soil reports, and other information relative to Owner's site as Company may reasonably require (the "Site Documents"). All pertinent information will be provided by Consultant to Company in CAD format acceptable to Company.
D. To the extent not otherwise furnished by Owner, Consultant will be respansible for retaining the services of qualified professional consultants under the Master Contract to review the final routing plan and Plan Documents where required, in order to assure compliance with all applicable laws and regulations affecting the site, iucluding but not limited to environmental, wetlands, land use, zoning, and other similar matters. Company agrees to work with such consultants as required in the design process. Consultant will supply Company with copies of all construction, engineering, zoning, environmental, and other regulations applicable to the proposed site of the Golf Course as requested by Company. Although Company will take care to prepare the final routing plan and Plan Documents in compliance with such regulations, it will be Consultant's and Owner's responsibility to ensure such compliance. If requested to do so by Consultant or Owner, Company will adjust the final routing plan and Plan Documents to conform with such regulations.

In connection with the foregoing, Consultant and/or Owner will employ the services of licensed engineers for the purpose of designing the storm drainage system for the Golf Course, bridges, walls, cart paths, and any other facilities or structures which require the services of an engineer. Such engineers will also be responsible for advising Company regarding the impact of applicable regulations-and-enginecring-practices-upon Company's-Plan-Documents and-for coordinating the storm drainage system with other drainage features of the Golf Course and Site. Consultant acknowledges that Company's recommendations (as set forth in the Plan Documents) for storm water drainage, conceptual cart path locations, soil and materials movement and placement, and other similar recommendations must be reviewed and confirmed by qualified licensed engineers, who must be retained by Consultant and/or Owner at their cost and expense.
E. If required under the Master Contract, Consultant or Owner will retain the services of a qualified soils engineer, who will provide Company with an analysis of the Golf Course site. In such event, Consultant will submit a completed set of Plan Documents to the soils engineer for analysis. If the soils engineer makes any suggested modifications to the Plan Documents, Company will make such modifications at no charge to Consultant, except for such

F. Consultant will aiso furnish the services of any other qualified consültänts at no additional cost to Company or Owner when sutich services are required due to requirements of the

Master Contract, or are deemed necessary or appropriate by Company and Consultant. Such consultants may include irrigation consultants, planners, landscape architects, and other professionals. In addition to the general requirements of this subsection and the specific requirements of Section 4, above, Consultant acknowledges that Consultant will be responsible for retaining the services of a licensed landscape architect or equivalent to review the Plan Documents and Technical Specifications as provided by Company if and to the extent that such review is required under applicable local laws or regulations and to furnish those services with respect to the development and implementation of final Plan Documents and Technical Specifications, if any, that can only be furnished by a licensed landscape architect or equivalent under such laws and regulations.

The services, information, surveys, and reports required by this Section 7 to be provided to Company at no cost to Company. Consultant agrees that Company will be entitled to rely upon the accuracy and completeness of such services, information, surveys, and reports.
8. Company's Fee. For the services performed and rights granted hereunder, Consultant will pay Company a design and marketing fee of One Million One Hundred TwentyFive Thousand U.S. Dollars (US $\$ 1,125,000$ ), (the "Fee"), which Fee will be due and payable by Consultant on a non-refundable basis as follows:
A. Ten Percent (10\%) of the Fee upon delivery to Consultant of Company's initial proposed routing plan for the Golf Course, which the parties contemplate will occur at or about the time of execution of this Agreement. (If Consultant permanently abandons the Golf Course project and does not request Company to commence preparation of the Plan Documents, Consultant's payment obligations under this Agreement will be limited to the amount provided for in this Subsection 8A, plus payment of any reimbursable expenses incurred by Company under Section 9.)
B. Twenty Percent ( $20 \%$ ) of the Fee at the time Consultant requests Company to commence preparation of the Plan Documents (the "Design Commencement Date").
C. Thirty Percent (30\%) of the Fee at the time Company notifies Consultant in writing that the Plan Documents are ready for delivery. Delivery of the Plan Documents shall be conditioned on Company's receipt of all the sums due under Subsections 8A, B and C.
D. Forty Percent ( $40 \%$ ) of the Fee in eight (8) equal consecutive quarterly installments, with the first installment being payable upon the commencement of the Construction Work and subsequent quarterly installments being payable on the same day of each calendar quarter thereafter until the remaining Forty Percent (40\%) of the Base Fee is paid in full, provided that any remaining installments of Company's Fee under this Agreement will be accelerated and payable at such earlier time, if any, as Consultant completes his services for Owner in connection with the Construction Work. For purposes of this Section 8D, the
 date Owner's contractors first commence any actual clearing, earthrioving or othee construction activities at the site of the Golf Course by the Golf Coürse contractor after the Design Commencement Date to prepare the Golf Course site or implement any of the Plan Documents
prepared by Company or any plans or drawings for the Golf Course infrastructure prepared by third parties to implement the Plan Documents, or (b) the date of the Design Associate's first field visit after preparation of the Plan Documents to consult on site with Consultant and Owner's contractors under Section 3, above. It is understood that the conduct of any preliminary clearing or earthmoving activities prior to the Design Commencement Date for the sole purpose of identifying site features or locating proposed routings or centerlines for the Golf Course or constructing non-golf infrastructure for adjacent real estate shall not be deemed "commencement of the Construction Work".

If, for any reason, Consultant, Owner or any of their professional consultants requests Company to make changes to the design of the Golf Course which affect the preparation or use of any of the Plan Documents after their approval of the final routing, the parties will negotiate in good faith with Owner an appropriate increase in the Fee.

If any payment due to Company has not been made more than thirty (30) days after it is due, Company may suspend the use of the Endorsement by written notice to Consultant, Owner and any Marketing Representative(s), which suspension shall be effective until such payment is made.
9. Expenses. Subject to the limitation provided herein, Consultant will pay or reimburse Company for all reasonable expenses incurred by Company and its personnel for travel (including transportation costs, (iving accommodations, and meals), telephone, facsimile, and telex charges, postage and express delivery charges, and other related expenses in connection with performing Company's services, promptly upon Company's submission of periodic statements to Consultant. It is understood that Consultant's obligations to pay or reimburse expenses under this Section shall be limited to an aggregate amount of One Hundred TwentyFive Thousand Dollars ( $\$ 125,000$ ), and any expenses incurred by Company and its personnel in excess of such limitation will be borne solely by Company from the Fee payable under Section 8 , above. When Nicklaus visits the site, he will travel by private aircraft, helicopter, and other expedient methods of transportation, and Company will allocate a share of his expenses for private-aircraft-travel-to-such site visit based-upon other travel scheduled in conjunction with such visit, which allocated share shall be invoiced by Company to Consultant for payment hereunder.
10. Changes in Golf Course. If the Golf Course is not constructed substantially in accordance with plans and specifications prepared or furnished by Company or approved by Company in writing, or if any substantial change is subsequently made to the Golf Course without the written approval of Company with respect to both design concept and construction execution, then Company will have the right to terminate this Agreement and the License Term as provided in Section 12, below, and thereafter Owner will not have the right to state or represent in any manner that the Golf Course was designed by Company and/or by Nicklaus or to use the Endorsement in any manner in relation to the Golf Course. Consultant acknowledges
golf course design work from third parties or construct any additions or modifications to the Golf Course without the prior express written consent of Company.
11. Assignment. This Agreement will be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties and their respective successors and assigns; provided that neither this Agreement nor any rights hereunder may be assigned directly or indirectly by either party without first receiving the prior written consent of the other party. Notwithstanding the foregoing, without such consent, (a) Company may assign this Agreement to another entity which controls, or is controlled by or under common control with Company, (b) Company may assign its rights to payment of some or all of its compensation hereunder, and (c) Consultant may assign this Agreement to Owner or a party designated by Owner in the event of a termination of the Master Contract, subject to the cure of any events of default by Consultant and assumption by such assignee of Consultant's remaining obligations under this Agreement. In addition, pursuant to the terms and conditions set forth in Section 19, below, this Agreement shall automatically be assigned by Consultant to Owner upon expiration or earlier termination of the Master Contract. In the event that Owner subsequently sells or otherwise transfers the Golf Course or operation of the Golf Course, including a temporary transfer to a person authorized to operate and/or market the Golf Course under a lease, subcontract or concession arrangement with Owner, to a responsible person or entity which agrees in writing to assume Owner's obligations under this Agreement and to maintain the integrity of the Golf Course as designed by Company, Company agrees that it will not unreasonably withhold or deny its consent to an assignment of this Agreement or subcontract of Owner's rights hereunder as a part of such trausfer.
12. Term and Termination. Unless this Agreement is earlier terminated as provided herein: (i) the period during which Company will render its design and consulting services under this Agreement (the "Term of Service") will commence upon execution of this Agreement and continue until Owner's acceptance of the Construction Work, and (ii) the period during which Owner will be authorized to utilize the Endorsement (the "License Term") will commence upon execution of this Agreement and continue indefinitely unless the Golf Course is modified without compliance with the requirements of Section 10 . Company may terminate this Agreement, including the Term of Service-and the License Term, by-giving written-notice, and a corresponding thirty (30) day right to cure, to Consultant and Owner upon the occurrence of any of the following events or circumstances: (i) if any design requirements of the Golf Course site or changes required by Consultant or Owner conflict with Nicklaus' design standards for a Jack Nicklaus Signature course, (ii) if the Construction Work has not commenced within two (2) years after the date of this Agreement, provided that this period shall be extended for a period of time equal to the total period of all extensions of time for Consultant's performance of the Master Contract, if any, which may be granted by Owner to Consultant under Article 4 of Part III of the Master Contract, (iii) if Owner abandons the Golf Course project, (iv) if Consultant or its successors in interest fail to make any payment to Company when due, provided however that, notwithstanding the cure period set forth above, Consultant and Owner shall have a six month period to cure such non-payment before Company may terminate this Agreement, the Term of
 without Company's prior approval in the manner required under Section 5 " hereof, or turing thé period of any suspenision of Owner's rights under Section 8 ; above, (vi) in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of Consultant and/or Owner, provided, however, that in the event this

Agreement is assigned to Owner in connection with the bankruptcy or insolvency of Consultant, the City shall have a reasonable period of time, not to exceed one year from the filing date of such bankruptcy, to secure the services of an alternate consultant to Company who is ready, willing and able to complete the performance of Consultant's obligations to Company under this Agreement and the Master Agreement, and upon Company's reasonable approval of such alternate consultant and such consultant's assumption of such obligations, Company agrees to waive the right to terminate vis a vis the Owner based on Consultant's bankruptcy or insolvency, (vii) if Owner does not operate the Golf Course at a quality level consistent with Company's and/or Nicklaus' reasonable standards, (viii) if, in connection with the Golf Course, Owner does anything to discredit or otherwise adversely affect or diminish Company's and/or Nicklaus' name, stature, or reputation, or if any circumstances arise which cause Company's and/or Nicklaus' association with Consultant and/or Owner in connection with the Golf Course to reflect adversely upon Company and/or Nicklaus, or (ix) in the event of any other material breach of this Agreement by Consultant or Owner.

Consultant may terminate this Agreement by giving written notice thereof to Company in the event of any material breach of this Agreement by Company, in the event of the bankruptcy or insolvency of Company, or in the event Consultant terminates the Master Contract without assignment of this Agreement to Owner or a designated successor to Consultant as provided in Section 11, above. Consultant's election to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this Section 12 will not constitute a waiver of any claims Consultant may have against Company for breach of this Agreement, and any such termination by Consultant will not release Company from any liabilities incurred prior to the effective date of such termination.

Upon termination of this Agreement during the Term of Service, for any reason provided for in this Section 12, Company will have no further obligation to perform any services under this Agreement or to deliver any further Plan Documents or other written materials to Consultant or Owner, and except as expressly provided herein, Consultant and Owner will cease using and will have no further right to use, in any manner whatsoever any of the Plan Documents or any other written or electronically recorded materials prepared by Company under this Agreement. Within thirty (30) days after any-such termination, Consultant shall return and-shall-cause-Owner to xeturn to Company all such materials in printed or written form or otherwise recorded on permanent media which are in their possession, custody or control or within the possession, custody or control of any contractor or consultant retained by Consultant and/or Owner, and Consultant shall assure that any such materials stored in erasable electronic form are permanently destroyed and certify that such destruction has been completed in a written notice to Company. In the event that this Agreement is terminated during the Term of Service as a result of a material breach by Company after approval of a final routing plan for the Golf Course, Consultant and Owner shall have the limited right (but shall not be required) to utilize such final routing plan and design concepts reflected in any Plan Documents delivered by Company prior to the termination date to serve as the basis for a new design of the Golf Course by a successor designer retained by Consultant and/or Owner to complete the design of the Golf Course. As a
 successor designer shall be solely responsible for the timal design of the Golf Course, and Company shall be filly indemnified by Owner under Section 13 of this Agreement fron any and all claims made against Company as a resült of Owner's use of Company's intellectual property
in the final design of the Golf Course, including, in such event only, any claim that Company or any other indemnified party was negligent in the preparation of the final routing or any other intellectual property utilized by the successor designer in the preparation of final plans for the Golf Course.

Upon termination of this Agreement during the License Term, Consultant will cease using and will have no further right to use any part of the Endorsement to identify and/or market the Golf Course, and Consultant will be responsible for assuring that any such use is terminated by all parties involved in the development or marketing of the Golf Course and any related facilities or real estate within thirty (30) days after the effective date of such termination.

In the event this Agreement is terminated, Consultant will remain obligated to pay any unpaid amount of Company's Fee already due and payable, and to pay Company for any unpaid or unreimbursed expenses already incurred under Section 9, but otherwise Consultant will not be obligated to make any further fee payments under Section 8 or expense payments or reimbursements under Section 9.

Upon termination of this Agreement for any reason provided for in this Section 12, the following rights and obligations of the parties under this Agreement will survive suich termination: (i) Company's ownership rights relative to the copyright and all other intellectual property rights in and to the Plan Documents and all other plans or other written or electronically recorded materials prepared by Company and delivered to Consultant or Owner; (ii) all obligations and liabilities of the parties hereunder already accrued at the time of such termination; (iii) the liability and indemnity obligations of the parties under Section 13 with respect to claims that arose (whether or not asserted) prior to such termination; and (iv) the arbitration provisions in Section 16.
13. Liability. During the Term of Service, Company will be liable to Consultant for damages caused by Company's breach of this Agreement, and Consultant will be liable to Company for damages caused by Consultant's breach of this Agreement, provided that neither party will be liable to the other for any consequential or incidental damages arising out of such a breach. Company agrees that, during the Term of Service, it will not make any claim for damages against the Owner, its officers, agents or employees, by reason of this Agreement, or any acts or omissions of the Consultant, provided that such agreement shall not prevent Company from specifically enforcing any its rights granted to Owner as a beneficiary of this Agreement. After expiration of the Term of Service, and for the remainder of the License Term, Company will be liable to Owner for damages caused by Company's breach of this Agreement, and Owner will be liable to Company for damages caused by Owner's breach of this Agreement, provided that neither party will be liable to the other for any consequential or incidental damages arising out of such a breach. In the cyent a third party asserts any claim relating to the Golf Course, Consultant and Owner shall be required to indemnify, defend, and hold harmless Company, its officers, directors, employees, consultants, and agents, and Nicklaus against and from any and all liabilities, lossés, costs, expenses, or damages incurred by them as a result of
 unless such claim results from a breach of this Agreement by Company or negligence or misconduct of the person seeking indemnification. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing indemnity, the parties acknowledge and agree that: (i) Company will be indemnified
by Consultant from claims arising out of the acts, errors, omissions, strict liability duties, and/or financial obligations of Consultant and its other subconsultants under the Master Contract in connection with the design and construction of the Golf Course (other than design work performed by Company) or any related facilities or structures required under the Master Contract, and (ii) Company will be indemnified by Owner or its subcontractors from claims arising out of the acts, errors, omissions, strict liability duties, and/or financial obligations of Owner and/or its independent contractors in connection with the construction, operation, use, and maintenance of the Golf Course, any related facilities or structures, and/or any associated real estate development. Consultant and Owner will use their best efforts to have Company and Nicklaus named as additional indemnified parties in all third party contracts relating to the development, construction, and operation of the Golf Course and related facilities, provided that the inclusion or omission of such names will not prevent Company and Nicklaus from enforcing their indemnification rights under this Section 13 or asserting their legal or equitable rights as intended third-party beneficiaries of any indemnification provided to Consultant or Owner by such parties.

Company and Nicklaus will in no event be responsible or liable for any improper performance by the contractor or any subcontractor, or any independent professionals retained by Consultant and/or Owner, or any testing laboratory, or for the installation or use of any improper or defective materials or equipment or structures on the Golf Course, or for the failure of any materials or equipment to perform in the manner expected or specified. Consultant acknowledges that the foregoing provision is necessary in order to allow Company to have free access to information regarding the work of independent third parties without assuming any obligation to Consultant or Owner to assure or monitor their performance, and Consultant and Owner hereby waive any claims either of them might otherwise assert under any applicable legal theory which would conflict with such provision.
14. Insurance Throughout the progress of the Construction Work, Consultant and Company shall each effect and maintain in force, at its own cost, all insurance which they are required to maintain by Owner pursuant to the Master Contract. Company shall provide Consultant and-Owner with-evidence-of-insurance as-required under the Master Contract- and Consultant shall furnish Company with copies of all certificates of insurance provided to Owner by Consultant and its other sub-consultants to evidence the insurance carried by them in order to meet the requirements of the Master Contract.
15. Notices and Payments. Notices between the parties will be in writing and will be deemed to have been properly given if delivered by express courier service or by U.S. mail, return receipt requested, to the address of the receiving party as set forth on the first page of this Agreement, or if sent by facsimile to the number set forth on the execution page hereof. Either party may change the address or facsimile number for notices as stated in this Agreement by giving written notice of a change of such address or phone number to the other party, which change shall beeffective when such notice is duly given in the manner provided under this Section. Notices will bereffective on the date of receipt if sent by mail or courier and facsimile notices will be effective on the next bushess day tomowng the date of wonfination of ethorffee tailinitision: Any notice refused by a party or retumed to the sender as undeliverable as a result of the recipient's failure to provide the sender with a current and active office address will be deemed effective as of the date delivery of such notice is first attempted. Paymient hereunder to

Company will be made in U.S. Dollars and will be wired to such bank accounts as Company specifies.
16. Arbitration. All disputes between Consultant and Company arising out of this Agreement or the rights or obligations of Consultant and Company hereunder will be finally settled by binding arbitration before a sole arbittator nominated or appointed in accordance with the Commercial Arbitration Rules of the American Arbitration Association then obtaining (the "AAA Rules"), and each of them hereby agrees to submit all such disputes to arbitration. Arbitration proceedings will be conducted in Palm Beach County, Florida. The AAA Rules will govern the conduct of all such proceedings, the submission of evidence, and the procedures to be used in any evidentiary hearings conducted by the arbitrator. Each party agrees that the foregoing agreement to arbitrate, and any award rendered in connection with any such arbitration, may be enforced against such party in any court having jurisdiction over such party, and that Consultant hereby agrees to submit to the personal jurisdiction of the state and federal courts located in Palm Beach County, Florida, in connection with any action to enforce the foregoing agreement to arbitrate or any award rendered by an arbitrator under authority of this Agreement.
17. Late Payment Charges. Any unpaid installment of Company's fee or any expense reimbursement to Company due and payable under this Agreement will bear interest at the rate of twelve percent ( $12 \%$ ) per annum from its due date until paid in full. So long as Consultant is in arrears with respect to any payment due to Company, Company's obligations under this Agreement will be suspended. Company will be entitled to recover its reasonable expenses and attorneys' fees incurred in connection with efforts to collect payments in arrears and late charges, regardless of whether or not legal action is instituted to collect such payments. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Company agrees that Consultant shall not be required to advance any payment due to Company and that Company will not seek to collect interest or attomeys fees from Consultant hereunder if Consultant's delay in payment is caused by normal payment cycles imposed by Owner under the Master Contract, provided that such agreement shall not be deemed a waiver or modification of any of Company's other rights for non-payment under this Agreement.
18. -Miscellaneous- - In performing its-services under-this-Agreement, Company agrees to be bound by those additional terms and conditions set forth in Exhibit " $B$ " annexed hereto and incorporated herein by reference, which terms and conditions are acknowledged by the parties to be required in order to meet specific requirements imposed by Owner under the Master Contract with respect to subcontracts undertaken by Consultant. Except as otherwise expressly stated to the contrary in Exhibit " B ", and as between Consultant and Company, this Agreement will be construed in accordance with and govemed by the internal laws of the State of Florida. Disputes between Company and Owner under this Agreement will be construed in accordance with and governed by the internal laws of the State of New York, provided however, that all matters related to the ownership, licensing and use of the Endorsement shall be determined by the internal laws of the State of Florida as the law of the domicile of Nicklaus and the Company as his authorized representative. This written Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the patie relating to the sybject matter hereof and is the final expression of the agreement
 counterparts, including counterparts transmitted and delivered by facsimile or electronic mail, which when taken together, shall be fully effective as if both parties had signed one and the same document. Any and all claims asserted by or against the Owner arising under this Agreement or
related thereto shall be heard and determined either in the courts of the United States located in New York City ("Federal Court") or in the courts of the State of New York ("New York State Courts") located in the City and County of New York.
19. Assigument of Agreement to Owner. The parties acknowledge that the Master Contract provides for Consultant to design and provide construction management services to Owner for the Golf Course and related facilities on a "tum-key" basis, with the understanding that the Owner will contract for the construction of the Golf Course and related facilities and, upon completion of the Construction Work, will own and receive the ultimate benefit from such facilities and will be responsible for their management, operation and maintenance. It is understood that Owner shall be entitled, as a third party beneficiary of this Agreement, to utilize the Company's intellectual property in its Golf Course design, to exercise those rights of review and approval reserved to Owner under this Agreement and the Master Contract, to utilize the Endorsement as provided in Section 5 of this Agreement, and to receive all other benefits of being the Owner as set forth in this Agreement, subject in all cases to compliance with the obligations, terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement with respect to such matters. The parties agree that Owner is a signatory to this Agreement for the sole purpose of giving effect to the preceding sentence, and neither Consultant nor Company shall have any rights against Owner for breach by Consultant or Company of obligations of one to the other. The parties have further agreed that this Agreement shall automatically be assigned by the Consultant to the Owner upon expiration or earlier termination of the Master Contract for the remainder of the License Term, subject to the further terms and conditions of this Section. In the event that the Master Contract is terminated during the Term of Service, Owner acknowledges that the obligations of Company to perform its remaining services under this Agreement shall be conditioned upon the Company's receipt of adequate assurances from Owner that Owner or a designated substitute consultant reasonably acceptable to Company will perform all remaining obligations of Consultant under this Agreement (including outstanding payment obligations) and the Master Contract with respect to the design of the Golf Course and upon Owner's cure of any outstanding defaults of Consultant under this Agreement at the time the Master Contract is terminated. Upon completion of the Master Contract and acceptance of Consultant's design work thercunder by Owner,-Owner shall-provide Company with witten-notice of such acceptance, at which time Company's remaining obligations to Consultant and Owner as a service provider under this Agreement shall be deemed to have been fully satisfied without prejudice to the further rights and obligations thereafter of Company and Owner for the remainder of the License Term.
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This Agreement was executed by the parties as of August $\int 4,2008$.
COMPANY:
NICKLAUS DESIGN, ILL


Facsimile No. (561) 227-0302

OWNER:
THE CITY OF NEW YORK.
Acting by and through its Department of Parks and Recreation


Its: Chief Maraqu pant Sun con, ACC
CONSULTANT:
SANFORD GOLF DESIGN


Facsimile No. 6616918603


APPROVED AS TO FORM
CERTIFIED AS TO LEGAL AUTHORITY

## Store Stain Qoph

Acting Corporation Counsel
AUG 202008

## EXIIBITT "A"

DESCRIPTION OT THE SITE

## EXHIBIT "B"

## Additional Terms Required under Master Contract

1. The parties acknowledge that the effectiveness of foregoing Agreement is conditioned upon the submission by the Company of a thoroughly completed Vendex questionnaire to the party designated by Owmer under the Master Contract, and that Owner has reserved the right to reject Company as a subcontractor if it has been evaluated as a poor performer by the Owner or any other governmental entity, has Advices of Cautions against it listed in the City-wide Vendex system, or for any other reason which might cause the Owner to reject the Company if the Owner were contracting directly with the sub-consultant. Company understands and acknowledges that any material misrepresentation or failure to disclose pertinent information on the Vendex by the Company shall give the Owner's designated representative, at such representative's discretion, the right to terminate the Company and allow no payment to be made for work performed under the Agreement, provided that in such event, all rights of Consultant and Owner under the Agreement shall thereupon terminate as provided in Section 12 of the Agreement.
2. In the event that Consultant is unable to perform the services set forth in the Master Contract, the parties agree that Consultant may assign the Agreement to Owner or Owner's designee pursuant to Part I, Article 5 of the Master Contract and Section 11 of the Agreement, provided that Company's consent to such assignment will not be unreasonably withheld or delayed if the assignee agrees to be bound by the obligations of Consultant under the Agreement and has the resources and experience required to perform Consultant's obligations to Company under the Agreement during the Term of Service.
3. As required by the applicable terms of Part III, Article 32 of the Master Contract, which are hereby incorporated by reference into the foregoing Agreement, Company agrees that it shall be governed by New York State Labor Law § 220 -e and New York City Administrative Code § 6-108 in connection with the performance of services under this Agreement in the State and City of New York.
4. The parties understand that the Master Contract is subject to the requirements of Executive Order No. 50 (1980) as revised ("E,O. 50 ") and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder, and that Company will be subject to those requirements and potential sanctions set forth in Part III, Article 38, of the Master Contract applicable to the performance of Company's services under this Agreement. Company agrees to furnish reasonable cooperation to Consultant as requested in order to permit Consultant to comply with the requirements of Owner pursuant to Part III, Article 38, of the Master Contract.

## EXHIBIT M

## Maintenance Guidelines

## Maintenance Guidelines for Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park

The following specifications are for the care of Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park, with the intention being to provide a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course. Careful planning and work will be taken to ensure that the design of the golf course remains intact as the designers intended. Any and all of these specifications may be altered as weather or conditions dictate at the superintendent's reasonable discretion

## GREENS

Greens will be cut daily prior to play with walking greens mowers at a height ranging from . $105^{\prime \prime}$ to $.140^{\prime \prime}$ as conditions permit. Greens may be cut with triplex type mowers for verticutting/ dethatching operations. Green collars and cleanups shall be cut three times a week or as conditions permit. Greens will also be rolled 2-3 times per week with turf type rollers to promote a smooth putting surface with speeds staying consistent from $10-10.5$ on the stimpmeter. The greens will remain smooth, firm and true at all times except for the period of time following core aerification. Mowing directions will be varied each mowing accord to the clock direction method. Original green outlines to be maintained to original design unless approved by Parks and Nicklaus Design using best mowing practices

Greens will be core aerified a minimum of two times a year, once in the spring and once in late August or as required to relieve compaction, vent the subsurface, and/or to control thatch. This will be done with tines ranging from $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ to $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ diameter at the superintendents discretion. The cores are to be removed. Following aerification the greens will be top dressed with sand that is compatible with the existing root zone. Thatch must not be allowed to accumulate to a depth of more than $3 / 4$ inch from the surface base.

A regular, light topdressing program will be followed on the greens throughout the growing season with sand that is determined by laboratory testing to be compatible to the existing root zone. The sand will either be brushed or watered in to minimize disturbance to golfers.

Greens are to remain relatively grain free through a regular grooming, verticutting and/or brushing program as conditions permit. Grooming, verticutting and brushing shall be scheduled to minimize disturbance to golfers.

A fertility program will be followed that avoids allowing the plant to become too lean or promote excessive growth and puffiness. Care will be taken to ensure accurate applications that avoid unnecessary run off and/or leaching. All amendment and fertilizer shall be blended to the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course. All programs to be based on soil and tissue test results. Soil and tissue tests to be administered three times starting in spring.

Greens shall be hand watered as a supplement to automatic irrigation to prevent the turfgrass from becoming excessively dry and to promote firm and fast playing conditions. Overhead irrigation will be used as needed to flush salt from greens, water in fertilizer and pesticide applications and topdressing. Irrigation methods shall be at the superintendent's discretion with the goal being to provide a firm fast surface on a daily basis as conditions dictate.

Greens are to remain relatively weed, disease and pest free through use of Best Management Practices and a sound Integrated Pest Management program. Special attention will be given to minimizing Poa annua invasion by utilizing industry and university recommended programs to discourage Poa annua and encourage healthy bentgrass growth.

Any blemishes or damage to the greens shall be removed as soon as possible using plugs of similar turfgrass. Greens will be vented through the use of needle tine aerification and/or spiking as conditions dictate throughout the season. This will be seheduled to minimize disturbance to golfers.

Greens shall be maintained to the designed perimeter dimensions and care shall be taken to prevent the encroachment of unwanted grasses.

Greens shall be protected against winter desiccation and winter diseases as required using industry standard Best Management Practices.

## TEES

Tees will be cut three times a week with a walking and/or triplex mower in a manner that produces aesthetically appealing striping. Care shall be taken to prevent excessive grain from forming in the turf. The height of cut shall range from .300 " to $.400^{\prime \prime}$ as conditions permit. Original tee outlines to be maintained to original design unless approved by Parks and Nicklaus Design using best mowing practices.

Tees will be core aerified a minimum of two times a year, once in the spring and once in late August, with tines ranging from $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ to $3 / 4 "$. Following aerification the tees will be topdressed with sand that is deemed compatible with the existing root zone by laboratory testing.

Tees are to be topdressed and verticut a minimum of two times per year or as often as necessary to provide a healthy upright plant. Topdress as required to level any depressions in the tee and provide a best Thatch Management program.

Divots on tees will be filled with the originally specified seed and an appropriate sand mixture on a daily basis to promote maximum recovery from divots, with additional emphasis on par 3 tees as these receive additional wear. Any damage from maintenance equipment or golfer wear shall be repaired in a timely fashion.

A fertility program will be followed so as to allow for rapid recovery from divots and wear without producing excessive growth. All programs based on soil and tissue test results. Soil and tissue will be tested three times starting in the spring. All amendments and fertilizers shall be blended to the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course.

Tees are to remain relatively weed, disease and pest free through use of Best Management Practices and a sound Integrated Pest Management program.

Tees shall be watered to prevent excessive turf stress and desiccation while still providing a firm playing surface.

Tees shall be maintained to the designed perimeter dimensions and care shall be taken to prevent the encroachment of unwanted grasses.

Tees shall be protected against winter desiccation and winter diseases as required using industry standard Best Management Practices.

## FAIRWAYS

Fairways will be mowed at least three times per week during the growing season or as required to produce a clean, uniform playing surface. Mowers will vary direction based on standard golf course practices at a height ranging from $.375^{\prime \prime}$ to $.500^{\prime \prime}$ as conditions permit. Care shall be taken to ensure that the original contours and shape of the fairways are maintained.

Irrigation heads and drain covers are to be regularly edged so as not to interfere with water flowing into or out of said objects.

Fairways will be aerified a minimum of two times a year or as necessary to prevent excessive compaction or thatch development. Cores may be removed or verticut and/or dragged back into the surface at the discretion of the superintendent. Superintendent shall create a best practice plan for thatch control including sound management and a topdressing program

Divots shall be filled weekly with the originally specified seed and sand mix that promotes rapid recovery.

Fairways shall be verticut and groomed a minimum of two times a year to promote healthy upright growth during the growing season.

Fairways are to remain relatively weed, disease and pest free through use of Best Management Practices and a sound Integrated Pest Management program.

Fairways shall be watered to prevent excessive turf stress and desiccation while still providing a firm playing surface. Hand or portable watering may be necessary to supplement automatic irrigation.

A fertility program will be followed so as to allow for rapid recovery from divots and wear without producing excessive growth. All programs based on soil and tissue test results. Soil and tissue to be tested two times starting in spring.

Original Fairway outlines are to be maintained to original design unless approved by Parks and Nicklaus Design using best mowing practices.

## ROUGHS

Formal roughs shall be mowed at least one complete cycle a week, or as necessary to maintain heights between 2 " to 3 ". Direction should be reversed for each mowing to avoid grass from lying over. Intermediate roughs shall be mowed three times a week at a height of 1 to $1 \frac{1}{4}$ inch, reversing direction each mowing.

Roughs shall be aerified twice a year or as necessary to alleviate compaction in wear areas.
Overseeding with the same originally specified seed mixture shall occur as necessary in wear areas to promote turfgrass recovery in the spring and fall seasons.

Roughs are to remain relatively weed and pest free through use of Best Management Practices and a sound Integrated Pest Management program.

A fertility program will be established to promote healthy turfgrass without causing excessive growth. All programs will be based on soil and tissue test results. Soil and tissue to be tested at least once a season. All amendments and fertilizers shall be blended to the highest golf course standards.

Native areas will be mowed as necessary to keep these areas natural as they were intended to look. Native areas will be completely mowed in the late fall to promote healthy spring growth. Native area maintenance to include watering as needed for healthy turf, disease, pest and weed control with fertilization only as needed to maintain health and stamina.

## BUNKERS

Fairway bunkers are to be completely raked three times a week or as required so that the surface is maintained smooth and free of weeds and debris. Greenside bunkers are to be raked every day. Hand rake small bunkers every raking cycle. The remaining days of the week the fairway bunkers are to be touched up by hand raking only. Mechanical raking may be done where bunkers are large enough or designed in such a way that the machine can access and egress the bunker without destroying the turf around the perimeter. Bunker slopes and edges must be touched up by hand raking following mechanical raking.

After it rains, bunkers are to be promptly returned to playing condition with all wash outs repaired, silt removed and then completely raked.

Bunkers shall be checked regularly for proper sand depth. Sand will be redistributed properly or added as necessary to provide the proper depths. All rock and debris must be removed along with any sand contaminated by foreign soil, gravel, or grass clippings. Contaminated sand is to be removed and replaced as needed.

Banks of the bunkers shall be mowed regularly to provide the intended look of the design. Weeds will be pulled and edges will be trimmed once per month or as needed to maintain bunker definition. Bunker edges are to remain non-formal as designed for links conditions.

Bunker rakes shall be spaced evenly outside the bunker cavity for the convenience of the golfers.
Original bunker outlines to be maintained to original design unless approved by Parks and Nicklaus Design using best maintenance practices.

## COURSE SET UP

Hole locations shall be changed on a daily basis during the busy season or as needed during slower times of the year. The superintendent, or a qualified individual selected by the superintendent, shall select fair hole locations and ensure that the hole has at least one full pace distance from a contour change and at least three paces from the inside edge of the collar. Charted and repetitive hole locations on given days are to be discouraged as excessive wear results in those areas leaving much of the green surface unused.

Tee markers shall be moved on a daily basis to avoid excessive wear in one location and to coordinate with pin locations and weather conditions.

Flags, cups, tee makers, yardage markers and flagsticks will be maintained so as to provide a "like new" appearance and replaced as necessary.

All course furniture shall be kept clean and organized in a neat manner.

Cart traffic is to be managed using ropes and stakes to minimize turf damage in wear areas.

## PRACTICE RANGE

The driving range shall be mowed two times a week or as necessary to provide an aesthetically appealing facility. The practice range floor shall be mowed at a height and frequency consistent with healthy turf growth and resistance against wear.

Target bunkers shall be raked weekly or as necessary to provide an aesthetically appealing facility.
Yardage flags shall be spaced to provide a variety of shots and angles as dictated by the design intent.
The practice tee shall be cut a minimum of three times a week, reversing direction each time and fertilized so as to promote rapid recovery from divots and other wear. Divots shall be filled on a timely basis. The Practice Tee shall be mowed at fairway height. All programs based on soil and tissue test results. Soil and tissue to be tested three times starting in spring.

The practice range and tee will be irrigated as needed to prevent stress as well as promote seed germination and recovery on the tee surface. Occasional hand watering may be necessary to supplement automatic irrigation.

The practice range tee and floor areas, including target greens and chipping areas, will be aerified twice a year to prevent excessive compaction and thatch development.

All chipping and putting greens will be managed as regulation greens.
The synthetic grass practice tee shall be maintained to Manufacturers specifications and to keep surface playable.

## IRRIGATION AND WATERING

The irrigation system is to be maintained in accordance to the manufacturers recommendations so that it is in working order at all times during the growing season. Visual checks shall be conducted on a regular basis as well as an analysis of the computer data from the prior night's irrigation cycle to ensure everything is working properly. All repairs and adjustments shall be made by qualified personnel under the direction of the superintendent. The pump stations shall be serviced in a regular manner according to manufacturer recommendations.

The theory behind all watering practices is that firm and fast conditions should be provided while encouraging good root development and preventing excessive leaching. Overwatering and soft conditions are not acceptable. Turf needs shall be monitored on a daily basis visually, by use of the weather station data and in-ground moisture sensors. Tees, greens and fairways are to be hand water as needed. All "isolated dry spots" on the fairways and around the bunkers are to be hand water as needed.

The irrigation system should be emptied of all water in the fall strictly following manufacturer guidelines and specifications to prevent damage to the irrigation system during the winter season. Care will be taken in the spring to prevent damage when re-charging the system with water.

The water provided for irrigation is NYC potable water. Yearly water test will be needed to review the need for amendments such as wetting agents, pH adjustment, and the Sodium Absorption Ratio adjustment.

Salt Management irrigation practices (leaching or deep watering) may be necessary to maintain turfgrass health, especially during prolonged periods of drought.

## CARTPATHS

Cart paths shall remain relatively clean and edged so as to provide a clean and tidy appearance. Cartpath repairs shall be done in a timely manner as needed to meet original design specification.

## CLUBHOUSE GROUNDS

The clubhouse grounds shall be maintained in accordance with the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course. All lawns shall be regularly mowed, debris cleaned up, shrubs and trees neatly trimmed and mulch beds regularly weeded and edged.

## POND MANAGEMENT

Irrigation pond and detention ponds shall be properly maintained in order to control storm water and remain in compliance with all applicable laws, rules, regulations and guidelines related to lake/water management, including but not limited to, the Clean Water Act.

The grass and rip rap edges surrounding the irrigation pond and the grass surrounding the detention ponds shall be regularly maintained to ensure they are in keeping with the design intentions.

A weed and algae control program for the irrigation pond shall be implemented as part of the maintenance program. A weed control and reseed program for the detention ponds and designated wetlands shall be implemented as part of the maintenance program to maintain original design intentions and in strict compliance with any City, State, and Federal laws, rules, regulations and guidelines.

## TREE MAINTENANCE

Trees and shrubs will be pruned of dead wood and undesirable branches to maintain their natural shape. All transplanted and new trees will be fertilized, watered and monitored for pests. An integrated best management program is to be instituted for all Landscaping. Under no condition shall Licensee remove, replant, move, prune, or cut-back any tree, living or dead, in conjunction with Licensee's Capital Improvements, or with any other of Licensee's rights or duties under this License Agreement, without the express written permission of Parks. Licensee shall not attach anything to any tree, such as lights.
(i) Prior to the commencement of construction work at the Licensed Premises, Licensee shall contact the Bronx Director of Forestry. (ii) Licensee shall comply with all quarantine zones for the Asian Long Horned Beetle and any other invasive tree species or disease that may develop during the Term of this License Agreement. Licensee shall comply will all city, state or federal rules and regulations regarding new tree planting, infestation control, treatment, tree trimming and removal, including but not limited to rules and regulations established by the United States Department of Agriculture, the New York State Department of Agriculture \& Markets, and Parks.
(iii) Licensee shall not stockpile any construction material within the drip-line of trees.
(iv) Licensee shall perform at its sole cost and expense compensatory pruning of trees adversely affected by the work. Pruning shall be done by a Parks approved licensed arborist when and where directed by Parks.
(v) Licensee shall install wooden tree guards as directed by Parks.
(vi) Licensee shall circumvent trees by trenching outside the drip-line of the trees.
(vii) Licensee shall remove all dead plant material resulting from Licensec's work, as determined by Parks, from the Licensed Premises.
(viii) Tree removal and acceptable replacements must be approved in writing by Parks.

EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE
All equipment shall be operated and maintained in accordance to the manufacturer's specifications, recommendations and guidelines as provided in the owner's manual and any subsequent notices or bulletins issued by the manufacturer. Employees will be thoroughly trained and educated on the proper use of the equipment.

All lubricants and fluids shall be regularly checked and changed as outlined in the service manual. A sound preventative maintenance program shall be developed by the golf course mechanic under the direction of the superintendent.

Licensec assumes responsibility for repairing damage to the golf course if any maintenance equipment damages any part of the golf course (ex. broken hydraulic line on green).

## MAINTENANCE BUILDING

The maintenance building shall be kept in a neat, organized and functional manner that conveys the image of a professional operation.

SUMMARY
Though the above list is not all inclusive, it should provide a general direction of the desired maintenance practices for Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point Park. All maintenance activities mentioned within will be scheduled so as to minimize disruption to golfers as much as reasonably possible. The course shall be maintained to the standards of a first class, tournament quality daily fee golf course and consistent with the reasonable standards of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course.
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## Licensee Website
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## Hoplinks
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Transfer Websites
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Site Plan showing Parks and City Roads
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Preliminary Concept Drawings for Clubhouse


## EXHIBIT V

GUARANTY

## GUARANTY

THIS GUARANTY, dated as of February 21, 2012 (as may be amended or modified from time to time pursuant to the terms herein, this "Guaranty"), is made by DONALD J. TRUMP, an individual ("Guarantor"), having an address at c/o Trump Organization, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022, in favor of the City of New York (the "City") acting by and through the New York City Department of Parks \& Recreation ("Parks"), whose address is The Arsenal, 830 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10065.

## RECITALS

WHEREAS, this Guaranty is executed and delivered in connection with that certain License Agreement, dated as of the date hereof (the "License Agreement"), between Trump Ferry Point LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("Licensee"), and the City acting by and through Parks.

WHEREAS, Guarantor has agreed to enter into this Guaranty to induce the City acting by and through Parks to grant a Concession (as defined in the License Agrcement) to Licensee to operate, manage and maintain an 18-hole Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, lighted driving range and ancillary facilities and to design, construct, operate, manage and maintain a permanent clubhouse at Ferry Point Park, Bronx, New York.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing and for other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, Guarantor hereby agrees as follows:

## AGREEMENT

1. Definitions. Unless otherwise defined herein, capitalized terms shall have the meanings set forth in the License Agreement.
2. Guaranteed Obligations.
(a) Subject to all of the terms and conditions of this Guaranty, including, without limitation, Section 8 hereof, Guarantor hereby absolutely, irrevocably and unconditionally, guarantees to Parks the payment and performance of the Guaranteed Obligations as set forth in this Guaranty, except to the extent that any such payment or performance of the Guaranteed Obligations is unenforceable under applicable law.
(b) "Guaranteed Obligations" means the complete and prompt payment and performance of the following after the expiration of all required notice and cure periods under the License Agreement and that certain Development Agreement, dated as of the date hereof (the "Development Agreement"), between Licensee and the City acting by and through Parks, as applicable:
(i) payment of all due and unpaid License Fees in accordance with the terms of the License Agreement, less all License Fee Credits (and any interest on such License Fee Credits) or other reductions to the License Fees to which Licensee may be entitled pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement or the Development Agreement;
(ii) payment of (x) all due and unpaid deposits to the Capital Reserve Fund based on Licensee's actual collection of Gross Receipts, less any credits to which Licensee may be entitled for the applicable period pursuant to the terms of the License Agreement and (y) any applicable amount due and unpaid into the Capital Reserve Fund in accordance with the last sentence of Section 10.1 of the License Agreement in the event that all of the Required Capital Improvements are Finally Complete and Licensee has expended less than the Minimum Capital Improvement Cost in the aggregate for such Required Capital Improvements.
(iii) payment of the one-time Design Review Fee payable pursuant to $\underline{\text { Section }}$ 10.3 of the License Agreement;
(iv) payment of: (x) any unpaid portion of the premiums due for all insurance policies required to be procured and maintained by Licensee under the Development Agreement and (y) all due and unpaid deductibles or self-insured retentions payable by Licensee under such policies;
(v) payment of: ( x ) any unpaid portion of the premiums due for all insurance policies required to be procured and maintained by Licensee under the License Agreement and (y) all due and unpaid deductibles or self-insured retentions payable by Licensee under such policies;
(vi) payment of any Grow-In Costs required for the Grow-In to be performed in compliance with the Nicklaus Grow-In Standards (as such capitalized terms are defined in the Development Agreement) up to a maximum amount of $\$ 750,000$ (the "Guarantor's Grow -In Cap"); provided that every dollar spent by Licensee in Grow-In Costs shall reduce the Guarantor's Grow-In Cap (and hence Guarantor's Guaranteed Obligation with respect to payment of Grow-In Costs in accordance with this Section 2(b)(vii)) on a dollar-for-dollar basis. For illustrative purposes only, if, for example, Licensee spends $\$ 500,000$ on Grow-In Costs, the Guarantor's Grow-In Cap (and hence the maximum amount of Grow-In Costs guaranteed by Guarantor under this Section 2(b)(vi) shall not exceed $\$ 250,000$;
(vii) payment of any due and unpaid late charges imposed on Licensee in accordance with Section 4.3 of the License Agreement in respect of any Guaranteed Obligations; provided that for the purpose of this Guaranty, notwithstanding any higher amount that may be due under the License Agreement, in no event shall Guarantor be responsible for any late charges in excess of two percent ( $2 \%$ ) per month (computed on a thirty day month and measured from the date such payments were due and payable under the License Agreement until the date such amounts have been paid) on any Guaranteed Obligations which are overdue to which a late charge applies under Section 4.3 of the License Agreement;
(viii) payment of any other due and unpaid financial obligations of Licensec not otherwise set forth herein ( $x$ ) up to an aggregate maximum amount of $\$ 150,000$ under the Development Agreement minus any amount paid by Guarantor pursuant to clause (y) of this paragraph (viii) and (y) up to an aggregate maximum amount of $\$ 150,000$ under the License Agreement minus any amount paid by Guarantor pursuant to clause ( x ) of this paragraph (viii) such that, for the sake of clarity, at no time shall Guarantor's Guaranteed Obligations set forth in this clause (viii) exceed $\$ 150,000$ in the aggregate; and
(ix) completion of the Required Capital Improvements under the License Agreement (other than the outfitting of the Park Snack Bar, which shall not be a Guaranteed Obligation) and the Development Agreement (for the avoidance of doubt, the only Required Capital Improvement under the Development Agreement is the temporary Clubhouse), in each case, in accordance with the applicable Approved Designs and Plans in all material respects; provided that this clause (ix) shall apply only if Guarantor is responsible for and controls the construction and completion of all such Required Capital Improvements under the License Agreement or the Development Agreement, as applicable. For the sake of clarity, for any completion of the Required Capital Improvements performed by Guarantor under this clause (ix), the Designs and Plans for such Required Capital Improvements and the Required Capital Improvements shall be subject to the approval of Parks in accordance with the terms of the License Agreement applicable to Licensee, as if for the purposes of this clause (ix), the Guarantor were the Licensee.
3. Representations and Warranties. Guarantor represents and warrants the following as of the date hereof:
(a) Guarantor has full power, authority and legal right to execute and deliver this Guaranty and to perform his obligations hereunder.
(b) The execution, delivery and performance of this Guaranty by Guarantor has been duly authorized by all necessary action and does not and will not: (i) require any consent or approval by any person or entity which has not been obtained by Guarantor; (ii) contravene any documents governing Guarantor; (iii) violate any provision of, or require any filing, registration, consent or approval under, any law, rule, regulation, order, writ, judgment, injunction, decree, determination or award presently in effect having applicability to Guarantor; (iv) result in a breach of, or constitute a default or require any consent under any agreement or instrument to which Guarantor is a party; or (v) cause Guarantor to be in violation of any law, rule, regulation, order, writ, judgment, injunction, decree, determination or award, or in default under any agreement or instrument to which Guarantor is a party or which is applicable to Guarantor.
(c) This Guaranty is a legal, valid and binding obligation of Guarantor enforceable against Guarantor in accordance with its terms, except as such enforcement may be limited by bankruptcy laws affecting creditor's rights generally.
(d) There has been no material adverse change in the net worth, assets, financial condition or prospective financial condition of Guarantor since the financial statements of Guarantor of June 30, 2010, which have been furnished to Parks.

## 4. Financial Covenants.

(a) Until the Guaranteed Obligations are released or terminated, within one hundred twenty (120) days of the end of each calendar year, Guarantor shall be required to furnish Parks with a letter from Guarantor's accountant stating that there has been no material adverse change in Guarantor's net worth (such letter, a "No MAC Letter").
(b) In the event that Guarantor does not furnish the No MAC Letter as required in Section 4(a) (such failure to furnish the No MAC Letter, a "Guarantor MAC Failure"), Parks sole remedy for such failure shall be to require, in Park's sole discretion that, upon no less than thirty (30) days prior written notice to Guarantor, Guarantor increase the Security Deposit under

Section 4.4 of the License Agreement as follows: (i) for the first Guarantor MAC Failure, Parks may require that the Security Deposit be increased by $\$ 100,000$ (for a total Security Deposit of $\$ 200,000$ ); (ii) for a second Guarantor MAC Failure, if applicable, in any calendar year subsequent to the first Guarantor MAC Failure, Parks may require that the Security Deposit be increased by an additional $\$ 200,000$ (for a total Security Deposit of $\$ 400,000$ ); and (iii) for a third Guarantor MAC Failure, if applicable, in any calendar year subsequent to the second Guarantor MAC Failure, Parks may require that the Security Deposit be increased by an additional $\$ 70,000$ (for a total Security Deposit of $\$ 470,000$ ). In no event shall Guarantor be required to pay any additional Security Deposit after the Security Deposit has been increased to $\$ 470,000$ pursuant to this Section 4(b), regardless of any additional Guarantor MAC Failures.

## 5. Enforcement; Payment by Guarantor.

(a) Except for the payments required under clause (x) of Section 2(b)(iv) and clause (x) of Section 2(b)(v), which are addressed in Section 5(d) below, this Guaranty may not be enforced unless and until there is a continuing Event of Default by Licensee under the License Agreement or the Development Agreement, as applicable, in each case with respect to the Guaranteed Obligation that the City seeks to enforce, and only after the expiration of all notice and cure periods applicable to Licensee under the terms of the License Agreement and the Development Agreement, and then only in accordance with the terms hercof. All notices required to be delivered or provided to Licensee under the License Agreement or the Development Agreement with respect to any Event of Default shall be simultaneously delivered or provided to Guarantor in accordance with the terms of this Guaranty.
(b) If all of the conditions set forth in Section 5(a) are satisfied and Parks has the right to enforce a Guaranteed Obligation against Guarantor under the terms of this Guaranty, in order to enforce such Guaranteed Obligation, except for the payments required under clause (x) of Section 2(b)(iv) and clause (x) of Section 2(b)(v), which are addressed in Section 5(d) below, Parks shall be required to provide a written demand to Guarantor requesting payment or performance of such Guaranteed Obligation, as applicable (such demand, the "Demand Notice") and the following requirements shall be applicable to Guarantor with respect to such Demand Notice:
(i) if the Demand Notice is for a Guaranteed Obligation for completion of a Required Capital Improvement under Section 2(b)(ix), provided that Guarantor is responsible for and controls the construction and completion of such Required Capital Improvement under the License Agreement and/or the Development Agreement, as applicable (as set forth Section 2(b)(ix)), Guarantor shall, within thirty (30) business days after receipt of such Demand Notice, commence the completion of the applicable Required Capital Improvement in accordance with the terms of this Guaranty and diligently pursue the completion of such applicable Required Capital Improvement;
(ii) if the Demand Notice is for a Guaranteed Obligation that requires the payment of money (which shall not include the payments required under Section 2(b)(iv) and Section 2(b)(v), which are addressed below in the proviso to this Section 5(b)(ii) and in Section 5(d) below), Guarantor shall, within thirty (30) business days after reccipt of a Demand Notice for payment under this Guaranty, pay the amount due and payable under this Guaranty; provided that for payment obligations pursuant to the Guaranteed Obligations set forth in clause (y) of Section 2(b)(iv) and clause (y) of Section 2(b)(v), Guarantor shall, within ten (10) business days after receipt of a Demand Notice for
payment under this Guaranty, pay the amount due and payable to the applicable insurance company and provide written evidence of such payment to Parks.
(c) All Demand Notices shall be made and given in accordance with Section 7 .
(d) For the payment obligations under clause (x) of Section 2(b)(iv) and clause (x) of Section 2(b)(v), Sections 5(a) and 5(b) shall not apply and Guarantor shall be required to pay any applicable due and unpaid insurance premiums prior to the time set forth in any notice of cancellation (unless the applicable insurance is replaced with another insurance policy that meets the requirements of the License Agreement or the Development Agreement, as applicable and such replacement policy is in effect) of any such insurance policy received by Licensee from its insurer (if such insurance premiums are not paid by Licensee prior to such time). No notice by the City of any due and unpaid insurance premiums shall be required in connection with this Section 5(d). If Guarantor makes a payment pursuant to this Section 5(d), Guarantor shall provide written evidence of such payment to Parks.

## 6. Intentionally Omitted.

7. Notices. Where provision is made herein for notice or other communication to be given in writing, the same shall be given by hand delivery, by mailing a copy of such notice or other communication by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by overnight courier service addressed to Commissioner or to Guarantor at their respective addresses provided at the beginning of this Guaranty, or to any other address that Guarantor shall have filed with Commissioner. In addition, in the case of any notice or other communication required or permitted to be given to Guarantor under this Guaranty, an additional copy thereof shall be delivered in accordance with the foregoing to each of Allen Weisselberg, Jason Blacksberg, Esq. and Ron Lieberman, in each case at the following address: Trump Ferry Point, LLC, c/o The Trump Organization LLC, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022.

## 8. Effective Date and Termination.

(a) The Guaranteed Obligations set forth in Section 2(b)(iii); Section 2(b)(iv); Section 2(b)(vi); clause (x) of Section 2(b)(viii); and Section 2(b)(ix) (solely to the extent that Section (2)(b)(ix) applies with respect to the temporary Clubhouse (the foregoing Guaranteed Obligations, the "Development Agreement Guaranteed Obligations"), shall become effective upon the effective date of the Development Agreement, as set forth in Section 16.6 of the Development Agreement. All other Guaranteed Obligations other than the Development Agreement Guaranteed Obligations shall become effective on the Concession Commencement Date.
(b) Subject to Section 8(c) below, the Guaranteed Obligations set forth in this Guaranty and Guarantor's liability therefor shall be released and terminated on the expiration or sooner termination of the License Agreement; provided that, if such termination of the License Agreement is caused by an Event of Default by Licensee under the License Agreement, then the Guaranteed Obligations that accrued prior to the date of termination of the License Agreement (if any) shall survive the termination of the License Agreement and shall be released and terminated when such Guaranteed Obligations (if any) are satisfied.
(c) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Guaranty, unless sooner released and terminated in accordance with the terms of this Guaranty,
(i) Each Development Agreement Guaranteed Obligation shall be released and terminated on the Concession Commencement Date (if earlier than the expiration or sooner termination of the License Agreement), unless, on such date, there is a default under the Development Agreement with respect to a Development Agreement Guaranteed Obligation, in which case such Development Agreement Guaranteed Obligation shall be released and termination only when such Development Agreement Guaranteed Obligation is satisfied.
(ii) Guarantor's Guaranteed Obligations with respect to a Required Capital Improvement, as set forth in Section 2(b)(ix), (which for the sake of clarity, does not include the outfitting of the Park Snack Bar) shall be released and terminated upon the date of Final Completion of such Required Capital Improvement, as determined by the Commissioner in accordance with Section 10.19 of the License Agreement (the date of Final Completion of a Required Capital Improvement, the "Improvements Release Date"); and
(iii) if Licensee ceases operations of the Licensed Premises, this Guaranty and all of the Guaranteed Obligations shall be released and terminated when ( x ) the Improvements Release Date has occurred with respect to each Required Capital Improvement other than the outfitting of the Park Snack Bar, (y) seven (7) years have elapsed since the Concession Commencement Date (the last day of such seven year period, the "Seven Year Expiration Date") and (z) the occurrence of the earlier of: (i) the Replacement/Reopening Date (as such term is defined in the License Agreement) or (ii) two (2) years have elapsed since Licensee has sent the City a notice that Licensee intends to cease operations at the Licensed Premises (such notice a "Licensee Cessation Notice"); provided that notwithstanding the foregoing in this Section 8(c)(iii), in the event that the Improvements Release Date for any Required Capital Improvement is delayed due to Force Majeure or any of the other reasons set forth in Section 12.19(g) of the License Agreement, and as a result, Licensee is not reasonably able to Finally Complete all Required Capital Improvements (other than the outfitting of the Park Snack Bar, which shall not be a Guaranteed Obligation) by the Seven Year Expiration Date, this Guaranty and all of the Guaranteed Obligations shall be released and terminated without regard to whether or not the Improvements Release Date for any Required Capital Improvement has been achieved when (A) the Seven Year Expiration Date has occurred and (B) the occurrence of the earlier of: (i) the Replacement/Reopening Date or (ii) two (2) years have clapsed since Licensee has sent the City a Licensee Cessation Notice. Licensee's sending of a Licensee Cessation Notice and/or Licensee's cessation of operations at the Licensed Premises shall not be construed to be acquiescence by the City to any prospective or actual cessation of operations and all of the rights and remedies available to the City under the License Agreement, Development Agreement, at law and in equity shall remain in full force and effect; provided however that the City acknowledges and agrees that the foregoing shall not affect or limit the release and termination of this Guaranty and all of the Guaranteed Obligations in accordance with the terms of this Guaranty, including, without limitation, any release and termination of this Guaranty and the Guaranteed Obligations as set forth in this Section 8(c)(iii).
9. Governing Law. This Guaranty shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of New York, as applicable to contracts entered into and to be performed entirely within that State.
10. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or other portion of this Guaranty is, for any reason, declared invalid, in whole or in part, by any court, agency, commission, legislative body or other authority of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct and independent portion of this Guaranty, and such declaration shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions hereof, which other portions shall continue in full force and effect.
11. Modification. No termination, amendment, waiver or modification of this Guaranty or any of its terms or provisions shall be effective unless it is set forth in a written instrument signed by Guarantor and the City.
12. Assignment: Guarantor shall not assign this Guaranty without the prior written approval of the Commissioner.
13. Counterparts. This Guaranty may be executed in several counterparts, each of which counterparts shall be deemed an original and all of which together shall constitute a single instrument. Delivery of an executed counterpart of a signature page to this Guaranty by facsimile or as an attachment to an electronic mail message in .pdf, .jpeg, .TIFF or similar electronic format shall be effective as delivery of a manually executed counterpart of this Guaranty for all purposes. Any delivery of a counterpart signature by telecopier or any such electronic format shall, however, be promptly followed by delivery of a manually executed counterpart.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Guarantor has executed and delivered this Guaranty as of the date first above written.


## STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF NEW YORK
On the $21 \$$ day of TCbunty in the year 2012, before me, the undersigned personally appeared DONALD J. TRUMP, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individuals) whose name (s) is (are) subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their capacity(ies), and that by his/her their signatures) on the instrument, the individuals), or the person upon behalf of which the individuals) acted, executed the instrument.


SHARON WANG
Notary Public, State of New York No. O2HW6106147

Accepted and Agreed To:
THE CITY OF NEW YORK

By:


Name: Elizabeth W. Smith
Assistant Commissioner of Revenue
of the Department of Parks and Recreation Approved as to Form: of the city of New York

Certified as to Legal Authority:

Acting Corporation Counsel

N WITNESS WIEREOF, Guarantor has executed and delivered this Guaranty as of the date first above written.

# GUARANTOR: 

DONALD J. TRUMP, an individual

## STATE OF NEW YORK ) ) SS. COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

On the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ in the year 2012 , before me, the undersigned personally appeared DONALDS. TRUMP, personally known to me or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the individual(s) whose name(s) is (are) subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their capacity(ies), and that by his/her their signature(s) on the instrument, the individual(s), or the person upon behalf of which the individual(s) acted, executed the instrument,

Signature and Office of individual
taking acknowledgment

Accepted and Agreed To:
THE CITY OF NEW YORK

By:
Name.

Approved as to Form:
Cernfied as to Legal Aublity:


Acting Corporation Counsel
FEB 212012

EXHIBIT EE

## EXHIBIT E

# RECOMMENDATION FOR $\boxtimes$ AWARD/ $\square$ RENEWAL OF CONCESSION AGREEMENT: RESPONSIBILITY DETERMINATION 

| AGENCY <br> New York City <br>  <br> Recreation (Parks) | CONCESSIONAIRE <br> Trump Ferry Point LLC | CONCESSION I.D. \# X126-GC <br> The operation, management and maintenance of an 18 -hole Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, lighted driving range and ancillary facilites and the design, construction, operation, management and maintenance of a permanent clubhouse at Ferry Point Park, The Bronx. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

This is to certify that I have determined that the subject concessionaire has the capability in all respects to perform fully the concession requirements and the business integrity to justify the private use of city-owned property and is therefore responsible.

## AUTHORIZED AGENCY STAFF

Name Elizabeth W. Smith Title Assistant Commissioner for Revenue \& Marketing
Signature $\qquad$ Date 2812

## SOURCES OF INFORMATION

On-line VENDEX vendor inquiry (cautions, liens, warrants) conducted $12 / 16 / 2010$

VENDEX filings dated $06 / 30 / 2010$

Certificate of No Change
/Changed Questionnaire dated 10/24/2011

N/A [Value of concession, when aggregated with value of all other contracts/concessions held by subject concessionaire, $<\$ 100 \mathrm{KJ}$

DOI report dated 11/04/2011
Doing Business Data Form dated 12/29/2011
N/A [CSB without prequalification]

If the subject action is new concession award awarded
Yes pursuant to CSP and award is based on initial proposals, VENDEX Questionnaires also submitted from the second highest rated proposer.

No [Explain] The award is not based on initial proposals nor being awarded pursuant to CSP.

## Technical Qualifications/Experience/Resources

Basis for determination that proposed concessionaire has the organization, material, equipment, facilities and personnel resources and expertise (or the ability to obtain them) necessary to carry out the work and to comply with required delivery or performance schedules, taking into consideration other business commitments:

[^24]
## Record of Satisfactory Performance

Basis for determination that proposed concessionaire has a satisfactory record of performance:

N/A [Subject concessionaire is not the current concessionaire and has no other comparable concessions or contracts with the City]

## Financial Resources/Adequate Accounting \& Auditing Procedures

Basis for determination that proposed concessionaire has sufficient financial resources and adequate accounting and auditing procedures to control property, funds or other assets, accurately delineate costs, and attribute them to their causes:

Trump has provided Parks with documentation from WeiserMazars LLP, Certified Public Accountants, stating that Donald 1. Trump, the president of Trump, has a substantial net worth and cash position. As set forth in Exhibit V to the concession agreement, there is also a personal guaranty from Donald J. Trump regarding payment obligations and the completion of capital improvements. Trump's offer includes setting aside $3 \%$ of gross receipts towards a capital reserve fund in years 5 through 12 and $2 \%$ in years 13 through 15 of the term of the concession agreement.

Trump will be subject to auditing by Parks, the NYC Comptroller and Parks-authorized auditors.

## Business Integrity

Basis for determination that proposed concessionaire has a satisfactory record of business integrity:
A search of the New York State, Department of State, Division of Corporations website revealed that Trump and the Trump Organization are licensed to do business in New York State. The search also revealed no New York State Tax Warrants, New York State Tax Liens, UCC Liens, or Federal Tax Liens for Trump, the Trump Organization or their principals. In addition, a search of the U.S. Department of Labor's OSHA website revealed no open or closed violations for Trump or the Trump Organization in the last 5 vears. A LexisNexis search also revealed no bankruptcies for Trump or the Trump Organization.

Other Sources of Responsibility Information (Indicate *)
(* Examples: LexisNexis; Google; Department of State website; records or certffcates of compliance with EEO laws and executive orders enforced by DSBS/DLS; publications; suppliers, subcontractors and/or customers of the prospective concessionaire; financial institutions, other government agencies, business and trade associations.)

A search of the following databases revealed no adverse business integrity information for Trump or the Trump Orqanization: VENDEX, LexisNexis Public Records Database; Accurint; ACRIS, and Google.

## DISPOSITION

ADVERSE INFORMATION IDENTIFIED? $\boxtimes$ NO $\square$ YES, described \& addressed below

## ADVERSE TNFORMATION

[^25]$\square$ ADVERSE INFORMATION INDICATED IN VENDEX VENDOR INQUIRY \&/OR ON MOST RECENT VENDEX QUESTIONNAIRES- Describe each item by type, date \& current status (inchude outcome, if disposed of).ADVERSE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION INFORMATION
Describe problem(s) by type, date \& current status; if problem(s) pertains to your agency, provide statement that agency has approved concessionaire's Corrective Action Plan, and/or that problem category has been corrected, as applicable; if rating pertains to another agency, identify agency, describe problem and describe resolution of problem.ADVERSE FINANCIAL RESOURCES/AUDIT INFORMATION
Describe each such problem; provide statement that agency has approved the concessionaire's Corrective Action Plan.ADVERSE BUSINESS INTEGRITY INFORMATION
Describe each such problem; provide statement that agency has approved the concessionaire's Corrective Action Plan.

## EXHIBIT FF
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## CHAPTER ONE

## THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB INTRODUCTION

## THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB

## INTRODUCTION

Mar-a-Lago is indisputably one-of-a-kind. No other property in Palm Beach, the United States or even the world is quite the same. To use the Latin, legal expression, it is sui generis. Precedents in construction, preservation and usage, both before and after Mar-a-Lago, have no application to it. The palace conceived by Marjorie Merriweather Post is as unique and original as an acclaimed painting, sculpture or other important work of art.

Mar-a-Lago has been the subject of debate for decades. The debate distills to the tension between (a) the public's demand for the preservation of Mar-a-Lago as an historic landmark at minimum taxpayer expense and (b) the owner's constitutional right to use the property freely and feasibly. See Gray, Dorothy, "Mar-a-Lago: Who's Going to Pay the Bills?" Palm Beach Daily News, November 7, 1973 and Osolin, Charles, "Storm Brewing Over What to Do with Post Estate," Palm Beach Post-Times, September 21, 1975.

The alternative uses of Mar-a-Lago are (1) continued ownership by one individual as a private residential estate at his or her sole expense, (2) a retreat for government officials, (3) a museum, (4) a cultural and intellectual center, (5) a subdivision with multiple single family homes, (6) separately owned condominiums, created out of existing suites, and (7) a private social club. Competing and conflicting interests must be resolved for each possibility based on fairness, principles of private property and a valid determination of public welfare. See Babcock, Richard F., The Zoning Game; Municipal Policies and Practices, Madison, Wisconsin: The University of Wisconsin Press, 1956 and Dunlap, David W., "Resolving Property 'Takings'; Courts Seem to Tilt In Favor of Owners," The New York Times, August 23, 1992.

As to Item (1), it is impractical for a single individual to continuously own Mar-aLago as a private estate at his or her sole expense. When The Post Foundation marketed the property after its return to the Foundation from the U.S. Government, it was almost impossible to sell. About 80 qualified buyers, thoroughly screened, inspected Mar-a-Lago and elected against even making an offer. H. Ross Perot was one prospect. Although "everything is for sale at a price," no one would step forward to make any offers for this so-called "white elephant." See Bellew, Patricia, "Mar-a-Lago: Lookers, No Buyers," The Miami Herald, June 29, 1982 and Musgrove, Martha, "Does Anyone Want Mar-a-Lago?" Palm Beach Post Times, April 29, 1979.

As to Item (2), both the U.S. Government and State of Florida deemed Mar-a-Lago unsuitable and too expensive for a retreat for government officials. As to Items (3), a museum, and (4), a cultural and intellectual center, both are financially impossible without substantial public accessibility which would generate intolerable traffic.

Item (5), a subdivision, has been rejected by the Town Council and opposed by The Preservation Foundation and others. Item (6), condominiumization, would wreck the interior character of Mar-a-Lago.

A private social club, Item (7), can totally preserve Mar-a-Lago at the expense of a limited group of members, most of whom will be Palm Beach residents. All of the existing Palm Beach clubs have waiting lists and therefore a demand exists for an additional club. A club would shift the burden of paying the bills for a landmark from one person to a limited group, without any taxpayer contribution. If Mar-a-Lago is converted to public or charitable ownership and use, the Town over time will lose millions of dollars in tax revenues. Finally, more Palm Beachers, but not an excessive number, will have access to this architectural treasure if club
members and their guests can enjoy it in the manner that Marjorie Merriweather Post and her guests used it.

The conversion of other estates to private clubs is an adaptive use which has proven to be dramatically successful. Examples are The Epping Forest Yacht Club created from the estate of Alfred I. duPont, The Sleepy Hollow Country Club developed from the residence of Elliot F. Shepard, The Ingomar Club from the home of William Carson, The Mamoroneck Beach Cabana and Yacht Club which was formerly the Osborn House, and The Lotus Club in the former home of Maria Louisa (Vanderbilt) Shepard.

## THE PRIVATE CLUB

The Mar-a-Lago Club would provide fine dining, swimming, beach and pool side sunbathing, a card or game room, tennis, croquet, spa facilities and golf. Spa facilities -- steam rooms, sauna baths, massage tables, fitness rooms and the like -- will be the only change at Mar-a-Lago and will be located in totally unimportant storage areas. (Since this change is internal, no approval by government authority is necessary and it is irrelevant to the special exception application).

The activities at the Club will follow traditions established by Marjorie Merriweather Post: periodic dances, receptions for charities such as The Animal Rescue League or The Preservation Foundation, musical recitals, theme dinners, lectures and the like. See Wright, William, Heiress; The Rich Life of Marjorie Merriweather Post, Washington, D.C.: New Republic Books, 1978 and Knott, James R., "A Square Dance Evening At Mar-a-Lago," Palm Beach Revisited: Historical Vignettes of Palm Beach County, Privately printed, 1990.

At least fifty percent ( $50 \%$ ) of the members of the Club will consist of individuals
who maintain residences in the Town of Palm Beach or have places of employment located in the Town.

The Club will uphold, as an organizational premise and immutable principle, the preservation of Mar-a-Lago in its historic condition. The Club will commit to generally accepted preservation and restoration principles in maintaining Mar-a-Lago in its present form as described herein. "Principles of Preservation" are set forth in Chapter Two. These Principles of Preservation are distilled from the Architectural Analysis of Chapter Four, the Inventory of Mar-a-Lago Buildings in Chapter Five and the Inventory of Mar-a-Lago Landscaping in Chapter Six.

The Club will be a corporate entity, The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc., and will own the real property and its improvements. The Articles of Incorporation, By-Laws and Rules of The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. are based on those followed by other clubs in Palm Beach. These are set forth in Chapter Eight. The Club will not discriminate against any individual based on race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, handicap or marital status.

All facilities at the Club will be used on the basis of advance reservations to avoid any congestion or over-use. A calendar of events, similar to that of the Bath and Tennis Club and the Everglades Club, will be promulgated with activities scheduled so as not to conflict with events at these other clubs so that traffic congestion is minimized. Valet services will be available at all times. On-site parking will be on the paved and un-paved grounds following the same patterns begun by Mrs. Post. One (1) parking space per four (4) members will be available in accordance with Town of Palm Beach zoning requirements.

Traffic generated by the Club will be limited to those trips allowed by Palm Beach County and the Town of Palm Beach. Chapter Nine analyzes the traffic aspect of club use and
its management. This traffic management plan has been reviewed and informally approved by traffic advisors of Palm Beach County and the Town of Palm Beach. Traffic, at most, will be comparable to or less than auto travel that existed during Mrs. Post's intensive usage. See "Hundreds Attend Benefit Tea for Animal Rescue League at Mar-a-Lago, E.F. Hutton Home," Palm Beach Post, March 15, 1928; "E.F. Huttons Give Circus in Florida," The New York Times, March 12, 1929; "Mrs. Hutton Hires Circus for a Little Party", Illustrated News, March 3, 1929; "E.F. Huttons Hosts at Ballet Intime; Entertain a Large Company in the Patio of their Palm Beach Villa", The New York Times, March 14, 1927: "Mrs. E.F. Hutton Plans Large Party at Palm Beach," N.Y. Evening Sun, March 12, 1927; "Society Attends Play at E.F. Hutton Estate," The New York Times, March 2, 1930; and "Society Turns Rube, Has Big Time at Hutton Circus," Palm Beach Times, March 12, 1929.

Residents of Woodbridge Road, 1125 South Ocean Boulevard and members of The Bath and Tennis Club, Inc. will have club privileges without paying membership fees. These privileges should increase the value of neighboring properties and reduce traffic. See Chapter Eight. Landscaping along the northern boundary of the Mar-a-Lago estate will be cultivated and enhanced to serve as a sound barrier for the benefit of Woodbridge residents. If after a reasonable period of time this vegetational buffer proves to be inadequate, the boundary wall will be increased in height with appropriate governmental approval.

All statements in this text and the special exception application constitute representations binding upon the Club and enforceable by the Town of Palm Beach Code Enforcement Officer and Board.

## CONCLUSION

In order to preserve Mar-a-Lago into perpetuity -- beyond the lifetime of any one owner -- it must be shifted to communal usage where expenses of maintenance are shared by a group. Playa Riente (owned by Mrs. Horace Dodge), El Mirasol (the Edward T. Stotesbury estate), the home of Louis Clarke Anthony and Casa Bendita are examples of Palm Beach's architectural masterpieces which were lost by the absence of creative, adaptive reuse. Mere landmarking status is positively no insurance that Mar-a-Lago will last. Landmarking, while it seems permanent, does not pay for any upkeep. Many landmarks have disappeared; some have been razed or ruined by individual owners. If any single owner becomes unable to maintain Mar-a-Lago, it will deteriorate into oblivion.

A private club was discussed as a means of preserving the Stotesbury estate, but the concept was ridiculed as too radical. The Stotesbury palace was once regarded as the centerpiece of Palm Beach society. Now the Stotesbury estate is demolished and its glamorous statement is dead. If Mar-a-Lago is not preserved through club conversion, the missed opportunity will be remembered as infamy.

## CHAPTER TWO

## PRINCIPLES OF PRESERVATION

## PRINCIPLES OF PRESERVATION

The following principles of preservation shall be adopted and strictly adhered to by The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc.:

## PRINCIPLE I

CRITICAL FEATURES OF MAR-A-LAGO SHALL NOT BE ALTERED, MODIFIED OR CHANGED IN ANY MANNER WHATSOEVER, EXCEPT FOR COMPELLING ECONOMIC OR PRACTICAL NECESSITIES. An example of a compelling economic or practical necessity would be the following: if a hurricane destroyed a significant portion of a carving, it might be replaced with a close facsimile using the same materials.

## PRINCIPLE II

CRITICAL FEATURES MAY BE RESTORED TO AN EARLIER INCARNATION, IF SUCH INCARNATION CAN BE CONCLUSIVELY AND SPECIFICALLY PROVEN THROUGH PHOTOGRAPHS OR WRITTEN DOCUMENTATION.

## PRINCIPLE III

HIGHLY-REPUTABLE, FINANCIALLY HEALTHY AND DULY LICENSED RESTORATION EXPERTS, ARCHITECTS, GENERAL CONTRACTORS, ENGINEERS, MATERIAL SUPPLIERS, MASONS, ROOFERS, CARPENTERS, ELECTRICIANS, PAINTERS, LANDSCAPE EXPERTS, SCULPTORS, AND OTHER SKILLED CRAFTSMEN SHALL BE RETAINED BY THE CLUB ON A CONTINUOUS BASIS SO THAT ANY AND ALL MAINTENANCE, REPAIR AND REPLACEMENT TO CRITICAL FEATURES CAN BE UNDERTAKEN EXPEDITIOUSLY AND DETERIORATION MINIMIZED. A compilation of the foregoing shall be approved, catalogued and updated annually by The Preservation Committee (as established on a permanent basis in the By-Laws of The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc.).

## PRINCIPLE IV

A MAINTENANCE AND INSPECTION SCHEDULE SHALL BE ESTABLISHED, UPDATED ON AN ANNUAL BASIS BY THE PRESERVATION COMMITTEE, AND STRICTLY FOLLOWED. The initial schedule, prepared with the assistance of Jim Griffin and Howard Wilson, superintendents at Mar-a-Lago, is set forth in Chapter Seven, entitled "Maintenance and Inspection Schedule."

## PRINCIPLE V

MOISTURE PROTECTION SYSTEMS, BUILDING DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, SITE DRAINAGE SYSTEMS, ELECTRICAL SYSTEMS AND FIRE-SAFETY SYSTEMS

SHALL BE MAINTAINED AND UPGRADED FROM TIME TO TIME USING THE MOST EFFECTIVE TECHNOLOGY TO PROTECT ALL COMPONENTS OF CRITICAL FEATURES.

## PRINCIPLE VI

CRITICAL FEATURES WHICH REQUIRE REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT SHALL BE REPAIRED OR REPLACED WITH MATERIALS IDENTICAL TO PRE-EXISTING MATERIALS.

PRINCIPLE VII
CRITICAL FEATURES SHALL BE INSURED FOR THEIR FULL REPLACEMENT VALUE AGAINST WINDSTORM, FIRE AND ALL OTHER CASUALTIES.

PRINCIPLE VIII
USAGES OF MAR-A-LAGO SHALL BE REGULATED SO THAT ONGOING "WEAR AND TEAR" IS MINIMIZED.

## PRINCIPLE IX

THE PRESERVATION COMMITTEE SHALL RIGOROUSLY ENFORCE THE FOREGOING PRINCIPLES AND ADOPT SUPPLEMENTAL PRINCIPLES FROM TIME TO TIME WHICH FURTHER THE ORIGINAL CONCEPTIONS OF MARJORIE MERRIWEATHER POST, JOSEPH URBAN AND MARION SIMS WYETH. The Committee shall maintain archives of books, photographs, news and magazine articles, films, surveys and the like for permanent references as to the original composition of Mar-a-Lago.

## PRINCIPLE X

IN ADDITION TO THE FOREGOING, THE U.S. SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR'S STANDARDS FOR REHABILITATION AND GUIDELINES FOR REHABILITATING HISTORIC BUILDINGS, AS AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME, SHALL BE CLOSELY OBSERVED.

## CHAPTER THREE

## CRITICAL FEATURES OF MAR-A-LAGO

## CRITICAL FEATURES OF MAR-A-LAGO

The following are Critical Features of Mar-a-Lago which must be totally preserved in their existing form and condition. The descriptions below are abridged since details are described in Chapters Four, Five and Six and visually depicted in the site drawings and floor plans of Chapter Eleven.

1. Main Entrance Gate. The Gate is a double wood spindled, two-leaf gate which opens inward. The masonry is covered with Spanish tiles and is lighted by two wrought-iron figures holding torches. Please see photograph 1.
2. Perimeter Wall. A stucco wall extends on the property line from Lake Worth to the west and around the Southern Boulevard curve to a terminus at the east center of the Mansion. The wall re-continues to the north, passes through the Main Entrance Gate, is interrupted by the Property Manager's Complex and runs to the service entrance gate at the north property line.
3. Main Entrance Drive. The main drive is perpendicular and west of South Ocean Boulevard, one hundred feet from the north property line. It is approximately fourteen feet wide, bordered on both sides with concrete curbs and lined with coconut palms. Please see photograph 2. The driveway circles around a guest structure through a porte-cochere to the primary entrance to the Mansion at its north facade.
4. Property Manager's Complex. To the north of the main entrance drive, the property manager's grouping consists of a residence, detached garage and ancillary
building all of which are one-story, stucco, with clay barrel tile roofs.
5. The Mansion. The exterior walls, roof, carvings, columns, tiles and overall envelope of the main house, referred to herein as the Mansion, are the single most important Critical Features, as is demonstrated in photograph 12.
6. Cloisters, Patio and Parrot Pool. The Cloisters, Patio and Parrot Pool located adjacent and west of the Mansion are integral accessories to the Mansion. Please see photographs 5 and 6.
7. Open Vistas. An open vista to the east overlooks the Atlantic Ocean from the Mansion. An open vista to the west extends from the main house down and across a grassed area of approximately 250 feet in width to view Lake Worth.
8. Topographical Flow of Land. The land flows generally from a high point around the main house at an elevation of approximately 15 feet to a low point of 4 feet along the bulkhead line at Lake Worth. This flow and shaping is seen in photograph 11.
9. Vegetation, Tree Lines and Golf Course. The basic quantity and quality of vegetation and tree lines are Critical Features as noted in photgraph 11. The nine-hole golf course west of the Mansion, referred to sometimes as a "Pitch and Putt" or "Par 3" course, must be regroomed to its previous, playable condition.
10. Mansion Rooms. The walls, floors, ceilings and physically-attached structures of the following rooms in the Mansion are Critical Features: (a) Entrance Hall, (b) Gentleman's Cloak Room, (c) Ladies' Cloak Room, (d) Living Room, (e) Dining Room, (f) Loggia, (g) Monkey Loggia, (h) Library, (i) Play Room in "Deenies House", (j) Child's Bedróom
and Bathroom, (k) Pine Hall in Master Suite, (l) Master Bedroom, (m) Master Bathroom, (n) Master Dressing Room, (o) Pavilion, (p) American Room, (q) Adams Room, (r) Venetian Sitting Room, (s) Spanish Bedroom, (t) Portuguese Room and (u) Dutch Room. Please note photographs 3 through 10 .
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## CHAPTER FOUR

## ARCHITECTURAL ANALYSIS

## ARCHITECTURAL ANALYSIS

By: Eugene Lawrence

Mar-a-Lago is designated as a local and national landmark. The factual description of the property is voluminously set forth in the following documents:

## 1967-1972 Historic American Building Survey ("HABS")

Congressional Act dated October 21, 1972 establishing Mar-a-Lago National Historic Site Advisory Commission to administer the Mar-a-Lago National Historic Site described in an Order of Designation dated January 16, 1967.

Public Law dated December 23, 1980 repealing the Order of Designation of Mar-aLago National Historic Site and redesignating Mar-a-Lago as a National Historic Landmark.

National Register of Historic Places Inventory - Nomination Form
The first task is the identification of those characteristics which make the property a landmark, so that principles may be enumerated and guidelines established to insure the preservation of the essence of the landmark.

The evaluation of the historic value of any building must take into account the life and times in which it was built, the person or persons who fashioned it and the purpose for which it was built. This context is the framework and the background against which the tangible and intangible results can be measured.

The United States was a young nation in the early part of the twentieth century. In the historical blink of an eye, it had declared and fought for its independence, established and proved a very radical form of government, occupied most of a continent, endured a wrenching civil war and reconstruction period, fought a war a continent away and emerged a world power. Great fortunes were amassed in this time, and the culture struggled to define an aristocracy absent

Whe 3 yalty or institutions that had taken centuries to crystalize in Europe. It was that context Which defined the need for a Mar-a-Lago.

Henry Morrison Flagler had started a winter colony in Palm Beach. He had built the "grandest of all hotels of all times", the Royal Poinciana. "It existed at a time when it was mostly rich society people only who came to Florida." He followed The Royal Poinciana with Palm Beach's white marble palace, Whitehall - built for his new bride and was followed by a string of "cottages". Those "cottages" were in fact large estates built by those who had amassed great fortunes as a statement of their presence. The object was to provide for a grand lifestyle in a private home in lieu of the grand lifestyle for the thousands in The Royal Poinciana Hotel. Having no historical precedent in this country, large chunks of European culture were bodily moved or emulated. The colony of that affluent group of emerging aristocracy pointed the way to Palm Beach as the place for a Mar-a-Lago.

Marjorie Merriweather Post was the daughter of the inventor of Post Toasties. She inherited the cereal business in 1914 and helped build it into the giant General Foods Corporation. Far more than a society matron, Marjorie Merriweather Post was shrewd and decisive in business matters and one of the founders of great fortunes. She had the ability to command her wealth rather than to fall hostage to it. Along with the Stotesburys, the Phipps, the Dodges, the Donahues, the Boltons, the Vanderbilts and others she sought to make a social statement. The 122 room statement made by the lady of the group proved to be Palm Beach's most impressive home.

Mar-a-Lago is first the statement of Marjorie Merriweather Post. While the tangible forms the structure took were expressed through the architects, the sculptors, the
nurserymen and the other artists involved, the final product is undeniably the creation of its owner.

When taking into account that Mar-a-Lago was one of several of Mrs. Post's properties, the immensity of the program becomes even more apparent. Mar-a-Lago was fashioned to be a setting for this grand lifestyle. It included not only gracious quarters for the family as seen in photgraphs 8 and 9, but numerous other suites for the guests who would visit when Mrs. Post was in residence as seen in photograph 10. Titled and untitled royalty from all over the world were expected. The Mansion was sized for entertaining in the most grand fashion - small and large dinners, parties, dances were to be in no less gracious setting anywhere in the world. It is perhaps best expressed by Mrs. Post's statement that nowhere in the United States did the Government have an appropriate place for visiting heads of state comparable to those found in Europe. She willed the estate to the United States Government for that purpose.

Recognizing that the space requirements would result in an extremely large mass, Mrs. Post directed that the structure be segmented into intermediate components to reduce the bulk of the building. The main living areas are fashioned around a central crescent, with the master suite, one daughter's suite and a guest house in inter-connecting structures, anchored by an $85^{\prime}$ high tower. This complex is surrounded by the property manager's grouping and service buildings.

It is noteworthy that the entrance is far from the most imposing architectural element either in scale or location. The entrance sequence begins with a two leafed, double spindled wood gate in a masonry arch decorated with antique Spanish tiles at Ocean Boulevard as seen in photograph 1. It flows down a formal, palm lined gravel drive, allowing a full view
of the ocean facade, as seen in photograph 2 around a two story guest cottage and reaches its destination in a porte-cochere. Here, function was preferred over grandeur to allow the unobstructed sea-to-lake vista inside the structure.

Steps lead through an arched entrance, into a large Reception Hall as seen in photograph 3. Ladies' and gentlemen's cloak rooms open to the Reception Hall to allow guests to be assembled for entrance into the Living Room. The Hall also serves nicely to greet smaller groups of arriving and departing guests. The Hall is personalized with antique Spanish tiles as found on the entrance gates and family crests.

The entrance into the Living Room through massive carved doors is a spatial explosion both in the volume of space and the openness to view. Mar-a-Lago - Sea to Lake is the name of the estate and a very vivid expression of its central focus. The ceiling rises to nearly thirty feet, seeming higher by the gilded "Thousand Wing" Ceiling patterned after the famous Accademis in Venice noted in photograph 4. A single pane of glass over 12 feet across frames the ocean vista to the left in a Roman arch. The Lake vista is seen to the right across the Crescent, the Patio and down an allee of Royal Palms to the Lake. The room itself is very grand in its size, proportion and decor. The doors to the west lead to the semi-circular crescent whose cloisters define the generally round patio some 75 feet across. Please see photograph 5. The patio is shaded overhead by a very large gumbo limbo tree on the south and a blend of palms on the north. The western center of the patio contains a parrot pool, a low reflection pool with wrought iron and water plants that is framed with sculptures of groups of parrots as seen in photograph 6. While this pool is a delight in itself, it is interesting to note that its placement obviates the need for a rail, which would diminish the lake view.

The Dining Room is entered directly from the northwest corner of the Living Room, and is equally grand in its size and decor. The integration of architectural elements with murals, and the general scale of the room make it the setting for the most formal of dinners as is apparent in photograplı 7.

Around the South Crescent, down a half-flight of stairs, Mrs. Post later added a Dance Pavilion. It opens to the north and to the south, with a projection room to the east and a stage to the west. This Pavilion was used for dances, motion pictures and other types of entertainment.

This sequence of spaces, the Reception Hall, the Living Room, the Dining Room, the Cloister, the Patio and the Pavilion are the heart of the mansion. Here hundreds of splendidly dressed guests were entertained in a style not unlike the courts of Europe, in a setting equally elegant. The form and organization of the spaces are functional, fluid, well integrated and superbly responsive to the site. Many large houses contain comparably sized rooms. Few, if any, achieve the softness lent by the crescent, the power of the space volumes with the views and the fluid integration of the spaces.

The family and guest suites, photographs 8,9 and 10 provide privacy and intimacy at the opposite end of the scale of grandeur established in the spaces for entertaining. Please see photographs 3 through 7. They are warm, have individual but very strong character and allow the independence and privacy necessary for house guests staying extended periods of time. There are generous spaces for the functions required, including sitting rooms, as photograph 8, play rooms, a nanny's bedroom where appropriate and, probably most important, they are arranged in such a way that minimizes hallway encounters. While these issues may appear abstract, they
form the essence of a lifestyle structured almost entirely by choice, one of the greatest elements of luxury.

Staff or servants rooms are plentiful for the core staff that traveled with the family and the staff who maintained the estate year round. Service facilities, the kitchen, pantry, laundry, and other housekeeping facilities are efficiently located and commensurate with the size of the estate and the functions that were held. Note should be taken of the sheer volume of functions that were required to live that lifestyle. The assembly of the materials, the organization of them into a workable system and the management of staff and services were a formidable achievement.

Finally there is the setting. Mrs. Post directed that the mass of the house not be overwhelming. It is beautifully scaled to itself and set on the high point of the land, gently flowing from the sea to the lake. The ocean and lake vistas are treated very simply in contoured lawn, interspersed on the lake side by a variety of generally isolated trees, mostly palms. The sculptured land became a pitch and putt golf course on the lake side. The north and south sides of these vistas are defined by tree lines, the southern one indicated in photograph 11 made up generally of mixed tropical planting and native species most probably in place when the house was constructed. These tree lines function to provide privacy for the mansion, particularly car lights from the south and to screen the service elements, slat houses, staff quarters, water tanks and citrus groves from the main house. The total vista is over a quarter of a mile in length from water to water.

The site is bounded on the east and south by a plain masonry wall, varying in height from about six feet on the west side to over sixteen feet on the southeast section. Its scale
is so tall as to require buttressing. While its surfaces are as undecorated as the mansion is decorated, it is so softened by tropical planting as to be difficult to identify in most places. This wall begins the boundary identification at the northeast corner, is intercepted by a service gate, is integrated into the property manager's house and leads to the main gate. The inter- connection of the site wall, the property manager's grouping and the main gate gives further scape to the property as a whole.

How then is the essence to be defined? The estate was born in a very heady time in the growth of our country, built by a wealthy and powerful person, to provide a setting for a very grand and gracious lifestyle.

It is a significant achievement in many ways.
In the most pedestrian aspect it is a logistical masterpiece. The kitchen, pantry and food service facilities far exceed most present day restaurants. A notebook was kept for Mrs. Post depicting photographs of almost thirty place settings of china, crystal and tableware to be selected for a given event. Staff quarters were generously provided and strategically located. The property manager's complex of buildings is free standing and located between the entrances. Mrs. Post's secretary's quarters were directly under the master suite with private access. The mechanical services, largely in the lower level, are far more reminiscent of the working spaces of a ship than a house.

The organization of its functional plan, extended into spatial concepts and interrelated, is fluid, exciting and appears to have grown together without effort. A good house should have a space for every mood. Note the contrast in photographs 4 and 8. Mar-A-Lago is unbelievably successful in that context. While the entertainment spaces are a fitting setting for
any jewels or gowns or presence, there are equally well executed spaces which are intimate, warm, whimsical, airy, casual, sheltering and a place to take the sun any time of day.

The decoration and treatment of the surfaces make this enormous structure at once a huge, interconnected painting. The antique Spanish tiles, some of which are seen in the entrance hall, photograph 3, form probably the greatest collection in the world. The plaster relief crests, the "Thousand Wing Ceiling," the marble inlaid floors, the wood parquet floors, the tapestries, paneling and millwork form an endless line of almost endless detail. Mrs. Post traveled the world to assemble the furnishings and decorative art that contribute largely to her statement. It is noteworthy that the very intricate, sometimes formal, sometimes casual textures always reflect the volume and shape of the spaces. The carvings, or more accurately sculptures, by Franz Barwig and his son are a study all in themselves. Working both in stone and wood, they created motifs of rams, birds, monkeys, comic people, parrots and an endless variety of floral patterns. The motifs range from very proud and stern to light and playful, from seriousness to absolute whimsy.

Finally, the assemblage of all this is executed with the same finesse as the parts. The sheer size of the mansion is mitigated by its division into separate components and the Ocean and Lake vistas. Far from being stoic, these components are integrated skillfully in a very fluid and romantic fashion. The expansion of the chimneys into major architectural elements -- or the termination of architectural elements by fanciful chimneys as seen in photograph 11, provide an especially playful, light and uplifting character to the entire complex. Scale is lefined as the way one mass relates to another -- a mix of masses, not just the size of an element. The scale of Mar-A-Lago, set in its vistas, assembled with a full range of solid, open, soaring, rambling and playful
masses is truly masterful as can be seen in photograph 12. The visual expression is just what it was meant to be, a setting for a grand and gracious lifestyle. But, as the property says, a happy, light, and playful grand lifestyle.

## CHAPTER FIVE

## INVENTORY OF MAR-A-LAGO BUILDINGS

## INVENTORY OF MAR-A-LAGO BUILDINGS

The glory of Mar-a-Lago has been described in detail and photographed in several of the sources listed in the Selected Bibliography and should serve as permanent reference for its preservation. The three most authoritative descriptions are excerpted in the following pages.

PART A
In Heiress; The Rich Life of Mariorie Merriweather Post, William Wright provides this portrait on pages 93 through 97:

Guests pass through an imposing and fanciful gate on South Ocean Boulevard and proceed up a drive lined with coconut palms....

Entering the house you come into a spacious hall with centuries-old Spanish tiles that line the walls to a height of eight feet. (There are some 36,000 such tiles embedded into those walls). A hooded fireplace with a bust of Homer on one side, Hadrian on the other, dominates the room. High on the walls are ten plaques of coats of arms related to the Post and Merriweather families that Marjorie had unearthed with the help of complaisant genealogists.

Huge cypress doors lead into a monumental drawing room that soars more than two stories to an overwhelming ceiling of intricately carved gold leaf, a copy of the famous "Thousand-Wing Ceiling" in Venice's Accademia. The room was proportioned to accommodate seven tapestries from a Venetian palace, which give a hushed and lugubrious feeling; yet clumps of heavy padded furniture scattered around make the vast space seem comfortable, almost cozy. The whole effect is very much like one of the movie palaces of the twenties that Urban and other romantics designed and that were, in turn, probably trying to resemble a fantastic private palace like Mar-a-Lago.

The drawing room is the most stupendous feature of a stupendous house and is surely one of the most remarkable rooms in any American residence. Marjorie delighted in the impact the room made. She would often conceal herself in the second-floor gallery on one of the small balconies high against the gold ceiling to observe guests' reactions when they first entered the room.

Marble steps lead up to a wide window alcove where an enormous expanse of plate glass frames the lawn and the ocean. When the glass (which had been specially made in Pittsburgh and with great difficulty was transported to Florida by a chartered truck) was being coaxed into position, it shattered. The months-long process had to be repeated.

The dining room, a copy of the room in Rome's Chigi Palace that Mussolini used as an office, was almost as lavish....

A modest library is lined with antique pine paneling and holds a portrait by Sir Joshua Reynolds. The drawing room opens onto an enclosed space called the Monkey Loggia because of some carved stone monkeys that perch near the ceiling (one next to the library wears glasses and is reading a book). Throughout the house appear intricate carvings in wood and
stone, mostly the work of Viennese sculptors, Franz Barwig and his son, who spent three years at Mar-a-Lago fashioning the rich ornamentation.

There were four master-bedroom suites; the one designated for Marjorie was of a Versailles lavishness. On the ground level, it was reached by the covered loggia that circles around the mansion's Lake Worth side. Inside, a sitting room was followed by two enormous bedrooms, side by side, one for Ed and one for Marjorie. Each had its own bath, but Marjorie's was more than just that.

One passed from her bedroom through a corridor (from which spen various closets and a commode), ending up in a large semicircular room with windows overlooking the lawns and gardens that stretched to the lake. This room was a combination bath and office. It had a bathtub and dressing table, but it also had a desk and a phone. Here Marjorie would spend her morning with her secretary and masseur, exercising, putting together her toilette, writing letters, composing memos to the staff, making phone calls.

On the second floor of Mar-a-Lago a number of other bedroom suites open off the partially covered semicircular walk that tops the loggia below. Each of the major bedrooms is decorated in a completely different style, contributing strongly to the cries of "bastard" that met Mar-a-Lago's unveiling. One is in an old Dutch style with much heavy carved oak and Delft tiles -- Marjorie's mother had loved Delft -- set into the walls and into the head and footboards of the twin beds. Another suite is Spanish; another, perhaps the most incongruous in the predominantly Spanish-Moorish ambience, is a large room (in the formal English architectural style of the brothers Adam) that looks as though it could have graced the Governor's Mansion at Williamsburg.

A bedroom suite on the ground floor is perhaps the most fanciful of this freewheeling architectural fantasy. It is "Deenie's House," also known as the Sleeping Beauty Suite, a series of rooms that open off the loggia next to the entrance to the Hutton's bedroom complex. Leading into these rooms is an iron gate. After the Lindbergh kidnapping an armed guard was stationed here at all times. Inside is a large sitting room and two bedrooms -- one for Nedenia, one for her nurse.

Dina's bedroom was an oval-shaped space that focused on a fireplace in the form of an outsized beehive. Rich ornamentation in plaster relief trailed around the walls: pink roses on twisting vines. Two bright yellow plaster canaries peeked out from the green plaster foliage....

Behind Mar-a-Lago is a nine hole golf course (reusing the same fairway) that stretched to Lake Worth and a boat dock. To either side, paths wend through lush tropical gardens. The patio has a large fountain and stone steps, with a blue flood-light installed in the tower to ensure all-phase, all-weather moonlight.

To the front a lawn stretches down to South Ocean Boulevard, a gentle upsweep in the lawn concealing the road but not high enough to block the view of the Atlantic Ocean.

## PART B

The Honorable James R. Knott depicts Mar-a-Lago in The Mansion Builders: Palm Beach Revisited III on pages 56:

Old roof tiles were brought from Cuba. Italian and Portuguese workmen were hired to do much of the woodworking, but local labor was used as much as possible, including college youths. The ironwork was cast and wrought in West Palm Beach, and Florida cypress was used in doors, beams and wherever possible. Marjorie wanted to help the local economy, which was suffering badly after the collapse of the boom.

The decorative features of the house include 36,000 old Spanish tiles, many dating back to the 15 th century. According to a scholar in that field, there are more antique Spanish tiles in Mar-a-Lago than in any other building in the world, excepting Spain's Alhambra. Three shiploads of Dorian stone, adaptable for carving, were brought from Genoa, Italy, for exterior walls, arches and other locations. Tiny sea shells and fossils can be seen in it.

The lofty gold-leaf ceiling of the living room at Mar-a-Lago is a copy of the famous "Thousand-Wing Ceiling" in the Accademia in Venice. Spanish furnishings and lanterns and Bristol chandeliers are complemented by rare silk-needlework panels on the walls, from an old palace in Venice. The dining room is adapted from that of the Chigi Palace in Rome, used by Mussolini as his office. The library, panelled in old English walnut, contains notable paintings. The guest suites are named for their decor -- Adam, Venetian, Spanish, Portuguese, Dutch (delft), American, etc. The wing designed for Dina's childhood days, "Deenie's House," with her oval bedroom guarded by wrought iron bars (after the Lindbergh kidnapping), features fairy-tale motifs.

## PART C

The most detailed inventory of Mar-a-Lago was compiled by John C. Poppeliers, Dr. Samuel Proctor, Nancy K. Beinke and Professor F. Blair Reeves, A.I.A. as HABS No. FLA195 for the Historic American Building Survey of The National Park Service's Office of Archeology and Historic Preservation:

> MAR-A-LAGO

Location: 1100 South Ocean Boulevard, Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida

Statement of
Significance:
Mar-a-Lago is one of the most lavish mansions built in Florida in the early 20th century when the State was a wintering place for the country's wealthiest and most prominent families. Architecturally, it followed the contemporary vogue for the Spanish Revival, a style particularly suited to the climate and history of the area.

## PART I. HISTORICAL INFORMATION

A. Physical History:

1. Legal description of the property: The 16.98 acres which make up the estate are described as "being all that part of the North 610.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2 of Section 35, Township 43 South, Range 43 East, in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying West of Ocean Boulevard (S. R. AIA) Right of Way . . ."
2. Date of erection: Construction began in 1923. The house was officially opened in January, 1927.
3. Architect: Marion Sims Wyeth, FAIA.

Interior designer: Joseph Urban.
Sculptor: Franz Barwig.
Landscape Architects: The firm of Lewis and Valentine was responsible for the original landscaping.
4. Original plans and construction: Mar-a-Lago is one of America's most elaborate 20th century mansions. The site, the style, and a large amount of the decor was personally chosen by Marjorie Merriweather Post (then Mrs. Edward F. Hutton), who had the house built. After searching the area south of Worth Avenue for many months with her agent, Lytle Hull, Mrs. Post found a property which was suitable for the construction of the kind of residence she wanted. The property, overgrown with lush vegetation, consisted of approximately seventeen acres on a coral reef located between the Atlantic Ocean and Lake Worth. The reef provided a firm base for the house which was anchored to it with concrete and steel, and has withstood hurricanes and heavy tropical storms over the years. The name Mar-a-Lago means between sea and lake.

Construction of Mar-a-Lago began in 1923. At that time Addison Mizner was the rage in Palm Beach, creating tile-roofed palaces for wealthy patrons in a style inspired by the architecture of Spain. Mrs. Post, however, retained Marion Sims Wyeth, a graduate of Princeton and the Ecole des Beaux Arts, as her architect. Many of the ideas that went into the house were Mrs. Post's. She had traveled extensively in Europe, visiting the palaces, estates, and great museums of the continent. She had seen many decorative motifs which she wished to adapt for her own residence and had acquired a collection of architectural remnants and fine furnishings which
were incorporated in the house. It was her idea to bring together the Spanish, Venetian, and Portuguese styles into one harmonious and striking effect.

Joseph Urban, noted among his many accomplishments as an interior designer, was chosen to oversee the interior decoration and carved ornamental stone work at Mar-a-Lago. He was responsible for bringing his fellow Viennese, Franz Barwig and Barwig's son, Walter, to Palm Beach to execute the interior and exterior sculpture. Father and son worked nearly three years developing the various motifs, including the parrot, money, ram's head, eagle, and griffin figures which adorn the outside and cloister walls for which the residence is so famous. Their modeling shop, where much of the carving and casting was done, stood near the present entrance to the beach tunnel. The original plaster models are still stored on the premises.

Cooper C. Lightbrown of Washington, D.C., received the construction contract. Most of his workmen were recruited from the greater Palm Beach area where the building boom had caused a cadre of skilled workmen to assemble. Because of the vogue for the Spanish style in palatial resort building, firms which manufactured the pottery, tile and ironwork needed for this style had sprung up in Palm Beach. Consequently, much of the material for the house was purchased in the area.

Among the imported materials were the three boatloads of Doria stone from Genoa used for the exterior wall facing, some of the interiors, the arches, and the Barwig sculptures. This fossil-bearing limestone was chosen for its quality of rapid aging and its suitability for intricate carving. The roofing tiles, approximately 20,000 of them, and the 2,200 black and white marble floor blocks used in the entrance hall, living, and dining rooms came from a Cuban castle. Of particular interest is the vast number of antique Spanish tiles which are used lavishly in the entrance hall, patio, cloisters, and in some of the rooms. Mrs. Post acquired a collection of nearly 36,000 tiles that had been assembled in the 1880's by Mrs. Horace Havermeyer. These tiles date back to the 15th century, and some of the oldest ones show the influence of the Moors after their invasion of Spain. The collection of Spanish tiles at Mar-a-Lago is probably one of the largest in the world.
. The house is a sprawling Mediterranean-style villa that is an adaptation of the Hispano-Moresque style which was so popular in Palm Beach at the time. It has a two-story central block with family quarters and service areas in lower subsidiary wings and buildings. This arrangement was chosen by Mrs. Post to keep the main house from appearing too massive and to separate the family and service areas from those used for entertaining. The
ocean facade of the house is rectangular, while on the west side a crescentshaped arc lined with double cloisters faces Lake Worth. Sheltered in the crescent is a round patio paved with surf-polished stones discovered by Mrs. Post along the beaches of her Long Island hunting preserve at Great South Bay. Carloads of these black, white, yellow, multicolored stones were shipped to Mar-a-Lago and laid in the pattern of a courtyard Mrs. Post had seen at the Alhambra in Spain. The house is topped by a seventy-five foot, tile-roofed tower containing bedrooms and baths and an observation deck commanding a view of Palm Beach for miles around.

Stretching from the house down to Lake Worth are the landscaped grounds including a nine-hole golf course. At one time Mrs. Post brought the Ringling Brothers-Barnum \& Bailey Circus to the estate to give a benefit show, and the tents were pitched on this spacious lawn. The main entrance to the house is through a large gate and coconut palm-lined drive on the Atlantic Ocean side. A stone path and tunnel pass under South Ocean Boulevard to the ocean beach where a private pool and cabanas serve Mrs. Post and her guests. Joseph Urban's Bath and Tennis Club is also accessible through this tunnel.

The grounds are beautifully landscaped with tropical vegetation and flowers. Potted plants and flowers also profusely adorn the patio and loggias. A citrus grove, greenhouses, a cutting garden, guest houses, and staff quarters are also on the estate. Colored lights in the trees and lanterns in the cloisters accentuate the architecture and foliage at night.

Mar-a-Lago is filled with fine furniture, art objects, and ornaments that Mrs. Post has collected during her travels or has commissioned especially for the house. This is illustrated by the central and most important room in the house, the living room, sometimes called the gold room. The gold leaf ceiling is a copy of the "Thousand-Wing Ceiling" in the Accademia at Venice. Mrs. Post substituted a gold sunburst for the angel faces in the centers of the wing motifs of the original. Seven rare silk needlework panels from a Venetian palace are on the walls, and suspended from the seven large archways are old Spanish lanterns. The large hooded fireplace was designed by Joseph Urban to be a harmonious focal point for the room. On the ocean side of the room, a small, raised loggia leads to the great arched window set in a deep border of carved griffins which is the most prominent architectural feature of the east facade. The glass was so large that the freight cars transporting it from the factory in Pittsburgh had to be rerouted to avoid tunnels and low bridges. The whole process had to be repeated when the first window shattered while being set in place.

The house contains various bedroom suites, each named for its interior
design and decor; many of these suites have a panoramic view of the gardens. The Dutch Room is a memorial to Mrs. Post's mother who particularly liked the Dutch Delft tiles from which the room takes its name. The suite that was used by Mrs. Post's daughter, the actress Dina Merrill, when she was a child is called the Baby House and is furnished with a carved, silvered bed and an intricately designed beehive fireplace. The hinges of the doors are designed as twining roses, and the handles are small squirrels with the tails for the levers. The rug in this room was woven from a design in a fairy tale illustrated by Joseph Urban.
5. Alterations and additions: Mar-a-Lago has been substantially unaltered since its completion. The one major change has been the addition of a dance pavilion and passage at the southwest end of the cloister in 1961-62, to accommodate, among other entertainments, the square dances which Mrs. Post particularly enjoys.

In 1964, the patio off the Monkey Loggia was enclosed with glass to form the "Tent Room." It is used for dining.

Fall-out shelters have been added in the basement of the main structure.

## PART II. ARCHITECTURAL INFORMATION

A. General Statement:

Constructed of reinforced concrete and hollow ceramic tile faced with stucco and/or stone veneer, its detailing is excellent, consisting of bas-relief and sculpture in Doria stone (fossil-bearing limestone from Genoa, Italy), antique Spanish glazed tile, and carved cypress details. A seventy-five foot tower dominates the structure and provides a focal point for the Palm Beach area.
B. Description of Exterior:

1. Overall dimensions: Approximately $300^{\prime}$ by $600^{\prime}$.
2. Foundations: The structure was built on a coral reef, and, as a protection against high tides, reinforced concrete and/or sheet steel piling were used in addition to reinforced concrete slab and foundation walls.
3. Wall construction: Walls are constructed of hollow sixteen inch ceramic tile. The interior is covered with lath and plaster 1-1/2 to 2 inches thick. On the exterior is a white-brown cement stucco in a variety of textures. Carved Doria stone in dressed ashlar and bas-relief patterns is used for most of the exterior ornament. Spanish glazed tiles (in abstractions of the Lion
of San Marco, three towered castle, floral and geometric patterns) set in cement serve as wainscots and decorative panels. The tower framing is reinforced concrete and cypress.

## 4. Porte-cochere, cloisters, patio, covered ways, courtyards:

a. Porte-cochere: A porte-cochere, with a covered way above, arches across the main drive between the entrance hall and the guest house, and provides the principal entrance to the house. The arched openings spring from two engaged columns at each corner. Two male figures in bas-relief serve as capitals of each column. The ceiling of the porte-cochere is plastered in a groined vault. An antique hand-worked sheet metal and glass lantern hangs from the intersection of the groins and is secured by chains from the four corners. At the south side of the porte-cochere is the arched opening to the entrance hall. The barrel-vaulted stone ceiling is coffered with a flora boss in each panel. The surround of the main entrance door is round-arched with bas-relief in a floriate and human figure motif. The door consists of wrought-iron grille work with glass backing. Opposite the main door is another similar door to the guest house.
b. First Level Cloister: This cloister follows the concave curve of the house on the west side at the first floor level. It provides a transitional space between the living room and library and the circular patio and also provides access to the Owner's Suite, the Baby House (Children's Suite), secretaries' offices, second-floor stairways, and to the kitchen-service area. Continuity of the cloister's arcade and vaulted ceiling is interrupted by arches and groined ceiling at the doorway from the living room and at each end of the crescent.

1. Flooring: The floor is paved with Doria stone. Ceramic strip inserts in an interlacing bond motif (a detail which occurs in other paving and as a stair-riser decoration) define the paving pattern. An inlay of black pebbles (see patio flooring) occurs at the living room entry and at the ends of the cloister.
2. Ceiling: The cloister has a barrel-vaulted plaster ceiling. A rope molding marks the intersections of the cloister vault with the arches of the arcade. This treatment is repeated on the inside wall with corbeled capitals.
3. Walls: Inside walls are Doria stone with large panels of antique Spanish glazed ceramic tiles reflecting the open arches opposite.
4. Cloister arches: Soffits are decorated in an arabesque lineal pattern of foliage and grapes. The arches are framed by simple ashlar masonry on the patio side. The arches and wall surrounds opposite the living room entry and at the ends of the cloister project outward and are decorated with an elaborate bas-relief on the patio facade. This bas-relief is in a vine and bird motif. Vines grow upward from pendent bases at the springline of each arch to include grape clusters, bells, flowers, birds on nests and in flight, with the central motif of birds feeding their young occurring at the keystone.
5. Columns: Exterior columns of the cloister have Doria stone capitals carved in a motif of entwined birds above acanthus leaves. Column shafts are cast concrete replacements emulating originals of Doria stone. Carved square bases include free-standing hovering birds at each corner. Columns in the recessed entrance to the library and Children's Suite are engaged and free-standing.
6. Lighting: A variety of sheet metal and glass lanterns in a traditional Spanish style.
c. Cloister to the Owner's Suite: A short cloister at right angels to the southwest terminal of the first level cloister leads to the Owner's Suite. The treatment of the columns and arches of the arcade is the same as that of the main cloister. Spanning the cloister is a round arch of Doria stone voussoirs which springs from two free-standing columns. Beyond the arch, tile-decorated steps lead up to the entrance to the Pine Hall (anteroom to the Owner's Suite). The double doors are of wrought iron with glass backing and are contained in an arched opening with tile surround. To the right an arcade and stairway lead to the modern Dance Pavilion.
d. Cloister to the Kitchen-Service Wing: A short cloister at right angles to the northwest terminal of the first level cloister corresponds to the cloister to the Owner's Suite. At its northern end are two arched openings with wrought-iron grilles backed with glass which lead to the service areas.
e. Patio: The round patio is defined by the cloister, by curving
stairways to the west lawn, and by the Parrot Pool. The patio is paved in geometric patterns of black, yellow, and white pebbles from Great South Bay, Long Island. Black pebbles form an interlocking linear pattern which delineates eight-pointed stars and irregular five-sided polygons in the light-colored ground. Planting beds for tropical trees and plants interrupt the paved surface. At the east and west sides of the patio, curving stone stairways lead down to the level of the lawn. Between the stairways is the Parrot Pool, named for Barwig's carved parrots which ornament it. At the center of the retaining wall that supports the Parrot Pool is a Doria stone fountain. It consists of a shallow pool at patio level which is cantilevered over the basin of a larger pool at lawn level. The upper pool is fed by parrot spouts situated at the rim. The lower pool is fed by three Doria stone swans with wings and necks extended. The retaining wall terminates at the fountain with two carved stone finials with clusters of parrots at the tops. Similar finials occur at the lower ends of the stair rails. Wrought iron marsh grass and water birds project above the retaining wall at the west side of the upper pool.
f. Upper Cloister and Loggia: The upper cloister or loggia is immediately above the first level cloister and provides access to the guests' quarters and the secretaries' offices.
7. Floor: Unglazed ceramic tile, sealed and unsealed.
8. The parapet wall of the first level cloister extends upwards to rail height to form a protective guard, perforated in a guilloche pattern. Wooden benches provide seating adjacent to the guard. Cast concrete outlookers in a parrot head and modified acanthus motif provide front-lighting for the parapet wall.
9. Roof: A mission-tile shed roof covers half of the upper cloister providing covered access between rooms. Columns supporting the roof are chamfered and tapered. The capitals are two-piece bolsters carved in a cyma reversa profile and fastened with dove-tail wooden keep. The fascia and soffit of the cornice are decorative with inlaid ceramic tiles, painted rope molding and corbeled rafters, the ends of which are carved and gilded eagles. Panels of turned wooden balusters are used as a frieze decoration and in grilles between columns and interior wall.
g. Covered Way between Kitchen Area and Secretary's Cottage (Circulation element at the north side of the lawn, opposite the Dance Pavilion and adjacent to the Service Wing): The covered way has Doria stone pedestals and columns with geometric capitals. There are wooden balustrades between column pedestals. A balustrade panel with carved wooden parrot and cockatoo finials forms a screen at the steps from lawn to the covered way. The wall of the covered way is of stucco with Spanish tile wainscot and panels and half-round arched openings with grilles of wood balusters. A stairway runs from the covered way up to the kitchenserving wing.
h. Veranda and Covered Way above Porte-cochere: Joins the Venetian Room and the Guest House. The wooden columns are chamfered and bolstered like those of the upper cloister. The gable roof is tiled.
i. Covered way from southwest terminal of first level cloister to Dance Pavilion (c. 1961-62 construction); The stairway to the Dance Pavilion runs down through a multi-level arcade with groined vaults in the ceiling stepped to coincide with changes in stair elevation. Spanish tiles in arched panels define changes in vault levels. There are wrought-iron grilles between piers and columns.
j. Courtyard between the Owner's Suite and the Children's Suite: An arch with bellcote spans from the northwest corner of the Children's Suite to the interior stairway connecting the Owner's Suite with secretaries' offices above. The stairway is strongly articulated by projecting patterns suggesting boxed risers and treads. Symbolic balusters and railing provide reveals for groups of windows and roof following the incline of the stair.
k. The Owner's Maids' Patio: This patio lies south of the covered way and stairs to the Dance Pavilion, between the Dance Pavilion, the Owner's Suite, and the owner's maids' quarters. There is a curving stone exterior stairway to the Owner's Suite with wrought-iron balustrade and stair enclosure.
10. Service Courtyard: Defined by service kitchen and service wing, garage, and laundry.
11. Chimneys: Except for the tower, the chimneys provide the only vertical emphasis in the design of the house. They are extremely tall with hooded caps and contain one or more flues. They are constructed of stucco-covered brick. A grouping of single shafts appears in the area of the Owner's and

Children's suites, often in combination with decorative stucco parapets.
6. Openings:
a. Doorways and doors: See detailed descriptions of porte-cochere and floor plans.
b. Windows, balconies:

1. Living Room windows, east (ocean) elevation: The huge round-arched central window is the main feature of the east facade. It is made of a single sheet of plate glass, framed by lacy wrought iron, and set in a deep Doria stone surround of carved griffins with a border of acanthus leaves. Projecting blocks define the springline and keystone. Three levels of planters project outward from the window in concentric semi-circles. On either side of the central window, a corbeled arch encloses a recessed panel of glazed Spanish tile. Within each panel, a round-arched window is set in a simple masonry surround.
2. Seven-Window Balcony: Centered above the large window is an arcade of seven unglazed openings which communicates with a narrow porch above the living room loggia. The arches are elaborately ornamented in a Moorish manner. Each column and capital is carved in a different motif. The spandrels are covered with arabesque patterns in bas-relief. Above each capital is a projecting lion's head. Similar, but less elaborate, arcades are found beneath the eaves on other elevations.
3. Dining Room Window: The large rectangular window in the dining room faces onto the service courtyard beside the porte-cochere. It has a Doria stone surround carve with foliage and human figure motif like that of the main entrance door. Totems of carved monkeys flank the window.
4. Kitchen Windows: The three round-arch openings on the east side of the kitchen wing are filled with wrought-iron grille work. Above them is a corbeled drip detail of mission tile. Separating the windows are four carved figures on pedestals representing vendors of fish, wine, vegetables, and poultry.
5. Window in Ladies' Cloak Room: In the northern portion of the east elevation is the large round-arched window to the ladies' cloak room. It has a wide surround of Doria stone voussoirs with a fascia of acanthus molding and man and vine bas-relief similar to that on the dining room window. The base course of the house is stepped upwards into the sill. Directly above, a wrought-iron grille covers the window of the Spanish Room. The pieces of carved-in-place sculpture on corbels depict a dancing couple and two musicians. A stepped drip molding pattern encloses the two windows and the sculpture. Monkey pendants occur at the terminals of the drip moldings.
6. Window in Men's Cloak Room: This window, just east of the porte-cochere, is similar to the one described above but lacks the sculptured figures.
7. Balcony off of Spanish Room and Spanish Room Bathroom: At either corner of the projecting northern portion of the east facade are small balconies at the second-floor level. They have circular floors and wrought-iron railings in an ogee curve. The supporting stone brackets are carved in an eagle and wing motif.
8. Windows in Baby House: Rectangular casement windows have iron grilles with copper inserts illustrating various fairy tales. There are wrought-iron cages over the windows in the apsidal end of the bedroom of the Baby House.
9. Windows, South Wall of the Norwegian Room: Pairs of round-arched windows are separated by columns supporting two arches. (A similar detail is at the doorway between the owner's bedroom and terrace.)
10. Windows, Apsidal end of the owner's dressing room: Five round-arched openings have two casement windows per opening,
11. Windows, East elevation, Guest House: Wrought-iron cages cover the windows at first floor level.
12. Roof:
a. Shape and covering: Hipped and gable roofs are covered with
antique Cuban mission tiles and modern "Palm Beach Cuban" mission tiles over cypress sheathing. The tile is earthen red in color. During the last ten years, all the roofing tiles were removed and the felt and asphalt base beneath was replaced. The tiles were then rewet in a cement and mortar mixture. All exterior copper flashing and pans were also replaced.
b. Cornice and eaves: The ends of the rafters and corbeled beams are cypress carved in ram's head, eagle, parrot, and griffin motifs. The soffits have panel decorations.
c. Dormers: There are sheet metal eyebrow-dormers, louvered to accommodate air conditioning equipment.
d. Tower: The 75 -foot tower rises from the roof of the house. It has a mission-tile roof with a tall decorative stucco chimney. In plan it is a rectangle truncated at each corner to form an irregular octagon. Each of the four large faces have two arched windows with a carved cartouche containing a coat of arms between them. At the top level are four cantilevered balconies supported on shaped brackets. The balconies have round wooden columns and guard rails of turned balusters. The wall faces between the balconies are set with ceramic tile.

## C. Description of Interior:

1. Entrance Hall: This hall is directly entered by way of the main door from the porte-cochere. It provides access to the living room, men's and women's cloak rooms, minor entrance to the dining room, and to telephone and janitor closets.
a. Floor: Diagonal floor pattern of $9^{\prime \prime} \times 9^{\prime \prime}$ clay tile with white marble tile border.
b. Walls: There is a high wainscoting of antique Spanish tiles in a variety of patterns. The basic colors are blue, yellow, and white. In the center of the east wall is a fireplace with conical hood recessed in a stepped tile opening. A clock with a tile face is set into a balustrade which surrounds the opening of the hood. Flanking the fireplace are corbeled niches containing early Italian copies of Roman busts. Corresponding niches and recess on the west wall contain a table and two Dresden urns.
c. Ceiling: The beamed ceiling of traditional Spanish design is painted black, red, and buff and is hung from steel eye beams. The ceiling panels contain figures of lions and griffins which correspond to the crests of the Merriweather and Post families which hang high on the walls.
d. Lighting: The hall contains eight old Spanish lanterns, four in a star shape and two, in the center, which are rectangular and gilded.
e. Opposite the main exterior door, already described, is the round-arched door to the living room. The two wooden leaves are each divided into 35 panels which contain a metal boss on the hall side and a gilded cherub on the living room side. The iron door pull is in a mother-and-child motif. Four quatrefoil-studded doors lead from the hall to the secondary spaces.
2. Men's Cloak Room:
a. Floor: $9^{\prime \prime}$ by $9^{\prime \prime}$ ceramic tile with a black tile border.
b. Walls: Three kinds of multicolored blue, yellow, and white Spanish tiles from octagonal patterns.
c. Ceiling: Sand-finished plaster ceiling of natural color with a wooden cornice.
d. Fixtures: Marble lavatory, with chrome - finished brass fixtures. Two toilet rooms each contain a water closet.
3. Women's Cloak Room: Similar to room described above. Fixtures are brass with gold finish.
4. Living Room: The room is basically rectangular in plan, approximately $30^{\circ}$ by $60^{\prime}$ with a $42^{\prime}$ ceiling height. A loggia, approximately $6^{\prime}$ deep and $60^{\prime}$ long, runs along the east side of the room and contains the large east facade window. It is approximately 2 ' above the living room floor level. There are two diagonal corner walls at the northwest and southwest which contain the openings to the library and dining room.
a. Floor: The wooden parquetry floor has a black and brown basket-weave pattern with a border of $\tan$ travertine and
veined dark green marble. An antique Spanish rug covers most of the floor. The floor of the loggia is green marble with travertine in a geometric pattern. A tigerskin rug lies in the entrance to the loggia.
b. Walls: The walls are plastered, stained, and ornamented with gold leaf. Seven tall panels contain old silk needlework tapestries from a Venetian palace. The panels are defined by bas-relief plaster moldings in classical bead and reed, woven, floral, and arabesque patterns. Marking the corners of the room are small panels, extending vertically from floor to cornice and outlined with woven plaster molding. In each panel are bosses in a bird and floral motif. Above each doorway is a bas-relief plaster depiction of armor and heraldic devices in gold leaf. Gold spiral columns run up the walls to connect with pendent panels from the ceiling. The pendent panels are outlined with an acanthus leaf molding. Those which are not attached to columns terminate with a column capital boss. The arches between the panels are decorated with the coats of arms of the Doges of Venice on a multifleur ground.

On the walls of the loggia are frescoes copied from those by Benozzo Gozzoli in the Riccardo-Medici Plazzo in Florence. They were adapted for the room by Franz Barwig.
c. Ceiling: The ceiling is a copy of the "Thousand-Wing Ceiling" in the Accademia in Venice. It consists of panels created for floriated ribs running diagonally to the main axis of the room. The panels are decorated with a bas-relief sunburst surrounded by radiating pairs of feathered wings in gold leaf on a green ground. Mrs. Post's adaptation varies from the original in the substitution of the sunburst motif for angels faces in the center of the panels and in the use of the secular coats of arms rather than those of the monks of the Accademia.

The ornamental ceiling is hung from steel eye beams leaving a space for air conditioning equipment above.

The ceiling of the loggia is coffered. The coffers are decorated with man-and-hawk bosses.
d. Lighting: Two Bristol chandeliers hang in the center of the
room. Old Spanish metal and glass lanterns hang from the open archways to adjoining rooms. Additional lighting is provided by table and floor lamps and directional lighting fixtures which focus on the needlework panels.
e. Fireplace: The tall hooded fireplace was designed by Joseph Urban to dominate the wall of the living room opposite the main entrance door. The hood is semicircular and ribbed, each rib decorated with rope moldings. Between the ribs and at the peak of the hood are gold bosses in the shape of human heads. The hood is supported at each side by a stone bracket and three stepped spiral columns. The cornice of the hood is machicolated with a floral molding above and a sunburst and wing decoration on the soffit. The rectangular fireplace surround and hearth are of Doria stone and travertine marble. The Post coat of arms in bas-relief is above the fireplace opening. The curved antique firescreen is of wrought iron.

## f. Openings:

1. A triple-arched opening which separates the living room and loggia consists of a large central pointed arch flanked by two smaller arches. It is approached by three marble steps. The arches spring from paired spiral columns with acanthus capitals and bases composed of carved free-standing lion figures on pedestals. The soffits and reveals are decorated with an arabesque motif.

The panel above the three arches is decorated with heraldic symbols. The arches in the cornice are subdivided into pairs of round-arched openings with a lantern in each opening. Secondary openings between the loggia and living room consist of pointed arches with balustrades in a quatrefoil pattern; panels above are decorated with floriated heraldic cresting and coat of arms in gold leaf on plaster.
2. Doorways into the cloister and anterooms to the dining room and library are similar to the arched openings to the loggia. The doorway to the cloister is filled with an elaborate wrought-iron grille composed of a surround, overdoor, and two glass-
backed rectangular grille doors.
3. Doorway to the Monkey Loggia is filled with a paneled screen decorated with cherub bosses corresponding to the door from the entrance hall. Two rectangular doors are in the screen.
4. The rectangular windows between the living room and cloister have molded valauces with fleur-de-lis cresting and wrought-iron screens. There are two casement sash per opening. The windows flank the door to the cloister.
5. Monkey Loggia: The monkey loggia is a rectangular room (approximately $12^{\prime}$ by $35^{\prime}$ ) immediately to the south of the living room. It provides a connection between the living room and the glass-enclosed tea house called the "Tent Room" which was built in 1964. The name comes from the carved monkeys with which Franz Barwig decorated the loggia.
a. Floor: Ceramic tile.
b. Walls: The walls are plaster with roundarched panels of Spanish tiles in blue, yellow, white, and brown. Most of the tiles are $5-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ by $11^{\prime \prime}$ and $5-1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ square. The tiles with the lettering "Plus Ultra" are some of the oldest used in the house. The trim and carved monkeys are of Doria stone.
c. Ceiling: Barrel-vaulted with cement-finish plaster.
d. Openings: The three arched openings into the "Tent Room" are filled with glass-backed wrought-iron grilles. The reverse sides of the living room doors are finished with flush boards and strap hinges.
e. Lighting: Sheet metal and glass Spanish lantern.
6. Dining Room: The dining room is approached through an anteroom with
frescoed walls, marble tile floor, and beamed ceiling. An open arch in the northwest corner of the living room leads into the anteroom and a second arched and draped opening leads to the dining room. The dining room has nearly rectangular (approximately $30^{\prime}$ by $50^{\prime}$ ) plan with two corner walls at a diagonal. Minor doorways lead into the entrance hall and into the butler's pantry. The Renaissance decoration of the room is copied from a room in the Chigi Palace in Rome. The inlaid table has been previously described.
a. Floor: Black and white marble tiles are set in a diagonal checkered pattern with travertine surround. The floor is covered with an oriental rug.
b. Walls: The plaster walls are covered with canvas painted with seascapes copied from frescoes in the Chigi Palace. The walls are divided into large segmental and smaller rectangular panels by combination pilasters - $3 / 4$ engaged columns. The multicolored simulated marble columns and travertine pilasters have composite capitals of gilded acanthus eaves. This treatment varies from the room in the Chigi Palace where the columns were trompe l'oeil. The tops of the panels are heavily molded and ornamented with gold-leaf swags and bearded faces. Bulbous extensions of the column capitals support the ceiling beams.
c. Ceiling: Ceiling beams span the short dimension of the room. Basrelieffloriated and classical egg-and-dart moldings define curvilinear panels painted in sky patterns on canvas.
d. Lighting: There are two chandeliers of gilded carved wood with flower-shaped fabric light diffusers.
e. Fireplace: The travertine surround has a baroque pediment with egg-and-dart and cavetto moldings. Scallop shell and floral decorations fill the pediment.
7. Library: Approached through an anteroom at the southwest corner of the living room, the library is basically rectangular in plan (approximately $20^{\prime}$ by $40^{\prime}$ ) with irregular diagonal corners at the fireplace wall. The paneled decoration is of Georgian inspiration.
a. Floor: Pegged oak boards.
b. Walls: The paneled walnut wainscot is approximately $10^{\prime}$ high with a heavy wooden cornice. Above that, the wall is plaster with a
plaster cornice in combinations of dentil, egg-and-dart, and other classical moldings.
c. Ceiling: Plaster ceiling in a bas-relief geometric panel pattern.
d. Fireplace: The rectangular opening has a green marble facing surrounded by a wide mitered walnut molding. The mantle frieze is carved in a floriate pattern. The cornice has dentils and cavetto moldings. In the panel above the mantel is a fixed painting of a seascape surrounded by carved wooden swags. The fireplace wall is set off by fluted Ionic pilasters.
e. Lighting: Wooden sconces and table lamps.
f. Openings: Doorways and window trim have the same floral pattern as the wainscot cornice and fireplace frieze. There are two rectangular openings with glass and metal frame doors between the library and cloister. French doors open onto the terrace at the southwest corner at the monkey loggia.

## 8. Baby House (Children's Suite or Deenie's House):

Accessible from the first level cloister and by means of a curving stairway from the upper loggia, from the Dutch Room and assistant secretary's office. The suite consists of a vestibule, sitting room, nurse's bedroom and bath, child's room and bath, and a sleeping porch.
a. Vestibule: Pegged wooden floor; paneled ceiling; plaster walls with wooden paneled wainscot and framed tapestry panel; paneled door with silver plated hardware.
b. Sitting Room (Baby's Play Room): Pegged wooden floor; plaster ceiling with wooden cornice and cove lighting. Walls are paper on plaster. Fireplace has a surround of wood, mirror, and marble, and a wooden veneer and mirror mantle with mirror panel above. There is a display case of mirror panels; a heating grill has reeded column surround; and the flush wooden doors have silver-plated and transparent plastic hardware. To the west of this room is the maid's room and bath.
c. Child's Room (Baby's Bedroom): This is the main room in the suite. It has a rectangular sleeping space and an apsidal sitting area with tall arched windows and a beehive fireplace. The pegged wooden floor is partially covered with a rug woven in medieval
fairy tale motifs based on Joseph Urban illustrations. A pink basrelief wild rose pattern covers the fireplace and spreads over the plaster walls into the sleeping area. The wild rose motif is repeated in the fire screen, the door hinges, and the crystal chandeliers. The sitting area has a half-domed ceiling and is separated from the sleeping area by a wide arch. The six casement windows have exterior grilles with copper inserts. The day sleeping porch is west of the main sleeping area. The silver-plated squirrel door handles and the squirrel bed have already been described.
d. Child's Bathroom: Two rows of tiles illustrating familiar nursery rhymes ring the room at a child's eye level. The rug is woven in childhood scenes.
9. Owner's Suite: Accessible from the south end of the cloister, and by a stairway from the maid's quarters, secretary's office, and upper loggia. The Owner's Suite consists of Pine Hall (an antechamber), a vestibule (Louis XV Hall), the owner's bedroom, toilet, bath and dressing room, and the Norwegian Room and bath;
a. Pine Hall, antechamber and sitting room:

1. Floor: Pegged wooden floor with oriental rug.
2. Walls: Walls have wooden panels, cornice, and trim. Large oil paintings above the wainscot are painted on canvas applied to the plaster walls. The fireplace wall is entirely paneled.
3. Ceiling: Plaster ceiling with raised geometric moldings.
4. Lighting: Two skylights, a central crystal chandelier, and table lamps.
5. Fireplace: The fireplace opening has a veined black marble facing and contains pieces of mined resin, backlighted to simulate glowing coals, in a cast-iron brazier. The wooden mantel has egg-and-dart molding. The arched overmantel panel contains a carved bas-relief rose tree which incorporates floral pedestals upon which small figurines are placed. The mantel and overmantel are enclosed by fluted columns supporting a broken Baroque pediment.
6. Openings: Double sliding doors and double wrought-iron
doors separate the Pine Hall from the cloister. A glazed door of ten panes leads to the exterior iron stairway to the groundlevel terrace. Another door opens to the stairway to the maid's quarters, secretary's office, and upper loggia.
b. Vestibule: It provides access from Pine Hall into the owner's bedroom and the Norwegian Room.
7. Floor: Black and white marble tile.
8. Walls: Wall treatments include panels painted in floral patterns, a mirror panel, and a false door.
9. Ceiling: Flat plaster dome with gilded classical cornice and painted floral motif.
10. Doors: Two doors in sequence per opening, one hung, one sliding. Doors are painted with medallions containing dancing figures.
c. The Owner's Bedroom: Rectangular in plan, approximately $24^{\prime}$ by 36'. Decorated in Louis XVI style.
11. Floor: Wooden parquetry with marble baseboard.
12. Walls: Above the paneled wainscoting, painted wooden molding separates the walls into large panels. These panels are filled with linen inserts painted with floral swags. Above each door is a paper insert with urn and floral decoration.
13. Ceiling: Plaster with a central bas-relief medallion and wide coved cornice.
14. Lighting: Crystal and gilded brass chandelier and sconces.
15. Fireplace: The rectangular opening has an iron surround and marble hearth. The fire dogs and fenders and tools are of polished brass. The marble mantel has gold-plated metal inserts and a mirrored panel above.
16. Decorative feature: The draped canopy above the bed
has a wooden gilt frame attached to the wall at the cornice line. Drapery swags fall from the canopy and are tied back at each side of the headboard.
17. Openings: Doors are three paneled with tiny rosettes at the corners of the panels and have bracketed cornices. Hardware is gold-plated. Doorways between the owner's bedroom, vestibule and Norwegian Room have two doors in sequence per opening, one sliding and one hinged. Two roundarched openings, each containing two French doors, open onto the room-level terrace at the south elevation.
d. Clothes closet and trunk room.
e. Toilet room off corridor between the owner's bedroom and bath-dressing room; electrically-operated safe.
f. Bath and Dressing Room:
18. Flooring: Tile and marble with rug cover.
19. Walls: Walls are multicolored onyx marble and ceramic tile with marble trim and classical cornice; tiled tub recess has marble surround; lavatory recess is similar.
20. Ceiling: Flat and vaulted plaster ceiling, flat arch between bathing and dressing areas.
21. Lighting: Lamps, sconces, lanterns, and cove lighting.
22. Fireplace: Marble fireplace surround, mantel carved in floral pattern. Iron facing in fireplace opening; brass fireplace hardware.
23. Openings: The apsidal end of the dressing room is perforated with five round-arched openings containing two casement windows per opening. An etched mirror door leads into the closet.
24. Notable hardware: Gilded brass door and plumbing
hardware, antiqued bronze grilles.
g. Norwegian Room:
25. Flooring: Wood strips.
26. Walls: Plaster.
27. Ceiling: Beamed ceiling (painted mahogany) with acanthus leaves, brackets supporting the beams; painted joists.
28. Openings: Casement windows and an extended bay window at the east elevation.
h. Bath off Norwegian Room: Extensive use of antique Spanish tile in wainscot and shower recess.
29. Vestibule-hall to Adam room, Spanish room, Venetian room, and to Venetian bedrooms (Guest House).
a. Vestibule-hall: Access from upper loggia.
30. Floor: Ceramic tile.
31. Walls: Pilaster with applied ceramic tile. A wooden grille made of spool-turned panels divides the hall into sections.
32. Ceiling: Barrel-vaulted plaster ceiling with double strips of ceramic tile spanning the vault at regular intervals.
33. Lighting: Spoon wall sconces; skylight baffled to obscure direct light.
34. Openings: Doors decorated with linear molded pattern.
b. Closet off of vestibule - hall overlooks upper part of living room.
c. Vestibule to Adam Room:
35. Flooring: Wood strips.
36. Walls: Lacquered paper on plaster walls.
37. Ceiling: Plaster with classic cornice.
38. Lighting: Crystal chandelier.
d. Adam Room: This guest bedroom, in a near-octagonal plan, is Adamesque in style. The fireplace, decorative niches, and entrance door occur in the four short diagonal faces. An elliptical plaster decoration on the ceiling is reflected in the rug design. Adjacent bathroom and closets. Unit air conditioners, built-in safe and scales.
39. Floor: Pegged wood with rug cover.
40. Walls: Plaster walls with simple molded panel above the chair rail, elaborate classical cornice at the ceiling with light Adamesque plaster details.
41. Ceiling: Plaster, with bas-relief elliptical pattern and center piece.
42. Lighting: Crystal chandelier.
43. Fireplace: Marble mantel and surround in Adamesque detail.
44. Openings: Plasters frame three round-arched openings containing casement windows.
45. Decorative features: Canopy and drapery above two single beds.
e. Spanish Room (guest bedroom), adjacent bath and closets, bath has small balcony at northeast corner, built-in safe and scales.
46. Floor: Black glazed tile with rug cover.
47. Walls: Earth-colored plaster walls of rough texture with tile inserts: projecting plaster wainscot.
48. Ceiling: The exposed beams of the ceiling are painted with polychromatic patterns. The coffers are decorated with Spanish crests.
49. Fireplace: The round corner fireplace is hooded and has a stepped-arch opening. The opening is faced with ceramic tile and the hood is covered with a mosaic of tile pieces.

Above the opening is a miniature arcade cloister containing ceramic figures.
5. Openings: Balcony at southeast corner, paneled wooden doors in square and rectangular patterns; silver plated hardware.
f. Sleeping Porch: Located south of the Spanish room; plaster walls and deep coffered wooden ceiling, carved beams in dentil pattern.
g. Venetian Room: This sitting room opens onto the covered way and terrace above the porte-cochere which serves as a passage to the Venetian bedrooms. These areas once served as a suite for older children and are now guest rooms.

1. Flooring: Ceramic tile floor with rug covering.
2. Walls: Plaster with no trim.
3. Ceiling: Plaster, camp roof shape with no division between the walls and ceiling.
4. Lighting: Venetian crystal chandelier in colored floral motif (mirror frames match the chandelier).
5. Fireplace: Carved marble mantel and surround.
6. Windows: Round-arched openings, two casement windows per opening.
7. Doorways and doors: Solid wooden doors with patterns of circles in bas-relief; rope molding rim.
8. Passage for the upper loggia circumvents the upper part of the living room to the Portuguese room.
9. Portuguese Room: Wooden paneled wall and wainscot, wooden paneled ceiling in camp roof shape, five arched casement windows; adjacent bath connects with Dutch room; adjacent is the "Seven Window Balcony," with seven arched openings into the upper part of the living room and seven arched openings, expressed on the east elevation. (This space is partly filled by air conditioning equipment.)
10. Dutch Room: Bathroom adjacent, door to bathroom off Portuguese room,
stair to upper loggia.
a. Flooring: Wood.
b. Walls: Plaster with wooden cornice, paneled pilasters, and wainscot.
c. Ceilings: Above the cornice is a plaster cove. The horizontal portion of the ceiling is composed of wooden panels delineated by heavy moldings.
d. Fireplace: The projecting chimney piece has fluted columns at the corners and three wooden panels beneath the cornice. The remainder of the surface is set with Dutch Delft tiles. The fireplace opening is faced with brass. There is a small bracketed mantel shelf mounted on the tile facing.
e. Windows: Leaded-glass casement windows in round-arched opening.
11. Vestibule to the American room and stairway to the first level cloister: Ceramic tile floor in concentric pattern, domed plaster ceiling painted in a lilies-of-the-valley motif. The door to the stairway has twenty-four carved wooden panels in animal, floral, and human figure motifs. The reverse side of the door has stepped panels. Hardware is silver plated.
12. American Room: Bedroom with adjacent bathroom and closet.
a. Floor: Wood.
b. Walls: Paper on plaster, paneled wooden wainscot, wooden cornice.
c. Ceiling: Plaster.
d. Fireplace: Marble surround and hearth, mantel shelf, pilasters, and overmantel panel.
13. Gothic Stair: Spiral staircase between upper loggia and cloister. Construction of Doria stone and stucco in the Gothic style.
a. Stone treads with carpet runner.
b. Tile risers.
c. Ceiling is vaulted with stone ribs which continue down the walls
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { Exhibit "A" to Application } \\
& \text { for Special Exception No. } \\
& \text { by The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Being all that part of the North 610.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2 of Section 35, Township 43, South, Range _3 East, in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying West of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) Right of Way and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the West face of an existing seawall on the East shore of Lake Worth, which point is 560.00 feet North of, measured at Right Angles to the South line of Government Lot 2, of said Section 35; thence North $6^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ West along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 77.32 feet; thence North $10^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ East along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 539.50 feet to a point in the South line of BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a Subdivision recorded in Plat book 1, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records; thence run South
${ }^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07{ }^{\prime \prime}$ East along the South line of said BINGHAM-COPP TRACT for a distance of 1134.10 feet to a point in the Westerly R/W line of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A); thence run South $0{ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 82.59 feet to a Point of curvature; thence run Southerly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Southwest having a radius of 1412.69 feet and a central angle of $3^{\circ} 03^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 75.20 feet to a point of tangency; thence run South $2.53 " 53^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 176.28 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southwesterly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 2869.03 feet and a central angle of $2 \circ 53^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 127.27 . feet to a point of compound curvature; thence continue Southwesterly along the arc of a curve, concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 158.68 feet and a central angle of $86^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 239.38 feet to a point of tangency; thence run North $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West along the North line of Southern Boulevard (State Road 80) for a distance of 1040.43 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing 16.3760 Acres, more or less;
and
The West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the East one-half (E 1/2) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 21, all in BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, as recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records, containing 0.1894 Acres, more or less;

Together with an easement for the use of the tunnel under South Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) as described in that certain Quit Claim Easement Deed recorded in Official Record Book 2327, Page 1970 of the Palm Beach County Public Records and access to the ocean over the parcel consisting of the South 358 feet of the North 403 feet of the South 1170 feet of Government Lot 2, Section 35, Township 43 South, Range 43 East, Palm Beach County, Florida.

# Exhibit "B" to <br> Application for Special Exception No. <br> $\qquad$ by The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. <br> <br> Compliance with Special Exception Requirements 

 <br> <br> Compliance with Special Exception Requirements}

In its conversion from a so-called Large Residential Estate to a private social club, the actual usage of Mar-a-Lago will not change. No new activity will occur which cannot, does not or has not taken place in the past under the existing zoning of this property. The applicant seeks no physical change whatsoever to the property (such as, for instance, the addition or demolition of any improvement).

A special exception, not a variance, is sought. Therefore, no hardship need be demonstrated. If the criteria for a special exception are met, the special exception must be granted and cannot be denied as a discretionary matter.

The conversion of Mar-a-Lago into a club is a special exception which complies with all requirements of Section 6.4 of Appendix A, "Zoning", Code of Ordinances of the Town of Palm Beach:
(a) The use is a permitted special exception as set forth in the Schedule of Use Regulations in Article 4;
(b) The use is so designed, located and proposed to be operated that the public health, safety, welfare and morals will be protected;
(c) The use will not cause substantial injury to the value of other property in the neighborhood where it is to be located;
(d) The use will be compatible with adjoining development and the intended purpose of the district in which it is located;
(e) The use will comply with yard, other open space, and any special requirements set out in the Schedule of District Regulations for the particular use involved;
(f) The use will comply with all elements of the Comprehensive Plan;
(g) The use will not result in substantial economic, noise, glare, or odor impacts on adjoining properties and properties generally in the district;
(h) Adequate ingress and egress to the property and proposed structures thereon and off-street parking and loading areas will be provided where required, with particular reference to automotive and pedestrian safety and convenience, traffic flow and control, and access in case of fire or catastrophe;
(i) Signs, if any, and proposed exterior lighting with reference to glare, traffic safety, and economic impact, will be compatible and in harmony with properties in the district;
(j) The location, availability and compatibility of utility service for the use will be satisfactory to insure health and safety;
(k) The refuse and service areas for the use will not adversely affect automotive and pedestrian safety and convenience, traffic flow and control, or access in case of fire or catastrophe;
(1) The proposed use will not attract the principal portion of its customers/clients from off-island locations;
(m) Adequate landscaping and screening is provided as required; and
(r) The proposed use will not place a greater burden, than would be caused by a permitted use, on municipal police services due to increased traffic, or on fire protection services due to the existence of, or increased potential for, fire/safety code violations.

A site plan is submitted simultaneously herewith in accordance with Section 9.60 of Appendix A of the Code of Ordinances and consists of a current survey of Mar-a-Lago and site drawings.

## SITE PLAN REVIEW

This zoning report is presented in accordance with Section 9.60 of the ordinance of the Town of Palm Beach to allow examination of potential offsite impacts of the proposed change in use. A Development Impact Report is attached to this chapter in accordance with Section 9.61. The following respoņe is made in alphabetical and numerical sequence as established in the ordinance.
a. The property is presently in the ownership and control of Donald J. Trump. The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. is a contract vendee.
b. The detailed use of the facility is outlined in Chapters One and Eight. No physical changes in the buildings or grounds are to be made so that there will be no change in the character of the property.
c. A general location map of the site is indicated on the site plan.
d. Two copies of a site plan, sheet 12 and a current survey, are provided which indicate information required by items (1) through (8) inclusive.
e. The tabulation of land area is as follows:

Square Feet

1. Private Club use (total site) 713,341
2. Ground Cover by structure 48,648
3. Impervious surface in addition
to structure 69,765
f. 1. Space has been allocated as shown on the existing plan to provide valet, non structured parking for 319 spaces. The site is a designated national and local
landmark. The vegetation on site makes a major contribution to landmark status. The parking is short time parking. It is intended to be located on existing paved areas, under the landscape canopy so that the canopy may not be altered and on grassed areas along the entrance drive and to the west, both of which have been used historically for that purpose. Access to the landscaped area to the south will be by way of a cart path now crossing the site.
4. There presently is the mansion and two other staff buildings on the site yielding a density of .17 units per acre.
g. All facilities on the site will be maintained by the Club. See the Principles of Preservation in Chapter Two, Maintenance and Inspection Schedule in Chapter Seven, and the Articles of Incorporation, By-Laws and Rules of the Club in Chapter Eight.
h. Storm drainage and sanitary sewage connections will be maintained in their present condition.
i. No new structures are requested. The Club will function within the present buildings on the site.
j. No new signs are requested.
k. The site plan attached indicates the location of existing foliage which is described, by area, in Chapter Six.
5. The use of the building and grounds is recreational.
m. Not applicable.
n. Not applicable.

# DEVELOPMENT IMPACT REPORT <br> for <br> THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC. 

## Introduction

This report is pursuant to paragraph "(9.61) Application" per Appendix A, The Zoning Code of Ordinances of the Town of Palm Beach, Florida, particularly as described on page 2106.

This report will evaluate the below listed specifically requested impacts that the proposed development may cause in accordance to the above referenced paragraph. We define these impacts as Level I impacts:

## LEVEL I

## INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS <br> Utility Services

A. Storm Water Sewers
B. Sanitary sewer
C. Garbage and trash collection
D. Traffic

Additionally, this report will evaluate the impacts to other services which are not necessarily Town provided services but are services deemed necessary for this proposed use. We refer to these as Level II impacts.

## LEVEL II

E. Potable water
F. Power
G. Gas
H. Telephone
I. Cable television
J. Fire Protection

Levell Impacts

## Infrastructure and Operations - Utilities

## A. Storm Water Services

The existing site contributes a very small amount of storm water run-off into the "Town Storm Water System." This occurs at the service drive entrance onto A-1-A at the Caretaker residence. Less than $1 / 2$ acre of contributing area is involved.

## IMPACT

The proposed Club will not change this existing drainage pattern. Therefore, there will not be any development related impact to the storm water system of the Town nor any increases in the operations (maintenance) activities.

## NOTE:

Existing outfalls to the intracoastal will be maintained. There will be no requirements for dredge and fill activities. The storm water to be discharged will not exceed the rate of discharge occurring in the present condition.

## SUMMARY

This proposed use will not change the Town's drainage system.

## B. Sanitary Sewers

The existing Mansion and related buildings are presently served by the Town's Sanitary Sewer System. In fact, an existing "Town-owned pumping station" is located on the property. This station receives sewage from a sewage collection system on the grounds that services all buildings. This station does not have, to our knowledge, easement or deed provisions giving the Town legal access to the station, yet the Town performs operations and maintenance activities at this location.

## IMPACT

The existing pumping station will remain its present location. The existing sewer connection is adequate to accommodate the change in use, causing no impact on the sanitary sewer system.

## C. Garbage and Trash Collection

At the present time garbage is picked up daily from the residences at Mar-a-Lago. Trash is centrally located for pick-up once a week at a central collection area accessed off Southern Boulevard.

The proposed club will contract for garbage removal through a private service. Trash consisting of landscape trimmings will be placed in the central location to be picked up weekly as it now is done.

## SUMMARY

Although the volume of garbage and trash will likely be greater than exists today, the impact on the town will be less due to contract service. Operations will be equivalent to any residential house building program in the Town. Trash removed will not increase since the amount of landscape space will be unchanged.

## D. Traffic

A separate traffic impact analysis is included in Chapter Nine.

## Level II Impacts

E. Potable Water, F. Power, G. Gas, H. Telephone, I. Cable Television

These services are provided by franchise companies. All have the service availability for the change in use.

## IMPACT

None

## SUMMAARY

There is no impact to the Town for these services.

## F. Fire Protection

The existing property is served by 3 fire hydrants located exterior to the property around the perimeter streets surrounding Mar-a-Lago. The service entrance gate has been sized to accommodate fire fighting apparatus and remain open by Agreement.

IMPACT
The generic uses within the structure, i.e., dining, sleeping, socializing, etc. are not to be changed nor will the numbers of people using the building at a given time increase.

## SUMMARY

There will be no change on the requirement for fire protection due to proposed change in use.

# CHAPTER ELEVEN 

## SITE DRAWINGS

AND

## FLOOR PLANS

[Site Drawings and Floor Plans of larger
scale accompany the Application for Special Exception and are filed in the offices of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach]
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to outline panels in Gothic tracery patterns.
d. Metal handrail.
e. Spoon-shaped sconces.
17. Tower: The tower is accessible from the vestibule to the Spanish, Venetian, and Adam rooms. The tower has three levels: two with sleeping areas and baths and an observation level.
a. A spiral stair with wooden treads and carpet runner approaches the first level. It has plaster walls and ceiling and an iron handrail.
b. On the first level are sleeping and sitting rooms and a bath.
c. An irregular "L" stair continues to the next level.
d. From the second level, an open iron spiral stair goes to the observation area. It has a chain link handrail and vertical ties. The manufacturer was Du Inage Spiral Stair Company of Baltimore, Maryland.
e. The observation area is nearly octagonal in plan. Framing is of wood with carved corbeled brackets in a tusk and tongue motif. Ceramic tile trims the openings and covers the floor. The balconies have turned wooden balustrades.
18. Dance Pavilion: Accessible from the west lawn and by a stairway from the southwest end of the cloister.
a. Cloak Room.
b. Projection Room: There is a fully-equipped projection room at the east end. Air conditioning equipment is under the floor. Adjacent is the serving pantry and bar.
c. Dance Floor: The rectangular space is approximately $30^{\prime}$ by $50^{\prime}$. Interior arcades with Moorish horseshoe arches parallel the long dimension at approximately $2^{\prime}$ from the window walls.

1. Floor: Wooden parquetry.
2. Walls: Paneled wainscot below panels of acoustical tile behind diamond-shaped wooden grilles.
3. Ceiling: Cove ceiling with wooden batten pattern over acoustical tile.
4. Arcade: Painted wooden columns and composite capitals, horseshoe arches, and exaggerated dentil cornice.
5. Openings: Arched openings with casement windows spinopen a terrace on the north side.
6. Lighting: Cornice-cove fluorescent lighting operated by a rheostat. A chandelier is in each arch of the arcade with three rows of stage lights and portable foot lights.
d. Stage: Located at west end of pavilion. Proscenium arch with elevated stage floor, approximately 2 ' above dance floor level. Painting of Arabian horseman on fixed backdrop, roll-type projection screen in backstage area. Doors to toilets flank stage.
e. Telephone room.
7. Secretary's Cottage: Located on the north side of the west lawn, attached to servants' quarters and laundry.
a. Entry hall from covered way.
b. Living room: Wooden floor, plaster walls and ceiling, fireplace.
c. Two bedrooms and two baths for secretary and assistant.
d. Passage to service courtyard, servants' quarters, laundry, and garage.
8. Kitchen service area: Located adjacent to the dining room and the north end of the cloister.
a. Butler's pantry (space between dining room and main kitchen).
9. Storage for glassware and china, silver safe for flatware.
10. Refrigerated storage areas for cut flowers and condiments.
11. Telephone receptionist's office.
12. Spiral iron stair to basement area \#3.
b. Main kitchen: Food preparation and storage areas.
13. Frozen vegetables and fruits.
14. Utensils.
15. Sinks, ranges, ovens, hot-plates, and broilers.
16. Built-in refrigerator, quick-freezer, vegetable sink, and dry storage.
c. Janitor's closet: Storage for soaps, toilet materials, etc.
d. Steward's office and toilet.
e. Staff dining room.
f. Dining room for secretary and small children.
g. Staff quarters on second floor above main kitchen and staff dining area.
h. Basement \#1: Accessible from service drive adjacent to the garage and from staff dining room area.
17. Walk-in cooler.
18. Electric transformer vault.
19. Electrical supply room.
20. Main switchboard and generator.
21. Tool and hardware storage.
22. Workshop.
i. Basement \#2:
23. Storage for soaps and paper products.
24. Dry storage and quick-freezer.
j. Basement \#3:
25. Trunk and miscellaneous storage.
26. Furniture storage.
27. Telephone equipment.
28. Stairway to butler's pantry.
k. Boiler room.
29. Paint shop.
m. Fallout shelters (three).
30. Garage: Two-story separate building in service courtyard with a six-car capacity. Quarters for four chauffeurs are on the second floor.
D. Site:
31. General setting and orientation:

The seventeen-acre site is defined by fences and foliage to the north, a low stuccoed masonry wall to the east paralleling South Ocean Boulevard, a high masonry wall at the south, and open to the shores of Lake Worth to the west. Access and egress is by an entrance gate and service gate on Ocean Boulevard and a service gate at the north end of the property. A palm-lined drive extends from the entrance gate to the porte-cochere.
2. Outbuildings:
a. Superintendent's cottage: Stucco over masonry, mission tile roof, casement windows; two bedrooms and two baths, living room, "Florida" room, and an outbuilding used for laundry.
b. Two sheltered waiting areas, one enclosed, at courtyard northeast of the kitchen-service wing used as parking area for visitors' and guests' autos.
c. Surplus two-car garage and adjacent outbuilding for tools and equipment storage, dressing room and toilet for outside help.
d. Glass house for indoor plant propagation, attached slat house for indoor house plants, and slat house for palms.
e. Staff quarters for seasonal help, masonry with tile roof, parking spaces at ground level, attached "day rooms."
f. Steward's cottage, near Lake Worth, northwest corner of site: one bedroom, living room, kitchen, and bath.
g. Nine-hole "pitch and putt" golf course with rest houses.
h. Slat green house, mower shed, water pump, and tank housing: storage garage for lawn equipment, and holding area for potted plants at the southwest side of the site.
i. Tunnel to beach: Reinforced concrete tunnel underneath South Ocean Boulevard runs from the east lawn to the beach.
j. Cabanas:

1. Mens' dressing rooms and shower.
2. Women's dressing rooms and showers.
3. Owner's cabana, kitchen, bar, and sitting room.
4. Heated saltwater pool, storage for pool equipment.
5. Staff facilities.

## CHAPTER SIX

## INVENTORY OF MAR-A-LAGO LANDSCAPING

## INVENTORY OF MAR-A-LAGO LANDSCAPING

The landscaping was designed and implemented by the firm of Lewis and Valentine Nursery and consisted mostly of large seagrape trees and coconut palms. The number of other species was limited due to the nature of the microclimate constraint requiring highly salttolerant materials. Oceanfront planting was a new specialty during this era and much of the plant ${ }^{\text {' }}$ material we now take for granted was not yet being propagated for landscape use. Lewis and Valentine were not known for their design abilities but rather as one of a limited number of nurseries large enough to supply and install plant materials for an estate the size of Mar-a-Lago. Landscape architecture was not a regulated profession or a title protected by registration in Florida at that time.

The plantings during the early years were largely damaged or destroyed due to the devastating hurricanes of 1926 and 1928. During the 30 's two more hurricanes added new damage, with the September 17, 1947 hurricane also taking its toll in plant materials.

During the war years, very little additional planting was installed. The vegetation then existing was not properly nourished due to shortages and rationing. The evidence of this can be seen today in the condition of the royal palms, with the trunks being of varying diameter along their length, pointing to years of alternately high and low fertility and moisture. A gumbo limbo, blown over and growing in a horizontal manner, is testament to the storms experienced over time.

From the late 1940's until Mrs. Pcst's death, several companies supplied landscape materials and performed limited design work. One was Island Landscape Company which ceased operations in the late 1950's. Others were Boynton Landscape Company, Kelsey City Landscape
and Jim Grant Landscape.
During Mrs. Post's life, most of the new planting was initiated by her in her desire to incorporate materials she had seen and enjoyed in other locations and other properties. She had the royal palms installed in the somewhat allee configuration between the mansion and the lake. At other times, the property manager ordered nę trees and plantings as he deemed necessary for the appearance of the estate's large garden. One thing is clear from the research undertaken--no single master landscape plan was adhered to and it is doubtful that one ever existed. The landscape was, nevertheless, altered frequently.

Large quantities of sabal palmettos still exist on site as do strangler fig, mastic and Australian pine. It is a certainty that these existed before construction of the mansion and many were left in place and are found in random outcroppings around the site, particularly on the property's south side.

Over time, many mechanical, storage, staff dwelling and horticultural buildings were erected. Many of the planting beds in the lawn areas acted to buffer the buildings and screen them from public view. At one time, all the plant materials for indoor use, cut and potted flowers and annuals were grown, propagated and attended to by staff of thirty-six gardeners and laborers.

Since the site consists of 18 acres and a vast amount of open area, a nine hole golf course was built. The evidence of this is seen in old aerial photographs. The tees and greens still exist but have not been maintained as a golf course in many years.

Over 500 Cocos nucifera (coconut palms) were part of the landscape and very tall Jamaican coconut palms can be seen accenting the front of the mansion in early photographs.

There are less than 200 coconuts still left on the site due to lethal yellowing and, although they continue to be inoculated, many more are expected to be lost.

Many years after the death of Mrs. Post, Donald Trump began a program of landscape enrichment which consisted largely of the renourishment of the existing tree and shrub species in an attempt to return to the verdant vigor of an earlier era. The most interesting and healthy landscape plant specimens exist in and around the mansion itself. A large African tulip tree, yellow tabebuia, Everglades palm, pigeon plum and gumbo limbo are among the healthy and interesting forms which have been protected from off-shore storms by the imposing size of the residence.

Lists of first, Trees and Palms, and second, Shrubs and Accents, follow the above, brief history. A comprehensive Horticulture Inventory describe the current vegetation at Mar-aLago by areas shown in the site drawings in Chapter Eleven.

## BOTANICAL NAME

Acoelorrhaphe wrightii
Brassaia actinophylla
Bursera simaruba
Casaurina equisetigolia
Chrysalidocarpus lutescens
Citrus spp.
Coccoloba uvifera
Cocos nucifera
Conocarpus erectus
Dictyospermum album
Ficus spp.
Ficus elastica var. "Decora"
Mangifera indica
Masto dendrom
Persea americana
Phoenix canariensis
Phoenix reclinata
Ptycosperma elegans
Roystonea elata
Spathodea campanualata
Sabal palmetto
Simaruba glauca
Terminala capitata
Veitchia merrilli

## COMMON NAME

Paurotis Palm<br>Schefflera<br>Gumbo Limbo<br>Australian Pine<br>Areca Palm<br>Lime and Orange varieties<br>Seagrape<br>Coconut Palm<br>Green Buttonwood<br>Princess Palm<br>Ficus Trees varieties<br>Rubber Tree<br>Mango<br>Mastic Tree<br>Avocado<br>Canary Island Date Palm<br>Senegal Date<br>Solitaire Palm<br>Florida Royal<br>African Tulip Tree<br>Cabbage Palm<br>Paradise Tree<br>Tropical Almond<br>Adonidia Palm

## LANDSCAPE INVENTORY

## SHRUBS AND ACCENTS

## BOTANICAL NAME

Acalypha spp.
Casaurina equisetifolia
Caryota mitis
Chrysobalanus icaco
Clerodendrom speciosissimum
Cocoloba uvifera
Codiaeum spp.
Crinum asiaticum
Cryptostegia spp.
Cycas revoluta
Ficus benjamina
Ficus retusa nitida
Hibiscus rosa-sinensis
Jasminum volubile
Ligustrum lucidum
Malvaviscus pendiflorus
Mastichodendron foetidissimum
Murraya paniculata
Nerium oleander
Pandanus utilis
Pittosporum tobira
Pittosporum tobira variegata
Polycias spp.
Psychotria nervosa
Rhapis excelsa
Phoenix roebellini
Sanchesia nobilis

## COMMON NAME

Copper Leaf varieties
Australian Pine Hedge
Fishtail Palm
Cocoplum
Glory Blower
Seagrape Hedge
Croton varieties
Crinum Lily
Fire Cap
King Sago Palm
Weeping Fig
Cuban Laurel
Hibiscus varieties
Wax Jasmine
Glossy privet
Turk's Cap
Mastic Tree
Chalcas
Oleander varieties
Screw Pine
Green Pittosporum
Variegated Pittosporum
Aralia varieties
Wild Coffee
Lady Palm
Pigmy Date Palm
Sanchesia

## HORTICULTURAL INVENTORY

## ENTRY, DRIVEWAY AND AREA 1

The entry off South Ocean Boulevard (currently the service drive) is characterized by a seagrape ( $6^{\prime}$ ) hedge on either side of a narrow strip of giass. Young coconut palms have been planted to reforest those lost to lethal yellowing. An Australian pine hedge divides the motor court from the entry driveway. This entry is dotted with an occasional Australian pine tree of up to forty feet.

The parking area (motor court) is separated from residential units to the north by an Australian pine hedge, a partial chain link fence and a bougainvillea covered wall which echoes the Spanish-Moorish architecture.

A large lawn area flanks the garage and laundry building with an Australian pine hedge of two different heights ( $6^{\prime}$ and $10^{\prime}$ ), a cluster of sabal palmettos and oleander under planting.

There is a large pigeon plum (cocoloba diversifolia) to the south of the wooden storage building. Beyond the storage building are croton and gumbo limbo. The hedge to the north is Ficus nitida.

The open vista to the Atlantic Ocean, Area 1, is across a grassed area with a limited number of palms and a cluster of sea grapes at the southeastern portion of the vista.

## AREA 2

Area 2 is occupied by a tennis court and a slat (green) house. A chain link fence covered with allamanda vines divides an asphalt path from a childrens play area with playground equipment. Large sabol palms and a visual screen of mixed tropical planting separate the tennis court and play area from the open lawn vista to the south.

Plant materials in Area 2:

## TREES:

Sabal palmetto (cabbage palm)
Spathodea campanulata (African tulip tree)
Veitchi merrilli (adonidia palm)
Simaruba gluaca (gumbo limbo)
Dictyospermum album (princess palm)
Brassaia actinophylla (schefflera)
Ficus altissima (banyan)
Phoenix roebelleni (pigmy date palm)

## SHRUBS:

Allamanda cathartica (yellow allamanda)
Acalypha spp. (copper leaf)
Nerium oleander (oleander)
Hibiscus (mixed species)
Jasmine samba ("grand duke")
Codiaeum spp. (croton)
Galphimia gracilis (thyrallis)

## AREA 3

Area 3 is occupied by a single family home, a portion of a slat house, an apartment building with parking underneath, and a large asphalt drive and parking area.

There is a large ficus tree to the south of the existing single family house, sabal palmettos, royal palms and a copperleaf hedge which separates the open lawn and vista from the staff dwelling units.

Plant material separating this area from the open vista include sabal palmetto, gumbo limbo, ficus hedge, Australian pine, copperleaf and a senegal date palm.

Plant materials in Area 3:

## TREES:

Ficus altissima (banyan)
Casaurina equisetifolia (Australian pine)
Roystonea vegia (Florida royal palm)
Phoenix reclinata (senegal date palm)
Bursera simaruba (gumbo limbo)
Cocos nucifera var. malayan (coconut palm)
Citrus spp. (fruit tree)

## SHRUBS:

Acalypha spp. (copperleaf)
Codiaeum spp. (mixed croton species)
Hibiscus spp. (mixed hibiscus species)
Sanchesia nobilis

## AREA 4

Area 4 is comprised largely of bermuda lawn with a small stand of reforested coconut palms, and large Australian pine trees on the northern property line.

There is clear contouring for the golf course in this area. The changes in elevation are part of the golf course which was previously in service.

Plant material in Area 4:
Casaurina equisetifolia (Australian pine)
Cocos nucifera "var malayan"

## AREA 5

Area 5 is sited in the southwest corner of the Mar-a-Lago property. This is the mostly open area of the sites with an expanse of bermuda lawn, several trees and greens for the golf course.

The vegetation is limited to a heavily forested section in the southwest corner of the lot. A double ficus hedge in a large semi-circular shape conceals an old citrus grove with a variety of orange and grapefruit trees. Two avocado trees are included in the grove planting.

A large mix of plant material exists west of the citrus and is separated by a ficus hedge. Prominent among these are African throat trees, seagrape, strangler figs, ficus benjamina, and green buttonwood.

East of the citrus trees are mixed beds of areca palms, ficus aureus, and green buttonwood. Underplantings of crotons, aralias and Australian pines exist.

> Plant materials in Area 5:

## TREES:

Casaurina equisetifolia (Australian pine)
Ficus decora (rubber tree)
Ficus aureus (strangler fig)
Ficus nitida (Cuban laurel)
Ficus benjamina (weeping fig)
Conocarpus erectus (green buttonwood)
Citrus spp.
Persea americana (avocado)
Chrysalidocarpus lutescens (areca)
Phoenix reclinata (senegal date palm)
(juvenille form)
Coccoloba uvifera (seagrape)
Spathodea campanulata (African tulip)
Cocos nucifera "variety malayan" (less than $8^{\prime}$ oa)
Sabal palmetto (cabbage palm)
Washingtonia robusta (Washington palm)

## SHRUBS AND ACCENTS:

Agave fosteriana (agave)
Cryptogesia grandiflora (purple allamanda)
Codiaeum spp. (croton)
Polysicias balfouriana (rose leaf aralia)
Ficus nitida (cuban laurel)

## AREA 6

Area 6 contains a large open area comprised of a bermuda lawn and tees and greens of the golf course. The eastern portion of the area contains scattered citrus trees, sabal palmettos, areca palms, schefflera and chalcas. The wall separating the property from Southern Boulevard has plantings against it of Chinese fan palms, acalypha, firebush and Turk's cap.

Plant materials in Area 6:

## TREES AND PALMS:

Coccoloba uvifera (seagrape)
Sabal palmetto (cabbage palm)
Livistonia chinensis (Chinese fan palm)
Spathodes campanilata (African tulip tree)
Bukrsera simaruba (gumbo limbo)
Brassaia actinophylla (schefflera)
Veitchi merrilli (adonidia)

## SHRUBS:

Acalypha spp. (copperleaf)
Hamalia patens (firebush)
Malvaviscus penduliflorus (Turk's cap)
Murraya paniculata (chalcas)
Rhapis excelsa (lady palm)

## AREA 7

A large shade structure, a storage building and a slat house are part of Area 7.
The principal plant material here is a stand of Sabal palmetto. However, another large semi-circular ficus hedge divided by a walk-way conceals another grove from sight. Over the years, areca palms and fishtail palms previously used as interior foliage plents were planted in various open areas under the sabal palms and some of the coconut palms. There is a greenhouse formerly used to propagate interior foliage.

Plant materials in Area 7:

## TREES:

Sabal palmetto (cabbage palm)
Chrysalidocarpus lutescens (areca palm)
Caryota mitis (fishtail palm)
Veitchii merrilli (adonidia palm)
Casaurina equisetifilia (Australian pine)
Coccoloba diversifolia (pigeon plum)
Bursera simaruba (gumbo limbo)
Ficus aureus (strangler fig)
Cocos nucifera (coconut palm)
Dictyosperma album (princess palm)
Phoenix reclinata (senegal date palm)
Ptycosperma elegans (solitare palm)
Mangifera indica (mango)
Cocculus laurifoluis (snail seed)
Terminala capitata (tropical almond)
Conocarpus erectus (buttonwood)
Livistonia chinensis (Chinese fan palm)

## SHRUBS:

Rhapis excelsa (lady palm)
Codiaeum spp. (croton)
Clerodendrum speciosissimum (glory bower)
Carissa grandiflora (natal plum)
Ficus nitida (Cuban laurel)
Crinum asiaticum (spider lily)

## AREA 8

The principal function of the landscape materials in Area 8 is to provide a substantial screen from the mansion to the extensive physical plant and service buildings to the rear or south.

There is a storage, parking and fueling areas of the estate and is located directly behind the hedge separating the structures from the ocean vista. Casaurina (Australian pines) dominate the south side of the property.

Plant materials in Area 8:

## TREES:

Arecastrum romanzoffianum (queen palm)
Acoelorraphe wrightii (paurotis palm)
Sabal palmetto (cabbage palm)
Livistonia chinensis (Chinese fan palm)
Mastichodendron foetidissimum (mastic)
Ficus aureus (strangler fig)
Ptychosperum elegans (solitare palm)
Thrinal radiata (key palm)
Pandanus utilis (screw pine)
Bursera simaruba (gumbo limbo)
Cycas cirinalis (sago palm)
Casuarina equisetifolia (Australian pine)
Cocos nucifera (coconut palm)
Ardisia spp.
Dictyosperma album (princess palm)

## SHRUBS:

Chrysobalanus icaco (cocoplum)
Crinum asiaticum (spider lily)
Carissa grandiflora (natal palm)
Euphorbia spp. (crown of thorns)
Coccoloba uvifera (seagrape)
Nerium oleander (oleander)
Ligustrum lucidum (glossy privet)
Hibiscus spp. (hibiscus)

## AREA 9

Area 9 is the southeast corner of the property.
The screen planting discussed on Area 8 continues east onto this parcel.
The planting in a continuation of the visual buffer which secretes the heavy
Australian pine growth.
Plant materials in Area 9:

## TREES AND PALMS:

Casaurina equisetifolia (Australian pine)
Sabal palmetto (cabbage palm)
Coccoloba uvifera (seagrape)
Thrinax radiata (key palm)
Bursera simaruba (gumbo limbo)
Simaruba gluaca (paradise tree)
Ardisia spp.
Cycas cirinalis (sago palm)
Pandnaus veitchii (veitch screw pine)

## SHRUBS:

Pittosporum tobira (pittosporum)
Carissa grandiflora (natal palm)

## TOPOGRAPHY

Overall the land slopes from east to west in a long continuous run following a natural and gradual fall. West of the mansion is a 5-6' elevation change in the form of a berm which controls the drainage from east to west and acts as both an abrupt leveling device for the vista and a directional flow during heavy rains.

The only other elevation changes in a largely flat site occur as tees and greens in the golf course.

The natural contours on the south side of the property add to the site, affect circulation and should remain, as close as possible, in its existing condition.
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## CHAPTER EIGHT

ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, BY-LAWS AND RULES<br>OF<br>THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC.



THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC.
The undersigned, acting as incorporator of a corporation under the Florida Statutes, adopts the following Articles of Incorporation:

First: The name of the corporation is:
THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC.
Second: The principal office and mailing address of the corporation is:

The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc.
c/o Paul Rampell, Esq.
125 Worth Avenue
Palm Beach, FL 33480-4466
Third: The period of duration of the corpontion is in perpetual unless sooner dissolved.

Fourth: The general purpose or purposes for whith The corporation is organized are to preserve the estate knownras Miri-a-Lago and to engage in any or all lawful activity for which corporations may be incorporated under the provisions of the Florida Statutes, including, without limitation, the ownership and operation of a private social, swimming, golf, tennis and/or yacht club.

Fifth: The Board of Directors of the corporation shall consist of such number as the shareholders may select at any annual or special meeting of shareholders.

Sixth: The aggregate number of shares which the corporation shall have authority to issue is 500 shares.

Seventh: The street address of the initial registered office of the corporation is 125 Worth Avenue, Palm Beach, FL 33480-4466 and the name of the initial registered agent at such address is Paul Rampell.

Eighth: The name and address of the incorporator is:
Paul Rampell, Esq.
125 Worth Avenue
Palm Beach, FL 33480-4466


```
STATE OF FLORIDA ,
                                ( SS:
COUNTY OF PALM BEACH )
```

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this /st day of February, 1993, by Paul Rampell, Esq. for THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC.


Paul Rampell, Esq. having been designated to act as Registered Agent, hereby agrees to act in this capacity.


OF
THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC.

## ARTICLE I - Directors

1. The business, property and all activities of the corporation shall be managed by the Board of Directors who shall be elected by and from the stockholders in the manner hereinafter set forth. The Board of Directors shall telect all officers of the corporation. The Board of Directors shall also determine and interpret the admission policy, criteria, and process as derived from the stockholders, and shall issue such Rules governing the use of the facilities of the corporation, the issuance and transfer of the corporation's stock and participation in its social and athletic functions as they may from time to time deem necessary. The Board of Directors shall in such Rules provide for such initiation fees, annual dues and such other charges as it deems advisable. The Board of Directors may appoint any persons to be agents of the corporation (with the power to sub-delegate) upon such terms as determined by the Board of Directors. In order to qualify as a Director, a person must own a residence in the town of Palm Beach.
2. The directors shall be elected annually by ballot by the stockholders with voting rights.
3. Directors shall hold office for the term for which they are elected and until their successors are duly elected and qualified. Any director may resign by filing with the President, the Board of Directors or the Secretary a written resignation which shall take effect on being filed or at any such other time as may be specified therein. Any vacancies in the Board of Directors may be filled by the Board for the unexpired term thereof. Directors shall receive no compensation for their services.
4. Directors shall be indemnified by the Club for any and all liability for their acts relating to the management of the Club and their assigned duties on the various Club committees.

ARTICLE II - Officers and Their Election.

1. The officers of the corporation shall be a President, one or more Vice-Presidents, a Secretary and a Treasurer, who shall be elected for one year by the Board of Directors and shall hold office until their successors are elected and duly qualified. Officers and/or Directors shall be stockholders and active members. The offices of Secretary and Treasurer may be held by the same person. The Board of Directors may appoint such other officers and agents of the corporation as they may deem necessary, such subordinate officers and agents to hold their position subject to the pleasure of the Board.
2. Any officer of the corporation may resign by filing with the President, the Board of Directors or the Secretary a written resignation which shall take effect at being so filed or at such other time as may be specified therein. The acceptance of such resignation shall not be necessary to make it effective.

## ARTICLE III - Powers and Duties of Officers

1. PRESIDENT. The President, when present, shall preside at all meetings of the stockholders and of the Board of Directors. It shall be his duty to see that all orders and resolutions of the Board of Directors are carried into effect. The President together with the Secretary shall sign certificates of stock to be issued by the corporation and shall sign and countersign all contracts or other instruments of the corporation authorized by the Board of Directors except as otherwise directed by the Board, shall make such report to the Directors and stockholders as he may deem necessary or as may be required of him. The President shall be the chief executive and head of the corporation and in the recesses of the Board of Directors shall have the general control and management of the business, subject, however, to the votes of the Board of Directors and to the right of the Board of Directors to subdelegate any specific power except such as may be by statute exclusively conferred upon the President or any other officer or officers of the corporation.
2. VICE-PRESIDENT. In the absence or disability of the President, his duties shall be performed by one of the Vice Presidents designated for the purpose by the Board of Directors.
3. TREASURER. The Treasurer shall have custody of all monies and securities of the corporation, shall keep full and accurate accounts of receipts and disbursements in books belonging to the corporation and shall deposit all monies or other valuable effects of the corporation in the name and to the credit of the corporation in such depositories and/or with such custodians as may from time to time be designated by the Board of Directors of the corporation taking proper vouchers for such disbursements and shall promptly on request render to the President and to the Board of Directors an account of all of his transactions as Treasurer and of the financial condition of the corporation.
4. SECRETARY. The Secretary shall issue notice for all meetings of the stockholders and Directors, shall keep the minutes of all meetings, shall have charge of the corporate seal and the corporate books unless otherwise ordered by the Board. He shall sign with the President all certificates of stock to be issued by the corporation and such other instruments as may require his signature and shall make such reports and perform such duties as are incident to his office or required by the Board of Directors.
5. All of the officers of the corporation, in addition to the specific duties set forth above, may act as proxies at the annual meeting of the stockholders and shall have such other duties as the Board of Directors may from time to time designate. The Board of Directors may in the absence or disability of any officer of the corporation delegate the powers and duties of
such officer to any other officer of the corporation.

## ARTICLE IV - Stockholders' Meeting

1. The annual meeting of the stockholders of the corporation shall be held at the Club in Palm Beach, Florida, on the second Monday in February of each year at 11 o'clock in the forenoon, if not a legal holiday, and if a legal holiday then on the next business day following.
2. Special meetings of the stockholders may be called by the President or by a majority of the Directors and shall be called by the Secretary, or, in the case of the death, absence, incapacity or refusal of the Secretary by any other officer, upon written application of one-third or more of the stockholders of the corporation then entitled to vote, stating the time, place and purpose of the meeting. All special meetings of the stockholders shall be held in Palm Beach, Florida.
3. At any meeting of stockholders a quorum for the transaction of business shall consist of not less than two individuals appearing in person and/or as proxies and owning and/or representing a majority of the shares of the corporation then outstanding and entitled to vote provided that less than such quorum shall have the power to adjourn the meeting from time to time. In order for a stockholder to vote each share of stock registered in the stockholder's name, the stockholder must be an active member in good standing.
4. Notices of all meetings of stockholders shall be given as follows: A written notice stating the place, day and hour thereof shall be given by the Secretary, not less than ten nor more than sixty days before the meeting, to each stockholder entitled to vote thereat and to each stockholder who under the Articles of Incorporation or any amendment thereof or under any ByLaw, is entitled to such notice, by leaving such notice with him or at his residence or usual place of business, or by mailing it postage prepaid, addressed to such stockholder at his address as it then appears upon the books of the corporation. Notices of all meetings of the stockholders shall state the purpose for which the meetings are called. No notice of the time, place or purpose of any annual or special meetings shall be required if every stockholder entitled to notice thereof, or his attorney thereunto authorized, by a writing which is filed with the records of the meeting, waives such notice.
5. Stockholders at the annual meeting shall elect the Directors by ballot. At each election for Directors every stockholder entitled to vote at such election shall have one vote for each Director to be elected at that time.
6. The stockholders shall have power by a majority vote at any meeting to remove any Director or Officer from office.
7. The order of business, at the annual meeting, and as far as possible of all other meetings of the stockholders, shall be:
8. The call of the roll
9. Proof of due notice of the meeting.
10. Reading and disposal of any unapproved minutes.
11. Annual reports of officers and committees.
12. Election of Directors.
13. Unfinished business.
14. New business.
15. Adjournment.

## ARTICLE V - Directors' Meetings

1. The Directors shall hold an annual meeting in Palm Beach, Florida, immediately following the annual meeting of the stockholders on the second Monday in February of each year, if not a legal holiday, but if a legal holiday then on the next business day following.
2. Special meetings of the Board of Directors shall be held in Palm Beach, Florida, or at such other place as a majority of the Board of Directors shall agree upon in writing on call of the President or any two Directors.
3. At any meeting of the Board of Directors a majority of the number of Directors required to constitute the Board as last determined by the stockholders having the right to vote shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business; provided, always that any number of Directors (whether one or more and whether or not constituting a quorum) present at any meeting or at any adjourned meeting may make any reasonable adjournment thereof.
4. Notice of any annual or special meeting of the Board of Directors shall be given by the Secretary to each Director, by mailing to him postage prepaid, addressed to him at his address as registered in the books of the corporation, or if not so registered at his last known address, a written notice of such meeting at least two days before the meeting by delivering such notice to him at least twenty-four hours before the meeting by prepaid telegram addressed to him at his said registered address if any, or if not so registered at his last known address. Notice of Directors' meetings need not specify the purposes thereof, except as otherwise hereinafter provided, and if any purpose be stated, the business of the meetings shall not be restricted thereto.
5. Whenever all of the Directors (provided they are in number at least equal to the majority of the number of Directors required to constitute the Board as last determined by the stockholders having the right to vote) shall hold a meeting, or not less than a majority of the number of Directors so required to constitute the Board shall hold a meeting and each absent Director, if any, shall in writing have waived notice of the meeting or after the meeting have approved in writing the record thereof, the acts of any such meeting, whether or not it was duly called and whether or not the absent Directors, if any, were given notice thereof, and wherever it was held, shall be as valid in all respects as if it had been regularly called and held.
6. Certificates of stock shall be issued in numerical order from the stock certificate books to each stockholder of record whose stock has been paid in full in accordance with the Rules issued by the Board of Directors, said stock to be signed by the President or a VicePresident and Secretary, and be sealed by the secretary with the corporate seal. A record of each certificate shall be kept by the corporation.
7. Transfer of stock shall be made only upon the books of the corporation in accordance with these By-Laws and the Rules promulgated'by the Board of Directors. The stock books of the corporation shall be closed for transfer twenty days before each general election.
8. The corporation shall be entitled to treat the holder of record of any share or shares of stock as the holder in fact thereof and shall not be bound to recognize any equitable or other claim to or interest in such share or shares on the part of any other persons except as may be otherwise expressly provided by law.
9. Stockholders may only transfer their shares in accordance with these By-Laws and the Rules promulgated by the Board of Directors from time to time. Upon voluntary resignation by a stockholding member or revocation of membership privileges by the corporation a stockholder shall not be entitled to a return of the stockholder's capital contribution. In the event of dissolution or finial liquidation of the corporation, all of the property and assets of the corporation, after payment of its debts, shall be distributed among the stockholders in proportion to the value of their stock as last fixed by the Board of Directors. Stockholders who are active members in good standing shall be entitled to vote. Should a member, through marriage or any other reason, hold more than one certificate the member shall be entitled to vote only a certificate which has been designated as active for which the shareholder is paying dues.

## ARTICLE VII - Seal

The corporate seal of the corporation shall consist of two concentric circles between which is the name of the corporation and such seal as is impressed on the margin hereof shall be the corporate seal of the corporation.

## ARTICLE VIII - Committees

1. The President shall, by and with the advice and consent of the Board of Directors, appoint an Executive Committee composed of not less than three nor more than five directors in addition to the officers, which committee shall have all the powers of the Board of Directors in the intervals between meetings of the Board. Any action taken by the Executive Committee in any such interval shall be reported at the next succeeding meeting of the board.
2. The President shall appoint such other committees as the Board may direct or he may deem necessary or desirable and he may in his discretion at any time add to the membership thereof anyone, including a Chairman and a Director (to assist and to provide liaison), or remove from office any committee member there-to-fore appointed.
3. Nominating Committee - Each year the President shall appoint, subject to approval of the Board of Directors, a Nominating Committee consisting of not more than five active members who shall nominate candidates for the Board of Directors and who may act as proxies at the Annual Meeting. Such nominations shall be posted on the Bulletin Board two weeks before the Annual Meeting.
4. Admissions Committee - Each year the President shall appoint, subject to approval of the Board of Directors, an Admissions Committee consisting of not less than five (5) active members who shall carry out admissions procedures described in the Rules.
5. Preservation Committee - Each year the President shall appoint, subject to approval of the Board of Directors, a Preservation Committee consisting of not less than five (5) nor more than ten (10) individuals. One member shall be an architect with expertise in preservation, one member shall be nominated by The Landmarks Preservation Committee of the Town of Palm Beach, and one member shall be nominated by Director of the Division of Historical Resources, Florida Department of State. The Preservation Committee shall enforce the Principles of Preservation set forth in Chapter Eight and incorporated herein by reference.

## ARTICLE IX - Dividends and Finances

No dividends shall be declared or paid by the Board of Directors which shall impair the capital of the corporation.

## ARTICLE X - Amendments

These By-Laws may be amended, altered or repealed by the Board of Directors at any duly called meeting by a vote of two-thirds of the Directors present, provided that said two-thirds constitute not less than a majority of the entire Board and provided further that written notice of the proposed amendment, alteration or repeal be mailed to each Director not less than ten days prior to the date of such meeting, or provided all of the directors present at the meeting unanimously agree to the waiver of notice.

## I. MEMBERSHIP

A. Active Members shall be such persons who, conditioned upon paying $\$$ $\qquad$ in capital contribution, within 30 days of notification of election to membership, for the purchase of one share of stock from The Mar-A-Lago Club, Inc. have been regularly elected to Active Membership.

## ANNUAL DUES

\$
Plus Florida State Tax
(1) Active membership includes husband, wife, and unmarried children to their 26th birthday. Active membership does not include a husband or wife who was not regularly a part of the election process, or the children of such husband or wife. In appropriate circumstances and with the approval of the Board of Directors, the Admissions Committee may determine that the active membership shall include only the husband or wife; the spouse not included may then be considered for privileges of the Club so long as married to the active member.
(2) Active members may sponsor non-members for seasonal subscription but may not propose more than two candidates in any one season. Active members may sponsor weekly card holders and introduce other guests.
(3) A candidate for active membership must have been a seasonal subscriber for at least one season immediately preceding election. Election shall be by the Board of Directors. Two adverse ballots shall exclude a candidate.
(4) Every active member must at all times during his or her membership hold at least one share of stock which may be transferred only once to a widow, widower, child, stepchild or former spouse as hereinafter provided.
(5) In the event an active member of the Club shall marry or re-marry, the spouse of such active member shall not become an active member of the Club nor entitled to the use thereof. Should such spouse desire the privileges of the Club so long as married to the active member, the candidacy of such spouse must be presented to the Committee on Admissions. An Information Form shall be submitted, together with four letters of recommendation submitted by active members directly to the Social Secretary; the active member shall be the proposer, and a seconder shall not be required. The approval of such spouse is subject to posting and is otherwise in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (6) of Section B. hereof. Should such candidacy not be so presented and approved the spouse shall be denied the use of the Club.
(6) Spouse of Deceased Active Member:
a. Upon the death of an active member survived by a spouse who was married to the active member at the time of election, the membership shall devolve upon such spouse on the transfer to him or her of at least one share of the deceased active member's stock, which transfer shall be made without charge only if spouse is approved by the Committee on admissions and ratified by the Board of Directors.
b. Upon the death of an active member survived by a spouse who was married to the active member subsequent to election, his or her share of stock shall be transferred to the spouse only if the spouse is approved for seasonal subscription by the committee on Admissions, and elected to active membership by the Board of Directors in accordance with the procedures set forth in Sections B. and A. hereof.
c. In the event of the death of an active member after the payment of annual dues, if the surviving spouse was married to the active member subsequent to election and received the required approval of the Committee on Admissions for privileges on a spouse's membership, such surviving spouse shall have the use of the Club for that season.
(7) Child of Deceased Member: Upon the death of an active member, a membership which would otherwise terminate may at any time within two years be transferred to one child and, for the purposes of this paragraph (7), "child" shall include "step-child") of the original active member, who has bought the share of stock required to qualify, provided such child is approved for seasonal subscription by the Committee on Admissions, and elected to active membership by the Board of Directors in accordance with the procedures set forth in Sections B. and A. hereof. The transfer to such child of the share of decedent's stock and the payment to the Club of a transfer fee of one half its current purchase price is required.
(8) Spouse of Divorced Active Member: If an active member and his or her spouse divorce, having been married to each other at the time of election to active membership, and both wish to remain active members, the former spouse shall be extended the privileges of the Club until nomination for seasonal subscription receives consideration by the Committee on Admissions. In seeking nomination for seasonal subscription, the former spouse need only complete an Information Form without accompanying letters of proposal or endorsement. If approval is granted, the fourteen-day posting period is waived. In the event the active member does not wish to retain membership, the transfer of stock to the former spouse may be made without charge; and, upon approval of the Committee on Admissions and election by the Board of Directors, the former spouse will become an active member.
B. Seasonal Subscribers shall be such persons as have been regularly elected as such and include husband, wife and unmarried children to their 26th birthday.

ANNUAL DUES
\$
Plus Florida State Tax
(1) Seasonal subscribers shall have all the privileges of active members to introduce day guests, tennis guests, luncheon guests, dinner guests, and house guests.
(2) Subscription and membership in the Mar-A-Lago Club, Inc. is by invitation only. No person seeking membership or subscription shall be discriminated against on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, handicap, age or marital status. An active member wishing to propose an individual for seasonal subscription must obtain from the Social Secretary and complete in every detail a Preliminary Information Form. Responsibility for completing the form rests with the proposer; incomplete Forms will not be considered by the Committee on Admissions. After due consideration by the Committee, the proposer will be advised if it would or would not be appropriate to complete and submit an Information Form.
(3) In appropriate instances, an active member may obtain from the social Secretary and complete in every detail an Information Form. This Form will assist the Committee on Admissions in evaluating candidates for seasonal subscription. Responsibility for completing the Form rests with the proposer; incomplete forms will not be considered by the Committee. The Information Form must be accompanied by letters from the proposer and a seconder, both of whom shall be active members. In addition, four letters of recommendation from active members to the Committee on Admissions must be submitted by active members directly to the Social Secretary; such letters will be considered confidential and not available to anyone other than a member of the Committee. Letters of sponsorship and endorsement may be from a stockholding active member or the spouse of such active member, if such spouse was part of the regular election process for active membership. In no case may a husband and wife act as proposer and seconder for a candidate. Prior to submission of the completed Information Form, it is the responsibility of the proposer to see that the candidate and his or her spouse are known personally to at least three members of the Board of Directors.
(4) The Committee on Admissions will evaluate a candidate and will thoroughly screen each individual to assure he or she satisfies criteria established from time to time by the Board of Directors, provided, however, no individual shall be discriminated against because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, handicap or marital status. Active members may endorse only candidates well known to them and whom they can recommend enthusiastically.
(5) The proposer or seconder must present the candidate and the candidate's spouse to a committee of three Directors of the Club for an interview before candidacy will be considered by the Committee on Admissions. However, the Committee, by unanimous vote, may waive the requirement of an interview.
(6) After a candidate has been approved preliminarily by the Committee on Admissions (one negative vote being sufficient to exclude a candidate), but prior to effective election, the candidate's name must be posted for 14 days and the membership must be circularized to afford opportunity for comment. Subsequent to said 14 day period, and advice to the membership, election by ballot of the Committee on Admissions shall become effective.
(7) In the event a seasonal subscriber shall marry or remarry, the spouse of the seasonal subscriber may be extended the privileges of the Club as, and be subject to provisions relating to, a guest; provided, however, there shall be neither guest charges nor limitation on the frequency of use of the Club by such spouse. In order for the spouse to be included in the membership process of the seasonal subscriber, an Information Form shall be submitted, together with letters from a proposer and seconder and four letters of recommendation from active members, in accordance with Paragraph (2) through (6) hereof.
(8) No member of the Board of directors may propose or second a candidate, or endorse a candidate with a letter of recommendation.
(9) Files of the Committee on Admissions shall be confidential, and shall not be available to any person not a member of the committee on Admissions.
C. Junior Seasonal Subscribers shall be such persons under the age of 35 as have been elected as such. Age as of December 1 shall control. If married, both husband and wife must be under 35 .

## ANNUAL DUES

\$
Plus Florida State Tax
(1) The number who can be admitted to junior seasonal subscription is limited, and shall be established by the Board of Directors from time to time. Preference will be given to children or grandchildren of active members; if married, one spouse must be a child or grandchild of an active member in order to obtain such preference. The provision for junior seasonal subscription shall not prevent a candidate under the age of 35 from being nominated for regular seasonal subscription, if the candidate so desires.
(2) A candidate for junior seasonal subscription shall be proposed, seconded, endorsed and elected in the same manner as seasonal subscribers.
(3) Junior seasonal subscribers shall have the same privileges and responsibilities as seasonal subscribers.
(4) In the event any junior seasonal subscriber shall marry or remarry, the procedure shall be the same as set forth under Rule 1.B.(7) hereof. If the spouse is not under 35, the junior seasonal subscription is terminated; provided, however, if the marriage occurs between January 1 and June 1, the junior seasonal subscription will be valid for that season, and the spouse may be extended the privileges of the Club as, and be subject to provisions relating to, a guest though there shall be neither guest charges nor restrictions on the frequency of use of the Club by such spouse.
(5) Junior seasonal subscribers shall be entitled to a rate of capital contribution
applicable to persons becoming active members at the time the junior is elected a seasonal subscriber. This capital contribution may be paid in equal installments over the period one remains a junior seasonal subscriber, as determined by the Board of Directors from time to time.
(6) Prior to becoming eligible for active membership, the Committee on Admissions may require a junior seasonal subscriber to present himself or herself, and his or her spouse, to a Committee of three Directors of the Club for an interview.
D. Perpetual or Sustaining Members:

ANNUAL DUES
\$
Plus Florida State Tax
(1) Perpetual Members shall be such persons, or their widows, as were elected to said membership by the Board of Directors at the time of reorganization.
(2) Sustaining members shall be such individual active members as have been so designated by the Board of Directors for such reasons as seniority or service to the Club.
E. Honorary Members: The Board of Directors may elect as Honorary Members persons who have rendered service to the Club or attained such other distinction as shall be deemed by the Board to merit such recognition. Honorary Members shall pay no dues.
F. Marriage or Divorce: An active member, seasonal subscriber or junior seasonal subscriber shall notify the Club in writing of his or her marriage, remarriage or divorce.
G. Resignation: Any member may resign from the Club by written notice to the Board of Directors, provided all dues and indebtedness to the Club have been paid.
H. Expulsion and Suspension: If any active member, seasonal subscriber or junior seasonal subscriber be charged in writing, addressed to the Board of Directors by any active member, with conduct injurious to the good order, welfare, interest or character of the Club, or with any infraction of the Rules, the Board shall thereupon notify the active member, seasonal subscriber or junior seasonal subscriber so charged and the individual so charged will be given an opportunity to be heard. The Board of Directors, if it shall be satisfied of the truth of the charge, may either expel or request the resignation of such active member, seasonal subscriber, or junior seasonal subscriber or order suspension of such individual's privileges for a period not exceeding ninety days.

Further, the Board of Directors shall have the prerogative, following such investigation as it deems appropriate, of expelling or suspending any active member, seasonal subscriber or junior seasonal subscriber whose conduct it finds incompatible with that of the then active membership. In such event, expulsion or suspension shall take place at the end of the Club season. In the case of expulsion, the capital contribution paid by such member may be refunded
in whole or in part.
I. Reinstatement: When an active member who has resigned is approved for reinstatement, such reinstatement is subject to payment of dues for the period elapsed since his or her resignation. After five years' absence from the Club, the purchase of a new share of stock is a requirement for reinstatement of membership.

## II. GUESTS

A. Weekly Guest Card Holders shall be persons sponsored by an active member, subject to approval by the Committee on Admissions. Such cards are limited to a 2 week period per season, and are available neither to persons residing in Florida for all or a substantial part of the season, nor to any individual whose parents or grandparents reside in Palm Beach County and are not members of the Club.

## CHARGES

|  | Family | Individual |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1st Year Weekly | $\$$ | $\$$ |
| 2nd Year Weekly | $\$$ | $\$$ |

Plus 15\% Gratuity Charge and Florida State Tax
(1) Weekly guest card holders may not introduce guests.
(2) The sponsor is responsible for all charges incurred by the weekly guest card holder.
(3) Issuance of a weekly card to any person is limited to no more than two consecutive years and not more than three years in any six-year period.
(4) The Club may restrict or suspend entirely the issuance of weekly guest cards at any time their issuance threatens to overcrowd the facilities of the Club.
B. Day Guests shall be such persons as are introduced and accompanied by active members or seasonal subscribers. Charges are $\$$ $\qquad$ during the week, $\$$ $\qquad$ on Saturdays and Sundays and \$ $\qquad$ during the holiday periods (specific dates to be posted).
(1) A day guest must be registered and accompanied by a member while in the Club.
(2) A day guest may not introduce guests.
(3) A day guest may not sign charge vouchers. Charge vouchers must be signed by a member, who is responsible for all charges.
(4) Day guests are limited to five visits a season. To avoid embarrassment, members are requested to use care to keep day guests within the stated limit.
(5) Children over the age of 10 (other than the children or grandchildren of members) shall be considered day guests.
C. Luncheon Guests shall be such persons as may be introduced and accompanied at luncheon by active members or seasonal subscribers. Guest fees for dinner are \$ $\qquad$ during the week, \$ $\qquad$ on Saturdays and Sundays and \$ $\qquad$ during holiday periods (specific dates to be posted).
(1) Luncheon guests may remain in the Club until the dining room closes, but if any such guest uses the facilities of the Club for swimming, tennis, sun bathing, etc., he or she will be subject to the day guest charge.
(2) The restriction of five visits a year, applicable to day guests, does not apply to luncheon guests. A non-member may be introduced as a luncheon guest without limit on weekdays except during the Christmas and Spring holiday periods (specific dates to be posted), but only twice each month in the dining room during weekends and during such Christmas and Spring holiday periods. A non-member may be introduced without limit in the dining room. To avoid embarrassment, members are requested to use care to keep luncheon guests within the limit stated for dining room usage.
(3) Children over the age of 10 (other than the children or grandchildren of members) shall be considered luncheon guests.
D. Dinner Guests shall be such persons as may be introduced and accompanied at dinner by active members and seasonal subscribers. The charge shall be $\$$ $\qquad$ . Dinner guests are limited to five visits a season. To avoid embarrassment, members are requested to use care to keep dinner guests within the limit stated.
E. House Guests shall be such persons as may be guests residing with active members or seasonal subscribers in residence in their Florida homes. Such persons may receive house guest cards with a limit of two weeks per season. The charge is $\$$ $\qquad$ per week per person. House guests are not exempt from dinner guest fees.
(1) Unmarried children of active members and seasonal subscribers shall not be considered house guests, so long as such unmarried children have not reached the age of 26 . Such children may use the Club without charge.
(2) Members of the immediate family of active members or seasonal subscribers who are either married or over 25 years old and meet the house guest requirements, may receive house guest privileges for a limit of four weeks per season at the charge of $\$$ per week per person. Grandchildren of active members or seasonal subscribers are subject to the
following regulations:
(a) They must be residing with their grandparents in residence in their Florida home.
(b) Grandchildren 18 or over will be limited to 4 weeks per season as houseguests, and there will be a charge of $\$$ $\qquad$ per week per grandchild.
(3) House guests who reach the two week limit may be proposed As weekly guest card holders.
(4) Active members and seasonal subscribers are responsible for all charges incurred by house guests. Charge vouchers may be signed by house guests in the name of the sponsoring member or seasonal subscriber.
(5) The Club may restrict or suspend entirely the issuance of house guest cards.
(6) A house guest may not introduce guests.
F. Bona fide residents of Woodbridge Road, Palm Beach, Florida, and members of The Bath and Tennis Club, Inc. shall have all of the privileges of seasonal subscribers.
G. Tennis Guests must register and are subject to a $\$$ $\qquad$ fee (\$ $\qquad$ on weekends).
H. Revocation of Guest Privileges: Use of the Club by guests is a privilege, subject to the control of the Admissions Committee, which may at any time and without the assignment of any reason therefore, refuse to grant the privilege requested or revoke any privilege theretofore granted.

## III. DUES AND CHARGES:

A. Membership dues are payable on or before November 1 of each year. Any member not paying his or her dues and the Florida State Tax on said dues on or before said date may be dropped from the rolls of the Club, unless for reasons submitted to and approved by the Board of Directors, and the membership may be discontinued. In the event of reinstatement, an additional $10 \%$ will be charged.
B. Upon election to active membership, stock purchase must be paid in full within thirty days of notification.
C. Dues of newly elected seasonal subscribers are payable within thirty days after notification of election. Failure to pay within said period will cause the election to be void. A seasonal subscriber elected after March 31 will be billed one-third of the annual subscription if
the seasonal subscriber wishes to use the facilities in that season.
D. All indebtedness to the Club shall be paid promptly when billed. Any active member or seasonal subscriber not paying within thirty days after statement therefor has been given or mailed may be refused further use of the Club and shall be liable to forfeiture of membership or subscription.
E. Guest charges: Active members and seasonal subscribers are responsible for all charges incurred by guests introduced by them.

## IV. RESTRICTIONS:

A. Use of the Club and of other Club property is subject at all times to the Rules. The Club will be open each day during the season from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and at such other times as may from time to time be announced.
B. Property of the Club shall not be removed from the premises without permission of the Club.
C. No political or business solicitation shall be effected on Club property or with the use of Club stationery or other utilization of the Club name.
D. No commercial or political advertisement or notice of any kind shall be posted or circulated in the Club.
E. No professional photographers shall be allowed to take pictures at the Club except at a private party given by a member. No reporters, feature writers, or other members of the media shall be introduced as guests, if while on the Club's grounds they will be pursuing that occupation or gathering material for later publication.
F. No active member, seasonal subscriber, or guest shall individually give a gratuity or tip to a Club employee. This applies to every staff member of the Club, including parking attendants and concessionaires. Violations of this rule by active members, seasonal subscribers, or their guests may result in discharge of the employee and action under Rule 1.H. hereof. The following charges have been adopted to constitute a gratuity fund to be divided equitably among all employees:
$15 \%$ gratuity charge on restaurant and bar charges
$15 \%$ gratuity charge on weekly guest cards
$15 \%$ gratuity charge on concessionaire charges, other than tennis or bathing.
G. Cigar or pipe smoking will not be permitted on the Club premises.
H. With respect to Club events requiring reservations, a charge will be made for
reservations not cancelled by 12:00 Noon on the same day of the event.
V. DISCLAIMER: The Club will not be responsible for the loss of, or damage to, property received or held on behalf of active members, seasonal subscribers, junior seasonal subscribers or guests, or kept by them in the Club or grounds, whether in lockers or elsewhere; nor will it be responsible for errors, mistakes, or dishonesty of messengers or other employees or concessionaires, nor for the loss of, or damage to, any property entrusted to any employee or concessionaire.
VI. RELEASE: No active member, seasonal subscriber, junior seasonal subscriber (referred to herein as "member") or guest shall have any right of action against the Club or any of its officers, directors, or members, to recover losses or damages for injuries to the person or property of such member or the servant or servants of such member, due to negligence, malfeasance or misfeasance of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees, concessionaires or servants. Acceptance or continuance of membership or subscription by any person shall so far as permitted by law be a waiver and surrender by such member of any such right or action.
VII. COMPLAINTS OR SUGGESTIONS: Issues concerning the management, service, errors in charges or general operation of the Club should be in writing, signed by the active member or seasonal subscriber and addressed to the President.
VIII. REGISTRATION: Active members and seasonal subscribers shall register for themselves and their families on the first visit of each season. When introducing a house guest the sponsoring member shall register on the first visit of the house guest to the Club. Weekly guest card holders, day guests, luncheon guests, dinner guests and tennis guests must be accompanied by their sponsor, who is responsible for their conduct.
IX. ATTIRE: The dining room, living room and bar are reserved for those in full attire. Bathers, tennis players and golfers shall shower and change into full attire prior to entering these areas. Attire should meet the standards of good taste expected from active members, seasonal subscribers and guests of the Club. Tennis attire must be all white. To avoid embarrassment, active members and seasonal subscribers should inform their guests of the dress requirements. The Club or its designated representative shall be the sole judge of the propriety of any costume.
X. BATHERS: Rest rooms are provided near bathing areas. Bathers with wet or damp swimming suits should not use any part of the Mansion. Immodest bathing attire should not be worn at the Club. Children should be instructed in the proper use of the pool, not to use floating mattresses or toys in the pool, not to romp or run around the pool, and to assist in keeping the pool sanitary.
XI. CHILDREN: Active members and seasonal subscribers are responsible at all times for the behavior on Club property of their children and grandchildren (hereinafter called children), and for the behavior of any other children who may be their guests. Children under eight years of age are not permitted unattended on Club property. Children whose parents are playing tennis
are considered to be unattended. Children must behave at all times with due consideration for the comfort and enjoyment of others; particular care is appropriate. Parents, grandparents or sponsors will receive written notice of any abuse. Children over the age of 10 will not be charged for the use of the Club facilities.
XII. NURSES, GOVERNESSES AND ATTENDANTS: Maids, nurses and governesses accompanying children learning to swim are permitted the use of the pool until noon. Thereafter, they must be dressed in conformity with their position. Parents are responsible at all times for the behavior on Club property of their nurses and governesses, with consideration for the comfort and enjoyment of the membership.
XIII. AGREEMENT TO RULES: Payment of dues by an active member or seasonal subscriber shall be deemed knowledge of, and acquiescence in, these Rules.
XIV. INTERPRETATION: The Board of Directors and any officer of the Club shall have the right to interpret these Rules.
XV. WAIVER: At any time and from time to time, the Board of Directors may waive any Rule (or any part of any Rule) if such waiver is deemed by the Board to be in the best interests of the Club or if any Rule (or any part of any Rule) is judged by the Board to result in individual hardship or lack of fairness.

CHAPTER NINE

## TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

## D478-151

## TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

By: Joseph B. Pollock, Jr., P.E. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

## INTRODUCTION

The proposed Club at Mar-A-Lago is a planned use of the existing Mar-A-Lago mansion and grounds in a manner similar to which Ms. Marjorie Merriweather Post originally used the estate. Ms. Post used the estate as a place to live for several months of the year and to entertain guests essentially on a daily basis during the winter months of the year. A private club is proposed for the property which would have a limited membership. The owner and guest quarters of the mansion will be used as extended living quarters for members and/or their guests. Food service would be provided for the living quarters on an as needed basis and limited lunch and dinner service for non-resident members and their guests. The tennis court and the nine-hole golf course would be available for members and their guests as would use of the pool and a spa. Access for the development would be onto Ocean Boulevard.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. was retained to prepare a trip generation traffic impact analysis for the proposed club. The study was conducted using criteria and techniques consistent with the 1992 Traffic Performance Code of Palm Beach County.

## PLANNING DATA

## Proposed Club Use

Data concerning the proposed use of the property as a private club was provided by The Lawrence Group, Jim Griffin and Paul Rampell. The club will have the following use characteristics:

| Resident Quarters | 10 Units |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dining Room Seating | 75 People |
| Staff | 70 Persons |
| Tennis Court | 1 Court |
| Pool | 1 |
| Spa | 3,500 Sq.Ft. |
| Golf Course | 9 Holes |
|  | (Limited Layout) |

Use of the club facilities will be limited to club members and their guests. The resident quarters are anticipated to function, for purposes of traffic analysis, as exclusive resort hotel units or private apartments. None of the resident units will have their own food preparation facilities, therefore dining will be limited to room service type meals for dining within the resident quarters or to seating in the dining room which is a part of the club. The dining room will have regular seating for approximately 75 people. Special events may be held with dining for more than 75 people through special use of auxiliary rooms and verandas.

The club is planned to operate with a staff of 70 persons including grounds personnel. Even though there are servant quarters on the property, essentially all staff will reside off the property. It is possible that the club may choose to transport the majority of staff to the property using a shuttle van from a parking facility west of the Intracoastal Waterway.

The use of the dining facilities will be limited to activities planned to meet the needs of the membership as well as the activity level of the members. Lunch and/or dinner may not be provided everyday depending upon the needs and usage of the club membership. Several other existing clubs within the Town of Palm Beach do not serve lunch and/or dinner everyday during the winter season. A copy of a schedule for an existing club is attached as Exhibit "A".

## Ms. Mariorie Merriweather Post's Use

Ms. Post used Mar-A-Lago as a residence during the winter months of the year and as a place to entertain her house guests and other guests who were invited on a daily basis. According to Jim Griffin, Ms. Post typically had numerous house guests residing in the 9 guest quarter units which exist in the mansion. In addition, she invited other people to the mansion on a daily basis for lunch, teas, and/or dinner. It was not uncommon for her to have all 9 guest quarters full and for her to have 20 to 40 people in for tea or lunch and other 50 to 60 people in for dinner on a daily basis. In addition, larger events were held on weekends, special occasions or for benefits.

Ms. Post had a full-time staff of 70 people who worked at the estate. Typically, only 60 or so worked on any given day. Approximately half of the staff lived in staff quarters at the estate.

## Mr. Donald Trump's Use

Mr. Trump uses the estate in much the same manner as Ms. Post but the activity level is less intensive according to Jim Griffin. During the winter months, the owner's quarters are used very extensively but not necessarily everyday. The guest quarters are typically used at the fifty percent level through the season. A staff of 25 people maintains the grounds the year round and meets the needs of the owners and guests during the season. In addition, Mr. Trump and/or his guests have visitors in for the day or evening on a regular basis.

## TRIP GENERATION

## Proposed Club Use

The trip generation potential for the proposed club was developed based upon characteristics and usage of the proposed club. Trip generation rates of private clubs of the type proposed for the site are not documented in data published by Palm Beach County or the Institute of Transportation Engineers. There is a very limited amount of data published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) which is for one Elks Club in California. It is not envisioned that the private club proposed for Mar-A-Lago would be anything like an Elks Club. Consequently, those data are not valid for use. The number of living quarters, lunch and dinner activity, number of staff, service and deliveries as well as other member activity on a weekday basis have been used to determine the trip generation potential of the proposed club.

The 10 member/guest living quarters as stated previously are assumed to function much like a resort hotel for trip generation purposes. The official hotel trip generation rate of Palm Beach County is 8.7 daily trips per hotel room or unit. The ITE documents indicate that a resort hotel generates approximately 10 trips per day per occupied room or unit. Both the Palm Beach County hotel rate and the ITE resort hotel rate include all trips even those generated by staff, deliveries and others coming to the hotel for dining or meetings. Based upon trip purpose data from Broward County, approximately 50 percent of a hotel's trips are related to staff, deliveries, and persons other than registered hotel guests using the facility. Consequently, we have used the resort hotel rate of 10 trips per hotel room or unit but have reduced it by 50 percent since we are generating trips for staff, service vehicles and others separately.

A staff of 70 persons is proposed to operate the club in much the same manner as Ms. Post did. For purposes of this analysis, we have assumed that each staff person will come to the site to work and then leave at the end of their shift. Thus, each staff person would generate two trips per day. It may be that some staff members will carpool or otherwise ride to work together and the club may decide to operate a shuttle bus between Mar-A-Lago and a remote location to
minimize parking and traffic demands of the estate. These considerations have not been included in this analysis.

Service and deliveries of goods and materials to the club are estimated to average 10 vehicles per day. Two trips per vehicle would be assumed.

- Dining at the club would consist primarily of club members using the club for lunch in conjunction with use of the club's other facilities and/or attending dinner in the evenings. The club will have 75 seats available for dining. The Club is expects to serve lunches for 60 percent of its total 75 seating capacity on the average. Thus, 45 lunches would be served on a daily basis with 35 of the lunches being consumed by members driving to the club and 10 lunches being consumed by persons from the 10 member/guest living quarter units at the club. An automobile occupancy of two persons per car is expected for members and/or their guests arriving for lunch. For dinner, the club expects to have all 75 seats filled. Approximately 20 of the 75 seats are expected to be filled by persons occupying the living quarters at the club. As with lunch, an automobile occupancy of two persons per car is expected for club members and/or their guests arriving for dinner.

Since the club will be open before and after lunch for tennis, golf, spa or other use, it is anticipated that club members and/or their guests will utilize the club's facilities. From 20 to 25 members are expected to use the club's facilities other than for lunch or dinner. For purposes of this analysis, we have assumed that 25 members would use the club on an average weekday. For these trips, an automobile occupancy of one person per car is assumed.

Based upon the assumptions discussed above, the trip generation potential of the proposed club was determined. A summary of the determination is presented in Table 1. As can be seen in Table 1, the total daily trip generation is 350 daily trips.

TABLE 1
DAILY TRIP GENERATION
PROPOSED CLUB

| Activity or Use | Quantity | Daily Trip Rate:/.. | Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living Quarters | 10 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 50 |
| Staff | 70 | 2 trips/person | 140 |
| Service Vehicles | 10 | 2 trips/vehicle | 20 |
| Lunch | 35 | 2 trips/2 persons | 35 |
| Dinner | 55 | 2 trips/2 persons | 55 |
| Other Use | 25 | 2 trips/person | 50 |
| Total |  |  | 350 |

If the proposed club were to be operated with a shuttle bus or van for 40 percent of the staff, the vehicular trips made by staff would be reduced. A shuttle, if operated, would transport staff from a parking facility west of the Intracoastal Waterway to Mar-A-Lago and thereby reduce parking demands at Mar-A-Lago. Table 2 presents a summary of the daily trip generation of the proposed club with a staff shuttle. As can be seen in Table 2, the total trip generation is 302 daily trips.

Alternatively, the club may not contain but 50 seats in the dining area. A reduced dining area would reduce staff demands slightly and also reduce total daily traffic. Table 2 presents a summary of the daily trip generation of the proposed club with reduced dining. The total daily trip generation is 300 trips as can be seen in Table 3.

TABLE 2
DALLY TRIP GENERATION PROPOSED CLUB WITH STAFF SHUTTLE

| Activity or Use | Quantity | Daly Trip Rate | Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living Quarters | 10 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 50 |
| Staff | $70-30=40$ | 2 trips/person | 80 |
| Service Vehicles | 10 | 2 trips/vehicle | 20 |
| Lunch | 35 | 2 trips/2 persons | 35 |
| Dinner | 55 | 2 trips/2 persons | 55 |
| Other Use | 25 | 2 trips/person | 50 |
| Shuttle Runs | 6 | 2 trips/run | 12 |
| Total |  |  | 302 |

TABLE 3
DAILY TRIP GENERATION PROPOSED CLUB WITH REDUCED DINING (50 Seats)

| Activity or Use | Quantity | Daily Irip Rate./.! | Daily Trips: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Living Quarters | 10 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 50 |
| Staff | 60 | 2 trips/person | 120 |
| Service Vehicles | 10 | 2 trips/vehicle | 20 |
| Lunch | 20 | 2 trips/2 persons | 20 |
| Dinner | 40 | 2 trips/2 persons | 40 |
| Other Use | 25 | 2 trips/person | 50 |
| Total |  |  | 300 |

Ms. Mariorie Merriweather Post's Use

The trip generation potential of the estate's use by Ms. Post was estimated in the same manner as that used for the proposed club. The differences are very small with the exception of staff as can be seen in Table 4.

TABLE 4
DAILY TRIP GENERATION MS. POST USE OF MAR-A-LAGO

| Activity or Use | Quantit | Daily Mrip Rate | Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owners Quarters | 1 | 10 trips/unit | 10 |
| Guest Quarters | 9 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 45 |
| Staff | 30 | 2 trips/person | 60 |
| Service Vehicles | 10 | 2 trips/vehicle | 20 |
| Day Visitors | 20 | 2 trips/2 persons | 20 |
| Evening Visitors | 55 | 2 trips/2 person | 55 |
| Total |  |  | 210 |

Since Ms. Post lived at Mar-A-Lago, the trip generation rate for her living quarters was assumed to be similar to a single-family residence. The guest living quarters were assumed to be similar to a resort hotel. As stated in the planning data, approximately one-half of the staff resided on the estate in servant quarters provided by Ms. Post. Ms. Post typically entertained other invited guests during the day in addition to her house guests. We have estimated that level of average daily activity to be 20 persons in addition to house guests. Evening dinners typically included 75 persons with 55 of those persons being persons other than house guests. Service and delivery vehicles were assumed to be approximately 10 per day. As can be seen in the summary presented in Table 4, the total trip generation potential of the previous use of the estate is 210 daily trips.

Mr. Donald Trump's Use

Donald Trump uses Mar-A-Lago much the same as Ms. Post but the activity is slightly less intensive. The estate does not presently experience the same level of guest activity or have as many staff as Ms. Post did when she used Mar-A-Lago. The trip generation potential of Mr. Trump's use of the property is summarized in Table 5, the daily trips associated with the existing use by Mr. Trump and his guests totals 103 .

TABLE 5
DAILY TRIP GENERATION EXISTING TRUMP USE OF MAR-A-LAGO

| Activity or Use | Quanlity | Daily Mrip Rate | Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owners Quarters | 1 | 10 trips/unit | 10 |
| Guest Quarters | 5 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 25 |
| Staff | 25 | 2 trips/person | 50 |
| Service Vehicles | 6 | 2 trips/vehicle | 12 |
| Day/Evening Visitors | 6 | 2 trips/2 persons | 6 |
| Total |  |  | 103 |

## Trip Gencration Difference

As can be seen in Tables 1, 2, and 3, the proposed club will generate between 300 and 350 daily trips. The trips associated with Ms. Post's use totalled 210 daily trips while Mr. Trump's current use generates approximately 103 daily trips. Based upon discussions with the Town of Palm Beach staff as well as the staff of Palm Beach County, Mr. Trump's existing use should be the benchmark against which the proposed club should be measured for concurrency purposes.

Thus, the proposed club will generate 197 to 247 more daily trips than Mr. Trump's existing use. The increase in trips (from 197 to 247) is subject to concurrency. To meet the requirements of the Palm Beach County Traffic Performance Standards, the traffic increase should be limited to 200 daily trips. To accomplish this, the club would need to utilize a shuttle van for some of its staff, reduce seating in the dining area, limit club membership or utilize some other technique to limit traffic.

Based upon observed traffic characteristics of the Bath and Tennis Club, a total of 302 daily trips for the proposed Mar-A-Lago Club translates to a potential club membership of 1,007 .

## TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT

The assignment of traffic from the proposed club at Mar-A-Lago as well as traffic from the existing use would be essentially the same. Approximately, 45 percent of the trips would load to the north on Ocean Boulevard with the remaining 55 percent loading to the south on Ocean Boulevard. Of the 55 percent loading south on Ocean Boulevard, 25 percent would continue south on Ocean Boulevard south of Southern Boulevard while the other 30 percent would travel west on Southern Boulevard across the Intracoastal Waterway.

The Traffic Performance Standards of Palm Beach County require that only the increase in trips are subject to the performance standards. Of the 200 additional trips, 90 trips would impact Ocean Boulevard north of Mar-A-Lago and 110 daily trips would impact Ocean Boulevard south of Mar-A-Lago to Southern Boulevard crossing the Intracoastal Waterway. The additional traffic impact on Southern Boulevard would be 60 daily trips while the remaining 50 daily trips would travel south on Ocean Boulevard south of Southern Boulevard.

Since many of the staff for the proposed club are expected to live west of the Intracoastal Waterway and travel to the Mar-A-Lago Club via Southern Boulevard, the majority of staff should
utilize the Southern Boulevard service entrance for access to the property. This will reduce traffic impacts on Ocean Boulevard north of Southern Boulevard.

## COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTYWIDE TRAFFIC PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Since the increase in trips is 200 daily trips or less, the radius of influence is only the directly accessed link. Thus, only Ocean Boulevard north and south of the site needs to be evaluated relative to the Traffic Performance Standards. That roadway section is presently over capacity but was also over capacity when the comprehensive plan of Palm Beach County was adopted. Since the roadway was over capacity when the County adopted its comprehensive plan, the traffic performance standards allow a minimal additional impact ( 1 percent of 13,700 ) by a project such as the proposed club. The 110 trips per day impact is less than the allowable 1 percent impact volume of 137 trips. Thus, the proposed club complies with the traffic performance standards ordinance.

## COMPLIANCE WITH TOWN OF PALM BEACH CONCURRENCY REQUIREMENTS

A review of historical traffic count data was undertaken to estimate 1993 peak season traffic volumes for use in determining compliance with the Town's concurrency requirements. Based upon Table 6, the proposed club complies with the concurrency requirements of the Town.

TABLE 6
OCEAN BOULEVARD/A1A PEAK SEASON, PEAK HOUR CONCURRENCY EVALUATION

MAR-A-LAGO CLUB

|  | North of County Road | South of County Road | South of Southern Boulevard | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity | 1,290 ${ }^{1}$ | 1,570 ${ }^{1}$ | 1,570 ${ }^{1}$ | 1,477 ${ }^{1}$ |
| 1990 | 1,247 ${ }^{2}$ | 1,698 ${ }^{1,2}$ | 1,144 ${ }^{2}$ | 1,363 |
| 1992 | 1,217 ${ }^{4}$ | $1,657^{3}$ | 1,089 ${ }^{5}$ | 1,321 |
| 1993 | 1,278 ${ }^{6}$ | 1,740 ${ }^{6}$ | 1,143 ${ }^{6}$ | 1,387 |
| Reserved Capacity |  |  |  | $23^{1}$ |
| Total (1993 + Reserved) |  |  |  | 1,410 |
| Available Capacity |  |  |  | 67 |
| Mar-A-Lago Club Additional Traffic ${ }^{7}$ |  |  |  | 14 |

## Sources

1 "Methodology for Traffic Concurrency Assessment", Adley, Brisson Engman, Inc., June 24, 1991.
${ }^{2}$ Pages 12, 13, and 14, "Traffic Engineering Study", Frederic R. Harris, December, 1990.
${ }^{3}$ Palm Beach County Traffic Count, February 13, 1992
4 Estimated by Kimley-Horn based upon change in traffic on Ocean Boulevard between County Road and Southern Boulevard.
5 Estimated by Kimley-Horn based upon change in peak season daily traffic between 1990 and 1992 using Palm Beach County data.
6 Estimated by Kimley-Horn based upon an assumed 5 percent growth rate between 1992 and 1993. Five percent growth rate based on 1991 to 1992 average daily volume growth for the Ocean Boulevard count station between County Road and Southern Boulevard and the Ocean Boulevard count station south of Southern Boulevard. Peak season daily volume actually decreased between 1991 and 1992 for the two count stations.
7 Estimated by Kimley-Horn to be 7 percent of 200 additional daily traffic generated by proposed Mar-A-Lago Club.

As demonstrated by this report, the proposed club at Mar-A-Lago complies with the Countywide Traffic Performance Standards of Palm Beach County. Further, the proposed complies with the Town of Palm Beach concurrency requirements based upon projected 1993 peak season volumes.

## SITE ACCESS AND ON-SITE TRAFFIC CIRCULATION

An evaluation of site access and on-site traffic circulation was undertaken to minimize any congestion which the proposed club might cause on Ocean Boulevard. During special events at Mar-A-Lago recently, there has been some congestion caused on Ocean Boulevard which can be avoided with proper operation and management. To address the situation conceptual plans were developed depicting the existing use, typical daily club use, and special events at the club. Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 depict the concepts.

Figure 1 depicts the existing circulation plan. The existing main entrance on Ocean Boulevard is used by the owner and the owner's guests for entry and exit. The primary service access is at the northern driveway on Ocean Boulevard and is used for both entry and exit for staff and service vehicles. A secondary service entry and exit also exists on Southern Boulevard.

Figure 2 depicts the circulation plan for the proposed club use on a typical day. The existing main entrance would be utilized for two lanes of inbound traffic for members and their guests. The two lanes would be served by separate valet drop points. One drop would be under the porte cochere while the second drop would be on the traffic circle. The existing northern service entrance and exit would be converted to two lanes for exit only for members and guests. Valet pick-up would be accomplished in the parking area north of the traffic circle. All service and staff would enter and exit via the Southern Boulevard service entry and exit.

Figure 3 depicts the entry circulation plan for a special event at the club. The main entrance would operate with two lanes inbound traffic for members and their guests. The northern access to Ocean Boulevard would operate with one lane as an entry for members and guests while the second lane would be available for any vehicles which need to exit the property after dropping off members or guests attending the special event at the club. Three valet drop locations would be provided for the three inbound lanes. All service vehicles and staff would utilize the Southern Boulevard service entry and exit. With this plan, there should be no congestion on Ocean Boulevard. The club should engage policemen to maintain smooth traffic flow on Ocean Boulevard associated with vehicles entering the club's driveways.

Figure 4 depicts the exit circulation plan for a special event at the club. The main entrance would be used for two-way traffic. One lane would be used for members and guests exiting after the event is over. The inbound lane would be available for vehicles returning to pickup members or guests. The northern access to Ocean Boulevard would be used as two lanes for members and guests exiting the property. The Southern Boulevard access would be used as a supplemental exit for members and guests exiting the property as well as entry and exit for service and staff. With this plan, there should be minimal congestion caused on Ocean Boulevard and special event attendees will be able to exit the property rather quickly. The club should engage policemen to allow special event attendees to enter Ocean Boulevard and Southern Boulevard safely.

Parking for the proposed club will be provided on site through a combination of paved and unpaved parking spaces. Unpaved spaces will be provided in grass areas which have a stabilized subbase or through the use of wood chip or some similar materials. These techniques have been used effectively in areas which are not constantly used for parking.




BATH AND TENNIS CLUB, INC.
PALM BEACH, FLORIDA
FEBRUARY 1993

| SUN. | MON. | TUES. | WED. | THUAS. | FRI. | SAT. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 <br> ERIDGE DAY | 2 <br> EVENING GRILL 7-9 P.M. | 3 $\begin{gathered} \text { AFTERNOON } \\ \text { TEA } \\ 4.6 \text { P.M. } \end{gathered}$ | 4 <br> TENNIS TOURNAMENT CLUE CHAMPIONSHPS INFORMAL BUFFET DINNEA 7.9:30 P.M. | 5 <br> tennis tournament CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS | 6 <br> tennis tournament CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS <br> SPORTS ACTIVITIES 7. UP ROOM |
| 7 <br> tennis tournament CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS | 8 <br> TENNIS TOURNAMENT CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS bridge day | 9 <br> TENNIS TOURNAMENT CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS EVENING GRILL 7-9 P.M. | 10 <br> TENNIS TOURNAMENT CLIJE CHAMPIONSHIPS <br> AFTERNOON TEA <br> 4.6 P.M. | 11 <br> TENNIS TOURNAMENT CLUE CHAMPIONSHIPS INFORMAL BUFFET DINNER 7-9:30 P.M. | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \\ & \text { TENNIS TOURNAMENT } \\ & \text { CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS } \\ & \text { BEACH COOK-DUT } \\ & 6.9 \text { P.M. } \end{aligned}$ | 13 <br> tennis tournament CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS <br> SPORTS ACTIVITIES 7.UP ROOM |
| 14 <br> tennis tounhament CLUB CHAMPIONSHPS <br> Valentines served fonmal DINNER - DANCE 7.11 P.M | 150 | 16 <br> EVENING GRILL 7.9 P.M. | AFTERNOON TEA 4. 6 P.M. | 18 <br> INFORMAL BUFFET OINNER 7-9:30 P.M. | 19 | $20$ <br> SPORTS ACTVIITIES $7 . U P$ ROOM |
| 21 | $22$ <br> BRIOGE OAY | $23$ <br> EVENING GRILL 7-9 P.M. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFTEANOON } \\ & \text { TEA } \\ & 4.6 \text { P.M. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|ccc}  & \\ & \\ & \\ & \text { INFORMAL } \\ & \text { BUFFET DINNER } \\ & 7.9: 30 \text { P.M. } \end{array}$ | $26$ | $27$ <br> SPORTS ACTNITIES 7 -UP ROOM |
| SERVED INFORMAL ondien - dance 7.11PM. |  | FOR IN <br> PLEASE <br> PLEASE CANCE | ORMATION AND R KE RESERVATION RESERVATIONS | ERVATIONS PHO FOR ALL EVENIN <br> 12:00 NOON ON | 832-4271 <br> FUNCTIONS. <br> E DAY OF EVENT |  |

## CHAPTER TEN

APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION, SITE PLAN REVIEW AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT REPORT

## APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION NO.

## TO THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH:

The undersigned requests that a Special Exception to the Zoning Ordinance be granted with respect to the following property, described as:

See Exhibit "A" attached and incorporated herein by reference.

The Special Exception requested is as follows:

> Special Exception Use No. 5 ("Private social, swimming, golf, tennis and yacht clubs") under Article 4, Section 4.10, B, "Schedule of Use Regulations" for District R-AA, "Large Estate Residential."

The following are the reasons why such Special Exception should be granted:
See Exhibit "B" attached and incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,
The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc.

By:/S/ Paul Rampell
Applicant
Paul Rampell, as Attorney

Donald J. Trump
Fee Simple Property Owner (or his duly authorized Attorney, if not applicant)

Provide herein name of person who can receive service of process for purposes of litigation in Palm Beach County $\qquad$ Paul Rampell, Esq., 125 Worth Avenue, Palm Beach, FL 33480

Copies mailed to adjacent and nearby property owners on, or before, $\qquad$ , 1992 as certified by:
(1) This Special Exception will be heard before the Town Council on $\qquad$
$\qquad$ , 199 $\qquad$ at 9:30 a.m.
(2) Maps, plats or other exhibits are attached to the original on file in the Town Building Department.
(3) Please be advised that Applicant's request for deferral of a Zoning Application may be denied by Town Council per Section 10.41 (e) of the Town Zoning Ordinance. Further, any request by the Applicant for deferral or withdrawal must be received in writing seven (7) days prior to the subject Town Council meeting.
(4) Warning ** A nonconforming use may not be intensified per Section 8.10 of the Town Zoning Ordinance 2-74 As Amended.

# ADDENDUM <br> TO <br> THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB: A SPECIAL EXCEPTION USE AND PRESERVATION PLAN <br> AND <br> APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION NO. 11-93 BY THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC. 

DATED: APRIL 29, 1993


## NOTE


#### Abstract

The Addendum contains information supplementary to certain chapters in The Mar-aLago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan filed with the Town of Palm Beach on March 12, 1993. Changes are based upon constructive comments made by Mar-a-Lago's neighbors, Town of Palm Beach Councilmembers and staff, experts, preservation authorities, residents and interested parties. All modifications are restrictive of the Application for Special Exception No. 11-93. If anything in the Addendum is inconsistent with other submitted materials, Addendum provisions shall control.


## CHAPTER ONE

## THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB INTRODUCTION

1. Donald J. Trump shall continue to pay any and all real property taxes, maintenance costs, insurance premiums and similar expenses to the extent The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. is unable to meet such obligations.
2. The Applicant and Mr. Trump shall enter into a binding, recordable agreement with the Town of Palm Beach to insure compliance by the Club with representations herein regarding preservation, parking, traffic, unity of title, compliance with various governmental requirements and related matters.
3. Mr. Trump and/or the Applicant within a reasonable period of time shall voluntarily make an income tax deductible donation of preservation easements covering the Critical Features identified in Chapter Three to a government unit or a charitable organization as defined in Sections 501(c)(3), 509(a)(2) or 509(a)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code.
4. Membership in the Club shall be limited to that number necessary to meet traffic limitations imposed by Palm Beach County and the Town of Palm Beach.
5. Landscaping along the northern boundary of the Mar-a-Lago estate will be cultivated and enhanced to serve as a barrier for the benefit of Woodbridge residents. If after a reasonable period of time (not to exceed two years) this vegetational buffer proves to be inadequate, the boundary wall will be extended (westward to Lake Worth) and increased in height (to the maximum possible) with appropriate governmental approval.

## CHAPTER TWO

## PRINCIPLES OF PRESERVATION

The following is added to Principle II:
"No selective restriction shall be permitted which would create an architectural form which never existed in the past."

In Principle IX, the phrase "further the original conceptions of" shall be replaced with the phrase "maintain the integrity of creations by."

Principle X is deleted and the following inserted in lieu thereof:
"In addition to the foregoing, the U.S. Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, as amended from time to time, shall be strictly adhered to subject to applicable governmental regulations."

## CHAPTER THREE

## CRITICAL FEATURES

The following shall be added to Item 10, "Mansion Rooms":
(v) upper and lower cloisters, (w) north and south second floor corridors and overlooks," and (x) all stairways."

## CHAPTER EIGHT

# ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION, BY-LAWS AND RULES OF <br> THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC. 

In Article VIII, Section 5, "Eight" is corrected to "Two."

## CHAPTER NINE

## TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

Because modifications to various parts of this Chapter were made, the Traffic Analysis is set forth in its entirety.

## TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

- By: Joseph-B. Pollock, Jr., P.E. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.


## INTRODUCTION

The proposed Club at Mar-A-Lago is a planned use of the existing Mar-A-Lago mansion and grounds in a manner similar to which Ms. Marjorie Merriweather Post originally used the estate. A private club is proposed for the property which would have a limited membership. The owner and guest rooms of the mansion will be used for members and/or their guests. Food service would be provided for the guest rooms on an as needed basis and limited lunch and dinner service for non-resident members and their guests. The tennis court and the nine-hole golf course would be available for members and their guests as would use of the pool and a spa. Access for the development would be onto Ocean Boulevard.

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. was retained to prepare a trip generation traffic impact analysis for the proposed club. The study was conducted using criteria and techniques consistent with the 1992 Traffic Performance Code of Palm Beach County.

## PLANNING DATA

## Proposed Club Use

Data concerning the proposed use of the property as a private club was provided by the Lawrence Group, Jim Griffin, and Paul Rampell. The club will have the following use characteristics:

| Guest Rooms | 10 Units |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dining Room Seating | 75 People |
| Staff | 70 Persons |
| Tennis Court | 1 Court |
| Pool | 1 |
| Spa | 3,500 Sq.Ft. |
| Golf Course | 9 Holes |
|  | (Limited Layout) |

Use of the club facilities will be limited to club members and their guests. The guest rooms are anticipated to function, for purposes of traffic analysis, as exclusive resort hotel rooms. None of the guest rooms will have their own food preparation facilities, therefore dining will be limited to room service type meals for dining within the them or to seating in the dining room which is a part of the club. The dining room will have regular seating for approximately 75 people. Special events may be held with dining for more than 75 people through special use of auxiliary rooms and verandas.

The club is planned to operate with a staff of 70 persons including grounds personnel. Even though there are servant quarters on the property, essentially all staff will reside off the property. It is possible that the club may choose to transport the majority of staff to the property using a shuttle van from a parking facility west of the Intracoastal Waterway.

The use of the dining facilities will be limited to activities planned to meet the needs of the membership as well as the activity level of the members. Lunch and/or dinner may not be provided everyday depending upon the needs and usage of the club membership. Several other existing clubs within the Town of Palm Beach do not serve lunch and/or dinner everyday during the winter season. A copy of a schedule for an existing club is attached as Exhibit "A".

## Ms. Marjorie Merriweather Post's Use

Ms. Post used-Mar-A-Lago as a residence during the winter months of the year and as a place to entertain her house guests and other guests who were invited on a daily basis. According to Jim Griffin, Ms. Post typically had numerous house guests residing in the 9 guest quarter units which exist in the mansion. In addition, she invited other people to the mansion on a daily basis for lunch, teas, and/or dinner. It was not uncommon for her to have all 9 guest quarters full and for her to have 20 to 40 people in for tea or lunch and other 50 to 60 people in for dinner on a daily basis. In addition, larger events were held on weekends, special occasions or for benefits.

Ms. Post had a full-time staff of 70 people who worked at the estate. Typically, only 60 or so worked on any given day. Approximately half of the staff lived in staff quarters at the estate.

## Mr. Donald Trump's Use

Mr. Trump uses the estate in much the same manner as Ms. Post but the activity level is less intensive according to Jim Griffin. During the winter months, the owner's quarters are used very extensively but not necessarily everyday. The guest quarters are typically used at the fifty percent level through the season. A staff of 25 people maintains the grounds the year round and meets the needs of the owners and guests during the season. In addition, Mr. Trump and/or his guests have visitors in for the day or evening on a regular basis. If he so desired, Mr. Trump could increase the intensity of his usage to Ms. Post's level easily and without any zoning change.

## TRIP GENERATION

## Proposed Club Use

The trip generation potential for the proposed club was developed based upon characteristics and usage of the proposed club. Trip generation rates of private clubs of the type proposed for the site are not documented in data published by Palm Beach County or the Institute of Transportation Engineers. There is a very limited amount of data published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) which is for one Elks Club in California. It is not envisioned that the private club proposed for Mar-A-Lago would be anything like an Elks Club. Consequently, those data are not valid for use. The number of guest rooms, lunch and dinner activity, number of staff, service and deliveries as well as other member activity on a weekday basis have been used to determine the trip generation potential of the proposed club.

The 10 member/guest rooms as stated previously are assumed to function much like a resort hotel for trip generation purposes. The official hotel trip generation rate of Palm Beach County is 8.7 daily trips per hotel room. The ITE documents indicate that a resort hotel generates approximately 10 trips per day per occupied room. Both the Palm Beach County hotel rate and the ITE resort hotel rate include all trips even those generated by staff, deliveries and others coming to the hotel for dining or meetings. Based upon trip purpose data from Broward County, approximately 50 percent of a hotel's trips are related to staff, deliveries, and persons other than registered hotel guests using the facility. Consequently, we have used the resort hotel rate of 10 trips per hotel room but have reduced it by 50 percent since we are generating trips for staff, service vehicles and others separately. Exhibit " B " is a copy of the Broward County trip rates by trip purpose.

A staff of 70 persons is proposed to operate the club in much the same manner as Ms. Post did. For purposes of this analysis, we have assumed that each staff person will come to the site to work and then leave at the end of his or her shift. Thus, each staff person would generate two trips per day. It may be that some staff members will carpool or otherwise ride to work together
and the club may decide to operate a shuttle bus between Mar-A-Lago and a remote location to minimize parking and traffic demands of the estate. These considerations have not been included in this analysis.

Service and deliveries of goods and materials to the club are estimated to average 10 vehicles per day. Two trips per vehicle would be assumed.

Dining at the club would consist primarily of club members using the club for lunch in conjunction with use of the club's other facilities and/or attending dinner in the evenings. The club will have 75 seats available for dining. The Club is expects to serve lunches for 60 percent of its total 75 seating capacity on the average. Thus, 45 lunches would be served on a daily basis with 35 of the lunches being consumed by members driving to the club and 10 lunches being consumed by persons from the 10 member/guest rooms at the club. An automobile occupancy of two persons per car is expected for members and/or their guests arriving for lunch. For dinner, the club expects to have all 75 seats filled. Approximately 20 of the 75 seats are expected to be filled by persons occupying the guest rooms at the club. As with lunch, an automobile occupancy of two persons per car is expected for club members and/or their guests arriving for dinner.

Since the club will be open before and after lunch for tennis, golf, spa or other use, it is anticipated that club members and/or their guests will utilize the club's facilities. Another 20 to 25 members are expected to use the club's facilities without having lunch or dinner. For purposes of this analysis, we have assumed that 25 members other than those having lunch or dinner would use the club on an average weekday. For these trips, an automobile occupancy of one person per car is assumed.

Although the Club extends privileges to residents of Woodbrige Road, 1125 South Ocean Boulevard and the Bath and Tennis Club, these individuals will not park at Mar-A-Lago since they easily can walk and should not impact either traffic or parking.

Based upon the assumptions discussed above, the trip generation potential of the proposed club was determined. A summary of the determination is presented in Table 1. As can be seen in Table 1, the total daily trip generation is 350 daily trips.

TABLE 1
DAILY TRIP GENERATION PROPOSED CLUB

| \%. Activity or Use. | Quantity | \%. Daily Trip Rate | Dail Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Guest Rooms | 10 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 50 |
| Staff | 70 | 2 trips/person | 140 |
| Service Vehicles | 10 | 2 trips/vehicle | 20 |
| Lunch | 35 | 2 trips/2 persons | 35 |
| Dinner | 55 | 2 trips/2 persons | 55 |
| Other Use | 25 | 2 trips/person | 50 |
| Total |  |  | 350 |

If the proposed club were to be operated with a shuttle bus or van for 40 percent of the staff, the vehicular trips made by staff would be reduced. A shuttle, if operated, would transport staff from a parking facility west of the Intracoastal Waterway to Mar-A-Lago and thereby reduce parking demands at Mar-A-Lago. Table 2 presents a summary of the daily trip generation of the proposed club with a staff shuttle. As can be seen in Table 2, the total trip generation is 302 daily trips.

Alternatively, the club may limit seating in the dining area to 50. A reduced dining area would reduce staff demands lightly and also reduce total daily traffic. Table 2 presents a summary of the daily trip generation of the proposed club with reduced dining. The total daily trip generation is 300 trips as can be seen in Table 3.

TABLE 2
DAILY TRIP GENERATION
PROPOSED CLUB WITH STAFF SHUTTLE

| Activity or Use.. | Quantity. | \#, Daily Trip Rate. | Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Guest Rooms | 10 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 50 |
| Staff | $70-30=40$ | 2 trips/person | 80 |
| Service Vehicles | 10 | 2 trips/vehicle | 20 |
| Lunch | 35 | 2 trips/2 persons | 35 |
| Dinner | 55 | 2 trips/2 persons | 55 |
| Other Use | 25 | 2 trips/person | 50 |
| Shuttle Runs | 6 | 2 trips/run | 12 |
| Total |  |  | 302 |

TABLE 3
DAILY TRIP GENERATION
PROPOSED CLUB WITH REDUCED DINING
(50 Seats)

| Activity or Use | Quantity | Daily Trip Rate, | Daily Trips |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Guest Rooms | 10 | $.5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 50 |
| Staff | 60 | 2 trips/person | 120 |
| Service Vehicles | 10 | 2 trips/vehicle | 20 |
| Lunch | 20 | 2 trips/2 persons | 20 |
| Dinner | 40 | 2 trips/2 persons | 40 |
| Other Use | 25 | 2 trips/person | 50 |
| Total |  | 300 |  |

## Ms. Mariorie Merriweather Post's Use

The trip generation potential of the estate's use by Ms. Post was estimated in the same manner as that used for the proposed club. The differences are very small with the exception of staff as can be seen in Table 4.

TABLE 4
DAILY TRIP GENERATION
MS. POST USE OF MAR-A-LAGO

| \#. Activity or Use: | Quantity | Daily Trip Rate:/ | Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owners Suite | 1 | 10 trips/unit | 10 |
| Guest Rooms | 9 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 45 |
| Staff | 30 | 2 trips/person | 60 |
| Service Vehicles | 10 | 2 trips/vehicle | 20 |
| Day Visitors | 20 | 2 trips/2 persons | 20 |
| Evening Visitors | 55 | 2 trips/2 person | 55 |
| Total |  |  | 210 |

Since Ms. Post lived at Mar-A-Lago, the trip generation rate for her suite was assumed to be similar to a single-family residence. The guest rooms were assumed to be similar to a resort hotel. As stated in the planning data, approximately one-half of the staff resided on the estate in servant quarters provided by Ms. Post. Ms. Post typically entertained other invited guests during the day in addition to her house guests. We have estimated that level of average daily activity to be 20 persons in addition to house guests. Evening dinners typically included 75 persons with 55 of those persons being persons other than house guests. Service and delivery vehicles were assumed to be approximately 10 per day. As can be seen in the summary presented in Table 4, the total trip generation potential of the previous use of the estate is 210 daily trips.

## Mr. Donald Trump's Use

Donald Trump uses Mar-A-Lago much the same as Ms. Post but the activity is slightly less intensive. The estate does not presently experience the same level of guest activity or have as many staff as Ms. Post did when she used Mar-A-Lago. The trip generation potential of Mr. Trump's use of the property is summarized in Table 5, the daily trips associated with the existing use by Mr. Trump and his guests totals 103. Exhibit "C" contains a list of employees or persons who are at Mar-A-Lago on a daily basis.

TABLE 5
DAILY TRIP GENERATION
EXISTING TRUMP USE OF MAR-A-LAGO

| Activity or Use" | Quantity. | Daily Trip Rate | Daily Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Owners Suite | 1 | 10 trips/unit | 10 |
| Guest Rooms | 5 | . $5 \times 10$ trips/unit | 25 |
| Staff | 25 | 2 trips/person | 50 |
| Service Vehicles | 6 | 2 trips/vehicle | 12 |
| Day/Evening Visitors | 6 | 2 trips/2 persons | 6 |
| Total |  |  | 103 |

## Trip Generation Difference

As can be seen in Tables 1, 2, and 3, the proposed club will generate between 300 and 350 daily trips. The trips associated with Ms. Post's use totalled 210 daily trips while Mr. Trump's current use generates approximately 103 daily trips. Based upon discussions with the Town of Palm Beach staff as well as the staff of Palm Beach County, Mr. Trump's existing use should be
the benchmark against which the proposed club should be measured for concurrency purposes. Thus, the proposed club will generate 197 to 247 more daily trips than Mr. Trump's existing use. The increase in trips (from 197 to 247) is subject to concurrency. To meet the requirements of the Palm Beach County Traffic Performance Standards, the traffic increase should be limited to 200 daily trips. To accomplish this, the club shall at all times either (a) utilize a shuttle van to transport some of its staff to Mar-A-Lago from a remote site, or (b) reduce seating in the dining area.

Based upon observed traffic characteristics of the Bath and Tennis Club, a total of 302 daily trips for the proposed Mar-A-Lago Club translates to a potential club membership of 1,007. Club membership shall not exceed this number. Exhibit "D" contains a computation of the potential club membership calculation.

## TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENT

The assignment of traffic from the proposed club at Mar-A-Lago as well as traffic from the existing use would be essentially the same. Approximately, 45 percent of the trips would load to the north on Ocean Boulevard with the remaining 55 percent loading to the south on Ocean Boulevard. Of the 55 percent loading south on Ocean Boulevard, 25 percent would continue south on Ocean Boulevard south of Southern Boulevard while the other 30 percent would travel west on Southern Boulevard across the Intracoastal Waterway.

The Traffic Performance Standards of Palm Beach County require that only the increase in trips are subject to the performance standards. Of the 200 additional trips, 90 trips would impact Ocean Boulevard north of Mar-A-Lago and 110 daily trips would impact Ocean Boulevard south of Mar-A-Lago to Southern Boulevard crossing the Intracoastal Waterway. The additional traffic impact on Southern Boulevard would be 60 daily trips while the remaining 50 daily trips would travel south on Ocean Boulevard south of Southern Boulevard.

Since many of the staff for the proposed club are expected to live west of the Intracoastal Waterway and travel to the Mar-A-Lago Club via Southern Boulevard, the majority of staff should utilize the Southern Boulevard service entrance for access to the property. This will reduce traffic impacts on Ocean Boulevard north of Southern Boulevard.

## COMPLIANCE WITH COUNTYWIDE TRAFFIC PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Since the increase in trips is 200 daily trips or less, the radius of influence is only the directly accessed link. Thus, only Ocean Boulevard north and south of the site needs to be evaluated relative to the Traffic Performance Standards. That roadway section is presently over capacity but was also over capacity when the comprehensive plan of Palm Beach County was adopted. Since the roadway was over capacity when the County adopted its comprehensive plan, the traffic performance standards allow a minimal additional impact ( 1 percent of 13,700 ) by a project such as the proposed club. The 110 trips per day impact is less than the allowable 1 percent impact volume of 137 trips. Thus, the proposed club complies with the traffic performance standards ordinance.

## COMPLIANCE WITH TOWN OF PALM BEACH CONCURRENCY REQUIREMENTS

A review of 1993 peak season traffic count data was undertaken to determine compliance with the Town's concurrency requirements. Based upon Table 6, the proposed club complies with the concurrency requirements of the Town.

TABLE 6
OCEAN BOULEVARD/A1A PEAK SEASON, PEAK HOUR
CONCURRENCY EVALUATION
MAR-A-LAGO CLUB

|  | North of County Road | South of County Road | South of Southern Boulevard | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity | 1,290 ${ }^{1}$ | 1,570 ${ }^{1}$ | 1,570 ${ }^{1}$ | 1,477 ${ }^{1}$ |
| $1993{ }^{2}$ | 1,125 | 1,478 | 1,146 | 1,250 |
| Reserved Capacity |  |  |  | $23{ }^{1}$ |
| Total (1993 + Reserved) |  |  |  | 1,273 |
| Available Capacity |  |  |  | 204 |
| Mar-A-Lago Club Additional Traffic ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  | 18 |

## Sources

1 "Methodology for Traffic Concurrency Assessment", Adley, Brisson Engman, Inc., June 24, 1991.

2 Table C from March 25, 1993 letter to Mr. James Bowser regarding Updated Town of Palm Beach Traffic Counts for 1993.
3 Estimated by Kimley-Horn to be 9 percent of 200 additional daily traffic generated by proposed Mar-A-Lago Club.

## CONCLUSION

As demonstrated by this report, the proposed club at Mar-A-Lago complies with the Countywide Traffic Performance Standards of Palm Beach County. Further, the proposed Club complies with the Town of Palm Beach concurrency requirements based upon 1993 peak season volumes.

## SITE ACCESS AND ON-SITE TRAFFIC CIRCULATION

An evaluation of site access and on-site traffic circulation was undertaken to minimize any congestion which the proposed club might cause on Ocean Boulevard. During special events at Mar-A-Lago recently, there has been some congestion caused on Ocean Boulevard which can be avoided with proper operation and management. To address the situation conceptual plans were developed depicting the existing use, typical daily club use, and special events at the club.

Figure 1 depicts the existing circulation plan. The existing main entrance on Ocean Boulevard is used by the owner and the owner's guests for entry and exit. The primary service access is at the northern driveway on Ocean Boulevard and is used for both entry and exit for staff and service vehicles. A secondary service entry and exit also exists on Southern Boulevard. If the Palm Beach Town Council deems it appropriate, an exit-only gate can be created west of the present Southern Boulevard service accessway. This gate, which would be aesthetically identical to the existing gate, will make it easier for service vehicles to exit the property and involves minimal change.

Figure 2 depicts the circulation plan for the proposed club use on a typical day. The existing main entrance would be utilized for two lanes of inbound traffic for members and their guests. The two lanes would be served by separate valet drop points. One drop would be under the porte cochere while the second drop would be on the traffic circle. The existing northern service entrance and exit would be converted to two lanes for exit only by members and guests. Valet pick-up would be accomplished in the parking area north of the traffic circle. All service and staff would enter and exit via the Southern Boulevard service entry and exit.



Figure 3 depicts traffic volumes at the Club's access points for typical weekday activity of the Club. Two conditions are depicted on Figure 3 which represent the peak traffic hour on Ocean Boulevard (between 3:00 pm and 4:00 pm) and the peak hour for the Club in evening (generally between 6:30 pm and 7:30 pm). The Club traffic is estimated to be approximately 9 percent of the total daily between $3: 00$ and $4: 00 \mathrm{pm}$. The Club traffic is estimated to be approximately 10 percent of the total daily between $6: 30$ and 7:30 pm .

Figure 4 depicts the entry circulation plan for a special event at the club. The main entrance would operate with two lanes inbound traffic for members and their guests. The northern access to Ocean Boulevard would operate with two lanes for any vehicles which need to exit the property after dropping off members or guests attending the special event at the club. Two valet drop locations would be provided for the two inbound lanes. All service vehicles and staff would utilize the Southern Boulevard service entry and exit. With this plan, there should be no congestion on Ocean Boulevard. The club will engage off-duty policemen to maintain smooth traffic flow on Ocean Boulevard associated with vehicles entering the club's driveways. The twolane entry has the capacity to stack approximately 20 cars between the drop points and the entry gate so that any waiting cars can queue on site instead of on South Ocean Boulevard. With a total valet crew of 15 to 25 people, the vehicles waiting for the valet drop should not exceed the 20 -car capacity.

Also depicted on Figure 4 is the on-site circulation and operation of the valet parking operation. The valet drop points will be manned by 4 to 8 people who drive the cars from the drop point to the staging area. The valet attendants then return to the valet drop points to drive more cars to the staging area. Parking attendants will drive the cars from the staging area to a specific parking location. This strategy keeps the valet drop area operating smoothly without requiring the valet and parking attendants to make a long run between the valet drop points and a specific parking location.


Time Period 3.00 TO 4.00 PM


Time Period 6.30 TO 7.30 PM

FIGURE 3


NOT TO ACALE

TYPICAL CLUB WEEKDAY PEAK HOUR VOLUMES



Figure 5 depicts the exit circulation plan for a special event at the club. The main entrance would be used for entry traffic only. The two inbound lanes would be available for vehicles returning to pick-up members or guests. The northern access to Ocean Boulevard would be used as two lanes for members and guests exiting the property. The Southern Boulevard access would only be used as entry and exit for service and staff. With this plan, there should be minimal congestion caused on Ocean Boulevard since any congestion associated with vehicles exiting would be on site. The club will engage an off-duty policeman to allow special event attendees to exit onto Ocean Boulevard safely.

Also depicted on Figure 5 is the on-site circulation and operation of the valet parking operation. The valet pick-up points will be manned by a doorman with a radio who communicates to the parking attendant coordinator located in the parking area that a particular car is needed at the pick-up point. The coordinator then directs a parking attendant to bring the automobile to the pick-up point. Essentially, the on-site circulation process is reversed for the exit maneuver.

Special events at the club would be attended by 400 people or less since special event seating is defined to be 390. Four hundred people translates to 200 automobiles with a typical automobile occupancy of 2.0 per car. Special events typically begin with a cocktail time period preceding a dinner. It is anticipated that approximately 70 percent of the attendees will arrive in an hour which begins generally around the time specified for the special event to begin. The remaining 30 percent will split between arriving before the event begins or arrive over one hour after the event begins. Consequently, approximately 140 automobiles would arrive in an hour to be parked by the valet operation. With two lanes operating, each lane would handle approximately 70 autos in 60 minutes or one car every 50 seconds. The valet operation proposed for the Mar-ALago Club can accommodate that type of demand based upon past Mar-A-Lago events. The exit demand at the end of a special event should be very similar to the entry demand with some attendees leaving early and others leaving late.


A special event in the evening will not begin until 7:00 p.m. when traffic volumes on Ocean Boulevard are approximately 50 percent less than peak hour flows. Figure 6 depicts peak hour flows for a special event which had a beginning time of 7:00 pm as well as an exit time beginning at 11:00 pm. The traffic flows on Ocean Boulevard during these hours should not present a congestion problem associated with either the beginning or ending of a special event. With off-duty police control of vehicles entering and exiting the Mar-A-Lago club, delays to through traffic on Ocean Boulevard can be minimized and safe conditions can be reasonably maintained.

The Mar-A-Lago Club will coordinate its special events with the Bath and Tennis Club such that special events will not be scheduled at both clubs at the same time.

Parking for the proposed club will be provided on site. Spaces will be provided in grass block pavers will be installed.


$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { BATH AND TENNIS CLUB. INC. } \\
\text { PALM BEACH, FLORIDA }
\end{gathered}
$$

FEBRUARY 1993

| SUN. | MON. | TUES. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | moN. | TUES. | WED | thurs. | FRI. | SAT. |
|  |  |  |  |  | 5 <br> tennis tournament CLue Champlonstips | 6 <br> teninis tournament CLUE CHAMPIONSHAPS sports activities 7.UP ROON |
| ENNIS TOURNAMENT CLUE CHAMPIONSIIP |  | TENNIS TOURNAMENT CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS EVENING GRILL 7.9 P.M | 10 <br> tennis tournament CLLE Championstifs afteanoon tea 4.6 P.M. | $\begin{array}{cc}  \\ 11 & \\ \text { TENNIS TOURNAMENT } \\ \text { CLUB CHAMPIDNSHIPS } \\ \text { INFOAMAL } \\ \text { GUFFET DINNER } \\ 7 \cdot 9: 30 \text { P.M. } \end{array}$ | 12 <br> TENNIS TOUANAMENI LUB CHAMPIONSHIPS BEACH COOK-OUT 6.9 P.M. | 13 <br> TENNIS IOURNAMENT CLUB CHAMPIONSHIPS SPORTS ACTIVITIES 7.UP ROOM |
| ENHIS TOURNAMEN Clue Championiship Valenilines SERVED ROMMAL DINHER - DAPACE 7. 11 P : | $\underbrace{15}$ | 16 <br> EVENING GRILL 7.9 P.M. | 17 AFTERMOON $\begin{gathered} \text { TEA } \\ 4.5 \text { P.M. } \end{gathered}$ | 18 | 19 | 20 <br> Sports actmities 7.UP ROOM |
| 21 | $\underbrace{22}$ | EVENNG GMLL 1.9p.. | $24$ | 25 | 26 | 27 <br> sporis aetinties ? UP ROO |
| 28 <br> SERVED ITLFORAMA DBNIER - DANC 7.11 P.M. | FOR INFORMATION AND RESERVATIONS PHONE 832-4271 PLEASE MAKE RESERVATIONS FOR ALL EVENING FUNCTIONS ase cancel reservations by 12:00 NOON ON SAME day of event |  |  |  |  |  |



Land Use Type (units)
. Residential (dwelling units)
Single Fanily

| 2.70 | 1.80 |
| ---: | ---: |
| 1.30 | 1.65 |
| 1.22 | 1.50 |
| .91 | 1.05 |
| .71 | .92 |
| .78 | .86 |
| .32 | 1.47 |

office and Financial
office ( 1,000 sq.ft.)
Less than $50,000 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.
50,000 eq. ft. \& over
$\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.756 \operatorname{Ln}(F A)+3.765$
Bank (1,000 Eq.ft.)
office (acre) ( 1 -story)
office (acre) (multi-story)
Industrial
General Industrial ( $1,000 \mathrm{sq} . f \mathrm{ft}$. )
2.61
56.09

General Industrial (acre)
Retail
Service station (station)
Camercial (1,000 sq.ft.)
less than 20,000 sq.ft. (unrestricted) 27.95
less than $20,000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. (no restaurants) 20.04
20,000-199,999 sq.ft.:
. $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{T})=0.65 \operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{FA})+5.92$
200,000 sq.ft. and over:
27.07 (FA) +6371
17.0\%
$17.0 \%$
113.83
17.0\%
$432(A C)+6703$
17.0\%
503.06
142.30
17.0\%
17.0\%
s malti-story):
$2317.5(A C)+140$
Other

| Hospital (bed) | 2.96 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Park (acre) | 1.62 |
| Church (weekday) (1,000 eq.ft.) | .39 |
| Church (weekday) (acre) | 1.54 |
| Marina (boat berth) | .81 |
| Nursing Home (bed) | .67 |

4.5-22.2 acres (multi-story):
$540(\mathrm{AC})+6703$
0
0
0
0
0
0

Honebased Shopping

Homebased Non Hame Other . Based

Total

| 4.80 | .70 | 10.00 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3.53 | .72 | 7.20 |
| 3.47 | .61 | 6.80 |
| 2.40 | .44 | 4.80 |
| 1.97 | .40 | 4.00 |
| 1.83 | .43 | 3.90 |
| 3.67 | 5.04 | 10.50 |

10.50
2.08
13.08
24.96
37.46
56.20
.87
18.70
351.56
70.69
50.70
43.06
43.0t
287.93
43.08
43.0\%
1272.46
359.90
43.0\%
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Ivan Pettifor
3844 Victoria Drive
West Palm Beach, FL 33406
Cornell Racz
5984 Kumquate Road
We日t Palm Beach, FL 33413
Walter Roberts
40047 th street
West Palm Beach, FL 33407
Richard D. Rogers
1106 Greenpine Boulevard, $\Lambda-3$
West Palm Beach, FL 33409
James Rollins
301. West 14th street

Riviera Beach, FL 33404
stuart Saine
4567 Holly Lake Drive
Lake Worth, FL 33463
Ramond Scully
4560 Jamie Place
Lake Worth, FL. 33463
Robert Simpson
500 North Congreas Avenue \#202
West Palm Beach, Fl 33401
Richard st. Pierre
1698 South East Monroe Street
Stuart, FL 34997
Michael F, Suaki
3844 Victoria Drive
West Palm Beach, Fl 33406
Herman F Tuggle
11479 Sunset Boulevard
West Palm Beach, FL 33411
Vernal A. White
1217 Whitney street
Weat Palm Beach, FL 33409
Howard Willson
1202 Essex Drive
Weat Palm Beach, FL 33414
Henry Woodson
713 Sapodilla Avenue
West Palm Beach, FL 33401

## EXHIBIT "D"

## MAR-A-LAGO CLUB MEMBERSHIP COMPUTATION

Trip Generation Observation of Bath and Tennis Club on 12/8/92 resulted in 632 total daily trips.

Bath and Tennis Club Membership is 1,150 according to the membership book.

Daily trip rate for Bath and Tennis Club is 632 divided by 1,150 or .55 daily trips per member.

Mar-A-Lago Club will have less facilities for dining and tennis and is approximately one-half the size of Bath and Tennis Club. Consequently, the Mar-A-Lago Club trip rate is assumed to be .30 daily trips per member or approximately 55 percent of the Bath and Tennis Club rate.

Mar-A-Lago Club membership is 302 daily trips divided by .30 daily trips per member or 1,007 members.

## CHAPTER TEN

## APPLICATION FOR SPECIAL EXCEPTION, SITE PLAN REVIEW AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT REPORT

The Applicant shall agree to the inclusion of, and unity of title to, those parcels of real property described in Exhibit "A". A slightly revised legal description in Exhibit "A" is attached.

Exhibit "B" to Application for Special Exception No. 11-93 by The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. and the Site Plan Review are attached and contain supplementary information.

All improvements at Mar-a-Lago shall comply with applicable building, fire, safety and sanitation regulations in the Code of Ordinances of the Town of Palm Beach and similar state and federal regulations.

Exhibit "A" to Application<br>for Special Exception No. 11-93 by The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc.

Being all that part of the North 610.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2 of Section 35, Township 43, South, Range _3 East, in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying West of Ocean Boulevard (State Road AIA) Right of Way and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the West face of an existing seawall on the East shore of Lake Worth, which point is 560.00 feet North of, measured at Right Angles to the South line of Government Lot 2 , of said Section 35 ; thence North $6 \circ 09^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ West along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 77.32 feet; thence North $10^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ East along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 539.50 feet to a point in the South line of BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a Subdivision recorded in Plat book 1_, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records; thence run South ___ 12'07" East along the South line of said BINGHAM-COPP TRACT for a distance of 1134.10 feet to a point in the Westerly R/W line of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A); thence run South $0{ }^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 82.59 feet to a Point of curvature; thence run Southerly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Southwest having a radius of 1412.69 feet and a central angle of $3 \circ 03^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 75.20 feet to a point of tangency; thence run South $2 \circ 53 " 53^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 176.28 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southwesterly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 2869.03 feet and a central angle of $2 \circ 53^{\prime} 53$ " West for a distance of 127.27 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence continue Southwesterly along the arc of a curve, concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 158.68 feet and a central angle of $86^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 239.38 feet to a point of tangency; thence run North $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West along the North line of Southern Boulevard (State Road 80) for a distance of 1040.43 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing 16.3760 Acres, more or less;
and
The West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the East one-half (E 1/2) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 21, all in BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, as recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records, containing 0.1894 Acres, more or less;

Together with an easement for the use of the tunnel under South Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) as described in that certain Quit Claim Easement Deed recorded in Official Record Book 2327, Page 1970 of the Palm Beach County Public Records;
and
The ocean parcel consisting of the South 358 feet of the North 403 feet of the South 1170 feet of Government Lot 2, Section 35, Township 43 South, Range 43 East, Palm Beach County, Florida.

## Exhibit "B" to <br> Application for Special Exception No. 11-93 <br> by The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. <br> Compliance with Special Exception Requirements

In its conversion from a so-called Large Residential Estate to a private social club, the actual usage of Mar-a-Lago will not change. No new activity will occur which cannot, does not or has not taken place in the past under the existing zoning of this property. The applicant seeks no physical change whatsoever to the property (such as, for instance, the addition or demolition of any improvement).

A special exception, not a variance, is sought. Therefore, no hardship need be demonstrated. If the criteria for a special exception are met, the special exception must be granted and cannot be denied as a discretionary matter.

The conversion of Mar-a-Lago into a club is a special exception which complies with all requirements of Section 6.4 of Appendix A, "Zoning", Code of Ordinances of the Town of Palm Beach:
(a) The use is a permitted special exception as set forth in the Schedule of Use

## Regulations in Article 4.

(b) The use is so designed, located and proposed to be operated that the public health, safety, welfare and morals will be protected.

The eastern border of Mar-a-Lago is contiguous to South Ocean Boulevard. The western border faces Lake Worth. The southern side of the property runs along Southern Boulevard. Thus, three of Mar-a-Lago's four boundaries have roadways and/or water to protect the public's health, safety, welfare and morals.

The northern border of Mar-a-Lago abuts the rear yards of a few residences on Woodbridge Road but contains a perimeter wall and vegetation. This landscaping will be cultivated and enhanced to serve as a barrier for the benefit of Woodbridge residents. If after a reasonable period of time (not to exceed two years) this vegetational buffer proves inadequate, the boundary wall will be extended (westward to Lake Worth) and increased in height (to the maximum possible) with appropriate governmental approval.

The Club, as a proposed use, is designed and will be operated in a manner similar to other clubs in Palm Beach as demonstrated by the Articles of Incorporation, By-Laws and Rules of The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. set forth in Chapter Ten of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan. Therefore, the effect of this usage will be no different then other existing clubs, including The Bath \& Tennis Club directly across the street.
(c) The use will not cause substantial injury to the value of other property in the neighborhood where it is to be located.

Residents of Woodbridge Road, 1125 South Ocean Boulevard and members of The Bath \& Tennis Club, Inc. will have club privileges without paying membership fees. These privileges should increase the value of neighboring properties.
(d) The use will be compatible with adjoining development and the intended purpose of the district in which it is located.

The use of Mar-a-Lago is complimentary and consistent with The Bath \& Tennis Club, Inc. located in this identical district across the street. Clubs are intended uses of District R-AA, "Large Estate Residential," since they are explicitly named as Special Exception Use No. 5, "Private social, swimming, golf, tennis and yacht clubs."
(e) The use will comply with yard, other open space, and any special requirements set out in the Schedule of District Regulations for the particular use involved.

The use of a club at Mar-a-Lago meets the lot minimums by area, width and depth; maximum and minimum density; yard minimums; and bulk criteria for the R-AA District or is otherwise grandfathered since improvements were constructed in 1927.
(f) The use will comply with all elements of the Comprehensive Plan.

The adaptive reusage of the Mar-a-Lago Club conversion is an innovative approach to preservation endorsed in the Comprehensive Plan: Town of Palm Beach by Adley Brisson Engman, Inc. The private group use is expressly permissible. Club usages are recognized as important for recreation, open space and conservation. The special exception use of clubs in the R-AA, Large Estate Residential, zoning district is incorporated by reference into the Comprehensive Plan.
(g) The use will not result in substantial economic, noise, glare, or odor impacts on adjoining properties and properties generally in the district.

The eastern border of Mar-a-Lago is contiguous to South Ocean Boulevard. The western border faces Lake Worth. The southern side of the property runs along Southern Boulevard. Thus, three of Mar-a-Lago's four boundaries have roadways and/or water to protect the public's health, safety, welfare and morals.

The northern border of Mar-a-Lago abuts the rear yards of a few residences on Woodbridge Road but contains a perimeter wall and vegetation. This landscaping will be cultivated and enhanced to serve as a barrier for the benefit of Woodbridge residents. If after a reasonable period of time (not to exceed two years) this vegetational buffer proves inadequate,
the boundary wall will be extended (westward to Lake Worth) and increased in height (to the maximum possible) with appropriate governmental approval.

The Club, as a proposed use, is designed and will be operated in a manner similar to other clubs in Palm Beach as demonstrated by the Articles of Incorporation, By-Laws and Rules of The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. set forth in Chapter Ten of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan. Therefore, the effect of this usage will be no different then other existing clubs, including The Bath \& Tennis Club directly across the street.

Residents of Woodbridge Road, 1125 South Ocean Boulevard and members of The Bath \& Tennis Club, Inc. will have club privileges without paying membership fees. These privileges should increase the value of neighboring properties.

The use of Mar-a-Lago is complimentary and consistent with The Bath \& Tennis Club, Inc. located in this identical district across the street. Clubs are intended uses of District R-AA, "Large Estate Residential," since they are explicitly named as Special Exception Use No. 5, "Private social, swimming, golf, tennis and yacht clubs."
(h) Adequate ingress and egress to the property and proposed structures thereon and off-street parking and loading areas will be provided where required, with particular reference to automotive and pedestrian safety and convenience, traffic flow and control, and access in case of fire or catastrophe.

Adequate ingress and egress as well as the mechanics of valet service are diagrammatically demonstrated in Chapter Nine, "Traffic Analysis," of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan. By changing traffic through the main entrance to two (2) lanes of inflow, changing traffic on the north road (formerly service) to two (2) lanes
of outflow and activating the Southern Boulevard accessway, ingress and egress, traffic flow and control and emergency access will be superior to historically-accepted arrangements. Parking and loading areas are shown in Drawing No. 4, "Parking Plan \& Landscape Element" within Chapter Eleven of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan.

Automobiles shall be parked in the area identified as Phase A of the Parking Plan until such area is full, then Phase B until such area is full, and then Phase C if the need arises. Grass paver blocks and/or soil stabilization shall be installed in Phases B (and C, if necessary) and along both sides of the cart path.

The 112 paved parking spaces in Phases A and B will be sufficient for the routine, day-to-day use of the Club by members and guests. The 140 spaces in Phase C will be needed for overflow parking at special events. Membership shall be limited so that at least one (1) parking space per four (4) members is available.
(i) Signs, if any, and proposed exterior lighting with reference to glare, traffic safety, and economic impact, will be compatible and in harmony with properties in the district.

No new signs and/or exterior lighting are proposed. Existing signs and lighting have been long-established as exemplary in this neighborhood. The vegetation and wall barrier on the northern border of Mar-a-Lago will protect Woodbridge residents from glare, traffic safety and economic impact. Guest privileges for residents of Woodbridge Road and 1125 South Ocean Boulevard confer a positive economic benefit upon these neighbors.
(j) The location, availability and compatibility of utility service for the use will be satisfactory to insure health and safety.
(k) The refuse and service areas for the use will not adversely affect automotive
and pedestrian safety and convenience, traffic flow and control, or access in case of fire or catastrophe.

Since refuse and service areas for the Club will be located on Southern Boulevard, these activities will be far-removed from automobile and pedestrian movement involving members and guests and will facilitate internal and external traffic flow as well as emergency access. The relocation of the service drive to Southern Boulevard will improve safety, convenience and emergency access over prior, long-accepted conditions.
(1) The proposed use will not attract the principal portion of its customers/clients from off-island locations.

At least fifty percent (50\%) of the members of the Club will consist of individuals who maintain residences in the Town of Palm Beach or have places of employment located in the Town.
(m) Adequate landscaping and screening is provided as required.

See Chapter Six of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan.

The eastern border of Mar-a-Lago is contiguous to South Ocean Boulevard. The western border faces Lake Worth. The southern side of the property runs along Southern Boulevard. Thus, three of Mar-a-Lago's four boundaries have roadways and/or water to protect the public's health, safety, welfare and morals.

The northern border of Mar-a-Lago abuts the rear yards of a few residences on Woodbridge Road but contains a perimeter wall and vegetation. This landscaping will be cultivated and enhanced to serve as a barrier for the benefit of Woodbridge residents. If after a reasonable period of time (not to exceed two years) this vegetational buffer proves inadequate,
the boundary wall will be extended (westward to Lake Worth) and increased in height (to the maximum possible) with appropriate governmental approval.
(r) The proposed use will not place a greater burden, than would be caused by a permitted use, on municipal police services due to increased traffic, or on fire protection services due to the existence of, or increased potential for, fire/safety code violations.

All activities in the club use (e.g., dinners, parties, etc.) are presently permitted under the present zoning classification of Mar-a-Lago and therefore the burden on police and fire protection services will not change. Nevertheless, the Mar-a-Lago Club will employ off-duty traffic police to supervise traffic for dining and special events. The fire protection systems at the Club will be significantly upgraded by, among other things, the installation of a state-of-the-art interior sprinkler system.

A site plan is submitted simultaneously herewith in accordance with Section 9.60 of Appendix A of the Code of Ordinances and consists of a current survey of Mar-a-Lago and site drawings.

The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan by Eugene Lawrence, Architect, Joseph B. Pollock, Jr., P.E. and Paul Rampell, Esq. has been filed with the Town of Palm Beach simultaneously herewith and is incorporated in its entirety into this Application by reference.

## SITE PLAN REVIEW

This zoning report is presented in accordance with Section 9.60 of the ordinance of the Town of Palm Beach to allow examination of potential offsite impacts of the proposed change in use. A Development Impact Report is attached to this chapter in accordance with Section 9.61. The following response is made in alphabetical and numerical sequence as established in the ordinance.
a. The property is presently in the ownership and control of Donald J. Trump. The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. is a contract vendee.
b. The detailed use of the facility is outlined in Chapters One and Eight of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan. No physical changes in the buildings or grounds are to be made so that there will be no change in the character of the property.
c. A general location map of the site is indicated on the aerial surveys and the site plan.
d. Two copies of a site plan, sheet 12 of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan and a current survey, are provided which indicate information required by items (1) through (8) inclusive. The grass surface on both sides of the cart path will be stabilized with sub-surface shellrock to enable the tertiary use of Phase C parking after Phases A and B are both full. The use of Phase C should be infrequent and essentially for special event overflow only.

No pedestrian traffic will occur in Phases A, B, or C. All phases are removed from the mansion, beach, and swimming pools. Individuals can walk to the tennis court and golf
course from the mansion. A short path across the golf cart will be identified for pedestrian crossing with a small, discreet sign.

Off-street parking is depicted in the "Parking Plan \& Landscape Enhancement" identified as Drawing No. 4 of Chapter Eleven of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan. Drop-off points for valet service are shown in Chapter Nine, "Traffic Analysis."
e. The tabulation of land area is as follows:

## Square Feet

1. Private Club use (total site)

713,341
2. Ground Cover by structure 48,648
3. Impervious surface in addition to structure 69,765
f. 1. Space has been allocated as shown on the existing plan to provide valet, non-structured parking for 252 spaces (although space for 321 spaces exists). The site is a designated national and local landmark. The vegetation on site makes a major contribution to landmark status. The parking is short time parking. It is intended to be located on existing paved areas, under the landscape canopy so that the canopy may not be altered and on grassed areas along the entrance drive and to the west, both of which have been used historically for that purpose. Access to the landscaped area to the south will be by way of a cart path now crossing the site. Approximately 252 parking spaces are delineated in the "Parking Plan \& Landscape Enhancement" identified as Drawing No. 4 of Chapter Eleven of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan. The requirement that off-street parking be surfaced
with suitable paving is satisfied by the installation of grass block pavers in Phase B (and C, if needed).
2. There presently is the mansion and two other staff buildings on the site yielding a density of .17 units per acre. The ten guest rooms have no kitchens and therefore are not dwelling units under the interpretation by which the three dwelling units were established. The use of the suites does not change nor does the density, in that no additional facilities are added.
g. All facilities on the site will be maintained by the Club. See the Principles of Preservation in Chapter Two, Maintenance and Inspection Schedule in Chapter Seven, and the Articles of Incorporation, By-Laws and Rules of the Club in Chapter Eight of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan.
h. Storm drainage and sanitary sewage connections will be maintained in their present condition. Exfiltration trenches shall be constructed along a portion of the perimeter of the Phase B parking area and intermittently along the soil-stabilized cart path to dispose of the very nominally increased storm run-off from these improvements. Otherwise, existing on-site storm drainage will not be effected and is adequate for the club conversion. Although the present sanitary sewage plant is adequate for Club usage since it has accommodated large groups of people at Mar-a-Lago for approximately 30 years, the Club will contribute to the improvement of sanitation sewage systems so that they are in full compliance with all applicable government regulations.
i. No new structures are requested. The Club will function within the present buildings on the site.
j. No new signs are requested.
k . The site plan attached indicates the location of existing foliage which is described, by area, in Chapter Six of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan. All of such foliage shall be maintained to the maximum extent possible and, if necessary over time, replaced with harmonious new landscaping. Landscaping along the northern boundary of the Mar-a-Lago estate will be cultivated and enhanced to serve as a barrier for the benefit of Woodbridge residents. If after a reasonable period of time (not to exceed two years) this vegetational buffer proves to be inadequate, the boundary wall will be extended (westward to Lake Worth) and increased in height (to the maximum possible) with appropriate governmental approval.

1. The use of the building and grounds is recreational.
m. Not applicable.
n. Not applicable.

# DEVELOPMENT IMPACT REPORT <br> for <br> THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC. 

## Introduction

This report is pursuant to paragraph "(9.61) Application" per Appendix A, The Zoning Code of Ordinances of the Town of Palm Beach, Florida, particularly as described on page 2106.

This report will evaluate the below listed specifically requested impacts that the proposed development may cause in accordance to the above referenced paragraph. We define these impacts as Level I impacts:

## LEVEL I

## INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPERATIONS <br> Utility Services

A. Storm Water Sewers
B. Sanitary sewer
C. Garbage and trash collection
D. Traffic

Additionally, this report will evaluate the impacts to other services which are not necessarily Town provided services but are services deemed necessary for this proposed use. We refer to these as Level II impacts.

## LEVEL II

E. Potable water
F. Power
G. Gas
H. Telephone
I. Cable television
J. Fire Protection

## Level I Impacts

## Infrastructure and Operations - Utilities

## A. Storm Water Services

The existing site contributes a very small amount of storm water run-off into the
"Town Storm Water System." This occurs at the service drive entrance onto A-1-A at the Caretaker residence. Less than $1 / 2$ acre of contributing area is involved. Exfiltration trenches shall be constructed along a portion of the perimeter of the Phase B parking area and the soilstabilized cart path to dispose of the very nominally increased storm water run-off from these improvements. Otherwise, existing on-site storm drainage will not be affected and is adequate for the club conversion.

## IMPACT

The proposed Club will not change this existing drainage pattern. Therefore, there will not be any development related impact to the storm water system of the Town nor any increases in the operations (maintenance) activities.

## NOTE:

Existing outfalls to the intracoastal will be maintained. There will be no requirements for dredge and fill activities. The storm water to be discharged will not exceed the rate of discharge occurring in the present condition.

## SUMMARY

This proposed use will not change the Town's drainage system.

## B. Sanitary Sewers

The existing Mansion and related buildings are presently served by the Town's

Sanitary Sewer System. In fact, an existing Town-owned pumping station is located on the property. This station receives sewage from a sewage collection system on the grounds that services all buildings. The Town performs operations and maintenance activities at this location through the permission and consent of the property owner or, alternatively a prescriptive or implied easement which can be memorialized in writing. Although the present sanitary sewage plant is adequate for Club usage since it has accommodated large groups of people at Mar-a-Lago for approximately 30 years, the Club will contribute to the improvement of sanitation sewage systems so that they are in full compliance with all applicable government regulations.

## IMPACT

The existing pumping station will remain its present location. The existing sewer connection is adequate to accommodate the change in use, causing no impact on the sanitary sewer system. Nevertheless, the Club shall contribute to the improvement of pumping facilities as necessary over time.

## C. Garbage and Trash Collection

At the present time garbage is picked up daily from the residences at Mar-a-Lago. Trash is centrally located for pick-up once a week at a central collection area accessed off Southern Boulevard.

The proposed club will contract for garbage removal on a daily basis through a private service. Trash consisting of landscape trimmings will be placed in the central location to be picked up weekly as it now is done.

## SUMMARY

Although the volume of garbage and trash will likely be greater than exists today,
the impact on the town will be less due to contract service. Operations will be equivalent to any residential house building program in the Town. Trash removed will not increase since the amount of landscape space will be unchanged.
D. Traffic

A separate traffic impact analysis is included in Chapter Nine of The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan.

## Level II Impacts

E. Potable Water, F. Power, G. Gas, H. Telephone, I. Cable Television

These services are provided by franchise companies. All have the service availability for the change in use.

## IMPACT

None

## SUMMARY

There is no impact to the Town for these services.

## F. Fire Protection

The existing property is served by 3 fire hydrants located exterior to the property around the perimeter streets surrounding Mar-a-Lago. The service entrance gate has been sized to accommodate fire fighting apparatus and remains open by Agreement. The installation of an interior sprinkler system will significantly increase fire protection.

## IMPACT

The generic uses within the structure, i.e., dining, sleeping, socializing, etc. are not to be changed nor will the numbers of people using the building at a given time increase.

## SUMMARY

There will be no change on the requirement for fire protection due to proposed change in use.

## CHAPTER ELEVEN

## SITE DRAWINGS AND FLOOR PLANS

Automobiles shall be parked in the area identified as Phase A until such area is full, then Phase B until such area is full, and then Phase C if the need arises. Grass paver blocks shall be installed in Phase B (and C, if necessary) and soil stabilization shall be installed along both sides of the cart path.

The Parking Plan \& Landscape Enhancement (Site Drawing No. 4) has been revised to reduce parking spaces from 319 to 252. The Critical Room Plan, Main Floor (No. 10) and the Critical Room Plan, Second Floor (No. 11) have been revised to add areas to be preserved. The Site Plan (No. 12) has been revised to show individual parking spaces and the installation of grass block pavers. All of the foregoing are included herein.
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## DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT

THIS DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT is made and entered into this toth day of thengus) , 1993 by and between the TOWN OF PALM BEACH, a Florida municipal corporation, 360 South County Road, Palm Beach, Florida 33480 (hereinafter called the "Town"); and THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC., a Florida corporation, c/o Paul Rampell, Esquire, 125 Worth Avenue, Palm Beach, Florida 33480 (hereinafter called the "Club"); and DONALD J. TRUMP, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (hereinafter called "Owner"), which terms Town, Club, and Owner will include and bind the successors and assigns of the parties, wherever the contact so requires or admits.

W I T N ESSETH:
WHEREAS, the land described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof, together with improvements thereon (hereinafter referred to as the "Land") and known as Mar-a-Lago, is located within the municipal limits of the Town;

WHEREAS, Mar-a-Lago is unique and no other property in Palm Beach is like it in any way;

WHEREAS, the Land is owned by the Owner, and the Owner shall convey title to the Land to the Club;

WHEREAS, the Land is zoned RAA-Large Estate Residential and RA-Estate Residential and private social clubs are allowable Special Exception Uses within such zoning categories, subject to the approval of the Town Council;

WHEREAS, the Town has approved a Special Exception use for the Land as a private social club subject to the conditions set forth herein and on the basis that the approval of the Special Exception, in compliance with said conditions, will not be adverse to the public interest;

WHEREAS, the Town has approved the site plan for the Special Exception on the basis of the specific finding of the Town Council that said site plan meets the requirements of the Town's Code of Ordinances relating to same;

WHEREAS, in approving the Special Exception and site plan, the conditions of approval reflected herein are imposed in order to regulate the use and mitigate any impacts of the Club, as well as to insure that said use shall not be adverse to the public interest; and

WHEREAS, all of the representations made herein are true and accurate and the granting of the Special Exception and site plan review are conditioned upon the representations made herein and all of the conditions herein imposed.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises set forth herein, it is agreed as follows:


#### Abstract

ARTICLE I

\section*{REPRESENTATION OF OWNERSHIP}

Owner is the fee simple title holder of the property described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto, is in sole possession of the property, and has full right to enter into this Agreement and to bind the property and himself to the terms hereof. Owner's interest is subject only to mortgages of record and all holders of said mortgages, by execution hereof, hereby consent to the terms and conditions of this Agreement as evidenced by their signatures hereto. There are no covenants, restrictions or reservations of record that will prevent the use of the property as a club in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Agreement. No consent to execution, delivery and performance hereunder is required from any person, partner, limited partner, creditor, investor, judicial or administrative body, governmental authority or other party other than any such consent which already has been unconditionally given or referenced herein. Neither the execution of this Agreement nor the consummation of the transactions contemplated hereby will violate any restriction, court order or agreement to which Owner or the real property is subject.


## ARTICLE II

## CLUB USE

The use of the Land shall be for a private social club in compliance with all of the information and exhibits included in the application not inconsistent with the terms set forth herein, and subject to such uses not inconsistent with the terms set forth herein, set forth in the Application for Special Exception No. 11-93 and The Mar-a-Lago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Plan") as submitted to the Town. Any usages not specifically set forth in the Plan (including, without limitation, docks, cabanas, gambling, helicopter operations or landings, animal circuses, and commercial or quasicommercial uses) are excluded from the Town's approval of the Plan pursuant to that provision of the Town Code which provides that no subsequent deviation may be made from the application as approved by the Town Council except upon new application to and approval by the Town Council. Chapters 2, 3, 5, 6, 10 and 11 of the Plan are adopted herein by reference and made a part of this Agreement. Any additional uses of the Land shall be subject to approval by the applicable governmental authority including but not limited to the Town Council of the Town, the Landmarks Preservation Commission of the Town, the Architectural Review Commission of the Town, Palm Beach County, the State of Florida, the United States Government, and/or any agencies under any of the foregoing governmental authorities.

The guest suites as set forth in the Plan shall be limited to the use of Club members, shall be limited to ten (10) in number, shall not be open to the public, and shall not be advertised. No kitchen or other food preparation facilities shall be allowed in any of the guest suites. The use of guest suites shall be limited to a maximum of three (3) non-consecutive seven (7) day periods by any one member during the year. The operations of the Club shall not result in a nuisance to any of the neighboring properties.

Club facilities may be used only by members and guests of the Club.
Photography at the Club shall be conducted in a manner consistent with the rules and regulations governing other clubs in the Town. This shall include but not be limited to the prohibition of film making, movie producing, magazine feature photography, newspaper photography, photography for public relations purposes for individual members and/or guests and other commercial photography and the like.

## ARTICLE III

## UNITY OF TITLE

The Land, as described herein, shall be considered as one (1) parcel and no portion thereof may be sold, transferred, devised or assigned except in its entirety, either voluntarily or involuntarily, by operation of law or otherwise. This provision shall not preclude the dedication of right-of-way for road improvements required by governmental authority. No portion of the Land or structures thereon shall be condominiumized or changed to a cooperative form of ownership. This provision shall survive the use of the property as a club and shall apply to any subsequent use of the property.

## ARTICLE IV

## BUILDING CODES, FIRE PROTECTION AND UTILITIES

The Owner and the Club hereby grant and convey to the Town an easement over the Land in order to have access to the real property described in Exhibit "B" for the purpose of operating and maintaining a sewage pump station and force main located thereon, and for any other governmental purpose related thereto.

The existing sewage overflow (manually valved) shall be eliminated by the Owner at the Owner's expense. The Town and the Owner shall calculate the sewage generation utilizing generally accepted engineering methodology. If it is reasonably determined by the Town engineer that the existing pump station needs to be upgraded or replaced, it shall be upgraded or replaced by the Owner.

The air-conditioning condensate presently discharging into the sanitary sewer system shall be rerouted by the Owner into the storm sewer system.

Exfiltration trenches subject to approval by the Town engineer shall be constructed along a portion of the perimeter of the Phase B parking area as depicted in the Plan, and intermittently along the soil stabilized cart path to dispose of any increase in stormwater runoff from those improvements.

The existing tunnel to the beach area shall be evaluated for structural soundness by a Florida registered engineer and shall be improved as required. Owner and Club shall save,
defend and hold the Town, its officers, agents, representatives and employees, harmless from any and all claims which may arise as a result of the presence or use of the tunnel.

All applicable building, fire, and life safety codes shall be complied with prior to the commencement of Club operations. The Owner shall install an onsite fire hydrant as required by the Standard Fire Prevention Code. The Owner shall install an approved fire detection and extinguishing system.

All conditions herein are required to be met prior to commencement of Club operations and prior to the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for operation of a Club use.

## ARTICLE V

## PRINCIPLES OF PRESERVATION AND CRITICAL FEATURES

The principles of preservation and critical features specifically described in Chapters 2 and 3 of the Plan as amended shall be binding on the Owner and the Club and shall be enforceable in accordance with the terms of this Agreement. Prior to any changes to the grounds or exterior of the buildings, the Owner and/or the Club shall obtain a Certificate of Appropriateness from the Landmarks Preservation Commission prior to issuance of any permits. The outdoor loggia shall not be structurally enclosed.

## ARTICLE VI

## MITIGATION/NORTH BOUNDARY

The Owner shall install a solid masonry wall and landscaping along the Northern perimeter of the Land to serve as a noise and light buffer for the residents of Woodbridge Road, according to the specifications attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit "C". Owner shall post surety in the amount of One Hundred Thirty-Five Percent (135\%) of the cost of the improvements to be installed. The amount of the surety shall be provided through cost estimates from the Owner and shall be subject to approval by the Town. The mitigation set forth herein shall be completed prior to commencement of Club operations and prior to issuance of the Certificate of Occupancy for Club use. No additional lighting shall be installed in the parking area bordering the Northern boundary, and no accumulation of kitchen refuse shall be allowed to be held in this area.

## ARTICLE VII <br> CLUB MEMBERSHIP LIMITATIONS

At least fifty percent (50\%) of the members of the Club shall consist of individuals who maintain residences in the Town of Palm Beach or have places of employment in the Town of Palm Beach. An affidavit verifying this fact, and the guest suite occupancy interval and frequency limitations, and compliance with Article IX relating to establishment of the fiduciary
account, shall be filed with the Town on an annual basis on or before a date each year which coincides with the date the Certificate of Occupancy is issued to the Club. The membership in the Club shall be limited to five hundred members; provided, however, such number may be adjusted in the reasonable discretion of the Town. In the event the trip generation limitation set forth in Article VIII is reached, membership at that time shall be frozen until measures are taken to reduce daily trips.

The Club shall not discriminate against any prospective member on the basis of race, color, religion, gender, national origin, handicap, age, or marital status.

All Club facilities such as dining, tennis and golf shall require advance reservations.

The Owners of Woodbridge Road residences shall have guest privileges at the Club without having to pay membership initiation fees; provided, however, they shall not be permitted to park on the Land while exercising their guest privileges. The foregoing shall run in perpetuity with the land of the Woodbridge Road residences.

## ARTICLE VIII

## TRAFFIC/SPECIAL EVENTS

The total trip generation of the Club shall be limited to three hundred thirteen (313) daily trips based on an annual average of daily trips of the Club. Traffic monitoring by a method approved by the Town for the Club shall be performed by the Club on a daily basis and shall be available to the Town upon request. Monitoring devices approved by the Town shall be in place prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. The results of the monitoring during the first and third quarters of each year shall be averaged to determine the average annual daily trips of the Club. The Club shall reimburse the Town for the reasonable expense incurred by the Town's traffic consultant in reviewing the monitoring results.

The monitoring results shall be supplied to the Town and the Palm Beach County Traffic Division to assure compliance.

The Club shall install, prior to commencement of Club operations and prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy for Club use, a northbound left-turn storage lane to serve the Club's entrance drive on Ocean Boulevard, subject to obtaining a permit from the Florida Department of Transportation. The Town, Owner, and Club jointly shall obtain such permit at the sole expense of the Owner and the Club, and the Owner and Club shall hold the Town harmless from any liability caused by the initial design and construction of the turn lane. If, after the Club is opened it is reasonably determined by the Chief of Police in consultation with the Town's traffic consultant that a southbound right turn lane is necessary, the Owner and/or Club and the Town shall use their best efforts to obtain a permit and to install such right turn lane.

The Club shall utilize a shuttle van to transport approximately forty percent (40\%)
of the Club's staff to assist in complying with the three hundred thirteen (313) daily trip limitation on an average annual basis.

Dining area seating shall be limited to seventy-five (75) seats.
Special events shall be limited to three hundred ninety (390) individuals and shall occur strictly on weekends or after 6:00 p.m. on week days. At no time shall more than one (1) special event occur at the same time at the Club. Special events at the Club shall be coordinated with special events at the Bath and Tennis Club so that special events at the two (2) clubs scheduled on the same day shall commence at least one (1) hour apart, so that the overlap of peak traffic during special events is reasonably avoided. Tents may only be used for special events upon approval of the Town Building and Zoning Director after presentation of satisfactory assurance that the attendance limitations will not be exceeded.

Site ingress and egress shall be as proposed in the Plan. The existing main entrance on Ocean Boulevard shall be used as an entrance only for both regular activities and special events at the Club; two (2) lanes of ingress shall exist on this entrance drive inside the gate. The existing service access on Ocean Boulevard shall be used as an exit only for both such regular activities and special events; two (2) lanes of egress shall exist on this exit drive inside the gate. A gate West of the existing Southern Boulevard gate shall be provided prior to commencement of Club operations and receipt of an occupational license for Club use, and shall be aesthetically identical to the existing gate, and the Owner and Club shall hold the Town harmless from any liability caused by the initial design and construction of the gate and driveway connection to Southern Boulevard. The new Southern Boulevard gate shall serve as the primary entry and exit for staff and service vehicles. During special events the new Southern Boulevard gate may be used by members and guests for exiting if deemed necessary.

Valet parking services shall be used at all times for all club events. Town of Palm Beach off-duty police shall be engaged to supervise traffic at all special events. Parking shall occur only in those areas so identified in the Plan and shall provide two hundred fifty-two (252) spaces. Any grass areas to be used for parking as well as the existing cart path shall be stabilized with "grass-crete" or an equivalent treatment. Said cart path shall be eighteen (18) feet in width.

## ARTICLE IX

## LIABILITY AND ABANDONMENT OF CLUB USE

Until the Club operates at a break-even point, or profitability for three (3) consecutive years, the Owner shall pay any and all real estate taxes, maintenance costs, insurance premiums, and similar expenses to the extent the Club is unable to meet such obligations.

A separate fiduciary account shall be established by the Club into which ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) of all gross revenues from the guest suites shall be deposited and used exclusively for maintenance and restoration purposes.

If the Club use is unintentionally abandoned for a period of one (1) year after the Club has been in operation, or is intentionally abandoned at any time, the use of the Land shall revert to a single family residence and the ownership of the Owner. Bylaws and or documents relating to the Club membership shall include an agreement to be executed by Club members acknowledging their understanding of and consent to the terms of this agreement, and specifically agreeing to the reversion of the Land to Owner, and its return of the Land to use as a single family residence, in the event of intentional or unintentional abandonment of the Club use. Owner, Club, and Club members shall agree to hold the Town harmless from any liability or claim against the Town resulting from the enforcement of the terms of this Agreement, the reversion to single family use, the reversion to Owner or any other claims resulting therefrom.

Additionally, the three (3) paragraphs set forth above shall be set forth in the Articles of Incorporation of the Club and said provisions of the Articles shall not be amended without consent of the Town.

## ARTICLE X

## REIMBURSEMENT FOR SPECIAL STUDIES/MONITORING

The Owner or Club shall reimburse the Town for any reasonable costs incurred by the Town's consultants in their review of monitoring in compliance with the conditions set forth herein and the reasonable costs associated with the review of the Application.

## ARTICLE XI

## REMEDIES FOR VIOLATION

The Town shall have all remedies available at law and equity in order to enforce the terms of this Agreement including but not limited to (a) the Town's code enforcement procedures of the Code of Ordinances through the Code Inspector, Code Enforcement Officer, and Code Enforcement Board; and (b) the Town may initiate action to revoke the Club's occupational license pursuant to applicable provisions of the Town Code, and (c) all remedies otherwise offered in the Town's Code of Ordinances; and (d) injunction, specific performance, and any and all other equitable relief through the civil courts in and for Palm Beach County for the State of Florida. In the event the Town is required to seek injunctive relief, it shall not be required to post bond and it shall not be required to demonstrate irreparable harm or injury to secure an injunction to enforce the terms of this Agreement. Additionally, in the event of any breach, default or non performance of this Agreement, or any of its covenants, agreements, terms or conditions, the Town shall be entitled to recover its costs, expenses and reasonable attorneys' fees insofar as the Town prevails, either before or as a result of litigation, including appeals.

## ARTICLE XII

## PROVISIONS TO RUN WITH LAND/RECORDING

This Agreement shall run with the Land and shall be binding upon the Owner, the Club, and their respective heirs, legal representatives, successors and assigns. This Agreement shall be recorded in the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida upon full execution by the parties hereto.

## ARTICLE XIII

## ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement represents the entire agreement between the parties as to its subject matter and it may not be amended except by written agreement executed by both parties.

## ARTICLE XIV

## MISCELLANEOUS

Wherever the word "laws" appears in this Agreement it shall be deemed to include all ordinances, rules and regulations as well as laws of the appropriate governmental authorities.

This Agreement may not be amended except by written instrument signed by all parties hereto.

Paragraph headings are inserted for convenience only and shall not be read to enlarge, construe, restrict or modify the provisions hereof. All references to numbered or lettered paragraphs, subparagraphs and exhibits refer (unless the context indicates otherwise) to paragraphs and subparagraphs of this Agreement and to exhibits attached hereto, which exhibits are by this reference made a part hereof.

This Agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto and upon their successors, assigns, heirs and personal representatives.

In the event of the invalidity of any provision of this Agreement, same shall be deemed stricken herefrom and this Agreement shall continue in full force and effect as if such invalid provision were never a part hereof.

This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Florida.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year first written above.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:


TOWN OF PALM BEACH


STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $/ d$ day of
$\qquad$ , 1993, by Paul R. Ilyinsky, the Mayor of The Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced Florida Driver's License Number $\qquad$ as identification and who did not take an oath.

## STATE OF FLORIDA



Signature of Notary Public
GRACE I.TETERS
Printed Name of Notary Public
Commission No.: PCo26123/
Commission Expires:


## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 10 day of Avequat , , 1993, by M. William Weinberg, the President of The Town of Palm Beach (2 Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced Florida Driver's License Number as identification and who did not take an oath.
$\qquad$


Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No.: CC26123)

Commission Expires:


STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 10 day of
$\qquad$ , 1993, by Robert J. Doney, the Town Manager of The Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced Florida Driver's License Number $\qquad$ as identification and who did not take an oath.


Signature of Notary Public-

$$
\int-\sqrt{\varepsilon T E R S}
$$

Printed Name of Notary Public
Commission No.: CC2612331
Commission Expires:

STATE OF New York


## COUNTY OF

$\qquad$
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $\theta 1^{\text {st }}$ day of
$\qquad$ , 1993, by Donald J. Trump, President of The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc., a Florida corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced his Driver's License Number as identification and who did not take an oath.


STATE OF


COUNTY OF $\qquad$ Nes (fort
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 21 st day of Guly , 1993, by Donald J. Trump, who is personally known to me or has produced
his Driver's License Numberfi87a2.35781. 7hnto6446 as identification and who did not take an oath.

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND
LEGAL SUFFICIENCY FOR THE
TOWN OF PALM BEACH


John C. Randolph, Esq.

## CONSENT AND JOINDER OF MORTGAGEE

The below referenced Mortgagee hereby consent to the Declaration of Use Agreement and its recordation.

## MORTGAGEE

By: $\qquad$

STATE OF $\qquad$ COUNTY OF $\qquad$
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $\qquad$ day of
$\qquad$ , 1993, by $\qquad$ , of $\qquad$ on behalf of the $\qquad$ Driver'sLicense Number $\qquad$ produced $\qquad$ as identification and who did not take an oath.

Signature of Notary Public

Printed Name of Notary Public
Commission No.: $\qquad$
Commission Expires:

## EXHIBIT "A"

Being all that part of the North 610.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2 of Section 35, Township 43, South, Range 43 East, in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying West of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) Right of Way and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the West face of an existing seawall on the East shore of Lake Worth, which point is 560.00 feet North of, measured at right angles, to the South line of Government Lot 2 of said Section 35; thence North $6^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ West along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 77.32 feet; thence North $10^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ East along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 539.50 feet to a point in the South line of BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records; thence run South $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East along the South line of said BINGHAM-COPP TRACT for a distance of 1134.10 feet to a point in the Westerly right-of-way line of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A); thence run South $0^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 82.59 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southerly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Southwest having a radius of 1412.69 feet and a central angle of $3 \circ 03^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 75.20 feet to a point of tangency; thence run South $2 \circ 53^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 176.28 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southwesterly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 2968.36 feet and a central angle of $2 \circ 27^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 127.36 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence continue Southwesterly along the arc of a curve, concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 158.68 feet and a central angle of $86^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 239.40 feet to a point of tangency; thence run North $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West along the North line of Southern Boulevard (State Road 80) for a distance of 1040.43 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing 16.3760 Acres, more or less;
and
The West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the East one-half (E $1 / 2$ ) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 21, all in BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, as recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records, containing 0.1894 acres, more or less;

Together with an easement for the use of the tunnel under South Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) as described in that certain Quit Claim Easement Deed recorded in Official Record Book 2327, Page 1970 of the Palm Beach County Public Records;
and
Being the South 358.00 feet of the North 403.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2, Section 35, Township 43 South, Range 43 East, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying East of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) as now laid out and in use; together with all riparian and littoral rights, if any, thereunto appertaining.

A parcel of land living within the worth 610.00 foe of the south 1170.00 feet of Government hot of gootion 35 , Township 43 south, Range 43 gest in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County,
 tor particularly described eg follows, to wit:

Commencing at point in the west face of an orating camail on the Bast shore of Lake worth, which point is 560.00 feat North of, measured at Right Angles to, the south line of Government lot 2 of
 in of Government bot 2 (all other bearings refer thereto) a distance of 443.27 feet, to the point of Beginning of the cantering of atrip of land being 12 feet in width; thence $\$ 15^{\circ}$ 22' $28^{\prime \prime}$ along said caterlime, alstanco of 58.28 feet to point hereinafter called point 'A, and the point of termination of said centering.

## TOGETHER UTE

Beginning at the above described point 'A'; Thence $888^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ g a distance of 8.37 feet; Thence $01^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 39^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{B}$ assistance of 20.0 Lent Thence $88^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime}$ a distance of 20.0 feat Thence $801^{\circ}$ $01^{\prime \prime} 39^{\prime \prime}$ distance of 20.00 teat; Thence $88^{\circ} 58^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ distance of 11.63 feet; To the point of Beginning.

CERTIFICATION
(NOT VALID UNLESS SEALED WITH EMBOSSED SURVEYOR'S SEAL)
I HEREs CERTIFY that the Description and et etch of the property shown hereon was completed under my direction
$:$ On MAY 27,1993 and that sid description is true and correct to the bet of my knowledge and belief.

I Further certify that this Description and sketch fete the MINIMUA TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR SURVEYS set forth by the FLORIDA BOARD OP LAND SURVEYORS pursuant to ejection 472.027 Florid state statutes, wo search of Tat PUBLIC RECORDS has been made by this office. The description 1 based on information furnished by cadent or clint' represenctive.

Date of signature* 5-27-93


This legal description 1 to be attached to and made a part of the sketch.



## EXHIBIT "C"

## WOODBRIDGE ROAD MITIGATION SPECIFICATION

1. Construct a $6^{\prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ high solid, concrete block and stucco wall adjacent to the Loomis southern property line continuing along the Mar-a-Lago property line until it intersects the seawall at its western limits. This wall will be wholly constructed on Mar-a-Lago property.
2. To remove the Australian pine hedge and fence currently in place behind the Loomis property on an East/West axis.
3. To install a solid hedge row of Mimusops Roxberghiana (kanapali) or Conocarpus Erectus (silver buttonwood) var, sercius, the genus and species to be decided upon based on the availability at the time of installation. The hedge row will be 12' (twelve feet) at the time of planting. Spacing of plant materials will follow sound horticultural practices usual for the species selected in order to maximize the potential growth characteristics of each plant consistent with the buffering objective (i.e., Mimusops, 2 plants per hold at $30^{\prime \prime}$ o.c., buttonwood double staggered row at 4 ' o.c., calophyllum, $5^{\prime}$ o.c.)
4. A setback from the wall to the hedge row material, consistent with the location of the footing and its width. A 24-36" setback is anticipated.
5. A maintained height of $20^{\prime}$ (twenty feet) maximum, with periodic pruning during the warmer months on a twice yearly basis or more frequently if necessary to encourage growth and density. The minimum maintained height of 12' (twelve feet) used at installation, will be used as a minimum maintained height during pruning operations.
6. That maintenance of the hedge will follow a prescribed schedule of fertilization; 4 times per year with an approved $50 \%$ organic $12-16-8$ or equivalent formula which may vary with seasonal needs.
7. Existing plant materials beyond the Loomis property in the buffer (moving in a westerly direction) will be retained unless they are in the way of construction. Some specimen trees in the rear yards of the neighboring properties are greater than $30^{\prime}$ (thirty feet) in height. It would be almost impossible to replace this existing buffer. Replace current 4-1/2 to $5^{\prime}$ Ficus Nitida hedge with a $6^{\prime}$ concrete block wall as previously described.
8. Further West from the existing $30^{\prime}$ hedge, to continue the 6 ' masonry wall to the seawall while installing a $6^{\prime}$ Ficus Nitide hedge on the South side of the hedge beyond the staff quarters building.
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November 15, 1993

Ms. Grace T. Peters
Town Clerk
Town of Palm Beach
Post Office Box 2029
Palm Beach, Florida 33480
RE: Town of Palm Beach/Mar-A-Lago Club
Declaration of Use Agreement
Our File No. 13156.6
Dear Grace:
Enclosed for the files of the Town is the original recorded Declaration of Use Agreement between the Town of Palm Beach, The Mar-A-Lago Club, Inc. and Donald J. Trump, as recorded in official Record Book 7933, Page 22, public records of Palm Beach County, Florida.


JCR/ssm
cc: Robert J. Doney

THIS INSTRUMENT WAS PREPARED BY:
Raymond W. Royce, Esq.
John C. Randolph, Esq.

PLEASE RECORD AND RETURN TO:
Raymond W. Royce, Esq.
Holland \& Knight LLP
625 North Flagler Drive
Suite 700
West Palm Beach, FL 33401


# SECOND AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT <br> by 

THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH
and
THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, L.L.C.,

Dated: Sptonber 30,2002

## SECOND AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT ("Second Agreement") is made and entered into this $30^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2002 by and between THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH, a Florida municipal corporation, 360 South County Road, Palm Beach, Florida 33480 (hereinafter called "Town"); THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, L.L.C., a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, c/o Raymond W. Royce, Esquire, Holland \& Knight LLP, 625 North Flagler Drive, Suite 700, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (hereinafter called the "Club").

## WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the Town, Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. and Donald J. Trump heretofore entered into a Declaration of Use Agreement recorded in Official Records Book 7933, Page 22, and Official Records Book 8691, Page 802, of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida (hereinafter called "Declaration"); and

WHEREAS, the Town, the Mar-a-Lago Club. Tnc. and Donald J. Trumn thereafter entered into an Amendment of Declaration of Use Agreement, recorded in Official Records Book 11492, Page 1762, of the Public Records of Palm Beach Florida ("First Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, on April 9, 2002, the Town held a public hearing and agreed to an amendment to paragraph 11 of the First Amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Club and the Town desire to enter into this Second Amendment to reflect such amendment to paragraph 11 of the First Amendment; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to a Certificate of Succession recorded in Official Records Book 9655, Page 1441, and the documents attached thereto, the Mar-aLago Club, Inc. was merged into MALC, Inc., a Delaware corporation, which was thereafter merged with Mar-a-Lago Club, L.L.C., which is the surviving entity and is the owner of the property described on Exhibit "A" attached to and made a part hereof; and

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises set forth herein and in consideration of other good and valuable considerations, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, it is agreed that the First Amendment is hereby amended and modified as follows:

1. Paragraph 11 of the First Amendment is hereby amended to delete the last sentence thereof which states as follows:
"Construction of the pavilion building may not be commenced until completion of the new permanent bridge located at Royal Palm Way".
and in place thereof the following provision is added to said paragraph 11:
"Construction of the pavilion building may be commenced in the year 2002".
2. The aforesaid amendment providing that construction of the pavilion may commence in the year 2002 was enacted as Special Exception \#3-2002 and Article II of the Declaration is hereby amended to add Special Exception \#3-2002.
3. The Club hereby executes this Second Amendment for the purposes as set forth herein and does hereby ratify, confirm, and approve the First Amendment recorded in Official Records Book 11492, Page 1762, of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year above first written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:
$\frac{\text { Intine Rudiunan }}{\text { CheylCuan }}$
Kattilien Rudimar


TOWN OF PALM BEACH



By:


Robert L. Moore
Director of Planning, Zoning and Building


John C. Randolph, Esq. Town Attorney

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

 Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me_or has produced identification andiwho did not take an oath.
[SEAL]


STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $30^{\text {th }}$ day of Seqtentri2002, by Robert L. Moore, the Director of Planning, Zoning and Building of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced
 , as identification and who did not take an

## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $30^{\text {th }}$ day of September 2002, by John C. Randolph, Esquire, the Town Attorney of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced NA $\longrightarrow$ as identification and who did not take an oath.


STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $30^{\text {th }}$ day of Septenber 2002, by Lesly S. Smith, the Mayor of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is



COUNTY OF PALM BEACH
$30^{\text {th }}$ day of Septenber 2002 , by William J. Brooks, the President of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced $\xrightarrow{ } / A$, as identification and who did not take an oath.


Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No. 972711
Commission Expires:104.02
[NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE]

## STATE OF NEW YORK

## COUNTY OF NEW YORK

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 26 day of Guquot, 2002, by Donald J. Trump, as Managing Member of the Mar-a-Lago Club, L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, on behalf of the company. He is personally known to me or has produced
$\qquad$ , as identification and who did not take an oath.
[SEAL]

Signature of Notary Public
Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No.
Commission Expires: $\qquad$

NORMAI. FOERDERER NOTARY PLBLUC, State of Mev Yort No. 01 FO4743494 Cumbled in New York Coumbly Commision Expires Sept. 30, 2005

Being all that part of the North 610.00 feer of the South 1170.00 feer of Goverament Lot 2 of Section 35, Township 43, Sourh, Range 43 Eart, in the Town of Palm Beach Palm Beach County, Florids, lying Weat of Dcean Boulevard (Stase Road A1A) Right of Way and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the Wert fac: of an existing seawall on the Esst shore of Lake Worth, which point is 560.00 feet North of, measured at right angles, to the South line of Govenment Lot 2 of said Section 35 ; thence North 6009 '22" Wert along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 77.32 feet; thence Nert $10.23^{\prime} 23^{\circ}$ Eart along the Weat face of said seawall for a distance of 539.50 fees to a point io the South line of BNGGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision recorded in Plat Book 18. Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records; thence rm South 88.12'07" East along the South line of said BINGHAM-COPP TRACT for a distance of 1134.10 fees to a point in the Westerty righi-of-way line of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A); thence run South $0009^{\prime 0} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 82.59 fees to a point of curvature; thence run Southerly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Southwest having a radius of 1412.69 feet and a central angle of $3003^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 75.20 feet to a point of tangency; thence run Sourth $2.53^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ 'Vest for a distance of 176.28 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southwesterly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 2968.36 feet and a central angle of $2 \cdot 27 \cdot 30^{n}$ West for a distance of 127.36 fees to a poins of compound curvanure; thence contimue Southwesterly along the are of a curve, concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 158.68 feat and a central angle of $86.26^{\prime \prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 239.40 feet to 3 point of tangency; thence rum North 88.12.07" West along the North line of Southern Boulevard (State Road 80) for a distance oi 1040.43 feet to the PONNT OF BEGINNING, containing 16.3760 Acres, more or less;

Together with an msernent for the use of the tunsel under South Ocean Boulevard (State Road A|A) as described in sias cerain Quit Claim Easement Deed recorded in Official Record Book 2327. Page 1970 of the Palm Beach County Public Records;
and
Being the South 358.00 feet of the North 403.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Goverament Lot 2, Section 35, Township 43 South, Range 43 East, palm Beach County, Florida, lying East of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A|A) as now laid out and in use; together with all riparian and liforal rights, if any, thereumto appertaining.


PALM BEACH COUNTY, STATE OF FLORIDA
I hereaty cerilly that the foregoing is a Iruegcopy of the record in fiy office.
This 27 Day of $\frac{2 C}{}$ DOROTHY H.WILKEN
 D.C.

# HOLLAND \& KNIGHT LLP 

625 North Flagler Drive, Suite 700
P. 0. B $0 \times 3208$ (2IP 33402-3208)

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

561-833-2000
FAX 561-650-8399

| Annapolis | New York |
| :---: | :---: |
| Altanta | Northern Virginia |
| Bethesda | Orlando |
| Bosion | Providence |
| Bradenion | St. Petersburg |
| Chicago | San Antonio |
| Fort Lauderdale | San Francisco |
| Jacksonville | Seatile |
| Lakeland | Tallahassee |
| Los Angeles | Tampa |
| Melbourne | Washington, D.C. |
| Miami | West Palm Beach |
| Intemational Offices: |  |
| Careas* | S30 Paylo |
| Mexioc City | Tel Aviv* |
| Hiode danelfo | Tokgo |
| *Representitive Offios |  |

November 14, 2002
RAYMOND W. ROYCE
561-650.8316
rroyce@hklaw.com
CERTIFTED MAIL
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
Mr. Paul Castro
Zoning Administrator
Town of Palm Beach
Post Office Box 2029
Palm Beach, FL 33480

Re: The Mar-a-Lago Club, LLC
Dear Paul:
Enclosed please find a certified copy of the Second Amendment of Declaration of Use Agreement by the Town of Palm Beach and the Mar-a-Lago Club, LLC dated September 30, 2002.

This document has been recorded in Official Record Book 14327 Page 0233 of the public records of Palm Beach County, Florida.

Thank you for your cooperation and assistance in this matter.

RWR/bdc
Very truly yours,


Enclosure
cc: Donald J. Trump
Wes Blackman

WPB1 \#213719 v2


# AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT <br> by <br> THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH, THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC., and <br> DONALD J. TRUMP 

Dated: November 30, 1999

## AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT

## THIS AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT

 ("Amendment") is made and entered into this 30 day of November, 1999 by and between THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH, a Florida municipal corporation, 360 South County Road, Palm Beach, Florida 33480 (hereinafter called "Town"); THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, INC., a Florida corporation, c/o Raymond W. Royce, Esquire, Holland \& Knight LLP, 625 North Flagler Drive, Suite 700, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (hereinafter called the "Club"); and DONALD J. TRUMP, 725 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10022 (hereinafter called "Owner"), which terms, Town, Club and Owner will include and bind the successors and assigns of the parties, wherever the context so requires or admits.WITNESSETH:
WHEREAS, the Town, Club and Owner heretofore entered into a Declaration of Use Agreement dated August 10, 1993 and recorded in Official Records Book 7933, Page 22 and Official Records Book 8691, Page 802, of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida (hereinafter called "Agreement"); and

WHEREAS, the Agreement affected certain land described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and made a part hereof, together with improvements thereon
(hereinafter referred to as the "Land") known as Mar-a-Lago and located within the municipal limits of the Town; and

WHEREAS, the Agreement reflected the terms and conditions of a special exception approved by the Town, providing for the use of the Land as a private club; and

WHEREAS, subsequent to the execution of the Agreement, the Town has held several hearings and has approved additional special exception requests, resulting in the necessity to amend the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Agreement provides that it may be amended by written instrument signed by all of the parties thereto; and

WHEREAS, the parties wish to amend the Agreement to reflect the changes approved by the Town.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises set forth herein and in consideration of other good and valuable considerations, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, it is agreed that the Agreement is hereby amended and modified as follows:

1. Conveyance by Owner. The Agreement provided in the third "Whereas" clause that the Owner was to convey title to the Land to the Club. The parties acknowledge that the Owner has complied with the requirement and conveyed title to the Land to the Club by Warranty Deed dated March 31, 1995 and
recorded in Official Records Book 8691, Page 822, of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida.
2. Photography at the Club. The following paragraph of Article II of the Agreement is hereby deleted from the Agreement:
"Photography at the Club shall be conducted in a manner consistent with the rules and regulations governing other clubs in town. This shall include but not be limited to the prohibition of filmmaking, movie producing, magazine feature photography, newspaper photography, photography for public relation purposes for individual members and/or guests and other commercial photography and the like."

The following provision is hereby added to Article II of the Agreement:
"The Mar-a-Lago Club shall abide by the ordinances of the Town of Palm Beach which are applicable to the zoning district affecting the Land."
3. Club Advance Reservations. The following paragraph of Article VII of the Agreement is hereby deleted from the Agreement:
"All Club facilities such as dining, tennis and golf shall require advance reservations."
4. Fiduciary Account. The following paragraph of Article IX of the Agreement is not applicable while the Club is owned by Donald J. Trump or an entity controlled by him:
"A separate fiduciary account shall be established by the Club into which ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) of all gross revenues from the guest suites shall be deposited and used exclusively for maintenance and restoration purposes."

In the event the Club is not owned by Donald J. Trump or an entity controlled by him, the aforesaid language shall be applicable to the operation of the Club.
5. Description of Land. The Agreement is hereby amended by modifying Exhibit " $A$ " attached thereto (which Exhibit " $A$ " is also attached hereto and made a part hereof) by deleting from such Exhibit "A" the property described on Exhibit "B" attached hereto and made a part hereof. The Agreement, including without limitation, the provisions of Article III of the Agreement entitled "Unity of Title" shall not be applicable to the property described on Exhibit "B" attached hereto, but such property described on Exhibit "B" shall be conveyed to the owner of lot 20 and the west one-half of lot 21, Bingham-Copp Tract, Plat Book 18, Page 6, Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida and shall be in a unity of title with lot 20 and the west one-half of lot 21 and shall not be otherwise separately conveyed or transferred.
6. Club Use. Special Exceptions. Article II entitled "Club Use" of the Agreement states that the Land shall be used for a private social club on certain terms and conditions, including the terms and conditions set forth in the application for Special Exception No. 11-93. Subsequent to the execution of the Agreement, the Club has filed, and the Town has approved, certain portions of the applications for

Special Exception No. 18-96, Special Exception No. 9-99, and Special Exception No. 24-99. Accordingly, reference to application for Special Exception No. 11-93 as set forth in Article II of the Agreement is hereby amended to add Special Exception 1896, Special Exception 9-99 and Special Exception 24-99.
7. Club Use - Cabanas. Article II of the Agreement entitled "Club Use" provides, among other things, that any usages not specifically set forth in the Plan, including, without limitation, docks, cabanas and other uses are excluded from the Town's approval. Pursuant to a subsequent special exception approval, the Town has approved cabanas for a portion of the Land. Accordingly, the reference to "cabanas" as set forth in Article II of the Agreement entitled "Club Use" is hereby deleted from the Agreement. Fourteen cabanas, a swimming pool, deck area, snack bar, changing rooms, and restrooms on the part of the Land east of South Ocean Boulevard may be constructed and utilized by the Club in accordance with plans submitted and approved by the Town and upon the terms and conditions attached hereto as Exbibit " $C$ " and made a part hereof.
8. Traffic/Daily Trips and Monitoring. The following provisions of Article VIII of the Agreement entitled "Traffic/Special Events" are hereby deleted from the Agreement:
"The total trip generation of the Club shall be limited to three hundred thirteen (313) daily trips based upon an annual average of daily trips of the Club. Traffic monitoring by a
method approved by the Town for the Club shall be performed by the Club on a daily basis and shall be available to the Town upon request. Monitoring devices approved by the Town shall be in place prior to issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy. The results of the monitoring during the first and third quarters of each year shall be averaged to determine the average annual daily trips of the Club. The Club shall reimburse the Town for the reasonable expense incurred by the Town's traffic consultant in reviewing the monitoring results.

The monitoring results shall be supplied to the Town and the Palm Beach County Traffic Division to assure compliance.
9. Dining-area Seating. The following paragraph of Article VIII of the Agreement is hereby deleted from the Agreement:
"Dining area seating shall be limited to seventy-five (75) seats."

The following provision is hereby added to Article VIII of the Agreement:
"Dining rooms seating shall be limited to one hundred fifty (150) seats."
10. Tent - Attendance. The provision in the $6^{\text {th }}$ paragraph of Article VIII of the Agreement providing that special events shall be limited to 390 individuals is hereby deleted from the Agreement. While the tent is in use it shall be used as configured and permitted for the 1999-2000 season, as modified by a seating plan to allow not more than 600 members and guests to be in attendance in any manner or seating style, unless otherwise approved by the Town. Signs shall be posted in the tent limiting the occupancy of the tent to 600 people. The total limitation of 600
shall include all members and guests present at the Club at any one time, including those present in the tent and present at other locations at the Club. Such number shall not, however, include employees present at the Club. The Traffic Management Plan, attached hereto as Exhibit "D" and made a part hereof, shall be implemented upon execution of this Amendment. Seating in the tent shall be in accordance with the plans attached hereto as Exhibits " $\mathrm{E}-1$ " and " $\mathrm{E}-2$ " and made a part hereof.
11. Pavilion Building - Attendance. A pavilion building and paved parking area may be constructed and utilized by the Club in accordance with plans submitted and approved by the Town. The interior assembly space of the pavilion building shall be configured to allow not more than 700 members and guests to be in attendance in any manner or seating style. Seating in the pavilion building shall be in accordance with the plans attached hereto as Exhibits "F-1 and "F-2", and made a part hereof. Signs shall be posted in the pavilion building limiting the occupancy of the building to 700 people. The use of the pavilion building by 700 people (an increase of 100 more than the maximum permitted attendance in the tent) shall be conditioned upon compliance with the 600 -person attendance limit in the tent and successful implementation of the Traffic Management Plan attached hereto as Exhibit " $D$ ". In the event such conditions are not met by the Club, the maximum attendance in the pavilion building shall be 600 people and the pavilion
building shall be posted accordingly. The total limitation of 700 shall include all members and guests present at other locations at the Club at any one time including those present in the pavilion and present at other locations at the Club. Such number shall not, however, include employees present at the Club. Construction of the pavilion building need not commence within twelve (12) months of Council approval of the pavilion building. Construction of the pavilion building may not be commenced until completion of the new permanent bridge located at Royal Palm Way.
12. Reservations. Advance reservations as controlled by the Club's Social Secretary shall be 50 less than the maximum permitted occupancy of the tent and 25 less than the maximum permitted occupancy of the pavilion building. After making advance reservations as set forth above, the Club shall establish a waiting list for any additional reservations. On the day before the event, the Club may confirm reservations for those on the waiting list, but in no event shall the total number of members and guests in attendance at the Club exceed the permitted occupancy as set forth above. The reservation list and waiting list shall be subject to review by the Town.
13. Traffic Management Plan. The Traffic Management Plan, attached as Exhibit " $D$ ", shall be implemented upon execution of this Amendment. To the extent that any of the provisions of Article VIII relating to ingress, egress and other
traffic matters are inconsistent with the provisions of the Traffic Management Plan, such provisions of Article VIII are deleted or amended and the provisions of the Traffic Management Plan shall be applicable.
14. Special Duty Police. The reference to "off-duty police" in the $8^{\text {th }}$ paragraph of Article VIII of the Agreement is hereby amended to read "special duty assignment police".
15. Remedies. Article XI is hereby amended to provide that in addition to the remedies set forth in the Agreement, the Town's remedies shall include the ability of the Town to enforce the Agreement, as amended, through the Fire Department by way of Ordinance $4-98$ and by way of all other ordinances of the Town enforced by the Town's code compliance officer and police department.
16. Use of Tent. The tent may be used from October 15, 1999 through December 31, 1999, but may be used only for a cumulative period of 6 months during the year 2000 and each year thereafter until the certificate of occupancy for the pavilion building is issued, at which time its use shall be discontinued.
17. Millennium New Year's Eve Party. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the maximum attendance for the Millennium New Year's Eve party to be held on December 31, 1999 shall be 940 members and guests. This number shall not include Club employees.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year above first written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:



Lesly S. Smith, President, Town Council :

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

[INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## CONTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 30 th day of Nov., 1999, by Paul R. Ilyinsky, the Mayor of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has-protuced $\qquad$ as identification-and who did not take an oath.

Signature of Notary Public marisol streets Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No. CC. 586483 Commission Expires:9-18-200u

## STATE OF FLORIDA

CANTY OF PALM BEACH
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 29th day of November, 1999, by Lesly S. Smith, the President of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is personally known to me or has produced $N / A \longrightarrow$ as identification and who did not take an oath.
[SEAL]

snarf Alice Pollute
Signature of Notary Public IV Mary Alice Polit Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No. ce 7820 al Commission Expires:10/07/2002
[NOTARY ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE]

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## CONTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 29 th day of November1999, by Robert Doney, the Town Manager of the Town of Palm Beach,-a-Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced $\ldots$ _ as identification and who did not take an oath.
[SEAL]


Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No. CC 801635 Commission Expires: $1 / 2 / 03$


STATE OF
 COUNTY OF $\qquad$

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this day of 1000 , 1999, by Donald J. Trump, the President of the Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc., a Florida corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced
oath.


Signature of Notary Public
[SEAL]
MORAL. FOERDERER MPTATY PUELIC, State of New Yo te No. 01FO 4743494
On d bN New York County
00minn Expires Sept. $30,200!$

Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No. Commission Expires: $\qquad$

STATE OF
 COUNTY OF New york

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $11^{\text {th }}$ day of November 1999, by Donald J. Trump, who is-personally known to me or has producedidentification and who did not tale anzouth.
[SEAL]


Signature of Notary Public
Printed Name of Notary Public
Commission No.
Commission Expires: $\qquad$

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY FOR THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH


John C. Randolph, Esq.

FECOMMEND APPROVAL:


## EXHIBIT "A"
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Being all that part of the North 610.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2 of Section 35, Township 43, South, Range 43 East, in the Town of Palm Beach, Paim Beach County, Florida, lying West of Oceani Boulevard (State Road A1A) Right of Way and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Beginning at a point on the West face of an existing seawall on the East shore of Lake Worth, which point is 560.00 feet North of, measured at right angles, to the South line of Govemment Lot 2 of said Section 35 ; thence North $6.09^{\prime} 22^{\prime \prime}$ West along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 77.32 feet; thence Nerth $10.23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ East along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 539.50 feet to a point in the South line of BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records; thence run South 88-12'07" East along the South line of said BINGHAM-COPP TRACT for a distance of 1134.10 feet to a point in the Westerly right-of-way line of Ocean Boulevard (State Road AlA); thence run South $0009^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 82.59 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southerly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Southwest having a radius of 1412.69 feet and a central angle of $3.03^{\prime} 00^{*}$ for a distance of 75.20 feet to a point of tangency; thence run South $2.53^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 176.28 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southwesterly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 2968.36 feet and a cemtral angle of $2 \cdot 27^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 127.36 feet to a point of compound curvature; thence continue Southwesterly along the arc of a curve, concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 158.68 feet and a central angle of $86.26^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 239.40 feet to 1 point of tangency; thence nm North $88.12^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West along the North line of Southern Boulevard (State Road 80) for a distance of 1040.43 feet to the POINT OF BEGNNNNG, containing 16.3760 Acres, more or less;
and
The West one-half (W 1/2) of Lat 20 and the South 15 feet of the East one-balf (E $1 / 2$ ) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the West one-hali (W $1 / 2$ ) of Lot 21, all in BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision in the Jiown of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, as recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records, containing 0.1894 acres, more or less;

Together with an easernent for the use of the tunnel under South Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) as described in that certain Quit Claim Easement Deed recorded in Official Record Book 2327, Page 1970 of the Palm Beach County Public Records;
and
Being the South 358.00 feet of the North 403.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2, Section 35, Township 43 South, Range 43 East, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying East of Ocean Boulevard (State Road AIA) as now laid out and in use; together with all riparian and littoral rights, if any, thereunto appertaining.

## EXHIBIT "B"

The west $1 / 2$ of Lot 20 and the south 15 feet of the east $1 / 2$ of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the west $1 / 2$ of Lot 21, all in the BINGHAM COPP TRACT, a subdivision in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, as recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida.

## EXHIBIT "C"

a. The northern portion of the second floor of the north cabana building shall eliminate the east 15 feet and there shall be no second floor terrace area in that location. The second floor windows on the north side of the northern building and the south side of the southern building shall be opaque and inoperable.
b. Substantial landscaping will be placed and permanently maintained by applicant between the north and south buildings on the one hand and, on the other hand, each respective property line with the adjacent north and south properties. Final landscaping plans will be made available to both of the property owners to the north and south of the subject property for their review and input regarding the area between the closest building and their permanent property line.
c. Landscaping materials over six feet in height will not be installed eastward of the east end of the northern building.
d. At the expense of the Mara-Lago Club, a structural analysis will be performed on the northern neighbor's property wall. The study will determine the feasibility of increasing the height of the wall to a maximum height of ten (10) feet from the prevailing grade. If the results of the feasibility study indicate that the existing wall can be increased to a height of ten (10) feet from the prevailing grade, the Mar-a-Lago Club shall apply for a variance to increase the height of the wall to a maximum height of ten (10) feet with stepdowns agreeable to both parties. If a variance is granted, the Club will increase the height of the wall. If it is determined that the existing wall cannot be increased to a height of ten (10) feet from the prevailing grade, then the Mar-a-Lago Club shall apply for a variance to construct the wall on its property. If a variance is granted for this proposal, the Club will build the new wall on its property. If requested, by the abutting owner, the Club will demolish the existing wall.
e. Access to the beach property will be by way of the existing tunnel. This shall include deliveries, employees, service workers, solid waste removal, construction workers, members and their guests, including access by handicapped or physically challenged individuals. Ramping and/or other improvements to the entrances to the tunnel shall be constructed as necessary to facilitate general servicing of the beach property and to comply with ADA requirements. Parking of private vehicles on the beachfront property shall be prohibited.
f. Emergency vehicle access to the beach property will be by means of the gate along the western side of the subject property. The final design and location of this gate and spaces for emergency vehicle parking shall be based upon the attached graphic entitled "Proposed Emergency Pull-over and Access" stamp
dated April 20, 1999, and shall be modified as necessary for approval by the Town staff.
g. All new structures will be protected with an approved fire sprinkler system and a fire standpipe system will be installed on the east side of SR A1A to protect oceanfront cabanas and other structures.
h. The Mar-a-Lago Club agrees to provide a structural bulkhead at an elevation of 14.34. feet NGVD and will provide a structural analysis of the existing bulkhead and tie back system to ensure that the existing bulkhead, tie backs and proposed additions to the bulkhead will be adequate for the proposed development of the subject property.
i. The construction access, and the loading and unloading of construction materials, shall be determined by the Mar-a-Lago Club and Town at a preconstruction meeting and such access shall be located and undertaken as far south as practically feasible.
j. The Club shall provide a utility plan that satisfies Town requirements and indicates how all utility service will be provided to the beach property without interrupting traffic movements on South Ocean Boulevard.
k. The beach facility shall not be used prior to 10:00 a.m. nor later than sunset.

1. Amplified music or amplified sounds of any kind shall be prohibited. No concerts shall be held on the beach property at any time.
m. Scheduling of Club events (barbecues, clam bakes, and cook-outs) shall be done in cooperation with the Bath and Tennis Club. There shall be no more than six (6) events, occurring not less than one month apart. Such events may extend beyond sunset, but not later than $10: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and such events may not coincide nor be scheduled in conjunction with any other event being held at the Club. No activity may be conducted east of the bulkhead.
n. Landmarks Preservation Commission approval of architectural design and materials shall be obtained prior to the issuance of a building permit.
o. If the construction involves any piles, the method shall be of auger type construction.
p. The overall height of the tallest structure shall be no higher than four (4) below the overall height of the residence to the immediate north notwithstanding any differences in point of measurement.
q. All air conditioning equipment shall be located within the building envelope and have proper buffering and baffling so as to provide no disturbance to the neighbors. There shall be no air conditioning equipment within the north or south setbacks.
r. There shall be no launching of motorized watercraft from the beach.
s. Sand removed from the pool area shall be placed on the beach portion of the property if permitted by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection.
t. When the beach facilities are not open, all external lighting shall be turned off except that which is necessary for security.
u. No persons shall be permitted to stay overnight in any of the cabanas, and the cabanas shall not contain facilities which could be used for, or which could encourage, their overnight use, such as bedrooms and/or conventional cooking facilities.
v. The beach property improvements (other than the bulkhead) should not be started until the temporary middle bridge is completed. The construction should be limited to occur only between May $1^{\text {at }}$ and December $1^{\text {st }}$ so that construction traffic does not negatively impact the segments of South Ocean Boulevard and the Southern Boulevard Bridge adjacent to Mar-a-Lago duxing: peak season. However, requests for the undertaking of any major construction activity at any time of the year (including demolition) east of A1A shall be brought before Town Council, accompanied by plans and other pertinent supporting information, for its review and approval, as it the case with any other construction project in the Town.
w. Provide the Town with an analysis of the existing tunnel to show whether or not it is sufficiently wide to ensure the ability to achieve its intended purpose.
x. Lighting shall meet all standards required by the State and County for the protection of nesting sea turtles.
y. Open air entertainment shall not exceed the maximum allowable noise limits.
z. The above conditions will run with the land and shall be incorporated into an amendment of the existing Declaration of Use Agreement, dated August 10, 1993, to be executed between the Town and the applicant.
aa. The above conditions were designed to consider and protect the quality of life of surrounding Town residents and for the public interest in Palm Beach.
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# THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB <br> Trafic Manggement Pian 

Prepared by: C. WESLEY BLACKMAN, A.I.C.P. JOSEPH B. POLLOCK, P.E. YVONNE ZIEL, P.E.

Date: May, 1999-REVISED* - June, 1999


#### Abstract

"This revised plan completely excludes Scemario ${ }^{* 4}$ which governed events ranging in size berween 750 and 1,200 prople. The upper limin of Scenario $\# 3$ has been reduced to 740 pecple which corresponds to the seating capecity of the proposed Pavilion Building Alsa, at the direction of the Police Departmern, the Southern Boalevand Gate may slso be used for events ranging in size from 400 to 740 people (Scenario \#3). Finally, the parking garage is no longer included due to the significantly decreased maximum occupancy of the Pavilion Building


## INTRODUCTION

This Traffic Management Plan (TMP) is a supplement to the Mar-a-Lago Club Master Plan dated December 1998. During review of the Master Plan by the Palm Beach Town Council in April of 1999, the Council approved, with conditions, the beach cabanas, pool and snack bar requested by the Club. The Council also approved the removal of traffic counting equipment and the elimination of the 313 trip per day cap. The number of allowable dining room seats was increased to 150. The Council elected not to amend or eliminate the cap on membership. Finally, the Woodbridge Road property was deleted from the Mar-a-Lago Club special exception.

Regarding the remaining portions of the Master Plan, the Town Council directed the Mar-a-Lago Club representatives to prepare 8 TMP for review at their meeting of June 8 , 1999. At that time, the Council will be able to assess the traffic impact of a greater number of attendees at Club special events and to determine any impact a permanent pavilion building will have on traffic in the vicinity of the Club. This assessment will be based on this TMP. To a greas extent, the impact of the pavilion building is already established due to the existing temporary tent.

Immediately after conclusion of the last hearing, Mar-a-Lago Club representatives met with Town of Palm Beach staff and consultants. From this meeting the following list was assembled:

1. Address external impacts on the adjacent roadways. All efforts should be made not to interfere with traffic already on Southern Boulevard and South Ocean Boulevard.
2. Provide appropriate access for emergency vehicles.
3. Provide a routing plan for limousines
4. Discuss access plan for Southem Boulevard gate.
5. Demonstrate how the Club's planning for events can alleviate traffic congestion (i.e., "spreading out the peak").
6. Explain valet parking operations and any problems related to its efficient operation.
7. Describe operations, including valet parking, during special events.
8. Discuss other traffic considerations, including drop-offs.

All of the above topics will be addressed within this Mar-a-Lago Club TMP. A total of there (3) scenarios will be presented that will show various levels of Club operations. These will range from least to most intense. Operations and traffic management methodologies are offered for each scenario. The first scenario describes daily club operations alone (a la carte dining, spa and ternis activities, etc.), and in conjunction with, smaller events numbering less than 200 guests. The next scenario describes traffic management techniques for events with at least 200 and less than 399 persons. Next, measures to prevent traffic congestion are presented for events totaling between 400 and 740 persons. A fourth scenario was eliminated from consideration upon input from the Town Council. All of these scenarios offer increased levels of control and operational initiatives designed to minimize or eliminate traffic congestion on neighboring thoroughfares. Also, it is important to note that as the size of event increases, the frequency of that particular size of event significantly decreases. This has been true over the four years of operation of the Mar-ब-Lago Club. It is expected to continue into the foreseeable future, even with the addition of the Pavilion Building. This plan will positively demonstrate that the Mar-a-Lago Club is and will able to hold an event which has a maximum 740 persons in attendance, with proper traffic management procedures employed, and not cause a traffic disturbance within the Town of Palm Beach. The previous version of this plan presented information which, theoretically, showed that the Club could handle up to 1200 persons. This scenario is no longer inchuded in this plan. Note: This size of event represents a theoretical maximum and should in no way be construed as the typical Mar-a-Lago Club event.

For brevity and clarity, once an action is mentioned in a smaller event scenario, it is automatically repeated for the subsequent larger event, unless otherwise noted. This is best understood by studying the accompanying summary table.

Finally, by including all of these actions in this form, the Mar-a-Lago Club is committed to implement them and be bound by the requirements outlined in this document.

The following statement was added after consultation with the Town of Palm Beach Police Chie:

The Police Chief reserves the right to change procedures as necessary based upon actual performance and shall not be limited by this plan. Furthermore, the Police Chief has the discretion to assign the number of special duty assignmeat officers appropriate for a given event.

# Traffic Management Procedures - Scenario \#1 

Size Range: Events up to 199 People

This level of operation represents the bulk of Mar-a-Lago Club activity. Evidence of this is easily found by looking at the two most recent years of operation:

|  | $1997-1998$ | $1998-1999$ | Total Events |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Events Under 200 People | 137 | 149 | 286 |
| (\%) | $(80 \%)$ | $(86 \%)$ | $(83 \%)$ |
| Total Events | 171 | 173 | 344 |
| (\%) | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ |

Thus, $83 \%$ of all the events held at the Mar-a-Lago Club over the past two seasons have attracted less than 200 people. Further analysis indicates that the total number of events increased only $1 \%$ over the previous year. However, the number of events attracting 200 people or less increased by $9 \%$, representing an even larger proportion of the total number of events held at the Mar-s-Lago Club. This size of event represents regular dinner parties, lectures, classes, birthday parties and other celebrations. Dining, spa and tennis activities represent the typical daily activity of the Club, which can be considered the "background" operational level of the Club. Events of less than 200 people are easily accommodated while daily Club operations are underway. Whenever an event of over 150 is held, no other organized event is held at the Club. The following is an example of how the Club manages, and will continue to manage, traffic generated from this level of activity:

Member and Guest traffic enters the main entrance driveway from South Ocean Boulevard. Members and guests exit their vehicles at the main door. The two lanes of the main entrance drive allow for a 18 vehicle queue. Vehicles are parked by valet staff in available parking spaces on the northern half of the property. When members and guests leave the property, the valet delivers the vehicles to the main door and they leave through the exit gate (northem drive) on South Ocean Boulevard. Staff and delivery vehicles enter and exit through the Southern Boulevard gate. A small number of members prefer to enter through this gate for more direct access to the tennis courts. If this is the case, these members park their own vehicies. This entrance scenario is depicted graphically in EXHIBIT $A$.

No Special Detail Assignment police officers are necessary to accommodate this level of activity. Traditionally, officers are ordered through the Police Department for events when 200 people or more are expected. However, the Town of Palm Beach Police Department will be notified of all forecasted events at the beginning of the season and reccive updates throughout the season reflecting any changes in dates, times or size of events. Special arrangements will be made with the Police Department for all other larger eveats. The number of
officers will be determined by the size of the event. These procedures are more fully outlined later concerning the larger events to be held at the Mar-a-Lago Club.

Emergency vehicles enter through any of three access points to the property. These include the Southern Boulevard gate, main entrance gate off of South Ocean Boulevard or the exit gate off of South Ocean Boulevard. Mar-a-Lago security will inform Town public safety officials where assistance is needed. Generally speaking, access for these vehicles is through the Southern Boulevard gate. Upon completion of the beach cabana and pool project, emergency vehicle access east of SR A1A (South Ocean Boulevard) will be provided through the use of a roll-over curb allowing pull-off access for three emergency vehicles.

All stacking of vehicies is easily accommodated within the property and traffic is not disturbed on the adjacent public thoroughfares of South Ocean Boulevard or Southern Boulevard.

Limousines are handled through the main entrance gate off of South Ocean Boulevard. All limousines are instructed to use the northern lane of the entrance drive. To avoid turning movement conflicts, stretch limousines are directed to turn right before reaching the main door. Guests ext the vehicle and enter the main house from this location. The limousine driver stays with the vehicle until the guests are ready to leave the property. Other drop-offs, such as taxis, enter and leave the property in the usual way; they enter through the main entrance and leave through the exit drive on to South Ocean Boulevard.

For this scenario, there is no need to plan attractions prior to individual events in order to entice guests to arrive earlier than the stated event time. The volume of traffic is easily handled without impact on the adjacent roadways.

Staffing for valet personnel varies depending on the number of reservations for daily activities and if any special events are planned for the clay. Experience over the four years of Club operations have yielded a solid knowledge of valet staffing sequiremems. Regardiess of the number of reservations and the existence of any special events, there are at least two valets on duty. When there is an event of up to 50 people or when the number of reservations ranges between 25 and 75 people, the valet staff increases to three. For days when there are over 75 reservations on the books or an evert between 50 and 100 people, eithes four or five valets are scheduled. For a day with an event having between 100 and 150 , a total of six valets are scheduled. Finally, for events between 150 and 200 people, a total of seven valets work for a given event.

## Traffic Management Procedures - Scenario \#2

Size Range: Events from 200 to 399 People

The following table reflects the number of times this size of event was held at the Mar-aLago Club over the past two seasons, along with other pertinent data:

|  | $1997-1998$ | $1998-1999$ | Total Events |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Events of 200 to 399 People | 30 | 17 | 47 |
| (\%) | $(18 \%)$ | $(10 \%)$ | $(14 \%)$ |
| Total Events | 171 | 173 | 344 |
| (\%) | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ |

Nearly all of the events, $97 \%$ of the total number of the events held at the Mar-a-Lago Club over the two most recent seasons, were attended by less than 400 people. The proportion and number of events ranging in size between 200 and 399 people actually decreased in 1998-1999 from the previous season. These typically include charitable functions, celebrations of all kinds (birthday parties, etc.), teas, luncheons and cockrail parties. During the Mar-a-Lago Club 1998-1999 season, only 17 events of the 173 events held ranged in size between 200 and 399 people. This represented $10 \%$ of all evemts which took place at the Mar-a-Lago Club during the time period. The Club schedules events of this size to take place when little other activity is happening on the property. For example, events of this size are generally scheduled for Sunday, Monday or Wednesday evenings when the Club is only open for special events. All other Club functions are curtailed. There are very few exceptions. The Club never has scheduled two events of this size or larger at the same time. The following is a description of how traffic is managed for this size of event.

In the rare case where an event of this size happens when a la carte dining is taking place, event related vehicles are directed to the western entrance of the spa. This is accomplished by posting a security guard in front of the easterm part of the circle in the vicinity of the main door. The guard directs the guest to use the right fork and not go around the traffic circle on property by the main door. Guests are directed to pick up their vehicles in the same location where they were dropped off. This is identical to the method employed for larger events and explained later in this plan. However, only the main entrance and not the exit gate is used.

Two Special Detail Assignment police officers are hired to direct traffic during entering and exiting of vehicles. The Club will notify the Police Department at least one month in advance of any event, indicating number of guests expected, time and duration of the event. The Club will continue to pay for this service. The Police Department will assign the number of officers appropriate for this size of everat.

All stacking of vehicles is easily accommodated within the property and traffic is not disturbed on the adjacent public thoroughfares of South Ocean Boulevard or Southern Boulevard.

Limousines are handled through the main entrance gate off of South Ocean Boulevard. To avoid turning movement conflicts, stretch limousines are directed to turn right before reaching the main door. Longer limousines are redirected by Town Police to enter the main entrance of the property from the South to more swiftly maneuver into the property. Guests exit the vehicle and enter the main house from this location. The limousine driver stays with the vehicle until the guests are ready to leave the property. Other drop-offs, such as taxis, enter and leave the property in the usual way: They enter through the main entrance and leave through the exit drive on to South Ocean Boulevard.

Staffing for valet personnel begins at 7 people for an event of 200 and increases two people for every 100 person incremental increase in the number of guests. For example, a 400 person event would call for 11 valets. In the rare case when $a$ la carre service is offered, the Cub would have two additional valets at the main door to park and retrieve non-event related vehicles.

## Planned Traffic Management Procedures - Scenario \#3

Size Range: Events from 400 to 740 People

The following two tables represent the frequency of events of this size held at the MaresLago Club over the past two seasons, identify the event and specify the related attendance of each event.

|  | $1997-1998$ | $1998-1999$ | Total Events |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Events of 400 to 740 People | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| (\%) | $(2.9 \%)$ | $(2.9 \%)$ | $(2.6 \%)$ |
| Total Events | 171 | 173 | 344 |
| $(\%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ | $(100 \%)$ |


| Events: | Attendance |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cocktail Reception - October 1997 | 403 |
| Dinner Dance - October 1997 | 515 |
| Luncheon - Jaruary 1998 | 438 |
| Beach Boys - March 1998 | 505 |
| Tony Bennett - April 1998 | 400 |
| New Year's Eve Party - December 1998 | 644 |
| Jay Leno - February 1999 | 591 |
| Luncheon - March 1999 | 475 |
| Natalie Cole - March 1999 | 453 |
| Beach Boys - March 1999 | 520 |

Consistent with the previous 1997-1998 season, of all of the 173 events held at the Mar-a-Lago Club during the 1998-1999 season, only 5 fell within a range of 400 to 740 people. This represents a minimal $2.9 \%$ of all the events held at the Mar-a-Lago Club during this past season. None of the events approached the upper limit of the range. It should be noted that the Eyening with Jay Leno_ in association with the charitable event for CapCure and temnis tournament, held on Saturday, February 20, 1999 was one of the largest events of this scenario and did not approach the upper limit of this range. There were no traffic related problems reported that evening. Likewise, there were no traffic related problems reported the night of the New Year's Party 1998. Even so, traffic for these events was handled in a different way than the method described here. That method did not provide the safeguards of a new approach as detailed in this plan. This new method should alleviate all fears of traffic congestion on adjacent thoroughfares for future events of this size. No other events would be held concurrent with this size of event at the Club. However, there may be, and likely would be, activities designed to draw guests earlier to the event, rather than stipulate a specific time of arrival. This will be accomplished through special instructions given on the invitation, Club newsletters and/or at the time of placing the reservation. The following is a description of how traffic is handled for this size of event in the future with and without the addition of the new

Pavilion Building. The upper limit of this range, 740 persons, corresponds to the maximum occupancy of the Pavilion Building

In collaboration with Ms. Yvonne Ziel, P.E. and Joseph Pollock, P.E., both registered traffic engineers, certain characteristics which effect the processing of vehicles were assessed and applied to a formula. The formula, as contained within the ITE Transportation and Land Development, Applications for Queueing Analysis model, allowed predictions to made regarding the length of a vehicle queue for this size of event. The particulars of this formula are included as ATTACHMENT I. The equation includes the following assumptions:

- All traffic for a given event arrives within the span of one hour.
- Time needed for the valet team to process one vehicle is 25 seconds on average. This is identified as "Average Service Time" as used in the formula. Timing begins when the vehicle reaches the "Service Poim" and ends when the next car in line is ready to be serviced. Yerification of this rate was observed and recorded by Ms. Ziel at an actual event.
- Two lanes are used to process vehicles.
- Results are in terms of vehicles processed within an hour, with the resulting maximum queue.
- Based upon actual Club experience, average vehicle occupancy is 3 persons per vehicle for an event as observed by Ms. Ziel.
- Multiplying total number of vehicle trips by vehicle occupancy results in the maximum total number of guests for an event given these assumptions.
- Entry for an event of this size will be through both entrances off of South Ocean Boulevard. Town of Palm Beach Police direct southbound vehicles to use the exit gate and driveway in a "reverse flow" direction. (This eliminates queuing of Southbound event related traffic from South Ocean Boulevard and will allow more non-event. related traffic to pass with less interference.) Northbound event traffic will use the main entrance gate and be directed to do so by Town of Palm Beach Police. A small; but legible, temporary sign will be used to identify the entrance gate for Mar-a-Lago guests travelling south on South Ocean Boulevard.
- Drop-off of all guests is performed at the western spe entrance. Please refer to EXHIBIT B for a graphic representation of the entrance scheme for this scenario.
- Provisions will be made, upon request from the Police Department, that a portion of the traffic for events of this size enter through the Southem Boulevard Gate. These events will be identified well before the event through the advanced notification procedure enployed by the Club. If so directed, special instructions will be given by means of invitation and during the reservation confirmation process. Small, temporary signs will direct that traffic entering the Southem Boulevard Gate.
- Length of vehicle in queue is 25 feet.
- The resulting maximum queue, according to the results of the ITE formula, is 28 vehicles.
- The number of vehicles processed within an hour is 261.
- The total number of guests attending the event equals 783. This is shown in the primt out included as ATTACHMENT I.
- For ease of enforcement and programming of Club policy, the upper limit of this range is shown at 740, which ensures that the variables of the formula are intact during real world application.

To reiterate, all drop-offs for this size of event will be made at the western entrance of the spa, as indicated on EXHIBIT B. Vehicles will use both the entrance and exit drives off of South Ocean Boulevard. The small mumber of exiting vehicles (if any), during the period when vehicles are entering the property, will use the Southem Boulevard gate. Ownership of the properties east of the vacant lot along the south side of Woodbridge Road are owned by Mr. Donald J. Trump.

As vehicle queues build for an event of this size, the valet personnel will process 2 or 3 vehicles in each valet lane simultaneously. This will actually reduce the vehicle processing time to much less than the 25 second average observation as used in the equation. The 25 second service time of the equation is part of the conservative approach. From observation, this procedure allows the valet personnel to process more vehicles, reduce vehicle queues and minimize vehicle back-ups during peak period surges as they occur.

The Club will notify the Police Department at least one month in advance of any event, indicating number of guests expected, time and duration of the evem. The Club will continue to pay for this service. The Police Department will assign the number of officers appropriate for this size of event.

For this scenario, through notification by invitation and at the time of reservation, guests will be encouraged to arrive earlier than the stated time on the invitation. This will allow the guests a longer time to enjoy the beauty found at Mar-a-Lago. There will be, on occasion, programmed activities that will encourage guests to arrive earlier. This will primarily be employed for the larger events in this range.

Staffing for valet personnel for an event of 740 guests calls for a total of 18 people. A 400 person event calls for 11 valets. Thus, an increase of 2 valet staff is required for each 100 person incremental increase in the number of guests.

## Universal Procedures Related to Valet Parking Supervision aud Parking Space Allocation

These are universal Mar-a-Lago Club policies that govern the behavior and performance of the valet parking team. Valet parking staff is scheduled according to the demand expected for a given event. The size of the event dictates the mumber of people that will be utilized for that event as reflected in the procedures outlined in this Traffic Management Plan. There will always be one valet captain responsible for the performance of the valet parking team. It is that person's duty to see to it that no traffic problems are caused on the adjacent public thoroughfares. Furthermore, the Director of Operations and/or the Director of Security shall have overriding authority to ensure the performance of the valet parking staff remains at a level to maintain the Club's overall objective of not creating traffic congestion caused by its operation. Both the Director of Operations and/or the Director of Security shall take any and all actions necessary during the arrival or departure of guests to ensure that this objective is met.

The Club significantly exceeds the required number of parking spaces based upon its number of members. The property currently provides a total of 252 parking spaces. According to the Town of Palm Beach Zoning Code, the Maroa-Lago Chub could have : total of 1008 members based upon the total number of spaces offered. However, the Club membership currently stands: at 360 members and is currently limited by the Town of Paim Beach to a total membership of 500 . In the rare and infrequent large event where paved parking spaces are exhausted, remaining event related vehicles will be parked upon the golf course.
THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB - TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN

|  | Scemarto \#1 - Events mp to 199 | Scenmelo H2 $_{2}$ - Ivernte from 200 10399 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HISTORICAL FRRQUENCY - PERCRNT OFAlL. EVENTS | 83\% | 14\% | 2.6\% |
| POINIS OF 是NIRY | South Ocesn Bivd Entrance Gate | South Ocean Blvd. Entrance Gate | South Oceam Bivd. Entrance and Exil Gates, Sousthem Boulevard Gates (if requested) |
| VRIHICLI DROP-OFT POINT | Main Entrance Door | Main Entrance Door | Weslem Spa Entrance, Southem Boulevard Gave (if requested) |
| VRHICESPICK UPPOINT | Main Door | Main Door | Msin Door |
| POMNSOREXT | South Ocean Bliwd Exit Gate | Sorth Ocean Blyd. Exit Gate | South Ocean Blva. Exit Gate |
| LUNGTH OF VKHICLE QUEUE ON PROPERTY | 18 Vehicles | 18 Vehicles | 28 Vehicles |
| POLICE DEPARTMENT NOTIBICATHON | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| SPECLAL DETARL ASSIGNMENT PORFCE PRESENT | No | Yes | Yes |
| NON-RVENT CLUE ORERATIONS | Yes | Yes, rare | No |
| OTHEE CONCURRENT RVENTS | Yes, limited | No | No |
| PREPOST KVMNT ENTICEMENTS | No | No | Yes |
| VALETSTAFYING | 2108 | 71011 | 11 to 18 |
| SPECIAL LIMOUSINE HANDLING | No | Yer | Yev |
| TEMPORARY SIGNAGS | No | No | Yes |
| USR OF EAST SOUTHIERN RLVD. GATE | No | No | At the direction of Police. Departusert |
| SPECLAL INVITATKONS AND RESERYATIONS FOR SOUTHERN BEVD. | No | No | Al the direction of Police Departroczat |
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PROJECT: MAR A LAGO- OCEAN 8OULEVARD DATE: $20-\mathrm{May-99}$
QUEUEING*
ASSUMPTIONS:
PERCENT OF PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC TRAFFIC
AVERAGE SERVICE TIME: AVERAGE SERVICE TIME:
STEADY STATE PROBABILTTY THAT MAXIMUM QUEUE WILL NOT BE EXCEEDED (P) NUMBER OF SERVICE POINT

25 SECONDS 0.05 (95\%) 2

UTILIZATION FACTOR ( $p$ )=ENTERING TRAFFIC(VPH)(SERVICE RATE(NPH) OF SERVICE POINTS)
SERVICE RATE=UTILIZATION FACTOR WHEN ONLY ONE SERVICE POINT IS PRESENT MORE THAN ONE SERVICE POINT SEE TABLE 8-11 PG 239

MAXIMUM QUEUE=\{LN(P)-LN(SERVICE POINT SERVICE RATE-Om)/LN(UTILIZATION FATOR-D) -1

TOTAL CARS:
SERVICE RATE:

CALCULATIONS
UTILIZATION FACTOR (p): Ome
MAXIMUM QUEUE OCCUPANTS PER VEHICLE: TOTAL GUESTS:

261 VPH
144 VPH
-USING ITE TRANSPORTATION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT, APPLICATIONS OF QUEUEING ANALYSIS. PAGE 239





I certify this document to be a true copy of the record in my office this THIRTIETH day of DECEMBER, 1999

CFN 20040705575 OR BK 1788G PG 1415
THIS INSTRUMENT WAS PREPARED BY:
Raymond W. Royce, Esq.
PLEASE RECORD AND RETURN TO:
Raymond W. Royce, Esq.
Holland \& Knight LLP
222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 1000
West Palm Beach, FL 33401

Palm Beach County, Florida Dorothy H Wilken, Clerk of Court Pge 1415-1425; (11pge)

THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH and
THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, L.L.C.

Dated: December $\xrightarrow{2}, 2004$

## THIRD AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT

## THIS THIRD AMENDMENT OF DECLARATION OF USE AGREEMENT

 ("Third Agreement") is made and entered into this 13 仵 day of December, 2004 by and between THE TOWN OF PALM BEACH, a Florida municipal corporation, 360 South County Road, Palm Beach, Florida 33480 (herein called "Town") and THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB, L.L.C., a limited liability company, organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, c/o Raymond W. Royce, Esquire, Holland \&Knight LLP, 222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 1000, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (herein called the "Club").
## WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the Town, Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. and Donald J. Trump heretofore entered into a Declaration of Use Agreement recorded in Official Records Book 7933, Page 22, and Official Records Book 8691, Page 802, of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida (hereinafter called "Declaration"); and

WHEREAS, the Town, the Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. and Donald J. Trump thereafter entered into an Amendment of Declaration of Use Agreement, recorded in Official Records Book 11492, Page 1762, of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida ("First Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, the Town, and the Mar-a-Lago Club LLC thereafter entered into a Second Amendment of Declaration of Use Agreement recorded in Official Records Book 14327, Page 0233 of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida ("Second Amendment"); and

WHEREAS, on April 13, 2004 the Town Council held a public hearing on Special Exception \#11-2004 with Site Plan Review and conditionally approved a number of changes for the Club, including demolition of the existing slat house building, construction of a new kitchen
building, construction of a covered breezeway between the kitchen and the ballroom building, construction of another portable stage within the pavilion building, increase in the size of the loggia on the north side of the pavilion building by 15 feet for a total increase of 720 square feet, relocation of the air conditioning chiller and modification of paragraph " $m$ " of Exhibit C to the First Amendment to eliminate the limitation on the number of events at the cabanas and to allow the Club to provide food and barbeque grill service at the cabanas; and

WHEREAS, on May 11, 2004, the Town Council held a public hearing and clarified and • confirmed that the approval of the separate kitchen building to serve the ballroom was for a building of 60 feet by 61.25 feet, for a total of 3,675 square feet; and

WHEREAS, on August 10, 2004, the Town Council held a public hearing and agreed to an amendment of the conditionally approved site plan for the club to provide for a new driveway and drop off area on the north side of the new pavilion building.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises set forth herein and in consideration of other good and valuable considerations, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, it is agreed that the Declaration of Use Agreement, Amendment of Declaration of Use Agreement and Second Amendment of Declaration of Use Agreement are hereby amended and modified as follows:

1. All of the recitals set forth above are true and correct and are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
2. A new kitchen building measuring 60 feet by 61.25 feet for a total of 3,675 square feet may be constructed and the kitchen building may be connected to the pavilion building by a coyered breezeway, all as shown on Exhibit A, attached hereto and made a part hereof.
3. The pavilion building may be increased in size by the expansion of the loggia on the north side of the pavilion building by 15 feet for a total of 720 square feet as shown on Exhibit B, attached hereto and make a part hereof.
4. A new driveway, and drop off and pick up area may be constructed on the north side of the pavilion building as shown on Exhibit C, attached hereto and made a part hereof provided that low level lighting, acceptable to the Town, is installed to clearly identify the new driveway and access roads.
5. Paragraph " $m$ " of Exhibit $C$ to the First Amendment is hereby amended as follows:
A. The following sentence is deleted:
"There shall be no more than six (6) events, occurring not less than one month apart."
B. The following sentence is added:
"The Club may provide food and barbeque grill service at the cabanas."

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:


TOWN OF PALM BEACH




## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $13^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2004, by Lesly S. Smith, the Mayor of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. She is personally known to me or has produced as identification and who did not take an oath. -


## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 13 day of December, 2004, by Allen Wyett, President of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced
$\qquad$ as identification and who did not take an oath.
[SEAL]


## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $\angle 3$ day of December, 2004, by Peter Elwell, the Manager of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced
$\qquad$ as identification and who did not take an oath.


Signature of Notary Public

## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $13^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2004, by Robert L. Moore, the Director of Planning, Zoning and Building of the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced LNOHN as identification and who did not take an oath.
[SEAL]


## STATE OF FLORIDA

## COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 8 day of December, 2004, by John C. Randolph, Esq., the Attorney for the Town of Palm Beach, a Florida municipal corporation, on behalf of the corporation. He is personally known to me or has produced $\qquad$ as identification and who did not take an oath.


Signature of Notary Public
[SEAL]

Sally Stroh Macias MYCOMMISSION\# DD125378 EXPIRE

August 18, 2006
bONDED THRU TROY FAN :ISURANCE, INC

Sallystroh mrtits Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No.DD/25378 Commission Expires: $8 / 18 / 06$

## STATE OF NEW YORK

## COUNTY OF NEW YORK

The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this 2nd day of December, 2004, by Donald J. Trump, as Managing Member of the Mar-a-Lago Club, L.Lon a Delaware limited liability company, on behalf of the company He is personally known to me of has produced $\qquad$ as idenfification and wordid not take-an-ath

Signature of Notary Public
[SEAL]
Printed Name of Notary Public
Commission No. $\qquad$
Commission Expires: $\qquad$

## RECOMMEND APPROVAL:






## Holland +Knight



December 14, 2004
Palm Beach Town Hall
Attention: Paul Castro, Director of Zoning
360 South County Road
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Dear Mr. Castro:
Enclosed please find a certified copy of the Third Amendment of Declaration of Use Agreement by the Town of Palm Beach and the Mar-a-Lago Club, LLC. Note that the certification is on the last page of the document. Mr. Royce asked me to forward it to you for your records.

Should you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact our office.
Sincerely yours,
HOLLAND \& KNIGHT LLP
susa si Marous
Susan G. Marocco, Assistant to Raymond W. Royce

RWR:sgm
Enclosure
\# 2466739_v1

## Holland + Knight



Holland \& Knight L.LP 222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 1000 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 www.hklaw.com

Raymond W. Royce
5616508316
ray.royce@hklaw.com

December 20, 2004
Palm Beach Town Hall
Attention: Paul Castro, Director of Zoning
360 South County Road
Palm Beach, FL 33480
Dear Mr. Castro:
As per your request, enclosed please find an original certified copy of the Third Amendment of Declaration of Use Agreement by the Town of Palm Beach and the Mar-a-Lago Club, LLC. Note that the certification is on the last page of the document.

Should you have any questions, do not hesitate to contact our office.
Sincerely yours,
HOLLAND \& KNIGHT LLP


Susan G. Marocco, Assistant to Raymond W. Royce

RWR:sgm
Enclosure
\# 2479558_v1

Please record and return to:
Paul Rampell, Esq.
Suite 202
125 Worth Avenue
Palm Beach, FL 33480-4466

Property Control No.
50-43-43-35-00-002-0390
Grante Tax I.D. No.
53-0210807
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## DEED OF CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT

## TIIIS GRANT DEED OF CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT' is

 made by DONALD J. TRUMP, a resident of New York, who with his successors in tille to all or any portion of the Property as hereinafter defined is hercin referred to as "Grantor," in favor of the NATIONAL TIRUST FOR IHISTORIC PRESERVATION IN TIIE UNITED STATES, a nom-profit charitable corporation chartered under an Act of Congress, 16 U.S.C. Section 468-468(d), with a business address at 1785 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, herein referred to as "Grantee," and Union Labor Life Insurance Company, with a business address at 111 Massachusetts Avenuc, N.W., Washington, DC 20001 herein referred to as "l.cnder."WIIEREAS, Grnntor is the owner in fee simple of certain real property known as Mar-i-Lago (the "l'roperty") in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, totalling 17 acres more or less, more particularly described in Exhibit A, attached hereto nud incorporated herein by this reference; and

S1S 3/21/105

## D360-5

WIIEREAS, Mar-a-Lago is listed in the United States Department of the Interior's National Register of Historic Places and has been declared by the Secretary of the Interior to be of mational significance and designated by Act of Congress as a National Historic Landmark; and

WIIEREAS, Mar-a-Lago is regularly viewed by thousands of residents of Palm Bench and counlless tourists to the Palm Beach aren, from Ocean Boulevard, from Lake Worth, and from the Southern Boulevard Bridge; and

WHEREAS, many features of Mar-a-Lago, hereinafler collectively the "Critical
lieatures," more particularly described in Exhibit B, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, including the main house (the "Mansion") and a number of rooms therein, certain of the surrounding structures and improvements, and vistas from the Mansion, possess significant architectural, historic, scenic, and open space values of great importance to Grantor, to Grantee, and to the people of the Town of Palm Beach, the State of Florida, and the United States of America; and

WIIEREAS, additional structures on those portions of the Property not included within the Critical Features may adversely impact the architectural, historic, scenic, and open space values of the Critical Features; and

WIIEREAS, the specific architectural, historic, scenic, and open space values of the Critical l'eatures are documented in a report, a counterpart to be kept on file at the offices of each of Grantor and Grantee and incorporated herein by this reference, which documentation ("Baseline Documentation") the parties agree provides an accurate representation of the Critical features and the Property as of the effective date of this grant and which is intended to serve as im objective information baseline for monitoring compliance with the terms of this gramt. In the
event of any discrepancy between the counterparts produced, the counterpart retained by Grantee shall control; and

WIIEREAS, the Baseline Documentation shall consist of the following: Ilistoric American Buildings Survey No. FLA-195, by the National Park Service's Office of Archeology and Ilistoric I'reservation; Review and Comment by Clarion Associates, Inc., Decker and Kemp and Glenn Ilerbert (1991); The Mar-A-Lago Club. ASpecial Exeeption Use and Preseriation Dam by Eugene Lawrence, Joseph B. Pollock, Jr. and Paul Rampell, Esq. (1993), as the same may be amended from time to time (the "Plan"), including all references in the Bibliography included therein; the value ratio referenced in paragraph 12.1; and a comprehensive photographic survey of Mar-a-Lago by Grantec; and

WIIEREAS, Grantor intends, as owner of the Property, to convey to Granice the right to preserve and protect the Critical Features in perpetuity; and

WIIEREAS, Grantee is a publicly supported, tax-exempt, non-profit organization whose primary purposes are to facilitate public participation in the preservation of sites, buildings, and objects of mational significance and to receive donations of sites, buildings and objects significant in the history and culture of the United States; and

WHIEREAS, Grantee represents that Grantec is a "qualified conservation organization," as that term is defined in Section 170(h) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and The regulations thereunder (the "Code"); and

WIIEREAS, Grantee has received a letter from the Internal Revenue Scrvice, dated October 20,1970, on file at the offices of Grantec, to the effect that Grantec is not a private finmation within the meaning of Section 509(a) of the Code; and

## D360-7

WIIEREAS, Grantor and Grantec recognize the architectural, historic, scenic, and open character of the Critical Features, and have the common purpose of the conservation, preservation, and protection in perpetuity of the Critical Features through the use of restrictive covenants and with the transfer from Grantor to Grantee of affirmative rights for the protection of the Crilical leatures, intending the grant of such restrictive covenants and rights to qualify as a "qualified conservation contribution" as that term is defined under Section 170(h)(2)(C) of the Code;

WIIEREAS, Grantor and Grantee shall use all reasonable efforts to make any determinations that are necessary or are contemplated to be made by them (either separately or jointly) under this Easement (as hereinafer defined) and shall cooperate with one another and shall take all oher reasonable action suitable to that end; and

WIIEREAS, Grantee shall evaluate Grantor's requests under this Easement based on its good laith excreise of professional judgment;

NOW, THEREFORE, KNOW ALL MEN BY TIIESE PRESENTS, in consideration of the above and the mutual covenants, terms, conditions, and restrictions contained herein, and pursuant to Section 170(h) of the Code and the laws of the State of Florida, in particular Section 704.06, BloridaStatules, Grantor hereby voluntarily grants and conveys to Grantee and its suceessors and permitted assigns a conservation and preservation casement (the "Easement") in perpetuity with respect to the Critical Fealures and the Property of the nature and charicter and to the extent hereinafter set forth. Grantor herein declares that the Property shall be heid, transfurred, sold, conveyed, used, and occupied subject to the covenants, conditions, restrictions, and easements hereinalier set forth, which covenants, conditions, restrictions, and easements

- shall constitute restrictive covenants and shall be deemed to run with the land in perpetuity and to burden the Critical Fentures and the Property in perpetuity.


## RURPOSE

1. Burnosc. It is the purpose of this Easement to assure that the Crilical Fentures will be relained forever predominantly in their historic, scenic, and open space condition for conservation and preservation purposes.

## gllantouis covenants

## 2. Coyemant to Maintain.

2.1 In.General. Subject to the provisions of paragraples 5, 6, and 7:
(a) Grantor agrees at all times to maintain the Critical Features in substantially the form and condition existing on the effective date of this Easement as documented in the Bascline Documentation. Grantor's obligation to mainlain shall require replacement, rebuilding, repair, and reconstruction by Grantor whenever reasonably necessary to preserve the Critical Fealures in substantially the form and condition, and with substantially similar materials, and, as appropriate, with substantially similar plantings, vegetation, and natural sereening, to that existing on the effective date of this Easement. Grantor's obligation to maintain shall also inelude the obligation to keep the landscape and flower and vegetable beds regularly tended, lavns mowed, and vegetation pruned and cut back as necessary, and the Property protected against crosion from Lake Worth.
(b) All maintenance, repair, rehabilitation, or other work performed on the Critical Features shall be performed strictly according to the Standards for Rehabilitation and Guidelines for Rechabilitaling Historic Buildings (36 CFR 67) of the United States Department of the Interior, as the same may be amended from time to time (the "Standards for Rehabilitation").
2.2 Deohibiled Activities. The following acts or uses are expressly forbidden on, over, or under the Property:
(a) demolition, removal, or razing the Critical Features except as otherwise regulated or permitted in this Easement;
(b) constructing or erecting new buildings and structures within and upon such areas defined and described as Critical Features, including by example but not limited to satellite receiving dishes, camping accommodations, mobile homes, and permanent structures, except for temporary purposes pursuant to paragraph 5.1 (I) hereof;
(c) displaying or placing signs, billboards, or advertisements on the Property and its Critical Features except as specifically provided at paragraph 3(c) hereof and to identify the Properly or its owner; and
(d) dumping ashes, trash, rubbish or any other unsightly or offensive materials on the Property, except the temporary stonge of waste generated by permitted netivities and uses at the Property is permitted.

## HGIMS OP GRANTEE; ACCESS

3. Affirmative Bighls of Gramlec. Grantor hereby grants the following rights to

Gramte:
(a) to prevent Grantor or third persons (whether or not claiming by, through, or under Grantor) from conducting any activity or use with respect to the Critical Fentures that is incousistent with the purpose of this Easement, and to require of Grantor or third persons the rephacement, or the restoration to the extent practicable, of such Critical Fentures that may be damaged by any inconsistent activity or use;
(b) upon fourteen (14) days' prior notice to Grantor, and without unreasonably interfering with Grantor's use and quict enjoyment of the Property as restricted by this Easement, to enter upon the Property at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner in order to monitor Grantor's compliance with and olherwise enforce the terms of this Easement, and further provided that in the absence of evidence which gives Grantec a reasonable basis to believe there has been deterioration of the Mansion Rooms, as the Mansion Rooms are identified in Exhibit B, or a violation of the provisions of this Easement with respect to the Mansion Rooms (which evidence shall be made available to Grantor), inspection of the interior of the Mansion shall oceur not more often than amually at times mutually agreed upon by Grantor and Grantce. The rights granted in this paragraph 3(b) shall generally be exercised by Grantec's employees, members of Grantec's Board of Trustees, and members of Grantee's Board of Advisors but may be delegated to Grantec's contractors and to employees of any organization qualified under Section $170(h)$ of the Code as a "qualified conservation organization" and qualified under the Laws of the State of Florida as an organization eligible to receive this Easement directly. Notwithstanding the provisions of the preceding sentence, delegation under this paragraph 3(b) to agents, trustees, and employees of the Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, Inc., or the Palm Beach Civic Association, Inc., or their successors, during such time as Donald J. Trump is living
and not under legal disability and is the owner of the Property or all of, or a majority interest in, any entity which then is the owner of the Property, shall be subject to the prior written approval of Donald J. Trump, which approval may be withheld at the sole discretion of Donald J. Trump;
(c) to enforce this Easement in the case of breaches by Grantor or by third persons (whether or not claiming by, through, or under Grantor) by appropriate legal proceedings, after providing Grantor with at least sixty (60) days' notice and opportunity to cure;
(d) to obtain injunctive and other equitable relief against any violations, including without limitation relief requiring removal of offending structures and vegetation and other restoration of the Critical Features to the condition that existed prior to any such violation (it being agreed that Grantec will have no adequate remedy at law). In the event Grantee seeks legal, injunctive, or other equitable relief, Grantee shall not be required to post bond and Grantee slall not be required to demonstrate irreparable harm or injury to secure such legal, injunetive, or other equitable relief;
(c) to secure, perfect, confirm, and foreclose any lien authorized under this Latement in the same manner as a construction lien; and
(I) to provide and maintain two plaques on the Property, which may be placed on the exterior and interior of the Mansion or elsewhere on the Property, each of which shall not exceed 24 inches by 24 inches in size, wilh notice of the historic and architectural significance of the Property and its structures and the existence of this Conservation and Preservation Easement.

### 3.1 Aels Beyoud Grantor's Control. Nothing contained in this Easement shall be

 construed to entitle Grantec to bring any action against Grantor for any injury to or changes inthe Critical Fealures or the Property resulting from causes beyond Grantor's control, including, wilhout limitation, acts of trespassers, fire, flood, windstorm, hurricane, earth movement, and Hee disease, or from any prudent action taken by Grantor under emergency conditions to prevent, abate, or mitigate significant injury to the Critical Features or the Property resulting from such causes. Notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing herein shall preclude Grantor's and Grantec's rights to pursue any third party for damages to the Critical Features or the Property from vandalism, trespass, or any violation of the terms of this Easement.
3.2 Compliance Certificates. Upon request by Grantor, Grantee shall within thirty (30) days execule and deliver to Grantor at Grantor's request a written document, including an estoppel certificate or compliance certificate, substantially in the form of Exhibit C, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, to certify to the best of Grantec's knowledge Grantor's compliance with any obligation of Grantor contained in this Easement, or otherwise to evidence the status of this Easement to the extent of Grantec's knowledge thereor.
4. Aecess. No right of access to any portion of the Property is conveyed by this Easement, except as expressly provided in paragraph 3 and this paragraph 4.
4.1 Aecess for Viewing and Study. Grantor hereby agrecs to hold open the Property under the following terms and conditions:
(a) Grantor hereby agrees to hold open the Property (not including the interior of the Mimsion) to viewing by not more than 100 visitors from the public (who olherwise have no legal ownership or use rights with respect to the Property) one day each year.
(b) Grantor hereby further agrees to hold open the Property, including the interior of the Mansion, not less than one additional day each year to viewing by not more than twenty (20) visitors (who otherwise have no legal ownership or use rights with respect to the Property) on each visit, for the purpose of viewing and studying the historic and architectural characteristics of the Property.
(c) Grantor agrees not to obstruct the view of the Mansion from Occan Boulevard, Lake Worth, and the Southern Boulevard Bridge.

## GBANTOR'S RICIITS

## 5. Grintor's lBights.

5.1 Bights Not Requiring Further Approval by Grantec. The following rights, uses, and activities of or by Grantor on, over, or under the Property are permitted by this Lasement and by Grantee without further approval by Grantee:
(a) the right to engage in those acts or uses permitted by governmental statute or regulation that are not expressly prohibited or regulated by this Easement;
(b) the right to perform work, exercise the rights and privileges contemplated by, and engage in those uses of the Property permitted by the Plan and by the Declaration of Use Agreement (the "Declaration") dated August 10, 1993, between the Town of Palm Bench, The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc., and Grantor, recorded in the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida, in Official Record Book 7933, Page 22, as the Plan and/or the Declaration may be amended from time to time, provided that (i) such uses are not specifically prohibited or
regulated by this Easement, and (ii) such amendment(s) to the Plan and/or Declaration are not inconsistent with the purpose of this Easement;
(c) pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 2.1, the right to maintain and repair the Critical Features strictly according to the Standards for Rehabilitation, As used in this paragraph 5.1 (c), the right to maintain and repair shall mean the use by Grantor of in-kind materials and colors, applied with workmanship comparable to that which was used in the construction or application of those materials being repaired or maintained, for the purpose of relaining in good condition the appearance and construction of the Property. The right to maintain and repair as used in this paragraph 5.1 (c) shall not include the right to make changes in appearance, materials, colors, and workmanship from that existing prior to the maintenance and repair;
(d) after consultation with Grantee before construction of the modifications has commenced, the right to modify the Critical Features as necessary to conform to the Code of Ordinances of the Town of Palm Beach, provided that such modification is not in response to a request or application by Grantor to the Town of Palm Beach (i) to replace, alter, remodel, rehabilitate, enlarge, or remove, or change the appearance, materials, or colors of, any of the Critical Features (collectively, an "alteration of the Critical Features"), or (ii) for a change in the use of the Property that would require an alteration of the Critical Fealures;
(c) subject to the preservation of the Open Vistas, as the Open Vistas are itemitied in Exhibit B, the right to restore, enhance, upgrade, or alter from time to time the golf course and putting green, identified in Exhibit B; and
( $)$ the right to erect reasonably necessary temporary structures in comection wilh any permitted activities at or on the Properly.

### 5.2 Conditional Rights Requiring Approval by Grantec. The following rights,

 uses, and activities of or by Grantor on, over, or under the Property are permitted by this l:asement only with the prior written approval of Grantee, which approval may be withheld or conditioned in Grantee's sole discretion:(a) subject to the provisions of paragraph 6 , the right to replace, alter, remodel, rehabilitate, enlarge, or remove, and change the appearance, materials, topography, and colors of, any of the Critical Features;
(b) subject to the provisions of paragraph 6, the right to construct new permanent structures on those portions of the Property that are not attached to, a part of, or contained within the Critical Features, including but not limited to appurtenant docks or wharves, and additions thereto; and
(c) subject to the provisions of paragraph 6, the right to divide or subdivide the Property.
6. Bevicivof Grantor's Propesals. In connection with the conditional rights reserved under paragraphs 5.2 and 7, Grantor shall submit to Grantee for Grantec's approval two copies of information (including plans, specifications, and designs when approprinte) identifying the proposed activity with reasonable specificity. In connection therewith, Grantor shall also submit to Grantec a timetable for the proposed activity sufficient to permit Grantee to monitor such activity, and shall notify Grantee in writing of any change to the timetable. Within 30 (thirty) days of Grante's reccipt of any plan or request for approval hereunder, Grantec shall
certily in writing that (a) it approves the plan or request, or (b) it disapproves the plan or request as submitted, in which case Grantee shall provide Grantor with written suggestions for modification or a written explanation for Grantee's disapproval. Grantor may resubmit to Grantec revised information (including plans, specifications, and designs when appropriate) that incorporates Grantee's proposed modifications or may submit entirely new information with a new timetable, if appropriate. Any failure by Grante to act within 30 (thirty) days of receipt of Grantor's submission or resubmission of plans or requests shall be deemed to constitute approval by Grantee of the plan or request as submitted and to permit Grantor to undertake the proposed activity in accordance with the plan or request submitted. In exercising review authority, Grantee shall apply the Standards for Rehabilitation. With respect to new proposed permanent structures on those portions of the Property that are not attached to, a part of, or contained within the Critical Features, Grantee's review authority shall be limited to considerations of size, scale, color, and archilectural features as these may physically or visually impact the Critical Fealures.
7. Casually Damage or Destruction. Notwithstanding any other provision herein to the contrary, in the event of damage or destruction to the Critical Features, in whole or in part, caused by fire, flood, windstorm, hurricane, earth movement, or other casualty:
(a) (i) Grantor and Grantee may agrec on plans and specifications for rehabilitation, restoration, removal, or replacement of the Critical Features in accordance with the provisions of paragraph 6, and Grantor shall pay the cost of such rehabilitation or other work, but in no event shall Grantor be liable for an amount exceeding the amount of the casualty insurance proceeds recoverable by Grantor as a result of such damage or destruction; or (ii) Grantor and Gramter may agree that the purpose of this Easement has been rendered impossible to accomplish,
and may join in an action to extinguish this Easement in whole or in part, subject to the provisions of paragraph 12.
(b) Notwithstanding any other provision herein, in the event that the Mansion is destroyed or substantially destroyed by casualty damage or destruction as determined and agreed to by Grantor and Grantee, Grantor shall have no obligation to rebuild the Mansion. In such event Grantor and Grantee herein agree to join in an action to extinguish this Ensement, subject to the provisions of paragraph 12.

## COSTS; INDEMNLELCATION; INSULANCE

8. Cosls_Liabilities_and Taxes. Grantor retains all responsibilities and shall bear all costs and liabilities of any kind related to the ownership, operation, upkeep, and maintenance of the Property, including the maintenance of general liability insurance coverage as set forth in paragraph 9 below, except as provided in paragraph 8.1 with respect to the payment of enforcement costs.
8.1 Enforcement Costs. In connection with any action to enforce the terms of this Fasement, the prevailing party shall be entitled to costs of suit, including reasonable altorncys', architectural, engincering, and expert witness fees and disbursements. In the event Grantee is entitled to such costs of suit, such costs, until discharged, shall constitute a lien on the Property wilh the same effect and priority as a construction lien.
8.2 Indemnification. Grantor hereby agrees to indemnify, hold harmless, and defend at it own cost and expense, Grantee, its agents, truslees, employees, and independent contractors, from and against any and all claims, liabilities, expenses, costs, damages, losses, and
expenditures (including reasomable attorneys' fees and disbursements hereafter incurred) arising out of or in comection with injury to or the death of any person, or physical damage to the Property, or other injury or other damage occurring on or about the Property, unless such injury or damage is caused by Grantee or any agent, trustee, employee, or contractor of Grantec. If any action or proceding shall be brought against Grantee or any agent, trustec, employec, or contractor of Grantee, based upon any such claim, and if Grantor, upon notice thereof from Grantee, shall cause such action or proceeding to be defended at Grantor's expense by counsel satisfactory to Grantec, Grantor shall not be required to indemnify Grantee, or any agent, trustee, employec, or contractor of Grantee, for additional attorneys' fees and disbursements in comection with such action or proceeding. In the event Grantor is required to indemnify Grantec, the amount of such indemnity shall constitute a lien on the Property with the same effect and priority as a construction lien.
9. Insurance. Grantor at Grantor's sole expense shall keep those portions of the interior and exterior of the Mansion and Property Manager's Complex identified as Critical Features insured against loss from fire and other casualties, including extended coverage and all risk insurance, with change in condition and building ordinance coverage, in form and amount sufficient in all events to replace fully the damaged Critical Features without cost or expense to Grantor or contribution or coinsurance from Grantor. The balance of the Mansion and Property Manager's Complex shall be kept insured against loss from fire and other casualties as would commonly be covered in connection with historic property in the Town of Palm Beach under Forida standard fire and extended coverage policies in an amount equal to their fair market values. All such insurance shall provide for at least thirty (30) days' notice to Grantec before
cancellation or material change by the insurance carrier. Grantor shall deliver to Grantec, wilhin ten (10) business days of Grantee's written request therefor, cerifientes of such insurance coverage.

## ASSIGNMENTBY GBANTEE

## 10. Assigment by Granice.

10.1 In General. The benefits of this Easement shall be in gross. This Easement shall be assignable by Grantec provided that (a) as a condition of any assignment, Grantec requires that the purpose of this Easement continues to be carried out; and (b) the assignee, selected by Grantec in its sole discretion, at the time of assignment qualifies under Section 170(h) of the Code as a "qualified conservation organization" and qualifies under the laws of the State of Florida as an eligible donce to receive this Easement directly. Notwillistanding the provisions of the preceding sentence, assignment under the provisions of this paragraph 10.1 to the Preservation Foundation of Palm Beach, Inc., or the Palm Beach Civic Association, Inc., or their successors, during such time as Donald J. Trump is living and not under legal disability and is the owner of the Property or all of, or a majority interest in, any entity which then is the owner of the Property, shall be subject to the prior written approval of Donald J. Trump, which approval may be wilhheld at the sole discretion or Donald J. Trump. Without limiting Grantec's discretion under this paragraph 10.1, Grantee agrees to notify Grantor in writing at Ieast sixty (60) days prior to any assignment or proposed assignment of this Ensement under this paragraph 10.1.
10.2 Grantor's Right to Request Assignment. Notwithstanding the provisions of paragraph 10.1, Grantor may submit to Grantee a request for assignment of this Easement to a
"qualified conservation organization," as that term is defined in Section 170(h) of the Code, designated in writing by Grantor. After Grantec's written approval of such request for assignment, which approval may be withheld in Grante's sole discretion, Grantee as promptly as practicable will assign by recorded instrument substantially in the form of Exhibit D , attached hercto and incorporated herein by this reference, all the right, title, and interest of Grantee under this Easement (together with the original total of those funds, excluding accrued earnings, if any, transferred to Grantee by Grantor as an easement administration endowment fund pursuant to a Lelter dated March 15, 1994, from Grantee to Grantor, less any amounts expended by Grantee directly in connection with its administration of this Easement, all of which funds shall be separately accounted for by Grantee). The instrument of assignment shall contain provisions whereby the assignce aflimatively accepts assigmment, expressly represents that it is qualified to accept assignment under the conditions of this paragraph 10.2 (which conditions will be incorporated in their entirety in the instrument of assignment), and assumes the obligations on the part of Grantee to be performed under this Easement. The right to request assignment reserved in this paragraph 10.2 shall (a) be exercisable only by Donald J. Trump during such time as Donald J. Trump is living and not under any legal disability and is the owner of the Property or all of, or a majority interest in, any entity which then is the owner of the Property; and (b) not be exercisable if Grantor has received written notice from Grantee of a claimed violation of the terms of this Easement and such matter remains unresolved or if there is a judicial action or proceeding (brought by Grantee) pending against Grantor to enforce the terms of this Easement.

## AMENDMENT: EXTINGUSIIMENT

11. Limitations on Amendment. If circumstances arise under which an amendment to or modification of this Easement would be appropriate, Grantor and Grantee may by mutual wrilten agreement jointly amend this Easement, provided that no amendment shall be made that will adversely affect the qualification of this Easement or the status of Grantee under any applicable laws, including Sections $170(\mathrm{~h})$ and $501(\mathrm{c})(3)$ of the Code and the laws of the State of Florida. Any such amendment shall be consistent with the purpose of this Easement; shall not affect its perpetual duration; shall not permit any private inurement to any person or entity; and shall not adversely impact the overall architectural, historic, scenic, and open space values protected by this Easement. Any such amendment shall be recorded in the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida. Nothing in this paragraph shall require Grantor or Grantec to agree to any amendment or to consult or negotiate regarding any amendment.
12. Exinguishmenf. If circumstances arise in the future that render the purpose of this Easement impossible or impractical to accomplish, this Easement can be terminated or extinguished, whether with respect to all or part of the Critical Features or the Property, only by judicial proceedings brought by Grantor or Grantee in a court of competent jurisdiction. Unless otherwise required by applicable law at the time, in the event of any sale of all or a portion of the Property (or any other properly received in connection with an exchange or involuntary conversion of the Property) after such termination or extinguishment, and after the satisfaction of prior chaims and net of any costs or expenses associated with such sale, Grantor and Grantec shall divide the proceeds from such sale (minus any amount attributable to the value of improvements made by Grantor afler the effective date of this Easement, which amount is reserved to Grantor)
in accordance wilh their respective percentage interests in the fair market value of the Property, as such percentage interests are determined under the provisions of paragraph 12.1, adjusted, if necessary, to reflect a partial termination or extinguishment of this Easement. All such procecds received by Grantee shall be used by Grantee in a manner consistent wilh Grantec's primary purposes. This paragraph shall survive any extinguishment of the Easement and, in the event of extinguishment, is intended to constitute a lien against the Property.
12.1 Rercentage Interests. For purposes of allocating proceeds pursuant to this paragraph 12, the parties hereto stipulate that as of the effective date of this grant the Easement and the restricted fee interest in the Property each represent a percentage interest in the fair market value of the Property. Said percentage interests shall be determined by the ratio of the value of the Easement on the effective date of this grant to the value of the Property, without deduction for the value of the Easement, on the effective date of this grant. The values on the effective date of this grant shall be those values prescribed by federal regulation, including the value allowed as a deduction for federal income tax purposes attributable to this Easement. The parties shall include the ratio of those values with the Baseline Documentation (on file at Cirantor's and Grantec's offices) and shall amend such values, if necessary, to reflect any final determination thercof by the Internal Revenue Service or court of competent jurisdiction. For purposes of this paragraph, the ratio of the value of the Easement to the value of the Property unencumbered by the Easement shall remain constant, and the percentage interests of Grantor and Cirntee in the fair market value of the Property thereby determinable shall remain constant.

### 12.2 Condemnation. If all or any part of the Property is taken under the power of

 eminent domain by public, corporate, or other authority, or otherwise acquired by such authoritythrough a purchase in lieu of a taking, Grantor and Grantee shall respectively be entilled io compensation in accordance with their respective percentage interests in the fair market value of the Property, as determined under the provisions of paragraph 12.1.

## GENERAL PROYISIONS

## 13. General Provisions.

13.1 Controlling Law. The interpretation and performance of this Easement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Florida.
13.2 Consiruction. Any general rule of construction to the contrary notwithstanding, this Easement shall be construed broadly to effect the purpose of this Easement and the policy and purposes of Grantee. If any provision in this instrument is found to be ambiguous, an interpretation consistent with the purpose of this Easement that would render the provision valid shall be favored over any interpretation that would render it invalid.
13.3 Seyerability. If any provision of this Easement or the application thereof to any person or circumstance is found to be invalid, the remainder of the provisions of this Ensement shall not be affected thereby.
13.4 Entire Agreement. This instrument scis forth the entire agrecment of the parties with respect to the Easement and supersedes all prior discussions, negotiations, understandings, or agrecments relating to the Easement, all of which are merged herein. No alteration or variation of this instrument shall be valid or binding unless contained in an amendment that complies wilh paragraph 11.
13.5 Successors. The covenants, terms, conditions, and restrictions of this Easement shall be binding upon and inure to the bencfit of the parties hereto and their respective personal representatives, heirs, successors, and assigns and shall continue as a scrvitude ruming in perpetuity with the Property, provided that any owner of the Property is not responsible for breaches of the terms hereof that occur after that owner ceases to have any ownership interest in the Property.
13.6 Transfers by Grantor. Grantor agrees to incorporate by reference the terms of this Easement in any deed or other legal instrument by which Grantor transfers any ownership or possessory interest in the Property. Grantor further agrees to give written notice to Grantee of the proposed transfer of any such interest at least sixty (60) days prior to the date of such transfer. The failure of Grantor to perform any act required by this paragraph shall not impair the validity of this Easement or limit its enforceability in any way.
13.7 Notices. Any notice, demand, request, consent, approval, or communication that eilher party desires or is required to give to the other shall be in writing and cither served personally or sent by first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows:

To Grantor: $\quad$ Mr. Donald J. Trump
The Trump Organization'
725 Silh Avenue, 26 ilh Floor
New York, NY 10022
With a copy to: Stephen J. Small, Esq. Law Office of Slephen J. Small, Esq.
75 Federal Street, Suite 1100
Boston, MA 02110-1911
To Grantee: National Trust for Historic Preservation in the United States 1785 Massachusetts Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036
Altn: President
-21-

With a copy to: General Counsel
National Trust for Historic Prescrvation in the United States 1785 Massachuselts Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036
or to such other address as any of the above parties from time to time shall designate by written notice to the olhers.
13.8 Effective Date. Grantor and Grantee intend that the restrictions arising hereunder take eflect on the day and year this DEED OF CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT is recorded in the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida, after all required sigmatures have been affixed hereto. Grantee may re-record this instrument at any time as may be required to preserve its rights in this Easement. Grantor shall cause this Easement to be recorded in the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Floridn, as soon as administratively possible after all required signatures have been affixed hereto. Grantee shall be provided the original recorded Easement; Grantor shall retain a copy of the recorded Easement.
13.9 Subordination. Lender is the holder of a Note secured by a mortgage dated
$\qquad$ , and recorded in Official Record Book $\qquad$ , Page $\qquad$ , of the Public

Records of Palm Beach County, Florida (the "Mortgage"), which subjects the Property to Lender's lien. Lender hereby consents to the terms and intent of this DEED OF CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT and agrees that the lien represented by the Mortgage shall be subject and subordinate to the interest conveyed by this DEED OF CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT, to the same effect as if this DEIED

OF CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT had been executed, delivered, and recorded immediately prior to the execution, delivery, and recording of the Mortgage.

TO IIAVE AND TO HOLD, the said Conservation and Preservation Easement, unto the sail Grantee and its successors and permitted assigns forever. This DEED OF CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT may be executed in several counterparts and by each party on a separate counterpart, each of which when so executed and delivered shall be an original, but all of which together shall constitute one instrument.

IN WITNESS WIIEREOF, Granter, Grantee, and Lender have set their hands under seal
on the days and year set forth below.
Signed, scaled and delivered


Signature of Witness

## Anthem P. Several

 Printed Name of Witness

Signature of Witness


Printed Name of Witness


DONALDJ.TRUMP
Printed Name
725 Finlay Avenue
New York, NY 10022
Post Office Address

## ACCEPTED BY GRANTEE:

NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC


LENDER hereby joins this Deed Solely for the purpose of consenting to Section 13.9 hereof UNION LABOR LITE INSURANCE COMPANY


STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF PALMBEACI__
Thereby Certify that on this day, before, me, an officer duly authorized to administer oaths and take acknowledgments, personally appeared DONALD J. TRUMP, known to me to be the person described in and who exceuted the foregoing instrument, who acknowledged before me that he executed the same, that he is personally known to me and that an oath was not taken.

Witness my hand and official seal in the County and State last aforesaid this 26 day of $\quad$ MARCH_, 1995


Printed Name of Notary Public Commission No.: cc oa9480 Commission Expires: $25 \not 2 \mathrm{~N} G 1997$

## WASHINGTON, D.C.

I hereby Certify that on this day, before, me, an officer duly authorized to administer oaths and take acknowledgments, personally appeared RICHARD MOC, known to me to be President of the National Trust for Historic Preservation in the United States, the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, who acknowledged before me that he executed the same, that he is personally known to me and that an oath was not taken.

Witness my hand and official seal in the District of
Columbia this 30'Uny of Mach 1995


Printed Name of Notary Public
Commission No. $\qquad$
Commission Expires: $\qquad$

## WASHINGTON, D.C.

I hereby Certify that on this day, before, me, an officer duly authorized to administer oaths and take acknowledgments, personally appeared Thomas C. PerkinS, known to me to be Vice Presidentof Union Labor Life Insurance Company, the person described in and who executed the foregoing instrument, who acknowledged before me that he executed the same, that he is personally known to me and that an oath was not taken.

Witness my hand and official seal in the District of Columbia this $3^{\text {rd }}$ day of April, 1995


Lavolya R. Williams
Printed Name of Notary Public
Commission No.: evelavalya - : Williams Notary Public, District of Columbia
Commission Expires: My Commission ExpIres Nov. 14, 1998

EXHIBIT A
TO
CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT
FROM
DONALD J. TRUMP
TO
NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN THE UNITED STATES

## LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF TIIE PROPERTY

Being all that part of the North 610.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lol 2 of Section 35, Township 43, South, Range 43 East, in the Town or Paim Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying West of Ocean Boulevard (State Road AIA) Right of Way and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Begining at a point on the West face of an existing seawall on the East shore of Lake Worth, which point is 560.00 feet North of, measured at right angles, to the South line of Government Lot 2 of said Section 35; thence North $600922^{\prime \prime}$ West along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 77.32 feet; thence North $10.23 \prime 23^{\prime \prime}$ East along the West face of said scawall for a distance of 539.50 feet to a point in the South line of BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach Counly Public Records; thence run South $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East along the South line of said BINGHAM-COPP TRACT for a distance of 1134.10 feet to a point in the Westerly right-of-way line of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A), thence run South $0{ }^{\circ} 09^{\circ} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 82.59 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southerly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Southwest having a radius of 1412.69 feet and a central angle of $3.03^{\circ} 00^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 75.20 feet to a point of tangency; thence run South $2.53^{\prime \prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 176.28 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southwesterly along the are of a curve concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 2968.36 feet and a central angle of $202730^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 127.36 feet to a point of compound curvalure; thence continue Southwesterly along the arc of a curve, concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 158.68 fcet and a central angle of $86^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 239.40 feet to a point of tangency; thence run North 88.12'07" West along the North line of Southern Boulevard (State Road 80) for a distance of 1040.43 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING;
and
The West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the East one-half (E 1/2) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 21, all in BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach Counly, Florida, as recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records;

Together with an easement for the use of the tunnel as described in that certain Quit Claim Easement Deed recorded in Official Record Baok 2327, Page 1970 of the Palm Beach County Public Records;
and

The South 358.00 feet of the North 403.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2, Section 35, Township 43 South, Range 43 East, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying East of Occan Boulevard (State Road A1A) as now laid out and in use; together with all riparian and littoral rights, if any, thereunto appertaining.

# EXIIIBIT B <br> TO <br> CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT FROM <br> DONALD.J.TRUMP <br> TO <br> NATIONAL TRUST FOR IIISTORIC PRESERVATION <br> IN THE UNITED STATES 

## CRITICAL FEATURES OF MAR-A-LAGO

The following Critical Features of Mar-a-Lago are described narratively below and graphically in Exhibits B-1, B-2, and B-3, a site drawing of exterior Critical Features and floor plans of interior Critical Features, following the narration.

1. Midn Entrance Gate. The Gate is a double wood spindled, two-leaf gate which opens inward. The masonry is covered with Spanish tiles and is lighted by two wrought-iron figures holding torches.
2. Perincter Wall. A stucco wall extends on the property line from Lake Worth to the west and around the Southern Boulevard curve (with gates) to a terminus at the cast center of the Mansion. The wall re-continues to the north, passes through the Main Entrance Gate, is interrupted by the Property Manager's Complex and runs to the service entrance gate at the north property line. The seawall is not a Critical Feature but it shall be maintained in substantially the same size, color, and durability to retard erosion from Lake Worth.
3. Main Eutrance Drive. The main drive is perpendicular and west of South Ocean Boulevard, one hundred feet from the north property line. It is approximately fourteen feet wide, bordered on both sides with concrete curbs and lined with coconut palms. The driveway circles around a guest structure through a porte-cochere to the primary entrance to the Mansion at its north facade.
4. Broneriy Manager's Complex. To the north of the main entrance drive, the property manager's grouping consists of a residence, detached garage, and ancillary building, all of which are one-story, stucco, with clay barrel tile roofs.
4.1 Doors and Windows. The doors and windows of the Property Manager's Complex to be included as part of the Critical Features are identified in the Baseline Documentation.
5. The Mansion. The exterior walls, roof, carvings, columns, tiles and overall envelope of the main house, including without limitation also the exterior of the pavilion and staff housing/kitchen/service wings and connecting passageways, the portecochere and connected guest house, and the service garage adjacent to the service wing, referred to herein as the Mansion, are the single most important Critical Features.
5.1 Doors and Windows. The doors and windows of the Mansion to be included as part of the Critical Features are identified in the Baseline Documentation.
6. Cloisters, Patio and Parrot Pool. The Cloisters, Patio and Parrot Pool located adjacent and west of the Mansion are integral accessories to the Mansion.
7. Open Vistas. An open vista to the east overlooks the property to Ocean Boulevard from the Mansion. An open vista to the west extends from the Mansion down and across a grassed area of approximately 250 feet in width to view Lake Worth.
8. Topographical Flow of Land. The land flows generally from a high point around the main house at an elevation of approximately 15 feet to a low point of 4 feet along the bulkhead line at Lake Worth.
9. Vegefation, Tree Lines and Golf Course. The basic quantity and quality of vegetation and tree lines are Critical Features. Alteration and relocation of the fairways, tees, and greens on the golf course west of the Mansion are permitted, although the open vista provided by the presence of the golf course is a Critical Feature.
10. Mansion Rooms. The walls, floors, ceilings and physically-attached structures of the following rooms in the Mansion are Critical Features: (a) Entrance Hall, (b) Gentleman's Cloak Room, (c) Ladies'Cloak Room, (d) Living Room, (e) Dining Room, (f) Loggia, (g) Monkey Loggia, (h) Library, (i) Play Room in "Deenie"s House", (j) Child's Bedroom and Bathroom, (k) Pine Hall in Master Suite, (1) Master Bedroom, (m) Master Bathroom, (n) Master Dressing Room, (o) Pavilion, (p) American Bedroom, (q) Adams Bedroom, (r) Venetian Sitting Room, (s) Spanish Bedroom, (t) Portuguese Bedroom (u) Dutch Bedroom, (v) upper and lower cloisters, (w) north and south second floor corridors and overlooks, ( $x$ ) all stairways, (y) the Norwegian Room and associated bath in the Master Suite and (z) anterooms, vestibules, and corridors which connect the aforementioned rooms with each other or with upper or lower cloisters as depicted in the Critical Room Plan attached as part of this Exhibit B.
10.1 Light Fixtures. The light fixtures to be included as part of the Critical Features are identified in the Baseline Documentation. Grantor and Grantee herein agree that if any of the said light fixtures are destroyed or substantially destroyed by involuntary, unexpected casualty, notwithstanding any other provision in this Easement to the contrary Grantor may replace said light fixtures at Orantor's discretion after consultation with Grantee.




## EXIIIBIT C

TO
CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT
FROM
DONALD J. TRUMP
TO
NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN TIIE UNITED STATES

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

National Trust for Ilistoric Preservation in the United States, a non-profit charitable corporation chartered under an Act of Congress, 16 U.S.C. Section 468-468(d), with a business address at 1785 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, as Grantce of that Conservation and Preservation Easement from Donald J. Trump, Grantor, dated $\qquad$ 1995, recorded in Official Record Book $\qquad$ , Page $\qquad$ , of the Public Records of Paim Bcach County ("Easement"), certifies to the best of its knowledge that Grantor is as of this date in compliance with Grantor's obligations contained in said Easement and that no event has occurred which, with the giving of notice or passage of time or both, might constitute an event of default or violation.

Witness the execulion hereof under seal this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ -

National Trust for Historic Preservation in the United States

WITNESS:
Prial Name: $\qquad$

13y:
Its: $\qquad$
[Acknowledgment]

# EXIIBIT D <br> TO <br> CONSERVATION AND PRESERVATION EASEMENT* <br> FROM <br> DONALD J. TRUMP <br> TO <br> NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN THE UNITED STATES 

## ASSIGNMENT

NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN THE UNITED STATES, n non-profit charitable corporation chartered under an Act of Congress, 16 U.S.C. Section 468-468(d), with a business address at 1785 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, herein referred to as "Assignor," for consideration paid, hereby ASSIGNS to
a non-profit charitable corporation organized and existing under the laws of with a business address at $\qquad$ hercin referred to as "Assignee," all of the right, title, and interest of the Assignor, under a certain Deed of Conservation and Preservation Easement dated , 1995, recorled in Official Record Book , Page , of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, from Donald J. Trump, Grantor, to Assignor. This Assignment is made pursuant to the power and authority contained in paragraph 10 of said Deed of Conservation and Preservation Easement and every other power and authority of the Assignor hereunto enabling.

In consideration of the foregoing Assignment, and by the exccution hereof, the Assignce hereby assumes the obligations of the Assignor under said Deed of Conservation and Prescrvation Easement, effective upon the recording of this Agreement with said Records.

Witness the execution hereof under seal this day of
NATIONAL TRUST FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION IN THE UNITED STATES
$\qquad$ By: $\qquad$
Print Name: $\qquad$
, ASSIGNEE
WITNESS:
By: $\qquad$
Print Name: $\qquad$
[Acknowledgnent of Assignor]
[Acknowledgment of Assignee]

EXHIBIT II

Prepared by and after recordation retum to: Paul Rampell, Esq. 50 Cocoanut Row, Suite 220
Palm Beach, FL 33480

10/17/2002 12:07:53 20020547996
OR BK 14280 P6 0404
Palm Eeach County, Florida
AIIT 10.00
Doc Stamp 0.70

## DEED OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS

WHEREAS, Mar-a-Lago Club, L.L.C., L.C., a Delaware Limited Liability Company, as sucesssor in interest to The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc., a Florida corporation, (the "Club") is the owner of real property described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference (the "Prơperty); 0

WHEREAS,Donald J. Trump, his successor and assigns, ("Trump") is the holder of a contingent reversionary interesfin the Property;

WHEREAS the glub and Trump intend to forever extinguish their right to develop or use the Property for any purpose other than club use;

WHEREAS, the National Trust for Historic Preservation in the United States (the "National Trust") is the grantee of Deed Conservation and Preservation Easement recorded on April 6, 1995 in Official Record Bósk 8691, Page 764 of the Public Records of Palm Beach County, Florida (the "Preservation Easeme $\mathrm{SiP}_{5}$;

WHEREAS, the Preservation Easement limits changes to the Property including, without limitation, the division or subdivision of they Property for any purpose, including use as single family homes, the interior renovation of themansion, which may be necessary and desirable for the sale of the Property as a single family residental estate, the construction of new buildings and the obstruction of open vistas;

WHEREAS, the Preservation Easement requites the approval of changes that would be necessary for any change in use and therefore confines the ingee of the Property to club usage without the express written approval of the National Trust; and

WHEREAS, the Club and Trump intend to establishasexplicitly as possible that the Preservation Easement perpetuates the club usage of the Property (consistent with the other limitations set forth in that Easement.


## WITNESSETH

The Club and Trump, for good and valuable consideration the receipt and sufficiency of which is acknowledged, by these presents do hereby transfer, grant, bargain, sell, alien, remise, release, convey and confirm unto the National Trust, to the extent that such rights have not already been transferred through the Deed of Conservation and Preservation Easement, any andall of their rights to develop the Property for any usage other than club usage.

W WTNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused these presents to be executed in their names.


Printed Name


Acknowledged by National Trust for Historic

By:


## STATE OF

 COUNTY OFThe foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $\qquad$ day of Soptember $\qquad$ 2002, by Donald J. Trump, as President of Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc., and individually, who is personally known to me.


Notary Public


## EXHIBIT A

Being all that part of the North 610.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2 of Section 35, Township 43, South, Range 43 East, in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, lying West of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) Right of Way and more particularly described asfollows, to-wit:

Beginning at drypoint on the West face of an existing seawall on the East shore of Lake Worth, which point is $\$ 60.00$ feet North of, measured at right angles, to the South line of Government Lot 2 of said Section $355^{5}$ thence North $6^{\circ} 09^{\prime 2} 22^{\prime \prime}$ West along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 77.32 feet thence North $10^{\circ} 23^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ East along the West face of said seawall for a distance of 539.50 feet tor a pope in the South line of BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision recorded in Plat Book 18, Rage 6, Palm Beach County Public Records; thence run South $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East along the South line of said BIAGHAM-COPP TRACT for a distance of 1134.10 feet to a point in the Westerly right-of- wad line of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A); thence run South $0^{\circ} 09^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ East for a distance of 82.59 feet to a point of curvature; thence run Southerly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Southwest having a radius of 1412.69 feet and a central angle of $3^{\circ} 03^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 75.20 feet tea-pgint of tangency; thence run South $2^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West for a distance of 176.28 feet to a point of earogture; thence run Southwesterly along the arc of a curve concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 2968.36 feet and a central angle of $2^{\circ} 27^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 127.36 feet to a point of cortpodidecurvature; thence continue Southwesterly along the arc of a curve, concaved to the Northwest having a radius of 158.68 feet and a central angle of $86^{\circ} 26^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}$ for a distance of 239.40 feet to a point of tangency; thence run North $88^{\circ} 12^{\prime} 07^{\prime \prime}$ West along the North line of Southern Boulevard (Stare) Road 80) for a distance of 1040.43 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING;
and


The West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 20 and 'he South 15 feet of the East one-half (E 1/2) of Lot 20 and the South 15 feet of the West one-half (W 1/2) of Lot 21, all in BINGHAM-COPP TRACT, a subdivision in the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Eacificounty, Florida, as recorded in Plat Book 18, Page 6, Palm Beach County Public Records;

Together with an easement for the use of the tunas as described in that certain Quit Claim Easement Deed recorded in Official Record Book 2327 Page 1970 of the Palm Beach County Public Records;
and


The South 358.00 feet of the North 403.00 feet of the South 1170.00 feet of Government Lot 2 , Section 35, Township 43 South, Range 43 East, Palm Beach County, florida, lying East of Ocean Boulevard (State Road A1A) as now laid out and in use; together With all riparian and littoral rights, if any, thereunto appertaining.


EXHIBIT JJ

## Rules

## of <br> The Mlar-a-Bago Glub

## RULES OF THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB

The Mar-a-Lago Club (the "Club") and its property shall be subject to the following Rules, as amended from time to time.

All members are required to read and abide by these Rules. ALL MEMBERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OBSERVANCE OF THE RULES BY THEMSELVES, THEIR GUESTS AND THEIR EMPLOYEES

MEMBERS WHO VIOLATE THE CLUB'S RULES AND REGULATIONS, AS CHANGED FROM TIME TO TIME, WILL BE SUBJECT TO SUSPENSION, EXPULSION OR OTHER APPROPRIATE DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

REPRIMANDING OF EMPLOYEES IS FORBIDDEN: ANY COMPLAINTS SHOULD BE MADE DIRECTLY TO THE MANAGING DIRECTOR.

No person seeking membership and no guest shall be discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, gender, national origin, disability, age or marital status.

REGISTRATION: Members, their families and guests shall register at the Chub's front reception area each time they visit the Club.

ATTIRE. The living roon, dinng areas and bar are resen ed for those int chab ative. It is expected that members' attire showhd meet the standurchs of good taste and will dress in a fashion befitting the surroundings and amosphere provided in the setting of our Club. To aroid embarrassment, it is also expectest that atembers will advise their guests of our ifers requirements.

The Club or its designated representative shall be the sole judge of the propriets of any attire.

Breakfast: Appropritue informal, casual sports atire may be wom.
Litunch:
Spa, Tennis and Croquet clothes are not allowed in the dining roon: please use the outside patio if you are dressed in sports clothes.

## Evening: JACKETSAND TIES REQUIRED

* If attive for a social event differs from the above, members will be informed *

Bathing Suits: Bathing attire is allowed ONLY in the pool area, locker rooms and walkway to changing areas. Bathing suits WILL NOT be allowed in any part of the Club's formal rooms.

CHILDREN: Members are responsible at all times for the behavior on Club property of their children and grandchildren (hereinafter called children), and for the behavior of any other children who may be their guests. Children under ten years of age are not permitted to be unattended on Club property. Children whose parents are playing tennis, croquet or using the spa facilities are considered unattended. Children must behave at all times with due consideration for the comfort and enjoyment of others; particular care is appropriate. Children under the age of 10 will not be charged for the use of Club facilities.

## 1. MEMBERSHIP.

A. Active Members shall be such persons who, conditioned upon paying the required membership deposit in effect from time to time, have been regulariy elected to Active Membership by a duly appointed Admissions Committee (the "Admissions Committee") of the Club. The Admissions Committee is appointed from time to time by the Club owner and is comprised of individuals selected by the owner in its sole discretion

1. Active nembership shall enable use by Active Members of the Club and its facilities as well as use by such individual's spouse and chitdren under age 26 who are living at home or attending school on a full-time basis.
2. Membership in the Club is by the invitation of Active Members or the Admissions Conmittee. An Active Member wishing to invite an individual for membership must obtaint in Membership Application from the Club. Responsibility for completing the form rests with the proposer: incomplete forms will not be considered by the Admissions Committee.
3. The Admissions Committee will evaluate a cundidate and will thoroughly sereen each individual to assure he or she satisfies criteria established from fine to time hy the Admissions Committee provided, hovever: that no individual shall be discriminated against because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin. age, disability or marital status. Membership criteria will inchade, but will not be limited to, character, compatibilty with other members and reputation in social, business, community and financial activities. Active Members shall endorse only candidates well known to them and whom they can recommend enthusiastically:
4. An interview with the candidate and candidate's spouse may be required.

However; the Admissions Committee may uaive the requirement of an interview.
5. Files of the Admissions Comnittee, including without limitation letters of proposal and recommendation, shall be privileged and confidential, and shall be available only to members of the Admissions Conmittee, designated executive personnel of the Club and the Trump Organization and Club counsel
6. Each Active Member shall receive a Cerificate of Mernbership in his or her name alone. Memberships may be transferred only through the Club and are not transferable in any open market.
B. Honorary Members: The Admissions Committee nuay elect as Honorary Members persons who have rendered service to the Club or attained such other distinction as shall be deemed by the Admissions Committee to merit such recog. nition. Honorary Membership will be subject to payment of such annual dues and charges and compliance with such rules and regulations as established by the Club. The Club may waive the membership deposit requirement for individuals elected to Honorary Membership. Honorary Membership is on an arnual basis for the Club's Membership Year (November 1. October 31). Honorary
Membership is automatically renewed each Membership Year unless a notice of termination is sent by the Club. The Chub shall have the right, at any time, to recall and terminate any membership in its sole and absolute discretion, to amend the terms of membership and to waive or nodify the provisions set forth herein.
C. Other Memberships. The Club reserves the right to issue other types of mentbership in the future. Such memberships, if issued, will be entitled to such privileges and subject to the payment of such dues, membership fees and other fees and charges, and such orher terms and conditions as may be established by the Club from time to time.
D. Marriage or Divarce: A mentber shall notify the Chib in writing of his or her marriage, remarriage or divorce. In the event of separation or divorce, the membership renains with the individual designuted as the member on the Membership Application, in the absence of a court order or agreement betuven the spouses (with notarized signatures of each) to the contrary.
E. Resignation: Any member may resign from the (hub by written notice to the Admissions Conmittee, provided all indebtedness to the Chitb has been paid. lpon resiguation, prepaid anmal dues will be refunded on a pro rata hasis based on the number of months remaining in the Membership Yeat
F. Expulsion und Suspension: If cury member is churged in mriting addressed to the Atmissions Committee by any menber or the Chab's management, with conduct inturnous to the good order, nelfare, interest or character of the Chb, or with any infraction or abuse of the Club's rales and regalations, the Admissions Committee shall thercupon notify the member so charged and such individual so charged will be given un upportunipy to be heard. The Admissions Committee, if it shall be sutisfied of the truth of the charge, may either expel or request the resignation of such member or order suspension of such individual's privileges. A menber who is expelled from the Chab will only be entitled to repaymems of his or her membership deposit, less any amounts owed to the Club, thirty (30) vears from the date of his or her admission. The Club also reserves the right to prohibit use of the Club by' any indiridual using the Club by virtue of the menther's membership for the reasons outlined in this paragraph.
G. Denth Provision: Upon the death of a member, the surviving spouse, if any, may contirue membership privileges without the payment of any additional membership deposit. If the surviving spouse continues membership privileges, the membership will be deemed resigned upon the earlier of the surviving spouse's resignation or death. If the deceased member is not survived by a spouse or the surviving spouse does not desire to continue membership privileges and the spouse so notifies the Club, the nembership will be deemed resigned as of the date of the member's death. The membership deposit will be paid to the deceased member's estate or the estate's assignee and not to the surviving spouse upon the earlier of (a) thirty (30) years from the date of the member's admission to the Club, or (b) thirty (30) days after the reissuance of the membership by the Club (once resigned) as provided in Section III.A. of these Rules. In order to assign the estate's interest in the membership deposit, the Club must receve written notice from the authorized estate representative within six months of the date of the member's death.
H. Disputes. Onty one person, his or her unseparated spouse and the qualified children of each may exercise the privileges of membership at any time. In no case will the Club become involved in disputes between separated or divorced spouses or invohing the heirs of deceased members, or in disputes over the ownership of memberships. In the case of such disputes, the Club may (but will not be required to) at any time suspend all the privileges associated with the membership in question until such disputes are resolved and the Club receives evidence satisfactory to it of the resolution of such disputes. During the pendency of the dispute, all dues and charges must continue to be paid and failure to pay all dues and charges may result in expulsion of the member.

## 11. DEPOSIT, DUES AND CHARGES

A. Membership deposits shall be repaid to Active Members upon the earlier of (a) thiry (30) years from the date of the Active Member's admission to the Clut or (b) thirty (30) days affer the reissuance of the membership by the Club (once resigned) as provided in this paragraph. At the end of thirty (30) years, an Active Member may choose to contimue his or her membership privileges by leaving his or her deposit with the Chib until subsequent resignation. Upon an Active Member's resignation after the thiry (30) year period, the Chuh will repay his or
her deposit within thiry bion days after the resignuthon date regardless of when the Chuh actually reissues the membership. Membership tervinates upon resignation whether resignation ocurs before or affer the $30-y$-ear anniversury of admission, The Club may set-off aguinst the membership deposit any amounts owed to the ('hub on the date the membership deposit is repaid. Reissuance will commence on " first-resigned, first reissted basis after the intitial issuance of all memberships. Membership deposits and all other Club revenues are the property of the owner of the Chub and may be used for any purpose, in its sole discretion.

No membership deposit will be repaid with interest. The right to receive the - repayment of a membership deposit is not transferable or negotiable. The repayment of a membership deposit shall constitute a general release of the Club from any liability related in any way to the Club. Nonvithstanding any other provision of these Rules, the Club may in its sole discretion cancel any membership at any time and, in such case refiund such member's deposit without interest.
B. Membership deposits, membership annual dues, charges for guests, dining expenses and facility usage fees, plus applicable sales tax, shall be charged in accordance with a schedule of charges promulgated by the Club from time to time. A service charge, deternined by the Club from time to time, is added to all food and beverage checks and spa services. Members may be notified of other fees or charges from time to time.
C. The Club's Membership Year commences on November 1 and ends on October 31. Membership anuual dues are payable on or before November 1 of each year and are applicable to the upcoming Membership Year: The membership of any member not paying his or her dues and the Florida State Tax on said dues on or before said date may be discoutinued by the Club, unless for reasons submitted to and approved by the Admissions Committee. The Club will provide a nember with fifleen (15) days' written notice prior to the discontinuance becoming effective:-Dtsconinuance is admintsirative and-will become effective withoua a hearing. Dues will accrue only for the first year for which they were not paid. In the Club's sole and absolute discretion, a discontinued membership may be reinstated upon payment of all outstanding dues, fees, charges, interest and collection fees plus an additional $10 \%$ of such anounts. Reinstatement would permin the member to continue the membership or to properly resign the membership, making the member eligible for an earlier refind of his or her membership deposit. If the member is not reinstated. he or she will have no right of refind until thiry (30) years from the date of his or her admission, at which time the membership deposit will be reficnded, less any amounts owed to the Club. A discontinued menubership is not counted toward any applicable membership cap.
D. All indebtedness to the Club should be paid prompty when billed. The membership of any member not paving an indebtedness by the last day of the month in which statement therefor has been given or mailed is delinquen and will be subject to interest at the maximum rute allourghle by lan' innit paid. A demp yluenimembership may be discontinued and reinstated in arcordance with the terms of paragraph IIC: The Chb shall be entitled to receive reimbursenemt for all reasonable expenses, inchuling collection fers und utterneys' fees, iscurred in he collection of any club charges. It is the memher's responsibility to ensure that the (hub has his or her curren eddlress.
 guess introduted be then and ton dannges cansed by woll perom.

F Members at the (hth woll ant be subiect to am eaptal or operating assess ments. The chub nill be responsible for cill of suth costs and will be entutled to all capital or operating profirs. The paymen of a membership contribution, dues, fees and other charges is required to maintain a membership and is not comsidered a cupital or operating assessment
(.) The Chat makes no representations und eapresses no opinions regarding the federal or state income tax consequences of actuiring a membership or repaying all or a portion of the membership deposit withou interest. All members acquire their nemberships subject to all applicable tax laws as they may exist from time to time. Certain provisions of the Imernal Revenue Code impute interest income to a lender with respect to a non-interest bearing loan. It does not appear that these provisions currently apply to the membership deposit. The internal Revenue Service may, however; issite regulations which might inpute interest income to a nember. Members should consult with their own tax advisor with respect to the tax consequences of paying the membership deposit and the C'lub's nom-interest bearing obligation to repay the membership deposit.

## III. RESTRICTIONS

A. Property of the Club shall not be removed from the premises wittout per mission of the Club.
B. No political or business solicitation shall be effected on Club property or with the use of Club stationery or other utilization of the Club name without prior approval of the Clib.
C. No commercial or political advertisement or notice of any kind shall be posted or circulated in the Chub.
D. No professional photographers shall be allowed to take pictures at the Chib except at a private party given by a Member. No reporters, feature writers or other members of the media shall be introduced as guests, if while on the Chib's premises they will be pursuing that occupation or gathering material for later publication.
E. Smoking will not be permitted on the Club indoor premises.

I: ACIREEMENT TO RULES: Pamem by a Member shall be deemed knowledge and acceptance of these Rules, and any amendnuents thereto.
3. INTERPRE TITION: The ('lub shall have the whe right to interper these Rutes

YT. WAILERR: it any time und from time to time, the Chb may waive any Rulte (or any part of any Rate) if stach naviver is deemed by the (lut to be in the best interests of the (hit or if any Rule (or any part of any Rule) is nudged by the (hab to result in individual hardship or lack of faimess, with the puception. how ever, of Rulle VII. E belon:

## I'II. GENERAL

A. Events: The Chb owner, iss agents, affintes and assighees will have the right hold tournuments and other events at the Club from which members moy be excluded, without compensation to the Club or its menhers. on the dates and at the times the Chub owner desires. The Chub ouner may markes the Club in advertisenvents and other media by making reference to the Cluh, inchuding, but not limited to, pictures or drawings of the Club facilities, and the availability of memberships at the Club. Members may use the Club facilities for private parties in accordance with the riles and regulations promulgated from time to time.
B. Reservation Policy: Members are required to make their own resenalion for all dining events. We require a cancellation call if you are unable to honor your reservation. Tickets will be required for special events and can be purchased in Member Reception prior to events. With respect to evening Club activities requiring reservations, a charge will be made for reservations not canceled by 12:00 noon on the same date of the event. With respect to daytime Club activities requiring reservations, the reservation mulst be canceled by $12: 00$ noon on the day prior to the event.
C. Revocable License: Membership in the Club is acquired on a nor-equity basis. It does not confer any vested or prescriptive right to easement in or to use the Club and its facilities. Members acquire only a revocable license to use the Club and its facilities. They have no ownership or voting interest in the Mar-aLago Club, L.C. which operates the Club.

## D. Preservation: A detailed set of preservation principles have been established

 by the Club and are available to Members for review.E. Declaration of Agreement: The Chb will at all times comply with the Declaration of Use of Agreement among the Town of Palm Beach. The Mar-a. Lago Cluh, L.C. and Donald J. Trump dated August 11, 1993 and recorded in the Official Records of Palm Beach County, Florida. This agreenent includes, without linutation, the following binding provisions:

1. Until the Club operates at a break-even point or profitability for three (3) consecutive years Donald J. Trump shall pay any and all real estate taxes, mainte. nance costs insurance premiums and sinilar expenses to the extent the Club is unable to meet such obligations.
2. A separate fiduciary account shall be established by the Club into which ten percent (10\%) of all gross revenues from guest suites slimal be deposited and used exclusively for maintenance and restoraion purposes.
3. If the Club is intentionally abandoned for a period of one (1) year after the Cheb has been in operation, or if the club is intentionally abandoned at any time. Mar-a-Lago shall revert to use as the private single fumily residence of Donald J. Trump and under such circumstances, all membership deposits shall be refunded. without interest. Donald J. Trump, the Chb wid all members of the Chub shall hold the Tomn of Palm Beach hamless from any hability or claim against the Town resulting form the Declaration of the Agreement. the reversion of single funih wse, the reversion to Donald J. Trump or an chan resulting therefrom
4. Reneational Imposc. Members in the Chob are being offered euchosu ely for
 tios. Vemberships should hot be weined or acquired as an invesment and mo per son purchasing a membership shoud expect to derine anv economic profiry from a niemhership in the (lub).
(3. Property Disclaner: Each member as a condition of membership and each guest as a comatition of invitation to the premises of the C Cub assuntes sole responsibility for his or her properts. The CHb will not be responsible for the loss of or danage to, propern received or held on behalf of Members or guests or kept by them al the Cluh whether in lockers on elsewhere, and whether such loss or tumage is due to negligence of the Club or any of its employees or agents or otheruise, nor will it be responsible for errors, nistakes, negligence, or dishonesty of messengers or other employees or concessionaires, nor for the loss of or damage to, any property entrusted to any employee or conncessionaires.
H. Release: In consideration and as a condition of invitation to the Club premises, any member, guest or other person who, in any manner, makes use of or accepts the use of any apparatus, appliance, facility, privilege or service, whatsoever oivned, leased or operated by the Club, or who engages in any contest, game, function, exercise, competition or other activity operated, organized arranged or sponsored by the Club, either on or off the Clubs prentises, shall do so at his or her own risk, and shall hold the Club and its affiliates, opermor, directors, governors, officers, employees, representatives, agents or concessionaires harmless from any and all loss, cost, claim, injury, damage or liability sustained or incurred resulting therefrom audlor from any act, omission, negligence, nalfeasance or misfeasance of the Chb or its affiliates, operator, directors, governors, employees, representatives, agents or concessionaires even through that liability may arise oll of the negligence or carelessness of the entities or persons released.

All parties bound by these Club Rules understand that this release includes any claims based on the negligence, actions or inactions of any or all of the persons released herein. Such bound parties also understand that activities at the Club are inherently dangerous and that access to the Club includes the risk of serious injury or death from errant balls and other causes. Such bound parties accept all risks of access to the Club.

Any member shail indemnify, defend and hold harnless the Club and its affiliates, operator, divectors, governors, officers, employees, representatives, agents or concessionaires hereunder from any such loss, cost, claint, injury, damage of liability susiained or incurred by any guest of that menber, fanily member or servant of such member.

No member shall have any right of action against the Chab or any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or concessionaives, to recover losses or dannges for injuries to the person or property of such member or the servant or servants of such member, dut to negigence, malfeasance or misfersance of any of its officers. directors agents, employees or concessionaires. Acceptance or continuance of membership by any person shall so far as permitted by law be a waver and surrender by such member of any such sight or action.

Should amr party bound by these (sencred ( Zath Rutes bimg suit against the (hut
 agents or concesvionaires for any evem operated, organized, wronged or spon
 obtuin fudgment therein against the Club or its affitates, operator, derector, gover nor, officer, employec, representatives, agents or concessionaires, said parth shall be liable to the Club and its affiliates, operator, directors, govemors, officers, employees. representatives, agents or concessionaires for all costs and expenses incurred by it in the defense of such suit (including court costs and aftomeys fees through all appellate proceedings). Each member acknowfedges that all aspects of membership will occur in Palm Beach County, Florida and therefore imfevocably ant unconditionally (a) agrees that any suit, action or legal proceeding aising out of or relating to menbership will be brought in the coulsts of weord of whe State of Florida in Palm Beach Courty; (b) consents to the jurisdiction of each such court in a suit, action or proceeding; (c) waives any objection which he or she may have to the laying of venue of any such suit, action or proceeding in aty such court; and (d) agrees that service of any cotrt paper may be effected on such party by nail, under the applicable laws or court nules in Florida.

## VIII. GUESTS

A. In General:

1. Weekly guests, day guests, luncheon guests, dinner guests, croquet guests and tennis guests muat be accompanied by their sponsor at all tines, who is responsible for their conduct.
2. A nember shall not introduce as a guest any person who has been expelled from the Club.
B. Guest Card Holders shall be persons sponsored by an Active Menber; subject to approval by the Admission Conmittee. Such curds are limited to a two-week period per season with a fee.
3. Weekly guest card holders may not introduce guests.
4. The sponsor is responsible for all charges incurred by the weekly guest card holder.
5. Issuance of a weekly card to any particular person is limited to no nore than two consectutue yenrs and not more than three years in any six-year period.
6. The Club may restrict of suspend entirely the issuance of weekly guest cards at any time.
7. Day Guests shall be such persons as are minoduced by Active Members. A day guest charge shall be in accordance with a schedule of charges promul gated by the ('hbl from time to tine.
8. A day guest must be registered by a member prior to asing the Club facilities.
9. Regulations require submission of written reguest and approval by the Cheb.

3 I dar guest may non invite or introduce omv other gutes.
4. A particular individual may be a day guest only nive per year regardless of the number of sponsoring members: Active Mernbers are requested to use care to keep day guests within the stated limit as gutests who have exceeded the limit will be deniet access.
5. Children over the age of 10 cother than children or grandchildren of members) shall be considered guests.
D. Iuncheon Guests shall be persons as may be introduced and accompanied at luncheon by members.

1. Lutncheon guests may remain in the Chub until the dining room closes, but if any such guest uses the facilities of the Chub for swinuning, tennis, sun bathing, etc., he or she will be stbject to the day guest charge.
2. The restriction of two visits per year is applicable to luncheon guesis Members are requested to use care to keep their guests within the linuit stated, as guests who have exceeded the linit will be denied access.
E. Ditiner Guests shall be such persors as may be introduced and accompanied at dinner by members. A particular individual may be a dinner guest only twice per year regardless of the number of sponsoring members. Members are requested to keep dimner guests within the linit stated for dimner guests from time to time, as guests who have exceeded the limit will be denied access.
F. House Guests shall be such persons as may be guests residing with Active Members in residence in their Florida homes. Such persons may receive house guest cards with a limit of two weeks per season. The charge shall be in accordance $n^{\text {rith }}$ a schedule of charges promulgated by the Chub from time to time.
3. Unmarried children of Active Members who are living at home or attending school on a full-ume basis shall not be considered house guests, so long as such unmarried children have not reached the age of 26. Such children may use the Chb withour charge.
4. Members of the immediate family of Active Members who are either married, not living at home or attending school on a full-rime basis or are over 25 years old und meet the house guest requirements, may receive house guest privileges for a lintit of font weeks per season at a charge in accordance with a schedule of charges promulgated by the Chub from time to time.
i. (irandithildren of tative Members are subject to the following regudations
a) Thev must be residing widh their grandparent in residence in their Florida hume.
b) Grundchildren who are ape 18 or oreo will be limited to 4 weeks per sea son as house guesta, and there will he a charge in accordance wifh a schedule of charges promulgated br the" Cha from tivne to time"

 img Actere hember

5. Ithouse guest min mot introdate om other guesis.
O. Onners of Woodbridge Read Residences: Ommens of residences on Whoodbridge Roud. Pam Betuch, Floridu shall have (luh provileges and nespomsi bilities in utcordance with the Deckaration of Use Agreenew dercribed II Paragraph Y'II, F hereof.
6. Tennis \& Croquet Guests must register and are subject to acharge in ctcordance with a schedule of charges pronulgated by the Club from time to time. A particular individual may be a tennis or croquer guest only wice per Membership Year regardless of the number of sponsoring members.
I. Revocation of Guest Privileges: Use of the Club by guests is a privilege, subject to the control of the Managing Director which may at any time and without the assignment of any reason therefore, refuse to grant the privilege requested or revoke any privilege therefore granted.

## IX. EXTENDED GUEST PRIVILEGE:

The Club may permit, in its sole discretion and upon application, approval and payment of applicable fees, a member to annually designate an individual (other than member's spouse and their children under 26) to have use privileges of the Club's facilities. In no event is this convenience intended to permit fuo individuals to "split" a membership. The current situations in which a Designated User will be permitted are:
a) An unmarried member may designate an dnmarried person who resides with him or her as his or her significant other as a Designated t/ser.
b) A member may designate a parent or child who resides with the member as a Designated Ilser.
The Club requires documentation sutisfactory to it in order to determine whether a Designated User will be approved. The Chu may termintate the privileges of any or all Designated l'sers in its discretion.

## IENNIS

The rules of conduct and temis etiquette of the L'nited States Fennis, Lsoctiatum and The Mar-a-Lago Chub shall apply alt oll times, except when in comfla with the local rules or with any of the ndes herein.

2 Plavers are tequred to now appopritic fome ather at all tomes

3. Coum resernations mat be mate 24 th hums in advalles bl calling the Iennis Pro Shop.
4 CAN(ELIATION POI IC Y: Resem amons MOS F be cunceled at leas OAE hou prior to plan: A nenty four (2f) hour advonce nonice is required for cancellation of any lessoms or clinics, or vout will be charged in fill.
5. Members shall hate the privilege of imiting temis guests no more two (?) times per Membership Year: Tennis guests must play with a member: A gttest fee will be charged.
6. Iennis members and their guests are reguired to register at the pro shop before play. On Mondays and Wednesdays tennis members and their guest may register at the security post.
7. Gates are provided for each court entronce to avoid crossing or entening on unother cout while in play:
8. All persons requesting the reatrn of a tentis ball from arother court should ask only when play on that court has halted. Ployers should not retrieve a tenuis ball from another court thennselves.
9. Persons not playing should stay off the court surfaces.
10. Courts should be vacated promptly after the reserved playing tine is over:
11. Proper tennis etiquette should be observed at all times. Excessive noise, racquet throwing or profanity will not be permitted at any time.
12. Trasi and other litter must not be lefi on the couts.
13. No food or snuoking is allowed on the cotrrts
14. The Chub mury reserve courts for tommanemts when needed. Notice will be given to member of such an event by posting notice.
15. The Tenuis Director shall determine the suitability of the courts for play: Comrs may be closed from time to time for maintenance purposes or adverse weather conditions.

Ployers uithout a prearranged game are encouraged to call or come to the courts and the Tennis Staff will assist in forming matches.

## FHE IRLMPSPA

## 1 AII PERSONS MUSTREGISIER II THF SPA FRONI DESK.

2 Bare feed will not be provitted att am time in the exersise rocms
; Men and women mast weat bothing suik in the wsimaning pool, steun rown

 woless acconpaniarl ho wh adubl.
5. A personal trainer shall be ont chat! an all times th the exerase rooms and all questions regarding use of the equipment of a memhers exercise progran should be refarred to stich personat tramer:
6. Exercise equipment man be used whl under the dive tion ant superv inim of spa persormel.
7. Horseplay, profanig, disruptive conduct and bmoking in the spa are stricth prohibited.
8 No alcoholic beverages are permitted in the spa area. Other beverages are permitted onty in the exercise rooms:
9. All jewelry and wathes must be removed prior to exercising.
10. All persons must sign a medical release form prior to using the health and fithess facility when requested by the Club.

## STEAM ROOM POLICIES

1. We recommend that you consult your physician before you use the steam room, as you will be using it at your own risk.
2. Those with high blood pressure, heart problems; and/or respiratory problems. should avoid using the strean room.
3. Please shower before entering. Begin first exposure on lower bench, and limit yourself to a maximum of ten (10) minutes. Shower and repeat on second bench.
4. Drink 2-3 glasses of water. Keep the head and face cool with cold compress provided to allow blood pressure to nommalize. Finish with a cool rinse.
5. Avoid conuing in direct conact with steam jets.

## SAUNA POLICIES

1. We recommend that you consult your physician before you use the samba, as you will be using it at your own risk.
2. Those with high blood pressure, heart problems, respiratory problems and those who are pregnant should aroid using the sauna.
3. Please shower before entering. Begin first ewposure on hourer behth, and limit yourself to a maximun of ten (li) minufes. Shomer and repeat on second bench.
4. Drink 2-3 giasses of water. Keep the heal and face cool with cold compress

## SWIMMING POOI. RECY'LATIONS

1. I'se of the pool facilities af any time is at the swimmer's risk.
2. The use of the pool pool area and its facilities is limited to members and their guess.
3. Swimming is permitted only during open hours of the pool.
4. The pool area includes all of the grounds around the pool.
5. Inmodest bathing attire should not be wom at the Club
6. Showers are required before entering the pool to remove oils and surtant lotions.
7. All persons using the pool furniture are required to cover the furniture with a towel when using suntan lotions: ft has been found that these preparations stain and damage the fitrniture.
8. No bottles, glassware or china may be carried out to the pool by a menber or guests at any time.
9. No mough play ruming, running games, ball throwing and noisy or hazardous activity will be permitted in the pool areas. Pushing, dunking and dangerous games are prohibited.
10. No artificial floating devices (beach balls, tubes, rafts, etc) are allowed in the pool except under the direction of the Pool Attendant.
11. Snorkeling equipment, other than a musk, is not to be used in the pool areas except as part of an organtzed course of instruction.
12. Out of consideration for others, radios mar only be used when listened to through ear phones.
13. Children under ten (10) years of age are not allowed to use the pool facilities unless accompanied by an adult.
14. Nurses, Gowernesses and Attendants accompanying children learning to swim are permitted the use of the pool until after lessons. Thereafter, they must be dressed in confomity with their position. Parents are responsible at all times for the behavior on Chub property of their Nurses, Governesses and Attendants with consideration for the comfort and enjowment of all others.

## 15. THE CLUB IS NOI RESPONSIBLEE FOR ACCIDENT RESULTING; FROM THE USE OFTHE POOL OR FOR LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ARTI-

 CLES OF PERSONAL PROPERTY.16. The Pool Attendant has full authority (o) enforce pool rules ath regulations. Violation of peobl rules and reguiations shall resull in attromutic sumpension of
swimming pool privileges and the offense shall be reported to the Managing Director for other appropriate disciplinery ation.
17. No person widh a cold, cough, fever, infloured eves, skin disease, etc:, shall be pernitted in the pool.
18. Use of the pool is pemitted claily: weather permitting as detemined by the Managing Director.

## CROQUET PROCEDURE, CUSTOMS \& COURT ETIQUETTE

1. The croguet lawn will be open for play $9.5: 30$ unless othervise specified.
2. Court reservations of $1-1 / 2$ hour intervals may be made at any time through the Temnis Office.
3. Members shall have the privilege of inviting croquef guests no more than twice per Membership Year. Croquet guests must play with a nuember: A guest fee will be charged.
4. Courts may be closed from time to time for maintenance purposes or adverse weather conditions.
5. Players should strive to play by the nules of the gane and not try to circumvent the ethics and morality of the rules of the game.
6. When conflict exists with these Rules, these Rules shall prevail.
7. Croquet players customarily wear all white apparel on the court.
8. Courtesy should be extended to one's opponent(s) as well as to one's playing partner at all times.
9. Players should avoid any behavior that distracts a striker attempting a shot.
10. Opponents should remain off the playing surface when it is not their atm.
11. Players should avoid verbal confrontations with each other by expressing their legitimate concems to, if available, the referee.
12. Courtesy and good sportsmanship are expected of all players and officials at all times.
13. Players are under an obligation to avoid acts that may be considered detrimental to the game of croquet.
14. Players should not audibly swear at a player, official, or spectator; use obscene, abusive or insulting language or gestures, throw a maller or hit a ball in protest or anger:

USCA Official Rules can be obtaned fiom the Director of Croquet.

ALL MEMBERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OBSERVANCE OFTHE SECURITY REGUILATIONS.

1. MAlN GATE

ALL members must use this entrance.
THE MAIN GATE OPENS AT 7:00 A.M.
ON SUNDAY THE MAIN GATE WILL CLOSE AT 3:00 P.M
ALL MEMBERS ARE REQUIRED TO "STOP" IN FRONT OFTHE
VALET WHEN USING THE MAIN GATE.
2. NORTH SERVICE EXIT

AT NO TIME should the North Service Exit be used except for Exit off property. Entrance at this exit is prohibited for your safety and the safety of others.
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RULESAND REGULATIONS

## Rufes <br> of <br> The Mar-a-Gago Club

## RULES OF THE MAR-A-LAGO CLUB

The Mar-a-Lago Club (the "Club") and its property shall be subject to the following Rules, as amended from time to time.

All members are required to read and abide by these Rules. ALL MEMBERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OBSERVANCE OF THE RULES BY THEMSELVES, THEIR GUESTS AND THEIR EMPLOYEES.

MEMBERS WHO VIOLATE THE CLUB'S RULES AND REGULATIONS, AS CHANGED FROM TIME TO TIME, WILL BE SUBJECT TO SUSPENSION, EXPULSION OR OTHER APPROPRIATE DISCIPLINARY ACTION.

REPRIMANDING OF EMPLOYEES IS FORBIDDEN; ANY COMPLAINTS SHOULD BE MADE DIRECTLY TO THE MANAGING DIRECTOR.

No person seeking membership and no guest shall be discriminated against on the basis of race, religion, gender, national origin, disability, age or marital status.

REGISTRATION: Members, their families and guests shall register at the Clubs front reception area each time they visit the Club.

ATTIRE: The living room, dining areas and bar are reserved for those in club attire. It is expected that members' attire should meet the standards of good taste and will dress in a fashion befitting the surroundings and atmosphere provided in the setting of our Club. To avoid embarrassment, it is also expected that members will advise their guests of our dress requirements.

The Club or its designated representative shall be the sole judge of the propriety of any attire.
Breakfast: Appropriate informal, casual sports attire may be worn.
Lunch:
Spa, Tennis and Croquet clothes are not allowed in the dining room; please use the outside patio if you are dressed in sports clothes.

Evening: Living Room, Library Bar, Dining Room-Jacket \& Tie Required Cloister Bar, Patio \& Teahouse - Jacket Required, Tie Optional

* If attire for a social event differs from the above, members will be informed *

Bathing Suits:

> Bathing attire is allowed ONLY in the pool area, locker rooms and walkway to changing areas. Bathing suits WILL NOT be allowed in any part of the Club's formal rooms.

CHILDREN: Members are responsible at all times for the behavior on Club property of their children and grandchildren (hereinafter called children), and for the behavior of any other children who may be their guests. Children under sixteen years of age are not permitted to be unattended on Club property. Children whose parents are playing tennis, croquet or using the spa facilities are considered unattended. Children must behave at all times with due consideration for the comfort and enjoyment of others; particular care is appropriate. Children under the age of 10 will not be charged for the use of Club facilities.

## I. MEMBERSHIP.

A. Active Members shall be such persons who, conditioned upon paying the required membership deposit in effect from time to time, have been regularly elected to Active Membership by a duly appointed Admissions Committee (the "Admissions Committee") of the Club. The Admissions Committee is appointed from time to time by the Club owner and is comprised of individuals selected by the owner in its sole discretion.

1. Active membership shall enable use by Active Members of the Club and its facilities as well as use by such individual's spouse and children under age 26 who are living at home or attending school on a full-time basis.
2. Membership in the Club is by the invitation of Active Members or the Admissions Committee. An Active Member wishing to invite an individual for membership must obtain a Membership Application from the Club. Responsibility for completing the form rests with the proposer; incomplete forms will not be considered by the Admissions Committee.
3. The Admissions Committee will evaluate a candidate and will thoroughly screen each individual to assure he or she satisfies criteria established from time to time by the Admissions Committee provided, however, that no individual shall be discriminated against because of race, color, religion, sex, national origin, age, disability or marital status. Membership criteria will include, but will not be limited to, character, compatibility with other members and reputation in social, business, community and financial activities. Active Members shall endorse only candidates well known to them and whom they can recommend enthusiastically.
4. An interview with the candidate and candidate's spouse may be required. However, the Admissions Committee may waive the requirement of an interview.
5. Files of the Admissions Committee, including, without limitation, letters of proposal and recommendation, shall be privileged and confidential, and shall be available only to members of the Admissions Committee, designated executive personnel of the Club and the Trump Organization and Club counsel.
6. Each Active Member shall receive a Certificate of Membership in his or her name alone. Memberships may be transferred only through the Club and are not transferable in any open market.
B. Honorary Members: The Admissions Committee may elect as Honorary Members persons who have rendered service to the Club or attained such other distinction as shall be deemed by the Admissions Committee to merit such recognition. Honorary membership will be subject to payment of such annual dues and charges and compliance with such rules and regulations as established by the Club. The Club may waive the membership deposit requirement for individuals elected to Honorary Membership. Honorary Membership is on an annual basis for the Club's Membership Year (November 1-October 31). Honorary Membership is automatically renewed each Membership Year unless a notice of termination is sent by the Club. The Club shall have the right, at any time, to recall and terminate any membership in its sole and absolute discretion, to amend the terms of membership and to waive or modify the provisions set forth herein.
C. Other Memberships. The Club reserves the right to issue other types of membership in the future. Such memberships, if issued, will be entitled to such privileges and subject to the payment of such dues, membership fees and other fees and charges, and such other terms and conditions as may be established by the Club from time to time.
D. Marriage or Divorce: A member shall notify the Club in writing of his or her marriage, remarriage or divorce. In the event of separation or divorce, the membership remains with the individual designated as the member on the Membership Application, in the absence of a court order or agreement between the spouses (with notarized signatures of each) to the contrary.
E. Resignation: Any member may resign from the Club by written notice to the Admissions Committee, provided all indebtedness to the Club has been paid. Upon resignation, prepaid annual dues will be refunded on a pro rata basis based on the number of months remaining in the Membership Year.
F. Expulsion and Suspension: If any member is charged in writing, addressed to the Admissions Committee by any member or the Club's management, with conduct injurious to the good order, welfare, interest or character of the Club, or with any infraction or abuse of the Club's rules and regulations, the Admissions Committee shall thereupon notify the member so charged and such individual so charged will be given an opportunity to be heard. The Admissions Committee, if it shall be satisfied of the truth of the charge, may either expel or request the resignation of such member or order suspension of such individual's privileges. A member who is expelled from the Club will only be entitled to repayment of his or her membership deposit, less any amounts owed to the Club, thirty (30) years from the date of his or her admission. The Club also reserves the right to prohibit use of the Club by any individual using the Club by virtue of the member's membership for the reasons outlined in this paragraph.
G. Death Provision: Upon the death of a member, the surviving spouse, if any, may continue membership privileges without the payment of any additional membership deposit. If the surviving spouse continues membership privileges, the membership will be deemed resigned upon the earlier of the surviving spouse's resignation or death. If the deceased member is not survived by a spouse or the surviving spouse does not desire to continue membership privileges and the spouse so notifies the Club, the membership will be deemed resigned as of the date of the member's death. The membership deposit will be paid to the deceased member's estate or the estate's assignee and not to the surviving spouse upon the earlier of (a) thirty (30) years from the date of the member's admission to the Club, or (b) thirty (30) days afier the reissuance of the membership by the Club (once resigned) as provided in Section III.A. of these Rules. In order to assign the estate's interest in the membership deposit, the Club must receive written notice from the authorized estate representative within six months of the date of the member's death.
H. Disputes. Only one person, his or her unseparated spouse and the qualified children of each may exercise the privileges of membership at any time. In no case will the Club become involved in disputes between separated or divorced spouses or involving the heirs of deceased members, or in disputes over the ownership of memberships. In the case of such disputes, the Club may (but will not be required to) at any time suspend all the privileges associated with the membership in question until such disputes are resolved and the Club receives
evidence satisfactory to it of the resolution of such disputes. During the pendency of the dispute, all dues and charges must continue to be paid and failure to pay all dues and charges may result in expulsion of the member.
7. Domestic Partners. The "Domestic Partner" or "significant other" of an unmarried member may be treated as a member of the family of the unmarried member, thus permitting use of the Club facilities to the same extent as a member's spouse. In order to qualify as a Domestic Partner for family member status, the member must be unmarried, must designate one Domestic Partner in writing, the Domestic Partner must live with the member, the Domestic Partner and the member cannot be related, and the member must agree to be responsible for the Domestic Partner's charges. Only two adults (either member and spouse or member and Domestic Partner) may have the privileges of membership at any time. Family Membership privileges will be extended to qualifying children of the member and to qualifying children of the member's Domestic Partner.

## II. DEPOSIT, DUES AND CHARGES

A. Membership deposits shall be repaid to Active Members upon the earlier of (a) thirty (30) years from the date of the Active Member's admission to the Club or (b) thirty (30) days after the reissuance of the membership by the Club (once resigned) as provided in this paragraph. At the end of thirty (30) years, an Active Member may choose to continue his or her membership privileges by leaving his or her deposit with the Club until subsequent resignation. Upon an Active Member's resignation after the thirty (30) year period, the Club will repay his or her deposit within thirty (30) days after the resignation date regardless of when the Club actually reissues the membership. Membership terminates upon resignation whether resignation occurs before or after the 30-year anniversary of admission. The Club may set-off against the membership deposit any amounts owed to the Club on the date the membership deposit is repaid. Reissuance will commence on a first-resigned, first-reissued basis after the initial issuance of all memberships. Membership deposits and all other Club revenues are the property of the owner of the Club and may be used for any purpose, in its sole discretion.

No membership deposit will be repaid with interest. The right to receive the repayment of a membership deposit is not transferable or negotiable. The repayment of a membership deposit shall constitute a general release of the Club from any liability related in any way to the Club. Notwithstanding any other provision of these Rules, the Club may in its sole discretion cancel any membership at any time and, in such case, refund such member's deposit without interest.
B. Membership deposits, membership annual dues, charges for guests, dining expenses and facility usage fees, plus applicable sales tax, shall be charged in accordance with a schedule of charges promulgated by the Club from time to time. A service charge, determined by the Club from time to time, is added to all food and beverage checks and spa services. Members may be notified of other fees or charges from time to time.
C. The Club's Membership Year commences on November I and ends on October 31. Membership annual dues are payable on or before November 1 of each year and are applicable to the upcoming Membership Year. The membership of any member not paying his or her dues and the Florida State Tax on said dues on or before said date may be discontinued by the Club, unless for reasons submitted to and approved by the Admissions Committee. The Club will provide a member with fifteen (15) days written notice prior to the discontinuance becoming effective. Discontinuance is administrative and will become effective without a hearing. Dues will accrue only for the first year for which they were not paid. In the Club's sole and absolute discretion, a discontinued membership may be reinstated upon payment of all outstanding dues, fees, charges, interest and collection fees plus an additional $10 \%$ of such amounts. Reinstatement would permit the member to continue the membership or to properly resign the membership, making the member eligible for an earlier refund of his or her membership deposit. If the member is not reinstated, he or she will have no right of refund until thirty (30) years from the date of his or her admission, at which time the membership deposit will be refunded, less any amounts owed to the Club. A discontinued membership is not counted toward any applicable membership cap.
D. All indebtedness to the Club should be paid promptly when billed. The membership of any member not paying an indebtedness by the last day of the month in which statement therefore has been given or mailed is delinquent and will be subject to interest at the maximum rate allowable by law until paid. A delinquent membership may be discontinued and reinstated in accordance with the terms of paragraph II.C. The Club shall be entitled to receive reimbursement for all reasonable expenses, including collection fees and attorneys' fees, incurred in the collection of any Club charges. It is the member's responsibility to ensure that the Club has his or her current address.
E. Members are responsible for all charges incurred by family members and guests introduced by them and for damages caused by such persons.
F. Members of the Club will not be subject to any capital or operating assessments. The Club will be responsible for all of such costs and will be entitled to all capital or operating profits. The payment of a membership contribution, dues, fees and other charges is required to maintain a membership and is not considered a capital or operating assessment.
G. The Club makes no representations and expresses no opinions regarding the federal or state income tax consequences of acquiring a membership or repaying all or a portion of the membership deposit without interest. All members acquire their memberships subject to all applicable tax laws as they may exist from time to time. Certain provisions of the Internal Revenue Code impute interest income to a lender with respect to a non-interest bearing loan. It does not appear that these provisions currently apply to the membership deposit. The Internal Revenue Service may, however, issue
regulations which might impute interest income to a member. Members should consult with their own tax advisor with respect to the tax consequences of paying the membership deposit and the Club's non-interest bearing obligation to repay the membership deposit.

## III. RESTRICTIONS

A. Property of the Club shall not be removed from the premises without permission of the Club.
B. No political or business solicitation shall be effected on Club property or with the use of Club stationery or other utilization of the Club name without prior approval of the Club.
C. No commercial or political advertisement or notice of any kind shall be posted or circulated in the Club.
D. No professional photographers shall be allowed to take pictures at the Club except at a private party given by a Member. No reporters, feature witers or other members of the media shall be introduced as guest, if while on the Club's premises they will be pursuing that occupation or gathering material for later publication.
E. Smoking will not be permitted on the Club indoor premises.
IV. AGREEMENT TO RULES: Payment by a Member shall be deemed knowledge and acceptance of these Rules, and any amendments thereto.
V. INTERPRETATION: The Club shall have the sole right to interpret these Rules.
VI. WAIVER: At any time and from time to time, the Club may waive any Rule (or any part of any Rule) if such waiver is deemed by the Club to be in the best interests of the Club or if any Rule (or any part of any Rule) is judged by the Club to result in individual hardship or lack of fairness, with the exception, however, of Rule VII, E. below.

## VII. GENERAL:

A. Events: The Club owner, its agents, affiliates and assignees will have the right to hold tournaments and other events at the Club from which members may be excluded, without compensation to the Club or its members, on the dates and at the times the Club owner desires. The Club owner may market the Club in advertisements and other media by making reference to the Club, including, but not limited to, pictures or drawings of the Club facilities, and the availability of memberships at the Club. Members may use the Club facilities for private parties in accordance with the rules and regulations promulgated from time to time.
B. Reservation Policy: Members are required to make their own reservations for all dining events. We require a cancellation call if you are unable to honor your reservation. Tickets will be required for special events and can be purchased prior to events. With respect to evening Club activities requiring reservations, a charge will be made for reservations not canceled by 12:00 noon on the same date of the event. With respect to daytime Club activities requiring reservations, the reservation must be canceled by 12:00 noon on the day prior to the event.
C. Revocable License: Membership in the Club is acquired on a nonequity basis. It does not confer any vested or prescriptive right or easement to use the Club and its facilities. Members acquire only a revocable license to use the Club and its facilities. They have no ownership or voting interest in the Mar-a-Lago Club, L.C. which operates the Club.
D. Preservation: A detailed set of preservation principles have been established by the Club and are available to Members for review.
E. Declaration of Agreement: The Club will at all times comply with the Declaration of Use of Agreement among the Town of Palm Beach, The Mar-aLago Club, L.C. and Donald J. Trump dated August 11, 1993 and recorded in the Official Records of Palm Beach County, Florida. This agreement includes, without limitation, the following binding provisions:

1. Until the Club operates at a break-even point or profitability for three (3) consecutive years, Donald J. Trump shall pay any and all real estate taxes, maintenance costs, insurance premiums and similar expenses to the extent the Club is unable to meet such obligations.
2. A separate fiduciary account shall be established by the Club into which ten percent $(10 \%)$ of all gross revenues from guest suites shall be deposited and used exclusively for maintenance and restoration purposes.
3. If the Club is intentionally abandoned for a period of one (1) year after the Club has been in operation, or if the Club is intentionally abandoned at any time, Mar-a-Lago shali revert to use as the private single family residence of Donald J. Trump and, under such circumstances, all membership deposits shall be refunded, without interest. Donald J. Trump, the Club and all members of the Club shall hold the Town of Palm Beach harmless from any liability or claim against the Town resulting from the Declaration of Use Agreement, the reversion of single family use, the reversion to Donald J. Trump or any claim resulting therefrom.
F. Recreational Purpose: Memberships in the Club are being offered exclusively for the purpose of permitting persons acquiring memberships to use the Club's facilities. Memberships should not be viewed or acquired as an investment and no person purchasing a membership should expect to derive any economic profits from a membership in the Club.
G. Property Disclaimer: Each member as a condition of membership and each guest as a condition of invitation to the premises of the Club assumes sole responsibility for his or her property. The Club will not be responsible for the loss of, or damage to, property received or held on behalf of Members or guests or kept by them at the Club whether in lockers, cabanas or elsewhere, and whether such loss or damage is due to negligence of the Club or any of its employees or agents or otherwise, nor will it be responsible for errors, mistakes, negligence, or dishonesty of messengers or other employees or concessionaires, nor for the loss of, or damage to, any property entrusted to any employee or concessionaires.
H. Release: In consideration and as a condition of invitation to the Club premises, any member, guest or other person who, in any manner, makes use of, or accepts the use of, any apparatus, appliance, facility, privilege or service, whatsoever owned, leased or operated by the Club, or who engages in any contest, game, function, exercise, competition or other activity operated, organized arranged or sponsored by the Club, either on or off the Club's premises, shall do so at his or her own risk, and shall hold the Club and its affiliates, operator, directors, governors, officers, employees, representatives, agents or concessionaires harmless from any and all loss, cost, claim, injury, damage or liability sustained or incurred resulting therefrom and/or from any act, omission, negligence, malfeasance or misfeasance of the Club or its affiliates, operator, directors, governors, employees, representatives, agents or concessionaires even through that liability may arise out of the negligence or carelessness of the entities or persons released. All parties bound by these Club Rules understand that this release includes any claims based on the negligence, actions or inactions of any or all of the persons released herein. Such bound parties also understand that activities at the Club are inherently dangerous and that access to the Club includes the risk of serious injury or death from errant balls and other causes. Such bound parties accept all risks of access to the Club. Any member shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless the Club and its affiliates, operator, directors, governors, officers, employees, representatives, agents or concessionaires hereunder from any such loss, cost, claim, injury damage or liability sustained or incurred by any guest of that member, family member or servant of such member.

No member shall have any right of action against the Club or any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or concessionaires, to recover losses or damages for injuries to the person or property of such member or the servant or servants of such member, due to negligence, malfeasance or misfeasance of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or concessionaires. Acceptance or continuance of membership by any person shall so far as permitted by law be a waiver and surrender by such member of any such right or action.

Should any party bound by these General Club Rules bring suit against the Club or its affiliates, operator, directors, governors, officers, employees, representatives, agents or concessionaires for any event operated, organized, arranged or sponsored by the Club or any concessionaire or any claim on any matter and fail to obtain judgment therein against the Club or its affiliates,
operator, director, governor, officer, employee, representatives, agents or concessionaires, said party shall be liable to the Club and its affiliates, operator, directors, governors, officers, employees, representatives, agents or concessionaires for all costs and expenses incurred by it in the defense of such suit (including court costs and attorney's fees through all appellate proceedings). Each member acknowledges that all aspects of membership will occur in Palm Beach County, Florida and therefore irrevocably and unconditionally (a) agrees that any suit, action or legal proceeding arising out of or relating to membership will be brought in the courts of record of the State of Florida in Palm Beach County; (b) consents to the jurisdiction of each such court in a suit, action or proceeding; (c) waives any objection which he or she may have to the laying of venue of any such suit, action or proceeding in any such court; and (d) agrees that service of any court paper may be effected on such party by mail, under the applicable laws or court rules in Florida.

## VIII. GUESTS

## A. In General:

1. Weekly guests, day guests, luncheon guests, dinner guests, croquet guests and tennis guests must be accompanied by their sponsor at all times, who is responsible for their conduct.
2. A member shall not introduce as a guest any person who has been expelled from the Club.
B. Guest Card Holders shall be persons sponsored by an Active Member, subject to approval by the Admissions Committee. Such cards are limited to a two-week period per season with a fee.
3. Weekly guest card holders may not introduce guests.
4. The sponsor is responsible for all charges incurred by the weekly guest card holder.
5. Issuance of a weekly card to any particular person is limited to no more than two consecutive years and not more than three years in any six-year period.
6. The Club may restrict or suspend entirely the issuance of weekly guest cards at any time.
C. Day Guests shall be such persons as are introduced by Active Members. A day guest charge shall be in accordance with a schedule of charges promulgated by the Club from time to time.
7. A day guest must be registered by a member prior to using the Club facilities.
8. Regulations require submission of written request and approval by the Club.
9. A day guest may not invite or introduce any other guests.
10. A particular individual may be a day guest only twice per year regardless of the number of sponsoring members. Active Members are requested to use care to keep day guests within the stated limit as guests who have exceeded the limit will be denied access.
11. Children over the age of 10 (other than children or grandchildren of members) shall be considered guests.
D. Luncheon Guests shall be persons introduced and accompanied at luncheon by members.
12. Luncheon guests may remain in the Club until the dining room closes, but if any such guest uses the facilities of the Club for swimming, ternis, sun bathing, etc., he or she will be subject to the day guest charge.
13. The restriction of two visits per year is applicable to luncheon guests. Members are requested to use care to keep their guests within the limit stated, as guests who have exceeded the limit will be denied access.
E. Dinner Guests shall be such persons introduced and accompanied at dinner by members. A particular individual may be a dinner guest only twice per year regardless of the number of sponsoring members. Members are requested to keep dinner guests within the limit stated for dinner guests from time to time, as guests who have exceeded the limit will be denied access.
F. House Guests shall be such persons as may be guests residing with Active Members in residence in their Florida homes. Such persons may receive house guest cards with a limit of two weeks per season. The charge shall be in accordance with a schedule of charges promulgated by the Club from time to time.
14. Unmarried children of Active Members who are living at home or attending school on a full-time basis shall not be considered house guests, so long as such unmarried children have not reached the age of 26. Such children may use the Club without charge.
15. Members of the immediate family of Active Members who are either married, not living at home or attending school on a full-time basis, or are over 25 years old and meet the house guest requirements, may receive house guest privileges for a limit of four weeks per season at a charge in accordance with a schedule of charges promulgated by the Club from time to time.
16. Grandchildren of Active Members are subject to the following regulations:
a) They must be residing with their grandparent in residence in their Florida home.
b) Grandchildren who are age 18 or over will be limited to 4 weeks per season as house guests, and there will be a charge in accordance with a schedule of charges promulgated by the Club from time to time.
17. Active Members are responsible for all charges incurred by house guests. Charge vouchers may be signed by house guests in the name of the sponsoring Active Member.
18. The Club may restrict or suspend entirely the issuance of house guest cards.
19. A house guest may not introduce any other guests.
G. Owners of Woodbridge Road Residences: Owners of residences on Woodbridge Road, Palm Beach, Florida shall have Club privileges and responsibilities in accordance with the Declaration of Use Agreement described in Paragraph VII, E. hereof.
H. Tennis \& Croquet Guests must register and are subject to a charge in accordance with a schedule of charges promulgated by the Club from time to time. A particular individual may be a tennis or croquet guest only twice per Membership Year regardless of the number of sponsoring members.
I. Revocation of Guest Privileges: Use of the Club by guests is a privilege, subject to the control of the Managing Director, which may at any time and without the assignment of any reason therefore, refuse to grant the privilege requested or revoke any privilege therefore granted.

## IX. EXTENDED GUEST PRIVILEGE:

The Club may permit, in its sole discretion and upon application, approval and payment of applicable fees, a member to annually designate an individual (other than member's spouse and their children under 26) to have use privileges of the Club's facilities. In no event is this convenience intended to permit two individuals to "split" a membership. The current situations in which a Designated User will be permitted are:
a) An unmarried member may designate an unmarried person who resides with him or her as his or her significant other as a Designated User.
b) A member may designate a parent or child who resides with the member as a Designated User.

The Club requires documentation satisfactory to it in order to determine whether a Designated User will be approved. The Club may terminate the privileges of any or all Designated Users in its discretion.

## TENNIS

The rules of conduct and tennis etiquette of the United States Tennis Association and The Mar-a-Lago Club shall apply at all times, except when in conflict with the local rules or with any of the rules herein.

1. Tennis courts will be open for play from 9:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. unless otherwise specified.
2. Players are required to wear appropriate tennis attire at all times, (WHITE IS REQUESTED) collared shirts (men) and soft court sneakers.
3. Court reservations may be made 24-48 hours in advance by calling the Tennis Pro Shop.
4. CANCELLATION POLICY: Reservations MUST be canceled at least ONE hour prior to play. A twenty four (24) hour advance notice is required for cancellation of any lessons or clinics, or you will be charged in full.
5. Members shall have the privilege of inviting tennis guests no more two (2) times per Membership Year. Tennis guests must play with a member. A guest fee will be charged.
6. Tennis nembers and their guests are required to register at the pro shop before play. On Mondays and Wednesdays tennis members and their guests may register at the security post.
7. Gates are provided for each court entrance to avoid crossing or entering another court while in play.
8. All persons requesting the return of a tennis ball from another court should ask only when play on that court has halted. Players should not retrieve a tennis ball from another court themselves.
9. Persons not playing should stay off the court surfaces.
10. Courts should be vacated promptly after the reserved playing time is over:
11. Proper tennis etiquette should be observed at all times. Excessive noise, racquet throwing or profanity will not be permitted at any time.
12. Trash and other litter must not be left on the courts
13. No food or smoking is allowed on the courts
14. The Club may reserve courts for tournaments when needed. Notice will be given to member of such an event by posting notice.
15. The Tennis Director shall determine the suitability of the courts for play. Courts may be closed from time to time for maintenance purposes or adverse weather conditions.

Players without a prearranged game are encouraged to call or come to the courts and the Tennis Staff will assist in forming matches.

## THE TRUMP SPA

1. ALL PERSONS MUST REGISTER AT THE SPA FRONT DESK.
2. Bare feet will not be permitted at any time in the exercise rooms.
3. Men and women must wear bathing suits in the swimming pool, steam room and sauna. No cutoffs, dungarees or Bermuda shorts will be permitted.
4. Children under sixteen (16) years of age are not allowed to use the health spa unless accompanied by an adult.
5. A personal trainer shall be on duty upon request in the exercise rooms and all questions regarding use of the equipment or a member's exercise program should be referred to such personal trainer.
6. Exercise equipment may be used only under the direction and supervision of spa personnel.
7. Horseplay, profanity, disruptive conduct and smoking in the spa are strictly prohibited.
8. No alcoholic beverages are permitted in the spa area. Other beverages are permitted only in the exercise rooms.
9. All jewelry and watches must be removed prior to exercising
10. All persons must sign a medical release form prior to using the health and fitness facility when requested by the Club.

## STEAM ROOM POLICIES

1. We recommend that you consult your physician before you use the steam room, as you will be using it at your own risk.
2. Thase with high blood pressure, heart problems and/or respiraiory problems, should avoid using the stream room.
3. Please shower before entering. Begin first exposure on lower bench, and limit yourself to a maximum of ten (10) minutes. Shower and repeat on second bench.
4. Drink 2-3 glasses of water. Keep the head and face cool with cold compresses provided to allow blood pressure to normalize. Finish with a cool rinse.
5. Avoid coming in direct contact with steam jets.

## SAUNA POLICIES

1. We recommend that you consult your physician before you use the sauna, as you will be using it at your own risk.
2. Those with high blood pressure, heart problems, respiratory problems and those who are pregnant should avoid using the sauna.
3. Please shower before entering. Begin first exposure on lower bench, and limit yourself to a maximum of ten (10) minutes. Shower and repeat on second bench.
4. Drink 2-3 glasses of water. Keep the head and face cool with cold compress provided. Finish with a cool rinse.

## SWIMMING POOLAND CABANA REGULATIONS

1. Use of the pool facilities at any time is at the swimmer's risk.
2. The use of the pools, pool areas and the pool facilities is limited to members and their guests.
3. Swimming is permitted only during open hours of the pools.
4. The pool areas include all of the grounds around each of the pools.
5. Immodest bathing attire should not be worn at the Club.
6. Showers are required before entering the pools to remove oils and suntan lotions.
7. All persons using pool furniture are required to cover the furniture with a towel when using suntan lotions. It has been found that these preparations stain and damage the furniture.
8. No bottles, glassware or china may be carried out to the pools by a member or guests at any time.
9. No rough play running, running games, ball throwing, noisy or hazardous activity will be permitted in the pool areas. Pushing, dunking and dangerous games are prohibited.
10. No artificial floating devices (beach balls, tubes, rafts, etc.) are allowed in the pools except under the direction of the Pool Attendant.
11. Snorkeling equipment, other than a mask, is not to be used in the pool areas except as part of an organized course of instruction.
12. Out of consideration for others, radios may only be used when listened to through ear phones.
13. Children under sixteen (16) years of age are not allowed to use the pool facilities unless accompanied by an adult.
14. Nurses, Governesses and Attendants accompanying children learning to swim are permitted the use of the pool until after lessons. Thereafter, they must be dressed in conformity with their position. Parents are responsible at all times for the behavior on Club property of their Nurses, Governesses and Attendants with consideration for the comfort and enjoyment of all others.
15. THE CLUB IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ACCIDENT RESULTING FROM THE USE OF THE POOLS OR FOR LOSS OR DAMAGE OF ARTICLES OF PERSONAL PROPERTY.
16. The Pool Attendants have full authority to enforce pool rules and regulations. Violation of pool rules and regulations shall result in automatic suspension of swimming pool privileges, and the offense shall be reported to the Managing Director for other appropriate disciplinary action.
17. No person with a cold, cough, fever, inflamed eyes, skin disease, etc., shall be permitted in the pools.
18. Use of the pools is permitted daily, weather permitting, as determined by the Managing Director.
19. Food and beverages are not permitted in the pools, cabanas or pool areas, except when provided by the Club.
20. Cabanas are available for rental on a seasonal, weekly or daily basis. Deadlines regarding cabana rental registration may be implemented from time to time and priority may be given to prior renters.
21. The maximum occupancy of a Luxury Cabana is six persons and the maximum number of users of a Resort Cabana is four persons, except that in the case of families with children who are single, reside at home or in school, and who are under twenty-three (23) years of age; all such immediate family members may use the cabana. Applicable guest fees will be charged.
22. All umbrellas used on the Club property shall be furnished by the Ciub. A fee for umbrella use may be charged.
23. Any personal items in the pool areas or cabanas are subject to approval of the Club.

## CROQUET PROCEDURE, CUSTOMS \& COURT ETIQUETTE

1. The croquet lawn will be open for play 9:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. unless otherwise specified.
2. Court reservations of 1-1/2 hour intervals may be made at any time through the Tennis Office.
3. Members shall have the privilege of inviting croquet guests no more than twice per Membership Year. Croquet guests must play with a member. A guest fee will be charged.
4. Courts may be closed from time to time for maintenance purposes or adverse weather conditions.
5. Players should strive to play by the rules of the game and not try to circumvent the ethics and morality of the rules of the game.
6. When conflict exists with these Rules, these Rules shall prevail.
7. Croquet players customarily wear all white apparel on the court.
8. Courtesy should be extended to one's opponent(s) as well as to one's playing partner at all times.
9. Players should avoid any behavior that distracts a striker attempting a shot.
10. Opponents should remain off the playing surface when it is not their turn.
11. Players should avoid verbal confrontations with each other by expressing their legitimate concerns to, if available, the referee.
12. Courtesy and good sportsmanship are expected of all players and officials at all times.
13. Players are under an obligation to avoid acts that may be considered detrimental to the game of croquet.
14. Players should not audibly swear at a player, official, or spectator, use obscene, abusive or insulting language or gestures, throw a mallet or hit a ball in protest or anger.

USCA Official Rules can be obtained from the Director of Croquet.

## SECURITY REGULATIONS

ALL MEMBERS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE OBSERVANCE OF THE SECURITY REGULATIONS.

1. MAIN GATE

## ALL members must use this entrance.

THE MAIN GATE OPENS AT 7:00 A.M. ON SUNDAY THE MAIN GATE WILL CLOSE AT 3:00 P.M.

ALL MEMBERS ARE REQUIRED TO "STOP" IN FRONT OF THE VALET WHEN USING THE MAIN GATE.

## 2. NORTH SERVICE EXIT

AT NO TIME should the North Service Exit be used except for Exit off property. Entrance at this exit is prohibited for your safety and the safety of others.

402794
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# Memorandum Re: Mar-a-Lago Club Trump Residency 

| To: | Mayor and Town Council |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | John C. Randolph, Town Attorney |

Each of you are aware of the allegations of neighbors of the Mar-a-Lago Club that former President Donald J. Trump is not allowed to reside at Mar-a-Lago since it was converted in 1993 to a private club. In sum, it is argued that Mar-a-Lago is either a private residence or a club, but cannot be both.

I have previously provided you with historical documents relating to the conversion of Mar-a-Lago from a private residence to a private club, including the application, minutes of applicable meetings, the Declaration of Use Agreement relating to the Club, amendments to said Agreement and the zoning ordinance which defines private club. These documents shed light on the conditions of approval of the Club and the question of whether Trump may reside at Mar-a-Lago subsequent to it having been converted to a private club.

The Application for Special Exception 11-93 was submitted by the Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. on April 29, 1993 requesting a special exception to convert Mar-a-Lago from a residential use to a private club. The application was filed pursuant to that section of the Town Zoning Code relating to District R-AA, Large Estate Residential, which allows "private social swimming, golf, tennis and yacht clubs" as a special exception use. Notably, the definition of private club under the zoning code provides, in part,
"Within residential zoning districts, a private club may provide living quarters for its bona fide employees only."

The purpose of this regulation prohibiting living quarters except for the Club's bona fide employees is to keep a club from turning into a multi-family residence or a commercial use such as a hotel, neither of which are permitted uses in this R-AA District.

The Application for Special Exception was first heard at a special meeting of the Town Council on May 13, 1993. The minutes of that meeting reflect the following regarding a statement of Paul Rampell representing the applicant:
"Another question asked of him is whether or not Mr. Trump will continue to live at Mar-a-Lago and the answer is 'No,' except that he will be a member of the Club and would be entitled to use the guest rooms."

Some have suggested that this statement is dispositive of the manner in which former President Trump may use the Club, i.e., only as a member using the guest suites for no more than three (3) non-consecutive seven (7) day periods during the year. It is important to note, however, that the Declaration of Use Agreement, ultimately agreed to and executed by the parties, did not incorporate a direct prohibition on former President

Trump residing at the Club, the language in the Agreement pertaining only to the members' use of the guest suites. Because the Agreement is silent in regard to a specific prohibition on Trump residing at the Club, the Town should look to its Zoning Code to determine whether there is any prohibition on former President Trump residing at the Mar-a-Lago Club. The Code prohibits living quarters within a club except for its bona fide employees. The definition of Employee in the Town's Code provides as follows:
"Employee means any person generally working onsite for the establishment and includes sole proprietors, partners, limited partners, corporate officers and the like."

I believe this issue, therefore, hinges primarily on whether former President Trump is a bona fide employee of the Club. In that regard, please see the attached letter from John Marion, representing former President Trump and the Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. This letter includes representations relating to former President Trump's residency at Mar-aLago both before and after its conversion to a Club, which, although of historical significance, are not as legally relevant, in my opinion, as the representations regarding whether or not former President Trump is a bona fide employee of the Club. If he is a bona fide employee of the Club, absent a specific restriction prohibiting former President Trump from residing at the Club, it appears the Zoning Code permits him to reside at the Club.

I recommend that the Mayor and Town Council hear presentations in regard to this matter from all interested parties including, but not limited to, the neighbors to Mar-aLago, their representatives, representatives of former President Trump, the Mar-a-Lago Club and other interested parties. After entertaining all of the relevant presentations, the Town Council should deliberate on this matter and determine what action, if any, should be taken.

I will be happy to answer any questions the Mayor and Town Council have in regard to this matter.


January 28, 2021

John (Skip) C. Randolph, Esq.
Jones, Foster, Johnston \& Stubbs, P.A.
505 South Flagler Drive
Suite 1100
West Palm Beach
Florida 33401
Re: The Mar-A-Lago Club
Dear Skip:
I represent President Donald J. Trump and The Mar-a-Lago Club.
As we have discussed, an issue has arisen questioning whether President Trump, as the Owner of The Mar-a-Lago Club ("MAL"), has the right to reside there. I am writing to explain why that position has absolutely no merit.

Chronologically, President Trump purchased Mar-a-Lago from the Post Foundation in 1985 and utilized it as his private residence ${ }^{1}$. In 1993, the Town of Palm Beach ("Town") approved an application for a special exception (one that was contemplated by the existing zoning code and did not require a variance) to use MAL as a private social club. The 1993 application for this special exception specifically stated:
"...the actual usage of Mar-a-Lago will not change. No new activity will occur which cannot, does not or has not taken place in the past under the existing zoning of this property. The applicant seeks no physical change whatsoever to the property (such as, for instance, the addition or demolition of any improvement)..." (Emphasis supplied)

[^26]After much discussion, debate and negotiations, the special exception was granted and a Declaration of Use Agreement ("Agreement") was mutually drafted and ultimately executed by the Town, The Mar-a-Lago Club, Inc. and President Trump as Owner of MAL. Under the terms of the Agreement, the Town required the Owner to remain ultimately responsible for the property and all related taxes and expenses.

Importantly, while the Town could have specifically provided in the Agreement that the Owner could not reside on the property, it did not. The Town did specifically limit the use of the guest suites on the property ("The use of guest suites shall be limited to a maximum of three (3) non-consecutive seven (7) day periods by any one member during the year"), but President Trump does not use a guest suite when at MAL, he uses the "Owner's Suite", which is not a guest suite. It was never intended that conversion of MAL to a private club would change the nature of or the Owner's right to use the Owner's Suite.

Also important is the fact that the Agreement states:
"The use of the Land shall be for a private social club in compliance with all of the information and exhibits included in the application not inconsistent with the terms set forth herein, and subject to such uses not inconsistent with the terms set forth herein, set forth in the Application for Special Exception No. 11-93 and The Mar-aLago Club: A Special Exception Use and Preservation Plan, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Plan") as submitted to the Town." (Emphasis supplied)

And the Application and Plan specifically refer to and describe in detail the very Owner's Suite we are discussing ("Owner's Suite: Accessible from the south end of the cloister...the Owner's Suite consists of Pine Hall (an antechamber), a vestibule (Louis XV Hall), the owner's bedroom, toilet, bath and drawing room, and the Norwegian Room and bath"). It has always been the case, before and after the execution of the Agreement in 1993, that President Trump has resided in the Owner's Suite when at MAL, a use which has been far in excess of three visits per year and has never been challenged. Referring again to the specific language of the Application, it states:
"In its conversion from a so-called Large Residential Estate to a private social club, the actual usage of Mar-a-Lago will not change. No new activity will occur which cannot, does not or has not taken place in the past under the existing zoning of this property." (Emphasis supplied)

President Trump as well as Marjorie Merriweather Post resided at Mar-a-Lago prior to its use as a private social club, and his act of residing there ever since is clearly a use completely consistent with the use of the property in the past as set forth in the Application and adopted in the Agreement.

The Agreement is the entire agreement of the parties, and it specifically says so:
"This Agreement represents the entire agreement between the parties as to its subject

Sellars, Marion \& Bachi, P.a.<br>ATTORNEYS AND COU*SELORS

matter and it may not be amended except by written agreement executed by both parties."
As you know, this means that nothing that may have been said by or on behalf of any of the parties to the Agreement before it was entered into is relevant. The parties negotiated the terms of the Agreement over numerous months and after much open debate and discussion. Under the law, the only language relevant to the Agreement is the specific language of the Agreement itself, and the documents to which is makes specific reference. And the Agreement itself, through its reference to the Application and Plan, not only refers to the Owner's Suite, but describes it in great detail, and states that the actual usage of the property will not change.

Finally, the Town's Zoning Code specifically allows President Trump to reside at MAL. The relevant provisions are contained within Sec. 134-2. - Definitions and rules of construction:
"...(b) Definitions. The following words, terms and phrases, when used in this chapter, shall have the meanings ascribed to them in this section, except where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:
...Club, private means buildings and/or facilities, not open to the general public, owned and operated by a corporation or association of persons for social or recreational purposes for members and their bona fide guests and which may render, as an accessory use, services that are customarily carried on as a business. Within residential zoning districts, a private club may provide living quarters for its bona fide employees only.
...Employee means any person generally working on site for the establishment and includes sole proprietors, partners, limited partners, corporate officers and the like." (Emphasis supplied)

President Trump is the President of Mar-A-Lago Club, LLC (the legal owner of MAL), and as a corporate officer oversees the property. He is therefore a bona fide employee within the express terms of the Town's Zoning Code. As such, separate and apart from all of the other reasons outlined above, under the Town's own Zoning Code he is clearly entitled to reside there.


JBM/bb
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# TOWN OF PALM BEACH 

Town Clerk's Office

## MINUTES OF THE TOWN COUNCIL MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 9, 2021

## I. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

The Town Council Meeting was called to order at 9:30 a.m. On roll call, all council members were found to be present.

## II. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF AL LEGIANCE

Town Clerk Nieves gave the Invocation and President Zeidman led the Pledge of Allegiance.

## III. MODIFICATIONS TO THE AGENDA

There were no modifications to the agenca.

## IV. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council Member Crampton to approve the agenda. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.

## V. COMMENTS OF MAYOR GAIL L. CONIGLIO

Mayor Coniglio thanked Susan Gary, Jef Smith, and Tony Dowell for their service working on the Undergrounding project since its inception. She commented on political upheaval and expressed hope for the return of civility, courtesy, and partnership.
VI. COMMENTS OF TOWN COUNCIL MEMBERS

There were no comments from Council members at this time.

## VII. COMMUNICATIONS FROM CITIZENS-3MINUTE LIMIT PLEASE

There were no comments from citizens at this time.
VIII. APPROVAL OF CONSENT AGENDA

Motion was made by Council Member Moore and seconded by Council Member Lindsay to approve the Consent Agenda, as amended. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.
A. MINUTES

1. Town Council Meeting Minutes

Queenester Nieves, CMC, Town Clerk
a. January 12, 2021, Town Council Meeting Minutes
b. January 13, 2021, Local Planning Agency Meeting Minutes
c. January 13, 2021, Town Council Development Review Meeting Minutes
d. January 15, 2021, Special Town Council Meeting Minutes
2. Approval of Major Matters Considered by the Architectural Review Commission at its Meeting of January 27, 2021.
Wayne Bergman, Director of Planning, Zoning and Building
B. RESOLUTIONS

1. RESOLUTION NO. 018-2021 A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, Approving a Purchase Order to GHD Inc. for the Lake Worth Inlet Sand Transfer Plant Integrity Assessment in the amount of $\$ 141,710$ and a Project Budget of \$155,000. - Pulled and Heard as Item No. XII.B.I Dean Mealy, Purchasing Manager
2. RESOLUTION NO. 019-2021A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, Approving a Purchase Order to L.J. Power Inc. for the Purchase of a Towable Generator in the amount of $\$ 77,900$ and a Project Budget of $\$ 85,000$.
Dean Mealy, Purchasing Manager
C. OTHER
3. Approval of Town Manager Performance Evaluation Kirk W. Blouin, Town Manager
4. Budget Calendar for the FY22 Budget Process Jane Le Clainche. Director of Finance
5. Authorization for a Lane Closure and Waiver to Town Code for Construction Hours for the Florida Department of Transportation Landscape Installation at Southern Bridge. H. Paul Brazil, P.E., Director of Public Works
6. Accept Donation of Firearms from Anonymous Donor for Purchase of Equipment and/or Other Services for the Police Department.
Nicholas Caristo, Chiefof Police

## IX. BOARD/COMMISSION ANNUAL REPORT

A. Annual Report of the Investment Advisory Committee (written report only).
Chris Storkerson, Chair
Council President Zeidman pointed out that Mr. Storkerson and the Investment Advisory Committee had done an excellent job.

Motion was made by Council Member Moore and seconded by
Council Member Araskog to accept the Annual Report of the
Investment Advisory Committee. On roll call, the Motion passed
unanimously.
X. COMMITTEE REPORTS
A. Report of the Business and Administrative Committee Meeting Held on January 7, 2021.
Lew Crampton, Chair
Chair Crampton noted three meetings of the Business and Administrative Committee had been held since November. An upcoming meeting scheduled for February 19 would be held with staff and the public to discuss recommendations that could be made to the Town Council. He reported the Committee was making great progress and looking forward to improving parking and becoming a part of the upcoming retail study.

President Zeidman commented on the great jcb this Committee was doing.
Mayor Coniglio expressed concerns for placard parking at 100 Bradley Place and metered parking on Royal Poinciana Way. She felt everyone would embrace valet parking.

Council Member Moore reminded everyone that any decisions would come before the Town Council for discussion before approval.

Council Member Araskog agreed with Mayor Coniglio, commented the public did not like meters, and stated she liked the idea of valet parking.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay agreed with Mayor Coniglio and with Council Member Araskog in regard to meters.

> Motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council Member Moore to accept the Report of the Business and Administrative Committee Meeting held on January 7, 2021. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.

## XI. PUBLIC HEARINGS

A. RESOLUTION NO. 020-2021 A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, Providing for the Designation and/or the DeDesignation of the Historic/Specimen Trees at 501 North Lake Way, 386 Hibiscus Ave, Crescent Park and Corner of Miraflores and North Lane Way, Providing an Effective Date.
H. Paul Brazil, P.E., Director of Public Works

Director of Public Works Brazil requested removal of one of the trees on the historic and specimen tree list which was declining. He reported three new trees would be added to the list.

Council Member Araskog thanked Director Brazil and the arborist for finding the new trees.

Motion was made by Council Member Crampton and seconded by Council Member Moore to approve Resolution No. 020-2021. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously

## XII. REGULAR AGENDA

## A. Matters Pulled From Consent Agenda: If needed

1. RESOLUTION NO. 018-2021 A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, Approving a Purchase Order to GHD Inc. for the Lake Worth Inlet Sand Transfer Plant Integrity Assessment in the amount of $\$ 141,710$ and a Project Budget of $\$ 155,000$. Dean Mealy, Purchasing Manager

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay commented she had been a member of the Shore Board, and rebuilding the sand transfer plant in 2010 was one of their first priorities. She noted the sand transfer plant was the only source of actual beach sand. She felt it was important to know the history of this plant, and has been embraced by all the environmental groups, and it was the cheapest sand per cubic yard that the island could get. She stressed the importance of how critical this was to the northern end of the island.

Town Manager Blouin commented the town needs to examine the integrity of the building and the equipment and to assess today's situation as well as to look into the future to see if there was a better way to use the plant.

Director Brazil commented staff wanted to be proactive to be able to budget for repairs and anticipate them.

Council Member Crampton asked if the Town was still getting funding from Palm Beach County to support the Sand Transfer Plant. Mayor Coniglio responded Palm Beach County provided $\$ 300,000$ in funding the Sand Transfer Plant.. and expressed her opinion that the cost benefit analysis was necessary. She considered this a critical part of the Town's coastal management program.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay commented on the importance of the plant and that it was the only place the island was getting high quality beach sand. She commented the only other sand transfer plant in the county served the non-commercial inlet of Boynton Inlet, and was operated fully at the expense of county tax dollars.

Motion was made by Council President Pro Tem Lindsay and seconded by Council Member Araskog to approve Resolution No. 018-2021. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.
B. Old Business

1. COVID-19 Update

Kirk W. Blouin, Town Manager
TIME CERTAIN: 10:30 AM
a. Report on COVID-19 Infections, Hospitalizations and Vaccines
Darrell Donatto, Fire Rescue Chief
Council President Zeidman expressed appreciation for Chief Donatto's outstanding job of vaccine distribution and proactively going out into the community to provide vaccine for elderly shut-ins.

Chief Donatto reported improvement in the number of new cases and hospitalizations trending down, but needed to drop further. He discussed how dangerous this disease was for people over 65 and thanked the Governor for prioritizing the elderly. The Chief commented staff were participating in a state of Florida mission to vaccinate holocaust survivors, using vaccines that came directly from the state. He thanked Dr. Alonzo for her work with the vaccine distribution. He expressed his opinion that Publix was the best place to get the vaccine, but everyone should get it wherever ard whenever they could. Cautioned after being vaccinated people should continue to wear their mask, practice social distancing and hand washing.

Council Member Araskog commented on the number of residents expressing thanks they were able to get the vaccine, and asked if they should send an email to be taken off the waiting list if they received the shot elsewhere. Chief Donatto responded they should notify the Department of Health, since that would help with scheduling future appointments for those remaining on the list. Council Member Araskog offered tips to help get an appointment on the computer, suggested to keep trying.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay asked if people with underlying conditions or living with a person over 65 in their household would be prioritized to get the vaccine. Chief Donatto responded the next level of priority would be essential workers, and then it should not be long before the general population would receive the vaccine.

Council Member Araskog mentioned the Governor had been sending a lot more vaccine to Palm Beach County, and expressed her appreciation.

Council President Zeidman commented on the new variants of COVID-19 which were much more transmissible and made wearing a mask extremely important.

## b. Compliance with Emergency Order and Mandates Nicholas Caristo, Police Chief

Police Chief Caristo reported since last Council meeting, restaurants had been shutting down before curfew allowing their patrons and staff to have ample time to get home prior to curfew.

Council President Zeidman thanked Chief Caristo for a good report.

## c. Discussion About Town-Wide Curfew

Council Member Moore felt the curfew should remain as is since it was working.
Council President Pro Tem Lindsay agreed and thanked the restaurants that came into compliance. She also thanked Chief Caristo for checking the establishments.

Mayor Coniglio concurred, but asked to revisit the Town-wide curfew in March.
Council Member Araskog agreed, and felt the curfew should be revisited each month.
Council President Zeidman agreed and felt the curfew should remain and be revisited each month.

Council Member Crampton felt the curfew should remain as is.
Motion was made by Council Member Moore and seconded by Council President Pro Tem Lindsay to approve maintaining the current hours from 1:00 a.m. to 5:00 a.m. which will be revisited at the March 2, 2021, Town Council Meeting. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.

## d. Discussion About March Public Meetings

Deputy Town Manager Boodheshwar advised all the audio visual upgrade work had been completed in Ccuncil chambers. If Council wanted to hold a hybrid meeting that would be possible.

Mayor Coniglio favored going to meeting in person as numbers were going down.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay supported going back to in person meetings in March.

Council Member Araskog favored going into chambers with a hybrid meeting because elderly members of the public could participate if unable to attend in person. She asked if President Zeidman could change that if there was a surge in case numbers.

President Zeidman commented she had made that decision in the past, she anticipated being together for the March meeting. She cautioned to keep comments short and not to repeat things, because the Council Chamber does not have ventilation to the outdoors. .

Town Manager Blouin suggested setting a benchmark of possibly $10 \%$ positivity rate or less to meet in person and over $10 \%$ to meet virtually. Council President agreed that metrics should be considered. A major shift in the number of new infections would be of concern. She suggested, she and the Mayor would meet and inform the council of increase.

Mayor Coniglio commented she metrics would be looking for a spike from where the number was now.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay felt meetings should continue in person, being careful, because the quality of the meeting was so much better, unless something extraordinary happened.

Council Member Araskog asked if they were considering both county and town cases.

President Zeidman responded they would look at both, and we shall proceed as if the meetings would be in person. If the metrics increased dramatically we will reconsider the "in person" plan.

There was a consensus the Town Council meeting was to be held in person in the Town Council chambers.
e . RESOLUTION NO. 021-2021 A Resolution Of The Town Council Of The Town Of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida Concurring With The Request Of The

Town's Chief Of Police, To Extend The Chief's Declaration Of The Existence Of A State Of Emergency Within The Corporate Limits Of The Town To March 2, 2021, Unless Earlier Terminated By The Chief Of Police, At Which Time The Town Council Will Address The Need For Any Extension Of The Declaration Of Emergency; Providing For An Effective Date.

Motion was made by Council Member Crampton and seconded by Council Member Lindsay to approve Resolution No. 021-2021. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.

Following a 30 minute break, the meeting reconvened.
2. Palm Beach Marina Update
H. Paul Brazil, P.E., Directorof Public Works

TIMECERTAIN: 11:00AM
a. Update on Progress of Project Construction

Director of Public Works Brazil reported the status of the different parts of the project.

Council Member Crampton inquired how the dock arriving in segments were put together. Director Brazil explained the process.
b. RESOLUTION NO. 022-2021_A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, Increasing Purchase Order No. 200662 to Murray Logan Construction Inc., in the Amount of $\$ 138,685.20$, for Materials, Labor and Installation Associated with the Electrical and Low Voltage Conduit for the Town Marina Project, and Approving a Task Budget of $\$ 150,000$.

Director of Public Works Brazil explained this resolution included conduit for all of the low voltage, to tie together every part of the marina with conduit to make the security and access systems work. Funding would come from the project contingency, as anticipated.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay asked if this was the first contingency money used. Director Brazil responded it was not and he would report the amount used at next month's Council meeting.

Motion was made by Council Member Crampton and seconded by Council Member Moore to approve Resolution No. 022-2021. On
roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.
c. Update on Conceptual Landscaping Design for Lake Drive Park.

Deputy Town Manager Boodheshwar provided background information on the changes to Lake Drive Park. He recalled that at the January meeting Keith Williams had presented his first draft of proposed landscape improvements in the park. Council had requested items for the team to revisit and directed the project team to reach out to the neighborhoods to ensure that all interested residents nearby and far away had the ability to provide feedback before the final design came back to Council. Mr. Bocdheshwar reported focus groups and a community input session had been held since the January meeting. Revisions to the initial plans were more in line with the desire for open lawns, open vistas, and are reflective of the main themes of the feedback received. The plans presented today would also be presented to Landmarks Preservation Commission on February 17, and will come back to Council for final approval. Director Brazil will work with the project team and project contractor to price the improvements and Scott Snyder would begin fundraising. The goal was to finish the park improvements within the current Marina project schedule.

Keith Williams provided his presentation, which incorporated community and council feedback.

Mayor Coniglio thanked Mr. Williams and Mary for creating this and for their collaboration with the community. She felt at this point the project was moving in the right direction. She confirmed with Mr. Williams that walking along the walkway, one would be able to see the water.

Council Member Araskog confirmed the pathways would not be wider than 4 feet because golf carts were not wanted on the walking paths. Mr. Williams also confirmed the green space would be slightly increased. Council Member Araskog requested a slight adjustment on one walkway. She asked staff to consider the placement of coconut palms so coconuts would not fall on anyone. She mentioned that she loved the doggie fountain.

Council Member Moore asked about changing the asphalt for permeable pavers. Discussion ensued.

Deputy Town Manager Boodheshwar requested direction from the Council. 1. Plan A, replace all the asphalt with the environmentally friendly pavers. 2. Plan B add walkways and trail. 3. Plan C would be doing in stages.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay confirmed with Mr. Williams that a total of four angled parking spaces would be lost with the new landscaping. She agreed with Council Member Moore or: the plans and supported the permeable pavers. Council Member Moore inquired having Sable Palms as well as coconut palms since there was less maintenance. Noted the new plan showed outstanding progress, and thanked Mr. Williams for his work.

Council Member Crampton supported the permeable pavers and urged creating habitat for butterflies. He expressed his appreciation to Mr. Williams.

Council President Zeidman congratulated Mr. Williams on the new design and expressed her preference for replacing the asphalt with permeable pavers.

Council Member Araskog asked if the pavers would be uneven and cause problems for walkers. Mr. Williams responded the picture presented caused the pavers to look uneven. Councilmember Araskog thanked Mr. Williams for his hard work.

Council President Zeidman called for public comment.
John David Corey, 426 Australian Avenue, commented the Friends' group would like to be an ongoing partner with the town and help maintain the park by providing volunteers. He thanked Mr. Williams for doing this work pro bono and for working with the community. He expressed appreciation for the renderings showing the trees, appropriate street furniture, and adding native Sabal Palms. Shared his expertise on using mulch instead of gravel.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay felt the park was now on the right path and thanked the community for their patience, and staff for listening to the community and to Mr. Williams as well. She felt this would be a spectacular addition and would fit into the Palm Beach community.

Council Member Araskog asked if there was an update on the funding. Mr. Williams indicated he would call Scott Snyder following this meeting.

Mayor Coniglio expressed her faith that Mr. Snyder would accomplish the necessary fundraising.

Mr. Keith Williams provided an update on the conceptual Landscaping Design for Lake Drive and there was a consensus to move forward with the Conceptual Landscaping Design Park.
3. Town-wide Undergrounding Project
a. Review of Project and Dashboard, Summary of Project Status

Patricia Strayer, Town Engineer, provided a written report and verbally reported the status of chicanes and easements in each phase of the Town-wide Undergrounding project. Ms. Strayer showed equipment placed in the right-ofway of Australian Avenue in Phase 4, and discussed the option of placing the equipment it in the road which would cost more and eliminate some parking.
b. RESOLUTION NO. 023-2021 A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, Authorizing the Town Manager to Exccute an Easement and Use Agreement Between the Town of Paln Beach and Florida Power \& Light (FPL) at 1060 North Lake Way for Access, and Maintenance of FPL Equipment.

Patricia Stayer, Town Engineer discussed the issue with acquiring property owner's agreement to have switch cabinets placed on their private property.

Mayor Coniglio noted placing equipment where a pump station existed and hidden from the community was a win win solution.

Motion was made by Council Member Moore and seconded by Council President Pro Tem Lindsay to approve Resolution No. 023-2021. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.

> c. RESOLUTION NO. 024-2021 A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Bcach, Palm Bcach County, Florida, Authorizing the Town Manager to Execute Easements and Use Agreements Between the Town of Palm Beach and Florida Power \& Light (FPL) Upon Town Owned Land Located at 360 South Ocean Boulevard. Town of Palm Beach Parking Lot on Australian Avenue, 359 South County Road, and 400 South County Road.

Town Engineer Strayer reviewed each proposed location.
Council Member Araskog expressed concern trees would be lost. Director Brazil assured her more trees would be planted.

Town Manager Blouin suggested landscaping which would hide
equipment.
Motion was made by Council Member Moore and seconded by Council President Pro Tem Lindsay to approve Resolution No. 024-2021. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.

Council Member Crampton asked about cost trends within the project. Town Manager Blouin responded in the revised budget actual costs were used, the difficulty of obtaining easements caused delays which translated to more cost, and a shortfall was expected.

Director Brazil commented the project was on track, based on current knowledge and staff would come to Council each month to report project status; once a construction coatract was awarded staff would have more information to provide to the Council. Mr. Brazil commented the best thing to do was to get the work done as soon as possible. He noted easements were a problem.

Mayor Coniglio asked when the demonstration chicanes would be installed. Ms. Strayer responded staff would present to Council next month, then the installation would began shortly thereafter. Mayor Coniglio suggested for the installation to be done as soon as possible. Director Brazil suggested skipping the presentation and going ahead with installation. The Mayor agreed.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay felt this was a terrific idea. She asked about the progress on Lake Drive, she had concerns regarding the roadways when the Marina opened. Director Brazil indicated the Marina did not depend upon the work out in the roadway, but they were trying to get that work done as soon as possible so as not to impact the roadway after the Marina opened. Council President Pro Tem Lindsay requested a monthly update on the water main status.

Council Member Araskog expressed disappointment regarding the landscaping, and inquired additional landscaping could be added when the equipment was placed between the sidewalk and street. She complimented Ms. Strayer on her work with the chicanes and getting easements from the residents. She asked to be notified when mock chicanes were going to be built in case Council might know someone in the area who could help.

Council Member Crampton commented a meeting was being set up with a property owner on Golfview to try to resolve an easement issue.

Clerk's Note: A recess was taken at 12:40 p.m. Meeting resumed at 1:25 p.m.

# 4. 2021 Reach 7/Phipps Ocean Park Beach Nourishment Project Update. <br> H. Paul Brazil, P.E., Director of Public Works 

Town Manager Blouin provided background information on the contract presented to the Town by Sloan's Curve Condominium Association. Director of Public Works Paul Brazil reviewed the settlement agreement, which included were grievances from 15 years ago.

Mayor Coniglio opined that creating a contract with a specific area would be problematic, and would set a precedent which could potentially handcuff the Town going forward.

Council Member Crampton suggested as a form of compromise to agree to a series of one-year agreements to be renewed annually.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay recalled a past engineering consultant had said the natural outcropping existed there offered much greater protection than any structure because it broke the energy of the waves and protected the upland property. She noted $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}$ in FEMA funds would be lost if this project did not proceed.

Council Member Araskog asked Town Manager Blouin for additional comments.
Town Manager Blouin suggested to ask Sloan's Curve Condominium Association not to file an objection and allow staff to work with them on technical aspects where there was disagreement.

Council Member Araskog asked about rebuilding the dunes and the mitigation if there were adverse aspects. Director Brazil responded.

Council President Zeidman felt it was not the appropriate to set separate agreements with the association, she felt that would set a terrible precedent.

Council Member Moore felt that not moving the project forward would remove added protection if there was a storm this summer, and she would like those residents to understand the Town was trying to protect the resident as well as the entire south end.

Council Member Crampton asked the time frame for a challenge to be made. Rob Weber, Program Manager, responded a challenge has not been issued, he explained once a challenged is made this would open a two-week window for any petitioners to challenge issuance of the IPA.

Council Member Crampton commented if the decision was made to challenge the permit and prevent the process from going forward, their properties and the entire south end would not get the sand deposition needed and the Town would lose $\$ 10 \mathrm{M}$ in Federal
funds and that association would be responsible. He urged his friends in that association to consider the consequences carefully, which would be dire and felt throughout the Town.

Comments were made by members of the association.
Steve Tannenbaum, President of the 2100 Condominium Association, spoke on behalf of the 192-unit owners, suggested that the Town Council encourage the Town Manager and staff to negotiate a mutually acceptable completion of the draft settlement agreement. The unit owners wanted an enforceable agreement that the Town. This agreement would include any damage from adverse events, also make the Town's promises explicit and enforceable. Mr. Tannenbaum discussed details of the proposed agreement.

Robert Davidow, 2100 South Ocean Boulevard, stated he felt there were some misunderstanding regarding the agreement. He complimented the Council Member Crampton and Town Manager Blouin for their good faith in trying to resolve this matter. He suggested having the commitment in writing as what was agreed to.

Joe Goldstein spoke on the chronology of their involvement. When the Town revised the borrow area, they requested a meeting with the Town and Department of Environmental Protection. The settlement agreement was drafted and revised but no redline copy had come back. He advised the association would like to negotiate a mutually beneficial agreement. He suggested that over the next 36 to 48 hours that Town staff meet with the association to review the settlement agreement. The association would include the Department of Environmental Protection in those conversations and try to get the minimum assurances needed.

Director Brazil commented it was impossible to tell the cause of the erosion or its adverse impacts was the result of prior dredging. This had caused frustration for quite some time.

Council Member Crampton pointed out the legal constraints of the Town. Felt it was good the association was willing to continue negotiations, stated the consequences of not reaching an agreement could possibly cause 2000 residents in the south end not have sand on their beaches and $\$ 10$ million in federal funding would be forfeited. He felt that should be enough to get everyone to the table to come up with language to give confidence that the Town would do what it said it was going to do.

Mayor Coniglio confirmed with Mr. Weber and Director Brazil that the permit for the borrow sites had specific requirements and was not something the Town created. Mayor Coniglio stated the permit required the Town to mitigate impacts from the borrow area and dredging. She disagreed with Council Member Crampton that a comfort agreement was binding because it was the permit which was binding. She implored the association to let this project go forward and they would receive input sand on their beach, saving taxpayer dollars, and the Town would have to be totally within the permit conditions.

Council President Zeidman stated the Town of Palm Beach will not be forced into a game of chicken. She felt that the town and the association could come to a compromise, which acknowledges the concerns of the residents who live in the area without creating a separate agreement. Additionally she pointed out that the residents were asking for protections the Town was already required to provide. The Town was already taking care of the dunes in order to be prepared for summer storms. She stated this had to go forward because all of those people could not be left at risk during a storm, but the agreement as submitted was impossible for the Town to sign.

Town Manager Blouin advocated for continuing discussion and if the Town was to consider doing this that it not be longer than for a one-year period and that any remediation by the Town was very specific.

Council Member Moore confirmed with staff this project was already monitored many times each year and failing to move forward would jeopardize the entire south end in the event of a storm. The DEP and Army Corps had already approved this, and she hoped Sloan's Curve would do the right thing for their neighbors.

Council Member Araskog asked Town Attorney Randolph how he felt about entering into a contract with an individual property versus what had been done in the past.

Town Attorney Randolph was very uncomfortable with it and felt it would set a precedent and encourage other people in future projects to try to hold up the Town in the same fashion. He stated he was in agreement with Town Manager Blouin and staff in their opinion in that regard.

Council Member Araskog thought the Town Attorney was saying this could open the floodgates to these kinds of agreements and to litigation.

Council Member Crampton answered the Town Manager's request for direction that his advice would be to continue to talk in a firm, frank manner, and do their best to arrive at specifics that would work. It would be up to the negotiators to come up with a solution and the association would be responsible for the consequences if the process did not go forward.

Public Comment continued.
Karyn Erickson clarified the condominiums were built pre 1987 so were not on piles and therefore highly vulnerable. She also referred to the 2016 dredging, discussed erosion rates between 2017 and 2019, and the amount of sand per cubic yard in replacement. She commented the Town's document showed less than one cubic yard of sand replacement per foot in front of these condominiums was proposed currently, and in the last three years they had lost more than 55 cubic yards per foot of shoreline.

Warren Belmar, 130 Sunrise, member of the Shore Protection Board, thanked the

Council for taking time to go over this, making it clear that one could not enter into agreements like this, providing special treatment for any one property owner. He believed FEMA money was available to everyone and if that was the case, everyone would be affected, not just those south of Sloan's Curve.

Town Council gave direction to staff to meet with the Stakeholders to come to a consensus.
D. New Business

1. RESOLUTION NO. 025-2021 A Resolution of the Town Council of the Town of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, Approving the Award of RFP No. 2021-01, Retail Study for the Town of Palm Beach to Yard and Company in the Amount of $\$ 94,250$ and a Project Budget of $\$ 118,000$ and to Approve Source of Funding from Private Donations in the Amount of $\$ 108,000$.
Dean Mealy, Purchasing Manager
Purchasing Manager Dean Mealy introduced the resolution.
Council Member Araskog asked the Purchasing Manager of the names of donors, which he provided. Council Member Araskog questioned staffing requirements to complete the study. Director Bergman responded they may need additional personnel. Mr. Mealy suggested scaling back the Town of Palm Beach involvement or inquired if Yard and Company could reduce their fee to cover expenses incurred by the Town. Council Member Araskog stated she did not support getting a consultant or spending 80 hours of staff time to conduct the study. She felt this was not the time to have a study, and she felt there was not enough information for a full study of the town. She noted the community had not been included. Stated not all Council members were able to participate in the study. Everyone in the Town has a vested interest in this study.

Council Member Moore also had problems with the timing, and was not ready to move forward. She felt it should be done in high season, from December 2021 to April 2022 when hopefully COVID was gone and there would be better opportunity for community input.

Council Member Crampton preferred to move ahead with the study. The Study would provide tools to give Council the opportunity to consider how to improve the situation. He advised every recommendation that came from this study would be vetted by Council.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay commented she had not even thought about doing it at a different time and was interested to know the consultant's thoughts on this.

Mayor Coniglio was concerned that the scope was broad and undefined, and the most important part of this study was community engagement, was lacking. She worried about taking this study too far too fast, and asked to proceed slowly.

Council Member Araskog commented a study like this was needed but because of COVID it would end up with less than if it was delayed until December or January when most residents were present. People were just not responding to attending meetings during COVID.

Council President Zeidman expressed appreciation for those who believed it would be better to wait until December or January, but reminded everyone that there has been an increase in empty stores prior to COVID and it is expected to worsen. Because of the real retail problem, she wanted to get on with this, and it was never anyone's thought that community would not be involved. In fact there has been very early attention given to having a steering committee and having a lot of community involvement.

Council Member Crampton thought Council was overthinking this issue. He stated it was not expensive because the Town was not paying for it. They were assuming the results before they happened, and the study was all about community participation, putting together steering committees, doing all kinds of interview and getting results. He trusted Director Bergman to manage his staff, and in the end all skeptics would have an opportunity to weigh in and be heard, and change direction if that's the way it worked out.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay suggested asking Yard to come to a hybrid meeting next month to allow a couple of hours for going through what they hoped to accomplish and time for public comment. She mentioned landlords could not be mandated to fill their stores. She thought residents would be around until July. She was comfortable that this selection committee was the right group and hoped this would make other Council members more comfortable.

Council Member Moore commented Yard and Company would have to travel here, then four to six weeks for them to test, then build for three weeks, and she was worried about this timeline. She had not changed her mind.

Council Member Araskog read from the consultant's scope of work for which they only had four weeks allotted, and she wanted to know how many spaces became vacant after CCIVID. She felt this was rushed and not enough time was earmarked for community.

Deputy Town Manager Boodheshwar commented he was not hearing concern about the choice of consultant, but that there was a public health emergency and there were limited resources and staff. He was a member of the committee that selected Yard and Company. He suggested instead of but starting in season and ending offseason, it could start in offseason September or October and end in the season-that way the public health issue could be addressed. He commented staff was prepared to get more public input to help them shape concerns.

Dean Mealy, Purchasing Manager, commented all vendors were required to hold their prices 180 days which would provide a comfort level.

Mayor Coniglio favored late October or early November for them to start gathering information.

Council Member Araskog asked to spend the first month for reconnaissance, so start in November.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay thanked Mr. Boodheshwar for his compromise suggestion.

Mayor Coniglio favored starting November $1^{\text {st }}$.
Discussion ensued regarding communicating back to the committee that Council wished to delay until everyone was healthier and to announce this to the public in the interest of transparency, and to thank the donors for their generosity.

Michael Ainslie expressed his support as to the consensus that had been reached. He expressed his opinion this study was very much needed, which was why people came forward and gave it financial support. He thought starting in early fall would be productive.

Maisie Grace spoke about the importance of getting opinions from residents first, and commented there were many residents who were still North in October last year. She also wanted to be sure traffic and parking problems were taken into account in the study.

Council Member Araskog asked about the procedure to follow for donated money. Mr. Boodheshwar responded any individual donation $\$ 25,000$ or more had to be formally accepted by the Town, and none of these donations were in that category. Discussion ensued. Council President Zeidman recommended accepting the donations at the meeting the next day and also approving Resolution No. 025-2021.

Motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council Member Moore to defer approval of Resolution No. 025-2021, to be heard at a time certain on February 10, 2021 at 10:00 am. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.

Dean Mealy commented he just received word from Yard and Company that they had no issue with the timeframe.

Carol LeCates suggested this study be funded by the town budget, and why not wait to sign the contract in the fall.
2. Legal Requirements (Post COVID-19) for Public
Meetings (verbal report).
JohnC.Randolph, Town Attorney

Town Attomey Randolph reported he had researched attomey generals' opinions to find information on legal requirements for public meetings post-COVID-19. One opinion was that telephonic appearances by board members were permissible only when a quorum was otherwise present and when absence was due to extraordinary circumstances such as illness. Attorney Randolph concluded the answer was up to the Council whether to allow people not part of the quorum to attend electronically.

Council Member Crampton commented peopie were leaving Florida in the summer and it was a real problem for people to go back and forth for a Commission meeting. He felt if the Town Attorney found there was absence of a clear directive from the Attorney General it allowed Council to make their own policy that people who were out of town should be allowed to attend remotely when there was a quorum within chambers.

Mayor Coniglio asked about the policy of Palm Beach County and stated she agreed with Council Member Crampton.

Council Member Araskog indicated she would have a hard time with attorneys not being present in person for quasi-judicial boards.

Council Member Moore felt it was important for commissioners to attend in person, which they agreed to during the application process.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay felt after the extraordinary pandemic emergency waned, they should go back to what had been done before the pandemic.

Council President Zeidman agreed with Mayor Coniglio, Pro-Tem Lindsay, Council Member Araskog, and Council Member Moore.

Council Member Crampton stated he realized he was in the minority but pointed out Palm Beach was unique compared with other towns in that a lot of people left during the
summer. He felt the Council should make it as easy to participate as possible and he would like to take advantage of the talent.

Council President Zeidman commented the COVID-19 rules would still apply through the summer, for all Boards and Commissions. Stated there would be an opportunity to address this again after the pandemic.
3. Re-Appointmert of Town Manager Pursuant to Section 4.02(b) of the Town Charter from February 10, 2021 through February 8, 2022.
Kirk W. Blouin, Town Manager
Town Manager Blouin acknowledged the exceptional staff and strong management team, and looked forward to working with everyone in the next year.

Council Member Araskog expressed her appreciation for Town Manager Blouin's leadership, transparency, and work ethic.

Council Member Crampton discussed Town Manager Blouin's work during the past year that helped the Town to prosper, his engagement with the community, and his management philosophy which set a great example for staff. He supported the reappointment of Mr. Blouin for another year.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay commented Mr. Blouin had completed two years as Town Manager and she was grateful to have him.

Council President Zeidman expressed her gratitude for being able to work with Mr. Blouin and Mr. Boodheshwar over the past year. She congratulated Mr. Blouin on the exceptional evaluation. She remarked that this past year has been made difficult because of the pandemic. Mr. Blouin leadership has provided a solid flooring for the community.

Mayor Coniglio was grateful to have worked with Town Manager Blouin and for his leadership.

# Motion was made by Council Member Moore and seconded by Council Member Araskog to re-appointment Kirk W. Blouin as Town Manager for another year. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously 

## 4. Approval of 2021 Town-wide Goals Kirk W. Blouin, Town Manager

Town Manager Blouin reviewed the groals submitted by Council Members:
The Mayor and Council would like to continue to develop financial policies and plans to address the future needs of the town, including reserves, balances, rate of fund, and
potential use of excess balances. Conduct a review of the Town Code; have staff provide an outline, and methods on how this would be accomplished. Engage Town Council and the community.

Completion of the environmental threat assessment, which was now in the implementation phase; important because recommendations would be made. Because there was a potential deployment of resources, the Town Manager needed to know from the Mayor and Council how much to buaget, and it would send a message to the community that this was a matter the Council placed high value on moving forward.

Complete or continue planning, zoning and building initiatives, most importantly, to identify and implement a software program. The Town Manager pointed out that the software program main financial commitment.

Town Manager Blouin commented staff continued to provide updates to the Mayor and Council on the Town Marina, which was on schedule, and on budget, and would continue to develop the strategic marketing plan. The project was staff intensive with Town resources and tax dollars devoted to it.

The last goal was the complete review of the water feasibility study. The Town Manager, Public Works and Kimley-Horn were working together to fine tune their plan and identified possible supply sources and distribution options. The plan would be to put together options, and eventually enter into negotiations with a provider.

Council Member Araskog commented one of the things that residents were requesting was a complete residential review of the Town Code. Town Manager Blouin indicated staff would put together a plan to present to Council for their input, and this would be a priority for next year. She also wanted the Woods study for Sea Level rise.

Council President Pro Tem Lindsay recalled discussion where all agreed the most important priority in reviewing the Code was dealing with FEMA requirements for lifting residences and new construction, and felt this item was still urgent.

Mayor Coniglio agreed with Council President Pro Tem Lindsay and wanted to do pieces of the code that needed fixing rather than a :eview of the entire cod. Suggested staff to provide a list which could be prioritized.

Council Member Moore agreed to review specific items in the Code because doing the Code in its entirety would be expensive and time consuming. She confirmed with the Town Manager the goals were not listed in priority order, and he considered the code item would be presented in the near future.

Council Member Araskog asked to include the drainage issue in the FEMA discussion.

## There was a consensus to accept the Town Wide Goals

5. Presentation by Town Attorney Regarding the Declaration of Use Agreement Between the Town of Palm Beach and the Mar-A-Lago Club.
JohnC.Randolph, Town Attorney
Town Manager Blouin explained this had been placed on the agenda because an allegation had been made by a neighbor of the Mar a Lago property through their attorney, and the resulting report to the media that former President Trump was not allowed to reside at Mar a Lago under the Declaration of Use agreement, entered into in 1993. Attorney John Marion was present representing former President Donald J. Trump, and Attorney Reginald G. Stambaugh was present representing neighbors living near Mar a Lago Club.

Council President Zeidman announced the procedures which would be followed for the attorneys' presentations and public comment.

Town Attorney John C. Randolph summarized his written report provided to Council, he indicated this was for informational purposes only. Attorney Randolph stated this was not a quasi-judicial hearing or a hearing, there would be no cross examination.

He reported this matter arose originally as a result of complaints by neighbors through their attorney Reginald Stambaugh, that Mr. Trump, now former President Trump, was not entitled to live at Mar a Lago once it was converted into a private club. The neighbors contend Mar a Lago was either a residence or a home, but could not be both. The language of the Declaration of Use agreement which allowed members only to reside in the guest suites for seven days at any given time, no more than a cumulative 21 days. Town Attorney Randolph stated according to the neighbors the Declaration of Use agreement has already been violated by Former President Trump. Town Attorney Randolph advised that allegation failed to take into account the relevant provisions of the Town Zoning Code, including the first, definition of a private club, which states that a private club may provide living quarters for bonafide employees only; second, that provision in the code which defines the employees was relevant, as any person generally working on site and included sole proprietors, partners, limited partners, corporate officers and the like. Town Attorney Randolph stated he had been advised that former President Trump was indeed an employee under this definition; however, Mr. Marion would address this matter. If Council agreed that former President Trump fit this definition of employee, it was his opinion, that he was entitled to reside in Mar a Lago, and noted there was no specific language prohibiting him from residing there. The language in the agreement regulating the use of guest suites by members or periods of time was not applicable to this situation. It was Town Attorney Randolph's understanding that the owner's suite described in the preservation plan was not a guest suite and was not included within these restrictions. Town Attorney Randolph stated attorney Philip Johnson sent him a letter saying he represented a group called Preserve Palm Beach and should be given the same opportunity to speak as Mr. Marion and Mr. Stambaugh.

Attorney John Marion advised he had enjoyed the privilege of representing former President Donald J. Trump for about 25 years and was very familiar with his participation and events at the Club. He provided history which was provided to Mr. Randolph and repeated for the public. When this application was originally considered it was presented as an Equity Club, but the Town wanted Mr. Trump or one of his entities to own the club, the Town of Palm Beach did not want the responsibility for shortfalls. The Declaration of Use was an agreement entered into by the Town and the Mar a Lago Club, Inc., and owner Donald J. Trump. Attorney Marion stated there were no provision that indicated former President Trump could not reside in the owner's suite. This document was adopted with the special exception documents and described the owner's suite in great detail, and lived there many times for longer periods that were allowed in guest suites over the past 22 years. This was not a variance to the Zoning Code; it was a special exception that allowed an additional use in addition to the residential use it was always used for. He was allowed to live there as an employee or an officer, which was an employee. He was now President of the Mar a Lago Club, as he was before he was President of the United States, when he put everything in trust while he was President of the United States. He reported on former President Trump's activities as President of the club. Mar a Lago Club, Inc. had evolved into Mar a Lago LLC. He shared his screen to show the document that former President Trump was now President of Mar a Lago Club LLC. He next displayed a document listing some of the things he generally did on site at the property. Attorney Marion advised that Attorney Stambaugh represented neighbors of the club on Woodbridge where former President Trump owned four properties in that vicinity, some on that road and others on Scuth Ocean, and while he was President for four years the roadways were blocked, barriers put up, and secret service was more than 100 people. As of Sunday night a week ago that number was reduced to 10 people and expected to stay that way, and barriers had been removed. He described how a property on Woodbridge would be barricaded with guards and secret service in front of that roadway if former President Trump had to live there, which would be horrible for the people living on Woodbridge, and there was no reason why he shouldn't reside at the club.

Attorney Reginald G. Stambaugh urged the Town Council to uphold the Declaration of Use agreement created with Mar a Lago in 1993 in recognition of the impacts the club would have in a residential zone. His clients purchased their home after the agreement was signed, with the expectation that this legal contract would be honored. He stated this agreement assured his clients they would be able to live peacefully and enjoy privacy afforded others on the island but there had been numerous violations over decades, and the Declaration of Use Agreement did provide for the Club to be returned to a residential property, and the former President and his family could live there, referencing Article nine and eleven.

Attorney Philip Johnson, representing Preserve Palm Beach, a group of residents concerned with quality of life in the town felt with Mr. Trump's residence and now the office of the former president that Mar a Lago would become a permanent beacon for rabid lawless supporters. He had not had time to prepare a formal presentation or a full legal response but submitted a letter on the secord requesting that no decision be made
today on the issue. He requested deferral until April to allow all interested parties to fully prepare. On a cursory review, he felt if officers of Mar a Lago LLC were permitted to reside at the Club there would be no limitation as to how many residents would be able to live there since the Club controlled the number of officers and it could become a multifamily residence.

Council President Zeidman called for public comment.
Simon Taylor advised he had submitted a memorandum and two emails on this issue. He felt Mr. Marion was incorrect on the point that former President Trump was a bonafide employee of Mar a Lago Club, which could not be defended when he was engaged in running a wing of a political party. Also, Mr. Marion and Town Attorney Randolph had said that there was no prior evidence of the meaning or intent of the declaration could come in and omit the clause saying the agreement supersedes prior agreements, assurances, communications, arrangements, negotiations, meant all prior exchanges in minutes should come in.

Mark Sanderson, speaking for himself and his wife Diane Sanderson, residents of Palm Beach and members of the Mar a Lago Club, thought it unconscionable for the Town to prevent the former President, now a private citizen, from living at his home at Mar a Lago.

President Zeidman stated it did not appear there had been a violation of the Declaration of Use agreement, and appears the information presented by Attorney Marion regarding former President Trump had met the criteria as a bonafide employee and there was nothing that would prohibit him from living in the owner's suite at Mar a Lago.

Council Member Araskog asked Attorney Marion to explain his comment about originally going to make Mar a Lago an equity club. Attorney Marion responded initially what former President Trump wanted to do was subdivide the property but the Town would not approve of subdividing the property. The Declaration of Use agreement was entered into around August of 1993.

Attorney Randolph made a presentation regarding the Declaration of Use Agreement between the Town of Palm Beach and Mar-A-Lago Club and provided opinions regarding sections of the declarations.

## XIII. ORDINANCES

A. Second Reading

1. ORDINANCE NO. 02-2021 An Ordinance Of The Town Council Of The Town Of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida. Amending Chapter 74 Of The Town Code Of Ordinances At Article I, Section 74-1 To Delete Subsection (b) Thereof Relating To Alternate Members, Thereby Deleting The Requirement For Alternate Members

And Providing For A Seven Member Board As Defined In Subparagraph (a) Of Section 74-1; Further Amending New Subsection (f) To Delete Any Reference To Alternate Members; Providing For Severability; Providing For Repeal Of Any Ordinances In Conflict Herewith; Providing For Codification; Providing for an Effective Date.
Jay Boodheshwar, Deputy Town Manager

Town Attorney Randolph read Ordinance No. 02-2021 on second reading by title only.

Motion was made by Council Member Araskog and seconded by Council Member Crampton to approve Ordinance No. 02-2021 on second reading. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.
2. ORDINANCE NO. 03-2021 An Ordinance Of The Town Council Of The Town Of Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida, Amending Chapter 74 Of The Town Code Of Ordinances Titled Administration At Article X, Shore Protection Board At Section 2-636, Deleting In Its Entirety Subsection (b) Thereof Relating To Alternate Members So As To Delete The Requirement For Alternate Members, Requiring Only A Seven Member Board As Provided In Subsection (a); Providing For Severability; Providing For Repeal Of Ordinances In Conflict; Providing For Codification; Providing An Effective Date. Jay Boodheshwar, Deputy Town Manager

Town Attorney Randolph read Ordinance No. 03-2021 on second reading by title only.

Motion was made by Council Member Moore and seconded by Council Member Lindsay to approve Ordinance No. 03-2021 on second reading. On roll call, the Motion passed unanimously.

## XIV. ANY OTHER MATIERS

There were no other matters to come before the Town Council.
XV. ADJOURNMENT

Council Member Moore made a motion, seconded by Council President Zeidman, to adjourn at 5:03 p.m. without benefit of a roll call.

## APPROVED: <br> 

Margaret A. Zeidman, Town Council President

ATTEST:


Queenester Nieves, CMC, Town Clerk
$\frac{4}{\text { Date }} 13 / 2021$


## EXHIBIT MM

## Credit Report - CRE (Annual Review)

Bundesbank No\#:
Required approval level:


| Date: | $7 / 24 / 13$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Next Ann Review Date: | $7 / 30 / 44$ |
| Original Approval Date: | $12 / 20 / 11$ |

Beneficial Owner: Donald J. Trump Org ID:
Attorney: Loeb \& Loeb
Rel. Manager: $\quad$ Vrablic/Scalzi Team Leader: Sullivan Lender: Schroeder Analyst: Service Officer: Ross
Loan Product Type: Other Secured

| Reason for Presentation: | 1. Modification of the Trump Endeavor 12, LLC loan facility. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 2. Approval of an Interest Rate Swap |
|  | 3. Annual Review of Trump Endeavor 12, LLC loan facility. |


| Exchange Rate: | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | | Rating Development: Current: <br> Tranche A - iA/dBBB <br> Tranche B - iA/dA- | Previous: iA-/dBBB |
| :--- | :--- |
| See attached Risk Rating for rationale for risk rating change (if applicable) |  |


| Currency: <br> US \$ in million | New Limit | Usage | Previous Limit | Years | New Limit | Usage | Previous Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Secured | \$106 | \$106 | \$106 | < $=1$ |  |  |  |
| Unsecured | \$19 | \$19 | \$19 | $>1$ and $<=5$ |  |  |  |
| SWAP PFE | \$28 | \$28 | \$28 | $>5$ and $<=7$ |  |  |  |
| Other (Description) |  |  |  | $>7$ and $<=10$ | \$153 | \$153 | \$153 |
| Related Exposure |  |  |  | >10 |  |  |  |
| Total Exposure | \$153 | \$153 | \$153 | Other liabilities or comments: |  |  |  |


| Collateral | Collaterail <br> Type | Market Value | Advance Rate | Collateral Lending Value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Doral Golf Resort and Spa located in Miami, Florida | Hotel and Golf <br> Course | $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5 M M}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |  |
| Unsecured | N/A |  | $\$ 106 \mathrm{MM}$ |  |

*For collateral monitoring purposes, the \$106MM Facility is being underwritten as Other Secured.
The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include $86,139 \mathrm{SF}$ of meeting space including a $24,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ballroom, a $50,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa and treatment center, 6 food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport.

Assets Under Management: Donald J. Trump -- \$29.7MM cash deposits; Donald J. Trump Jr -- \$500M cash deposits

| \# | Obligor | Org Id | Collateral | Risk Ratling | Facility Amount | OIS Balance | Maturity | Interest Rate | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Titan Atlas Manufacturing | 7789036 | $1^{\text {3 }}$ Mortgage | iBBB-/dBBB | \$3.58 | \$3.58 | 11/18/2014 | L+300 | Donald J. Trump Jr. |
| 2 | 401 North Wabash Venture | 6618229 | $1^{\text {st }}$ Mortgage | iA/dA | \$27.5 | \$27.5 | 6/10/17 | L + 225 | Paid down from \$99MM |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$31.08 | \$31.08 |  |  |  |

## Covenants:

Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?

| $\square \mathrm{Nes}$ | $\square \mathrm{No}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yes | No |

$\begin{array}{ll}\boxtimes \text { Yes } & \square \text { No } \\ \boxtimes \text { Yes } & \square \text { No }\end{array}$

Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
If yes, have you included a Covenant Lite printout for existing covenants?
If no, have you included a new covenant transmittal?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting
Financial
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| Facility Details |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Existing Terms | Revised Terms |
| Type/Facility Amount | $\$ 125,000,000$, as evidenced by (i) a Secured Tranche A Note in the principal amount of $\$ 106,000,000$ ("Secured Tranche A Note"), and (ii) an Unsecured Tranche B Note in the principal amount of $\$ 19,000,000$ ("Unsecured Tranche B Note"). | No change |
| Swap PFE | N/A | $\$ 28,000,000$ assuming 10 -year Swap on the $\$ 125,000,000$ Facility Amount. <br> Note: The related PFE under the Swap will be secured, as a defined Obligation, by the Collateral, however, is being underwritten as "unsecured" since "secured" exposure is limited to $85 \%$ of the most recent appraised Collateral Value. Accordingly, the total maximum "unsecured" exposure on closing date of this amendment will be $\$ 47,000,000$ ( $\$ 28,000,000$ Swap PFE \& $\$ 19,000,000$ Tranche B Note). The Tranche B Note will become (i) secured or (ii) fully paid off within 2years from the closing date. The SWAP PFE exposure shall at all times be fully guaranteed by the Guarantor and shall not be subject to the defined step-downs in the Guaranty Level, as defined herein. |
| Purpose: | Acquisition of the collateral property | Extension of the facility that was used to acquire the collateral property and modification to provide Guaranty Step-down provisions in accordance with LTV levels, as defined herein. |
| Maturity: | Tranche A: 5 years from the Closing Date on 6/11/12, Maturing on $6 / 10 / 17$. <br> Tranche B: 2 -years from the Closing Date; provided, however, that in the event that there exists no event of default that shall have occurred and be continuing, if Borrower so requests and Borrower delivers to Lender an Appraisal, at Borrower's sole cost and expense, evidencing a LTV equal to or less than $85 \%$ as calculated based upon the indebtedness evidenced by both the Secured Tranche A Note and the Unsecured Tranche B Note (subject, in any event, to the Dispute Mechanism), the Unsecured Tranche B Note shall be extended to meet the term of Tranche $A$ and the first mortgage lien on the Property shall be deemed to cover such increase in exposure to the property. | Tranche A: 10 years from closing on of the Second Amendment Effective Date. Maturing in 2023. Tranche B: 2-years from the Second Amendment Effective Date, with no other changes to terms as defined under the existing terms. |
| Repayment: | The committed term will consist of a 5 -year interest only period. <br> The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR contract. | The committed term will consist of a 10-year interest only period. <br> The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract. |
| Interest Rate: | - Renovation Period: Libor + 2.25\% or the Prime Rate <br> - Post-Renovation Period: Libor $+2.00 \%$ or the Prime Rate minus .25\% | $\mathrm{L}+1.75 \%$ or Prime minus $0.75 \%$, with step-up to $\mathrm{L}+2.00 \%$ or Prime minus $0.50 \%$, if Guaranty Level falls below 10\%. |
| Interest Rate SWAP | N/A | Request approval for an interest rate swap (the "Swap"), for the purpose of hedging interest rate risk, with a notional amount not to exceed the total principal amount outstanding under the loan. The Swap will be crosscollateralized and cross-defaulted to the Loan with the property serving as collateral for the exposure under the Swap. Exposure under the Swap, which has PFE of $\$ 28 \mathrm{MM}$, will also be further supported by the full personal guaranty of Donald J. Trump at all times while the Swap is outstanding. |
| LIBOR Tenors | Borrower may elect interest periods of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months | No Change |
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| Reappraisal LTV Test | N/A | The Reappraisal LTV Test shall be calculated only in cases where the Guaranty Level has previously been stepped down and the there has been an increase in the LTV based on the most recent appraisal. In such cases when the new LTV has increased the Max LTV as defined under the Reappraisal LTV Test shall be used to determine the Max LTV. In no case can the Reapprisal LTV Test be used to step-down the existing Guaranty Level. The Guarantor continues to maintain the the option to (i) increase the Guaranty Option \%, as defined in the table below or (ii) pay the loan down to bring the Max LTV back within the then current Guaranty level under the Reappraisal LTV test. See below Guaranty section. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Max} \\ & \operatorname{LTV}(\mathrm{B}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Max } \\ \text { LTV } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Guarantoe Option \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { DSC } \\ \text { Covenant } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | > 85\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |
|  |  | > 65\%-85\% | 85\% | 20\% |  |
|  |  | > 35\%-65\% | 65\% | 10\% |  |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \text { and } \\ \text { below } \end{gathered}$ | 35\% | - | 1.25x |
|  |  | *Debt Service Coverage Test converts to covenant during Reappraisal Test Period (1.25x) |  |  |  |
| Guaranty: | Donald Trump personally guarantees $100 \%$ principal, interest and operating shortfalls | Donald Trump shall continue to personally guarantee percentage of principal, interest and operating shortfalls However the \% of such Guaranty shall be maintained accordance with the defined LTV Range as indicated the table below. Any reduction in such Guaranty Levels will be considered pemmanent, unless Guarantor elects to increase the Guaranty Level for purposes of curing any shortfalls in accordance with the Max LTV requirement. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TV } \\ & \text { inge } \end{aligned}$ | Guaranty Level |  |
|  |  |  | -66\% | 100\% |  |
|  |  |  | - 56\% | 40\% |  |
|  |  |  | -46\% | 20\% |  |
|  |  |  | -36\% | 10\% |  |
|  |  |  | \% and <br> low | 0\% |  |
|  |  | The LTV Range shall be calculated based on the most recent appraisal received in accordance with the existing terms and conditions under the transaction documents. |  |  |  |
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| Guarantor Covenants | During the Renovation Period and any DSC NonCompliance Period: <br> - Minimum Liquidity: Guarantor must maintain at least $\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}$ in unencumbered liquidity with \$20MM held at DB. <br> - Maximum Debt: Guarantor cannot incur direct or contingent debt in excess of $\$ 300 \mathrm{MM}$. Excluding the subject facility. <br> At all times during the term of the loan: <br> - Required Net Worth: Guarantor must maintain a NW of no less than $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{~B}$. | During the Renovation Period the Guarantor covenants do not change. Once the Renovation Period is over (an acceptable appraisal is delivered showing an LTV for the entire loan facility at or below 85\%), the following Guarantor Covenants will be in place. <br> During the DSC Non-compliance Period. The following Guarantor Covenants will be in place: <br> - Minimum Liquidity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Guaranty | Unenc | red | Held with |
|  |  | 100\% |  |  | \$20MM |
|  |  | 40\% |  |  | \$20MM |
|  |  | 20\% |  |  | \$10MM |
|  |  | 10\% |  |  | \$5MM |
|  |  | 0\% |  |  | \$0 |
|  |  | - Maximum Debt Guarantor cannot incur direct or contingent debt in excess of $\$ 500 \mathrm{MM}$. Excluding the subject facility. <br> At all times during the term of the loan: <br> - Required Net Worth: Guarantor shall maintain a Net Worth of not less than the product of ( x ) Two Billion Five Hundred Million Dollars ( $\$ 2,500,000,000$ ) times ( $y$ ) the applicable Guaranty Level. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Guaranty Level (Y) | Nat Worth Covenant (X) |  |
|  |  |  | 100\% | \$2.5B |  |
|  |  |  | 40\% | \$1B |  |
|  |  |  | 20\% | \$500MM |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% | \$250MM |  |
|  |  |  | 0\% | S0 |  |

## Other Modification:

## Partial Release of Mortgaged Premises

The client has been in discussions with the local government to explore the possibility of opening a casino at the collateral property. While this idea is purely in the discussion phase, given the 10 -year term of the facility, the Borrower has requested the ability to carve out an 8-9 acre area at the back-side of the property from the mortgage premises if the Borrower should obtain the appropriate state and regulatory approvals to develop a casino on the premises. The portion they are requesting is located behind the ballroom and spa and is currently being used as parking. ., The condition for release is there can be no material adverse effect on the collateral property as a result of the partial release. The Lender will ago maintain the right to conduct an annual appraisal to confirm any change in the LTV. Please see aerial diagram of the requested partial release attached as Exhibit VI. As the collateral property totals approximately 660 -acres, the potential release of $8-9$ acres represents approx. $1.4 \%$ of the collateral property size.

## Repayment Sources/ Key Risks/Mitigants

> Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
$>$ Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort following the Renovation Period. Based on projections the Resort should be able to satisfactorily service the debt paying principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule.
$>$ Tertiary Source of Repayment: When the LTV is above $65 \%$, DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee, which eliminates any shorffall associated with operating and liquidating Collateral. As equity in the collateral increases, the Guaranty Level steps down concurrently.

## Recommendation:

The Modification to the facility is being recommended for approval based on:

- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The financial profile of the Guarantor includes, on an adjusted basis, $\$ 146$ million in unencumbered liquidity, $\$ 2.4$ billion in Net Worth and approximately $\$ 13.4$ million in adjusted recurring net cash flow.
- Equity Injection of the Guarantor: While the initial equity injection of the Guarantor was estimated at $\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}$, we have been told that the estimate has been increased to anywhere from $\$ 150$ - $\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}$, with approximately $\$ 30 \mathrm{MM}$ already invested.
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- Leverage Levels required for Step-Down of the Guaranty - The LTV levels required to for a decrease in the guaranty levels are low enough to ensure that the loan is more than adequately collateralized.
- Operating Experience - DJT's extensive experience in operating private golf/country clubs. His current portfolio includes 10 such clubs with a reported value of $\$ 1.4$ billion and DB adjusted value of $\$ 637$ million.
- Expected Enhanced Value due to Capex -The Resort is a world class location that has been home to a PGA event every year since the Resort opened in 1962. As DJT expects to invest approximately $\$ 150-160$ milion on capital improvements it is expected that the value of our Collateral will increase significantly over the term of the Facility.

| Regulatory Requirement - One Obligor Principle |
| :--- |
| RMPORTANT: Transactions subject to banking secrecy in other locations should not be covered |
| - Does the borrower have other existing credit client relationships in the current booking location or with other Deutsche Bank entities (whether |
| under private individual name or other related entities)? |
| If 'Yes', please provide details: $\quad \square$ No |
| Please see Total Exposure on Page 1. |

- Please certify (tick the box) that this was confirmed with the borrower: $\boxtimes$

If answered 'Yes' to the above question, the Consolidation of Borrowers' Sheet has to be completed*
${ }^{*}$ Credit Officer or Lending Officer to complete as per responsibilities in place in the given location
Reg O Questions:
1.) Is this loan for a DB employee?
2.) Is this loan for a DBTCA "insider?" or "related party"?
(Consult the most current list of "DBTCA Insiders for Regulation O
and NY State Banking Law Reporting Purposes," which is posted on
the shared drive R in the folder titled "Reg O," in a
Word document titled "Reg O and Insider List")
3.) If the loan is for a DBTCA "insider," will DBTCA, DBPWM or
any other subsidiary of DBTCA originate the loan?
(If Yes, the loan must be approved in advance by the DBTCA
Board of Directors and the DBTCA Office of the Secretary.
Please contact Compliance or Legal immediately.)
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## II - Financial Analysls - Guarantor

It should be noted that the Guarantor, DJT, is required to provide financials within 120 days of $6 / 30$ FYE. Thus the most recent financials available are as of $6 / 30 / 12$. We are not aware of any material changes to the Guarantors financial profile. Once the updated 6/30/13 financials have been provided, expected on or before 10/31/13, an updated Guarantor analysis will be completed as part of the review of this facility and the Trump International Hotel in Chicago (401 North Wabash).

Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although the Facility is secured by the Collateral, given its unique nature, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the Guarantor. As part of this underwriting we have met with several members of the family office to update our due diligence on the client reported financial information, as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional details are included in the Guarantors financial statements which are attached as Exhibit II.

| Financial Summary (\$ in millions) <br> Source: Client provided financials | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2011 } \\ \text { (Client Reported) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2011 } \\ \text { (DB Adjusted) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | DJT 6/30/2012 (Cllemt Reported) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2012 } \\ \text { (DB Adjusted) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash \& Marketable Securities | \$258.9 | \$135.8 | \$169.7 | \$146.3 |
| Escrow \& Reserve Deposits | \$9.1 | - | \$10.8 | -- |
| Real Estate - Net Equity | \$2,996.9 | \$1,737.9 | \$3,184.2 | \$1,707.5 |
| Partnerships \& Joint Ventures | \$720.0 | \$360.0 | \$823.3 | \$411.7 |
| Real Estate Licensing | \$89.3 | \$44.6 | \$65.2 | \$32.6 |
| Other Assets | \$199.2 | \$99.6 | \$318.5 | \$159.3 |
| Total Assets | \$4,273.4 | \$2,377.9 | \$4,563.9 | \$2,448.8 |
| Personal Mortgage other Debt | \$8.4 | \$8.4 | \$8.3 | \$8.3 |
| Other Liabilities | \$3.7 | \$3.7 | \$4.4 | \$4.4 |
| Net Worth | \$4,261.3 | \$2,365.8 | 4,559.0 | 2,436.1 |
| Contingent Obligations | \$114.0 | \$114.0 | \$195.7 | 277.7 |
| Net Cash Flow* | \$82.4 | \$48.8 | (\$89.2) | \$13.4 |
| Key Ratios - Unsecured Lending Guidelines (excludes Swap PFE) |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage Ratio ( $<=.30$ ) | . 13 | . 24 | . 14 | . 13 |
| Cash Flow Ratio (>= .35) | . 57 | 31 | -0.67 | . 05 |
| Liquidity Ratio (>= .25) | 2.04 | 1.06 | 1.32 | 47 |
| Asset Coverage Ratio ( $>=6.0$ ) | 31.7 | 17.84 | 33.32 | 8.43 |
| Key Ratios - Unsecured Lending Guidelines (including Swap PFE) |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage Ratio ( $<=.30$ ) |  |  | . 15 | 14 |
| Cash Flow Ratio ( $>=.35$ ) |  |  | -0.68 | . 03 |
| Liquidity Ratio ( $>=.25$ ) |  |  | 1.31 | . 45 |
| Asset Coverage Ratio (>=6.0) |  |  | 33.32 | 8.41 |

- Liquidity - On 10/19/2012 Tom Sullivan and Emily Schroeder visited the offices of the Guarantor and reviewed bank and brokerage statements that confirmed $\$ 146.3$ million in cash balances and marketable securities, representing $86 \%$ of the client reported amount and of which $\$ 118.4$ million was held by in the name of the Guarantor and $\$ 27.9$ is held in operating accounts of entities that are wholly-owned by the Guarantor. The client reported balance has decreased by $\$ 89.2$ million from the prior year primarily due to the client's investment in property development, retirement of debt and asset acquisition. (See Cash Flow section for additional details).

Subsequent to June 30, 2012, the Guarantor closed a new $\$ 100$ million refinancing on August 30.2012 on the Trump Tower property. The transaction replaced the existing $\$ 26.9$ million in existing debt and allowed the Guarantor to take a $\$ 73$ million cash distribution which further increased his reported liquidity. Adjusting for the distribution would increase the Guarantor's cash balance to $\$ 251.7$ million.

The Guarantor's personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversified portfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantor's portfolio of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of membership deposits. In accordance with industry standards premier golf clubs require new members post a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are non-refundable for 30years without condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit which is generally contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. As of June 30, 2012 the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the 12 clubs owned by the Guarantor was approximately $\$ 157$ million, much of which has been reinvested into the clubs. Given the lack of any conditional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income.

- Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantor's total real estate portfolio, as of $6 / 31 / 12$ which reflects the Guarantor's 4 wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 12 wholly owned club facilities, other major property interests and properties currently under development. DB adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.

| Property Type | DJT Valuation | DB <br> Valuation | Reported Debt | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { Net Equity } \end{gathered}$ | DB Adjusted Net Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trump Tower - $7255^{\text {ti }}$ Ave | \$501.1 | \$480.2 | \$26.7 | \$474.4 | *\$380.2 |
| Niketown - East $57{ }^{\text {min }}$ St | \$279.5 | \$197.0 | \$46.4 | \$233.1 | \$150.6 |
| 40 Wall Street | \$527.2 | \$438.0 | \$160.0 | \$367.2 | \$278.0 |
| Trump Park Ave | \$312.4 | \$206.3 | \$22.2 | 5290.2 | \$184.1 |
| Subtotal - 4 Trophy Properties | \$1,620.2 | \$1,221.5 | \$255.3 | \$1,364.9 | \$992.9 |
| Club Facilities | \$1,570.3 | \$785.2 | \$148.4 | \$1,421.9 | \$636.7 |
| Other Property Interest | \$412.6 | \$93.1 | \$15.2 | \$397.4 | $\$ 77.9$ |
| Total-Portfolio | \$3,603.1 | \$2,099.8 | \$418.9 | \$3,184.2 | \$1,707.5 |

*Reflects adjustment for $\$ 480$ milfion appraised value less new debt of $\$ 100$ million, which closed on August 31, 2012
$\Rightarrow 4$ Trophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were discussed with DB Valuation Services Group ("DBVSG") who advised on adjustments for each.

- Trump Towers - The 68 story building contains residential and condominiums that are owned by residents along with 178,000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of retail space. As of $6 / 30 / 12$ the property had associated debt of approx $\$ 26.9 \mathrm{M}$. On $8 / 30 / 12$ the property was refinanced for $\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}$ allowing DJT to take a distribution of approx $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$ in cash. The loan is non-recourse and matures in 2022. A recent appraisal performed in conjunction with the refinance valued the property at $\$ 480 \mathrm{MM}$ resulting in a roughly $21 \%$ LTV. Although we did not adjust for the additional liquidity distributed to the Guarantor, we are adjusting the property value to reflect the recent appraisal and new debt.
- Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with respect to 2 long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the building located on $57^{\text {th }}$ street between Madison and $5^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retail Services. The current lease is scheduled to expire in May 2017. The space includes 65,000 square feet of retail space. Based on sq foot assumption DBVSG has indicated an adjusted value of $\$ 197$ million. Financing on the space is in the form of longterm bonds which are scheduled to fully amortize by June 1, 2017.
- 40 Wall Street - The 72 floor tower consist of 1.3 million in premier office space. The property has an NOI of $\$ 26.2$ million with the Guarantor using a $5.00 \%$ cap rate to derive the reported value. DBVSG has provided a $6.00 \%$ cap rate for purposes of calculating the adjusted value. The existing debt in the amount of $\$ 160$ million, of which the Guarantor currently guarantees $\$ 20$ million, is scheduled to mature in November 2017. (Note: Guarantor has indicated that they invested approximately $\$ 20$ million in capex over the last 12 months to significantly upgrade the property).
- Trump Park Avenue - The property located on $59^{\text {th }}$ Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space has a reported value based on unsold units and retail rates of $\$ 312.4$ million. The unsold condominium units have been pledged as collateral for the mortgage which, as of $6 / 30 / 12$, had an outstanding balance of 22.2MM and matures $8 / 1 / 15$. Based on discussions with DBVSG we elected to take an approximate $35 \%$ haircut on the reported value.
$\Rightarrow$ Club Facilities - The Guarantor wholly owns interest in 12 private club facilities which include The Mar-A-Lago Club in Palm Beach Fl; Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff Manor N. Y.; Trump International Golf Club in Palm Beach County, FI, Trump National Golf Club in LA, Ca; Trump National Golf Club in Bedminster, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Colts Neck, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Washington, DC; Trump International Golf Club in Scotland; Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Valley, NY; Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia PA in addition to the newly acquired Trump National Doral in Miami, Florida (please see previous memo for details) and the Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte, NC. In accordance with membership requirements members of the clubs are required to make an up-front membership deposit which is in the form of a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit. The deposits are non-refundable without condition prior to 30 -years. Based on the terms of the deposit they are not recorded as a liability on the financial statements of the specific clubs. The Guarantor has obtained tax opinions supporting the accounting of such deposits for tax purposes. Life-to-date the clubs have collected $\$ 157$ million in such deposits. For purposes of our analysis the $\$ 1.57$ billion in reported value has been reduced by $50 \%$ for deriving an adjusted value.
$\Rightarrow$ Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned interests in The Trump World Tower at United Nations; 100 Central Park South; Trump Plaza NY; Trump International Hotel and Tower, Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East Condominiums and the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford, NY. These properties consist of commercial, retail and hotel space along with condominium units and raw land. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value (with the exception of the Mansion at Seven Springs) we applied a haircut of approximately $23 \%$, which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors 4 Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team. With regards to the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford New York, this property consists of over 200 acres of land a mansion and other buildings. This property is zoned for 9 luxury homes and valued at $\$ 291$ million based on an assessment made by the Guarantor in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow which he would derive as those units are constructed and sold, and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other buildings. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $75 \%$ haircut to this asset to reflect the uncertainty in valuing undeveloped land.

It should be noted that as of June 30,2012 the Guarantor elected not to include the value of the Trump Chicago Intemational Hotel \& Tower in its financial slatements, pending the completion of the updated appraisals as discussed herein. Based on the total appraised value of the Residential Component and the Commercial Component totaling $\$ 239.3$ million less the reported maximum proposed debt of $\$ 107$ million the unreported net equity value in the Property is approximately $\$ 132.3$ million. For purposes of presenting the financials of the Guarantor as of June 30,2012 we have elected not to include the net equity value of this Property.
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Update: As of 11/9/12, DB provided the Guarantor with a bifurcated commercial mortgage facility on the Trump International Hotel and Condos in Chicago. As part of the transaction two appraisals were performed by Cushman and Wakefield as of 8/21/12 which indicated a total property value of $\$ 239.3$ ( $\$ 113 \mathrm{MM}$ for the unsold condos and $\$ 126.3 \mathrm{MM}$ for the hotel). The initial loan totaling \$99MM consisted of a \$54MM Tranche A Note taking the remaining unsold condos as collateral (48\% LTV) and a $\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}$ Tranche B Note taking the hotel portion as collateral ( $36 \%$ LTV). Since closing, the entire Tranche A Note facility has been repaid in full and the Tranche B Note facility has approx. $\$ 27 \mathrm{MM}$ outstanding resulting in a $21 \%$ LTV. This $\$ 100 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{in}$ equity value in the hotel and remaining unsold condos, have not been included in the Guarantor's financial analysis above. In addition, the hotel was budgeted in 2012 to generate approximately $\$ 2.3 \mathrm{MM}$ in cash-flow before debt service which has not been included in Mr. Trump's cash flow.

- Partnership and Joint Ventures - 1290 Avenue of the Americas, NY and 555 California Street, San Francisco, CA - In May of 2007 Mr. Trump partnered with Vornado Realty Trust in two buildings in NY and San Francisco. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containing approximately 2 MM leasable SF housing such tenants as Microsoft, AXA Equitable, Cushman \& Wakefield and Columbia University. 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office buildings for a total of 1.7 million leasable SF in addition to a subterranean garage. Current tenants include Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, UBS Financial Services, Citigroup and Wells Fargo. Mr . Trump owns $30 \%$ of these properties. The value of $\$ 823.3$ million is net of debt. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut of this asset.
- Real Estate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous associations with several other parties for purposes of developing properties and other projects. Terms of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance. The Guarantor has pledged certain of these fees to secure a $\$ 20$ million mortgage on The Trump Tower at United Nations Plaza. Accordingly, the $\$ 20$ million has been netted against the $\$ 85$ million reported value. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut against the net reported value.
- Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Sirkorsky helicopter, ownership rights to the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 2,000 acre vineyard in Charlottesville Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties, an international talent/model agency and receivables representing amounts earned to date end contract rights with regards to future performances on television. For purposes deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut on reported value. It should be noted that the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independent valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report, which is attached as Exhibit VI indicates a brand value in the range of $\$ 2.8$ billion to $\$ 3.0$ billion. For purposes of our financial analysis we assumed no value for the Trump brand.
- Contingents - as of $6 / 30 / 12$ DJT's gross contingent obligations were reported to be $\$ 195.7$ million, which included: a) the $\$ 125$ million in support provided under the Doral credit facility with PWM, b) $\$ 25$ million in personal recourse on the existing debt, agented by DB CB\&S Real Estate group, related to the Trump International Hotel in Chicago (Update: This debt is currently \$27MM to DB AWM); c) \$20 million on a limited guarantee for the $\$ 160$ million commercial mortgage on 40 Wall Street extended by Capital One; d) $\$ 11$ million on Trump Golf at Ferry Point to the City of New York, e) $\$ 7.6$ million related to 7 Springs Resort and $\$ 7.1$ million in certain other project related completion guarantees. (See IIC - Schedule of Contingent Liabilities). For purposes of adjusting the reported balance we replaced the existing $\$ 25$ million guarantee with the maximum exposure under the proposed Facilities of $\$ 107$ million for an adjusted gross contingent liability amount of $\$ 277.7$ million.
- Net Cash Flow - the Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which are generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash for the period 2010-2012.

| Type | DB Adjusted <br> FY 6/30/12 | Client Reported FY 6/30/12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (11 Mos) } \\ 11 / 30 / 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} F Y \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources of Cash |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | \$32.1 | \$32.1 | \$30.5 | \$125.0 |
| Entertainment | \$19.8 | \$20.6 | \$23.5 | \$15.3 |
| Clubs | \$11.7 | \$15.1 | \$12.1 | \$8.0 |
| Licensing | \$32.4 | \$32.4 | \$33.4 | \$32.3 |
| Non Operating Revenue | - | - | \$41.2 | \$50.4 |
| Investment income | \$1.8 | \$1.8 | \$4.2 | \$4.2 |
| Other | 511.1 | \$12.0 | \$10.7 | \$10.5 |
| Total Sources | \$108.9 | \$114.0 | \$155.6 | \$245.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Development | \$69.8 | \$69.8 | \$34.8 | \$34.3 |
| Retirement of Debt/Debt Service | - | \$74.7 | \$14.2 | \$25.2 |
| Golf Club/ Aircraft Acquisition | - | \$32.9 | - | $\$ 37.0$ |
| Income Tax Payable | \$22.0 | \$22.0 | \$21.8 | \$2.9 |
| Personal \& Other | \$3.7 | \$3.7 | \$2.5 | \$1.7 |
| Total Uses | \$95.5 | 203.1 | \$73.3 | \$101.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Excess Cash Flow | \$13.4 | (\$89.1) | \$82.3 | \$144.6 |

$\Rightarrow \quad$ Real Estate - represents distributions from the portfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outlined in this section. It should be noted that 2010 includes $\$ 85$ million in the repayment of certain Notes that were held by the Guarantor.
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$\Rightarrow$ Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantors involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the average between 2010, the first 11 months of 2011and the 12 months ending 6/30/12. The Apprentice maintains a oneyear rolling contract and just recently began filming the 2013 season.
$\Rightarrow \quad$ Clubs - represents distributions generated through the portfolio of 10 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the average between 2010, the first 11 months of 2011and the 12 months ending 6/30/12.
$\Rightarrow$ Licensing - represents licensing revenue from a large portfolio of licensing agreements both real estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka, Trump Water, Trump Shirts and several other such types of arrangements. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the lesser of i) the average between 2010, the first 11 months of 2011 and, ii) the 12 months ending 6/30/12 and the client reported amount.
$\Rightarrow \quad$ Non Operating Revenue - includes tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale and other one-time type items. Due to the nature of this cash flow we excluded for the purposes of calculating recurring cash flow.
$\Rightarrow$ Investment income - represents interest and investment income on cash and marketable securities. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted revenue we have assumed the 12 months ending 6/30/12.
$\Rightarrow$ Other - primarily related to miscellaneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the average between 2010, the first 11 months of 2011and the 12 months ending 6/30/12.
$\Rightarrow$ Uses of Cash - primarily utilized for i) property development with significant capital investment in 40 Wall ( $\$ 20$ million), Trump International Golf Course in Scotland (\$20 million), ii) acquisition of Trump International in Charlotte ( $\$ 5$ million), and acquisition of new helicopter ( $\$ 25$ million) for purposes of transporting people from airport to Doral golf course in Miami, and iii) retirement of debt purchased from Fortress ( $\$ 48$ million) related to the Trump Chicago and from Eurohypo related to the Trump Las Vegas. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted uses of cash we have assumed client reported amounts with the exception of the Retirement of Debt and Club Acquisitions, which we assumed to be zero as there are no material contractual amounts due or scheduled acquisition in 2013. The client indicated that the investment Property Development is expected to decline in 2013
$\Rightarrow$ Net Excess Cash Flow - the net decrease in reported Excess Cash Flow of $\$ 89.1$ million reconciles to the reported decrease in the Guarantors reported Cash balance from $\$ 258.9$ million to $\$ 169.7$ million.

- Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of the obligation (the full $\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}$ ) by the Guarantor, using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The results indicate that the Guarantor meets 3 out of the 4 criteria slightly missing the required Cash Flow Ratio, due to the significant investment in property development during the period. It should be noted for purposes of reporting contingents, approximately $\$ 270$ million of the adjusted contingent liabilities is related to secured debt however the full amount of the exposure is still included in the Guarantor's financials. Assuming the maximum Swap PFE of $\$ 28$ million the Guarantor meets 3 of the unsecured ratios, still falling short on the Cash Flow Ratio.

| Covenants |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current | Requested Modification |
| Reporting Covenants |  |
| Borrower and Guarantor are in compliance with all financial and reporting covenants. |  |
| Borrower: <br> - Business Financial Statement- Guarantor to provide financial statements annually dated within 120 days of year end Statements shall include balance sheet and cash-flow statement Complies | No change. |
| Guarantor: <br> - Personal Financial Statement- Guarantor to provide financial statements annually within 120 days of $6 / 30$. - Complies <br> - Compliance Certificate- Guarantor to provide a compliance certificate annually dated within 120 days of $6 / 30$ and Lender will have right to confirm required liquidity through review of account statements at the offices of the Guarantor. - Complies | No change. |
| Financial Covenants <br> Borrower and Guarantors are in compliance with all financial and reporting covenants. |  |
| Borrower: <br> - During the Renovation Period: No DSC Covenant <br> - During the Renovation Period: 1.15x | Borrower: <br> The DSC Covenant shall be increased to correspond with defined stepdowns in the Guaranty Levels as indicated in the chart below: |
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## Guarantors:

During the Renovation Period and any DSC Non-Compliance Period:

- Minimum Liquidity: Guarantor must maintain at least \$50MM in unencumbered liquidity with $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 M M}$ held at DB.
- Maximum Debt: Guarantor cannot incur direct or contingent debt in excess of $\$ 300 \mathrm{MM}$. Excluding the subject facility.

At all times during the term of the loan:

- Required Net Worth: Guarantor must maintain a NW of no less than \$2.5B.

| Guaranty <br> Level | Minimum <br> DSC <br> Covenant |
| :--- | :--- |
| $100 \%$ | No Test |
| $40 \%$ | $1.15 x$ |
| $20 \%$ | $1.40 x$ |
| $10 \%$ | $1.65 x$ |
| $0 \%$ | $1.90 x$ |

## Guarantors:

During the Renovation Period the Guarantor covenants do not change. Once the Renovation Period is over (an acceptable appraisal is delivered showing an LTV for the entire loan facility at or below 85\%), the following Guarantor Covenants will be in place.

During the DSC Non-compliance Period. The following Guarantor Covenants will be in place:

- Minimum Liquidity

| Guaranty <br> Level | Unencumbered <br> Liquidity Covenant | Held with <br> DB |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $100 \%$ | $\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}$ | $\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}$ | $\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}$ |
| $20 \%$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{MM}$ | $\$ 10 \mathrm{MM}$ |
| $10 \%$ | $\$ 5 \mathrm{MM}$ | $\$ 5 \mathrm{MM}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |

- Maximum Debt - No change

At all times during the term of the loan:

- Required Net Worth: Guarantor shall maintain a Net Worth of not less than the product of (x) Two Billion Five Hundred Million Dollars $(\$ 2,500,000,000)$ times $(y)$ the applicable Guaranty Level.

| Guaranty <br> Level <br> $(Y)$ | Not Worth <br> Covenant <br> $(X)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $100 \%$ | $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\$ 1 \mathrm{~B}$ |
| $20 \%$ | $\$ 500 \mathrm{MM}$ |
| $10 \%$ | $\$ 250 \mathrm{MM}$ |
| $0 \%$ | $\$ 0$ |

## Project Overview

In 2007, the Property joined CNL Hospitality and became part of the Marriott portfolio of 60 worldwide resorts. Since that time, the Guarantor feels the property's performance has degraded significantly due to the misalignment of Marriott's mid-level brand with Doral's luxury potential, an inconsistent guest experience and a lack of expertise in golf operations. The Guarantor feels their branding and operating experience is more closely aligned with Doral's potential as a high end golf and resort destination.

The Property has been invested in significantly over the years with more than $\$ 67 \mathrm{MM}$ of capital improvements completed since 2005. A very significant portion of that amount, $+\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}$, has been invested in mechanical, electrical, plumbing and other "behind the wall" improvements.
Since acquisition the Guarantor has indicated they will invest between \$150MM-\$160MM to renovate and reposition the resort to its previous worldclass standard. Significant renovations began in April 2013 and are targeted to be almost entirely completed by the end of 1Q 2014. The goal of the refurbishment is to ensure a consistent guest room quality and enhanced guest experience throughout the rooms and public spaces of the resort. As rooms are located in multiple lodges, there will never be the requirement to close the entire resort as only a portion of rooms will be out of inventory at any time.
Renovation of the Main Building, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion will include an aesthetic upgrade of the lobbies, reception areas meeting spaces, restaurants, retail space, spa and corridors. Renovation of the Lodges and Spa Suites will include both interior and exterior work including painting, lighting and landscaping. Guest rooms will undergo a complete renovation including new bathroom fixtures, furniture, flooring, window treatments, lighting and linens. The Member's Clubhouse will receive a brand new design that will enlarge the space and improve the finishes to support the drive to increase the number of the members and amount of dues. The golf course renovations will be primarily aesthetic with a focus on improvements to the cart paths and landscaping. In addition, the budget includes lengthening and expanding the driving range to make it consistent with the high standard of the courses. The remaining funds will be used to enhance the arrival experience, improve the overall landscaping, renovate the pool and outdoor areas and perform a number of other smaller projects.
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| Property Performance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Historical <br> The Guarantor has provided Profit and Loss statements for Property for the four years, 2007-2010. |
|  $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ <br> Actual $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ <br> Actual $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ <br> Actual $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ <br> Actual <br> Occupancy Rate $69.3 \%$ $66.7 \%$ $56.1 \%$ $70.6 \%$ <br> ADR $\$ 182.69$ $\$ 184.45$ $\$ 155.6$ $\$ 144.46$ <br> RevPar $\$ 126.54$ $\$ 122.97$ $\$ 87.37$ $\$ 101.95$ <br> Total Revenue $\$ 96,391$ $\$ 96,848$ $\$ 70,465$ $\$ 80,539$ <br> Total Operating Expenses $\$ 78,149$ $\$ 81,562$ $\$ 68,937$ $\$ 75,799$ <br> Net Operating Income $\$ 18,242$ $\$ 15,286$ $\$ 1,528$ $\$ 4,740$ <br> EBITDA $\$ 23,041$ $\$ 20,128$ $\$ 5,052$ $\$ 8,765$ | |  |
| :--- |

As illustrated above, the property has seen a steady decline in NOI since 2007. The Guarantor feels that this is a result of mismanagement of the property resulting in an inconsistent guest experience and lack of expertise in golf operations. Given the Guarantor's successful history in the hotel and golf course space, they feel there is substantial opportunity in the property once it is repositioned.
Once renovations are completed at the end of the second year of operations (March 2014), the Guarantor believes the cash flow generated by the property will increase dramatically.

Actual 2012 and Projected

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\mathbf{6}$ months <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> Annualized <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> Projected | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> Projected | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> Projected |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | $48.39 \%$ | N/A | $62.2 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| ADR | $\$ 148.58$ | N/A | $\$ 175.0$ | $\$ 208$ | $\$ 250$ |
| RevPar | $\$ 67.43$ | N/A | $\$ 108.85$ | $\$ 151.85$ | $\$ 182.5$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 30,025$ | $\$ 60,050$ | $\$ 82,099$ | $\$ 111,237$ | $\$ 121,993$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 37,754$ | $\$ 75,508$ | $\$ 69,899$ | $\$ 87,295$ | $\$ 93,786$ |
| Net Operating Income | $(\$ 7,729)$ | $(\$ 15,458)$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $\$ 23,942$ | $\$ 28,207$ |
| EBITDA | $(\$ 6,553)$ | $(\$ 13,106)$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $\$ 26,169$ | $\$ 31,869$ |
| Actual Debt to EBITDA | N/A | N/A | 10.25 x | 4.78 x | 3.92 x |

*It should be noted that operating expenses omit any hotel management fees as these would be subordinate to any payments of senior debt.
As the Borrower purchased the collateral property in June of 2012, we have been provided with financial statements for the 6 months ending $12 / 31 / 12$. The property reportedly produced $\$ 30,025 \mathrm{M}$ in revenue less $\$ 37,754 \mathrm{M}$ in expenses for a deficit of $\$ 7,729 \mathrm{M}$. The deficit can be attributed to the ongoing renovations at the property which has left only a portion of the rooms available for guest use, thus limiting the potential revenue generated. In addition, the golf courses are also being renovated limited revenue generated by the golf operations. All renovations are expected to be completed prior to the PGA-Cadillac World Golf Championship which is scheduled for the beginning of March 2014, thus 2013 revenues are expected to be further impaired as a result of the on-going renovations. It should be noted that prior to the delivery of a new appraisal showing an "as is" value greater than \$192.3MM (65\% LTV), the Guaranty level remains at $100 \%$.

The projections are supported by the Guarantor's plan to improve the revenue generated in four specific areas of concentration; guest rooms, food and beverage, golf and expense management. As illustrated in the above historical figures, prior to the implementation of the Marriott management company, when the Property was operated as a single flag, the Doral produced EBITDA of \$23MM. As aforementioned, the Guarantor's goal is to restore it to this level by 2014.

The Property's competitive set includes the PGA National Resort in Palm Beach ( 85 miles from subject), Fairmount Turnberry Isle in Aventura ( 23 miles), Intercontinental Hotel Miami ( 13 miles), Innisbrook Resort \& Golf Club ( 283 miles), Loews Miami Beach Hotel ( 17 miles) and Westin Diplomat Resort Golf and Spa ( 25 miles). Over the past few years, the Property has significantly underperformed its competitive set losing market penetration from $94.4 \%$ during 2005 to $80.8 \%$ during 2007 to $65.7 \%$ for the 12 months ending August 2011. It is the view of the Guarantor that the affiliation with the Trump Hotel brand will elevate the Property and support the growth projection of an increase in market penetration to $89 \%$ vs a competitive set that they feel is weaker than that faced by other properties in the Trump portfolio.

In addition to the guest rooms, the Guarantor plans to reposition the food and beverage venues to better serve a high end clientele in addition to promoting the wedding and event business. As golf course operations are viewed as a particular strength of the Trump organization, the Guarantor projects a strong growth in the key golf operating metrics as follows:

|  | At closing 6/2012 | 1 $^{\text {tit }}$ Year Stabilized |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Members | 752 | 857 |
| Golf Dues | $\$ 6,720$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Initiation Fees | $\$ 15,500$ | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Revenue | $\$ 16,239,744$ | $\$ 26,795,578$ |

## Credit Report - CRE (Annual Review)

Update: As per the Borrower during 2012 there were $\$ 776,050$ in initial fees collected and the member count as of $7 / 23 / 13$ has increased to 758.

The final area of concentration (and possibly the most important) is the Guarantor's plans to more effectively control costs without comprising quality. At the forefront of the expense management program is corporate oversight over every budget category. Expenses are controlied through best practices created at other hotels and golf courses, leveraged national account pricing across every department, competitive bidding of goods and services and detailed analysis regarding expenditure decisions.

## US ONLY:

Additional Information

1. Section 23 Attestation

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, including an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the application of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department, which contains a listing of entities, which have been determined to be affiliates ("Affiliates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affiliate List")

- The entity which is entering into the transaction with DBTCA (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank on the Affiliate List maintained by the Compliance Department.
- The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the benefit of a named Affiliate; except for transactions that are not covered transactions.
- The collateral on which we rely for S23 purposes is not a liability of an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank and so a covered transaction will not be produced by this loan.


## NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELD

## Attachments:

I. Risk Rating
II. Covenant Transmittal
III. Financial Spreads
IV. Guarantor PFS
V. Property Financials
VI. Aerial View with requested carve out
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Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas / DB Structured Products, Inc.

| Sponsor(s): <br> Prepared by: <br> Date Prepared: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In 000's |  |  |  |
|  | 6/30/2011 | 6/30/2012 | Commants |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Liquid Assets: |  |  |  |
| Cash and Marketables | 258,900 | 169,700 | persenality and the entily level for working copltaid dobl marvice and other business purposes; includes common slock, mulutal funds, 1 HF , corp notes and bonds and 1 US gov't bond. |
| Bonds: |  |  |  |
| US Obllgations |  |  |  |
| Agency Bonds |  |  |  |
| Muni Bonds |  |  |  |
| Corporate Bonds |  |  |  |
| Marketable Securities: |  |  |  |
| Dlversified, Domestic Equilies |  |  |  |
| Concentrated, Domestic Equities |  |  |  |
| Control/Restricted Equities |  |  |  |
| Intemational Equities Emerging |  |  |  |
| International Equities Developed |  |  |  |
| MS investments - no details |  |  |  |
| Relirement Accounts |  |  |  |
| Escrow and Reserve Deposits and propaid expenses | 9,100 | 10,780 |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |
| Total Liquid Assats | 268,000 | 180,480 |  |
| lliquid Assels: |  |  |  |
| Allomative Investments: |  |  |  |
| Domestlc Hedge Funds |  |  |  |
| Offshore Hedge Funds |  |  |  |
| Private Equity Funds |  |  |  |
| Cash Surrender Value Life Insurance |  |  |  |
| Receivables (Notes, Mortgages, etc.) |  |  |  |
| Real Estale: |  |  |  |
| Primary Residence |  |  |  |
| Secondary Residence(s) |  |  |  |
| Vacant Land |  |  |  |
| Closely Heid Investments |  |  |  |
| Equity in Real Estate Interests | 2,988,070 | 3,176,450 | See CRE tab |
| Parinership Interests | 720,900 | 823,300 | 1290 Sixth Ave and 555 Califomia Street value given net of debt |
| Fine Art |  |  |  |
| Yachts \& Aircraft |  |  |  |
| Other Assets: |  |  |  |
| Household Goods |  |  |  |
| Autos |  |  |  |
| Other Personal Assets |  |  |  |
| Other | 15,000 | 15,000 | Miss Universe Pagents ; 50/50 JV with NBC |
| Other | 110,000 | 85,000 | Real estate licensing Developments; valuation based onthose situations where signed arrangements existed and were "reasonably" quantifiabla |
| Other | 184,100 | 303,500 | Triplex in Trump Tower, 2 homes in Palm Beach across from Mar-a-Lago ; pledged for approx \$1MM in debt Boelng 757 and Sikorsky helicopter. |
| Other | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 | Brand Value - based on some income stream over a period of years plus a residual value at the end for the facllity and land. Value ranged from $\$ 2.8$ 3B. |
| Total Illiquid Assets | 7,018,070 | 7,403,250 |  |
| Total Assets | 7,286,070 | 7,583,730 |  |

## Liabilitles

Credil Card(s)
Accounts Payable
Due to Related
Due to banks:
Unsecured
Secured (non-residential R/E)
20,780
4,400
accrued expenses and retention payable

Real Eslate:
Primary Residence
Secondary Residence(s)
Vecant Land
Loans Against Life Insurance CSV
Margin Dabt
Income Taxes Payable
Taxes on Unrealized Asset Appreciation
Other Debt
Total Liabillities

Stated Net Worth
$-24,480=25,050$
$7,7,7,061,580$

Mortgages and loans payable secured by other assets; Includes $\$ 19.76 \mathrm{MM}$ in fees derived from RE licensing developments

## EXHIBIT NN



| \# | Obligor | Orgld | Summary | Risk Rating | Facility Amount | O/S Balance | Maturity | Interest Rate | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Titan Atlas Manufacturing | 7789036 | $1^{51}$ Mortgage | i 888 -/dBBB | \$3.65 | \$3.65 | 11/18/2014 | L+300 | Donald J. Trump Jr |
| 2 | Trump Doral |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Mortgage |  | \$125.00 | \$125.00 | 6/10/17 | L + 225 |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$128.65 | \$128.65 |  |  |  |

See Regulatory Requirement - One Obligor Principle for further details on related exposure.

| KYC Verification: | Borrower(s) | Pledgor(s) | Guarantor(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - $\quad 1$ | SBLC: Applicant | Beneficiary | Counter-Party |


| Covenants: Does the subject facility have covenants: Did the covenants change since last credit approval: | $\begin{aligned} & \boxed{Y e s} \\ & \square \mathrm{Yes} \end{aligned}$ | $\square \text { No }$ | 区 Not Applicable |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Reason for Presentation:

1) Request approval for up to a $\$ 107$ million term commitment in the form of two senior secured credit facilities, which will be further supported on a full recourse basis by the Guarantor. Based on the unit sales under the Residential Component since execution of the term sheet plus the timing of additional pending sales which may close prior to the Closing Date, the total commitment amount is expected to be in the range of $\$ 95$ million - $\$ 100$ million. The Facilities are summarized as follows.

- Facility $\mathbf{A}$ - up to a $\$ 62$ million, 4 year term facility plus a 12 -month extension option, subject to the extension terms as defined herein. As indicated, due to unit sales, actual commitment amount on Closing Date is expected to be in the range of $\$ 55$ million to $\$ 60$ million. (Expected LTV at Closing Date is 55\%)
- Facility B - up to a $\$ \mathbf{\$ 5}$ million, 5 -year term facility plus a $\mathbf{2 4}$ month extension option subject to the extension terms as defined herein. (Expected LTV on Closing Date is 36\%)

Proceeds from the Facility will be utilized refinance the existing construct-to-perm facility, which is agented by the DB CB\&S Real Estate group that is scheduled to mature on July of 2013. The existing outstanding debt totals approximately $\$ 97.8 \mathrm{MM}$. Proceeds from these Facilities will also finance closing costs and other related fees and expenses. The proceeds will not include any distributions to the Guarantor.
2) Request approval for an interest rate swap (the "Swap"), for the purpose of hedging interest rate risk, with a notional amount not to exceed the principal amount outstanding under Facility B. The Swap will be cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted to Facility B with the property serving as collateral for the exposure under the Swap. Exposure under the Swap, which has PFE of $\$ 5.7 \mathrm{MM}$, will also be further supported by the personal guaranty of Donald J. Trump. (See Section VIII. Other for further discussion on documentation supporting the Swap.)
3) Request approval to amend the transaction documentation supporting the $\$ 125$ million Trump Doral credit facility to recognize that Lender shall not have the right to terminate the Doral management agreement as a result of realizing a cross-default under these Facilities.


Other Secured
401NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC
Donald J. Trump
Up to $\$ 107,000,000$ consisting of two facilities.

- Facility A: Up to \$62MM (subject to being reduced due to the sale of additional units prior to closing)
- Facility B: Up to $\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}$

To refinance the existing construct-to-perm facility, which is agented by the DB CB\&S Real Estate group that is scheduled to mature on July of 2013 The existing outstanding debt totals approximately $\$ 97.8 \mathrm{MM}$. Proceeds from these Facilities will also finance closing costs and other related fees and expenses. The proceeds will not include any distributions to the Guarantor.

Facility A: A first mortgage lien and a first priority security interest in the residential component ("Residential Component" of the property consisting of, but not limited to, all unsold (a) residential condominium units, (b) deeded parking spaces, (c) storage spaces, and (d) associated common areas, including the Borrower's fee simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all other related assets. Facility A will be cross collateralized with Facility B, with a subordinate lien on the Collateral under Facility B, however, only for the perind of time that Facility B remains outstanding.

Facility B: A first mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the commercial component ("Commercial Component") of the property consisting of, but not limited to, (a) a full service hotel, including 339 condo-hotel rooms, of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrower Units"), which shall be included as Collateral and 164 rooms that are owned by third parties ("Third Party Units") and which shall not be included as Collateral, (b) approximately $38,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of banquet space, (c) a $23,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa, and (d) a 285 space public parking garage, including the Borrower's fee simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all other related assets. Facilly B will be cross collateralized with Facility $A$, with a subordinate lien on the Collateral under Facility $A$, howevet, only for the period of time that Facility $A$ remains outstanding.

Facility A: Until full repayment of Note A, 1st mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the Residential Component of the Property (with a subordinate lien on Collateral under Note B, but only for so long as Note B is also outstanding). Collateral shall also include a collateral assignment of executed purchase and sales agreements and to all deposits provided under the purchase and sales agreements and all contracts, agreements and other tangible and intangible property rights of Borrower associated with the ownership and operation of the Property, but excluding any rights to the Trump brand or any derivation thereof.
Facility B: Until full repayment of Note B, 1st mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the Commercial Component of the Property (with a subordinate lien on the Collateral under Note A, but only for so long as Note A is also outstanding). Collateral shall also include all contracts, agreements and other tangible and intangible property rights of Borrower associated with the ownership and operation of the Property, but excluding any rights to the Trump brand or any derivation thereof.

Notwithstanding the forgoing, each of the management agreements associated with the properties under Facility A and Facility B shall be Collateral under the Facility, and shall remain in place in accordance with the terms of such management agreements, and, at Borrower's request, Lender shall provide a recognition agreement to the manager(s) with respect to each of the management agreements, which recognition agreement(s) shall acknowledge that such management agreements shall remain in place pursuant to their terms. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Lender shall have the right to terminate such management agreements only upon the acceleration of Facility, but only if such acceleration is a result of the occurrence and continuation of an Event of Default resulting from events that must include any one or more of the following events: (1) Borrower's or Guarantor's failure to pay principal and/or interest when due and payable under Facility Note A and/or Facility Note B, (2) fraud, gross negligence, willful misconduct or misappropriation of funds by manager or (3) bankruptcy proceedings being initiated with respect to manager or Borrower. For the avoidance of doubt, Lender shall not have the right to terminate the management agreement in connection with any acceleration arising out of any other Event of Default that is not enumerated in clauses (1), (2) or (3) above, including, without limitation, any acceleration arising out of any cross-default between the Facilities and any other loan facility (other than Facility A or Facility B). Cancellation of the Management Agreements, without the consent of the Lender is an Event of Default, with the exception of the involuntary cancellation of such agreement, should it be involuntarily terminated by an independent Condominium/Hotel Board or by the Management Company due to the failure to receive the payment of management fees. In addition, the Doral loan documents shall be amended to provide that Lender shall not have the right to terminate the Doral management agreement as a result of any cross-default between the Doral loan and Facility Note A.
$\left.\begin{array}{|ll}\hline \text { Guarantee: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Facility A: Donald J. Trump - 100\% of principal, interest and Operating Shortfalls of Facility Note A's } \\ \text { collateral. } \\ \text { Facility B: Donald J. Trump - 100\% of principal, interest and Operating Shorffalls of Facility Note B's } \\ \text { collateral. }\end{array} \\ & \begin{array}{l}\text { Operating Shorfalls shall be defined as the amount by which the operating expenses exceed operating }\end{array} \\ \text { income in respect to the collateral properties with respect to Shortfall Coverage Period. The Shorffall Coverage }\end{array}\right]$

## Confidential

## Credit Report - Structured

| Facility Fee: | Facility A: $0.625 \%$ of Facility A payable on the Closing Date of the Facility |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Facility B: 0.75\% of Facility B payable on the Closing Date of the Facility |
| Account to Charge: | An account to be opened by the Borrower at Lender. |
| Documentation: | Loeb \& Loeb, LLP |

## Repayment Sources/ Key Risks/Mitigants

> Primary Source of Repayment: Facility A: Sale of the remaining un-sold condo units.
Facility B: Cash flow generated by the Commercial Component of the collateral.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the collateral property.
$>$ Tertiary Source of Repayment: Full and unconditional guarantee of DJT which eliminates any shorfall associated with operating and liquidation of the Collateral.

## Recommendation:

The Facility is being recommended for approval based on:

- Quality of the Collateral and LTV- the Property is in the form of a luxury hotel and condominium building located in Chicago. Based on recent "As Is" values provided in appraisals, as discussed herein and performed for purposes of underwriting these Facilities, the Residential Component is valued at $\$ 113$ million which provides a Maximum LTV of $55 \%$, and the Commercial Component is valued at $\$ 126.3$ million which provides a Maximum LTV of $36 \%$. The combined maximum LTV based on the maximum commitment amount of $\$ 107$ million is $44.7 \%$. (See Section IV Financial Analysis - Property for additional details)
- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The financial profile of the Guarantor includes, on an adjusted basis, $\$ 146$ million in unencumbered liquidity, $\$ 2.4$ billion in Net Worth and approximately $\$ 13$ million in adjusted excess recurring net cash flow. (See Section II - Financial Analysis - Guarantor for additional details.)
- Nature of the Guarantee - The nature of the guarantee which is fully unconditional and includes both principal and interest due under the Facilities along with Operating Shorffalls of the Property, as defined herein.
- Operating Experience - DJT is a well known and experienced in operator of properties of this type.
- DB Relationship - DJT continues to develop his relationship with DB, as this is the third credit facility we have originated with him or his family ( 2 with DJT 1 with DJT Jr). DJT has transferred $\$ 20$ million in liquidity to DB and has indicated he is interested in continuing to grow his non-credit relationship with the firm. The PWM Banking team has been introduced to each DJT's three adult children and two have also established relationships with the firm. In addition, the CB\&S Real Estate Team, which currently agents the loan being refinanced by the proposed Facilities, also has an on-going dialogue with the family.



## Regulatory Requirement - One Obligor Principle

IMPORTANT: Transactions subject to banking secrecy in other locations should not be covered

- Does the borrower have other existing credit client relationships in the current booking location or with other Deutsche Bank entities (whether under private individual name or other related entities)?

区 Yes
No
If 'Yes', please provide details:
Please refer to Total Relationship Exposure above.

- Please certify (tick the box) that this was confirmed with the borrower: $\boxtimes$

If answered 'Yes' to the above question, the Consolidation of Borrowers' Sheet has to be completed*
*Credit Officer or Lending Officer to complete as per responsibilities in place in the given location


## l. Summary of Transaction

## > Request/Purpose

The purpose of the transaction is to provide maximum financing in the amount not to exceed $\$ 107$ million for the purposes of refinancing the existing debt on the Property, in the form of the original construct-to-perm facility, which is agented by the DB CB\&S Real Estate group that is scheduled to mature on July of 2013 The Property is located on a 119,388 SF site and is known as the 92 -story Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago. The entire tower contains $2,637,320 \mathrm{SF}$ of mixed use components which includes a hotel, spa facility, residential condominiums, a parking garage, retail space, restaurants, convention space, and a health club. The Properties retail space shall not be included as Collateral under the Facilities and is not reflected in the Property valuations that are presented herein.

The financing will be structured under two separate senior secured credit facilities:
Facility A: A first mortgage lien and a first priority security interest on the Residential Component. The maximum advance rate for Facility A shall not exceed $57 \%$ of the "as is" appraised value of the Collateral. The "As Is" appraised Value" will be equal to the "as is" value/bulk value of $\$ 113 \mathrm{MM}$ as identified in the $8 / 21 / 12$ appraisal performed by Cushman \& Wakefield. Based on the appraisal the expected LTV on the Closing Date is 55\%. (See Exhibit IV. A _ copy of Residential Appraisal)

Facility B: A first mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the Commercial Component. The maximum advance rate for Facility A shall not exceed $60 \%$ of the "as is" appraised value of the Collateral The "As Is" appraised value is $\$ 1263$ million based on the appraisal performed by CB Richard Ellis, dated 7/23/12. Accordingly, the expected LTV at closing is expected to be $36 \%$. (See Exhibit IV B - Copy of Commercial Appraisal)


#### Abstract

II - Financial Analysis - Guarantor Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although Facilities are secured by the Collateral, given its unique nature, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the Guarantor. As part of this underwriting we have met with several members of the family office to update our due diligence on the client reported financial information, as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional details are included in the Guarantors financial statements which are attached as Exhibit II.


| Financial Summary (\$ in millions) <br> Source: Client provided financials | DJT 6/30/2011 (Client Reported) | DJT 6/30/2011 (DB Adjusted) | DJT 6/30/2012 (Client Reported) | DJT 6/30/2012 (DB Adjusted) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash \& Marketable Securities | \$258.9 | \$135.8 | \$169.7 | \$146.3 |
| Escrow \& Reserve Deposits | \$9.1 | - | \$10.8 | - |
| Real Estate - Net Equity | \$2,996.9 | \$1,737.9 | \$3,184.2 | \$1,707,5 |
| Partnerships \& Joint Ventures | \$720.0 | \$360.0 | \$823.3 | \$411.7 |
| Real Estate Licensing | \$89.3 | \$44.6 | \$65.2 | \$32.6 |
| Other Assets | \$199.2 | \$99.6 | \$318.5 | \$159.3 |
| Total Assets | \$4,273.4 | \$2,377.9 | \$4,563.9 | \$2,448.8 |
| Personal Mortgage other Debt | \$8.4 | \$8.4 | \$8.3 | \$8.3 |
| Other Liabilities | \$3.7 | \$3.7 | \$4.4 | \$4.4 |
| Net Worth | \$4,261.3 | \$2,365.8 | 4,559.0 | 2,436.1 |
| Contingent Obligations | \$114.0 | \$114.0 | \$195.7 | 277.7 |
| Net Cash Flow * | \$82.4 | \$48.8 | (\$89.2) | \$13.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Key Ratios - Unsecured Lending } \\ & \text { Guidelines } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage Ratio ( $<=.30$ ) | 13 | 24 | . 14 | 13 |
| Cash Flow Ratio (> 35 ) | . 57 | . 31 | -0.67 | . 05 |
| Liquidity Ratio (>= .25) | 2.04 | 1.06 | 1.32 | . 47 |
| Asset Coverage Ratio ( $>=6.0$ ) | 31.7 | 17.84 | 33.32 | 8.43 |

- Liquidity - On 10/19/2012 Tom Sullivan and Emily Schroeder visited the offices of the Guarantor and reviewed bank and brokerage statements that confirmed $\$ 146.3$ million in cash balances and marketable securities, representing $86 \%$ of the client reported amount and of which $\$ 118.4$ million was held by in the name of the Guarantor and $\$ 27.9$ is held in operating accounts of entities that are wholly-owned by the Guarantor. The client reported balance has decreased by $\$ 89.2$ million from the prior year primarily due to the clients investment in property development, retirement of debt and asset acquisition. (See Cash Flow section for additional details)

Subsequent to June 30, 2012, the Guarantor closed a new $\$ 100$ million refinancing on Augusi 30, 2012 on the Trump Tower property. The transaction replaced the existing $\$ 26.9$ million in existing dobt and allowed the Guarantor to take a $\$ 73$ million cash distribution which further increased his reported liquidity. Adjusting for the distribution would increase the Guarantors cash balance to $\$ 251.7$ million.

The Guarantors personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversified portfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantors portfolio of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of membership deposits. In accordance with industry standards premier golf clubs require new members post a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are non-refundable for 30years without condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit which is generally contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. As of June 30, 2012 the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the 12 clubs owned by the Guarantor was approximately $\$ 157$ million much of which has been reinvested into the clubs. Given the lack of any conditional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income.

- Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantors total real estate portfolio, as of $6 / 31 / 12$ which reflects the Guarantors 4 wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 12 wholly owned club facilities, other major property interests and properties currently under development. DB adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.

| Property Type | DJT Valuation | $\begin{gathered} \hline D E \\ \text { Valuation } \end{gathered}$ | Reported Debt | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { Net Equity } \end{gathered}$ | DB Adjusted Net Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trump Tower - $7255^{\text {th }}$ Ave | \$501.1 | \$480.2 | \$26.7 | \$474.4 | * $\$ 380.2$ |
| Niketown - East 57 ${ }^{\text {¹5 }}$ St | \$279.5 | \$197.0 | \$46.4 | \$233.1 | \$150.6 |
| 40 Wall Street | \$527.2 | \$438.0 | \$160.0 | \$367.2 | \$278.0 |
| Trump Park Ave | \$312.4 | \$206.3 | \$22.2 | \$290.2 | \$184.1 |
| Subtotal-4 Trophy Properties | \$1,620.2 | \$1,221.5 | \$255.3 | \$1,364.9 | \$992.9 |
| Club Facilities | \$1,570.3 | \$785.2 | \$148.4 | \$1,421.9 | \$636.7 |
| Other Property Interest | \$412.6 | \$93.1 | \$15.2 | \$397.4 | \$77.9 |
| Total - Portfolio | \$3,603.1 | \$2,099.8 | \$418.9 | \$3,184.2 | \$1,707.5 |

*Reflects adjustment for $\$ 480$ million appraised value less new debt of $\$ 100$ million, which closed on August 31, 2012
$\rightarrow 4$ Trophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were discussed with DB Valuation Services Group ("DBVSG") who advised on adjustments for each.

- Trump Towers - The 68 story building contains residential and condominiums that are owned by residents along with 178,000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of retail space. As of $6 / 30 / 12$ the property had associated debt of approx $\$ 26.9 \mathrm{M}$. On $8 / 30 / 12$ the property was refinanced for $\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}$ allowing DJT to take a distribution of approx $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$ in cash. The loan is non-recourse and matures in 2022. A recent appraisal performed in conjunction with the refinance valued the property at $\$ 480 \mathrm{MM}$ resulting in a roughly $21 \%$ LTV. Although we did not adjust for the additional liquidity distributed to the Guarantor, we are adjusting the property value to reflect the recent appraisal and new debt.
- Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with respect to 2 long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the building located on $57^{\text {th }}$ street between Madison and $5^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retail Services. The current lease is scheduled to expire in May 2017. The space includes 65,000 square feet of retail space. Based on sq foot assumption DBVSG has indicated an adjusted value of $\$ 197$ million. Financing on the space is in the form of longterm bonds which are scheduled to fully amortize by June 1, 2017.
- 40 Wall Street - The 72 floor tower consist of 1.3 million in premier office space. The property has an NOI of $\$ 26.2$ million with the Guarantor using a $5.00 \%$ cap rate to derive the reported value. DBVSG has provided a $6.00 \%$ cap rate for purposes of calculating the adjusted value. The existing debt in the amount of $\$ 160$ million, of which the Guarantor currently guarantees $\$ 20$ million, is scheduled to mature in November 2017. (Note: Guarantor has indicated that they invested approximately $\$ 20$ million in capex over the last 12 months to significantly upgrade the property).
- Trump Park Avenue - The property located on $59^{\text {th }}$ Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space has a reported value based on unsold units and retail rates of $\$ 312.4$ million. The unsold condominium units have been pledged as collateral for the mortgage which, as of $6 / 30 / 12$, had an outstanding balance of 22.2MM and matures $8 / 1 / 15$. Based on discussions with DBVSG we elected to take an approximate $35 \%$ haircut on the reported value.
$\Rightarrow$ Club Facilities - The Guarantor wholly owns interest in 12 private club facilities which include The Mar-A-Lago Club in Palm Beach FI; Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff Manor N.Y:; Trump International Golf Club in Palm Beach County, FI, Trump National Golf Club in LA. Ca; Trump National Golf Club in Bedminsiter NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Colts Neck, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Washington, DC; Trump International Golf Club in Scotland; Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Valley, NY; Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia PA in addition to the newly acquired Trump National Doral in Miami, Florida (please see previous memo for details) and the Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte, NC. In accordance with membership requirements members of the clubs are required to make an up-front membership deposit which is in the form of a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit. The deposits are non-refundable without condition prior to 30 -years. Based on the terms of the deposit they are not recorded as a liability on the financial statements of the specific clubs. The Guarantor has obtained tax opinions supporting the accounting of such deposits for tax purposes. Life-to-date the clubs have collected $\$ 157$ million in such deposits. For purposes of our analysis the $\$ 1.57$ billion in reported value has been reduced by $50 \%$ for deriving an adjusted value.
$\Rightarrow$ Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned interests in The Trump World Tower at United Nations; 100 Central Park South; Trump Plaza NY; Trump International Hotel and Tower, Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East Condominiums and the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford, NY. These properties consist of commercial, retail and hotel space along with condominium units and raw land. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value (with the exception of the Mansion at Seven Springs) we applied a haircut of approximately $23 \%$, which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors 4 Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team. With regards to the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford New York, this property consists of over 200 acres of land a mansion and other buildings. This property is zoned for 9 luxury homes and valued at $\$ 291$ million based on an assessment made by the Guarantor in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow which he would derive as those units are constructed and sold, and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other buildings. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $75 \%$ haircut to this asset to reflect the uncertainty in valuing undeveloped land.

It should be noted that as of June 30, 2012 the Guarantor elected not to include the value of the Trump Chicago International Hotel \& Tower in its financial statements, pending the completion of the updated appraisals as discussed herein. Based on the total appraised value of the Residential Component and the Commercial Component totaling $\$ 239.3$ million less the reported maximum proposed debi of $\$ 107$ million the unreponted net equity value in the Property is approximately $\$ 132.3$ million. For purposes of presenting the financials of the Guarantor as of June 30, 2012 we have elected not to include the net equity value of this Property.

- Partnership and Joint Ventures - 1290 Avenue of the Americas, NY and 555 California Street, San Francisco, CA - In May of 2007 Mr . Trump partnered with Vornado Realty Trust in two buildings in NY and San Francisco. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containing approximately 2MM leasable SF housing such tenants as Microsoft, AXA Equitable, Cushman \& Wakefield and Columbia University. 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office buildings for a total of 1.7 million leasable SF in addition to a subterranean garage. Current tenants include Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, UBS Financial Services, Citigroup and Wells Fargo. Mr Trump owns $30 \%$ of these properties. The value of $\$ 8233$ million is net of debt. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut of this asset.
- Real Estate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous associations with several other parties for purposes of developing properties and other projects. Terms of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance The Guarantor has pledged certain of these fees to secure a $\$ 20$ million mortgage on The Trump Tower at United Nations Plaza. Accordingly, the $\$ 20$ million has been netted against the $\$ 85$ million reported value. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut against the net reported value.
- Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Sirkorsky helicopter, ownership rights to the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 2,000 acre vineyard in Charlottesville Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties, an international talent/model agency and receivables representing amounts earned to date end contract rights with regards to future performances on television. For purposes deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut on reported value It should be noted that the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independent valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report, which is attached as Exhibit VI indicates a brand value in the range of $\$ 28$ billion to $\$ 3.0$ billion. For purposes of our financial analysis we assumed no value for the Trump brand.
- Contingents - as of $6 / 30 / 12$ DJT's gross contingent obligations were reported to be $\$ 195.7$ million, which included: a) the $\$ 125$ million in support provided under the Doral credit facility with PWM, b) $\$ 25$ million in personal recourse on the existing debt, agented by DB CB\&S Real Estate group, related to the Trump International Hotel in Chicago; c) $\$ 20$ million on a limited guarantee for the $\$ 160$ million commercial mortgage on 40 Wall Street extended by Capital One; d) $\$ 11$ million on Trump Golf at Ferry Point to the City of New York, e) $\$ 7.6$ million related to 7 Springs Resort and $\$ 7.1$ million in certain other project related completion guarantees. (See IIC - Schedule of Contingent Liabilities). For purposes of adjusting the reported balance we replaced the existing $\$ 25$ million guarantee with the maximum exposure under the proposed Facilities of $\$ 107$ million for an adjusted gross contingent liability amount of $\$ 2777$ million.
- Net Cash Flow - the Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which are generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash for the period 2010-2012.

| Type | DB Adjusted FY 6/30/12 | Client Reported FY 6/30/12 | $\begin{gathered} \text { (11 Mos) } \\ 11 / 30 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources of Cash |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | \$32.1 | \$32.1 | \$30.5 | \$125.0 |
| Entertainment | \$19.8 | \$20.6 | \$23.5 | $\$ 15.3$ |
| Clubs | \$11.7 | \$15.1 | \$12.1 | \$8.0 |
| Licensing | \$32.4 | \$32.4 | \$33.4 | \$32.3 |
| Non Operating Revenue | -- | - | \$41.2 | \$50.4 |
| Investment income | \$1.8 | \$1.8 | \$4.2 | \$4.2 |
| Other | \$11.1 | \$12.0 | \$10.7 | \$10.5 |
| Total Sources | \$108.9 | \$114.0 | \$155.6 | \$245.7 |
| Uses of Cash |  |  |  |  |
| Property Development | \$69.8 | \$69.8 | \$34.8 | \$34.3 |
| Retirement of Debt/Debt Service | - | \$74.7 | \$14.2 | \$25.2 |
| Golf Club/ Aircraft Acquisition | - | \$32.9 | - | \$37.0 |
| Income Tax Payable | \$22.0 | \$22.0 | \$21.8 | $\$ 2.9$ |
| Personal \& Other | \$3.7 | \$3.7 | \$2.5 | \$1.7 |
| Total Uses | \$95.5 | 203.1 | \$73.3 | \$101.1 |
| Net Excess Cash Flow | \$13.4 | (\$89.1) | \$82.3 | \$144.6 |

$\Rightarrow$ Real Estate - represents distributions from the portfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outlined in this section. It should be noted that 2010 includes $\$ 85$ million in the repayment of certain Notes that were held by the Guarantor.
$\Rightarrow$ Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantors involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the average between 2010, the first 11 months of 2011and the 12 months ending 6/30/12 The Apprentice maintains a oneyear rolling contract and just recently began filming the 2013 season.
$\Rightarrow$ Clubs - represents distributions generated through the portfolio of 10 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the average between 2010, the first 11 months of 2011 and the 12 months ending 6/30/12.
$\Rightarrow$ Licensing - represents licensing revenue from a large porffolio of licensing agreements both real estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka, Trump Water, Trump Shirts and several other such types of arrangements. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the lesser of i) the average between 2010, the first 11 months of 2011 and, ii) the 12 months ending 6/30/12 and the client reported amount.
$\Rightarrow$ Non Operating Revenue - includes tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale and other one-time type items. Due to the nature of this cash flow we excluded for the purposes of calculating recurring cash flow.
$\Rightarrow$ Investment Income - represents interest and investment income on cash and marketable securities. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted revenue we have assumed the 12 months ending 6/30/12.
$\Rightarrow$ Other - primarily related to miscellaneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the average between 2010, the first 11 months of 2011 and the 12 months ending 6/30/12.
$\Rightarrow$ Uses of Cash - primarily utilized for i) property development with significant capital investment in 40 Wall ( $\$ 20$ million), Trump International Golf Course in Scotland ( $\$ 20$ million), ii) acquisition of Trump International in Charlotte ( $\$ 5$ million), and acquisition of new helicopter (\$25 million) for purposes of transporting people from airport to Doral golf course in Miami, and iii) retirement of debt purchased from Fortress ( $\$ 48$ million) related to the Trump Chicago and from Eurohypo related to the Trump Las Vegas. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted uses of cash we have assumed client reported amounts with the exception of the Retirement of Debt and Club Acquisitions, which we assumed to be zero as there are no material contractual amounts due or scheduled acquisition in 2013. The client indicated that the investment Property Development is expected to decline in 2013.
$\Rightarrow$ Net Excess Cash Flow - the net decrease in reported Excess Cash Flow of $\$ 89.1$ million reconciles to the reported decrease in the Guarantors reported Cash balance from $\$ 258.9$ million to $\$ 169.7$ million.

- Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of the obligation by the Guarantor, using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The results indicate that the Guarantor meets 3 out of the 4 criteria slightly missing the required Cash Flow Ratio, due to the significant investment in property development during the period. It should be noted for purposes of reporting contingents, approximately $\$ 270$ million of the adjusted contingent liabilities is related to secured debt however the full amount of the exposure is still included in the Guarantor's financials.


## III. Proparty Description

## > Overview

The Property is known as the 92 -story Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago. The entire tower contains $2,637,320 \mathrm{SF}$ of mixed use components which includes a hotel, spa faciity, residential condominiums, a parking garage, retail space, restaurants, convention space, and a health club. The Property was originally developed in the 1950's as a mid-rise office building occupied by the Chicago Sun Times which was purchased by Trump for $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$, closing October of 2004. Subsequently the Sun-Times building was demolished and the Trump International Hotel and Tower was developed at a cost of approximately $\$ 600 \mathrm{MM}$. Occupancy began in January 2008 for the hotel component, and the residential component began delivering units in Fall of 2008 with completion of the entire project in the latter half of 2009 . The following is a summary of both the Residential Component and the Commercial Component:

- Residential Component - The 486 residential condominiums at the Trump International Hotel and Tower were constructed with a very high level of quality and luxury. Studios, along with one, two and three bedroom units, share the $28{ }^{\text {lh }}$ through $85^{\text {th }}$ floos in a variety of floor plans. The buildings 37 studio residences range from 580 to 713 SF , while 196 one bedroom units range from 919 to $1,475 \mathrm{SF}$. Two-bedroom units begin at $1,558 \mathrm{SF}$ with larger floor plans available that feature up to $3,437 \mathrm{SF}$ of space. The project includes 4 three bedroom units ranging from 3,080 to 3,948 SF. Penthouse residences compose three of the top four floors for the property and are each either a quarter, half or full floor home ranging in size from $2,959 \mathrm{SF}$ to $14,260 \mathrm{SF}$. As of the date of the appraisal 380 of the 486 available condo units had been sold along with 440 of the 673 parking spaces.
- Commercial Component - The Trump International Hotel consists of a 339 -room luxury hotel property which includes a bar/restaurant, spa, fitness center, banquet space, parking and ground floor retail. For the purposes of this facility, the collateral consists of 175 developer owned units as well as the commercial components of the hotel including the food and beverage outlets, the meeting/banquet space, parking and the Spa at Trump. The remaining 164 units are privately owned as part of a "condo-tel" agreement where, at the owners option the unit is included in the available rental pool for the hotel. As noted above, the hotel occupies portions of the first level, the $14^{\text {th }}$ level and levels 16 through 27.

The Spa at Trump includes eleven Spa treatment rooms, a couple's suite, nail salon, relaxation lounges, a retall boutique and a $16,000 \mathrm{SF}$ health club. The health club includes a $75-\mathrm{ft}$ heated indoor pool and provides a range of fitness classes which include Yoga, Pilates and Spinning.

The property has 3 dining venues including Sixteen, the signature fine dining restaurant serving three meals daily featuring an eclectic menu of seasonal ingredients. Sixteen was recently given the maximum four star rating from the Chicago Tribune which hailed the restaurant as "the most lavish dining experience in Chicago". Open May through October (weather permitting), the Terrace is located directly off Sixteen and can seat up to 250 guests in its outdoor lounge space providing views of the Wrigley Clock Tower, Lake Michigan and the Chicago River. Rebar is the indoor cocktail lounge offering neo-Japanese cuisine and signature cocktails. In-room dining is also available 24-hour a day. In addition to the restaurants, there are 12 meeting and function rooms totaling $20,108 \mathrm{SF}$, these include 2 ballrooms, 4 meeting rooms, 4 breakout rooms and 2 boardrooms. The hotel also includes 13 elevators providing access to the guestrooms and other amenities. All three of these venues are included as part of the Commercial Component along with 285 parking spaces which are available (at a cost) for both the hotel guests and the general public.

It should be noted that the hotel has won a number of awards and accolades since its opening including:

- \#2 in the Continental U.S. and Canada and \#9 in the World by Travel + Leisure's 2012 World's Best Service Awards
- \#1 Hotel in Illinois and \#21 in the World's Best 500 Hotels overall in 2011 Travel + Leisure
- AAA Five Diamond Hotel Award, 2011
- AAA Four Diamond Restaurant Award for Sixteen, 2011
- North America's Leading Luxury Hotel, World Travel Awards, 2010 \& 2011


## > Location

This location is in Chicago's central business district at the southwest edge of the North Michigan Avenue submarket. The local market area is developed with a variety of uses, including retail, office, residential and hotel. The North Michigan Avenue submarket distinguishes itself by its upscale retail orientation and image. Referred to as the Magnificent Mile, the retail district is synonymous with world class shopping and matched only by Beverly Hill's Rodeo Drive, New York's Fifth Avenue, London's Bond Street and Tokyo's Ginza. North Michigan Avenue retail space consistently commands rental rates among the highest in the United States thanks to tremendous sales figures, traffic counts and a finite amount of store frontage along the Avenue.

Also located within this neighborhood are some of the city's premier hotels including the Four Seasons, the Ritz Cariton, the Park Hyatt and the Sofitel Chicago. Traditionally this submarket has been a prime location for hotels due to the array of stores and restaurants in the area. Key room night demand generators in the local market include the Northwestern Memorial Hospital complex, the companies located in the surrounding office development and the North Michigan Avenue shopping district. The area benefits from good transportation linkages and is convenient to the River North, the East Loop and the Central Loop submarkets, as well.

Anticipated to open in 2013, the Langham Hotel Chicago is currently under development to deliver 300 rooms directly across the street fom the subject property. The hotel is not a free-standing structure, but will rather occupy the second through thirteenth floors of the 52 -story building that was once the home of IBM's Chicago head quarters. Property amenities are anticipated to include a restaurant, lobby lounge, approx, 28,592 SF of meeting spaces, an indoor pool, fitness center and a spa. The hotel will be a luxury full service hotel that will likely compete with the subject property.

Taking the aforementioned into consideration regarding the market area and its new and existing competitive set, as per the appraisal, the outlook for the subject's local market area, in general, and the subject property, in particular, is positive.

## > Property Management

Given the unique nature of the Property, it is managed by a Trump owned management company through a series of Management Agreements. The following is a summary of each of these agreements.

- Commercial Management Agreement - under which the Spa, parking, banquet and other common areas of the hotel are managed. The Property Manager has executed sub-management agreements with specific vendors to manage the spa and parking areas and also has an agreement with a vaile service. The agreement is scheduled to expire in 2041.
- Hotel Management Agreement - under which all hotel related rooms are managed, both third party owned and those units owned by the Borrower. The contract is scheduled to expire in 2038.
- Residential Condo Agreement - under which all residential condo units are managed both sold and unsold. The agreement is scheduled to expire in August 2013, and it is expected that the agreement will be extended.

The respective Board of Directors ("BOD") of the Condominium and Hotel are responsible to appoint the Property Manager. It should be noted that the Guarantor does not control either BOD. In accordance with the terms and conditions of this transaction the Lender will obtain Recognition Agreements, which provides the right for the Lender to terminate any of the Management Agreement upon the continuation of an Event of Default resulting from events that include any one or more of the following events: (1) Borrower's or Guarantor's failure to pay principal and/or interest when due and payable under Facility Note A and/or Facility Note B, (2) fraud, gross negligence, willful misconduct or misappropriation of funds by manager or (3) bankruptcy proceedings being initiated with respect to manager or Borrower. Cancellation of the Management Agreements, without the consent of the Lender is an Event of Default, with the exception of the cancellation of such agreement, should it be involuntarily terminated by the BOD or by the Management Company due to the failure to receive the payment of management fees.

## Appraisal Reports

The following is a summary of the appraisals that were performed for both the Commercial and Residential Components:

- Residential Component - appraisal was performed by Cushman and Wakefield and is dated as of $8 / 21 / 12$ with a value on the remaining 106 units totaling 193,395 sqft which results in a total "As Is" Value of $\$ 113$ million. (See Exhibit IV - Retail Component Appraisal Report)
- Commercial Component - appraisal was performed by CB Richard Ellis, dated as of $7 / 23 / 12$ which indicated an "As Is" value of $\$ 126.3$ million (See Exhibit IV B - Commercial Component Appraisal Report).


## > Environmental Reports

Phase IESA performed by IVI dated 9/28/12 has been reviewed and accepted by DB. The report showed no material issues or concerns warranting additional assessment and no further actions are necessary.

Property Condition Report performed by IVI dated 9/28/12 has been reviewed and accepted by DB. The scope of this property condition assessment was the hotel portion of the building, the unsold residential condominium units, common areas, the Sixteen Restaurant and parking garage areas. The subject property was described as generally in good condition and no significant, material physical deficiencies were noted. There are 34 open DOB violations with the City of Chicago DOB, however, a listing of the specific nature of the violations was not provided. It is recommended that the borrower begin the process of resolving these violations and having them removed. There were no significant, material costs noted in the schedule.

These recommendations will be discussed with the client and where necessary addressed in a post-closing agreement. In addition, the Bank will be receiving an environmental indemnity from the Borrower.
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## IV. Financial Analysis - Property

The Collateral for the Facilities in the form of the Residential Component and the Commercial Component is allocated between Facility A and Facility B. Accordingly, for purposes of this underwriting they are being evaluated separately.

## > Residential Component

As of the date of the appraisal 380 of the 486 available condo units had been sold along with 440 of the 673 parking spaces. Since the date of the appraisal, 13 residences and 7 parking spaces have sold and 3 are in contract to close in the next 60 days, thus the Collateral for Facility $A$ is the remaining 93 unsold condominium units and 226 deeded parking spaces. Accordingly, the maximum commitment amount under this Facility on the Closing Date is expected to be between $\$ 55$ million - $\$ 60$ million, and will remain subject to a maximum LTV of $57 \%$. The following table summarizes the remaining types of unsold condos expected to include as Collateral.

| Type | \# Unsold Units | Avg. Unit SF | Total SF | Total List Price | Avg List Price/SF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Studio | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 674 | 11,456 | $\$ 8,791,000$ | $\$ 767$ |
| One bedroom | 30 | 1,119 | 33,577 | $\$ 21,833,500$ | $\$ 650$ |
| Two bedroom | 40 | $\mathbf{2 , 3 8 7}$ | 95,462 | $\$ 68,371,800$ | $\$ 716$ |
| Three bedroom | 3 | 3,102 | 9,306 | $\$ 7,835,000$ | $\$ 842$ |
| Four bedroom | 1 | 6,850 | 6,850 | $\$ 7,500,000$ | $\$ 1,095$ |
| Five bedroom | 1 | 2,746 | 2,746 | $\$ 1,850,000$ | $\$ 674$ |
| Penthouse | 1 | $\mathbf{1 4 , 2 6 0}$ | $\underline{14,260}$ | $\$ 30,000,000$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 1 0 4}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 7 3 , 6 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 6 , 1 8 1 , 3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 4 2}$ |

Based on the current amount of unsold total square feet / units with an appraised value of $\$ 584 \mathrm{per} \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ the adjusted appraised value for the Residential Component is approximately $\$ 101.4$ million which provides for a maximum commitment amount of up to $\$ 57.8$ million.

As noted above, since the certificate of occupancy was delivered for the residences in 2008, of the 486 residential units in the Property all but 93 units have been sold. The 93 units occupy 173,657 SF and have an average list price of approximately $\$ 842 / \mathrm{SF}$. Of the 380 units that were sold as of the appraisal date the actual sale price averaged $\$ 636 /$ SF. Since January 1,201123 units have sold with an average sales price of $\$ 667$ per sq ft. The following table highlights the square feet and number of units (assuming an average of $1,800 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ per Unit) required to be sold in order for full repayment of the maximum commitment amount of $\$ 57.8$ million based on the \# of Unsold Units.

| Average Price | Price <br> (per sq ft) | Sq ft <br> Sold | \% Total <br> Sq ft | \# Units <br> Sold | \% Total <br> Units |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current List Price | $\$ 842$ | 74,615 | $43 \%$ | 41 | $44 \%$ |
| Avg Sales Price 1/1/11 - Current | $\$ 667$ | 94,192 | $54 \%$ | 52 | $56 \%$ |
| Avg Sales Price Since Inception | $\$ 636$ | 98,783 | $57 \%$ | 55 | $59 \%$ |
| Appraised Value - w/Parking | $\$ 584$ | 107,578 | $62 \%$ | 60 | $65 \%$ |
| Appraised Value - w/out Parking | $\$ 534$ | 116,560 | $67 \%$ | 65 | $70 \%$ |
| Minimum Release Price | $\$ 422$ | 148,877 | $86 \%$ | 83 | $89 \%$ |
| Breakeven Price * | $\$ 362$ | 173,657 | $100 \%$ | 93 | $100 \%$ |

* Breakeven Price, which could be utilized in liquidation to ensure full repayment of the amount outstanding under the Facilities, takes into account adjusting the assumption on average unit size of 1,800 per sq ft.

The amounts calculated in the table above are in accordance with the terms and conditions of the proposed transaction which include repayment related to the sale of a unit will be equal to the greater of ( $x$ ) $92 \%$ of the Gross Sales Proceeds received upon sale of each Condo Unit and (y) the Minimum Release Price which is attached as Exhibit V. The minimum release prices were agreed upon between the Borrower and Lender on a unit by unit basis with an average release price of \$422/SF or $72 \%$ of the appraised value and $127 \%$ of the loan/SF. Additionally it should be noted that the Borrower will be required to make minimum annual principal payments. This minimum annual payment amount will be determined prior to the Closing Date and will be equal to the: (Original Loan Amount minus $\$ 6.0$ million)/4. This repayment can come from the sale of the units, however, if the proceeds from these sales are not adequate to meet the Minimum Annual Repayment Amount, the Guarantor will be required to fund this payment from their own sources.

Associated with the Units are 675 deeded parking spaces, of which 445 or $66 \%$ have been sold ( 7 spaces sold post-appraisal date). The remaining 226 spaces are being pledged as collateral for this facility. As per the appraisal, 440 spaces were sold for a total value of $\$ 27,737,722$ or $\$ 63,040$ per space. This validates the most recent appraisal, which noted the value of $\$ 55,000$ per space as market supported which provides approximately $\$ 12.6$ million in collateral.

It should be noted that at the time of the appraisal there were 9 units under contract, with an average sales price of $\$ 707$ per sq ft, representing a average discount of only $6.34 \%$ from the list price $\$ 757$ per sq ft list price, further demonstrating the current momentum in the market.

## - Commercial Component

The hotel property is divided into 3 general sections, the commercial areas including the spa, restaurants, banquet rooms and parking, the 175 sponsor own units (both of these sections compose our collateral) and 164 privately owned third party units (not included as Collateral). According to the management of the subject property, the hotel condominium units are no longer being marketed for sale and it is not expected that any additional units will be sold during the term of the loan.

With regards to the third party units, the private owners have the option to put their unit into the rental pool with the sponsor owned units to be rented to the general public. When one of the third party units is selected from a blind pool, the net income to the owner is the rental revenue on the unit revenue split, less various fees for expenses incurred in the operation of the hotel room including a management fee. As noted in the tables below, the $3^{\text {Id }}$ Party Reimbursables line item represents these expenses that are netted out of the related $3^{\text {rd }}$ Party Revenue Distribution. In addition to any fees related to the rental of their unit, the unit owner is responsible for the related real estate taxes and reserves as well as CAM and utilities. As per the Borrower, the revenue generated by the unit has historically been sufficient to cover these fixed costs as well as those related to the rental of the unit.
$\Rightarrow$ Historical Financial Results
The Borrower has provided Profit and Loss statements from 2010-6/30/12. Please see Exhibit lil for further details of expected Property Performance.

|  | FY <br> $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 2 0 1 0}$ | FY <br> $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 2 0 1 1}$ | 12-Mo Ending <br> $\mathbf{6 / 3 0 / 1 2}$ | FY Budget <br> $\mathbf{1 2 / 3 1 / 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | $61.7 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ | $68.8 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ |
| Average Daily Rate ("ADR") | $\$ 321.67$ | $\$ 347.31$ | $\$ 362.19$ | $\$ 374.26$ |
| Revenue per Available Room ("RevPar") | $\$ 198.46$ | $\$ 237.98$ | $\$ 249.21$ | $\$ 269.84$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\$ 57,282$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 46,787$ | $\$ 55,226$ | $\$ 46,669$ | $\$ 60,828$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 40,182$ | $\$ 45,439$ | $\$ 2,638$ | $\$ 48,492$ |
| Management fee | $\$ 1,847$ | $\$ 2,574$ | $\$ 8,726$ | $\$ 9,786$ |
| $3^{\text {ro }}$ Party Revenue Distribution | $\$ 7,068$ | $\$ 8,222$ | $(\$ 6,266)$ | $(\$ 6,630)$ |
| $3^{\text {ro }}$ Party Reimbursables | $(\$ 3,989)$ | $(\$ 6,030)$ | $\$ 4,515$ | $\$ 7,056$ |
| Net Operating Income | $\$ 1,679$ | $\$ 5,021$ | $\$ 2,320$ | $\$ 2,320$ |
| Projected Debt Service | $\$ 2,320$ | $\$ 2,320$ | $1.95 x$ | $3.04 x$ |
| DSCR | $0.72 x$ | $2,16 x$ |  |  |

- Occupancy Rate - Since 2010 rates have improved from $61.7 \%$ to current reported year-to-date of $68.8 \%$, which is driven primarily by the continued improvement in the economy and growing popularity of the Property location and is just short of the historical occupancy rates for similar hotels in Chicago of 70\%.
- ADR/Rev Par - the improvement in Occupancy Rates, has provided the Borrower the opportunity to significantly increase bath ADR and RevPar. During the historical period ADR has increased by $12.7 \%$ and RevPar has increased $25.7 \%$. Both of these indicators are well above the average ADR of $\$ 287.23$ and RevPar of $\$ 201.21$ for the subject's competitive set of hotels in Chicago. For full service hotels in the Chicago Metro are, ADR is anticipated to increase $4.97 \%$ in $2012,1.40 \%$ in 2013, 2.03\% in 2014 and $3.67 \%$ in 2015.
- Net Operating Income - during the historical period NOI improved by $168 \%$ primarily driven by an significant improvement in operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) from $14.1 \%$ to $18.5 \%$ which is consistent with the significant improvement in RevPar during the Period.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio of 1.35 .
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## Credit Report - Structured

## $\Rightarrow$ Projected Financial Results

The Commercial Component Appraisal has provided the following projections for 2013 though 2018.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | $72.0 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ |
| ADR | $\$ 371.06$ | $\$ 385.90$ | $\$ 397.48$ | $\$ 409.40$ | $\$ 421,68$ | $\$ 434.33$ |
| RevPar | $\$ 267.16$ | $\$ 270.13$ | $\$ 282.21$ | $\$ 298.86$ | $\$ 307.83$ | $\$ 317.06$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 61,220$ | $\$ 61,785$ | $\$ 64,464$ | $\$ 68,097$ | $\$ 70,139$ | $\$ 72,244$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 48,333$ | $\$ 49,271$ | $\$ 51,020$ | $\$ 53,107$ | $\$ 54,700$ | $\$ 56,341$ |
| Management fee | $\$ 2,680$ | $\$ 2,705$ | $\$ 2,822$ | $\$ 2,981$ | $\$ 3,070$ | $\$ 3,162$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Party Revenue Distribution | $\$ 8,727$ | $\$ 8,824$ | $\$ 9,219$ | $\$ 9,763$ | $\$ 10,056$ | $\$ 10,357$ |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Party Reimbursables | $\mathbf{( \$ 6 , 3 4 7 )}$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 6 , 4 1 8 )}$ | $(\$ 6,704)$ | $(\$ 7,100)$ | $(\$ 7,313)$ | $\mathbf{( \$ 7 , 5 3 3 )}$ |
| Net Operating Income | $\$ 7,827$ | $\$ 7,403$ | $\$ 8,107$ | $\$ 9,346$ | $\$ 9,626$ | $\$ 9,917$ |
| Projected Debt Service | $\$ 2,320$ | $\$ 2,320$ | $\$ 2,320$ | $\$ 2,320$ | $\$ 2,320$ | $\$ 2,320$ |
| DSCR | 3.74 x | 3.19 x | 3.94 x | 4.02 x | 4.15 x | 4.27 x |

- Occupancy Rate - assumes the economy continues to slowly recover and the Property continues to realize the increasing growth in demand based on growing popularity of location. The 2014 step-down reflects impact of opening of new hotel located across the street which is expected to put some pressure on Occupancy as management continues to protect ADR and RevPar.
- ADR/ Rev Par - shows continued growth during the period with ADR increasing by $2.4 \%$ and RevPar increasing by $7.2 \%$ in 2013 from 2012 actual results with a continued increase per annum of approx $3.4 \%$ in ADR and $3.7 \%$ in RevPar during the forecasted period.
- Net Operating Income - during the forecasted period NOI is projected to improve by approximately $5.3 \%$ per annum primarily driven continued improvement in in operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) which increases from an actual margin of $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 \%}$ in 2012 to $\mathbf{2 1 . 0 \%}$ in 2013 and improves to $\mathbf{2 2 . 0 \%}$ by 2018.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio of 1.35x.


## $\Rightarrow$ Sensitivity Analysis

The financial projections presented above provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPar, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating Income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of $1.35 \%$ would be measured through the NOI and Interest Rate.

- NOI - based on the 2012 FY Actual results the NOI could decrease by $\$ 1.4$ million or $30.6 \%$ before breaching the DSCR covenant. The capacity for deterioration further increases when using the 2013 Projected Financial Results which indicates that such a decrease by $\$ 4.7$ million or $60 \%$ before such a default is triggered.
- Interest Rate - As the Borrower is executing a Swap the interest shall be fixed and accordingly the Facility will not be subject to interest rate volatility. The exposure on the Swap is supported by the Collateral in addition to the support of the Guarantor.
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## V. Conditions Precedent to closing

Usual and customary for facilities of this size, type and purpose, including but not limited to:

- Receipt, review and acceptance of all insurance, title, survey, zoning, leases and other items pertaining to the perfection of a security interest in the subject collateral.
- Satisfactory documentation


## VI. Covenants

Reporting Covenants:
> Guarantor:

- Personal Financial Statement- Guarantor to provide a financial statements annually within 120 days of $6 / 30$.
- Compliance Certificate- Guarantor to provide a compliance certificate annually dated within 120 days of $6 / 30$ and Lender will have right to confirm required liquidity through review of account statements at the offices of the Guarantor.
> Borrower:
- Facility A: Borrower to provide financial statements of the Residential Component, including balance sheet, within 120 days of year end Borrower to provide a sales report and Unit closing report within 45 days of each fiscal quarter.
- Facility B: Borrower to provide financial statements of the Commercial Component including balance sheet, operating income and expense statements and cash flow statement within 120 days of year end.


## Financial Covenants:

$>$ Guarantor:

- Liquidity-Guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquidity at all times (defined as unrestricted cash or marketable securities convertible to cash within 5 business days that is not pledged to support any obligations) of at least $\$ 50$ million with at least $\$ 20$ million to be maintained with the Lender (this cash will not be pledged as collateral).
- Indebtedness- Guarantor shall not incur any additional indebtedness (direct or contingent) in excess of $\$ 300$ million, excluding ( $\mathbf{x}$ ) any Debt to the Lender and (y) any Guarantor indebtedness (direct or contingent) existing as of the date of the closing of the loan, without the prior consent of the Lender.
- Net Worth- Guarantor shall maintain a Minimum Net Worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion excluding any value related to the Guarantor's brand value (as such Minimum Net Worth is reflected in Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition prepared by Guarantor in substantially the form prepared by Guarantor as of the date of this term sheet, a copy of which will be delivered to Lender).
> Borrower:
- Debt Service Coverage- Facility A Borrower shall maintain a Debt Service Coverage ratio (DSCR) defined as Net Operating Income divided by Debt Service of no less than $1.35 x$ In the event Guarantor fails to maintain such DSCR, (i) Guarantor shall be entitled to cure any shorffall of such DSCR by such reasonable means as Guarantor shall elect to enable Guarantor to meet the DSCR.


## VII. Events of Default and Cure periods:

Usual and customary for facilities of this size, type and purpose, including but not limited to:
A) Payment default
B) Breach of representation or warranties
C) Violation of covenants
D) Cross defaults (including between Facility A and Facility B, as described herein)
E) Bankruptcy, insolvency
F) Death of the Guarantor - In connection with either the adjudicated incompetency or the death of any Guarantor, no Event of Default shall be declared by the Lender if, within ninety (90) days from the date of such adjudication of incompetency or the date of such Guarantor's death, as the case may be, the guardian of such Guarantor or the estate of the deceased Guarantor, as the case may be, (i) upon the Lender's written request acknowledges and does not repudiate or dispute in any manner, and assumes, this Guaranty and the Guaranteed Obligations hereunder, (ii) cooperates with the Lender in filing and seeking any contingent liability claim in connection with the death of such Guarantor, (iii) has sufficient assets to secure all monetary Guaranteed Obligations hereunder and sets aside sufficient sums, in the Lender's reasonable discretion, in connection therewith and (iv) the estate of Guarantor continues to meet all applicable terms, conditions and covenants under this Guaranty and the other Loan Documents.

| Other |
| :--- |
| Hedging/Risk-Transfer/Hold Strategy: N/A |
| The Borrower will execute an Interest Rate Swap for a notional amount not to exceed the original loan amount of the Commercial Component. |
| We have coordinated documentation for the Swap with our legal counel Peter Seiden at Loeb \& Loeb and Sebastian Marcilese DB In-house |
| counsel. |
| Policy Issues: N/A |
| DB Relationship / Business Case: Approved KYC dated _I |
| Approval Conditions: |
| 1. |
| Receipt of a current appraisal acceptable to the Lender |

## Credit Report - Structured

## Attachments

I. Summary of Terms
II. Guarantor Financial Statements
A. Balance Sheet
B. Excess Revenue over Expenses
C. Schedule of Contingent Liabilities
III. Property Financial Statements
IV. Propety Appraisals
A. Residential Component
B. Commercial Component
V. Schedule of Minimum Release Prices
VI. Risk Rating
VII. KYC

US ONLY:
Additional Information

1. Section 23 Attestation

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, including an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the application of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department, which contains a listing of entities, which have been determined to be affiliates ("Affiliates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affiliate List")

- The entity which is entering into the transaction with DBTCA (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank on the Affiliate List maintained by the Compliance Department.
- The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the benefit of a named Affiliate; except for transactions that are not covered transactions.
- The collateral on which we rely for $\mathbf{S} 23$ purposes is not a liability of an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank and so a covered transaction will not be produced by this loan.


## NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELD


executed purchase and sales agreements and to all deposits provided under the purchase and sales agreements and all contracts，agreements and other tangible and intangible property rights of Borrower associated with the ownership and operation of the Property，but excluding any rights to the Trump brand or any derivation thereof．Notwithstanding the forgoing， each of the management agreements associated with the property shall be collateral under the Facility，and shall remain in place in accordance with the terms of such management agreements，and，at Borrower＇s request， Lender shall provide a recognition agreement to the manager（s）with respect to each of the management agreements，which recognition agreement（s）shall acknowledge that such management agreements shall remain in place pursuant to their terms．Notwithstanding the foregoing， Lender shall have the right to terminate such management agreement only upon the acceleration of Facility Note A，but only if such acceleration is a result of the occurrence and continuation of an Event of Default resulting from events that must include any one or more of the following events （each of the following events，an＂HMA Note A Event of Default＂）：（1） Borrower＇s or Guarantor＇s failure to pay principal and interest when due and payable under Facility Note A and／or Facility Note B，（2）fraud，gross negligence，willful misconduct or misappropriation of funds by manager or （3）bankruptcy proceedings being initiated with respect to manager or Borrower．For the avoidance of doubt，Lender shall not have the right to terminate the management agreement in connection with any acceleration arising out of any Event of Default that is not an HMA Note A Event of Default，including，without limitation，any acceleration arising out of any cross－default between Facility Note A and any other loan facility（other than an HMA Note B Event of Default）unless an HMA Note A Event of Default has also occurred and is continuing．In addition，the Doral loan documents shall be amended to provide that Lender shall not have the right to terminate the Doral management agreement as a result of any cross－ default between the Doral loan and Facility Note A．

Maximum Advance Rate
Shall not exceed 57\％of the＂as is＂appraised value of the Collateral．＂As 1s Appraised Value＂will be equal to the as－is value／bulk value of $\$ 113$ million（ $\$ 113,000,000$ ）as identified in the August 21， 2012 Cushman and Wakefield appraisal，which value shall be adjusted downward as a result of any closings of residential condominium units or deeded parking spaces that were included in the appraisal collateral，but which close prior to the Closing Date．The appraised value adjustment will be equal to $\$ 539$ per square foot for any residential condominium unit and $\$ 37,466$ per any deed parking space．
associated with the ownership and operation of the Property，but excluding any rights to the Trump brand or any derivation thereof．Notwithstanding the forgoing，each of the management agreements associated with the property shall be collateral under the Facility，and shall remain in place in accordance with the terms of such management agreements，and，at Borrower＇s request，Lender shall provide a recognition agreement to the manager（s）with respect to each of the management agreements，which recognition agreement（s）shall acknowledge that such management agreements shall remain in place pursuant to their terms．Notwithstanding the foregoing，Lender shall have the right to terminate such management agreement only upon the acceleration of Facility Note B，but only if such acceleration is a result of the occurrence and continuation of an Event of Default resulting from events that must include any one or more of the following events （each of the following events，an＂HMA Note B Event of Default＂）：（1）Borrower＇s or Guarantor＇s failure to pay principal and interest when due and payable under Facility Note A and／or Facility Note B，（2）fraud，gross negligence，willful misconduct or misappropriation of funds by manager or（3）bankruptcy proceedings being initiated with respect to manager or Borrower．For the avoidance of doubt，Lender shall not have the right to terminate the management agreement in connection with any acceleration arising out of any Event of Default that is not an HMA Note B Event of Default，including， without limitation，any acceleration arising out of any cross－default between Facility Note B and any other loan facility（other than an HMA Note A Event of Default）unless an HMA Note B Event of Default has also occurred and is continuing．In addition，the Doral loan documents shall be amended to provide that Lender shall not have the right to terminate the Doral management agreement as a result of any cross－default between the Doral loan and Facility Note B．

| Mandatory Repayment Amount | Individual condominium units shall be released upon the payment of the greater of (X) $\mathbf{9 2 \%}$ of the Gross Sales Proceeds received upon sale of each Condo Unit and (Y) the Minimum Release Price to be paid at closing of such sale, until all amounts due and payable under the Facility have been paid in full. Minimum Release price TBD prior to closing. | Based on a 30-year amortization schedule to be paid quarterly. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Key Covenants | - Maximum Loan-to-Value ("LTV") not to exceed $57 \%$ with cure rights including pay-down and posting of additional collateral as permitted in the Doral documents. <br> - Borrower shall not incur additional debt (other than trade payables and equipment leases). <br> - Sale or transfer of all or any portion of the Property and/or Collateral is prohibited. Subject to sales of condo units and payment of Release Prices to Lender. <br> - Unit contract of sale shall not deviate materially from the customary and usual standards for sales of condominium units similarly situated. <br> - Change in ownership or control of the Hotel Property is prohibited, except as permitted under Doral documents. <br> - No additional liens permitted. | - Maximum Loan-to-Value ("LTV") not to exceed $60 \%$ with cure rights including pay-down and posting of additional collateral as permitted in the Doral documents. <br> - Minimum Debt Service Coverage to be equal to or greater than 1.35 x with cure rights including pay-down and posting of additional collateral as permitted in the Doral documents. <br> - Borrower shall not incur additional debt (other than trade payables and equipment leases). <br> - Sale or transfer of all or any portion of the Property and/or Collateral is prohibited, except as permitted under Doral documents. <br> - No additional liens permitted. |

## Key Guarantor Covenants:

- Guarantor to maintain unencumbered liquidity of at least $\$ 50$ million, with $\$ 20$ million to be held at DB. As clarification, both of the preceding requirements are inclusive and not duplicative of Doral loan requirements.
- Minimum Net Worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion and Maximum Debt, including contingent liabilities (as defined in Doral loan documents), shall not exceed $\$ 300$ million, excluding debt with the Lender.


## Standard Events of Default (on both Facilities):

- Failure to pay principal, interest and fees when due
- Breach of covenants, representations and warranties under the legal documents supporting these Facilities
- Cross default to each of the Facilities and other obligations of the Borrower
- Voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy of the Borrower or Guarantor
- Unsatisfied material judgments against the Borrower or Guarantor
- Death or incompetence of the Guarantor



 conditions, covenants, representations, warranties, and other provisions which may be contained in the definitive documentation for the proposed Facility.
Client
Deal Name 401 North Wabash Venture LLC
Facility Name 401 North Wabash Venture LEC(Hotel)
Facility Name
Facility Name $n / a$



## Reporting Covenants

| RCType | RCQuality | Grace Period | First Statement <br> Date (MM/DD/IMY) | Frequency | Comments (Including Loan Document Reference). | New/Change | Recrived |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Financial Statement | Un-audited | 120 | $12 / 31 / 2012$ | 1/31 4/30 $\square$ 7/31 $\square$ 10/31 $\square$ One Time 2/28 5/31 8/31 $\square$ 11/30 3/31 $\square$ 6/30 $\square$ $9 / 30$ $\square$ 12/31 | 4.1( C) Loan Agreement: Borrower shall provide to Lender as soon as available to Borrower, and in any event no later than one hundred twenty (120) days from the close of each calendar year during the term hereunder, the financial statements of Borrower (which shall include, without limitation, Borrower's balance sheet, operating income and Operating Expense statements) as of the end of and for the immediately preceding calendar year, as prepared on an unaudited basis | New | $\square$ |
| Compliance Certificate | Un-audited |  |  | $\begin{array}{lll} \square 1 / 31 \quad \square 4 / 30 \quad \square 7 / 31 \quad \square 10 / 31 \quad \square \text { one Time } \\ \square 2 / 28 & \square 5 / 31 \quad \square 8 / 31 \quad \square 11 / 30 \\ \square 3 / 31 & \square 6 / 30 \quad \square 9 / 30 \quad \square 12 / 31 \end{array}$ |  | New | $\square$ |
| Personal Financial Statement | Un-audited | 120 | 6/30/2013 |  | Gty Section 11A: (A) Annual Statement of Financial Condition. As soon as reasonably available and in any event within one hundred twenty (120) days following each June 30th, Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30th. |  | $\square$ |
| Compliance Certificate | Un-audited | 120 | 6/30/2013 |  | Gty Section 11A: (A) Annual Staternent of Financial Condition. As soon as reasonably available and in any event within one hundred twenty (120) days following each June 30th, Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30th. | New | $\square$ |
| Other - See comment | Un-audited | 120 | $6 / 30 / 2013$ |  | Gty Section 11B: (B) Annual Schedule of Contingent Liabilities. As soon as reasonably available and in any event within one hundred twenty (120) days following each June 30th, Guarantor's Schedule of Contingent Liabilities as of June 30th. | New | $\square$ |
| Other - See comment | Un-audited | 120 | 6/30/2013 |  | Gty Section 11C: (C) Annual Excess Revenue over Disbursement Schedule. As soon as reasonably available and in any event within one hundred twenty (120) days following each June 30th, Guarantor's Excess Revenue over Disbursement Schedule as of June 30th. |  |  |

[^27]


## Reporting Covenants

|  | RCType | RC Quality | Grace Period | First Statement Date (MM/DOMMY) | Frequency | Comments (Including Loan Document Reference) | New/ Change | Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Business Financial Statement | Un-audited | 120 |  | 1/31 $\square$ 4/30 $\square$ 7/31 $\square$ 10/31 $\square$ One Time 2/28 5/31 8/31 $\square$ 11/30 $\square$ 3/31 $\square$ 6/30 $\square$ 9/30 $\square$ 12/31 | 4.1(C) Loan Agreement: Borrower shall provide to Lender as soon as available to Borrower, and in any event no later than one hundred twenty (120) days from the close of each calendar year during the term hereunder, the financial statements of Borrower (which shall include, without limitation, Borrower's balance sheet, operating income and Operating Expense statements) as of the end of and for the immediately preceding calendar year, as prepared on an unaudited basis | New | $\square$ |
|  | Other - See comment | Un-audited | 45 | $3 / 31 / 2013$ | ```\square1/31 \square4/30 \square7/31 \square10/31 \squareOneTime \square2/28 \square5/31 \square8/31 \square11/30 \square/31 目/30 9/30 \12/31``` | 4.1 (d) (d) Quarterly Sales Statements. As soon as practicable, but in any event within forty five (45) days after the close of each fiscal quarter of Borrower, a sales report and Unit closing status report. | New | $\square$ |
| 3 | Compliance Certificate | Un-audited |  |  | $\square 1 / 31 \quad \square 4 / 30 \quad \square 7 / 31 \quad \square$ 10/31 $\square$ one Time $\square$ 2/28 $\quad \square 5 / 31 \quad \square 8 / 31 \quad \square 11 / 30$ $\square$ 3/31 $\quad \square 6 / 30 \quad \square 9 / 30 \quad \square 12 / 31$ |  |  | $\square$ |
| 4 | Personal Financial Statement | Un-audited | 120 | 6/30/2013 | ```\square1/31 \square4/30 \square7/31 \square10/31 \squareOneTme \square2/28 \square5/31 \square8/31 \square11/30 \square3/31 \square6/30 \square9/30 \square12/31``` | Gty Section 11A: (A) Annual Statement of Financial Condition. As soon as reasonably available and in any event within one hundred twenty (120) days following each June 30th, Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition as of June 30th. |  | $\square$ |
| 5 | Other - See comment | Un-audited | 120 | 6/30/2013 | ```\square 1 / 3 1 \square 4/30 \square 7/31 \(\square\) 10/31 \(\square\) One Time``` <br> ```2/28 \(\square\) 5/31 \(\square\) 8/31 \(\square\) 11/30 \(\square\) 3/31 \(\square\) 6/30 \(\square\) 9/30 \(\square\) 12/31 ``` | Gty Section 11B: (8) Annual Schedule of Contingent Liabilities. As soon as reasonably available and in any event within one hundred twenty (120) days following each June 30th, Guarantor's Schedule of Contingent Liabilities as of June 30th. | New | $\square$ |
|  | Other - See comment | Un-audited | 120 | 6/30/2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \square_{1 / 31} \square_{4 / 30} \quad \square 7 / 31 \quad \square_{10 / 31} \square \text { one Time } \\ & \square 2 / 28 \quad \square_{5 / 31} \quad \square 8 / 31 \quad \square_{1 / 30} \\ & \square_{3 / 31} \quad \square_{6 / 30} \quad \square 9 / 30 \quad \square_{12 / 31} \end{aligned}$ | Gty Section 11C: (C) Annual Excess Revenue over Disbursement Schedule. As soon as reasonably available and in any event within one hundred twenty (120) days following each June 30th, Guarantor's Excess Revenue over Disbursement Schedule as of June 30th. | New |  |



Financial Covenants

|  |  |  | equired Value |  |  | $6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Liquidity Maintenance | $<=$ | \$ 50,000,000 | 1 | (i) Unencumbered Liquid Assets. At all times during the term hereunder, Guarantor shall maintain Unencumbered Liquid Assets of not less than Fifty Million ( $\$ 50,000,000$ ) Dollars, with not less than Twenty Million ( $\$ 20,000,000$ ) Dollars of such Unencumbered Liquid Assets to be held in accounts with Lender or Lender's Affiliates | New |
| 2 | Limitation on additional indebtedness | $2=$ | \$ 300,000,000 | 2 | (ii) Debt. At all times during the term hereunder, Guarantor shall not incur any Debt except for the Permitted Debt. This covenant shall be tested and certified to on an annual basis, as of each June 30th, within one hundred twenty (120) days following each June 30th, based on Guarantor's Schedule of Contingent Liabilities delivered to Lender pursuant to Section 11(i)(B) hereof. In connection therewith, Guarantor shall deliver to Lender his Compliance Certificate. Permitted Debt" means the sum of (a) (i) the Guaranteed Obligations hereunder, (ii) the "Guaranteed Obligations" as defined in the Residential Guaranty, and (iii) the "Guaranteed Obligations" as defined in the Doral Guaranty, plus (iv) other Debt of Guarantor not described in clauses (a)(i), (ii) and (iii), (b) or (c) herein; (b) typical "bad-boy" recourse obligations of Guarantor (e.g., fraud and misrepresentation), environmental indemnities or other similar liabilities (collectively, the "Excluded Contingent Liabilities"); and (c) other Debt approved by Lender in writing, in Lender's sole and absolute discretion, prior to the incurrence of same by Guarantor. At all times during the term hereunder, Guarantor's obligations under clause (a)(iv) above shall not exceed $\$ 300,000,000$ in the aggregate (the "Guarantor Liability Cap"). For the avoidance of doubt, the Guaranteed Obligations and Excluded Contingent Liabilities shall not be included in determining whether Guarantor is in compliance with the Guarantor Liability Cap. | New |
|  | Net Worth | $<$ | \$2.5B | 3 | Minimum Net Worth. At all times during the term hereunder, Guarantor shall maintain at all times a Net Warth of not less than Two Billion Five Hundred Million ( $\$ 2,500,000,000$ ) Dollars. | New |



Private Wealth Management
Deulsche Bank

Risk Rating - Counterparty Probability of Default


This risk rating sheet should be completed for Borrowers which are individuals, private investment companies and other non-operating investment vehicles.

## Structured Credit Risk Rating Too <br> Private Wealth Management <br> Deutsche Bank



| Market Value of Collateral | 239,200 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Advance Rate | $107,640,000$ |  |
| Lending Value of Collateral | L |  |
| Collateral Rating | A | BB - AA- |
| Commercial Real Estate Guidance Range |  |  |

Deutsche Bank



| KYC Verification: | Borrower(s) | Pledgor(s) | Guarantor(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | SBLC: Applicant | Beneficiary | Counter-Party |



DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT
Confidential

| Reason for Presentation: | Request approval for up to a $\$ 125$ million term commitment in the form of a senior secured credit facility (the <br> "Facility"). Proceeds from the Facility will be utilized to assist in financing the purchase of the Doral Golf Resort <br> and Spa in Miami (the "Resorf") which has a targeted purchase price of $\$ 150$ million. The client request is for <br> the Lender to provide a Commitment Letter in early January 2012, "subject to" an appraisal and Property <br>  <br> Condition Report which shall be satisfactory to the Lender, with and expected Closing Date in late 1Q or early |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $2 Q$ of 2012. The Commitment Letter will have an explration date of Mav $31,2012$. |


| Facility Details: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type: | Other Secured |
| Borrower: | TBD [SPV acceptable to the Lender] |
| Guarantor: | Donald J. Trump |
| Commitment Amount: | Up to $\$ 125,000,000-$ "subject to" (i) an appraisal acceptable to the Lender, and (ii) Property Condition Report if determined by the Lender. |
| Purpose: | To assist in financing the purchase of the Resort -- targeted purchase price of \$150 million. |
| Collateral: | A first mortgage lien and a first priority security interest in the Resort, including the Borrower's fee simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all other related assets. |
| Guarantee: | The Guarantor will provide a full and unconditional guarantee of (i) Principal and Interest due under the Facility, and (ii) operating shoffalls of the Resort (it being understood that Borrower shall be permitted to utilize all revenues from the Resort to operate the Resort to reduce the amount of such shorffall). |
| Maturity: | 5-years from Closing Date |
|  | The period of time between the closing of the loan and such time that Borrower shall deliver an "as is" appraisal, prepared by a provider acceptable to the Lender that confirms a minimum loan to value percentage ("LTV") of not greater than $60 \%$ shall be referred to herein as the "Renovation Period". Borrower shall have the right to deliver such "as is" appraisal at any time. The period of time following the delivery by Borrower of an "as is" appraisal confirming such LTV is not greater than $60 \%$ is referred to as the "Post-Renovation Perlod." |
| Repayment: | The committed term will consist of a 5 -year interest only period. |
|  | The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR contract. The unutilized portion of the commitments under the Facility may be permanently reduced or terminated by the Borrowers at anytime without penalty. |
| Interest Rate: | Renovation Period: Libor $\mathbf{+ 2 . 2 5 \%}$ or the Prime Rate <br> > Post-Renovation Period: Libor $+2.00 \%$ or the Prime Rate minus $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ |
| LIBOR Tenors: | Borrower may elect interest periods of $1,3,6$ and 12 months |
| Commitment Fee: | $0.25 \%$ of Facility Commitment - which shall be fully earned and payable on the execution date of the Commitment Letter. |
| Facility Fee: Account to Charge: Documentation: | $1.00 \%$ of Facility Commitment - payable on the closing date of the Facility TBD <br> Loeb \& Loeb, LLP |

## Repayment Sources/ Key Risks/Mitigants

>Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Resort with long-term financing following completion of the Renovation Period or upon expiration of the 5 -year term.

- Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort following the Renovation Period. Based on projections the Resort will be able to satisfactorily service principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule.
> Tertiary Source of Repayment: Full and unconditional guarantee of DJT which eliminates any shorffall associated with operating and liquidating Collateral.


## Recommendation:

The Facility is being recommended for approval based on:

- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The financial profile of the Guarantor includes, on an adjusted basis, $\$ 135$ million in unencumbered liquidity, $\$ 2.4$ billion in Net Worth and approximately $\$ 48$ million in adjusted recurring net cash flow.
- Nature of the Guarantee - The nature of the guarantee which includes both principal and interest along with operating expenses of the Resort.
- Operating Expenience - DJT extensive experience in operating private clubs. His current portfolio includes 10 such clubs with a reported value of $\$ 1.3$ billion and $D B$ adjusted value of $\$ 675$ million.
- Expected Enhanced Value Due to Capex -The Resort is a world class location that has been home to a PGA event every year since the Resort opened in 1962. As DJT expects to invest approximately $\$ 50$ million on capital improvements it is expected that the value of our Collateral will increase significantly over the term of the Facility.


Regulatory Requirement - One Obligor Principle
IMPORTANT: Transactions subject to banking secrecy in other locations should not be covered

- Does the borrower have other existing credit client relationships in the current booking location or with other Deutsche Bank entities (whether under private individual name or other related entities)?
$\square$ Yes
『 No
If 'Yes', please provide details:
$\square$
- Please certify (tick the box) that this was confirmed with the borrower: $\mathbb{Q}$

If answered 'Yes' to the above question, the Consolidation of Borrowers' Sheet has to be completed*

* Credi Officer or Lending Officer to complete as per responsibilities in place in the given location


## Confidential



```
Obligor Structure/ Background
Borrower:TBD ISPV acceptable to the Lender]
Pledgor: TBD [SPV acceptable to the Lender]
Guarantor: Donald J Trump
```


## Financial Analysis

Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although Facility is being extended to an SPV for the purposes of financing the purchase of the Resort, the credit exposure is being recommended primarily based on the financial profile of the Guarantor. As part of this underwriting wo have met with several members of the family office to conduct due diligence on the client reported financial information. as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reponted values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional detaits are included in the Guarantors financial statements which is attached as Exhibit II.

| Financial Summary ( $\$$ in millions) <br> Source: Client provided financiais | DJT 6/30/2011 (Cliant Reported) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ 6 / 3012011 \\ \text { (DB Adjusted) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash \& Marketable Securites | \$258.9 | \$135.8 |
| Escrow \% Reserve Deposits | $\$ 9.1$ | $\cdots$ |
| Real Estate - Net Equity | \$2.906.9 | \$1.737.9 |
| Partnerships \& Joint Ventures | \$720.0 | \$360.0 |
| Real Estate Licensing | \$89.3 | \$44.6 |
| Oner Assets | \$199.2 | 599.6 |
| Tolal Assets | \$4,273.4 | \$2,377.9 |
| Personal Mortgage other Debt | \$8.4 | \$8.4 |
| Other Liabilities | $\$ 3.7$ | \$3.7 |
| Net Worth | \$4,261.3 | \$2,365.8 |
| Contingent Obligations | \$1140 | \$114.0 |
| Net Cash Flow* | \$82.4 | \$48.8 |
| Key Ratios - Unsacured Landing Guidelines |  |  |
| Leverage Ratio ( $<=30$ ) | . 13 | 24 |
| Cash Flow Ratio ( $>=.35$ ) | 57 | 31 |
| Liquidity Ratio ( $>=.25$ ) | 2.04 | 1.06 |
| Asset Coverage Ratio ( $>=6.0$ ) | 317 | 1784 |

* Represents 11 months of Revenue in excess of Disbursements for the period ended 11/30/2011
- Liquidity - On 12/20/2011 a Structured Lending Team of Dave Willams and Emily Schroder visited the offices of the Guarantor and reviewed bank and brokerage statements that confirmed $\$ 178$ million in cash balances and $\$ 51.8$ million markelable securites, totaling $\$ 229.9$ million held in the name of the Guarantor. In addition, they also reviewed statements for operating companies that were wholly owned by the Guarantor, which evidenced another $\$ 29.0$ milion cash and marketable securities.

The Guarantors personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversfied porfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantors portolio of premier prlvate clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT
Confidential


```
Obligor Structure/ Background
Borrower: TBD [SPV acceptable to the Lender]
Pledgor: TBD [SPV acceptable to the Lender]
Guarantor: Donald J. Trump
```


## Financial Analysls

Guarantors - Financial Summary: Athough Facility is being extended to an SPV for the purposes of financing the purchase of the Resort, the credit exposure is being recommended primarily based on the financial profite of the Guarantor. As part of this underwiting we have met with several members of the family office to conduct due diligence on the client reported financial information, as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional details are included in the Guarantors financial statements which is attached as Exhibit II.

| Financial Summary ( $\$$ in millions) <br> Source: Client provided financials | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2011 } \\ \text { (Client Reported) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2011 } \\ \text { (DB Adjusted) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash \& Marketable Securities | \$258.9 | \$135.8 |
| Escrow \& Reserve Deposits | \$3.1 | -- |
| Real Estate - Net Equity | \$2,996.9 | \$1,737.9 |
| Parnerships \& Joint Ventures | \$720.0 | \$360.0 |
| Real Estate Licensing | \$89.3 | \$44.6 |
| Other Assets | \$199.2 | \$99.6 |
| Total Assets | \$4,273.4 | \$2,377.9 |
| Personal Mortgage other Debt | \$8.4 | \$8.4 |
| Other Liabilities | \$3.7 | \$3.7 |
| Net Worth | \$4,261.3 | \$2,365.8 |
| Contingent Obligations | \$114.0 | \$114.0 |
| Net Cash Fiow* | \$82.4 | \$48.8 |
| Key Ratios - Unsecured Lending Guideiines |  |  |
| Leverage Ratio ( $<=30$ ) | . 13 | 24 |
| Cash Flow Ratio ( $>=.35$ ) | . 57 | 31 |
| Liquidity Ratio ( $>=.25$ ) | 2.04 | 1.06 |
| Asset Coverage Ratio (>=6.0) | 31.7 | 17.84 |

*     - Represents 11 months of Revenue in excess of Disbursements for the period ended 11/30/2011
- Liquidity - On 12/20/2011 a Structured Lending Team of Dave Williams and Emily Schroder visited the offices of the Guarantor and reviewed bank and brokerage statements that confirmed $\$ 178$ million in cash balances and $\$ 51.8$ million marketable securities, totaling $\$ 229.9$ million held in the name of the Guarantor. In addition, they also reviewed statements for operating companies that were wholly owned by the Guarantor, which evidenced another $\$ 29.0$ million cash and marketable securities.

The Guarantors personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversified porfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantors porfolio of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of
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 deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposit are non-refundable for 30 years without condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit often contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. As of June 30,2011 the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the 10 clubs owned by the Guarantor was $\$ 188$ million. Given the lack of any conditional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income, however for purposes of calculating adjusted liquidity we have elected to include net $50 \%$ reserve ( $\$ 94$ million representing $50 \%$ of the $\$ 188$ million life-to-date deposit balance) against the reported liquidity.- Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantors total real estate portfolio, as of $6 / 31 / 11$ which rellects the Guarantors 4 wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 10 wholly owned club facilities, other major property interests and properties currently under development. DB adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.

| Property Type | DJT <br> Valuation | DB Valuation | Property Level Debt | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { Net Equity } \end{gathered}$ | DB Adjusted Net Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trump Tower - $7255^{\text {h }}$ Ave | \$490.0 | \$380.2 | \$27.8 | _\$462.2 | \$352.4 |
| Niketown-East $57{ }^{\text {tr }} \mathrm{St}$ | \$263.7 | \$197.0 | \$53.1 | \$210.6 | \$143.9 |
| 40 Wall Street | \$524.7 | \$438.0 | \$160.0 | \$364.7 | \$278.0 |
| Trump Park Ave | \$311.6 | \$206.3 | \$22.7 | \$288.9 | \$183.6 |
| Subtotal-4 Trophy Properties | \$1,590.0 | \$1,221.5 | \$263.5 | \$1,326,4 | \$957.9 |
| Club Facilities | \$1,315.0 | $\$ 657.5$ | \$24.2 | \$1,290.8 | \$633.3 |
| Other Property Interest | \$121.2 | \$93.1 | $\$ 7.0$ | \$114.2 | \$86.1 |
| Properties under Development | \$273.2 | \$68.3 | \$7.7 | \$265.5 | \$60.6 |
| Total-Portfolio | \$3,299.4 | \$2,040.4 | \$302.4 | \$2996.9 | \$1,737.9 |

$\Rightarrow 4$ Trophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were discussed with Andy Babienco, DB Valuation Services Group ("DBVSG") who advised on adjustments for each.

- Trump Towers - The 68 story bulding contains residential and condominiums that are owned by residents along with 178,000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of retal space. The property has an NOI of $\$ 17.1$ million and the Guarantor used a cap rate of $3.50 \%$ to derive reported value. For purposes of adjusting DBVSG used a $4.50 \%$ cap rate. The $\$ 27.7$ million in outstanding debt, which is non-recourse in nature, is due to mature in February 2013.
- Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with respect to 2 long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the building located on $57^{\text {th }}$ street between Madison and $5^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retail Services. The current lease is scheduled to expire in May 2017. The space includes 65,000 square feet of retail space. Based on sq foot assumption DBVSG has indicated an adjusted value of $\$ 197$ million. Financing on the space is in the form of longterm bonds which are scheduled to fully amortize by June 1, 2017.
- 40 Wall Street - The 72 floor tower consist of 1.3 million in premier office space. The property has an NOI of $\$ 26.2$ million with the Guarantor using a $5.00 \%$ cap rate to derive the reported value. DBVSG has provided a $6.00 \%$ cap rate for purposes of calculating the adjusted value. The existing debt in the amount of $\$ 160$ million, of which the Guarantor currently guarantees $\$ 20$ million, is scheduled to mature in November 2017.
- Trump Park Avenue - The property located on $59^{\text {th }}$ Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space hes a reported value based on unsold units and retail rates of $\$ 311$ million. Based on discussions with DBVSG we elected to take an approximate $35 \%$ haircut on the reported value.
$\Rightarrow$ Club Facilities - The Guarantor wholly owns interest in 10 private club facilites which include The Mar-A-Lago Club in Palm Beach FI; Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff Manor N.Y:; Trump International Golf Club in Palm Beach County. FI Trump National Golf Club in LA, Ca; Trump National Golf Club in Bedminsiter NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Colts Neck. Nd; Trump National Golf Club in Washington, DC: Trumo International Goh Club in Scotland; Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Valley. NY: Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia PA. In accordance with membership requirements members of the clubs are required to make an up-front membership deposit which is in the form of a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit. The deposits are non-refundable without condition prior to 30 years. Based on the terms of the deposit they are not recorded as a liability on the financial statements of the specific clubs. The Guarantor has obtained tax opinions supporting the accounting of such deposits for tax purposes. Life-to-date the clubs have collected $\$ 188$ million in such deposits. For purposes of our analysis the $\$ 1.3$ billion in reported value has been reduced by $50 \%$ for deriving an adjusted value.
$\Rightarrow$ Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned interests in The Trump World Tower al United Nations; 100 Central Park_South; Trump Plaza NY; Trump intemational Hotel and Tower. Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East Condominiums. These properties consist of both commercial, retail and hotel space along with condominium units. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we applied a haircut of approximately $23 \%$, which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors 4 Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team.
$\Rightarrow$ Properties Under Development - consists primarily of property known as the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford New York, which consists of over 200 acres of land a mansion and other buildings. This property is zoned for 9 luxury homes. Also, includes property in Beverty Hills CA. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $75 \%$ haircut to reflect the uncertainty in valuing undeveloped land.
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- Partnership and Joint Ventures - consist of primarily interest in the 76 -acre Trump Place development located along the Hudson River between $59^{\text {th }}$ and $72^{\text {nd }}$ Streets in Manhattan. The Guarantors Hong Kong Partners recently sold the rental space and some of the undeveloped land using the proceeds to reinvest into 2 commercial properties. The Guarantor is currently in dispute with his Hong Kong partners and is pursuing remedies. Due to uncertainly we have taken a $50 \%$ haircut on reported value to derive an adjusted value.
- Real Estate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous associations with several other parties for purposes of developing properties and other projects. Terms of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance. The Guarantor has pledged certain of these fees to secure a $\$ 20$ million mortgage on The Trump Tower at United Nations Plaza. Accordingly, the $\$ 20$ million has been netted against the $\$ 110$ million reported value. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut against the net reported value.
- Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Sirkorsky helicopter, ownership rights to the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 1,100 acre vineyard in Charlottesville Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties and an international talent/model agency. For purposes deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut on reported value. It should be noted that the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independent valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report, which is attached as Exhibit VI indicates a brand value in the range of $\$ 2.8$ billion to $\$ 3.0$ billion. For purposes of our financial analysis we assumed no value for the Trump brand.
- Contingents - includes $\$ 47.5$ million in personal recourse on two credit facilities extended by Fortress and DB related to the Trump Intemational Hotel in Chicago: $\$ 20$ million on a limited guarantee for the $\$ 160$ million commercial mortgage on 40 Wall Street extended by Capital One; $\$ 11$ million on Trump Golf at Ferry Point to the City of New York; $\$ 7.6$ million related to 7 Springs Resort and $\$ 27.9$ million in certain project related completion guarantees.
- Net Cash Flow - the Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which are generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash during the first 11 months of 2011 and for the full year of 2010.

| Type | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DB Adjusted } \\ 11 / 30 / 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thru } \\ 11 / 30 / 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources of Cash |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | \$30.5 | \$30.5 | \$125.0 |
| Entertainment | \$19.4 | \$23.5 | \$15.3 |
| Clubs | \$10.0 | \$12.1 | \$8.0 |
| Licensing | \$33.0 | \$33.4 | \$32.3 |
| Non Operating Revenue | -* | \$41.2 | \$50.4 |
| Investment income | \$4.2 | \$4.2 | \$4.2 |
| Other | \$10.0 | \$10.7 | \$10.5 |
| Total Sources | \$107.1 | \$155.6 | \$245.7 |
| Uses of Cash |  |  |  |
| Property Development | \$34.0 | \$34.8 | \$34.3 |
| Retirement of Debt | -- | \$14.2 | \$25.2 |
| Aircraft Acquisition | - | - | \$37.0 |
| Income Tax Payable | \$21.8 | \$21.8 | \$2.9 |
| Personal \& Other | \$2.5 | \$2.5 | \$1.7 |
| Total Uses | \$58.3 | \$73.3 | \$101.1 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Net Cash Fiow | \$48.8 | \$82.3 | \$144.6 |

$\Rightarrow$ Real Estate - represents distributions from the porfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outlined in this section. It should be noted that 2010 includes $\$ 85$ million in the repayment of certain Notes that were held by the Guarantor. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted revenue we have assumed the first 11 months of 2011.
$\Rightarrow$ Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantors involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the average between 2010 and the first 11 months of 2011.
$\Rightarrow$ Clubs - represents distributions generated through the portfolio of 10 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the average between 2010 and the first 11 months of 2011.
$\Rightarrow$ Licensing - represents licensing revenue from a large portolio of licensing agreements both real estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka, Trump Water, Trump Shirts and several other such types of arrangements. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted revenue we have assumed the first 11 months of 2011.
$\Rightarrow$ Non Operating Revenue - includes tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale and other one-time type items. Due to the nature of this cash flow we excluded for the purposes of calculating recurring cash flow.
$\Rightarrow$ Investment income - represents interest and investment income on cash and marketable securities. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted revenue we have assumed the first 11 months of 2011.
$\Rightarrow$ Other - primarily related to miscellaneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted revenue we have assumed $\$ 10$ million based on the prior 2-years of cash flow.
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$\Rightarrow$ Uses of Cash - primarily utilized to reinvest in property development and retirement of debt on Clubs. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted uses of cash we have assumed the amounts incurred for 2011 with the exception of the Retirement of Debt, which we assumed to be zero as there are no contractual amounts due in 2012.

- Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of the obligation by the Guarantor based on a 25 -year amortization schedule, using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The results indicate that the Guarantor meets 3 out of the 4 criteria slightly missing the required Cash Flow Ratio. It should be noted as part of our adjustments we reduced the Guarantor's reported recurring cash flow by $41 \%$.


## Summary of Transaction <br> $>$ Request/Purpose

The requested $\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}$ term commitment will be used to finance a portion of the $\$ 150$ million acquisition price of the Doral Golf Resort and Spa Miami (the "Resort"). As the Resort is being purchased in accordance with a 363 Bankruptcy Auction closing is not expected to occur untll the March/April time period, however, the Guarantor has requested a Commitment Letter in early January to firm up his financing strategy. The Commitment being requested will be "subject to" an appraisal which is satisfactorily reviewed by the Lender. Results of the appraisal will be covered in a separate memo which will be added as an addendum to the Credit Approval, prior to closing the Facility.

On November 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$. 2011, the Trump Organization executed a Purchase and Sale Agreement for the Property including a hard deposit of $\$ 12 \mathrm{MM}$. As the Resort is part of a bankruptcy estate, the court will now file a Bidding Procedures Order designating the Trump Organization the "stalking horse" bidder in a 363 auction. As stipulated by this type of auction, other bidders will be required to exceed the stalking horse bid by approx $\$ 8 \mathrm{MM}$, after which the stalking horse bidder has the opportunity to increase their bid. Historically, stalking horse bidders have been selected in approx. $80 \%$ of previous 363 auctions. Once acquired. the Trump Organization plans to inject $\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}$ of its own capital to renovate, reposition and operate the resort under the Trump National Doral name. The Organization intends to hold the property for the long-term to benefit from strong future cash flows and development opportunities.

## Property Description

The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include 86.139 SF of meeting space including a $24,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ballroom, a $50,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa and treatment center, 6 food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport.

The famed Blue Monster is the most well-known of the resor's courses and has been home to a PGA event every year since the resort opened in 1962. From 1962 to 2006 the resort was host to the Doral Open, and since 2007 the WGC-Cadillac Championship has made its home at the Blue Monster after having previously been played at various courses around the world. As per the Guarantor, the PGA has recently signed a 5 year contract with the resort to continue this tournament. In addition to the Blue Monster, the Gold and Red courses have hosted other PGA qualifying events in the past.

The spa facility was renovated in 2010 and includes 33 treatment rooms, indoor and outdoor pools, men and women's locker rooms with saunas and steam rooms as well as a fitness facility. In 2009 , the Pritikin Longevity Center moved its entire operation to the Doral. The Pritikin Program is a nationally recognized diet and exercise program focused on altering diet and exercise to prevent heart disease, diabetes, hypertension and obesity. The Pritiken facilities (medical, gym. exercise rooms, kitchen, dining room, lecture rooms and offices) are integrated within the spa at the Doral, and Pritiken guests stay in the hotel suites. Packages offered by the Pritikin Center range from $\$ 4,500$ to $\$ 5,900$ per person/per week depending on the season.

In addition to the current improvements, the acquisition includes significant existing development rights for a wide range of commercial, residential and retail uses. From a zoning perspective, the property is divided into three parcels known as the Resort parcel (where the buildings are located), the Range View (driving range) and the Golf Courses. The Resort parcel can be expanded to include 600 additional dwelling units and in excess of 2.6 MM SF of commercial or retail space. The driving range parcel could have $71,800 \mathrm{SF}$ of hospitality or resort development. There are no immediate plans for further development, but long term there is value to these rights.

- Environmental Report

Phase I ESA performed by EBI dated 11/14/11 has been reviewed and accepted by DB. Recommendations include the following:
1). Continued compliance with the Industrial Waste Annual Operating Permit
2). The 500 gallon out of service UST is reportedly in process of being removed by Handex Consulting. All removal documentation including post excavation sampling and closure should be provided upon completion.
3). All remaining dry cleaning fluids should be removed from the facility, including draining the machine of PCE.
4). The site has been undergoing groundwater monitoring under the DERM County Monitoring Only Plan due to former dry cleaner, and is seeking NFA. It is unclear when NFA will be issued, but will also likely result in site use conditions being filed. Continued compliance with the MOP is recommended until NFA. We should also obtain an estimate from the consultant conducting the monitoring as to the time frame anticipated and remaining cost to achieve NFA.
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5). Continued implementation of asbestos O\&M plan

These recommendations will be discussed with the client and where necessary addressed in a post-closing agreement. In addition, the Bank will be receiving an environmental indemnity for all existing REC's

## Projact Ovarviow

Since 2007, the Property joined CNL Hospitality and became part of the Marriott portfolio of 60 worldwide resorts. Since that time, the Guarantor feels the property's performance has degraded significantly due to the misalignment of Marriott's mid-level brand with Doral's luxury potential, an inconsistent guest experience and a lack of expertise in golf operations. The Guarantor feels their branding and operating experience is more closely aligned with Dorals potential as a high end golf and resort destination.

The Property has been invested in significantly over the years with more than $\$ 67 \mathrm{MM}$ of capital improvements completed since 2005 . A very significant portion of that amount, +520 MM , has been invested in mechanical, electrical, plumbing and other "behind the wall" improvements.
Upon acquisition the Guarantor intends to invest an additional $\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}$ to renovate and reposition the resort to its previous world-class standard. The goal of the refurbishment is to ensure a consistent guest room quality and enhanced guest experience throughout the rooms and public spaces of the resort. The vast majority of the work will be aesthetic and highly visible to guests. The project scope and logistics will be planned carefully so that there is minimal operational interference but a quick and visible impact on the resort facilities. To avoid the high season, work on the property primarily will be completed between April and October. As rooms are located in multiple lodges, there will never be the requirement to close the resort as only a small fraction of rooms will be out of inventory at any time. In addition, it is not expected that any of the gotf courses will have to be closed during their enhancement. A breakdown of the improvement budget is as follows:

| ( In millions) <br> Renovation Scope | Capex <br> Budget | $\$ 5,722,320$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Main Building Enhancements | $\$ 5,259,636$ | $\$ / \mathrm{Key}$ |
| Conference Area, Spa and Champions <br> Pavilion | $\$ 8,257$ |  |
| Lodges and Guest Room Interiors | $\$ 4,000,000$ | $\$ 7,590$ |
| Member's Clubhouse and Golf | $\$ 2,950,000$ | $\$ 12,172$ |
| Enhanced Arrival Experience, Landscaping <br> and Pool | $\$ 13,176,000$ | $\$ 4,257$ |
| FF\&E and OS\&E (rooms and furniture) | $\$ 2,636,686$ | $\$ 19,013$ |
| CMiGeneral Conditions | $\$ 4,591,423$ | $\$ 3,805$ |
| Soft Costs | $\$ 3,734,686$ | $\$ 6,625$ |
| Contingency | $\$ 50,505,649$ | $\$ 5,389$ |
| Total Budget |  | $\$ 72,880$ |

Renovation of the Main Building, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion will include an aesthetic upgrade of the lobbies, reception areas meeting spaces, restaurants, retail space, spa and corridors. Renovation of the Lodges and Spa Suites will include both interior and exterior work including painting, lighting and landscaping. Guest rooms will undergo a complete renovation including new bathroom fixtures, furniture, flooring, window treatments, lighting and linens. The Member's Clubhouse will receive a brand new design that will enlarge the space and improve the finishes to support the drive to increase the number of the members and amount of dues. The golf course renovations will be primarily aesthetic with a focus on improvements to the cart paths and landscaping. In addition, the budget includes lengthening and expanding the driving range to make it consistent with the high standard of the courses. The remaining funds will be used to enhance the arrival experience, improve the overall landscaping, renovate the pool and outdoor areas and perform a number of other smaller projects.
See Exhibit IV - Investment Memorandum for additional details.

## Property Performance

Historical
The Guarantor has provided Profit and Loss statements for Property for the four years, 2007-2010. Please see Exhibit III for further details of expected Property Performance.

|  | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | 69.3\% | 66.7\% | 56.1\% | 70.6\% |
| ADR | \$182.69 | \$184.45 | \$155.6 | \$144.46 |
| RevPar | \$126.54 | \$122.97 | \$87.37 | \$101.95 |
| Total Revenue | \$96,391 | \$96,848 | \$70,465 | \$80,539 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$78,149 | \$81,562 | \$68,937 | \$75,799 |
| Net Operating Income | \$18,242 | \$15,286 | \$1,528 | \$4,740 |
| EBITDA | \$23,041 | \$20,128 | \$5,052 | \$8,765 |

## Credit Report - Structured

As illustrated above, the property has seen a steady decline in NOI since 2007. The Guarantor feels that this is a result of mismanagement of the property resulting in an inconsistent guest experience and lack of expertise in golf operations. Given the Guarantor's successful history in the hotel and golf course space, they feel there is substantial opportunity in the property once it is repositioned.
Once renovations are completed at the end of the second year of operations, the Guarantor believes the cash flow generated by the property will increase dramatically.

## Projected

The Guarantor has provided the following projections for 2011 though 2015.

|  | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | $68.0 \%$ | $54.1 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| ADR | $\$ 160.37$ | $\$ 162.00$ | $\$ 175.0$ | $\$ 208$ | $\$ 250$ |
| RevPar | $\$ 109.11$ | $\$ 87.64$ | $\$ 108.85$ | $\$ 151.85$ | $\$ 182.5$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 83,650$ | $\$ 71,163$ | $\$ 82,099$ | $\$ 111,237$ | $\$ 121,993$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 77,539$ | $\$ 63,824$ | $\$ 69,899$ | $\$ 87,295$ | $\$ 93,786$ |
| Net Operating Income | $\$ 6,111$ | $\$ 7.339$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $\$ 23,942$ | $\$ 28,207$ |
| EBITDA | $\$ 10,293$ | $\$ 7,338$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $\$ 26,169$ | $\$ 31,869$ |
| Proposed Debt to EBITDA | 12.14 x | 17.03 x | 10.25 x | 4.78 x | 3.92 x |

*it should be noted that operating expenses omit any hotel management fees as these would be subordinate to any payments of senior debt.

These projections are supported by the Guarantor's plan to improve the revenue generated in four specific areas of concentration; guest rooms, food and beverage, golf and expense management. As illustrated in the above historical figures, prior to the implementation of the Marriott management company, when the Property was operated as a single flag, the Doral produced EBITDA of \$23MM. The Guarantor's goal is to restore it to this level by 2014.

The Property's competitive set includes the PGA National Resort in Palm Beach ( 85 miles from subject), Fairmount Turnberry Isle in Aventura ( 23 miles), Intercontinental Hotel Miami ( 13 miles), Innisbrook Resort \& Golf Club ( 283 miles), Loews Miami Beach Hotel ( 17 miles) and Westin Diplomat Resort Golf and Spa ( 25 miles). Over the past few years, the Property has significantly underperformed its competitive set losing market penetration from $94.4 \%$ during 2005 to $80.8 \%$ during 2007 to $65.7 \%$ for the 12 months ending August 2011. It is the view of the Guarantor that the affiliation with the Trump Hotel brand will elevate the Property and support the growth projection of an increase in market penetration to $89 \%$ vs a competitive set that they feel is weaker than that faced by other properties in the Trump portfolio.

In addition to the guest rooms, the Guarantor plans to reposition the food and beverage venues to better serve a high end clientele in addition to promoting the wedding and event business. As golf course operations are viewed as a particular strength of the Trump organization, the Guarantor projects a strong growth in the key golf operating metrics as follows:

|  | Current | $\mathbf{1}^{\text {² }}$ Year Stabilized |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Members | 752 | 857 |
| Golf Dues | $\$ 6,720$ | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Initiation Fees | $\$ 15,500$ | $\$ 50,000$ |
| Revenue | $\$ 16,239,744$ | $\$ 26,795,578$ |

The final area of concentration (and possibly the most important) is the Guarantor's plans to more effectively control costs without comprising quality. At the forefront of the expense management program is corporate oversight over every budget category. Expenses are controlled through best practices created at other hotels and golf courses, leveraged national account pricing across every department, competitive bidding of goods and services and detailed analysis regarding expenditure decisions.

## Conditions Precedent to closing

Usual and customary for faclities of this size, type and purpose, including but not limited to:

- Receipt of a current appraisal acceptable to the Lender. As indicated, the Guarantor has requested a Commitment Letter by early January which will be "subject to" completion of an appraisal acceptable to the Lender. Expected closing is in the April/May time period.
- Property Condition Report, if determined by the Lender
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## Covenants

## Reporting Covenants:

> Guarantor:

- Business Financial Statement. Guarantor to provide a financial statement annually dated within 90 days of $12 / 31$.
> Guarantor:
- Personal Financial Statement- Guarantor to provide a financial statement annually dated within 90 days of $12 / 31$.
- Compliance Certificate-Guarantor to provide a compliance certificate annually dated within 90 days of $12 / 31$ and Lender will have right to confirm required liquidity through review of account statements at the offices of the Guarantor.


## Financial Covenants:

> Renovation Period (required only until Post-Renovation Period begins):

- Llquidity- Guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquidity at all times (defined as unrestricted cash or marketable securities convertible to cash within 5 business days that is not pledged to support any obligations) of at least $\$ 50$ million with at least $\$ 20$ million to be maintained with the Lender (this cash will not be pledged as collateral).
- Indebtedness- Guarantor shall not incur any additional indebtedness (direct or contingent) in excess of $\$ 150$ million, excluding ( x ) any obligation under this Facility and (y) any Guarantor indebtedness (direct or contingent) existing as of the date of the closing of the loan, without the prior consent of the Lender.
- Net Worth- Guarantor shall maintain a Minimum Net Worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion excluding any value related to the Guarantor's brand value (as such Minimum Net Worth is reflected in Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition prepared by Guarantor in substantially the form prepared by Guarantor as of the date of this term sheet, a copy of which will be delivered to Lender).
- Post-Renovation Period (in lieu of Renovation Period Covenants):
- Debt Service Coverage-Guarantor shail maintain a Debt Service Coverage ratio (DSC) defined as Net Operating Incorne divided by Debt Service of no less than 1.15 x . In the event Guarantor fails to maintain such DSC, (i) Guarantor shall be entitled to cure any shorffall of such DSC by such reasonable means as Guarantor shall elect to enable Guarantor to meet the DSC and (ii) if Guarantor is unable to cure such DSC fallure, the Renovation Period covenants shall apply in lieu of the Post-Renovation Period requirements until such time as Guarantor maintains the DSC.
- Net Worth-Guarantor shall maintain a reported Minimum Net Worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion excluding any value related to the Guarantor's brand value (as such Minimum Net Worth is reflected in Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition prepared by Guarantor in substantially the form prepared by Guarantor as of the date of this term sheet, a copy of which will be delivered to Lender).


## Other Covenants:

- The Collateral will maintain a minimum appraised value of $\$ 150$ million, which may be confirmed by the Lender with an updated appraisal, at the cost of the Lender, at any time after the second anniversary of the Closing Date of the Facility. The Guarantor may cure any deficiency caused by a valuation shortfall through the repayment of principal to an amount that the loan to value based on the revised valuation remains less than $85 \%$, with such payment due within 10 business days of notification by the Lender.


## Events of Default and Cure periods:

Usual and customary for facilities of this size, type and purpose, including but not limited to:
A) Payment default
B) Breach of representation or warranties
C) Violation of covenants
D) Cross defaults
E) Bankruptcy, insolvency
F) Death of the Guarantor - In connection with either the adjudicated incompetency or the death of any Guarantor, no Event of Default shall be declared by the Lender if, within ninety (90) days from the date of such adjudication of incompetency or the date of such Guarantor's death, as the case may be, the guardian of such Guarantor or the estate of the deceased Guarantor, as the case may be, (i) upon the Lender's written request acknowledges and does not repudiate or dispute in any manner, and assumes, this Guaranty and the Guaranteed Obligations hereunder. (ii) cooperates with the Lender in filing and seeking any contingent liability claim in connection with the death of such Guarantor, (iii) has sufficient assets to secure all monetary Guaranteed Obligations hereunder and sets aside sufficient sums, in the Lender's reasonable discretion, in connection therewith and (iv) the estate of Guarantor continues to meet all applicable terms, conditions and covenants under this Guaranty and the other Loan Documents.

| Other |
| :--- |
| Hedging/Risk-Transfer/Hold Strategy: N/A |
| Policy Issues: N/A |
| DB Relationship / Business Case: Approved KYC dated__ |
| Approval Conditions: |
| 1. Receipt of a current appraisal acceptable to the Lender |
| 2. Property Condition Report. if determined by the Lender |

## Confidential

Attachments
I. Summary of Terms
II. Guarantor Financial Statements
III. Project Financial Statements
IV. Investment Memorandum
V. The Trump Golf Portfolio
VI. The Trump Hotel Collection
VII. Risk Rating
VIII. KYC

## US ONLY:

Additional Information

## 1. Section 23 Attestation

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, including an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the application of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department, which contains a listing of entities, which have been determined to be affiliates ("Affiliates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affiliate List")

- The entity which is entering into the transaction with DBTCA (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank on the Affiliate List maintained by the Compliance Department.
* The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the benefit of a named Affiliate; except for transactions that are not covered transactions.
- The collateral on which we rely for S23 purposes is not a liability of an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank and so a covered transaction will not be produced by this loan.


## NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELD

Confidential
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## EXHIBIT PP

## Credit Report - Structured



Reason for Presentation:
A) Annual Review of Trump Endeavor 12, LLC loan facility.

Request to change Annual Review date to 4/30/15.
B) Increase and Annual Review of 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LIC loan facility.

Request to increase Tranche E Facility by $\$ 54$ million to a maximum of $\$ 73$ million with a new maturity date of 10 years from closing of the extension. Request to change Annual Review date 10 4/30/15.
C) Approval of a new $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$ First mortgage facility to the Borrower, TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC, to be used for the development of the Old Post Office property in Washington DC.

| Exchange <br> Rate: | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |


| Rlsk Rating: Current  <br>  Loan A: Tranche A CPD: iA  <br>  Tranche B CPD: iA  <br>  Loan B: CPD: iA <br>  Loan C: CPD: $i A$ | FPD: GBBE <br> FPD: A <br> FPD: dA+ <br> FPD: dA- | Previous: <br> CPD: iA- FPD: dBBB <br> CPD: $\operatorname{iA}$ FPD: iA - <br> CPD: iA FPD: $1 A$ <br> CPD: : N/A FPD: N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| See attached Risk Rating for rationale for risk rating change (if applicable) |  |  |
| Eatimated ROE / ROA Calculation: <br> Loan Aa: 201.43\% <br> Loan Ab: 40.09\% <br> Loan B: 222.70\% <br> Loan C: 228.56\% |  |  |


| Currency: US $\$$ In million | New LImit | Usegre | Previous LImit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan A - Tranche A | \$106.0 | \$106.0 | \$106.0 |
| Loan A - Tranche s | \$19.0 | \$19.0 | \$19.0 |
| Loan B | \$73.0 | \% 19.0 | \$98.0 |
| Losn C | \$170.0 | 90.0 | \$0.0 |
| Loan C Swap Throshold Amt | \$10.25 | \$0.0 | $\$ 0.0$ |
| Rolleted Exposure |  |  |  |
| Total Exposure | \$378.26 | \$144.0 | \$223.0 |


| Years | News Limit | Usage | Fovvor Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| < 1 |  |  |  |
| $>1$ \%nct $<=5$ | Ab) $\$ 19$ | Ab) $\$ 19$ | Ab) \$19 |
| $>5$ and $<=7$ |  |  |  |
| $>7$ and $<10$ | Aa) $\$ 106$ <br> () $\$ 73$ <br> C) $\$ 170$ <br> Swap C) $\$ 10.25$ | An) $\$ 106$ <br> B) $\$ 19$ <br> C) $\$ 0$ <br> Swap C) $\$ 0$ | Aa) $\$ 106$ <br> B) $\$ 98$ <br> C) $\$ 0$ <br> Swap C) $\$ 0$ |
| $>10$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Other liablitiea or comments: |  |  |  |


|  | Conlenteral | Martret Value | Lown to Value | Lomn Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A) | Doral Goll Resort and Spa located in Miami, Flonida | \$125MM | 85\% | \$108MM |
| A) | Doral Golf Resort - Unsecured |  |  | \$19MM |
| B) | Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago | \$144.7MM | 51\% | \$73MM |
| C) | Old Post Office, Washington, DC | \$212.5MM <br> (Budgeted Renovation Cost) | 60\% | \$170MM |

DEUTSCHE EANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT
TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC (\$125MM) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC (\$73MM) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC (\$170MM) - 5/2/14 - STRUCTURED

## Collateral

A) Trump National Doral Golf Club - The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 toumament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include $86,139 \mathrm{SF}$ of meeting space including a $24,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ballroom, a $50,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa and treatment center with guest rooms, 6 food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse.
B) Trump International Hotel Chicago - The Collateral Property consists of a full service hotel, including 339 condo-hotel rooms, of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrower Units"), which shall be included as Collateral and 164 rooms that are owned by third parties ("Third Party Units") and which shall not be included as Collateral, approximately $38,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of banquet space, a $23,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa, and a 285 space public parking garage. In addition the mortgage properties will include the 7 remaining residential condos until they are sold, the proceeds of which will be used to pay down the principal balance of the facility.
C) Oid Post Office Building and Annex - The Collateral Property after renovations will consists of a full service hotel, including 250-270 hotel rooms, approximately $65,000-75,000$ sf of meeting, banquet, food and beverage, retail, spa and fitness facilities, telecommunications facilities and an underground parking garage with approximately 100 parking spaces.

Assets Under Management: Donald J. Trump - $\$ 40.4 \mathrm{MM}$ cash deposits; Donald J. Trump Jr - $\$ 500 \mathrm{M}$ cash deposits

| \# | Obligor | Org ld | Collateral | Risk Rating | Facility Amount | O/S | Maturity | Interest Rate | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Titan Atlas Manufacturing | 7789036 | $1^{\text {s }}$ Mortgage | iBEB-/dBBB | \$3.50 | \$3.50 | 11/17/2014 | $L+300$ | Donald J. Trump Jr. |
|  |  |  |  | Total | \$3.50 | \$3.50 |  |  |  |

## Covenants:

## Facility $A$

Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?
Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting

| $\boxtimes$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Yes | $\boxed{\text { No }} \square$ Not Applicable |
| $\boxed{\text { Yes }}$ | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| $\boxed{X}$ Yes | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| $\boxtimes$ Yes | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |

Facility B
Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?
Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting
Financial

| $\triangle$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Y Yes | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| $\square$ Yes | $\triangle$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| $\triangle \mathrm{Yes}$ | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| Q Yes | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |

## Facility C

Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?
Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting
Financial

| $\boxtimes$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\boxtimes$ Yes | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| $\square$ Yes | $\boxed{\text { No }} \square$ Not Applicable |
| $\boxed{\text { Yes }}$ | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| $\square$ Yes | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |

Please See Covenant Section

## 1-Summary of Transactions

## Request/Purpose

## Facility A:

Annual Review of Trump Endeavor 12, LLC loan facility and request to change Annual Review date to 5/31/15.

## Facility B:

Increase and Annual Review of 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC loan facility. The original Facility for $\$ 98$ million ( $\$ 107$ million approved) was closed in November of 2012 with funds being used to refinance existing debt on the Property. While the original term of the facility was $4 / 5$ years (there were 2 tranches), during the first 18 months, the Borrower used the sales proceeds of the condos and cash flow from the hotel to pay the loan down to its current balance of approx. $\$ 19$ miltion. The Borrower has requested a $\$ 54$ million increase to the current cutstanding balance of $\$ 19$ million for a total loan amount of $\$ 73$ million. The proceeds will be used for business purposes including further real estate acquisitions and working capital. Collateral for this facility will be the Trump Intemational Hotel Chicago and the 7 remaining Trump Chicago Tower condo units. This facility is fully guaranteed by Mr. Trump for all principal, interest and operating shortfalls until the balance of the facility is less than $\$ 45$ million ( $34 \%$ LTV), please see Facility B terms for further explanation.

Facility C
Approval of a new $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$ First mortgage facility to the Borrower, TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC, to be used for the development of the Oid Post Office property in Washington DC. The purpose of this transaction is to provide up to $80 \%$ of the financing for the redevelopment of the Old Post Office Building ("OPO") in Washington DC into a $250-270$ room luxury hotel including $65,000-75,000$ SF of meeting, banquet, food and beverage, retail, spa and fitness facilities. The facility will be structured as a multiple-draw construct-to-permanent loan facility with maturity 10 years from closing ( 4 year max construction period). This facility is fully guaranteed by Mr. Trump for all principal, interest and operating shortfalls.


| Loan B-401 North Wabash Venture, LLC - See Exhibit ill |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT<br>TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC (\$125MM) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC (\$73MM) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC<br>(\$170MM) - 5/2/14-STRUCTURED



If at any time the DSC covenant is breached when the Guaranty Level is $>0 \%$, the Borrower must provide an acceptable appraisal to the Lender, at Lender request, confirming the LTV. If the LTV is above the Max LTV for the Guarantor Level in place, the Borrower has the option to (i) pay down the loan or post additional collateral to bring the loan back into compliance or (ii) increase the Guaranty Level correspond to the updated LTV. At anytime the Guaranty Level is $0 \%$ the DSC Covenant will step-down to 1.25 , however, any breach thereafter will be trigger an Event of Default without requiring a new appraisal.
Donald Trump shall continue to personally guarantee a percentage of principal, interest and operating shortfalls. However, once the principal balance of the loan falls below $\$ 45$ million, the $\%$ of such Guaranty shall be maintained in accordance with the defined LTV Range as indicated in the table below. Any reduction in such Guaranty Levels will be considered permanent, unless Guarantor elects to increase the Guaranty Level for purposes of curing any shortfalls in accordance with the Max LTV requirement.

| LTV <br> Range | Guaranty <br> Level |
| :---: | :---: |
| $85 \%-66 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $65 \%-56 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $55 \%-46 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $45 \%-36 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $35 \%$ and <br> below | $0 \%$ |

The LTV Range shall be calculated based on the most recent appraisal received in accordance with the existing terms and conditions under the transaction documents.

| Guarantor Covenants | For the term of the facility: <br> - Liquidity- Guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquidity at all times (defined as unrestricted cash or marketable securities convertible to cash within 5 business days that is not pledged to support any obligations) of at least $\$ 50$ million with at least $\$ 20$ million to be maintained with the Lender (this cash will not be pledged as collateral). <br> - Indebtedness- Guarantor shall not incur any additional indebtedness (direct or contingent) in excess of $\$ 300$ million, excluding ( x ) any Debt to the Lender and ( $\mathbf{y}$ ) any Guarantor indebtedness (direct or contingent) existing as of the date of the closing of the loan, without the prior consent of the Lender. <br> - Net Worth- Guarantor shall maintain a Minimum Net Worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion excluding any value related to the Guarantor's brand value (as such Minimum Net Worth is reflected in Guarantor's Statement of Financial Condition prepared by Guarantor in substantially the form prepared by Guarantor as of the date of this term sheet, a copy of which will be delivered to Lender). | The current terms of the Guaranty remain unchanged until such time as the facility is paid down to a principal balance of no greater than $\$ 45$ million. <br> Once the principal balance is below $\$ 45$ million: During the DSC Non-compliance Period, the following Guarantor Covenants will be in place: <br> - Minimum Liquidity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Guaranty Level | Unencumbered Llauidity Covenant |  | Held with DB |
|  |  | 100\% | \$50MM |  | \$20MM |
|  |  | 40\% | \$20MM |  | \$20MM |
|  |  | 20\% | \$10MM |  | \$10MM |
|  |  | 10\% | \$5MM |  | \$5MM |
|  |  | 0\% | \$0 |  | So |
|  |  | - Maximum Debt Guarantor cannot incur direct or contingent debt in excess of $\$ 500 \mathrm{MM}$. Excluding the subject facility, the Doral facility and the Chicago facility. |  |  |  |
|  |  | At all times during the term of the loan: <br> - Required Net Worth: Guarantor shall maintain a Net Worth of not less than the product of ( $x$ ) Two Billion Five Hundred Million Dollars ( $\$ 2,500,000,000$ ) times (y) the applicable Guaranty Level. |  |  |  |
|  |  | Guaranty Lovel |  | Net Worth Covenant |  |
|  |  |  | 100\% | \$2.5B |  |
|  |  |  | 40\% | \$1B |  |
|  |  |  | 20\% | \$500MM |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% | \$250MM |  |
|  |  |  | 0\% | \$0 |  |


| Loan C - Trump Old Post Office - See Exhibit IV |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Facility Amount | The lesser of i) $\$ 170,000,000$ and ii) $80 \%$ of the Redevelopment Investment Plan. <br> > Redevelopment Investment Plan - shall represent a budget to complete the Project consisting of hard costs, soft costs (including, without limitation, interest), and operating shortfalls and consisting of: (i) at least 20\% of the Redevelopment Investment Plan as of the Closing Date (the "Defined Equity Amount") to be invested directly by the Guarantor, and (ii) any remaining amounts, in an amount not to exceed $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$, expected to be provided within the Facility Amount. |
| Facility Type: | Multiple-draw construction loan facility with (i) interest only payable during the Redevelopment Period, and (ii) during the Post Redevelopment Period either: (a) interest only at any time the loan-to-value (the "LTV") is no greater than $75 \%$, and (b) principal payments, based on a 25 -year amortization schedule at any time the LTV is greater than $75 \%$. <br> Redevelopment Peniod - the expiration of the Redevelopment Period will be 4 -years from the Closing Date; provided, however, that Borrower, in its sole discretion, may trigger the Post Redevelopment Period earlier upon Borrower's delivery to Lender of (a) one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalent for the Major Components, and (b) an appraisal of the Property (the "Initial Appraisal", which shall be prepared by an appraiser selected buy Lender, indicating an LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. <br> Post Redevelopment Period - the period from the end of the Redevelopment period to the Facility maturity date. <br> Until such time as the appraisal is reviewed and accepted by DB, this facility will be considered "Other Secured" for collateral reporting purposes. |
| Purpose: | Borrower intends to convert the Property from its existing use as an office building with retail to a $250-270$ room luxury hotel (Please see project description below). |
| Property | The Old Post Office Building and Annex located at 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington DC 20004. |
| Maturity: | 10 years from the closing date |
| Collateral: | The Facility will be secured by (i) a first mortgage lien on Barrower's leasehold interest in ( $x$ ) the Property and ( $y$ ) all improvements thereto, (ii) security interests in and, to the extent assignable and as applicable, assignments of Borrower's interest in all permits licenses, lease, contracts, agreements, operating accounts, receivables etc. and (iii) Borrower's interest in other customary ancillary collateral relating to the Property. <br> As noted above, until such time as the appraisal is reviewed and accepted by DB, this facility will be considered "Other Secured" for collateral reporting purposes. |
| Multi-draw Funding Criteria/ Retainage | Borrower will be permitted to receive advances under the Facility to pay all costs incurred by the Borrower in accordance with the Redevelopment Investment Plan. Advances will require a hold back of $10 \%$ of hard costs for the first $50 \%$ of the amount of any construction contract (there is no hold back on the last $50 \%$ ) provided, however, no retainage shall be required for (i) soft costs under any contract or (ii) materials only contracts. Lender shall disburse retainage promptly upon notice from Borrower that such retainage is due and payable. |
| Completion Reserve: | Following the later of ( $x$ ) the initial advance under the loan and $(y)$ the contribution of the Defined Equity Amount, if at any time the undrawn amount of the Facility is less than the remaining costs to complete the Project and the shortfall is $\$ 5,000,000$ or greater, then Borrower shall fund a completion reserve (the "Completion Reserve" in the amount required to reduce such shortfall to $\$ 5,000,000$. The Completion Reserve will be held by Lender as additional Collateral. |
| Repayment: | 1) During the Redevelopment Period the facifity will require interest only payments. <br> 2) During the Post Redevelopment Period either (a) interest only at any time the LTV is no greater than $75 \%$, and (b) principal payments, based on a 25 -year amortization schedule at any time the LTV is greater than $75 \%$. <br> The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract. |
| Interest Rate: | 1) Redevelopment Period - LIBOR plus $2.00 \%$ or, at Borrower's option, the Prime Rate. <br> 2) Post Redevelopment Period and upon delivery of appraisal indicating an LTV of less than or equal to $70 \%$ - LIBOR plus $1.75 \%$ or, at Borrower's option, the Prime Rate minus $0.25 \%$. <br> Borrower shall have the right to deliver such appraisal, acceptable to Lender, at any time during the Post Redevelopment Period. |

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT<br>TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC (\$125MM) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC (\$73MM) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC (\$170MM) - 5/2/14 - STRUCTURED

| LIBOR Tenors | Borrower may elect interest periods of $1,3,6$, and 12 months with a maximum of (5) LIEOR contracts outstanding at any time and no LIBOR contract to be permitted for loans less than $\$ 1,000,000$ at any time. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fees: | 0.50\% of Facility Amount due and payable at closing |
| DSC Covenant | Redevelopment Period - None <br> Post Redevelopment Period - At all times during the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio ("DSC") defined as the Net Operating Income ("NOI") divided by Debt Service of no less than $1.15 x$. "Debt Service" is defined as all principal (if applicable) and interest calculated on the current loan amount outstanding assuming a 25 -year amortization schedule, which assumption shall only include actual debt service due under the loan. Covenant to be test annually beginning with the first full calendar year commencing four (4) years after the Closing Date |
| Maximum LTV | Redevelopment Period - 80\% of the Redevelopment Investment Plan. <br> Post Redevelopment Period - The Property will maintain a minimum appraised value that provides a maximum LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. The Guarantor may cure any deficiency cause by a vaiuation shotfall through the repayment of principal to an amount that the maximum LTV based on the revised valuation remains less than $85 \%$ with such payment due within 10 business days of notification by the Lender. |
| Expiration of Redevelopment Period: | 4-Years from the Closing Date <br> No later than 4 -years from the Closing Date, Borrower shall deliver to Lender: (a) one or more temporary or final centificates of occupancy or their equivalents for the Major Components (which shall not be required to cover areas relating to minor details of construction, decoration or mechanical adjustment, the non-completion of which does not materially interfere with the operation of the Property as a whole), and (b) an appraisal of the Property, which shall be prepared by an appraiser selected by the Lender, indicating an LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. The ferm "Major Components" shall mean: (i) $90 \%$ of the hotel rooms, (ii) the meeting and banquet space and (iii) one operating restaurant. A temporary or final certificate of occupancy or its equivalent for the entire Project (which shall not be required to cover areas relating to minor details of construction, decoration or mechanical adjustment, or uncompleted work in connection with disputes concerning items of a historic nature, the noncompletion of which does not matenially interfere with the operation of the Property as a whole) shall be delivered to the Lender no later than 5 -years from the Closing Date. |
| Guaranty: | Donald J. Trump will provide a full and unconditional guarantee of: (i) principal and interest due under the facility, (ii) swap breakage costs, (iii) operating shortfalls of the Property until the end of the Shortfall Coverage Period and (iv) a completion guaranty, guaranteeing the lien-free completion of the Project acceptable to Lender, as evidence by, among other things, one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalent, architects certificate and appropriate lien waivers, each reasonably acceptable to Lender. |
| Guarantor Covenants | During the Redavelopment Period: <br> - Guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquidity at all times of at least $\$ 50$ million with at last $\$ 20$ million to be maintained with the Lender. <br> o Guarantor shall not, at any time, have any additional indebtedness (direct or contingent) in excess of $\$ 500$ million (the "Guarantor Liability Cap"), excluding ( $x$ ) any obligation under this Facility and (y) any Guarantor indebtedness (direct or contingent) existing as of June 30, 2013, as reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition referred to below (which includes the Doral and Chicago facilities). <br> At all times during the term of the facility: <br> - Guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion excluding the value related to the Guarantor's brand value |


#### Abstract

Borrower shall have the option to purchase interest rate protection in the form of a swap, reasonably acceptable to Lender, and secure related credit exposure (the "Swap Exposure") through the mortgage for the Facility. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap at closing or at any time during the loan term, and the term of such swap agreement does not have to be coterminous with the loan. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap from Lender or any other party; provided, however, if such swap is not provided by Lender or any of its affiliates, such swap obligation shall not be secured by any assets of Borrower.

During the Redevelopment Period the Borrower or Guarantor shall be required to post cash collateral within in three (3) business days' notice from the Lender, should at any time the actual mark-to-market amount exceeds the defined Threshold Amount plus the MTA. At such time, the minimum amount of cash collateral to be posted shall be equal to the difference between the actual mark-to-market and the Threshold Amount.

During the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall not be required to post any additional cash collatera and any related Swap Exposure will remain secured by the mortgage. Any cash collateral related to the Swap Exposure, in accordance with the term in effect during the Redevelopment Period, shall be released upon receipt of the Initial Appraisal to the extent such Swap Exposure plus the principal amount outstanding under the Facility does not exceed $85 \%$. Once such cash collateral is released the Borrower will have no further obligation to post any additional cash collateral during the Post Redevelopment Period


Threshold Amount - shall be equal to $\$ 10,000,000$ minus the independent Amount. Minimum Transfer Amount ("MTA") - shall be equal to $\$ 250,000$
Independent Amount - shall be equal to 5\% of the notional amount of the Swap.

## Repayment Sources/ Key Risks/Mitigants

## Facility A

> Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort following the Renovation Period. Based on projections the Resort should be able to satisfactorily service the debt paying principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule.
$>$ Tertiary Source of Repayment: When the LTV is above $65 \%$, DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee, which eliminates any shorffall associated with operating and liquidating Collateral. As equity in the collateral increases, the Guaranty Level steps down concurrently.

## Facility B

> Primary Source of Repayment: Cash flow generated by the Hotel Collateral and sale of the remaining 9 condos
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Refinancing the Hotel Collateral property.
$>$ Tertiary Source of Repayment: When the LTV is above $60 \%$, DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee, which eliminates any shorffall associated with operating and liquidating Collateral. As equity in the collateral increases, the Guaranty Level steps down concurrently.

Facility C
> Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Hotel following the Redevelopment Period. Based on projections, the Hotel should be able to satisfactorily service the debt paying principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule.
> Tertiary Source of Repayment: D.JT provides a full and unconditional guarantee of the entire facility for the term.

## Recommendation:

Approval of i) the Annual Review for Facility A (Doral), (ii) the Modification/Increase to Facility B (Trump Chicago Hotel) and (ii) origination of Facility C (Trump Old Post Office) are being recommended based on:

## All Facilities

- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The financial profile of the Guarantor includes, on an adjusted basis, a net worth of $\$ 2.6$ billion with $\$ 154.5$ million in unencumbered liquidity.
- Operating Experience - DJT's extensive experience in operating private golifcountry clubs. His current porfolio includes 13 such clubs with a reported value of $\$ 1.66$ billion and DB adjusted value of $\$ 680.6$ million.
- DB Relationship - DJT continues to develop his relationship with DB as Facility $C$ will be the fourth credit facility we have originated with him or his family ( 3 with DJT, 1 with DJT Jr.). DJT has transferred $\$ 40$ million in liquidity to DB and has indicated he is interested iri continued to grow his non-credit relationship with the firm. The AWM Banking team has been introduced to each of DJT's three adult children and two have established relationships with the firm. In addition, the CB\&S Real Estate Team has had a successful history with the family.


## Facility $A$

- Equity Injection of the Guarantor: While the initial equity injection of the Guarantor was estimated at $\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}$, we have been told that the estimate has been increased to anywhere from $\$ 150-\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}$, with approximately $\$ 30 \mathrm{MM}$ already invested.
- Expected Enhanced Value due to Capex -The Resort is a world class location that has been home to a PGA event every year since the Resort opened in 1962. As D.JT expects to invest approximately $\$ 150-160$ million on capital improvements it is expected that the value of our Collateral will increase significantly over the term of the Facility.


## Reg O Questions:

1.) Is this toan for a DB employee?
2.) Is this loan for a DBTCA "Insider?" or "related party"? (Consult the most current Ist of "DETCA insiders for Regulation 0 and NY State Banking Law Reporting Purposes, " which is posted on the shered drive $R$ in the folder litled "Reg O , "in e Word document tithed "Rag $O$ and Insider List")
3.) If the loan is for a DBTCA "insider," will DBTCA, DBPWM or any other aubsidiary of DBTCA originate the loan? IIf Yes, the toan must be approved in advance by the DBTCA Board of Directors and the DETCA Office of the Secretary. Please contact Compliance or Legal immediately.)


DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC (\$125MM) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC (\$73MM) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC ( $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM})-42^{2} 55^{3}-$ STRUCTURED

## Facility A \&

- Leverage Levels required for Step-Down of the Guaranty - The LTV levels required to for a decrease in the guapanty levels are low enough to ensure that the loan is more than adequately collateralized.


## Facility $B$

- Property produces sufficient cash flow to support the requested increase in debt at a $1.74 \times(\mathrm{P} 8 \mathrm{l})$ and $2.45 \times(\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{O})$ coverage ratio
- Quality of the collateral and LTV - The property is in the form of a luxury hotel building and 9 remaining, unsold, condominiums located in downtown Chicago. Based on the recent appraisal completed the Hotel collateral was valued at $\$ 133$ million which would result in a $55 \%$ LTV not taking into account any of the unsold condos which are also being pledged as collateral.
- Accelerated Repayment in addition to Amortization - Until the Facility is paid down to a balance of no more than $\$ 45$ million, the Facility will amortize on a 30 year amortization schedule, in addition $92 \%$ of the proceeds of the sale of any of the 7 condos pledged as collateral will be applied to the principal balance of the loan. It is the Borrower's intention to pay-down the Facility to $\$ 45$ million as soon as possible.


## Facility C

- Equity injection of the Guarantor: While the initial equity injection of the Guarantor was estimated at $+\$ 42 \mathrm{MM}$, we have been told that the estimate has been increased to anywhere from $\$ 150-\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}$, with approximately $\$ 30 \mathrm{MM}$ already invested.
- Borrowers Successful Operating Experience: The Trump Hotel Collection consists of 8 Luxury Hotels in New York, Chicago (Facility B), Las Vegas, Hawaii, Toronto, Miami(Facility A) and Panama with 3 new hotels (including the subject) coming on-line in the next 2-3 years. The Trump name has been associated with the highest level of luxury and the hotels in the collection have been performing successfully even through the previous economic downturn

| Regulatory Requirement - One Obligor Principle |
| :--- |
| IMPORTANT: Transactions subject to banking secrecy in other locations should not be covered |
| - Does the borrower have other existing credit client relationships in the current booking location or with other Deutsche Bank entities (whether |
| under private individual name or other related entities)? |
| If 'Yes', please provide details: |
| Please see Total Exposure on Page 1. |

- Please certify (tick the box) that this was confirmed with the borrower:

If answered 'Yes' to the above question, the Consolidation of Borrowers' Sheet has to be completed*

* Credin Officer or Lending Officer to complete as per responsibibites in placa in the given location
Reg O Questions:
1.) Is this loan for a DB employee?
2.) Is this loan for a DBTCA "insider?" or "related party"?
(Consuit the most current list of "DBTCA Insiders for Regulation O
and NY State Banking Law Reporting Purposes, "which is posted on
the shared drive $R$ in the folder titled "Reg $O$ " in a
Word document titled "Reg O and insider List")
3.) If the loan is for a DBTCA "insider," will DBTCA, DBPWM or
any other subsidiary of DBTCA originate the loan?
(If Yes, the loan must be approved in advance by the DBTCA
Board of Directors and the DBTCA Office of the Secretary.
Please contact Compliance or Legal immediarely.)


It should be noted that the Guarantor, DJT, is required to provide financials within 120 days of $6 / 30$ FYE. Thus the most recent financials available are as of $6 / 30 / 13$. We are not aware of any material changes to the Guarantors financial profile.

Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although all three Facilities are secured by Collateral, given the unique nature of these credits, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the Guarantor. As part of this underwriting we have met with several members of the family office to update our due diligence on the client reported financial information, as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values, Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional details are included in the Guarantor's financial statements which are attached as Exhibit V.

| Financial Summary (\$ in millions) <br> Source: Client provided financia/s | DJT 6/30/2011 (Client Reported) | DJT 6/30/2012 (C/ient Reported) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2012 } \\ \text { (DB Adjusted) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { D.TT } \\ \text { 63012013 } \\ \text { (Client Reportect) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2013 } \\ \text { (DB Adjusted) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash \& Marketable Securities | \$258.9 | \$169.7 | \$146.3 | \$339.1 | \$154.5 |
| Escrow \& Reserve Deposits | \$9.1 | \$10.8 | - | \$15.2 | - |
| Real Estate - Net Equity | \$2,996.9 | \$3,184.2 | \$1,707.5 | \$3,268.7 | \$1,834 |
| Partnerships \& Joint Ventures | \$720.0 | $\$ 823.3$ | \$411.7 | \$869.3 | \$434.7 |
| Real Estate Licensing | \$88.3 | \$65.2 | \$32.6 | \$174.7 | \$87.3 |
| Other Assets | \$199.2 | \$318.5 | \$159.3 | \$352.0 | \$176.0 |
| Total Assets | \$4,273.4 | \$4,563.9 | \$2,448.8 | \$5,019.0 | \$2,686.2 |
| Personal Mortgage other Debt | \$8.4 | \$8.3 | \$8.3 | \$20.5 | \$20.5 |
| Other Liabilities | \$3.7 | \$4.4 | \$4.4 | 320.4 | \$20.4 |
| Net Worth | \$4,261.3 | 4,559.0 | 2,436.1 | 4,978.0 | 2,645.2 |
| Contingent Obligations | \$114.0 | \$195.7 | 277.7 | \$197.2 | \$420.5 |
| Net Cash Flow ${ }^{\text {- }}$ | \$82.4 | (\$89.2) | \$13.4 | \$169.7 | (\$25.2) |
| Key Ratios - Unsecured Lending Guidelines (excludes Swap PFE) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leverage Ratio ( $\leqslant=.30$ ) | . 13 | . 14 | 13 | . 01 | 0.16 |
| Cash Flow Ratio ( $>=.35$ ) | . 57 | -0.67 | . 05 | 0.45 | -0.05 |
| Liquidity Ratio ( $>=.25$ ) | 2.04 | 1.32 | 47 | 0.80 | 0.41 |
| Asset Coverage Ratio ( $>=6.0$ ) | 31.7 | 33.32 | 8.43 | 13.27 | 7.10 |

$>$ Liquidity - The Guarantor reports liquidity of $\$ 339$ million as of $6 / 30 / 13$ consisting of $\$ 185$ million in $\mathbf{M r}$. Trump's name personally and $\$ 154$ million held in various entities which Mr. Trump controls. On 10/21/2013 Tom Sullivan and Emily Schroeder visited the offices of the Guarantor and reviewed bank and brokerage statements that confirmed $\$ 131$ million in marketable securities, and $\$ 23.5$ million in hedge funds and fixed income held in Mr. Trump's name representing 83\% of the client reported amount. The client reported balance has increased by $\$ 169.4$ million from the prior year due to cash flow from operations and 2 distributions from refinancing CRE debt inciuding an approx. $\$ 70$ million distribution from the refinance of Trump Tower and a $\$ 100$ million distribution from a refinance of debt on 1290 Avenue of the Americas which is owned as a JV with Vornado Realty Trust. (See Cash Flow section for additional details). DB adjusted liquidity represents the amount of liquidity that was verified via statements by Mr. Sullivan and Ms. Schroeder on 10/21/13.

The Guarantor's personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversified ponffolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantor's portfolio of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of membership deposits. In accordance with industry standards, premier golf clubs require new members post a non-interest bearing 30-year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are non-refundable for 30 -years without condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit which is generally contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. As of June 30,2013 the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the 13 clubs owned by the Guarantor was approximately $\$ 213$ million, much of which has been reinvested into the clubs. Given the lack of any conditional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income. None of these deposits have been included in the Guarantors liquidity.
> Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantor's total real estate portfolio, as of $6 / 31 / 13$ which reflects the Guarantor's 4 wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 13 wholly owned club facilities, other major property interests and propetties currently under development. DB adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.

| Property Type | D.JT Valuation | $\begin{gathered} \text { DB } \\ \text { Valuation } \end{gathered}$ | Reported Debt | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { Net Equity } \end{gathered}$ | DB Adjusted Net Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trump Tower - $7255^{\text {n }}$ Ave | \$526.8 | \$480.0 | \$100.0 | \$426.8 | \$380.0 |
| Niketown - East $57{ }^{\text {¹/ }} \mathrm{St}$ | \$287.6 | \$175.0 | \$39.2 | \$248.4 | \$135.8 |
| 40 Wall Street | \$530.7 | \$500.0 | \$160.0 | \$370.7 | \$340.0 |
| Trump Pank Ave | \$346.1 | \$173.0 | \$21.8 | \$324.3 | \$151.2 |
| Subtotal-4 Trophy Properties | \$1,691.2 | \$1,328.0 | \$321.0 | \$1,370.2 | \$1,007.0 |
| Club Facilities | \%1,656.2 | \$828.1 | \$147.5 | \$1,508.7 | \$680.6 |
| Other Property Interest | $\$ 412.3$ | \$168.6 | \$22.5 | \$389.9 | \$146.1 |
| Total-Portfolio | \$3,759.7 | \$2,324.7 | \$491.0 | \$3,268.7 | \$1,833.7 |

> 4 Trophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were discussed with DB Valuation Serviees Group ("DBVSG") who advised on adjustments for each.
o Trump Towers - The 68 story building contains residential and condominiums that are owned by residents along with 178,000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of retail space. As of 6/30/13 the property had associated debt of approx $\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}$. The loan is non-recourse and matures in 2022. A recent appraisal performed in conjunction with the refinance valued the property at $\$ 480 \mathrm{MM}$ resulting in a roughly $21 \%$ LTV.
o Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with respect to 2 long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the building located on $57^{\text {th }}$ street between Madison and $5^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retail Services. The current lease is scheduled to expire in May 2017. The space includes 65,000 square feet of retail space. Based on sq foot assumption DBVSG has indicated an adjusted value of $\$ 175$ million. Financing on the space is in the form of long-temm bonds which are scheduled to fully amortize by June 1, 2017.

- 40 Wall Street - The 72 floor tower consist of 1.3 million in premier office space. Based on a SF assumption DBVSG has indicated an adjusted value of $\$ 500$ million. The existing debt in the amount of $\$ 160$ million, of which the Guarantor currently guarantees $\$ 20$ million, is scheduled to mature in November 2017.
a Trump Park Avenue - The property located on $59^{\text {il }}$ Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space has a reported value based on unsold units and retail rates of $\$ 346.1$ million. The unsold condominium units have been pledged as collateral for the mortgage which, as of $6 / 30 / 13$, had an outstanding balance of 21.84MM and matures 8/1/15. Based on discussions with DBVSG we elected to take an approximate $50 \%$ haircut on the reported value
\% Club Facilities - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 13 private club facilities which include The Mar-A-Lago Club in Palm Beach Fl; Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff Manor N. Y: Trump International Golf Club in Palm Beach County, FI, Trump National Golf Club in LA. Ca; Trump National Golf Club in Bedminster, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Colis Neck, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Washington, DC; Trump International Golf Club in Scotland; Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Valley. NY; Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia PA, Trump National Doral in Miami, Florida (please see previous memo for details), Trump National Golf Club in Chariotte, NC. and Trump National Golf Club in Jupiter. Florida (new in 2013). In accordance with membership requirements members of the clubs are required to make an up-front membership deposit which is in the form of a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit. The deposits are non-refundable without condition prior to 30 -years. Based on the terms of the deposit they are not recorded as a liability on the financial statements of the specific clubs. The Guarantor has obtained tax opinions supporting the accounting of such deposits for tax purposes. Life-to-date the clubs have collected $\$ 213$ million in such deposits. For purposes of our analysis the $\$ 1.66$ billion in reported value has been reduced by $50 \%$ for deriving an adjusted value.
; Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned irterests in The Trump World Tower at United Nations; 100 Central Park South; Trump Plaza NY: Trump International Hotel and Tower, Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East Condominiums and the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford, NY. These properties consist of commercial, retail and hotel space along with condominium units and raw land. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value (with the exception of the Mansion at Seven Springs) we applied a haircut of approximately $21 \%$, which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors 4 Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team. With regards to the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford New York, this property consists of over 200 acres of land a mansion and other buildings. This property is zoned for 9 luxury homes and valued at $\$ 291$ million based on an assessment made by the Guarantor in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow which he would derive as those units are constructed and sold, and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other buildings. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $75 \%$ haircut to this asset to reflect the uncertainty in valuing undeveloped land.

It should be noted that as of June 30,2013 the Guarantor has continued to elected not to include the value of the Trump Chicago International Hotel \& Tower in its financial statements thus we have omitted this asset from our analysis of Mr. Trump's personal financial condition. It should be noted that as of $11 / 9 / 12$, DB provided the Guarantor with a $\$ 98$ million bifurcated commercial mortgage facility on the Trump Intemational Hotel and Condos in Chicago. Since closing, the entire Tranche A Note facility has been repaid in full and the Tranche B Note facility has approx. \$19MM outstanding. As part of the proposed Facility B transaction an updated appraisal was performed by Cushman and Wakefield which indicated value of $\$ 133 \mathrm{MM}$. Based on the proposed loan amount of $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$ (including the current outstanding) would result in a LTV of $51 \%$. In addition, there are 7 remaining condo units currently owned by the Guarantor valued at $\$ 28.2$ million that have not been listed on the financial statement and will be pledged as collateral for Facility $B$. This $+\$ 88$ million in equity value in the hotel and remaining condos, has not been included in the Guarantor's financial analysis above.

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC (\$125MM) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC (\$73MM) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC (\$170MM) - 5/2/14-STRUCTURED

## Partnership and Joint Ventures -

- 1290 Avenue of the Americas, NY and 555 California Street, San Francisco, CA - In May of 2007 Mr. Trump parnered with Vornado Realty Trust in two buildings in NY and San Francisco. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containing approximately 2MM leasable SF housing such tenants as Microsoft, AXA Equitable, and Cushman \& Wakefield. 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office buildings for a total of 1.7 million leasable $\mathbf{S F}$ in addition to a subterranean garage. Current tenants include Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, UBS Financial Services, Citigroup and Wells Fargo. Mr. Trump owns $30 \%$ of these properties. The value of $\$ 745.8$ million is net of debt. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut of this asset.
- Trump International Hotel and Tower - Las Vegas, Nevada - Entities owned by Mr. Trump have formed a JV with Philip Ruffin as equal members, and have built a luxury hotel and condorninium tower near the Las Vegas Strip. The Tower is the tallest hotel condominium tower in Las Vegas with over 1,200 condominium units, a $10,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa, a fitness center, salon, gourmet restaurant, heated pool and valet parking. The estimated current value of $\$ 123.5$ million is after the current mortgage debt of $\$ 112,340,000$. The initial maturity of this facility is $7 / 5 / 15$ with the option to extend for an additional 2 years. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut of this asset.
- Real Estate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous associations with several other parties for purposes of developing properties and other projects. Terms of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance. The estimated current value of $\$ 174,700,000$ was based on situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exit and fees and other compensation which he will earn are reasonably quantifiable. The Guarantor has pledged certain of these fees to secure a $\$ 19.76$ million mortgage on The Trump Tower at United Nations Plaza. Accordingly, the $\$ 19.76$ million has been reflected on Mr. Trump's financial staternent as "Other mortgages and loans payable". For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut of this asset.
> Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Cessna Citation $X$ and 2 Sikorsky helicopters, ownership rights to The Apprentice/Celebrity Apprentice Series and the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 2,000 acre vineyard in Charlottesville Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties, an international talent/model agency and receivables representing amounts earned to date end contract rights with regards to future performances on television. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut on reported value. it should be noted that the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independent valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report, which is attached as Exhibit VI indicates a brand value in the range of $\$ 2.8$ billion to $\$ 3.0$ billion. For purposes of our financial analysis we assumed no value for the Trump brand.
> Contingents - as of $6 / 26 / 13$ DJT's gross contingent obligations were reported to be $\$ 197.2$ million, which included: a) the $\$ 125$ million in support provided under the Doral credit facility with AWM, b) $\$ 30$ million in personal recourse on the existing debt related to the Trump International Hotel in Chicago also with AWM (Update: This debt is currently $\$ 19 \mathrm{MM}$ ); c) $\$ 20$ million on a limited guarantee for the $\$ 160$ million commercial mortgage on 40 Wall Street extended by Capital One; d) $\$ 11$ million on Trump Golf at Ferry Point to the City of New York, e) $\$ 7.3$ million related to 7 Springs Resort and $\$ 3.9$ million in certain other project related completion guarantees. (See IIC - Schedule of Contingent Liabilities). For purposes of adjusting the reported balance we replaced the existing $\$ 19$ million guarantee associated with Trump Chicago and increased it to the requested $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$ in addition to the requested $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$ OPO facility for an adjusted gross contingent liability amount of $\$ 420.5$ million.
> Net Cash Flow - the Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which are generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash for the period 2010-2013.

| Type | DB Adjusted FY 6/30/13 | Client Reported FY $6 / 30 / 13$ | DB Adjusted FY 6/30/12 | Client Reported FY 6/30/12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (11 Mos) } \\ & 11 / 30 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{F Y} \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources of Cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | 29.1 | 29.1 | \$32.1 | \$32.1 | \$30.5 | \$125.0 |
| Entertainment | 19.5 | 19.5 | \$19.8 | \$20.6 | \$23.5 | \$15.3 |
| Clubs | 13.2 | 17.4 | \$11.7 | \$15.1 | \$12.1 | \$8.0 |
| Licensing | 16.1 | 16.1 | \$32.4 | \$32.4 | \$33.4 | \$32.3 |
| Non Op. Revenue | -- | 192.9 | -- | - | \$41.2 | \$50.4 |
| Investment income | 1.7 | 1.7 | \$1.8 | \$1.8 | \$4.2 | \$4.2 |
| Other | 2.2 | 2.2 | $\$ 11.1$ | \$12.0 | \$10.7 | \$10.5 |
| Total Sources | 81.8 | \$278.9 | \$108.9 | \$114.0 | \$155.6 | \$245.7 |
| Uses of Cash |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Development | 66.1 | \$66.1 | \$69.8 | \$69.8 | \$ 94.8 | \$34.3 |
| Retirement of Debt/Debt Service | 30.9 | \$30.9 | - | \$74.7 | \$14.2 | \$25.2 |
| Golf Club/ Aircraft Acquisition | -- | $\$ 21.7$ | - | \$32.9 | - | \$37.0 |
| Income Tax Payable | \$6.5 | \$6.5 | \$22.0 | \$22.0 | \$21.8 | \$2.9 |
| Personal \& Other | \$3.8 | \$3.8 | \$3.7 | 53.7 | \$2.5 | \$1.7 |
| Total Uses | 107.3 | \$129.0 | \$95.5 | 203.1 | \$73.3 | \$101.1 |
| Net Cash Flow | (\$25.5) | \$149.9 | \$13.4 | (589.1) | 482.3 | \$144.6 |

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT
TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC ( $\$ 125 M M$ ) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC ( $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$ ) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC
(\$170MM) - 5/2/14-STRUCTURED
$\Rightarrow$ Real Estate - represents distributions from the portfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outined in this section. It should be noted that 2010 includes $\$ 85$ million in the repayment of certain Notes that were held by the Guarantor.
$>$ Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantor's involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. As this source of cash flow appears to be recurring as long as Mr. Trump is involved with the Apprentice we have chosen to take it at reported value. The Apprentice maintains a one-year rolling contract and just recently began filming the 2014 season.
> Clubs - represents distributions generated through the portfolio of 13 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have assumed the 4 year average between 2010 and 2013.
$>\quad$ Licensing - represents licensing revenue from a large portfolio of licensing agreements both real estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka, Trump Water, Trump Shirts and several other such types of arrangements. For purposes of deniving adjusted annual cash flow we have chosen to leave the reported 2013 figure as is, as it is the lowest of the last 4 years reported.
$>\quad$ Non Operating Revenue - includes tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale, distributions from refinancing and other one-time type items. As noted above, there were two sizable cash distributions to Mr. Trump from refinancing CRE debt including an approx. $\$ 70$ million distribution from the refinance of Trump Tower, and a $\$ 100$ million distribution from a refinance of debt on 1290 Avenue of the Americas which is owned as a JV with Vornado Realty Trust. Due to the nature of this cash flow we excluded for the purposes of calculating recurring cash flow.
> Investment Income - represents interest and investment income on cash and marketable securities. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted revenue we have assumed the 12 months ending 6/30/13.
$>$ Other - primarily related to miscellaneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements. For purposes of deriving adjusted annual cash flow we have chosen to leave the reported 2013 figure as is, as it is the lowest of the last 4 years reported.
$>$ Uses of Cash - primarily utilized for i) acquisition of resorts/golf clubs/aircrafts including purchase of the Cessna Citation $X$ aircraft, the Jupiter, FL golf club (purchase prices not provided), a house in Virginia near the Trump Vineyard and an $\$ 800 \mathrm{~K}$ town home in Westchester NY ii) Property development include advances to the Trump Doral (\$9MM), Trump Scotland (\$9MM), Trump Old Post Office in Washington DC ( $\$ 1 \mathrm{MM}$ ), 40 Wall Street ( $\$ 1 \mathrm{SMM}$ ), Ferry Point Golf Course ( $\$ 1 \mathrm{MM}$ ) and various other smaller projects ( $\$ 30$ million), and iii) advances to various operating properties. For purposes of deriving annual adjusted uses of cash we have assumed client reported amounts with the exception of debt refinancing, distributions from joint ventures and Club Acquisitions.
$>$ Net Excess Cash Flow - the net reported Excess Cash Flow of $\$ 169.3$ million reconciles to the reported increase in the Guarantors reported Cash balance from $\$ 169.7$ million to $\$ 339$ million. The adjusted cash flow omits the proceeds from refinancing and the proceeds used for acquisition of properties and aircraft.
$>$ Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of all of the obligations committed and proposed (the full $\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}$ for Doral, $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$ on Chicago and $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$ OPO $+\$ 10.25 \mathrm{MM}$ Swap Threshold + min transfer amt) by the Guarantor, using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The results indicate that the Guarantor meets all 4 of the unsecured ratios. As noted above, for purposes of adjusted contingents, all of the adjusted contingent liabilities are related to secured debt however the full amount of the exposure is still included in the Guarantor's adjusted financials.


#### Abstract

Property DescriptioniProject Overview - Facility A Facility A - The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 toumament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Goid and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include 86,139 SF of meeting space including a $24,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ballroom, a $50,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa and treatment center, 6 food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport.

The Borrower is in the process of renovating the Main Building, Guest Rooms, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrades of the lobbies, reception areas, meeting spaces, restaurants, retail spaces, spa and corridors. Renovation of the Lodges and Spa Suites include both interior and exterior work including painting, lighting and landscaping. Guest rooms are undergoing a complete renovation including new bathroorn fixtures, furniture, flooring, window treatments, lighting and linens. The Member's Clubhouse has been completely redesigned to maximize and upgrade the space and improve the finishes to support the drive to increase the number of the members and amount of dues. The golf course renovations will be primarily aesthetic with a focus on improvements to the cart paths and landscaping. In addition, the budget includes lengthening and expanding the driving range to make it consistent with the high standard of the courses. The remaining funds are being used to enhance the arrival experience, improve the overall landscaping, renovate the pool and outdoor areas and perform a number of other smaller projects. The Borrower has been completing the renovations in phases as the resort has remained operational throughout the renovation. On a recent trip to the property in December of 2013 , the Banker, Rosemary Vrablic, confirmed that the renovations Main Building including the lobbies, meeting spaces, restaurants, reception space and 256 of the rooms have been completed, in addition to the pool complex. The property just recently hosted the WGC - Cadillac Championship Open in March of this year which required the famed Blue Monster course be demolished and completely rebuit prior to the event. The remaining renovations including the ballroom, 389 guest rooms and the Red/Gold/Silver courses are slated to be completed in 2014 with renovation of the spa suites completed in August of 2015.


Further information regarding the Trump Doral Property and Renovation plan can be found in the initial CAM dated $12 / 20 / 11$.

## Property Performance/Financial Analysis - Facility A - Exhibit VI

Actual \& Projected

|  | 2012 <br> 6 months Actual | 2013 <br> Projected | 2014 <br> Projected | 2015 <br> Projected |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | $48.39 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |
| ADR | $\$ 148.58$ | $\$ 175.0$ | $\$ 208$ | $\$ 250$ |
| RevPar | $\$ 67.43$ | $\$ 108.85$ | $\$ 151,85$ | $\$ 182.5$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 30,025$ | $\$ 82,099$ | $\$ 111,237$ | $\$ 121,993$ |
| Total Operating Expenses | $\$ 37,754$ | $\$ 69,899$ | $\$ 87,295$ | $\$ 93,786$ |
| Net Operating Income | $(\$ 7,729)$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $\$ 23,942$ | $\$ 28,207$ |
| EBITDA | $(\$ 6,553)$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $\$ 26,169$ | $\$ 31,869$ |
| Actual Debt to EBITDA | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 10.25 x | 4.78 x | 3.92 x |

"It should be noted that operating expenses omit any hotel management fees as these would be subordinate to any payments of senior debt.
As aforementioned, the 2013 Borrower's financials are due 4/30/14, and thus the most recent statements provided are for the 12 months ending $12 / 31 / 12$. In addition, during the renovation period, there are no debt service tests as the property is still under renovation and thus the revenue is impaired. During this period Mr. Trump provides $100 \%$ guaranty to the Facility.

As the Borrower purchased the collateral property in June of 2012, we have been provided with financial statements for the 6 months ending $12 / 31 / 12$. The property reportedly produced approx $\$ 30.03$ million in revenue less $\$ 37.75$ million in expenses for a deficit of $\$ 7.72$ million. The deficit can be attributed to the ongoing renovations at the property which has left only a portion of the rooms available for guest use, thus limiting the potential revenue generated. In addition, the goff courses are also being renovated limiting the revenue generated by the golf operation. Since a significant amount of the renovation plan occurred during 2013 revenues are expected to be further impaired for this period. As per the loan docurnents, 2013 financial reporting for the Borrower is due 4/30. It should be noted that prior to the delivery of a new appraisal showing an "as-is" value greater than $\$ 192.3$ million ( $65 \%$ LTV), the Guaranty level remains at $100 \%$.

As the Borrower is expecting to complete the renovations in August of 2015, the first full year of operations should be 2016.

The projections are supported by the Guarantor's plan to improve the revenue generated in four specific areas of concentration; guest rooms, food and beverage, golf and expense management. All of the guest rooms are being updated with new fixtures and furnishings. The Guarantor has been making changes the food and beverage venues to better serve a high end clientele in addition to promoting the wedding and event business. As golf course operations are viewed as a particular strength of the Trump organization, the Guarantor projects a strong growth in the key golf operations.

The final area of concentration (and possibly the most important) is the Guarantor's plans to more effectively control costs without comprising quality. At the forefront of the expense management program is corporate oversight over every budget category. Expenses are controlled through best practices created at other hotels and golf courses, leveraged national account pricing across every department, competitive bidding of goods and services and detailed analysis regarding expenditure decisions.

## Property Description/Project Overview - Facllity B

Facility B - The Property is known as the 92 -story Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago. The entire tower contains $2,637,320$ SF of mixed use components which includes a hotel, spa facility, residential condominiums, a parking garage, retail space, restaurants, convention space, and a health club. The Property was originally developed in the 1950's as a mid-rise office building occupied by the Chicago Sun Times which was purchased by Trump for $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$, closing October of 2004. Subsequently the Sun-Times building was demolished and the Trump international Hotel and Tower was developed at a cost of approximately $\$ 600 \mathrm{MM}$. Occupancy began in January 2008 for the hotel component, and the residential component began delivering units in Fall of 2008 with complation of the entire project in the latter half of 2009.

While the original facility encompassed bath the Residential and Commercial (Hotel) portions of the property, for the purposes of the increase/extension of this facility the Bank will be relying primarily on the Hotel portion of the collateral as all but 7 of the original collateral pool of 106 residences have been sold (please note: the 7 remaining condos are being pledged as additional collateral for the facility but not in the borrowing base). The Trump International Hotel consists of a 339 -room luxury hotel property which includes a bar/restaurant, spa, fitness center, banquet space, parking and ground floor retail. For the purposes of this facility, the collateral consists of 175 developer owned units as well as the commercial components of the hotel including the food and beverage outlets, the meeting/banquet space, parking and the Spa at Trump. The remaining 164 units are privately owned as part of a "condo-tel" agreement where, at the owner's option, the unit is included in the available rental pool for the hotel.

An appraisal was performed by CB Richard Ellis, dated as of $4 / 7 / 14$ which indicated an "As 1 ls " value of $\$ 133$ million (See Exhibit VIIA - Summary of Hotel Component Appraisal Report).

Further information regarding the Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago can be found in the initial CAM dated 10/24/13.
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## Property Performance/Financial Analysis - Facility B

## Hotel Collatera

The hotel property is divided into 3 general sections, the commercial areas including the spa, restaurants, banquet rooms and parking, the 175 sponsor own units (both of these sections compose our collateral) and 164 privately owned third party units (not included as Collateral). According to the management of the subject property, the hotel condominium units are no longer being marketed for sale and it is not expected that any additional units will be sold during the term of the loan.

With regards to the third party units, the private owners have the option to put their unit into the rental pool with the sponsor owned units to be rented to the general public. When one of the third party units is selected from a blind pool, the net income to the owner is the rental revenue on the unit revenue split, less various fees for expenses incurred in the operation of the hotel room including a management fee. As noted in the tables below, the $3^{\text {nc }}$ Party Reimbursables line item represents these expenses that are netted out of the related $3^{\text {mo }}$ Party Revenue Distribution. In addition to any fees related to the rental of their unit, the unit owner is responsible for the related real estate taxes and reserves as well as CAM and utilities. As per the Borrower, the revenue generated by the unit has historically been sufficient to cover these fixed costs as well as those related to the rental of the unit.

## Historical Financial Results

The Borrower has provided Profit and Loss statements from 2010-12/31/13. Please see Exhibit VIIB for further details of expected Property Performance

|  | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/2010 | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/2011 | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/12 | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | 61.7\% | 68.5\% | 69.7\% | 74.5\% |
| Average Daily Rate ("ADR") | \$321.67 | \$347.31 | 3384.47 | \$387.96 |
| Revenue per Available Room ("RevPar') | \$198.46 | \$237.98 | \$257.91 | \$289.01 |
| Total Revenue | \$46,787 | \$55,226 | \$60,931 | \$65,490 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$40,182 | \$45,439 | \$46,562 | \$48,436 |
| Management fee | \$1,847 | \$2,574 | \$2,869 | \$3,033 |
| $3{ }^{\text {ru }}$ Party Revenue Distribution | \$7,068 | \$8,222 | \$16,490 | \$17,793 |
| $3^{\text {ra }}$ Party Reimbursables | $(\$ 3,989)$ | (\$6,030) | (\$11,900) | $(12,606)$ |
| Net Operating Income | \$1,679 | \$5,021 | \$6,910 | \$8,834 |
| Projected Debt Service (P \&1) | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 |
| DSCR (P 8 I) | 0.33 x | 0.99 x | 1.36 x | 1.74x |
| Projected Debi Service (IVO) | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 |
| DSCR (I/O) | $0.47 x$ | 1.40x | 1.92x | 2.45x |

- Occupancy Rate - Since 2010 rates have improved from $61.7 \%$ to current reported year-to-date of $74.5 \%$, which is driven primarily by the continued improvement in the economy and growing popularity of the Property location. Per the appraisal, the stabilized occupancy for this property is $71 \%$.
- ADR/Rev Par - the improvement in Occupancy Rates, has provided the Borrower the opportunity to significantly increase both ADR and RevPar. During the historical period ADR has increased by $17 \%$ and RevPar has increased $31 \%$. Both of these indicators are well above the average ADR of $\$ 311.16$ and RevPar of $\$ 236.68$ for the subject's competitive set of hotels in Chicago. Per the appraisal provided, for full service hotels in the Chicago Metro area, ADR is anticipated to increase $0.4 \%$ in $2014,3.7 \%$ in $2015,2.0 \%$ in 2016 and $1.8 \%$ in 2017 .
- Net Operating Income - during the historical period from 2010-2013 NOI improved by $426 \%$ primarily driven by a significant improvement in operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) from $14.1 \%$ to $26 \%$ which is consistent with the significant improvement in RevPar during the Period.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio of $1.35 x$ on a principal and interest or interest only basis
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## Projected Financial Results

The appraisal has provided the following projections for the 12 months ending April 2015 through April 2025. For the purposes of this analysis, we have included the first 5 years through 2019

|  | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | 73.0\% | 72.0\% | 71.0\% | 71.0\% | 71.0\% |
| ADR | \$409.78 | \$430.27 | \$443.18 | \$456.48 | \$470.17 |
| RevPar | \$299.14 | \$309.80 | \$314.66 | \$324.10 | \$333.82 |
| Total Revenue | \$67,163 | \$69,049 | \$70,226 | \$72,332 | \$74,502 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$49,412 | \$50,658 | \$51,937 | \$53,494 | \$55,099 |
| Management fee | \$2,453 | \$2,495 | \$2,549 | \$2,625 | \$2,704 |
| Reserves for Replacement | \$2,687 | \$2,762 | \$2,809 | \$2,589 | \$2,980 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Party Revenue Distribution | \$9,772 | \$10,120 | \$10,279 | \$10,587 | \$10,905 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Party Reimbursables | (\$7,107) | (\$7,360) | (\$7,475) | (\$7,700) | (\$7,931) |
| Net Operating Income | \$9,946 | \$10,374 | \$10,128 | \$10,432 | \$10,744 |
| Projected Debt Service (P \& ) | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 |
| DSCR (P \& I) | 1.96x | 2.04 x | 1.99x | 2.05 x | 2.11 x |
| Projected Debt Service (IVO) | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 |
| DSCR (I/O) | 2.76x | 2.88x | 2.81x | 2.90 x | 2.99x |

- Occupancy Rate - assumes the economy continues to slowly recover and the Property continues to realize the increasing growth in demand based on growing popularity of location. The 2015 step-down in occupancy reflects impact of opening of new hotel located across the street which is expected to put some pressure on occupancy as management continues to protect ADR and RevPar
- ADR/Rev Par - shows continued growth during the period with ADR increasing by $5.6 \%$ and RevPar increasing by $3.5 \%$ in 2015 from 2013 actual results with a continued increase per annum of approx 21\% in ADR and 15.5\% in RevPar during the forecasted, 5 year, period.
- Net Operating Income - during the forecasted period NOI is projected to grow by approximately $21.6 \%$ over the 5 year period primarily driven by continued improvement in the operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) which is projected to hold steady at a healthy $26 \%$.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Coliateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio of $1.35 x$.


## Sensitivity Analysis

The financial projections presented above provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPar, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating Income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of $1.35 \times$ would be measured through the NOI and Interest Rate.

- NOI - Based on the 2013 FY Actual results, the NOI could decrease by $\$ 1.97$ million ( $22.3 \%$ ) or $\$ 3.97$ million ( $45 \%$ ) before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P\& I basis, respectively.
- Interest Rate - Based on the 2013 FYE Actual results, the interest rate could increase by $4.02 \%$ to an all in rate of $8.95 \%$ or $2.72 \%$ to an all in rate of $7.65 \%$ before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P\&I basis, respectively.


## Condo Collateral

In addition to a first mortgage lien on the hotel portion, the Borrower will provide the Lender a first mortgage lien on the 7 remaining unsold condo units that were part of the original collateral package.

| Unit | SF | Asking Price | Price/SF | Repayment from $92 \%$ <br> of Asking Price | Discount Price <br> eased on Historical | Repayment from <br> 92\% of Discounted <br> Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 A - Penthouse | 14,260 | $\$ 18,500,000$ | $\$ 1,297.34$ | $\$ 17,020,000$ | $\$ 10,000,000$ | $\$ 9,200,000$ |
| $81 C$ | 713 | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 701.26$ | $\$ 460,000$ | $\$ 45,000$ | $\$ 409,400$ |
| $77 G$ | 1,314 | $\$ 800,000$ | $\$ 608.83$ | $\$ 736,000$ | $\$ 650,000$ | $\$ 598,000$ |
| 520 | 2,022 | $\$ 1,575,000$ | $\$ 778.93$ | $\$ 1,449,000$ | $\$ 1,000,000$ | $\$ 920,000$ |
| 38 A | 3,437 | $\$ 2,825,000$ | $\$ 821.94$ | $\$ 2,599,000$ | $\$ 2,500,000$ | $\$ 2,300,000$ |


| $34 J$ | 2,076 | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 963.39$ |  | $\$ 1,840,000$ | $\$ 1,500,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34 K | 2,063 | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $\$ 969.46$ | $\$ 1,840,000$ | $\$ 1,500,000$ | $\$ 1,380,000$ |
| Total | 25,885 | $\$ 28,200,000$ |  |  | $\$ 1,380,000$ |  |

Until the Facility has been paid down to a principal balance of no more than $\$ 45$ million, the Borrower must use $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{2} \%$ of the proceeds of any sale of the remaining condominium units to pay down the principal balance of the Facility.

As noted in the chart above, the Borrower has provided the Lender with a list of the remaining condos and their current asking prices. Based on these prices, the total potential proceeds based on the asking prices is $\$ 25,944,000$. After comparing the asking prices to historical sales of similar units, we have adjusted the asking prices to more closely reflect the actual sales. Based on these adjustments, the total proceeds generated by the sales are projected to be approximately $\$ 16$ million. It should be noted that until balance of the facility is below $\$ 45$ million, the debt service of the facility will require both principal payments from sales proceeds, and principal and interest payments based on a 25 year amortization schedule.

## Property Description/Project Overview - Facility C

The iconic and historic Dld Post Office in Washington, DC operied in 1899 as the Headquarters of the US Postal Service. Its grand architecture was designed to announce Pennsylvania Avenue's arrival as America's Main Street. The $441,000 \mathrm{SF}$ building is the tallest building in DC and home to the historic Congress bells and observation tower, it is a landmark well known to both DC residences and tourists alike.

The Trump Organization (Trump) was selected by the US General Services Administration (GSA), to renovate Washington DC's historic Old Post Office Building (OPO) located on Pennsylvania Avenue and $12^{\text {th }}$ Street just southeast of the White House. The approved reriovation plan includes converting the historic building into a $250-270$ room full service, luxury hotel with ground floor retail space. Once completed the property will include high end restaurants, spa/fitness facility, a museum and the largest ball room in Washington DC (13,000 SF).

The Borrower has executed a 62+ year ground lease with 2 additional 20 -year options with the GSA for the OPO, this process included a year-long concept review through the Section 106 process with all relevant stakeholders, including the Commission of Fine Arts, National Capital Planning Commission, DC State historic Preservation Office and General Services Administration.

Per the Borrower the full renovation budget is $+\$ 215 \mathrm{MM}$ with the Borrower's $20 \%$ being deployed prior to the Lenders funds (the "Defined Equity Amount"). The Lender will receive a finalized budget confirming these projections prior to closing. The Borrower expects to take possession of the property at the end of May with the renovations completed within 2 years.

Highlights from the Renovation Plan ane as follows:
Accommodations - The Hotel's guest rooms and suites will be nearly $20 \%$ larger than the average rooms offered by the Hotel's competitive set (Four Seasons, The Ritz-Carlton Georgetown, The Ritz-Carlton DC, Mandarin Oriental and the Hay-Adams), a major point of differentiation with transient business and leisure guests. The Borrower believes the differentiated product will fill a void in the marketplace for premium rooms and attract embassy and delegation stays at premium nightly rates.

Meeting \& Banquet Facilities - With more than 39,100 SF of event space on two dedicated levels including a 13,000 SF Grand Ballioom and several meeting/function rooms, the Hotel will have DCs largest luxury meeting space and largest Grand Bailroom in the aforementioned competitive set. Combined with the Hotel's well-appointed amenities, prime location and personalized services, the Borrower feels the OPO will be well positioned to capture the top events in Washington, DC.

Retail - Each year millions of people visit the area surrounding the OPO including 236,000 annual visitors to the Clock Tower located inside the OPO. Nearby attractions include the White House, the National Mall, the DC Convention Center and the OPO, all of which generate significant foot traffic and spending in Downtown DC. The Borrower feels that the Hotel's central location within a high concentration of wealth, premium office buildings and cultural institutions will attract the best retailers.

Food \& Beverage - The Hotel will feature four food and beverage outiets, including a grand bar, as well as in-room dining. The Borrower is in the process of speaking to a number of well known chefs about the dining opportunities for the Hotel.

The Spa at Trump - The Hotel will feature a 5,000 SF signature Spa at Trump offering an array of spa offerings including massages, facials, relaxation programs and beauty treatments. Founded in 2008, the Spa at Trump has been ranked by SpaFinder as the "2011 Readers' Choice Award: Top Spa Brand",

Parking - The Hotel will provide $\sim 120$ spaces on one level of underground parking, accessible by valet. Parking in that area is scarce and hotels command a premium over neighborhood garages. Hotels near the OPO with less luxurious accommodations are able to charge $\$ 47 / \mathrm{day}$.

## Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment

A Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment was performed by IVI on February 3, 2014 and has been reviewed and accepted by DB. The report shows no material issues or concerns warranting additional assessment and no further actions are necessary.

## Location and Market Overview - Facility C

The subject property is located in Washington, D.C. between the White House and the U.S. Capitol Building on Pennsylvania Avenue. Washington, D.C. is a cosmopolitan city rich in monuments, museums and culture. As the nation's hub of political affairs, the city is the center of governmental action and policy. From Capitol Hill to Embassy Row, the National Mall and historic Georgetown, Washington, D.C. also boasts a diverse concentration of national and intemational organizations and associations. Furthermore, a number of major universities, educational agencies, and museums - including Georgetown University, George Washington University, Howard University, Catholic University, American University and the Smithsonian Institution are located within the District of Columbia.

The federal government and all of the public and private institutions which support it, form the biggest industry sector in the Washington, D.C. region. While the govemment sector accounts for approximately $31 \%$ of total employment ( 2012 statistics), the government serves as the catalyst for virtually all of the economic activity in the greater Washington area including the operations of hundreds of private sector firms, associations, trade unions, law firms, lobbying organizations, defense companies, political groups and international organizations. The prevalence of the government, educational and healthcare sectors helps to somewhat shelter this region from any major economic interruptions; thus, the outlook for the market area remains fairly optimistic.

Convention centers often serve as a gauge of visitation trends to a particular market as they generate significant levels of demand for area hotels and serve as a focal point for community activity. Typically hotels within the closest proximity to a convention center - up to 3 miles away will benefit the most. In April 2003 the Walter E. Washington Convention Center was opened at Mt. Vernon Square with approximately 2.3 million feet of space. The subject property is located approximately 0.7 miles from the convention center, making it well positioned to benefit from any activities held at the center.

## National Hotel Market

In the lodging industry, supply is measured by the number of guestrooms available, demand is measured by the number of rooms occupied; the net effect of supply and demand towards equilibrium results in a prevailing price or average rate. RevPAR or (Revenue per Available Room) is another statistic used to measure hotel performance which is caiculated by multiplying occupancy by average rate and provides an indication of how well room revenue is being maximized. Following the significant occupancy and RevPAR decline experienced during the last recession, demand growth resumed in 2010. Strong demand growth continued in 2011 and 2012, at $5.0 \%$ and $3.0 \%$ respectively. Demand increased $2.2 \%$ through September 2013 period. Average daily rates (ADR) rebounded by $3.7 \%$ in 2011 and $4.2 \%$ in 2012, followed by a $4.0 \%$ increase year-to-date through September 2013 period. In 2012 occupancy reached $61.4 \%$ (exceeding the ten-year average) indicating demand and average rate should continue to strengthen in the near term. These trends, combined with the low levels of supply growth anticipated through 2014, should boost occupancy to just over $63 \%$ by year-end 2014. HVS (Hotel Valuation Services, a leading hotel consulting and valuation firm) forecasts U.S. average rate growth of $4.5 \%$ for 2013 and $5.0 \%$ for 2014.

Washington DC Hotel Market - Luxury/Upper Scale

Once completed, the OPO's primary competitive set will include (in order, by proximity) Intercontinental (335 rooms; opened in 1904), Hay Adams ( 145 rooms; opened in 1928), St. Regis (182 rooms; opened in1926), Mandarin Oriental ( 397 rooms; opened in 2004), Ritz-Cariton Washington ( 300 rooms; opened 2000), Four-Seasons (222 rooms; opened 1980) and the Ritz-Carlton Georgetown ( 86 rooms; opened in 2003) for a total inventory of 1,667 rooms. High barriers to entry including the high level of capital required, limited site and brand availability have resulted in a somewhat small hotel room pool for the size of the Washington DC Metro area.

Between 2001 and 2012, the competitive set's occupancy level ranged from $63 \%$ to $70 \%$, with an average of $67 \%$, and was paced to finish 2013 with an occupancy rate of approximately $72 \%$. HVS noted that while the 2013 performance could be skewed slightly due to the Presidential Inauguration in January of 2013 , demand levels are likely to increase due a recovery in the group meeting segment and general improvement in the economy. Risks to this projected increase include continued uncertainty as to government spending levels and risks associated with new hotel inventory including a 1,175 room Marriott Marquis that is slated for opening in May 2014 adjacent to the Walter E. Washington Convention Center. While this hotel will not be finished to a luxury or up-scale standard as the Subject, it's location to the convention center could pose it as a threat to the OPO's competitive set.
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| Financial Analysis Property Performance - Facillity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2016 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Occupancy Rate | 57\% | 68\% | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% |
| ADR | \$605.00 | \$655.00 | 5710.00 | \$738.40 | \$764.77 |
| RevPar | \$344.85 | 5445.40 | \$511.20 | \$531.65 | \$550.40 |
| Total Revenue | \$54,304 | \$86,992 | \$102,283 | \$107,874 | \$111,683 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$42,815 | \$62,939 | \$68,607 | \$71,805 | \$73,969 |
| Net Operating Income | \$11,489 | \$24,053 | \$33,676 | \$36,069 | \$37,714 |
| Ground Lease | \$2,397 | \$3,264 | \$3,346 | \$3,430 | 3,515 |
| EBITDA | \$9,092 | \$20,789 | \$30,330 | \$32,639 | \$34,199 |
| Actual Debt to EBITDA | 18.7x | 8.18x | 5.61x | 5.21 x | 4.97 x |
| Reserve | \$0 | \$696 | \$1,829 | \$2,985 | \$3,350 |
| Cash Flow available for Debt Service | N/A | \$20,093 | \$28,501 | 529,654 | \$30,849 |
| Projected Debt Service (F\&I, based on the 10 -year swap + 200 bps ) $4.93 \%$ all in | N/A | \$11,843 | \$11,843 | \$11,843 | \$11,843 |
| DSCR | N/A | 1.70x | 2.41x | 2.50x | 2.60x |
| Projected Debt Service ( $/ / \mathbf{/ O}$, based on the 10year swap +200 bps ) $4.93 \%$ all in | N/A | \$8,381 | \$8,381 | \$8,381 | \$8,381 |
| DSCR | N/A | 2.40x | 3.40x | 3.54x | 3.68x |

* As the Borrower is leasing the property from the U.S. Government, there is no traditional Property Tax assessed. Rather there is a Possessory Interest Tax that is assessed that is a percentage of net income. Prior to operations this tax is determined as a percentage of the value of the leasehold. For the above NOI this tax has been included in the Total Operating expenses line

The Borrower has provided projections for the first 4.5 years of operations. As the Borrower has projected a 2 year construction phase beginning June of this year, the first full year of operations is projected to be in 2017. The interest rate during the Renovation Period is LIBOR $+2.0 \%$, and drops to LIBOR $+\mathbf{1 . 7 5 \%}$ when the Renovation Period is completed through the term of the facility. Debt Service is interest only during the Renovation Period and remains that way as long as the value determined by the appraisal provided by the Borrower at the end of the Renovation Period results in a Loan to Value of $75 \%$ or less. If the Loan to Value is between $75 \%-85 \%$, the loan will require principal payments based on a 25 year amortization schedule until such time as the loan is paid down to a $75 \%$ LTV. If the appraised value of the property results in a LTV of greater than $85 \%$ the Borrower must pay down the principal of loan to a balance that results in a $85 \%$ LTV or less within 10 days of notice from the Lender. Due to the various scenarios possible at the end of the Renovation Period, we have shown both the principal and interest, and interest-only debt service tests based on the 10 year-swap rate of $2.93 \%$ + the max loan spread of $2.0 \%$ for an all in of $4.93 \%$. Based on the Borrower's projections, the property should produce cash flow sufficient to service the debt beginning in the first year of operations and onward.

## Sensitivity analysis

The financial projections presented provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPAR, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating Income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of $1.15 x$ would be measured through the NOI and interest rate.

- NOI - Based on the projected first year of operations in 2017, the NOI could be overstated by $\$ 10.45$ million ( $52 \%$ ) or $\$ 5.47$ million ( $32 \%$ ) before the DSCR covenant would be breached on an interest only and P\& I basis, respectively
- Interest Rate - Based on the projected first year of operations in 2017, the interest rate could increase by $5.37 \%$ to an all in rate of $10.30 \%$ or $4.27 \%$ to an all in rate of $9.20 \%$ before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P 81 basis, respectively.

As noted previously, the Property's competitive set includes the Intercontinental, Hay Adams, St. Regis, Mandarin Oriental, Ritz-Carton Washington, Four-Seasons and the Ritz-Carton Georgetown. As the property is under development there are no historical financial statements available for the Borrower. We have been provided with historical operating performance for the competitive set from DB Valuation Services.

|  | Estimated 2011 |  |  | Estimated 2012 |  |  | Projected 2013 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Property | Occ. $\%$ | ADR | RevPAR | Occ. $\%$ | ADR | RevPAR | Occ. \% | ADR | RevPAR |
| Four Seasons | $71 \%$ | $\$ 530$ | $\$ 379$ | $70 \%$ | $\$ 528$ | $\$ 368$ | $72 \%$ | $\$ 575$ | $\$ 414$ |
| Hay Adams | $60 \%$ | $\$ 480$ | $\$ 288$ | $68 \%$ | $\$ 443$ | $\$ 301$ | $72 \%$ | $\$ 485$ | $\$ 350$ |
| Mandarin Oriental | $64 \%$ | $\$ 288$ | $\$ 184$ | $62 \%$ | $\$ 284$ | $\$ 176$ | $70 \%$ | $\$ 330$ | $\$ 231$ |
| Ritz-Carfon Georgetown | $73 \%$ | $\$ 431$ | $\$ 315$ | $74 \%$ | $\$ 433$ | $\$ 320$ | $73 \%$ | $\$ 420$ | $\$ 307$ |
| Ritz Carlton Washington | $74 \%$ | $\$ 338$ | $\$ 250$ | $72 \%$ | $\$ 337$ | $\$ 243$ | $71 \%$ | $\$ 368$ | $\$ 261$ |
| The St. Regis | $69 \%$ | $\$ 378$ | $\$ 261$ | $67 \%$ | $\$ 346$ | $\$ 232$ | $76 \%$ | $\$ 333$ | $\$ 253$ |
| InterContinental | $74 \%$ | $\$ 353$ | $\$ 261$ | $74 \%$ | $\$ 339$ | $\$ 251$ | $75 \%$ | $\$ 382$ | $\$ 287$ |
| Average | $69 \%$ | $\$ 400$ | $\$ 277$ | $70 \%$ | $\$ 387$ | $\$ 270$ | $73 \%$ | $\$ 413$ | $\$ 300$ |

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT
TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC ( $\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}$ ) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC ( $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$ ) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC (\$170MM) - 5/2/14-STRUCTURED

As illustrated in the competitive set vs. the Borrowers projections, the closest comparable property is the Four Seasons which reported a projected 2013 ADR/RevPAR 12\%/7\%, below the Borrower's first year projections. As noted above, the projections could be overstated by $52 \%$ and continue to sufficiently service the requested debt. In addition, the Borrower believes this property will outperform all of its competition as a result of having a superior product including larger rooms, better amenities and facilities including the largest ballroom in Washington DC and their successful history as an operator in the luxury hotel space.

US ONLY:

## Additional Information

## 1. Section 23 Attestation

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, including an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the application of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department, which contains a listing of entities, which have been determined to be affiliates ("Affiliates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affiliate List")

- The entity which is entering into the transaction with DETCA (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank on the Affiliate List maintained by the Compliance Department
- The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the benefit of a named Affiliate; except for transactions that are not covered transactions
- The collateral on which we rely for S 23 purposes is not a liability of an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank and so a covered transaction will not be produced by this loan.


## NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELD

## Attachments:

I. Risk Ratings
II. RWAROE
III. Covenant Transmittal
IV. Financial Spreads
V. Guarantor PFS
VI. Trump Endeavor 2012 Financial Statements
VII. 401 North Wabash
a) Summary of Terms for Increase/Extension
b) Property Financial Statements
c) Summary of 4/21/14 Appraisal

Vili. Trump Old Post Office LLC
a) Executed Term Sheet
b) Offering Memorandum
c) Updated Redevelopment Investment Plan



Risk Rating－Counterparty Probability of Default


This risk rating sheet should be completed for Borrowers which are individuals，private investment companies and other non－operating investment vehicles．

## Private Wealth Management

Deutsche Bank

Risk Rating - Collateral Ratings

| Criteria for collateral ratings |  | Deal Type | Commercial Real Estate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate The Following Categories: 0 - Good 1 -Satisfactory 2 - Still Acceptable 3-Poor (or No information) |  |  |  |  |
| C-Evaluation of collateral | C. 1 | Asset value / Quality | 1 | 17 |
|  | C. 2 | Volatility | 1 | 6 |
|  | C. 3 | Liquidity | 2 | 9 |
|  | C. 4 | Cash flows from the assets | 1 | 4 |
|  | C. 5 | Event risk | 1 | 4 |
|  | C. 6 | Haircut | 3 | 19 |
|  | C. 7 | Monitoring | 2 | 13 |
|  | C. 8 | Marketability / saleability | 1 | 4 |


| Market Value of Collateral | $125,000,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Advance Rate | $85 \%$ |
| Lending Value of Collateral | $106,250,000$ |
| Collateral Rating | 136 |
| Commercial Real Estate Guidance Range | BB |

Structured Credit Risk Rating Tool
Private Wealth Management
Deutsche Bank


Structured Credit Risk Rating Tool
Private Weaith Management
Doutsche Eank

Risk Rating - Counterparty Probability of Default


This risk rating sheet should be completed for Borrowers which are individuals, private investment companies and other non-operating investment vehicles.

Structured Credit Risk Rating Tool
Private Wealth Management
Deutsche Bank

Risk Rating - Collateral Ratings

| Criteria for collateral ratings |  | Deal Type | Commercial Real Estate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate The Following Categories: 0 - Good 1 -Satisfactory 2 -Still Acceptable 3-Poor (or No information) |  |  |  |  |
| C - Evaluation of collateral | C. 1 | Asset value / Quality | 1 | 17 |
|  | C. 2 | Volatility | 1 | 6 |
|  | C. 3 | Liquidity | 1 | 9 |
|  | C. 4 | Cash flows from the assets | 0 | 4 |
|  | C. 5 | Event risk | 1 | 4 |
|  | C. 6 | Haircut | 0 | 19 |
|  | C. 7 | Monitoring | 1 | 13 |
|  | C. 8 | Marketability / saleability | 1 | 4 |


| Market Value of Collateral | $133,000,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Advance Rate | $55 \%$ |
| Lending Value of Collateral | $73,150,000$ |
| Collateral Rating | 53 |
| Commercial Real Estate Guidance Range | BB - AA- |



Risk Rating - Counterparty Probability of Default

| Criteria mor CPD |  | Make Croice | Privente |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate The Following Categories: 0-6cod 1-Satisfactory 2 - Still Acceplable 3-Poof (or No information) |  |  |  |  |
| A - Ousnumbtivo aseexemment | A. 1 | Concentration / Diversification | 2 | 13 |
|  | A. 2 | Financiald strength / Liquidity | 0 | 10 |
|  | A. 3 | Leverage / Capital structure | 0 | 6 |
|  | A. 4 | Future financial stability | 0 | 6 |
| B-Cualitative assessment | Q. 1 | Trustorthiness / Qualification | 1 | 9 |
|  | D. 2 | Strategic alignment in weath management | 1 | 12 |
|  | B. 3 | Transparency/ Conduct of relationship | 1 | 5 |
|  | B. 4 | Familly sftuation | 1 | 15 |

This risk rating sheet should be completed for Borrowers which are individuals, private investment companies and other non-operating investment vehicles.

Risk Rating - Collateral Ratings

| Criteria ror collateral ratings |  | Deal Type | Commarcial Real Estate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Refe The Following Categories: 0-Good 1-Satisfactory 2-Still Acceptable 3-Poor (or No information) |  |  |  |  |
| C - Evaluation of collateral | C. 1 | Asset value / Quality | - 1 | 17 |
|  | C. 2 | Volatility | 2 | 6 |
|  | C. 3 | Liquidity | 1 | 9 |
|  | C. 4 | Cash nows from the sssets | 3 | 4 |
|  | C. 5 | Event risk | 2 | 4 |
|  | C. 6 | Haircut | 3 | 19 |
|  | C. 7 | Monitoring | 1 | 13 |
|  | C. 8 | Marketability / saleability | 2 | 4 |


| Bearket Value of Collateral | 212.000 .000 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Advance Rate | $80 \%$ |
| Lending Value of Collateral | 169.600 .000 |
| Collateral Rating | 135 |
| Commercial Real Estate Guidance Range | BB |

Structured Credit Risk Rating Tool
Private Wealth Management
Doutsche Bank

Struchured Credif Risk Rating Too Private Wealth Management
Deutsche Bank

Recourse Structure
Relationship of OU's postion to other Cieditors
TenotiAmorrization of Facilily
Secuifty Structure
Gorrower Dect Capacty I Cabto Fich
Documentation
Jufimalction
Othe: Strucural lssues ate be explained)



5/31/2015

| Version 3.5 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Preparer: | Schroeder |
| CRM: | Hamigan |
| Date: |  |



Risk Rating－Counterparty Probability of Default


This risk rating sheet should be completed for Borrowers which are individuals，private investment companies and other non－operating investment vehicles．

Structured Credit Risk Rating Tool
Private Wealth Management
Deutsche Bank

Risk Rating - Collateral Ratings

| Criteria for collateral ratings |  | Deal Type | Emerging Markets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rate The Following Categories: 0-Good 1-Satisfactory 2-Still Acceptable 3-Poor (or No information) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C. 2 | Volatility |  | 8 |
|  | C. 3 | Liquidity |  | 8 |
|  | C. 4 | Cash flows from the assets |  | 13 |
|  | C. 5 | Event risk |  | 8 |
|  | C. 6 | Haircut |  | 4 |
|  | C. 7 | Monitoring |  | 6 |
|  | C. 8 | Marketability / saleability |  | 19 |


| Market Value of Collateral |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Advance Rate |  |
| Lending Value of Collateral |  |
| Collateral Rating $\quad$ Pls assess all criteria | 0 |
| Emerging Markets Guidance Range | 0 |

Structured Credit Risk Rating Tool
Private Wealth Management
Deutsche Bank

## Credit Report - Structured

Bundesbank No\#:
Required approval level:


| Beneficial Owner: | Donald J. Trump |
| :--- | :--- |
| Org ID: | 1045091 |
| Attorney: | Loeb \& Loeb |
| Rel. Manager: | Vrablic/Scalzi |
|  |  |
| Lender: Williams/Frank <br> Backup: Fowler <br> Service Officer: Ross <br> Loan Product Type: Other Secured  <br>   |  |


| Reason for Presentation: | A) Annual Review of Trump Endeavor 12, LLC loan facility. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | B) Annual Review of 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC loan facility. |
|  | C) Annual Review of TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC |


| Exchange Rate: | $N / A$ |  | Previous: <br> CPD: iA FPD: dBBB <br> CPD: iA FPD: iA. <br> CPD: iA FPD: iA <br> CPD: iA FPD: dA- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | See attached Risk Rating for rationale for risk rating change (if applicable) |  |
| Estimated RWA: | Loan Aa: \$5,426,164 <br> Loan Ab: \$3,267,745 <br> Loan B: \$2,303,561 <br> Loan C: $\$ 8,702,338$ | Estimated ROE / ROA Calculation: <br> Loan Aa: $75.21 \%$ Loan Ab: 72.68\% <br> Loan B: $112.00 \%$ <br> Loan C: $96.81 \%$ |  |


| Currency: US \$ in million | New Limit | Usage | Previous Limit | Years | New Limit | Usage | Previous Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan A - Tranche A | \$106.0 | \$106.0 | \$106.0 | < $=1$ |  |  |  |
| Loan A - Tranche B (See Note Below) | \$19.0 | \$19.0 | \$19.0 | $>1$ and <=5 | Ab) $\$ 19$ | Ab) \$19 | Ab) $\$ 19$ |
| Loan B | \$45.0 | \$45.0 | \$73.0 | $>5$ and $<=7$ |  |  |  |
| Loan C | \$170.0 | \$6.0 | \$170.0 | >7 and <=10 | Aa) $\$ 106$ <br> B) $\$ 45$ <br> C) $\$ 170$ <br> Swap <br> C) $\$ 10.25$ | Aa) $\$ 106$ <br> B) $\$ 45$ <br> C) $\$ 0$ <br> Swap C) \$0 | Aa) $\$ 106$ <br> B) $\$ 73$ <br> C) $\$ 0$ <br> Swap C) \$0 |
| Loan C Swap Threshold Amt | \$10.25 | \$0.0 | \$10.25 | $>10$ |  |  |  |
| Total Exposure | \$350.25 | \$176.0 | \$378.25 | Other liabilities or comments: |  |  |  |


| Collateral | Market Value | Loan to Value | Loan Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A) Doral Golf Resort and Spa located in Miami, Florida (See Note Below) | \$125MM | 85\% | \$106MM |
| A) Doral Golf Resort - Unsecured |  |  | \$19MM |
| B) Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago | \$133MM | 34\% | \$45MM |
| C) Old Post Office, Washington, DC | \$212.5MM <br> (Budgeted Renovation Cost) | 80\% | \$170MM |

## Collateral

A) Trump National Doral Golf Club - The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include $86,139 \mathrm{SF}$ of meeting space including a $24,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ballroom, a $50,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa with guest rooms, 6 food venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse.
NOTE: An appraisal is currently in process on this property and a Modification will be completed once an updated value is finalized. Should the collateral value be deemed $\$ 147 \mathrm{MM}$ or greater, the Unsecured Tranche B will be rolled into the Tranche A secured Facility for a final secured loan amount of $\$ 125 M M$ and an overall LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. Should this occur, Tranche B will no longer be deemed Unsecured.

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT
TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC (\$125MM) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC (\$45MM) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC (\$170MM) - July 2015 - STRUCTURED

## Credit Report - Structured

B) Trump International Hotel Chicago - The Collateral Property consists of a full service hotel, including 339 condo-hotel rooms, of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrower Units"), which shall be included as Collateral in addition to 38,000 SF of banquet space, a 23,000 SF spa, and a 285 space public parking garage. The 164 rooms that are owned by third parties ("Third Party Units) and the 7 previously held condos have all been sold and removed from the collateral pool. The proceeds from these sales were used to pay down the principal balance of the Facility.
C) Old Post Office Building and Annex - The Collateral Property after renovations will consist of a full service hotel, including 250-270 hotel rooms, approximately $65,000-75,000$ sf of meeting, banquet, food and beverage, retail, spa and fitness facilities, telecommunications facilities and an underground parking garage with approximately 100 parking spaces.

| Assets Under Management: | Donald J. Trump -- $\$ 31.295 \mathrm{MM}$ cash deposits; <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> Assonald J. Trump Jr $-\$ 500 \mathrm{M}$ cash deposits; |
| :--- | :--- |




## Credit Report - Structured

| Loan A - Trump Endeavour 12, LLC |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type/Facility Amount | $\$ 125,000,000$, as evidenced by (i) a Secured Tranche A Note in the principal amount of $\$ 106,000,000$ ("Secured Tranche A Note"), and (ii) an Unsecured Tranche B Note in the principal amount of $\$ 19,000,000$ ("Unsecured Tranche B Note"). |
| Purpose: | Acquisition of the collateral property. |
| Maturity: | Tranche A: 8/11/2023. <br> Tranche B: $8 / 11 / 15$; provided, however, that in the event that there exists no event of default that shall have occurred and be continuing, if Borrower so requests and Borrower delivers to Lender an Appraisal, at Borrower's sole cost and expense, evidencing a LTV equal to or less than $85 \%$ as calculated based upon the indebtedness evidenced by both the Secured Tranche A Note and the Unsecured Tranche B Note (subject, in any event, to the Dispute Mechanism), the Unsecured Tranche B Note shall be extended to meet the term of Tranche A (i.e. $8 / 11 / 23$ ) and the first mortgage lien on the Property shall be deemed to cover such increase in exposure to the property. <br> NOTE: An appraisal is currently in process on this property and a Modification will be completed once an updated value is finalized. Should the collateral value be deemed \$147MM or greater, the Unsecured Tranche B will be rolled into the Tranche A secured Facility for a final secured loan amount of $\$ 125 M M$ and an overall LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. Should this occur, Tranche B will no longer be deemed Unsecured. |
| Financial Documentation Covenant | Borrower to supply 2014 year end financials by june 30, 2015. Request to waive this covenant and extend the deadline to coincide with receipt of the updated appraisal (approximately August 1, 2015) |
| Repayment: | Interest only for the term of both facilities. <br> The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract. |
| Interest Rate: | $\mathrm{L}+1.75 \%$ or Prime minus $0.75 \%$, with step-up to $\mathrm{L}+2.00 \%$ or Prime minus $0.50 \%$, if Guaranty Level falls below $10 \%$. |
| LIBOR Tenors | Borrower may elect interest periods of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months |
| Fees: | 1.00\% of Facility Amount has been paid. <br> A remaining fee of $\$ 144 \mathrm{M}$ will be due and payable concurrently with Tranche B becoming secured and its maturity extended to match that of Tranche $A$. |
| Guaranty Type | Max LTV shall determine the corresponding Guaranty Level as defined below. <br> *See Previous Credit memo for Trump Endeavor 12, LLC. dated 7/24/13 for further detail. |


| Loan B - 401 North Wabash Venture, LLC |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Type/Facility Amount | $\$ 45,000,000$ |
| Purpose: | Original proceeds were used to refinance the existing construct to perm facility. Subsequent proceeds were used <br> to provide working capital for business purposes. |
| Maturity: | A first mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the commercial component ("Hotel Collateral") of the |
| Collateral Property | property consisting of, but not limited to, (a) a full service hotel, including 339 condo-hotel rooms, of which 175 <br> rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrowe Units"), which shall be included as Collateral and 164 rooms that are <br> owned by third parties ("Third Party Units") and which shall not be included as Collateral, (b) approximately <br> 38,000 SF of banquet space, (c) a 23,000 SF spa, and (d) a 285 space public parking garage, including the <br> Borrower's fee simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all <br> other related assets. |
| Maximum Advance Rate: | Shall not exceed $60 \%$ of the "as is" appraised value of the Hotel Collateral |

## Credit Report - Structured

| Mandatory Repayment: | As the principal amount of the Facility is now $\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}$, no further on-going principal payments are required. <br> Prior to the Facility reaching the $\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}$ outstanding threshold, principal payments were due quarterly based on a 30 year amortization schedule. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate: | L+2.00\% |
| LIBOR Tenors | Borrower may elect interest periods of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months |
| Fees: | 0.75\% of the Original Facility Amount was paid at closing |
| DSC Covenant | Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio equal to or in excess of 1.35 to 1.00 as determined and tested by Lender based upon a trailing twelve (12) month basis. |
| Guaranty: | NA - Donald Trump's personal guarantee has been eliminated due to the fact that the Facility LTV is below the $35 \%$ threshold. Based on the latest appraisal completed, the Hotel collateral was valued at $\$ 133 \mathrm{MM}$ which results in a $34 \%$ LTV. |
|  | Aprraital 4/2/14 |
|  | $\$ 133 \mathrm{Mn}$. $85 \%-66 \%$ $100 \%$ |
|  | 65\%-56\% $40 \%$ |
|  | 55\%-46\% $\quad 20 \%$ |
|  | 45\%-36\% $10 \%$ |
|  | $35 \%$ and <br> below $0 \%$ |
|  | The LTV Range shall be calculated based on the most recent appraisal received in accordance with the existing terms and conditions under the transaction documents. |


| Loan C - Trump Old Post Office |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Facility Amount | The lesser of i) \$170,000,000 and ii) $80 \%$ of the Redevelopment Investment Plan. <br> > Redevelopment Investment Plan - shall represent a budget to complete the Project consisting of hard costs, soft costs (including, without limitation, interest), and operating shortfalls and consisting of: (i) at least 20\% of the Redevelopment Investment Plan as of the Closing Date (the "Defined Equity Amount") to be invested directly by the Guarantor, and (ii) any remaining amounts, in an amount not to exceed \$170MM, expected to be provided within the Facility Amount. |
| Facility Type: | Multiple-draw construction loan facility with (i) interest only payable during the Redevelopment Period, and (ii) during the Post Redevelopment Period either: (a) interest only at any time the loan-to-value (the "LTV") is no greater than $75 \%$, and (b) principal payments, based on a 25 -year amortization schedule at any time the LTV is greater than $75 \%$. <br> $>$ Redevelopment Period - the expiration of the Redevelopment Period will be 4 -years from the Closing Date; provided, however, that Borrower, in its sole discretion, may trigger the Post Redevelopment Period earlier upon Borrower's delivery to Lender of (a) one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalent for the Major Components, and (b) an appraisal of the Property (the "Initial Appraisal"), which shall be prepared by an appraiser selected by Lender, indicating an LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. <br> > Post Redevelopment Period - the period from the end of the Redevelopment period to the Facility maturity date. <br> Until such time as the Initial Appraisal is reviewed and accepted by DB, this facility is considered "Other Secured" for collateral reporting purposes. |
| Purpose: | Borrower intends to convert the Property from its existing use as an office building with retail to a 250-270 room luxury hotel (Please see project description below). |
| Property | The Old Post Office Building and Annex located at 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington DC 20004. |
| Maturity: | 8/11/2024 |
| Collateral: | The Facility will be secured by (i) a first mortgage lien on Borrower's leasehold interest in(x) the Property and (y) all improvements thereto, (ii) security interests in and, to the extent assignable and as applicable, assignments of Borrower's interest in all permits licenses, lease, contracts, agreements, operating accounts, receivables etc. and (iii) Borrower's interest in other customary ancillary collateral relating to the Property. |

## Credit Report - Structured

| Multi-draw Funding Criterial Retainage | Borrower will be permitted to receive advances under the Facility to pay all costs incurred by the Borrower in accordance with the Redevelopment Investment Plan. Advances will require a hold back of $10 \%$ of hard costs for the first $50 \%$ of the amount of any construction contract (there is no hold back on the last $50 \%$ ) provided, however, no retainage shall be required for (i) soft costs under any contract or (ii) materials only contracts. Lender shall disburse retainage promptly upon notice from Borrower that such retainage is due and payable. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Completion Reserve: | Following the later of $(x)$ the initial advance under the loan and $(y)$ the contribution of the Defined Equity Amount, if at any time the undrawn amount of the Facility is less than the remaining costs to complete the Project and the shortfall is $\$ 5,000,000$ or greater, then Borrower shall fund a completion reserve (the "Completion Reserve" in the amount required to reduce such shortfall to $\$ 5,000,000$. The Completion Reserve will be held by Lender as additional Collateral. |
| Repayment: | 1) During the Redevelopment Period the facility will require interest only payments. <br> 2) During the Post Redevelopment Period either (a) interest only at any time the LTV is no greater than $75 \%$, and (b) principal payments, based on a 25 -year amortization schedule at any time the LTV is greater than $75 \%$. <br> The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract. |
| Interest Rate: | 1) Redevelopment Period - LIBOR plus $2.00 \%$ or, at Borrower's option, the Prime Rate. <br> 2) Post Redevelopment Period and upon delivery of appraisal indicating an LTV of less than or equal to $70 \%$ - LIBOR plus $1.75 \%$ or, at Borrower's option, the Prime Rate minus $0.25 \%$. <br> Borrower shall have the right to deliver such appraisal, acceptable to Lender, at any time during the Post Redevelopment Period. |
| LIBOR Tenors | Borrower may elect interest periods of $1,3,6$, and 12 months with a maximum of (5) LIBOR contracts outstanding at any time and no LIBOR contract to be permitted for loans less than $\$ 1,000,000$ at any time. |
| Fees: | 0.50\% of Facility Amount due and payable at closing |
| DSC Covenant | Redevelopment Period - None <br> Post Redevelopment Period - At all times during the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio ("DSC") defined as the Net Operating Income ("NOI") divided by Debt Service of no less than $1.15 x$. "Debt Service" is defined as all principal (if applicable) and interest calculated on the current loan amount outstanding assuming a 25 -year amortization schedule, which assumption shall only include actual debt service due under the loan. Covenant to be test annually beginning with the first full calendar year commencing four (4) years after the Closing Date |
| Maximum LTV | Redevelopment Period - 80\% of the Redevelopment Investment Plan. <br> Post Redevelopment Period - The Property will maintain a minimum appraised value that provides a maximum LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. The Guarantor may cure any deficiency cause by a valuation shortfall through the repayment of principal to an amount that the maximum LTV based on the revised valuation remains less than $85 \%$ with such payment due within 10 business days of notification. |
| Expiration of Redevelopment Period: | 4-Years from the Closing Date <br> No later than 4-years from the Closing Date, Borrower shall deliver to Lender: (a) one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalents for the Major Components (which shall not be required to cover areas relating to minor details of construction, decoration or mechanical adjustment, the non-completion of which does not materially interfere with the operation of the Property as a whole), and (b) an appraisal of the Property, which shall be prepared by an appraiser selected by the Lender, indicating an LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. The term "Major Components" shall mean: (i) $90 \%$ of the hotel rooms, (ii) the meeting and banquet space and (iii) one operating restaurant. A temporary or final certificate of occupancy or its equivalent for the entire Project (which shall not be required to cover areas relating to minor details of construction, decoration or mechanical adjustment, or uncompleted work in connection with disputes concerning items of a historic nature, the noncompletion of which does not materially interfere with the operation of the Property as a whole) shall be delivered to the Lender no later than 5 -years from the Closing Date. |
| Guaranty: | Donald J. Trump will provide a full and unconditional guarantee of: (i) principal and interest due under the facility, (ii) swap breakage costs, (iii) operating shortfalls of the Property until the end of the Shortfall Coverage Period and (iv) a completion guaranty, guaranteeing the lien-free completion of the Project acceptable to Lender, as evidence by, among other things, one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalent, architects certificate and appropriate lien waivers, each reasonably acceptable to Lender. |

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC (\$125MM) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC (\$45MM) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC (\$170MM) - July 2015 - STRUCTURED

| Guarantor Covenants | During the Redevelopment Period: <br> - Guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquidity at all times of at least $\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}$ with at last $\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}$ to be maintained with the Lender. <br> - Guarantor shall not, at any time, have any additional indebtedness (direct or contingent) in excess of $\$ 500 \mathrm{MM}$ (the "Guarantor Liability Cap"), excluding ( x ) any obligation under this Facility and (y) any Guarantor indebtedness (direct or contingent) existing as of June 30, 2013, as reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition referred to below (which includes the Doral and Chicago facilities). <br> At all times during the term of the facility: <br> - Guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion excluding the value related to the Guarantor's brand value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Swap Agreement | Borrower shall have the option to purchase interest rate protection in the form of a swap, reasonably acceptable to Lender, and secure related credit exposure (the "Swap Exposure") through the mortgage for the Facility. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap at closing or at any time during the loan term, and the term of such swap agreement does not have to be coterminous with the loan. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap from Lender or any other party; provided, however, if such swap is not provided by Lender or any of its affiliates, such swap obligation shall not be secured by any assets of Borrower. <br> During the Redevelopment Period the Borrower or Guarantor shall be required to post cash collateral within in three (3) business days' notice from the Lender, should at any time the actual mark-to-market amount exceeds the defined Threshold Amount plus the MTA. At such time, the minimum amount of cash collateral to be posted shall be equal to the difference between the actual mark-to-market and the Threshold Amount. <br> During the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall not be required to post any additional cash collateral and any related Swap Exposure will remain secured by the mortgage. Any cash collateral related to the Swap Exposure, in accordance with the term in effect during the Redevelopment Period, shall be released upon receipt of the Initial Appraisal to the extent such Swap Exposure plus the principal amount outstanding under the Facility does not exceed $85 \%$. Once such cash collateral is released the Borrower will have no further obligation to post any additional cash collateral during the Post Redevelopment Period. <br> Threshold Amount - shall be equal to $\$ 10,000,000$ minus the Independent Amount. <br> Minimum Transfer Amount ("MTA") - shall be equal to $\$ 250,000$. <br> Independent Amount - shall be equal to $5 \%$ of the notional amount of the Swap. |

## Repayment Sources/ Key Risks/Mitigants

## Facility A

> Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort following the Renovation Period. Based on projections the Resort should be able to satisfactorily service principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule.
> Tertiary Source of Repayment: When the LTV is above $65 \%$, DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee, which eliminates any shorfall associated with operating and liquidating Collateral. As equity in the collateral increases, the Guaranty Level steps down concurrently.
Facility B
> Primary Source of Repayment: Cash flow generated by the Hotel Collateral.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Refinancing the Hotel Collateral property.
> Tertiary Source of Repayment: Sale of the Hotel Collateral property.

## Facility C

Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Hotel following the Redevelopment Period. Based on projections, the Hotel should be able to satisfactorily service principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule.
> Tertiary Source of Repayment: DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee of the entire facility for the term.

## Recommendation:

Approval of i) the Annual Review for Facility A (Doral), Facility B (Chicago), and Facility C (OPO).

## All Facilities

- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The financial profile of the Guarantor includes, on an adjusted basis, a net worth of $\$ 2.7$ billion with $\$ 285 \mathrm{MM}$ in unencumbered liquidity.
- Operating Experience - DJT's extensive experience in operating private golf/country clubs. His current portfolio includes 16 such clubs with a reported value of $\$ 2.0$ billion and DB adjusted value of $\$ 858.1 \mathrm{MM}$.
- DB Relationship - In connection with the addition of Facility C, DJT transferred \$40MM in liquidity to DB. He has also indicated he is. interested in continued to grow his non-credit relationship with the firm. The AWM Banking team has been introduced to each of DJT's three adult children and two have established relationships with the firm. In addition, the CB\&S Real Estate Team has had a successful history with the family.


## Credit Report - Structured

## Eacility A

- Equity Injection of the Guarantor: The estimated equity Injection is approximately $\$ 200 \mathrm{MM}$.
- Expected Enhanced Value due to Capex -The Resort is a world class location that has been home to a PGA event every year since it opened in 1962. As DJT expects to invest approximately $\$ 200 \mathrm{MM}$ on capital improvements it is expected that the value of our Collateral will increase significantly over the term of the Facility.
Facility A \& B
- Leverage Levels required for Step-Down of the Guaranty - The LTV levels required to for a decrease in the guaranty levels are low enough to ensure that the loan is more than adequately collateralized, as evidenced by the current Facility B , in which the Guaranty was eliminated once the loan was pald down to \$45MM ( $\sim 34 \%$ LTV).
Eacility
- Quallty of the collateral and LTV - The property is in the form of a luxury hotel building in downtown Chlcago. Based on the latest appraisal completed, the Hotel collateral was valued at \$133MM which results in a $34 \%$ LTV.
- Proceeds from the sale of the 7 additional condo units originally pledged as collateral were applied toward the principal balance of the loan (faclity has been paid down from $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$ to $\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}$ year-over-year).
Facility $C$
- Equity Injection of the Guarantor: As of June 2015, the Guarantor completed the required 20\% Equity Investment and began to draw on the $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$ Facility.
- Borrower's Successful Operating Experlence: The Trump Hotel Collection consists of 8 Luxury Hotels in New York, Chicago (secures Facility B), Las Vegas, Hawali, Toronto, Miaml (secures Facility A) and Panama with 3 new hotels (including the subject OPO project) coming on-line in the next 2-3 years. The Trump name has been associated with the highest level of luxury and the hotels in the collection have performed successfully, even through the provious economic downturn.


## Regulatory Requirement - One Obligor Principle <br> IMPORTANT: Transactions aubject to benking secrecy In other locations should not be covered

- Does the borrower have other existing credilt client relationships in the current booking location or with other Deutsche Bank entitles (whether under private individual name or other related entities)?
区 Yes
$\square$ No

If 'Yes', please provide details:
Please see Total Exposure on Page 1

- Please certify (tick the box) that this was confirmed with the borrower: 区

If anewered 'Yes' to the above question, the Consolidation of Borrowers' Sheet has to be completed*
*Crect\# Ombar or Lending Officer to complete as per responalbillies in place in the given location

## Reg 0 Questlons:

 (Consult the most current list of "DBTCA insiders for Regulation O and NY Stete Banking Lew Reporting Purposes, " which is posted on the shared divve $R$ in the foldor llled "Reg O,"In a Word document tilled "Reg O and insidar List")
3.) If the loan le for a DBTCA "Insider," will DETCA, DBPWM or any other subsidlary of DBTCA originate the loan? (If Yes, the loan must be approved in advance by the DBTCA Board of Directors and the DBTCA Offlce of the Secretary. Ploase contect Complifance or Lepal hmmediately.)


| From: | Thomas Eggenschwiler |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, July 29, 2015 6:57 AM |
| To: | Gaston Alegre |
| Cc: | Nicholas Haigh |
| Subject: | RE: Trump Annual Review [I] |

## Classification: For internal use only

Approved from my side
Thomas Eggenschwiler, CFA
Chief Credit Officer
Global Head Credit Risk Management
Deutsche Asset \& Wealth Management
Hardstrasse 201, 8005 Zurich, Switzerland
Tel. +41 (44) 224-5900
Mobile +41 (79) 458-0139
From: Gaston Alegre
Sent: Dienstag, 28. Juli 2015 16:56
To: Thomas Eggenschwiler
Cc: Nicholas Haigh
Subject: Trump Annual Review [I]

Classification: For internal use only

Dear Thomas,

Please find attached the review for the Trump Relationship. Given the total of facilities and the rating, this review requires your approval.

A few points to mention:

- There are no significant credit issues with the credit relationship.
- There will be a follow-up Modification to follow as soon as the Doral Golf Property is re-appraised which should happen in early August.
- On the Post-Office deal, you will note that the client has first invested his portion of the development costs and is now in the process of drawing under our facility

This relationship has three facilities as follows:

Facility A -- Trump Endeavour 12
Collateral: CRE Golf Course in Doral, Miami
Facility: $\quad \$ 125 \mathrm{mn}$ (A loan $\$ 106 \mathrm{mn}$ B loan $\$ 19 \mathrm{mn}$ unsecured); Unsecured portion to be considered secured once appraisal is finalized given construction has been completed
LTV: $85 \%$
Tenor: $\quad 8 / 11 / 2023$
Interest: L+1.7\%
Guarantee: Donald Trump (reducing as LTV declines)

Facility B-401 North Wabash Venture, LLC
Collateral: $\quad$ CRE in Chicago - Trump International Hotel and Tower
Facility: $\quad \$ 45 \mathrm{mn}$
LTV: $\quad 34 \%$ (60\% Covenant)
Tenor: $\quad 6 / 1 / 2024$
Interest: L+2\%
Guarantee: Donald Trump (reducing as LTV declines)

Facility C - Trump Post Office
Collateral: CRE lease interest in DC - Old Post Office
Facility: Lesser of $\$ 170 \mathrm{mn}$ and $80 \%$ of the redevelopment investing plan
LTV: 80\%
Tenor: $\quad 8 / 11 / 2024$
Interest: $\quad L+2 \%$
Guarantee: Donald Trump

Please let us know if you require any additional clarification before signing-off on this request.

Regards,

Gaston Alegre
Director
Risk / AWM
Tel. 212-454-2285
Email.gaston.alegre@db.com

## II - Financial Analysis - Guarantor

It should be noted that the Guarantor, DJT, is required to provide financials within 120 days of $6 / 30$ FYE. Thus the most recent financials available are as of $6 / 30 / 14$. We are not aware of any material changes to the Guarantor's financial profile.

Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although all three Facilities are secured by Collateral, given the unique nature of these credits, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the Guarantor. As part of this underwriting we have met with several members of the family office to update our due diligence on the client reported financial information, as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional details are included in the Guarantor's financial statements.

| Financial Summary (\$ in millions) <br> Source: Client provided financials | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2011 } \\ \text { (Client Reported) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2012 } \\ \text { (Client Reported) } \end{gathered}$ | DJT 6/30/2013 (Client Reported) | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2014 } \\ \text { (Client Reported) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { 6/30/2014 } \\ \text { (DB AdJusted) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash \& Marketable Securities | \$258.9 | \$169.7 | \$339.1 | \$302.3 | \$285.3 |
| Escrow \& Reserve Deposits | \$9.1 | \$10.8 | \$15.2 | \$40.0 | - |
| Real Estate - Net Equity | \$2,996.9 | \$3,184.2 | \$3,268.7 | \$3,867.0 | \$1,933.5 |
| Partnerships \& Joint Ventures | \$720.0 | \$823.3 | \$869.3 | \$923.1 | \$408.5 |
| Real Estate Licensing | \$89.3 | \$65.2 | \$174.7 | \$329.7 | \$164.9 |
| Other Assets | \$199.2 | \$318.5 | \$352.0 | \$352.8 | \$209.1 |
| Total Assets | \$4,273.4 | \$4,563.9 | \$5,019.0 | \$5,814.9 | \$3,001.2 |
| Personal Mortgage other Debt | \$8.4 | \$8.3 | \$20.5 | \$20.4 | \$20.4 |
| Other Liabilities | \$3.7 | \$4.4 | \$20.4 | \$17.0 | \$17.0 |
| Net Worth | \$4,261.3 | \$4,559.0 | \$4,978.0 | \$5,777.5 | \$2,650.9 |
| Contingent Obligations | \$114.0 | \$195.7 | \$197.2 | \$276.0 | \$276.0 |
| Net Cash Flow * | \$82.4 | (\$89.2) | \$169.7 | (\$36.7) | (\$36.7) |
| Leverage Ratio (<= .30) | . 13 | . 14 | . 01 | . 01 | 15 |
| Cash Flow Ratio (>= .35) | . 57 | -0.67 | 0.45 | -. 10 | -. 10 |
| Liquidity Ratio (>= .25) | 2.04 | 1.32 | 0.90 | . 98 | 81 |
| Asset Coverage Ratio ( $>=6.0$ ) | 31.7 | 33.32 | 13.27 | 16.60 | 8.68 |

$>$ Liquidity - The Guarantor reports liquidity of $\$ 302.3 \mathrm{MM}$ as of $6 / 30 / 14$ consisting of funds in Mr. Trump's name personally and various entities that Mr. Trump controls. The client reported balances have remained on par from the prior year, with minor fluctuations attributed to additional CRE acquisitions and the Guarantor taking fewer distributions from his properties.
$>$ The Guarantor's personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversified portfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantor's porffolio of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of membership deposits. In accordance with industry standards, premier golf clubs require new members post a non-interest bearing 30-year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are non-refundable for 30-years without condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit which is generally contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. An updated value of the outstanding deposits was not provided via the 6/30/14 financial statements; however, as of $6 / 30 / 13$, the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the clubs owned by the Guarantor was approximately $\$ 213 \mathrm{MM}$, much of which has been reinvested into the clubs. Given the lack of any conditional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income. None of these deposits have been included in the Guarantors liquidity.
$>$ Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantor's total real estate portfolio, as of $6 / 30 / 14$ which reflects the Guarantor's 4 wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 13 wholly owned club facilities, other major property interests and properties currently under development. DB adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.
$>$ Net Worth - The Guarantor's reported net worth has increased just shy of $\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}$, largely in part to his real estate equity and licensing. It should also be noted that the DB adjusted calculation of the Guarantor's balance sheet does not include any valuation for his brand, which has received a $100 \%$ haircut.

| Property Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { DJT } \\ \text { Valuation } \end{gathered}$ | DB Valuation | Reported Debt | D.JT <br> Net Equity | DB Adjusted Net Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trump Tower - $7255^{\text {th }}$ Ave | \$707.0 | \$480.0 | $\$ 100.0$ | \$607.0 | \$380.0 |
| Niketown - East $57{ }^{\text {m/ }} \mathrm{St}$ | \$348.8 | $\$ 175.0$ | 830.6 | \$318.2 | \$144.4 |
| 40 Wall Street | \$550.1 | $\$ 500.0$ | \$160.0 | \$390.1 | \$340.0 |
| Trump Park Ave | \$300.8 | \$173.0 | 519.4 | \$281.4 | \$153.6 |
| Subtotal - 4 Trophy Properties | \$1,906.7 | \$1,328.0 | \$310.0 | \$1,596.7 | \$1,018.0 |
| Club Facilities | \$2,009.3 | \$1,004.7 | \$146.6 | \$1,862.7 | \$858.0 |
| Other Property Interest | \$436.8 | \$182.3 | \$29.1 | \$407.7 | \$153.1 |
| Total - Portfolio | \$4,352.8 | \$2,515,0 | 5485.7 | \$3,867.1 | \$2,029.1 |

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT
TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC (\$125MM) - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC (\$45MM) - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC (\$170MM) - July 2015 - STRUCTURED

## Credit Report - Structured

> 4 Trophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were previously discussed with DB Valuation Services Group ("DBVSG") who advised on adjustments for each.

- Trump Towers - The 68 story building contains residential and condominiums that are owned by residents along with 178,000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of retail space. As of $6 / 30 / 14$ the property had associated debt of approx $\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}$. The loan is non-recourse and matures in 2022. The most recent appraisal performed in conjunction with the refinance valued the property at $\$ 480 \mathrm{MM}$ resulting in a roughly $21 \%$ LTV.
- Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with respect to 2 long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the building located on $57^{\text {th }}$ street between Madison and $5^{\text {th }}$ Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retail Services. The current lease is scheduled to expire in May 2017. The space includes 65,000 square feet of retail space. Based on sq foot assumption DBVSG previously indicated an adjusted value of $\$ 175 \mathrm{MM}$. Financing on the space is in the form of long-term bonds which are scheduled to fully amortize by June 1, 2017.
- 40 Wall Street - The 72 floor tower consist of 1.3MM in premier office space. Based on a SF assumption DBVSG indicated an adjusted value of $\$ 500 \mathrm{MM}$. The existing debt in the amount of $\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}$, of which the Guarantor currently guarantees $\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}$, is scheduled to mature in November 2017.
- Trump Park Avenue - The property located on $59^{\text {th }}$ Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space and has a reported value based on unsold units and retail rates of $\$ 346.1 \mathrm{MM}$. The unsold condominium units have been pledged as collateral for the mortgage which, as of $6 / 30 / 14$, had an outstanding balance of $\$ 19.42 \mathrm{MM}$ and matures $8 / 1 / 15$. Based on prior discussions with DBVSG we elected to take an approximate $50 \%$ haircut on the reported value.
$>$ US Club Facilities - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 13 domestic private club facilities which include The Mar-A-Lago Club in Palm Beach FI; Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff Manor N. Y.; Trump International Golf Club in Palm Beach County, Fl, Trump National Golf Club in LA, Ca; Trump National Golf Club in Bedminster, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Colts Neck, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Washington, DC; Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point, Bronx, NY; Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Vallev, NY; Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia PA Trump National Doral in Miami, Florida, Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte, NC, and Trump National Golf Club in Jupiter, Florida.
> European Golf Clubs - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 3 European golf club facilities which include Trump International Golf Club in Scolland, Aberdeen; Trump Turnberry, South Ayrshire, Scotland; Trump International Golf Links Ireland, Doonbeg.
$>$ Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned interests in The Trump World Tower at United Nations; 100 Central Park South; Trump Plaza NY; Trump International Hotel and Tower, Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East Condominiums and the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford. NY. These properties consist of commercial, retail and hotel space aiong with condominium units and raw land. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value (with the exception of the Mansion at Seven Springs) we applied a haircut of approximately $21 \%$, which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors 4 Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team. With regards to the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford New York, this property consists of over 200 acres of land, a mansion and other buildings. This property is zoned for 9 luxury homes and valued at $\$ 301.5 \mathrm{MM}$ based on an assessment made by the Guarantor in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow which he would derive as those units are constructed and sold, and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other buildings. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $75 \%$ haircut to this asset to reflect the uncertainty in valuing undeveloped land.

It should be noted that as of June 30, 2014, the Guarantor has continued to elected not to include the value of the Trump Chicago International Hotel \& Tower in his financial statements thus we have omitted this asset from our analysis of Mr. Trump's personal financial condition. If should be noted that on 11/9/12. DB provided the Guarantor with a \$98MM bifurcated commercial mortgage facility on the Trump International Holel and Condos in Chicago (Facility B). Since closing, the Facility has been paid down to \$19MM, increased last year to $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$, and paid down again to $\$ 45 M M$, as further discussed in the Facility B section of the subject annual review.
> Partnership and Joint Ventures -

- 1290 Avenue of the Americas. NY and 555 California Street, San Francisco, CA - In May of 2007 Mr. Trump partnered with Vornado Realty Trust in two buildings in NY and San Francisco. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containing approximately 2MM leasable SF housing such tenants as Microsoft, AXA Equitable, and Cushman \& Wakefield. 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office buildings for a total of 1.7 MM leasable SF in addition to a subterranean garage. Current tenants include Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, UBS Financial Services, Citigroup and Wells Fargo. Mr. Trump owns $30 \%$ of these properties. The value of $\$ 745.8 \mathrm{MM}$ is net of debt. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut of this asset.
- Trump International Hotel and Tower - Las Vegas, Nevada - Entities owned by Mr. Trump have formed a JV with Philip Ruffin as equal members, and have built a luxury hotel and condominium tower near the Las Vegas Strip. The Tower is the tallest hotel condominium tower in Las Vegas with over 1,200 condominium units, a 10,000 SF spa, a fitness center, salon, gourmet restaurant, heated pool and valet parking. The estimated current value of $\$ 106.2 \mathrm{MM}$ is after the current morgage debt of $\$ 63.4 \mathrm{MM}$, with final maturity of $7 / 5 / 17$. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut of this asset.
- Real Estate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous associations with several other parties for purposes of developing properties and other projects. Terms of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance. The estimated current value of $\$ 329.7 \mathrm{MM}$ was based on situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exit and fees and other compensation which he will earn are reasonably quantifiable. The Guarantor has pledged certain of these fees to secure a $\$ 19.76 \mathrm{MM}$ mortgage on The Trump Tower at United Nations Plaza. Accordingly, the $\$ 19.76 \mathrm{MM}$ has been reflected on Mr. Trump's financial statement as "Other mortgages and loans payable". For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut of this asset.


## Credit Report - Structured

$>$ Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Cessna Citation X and 2 Sikorsky helicopters, ownership rights to The Apprentice/Celebrity Apprentice Series and the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 2,000 acre vineyard in Charlottesville Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties, an international talent/model agency and receivables representing amounts earned to date end contract rights with regards to future performances on television. For purposes deriving an adjusted value we assumed a $50 \%$ haircut on reported value. It should be noted that last year the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independent valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report indicated a brand value in the range of $\$ 2.8$ billion to $\$ 3.0$ billion. For purposes of our financial analysis we assumed no value for the Trump brand.
$>$ Contingents - as of $6 / 17 / 14$ DJT's gross contingent obligations were reported to be $\$ 197.2 \mathrm{MM}$, which included: a) the $\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}$ in support provided under the Doral credit facility with AWM, b) $\$ 69 \mathrm{MM}$ in personal recourse on the existing debt related to the Trump International Hotel in Chicago also with AWM (Update: This debt is currently $\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}$, i.e. Facility B, with no personal Guaranty to DJT); c) \$20MM on a limited guarantee for the $\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}$ commercial mortgage on 40 Wall Street extended by Capital One; d) $\$ 11 \mathrm{MM}$ on Trump Golf at Ferry Point to the City of New York, e) $\$ 7.1 \mathrm{MM}$ related to 7 Springs Resort and $\$ 3.9 \mathrm{MM}$ in certain other project related completion guarantees, f ) $\$ 40 \mathrm{MM}$ in equity injection by DJT to the Trump OPO (Update: the full $\$ 42.5 \mathrm{MM} / 20 \%$ Equity Contribution by DJT has been completed and the client has begun to draw on the DB construction financing line, i.e. Facility C).
> Net Cash Flow - the Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which is generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash for the period 2010-2014.

| Type | Client Reported FY FY $6 / 30 / 14$ | Client Reported FY $6 / 30 / 13$ | Client Reported FY $6 / 30 / 12$ | Client Reported 11/30/11 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Client } \\ \text { Reported } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sources of Cash |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real Estate | \$43.5 | 29.1 | \$32.1 | \$30.5 | \$125.0 |
| Entertainment | \$10.8 | 19.5 | \$20.6 | \$23.5 | \$15.3 |
| Clubs | \$14.4 | 17.4 | \$15.1 | \$12.1 | \$8.0 |
| Licensing | \$20.5 | 16.1 | \$32.4 | \$33.4 | \$32.3 |
| Non Op. Revenue | - | 192.9 | -- | \$41.2 | \$50.4 |
| Investment income | \$1.4 | 1.7 | \$1.8 | \$4.2 | \$4.2 |
| Debt Refinancing | \$50.1 | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | -- |
| Other | \$4.0 | $\underline{2.2}$ | \$12.0 | \$10.7 | \$10.5 |
| Total Sources | \$144.7 | \$278.9 | \$114.0 | \$155.6 | \$245.7 |
| Uses of Cash |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Development | \$142.2 | \$66.1 | \$69.8 | \$34.8 | \$34.3 |
| Retirement of Debt/Debt Service | -- | \$30.9 | \$74.7 | \$14.2 | \$25.2 |
| Golf Club/ Aircraft Acquisition | \$90.4 | \$21.7 | \$32.9 | - | \$37.0 |
| Income Tax Payable | \$0.6 | \$6.5 | \$22.0 | \$21.8 | \$2.9 |
| Personal \& Other | \$3.4 | \$3.8 | \$3.7 | \$2.5 | \$1.7 |
| Total Uses | \$236.7 | \$129.0 | \$203.1 | \$73.3 | \$101.1 |
| Net Excess Cash Flow | (892.0) | \$149.9 | (\$89.1) | \$82.3 | \$144.6 |

$>$ Real Estate- represents distributions from the portfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outlined in this section.
$>$ Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantor's involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. As this source of cash flow appears to be recurring as long as Mr. Trump is involved with the Apprentice we have chosen to take it at reported value. The Apprentice maintains a one-year rolling contract.
> Clubs - represents distributions generated through the portfolio of 16 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor.
$>\quad$ Licensing - represents licensing revenue from a large portfolio of licensing agreements both real estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka, Trump Water, Trump Shirts and several other such types of arrangements.
$>\quad$ Non Operating Revenue - No non-operating cash flow was reported for 2014. Prior years, non operating revenue consisted of tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale, distributions from refinancing and other one-time items.
$>\quad$ Investment Income - represents interest and investment income on cash and marketable securities.
$>$ Other - primarily related to miscellaneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements.
$>$ Uses of Cash - primarily utilized for i) acquisition of resorts/golf clubs/aircrafts including purchase of the Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point in the Bronx, NY with market value of $\sim \$ 10 \mathrm{MM}$.
$>\quad$ Net Excess Cash Flow - the net reported Cash Flow of (\$37MM) reconciles to the reported decrease in the Guarantor's reported Cash balance from $\$ 339 \mathrm{MM}$ to $\$ 302 \mathrm{MM}$. The adjusted cash flow omits the proceeds from refinancing and the proceeds used for acquisition of properties.
> Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of all of the obligations committed and proposed (the full \$125MM for Doral, \$45MM on Chicago and \$170MM OPO + \$10.25MM Swap Threshold + min transfer amt) by the Guarantor, using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The results indicate that the Guarantor meets 3 out of 4 of the unsecured ratios. As noted above, for purposes of adjusted contingents, all of the adjusted contingent liabilities are related to secured debt, however the full amount of the exposure is still included in the Guarantor's adjusted financials.

Property Description/Project Overview - Facility A
Facility A - The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include $86,139 \mathrm{SF}$ of meeting space including a $24,000 \mathrm{SF}$ ballroom, a $50,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa and treatment center, 6 food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport.

The Borrower has been in the process of completing an extensive renovation. The value of said renovations will be reflected in the updated appraisal expected to be received by $7 / 31 / 15$. The renovations have been primarily on the Main Building, Guest Rooms, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrades of the lobbies, reception areas, meeting spaces, restaurants, retail spaces, spa and corridors. Renovation of the Lodges and Spa Suites include both interior and exterior work included painting, lighting and landscaping. Guest rooms have undergone a complete renovation including new bathroom fixtures, furniture, flooring, window treatments, lighting and linens. The Member's Clubhouse has been completely redesigned to maximize and upgrade the space and improve the finishes to support the drive to increase the number of the members and amount of dues. The golf course renovations were primarily aesthetic with a focus on improvements to the cart paths and landscaping. In addition, the budget includes lengthening and expanding the driving range to make it consistent with the high standard of the courses. The original loan proceeds were used to enhance the arrival experience, improve the overall landscaping, renovate the pool and outdoor areas and perform a number of other smaller projects. The Borrower has completed the renovation in phases as the resort has remained operational throughout said renovation.

Further information regarding the Trump Doral Property and Renovation plan can be found in the initial CAM dated 12/20/11.
Note: upon completion and receipt of the updated appraisal, a CAM MOD will be prepared to document the current value of the Doral Property.

Property Performance/Financial Analysis - Facility A
Actual \& Projected

| In thousands | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ <br> Projected | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> Actual | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> $\mathbf{6}$ months Actual |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $62.2 \%$ | $48.39 \%$ |
| ADR | $\$ 250$ | $\$ 208$ | $\$ 175.0$ | $\$ 148.58$ |
| RevPar | $\$ 182.5$ | $\$ 151.85$ | $\$ 108,85$ | $\$ 67.43$ |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 121,993$ | $\$ 11,237$ | $\$ 82,099$ | $\$ 30,025$ |
| Total Operating <br> Expenses | $\$ 93,786$ | $\$ 87,295$ | $\$ 69,899$ | $\$ 37,754$ |
| Net Operating Income | $\$ 28,207$ | $\$ 23,942$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $(\$ 7,729)$ |
| EBITDA | $\$ 31,869$ | $\$ 26,169$ | $\$ 12,200$ | $(\$ 6,553)$ |
| Actual Debt to EBITDA | 3.92 x | 4.78 x | 10.25 x | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

*t should be noted that operating expenses omit any hotel management fees as these would be subordinate to any payments of senior debt.
The 2015 Borrower's financials are due $6 / 30 / 15$, and thus the most recent statements provided are for the 12 months ending $6 / 30 / 2014$. In addition, during the renovation period, there are no debt service tests as the property is still under renovation and thus revenue is impaired. During this period Mr. Trump provides $100 \%$ guaranty to the Facility. Upon receipt of the updated appraisal, a full discussion will be documented via a CAM MOD.

## Property Description/Project Overview - Facility B

Facility B - The Property is known as the 92-story Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago. The entire tower contains 2,637,320 SF of mixed use components which includes a hotel, spa facility, residential condominiums, a parking garage, retail space, restaurants, convention space, and a health club. The Property was originally developed in the 1950's as a mid-rise office building occupied by the Chicago Sun Times which was purchased by Trump for $\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}$, closing October of 2004. Subsequently the Sun-Times building was demolished and the Trump International Hotel and Tower was developed at a cost of approximately $\$ 600 \mathrm{MM}$. Occupancy began in January 2008 for the hotel component, and the residential component began delivering units in Fall of 2008 with completion of the entire project in the latter half of 2009.

The Trump International Hotel consists of a 339 -room luxury hotel property which includes a bar/restaurant, spa, fitness center, banquet space, parking and ground floor retail. For the purposes of this facility, the collateral consists of 175 developer owned units as well as the commercial components of the hotel including the food and beverage outlets, the meeting/banquet space, parking and the Spa at Trump. The remaining 164 units are privately owned as part of a "condo-tel" agreement where, at the owner's option, the unit is included in the available rental pool for the hotel.

An appraisal was performed by CB Richard Ellis, dated as of $4 / 7 / 14$ which indicated an "As Is" value of $\$ 133 \mathrm{MM}$.
Further information regarding the Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago can be found in the initial CAM dated 10/24/13.

## Credit Report - Structured

Property Performance/Financial Analysis - Facility B
Hotel Collateral
The hotel property is divided into 3 general sections, the commercial areas including the spa, restaurants, banquet rooms and parking, the 175 sponsor own units (both of these sections compose our collateral) and 164 privately owned third party units (not included as Collateral).

With regards to the third party units, the private owners have the option to put their unit into the rental pool with the sponsor owned units to be rented to the general public. When one of the third party units is selected from a blind pool, the net income to the owner is the rental revenue on the unit revenue split, less various fees for expenses incurred in the operation of the hotel room including a management fee. As noted in the tables below, the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Party Reimbursables line item represents these expenses that are netted out of the related $3^{\text {rd }}$ Party Revenue Distribution. In addition to any fees related to the rental of their unit, the unit owner is responsible for the related real estate taxes and reserves as well as CAM and utilities. As per the Borrower, the revenue generated by the unit has historically been sufficient to cover these fixed costs as well as those related to the rental of the unit.

## Historical Financial Results

The Borrower has provided Profit and Loss statements from 2010-2014.

|  | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/2010 | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/2011 | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/12 | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/13 | 12-Mo Ending 12/31/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | 61.7\% | 68.5\% | 69.7\% | 74.5\% | -- |
| Average Daily Rate ("ADR") | \$321.67 | \$347.31 | \$384.47 | \$387.96 | - |
| Revenue per Available Room ("RevPar") | \$198.46 | \$237.98 | \$267.91 | \$289.01 | - |
| Total Revenue | \$46,787 | \$55,226 | \$60,931 | \$65,490 | \$47,572 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$40,182 | \$45,439 | \$46,562 | \$48,436 | \$40,892 |
| Management fee | \$1,847 | \$2,574 | \$2,869 | \$3,033 | \$1,366 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Party Revenue Distribution | \$7,068 | \$8,222 | \$16,490 | \$17,793 | .- |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Party Reimbursables | (\$3,989) | $(\$ 6,030)$ | (\$11,900) | $(12,606)$ | -- |
| Net Operating Income | \$1,679 | \$5,021 | \$6,910 | \$8,834 | \$6,679 |
| Projected Debt Service (P \&i) | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 |
| DSCR (P \& I) | 0.33x | 0.99x | 1.36 x | 1.74 x | 1.31x |
| Projected Debt Service (I/O) | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 |
| DSCR (I/O) | 0.47 x | 1.40 x | 1.92x | 2.45x | $1.86 \mathrm{x}^{* *}$ |

**Borrower states actual debt service expense (I/O) to be $\$ 1,017$ per the $12 / 31 / 14$ Compliance Certificate. Under this figure, DSCR (I/O) is $6.56 x$

- Occupancy Rate - Since 2010 rates have improved from $61.7 \%$ to current reported year-to-date of $74.5 \%$, which is driven primarily by the continued improvement in the economy and growing popularity of the Property location. Per the appraisal, the stabilized occupancy for this property is $71 \%$.
- ADR/ Rev Par - the improvement in Occupancy Rates, has provided the Borrower the opportunity to significantly increase both ADR and RevPar. During the historical period ADR has increased by $17 \%$ and RevPar has increased $31 \%$. Both of these indicators are well above the average ADR of $\$ 311.16$ and RevPar of $\$ 236.68$ for the subject's competitive set of hotels in Chicago. Per the appraisal provided, for full service hotels in the Chicago Metro area, ADR is anticipated to increase $0.4 \%$ in 2014, 3.7\% in 2015, 2.0\% in 2016 and $1.8 \%$ in 2017.
- Net Operating Income - during the historical period from 2010-2013 NOI improved by $426 \%$ primarily driven by a significant improvement in operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) from $14.1 \%$ to $26 \%$ which is consistent with the significant improvement in RevPar during the Period.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio of 1.35 x on a principal and interest or interest only basis.


## Projected Financial Results

The appraisal has provided the following projections for the 12 months ending April 2015 through April 2025. For the purposes of this analysis, we have included the first 5 years through 2019.

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | 2018 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Occupancy Rate | $73.0 \%$ | $72.0 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |  |
| ADR | $\$ 409.78$ | $\$ 430.27$ | $\$ 443.18$ | $\$ 456.48$ | $\$ 0 \%$ |
| RevPar | $\$ 299.14$ | $\$ 309.80$ | $\$ 314.66$ | $\$ 324.10$ | $\$ 333.82$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | $\$ 67,163$ | $\$ 69,049$ | $\$ 70,226$ | $\$ 72,332$ | $\$ 74,502$ |
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| Total Operating Expenses | \$49,412 | \$50,658 | \$51,937 | \$53,494 | \$55,099 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Management fee | \$2,453 | \$2,495 | \$2,549 | \$2,625 | \$2,704 |
| Reserves for Replacement | \$2,687 | \$2,762 | \$2,809 | \$2,589 | \$2,980 |
| $3{ }^{\text {ra }}$ Party Revenue Distribution | \$9,772 | \$10,120 | \$10,279 | \$10,587 | \$10,905 |
| $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Party Reimbursables | (\$7,107) | (\$7,360) | (\$7,475) | (\$7,700) | (\$7,931) |
| Net Operating Income | \$9,946 | \$10,374 | \$10,128 | \$10,432 | \$10,744 |
| Projected Debt Service (P \& I) | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 | \$5,085 |
| DSCR (P \& I) | 1.96x | 2.04x | 1.99x | 2.05x | 2.11 x |
| Projected Debt Service (I/O)* | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 | \$3,599 |
| DSCR (I/O) | 2.76x | 2.88x | 2.81x | 2.90x | 2.99x |

*Equivalent to $8 \%$ stressed rate which is significantly higher than current rates

- Occupancy Rate - assumes the economy continues to slowly recover and the Property continues to realize the increasing growth in demand based on growing popularity of location. The 2015 step-down in occupancy reflects impact of opening of new hotel located across the street which is expected to put some pressure on occupancy as management continues to protect ADR and RevPar.
- ADR/ Rev Par - shows continued growth during the period with ADR increasing by $5.6 \%$ and RevPar increasing by $3.5 \%$ in 2015 from 2013 actual results with a continued increase per annum of approx $21 \%$ in ADR and $15.5 \%$ in RevPar during the forecasted, 5 year, period.
- Net Operating Income - during the forecasted period NOI is projected to grow by approximately $21.6 \%$ over the 5 year period primarily driven by continued improvement in the operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) which is projected to hold steady at a healthy $26 \%$.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio of 1.35 x .


## Sensitivity Analysis

The financial projections presented above provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPar, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating Income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of $1.35 x$ would be measured through the NOI and Interest Rate.

- NOI - Based on the 2013 FY Actual results , the NOI could decrease by $\$ 1.97 \mathrm{MM}(22.3 \%)$ or $\$ 3.97 \mathrm{MM}$ ( $45 \%$ ) before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P\& I basis, respectively.
- Interest Rate - Based on the 2013 FYE Actual results, the interest rate could increase by $4.02 \%$ to an all in rate of $8.95 \%$ or $2.72 \%$ to an all in rate of $7.65 \%$ before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P\&I basis, respectively.


## Property Description/Project Overvlew - Facility C

The iconic and historic Old Post Office in Washington, DC opened in 1899 as the Headquarters of the US Postal Service. Its grand architecture was designed to announce Pennsylvania Avenue's arrival as America's Main Street. The 441,000 SF building is the tallest building in DC and home to the historic Congress bells and observation tower, it is a landmark well known to both DC residences and tourists alike.

The Trump Organization (Trump) was selected by the US General Services Administration (GSA), to renovate Washington DC's historic Old Post Office Building (OPO) located on Pennsylvania Avenue and $12^{\text {lh }}$ Street just southeast of the White House. The approved renovation plan includes converting the historic building into a $\mathbf{2 5 0 - 2 7 0} \mathbf{r o o m}$, full service, luxury hotel with ground floor retail space. Once completed the property will include high end restaurants, spa/fitness facility, a museum and the largest ball room in Washington DC (13,000 SF).

The Borrower has executed a 62+ year ground lease with 2 additional 20 -year options with the GSA for the OPO, this process included a year-long concept review through the Section 106 process with all relevant stakeholders, including the Commission of Fine Arts, National Capital Planning Commission, DC State historic Preservation Office and General Services Administration.

Per the Borrower the full renovation budget is $+\$ 215 \mathrm{MM}$ with the Borrower's $20 \%$ being deployed prior to the Lenders funds (the "Defined Equity Amount"). Completion of the Defined Equity Amount has occurred and disbursements have begun. The first draw was in June 2015 for $\$ 6.0 \mathrm{MM}$ and the client expects to submit Disbursement Requests of $\sim \$ 5 \mathrm{MM}$ each month for the remainder of the Redevelopment Period (i.e. four years from closing, or $8 / 12 / 18$ ). As noted, upon the completion of the Redevelopment Period, an appraisal of the Property (the "Initial Appraisal") will be' prepared by an appraiser selected by Lender, indicating an LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. Until such time as this appraisal is reviewed and accepted by DB, this facility is considered "Other Secured" for collateral reporting purposes and the Guarantor's financial strength is the primary basis of the loan decision.

## Highlights from the Renovation Plan are as follows:

Accommodations - The Hotel's guest rooms and suites will be nearly $20 \%$ larger than the average rooms offered by the Hotel's competitive set (Four Seasons, The Ritz-Carlton Georgetown, The Ritz-Carlton DC, Mandarin Oriental and the Hay-Adams), a major point of differentiation with transient business and leisure guests. The Borrower believes the differentiated product will fill a void in the marketplace for premium rooms and attract embassy and delegation stays at premium nightly rates.
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## Credit Report - Structured

Meeting \& Banquet Facilities - With more than 39,100 SF of event space on two dedicated levels including a 13,000 SF Grand Ballroom and several meeting/function rooms, the Hotel will have DCs largest luxury meeting space and largest Grand Ballroom in the aforementioned competitive set. Combined with the Hotel's well-appointed amenities, prime location and personalized services; the Borrower feels the OPO will be well positioned to capture the top events in Washington, DC.

Retail - Each year millions of people visit the area surrounding the OPO including 236,000 annual visitors to the Clock Tower located inside the OPO. Nearby attractions include the White House, the National Mall, the DC Convention Center and the OPO, all of which generate significant foot traffic and spending in Downtown DC. The Borrower feels that the Hotel's central location within a high concentration of wealth, premium office buildings and cultural institutions will attract the best retailers.

Food \& Beverage - The Hotel will feature four food and beverage outlets, including a grand bar, as well as in-room dining. The Borrower is in the process of speaking to a number of well known chefs about the dining opportunities for the Hotel.

The Spa at Trump - The Hotel will feature a 5,000 SF signature Spa at Trump offering an array of spa offerings including massages, facials, relaxation programs and beauty treatments.

Parking - The Hotel will provide $\sim 120$ spaces on one level of underground parking, accessible by valet. Parking in that area is scarce and hotels command a premium over neighborhood garages. Hotels near the OPO with less luxurious accommodations are able to charge $\$ 47 /$ day.

Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment
A Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment was performed by IVI on February 3, 2014 and has been reviewed and accepted by DB. The report shows no material issues or concerns warranting additional assessment and no further actions are necessary.

## Location and Market Overview - Facility C

The subject property is located in Washington, D.C. between the White House and the U.S. Capitol Building on Pennsylvania Avenue. Washington, D.C. is a cosmopolitan city rich in monuments, museums and culture. As the nation's hub of political affairs, the city is the center of governmental action and policy. From Capitol Hill to Embassy Row, the National Mall and historic Georgetown, Washington, D.C. also boasts a diverse concentration of national and international organizations and associations. Furthermore, a number of major universities, educational agencies, and museums - including Georgetown University, George Washington University, Howard University, Catholic University, American University and the Smithsonian Institution are located within the District of Columbia.

The federal government and all of the public and private institutions which support it, form the biggest industry sector in the Washington, D.C. region. While the government sector accounts for approximately $31 \%$ of total employment ( 2012 statistics), the government serves as the catalyst for virtually all of the economic activity in the greater Washington area including the operations of hundreds of private sector firms, associations, trade unions, law firms, lobbying organizations, defense companies, political groups and international organizations. The prevalence of the government, educational and healthcare sectors helps to somewhat shelter this region from any major economic interruptions; thus, the outlook for the market area remains fairly optimistic.

Convention centers often serve as a gauge of visitation trends to a particular market as they generate significant levels of demand for area hotels and serve as a focal point for community activity. Typically hotels within the closest proximity to a convention center - up to 3 miles away will benefit the most. In April 2003 the Walter E. Washington Convention Center was opened at Mt. Vernon Square with approximately 2.3MM feet of space. The subject property is located approximately 0.7 miles from the convention center, making it well positioned to benefit from any activities held at the center.

| Financia! Analysis Property Performance - Facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Projected |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2016$ <br> Projected | $\begin{gathered} 2017 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2018 \\ \text { Projected } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2019 \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2020 \\ \text { Projected } \end{gathered}$ |
| Occupancy Rate | 57\% | 68\% | 72\% | 72\% | 72\% |
| ADR | \$605.00 | \$655.00 | \$710.00 | \$738.40 | \$764.77 |
| RevPar | \$344.85 | \$445.40 | \$511.20 | \$531.65 | \$550.40 |
| Total Revenue | \$54,304 | \$86,992 | \$102,283 | \$107,874 | \$111,683 |
| Total Operating Expenses | \$42,815 | \$62,939 | \$68,607 | \$71,805 | \$73,969 |
| Net Operating Income | \$11,489 | \$24,053 | \$33,676 | \$36,069 | \$37,714 |
| Ground Lease | \$2,397 | \$3,264 | \$3,346 | \$3,430 | 3,515 |
| EBITDA | \$9,092 | \$20,789 | \$30,330 | \$32,639 | \$34,199 |
| Actual Debt to EBITDA* | 18.7x | 8.18 x | 5.61 x | 5.21 x | 4.97x |
| Reserve | \$0 | \$696 | \$1,829 | \$2,985 | \$3,350 |
| Cash Flow available for Debt Service | N/A | \$20,093 | \$28,501 | \$29,654 | \$30,849 |
| Projected Debt Service (P\&I, based on the 10-year swap + 200 bps ) $4.93 \%$ | N/A | \$11,843 | \$11,843 | \$11,843 | \$11,843 |
| DSCR | N/A | 1.70x | 2.41x | 2.50x | 2.60x |
| Projected Debt Service ( $1 / 0$, based on the 10 -year swap +200 bps ) $\mathbf{4 . 9 3 \%}$ all in | N/A | \$8,381 | \$8,381 | \$8,381 | \$8,381 |
| DSCR | N/A | 2.40x | 3.40 x | 3.54x | 3.68x |

*As the Borrower is leasing the property from the U S. Government, there is no traditional Property Tax assessed. Rather there is a Possessory Interest Tax that is assessed that is a percentage of net income. Prior to operations this tax is determined as a percentage of the value of the leasehold. For the above NOI this tax has been included in the Total Operating expenses line

The Borrower has provided projections for the first 4.5 years of operations. As the Borrower has projected a 2 year construction phase, the first full year of operations is projected to be in 2017. The interest rate during the Renovation Period is LIBOR $+2.0 \%$, and drops to LIBOR $+1.75 \%$ when the Renovation Period is completed through the term of the facility. Debt Service is interest only during the Renovation Period and remains that way as long as the value determined by the appraisal provided by the Borrower at the end of the Renovation Period results in a Loan to Value of $75 \%$ or less. If the Loan to Value is between $75 \%-85 \%$, the loan will require principal payments based on a 25 year amortization schedule until such time as the loan is paid down to a $75 \%$ LTV. If the appraised value of the property results in a LTV of greater than $85 \%$ the Borrower must pay down the principal of loan to a balance that results in a $85 \%$ LTV or less within 10 days of notice from the Lender. Due to the various scenarios possible at the end of the Renovation Period, we have shown both the principal and interest, and interest-only debt service tests based on the 10 year-swap rate of $2.93 \%$ + the max loan spread of $2.0 \%$ for an all in of $4.93 \%$. Based on the Borrower's projections, the property should produce cash flow sufficient to service the debt beginning in the first year of operations and onward.

## Sensitivity analysis

The financial projections presented provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPAR, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating Income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of $1.15 x$ would be measured through the NOI and interest rate.

- NOI - Based on the projected first year of operations in 2017, the NOI could be overstated by $\$ 10.45 \mathrm{MM}(52 \%)$ or $\$ 6.47 \mathrm{MM}(32 \%)$ before the DSCR covenant would be breached on an interest only and P\&l basis, respectively.
- Interest Rate - Based on the projected first year of operations in 2017, the interest rate could increase by $5.37 \%$ to an all in rate of $10.30 \%$ or $4.27 \%$ to an all in rate of $9.20 \%$ before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P\&l basis, respectively.


## US ONLY:

Additional Information

1. Section 23 Attestation

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, including an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the application of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department, which contains a listing of entities, which have been determined to be affiliates ("Affiliates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affiliate List")

- The entity which is entering into the transaction with DBTCA (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank on the Affiliate List maintained by the Compliance Department.
- The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the benefit of a named Affiliate; except for transactions that are not covered transactions.
- The collateral on which we rely for S23 purposes is not a liability of an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank and so a covered transaction will not be produced by this loan.
NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELD
Attachments:
I. Risk Ratings
II. RWAROE
III. Financial Spreads
IV. Guarantor PFS
V. 401 North Wabash Financials 12/31/14
VI. Trump Old Post Office LLC Redevelopment Investment Plan
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General Information


Facility Evaluation

| Facility | Facility Description | Limit or Outstanding Amount (USD) | Final Facility Rating |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1005691 | $170,000,000$ | dBBB- |  |

Weighted Facility Rating dBBB-
Comments
Rating downgraded to reflect the situation of the borrower without look through to guarantor

## Credit Report - Structured

Bundesbank No\#
Required approval level:


| Beneficial Owner: | Donald J. Trump |
| :--- | :--- |
| Org ID: | 1045091 |
| Attorney: | Loeb \& Loeb |
| Rel. Manager: | Vrablic/Scalzi |
|  |  |
| Lender: | Williams/Frank |
| Analyst: | Pontoriero |
| Service Officer: | Ross |
| Loan Product <br> Type: | CRE |


| Reason for Presentation: | A) Annual Review of Trump Endeavor 12, LLC loan facility. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | B) Annual Review of 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC loan facility. |
|  | C) Annual Review of TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC |


| Exchange <br> Rate: | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Risk Rating: Current |  |  | Previous: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan A: Tranche A CPD: iA- FPD: dA |  |  | Loan A: Tranche A CPD: iBBB+FPD: dBBB Tranche B CPD: iBBB+FPD: BBB |  |  |  |
| Loan B: | CPD: iA | FPD ${ }^{\text {d }}$ + | Loan B: |  | CPD: iA | + FPD: BBB |
| Loan C: | CPD: inBE. | FPD: dBBB | Loan C: |  | CPD: iBBB | + FPD: dBBB- |

See attached Risk Rating for rationale for risk rating change (if applicable)

| Estimated |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| RWA: | Loan Aa: $\$ 5,426,164$ |
|  | Loan Ab: $\$ 3,267,745$ |
|  | Loan B: $\$ 2,303,561$ |
|  | Loan C: $\$ 8,702,338$ |

Estimated ROE / ROA Calculation:
Loan Aa: $\mathbf{7 5 . 2 1 \%}$ Loan Ab: $72.68 \%$
Loan B: 112.00\%

Loan C: $96.81 \%$
"Loan A Note: At origination, Tranche B was an unsecured facility for \$19MM. Upon being provided with a C\&W (ordered by Borrower) appraisal performed in August 2015, the unsecured Tranche B remained unsecured for RWA calculation purposes despite a value that corroborated to a 34\% LTV for both tranches. As of this 2016 Annual Review, a Lender-ordered appraisal is now in place that supports a fully-secured $\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}$ facility amount, still delineated between Tranche A and Tranche B

| Currency: US $\$$ in million | New Limit | Usage | Previous Limit | Years | New Limit | Usage | Previous Limit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan A - Tranche A | \$106.0 | \$106.0 | \$106.0 | < $=1$ |  |  |  |
| Loan A - Tranche B (See Note Above) | \$19.0 | \$19.0 | \$19.0 | $>1$ and $<=5$ |  |  |  |
| Loan B | \$45.0 | \$45.0 | \$73.0 | $>5$ and $<=7$ |  |  |  |
| Loan C | \$170.0 | \$112.12 | \$170.0 | $>7$ and $<=10$ | Aa/b) $\$ 125$ <br> B) $\$ 45$ <br> C) $\$ 170$ <br> Swap C) $\$ 10.25$ | Aa/b) \$125 <br> B) $\$ 45$ <br> C) $\$ 112.12$ <br> Swap C) \$0 | Aa/b)\$125 <br> B) $\$ 45$ <br> C) $\$ 170$ <br> Swap C) \$0 |
| Loan C Swap Threshold Amt | \$10.25 | \$0.0 | \$10.25 | $>10$ |  |  |  |
| Total Exposure | \$350.25 | \$282.12 | \$378.25 | Other liabilities or comments: |  |  |  |


| Collateral | Mrarket Yalie | Loan to Value: | Loan Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A) Doral Golf Resort and Spa located in Miami, FL | \$382MM <br> (LW Hospitality Advisors <br> appraisal dated March 25, <br> 2016, ordered and reviewed <br> by CRM READ, Phil Ribolow) | 32.7\% |  |
| B) Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago | \$133MM - Value Consistent <br> as per guidance from CRM <br> READ, Phil Ribolow (July <br> 2016) | \$125MM |  |
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## Credit Report - Structured

| C) | Old Post Office, Washington, DC | \$237.5MM <br> Prospective Market Value Upon Completion (LW Hospitality Advisors appraisal dated May 26, 2016, ordered and reviewed by CRM READ, Phil Ribolow | 71.6\% $\checkmark$ | \$170MM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collateral |  |  |  |  |
| A) Trump National Doral Golf Club - The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include 86,139 SF of meeting space including a 24,000 SF ballroom, a 50,000 SF spa with guest rooms, 6 food venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport. Extensive renovations have just been completed, substantially increasing the value since origination. The renovations were primarily on the Main Building, Guest Rooms, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrades of the lobbies, reception areas, meeting spaces, restaurants, retail spaces, spa and corridors. |  |  |  |  |
| B) Trump International Hotel Chicago - The Collateral Property consists of a full service hotel, including 339 condo-hotel rooms, of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrower Units"), which shall be included as Collateral in addition to $38,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of banquet space, a $23,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa, and a 285 space public parking garage. The 164 rooms that are owned by third parties ("Third Party Units") and the 7 previously held condos were sold a few years ago and removed from the collateral pool. The proceeds from those sales were used to pay down the principal balance of the Facility at that time. |  |  |  |  |
| C) Old Post Office Building and Annex - Renovations nearly complete with a target opening date of September $15^{\text {th }}$. The Collateral Property after renovations will consist of a full service hotel, including 250-270 hotel rooms (with specific safety measures and layouts in place for important political figures), approximately $65,000-75,000$ SF of meeting, banquet, food and beverage, retail, the first spa branded by Ivanka Trump. fitness facilities, telecommunications facilities and an underground parking garage with approximately 100 parking spaces. Additionally, retail spaces have been leased to BLT Steak, Brioni Menswear, and Starbucks. Additionally, an agreement has been made with the National Parks Department to allow separate access to the top of the bell tower, a historic element of the building that, when reopened, is anticipated to once again draw a large number of tourists. Additional commentary can be referenced in the recently completed appraisal, but the general conclusion is that the hotel will become the most elite hospitality establishment in Washington, DC once stabilized. Members of the lending and banking team have visitiod the property twice over the last 12 months. |  |  |  |  |


| Assets Under Management: | Monay Market | Fixed Income | Business Checking | Personal Checking | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 888,893$ | $\$ 25,585,836$ | $\$ 25,852$ | $\$ 502,420$ | $\mathbf{5 2 7 , 0 0 2 , 7 0 2}$ |

## Covenants:

## Facility A

Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?
Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting


Financial
Q YesNo $\square$ Not Applicable
Q YesNot Applicable

## Facility B

Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?
Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting
Financial

| Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yes | Q No Not Applicable |
| Yes | - No - Not Applic |
|  |  |
|  | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| Yes | $\square$ No Not |

## Facility C

Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?
Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting
Financial
See Covenant Section

| $\boxtimes$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\square$ Yes | $\boxed{\text { No }} \square$ Not Applicable |
| $\square$ Yes | $\boxed{N}$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| X Yes | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |
| $\boxtimes$ Yes | $\square$ No $\square$ Not Applicable |

## Credit Report - Structured



| Loan A - Trump Endeavour 12, LLC |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type/Facility Amount | $\$ 125,000,000$ Commercial Real Estate Faciility in the principal amount of $\$ 125,000,000$ fully secured by a mortgage on Trump National Doral Golf Club, as evidenced by (i) a Secured Tranche A Note in the principal amount of $\$ 106,000,000$ ("Secured Tranche A Note"), and (ii) a Secured Tranche B Note in the principal amount of $\$ 19,000,000$ ("Secured Tranche B Note"). |
| Purpose: | Acquisition of the collateral property. |
| Maturity: | Tranche A \& B: 8/11/2023 |
| Financial Documentation Covenant | Compliant |
| Repayment: | Interest only with a balloon at maturity. <br> The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, subject to any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract. |
| Interest Rate: | $L+1.75 \%$ or Prime minus $0.75 \%$, with step-up to $L+2.00 \%$ or Prime minus $0.50 \%$, if Guaranty Level falls below $10 \%$ (see discussion above concerning existing Guaranty level/pricing). |
| LIBOR Tenors | Borrower may elect interest periods of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months |
| Fees: | $1.00 \%$ of Facility Amount has been paid and a remaining fee of $\$ 144 \mathrm{M}$ was paid concurrently with converting the Ioan to a fully secured $\$ 125$ MM facility and extending Tranche B's maturity to $8 / 11 / 2023$ (from $8 / 11 / 2015$ ). |
| Guaranty Type | Guarantee level analysis is based on a 32.7\% LTV. The Guarantor has requested to retain a $10 \%$ Guaranty level, although terms of the previously approved Tranche A note allow for no personal Guarantee if the LTV is below $35 \%$. As outlined below, the Guaranty level at or below a $35 \%$ LTV was originally contemplated to be $0 \%$, at which time pricing was scheduled to increase to $\mathrm{L}+\mathbf{2 . 0 0 \%}$. Retaining a $10 \%$ Guaranty level clearly strengthens the credit. <br> *See Previous Credit memo for Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, dated 7/24/13 for further detail. |


| Max <br> LTV | Guaranty <br> Level |
| :---: | :---: |
| $85 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $65 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $55 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $45 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| $35 \%$ | $0 \%$ |

## Credit Report - Structured

| Loan B - 401 North Wabash Venture, LLC |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type/Facility Amount | \$45,000,000 |
| Purpose: | Original proceeds were used to refinance the existing construct to perm facility. Subsequent proceeds were used to provide working capital for business purposes. |
| Maturity: | 6/1/2024 |
| Collateral Property | A first mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the commercial component ("Hotel Collateral") of the property consisting of, but not limited to, (a) a full service hotel, including 339 condo-hotel rooms, of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrower Units"), which shall be included as Collateral and 164 rooms that are owned by third parties ("Third Party Units") and which shall not be included as Collateral, (b) approximately $38,000 \mathrm{SF}$ of banquet space, (c) a $23,000 \mathrm{SF}$ spa, and (d) a 285 space public parking garage, including the Borrower's fee simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all other related assets. |
| Maximum Advance Rate: | Shall not exceed 60\% of the "as is" appraised value of the Hotel Collateral 7 |
| Mandatory Repayment: | As the principal amount of the Facility is now \$45MM, no further on-going principal payments are required. <br> Prior to the Facility reaching the $\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}$ outstanding threshold, principal payments were due quarterly based on a 30 year amortization schedule. |
| Interest Rate: | L + 2.00\% |
| LIBOR Tenors | Borrower may elect interest periods of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months |
| Fees: | 0.75\% of the Original Facility Amount was paid at closing |
| DSC Covenant | Borrower shall maintain a debt senvice coverage ratio equal to or in excess of 1.35 to 1.00 as determined and tested by Lender based upon a trailing twelve (12) month basis. |
| Guaranty: | NA - Donald Trump's personal guarantee has been eliminated due to the fact that the Facility LTV is below the $35 \%$ threshold. Based on the latest appraisal completed, the Hotel collateral was valued al $\$ 133 \mathrm{MM}$ which results in a $34 \%$ LTV. <br> The LTV Range shall be calculated based on the most recent appraisal received in accordance with the existing terms and conditions under the transaction documents. |


| Loan C-Trump Old Post Office |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Facility Amount | The lesser of i) $\$ 170,000,000$ and ii) $80 \%$ of the Redevelopment Investment Plan. |
| $>$Redevelopment Investment Plan - shall represent a budget to complete the Project consisting of hard <br> costs, soft costs (including, without limitation, interest), and operating shortfalls and consisting of: (i) at <br> least 20\% of the Redevelopment Investment Plan as of the Closing Date (the "Defined Equity Amount") <br> to be invested directly by the Guarantor, and (ii) any remaining amounts, in an amount not to exceed <br> $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$, expected to be provided within the Facility Amount. |  |
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## Credit Report - Structured

| Facility Type: | Multiple-draw construction loan facility with (i) interest only payable during the Redevelopment Period, and (ii) <br> during the Post Redevelopment Period either: (a) interest only at any time the loan-to-value (the "LTV') is no <br> greater than $75 \%$, and (b) principal payments, based on a $25-y e a r ~ a m o r t i z a t i o n ~ s c h e d u l e ~ a t ~ a n y ~ t i m e ~ t h e ~ L T V ~ i s ~$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| greater than $75 \%$. |  |
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## Credit Report - Structured

| DSC Covenant | > Redevelopment Period - None <br> > Post Redevelopment Period - At all times during the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio ("DSC") defined as the Net Operating Income ("NOI") divided by Debt Service of no less than $1.15 x$. "Debt Service" is defined as all principal (if applicable) and interest calculated on the current loan amount outstanding assuming a 25 -year amortization schedule, which assumption shall only include actual debt service due under the loan. Covenant to be test annually beginning with the first full calendar year commencing four (4) years after the Closing Date. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maximum LTV | Redevelopment Period - 80\% of the Redevelopment Investment Plan. <br> - Post Redevelopment Period - The Property will maintain a minimum appraised value that provides a maximum LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. The Guarantor may cure any deficiency cause by a valuation shorffall through the repayment of principal to an amount that the maximum LTV based on the revised valuation remains less than $85 \%$ with such payment due within 10 business days of notification. |
| Expiration of Redevelopment Period: | 4-Years from the Closing Date <br> $>$ No later than 4-years from the Closing Date, Borrower shall deliver to Lender: (a) one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalents for the Major Components (which shall not be required to cover areas relating to minor details of construction, decoration or mechanical adjustment, the non-completion of which does not materially interfere with the operation of the Property as a whole), and (b) an appraisal of the Property, which shall be prepared by an appraiser selected by the Lender, indicating an LTV of no greater than $85 \%$. The term "Major Components" shall mean: (i) $90 \%$ of the hotel rooms, (ii) the meeting and banquet space and (iii) one operating restaurant. A temporary or final certificate of occupancy or its equivalent for the entire Project (which shall not be required to cover areas relating to minor details of construction, decoration or mechanical adjustment, or uncompleted work in connection with disputes concerning items of a historic nature, the noncompletion of which does not materially interfere with the operation of the Property as a whole) shall be delivered to the Lender no later than 5 -years from the Closing Date. |
| Guaranty: | Donald J. Trump will provide a full and unconditional guarantee of: (i) principal and interest due under the facility, (ii) swap breakage costs, (iii) operating shortfalls of the Propety until the end of the Shorfall Coverage Period and (iv) a completion guaranty, guaranteeing the lien-free completion of the Project acceptable to Lender, as evidence by, among other things, one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalent, architects certificate and appropriate lien waivers, each reasonably acceptable to Lender. |
| Guarantor Covenants | During the Redevelopment Period: <br> - Guarantor shall maintain unencumbered liquidity at all times of at least $\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}$ with at last $\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}$ to be maintained with the Lender. <br> o Guarantor shall not, at any time, have any additional indebtedness (direct or contingent) in excess of \$500MM (the "Guarantor Liability Cap"), excluding ( $x$ ) any obligation under this Facility and ( $y$ ) any Guarantor indebtedness (direct or contingent) existing as of June 30, 2013, as reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition referred to below (which includes the Doral and Chicago facilities). <br> > At all times during the term of the facility: <br> Guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion excluding the value related to the Guarantor's brand. |

Borrower shall have the option to purchase interest rate protection in the form of a swap, reasonably acceptable to Lender, and secure related credit exposure (the "Swap Exposure") through the mortgage for the Facility. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap at closing or at any time during the loan term, and the term of such swap agreement does not have to be coterminous with the loan. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap from Lender or any other party; provided, however, if such swap is not provided by Lender or any of its affiliates, such swap obligation shall not be secured by any assets of Borrower.

During the Redevelopment Period the Borrower or Guarantor shall be required to post cash collateral within in three (3) business days' notice from the Lender, should at any time the actual mark-to-market amount exceeds the defined Threshold Amount plus the MTA. At such time, the minimum amount of cash collateral to be posted shall be equal to the difference between the actual mark-to-market and the Threshold Amount.

During the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall not be required to post any additional cash collateral and any related Swap Exposure will remain secured by the mortgage. Any cash collateral related to the Swap Exposure, in accordance with the term in effect during the Redevelopment Period, shall be released upon receipt of the Initial Appraisal to the extent such Swap Exposure plus the principal amount outstanding under the Facility does not exceed $85 \%$. Once such cash collateral is released the Borrower will have no further obligation to post any additional cash collateral during the Post Redevelopment Period.

Threshold Amount - shall be equal to $\$ 10,000,000$ minus the Independent Amount. Minimum Transfer Amount ("MTA") - shall be equal to $\$ 250,000$. Independent Amount - shall be equal to $5 \%$ of the notional amount of the Swap.

## Repayment Sources/ Key Risks/Mitigants

## Facility A

Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort following the Renovation Period. Based on projections the Resort should be able to satisfactorily service principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule (reference property performance section below which indicates a $9.03 x$ DSC ratio in just the first full year post renovation)
> Tertiary Source of Repayment: When the LTV is above $65 \%$, DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee, which eliminates any shorffall associated with operating and liquidating Collateral. As equity in the collateral increases, the Guaranty Level steps down concurrently.

## Facility B

> Primary Source of Repayment: Cash flow generated by the Hotel Collateral.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Refinancing the Hotel Collateral property.
> Tertiary Source of Repayment: Sale of the Hotel Collateral property.

## Facility C

$>$ Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Hotel following the Redevelopment Period. Based on projections, the Hotel should be able to satisfactorily service principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule. Applying a $10.00 \%$ discount rate and an $8.00 \%$ terminal capitalization rate to the subject's projected income stream, the indicated value of the leasehold interest in the subject property upon completion of construction is $\$ 237,400,000$, or $\$ 903,000$ per key, per the Bank-ordered May 2016 appraisal.

| Implied Ovarall Capitallzation Rates |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Capitalization |
|  | NOI | Rate |
| Year One | $\$ 14,444,211$ | $6.08 \%$ |
| Stabilized Year | $\$ 20,131,783$ | $8.48 \%$ |
| Stabilized Year Deflated to Year One | $\$ 18,423,433$ | $7.76 \%$ |

> Tertiary Source of Repayment: DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee of the entire facility for the term.

## Recommendation:

Approval of i) the Annual Review for Facility A (Doral), Facility B (Chicago), and Facility C (OPO).

## All Facillies

- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The financial profile of the Guarantor includes, on an adjusted basis, a net worth of $\$ 2.8$ billion with $\$ 175.3 \mathrm{MM}$ in unencumbered liquidity.
- Operating Experience - DJT's extensive experience in operating private golf/country clubs. His current portfolio includes 16 such clubs and his overall equity position in various CRE interests exceeds $\$ 4,390 \mathrm{MM}$ ( $\$ 2,195 \mathrm{MM}$ on an adjusted basis).
- DB Relationship - In connection with the addition of Facility C, DJT transferred \$40MM in liquidity to DB. He has also indicated he is interested in continued to grow his non-credit relationship with the firm. The WM Banking team has been introduced to each of DJT's three adult children and two have established relationships with the firm. A significant relationship also continues to grow through the familial lines of Ivanka Trump's spouse, Jared Kushner.
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## Credit Report - Structured

## Facilify A

- Equity Injection of the Guarantor: The estimated equity injection is $\$ 200 \mathrm{MM}$.
- Expected Enhanced Value due to Capex -The Resort is a world class location that has been home to a PGA event every year since it opened in 1962. With DJT's $\$ 200 \mathrm{MM}$ capital investment complete, the appraised value has significantly benefited. The improvements and properly stabilization are expected to continue to increase the value significantly over the term of the Facility.


## Facility A \& B

- Leverage Levels required for Step-Down of the Guaranty - The LTV levels required for a decrease in the guaranty levels are now low enough to ensure that the loan is more than adequately collateralized, as evidenced by the current Facility A and Facility B, in which the Guaranty were eliminated on both in conjunction with the current appraised values.


## Eacility $B$

- Quality of the collateral and LTV - The property is in the form of a luxury hotel building in downtown Chicago. Based on the latest appraisal completed, the Hotel collateral was valued at $\$ 133 \mathrm{MM}$ which results in a $34 \%$ LTV.
- In 2014, proceeds from the sale of the 7 additional condo units originally pledged as collateral were applied toward the principal balance of the loan (facility has been paid down from \$73MM to \$45MM year-over-year).


## Eacility C

- Equity Injection of the Guarantor: As of June 2015, the Guarantor completed the required 20\% Equity Investment and began to draw on the $\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$ Facility.
- Borrower's Successful Operating Experience: The Trump Hotel Collection consists of 8 Luxury Hotels in New York, Chicago (secures Facility B), Las Vegas, Hawaii, Toronto, Miami (secures Facility A) and Panama with 3 new hotels (including the subject OPO project) coming on-line in the next 2-3 years. The Trump name has been associated with the highest level of luxury and the hotels in the collection have performed successfully, even through the previous economic downturn.


## Credit Report - Structured
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| From: | Thomas Eggenschwiler |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Thursday, July 21, 2016 7:44 AM |
| To: | Gaston Alegre |
| Cc: | Nicholas Haigh |
| Subject: | RE: DT Annual Review [I] |

Classification: For internal use only

Approved from my side

Thomas Eggenschwiler, CFA
Chief Risk Officer
Deutsche Bank Wealth Management
Hardstrasse 201, 8005 Zurich, Switzerland
Tel. +41 (44) 224-5900
Mobile +41 (79) 458-0139

From: Gaston Alegre
Sent: Donnerstag, 21. Juli 2016 00:27
To: Thomas Eggenschwiler
Cc: Nicholas Haigh
Subject: DT Annual Review [I]

Classification: For internal use only

Dear Thomas,

Please find attached the annual review for Mr. Trump. This review requires your approval based on credit authority.
Please let me know if you require any additional information

All covenants are up to date and properties in Facilities $A(9.0 x) \& B(1.9 x)$ are covering their respective DSCR. Regarding Facility C (Old Post Office), the property is on track to start operating at reduced capacity by September with most work being completed by year-end. We have so far disbursed USD 112 out of the USD 170 mn commitment and our Lending and Business colleagues have been to see the building in the last 3 months.

## Loan A (fully drawn)

Borrower: Trump Endeavor 12, LLC
Property: $\quad$ Trump National Doral Golf Club, Miami
Purpose: Acquisition
Amount:
Tranche A: $\quad \$ 106 \mathrm{MM}$
Tranche B: $\quad \$ 19 \mathrm{MM}$
LTV: $\quad 32.7 \%$
Maturity: $\quad 8 / 11 / 2023$
DT Guaranty: sliding scale based on LTV (currently 10\% to keep lower interest charge only)
Pricing: L + 1.75\%
Structure: Loan A has two tranches because at origination Tranche B was unsecured. Tranche B is now secured based on the most recent independent appraisal.
Booking Unit: DBTCA

## Rating Change: none

## Loan B (fully drawn)

Borrower: 401 North Walbash Venture LLC
Property: Trump International Hotel Chicago
Purpose: $\quad$ Refi and working capital for business purposes.
Amount: $\quad \$ 45 \mathrm{MM}$
LTV: 34\%
Maturity: $\quad 6 / 1 / 2024$
DT Guaranty: sliding scale based on LTV (currently 0\%)
Pricing: $\quad L+2 \%$
Booking Unit: DBTCA
Rating Change: none

Loan C (partially drawn)
Borrower: Trump Old Post Office LLC
Property: Old Post Office, Washington, DC
Purpose: conversion from office building to luxury hotel
Amount: $\quad \$ 170 \mathrm{MM}$ ( $\$ 112 \mathrm{MM}$ outstanding)
LTV: $\quad 71.6 \%$
Maturity: $\quad 8 / 11 / 2024$
DT Guaranty: sliding scale based on LTV (currently 100\%)
Pricing: $\quad \mathrm{L}+2 \%$ (during redevelopment period, then steps down)
Swap: $\quad \$ 10.25 \mathrm{MM}$ unsecured threshold (\$0 usage)
Booking Unit: DBTCA
Rating Change: CPD to iBBB from iBBB+

## On Guarantor (based on 6/2015):

| Assets: | USD5.7bn |
| :--- | :--- |
| Liquid assets: | USD 0.2bn (proforma USD 0.1bn) |
| Liabilities: | USD0.5bn |
| Net Worth: | USD5.2bn |

I would like to make the point that the review is based on financial information dated June 2015 given that the client has to provide updated June 2016 figures by October only. Given the different projects that he is involved in, it makes sense to address the liquidity which I make based on estimates only. As of June 2015 his liquid assets were reported at USD192mn. These figures already reflected his participation in the Old Post Office development ( $20 \%$ equity before we funded). We hear that he has spent USD $50 \mathrm{mn}+$ on his campaign and has likely invested in his Scotland Golf Course up to USD 50 mn to finish the update that inaugurated in June 2016. In worse case scenario and without taking into any additional inflows from his investments and not considering what else he could spend on his campaign going forward, he should have at least USD90 $\mathrm{mn}+$ which is above the covenant of USD 50 mn minimum.

Please find attached the full Credit Report write-up.
Regards,
Gaston Alegre
Director
Risk - CRM Wealth Management
(212)-454-2285
From: Gaston Alegre

Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 12:11 PM
To:
Subject:

## Jissel Cortes

FW: DT Annual Review - CONFIDENTIAL [1]

Classification: For internal use only

DBTCA sign-off.

From: Joern Joseph
Sent: Wednesday, July 27, 2016 12:00 PM
To: Gaston Alegre
Cc: Nicholas Haigh
Subject: RE: DT Annual Review - CONFIDENTIAL [I]

Classification: For internal use only

Gaston,

Hereby approved.

Thanks

Joern

From: Gaston Alegre
Sent: Tuesday, July 26, 2016 6:51 AM
To: Joern Joseph
Cc: Nicholas Haigh
Subject: RE: DT Annual Review - CONFIDENTIAL [I]
Good morning Joerg,

There is no cross default on a borrower level but there is cross default on a guarantor level for the Old Post Office and for the Doral golf course where DT is still a guarantor.

I hope this answers your question.

Regards,

Gaston
-----Original Message-----
From: Joern Joseph
Sent: Monday, July 25, 2016 06:47 PM Eastern Standard Time
To: Gaston Alegre
Cc: Nicholas Haigh
Subject: RE: DT Annual Review - CONFIDENTIAL [I]

## Approved

Quick questions. Am I right that we have no cross default provision in place as we have it at the Wasserman CRE loans?

Thanks
Joern

From: Gaston Alegre
Sent: Thursday, July 21, 2016 1:54 PM
To: Joern Joseph
Cc: Nicholas Haigh
Subject: DT Annual Review - CONFIDENTIAL [I]

Classification: For internal use only

Dear Joern,

Following up on our conversation of Tuesday, please find attached the annual review for Mr. Trump. The credit report has been approved by Thomas Eggenschwiler by email (see attached) and me. In Nick's absence, would you please review and provide a local A1 authority approval. Please let me summarize the review below:

All covenants are up to date and properties in Facilities $A(9.0 x) \& B(1.9 x)$ are covering their respective DSCR. Regarding Facility C (Old Post Office), the property is on track to start operating at reduced capacity by September with most work being completed by year-end. We have so far disbursed USD 112 out of the USD 170 mn commitment and our Lending and Business colleagues have been to see the building in the last 3 months.

```
Loan A (fully drawn)
Borrower: Trump Endeavor 12, LLC
Property: Trump National Doral Golf Club, Miarni
Purpose: Acquisition
Amount:
    Tranche A: $106MM
    Tranche B; $19MM
LTV: 32.7%
Maturity: 8/11/2023
DT Guaranty: sliding scale based on LTV (currently 10% to keep lower interest charge only)
Pricing: L + 1.75%
Structure: Loan A has two tranches because at origination Tranche B was unsecured. Tranche B is now secured
based on the most recent independent appraisal.
Booking Unit: DBTCA
Rating Change: none
Loan B [fully drawn)
Borrower: }401\mathrm{ North Walbash Venture LLC
Property: Trump International Hotel Chicago
Purpose: Refi and working capital for business purposes.
Amount: $45MM
LTV: 34%
Maturity: 6/1/2024

DT Guaranty: sliding scale based on LTV (currently 0\%)
Pricing: \(\quad L+2 \%\)
Booking Unit: DBTCA
Rating Change: none

\section*{Loan C (partially drawn)}

Borrower: \(\quad\) Trump Old Post Office LLC
Property: Old Post Office, Washington, DC
Purpose: conversion from office building to luxury hotel
Amount: \(\quad \$ 170\) MM ( \(\$ 112 \mathrm{MM}\) outstanding)
LTV: 71.6\%
Maturity: 8/11/2024
DT Guaranty: sliding scale based on LTV (currently 100\%)
Pricing: \(\quad \mathrm{L}+2 \%\) (during redevelopment period, then steps down)
Swap: \(\quad \$ 10.25 \mathrm{MM}\) unsecured threshold (\$0 usage)
Booking Unit: DBTCA
Rating Change: CPD to iBBB from iBBB +

\section*{On Guarantor (based on 6/2015):}

Assets: USD5.7bn
Liquid assets: USD 0.2 bn (proforma USD 0.1bn)
Liabilities: USDO.5bn
Net Worth: USD5.2bn
I would like to make the point that the review is based on financial information dated June 2015 given that the client has to provide updated June 2016 figures by October only. Given the different projects that he is involved in, it makes sense to address the liquidity which I make based on estimates only. As of June 2015 his liquid assets were reported at USD192mn. These figures already reflected his participation in the Old Post Office development ( \(20 \%\) equity before we funded). We hear that he has spent USD \(50 \mathrm{mn}+\) on his campaign and has likely invested in his Scotland Golf Course up to USD 50 mn to finish the update that he inaugurated in June 2016. In worst case and without taking into any additional inflows from his investments and not considering what else he could spend on his campaign going forward, he should have at least USD90mn+ which is above the covenant of USD 50 mn minimum.

Please find attached the full Credit Report write-up.
Regards,
Gaston Alegre
Director
Risk - CRM Wealth Management
(212)-454-2285

\section*{II - Financlal Analysis - Guarantor}

It should be noted that the Guarantor, DJT, is required to provide financials within 120 days of \(6 / 30\) FYE. Thus the most recent financials available are as of \(6 / 30 / 15\). We are not aware of any material changes to the Guarantor's financial profile.
Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although all three Facilities are secured by Collateral, given the unique nature of these credits, the credit exposure continues to be recommended for support based on the financial profile of the Guarantor (or the ability to rely once again on the Guarantor if collateral values dropped and LTVs increased). As part of this underwriting we have met with several members of the family office to update our due diligence on the client reported financial information, as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional details are included in the Guarantor's financial statements.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Financial Summary (\$ in millions) \\
Source: Client provided financials
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { 6/30/2011 } \\
\text { (Client } \\
\text { Reported) }
\end{gathered}
\] & DJT
6/30/2012
(Client
Reported) & DJT
6/30/2013
(Client
Reported) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { 6/30/2014 } \\
\text { (Client } \\
\text { Reported) }
\end{gathered}
\] & DJT
6/30/2014
(DB
Adjusted) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DJT } \\
& \text { 6/30/2015 } \\
& \text { (Cllent } \\
& \text { Reported) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { 6/30/2015 } \\
\text { (DB Adjusted) }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Cash \& Marketable Securities & \$258.9 & \$169.7 & \$339.1 & \$302.3 & \$285.3 & \$192.3 & \$175.3 \\
\hline Escrow \& Reserve Deposits & \$9.1 & \$10.8 & \$15.2 & \$40.0 & - & \$33.7 & - \\
\hline Real Estate - Net Equity & \$2,996.9 & \$3,184.2 & \$3,268.7 & \$3,867.0 & \$1,933.5 & \$4,390 & \$2,195 \\
\hline Partnerships \& Joint Ventures & \$720.0 & \$823.3 & \$869.3 & \$923.1 & \$408.5 & \$946 & \$473 \\
\hline Real Estate Licensing & \$89.3 & \$65.2 & \$174.7 & \$329.7 & \$164.9 & \$339 & \$169.5 \\
\hline Other Assets & \$199.2 & \$318.5 & \$352.0 & \$352.8 & \$209.1 & \$679.3 & \$339.65 \\
\hline Total Assets & \$4,273.4 & \$4,563.9 & \$5,019.0 & \$5,814.9 & \$3,001.2 & \$5,680.3 & \$3,352.4 \\
\hline Personal Mortgage other Debt & \$8.4 & \$8.3 & \$20.5 & \$20.4 & \$20.4 & \$. 4 & \$. 4 \\
\hline Other Liabilities & \$3.7 & \$4.4 & \$20.4 & \$17.0 & \$17.0 & \$472.4 & \$472.4 \\
\hline Net Worth & \$4,261.3 & \$4,559.0 & \$4,978.0 & \$5,777.5 & \$2,650.9 & \$5,207.5 & \$2,879.6 \\
\hline Contingent Obligations & \$114.0 & \$195.7 & \$197.2 & \$276.0 & \$276.0 & Included in other liablikios above & incurded in other Mabilites above \\
\hline Net Cash Flow * & \$82.4 & (\$89.2) & \$169.7 & (\$36.7) & (\$36.7) & (\$105.6) & (\$105.6) \\
\hline Leverage Ratio ( \(<=.30\) ) & . 13 & . 14 & 01 & . 01 & . 15 & . 13 & . 13 \\
\hline Cash Flow Ratio (>= .35) & . 57 & -0.67 & 0.45 & -. 10 & -. 10 & -. 10 & -. 10 \\
\hline Liquidity Ratio (>= .25) & 2.04 & 1.32 & 0.90 & . 98 & . 81 & . 50 & 50 \\
\hline Asset Coverage Ratio ( \(>=6.0\) ) & 31.7 & 33.32 & 13.27 & 16.60 & 8.68 & 9.62 & 9.62 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(>\quad\) Liquidity - The Guarantor reports liquidity of \(\$ 192.3 \mathrm{MM}\) as of \(6 / 30 / 15\) consisting of funds in Mr. Trump's name personally and various entities that Mr. Trump controls. The client reported balances have remained on par from the prior year, with fluctuations larger from than in previous years due to political contributions, limited additional CRE acquisitions and the Guarantor taking fewer distributions from his properties. Specifically, significant equity injections were required for the Doral (approximately \(\$ 250 \mathrm{MM}\) was invested by the Guarantor over a three year period from 2012-2015). Personal liquidity was also utilized for ramped up construction at the OPO property, and for financing associated with the Guarantors Presidential campaign. Property valuations significantly increased as a result of the referenced equity injections, increasing the overall net worth of the Guarantor.
\(>\) The Guarantor's personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversified portfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantor's portfolio of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of membership deposits. In accordance with industry standards, premier golf clubs require new members post a non-interest bearing 30-year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are non-refundable for 30 -years without condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit which is generally contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. An updated value of the outstanding deposits was not provided via the \(6 / 30 / 15\) financial statements; however, as of \(6 / 30 / 13\), the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the clubs owned by the Guarantor was approximately \(\$ 213 \mathrm{MM}\), much of which has been reinvested into the clubs. Given the lack of any conditional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income. None of these deposits have been included in the Guarantors liquidity.
\(>\) Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantor's total real estate portfolio, as of \(6 / 30 / 15\) which reflects the Guarantor's 4 wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 13 wholly owned club facilities, other major property interests and properties currently under development. DB adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.
\(>\quad\) Net Worth - The Guarantor's reported net worth has increased nearly \(\$ 300 \mathrm{MM}\), largely in part to his real estate equity and licensing. It should also be noted that the DB adjusted calculation of the Guarantor's balance sheet does not include any valuation for his brand, which has received a 100\% haircut.

Partial Breakdown of 4 Trophy Pronerties (excludes various oronerties including DB facilities)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Property Type & DJT Valuation & DB Valuation & Reported Debt & DJT Net Equity & DB Adjusted Net Equity \\
\hline Trump Tower - \(7255^{\text {tin }}\) Ave & \$880.9 & \$480.0 & \$100.0 & \$780.9 & \$380.0 \\
\hline Niketown - East \(57{ }^{\text {th }}\) St & \$466.5 & \$175.0 & \$21.4 & \$445.1 & \$153.6 \\
\hline 40 Wall Street & \$735.4 & \$500.0 & \$160.0 & \$575.4 & \$340.0 \\
\hline Trump Park Ave & \$251.4 & \$173.0 & \$17.7 & \$233.7 & \$155.3 \\
\hline Subtotal - 4 Trophy Properties & \$3,662.2 & \$1,328.0 & \$299.1 & \$2,035.1 & \$1,028.9 \\
\hline Club Facilities & \$1,873.3 & \$1,004.7 & \$145.6 & \$1,727.7 & \$859.1 \\
\hline Other Property Interest & \$182.5 & \$182.5 & \$27.71 & \$154.8 & \$154.79 \\
\hline Total - Portfolio & \$5,718.0 & \$2,515.0 & \$444.73 & \$3,917.6 & \$2,042.8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
> 4 Trophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were previously discussed with DB Valuation Services Group ("DBVSG") who advised on adjustments for each.
- Trump Towers - The 68 story building contains residential and condominiums that are owned by residents along with 178,000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of retail space. As of \(6 / 30 / 15\) the property had associated debt of approx \(\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}\). The loan is non recourse and matures in 2022 . The most \(6 / 30 / 15\) financial statement values the property at \(\$ 880.9 \mathrm{MM}\) resulting in a roughly \(11.5 \%\) LTV.
- Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with respect to 2 long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the building located on \(57^{\text {th }}\) street between Madison and \(5^{\text {th }}\) Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retail Services. The current lease is scheduled to expire in May 2017. The space includes 65,000 square feet of retail space. Based on sq foot assumption DBVSG previously indicated an adjusted value of \(\$ 175 \mathrm{MM}\). Financing on the space is in the form of long-term bonds for a total of \(\$ 21.42 \mathrm{MM}(4.6 \%\) LTV based on a \(\$ 466.5 \mathrm{MM}\) valuation) which are scheduled to fully amortize by June \(1,2017\).
- 40 Wall Street - The 72 floor tower consist of 1.3 MM SF in premier office space. Based on a SF assumption DBVSG indicated an adjusted value of \(\$ 500 \mathrm{MM}\). The existing debt in the amount of \(\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}\), of which the Guarantor currently guarantees \(\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}\), is scheduled to mature in November 2017.
- Trump Park Avenue - The property located on \(59^{\text {lh }}\) Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space and has a reported value based on unsold units and retail rates of \(\$ 346.1 \mathrm{MM}\). The unsold condominium units have been pledged as collateral for the mortgage which, as of \(6 / 30 / 15\), hed an outstanding balance of \(\$ 17.6 \mathrm{MM}\) and matures \(8 / 1 / 20\). Based on prior discussions with DBVSG we elected to take an approximate \(50 \%\) haircut on the reported value.
\(>\) US Club Facilities - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 13 domestic private club facilities having a combined market value of approximately \$1,873.3MM which include The Mar-A-Lago Club in Paim Beach Fi; Trumn National Golt Club in Briarcliff Manor N.Y; Trump Intemational Golf Club in Palm Beach County. FI. Trump National Golf Club in LA, Ca; Trump National Golf Club in Bedminster, Ny; Trump National Golf Club in Colfs Neck, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Washingion, DC; Trump Golf Links af Fern Point, Bronx, NY; Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Vallev. NY; Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia PA. Trump National Doral in Miami, Florida, Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte, NC, and Trumo National Golf Club in Jupiter, Florida.
\(>\) European Golf Clubs - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 3 European golf club facilities which include Trump International Golf Club in Scotland. Aberdeen; Trump Turnberry, South Aurshire. Scotland; Trump International Golf Links Ireland. Doonbea.
\(>\) Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned interests in The Trump World Tower at United Nations; 100 Central Park South; Trump Plaza NY; Trump International Hotel and Tower, Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East Condominiums and the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford. NY. These properties consist of commercial, retail and hotel space along with condominium units and raw land. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value (with the exception of the Mansion at Seven Springs) we applied a haircut of approximately \(21 \%\), which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors 4 Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team. With regards to the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford New York, this property consists of over 200 acres of land, a mansion and other buildings. This property is zoned for 9 luxury homes and valued at \(\$ 301.5 \mathrm{MM}\) based on an assessment made by the Guarantor in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow which he would derive as those units are constructed and sold, and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other buildings. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(75 \%\) haircut to this asset to reflect the uncertainty in valuing undeveloped land.

It should be noted that as of June 30,2015 , the Guarantor has continued to elocted not to include the value of the Trump Chicago International Hotel \& Tower in his financial statements thus we have omitted this asser from our analvsis of Mr. Trump's personal financial condition. It should be noted that on \(11 / 9 / 12\). DB provided the Guarantor with a S98MM bifurcated commercial mortgsge faciliv on the Trump International Hotel and Condos in Chicago (Facility B). Since closing, the Facility has been paid down to \(\$ 19 \mathrm{MM}\), increased last year to \(\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}\) and paid down again to 545 MM . as further discussed in the Facility 8 section of the sublect annual review.
> Partnership and Joint Ventures -
- 1290 Avenue of the Americas, NY and 555 California Street, San Francisco, CA - In May of 2007 Mr . Trump partnered with Vornado Realty Trust in two buildings in NY and San Francisco. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containing approximately 2MM leasable SF housing such tenants as Microsoft, AXA Equitable, and Cushman \& Wakefield. 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office buildings for a total of 1.7MM leasable SF in addition to a subterranean garage. Current tenants include Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, UBS Financial Services, Citigroup and Wells Fargo. Mr. Trump owns \(30 \%\) of these properties. The value of \(\$ 946 \mathrm{MM}\) is net of debt. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of this asset.

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC JULY 2016 - STRUCTURED - ANNUAL REVIEW

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\begin{abstract}
- Trump International Hotel and Tower - Las Vegas, Nevada - Entities owned by Mr. Trump have formed a JV with Philip Ruffin as equal members, and have built a luxury hotel and condominium tower near the Las Vegas Strip. The Tower is the tallest hotel condominium tower in Las Vegas with over 1,200 condominium units, a \(10,000 \mathrm{SF}\) spa, a fitness center, salon, gourmet restaurant, heated pool and valet parking. The estimated current value of \(\$ 107.7 \mathrm{MM}\) is after the current mortgage debt of \(\$ 22.8 \mathrm{MM}\), with final maturity of 7/5/17. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of this asset.
\end{abstract}
> Real Estate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous associations with several other parties for purposes of developing properties and other projects. Terms of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance. The estimated current value of \(\$ 339 \mathrm{MM}\) was based on situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exit and fees and other compensation which he will earn are reasonably quantifiable. The Guarantor has pledged certain of these fees to secure a \$19.76MM mortgage on The Trump Tower at United Nations Plaza. Accordingly, the \(\$ 19.76 \mathrm{MM}\) has been reflected on Mr. Trump's financial statement as "Other mortgages and loans payable". For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of this asset.
> Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Cessna Citation X and 2 Sikorsky helicopters, ownership rights to The Apprentice/Celebrity Apprentice Series and the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 2,000 acre vineyard in Charlottesville Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties, an international talent/model agency and receivables representing amounts earned to date end contract rights with regards to future performances on television. For purposes deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut on reported value. It should be noted that last year the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independent valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report indicated a brand value in the range of \(\$ 2.8\) billion to \(\$ 3.0\) billion. For purposes of our financial analysis we assumed no value for the Trump brand.
> Contingents - as of \(6 / 17 / 15\) DJT's gross contingent obligations were reported to be \(\$ 167.79 \mathrm{MM}\), which included: a) the \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) in support provided under the Doral credit facility with WM (in actuality Mr. Trump is not currently obligated to provide any Guaranty on this amount based on the low LTV, but he has voluntarily agreed to leave a \(10 \%\) Guaranty in place ( \(\$ 12.5 \mathrm{MM}\) )), b) \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\) in personal recourse on the existing debt related to the Trump International Hotel in Chicago also with WM (in actuality Mr. Trump is not currently obligated to provide any Guaranty on this amount either, based on the low LTV); c) \(\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}\) on a limited guarantee for the \(\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}\) commercial mortgage on 40 Wall Street extended by Capital One; d) \$11MM on Trump Golf at Ferry Point to the City of New York, e) \(\$ 7.1 \mathrm{MM}\) related to 7 Springs Resort and \(\$ 3.9 \mathrm{MM}\) in certain other project related completion guarantees, f) \(\$ 6 \mathrm{MM}\) related to the OPO facility as of the \(6 / 30 / 15\) financial statement date (draws are in excess of \(\$ 112.1 \mathrm{MM}\) as of the date of this annual review memo and DJT currently provides a full Guaranty for this credit facility).
> Net Cash Flow - the Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which is generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash for the period 2010-2015.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Type & Client
Reported FY
FY \(6 / 30 / 15\) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Client } \\
\text { Reported FY } \\
\text { FY } 6 / 30 / 14
\end{gathered}
\] & Client Reported FY 6/30/13 & Client Reported FY 6/30/12 & Client
Reported
\(11 / 30 / 11\) & Client
Reported
2010 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Sources of Cash} \\
\hline Real Estate & \$23.4 & \$43.5 & 29.1 & \$32.1 & \$30.5 & \$125.0 \\
\hline Entertainment & \$5.2 & \$10.8 & 19.5 & \$20.6 & \$23.5 & \$15.3 \\
\hline Clubs & \$34.1 & \$14.4 & 17.4 & \$15.1 & \$12.1 & \$8.0 \\
\hline Licensing & \$18.8 & \$20.5 & 16.1 & \$32.4 & \$33.4 & \$32.3 \\
\hline Non Op. Revenue & -- & -- & 192.9 & -- & \$41.2 & \$50.4 \\
\hline Investment income & \$.98 & \$1.4 & 1.7 & \$1.8 & \$4.2 & \$4.2 \\
\hline Debt Refinancing & \$7.0 & \$50.1 & - & - & - & -- \\
\hline Other & \$3.2 & \$4.0 & 2.2 & \$12.0 & \$10.7 & \$10.5 \\
\hline Total Sources & \$92.7 & \$144.7 & \$278.9 & \$114.0 & \$155.6 & \$245.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Uses of Cash} \\
\hline Property Development & \$153.3 & \$142.2 & \$66.1 & \$69.8 & \$34.8 & \$34.3 \\
\hline Retirement of Debt/Debt Service & -- & \(\cdots\) & \$30.9 & \$74.7 & \$14.2 & \$25.2 \\
\hline Golf Club/ Aircraft Acquisition & - & \$90.4 & \$21.7 & \$32.9 & -- & \$37.0 \\
\hline Acquisitions & \$3.5 & & & & & \\
\hline Income Tax Payable & \$1.3 & \$0.6 & \$6.5 & \$22.0 & \$21.8 & \$2.9 \\
\hline Personal \& Other & \$4.7 & \$3.4 & \$3.8 & \$3.7 & \$2.5 & \$1.7 \\
\hline Advances to operating entities & \$34.5 & & & & & \\
\hline Political Expenses & \$2.2 & & & & & \\
\hline Total Uses & \$199.5 & \$236.7 & \$129.0 & \$203.1 & \$73.3 & \$101.1 \\
\hline Net Excess Cash Flow & (\$106.8) & (\$92.0) & \$149.9 & (\$89.1) & \$82.3 & \$144.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(>\) Real Estate- represents distributions from the portfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outlined in this section.
- Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantor's involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. As this source of cash flow appears to be recurring as long as Mr. Trump is involved with the Apprentice we have chosen to take it at reported value. The Apprentice maintains a one-year rolling contract.
> Clubs - represents distributions generated through the portfolio of 16 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor.
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
> Licensing - represents licensing revenue from a large portfolio of licensing agreements both real estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka, Trump Water, Trump Shits and several other such types of arrangements.
> Non Operating Revenue - No non-operating cash flow was reported for 2014-2015. Prior years, non operating revenue consisted of tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale, distributions from refinancing and other one-time items.
> Investment Income - represents interest and investment income on cash and marketable securities.
\(>\) Other - primarily related to miscellaneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements.
> Uses of Cash - primarily utilized for i) acquisition of resorts/golf clubs/aircraft.
> Political Expenses - first reported for the 2015 reporting year, tied to the Guarantor's election campaign for US President.
> Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of all of the obligations committed and proposed (the full \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) for Doral, \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\) on Chicago and \(\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}\) OPO \(+\$ 10.25 \mathrm{MM}\) Swap Threshold + min transfer amt) by the Guarantor, using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The results indicate that the Guarantor meets 3 out of 4 of the unsecured ratios. As noted above, for purposes of adjusted contingents, all of the adjusted contingent liabilities are related to secured debt, however the full amount of the exposure is still included in the Guarantor's adjusted financials.

Note: Although cash flow has often times been negative over the last few years, negative cash flow in excess of \(\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}\) for the most recent reporting year is significant, yet very understandable. The amount correlates to the funds required from the Guarantor to complete the necessary renovations on the Doral. In all, over \(\$ 103 \mathrm{MM}\) was categorized as property development expenses for this reporting year.

\section*{Property Description/Project Óvervlew - Facility A - Trump Endeavor 12 LLC}

The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include \(86,139 \mathrm{SF}\) of meeting space including a \(24,000 \mathrm{SF}\) ballroom, a \(50,000 \mathrm{SF}\) spa and treatment center, 6 food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport.

The Borrower has just completed extensive renovations as evidenced by the recently received appraisal. The renovations substantially increased the property value to \(\$ 383 \mathrm{MM}\). The renovations were primarily on the Main Building, Guest Rooms, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrades of the lobbies, reception areas, meeting spaces, restaurants, retail spaces, spa and corridors. Renovation of the Lodges and Spa Suites include both interior and exterior work included painting, lighting and landscaping. Guest rooms have undergone a complete renovation including new bathroom fixtures, furniture, flooring, window treatments, lighting and linens. The Member's Clubhouse has been completely redesigned to maximize and upgrade the space and improve the finishes. These improvements will help support and drive an increase in the number of dues-paying members. After the complete renovation of 72 holes of championship golf across four courses during 2013, recent golf course renovations were primarily aesthetic with a focus on improvements to the cart paths and landscaping. In addition, the budget includes lengthening and expanding the driving range to make it consistent with the high standard of the courses. The original loan proceeds were used to enhance the arrival experience, improve the overall landscaping, renovate the pool and outdoor areas and perform a number of other smaller projects.

The Borrower has completed the renovation in phases as the resort remained operational throughout said renovation, although with significantly reduced room availability and additional sections closed as necessary. Occupancy and rates are expected to grow significantly.

\section*{Property Performance - Facility A - Trump Endeavor 12 LLC}

Property Level Financials:
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline In thousands & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year End 2015 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year End 2014 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year End 2013 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year-End 2012 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c}
\(\mathbf{2 0 1 2}\) \\
6 months Actual
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & \(55 \%\) & \(34 \%\) & \(73 \%\) & \(62.2 \%\) & \(48.39 \%\) \\
\hline ADR & \(\$ 209\) & \(\$ 196\) & \(\$ 208\) & \(\$ 175.0\) & \(\$ 148.58\) \\
\hline RevPar & \(\$ 112,74\) & \(\$ 67\) & \(\$ 151,85\) & \(\$ 108.85\) & \(\$ 67.43\) \\
\hline Total Revenue & \(\$ 92,051\) & \(\$ 49,448\) & \(\$ 111,237\) & \(\$ 82,099\) & \(\$ 30,025\) \\
\hline Gross Operating Income & \(\$ 43,489\) & & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Total Operating \\
Expenses
\end{tabular} & \(\$ 29,645\) & \(\$ 51,913\) & \(\$ 87,295\) & \(\$ 69,899\) & \(\$ 37,754\) \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \(\$ 13,846\) & \((\$ 2,465)\) & \(\$ 23,942\) & \(\$ 12,200\) & \((\$ 7,729)\) \\
\hline EBITDA & \(\$ 13,846\) & \(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}\) & \(\$ 26,169\) & \(\$ 12,200\) & \((\$ 6,553)\) \\
\hline Actual Debt to EBITDA & 9.03 x & \(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}\) & 4.78 x & 10.25 x & \(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*It should be noted that operating expenses omit any hotel management fees as these would be subordinate to any payments of senior debt.
There are no debt service tests at this time as the property remained open under significant renovation of the rooms and facilities during 2014, significantly reducing revenue and NOI. Occupancy and average daily rate are expected to grow significantly over the coming years. See below:

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{l|c|c}
\multicolumn{3}{c}{ Subject's Projected ADR Summary } \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{c} 
ADR \\
Growth
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Projected \\
ADR
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Year & & \(\$ 216.97\) \\
\hline Positioned ADR (2015) & & \(\$ .0 \%\) \\
\hline 2016 Partial Year (2 Months) & \(\$ 218.42\) \\
\hline \(3 / 1 / 2016-2 / 28 / 2017\) & \(6.9 \%\) & \(\$ 233.52\) \\
\(3 / 1 / 2017-2 / 28 / 2018\) & \(6.9 \%\) & \(\$ 249.71\) \\
\(3 / 1 / 2018-2 / 28 / 2019\) & \(5.6 \%\) & \(\$ 263.61\) \\
\(3 / 1 / 2019-2 / 29 / 2020\) & \(3.9 \%\) & \(\$ 273.95\)
\end{tabular}

The occupancy rate declined from \(73 \%\) in 2013 to \(34 \%\) in 2014 due to the expansive renovations that occurred throughout 2014. This decrease in occupancy had a negative impact on the overall revenue generation for the subject hotel and resort, which was to be expected over the course. However, in late 2015, the property re-opened and is slowly returning to strengthened occupancy upon the completion of all improvements. Figures should continue to improve as the property is fully operational in 2016 and the Miami tourism business continues to grow.

In addition to room revenue, golf round and greens fees are forecast to increase at an accelerated rate substantially over the next five years. Although these figures are included in the overall NOI discussion, it is important to highlight the benefit received from the course renovations. On a fiscalized basis, the following represents golf and membership forecast summaries through 2020/21:

2016/17: \$23,168,355
2017/18: \$26,250,238
2018/19: \$28,218,876
2019/20: \$29,720,497
2020/21: \$31,012,338

An executive summary of the market and expected hotel performance for 2016, per the March appraisal follows:

\section*{Trump National Doral Miami}
- EBITDA increases from \(\$ 14.8\) million in 2015 to \(\$ 18.8\) million in 2016. Achieve total revenue of \(\$ 98.7\) million comprised of: \(\$ 34.64\) million in rooms, \(\$ 22.46\) million in golf and membership, \(\$ 31.13\) million in food \& beverage, \(\$ 5.53\) million in retail, \(\$ 2.46\) million in spa, \(\$ 2.57\) million in parking and other.
- Occupancy is forecasted to increase from \(55.6 \%\) in 2015 to \(60.2 \%\) in 2016 . Average rate from \(\$ 230.22\) in 2015 to \(\$ 244.47\) in 2016 .
- Rev/par growth from \(\$ 127.98\) in 2015 to \(\$ 147.2\) in 2016 . Index growth from \(60.33 \%\) in 2015 to \(64.72 \%\) to 2016.
- Increase golf and membership revenue from \(\$ 19.0\) million in 2015 to \(\$ 22.46\) million in 2016 with profit from \(\$ 9.4\) million to \(\$ 12.1\) million.
- GOP growth from \(\$ 21.2\) million in 2015 to \(\$ 25.7\) million in 2016.
- GSI score from 8.4 in 2015 to 8.7 in 2016.
- TripAdvisor review score to remain at 90 in 2016.
- Achieve an employee engagement participation of \(95 \%\) total associates and improve associate satisfaction from 85.9 to 89 and loyalty from 91 to 92.

\section*{Property Description/Project Overvlew - Facility B}

Facility B - The Property is known as the 92-story Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago. The entire tower contains 2,637,320 SF of mixed use components which includes a hotel, spa facility, residential condominiums, a parking garage, retail space, restaurants, convention space, and a health club. The Property was originally developed in the 1950's as a mid-rise office building occupied by the Chicago Sun Times which was purchased by Trump for \(\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}\), closing October of 2004. Subsequently the Sun-Times building was demolished and the Trump International Hotel and Tower was developed at a cost of approximately \(\$ 600 \mathrm{MM}\). Occupancy began in January 2008 for the hotel component, and the residential component began delivering units in Fall of 2008 with completion of the entire project in the latter half of 2009.

The Trump International Hotel consists of a 339-room luxury hotel property which includes a bar/restaurant, spa, fitness center, banquet space, parking and ground floor retail. For the purposes of this facility, the collateral consists of \(\mathbf{1 7 5}\) developer owned units as well as the commercial components of the hotel including the food and beverage outlets, the meeting/banquet space, parking and the Spa at Trump. The remaining 164 units are privately owned as part of a "condo-tel" agreement where, at the owner's option, the unit is included in the available rental pool for the hotel.

An appraisal was performed by CB Richard Ellis, dated as of \(4 / 7 / 14\) which indicated an "As Is" value of \(\$ 133 \mathrm{MM}\). Recent correspondence with Phil Ribolow, Hotel and Hospitality expert in CRM READ, indicated that value is still acceptable as of July, 2016.

Further information regarding the Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago can be found in the initial CAM dated 10/24/13.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{Property Performance/Financial Analysis - Facility B}

Hotel Collateral
The hotel property is divided into 3 general sections, the commercial areas including the spa, restaurants, banquet rooms and parking, the 175 sponsor own units (both of these sections compose our collateral) and 164 privately owned third party units (not included as Collateral).

With regards to the third party units, the private owners have the option to put their unit into the rental pool with the sponsor owned units to be rented to the general public. When one of the third party units is selected from a blind pool, the net income to the owner is the rental revenue on the unit revenue split, less various fees for expenses incurred in the operation of the hotel room including a management fee. As noted in the tables below, the \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Party Reimbursable line item represents these expenses that are netted out of the related \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Party Revenue Distribution. In addition to any fees related to the rental of their unit, the unit owner is responsible for the related real estate taxes and reserves as well as CAM and utilities. As per the Borrower, the revenue generated by the unit has historically been sufficient to cover these fixed costs as well as those related to the rental of the unit.

\section*{Historical Financial Results}

The Borrower has provided Profit and Loss statements from 2010-2015.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 12-Mo Ending 12/31/2010 & \begin{tabular}{l}
12-Mo Ending \\
12/31/2011
\end{tabular} & 12-Mo Ending 12/31/12 & 12-Mo Ending 12/31/13 & 12-Mo Ending 12/31/14 & 12-Mo Ending 12/31/15 \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 61.7\% & 68.5\% & 69.7\% & 74.5\% & -- & 75.16\% \\
\hline Average Daily Rate ("ADR") & \$321.67 & \$347.31 & \$384.47 & \$387.96 & - & \$417.67 \\
\hline Revenue per Available Room ("RevPar") & \$198.46 & \$237.98 & \$267.91 & \$289.01 & - & \$302.40 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$46,787 & \$55,226 & \$60,931 & \$65,490 & \$47,572 & \$50,829 \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$40,182 & \$45,439 & \$46,562 & \$48,436 & \$40,892 & \$43,931 \\
\hline Management fee & \$1,847 & \$2,574 & \$2,869 & \$3,033 & \$1,366 & \$1,424 \\
\hline \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Party Revenue Distribution & \$7,068 & \$8,222 & \$16,490 & \$17,793 & N/A & N/A \\
\hline \(3^{\text {ra }}\) Party Reimbursables & (\$3,989) & \((\$ 6,030)\) & (\$11,900) & \((12,606)\) & N/A & N/A \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$1,679 & \$5,021 & \$6,910 & \$8,834 & \$6,679 & \$6,897 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{|l|}
\hline \(\begin{array}{l}\text { Projected Debt Service (P } \\
\text { 81)* }\end{array}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular} 81)* & \$5,085 & \$5,085 & \$5,085 & \$5,085 & \$5,085 & \$5,085 \\
\hline DSCR (P 8 1)** & 0.33 x & 0.99x & 1.36x & 1.74x & 1.31x & 1.36x \\
\hline Projected Debt Service (I/O) \({ }^{*}\) & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 \\
\hline DSCR (I/O)** & 0.47x & 1.40x & 1.92x & 2.45x & 1.86x** & 1.91x** \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Equivalent to \(8 \%\) stressed rate which is significantly higher than current rates
**Borrower states actual debt service expense (I/O) to be \(\$ 995 \mathrm{M}\) per the \(6 / 30 / 15\) Compliance Certificate. Under this figure, DSCR (I/O) is \(6.93 x\)
- Occupancy Rate - Since 2010 rates have improved from \(61.7 \%\) to current reported year-to-date of \(74.5 \%\), which is driven primarily by the continued improvement in the economy and growing popularity of the Property location. Per the appraisal, the stabilized occupancy for this property is \(71 \%\).
- ADR/ Rev Par - the improvement in Occupancy Rates, has provided the Borrower the opportunity to significantly increase both ADR and RevPar. During the historical period ADR has increased by \(17 \%\) and RevPar has increased \(31 \%\). Both of these indicators are well above the average ADR of \(\$ 311.16\) and RevPar of \(\$ 236.68\) for the subject's competitive set of hotels in Chicago. Per the appraisal provided, for full service hotels in the Chicago Metro area, ADR is anticipated to increase \(2.0 \%\) in 2016 and \(1.8 \%\) in 2017.
- Net Operating Income - during the historical period from 2010-2013 NOI improved by \(426 \%\) primarily driven by a significant improvement in operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) from \(14.1 \%\) to \(26 \%\) which is consistent with the significant improvement in RevPar during the Period.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio of \(1.35 x\) on a principal and interest or interest only basis.

\section*{Projected Financial Results}

The appraisal has provided the following projections for the 12 months ending April 2016 through April 2025. For the purposes of this analysis, we have included the first 5 years through 2019.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2016 & 2017 & 2018 & 2019 \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 72.0\% & 71.0\% & 71.0\% & 71.0\% \\
\hline ADR & \$430.27 & \$443.18 & \$456.48 & \$470.17 \\
\hline RevPar & \$309.80 & \$314.66 & \$324.10 & \$333.82 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$69,049 & \$70,226 & \$72,332 & \$74,502 \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$50,658 & \$51,937 & \$53,494 & \$55,099 \\
\hline Management fee & \$2,495 & \$2,549 & \$2,625 & \$2,704 \\
\hline Reserves for Replacement & \$2,762 & \$2,809 & \$2,589 & \$2,980 \\
\hline \(3{ }^{\text {rd }}\) Party Revenue Distribution & \$10,120 & \$10,279 & \$10,587 & \$10,905 \\
\hline \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Party Reimbursables & (\$7,360) & (\$7,475) & (\$7,700) & (\$7,931) \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$10,374 & \$10,128 & \$10,432 & \$10,744 \\
\hline Projected Debt Service (P 81) & \$5,085 & \$5,085 & \$5,085 & \$5,085 \\
\hline DSCR (P \& I) & 2.04x & 1.99x & 2.05x & 2.11x \\
\hline Projected Debt Service (I/O)* & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 \\
\hline DSCR (I/O) & 2.88x & 2.81x & 2.90x & 2.99x \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Equivalent to 8\% stressed rate which is significantly higher than current rates
- Occupancy Rate - assumes the economy continues to slowly recover and the Property continues to realize the increasing growth in demand based on growing popularity of location. The 2015 step-down in occupancy reflects impact of opening of new hotel located across the street which is expected to put some pressure on occupancy as management continues to protect ADR and RevPar.
- ADR/ Rev Par - shows continued growth during the period with estimates of a \(21 \%\) increase in ADR and \(15.5 \%\) in RevPar during the forecasted, 4 year, period.
- Net Operating Income - during the forecasted period NOI is projected to grow by approximately \(21.6 \%\) over the 4 year period primarily driven by continued improvement in the operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) which is projected to hold steady at a healthy \(26 \%\).
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio of 1.35x.

\section*{Sensitivity Analysis}

The financial projections presented above provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPar, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating Income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of 1.35 x would be measured through the NOI and Interest Rate.
- NOI - Based on the 6/30/2015 FY Actual results, the NOI could decrease by \(\$ 5.33 \mathrm{MM}\) before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only basis and \(\$ 3.93 \mathrm{MM}\) on a P\&I basis.
- Interest Rate - Based on the 2015 FYE Actual results, the interest rate could increase by \(8.31 \%\) to an all in rate of \(8.75 \%\) the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P\&/ basis.

\section*{Property Description/Projact Overview - Facility C}

The iconic and historic Old Post Office in Washington, DC opened in 1899 as the Headquarters of the US Postal Service. Its grand architecture was designed to announce Pennsylvania Avenue's arrival as America's Main Street. The 441,000 SF building is the tallest building in DC and home to the historic Congress bells and observation tower, it is a landmark well known to both DC residences and tourists alike. The building is the secondtallest in Washington D.C. (next to the Washington Monument) and is home to the historic Congress Bells and an observation tower.

The Trump Organization (Trump) was selected by the US General Services Administration (GSA), to renovate Washington DC's historic Old Post Office Building (OPO) located on Pennsylvania Avenue and \(12^{\text {th }}\) Street just southeast of the White House. Final plans now indicate the property will contain 263 guestrooms and suites, 38,300 square feet of meeting space, two food and beverage outlets (BLT will be the flagship restaurant with potential for a high-end sushi restaurant to come on as the second food establishment), a spa (the first spa to be branded by Ivanka Trump), a fitness facility, valet parking in an on-site underground garage, and roughly 3,000 square feet of leased retail space (Brioni \& Starbucks). The site will also contain the largest ballroom in Washington, DC (13,000 SF).

The Borrower has executed a 62+ year ground lease with 2 additional 20 -year options with the GSA for the OPO, this process included a year-long concept review through the Section 106 process with all relevant stakeholders, including the Commission of Fine Arts, National Capital Planning Commission, DC State historic Preservation Office and General Services Administration.

The full renovation budget is \(\$ 215 \mathrm{MM}+\) and the Borrower deployed its \(20 \%\) equity prior to the Lender's funds being released through monthly draws starting in late 2015 (the "Defined Equity Amount"). Completion of the Defined Equity Amount has occurred and disbursements continue as

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
evidenced in the below schedule:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
\hline Draw Number & Date Submitted & Amount \\
\hline 1 & \(6 / 22 / 2015\) & \(\$ 6,012,851.65\) \\
\hline 2 & \(7 / 21 / 2015\) & \(\$ 5,323,795.99\) \\
\hline 3 & \(8 / 20 / 2015\) & \(\$ 7,045,008.05\) \\
\hline 4 & \(9 / 21 / 2015\) & \(\$ 6,643,227.54\) \\
\hline 5 & \(10 / 20 / 2015\) & \(\$ 10,104,076.78\) \\
\hline \(6-1\) & \(11 / 18 / 2015\) & \(\$ 8,548,595.30\) \\
\hline \(6-2\) & \(11 / 24 / 2015\) & \(\$ 1,537,021.76\) \\
\hline 7 & \(12 / 17 / 2015\) & \(\$ 7.789,210.21\) \\
\hline 8 & \(1 / 20 / 2016\) & \(\$ 6,580,919.65\) \\
\hline 9 & \(2 / 29 / 2016\) & \(\$ 7.260 .616 .09\) \\
\hline 10 & \(3 / 28 / 2016\) & \(\$ 8,743,629.80\) \\
\hline 11 & \(4 / 28 / 2016\) & \(\$ 10,963,488,83\) \\
\hline 12 & \(5 / 31 / 2016\) & \(\$ 13,813,948.93\) \\
\hline 13 & \(6 / 30 / 2016\) & \(\$ 11,748,693.07\) \\
\hline TOTAL & & \(\$ 112,115,083.65\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A May 2016 appraisal completed on behalf of the Bank indicated the following breakdown in values:
1) As Is Final Value Estimates

Investment Value \(\$ 111,000,000\), or \(\$ 422,000\) per key
2) Hypothetical Value \(\$ 118,800,000\), or \(\$ 452,000\) per key As Complete Final Value Estimates
3) Investment Value \(\$ 229,500,000\), or \(\$ 873,000\) per key

Hypothetical Value \(\$ 237,500,000\), or \(\$ 903,000\) per key
Final Value Estimate - As Stabilized \(\$ 273,500,000\), or \(\$ 1,040,000\) per key
The report was reviewed and approved internally and lead to the following LTV conclusions:
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|l|}
\hline C) Old Post Office, Washington, DC & \begin{tabular}{c} 
\$237.5MM \\
Prospective Markel Value \\
Upon Completion \\
(LW Hospitality Advisors \\
appraisal dated May 26, \\
2016, ordered and reviewed \\
by CRM READ, Phil Ribolow
\end{tabular} & \(71.6 \%\)
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{|}
\hline \$170MM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

In addition to the appraisal completed on behalf of the Bank in May 2016, an updated appraisal of the Property (the "Initial Appraisal") will be prepared by an appraiser selected by Lender upon the completion of the Redevelopment Period, indicating an LTV of no greater than \(85 \%\).

Members of both the Banking and Lending team have visited the property twice over the last 12 months. These site visits reaffirmed confidence in the expected opening date (September 2016) and high standard of construction and operational management occurring at the site.

\section*{Highlights from the Renovation Plan are as follows:}

Accommodations - The Hotel's guest rooms and suites will be nearly 20\% larger than the average rooms offered by the Hotel's competitive set (Four Seasons, The Ritz-Carlton Georgetown, The Ritz-Carlton DC, Mandarin Oriental and the Hay-Adams), a major point of differentiation with transient business and leisure guests. The Borrower believes the differentiated product will fill a void in the marketplace for premium rooms and attract embassy and delegation stays at premium nightly rates.

Meeting \& Banquet Facilities - With more than 39,100 SF of event space on two dedicated levels including a 13,000 SF Grand Ballroom and several meeting/function rooms, the Hotel will have DCs largest luxury meeting space and largest Grand Ballroom in the aforementioned competitive set. Combined with the Hotel's well-appointed amenities, prime location and personalized services; the Borrower feels the OPO will be well positioned to capture the top events in Washington, DC.

Retail - Each year millions of people visit the area surrounding the OPO including 236,000 annual visitors to the Clock Tower located inside the OPO. Nearby attractions include the White House, the National Mall, the DC Convention Center and the OPO, all of which generate significant foot traffic and spending in Downtown DC. The Borrower feels that the Hotel's central location within a high concentration of wealth, premium office buildings and cultural institutions will attract the best retailers.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

Food \& Beverage - The Hotel will feature four food and beverage outlets, including a grand bar, as well as in-room dining. The Borrower is in the process of speaking to a number of well known chefs about the dining opportunities for the Hotel.

The Spa at Trump - The Hotel will feature a 5,000 SF signature Spa at Trump offering an array of spa offerings including massages, facials, relaxation programs and beauty treatments.

Parking - The Hotel will provide \(\sim 120\) spaces on one level of underground parking, accessible by valet. Parking in that area is scarce and hotels command a premium over neighborhood garages. Hotels near the OPO with less luxurious accommodations are able to charge \(\$ 47 / \mathrm{day}\).

A summary of progress on construction is as follows (as of July 15, 2016):
- Both the Annex and OPO main building have made significant progress.
- In the Annex, systems are starting to come on line. The Ballroom is \(90 \%\) complete and decorative elements are being installed, such as wood trim and gold leafing. The second floor meeting rooms are progressing on schedule. The Annex Onyx curtain wall has started,
- In the OPO main building work continues to progress from the \(9^{\text {th }}\) floor down. Rooms on the \(8^{\text {th }}\) and \(7^{\text {th }}\) floors have furniture installed and are being turned over and are complete. Furniture is being installed on other floors working down through the building. The plywood protection is being removed from all the floors and the repairs, carpet and light fixtures are being installed in the corridors and are progressing from the upper to lower floors.
- Scaffolding has been moved from the East, South and West facades and is installed on the North façade for the window painting and stone repairs/cleaning there.
- Window rehabilitation is \(98 \%\) complete and on schedule.
- The new Service Elevator construction is complete and on line. Other elevators are on schedule.
- The Cortile mezzanine guest rooms are being finished. The Cortile marble is \(95 \%\) installed. floor is being prepared for the marble floor, which is \(100 \%\), fabricated in Italy and installation will start in April. Light fixtures and speakers are being installed on the overhead trusses. The Cortile restaurant is on schedule with marble starting on the Mezzanine level and kitchen MEP 75\% complete.
- The NW Meeting Room and NE Hospitality Suite and Spa are all roughly 75\% complete and work is ongoing.
- Site Utility work continues, including the traffic signalization at \(11^{\text {th }}\) and Pennsylvania Avenue. Site work is ongoing on \(11^{\text {th }}\) Street and C

- Work over the next quarter will focus on continued construction and turnover of the guest rooms areas in the OPO, MEP systems being completed in in the OPO and Annex, installation of curtain wall at the Annex and site work

\section*{Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment}

A Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment was performed by IVI on February 3, 2014 and has been reviewed and accepted by DB. The report shows no material issues or concerns warranting additional assessment and no further actions are necessary.

\section*{Location and Market Overview - Facility C}

The subject property is located in Washington, D.C. between the White House and the U.S. Capitol Building on Pennsylvania Avenue. Washington, D.C. is a cosmopolitan city rich in monuments, museums and culture. As the nation's hub of political affairs, the city is the center of governmental action and policy. From Capitol Hill to Embassy Row, the National Mall and historic Georgetown, Washington, D.C. also boasts a diverse concentration of national and international organizations and associations. Furthermore, a number of major universities, educational agencies, and museums - including Georgetown University, George Washington University, Howard University, Catholic University, American University and the Smithsonian Institution are located within the District of Columbia.

The federal government and all of the public and private institutions which support it, form the biggest industry sector in the Washington, D.C. region. While the government sector accounts for approximately \(31 \%\) of total employment ( 2012 statistics), the government serves as the catalyst for virtually all of the economic activity in the greater Washington area including the operations of hundreds of private sector firms, associations, trade unions, law firms, lobbying organizations, defense companies, political groups and international organizations. The prevalence of the government, educational and healthcare sectors helps to somewhat shelter this region from any major economic interruptions; thus, the outlook for the market area remains fairly optimistic.

Convention centers often serve as a gauge of visitation trends to a particular market as they generate significant levels of demand for area hotels and serve as a focal point for community activity. Typically hotels within the closest proximity to a convention center - up to 3 miles away will benefit the most. In April 2003 the Walter E. Washington Convention Center was opened at Mt. Vernon Square with approximately 2.3 MM feet of space. The subject property is located approximately 0.7 miles from the convention center, making it well positioned to benefit from any activities held at the center.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Projected Financial Analysis Property Performance - Facility C} \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
2016
\] \\
Projected
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
2017
\] \\
Projected
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
2018
\] \\
Projected
\end{tabular} & 2019 Projected & \[
\begin{gathered}
2020 \\
\text { Projected } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 57\% & 68\% & 72\% & 72\% & 72\% \\
\hline ADR & \$605.00 & \$655.00 & \$710.00 & \$738.40 & \$764.77 \\
\hline RevPar & \$344.85 & \$445.40 & \$511.20 & \$531.65 & \$550.40 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$54,304 & \$86,992 & \$102,283 & \$107,874 & \$111,683 \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$42,815 & \$62,939 & \$68,607 & \$71,805 & \$73,969 \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$11,489 & \$24,053 & \$33,676 & \$36,069 & \$37,714 \\
\hline Ground Lease & \$2,397 & \$3,264 & \$3,346 & \$3,430 & 3,515 \\
\hline EBITDA & \$9,092 & \$20,789 & \$30,330 & \$32,639 & \$34,199 \\
\hline Actual Debt to EBITDA* & 18.7x & 8.18x & 5.61x & 5.21 x & 4.97x \\
\hline Reserve & \$0 & \$696 & \$1,829 & \$2,985 & \$3,350 \\
\hline Cash Flow available for Debt Service & N/A & \$20,093 & \$28,501 & \$29,654 & \$30,849 \\
\hline Projected Debt Service ( P\&I, based on the 10 -year swap +200 bps ) \(4.93 \%\) & N/A & \$11,843 & \$11,843 & \$11,843 & \$11,843 \\
\hline DSCR & N/A & 1.70x & 2.41x & 2.50x & 2.60x \\
\hline Projected Debt Service ( I/O, based on the 10-year swap + 200 bps ) \(\mathbf{4 . 9 3 \%}\) all in & N/A & \$8,381 & \$8,381 & \$8,381 & \$8,381 \\
\hline DSCR & N/A & 2.40x & 3.40x & 3.54x & 3.68x \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As the Borrower is leasing the property from the U.S Government, there is no traditional Property Tax assessed. Rather there is a Possessory Interest Tax that is assessed that is a percentage of net income. Prior to operations this tax is determined as a percentage of the value of the leasehold. For the above NOI this tax has been included in the Total Operating expenses line

The Borrower has provided projections for the first 4.5 years of operations. As the Borrower has projected a 2 year construction phase, the first full year of operations is projected to be in 2017. The interest rate during the Renovation Period is LIBOR \(+2.0 \%\), and drops to LIBOR \(+1.75 \%\) when the Renovation Period is completed through the term of the facility. Debt Service is interest only during the Renovation Period and remains that way as long as the value determined by the appraisal provided by the Borrower at the end of the Renovation Period results in a Loan to Value of \(75 \%\) or less. If the Loan to Value is between \(75 \%-85 \%\), the loan will require principal payments based on a 25 year amortization schedule until such time as the loan is paid down to a \(75 \%\) LTV. If the appraised value of the property results in a LTV of greater than \(85 \%\) the Borrower must pay down the principal of loan to a balance that results in a \(85 \%\) LTV or less within 10 days of notice from the Lender. Due to the various scenarios possible at the end of the Renovation Period, we have shown both the principal and interest, and interest-only debt service tests based on the 10 year-swap rate of \(2.93 \%\) + the max loan spread of \(2.0 \%\) for an all in of \(4.93 \%\). Based on the Borrower's projections, the property should produce cash flow sufficient to service the debt beginning in the first year of operations and onward.

\section*{Sensitivity analysis}

The financial projections presented provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPAR, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating Income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of \(1.15 \times\) would be measured through the NOI and interest rate.
- NOI - Based on the projected first year of operations in 2017, the NOI could be overstated by \(\$ 10.45 \mathrm{MM}(52 \%)\) or \(\$ 6.47 \mathrm{MM}(32 \%)\) before the DSCR covenant would be breached on an interest only and P\&I basis, respectively.
- Interest Rate - Based on the projected first year of operations in 2017, the interest rate could increase by \(5.37 \%\) to an all in rate of \(10.30 \%\) or \(4.27 \%\) to an all in rate of \(9.20 \%\) before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P\& basis, respectively.

\section*{US ONLY:}

\section*{Additional Information}

\section*{1. Section 23 Attestation}

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, including an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the application of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department, which contains a listing of entities, which have been determined to be affiliates ("Affiliates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affiliate List")
- The entity which is entering into the transaction with DBPWM (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank on the Affiliate List maintained by the Compliance Department.
- The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the benefit of a named Affiliate; except for transactions that are not covered transactions.
- The collateral on which we rely for S23 purposes is not a liability of an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank and so a covered transaction will not be produced by this loan.
NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELD
Attachments:
I. Risk Ratings
II. RWAROE
III. Financial Spreads
IV. Guarantor PFS
V. Doral and 401 North Wabash Financials 12/31/15
VI. Trump Old Post Office LLC Redevelopment Investment Plan and appraisal
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\title{
DeuscheAsset K Wealn Management
}
gaston.alegre@db.com, Wed Jul 20 18:01:26 GMT-400 2016
General Information


Collateral Scoring
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc} 
Collateral ID & \begin{tabular}{c} 
AssetValue / \\
Quallty
\end{tabular} & Volatility & Liquidity & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Asset Cash \\
Flow
\end{tabular} & Event rlsk & Haircut & Monitoring \begin{tabular}{c} 
Marketability / \\
Saleability
\end{tabular} \\
1017554 & Satisfactory & Satisfactory & Satisfactory & Good & Satisfactory & Good & Satisfactory & Satisfactory
\end{tabular}

Facility Evaluation
Facility Facility Description
Limit or Outstanding Amount (USD)
FInal Facility Rating
1015076
\(45,000,000\)
dA+
Weighted Facility Rating dA+
Comments
Jul 2015
401 North Wabash, LLC
Rating changes reflect the situation of the borrower without look through to guarantor

Jul 2016 Unchanged
gaston.alegre@db.com, Wed Jul 20 18:06:00 GMT-400 2016
General Information


Collateral Scoring
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Collateral ID & AssetValue I Quality & Volatility & Liquidity & Asset Cash Flow & Event risk & Haircut & Monitoring & Marketability Saleability \\
\hline 1017555 & Satisfactory & Still Acceptable & Satisfactory & Poor & Stlll Acceptable & Poor & Satisfactory & Still Acceptable \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Facility Evaluation
Facility Facility Description
Limit or Outstanding Amount (USD)
Final Facility Rating
1015077
Weighted Facility Rating dBBB
Comments
Jul 2015
Rating downgraded to reflect the situation of the borrower without look through to guarantor
Jul 2016
CPD from iBBB+ to iBBB
Cash Generating Capacity downgraded to Still Acceptable given building is under construction but is close to becoming partially operational

gaston.alegre@db.com, Wed Jul 20 17:57:21 GMT-400 2016
General Information


\section*{Weighted Facility Rating dA}

\section*{Comments}

\footnotetext{
April 2016
- CPD upgraded to iA- from iBBB+ Upgraded Leverage from Poor to Still Acceptable
- FPD upgraded to A- from BBB. Upgraded haircut from poor to Good
- Structured upgraded to iA from iA- due to facility no being unsecured
- Facility no longer HVCRE

July 2016 - Unchanged
}

\section*{EXHIBIT SS}

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\begin{tabular}{ll} 
Reason for Presentation: & A) Annual Review of Trump Endeavor 12 , LLC loan facility* \\
& B) Annual Review of 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC loan facility.
\end{tabular}
C) Annual Review of TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC loan facility
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Exchange Rate: & N/A & \begin{tabular}{lll} 
Risk Ratings: & Current: & \\
Loan A: & CPD: iA- & FPD: dA- \\
Loan B: & CPD: iA- & FPD: dA- \\
Loan C: & CPD: iBBB & FPD: A \\
Guarantor Rating: iA & &
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Previous: \\
Loan A: \\
Loan B: \\
Loan C:
\end{tabular} & CPD: iACPD: iA CPD: iBBB & \begin{tabular}{l}
FPD: dA \\
FPD: dA+ \\
FPD: dA
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{See attached Risk Rating for rationale for risk rating change (if applicable)} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Total \\
Relationship \\
RWA as of \\
7/10/2018
\end{tabular} & \$21,683,625.50 & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated Relationship metrics as of 7/10/2018:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ROE }=140.7 \% \\
& \text { ROTE }=33.8 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \\
Net Loan Spread \(=108 \mathrm{bps}\)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Loan A Note: At origination, Tranche B was an unsecured facility for \$19MM. Upon being provided with a C\&W (ordered by Borrower) appraisal performed in August 2015, the unsecured Tranche B remained unsecured for RWA calculation purposes despite a value that corroborated to a \(34 \%\) LTV for both tranches. As of 2016, a Lender-ordered appraisal is now in place that supports a fully-secured \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) facility amount, still delineated between Tranche \(A\) and Tranche B.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Currency: \\
US \$ in million
\end{tabular} & New Limit & Usage & Previous Limit & Years & New Limit & Usage & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Previous } \\
\text { Limit } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Loan A - Tranche A & \$106.0 & \$106.0 & \$106.0 & \(<=1\) & & & \\
\hline Loan A - Tranche B (See Note Above) & \$19.0 & \$19.0 & \$19.0 & \(>1\) and < \(=5\) & Aa/b) \(\$ 125\)
B) \(\$ 45\)
C) \(\$ 170\)
Swap C) \(\$ 10.25\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Aa/b) \(\$ 125\) \\
B) \(\$ 45\) \\
C) \(\$ 170\) \\
Swap C) \(\$ 0\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Aa/b)\$125 \\
B) \(\$ 45\) \\
C) \(\$ 170\) \\
Swap C) \$0
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Loan B & \$45.0 & \$45.0 & \$45.0 & \(>5\) and \(<=7\) & & & \\
\hline Loan C & \$170.0 & \$170.0 & \$170.0 & \(>7\) and \(<=10\) & & & \\
\hline Loan C Swap Threshold Amt & \$10.25 & \$0.0 & \$10.25 & >10 & & & \\
\hline Total Exposure & \$350.25 & \$340.0 & \$350.25 & Other liabiliti & or comments: & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Collateral & Market Value & Loan to Value & Loan Value \\
\hline A) Doral Golf Resort and Spa located in Miami, FL & \begin{tabular}{l}
S382MM \\
LW Hospitality Advisors appraisal dated March 25, 2016. Ordered, reviewed and approved by CRM REV. \\
In June 2017, value deemed consistent as per guidance from CRM READ, Phil Ribolow. \\
A June 2018 Co-Star report indicates continued strong performance and demographics within the subject property market.
\end{tabular} & 32.7\% & \$125MM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & rump International Hotel and Tower Chicago & \begin{tabular}{l}
S168.7MM \\
CBRE appraisal dated July 10, 2018. Ordered, reviewed and approved by CRM READ, Phil Ribolow
\end{tabular} & 26.7\% & \$45MM \\
\hline & Id Post Office, Washington, DC & \begin{tabular}{l}
\$229. 5MM \\
LW Hospitality Advisors appraisal dated January 1, 2017. Ordered, reviewed and approved by CRM READ, Phil Ribolow
\end{tabular} & 74.1\% & \$170MM \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Collateral} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Trump National Doral Golf Club - The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include 86,139 SF of meeting space including a 24,000 SF ballroom, a 50,000 SF spa with guest rooms, 6 food venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport. Extensive renovations were completed in 2016, substantially increasing the value since origination. The renovations were primarily on the Main Building, Guest Rooms, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrades of the lobbies, reception areas, meeting spaces, restaurants, retail spaces, spa and corridors.} \\
\hline B) & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Trump International Hotel Chicago - The Collateral Property consists of a full service hotel, including 339 condo-hotel rooms, of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrower Units"), which are included as Collateral in addition to 38,000 SF of banquet space, a \(23,000 \mathrm{SF}\) spa, and a 285 space public parking garage. The 164 rooms that are owned by third parties ("Third Party Units") and the 7 previously held condos were sold a few years ago and removed from the collateral pool. The proceeds from those sales were used to pay down the principal balance of the Facility at that time. Planned renovations over the next 18 months include a rebranding of the main restaurant and lobby repairs.} \\
\hline & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Old Post Office Building and Annex - Renovations were completed and the property opened with a temporary Certificate of Occupancy ("TCO") in September of 2016. A permanent Certificate of Occupancy ("C of O") was received February 2018 when all bell tower-related fireproofing requirements were resolved and the property is now fully operational. The Collateral Property consists of a full service hotel, including 250-270 hotel rooms (with specific safety measures and layouts in place for important political figures), approximately 65,00075,000 SF of meeting, banquet, food and beverage, retail, the first spa branded by lvanka Trump, fitness facilities, telecommunications facilities and an underground parking garage with approximately 100 parking spaces. Additionally, retail spaces have been leased to BLT Steak, Brioni Menswear, and Starbucks. Furthermore, an agreement with the National Parks Department allows separate access to the top of the bell tower, a historic element of the building that draws a large number of tourists. Additional commentary can be referenced in the appraisal, but the general conclusion is that the hotel, now operational for a few months, has already become one of the most elite hospitality establishments in Washington, DC.} \\
\hline & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Assets Under Management: & Money Market & Fixed Income & Business Checking & Personal Checking & Total \\
\cline { 2 - 6 } & N/A & N/A & N/A & \(\$ 358,098.70\) & \(\$ 358,098.70\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Relationship/Facility Highlights/Changes Since Last Review:}
- The Doral Resort in Florida recently concluded its \(2^{\text {nd }}\) year as a full service operation following its redevelopment. The property continues to receive positive reviews and its 2016 bank appraisal valuation (\$382MM) was corroborated by CRM READ's hotel and hospitality analysts in 2017 and Co-Star data more recently. The Guarantor continues to retain a \(10 \%\) Guaranty level even though terms of the previously approved Tranche A note allow for no personal Guarantee if the LTV is below \(35 \%\) (current LTV is \(32.7 \%\) ). Pricing was scheduled to increase to \(L+2.00 \%\) without the Guaranty, but will remain at L+ \(1.75 \%\) with the \(10 \%\) Guaranty level, which clearly strengthens the credit.
- As noted above, a final C of O was received for the Old Post Office in the first quarter of 2018 after a lengthy period with a TCO while bell tower fireproofing issues were resolved. The loan remains in the post development period (see below) and has recently completed its first full 12-months of operations, reflected in the cash flow documents supplied in conjunction with this annual review.
- The Old Post Office facility entered the "Post-Development Period" in January 2017 upon receipt of an updated Bank ordered appraisal and TCO. The facility's interest rate decreased from \(\mathrm{L}+2.00 \%\) to \(\mathrm{L}+1.75 \%\) at that time and has been unchanged since then.
- The Guarantor's personal net worth has decreased by almost \(\$ 219.3 \mathrm{MM}\) year-over-year due primarily to reduced liquidity and slightly diminished values in real estate equity and licensing.
- Protocol surrounding the relationship is supervised by the recently implemented Covered Client Policy and Business Risk. A variety of precautionary measures are in place to maintain confidentiality and any business decisions surrounding the relationship are handled by the Reputational Risk Committee in connection with the Guarantor's status as President of the United States.

Timeline of recent pertinent events
February 2018
- The final \(C\) of \(O\) to the OPO property was received, Privileged - Attorney Client
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{March 2018}
- All property financial statements were received and reviewed. Financial metrics are all in line with required covenant compliance.

May 2018
- DB ordered an appraisal of the property securing the Wabash loan in line with current internal commercial real estate appraisal policies. The report was conducted by CBRE and reviewed by hospitality expert Phil Ribolow in CRM READ. The finalized value of \$168.7MM represents a \(\$ 35.7 \mathrm{MM}\) increase since April 2014.

\section*{Recommendation:}

Approval of i) the Annual Review for Facility A (Doral), Facility B (Chicago), and Facility C (OPO).

\section*{All Facilities}
- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The financial profile of the Guarantor includes a stated net worth of \(\$ 5.58\) billion which remains well in excess of his \(\$ 2.5\) billion minimum requirement even on an adjusted basis. The Guarantor maintains a strong unencumbered liquidity position of \(\$ 76 \mathrm{MM}\), a decline from the previous year due political costs and reallocation of various assets.
- Operating Experience - The Trump team's extensive experience in operating private golf/country clubs. His current portfolio includes 16 such clubs and his overall equity position in various CRE interests exceeds \(\$ 4.2\) billion on a stated basis, in excess of \(\$ 2.1\) billion on an adjusted basis.
- \(\quad D B\) Relationship - Although client's \(\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{S}\) restructuring centered around the Guarantors political status required the transfer of assets to entities and institutions outside DB. WM has had a long and satisfactory relationship.

Facility A
- The improvements and property stabilization are expected to continue to increase the value over the term of the Facility.

Facility \(A \& B\)
- Leverage Levels required for Step-Down of the Guaranty - Low LTVs of \(33 \%\) and \(27 \%\). The LTV levels required for a decrease in the guaranty levels are now low enough to ensure that the loan is more than adequately collateralized, as evidenced by the current Facility A and Facility B , in which the Guaranty qualifies for elimination based on current appraised values, although Facility A's Guaranty remains in place on a voluntary basis.

Facility B
- Quaily of the collateral and LTV - The property is in the form of a luxury hotel building in downtown Chicago. Based on the latest appraisal completed, the Hotel collateral was valued at \(\$ 168.7 \mathrm{MM}\) based on a 2018 appraisal which results in a \(26.7 \%\) LTV.
- In 2014, proceeds from the sale of the seven additional condo units originally pledged as collateral were applied toward the principal balance of the loan. The facility amount has fluctuated over the years given the Client's desire to pay down the loan upon condo sales, and later request increases to utilize equity for other real estate ventures. Originated at \(\$ 98 \mathrm{MM}\), the loan was paid down to \(\$ 19 \mathrm{MM}\) in early 2014. Later in 2014, the facility was increased back up to \(\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}\) and has remained at \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\) for the last few years.
Eacility \(C\)
- Equity Injection of the Guarantor: The Guarantor completed the required \(20 \%\) Equity Investment prior to drawing on the \(\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}\) Facility.
-
All property financial covenants exceed minimum thresholds based on most current DSCR levels. The Guarantor's latest personal financials remain strong and he passes all required covenants.

\section*{Covenants:}

\section*{Facility A}

Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?
Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting
Financial
Facility \(B\)
Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?
Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporing
Yes
Y Yes

Financial
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Facility 1 & Facility 2 & Facility 3 \\
\hline Borrower: & Trump Endeavor 12, LLC & 401 North Wabash Venture, ILC & Trump Old Post Office, LLC \\
\hline Guarantor: & Donald J. Trump (10\%) & \(N / A\) & Donald J. Trump (100\%) \\
\hline Pledgor: & Trump Endeavor 12, LLC & 401 North Wabash Venture, LLC & Trump Old Post Office, LLC \\
\hline DB Entity: & dBtCA & dBtca & DBTCA \\
\hline Commitment: & \$125,000,000 & \$45,000,000 & \$170,000,000 \\
\hline Outstandings: & \$125,000,000 & \$45,000,000 & \$170,000,000 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Collateral Type:} & Commercial Real Estate & Commercial Real Estate & Commercial Real Estate \\
\hline & Miami Golf Resort \& Spa & Chicazo Hotel & Washington, DC. Hotel \\
\hline Collateral Market Value: & 5382,000,000 & 5168,700,000 & \$237,500,000 \\
\hline Loan to Value: & 33\% & \(27 \%\) & \(72 \%\) \\
\hline Pricing: & Libor + 1.75\% & Libor + 2.00\% & Libor + 1.75\% \\
\hline Origination Date: & 5/11/2012 & 11/9/2012 & 8/12/2014 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{13}{*}{Maturity:} & 8/11/2023 & 5/1/2024 & 8/11/2024 \\
\hline & Borrower Financial Covenants & Borrower Financial Covenants & Borrower Financial Covenants \\
\hline & & &  meintain a debt service coveraga ratio (COSC') datined as the net Operaing heo me ("Nor) divided by Debt Survice of no hass than 1.15 . \\
\hline & Botfow et shat minain a deba sorvice coverage ratio oqual to or in excess of \(1.65 x\) as determined and tested ty Lender based upon a trailing iw ene (12) month basis. & Borrow or shall minintain a debt sorvice coverape ratio equal to or in excess of \(1.35 x\) as dotermined and lested by Lender based upon a traiking tw elve (12) month basis. & -Debt Service" is dofined as all principal (if appicable) and intorest cakubted on the cuffent ban armunt outstanding assurning a 25 -year amorization schedule, which assumption shall soly include actual debs service due under the ban. Covenant to de test annually Deginning with the first full calendaf year commencing four (4) years atter the cosing Date \\
\hline & Guarantor Covenants & Guarantor Covenants & Guarantor Covenants \\
\hline & - Guarantor shall mintain unencumbered foudidy at at unes of at beost S5MMw ith at hst SShelta be ruiniained with the Lender. & Not Applicable (Current O\% Level of Guarant based on ith & - Duting tho Redevelonment Period: \\
\hline & - Guarantor shall nol, at any time, have nny additional indebtedness (direct of contingent) in oxcess of 5500MM the *Guarantor Liatilty Cap"), exchuding any obligation under this Facifty, and fy) any Guarantof indebiedness (difoct of contingent) oxisting as of June 30, 2013, as feflected in the Statement of Financial Condition telerred to below (which includes the Doral and Chicaqo facities). & & - Guarantot shall memintain unencumberted squidity at all infes of at leats S50NMw in at bast 520 Mm to be mintainede \(w\) th the Lender. \\
\hline & a Guasantor shall reintain a minitumnet worth of 5250 mm exchuding the value rolated to the Guafantor's brama & & - Guarantor shall not, at any time. have any atoditional indebiednot (difect of conlingent) in excess of 55000N (the "Guatontor Liatern Cop"). excading ( \(x\) ) any oblyation under this Facifty and (y) any Guarantor indebtedness (disect or contingert) existing as of duno 30 2013, as reflected in the Statoment of Financial Condrion referred to bolow (which inchudes the Doral and Chicago facilites). \\
\hline & & & - At all times duting the term of the faciny: Guarantor shal mintain a minimumnet worth of \(\mathbf{5 2} .5\) bition exchating po velue rolated to the Guarantor's brand \\
\hline & Reporting Covenants & Reporting Covenants & Reporting Covenants \\
\hline & Yearty/Decerter: Business Financial Staterments, which inchude & Yerty Deconter: 日usiness Finansiar Statements, which inciude & Yeary Decenter: Business Financial Staterments, which include \\
\hline & Borrow ers belance sheet, oepratine incorme, operating expense statements and corphiance certificates & Botrow ors batence sheet, oupratine income, opetating expense statements and complance cerfificites & Botrow ors balance sheret, wepratine incorre, aporating expense statements and comptance cerrificates \\
\hline & Yearlv/December: Guarantor personal finonkial statementand annual schedule of Contingent tiabilities. & YeartyDecember: Guarantof personal ingncial statementand annual sehedule of Contingent thablities. & Yearty/December: Guarantor personai financial statementand annual sehedule of Contingent liablilies. \\
\hline Endeavor: & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Actual DSC \(=3.33 x\) :
Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio equal to or in excess of 1.65 to 1.00 as determined and tested by Lender based upon a trailing twelve (12) month basis.

\section*{Wabash:}

Actual DSC \(=1.26 x\)
Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio equal to or in excess of 1.25 to 1.00 as determined and tested by Lender based upon a trailing twelve (12) month basis. DSCR test is \(\mathbf{1 . 2 5 \times}\) per the 2014 loan amendment
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Guaranty \\
Level
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
DSC \\
Covenant
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(40 \%\) & \(1.15 x\) \\
\hline \(20 \%\) & \(1.40 x\) \\
\hline \(10 \%\) & \(1.65 x\) \\
\hline \(0 \%\) & \(1.25 x\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{OPO DSC Covenant:}

Previously applicable \(=\) Redevelopment Period - None
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

Currently applicable \(=\) Post Redevelopment Period - At all times during the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio ("DSC") defined as the Net Operating Income ("NOI") divided by Debt Service of no less than 1.15 x . "Debt Service" is defined as all principal (if applicable) and interest calculated on the current loan amount outstanding assuming a 25 -year amortization schedule, which assumption shall only include actual debt service due under the loan. Covenant to be tested annually beginning with the first full calendar year commencing four (4) years after the Closing Date. Actual DSC \(=1.54 \mathrm{x}\)

All Guarantor and property financial covenants analyzed above are in compliance as of the most recently supplied financial statements. This includes the Guarantor's additional debt limitations.
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Loan B-401 North Wabash Venture, LLC} \\
\hline Type/Facility Amount: & \$45,000,000 \\
\hline Purpose: & Original proceeds were used to refinance the existing construct to perm facility. Subsequent proceeds were used to provide working capital for business purposes. \\
\hline Maturity: & 6/1/2024 \\
\hline Collateral Property: & A first mortgage lien and first priority security interest in the commercial component ("Hotel Collateral") of the property consisting of, but not limited to, (a) a full service hotel, including 339 condo-hotel rooms, of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrower Units"), which are not included as Collateral and 164 rooms that are owned by third parties ("Third Party Units") and which are not included as Collateral, (b) approximately 38,000 SF of banquet space, (c) a \(23,000 \mathrm{SF}\) spa, and (d) a 285 space public parking garage, including the Borrower's fee simple estate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all other related assets. \\
\hline Maximum Advance Rate: & Shall not exceed 60\% of the "as is" appraised value of the Hotel Collateral. \\
\hline Mandatory Repayment: & As the principal amount of the Facility is now \$45MM, no further on-going principal payments are required. \\
\hline Interest Rate: & L + 2.00\% \\
\hline LIBOR Tenors: & Borrower may elect interest periods of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months \\
\hline Fees: & 0.75\% of the Original Facility Amount was paid at closing \\
\hline DSC Covenant: & Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio equal to or in excess of 1.25 to 1.00 as determined and tested by Lender based upon a trailing twelve (12) month basis. All property financial covenants exceed minimum thresholds based on most current DSCR levels. \\
\hline Guaranty: & \begin{tabular}{l}
NA - Donald Trump's personal guarantee has been eliminated due to the fact that the Facility LTV is below the \(35 \%\) threshoid. Based on the latest appraisal completed, the Hotel collateral was valued at \(\$ 168.7 \mathrm{MM}\) which results in a \(\mathbf{2 6 , 7 \%}\) LTV. \\
Note the loan documentation identifies the Guaranty reduction as a permanent event, meaning appraisals that are completed going forward will not change the Guaranty level, regardless of their value.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Facility Amount: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\$170,000,000 \\
> Post Redevelopment Period - the Property will maintain a minimum appraised value that provides a maximum LTV of no greater than \(85 \%\). The Guarantor may cure any deficiency caused by a valuation shortfall through the repayment of principal to an amount that the maximum LTV based on the revised valuation remains less than \(85 \%\) with such payment due within 10 business days of notification.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Facility Type: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Multiple-draw construction loan facility with (i) interest only payable during the Redevelopment Period, and (ii) during the Post Redevelopment Period that is now in effect, either: (a) interest-only at any time the loan-to-value (the "LTV") is no greater than \(75 \%\), and (b) principal payments, based on a 25-year amortization schedule at any time the LTV is greater than \(75 \%\). \\
> Post Redevelopment Period - the period from the end of the Redevelopment period to the Facility maturity date. \\
The Post Redevelopment Period began in January of 2017, triggered by the appraisal and TCO. Note that despite having received the final C of O , the loan continues to be categorized as a construction loan/other secured rather than a standard CRE facility as it does not meet amortization requirements per Regional Finance and regulatory guidelines.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Purpose: & Borrower converted Property from an office building with retail to a 250-270 room luxury hotel (please see project description below). \\
\hline Property: & The Old Post Office Building and Annex located at 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington DC 20004. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Maturity: & 8/11/2024 \\
\hline Collateral: & The Facility is secured by (i) a first mortgage lien on Borrower's leasehold interest in ( \(x\) ) the Property and ( \(y\) ) all improvements thereto, (ii) security interests in and, to the extent assignable and as applicable, assignments of Borrower's interest in all permits licenses, lease, contracts, agreements, operating accounts, receivables etc. and (iii) Borrower's interest in other customary ancillary collateral relating to the Property \\
\hline Repayment: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Currently interest only unless LTV covenant of \(75 \%\) is breached \\
The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Interest Rate: & \begin{tabular}{l}
1) Post Redevelopment Period and upon delivery of appraisal indicating an LTV of less than or equal to \(70 \%\) - LIBOR plus \(1.75 \%\) or, at Borrower's option, the Prime Rate minus \(0.25 \%\). \\
Borrower shall have the right to deliver such appraisal, acceptable to Lender, at any time during the Post Redevelopment Period.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline LIBOR Tenors: & Borrower may elect interest periods of \(1,3,6\), and 12 months with a maximum of (5) LIBOR contracts outstanding at any time and no LIBOR contract to be permitted for loans less than \(\$ 1,000,000\) at any time. \\
\hline Fees: & 0.50\% of Facility Amount paid at closing. \\
\hline DSC Covenant: & Post Redevelopment Period - At all times during the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio ("DSC") defined as the Net Operating Income ("NOI") divided by Debt Service of no less than 1.15x. "Debt Service" is defined as all principal (if applicable) and interest calculated on the current loan amount outstanding assuming a 25 -year amortization schedule, which assumption shall only include actual debt service due under the loan. Covenant tested annually beginning with the first full calendar year commencing four (4) years after the Closing Date. \\
\hline Maximum LTV: & Post Redevelopment Period - The Property will maintain a minimum appraised value that provides a maximum LTV of no greater than \(85 \%\). The Guarantor may cure any deficiency cause by a valuation shortfall through the repayment of principal to an amount that the maximum LTV based on the revised valuation remains less than \(85 \%\) with such payment due within 10 business days of notification. \\
\hline Guaranty: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Donald J. Trump will provide a full and unconditional guarantee of: (i) principal and interest due under the facility, (ii) swap breakage costs, (iii) operating shortfalls of the Property until the end of the Shortfall Coverage Period and (iv) a completion guaranty, guaranteeing the lien-free completion of the Project acceptable to Lender, as evidence by, among other things, one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalent, architects certificate and appropriate lien waivers, each reasonably acceptable to Lender. \\
The Guarantor's latest personal financials remain strong and he passes all required covenants.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Guarantor Covenants: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Guarantor shall not, at any time, have any additional indebtedness (direct or contingent) in excess of \$500MM (the "Guarantor Liability Cap"), excluding ( x ) any obligation under this Facility and (y) any Guarantor indebtedness (direct or contingent) existing as of June 30, 2013, as reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition referred to below (which includes the Doral and Chicago facilities). \\
- Guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of \(\$ 2.5\) billion excluding the value related to the Guarantor's brand.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
Swap Agreement:

Borrower shall have the option to purchase interest rate protection in the form of a swap, reasonably acceptable to Lender, and secure related credit exposure (the "Swap Exposure") through the mortgage for the Facility. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap at closing or at any time during the loan term, and the term of such swap agreement does not have to be coterminous with the loan. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap from Lender or any other party; provided, however, if such swap is not provided by Lender or any of its affiliates, such swap obligation shall not be secured by any assets of Borrower.

During the Redevelopment Period the Borrower or Guarantor shall be required to post cash collateral within in three (3) business days' notice from the Lender, should at any time the actual mark-to-market amount exceeds the defined Threshold Amount plus the MTA. At such time, the minimum amount of cash collateral to be posted shall be equal to the difference between the actual mark-to-market and the Threshold Amount.

During the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall not be required to post any additional cash collateral and any related Swap Exposure will remain secured by the mortgage. Any cash collateral related to the Swap Exposure, in accordance with the term in effect during the Redevelopment Period, shall be released upon receipt of the Initial Appraisal to the extent such Swap Exposure plus the principal amount outstanding under the Facility does not exceed \(85 \%\). Once such cash collateral is released the Borrower will have no further obligation to post any additional cash collateral during the Post Redevelopment Period.

Threshold Amount - shall be equal to \(\$ 10,000,000\) minus the Independent Amount.
Minimum Transfer Amount ("MTA") - shall be equal to \(\$ 250,000\).
independent Amount - shall be equal to \(5 \%\) of the notional amount of the Swap
Currently the swap has not been drawn

\section*{Repayment Sources}

\section*{Facility A}
- Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
- Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort is now stabilized. The Resort satisfactorily serviced its principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule during 2017 with a \(3.33 x\) DSC ratio.
- Tertiary Source of Repayment: When the LTV is above \(65 \%\), DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee, which eliminates any shorfall associated with operating and liquidating Collateral. As equity in the collateral increases, the Guaranty Level steps down concurrently (Guarantor's current Guaranty level is \(10 \%\) ).
Facility B
\(>\) Primary Source of Repayment: Cash flow generated by the Hotel Collateral.
> Secondary Source of Repayment: Refinancing the Hotel Collateral property.
> Tertiary Source of Repayment: Sale of the Hotel Collateral property.
Facility C
\(>\) Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property. Applying a 10.00\% discount rate and an 8.00\% terminal capitalization rate to the subject's projected income stream, the indicated value of the leasehold interest in the subject property upon completion of construction is \(\$ 229.5 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 873,000\) per key, per the most recent appraisal dated January 18, 2017.

> Secondary Source of Repayment: The hotel satisfactorily services principal and interest based on a 25-year amortization schedule. As of year-end 2017. DSC \(=1.54 x\)
\(>\) Tertiary Source of Repayment: DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee of the entire facility for the term.
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}


\section*{II - Financial Analysis - Guarantor}

It should be noted that the Guarantor, DJT, is required to provide financials within 120 days of \(6 / 30\) FYE. Thus the most recent financials available are as of \(6 / 30 / 17\). Receipt of the \(6 / 30 / 17\) financial statement sufficiently satisfied the Guarantor's reporting requirements.
Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although all three Facilities are secured by Collateral, given the nature of these credits, the credit exposure continues to be recommended for support based on the financial profile of the Guarantor (or the ability to rely once again on the Guarantor if collateral values dropped and LTVs increased). As part of this underwriting we held many conversations with the CFO of the family office to update our due diligence on the client reported financial information, as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional details are included in the Guarantor's financial statements.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Financial \\
Summary ( \(\$\) in millions) \\
Source: Cifent provided financials
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { DJT } \\
& \text { 6/30/2011 } \\
& \text { (Client } \\
& \text { Reported) }
\end{aligned}
\] & DJT 6/30/2012 (Client Reported) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { 6/30/2013 } \\
\text { (Client } \\
\text { Raported) }
\end{gathered}
\] & D.JT 6/30/2014 (Cllent Reported) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { 6/30/2014 } \\
\text { (DB } \\
\text { Adjusted) }
\end{gathered}
\] & D.JT 6/30/2015 (Cllent Reportec) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
6 / 30 / 2015 \\
\text { (DB } \\
\text { Adjusfed) }
\end{gathered}
\] & DJT 6/30/2016 (Client Reported) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { 6/30/2016 } \\
\text { (DB } \\
\text { Adjusted) }
\end{gathered}
\] & D.JT 6/30/2017 (CHert Reported) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { D.jT } \\
\text { 6/30/201 } \\
\text { fDB } \\
\text { Adjusted }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Cash \& Marketable Securities & \$258.9 & \$169.7 & \$339.1 & \$302.3 & \$285.3 & \$192,3 & \$175.3 & \$114.4 & \$114.4 & \$76.0 & 576.0 \\
\hline Escrow \& Reserve Deposits & 59.1 & \$10.8 & \$15.2 & \$40.0 & -- & \$33.7 & - & \$28.0 & \$0 & \$24.6 & \$24.6 \\
\hline Real Estate - Net Equily & \$2,996.9 & \$3,184.2 & \$3,268.7 & \$3,867.0 & \$1.933.5 & \$4,390 & \$2,195 & \$4,392 & \$2,196 & \$4.257 & \$2,128 \\
\hline Partnerships \& Joini Ventures & \$720.0 & \$823.3 & \$869.3 & \$923.1 & \$408.5 & \$946 & \$473 & \$979 & \$490 & \$1,196 & \$598 \\
\hline Real Estate Licensing & \$89.3 & \$65.2 & \$174.7 & \$329.7 & \$164.9 & \$339 & \$169.5 & \$227 & \$113.5 & \$246 & \$123 \\
\hline Other Assets & \$1992 & \$3185 & \$352.0 & \$352.8 & \$209.1 & \$6793 & \$339.65 & \$647 & \$323.5 & \$540.6 & \$270.6 \\
\hline Total Assets & \$4,273.4 & \$4.563.9 & \$5,019.0 & \$5,814.9 & \$3,001,2 & \$6,580.3 & \$3,352.4 & \$6,387.4 & \$2,941.9 & \$6,340 & \$3,220. \\
\hline Personal Margage other Debt & \$8.4 & \$8.3 & \$20.5 & \$20.4 & \$20.4 & \$.4 & \$,4 & \$26.9 & \$26.9 & \$11.0 & \$11.0 \\
\hline Other Liabilities & 53.7 & \$4.4 & \$20.4 & \$17.0 & \$170 & \$472.4 & \$472.4 & \$559 & \$559 & \$783.3 & \$783.3 \\
\hline Net Worth & \$4,261.3 & \$4,559.0 & \$4,978,0 & \$5,777.5 & \$2,650.9 & \$6,107.5 & \$2,879.6 & \$5,801.5 & \$2,328.0 & \$5,582.2 & \$2,425. \\
\hline Contingent Obligations & \$114.0 & \$195.7 & \$197.2 & \$276.0 & \$276.0 & Included in other liabilties above & included in other liabilities above & included in other Mabillies above & ```
mcluded in
    other
    liabilities
    above
``` & noluded in other liabilities above & Includer in other liabifitie. above \\
\hline Net Castr Flow * & \$82.4 & (\$89.2) & \$169.7 & (\$36.7) & (\$36.7) & (\$105.6) & (\$105.6) & (\$47.1) & (\$47.1) & (\$38.5) & (\$38.5) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Leverage Ratio } \\
& (<=.30)
\end{aligned}
\] & . 13 & . 14 & . 01 & . 01 & . 15 & . 13 & . 13 & . 25 & . 25 & . 33 & . 33 \\
\hline Cash Flow Ratio ( \(>=35\) ) & . 57 & -0.67 & 0.45 & -. 10 & -. 10 & (.10) & (.10) & (.14) & (.14) & (.02) & (.02) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Liquidity Ratio } \\
& (p=.25)
\end{aligned}
\] & 2.04 & 1.32 & 0.90 & . 98 & . 81 & 55 & . 50 & . 34 & . 34 & . 22 & 22 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Asset Coverage } \\
& \text { Ratio }(>=6.0)
\end{aligned}
\] & 31.7 & 33.32 & 13.27 & 16.60 & 8.68 & 9.62 & 9.49 & 8.65 & 8.65 & 7.16 & 7.16 \\
\hline *Above ratios are & sed on 1 & thetical a & sis if \(D B\) & ubject loan & cilities w & consider & nsecure & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\(>\quad\) Liquidity - The Guarantor reports liquidity of \(\$ 76.0 \mathrm{MM}\) as of \(6 / 30 / 17\) consisting of funds in Mr. Trump's name personally and various entities that Mr. Trump controls. The client reported balances declined from the prior year, with fluctuations in 2017 due to additional political and legal costs. These fluctuations in liquidity contributed to the slight decrease in the Guarantor's overall net worth. There were no material CRE or other business acquisitions.
> The Guarantor's personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversified portfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantor's portfolio of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collection of membership deposits. In accordance with industry standards, premier golf clubs require new members post a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Temms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are non-refundable for 30 -years without condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit which is generally contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. An updated value of the outstanding deposits held in escrow as of the 6/30/2017 financial statements is \(\$ 24.6 \mathrm{MM}\). Since \(6 / 30 / 13\), the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the clubs owned by the Guarantor was approximately \(\$ 250 \mathrm{MM}\), much of which has been reinvested into the clubs. Given the lack of any conditional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income. None of these deposits have been included in the Guarantors liquidity.
) Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantor's total real estate porfolio, as of 6/30/17 which reflects the Guarantor's four wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 13 wholly owned club facilities, other major property interests and properties under real estate licensing agreements. DB adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.
\(>\) Net Worth - The Guarantor's reported net worth has decreased by about \(\$ 219 \mathrm{MM}\), largely in part to reduced liquidity and slightly diminished values in real estate equity and licensing. It should also be noted that the Guarantor has reallocated various assets on his balance sheet given his status as President of the United States. The DB adjusted calculation of the Guarantor's balance sheet does not include any valuation for his brand, which has received a \(100 \%\) haircut.

Partial Breakdown of four Trophv Properties (excludes various properties including DB facilities):
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Property Type & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { Valuation }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DB } \\
\text { Valuation }
\end{gathered}
\] & \% Differencel Haircut & Reported Debt & DJT Net Equity & DB Adjusted Net Equity \\
\hline Trump Tower - \(7255^{\text {th }}\) Ave & \$639.4 & \$349.20 & 45.5\% & \$100.0 & \$539.4 & \$249.2 \\
\hline Niketown - East 57 \({ }^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}\) & \$432.6 & \$162.23 & 62.5\% & \$0 & \$432.6 & \$162.23 \\
\hline 40 Wall Street & \$702.1 & \$477.43 & 32\% & \$152.4 & \$549.7 & \$325.03 \\
\hline Trump Park Ave & \$171.0 & \$117.65 & 31.2\% & \$10.5 & \$160.5 & \$107.15 \\
\hline Subtotal-4 Trophy Properties & \$1,945.1 & \$1,106.5 & 56\% & \$262.9 & \$1,682.2 & \$843.61 \\
\hline Club Facilities & \$2,159.7 & \$1,157.6 & 46.4\% & \$143.4 & \$2,016.3 & \$1,014.2 \\
\hline Other Property Interest & \$318.1 & \$251.3 & 21.0\% & \$11.0 & \$307.1 & \$240.3 \\
\hline Total - Portfolio & \$4,392.1 & \$2,515,4 & 57.2\% & \$417.3 & \$4,005.6 & \$2,098.11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(>\) Four Trophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were previously discussed with DB Valuation Services Group ("DBVSG") who advised on adjustments for each (these reviews have not been updated recently).
- Trump Towers - The 68 story building contains residential and condominiums that are owned by residents along with 178,000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of retail space. As of \(6 / 30 / 17\) the property had associated debt of approximately \(\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}\). The loan is non-recourse and matures in 2022. The most recent \(6 / 30 / 17\) financial statement values the property at \(\$ 639.4 \mathrm{MM}\) resulting in a \(-15 \%\) LTV.
- Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with respect to two long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the building located on \(57^{\text {th }}\) street between Madison and \(5^{\text {th }}\) Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retail Services; however, Nike recently vacated the space (March 2018) and plans to open a nearby store on Fifth Ave. in November 2018. The current lease is not scheduled to expire until May 2022 as Nike exercised its right to extend in 2017. SL Green has reportedly assumed the lease from Nike and will either sublease to a new retail tenant or let the lease expire. The space includes 65,000 square feet of retail space. Based on sq. foot assumption DBVSG previously indicated an adjusted value of \(\$ 146.1 \mathrm{MM}\). The resulting \(62.5 \%\) haircut has been maintained for a DB adjusted value this year, resulting in a \(\$ 162.23\) estimated valuation. Financing on the space is in the form of long-term bonds for a total of \(\$ 11.54 \mathrm{MM}\) (a \(3 \%\) LTV based on a \(\$ 389.6 \mathrm{MM}\) valuation) which were scheduled to fully amortize by June 1, 2017 and have been confirmed to be now fully paid off.
- 40 Wall Street - The 72 floor tower consists of 1.3 MM SF in premier office space. Based on a SF assumption DBVSG indicated an adjusted value of \(\$ 541.6 \mathrm{MM}\). The resulting \(32 \%\) haircut has been maintained for a DB adjusted value this year, resulting in a \(\$ 477.43\) estimated valuation this year. The existing debt in the amount of \(\$ 152.4\) was recently refinanced and matures on July 2025.
- Trump Park Avenue - The property located on 59th Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space and has a reported value of \(\$ 171 \mathrm{MM}\). The unsold condominium units have been pledged as collateral for the mortgage which, as of \(6 / 30 / 17\), had an outstanding balance of \(\$ 10.5 \mathrm{MM}(a 6 \% \mathrm{LTV}\) ) and matures \(8 / 1 / 20\). Based on prior discussions with DBVSG we elected to take an approximate \(68 \%\) haircut on the reported value.
- US Club Facilities - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 13 domestic private club facilities having a combined stated market value of approximately \(\$ 2,159.7 \mathrm{MM}\) which include The Mar-A-Lago Club in Palm Beach FL; Trump National Golf Club in Briarcliff Manor N.Y.; Trump International Golf Club in Palm Beach County, FL; Trump National Golf Club in Los Angeles, CA; Trump National Golf Club in Bedminster, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Colts Neck, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Washington, DC; Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point, Bronx, NY; Trump
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

National Golf Club in Hudson Valley, NY; Trump National Golf Club in Philadelphia, PA: Trump National Doral in Miami, FL; Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte, NC: and Trump National Golf Club in Jupiter, FL.
; European Golf Clubs - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 3 European golf club facilities which include Trump International Golf Club in Scotland, Aberdeen; Trump Turnberry, South Aurshire, Scotland; Trump International Golf Links Ireland, Doonbeg.
; Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned interests in Trump Old Post Office, The Trump World Tower at United Nations; 100 Central Park South; Trump Plaza NY; Trump International Hotel and Tower, Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East Condominiums and the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford, NY. These properties consist of commercial, retail and hotel space along with condominium units and raw land. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value (with the exception of the Mansion at Seven Springs) we applied a haircut of approximately \(21 \%\), which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors four Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team.

It should be noted that as of 6/30/17, the Guarantor has continued not to include the value of the Trump Chicago International Hotel \(\&\) Tower in his financial statements thus we have omitted this asset from our analysis of Mr. Trump's personal financial condition. It should be further noted that on 11/9/12, DB provided the Guarantor with a \(\$ 98 \mathrm{MM}\) bifurcated commercial mortgage facility on the Trump International Hotel and Condos in Chicago (Facility B). Since closing, the Facility has been paid down to \(\$ 19 \mathrm{MM}\), increased in 2014 to \(\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}\), and paid down again to \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\), as further discussed in the Facility B section of the subject annual review.

Partnership and Joint Ventures -
- 1290 Avenue of the Americas, NY and 555 California Street, San Francisco, CA - In May of 2007 Mr. Trump partnered with Vornado Realty Trust in two buildings in NY and San Francisco. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containing approximately 2MM leasable SF housing such tenants as Microsoft, AXA Equitable, and Cushman \& Wakefield. 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office buildings for a total of 1.7 MM leasable SF in addition to a subterranean garage. Current tenants include Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, UBS Financial Services, Citigroup and Wells Fargo. Mr. Trump owns \(30 \%\) of these properties. The value of \(\$ 1.195 .8 \mathrm{MM}\) is net of debt. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of this asset.
- Trump International Hotel and Tower - Las Vegas. Nevada - Entities owned by Mr. Trump have formed a JV with Philip Ruffin as equal members, and have built a luxury hotel and condominium tower near the Las Vegas Strip. The Tower is the tallest hotel condominium tower in Las Vegas with over 1,200 condominium units, a 10,000 SF spa, a fitness center, salon, gourmet restaurant, heated pool and valet parking. The estimated current value of \(\$ 102.7 \mathrm{MM}\) is after the current mortgage debt of \(\$ 19.54 \mathrm{MM}\), with final maturity of \(10 / 1 / 2021\) (recently extended from 2017). For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(\mathbf{5 0 \%}\) haircut of this asset.
- Trump Old Post Office - Washington, DC - Entities wholly owned by Mr. Trump and family members own \(100 \%\) of the entity that has entered into a long-term ground lease with the GSA of the US Government. The Trump Organization converted the historic Old Post Office Building into a luxury hotel that has now been fully operational for \(12+\) months. Additional details are discussed below under Facility C.
> Real Estate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous associations with several other parties for purposes of developing properties and other projects. Terms of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance. The estimated current value of \(\$ 246 \mathrm{MM}\) was based on situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exit and fees and other compensation which he will earn are reasonably quantifiable. Entities wholly owned by Mr. Trump had previously pledged \(\$ 4.3 \mathrm{MM}\) of these fees, all of which has since been repaid as of July 2017 . For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of this asset.

F Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Cessna Citation \(X\) and 2 Sikorsky helicopters, ownership rights to The Apprentice/Celebrity Apprentice Series and the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 2,000 acre vineyard in Charlottesville, Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties, an international talent/model agency and receivables representing amounts earned to date end contract rights with regards to future performances on television. It should be noted that previously the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independent valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report indicated a brand value in the range of \(\$ 2.8\) billion to \(\$ 3.0\) billion last year while no such valuation has been provided this year. As always, for purposes of our financial analysis, we continue to assume no value for the Trump brand.

خ Contingents - as of \(6 / 17 / 17\) DJT's gross contingent obligations were reported to be \(\$ 330 \mathrm{MM}\), which included: a) the \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) in support provided under the Doral credit facility (Facility A) with WM (in actuality Mr. Trump is not currently obligated to provide any Guaranty on this amount based on the low LTV, but he has voluntarily agreed to leave a \(10 \%\) Guaranty in place, i.e. \(\$ 12.5 \mathrm{MM}\), but reports the full \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) obligation); b) \(\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}\) on a limited guarantee for the \(\$ 156.5 \mathrm{MM}\) commercial mortgage on 40 Wall Street extended by Capital One; c) \$170MM on Trump Old Post Office (Facility C); d) various other projects and CRE holdings as reported on his personal financial statement.
\(>\) Net Cash Flow - the Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which is generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash for the period 2010-2017:

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline CASH FLOW TABLE ! Type & Client
Reported FY
\(6 / 30 / 17\) & Client Reported FY 6/30/16 & Client Reported FY 6/30/15 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Client } \\
\text { Reported FY } \\
6 / 30 / 14
\end{gathered}
\] & Client
Reported
FY
\(6 / 30 / 13\) & Client
Reported
FY \(6 / 30 / 12\) & Client
Reported
\(11 / 30 / 11\) & Client
Reported
2010 \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sources of } \\
& \text { Cash } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Real Estate & \$32.2 & \$42.1 & \$23.4 & \$43.5 & 29.1 & \$32.1 & \$30.5 & \$125.0 \\
\hline Entertainment & \$1.6 & \$39.4 & \$5.2 & \$10.8 & 19.5 & \$20.6 & \$23.5 & \$15.3 \\
\hline Clubs & \$13.5 & \$25.8 & \$34.1 & \$14.4 & 17.4 & \$15.1 & \$12.1 & \$8.0 \\
\hline Licensing & \$4.3 & \$12.5 & \$18.8 & \$20.5 & 16.1 & \$32.4 & \$33.4 & \$32.3 \\
\hline Non Op. Revenue & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 10.7 \text { (joint } \\
& \text { ventures) } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & --- & -- & 192.9 & -- & \$41.2 & \$50.4 \\
\hline Investment income & \$0.23 & . 34 & \$.98 & \$1.4 & 1.7 & \$1.8 & 54.2 & \$4.2 \\
\hline Debt Refinancing & \$24.7 & & \$7.0 & \$50.1 & -- & -- & -- & -- \\
\hline Other & \$.70 & 5.30 & \$3.2 & \$4.0 & 2.2 & \$12.0 & \$10.7 & \$10.5 \\
\hline Total Sources & \$77.23 & \$136.1 & \$92.7 & \$144.7 & \$278.9 & \$114.0 & \$155.6 & \$245.7 \\
\hline Uses of Cash & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Property Development & \$23.8 & \$92.2 & \$153.3 & \$142.2 & \$66.1 & \$69.8 & \$34.8 & \$34.3 \\
\hline Retirement of Debt/Debt Service & \(\$ 10.8\) (change in cash balances and liquidated marketable securities) & & -- & - & \$30.9 & \$74.7 & \$14.2 & \$25.2 \\
\hline Golf Club/ Aircraft Acquisition & & & -- & \$90.4 & \$21.7 & \$32.9 & -- & \$37.0 \\
\hline Acquisitions / Others & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 31.2 \text { (non- } \\
& \text { recurring } \\
& \text { expenses) }
\end{aligned}
\] & \$30.8 & \$3.5 & & & & & \\
\hline Income Tax Payable & \$9.9 & \$5.7 & \$1.3 & \$0.6 & \$6.5 & \$22.0 & \$21.8 & \$2.9 \\
\hline Personal \& Other & \$4.2 & \$5.4 & \$4.7 & \$3.4 & \$3.8 & \$3.7 & \$2.5 & \$1.7 \\
\hline Advances to operating entities and joint ventures & \$19.4 & & \$34.5 & & & & & \\
\hline Political Expenses & \$16.4 & \$48.2 & \$2.2 & & & & & \\
\hline Total Uses & \$115.7 & \$182.3 & \$199.5 & \$236.7 & \$129.0 & \$203.1 & \$73.3 & \$101.1 \\
\hline Net Excess Cash Flow & (\$38.5) & (47.1) & (\$106.8) & (\$92.0) & \$149,9 & (\$89.1) & \$82.3 & \$144.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- Real Estate- represents distributions from the portfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outlined in this section.
\(>\) Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantor's involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. It is unclear to what degree this source of cash flow will continue. Although Mr. Trump owns the rights to "The Apprentice", it is unlikely to return to television in the near future.
- Clubs - represents distributions generated through the portfolio of 16 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor.
- Licensing - represents licensing revenue from a large potfolio of licensing agreements both real estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka, Trump Water, Trump Shirts and several other such types of arrangements.
- Non-Operating Revenue - No non-operating cash flow was reported for 2016-2017. Prior years, non-operating revenue consisted of tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale, distributions from refinancing and other one-time items.
\(\geqslant \quad\) Investment Income - represents interest and investment income on cash and marketable securities.
; Other - primarily related to miscellaneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements.
\(\geqslant\) Uses of Cash - primarily utilized for acquisition of resorts/golf clubs/aircraft.
\(>\) Political Expenses - first reported for the 2015 reporting year, tied to the Guarantor's successful election campaign for US President.
\(>\) Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of all of the obligations committed and proposed (the full \$125MM for Doral, \$45MM on Chicago and \$170MM OPO) by the Guarantor, using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The results indicate that the Guarantor meets 2 out of 4 of the unsecured
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
ratios. As noted above, for purposes of adjusted contingents, all of the adjusted contingent liabilities are related to secured debt, however the full amount of the exposure is still included in the Guarantor's adjusted financials.

Note: Although cash flow has oftentimes been negative over the last few years, this is expected to improve as the Doral and OPO construction projects are now complete and political expenses should stabilize.

\section*{Property Description/Project Overview - Facility A - Trump Endeavor 12 LLC}

The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes four tournament class golf courses (Blue. Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include 86,139 SF of meeting space including a 24,000 SF ballroom, a 50,000 SF spa and treatment center, six food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within eight miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport.

The Borrower completed extensive renovations in 2016 as evidenced by the recently received appraisal. The renovations substantially increased the property value to \(\$ 382 \mathrm{MM}\) based on a bank ordered appraisal in 2016 . The value was verified as still reliable by DB Hospitality expert Phil Ribolow in 2017. Co-Star data, showing strong demographics in the subject property location, is availabie for 2018.

The renovations were primarily on the Main Building, Guest Rooms, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrades of the lobbies, reception areas, meeting spaces, restaurants, retail spaces, spa and corridors. Renovation of the Lodges and Spa Suites include both interior and exterior work included painting, lighting and landscaping. Guest rooms have undergone a complete renovation including new bathroom fixtures, furniture, flooring, window treatments, lighting and linens. The Member's Clubhouse has been completely redesigned to maximize and upgrade the space and improve the finishes. These improvements will help support and drive an increase in the number of dues-paying members. After the complete renovation of 72 holes of championship golf across four courses during 2013, recent golf course renovations were primarily aesthetic with a focus on improvements to the cart paths and landscaping. In addition, the budget includes lengthening and expanding the driving range to make it consistent with the high standard of the courses. The original loan proceeds were used to enhance the arrival experience, improve the overall landscaping, renovate the pool and outdoor areas and perform a number of other smaller projects.

The Borrower completed the renovation in phases as the resort remained operational throughout said renovation, although with significantly reduced room availability and additional sections closed as necessary. With the work completed and positive reviews continuing to hit the marketplace, occupancy and rates are expected have been steadily growing, a trend that is expected to continue.

\section*{Property Performance - Facility A - Trump Endeavor 12 LLC}

Property Level Financials:
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline In thousands & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year End 2017 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year End 2016 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year End 2015 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year End 2014 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year End 2013 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Year-End 2012 \\
Actual
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & \(68.22 \%\) & \(51.9 \%\) & \(55 \%\) & \(34 \%\) & \(73 \%\) & \\
\hline ADR & \(\$ 201\) & \(\$ 223,72\) & \(\$ 209\) & \(\$ 196\) & \(\$ 2,2 \%\) \\
\hline RevPar & \(\$ 107\) & \(\$ 116,07\) & \(\$ 112,74\) & \(\$ 67\) & \(\$ 151,85\) & \(\$ 175.0\) \\
\hline Total Revenue & \(\$ 74,665\) & \(\$ 86,168\) & \(\$ 92,051\) & \(\$ 49,448\) & \(\$ 111,237\) & \(\$ 82,099\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Total Operating \\
Expenses
\end{tabular} & \(\$ 62,024\) & \(\$ 74,524\) & \(\$ 29,645\) & \(\$ 51,913\) & \(\$ 87,295\) & \(\$ 69,899\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Net Operating \\
Income
\end{tabular} & \(\$ 12,641\) & \(\$ 11,643\) & \(\$ 13,846\) & \((\$ 2,465)\) & \(\$ 23,942\) & \(\$ 12,200\) \\
\hline EBITDA & \(\$ 12,641\) & \(\$ 11,643\) & \(\$ 13,846\) & \(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}\) & \(\$ 26,169\) & \(\$ 12,200\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Actual Debt to \\
EBITDA
\end{tabular} & 9.88 x & \(10.74 \times\) & \(9.03 x\) & \(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}\) & \(4.78 \times\) & \(10.25 x\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Actual DSC \(=3.33 x\)}

If at any time the DSC covenant is breached when the Guaranty Level is > \(0 \%\), the Borrower must provide an acceptable appraisal to the Lender, at Lender request, confirming the LTV. If the LTV is above the Max LTV for the Guarantor Level in place, the Borrower has the option to (i) pay down the loan or post additional collateral to bring the loan back into compliance or (ii) increase the Guaranty Level correspond to the updated LTV. At any time the Guaranty Level is \(10 \%\) the DSC Covenant will step-down to 1.65 x , however, any breach thereafter will be trigger an Event of Default without requiring a new appraisal.

\footnotetext{
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}


In addition to room revenue, golf round and greens fees are forecast to increase at an accelerated rate substantially over the next five years. Although these figures are included in the overall NOI discussion, it is important to highlight the benefit received from the course renovations. On a fiscalized basis, the following represents golf and membership forecast summaries through 2020/21:

2018/19: \$28,218,876
2019/20: \$29,720,497
2020/21: \$31,012,338
Occupancy and average daily rate are also expected to grow significantly over the coming years. Note that the ADR for 2016 projected below was almost exactly in line with the actual ADR provided by the client. See below:
\begin{tabular}{l|c|c}
\multicolumn{3}{c}{ Subject's Projected ADR Summary } \\
\hline Year & \begin{tabular}{c} 
ADR \\
Growth
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Projected \\
ADR
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Positioned ADR (2015) & & \(\$ 216.97\) \\
\hline 2016 Partial Year (2 Months) & \(4.0 \%\) & \(\$ 218.42\) \\
\hline \(3 / 1 / 2016-2 / 28 / 2017\) & \(6.9 \%\) & \(\$ 233.52\) \\
\(3 / 1 / 2017-2 / 28 / 2018\) & \(6.9 \%\) & \(\$ 249.71\) \\
\(3 / 1 / 2018-2 / 28 / 2019\) & \(5.6 \%\) & \(\$ 263.61\) \\
\(3 / 1 / 2019-2 / 29 / 2020\) & \(3.9 \%\) & \(\$ 273.95\)
\end{tabular}

Although the occupancy rate declined from \(73 \%\) in 2013 to \(34 \%\) in 2014 due to the expansive renovations that occurred throughout 2014, the DSC figures, along with occupancy and ADR growth indicate the property is stabilizing and starting to perform under normal operating conditions. This is enhanced by the benefits that come with a newly renovated facility. Figures should continue to improve per projections as the property is fully operational and the Miami tourism business continues to grow.

\section*{Property Description/Project Overview - Facility B}

Facility B - The Property is known as the 92 -story Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago. The entire tower contains 2,637,320 SF of mixed use components which includes a hotel, spa facility, residential condominiums, a parking garage, retail space, restaurants, convention space, and a health club. The Property was originally developed in the 1950's as a mid-rise office building occupied by the Chicago Sun Times which was purchased by Trump for \(\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}\), closing October of 2004. Subsequently the Sun-Times building was demolished and the Trump International Hotel and Tower was developed at a cost of approximately \(\$ 600 \mathrm{MM}\). Occupancy began in January 2008 for the hotel component, and the residential component began delivering units in Fall of 2008 with completion of the entire project in the latter half of 2009.

The Trump international Hotel consists of a 339 -room luxury hotel property which includes a bar/restaurant, spa, fitness center, banquet space, parking and ground floor retail. For the purposes of this facility, the collateral originally consisted of 175 developer owned units "condo units" as well as the commercial components of the hotel including the food and beverage outlets, the meeting/banquet space, parking and the Spa at Trump. As all of the Condo units have been sold and our loan subsequently reduced, our existing collateral currently consists solely of the hotel/commercial components of the building.

\section*{Property Performance/Financial Analysis - Facility B}

\section*{Hotel Collateral}

The hotel property is divided into 3 general sections, the commercial areas including the hotel rooms, spa, restaurants, banquet rooms and parking, the 175 sponsor- owned units (no longer part of our collateral) and 164 privately owned third party units (also no longer included as Collateral).

With regards to the third party units no longer part of our collateral, the private owners had the option to put their unit into the rental pool with the sponsor owned units to be rented to the general public. When one of the third party units is selected from a blind pool, the net income to the owner is
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
the rental revenue on the unit revenue split, less various fees for expenses incurred in the operation of the hotel room including a management fee. As noted in the tables below, the \(3^{\text {red }}\) Party Reimbursable line item represents these expenses that are netted out of the related \(3^{\text {rid }}\) Party Revenue Distribution. In addition to any fees related to the rental of their unit, the unit owner is responsible for the related real estate taxes and reserves as well as CAM and utilities. As per the Borrower, the revenue generated by the unit has historically been sufficient to cover these fixed costs as well as those related to the rental of the unit.

Historical Financial Results
The Borrower has provided Profit and Loss statements from 2010-2016:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12-Mo } \\
\text { Ending } \\
12 / 31 / 2010
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12-Mo } \\
\text { Ending } \\
12 / 31 / 2011
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12-Mo } \\
& \text { Ending } \\
& 12 / 31 / 12
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12-Mo } \\
\text { Ending } \\
12 / 31 / 13
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12-Mo } \\
\text { Ending } \\
12 / 31 / 14
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12-Mo } \\
\text { Ending } \\
12 / 31 / 15
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 12-Mo } \\
& \text { Ending } \\
& \text { 12/31/16 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { 12-Mo } \\
\text { Ending } \\
12 / 31 / 17
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 61.7\% & 68.5\% & 69.7\% & 74.5\% & - & 75.16\% & 67.10\% & 64\% \\
\hline Average Daily Rate ("ADR") & \$321.67 & \$347.31 & \$384.47 & \$387.96 & - & \$417.67 & \$404.23 & \$381.55 \\
\hline Revenue per Available Room ("RevPar") & \$198.46 & \$237.98 & \$267.91 & \$289.01 & - & \$302.40 & \$271.26 & \$244.54 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$46,787 & \$55,226 & \$60,931 & \$65,490 & \$47,572 & \$50,829 & \$43,419 & \$36,458 \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$40,182 & \$45.439 & \$46,562 & \$48,436 & \$40,892 & \$43,931 & \$41,774 & \$34,582 \\
\hline Management fee & \$1,847 & \$2,574 & \$2,869 & \$3,033 & \$1.366 & \$1,424 & \$1,299 & \$1,579 \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
3^{\text {rd }} \text { Party } \\
\text { Revenue } \\
\text { Distribution }
\end{gathered}
\] & \$7,068 & \$8,222 & \$16,490 & \$17,793 & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A \\
\hline \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Party Reimbursables & (\$3.989) & (\$6.030) & (\$11,900) & \((12,606)\) & N/A & N/A & N/A & N/A \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$1,679 & \$5,021 & \$6,910 & \$8,834 & \$6,679 & \$6,897 & \$1,861 & \$1,767 \\
\hline Debt Service (I/O)* & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$1,135^ & \$1,402^ \\
\hline DSCR (I/O)* & 0.47x & 1.40x & 1.92x & 2.45x & 1.86x & 1.91x & 1.64x & 1.26x \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Equivalent to \(8 \%\) stressed rate which is significantly higher than current rates

\section*{^Actual Debt Service/Interest Expense}

Occupancy Rate/Net Operating Income - Although occupancy trends since 2010 rates improved from \(61.7 \%\) to \(74.5 \%\) in 2015, driven primarily by the continued improvement in the economy and growing popularity of the Property location, reservations at the subject property were down almost \(30 \%\) in 2016 and another \(3 \%\) in 2017. Consequently, the NOI has shown a decreasing trend.. For this reason, a new appraisal was ordered and discussed below.

An appraisal was performed by CB Richard Ellis, dated as of June 16, 2018 which indicated an "As Is" value of \(\$ 168.7 \mathrm{MM}\) and "Stabilized" value as of June 2021 of \(\$ 204.1\) MM. Phil Ribolow. Hotel and Hospitality expert in CRM REV, reviewed the appraisal and indicated his approval of the analysis and final valuation. The valuation represents an approximate \(\$ 35 \mathrm{MM}\) increase over the last appraisal completed in April 2014. Despite a decreasing NOI trend at the property over the last few years, its location and quality supersede any brand issues, obstacles created by nearby protestors (of the Guarantor's policies as President of the United States) , competition, and a sluggish convention pipeline in Chicago, etc,, especially with regard to any sale to an independent party.
- ADR/Rev Par - the improvement in Occupancy Rates has provided the Borrower the opportunity to significantly increase both ADR and RevPar. During the historical period ADR has increased by \(17 \%\) and RevPar has increased \(31 \%\). Both of these indicators are well above the average ADR of \(\$ 311.16\) and RevPar of \(\$ 236.68\) for the subject's competitive set of hotels in Chicago. Per the appraisal provided, for full service hotels in the Chicago Metro area, ADR was anticipated to increase \(1.8 \%\) in 2017.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio requirement of 1.26 x on an actual rate/interest only basis as specified in the loan documents.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

Projected Financial Results

\section*{OCCUPANCY, ADR, \& ROOMS REVENUE CONCLUSIONS}
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
\hline Fiscal Year Ending 5/31/ & 2019 & 2020 & 2021 & 2022 \\
\hline Avg. Available Rooms & 339 & 339 & 339 & 339 \\
Annual Room Nights & 123,735 & 124,074 & 123,735 & 123,735 \\
Occupancy & \(63 \%\) & \(65 \%\) & \(69 \%\) & \(72 \%\) \\
Occupied Rooms & 77,953 & 80,648 & 85,377 & 89,089 \\
ADR & \(\$ 379.97\) & \(\$ 395.17\) & \(\$ 414.92\) & \(\$ 427.37\) \\
RevPAR & \(\$ 239.38\) & \(\$ 256.86\) & \(\$ 286.30\) & \(\$ 307.71\) \\
RevPAR Growth & & \(7.3 \%\) & \(11.5 \%\) & \(7.5 \%\) \\
\hline Total Rooms Revenue & \(\$ 29,619,557\) & \(\$ 31,869,329\) & \(\$ 35,424,990\) & \(\$ 38,074,163\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- Occupancy Rate - assumes the economy continues to recover and the Property continues to realize the increasing growth in demand based on growing popularity of location, improved convention corporate needs, and stabilization of any political issues facing the Brand. The projected occupancy growth goes from \(63 \%\) to \(72 \%\) through 2022.
- ADR/ Rev Par - shows continued growth during the period with ADR increasing from \(\$ 379.97\) to \(\$ 427.37\) and estimates of a \(25 \%\) increase in RevPar through 2022.

\section*{Sensitivity Analysis}

The financial projections presented above provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPar, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating Income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of \(1.25 x\) would be measured through the NOI and Interest Rate.
- NOI - Based on the \(12 / 31 / 17\) FY Actual results, the NOI could decrease by approximately \(\$ 100 \mathrm{M}\) before breaching the DSCR covenant of 1.25x

\section*{Property Description/Project Overview - Facility C}

The iconic and historic Old Post Office in Washington, DC opened in 1899 as the Headquarters of the US Postal Service. Its grand architecture was designed to announce Pennsylvania Avenue's arrival as America's Main Street. The 441,000 SF building is the second-tallest in Washington D. C. (next to the Washington Monument) and is home to the historic Congress Bells and an observation tower.

The Trump Organization (Trump) was selected by the US General Services Administration (GSA), to renovate Washington DC's historic Old Post Office Building (OPO) located on Pennsylvania Avenue and \(12^{\text {th }}\) Street just southeast of the White House. Construction was completed 2 -years ahead of schedule and the property opened in September of 2016. The property contains 263 guestrooms and suites, 38,300 square feet of meeting space, two food and beverage outlets (BLT is the flagship restaurant with a high-end sushi restaurant to come on as the second food establishment), a spa (the first spa to be branded by lvanka Trump), a fitness facility, valet parking in an on-site underground garage, and roughly 3.000 square feet of leased retail space (Brioni \& Starbucks). The site also contains the largest ballroom in Washington, DC (13,000 SF).

The Borrower has executed a 62+ year ground lease with 2 additional 20 -year options with the GSA for the OPO, this process included a year-long concept review through the Section 106 process with all relevant stakeholders, including the Commission of Fine Ats, National Capital Planning Commission, DC State historic Preservation Office and General Services Administration. The full renovation budget was \(\$ 215 \mathrm{MM}+\) and the Borrower deployed its \(20 \%\) equity (the "Defined Equity Amount") prior to the Lender's funds being released through monthly draws starting in late 2015. Disbursements of the Borrower's \(\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}\) line occurred throughout 2015 and 2016. The entire \(\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}\) line was disbursed by the end of 2016 and the property was awarded a TCO. In conjunction with final appraisal ordered in January of 2017, the Client triggered the end of the "Redevelopment Period" and the "Post-Development Period" started as of January 18, 2017.

In February 2018 a final C of O was issued, wrapping up any outstanding items on the project. Privileged - Attorney Client Privileged - Attorney Client --.......i

A January 2017 appraisal completed on behalf of the Bank indicated the following breakdown in values:
1) As Is Final Value Estimates

Investment Value \(\$ 229.5 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 873 \mathrm{M}\) per key
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
2) Hypothetical As is Market Value of \(\$ 237.5 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 903 \mathrm{M}\) per key

As Complete Final Value Estimates
3) Prospective Stabilized Value of \(\$ 274.5 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 1.04 \mathrm{MM}\) per key

The report valuations require hypothetic assumptions given the lack of historical cash flow at the property. The report was reviewed and approved internally and lead to the following LTV conclusions:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Collateral & Market Value & Loan to Value \\
\hline C) Old Post Office, Washington, DC & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ 229.5 \mathrm{MM}\) \\
As is investment Value (LW Hospitality Advisors appraisal dated January 1. 2017, ordered and reviewed by CRM READ. Phil Ribolow
\end{tabular} & 74.1\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As mentioned, the finalization of the appraisal detailed above allowed the Post-Development period to begin, as requested by the Borrower on January 18, 2017. Patrick Harris was notified of this change as to how the loan is categorized via an email memo sent on March 21, 2017. The memo stated, in part:
"The Libor spread on loan number 01563340 (secured by a ground lease on the Old Post Office Building in Washington DC) is also being reduced by 25bps, as stipulated in the Loan Agreement dated August 12, 2014, when the post development appraisal has been accepted by the Bank resulting in an explicit loan to value (see below), and the Temporary Certificate of Occupancy has been issued. Both stipulations have been met, as confirmed by DB and Loeb and Loeb. The resulting loan to value is currently is \(74.2 \%\), per the latest appraisal reviewed and accepted by the Bank on January 18, 2017. DB maintains the right to reappraise the property on an annual basis at our expense."

In Q2 2018, preliminary income figures, representing a full 12-month period of being fully operation, were supplied and used to confirm covenant compliance. The resulting NOI (incorporated in to the chart below) results in a 1.54× DSC ratio.

First year ADR and RevPar numbers also indicate the following for FY 2017:

\section*{ADR \(=\$ 545\)}

RevPar = \$271
Occupancy \(=\mathbf{4 9 . 7 2 \%}\)

Members of the Banking and Lending team visited the property in December 2016 upon its completion. The site visit reaffirmed the high standard of construction and operational management in place at the site.

Highlights include:
Accommodations - The Hotel's guest rooms and suites are nearly 20\% larger than the average rooms offered by the Hotel's competitive set (Four Seasons, The Ritz-Carlton Georgetown, The Ritz-Carlton DC, Mandarin Oriental and the Hay-Adams), a major point of differentiation with transient business and leisure guests. The Borrower believes the differentiated product will fill a void in the marketplace for premium rooms and attract embassy and delegation stays at premium nightly rates.

Meeting \& Banquet Facilities - With more than 39,100 SF of event space on two dedicated levels including a 13,000 SF Grand Ballroom and several meeting/function rooms, the Hotel has DCs largest luxury meeting space and largest Grand Ballroom in the aforementioned competitive set. Combined with the Hotel's well-appointed amenities, prime location and personalized services; the Borrower feels the OPO will be well positioned to capture the top events in Washington, DC.

Retail - Each year millions of people visit the area surrounding the OPO including 236,000 annual visitors to the Clock Tower located inside the OPO. Nearby attractions include the White House, the National Mall, the DC Convention Center and the OPO, all of which generate significant foot traffic and spending in Downtown DC. The Borrower feels that the Hotel's central location within a high concentration of wealth, premium office buildings and cultural institutions will attract the best retailers.

Food \& Beverage - The Hotel features four food and beverage outlets, including a grand bar, as well as in-room dining and a Starbucks location. The two main restaurants include BLT Prime by David Burke and a high end sushi restaurant.

The Spa at Trump - The Hotel features a 5,000 SF signature Spa at Trump offering an array of spa offerings including massages, facials, relaxation programs and beauty treatments.

Parking - The Hotel provides \(\sim 120\) spaces on one level of underground parking, accessible by valet. Parking in that area is scarce and hotels command a premium over neighborhood garages. Hotels near the OPO with less luxurious accommodations are able to charge \(\$ 47 /\) day.

Location and Market Overview - Facility C
DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

The subject property is located in Washington, D.C. between the White House and the U.S. Capitol Building on Pennsylvania Avenue. Washington, D.C. is a cosmopolitan city rich in monuments, museums and culture. As the nation's hub of political affairs, the city is the center of governmental action and policy. From Capitol Hill to Embassy Row, the National Mall and historic Georgetown, Washington, D.C. also boasts a diverse concentration of national and international organizations and associations. Furthermore, a number of major universities, educational agencies, and museums - including Georgetown University, George Washington University, Howard University, Catholic University, American University and the Smithsonian Institution are located within the District of Columbia.

The federal government and all of the public and private institutions which support it, form the biggest industry sector in the Washington, D.C. region. While the government sector accounts for approximately \(31 \%\) of total employment, the government serves as the catalyst for virtually all of the economic activity in the greater Washington area including the operations of hundreds of private sector firms, associations, trade unions, law firms, lobbying organizations, defense companies, political groups and international organizations. The prevalence of the government, educational and healthcare sectors helps to somewhat shelter this region from any major economic interruptions; thus, the outlook for the market area remains fairly optimistic

Convention centers often serve as a gauge of visitation trends to a particular market as they generate significant levels of demand for area hotels and serve as a focal point for community activity. Typically hotels within the closest proximity to a convention center (up to 3 miles away) will benefit the most.

\section*{Projected Financial Analysis Property Performance - Facility C}

The Trump International Hotel is a full-service, luxury hotel property located along Pennsylvania Avenue in the heart of the Federal Triangle Historical District of Washington D.C. The improvements are in excellent overall condition and are above average for the location in regards to improvement design and layout, as well as interior and exterior amenities which are commensurate with luxury hotel operations in Washington D.C. The property's location within the Old Post Office Building, which houses the Clock Tower Observation Deck, the second highest viewing point in Washington D.C., is considered to be a significant competitive advantage. The property is located in a central neighborhood, less than one mile from the U.S. Capitol and White House. The surrounding area contains an abundance of dining and retail options, as well as various private and government offices. Overall, there are no known adverse factors pertaining to the property's marketability, and the luxury hotel is expected to be positioned competitively within its intended demographic.

\section*{Year End 2017 Fiqures:}
- Borrower's Operating Inconme for such period is \(\$ 5 \mathbf{1 , 8 7 7 , 3 9 0}\) [A].
- Borrower's Operating Expenses for such period is \$44,312,009 [B]
- Borrower's Net Operating Income (A] minus [B]) is \(\$ \mathbf{S 7}, 565,381[C] 1\).
- Borrower's debt service expense under the Agreement for such period is \$4,908,8551D].
- Accordingly, Borrower's Debt Service Covarage Ratio for such period ([C] divided by [D]) is 1.54 to 1.00 .
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
2018
\] \\
Projected
\end{tabular} & 2019 Projected & 2020 Projected \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 72\% & 72\% & 72\% \\
\hline ADR & \$710.00 & \$738.40 & \$764.77 \\
\hline RevPar & \$511.20 & \$531.65 & \$550.40 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$102,283 & \$107,874 & \$111,683 \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$68,607 & \$71,805 & \$73,969 \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$33,676 & \$36,069 & \$37,714 \\
\hline Ground Lease & \$3,346 & \$3,430 & 3.515 \\
\hline EBITDA & \$30,330 & \$32,639 & \$34,199 \\
\hline Actual Debt to EBITDA & 5.61 x & 5.21 x & 4.97x \\
\hline Reserve & \$1,829 & \$2,985 & \$3,350 \\
\hline Cash Flow available for Debt Service & \$28,501 & \$29,654 & \$30,849 \\
\hline Projected Debt Service (P\&I, based on the 10 -year swap + 200 bps ) \(4.93 \%\) & \$11,843 & \$11,843 & \$11,843 \\
\hline DSCR & 2.41 x & 2.50x & 2.60x \\
\hline Projected Debt Service (I/O, based on the 10 -year swap + 200 bps ) \(4.93 \%\) all in & \$8,381 & \$8,381 & \$8,381 \\
\hline DSCR & 3.40 x & 3.54x & 3.68 x \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As the Borrower is leasing the property from the U.S. Government, there is no traditional Property Tax assessed. Rather there is a Possessory Interest Tax that is assessed that is a percentage of net income. Prior to operations this tax is determined as a percentage of the value of the leasehold. For the above NOl this tax has been included in the Total Operating expenses line.

As illustrated above, the Borrower has provided projections for the next 3 years of the property's operation. Debt service is interest only and remains that way as long as the value determined by the appraisal continues to result in a Loan to Value of \(75 \%\) or less. If the Loan to Value is between
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\(75 \%-85 \%\), the loan will require principal payments based on a 25 year amortization schedule until such time as the loan is paid down to a \(75 \%\) LTV. If the appraised value of the property results in a LTV of greater than \(85 \%\) the Borrower must pay down the principal of loan to a balance that results in a \(85 \%\) LTV or less within 10 days of notice from the Lender. Due to the various scenarios possible, we have shown both the principal and interest, and interest-only debt service tests based on the 10 year-swap rate of + the max loan spread of \(2.0 \%\) for an all-in of \(4.93 \%\). Based on the Borrower's projections, the property should produce cash flow sufficient to service the debt beginning in the first year of operations and onward.

Sensitivity analysis
The financial projections presented provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPAR, and Operating Expenses. Management is challenged to balance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of 1.15 x would be measured through the NOI and interest rate.
- NOI - Based on the first year figures of year-end 2017 , the NOI could be overstated by \(\$ 800 \mathrm{~K}(10 \%)\) before the DSCR covenant would be breached on an interest only basis

\section*{US ONLY:}

\section*{Additional Information}

\section*{1. Section 23 Attestation}

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, including an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the application of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department, which contains a listing of entities, which have been determined to be affiliates ("Affiliates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affiliate List")
The entity which is entering into the transaction with DBPWM (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank on the Affiliate List maintained by the Compliance Department.
The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the benefit of a named Affiliate; except for transactions that are not covered transactions.
The collateral on which we rely for S 23 purposes is not a liability of an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank and so a covered transaction will not be produced by this loan.

\section*{NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELD}

\section*{Attachments:}
I. Risk Ratings
II. RWA/ROE
III. Financial Spreads
IV. OPO, Doral and 401 North Wabash Financials 12/31/17
V. Wabash Chicago appraisal

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{Ratings Justification:}

\section*{Guarantor:}

CPD iA
A1 Concentration / Diversification SA
A2 Financial Strength / Liquidity
A3 Leverage / Capital Structure
G

A4 Future Financial Stability
B1 Trustworthiness / Qualification
B2 Strategic Alignment in WM
B3 Transparency
B4 Misc. circ. influencing client economic well-being

Mostly concentrated in Real Estate
Strong NW and Good liquidity levels enough to service debt obligations
Low leverage vs personal debt obligations
Verifiable NW in top tier regional target market
Sophisticated/broad investment; recognized business leader
Balanced sophisticated investment strategy; Steady growth in NW
Client provides annual financial information in format appropriate to DB
Stable wealth situation of individual; clear succession planning in place

TE 1 iA-

\section*{CPD}

A1 Operating Environment
A2 Cash Generation Capacity
A3 Leverage / Equity Structure SA
A4 Sustainability of Earnings
B1 Quality of Management
G

B2 LT Management Strategy
B3 Transparency
5

B4 Management Structure
FPD iA-
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
A1 Asset Value / Quality & P \\
A2 Volatility & \(S\) \\
A3 Liquidity & \(S A\) \\
A4 Asset Cash Flow & \(G\) \\
& \\
B1 Event Risk & S \\
B2 Haircut & G \\
B3 Monitoring & S \\
B4 Marketing / Saleability & S
\end{tabular}

4NW
CPD IA-
A1 Operating Environment SA

A2 Cash Generation Capacity S
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { A3 Leverage / Equity Structure } & \text { G } \\ \text { A4 Sustainability of Earnings } & \text { S }\end{array}\)
B1 Quality of Management G
B2 LTT Management Strategy S
B3 Transparency S
B4 Management Structure S
FPD iA.
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
A1 Asset Value / Quality & P \\
A2 Volatility & S \\
A3 Liquidity & S \\
A4 Asset Cash Flow & \(G\) \\
& \\
B1 Event Risk & S \\
B2 Haircut & \(G\) \\
B3 Monitoring & S \\
B4 Marketing / Saleability & S
\end{tabular}

Competition growing or changing rapidly
Appropriate erating and financial ratios for this line of business
Net debt / e ity is strong
Effective pr duct management and development
Highly experienced management team with good track record of success
Highly effective, well developed business strategy in specific industry
Full clear and accurate financial disclosure
Corporate governance structure is fully effective for business needs

Market Vacancy rate \(>12 \%\). Hotels tend to have higher vacancies Diversified mix of guests
Strong demand for properties of this type
DSCR above \(1.25 x\)
Property properly insured by acceptable company
Above 40\%
Periodic appraisals at least once every 3 years
High number of potential buyers

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{lc} 
OPO & \\
CPD iBBB & \\
A1 Operating Environment & SA \\
A2 Cash Generation Capacity & SA \\
A3 Leverage / Equity Structure & SA \\
A4 Sustainability of Earnings & SA \\
B1 Quality of Management & G \\
B2 LT Management Strategy & S \\
B3 Transparency & S \\
B4 Management Structure & S \\
& \\
FPD iA & \\
& P1 Asset Vaiue / Quality \\
A2 Volatility & SA \\
A3 Liquidity & S \\
A4 Asset Cash Flow & G \\
B1 Event Risk & S \\
B2 Haircut & SA \\
B3 Monitoring & S \\
B4 Marketing / Saleability & SA
\end{tabular}

Competition is growing or changing rapidly
Operating and financial ratios may be volatile or subject to some risks Net debt / equity is still acceptable
Established market position within defined niches
Highly experienced management team with good track record of success Highly effective, well developed business strategy in specific industry Full clear and accurate financial disclosure
Corporate governance structure is fully effective for business needs

Market Vacancy rate \(\mathbf{> 1 2 \%}\). Hotels tend to have higher vacancies
Diversified guest mix
Strong demand for properties of this type DSCR above 1.25x

Property insured by acceptable insurance company with strong IG rating HC 25.9\%
Periodic appraisals at least once every 3 years
M3edium demand for property given underlying government leasehold




 Oenera intimavion
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Region & us & Booking center & us Onshura & Team & Rosemary Vrabilc \\
\hline Approver C Credit omicer & gaston alegreetblacom & Preparer & animony pantonereotdid.com & Preparaion Date & 2019-07-20 \\
\hline Counterparty Name & topol & counteraty Type & corperate & Currency & usd \\
\hline ORGID & 0094225 & Group oretic & 1046091 & Group ore name & \\
\hline Jurisadion & Unted States & caunly diveo & & Accouni Number & \\
\hline Counterparty fating & -888 & Name of Penficicia Omer & & slatus & For approval \\
\hline Ratug Review Date & 2019-07-20 & Credit Revew Dale & 2019-07-20 & Rating Appowal Date & \\
\hline A Operating enviconment & & Sill Acceptable & Bi Qualty of management & & Goord \\
\hline A 2 Cash generaion capacity & & Stili Acceptable & E2 LT management strategy & & Saltslactory \\
\hline A3 Leverage / Equity stueure & & 5uil Acceptable & e3 Transparency & & Salistactory \\
\hline A Sustainatity of eamings & & Still Acceptabto & Ba management stuclure & & Salisfactory \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline collateral id & Collaleral Type & Collateral Descriplion & & Market Vathe (USD) & Lenating Value (USD) / AR & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Total Liable Amount mentgage intormation} & Faling \\
\hline 1030400 & Commercial Real Estate & & & 229,500,009 & 170,000,000/74.07 & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{170,000,003} & 昍 \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Colaterat sotring} \\
\hline Collateral ID & Assalvalue / Qually & Votalily & Lquadity & Asset Cash Flow & Event risk & Hatrout & Manitoring & Marketasility / Sateabilly \\
\hline 1030400 & Fors & Stia Acceptane & Sasmactory & eocd & Satstactory & Stil Acceplate & Satisfacey & 5tM Acseplate \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Factiy evatuaten} \\
\hline Facinty & Facility Description & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Limil or Outstanding Amound (USD)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Final Facrity Rating} \\
\hline 1025:19 & & & & & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{170,000,00} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{dA} \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Wergneal fachy fating dA} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Commerts} & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { JNATh } 7 / 27 / 1 r \\
& \text { J. Nicholas Haigh } \\
& \text { Managing Director }
\end{aligned}
\]

EXHIBIT TT

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}


Reason for Presentation:
- Request approval of the \$19MM Unsecured Tranche B associated with the Trump Endeavor 12, LLC Facilly to become fully secured by the underiying Proparty located in Mlami, FL based on the most recent Cushman \& Wakefield appraised value. This change was contomplated af the loen's origination.
- Request approval to extend the Tranche B maturity date by four years to align with Tranche A with fina maturity of \(8 / 11 / 2023\). This change was contemplated at the loan's origination.
- Request approval to reduce the personal Guaranty level from \(100 \%\) to \(10 \%\) based an the most recent appraised value and overall LTV\%. This change was contemplated at the loen's origmation.
- Financial anaiysis of property updated to include year-end 2014 figures for Trump Endaavor 12 LLC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Exchange Rate: & N/A & \begin{tabular}{rl} 
Risk Rating: & Current \\
& Tranche A CPD: iBBB+ FPD: dBBB \\
& Tranche B CPD: iBBB+ FPD: BBB
\end{tabular} & Previous: CPD: iA FPD: dBBB CPD: 1 A FPD: \(1 A\). \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{See attached Risk Rating for rationale for risk rating change (If applicable)} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Estimated ROE / ROA Calculation:
Tranche A: 34\% Tranche B: 72.68\%

Estimated RWA: \$13.1MM*

Note: for the purpose of calcualing RWA, Tranche B will contlnue to be considered unsecured through the end of June 2016, at which time a naw appraisal of the Doral property will be ordered by Lender. It is expected this Lender-orderad appraisal will support a fully-secured Tranche B and its RWA will be revised accordingly (\$1.4MM vs. \$5. \(\$ \mathrm{MM}\) ). This does not affect the client-instructed Cushmen Wakefield appraisal that has been accepted for covenent purposes and allows the mortgage to be increased, covering the full loan amount on a secured basis. The client-instructed Cushman Wakefield appraisal has also been approved for determining the appropriate Guarantor percentages referenced below.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Currency: US \(\$\) in million & New Limit & Usage & Provious Limit & Years & New Limit & Usage & Previous Limit \\
\hline Tranche A & \$106.0 & \$106.0 & \$106.0 & < 1 & & & \\
\hline Tranche B & \$19.0 & \$19.0 & \$19.0 & \(>1\) and \(<=6\) & & & \\
\hline Other & & & & \(>5\) and \(<=7\) & & & \\
\hline Related Exposure & \$215.0 & \$56.3 & \$215.0 & \(>7\) and \(<=10\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Aa) \(\$ 106\) \\
Ab) \(\$ 19\) \\
B) \(\$ 45\) \\
C) \(\$ 170\) \\
Swap C) \(\$ 10.25\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Aa) \(\$ 106\) \\
Ab) \(\$ 19\) \\
B) \(\$ 45\) \\
C) \(\$ 11\) \\
Swap C) 30
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
As) \(\$ 106\) \\
Ab) \(\$ 18\) \\
B) \(\$ 45\) \\
C) \(\$ 170\) \\
Swap C) \(\$ 10.3\)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Facility C Swap Threshold Amt & \$10.25 & \$0.0 & \$10.25 & \(>10\) & & & \\
\hline Total Exposure & \$350,25 & \$181.3 & \$350.25 & Other liabiliti & or comments: & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Current Collateral & Market Value & Loan to Value & Loan Value \\
\hline Doral Golf Resort and Spa located in Miami, FL & \begin{tabular}{l}
\$366MM \\
(Cushman \& Wakefield Appraisal dated 7/29/15)
\end{tabular} & ESTI mATGC
(Combined LTV based on
Tranches A \& B) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \$106MM (Tranche A) } \\
& \text { \$19MM (Tranche B) } \\
& \$ 125 \mathrm{MM} \text { Fully Secured }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Former Collateral & Markat Value & Loan to Value & Loan Value \\
\hline Tranche A: Doral Golf Resort and Spa located in Miami, FL & \$125MM & 85\% & \$106MM \\
\hline Tranche B: Unsecured & N/A & N/A & \$19MM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{Collateral}

Trump National Doral Golf Club - The Coliateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include B6,139 SF of meeting space including a 24,000 SF ballroom, a 50,000 SF spa with guest rooms, 6 food venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. Due to the Increased Property appraised value and corrasponding LTV of 34\%, below the 85\% LTV, Tranche B will become fully secured by the collateral Property (but will remain categorized for the fime Aeing as unsecured for RWA purposes, as noted on p. 1).

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Total Relationshlp Other Credit Exposure Summary} \\
\hline \# & Facillty & Org ld & Collateral & Risk Rating & Facility Amount & OIS
Balance & Maturity & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Interest } \\
\text { Rate } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] & Comments \\
\hline A & Trump Endeavor 12 LLC & 7862044 & CRE & IBBB+ & \$125MM & \$125MM & 8/11/2023 & L+1.75\% & Facility A \\
\hline B & 401 N Wabash Venture LLC & 6618229 & CRE & iA & \$45MM & \$45MM & 6/1/2024 & L+2.00\% & Facility B \\
\hline C & Trump Old Post Office LLC & 8094225 & CRE & iBBB+ & \$170MM & \$11.3MM & 8/11/2024 & L+2.00\% & Facility C \\
\hline C & Facility C Swap Threshold & & & & \$10.25MM & \$0 & & & Swap \\
\hline & & & & Total & \$350.26MM & \$181.3MM & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Covenants:}

\section*{Faclility A}

Does the subject facility have covenants?
If yes, are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval?


Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite?
Are all covenants in compliance?
Reporting
Financlal


See Covenant Section

\section*{RolationshiplFacility Hiphlighta:}
- Construction/Redevelopment of Doral Resort in Florida has been completed with a substantial increase in property value from an estimated \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) to \(\$ 366 \mathrm{MM}\), resulting in a \(34 \%\) LTV on the full \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) loan amount composed of Tranche A (\$106MM) and Tranche B (\$19MM). Given the low LTV, the Unsecured Tranche B will become fully secured by the Doral Property. Per the 7/29/15 Cushman \& Wakefield appraisal, DB will no longer have any Unsecured exposure on the Trump Endeavor 12 LLC Facillty.
- The Guarantor has requested to retain a 10\% Guaranty level on Tranches \(A\) and \(B\) of the subject Facility. As outlined below, the Guaranty level at or below a \(35 \%\) LTV was originally contemplated to be \(0 \%\), at which time pricing was scheduled to increase to \(L+2.00 \%\). Retaining a \(10 \%\) Guaranty level clearly strengthens the credit.
- Pricing on Tranches A and B will remain at \(L+1.75 \%\).
- Guarantor's personal net worth has increased by almost \$1 8illion from 6/30/13 to 6/30/14.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Trump Endeavour 12, LLC} \\
\hline Type/Facllity Amount & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ 125,000,000\), as evidenced by ( \()\) a Secured Note In the principal amount of \(\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}\) separated in to two tranches:
S108MM (Tranche A) \\
s19MM (Tranche B)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Purpose: & Acquislion of the collateral property. \\
\hline Maturity: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tranche A: 8/11/2023. \\
Tranche B: Extend to 8/11/2023 based on the updated appraised value converting the tranche to secured. This change was contemplated at the loan's origination.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Repayment: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Interest only for the term of both facilites. \\
The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penalty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Interest Rate: & \(L+1.75 \%\) or Prime minus \(0.75 \%\), with step-up to \(L+2.00 \%\) or Prime minus \(0.50 \%\), If Guaranty Level falls below \(10 \%\) (see below). \\
\hline LIBOR Tenors & Borrower may elect interest periods of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months \\
\hline Fees: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(1.00 \%\) of Facility Amount has been paid. \\
A remaining fee of \(\$ 144 \mathrm{M}\) will be due and payable concurrently with Tranche \(B\) becoming secured and Its maturity extended to match that of Tranche \(A\).
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Guaranty Type & Based on the updated appraised of \(\$ 366 \mathrm{MM}\), the current LTV is \(34 \%\) which would drop Donald Trump's personal guarantee in full fthis was documented at the loan's origination). However, Trump has requested to maintain a \(10 \%\) guarantee on the combined loan amount of both tranches resulting in the facllity being priced at \(L+1.75 \%\). Retaining a \(\mathbf{1 0 \%}\) Guaranty level clearly strengthens the credit. \\
\hline & \begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Max & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Guaranty \\
Leval
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline & 85\% 100\% \\
\hline & 65\% \(40 \%\) \\
\hline & 55\% 20\% \\
\hline & 45\% \\
\hline & 35\% 0\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

Ropaymen! Sourcos/ Koy Risks/MIUgants
Facillity A
> Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort following the Renovation Period. Based on projections the Resort should be able
to satisfactorly service principal and interest based on a 25-year amortization schedule.

```
\(>\) Tertlary Source of Repayment; Sale of resort collateral property

\section*{Recommendation:}

Approval of:
- Reclassiflcation of the Unsecured Tranche B to a fully secured Tranche B.
- Term extension of the \(\$ 19 \mathrm{MM}\) Tranche B from \(8 / 11 / 2015\) to \(8 / 11 / 2023\) to match the maturity of the \(\$ 106 \mathrm{MM}\) Tranche \(A\).
- Step-down of the personal Guaranty from \(100 \%\) to \(10 \%\), per the loan agreement.
- Note: with an LTV of \(34 \%\) the entire personal Guaranty could be reduced to \(0 \%\), however, the cilent has requested to retain a \(10 \%\) guaranty on both Tranche A and Tranche B which corresponds to a spread of L+1.75\% (same as current spread).

Approval is based on the following:
- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The financial profile of the Guarantor Includes, on an adjusted basis, a net worth of \(\$ 2.7\) billion with \$285MM In unencumbered liquidity.
- Operating Experience - DJT's extensive experience in operating prlvate golf/country clubs. His current porffolio Includea 16 such clubs with a reported value of \(\$ 2.0\) billion and DB adjusted value of \(\$ 858.1 \mathrm{MM}\).
- DE Rejationship - In connection with the addition of Facility C, DJT transferred \$40MM in liquidity to DB. He has also indicated he is interested in continued to grow his non-credlt relatlonship with the firm. The AWM Banking team has been introduced to each of DJT's three adult children and two hava established relationships with the firm. In addition, the CB\&S Real Estate Team has had a successful history with the family.
- Equity Injection of the Guarantor - The estimated equlty injection was nearly \(\$ 250 \mathrm{MM}\) over a 3 year construction period (2012-2015)
- Expected Enhanced Value due to Capex - The Resort is a world class location that has been home to a PGA event every year since it opened in 1962. As noted, DJT has invested nearly \(\$ 250 \mathrm{MM}\) on capital improvements since 2012 and the result has been an increase in Collaterai value to \(\$ 366 \mathrm{MM}\). This value should continue to increase significantly over the term of the Faclity.

\section*{Regulatory Requirement - One Obllgor Principle}

IMPORTANT: Transactions subject to banking secrecy in other locations should not be covered
- Does the borrower have other existing credit client relationships in the current booking location or with other Deutsche Bank entities (whether under private individual name or other related entities)?

If 'Yes', please provide details:
Please see Total Exposure on Page 1
- Please certify (tick the box) that this was confirmed with the borrower: \(\varnothing\)

If answered 'Yes' to the above question, the Consolidation of Borrowers' Sheet has to be completed*
*Credit Officer or Lending Officer to compiete as per responslibilities in place in the given location
\begin{tabular}{|cc|}
\hline Reg O Questions: & \begin{tabular}{l} 
(Lender \& CRM Inlials) \\
NO \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
1.) Is this loan for a DB employee?
2.) Is this loan for a DBTCA "Insider?" or "related party"? (Consult the most current list of "DBTCA Insiders for Regulation O and NY State Banking Law Reporting Purposes," which is posted on the shared drive \(R\) in the folder titled "Reg 0, " in a Word document titled "Reg 0 and Insider List")
3.) If the loan is for a DBTCA "Insider," will DATCA, DBPWM or any other subsidiary of DBTCA originate the loan? (If Yes, the loan must be approved in actvance by the DBTCA Board of Directors and the DBTCA Office of the Secretary. Please contact Compllance or Logal immediatoly.)
Volker Super 23A Attestatlon:
Is the extension of credit belng made to a covered fund (borrower, pledger, guarantor)?
(If yes, answer the following question)
Has thls covered fund been cleared to proceed with the transaction?
(Note: Transaction cannot fund without clearance)
Please provide names of covered funds:

Service members Civil Relliof Act (SCRA):
Is any "Indlvidual" borrower an "active duty" service member or a "dependent" of the same?
Armed Services, National Guard, Public Health Service, or National Oceanic \& Atmospheric Corps
Yes


\section*{Financial Analysls - Guarantor}

It should be noted that the Guarantor, DJT, is required to provide financials within 120 days of \(6 / 30\) FYE. Thus the most recent financials available are as of \(6 / 30 / 14\). We are not aware of any material changes to the Guarantor's financlal profile.

Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although all thres Facilites are secured by Collateral, given the unique nature of these credits, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the Guarantor. As part of this underwiting we have met with several members of the family office to update our due dilligence on the client reported financial information, as prepared by Welsermazars, an independent public accounting frm. Based on the results of this due dillgence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjubtments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Addifional detalls are included in the Guarantor's financial statements.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Financial Summary (s in millions) \\
Source: Client provided finencials
\end{tabular} & DJT
6/30/2011
(cllent Reported) & DJT
6/30/2012
(CHEnt Reported) & DJT
6/3012013
(Cl/mat Raported) & DJT
6/80/2014
(CHONf Reported) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { O/3012014 } \\
\text { IDB Adpurtoce }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Cash \& Marketable Securities & \$258.9 & \$169.7 & \$339.1 & \$302.3 & \$285.3 \\
\hline Escrow \& Reserve Deposits & \$9.1 & \$10.8 & \$15.2 & \$40.0 & -- \\
\hline Real Estate - Net Equity & \$2,996.9 & \$3,184.2 & \$3,268.7 & \$3,867.0 & \$1,933.5 \\
\hline Partnerships \& Joint Ventures & \$720.0 & \$823.3 & \$869.3 & \$923.1 & \$408.5 \\
\hline Real Estate Licensing & \$89,3 & \$65.2 & \$174.7 & \$329.7 & \$164.9 \\
\hline Other Assets & \$199.2 & \$318.5 & \$352.0 & \$352.8 & \$209, \\
\hline Total Assets & \$4,273.4 & \$4,563.9 & \$5,019.0 & \$5,814.9 & \$3,001.2 \\
\hline Personal Mortgage other Debt & \$8.4 & \$8.3 & \$20.5 & 520.4 & \$20.4 \\
\hline Other Llabilities & \$3.7 & \$4.4 & \$20.4 & \$17.0 & \$17.0 \\
\hline Net Worth & \$4,261.3 & \$4,559.0 & \$4,978.0 & \$6,777.5 & \$2,650.9 \\
\hline Contingent Obligations & \$114.0 & \$195.7 & \$197.2 & \$276.0 & \$276.0 \\
\hline Net Cash Flow * & \$82.4 & (\$89.2) & \$169.7 & (\$36.7) & (\$36.7) \\
\hline Leverage Ratio (<= .30) & . 13 & . 14 & . 01 & . 01 & 15 \\
\hline Cash Flow Ratio (>= .35) & . 57 & -0.67 & 0.45 & -. 10 & -. 10 \\
\hline Liquidity Ratlo (>= , 25) & 2.04 & 1.32 & 0.90 & 98 & . 81 \\
\hline Asset Coverage Ratlo ( \(>=6.0\) ) & 31.7 & 33.32 & 13.27 & 16.60 & 8.68 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
> Llquidity - The Guarantor reports liquidity of \(\$ 302.3 \mathrm{MM}\) as of \(6 / 30 / 14\) consisting of funds In Mr . Trump's name personally and various entities that Mr. Trump controls. The client reported balances have remained on par from the prior year, with minor fluctuations attributed to additional CRE acquisitions and the Guarantor taking fewer distributions from his properties.
\(>\) The Guarantor's personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on-going distributions from his diversified portfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributlons include cash distributions from the Guarantor's portfollo of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the collectlon of membership deposits. In accordance with industry standards, premier golf clubs require new members post a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are non-refundable for 30 -years without condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit which is generally contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. An updated value of the outstanding deposits was not provided via the \(6 / 30 / 14\) financial statements; however, as of 6/30/43, the total life-to-date balance of such deposits collected across the clubs owned by the Guarantor was approximately \(\$ 213 \mathrm{MM}\), much of which has been reinvested into the clubs. Given the lack of any conditional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has Indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income. None of these deposits have been included in the Guarantors Hquidity.
\(>\) Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantor's total real estate portfolio, as of \(6 / 30 / 14\) which reflects the Guarantor's 4 wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 13 wholly owned club facillies, other major property interests and properties currently under development. DB adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.
\(>\) Net Worth - The Guarantor's reported net worth has Increased just shy of \(\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}\), largely in part to his real estate equity and licensing. It should also be noted that the DB adjusted calculation of the Guarantor's balance sheet does not include any valuation for his brand, which has receivad a \(100 \%\) halrcut.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Property Type & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { Valuation }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{DB} \\
\text { Valuation }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Reported } \\
\text { Debt }
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { DJT } \\
\text { Net Equity }
\end{gathered}
\] & DB Atjusted Nat Equily \\
\hline Trump Tower - \(7255^{\text {¹ }}\) Ave & \$707.0 & \$480.0 & \$100.0 & \$607.0 & \$360.0 \\
\hline Niketown - East 57 \({ }^{\text {ti] }}\) St & \$348.8 & \$175.0 & \$30.6 & \$318.2 & \$144.4 \\
\hline 40 Wall Street & \$550.1 & \$500.0 & \$160.0 & \$390.1 & \$340.0 \\
\hline Trump Park Ave & \$300.8 & \$173.0 & \$19.4 & \$281.4 & \$153.6 \\
\hline Subtotal - 4 Trophy Propertios & \$1,906.7 & \$1,328.0 & \$310.0 & \$1,596.7 & \$1,018.0 \\
\hline Club Facilities & \$2,009.3 & \$1,004.7 & \$146.6 & \$1,862.7 & \$858.0 \\
\hline Other Property Interest & \$436.8 & \$182.3 & \$29.1 & \$407.7 & \$153.1 \\
\hline Total - Portrollo & \$4,352.8 & \$2,515,0 & 8485.7 & \$3,867, 1 & \$2,029.1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
> 4 Trophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were previously discussed with DB Valuation Services Group ("DBVSG") who advised on adjustments for each.
- Trump Towers - The 68 story bullding contains residentlal and condominiums that are owned by residents along wlth 178,000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of retall space. As of \(6 / 30 / 14\) the property had associated debt of approx \(\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}\). The loan is non-recourse and matures in 2022. The most recent appraisal performed in conjunction with the refinance valued the property at \(\$ 480 \mathrm{MM}\) resulting in a roughly \(21 \%\) LTV.
- Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with respect to 2 long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the bullding located on \(57^{\text {th }}\) street between Madison and \(5^{\text {th }}\) Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retall Services. The current lease is scheduled to expire in May 2017. The space inciudes 65,000 square feet of retail space. Based on sq foot assumption DBVSG previously indicated an adjusted value of \(\$ 175 \mathrm{MM}\). Financing on the space is in the form of long-term bonds which are scheduled to fully amortize by June 1, 2017.
040 Wall Street - The 72 floor tower consist of 1.3 MM in premier office space. Based on a SF assumption DBVSG indicated an
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adjusted value of \(\$ 500 \mathrm{NM}\). The existing debt in the amount of \(\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}\), of which the Guarantor currently guarantees \(\$ 20 \mathrm{NM}\), is scheduled to mature in November 2017.
- Trump Park Avenue - The property located on \(59^{\text {th }}\) Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space and has a reported value based on unsold units and retail rates of \(\$ 346.1 \mathrm{MM}\). The unsold condominium units have been pledged as collateral for the mortgage which, as of \(6 / 30 / 14\), had an outstandlng balance of \(\$ 19.42 \mathrm{MM}\) and matures \(8 / 1 / 15\). Based on prior discussions with DBVSG we elected to take an approximate \(50 \%\) haircut on the reported value.
\(>\) US Club Facilities - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 13 domestic private club facilities which include The Mar-A-Lago Club in Palm Beach Fi; Tump National Golf Club in Briarcllf Manor N. Y.: Trump International Golf Club in Palm Beach County, Fl, Trump Nationg Golf Club in LA. Ca: Trump Natienal Goff Club in Bedminster, NJ: Trume National Golf Club in Cots Neck. NJ: Trump National Goff. Clup in Washington. DC; Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point. Bronx. NY: Trump National Goff Clup in Hudson Valley NY: Trump Natlonal Golf Club in Philadelphia PA, Trump National Doral in Miami, Florida, Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte, NC, and Trump National Goff Club in Jupitec Florida.
\(>\) European Golf Clubs - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 3 European golf club facilities which include Trump International Golf Clup in Scolland, Aberdeen: Trump Turnberry, South Aurshire, Scotland; Trump International Goll Liaks Ireland, Doonbeg.
\(>\) Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned interests in The Trump World Tower at United Nations; 100 Central Park South; Trump Plaza NY; Trump International Hotel and Tower. Trump Palace, Irump Parc and Trump Parc East Condominiums and the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford. NY. These properties consist of commercial, retail and hotel space along with condominium units and raw land. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value (with the exception of the Mansion at Seven Springs) we applied a halrcut of approximately 21\% which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors 4 Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team. With regards to the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedford New York, this property consists of over 200 acres of land, a mansion and other buildings. This property is zoned for 9 luxury homes and valued at \(\$ 301.5 \mathrm{MM}\) based on an assessment made by the Guarantor in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow which he would derive as those units are constructed and sold, and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other bulldings. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(75 \%\) haircut to this asset to reflect the uncertainty in valuing undeveloped land.

11 should be noted thal as of June 30. 2014, the Guaranter has continued to elected nol to include the valua of the Trump chlceso International Hotel \& Tower in hib finganclat statements thus we have omitted thls assel from pur analysis of Mr. Trump's personal financial condition. II should be neted ihal on 11/0/12. DB provided ine Guarantor wilh a sgamm blfurcated commercial mantagag facility on the Trump International Hotel and Condos in Chiczag (Facilly B). Slice closing the Facility has been paid down to sigMM, Increased last year to \$73MM, and paid down again le 545 MM as futher diacussad in the Facility B section of the gubject annual review.
\(>\) Partnership and Joint Ventures -
- 1290 Avenue of the Americas. NY and 555 California Sleet. San Francisco CA - In May of 2007 Mr . Trump partnered with Vornado Realty Trust in two buildings in NY and San Francisco. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containing approximately 2MM leasable SF housing such tenants as Microsoft, AXA Equitable, and Cushman \& Wakafield. 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office bulldings for a total of 1.7 MM leasable \(\mathbf{S F}\) in addition to a subterranean garage, Current tenants include Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, UBS Financial Services, Citigroup and Wells Fargo. Mr. Trump owns \(30 \%\) of these propertes. The value of \(\$ 745.8 \mathrm{MM}\) is net of debt. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) halrcut of thls asset.
- Trump International Hotel and Tower - Las Vegas, Nevada - Entities owned by Mr. Trump have formed a JV with Philip Ruffin as equal members, and have built a luxury hotal and condominium tower near the Las Vegas Strip. The Tower is the tallest hotel condominium tower in Las Veges with over 1,200 condorninium units, a 10,000 SF spa, a fitness center, salon, gourmet restaurant, heated pool and valet parking. The estimated current value of \(\$ 108.2 \mathrm{MM}\) is after the current mortgage debt of \(\$ 63.4 \mathrm{MM}\), with final maturity of \(7 / 5 / 17\). For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of this assel.
> Real Eslate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous assoclations with several other parties for purposes of developing properties and other projects. Tems of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance. The estimated current value of \(\$ 329.7 \mathrm{MM}\) was based on situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exit and fees and other compensation which he will earn are reasonably quantifiable. The Guarantor has pledged certaln of these fees to secure a \(\$ 19.76 \mathrm{MM}\) mortgage on The Trump Tower at Unlited Natlons Plaza. Accordingly, the \(\$ 19.76 \mathrm{MM}\) has been reflected on Mr. Trump's financlal statement as "Other mortgages and loans payable". For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of thls asset.
\(>\) Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Cessna Citation \(X\) and 2 Slkorsky hellcopters, ownership rights to The Apprentice/Celebrity Apprentice Series and the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 2,000 acre vineyard in Charlottesville Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties, an international talent/model agency and recelvables representing amounts eamed to date end contract rights with regards to future performances on television. For purposes deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut on reported value. It should be noted that last year the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independent valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report indicated a brand value in the range of \(\$ 2.8\) billion to \(\$ 3.0\) billion. For purposes of our financial analysis we assumed no value for the Trump brand.
> Cantingents - as of 6/17/14 DJT's gross contingent obligations were reported to be \(\$ 197.2 \mathrm{MM}\), which included: a) the \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) in support provided under the Doral credit facility with AWM, b) \(\$ 69 \mathrm{MM}\) in personal recourse on the existing debt related to the Trump International Hotel in Chicago also with AWM (Update: This debt is currenily \$45MM, I.e. Facilty B, with no personal Guaranty to DJT); c) \$20MM on a ilmited guarantee for the \(\$ 160 \mathrm{MM}\) commercial mortgage on 40 Wall Street extendad by Capital One; d) S11MM on Trump Golf at Ferry Point
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lo the City of New York, e) \$7.1MM related to 7 Springs Resort and \$3.9MM in certain other project related completlon guarantees, f) \$40MM in equity injection by DJT to the Trump OPO (Update: the full \(\$ 42.5 \mathrm{MM} / 20 \%\) Equity Contribution by DJT has been completed and the client has begun to draw on the DB construction financing line, i.e. Facillity C)
- Net Cash Flow - the Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which is generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash for the period 2010-2014.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Туре & Cllent
Reportad FY
FY \(8 / 30 / 14\) & Client
Reported
FY \(6 / 30 / 13\) & Client
Reported
FY \(6 / 30 / 12\) & Client Reported 11/30/11 & Client
Reported
2010 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Sources of Cash} \\
\hline Real Estate & \$43.5 & 29.1 & \$32.1 & \$30.5 & \$125.0 \\
\hline Entertainment & \$10.8 & 19.5 & \$20.6 & \$23.5 & \$15.3 \\
\hline Clubs & \$14.4 & 17.4 & \$15.1 & \$12.1 & \$8.0 \\
\hline Licensing & \$20.5 & 16.1 & \$32.4 & \$33.4 & \$32.3 \\
\hline Non Op. Revenue & - & 192.9 & \(\cdots\) & \$41.2 & \$50.4 \\
\hline Investment income & 51.4 & 1.7 & \$1.8 & \$4.2 & \$4.2 \\
\hline Debt Refinancing & \$50.1 & -- & - & \(\because\) & - \\
\hline Other & \$4.0 & 2.2 & \$12.0 & \$10.7 & \$10.5 \\
\hline Total Sources & \$144.7 & \$278.9 & \$114.0 & \$165.6 & \$245.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Uses of Cash} \\
\hline Property Development & \$142.2 & \$66.1 & \$69.8 & \$34.8 & \$34.3 \\
\hline Retirement of Debt/Debt Service & -- & \$30.9 & \$74.7 & \$14.2 & \$25.2 \\
\hline Golf Club/ Alrcraft Acquisition & \$90.4 & \$21.7 & \$32.9 & \(\cdots\) & \$37.0 \\
\hline Income Tax Payable & 80.6 & \$6.5 & \$22.0 & \$21.8 & 52.9 \\
\hline Personal \& Other & \(\$ 3.4\) & \$3.8 & \$3.7 & \$2.5 & \$1.7 \\
\hline Total Uses & \$236.7 & \$129.0 & \$203.1 & \$73.3 & \$101.1 \\
\hline Net Excess Cash Flow & (\$92.0) & \$149.9 & (\$89.1) & \$82,3 & \$144.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\rightarrow\) Real Estate- represents distributions from the portfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outlined in this section.
\(>\) Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantor's involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. As this source of cash flow appears to be recurring as long as Mr. Trump is involved with the Apprentice we have chosen to take it at reported value. The Apprentice maintains a one-year rolling contract.
\(>\) Clubs - represents distributions generated through the porfolio of 16 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor. Licensing represents licensing revenue from a large portfolio of licensing agreements both real estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka, Trump Water, Trump Shirts and several other such types of arrangements
\(>\) Non Operating Revenue - No non-operating cash flow was reported for 2014. Prior years, non operating revenue consisted of tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale, distributions from refinancing and other one-time items.
\(>\) Investment Income - represents interest and investment income on cash and marketable securities.
- Other - primarily related to miscelleneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements.
> Uses of Cash - primarily utilized for i) acquisltion of resorts/golf clubs/aircrafts including purchase of the Trump Golf Links at Ferry Point in the Bronx, NY with market value of -\$10MM.
- Net Excess Cash Flow - the net reported Cash Flow of (\$37MM) reconciles to the reported decrease in the Guarantor's reported Cash balance from \(\$ 339 \mathrm{MM}\) to \(\$ 302 \mathrm{MM}\). The adjusted cash flow omits the proceeds from refinancing and the proceeds used for acquisition of properties
(Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of all of the obligations committed and proposed (the full \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) for Doral, \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\) on Chicago and \(\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}\) OPO \(+\$ 10.25 \mathrm{MM}\) Swap Threshold + min transfer amt) by the Guarantor, using DB adjusted balances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The results indicate that the Guarantor meets 3 out of 4 of the unsecured ratios. As noted above, for purposes of adjusted contingents, all of the adjusted contingent liabilities are related to secured debt, however the full amount of the exposure is still included in the Guarantor's adjusted financials.

\section*{Property Description/Project Overviaw - Trump Endeavor 12 LLC}

The Collateral propenty consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes 4 tournament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a
 center, 6 food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport

The Borrower has just completed extensive renovations as evidenced by the recently received appraisal. The renovations subsiantially increased the property value to \(\$ 366 \mathrm{MM}\). The renovations were primarily on the Maln Building, Guest Rooms, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrades of the lobbies, reception areas, meeting spaces, restaurants, retall spaces, spa and corridors. Renovation of the Lodges and Spa Suites include both interior and exterior work included painting, lighting and landscaping, Guest rooms have undergone a complete renovation including new bathroom fixtures, furniture, flooring, window treatments, lighting and linens. The Member's Clubhouse has been
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completely redesigned to maximize and upgrade the space and improve the finishes. These improvements will help support and dive an increase in the number of dues-paying members. After the complete renovation of 72 holes of championship goff across four courses during 2013 , recent golf course renovations were primarily aesthetic with a focus on improvements to the cart paths and landscaping. In addition, the budget includes lengthening and expanding the driving range to make it consistent with the high standard of the courses. The original loan proceeds were used to enhance the arrival experience, improve the overall landscsping, renovate the pool and outdoor areas and perform a number of other smaller projects.

The Borrower has completed the renovation in phases as the resort has remained operational throughout said renovation. Occupancy and rate are expected to grow significantly over the next few years.

\section*{Property Performance/Financial Analysis - Trump Endeavor 12 LLC}

Property Level FInancials:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline In thousands & Year End 2014 Actual & Year End 2013 Actual & Year-End 2012 Actual & \[
\begin{gathered}
2012 \\
6 \text { months Actual }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 34\% & 73\% & 62.2\% & 48.39\% \\
\hline ADR & \$196 & \$208 & \$175.0 & \$148.58 \\
\hline RevPar & \$67 & \$151.85 & \$108.85 & \$67.43 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$49,448 & \$111,237 & \$82,099 & \$30,025 \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$51,913 & \$87,295 & \$69,899 & \$37,754 \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \((\$ 2,465)\) & \$23,942 & \$12,200 & (\$7,729) \\
\hline EBITDA & N/A & \$26,169 & \$12,200 & \((\$ 6,553)\) \\
\hline Actual Debt to EBITDA & N/A & 4.78 x & 10.25x & N/A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*It should be noted that operating expenses omit any hotel management fees as these would be subordinate to any payments of senior debt.
There are no debt service tests at this time as the property remained open under significant renovation of the rooms and facilities during 2014. Occupancy and average daily rate are expected to grow significantly over the coming years.

The occupancy rate decilned from \(73 \%\) in 2013 to \(34 \%\) in 2014 due to the expansive renovations that occurred throughout the year. This decrease in occupancy had a negative impact on the overall revenue generation for the subject hotel and resort, which was to be expected over the course. It is anticlpated that the subject Property will return to strengthened occupancy upon the completion of the renovation period, expected by early-2016.

\section*{Appralsal Summary:}

Cushman \& Wakefleld appraised the property as-is on \(7 / 29 / 15\) for \(\$ 366 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 569,207\) per room. The provided prospective market value upon stabilization was listed at \(\$ 433 \mathrm{MM}\) or \(\$ 673,406\) per room. The appraisal was reviewed and accepted by DB.

South Florida's long-term prospects remain favorable, as the diverse economic base is more durable than it has been in the past. Local economists expect the region to continue to outperform the state and nation with job growth outpacing both. Increased jobs growth will continue encouraging new residents to expand into the region, fueling demand for housing. Llkewise, the declining unemployment and payroll increases coupled with high consumer confidence are projected to continue through the end of 2015. Additionally, South Florida's strong global ties remain a significant driving force in the region and is the major catalyst moving the economy towards expansion. South Florida is also poised to beneflt from the anticipated growth in international trade once the expansion of the Panama Canal is completed.

The appraisal noted that the subject buildings and facilities are in excellent overall condition. Since acquiring the resort in June 2012, Trump has invested \(\$ 242 \mathrm{MM}\) to complete a dramatic redevelopment and reconfiguration of the Property. Nearly all of the planned renovations were completed by year-end 2014, including:
- 643 guestrooms and suites - gut renovation of all guestroom bays with new FF\&E, as well as plumbing, electric, and HVAC systems
- Redesigned state-of-the-art indoor meeting space and ballrooms, including the 24,000 SF "Donald J. Trump Ballroom"
- Renovated, reconfigured and re-themed food and beverage offerings throughout
- Complete renovation of 72 holes of championship golf across four courses, including Blue Monster, home of the World Golf Championships - Cadillac Championship, designed by known golf architect, Gill Hanse
- A new 8,500 SF TaylorMade Performance Lab
- A new 3-acre Doral Practice Facility
- Over 7,000 SF of high-volume golf retail space
- Reconfigured Royal Palm Pool complex, Including both adult-only and kid-friendly areas

Significant renovations to the Spa Sultes and 48,000 SF spa began In early 2015 and are scheduled for completion by late-2015 to early-2016. According to Trump, the renovatlon for the spa and guestrooms is budgeted at \(\$ 8 \mathrm{MM}\) and includes the following:
- 48 suites - gut renovation of all bays with new FF\&E, as well as plumbing, electric, and HVAC systems
- Cosmetic upgrades in the lobby area of the spa and the treatment rooms

Tourism continues to be one of the key components of Miami's economic base, generating significant tax revenues for the county, as well as job growth. In 2014, the visitor industry continued to show Improvement with a \(2.4 \%\) increase in overnight visitors to Miami and the Beaches as compared to 2013. also marking the filth consecutive year of posilive growth. Both domestic and international visitors contributed to this overall

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
improvement. Overail, an estimated 14.5 MMM visitors stayed at least one night in Greater Miaml and the Beaches in 2014. During 2014, overnight visitors spent a record \(\$ 23.85\) Billion in direct expenditures in Greater Mlaml and the Beaches, more than \(\$ 1\) Billion more than that spent in 2013.

The strong tourlsm of the Greater Miami area coupled with the Improvements to the subject Property will contribute to future demand for the subjecl hotel and resort.

\section*{Strass Analysie:}

Based on the C\&W appraised value of \(\$ 366 \mathrm{MM}\) and a lotal loan amount of \$125MM. the current LTV Is \(34 \%\). As demonstrated in the below table, the as-is appraised value could be haircut by up to \(60 \%\) before breaching the \(85 \%\) LTV and triggering an over-advance. This grants further comfort In allowing for the \(\$ 19 \mathrm{MM}\) Tranche B to be fully secured by the subject Property.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Actual & 60\% Halrcut & 50\% Halrcut & 40\% Halrcut & 30\% Haircut & 20\% Haircut & 10\% Maircut \\
\hline Tranche \(A\) & 106,000,000 & 106,000.000 & 106,000,000 & 106.000.000 & 106,000,000 & 106.000.000 & 106,000,000 \\
\hline Franche B & 19,000,000 & 19,000,000 & 19,000,000 & 19,000,000 & 19,000,000 & 19.000 .000 & 19,000,000 \\
\hline Total Loan Amount & 125,000,000 & 125,000,000 & 125.000,000 & 128,000,000 & 125,000,000 & 125,000,000 & 125,000,000 \\
\hline C8N Approlend Value 17128/16) & 366,000,000 & 146,400,000 & 183.000,000 & 219,600,000 & 256,200.000 & 292,800,000 & 329,400,000 \\
\hline Advance Rate & 34\% & 85\% & 68\% & 57\% & -49\% & 202,00,00\% & 38\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Documentation \\ Documents Prepared by Jeff Fried of Loeb \& Loeb \\ Events of Dofault: Usual and customary for a faclity of this slze and type.}

\section*{US ONLY:}

Additlonal Information
1. Section 23 Attestation

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, including an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the application of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department, which contains a listing of entities, which have been determined to be affillates ("Alfillates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affiliate List")
- The entity which is entering into the transaction with DBTCA (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affiliate of the Applicable Bank on the Affliate List maintained by the Compliance Department.
- The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the beneflt of a named Affiliate; except for transactions that are not covered transactions.
- The collateral on which we rely for S23 purposes is not a liability of an Affillate of the Applicable Bank and so a covered transaction will not be produced by this loan.
NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELD

\section*{Attachments:}

\author{
I. Risk Rating \\ II. RWAROE \\ III. Financlal Spreads \\ IV. Guarantor PFS \\ V. Trump Endeavor 12 Financlals - 2014
}

\section*{EXHIBIT UU}

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}


Reason for Presentation:
A) Annual Review of Trump Endeavor 12, LLC loan facility"
B) Annual Review of 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC loan facility.
C) Annual Review of TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Exchange Rate: & N/A & \begin{tabular}{l}
Risk Rating: Current \\
Loan A: Tranche A CPD: AA- FPD: AA \\
Loan B: CPD: 1 A FPD: \(\mathrm{dA}+\) \\
Loan C: CPD: BBEB FPD: A \\
Guarantor Rating: iA
\end{tabular} & Provious:
Loan A: Tranche A CPD: iA-FPD: dA
Loan B:
Loan C: \\
\hline & & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{See attached Risk Rating for rationale for risk rating change (if applicable)} \\
\hline Estimated RWA: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Loan Aa: \$5,426,164 \\
Loan Ab: \$3,267,745 \\
Loan B: \(\$ 2,303,561\) \\
Loan C: \(\$ 8,702,338\)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Estimated ROE / ROA Calculation: \\
Loan Aa: \(75.21 \%\) Loan Ab: \(72.68 \%\) \\
Loan B: \(112.00 \%\) \\
Loan C: \(96.81 \%\)
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Loan A Note: At ongination, Tranche E was an unsecured facility for \(\$ 19 M M\). Upon being provided with a C\&W (ordered by Bomower) appraisal performed in August 2015, the unsecured Tranche B remained unsecured for MWA calculation purposes despite a value that corroborated to a 34\% LTV for both tranches. As of 2016, a Lender-ordered appraisal is now in place that supports a fully-secured \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MAA}\) facility amount, still delineafed between Tranche \(A\) and Tranche \(B\).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Currency: US \(\$\) in million & New Limit & Usage & Previous Limit & Years & Newlimit & Usage & Previous Limit \\
\hline Loan A - Tranche A & \$106.0 & \$106.0 & \$106.0 & \(<=1\) & & & \\
\hline Loan A-Tranche B ISee Note Abovel* & \$19.0 & \$19.0 & \$19.0 & \(>1\) and < \(<\) 5 & & & \\
\hline Loan E & \$45.0 & \$45.0 & \$45.0 & \(>5\) and \(<=7\) & & & \\
\hline Loan C & \$170.0 & \$170.0 & \$170.0 & \(>7\) and \(<=10\) & Aa/b) \(\$ 125\)
B) \(\$ 45\)
C) \(\$ 170\)
Swap C) \(\$ 10.25\) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Aa/b) \(\$ 125\) \\
B) \(\$ 45\) \\
C) \(\$ 170\) \\
Swap C) \$0
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Aa/b) \(\$ 125\) \\
B) \(\$ 45\) \\
C) \(\$ 170\) \\
Swap C) \$0
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Loan C Swap Threshold Amt & \$10.25 & \$0.0 & \$10.25 & \(>10\) & & & \\
\hline Total Exame & \$350.25 & \$340.0 & \$350.25 & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Other liabilities or comments:} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Collateral & Market Value\%/.. & Loanto Vauce & LcanValue \\
\hline A) Doral Goif Resort and Spa located in Miami, FL & \begin{tabular}{l}
\$382MM \\
(IW Hospitality Advisors appraisal dated March 25, 2016, ordered and reviewed by CRM READ. Value Consistent as per guidance from CRM READ. Phik Ribolow (June 2017))
\end{tabular} & 32.7\% & \$125MM \\
\hline B) Trump International Hotel and Tower Chicago & \(\$ 133 \mathrm{MM}\) - Value Consistent as per guidance from CFM READ, Phil Ribolow (June 2017) & 34\% & \$45MM \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12, LLC - 401 NORTH WABASH LLC - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, LLC JULY 2017 - STRUCTURED - ANNUAL REVIEW

\section*{Credit Report－Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline C）Old Post Office，Wastzington，DC & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(\$ 229.5 \mathrm{MM}\) \\
As is Investment Value \\
（LW Hospitality Advisors appraisal dated january t，2017，ordered and reviewed by CRM READ．Phil Ribolow
\end{tabular} & 74．1\％ & \＄170MM \\
\hline Collateral &  &  & ， \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

A）Trump National Doral Golf Club－The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that indudes 4 tournament class goff courses（Blye，Red，Gold and White）and a 693 room sesort．Other amenities of the resort incude \(86,139 \mathrm{SF}\) of meeting space including a \(24,000 \mathrm{SF}\) ballroom，a \(50,000 \mathrm{SF}\) spa with guest rooms， 6 food venues，retail space， 670 parking spaces and a Member＇s Clubhouse．The property is located within 8 miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport．Extensive renovations were completed in 2016，substantially increasing the value since origination．The renovations were primarily on the Main Building，Guest Rooms，Conference Area，Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrates of the lobbies，reception areas，meeting spaces，restaurants，retail spaces，spa and corridors．

B）Trump international Hotel Chicago－The Collateral Property consists of a full service hotel，including 339 condo－hotel rooms，of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned（＂Borrower Units＂），which are included as Collateral in addition to \(38,000 \mathrm{SF}\) of banguet space，a \(23,000 \mathrm{SF}\) spa， and a 285 space public parking garage．The 164 rooms that are owned by third parties（＂Third Party Units＂）and the 7 previously held condos were sold a few years ago and removed from the collateral pook．The proceeds from those sales were used to pay down the principal balance of the Facisity at that time．

C）Old Post Office Building and Annex－Renovations have recently been completed，with the property officially opening in September of 2016. The property is now fully operational，although facks a pemanent Cettificate of Occupancy（a temporafy \(C\) of \(O\) is in place）due to some minor fireproofing requirements which are expected to be resoived by the end of the summer．The Collateral Property senovations now consist of a full service hotel，including \(250-270\) hotel rooms（with specific safety measures and layouts in place for important poltical figures），approximately \(65,000-75,000 \mathrm{SF}\) of meeting，banquet，food and beverage，retail，the first spa branded by lvanka Trump，fitness facilities，telecommunications facilities and an underground parking garage with appsoximately 100 parking spaces．Additionally，yetail spaces have been seased to BLT Steak， Brioni Mienswear，and Starbucks．Furthermore，an agreement with the National Parks Department allows separate access to the top of the bels tower，a historic element of the building that draws a large number of tourists．Adoikional commenfary can be referenced in the appraisal，but the general conclusion is that the hotel，now operationaf for a few months，has alreacy become one of the most eite hospitality establishments in Washington，DC．
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Assets Under Management： & Mroney Market & Fixed Income & Business Checking & Personal Checking & Total \\
\hline & N／A & N／A． & \＄12．697 & \＄357741 & 13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Covenants：} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Facility A} \\
\hline Does the stbject facility have coverants？ & Q Yes & \(\square\) No \\
\hline If yes，are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval？ & \(\square \mathrm{Yes}\) & \(\triangle\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \\
\hline Are the covenants loaded in Covenant Lite？ & \(\triangle\) Yes & \(\square\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \\
\hline Are all covenants in compliance？ & & \\
\hline Reporting & 囚 Yes & \(\square\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \\
\hline Financias & \(\triangle\) Yes & \(\square\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Factity \({ }^{\text {B }}\)} \\
\hline Does the subject facility have coverants？ & \(\boxed{\square}\) Yes & \(\square\) No \\
\hline If yes，are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval？ & \(\square \mathrm{Yes}\) & \(\triangle\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \\
\hline Are the covenants loaded in Covenant lite？ & 区 Yes & \(\square\) No Not Applicable \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Are ail covenants in compliance？} \\
\hline Reporting & QYes & \(\square\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \\
\hline Finarcial & マ Yes & \(\square\) No Not Applicabse \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Facility \(C\)} \\
\hline Does the subject facility fave covenants？ & QYes & \(\square\) No \\
\hline If yes，are these new covenants or did the covenants change since last approval？ & \(\square\) & \(\triangle\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \\
\hline Are the covenants foaded in Covenant Lite？ & 囚 Yes & \(\square\) No \(\square\) Not Applicable \\
\hline Are all covenants in compliance？ & & \\
\hline Reporting
Financial & \[
\begin{aligned}
& X \text { Yes } \\
& \overline{X Y e s}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \square \text { No } \square \text { Not Applicable } \\
& \text { No } \square \text { Not Adolicable }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT－RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit Report - Structured
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Faility & Faxility & Fadily 3 \\
\hline Burrower: & Trmpenearelin Le &  & Tumpotd Foctofare \\
\hline Guarathr: &  & Dorad Trap (my & Donde Tump \\
\hline Pledzor & Tumotheame 12,18 & 40, Morth Wabah Yenture, il & Tumpld Potifice, ILC \\
\hline ESEndy\% & Deutiche Emix TusiCompay Ameriss & Leutsche Bank irust Companiz Americas & Deutche Smik Trust cmmany Americas \\
\hline Commitmant: & \$256m00, & \$550n\% & \$20W006 \\
\hline Outstandinas: & \(5 \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{xW}\) & \$55,0010m & \$257924,52 \\
\hline Collataral fate: & Gommercia teal Etata & Commercial Real sime & Commera neal itate \\
\hline & Namigut iesma Spa & Chametates & Wazington, ochetel \\
\hline Collateral Mathet Vilue; & \$33200010 & \$3300006 & Q33,90100 \\
\hline Geanto Value: & 33 & 34* & \(72 \times\) \\
\hline Priting: & Lbor* 173 & \(16 \mathrm{ar}+200 \times\) & \(1 \mathrm{bar}+2.0 \mathrm{~F}\) \\
\hline Maturity & 3142023 & 61/2024 & 8142024 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Guan mar Covenants}
\(\therefore\) G
友







\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{Wabash:}

Actual \(\mathrm{DSC}=1.64 \mathrm{x}\)
Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio equal to or in excess of 1.35 to 1.00 as determined and tested by Lender based upon a trailing twelve (12) month basis.

\section*{OPO DSC Covenant fnot vet applicablel:}

Redevelopment Period - None
Post Redevelopment Period - At all times during the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage ratio ("OSC") defined as the Net Operating Income ("NOI") divided by Debt Service of no less than \(1.15 x\). "Deb Service" is defined as all principal (if applicable) and interest calculated on the current loan amount outstanding assuming a 25 -year amontization schedule, which assumption shati only inciude actual debt service due under the loan. Covenant to be tested annually beginning with the first full calendar yeas contmencing four (4) years after the Closing Date

All Guarantor and property financial covenants analyzed above are in compliance as of the most recently supplied financial statements. This includes the Guarantor's additional debt ifmitations.

\section*{Relationship/Facility Highlights/Changes Since Last Review:}
- Construction/Redevelopment of Doral Resort in Florida has been completed for over a year and it recently concluded its first full year as a tull service operation. The property continues to receive positive reviews with its 2016 bank appraisal valuation ( \(\$ 382 \mathrm{MM}\) ) again corroborated by CRM READ's hotel and hospitality analysts. The Guarantor continues to retain a \(10 \%\) Guaranty level even though terms of the previously approved Tranche A note allow for no personal Guarantee if the LTV is below \(35 \%\). Pricing was scheduled to increase to \(L+2.00 \%\) without the Guaranty, but will remain at \(L+1.75 \%\) with the \(10 \%\) Guaranty level, which clearly strengthens the credit
- Draws on the Old Post Office facibity have recently been completed, with the facility fully advanced with a \$170MM outstanding bakance. Construction ak the property is complete and a temporary certificate of occupancy ( CO ) is in place (with a final CO expected by end of summer 2017).
- The Old Post Office facility entered the "Post-Development Period" in January 2017 upon receipt of an updated Bank ordered appraisal and TCO. This resulted in a decrease in the facility's interest rate from \(\mathrm{L}+2.00 \%\) to \(\mathrm{L}+1.75 \%\).
- Ownership structures of the underlying borrowing entifies on all 3 facilities were changed and transferred to revocable trusts that are controlled by the Guarantor's two sons.
- Guarantor's personal net worth has decreased by amost \$300MM year-over-year.
- Protocol surrounding the relationship is supervised by the recently mplemented Covered Client Policy and Business Risk group. A variety of precautionary measures are in place to maintain confidentiality and any business decisions surrounding the relationshio are handled by the Reputational Risk Committee tr connection with the Guarantor's status as President of the United States.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Loan A - Trump Endeavour 12, LLC} \\
\hline TypelFacility Amount: & \(\$ 125,000,000\) Commercial Real Estate Facility fully secured by a mortgage on Trump National Deral Goff Clib. as evidenced by (i) a Secured Tranche A Note in the principal amount of \(\$ 106,000,000\) ("Secured Tranche A Note"), and (ii) a Secured Tranche B Note in the principal amount of \(\$ 19,000,000\) ("Secured Tranche B Note"). \\
\hline Purpose: & Acquisition of the colsateral property. \\
\hline Maturity: & Tranche A \& B: 8/11/2023 \\
\hline Financial Documentation Covenant & Compliant \\
\hline Repayment: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Interest only with a balloon at maturity. \\
The Borrowers may prepay any amount tsider the Facility in whole or in part at any time without penally. subject to any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Interest Rate: & Tranche \(A \& B ; L+1.75 \%\) or Prime minus \(0.75 \%\), with step-up to \(L \neq 2.00 \%\) or Prime minus \(0.50 \%\), if Guasanty Level falls below \(10 \%\) (see discussion above concerning existing Guaranty level/pricing). \\
\hline LIBOR Tenors: & Borrower may elect interest periods of 1, 3, 6, and 12 months \\
\hline Fees: & \(1.00 \%\) of Facility Amount has been paid and a remaining fee of \(\$ 144 \mathrm{M}\) was paid concurrently with converting the foan to a fully secured \$125MM facilify and extending Tranche E's maturity to \(8 / 11 / 2023\) (from \(8 / 1 / 12015\) ). \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Loan B-401 North Wabash Venture, lic} \\
\hline Type/Facility Amount: & \$45,000,000 \\
\hline Purpose: & Original proceeds were used to refinance the existing construct to perm facility. Subsequent proceeds were used to provide working capital for business purposes. \\
\hline Maturity: & 6/1/2024 \\
\hline Collateral Property: & A first morgage lien and first priosity security interest in the commercial component ("Hotel Colateral") of the property consisting of, buit not limited to, (a) a fulk service hotel, inciuding 339 conco-hotel rooms, of which 175 rooms are Borrower owned ("Borrower Units"), which are not included as Collateral and 164 rooms that are owned by thid parties ("Third Party Units") and which are not inchuded as Collateral, (b) approximately 38,000 SF of banquet space. (c) a \(23,000 \mathrm{SF}\) spa, and (d) a 285 space puolic parking garage, including the Borrower's see simple esfate, all personal property, leases, rents, revenue, operating accounts, reserves and all other related assets. \\
\hline Maximum Advance Rate: & Shall not exceed 60\% of the "as is" appraised value of the Hotel Colkateral. \\
\hline Mandatory Repayment: & \begin{tabular}{l}
As the principal amount of the Facility is now \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\), no further on-going principal paymerts are required. \\
Prior to the Facility reaching the \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\) outstanding threshold, principal payments were due quarterly based on a 30 year amortzation scheduse.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Interest Rate: & \(1+2.00 \%\) \\
\hline LIBOR Tenors: & Borrower may elect interest periods of 1,3,6, and 12 months \\
\hline Fees: & 0.75\% of the Original Facility Amount was paid af closing \\
\hline DSC Covenant: & Borrower shall maintain a debt senvice coverage ratio equal to or in excess of 1.35 to 1.00 as determined and tested by Lender based upon a trailing twelve (12) month basis. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
Guaranty:

NA - Donabd Trump's personal guarantee has been emminated due to the fact that the Facility LTV is below the \(35 \%\) threshold. Based on the latest appraisal completed, the Hotel collateral was yalued at 5133 MM which results in a \(34 \%\) LTV.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
RYV \\
Range
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Quaranty \\
Level,
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(85 \% \cdot 66 \%\) & \(100 \%\) \\
\hline \(65 \%-56 \%\) & \(40 \%\) \\
\hline \(55 \% \sim 46 \%\) & \(20 \%\) \\
\hline \(45 \% \sim 36 \%\) & \(10 \%\) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{c}
\(35 \%\) and \\
below
\end{tabular} & \(0 \%\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The LTV Range shall be calculated based on the most recent appraisal seceived in accordance with the existing terms and conditions under the transaction documents.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Loan C - Trump Oid Post Office} \\
\hline Facility Amount: & \begin{tabular}{l}
The lesser of i) \(\$ 170,000,000\) and ii) \(80 \%\) of the Redevelopment Investment Plan, described below: However, as of Jandary 2017 , with il construction complete, ill the bank ordered appraisal updated, and inj a TCO recsived, the Post-Development LTV guidelines are now in effect as described below: \\
\(>\) Redevelopment investment Plan - shall represent a budget to complete the Project consisting of hard costs, soft costs (including, without limitation, inferest), and operating shortfals and consisting of (i) at least \(20 \%\) of the Redevelopment Investment Plan as of the Closing Date (the "Defined Equity Amount") to be invested directly by the Guarantor, and (i) any remaining amounts, in an amount not to exceed \(\$ 170 \mathrm{NH}\), expected to be provided within the Facility Amount. \\
; Post Redevelopment Period - the Property will maintain a minimum appraised value that provides a maximum LTV of no greater than \(85 \%\). The Guarantor may cure any cieficiency caused by a vatsakion shortfal through the repayment of principal to an amount that the maximum ITV based on the revised valuation remains ess than \(85 \%\) with such payment due within so business days of notification.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Facility Type: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Maltiple-draw construction loan facility with (i) interest only payable duting the Redevelopment Period, and (ii) during the Post Redevelopment Period that is now in effect, either (a) interest-onty at any time the loan-to-value (the "LTV') is no greater than \(75 \%\), and (b) principal payments, based on a 25 -year amortization schedule at any time the LTV is greater than \(75 \%\). \\
; Redeveloment Period - the expiration of the Redevelopment Period will be 4years from the Closing Date; provided. however, that Borrower, in its sole discretion, may trigger the Post Redevelopment Perlod earker upon Borrower's celivery to Lender of (a) one or more tempotay or final certficates of occupancy or their equivalent for the Major Components, and (b) an appraisal of the Property (the "Initial Appraisal"), which shall be prepared by an appraiser selected by Lender, indicating an LTV of no greater than \(85 \%\). \\
\(>\) Posi Redevelopment Period - the period from the end of the Redevelopment period to the Facility maturity date. \\
The Post Redevelopment Period began in January of 2017, triggered by the appsaisal and temporary certificate of occupancy (TCO). Note that once the final \(C O\) is received (expected at end of summer 2017), pending some minor fireprooting work, the loan can be categorized as a standard CRE facisiky and will no longer be considered a construction ioan/other secured.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Purpose: & Borrower converted Properyy from an office building with retail to a \(250-270\) yoom luxuy hotel (please see project description below). \\
\hline Property: & The Ofd Post Office Bufding and Annex located at 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington DC 20004 \\
\hline Maturity: & 8/11/2024 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Collateral: & The Facility is secured by (i) a first mortgage lien on Borrower's leasehold interest in \((x)\) the Property and ( \(y\) ) all improvements thereto, (ii) security interests in and, to the extent assignable and as applicable, assignments of Borrower's interest in all permits licenses, lease, contracts, agreements, operating accounts, receivables etc. and (iii) Borrower's interest in other customary ancillary collateral relating to the Property \\
\hline Multi-draw Funding Criterial Retainage: & No longes applicable. \\
\hline Completion Reserve: & No ionger applicable. \\
\hline Repayment: & \begin{tabular}{l}
1) During the Redevelopment Period the facility required interest only payments. \\
2) Currently in effect: During the Post Redevelopment Period, either (a) interest only at any time the LTV is no greater than \(75 \%\), and (b) principal payments, based on a 25 -year amortization schedufe at any fime the LTV is greater than \(75 \%\). \\
The Borrowers may prepay any amount under the Facilly in whole or in part at any firne without penaty, with the exception of any cost associated with breakage of a LIBOR or SWAP contract.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Interest Rate: & \begin{tabular}{l}
1) Redevelopment Period - LIBOR plus \(2.00 \%\) or, at Borrower's option, the Prime Rate. \\
2) Currently in effect: Post Redevelopment Period and upon delvery of appraisal incicating an LTV of less than or equal to \(70 \%\) - LIBOR pius \(1.75 \%\) or, af Borower's option, the Prime Rate minus \(0.25 \%\). \\
Borrower shali have the right to deliver such appraisal, acceptable to Lender, at any time during the Post Redevelopment Period.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline LIBOR Tenors: & Borrower may elect interest periods of \(1,3,6\), and 12 months with a maximum of (5) LIBOR contracts outstanding at any time and no LIBOR contract to be permitted for toans less than \(\$ 1,000,000\) at any time. \\
\hline Fees: & 0.50\% of Facility Amount paid at closing. \\
\hline DSC Covenant: & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(>\) Redevelopment Period - None \\
\% Post Redevelopment Period - At all times during the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall maintain a debt service coverage tatio ("DSC") defined as the Net Operating income ("NOl") divided by Dent Service of no less than \(1.15 x\). "Debt Service" is defined as all princigal (if applicable) and interest calculated on the current loan amount outstanding assuming a 25 -year amortization schedule, which assumption shat only include actuat debt senvice due under the loan. Covenant to be tested annualy beginning with the first full calendar year commencing four (4) years after the Closing Dase.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Maximum LTV: & \begin{tabular}{l}
Redevelopment Period - No longer applicable. \\
; Post Redevelopment Period - The Property will maintain a minimum appraised value that provides a maximum LTV of no greater than \(85 \%\). The Guarantor may cure any deficiency cause by a valuation shorffall through the repayment of principal to an amount that the maximum LTV based on the revised vatuation remains less than \(85 \%\) with such payment due within 10 business days of notification.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Expiration of Redevelopment Period: & No longer applicable. \\
\hline Guaranty: & Donald J . Trump will provide a fuil and unconditiona! guarantee of: (i) principas and interesf due under the facility. (ii) swap breakage costs, (iii) operating shorfalls of the Property untilithe end of the Shotfall Coverage Period and (iv) a completion guaranty, guaranteeing the lien free completion of the Project acceptable to Lender, as evidence by, among otner things, one or more temporary or final certificates of occupancy or their equivalent, architects certificate and appropriate lien waivers, each reasonabiy acceptable to Lender. \\
\hline Guarantor Covenants: & \begin{tabular}{l}
- Guarantor shall maintain unercumbered liquidity at all times of at least \(\$ 50 \mathrm{MM}\) with at last \(\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}\) to be maintained with the Lender (Redevelopment Period only mo longer applicable). \\
- Guarantor shall not, at any time, have any additional indebtedness (direct or contingent) in excess of \(\$ 500 \mathrm{MM}\) (the "Guarantor Liability Cap"), excluding ( \(x\) ) any obligation under this Facility and ( y ) any Guarantor indebtediness (direct or contingent) existing as of \(j u n e 30,2013\), as reflected in the Statement of Financial Concition referred to below (which includes the Doral and Chicago facilities). \\
- Guarantor shall maintain a minimum net worth of \(\$ 2.5\) bilion excluding the value related to the Guarantor's brand.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Borrower shal have the option to purchase interest rate protection in the form of a swap, reasonably acceptabie to Lender, and secure reiated credit exposure (the "Swap Exposure") through the motgage for the Faciny. Borrower shall have the option to purchase this swap at closing or at any time during the loan term, and the term of such swap agreement does not have to be coterminous with the loan. Borrower shall have the option to purohase this swap from Lender of any other party; provided, however, if such swap is not provided by Lender or any of is aftifates, such swap abligation shall not be secured by any assets of Borrowes.

During the Redevelopment Period the Borrower or Guarantor shall be required to post cash collateral within in three (3) business days' notice from the Lender, should at any time the actual mark-to-market amount exceeds the defined Threshold Amount glus the MTA. At such time, the minimum amount of cash collateral to be posted shall be equal to the difference between the actual mark-tomarket and the Threshokd Amount.

During the Post Redevelopment Period the Borrower shall not be requised to post any additionas cash collateral and any related \(S w a p\) Exposuse will remain secured by the mongage. Any cash collateral related to the Swap Exposure, in accordance with the term in effect during the Redevelopment Perlod, shall be released upon receipt of the initial Appraisal to the extent such Swap Exposure plus the principal amount outstanding under the Facility does not exceed \(85 \%\). Once such cash collateral is released the Borrower will have no fusther obligation to post any additional cash collateral during the Post Redevelopment Period

Threshold Amount - shall be equal to \(\$ 10,000,000\) minus the Independent Amount. Minimum Transfer Amount ("MTA") - shall be equal to \(\$ 250,000\). Independent Amount - shall be equal to \(5 \%\) of the notional amount of the Swap.

\section*{Repayment Sources/ Key Risks/Mitigants}

\section*{Facility A}
* Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateral Property.
; Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash flow from Resort is now stabilized. The Resort satisfactorily seviced its principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule dusing 2016 with a \(2.00 \times\) DSC ratio.
- Tertiary Source of Repayment: When the LTV is above \(65 \%\). DJT provides a fin and unconditional guarantee, which eliminates any shorfall associated with operating and liquidating Collateral. As equity in the colateral increases, the Guaranty Level steps down concurrently (Guarantor's current Guaranty level is \(10 \%\) ).

\section*{Facility E}
\(\Rightarrow\) Prmary Source of Repayment: Cash flow generated by the Hotel Collateral.
\% Secondary Source of Repayment: Refinancing the Hotel Collateral property.
; Tertiary Source of Repayment: Sale of the Hotel Collateral property.
Facility \(C\)
\(\Rightarrow\) Primary Source of Repayment: Refinancing of the Collateras Property.
- Secondary Source of Repayment: Cash fow from Hotel following the Redevelopment Period. Based on projections, the Hotel should be able to satisfactorily service principal and interest based on a 25 -year amortization schedule. Applying a \(10.00 \%\) discount rate and an \(8.00 \%\) terminal capitalization rate to the subject's projected income stream, the indicated value of the leasehold interest in the subject property upon completion of construction is \(\$ 237.4 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 903,000\) per key, per the mosi recent appraisat.


Tertiary Source of Repayment: DJT provides a full and unconditional guarantee of the entire facility for the term.

\section*{Recommendation:}

Approval of i) the Annual Review for Facility A (Derall), Facility B (Chicago), and Facility C (OPO).

\section*{All Facilites}
- Financial Strength of the Guarantor - The inancial profile of the Guaxantor includes a stated net worth of \(\$ 5.7\) billion which remains well above his \(\$ 2.5\) bilion minimum requirement even on an adjusted basis. The Guarantor maintains a strong liquidity position of \(\$ 114 \mathrm{MM}\) in unencumbered liquidity, a decrease of \(\$ 60 \mathrm{NN}\) from the previous year due political campaign costs.
- Operating Experience - The Trump team's extensive experience in operating private goffocuntry clubs. His current pontfolio snciudes 16 such clubs and his overall equity position in various CRE interests exceeds \(\$ 5.6\) bilion on a stated basis, in excess of \(\$ 2.0\) bilion on an adjusted basis.
* DB Relationship - Although restructuring centered around the Guarantors poitical status sequired the transfer of assets to entities and institations outside DE , the Guarantor's family members maintain strong lines of communicakion with the Banking team and have indicaked an interest in continaing to grow both eredit and non-credit relationship with the firm. A signiticant selationship akso continues to grow through the famiial lines of Ivanka Trump's spouse. Jared Kushner.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

Eacility A
- Equity Injection of the Guarantor. The estimated equity injection is \(\$ 200 \mathrm{MM}\).
- Expected Enhanced Vaiue due to Capex - The Resort is a world class location that is often home to PGA events. With DJT's \$2COMM capital investment complete, the appraised value has significantiy benefiled. The improvements and property stabilization are expected to continue to increase the value over the term of the Facility.

\section*{Facility A\&B}
- Leverage Levels required for Step-Down of the Guaranty - The LTV levels required for a decrease in the guaranty levels are now how enough to ensure that the loan is more than adequately coliateralized, as evidenced by the current Facility A and Facility B, in which the Guaranty qualifes for elamination in conjunction with the cursent appraised values, although the Guaranty on Facility \(A\) remains in place on a voluntary basis

\section*{Faciliy B}
- Quality of the collateral and LTV - The property is in the form of a luxury hotel building in downtown Chicago. Based on the latest appraisal complefed, the Hotel coliateral was valued at \$133MM based on a 2014 appraisal which sesults in a \(34 \%\) LTV.
- In 2014, proceeds from the sale of the seven additionat condo units originaly pledged as colateral were applied toward the principal balance of the loan. The facility has been paid down twice: from \(\$ 98 \mathrm{MM}\) at origination to \(\$ 19 \mathrm{MM}\) by 2014 , then again from \(\$ 73 \mathrm{MM}\) in 2044 (having been increased) to \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\). The Borrower anticipates futher pay downs.

\section*{Facility \(C\)}
- Equily injection of the Guarantor: The Guarantos completed the required \(20 \%\) Equity Investment prior to drawing on the \(\$ 170 \mathrm{MM}\) Facility.
- Bomower's Successful Operating Experience: The Trump Hoten Coilection consists of eight Luxury Hotels in New York, Chicago (secures Facility B), Las Vegas, Hawaii; Toronto, Miami (secures Facisity A) and Panama with three new hotels (including the subject OPO project) coming on-line in the next \(2-3\) years. The Trump name has been associated with the highest level of lexury and the hotels in the collection have performed successfully, even though the previous economic downturn.

Note that the selationship continues to be monitored af the bighest leyels of Senior management within the Firm, and any issues arising from the Guarantor's status as President of the United States are immediately addzessed, taken to the appropriate Reputational Risk Committee and discussed with appropriate legal counsel. Topics that go before the Reputational Risk Committee are not considered to have an effect on the credit analysis of the loans being presented for annual review.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

Reg O Questions:
1.) Is this loan for a DB employee?
2.) Is this loan for a DBTCA "insider?" or "related party"? fConsult the most current Iist of "DBTCA for Regulation 0 and NY State Banking Law Reporting Purposes, "which is posted on IShared_PbopsLOAMPWM LendinglPortfolio ManagementiReg O Lists in a Excel document titled "Reg O Executive Officers and Insider List -as of Office)
3.) If the loan is for a DBTCA "insider," will DBTCA, DBPWM or any other subsidiary of DBTCA originate the loan? (If Yes. the loan must be approved in advance by the DBTCA Board of Directors and the DETCA Office of the Secretary. Please contact Compliance or Legal immediately.

\section*{Regulatory Requirement - One Obligor Principle}

MMPORTANT: Transactions subject to banking secrecy in other locations should not be covered
- Does the borrower have other existing credit client refationships in the current booking location or with other Deutsche Bank entities (whether under private individual name or other related entites)?

Q Yes
\(\square\) No
If 'Yes', please provide details:
Please see Total Exposure on Page 1
- Plaase certify (tick the box) that this was conmmmed with the borrower: X.

If answered 'Yes' to the above question, the Consosidation of Borrowers' Sheet has to be completed*
*Credit Dificer or Lending Officer to complete as per responsibilies in place in the given focation

\section*{Volker Super \(23 A\) Attestation:}
the extersion of credil being made to a covered fund (borrower. pledgor, guarantor)?
(If yes, answer the following question)
Has this covered fund been cleared to proceed with the transaction?
(Note: Transaction cannot fund without clearance)
please provide names of covered funds


Prepared by: Josh Frank \& Dave Wiliams
X ApprovedRejectedApproved with conditions

\section*{II Financial Analysis - Guarantor}

It should be noted that the Guarantor, DJT, is required to provide financials within 120 days of \(6 / 30\) FYE. Thus the most recent financials available are as of 6/30/16. Receipt of the \(6 / 30 / 16\) financial statement sufficiently satisfied the Guarantor's reporting requirements.
Guarantors - Financial Summary: Although all three Facilities are secured by Collaterai, given the unique nature of these credits, the credif exposure continues to be recommended for support based on the financial profile of the Guarantor (or the ability to rely once again on the Guarantor if collateral values dropped and LTVs increased). As part of this underwiting we held many conversations with the CFO of the family office to update ous due diligence on the ciient reported financial information, as prepared by WeiserMazars, an independent public accounting firm. Based on the results of this due diligence we have made certain assumptions that have resulted in adjustments to reported values. Details on such adjustments are included in the analysis that follows. Additional detalls are inciuded in the Guarantor's financial statements.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Financial Summary (\$ in millions) \\
Source: Client provided financials
\end{tabular} & DJT
6/30/2011
fClient
Reported) & \begin{tabular}{l}
D. T 6/30/2012 \\
(Client Reported)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
DJT 6/30/2013 \\
(Cllent feported)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
OTT \(6130 / 2014\) \\
Chient Reported
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
D.TT \(6 / 3012014\) \\
(08: Adusted
\end{tabular} & DTT 6/30/2015 (client Reported & DTF 613012015 (0BE Aojusted & DTH \(6 / 30 / 2016\) (chent: Reported & DST \(630 / 2016\) OB Adjustedof \\
\hline Cash \& Marketable Securities & \$258.9 & \$169.7 & \$339.1 & \[
\$ 302.3
\] & \[
\$ 285.3
\] & \[
51923
\] & \[
\$ 1753
\] & \$114 & S114.4 \\
\hline Escrow \& Reserve Deposits & \$9.3 & 310.8 & \$15.2 & \$400: &  & \[
\$ 33.7
\] &  & \[
\$ 280
\] & \$0 \\
\hline Real FstateNet Equity & \$2.996.9 & \$3.184.2 & \$3,268.7 & \$3,867.0 & \$1,933.5 & \$4380 & \[
\$ 2,195
\] & S4.392 & \$2,196 \\
\hline Partnerships \& Joint Ventures & \$720.0 & \$823.3 & \$869.3 & \[
\$ 9231
\] & \[
34085
\] & \[
8946
\] & \[
5478
\] & \[
5979
\] & \[
5490
\] \\
\hline Real Estate Licensing & \$89.3 & \$65.2 & \$174.7 & \[
\$ 3297
\] & \[
\$ 164.9
\] & \[
\$ 339
\] & \[
\$ 1695
\] & \[
\$ 22 \%
\] & \[
\$ 113.5
\] \\
\hline Other Assets & \$199.2 & \$318.5 & \$352.0 & W, \$352,8 & \$209, & \$679,3 & S33965 & \$547. & 8323, 5 \\
\hline Total Assets & \$4,273.4 & \$4.563.9 & \$5.019.0 & \$51814,9 & \$30012 & \$6,580.3 & \$3352.4 & 86.3874 & \$2,9419 \\
\hline Personal Mortgage other Debt & \$8.4 & \$8.3 & \$20.5 & \[
\$ 20.4
\] & \[
5204
\] & \[
54
\] & \[
54
\] & \[
8269
\] & \[
\$ 26.9
\] \\
\hline Oiner Liabibities & \$3.7 & 84.4 & 920.4 & \$17.0. & S17.0. & \$ 472.4. & \$472. 4 & 8559.... & \$559: \\
\hline Net Worth & \$4,2613 & \$4,5590 & \$4,978.0 & \$5,7775 & \$2,6509 & \$6,107.5, & \$2,879.6 & \$5.804, 5 & \$2,328.0 \\
\hline Contingent Obligations & \$114.0 & \$195.7 & \$197.2 & \[
\$ 276.0
\] & \[
\$ 2760
\] & incluctect in other fabinties abave & hachededion other habitites above & loctudeodin ofrer mabitites obolye & 10choted in: other fasbilites above. \\
\hline Net Cash Flow & \$82.4 & (\$89.2) & \$169.7 & (\$367) & \((\$ 367)\) & \[
(\$ 1055)
\] & (1056) & (\$47.1) , & (6471) \\
\hline Leverage Ratio ( \(<=30\) ) & . 13 & . 14 & 01 & \[
01
\] & \[
16
\] & \[
13
\] & \[
\geqslant, 13
\] & \[
25
\] & \[
25
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Cash Flow } \\
\text { Rasio }(>=.35)
\end{array}
\] & . 57 & -0.67 & 0.45 & \[
10
\] & \[
-10
\] & \[
(10)
\] & \[
(10)
\] & \[
(14)
\] & , (14) \\
\hline Liquidity Ratio ( \(2=25\) ) & 2.04 & 1.32 & 0.90 & \[
96
\] & \[
81
\] & \[
55
\] & \[
50
\] & \[
34
\] & \# \\
\hline Asset Coverage Ratio \((>=6.0)\) & 31.7 & 33.32 & 13.27 & \[
16.60
\] & \[
8.68
\] & \[
9.22
\] & \[
9.49
\] & \[
8.65
\] & \[
865
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Above ratios are based on hypothelical analysis if DB's subject loan facilities were considered unsecurad.
> Liquidify - The Guarantor reports liguidity of \(\$ 114.4 \mathrm{MM}\) as of \(6 / 30 / 16\) consisting of funds in Ms. Trump's name personally and various entities that Mr. Trump controls. The client reported balances declined from the prior year, with fluctuations in 2016 due to political carmpaign costs, fimited additional CRE acquisitions and the Guarantor taking fewer distributions from his properties. Personal fiquidity was also utilized to finish construction at the OPO property. These fluctuations in fiquidity slighty decreased the overall net worth of the Guarantor.
* The Guarantor's personal liquidity has been primarily generated through on going distributions from his diversifed portfolio of operating companies which is highlighted in more detail in the Cash Flow section below. Such distributions include cash distributions from the Guarantor's portfolio of premier private clubs which generated these distributions through operating profit along with the colfection of membership deposits in accordance with industry standards, premier goff clibs require new members post a non-interest bearing 30 -year deposit as part of their membership requirement. Terms of the deposit agreement include that such deposits are non-sefundable for 30 -years withoul condition, after which the member may request the refund of such deposit which is generally contingent on being replaced by at least one new member. An updated value of the outstanding deposits was not provided via the \(6 / 30 / 16\) financial statements; fowever, since \(6 / 30 / 13\), the total life-to-date balance of such deposiss collected across the clubs owned by the Guarantor was approximately \(\$ 250 \mathrm{MM}\), much of which tras been reinvested into the clubs. Given the lack of any condizional rights by the member such deposits are not recorded on the operating books of the club as a liability. The Guarantor has indicated that they have received tax opinions supporting the treatment of such deposits and are not required to be included as part of taxable income. None of these deposizs have been included in the Guarantors liquidity.
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

5- Real Estate - Net Equity - the following table summarizes the Guarantor's total real estate portolio, as of \(6 / 30 / 16\) which refiects the Guarantor's four wholly owned trophy properties, the portfolio of 13 wholfy owned club facilities, other major property interests and properties currentiy under development. \(D B\) adjustments for each of these properties are discussed below.
\(\geqslant\) Net Worth - The Guarantor's repored net worth has decreased by about \(\$ 300 \mathrm{MM}\), fargely in part to less jiquidity and stightly diminished values to real estate equity and licensing. It should also be noted that the DB adjusted calcufation of the Guarantor's balance sineet does not include any valuation for his brand, which has received a \(100 \%\) haircut.

Partial Breakoown of four Trophy Propertios (excludes various properties including DB facilities)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Property Type & \begin{tabular}{l}
DJT \\
Valuation
\end{tabular} & DB Valuation & \% Differencel Haircut & Reported Debt & D.ST Net Equity & De Adjusted Net Equity \\
\hline Trump Tower - \(7255^{\text {\% }}\) Ave & \$631.0 & \$343.9 & 45.5\% & \$100.0 & \$531.0 & \$243.9 \\
\hline Niketown - East \(57^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{St}\) & \$389.6 & \$346.1 & 62.5\% & \$11.54 & \$378.06 & \$134.56 \\
\hline 40 Wall Street & \$796.4 & \$541.6 & 32\% & \$156.45 & \$640.0 & \$385.1 \\
\hline Frump Park Ave & \$183.5 & \$126.25 & 31.2\% & \$12.5 & \$171.0 & \$ \(\$ 13.8\) \\
\hline Subtotal-4 Trophy Properties & \$2,000.5 & \$1,157.9 & 57.9\% & \$280.49 & \$1,720.06 & \$877.36 \\
\hline Club Facilities & \$2,107.8 & \$1,131.47 & 46.4\% & \$144.57 & \$1,963. & \$986.9 \\
\hline Other Property Interest & \$283.8 & \$224.2 & 21.0\% & \$119.93 & \$163.87 & \$104.3 \\
\hline Total - Portfolio & \$4,392.1 & \$2,513.6 & 57.2\% & \$544.99 & 83,847.13 & \$7,968.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
5. FourTrophy Properties - The valuations for each of these properties were previously discussed with DB Valuation Services Group ("DEvSG") who advised on adiustments for each.
- Trump Towers - The 68 story building contains residential and condominimms that are owned by residents along with 178 , 000 square feet in commercial space and 114,000 square feet of tetal space. As of \(6 / 3016\) the property had associated debt of approximately \(\$ 100 \mathrm{MM}\). The loan is non-recourse and mafures in 2022 . The most secent \(6 / 30 / 16\) financiai statement values the propery at \(\$ 631.0 \mathrm{MM}\) resulting in a \(-15.8 \%\) LTV.
a Niketown - The Guarantor is the lessee with sespect to two long-term ground leasehold estates related to the land and the building located on \(57^{\text {th }}\) street between Madison and \(5^{\text {² }}\) Avenue. Since 1994 the building has been leased to Nike Retail Services. The current lease is scheduled to expire in livay 2022 as the tenant exercised its right to exiend. The space includes 85,000 square feet of setail space. Based on sq. foot assurnption DBVSG previously indicated an adjusted value of \(\$ 146\). 1 MM . Financing on the space is in the form of long-tem bonds for a total of \(\$ 11.54 \mathrm{MM}\) (a \(3 \%\) LTV based on a \(\$ 389.6 \mathrm{MM}\) valuation) which were scheduled to fully amortize by June 1, 2017.
o 40 Wat Street - The 72 floor tower consists of 1.3 MM SF in premier office space. Based on a SF assumption DBVSG indicated an acjusted value of \(\$ 541.6 \mathrm{MM}\). The existing debt in the amount of \(\$ 156.45 \mathrm{MM}\), of which the Guasantor currently guarantees \(\$ 20 \mathrm{MM}\), is scheduled to matuse in November 2017
o Trump Park Avenue - The property located on \(59^{\text {in }}\) Street and Park Avenue consists of 134 condominium units coupled with 30,000 square feet of retail space and has a reported value of \(\$ 183.5 \mathrm{MM}\). The unsold condominium units have been pledged as collateral for the mostgage which, as of \(6 / 30 / 16\), had an outstanding balance of \(\$ 12.4 \mathrm{MM}(\mathrm{a} .6 .8 \%\) LTV and matures \(8 / 1 / 20\). Based on priot discussions with DBVSC we elected to take an approximate \(68 \%\) haircut on the reported value.
ir US Club Facilities \(m\) The Guarantor wholy owns interests in 13 domestic private club facilities having a combined stated market value of approximately \(\$ 2,107.8 \mathrm{NM}\) which include The Marm-Lago Clun in Palm Beach FL: Trump National Golf Club in Grarcliff Manor N. Y; Trump Intemational Golf Club in Palm Seach County, Fl. Trumo National Golf Club in Los Angeles, CA; Trumo Nationak Golf Chub in Sedminster, NJ; Trump National Golf Club in Golts Neck, N, Trump National Golf Club in Washington, DC; Trump Goff Links af Ferry Point Bronx, NY; Trump National Golf Club in Hudson Valley, NY, Trump National Golf Club in Philadelpsia, PA: Trump National Dorai in Miami, FL; Trump National Golf Club in Charlotte, NC: and Trump National Goff Clup in Jupiter, FL.
; European Golf Clubs - The Guarantor wholly owns interests in 3 European golf club facilities which include Trump laternational Bolf Club in Scotland. Aberdeen; Trump Turnberry, South Aurshire, Scotland. Trump International Golf Links Ireland, Doonbed.
\(\Rightarrow\) Other Property Interest - consists of wholly owned interests in Thumn Old Post Office, The Trump World Tower as United Nations; 100 Central Park South: Trump Plaza NY: Tfump International Hotel and Towes Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Pare East Condominfums ana the Mansion at Seven Springs in Bedfors, NY. These properties consist of commerciak, retail and hotel space along with condominium units and raw land. For purposes of deriving an adjusked value (with the exception of the Mansion at Seven Spangs) we applied a haircut of approximately \(21 \%\), which is consistent with the weighted average adjustment made on the Guarantors four Trophy Properties, based on discussion with the DB Valuation Services team. With regards to the Mansion af Seven Spirgis in Bedford New York, this property consists of over 200 acres of land, a mansion and other buildings. This property is zoned for nine luxury homes and valued at \(\$ 30\). 5 MM based on an assessment made by the Guarartor in conjunction with his associates of the projected net cash flow which he would derive as those units are constructed and sold, and the estimated fair value of the existing mansion and other buitdings. For purposes of cleriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(75 \%\) haircut to this asset to reflect the uncertainty in valuing undeveloped land.

It should be noted that as of \(6 / 3016\), the Guarantor has continued not to include the value of the Trump Chicago International Hotel \(\&\) Tower in his financial statements thus we have omitted this asset from our analysis of Mr. Trump's personal financial condition. It should be further noted that on 11/9/12. DB provided the Guarantor with a \(\$ 98 \mathrm{MM}\) bifurcated commercial mortgage facility on the Trump fnternational fotel ant Condos in
 further fiscussed in the Facility B section of the subject annual review.
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
\% Partnership and Joint Ventures .
- 1290 Avenue of the Americas, NY and 555 California Street, San Francisco, CA - in May of 2007 Mr. Trump partnered with Vomado Reaty Trust in two buildings in NY and San Francisco. 1290 Avenue of the Americas consists of an office tower and retail space containng approximately 2MM leasable SF housing such tenants as Microsoft. AXA Equitable, and Cushman \& Wakefield. 555 California Street consists of one retail and two office buildings for a fotal of 1.7MM leasable SF in addition to a subtertanean garage. Current tenants include Bank of America, Goldman Sachs, UBS Financial Services, Citigroup and Wells Fargo. Mr. Trump owns \(30 \%\) of these properties. The value of \(\$ 975 \mathrm{MM}\) is net of debt. For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of this asset.
- Trump international Hotel and Tower - Las Vegas, Nevada - Entities owned by Mr. Trump have formed a JV with Philip Ruffin as equal members, and have built a luxury hotel and condominium tower neas the Las Vegas Strip. The Tower is the tallest hotel condominium tower in Las Vegas with over 1,200 condominium units, a \(10,000 \mathrm{SF}\) Spa, a fitness center, salon, gourmef restaurant, heated pool and valet parking. The estimated current value of \(\$ 104.17 \mathrm{MM}\) is after the current mortgage debt of \(\$ 5.92 \mathrm{MM}\). with final maturity of \(10 / 1 / 2021\) (recently extended from 2017). For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircsit of this asset.
> Real Estate Licensing - The Guarantor has numerous associations with several other parties for puposes of developing properties and othes projects. Terms of specific agreements vary and involve both defined compensation and contingent type fees tied to performance. The estimated cursent value of \(\$ 227 \mathrm{MM}\) was based on situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with other parties exit and fees and other compensation which he will earn are reasonably quantifiable. The Guarantor has pledged certain of these fees to secure a \(\$ 19.76 \mathrm{MM}\) mortgage on The Trump Tower at United Nations Plaza. Accordingly, the \(\$ 19.76 \mathrm{MM}\) has been reflected on Mr. Trump's financial staternent as "Other mortgages and loans payable". For purposes of deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut of this asset.
* Other Assets - includes a Boeing 757 Jet, a Cessna Citation \(X\) and 2 Sikorsky helicopters, ownership rights to The Apprentice/Celebrity Apprentice Series and the Miss Universe Pageants, the Wollman Rink in Central Park, 2,000 acre vineyard in Charlottesville, Virginia, a management company that supervises the operation of condominium properties, an international talent/model agency and receivables representing amounts earned to date end confract rights with regards to future performances on television. For purposes deriving an adjusted value we assumed a \(50 \%\) haircut on reported value, it should be noted that previously the Guarantor has had a valuation performed by PREDITV, an independert valuation firm, based on the intangible value of the Trump brand. The report indicated abrand value in the range of \(\$ 2.8\) bilion to \(\$ 3.0\) bilion, although there is increased uncertainty surrounding the Trump brand value since he became President of the Uniked States. For purposes of our financial analysis, we continue to assume no value for the Trump brand.
io Contingents - as of \(6 / 17 / 46\) DJT's gross contingent obligations were reported to be \(\$ 164.3 \mathrm{MMM}\), which included: a) the \(\$ 12.5 \mathrm{MM}\) in suppot provided under the Doral credit facility with WM (in actuality Mr. Trump is not currently obligated to provide any Guaranty on this amount based on the low LTV, but he has voluntarily agreed to feave a \(10 \%\) Guaranty in place, i.e. \(\$ 12.5 \mathrm{MM}\); b) \$20MM on a limited guarantee for the \(\$ 156.5 \mathrm{MM}\) commerciai mortgage on 40 Wall Street extended by Capital One; c) \(\$ 112.9 \mathrm{MM}\) on Trump Old Post Office; d) vasious other projects and CRE holdings as reported on his personal financial statement.
* Net Cash Fiow whe Guarantor demonstrates a diversified stream of cash flows which is generally recurring by nature. The following table summarizes the sources and uses of cash for the period 2010-2016:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Type & Client
Reported FY
FY B/30/16 & Client
Reported FY
FY \(6 / 30 / 15\) & Client Reported FY FY 6/30/14 & Client Reported FY 6/3013 & Client Reported FY 6/30/12 & \begin{tabular}{l}
Client \\
Reported 11/30/11
\end{tabular} & Client
Reported
2010 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Sources of Cash} \\
\hline Real Estate & \$42.1 & \$23.4 & \$43.5 & 29.1 & \$32.1 & \$30.5 & \$125.0 \\
\hline Entertainmert & \$39.4 & \$5.2 & \$10.8 & 19.5 & \$20.6 & \$23.5 & \$15.3 \\
\hline Clubs & \$25.8 & \$34.7 & \$14.4 & 17.4 & \$15.1 & \$12.1 & 58.9 \\
\hline Licensing & \$12.5 & \$18.8 & \$20.5 & 16.1 & \$32.4 & \$33.4 & \$32.3 \\
\hline Non Op. Revenue & \(\$ 10.7\) (joint ventures) & - & \(\cdots\) & 192.9 & -- & \$41.2 & \$50.4 \\
\hline Investment income & . 34 & \$.98 & \$1.4 & 1.7 & 51.8 & \$4.2 & 54.2 \\
\hline Debt Refrancing & & \$7.0 & \$50, & - & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) & \(\cdots\) \\
\hline Other & \(\underline{5.30}\) & \$3.2 & \$4.0 & 2.2 & \$12.0 & \$10.7 & \$10.5 \\
\hline Total Sources & \$136.1 & \$92.7 & \$ \(\$ 44.7\) & \$278.9 & \$114.0 & \$155.6 & \$245.7 \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{} \\
\hline Property Development & \$92.2 & \$153.3 & \$142.2 & \$66.1 & \$69:8 & \$34.8 & \$34.3 \\
\hline Retirement of Debt/Debt Service & & -- & - & 530.9 & \$74.7 & \$14.2 & \$25.2 \\
\hline Golf Club/ Alrcratt Acquisition & & -- & \$90.4 & \$21.7 & \$32.9 & \(\cdots\) & \$37.0 \\
\hline Acquisitions & & \$3.5 & & & & & \\
\hline Income Tax Payable & \$5.7 & \$13 & \$0.6 & \$6.5 & \$22.0 & \$21.8 & \$2.9 \\
\hline Personal \& Other & \(\$ 5.4\) & \$4.7 & \$3.4 & \$3.8 & \$3.7 & \$2.5 & \$17 \\
\hline Advances to operating entities and joint ventures & \$55.3 & \$34.5 & & & & & \\
\hline Palitical Expenses & \$48.2 & \$2.2 & & & & & \\
\hline Total Uses & \$206.8 & \$199.5 & \$236.7 & \$129.0 & \$203.1 & \$73.3 & \$101.1 \\
\hline Net Excess Cash flow & (\$70.7) & (\$106.8) & (\$92.0) & \$149.9 & (589.1) & \$823 & \$144.6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEALTH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT
- Real Estate-represents distributions from the portfolio of real estate holdings which have been previously outhed in this section.
> Entertainment - represents distributions generated primarily through the Guarantor's involvement in the TV show "The Apprentice" along with income generated through his affiliation with The Golf Channel. It is unclear to what degree this sounce of cash flow will continue. Although Mr. Trurnp remains executive producer of the show, it is yet to be determined if the program will continue.
\% Clubs - represents distributions generated through the portfolio of 16 Clubs which are wholly owned by the Guarantor.
\(\Rightarrow\) Licensing - represents licensing revenue from a large portfotio of licensing agreements both seal estate related along with other ventures such as Trump Vodka. Trump Water, Trump Shirts and several other such types of arrangements,
\(\geqslant\) Non-Operating Revenue - No non-operating cash flow was reponted for 2014-2015. Prior years, non-operating revenue consisted of tax refunds, insurance settlements, gains on sale, distributions from refinancing and other one-time items.
\(\Rightarrow\) investment income - represents inferest and investment income on cash and marketable securities.
\% Other - primarily related to miscellaneous fee income and fees generated from speaking engagements.
; Uses of Cash - primarily akilized for accuisition of resorts/golf clubs/aircraft.
7 Political Expenses - first reported for the 2015 reporting year, tied to the Guarantor's successful election campaign for US President.
; Key Ratios - to demonstrate the strength of the Guarantor we have applied the Unsecured Lending Guidelines assuming repayment of all of the obligations committed and proposed the full \(\$ 125 \mathrm{MM}\) for Doral, \(\$ 45 \mathrm{MM}\) on Chicago and \(\$ 170 \mathrm{MM} \mathrm{OPO}+\$ 10.25 \mathrm{MM}\) Swap Threshold + min transfer amt.) by the Guarantor, using פ8 adjusted baiances to both the balance sheet and net cash flow. The resulis indicate that the Guarantor meets 3 out of 4 of the unsecused ratios. As noted above, for purposes of adjusted contingents, all of the adjusted contingent liabilities are related to secured debt, however the full amount of the exposure is stit included in the Guarantor's adjusted financials.

Note: Although cash flow has offentimes been negative over the last few years, this is expected to improve as the Doral and OPO construction projects are now complete.

The Collateral property consists of a 622 acre golf resort and spa that includes four toumament class golf courses (Blue, Red, Gold and White) and a 693 room resort. Other amenities of the resort include \(86,139 \mathrm{SF}\) of meeting space including a \(24,000 \mathrm{SF}\) balfroom, a \(50,000 \mathrm{SF}\) spa and treatment center, six food and beverage venues, retail space, 670 parking spaces and a Member's Clubhouse. The property is located within eight miles or 15 minutes from Miami International Airport.

The Borrower completed extensive renovations in 2046 as evidenced by the recently received appraisal. The renovations substantially increased the property value to \(\$ 382 \mathrm{MM}\). The renovations were primarly on the Main Building, Guest Rooms, Conference Area, Spa and Champions Pavilion including aesthetic upgrades of the lobbies, reception areas, meeting spaces, sestaurants, retail spaces, spa and comidors. Renovation of the Lodges and Spa Suites include both interior and exterior work included painting, lighting and landscaping. Guest rooms have undergone a complete renovation including new bathroom fixtures, furniture, flooring, window treatments, fighting and linens. The Nember's Clubhouse has been completefy redesigned to maximize and upgrade the space and improve the finishes. These improvements will heip support and drive an increase in the number of dues-paying members. Atter the complete renovation of 72 hoses of championship golf across four courses during 2013, recent golf course renovations were primarily aesthetic with a focys on improvements to the cart paths and landscaping, maddition, the budget includes lengthening and expanding the driving range to make it consistent with the high standard of the courses. The originalloan proceeds were usad to enhance the arsival experience, improve the overa3 landscaping, senovate the pool and outdoor areas and perform a number of other smaller projects.

The Borrower completed the renovation in phases as the resort remained operational throughout said fenovation, although with significantly reduced room availability and additional sections closed as necessary. Occupancy and rates are expected to grow significantly.
 Property Level Financials:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline In thousands & Year End 2016 Actual & Year End 2015 Actual & Year End 204 Actual & Year End 2013 Actual & Year-End 2012 Actual: & \[
6 \text { months Actual }
\] \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 51.9\% & 55\% & 34\% & 73\% & 622\% & 48.39\% \\
\hline ADR & \$223.72 & \$209 & \$196 & \$208 & \$175.0 & \$148.58 \\
\hline RevPar & \$116.07 & \$112.74 & \$67 & \$151.85 & \$108.85 & 567.43 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$86.168 & \$92,051 & \$49,448 & \$111,237 & \$82,099 & 330,025 \\
\hline Gross Operating Income & & \$4.3.469 & & & & \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$74,524 & \$29,645 & \$51,913 & \$87,295 & \$69.889 & \$37,754 \\
\hline Net Operating income & \$11,643 & \$13.846 & (\$2,465) & \$23,942 & \$12,200 & (\$7,729) \\
\hline EBITDA & \$11,643 & \$13.846 & N/A & \$26,169 & \$12,200 & (\$6,553) \\
\hline Actual Debt to EBITDA & 10.74x & 9.03x & N/A & 4.78 x & 10.25x & N/A \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

DEUTSCHE BANK PRIVATE WEAITH MANAGEMENT - RISK MANAGEMENT TRUMP ENDEAVOR \(12, L L C-401\) NORTH WABASH LLC - TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE, ULC JULY 2017 - STRUCTURED - ANNUAL REVIEW

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{Actual \(D S C=2.02 x\) :}

The DSC Covenant shall be increased to correspond with defined step-downs in the Guaranty Levels as indicated in the chast below (currently at \(10 \%\) Guaranfv Level \(=1.65 \times\) covenant threshold:
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Cuaranty \\
Level
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
DSC \\
Covenant
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \(40 \%\) & \(1.15 x\) \\
\hline \(20 \%\) & \(1.40 x\) \\
\hline \(10 \%\) & \(1.65 x\) \\
\hline \(0 \%\) & \(1.25 x\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

If at any time the DSC covenant is breached when the Guaranty Level is \(>0 \%\), the Borrower must provide an acceptable appraisal to the Lender, at Lender request, confiming the LTV. If the LTV is above the Max LTV for the Guarantor Level in place, the Borrower has the option to (i) pay down the loan or post acditional coilateral to bring the loan back into complance or (ii) increase the Guaranty Level correspond to the updated LTV. At any time the Guaranty Level is \(10 \%\) the DSC Covenant will step-down to \(1.65 x\), however, any breach thereafter will be trigger an Event of Defacilt without requiring a new apprassal.

* It should be noted that operating expenses omit any hotel management fees as these would be subordinate fo any payments of senior debt. The \(\$ 74,524,000\) in expenses listed above do not include any hotel management fees as they are not included in the Borrower financials.

In addition to room fevenue, gof round and greens fees are forecast to increase at an accelerated tate substantially over fene next twe years. Athough these figures are included in the overall NOI discussion, it is important to highight the benefit received from the course renovations. On a fiscalized basis. the following represents golf and membership forecast summaries through 2020/21:

\section*{2016/7: \$23.168,355}

2017/18: \(\$ 26,250.238\)
2018/19: \(\$ 28,218,876\)
2019/20: \(\$ 29.720,497\)
2020121: \$31,012,338
Occupancy and average daily rate are also expected to grow significantly over the coming years. Note that the ADR for 2016 projected below was amost exactly in line with the actual ADR provided by the client. See befow:


Although the occupancy rate decilines from \(73 \%\) in 2033 to \(34 \%\) in 2014 due to the expansive renovations that occurred throughout 2014 , the WSC figures, along with occupancy and ADR growth kndicate the properiy is stabilizing and starting to perform under normal operating conditions. This is enhanced by the benefizs that come with a newiy renovated facility. Figures should continue to improve per projections as the property is fully operational and the Miami tousism business continues to grow.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{Property Description/Project Overview - Faclity B}

Facility B - The Property is known as the 92 -story Trump lnternational Hotel and Tower Chicago. The entire tower contains 2,637:320 SF of mixed use components which includes a hotel, spa facility, residential condominiums, a parking garage, retail space, restaufants, convertion space, and a health club. The Property was originally developed in the 1950's as a mid-rise office building occupied by the Chicago Sun Times which was purchased by Trump for \$73MM, closing October of 2004. Subsequently the Sun-Times building was demotished and the Trump International Hotel and Tower was developed at a cost of approximatey \(\$ 600 \mathrm{MM}\). Occupancy began in January 2008 for the hotel component, and the residentias component began delivering units in Fall of 2008 with completion of the entire project in the latter half of 2009.

The Trump International Hotel consists of a 339 -soom luxury hotel property which includes a barirestaurant, spa, fitness center, banquet space, parking and ground floor retail. For the purposes of this facility, the collateral originally consisted of 175 developer owned units "condo units" as well as the commercial components of the hotel including the food and beverage outtets, the meetingbanquet space, pakking and the Spa at Trump. As all of the Condo units have been sold and our loan subsequently reduced, our existing collateral currenty consists solely of the hotelicommercial components of the building.

An appraisal was performed by CB Richard Ellis, dated as of \(4 / 7 / 44\) which indicated an "As Is" value of \(\$ 133 \mathrm{MM}\). Recent correspondence (June 2017) with Phil Ribolow, Hotel and Hospitality expert in CRM READ, indicated that value is still acceptable

\section*{Property Performance/Financlal Analysis - Facility B}

\section*{Hotel Collateral}

The hotel properfy is divided into 3 general sections, the commercial areas including the spa, restaurants, banquet rooms and parking, the 175 sponsorowned units (no longer part of our collateral) and 164 privately owned third party units (also no longer included as Collateras).

With regards to the third party units no longer part of our collateras, the private owners had the option to put their unit into the rental pool with the sponsor owned units to be rented to the general public. When one of the thind party units is selected from a blind pool, the net income to the owner is the rental revenue on the urit revenue spif, less various fees for expenses incurred in the operation of the fotel room including a managemenf fee. As noted in the tables beiow, the \(3^{\text {ra }}\) Party Reimbursable line item represents these expenses that are netted out of the related \(3^{\text {rs }}\) Party Revenue Distribution. In addition to any fees related to the rental of their unit, the unit owner is tesponsible for the related real estate taxes and reserves as well as CAM and utilities. As per the Borrower, the revenue generated by the unit has historically been sufficient to cover these fixed costs as well as those related to the rental of the unit

\section*{Historical Financial Resuits}

The Borrower has provided Profit and Loss statements from 2010-2036:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 12 Mo
Ending
\(12 / 3112010\) & 12-46 Enditia 12/312014 & 12 Mo Ending 12/31/2 & 12RO Encling \(12 / 31113\) & 12.M0 Ending 12313/4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 12 \text { Mo: } \\
& \text { Ending: } \\
& 12 / 31 / 15
\end{aligned}
\] & 12 Mio Ending 12/31/16 \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 61.7\% & 68.5\% & 69.7\% & 74.5\% & \(\cdots\) & 75.16\% & 67.10\% \\
\hline Average Daily Rate ("ADR") & \$321.67 & \$347.31 & \$384.47 & \$4387.96 & ** & \$417.67 & \$404.23 \\
\hline Revenue per Avaitable Room ("RevPar") & \$198.46 & \$237.98 & \$267.91 & \$289.01 & -- & \$302.40 & \$271.26 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$46,787 & \$55,226 & \$60,931 & \$65,490 & \$47.572 & \$50,829 & \$43,419 \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$40,182 & \$45,439 & \$46.562 & \$48,436 & \$40,892 & \$43,93\} & \$41,774 \\
\hline Nanagement fee & \$1.847 & \$2,574 & \$2,869 & \$3,033 & \$1,366 & \$1,424 & \$1,299 \\
\hline \(3^{\text {rd }}\) Party
Revenue
Distribution & \$7,068 & \$8,222 & \$16,490 & \$17.793 & N/A & N/A & N/A \\
\hline \(3^{66}\) Party Reímbursables & (\$3,989) & ( 56,030 ) & (\$11,900) & (12.606) & N/A & N/A & N/A \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$7,679 & \$5,021 & \$6,910 & \$8,834 & \$6,679 & \$6,897 & \$1,861 \\
\hline Debt Service (1O)* & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3.599 & \$3,599 & \$1,135 \({ }^{\text {n }}\) \\
\hline DSCR (//O) \({ }^{\text {+* }}\) & 0.47x & 1.40x & 1.92 x & 2.45x & \(186 x\) & 1.91x & 1.64 x \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
*Equivalent to \(8 \%\) stressed rate which is signiticantly higher than current rates

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

Actual Debt Service/lnterest Expense
- Occupancy Rate/Net Operating Income - Although occupancy trends since 2010 rates improved from \(61.7 \%\) to \(74.5 \%\) in 2015 , triven primarily by the continued improvement in the economy and growing popularity of the Property focation, reservations at the subject property were down almost \(30 \%\) in 2016 . Consequently, the NOI has also decreased. This is generally believed to be driven by the opening of a new luxary hotel across the street (Langham Hotel) that is now fully operational. The downtown Chicago market also offers many other options, whereas the other facilities under this selationship are in nche locations with fewer options. Observation must continue to see if the downward trend in seservations is a systemic issue. The urban location of the totel also makes it accessible for protestors and individuals wishing to cause disruptions in response to any political actions the Guarantor supports as President of the United States.
- ADR/Rev Par \(n\) the improvement in Occupancy Rates has provided the Borrower the opporturity to significantly increase both \(A D R\) and RevPar. During the histosical period ADR has increased by \(17 \%\) and Revpar has increased \(31 \%\). Both of these indicators are well above the average ADR of \(\$ 311.16\) and RevPar of \(\$ 236.68\) for the subject's competitive set of hotels in Chicago. Per the appraisal provided, for fulk service hotels in the Chicago Netro area, ADR is anticipated to increase \(1.8 \%\) in 2017.
- DSCR - based on historical performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defned Debt Service Coverage Ratio requirement of \(3.35 x\) on an actual satelinterest only basis as specified in the loan documents.

Projected Financial Results
The appraisal has provided the following projections for the 12 months ending April 2017 through April 2019:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 2017 & 2018 & 2019 \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 71.0\% & 71.0\% & \(71.0 \%\) \\
\hline ADR & \(\$ 443.18\) & \$456.48 & 8470.17 \\
\hline RevPar & \$314.66 & \$324.10 & \$333.82 \\
\hline Total Revenue & 870,226 & \$72,332 & \$74,502 \\
\hline Total Operating Expenses & \$51,937 & \$53,494 & \$55,099 \\
\hline Management fee & \$2,549 & \$2,525 & \$2,704 \\
\hline Reserves for Replacement & \$2,809 & \$2,589 & \$2,980 \\
\hline \(3{ }^{\text {rid }}\) Party Revenue Distribution & \$10,279 & \$10,587 & \$10,905 \\
\hline \(3^{\text {ra }}\) Party Reimbursables & (\$7,475) & (\$7,700) & ( 57.931 ) \\
\hline Net Operating Income & \$10,128 & \$10,432 & \$10,744 \\
\hline Projected Debt Service (P \&) & \$5,085 & \$5,085 & 55,085 \\
\hline DSCR (P \& ] & 1.99x & 2.05x & 2.11x \\
\hline Projected Debt Service (IIO)* & \$3,599 & \$3,599 & \$3,599 \\
\hline DSCR (I/O) & 2.81x & \(2.90 x\) & 2.99x \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
- Occupancy Rate . assumes the economy continues to recover and the Property continues to realize the increasing growth in demand based on growing popularity of location.
- ADR/ Rev Par m shows continued growth during the period with estimates of a \(2 \xi \%\) increase in ADR and \(15.5 \%\) in RevPar during the forecasted, 4 year, period.
- Net Operating Income - during the forecasted period NOS is projected to grow by approximately \(21.6 \%\) over the four year period primarily driven by contimued improvement in the operating margin (defined as Total Revenue minus Total Operating Expenses) which is projected to hold steady at a healthy \(26 \%\).
- DSCR - based on projected performance the Collateral demonstrates the ability to comply with the defined Debt Service Coverage Ratio requirement of 1.35 x .

\section*{Sensitivity Analysis}

The financial projections presented above provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPar, and Operating Expenses. Menagement is challenged to batance each of these variables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating income ("NOI"). Based on this methodology we have determined that the key variables tor folentifying leveis sensitivity against the defined DSCR of \(1.35 x\) would be measured through the NOf and interest Rate.
- NOI - Based on the \(12 / 31 / 16\) FY Actual results, the NOi could cecrease by \(\$ 400 \mathrm{M}\) before breaching the DSCR covenant.

\section*{Property Description/Project Overview - Facility \(C\)}

The iconic and historic Oid Post Office in Washington, DC opened in 1899 as the Headquarters of the US Postal Service. Its grand architecture was designed to announce Pennsywania Avenue's arrival as America's Main Street. The 441,000 SF building is the second-tallest in Washington D.C. (next to the Washington Monument) and is home to the historic Congress Bells and an obsenvation tower.

The Trump Organization (Trump) was selected by the US General Services Administration (GSA), to renovate Washington DC's historic Old Post Office Building (OPO) located on Pennsyivania Avenue and \(12^{\text {III }}\) Street just southeast of the White House. Construction was completed 2 -years ahead of schedsle and the property opened in September of 2016. The property contains 263 guestrooms and suites, 38,300 square feet of meeting space. two food and beverage outlets (BLT is the flagship restaurant with a high-end sushi restaurant to come on as the second food establishment), a spa (the first spa to be branded by fvanka Trump), a fitness facility. valet parking in an on-site underground garage, and roughly 3,000 square feet of feased retail space (Brioni \& Statbucks). The site also contains the largest balroom in Washington, DC ( \(13,000 \mathrm{SF}\) ).

The Borrower has executed a \(62+\) year ground lease with 2 additional 20 -year options with the GSA for the OPO, this process included a year-long concepk review through the Section 106 process with all relevant stakeholders, including the Commission of Fine Arts, National Capital Planning Commission, DC State historic Presenation Office and General Sevices Administration.

The full renovation budget was \(\$ 215 \mathrm{MM}+\) and the Borrower deployed its \(20 \%\) equity prior to the Lender's funds being released through monthly draws staring in late 2015 (the "Defined Equity Amount"). Completion of the Defined Equity Amount occurred and disbursements of the Borrower's 5170 MM line occurred throughout 2035 and 2016. The entire \(\$ 570 \mathrm{MM}\) line was disbussed by the end of 2016 and the property was awarded a temporary Certificate of Occupancy (TCO). In conjunction with final appraisal ordered in Janaly of 2017. the Client triggered the end of the "Redevelopment Period" and the "Post-Development Period "started as of January \(18,2017\).

The Borrower expects a final Certificate of Oecupancy to be delivered before the \(3^{\text {rid }}\) quarter of 2017 , subject to satisfactory completion of some minor fireproofing ytems and building communication systems.

A January 2017 appraisal completed on behalf of the Bank indicated the following breakown in values:
1) As is Final Vakje Estimates

Investment Value \(\$ 229.5 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 873,000\) per key
2) Hypothetical As is Market Vake of \(\$ 237.5 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 903,000,000\) per key

As Complete Final Value Estimates
3) Prospective Stabilized Value of \(\$ 274.5 \mathrm{MM}\), or \(\$ 1,044,000\) per key

The report valuations require bypothetic assumptions given the fack of historical cast fow at the property. The report was reviewed and approved internally and lead to the following L.TV conclusions:


As mentioned, the finalization of the appraisal detailed above allow the Post-Development period to begn, as fequested by the Borrower on Jankary 18, 2017. Patrick Harris was notified of this change as to how the foan is categorized via an email memo sent on March 21, 2017. The memo stated, in part:
"The Libor spread on loan number 01563340 (secured by a ground lease on the Old Post Office Building in Washington DC) is also being reduced by 25 pps , as stipulated in the Loan Agreement dated Augus! 12, 2014, when the post development appraisal has been accepted by the Bank resulting in an explicit loan to value (see below), and the Temporary Certificate of Occupancy has been issued. Both stipulations have been met, as confirmed by DB and Loeb and Loeb. The resulting loan to value is currently is \(74.2 \%\), per the latest appraisal reviewed and accepted by the Bank on January 18, 2017. DB maintains the right to reaporaise the property on an annual basis at our expense."

Members of the Banking and Lending team visited the property in December 2016 upon its completion. The site visit reaffirmed the high standard of construction and operational management in place at the site.

\section*{Mighlights include:}

Accommodations - The Hotel's guest rooms and suites are nearly \(20 \%\) larger than the average rooms offered by the Hotel's competitive set (Four Seasons. The Ritz-Carton Georgetown, The Ritz-Cariton DC, Mandarin Oriental and the Hay-Adams), a major point of differentiation with transient
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\section*{Credit Report - Structured}
business and leisure guests. The gorrower believes the differentiated product will fill a void in the marketplace for premium rooms and atract embassy and delegation stays at premium rightly rates.

Meeting \& Banquet Facillies - With more than \(39,100 \mathrm{SF}\) of event space on two dedicated fevels including a \(13,000 \mathrm{SF}\) Grand Baliroom and several meeting/function rooms, the Hotel has DCs largest luxury meeting space and largest Giand Bailroom in the aforementioned competitue set. Combined with the Hotel's well-appointed amenities, prime location and personaized services; the Borrower feels the OPO will be well positioned to capture the top events in Washington, DC.

Retail m Each year millions of people visit the area surrounding the OPO including 236,000 annual visitors to the Clock Tower located inside the OPO. Nearby attractions inctude the White House, the Nationas Mall, the DC Convention Center and the OPO, all of which generate significant foot traffic and spending in Downtown DC. The Bortower feels that the Hotel's central location within a high concentration of wealth, premium office buidings and cuitural institutions will attract the best retailers.

Food \& Beverage - The Hotel features four food and beverage outless; including a grand bar, as well as in-room dining and a Starbucks location. The two main restaurants include BLT Prime by David Burke and a high end sushi restaurant.

The Spa at Trump - The Hotel features a \(5,000 \mathrm{SF}\) signature Spa at Trump offering an arsay of spa offerings including massages, faciaks, relaxation programs and beauty treatments.

Parking - The Hotel provides - 120 spaces on one level of underground parking, accessible by valet. Parking in that asea is scarce and hotels command a premium over neighborhood garages. Hotels near the OPO with less luxurious accommocations are abie to charge \(\$ 47 /\) day.

The subject property is located in Washington, D.C. between the White House and the U.S. Capitol Building on Pennsylvania Avenue. Washington, D.C. is a cosmopolitan city rich in monuments, musesms and cullure. As the nation's hub of political affairs, the city is the center of governmental action and policy. From Capitol Hill to Embassy Row, the National Mall and historic Georgetown, Washington, D.C. also boasts a diverse concentration of national and international organizations and associations. Furthermore, a number of major universities, educational agencies, and museums - including Georgetown University, George Washington University, Howard University, Catholic University, Armerican University and the Smithsonian institution are located within the District of Columbia.

The federal government and all of the public and private institutions which support it, form the biggest industry sector in the Washington. D.C. region: While the government secfor accounts for approximately \(31 \%\) of total employment, the government serves as the catalyst for vitually all of the economic activity in the greater Washington area including the operations of hundreds of private sector fims, associations, trade unions, faw firms, lobbying organizations, defense companies, political groups and intemational organizations. The prevalence of the government, educational and healthcare sectors helps to somewhat shelter this region from any major economic interruptions; thus, the outlook for the market area remains fairsy optimistic.

Convention centers often serve as a gauge of visitation trends to a particular mazket as they generate significant levels of demand for area hokels and serve as a focal poing for community activity. Typically hotels within the ciosest proximity to a convention center (up to 3 miles away) will benefit the most.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{Projected financial Analysis Property Performance - Facility C}

The Trump International Hotel is a full-service, luxury hotel property located along Pennsylvania Avenue in the heart of the Federal Triangle Historical District of Washington D.C. The improvements are in excellent overall condition and are above average for the locakion in segards to improvement design and layout, as well as interior and exterior amenties which are commensurate with luxury hotel operations in Washington D.C. The progerty's location within the Old Post Oftce Building, which houses the Clock Tower Observation Deck, the secone highest viewing point in Washington D.C., is considered to be a significant competitive advantage. The property is located in a central neighborhood, less than one mile from the U.S. Capitol and White House. The sursounding area contains an abundance of dining and retail options, as well as various private and government offices. Overall, there ate no known adverse factors pertaining to the property's marketability, and the luxury hoter is expected to be positioned competitively within its intended demographic.

The subject is an historic building that was originally constructed in 1899 and has an actual age of 118 years. Considering the recent property-wide renovation, we estimate the property has an economic life of 60 years, an effective age of approxinately 15 years, and a remaining economic life is of approximately 45 years.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Projected & \begin{tabular}{l}
2018 \\
Projected
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
2019 \\
Projected
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2020 \\
& \text { Projected }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Occupancy Rate & 68\% & 72\% & 72\% & 72\% \\
\hline ADR & \$655.00 & \$710.00 & \$738.40 & \$764.77 \\
\hline Revpar & \$445.40 & \$511.20 & \$531.65 & \$550.40 \\
\hline Total Revenue & \$86.992 & \$102,283 & \$107,874 & \$111.683 \\
\hline Totai Operating Expenses & 562,939 & \$68,607 & \$71,805 & \$73,969 \\
\hline Net Operating income & \$24,053 & \$33.676 & \$36,069 & \$37,714 \\
\hline Ground Lease & \$3,254 & \$3,346 & \$3,430 & 3.515 \\
\hline EBITDA & \$20,789 & \$30,330 & \$32,639 & \$34.199 \\
\hline Actual Debt to EBITDA & 8.18 x & 5.61 x & 5.21 x & 4.97x \\
\hline Reserve & \$696 & \$1,829 & \$2,985 & \$3,350 \\
\hline Cash Flow available for Debt Service & \$20,093 & \$28,501 & \$29,654 & \$30,849 \\
\hline Projected Debt Service (P\&\&, based on the 10 -year swap +200 bps) \(4.93 \%\) & \$11;843 & \$11,843 & \$11,843 & \$11,843 \\
\hline DSCR & \(1.70 x\) & 2.41 x & 2.50 x & 2.60 x \\
\hline Frojected Debt Service (IVO, based on the 10 -year swap +200 bps ) \(4.93 \%\) all in & \$8.381 & \$8.381 & \$8,381 & \$8,381 \\
\hline DSCR & 2.40x & 3.40 x & 3.54x & 3.68x \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

As the Bornwer is leasing the property from the U.S. Government, there is no traditionai Property Tax assessed Rather there is a Possessory fnterest Tax that is assessed that is a percentage of net income. Prior to operations this tax is determined as a percentage of the value of the leasehold. For the above NOI this tax has been included in the Total Operating expenses line.

The Borrower has provided projections for the first 4.5 years of operations. As the Borrower has projected a 2 year construction phase, the first full year of operations is projected to be in 2017. The interest rate has now decreased to LIBOR \(+1.75 \%\) (from LIBOR \(+2.00 \%\) ) as the PostDevelopment Period has begun. Debt Service is interest only and remains that way as long as the value determined by the appraisal continues to result in a Loan to Vaiue of \(75 \%\) or less. If the Loan to Value is between \(75 \%-85 \%\), the loan will require principal payments based on a 25 year amortization schedule until such time as the loan is paid down to a \(75 \%\) LTV. If the appraised value of the property results in a LTV of greater than \(85 \%\) the Borrower must pay down the principal of loan to a balance that results in a \(85 \%\) LTV or less within 10 days of notice from the Lender. Duse to the various scenarios possible, we have shown both the principal and interest, and interest-only debt service tests based on the 10 year-swap rate of + the max loan spread of \(2.0 \%\) for an alling of \(4.93 \%\). Based on the Borrower's projections, the property should produce cash flow sufficient to service the cebt beginning in the first year of operations and onward.

\section*{Sensitivity analysis}

The financial projections presented provide the key indicators to operating performance which include Occupancy Rate, ADR, RevPAR, and Operating Expenses. Management is chaflenged to balance each of these vanables to drive the overall operating performance of the property which for purposes of this analysis we will measure through the Net Operating income ("NOl"). Baseci on this methodology we have determined that the key variabies for identifying levels sensitivity against the defined DSCR of \(1,15 x\) would be measured through the NOI and interest rate.
- NOI - Based on the projected first year of operations in 2017, the NOI could be overstated by \(\$ 10.45 \mathrm{MM}(52 \%)\) or \(\$ 6.47 \mathrm{MM}(32 \%)\) before the DSCR covenant would be breached on an interest only and P\&I basis, respectively.
- Interest Rate - Based on the projected first year of operations in 2017 , the interest rate could increase to an allin rate of \(10.30 \%\) or \(9.20 \%\) before breaching the DSCR covenant on an interest only and P\&I basis, respectively.

\section*{Credit Report - Structured}

\section*{ESONEY:}

Additionsal miformation
1. Section 23 Attestation

The Lending Officer has made such inquiries as determined to be appropriate under the circumstances, incluging an analysis of the transaction, the collateral and the applicatson of the proceeds of the transaction; and has accessed the database maintained by the Compliance Department. which contains a listing of entities. which have been determined to be affilites ("Affiliates") for purposes of Sections 23A and 238 of the Federal Reserve Act ("Affilsate List")
- The entity which is entering into the transaction with Bepwh (the "Applicable Bank") is not named as an Affilate of the Applicabse Bank on the Affilate List maintained by the Compliance Deparment:
The proceeds will not be transferred to or used for the bemeff of a named Affisite: except for transactions that are not covered transactions.
- The colateral on which we rely for \(\$ 23\) purposes is not a liability of an Affliate of the Applicable banik and so a covered transaction will hof be produced by this loans.

NO AFFILIATE COLLATERAL HELO

\section*{Attachments:}
i. Risk Ratings
11. RWAROE
(1I. Financial Spreads
IV. Doral and 401 North Wabash Financiais \(12 / 31 / 15\)
V. Trump Old Post Office LLC Redevelopment Investment Plan and appraisal

\section*{Deutsche Asset \& Wealth Management}
gaston.alegre@db.com, Tue Jus 18 15:33:10 GMT -400 2017
General Information
\begin{tabular}{lllll} 
Region & US & Booking Center & US Onshore & Team
\end{tabular}

Collateral Evaluation


Collateral Scoring


Facility Evaluation
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Facility Facility Description & Limit or Outstanding Amount (USD) & Final Facility Raking \\
1021474 & \(125,000,000\) & dA
\end{tabular}

Weighted Facility Rating dA
Comments
April 2016
- CPD upgraded to iA- from i8B8* Upgraded Leverage from Poor to Steal Acceptable
- FPD upgraded to A- from BEB, Upgraded haircut from poor to Good
- Structured upgraded to iA from iA due to facility no being unsecured
- Facility no longer siVCRE

July 2016 - Unchanged
July 2017 - unchanged

https://pdb.awm.intranet.db.com/asat/asat/1BCBA06D117A12675FD1619F45ED2B7D.ca... 7/18/2017

\section*{Deutsche Asset \\ \& Wealn Management}
gaston.alegre@db.com, Tue Jul 38 15:34:08 GMT-400 2017
General information
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Fegion & US & Booking Center & US Onshore & Team & \begin{tabular}{l}
Rosemary \\
Vrablic
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Approver / Credik Officer & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{gaston alegre@db.com Preparer} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{anthony.pontonero@db.com Preparation Date} & 2017-07-18 \\
\hline Counterparly Name & 4 NWVL & Counterparty Type & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{Corporate} & Currency & USD \\
\hline ORGID & 6618229 & Group ORG 1D & & Group ORG Name & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1045091} \\
\hline Jurisdiction & United States & Country of 380 & & Account Number & \\
\hline Counterparty Rating & A & Name of Beneficial Owner & & Status & For approval \\
\hline Rating Review Date & 2018-07-20 & Credit Review Date & 2018-07-20 & Rating Approval Date & \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A1 Operating environment} & Still Acceptable & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{B1 Quality of management} & Good \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A2 Cash generation capacity} & Good & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{B2 \(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{T}\) management strategy} & Satisfactory \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A3 Leverage / Equity structure} & Good & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{B3 Transparency} & Satisfactory \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{A4 Sustainability of earnings} & Satisfactory & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{E4 Management structure} & Satisfactory \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Collateral Evaluation
\begin{tabular}{clrrrr}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Coklateral \\
ID
\end{tabular} & Collateral Type & \begin{tabular}{r} 
Collateral \\
Description
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{rl} 
Market Value \\
(USD)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{r} 
Lending Value \\
(USD)/AR
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{r} 
Totak Liable Mongage \\
Amount information
\end{tabular} \\
1024927 & \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Commercial Rea! \\
Estase
\end{tabular} & \(133,000,000\) & \(45,000,000 / 33.84\) & \(45,000,000\) & A
\end{tabular}

Coflateral Scoring
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Colateral 10 & Assetvalue / Quakity & Voiatility & Liquidity & Asset Cash Flow & Eventrisk & Haircus & Monitoring & Marketability Saleability \\
\hline 1024927 & Satisfactory & Satisfactory & Satisfactory & Good & Satisfactory & Good & Satisfactory & Satisfactory \\
\hline \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Facilify Evaluation} \\
\hline Facilty & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Facility Description} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Limit or Outstanding Amount (USD)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Final zacility Rating} \\
\hline 1021475 & & & & & & ,000,000 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Weighted Facklity Rating dA+
Comments
Juk 2015
401 North Wabash, ilC
Rating changes reflect the stuation of the borrower without look through to guarantor

Jul 2016 Unchanged
Jal 2017 Unchanged


\section*{Deutsche Asset \& Wealth Management}
gaston.alegre@ob.com, Tue Jul 18 15:32:42 GMT-400 2017
General Information

Facility Facility Description Lint or Outstanding Amount (USD) Final Facility Rating

Weighted Facility Rating
Comments
T guarantees TE (7862044) and TOPO (8094225).

https://pdb.awm.intranet.db.com/asat/asat/1BCBA06D117A12675FD1619F45ED2B7D.ca... 7/18/2017

\title{
Deutsche Asset \\ \& Vealt Management
}
gaston.alegre@db.com, Tue 3 al 18 15:32:23 GMT-400 2017
General information
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Region & Us & Booking Center & US Onshore & Team & Rosemary Vrablic \\
\hline Approver / Credit Officer & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{gaston.alegre@ob.com Prepaser} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{anthony.pontoriero@db.con Preparation Date} & 2017-07-18 \\
\hline Counteypary Name & TOPOL & Counterparty Type & Corporate & Currency & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{USD} \\
\hline ORG ID & 8094225 & Group ORG ID & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{1045091} & Group ORG Name & \\
\hline unfisdiction & United States & Country of UBO & & Account Number & \\
\hline Counterpany Rating & ibBB & Name of Beneficial Owner & & Status & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{For approval} \\
\hline Rating Review Date & 2018-07-20 & Credit Review Date & 2018-07-20 & Rating Approval Date & \\
\hline A1 Operating environ & ment & Still Acceptable & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{B1 Quality of management} & Good \\
\hline A2 Cash generation & apacity & Still Acceptable & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{B2 LTT management strategy} & Sasisfactory \\
\hline A3 Leverage/Equit & stucture & Stil Acceptable & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{33 Transparency} & Sasisfactory \\
\hline A4 Sustainability of e & rnings & Stil Acceptable & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{B4 Management structure} & Satisfactory \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Collateral Evaluation
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Collateral ID & Collateral Type & Collateral Description & Market Value (USD) & Lending Value (USD)/AR & Total Liabse Mostgage Amount information & Rating \\
\hline 1024928 & Commercial Real Estate & & 229,500,000 & 170,000,000/74.07 & 170,000,000 & B8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Collateral Scoring
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc} 
Colkateral ID & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Assetvalue/ \\
Quality
\end{tabular} & Volatilizy & Liquidity & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Asset Cash \\
Fiow
\end{tabular} & Eventrisk & Haircut & Monitoring & Marketabilty/ \\
Saleability
\end{tabular}

Faclity Evaluation
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Facility Faciity Description & Limit or Outstanding Amount (USD) & Final Facility Rating \\
1021476 & \(170,000,000\) & ©A
\end{tabular}

Weighted Facility Rating dA

\section*{Comments}

Ju; 2015
Rating downgraded to reflect the situation of the borrower without look through to guarankor

Uul 2016
CPD from \(\mathrm{BEB}+\) to iBEB
Cash Generatng Capacity downgraded to Still Acceptable given ouiking is under construction but is cose to becoming partialsy operational
Ju 2017 - FPD adjusted to iA given guarantor rating of IA (GlobeR Methodology). Cohateral FPD maintained at iBE untis the property demonstrates at least 1 year of operations.

https://pdb.awm.intranet.db.com/asat/asat/1BCBA06D117A12675FD1619F45ED2B7D.ca... 7/18/2017

EXHIBIT VV

\section*{EXHIBIT C}

\author{
City of New York \\ Parks \& Recreation
}

\author{
The Arsenal \\ Central Park \\ New York, New York 10021 \\ Elizabeth W. Smith \\ Assistant Commissioner \\ Revenue \& Marketing
}

\author{
Adrian Benepe \\ Commissioner
}
(212) 360-1366 / betsy.smith@parks.nyc.gov

\section*{REQUEST FOR OFFERS}

\title{
For the Operation and Maintenance of an 18-Hole Jack Nicklaus Signature Golf Course, Driving Range and Anclllary Facilities at Ferry Point Park, The Bronx
}

\author{
Concession ID\# X126-GC \\ February 19, 2010
}

\begin{abstract}
The City of New York (City) acting by and through its Department of Parks \& Recreation (Parks) requests offers to negotiate a concession agreement for the operation and maintenance of an 18 -hole Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, driving range, and ancillary facilities, including a maintenance building, parking lot, storm shelters, submerged pump house, comfort station, and a snack bar/food service facility ancillary to the golf uses, at Ferry Point Park in the Bronx (the "Site"). Offers may, at the discretion of the offeror, also include the design, construction, operation and maintenance of a temporary and/or permanent clubhouse at the Site, which, if proposed, must include a food service facility as well as a pro shop.
\end{abstract}

The exact length of the term of the concession will be determined during negotiations. At this time, the City anticipates a term of not more than ten (10) years. However, Parks invites comments concerning any longer term that may be warranted by any particular type of operational plan. This concession will be operated pursnant to a license issued by Parks; no leasehold or other proprietary right will be offered, but Parks invites comments as to suitable provisions in a potential concession agreement to ensure the feasibility of such a license operation.

\section*{Goals of the Request for Offers}

This Request for Offers ("RFO") is being issued to identify one or more entities ("Responders") interested in negotiating a license agreement with Parks for the operation and maintenance of this concession. Parks is seeking innovative offers from entities that can demonstrate substantial experience in the operation of golf courses and management of large scale events and sufficient financial capability to operate and maintain this concession at the highest level. It is the City's priority to maximize the course's potential as a venue for tournament events sponsored by the Professional Golfers Association (PGA) and similar organizations. Except as specifically stated in this document, Parks has no fixed concept as yet for the elements or structure of the eventual concession agreement that it may negotiate, and invites Responders to comment on the types of provisions they deem essential to such an agreement. Due to the complexities of this Site, including its not-yet developed facilities and market, Parks is open to pursuing a variety of avenues of management and investment that best reflect the expertise and resources of the Responder. Parks will enter into negotiations with those entities which in Parks judgment offer the most attractive
options for the operation and maintenance of the Site. Although this RFO is being issued to identify one or more entities or joint ventures interested in negotiating a license agreement with Parks for the operation and maintenance of this concession, Parks will ultimately make a single award for the concession.

\section*{Content of Offers}

Offers may be submitted in a letter format and need not go into great detail about all aspects of the operation and maintenance of the Site; however, each Offer should give a basic overview of the Responder's:
1. Planned operations, including plans to accommodate the grow-in period for the golf course and the various maintenance requirements at the Site. Responders should note that Parks is willing to consider greens fees for the golf course that are higher than those charged at New York City's other public golf courses and that are similar to the greens fees charged at other high-end public daily fee courses.
2. Operating experience, including resume(s) and/or a description of the Responder's professional qualifications, demonstrating extensive experience in the golf, food service, sports and large scale event industries, and a list of recent, relevant references.
3. Investment and designs, including a general description of any improvements they intend to perform at the Site, a basic design for the layout of the Site, and whether they intend to construct some kind of temporary and/or permanent clubhouse at the Site, noting (at the Responder's option) any specific terms that it would seek to incorporate into the concession agreement to facilitate its investment in such improvements.
4. Compensation to the City, which may include a traditional fee offer and/or alternative forms of compensation, such as a reinvestment of income generated by the concession into programming, capital improvements, or other areas beneficial to the City.
5. Financial Capability, including financial statements and other supporting documentation of the Responder's financial worth.

At minimum, all Offers must include the following:
- The maintenance and operation of an 18-hole Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, driving range, and ancillary facilities, including the maintenance building, parking lot, storm shelters, comfort station, submerged pump-house, landscaping, irrigation and fertigation system, drainage system, retention pond, and a snack bar/food service facility ancillary to the golf uses.
- The oversight of the grow-in period for the golf course.

Offers, at the Responder's option, may also inchude the following:
- The design, construction, operation and maintenance of a temporary and/or permanent clubhouse, which, if proposed, must include a permanent or temporary food service facility, such as a snack bar, café, restaurant, or banquet facility, as well as a pro shop, locker rooms, and restrooms.
- Alternative recreation and sports related uses for the Site.
- Naming rights and sponsorships.

\section*{The Site}

Beginning in 2009, the City commenced construction of a Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course at the site. The capital construction project is entirely City-funded and is managed under a City contract with Sanford Golf Design. The course will be capable of hosting major events/tournaments and has the potential to become the only premium golf course within relatively close driving distance for millions of potential customers in the area. The closest premium golf courses in the region are located in northern New Jersey, Rockland County, North Hempstead, Long Island, Westchester and Fairfield, Connecticut.

Ferry Point Park represents one of the largest pieces (222 acres) of previously undeveloped parkland in New York City, and one of the greatest opportunities for augmenting the City's recreational resources. The proposed concession Site, formerly a municipal landfill that was closed in 1963, is situated in the Borough of the Bronx, Tax Map Block 5622 Lot 1 and Block 5583 Lot 100 (Community Board \#10). The Site is on the eastern portion of the park and totals approximately 188.8 acres. It is bounded by Westchester Creek, the East River, Schley Avenue, Emerson Avenue and abuts the Whitestone Bridge.

The Site commands a breathtaking view of the East River, Manhattan skyline, and of the Whitestone and Throgs Neck Bridges that run between Queens and the Bronx, and the site is readily accessible via ground transportation from much of the metro area. Within one mile of the Ferry Point Park Site is a major intersection of highways, including the Cross Bronx Expressway (I-95), Hutchinson River Parkway (I678), Overview Expressway and the Brackner Expressway. The park is situated at the northern base of the Whitestone Bridge, and is accessible directly via the service road of the Hutchinson River Parkway. It is also near the Throgs Neck Bridge (I-295) which, similar to the Whitestone Bridge, connects the Bronx to Queens, Brooklyn and Long Island. Due to its prominent location on the East River, which separates the boroughs of Manhattan, Queens and The Bronx, the golf course is highly visible from the Whitestone Bridge and the approaches to both La Guardia and John F. Kennedy International Airports. All of these factors will likely make the Ferry Point Park Golf Course a major attraction for tournaments and outings.

In addition to building an 18 -hole Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course, the City is also constructing at the Site a lighted driving range, a 12,000 square foot maintenance building, a parking lot with approximately 200 spaces, a comfort station and snack bar/refreshment stand, a submerged pump house, a 3.75 acre retention pond, and two (2) storm shelters. Additionally, a state of the art irrigation and fertigation system will be provided along with a comprehensive course drainage system. The City is also constructing a new 19.5 acre waterfront park along the East River adjacent to the golf course property at the southeastern end and is renovating a 10 -acre community park on the eastern end of the course along Balcom Avenue.

To date, the City has also expended over \(\$ 12\) million for environmental remediation, including a comprehensive environmental compliance program and construction of a 1.5 -mile long passive methaneventing trench. Due to variations in course topography, fill depth ranges from approximately three (3) feet to over thirty (30) feet. In addition to the shaping layer coverage, the course will have approximately twelve (12) inches of topsoil coverage. The site is subject to a "Part 360 " permit from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (DEC); Parks invites comments from Responders concerning the impact of the environmental compliance program on the operations of the concession.

The City has contracted with Sanford Golf Design to manage the design and construction of the 18 -hole links-style Jack Nicklaus Signature golf course at the Site, and in September 2009, the contract for the
construction of the golf course was awarded to Laws Construction Corp. The construction of the golf course, except for the grow-in, is expected to be completed by Fall 2011.

The following is a brief overview of various Site components:
- 18-hole Jack Nicklaus Signature Golf Course: The course will be links style and a total of 7,158 yards long from the black tee. A grow in period will be necessary for the golf course once construction is completed.
- Location for a temporary and/or permanent clubhouse: Parks has designated an area adjacent to the parking lot for a possible temporary and/or permanent clubhouse, and water, sewer and gas lines and an electrical conduit are being supplied to that location.
- Driving range: The driving range will be lighted for after dark play and will accommodate up to 27 users at 10' spacing.
- Maintenance building: Situated on the northwestern edge of the property, the maintenance building will be approximately 12,000 square feet. The maintenance yard will include a 1,000 gallon above ground fuel tank.
- Parking lot: The parking lot will have approximately 200 spaces and will include lighting. There is room to construct an additional 50 spaces immediately adjacent to the lot, if necessary.
- Comfort Station \& snack bar/refreshment stand: The comfort station, located between the \(14^{\text {th }}\) and \(15^{\text {th }}\) holes, will be approximately 715 total square feet and includes 147 square feet of room for a snack bar/refreshment stand. Water, sewer, and electrical lines are being supplied to the building.
- Landscaping: The City will provide for the landscaping of the golf course and parking area it constructs.
- Submerged pump house: The submerged pump house will be located adjacent to maintenance building.
- Storm shelters: The storm shelters will be located at the Comfort Station and between the \(2^{\text {nd }}\) and \(7^{\text {th }}\) holes.
- Drainage system: The city is providing a comprehensive drainage system for the golf course, which is designed so that a percentage of runoff water is collected in a retention pond at the Site (see below).
- Irrigation system and retention pond: The golf course, which in consideration of environmental and ecological design objectives incorporates the use of native drought-tolerant grass species, will be less reliant on irrigation than the average golf course. It is anticipated that the sustainable design will require approximately one-fourth \(\left(1 / 4^{\text {th }}\right)\) the average water needs of the average golf course. The course irrigation design includes a 3.75 acre retention pond, which collects runoff water from approximately \(20 \%\) of the Site. The pond has an average depth of eight (8) feet (three (3) feet around the edge and twelve (12) feet at its deepest) and should be able to provide a ten (10) day supply of water for the course, if necessary. The system is fed through a connection to the City's water supply. Parks invites comments from Responders concerning the creation of additional irrigation sources and other innovative means for water conservation which reduce the golf course's dependency on the

City's water supply, as well as comments on how the water supply issues affect potential offers for this concession. The irrigation needs of the Site are as follows:
- Greens ( \(102,772 \mathrm{sq} / \mathrm{ft}\) ): Forty-five (45) days of grow-in, approximately one-fourth (1/4) to one-half (1/2) inch per day depending on conditions. Next forty-five (45) days, reduce by one-half ( \(1 / 2\) ).
- Tees \((227,704 \mathrm{sq} / \mathrm{ft})\) : Same as greens
- Fairways (44.71 acres): Forty-five 45 days of grow-in, approximately one-eighth (1/8) to one-fourth (1/4) inch per day depending on conditions. Next forty-five (45) days, reduce by one-half ( \(1 / 2\) ).
- Rough ( 54.98 acres): Similar to fairways
- Native Areas ( 56.09 acres): Similar to rough

\section*{Additional Notes on the Irrigation Needs of the Site}
- If twenty (20) acres of sod is utilized, the first seven to ten days will require one-fourth (1/4) to one-half ( \(1 / 2\) ) inch of water per day, and afterward, the needs will be similar to the needs of the rough.
- Weather conditions, time of year and run-off could change the amount of irrigation needed
- Based on the size and type course, after grow-in, normal watering during the longest and warmest days (approximately one-hundred (100)), the average need for the course is two-hundred-fifty-thousand \((250,000)\) to four-hundred-thousand \((400,000)\) gallons of water per day. During other times, water as needed.
- Irrigation is not an exact science and the above numbers should be used only as a water consumption estimate.
- The rate of \(\$ 5.98\) per 748 gallons of water that the New York City Department of Environmental Protection charged increased by \(12 \%\) on July 1, 2009.

\section*{Available Ancillary Documents}

To help Responders develop their offers, the following documents pertaining to the Site are available at http://nycgovparks.org/concessions/fpp/ and may also be obtained by contacting Joel Metlen, Deputy Director of Concessions, at (212) 360-3483 or at joel.metlen@parks.nyc.gov:
1) Approved Design and Construction Plans for the golf course
2) The City Planning Commission's Uniform Land Use Review Procedure (ULURP) determination
3) The Environmental Assessment Statement
4) The DEC Part 360 Permit
5) The Nicklaus Design Course Maintenance Standards
6) A Ferry Point Park Aerial Survey
7) The Golf Course Buildings Planting Plan

PLEASE NOTE: Parks requires that any resulting concession will be consistent with the prior ULURP determination for this project and will not otherwise require additional ULURP review.

\section*{Due Date for Offers \& Selection Process}

All Offers should be submitted in sealed envelopes and must be sent to the office of the Assistant Commissioner for Revenue, City of New York Parks \& Recreation, The Arsenal-Central Park, 830 Fifth Avenue, Room 407, New York, New York 10065. All Offers must be received at that location by Tuesday, March 30, 2010 at 3:00pm. Responders should endeavor to be timely with their submissions; late offers can only be accepted in the discretion of Parks, and only if the timely offers have not yet been opened. Where a determination is made to accept a late offer, any other late offer received before timely offers have been opened shall be similarly accepted.

Once offers are received, a selection committee of at least three (3) people and composed of Parks and other City employees and, possibly, independent (non-govermment employed) professionals with relevant expertise will determine a short-list of offers that have sufficient technical merit to warrant negotiations toward a potential concession. At this stage of the evaluation, the committee will not score offers in detail. Offers will be scored on a 1 to 5 basis, first on the quality of their proposed operations (including the experience they demonstrate in such operations), and second on the quality of their financial offers, both with respect to potential fees and revenues, and capital or in-kind investments in the facility, taking into account their demonstrated financial capabilities. These two rankings will be combined, with the ranking for proposed operations weighted at \(70 \%\) and the ranking for financial offers at \(30 \%\). Parks may contact Responders for clarifications and/or oral presentations at this stage, but responders should assume that this stage of the evaluation may be completed based on the written responses alone. The selection committee will determine the short-list for negotiations from those combined rankings.

Parks will commence negotiations with each short-listed Responder to develop the exact terms and conditions of their respective concession offers. Parks may further narrow consideration of potential concessionaires through oral presentations and/or a Best and Final Offer (BAFO) process. Once the ensuing negotiations are completed, the selection committee will evaluate the resulting concession offers and will select for award the entity that proposes the best overall terms and conditions based on the following criteria:
- Planned Operations ( \(30 \%\) )
- Operating Experience (30\%)
- Investment \& Designs ( \(15 \%\) )
- Compensation to the City ( \(15 \%\) )
- Financial Capability ( \(10 \%\) )

Parks will only consider offers that meet satisfactory levels of the above criteria. The City is not required to accept the highest fee offer. Parks' acceptance of an offer and/or commencement of negotiations does not imply that every element of that offer is acceptable to the City. If feasible, City employees will visit facilities operated by Responders.

All RFO submission materials become the property of the City of New York and Parks. RFO submission material will generally be made available for inspection and copying by interested parties upon written request, except when exempted from disclosure under the New York State Freedom of Information Law.

Parks is subject to the New York State Freedom of Information Law, which governs the process for the public disclosure of certain records maintained by Parks. (See Public Officers Law, Sections 87 and 89.) Individuals or firms that submit offers to Parks may request that Parks except all or part of such an offer from public disclosure, on the grounds that the offer contains trade secrets, proprictary information, or that the information, if disclosed, would cause substantial injury to the competitive position of the individual or firm submitting the information. Such exception may extend to information contained in the request itself, if public disclosure would defeat the purpose for which the exception is sought. The request for such an exception must be in writing and state, in detail, the specific reasons for the requested exception. It must also specify the offer or portions thereof for which the exception is requested.

If Parks grants the request for exception from disclosure, Parks shall keep such offer or portions thereof in secure facilities.

Parks shall not be liable for any costs incurred by offerors in the preparation of offers or for any work performed in connection therein.

The New York City Comptroller is charged with the audit of concession agreements in New York City. Any person or entity that believes that there has been unfairness, favoritism or impropriety in the RFO process should inform the Comptroller's Office of Contract Administration, 1 Centre Street, Room 835, New York, New York 10007. This office may be reached at (212) 669-2323

\section*{Contact Information}

All RFO questions and/or inquiries should be directed to Joel Metlen, Deputy Director of Concessions, who may be reached at (212) 360-1397 or at joel.metlen@parks.myc.gov. Site visits may also be arranged, by appointment.
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\hline & & & \\
\hline & & 029'68'9 & \\
\hline 680'689 & 981'09 & gl2'689 & 0 \\
\hline \$LC'699'z & *18'62l & 888'68L'7 & \\
\hline E99'866'E & 000'081 & ع99'8LE' \(\%\) & \\
\hline sels| fuuns & ө|ppappe가 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{ \\ Onpeups weuked poopod dad junour |epiol-qns \\  \\ बाпpaups uo papatea, jou unouly

}




มuemeal.


|zeng 凤i|peg dunn
(O77 uenoues syew dunı Mou) 077 ueeqquer dunn \(\perp\)

\(\overline{\text { seea Poljen }}\)


ELOZ'OE ounf josy

dunnı 1 P pevoo

EXHIBIT XX
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eley dec

000＇008＇88气 \(\overline{\text { 000＇008＇88\＆}}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 26¢＇68L＇8t¢ & \(\bigcirc\) \\
\hline  & \\
\hline 191＇ELl＇6 & \(\sqrt{1}\) \\
\hline E8E＇ \(1866^{\prime}\) ， & \(\downarrow\) \\
\hline qzo＇008s 000＇00t & \\
\hline －80＇008s 0to＇6t9 & \\
\hline －80＇008\％¢te＇788 & \\
\hline toc＇tol＇ll & \(\sqrt{1}\) \\
\hline 20008t 000＇009 & \\
\hline 20＇008t toc＇tog＇ol & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

8｜e｜jubu｜f \(1 \mathrm{~A}_{\text {d }}\)
onlen
әjey deo
ION
OSuedxe jues．puno．jo
｜өриеу


मovsul｜W
tIOZ／ELOZ Hea puno．j9：sse7
өuovul｜｜evuey


จlozikioz Iof enp өuroulu｜epuey
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline IS9＇zz9＇288 \\
\hline \％Ot6＇2 \\
\hline EOL＇99t＇8 \\
\hline 688＇988＇। \\
\hline 000＇00t \\
\hline Stz＇0c9 \\
\hline カtg＇998 \\
\hline 266＇2tciol \\
\hline 000＇009 \\
\hline てL＇¢88 \\
\hline 08＇696＇8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\overline{\text { FLOZIOES }} \quad \overline{\text { ELOZIOE19 }}\)
en｜en
әjey deo
ION
өsuedxa pue．puno．
｜өриеу



मoरुप्｜W
 8uosul｜

quow／ZL＇E88s © ELOZ बunp


tloz＇os aunf 10 s \(\forall\)
 dunis \(\uparrow\) plevoog









\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 000'001'0s9 & 000'001'osg & 000'001'08s &  \\
\hline & 289'180'099 & & өпा¢ \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{15}{*}{}} & & gepey deg \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & & Gtr'69'0¢9 & өnen \\
\hline & & \%/E't & giey dey \\
\hline & & 008'zlizz & ION \\
\hline & & (000'089'07) & sessudx \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline & &  & \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & & 000000'l &  \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & & O08'ULI'G &  \\
\hline & & 000'186'98 & cosds pewel-wuoul \\
\hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
thoz'os aunf to sy
uop:|puog |epueu |s to fueweate
dund 1 P pevoo
o


Trump Park Avenue
Valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold residential units and the selling price
or the rental income stream to be derived from the commercial space.
Unsold units (per email from TIR Sales office 8/12/2014)
Unsold units (per Kathy Kaye 8/2013)

Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition
As of June 30, 2014
As of June 30, 2014
\begin{tabular}{cr}
\(6 / 30 / 2013\) & \(6 / 30 / 2014\) \\
140,643 & 140,643 \\
\hline \(1,687,716 \boldsymbol{5}\) & \(1,687,716\) \\
10 & 10 \\
\hline \(16,877,160 \quad\) & \(16,877,160\) \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}

ио \(\exists 8- \pm\) to
： B ION
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ell＇z98＇Sot & Lとて＇6tliO6t \\
\hline vecooss（LOG＇zLG＇ LE） & （ \(\left.¢ 966^{\prime} 86 G^{\prime} L \varepsilon\right)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Oc9＇tec＇zto &  \\
\hline 000＇008＇gl & 000＇008＇gl \\
\hline 0c9＇tci＇grt & dT かん＇886＇019 \\
\hline 191＇8Eと＇86 & § LU＇レレ6゙Ll \\
\hline 698＇G6L＇LZE & df \(290^{\prime} \angle 80 '\)＇68 \\
\hline 000＇9ZL & 000＇g2L \\
\hline \(000 \cdot 880^{\prime} \dagger\) & \(000 \cdot 880^{\prime} \dagger\) \\
\hline 000＇998＇El & 000＇998＇El \\
\hline 698＇GLl＇ 60 E &  \\
\hline 90.0088 18．81 & 18＇81 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

ELI＇S06＇6l
\(\overline{\overline{\varepsilon l Z^{\prime} G 06^{\prime} 61}}\)
\(\begin{aligned} & \text { U＇Z } \\ & 000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} Z 7\end{aligned}\)
\(\bar{工}\)


әn｜en
S！！sodəo dequəW ：SSə7


 seueqes yoeeq to uo！，jon．，suos moon｜｜eg puedg to uop，jnnifsuos

ejoe aed enich
des｜endde fuedod funnoo gad ded seive jo requnn



\section*{}
ajo Jed enien
solve jo dequinn soud Buysy
oles ．Io，／inedold

ade jed enlen
sol．je jo ．equinn

solyedoid elqeieduos
enpinpul ue of plos „ \｜en｜en

SㅋIIIIIJfanto
tLOZ＇OE aunf jo sy

dund \(\upharpoonright\) 「 Peviog
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline cso'sII'9b & d7 000'0c6'st \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(90008 \%\) 699'tgl \(000^{\prime}\) LZl 90008\% 68Z'Z92 000'ZLZ

90'008 G02'889'9t
\(\left.000^{\prime} \angle 8 \xi^{\prime} 9\right\rangle\)
\(\overline{\text { Flozioci9 }} \quad \overline{\text { ELOZIOE }}\)

епן
 s.equew woul olqeneosy बn|En |EUOO|ITPV
şassy pexty jo en|en



dund \(\uparrow\) P pevoo
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{9}{*}{166'819} &  & lf 009'29'9Ll & & \\
\hline & 009'88L'LOL & 009'8t'LOL & 009'8tL'LOL & lf \(00 \mathrm{O}^{\prime} 8 \mathrm{t}^{\prime}\) 'LOL \\
\hline & & & 000'00''s & \(000{ }^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\) \\
\hline & & & 00t'6t'cst & 00t'6t''gt \\
\hline & & & 000'866'ZSl & 000'86t 2 CL \\
\hline & & & thocio & ElOEI90 \\
\hline & 100088 \(+966^{\prime} 100^{\prime} 2\) & 000'091'2 & & \\
\hline & 90008\% L86'OEI'EL & 000'tse'zL & & \\
\hline & -70ZOES9 & \(\overline{\text { clozlosi9 }}\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'еә. әицu! sduos uo


L0008\% +99'LOO \(\quad 00010912\)

900084 \(\mathrm{CB6} 0 \mathrm{OL}\) ' EL
\(\overline{\text { DLOZOES9 }}\)
\(\overline{\text { ह1DZDEC9 }}\)

Hold




ssequsu woul qqenepog

spasy pexy !o anen

tloz'OE ann josy

dundı \(\uparrow\) plevood
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline L616gcs'L2l & If 96c'6cL' 61 l & өп|en \\
\hline 8800088 200'LIL' & \(\angle L 9, \angle 80^{\prime}\) &  \\
\hline 800088 SOS'89L' & 8LL'ZLL' &  \\
\hline & & बn|en [EUO)|IPPV \\
\hline 80008\% \(069^{\prime} 689^{\prime} 8 \mathrm{LL}\) & 000'676'96, &  \\
\hline 7loz10819 & ELOZIOE19 &  \\
\hline & & tloz'OE Ounf 10 S \(\forall\) \\
\hline & & uoplpuoj |epueuly to fuemeatis duna P pievor \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'ses.noo jo6 jsow ueut aqgen|en e.ow aje


\author{
119'691
}


tLOZ 'Oc aunf jos sy

dund 1 P Pevog
sess.noo |j06


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(6 \times c^{\prime} 76 \varepsilon^{\prime} 12\) &  & өnlen \\
\hline H10084 76i's6 & 000' 11. &  \\
\hline S91'L6''l' &  & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Gel'tl6't } \\
& \text { Wooss Oct } 28 \varepsilon^{\prime} g l
\end{aligned}
\] & 0008881 ' \(000^{\prime} 196^{\prime} \mathrm{El}\) &  s.assy pextyo onen \\
\hline -70zoes9 & \(\overline{\text { EDOZOEI }}\) &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}






\title{

}
spossy pox:y foonen


\section*{tLOZ 'OE ounf 10 osy \\  \\ duma \(\Gamma^{〔}\) plevoog}
2t9'069'\&LZ
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\hline \(000^{\circ} 06 \varepsilon^{\prime} 6 \varepsilon L\) & ل \\
\hline \(000^{\circ} 009^{\circ} \angle 6\) & \(\ddots\)
\end{tabular}
-810088 \(000^{\circ} 068^{\prime}\) 't
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline 000'000'z91 \\
\hline O00'000'0el \\
\hline 000'099't \\
\hline 000'000'z\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}






(plos siol 6 ) 99 of peonpes siol GL*

\%OE © © R
slassy pox:y fo enien

thoz' CE gunf , 10 sy

dund 1 「 Peveo





 dunı 1 「 plevoo
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{688'099'961} & 810088 648'099'gl & VN \\
\hline & 41.0087 68'L68't9 & VIN \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{181'9pl'80} & Lel'gsoiLLZ & 000'00' 2 Ll \\
\hline & 181'St8'LLZ & \\
\hline & & 000 '00't 000'009'6l 000'000'Og \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{000's/c'g} & 000'gc's & \(\forall \mathbb{N}\) \\
\hline & 7LOCI90 & \(\overline{\text { Eloci90 }}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Total Values } \\
& \text { Per financlals }
\end{aligned}
\]
Per financlals
Per financlals


TIHT - New York City (Commercial space)
Per financlals
Valuation is based on appraisal done in June 2006 for
UBS financing ( \(\$ 16.2 \mathrm{mil}\) ) + fees to be earned under the management contract.

\(\overline{\varepsilon 10 Z / 0 \varepsilon / 9}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 000'000' 62 & IT \(0000^{\prime} 00{ }^{\prime} 162\) \\
\hline \[
\overline{000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} 0 \varepsilon}
\] & 000'000'08 \\
\hline 000'000'191 & \(\bigcirc 000 \cdot 00{ }^{\prime} 191\) \\
\hline L \(000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \varepsilon z\) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IT } 000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \varepsilon z
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \mathrm{Zl} \\
& 0000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \mathrm{E}
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 0000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \mathrm{ZL} \\
& 000 ' 000^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Total value


\section*{6/30/2014-Per telephone conversation with Eric Trump (9/12/2014)}

6/30/2013-Per telephone conversation with Eric Trump (8/20/2013) New Castle-land to be donated
North Castle-land to be used as part of Main Mansion Nand



Trump Old Post Office
DJT Capital contributions since inception
Less:Cash balance as of \(6 / 30 / 2014\)
Net amout
Total value
Per financlals
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Boled dey


SEXnINEA LNOO ONV SdIHSEENLEYX
\$LOZ 'OE aunf 10 s \(\$\)
 dund 1 P Pevoog

TIHT - Las Vegas

Mlss Unlverse
Per financlals

> Per email received from Larry Parra (VP-CFO of Miss Universe Organization)
\(\quad\) based on Goodwill of entity
DJT ownership percentage
Value to DJT
> Valuation is based on SEC filings done by the casinos

8
\(\stackrel{8}{8}\)
\(\stackrel{8}{8}\)
N

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 6/30/2013 & 6/30/2014 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6,768,000} & 570,000 \\
\hline & \\
\hline & 149,000 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{6,000,000} & \\
\hline & 3,000,000 \\
\hline 800,000 & 149,000 \\
\hline 13,568,000 【 \(\dagger\) & 3,868,000 \\
\hline 2,500,000 & 2,500,000 \\
\hline 2,000,000 & 2,000,000 \\
\hline 1,050,000 & 1,050,000 \\
\hline 1,500,000 & 1,500,000 \\
\hline 2,000,000 & 2,000,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
8 \\
\hline 8 \\
0 \\
7 \\
\multirow{2}{*}{} \\
6
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(15,439,000\)

\(10,573,233\)

10,573,233
Per financlals
Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition
As of June 30, 2014

\section*{\begin{tabular}{rrr}
\(\underline{6 / 30 / 2013}\) & \(\underline{6 / 30 / 2014}\) \\
\(52,000,000\) & \(52,000,000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}}
\(000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \mathrm{Zs}\)
000'909' 1
000'9ZZ'§
\(\begin{array}{ll}5,226,000 & 4800.28 \\ 1,606,000 & 4800.27\end{array}\)
\begin{tabular}{rr}
\(2,766,000\) & \(1,823,548\) \\
\(200,000,000\) & \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
\(9,000,000\) & \(9,000,000\) \\
\(2,750,000\) & \(5,000,000\)
\end{tabular} \(\begin{array}{ll}9,000,000 & 9,000,000 \\ 2,750,000 & 5,000,000\end{array}\)
\(337,000,000 \quad 338,000,000\)

\begin{tabular}{l}
8 \\
\hline 8 \\
8 \\
\hline 8 \\
0 \\
0 \\
on
\end{tabular}



EXHIBIT YY
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 000'00' \({ }^{\prime}\) & 000'00' \(¢ 8\) \\
\hline 2st'cso \({ }^{\prime}\) & Lt巾' \(¢ 2 L^{\prime}\) ' \(¢\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{oub}
\(\overline{\text { O00'OO\&'Z0\& } \quad 000^{\prime} 00 \varepsilon^{\prime} 76 \mathrm{l}}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline LOE'Gz8'Z0¢ & ¢tg'sLz'zol & 10006 \\
\hline 710210819 & \(\overline{91020819}\) & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

다ㅂㅐㅡ를
ənpeuss \(ә\) es
SIISOdEO ENXESEY ONV MOYOSE
s|epueun \({ }^{1}\) d

\section*{}

SAIIIONOS TTEVIEXEWW ONY HSTO

S10Z 'OE aunf , JOSY
uoppuog |epueung jo !ueuonens
dunnı 1 「 peuog





शघey des
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{000 0 O00 202} & 000'006'088 \\
\hline & 999'9666088 \\
\hline & 996'Stz \\
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 000^{\prime} 068 \\
& 000^{\prime} 00{ }^{\prime} G L L^{\prime} \text { L }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


oti'll
OOG'SOL
009'9+'2
000' \(\varepsilon 88^{\prime}\) z

> t10ZIOES9

910ZIOEF9

ब)
100088
gepy deo
anlen
gipey deg
ION


s|epueubl ded

ION
ds106\$ \(\times 980\) ' \(100 \mid\) puzz





बMOI dunn

SEIIPEdOYd SNIFYEdO ONY TVEY
Gloz 'OE aunf josy
บоп!puog |epueu|n to fuewopens
dunn_ ¢ P peuog











शुल्व dES
000'008'988 000'00'999
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline 26t'68L'8t¢ \\
\hline \%089\% \\
\hline 191'ELL'6 \\
\hline ع88'166'। \\
\hline 000'00\% \\
\hline 050'6t9 \\
\hline Efe't88 \\
\hline pts'tol'Ll \\
\hline 000'009 \\
\hline prs'pog'ol \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\section*{\(\overline{7102089}\) \\ \(\overline{910 z 1089}\)}

\author{

}





＇sяен ом，әsequ，




OOO＇OOL＇OSS OOO OOt＇SEL
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 289＇180＇099 & 09t＇88＇GEL（ \({ }^{\text {d }}\) & & өпle＾ \\
\hline \％¢¢＇t & \％6で边 & & apey deg \\
\hline \multirow[t]{5}{*}{grs＇ \(188^{\prime}\) ¢} & 088＇t61＇tc 成 & & ION \\
\hline & 96t＇200＇l & &  \\
\hline & 986＇168 & &  \\
\hline & 000＇g99＇\(\varepsilon\) & &  \\
\hline & 008＇69＇81 & 880088 &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\＆｜｜］

өn｜en
शepy deg
ION
จoeds ，uever－owooul｜evoupl｜py



GLOZ＇OE aunt josy

dunn 1 「 plevoo



Microsoft Confidentlal


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ceo'shr'gp &  & өn|en \\
\hline 699't9\% & Ltot 121 &  \\
\hline \(682 ' 798\) & 988'0ヶて &  \\
\hline & & enlen [UOO!PPP \\
\hline 902'889'gt & \(19 \psi^{\prime} 6966^{\prime}\) ' \({ }^{\prime}\) & gıossy pexy foonien \\
\hline 71027089 & 91071089 &  \\
\hline & 909088 & \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
GLOZ 'O8 eunn jos \\
 dunuı 1 pevoon
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Wri'188'9L1 & 06L'66t'9Ll & & & өn|en \\
\hline & & & &  \\
\hline & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
cene eut ul sdwos uo \\

\end{tabular} \\
\hline 009'8tı'101 & 009'8tr \(L^{\prime} 106\) & 009'8pl'LOL &  & H01d \\
\hline & & 000'00'G & 000'00's &  \\
\hline & & 00t'6tL'St & 00t'6tL'ct e &  \\
\hline & & 000'86\%'z91 & 000'86\%'ZS1 &  \\
\hline & & & &  \\
\hline & & thosi90 & Sl/OE90 & \\
\hline \$ \(96^{\prime} 100{ }^{\prime}\) & \& \(266^{\prime}+1 \varepsilon^{\prime}\), & & & s.equew woul eqeenopy \\
\hline L86'OE1'EL & Llて'OEt'EL & & & spossy pexity jo onen \\
\hline 71021089 & \(\overline{910 z 1089}\) 20008\% & & & IOUEN \\
\hline & & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
gIOZ 'OE aun jo sy \\
 dunuı 1 pevoo
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline L61699'121 &  & & өn|en \\
\hline 200'14,' & 218'988 & \(88008 \%\) &  \\
\hline 909'89L', & 2L9'EOI' & 880088 &  \\
\hline 069'689'81/ & 188'888'66 & 880088 & spassy poxity 0 anlen \\
\hline 71020889 & 9107089 & &  \\
\hline & & & \begin{tabular}{l}
SLOZ 'OE OUn! 10 SH \\
 dunuı 1 pevoon
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline H66'6L0'z9 & 909'699'gs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 286'299 & 820'L69 & \({ }^{80} 0088\) &  \\
\hline 000'Ot & 009'87 & 80 0088 &  \\
\hline & & & อпाen (euouppp \\
\hline
\end{tabular}




\(\qquad\)
0zi \(166^{2}\) 't 9 8088999

anjen


 spossy pextyo onien



9LOZ 'O8 aunt jo sy
 dunal 「 pevoog


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 6LE'26E'LZ & \(881^{\prime} 980^{\prime} 02\) & & өп|еィ \\
\hline 0 & 0 & &  \\
\hline t6i's6 & \(\varepsilon L^{\prime} 97 \varepsilon\) & 14008 &  \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & 000'002 & &  \\
\hline 981'L6''LI & cz9'8cs'6l & & \\
\hline & 919'8ts'z & &  \\
\hline 9el'tris't & & &  \\
\hline Ost'z8i'gh & 601'066'91 & \(14008 \%\) & spossy pexty foenien \\
\hline 71020819 & 910z1089 & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

'sesinoo





＇s，oo se plos eq．abuoo ou uee pue uuewesea




\begin{tabular}{l}
\hline \(000^{\prime} 06 \varepsilon^{\prime} 68 \downarrow\) \\
\hline \(000^{\prime} 09^{\prime} \angle 6\) \\
\(000^{\prime} 068^{\prime} \mid \downarrow\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 000＇ \(960^{\prime}\)＇88 & \\
\hline & ectiost \\
\hline 000＇ost＇og & \\
\hline 000＇0LL＇82 & \\
\hline 000＇g28＇t & \＆10088 \\
\hline 0 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 2tg＇008＇\％L & 968＇919＇g9 & 原 \\
\hline & 289＇t8E＇L & 8 \\
\hline 20¢＇9pliLl & & \\
\hline ote＇tgl＇Lg & \＆lて＇IEZ＇6¢ & 9¢10088 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

 Ino pould－si，0 əqqu｜n解


s．0｜ \(9 L_{*}\)





gioz＇ 08 aun jo sy

dunuı 1 pleuog
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 699'GLE'9l & 9ft'gz'9 [ & өn|e^ \\
\hline 191'18 & 0 &  \\
\hline \(96 r^{\prime}\) Oz', & 26L'8l' \({ }^{\prime}\), &  \\
\hline & & enlen |euonppy \\
\hline 210'z60'gh &  & \\
\hline & 80才'L96'L &  \\
\hline 2LL'Z8t' & &  \\
\hline \(0 \mathrm{ot}^{\prime} 609 \mathrm{ll}\) & 1986 '60' \(\%\) & spossy pexty 10 onlen \\
\hline 710890 & 910890 &  \\
\hline & H1008 & \\
\hline & & \begin{tabular}{l}
GLOZ'OE Oun Jo jos \\
 dunul \(\uparrow\) plevoog
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'sesinoo yo6



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 9tr 2995 ＇Sct & \＄EG9＇geg＇lze & （1） \\
\hline & 060＇910＇292 & Q \\
\hline \(\forall \mathbb{N}\) & （zzo＇tgL＇9g） & Q \\
\hline D¢¢＇C6\％＇198 & \＄ZH＇OLL＇ES & Q \\
\hline \＄80＇， & zELG＇ & \\
\hline 000＇091＇20］ & 000＇091＇2123 & 局 \\
\hline 000＇016＇L003 & \(000{ }^{\prime} 0166^{\prime} 1023\) & 8 \\
\hline 009＇z & 009＇2 & \\
\hline 中91＇683 & 中91＇883 & \\
\hline 000＇092＇t3 & 000＇OSt＇t3 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

s．e｜loc Sn บ！en｜en
OE9 pien uolsanuog

p｜ng op sowouljo dequnn
 ＇suowul｜siad Kq pue｜







a．je aed anlen
 spowed puep snouren 10 oupld uop｜yninboy
｜entuoppsey
｜0，0 OH
（z）ses．noo｜log

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 009 & \(98 z^{\prime} 1\) & \\
\hline \(2 \downarrow 2\) & 009 & cis \\
\hline 8 & 02 & \％ \\
\hline Og2 & 919 & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline C9t＇0L＇09 & 展\＄ & \\
\hline \(66^{\prime}\)＇Ll＇\({ }^{\prime}\)＇\({ }^{\prime}\) & 8 & \\
\hline t99＇269＇9力 & 㰾 8 & \\
\hline ［4098＇Ez＇\({ }^{\text {a }}\) ） & \＄ & \\
\hline ャて＇919＇IG & 88 & \\
\hline 2ELG＇ & &  \\
\hline ¢68＇608＇z¢ & 共了 & \\
\hline 991＇66＇＇， & 3 & \\
\hline 8zL＇8lg＇｜反 & 3 & 6100886 10088 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


G10Z＇08 ounf jo sy uoupuoo｜epueuty jo uawazels
\[
\text { dunnı } \upharpoonright \text { р pevood }
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 000＇00＇ \(600^{\prime} 2\) & 000＇00＇＇L8＇1 & S｜epueut \(\mathrm{IA}_{\text {d }}\) \\
\hline ¢¢9＇z88＇600＇z & Oza＇Lz＇cıa＇ & sen｜en［1ㅏㅇㅣ \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 648＇099＇96 & \(969^{\prime} 08 C^{\prime} 02\) & \＄ & өn｜en \\
\hline & 989＇tof＇Oz & （0） &  \\
\hline & czzl＇ &  & Gloziocig pien uolsianuo \\
\hline & 988＇ \(600^{\prime} 813\) & 展 & \\
\hline & 2EL＇888＇। & 3 &  \\
\hline & 698＇8g9＇। & 3 &  \\
\hline & c88＇6tg＇tb & 3 81008881008\％ &  \\
\hline 668＇099＇96 & & & əpud eseypund \\
\hline & & & tILOZ Nenuapa peselpjnd \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Gloz＇OE ounf jo sy

dunı」「 「ןeuog

1206 ed
ャ2OZILLE




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 000＇OSz＇to & 000＇trc＇9t \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 000＇Og＇ 8

\section*{000 \(000^{\prime}\) it \\ \(000^{\prime} 000^{\prime}\) 计 \\ Lroosp}

म10z10819
\(\overline{910 z 10819}\)教
\(\overline{\text { glozori9 }}\)
 ds．jo oequnnulepol
 （ 9／geg didy foenten


\section*{}
＇sulun do－00 posun ow vulto

बกuvây pillile ezeld dunn

GLOZ＇OE aun jo Sy



ャ2OZILLE
|e|tuap|yuog yosonj|W

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 000'000'z8 & 000'00't' & & \$|epueut Id \\
\hline \(\overline{\varepsilon / 16^{\prime} 996}\) & 009'088'tr & \multirow{3}{*}{27008\%} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{} \\
\hline 000'00z', & 000'09t', & & \\
\hline El| \(180^{\prime} 01\) & \(\forall \mathbb{N}\) & &  \\
\hline & 009'088'22 & &  \\
\hline & \% 00 't & & peled deo \\
\hline & Ozz'E16 & & 2wosu funieadojon \\
\hline & 2L'soz'l & & Sssudxa Bupleado 'ssop \\
\hline & 262'811'\% & 8 crosis & өпиө日өy \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
 \\

\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline 009't29'0z & & & \\
\hline \(\overline{\text { ¢102089 }}\) & \(\overline{910 z 10819}\) & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}




GLOZ 'OE aunf josy uouppuog |epueuty joweme, ws dunnı 1 plevoo
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\grave{n}} \\
\stackrel{N}{n} \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] &  &  & \begin{tabular}{l}
80 \\
80 \\
\hline 80 \\
08 \\
\hline-8 \\
\hline-9
\end{tabular} & 8-1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
6/30/2015

\section*{}
\(301,500,000\)
p20ZILLE
｜e｜tuappuno yosonj｜
veroos










\(12008 \%\)



＇\％̧ pesn әм әnilenesuoo eq oil os



selped deg
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 000＇00＇918 & 000＇000＇966 & & S｜epuxuy ded \\
\hline \(689^{\prime} 1888^{\prime} 918\) & 601＇t969＇960 & & Ircoianien leiod \\
\hline \％ 08 & \％ 08 & & abepuesad dipsiaumo iro \\
\hline  &  & 8＋+ &  \\
\hline 29t＇88E＇881＇Z & \(986^{\prime} 618^{\prime} 980^{\prime} \mathrm{Z}\) & 8 &  \\
\hline （000 \(\left.{ }^{1} 000^{\prime} 0966\right)\) & 1000＇000＇0066） & & 1900： 5887 \\
\hline 297＇88E＇820＇\(\%\) & \(986^{\prime} 618^{\prime} 986^{\prime} \mathrm{C}\) & & əjen des uo poseq anten \\
\hline \％Gz＇¢ &  & & ejed deo \\
\hline 000＇950＇001 & 000＇698＇z6 & &  \\
\hline 000＇009＇t69 & 9で＇OOE＇LLI＇L & \(\forall\) &  \\
\hline （000＇000＇009） & （000＇ \(8888^{\prime} 16 \mathrm{SG}\) ） & & 1900：5887 \\
\hline 000＇009＇760＇L & 9zt＇szz＇gle＇t \％ & & gjen des uo peseg enten \\
\hline \％ \(000^{\circ} \mathrm{g}\) & \％\％0\％ & & jejed deo \\
\hline 000＇08L＇6s & 000＇089＇L9 & &  \\
\hline & & & splpenppe jad ION \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{71027089} & \(\overline{\text { glozoeg }}\) & &  \\
\hline & v2008 & & \\
\hline & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
SEYZINEAINOC ONV SdHISYENIUYX \\
gloz＇ 08 aunf，josy पonpuoo｜epueuby fopuewaers dunair pevoo
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{}

\section*{\(9 \mathbf{C O}^{\circ} 008\)}


Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition
As of June 30, 2015

> Based on the cash flow from unit sales prepared by Ray Flores
> Per financials
\(000^{\prime} 08^{\prime} \mathrm{Vl} \quad 000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \mathrm{yl}\)
\(\frac{\overline{\varepsilon L L^{\prime} ' z 8 L^{\prime} \neq b}}{60009}\)

966 '999'62
\(000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} \mathrm{y}\) 原
\%0009
000'000'8z
710Z1089 \(\quad \overline{910 z 10819}\)

\section*{s|epueuly}

Ifootenlen
e6epuearad diysieumo Iro



Ifocion enen
abepuenad dy ysaumo 1 ro
GLOZ'OL Jequaldas palep youeaby ales pue eseyjnd uo poseq si uoupenien

GLOZ 'OE aunf.jo sy uonpuoo |epueuty fo uewaze|s dunul \({ }^{\text {P pevoon }}\)

\(1806 e_{\mathrm{d}}\)
\(\overline{0000^{\prime} 000^{\prime} 88 \varepsilon \quad 000^{\prime} 009^{\prime} L 99}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline \$09't90'88E & LEC'C99'LC9 \\
\hline 000'00'gh & 000'00'gh \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 000'00'S & 000'se'\% \\
\hline 000'00'6 & 000'OEL'H \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

000'000'002 O00'000'LZE LEOO8t
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 0 & 000'0Lt' & 8800840 & 000'02t' \\
\hline 8p9'Ez8' & 000'Gz8', & 880088 889'cz8'। & 000'Sz8'! \\
\hline 000'909', & 000'r99', & 170088 000'909'। & 000'tc9'! \\
\hline 000'9z''s & 000'gzz's & 8cooss 000'9zz'9 & 000'oz'' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

000'000'z9 000'000'z9

s|epueut iad
 ONLD UOUE Y YHON 608

„uemesee Jed souplds uanes

UTPEWISUIUOISUEW

PME UE800 S 560 .

पpeeg wiled lis sowo imı
Lgouo fe sduo uo peseq-xaddu_










Hendury
(PARU||WOOD) SIESSY YEHIO

G102'08 aunf jo sy
 dunuı 1 p pevoo

HIEOM IEN SEIIIT||ㅋ|TIOL

100089
000'000'Ll \(\quad\) 000'00' 6 l
\(\overline{\text { OfS'ZLO'LL } 96 z^{\prime} 8 L \varepsilon^{\prime} 6 \mathrm{~L}}\) 100019
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 000'00L'6z\& & 000'000'688 & \\
\hline 000'001'88 & 000'008'L & 16008t \\
\hline 000'009'16Z & 000'001'L6Z & 8180088 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SLOZ \({ }^{1}\) OE aunf, jo sy
 dunnı 1 plevood
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{}} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{} \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & \\
\hline & & 0．29＇68＇s \\
\hline 998＇z19 & 981 ＇09 & 250＇699 \\
\hline ¢LG＇699＇z & 中18626l & 888＇68L＇z \\
\hline Obtizz＇ & 000＇081 & Oth＇Tst＇ \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} & \\
\hline O8E＇09L＇61 & \(029^{\prime} 68 z^{\prime} \mathrm{S}\) & 000＇000＇Gz \\
\hline 200＇t16 & عCE＇88 & 0tc＇L66 \\
\hline Hで＇89＇H & 0 & Wて＇189＇H \\
\hline 969＇150＇\＆ & 0 & 969＇， 90 ＇ 8 \\
\hline cotiozt & 606＇68¢ & ULE＇098 \\
\hline E88＇G81 & OOG＇L6Z & 888＇18t \\
\hline 0 & t18＇62k & \＄18＇6Zl \\
\hline 288＇610＇2 & OLC＇S09 & 2げ「zo＇z \\
\hline 886＇68t＇ & 026＇EzO＇ & 86て＇§19\％ \\
\hline 0 & tLG＇6s9＇Z & tLs＇6g9＇z \\
\hline end 200 &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



ขej esuool－poolod opuoun 1 O



｜zedg 凤i｜ey dunn
（ 077 uenoues ssyew dunn \(\lfloor\) mou） 977 ueeqqued dunn （077｜sas｜Aunns sylew dunid mou）Iro
sieor palson
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 dunul 1 p pevoog
Computation of
Net Worth



Computation of
Net Worth

3/17/2024

Computation of
Net Worth

\begin{tabular}{l}
8 \\
\hline 0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\hline 0
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline 988002tiog \\
\hline \%880'7 \\
\hline 中9'illic \\
\hline \(287^{\prime} L 88^{\prime} \mid\) \\
\hline O00'00\% \\
\hline 950'808 \\
\hline L49806 \\
\hline 9sc8 6 bl'll \\
\hline U1E'St \\
\hline 000600 \\
\hline W94609'OL \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\(\overline{910 z 10819} \overline{910 z 10819}\)

ION
osudxap paap punas
\|Ppuver


मुणुण्याW
glozitloz Ive punajg:880






Computato or
Net Worth

\&

Computation of
Net Worth
1
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 000＇009＇c8L & 000＇00＊＇L9E \\
\hline Z \(288 \times 8\) ¢9＇881 & \(\sqrt{51} 099 ' 198 \cdot L 98\) \\
\hline \(000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{BL} 8\) & \(000{ }^{\prime} 618\) \\
\hline عとて＇LL8＇91 & 091＇L28＇91 \\
\hline & 009＇999＇88乙 \\
\hline  & 9102／08／9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Donald J Trump
Statement of Financlal Condilion
As of June 30,2016

\section*{CLUB FACILITIES}

















\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Computaton of } \\
& \text { Not Worth }
\end{aligned}
\]



Computation of
Net Worth
104, 100,000

TIHT - Las Vegas

fec


\(=\)



\begin{tabular}{l}
\(15,439,000\) \\
\\
\hline \(23,013,057\)
\end{tabular}


23,013,0


Computaton of
Not Worth

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{4}{*}{}} & (V) \\
\hline & & 8ZI'206'9 \\
\hline & & 201206's \\
\hline & & 0L1'68'' \\
\hline 988'218 & 981'09 & 290'ceo \\
\hline \$LG'Bs9'Z & 218'62l & 888'68L' \\
\hline Obi'ziz's & 000'086 & Otricot' \\
\hline selsf duuns & ө®рıрпп7: & \\
\hline O8C'092'Bl & If 048'68'g & 000'000'st \\
\hline 200'16 6 & 868'88 & Oft'166 \\
\hline HE'L89'以 & 0 & HE'L89'L \\
\hline 989'L50'\% & 0 & O8S'LCO'S \\
\hline 890'OZ \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & 606'68\% & ULE'098 \\
\hline ¢88'E81 & OOC'18Z & E8C'L8t \\
\hline 0 & +18'62l & *18'62l \\
\hline 278'6.16 & OLC's0g & 2thest \\
\hline 88C'B8t', & 016'Ezo'b & 88'E19\% \\
\hline 0 & tla'ces'z &  \\
\hline Ong evileg &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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EXHIBIT AAA



兵

> 08/30/2017-based on information provided by Mlohael Papaglanopoulos of Cushman \& Wakefield
whlch reflects a cap rate of \(2.00 \%\) for a comparable office bulliding. Tower
Statemenontod finnenme Conillion
REAL AND OPERATING PROPERTIES
income
If III




\section*{
}

4
促

(12,500,000)
Computalion or or
Net Worth


909'92l \(\mid\) 90'92l

89'188'L \(\mid\) 8g's8t'


\section*{นНом IVN} puopananuos

LOC'O8 aunflosy

dunn \(\upharpoonright\) 「 P|BuOD








\section*{}





\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 869'z29'801 & Bgitociczl & өn|en \\
\hline & 6gicos'zal on &  \\
\hline & 808\% & LLOZOEI9 9xal uqudenuo \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline & \$00'ilg't \({ }^{\text {a }}\) &  \\
\hline & 000'L6'18 & 9LOZIIEL-910z/IIL suouppe passe pex \\
\hline & 000'zos'Ll \({ }^{\text {a }}\) &  \\
\hline & 000'L09'1\% &  \\
\hline & &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 \(910 Z 0819\) gid uquandos


 ฐyロump!

Tuequn! dunuı
onlen
(W288s - Wifts) , (squpowgeg


[1.00
*n|"
घ,
MO|y
pelyov uo Bu|l|ewey घiven \(10 \#\)
Moy







\section*{}

\$2001LLI
||ี)


4 HOM M puopanawos

LIOC OS unn posy


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline +00'tot'll & 296'8pt'Ll \\
\hline ons'czt't & 0os'gzt' \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
            088'tu'L 088'tu'L es

                    190e aierbes po.agunn

                                    झun posun |f-90e|ed dunul
                                    Mun \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Beals \\
\hline
\end{tabular}




\section*{}

\(10000 \mathrm{t}^{\prime \prime}\)
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 Heg Hay

 Lex
 ｜ 4 日进 ｜yay
 Hay
 liget
 ｜fay
Ls．eyt ｜fyez
的港

\section*{｜ 1 He}
\(\underset{\text { liget }}{\text { liget }}\)
｜s：

｜f

HEGH

｜sGE\＃
阿运
Hヨゴ
4日ゴ
HFB\＃
HFY\＃
HEY

HFg
WGE\＃
WEA\＃
153
HEB\＃
HEG\＃
HFE\＃
HEG\＃
HFZ
HFE\＃
Hize

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 600＇000＇26 & 000＇008＇6 & & \\
\hline D00＇cgz＇zl & OZL 10086 & & \\
\hline 9 & \(\dagger\) & \multirow[b]{3}{*}{06006} & peryu̧ uo Bu｜u｜pwey aboh jo\＃ \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{081＇69＇\％} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{08169\％＇「} & &  \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline 000＇906＇z & OL8＇LGZ＇L & 1 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{000＇000＇z} & & &  \\
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{000＇000＇l} &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{600＇998} & & & （9107 \\
\hline & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{018＇108} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{8006\％} &  \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{\(\overline{\text { aboziogic }}\)} & \multirow[t]{4}{*}{} & & 81FSEY 4EHIO \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & & &  \\
\hline & & & dwn \(¢\) 「 PPUOC \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



EXHIBIT BBB
\begin{tabular}{c}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Donald J Tuump \\
Statement of Financlal Condilion \\
As of June 30,2018
\end{tabular} \\
CASH AND MARKETABLE SECURITIES
\end{tabular}
Cash and Marketable Securtles-See schedule
Per financlals
ESCROW AND RESERVE DEPOsITs
See schedule
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Donald JTrump
Statement of Financlal Condition
As of June 30, 2018

总
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 06/30/17 & 06/30/18 \\
\hline 39,000,000 & \\
\hline 4.07 & \\
\hline 9,582,310 & \\
\hline 43,700,000 & \\
\hline 0.85 & \\
\hline 51,411,765 & \\
\hline 49,800,000 & \\
\hline 1.61 & \\
\hline 30,993,789 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


fese









\section*{
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 691008 cich & \$ \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{800',} \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\$00' \(2780^{\prime}+63\)} \\
\hline *06\% \(69^{\prime \prime} 8\) & \\
\hline 000'Ll6'le & 3 \\
\hline 000'609\%ll & 3 \\
\hline 000'L29'Lb & 3 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} & 8100\% \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{\text { glgle }}\) & & \\
\hline 9pot'sct'60\% & F & \\
\hline \(9500^{\circ} 18\) & 3 & 81008 \\
\hline 000'sct' & 3 & 81008 \\
\hline 000'll'ic & 3 & 81008 \\
\hline 000'tos'll & 3 & 810004 \\
\hline 000'299'Lb & 3 & 81008 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 8108890 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(\overline{\text { L10890 }}\)} \\
\hline ucizuriog & Ff O99999460\% & \\
\hline & 95999980t \(000000^{\circ} \mathrm{Fbt}\) & \\
\hline urz'zriost 000600'tpo & 4 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lrowe } \\
& \text { Luowe }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline Ož\&blizz & If cilicteck & \\
\hline &  & \\
\hline 0utistizz & & Prowit \\
\hline 840890 &  & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{28titotig} & \multirow{3}{*}{8988815 \({ }^{\prime \prime}\)} & \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Hroest} & &  \\
\hline & & & (LILL) \({ }^{\text {P }}\) &  \\
\hline & & & 8 810z1089 & L102089 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

 IONOD PNOM dunnI

\section*{:13.}
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726' \(1088^{\prime} 682\)
\(726^{\prime} 108^{\prime} 682\)
1288'108 688
1276'108'682
128'tD8'682
t26'608'662
\(728^{\prime}+08^{\prime} 68 \mathrm{Z}\)
t76'08' 687
pz8't08' 882
726'00'66Z
t28't08'88Z
\(\downarrow\) 26' 10 O\(^{\prime}\) '68
\(288^{\prime} 108^{\prime} 88 Z\)
1286'108'682
\$28't08'682
\(72 \sigma^{\prime}+08^{\prime} 662\)
\(728^{\prime} 108^{\prime} 687\)
เ20'608'662
t28't08'68z
128' \(108^{\prime} 682\)
\(788^{\prime}\) '00' 888
226't08'662
t28't01'888
t26't0L'E8Z
tze'tol'c8z
*26't01'688
728'tOL'E8Z
T26't01'88C
\$28't01'c88
te6'tol'c8e
1788't0' 888

t28'tOL'E8Z
D26't01'888
\$28't01'688
T26'TOL'C8Z
t28'tol'sez
\$26't01' 888
\(788^{\prime}\) 'OOL'B8C
中za'tol'c8z
t28't0L'B8 เ26't0L'E8Z
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\section*{ \\ 
}

\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline 726 \\
\hline t26＇to＇ 268 \\
\hline 788＇t09＇188 \\
\hline 128＇60＇ 182 \\
\hline 128＇609＇988 \\
\hline 127＇609＇082 \\
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EXHIBIT CCC

Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011

\section*{CASH AND MARKETABLE SECURITIES}
Cash-See schedule \(\quad 207,488,027\) 4100.01

\section*{Marketable Securities}

Trump Entertainment Resorts
Number of Shares
Price per share Value
Oppenheimer \& Co - brokerage account
U.S. Trust - brokerage account

Baron Funds - mutual funds
The Obsidian Fund - hedge fund
Capital One - US Treasury Bond

\section*{Per financials}

207,488,027 4100.01

535,714
4200.01
\begin{tabular}{r}
535,714 \\
\\
\hline \(\mathbf{y} \quad 32,0615\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

32,967 9,951,997 10,030,443 6,147,734 11,854,918
13,399,792
하 258,905,857

258,900,000

Computation of Net Worth

258,900,000

ESCROW AND RESERVE DEPOSITS
See schedule
4100.01

9,179,615
Per financials

\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Computation of Statement of Financial Condition}

\section*{REAL AND OPERATING PROPERTIES}

Trump Tower
\begin{tabular}{lrrr} 
Income & 4800.01 & \(29,760,000\) \\
Expenses & 4800.01 & \(12,650,000\) \\
\cline { 3 - 3 } & & \(17,110,000\) \\
NOI & & \(3.50 \%\) \\
Cap Rate & & \(488,857,143\) \\
Value & &
\end{tabular}

Per financials
Cap Rate
Information provided by Doug Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield,lnc which reflects a cap rate of \(4 \%\) for office building on Seventh Avenue. Trump Tower is located in a prime location and there were no comps available in our area so a cap rate of \(3.5 \%\) was used for this calculation.

\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011}

Computation of

\section*{Niketown}

Valuation is based on the par value of the bonds which were issued as part of a section 144A Securitization in November 1995. Since bonds are essentially a mortgage on the property, and a lender will not lend \(100 \%\) of the value of the asset, the par value of the bonds is deemed to be \(75 \%\) of the value of the asset. This amount has been increased 6\% per year since the bonds were issued.


Donald J Trump

\section*{Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011}

\section*{40 Wall Street}
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Average Income for the five year period 2013-2017 & \(47,819,400\) \\
Average Expenses for the five year period 2013-2017 & \(21,585,000\) \\
\hline NOI & \(26,234,400\) \\
Cap Rate & \(5.00 \%\) \\
Value & \(524,688,000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The value of 40 Wall Street is based on a cap rate of 5\% -building is ready for occupancy and we can offer lower rentals -buildings under construction will have high construction costs -buildings in mid-town valued at rate cap of 4\%.

Donald J Trump Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011
Donald J Trump
Computation of Statement of Financial Condition Net Worth
As of June 30, 2011

\section*{Trump Park Avenue}

Valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold residential units and the selling price or the rental income stream to be derived from the commercial space.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Unsold units} & & & & 6/30/2011 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{6/30/2010} \\
\hline & & & 4800.03a & 293,122,750 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{292,843,250} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Commercial space Monthly rental income} & 6/30/2011 & 6/30/2010 & & & & \\
\hline & 132,017 & 130,113 & & & & \\
\hline & 4800.03 & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Annualized rental income Cap rate} & 1,584,204 & 1,561,356 & & & & \\
\hline & 10 & 10 & & & & \\
\hline & \% 15,842,040 & 15,613,560 & & 15,842,040 & 15,613,560 & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Mortgage on Supers Apt - 7J (Trf to condo 8/05) Storage rooms} & & & 4800.03 & 1,501,000 & 1,521,000 & \\
\hline & & & 4800.03 & 1,101,000 & 918,000 & \\
\hline & & & & 311,566,790 & 310,895,810 & \\
\hline Per financials & & & & 311,600,000 & 310,900,000 & 311,600,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011}

\section*{CLUB FACILTIES}

The Mar-a-Lago Club
Value if sold to an individual

Comparable properties
Property for sale in Palm Beach
Asking price
06/30/11
06/30/10

Number of acres
Value per acre

Property for sale in Palm Beach
Asking price
Number of acres
Value per acre
Average value per acre [(A + B)/2]

Computation of

Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011
50
50
50
50
50
47

550 memberships available for sale 315 memberships available @ 6/30/11 Current membership asking price \(\$ 150,000\); getting \(\$ 100,000-\$ 150,000\)
\begin{tabular}{rr} 
& 50 \\
& 50 \\
& 50 \\
& 50 \\
297 & 50 \\
& \\
& \\
& 50 \\
& 50 \\
& 50 \\
& 50 \\
\hline & 515 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
non-refundable memberships @ & 125,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 150,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 175,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 200,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 250,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 300,000 \\
& \\
& \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 150,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 175,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 200,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 225,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 250,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 300,000
\end{tabular}

\section*{Additional value}
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
Receivable from members for refundable deposits & \(\mathbf{4 8 0 0 . 1 0}\) & \(\mathbf{2 5 , 0 0 0}\)
\end{tabular}

Receivable from members for food, dues, etc
4800.10

6,250,000
7,500,000
8,750,000
\(10,000,000\)
12,500,000
14,100,000
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline 7,500,000 \\
\hline 8,750,000 \\
\hline 10,000,000 \\
\hline 11,250,000 \\
\hline 12,500,000 \\
\hline 19,500,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Trump National Golf Club - Briarcliff Manor
350 memberships available for sale
67 memberships available @ 6/30/11
Current membership asking price \(\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0 , 0 0 0 ;}\) getting \(\mathbf{\$ 1 5 0 , 0 0 0}\)


Additional value
Receivable from members for refundable deposits

Value of Clubhouse (cost to construct)
Sale of Mid Rise units approved but put on hold

Value

6/30/2011
6/30/2010

Computation of Net Worth

\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition}

As of June 30, 2011
Trump National Golf Club - Bedminster
\(\quad 700\) memberships available for sale
376 memberships available @ \(6 / 30 / 11\)
Current membership asking price \(\$ 125,000\); getting \(\$ 125,000\)

Current membership asking price \(\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5 , 0 0 0}\); getting \(\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5 , 0 0 0}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 125,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 150,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 175,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 200,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 250,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 275,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 300,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 125,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 150,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 175,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 200,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 225,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 250,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 275,000 \\
\hline non-refundable memberships @ & 300,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011}

Trump National Golf Club - Colts Neck 375 memberships available for sale 202 memberships available @ 6/30/11

\section*{Current membership price \(\mathbf{\$ 5 0 , 0 0 0}\)}
non-refundable memberships @ non-refundable memberships @ non-refundable memberships @ non-refundable memberships @ non-refundable memberships @ non-refundable memberships @
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
non-refundable memberships @ & 50,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & \(\mathbf{7 5 , 0 0 0}\) \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 100,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 150,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 175,000 \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
non-refundable memberships @ & \(\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}\) \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 100,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 125,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 150,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & \(\mathbf{1 7 5 , 0 0 0}\)
\end{tabular}

\section*{Additional value}

Receivable from members for refundable deposits \(\quad 4800.13 \quad 445,000 \quad \mathbf{4 , 3 6 0 , 0 0 0}\)
Receivable from members for food,dues, etc

Clubhouse \(=\) purchase price + improvements (per tax return)

\section*{Value}

Computation of

\author{
Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition
}


\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition} As of June 30, 2011

\section*{Trump National Golf Club - Philadelphia}

400 memberships available for sale
118 memberships available @ \(6 / 30 / 11\)
Current membership price \(\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 25 & non-refundable memberships @ & 10,000 & & & 250,000 \\
\hline & 25 & non-refundable memberships @ & 15,000 & & & 375,000 \\
\hline & 50 & non-refundable memberships @ & 20,000 & & & 1,000,000 \\
\hline 163 & 63 & non-refundable memberships @ & 25,000 & & & 1,575,000 \\
\hline & 10 & non-refundable memberships @ & 15,000 & 8 & 150,000 & \\
\hline & 25 & non-refundable memberships @ & 25,000 & \% & 625,000 & \\
\hline & 25 & non-refundable memberships @ & 30,000 & \% & 750,000 & \\
\hline t118 & 58 & non-refundable memberships @ & 35,000 & \% & 2,030,000 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|c|}{Additional value} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Receivable from members for refundable deposits} & & 0 & 370,115 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Receivable from members for food,dues, etc} & & 129,600 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Clubhouse = purchase price (cash + membership deposits)} & & 5,703,237 & 5,703,237 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Value} & tor & 9,387,837 & 9,273,352 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Computation of Net Worth

\section*{Donald J Trump}

Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011

\section*{Trump National Golf Club - Hudson Valley \\ 400 memberships available for sale}

161 memberships available @ 6/30/11
Current membership price \(\mathbf{\$ 1 0 , 0 0 0}\)
\begin{tabular}{rr} 
& 25 \\
& 50 \\
& 50 \\
175 & 50 \\
& \\
& 11 \\
& 50 \\
& 50 \\
161 & 50 \\
4800.15 &
\end{tabular}

50
50 50
non-refundable memberships @ non-refundable memberships @ non-refundable memberships @ non-refundable memberships @
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
non-refundable memberships @ & 10,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 15,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 20,000 \\
non-refundable memberships @ & 25,000
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{10,000} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{15,000} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{20,000} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{25,000} \\
\hline 10,000 & 7 & 110,000 \\
\hline 15,000 & 2 & 750,000 \\
\hline 20,000 & t & 1,000,000 \\
\hline 25,000 & t & 1,250,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Additional value
Receivable from members for refundable deposits
Receivable from members for food,dues, etc
Clubhouse = purchase price (cash + membership deposits)

\section*{Value}

Computation of
\begin{tabular}{rrr}
\(\mathbf{4 8 0 0 . 1 5}\) & 41,450 & \(\mathbf{1 6 6 , 0 3 3}\) \\
\(\mathbf{4 8 0 0 . 1 5}\) & 355,900 & \\
& \(4,235,619\) & \(\mathbf{4 , 2 3 5 , 6 1 9}\) \\
\hline & \(\mathbf{7 , 7 4 2 , 9 6 9}\) & \(\mathbf{7 , 6 5 1 , 6 5 2}\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

250,000
750,000 1,000,000
1,250,000

\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition \\ As of June 30, 2011}

Trump National Golf Club - LA
*75 lots reduced to 72 ( 3 lots sold)
36 units priced out
36 remaining units @ average price of \$4mil
*75 lots reduced to 70 ( 5 lots sold)
2 units priced out (lots 2 \& 5)
1 unit under contract
67 remaining lots @ average price of \(\$ 4.5 \mathrm{mil}\)

Value of clubhouse (equal to loan + improvements)

\section*{Value}
*Although 17 lots have been used for a driving range, we can still convert the lots back to housing.

We are no longer constructing homes. The prices are for lots sales.

Computation of

\(23,800,000\)
\(23,800,000\)
せ 334,100,000 310,200,000
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Donald J Trump \\
Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011
\end{tabular} & & & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Computation of Net Worth} \\
\hline & 6/30/2011 & 6/30/2010 & \\
\hline Trump International Golf Club Scotland & & & \\
\hline Valuation & 160,000,000 & 130,000,000 & \\
\hline Total Values & 1,314,654,820 & 1,222,165,369 & \\
\hline Per financials & 1,314,600,000 & 1,220,000,000 & 1,314,600,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011

\section*{Trump World Tower}

Valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold units and the rental income to be derived from the commercial space.


Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011

100 Central Park South (Trump Parc East)
Valuation is based on the anticipated selling price of unsold units (see schedule).

Unit 12A (sold January 2011)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline Selling Price & & 2,650,000 \\
\hline Number of square feet & & 937 \\
\hline Selling price/square foot & \(\theta\) & 2,828 \\
\hline Unsold square footage in 100 CPS (19 units) & & 13,676 \\
\hline Selling price/square foot (to be conservative) & & 2,500 \\
\hline Value of unsold units & * & 34,190,000 \\
\hline Less:Construction costs to fix up units @ \$150,000/unit & \% & \((2,850,000)\) \\
\hline Net value of unsold units & \(\underline{+}\) & 31,340,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Computation of
Net Worth

\section*{Donald J Trump}

Statement of Financial Condition
As of June 30, 2011

\section*{Trump Plaza at Third Avenue}

Valuation is based on the rental income from the property plus the value of the two unsold co-op units.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 6/30/2011 & 6/30/2010 & & 6/30/2011 & 6/30/2010 & \\
\hline Brownstones, garage, stores & 4800.05 & & & & & \\
\hline Monthly rental income & 215,505 & 247,633 & & & & \\
\hline Annualized rental income & P 2,586,060 & 2,971,596 & & & & \\
\hline Cap rate & 10 & 10 & & & & \\
\hline & & & t & 25,860,600 & 29,715,960 & \\
\hline Apt 36B/C & & & & 2,350,000 & 2,457,000 & \\
\hline Value of Apt 36B/C by Rana Williams & & & & & & \\
\hline & & & t & 28,210,600 & 32,172,960 & \\
\hline Per financials & & & & 28,200,000 & 32,200,000 & 28,200,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition}

Computation of As of June 30, 2011

Trump Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East
Valuation is based on the rental income to be derived from the commercial space.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 4800.06 \\
& \underline{6 / 30 / 2011}
\end{aligned}
\] & 6/30/2010 & & 6/30/2011 & 6/30/2010 & \\
\hline Trump Parc Garage & 34,167 & 34,167 & & & & \\
\hline Stores at 100 CPS & 73,375 & 68,253 & & & & \\
\hline Monthly rental income & \% 107,542 & 102,420 & & & & \\
\hline Annualized rental income & © 1,290,504 & 1,229,040 & & & & \\
\hline Cap rate & 10 & 10 & & & & \\
\hline Value & & & P & 12,905,040 & 12,290,400 & \\
\hline Per financials & & & & 12,900,000 & 12,300,000 & 12,900,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Donald J Trump
Computation of Statement of Financial Condition

\section*{TIHT - New York City (Commercial space)}

Valuation is based on appraisal done in June 2006 for
UBS financing + fees to be eamed under the
management contract.
Trump Corp to receive fee of \(\$ 165,000\) in 2007 increased 4\% each year
Trump International Hotels Management LLC
Based on a 15 year management agreement
Base Fee of \(\$ 250,000\) plus various percentages of revenue
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{6/30/2011} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{6/30/2010} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Per schedule prepared by M. Levchuck - average fee to be received} \\
\hline Average annual fee & & 949,970 & 857,043 & \\
\hline Cap rate & & 10 & 10 & \\
\hline Value & * & 9,499,700 & 8,570,430 & \\
\hline Appraisal from June 2006 (increased 5\% for inflation for 2 years) & & 17,860,500 & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reduced to value in June 2006 appraisal due to change in market} & & & 16,200,000 & \\
\hline & P & 27,360,200 & 24,770,430 & \\
\hline Per financials & & 27,360,000 & 24,700,000 & 27,400,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Donald J Trump}

Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011

\section*{PROPERTIES UNDER DEVELOPMENT}

Westchester, NY - Seven Springs
Valuation is based on the sale of luxury homes net of cost.

Per telephone conversation with Hal Goldman 9/20/2010 and 9/16/2011
New Castle - 2 masions - still in application process
North Castle - 5 mansions - still in application process
Bedford - 7 mansions approved
Selling Price
Cost
Profit
Number of homes
Value
Current selling price of existing structures
Main mansion
None Such Mansion
Total value

Beverly Hills, California
809 North Canon Drive
Valuation based on costs to date
4800.08
per financials
\begin{tabular}{rrr} 
& \(35,000,000\) & \(35,000,000\) \\
& \(12,000,000\) & \(12,000,000\) \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{Z}\) & \(23,000,000\) & \(23,000,000\) \\
& 7 & 7 \\
\hline \(\boldsymbol{F}\) & \(161,000,000\) & \(161,000,000\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rrr} 
& \(70,000,000\) & \(60,000,000\) \\
\(30,000,000\) & \(30,000,000\) \\
\hline \(\mathbf{2}\) & \(261,000,000\) & \(251,000,000\) \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}
6/30/2011 6/30/2010
\(12,250,000\)
\(10,000,000\)

Computation of
Net Worth

\section*{PARTNERSHIPS AND JOINT VENTURES}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & 6/30/2011 & 6/30/2010 \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Partnership with Vornado} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{12/31/10 NOI per audited f/s} \\
\hline 555 California Street - NOI & 4800.09 & 62,403,000 & \\
\hline Value based on a cap rate of 6\% (Value per Vomado \$1.035B) & & 1,040,050,000 & \\
\hline Less: Debt & & \((600,000,000)\) & \\
\hline & & 440,050,000 & \\
\hline & & 30\% & \\
\hline Value to DJT & A & 132,015,000 & \\
\hline 1290 Sixth Avenue - NOI & 4800.09 & 95,193,000 & \\
\hline Value based on a cap rate of 4\% & & 2,379,825,000 & \\
\hline Less: Debt & & \((417,000,000)\) & \\
\hline & & 1,962,825,000 & \\
\hline DJT ownership percentage & & 30\% & \\
\hline & B & 588,847,500 & \\
\hline Total Value to DJT & A+B & 720,862,500 & \\
\hline Per financials & & 720,900,000 & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Cap rates} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{555 based on article about Vornado loan.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{1290 based on information provided by Doug Larson, of Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc, which reflects a cap rate of \(4 \%\) for an office building on Seventh Avenue.}} \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{12/31/09 NOI per audited f/s} \\
\hline 555 California Street - NOI & & & 56,708,000 \\
\hline Value based on a cap rate of 7\% & & & 810,114,286 \\
\hline & & & 30\% \\
\hline Value to DJT & A & & 243,034,286 \\
\hline 1290 Sixth Avenue - NOI & & & 91,864,000 \\
\hline Value based on a cap rate of 6\% & & & 1,531,066,667 \\
\hline DJT ownership percentage & & & 30\% \\
\hline & B & & 459,320,000 \\
\hline Total Value to DJT & A+B & & 702,354,286 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

10/1/2010 - Pursuant to a telephone conversation with
Douglas Larson of Cushman Wakefield the cap rate
for a Midtown Class A office building is \(51 / 2-6 \%\).
We used 6\% to be conservative

\title{
Donald J Trump \\ Computation of \\ Statement of Financial Condition \\ Net Worth As of June 30, 2011
}

Donald J Trump Computation of Statement of Financial Condition

Net Worth As of June 30, 2011

Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011

\section*{Miss Universe}

Valuation is based on SEC filings done by the casinos
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
& \(\underline{6 / 30 / 2011}\) & \(\underline{6 / 30 / 2010}\) \\
Per financials & \(\mathbf{1 5 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}\) & \(15,000,000\) \\
\hline \hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Donald J Trump Statement of Financial Condition \\ As of June 30, 2011}

Computation of Net Worth

\section*{OTHER ASSETS}

\section*{The Apprentice}

Future Revenue - Cycle 10 - Fees due from Bumett (received 7/1/10)
Future Revenue - Cycle 10 - Fees due from Burnett (delivery of final episode)
Future Revenue - Cycle 11 - Fees due from Burnett
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline & 6/30/2011 & 6/30/2010 \\
\hline & & \[
\begin{array}{r}
548,000 \\
562,000 \\
2,193,000
\end{array}
\] \\
\hline 4900.01 & 5,264,000 & \\
\hline 4900.01 & 7,844,000 & \\
\hline & & 3,284,000 \\
\hline & 14,000,000 & \\
\hline & & 14,000,000 \\
\hline & & 5,800,000 \\
\hline & 2,500,000 & 2,500,000 \\
\hline & 2,000,000 & 2,000,000 \\
\hline & 1,050,000 & 1,360,000 \\
\hline & 0 & 1,050,000 \\
\hline & 1,500,000 & 1,500,000 \\
\hline & 2,000,000 & 2,000,000 \\
\hline 2500.01 & 6,498,000 & \\
\hline 2500.01 & 508,000 & \\
\hline 2500.01 & 135,000 & \\
\hline 항 & 43,299,000 & 36,797,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Jet-727
Jet-757 (cost rounded up)

Triplex
Two homes in Palm Beach
Purchase price of \(\$ 2.3\) mil in 1993 plus improvements
Per conversation with Paul Rampell 9/25/08
1094 S Ocean Blvd
124 Woodbridge Drive

\section*{Per financials}

\section*{LICENSE DEALS}

See schedule
Per financials

\section*{LOANS PAYABLE}

Daewoo
NIKE
TOTAL LIABILITIES
NET WORTH
\(3,660,400\)
4,872,429
\(3,700,000 \quad 4,900,000\)

3,500,000
\(2500.01 \quad 36,660,000\)
\(80,000,000\)
\(80,000,000\)
\(\mathbf{9 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0} \quad \mathbf{9 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}\)
\(\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0}, 000 \quad \mathbf{5 , 0 0 0}, 000\)
\begin{tabular}{ccc}
\hline \hline \(184,100,379\) & \(\mathbf{1 3 4 , 2 9 7 , 0 0 0}\) & \\
\hline \(184,100,000\) & \(\mathbf{1 3 4 , 3 0 0 , 0 0 0}\) & \(\mathbf{1 8 4 , 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}\) \\
\hline \(110,000,000\) & \(99,300,000\) & \(110,000,000\) \\
& & \(\mathbf{4 , 5 9 7 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline 3,660,400 & 4,872,429 & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{\((3,700,000)\)} \\
\hline 3,700,000 & 4,900,000 & \\
\hline & & \((258,870,000)\) \\
\hline & & \((19,760,000)\) \\
\hline & & (53,080,000) \\
\hline & & \((335,410,000)\) \\
\hline & & 4,261,590,000 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Donald J Trump \\ Statement of Financial Condition As of June 30, 2011}

\section*{Computation of Note Payable to Daewoo}

Vested Deals

DJT (now Trump Marks Sunny Isles I LLC
Trump Carribean LLC (now Trump Marks Canouan LLC)
Trump Realty Brazil
Trump Lauderdale Development LLC
Trump Phoenix Development LLC
Trump Canadian Svc/Trump Toronto Dev-Dev \& Svc Agreement
DJT Toronto Project - License Fee
Trump Chicago Member and Trump Chicago Managing Member
Trump Chicago Development LLC

Daewoo Allocation
\begin{tabular}{r}
\(2,659,574\) \\
\(2,513,298\) \\
\(2,625,412\) \\
129,814 \\
481,383 \\
860,372 \\
\(3,051,596\) \\
\(11,681,211\) \\
997,340 \\
\hline \(25,000,000\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{r} 
\\
\(3,014,337\) \\
\(2,789,388\) \\
\hline 224,949 \\
\(5,237,095\) \\
\hline \(5,462,044\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Computation of
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Funding of Club Improvement Fund
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Donald J Trump
Statement of Financial Condition
As of June 30, 2012
PROPERTIES UNDER DEVELOPMENT


6/30/2011-Per telephone conversation with Hal Goldman (9/16/2011) New Castle - 2 mansions - still in application process

6/30/2012-Per telephone conversation with Eric Trump (9/24/2012)
North Castle-land to be used as part of Main Mansion
Bedford - 7 mansions approved
Selling Price
Cost
Profit
Profit
Value

## Selling Price

New Castle - 2 mansions - still in application process
North Castie - 5 mansions - still in application proces
809 NORTH CANNON DRIVE MOVE TO OTHER ASSETS-6/30/2011 "Per financlals" amounts not adJusted for removal of 809 N Cannon Drive)
Per financlals
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\text { Donald J Trump } \\
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\end{array} \\
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\text { Cash and Marketable Securtiles-See schedule }
\end{array} \\
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Donald J Trump
Statement of FInenclal Condition
As of June 30, 2018
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F-E: $\begin{gathered}\text { Donald J Trump } \\ \text { Statement of Finandial Condition } \\ \text { As of June } 30,2020\end{gathered}$
Trump National Golf Club - LA
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> B/30/20 Per Avison Young Offloo Leasing Q2 2020 report, 609 Fitth Avenue (49th and 5 th) transected at a $5.00 \%$ oap rate.
Due to strong shopping area in close proximity to Bloomingdales and Bloomberg bulldiling, also more residential area, GNC space rent estlimate provided by retall broker at Cushman and Wakefleld
fec
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FEE
Palace, Trump Parc and Trump Parc East
Valuation is based on the rental income to be derived
from the commercial space.
Trump Parc Garage
Stores at 100 CPS
at 100 CPS
Monthly rental
Par finanelala
Cap Rate of $4.5 \%$ from Phone Call between Douglas Larson of
Newmark and Jeff MoConney 08/13/19
e/30/20 Per Avison Young Offioe Leasing Q2 2020 raport, 609 Flith Avenue transacted at a $5.00 \%$ oap rate.
Due a the stronger location of this assat (more residential, central park, hotelis in the area), we applied a $4.75 \%$ cap rate.
10,800,000
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As of June 30,2021
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Office cap rates between $4.25 \%$ and $4.50 \%$. Glven the property's prime locatlon and major capital renovatlons, we applled a $4.25 \%$ cap rate.
$00 / 30 / 2021-1290$ - the CBRE US Cap Rate Survey FIrst Half 2021 notes New York Cly Class A CBD
Office cap rates between $4.50 \%$ and $4.75 \%$. Glven the property's prime locatlon and major capltal renovatlons, we applled a $4.50 \%$ cap rate.
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| From: | Jeff McConney [jeff.mcconney@trumporg.com] |
| :--- | :--- |
| on behalf of | Jeff McConney [jeff.mcconney@trumporg.com](mailto:jeff.mcconney@trumporg.com) [jeff.mcconney@trumporg.com] |
| Sent: | $5 / 12 / 2022$ 2:54:51 PM |
| To: | Elizabeth Pettijohn [Elizabeth.Pettijohn@whitleypenn.com] |
| Subject: | Fwd: OPO Proceeds Spreadsheet |
| Attachments: | Proceeds to DJT - OPO 05.11.22.xlsx |

--------- Forwarded message $\qquad$
From: Donna Kidder < donna.kidder@trumporg.com>
Date: Wed, May 11, 2022 at 12:20 PM
Subject: Fwd: OPO Proceeds Spreadsheet
To: Jeff McConney [jeff.mcconney@trumporg.com](mailto:jeff.mcconney@trumporg.com)
$\qquad$ Forwarded message
From: Patrick Birney < patrick.birney@trumporg.com>
Date: Wed, May 11, 2022 at 12:10 PM
Subject: Re: OPO Proceeds Spreadsheet
To: Donna Kidder < donna.kidder@trumporg.com>
Cc: Mark Hawthorn [mark.hawthorn@trumphotels.com](mailto:mark.hawthorn@trumphotels.com), Michael Levchuck
[michael.levchuck@trumphotels.com](mailto:michael.levchuck@trumphotels.com)

## Mark/Mike/Donna:

See attached updated spreadsheet. Assuming we get the $\$ 139,404,324$ from the title company today and combine it with the $\$ 3,823$ of interest on the deposits that is already in the bank account, the amount of the distributions should be the amounts below (listed in Row 31 of the 2 nd tab):

- DJT: $\$ 126,828,600$
- DJTJR: $\$ 4,013,024$
- IT: $\$ 4,013,024$
- ET: $\$ 4,013,024$
- Member Corp: \$540,475
- Total: $\$ 139,408,146$

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,
Patrick

$\bigcirc$

On Mon, May 9, 2022 at 8:51 AM Donna Kidder < donna.kidder@trumporg.com> wrote: Ok, thanks.

On Mon, May 9, 2022 at 9:36 AM Patrick Birney [patrick.birney@trumporg.com](mailto:patrick.birney@trumporg.com) wrote:
Based on the fact that we did not close on Friday, the numbers below are obsolete and should not be used. I will send updated numbers later.


TTO_06198474

PATRICK BIRNEY
Assistant Vice President, Financial Operations
725 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10022
P (212) 836-3216 | C (646) 361-3240

On Fri, May 6, 2022 at 2:49 PM Donna Kidder < donna.kidder@trumporg.com> wrote:
Got it, thanks!
On Fri, May 6, 2022 at 3:47 PM Patrick Birney [patrick.birney@trumporg.com](mailto:patrick.birney@trumporg.com) wrote: Mark/Mike/Donna:

See attached spreadsheet. Assuming we get the $\$ 142,064,532$ from the title company today and combine it with the $\$ 3,823$ of interest on the deposits that is already in the bank account, the amount of the distributions should be the amounts below (listed in Row 29 of the 2nd tab):

- DJT: $\$ 128,858,574$
- DJTJR: $\$ 4,214,076$
- IT: $\$ 4,214,076$
- ET: $\$ 4,214,076$
- Member Corp: $\$ 567,552$
- Total: \$142,068,355

Let me know if you have any questions.
Thank you,
Patrick


Assistant Vice President, Financial Operations
725 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10022
P(212) 836-3216 | C (646) 361-3240


TTO_06198478

Assistant Controller
725 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10022
P(212) 715-7225 |

©

Donna Kidder
Assistant Controller
725 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10022
P(212) 715-7225 |

## TRUM <br> 

TTO_061984
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
PX-1373, page 13 of 17

Donna Kidder
Assistant Controller
725 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10022
P(212) 715-7225 |

TTO_061984
HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL

Jeffrey S. McConney
SVP/Controller
725 5th Avenue, New York, NY 10022
P (212) 715-7231 | C (732) 616-7344

## Proceeds to DJT, etal

After additional payments by buyer due to delay in closing- OPO
The following is predicated based on a closing of May 11, 2022
Gross Selling Price

| Less: | Mortgage Repayment |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mortgage Interest - per DB payoff letter (5/1/22 to $5 / 11 / 22$ ) |  |
| Est. Closing Costs |  |
|  | Net Buyer/Seller Adjustments (as of 5/05/22 |
| $\quad$ per MH and ML) |  |
|  | Commission and Final Expenses |

Proceeds before Amounts Received from Buyer in Excess of Purchase Price due to delay in closing by buyer and holdbacks (see below)

Add: Amounts Received from Buyer in Excess of Purchase
Price due to delay in closing by Buyer

| 4/22/22 - one week of operations | $\mathbf{7 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 4/26/22 - liquidated damages | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| 4/29/22 - add'l operating and carrying costs | $\mathbf{1 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |

4/29/22 - add'l operating and carrying costs 5/11/22-4 days of operations

Proceeds before holdbacks (see below)
Due to DJT:

```
Net Equity thru 4/24/22
\(4 \%\) Pref (from inception thru 5/10/22)
```

Net available for distribution before holdbacks (see below)

## Holdbacks

Deposits paid directly to OPO entity (\$11.5M) were partially used as follows:
Less: Amount utilized by property thru 5/01/22 (incl. pymt of April loan interest and May GSA rent)
Amount to be held at property level for post-closing payables

Net available for distribution

DJT Holding
Don
Ivanka
Eric
Trump Old Post Office Member Corp

Total to DJT for distribution
Less: estimated Federal \& DC Income Taxes (Per Whitley) * Net to DJT after Income Taxes
$\$ 375,000,000$

| $(170,000,000)$ |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $(108,375)$ |  |
| $(184,750)$ |  |
|  |  |
| $(2,253,128)$ |  |
| $(945,601)$ | $(173,491,854)$ |
|  |  |

$(108,375)$
$(2,253,128)$
$\qquad$ $(173,491,854)$

$$
\square
$$

$$
201,508,146
$$

94,202,396
20,458,292 $\quad 114,660,688$ (A)
$1,400,000$
400,000 $\frac{3,500,000}{205,008,146}$
$\mathbf{9 0 , 3 4 7 , 4 5 9}$


Sum of (B)s
196,708,146
amounts subject to change
Reconciliation of Proceeds from 05/11/22 Settlement Statement:
Net Balance to Be Distributed after prior distributions and entity holdbacks

139,408,146
Less: Cash to Seller from Settlement Statement
139,404,324
difference

| $139,404,324$ |
| ---: |
| 3,823 |
| 3,823 |

[^28]EXHIBIT III

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, BY LETITIA JAMES, Attorney General of the State of New York,

> Plaintiff,
vs.
DONALD J. TRUMP, DONALD TRUMP, JR., ERIC TRUMP, IVANKA TRUMP, ALLEN WEISSELBERG, JEFFREY MCCONNEY, THE DONALD J. TRUMP REVOCABLE TRUST, THE TRUMP ORGANIZATION, INC., TRUMP ORGANIZATION LLC, DJT HOLDINGS LLC, DJT HOLDINGS MANAGING MEMBER, TRUMP ENDEAVOR 12 LLC, 401 NORTH WABASH VENTURE LLC, TRUMP OLD POST OFFICE LLC, 40 WALL STREET LLC, and SEVEN SPRINGS LLC,

Defendants.

Index No. 452564/2022

## RESPONSE TO PLAINTIEF'S RULE 202.8-g STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

Defendants Donald J. Trump, Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump, Allen Weisselberg, Jeffrey McConney, The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, The Trump Organization, Inc., The Trump Organization, LLC, DJT Holdings LLC, DJT Holdings Managing Member, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, 40 Wall Street LLC, and Seven Springs LLC (collectively, "Defendants") hereby respectfully submit the following response to the facts set forth in Plaintiff's Rule 202.8-g Statement of Material Facts (NYSCEF No. 767) ("Plaintiff's SOF") submitted in support of the Attorney General's ("Plaintiff" or "NYAG") motion for summary judgement (Motion Seq. No. 765) ("Plaintiff"s MSJ"). ${ }^{1}$

[^29]
## PRELIMINARY OBJECTIONS

1. Plaintiff's SOF was supported by transcripts of recorded interviews completed during Plaintiff's investigation and prior to filing the instant Complaint. Defendants object to Plaintiff's use of the investigative transcripts in support of its motion for summary judgment, in large part because of their unreliability due to the coercive nature of the interviews and Defendants' not having the opportunity to cross-examine the declarant. By citing to investigative transcripts in this Response to Plaintiff's SOF, Defendants do not concede their admissibility as Defendants only rely upon the transcripts to rebut Plaintiff's assertions. Further, Defendants reserve all rights to object to the admissibility of these transcripts and all other evidence at trial.
2. On June 27, 2023, the First Department issued a Decision and Order holding that "claims are time barred" as against (a) all Defendants not subject to the tolling agreement dated August 27, 2021 (the "Tolling Agreement"), "if they accrued - that is, the transactions were completed - before February 6, 2016," and (2) "for defendants bound by" the Tolling Agreement, "if they accrued before July 13, 2014." NYSCEF No. 640 at 3. Despite the First Department's holding, Plaintiff's Motion for Summary judgment and Plaintiff's SOF continues to rely upon time barred transactions in support of its claims, including: Doral Loan, Chicago Loan, Seven Springs Loan, Trump Park Avenue Loan, Ferry Point Contract, GSA OPO Bid Selection and Approval, OPO Contract and Lease, 40 Wall Loan (untimely for Defendants not bound by the Tolling Agreement), and the OPO Loan (untimely for Defendants not bound by the Tolling Agreement). Defendants object to Plaintiff's reliance on these transactions in support of its claims as the plain language of the First Department's ruling excludes them from consideration. By responding to Plaintiff's SOF relating to the time-barred transactions, Defendants do not concede their admissibility and reserve all rights to object to the admissibility
of this evidence based on the First Department's unequivocal ruling and to seek other remedies, as appropriate.

## RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' STATEMENT OF MATERIAL FACTS

1. Each year from 2011 through 2021 the Trump Organization prepared an annual Statement of Financial Condition for Donald J. Trump ("Statement" or "SFC").

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Moreover, Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
2. Each Statement contained an assertion of Donald Trump's net worth, as of the date of the statement, based principally on asserted values of particular assets minus outstanding liabilities.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

3. From at least 2011 until 2020, Mr. Trump's Statements were compiled by accounting firm Mazars. (Ex. 1 at -136; Ex. 2 at -313; Ex. 3 at -039; Ex. 4 at -719; Ex. 5 at -693; Ex. 6 at-1983; Ex. 7 at -1841; Ex. 8 at-2724; Ex. 9 at -789; Ex. 10 at -247)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
4. Another accounting firm, Whitley Penn, compiled the June 30, 2021 Statement. (Ex. 11 at -417)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
5. The process for preparing each Statement remained essentially the same throughout the period 2011 through 2021. The asset valuations for the Statements were be prepared by staff at the Trump Organization. For the Statements from 2011 through 2015, Jeffrey

McConney was the Trump Organization employee with primary responsibility for the preparation of the Statements, working under the supervision of Allen Weisselberg. (Ex. 54 at 64:17-70:21). For the 2016 Statement forward, and beginning on or about November 16, 2016, Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney tasked a junior employee, Patrick Birney, with primary responsibility for the preparation of the Statements, working under their supervision. (Ex. 54 at 64:22-65:25)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. It is unclear what "junior employee" means in Paragraph 5. Patrick Birney currently serves as an Assistant Vice President.
6. The valuations, which were calculated in an Excel spreadsheet referred to as "JeffSupportingData" or Jeff's Supporting Data, were forwarded each year to the accounting firm along with supporting documents to be compiled by the accounting firm into a report that would become the SFC in each year. See, e.g., Ex. 12.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

7. From 2011 through 2021 Mazars would generate an annotated version of the supporting spreadsheet linking to the backup support for various assumptions provided by the Trump Organization. (Exs. 13-22).

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Additionally, the final spreadsheet referenced by the NYAG was for information current "As of June 30, 2020" and not
2021.
8. A similar supporting spreadsheet was provided to Whitley Penn for 2021. Ex. 23.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
9. From 2011 through 2015, each SFC stated that "Donald J. Trump is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and for designing, implementing, and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement." (Ex. 1 at -132; Ex. 2 at -309; Ex. 3 at -035; Ex. 4 at -715; Ex. 5 at -689) Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America are also referred to as "GAAP." (See, e.g., Ex. 4 at -719)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

10. From 2016 through 2020 each SFC stated that "The Trustees of The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended, on behalf of Donald J. Trump are responsible for the accompanying statement of financial condition and the related notes to the financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America." (Ex. 6 at -1981; Ex. 7 at -1841; Ex. 8 at -2724; Ex. 9 at -789)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

11. In 2020 and 2021 the SFC stated that "The Trustee[s] of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended, on behalf of Donald J. Trump are responsible for the accompanying personal financial statement, which comprises the statement of financial condition . . . and the related notes to the financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America." (Ex. 10 at -246; Ex. 11 at -416).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
12. Each year from 2011 through 2021, the SFC included a "Note 1 " entitled "Basis of Presentation" that read: "Assets are stated at their estimated current values and liabilities at their estimated current amounts." (Ex. 1 at -133; Ex. 2 at -310; Ex. 3 at -036; Ex. 4 at -716; Ex. 5 at -690; Ex. 6 at -1985; Ex. 7 at -1844; Ex. 8 at -2727; Ex. 9 at -792; Ex. 10 at -250; Ex. 11 at 420).

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

13. Mazars entered into an engagement letter with the Trump Organization each year between 2011 and 2020 concerning the preparation of the SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

14. In 2011 the engagement letter with Mazars noted: "The objective of a compilation is to present in the form of financial statements, information that is the representation of management without undertaking to express any assurance on the financial statements." (Ex. 24 at -3112) The engagement letter further identified five specific "departures from generally accepted accounting principles" that would be disclosed in the report. (Ex. 24 at -3113)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The engagement letters identify certain GAAP departures "expected" to be included in SOFCs, it did not identify what actually would be disclosed in the SOFCs, or purport to be an exhaustive list of GAAP departures in the SOFCs.
15. Between 2012 and 2015 the engagement letter with Mazars noted: "The objective of a compilation is to assist you in presenting financial information in the form of financial statements. We will utilize information that is your representation without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for the statements to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America." (Ex. 25 at -3390; Ex. 26 - 012; Ex. 27 at -

308; Ex. 28 at -618) The engagement letters further identified the specific "departures from generally accepted accounting principles" that would be disclosed in the report. (Ex. 25 at -3391; Ex. 26 - 012; Ex. 27 at -309; Ex. 28 at -619) Under "Management Responsibilities" the engagement letters noted that among other things, the Trump Organization was responsible for: (i) "the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America," (ii) "designing, implementing, and maintaining internal controls relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements," (iii) "the selection and application of accounting principles," and (iv) "making all financial records and related information available to us and for the accuracy and completeness of that information." (Ex. 25 at -3392; Ex. 26-013; Ex. 27 at -310; Ex. 28 at 620)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The engagement letters identify certain GAAP departures "expected" to be included in SOFCs, it did not identify what actually would be disclosed in the SOFCs, or purport to be an exhaustive list of GAAP departures in the SOFCs.
16. Between 2016 and 2020 the engagement letters with Mazars noted that the objective of the engagement was to "prepare the financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America based on information provided by you," and "apply accounting and financial reporting expertise to assist you in the presentation of the financial statement without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statement in order for it to be in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America." (Ex. 29 at-1256; Ex. 30 - 1798; Ex. 31 at -2672; Ex. 32 at-1733; Ex. 33 at - 2191)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

17. The engagement letters from 2016 through 2020 further identified the specific
departures from GAAP that would be disclosed in the SFCs. (Ex. 29 at -1257; Ex. $30-1799$; Ex. 31 at -2673; Ex. 32 at -1733-34; Ex. 33 at - 2191-92)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The engagement letters identify certain GAAP departures "expected" to be included in SOFCs, they did not identify what actually would be disclosed in the SOFCs, or purport to be an exhaustive list of GAAP departures in the SOFCs.
18. The engagement letters from 2016 through 2020 contained a section entitled "Your Responsibilities" that noted, among other things, the Trump Organization was responsible for: (i) "The selection of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as the financial reporting framework to be applied in the preparation of the financial statement," (ii) "The preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the inclusion of all informative disclosures that are appropriate for accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America," (iii) "The accuracy and completeness of the records, documents, explanations, and other information, including significant judgments, you provide to us for the engagement," and (iv) providing Mazars with "access to all information of which you are aware is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement." (Ex. 29 at -125758; Ex. 30 - 1799-1800; Ex. 31 at -2673-74; Ex. 32 at -1734; Ex. 33 at - 2192-93)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

19. On May 18, 2021 Mazars notified the Trump Organization that the firm was "resigning from all engagements with the Trump Organization and related entities." (Ex. 217) Subsequently on February 9, 2022, Mazars further informed the Trump Organization that the SFCs for the years 2011 to 2020 "should no longer be relied upon." (Ex. 218)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity

Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed.
20. Thereafter, Whitley Penn entered into an engagement letter with the Trump Organization in 2021 concerning the preparation of the SFC. The 2021 engagement letter with Whitely Penn stated that the objective of the engagement was to "Prepare financial statements in accordance with GAAP based on information provided by you," and "Apply accounting and financial reporting expertise to assist you in the presentation of financial statements without undertaking to obtain or provide any assurance that there are no material modifications that should be made to the financial statements in order for them to be in accordance with GAAP." (Ex. 33 at -460)

RESPONSE: Undisputed to the extent Plaintiff intended to rely on Exhibit 34, otherwise disputed.
21. Under a section entitled "Your Responsibilities" the 2021 engagement letter with Whitley Penn noted that among other things, the Trump Organization was responsible for: (i) "The selection of GAAP as the financial reporting framework to be applied in the preparation of the financial statement," (ii) "The preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with GAAP and the inclusion of all informative disclosures that are appropriate for GAAP," (iii) "The accuracy and completeness of the records, documents, explanations, and other information, including significant judgments, you provide to us for the engagement," and (iv) providing Whitley Penn with "Access to all information of which you are aware is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement." (Ex. 33 at -461)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to
specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed.
22. Each year, from 2011 through 2020 the Trump Organization would send Mazars a representation letter concerning the preparation of the SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

23. From 2011 through 2014 the representation letter the Trump Organization to Mazars stated, among other things, that:
a. The Statement referred to above is fairly presented in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. All assets are presented at their estimated current values and all liabilities are presented at their estimated current amounts which have been determined in accordance with guidelines promulgated by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants except to the extent noted in the Accountants' Compilation Report which was annexed to the Statement. (Ex. 35 at -3117; Ex. 36 at -3397; Ex. 37 at -020; Ex. 38 at -316)
b. There are no material transactions that have not been properly recorded in the accounting work papers underlying the Statement other than those exceptions from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America that are noted in the Accountants' Compilation Report. (Ex. 35 at -3117; Ex. 36 at -3397; Ex. 37 at -020; Ex. 38 at -316)
c. We have no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying amounts or classification of assets and liabilities other than those noted in the accounting work papers underlying the Statement. (Ex. 35 at -3117; Ex. 36 at - 3397; Ex. 37 at -020; Ex. 38 at -316)
d. There are no other material liabilities or gain or loss contingencies that are required to
be accrued or disclosed by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America other than guarantees that may exist relating to whose omission has been noted to in the Accountants' Compilation Report. (Ex. 35 at -3118; Ex. 36 at 3398; Ex. 37 at -021; Ex. 38 at -317)
e. We believe that the carrying amounts of all material assets will be recoverable over a reasonable period. (Ex. 35 at -3118; Ex. 36 at -3398; Ex. 37 at -021; Ex. 38 at - 317 )
f. Mr. Trump has satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets, or has any asset been pledged as collateral other than those noted in the Statement. (Ex. 35 at -3118; Ex. 36 at -3398; Ex. 37 at 021; Ex. 38 at -317)
g. Related party transactions, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers, leasing arrangements, and guarantees, and amounts receivable from or payable to related parties have been properly recorded. (Ex. 35 at -3118; Ex. 36 at -3398; Ex. 37 at -021; Ex. 38 at -317)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The letters state that related party transactions have been either properly "recorded or disclosed[,]" not merely recorded.
24. In 2015 the representation letter from the Trump Organization to Mazars stated, among other things, that:
a. We confirm that we are responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the statement of financial condition in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the selection and application of accounting policies. (Ex. 39 at -626)
b. Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material. Items are considered material, regardless of size, if they involve an omission or misstatement of accounting information that, in light of surrounding circumstances, makes it probable that the judgment of a reasonable person using the information would be changed or influenced by the omission or misstatement. (Ex. 39 at -626)
c. The financial statement . . . is fairly presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America apart from a series of specified exceptions. (Ex. 39 at -626)
d. We have made all financial records and related data available to you. We have not knowingly withheld from you any financial records or related data that in our judgment would be relevant to your compilation. (Ex. 39 at 627)
e. No material transactions exist that have not been properly recorded in the accounting records underlying the financial statement. (Ex. 39 at 627)
f. We have no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying amounts or classification of assets and liabilities. (Ex. 39 at -628)
g. We have satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets nor have any assets been pledged, except as made known to you and disclosed in the notes to the financial statement. (Ex. 39 at - 628)
h. Related party transactions, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers,
leasing arrangements, and guarantees, and amounts receivable from or payable to related parties have been properly recorded. (Ex. 39 at -628)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The letter states that related party transactions have been either properly "recorded or disclosed[,]" not merely recorded.
25. From 2016 through 2019 the representation letter from the Trump Organization to Mazars stated, among other things, that:
a. We acknowledge our responsibility and have fulfilled our responsibilities for the preparation and fair presentation of the personal financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, except for certain specified departures. (Ex. 40 at -1266 ; Ex. 41 at-1805; Ex. 42 at -2679; Ex. 43 at -1740)
b. We have made available to you all financial records and related data available to you, and any additional information you requested from us for the purpose of the compilation. We have not knowingly withheld from you any financial records or related data that in our judgment would be relevant to your compilation. (Ex. 40 at -1267; Ex. 41 at -1806; Ex. 42 at -2680; Ex. 43 at -1741)
c. All material transactions have been recorded and have been properly reflected in the financial statement. (Ex. 40 at -1267; Ex. 41 at -1806; Ex. 42 at -2680; Ex. 43 at -1741)
d. We have no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying amounts [or values] or classification of assets and liabilities. (Ex. 40 at 1267; Ex. 41 at -1806; Ex. 42 at -2680; Ex. 43 at -1741)
e. We have satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets nor have any assets been pledged, except as made known to you and disclosed in the notes to the financial statement. (Ex. 40 at - 1267; Ex. 41 at-1806; Ex. 42 at -2680; Ex. 43 at -1741)
f. Related party transactions, including loans, transfers, leasing arrangements, and guarantees have been properly recorded. (Ex. 40 at -1268; Ex. 41 at 1807; Ex. 42 at -2681; Ex. 43 at -1742)
g. [In 2016-17] We have identified all accounting estimates that could be material to the financial statement, including the key factors and significant assumptions underlying those estimates, and we believe the estimates are reasonable in the circumstances. (Ex. 40 at -1268; Ex. 41 at -1807)
h. [In 2018-19] Significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable in the circumstances. (Ex. 42 at -2681; Ex. 43 at -1742)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The letters state that related party transactions have been either properly "recorded or disclosed[,]" not merely recorded.
26. In 2020 the representation letter from the Trump Organization to Mazars stated, among other things, that:
a. We acknowledge our responsibility and have fulfilled our responsibilities for the preparation and fair presentation of the personal financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, except for certain specified departures. (Ex. 44 at 3377)
b. We have made available to you all financial records and related data, of which we are aware, that is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements. (Ex. 44 at -3377)
c. There have been no communications from regulatory agencies concerning noncompliance with, or deficiencies in, financial reporting practices. (Ex. 44 at-3377)
d. All transactions have been recorded and have been properly reflected in the financial statements. (Ex. 44 at -3377)
e. There are no uncorrected misstatements. (Ex. 44 at -3377)
f. We have no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets and liabilities. (Ex. 44 at -3378)
g. Related-party transactions and related accounts receivable or payable, including sales, purchases, loans, transfers, leasing arrangements, and guarantees have been properly recorded. (Ex. 44 at -3378)
h. The Company has satisfactory title to all owned assets, and there are no liens or encumbrances on such assets nor has any asset been pledged other than disclosed on the balance sheet. (Ex. 44 at -3378)
i. We believe significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable in the circumstances. (Ex. 44 at -3378)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.
27. In 2021 the representation letter from the Trump Organization to Whitley Penn stated, among other things, that:
a. We acknowledge our responsibility and have fulfilled our responsibilities for the preparation and fair presentation of the SOFC in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), except for certain specified departures. (Ex. 45 at -103)
b. Significant assumptions used by us in making accounting estimates, including those measured at fair value, are reasonable. (Ex. 45 at -103)
c. We have provided you with access to all information, of which we are aware, that is relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the SOFC, such as records, documents, and other matters. (Ex. 45 at -104)
d. The books and records for the assets reflected in the SOFC are complete in all material respects. (Ex. 45 at -104)
e. We have no knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud, or allegations of any fraud or suspected fraud, that could have a material effect on the SOFC. We have previously disclosed to you certain indictments and ongoing investigations, but we do not believe that these have any effect on the SOFC. (Ex. 45 at -104)
f. We have no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying amounts or classification of assets and liabilities other than as disclosed herein. (Ex. 45 at -104)
g. We have satisfactory title to all owned assets, and no material liens or encumbrances on such assets exist, nor has any asset been pledged as collateral, except as disclosed to you and reported in the SOFC. (Ex. 45 at 104)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

28. GAAP is the recognized set of accounting rules for public, private, and not-forprofit entities in the United States. The Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") is the authoritative source of GAAP for nongovernmental entities. The ASC is comprised of numerous GAAP standards issued by recognized authorities over many decades.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
29. One GAAP standard is specifically designed for the financial reporting of individuals, ASC 274 - "Personal Financial Statements," which states that "Personal financial statements are prepared for individuals either to formally organize and plan their financial affairs in general or for specific purposes, such as obtaining of credit, income tax planning, retirement planning, gift and estate planning, or public disclosure of their financial affairs." (Ex. 46)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

30. ASC 274 requires asset values reported in personal financial statements to be based on "Estimated Current Value." (Ex. 46)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

31. GAAP defines Estimated Current Value as "the amount at which the item could be exchanged between a buyer and seller, each of whom is well informed and willing, and neither of whom is compelled to buy or sell." (Ex. 219)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

32. Accounting standard setters selected "Estimated Current Value" as a basis for reporting asset values in personal financial statements because the "primary focus of personal financial statements is a person's assets and liabilities, and the primary users of personal financial statements normally consider estimated current value information to be more relevant
for their decisions than historical cost information. Lenders require estimated current value information to assess collateral, and most personal loan applications require estimated current value information. Estimated current values are required for estate, gift, and income tax planning, and estimated current value information about assets is often required in federal and state filings of candidates for public office" (Ex. 46 at 10-05-2)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

33. ASC 274 further states that "personal financial statements shall include sufficient disclosures to make the statements adequately informative. That paragraph states that the disclosures may be made in the body of the financial statements or in the notes to financial statements." (Ex. 46 at 10-45-13)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The statement above misquotes the document cited.
34. ASC 274 includes "illustrative notes" showing appropriate disclosures for a personal financial statement. An example of an interest in a real estate limited partnership that utilizes a capitalization rate, discloses that rate:

NOTE 4.The investment in Kenbruce Associates is an 8 percent interest in a real estate limited partnership. The estimated current value is determined by the projected annual cash receipts and payments capitalized at a 12 percent rate.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The "illustrative notes" in the interpretive guidance are mere illustrations that are amenable to change based on the context of the disclosure made and the circumstances surrounding the disclosure. Those illustrations are not exclusive of other disclosures that portray relevant information in a sufficiently informative manner. Particularly, whereas, in this case, President Trump submitted the SOFCs to sophisticated counterparties with the ability to do their own due diligence, and provided those counterparties with factual details about his real estate holdings in order to inform the independent analysis of the properties in
question through the judgment and assumptions of the counterparties. This, together with the various disclaimers in the SOFC that users should not rely on the valuations therein, was sufficiently informative so that the users to which the SOFCs were presented would be able to use their own judgment and assumptions in evaluating the subject properties. Thus, President Trump needed not disclose his subjective assumptions such as cap rates.
35. Where a future interest is valued, the discount rate used to arrive at that valuation is disclosed:

NOTE 6. Jane Person is the beneficiary of a remainder interest in a testamentary trust under the will of the late Joseph Jones. The amount included in the accompanying statements is her remainder interest in the estimated current value of the trust assets, discounted at 10 percent.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The "illustrative notes" in the interpretive guidance are mere illustrations that are amenable to change based on the context of the disclosure made and the circumstances surrounding the disclosure. Those illustrations are not exclusive of other disclosures that portray relevant information in a sufficiently informative manner. Particularly, whereas, in this case, President Trump submitted the SOFCs to sophisticated counterparties with the ability to do their own due diligence, and provided those counterparties with factual details about his real estate holdings in order to inform the independent analysis of the properties in question through the judgment and assumptions of the counterparties. This, together with the various disclaimers in the SOFC that users should not rely on the valuations therein, was sufficiently informative so that the users to which the SOFCs were presented would be able to use their own judgment and assumptions in evaluating the subject properties. Thus, President Trump needed not disclose his subjective assumptions such as discount rates.
36. Mr. Trump's Triplex is valued as an asset in the Statements from 2011 through 2021. (Exs.1-11)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

37. In the years 2012 through 2016, the Triplex value was calculated based on multiplying a price per square foot as determined by the Trump International Realty Sales Office by an incorrect figure for the size of the Triplex of 30,000 square feet. (Ex. 14 at Rows 833-834, see also Ex. 220 at -3611 ; Ex. 15 at Rows 799-800, see also, Ex. 358; Ex. 16 at Rows 843-844; Ex. 17 at Rows 882; Ex. 18 at Rows 913)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
38. In reality, the Triplex was 10,996 square feet. (Ex. 47; Ex. 48; Ex. 49 at 507:5-9; Ex. 50 at 216:24-219:5; Ex. 51 at $\mathbb{\|} 28$ (can neither admit nor deny that trump's triplex apartment in Trump Tower "never exceeded 11,000 square feet in size"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants object insofar as the calculation of square footage is a subjective process that could lead to differing results or opinions based on the method employed to conduct the calculation.
39. As a result of this error alone, the value of the Triplex reflected on each Statement from 2012 through 2016 was inflated by roughly $\$ 100$ - $\$ 200$ million. (Ex. 49 at 507:5-22)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants object insofar as Plaintiff's calculations rely on the square footage, and the calculation of square footage is a subjective process that could lead to differing results or opinions based on the method employed to conduct the calculation. Additionally, Professor Bartov conducted a materiality analysis and "concluded that the SOFCs for the years 2011-2021 were not materially misstated, i.e., they did comply with GAAP." (Robert Aff., Ex. AK ("Bartov Aff.") at 14.
40. The chart below shows the increase in the value of the Triplex that is attributable to the incorrect square footage:

| Statement <br> Year | Triplex Value Based on <br> 30,000 SF | Corrected Triplex <br> Value Based on <br> 10,996 SF | Inflated Amount |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | $\$ 180,000,000$ | $\$ 65,976,000$ | $\$ 114,024,000$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 200,000,000$ | $\$ 73,306,667$ | $\$ 126,693,333$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 200,000,000$ | $\$ 73,306,667$ | $\$ 126,693,333$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 327,000,000$ | $\$ 119,856,400$ | $\$ 207,143,600$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 327,000,000$ | $\$ 119,856,400$ | $\$ 207,143,600$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants object insofar as Plaintiff's calculations rely on the square footage, and the calculation of square footage is a subjective process that could lead to differing results or opinions based on the method employed to conduct the calculation. Additionally, Professor Bartov conducted a materiality analysis and "concluded that the SOFCs for the years 2011-2021 were not materially misstated, i.e., they did comply with GAAP." Bartov Aff. at 14.
41. Documents containing the correct size of Mr. Trump's Triplex (most notably the condominium offering plan and associated amendments for Trump Tower) were easily accessible inside the Trump Organization prior to 2012, were signed by Mr. Trump, and were sent to Mr. Weisselberg in 2012. (Exs. 47, 48)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The documents cited do not establish that these documents were "easily accessible inside the Trump Organization prior to 2012." Moreover, the use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Additionally, Defendants object insofar as the calculation of square footage is a subjective process that could lead to differing results or opinions based on the method employed to conduct the calculation, and so it is unclear that whether the documents contain the
"correct size" of the Triplex.
42. Mr. Trump was intimately familiar with the layout and square footage of the Triplex, having personally overseen the apartment's renovation prior to 2012 and having lived in the apartment for more than two decades, using it for interviews, photo spreads, as a filming location in "The Apprentice," and even to host foreign heads of state.

RESPONSE: Disputed. President Trump testified that he did not know the square footage of the Triplex, but that he "would think it would be 12 or 13,000 feet" and the square footage issue in the Statements was "corrected." Faherty Aff., Ex. 50 at 218:19-219:5.
43. Documents demonstrating the true size of Mr. Trump's triplex (most notably the condominium offering plan and associated amendments for Trump Tower) were easily accessible inside the Trump Organization, were signed by Mr. Trump, and were sent to Mr. Weisselberg in 2012. (Exs. 47, 48)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The documents cited do not establish that these documents were "easily accessible inside the Trump Organization." Moreover, the use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Additionally, Defendants object insofar as the calculation of square footage is a subjective process that could lead to differing results or opinions based on the method employed to conduct the calculation, and so it is unclear that whether the documents contain the "true size" of the Triplex.
44. Mr. Weisselberg - along with Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump - was on an email chain in March 2017, in which Forbes Magazine highlighted the apartment's correct size; the email specifically alerted those Trump Organization personnel that Mr. Trump had told

Forbes his apartment was approximately 33,000 square feet, but Forbes had looked at property records and concluded it was less than one third that size. (Ex. 52)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants object insofar as the calculation of square footage is a subjective process that could lead to differing results or opinions based on the method employed to conduct the calculation, and so it is unclear that whether the documents contain the "correct size" of the Triplex.
45. Despite being apprised of those specific facts, Mr. Weisselberg and Donald Trump, Jr. only days later represented to Mazars that the 2016 Statement was accurate despite incorporating the fraudulently inflated number. (Ex. 40)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The valuation of the Triplex in the Statements was not a "fraudulently inflated number" as it was the result of a mistake, which was corrected once it was confirmed to be a mistake. Additionally, Mr. Weisselberg and Donald Trump, Jr. represented they had fulfilled their responsibility for "designing, implementing, and maintaining internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the personal financial statement that is free from material misrepresentation, whether due to fraud or error" and they had "no knowledge of any allegations of fraud, or suspected fraud that could have a material effect on the personal financial statement." Faherty Aff., Ex. 40 at -1267 . The "certifications that they did are descriptively valid." Bartov Aff. at 14. Here, the valuation in the Triplex did not result in any material misrepresentation and, accordingly, was not a violation of GAAP. Bartov Aff. at 17; see also Faherty Aff., Ex. 49 at 510:3-10 ("A change of this size . . . is not a small amount of money, but relative to a net worth of $\$ 6$ billion, it's a 1 percent, or less than 1 percent change . . .")
46. Even when confronted with the true facts regarding Mr. Trump's triplex, Mr. Weisselberg opted to "leave" it "alone" and within days falsely certify a financial statement
contrary to those true facts. (Ex. 53)
RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg did not "falsely certify a financial statement contrary to those facts." The "certifications that they did are descriptively valid." Bartov Aff. at 15. Additionally, Professor Bartov conducted a materiality analysis and concluded "none of the valuation issues raised by NYAG are material, and the NYAG fails to offer any proper materiality analysis to contradict this." Bartov Aff. at 9.
47. Only after Forbes published an article in May 2017 entitled "Donald Trump has Been Lying About the Size of His Penthouse" did McConney, Weisselberg, and Mr. Trump stop fraudulently inflating the square footage of the Triplex when calculating the value for the Statements. (Ex. 19 at Rows 971; Ex. 20 at Rows 983; Ex. 21 at Rows 1010-1011 Ex. 22 at Rows 1100-1101; Ex. 23 at Rows 1093; Ex. 54 at 693:4-713:8)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants did not "fraudulently inflate the square footage of the Triplex" as all evidence indicates that the miscalculation was a mistake, which was readily corrected. See also Faherty Aff., Ex. 50 at 219:2-221:4; id., Ex. 49 at 508:24-509:10 ("[W]e didn't find out about the error until the Forbes article came out, and we just issued statements year over year, we don't make phone calls during the course of the year or send out letters during the course of the year for a situation like this. It gets adjusted the following year.").
48. The Triplex was only included in a catch-all category entitled "other assets" that omitted essentially all details about its value; accordingly, no itemized value was provided, and no recipient of the Statements would have known the inputs used to generate the value. (Exs. 111)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Statement did not omit "all details about [the Triplex's] value," and briefly described the property as follows: "Mr. Trump owns a triplex apartment on
the top three floors of Trump Tower." See, e.g., Faherty Aff., Ex. 1 at -3150.
49. Seven Springs is a parcel of real property that consists of over 200 acres within the towns of Bedford, New Castle, and North Castle in Westchester County that is owned by Seven Springs LLC, a Trump Organization subsidiary. (Ex. 55; Ex. 1 at -3148; Ex. 56 at 57:2058:3)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

50. A 2000 appraisal prepared for the Royal Bank of Pennsylvania and sent to the Trump Organization estimated that Seven Springs had an "as-is" market value of $\$ 25$ million for residential development. (Ex. 57 at -4873-74)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the 2000 appraisal prepared for Royal Bank reached the listed value. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of the 2000 appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the 2000 appraisal, thereby undervaluing Seven Springs. (Robert Aff., Ex. AO ("Chin Aff.") TT 47-48, 54) Additionally, the use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed.
51. The same bank's records indicate that a 2006 appraisal showed an "as-is" market value of $\$ 30$ million. (Ex. 58 at 1)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the 2006 appraisal prepared for Royal Bank reached the listed value. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of the 2006 appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the 2006 appraisal, thereby undervaluing Seven Springs. (Chin Aff. q\|\| 47-48, 54)
52. On October 10, 2012, Sheri Dillon as counsel for Seven Springs LLC accepted a proposal from Robert Heffernan to prepare an appraisal o estimate the fair market value of a 6lot subdivision to be developed on the portion of the Seven Springs property located in the Town of New Castle. (Ex. 59 at -6213-14)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

53. The 6-lot subdivision to be valued by Mr. Heffernan was based on a sketch prepared by Insite Engineering, Surveying, Landscape Architecture, P.C. (Ex. 60 at -890-93; Ex. 61 at 213:4-15)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Heffernan indicated that he was "pretty sure [he] would have" used the map prepared by Insite Engineering, Surveying, Landscape Architecture, P.C.
54. Eric Trump was aware of the appraisal being performed by Mr. Heffernan and was involved in obtaining information requested by Mr. Heffernan about the costs and fees to obtain town approval for the subdivision. (Ex. 60 at -893; Ex. 56 at 166:20-167:23)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Eric Trump was not involved in obtaining information, but when he received a request for information, he "passed off [the] e-mail from somebody at Sheri's firm to [his] team pretty much saying, you know, guys, please handle this." Faherty Aff., Ex. 56 at 167:4-9.
55. Mr. Heffernan advised Robert Leonard, counsel for Seven Springs LLC, that his preliminary estimate for the net present value of each lot was around $\$ 700,000$ for the subdivision. (Ex. 61 at 203:7-206:23)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Heffernan indicated he "didn't specifically recall" the preliminary value range he determined, but he recalled "an e-mail back and forth with Bob Leonard that he was asking [Mr. Heffernan] why [he] was coming in at a number somewhere
around $\$ 700,000$ a raw lot." Faherty Aff., Ex. 61 at 203:9-204:14.
56. After Mr. Heffernan provided Mr. Leonard with his preliminary estimate of value, Seven Springs LLC declined to move forward with the formal appraisal and Mr. Heffernan did no further work on the assignment. (Ex. 61 at 204:21-205:4, 226:8-228:20)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

57. In July 2014, acting as an agent of the Trump Organization, attorney Sheri Dillon engaged Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc. ("Cushman") to "provide consulting services related to an analysis of the estimated value of a potential conservation easement on all or part of the Seven Springs Estate." (Ex. 62 at -16742)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Ms. Dillon was signing in her "capacity as legal counsel for Seven Springs, $L L C$, the owner of the Seven Springs Estate." Faherty Aff., Ex. 62 at -16742 (emphasis added).
58. David McArdle, an appraiser at Cushman, performed this engagement, which was to provide a "range of value" of the Seven Springs property based on developing and selling residential lots on the property. (Ex. 63 at 50:11-24)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. McArdle testified the engagement was a "verbal assignment to develop a preliminary range of value" of "[t]he existing development site and . . . two of the primary buildings on the site as well." Faherty Aff., Ex. 63 at 50:11-20.
59. Mr. McArdle valued the sale of eight lots in the Town of Bedford, six lots in New Castle, and ten lots in North Castle. (Ex. 64 at Rows 13-16, Cols. H-J)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
60. Under his "subdivision sellout analysis," Mr. McArdle reached an average per-lot sales value of $\$ 2$ million for the New Castle and North Castle lots, and $\$ 2.25$ million for the Bedford lots. (Ex. 64 at Rows 13-16, Cols. H-J; Ex. 63 at 456:25-457:21)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Mr. McArdle reached the values described for the lots. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of Mr. McArdle's valuation because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in Mr. McArdle's valuation, thereby undervaluing the asset. (Chin Aff. $9 \mathbb{\|}$ 47-50, 54)
61. After preparing a cashflow analysis anticipating the timing for the sale of the lots and $10 \%$ rounded costs over five years, Mr. McArdle reached a rounded present value for all 24 lots of \$29,950,000. (Ex. 64 at Rows 3-36, Cols. O-AI)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Mr. McArdle reached the values described. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of Mr. McArdle's valuation because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in Mr. McArdle's valuation, thereby undervaluing the asset. (Chin Aff. $\uparrow \uparrow$ 47-50, 54)
62. Using another valuation technique, Mr. McArdle also reached values "Before" and "After" an easement donation of \$64 million and \$34 million, respectively, putting the value of the property after the donation at $\$ 30$ million. (Ex. 63 at 450:6-451:23; Ex. 122 at Rows 3943, Cols. C-L)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Mr. McArdle reached the values described. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of Mr. McArdle's valuation because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in Mr. McArdle's valuation, thereby undervaluing the asset. (Chin Aff. $q\|\| 47-50,54)$
63. Mr. McArdle communicated to Ms. Dillon the result of his work in late August or

September 2014, months before the finalization of the 2014 Statement on November 7, 2014, which Ms. Dillon then shared with Eric Trump. (Ex. 63 at 445:10-18, 478:25-479:7, 505:22506:15; Ex. 56 at 212:17-213:20)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. McArdle indicated he did not recall the exact date, but he gave an oral range of values "mid or late '14". Faherty Aff., Ex. 63 at 13-18. Additionally, Eric Trump testified that he did not recall being advised of Mr. McArdle's valuation, but that he "think[s] Sheri Dillon would have told [him] the results of . . . the appraisal." Faherty Aff., Ex. 63, at 211:7-14, 213:12-20.
64. After receiving the 2014 valuation from Mr. McArdle, Eric Trump engaged Mr. McArdle in mid-September 2014 to conduct an appraisal for Seven Springs LLC to value a conservation easement placed over the property. (Ex. 65 at -16762; Ex. 56 at 214:16-215:9, 217:19-25)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Eric Trump testified that he did not recall being advised of Mr. McArdle's valuation, but that he "think[s] Sheri Dillon would have told [him] the results of . . . the appraisal." Faherty Aff., Ex. 63, at 211:7-14, 213:12-20.
65. Seven Springs LLC decided not to proceed with obtaining a formal appraisal for a conservation easement and terminated the engagement with Mr. McArdle on October 6, 2014. (Ex. 66 at -50998)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
66. The Trump Organization did ultimately decide to pursue the donation for the 2015 tax year, and in March 2016, Seven Springs LLC received from Cushman an appraisal of Seven Springs, including the planned development. (Ex. 67 at -202; Ex. 68 at -9123-9126; Ex. 56 at 222:23-223:4, 225:23-226:4)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Seven Springs LLC, not the Trump Organization, entered into the engagement agreement with Cushman. Faherty Aff., Ex. 67 at -195.
67. Cushman's appraisal concluded that the entire property as of December 1, 2015 was worth $\$ 56.5$ million. (Ex. 68 at -9126 )

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
68. For the 2015 Statement, Mr. Trump valued Seven Springs at $\$ 56$ million based on the Cushman appraisal for the easement donation, which value was incorporated into the aggregate value of $\$ 557.6$ million for "Other assets." (Ex. 5 at -691; Ex. 17 at Row 895)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
69. For the Statements from 2016 to 2018 , the property was valued at $\$ 35.4$ million, which value was incorporated into the aggregate value for "Other assets." (Ex. 6 at -1983; Ex. 18 at Row 927; Ex. 7 at -1842, -1861; Ex. 19 at Row 986; Ex. 8 at -2744; Ex. 20 at Row 997)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

70. In June 2019, the Trump Organization received another appraisal of the Seven Springs estate prepared by Cushman for The Bryn Mawr Trust Company which valued the property at $\$ 37.65$ million. (Ex. 69 at -71173 )

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
71. For the Statements from 2019 to 2021, the property was valued at $\$ 37.65$ million based on the June 2019 appraisal, which value was incorporated into the aggregate value for "Other assets." (Ex. 9 at -1790, -1809; Ex. 21 at Row 1024; Ex. 10 at -2248, -2263; Ex. 22 at

Row 1109; Ex. 11 at -418, -433; Ex. 23 at Row 1102)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

72. Despite bank appraisals from 2000 and 2006 valuing the property at $\$ 25$ million and $\$ 30$ million, respectively, Mr. Heffernan's preliminary estimate of fair value of $\$ 700,000$ per lot for a 6-lot subdivision development, and Mr. McCardle's 2014 analysis putting the value between $\$ 30-\$ 50$ million, the Statements from 2011 to 2014 valued the property at many multiples of these values. See, infra, at $q \mathbb{q} 107$.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Heffernan indicated he "didn't specifically recall" the preliminary value range he determined, but he recalled "an e-mail back and forth with Bob Leonard that he was asking [Mr. Heffernan] why [he] was coming in at a number somewhere around $\$ 700,000$ a raw lot." Faherty Aff., Ex. 61 at 203:9-204:14. Additionally, Defendants dispute the veracity of the 2000 and 2006 appraisals because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in either appraisal, thereby undervaluing Seven Springs. (Chin Aff. q\|l 47-50, 54)
73. The 2011 Statement valued the property at $\$ 261$ million and the Statements for 2012 to 2014 valued the property at $\$ 291$ million, based in part on an estimated profit for developing homes of $\$ 23$ million per lot. (Ex. 1 at -3134, -3148; Ex. 13 at Rows 669, 677; Ex. 2 at -6311 ; Ex. 14 at Rows 686,695; Ex. 3 at -037; Ex. 15 at Rows 649,658; Ex. 4 at-0717; Ex. 16 at Rows 671, 680)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

74. The listed source for the valuations of Seven Springs from 2012-2014 is a series of telephone conversations with Eric Trump. (Ex. 14 at Row 679; Ex. 15 at Rows 638,640; Ex. 16 at Row 660)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

75. Based on the highest appraised value of $\$ 56.5$ million determined by Cushman in 2015, the property was vastly overvalued in 2011 through 2014 as depicted in the chart below:

| Year | Statement Value | Difference between Statement <br> Value and 2015 Appraisal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $\$ 261,000,000$ | $\$ 204,500,000$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 291,000,000$ | $\$ 234,500,000$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 291,000,000$ | $\$ 234,500,000$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 291,000,000$ | $\$ 234,500,000$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants dispute that Seven Springs property was overvalued; President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in Mr. McArdle's valuation, thereby undervaluing the asset. (Chin Aff. 9T4 4755)
76. Regarding the change from the 2014 value in the next year', Donald Trump testified that "we dropped that number, because we thought that number was too high." (Ex. 50 at 195:14-196:23)

RESPONSE: Disputed. President Trump went on to testify: "But, in retrospect, I don't know that number is too high. . . this is not a big deal in terms of my net worth". Faherty Aff., Ex. 50 at 196:8-18.
77. The Trump Organization, through Defendant 40 Wall Street LLC, a New York Limited Liability Company, owns a "ground lease" peliaining to 40 Wall Street, pursuant to which it holds a leasehold interest in the land and buildings on the land, but pays rent (known as ground rent) to the landowner.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
78. In August 2010, Cushman prepared an appraisal of 40 Wall Street for Capital One Bank that valued the building at $\$ 200,000,000$, as-of August 1,2010 , with a prospective market value of $\$ 280,000,000$, as-of August 1, 2015 (the "2010 40 Wall Appraisal"). (Ex. 70 at -47234724; Ex. 71 at $-1182-1183$ ) The appraisal was signed by Douglas Larson, Naoum Papagianopoulos and Robert Nardella of Cushman. (Ex. 70 at -4725; Ex. 71 at -1184)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the 2010 appraisal was performed, contained the valuations listed, and was signed by the listed individuals. However, the veracity of the 2010 appraisal is disputed because it significantly understated the "As Is" value of the property by using conservative assumptions that did not accurately reflect the actual leasing conditions at the property, using a capitalization rate that is inconsistent with market sales, and failing to account for improving market conditions and occupancy rates, among other failures. (Chin Aff. $\| \mathbb{\|}$ 38-40)
79. On December 20, 2010, George Ross, Vice President of 40 Wall Street LLC, sent an excerpt of the 201040 Wall Appraisal to Percy Pyne of Pyne Companies Ltd. (Ex. 71 at 1180) Mr. Ross wrote, "If you would like a complete copy of the appraisal, which consists of 130 pages, please let me know." (Id.)

RESPONSE: Undisputed. However, the veracity of the 2010 appraisal is disputed because it significantly understated the "As Is" values by using conservative assumptions that did not accurately reflect the actual leasing conditions at the property, using a capitalization rate that is inconsistent with market sales, among other failures. (Chin Aff. q\| ${ }^{\text {m }}$ 38-40)
80. The 2011 SFC represents that the $\$ 524,700,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon a successful renegotiation of the ground lease and an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation, and a capitalization rate was applied
to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the buildings operations." (Ex. 1 at -3139)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

81. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2011 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by applying a cap rate of $5 \%$ to net operating income of $\$ 26,234,000$. (Ex. 13 at Rows 112-121)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
82. The net operating income of $\$ 26,234,000$ reflected income of $\$ 47,819,400$ and expenses of $\$ 21,585,000$. The $\$ 47,819,400$ of income was based on projected "Average Income for the five year period 2013 - 2017." The $\$ 21,585,000$ of expenses was based on projected "Average Expenses for the five year period 2013-2017." (Ex. 13 at Rows 114-118) RESPONSE: Undisputed.
83. Donald Bender testified that it was misleading for the Trump Organization not to provide Mazars with the 201040 Wall Appraisal and that if he had been aware of it, that could have led to the 2011 SFC not being issued. (Ex. 72 at 661:12-664:7)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Donald Bender testified that if he would have been aware of the 201040 Wall Street Appraisal, he would have "discussed it with [the client]" to ascertain the "reasons [the client] thought the appraisal was [an] inappropriate . . . amount to use in the statement of financial condition" and if no satisfactory explanation was provided then he would have "kicked it upstairs to the firm and the quality control group or [his] reviewers" and that the firm would potentially "accept [the client's number]" based on the explanation. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 72 at 663:7-25) Moreover, in his deposition in this case, Mr. Bender testified that he performed reviews and audits (depending on the year), prepared tax returns, and did other additional work for 40 Wall Street LLC. (Robert Aff., Ex. AAP, Bender Tr. 69:11-24) While
performing work for 40 Wall Street LLC, Bender would have had access to "general ledgers, the trial balances, the bank statements, the bank reconciliations to start, and obviously [he] would have access to look at bills[.]" (Id. 69:25-70:10) Bender further testified that he had access to personnel that would "answer any questions that [he] had about the operations of 40 Wall Street LLC" and that to his recollection there was no information that he requested and did not receive relative to a compilation, review or audit. (Id. 70:11-23) Additionally, Bender reviewed mortgage documents for 40 Wall Street, which should have put him on notice to the existence of bank commissioned appraisals in relation to the mortgage on 40 Wall Street. Id. 348:4-16. Moreover, Bender admittedly did not read appraisals that he received in relation to the compilation engagement, as he testified that he does believe he read any appraisals, and he has "no recollection of reading a whole appraisal." (Id. at 249:14-250:5) This means that even if Bender had the 2010 appraisal of 40 Wall Street, which he had sufficient information to know about or request, it would likely not have affected the issuance of the compilation report. Moreover, the veracity of the 2010 appraisal is disputed because it significantly understated the "As Is" value of the property by using conservative assumptions that did not accurately reflect the actual leasing conditions at the property, using a capitalization rate that is inconsistent with market sales, and failing to account for improving market conditions and occupancy rates, among other failures among other failures. (Chin Aff. $q \|$ | $38-40$ )
84. In November 2011, Cushman prepared another appraisal of 40 Wall Street for Capital One Bank ("Capital One") that valued the building at $\$ 200,000,000$, as-of November 1, 2011, with a prospective market value of $\$ 280,000,000$, as-of November 1, 2014. (Ex. 73 at -360-361) The appraisal was signed by Douglas Larson, Naoum Papagianopoulos and Robert Nardella of Cushman. (Ex. 73 at -362)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The prospective market value as of November 1, 2014 listed on the appraisal was $\$ 270,000,000$ and not $\$ 280,000,000$. Moreover, the veracity of the 2011 appraisal is disputed for several reasons, including: (1) Cushman made several leasing assumptions that when converted to a net effective rent was materially lower than the net effective rent the building actually achieved, and such underestimation negatively impacted 40 Wall Street's as is value and also affected the 2015 projected value because Cushman's net effective rents were on average $\$ 1.82$ per square foot lower than the actual net effective rates commanded by the building in 2011 and 2012; (2) Cushman's underestimated net rents in turn magnified the impact on the value over the 15 -year projection period, significantly delaying the property's attainment of stabilization; (3) Cushman ignored the improving market conditions and the positive impact that would have on value creation to both rentals and sales of class A commercial buildings; (4) Cushman used a capitalization rate that was inconsistent with market sales; (5) Cushman used historical data that reflected a lower occupancy rate, as opposed to projections used for valuation in the SOFC, which projections were proven accurate. (Chin Aff. TTT 38-44, Ex. A at 22-30) These issues, among others, led to a decreased value of 40 Wall Street in the 2011 appraisal, making the 2011 appraisal an unreliable figure to use as a valuation.
85. In October 2012, Cushman prepared an appraisal of 40 Wall Street for Capital One that valued the building at $\$ 220,000,000$, as-of November 1,2012 , with a prospective market value of $\$ 260,000,000$, as-of November 1, 2015 (the "2012 40 Wall Appraisal"). (Ex. 74 at -0758-0759) The 201240 Wall Appraisal was signed by Douglas Larson, Naoum Papagianopoulos and Robert Nardella of Cushman. (Ex. 74 at -0760)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the appraisal was performed, contained the valuations listed, and was signed by the listed individuals. However, the veracity of the 2012 appraisal is
disputed for several reasons including: (1) Cushman made several leasing assumptions that when converted to a net effective rent was materially lower than the net effective rent the building actually achieved, and such underestimation negatively impacted 40 Wall Street's as is value and also affected the 2015 projected value because Cushman's net effective rents were on average $\$ 1.82$ per square foot lower than the actual net effective rates commanded by the building in 2011 and 2012; (2) Cushman's underestimated net rents in turn magnified the impact on the value over the 15 -year projection period, significantly delaying the property's attainment of stabilization; (3) Cushman ignored the improving market conditions and the positive impact that would have on value creation to both rentals and sales of class A commercial buildings; (4) Cushman used a capitalization rate of $7 \%$ that was inconsistent with market sales, which was approximately 175 basis points higher than the highest cap rate used for comparable properties (6.74\%) in Midtown Manhattan; (5) Cushman used historical data that reflected a lower occupancy rate, as opposed to projections used for valuation in the SOFC, which projections were proven accurate. (Chin Aff. qTI 38-44, Ex. A at 22-30) These issues, among others, led to a decreased value of 40 Wall Street in the 2012 appraisal, making the 2012 appraisal an unreliable figure to use as a valuation.
86. The Trump Organization had a copy of the 201240 Wall Appraisal in its files. (Ex. 75 at -8605)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

87. Allen Weisselberg testified that in 2011 or 2012 , he had "the appraisal for 40 Wall showing a value of about $\$ 200$ million, [he] listed a higher value on the statement of financial condition because it was [his] view that the building was worth more." (Ex. 49 at 135:20-138:06)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Allen Weisselberg testified that he "think[s he] used [an appraisal for] the end of 2012 possibly" and that "the years blend together . . . after all these years" and therefore, he "just doesn't recall" the year of the appraisal he used. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 49 at 137:2-13). Moreover, the veracity of the 2011 and 2012 appraisals are disputed because certain assumptions employed by Cushman led to a decreased valuation, making these appraisals immaterial to the compilation of President Trump's SOFC. (Chin Aff. qT 38-44, Ex. A at 22-30)
88. The 2012 SFC represents that the $\$ 527,200,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon a successful renegotiation of the ground lease and an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation, and a capitalization rate was applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the buildings operations." (Ex. 2 at -6316)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

89. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2012 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by applying a cap rate of $4.31 \%$ to net operating income of $\$ 22,722,000$. (Ex. 14 at Rows 110-133)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

90. The net operating income of $\$ 22,722,000$ reflected income of $\$ 43,332,000$ and expenses of $\$ 20,610,000$. The $\$ 43,332,000$ of income consisted of: (i) $\$ 35,212,000$ from "Income-rented space," and (ii) \$8,120,000 from "Income-vacant space." (Ex. 14 at Rows 115121)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

91. The supporting spreadsheet for 2012 shows that the cap rate of $4.31 \%$ was based on "Information provided by Doug Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc which reflects cap
rates of $4.23 \%$ and $4.39 \%$ for similar sized office buildings at 14 Wall Street and 4 NY Plaza. We used the average rate for these two properties (i.e. 4.31\%)." (Ex. 14 Rows 131-133)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
92. Donald Bender testified that it was misleading for the Trump Organization not to provide Mazars with the 201240 Wall Appraisal and that if he had been aware of it, that could have led to the 2012 SFC not being issued. (Ex. 72 at 665:15-666:18) Donald Bender testified in 2023 that, over the previous ten or twelve years, he asked the Trump Organization every year for appraisals in connection with the Statement of Financial Condition engagement, and specifically, "Do you have any other appraisals?" (Ex. 421 at 239:8-16; 229:9-24) Mr. Bender testified that he made this request to Mr. McConney. (Ex. 421 at 242:21-24) When asked whether "Mr. McConney's annual response to your request for appraisals" was "I've sent you everything I've got," Mr. Bender responded that Mr. McConney's response was, "I have nothing else." (Ex. 421 at 243:6-10)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Mr. Bender testified that he performed reviews and audits (depending on the year), prepared tax returns, and did other additional work for 40 Wall Street LLC. (Robert Aff., Ex. AAP, Bender Tr. 69:11-24) While performing work for 40 Wall Street LLC, Bender would have had access to "general ledgers, the trial balances, the bank statements, the bank reconciliations to start, and obviously [he] would have access to look at bills[.]" (Id. 69:25-70:10) Mr. Bender further testified that he had access to personnel that would "answer any questions that [he] had about the operations of 40 Wall Street LLC" and that to his recollection there was no information that he requested and did not
receive relative to a compilation, review or audit. (Id. 70:11-23) Additionally, Bender reviewed mortgage documents for 40 Wall Street, which should have put him on notice to the existence of bank commissioned appraisals in relation to the mortgage on 40 Wall Street. Id. 348:4-16. Moreover, Bender admittedly did not read appraisals that he received in relation to the compilation engagement, as he testified that he does believe he read any appraisals, and he has "no recollection of reading a whole appraisal." (Id. at 249:14-250:5) Mr. Bender also did not request appraisals every year, as he testified he only asked if other appraisals existed on "most years." (Id. at 239:14-16) Finally, the veracity of the 2012 appraisal is disputed because certain assumptions employed by Cushman led to a decreased valuation, making these appraisals immaterial to the compilation of President Trump's SOFC. (Chin Aff. $9 \|$ 38-44, Ex. A at 22-30)
93. The 2013 SFC represents that the $\$ 530,700,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon a successful renegotiation of the ground lease and an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation, and a capitalization rate was applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the buildings operations." (Ex. 3 at -042)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

94. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2013 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by applying a cap rate of $4.31 \%$ to net operating income of $\$ 22,872,800$. (Ex. 15 at Rows 110-142)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

95. The net operating income of $\$ 22,872,800$ reflected income of $\$ 43,552,800$ and expenses of $\$ 20,680,000$. The $\$ 43,552,800$ of income consisted of: (i) $\$ 36,981,000$ from "Income-rented space," (ii) \$5,171,800 from "Income-vacant office space," and (iii) \$1,400,000
from "Income-vacant retail space,". (Ex. 15 at Rows 115-122)
RESPONSE: Undisputed.
96. The supporting spreadsheet for 2013 shows that the cap rate of $4.31 \%$ was carried over from 2012 because "No similar sized buildings sold in the downtown area in the last year so we used the same rate cap." (Ex. 15 at Rows 141-142)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
97. In an annual review dated October 31, 2013, Capital One valued 40 Wall at \$250,489,000. (Ex. 76 at -0905)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Capital One internally valued 40 Wall Street at $\$ 250,489,000$, but dispute the internal valuation's veracity because the internal valuation did not recognize significantly improving property occupancy and market conditions, which led to an undervaluation of 40 Wall Street. (Chin Aff. TTT 45-46)
98. The 2014 SFC represents that the $\$ 550,100,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon a successful renegotiation of the ground lease and an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation, and a capitalization rate was applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the buildings operations." (Ex. 4 at -722)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
99. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2014 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by applying a cap rate of $4.34 \%$ to net operating income of $\$ 23,873,545$. (Ex. 16 at Rows 110-142)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
100. The net operating income of $\$ 23,873,545$ reflected "Stabilized-based on cash flow
prepared July 2014 including pending leases, Green Ivy and vacant space." (Ex. 16 at Rows 137138)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

101. Based upon the supporting data provided to Mazars, Green Ivy did not start paying rent until November 18, 2016. (Ex. 77)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
102. The supporting spreadsheet for 2014 shows that the cap rate of $4.34 \%$ was used based on "Information provided by Doug Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield, Inc. Only one similar sized Class A building sold in the downtown area in the last year (110 William Street) with a cap rate of $4.97 \%$. There was one Class B building sold recently (61 Broadway). The cap rate for this building [sic] is $4.46 \%$. According to Doug, the spread between Class A and Class B buildings is typically $50-100$ basis points. To be conservative, we reduced the cap rate by 75 basis points to $3.71 \%$. We used the average of these two rates." (Ex. 16 at Rows 148-152)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

103. In an annual review dated November 17, 2014, Capital One valued 40 Wall at \$257,729,000. (Ex. 78 at -0385)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Capital One internally valued 40 Wall at $\$ 257,729,000$, but dispute the internal valuation's veracity because the internal valuation did not recognize significantly improving property occupancy and market conditions, which led to an undervaluation of 40 Wall Street. (Chin Aff. qTI 45-46)
104. In June 2015, Cushman prepared an appraisal of 40 Wall Street for Ladder Capital Finance LLC ("Ladder Capital") that valued the building as-is at $\$ 540,000,000$, as-of June 1 , 2015 (the "2015 40 Wall Appraisal"). (Ex. 79 at -9324) The appraisal was signed by Douglas

Larson, Naoum Papagianopoulos and Robert Nardella of Cushman. (Ex. 79 at -9325)
RESPONSE: Undisputed that the appraisal was performed, contained the valuations listed, and was signed by the listed individuals. However, the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which optimistic forward-looking valuation method is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal. (Chin Aff. $\mathbb{\|}$ 38)
105. One of the comparable properties considered by Cushman was 100 Wall Street. In comparing 100 Wall Street to 40 Wall, "a downward adjustment was required for property rights conveyed. A downward adjustment was required for size under the premise that smaller properties sell for more per square foot than larger properties." (Ex. 79 at -9419)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the appraisal so states. However, the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which optimistic forward-looking valuation approach is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal. (Chin

Aff. I| 38)
106. The Trump Organization had a copy of the 201540 Wall Appraisal in its files. (Ex. 75 at -8605)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Moreover, the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which optimistic forward- looking approach is not contemplated by the 2015
appraisal (Chin Aff. q| 38).
107. In an email exchange from August 4, 2015, Allen Weisselberg discussed the $\$ 540$ million valuation in the Cushman appraisal with his son Jack Weisselberg, an employee at Ladder Capital. (Ex. 80)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Allen Weisselberg did not discuss the $\$ 540,000,000$ Cushman valuation with Jack Weisselberg. Rather, Allen Weisselberg forwarded several questions to his son via email sent by a journalist asking for information regarding Ladder Capital's loan on 40 Wall Street. The question that Allen Weisselberg asked his son was "What was the LTV on the deal?" Only then did Jack Weisselberg mention the $\$ 540,000,000$ appraised value in response to Allen Weisselberg's question and questions from the journalist; however, there was no discussion about the valuation itself, only its effect on the LTV of the loan, and the Cushman appraisal was not mentioned in the discussion. Faherty Aff. Ex. 80. Moreover, the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which optimistic forward-looking approach is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal. (Chin Aff. © | 38)
108. The 2015 SFC represents that the $\$ 735,400,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon an evaluation made by Mr. Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals of leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation, and a capitalization rate was applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the buildings operations." (Ex. 5 at -696)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
109. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2015 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall

Street was derived by applying a cap rate of $3.29 \%$ to net operating income of $\$ 24,194,280$. (Ex. 17 at Rows 120-127)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
110. The net operating income of $\$ 24,194,280$ consisted of: (i) $\$ 18,569,800$ from "2016 Budget before debt service, cap ex, TI, leasing commissions," (ii) \$3,665,000 from "Additional income to bring rent roll to a stabilized basis," (iii) $\$ 891,985$ from "Additional income for leases that are currently being negotiated," and (iv) $\$ 1,067,495$ from "Additional income - vacant space." (Ex. 17 at Rows 120-124)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

111. The supporting spreadsheet for 2015 shows that the cap rate of $3.29 \%$ was used based on "Based on information provided by Douglas Larson of Cushman \& Wakefield on $11 / 23 / 2015$ which reflects a rate cap of $3.04 \%$ for 100 Wall Street. Based on a telephone conversation with Doug Larsen [sic] on 2/1/2016, since the ground lease still has about 190 years left the effect on the cap rate is minimal. To be conservative we increased the cap rate $.25 \%$ to $3.29 \%$." (Ex. 17 at Rows 141-145)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
112. Jeffrey McConney sent Donald Bender an excerpt of the 201540 Wall Appraisal to support using the $3.04 \%$ cap rate from 100 Wall Street. (Ex. 81) But Mr. McConney excluded from the excerpt a section of the appraisal showing that Mr. Larson declined to use the 3.04\% cap rate from 100 Wall Street and determined that a $4.25 \%$ was appropriate for 40 Wall Street. (Ex. 79 at -9324)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The appraisal excerpt sent to Mr. Bender indicated that the capitalization rate used for 40 Wall Street under a direct capitalization approach was $4.25 \%$. The
excerpt also displays the capitalization rates for all other properties used as comparable in that appraisal. The appraisal excerpt also included the capitalization rates (OAR) for every comparable property considered, which capitalization rates range from a low of $2.68 \%$ to a high of $7.68 \%$. The information in the appraisal excerpt is sufficient for Mr. Bender to deduce that Cushman used a capitalization rate of $4.25 \%$ to value 40 Wall Street. (See generally Faherty Aff., Ex. 81) Mr. Bender also testified that the capitalization rate used for 40 Wall Street in the 2015 appraisal was $4.25 \%$. (Faherty AFF., Ex. 72 670:21-671:2) Further, Mr. Bender stated that he did "not always" read what was sent to him by the client, and that he does "not believe any member of [his] team ever - to [his] knowledge, ever saw or worked on this - document." (Robert Aff., Ex. AAP, Bender Tr. 335:10-23, 336:11-16) Moreover, the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which forward looking approach looking is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal. (Chin Aff. ๆ 38)
113. Donald Bender testified that it was misleading for the Trump Organization not to disclose the evaluation of the 100 Wall Street transaction in the 201540 Wall Appraisal and that if he had been aware of it, that could have led to the 2011 SFC not being issued. (Ex. 72 at 670:14-674:14)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Bender did not state that the SOFC may not have been issued, he stated that Mazars potentially would not have issued the SOFC "with the amounts shown." (Faherty Aff., Ex. 72 at 674:14-15). Moreover, the appraisal excerpt sent to Mr. Bender indicated that the capitalization rate used for 40 Wall Street under a direct capitalization approach was $4.25 \%$. The excerpt also displays the capitalization rates for all other properties used as comparable in that appraisal. The appraisal excerpt also included capitalization rates
(OAR) for every comparable property considered, which capitalization rates range from a low of $2.68 \%$ to a high of $7.68 \%$. The information in the appraisal excerpt is sufficient for Mr. Bender to deduce that Cushman used a capitalization rate of $4.25 \%$ to value 40 Wall Street. (See generally Faherty Aff., Ex. 81) Mr. Bender also testified that the capitalization rate used for 40 Wall Street in the 2015 appraisal was 4.25\%. (Faherty AFF., Ex. 72 670:21-671:2) Further, Mr. Bender stated that he did "not always" read what was sent to him by the client, and that he does "not believe any member of [his] team ever - to [his] knowledge, ever saw or worked on this document." (Robert Aff., Ex. AAP, Bender Tr. 335:10-23, 336:11-16) Moreover, the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which forward looking approach looking is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal (Chin Aff. § 38).
114. The chart below shows the increase in the value of 40 Wall over the independent valuations conducted between 2011 and 2015:

| Year | SFC Value | Independent Value | Reduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $\$ 524,700,000$ | $\$ 200,000,000$ | $\$ 324,700,000$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 527,200,000$ | $\$ 220,000,000$ | $\$ 307,200,000$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 530,700,000$ | $\$ 250,489,000$ | $\$ 280,211,000$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 550,100,000$ | $\$ 257,729,000$ | $\$ 292,371,000$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 735,400,000$ | $\$ 540,000,000$ | $\$ 195,400,000$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. The independent valuations listed are in dispute, as they use inappropriate assumptions in deriving value, and, therefore, the listed reductions are inappropriate as it would wrongfully diminish the property's estimated current value. Moreover, President Trump, as a land developer took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future
value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which optimistic forward-looking approach is not contemplated by the 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2015 appraisals or Capital One's internal valuations, thereby any reduction to 40 Wall Street's value is inappropriate. (Chin Aff. 9T1 38-46, Ex. A at 22-30)
115. The 2016 SFC represents that the $\$ 796,400,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon an evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals based on comparable sales." (Ex. 6 at -1988) The 2016 SFC stated that 40 Wall Street was a "72-stoiy tower consisting of 1.3 million square feet." (Ex. 6 at 1988) The 2016 SFC did not disclose the change in methodology from 2015 used to dete1mine the estimated current value of 40 W all Street.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Any reader of the 2016 SOFC would be able to determine the valuation method used for 40 Wall Street in 2016, which was "comparable sales" (Faherty Aff., Ex. 6 at 1988). The 2015 SOFC similarly informed the reader that of the valuation method employed, which was reached through the consideration "of leases that have been signed or are currently the subject of negotiation, and a capitalization rate applied to the resultant cash flow to be derived from the building's operations." (Faherty Aff., Ex. 5, at -0696)
116. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2016 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by multiplying $1,164,286$ "Total $\mathrm{SF}^{\prime \prime}$ by a price of " $\$ 684$ per sq ft from 60 Wall Street." (Ex. 18 at Rows 134-140)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

117. The 2016 valuation did not reduce the value of 40 Wall Street to account for the ground rent due on the building.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
118. The supporting data provided to Mazars consisted of printouts of articles concerning the sale of 60 Wall Street and did not come from outside professionals. (Ex. 82)

RESPONSE: Disputed, all of the articles regarding 60 Wall Street were prepared by outside professionals (i.e., the Costar Group). (Faherty Aff., Ex. 82).
119. The supporting data provided to Mazars, noted that the sale of 60 Wall Street was $\$ 1$ billion for a 95 percent stake at a price of $\$ 640$ per square foot. (Ex. 82) The Trump Organization adjusted the price to $\$ 684$ per square foot to reflect a 100 percent interest in the building. The supporting documents noted that the $\$ 640$ price per square foot was "down from the $\$ 730$ per square foot the tower traded at in June 2007." (Ex. 82)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Moreover, the NYAG fails cite evidence to support that "the price [was adjusted up] to $\$ 684$ per square foot to reflect a 100 percent interest in the building."
120. In the 2007 SFC, the Trump Organization valued 40 Wall Street at $\$ 525,000,000$. (Ex. 83 at 8)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed.
121. In the 201540 Wall Appraisal, Cushman distinguished 60 Wall Street as a "large post-war building," as compared with 40 Wall Street, a pre-war building built in 1929. (Ex. 79 at -9369-70)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2015 Appraisal describes 60 Wall Street as a part of a list of 49
"Modern buildings constructed since 1980," which was a distinct subcategory from the "other large post-war buildings" listed. (Faherty Aff. Ex. 79 at -9369-70). Moreover, the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which forward looking approach looking is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal. (Chin Aff. $\boldsymbol{\|}$ 38)
122. The 201540 Wall Appraisal did not identify 60 Wall Street as either "considered to be competitive" or "directly competitive" with 40 Wall Street. (Ex. 79 at -9370-74)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the 201540 Wall Appraisal did not identify 60 Wall Street as a competitive building, but the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which forward looking approach looking is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal. (Chin Aff. \|f 38)
123. The 2017 SFC represents that the $\$ 702,100,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon an evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals based on comparable sales." (Ex. 7 at -1847) The 2017 SFC stated that 40 Wall Street was a "72-story tower consisting of 1.3 million square feet." (Ex. 7 at 1847)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

124. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2017 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by multiplying $1,164,286$ "Total SF" by a price of " $\$ 603$ per sq ft from recent sales comps." (Ex. 19 at Rows 137-147)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
125. The 2017 valuation did not reduce the value of 40 Wall Street to account for the ground rent due on the building.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
126. The supporting data provided to Mazars, indicated that the Trump Organization selected the two highest price per square foot sales 10 "Downtown Office Improved Sales." (Ex. 84) The two buildings selected - 60 Wall Street and 85 Broad Street - were built in the 1980s. (Ex. 84)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Moreover, the use of the prices per square foot chosen were appropriate choices from the available data to create a forward-looking optimistic valuation from a developer's perspective, and Plaintiff fails to cite any evidence that the chosen prices per square foot were inappropriate to use as comparables.
127. The sale price of 60 Wall Street was identified as $\$ 624$ per square foot, below the $\$ 684$ per square foot used for the same sale in 2016. (Ex. 84)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
128. The 201540 Wall Appraisal did not identify 60 Wall Street or 85 Broad Street as either "considered to be competitive" or "directly competitive" with 40 Wall Street. (Ex. 79 at -9370-74)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the 201540 Wall Appraisal did not identify 60 Wall Street or 85 Broad Street as competitive buildings, but the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which forward looking
approach is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal. (Chin Aff. $\boldsymbol{\|}$ | 38)
129. The 201540 Wall Appraisal did list 123 William Street as a "directly competitive building." (Ex. 79 at $-9374,-9462$ ) The supporting data provided to Mazars indicated that 123 William Street sold in March 2015 for a price of $\$ 463.96$ per square foot. (Ex. 84) The 201540 Wall Appraisal considered that sale and adjusted the price down to $\$ 443.97$ per square foot to account for comparisons with 40 Wall Street, including the "property rights conveyed." (Ex. 79 at -9419-9418)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the 2015 appraisals listed 123 William Street as a competitive building, and that the supporting data listed 123 William Street at a price of $\$ 463.96$ per square foot, but the veracity of the 2015 appraisal is disputed because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of 40 Wall Street's potential future value, considering improving market trends and occupancy, which forward looking approach is not contemplated by the 2015 appraisal, and thereby the 2015 appraisal undervalues 40 Wall Street. (Chin Aff. II 38)
130. The 2018 SFC represents that the $\$ 720,300,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon an evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals based on comparable sales." (Ex. 8 at -2730) The 2018 SFC stated that 40 Wall Street was a "72-story tower consisting of 1.3 million square feet." (Ex. 8 at 2730)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

131. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2018 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by multiplying $1,164,286$ "Total SF" by a price of " $\$ 647$ per sq ft from recent sales comps." (Ex. 20 Rows 137-157) That total of $\$ 753,293,042$ was then reduced by
$\$ 33,000,000$, reflecting ground rent of $\$ 1,650,000$ and a cap rate of $5 \%$.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

132. The supporting spreadsheet identified the source for the "recent sales comps" as "Sales price per sq ft comps provided by Michael Papagionopoulous [sic] of Cushman \& Wakefield on 9/11/18." (Ex. 20 at Rows 155-156) That email, however, makes no mention of 40 Wall Street, covers a list of all midtown and downtown office sales, and contains no analysis of whether any properties listed are comparable to 40 Wall Street. (Ex. 85) In a later thread in that chain, a Trump Organization employee confirms that "there haven't been any Downtown Class A Office Building sales since November 2017." (Ex. 86)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Moreover, the email between Mr. Papagionopoulous and Mr. Birney was regarding "MT Office Sales and DT Office Sales data[,]" and Mr. Papagionopoulous provided the available data relevant to those sales. (Faherty Aff. Ex. 85) The supporting data spreadsheet represented the same, as these were the most recent comp sales. While it was confirmed that there were no "Downtown Class A Office Building sales since November 2017," the relevant data available at the time was used to formulate forward-looking optimistic valuation assumptions from a developer's perspective. (Faherty Aff. Ex. 85)
133. The supporting data provided to Mazars, indicated that the Trump Organization selected the two highest price per square foot sales 10 "Downtown Office Improved Sales." (Ex. 87) Once again 60 Wall Street was selected. But this time 85 Broad Street was excluded for a higher priced sale at 1 Liberty Plaza, built in 1972. (Ex. 87)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Moreover, while the prices per sq. foot chosen were the highest from available data, the data was used to formulate forward-looking optimistic valuation assumptions from a developer's perspective, making the valuation method appropriate.
134. The 2019 SFC represents that the $\$ 724,100,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based upon an evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals based on comparable sales." (Ex. 19 at -1795) The 2019 SFC stated that 40 Wall Street was a "72-story tower consisting of 1.3 million square feet." (Ex. 19 at -1795)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

135. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2019 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by multiplying $1,207,042$ "Newly Measured Square Footage per email from Miles Fennon of Cushman \& Wakefield on $9 / 24 / 19$ " by a price of " $\$ 630$ per sq ft from recent sales comps." (Ex. 21 at Rows $135-161$ ) That total of $\$ 760,436,460$ was then reduced by $\$ 36,300,000$, reflecting an increased ground rent of $\$ 1,815,000$ and a cap rate of $5 \%$.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
136. The supporting spreadsheet identified the source for the "recent sales comps" as "Sales price per sq ft comps provided by Douglas Larson of Newmark on 7/8/19." (Ex. 21 at Rows 156-157)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
137. That email, however, makes no mention of 40 Wall Street, covers a list of all
midtown and downtown office sales, and contains no analysis of whether any properties listed are comparable to 40 Wall Street. (Ex. 88) In a later thread in that chain, a Trump Organization employee confirms that as of July 2019, "the last Class A Downtown sale was May 2018." (Ex. 89)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The supporting spreadsheets indicate that the price per square foot cam e from "comps provided by Douglas Larson of Newmark on 7/8/19[,]" (Faherty Aff., Ex. 21) which is exactly what Mr. Larson provided, "midtown and downtown" sales. (Ex. 88) Even if the "the last Class A Downtown sale was May 2018 " the relevant data available at the time was used to formulate forward-looking optimistic valuation assumptions from a developer's perspective.
138. The supporting data provided to Mazars, indicated that once again 60 Wall Street, 85 Broad Street and 1 Liberty Plaza were selected as comparables. (Ex. 89)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
139. The 2020 SFC represents that the $\$ 663,600,000$ estimated current value of 40 Wall Street was "based on comparable sales." (Ex. 10 at -2258) The 2020 SFC stated that 40 Wall Street was a "72-story tower consisting of 1.2 million square feet." (Ex. 10 at -2258)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
140. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2020 SFC shows that the valuation for 40 Wall Street was derived by multiplying $1,207,042$ "Newly Measured Square Footage per email from Miles Fennon of Cushman \& Wakefield on $9 / 24 / 19$ " by a price per square foot of $\$ 588$. (Ex. 22 at Rows 122-128) That price per square foot was derived by taking " $\$ 692$ per sq ft
from 44 Wall Street sold March 2020 (per NYC)" and applying a " $15 \%$ ppsf discount to account for the difference in size of the buildings and covid." (Ex. 22 at Rows 127-128) That total of $\$ 709,904,341$ was then reduced by $\$ 46,300,001$, reflecting an increased ground rent of $\$ 2,315,000$ and a cap rate of $5 \%$.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

141. The supporting data provided to Mazars, shows that for the first time, the Trump Organization used a New York City Department of Finance website as support for a comparable valuation. (Ex. 90-2345) A printout from the website showing "PTS Sales as of $11 / 12 / 2020$ " included a sale of 44 Wall Street at $\$ 200,000,000$ with a "gross square feet" of 289,049 feet. (Ex. 90-2345) Those numbers were used to calculate a price per square foot of \$691.93. (Ex. 90-2345)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed.
142. But on April 8, 2020, the Trump Organization had received an email from Doug Larson with the correct transaction details. (Ex. 91) The report from Mr. Larson reflected the correct square footage of 336,000 for a price per square foot of $\$ 595$ per square foot. (Ex. 91 8232)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. A report that was current as of November 12, 2020 from the State of New York was used for the square footage calculation of 44 Wall Street (Faherty, Ex. 90).
143. In 2021, the SFC simply repeated the valuation from 2020 because "The most relevant data point is the still 44 Wall St." (Ex. 23 at Row 120)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The valuation in 2021 was not simply repeated from 2020, as in 2021 the supporting spreadsheet also listed that "111 Wall St secured a total financing package of $\$ 500 \mathrm{M}$ which works out to $\$ 500 \mathrm{ppsf}[$,] 100 Pearl St in contract at $\$ 900 \mathrm{ppsf}[$, and] Since last year's ppsf of $\$ 588$ falls in between these two recent sales comps, we kept $\$ 588$.
144. The Mar-a-Lago club in Palm Beach, Florida is subject to a host of restrictions on its use and development.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Attorney General fails to cite to any documentation in support of this proposition.
145. In 1993, Donald Trump submitted an application for a special exception to use Mar-a-Lago as a private social club. (Ex. 92) That application noted that "it is impractical for a single individual to continuously own Mar-a-Lago as a private estate at his or her sole expense. When The Post Foundation marketed the property after its return to the Foundation from the U.S. Government, it was almost impossible to sell. About 80 qualified buyers, thoroughly screened, inspected Mar-a-Lago and elected against even making an offer. H. Ross Perot was one prospect. Although 'everything is for sale at a price,' no one would step forward to make any offers for this so-called 'white elephant.'" (Ex. 92 at 3)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
146. As a result of the application, Mr. Trump entered into a Declaration of Use Agreement with the Town of Palm Beach providing that the "use of the Land shall be for a private social club" (Ex. 107 at -697)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
147. Two years later, in 1995 Mr. Trump signed a Deed of Conservation and Preservation Easement giving up his rights to use the property for any purpose other than a social club. (Ex. 93).

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Deed of Conservation and Preservation Easement does not prohibit the use of the property as a private residence in addition to its use as a club, nor is there any requirement that Mar-a-Lago be used as a club in perpetuity. Robert Aff., Ex. AAAQ ("Shubin Aff.") |q/ 10-13.
148. Several years later, in 2002, Mr. Trump signed a deed of development rights conveying to the National Trust for Historic Preservation "any and all of their rights to develop the Property for any usage other than club usage." (Ex. 94)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The quoted language in the 2002 Deed must be construed consistent with the Preservation Easement, which does not prohibit the property from continuing to be used as a private residence. Shubin Aff., Ex. A at 4.
149. Because of the limitations placed on Mar-a-Lago through these deeds, the property has been taxed as a club, leading to a lower tax rate than a private home.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Attorney General fails to cite to any documentation in support of this proposition.
150. This approach by the county has been public record for decades. In 2003, the Palm Beach County Appraiser Gary Nikolits was publicly quoted as saying Mar-a-Lago "no longer can be considered for a residential subdivision," and "because the value of the club property has gone up, people can't afford to belong because the tax load is so great. They have no intention of being anything but a club so they give up development rights." (Ex. 96)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The language in paragraph 150 is accurately quoted, however,
the document is misleading. The property is currently zoned R-AA (Large Estate Residential) and, under this zoning designation, it may be used as a single-family home. Shubin Aff., Ex. A at 6.
151. In 2019 the Palm Beach County Assessor was quoted publicly as saying: "the value of the Mar-a-Lago property is figured each year using an 'income approach,' said Tim Wilmath, chief appraiser for the property appraiser's office. The formula, he explained, 'capitalizes' the net operating income that the private club reports to the property appraiser each year. The reason for using that formula can be traced, in part, to a "deed of development rights "recorded in 2002 that prevents the property from being redeveloped or used for any purpose other than a club, Wilmath said. That deed restriction extended existing redevelopment restrictions already detailed in a conservation and preservation easement deed executed by the National Trust for Historic Preservation in 1995, the year before Trump opened his private club." (Ex. 95)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the article from the Palm Beach Daily News included the quoted language. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of the quoted language, as the deed of development rights does not prevent Mar-a-Lago from being used as a private residence. Shubin Aff. q||l 9-12.
152. Neither the Trump Organization nor Donald Trump challenged either of these statements or the approach taken by the county in appraising Mar-a-Lago.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Attorney General fails to cite to any documentation in support of this proposition. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is
attributed.
153. In the 2011 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 1 at -3140 ) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 1,314,600,000$ in total. (Ex. 1 at -3140 ) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2011 SFC. (Ex. 1 at -3140) The 2011 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 1,314,600$ is based on an assessment of cash flow that is expected to be derived from club operations, the sale of residential units after subtracting the estimated costs to be incurred, or recent sales of properties in a similar location." (Ex. 1 at -3140) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2011 SFC.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
154. The 2011 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 1 at -3140) The 2011 SFC states that, through June 30, 2011, the Club holds $\$ 38,040,000$ in membership deposits, but that because "Mr. Trump will have use of those funds for that period with without cost and that the source of repayment will most likely be a replacement membership has led him to value this liability at zero." (Ex. 1 at -3140) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2011 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

155. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2011 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 426,529,614$. (Ex. 13 at Row 217) That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 13 at Row 185)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

156. The value of $\$ 426,529,614$ was obtained by generating an "Average value per acre" using two asking prices for Palm Beach property, that average is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. (Ex. 13 at Row 2000212) That number is then increased by 30 percent reflecting a "Premium for completed facility." (Ex. 13 at Row 213) A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits." (Ex. 13 at Row 215)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
157. In the 2012 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 2 at -6317) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 1,570,300,000$ in total. (Ex. 2 at -6317) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2012 SFC. (Ex. 2 at -6317) The 2012 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 1,570,300,000$ is based on an assessment of cash flow that is expected to be derived from club operations, cash expenditures to improve certain facilities, the sale of residential units after subtracting the estimated costs to be incurred, or recent sales of properties in a similar location." (Ex. 2 at -6317) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2012 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

158. The 2012 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 2 at -6317) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2012 SFC.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
159. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2012 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 531,902,903$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 14 at Rows 187-220)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

160. The value of $\$ 531,902,903$ was obtained by generating an "Average value per acre" using two asking prices for Palm Beach property, that average is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. That number is then increased by 30 percent reflecting a "Premium for completed facility." A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits." (Ex. 14 at Rows 187220)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

161. In the 2013 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 3 at -043) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 1,656,200,000$ in total. (Ex. 3 at -043) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2013 SFC. (Ex. 3 at -043) The 2013 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 1,656,200,000$ is based on an assessment of cash flow that is expected to be derived from club operations, cash expenditures to improve certain facilities, the sale of residential units after subtracting the estimated costs to be incurred, or recent sales of properties in a similar location. That assessment was prepared by Mr. Trump working in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals." (Ex. 3 at -043) The valuation method used for Mar-aLago is not separately disclosed in the 2013 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

162. The 2013 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000
square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 3 at -043) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2013 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

163. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2013 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 490,149,221$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 15 at Rows 193-228)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

164. The value of $\$ 490,149,221$ was obtained by generating a "Value per acre" using "Actual selling price" of property in Palm Beach. That value per acre is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. Amounts are then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom," "Construction of beach cabanas," and "Construction of tennis pavillion and teahouse." The total number is then increased by 30 percent reflecting a "Premium for completed facility and a greater build out." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment, because " 1220 S Ocean was a spec house and sold without FF\&E. Value of FF\&E on Mar-aLago balance sheet as of $6 / 30 / 2013$ is added to the value of the property." A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits." (Ex. 15 at Rows 209-233)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

165. In the 2014 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 4 at -723 ) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 2,009,300,000$ in total. (Ex. 4 at -723 ) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2014 SFC. (Ex. 4 at -723) The 2014 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 2,009,300,000$ for these properties is shown on a cost basis and is net of
refundable non-interest bearing long-term deposits where applicable. In those cases where a residential component exists, comparable sales were utilized in arriving at their values. That assessment was prepared by Mr. Trump working in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals." (Ex. 4 at -723) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2014 SFC.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
166. The 2014 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 4 at -723) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2014 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

167. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2014 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 405,362,123$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 16 at Rows 207-242)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

168. The value of $\$ 405,362,123$ was obtained by generating a "Value per acre" using the "selling price" of property in Palm Beach. That value per acre is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. Amounts are then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom," "Construction of beach cabanas," and "Construction of tennis pavillion and teahouse." The total number is then increased by 30 percent reflecting a "Premium for completed facility and a greater build out." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment, because " 1220 S Ocean was a spec house and sold without FF\&E. Value of FF\&E on Mar-a-

Lago balance sheet as of $6 / 30 / 2013$ is added to the value of the property." A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits." (Ex. 16 at Rows 210-242)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
169. In the 2015 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 5 at -697) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 1,873,300,000$ in total. (Ex. 5 at -697) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2015 SFC. (Ex. 5 at -697) The 2015 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 1,873,300,000$ for these properties is based on an evaluation made by Mr . Trump in conjunction with his associates and outside professionals and is net of refundable noninterest bearing long-term deposits, where applicable. In those cases where a residential component exists, comparable sales were utilized in arriving at their values." (Ex. 5 at -697) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2015 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

170. The 2015 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 5 at -697) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2015 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

171. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2015 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 347,761,431$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 17 at Rows 192-218)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
172. The value of $\$ 347,761,431$ was obtained by generating a "Value per acre" using the "Actual selling price" of property in Palm Beach. That value per acre is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. An amount is then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom and beach cabanas adjusted for inflation." The total number is then increased by 30 percent reflecting a "Premium for completed facility and a greater build out." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment. A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits." (Ex. 17 at Rows 200-218)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

173. In the 2016 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 6 at -1989 ) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 2,107,800,000$ in total. (Ex. 6 at -1989 ) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2016 SFC. (Ex. 6 at -1989) The 2016 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 2,107,800,000$ for these properties is based on an evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals and is net of refundable non-interest bearing long-term deposits, where applicable. In those cases where a residential component exists, comparable sales were utilized in arriving at their values." (Ex. 6 at -1989) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2016 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

174. The 2016 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 6 at -1989) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2016 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

175. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2016 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 570,373,061$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 18 at Rows 203-240)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

176. The value of $\$ 570,373,061$ was obtained by generating an "Average value per acre" using the "Selling price" of three properties in Palm Beach. That value per acre is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. An amount is then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom and beach cabanas adjusted for inflation." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment. A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits" and "Member Deposits Non-Refundable." (Ex. 18 at Rows 206-240)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
177. In the 2017 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 7 at -1848 ) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 2,159,700,000$ in total. (Ex. 7 at -1848 ) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2017 SFC. (Ex. 7 at -1848) The 2016 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 2,159,700,000$ for these properties is based on an evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals and is net of refundable non-interest bearing long-term deposits, where applicable. In those cases where a residential component exists, comparable sales were utilized in arriving at their values." (Ex. 7 at -1848) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2017 SFC.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2017 Statement of Financial Condition contains the quoted language in the fourth sentence of paragraph 177, not the 2016 Statement of Financial Condition.
178. The 2017 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 7 at -1848) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2017 SFC.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
179. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2017 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 580,028,373$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 19 at Rows 214-246)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

180. The value of $\$ 580,028,373$ was obtained by generating an "Average value per acre" using the "Selling price" of three properties in Palm Beach. The three properties are the same three used for the 2016 SFC. That "Average value per acre" is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. An amount is then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom and beach cabanas adjusted for inflation." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment. A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits Refundable." (Ex. 19 at Rows 217246)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

181. In the 2018 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 8 at -2731) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 2,349,900,000$ in total. (Ex. 8 at -2731) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2018 SFC. (Ex. 8 at -2731) The 2018 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 2,349,900,000$ for these properties is based on an evaluation made by the

Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals and is net of refundable non-interest bearing long-term deposits, where applicable. In those cases where a residential component exists, comparable sales were utilized in arriving at their values." (Ex. 8 at -2731) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2018 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

182. The 2018 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 8 at -2731) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2018 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

183. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2018 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 739,452,519$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 20 at Rows 215-255)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
184. The value of $\$ 739,452,519$ was obtained by generating an "Average value per acre" using the "Selling price" of two properties in Palm Beach. That value per acre is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. An amount is then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom and beach cabanas adjusted for inflation." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment. A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits Refundable." (Ex. 20 at Rows 233-255)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

185. In the 2019 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and

Related Real Estate." (Ex. 9 at -1796) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 2,349,900,000$ in total. (Ex. 9 at -1796 ) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2019 SFC. (Ex. 9 at -1796) The 2019 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 2,182,200,000$ for these properties is based on an evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals and is net of refundable non-interest bearing long-term deposits, where applicable. In those cases where a residential component exists, comparable sales were utilized in arriving at their values." (Ex. 9 at -1796) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2019 SFC.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The estimated current value for "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate" in the 2019 Statement of Financial Condition is $\$ 2,182,800,000$, not \$2,349,900,000. Faherty Aff., Ex. 9 at -1796.
186. The 2019 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 9 at -1796) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2019 SFC.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
187. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2019 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 647,118,780$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 21 at Rows 215-255)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

188. The value of $\$ 647,118,780$ was obtained by generating an "Average value per acre" using the "Selling price" of five properties in Palm Beach. The two properties with the
highest "Value per acre" are the same two properties used for the 2018 SFC. That "Average value per acre" is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. An amount is then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom and beach cabanas adjusted for inflation." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment. A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits Refundable." (Ex. 21 at Rows 233-255)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
189. In the 2020 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 10 at -2251-52) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 1,880,700,000$ in total. (Ex. 10 at -2251 ) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2020 SFC. (Ex. 10 at -2252) The 2020 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 1,880,700,000$ for these properties is net of refundable non-interest bearing long-term deposits, where applicable, and was derived utilizing various methodologies including, without limitation, cost basis, comparable sales, appraisals and offers, where available." (Ex. 10 at -2251) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2020 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

190. The 2020 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest cottages." (Ex. 10 at -2252) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2020 SFC.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

191. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2020 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 517,004,874$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 22 at Rows

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

192. The value of $\$ 517,004,874$ was obtained by generating an "Average value per acre" using the "Selling price" of five properties in Palm Beach. The three properties with the highest "Value per acre" are three of same properties used for the 2019 SFC. That "Average value per acre" is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. An amount is then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom and beach cabanas adjusted for inflation." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment. A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits Refundable." (Ex. 22 at Rows 233-255)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

193. In the 2021 SFC, Mar-a-Lago is included in the category "Club Facilities and Related Real Estate." (Ex. 11 at -6421) The estimated current value of that category is $\$ 1,758,000,000$ in total. (Ex. 11 at -6421) The estimated current value of Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2021 SFC. (Ex. 11 at -6421) The 2021 SFC states that the "estimated current value of $\$ 1,758,000,000$ for these properties is net of refundable non-interest bearing long-term deposits, where applicable, and was derived utilizing various methodologies including, without limitation, capitalization of income, gross income multiplier, cost basis, comparable sales, appraisals and offers, where available." (Ex. 11 at -6421) The valuation method used for Mar-a-Lago is not separately disclosed in the 2021 SFC.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
194. The 2020 SFC describes Mar-a-Lago as "an exclusive private club which consists of 117 rooms. Formerly known as the Marjorie Merriweather Post Estate, it features a 20,000 square foot Louis XIV style ballroom, world class dining, tennis courts, spa, cabanas and guest
cottages." (Ex. 11 at -6421) There is no discussion of the use of Mar-a-Lago as a private home, or of a residential component to the property in the 2020 SFC.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff cites the 2021 Statement of Financial Condition, but describes the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition. Defendants do not dispute that the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition contains the quoted language. Faherty Aff., Ex. 10 at $\mathbf{- 2 2 5 2}$.
195. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2021 SFC shows the value of Mar-a-Lago as $\$ 612,110,496$. That amount is described as "Value if sold to an individual." (Ex. 23 at Rows 185-245)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

196. The value of $\$ 612,110,496$ was obtained by generating an "Average value per acre" using the "Selling price" of five properties in Palm Beach. That "Average value per acre" is then multiplied by the total acres of Mar-a-Lago. An amount is then added for, "Construction of Grand Ballroom and beach cabanas adjusted for inflation." An amount is added for "FF\&E," or furniture, fixtures and equipment. A deduction is then made for "Member Deposits Refundable." (Ex. 23 at Rows 213-245)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

197. Because of the restrictions on the Mar-a-Lago property, including the 1995 and 2002 Deeds, Mar-a-Lago pays property tax based on its operation as a club. (Ex. 95) Each year the Palm Beach County Appraiser appraises the market value of Mar-a-Lago to determine its value for taxation purposes. (Exs. 98, 99) The market value assessed by the appraiser is defined as "The estimated price a willing buyer would pay and a willing seller accept, both being fully informed and the property exposed to the market for a reasonable period of time." (https://www.pbcgov.org/papa/glossary.htm\#Total_Market_Value).

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff's reliance on the Palm Beach County Appraiser "assessed value as proxy for investment value is flawed as there are significant differences in methods, and the qualifications of assessors vs. appraisers vs. owners. In addition, assessed values lack the knowledge and detailed support that qualified investment/sales brokers, appraisers, property owners, real estate developers provide when determining property value." (Chin Aff. ๆ 93)
198. Under ASC 274, Estimated Current Value can be determined using, "Assessed value for property taxes, including consideration of the basis for such assessments and their relationship to market values in the area."

RESPONSE: Disputed to the extent that ASC 274 affords the preparer of an SOFC wide latitude in the selection of methods and assumptions to use in determining Estimated Current Value and that this latitude is not limited by the method of valuation stated which is only one of many methods available to the preparer under ASC 724. Otherwise, undisputed insofar as the stated method is one of the methods available under ASC 274 for determining Estimated Current Value.
199. Each year, from 2011 through 2021, the Palm Beach Count Appraiser determined the market value of Mar-a-Lago to be as follows:

| Year | Market Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $\$ 18,000,000$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 18,000,000$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 18,000,000$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 18,651,310$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 20,309,516$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 21,013,331$ |


| 2017 | $\$ 23,100,000$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $\$ 25,400,000$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 26,600,000$ |
| 2020 | $\$ 26,600,000$ |
| 2021 | $\$ 27,600,000$ |

(Source: Ex. 97; also available at hittps://www.pbcgov.org/papa/Asps/Prope1tyDetail/PropertyDetaill.aspx?parcel=50434335 000020390 )

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the figures in the chart are the "Assessed Total Value" but Defendants dispute that the Palm Beach County Appraiser determined the "market value" of Mar-a-Lago as "the tax-roll value assigned to Mar-a-Lago was not figured on what the land and buildings are worth in the traditional sense, according to the Palm Beach Country Property's appraiser's office. Instead, the value of the Mar-a-Lago property is figured each year using an 'income approach[.]'" Faherty Aff., Ex. 95 at 2. Additionally, Plaintiff's reliance on the Palm Beach County Property's "assessed value as proxy for investment value is flawed as there are significant differences in methods, and the qualifications of assessors vs. appraisers vs. owners. In addition, assessed values lack the knowledge and detailed support that qualified investment/sales brokers, appraisers, property owners, real estate developers provide when determining property value." (Chin Aff. 『| 93)
200. Comparing the county's independently derived market value against the stated value in the SFC reflects the following overstatement:

| Year | SFC Value | Market Value | Overstatement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $\$ 426,529,614$ | $\$ 18,000,000$ | $\$ 408,529,614$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 531,902,903$ | $\$ 18,000,000$ | $\$ 513,902,903$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 490,149,221$ | $\$ 18,000,000$ | $\$ 472,149,221$ |


| 2014 | $\$ 405,362,123$ | $\$ 18,651,310$ | $\$ 386,710,813$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | $\$ 347,761,431$ | $\$ 20,309,516$ | $\$ 327,451,915$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 570,373,061$ | $\$ 21,013,331$ | $\$ 549,359,730$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 580,028,373$ | $\$ 23,100,000$ | $\$ 556,928,373$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 739,452,519$ | $\$ 25,400,000$ | $\$ 714,052,519$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 647,118,780$ | $\$ 26,600,000$ | $\$ 620,518,780$ |
| 2020 | $\$ 517,004,874$ | $\$ 26,600,000$ | $\$ 490,404,874$ |
| 2021 | $\$ 612,110,496$ | $\$ 27,600,000$ | $\$ 584,510,496$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants dispute that there was any "overstatement" in valuing Mar-a-Lago in the Statements of Financial Condition. As Mr. Moens, a foremost expert on property values in Palm Beach County, has attested Mar-a-Lago had the following values from 2011 through 2021, which were higher than the numbers reflected in the Statement of Financial Condition:

| Year | SFC Value | Moens Valuation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | $\$ 426,529,614$ | $\$ 655,000,000$ |
| 2012 | $\$ 531,902,903$ | $\$ 675,000,000$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 490,149,221$ | $\$ 660,000,000$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 405,362,123$ | $\$ 685,000,000$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 347,761,431$ | $\$ 720,000,000$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 570,373,061$ | $\$ 760,000,000$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 580,028,373$ | $\$ 790,000,000$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 739,452,519$ | $\$ 825,000,000$ |


| 2019 | $\$ 647,118,780$ | $\$ 865,000,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $\$ 517,004,874$ | $\$ 950,000,000$ |
| 2021 | $\$ 612,110,496$ | $\$ 1,040,000,000$ |

Robert Aff., Ex. AAAP q 9; id. at Ex. A, App. A.
Moreover, as Mr. Moens testified, he "rarely agree[s] with the numbers that the property appraiser's office provides in terms of their assessment of a property's value." Robert Aff., Ex. AAAI ("Moens Dep.") at 145:9-12. Plaintiff's reliance on the Palm Beach County Property's "assessed value as proxy for investment value is flawed as there are significant differences in methods, and the qualifications of assessors vs. appraisers vs. owners. In addition, assessed values lack the knowledge and detailed support that qualified investment/sales brokers, appraisers, property owners, real estate developers provide when determining property value." (Chin Aff. 9 93)
201. The value assigned to the Trump International Golf Club in Aberdeen, Scotland in each year from 2011 to 2021 was comprised of two components: a value for the golf course and another value for the development of the non-golf course property, i.e., the "undeveloped land." (Ex. 14 at Rows 527-539; Ex. 15 at Rows 487-503; Ex. 17 at Rows 494-540; Ex. 19 at Rows 532-591; Ex. 21 at Rows 561-623; Ex. 23 at Rows 625-689)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The values are not segregated into different components but include information about the respective golf course and expected developments to the property. Faherty Aff. Ex. 14 at Rows 527-539; Ex. 15 at Rows 487-503; Ex. 17 at Rows 494-540; Ex. 19 at Rows 532-591; Ex. 21 at Rows 561-623; Ex. 23 at Rows 625-689; Ex. 1-11.
202. In each year from 2011 to 2021 , the larger component of the valuation- and for 77
many years by a factor of four or more - was the value for developing the undeveloped land. (Ex. 14 at Cells0527-543, H527-543; Ex. 15 at Cells 0487-503, H487-503; Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532-589, H532-589; Ex. 21 at Cells G561-619, H561-619; Ex. 23 at Cells G625-683, H625-683)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The values are not segregated into different components but include information about the respective golf course and expected developments to the property. Faherty Aff. Ex. 14 at Rows 527-539; Ex. 15 at Rows 487-503; Ex. 17 at Rows 494-540; Ex. 19 at Rows 532-591; Ex. 21 at Rows 561-623; Ex. 23 at Rows 625-689; Ex. 1-11.
203. In 2011, the valuation for Trump Aberdeen in the supporting data provided to Mazars included an estimate of the value for the undeveloped land of $£ 75$ million, or $\$ 119$ million based on the then-current exchange rate, citing as the sole basis a "George Sorial email [dated] 9/6/2011." (Ex. 14 at Cells G527-543)].

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that the estimate for the undeveloped land was $£ 75$ million or $\$ 119$ million based on the thencurrent exchange rate. The supporting spreadsheet states that $£ 75$ million or $\$ 120,450,000$ was provided as a "Value per George Sorial email 9/6/2011 (in pounds). Faherty Aff. Ex. 14 at Cells G527-543.
204. Mr. Sorial's 2011 email also served as the sole basis for the Trump Organization's 2012 and 2013 valuations for the undeveloped land at Trump Aberdeen of $\$ 117.6$ million and $\$ 114.45$ million, respectively, based on valuing $£ 75$ million at the then-current exchange rate. (Ex. 15 at Cells G487-503, H487-503)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to
specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that the estimate for the undeveloped land was $\$ 117.6$ million and 114.45 million in 2012 and 2013, respectively. The supporting spreadsheet states that $\$ 117,600,000$ and $\$ 114,450,000$ in 2012 and 2013 , respectively, were "Valuation per George Sorial email 9/6/2011 (in pounds) in addition to DJT capital contributions." Faherty Aff. Ex. 15 at Cells G487-503, H487-503.
205. For the Statements in 2014 through 2018, the Trump Organization no longer relied on Mr. Sorial's 2011 email and instead assumed that 2,500 homes could be built on the undeveloped land and sold for $£ 83,164$ per home, for a value of $£ 207,910,000$. (Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532-589, H532-589; Ex. 21 at Cells H561-619)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Otherwise, undisputed that the supporting spreadsheets stated the "Value of Residential Parcel - based on purchase of land by Persimmons" was " 2,500 - number of homes to build" and the "Hopecroft, Bucksburn value of land per home" was $£ 83,164$ per home, for a total of $£ 207,910,000$. Faherty Aff. Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532589, H532-589; Ex. 21 at Cells H561-619.
206. The Trump Organization then converted the value to US dollars based on the current exchange rate to derive a valuation for Aberdeen in each year. (Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532-589, H532-589; Ex. 21 at Cells H561-619)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to
specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Otherwise, undisputed that the supporting spreadsheets concerted Great Britain pounds to U.S. dollar each year. Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532589, H532-589; Ex. 21 at Cells H561-619.
207. The Trump Organization had never received approval from the local Scottish authority to develop and sell 2,500 homes on the property. (Ex. 99; Ex. 4 at -729; Ex. 5 at -703; Ex. 6 at -1995; Ex. 7 at -1854; Ex. 8 at -2737)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff fails to establish that approval from the local Scottish authority was never received to sell 2,500 homes on the property. Faherty Aff. Ex. 99; Ex. 4 at 729; Ex. 5 at -703; Ex. 6 at -1995; Ex. 7 at -1854; Ex. 8 at -2737.
208. As reported in the 2014-2018 Statements, the Trump Organization "received outline planning permission in December 2008 for . . . a residential village consisting of 950 holiday homes and 500 single family residences and 36 golf villas." (Ex. 4 at -729; Ex. 5 at -703; Ex. 6 at -1995; Ex. 7 at -1854; Ex. 8 at -2737)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Otherwise, undisputed what the SOFC states. Faherty Aff. Ex. 4 at -729; Ex. 5 at 703; Ex. 6 at -1995; Ex. 7 at -1854; Ex. 8 at -2737.
209. The 950 holiday homes and 36 golf villas had restricted use under the terms
governing Trump Aberdeen and could be used solely as rental properties that could be rented for no more than twelve weeks a year. (Ex. 100 at -157)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that the properties were to be used "solely as rental properties." The "golf villas and holiday apartment buildings" were to be "occupied on a holiday letting or fractional ownership basis only, and none of these units of accommodation" were to be "occupied by any group or individual for more than a total for 12 weeks in any calendar year." Faherty Aff. Ex. 100 at -157.
210. The Trump Organization represented in material submitted to the local Scottish authority that these short-term rental properties would not be profitable and therefore would not add any value to Aberdeen. (Ex. 101 at -704, -719; Ex. 102 at -728 )

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, it was not represented to the Scottish authorities that the 950 holiday homes and 36 golf villas would not be profitable. To the contrary, it was stated that "[t]he entire development . . . is forecast to generate a nominal net cash flow of $£ 446.5$ million with a positive NPV of $£ 14.8$ million. Of this, the net impact of the residential units is a net cash inflow of $£ 110.1$ million in nominal terms and $£ 49.1$ million in NPV terms." Further, the material submitted to the Scottish authorities states that "exclusion of the residential element results in a negative impact on net cash flow of $£ 167 \mathrm{~m}$ in nominal terms an $£ 91 \mathrm{~m}$ in NPV terms to the returns from the development." Faherty Aff. Ex. 101 at -704; Ex. 102 at -728.
211. Adjusting the valuations to correct for using 2,500 private homes rather than 500 private homes actually approved, keeping all other variables constant, results in a reduction in
the valuation of the undeveloped land component of Aberdeen of $£ 166,328,000$ in each year from 2014 to 2018. (Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532-589, H532-589; Ex. 21 at Cells H561-619)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that only 500 homes were approved by the Scottish authorities; accordingly, Plaintiff's value is inaccurate. Further, Plaintiff improperly assumes other variables would remain constant if the number of homes changed. Faherty Aff. Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532-589, H532-589; Ex. 21 at Cells H561-619; Ex. 100 at -157.
212. In July 2017, Ryden LLP acting on behalf of the Trump Organization prepared a development appraisal pertaining to the Aberdeen property. (Ex. 390) The appraisal assessed the profit from developing 557 homes at the Aberdeen property in a series of development chapters. (Ex. 390 at -24)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Otherwise, without conceding the accuracy of the appraisal, undisputed that an appraisal assessing profit from developing 557 homes at Trump Aberdeen was undertaken by Ryden LLP. Faherty Aff. Ex. 390 at -24.
213. The July 2017 development appraisal of Aberdeen estimates profit from the 557home development at a range of $£ 16,525,000$ to $£ 18,546,000$. (Ex. 390 at -31 ).

RESPONSE: Undisputed, without conceding the accuracy of the appraisal, that the appraisal estimates profit at a range of $£ 16,525,000$ to $£ 18,546,000$. Faherty Aff. Ex. 390 at $\mathbf{- 3 1}$. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land
developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the appraisal, thereby undervaluing Trump Aberdeen.
214. In May 2018, the Trump Organization applied to the Aberdeen City Council to reduce the scope of the development project to 550 dwellings. (Ex. 103 at $-837,-839$ )

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, the amended description of the development was " 550 dwellings (up to 500 residential units and a minimum of 50 leisure/resort units), community facilities, development falling within class 1 (shops), class 2 (financial, professional and other services) and class 3 (food and drink), landscaping and supporting infrastructure." Faherty Aff. Ex. 103 at -839 .
215. The new proposal was to build 500 private residences, 50 leisure/resort units, and no holiday homes because the company had determined the holiday homes were not economically viable. (Ex. 103 at -837, -839)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The proposal was to build "(up to 500 residential units and a minimum of 50 leisure/resort units), community facilities, development falling within class 1 (shops), class 2 (financial, professional and other services) and class 3 (food and drink), landscaping and supporting infrastructure." The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that holiday homes were not economically viable. Faherty Aff. Ex. 103.
216. In September 2019, the Aberdeen City Council approved the Trump Organization's reduced proposal to build only 550 dwellings, consisting of 500 private residences and 50 leisure/resort units, with the latter to be occupied on a holiday letting or fractional basis only and not as a person's sole or main residence. (Ex. 99 at-172)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Otherwise, undisputed that the Aberdeen City council approved the proposal. Faherty Aff. Ex. 99 at -172.
217. Nevertheless, the 2019 Statement, finalized a month later in October 2019, derived a value of $£ 217,680,973$ for the undeveloped land based on 2,035 private homes, so fewer than the 2,500 homes assumed in prior years but still far more than the number of homes the City Council had just approved. (Ex. 9 at -789, 802; Ex. 21 at Cells G561-619; Ex. 104 at Cells F8-11, AH23; Ex. 99)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2019 SOFC does not support the proposition that the value was developed based on 2,035 private homes as the cells corresponding to the residential parcel are left blank. Faherty Aff. Ex. 21 at Cells G607-G609.
218. Adjusting the valuation to correct for using 2,035 private homes rather than the 500 private homes actually approved, keeping all other variables constant, results in a revised valuation of $£ 53,484,269$, or a reduction in the valuation of the undeveloped land component of Aberdeen for the 2019 Statement of $£ 164,196,704$. (Ex. 9 at -789, 802; Ex. 21 at Cells G561619; Ex. 104 at Cells F8-11, AH23; Ex. 99)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2019 SOFC does not support the proposition that the value was developed based on 2,035 private homes as the cells corresponding to the residential parcel are left blank. Further, Plaintiff improperly assumes other variables would remain constant if the number of homes changed and further assumes the value reflected in the SOFC solely represented homes already approved, as opposed to potentially
future approved homes. Faherty Aff. Ex. 21 at Cells G607-G609.
219. The 2020 and 2021 Statements derived a much lower value of $£ 82,537,613$ in each year for the undeveloped land based on 1,200 homes, still more than twice the number of homes the City Council had approved in 2019. (Ex. 23 at G625-683, H625-683; Ex. 105 at Rows 41-42, 50; Ex. 106 at Rows 41-42, 50; Ex. 99)

RESPONSE: Disputed that the value was much lower in 2020 and 2021. Otherwise, undisputed that that the supporting spreadsheet provides for $£ 82,537,613$ as the "Land Valuation" each year. Faherty Aff. Ex. 23 at G625-683, H625-683.
220. Adjusting the valuation to correct for using 1200 private homes rather than the 500 private homes actually approved, keeping all other variables constant, results in a revised valuation of $£ 34,390,672$, or a reduction in the valuation of the undeveloped land component of Aberdeen for the 2020 and 2021 Statements of $£ 48,146,941$ in each year. (Ex. 23 at G625-683, H625-683; Ex. 105 at Rows 41-42, 50; Ex. 106 at Rows 41-42, 50; Ex. 99)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff improperly assumes other variables would remain constant if the number of homes changed. Plaintiff further assumes the values represented in the SOFC were indicative of the homes already approved, as opposed to potential future approval of additional homes. Faherty Aff. Ex. 23 at G625-683, H625-683; Ex. 105 at Rows 41-42, 50; Ex. 106 at Rows 41-42, 50; Ex. 99.
221. For the years 2015 through 2019, the Trump Organization applied a " $20 \%$ reduction due to economic downturn in the area" to the valuation of the undeveloped land component of Aberdeen. Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532-589, H532589; Ex. 21 at cells G561-619, H561-619)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity

Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Otherwise, undisputed that a $20 \%$ reduction was applied due to economic downturn in the area. Faherty Aff. Ex. 17 at Cells G494-540, H494-540; Ex. 19 at Cells G532-589, H532589; Ex. 21 at cells G561-619, H561-619.
222. The chart below shows the negative change in the valuation of the undeveloped land component of Aberdeen for 2014 through 2021 based on using the number of homes actually approved and applying for 2015 through 2019 the " $20 \%$ reduction due to economic downturn in the area" applied by the Trump Organization:

| Statement <br> Year | Value <br> Reduction <br> $(£)$ | Exchange <br> Rate Used | Value <br> Reduction (\$) | Value Reduction <br> (\$) After 20\% <br> Downturn <br> Adjustment <br> $(2015-2019)$ | Record Cite |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | $£ 166,328,000$ | 1.7034 | $\$ 283,323,115$ | $\$ 283,323,115$ | Ex. 16 at H519-525 |
| 2015 | $£ 166,328,000$ | 1.5732 | $\$ 261,667,210$ | $\$ 209,333,768$ | Ex. 18 at G563-569 |
| 2016 | $£ 166,328,000$ | 1.3318 | $\$ 221,515,630$ | $\$ 177,212,504$ | Ex. 18 at H563-569 |
| 2017 | $£ 166,328,000$ | 1.303 | $\$ 216,725,384$ | $\$ 173,380,307$ | Ex. 20 at G594-600 |
| 2018 | $£ 166,328,000$ | 1.31515 | $\$ 218,746,269$ | $\$ 174,997,015$ | Ex. 20 at H594-600 |
| 2019 | $£ 164,196,704$ | 1.269 | $\$ 208,365,618$ | $\$ 166,692,494$ | Ex. 22 at G649-654 |
| 2020 | $£ 48,146,941$ | 1.22699 | $\$ 59,075,815$ | $\$ 59,075,815$ | Ex. 22 at H649-654 |
| 2021 | $£ 48,146,941$ | 1.38504 | $\$ 66,685,439$ | $\$ 66,685,439$ | Ex. 23 at G674-679 |

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff improperly assumes the values represented in the SOFC
were indicative of the homes already approved, as opposed to potential future approval of additional homes.
223. Every year from 2011 through 2021 the SFC values Donald Trump's interest in "1290 Avenue of the Americas in New York, New York and 555 California Street in San

Francisco, California," under the category "Partnerships and Joint Ventures." (Exs. 1-11)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

224. The description of the asset in each year is largely identical to the disclosure in 2021 which states that: "In May 2007, Mr. Trump and Vornado Realty Trust became partners in two properties: 1290 Avenue of the Americas located in New York City and 555 California Street (formally known as Bank of America Center) located in San Francisco, California." (Ex. 11 at -6431)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the description of the asset in each year is similar to the disclosure in 2021, including other language in the SOFCs stating: "Mr. Trump owns 30\% of these properties as a limited partner. The estimated current value of Mr. Trump's 30\% partnership interest, net of his portion of debt, is $\$ 645,600,000$ and was derived by applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income. Funds in the amount of $\$ 12,700,000$ have been escrowed pursuant to the terms of the loan agreements. This asset is reflected in this financial statement under the caption "Escrow, reserve deposits, restricted cash and prepaid expenses." Faherty Aff. Ex. 1 at -6431.
225. The SFCs further note that: "Mr. Trump owns 30\% of these properties." (Ex. 3 at -052; Ex. 5 at -708, Ex. 7 at -1858). Beginning with the 2019 Statement, the Statements noted Mr. Trump's interest was "as a limited partner." (Ex. 9 at -806)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
226. Mr. Trump's limited partnership interests are held through a series of entities named "Hudson Waterfront Associates," with substantially similar terms. (Ex. 108; Ex. 109)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
227. Among other things the partnership agreements specify that the General Partner
has "full control over the management, operation and activities of, and dealings with, the Partnership Assets and the Partnership's properties, business and affairs," and "the Limited Partners shall not take part in the management of the business or affairs of the Partnership or control the Partnership business." The agreements also state that the "Limited Partners may under no circumstances sign for or bind the Partnership." (Ex. 113, at -3942-43, -3916-17)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

228. The partnership agreements do not provide for dissolution until the end of 2044, and limit the circumstances in which a limited partner may sell, transfer, or pledge his interest. (Ex. 113 at -3932, -3963-75)

RESPONSE: Disputed. While the term of the partnership is set to continue until 2044, that date is only applicable "unless [the partnership is] sooner dissolved." (Faherty Aff. Ex. 113 at 932. Moreover, other than expiration of the term, the partnership may be dissolved through the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all partnership assets, unanimous written consent of the partners, the determination of the general partner to dissolve, and other event of dissolution. (Id. at -994-95) Moreover, "Trump may, without the consent of the other Partners, transfer his partnership Interest or any portion thereof to a Controlled Trust," if certain other conditions are met. (Id. at -964-65).
229. Those partnership interests shall be referred to as "Vornado Partnership Interests" and the properties held by those partnerships shall be referred to as 1290 AoA and 555 California.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
230. To value Mr. Trump interest in those partnerships, each year the SFC states that the valuation was calculated by applying a capitalization rate to net operating income and
deducting debt. (See, e.g., Ex. 2 at -17; Ex. 6 at - 2000; Ex. 11 at -6431)
RESPONSE: Undisputed that the SOFC states that the valuation was calculated by applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income. Faherty Aff. Ex. 2 at -17 ; Ex. 6 at - 2000; Ex. 11 at -6431.
231. Supporting schedules make clear that the valuations arrived at in each year were done by (1) generating a valuation for each building (555 California and 1290 AoA); (2) subtracting debt; (3) adding the two resulting valuations together; and (4) taking $30 \%$ of the remainder. (See, e.g., Ex. 14 at Rows 708-759; Ex. 18 at Rows 769-787; Ex. 23 at Rows 907927)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the valuations for 555 California Street and 1290 Avenue of the Americas were derived by dividing the stabilized net operating income by the capitalization rate, subtracting debt, and taking $30 \%$ of the remainder. Faherty Aff. Ex. 14 at Rows 708-759; Ex. 18 at Rows 769-787; Ex. 23 at Rows 907-927.
232. The portion of this interest attributable to 1290 AoA was inflated during the years 2012 through 2016 when compared with an outside appraisal obtained in connection with a debt offering on 1290 AoA in 2012. In addition, the interest attributable to 1290 AoA was inflated in 2018 and 2019 through the use of capitalization rates that the Trump Organization knew were inappropriate.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
233. In October 2012, Cushman prepared an appraisal of 1290 AoA that valued the building at $\$ 2,000,000,000$, "as is" as-of November 1,2012 , with a prospective market value of \$2,300,000,000 as-of November 1, 2016 ("2012 1290 Appraisal"). (Ex. 111 at -306-307; Ex. 112 at $-965-966$ ) The appraisal was signed by Douglas Larson, Naoum Papagianopoulos and Robert

Nardella of Cushman. (Ex. 112 at -967).
RESPONSE: Undisputed that the appraisal valued 1290 Avenue of the Americas at $\$ 2,000,000,000$ as is as of November 1,2012 , and at $\$ 2,300,000,000$ as if November $1,2016$. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the appraisal, thereby undervaluing the property. (Chin Aff. q\|\| 67-74)
234. That appraisal valuation was publicly disclosed as part of a $\$ 950$ million debt offering on 1290 AoA in November 2012. (Ex. 110 at 3)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
235. The valuation of Mr. Trump's Vornado Partnership Interests in the 2012 Statement of $\$ 823,300,000$ was based on a calculation that used $\$ 2,784,970,588$ as the value for 1290 AoA. (Ex. 14 at Rows 731-759)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the $\$ 2,784,970,588$ value was based on a capitalization rate of 3.4\%. Faherty Aff. Ex. 14 at Rows 731-759.
236. Substituting the appraised value as of November 1,2012 of $\$ 2,000,000,000$ for the higher value of $\$ 2,784,970,588$ reduces the valuation for Mr. Trump by more than $\$ 235$ million. Specifically, the amount attributable to 1290 AoA in the 2012 Statement is $30 \%$ of ( $\$ 2,784,970,588-\$ 410,000,000$ in debt), or $\$ 712,491,176$. (Ex. 14 at Rows 740-747)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the appraisal, thereby undervaluing the property.
237. Substituting the $\$ 2$ billion appraised value of 1290 AoA in the same calculation generates a result of $\$ 477,000,000$.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion. Further, Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the appraisal, thereby undervaluing the property
238. The valuation of Mr. Trump's 30\% partnership interest in 1290 AoA in the 2013 Statement was based on a calculation that used $\$ 2,989,455,128$ as the value for 1290 AoA. (Ex. 15 at Rows 678-681)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the $\$ 2,989,455,12$ value was based on a capitalization rate of $3.12 \%$. Faherty Aff. Ex. 15 at Rows 678-681.
239. Substituting the appraised value as of November 1,2012 of $\$ 2,000,000,000$ for the higher value of $\$ 2,989,455,128$ reduces the valuation by nearly $\$ 300$ million. Specifically, the amount attributable to 1290 AoA in the 2013 Statement is $30 \%$ of $(\$ 2,989,455,128$ $\$ 950,000,000$ in debt), or $\$ 611,836,538$. (Ex. 15 at Rows 678-686)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the appraisal, thereby undervaluing the property.
240. Substituting the $\$ 2$ billion appraised value of 1290 AoA in the same calculation generates a result of $\$ 315,000,000$, a reduction of $\$ 296.83$ million.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
241. The 2012 appraisal likewise contains a valuation as of November 1, 2016 of $\$ 2,300,000,000$. (Ex. 111 at -307; Ex. 112 at -966)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the appraisal contained such a valuation. The accuracy of the appraisal is disputed. Faherty Aff. Ex. 111 at -307; Ex. 112 at -966.
242. Substituting the $\$ 2.3$ billion appraised value for the value of $\$ 3,078,338,462$ used for 1290 AoA in the 2014 Statement to calculate the value of Mr. Trump's 30\% interest reduces the reported value by $\$ 233.5$ million. Specifically, the amount attributable to 1290 AoA in the 2014 Statement is $30 \%$ of $(\$ 3,078,338,462-\$ 950,000,000$ in debt), or $\$ 638,501,538.60$. (Ex. 14 at Rows 709-715)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the appraisal, thereby undervaluing the property.
243. Substituting the $\$ 2.3$ billion appraised value in the same calculation generates a result of $\$ 405$ million, a reduction of $\$ 233.5$ million.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
244. Substituting the $\$ 2.3$ billion appraised value as of November 1,2016 for the value of $\$ 2,985,819,936$ used for 1290 AoA in the 2015 Statement to calculate the value of Mr . Trump's $30 \%$ interest reduces the reported value by $\$ 205.7$ million. Specifically, the amount attributable to 1290 AoA in the 2015 Statement is $30 \%$ of $(\$ 2,985,819,936-\$ 950,000,000$ in debt), or $\$ 610,745,980.80$. (Ex. 17 at Rows 748-755)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the appraisal, thereby undervaluing the property.
245. Substituting the $\$ 2.3$ billion appraised value as of November 1, 2016 in the same calculation generates a result of $\$ 405$ million, a reduction of $\$ 205.7$ million.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
246. Substituting the $\$ 2.3$ billion appraised value as of November 1,2016 for the value
of $\$ 3,055,000,000$ used for 1290 AoA in the 2016 Statement to calculate the value of Mr . Trump's $30 \%$ interest reduces the reported value by $\$ 226.5$ million. Specifically, the amount attributable to 1290 AoA in the 2016 Statement is $30 \%$ of $(\$ 3,055,000,000-\$ 950,000,000$ in debt), or $\$ 631,500,000$. (Ex. 18 at Rows 779-784)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the appraisal, thereby undervaluing the property. (Chin Aff. qTI 67-79)
247. Substituting the $\$ 2.3$ billion appraised value as of November 1,2016 in the same calculation generates a result of $\$ 405$ million, a reduction of $\$ 226.5$ million.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
248. The 20121290 Appraisal, which provided 2012 and 2016 values, was signed by three appraisers at Cushman, including Douglas Larson, and reflected capitalization rates in the mid-four percent range. (Ex. 111 at $-313,-314$; Ex. 112, at -972, -973)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the appraisal was signed by those individuals and reflected those capitalization rates without conceding the accuracy of the appraisal.
249. Consistent with that appraisal, Trump Organization personnel stated that one of the same appraisers in mid-2018 told the Trump Organization that 1290 Avenue of the Americas would trade at a mid-four percent capitalization rate if the property were operating at a stabilized level. (Ex. 114)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, the individual also stated that 1290 Avenue of the Americas would trade at a
"low 4 cap rate if there is upside." Faherty Aff. Ex. 114 at -150.
250. The appraiser stated that, while he could not opine on the specific property, "midfour percent for stabilized" in midtown Manhattan reflected the "current market environment". (Ex. 114)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The appraiser also stated: "below [mid 4s] for properties with upside." Faherty Aff. Ex. 114 at -150.
251. The 2017 Statement purported to rely for 1290 AoA on "stabilized net operating income" and an "evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals." (Ex. 7 at -858)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The valuation for 1290 Avenue of the Americas and 555 California Street did not purport to rely on "stabilized net operating income" and an "evaluation made by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals," it did in fact rely on them. Faherty Aff. Ex. 7 at -858.
252. The only outside professional identified in the supporting schedule for the 2017 Statement for the valuation of 1290 AoA was Douglas Larson who prepared the 20121290 Appraisal but was cited for a capitalization rate of 2.9\%. (Ex. 19 at Rows 816-817) Using a 4.5\% capitalization rate to apply to a "stabilized" property would reduce the value of Mr. Trump's interest, holding all other variables using in the supporting schedule constant, by approximately $\$ 413$ million. (Ex. 19 at Rows 789-797)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Michael Papagianopoulos was cited in the 2017 supporting spreadsheet for a cap rate of $2.9 \%$ for a comparable office building, Otherwise, the evidence cited by Plaintiff in support of the remainder of her assertion does not support her assertion.
253. In a later appraisal dated October 7, 2021 prepared by CBRE, 1290 AoA was
appraised as of August 24, 2021 to have a market value "as is" of $\$ 2,000,000,000$. (Ex. 139)
RESPONSE: Undisputed that the appraisal valued 1290 Avenue of the Americas at $\$ 2,000,000,000$ as is as of August 24,2021 . The accuracy of the appraisal is disputed.
254. The valuation of Mr. Trump's 30\% partnership interest in 1290 AoA and 555 California in the 2021 Statement of $\$ 645,600,000$ was based on a calculation that used $\$ 2,574,813,800$ as the value for 1290 AoA. (Ex. 23 at Row 918)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that it was based on a calculation that used $\$ 2,574,813,800$ as the value for the property, which was the result of the application of a $4.5 \%$ capitalization rate. Faherty Aff. Ex. 23 at Row 918.
255. Substituting the appraised value as of 2021 of $\$ 2,000,000,000$ for the higher value of $\$ 2,574,813,800$ yields a value for Mr. Trump's 30\% partnership interest in 1290 AoA and 555 California of $\$ 473,111,915$ - nearly $\$ 175$ million less than the value listed in the 2021 Statement. Specifically, the amount attributable to 1290 AoA in the 2021 Statement is $30 \%$ of ( $\$ 2,574,813,800-\$ 950,000,000$ in debt), or $\$ 487,444,140$. (Ex. 23 at Row 916-927) Substituting the $\$ 2$ billion appraised value in the same calculation yields a result of $\$ 315,000,000$, a reduction of $\$ 172,444,140$.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion. Further, the accuracy of the appraised value is disputed.
256. The chart below shows the increase in the valuation for Mr. Trump's 30\% share of the Vornado Partnership Interests based on using an inflated estimate for the value of 1290 AoA that ignores the appraisals in November 2012 and October 2021:

| Statement Year | SOFC Value - <br> DJT Share | Independent Value - <br> DJT Share | Reduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | $\$ 712,491,176$ | $\$ 477,000,000$ | $\$ 235,491,176$ |


| 2013 | $\$ 611,836,538$ | $\$ 315,000,000$ | $\$ 296,836,538$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | $\$ 638,501,539$ | $\$ 405,000,000$ | $\$ 233,501,539$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 610,745,981$ | $\$ 405,000,000$ | $\$ 205,745,981$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 631,500,000$ | $\$ 405,000,000$ | $\$ 226,500,000$ |
| 2021 | $\$ 487,444,140$ | $\$ 315,000,000$ | $\$ 172,444,140$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion. Further, the accuracy of the appraised value is disputed.
257. The valuation of 1290 AoA in 2018 and 2019 relied on use of a capitalization rate from a sale of 666 Fifth Avenue. The SFCs in those years relied on the same transaction for the valuation of the Trump Tower commercial space. (Ex. 21 at Rows 30-81; Ex. 133 at -2825; Ex. 138 at 230:3-240:13; Ex. 54 at 580:13-593:3 Ex. 9 at -873)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

258. The underlying source for the valuations of Trump Tower and in both 2018 and 2019 was a generic marketing report that described the sale of 666 Fifth Avenue. (Ex. 133; Ex. 134)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The underlying sources were appraisers from Cushman \& Wakefield and Newmark, who provided data for recent sales in the geographic area. Faherty Aff. Exs. 133-134.
259. That marketing report, under the entry for 666 Fifth Avenue, states: "At the time of contract, the property was $69.9 \%$ leased. The existing leases at the time of sale were considered to be approximately $5.0 \%$ below current market levels If the sale occurs, the property would be purchased based on an overall capitalization rate of $2.67 \%$." (Ex. 133; Ex. 134)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The marketing report states the building was 70\% occupied. Faherty Aff. Ex. 134 at $\mathbf{- 8 7 3}$.
260. The report went on to state that, upon stabilization, the capitalization rate for that building would be $4.45 \%$. As the document states: "The stabilized capitalization rate is projected to increase to $4.45 \%$ in year 3." (Ex. 133; Ex. 134)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
261. The Trump Organization, in communications involving Patrick Birney and Jeffrey McConney, and Mr. Papagianopoulos on May 30, 2018, expressed an understanding that, for 1290 AoA, a "mid 4 cap rate at stabilization, low 4 if there is upside" would be appropriate. (Ex. 135) The appraiser, in those May 30, 2018 communications, stated: "current market environment for Class A MT properties is mid 4s for stabilized." (Ex. 135)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertions. Further, the appraiser stated the capitalization rates could be below "mid 4s . . . for properties with upside." Faherty Aff. Ex. 114 at -150.
262. Notwithstanding the representation in the 2018 and 2019 statements that a capitalization rate was being applied to the "stabilized net operating income" in each of the two years for Trump Tower and 1290 AoA, the Statement valuations used the lower $2.67 \%$ capitalization rate rather than the $4.45 \%$ rate the source provided for a stabilized rate. (Ex. 20 at Rows 69-83, 808-837; Ex. 21 at Rows 65-81, 834-864)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The capitalization rate relied upon in 2018 and 2019 was based
on a comparable sale provided by outside professionals. Faherty Aff. Ex. 21 at Rows 30-81; Ex. 133 at -2825; Ex. 138 at 230:3-240:13; Ex. 54 at 580:13-593:3 Ex. 9 at -873.
263. The 2018 Statement, in connection with the 1290 AoA valuation, asserts that the valuation was "based on an evaluation made by the Trustees in connection with their associates and outside professionals." (Ex. 8 at -741)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
264. The only outside professional identified in the supporting schedule for the 2018 Statement for the valuation of 1290 AoA was Mr. Papagianopoulos, who was cited for a capitalization rate of $2.67 \%$. (Ex. 20 at Rows 832-833)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Papagianopoulos was cited as having provided information which reflected a cap rate of $2.67 \%$ for a comparable office building. Faherty Aff. Ex. 20 at Rows 832-833.
265. The only outside professional identified in the supporting schedule for the 2019 Statement for the valuation of 1290 AoA was Mr. Papagianopoulos, who was cited for a capitalization rate of $2.67 \%$. (Ex. 21 at Rows 863-864)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Papagianopoulos was cited as having provided information which reflected a cap rate of $2.67 \%$ for a comparable office building. Faherty Aff. Ex. 21 at Rows 863-864.
266. The 2018 Statement states for Trump Tower that "The estimated current value of $\$ 732,300,000$ is based on applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income." (Ex. 8 at -729)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2018 SOFC states for Trump Tower that, "The estimated current value of $\$ 732,300,000$ is based on an evaluation by the Trustees in conjunction with their
associates and outside professionals, applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income." Faherty Aff. Ex. 8 at -729.
267. The valuation of Trump Tower in the 2018 Statement used a capitalization rate of $2.86 \%$ which was an average of two capitalization rates, $2.67 \%$ and $3.05 \%$. (Ex. 21 at Rows 47, 81-83)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
268. Use of the stabilized capitalization rate for 666 Fifth Avenue in the same calculation would have changed the average capitalization rate used to $3.75 \%$. That figure, in the same calculation, would have resulted in a value of $\$ 558,463,547-\$ 173,787,607$ less than the value reported in the 2018 Statement. (Ex. 21 at Rows 30-81) (Ex. 133)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.
269. The 2019 Statement for Trump Tower states that "The estimated current value of $\$ 806,700,000$ is based $\ldots$ applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income." (Ex. 9 at -794)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the 2019 SOFC states for Trump Tower that, "The estimated current value of $\$ 806,700,000$ is based on an evaluation by the Trustees in conjunction with their associates and outside professionals, applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income." Faherty Aff. Ex. 9 at -794.
270. The valuation of Trump Tower in the 2019 Statement used a capitalization rate of $2.67 \%$ which the supporting data spreadsheet described as reflecting cap rate for "a comparable office building". (Ex. 21 at Rows 66, 80-81)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the capitalization rate of $2.67 \%$ was reflected in the supporting data spreadsheet as "based on information provided by Doug Larson of Newmark
which reflects a cap rate for a comparable office building of 2.67\%." Faherty Aff. Ex. 21 at Rows 80-81.
271. The underlying source for the capitalization rate used to value Trump Tower in 2019 was the same generic market report containing the description of the same sale of 666 Fifth Avenue used in the 2018 valuation. (Ex. 134, at -873)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The source was an appraiser, who provided information for a comparable office building. Faherty Aff. Exs. 133-134, Ex. 21 at Rows 80-81.
272. The net operating income used to value Trump Tower in 2019 was $\$ 21,539,983$. Dividing this figure by the $4.45 \%$ stabilized capitalization rate for 666 Fifth Avenue would have generated a value of $\$ 484,044,562, \$ 322,696,375$ lower than the value reported in the 2019 Statement. (Ex. 21 at Rows 65-68)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion that $4.45 \%$ was the appropriate capitalization rate; therefore, Plaintiff's asserted value is inaccurate.
273. The 2018 Statement states that the valuation of 1290 AoA "was arrived at by applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income" (Ex. 8 at -41) The 2018 Statement values 1290 AoA at $\$ 4,192,479,775$ based on a net operating income of $\$ 111,939,210$ and a capitalization rate of $2.67 \%$. (Ex. 20 at Rows $808-810$ ). The source for the $2.67 \%$ figure was the reported sale of 666 Fifth Avenue identified on an excerpt of a generic market report. (Ex. 136 at -13 ) Subtracting $\$ 950,000,000$ in debt from the calculated value of $\$ 4,192,479,775$ led to a net amount of $\$ 3,242,479,775$, thirty percent of which represents the value used for the 2018 Statement (\$972,743,932.50). (Ex. 20 at Rows 812-816)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2018 SOFC states that the valuation of 1290 Avenue of the

Americas and 555 California Street were "arrived at by applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income and taking into consideration any debt." Faherty Aff. Ex. 8 at 41. Further, the source for the capitalization rate was an appraiser, who provided information for a comparable office building. Faherty Aff. Ex. 20 at Rows 832-833.
274. Using the $4.45 \%$ stabilized cap rate for 666 Fifth Avenue in the 2018 Statement calculation instead of the $2.67 \%$ figure would result in a value after debt of Mr. Trump's 30\% interest at $\$ 469,646,359.50$, a difference of $\$ 503,097,573$. (Ex. 20 at Rows $812-816$ )

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion that $4.45 \%$ was the appropriate capitalization rate; therefore, Plaintiff's asserted value is inaccurate.
275. The 2019 Statement states that the valuation of 1290 AoA "was arrived at by applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income" (Ex. 9 at -806) The 2019 Statement values 1290 AoA at $\$ 4,230,109,625$ based on a net operating income of $\$ 112,943,927$ and a capitalization rate of $2.67 \%$. (Ex. 21 at Rows $834-836$ ) The source for the $2.67 \%$ figure was the reported sale of 666 Fifth Avenue identified on a generic market report. (Ex. 137 at -58) Subtracting $\$ 950,000,000$ in debt from the calculated value of $\$ 4,230,109,625$ led to a net amount of $\$ 3,275,110,625$, thirty percent of which represents the value used for the 2019 Statement (\$982,533,187.50). (Ex. 21 at Rows 834-845)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2019 SOFC states that the valuation of 1290 Avenue of the Americas and 555 California Street were "arrived at by applying a capitalization rate to the stabilized net operating income and taking into consideration any debt." Faherty Aff. Ex. 9 at 806. Further, the source for the capitalization rate was an appraiser, who provided information for a comparable office building. Faherty Aff. Ex. 21 at Rows 863-864.
276. Applying the same recalculation using the $4.45 \%$ stabilized capitalization rate for 666 Fifth Avenue in the 2019 Statement calculation instead would result in a value after debt of Mr. Trump's $30 \%$ interest at $\$ 476,411,733$, a difference of $\$ 507,613,155$. (Ex. 21 at Rows 834 845)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion that $4.45 \%$ was the appropriate capitalization rate; therefore, Plaintiff's asserted value is inaccurate.
277. In addition to the use of the $2.67 \%$ overall cap rate resulting in an inflated value, the stated rationale for choosing this building as the source for Trump Tower's capitalization rate was false and misleading.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
278. A hand-written note on the underlying market report states that the 666 Fifth Avenue sale was the "only Plaza District sale in the last 2 years on Fifth Ave (non-allocated)." (Ex. 134)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the handwritten note states that about 666 Fifth Avenue but also undisputed that on the next page, a recent sale at 640 Fifth Avenue is identified, which is in the Plaza District, but which a handwritten notes states: "Allocated amount Part of 7 buildings We don't know how it was allocated can't use." Faherty Aff. Ex. 134 at -874
279. This assertion was false as of the date of issuance of the 2019 Statement. The market report used for the valuation identifies a contracted sale of 711 Fifth Avenue in the Plaza District in Midtown as having a capitalization rate of $5.36 \%$. (Ex. 134)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Although the report identified a contracted sale of 711 Fifth Avenue in the Plaza District as having a capitalization rate of $5.36 \%$, the report also states the
capitalization rate "has been impacted by the above market leases currently in place and the atypical closings costs associated with this transaction. When adjusting the sale price to include the closing costs, the adjusted capitalization rate for the asset equates to approximately $4.71 \%$." Further, Plaintiff has not cited evidence to support the notion that capitalization rates used for other properties are applicable to the property at issue here. Faherty Aff. Ex. 134 at -874.
280. Public records show that 711 Fifth Avenue was sold at least once before the date on which the 2019 Statement was finalized. (Ex. 420) Patrick Birney acknowledged that it was not true that 666 Fifth Avenue was the only Plaza District sale in the last two years on Fifth Avenue as of the date the 2019 Statement was finalized. (Ex. 138 at 820:20-822:16)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Patrick Birney did not acknowledge that it was not true that 666 Fifth Avenue was the only Plaza District sale in the last two years on Fifth Avenue as of the date the 2019 Statement was finalized; he stated that he was "unsure if a transaction that is after June 30th of the year is to be considered" and that he didn't "know when that handwritten statement was written down, if it were false or not at that time." Faherty Aff. Ex. 138 at 819:11-822:24. Otherwise, undisputed that 711 Fifth Avenue was sold before October 31, 2019. Faherty Aff. Ex. 9 at -789, Ex. 420. Further, undisputed that the report provided by the appraiser also states the capitalization rate for 711 Fifth Avenue "has been impacted by the above market leases currently in place and the atypical closings costs associated with this transaction. When adjusting the sale price to include the closing costs, the adjusted capitalization rate for the asset equates to approximately 4.71\%." Faherty Aff. Ex. 134 at -874.
281. The Trump Organization also rejected a sale at 640 Fifth Avenue-another property sold, identified as being in the Plaza District in Midtown-with a capitalization rate of 4.68\%. (Ex. 134)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, the recent sale at 640 Fifth had a handwritten note that stated why it could not be relied upon: "Allocated amount Part of 7 buildings We don't know how it was allocated can't use." Faherty Aff. Ex. 134 at -874.
282. The purported justification for that exclusion was a note indicated on the same marketing report: "Allocated amount Part of 7 buildings We don't know how it was allocated can't use." (Ex. 134)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The justification for not relying on the 640 Fifth Avenue sale was not purported as the handwritten note stated: Allocated amount Part of 7 buildings We don't know how it was allocated can't use." Faherty Aff. Ex. 134 at -874.
283. Moreover, another "Plaza District" sale was identified on the generic report and occurred more recently than the sale utilized by the Trump Organization. That sale, a May 2019 sale of 540 Madison Avenue, was described as a "Class A" office building in the "Plaza District, Midtown" and associated with a 4.65\% capitalization rate. (Ex. 134 at -1874)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, disputed the buyer at 540 Madison Avenue "intended to commence a $\$ 15$ million renovation that will feature upgrades to the buildings mechanical systems . . . general base building and common area upgrades." Plaintiff has not cited evidence to support the notion that capitalization rates used for other properties are applicable to the property at issue here

Faherty Aff. Ex. 134 at $\mathbf{- 8 7 4}$.
284. The Clubs category of assets is comprised of golf clubs in the United States and abroad that are owned or leased by Mr. Trump. (See, e.g., Ex. 3 at -043-049)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The golf clubs are owned or leased by entities that are wholly owned by President Trump. Faherty Aff. Ex. 3 at -043.
285. The value for the golf clubs is presented in the Statements from 2011 to 2021 in the aggregate, together with Mar-a-Lago, and provides no itemized value for any individual Club in this category of assets. (Ex. 1 at -3140; Ex. 2 at -6317; Ex. 3 at -043; Ex. 4 at -723; Ex. 5 at 697; Ex. 6 at -1989; Ex. 7 at -1848; Ex. 8 at -2731; Ex. 9 at -1796; Ex. 10 at -2257; Ex. 11 at 6421)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

286. Three issues impact the Golf Club category of assets. First, existing appraisals were not considered in valuing two Clubs, TNGC Briarcliff and TNGC LA. Second, the value of most Clubs was increased by an undisclosed "brand premium" despite a representation that the SFCs do not "reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation." Third, the value of the Clubs was inflated by simultaneously valuing certain membership deposit liabilities as worth millions of dollars and zero dollars.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
287. The Statements of Financial Condition ignored valuations from professional appraisers of TNGC Briarcliff and TNGC LA in estimating the current value of those properties.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
288. The Statements valuations of TNGC Briarcliff and TNGC LA consisted of a golf course component and an undeveloped land component. (See, e.g., Ex. 5 at -698-699; Ex. 17 at

Rows 255-278, 381-404)
RESPONSE: Disputed. In the SOFC, TNGC Briarcliff and TNGC LA are described generally, including information about the respective golf clubs and undeveloped land. Faherty Aff. Ex. 5 at -698-99.
289. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2014 SFC shows that the golf club portion of TNGC Briarcliff was valued at $\$ 73,130,987$ based on "Value of Fixed Assets." (Ex. 16 at Row 267-287)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2014 SOFC shows that the value of fixed assets at TNGC Briarcliff was $\$ 73,130,987$.
290. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2015 SFC shows that the golf club portion of TNGC Briarcliff was valued at $\$ 73,430,217$ based on "Value of Fixed Assets." (Ex. 17 at Row 257)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the supporting spreadsheet for the 2015 SOFC shows that the value of fixed assets at TNGC Briarcliff was $\$ 73,430,217$.
291. In April 2014, the Trump Organization obtained a draft appraisal for TNGC Briarcliff that valued the golf course component of the club at $\$ 16,500,000$ as-of March 12 , 2014. (Ex. 115 at -516)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The appraisal was flawed because it failed to account for the value of the Trump Enterprise, which would result in a higher value. Defs. SOF 9TI 253-64.
292. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2014 SFC shows that the golf club portion of

TNGC LA was valued at $\$ 74,300,642$ based on "Value of Fixed Assets." Plus a "Premium for fully operational branded facility @ 30\%" (Ex. 16 at Row 384-387)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2014 SOFC shows that the value of fixed assets at TNGC LA was $\$ 74,300,642$, which included a "Premium for fully operational branded facility @ 30\%. Faherty Aff. Ex. 16 at Row 384-387.
293. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2015 SFC shows that the golf club portion of TNGC LA was valued at $\$ 74,300,642$ based on "Value of Fixed Assets." Plus a "Premium for fully operational branded facility @ 15\%" (Ex. 17 at Row 381-387)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The supporting spreadsheet for the 2015 SOFC shows that the value of TNGC LA was $\$ 56,615,895$, which included a "Premium for fully operational branded facility @ 15\%." Faherty Aff. Ex. 17 at Row 381-87.
294. In March 2015, the Trump Organization obtained an appraisal for TNGC LA that valued the golf course component of the club at $\$ 16,000,000$ as-of December 26, 2014. (Ex. 116 at -5562)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The appraisal was flawed because (among other reasons) it failed to account for the value of the Trump Enterprise, which would result in a higher value. Defs. SOF 9Tl 253-64.
295. The difference between the values stated in the SFC and the appraised values for 2014 and 2015 are shown in the table below:

| Year | Property | SFC Value | Appraised Value | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | TNGC Briarcliff | $\$ 73,130,987$ | $\$ 16,500,000$ | $\$ 56,630,987$ |


| 2014 | TNGCLA | $\$ 74,300,642$ | $\$ 16,000,000$ | $\$ 58,300,642$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | TNGC Briarcliff | $\$ 73,430,217$ | $\$ 16,500,000$ | $\$ 56,930,217$ |
| 2015 | TNGCLA | $\$ 56,615,895$ | $\$ 16,000,000$ | $\$ 40,615,895$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. The appraisals were flawed because (among other reasons) they failed to account for the value of the Trump Enterprise, which would result in higher appraised values. Defs. SOF $1 \mathbb{T}$ 253-64.
296. From 2013-2018 the undeveloped land at Briarcliff was valued at $\$ 101,748,600$ based on telephone conversations with Eric Trump despite a note that the development project was "on hold." (Ex. 15 at Cells0253-273; Ex. 16 at Rows 267-285; Ex. 17 at Rows 255-278; Ex. 18 at Rows 278-298; Ex. 19 at Rows 284-304; Ex. 20 at Rows 295-315)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

297. In October 2013 Eric Trump received a preliminary valuation for the undeveloped land of $\$ 45$ million. (Ex. 117 at -43)

RESPONSE: Disputed. It is unclear what property Plaintiff refers to. Regardless of the property the assertion pertains to, it failed to account for the value of the Trump Enterprise, as the document cited in support of the assertion states. Defs. SOF q\|\| 253-64.
298. In 2014 the Trump Organization received a draft appraisal indicating a value of $\$ 43.2$ million for the undeveloped land and in 2015 they received a draft appraisal indicating a value of $\$ 45.2$ million. (Ex. 115 at -373; Ex. 118 at-6588)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The appraisals were flawed because (among other reasons) they failed to account for the value of the Trump Enterprise, which would result in higher appraised values. Defs. SOF $\mathbb{T \|}$

253-64.
299. Beginning in 2012 the Trump Organization considered donating a conservation easement over 16 developable lots located on the TNGC LA driving range. (Ex. 119)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The number of developable lots located on the TNGC LA driving range was 17 . Faherty Aff. Ex. 14 at Rows 466-89.
300. In 2012 the Statement valued the 16 lots at $\$ 4.5$ million per lot. (Ex. 14 at Rows 466-489)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Seventeen developable lots comprised the TNGC LA driving range. The supporting spreadsheet valued the remaining lots at an average price of $\$ 4.5$ million per lot. Faherty Aff. Ex. 14 at Rows 466-89.
301. In 2013 and 2014 the Statement valued the 16 lots at a price of $\$ 2.5$ million per lot. (Ex. 16 at Rows 384-416)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Seventeen developable lots that comprised the TNGC LA driving range. The supporting spreadsheet valued the remaining lots ( 52 and 39 remaining lots in 2013 and 2014, respectively) at an average price of $\$ 2.5$ million per lot. Faherty Aff. Ex. 16 at Rows 384-416.
302. Cushman appraisers valued the 16 lots at up to $\$ 19$ million as part of that 2012 engagement. (Ex. 120)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Seventeen developable lots comprised the TNGC LA driving range. The evidence cited in support of Plaintiff's assertion is an e-mail, not an appraisal, and it related to a potential easement. The valuation is flawed because (among other reasons) it failed to account for the value of the Trump Enterprise, which would result in a higher value. Defs. SOF $\ddagger$ Tl $253-64$; Faherty Aff. Ex. 16 at Rows 384-416.
303. Cushman appraisers preliminarily valued the lots at up to $\$ 28$ million in October 2014 and then valued them at $\$ 25$ million in their final appraisal as of December 2014. (Ex. 121 at -886; Ex. 116 at -5411 )

RESPONSE: Disputed. Seventeen developable lots comprised the TNGC LA driving range. The evidence cited in support of Plaintiff's assertion is an e-mail, not an appraisal, and it related to a potential easement. The valuation is flawed because (among other reasons) it failed to account for the value of the Trump Enterprise, which would result in a higher value. Defs. SOF ๆTा 253-64; Faherty Aff. Ex. 16 at Rows 384-416.
304. The differences in value between the Statements of Financial Condition and appraisals in the same time frame for the undeveloped land at TNGC Briarcliff and TNGC LA are shown in the chart below:

| Year | Property | SFC Value | Appraised Value | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | TNGCLA | $\$ 72,000,000$ | $\$ 19,000,000$ | $\$ 53,000,000$ |
| 2013 | TNGC Briarcliff | $\$ 101,748,600$ | $\$ 45,000,000$ | $\$ 56,748,600$ |
| 2013 | TNGCLA | $\$ 40,000,000$ | $\$ 19,000,000$ | $\$ 21,000,000$ |
| 2014 | TNGC Briarcliff | $\$ 101,748,600$ | $\$ 43,200,000$ | $\$ 58,448,600$ |
| 2014 | TNGCLA | $\$ 40,000,000$ | $\$ 25,000,000$ | $\$ 15,000,000$ |
| 2015 | TNGC Briarcliff | $\$ 101,748,600$ | $\$ 45,200,000$ | $\$ 56,548,600$ |
| 2016 | TNGC Briarcliff | $\$ 101,748,600$ | $\$ 45,200,000$ | $\$ 56,548,600$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. Seventeen developable lots comprised the TNGC LA driving range. The evidence cited in support of Plaintiff's assertion are not appraisals (therefore, they cannot be "appraised value[s]") and related to a potential easement. Nevertheless, the valuations are flawed because (among other reasons) they failed to account for the value of the Trump Enterprise, which would result in a higher value. Defs. SOF qT 253-64; Faherty Aff. Ex. 16 at Rows 384-416.
305. For the following seven Clubs in the years 2013 to 2020, the Trump Organization added a $30 \%$ or $15 \%$ premium because the property was completed and operating under the "Trump" brand when calculating the value - that is, the value of the Club was increased by $30 \%$ or $15 \%$ for the Trump brand: TNGC Jupiter, TNGC LA, TNGC Colts Neck, TNGC Philadelphia, TNGC DC, TNGC Charlotte, and TNGC Hudson Valley.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
306. The Trump Organization did not disclose in any of the Statements that certain golf club values were calculated by adding a premium of $30 \%$ or $15 \%$ for the "Trump" brand. (Ex. 3 at-043; Ex. 4 at-723; Ex. 5 at -697; Ex. 6 at-1989; Ex. 7 at-1848; Ex. 8 at -2731; Ex. 9 at 1796; Ex. 10 at-2257)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Nevertheless, the premiums are not intangible assets because they ascribe value of the brand as reported through tangible assets. The SOFC make clear that the Trump brand value was incorporated into the value of tangible assets, noting: "As stated in Note 1, this financial statement does not reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation, except to the extent it has become associated with properties either operative or under development." Even if viewed as an intangible asset, the intangible value associated with a brand name is a permissible valuation consideration. Faherty Aff. Ex. 5 at -709; Defs. SOF ๆ 262.
307. To the contrary, each Statement from 2013 through 2020 contained the following representation: "The goodwill attached to the Trump name has significant financial value that has not been reflected in the preparation of this financial statement. ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ (Ex. 3 at -039; Ex. 4 at -719;

Ex. 5 at-693; Ex. 6 at -1985-86; Ex. 7 at-1844-45; Ex. 8 at 2727-28; Ex. 9 at 792-93; Ex. 10 at 2507)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The SOFC adequately disclose the brand value was incorporated into the value of tangible assets, noting: "As stated in Note 1, this financial statement does not reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation, except to the extent it has become associated with properties either operative or under development." Faherty Aff. Ex. 5 at $\mathbf{- 7 0 9}$. Even if viewed as an intangible asset, the intangible value associated with a brand name is a permissible valuation consideration. Defs. SOF \| 262.
308. The charts below list for each golf club that had its value increased by a premium for the Trump brand (i) the year• such premium was added, (ii) the value of the club in each year, and (iii) the amount of the value that is due to the premium, along with supporting citations to the record for each row:

| TNGC Jupiter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2013 | $\$ 62,310,331$ | $\$ 14,131,800$ | Ex. 16 at G441-447 |
| 2014 | $\$ 69,111,189$ | $\$ 15,399.036$ | Ex. 16 at H441-447 |
| 2015 | $\$ 69,941,196$ | $\$ 8,680,598$ | Ex. 18 at G462-471 |
| 2016 | $\$ 74,288,822$ | $\$ 9,093,500$ | Ex. 18 at H462-471 |
| 2017 | $\$ 78,164,970$ | $\$ 9,287,777$ | Ex. 20 at G479-488 |


| TNGC Jupiter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2018 | $\$ 73,112,268$ | $\$ 9,435,046$ | Ex. 20 at H479-488 |
| 2019 | $\$ 73,575,183$ | $\$ 9,493,561$ | Ex. 22 at0515-534 |
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| 2020 | $\$ 73,575,183$ | $\$ 9,493,561$ | Ex. 22 at H515-534 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Premium | $\$ 69,631,242$ |  |  |


| TNGCLA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2013 | $\$ 225,505,900$ | $\$ 18,962,900$ | Ex. 16 at0386-407 |
| 2014 | $\$ 213,690,642$ | $\$ 17,146,302$ | Ex. 16 at H386-407 |
| 2015 | $\$ 140,710,895$ | $\$ 7,384,682$ | Ex. 18 at0403-427 |
| 2016 | $\$ 134,911,829$ | $\$ 6,838,282$ | Ex. 18 at H403-427 |
| 2017 | $\$ 121,870,127$ | $\$ 6,870,017$ | Ex. 20 at0419-444 |
| 2018 | $\$ 113,397,079$ | $\$ 6,694,184$ | Ex. 20 at H419-444 |
| 2019 | $\$ 116,994,733$ | $\$ 7,139,313$ | Ex. 22 at0445-472 |
| 2020 | $\$ 107,710,388$ | $\$ 7,139,313$ | Ex. 22 at H445-472 |
| Total Premium | $\$ 78,174,993$ |  |  |


| TNGC Colts Neck |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2013 | $\$ 61,910,300$ | $\$ 14,136,300$ | Ex. 16 at0308-318 |
| 2014 | $\$ 62,079,911$ | $\$ 14,163,918$ | Ex. 16 at H308-318 |
| 2015 | $\$ 55,684,506$ | $\$ 7,178,998$ | Ex. 18 atO319-330 |
| 2016 | $\$ 54,439,292$ | $\$ 7,027,398$ | Ex. 18 atH319-330 |
| 2017 | $\$ 54,391,045$ | $\$ 7,021,299$ | Ex. 20 at0334-345 |


| TNGC Colts Neck |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2018 | $\$ 54,408,665$ | $\$ 7,022,498$ | Ex. 20 at H334-345 |
| 2019 | $\$ 55,191,322$ | $\$ 7,097,709$ | Ex. 22 at0344-362 |


| 2020 | $\$ 55,191,322$ | $\$ 7,097,709$ | Ex. 22 at H344-362 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Premium | $\$ 70,745,829$ |  |  |


| TNGC Philadelphia |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2013 | $\$ 18,280,300$ | $\$ 4,188,300$ | Ex. 16 at0349-358 |
| 2014 | $\$ 21,392,379$ | $\$ 4,914,735$ | Ex. 16 at H349-358 |
| 2015 | $\$ 20,065,138$ | $\$ 2,548,516$ | Ex. 18 at0362-374 |
| 2016 | $\$ 20,426,910$ | $\$ 2,597,752$ | Ex. 18 at H362-374 |
| 2017 | $\$ 20,850,345$ | $\$ 2,684,775$ | Ex. 20 at0377-389 |
| 2018 | $\$ 21,052,783$ | $\$ 2,711,844$ | Ex. 20 at H377-389 |
| 2019 | $\$ 21,441,488$ | $\$ 2,730,185$ | Ex. 22 at0395-415 |
| 2020 | $\$ 21,441,488$ | $\$ 2,730,185$ | Ex. 22 at H395-415 |
| Total Premium | $\$ 25,106,292$ |  |  |


| TNGCDC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2013 | $\$ 61,489,000$ | $\$ 13,881,000$ | Ex. 16 at0327-340 |
| 2014 | $\$ 65,648,308$ | $\$ 14,830,755$ | Ex. 16 at H327-340 |
| 2015 | $\$ 64,595,120$ | $\$ 8,327,010$ | Ex. 18 at0339-353 |
| 2016 | $\$ 66,313,250$ | $\$ 8,608,133$ | Ex. 18 at H339-353 |
| 2017 | $\$ 68,682,763$ | $\$ 8,859,315$ | Ex. 20 at0354-368 |


| TNGCDC |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2018 | $\$ 68,757,621$ | $\$ 8,901,001$ | Ex. 20 at H354-368 |
| 2019 | $\$ 69,337,380$ | $\$ 9,015,908$ | Ex. 22 at0367-389 |


| 2020 | $\$ 69,337,380$ | $\$ 9,015,908$ | Ex. 22 at H367-389 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Premium | $\$ 81,439,030$ |  |  |


| TNGC Charlotte |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| 2013 | $\$ 14,013,400$ | $\$ 3,014,400$ | Ex. 16 at0421-432 |
| 2014 | $\$ 16,375,669$ | $\$ 3,482,772$ | Ex. 16 at H421-432 |
| 2015 | $\$ 16,325,546$ | $\$ 1,957,403$ | Ex. 18 at0441-453 |
| 2016 | $\$ 18,643,283$ | $\$ 2,236,226$ | Ex. 18 at H441-453 |
| 2017 | $\$ 20,098,054$ | $\$ 2,411,581$ | Ex. 20 at0458-470 |
| 2018 | $\$ 21,372,507$ | $\$ 2,606,902$ | Ex. 20 at H458-470 |
| 2019 | $\$ 22,570,785$ | $\$ 2,758,110$ | Ex. 22 at0490-509 |
| 2020 | $\$ 22,570,785$ | $\$ 2,758,110$ | Ex. 22 at H490-509 |
| Total Premium | $\$ 21,225,504$ |  |  |

TNGC Hudson Valley

| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $\$ 15,715,500$ | $\$ 3,499,500$ | Ex. 16 at0366-378 |
| 2014 | $\$ 17,128,437$ | $\$ 3,822,041$ | Ex. 16 at H366-378 |
| 2015 | $\$ 15,909,934$ | $\$ 1,993,966$ | Ex. 18 at0382-395 |
| 2016 | $\$ 16,466,560$ | $\$ 2,040,231$ | Ex. 18 at H382-395 |
| 2017 | $\$ 16,932,544$ | $\$ 2,107,623$ | Ex. 20 at0397-410 |

TNGC Hudson Valley

| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Premium | Record Cite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | $\$ 16,797,095$ | $\$ 2,082,934$ | Ex. 20 at H397-410 |


| 2019 | $\$ 17,104,038$ | $\$ 2,132,759$ | Ex. 22 at0419-440 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | $\$ 17,104,038$ | $\$ 2,132,759$ | Ex. 22 at H419-440 |
| Total Premium | $\$ 19,811,813$ |  |  |

RESPONSE: Undisputed that (except for the total premiums reflected for each property, which were presumably the result of consolidating each years' premium) those are the figures reflected in the SOFC and corresponding spreadsheets.
309. The chart below totals the premiums reflected in the above charts to show the aggregate premium in each Statement Year for all of the assets in the Clubs category:

| Statement Year | Total Premium For All Clubs |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $\$ 71,814,200$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 58,375,922$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 38,071,173$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 38,441,522$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 39,242,387$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 39,454,409$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 40,367,545$ |
| 2020 | $\$ 40,367,545$ |
| Total | $\$ 366,134,703$ |

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

310. As part of the purchase of several club properties Donald J. Trump agreed to assume the obligation to pay back refundable membership deposits owed to club members.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
311. These liabilities for refundable memberships would need to be paid out only decades in the future, if at all. (Ex. 123; see also Hirsch v. Jupiter Golf Club LLC, Civ. No. 1380456, Answer, Exhibit A, Docket No. 52-1 (S.D. Fla June 3, 2014))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited evidence does not support Plaintiff's assertion;
moreover, the citation to a civil case in the Southern District of Florida does not support Plaintiff's assertion because it involves an entity not named as a defendant here.
312. The Statements represent that the liabilities resulting from these obligations are valued at \$0. (Ex. 1 at -3141-45; Ex. 2 at-6318-22; Ex. 3 at 044-49; Ex. 4 at -724-729; Ex. 5 at -698-703; Ex. 6 at-1990-1994; Ex. 7 at-1848-1853; Ex. 8 at-2731-36; Ex. 9 at -1796-; Ex. 10 at -2252-55; Ex. 11 at -6422-425.)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The SOFC state that, "Prior to June 1, 2010, one condition of membership was the contribution of a non-interest bearing deposit that does not require repayment until thirty years after receipt, and then only upon the member's resignation. The fact that Mr. Trump will have the use of these funds for that period without cost and that the source of repayment will most likely be a replacement membership has led him to value this liability at zero." Faherty Aff. Ex. 1 at -3141-45; Ex. 2 at-6318-22; Ex. 3 at 044-49; Ex. 4 at -724-729; Ex. 5 at -698-703; Ex. 6 at-1990-1994; Ex. 7 at-1848-1853; Ex. 8 at -2731-36; Ex. 9 at -1796-; Ex. 10 at -2252-55; Ex. 11 at -6422-425
313. For example, the 2013 Statement explains: "The fact that Mr. Trump will have the use of these [membership deposit] funds . . . without cost and that the source of repayment will most likely be a replacement membership has led him to value this liability at zero." (Ex. 3 at -043-49)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that is what the 2013 SOFC states, in part.
314. Nevertheless, as described below, Mr. Trump did not value this liability at zero when calculating the value of certain clubs using a "fixed assets approach," but instead valued the membership deposit liabilities at their full face value amount.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
315. The "fixed assets approach" described a valuation technique that utilized the balance sheet of each club, with the Trump Organization calculating the cost of acquiring a club and then increased the number based on additional capital expenditures after acquisition. (Ex. 54 at $52: 10-54: 11,61: 03-22,64: 06-11 ; 388: 13-395: 17,398: 20-399: 14 ; 400: 18-401: 22 ; 505: 03-$ 507:18)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed.
316. For purposes of calculating the fixed assets figure, the purchase price included the obligation to assume a liability for refundable membership deposits. (Ex. 54 at 505:03-507:18)

RESPONSE: Disputed. For purposes of calculating the fixed assets figure, the purchase price could have included the obligation to assume a liability for refundable membership depots, where applicable. Faherty Aff. Ex. 54 at 505:03-507:18.
317. The fixed assets approach was used for all clubs except Mar-a-Lago and Doral from 2013-2020. (Ex. 15 at Rows 191-503; Ex. 16 at Rows 205-535; Ex. 17 at Rows 189-564; Ex. 18 at Rows 201-603; Ex. 19 at Rows 212-617; Ex. 20 at Rows 212-632; Ex. 21 at Rows 216647; Ex. 22 at Rows 203-688)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

318. For each of those clubs, the full face value of the membership deposit liability was incorporated into the purchase price, this despite the claim that the debt was valued at zero.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
319. The face value amount of the refundable membership deposit liability assumed in
the purchase of TNGC Jupiter was $\$ 41$ million. (Ex. 125)
RESPONSE: Disputed. The amount of refundable membership deposit liability assumed in the purchase of TNGC Jupiter was $\$ 41,128,800$. Faherty Aff. Ex. 125 at Row 6.
320. This full amount was incorporated into the fixed assets figure for TNGC Jupiter from 2013 to 2020. (Ex. 54 at 505:24-507:18; Ex. 125; Ex. 126; Ex. 16 at Cells G441-447, H441-447; Ex. 18 at Cells G462-471, H462-471; Ex. 20 at Cells G479-488, H479-488; Ex. 22 at Cells G515-534, H515-534)

RESPONSE: Undisputed as any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. Robert Aff., Ex. AI (Flemmons Aff.) at Ex. B § 60.
321. The face value amount of the refundable membership deposit liability assumed in the purchase of TNGC Colts Neck was $\$ 11,700,000$. (Ex. 128)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
322. This full amount was incorporated into the fixed assets figure for TNGC Colts Neck from 2012 to 2020. (Ex. 54 505:24-507:18; Ex. 128; Ex. 14 at Cells H326-350; Ex. 16 at Cells G308-318, H308-318; Ex. 18 at Cells G319-330, H319-330; Ex. 20 at Cells G334-345, H334-345; Ex. 22 at G344-362, H344-362)

RESPONSE: Undisputed as any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. Flemmons Aff. Ex. B ๆ 60.
323. The face value amount of the refundable membership deposit liability assumed in the purchase of TNGC Philadelphia was \$953,237. (Ex. 14 (Formula in Cell H431); Ex. 127; Ex. 132)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

324. This full amount was incorporated into the value of TNGC Philadelphia from 2011 to 2021. (Ex. 54 at 505:24-507:18; Ex. 127; Ex. 14 at Cells G410-433, H410-433; Ex. 16 at cells G349-358, H349-358; Ex. 18 at Cells G362-374, H362-374; Ex. 20 at Cells G377-389, H377-389; Ex. 22 at G395-415, H395-415; Ex. 23 at Cells G394-417)

RESPONSE: Undisputed as any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. Flemmons Aff. Ex. B $\mathbb{\|} \|$ 60, 73-75.
325. The face value amount of the refundable membership deposit liability assumed in the purchase of TNGC DC was $\$ 16,131,075$. (Ex. 129)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
326. This full amount was incorporated into the fixed assets figure for TNGC DC from 2013 to 2020. (Ex. 54 at 505:24-507:18; Ex. 129; Ex. 130; Ex. 16 at Cells G327-340, H327-340; Ex. 18 at Cells G339-353, H339-353; Ex. 20 at cells G354-368, H354-368; Ex. 22 at G367-389, H367-389)

RESPONSE: Undisputed as any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. Flemmons Aff. Ex. B व 60.
327. The face value amount of the refundable membership deposit liability assumed in the purchase of TNGC Charlotte was \$4,080,550. (Ex. 131; Ex. 14 (Formula in Cell H511))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
328. This full amount was incorporated into the valuation for TNGC Charlotte from 2012 to 2020. (Ex. 54 at 505:24-507:18; Ex. 131; Ex. 14 at Cells H494-514; Ex. 16 at Cells

G421-432, H421-432; Ex. 18 at Cells G441-453, H441-453; Ex. 20 at Cells G458-470, H458470; Ex. 22 at Cells G490-509, H490-509)

RESPONSE: Undisputed as any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. Flemmons Aff. Ex. B $\mathbb{q} \|$ 60, 73-75.
329. The face value amount of the refundable membership deposit liability assumed in the purchase of TNGC Hudson Valley was $\$ 1,235,619$. (Ex. 132; Ex. 14 (Formula in Cell H459))

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

330. This full amount was incorporated into the value of TNGC Hudson Valley from 2011 to 2021. (Ex. 54 at 505:24-507:18; Ex. 14 at Cells G435-461, H435-461; Ex. 16 at Cells G366-378, H366-378; Ex. 18 at Cells G382-395, H382-395; Ex. 20 at Cells G397-410, H397410; Ex. 22 at G419-440, H419-440; Ex. 23 at Cells G423-446)

RESPONSE: Undisputed as any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. Flemmons Aff. Ex. B व 60.
331. Despite the representation that the liabilities were valued at $\$ 0$, in each year from 2013-2020, the Trump Organization included the above-mentioned refundable membership deposit liabilities totaling $\$ 75,100,481$ as a part of their asset values in the Club Facilities and Related Real Estate category. The $\$ 75,100,481$ amount does not address that a brand premium of either $15 \%$ or $30 \%$ was applied to the fixed assets figures thereby increasing the inflation of value due to the inclusion of the refundable membership deposit liability.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity

Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. The SOFC adequately disclose the brand value was incorporated into the value of tangible assets, noting: "As stated in Note 1, this financial statement does not reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation, except to the extent it has become associated with properties either operative or under development." Faherty Aff. Ex. 5 at -709. Even if viewed as an intangible asset, the intangible value associated with a brand name is a permissible valuation consideration. Defs. SOF \| 262; Flemmons Aff. Ex. B $\mathbb{\|} \|$ 60, 73-75.
332. Despite the representation that the liabilities were valued at $\$ 0$, in 2012 , the Trump Organization included the above-mentioned TNGC Colts Neck, TNGC Philadelphia, TNGC Charlotte, and TNGC Hudson Valley refundable membership deposit liabilities totaling $\$ 17,969,406$ as a part of their asset values in the Club Facilities and Related Real Estate category.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. The SOFC adequately disclose the brand value was incorporated into the value of tangible assets, noting: "As stated in Note 1, this financial statement does not reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation, except to the extent it has become associated with properties either operative or under development." Faherty

Aff. Ex. 5 at -709. Even if viewed as an intangible asset, the intangible value associated with a brand name is a permissible valuation consideration. Defs. SOF $\mathbb{\|}$ 262; Flemmons Aff. Ex. B $\mathbb{\|} \|$ 60, 73-75
333. Despite the representation that the liabilities were valued at $\$ 0$, in 2021 , the Trump Organization included the above-mentioned TNGC Philadelphia and TNGC Hudson Valley refundable membership deposit liabilities totaling $\$ 2,188,856$ as a part of their asset values in the Club Facilities and Related Real Estate category.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, any amount of refundable membership deposits was properly incorporated into the fixed asset figure pursuant to ASC 274. The SOFC adequately disclose the brand value was incorporated into the value of tangible assets, noting: "As stated in Note 1, this financial statement does not reflect the value of Donald J. Trump's worldwide reputation, except to the extent it has become associated with properties either operative or under development." Faherty Aff. Ex. 5 at $\mathbf{- 7 0 9}$. Even if viewed as an intangible asset, the intangible value associated with a brand name is a permissible valuation consideration. Defs. SOF \| 262; Flemmons Aff. Ex. B $\mathbb{q} \mathbb{\|}$ 60, 73-75.
334. Trump Park Avenue is included as an asset on Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition for the years 2011 through 2021 with values ranging between $\$ 90.9$ million and $\$ 350$ million. (Ex. 1 at -3134; Ex. 2 at -6311; Ex. 3 at -037; Ex. 4 at -717; Ex. 5 at -691; Ex. 6 at -1983; Ex. 7 at -1842; Ex. 8 at -2725; Ex. 9 at -161790; Ex. 10 at -162248; Ex. 11 at 6166418)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

335. The valuation of the building in each year was based in part on the valuation of unsold residential condominium units in the building. (Ex. 1 at -3139-40; Ex. 2 at -6316-17; Ex. 3 at -042-43; Ex. 4 at -722-23; Ex. 5 at -696-97; Ex. 6 at -1988-89; Ex. 7 at -1847-48; Ex. 8 at -2730-31; Ex. 9 at -161795-96; Ex. 10 at -162258; Ex. 11 at -6166428)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The estimated value reflects the net proceeds expected to be derived from the sale of the remaining residential condominium units based on current pricing, the value of the storage units based on the condominium's offering plan, and the value ascribed to the commercial condominium units by applying a capitalization rate to the net operating income for the year in addition to a discounted cash flow based on a future prospective tenant user. Faherty Aff. Ex. 1 at -3139-40; Ex. 2 at -6316-17; Ex. 3 at -042-43; Ex. 4 at -722-23; Ex. 5 at -696-97; Ex. 6 at-1988-89; Ex. 7 at-1847-48; Ex. 8 at -2730-31; Ex. 9 at-161795-96; Ex. 10 at -162258; Ex. 11 at -6166428.
336. In 2011, 12 of the unsold residential condominium units were subject to New York City's rent stabilization laws. (Ex. 140 at -27)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

337. An appraisal of the building was performed in 2010 by the Oxford Group in connection with a $\$ 23$ million loan from Investors Bank. (Exs. 141, 142, 143, 144)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that an appraisal was performed. However, Defendants dispute the veracity of the appraisal because President Trump, as a land developer, took optimistic views of potential future value which is not contemplated in the 2010 appraisal, thereby undervaluing the property. (Chin Aff. q\| 58-59)
338. The appraisal valued the 12 rent-stabilized units at $\$ 750,000$ total, or $\$ 62,500$ per
unit, noting that the rent-stabilized units "cannot be marketed as individual units" for sale because the "current tenants cannot be forced to leave." (Ex 144 at -22)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the appraisal valued the 12 rent-stabilized units at $\$ 750,000$, but otherwise disputed that the appraisal was accurate because the appraisal assumes the rent-stabilized units would remain restricted indefinitely without considering the possibility of any units being converted to market-rate rentals or otherwise sell the units unencumbered by rent stabilization. Further, it overlooked the potential for rent increases even within the rentstabilized units. (Chin Aff. qT\| 58-59, Ex. B q 85)
339. The Trump Organization had a copy of the Oxford Group appraisal in its own files. (Exs. 141, 142, 143, 144)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Otherwise, it is undisputed that Exhibits $141-144$ were produced as part of this litigation.
340. At least as of 2010, Trump Organization employees, including Donald Trump Jr., were aware that many of the unsold units were subject to rent stabilization laws. Ex. 145 at 78:18-81:04; Ex. 140)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that Donald Trump Jr. was aware many of the unsold units were subject to rent stabilization laws.
341. Notwithstanding this 2010 appraisal, and the Trump Organization's knowledge that numerous units at the property were rent-stabilized, the Statements for 2011 to 2021 valued the unsold rent-stabilized units as if they were freely marketable and not subject to rent stabilization laws. (Exs. 146-156)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The appraisal was inaccurate because it assumed the rentstabilized units would remain restricted indefinitely without counting the possibility of any units being converted to market-rate rentals. Further, it overlooked the potential for rent increases

342. For example, in the 2011 and 2012 Statements, the 12 rent stabilized units were valued collectively at $\$ 49,595,500$-a rate over 65 times higher than the $\$ 750,000$ valuation for those units in the 2010 appraisal. (Ex. 146; Ex. 147; Ex. 144 at -23)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The appraisal was inaccurate because it assumed the rentstabilized units would remain restricted indefinitely without counting the possibility of any units being converted to market-rate rentals or otherwise sell the units unencumbered by rent stabilization. Further, it overlooked the potential for rent increases even within the rentstabilized units. (Chin Aff. q\| 58-59, Ex. B q 85)
343. In 2011 and 2012 the following 12 units were rent stabilized: $4 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~B}, 7 \mathrm{~A}, 7 \mathrm{~B}, 7 \mathrm{D}$, 7E, 7G, 8E, 8H, 10E, 12E, 15AB. (Ex. 140 at -27)

RESPONSE: Disputed. As of September 16, 2011, the following 12 units were rent stabilized: 4A, 6B, 7A, 7B, 7D, 7E, 7G, 8E, 8H, 10E, 12E, 15AB. Faherty Aff. Ex. 140 at -27.
344. In 2013 the following 11 units were rent stabilized: 4A, 6B, 7A, 7B, 7D, 7E, 7G, 8H, 10E, 12E, 15AB (Ex. 157)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

345. Those 11 units were valued at $\$ 46,544,500$ on the 2013 SFC. (Ex. 148)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2013 SOFC reflected $\$ 46,544,500$ for the 11 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 148.
346. In 2014 the following 9 units were rent stabilized: $4 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~B}, 7 \mathrm{D}, 7 \mathrm{E}, 7 \mathrm{G}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{E}$, 12E, 15AB. (Ex. 158)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
347. Those 9 units were valued at $\$ 38,305,550$ on the 2014 SFC. (Ex. 149)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2014 SOFC reflected $\$ 38,305,550$ for the 9 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 158.
348. In 2015 the following 8 units were rent stabilized: 4A, 6B, 7D, 7E, $8 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 12 \mathrm{E}$, 15AB. (Ex. 159).

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion that units 4A, 6B, 7D, 7E, 8H, 10E, 12E, 15AB were rent stabilized. Faherty Aff. Ex. 159.
349. Those 8 units were valued at $\$ 33,294,000$ on the 2015 SFC. (Ex. 150)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion that units $4 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~B}, 7 \mathrm{D}, 7 \mathrm{E}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 12 \mathrm{E}, 15 \mathrm{AB}$ were rent stabilized. Moreover, the evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2015 SOFC reflected $\$ 33,294,00$ for the 8 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 159.
350. In 2016 the following 8 units were rent stabilized: $4 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~B}, 7 \mathrm{D}, 7 \mathrm{E}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 12 \mathrm{E}$, 15AB. (Ex. 160).

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
351. Those 8 units were valued at $\$ 27,002,836$ on the 2016 SFC. (Ex. 151)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion
that the 2016 SOFC reflected $\$ 27,002,836$ for the 8 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 151.
352. In 2017 the following 8 units were rent stabilized: 4A, 6B, 7D, 7E, $8 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 12 \mathrm{E}$, 15AB. (Ex. 161)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

353. Those 8 units were valued at $\$ 26,200,247$ on the 2017 SFC. (Ex. 152)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2017 SOFC reflected $\$ 26,200,247$ for the 8 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 152.
354. In 2018 the following 8 units were rent stabilized: 4A, 6B, 7D, $7 \mathrm{E}, 8 \mathrm{H}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 12 \mathrm{E}$, 15AB. (Ex. 162).

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

355. Those 8 units were valued at $\$ 29,100,783$ on the 2018 SFC. (Ex. 153)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2018 SOFC reflected $\$ 29,100,783$ for the 8 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 153.
356. In 2019 the following 6 units were rent stabilized: $4 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~B}, 7 \mathrm{D}, 7 \mathrm{E}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 15 \mathrm{AB}$ (Ex. 163)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
357. Those 6 units were valued at $\$ 18,533,518$ on the 2019 SFC. (Ex. 154)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2019 SOFC reflected $\$ 18,533,518$ for the 6 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 154.
358. A 2020 appraisal of Trump Park Avenue in the Trump Organization's files valued 6 rent stabilized units at $\$ 3,800,015$. (Ex. 164 at-159)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to
specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The appraisal was inaccurate because it assumed the rent-stabilized units would remain restricted indefinitely without counting the possibility of any units being converted to market-rate rentals or otherwise sell the units unencumbered by rent stabilization. Further, it overlooked the potential for rent increases even within the rent-stabilized units. (Chin Aff. q1 58 59, Ex. B ๆ 85)
359. In 2020 the following 6 units were rent stabilized: $4 \mathrm{~A}, 6 \mathrm{~B}, 7 \mathrm{D}, 7 \mathrm{E}, 10 \mathrm{E}, 15 \mathrm{AB}$ (Ex. 163).

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

360. Those 6 units were valued at $\$ 18,170,971$ on the 2020 SFC. (Ex. 155)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2020 SOFC reflected $\$ 18,170,971$ for the 6 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 155.
361. In 2021 the following 6 units were rent stabilized: 4A, 6B, 7D, 7E, 10E, 15AB (Ex. 163)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
362. Those 6 units were valued at $\$ 14,770,920$ on the 2021 SFC. (Ex. 156)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2021 SOFC reflected \$14,770,920 for the 6 rent-stabilized units. Faherty Aff. Ex. 156.
363. The chart below shows the valuation of the unsold rent stabilized units each year and the value those units have based on the 2010, and then once completed, the 2020 appraisals:

| Statement <br> Year | Unsold <br> Rent- <br> Stabilized <br> Units | Value for <br> Unsold Rent- <br> Stabilized <br> Units | Appraised Value <br> for Unsold Rent- <br> Stabilized Units | Inflated Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 12 | $\$ 49,595,500$ | $\$ 750,000$ | $\$ 48,845,500$ |


| 2012 | 12 | $\$ 49,595,500$ | $\$ 750,000$ | $\$ 48,845,500$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | 11 | $\$ 46,544,500$ | $\$ 687,500$ | $\$ 45,857,000$ |
| 2014 | 9 | $\$ 38,305,550$ | $\$ 562,500$ | $\$ 37,743,000$ |
| 2015 | 8 | $\$ 33,294,000$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 32,794,000$ |
| 2016 | 8 | $\$ 27,002,836$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 26,502,836$ |
| 2017 | 8 | $\$ 26,200,247$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 25,700,247$ |
| 2018 | 8 | $\$ 29,100,783$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 28,600,783$ |
| 2019 | 6 | $\$ 18,533,518$ | $\$ 375,000$ | $\$ 18,158,518$ |
| 2020 | 6 | $\$ 18,170,971$ | $\$ 3,800,015$ | $\$ 14,370,776$ |
| 2021 | 6 | $\$ 14,770,920$ | $\$ 3,800,015$ | $\$ 10,970,905$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the assertion that the 2011-2021 SOFC reflected the above amounts for the rent-stabilized units. Further, the appraisals were inaccurate because they assumed the rent-stabilized units would remain restricted indefinitely without counting the possibility of any units being converted to marketrate rentals or otherwise sell the units unencumbered by rent stabilization. Further, they overlooked the potential for rent increases even within the rent-stabilized units. (Chin Aff. q\| 5859, Ex. B ๆ 85 )
364. At least two of the unsold residential units not subject to rent stabilization laws were valued at inflated amounts in the Statements for a number of years over and above option prices agreed to by the Trump Organization.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Plaintiff fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
365. The unit known as Penthouse A, which Ivanka Trump started renting in 2011, included in the lease an option to purchase the unit for $\$ 8,500,000$. (Ex. 165)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition 130
that Ivanka Trump rented Penthouse A, rented Penthouse A beginning in 2011, nor that the lease included an option to purchase the unit for $\$ 8,500,000$. Faherty Aff. Ex. 165.
366. Despite this option price, for the 2011 and 2012 Statements this unit was valued at $\$ 20,820,000$-approximately two and a half times the option price. (Exs. 146, 147)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that the 2011 and 2012 SOFC valued "Penthouse A" at \$20,820,000. Faherty Aff. Exs. 146-47. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that there was an option price to purchase "Penthouse A." Further, "Penthouse A" is not identified in Faherty Aff. Exs. 146-47.
367. For the 2013 Statement, the unit was valued at $\$ 25,000,000$-more than three times the option price. (Ex. 148)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that the 2013 SOFC valued "Penthouse A" at $\$ 25,000,000$, nor does the evidence establish that an option price existed. Further, "Penthouse A" is not identified in Faherty Aff. Exs. 148.
368. In June 2014, Ms. Trump was given an option (which automatically vested the next year) to purchase a different, larger penthouse unit ("Penthouse B") for $\$ 14,264,000$. (Ex. 166 at -39; Ex. 167)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff fails to establish that Ivanka Trump was given an option to purchase a different, larger penthouse unit. Further, "Penthouse B" was larger than "Penthouse A." Faherty Aff. Exs. 166 at -39, 167.
369. That unit was valued at $\$ 45$ million for the 2014 Statement -- more than three times as much as the option price. (Ex. 149)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not establish that the 2014 SOFC valued "Penthouse B" at $\$ 45,000,000$, nor does it establish the value of the purported
option to purchase "Penthouse B." Faherty Aff. Ex. 149.
370. For the Statements from 2015 to 2021, the value for Penthouse B was lowered to reflect an option price of $\$ 14,264,000$. (Exs. 150-156)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not establish that the 20152021 SOFC valued "Penthouse B" at $\$ 14,264,000$, nor does it establish the value of the option to purchase "Penthouse B." Faherty Aff. Exs. 150-56.
371. However, a second amendment to the lease dated December 2016, lowered the option price of Penthouse B to $\$ 12,264,000$ meaning the SOFC values for the unit from 2017 to 2021 were overstated by $\$ 2,000,000$. (Ex. 168; Ex. 152-156)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not establish that the 20172021 SOFC values were overstated. Faherty Aff. Exs. 168, 152-56.
372. In the Statements for 2011 through 2015, the Trump Organization used the offering plan prices to value the remaining unsold residential condominium units rather than estimates of current market value. (Exs. 146-150)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support the proposition that the 2011-2015 SOFC incorporated offering plan prices the value the remaining unsold residential condominium units. Faherty Aff. Exs. 146-50.
373. At least as early as 2012, the Trump Organization's in-house real estate brokerage arm (Trump International Realty) prepared Sponsor Unit Inventory Valuation spreadsheets reflecting both offering plan prices and current market values based on actual market data that
included unsold units at Trump Park Avenue. (Ex. 169-174)
RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not establish that Trump International Realty prepared the Sponsor Unit Valuation spreadsheets, nor does it establish that the market value was based on actual market data that included unsold units at Trump Park Avenue. Faherty Aff. Ex. 169-74.
374. Trump Organization employees used these spreadsheets for day-to-day operations and business planning purposes, but not for purposes of valuation for the Statements. (Ex. 138 at 396:17-409:24; Ex. 175 at 62:07-78:23; Exs. 146-150)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed.
375. In 2012 the Trump Organization submitted to Mazars a spreadsheet containing a total value based on offering plan price for the non-rent stabilized units totaling $\$ 243,527,250$. (Ex. 147)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Plaintiff fails to identify the rent-stabilized units relied upon and thus does not establish the units totaled \$243,527,250. Faherty Aff. Ex. 147.
376. In that same year the Trump Organization's internal spreadsheet contained a current market value for the non-rent stabilized units totaling \$206,700,000. (Ex. 169)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, it is unclear what alleged non-rent-stabilized units Plaintiff relies on. Faherty Aff. Ex. 169.
377. In 2013 the Trump Organization submitted to Mazars a spreadsheet containing a total value based on offering plan price for the non-rent stabilized units totaling $\$ 280,310,000$. (Ex. 148)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Plaintiff fails to identify the rent-stabilized units relied upon and thus does not establish the units totaled \$280,310,000. Faherty Aff. Ex. 148.
378. In that same year the Trump Organization's internal spreadsheet contained a current market value for the non-rent stabilized units totaling \$252,875,000. (Ex. 170).

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, it is unclear what alleged non-rent-stabilized units Plaintiff relies on. Faherty Aff. Ex. 170.
379. In 2014 the Trump Organization submitted to Mazars a spreadsheet containing a
total value based on offering plan price for the non-rent stabilized units totaling $\$ 244,746,000$. (Ex.149)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Plaintiff fails to identify the rent-stabilized units relied upon and thus does not establish the units totaled \$244,746,000. Faherty Aff. Ex. 149.
380. In that same year the Trump Organization's internal spreadsheet contained a current market value for the non-rent stabilized units totaling \$207,740,000. (Exs. 176, 173)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, it is unclear what alleged non-rent-stabilized units Plaintiff relies on. Faherty Aff. Ex. 176, 173.
381. The chart below shows the value reflected in the Statements for these remaining unsold units, absent the apartment with Ivanka Trump's option, in each year that is based on the offering plan prices and the value for these same units based on the current market value as listed on the Trump Organization prepared Sponsor Unit Inventory Valuation spreadsheets:

| Statement <br> Year | Value Based on <br> Offering Plan Price | Current Market Value <br> Prepared by Trump | Difference in <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | $\$ 222,707,250$ | $\$ 190,050,000$ | $\$ 32,657,250$ |
| 2013 | $\$ 255,310,000$ | $\$ 230,875,000$ | $\$ 24,435,000$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 199,746,000$ | $\$ 174,740,000$ | $\$ 25,0006,000$ |

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity

Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, it is unclear what non-rent stabilized units Plaintiff relies on to support the figures above.
382. The Trump Organization concealed its actual market value estimates from Mazars, sending the accounting firm only the portion of the spreadsheets containing the offering plan prices and omitting the column containing actual market value estimates (Ex. 72 at 687:03704:20; Exs.147-149; Exs.169-170; Ex.176; Ex.173)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Further, the evidence cited by Plaintiff does not establish that "actual market value estimates" were "concealed" from Mazars. Faherty Aff Exs. 72 at 687:03- 704:20; Exs.147-149; Exs.169-170; Ex.176; Ex.173.
383. In one year, McConney did send to Bender both columns of the spreadsheet-but within minutes sent him a revised spreadsheet that omitted the current market value column and directed him to review the revised version instead. (Ex. 72 at 687:03-704:20; Ex. 177-180)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Jeff McConney sent both spreadsheets to Donald Bender in separate emails but did not direct him to review the second spreadsheet instead of the first spreadsheet. To the contrary, Jeff McConney's direction to Donald Bender was the same in both e-mails, stating: "Doc, Here are the excel spreadsheets. Let me know if I missed any." Further, the e-mails were not transmitted "within minutes" of each other; instead, the second e-mail was sent more than a half hour after the first. Faherty Aff. Ex. 177-180.
384. As a general matter, when a GAAP-compliant financial statement reports "cash," it is referring to an amount of liquid currency or demand deposits available to the person or entity whose finances are described in the statement. See Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB"), Master Glossary - Cash (Ex. 181)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

385. As a general matter, when a GAAP-compliant financial statement reports "cash equivalents," it is referring to "short-term, highly liquid investments that have both of the following characteristics: a. Readily convertible to known amounts of cash b. So near their maturity that they present insignificant risk of changes in value because of changes in interest rates." FASB, Master Glossary - Cash Equivalents (Ex. 182).

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

386. For the Statements covering 2013 to 2021, the value of the "cash" included in the asset category "cash and marketable securities" in 2013 and 2014, "Cash, marketable securities and hedge funds" in 2015 and 2016, and "cash and cash equivalents" in 2017 through 2021 included cash amounts held by the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 3 at -37; Ex. 4 at -717; Ex. 5 at -691; Ex. 6 at -983; Ex. 7 at -842; Ex. 8 at -725; Ex. 9 at -790; Ex. 10 at -248; Ex. 11 at 418)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

387. Mr. Trump has a $30 \%$ limited partnership stake in the Vornado Partnership Interests without the right to use or withdraw funds held by the partnerships. In particular, Mr. Trump's $30 \%$ interests are held indirectly through limited partnership stakes in various partnerships named "Hudson Waterfront Associates" followed by a number and the term, "LP," for limited partnership. (Ex. 108, at -485 , -486) The agreements governing the Hudson

Waterfront Associates limited partnerships are materially identical or substantially the same. (Ex. 109)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
388. The partnership agreements governing the Vornado Partnership Interests make clear that the General Partner, i.e., Vornado, has full control over business operations and the discretion to make case distributions. As one of the materially identical agreements explains, the General Partner has "full control over the management, operation and activities of, and dealings with, the Partnership Assets and the Partnership's properties, business and affairs," and "the Limited Partners shall not take part in the management of the business or affairs of the Partnership or control the Partnership business." Moreover, the agreement states, "[t]he Limited Partners may under no circumstances sign for or bind the Partnership." The partnership agreement provides for cash distributions in an amount, if any, that is "determined by the General Partner in its sole discretion." (Ex. 113 at -916, -917-942, -943, -3916-17)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

389. Moreover, the partnership agreements do not provide for dissolution until the end of 2044, and limit the circumstances in which a limited partner may sell, transfer, or pledge his interest. (Ex. 113 at -932, -963-75)

RESPONSE: Disputed. While the term of the partner is set to continue until 2044, that date is only applicable "unless [the partnership is] sooner dissolved." (Faherty Aff. Ex. 113 at 932) Moreover, other than expiration of the term, the partnership may be dissolved through the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all partnership assets, unanimous written consent of the partners, the determination of the general partner to dissolve, and other event of dissolution. (Id. at -994-95) Moreover, "Trump may, without the consent of the other Partners,
transfer his partnership Interest or any portion thereof to a Controlled Trust," if certain other conditions are met. (Id. at -964-65)
390. Internal Trump Organization records acknowledge that cash residing within the Vornado Partnership interests was not the Trump Organization's or Mr. Trump's cash to access, but instead that any distributions were at Vornado's discretion.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The NYAG fails to point to any documents in this paragraph that substantiate the allegations therein.
391. Documents prepared in or about 2016 by Trump Organization accounting personnel reflect an understanding that any distributions from the Vornado Partnership Interests were at Vornado's discretion. (Ex. 183 at Tab "2017 Projection" and Cells F114 and F115 identifying "Discretionary Distributions" with the Note "(j)"; Tab "Notes" Rows 28-29 defining note "(j)")

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The paragraph does not identify who is a part of the "accounting personnel nor who had an understanding of the contents of the cited document.
392. One or more spreadsheets reflecting the discretionary nature of any cash distributions from the Vornado Partnership Interests were prepared and approved by personnel, including Mr. Weisselberg, who also worked on the Statements of Financial Condition. (Ex.184; Ex. 185 (Tab "Summary" at Rows 121-123 and Tab "Notes" at Rows 36-37; Ex. 186 at 168:6-

RESPONSE: Disputed. The spreadsheet referenced does not purport to have been prepared or approved by Mr. Weisselberg as it was attached to an email sent by Patrick Birney, who wrote: "See attached. I put 3 copies for the kids in their folders on your chair. You and Jeff have copies on your desks. I'll call you tomorrow." (Faherty Aff., Exs. 184, 185) The testimony of Donna Kidder the NYAG cites also does not substantiate that Mr. Weisselberg approved any such spreadsheet as Ms. Kidder merely testified that Mr. Weisselberg took part in the "generation of the shell spreadsheet". (Faherty Aff., Ex. 186 at 168:6-169:16)
393. A memorandum from Mr. Weisselberg to Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump, and Ivanka Trump similarly advised them that "distributions are at the discretion of Vornado." (Ex. 187)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
394. The "Cash and Marketable Securities" asset category on the 2013 Statement includes $\$ 14,221,800$ in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 188 at Rows 35 and 36)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
395. The "Cash and Marketable Securities" asset category on the 2014 Statement includes $\$ 24,756,854$ in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 189 at Tab "06.30.14" Rows 41, 43, 100, 101, and 102, and at Tab "D-6.30.14" Row 39)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

396. The "Cash, Marketable Securities and Hedge Funds" asset category on the 2015 Statement includes \$32,708,696 in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 190 at Tab "As of 06.30 .15 " Rows 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 19, and at Tab "As of 6.30 .15 - Under

## \$50k" Row 52)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
397. The "Cash, Marketable Securities and Hedge Funds" asset category on the 2016 Statement includes $\$ 19,593,643$ in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 191 at Tab "As of 06.30.16" Rows 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 56)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
398. The "Cash and Cash Equivalents" asset category on the 2017 Statement includes $\$ 14,221,800$ in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 192 at Tab "As of 06.30.17" Rows $14,21,22,23,24$, and 25)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The sum of the cash attributable to the Vornado operating entities equals \$16,536,243. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 192 at Tab "As of 06.30.17" Rows 14, 21, 22, 23, 24, and 25)
399. The "Cash and Cash Equivalents" asset category on the 2018 Statement includes $\$ 24,355,588$ in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 193 at Tab "As of 06.30.18" Rows $15,22,23,24,25$, and 26)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
400. The "Cash and Cash Equivalents" asset category on the 2019 Statement includes $\$ 24,653,729$ in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 194 at Tab "As of 06.30.19" Rows $14,19,20,21,22$, and 23)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

401. The "Cash and Cash Equivalents" asset category on the 2020 Statement includes $\$ 28,251,623$ in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 195 at Tab "As of 06.30.20" Rows 15, 21, 22, 23, 24, and 25)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
402. The "Cash and Cash Equivalents" asset category on the 2021 Statement includes $\$ 93,126,589$ in cash held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 196 at Tab "As of 06.30 .21 " Rows 11, 19, 20, 21, 22, and 23)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

403. The chart below shows the amount of cash attributable to Mr. Trump's 30\% stake in the Vornado Partnership Interests in dollars and as a percent of the total asset value portrayed in the pertinent "cash" category in particular statement years. The amounts listed in the "Total Cash / Liquidity" column are derived from the "cash" category of asset (see paragraph for how that category was identified in each year) for the Statements for the years 2013 through 2021. (Ex. 3 at -37; Ex. 4 at -717; Ex. 5 at -691; Ex. 6 at -983; Ex. 7 at -842; Ex. 8 at -725; Ex. 9 at 790; Ex. 10 at -248; Ex. 11 at -418)

| Statement <br> Year | Amount Included Based On <br> 30\% Share In Vornado <br> Property Interests | Total Cash/ <br> Liquidity Reported | Vornado Property <br> Interests Cash as a <br> Percent of Total Cash |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | $\$ 14,221,800$ | $\$ 339,100,000$ | $4 \%$ |
| 2014 | $\$ 24,756,854$ | $\$ 302,300,000$ | $8 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 32,708,696$ | $\$ 192,300,000$ | $17 \%$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 19,593,643$ | $\$ 114,400,000$ | $17 \%$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 16,536,243$ | $\$ 76,000,000$ | $22 \%$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 24,355,588$ | $\$ 76,200,000$ | $32 \%$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 24,653,729$ | $\$ 87,000,000$ | $28 \%$ |
| 2020 | $\$ 28,251,623$ | $\$ 92,700,000$ | $30 \%$ |
| 2021 | $\$ 93,126,589$ | $\$ 293,800,000$ | $32 \%$ |

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the values listed are those reflected in the SOFCs, and the amounts attributable to the Vornado Operating Entities are as stated.
404. The decision to include cash in the Vornado Partnership Interests as if it were Mr .

Trump's own cash in the Statements was made by Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg. (Ex. 138 at 670:23-671:11) In 2013, Mr. McConney first provided Mazars with a cash schedule that did not include cash held by the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Exs. 197-198) A few weeks later, he sent a revised cash schedule that did include such cash. (Ex. 199; Ex. 200 at Tab "06.30.13" Rows 35 and 306) In 2013, Mr. McConney's work on the Statement of Financial Condition was reviewed by Allen Weisselberg. (Ex. 54 at 70:2-21)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Patrick Birney did not testify that Mr. McConney and Mr. Weisselberg made the decision of how the Vornado interests should be represented, Mr. Birney testified "I don't know: when asked about whose decision it was to "include the cash held in" Vornado entities on "[President] Trump's schedule of cash and cash equivalence" and that he could "limit it to Jeff or Allen," but that he did not "know enough to say" and anything he would testify would be a "guess." (Faherty Aff., Ex. 138 at 670:23-671:11) Mr. McConney did not explicitly testify that Mr. Weisselberg reviewed his work on the SOFC in 2013, only that he would generally review the supporting spreadsheet with Mr. Weisselberg when he was heavily involved before it went out to Mazars, that Mr. McConney would not review "every single thing [because t]here's just too much going on in the company" and adds that Mr. Weisselberg would not "literally sign off on" the spreadsheet, but that he "reviews" and provides his comments before the spreadsheet is sent to Mazars. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 54 at 70:2-21)
405. No description of the "cash" category on the Statements from 2013 through 2021 discloses that cash Mr. Trump cannot access at his discretion and that resides in entities Mr. Trump does not control is included in the categoly. (Ex. 3 at -40; Ex. 4 at -720; Ex. 5 at -694 ; Ex. 6 at -986; Ex. 7 at-845; Ex. 8 at-728; Ex. 9 at -793; Ex. 10 at-251; Ex. 11 at-421)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Each of the SOFCs from 2013 through 2021 include a disclosure
that the cash assets listed therein are amounts held by President Trump personally and amounts held in "operating entities" indicating that not all of the funds listed in the cash category belonged to President Trump individually. (Faherty Aff., Exs. 3-11 at Note 2.) This disclosure notifies the reader of the SOFC that not all assets in the cash category are held directly by President Trump. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B 9T44-47) Moreover, the compilation reports preceding the SOFC contained a disclosure that "some closely held business entities [are reported] in a manner that separately states gross assets and liabilities and states certain cash positions separately from their related operating entity," (Faherty Aff., Ex. 3 at -035, Ex. 4 at -715, Ex. 5 at -689 ) and in subsequent years contained a similar disclosure stated that the SOFC reported "the current estimated value of all closely held and other business entities as a net investment (assets net of liabilities) and disclose[d] summarized financial information" about each entity. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 6 at -982, Ex. 7 at -841, Ex. 8 -724, Ex. 9 at -792-93, Ex. 10 at -250, Ex. 11 at -420 ). These disclosures are sufficient to put a reader on notice as to the inclusion of cash not directly held by President Trump. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B q\| 44-47)
406. The cash listed as an asset on the Statements for 2011 to 2021 is falsely inflated by the cash held by Vornado Partnership Interests.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Each of the SOFCs from 2014 through 2021 include a disclosure that President Trump was a Partner of Vornado Realty Trust in relation to two properties, of which "[President] Trump (Faherty Aff., Exs. 3-11 at Note 2.) This disclosure notifies the reader of the SOFC that not all assets in the cash category are held directly by President Trump, which directly refutes any allegation that the cash assets are falsely inflated by the inclusion of the cash held by Vornado. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B 9T4 44-47) Moreover, the compilation reports preceding the SOFC contained a disclosure that "some closely held business entities [are reported] in a
manner that separately states gross assets and liabilities and states certain cash positions separately from their related operating entity," (Faherty Aff., Ex. 3 at -035, Ex. 4 at -715, Ex. 5 at -689) and in subsequent years contained a similar disclosure that stated that the SOFC reported "the current estimated value of all closely held and other business entities as a net investment (assets net of liabilities) and disclose[d] summarized financial information" about each entity. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 6 at -982, Ex. 7 at -841, Ex. $8-724$, Ex. 9 at -792-93, Ex. 10 at -250, Ex. 11 at -420). These disclosures are sufficient to put a reader on notice as to the inclusion of cash not directly held by President Trump. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B qq\| 44-47)
407. The Statements from 2014 to 2021 included in the total for the "escrow and reserve deposits and prepaid expenses" category of assets $30 \%$ of the escrow deposits or restricted cash held on the balance sheets of the Vornado Partnership Interests.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
408. The label given to this category varies slightly. From 2014 through 2019, the label was "Escrow, reserve deposits and prepaid expenses." (Ex. 4 at -717; Ex. 5 at -691; Ex. 6 at 983; Ex. 7 at -842; Ex. 8 at -725; Ex. 9 at -790) From 2020 through 2021, it was "Escrow, reserve deposits, restricted cash and prepaid expenses." (Ex. 10 at -248; Ex. 11 at -418 )

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

409. The "Escrow, reserve deposits and prepaid expenses" asset category on the 2014 Statement included \$20,800,000 held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 201 at Rows 47-48)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

410. The "Escrow, reserve deposits and prepaid expenses" asset category on the 2015 Statement included \$15,980,000 held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 202 at Rows

## 40-41)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

411. The "Escrow, reserve deposits and prepaid expenses" asset category on the 2016 Statement included \$14,470,000 held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 203 at Rows 12 and 16)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
412. The "Escrow, reserve deposits and prepaid expenses" asset category on the 2017 Statement included \$8,750,000 held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 204 at Rows 12 and 16)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
413. The "Escrow, reserve deposits and prepaid expenses" asset category on the 2018 Statement included \$8,180,000 held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 205 at Rows 14 and 16)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
414. The "Escrow, reserve deposits and prepaid expenses" asset category on the 2019 Statement included \$11,195,400 held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 206 at Rows 14 and 16)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the spreadsheet reflects a value of $\$ 11,195,400$. However, it is disputed that the SOFC reflects such an amount, the amount on the statement is listed as \$11,200,000. (Faherty Aff, Ex. 9 at -806).
415. The "Escrow, reserve deposits, restricted cash and prepaid expenses" asset category on the 2020 Statement included $\$ 7,108,500$ held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 207 at Rows 12 and 14)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the spreadsheet reflects a value of $\$ 7,108,500$. However, it is disputed that the SOFC reflects such an amount, the amount on the statement is listed as \$7,110,000. (Faherty Aff, Ex. 10 at -261).
416. The "Escrow, reserve deposits, restricted cash and prepaid expenses" asset category on the 2021 Statement included $\$ 12,696,600$ held within the Vornado Partnership Interests. (Ex. 208 at Rows 14 and 15)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the spreadsheet reflects a value of $\$ 12,696,600$. However, it is disputed that the SOFC reflects such an amount, the amount on the statement is listed as $\$ 12,700,000$. (Faherty Aff, Ex. 11 at -431).
417. The chart below shows the amount of escrow deposits or restricted cash attributable to Mr. Trump's 30\% stake in the Vornado Partnership Interests in dollars and as a percent of the total "escrow and reserve deposits and prepaid expenses" category. The amounts listed in the righthand column are derived by comparing the escrow or restricted cash amounts derived from the Vornado Partnership Interests to the total of the "escrow" category of asset in a particular year, as identified on the Statements of Financial Condition for the years 2014 through 2021. (Ex. 4 at-717; Ex. 5 at-691; Ex. 6 at -983; Ex. 7 at-842; Ex. 8 at-725; Ex. 9 at -790; Ex. 10 at -248; Ex. 11 at -418)

| Statement <br> Year | Amount Included Based On 30\% <br> Share In Vornado Property <br> Interests | Vornado Property Interests <br> Escrow Deposits or Restricted <br> Cash as a Percent of Total <br> Escrow Category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | $\$ 20,800,000$ | $52 \%$ |
| 2015 | $\$ 15,980,000$ | $47 \%$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 14,470,000$ | $52 \%$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 8,750,000$ | $36 \%$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 8,180,000$ | $36 \%$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 11,195,400$ | $39 \%$ |


| 2020 | $\$ 7,108,500$ | $28 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 | $\$ 12,696,600$ | $44 \%$ |

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the values listed are those reflected in the supporting data for the SOFCs, and the amounts attributable to the Vornado Operating Entities are as stated.
418. The escrow deposits and restricted cash listed as an asset on the Statements for 2014 to 2021 is falsely inflated by the escrow deposits and restricted cash held by Vornado Partnership Interests, because, as the Statements do not disclose, Mr. Trump does not control cash in those partnerships and thus would not control escrowed or restricted cash once any escrow or other restriction were lifted. (Ex. 4 at -717, -720; Ex. 5 at -691, -694; Ex. 6 at -983, 986; Ex. 7 at -842, -845; Ex. 8 at -725, -728; Ex. 9 at -790, -793; Ex. 10 at -248, -251; Ex. 11 at 418, -421)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Each of the SOFCs contain a disclosure that President Trump is a partner to Vornado Realty Trust in two properties, of which President Trump "owns 30\%" and further disclosing the amount that is represented in the escrow category attributable to Vornado for each of the corresponding years. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 4 at -734, Ex. 5 at -708, Ex. 6 at -2000, Ex. 7 at -841 , Ex. 8 at -741 , Ex. 9 at -806, Ex. 10 at -261 , Ex. 11 at 431). Moreover, the compilation reports preceding the SOFC contained a disclosure that "some closely held business entities [are reported] in a manner that separately states gross assets and liabilities and states certain cash positions separately from their related operating entity," (Faherty Aff., Ex. 3 at -035, Ex. 4 at -715 , Ex. 5 at -689 ) and in subsequent years contained a similar disclosure that stated that the SOFC reported "the current estimated value of all closely held and other business entities as a net investment (assets net of liabilities) and disclose[d] summarized financial information" about each entity. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 6 at -982, Ex. 7 at -841, Ex. 8 -724, Ex. 9 at -792-93, Ex. 10
at -250 , Ex. 11 at -420 ). These disclosures are sufficient to put a reader on notice as to the inclusion of escrow deposits attributable to Vornado. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B 9Tl48-50)
419. From 2011 to 2021, each Statement has included an asset categoly entitled "Real Estate Licensing Developments." (Ex. 1 at-3150; Ex. 2 at -6327; Ex. 3 at-054; Ex. 4 at-736-37; Ex. 5 at-709-10; Ex. 6 at -2001-02; Ex. 7 at -1860; Ex. 8 at-2743; Ex. 9 at-1808; Ex. 10 at -2262; Ex. 11 at -6433)

RESPONSE: Undisputed. Except it is disputed that the 2020 SOFC contained such a category, in 2020 the name of the that section was "Real Estate Licensing And Management[.]"
420. This category is represented to value "associations with others for the purpose of developing and managing properties" and the "cash flow that is expected to be derived . . . from these associations as their potential is realized." (Ex. 1 at -3150; Ex. 2 at -6327; Ex. 3 at -054; Ex. 4 at -736-37; Ex. 5 at-709-10; Ex. 6 at -2001-02; Ex. 7 at -1860; Ex. 8 at -2743; Ex. 9 at 1808; Ex. 10 at -2262; Ex. 11 at -6433)

RESPONSE: Undisputed. Expect that it is disputed that that the SOFCs for 2019-2021 contain the representation that the Real Estate Licensing Development category contains a representation that it purports to value "associations with others for the purpose of developing and managing properties" is not contained in these SOFCs. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 9; Ex. 10; Ex. 11)
421. This asset category was represented to include "only situations which have evolved to the point where signed arrangements with the other parties exist and fees and other compensation which will be earned are reasonably quantifiable." (Ex. 3 at -054; Ex. 4 at -736-37; Ex. 5 at -709-10; Ex. 6 at -2001-02; Ex. 7 at-1860; Ex. 8 at -2743; Ex. 9 at -1808; Ex. 10 at 2262; Ex. 11 at -6433)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
422. However, the Trump Organization included in this asset category from 2015 to 2018 speculative and non-existent deals as components of the value-deals expressly identified on internal Trump Organization financial records supporting the valuation as "TBD," i.e. to be determined. (Exs. 209-214, at "new signings" and "new openings" tab for Exs. 209, 201, 212, 214; also, Ex. 135; Ex. 138 at 1148:21-1153:16)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Moreover, the cited documents demonstrate a differentiation of value between the "Existing Portfolio" and the "Future Portfolio" allowing Mazars, the accounting firm who was in charge of compiling these financials, to ascertain the substance of the financials to be disclosure on the SOFC. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 209 at sheet "Summary" rows 20-22; Ex. 210 at sheet "Summary" rows 20-22; Ex. 211; Ex. 212 at sheet "Summary" rows 25—27; Ex. 213; Ex. 214 at sheet "Summary" rows 25-27). Patrick Birney testified that he "remembers Domald Bender bringing . . . up" the removal of the future portfolio valuation from the financial information included in the SOFC, thereafter the future portfolio values were removed to adhere to the advice of the accountant in charge of the compilation assignment. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 135; Ex. 138 at 1148:21-1153:16).
423. These TBD deals included arrangements in Asia and the Middle East, were described in a list of purported "new openings," and were based on purely speculative projections that included thousands of new hotel rooms and millions of dollars in additional revenue. (Exs. 209-210; Ex. 212; Ex. 214)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The projections were not based on "purely speculative
projections" but were the product of planned courses of action and ongoing negotiations existing at the time with the aim of developing new hotels and generating revenue from the operation of those hotels.
424. These TBD deals were not signed arrangements that "existed" and for which compensation was "reasonably quantifiable" as the Statements represented was the case for deals included within this asset categoly. (Ex. 138 at 620:13-621:14; Ex. 5 at 709-10; Ex. 6 at-200102; Ex. 7 at -1860; Ex. 8 at -2743)

RESPONSE: Disputed. While Mr. Birney testified that it was "probably not" not accurate to include to be determined deals as part of a future portfolio,(Faherty Aff, Ex. 138 at 621:2—14), Patrick Birney also testified that he "remembers Domald Bender bringing . . . up" the removal of the future portfolio valuation from the financial information included in the SOFC, thereafter the future portfolio values were removed to adhere to the advice of the accountant in charge of the compilation assignment. (Faherty Aff., Ex. 138 at 1148:21-1153:16).
425. The chart below shows the value of the TBD deals included in the Real Estate Licensing Development valuations from 2015 to 2018:

| Statement <br> Year | Total Value | Amount of TBD <br> Deals in Total Value | \% of Total | Record Cite |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | $\$ 339,000,000$ | $\$ 103,536,391$ | $30.5 \%$ | Ex. 209 |
| 2016 | $\$ 227,400,000$ | $\$ 46,312,797$ | $20.4 \%$ | Ex. 210 |
| 2017 | $\$ 246,000,000$ | $\$ 52,731,562$ | $21.4 \%$ | Ex. 211 |
| 2018 | $\$ 202,900,000$ | $\$ 45,198,994$ | $22.3 \%$ | Ex. 213 |

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the values listed are those reflected in the supporting data for the SOFCs and the SOFCs, and the amounts attributable to the future portfolio are as stated, which ultimately equal the percentage represented.
426. The Trump Organization also included in this categrly a number of deals between entities within the Trump Organization concerning its own prope1ties, including Doral, OPO, Turnberry, Doonbeg, Trump New York, Trump Las Vegas, and Trump Chicago-deals in accounting parlance that are known as "related party transactions" because they are not aimslength deals in the marketplace but rather deals between affiliates. (Ex. 215; Ex. 216; Ex. 206; Ex.210; Ex.211; Ex.213; Ex.221; Ex.222; Ex.223)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Moreover, the NYAG presents no evidence to support its definition of related party transaction, nor does it present evidence as to why the listed deals would fit such definition.
427. Including these related party transactions was contrary to the representation in the Statements that this category included only the value derived from associations with others where "signed arrangements with the other parties exist" when in fact the value included intercompany agreements among and between Trump Organization affiliates. (Ex. 3 at-054-55; Ex. 4 at-736; Ex. 5 at 709-10; Ex. 6 at -2001-02; Ex. 7 at-1860; Ex. 8 at-2743; Ex. 9 at -161808; Ex. 10 at -162262 ; Ex. 11 at -6166433).

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The listed transactions that make up the Real Estate Licensing Developments category are arrangements signed with other parties that exist, as each real estate development is operated by a discrete legal entity that is a distinct legal person from President Trump, and the SOFCs are

President Trump's personal financials. Therefore, while the SOFCs do not explicitly mention that certain Real Estate Licensing Developments involve Trump branded entities, the disclosure is not inaccurate. Even if disclosure that the other parties were related parties was required, such disclosure requirement would not undermine the inclusion of those values in the SOFC. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B 9Tl 84-87) Nothing in ASC 850 precludes the inclusion of value derived from related-party transactions, it only involves disclosing the relationship involved. (See generally Faherty Aff., Ex. 124; Flemmons Aff., Ex. B $9 \mathbb{l}$ 84-87) Thus, no reduction in value would be appropriate in this circumstance.
428. Including the value of related party transactions also constituted a substantial, undisclosed departure from GAAP, which generally requires disclosure of details of related party transactions because, among other reasons, such self-dealing transactions are not arms-length transactions in the marketplace. See, e.g., ASC No. 850 (Ex. 124)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Even if certain disclosures were required in relation to related parties, the inclusion of the value of the development projects is not affected by the requirement of disclosure. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B 9/T 84-87) Nothing in ASC 850 precludes the inclusion of value derived from related-party transactions, it only involves disclosing the relationship involved. (See generally Faherty Aff., Ex. 124; Flemmons Aff., Ex. B Tी 84-87) Thus, no reduction in value would be appropriate in this circumstance.
429. Removing (i.e. zeroing out) revenues attributable to the related party transactions in 2013 (Doral, OPO, Trump New York, Trump Las Vegas, and Trump Chicago) from the management company valuation while keeping all other variables constant results in a reduction in value of $\$ 87,535,099$. (Ex. 215; Ex. 407)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.

Additionally, Even if certain disclosures were required in relation to related parties, the inclusion of the value of the development projects is not affected by the requirement of disclosure. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B TT 84-87) Nothing in ASC 850 precludes the inclusion of value derived from related-party transactions, it only involves disclosing the relationship involved. (See generally Faherty Aff., Ex. 124; Flemmons Aff., Ex. B $9 \| 84-87$ ) Thus, no reduction in value would be appropriate in this circumstance.
430. Removing (i.e. zeroing out) revenues attributable to the related party transactions in 2014 (Doral, OPO, Doonbeg, Trump New York, Trump Las Vegas, and Trump Chicago) from the management company valuation while keeping all other variables constant results in a reduction in value of $\$ 224,259,337$. (Ex. 216)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion. Additionally, Even if certain disclosures were required in relation to related parties, the inclusion of the value of the development projects is not affected by the requirement of disclosure. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B q/ ${ }^{(1 / 84-87)}$ ) Nothing in ASC 850 precludes the inclusion of value derived from related-party transactions, it only involves disclosing the relationship involved. (See generally Faherty Aff., Ex. 124; Flemmons Aff., Ex. B 9 q 84-87) Thus, no reduction in value $^{\text {84 }}$ would be appropriate in this circumstance.
431. Removing (i.e. zeroing out) revenues attributable to the related party transactions in 2015 (Doral, OPO, Doonbeg, Trump New York, Trump Las Vegas, and Trump Chicago) from the management company valuation while keeping all other variables constant results in a reduction in value of $\$ 110,559,370$. (Ex. 209)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.
432. Removing (i.e. zeroing out) revenues attributable to the related party transactions
in 2016 (Doral, OPO, Doonbeg, Trump New York, Trump Las Vegas, and Trump Chicago) from the management company valuation while keeping all other variables constant results in a reduction in value of $\$ 120,921,757$. (Ex. 210)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.
433. Removing (i.e. zeroing out) revenues attributable to the related party transactions in 2017 (Doral, OPO, Turnberry, Doonbeg, Trump New York, and Trump Chicago) from the while keeping all other variables constant results in a reduction in value of $\$ 113,528,527$. (Ex. 211; Ex. 212)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.
434. Removing (i.e. zeroing out) revenues attributable to the related party transactions in 2018 (Doral, OPO, Turnberry, Doonbeg, Trump New York, and Trump Chicago) from the management company valuation while keeping all other variables constant results in a reduction in value of $\$ 115,487,035$. (Ex. 213; Ex. 214)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.
435. Removing (i.e. zeroing out) revenues attributable to the related party transactions in 2020 (Doral, OPO, Turnberry, Doonbeg, Trump New York, and Trump Chicago) from the management company valuation while keeping all other variables constant results in a reduction in value of $\$ 97,468,692$. (Ex. 222)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.
436. Removing (i.e. zeroing out) revenues attributable to the related party transactions in 2021 (Doral, OPO, Turnberry, Doonbeg, Trump New York, and Trump Chicago) from the management company valuation while keeping all other variables constant results in a reduction in value of $\$ 106,503,627,000$. (Ex. 223).

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not support her assertion.
437. Here, if properly disclosed, a reader would have understood that the Trump Organization was valuing its own intracompany deals-not deals negotiated at arms-length in the marketplace.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Even if certain disclosures were required in relation to related parties, the inclusion of the value of the development projects is not affected by the requirement of disclosure. (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B 9/T 84-87) Nothing in ASC 850 precludes the inclusion of value derived from related-party transactions, it only involves disclosing the relationship involved. (See generally Faherty Aff., Ex. 124; (Flemmons Aff., Ex. B q\| 84-87) Thus, no reduction in value would be appropriate in this circumstance.
438. At the start of 2011, the Trump Organization had a single outstanding loan held by Deutsche Bank on Trump Chicago with just over $\$ 140$ million outstanding. (Ex. 224; DJT Answer 『 562 (admitting "that there was a relationship with Deutsche Bank, and that in 2011 the Chicago Loan was outstanding with the CRE group of Deutsche Bank")

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed.
439. The Trump Chicago loan was originated by the Commercial Real Estate ("CRE") lending group in Deutsche Bank. (Ex. 224; DJT Answer If 562 (admitting "that there was a relationship with Deutsche Bank, and that in 2011 the Chicago Loan was outstanding with the CRE group of Deutsche Bank")

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
440. Starting in 2011, Mr. Trump and the Trump Organization initiated a relationship with bankers in the Private Wealth Management ("PWM") division of Deutsche Bank. (Ex. 225; DJT Answer ๆ 563 (admitting "that in or about 2011 a relationship with the PWM division of Deutsche Bank commenced")

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity—initiated a relationship with PWM division.
441. The initial introduction to the PWM division at Deutsche Bank came in September 2011, when Jared Kushner, the husband of Ivanka Trump, introduced his brother-inlaw Donald Trump, Jr. to Rosemary Vrablic, a Managing Director at the bank in the PWM division. (Ex. 225)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
442. As part of this introduction, Vrablic confirmed the need for recourse in PWM loans in the form of a personal guarantee from as part of any loan application. (Ex. 225)

RESPONSE: Disputed. In the email correspondence with Donald Trump, Jr. and Jared Kusher, Vrablic stated that recourse was required in PWM loans in her email correspondence but never mentions a personal guarantee would be needed "as part of any loan application." See Faherty Aff. at Ex. 225.
443. As a result of the personal guarantee, the Statements were central to the PWM division loan application. (Ex. 226; Ex. 227 at 180:17-181:23)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited does not support the Statements of Financial Condition were "central" to the PWM loan application. The Statements were a "roadmap for
banks to do their own independent analysis." Defs. SOF ๆ 68. The banks also considered other factors in the loan application. See Defs. SOF q\| 69-71, 74-91.
444. By personally guaranteeing the loans and providing evidence of his liquidity and net worth through his Statements, Mr. Trump was able to apply to the PWM division for, and obtain for his company, loans with significantly lower interest rates than would otherwise have been available through the CRE division or from commercial real estate lending groups at other banks. (Compare Ex. 226; Ex. 228 with Ex. 229 (DB Corporate \& Investment Bank Term Sheet for Doral loan); Ex. 230 (DB CRE Term Sheet for Trump OPO loan); and Ex. 231 (internal Deutsche Bank email dated May 23, 2012 describing proposed DB PWM and DB CIB loan terms, including a "spread differential based on the full guarantee of Donald Trump").

RESPONSE: Disputed. President Trump was able to apply to the PWM division for loans given his net worth. Defs. SOF $\mathbb{\|} 73$ ("Typical borrowers will have a net worth of over \$50 million.") Additionally, the pricing in the PWM division was set by a pricing grid once a customer was in the PWM division. Defs. SOF q\| $75-78$.
445. The personal guarantee and other loan documents required by the PWM division included a certification by Mr. Trump of his Statement as true and accurate before any funds would be lent. (Ex. 232; Ex. 233; Ex. 234)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
446. The regular submission of the Statements certified as true and accurate by Mr. Trump or the trustees of the Trust (as applicable) also helped the Trump Organization and Mr. Trump avoid having the loans placed into default. (See id. (requiring annual compliance certification))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity 158

Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Defendants 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, and Trump Old Post Office LLC held loans with Deutsche Bank.
447. In a letter dated October 29, 2020, PWM Managing Director Greg Khost advised the Trump Organization that Deutsche Bank had become aware of alleged misrepresentations in Mr. Trump's Statements from OAG's public court filings and public news reporting. (Ex. 235)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
448. Mr. Khost's letter stated that these public factual allegations "appear to directly relate to the accuracy of certain Statements of Financial Condition submitted to DBTCA in Donald J. Trump's capacity as guarantor to the relevant loan facilities," and asked a series of questions about those Statements. (Ex. 235)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
449. In an email sent to Mr. Khost on December 7, 2020, Trump Organization Chief Legal Officer Alan Garten declined to answer Deutsche Bank's questions and stated "we are unaware of anything that would require us to respond to an inquiry of this nature." (Ex. 236)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Mr. Garten said he was unaware of anything that would require them to respond to an inquiry, but disputed that Mr. Garten declined to answer Deutsche Bank's questions.
450. Deutsche Bank Associate General Counsel Gregory Candela's email in response cited various loan agreements and guaranties requiring Mr. Trump to provide the bank with accurate information about his financial condition, and stated that Deutsche Bank was "seeking further information from the Trump Organization to aid in its analysis of whether an event of
default may have occurred with respect to such submissions and representations." (Ex. 236)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

451. Deutsche Bank subsequently decided to exit its relationship with the Trump Organization once all of its outstanding loans had matured or been repaid "in light of the failure and/or refusal of the covered client organization to respond to DB's event-driven KYC review questions." (Ex. 237)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Deutsche Bank's memorandum discusses Deutsche Bank's decision to exist its relationship with 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, and Trump Old Post Office LLC. See Faherty Aff., Ex. 237 at 1.
452. In November 2011, the Trump Organization executed a $\$ 150$ million purchase and sale agreement for the Doral Golf Resort and Spa as part of a bankruptcy proceeding. (Ex. 226; Ex 238; Amended Answer of Donald J. Trump, NYSCEF No. 501 ("DJT Answer") ๆ 571 (admitting "Trump Endeavor 12 LLC executed a purchase and sale agreement for Doral Golf Resort and Spa as part of a bankruptcy proceeding, and served as a stalking horse bidder for the Doral property in a bankruptcy Auction"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Trump Endeavor 12 LLC entered a $\$ 150$ million purchase and sale agreement for the Doral Golf Resort and Spa as part of a bankruptcy proceeding.
453. The Trump Organization was to serve as a stalking horse bidder in a bankruptcy auction, with an eye toward closing the transaction in June 2012. (Ex. 226; Ex 238; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) 『 571)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

454. The formal process for soliciting the Doral loan began in late October 2011, when Ivanka Trump sent an "Investment Memo" and financial projections for the Doral property to two Deutsche Bank employees. (Ex. 239; Ex. 240; Ex. 241; Ex. 242; Ex. 243)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
455. In November 2011, Mr. Trump began personally contacting banks to secure a loan to purchase Doral. (Ex. 244; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 573 (admitting that Mr. Trump "sought a loan to purchase Doral and spoke with Richard Byrne, the CEO of Deutsche Bank Securities relating to financing for the purchase of the Doral property in or about 2011"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited reflects only that Mr. Trump spoke to Richard Byrne at Deutsche Bank, not that he contacted various banks.
456. On November 13, 2011, Mr. Trump spoke with Richard Byrne, the CEO of Deutsche Bank Securities to ask if the bank was interested in working with him on financing for the purchase of Doral. (Ex. 244; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 573)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
457. Mr. Byrne in turn forwarded the request to the Global Head of the CRE division at the bank who wrote that Doral was "a tough asset and our initial reaction was not enthusiastic." (Ex. 244; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 573)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
458. On November 14, 2011, the two bankers spoke with Mr. Trump and Ivanka

Trump about the loan. (Ex. 244; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 573)
RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited only illustrates that President Trump spoke to Deutsche Bank on November 14, 2021.
459. The next day, Mr. Trump sent Mr. Byrne a letter, copying Ivanka Trump, enclosing his Statement and writing, "As per our conversation, I am pleased to enclose the recently completed financial statement of Donald J. Trump (hopefully you will be impressed!)." (Ex. 245; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 574 (admitting "that Defendant and Ivanka Trump spoke with bankers about the loan and Mr. Trump wrote a letter to Mr. Byrne"))

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

460. The letter continued, "I am also enclosing a letter that establishes my brand value, which is not included in my net worth statement." (Ex. 245; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) 9 574)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
461. On November 21, 2011, the CRE division offered the Trump Organization a $\$ 130$ million loan at LIBOR +800 basis points, with a LIBOR floor of 2 percent - a minimum $10 \%$ interest rate. (Ex. 229; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 575 (admitting "the CRE division offered financing terms to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed.
462. The Trump Organization did not accept those terms and continued to look for financing for Doral. (Ex. 246)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed.
463. In December 2011, Mr. Trump and Ivanka Trump met with Rosemary Vrablic to discuss a potential loan for Doral through the PWM division. (Ex. 246)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
464. On December 6, 2011, Ms. Trump emailed Vrablic that, "My father and I are very much looking forward to meeting with you tomorrow to discuss Doral. I have attached our investment memo as well as some basic information on our golf and hotel portfolios." (Ex. 246)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

465. The two sides began negotiating terms and on December 15, 2011, Vrablic sent Ms. Trump a term sheet proposing a $\$ 125$ million loan with an interest rate of LIBOR +225 basis points during a renovation period for the resort and LIBOR +200 basis points during an amortization period for the resort. (Ex. 247; Ex. 248)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

466. The terms of the loan included recourse through a personal guarantee by Mr . Trump of all principal and interest due on the loan and the operating expenses of the resort. (Ex. 247; Ex. 248)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

467. The proposal also included a number of covenants including requirements that Mr. Trump maintain a minimum net worth of $\$ 3$ billion and unencumbered liquidity of $\$ 50$ million. (Ex. 247; Ex. 248)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The proposal required that Mr. Trump maintain an unencumbered liquidity of $\$ 50$ million during the renovation period only.
468. Ivanka Trump forwarded the proposal to Allen Weisselberg, Jason Greenblatt (Executive Vice President and Chief Legal Officer), and Dave Orowitz (Senior Vice President, Acquisitions and Development) writing: "It doesn't get better than this . . . . I am tempted not to negotiate this though." (Ex. 249)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

469. Mr. Greenblatt wrote back: "I will review, but [note] immediately that this is a FULL principal and interest and operating expense personal guaranty. Is DJT willing to do that? Also, the net worth covenants and DJT indebtedness limitations would seem to be a problem?" (Ex. 249)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

470. Ms. Trump then responded: "That we have known from day one. We wanted to get a great rate and the only way to get proceeds/term and principle where we want them is to guarantee the deal. As the market has illustrated getting leverage on resorts right now is not easy (i.e. 125 plus an equity kicker for 25 percent or Beal with full cash flow sweeps and steep prepayment penalties.)" (Ex. 249)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
471. In Ms. Trump's response, "Beal" is a reference to Beal Bank, another financial institution the Trump Organization contacted about a loan for Doral. (Ex. 250; Ex. 251)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is
attributed. Trump Endeavor 12 LLC applied for a loan with Beal Bank. Faherty Aff., Ex. 250 at 1.
472. On December 18, 2011, Ms. Trump sent a revised term sheet back to Vrablic, copying Allen Weisselberg, seeking to reduce Mr. Trump's net worth covenant from $\$ 3$ billion to $\$ 2$ billion, and to reduce loan payments by making the full term of the loan interest-only (as opposed to having a period when payments would be principal plus interest). (Ex. 252; Ex. 253)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

473. In an internal credit report dated December 20, 2011, Deutsche Bank employees from the PWM division sought the approval of a $\$ 125$ million term commitment for the Doral property. (Ex. 226)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

474. This report noted "[ $[$ ] he Facility will also be supported by a full and unconditional guarantee provided by DJT of (i) Principal and Interest due under the Facility, and (ii) operating shortfalls of the Resort" (Ex. 266, at -1691)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
475. The credit memo listed this guarantee as a source of repayment, and recommended approval of the loan. (Ex. 266 at -1693)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

476. The memo stated that " $[t]$ he Facility is being recommended for approval based on" a series of factors, the first of which was "Financial Strength of the Guarantor" and another of which was the nature of the personal guarantee. (Ex. 266 at -1693)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
477. The loan was approved through the PWM division and closed on June 11, 2012,
with a loan to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC personally guaranteed by Mr. Trump. (Ex. 254; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 587 (admitting "the Doral loan closed on June 11, 2012 and was personally guaranteed by Mr. Trump"))

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

478. Interest on the loan was set for LIBOR +2.25 during a renovation period, and LIBOR +2.0 thereafter. (Ex. 254 at -5874)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
479. The loan agreement, signed by Mr. Trump, recited that Mr. Trump's June 30, 2011 Statement had to be provided to the bank as a precondition of lending. (Ex. 254 at -5911 , 5914)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

480. In multiple instances, the loan agreement required that Mr. Trump certify the accuracy of the financial information in his Statement. (Ex. 254 at -5887, -5891, -5892)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited provisions of the loan agreement place obligations on the Borrower, Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, not President Trump. See Faherty Aff., Ex. 254 at 5853 (defining Borrower as Trump Endeavor 12 LLC). For example, Section 3 governs the representations and warranties made by the Borrower to the Lender. Id. at -5884 ("Borrower represents and warrants to Lender that the following are true and correct . . ."). Additionally, Section 4 governs affirmative covenants undertaken by the Borrower. Id. at -591 ("Borrower hereby covenants to the Lender that, until the Obligations have been paid to Lender in full, Borrower shall perform the following obligations . . .); see also id. ("Borrower shall provide to Lender . . . the financial statements of Borrower."; id. at -592 ("Borrower shall provide to Lender . . . a compliance certificate of Borrower").
481. In particular, the agreement contained a provision entitled, "Full and Accurate Disclosure," which required Mr. Trump to represent that no information contained in any loan document or in "any written statement furnished by or on behalf of Borrower or any other party pursuant to the terms of the" loan or associated documents "contains any untrue statement of a material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary to make any material statements contained herein or therein not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made." (Ex. 254 at -5887)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The "Full and Accurate Disclosure" provision is found in Section 3 of the loan agreement places requirements on the Borrower, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, not President Trump. See Faherty Aff., Ex. 254 §§ 3 ("Borrower represents and warrants to Lender . . ."); id. at -5853 (defining Borrower as Trump Endeavor 12 LLC).
482. Similarly, issuance of the loan was subject to several conditions precedent, including that "[ $t]$ he representations and warranties of Borrower contained in this Agreement and in all certificates, documents and instruments delivered pursuant to this Agreement and the Loan Documents shall be true and correct on and as of the Closing Date." (Ex. 254 at -5911)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

483. The loan agreement included a debt service coverage ratio ("DSCR") covenant and a loan-to-value ("LTV") ratio covenant. (Ex. 254 at -5894 to -5897)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
484. Mr. Trump's personal guarantee, which he signed, included various financial representations. (Ex. 232)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
485. Mr. Trump, as guarantor, was required to certify: (i) the truth and accuracy of his

Statement as a condition of the guarantee-reliance on which Mr. Trump agreed the loan itself was granted; (ii) that he "has furnished to Lender his Prior Financial Statements" which are "true and correct in all material respects;" (iii) the Statement "presents fairly Guarantor's financial condition as of June 30, 2011;" and (iv) "there has been no material adverse change in any condition, fact, circumstance or event that would make the Prior Financial Statements, reports, certificates or other documents submitted by Guarantor in connection with this Guaranty and the other Credit Documents to which he is a party inaccurate, incomplete or otherwise misleading in any material respect." (Ex. 232 at -4177 to -4178 ) The loan documents stated that "all the Guaranteed Obligations," referring to the entirety of the loan and other obligations Mr. Trump guaranteed, "shall be conclusively presumed to have been created in reliance hereon." (Ex. 232 at -4176)

RESPONSE: Disputed. President Trump did not agree that the loan itself was granted based on any reliance on the truthful and accuracy of the Statements. The cited evidence does not indicate that Deutsche Bank relied on the accuracy of the Statements, as it conducted its own independent assessment of the assets. Defs. SOF 1 T\| 86-90. Banks would only use the Statements as a starting point for their analysis. Defs. SOF q\| ${ }^{4}$ 67-68. As President Trump testified, the banks would not rely on the Statements because of non-reliance clause. Robert Aff., Ex. V (President Trump Dep.) at 169:16-18. Further, "Guaranteed Obligations" does not refer to "the entirety of the loan and other obligations," but rather is explicitly defined as "(a) the outstanding principal amount of the Loan plus interest thereon calculated in accordance with the Credit Agreement (and which shall include interest accruing at the Default Rate and accruing after the occurrence of a bankruptcy), and (b) any Operating Shortfalls." Faherty Aff., Ex. 232 at -4172.
486. Pursuant to the guarantee, Mr. Trump was required to maintain $\$ 50$ million in
unencumbered liquidity, and a minimum net worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion to be "tested and certified to on an annual basis based upon the Statement of Financial Condition delivered to Lender during each year." (Ex. 232 at -4180)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

487. That language means the bank would determine Mr. Trump's compliance with his net worth covenant by reference solely to the net worth Mr. Trump reported and certified to the bank. (Ex. 232 at -4180; Ex. 255 at 270:7-15)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

488. Mr. Trump was also required to "keep and maintain complete and accurate books and records" and periodically to "deliver to Lender or permit Lender to review," a series of documents under the guarantee's financial reporting requirements. (Ex. 232 at -4180 to -4181 )

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
489. One of those submissions was a statement of financial condition, which was to be delivered annually with a compliance certificate certifying the statement "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." (Ex. 232 at -4180 to $-4181,-4189$ to -4190 )

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
490. False certifications of such statements were expressly identified as events of default under the loan agreement. (Ex. 254 at -5916)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The loan agreement does not list false certifications of the statements "expressly" as a default. Rather, the loan agreement generally indicates the following as an event of default: "Any representation or warranty of Borrower or Guarantor herein or in any other Loan Document or any amendment to any thereof shall prove to have been false or
misleading in any material respect at the time made or intended to be effective." Faherty Aff., Ex. 254 at -5916.
491. Under the loan, "[a]ny representation or warranty of Borrower or Guarantor herein or in any other Loan Document or any amendment to any thereof shall prove to have been false and misleading in any material respect at the time made or intended to be effective" was one of several "events of default." (Ex. 254 at -5916)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
492. The term "Loan Documents" includes the loan agreement, guarantee, and, inter alia, "any other document, agreement, consent, or instrument which has been or will be executed in connection with" the agreement and guarantee, and thus would include annual signed certifications. (Ex. 254 at -5865)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

493. In connection with the Doral Loan, Mr. Trump submitted Statements to Deutsche Bank accompanied by certifications required as described above for the years 2014 through 2021 (executed either by him personally or, for years 2016 and later, by Donald Trump, Jr. or Eric Trump, as attorney-in-fact for Mr. Trump). (Ex. 256; Ex. 257; Ex. 258; Ex. 259; Ex. 260; Ex. 261; Ex. 262; Ex. 263; see also NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 597 (admitting "Statements and certificates were submitted in connection with the Doral Loan from 2013-2021"))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
494. Deutsche Bank conducted annual reviews of the Doral loan in July 2013, May 2014, July 2015, July 2016, July 2017, July 2018, September 2019, July 2020, and July 2021. (Ex. 264; Ex. 265; Ex. 266; Ex. 267; Ex. 268; Ex. 269; Ex. 270; Ex. 271; Ex. 272)

RESPONSE: Undisputed,
495. The loan remained outstanding until May 2022, when the Trump Organization refinanced the loan through Axos Bank, repaying the $\$ 125$ million of principal outstanding to Deutsche Bank. (NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 600 (admitting "the loan was repaid and refinanced in or about 2022 through Axos Bank"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed.
496. As a result, Deutsche Bank received Mr. Trump's Statements as of June 30, 2019, June 30, 2020 and June 30, 2021. (Ex. 271; Ex. 272)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

497. The 2011 Statement was material to Deutsche Bank's consideration and approval of the Doral loan on the terms provided. (Ex. 226, at -1695)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2011 Statement was not material to Deutsche Bank's approval and consideration of the Doral loan, as the Bank did its own due diligence on these loans, considered other factors in approving the relationship, and the Statements were merely a starting point for their analysis. Defs. SOF q\| $67-70,79-94,109-114$. Moreover, "materiality 'is in the eye of the beholder, not the eye of a third party, not the eye of a regulator, not the eye of, in this case, the Attorney General' and Deutsche Bank 'did what they were supposed to do and verified" certain items and "anything else would have been immaterial." Defs. SOF \| 93.
498. The Statements for 2014 through 2021 were material to Deutsche Bank's continued maintenance of the loan. (Ex. 266; Ex. 267; Ex. 268; Ex. 269; Ex. 270; Ex. 271; Ex. 272)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Statements were not material to the continued maintenance of the loan, as the Bank did its own due diligence on the Statements, there was never any covenant in default on the loan, and the Deutsche Bank made a profit on the loan. Defs. SOF $9 \mathbb{T}$ 67-70, 79-97, 109-114. Moreover, "materiality 'is in the eye of the beholder, not the eye of a third party, not the eye of a regulator, not the eye of, in this case, the Attorney General' and Deutsche Bank 'did what they were supposed to do and verified" certain items and "anything else would have been immaterial." Defs. SOF q 93.
499. Roughly contemporaneously with the Doral loan's closing in June 2012, the Trump Organization sought another loan from the PWM division at Deutsche Bank in connection with the Trump Chicago property-in essence, a refinancing of an existing $\$ 130$ million from the CRE division at Deutsche Bank on that property. (Ex. 228 at -68526)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The "Trump Organization" did not seek a loan from the PWM division-401 North Wabash Venture, LLC sought the loan for the Trump Chicago property. Faherty Aff., Ex. 228 at -68520.
500. Dueling proposals for the Trump Chicago property within Deutsche Bank were under discussion in or about May 2012. (Ex. 273; Ex. 274; Ex. 275 at 125:7-129:22)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
501. One proposal from the CRE division was for a non-recourse (meaning, no personal guarantee) loan facility with a two-year term and an interest rate of LIBOR plus 800 basis points. (Ex. 273; Ex. 274; Ex. 275 at 125:7-129:22)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

502. The other proposal from the PWM division was for a loan facility with a two-year term and a personal guarantee at LIBOR plus 400 basis points-so, four percentage points lower, in terms of the interest rate. (Ex. 273; Ex. 274; Ex. 275 at 125:7-129:22)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

503. The PWM division credit memo notes as "Credit Support" that "Donald Trump has reported Net Worth of $\$ 4.0$ billion with liquidity of approximately $\$ 250$ million" based on the 2011 Statement. (Ex. 274)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
504. In October 2012, the PWM division recommended approval of a loan of up to $\$ 107$ million to 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, guaranteed personally by Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 228 at -68524)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

505. Given the mixed nature of the hotel-condo property, the loan was broken down into two facilities: (i) Facility A for the residential portion was for up to $\$ 62$ million, for a 4-year term, at a rate of LIBOR plus $3.35 \%$; and (ii) Facility B for the hotel portion was for up to $\$ 45$ million, for a 5-year term, at a rate of LIBOR plus 2.25\%. (Ex. 228 at -68521)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
506. For both facilities, a source of repayment was "[f]ull and unconditional guarantee of DJT which eliminates any shortfall associated with operating and liquidation of the Collateral." (Ex. 228 at -68524)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that this was the tertiary source of repayment for both facilities.
507. In addition, the PWM division credit memo noted its "recommendation" was based in part on "Financial Strength of the Guarantor," the "Nature of the Guarantee," and a
developing relationship between the bank and Mr. Trump and his family. (Ex. 228 at -68524)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

508. This credit memo assessed Mr. Trump's 2011 and 2012 Statements, stating: "Although Facilities are secured by the Collateral, given its unique nature, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the Guarantor." (Ex. 228 at -68526)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
509. The loans under the two facilities closed on November 9, 2012 and both included personal guarantees by Mr. Trump supported by his 2011 and 2012 Statements. (Ex. 276; Ex. 277; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 606 (admitting "loans relative to the Chicago property closed on or about November 9, 2012 and there were personal guarantees associated with the loans"))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
510. The loan agreements, signed by Mr. Trump, recited that Mr. Trump's then-mostrecent Statement had to be provided to the bank as a precondition of lending. (Ex. 234 at -6022; Ex. 278 at -5310; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 607 (admitting "that Trump Chicago loan exists and was signed by Mr. Trump and Statements of Financial Condition were submitted pursuant to the loan"))

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

511. Mr. Trump's 2012 Statement was provided to the bank in October 2012 and figures from that Statement are reflected in the bank's internal consideration of the loans. (Ex. 279; Ex. 228 at -68526)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
512. In multiple instances, the loan agreements required that Mr. Trump certify the
accuracy of that Statement, including that he represent that no information contained in any loan document or in "any written statement furnished by or on behalf of Borrower or any other party pursuant to the terms of the" loan or associated documents "contains any untrue statement of material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary to make any material statements contained herein or therein not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made." (Ex. 234 at -5992; Ex. 278 at -5282)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited loan provisions require that the Borrower, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC was required to make certain representations and warranties to Lender. Faherty, Aff. Ex. 234 at -5956 (defining Borrower as 401 North Wabash Venture LLC), -5988 ("Borrower represents and warrants to Lender that the following statements are true and correct as of the date of this Agreement. . ."); Faherty Aff., Ex. 278 at -5244, -5278.
513. Similarly, both loan facility agreements contained conditions precedent to lending, including that "[ $[$ ]he representations and warranties of Borrower contained in this agreement and in all certificates, documents and instruments delivered pursuant to this Agreement and the Loan documents shall be true and correct on and as of the Closing Date." (Ex. 234 at -6020; Ex. 278 at -5308)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

514. The Trump Chicago loan facilities each entailed a personal guarantee signed by Mr. Trump pursuant to which he, as guarantor, was required to certify to the truth and accuracy of his Statement as a condition of the guarantees-reliance on which Mr. Trump agreed the loans themselves were granted. (Ex. 277; Ex. 276)

RESPONSE: Disputed. President Trump did not agree that the loans were granted based on any reliance on the truthful and accuracy of the Statements. There is no evidence that

Deutsche Bank relied on the accuracy of the Statements, as it conducted its own independent assessment of the assets. Defs. SOF $\mathbb{q}$ q $86-90$. Banks would only use the Statements as a starting point for their analysis. Defs. SOF $\mathbb{T \|}$ 67-68. As President Trump testified, the banks would not rely on the Statements because of non-reliance clause. Robert Aff., Ex. V (President Trump Dep.) at 169:16-18.
515. The terms of each facility's personal guarantees were materially identical to the Doral guarantee: Mr. Trump was required to maintain a minimum net worth, based upon his Statement, of $\$ 2.5$ billion, and he was required to provide an annual statement to the bank accompanied by an executed compliance certificate certifying that the statement "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." (Ex. 277 at 38880 to -38881 ; Ex. 276 at -3232 to -3233 )

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
516. In addition, both loan facilities "shall be conclusively presumed to have been created in reliance" on their respective continuing guarantees. (Ex. 277 at -38877; Ex. 276 at 3226)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The loan facilities indicated that "all the Guaranteed Obligations shall be conclusively presumed to have been created in reliance hereon. The "Guaranteed Obligations" for the Hotel Guaranty are defined as "(a) the outstanding principal amount of the Loan plus interest thereon calculated in accordance with the Credit Agreement (and which shall include interest accruing at the Default Rate and accruing after the occurrence of a bankruptcy), (b) any Operating Shortfalls, and (c) all Swap Breakage Costs." Faherty Aff., Ex. 276 at -3223. The "Guaranteed Obligations" in the Residential Guaranty are defined as "(a) the outstanding principal amount of the Loan plus interest thereon calculated in accordance with
the Credit Agreement (and which shall include interest accruing at the Default Rate and accruing after the occurrence of a bankruptcy), and (b) any Operating Shortfalls." Faherty Aff., Ex. 277 at -38872-73.
517. Each guarantee similarly provided that "Guarantor has furnished to Lender his Prior Financial Statements," such prior Statements are true and correct in all material respects, and his 2012 Statement "presents fairly Guarantor's financial condition as of June 30, 2012." (Ex. 277 at -38878; Ex. 276 at -3229)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
518. Each guarantee similarly provided that "there has been no material adverse change in any condition, fact, circumstance or event that would make the Prior Financial Statements, reports, certificates or other documents submitted by Guarantor in connection with this Guaranty and the other Credit Documents to which he is a party inaccurate, incomplete or otherwise misleading in any material respect." (Ex. 277 at -38878; Ex. 276 at -3230)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

519. False certifications of such financial statements were expressly identified as events of default under the loan agreements, with the same or similar language as had been used in the Doral loan agreement. (Ex. 234 at -6024; Ex. 278 at -5312)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The loan agreement does not list false certifications of the statements "expressly" as a default. Rather, the loan agreements both generally indicate the following as an event of default in nearly identical language: "Any representation or warranty of Borrower or Guarantor herein or in any other Loan Document or any amendment to any thereof shall prove to have been false or misleading in any material respect at the time made or intended to be effective." Faherty Aff., Ex. 278 at -5312; see also Faherty Aff., Ex. 234 at $\mathbf{- 6 0 2 4}$.
520. Deutsche Bank conducted annual reviews of the Trump Chicago facilities in May 2014, July 2015, July 2016, July 2017, July 2018, September 2019, July 2020, and July 2021. (Ex. 265; Ex. 266; Ex. 267; Ex. 268; Ex. 269; Ex. 270; Ex. 271; Ex. 272)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

521. During the period between the Trump Chicago loan closing and the first annual review in May 2014 (with extensions in the interim to align the Trump Chicago annual review with other reviews), the Trump Organization paid down the Trump Chicago loan from an overall balance of $\$ 98$ million to $\$ 19$ million from the proceeds of condominium sales. (Ex. 265 at 1741)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The "Trump Organization" did not pay down the Trump Chicago loan, but rather, Defendant 401 North Wabash Venture LLC did, as the Borrower on the Trump Chicago loan. Faherty Aff., Ex. 265 at $-1739,-1741$.
522. Based upon the purported strength of Mr. Trump's financial profile, the Trump Organization requested an additional $\$ 54$ million in loan funds from Deutsche Bank to be "fully guaranteed by Mr. Trump for all principal, interest and operating shortfalls until the balance of the facility is less than $\$ 45$ million (34\% LTV)." (Ex. 265 at -1741)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The "Trump Organization" did not request an additional $\$ 54$ million in loan funds, but rather, Defendant 401

North Wabash Venture LLC did, as the Borrower on the Trump Chicago loan. Faherty Aff., Ex. 265 at -1739, -1741. Additionally, the Deutsche Bank credit memorandum does not indicate that this request by 401 North Wabash Venture LLC was "based upon the purported strength of Mr. Trump's financial profile," and instead, merely notes: "Collateral for this facility will be the Trump International Hotel Chicago and the 7 remaining Trump Chicago Tower condo units. This facility is fully guaranteed by Mr. Trump for all principal, interest and operating shortfalls until the balance of the facility is less than $\$ 45$ million (34\% LTV)." Faherty Aff., Ex. 265 at -1741.
523. The credit memo recommending approval did so, in part, based on the "Financial Strength of the Guarantor." (Ex. 265 at -1748)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

524. Amended loan documents advancing the additional requested funds closed on June 2, 2014. (Ex. 280; Ex. 281; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 616 (admitting "amended loan documents closed on June 2, 2014"))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
525. As with earlier credit memos, this 2014 credit memo (which also recommended approval for the $\$ 170$ million loan in connection with the Old Post Office discussed below) evaluated Mr. Trump's Statements. (Ex. 265 at -1752)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

526. In particular, this credit memo incorporated figures from the 2011, 2012, and 2013 Statements, stating: "Although Facilities are secured by Collateral, given the unique nature of these credits, the credit exposure is being recommended based on the financial profile of the Guarantor." (Ex. 265 at -1752)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
527. Amended Trump Chicago loan documents-including an agreement and a personal guarantee-were executed by Mr. Trump in May 2014. (Ex. 280 at -3709, -3711; Ex. 281 at -3204; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 618 (admitting "Trump Chicago loan documents were executed in or about May 2014 and contain provisions relating to certification and submission of Statements"))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
528. These new loan documents contained terms and conditions governing submission, certification, and misrepresentation of Mr. Trump's Statements that were substantially similar to those describe above for the Doral and 2012 Trump Chicago loan facilities. In the amended Trump Chicago guarantee, Mr. Trump certified that his 2013 Statement was true and correct in all material respects and that the Statement "presents fairly Guarantor's financial condition as of June 30, 2013." (Ex. 281 at -3191)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
529. By the time of the annual review in July 2015, the Trump Organization had paid down the Trump Chicago loan to an overall balance of $\$ 45$ million, which by the loan agreement terms eliminated Mr. Trump's personal guarantee based on an LTV ratio below the threshold for requiring the guarantee. (Ex. 266 at -5527)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The "Trump Organization" did not pay down the Trump Chicago loan, but rather the Borrower-401 North Wabash Venture LLC—did. Faherty Aff., Ex. 266 at -5224, -5227.
530. Either Mr. Trump, Eric Trump or the trustees of the Trust certified the accuracy of
the Statements when submitted in connection with the Trump Chicago loan facilities between 2013 and 2021, either through the execution of an amended guarantee or through the submission of a compliance certificate. (Ex. 281; Ex. 282; Ex. 257; Ex. 260 at -28-29; Ex. 283; Ex. 284; Ex. 285; see also NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 620 (admitting "the Statements were submitted in connection with the Trump Chicago loans for the years referenced along with certifications"))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
531. The 2011 and 2012 Statements were material to Deutsche Bank's consideration and approval of the Chicago loan on the terms provided. (Ex. 228)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited document does not illustrate that the Statements were "material" to Deutsche Bank's consideration of the Chicago loan. The Statements were not material to Deutsche Bank's approval and consideration of the Doral loan, as the Bank did its own due diligence on these loans, considered other factors in approving the relationship, and the Statements were merely a starting point for their analysis. Defs. SOF qT 67-70, 79-94, 109-114, 126-129. Moreover, "materiality 'is in the eye of the beholder, not the eye of a third party, not the eye of a regulator, not the eye of, in this case, the Attorney General' and Deutsche Bank 'did what they were supposed to do and verified" certain items and "anything else would have been immaterial." ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Defs. SOF 993.
532. The Statements for 2013 through 2021 were material to Deutsche Bank's continued maintenance of the loan. (See supra)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Statements were not material to the continued maintenance of the loan, as the Bank did its own due diligence on the Statements, there was never any covenant in default on the loan, and the Deutsche Bank made a profit on the loan. Defs. SOF $9 \mathbb{T}$ 67-70, 79-97, 109-114. Moreover, "materiality 'is in the eye of the beholder, not the eye of a
third party, not the eye of a regulator, not the eye of, in this case, the Attorney General' and Deutsche Bank 'did what they were supposed to do and verified" certain items and "anything else would have been immaterial." ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ Defs. SOF 993.
533. In approximately July 2013, Deutsche Bank began considering whether to extend credit for the Trump Organization's redevelopment of OPO in Washington, DC. (Ex. 286; Ex. 287; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 627 (admitting "Trump Old Post Office LLC reached out to Deutsche Bank about financing the Old Post Office project"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The line of credit for the redevelopment of the OPO was for Trump Old Post Office LLC. NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) 『 627.
534. The Trump Organization had obtained the right to redevelop the property as the result of a bidding process by the U.S. General Services Administration ("GSA") that the company described as "one of the most competitive selection processes in the history of the agency." (Ex. 288; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) \| 622 (admitting "Trump Old Post Office LLC obtained the right to redevelop the Old Post Office property as the result of a competitive bidding process run by the U.S. General Services Administration, which included evaluation based on a set of specific criteria"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Trump Old Post Office LLC obtained the right to redevelop the property as a result of the bidding process by the GSA.

NYSCEF No. 501 \| 622.
535. Mr. Trump's Statement was central to that successful effort, captained by Ivanka Trump. (See infra; see also NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ब 623 (admitting "that financial capacity was one among several factors which GSA stated would be a factor in the selection process"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. Per the GSA's Request for Proposal, the factors considered in selecting a developer were:

1. Experience and Past Performance of Developer \& Developer's Key Persomel - 15\%
2. Developer's Site Plan and Design Concept - $35 \%$
3. Developer's Finaneial Capacity and Capability - $15 \%$
4. Developer's Financial Offer and Supporting Financial Information - $35 \%$

Robert Aff., Ex. AAK at 13. The financial capacity of the developer was not "central" to the GSA bid nor was President Trump's Statement. Id.; see also NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 623.
536. The GSA's request for proposals provided that a bidder's "Financial Capacity and Capability" was to be a factor in the government's decision, and required submission of the most recent three years of financial statements. The GSA's RFP specified that financial statements "must be in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles." (Ex. 289 at -3884122)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

537. Mr. Trump's Statements, prepared in the same process described above, were submitted as part of Mr. Trump's July 2011 bid. The Trump Organization's submission to the GSA represented that " $[t]$ he attached Statement of Financial Condition was compiled under GAAP, but it should be noted that there are departures from GAAP that are described in the Accountant's Compilation Report attached to the Statement of Financial Condition." (Ex. 290 at
-2114408; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ| 624 (admitting "the Statement was submitted as part of the 2011 bid"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The bid to the GSA was submitted by Trump Old Post Office, LLC. Faherty Aff., Ex. 290 at -2114256 ("Proposal of Trump Old Post Office, LLC").
538. Mr. Trump and Ivanka Trump participated personally in the bidding process in 2011. (See infra; see also NYSCEF No. 501 ("DJT Answer") ๆ 625 (admitting "Mr. Trump and Ivanka Trump had roles in the Old Post Office property bidding process and the communications with the GSA exist"))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
539. In particular, Ms. Trump was involved in crafting communications to the GSA in connection with the bid and in responding to deficiency comments raised by the GSA. (Ex. 291; Ex. 292; Ex. 293)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

540. Those communications concerned, among other topics, Mr. Trump's Statements, including their departures from GAAP, and contained detailed information about Mr. Trump's financial capabilities as well as his ability to perform the obligations under the lease at issue. (Ex. 291; Ex. 292; Ex. 293)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
541. The GSA questioned the use of Mr. Trump's Statements, and Mr. Trump and Ms. Trump participated in an in-person presentation to address GSA's concerns about those topics
and others. (Ex. 294 at -193509)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

542. After addressing those issues, the Trump Organization was ultimately selected by GSA in February 2012 to redevelop the property and signed a lease for that purpose on August 5, 2013. (NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 626 (admitting that "Trump Old Post Office LLC was selected by GSA in February 2012 to redevelop the property and signed the lease on or about August 5, 2013"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Trump Old Post Office LLC was selected by the GSA. NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) © 626. However, it is undisputed that Trump Old Post Office LLC was selected by the GSA in February 2012 to develop the property and signed a lease on or about August 5, 2013 for the same purpose.
543. In advance of executing the lease, the Trump Organization reached out to the CRE division at Deutsche Bank about potential financing for the project. (Ex. 295; DJT Answer『 627 (admitting "Trump Old Post Office LLC reached out to Deutsche Bank about financing the Old Post Office project"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. Trump Old Post Office LLC reached out to Deutsche Bank for financing. NYSCEF No. 501 § 627.
544. Despite the request coming into the CRE division, Vrablic from the PWM division-at the urging of Ms. Trump-kept close tabs on the bank's consideration of the
request. (Ex. 296; Ex. 297; Ex. 298; Ex. 299)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

545. By October 2013, the CRE division had proposed a term sheet offering the Trump Organization a $\$ 140$ million loan at LIBOR +400 basis points. (Ex. 300; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) 『 628 (admitting "CRE offered a term sheet"))

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed. The term sheet indicated that the Borrower would be Trump Old Post Office LLC. Faherty Aff., Ex. 300 at 2.
546. The next month, in November 2013, employees at the Trump Organization took that offer to the PWM division to see if that division could offer more favorable terms. (Ex. 301; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) ๆ 629 (admitting "the PWM group was approached regarding the OPO Loan"))

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

547. By Monday, December 2, 2013, the PWM division provided to Ms. Trump and Dave Orowitz of the Trump Organization a draft term sheet noting that, although the term sheet reflected a $\$ 160$ million commitment, "[w]e understand the request is for $\$ 170$ million and are working on getting the step-up approved." (Ex. 302; Ex. 303; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT Answer) 4|| $630-632$ (admitting receipt of "a term sheet from Deutsche Bank in or about December 2013"))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
548. The PWM division term sheet differed in a number of respects from the CRE term sheet: (i) Mr. Trump would personally guarantee the full loan amount in the PWM term sheet,
whereas the CRE proposal was unresolved as to whether there would be a $10 \%$ guarantee; (ii) the PWM term sheet had a loan term of ten years, versus a term of approximately 42 months in the CRE term sheet; (iii) the PWM term sheet had a loan amount, initially, of up to $\$ 160$ million, whereas the CRE term sheet had a maximum loan amount of $\$ 140$ million; (iv) PWM's proposal was $\mathrm{LIBOR}+2 \%$ during the "redevelopment period," and LIBOR $+1.75 \%$ during the "postredevelopment period," which was about half the rates in the CRE term sheet; and (v) the PWM term sheet required a $\$ 2.5$ billion net worth, significantly higher than any of net worth covenants proposed by CRE, which topped out at $\$ 500$ million. (Ex. 302; Ex. 303)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

549. Ultimately the Trump Organization and the PWM division agreed on a term sheet that was executed on January 13 and 14, 2014 providing for a $\$ 170$ million loan with a 10 -year term, $100 \%$ personal guarantee by Mr. Trump, interest rates of LIBOR $+2 \%$ or $1.75 \%$ (depending on the period); and covenants including $\$ 2.5$ billion in net worth, $\$ 50$ million in unencumbered liquidity, and no additional indebtedness in excess of $\$ 500$ million. (Ex. 304)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s) the conduct alleged is attributed.
550. Mr. Trump, as guarantor, would be required to provide his annual statement of financial condition to the bank. (Ex. 304 at -10301)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
551. A May 2014 Deutsche Bank credit memo approved the $\$ 170$ million loan to Trump Old Post Office LLC. (Ex. 265)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
552. This credit memo incorporated information from Mr. Trump's 2011, 2012, and 2013 Statements. (Ex. 265 at -1752)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
553. Mr. Trump's net worth and his Statements were critical to the bank's approval of the final terms of the loan, which closed on August 12, 2014. (Ex. 265)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited documents do not illustrate that the Statements were "critical" to the bank's approval of the loan. The Statements were not critical to the approval of the loan because the Bank did its own due diligence on these loans, considered other factors in approving the relationship, and the Statements were merely a starting point for their analysis. Defs. SOF 9Tl 67-70, 79-94, 109-114, 150-151.
554. As with the Doral and Trump Chicago loans, the loan agreement for the OPO loan required that Mr. Trump's most recent Statement (which was his 2013 Statement) be provided to the bank as a condition of the loan. (Ex. 233 at -4989)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

555. The loan agreement required that Mr. Trump certify to the accuracy of the 2013 Statement and represent that no information contained in any loan document or in "any written statement furnished by or on behalf of Borrower or any other party pursuant to the terms of the" loan or associated documents "contains any untrue statement of material fact or omits to state a material fact necessary to make any material statements contained herein or therein not misleading in light of the circumstances under which they were made." (Ex. 233 at -4991)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited provisions of the loan agreement place obligations on the Borrower, Trump Old Post Office LLC, not President Trump. See Faherty Aff., Ex. 233 at 4942 (defining Borrower as Trump Old Post Office LLC). The quoted provision is from Section
3.20 which is one of the representations and warranties made by the Borrower to the Lender. Id. at -4987 ("Borrower represents and warrants to Lender that the following are true and correct . . .").
556. Issuance of the loan was noted to be subject to several conditions precedent, including that " $[t]$ he representations and warranties of Borrower contained in this Agreement and in all certificates, documents and instruments delivered pursuant to this Agreement and the Loan Documents shall be true and correct on and as of the Closing Date." (Ex. 233 at -5025)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

557. In addition, because the OPO loan was a construction loan to be disbursed over a long series of tranches, the loan agreement made clear that the bank was not obligated to make such disbursements unless representations by the borrowing entity and the guarantor (Mr. Trump) "shall be true and accurate in all material respects on and of the date of the requested Disbursement with the same effect as if made on such date." (Ex. 233 at -5028)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

558. An "Event of Default" in the OPO loan agreement was defined to include when "[a]ny representation or warranty of Borrower or Guarantor herein or in any other Loan Document or any amendment to any thereof shall prove to have been false and misleading in any material respect at the time made or intended to be effective." (Ex. 233 at -5031)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
559. Mr. Trump's personal guarantee on the OPO loan, which he signed, is dated August 12, 2014. (Ex. 305)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
560. Mr. Trump's personal guaranty contained various financial representations,
including that Mr. Trump, as guarantor: (i) was required to certify the truth and accuracy of his Statement as a condition of the guarantees-reliance on which Mr. Trump acknowledged when the loans themselves were granted; (ii) "has furnished to Lender his Prior Financial Statements" that are true and correct in all material respects; (iii) that the 2013 Statement "presents fairly Guarantor's financial condition as of June 30, 2013"; and (iv) that "there has been no material adverse change in any condition, fact, circumstance or event that would make the Prior Financial Statements, reports, certificates or other documents submitted by Guarantor in connection with this Guaranty and the other Loan Documents to which he is a party inaccurate, incomplete or otherwise misleading in any material respect." (Ex. 305 at -3285-87)

RESPONSE: Disputed. President Trump did not acknowledge that the loans were granted based on any reliance on the truthful and accuracy of the Statements. There is no evidence that Deutsche Bank relied on the accuracy of the Statements, as it conducted its own independent assessment of the assets. Defs. SOF 9TI 86-90. Banks would only use the Statements as a starting point for their analysis. Defs. SOF 9T1 67-68. As President Trump testified, the banks would not rely on the Statements because of the non-reliance clause. Robert Aff., Ex. V (President Trump Dep.) at 169:16-18.
561. Pursuant to the guarantee, Mr. Trump was required to maintain $\$ 50$ million in unencumbered liquidity, and a minimum net worth of $\$ 2.5$ billion to be "tested and certified to on an annual basis based upon the Statement of Financial Condition delivered to Lender during each year." (Ex. 305 at -3290-91)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

562. That language means the bank would determine Mr. Trump's compliance with his net worth covenant by reference to the net worth Mr. Trump reported and certified to the bank.
(Ex. 305 at -3290-91; Ex. 255 at 270:7-15)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

563. Mr. Trump was also required to "keep and maintain complete and accurate books and records," and periodically to "deliver to Lender or permit Lender to review," a series of documents under the guarantee's financial reporting requirements, including his statement of financial condition, delivered annually with a compliance certificate certifying the statement "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." (Ex. 305 at 3290-91)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

564. False certifications of such financial statements were expressly contemplated as events of default under the loan agreement. (Ex. 233 at -5031)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The loan agreement does not list false certifications of the statements "expressly" as a default. Rather, the loan agreement generally indicates the following as an event of default: "Any representation or warranty of Borrower or Guarantor herein or in any other Loan Document or any amendment to any thereof shall prove to have been false or misleading in any material respect at the time made or intended to be effective." Faherty Aff., Ex. 233 at -5031.
565. The bank conducted annual reviews of the OPO loan in July 2015, July 2016, July 2017, July 2018, September 2019, July 2020, and July 2021. (Ex. 266; Ex. 267; Ex. 268; Ex. 269; Ex. 270; Ex. 271; Ex. 272)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
566. Because the OPO loan was a construction loan, the $\$ 170$ million loan amount was disbursed in a series of "draws" over time. (Ex. 233 at -4979-84; NYSCEF No. 501 (DJT

Answer) 『 645 (admitting "that the Old Post Office loan was disbursed over time according to draw requests"))

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

567. The first draw was on or about June 22, 2015 in a "Request for Disbursement" signed by Mr. Trump. (Ex. 306)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
568. Draws continued throughout 2015 and 2016 and with two noted exceptions were made on requests signed by Mr. Trump personally. (Ex. 306; Ex. 307; Ex. 308; Ex. 309; Ex. 310; Ex. 311)

RESPONSE: Disputed. For the years listed, at least three of the Requests for Disbursements were not signed by President Trump: the November 22, 2016 request was signed by Ivanka Trump, the December 21, 2016 request was signed by Ivanka Trump, and the February 22, 2017 request was signed by Eric Trump. Faherty Aff., Ex. 309-311.
569. The exceptions were a draw request on December 21, 2016, signed by Ivanka Trump in the amount of $\$ 4,334,772.83$ and the final draw request on February 22, 2017, signed by Eric Trump in the amount of $\$ 2,757,897.30$. (Ex. 310; Ex. 311)

RESPONSE: Disputed. These were not the only "exceptions" to President Trump signing the Requests for Disbursement. See Faherty Aff., Ex. 309.
570. On or about May 11, 2022, the Trump Organization sold the OPO property for $\$ 375$ million. (Ex. 312; see also DJT Answer ๆ 646 (admitting "the OPO property was sold and the Deutsche Bank loan repaid")]

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to
specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Trump Old Post Office LLC sold the OPO property. Faherty Aff., Ex. 312 at -15171 (identifying Trump Old Post Office LLC as the seller).
571. Of those proceeds, $\$ 170$ million was used to repay the loan to Deutsche Bank. (Ex. 312, at -5173 (showing payoff to DB Private Wealth Mortgage Ltd); see also DJT Answer 9 646 (admitting "the OPO property was sold and the Deutsche Bank loan repaid"))

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
572. In connection with the OPO loan, Mr. Trump provided Deutsche Bank with his 2014 through 2021 Statements of Financial Condition, accompanied by certifications executed either by Mr. Trump personally or by Donald Trump, Jr. or Eric Trump as attorney-in-fact for Mr. Trump. (Ex. 282; Ex. 257; Ex. 313; Ex. 260; Ex. 314; Ex. 315; Ex. 316)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

573. The 2011, 2012, and 2013 Statements were material to Deutsche Bank's consideration and approval of the OPO loan on the terms provided. (Ex. 265 at -1752)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited documents do not establish the Statements were "material" to Deutsche Bank's consideration and approval of the OPO loan. The Bank did its own due diligence on these loans, considered other factors in approving the relationship, and the Statements were merely a starting point for their analysis. Defs. SOF $9 \mathbb{T} \| 7-70,79-94,109-114$, 149-151.
574. The Statements for 2014 through 2021 were material to Deutsche Bank's continued maintenance of the loan. (Ex. 266; Ex. 267; Ex. 268; Ex. 269; Ex. 270; Ex. 271; Ex. 272)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Statements were not material to the continued maintenance
of the loan, as the Bank did its own due diligence on the Statements, there was never any covenant in default on the loan, and the Deutsche Bank made a profit on the loan. Defs. SOF 9 67-70, 79-97, 109-114, 153-155. Moreover, "materiality 'is in the eye of the beholder, not the eye of a third party, not the eye of a regulator, not the eye of, in this case, the Attorney General' and Deutsche Bank 'did what they were supposed to do and verified" certain items and "anything else would have been immaterial." Defs. SOF \| 93.
575. As stated in the 2015 SFC, 40 Wall Street "was subject to a mortgage payable in the amount of $\$ 160,000,000$ as of June 30,2015 . The interest rate on the note had been fixed through an interest rate swap agreement at a rate of $5.71 \%$ per annum until the initial maturity date, November 10, 2017. During this time, if certain cash flow provisions were met, the loan required that principal payments be made. The mortgage is collateralized by the lessee entity's interest in the property." (Ex. 5, -696; see also Ex. 78)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

576. On January 12, 2015, Allen Weisselberg emailed Eric Trump a draft letter, writing, "I would like to discuss the enclosed letter with you before I send it to Peter." (Ex. 317) The draft letter attached was addressed to Capital One, N.A, Attention: Peter Welch "Senior Vice President/Commercial Real Estate." In the draft letter, Mr. Weisselberg wrote "Mr. Trump's latest financial statement dated June 30, 2014 shows a valuation of $\$ 550,000,000$ for the building based upon NOI \& CAP rates on that date This would put your loan at a $30 \%$ loan to value. . . In light of the aforementioned valuation and considerable capital investment, along with a much improved cash flow (which will continue to grow as new tenant free rent continues to burn off) and an occupancy rate of $91 \%$, which will be $96 \%$ after pending leases totaling 34,862 square feet ate signed, we respectfully request that the required $\$ 5$ million principal payment due
in November 2015 be waived." (Id.)
RESPONSE: Undisputed. However, the quoted language does not appear on the cited Exhibit (Ex. 317), it appears on the letter attached to same, which is not a part of the Exhibit.
577. On January 12, 2015, Mr. Weisselberg sent a signed copy of the letter to Peter Welch, with an email note "The attached is enclosed as a follow-up to your call with Jeff." (Ex.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

578. As reflected in handwritten notes from Mr. Weisselberg, Capital One declined to renegotiate the loan because "they came to the realization that the NOI . . . would not be sufficient to handle the reset ground rent in 2032." (Ex. 319) According to Allen Weisselberg "the above led us to Ladder Capital." (Id.)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited Exhibit (Ex. 319) does not state that "Capital One declined to renegotiate the loan" for the reason stated.
579. Allen Weisselberg's son Jack Weisselberg has been employed at Ladder Capital since 2008. (Ex. 320 at 15:8-15:11)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

580. By April 2015, Allen Weisselberg was communicating with Jack Weisselberg about the economics of exiting the loan with Capital One to take on a loan with Ladder Capital. (Ex. 321)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

581. On April 17, 2015, Jack Weisselberg wrote to Brian Harris, the Chief Executive Officer of Ladder Capital that "Donald is on board for the refinance of 40 Wall. They would like to close in November, when their $\$ 5$ million loan amortization payment would be due to their
current lender (Capital One." (Ex. 322)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

582. On April 23, 2015, Jack Weisselberg sent Allen Weisselberg a "term sheet for 40 Wall Street." The document reflected basic loan terms including "All reserves including Tl/LC, CapEx, Outstanding Free Rent, Ground Rent Payments, etc. to be personally guaranteed by Donald J. Trump." (Ex. 323)

RESPONSE: Undisputed. However, the quoted language does not appear on the cited Exhibit (Ex. 323), it appears on the term sheet attached to Jack Weisselberg's April 23, 2015 email, which is not a part of the Exhibit.
583. In May 2015, Allen Weisselberg sent Jack Weisselberg a letter enclosing a term sheet for a "Proposed \$161,000,000 Refinancing of 40 Wall Street, New York, New York." (Ex. 324) The letter was signed by Donald Trump as President of 40 Wall Street Member Corp., who "Agreed to and Acknowledged on Behalf of Borrower," 40 Wall Street LLC. (LC00029513, at 517) The term sheet provided that: "In lieu of reserves for insurance, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, capital expenditures and ground lease payments, Donald J. Trump may provide a personal guaranty. In lieu of reserves for free rent periods (at Closing only), Donald J. Trump will guaranty all outstanding free rent, which will burn off on a lease by lease basis when the respective tenant begins to pay full, unabated rent." (Ex. 324, at -516) The term sheet identified a series of closing conditions, including "Delivery of financial statements (including tax returns) from Borrower and any guarantor. Weizer Mazars LLP will be acceptable to Lender in connection with any accounting or reporting obligation in the loan documents requiring an acceptable accounting firm." (Ex. 324, at -518)

RESPONSE: Disputed. While the Term Sheet attached to the letter was signed by

President Trump on behalf of the Borrower, only Allen Weisselberg signed the letter he sent to Jack Weisselberg and not President Trump. Moreover, Allen Weisselberg's letter is dated April 30, 2015, not May 2015.
584. A separate copy of "Exhibit C - Property and Principal Certification" to the term sheet was initialed and signed by Donald Trump. (Ex. 325) In response to question 20 "Are any of your assets pledged as collateral?" the addendum to the answer "Yes," says "See Donald J. Trump's June 30, 2014 Statement of Financial Condition." (Ex. 325 at -962, -963)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Asset Summary Report prepared by Ladder Capital lists the collateral source as the "Leasehold" on the 40 Wall Street Office building, not the assets listed in the SOFC. See Robert Aff., Ex. AX at 2. The "Collateral Summary" also focuses on the 40 Wall Street building and the related leasehold, and does not contain any discussion regarding the assets listed in the SOFC. Id. at 17-19. Relatedly, Craig Robertson testified that while net worth was "considered in the context of the deal . . . it's important to remember that it was a nonrecourse loan, where the first source of repayment was the collateral[.]" Robert Aff., Ex. AAAJ at Tr. 232:17-21. This is supported by the Asset Summary Report, which states that "[ $t]$ he Loan will be non-recourse other than for certain non-recourse carve-outs for which Lender will have recourse to Borrower and Donald J. Trump." Robert Aff., Ex. AX at 10. Thus, the assets listed in the SOFCs were never pledged as collateral.
585. Jack Weisselberg testified that Ladder Capital would accept a guaranty in lieu of reserves when there is "enough net worth and liquidity to warrant such a reserve." He further testified that: "In this case, taking the guarantee for it we felt pretty safe with. We had done it in the past with other borrowers including him. And on this loan, we decided it was okay." (Ex. 320 at 188:17-189:3)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

586. On May 22, 2014 Jeff McConney sent Jack Weisselberg a copy of the 2014 SFC, reporting a net worth of $\$ 5,777,540,000$ and cash and marketable securities of $\$ 302,300,000$. (Ex. 326; Ex. 4 at -717, - 718)

RESPONSE: Disputed. While Jeff McConney indicated he would send "DJTs tax returns and $\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{s}^{\prime \prime}$ in the email the NYAG references, the NYAG fails to present any evidence that the SOFC was actually delivered on May 22, 2014.
587. On June 29, 2015, Craig Robertson of Ladder Capital sent an "RUC Memo" concerning the 40 Wall Loan to the Risk and Underwriting Committee of Ladder Capital. (Ex. 327)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

588. The RUC Memo noted that: "In lieu of ongoing reserves for insurance, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, capital expenditures, and ground lease payments, Donald J. Trump will provide a personal guaranty. The TI/LC/ and Free Rent Reserves outstanding at closing are presented below. In lieu of an up-front reserve for these items, Donald J. Trump will provide a personal guaranty for such amounts outstanding" (Ex. 327, at -322)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

589. In discussing Donald Trump as the sponsor of the loan, the RUC Memo states: "As of June 30, 2014 Mr . Trump reported a net worth of nearly $\$ 5.8$ billion and liquidity in excess of \$300 million." (Ex. 327, at -325)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

590. In discussing the "Deal Strengths" Item 4 is listed as "Conservative Loan Structure" and the second bullet point states: "The Loan features a warm-body carveout
guarantor, Donald J. Trump. As of June 30, 2014 Mr. Trump reported a net worth of nearly $\$ 5.8$ billion and liquidity in excess of $\$ 300$ million." (Ex. 327, at -326)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
591. Item 8 under "Deal Strengths" is "Experienced and Well capitalized sponsorship," and the final bullet point states: "Mr. Trump reports a net worth of nearly $\$ 5.8$ billion and liquidity in excess of $\$ 300$ million."

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

592. Under the section "Sponsorship" the RUC Memo states: "As of June 30, 2014 Mr. Trump reported a net worth of nearly $\$ 5.8$ billion and liquidity in excess of $\$ 300$ million." (Ex. 327, at -333)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

593. In discussing "Loan Features," the RUC Memo states: "Key Principal must maintain a net worth equal to at least $\$ 160$ million and a liquidity of at least $\$ 15$ million."

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

594. When asked about the inclusion of the net worth requirement, Jack Weisselberg testified: "In this case, the liquidity is a bit higher than we typically would use. Part of that is because of the loan size. Part of that is because of the amount of liquidity he was showing us at closing, and part of it is because of all the reserves that we had that he was guaranteeing. We wanted to make sure he always had enough cash on hand that could cover that in case we did have to call on those dollars to be spent." (Ex. 320 at 189:20-190:6)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
595. When asked if the net worth requirement was a point of negotiation with the Trump Organization in the deal, Jack Weisselberg testified: "This is a point of negotiation on
every deal we do with every sponsor, and they definitely negotiated more than most, so yes, we absolutely negotiated this point." (Ex. 320 at 190:10-190:14)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

596. When asked what the process was for verifying net worth and liquidity, Jack Weisselberg testified: "So we had a personal financial statement for him or I think they call it a statement of financial condition and that is typically where we see their assets, their liabilities, and then from there we can ask questions if we want to know a little bit more. Basically, we're basing our net worth numbers on that, on their financial statement." (Ex. 320 at 191:17-191:25)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
597. Donald Trump executed a "Guaranty of Recourse Obligations" as-of July 2, 2015, in connection with the 40 Wall Ladder Loan. The guaranty provided that Donald Trump "shall deliver to Lender not later than September 30th of each calendar year, Guarantor's annual financial statements prepared in a form previously provided to Lender by Guarantor from an independent firm of certified public accountants acceptable to Lender (Lender agreeing that WeiserMazars LLP is an acceptable firm) and prepared in accordance with GAAP in all material respects (except as disclosed therein), including a balance sheet, and certified by Guarantor as being true, correct and complete and fairly presenting the financial condition and results of such Guarantor, and (iii) shall deliver to Lender, not later than April 30th of each calendar year, a certificate signed by Guarantor certifying to the fact that as of March 31st of such year, there has been no material adverse change in Guarantor's financial condition from that shown on Guarantor's annual financial statements required to be delivered to Lender pursuant to clause (ii) above, and that the Net Worth and Liquidity covenants set forth in clause (i) above are satisfied." (Ex. 328 at -3076-3077)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

598. Donald Trump executed a "Guaranty of Property Expenses" as-of July 2, 2015, in connection with the 40 Wall Ladder Loan. The guaranty provided that Donald Trump "shall deliver to Lender not later than September 30th of each calendar year, Guarantor's annual financial statements prepared in a form previously provided to Lender by Guarantor from an independent firm of certified public accountants acceptable to Lender (Lender agreeing that WeiserMazars LLP is an acceptable firm) and prepared in accordance with GAAP in all material respects (except as disclosed therein), including a balance sheet, and certified by Guarantor as being true, correct and complete and fairly presenting the financial condition and results of such Guarantor, and (iii) shall deliver to Lender, not later than April 30th of each calendar year, a certificate signed by Guarantor certifying to the fact that as of March 31st of such year, there has been no material adverse change in Guarantor's financial condition from that shown on Guarantor's annual financial statements required to be delivered to Lender pursuant to clause (ii) above, and that the Net Worth and Liquidity covenants set forth in clause (i) above are satisfied."

RESPONSE: Disputed. The NYAG fails to support this statement with evidence.
599. In 2000, Seven Springs LLC took out an approximately $\$ 8$ million mortgage from Royal Bank America ("RBA"), later acquired by Bryn Mawr Bank in 2017. (Ex. 329, 330)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

600. Donald J. Trump personally guaranteed the mortgage. (Ex. 330)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
601. As a result of the personal guarantee Mr. Trump's Statements were submitted to RBA and Bryn Mawr on multiple occasions in connection with the Seven Springs mortgage. (Ex. 331; Ex. 332; Ex. 329; Ex. 333 at PDF 13; Ex. 334; Ex. 335 at PDF 5; Ex. 336)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

602. A 2011 credit memo records that the financial statement was "compiled annually with a 6-30 date" and that the bank "typically receives the information in October." (Ex. 337 at PDF 6)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
603. A 2014 credit memo from Bryn Mawr contains data drawn from Mr. Trump's 2011 and 2013 Statements. (Ex. 338 at PDF 11)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
604. The 2014 memo states that because of the "personal financial strength of Mr. Trump, as evidenced by liquid assets of $\$ 339$ million (cash and marketables) and net worth of $\$ 5$ billion, Royal Bank America previously waived the requirement of personal tax returns." (Ex. 338 at PDF 12)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

605. Bryn Mawr retained in its files Mr. Trump's Statements for 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016. (Ex. 329; Ex. 339; Ex. 336)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
606. Typically, the Statements were sent under the cover of a letter from McConney, stating that Mr. Trump's Statement was being provided pursuant to the mortgage. (Ex. 329 at PDFs 7, 156, 230, 257; Ex. 339; Ex. 336)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

607. Submission of the Statements was required in order to maintain the loan and to obtain a series of extensions. (Ex. 340 at PDF 8; Ex. 332; Ex. 341 at PDF 8; Ex. 342 at PDF 6)

RESPONSE: Disputed. There is no evidence in the cited documents that the Statements
were required to obtain extensions on the loan.
608. For example, the bank approved extensions of the maturity date of the loan in 2011, 2014, and 2019 in reliance upon Mr. Trump's Statements submitted pursuant to Mr. Trump's personal guarantee. (Ex. 340; Ex. 341; Ex. 342)

RESPONSE: Disputed. There is no evidence in the cited documents that Bryn Mawr relied upon the Statements.
609. In connection with seeking these extensions, Mr. Trump re-affirmed his personal guaranty in 2011 and 2014, and in 2019 the guarantee was re-affirmed in a certification signed by Eric Trump "as attorney in fact" for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 340; Ex. 341; Ex. 342)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
610. The personal guaranty for this loan was described by Bryn Mawr in internal records as a positive component of the loan for the bank. (Ex. 329 at PDF 80)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

611. For example, one 2011 memo stated, under the heading "pro" (vs. con), "Experienced and financially strong guarantor, with a reported \$3.9 Billion net worth." (Ex. 329 at PDF 80)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
612. A 2014 memo similarly noted that renewal of the loan was recommended based on, among other factors, "Strong Guarantor Support" and "Personal financial strength of Mr. Trump, evidenced by a reported net worth of \$5 Billion and liquid assets of \$354MM." (Ex. 338 at PDF 15)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
613. During the 2019 loan modification, McConney originally asked for a quote on the
price of extending the loan without the personal guarantee of Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 344)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

614. He was told that he would be required to place about $\$ 700,000$ in escrow at closing and was quoted an interest rate about half a percentage point higher per annum than the rate that applied with a guarantee. (Ex. 344)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Bryn Mawr indicated that the amount to be placed in escrow was to be "approximately $\$ 690,000$ " with a "fixed 5 -year interest rate in the $4.75 \%$ to $5 \%$." Faherty Aff., Ex. 344 at -28148-49.
615. After receiving these terms, McConney and Eric Trump decided to extend the loan with the personal guaranty of Donald J. Trump in place. (Ex. 344)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The cited document does not indicate that Eric Trump was involved in the discussions. Mr. McConney stated: "After further discussion we decided we'd like to keep DJTs current guarantee in place. Eric Trump has a Power of Attorney which will allow him to sign the documents in place of his father." Faherty Aff., Ex. 344 at $\mathbf{- 2 8 1 4 8}$.
616. The Statements from 2011 through 2019 were material to Bryn Mawr's agreements to extend and maintain the mortgage. (Ex. 345 at 61:12-19; 132:13-18; 183:3-11)

RESPONSE: Disputed. In the cited testimony, Bryn Mawr never indicated that the Statements were "material" to the Seven Springs mortgage. In fact, a Bryn Mawr representative indicated that in reviewing the Statements, he considered the value in the Statements to be an "as-developed value" so he "rel[ied] on [the bank's] old appraisal of $\$ 30$ million" for an as-is valuation for the property, and since the bank had " 7.3 million outstanding", the loan had a "low loan-to-value." Robert Aff., Ex. AAAH at 94:7-96:9.
617. From at least 2010 through 2021, Zurich North America ("Zurich") underwrote a
surety bond program (the "Surety Program") for the Trump Organization through insurance broker AON Risk Solutions ("AON"). (Ex. 346 at -8199-200; Ex. 347 at -9142; Ex. 348 at 27:310)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. During this period, Zurich North America ("Zurich") underwrite a surety bond program through insurance broker AON Risk Solutions ("AON") for certain businesses affiliated with President Trump. Defs. SOF ${ }^{\text {| }} 166$; ZurichNA_009089, ZurichNA_009090.
618. Under the Surety Program, Zurich issued surety bonds on behalf of the Trump Organization within specified dollar limits in exchange for a premium calculated based on a rate times the face amount of the bonds. (Ex. 346 at -8200; Ex. 349 at -8524; Ex. 350 at -8516 ; Ex. 351 at -8211 ; Ex. 352 at -8226 ; Ex. 353 at -8232; Ex. 354 at -8509 ; Ex. 355 at -8503 ; Ex. 356 at -8995)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Zurich issued surety bonds on behalf of certain businesses affiliated with President Trump. The surety bonds were issued within specified dollar limits in exchange for a premium calculated based on a rate filed with New York insurance regulators times the face amount of the bonds. Defs. SOF $9 \mathbb{T}$ 166, 179; ZurichNA_009089, ZurichNA_009090.
619. In 2011, the Surety Program had a single bond limit of $\$ 500,000$, an aggregate limit for all bonds of $\$ 2,000,000$, and a rate of $\$ 20$ per thousand. (Ex. 357 at -8481 )

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

620. When the Surety Program was canceled in 2021, the single bond limit was $\$ 6,000,000$, the aggregate limit was $\$ 20,000,000$, and the rate was $\$ 10$ per thousand. (Ex. 356 at -8998; Ex. 248 at 81:10-17)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Certain bonds maintained higher rates than $\$ 10$ per thousand, including a deductible bond that had a rate of $\$ 11.50$ per thousand. ZurichNA_008995.
621. Over the course of the relationship, in accordance with its standard underwriting guidelines for surety business, Zurich required the Trump Organization to provide an indemnification against any loss should Zurich be required to pay under a bond. (Ex. 348 at 18:17-23:2; Ex. 359 at 54:7-55:18)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Zurich issued surety bonds on behalf of certain businesses affiliated with President Trump. President Trump agreed to indemnify Zurich against any loss incurred by Zurich on the surety bonds. Defs. SOF 9qT 167-68.
622. From the inception of the Surety Program, the Trump Organization met this indemnification requirement through a General Indemnity Agreement ("GIA") executed by Donald J. Trump, pursuant to which (similar to a personal guaranty on a loan) he personally agreed to indemnify Zurich for claims under the Surety Program. (Ex. 360 at -8276; Ex. 348 at 22:19-23:2)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to
specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. On October 22, 2009, President Trump agreed to personally indemnify Zurich against any loss incurred by Zurich on the surety bonds. Prior to this, Zurich took individual applications of indemnity for each bond. Defs. SOF बTT 167-68; ZurichNA_008201.
623. The Surety Program included an annual requirement that Mr. Trump disclose to Zurich's underwriter his personal financial statements. (Ex. 357 at -8481; Ex. 361 at -8483; Ex. 359 at 50:15-51:16, 85:19-86:9; Ex. 348 at 30:11-31:13, 34:12-35:8)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Requests to review financial information are common practice in the industry but President Trump was not legally or contractually required to disclose financial information as part of the surety program. Caulfield Dep. 56:11-57:8.
624. This annual financial disclosure requirement permitted Zurich to ensure that the indemnification from Mr. Trump was sufficient to support the continued renewal of the Surety Program. (Ex. 348 at 34:12-24; Ex. 359 at 50:15-51:4)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Requests to review financial information are common practice in the industry but President Trump was not legally or contractually required to disclose financial information as part of the surety program. Caulfield Dep. 56:11-57:8.
625. Indeed, on multiple occasions when AON was unable to secure in a timely manner the required financial disclosure-which took the form of an on-site review of the Statements in a conference room at the Trump Organization's offices-Zurich put the Surety Program into "cut-off" status, which means Zurich ceased writing new bonds and would cancel existing bonds on expiration, until Mr. Trump's Statements were made available for review. (Ex. 362 at -8345; Ex. 349 at -8526; Ex. 359 at 79:6-22, 82:8-83:2)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Requests to review financial information are common practice in
the industry but President Trump was not legally or contractually required to disclose financial information as part of the surety program. Zurich's underwriters occasionally put the surety program into "cutoff" status because Zurich was not satisfied with how regularly its underwriters were reviewing financial information for President Trump. Nevertheless, soon after "cutting off" the surety program, Zurich expanded the program by providing President Trump's businesses with greater single and aggregate bonding capacity and underwriting new bonds without reviewing financial information. When Zurich expanded the program without reviewing President Trump's financials, Zurich relied on publications such as Forbes and USA Today to support its underwriting decisions, and did so, in part, as an accommodation to AON. Defs. SOF qTIT 172-76; Caulfield Dep. 56:11-57:8, 96:3-97:11, 107:14-108:9.
626. During the on-site review that occurred on November 20, 2018 for the 2019 renewal, Zurich's underwriter Claudia Markarian ${ }^{2}$ was shown the 2018 Statement, which listed as assets real estate holdings with valuations that Allen Weisselberg represented to Ms. Markarian had been determined each year by a professional appraisal firm "such as Cushman \& Wakefield." (Ex. 354 at -8507; Ex. 348 at 49:10-50:10)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Allen Weisselberg did not inform Ms. Markarian that the properties were appraised annually. Ms. Markarian wrote in her 2019 Annual Review that the "[f]air value of the properties is determined by professional firms (such as Cushman \& Wakefield) using cap rates and net operating income as factors." Ms. Markarian was not sure if Allen Weisselberg used the term "fair value." Allen Weisselberg represented to Ms. Markarian that the asset values listed in the 2018 SOFC were derived by combining the net operating

[^30]income figure, a figure only individuals associated with President Trump's businesses would know, and capitalization rates. Markarian Trial Dep. 102:7-103:2, 109:8-110:8, 121:4-122:16; Weisselberg Dep. 221:9-13. ${ }^{3}$
627. Ms. Markarian considered the valuations to be reliable based on Mr. Weisselberg's representation that they were prepared by a professional appraisal firm, which she recorded in her contemporaneous notes that she used to create the narrative portion of her annual underwriting review. (Ex. 354 at -8507 ; Ex. 348 at 37:16-40:5, 49:10-50:10, 51;10-52:7)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Allen Weisselberg did not inform Ms. Markarian that the properties were appraised annually. Ms. Markarian considered the valuations that were derived by combining net operating income and capitalization rates to be reliable but was not told by Mr . Weisselberg (and Ms. Markarian did not ask) what entity was providing the capitalization rate. Markarian Trial Dep. 102:7-103:2, 109:8-110:8, 121:4-123:22; Weisselberg Dep. 221:9-13.
628. In connection with her underwriting analysis, Ms. Markarian viewed Mr. Weisselberg's representations about the valuations being prepared by a professional appraisal firm favorably. (Ex. 348 at 51:17-52:5, 54:17-55:7, 58:15-59:17)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Allen Weisselberg did not inform Ms. Markarian that the properties were appraised annually. Ms. Markarian believed the valuations were derived by combining net operating income, which was known only to President Trump's businesses, and capitalization rates but was not told by Mr. Weisselberg (and Ms. Markarian did not ask) what entity was providing the capitalization rate. Ms. Markarian used the terms "valuation" and "appraisal" interchangeably because she thought they were the same. The asset values in the

[^31]SOFC were derived from combining the net operating income with capitalization rates provided by real estate companies such as Cushman \& Wakefield and Newmark Group. Markarian Trial Dep. 102:7-103:2, 109:8-110:8, 121:4-123:22; NYSCEF No. 16-26; Weisselberg Dep. 215:16217:9, 221:9-13.
629. Despite Mr. Weisselberg's representations, in reality Mr. Trump never retained a professional appraisal firm to prepare any of the property valuations reflected in the Statements. (Ex. 363 at 217:7-14)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Allen Weisselberg did not inform Ms. Markarian that the properties were appraised annually. Ms. Markarian mistakenly used the terms "valuation" and "appraisal" interchangeably because she thought they were the same. Ms. Markarian believed the valuations were derived by combining net operating income, which was known only to President Trump's businesses, and capitalization rates but was not told by Mr. Weisselberg (and Ms. Markarian did not ask) what entity was providing the capitalization rate. Many of the asset values in the SOFC were derived from combining the net operating income with capitalization rates provided by real estate companies such as Cushman \& Wakefield and Newmark Group. Markarian Trial Dep. 102:7-103:2, 109:8-110:8, 121:4-123:22; NYSCEF No. 16-26; Weisselberg Dep. 215:16-217:9, 221:9-13.
630. Ms. Markarian noted in her narrative for her on-site review of the 2018 Statement the amount of cash on hand reflected in the asset category "cash and cash equivalents." (Ex. 354 at -8507; Ex. 348 at 46:13-21)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
631. Ms. Markarian considered cash on hand to be an important figure for her underwriting analysis because it indicated Mr. Trump's liquidity and represented the funds
available to repay Zurich in the event Zurich had to pay on a surety bond issued under the program. (Ex. 348 at 46:22-47:19)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
632. Mr. Trump falsely inflated the amount of "cash and cash equivalents" in the 2018 Statement by including $\$ 24.4$ million that belonged to the Vornado Partnership over which he had no control. See, supra, at $9 \|$ 384-406.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2018 SOFC states that the cash and cash equivalents "represents amount held by Mr. Trump and amounts in operating entities." Faherty Aff. Ex. 8 at -2728. Even without $\$ 24.4$ million in Vornado Partnership funds in 2018, President Trump's liquidity far exceeded the total exposure of $\$ 20$ million for the Zurich surety program. Zurich did not engage in real underwriting of the Trump account because the underwriters never undertook a financial analysis of the SOFC to ascertain President Trump's financial health; thus, the surety program was an accommodation to President Trump and AON. Defs. SOF q 181; Robert Aff q 12; Ex. Z ("Giulietti Dep.") at 93:2-106:5.
633. This misrepresentation of the amount of cash on hand was material to Ms. Markarian's underwriting analysis because it meant Mr. Trump was less liquid than reflected in the 2018 Statement. (Ex. 348 at 88:5-89:3, 141:20-142:17)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2018 SOFC states that the cash and cash equivalents "represents amount held by Mr. Trump and amounts in operating entities." Faherty Aff. Ex. 8 at -2728. Even without $\$ 24.4$ million in Vornado Partnership funds in 2018, President Trump's liquidity far exceeded the total exposure of $\$ 20$ million for the Zurich surety program. Zurich did not engage in real underwriting of the Trump account because the underwriters never undertook a financial analysis of the SOFC to ascertain President Trump's financial health; thus, the surety
program was an accommodation to President Trump and AON. Defs. SOF ๆ 181; Robert Aff. ๆ 12; Giulietti Dep. 93:2-106:5.
634. Mr. Weisselberg also advised Ms. Markarian during her on-site review of the 2018 Statement that the "value of properties" did not "vary significantly" from year to year. (Ex. 354 at -8507; Ex. 348 at 52:6-20)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg provided Ms. Markarian high level information about President Trump's financial strength. Mr. Weisselberg indicated President Trump's asset quality was very good, meaning that the property values had been kept year over year and did not vary significantly during cycles. Markarian Trial Dep. 52:6-17, 101:24-102:4.
635. Mr. Weisselberg's representations about how the property values remained consistent year over year factored favorably into Ms. Markarian's analysis. (Ex. 348 at 52:2154:7)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg provided Ms. Markarian high level information about President Trump's financial strength. Mr. Weisselberg indicated President Trump's asset quality was very good, meaning that the property values had been kept year over year and did not vary significantly during cycles. Zurich did not engage in real underwriting of the Trump account because the underwriters never undertook a financial analysis of the SOFC to ascertain President Trump's financial health; thus, the surety program was an accommodation to President Trump and AON. Markarian Trial Dep. 52:6-17, 101:24-102:4; Giulietti Dep. 93:2-106:5.
636. In reality, the values in the Statements for a number of properties varied significantly over time. See, supra, at $\mathbb{q} \|$ 36-76.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff does not establish her assertion.
637. Based on her favorable assessments resulting from the representations made to
her by Mr. Weisselberg during her on-site review and the information contained in the 2018 Statement, Ms. Markarian recommended that the Surety Program be renewed at the expiring terms, which her manager approved. (Ex. 348 at 57:15-59:17)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Markarian recommended renewal of the surety program in 2018 because President Trump was in "very good financial shape," in that he had "high liquidity, very low debt compared to its peers, and little capex requirements for the next year." Ms. Markarian also relied on the fact that the asset quality in the portfolio was "very good" and "sustainable." Zurich did not engage in real underwriting of the Trump account because the underwriters never undertook a financial analysis of the SOFC to ascertain President Trump's financial health; thus, the surety program was an accommodation to President Trump and AON. Giulietti Dep. 93:2-106:5; ZurichNA_008511.
638. During the on-site visit for the next renewal conducted on January 15, 2020, Ms. Markarian reviewed Mr. Trump's 2019 Statement. (Ex. 355 at -8501; Ex. 348 at 63:16-65:4)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
639. During this on-site review, Mr. Weisselberg represented to Ms. Markarian that the "fair value for the properties is appraised annually by a professional firm" which for the 2019 Statement was the "Newmark Group and has previously been done by Cushman \& Wakefield," explaining that the reason for the change in the firm was due to the "individual at Cushman $\&$ Wakefield with whom the Organization had a longstanding relationship with moved to work at Newmark." (Ex. 355 at -8501; Ex. 348 at 72:11-74:12)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg did not represent to Ms. Markarian that the fair value of the properties is appraised annually. Ms. Markarian mistakenly used the terms "valuation" and "appraisal" interchangeably because she thought they were the same. Ms.

Markarian believed the valuations were derived by combining net operating income, which was known only to President Trump's businesses, and capitalization rates but was not told by Mr. Weisselberg (and Ms. Markarian did not ask) what entity was providing the capitalization rate. Many of the asset values in the SOFC were derived from combining the net operating income with capitalization rates provided by real estate companies such as Cushman \& Wakefield and Newmark Group. Markarian Trial Dep. 102:7-103:2, 109:8-110:8, 121:4-123:22; NYSCEF No. 16-26; Weisselberg Dep. 215:16-217:14.
640. Ms. Markarian considered the valuations to be reliable based on Mr. Weisselberg's representation that they were prepared by a professional appraisal firm, as recorded in her contemporaneous notes that she used to create the narrative portion of her annual underwriting review. (Ex. 355 at -8501; Ex. 348 at 65:15-66:22, 74:13-75:9)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg did not represent to Ms. Markarian that the fair value of the properties is appraised annually. Ms. Markarian mistakenly used the terms "valuation" and "appraisal" interchangeably because she thought they were the same. Ms. Markarian believed the valuations were derived by combining net operating income, which was known only to President Trump's businesses, and capitalization rates but was not told by Mr. Weisselberg (and Ms. Markarian did not ask) what entity was providing the capitalization rate. Many of the asset values in the SOFC were derived from combining the net operating income with capitalization rates provided by real estate companies such as Cushman \& Wakefield and Newmark Group. Markarian Trial Dep. 102:7-103:2, 109:8-110:8, 121:4-123:22; NYSCEF No. 16-26; Weisselberg Dep. 215:16-217:14.
641. In connection with her underwriting analysis, Ms. Markarian viewed Mr. Weisselberg's representations about the valuations being prepared again by a professional
appraisal firm favorably. (Ex. 348 at 74:21-75:9)
RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg did not represent to Ms. Markarian that the fair value of the properties is appraised annually by a professional firm. Ms. Markarian mistakenly used the terms "valuation" and "appraisal" interchangeably because she thought they were the same. Ms. Markarian believed the valuations were derived by combining net operating income, which was known only to President Trump's businesses, and capitalization rates but was not told by Mr. Weisselberg (and Ms. Markarian did not ask) what entity was providing the capitalization rate. Many of the asset values in the SOFC were derived from combining the net operating income with capitalization rates provided by real estate companies such as Cushman \& Wakefield and Newmark Group. Markarian Trial Dep. 102:7-103:2, 109:8-110:8, 121:4-123:22; NYSCEF No. 16-26; Weisselberg Dep. 215:16-217:9
642. Despite Mr. Weisselberg's representations, in reality Mr. Trump never retained a professional appraisal firm to prepare any of the property valuations reflected in the Statements. (Ex. 363 at 217:7-14)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg did not represent to Ms. Markarian that the fair value of the properties is appraised annually. Ms. Markarian mistakenly used the terms "valuation" and "appraisal" interchangeably because she thought they were the same. Ms. Markarian believed the valuations were derived by combining net operating income, which was known only to President Trump's businesses, and capitalization rates but was not told by Mr. Weisselberg (and Ms. Markarian did not ask) what entity was providing the capitalization rate. Many of the asset values in the SOFC were derived from combining the net operating income with capitalization rates provided by real estate companies such as Cushman \& Wakefield and Newmark Group. Markarian Trial Dep. 102:7-103:2, 109:8-110:8, 121:4-123:22; NYSCEF No.

16-26; Weisselberg Dep. 215:16-217:14.
643. Ms. Markarian noted in her narrative for her on-site review of the 2019 Statement the amount of cash on hand reflected in the asset category "cash and cash equivalents." (Ex. 355 at -8501; Ex. 348 at 70:10-71:21)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

644. Ms. Markarian considered cash on hand to be an important figure for her underwriting analysis because it indicated Mr. Trump's liquidity and represented the funds available to repay Zurich in the event there was a claim that Zurich had to pay on a surety bond issued under the program. (Ex. 348 at 70:25-71:21)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
645. Mr. Trump falsely inflated the amount of "cash and cash equivalents" in the 2019 Statement by including $\$ 24.7$ million that belonged to the Vornado Partnership over which he had no control. See, supra, at बी| 384-406.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2019 SOFC states the cash and cash equivalents "represents amount held by Mr. Trump and amounts in operating entities. Faherty Aff. Ex. 9 at $\mathbf{- 1 7 9 3}$. Even without $\$ 24.7$ million in Vornado Partnership funds in 2019, President Trump's liquidity far exceeded the total exposure of $\$ 20$ million for the Zurich surety program. Defs. SOF $\mathbb{\|} 181$; Markarian Trial Dep. 126:6-19; Robert Aff ๆ 13.
646. This misrepresentation of the cash on hand was material to Ms. Markarian's underwriting analysis because it meant Mr. Trump was less liquid than reflected in the 2019 Statement. (Ex. 348 at 89:4-23, 141:20-142:17)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2019 SOFC states the cash and cash equivalents "represents amount held by Mr. Trump and amounts in operating entities. Faherty Aff. Ex. 9 at $\mathbf{- 1 7 9 3}$. Even
without $\$ 24.7$ million in Vornado Partnership funds in 2019, President Trump's liquidity far exceeded the total exposure of $\$ 20$ million for the Zurich surety program. Zurich did not engage in real underwriting of the Trump account because the underwriters never undertook a financial analysis of the SOFC to ascertain President Trump's financial health; thus, the surety program was an accommodation to President Trump and AON. Defs. SOF ๆ181; Markarian Trial Dep. 126:6-19; Robert Aff \| 13; Giulietti Dep. 93:2-106:5.
647. Mr. Weisselberg also advised Ms. Markarian during her on-site review of the 2019 Statement that the "value of properties" did not "vary significantly" from year to year. (Ex. 355 at -8502; Ex. 348 at 75:10-76:4)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg provided Ms. Markarian high level information about President Trump's financial strength. Mr. Weisselberg indicated President Trump's asset quality was very good, meaning that the property values had been kept year over year and did not vary significantly during cycles. Markarian Trial Dep. 52:6-17, 101:24-102:4
648. Ms. Markarian viewed Mr. Weisselberg's representations about how the property values remained consistent year over year as a positive factor. (Ex. 348 at 76:5-19)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg provided Ms. Markarian high level information about President Trump's financial strength. Mr. Weisselberg indicated President Trump's asset quality was very good, meaning that the property values had been kept year over year and did not vary significantly during cycles. Zurich did not engage in real underwriting of the Trump account because the underwriters never undertook a financial analysis of the SOFC to ascertain President Trump's financial health; thus, the surety program was an accommodation to President Trump and AON. Markarian Trial Dep. 52:6-17, 101:24-102:4; Giulietti Dep. 93:2-106:5.
649. In reality, the values in the Statements for a number of properties varied
significantly over time. See, supra, at व|| 36-76.
RESPONSE: Disputed. The evidence cited by Plaintiff fails to establish her assertion.
650. Based on her favorable assessments resulting from the representations made to her by Mr. Weisselberg during her on-site review and the information contained in the 2019 Statement, Ms. Markarian recommended that the Surety Program be renewed at the expiring terms, which her manager approved. (Ex. 348 at 79:19-82:8)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Mr. Weisselberg provided Ms. Markarian high level information about President Trump's financial strength. Mr. Markarian recommended renewal of the surety program in 2019 because President Trump was "once again in very good financial shape," in that he had "high liquidity, very low debt compared to its peers, and little capex requirements for the next year." Ms. Markarian also relied on the fact that the asset quality in the portfolio was "very good" and "sustainable." Zurich did not engage in real underwriting of the Trump account because the underwriters never undertook a financial analysis of the SOFC to ascertain President Trump's financial health; thus, the surety program was an accommodation to President Trump and AON. Markarian Trial Dep. 52:6-17, 101:24-102:4; Giulietti Dep. 93:2-106:5; ZurichNA_009004.
651. Mr. Trump's Statements did not disclose to the reader that within the "Clubs" category many of the golf club values included a $30 \%$ or $15 \%$ premium for the Trump Brand. (Ex. 3 at -39)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The intangible value associated with a brand name is a permissible valuation consideration. Use of the Trump brand value as part of the value of the reported tangible assets was properly disclosed in the SOFC. Defs. SOF $\mathbb{\|} 262$.
652. Under Zurich's underwriting guidelines, intangible assets such as brand value are
to be excluded as a disallowed item. (Ex. 364 at 96:49-97:18)
RESPONSE: Disputed. The intangible value associated with a brand name is a permissible valuation consideration. Use of the Trump brand value as part of the value of the reported tangible assets was properly disclosed in the SOFC. Defs. SOF व 262.
653. As of December 2016, the Trump Organization had in place Directors \& Officers ("D\&O") liability coverage consisting of a single primary policy providing a limit of $\$ 5,000,000$ at a premium of $\$ 125,000$, expiring on February 17, 2017. (Ex. 365 at -94; Ex. 366)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The Trust had in place a single primary policy. Defs. SOF \| 188.
654. To obtain that coverage, similar to the process for obtaining surety coverage from Zurich, the Trump Organization provided D\&O underwriters access to Mr. Trump's Statements, through a monitored in-person review at Trump Tower. (Ex, 367 at -61; Ex. 368; Ex. 369)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The Trust had in place a single primary policy. Defs. SOF \| 188.
655. In advance of the February 2017 policy expiration, AON scheduled a "D\&O Underwriting Meeting" at the Trump Organization's offices on January 10, 2017 between Trump Organization personnel (including Weisselberg) and various insurers, including Tokio Marine HCC ("HCC"). (Ex. 368)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
656. The Trump Organization was looking to cancel the existing policies and rewrite the program on the day of Mr. Trump's presidential inauguration with significantly higher limits of $\$ 50,000,000$ - a tenfold increase in the $\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}$ coverage that existed under the single primary policy in place. (Ex. 370 at 34:9-35:24; Ex. 365)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. The Trust was looking to add additional coverage because President Trump had just been elected President. The Trust sought to add an additional $\$ 5$ million in D\&O coverage. Defs. SOF \| 188; Faherty Aff. Ex. 370 34:12-17, Faherty Aff. Ex. 365.
657. The underwriters at the meeting, including HCC's underwriter, were provided very few financials but did see the balance sheet for year-end 2015, which showed total assets of $\$ 6.6$ billion, cash of $\$ 192$ million and total debt of $\$ 519$ million with no single debt larger than $\$ 160$ million and no concentration of maturities - all as reported in the 2015 Statement. (Ex. 5 at -691-92; Ex. 369; Ex. 370 at 57:21-64:16)

RESPONSE: Disputed. HCC's underwriter was provided with a balance sheet for yearend 2015 , which showed total assets of $\$ 6.6$ billion, cash of $\$ 192$ million and total debt of $\$ 519$ million with no single debt larger than $\$ 160$ million and no concentration of maturities. HCC's underwriter did not find it unusual there were limited financials to review. Faherty Aff. Ex. 370 36:12-16, 57:21-64:16; Faherty Aff. Ex. 369 at HCC_00001202.
658. The Trump Organization representatives assured the underwriters that the balance sheet for year-end 2016 that would be completed in a few weeks would be even better than the year-end 2015 balance sheet. (Ex. 370 at 63:19-64:16; Ex. 369)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

659. The representation that Mr . Trump had $\$ 192$ million in cash was material to the HCC underwriter's assessment of Mr. Trump's liquidity, which has bearing on his ability to meet the retention obligation under the HCC policy. (Ex. 370 at 161:7-164:9; Ex. 371 at -68)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The 2015 balance sheet the HCC underwriter reviewed identified \$192 million in cash. President Trump's liquidity position was a relevant consideration for HCC in making its underwriting decision. President Trump's cash position was so strong that the HCC underwriter did not find it material need to know whether President Trump's businesses had positive cash flow. Faherty Aff. Ex. 371 at HCC_00000168; Faherty Aff. Ex. 370 at 161:7-164:9. 66:25-68:6.
660. In response to specific questioning from the underwriters, the Trump Organization personnel represented that there was no material litigation or inquiry from anyone that could potentially lead to a claim under the D\&O coverage. (Ex. 371; Ex. 372; Ex. 369; Ex. 370 at 68:22-69:13)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. HCC's underwriter did not know who told him there was no material litigation or inquiry that could give rise to a claim under the $\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}$ policy. The terms of the $\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}$ policy required that the risk manager or general counsel become aware of a potential claim before the insured had to provide written notice to HCC. SOF $q \|$ 188, 193-94; Faherty Aff. Ex. 370 at 68:22-69:13.
661. This representation was material to the HCC underwriter's assessment that there
were no investigations by law enforcement agencies that could potentially trigger coverage under the D\&O policies. (Ex. 370 at 69:5-13)

RESPONSE: Disputed. An individual at the underwriting meeting on January 10, 2017 (who was unknown to the HCC underwriter), told HCC's underwriter there was no litigation or inquiries that could potentially lead to a claim under the policy. A condition of filing a claim under the HCC D\&O policy was that the risk manager or general counsel become aware of the potential claim. Faherty Aff. Ex. 370 at 68:25-69:13; Defs. SOF q\| 193-94.
662. On January 20, 2017, after considering the information conveyed during the January 10 meeting, HCC offered terms for a primary $\$ 10,000,000$ policy with a $\$ 2,500,000$ retention for a premium of $\$ 295,000$ subject to certain conditions. (Ex. 373)

RESPONSE: Disputed. HCC offered terms for a primary $\$ 10$ million policy with a $\$ 2.5$ million retention for a premium of $\$ 295,000$ after considering the information conveyed during the January 10, 2017, meeting as well as information provided to it by AON. The HCC underwriter was excited about the prospect of displacing the original primary insurer and even went so far as to state that he "loved the primary on this for at least the next 4 years." Faherty Aff. Ex. 369, 371.
663. Coverage per these terms was bound on January 31, 2017, with effective dates of January 30, 2017 to January 30, 2018. (Ex. 374)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

664. Despite the representations made to underwriters by the Trump Organization personnel during the January 10 meeting that there was no material litigation or inquiry from anyone that could potentially lead to a claim, there was at the time of the meeting an ongoing investigation by OAG into the Trump Foundation and Trump family members Donald J. Trump,

Donald Trump, Jr., Ivanka Trump, and Eric Trump, all of whom were at the time directors and officers of the Trump Organization and were aware of the investigation. (Ex. 375; Ex. 376; Ex. 377)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. HCC's underwriter did not know who told him there was no material litigation or inquiry that could give rise to a claim under the $\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}$ policy. The terms of the $\mathrm{D} \& \mathrm{O}$ policy required that the risk manager or general counsel become aware of a potential claim before written notice to HCC was required. SOF $\mathbb{T \| \|} 188$, 193-94; Faherty Aff. Ex. 370 at 68:22-69:13.
665. In September 2016, four months before the January 10 meeting, OAG had sent a notice of violation to the Trump Foundation and a letter to Trump Organization outside counsel Sheri Dillon requesting documents, to which Ms. Dillon replied on October 7, 2016. (Ex. 376; Ex. 378)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed.
666. Neither Weisselberg nor any other Trump Organization representative disclosed to the underwriters at the January 10 meeting or at any other time prior to the January 30 renewal of the D\&O policies the existence of OAG's investigation into the Trump Foundation and Trump family members who were directors and officers of the Trump Organization. (Ex. 369; Ex. 370 at 68:22-69:13)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. HCC's underwriter did not know who told him there was no material litigation or inquiry that could give rise to a claim under the D\&O policy. The terms of the D\&O policy required that the risk manager or general counsel become aware of a potential claim before written notice to HCC was required. SOF $9 \| 188$, 193-94; Faherty Aff. Ex. 370 at 68:22-69:13.
667. On January 17, 2019, the Trump Organization submitted a claim notice to the D\&O insurers, including HCC, through AON seeking coverage in connection with OAG's enforcement action resulting from the investigation. (Ex. 379; Ex. 380)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. SOF \| 188. The Trust submitted a claim notice to D\&O insurers. Faherty Aff. Exs. 379-380.
668. On February 6,2018 , based on the information provided during the renewal negotiations, HCC agreed to extend its $\$ 10,000,000$ policy with a $\$ 2,5000,000$ retention for the expiring premium of $\$ 295,000$ for another 12 months, ending February 10, 2019. (Ex. 381; Ex. 382)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
669. Based on further correspondence exchanged in 2018 between AON on behalf of the insureds and HCC's coverage counsel disputing whether coverage existed for tendered claims, HCC's underwriter determined that the exposure on the risk was significantly higher than
previously assessed. (Ex. 370 at 143:20-145:10)
RESPONSE: Disputed. HCC's underwriter determined the exposure was significantly higher than previously assessed because HCC "underpriced th[e] risk" and there was nothing President Trump's representatives could have told HCC during the underwriting process that could have prepared the HCC underwriter for claims related to investigations involving President Trump's election. Faherty Aff. Ex. 370 at 145:6-147:8.
670. As a result, on January 24,2019 , HCC offered to renew the $\$ 10,000,000$ policy for a substantially increased premium of $\$ 1,600,000$, more than five times the expiring premium. (Ex. 383; Ex. 384; Ex. 370 at 143:13-146:4)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

671. The Trump Organization declined to accept the renewal terms. (Ex. 370 at 150:14-151:12)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
672. The Statements of Financial Condition from 2011 through 2015 are personal financial statements for Mr. Trump, and they state that Mr. Trump is responsible for their contents. (Exs. 1-11)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The SOFC from 2011 through 2015 are personal financial statements for President Trump and they state President Trump is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements with the accountants' assistance in presenting the financial information in the form of compilation reports. Faherty Aff. Ex. 1-11.
673. Speaking about his own role at the Trump Organization before he became President of the United States, Donald J. Trump said his title probably was "President" but "my title was the owner. That was the only one that mattered." (Ex. 50 at 159:25-160:6)

RESPONSE: Disputed. President Trump was not sure if he had any other formal titles in 2014 other than being the owner of his businesses. Faherty Aff. Ex. 50 at 159:19-160:6.
674. On March 9, 2017, Donald J. Trump appointed Donald Trump Jr. and Eric Trump as agents with Power of Attorney over banking and real estate transactions. (Ex. 385 at -16, -20)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

675. When Donald Trump, Jr. and Eric Trump signed compliance certificates pertaining to the Statements, each stated that he did so as Mr. Trump's attorney in fact.

RESPONSE: Disputed. The NYAG fails to cite evidence in support of her assertion.
676. Allen Weisselberg would not have permitted a final draft of the Statement of Financial Condition to be issued unless Mr. Trump had reviewed it and was satisfied with it. (Ex. 363 at 142:4-143:5)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

677. Mr. Trump had "final review" over his Statement of Financial Condition in each year before he was President of the United States. (Ex. 54 at 98:5-16)

RESPONSE: Disputed. President Trump's review of the SOFC with Allen Weisselberg was the final review. Faherty Aff. Ex. 54 at 98:5-16.
678. As Mr. Trump testified, Mr. Weisselberg and Mr. McConney "had the numbers" and that he would "see it mostly after it was completed, you know, he gave me a rundown or give me in some cases like the statement, maybe an outline in some cases." (Ex. 50 at 101:21102:05)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
679. By a document dated October 22, 2009, Donald J. Trump signed a "General Agreement of Indemnity" to Zurich insurance company, in order to procure surety bonds. (Ex.

RESPONSE: Disputed. On October 22, 2009, President Trump agreed to personally indemnify Zurich against any loss incurred by Zurich on the surety bonds. Prior to this, Zurich took individual applications of indemnity for each bond. Defs. SOF q4 167-68; ZurichNA_008201.
680. Donald Trump, Jr. is an Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization. https://www.trump.com/leadership/donald-trump-jr-biography

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
681. Donald Trump, Jr. was a trustee of the Trust from January 19, 2017 to January 15, 2021, and then from July 7, 2021 to present. (Ex. 387; Ex. 388; Ex. 389)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Donald Trump Jr. was appointed trustee on January 19, 2017 and thereafter resigned, but once was again appointed trustee July 7, 2021. Disputed that the evidence cited by Plaintiff supports her assertion that Donald Trump Jr. resigned as trustee on January 15, 2021.
682. The representation letter for the 2016 Statement is signed by Donald Trump, Jr. and bears the date March 10, 2017. Donald Trump, Jr. signed the document as Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended. (Ex. 40)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
683. The representation letter for the 2017 Statement is signed by Donald Trump, Jr. and bears the date October 30, 2017. Donald Trump, Jr. signed the document as Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended. (Ex. 41)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

684. The representation letter for the 2018 Statement is signed by Donald Trump, Jr. and bears the date October 24, 2018. Donald Trump, Jr. signed the document as Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended. (Ex. 42)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
685. The representation letter for the 2019 Statement is signed by Donald Trump, Jr. and bears the date October 31, 2019. Donald Trump, Jr. signed the document as Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended. (Ex. 43)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
686. The representation letter for the 2020 Statement is signed by Donald Trump, Jr. and bears the date January 11, 2021. Donald Trump, Jr. signed the document as Executive Vice President of the Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended. (Ex. 44)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

687. The representation letter for the 2021 Statement is signed by Donald Trump, Jr. and bears the date October 29, 2021. Donald Trump, Jr. signed the document as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended. (Ex. 45)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

688. Donald Trump Jr. signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated March 13, 2017. Among other things, the certificate states that the 2016 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The
signature area on the certificate states that Donald Trump Jr. signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 258)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
689. Donald Trump Jr. signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 31, 2017. Among other things, the certificate states that the 2017 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Donald Trump Jr. signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 259)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
690. Donald Trump Jr. signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 31, 2017. Among other things, the certificate states that the 2019 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Donald Trump Jr. signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 313)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Among other things, the compliance certificate dated October 31, 2017, states that the 2017 SOFC is attached. Faherty Aff. Ex. 313.
691. Donald Trump Jr. signed three separate guarantor compliance certificates, each dated October 25, 2018. Among other things, the certificates each stated that the 2018 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Donald Trump Jr. signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 260 at 24-25 (OPO), at 26-27 (Trump Endeavor), at 28-29 (N. Wabash))

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
692. Donald Trump Jr. signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 31, 2019. Among other things, the certificate states that the 2019 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Donald Trump Jr. signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 261)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
693. Donald Trump Jr. signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 31, 2019. Among other things, the certificate states that the 2019 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Donald Trump Jr. signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 283)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
694. Donald Trump Jr. signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 31, 2019. Among other things, the certificate states that the 2019 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Donald Trump Jr. signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 314)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
695. From 2011 to present, Donald Trump Jr. has served as an officer in (i) The Trump Organization Inc; (ii) The Trump Organization LLC; (iii) DJT Holdings LLC; (iv) DJT Holdings Managing Member; (v) Trump Endeavor LLC; (vi) 401 North Wabash Venture LLC; (vii) Trump Old Post Office LLC; (viii) 40 Wall Street LLC; (ix) Seven Springs LLC. Faherty Aff. Ex. 51 at $\mathbb{1} 16$.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Donald Trump Jr. served as an officer of Trump Endeavor 12 LLC. In addition, the document cited by Plaintiff establishes that Donald Trump Jr. served as an officer of the entities referenced "at different points during the Relevant Time Period," and not from 2011 to the present. Defs. SOF Ex. 51 at $\mathbb{\|} 16$.
696. From the period of 2016 to 2023 Eric Trump was the "chief decision maker" at the Trump Organization, (Ex. 391 at 29:10-13, 77:11-21; Ex. 50 at 19:7-17), and maintains as one of his titles "Executive Vice President" of the Trump Organization. https://www.trump.com/leadership/eric-trump-biography

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Mark Hawthorn testified that Eric Trump was a "chief decision maker" for a specific period of time, and that he served as an Executive Vice President of certain discrete legal entities. However, the use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. It is additionally disputed that Eric Trump was the "chief decision maker" for a seven-year period.
697. On March 13, 2017, Eric Trump acknowledged his appointment by Donald J. Trump as agent with Power of Attorney over banking and real estate transactions. (Ex. 385 at -$16,-20)$

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

698. On July 9, 2019, Eric Trump, as President of Seven Springs LLC signed a loan modification agreement on behalf of the borrower Seven Springs LLC in a transaction with the Bryn Mawr Trust Company. (Ex. 342)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
699. On July 9, 2019, Eric Trump, as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump, signed a Consent and Joinder Agreement reaffirming the obligations of the Guarantor under the Guaranty. (Ex. 342)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

700. Eric Trump signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 28, 2020. The borrower is stated to be Trump Endeavor 12 LLC and the Guarantor is stated to be Donald J. Trump. Among other things the certificate states that the Guarantor certifies that to the best of their current knowledge their net worth is over $\$ 2,500,000,000$. The signature area on the certificate states that Eric Trump signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 262) Subsequent to the signing of this certificate Deutsche Bank received the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition. (Ex. 392)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The compliance certificate states that, "to the best of Guarantor's current knowledge and information, and Guarantor currently not being aware of facts, circumstances or events that, individually or in the aggregate, establish the contrary conclusion, the 'Net Worth' of Guarantor for the period ending on June 30th, is not less than ( x ) Two Billion Five Hundred Million Dollars $(\$ 2,500,000,000)$ times (y) the applicable Step-Down Percentage on the date hereof." Faherty Aff. Ex. 262
701. Eric Trump signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 28, 2020. The borrower is stated to be 401 North Wabash Venture LLC and the Guarantor is stated to be Donald J. Trump. Among other things the certificate states that the Guarantor certifies that to the best of their current knowledge their net worth is over $\$ 2,500,000,000$. The signature area on the certificate states that Eric Trump signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 284) Subsequent to the signing of this certificate Deutsche Bank received the 2020

Statement of Financial Condition. (Ex. 392)
RESPONSE: Disputed. The compliance certificate states that, "to the best of Guarantor's current knowledge and information, and Guarantor currently not being aware of facts, circumstances or events that, individually or in the aggregate, establish the contrary conclusion, the 'Net Worth' of Guarantor for the annual period ending on June 30, 2020, is not less than (x) ( $\$ 2,500,000,000$ ) times (y) the applicable Step-Down Percentage on the date hereof." Faherty Aff. Ex. 284.
702. Eric Trump signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 28, 2020. The borrower is stated to be Trump Old Post Office, LLC and the Guarantor is stated to be Donald J. Trump. Among other things the certificate states that the Guarantor certifies that to the best of their current knowledge their net worth is over $\$ 2,500,000,000$. The signature area on the certificate states that Eric Trump signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 315) Subsequent to the signing of this certificate Deutsche Bank received the 2020 Statement of Financial Condition. (Ex. 392)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The compliance certificate states that, "to the best of Guarantor's current knowledge and information, and Guarantor currently not being aware of facts, circumstances or events that, individually or in the aggregate, establish the contrary conclusion, the 'Net Worth' of Guarantor for the period ending on June 30th, is not less than Two Billion Five Hundred Million Dollars $(\$ 2,500,000,000)$ on the date hereof." Faherty Aff. Ex. 315.
703. The engagement letter for the 2021 Statement bearing the date September 17, 2021, is addressed to Eric Trump, President of the Trump Organization and is signed by Eric Trump on behalf of the Trump Organization on the same date. (Ex. 34)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

704. In October 2021, Eric Trump, as a top executive in the company, participated in a phone call to discuss valuation methodologies for the 2021 SOFC. (Ex. 138 at 1183:18-1186:18, 1194:10-1195:13, 1196:24-1197:09)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Patrick Birney testified that Eric Trump participated in a phone call to discuss the 2021 SOFC. Faherty Aff. Ex. 138 at 1183:18-1186:18, 1194:101195:13, 1196:24-1197:09.
705. On that phone call Eric Trump said "Listen, you guys are the best numbers guys that I know, and if you're recommending something, we're going to --like, that's fine." (Ex. 138 at 1194:10-1195:13, 1196:24-1197:09)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that Patrick Birney testified that he did not recall Eric Trump's exact words but believed he said "something along the lines of" what is stated in ๆ| 705. Faherty Aff. Ex. 1197:5-9.
706. Eric Trump signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 28, 2021. The borrower is stated to be Trump Endeavor 12 LLC and the Guarantor is stated to be Donald J. Trump Among other things, the certificate states that the 2021 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Eric Trump signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 263)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
707. Eric Trump signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 28, 2021. The borrower is stated to be 401 North Wabash Venture LLC and the Guarantor is stated to be Donald J. Trump Among other things, the certificate states that the 2021 Statement is attached
and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Eric Trump signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 285)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
708. Eric Trump signed a guarantor compliance certificate dated October 28, 2021. The borrower is stated to be Trump Old Post Office, LLC and the Guarantor is stated to be Donald J. Trump. Among other things, the certificate states that the 2021 Statement is attached and "presents fairly in all material respects the financial condition of Guarantor at the period presented." The signature area on the certificate states that Eric Trump signed the document as attorney in fact for Donald J. Trump. (Ex. 316)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the compliance certificate states as much.
709. From 2011 to present, Eric Trump has served as an officer in (i) The Trump Organization Inc; (ii) The Trump Organization LLC; (iii) DJT Holdings LLC; (iv) DJT Holdings Managing Member; (v) 401 North Wabash Venture LLC; (vi) Trump Old Post Office LLC; (vii) 40 Wall Street LLC; (viii) Seven Springs LLC. (Ex. 51 at 9 17)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The document cited by Plaintiff establishes that Eric Trump served as an officer of the entities referenced "at different points during the Relevant Time Period," and not from 2011 to the present. Faherty Aff. Ex. 51 at $\mathbb{\|} 17$.
710. Allen Weisselberg was Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Organization in 2011 and continued in that role until he pled guilty to tax fraud in 2021. (Ex. 363 at 291-293, 307)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to
specify as to which named Defendant(s)—or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Allen Weisselberg was the Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Corporation from 2003 until July 2021. Defs. SOF ๆ 4.
711. Until Mr. Trump became President of the United States, Allen Weisselberg as the Trump Organization's Chief Financial Officer reported to Mr. Trump directly and was under his control. (Ex. 49 at 31:2-32:12, Ex. 50 at 160:7-8)

RESPONSE: Disputed. The use of "Trump Organization" improperly groups all entity Defendants together without regard for the discrete legal entity of each Defendant and fails to specify as to which named Defendant(s)-or non-Defendant entity-the conduct alleged is attributed. Allen Weisselberg was the Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Corporation from 2003 until July 2021. Defs. SOF $\mathbb{T} 4$.
712. Allen Weisselberg, as Chief Financial Officer, was in charge of the accounting department at the Trump Organization. (Ex. 50 at 165)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
713. Jeffrey McConney and Allen Weisselberg worked on Statements of Financial Condition for Mr. Trump together. (Ex. 363 at 120:10-19)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff's cited evidence does not support her assertion.
714. Jeffrey McConney and Patrick Birney reported to Allen Weisselberg when he was Chief Financial Officer of the Trump Organization. (Ex. 49 at 28:7-18.)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
715. Allen Weisselberg had a primary role working on Mr. Trump's Statements. (Ex. 50 at $100,126-128,156)$

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
716. The engagement letter for the 2011 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date July 20, 2011. (Ex. 24)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

717. The engagement letter for the 2012 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date September 25, 2012. Underneath Weisselberg's signature is a handwritten date, October 12, 2012. (Ex. 25)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
718. The engagement letter for the 2013 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date September 18, 2013. (Ex. 26) Underneath Weisselberg's signature is a handwritten date, September 30, 2013.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

719. The engagement letter for the 2014 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date January 2, 2014. (Ex. 27) Underneath Weisselberg's signature is a handwritten date, November 5, 2014.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
720. The engagement letter for the 2015 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date November 2, 2015. (Ex. 28) Underneath Weisselberg's signature is a handwritten date, March 21, 2016.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

721. The engagement letter for the 2016 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date January 21, 2017. (Ex. 29) Underneath Weisselberg's signature is a handwritten date, March 9, 2017. Weisselberg signed the document as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable

Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

722. The engagement letter for the 2017 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date January 21, 2017. (Ex. 30) Underneath Weisselberg's signature is a handwritten date, October 10, 2017. Weisselberg signed the document as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
723. The engagement letter for the 2018 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date January 11, 2018. (Ex. 31) Weisselberg signed the document as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
724. The engagement letter for the 2019 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date January 10, 2019. (Ex. 32) Underneath Weisselberg's signature is a handwritten date, March 13, 2019. Weisselberg signed the document as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

725. The engagement letter for the 2020 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date December 14, 2020. (Ex. 33) Underneath Weisselberg's signature is a handwritten date, January 7, 2021. Weisselberg signed the document as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and as Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable

Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

726. The representation letter for the 2011 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date October 6, 2011. (Ex. 35) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
727. The representation letter for the 2012 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date October 12, 2012. (Ex. 36) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
728. The representation letter for the 2013 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date October 28, 2013. (Ex. 37) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
729. The representation letter for the 2014 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date November 7, 2014. (Ex. 38) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

730. The representation letter for the 2015 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date March 18, 2016. (Ex. 39) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
731. The representation letter for the 2016 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg
and bears the date March 10, 2017. (Ex. 40) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

732. The representation letter for the 2017 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date October 30, 2017. (Ex. 41) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

733. The representation letter for the 2018 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date October 24, 2018. (Ex. 42) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
734. The representation letter for the 2019 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date October 31, 2019. (Ex. 43) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
735. The representation letter for the 2020 Statement is signed by Allen Weisselberg and bears the date January 11, 2021. (Ex. 44) Weisselberg signed the document as Chief Financial Officer of The Trump Organization and Trustee of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended.

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

736. Jeffrey McConney became Controller of the Trump Organization sometime between 2002 and 2004. (Ex. 54 at 23:15-22)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
737. Jeffrey McConney led the process of preparing Mr. Trump's Statements of Financial Condition sometime beginning in the 1990s. (Ex. 54 at 24:4-25:4)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
738. Jeffrey McConney described his personal role in preparing supporting data and backup for Mr. Trump's Statement of Financial Condition beginning in 2011. (Ex. 54 at 52:1068:14) For example, Mr. McConney testified that "I assemble the documentation" and that he would send both supporting data spreadsheets and backup documentation to the accountants. (Ex. 54 at 67:20-68:14)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

739. Jeffrey McConney acknowledged that the supporting data spreadsheets pertaining to Mr. Trump's Statements were referred to as "Jeff's supporting data" or "Jeff's supporting schedule". (Ex. 54 at 40:2-8, 212:8-16, 294:20-24)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
740. Jeffrey McConney worked, in Mr. Trump's words, "right under Allen" at the Trump Organization. (Ex. 50 at 101:8-13)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

741. On May 10, 2016, Jeffrey McConney sent a compliance certificate pertaining to the 2015 Statement to Deutsche Bank. (Ex. 393; Ex. 282; Ex. 394; Ex. 395)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
742. Jeffrey McConney caused the submission to Deutsche Bank in November 2017 of a compliance certificate pertaining to the 2016 Statement. On November 10, 2017, Jeffrey McConney was asked by Deutsche Bank to provide a guarantor compliance certificate pertaining to the Old Post Office loan. McConney requested to provide it the following week. (Ex. 396) Patrick Birney, who was supervised by Mr. McConney, provided the certificate the following week. (Ex. 397)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Patrick Birney caused the submission to Deutsche Bank of the compliance certificate. Faherty Aff. Ex. 397.
743. The Statements from 2016 to 2021 states that the Trustees of the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended, on behalf of Donald J. Trump are responsible for the accompanying financial statement. (Exs. 6-11)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
744. The Statements from 2016 to 2020 further advise that that "Donald J. Trump transferred a significant portion of his assets and liabilities, including certain entities that he owned, to The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, as amended (the "Trust"), or entities owned by the Trust, prior to Donald J. Trump being sworn in as President of the United States of America on January 20, 2017. (Ex. 6-10)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff's quote is inaccurate as to the 2016 SOFC. Faherty Aff. Ex. 6.
745. The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust ("Trust") was created by an instrument dated April 7, 2014 which established Donald J. Trump as sole Trustee of the Trust. (Ex. 398)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
746. The entities held by the Trust in or about 2017 are accurately represented by the
organizational chart annexed to the Verified Complaint as Exhibit 2 (NYSCEF No. 4; NYSCEF


## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

747. In December 2016 and January 2017, an internal restructuring occurred at the Trump Organization (Ex. 399) The document reflecting the restructuring states: "Through various assignments dated as of December 31, 2016, January 1 2017, and January 19, 2017, DJT transferred all of his direct interests in The Trump Organization and all entities affiliated therewith to the Trust or subsidiaries thereof." (Ex. 399 at ~93)

RESPONSE: Undisputed that the document states as much.
748. Donald J. Trump was the beneficial owner of all Entity Defendants until he transferred his interest in the Entity Defendants to the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust ("Trust") in 2016 (Ex. 51 at 914)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
749. By an undated instrument, Mr. Trump resigned as trustee of the Trust "in advance of [his] inauguration as president] effective January 19, 2017." (Ex. 400)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
750. By an undated instrument, Donald Trump Jr. accepted appointment as trustee of the Trust effective January 19, 2017. (Ex. 401)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
751. By an undated instrument, Allen Weisselberg accepted appointment as "Business Trustee" of the Trust effective January 19, 2017. (Ex. 402)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
752. On January 15 , 2021 Mr. Trump executed a Removal of Trustee removing Allen

Weisselberg as Trustee of the Trust effective "as of 12:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, January 20, 2021." (Ex. 403)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
753. On January 15, 2021 Mr. Trump executed an Appointment and Acceptance of Trustee by which he appointed himself as Trustee of the Trust effective "as of 12:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, January 20, 2021." (Ex. 388)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
754. On January 19, 2021 Donald Trump Jr. and Allen Weisselberg executed an Amendment to Agreement of Trust that provided that on Mr. Trump's ceasing to serve as President of the United States of America, Donald Trump Jr. and Allen Weisselberg would be removed as Trustees and Mr. Trump would be reinstated as sole Trustee of the Trust. (Ex. 404)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
755. As of January 20, 2021 Mr . Trump was once again sole trustee of the Trust. (Ex. 405)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
756. On July 7, 2021 Mr. Trump removed himself as Trustee of the Trust and appointed Donald Trump Jr. as Trustee of the Trust. (Ex. 406, Ex. 389)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
757. Defendant Trump Organization, Inc. From May 1, 1981 to January 19, 2017, Mr. Trump was Director, President, and Chairman of the Trump Organization, Inc. From at least July 15, 2015 until May 16, 2016, Mr. Trump was the sole owner of the Trump Organization, Inc.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to support her statement with evidence.
758. Defendant Trump Organization LLC is a limited liability company doing business
in the State of New York with a principal place of business in New York, NY.
RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to support her statement with evidence.
759. By reorganization in 2017, DJT Holdings LLC accepted Donald J. Trump's membership interest in Trump Organization LLC. (Ex. 399)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
760. DJT Holdings LLC is near the top of the corporate structure chart of the Trump Organization, owning interests in numerous subsidiary entities and sitting just below the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust in its organizational position.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to support her statement with evidence.
761. In December 2016 and January 2017, an internal restructuring occurred at the Trump Organization. (Ex. 399) As part of the restructuring, Donald J. Trump, Jr. was appointed President of DJT Holdings LLC, and Allen Weisselberg was appointed Vice President, Treasurer and Secretary of that entity. (Ex. 399 at ~707)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
762. DJT Holdings LLC holds an interest in Trump Organization, LLC, Trump Endeavor 12, LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, 40 Wall Street LLC, and Seven Springs LLC. (Ex. 51 at ๆ 4)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

763. By a document dated January 17, 2017, Donald Trump Jr. and Allen Weisselberg, respectively, signed a "Rider Adding Additional Indemnitor to General Agreement of Indemnity" to Zurich insurance company, to modify the 2009 "Agreement of General Indemnity" in order to add DJT Holdings LLC as an additional indemnitor. Donald Trump Jr. signed as "President" and Allen Weisselberg signed as "Treasurer/Vice President" of DJT

Holdings LLC. (Ex. 360)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

764. DJT Holdings Managing Member LLC is near the top of the corporate structure chart of the Trump Organization, owning interests in numerous subsidiary entities and sitting just below the Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust in its organizational position. (Compl. Ex. 2, 2017 restructuring doc)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants are unable to ascertain what evidence Plaintiff relies upon for her assertion.
765. In December 2016 and January 2017, an internal restructuring occurred at the Trump Organization. (Ex. 399) As part of the restructuring, Donald J. Trump, Jr. was appointed President of DJT Holdings Managing Member LLC, and Allen Weisselberg was appointed Vice President, Treasurer and Secretary of that entity. (Ex. 399 at ~707)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
766. DJT Holdings Managing Member holds an interest in DJT Holdings LLC, Trump Organization, LLC, The Trump Organization, Inc., Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, 40 Wall Street LLC, and Seven Springs LLC. (Ex. 51 at I 5)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

767. Trump Endeavor 12 LLC signed a purchase and sale agreement for the Doral property and is the owner of the Doral Property. (Ex. 238, NYSCEF No. 501 Amended Answer of Donald J. Trump 『 571; NYSCEF No. 511 Amended Answer of Trump Endeavor 12 LLC at - [28)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
768. Trump Endeavor 12 LLC was the borrower in a loan agreement dated June 11, 2012. Donald J. Trump signed the agreement as President of Trump Endeavor 12 LLC. (Ex. 254 at -005931-33)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

769. "In consideration of financial accommodations given or to be given or continued to Trump Endeavor 12, LLC" Donald J. Trump signed a guaranty agreement dated June 11, 2012. (Ex. 232 at -172, 188)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
770. Donald J. Trump as President of Trump Endeavor 12 LLC signed a first amendment to term loan agreement dated November 9, 2012. (Ex. 408)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
771. Donald J. Trump as guarantor for a loan to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC signed a first amended guaranty dated November 9, 2012. (Ex. 409 at -592)

RESPONSE: Undisputed to the extent Plaintiff relies on Faherty Aff. Ex. 409 at -502.
772. Donald J. Trump as President of Trump Endeavor 12 LLC signed a second amendment to term loan agreement dated August 12, 2013. (Ex. 410 at -3056)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
773. Donald J. Trump as guarantor for a loan to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC signed a second amended guaranty dated August 12, 2013. (Ex. 411 at -854)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
774. Donald J. Trump as President of Trump Endeavor 12 LLC signed a third amendment to term loan agreement dated August 12, 2014. (Ex. 412 at -864)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
775. Donald J. Trump as guarantor for a loan to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC signed a third amended guaranty dated August 12, 2014. (Ex. 413 at -871)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
776. Donald J. Trump as guarantor for a loan to Trump Endeavor 12 LLC signed a fourth amended guaranty dated August 7, 2015. (Ex. 414 at -8327)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
777. 401 North Wabash Venture LLC owns the building doing business as Trump International Hotel \& Tower, Chicago. (NYSCEF No. 505 (Amended Answer of 401 North Wabash Venture LLC) at 928 )

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
778. North Wabash Venture LLC was the borrower on a hotel loan and a residential loan that closed November 9, 2012. The hotel and residential loan agreements were signed by Donald J. Trump as President of 401 North Wabash Venture LLC. (Ex. 234 at -6041; Ex. 278 at -5328; see also DJT Answer ๆ 607 (admitting "that Trump Chicago loan exists and was signed by Mr. Trump and Statements of Financial Condition were submitted pursuant to the loan").

RESPONSE: Undisputed to the extent Plaintiff intended to cite to 401 North Wabash Venture LLC.
779. Donald J. Trump as guarantor signed guaranties in connection with both loan agreements on November 9, 2012. (Ex. 276; Ex. 277)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
780. Donald J. Trump as President of 401 North Wabash Venture LLC signed a first amendment to term loan agreement dated June 2, 2014. (Ex. 280)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
781. Donald J. Trump as guarantor for 401 North Wabash Venture LLC signed an amended and restated guaranty dated June 2, 2014. (Ex. 281)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
782. Trump Old Post Office LLC is a Delaware entity that held a ground lease to operate Trump International Hotel, Washington, DC. (NYSCEF No. 509 (Amended Answer of Trump Old Post Office LLC) at 928 )

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
783. Trump Old Post Office LLC was the borrower in a loan agreement dated August 12, 2014. The loan agreement was signed by Donald J. Trump as President of Trump Old Post Office LLC. (Ex. 233)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

784. "In consideration of financial accommodations given or to be given or continued to Trump Old Post Office, LLC," Donald J. Trump signed a guaranty agreement dated August 12, 2014. (Ex. 305)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
785. Defendant 40 Wall Street LLC, a New York Limited Liability Corporation, which holds a ground lease for an office building located at 40 Wall Street, New York, NY.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to support her statement with evidence.
786. 40 Wall Street LLC was the borrower in a $\$ 160$ million loan agreement dated July 2, 2015, with Ladder Capital Finance. The loan agreement was signed by Donald J. Trump as President of 40 Wall Street LLC Member Corp-the managing member of 40 Wall Street LLC. (Ex. 415 at -2541)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
787. Seven Springs LLC is a New York limited liability company that owns the Seven Springs estate, consisting of 212 acres of property within the towns of Bedford, New Castle, and North Castle in Westchester County, NY.

RESPONSE: Disputed. Plaintiff fails to support her statement with evidence.
788. Seven Springs LLC was the borrower on a loan and security agreement dated June 22, 2000. Donald J. Trump signed the loan and security agreement as President of Seven Springs LLC and as member of Bedford Hills Corporation. (Ex. 417)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

789. Donald J. Trump as guarantor for the loan to Seven Springs LLC signed a guaranty dated June 22, 2000. (Ex. 330)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

790. Donald J. Trump signed an agreement, that stated in consideration of a loan made to [Seven Springs LLC], the party signing below hereby agrees to send... a financial statement on a compilation basis reflecting an accurate evaluation of financial condition annually until the credit facility to [Seven Springs LLC] is terminated." (Ex. 331; Ex. 332)

## RESPONSE: Undisputed.

791. Donald J. Trump signed a Modification Agreement dated June 29, 2011, as President of Seven Springs LLC and as member of Bedford Hills Corporation. (Ex. 417)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
792. Donald J. Trump signed a Modification Agreement dated July 28, 2014, on behalf of Seven Springs LLC through its members, as President of Bedford Hills Corporation and President of DJT Holdings LLC. (Ex. 418)

RESPONSE: Undisputed.
793. Per the terms of the agreement, Defendants Donald J. Trump, Donald Trump, Jr., Eric Trump, Allen Weisselberg, and Jeffrey McConney are bound by the tolling agreement executed by "The Trump Organization." (Ex. 419)

RESPONSE: Disputed. Defendants Donald J. Trump, Donald Trump Jr., Eric Trump, Allen Weisselberg, and Jeffrey McConney are not bound by the Tolling Agreement. Defs. SOF T14 265-74.
794. The tolling agreement binds all officer-members of the "Trump Organization."

RESPONSE: Disputed. The Tolling Agreement does not bind any officer-members or individual Defendants. Defs. SOF 9Tl 265-74.

Dated: New York, New York
September 1, 2023
s/ Michael Madaio
MICHAEL MADAIO
HABBA MADAIO \& ASSOCIATES, LLP
112 West 34th Street, 17th \& 18th Floors
New York, New York 10120
Phone: (908) 869-1188
Email: ahabba@habbalaw.com
mmadaio@habbalaw.com
Counsel for Donald J. Trump, Allen
Weisselberg, Jeffrey McConney,
The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust,
The Trump Organization, Inc., Trump
Organization LLC, DJT Holdings LLC, DJT Holdings Managing Member LLC, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North
Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post
Office LLC, 40 Wall Street LLC and
Seven Springs LLC
-and-

Dated: Uniondale, New York September 1, 2023
sI Clifford S. Robert CLIFFORD S. ROBERT MICHAEL FARINA ROBERT \& ROBERT PLLC
526 RXR Plaza
Uniondale, New York 11556
Phone: (516) 832-7000
Email: crobert@robertlaw.com
mfarina@robertlaw.com
Counsel for Donald Trump, Jr., and Eric Trump
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(Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
CONTINENTAL PLLC
101 North Monroe Street, Suite 750
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Phone: (850) 332-0702
Email: ckise@continentalplllc.com
Counsel for The Donald J. Trump
Revocable Trust, DJT Holdings LLC,
DJT Holdings Managing Member
LLC, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401
North Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, 40 Wall Street
LLC and Seven Springs LLC
-and-
ARMEN MORIAN
MORIAN LAW PLLC
60 East 42nd Street, Suite 4600
New York, New York 10165
Phone: (212) 787-3300
Email: armenmorian@morianlaw.com
Counsel for Donald J. Trump,
The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust, The Trump Organization, Inc., Trump Organization LLC, DJT Holdings LLC, DJT Holdings Managing Member LLC, Trump Endeavor 12 LLC, 401 North
Wabash Venture LLC, Trump Old Post Office LLC, 40 Wall Street LLC and
Seven Springs LLC


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See, e.g., Wallace v. Smith in and for County of Maricopa, 532 P.3d 752, 757 (Ariz. 2023) (noting power of trial court "to reduce the amount of a supersedeas bond" in "an appropriate case"); O'Donnell v. McGann, 529 A.2d 372, 377 (Md. App. 1987) (noting the "authority" to modify a bond "does exist"); Waves of Hialeah, Inc. v. Machado, 300 So.3d 688, 691 (Fla. App. 2018) (noting trial court's authority, under appropriate circumstances and conditions, to "reduce a supersedeas bond"); Morse v. Fed. Nat'l Mortg. Ass'n, 2018 WL 4784585, at *1 (Tex. App. Oct. 4,2018 ) (noting ability of trial court to "lower the amount of a supersedeas bond" when appropriate).

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For the same reasons, the Attorney General's lengthy argument that the continuing wrong doctrine should apply here-see Opp. Brief at 31-33-is beside the point. See, e.g., Opp. Brief at 32 (arguing that "[ t$]$ his Court has ... reinstated § 63(12) claims as timely where OAG brought the claims based on misrepresentations that occurred during a limitations period that started prior to the limitations period"). The Attorney General admits that the subsequent statements on which she relies all relate directly to loan and insurance transactions completed before the limitations period, and thus they fall in the heartland of the continuing wrong doctrine, which has been rejected by this Court in this case. Opp. Brief at 33.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ See, e.g., A.R. Hoffman, Error in New York's Civil Fraud Case Against Trump Is Flagged by Industry Insiders, Who Say Valuation of Mar-a-Lago Cited by Judge Is Based on a Misunderstanding of Basic Real Estate Practice, N.Y. Sun (Oct. 2, 2023) (quoting an expert saying that "any real estate professional would say that market value and county appraisal are not the same thing," and real estate professionals "don't even look at county appraisal data").

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Aleks Phillips, How Much Is Mar-a-Lago Worth? Valuation of Trump Property Raises Questions, Newsweek (Sept. 23, 2023).
    ${ }^{5}$ Supreme Court's failure to grasp Mar-a-Lago's unique value reflects a broader trend in the court's analysis of failing to understand the economic realities of real estate business. For example, Supreme Court persistently assumed that such businesspeople have an incentive to overstate their net worth to obtain more favorable credit. This overlooks that overstatements can result in adverse estate tax consequences that could easily outweigh any such advantages. The Commercial Division would likely not have made these clear errors.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Supreme Court excluded this expert's opinion as an inadmissible legal opinion, but then failed to explain how his legal analysis was incorrect.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ See what New York AG said while running for office about charging Trump, CNN.com Oct. 3, 2023, https://www.cnn.com/videos/politics/2023/10/03/letitia-james-prosecute-trump-2018-comments-running-office-cnntm-vpx.cnn.
    ${ }^{8}$ Why Letitia James Wants to Take on Trump as NY's Attorney General, YouTube.com (Sept. 28, 2018), https://www.youtube.com/watch?app=desktop\&v=D1yj0NKSsuU.
    ${ }^{9}$ Race to Represent 2018: Letitia James, Democratic Attorney General Candidate Statement, YouTube.com (Aug. 27, 2018), https://www.youtube.com/watch?app=desktop\&v=hsnv7-y82r4. ${ }^{10}$ Spectrum News NY1, Letitia James promises to be a legal check on President Donald Trump as NY attorney general, Facebook (Nov. 6, 2018), https://www.facebook.com/watch/?v=475134182893178.
    ${ }^{11}$ Washington Post, New York's next attorney general targeted slumlords. Now she's going after Trump, Dec. 19, 2018, https://www.washingtonpost.com/politics/2018/12/19/new-yorks-next-attorney-general-targeted-slumlords-now-shes-going-after-trump (emphasis added).

[^6]:    ${ }^{12}$ This Court should reject the Attorney General's alternative request for expedited briefing and hearing. Opp. Brief, Point III. She cites no authority to support this request, and it makes little sense in a case of this complexity, which involves a detailed factual record and a 40-day trial transcript. The merits of Defendants' appeals should be considered in the ordinary course and on an ordinary schedule. "'Haste makes waste' is an old adage. It has survived because it is right so often." Kusay v. United States, 62 F.3d 192, 195 (7th Cir. 1995).

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some of the sureties contacted by Defendants' brokers include Applied Underwriters (SiriusPoint), Allianz, Amynta, Arch, Argo, Ascot, AXA XL, Berkley, Berkshire Hathaway, CAP Specialty, Chubb, Cincinnati, CNA Surety, DUAL/Axis, Everest Re, Frankenmuth, Hartford, Hudson, IAT (Harco), Intact, Liberty, Munich Re, Philadelphia Indemnity, MainStreet (NGM), Markel, Nationwide, RLI, Skyward (Great Midwest), Sompo, Swiss Re, Tokyo Marine HCC, Travelers and Zurich.

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ Defendant Trump Endeavor 12 LLC is the owner of Trump National Doral Miami, defendant 401 North Wabash Venture LLC is the owner of Trump International Hotel \& Tower Chicago and defendant 40 Wall Street LLC is the owner of The Trump Building at 40 Wall Street.
    ${ }^{3}$ Defendants DJT Holdings LLC and DJT Holdings Managing Member are the owners of the Mar-a-Lago Club as well as other hotels, golf courses and other real estate.

[^9]:    A That's what I stated.
    Q Okay. And you never discussed the Statement of Financial Conditions with Donald Trump Jr. did you?
    A Not that I recall.
    (Continued on the next page.)

[^10]:    

[^11]:    2

[^12]:    MR. ROBERT: May I, Your Honor?
    THE COURT: Please, yes.
    MR. ROBERT: It works now, I am told. (Referring to the remote control)
    THE COURT: I am going to ask the witness, again, please slow down. You were talking faster than the reporters are able to take it all down.

    MR. ROBERT: And we won't say "again" again, I promise.

    THE WITNESS: I make no such promise.
    THE COURT: All we can ask for you to do, is do your best.

    Okay. Let's continue.
    Q When we left off --
    MR. ROBERT: May I proceed, Your Honor?
    May I proceed? I can proceed?
    THE COURT: Yes.
    MR. ROBERT: Okay. Thank you.
    Q Mr. Trump, when we left before the lunch break we had finished talking about Doral, and I want to draw your attention to Trump Turnberry in Scotland. Are you familiar with that job site?

    A I am, yes.
    Q What, if anything, did the Trump Organization do in 2014 vis-a-vis Trump Turnberry, Scotland?

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ The citations in this document use the following format: (i) cites to "Pl.'s Statement ${ }^{\text {IL_ " }}$ are to paragraphs in Plaintiff's 202.8-g Statement filed in support of Plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment (NYSCEF No. 767); (ii) cites to "Pl.'s Statement Ex. _"" (from nos. 1 to 421) are to the exhibits listed and attached to the Faherty Affirmation previously filed in support of Plaintiff's motion for partial summary judgment (NYSCEF No. 768); and (iii) cites to "Ex. __" (starting with no. 1001) are to the exhibits listed and attached to the Affirmation of Colleen K. Faherty In Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment ("Faherty Opp. Aff.") being served simultaneously with this response.

[^14]:    ${ }^{2}$ As noted supra, Gen. Obj. ๆ1, Defendants have failed to either preserve or produce a severance agreement for Mr. McConney.

[^15]:    ${ }^{3}$ Due to an apparent formatting error, Defendants' Statement of Material Facts includes two paragraph 97's. For the purposes of this response, Plaintiff has designated these as 97[A] and 97[B].

[^16]:    ${ }^{4}$ Citations to the Chin Deposition transcript in this and other instances appear to provide pin cites to a rough version of the transcript rather than the final version submitted as an exhibit by Defendants.

[^17]:    ${ }^{5}$ Citations to the Laposa Deposition transcript in this and other instances appear to provide pin cites to a rough version of the transcript rather than the final version submitted as an exhibit by Defendants.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ ID Card Rates are subject to change to be consistent with other City golf courses.

[^19]:    ${ }^{2}$ Licensee may change/add categories times, subject to Parks' approval.

[^20]:    ${ }^{3}$ Licensee may change/add categories times, subject to Parks' approval.

[^21]:    ${ }^{4}$ Licensee may change/add categories times, subject to Parks' approval.

[^22]:    
    
    
    
    
    

[^23]:    ${ }^{5}$ The value of the time of Licensee's in-house construction, operations and management staff expended in connection with the Capital Improvements shall not exceed twenty percent (20\%) of Soft Costs.
    ${ }^{6}$ The value of the time of Licensee's in-house construction, operations and management staff expended in connection with the Grow-In shall not exceed twenty percent ( $20 \%$ ) of Labor costs.

[^24]:    Trump Fern Point LLC ("Trump") is an affiliate of Trump Organization LL.C "Trump Organization"), which has three decades of experience in luxury residential real estate, hotels, office buildings, recreational facilities, gaming, dining and catering, merchandising, and entertainment. The Trump Organization has successfully developed, renovated, operated, and maintained numerous similar high-end, championship private and public golf courses in the United States and abroad, including several in the New York City metropolitan area such as Trump National Golf Club Westchester and The Trump National Golf Club Bedminster. The Trump Organization also reqularty hosts PGA LPGA, and senior tour events at these properties, demonstrating an ability to successfully manage and attract high-profile events. Trump will be able to take advantage of The Trump Organization's experience, expertise, and resources and will utilize the Trump Organization's extensive experience with development in New York City when undertaking the construction of a new permanent clubhouse at the concession site.

[^25]:    Instructions: Check all applicable box(es) indicating types of adverse information found. Provide the information requested and explain basis for the award notwithstanding adverse information. Attach explanatory sheets, as necessary.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ Donald J. Trump purchased Mar-A-Lago in 1985 from the Post Foundation. By virtue of subsequent internal transfers executed over the past thirty-five years, Mar-A-Lago is currently owned by Mar-A-Lago Club, LLC. This entity is ultimately owned entirely by The Donald J. Trump Revocable Trust dated April 7, 2014, a trust of which Mr. Trump is the beneficiary and sole trustee.

[^27]:    For internal use only

[^28]:    * $\$ 77.3 \mathrm{M}$ is DJT figure only. Don, Ivanka and Eric Federal \& DC Taxes are approx $\$ 3.0 \mathrm{M}$ each per Whitley schedule.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Defendants submit the affirmation of Clifford Robert dated September 1, 2023 in support of this Response, which is referred to as "Robert Aff." Additionally, Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts (NYSCEF No. 836) previously submitted in support of Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (attached as Exhibit AAAR to Robert Aff.) is incorporated herein and referred to as "Defs. SOF" throughout this Response.

[^30]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ms. Markarian now goes by her married surname Mouradian, Ex. 348 at 9:13-23, but to avoid confusion we refer to her by her maiden name because that is the name she used while at Zurich and how she is identified in all of the relevant documents.

[^31]:    ${ }^{3}$ Defendants do not concede the Court may rely on Ms. Markarian's transcript because it was a de bene esse deposition taken for purposes of trial. Defendants' use of Ms. Markarian's transcript is for the sole purpose of refuting Plaintiff's factual assertions.

